Dhananjayarao Gadgil Labrary IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII GIPE-PUNE-016168

SLAVERY (EAST INDIES).

RETURN to an Order of the Honourable The House of Commons, dated 22 April 1841;-for,

DESPATCH from the Governor-General of India in Council to the Directors of the *East India* Company, dated the 8th day of February 3), with the Report from the Indian Law Commissioners, dated the f January 1841, and its Appendix enclosed in that Despatch, on of Slavery in the *East Indies*.

(Sir John Hobhouse.)

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed, 26 April 1841.

Y:492:5.2.M4 A841.1 16168

LETTER from the Government of India, in the Legislative Dev dated 8th February (No. 3) 1841

> T. L. Facock, Examiner of India Corresponder

COPY of the DESPATCH from the Governor-General of *India* in Council to the Court of Directors of the *East India* Company, dated the 8th day of February 1841 (No. 3), with the Report from the Indian Law Commissioners, dated the 15th day of January 1841, and its Appendix enclosed in that Despatch, on the subject of Slavery in the *East Indies*.

East India House, 22 April 1841.

JAMES C. MELVILL.

Legislative Department, No. 3 of 1841.

To the Honourable the Court of Directors of the East India Company.

Honourable Sirs,

WE have the honour to transmit by the present mail two printed copies of the Report and Appendix furnished by the Indian Law Commissioners, on the important subject of Slavery in India. Other copies shall be sent by the ordinary route as soon as practicable.

2. We have not yet been able to take into consideration the several recommendations submitted by the Commissioners, but they will occupy our immediate attention, and we shall lose no time in communicating to your honourable Court the result of our deliberations.

4 2

We have the honour to be,

Honourable Sirs,

Your most faithful humble Servants, (signed) Auckland.

Auckland. J. Nicols. W. W. Bird. Wm. Casement. H. T. Prinsep. A. Amos.

Fort William, 8th February 1841.

Тэ

To the Right honourable the Earl of Auckland, G. C. B., Governor-General of India in Council:

WE have now the honour to report upon the subject of slavery.

In explanation of our proceedings upon the subject, it appears to be necessary to enter into some details respecting the way in which it has been referred to us, and the communications we have had with government as to the manner of dealing with it.

We desire, in the first place, to remove a misapprehension into which the honourable Court of Directors appear to have fallen, and which we noticed through our secretary, immediately after the despatch in which it was contained was transmitted to us.

In the second paragraph of that despatch, which was addressed by the honourable Court to the Government of India on the 29th August 1838, they say-" In your reply in the legislative department, dated 31st August (No. 3) of 1835, paragraph 29, you informed us that this delicate question (the question of slavery) would shortly be referred to the law commissioners, which reference appears to have been made under your resolutions of the same date." And in the 5th para. they add, "We desire that the attention of the law commissioners may be immediately recalled to this question, and that we may receive with as little delay as possible a report on the means of carrying out remedial measures to the fullest practical extent."

The 5th para. of our secretary's reply* to the letter + of Mr. Officiating Secretary Maddock, which enclosed the above-mentioned despatch, was as follows :-- "They (the law commissioners) instruct me, at the same time, to remark, that the honourable Court, in directing that the attention of the law commissioners should be immediately recalled to the subject of slavery, seem to be under a misapprehension as to the nature of the communication made to the commission by government on the 31st August 1835. , But as the commissioners will have an opportunity of removing this misapprehension in the report upon slavery which they are now called upon to prepare, they do not wish me here to enter into any explanation."

In pursuance of the resolution referred to by the honourable Court, Mr. Secretary Macnaghten transmitted to the law commission, under date the 31st August 1835, a copy of paras. 38 to 75 of a despatch from the Court in the public department, dated 10th December 1834, No. 44. These paragraphs relate to a variety of important subjects, one of which is slavery. But neither upon slavery nor upon any other of the topics treated in the despatch were the law commission specially instructed to report.

The etter from Mr. Secretary Macnaghten, which accompanied the extract, merely conveyed "a request that the observations therein contained might receive the attention of the commission, when considering the important topics to which they related." There was no intimation whatever as to the order in which, or the time when, the various topics were to be considered by the commission.

Under date the 15th June; preceding, the commission had been instructed to give their attention first to the preparation of "a complete criminal code for all parts of the British Indian empire, and for every class of people, of whatever religion or nation, resident within its limits;" and they accordingly, with the knowledge and acquiescence of government, did not enter upon the subject of slavery except in so far as was necessary for the purpose of the penal code.

In our Secretary's letter of the 14th September 1838, No. 156, noticing generally the subjects before the commission, it was mentioned that they intended soon to address government on the subject of three special references connected with slavery, which had been made to them at different times.

In answer, the commission were informed that the President in Council looked forward to the early report promised by them, and an extract from a despatch from the honourable: Court of Directors on the subject was referred for their information.

As it appeared from this extract that the honourable Court expected a more compreher sive report than the commission had been previously called upon to make, it was though proper to communicate further with government to ascertain exactly their wishes. Ou secretary therefore wrote as follows :--- " I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of you

nourab

5th Nov. 1838, dated 13th Feb. 1838, No. 12.

Letter from Mr.

Officiating-secre-

tary Maddock,

To Mr. Officiatingsecretary Maddock, letter of the 5th November. With reference to an extract from a despatch from the h 161h Nov. 1838.

Dated 7 December 1838. + 26
 Letter from Mr. Secretary Macnaghten, 15 June 1835. † 26 November 1838

nourable Court of Directors on the subject of slavery enclosed in that letter, the law commissioners direct me to say, that if it is the wish of government that they should now enter upon the general question of the abolition of slavery throughout India in execution of the intentions of Parliament, they would suggest that some of their members should be detached for the purpose of local inquiry. They feel that, without such inquiry, it would be impossible for them to pronounce with confidence upon the time at which, or the means by which, the abolition of slavery can be effected with a due regard to those interests which, however iniquitous as regards the slave, appear nevertheless to have the sanction of legal right."

"The important work of framing a code of judicial establishments and procedure in which the commissioners are now engaged, though the attention of the absent members must of course be withdrawn from it, would, if this plan were adopted, be continued uninterruptedly by those who remain at Calcutta."

"With reference to paras. 7 and 8 of my letter to Mr. Secretary Maddock, No. 156, the commissioners direct me to observe, that the three branches of the subject of slavery alluded to in those paras. can, in their opinion, be effectually disposed of only in the manner they have suggested above, with reference to the general question."

"The propositions from Assam, in particular, relating to registration, compensation by government, and purchase of freedom by the slave, could hardly be decided upon without local inquiry."

"The commissioners possess, it is true, much information in the shape of answers to questions addressed to the several courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut; but these questions were framed with a view to obtain such information as should enable the commission to determine whether it was necessary to make any distinctions in the penal code in consequence of the legal existence of slavery ; and it is obvious that a much more searching and minute inquiry is necessary before the commission can venture to recommend positive measures for the mitigation and ultimate abolition of slavery. They abstain from entering into any further details until they are informed, whether it is the wish of his Honor in Council, that they should give their assistance to government in executing the intentions of Parliament as expressed in the 88th section of the Charter Act."

In reply, the law commission were informed, that it was not the intention of the President Letter from Mr. in Council to direct them to institute an inquiry into the state of slavery in India in the Officiating secremanner they had suggested.

tary Maddock, 26 November.

The above detail has appeared to us to be necessary for two purposes: first, for the purpose of showing that we did not delay to enter upon the subject of slavery after we had been instructed to do so, although it was not without regret that we were compelled to withdraw our attention from several subjects on which we were engaged, and which, in our own opinion, are of still greater importance than slavery; and, secondly, for the purpose of showing that the report which we have now the honour to present is not the result of such an inquiry into the subject as we should have thought it right to make if we had been left to the guidance of our own discretion. As our reports are to be laid before the two Houses of Parliament, who are not cognizant of the details of our proceedings, each report eight, as it seems to us, to show the circumstances which may increase or diminish its value.

We proceed to note the instructions we have had for our guidance in the examination of the subject, and in framing our report.

By the 88th section of the Statute 3 & 4 Will. 4, chap. 85, the Governor-general in Council is required " to take into consideration the means of mitigating the state of slavery, and of ameliorating the condition of slaves, and of extinguishing slavery," 4 as soon as such extinction shall be practicable and safe," and to prepare "drafts of laws and regulations for the purposes aforesaid," having "due regard" " to the laws of imarriage and the rights and authorities of fathers and heads of families."

The despatch from the honourable Court of Directors, dated 16th December 1834,* already adverted to, contains instructions as to the manner in which the intentions of the Legislature are to be carried into effect.

It is observed, that "this subject in India is one of great delicacy, and requiring to be treated with the utmost discretion; there are certain kinds of restraint required, according to native ideas, for the government of families, and forming, according both to law and custom, part of the rights of heads of families, Mussulinan and Hindoo, which are not to be included under the title of slavery. In legislating, therefore, on slavery, though it may not be easy to define the term precisely, it is necessary that the state to which your

measures

measures are meant to apply should be described with due care." The opinion of the honourable Court is also expressed, that " remedial measures should generally begin with the cases of the greatest hardship."

(It is remarked that predial slavery " exists mostly on the Malabar coast, and the new territories on our north-east frontier; and there it would appear the cases of greatest hardship are to be found."

With respect to domestic slavery, it is observed, that it is " generally mild," and that " to dissolve such a connexion by forcible means, would, in general, be to inflict an injury on the emancipated individual."

"We thusk (say the honourable Court) that the law should be made as severe against injuries done to a slave, as if they were done to any other person, and his access to the judge for the purpose of preferring a complaint should be facilitated." And, with respect to emancipation, they say, " It appears to us evident that the desire for it on the part of the slave should always be previously ascertained," and that "every case of emancipation should be a judicial proceeding investigated and decided by the judge."

In the despatch* of the honourable Court of Directors, dated 13th February 1839. their desire is expressed that inquiries should be made with a view in particular to ascertain in what parts of the British territories agricultural slavery exists, what is its character, and what the nature of the difficulties which may oppose its abolition.

In their despatch + dated 29th of August 1838, the honourable Court, referring to a notice then standing on the order book of the House of Commons, "to bring under the consideration of the House the state of slavery in India," express their desire to be furnished with a clear and complete view of the subject in all its relations to the well-being of the numerous parties affected by it, and a report on the means of carrying out remedial measures to the fullest practical extent, to be placed before the Legislature. In transmitting this despatch, the government suggested that the evidence on the subject of slavery, already possessed by us, should be digested, and that any defects in it being supplied, a report should be compiled for the purpose indicated by the Court of Directors.

Besides these general instructions, the government, on the 7th January 1839, transmitted to us a copy of a despatch from the honourable Court of Directors, dated 26th September 1838, expressing their entire concurrence in the recommendation of the law commissioners contained m note B. appended to the penal code, " that no act falling under the definition of an offence should be exempted from punishment, because it is committed by a master against a slave;" and desiring that an enactment to that effect should be passed without loss of time. On this occasion the government required us to express our opinion whether the law, as now actually in force in every part of British India, is or is not such as to make the passing of a law, of the nature directed by the hononrable Court, requisite for accomplishing the intention of the Home Government, and to frame the draft of such a law, if we should consider it requisite, with that view.

We reported on the 1st February 1939, showing that the discrepancies in the opinions and practice of the different judicial functionaries rendered such an enactment necessary to accomplish the intention of the Home Government.

We were not called upon to express, and did not express collectively, ‡ any opinion upon the policy of such an enactment. On the 27th & May following, however, we were specially requested to state whether we considered it expedient to pass such a law, whether such a law could, with justice, he passed without compensation to the owners of slaves, and what compensation would be equitable; also whether, if the power of moderate correction be taken away from the master, some provisions for enforcing obedience from slaves should be enacted.

On the same day, but in a separate communication, || we were requested to prepare a note upon the present state of the law and practice in India relative to the sale of children, and to submit our opinion and suggestions on the subject, with reference to the suspicion that the traffic in children for the supply of the zenana and the brothel is a source of extensive cnme.

In acknowledging these references we expressed our ¶ opinion that it would be most convenient and satisfactory to include our answers to them in the general report, in the preparation of which we were then engaged. We understood that the government approved

of

⁴ Enclosed in letter from scoretary to government, 5 November 1838.

Enclosed in letter from secretary to government, 26 November 1538.
 I Mc Cameron, however, thought it right to express has opinion on the policy of such an enactment, and did so accordingly in a minute which he sent up to government with our report.
 Letter from the officiating secretary, legislative department, 27 May 1639, No. 222.
 Letter from the officiating secretary, legislative department, 27 May 1639, No. 223.
 Letter 1836

Letter from u
 Letter from u
 Letter from u
 13 June 1839

of this suggestion, and we afterwards, received the further communications noted in the From secretary, le-gislative department, 9 March 1840, enclos

We have also been directed in the letters noted below * to take into consideration the following matters :-

exportation of slaves by land.

A proposition from the resident in Travancore for the abolition of slavery recently discovered to exist at Anjengo.

A draft of an Act submitted by the government of Bombay, extending the powers conferred by the Statute 5 Geo. 4, c. 113, to the Governor and officers of the East India Company, with a view to the suppression of the traffic in slaves on the west coast of India.

Suggestions + for restricting the slave trade in the Persian Gulf, and lately a report from the esting advoate a dependencies, and for the persidencies, and for the esting advoate of Bombay of measures taken for that purpose. A reference from the general of Bombay. the government of Bombay of measures taken for that purpose. A reference from the government of Bombay regarding an application from his highness the Guicawar for the surrender of two female slaves, who had left the service of his daughter, and had taken refuge in Nasick in the Company's territory.

We have also received from government the letters noted in the margin, containing documents relative to slavery transmitted for our information.,

In order to fulfil the above instructions, our first object was to collect-all the information which was accessible to us. We were already in possession of the returns made by the judicial officers throughout India to the questions which the law commission had framed with a view to penal legislation. In addition to this we have obtained more full and more general information respecting slavery in most parts of this presidency, by the examination of such witnesses having personal knowledge of the subject as we could find in Calcutta. Many of these are themselves the owners of slaves. We have also obtained from the Calcutta Sudder Dewanny Adawlut various cases in which the rights and obligations of 14 June 1823. masters and slaves have been judicially considered.

We have carefully perused every thing that could be found on the subject in print or in manuscript, and occasionally have obtained information on particular points by direct application to the authorities and officers who were competent to supply it. Our secretary, Mr. Sutherland, has examined the Mahomedan and Hindoo books of law, and has extracted for our use what relates to the subject. On several points, which seem to require elucidation, we have taken the opinions of the Hindoo and Mahomedan law officers of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut. From these materials we have formed a very full digest, which we with copy of a letter hope will be found to present as complete a view of the whole subject as can be obtained Matrix, and endow without local inquiry.

We shall begin with this digest, dividing it into the three heads of Bengal, Madras and Bombay. We shall show the course of past legislation on the subject. We shall then note what appear to us the distinguishing features of the slavery of this country, We shall direct the attention of government to the evils which belong to it, and shall suggest such legislative measures as we think are calculated to remove or to alleviate them.

In treating this part of the subject, we shall answer the particular references that have been made to us by government,

In the Appendix will be found the evidence we have taken, the reports that were made in answer to the queries framed by the commission for the purpose of the penal code, the reports of cases of slavery determined by the court of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut at Calcutta, and, generally, the papers from which we have drawn information, which we do not know to be already in print.

 Letter from secretary to government, 28 September 1835; 1 April 1839; 7 December 1835; 17 July 1837; 11 December 1837; 23 April 1838. + 11 February 1839; 8 June 1840; 24 December 1838.

9 March 1840, enclosing extract of a letter from Mator Sleemar, sion of the legislative depart-ment, 8 June 1840, transmitting copies papers respecting the proposed Act from the governments of Madras and Bombay, and the courts of Sud der Adawlat at those

8 April 1839, with original papers from the government of Madras respecting the Chermars or rustic slaves in Malabar, and copies of pi relative to the e cipation of slaves on remment estates 10 the same provinces. 8 July 1839, with Mr. Grame's report the 21 October 1839, with copy of a circu-lar order of the Foujdary Adawlut at Madras on the subject of the sale of children by their parents, and of a letter from gomment of Indu

requiring explanation. 16 December 1839, sures explanatory of the shave order

868'

BENGAL.

DETAILS OF BENGAL SLAVERY.

UNDER this division of our Report we shall first treat of the systems of slavery and bond-age prevailing in the territories which were subject to the presidency of Bengal prior to the year 1814, under the following heads :----

- Slaves rendering occasional Service, or temporarily separated from their Masters.
 Manumission. Prevalence of Slavery.
 Origin of Slavery.
 The Castes to which Hindoo Slaves usually Transfer of Slaves by gift.
 Transfer of Slaves by gift.
 Ditto - ditto by sale.
 Prices of Slaves.
 Mortgage of Slaves.
 Letting Slaves to hire.
 State states of the same state. belong. 4. Mussulman Slaves 5. Hundoo Masters of Mahomedan Slaves, and vice verså 6. The extent of the Master's dominion over his Slave. 19. Slaves adscripti glebse. 7. The modes in which Slaves are employed. 20. Marriages of Slaves, and ownership of their
- Coercion of Slaves.
 Food, Clothing and Lodging of Slaves.
 Treatment and general Condition of Slaves.
 Slave and Free Labour compared.

- Offepring.
- 21. Prostitution.
- 22. Conditional Slavery and Bondage.

In pursuing this course, we shall state under each head of the subject, first, the general propositions which may be collected from the information before us, and then the exceptions and varieties peculiar to particular districts or portions of districts; and in noticing these local peculiarities we shall adhere to the following geographical arrangement :---

Districts. Provinces.	DISTRICTS. PROVINCES.
1. Cuttack Orassa. 2. Midnepore Orassa. 3. Hooghly Orassa. 4. Burdwan Orassa. 5. Beerbhoom Orassa. 6. Moorshedabad Orassa. 7. 24 Pergunahs Orassa. 8. City of Calcutta Orassa. 9. Nuddes Orassa. 10. Jassore Orassa. 11. Backergunge Orassa. 12. Chritagong Orassa. 13. Tipperah Orassa. 14. Dacca Jelalpore Orassa. 15. Mymensingh Orassa. 16. Mymensingh Orassa. 17. Rajeshahy Orassore 18. Rungpore Orassore 19. N.E. Rungpore or Gowal- Orassore 19. N.E. Rungpore Orassore 19. N.E. Rungpore Orassore 19. Nureah Orassore 10. Dimagepore Orassore 11. Purneah Orassore	22. South Behar - 23. Bhaugulpore - 24. Behar - 25. Patra - 26. Shababad - 27. Sarun - 28. Turhoot - 29. Goruekpore - 29. Goruekpore - 29. Goruekpore - 29. Garuekpore - 30. Ghazeepore - 31. Azzoghur - 32. Juanpore - 34. Mirzapore - 35. Alidahabad - 36. Bundelcund - 37. Futtehpore - 38. Cawnpore - 39. Etawah - 41. Muttra - 42. Allyghur - 43. Furuckabad - 44. Barally - 45. Moradabad - 46. Saharunpore - 47. Meerut - 48. Dalh Territory - 48. Delhin Territory -

To the above details we shall subjoin an account of the practice of the courts relating to these two conditions in the same territories, and, having completed this portion of our work, we shall treat of the states of slavery and bondage in the remaining parts of the Bengal presidency in the following order :---

Saugur and Nerbudda Territories.	The Tenesserm Provinces.
Kumaon.	Prince of Wales' Island.
Assan.	Malacca.
Arracan.	Singapore.

^{*} The tract of country to which, for the sake of convenience, we have given this designation, formed, prior to 1834, portions of the districts of Midnapore, Jungle Mehalsand Ramphur, which two last districts have been abolahed. It is now moder the superminendence of a special officer, denominated "Agent to the Governor-General." See Reg. XIII. of 1833.

8

Of

Of the system of slavery prevailing in the territories which were subject to the Presidency of Bengal prior to the year 1814.

1.-Prevalence of Slavery.

It may be stated, generally, that slavery prevails, more or less, throughout the whole of these territories.

It prevails to a great extent in the northern and central divisions of Cuttack, particularly in the chukla of Bhudruck in the former, and in the chukla of Jehazpore methe latter dre sion. . In these two divisions of the district the proportion of the slave to the free population is supposed to be as 6 to 10; but this appears to be excessive, as a census taken in 1829-30, of the chukla of Bhudruck, gave 8,022 slaves only, in a population of 365,066. The accuracy of this census, however, is impugned by the present magistrate,* who estimates the population of the chukla at 225,458.

But whatever may be the exact proportion between the slave and free mhabitants, the number of the former must be considerable, as a wealthy zemindar will possess as many as 2,000 slaves; and it is stated that there are 200 or 250 landholders who have that number each. One of the witnesses examined is himself the owner of 50 slaves.

In the southern division of the district the slaves are few, though the low castes to which the slaves m northern and central Cuttack belong exist in equal numbers in this division. Here the proportion which those who have the stain of slavery bear to the free men is also supposed to be as 6 to 10; but of these one part only is in actual slavery, the other five bein

of the extent to which slavery prevails in those districts of the province of Bengal which lie to the south of the Ganges, we have little specific information.⁺ What does exist appears to be almost exclusively of the domestic kind, and to be confined to the houses of Mahomedans ; most of the respectable families of which persuasion, probably, have servants of this description. This is the case particularly among the Mahomedan Aymadars ‡ of Burdwan, who have, according to their curcumstances, from 1 to 20 slaves each, the generality of whom are the descendants of persons who were purchased in infancy in the famine of 1770, but others have continued from father to son for 200 or 300 years in the same families.

In the city of Calcutta, the majority of the Mahomedan, Portuguese, Armenian, Parsee

and Jew inhabitants possess slaves. In the districts of Bengal, lying beyond the Ganges, slavery prevails to a great extent. In Chittagong, all the Mahomedan families of respectability usually possess slaves. A Mahomedan landholder of this district, whom we examined, is the proprietor of 24 hereditary Mussulman slaves; yet we are informed that the Hindoo slaves are even more numerous than those of the Moslem faith.

In Troperah, the slaves are supposed to constitute a fourth of the population ; one family frequently possessing from 10 to 25 families of slaves ; and there being no family of respec-tability, either Hindoo or Mahomedan, that has not at least one family of slaves attached to it.

In Darca Jelalpore, most of the better classes of people own slaves. In Mymensingh, all the great zemindars have slaves in proportion to their wealth, whe are settled upon their estates. One landholder, a lady, whose agent we examined, possesses 1,400 slaves of this description. In many estates these slaves compose the greater part of the cultivators. Even persons who live upon small salaries, such as clerks and accountants, have generally five or six slaves.

The district of Sylhet is for the most part under ryotwarree settlement, and every meerassadar has one, two or three slaves in his family; the respectability both of Hindoos and Mahomedans being usually measured by the number of their slaves. The registered meerassadars amount to 125,000, but amongst them are many under-purchasers, who are of an inferior rank and station, and do not possess slaves. There are also meerassadars owning slaves who are not registered. No just estimate, therefore, can be made of the number of slaves from the number of petty landholders recorded in the public registers of the district, though some dea may be thence formed of the multitude of persons existing in that service condition.

We have examined two witnesses from this part of the country; one a Mussulman, the owner of two talooks, on one of which he has about 25 families of hereditary slaves, and on the other about 120; the other a Hindoo, whose father is the proprietor of a small talook, and owns about 75 families of slaves.

In 1813,5 the number of slaves in the district was estimated at about one-sixth of the whole population; they are now supposed to amount to nearly one-third,

In

Appendix II., No 146

202.

⁴ Appendix II., No 146 ⁴ One of the witnesses examined is a native of a village on the south-western border of the Beerlihoom district, but his evidence applies principally to the neighbouring part of South Behar, viz Pachete The provincial council of Burdwan in a report to the council of revenue, dated 1 August 1774, on the milder of alavery within their jurisdiction, say, "Slavery is very little the custom in this country". The districts under the superintendence of this council were, Jellasors, Midnarors, Bishenpore, Burdwan, Beer-bhoom, Pachete and Ramphur—Colebrooks's Supplement, p. 202. ⁴ Persons holding land from government at a low quit-rent. ⁹ Slavery in India, 1628, p. 244, 213

In Rajeshahy, most persons of respectability, both Hindoos and Mahomedans, have domestic slaves, which are here supposed to constitute two-suxteenths or three-sixteenths of the entire population.

In Rungpore and Gowalpara, among the domestics, both male and female, there are many slaves, especially towards Assam, and everywhere along the northern frontier. Among the Garrows, the slaves form about two-fifths of the whole population, and almost entirely belong to the chiefs, by whom they were formerly led to war. These slaves were not only distinguished for their obedience, but for their courage also, as freedom was a reward often bestowed on such as exhibited valour.

In Dinagepore, the number of slaves is very small. Some children were purchased dur-ing the famine of 1789-70, and the scarcity of 1788, in order rather to keep them from starving than with a view to profit.

In Purneah, the number of male adult slaves amounted, according to Dr. Buchanan, to 6,140; and as he considered that these might be nearly a fourth of the whole persons, young and old, in that condition, the total slave population would be 24,560. The entire population of the district he estimated at 2,904,380; the proportion per cent. of slave to free, therefore, would be .845.

In his account of the slaves he gives some curious examples of the different senses in which the same words are used to designate them in different parts of this district. "Com-mon domestic slaves," he says, " are not only called Golam and Launda, but in some parts they are called Nufur. While in others this term and Dhinggar are exclusively given to slaves employed in agriculture, in contradistinction to Khawas or Bahaiya, the name given to domestic male slaves, or Sudin, the name given to females. In other places, again, Khawas is given indifferently to slaves employed in agriculture or as domestics, and another dis-tinction of more importance arises. Those who belong to zemindars, and receive lands for a subsistence, are called Khawas, while those who belong to inferior persons, and are a subsistence, are called Khawas, while those who belong to inferior persons, and are allowed a house, food and raiment, are called Schana; but none of these terms are applied in different parts with any uniformity; the words are taken in one sense in one pergunah, and in a contrary or at least different sense in the next." In a preceding passage he had observed, that the terms Gulm or Laundi (slaves) were sometimes also applied to poor women who in the eastern parts of the district gave their services as domestics for merely food and rai-ment, though it was admitted that they had not been purchased, could not be sold, and might change their masters at pleasure. Some such persons were also employed in agricul-ture and some men gave their services on the same terms. Under the term Laundi is a he ture, and some men gave their services on the same terms. Under the term Laundi, also, he adds, are often comprehended the concubines of high Moslems.

In the districts composing the province of Behar slavery prevails to a great extent, and appears to have existed from time immemorial. Slaves are kept by almost all families of respectability, both Hindoo and Mahomedan, who can afford to do so, and even by such as are in a state of decay. To possess slaves is considered a mark of distinction, and an economical method of keeping up that display which consists in having a long train of followers

In South Behar, the rajah of Chota Nagpore has from 80 to 100 domestic slaves.

In Bhaugulpore, slaves of the male sex are called Nufars, and their women are called Laundis. Laundis. They are confined to the part of the district included in Soobah Behar. In general they belong to the owners of land, chiefly on free estates, or to wealthy Brahmins who rent lands. The zemindar of Colgong in this district owns 200 families of slaves, though the higher classes have not usually more than five or six slaves, and the generality have two or three only.

In the hill country, near Rajemehal, slavery is unknown.

In the Behar and Patna districts, the slaves, here also called Nufur and Laundi, are very

In Shahabad, they are not so numerous as in Behar, and here the Hindoo slaves are more commonly called Kamkar.

In Sarun, some of the great landholders have as many as 200 slaves, but most of these are settled on the lands of their masters, and render them only occasional service.

In Tirhoot, the rajah of Durbunga, who is the principal person in the district, is supposed to own several hundreds of slaves.

The following are the proportions which, according to the conjectures of the witnesses examined, the slaves bear to the whole population in the districts specified :--

Bhaugulpore	-		-	-	-	-	-	*
Behar, including	Patna,	person	ns havin	g the	taint	of sla	verv	18
		actual	slaves	-	~	•	-	÷.
Sarun and Tirhoo	t-	• -	-	-	-	-	10	a 18

One witness supposes 5 per cent. for every district (Bhaugulpore and Sarun excepted).

Dr. Buchanan, in his Statistical Tables, gives the following estimates of the able-bodied male slaves in the districts of Bhaugulpore, Behar (including the city of Patna jurisdiction), and Shahabad; and assuming, as his does in his account of Purneah, that these form nearly a fourth of the persons, young and old, in that condition, by quadruping the num-ber, we shall have a rough computation of the slave population of these districts. It should be

Dr. F. Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 496. Ditto, ditto, p. 692.

Ditto, vol. 2, p. 689, 913-14.

Ditto, vol. 3, p. 122-3, 688, and 11th Table of Appendix, MS.

Ditto, p. 121-8

Ditto, p. 120-1.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 98.

Asiatic Researches, vol. 4, p. 100. (A. D. 1790). Buchanan. Martin, numerous. val. 1, p. 195. Ditto, p. 479.

be observed, however, that Dr. Buchanan's surveys were made during the years 1807 to 1811, and that the limits of the several districts to which his researches extended have been since altered.

ð Districts.	Total Population.	Able-bodied Male Slaves.	Column 3, quadrupled for the whole Slave Population.	Proportion of Slave to Free Population, per Cent.
Bhaugulpore -	2,019,900	4,434	17,736	•877
Behar and Patna -	8,364,420.	82,820.	181,280	8.9
Shahabad -	1,419,520	5,885	21,840	1.5

In Goruckpore, province of Oude, slavery appears to be little known. Dr. Buchanan Buchanan. Martin, computed the number of adult male slaves at 412, which, multiplied by four, gives only vol. 9, p. 437, and 1,648 for the slave population. Of the slaves there were 250 families in one police drivision Appendix, p. 13, of the district (Parraona), bordering on Sarun, of whom four-fifths were employed in agrisculture. The remainder were entirely introduced from the province of Behar, having been received as presents on the marriages of some of the high-born chiefs of the district with the daughters of families residing in the former country ; and these slaves were employed only as domestics.

Of the extent to which slavery prevails in the districts within the provinces of Allahabad, Agra and Delhi, we have very little information. It appears to exist principally in the cities and towns, and to be almost exclusively of the domestic kind; but, from the concealment which envelops the economy of the families of the better classes of natives, it would be almost impossible to make any accurate estimate of the number of these domestic servants.

In Ghazeepore, slavery is chiefly confined to the towns.

In Juanpore, the slaves are supposed to be very few.

In Benares, most families of respectability possess them. In Allahabad, the system exists, but we are not informed to what extent.

In Bundelcund, Hindoo slavery is very limited, but Mussulman slaves are common.

In Futtehpore, the system is said to have very confined operation, in consequence of the general poverty of the inhabitants.

In Cawnpole, there are a few domestic slaves, who are to be found only in the families of Mahomedans.

In Etawah, 30 years ago, most families of the better class, both Hindoo and Mahomedan, had slaves; since then the practice has diminished, though many families of substance still possess domestic slaves, both male and female, those of the latter being probably the most numerous. Here the Mahomedan slaves are termed Ghulams, and the Hindoo slaves, Cheeras.

In Agra, slaves are employed to a very limited extent.

In Mutra, the number of female slaves, who belong exclusively to Mahomedans, is stated to be about 50 or 60; and the male slaves are said not to exceed 15 or 20.

In Allygurh, slavery is confined to the houses of the wealthy. In the districts of Bareilly and Moradabad slaves, both Hindoo and Mahomedan, were formerly numerous; they are now probably less so, though almost all families of respectability who can afford it, especially Mahomedans, and chiefly those residing in towns, still keep them. The slaves, however, are not supposed to be more than one-hundredth of the whole population.

In Saharunpore, the number of slaves is said to be very trifling.

In Saharunpore, the humber of slaves is said to be very trining. In the Deflu territory, the keeping of slaves is stated to be almost entrely confined to the city of Delhi, though in all the surrounding independent states, especially where the chiefs are Mahomedans, it is more common. In the Hurrianah division of this territory, where the population consists of three classes, viz. Jaats, Bhutteeas' and Rajpoots, the third class only possess a few slaves. In 1813, Sir C. Metcalle, then resident at Delhi, addressing government on the means of checking the traffic in slaves within this territory, observed, Slavery in India, "The natives of this country are undenably greatly addicted to the purchase of slaves, 1828, p. 104-especially of the female sex; some because slaves are kept at a less expense than other servants, others for the sake of the privacy of the apartments of their wives, others for the gratification of their own vicious propensities, others for the purpose of public prostitution. They will go to any expense and run any risk to procure slaves." They will go to any expense and run any risk to procure slaves.

2.—Origin of Slavery.

The slavery prevailing in the territories subject to the Bengal presidency may be traced to several sources.

1. The sale or gift of children by their parents or other natural guardians. . 2. The sale of children and adults by their mothers or maternal relations.

262. S. The B 2

3. The sale of waves by their husbands.

4. The self-sale of adults.

- 5. Marriage or cohabitation with a slave.
- 6. Kidnapping.
- 7. Importation.
- 8. Buth.

1.--The sale of children by their parents or other natural guardians.

Of all the modes by which free-horn persons pass into the state of slavery this is the Of all the modes by which free-horn persons pass into the state of slavery this is the most general and constant in its operation. These sales take place under the pressure of any necessity, either to obtain an advance of money, or to discharge a debt, or to preserve life. They are of course the most frequent in times of scarcity and famine, or other general calamity; such as happened in Bengal, Behar and Orissa, A.D. 1770, when nearly • one-fifth of the inhabitants are supposed to have perished by famine; in Bengal in 1784-5, when the same calamity prevaled, but in a much less degree, and in the partial scarcity of 1788, in the same rowince - such also as occurred in Curteck in 1700, and in the detrict 1788, in the same province; such also as occurred in Cuttack in 1790, and in the district of Agra in 1818-14, when half the mhabitants were supposed to have emigrated elsewhere in search of employment and food. To these may be added the famine in Bundelcund in 1833-34, and the inundations to the south of Calcutta in 1834, when children were commonly hawked about the streets of the city and in the neighbourhood, some of whom were sold by their parents, others by persons who repaired to the scene of the calamity for the purpose of purchasing or kidnapping them. Doubtless also the recent famine in Agra and the neighbournog districts had the usual effect of reducing many children to slavery. The prices for which children are thus disposed of vary according to circumstances and the necessities of the vendors. In times of general calamity they are almost nominal, the prin-cipal object looked to being the preservation and maintenance of the child. These sales are common both to the Hindoos and Mahomedans.

Dr. Buchanan mentions, that in Rungpore⁺ poor parents sell their male children with more reluctance than females, as being a greater resource for support in old age; and that in Shahabad they seldom sell them of either sex.

In Purneah, also, we are told such sales do not now take place.

In Dinagepore, parents reduced to distress during scarcity give their children to persons of rank, as slaves ; and in Pachete, in South Behar, a parent will sometimes give his child as a slave to a wealthy and powerful zemindar, with a view to the advantage of that position.‡

2.-The sale of children and adults by their mothers or maternal relations.

This is a practice peculiar to some of the districts in the province of Behar, and is confined to the two Hindoo castes of Kurmis (called also Juswar-Kurmi and Dhanuk) and Kuhars, of whom we shall presently make further mention. The provincial council, at Patna, in a letter addressed to the government, dated the 4th August 1774, stated generally, that the deed of sale in such cases must be signed by the mother or grandmother, and not by the father. Dr. Buchanan has not noticed this peculiarity. In South Behar, the right of sale, according to one witness, rests first in the mother, next

in the maternal grandmother, and then in the maternal uncle; but in case of a sale by the mother, the presence of the maternal grandmother, or, failing her, of the maternal uncle, is necessary to give it validity; but, according to another witness, these sales are made by the mother and maternal grandfather or grandmother. The consent of the father to the sale of his children is considered quite unimportant, and in the sale of an adult the bargain is concluded without any reference to his inclination. The mother may dispose of her daughter though she be married; on such accasions, if the husband is a freeman, he usually follows his wife, but if he is the slave of another master, separation ensues, and the husband provides himself with another partner.

In Bhaugulpore, the father signs the conveyance, but a sale by him alone while the mother is living would be invalid; her signature therefore is essential; and if the mother is dead, the consent of the maternal grandmother is equally necessarily, and the international is down cure her signature also. In a safe by the father and maternal grandmother the price would be divided according to any agreement they might make between themselves, but the price would be paid by the purchaser to the father. In the district of Behar, according to one witness, the right of sale rests in the maternal

grandmother, and if she is dead or permanently absent, it devolves to the maternal uncle, and no one would purchase a person of either of these two castes unless one of these mater-nal relations was present at the transaction and consenting. The mother, however, has a veto

Hamilton's Hindoostan,vol. 1,p. 44.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 408-72. Handlon's Hindoostan, vol. 1, p. 364.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 496-7; vol. 1, p. 480.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 5.

Appendix I, No. 34.

Ditto, No. 30,

Ditto, No. s.

[•] Mr Grant says three millions, or nearly one-third of the whole population. Appendix I. to Report of Select Committee of the House of Commons, 1832, p. 14. † The same anthonty, speaking of one of the aburginal tribes, called Panikoch, who inhabit the woods in the north-east parts of this district, says, "If a man is known to commit adultery, he is fined fixity ropest; if his family will not pay the enormous sum, he is sold as a slave." And geam, "The Panikoch never spply to the officers of government, but settle all their own disputes, and this is done by a council of the men alone, who submit only to their wires in the arrangement of their domestic concerns. If a main incurs a delt or fine heavier than he can pay, he becomes a slave or mortgages himself, unleas his wife chooses to redeem him."—I tappears to be the custom in one part of Bundelcand, not within the compary's territories, to pledge children as security for the repayment of a loar, in failure of which within the exclusion the resident of a loar, in failure of which within the dots.—Skavery in India, 1823, p. 213.

veto on the sale, but not the father, and the consent of the subject of the sale is immaterial. These sales are stated to take place in this district not only in times of calamity, but at all seasons.

Both in South Behar and the district of Behar, the sale of an adult Kurmi or Kuhar by his mother or maternal relation takes place occasionally when the subject of the sale is absent from home and cannot be found. A sale under such circumstances is called a "bunvickree," literally a forest sale, or sale in the woods, and the price is lower on account of the risk which the buyer runs of not getting possession of his purchase. It is curious that one of the witnesses examined, who is a native of Patna, and states him- Appendix 1,,

self to be conversant with the usages both of that jurisdiction and the district of Behan, denies No. 23. the right of the maternal grandmother and maternal uncle, as such, in these transactions, and asserts that the children of the Kurmi and Kuhar castes are sold only by the parents on persons in loco purentis m times of distress, and that no other persons have the power of so disposing of them. He is also unacquainted with the term "bun-vickree,"

The following account of the custom of the district of Behar on this subject, given by the judge of Patro who had previously held office for nearly six years in the former zillah, diff fers in a few passeculars from all the preceding :-

"The right of disposing by sale of infant offspring, male or female, rested exclusively with Appendix II., the mother, or, failing by sale of mant on philos, mant or himse of himse viewed excitatively with Append the mother, or, failing her, with the maternal grandmother. The father, or other relations No. 75. on his side, had no such right of disposal, nor is his consent even deemed indispensable to the validity of the sale. This custom applies mainly to two large castes, viz. the Kahars and a tribe of Koornees, who as a body are all counted as slaves, immemorially, though it many there are the area of the bar and there being evident the father of the sale. may happen that some few here and there, being accidentally free, do sell their own children. In all other cases the children are the property of the parents' master. By degrees the practice referred to seems to have become pretty general throughout Behar; i.e., whether the parents are reputed free or otherwise, no sale of children appears to be recognized as valid to which the mother or her mother has not in some way been made a party; and, even in cases of sale of slaves, the undoubted property of the person selling them, it is customary, in order to give greater validity to the sale, to procure the assent of the mother, or her attestation to the instrument of sale. It seems to be generally admitted that, to make the sale of a person born of free parents valid, such sale should have been made under circum-stances of distress, such as dearth, or the like, and that the party sold be an infant, or of immature age,"

This last passage probably represents rather the view taken by the court of these trans-Ations than the actual practice of the people amongst themselves. In Shahabad, sales of Kurmis and Kuhars, both adults and children, are made by the

mother and maternal grandfather or grandmother, and the consent of the father to the sale of his children is immaterial.

In Tirhoot, the sales of children are made by the parents.

s .- The sale of wives by their husbands.

Such sales are stated to take place in the district of Rajeshahy; the marriage is not thereby dissolved, and if the husband continues to have access to his wife, the offspring belong to the purchaser.

In the adjoining district of Rungpore, also, instances are not uncommon of Mahomedans and Hindoos selling there wives from motives either of enmity or gain. In the famine which visited the district of Agra in 1813-14, persons were glad to sel both Hamilton's Hin-

their women and children for a few rupees, and even for a single meal. doostan, vol. 1, 4 .- The self-sale of adults. р. 364.

These self-sales are made under like orcumstances with the sales of children, but it is a very much less frequent occurrence, and in some parts is apparently unknown. In Sylhet, during the Mogul government, persons used to sell themselves as slaves to Slavery in India,

satisfy demands of rent.

In Rajeshahy and Purnea, we are told, self-sales do not now take place. In South Behar and Shahabad, no one would purchase a person of the Kurmi or Kuhar aste from himself. In the Behar district persons of these two castes do sometimes sell themselves to their creditors.

In Rohilcund, the practice of self-sale is not known.

Before adverting to the other sources of slavery, we shall give the substance of the information we have received as to the forms of the instruments used on occasions of self-sale, and the sale of children and adults, and the rights acquired by the purchasers in these classes of contracts.

The forms used in these cases are either a regular deed of sale, or a deed purporting to let the services of the subject for a long period.

In Cuttack, formerly, the first-mentioned instrument was employed, but since a proclama-tion* issued in 1824 by the then commissioner, declaring the sale of slaves illegal, leases of 60, 70, 80 or 90 years are usually resorted to m cases of self-sale, the lessor engaging to con-tinue in servitude if the sum advanced be not repaid, with all expenses incurred by the lessee, at the expiration of the period. In Sylhet, the instrument used in cases of self-sale is a lease, there called a khoodajiree

pottah, or deed of self-sale.

ľn

262.

 See further respecting this proclamation, under head "Transfer by Sale." B3

1828, p. 246.

In Rungpore, the sales of children used to be registered.

In Dinagepore, children are purchased without any writing being executed on the occasion.

In the province of Behar, the absolute deed of sale, called purrumbhuttaruck and kibaleh, the former being the Hindoo, the latter the Mahomedan, form of conveyance, kibaleh, the former being the Hindoo, the latter the Mahomedan, form of conveyance, were formerly in general use; but the instrument now most commonly adopted is a lease, garehnamah, or assignment of person and services on contract of hire for the like periods as in Cuttack. Various circumstances have given rise to this change. The purchase of a freeman being illegal according to the Mahomedan law, the Moslems have adopted this course to save their consciences, or escape the effects which the operation of the law might otherwise have on the contract; and the Mahomedan forms of contract and conveyance have been generally adopted throughout the province of Behar, even in transactions in which both parties are of the Hindos persuasion. A general impression also exists in the province, that the sale of slaves is prohibited, which is said to have arisen partly from the provinces of Regulation X. 1811, and partly from some recent decisions of the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, and the form of lease is resorted to for the purpose of evading the supposed prohibition.

In Tirhoot, the period of 60 years is usually adopted in such leases, and this is stated to have originated in a misapprehension of the rule contained in clause 3, section 3, Regulation II. 1805, fixing that period as the extreme limit of time for the cognizance of civil suits.

Poverty and inability to provide for themselves or their children is, in this province, the usual reason assigned in the deed for these sales; and sometimes in cases of sales of children, a stipulation is inserted, that if the seller should ever reclaim the child, he shall refund the price, and reimburse to the lessee or purchaser whatever has been expended in the support of the child; and if the child is sold to a procuress (which kind of transaction we shall notice at large hereafter), it is stipulated that the vendor shall further pay the expenses incurred in educating the young prostitute.

In Patna, the cauzies (public notaries) do not consider themselves at liberty to authenticate instruments for the conveyance of property in slaves. This has most probably arisen from the promulgation of the prohibitory regulations of 1774, hereafter noticed.

Appendix, II., No. 81.

The judge of Tirhoot has transmitted copies of three leases of the kind under consideration, one of which was drawn up and authenticated by a cauzy. In the office of the register of deeds, at the head station of this district, there is one register, entitled the "Register of ljarehnamahs," or leases set apart for these contracts.*

In Benares and its neighbourhood, there is most commonly no written document, either in cases of self-sale or sale of children.

But whichever of the forms mentioned is employed in recording these contracts, the intentions of the contracting parties, and the practical effects of the engagements, appear to be everywhere the same. The subject of the sale or lease, and the future offspring, generation after generation, are conveyed in full property to the vendee or lessee, except that it may occasionally happen that there is a stipulation for redemption.⁺ But in cases that it may occasionally happen that there is a stipulation for redemption.⁴⁷ But in cases of self-sale, if the vendor has children living at the time of sale, they must be specified in the instrument if intended to be conveyed by it. In cases of self-sale the price paid is the absolute property of the slave, and descends to his heirs, which is likewise the condition of any property he may have been possessed of previously to the sale. In this predicament, also, will be the children of a Kurmi or Kubar female, when she herself may be sold into slavery by her mother in those parts of the Behar province in which such a sale is sanctioned by local usage.

To the general information above set forth, we have pointed exceptions in the evidence of two of the witnesses, both of the Mahomedan persuasion, regarding the effect of the sales Appendix I, No. 4. of free persons into slavery as respects their future offspring. One, speaking of these sales in the form of leases, in Behar and Patna, says, that the offspring of a person thus sold is free, and states a case in point which occurred in his own family. He further says, that he never knew a contract of the sort in which any mention was made of future offspring, though he has known cases in which men have sold both themselves and their exist-ing offspring by the same deed. The other witness asserts, that in Rohilcund the children of persons sold into slavery, during infancy, by their parents, are free, and the owners of their parents have no right of dominion over them. According to him, also, in that part of the, country the slavery of the parent in no case entails slavery on the children.

We

Ditto, No. 14.

[•] This registration, on a reference from the officiating commissioner of the division, was ruled by the Sudder Dewnny Adawlat to be illegal. See Construction, No. 812, of 2d vol of Constructions. + But in the report of the naib of Beerbheom to the provincial council at Burdwan, in July 1774, on the subject of silvery in this district, which is given at length in a subsequent part of this report, we find it stated, that " the law does not permit the absolute purchase of slaves, but, their father and mother being willing, they may give a written contract to serve a man for the term of 0 or 60 years, in consideration of a sum of nonsey. If the master is in dobt, and has no other means of paying, he may make over the service of the slave to the credulor till the term limited in the contract is expired, he being considered as part of the effects belonging to the house. After the expiration of the trans interiment in the an effect in the contract, is so at his option either to leave his master or stay with him. Force must not be used to detam lum."

We now proceed to notice the remaining sources of slavery.

5.- Marriage or cohabitation with a slave.

In Tipperah, sometimes the consideration for which a freeman gives himself up to slavery is marriage with a slave girl, whom the master will not permit him to marry on other terms

In Rajeshahy, a free female on marrying with a slave descends to his condition.

In Purneah, a free man by marrying a slave girl is personally degraded to slavery, but cannot be sold; and the same, according to Dr. Buchanan,* is the case in some parts of Bhaugulpore.

In Pachete, in South Behar, if a free woman marries a slave she follows his condition.

In Tirhoot, according to one witness, if a free person of either sex marries a slave without No. 3. Stipulation for freedom, such person becomes a slave; but, according to another witness, he No. 17. or she continues free.

One witness states, that in all the territories west of Benares, if a free man marries a No. 28. slave he becomes the slave of his wife's owner for so long as he cohabits with her, but he may put an end to his servitude at any time by relinquishing his wife. But if a free woman

may be all the to instant white at any size by reinquising instants. Due to the two white it is a new white a size of her husband's master. In Rohlcund, however, this does not appear to be the usage: there, we are told, a free Witness, No. 14. person is not subjected to slavery by marriage with a slave, though the free husband or wife in such cases resides in the house of the owner of the slave spouse, and serves him for maintenance.

According to all the other information we have on this point, the marriage of a free person with a slave does not affect the liberty of the former.

One witness, speaking of the customs in Behar and Patna, says, that if a free Kurmi or No. 23. Kuhar has illicit intercourse with a female slave, her master seizes him and reduces him to slavery.

6.-Kidnapping.

The practice of kidnapping within the British territories is not, as far as we are informed, a means generally resorted to for the supply of domestic slaves, and, being a criminal offence, it is reasonable to suppose that it has only a limited operation. But it certainly exists in some parts, and wherever it does occur, the victims are generally female children, who are entrapped and sold, sometimes to Mahomedans for concubines or servants in their zenanas, but principally to procuresses to supply the demands of their profession. Thirty-five + cases of child-stealing, for the purpose of selling into slavery, were brought to

the notice of the police in the lower provinces during the years 1835, 6, 7 and 8.

In Cuttack, the children sold to prostitutes are sometimes obtained by kidnapping.

A case occurred in Midnapore, in 1793, of some children being kidnapped for the Slavery in India, purpose of being sold as slaves, in which two prisoners were sentenced by the Nizamut 1828, p. 55-59. Adawlut.

In the neighbourhood of Calcutta, not only are female children kidnapped, but grown up and married women are inveigled from their families, and sold in the city to replenish the brothels, as will be further mentioned under the head of " Prostitution."

In the city and district of Dacca, in 1816, the persons sold in slavery were generally young Slavery in Iudia, female children or grown-up girls, decoyed away from their parents or other relations in 1823, p. 248, the country, under pretext of marriage or other pretence, and disposed of either to public women, or to rich individuals as servants for their zenanas. This description of offence was women, or to rich individuals as servants for their zenanas. This description of offence was believed by the magistrate of the city to be very frequent, though few cases of the kind were brought officially to notice. We find the magistrate of Dacca Jelalpore, in 1829, complain-ing of the practice being carried on by prostitutes, and stating also that child-stealing for the same purpose was prevalent, the prostitutes being the purchasers of the parties kid-napped. In the Slavery in India Papers, 1828 (p. 48-60), will be found some proceedings which took place in 1792, respecting a girl kidnapped near Dacca, and who, being sold to a bawd, was conveyed to Serampore; also, a case of kidnapping a female child for sale, which occurred in this district in 1817 (p. 418, 19). And on inspection of the Criminal Justice Reports for 1836, 7 and 8, we find, that during that period 45 cases of "entiong away women," occurred in this zillah, and 88 of " abduction of females" in the adjoining zillah of Fureedpore, since abolashed. Fureedpore, since abolished.

In Sylhet, also, in 1816, a system of kidnapping and inveigling fice children prevailed with a view to their sale within the district, or for exportation, id sale in other districts; and the judge of the zillah expresses his belief that the practice is still carried on to some extent, and with a considerable profit to those concerned in it. The Criminal Justice Returns of this district for 1835, 6, 7 and 8, exhibit a total of 242 cases " of enticing away females and children.

In the province of Behar, female children are sometimes kidnapped in order to their being disposed of to prostitutes; but it is in the north-western provinces that the crime chiefly prevails.

Two cases of kidnapping female children are among the reported cases of the Nizamut Adamlut,

Adawlut Reports, vol. 2. - p. 308, 447.

[•] See head, "Marriages of Slaves." + Cattaok, 6; Moorshedahad, 1; 24.Pergunnahs, 4; Nuddea, 1; Chittugong, 1; Tipperah, 1; Dacca Jalalpore, 1; Sylket, 4; South Behar, 2; Behar, 2; Pains, 6; Sarun, 5; Tuhout, 1; Total, 36.

16 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 330.

Ditto, p. 330.

Ditto, p. 28.

Nizamut Adawlut Reports, vol. 2, p. 06.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 1, 2, 37-43

Ditto, p. 69.

Appendix II., No. 139.

Ditto, No. 26.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 72-4.

Buchanan. Martin, val, 2, p. 100.

Slavery in India 1828, p. 377-381. Ditto, 1838, p. 27, 28, 307-310.

Adawlut for 1824 and 1826, for the district of Muzapore, in the former of which the stolen Adawnit for 1524 and 1526 in the close of a strapper in the former of which the score child was disposed of to a woman in the city of Benares for 16 rupees. Four out of 21 criminal trials held in this zillah in 1827-8, were for child-stealing, and other cases of the kind were at the same time under investigation before the magnitude. It appears from the Incuit Judge's Report on these trails, that this description of crime was of frequent occur-rence in the town and district; the children stolen, if girls, being sold to prostitutes, and if boys, becoming slaves in a distant part of the country.

The magistrate of Banda (south division Bundelcund), in a letter to the commissioner of circuit, dated the 6th May 1834, respecting two cases of 24 children purchased or otherbrought to his notice within the previous four months, and that the crime had latterly increased to an extent he had never before witnessed.

Twenty-nine cases of child-stealing were reported in the Bareilly division in 1828, 15 of which occurred in the Agra district: 13 took place in the same zillab in the first six months of 1830, being three in excess of those entered in the statements for the first six months of 1820, on which the government of Bengal, in their despatch of 18th December 1832, observe, "The offence of kidnapping of children has been one peculiarly prevalent in the statement of the shift of the statement of the shift of the shi Agra district, from the facilities which existed of disposing of the children by sale in the adjoining native states; but the increase above mentioned appears to be rather attributable to the greater attention paid lately, by the magistrates in charge, to the detection of such offences than to any real increase in the number of them committed."

In 1821 six female prisoners and one male were convicted by the court of Nrasmut Adawlut of kidnapping female children in the city of Furruckabad. In this case it appeared that the prisoners had for some time made a trade of stealing and selling female children.

Of three female slaves who in 1828 escaped from the palace of Delhi, and were eventually liberated by order of government, two were Hindoo women who had been kidnapped by Brinjaras in 1823 and 1825 from the district of Muttra, and one a Moslem female who had been decoyed from Cawnpore in 1823. All three were purchased by one of the sons of the king of Delhi, one of the Hindoo females for 115 rupees, and the Mussulman female for 100 rupees. The resident at Delhi, in reporting the circumstances of this case to the government, stated, that the palace was thronged with women of this description,

Lichapped by persons employed for the purpose, and bought from those persons. The appalling system, called megpunnaism, which has recently been brought to light in the western provinces, of murdering indigent parents for the sake of obtaining their children, will be noticed hereafter.

In August 1834, the commissioner of Delhi reported, that the nawab of Buhadur Gurh, (15 miles west of Delhi) forming part of the Bahrautch Jagheer, had purchased three gurls and two boys through an unbabitant of oue of his own villages, and two gurls from four reputed Thugs of Jhujjur; at the same time he expressed his fears that the boys and girls had been bedreamed and and unchanged and the same time here an had been kidnapped, and very possibly their parents murdered. The officiating magistrate of Panjut, speaking of the slaves in the city of Delhi, says, "In some cases of Thuggee which I have seen, the murders were perpetrated merely for the children, some of whom were sold in the city the same day."

-Importation.

And, First—Of importation by sea. Formerly there used to be a very considerable importation into Calcutta of Hubshee or Abyssinian slaves by Arab merchants from the Red Ses; according to one of our informants, from 10 to 30 by nearly every ship. These slaves consisted of adults and children of both sexes, but the females were the most numerous; of the males many were enanchs. They sexes, but the lemmass were the most numerous; of the mates many were endiceds. They were generally bought on the east coast of Africa from their parents, or from slave-owners, and they arrived here as Mussulmans.⁴ Some were purchased by residents of Calcutta, but the greater part were carried up to Lucknow and other places in the interior. All were disposed of to Mahomedans, and by them employed as domestic servants.

Five Armenian lads, who had been made captive by the Mahomedans on the devastation of Teflis and sold as slaves, were brought to Calcutta, in 1795, to be disposed of to the nawab of Lucknow.

Dr. Buchanan in his account of Bhaugulpore mentions having seen two Abyssinian boys in the train of a person of rank, who had commissioned them from Calcutta, on account of the character for fidehty which this nation holds throughout the East.

In March 1824, the attention of the magistrates of Calcutta having been drawn to the subject, an extract from the statute 51 Geo. 3, c. 23, with a translation in the Persian and Arabic languages, was circulated by the order of government to all the Arab merchants and

[•] Mr. H. Calebrooke, in his minute written in 1812, says on this subject : "The importation by sea consisted of a very few African slaves brought by Arab ships to the port of Calentia. Having been led to make some inquiries into this traffic pervous to its abolition, I had reason to be satisfied that the whole number of slaves imported was very inconsiderable, not exceeding annually 100 of both sexes; I found cause at the same tame to be coavinced that the means by which slaves are produced on the eastern coast of Africa for this Arab eastern be while and ucfarious than those practised on the west coast of Africa, consisting for the norm part of the slaves either and ucfarious than those practised on the west coast of Africa, consisting for the norm part of the forbile sexure of the slaves; either in predatory war undertaken for the purpose, or by open robbery, often attended with the murder of the parents."—Slavery m India, 1638, p 312

and other persons connected with Arab shipping resident in Calcutta, and they were desired to make known the purport of it to their correspondents in the Red Sea. Persian Gulf and other places. In consequence of this measure, and the vigilance of the magistrates, the, traffic from that time began to decrease, and the importation was thenceforward limited to a few slaves, brought chiefly by the officers of ships from Judda and Muscat. The prices at which these unfortunate beings were disposed of rose accordingly. Formerly they were sold in Calcutta, male and female, for from 50 to 100 rupees each; after the adoption of the above measure, a Hubshee eunuch would fetch in Calcutta 200 rupees, a Hubshee, not, an eunuch, 150 rupees, a Mumbazi slave, who is never emasculated, 100 rupees. According Appendix II, to one account, a negro slave could not be procured in Calcutta in 1836 for less than from No. 26. 200 to 400 rupees. At Lucknow, the price of a Hubshee eunuch would rise as high as 1,000 rupees, but a Hubshee, not an eunuch, would not fetch above 300 rupees.

This interruption of the slave trade did not occasion any demonstration of discontent among the former purchasers, and two circumstances have since occurred which have tended among the former purchasers, and two circumstances have since occurred winner have indeed still further to diminish the supply formerly received, if not altogether to put an end to it. One of these circumstances was the issue of orders by the governments of Judda and Muscat, that no slaves should be brought round to Calcutta on ships belonging to those states, which orders are stated to have been promulgated on the information reaching those guaranteets that the uncertainty had hear methods for a comparison of the state in the governments that the importation had been prohibited by this government. The other is the increased vigilance of the officers of the custom-house, since the re-organization of that establishment, consequent on the Act, No. 14, of 1836, passed by the government of India, under the authority of which an officer is sent on board every ship entering the port at Kedgeree or Diamond Harbour, and remains on board until the vessel leaves the river. Pre-viously, in 1834, at the suggestion of the chief magistrate of Calcutta, the pilots of inward-1828 p. 20-2 bound vessels from the Persian Gulf, or other parts from which the importation of slaves might be apprehended, were directed to take diligent notice of, and to report to the policeoffice, every case where they had reason to believe that any such individuals had been imported, or were still in the ships.

Lucknow, however, has also been supplied with African slaves from another quarter. Ditto, p. 6, and It was ascertained by the British resident, in April 1833, that 18 persons of this descrip- the papers noted tion (10 women and 8 men) had been then sold to the king and Padshah Begum, by four in the margin of Mogul merchants, who had brought them in an Arab ship from Mocha to Bombay, and, the extract. after disembarking them at a port a few days, sail to the northward, accompanied by three or four servants, conveyed them in covered hackeries, via Jyepore, Ajmere and Agra, to Lucknow; shortly afterwards, another party of Mogul merchants and their servants were stopped within a few miles of the city with 16 Africans (12 females, some of them young children, and 4 boys) whom they had brought from Mocha to Bombay, and thence via Bhaonuggur, Ajmere, Agra and Furruckabad.

Secondly-Importation by land; under which title we include, for the purpose of this report, not only the introduction of slaves from foreign states into the British territories, and from one distant portion of those territories into another, but likewise importation from one neighbouring district into another.

Forty-two * cases of sale or purchase of slaves in contravention of Regulation X, 1911, and III, 1832, were brought to the notice of the police in the lower provinces during the years 1835, 6, 7 and 8.

Speaking generally, importation does not take place either into Cuttack + or into those districts of the province of Bengal which lie to the south of the Ganges,

Sir W. Jones in his charge to the grand jury in June 1785, spoke of large boats filled Slavery in India, with children, mostly stolen from their parents, or bought perhaps for a measure of rice in 1828, p. 10. a time of scarcity, coming down the river for open sale in Calcutta, and stated that there as this of scatchy, coming down the new hor open sale in Cancula and state that the was hardly a man or woman in the town who had not at least one slave child. This whole-sale supply has no doubt ceased long since, but though the Hindoo families of Calcutta are now served by free people, who either receive wages or merely food, clothing and lodging, the majority of the Mahomedan, Parsee, Portuguese, Armenian and Jew inhabitants possess the high right of the manufacture, it also a to unguese, an incluant and year interactions processes slaves. Here, as in other parts of the country, a great accession is of course made to the number of slaves on the occurrence of any general calamity in the neighbourhood, such as the inundation of 1834, during which, as has been already stated, children were commonly hawked about the streets of the city for sale; but, in ordinary times, the supply is kept up by dealers who go from Calcutta into Sylhet, Dacca, and Mymensungh, and there purchase hindoo and Mahomedan children of hoth sevae whom they call to the Musaliman inhabi-Hindoo and Mahomedan children of both sexes, whom they sell to the Mussulman inhabi-tauts of the city as domestic slaves. It is probable, however, that the slaves thus annually imported are not many.

In 1816, people of the Tipperah district used	to rep	air to	the d	listricts of	Svlhet.
Unittagong, and Backergung, to purchase slaves;	and	slaves	were	also occ	asionally
Chittagong, and Backergung, to purchase slaves; imported into Tipperah for sale from those districts.	•				asionality

Cuttack, 2; Hooghly, 1; Beerbhoom, 2; Moorshedabad, 4; 24-Pergunnaha, 5; Chittagong, 3; Tap-porala, 2; Sylhet, 7; Rajeshahy, 2; Dunagepore, 3; Purneah, 2; Bhaugulpore, 1; Behar, 1; Patna, 7, 1 A few children are occasionally purchased in Cuttack and taken to the pagodas at Ganjam and Berham-pore by the dancing girls attached to those pagodas.—Slavery in India, 1838, p 397.

17-

Witness, No. 13.

1838, p. 22-3, 219-20.

Ditto, p. 246.

Dacca

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 10-48.

Gladwin's Ayeen Akbary, vol. 2, p. 13.-Slavery in India, 1828, p. 241-248.

Asistic Joursal, June 1839, p. 449, 452, 470.

Witness, No. 7.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 496.

Ditto, p. 693.

Ditto, p. 681. Hamilton's Hindoostan, vol. 2, p. 742, 744. Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 496. Slavery 10 India, 1828, p. 376-7.

Appendix II., No. 26. Dacca and its neighbourhood furnished the greatest number of the children whom the low Portagaese of Dacca, Calcutta, Chinsurah and other foreign settlements, and several seafaring people of various European nations, used to purchase and collect clandestimely, and export by sea from Calcutta for sale in the French islands and other parts of India in 1785-90. We are not aware that there is now any exportation by sea of persons intended to be dealt with as slaves.

The district of Sylhet has been long noted for its dealings in slaves. Abul Fazel notices it as furnishing many cunuch slaves for the seriglios. A slave traffic had long subsisted there to a considerable extent, but the number of slaves annually exported was, in 1813, believed to be much less than previously. The practice of kidnapping or inverging away free persons for sale as slaves was still very prevalent in 1816, but it was then believed to be on the decrease. The parties concerned in this nefatious practice were generally fakeers or wandering bazeegura, and the persons kidnapped were mostly gills of various ages; persons of that sex being more in request for domestic purposes than males, and their price was proportionally higher. These females were carried to Dacca, Calcutta, Moorshedabad, Patna and other opulent cities, where a rapid and profitable sale was always obtained. Many also were sold for prostitution in Dacca and other places. Nor were these arts practiced only against free persons; slaves also were decoyed away from their owners by means of pecuniary rewards, or the hopes of better service, or otherwise fraudulently obtained, and sold at such distant places as to preclude their discovery or return. No less than 150 prosecutions on these charges were instituted before the magistrate in the year 1812. Even at the present day there is some exportation of slaves from this into the adjoining distincts, particularly in times of scarcity; and the practice of seducing slaves, principally women and children, from their owners, and disposing of them in the adjoining silands, also still prevails. The place to which they are principally carried is the pergunah of Bickrampore, near Dacca, which is mabited by respectable Hindoos, Brahmane and Kayets, among whom there is a great demand for such slaves.

The child-stealers of Sylhet did not confine their operations to the district itself, but extended them to the adjoining territories of Kachar and Jyntiah. Of the children so kiduapped some were disposed of to wealthy natives in the district, and some were carried for sale to other places. An extensive trade in slaves is at this time carried on in the Cachar Hills. The victims of this practice, many of whom are Muniporeans, are stolen induscriminately by all in that quarter, and some are sold to the merchants of Bengal who go up for cotton. A slave can be procured for 20 packets of salt, series of which are to be had for one rupee.

During the late Burmese war, a great many of the inhabitants of Munipore took refuge in Sylhet, and there, constrained by distress, sold their children into slavery. People now sometimes go from Sylhet to purchase slaves in Assam.

Slaves were formerly imported into Rajeshahy, from Mymensingh and Rungpore, by tinerant dealers, who exposed the slaves to public sale in the markets of the district, or sold them by private contract. These sales used to be frequent, but 20 years ago, in consequence of a boy of 10 years old having been purchased in Rungpore, and sacrificed to the goddess Kali, a proclamation * was issued by order of the Nizamut Adawlut, prohibiting the sale of slaves in the markets. That traffic, therefore, ceased, and now, when a person in Rajeshahy wishes to buy slaves, he must either go, or send, or write to those districts, where self-sold slaves are procurable, but with some difficulty. The people of Rajeshahy supposed that the prohibition extended to all sales, and though private sales still take place, it is no longer the custom to register them, as it was previously to the proclamation, in the office of the zillah register or pergunah causy.

Dr. Buchanan observed, that in the civilized parts of Rungpore the slaves did not appear to be en the increase, and that the importation did not seem to do more than keep up the number, although the master always procured a wife for his slave. A great part of the slaves in the Garrow Hills, he states, were brought from Assam.

We learn from the same authority, that about 100 slaves of pure caste were annually imported from Assame into Bengal, and there sold: they were mostly children, and the girls were chiefly purchased by professional prostitutes. In 1809 the value of the slaves thus imported amounted to 2,000 rapees. "In propelle of (Cooch) Behar," Dr. Buchanan remarks, " are willing to carry on the same trade."

In 1823 the commissioner of north-east Rungpore reported to government the case of 20 young children of both series who had been kidnapped in Assau by five robbers (Burmese or Assauese subjects) and brought into the British territory for sale.

One of the public officers says, that he has good reason to believe that the inhabitants of the chain of mountains bounding the northern and north-eastern parts of Bengal are in the habit of clandestinely importing slaves for sale into the adjoining districts of Bengal, particularly young boys and girls. *

In the province of Behar, children are occasionally brought from other districts and purchased by Mahomedans, but the Hindoos are averse to purchasing for domestic purposes persons of whose caste and previous condition they know nothing.

Dr.

· We have not been able to trace this proclamation.

۰.

Excepting in respect of Rohilcund and the Delhi territory, we have no information as to the extent to which the supply of slaves in the western provinces depends upon importation. We notice, however, the following cases as bearing on the subject:

In 1823, the magistrate of Busdelcund applied to government for authority to commit Slavery in India, for trial two natives of the district for kidnapping children in the Rewah territory.

In May 1834, the magistrate of the southern division of Bundelcund reported to the commissioner of circuit the detention by the police of his district of 11 female children, who had been purchased or procured in the neighbourng foreign tensitory by a Mussulman prostitute of Allahabad, and despatched in barghnes to her home. Two other persons of Allahabad (Mussulmen) also claimed them as having been procured by the prostitute on Alianabad (Mussumen) also claimed them as having been provide by the prosidue on their account. He further reported that about the same time a covered hackery was stopped at the Sudder station, containing 18 children of both sexes " crowded together at the bat-tom of it, with hardly breathing room," one or two of them in a very weakly state, and who would not, the magistrate thought, have survived their journey into the Allahabad dis-trict, whither they were a progress. The partness concerned in these cases alleged that the children had been received gratuitously from their parents or other lawful guardians.

In June 1813, the magistrate of Cawnpore reported to the government, that a prostitute Ditto, p. 211-12. of the town had purchased at Jhansi, in a scarcity, a Jaat and a Rajpoot gul for 52 and 59 rupees respectively, and brought them into Cawmore. The same magnetizate in the fol-lowing month reported another case, an which 14 men of the Jyepore state had been appre-hended by his police officers with 59 stares, women, and girls and boys of different ages and castes, from four to twenty years old, natives of the Marwar territory, whom they had brought to Cawnpore for sale.

In 1821 the acting magistrate of Allyghur applied to government for authority to com- Ditto, p. 371. mit for trial two mhabitants of his district for kidnapping a girl four years old from the Bhurtpore territory.

On the conquest of Kumaon and Gurhwal, and the hill country between the Jumma and Hamilton's Hur-Sutlege, by the Goorkhas, the land revenue was so arbitrarily assessed by the conquerers doustan, vol. 1, that balances soon ensued, to liquidate which the families as well as the effects of the p. 433, 452; vol. 2, defaulters were seized and sold. A ready market for these unfortunate beings was found p. 629, 630, 635. defaulters were seized and sold. A ready market for these unfortunate beings was found p. 539, 539, 535, in Rohilcund (including the Rampore Jaghire), the district of Saharunpore and at Luck. Asiatic Researches, now, and many hundreds of both sexes, from three to shirity years of age, were annually vol. 16, p. 189. imported, the traffic being carried on by a class of persons, some subjects of the Goorkha and some of the British governments, who from their profession were designated "burdeh-furosh" or slave-sellers. Many persons also, children and adults, were purchased by these dealers from their parents and relations, and sold into slavery. One of the public Appendix II., officers states, that in 1909 or 1810 he saw at Hurdwar a large number of children of both No. 26. sexes brought down from the adjacent mountains for sale at the fair; and the magistrate of Slavery in India," Bareilly, in 1813, considered that place to be the market at which a greater number of slaves, heads, p. 146. Bareilly, in 1813, considered that place to be the market at which a greater number of slaves 1828, p. 146. had been sold than almost any other part of the British territories.

In 1811, the attention of Mr. T. Brooke, the Governor-general's agent in the ceded and Ditto, p. 111-119. conquered provinces, having been directed to this traffic by an application from the Conquered provinces, naving been interfere to this traine by an application from the Goorkha local governors to co-operate with them for the suppression of it, that-officer "issued mstructions to the magistrates of Bareily, Moradabad, Saharunpore and Meerut, to take measures to put a stop to it, and the fact of 66 children having been immediately taken possession of in consequence of those orders by the police of the Bareily and Mora-dabad understandard to the suddress of the action to make the trade are a new of the All dabad jurisdictions, furnishes evidence of the extent to which the trade was carried on. All the above children had been purchased at Nudjeebabad and Auggunah, which were the established marts where these victums of oppression were collected in hundleds.

The circumstances thus brought to light led to the enactment of Regulation X. 1811; Ditto, p. and the government, in a despatch to the honourable Court of Directors, dated the 30th and the government, in a despatch to the honourable Court of Jbrectors, dated the 30th January 1813, observed, that the statements of the local officers afforded every reason to believe that the new law had been "fully effectual in the Barelly division in preventing the importation of slaves by land from foreign countries." We fear, however, that such was Ditto, p. 342. not the case. We see traces of the same traffic in the report of the second judge of the Barelly court of circuit, dated 9th September 1815, in which Cassepore and Rederpore are mentioned as "the markets for slaves imported from the hills;" and one of the writnesses No. 44-examined, who is a native of the Rampore Jaghire, though for the last 30 years readent in Calcutta, states that the trade is still carned on by the Burdeh furoshes, but clandestinely, and only to a very small extent. and only to a very small extent.

In April 1837, 17 female children, mostly from eight to ten years old, but two or three Slavery in India, of a still more tender age, were discovered by the joint magistrate of Kasheepore (district of 1838, p. 367. Moradabad) secreted in the houses of two prostitutes of the town; and it appeared from the evidence of these children that their parents, inhabitants of the hills of Kumaan, had sold them to the parties in whose houses they were found; a proof that importation, at least for the purpose of a supply of prostitutes, still goes on.

An extensive traffic in slaves was also formerly carried on between Rajpootana and the Delhi territories, and through them to other, adjacent countries. In 1808 measures were taken by Mr. Seton, the then resident at Delhi, and rajah Zalim Sing of Kotah, to check Ditto, 1828, the p. 98, 99. 262. C 2

1828, p. 376.

the practice of kidnapping children in the country of the latter, and selling them in the Delhi territories. The sale of children in the assigned[®] territory was prohibited, unless the right of the seller was clearly established, and the slave merchants were ordered by the rajah to quit the Kotah territories.

In 1813, Sir C. Metcalfe, then resident at Delhi, in writing to government on the subject of a proclamation for the suppression of the slave trade, meutions that a few days previously a native of Peshawar, a professed dealer in slaves, had brought to Delhi a number of children from Rajpootana, whom he was carrying to his own country for sale; and that instances had occurred of persons passing from Rajpootana, through the Delhi territory, with children, to Rampore, in the Rohills Jaghne, where, he observed, "the importation and sale of slaves continues unrestricted." In the despatch to the Court of Directors, above quoted, the government concludes, from a report of the resident at Delhi, that Regulaton X. of 1911 had the same beneficial effect in the Delhi territory as in the Bareilly division. The officiating magistrate of Paniput, however, speaking of the present state of slavery in the city of Delhi, says that it consists chiefly of females who are stolen or purchased in Rajpootana, and brought to Delhi for prostututon.

For an account of the dreadful extent to which slavery, particularly of females, prevails in Central India, and the various modes by which the supply is kept up, reference may be made to the late Sir J. Malcolm's work on Central India.—(Vol. 2., p. 199-204, edition 1823.)

From the volume of papers on East India slavery, printed by order of the House of Commons In 1838 (p. 4-8 and 65-69), it will be seen that measures were adopted by the Indian governments in 1832-3 for the suppression of the slave traffic in the native sates subject to their influence. We find from the correspondence there recorded, that a traffic, carried on by regular slave merchants at Kotah and Boondee, by the import of slaves, chiefly females, from other parts of the country, was an avowed and considerable source of revenue. A large proportion of the persons thus consigned to foreign slavery were supposed to be kidnapped, that nefarious practice prevailing throughout Rajpootana, Malwa and Goozent to the sea. It appears also that slave-markets were established in the camp at Gwallor, and many of the persons there disposed of were decoyed or kidnapped from the neighbouring districts in the Company's territories, and that some of the traders themselves were native British subjects. Of course, the recovery of kidnapped children, when once immured in the zenans of wealthy and influential people, is next to impossible.

8. Birth.

It is a general principle that the offspring of slave parents are slaves. This will be more fully noticed under the head of "Marriages of Slaves and Ownership of their Offspring."

In respect of illegitimate offspring of a female slave, one of the winesses examined as to the state of slavery in Bhaugulpore says, that he never heard of an instance of a slave girl having an illegitimate child, their conduct being as strictly and jealously watched over as that of a daughter. We learn from Dr. Buchanan, however, that when young the slave women are usually alleged to gratify their masters' desires; and he mentions that among the Kayets in this district there were, when he visited it, about 100 families called krishnapakshyas, or bastards, being descended from Kayets and slave-women chiefly of the Dhanuk caste.

In Shahabad, when a master has a child by his female slave, it is not removed from the state of slavery; the father only endeavours to procure for his child a marriage with another of the same spurious breed. Dr. Buchanan, on whose authority we state the above practice, infers that such connexions are numerous, from the price of young women being higher than that of men.

In Tirhoot, the illegitimate children of a slave woman are the property of her master.

There are two parts of the country in which we are told certain illegitimate children of free parents are considered as born in a state of slavery.

In Cuttack, the illegitimate offspring of Hindoos of the higher castes by free women of low caste are slaves. There is some discrepancy, however, in the evidence on this point. One witness (a Hindoo) considers this to be a source of Mahomedan slavery only, describing the persons whose slavery originates in this manner as the illegitimate offspring of women of low caste, whether slaves or free women, by Mahomedan fathers. Another witness (also a Hindoo) first described this class as including both Hindoo and Mahomedan slaves, stating them to be children or descendants of men of high caste (excepting Brahmins) or of Mussulmans, by concubines of the inferior classes; but being more particularly questioned on this point, he said that the spurous offspring of a Mussulman by a woman of low caste would not be slaves. The officiating judge of the distinct describes this race of slaves to be the illegitimate children of Hindoos by women of a lower caste.

In Benares and its neighbourhood, a child begotten by a rajpoot on his concubine is a slave, as are likewise all its descendants. The witness who gives us this information saya, "The begetting of slaves upon concubines is a practice which is not openly avowed, though it is done frequently; but in the Deccan this is done openly without scruple."

3.- The

No. 20.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 109.

Buchanau. Martin, vol. 2, p. 99, and MSS. Ditto, vol. 1, p. 479-80. No. 6.

No. 9.

Appendix II., No. 7.

No. 28.

^{*} The city of Delhi and the conquered territory on the right bank of the Jumna, the revenues of which are assigned to his majesty the King of Delhi.

3.-The Castes to which Hindoo Slaves generally belong.

In Cuttack, the Hindoo domestic slaves consist of such low castes as are considered pure : viz., Sudra proper, Gowala, Gowria, Chasa, Khundait, Agan, Busbunna, Barhai, Lohar, and Tanti.* Slaves of the impure castes are: Dhobee, Chumar, Gokha, Teli, Gola, Kewut or Kybert, Rungree, Raree, Pan or Pandra, Kundra, Napit, Baoree, Bagdee, Hari, Dome.

Dome. The owners of domestic slaves in this district are principally Mahomedans and Hindoos of the Kayet + caste, though some rajahs and zemindars who are Khundaits, Rajpoots and Ketryas, also keep them. But no Brahmins ever employ domestic slaves, having been prohibited so doing by rajah Pursottem Deo.; The reason of this prohibition does not appear, but it has continued in force ever since. The Byse sect are also precluded from the use of domestic slaves by the principles of their caste. The slaves of the impure tribes, who are employed exclusively in out-door work, are kept by all classes of people who can afford it.

In Tipperah, there are two classes of Hindoo slaves, the Kayets, who are a pure caste, and the Chundals, who are impure.

In some parts of Dacca Jelalpore, the majority of the Hindoo slaves are Kayets, and some few are Napits and Gowalas; in other parts all kinds of Sudras are to be found occasionally in a state of slavery, except Kayets.

In Rajeshahy, the Hindoo slaves are of the Kyhert, Kayet, Jalia, and Mali castes, and generally of all the low tribes; nor is there any caste so vile as to be incapacitated for slaverv.

In Purneah, they are Kewuts or Kyberts; and in the west of the district Dhanuks and Amats. §

Throughout the province of Behar, excepting Pachete and the district of Tirhoot, the slaves are principally of the two castes of Kurmis || (called also Juswar-Kurmis and Dhanuks) and Kuhars, called, by Dr. Buchanan, Rowanis. Slaves of both these tribes are employed in the same menial offices and in agriculture ; the only difference which exists between them is that the Kuhars, being of inferior caste, carry palankeens, which the Kurmis do not. But, in Bhaugulpore, the Kuhars are considered impure, and are there consequently less useful as domestic servants ; they are also less numerous.

In Pachete, in South Behar, the Hindoo slaves are in general Kayets, Koomars, Kamars, Kurmis, Chasas, Kyberts, aud Bhuyans ; the Cayet slave being very rare. The slaves in the family of the rajah of Pachete are spurious Rajpoots.

In Shahabad, some of the Khanwar tribe are slaves to the Brahmins.

In Tirhoot, the slaves are all Kyberts, commonly called Kewuts, but these, according to Witness, No. 3. our informant, are subdivided into Kybert proper, Dhanuk, Amat, and Kurmi. The slaves of these several classes are nearly the same in regard to purity, and are employed in-differently in in-door and out-door work. The great majority of the Kybert caste in this district are slaves, but the great proportion of persons of the other three classes are supposed to be free.

"In Goruckpore, as we learn from Dr. Buchanan, the slaves, except on the boundaries Buchanan. Matun, of Sarun, have all been received in marriage presents from Behar. In the police division vol. 2, p. 427. of Paraona, bordering on the Sarun district, there are 250 families of slaves of the Kurmi tribe, mostly employed in agriculture. There are also about 30 families of the Khawas tribe Ditto, p. 471. settled on the private estate of the rajah of Palpa in the plains of this district. These Khawas, like the Rowanis, are all slaves, and are said to have accompanied the Chauhan rajah, when that chief retired from Chitore to the hills, and to have carried his baggage. They have ever since continued in the service of his descendants, and are partly employed in

In the cultivation of their lands, partly as the most confidential domestics. In Benares and its neighbourhood the Hindoo slaves are Kurmis and Kuhars, and the spurious descendants of rappoots by their concubines.

In Allahabad, Kuhars, Ahurs and Chumars.

In Etawah, Kurmis and Kuhars.

In Rohilcund, Brahmins, Rajpoots and Kurmis of the pure castes; and Chumars and Kolees of the impure tribes.

The following account of the Kurmi, Dhanuk, and Rowani tribes," who constitute the greatest portion of the slave population in the province of Behar, and in some of the districts

Buchauan, MSS.

۹.

21

In Southern Cuttack, however, the Tanti is considered an impure caste.—Witness, No. 9, † Subduvded into Mynteas or Oriah Kayets, Bengal Kayets, and Lalas or Western Kayets. There were two rejains of this name in Cuttack. The first reigned from A. D 1478 to 1503, the second from A. D. 1690 to 1630.—String's account of Cuttack, p. 117-121, 132. 6 "Cultvators of a tribe of pure Hindoos, who are divided into those who are free (Gernya) and those who are slaves (Khawas)."—Buchanan MS. [] Dr. Buchanan distinguishes between the Kurmis and Dhanuks, as will be presently noticed. According to him the slaves in Bhaugulpore are either Dhanuks or Rowanis; in Behar and Patna also by far the greater number are of the same two tribes, though there are some Kurmis; in Shahabad most of the slaves are of the Rowan caste, and the remainder Kurmis, with a very few Dhanuks at Arrah, the Dhanuka in this district not being slaves.—Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 99; vol. 1, p. 125, 479. 262. C 3

districts of the western provinces, is taken from the reports of Dr. Francis Buchanan.

Buchanab. Martin, vol. 1, p. 166, 492; vol. 2, p. 468-9, and MSS.

The Kurmi tribe is one of the most generally diffused and numerous tribes in India. Op the right of the Sarayu or Gogra river, it is most commonly called Kunni, or Kunbi, by which name it is also known in Mysore. In Malwa, it has risen to great power by the elevation of Sindhya, who was a Kurni, to the government of Ujjain, and at his capital the Kurnis are reckoned Rajpoots. They seem to be the original tribe of military cultivators of the countries from whence they came, and some of them carry arms, as is usual with the pure agracultural tribes, who appear to be aborginal Hindoo nations that were not of sufficient consequence to be admitted into the order of Khetrees, but too powerful to be thrust into the consequence to be admits the order of Alertes, but no powering to be brust into the dregs of impurity. There are various subdivisions of this tribe; viz. Saithwar or Ayodhia, Jasawar, Sungsawar, Kurmi, Chandani or Chandami, Akharwar, Magahi, Ghaureta, Patan-war, Kanojiya, Guparati, Dhalphor or clod piercers, Kuchisa, Desi. Most of these desig-nations appear to be national distinctions. The Jasawars are thought to have come from Jayasa, a great manufacturing country south-east from Lucknow. The Patanwars (which name implies citizens) probably from their being confined to the vicinity of Nindaur, Patana, the old capital of the Siviras. The origin of the terms Ghameta and Chandani could not be executioned. The Designer a country south-east from Schameta and Chandani could not be ascertained. The Desi are a spurious race.

In Purneah, there are about 400 families settled in different parts of the district, where they are mostly cultivators, but some carry arms, and some are domestic servants, though not slaves.

In Bhaugulpore, there are between 14,000 and 15,000 families, most of whom are settled in the western parts of the district south of the Ganges, but a good many also in the Feizoollagunje division. Of these families one-half and more are Sungsawars; one-fourth,

Reizoolagunje division. Of these lamines one-han and more are one-sumptantic, one-outra, Kurmis; one-eighth, Chandanis; one-eighth, Ayodhias; a few Jasawars. In the Behar and Patna districts, there are about 4,500 families, cultivators, and some carry arms; one-half and more are Magahus; one-sixth, Ghametas; one-seventh, Ayodhias. The remainder consist of Kurmis properly so called, who are very few, Sungsawars, Jasawars, Kuchisas, Chandanis and Desis.

In Shahabad, there are about 1,700 families; they sometimes still carry arms, although the great number of idle gentry has in a great measure thrust them out of their employment; 40 per cent. are Ayodhnas; 25 ditto, Patanwars; 20 ditto, Jasawars; 8 ditto, Kanojiyas; 6 ditto, Magahis; 1 ditto, Chandanis. Some of the Jasawars are slaves. In Gouckpore, there are about 44,335 families; of whom 52 per cent. are Saithawars

(Ayodhias); 58 ditto, Jasawars; 6 ditto, Gujaratis; 2 ditto, Dhalpors; 1 ditto, Patanwars; 1 duto, Chandanis or Chandamis, and Akharwars. The Kurmi tribe obtained the whole a unto, Unandanis or Unandanis, and Akharwars. The Kurmi tribe obtained the whole property in the division of Paraona in this district, bordering on Sarun. The families reckoned among the Ashruf or gentry of the district amount to about 110 houses, all willing to carry arms, and some do so. The Patanwars and Saithawars, unless exceedingly poor, will not hire themselves as ploughmen nor on any account act as domestics; but all, except the Ashruf families, are willing to plough, and, except the two above-mentioned tribes, all are willing to be domestic servants.

The Dhanuks are another pure agricultural tribe, who, from their name implying archers, were probably in former times the militia of the country, and are perhaps not essentially different from the Kurnis; for any Jasawar Kurni who from poverty sells humself or his children, is admitted among the Dhanuks. All the Dhanuks at one time were probably slaves, and many have been purchased to fill up the military; a method of recruiting that has been long prevalent in Asia. The province of Behar is the country where the Dhanuks appear chiefly to abound. There are also varions subdivisions of this tribe, the names of which are local distinctions.

In Purneah, they are by far the most numerous in the western parts of the district. They are there a tribe both of Mithila and Magadha, a considerable portion being called by the name of the latter country; and these, and a few called Dojwar, are reckoned the highest. The most numerous by far are those of Mithila, who are called Sriyata, and there are many slaves who are called Khawas.

In Bhaugulpore, the Dhanuks do not exceed 8,000 or 9,000 families, divided into Silkhatrya, Maghiya, Jasawar or Yasawar, Tirahuti and Kanojya. A great many of them are slaves employed in agriculture, and most of the unfortunate persons in the district, reduced to this state, belong to this tribe. In some parts of the district it was alleged, that if a person pro-cured a slave of any caste, the Dhanuks would receive the unfortunate man into their society; but in other parts the slaves pretend to be as nice as their masters.

In Behar and Patna, there are about 7,000 families of this tribe, of whom more than half are Yasawars (Jasawars). The next most numerous are Dhanuks (without any addition); then Magahis, Dojwars, and Chilatyas.

In Shahabad, the Dhanuks are only about 320 or 340 families; about half Kanojyas, the rest Chilatyas.

The Rowani Kubars, called, in Bhaugulpore, Maharas, claim a descent from Jarasanda, Ditto, vol. 1, p. 167-8, 492; vol. 2, king of India, in the 11th or 12th century before the birth of Christ; nor is this claim g. 99, 471. 167-8, 492; vol. 2, king of India, in the 11th or 12th century before the birth of Christ; nor is this claim disputed by any except the Brahmins, who allege that this king was a Kshatri, and not a Rowani; but this cannot be considered as a valid objection, because some of the descend-ants of Viswamita, a kinsman of Jarasanda, are allowed to have been Brahmins, some Kshatris, and some even Mleechchhas. The tradition is so general, that in all probability these Rowanis are descended from the tribe which, during the government of the Brind-ration where the any rester of the courter. More the sense to have been branching eset of the rathas, was master of the country. Magadha seems to have been the original seat of this tribe.

Ditto, vol. 1, p. 166-7, 492, and MSS.

Ditto, vol. 1, p

tribe, the number to be found any where else being very triffing. The Rowanis have been entirely reduced to slavery,* nor does any one of them pretend to a free birth. In Bhaugulpore, Dr. Buchanan says, the Rowanis do not sell their children.

In Behar and Patna, the Rowanis amount to about 10,000 families; they are all willing to carry the palankeen, but not one-sixteenth of them are regularly employed in that way, and these have chiefly gone to cities for employment.⁺ The remainder are culturators, but carry palankeens at marriages, or other ceremonies, and at leasure hours catch fish for their own use

In Shahabad, they amount to about 6,500 families, chiefly employed in agriculture, but perhaps 600 families of these are entirely domestic servants; a large proportion are slaves.

In Goruckpore, the Rowanis are confined to the parts adjacent to Mithila, and are only

In Gordekpore, the Rowans are comment of the parts adjacent to Britana, and are only 116 families, and these are held on the borders of impurity. Throughout the greater part of the Behar province, the Kurmis or Juswar-Kurmis or Dhanuks, and the Kuhars, are regarded as being essentially of a slave stock, a tradition prevailing (which, however, does not appear to extend to Tirhoot) that all persons of these eastes were formerly slaves. The truth of this, as far as relates to the Dhanuks and Kuhars, and here any application of the Bucharam. At the present day, the Kurmis or is, as we have seen, confirmed by Dr. Buchanan. At the present day, the Kurnis or Dhanuks may be said to exist in three conditions; first, those who are actually slaves; second, those who are practically free, but have the taint of slavery derived from slave ancestors ; third, those who are absolutely free, and without any taint of slavery. The Kuhar or Rowani tribe appear to exist in the two first conditions only.

In most parts of the Behar province, it is reckoned disgraceful to sell this kind of property; many masters, therefore, who can give their slaves no employment, and cannot afford to maintain them, allow them to do as they please, and to procure a subsistence in the best manner they can. The Patna council, in their report of 4th August 1774, say "the palankeen bearers in this province are all of this latter (the Kuhar 1) tribe, and belong to some person or another, though allowed to internarry, labour for themselves, and bedoing their own discretion, the same as if no such nominal bondage subsisted. The masters of these slaves, to avoid the expense of their personal attendance, suffer them to work else-where for a livelihood." On the death without heirs of the owner of a slave thus situated, the slave becomes entirely free, no one claiming him; and, even without such a coatingency, many, doubtless, through the continued mability of their masters to maintain them, are many, conducts, intogen the conduct matching of their matters to maintain their, the annually passing from this state of partial separation to one of practical freedom, though it is well known who their masters are. Others, when dissatisfied with their situation, quit their master's service, and eventually establish their independence, it being seldom words the master's while to incur the inconvenience of an attempt to reclaim a fugitive slave. In a general calculation also matching to match matching the set of the second a general calamity, also, when whole families perish, many slaves are left without any assignable masters, and if in these dreadful visitations many freeborn persons are driven by necessity into a state of slavery, many slaves are at the same time released from their servitude.

A person of the Kuhar tribe, however, absolutely free, is not supposed to exist, at least in the Behar and Patna jurisdictions, and when claimed will never pretend to be gurua or unowned. One witness states that persons of this tribe are sold by their owners, but never No. 4: by any one else. The Patna council, in their letter above quoted, say, "It seems, that on by any one ense. The ratin council, in all the test above quoted, say, it seems, that of the sale of a (Kuhar) slave, who separately procures his own subsistence, only one-half of the price is received by the owner, the other half going to the parents of the shave;" but this has not been confirmed by the evidence of the witnesses examined by us. According Buchanan. Martin, to Dr. Buchanan, in Behar and Patna a good many of the Rowani caste are practically, and vol. 1, p. 126, 167, in Shahabad many have become enturely, free. Although, generally speaking, the sale of free persons into slavery, within the province of

Behar, is confined to the castes which have been specified above, yet in times of urgent distress persons of all the lower labouring classes, such as Gowalas, Baris (or Bharees), Burubees and Napits do, in some parts of the province, sell their children. In times of general calamity, even Brahmins and Khetrees have been known to do the same, but such sales are not considered valid; and it is reported that after the famine of A. D. 1770, persons who had purchased high caste children, during the distress, returned them to their families on becoming apprized of their condition. In such seasons, also, free persons, not of the ordmary playe castes, sometimes give up their children to be maintained and brought up by persons in good circumstances, but no price is taken, and the children are not slaves, though

they perform domestic service. In Rohilcund, which is mhabited chiefly by the descendants of the Afghan settlers, the deference to caste does not prevail ; and there slaves of the Brahmin and Rajpoot tribes, in · common

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 5-

•

vol. 1, p. 126, 167, 492.

23

[•] Dr. Buchanan, in his accounts of Behrar, Patna and Shahabad, mentions-two other tubes, the Kharwars and Bhars, who, from being governing and military tribes, have also been reduced to the condition of carrying the palankeen.—Buchanan Martin, vol. 1, p. 168, 492–3, and MS3. The Patna provincial council, in their letter of 4th August 1774, on this subject, asy, "they date the rise of the custom of Kular elavery from the first meursions of the Mahomedama, when the emptyses were dis-tributed by the general among the officers of his army, to whose posterity they remained —Sizvery in India, 1823, p. 5 \uparrow • Palankeen bearsts are very numerous in these two distincts, and many go to Calcutta for service, but most of the bearers supposed there to come from Patna are in fact from Sarun, and the two descriptions of people do not hre together, those of Patna being chiefly of the Rowam caste, and those of Sarun being mostly Kharwars.—Buchanan. Martin, vol 1, p. 124 \downarrow It may be romarked, that the Patna council in their letter comprehend all the slaves under the two designations of Musulmans or Moolaszdas, and Kelars, and make no mention of Kurms. 963.

^{262.}

common with those of the ordinary classes, may be kept by all descriptions of persons .. The sale of children of these two castes, however, is not frequent even in that part of the country.

4.—Mussulman Slaves.

The Mussulman slaves consist, first, of Mahomedans of the labouring classes, who have sold themselves, or have been sold when children by their parents; and the descendants of all such; second, of Hindoos, who, having sold themselves to Mahomedans, have embraced the religion of their masters, or who, having been sold in childhood to Mahomedans, have been brought up in the Moslem faith; and the descendants of all such.

In Cuttack, the Mussulman slaves are supposed to bear a less proportion to the free Mussulman population than the Hindoo slaves to the free Hindoo population.

Mussimman population than the findoo staves to the free findoo population. In Sylhet, it is stated, by one witness, the greater part of the poorer classes, as of the whole * population, are Mahomedans; and as it is the lower orders who sell themselves or their children in times of scarcity, of course the greater part of the slave population are Mahomedans. There is also in this district a class of Mussulman out-door slaves, who are supposed to be low caste people who have embraced Mahomedamsm, but have retained their servile state.

In the province of Behar, the labouring classes of Mahomedans, who sell themselves or their children into slavery, are principally of the Joolaha caste; but also Dhoonnias, Domes and Sekaras. The respectable classes of Musulmans, viz. Synds, + Shelkhs, Patans and Malaks§ cannut, according to the custom of the country, be slaves; though in times of extreme distress, such as famine, even Syuds, like Brahmins and Khetrees, have been known to sell their children.

Hindoos who, on becoming enslaved to Mahomedans, have adopted or been bred up in the religion of their masters, are in this province called Moolazadas, literally "born of the priest," though the term is there sometimes applied indiscriminately to all Mussulman slaves. In some parts of the country it is not known; and we are informed that the practice of changing the religion of the slave, though formerly very common in the Behar province, is now little resorted to there; the Hindoo slave of a Mahomedan master retaining his own faith and being employed in out-door work.

In Bhaugulpore, the Hindoos do not sell their children to Mahomedans, but they do so sell them in the districts of Behar, Patna and Tirhoot.

In the Hazareebaugh and Lohurdugga divisions of South Behar, there are no Mussulmanslaves.

In the districts of Behar and Patna, according to Dr. Buchanan, there were 2,850 families of Moslem slaves, there called Moolazadas, whom he places in a list of persons converted to the Mahomedan faith, but adhering to the doctrine of caste in full vigour, and therefore excluded from communion.

In Shahabad, he estimated the number of Moslem slaves, there also called Moolazadas, at 510 families, who were mostly employed in agriculture.

In the district of Etawa, 30 years ago, there were few Mahomedans in so low a condition as to be obliged to sell their children.

With regard to sen ther channel. With regard to the domestic slaves of Mahomedans of rank, and particularly those females who, being bought while children on account of their appearance, are bred up, under the name of Laundis, or slave girls, to administer to their masters' pleasures, Dr. Buchanan mentions he could obtain no estimates in the districts he visited. In his account of Purneah, he observes, " Every thing concerning the women of such persons being veiled in the most profound mystery, no estimate could be procured of their number; but this is a luxury m which almost every Mahomedan of fortune is supposed to indulge as far as he can afford." He believed that in Bhaugulpore there were many such, as the chief persons in the district were Mahomedans, and some of them had dealt to a ruinous length in such property; and in the division of Monghyr alone, the Moslems had 50 male (Gholams) and 70 female (Laundis) domestic slaves. He thought it probable that in Goruckpore female slaves were purchased, to administer to the pleasures of wealthy Mahomedans, from the mountaineers, many of whom were ready to dispose of their children.

5.-Hindoo

• The following we to the reports of 1801	ere the propor	tions	of Ma	homed	lans t	o Hin	idoos i	n the	unde	ermeni	tioned district	s, according
to the suppose of 1991	Jessore -									9 to	H7	
		-	-	-	-	~	-	-	-			
	Chittagong		-	-	-	~	-		-	S to	2	
	Dacca Jelal	pore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	eaus	ત્રો.	
	Sylhet	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-		2 to	3	
•	Rajeshahy	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	· -	1 to	2	
-Hamilton's Hindoo	stan, vol 1. r	135.	169.1	182 1	95, 19	8				,	•	
But the population	returns for	Raw	shahy	10 1	1834	0.020	4 101	morti	0. 10	£ 1.00	0 Mahomeda	ns to 5878.
Hindoos Mr Adam	s second repo	rt on	educa	tion is	Ben	zal. n	. 6	1010		,00	o and south the state	

According to Dr. Buchanan, the proportion of Mahomedans to Hindoos in the districts of Rungpore, . Dinagepore and Purneah, was as follows :---

		лищроте	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	10 10 5
		Dinagepore	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	70 to 30
	•	Purneah	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	4	43 to 57
uan.	Martin,	vol. 2, p. 723	; vol.	3, p.	144,	512.					

Buchan

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 723; vol. 3, p. 144, 512. + The descendants of the prophet. I The descendants of his companions. § The descendants of persons who have received titles from the sovereign.

No. 5.

Buchanan. Martin. vol. 1, p. 145–6.

Ditto, vol. 1, p. 489, and MSS. Witness, No. 26.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 121.

, Ditto, vol. 2, p. 100.

Ditto, p. 427-8.

5.—Hindoo Masters of Mahomedan Slaves, and vice verså.

Generally speaking, the Hindoo masters have Hindoo slaves, and the Mahomedan masters Mahomedan slaves. A Hindoo slave of a Hindoo master, if converted to Islamism, would become unfit for domestic uses, but he would continue a slave, and might be employed in out-door work.

In Mymensingh, the sale of children by Mahomedans to Hindoos is common, but not the converse

In Sylhet, where, it is said, the Mahomedan population exceeds the Hindoo, the poorer Mahomedans do not object to sell themselves to Hindoo masters; and there are a great many Hindoo masters have Mahomedan slaves, but very few Mahomedan masters have Hindoo slaves

Of Rungpore, Dr. Buchanan observes, "The domestic slaves of the rich are almost enturely Buchanan. Martin, of castes that the masters consider pure. A not hundoo would not accept of a Moslem vol. 3, p. 497. slave, and still less of one of impure burth. It is among the Mahomedans that the custom of nourishing poor children is chiefly practised." In South Behar, the Hundoo slaves are in the possession both of Hindoos and Maho-

medans.

mecans. The possession of slaves does not appear to be confined to Hindoos and Mahomedans. The judge of Moorshedabad says, "Slavery, I believe, exists m Bengal as m the upper Appendix II., provinces; and, as far as I can learn, Mahomedans, Hindoos, Armenians, Jews, and East No. 25. Indians, all hold slaves;" and the judge of Mymensingh mentions that "children are often Ditto, No. 47. purchased by Protestants, Roman Catholics, and also Greeks, and brought up not as slaves, but menials, in the creed of the purchaser."

6.-The Extent of the Master's Dominion over his Slave.

Slaves are both heritable and transferable property; they may be mortgaged and let to hire; and they can obtain emancipation only by their owner's consent, except in some special cases.

It appears to be the generally received opinion that the earnings of a slave belong of right to his master; that he has no right to any portion of his own time wherein to work right to his master; that he has no right to any portion of his own time wherein to work for his own benefit; and that he can hold no property acquired in this condition as against his master, excepting such things as the master himself may give him. In practice, how-ever, the master would not deprive his slave of any thing given him by another person, and, by the indulgence of their owners, the slaves, particularly those of great people, frequently do possess property, which on their death descends to their heirs. This indulgence is in some places so general, that the public officers of several districts regard it as the established custom.

According to the acting joint magistrate of Midnapore, " the property of the slave at Ditto, No. 14. his death goes to the nearest of kin, and only to the master in the event of his leaving no relation.

According to the joint magistrate of Noakhollee,* "the property of a slave is his abso-Ditto, No. 40. Jute property. He may dispose of it as he pleases, and his heirs succeed to it. If he die The judge of Mymensingh says, "I am informed it has not unfrequently happened, that Duto, No. 47.

a slave has purchased the freedom of humself and family from his savings, thus establish-ing that the property possessed or realized by a slave in servitude is his own. The property, however, of a slave reverts generally to his master at his death." "If there is at the marriage of a slave inverse generally while master at its dealin. In there is at the mat-riage of a slave an understanding that his progeny are not to be slaves, then the children would succeed." "But," he adds, "slaves seldom have any thing to leave of their own; their clothes, and every thing they have on, or what they have for use, belong to their masters, who provide them with every thing; and unless he becomes a minion or favourite, it is impossible for him to accumulate any money."

The same officer states that the property of eunuch slaves, who are only retained in the families of nawabs and very wealthy Mahomedans, invariably devolves on their death to their masters, and he states, on the authority of one of the late government agents at Moorshedabad, that many landed estates have thus reverted to the nawab nazim of Bengal."

shedabad, that many landed estates have thus reverted to the nawab nazim of Bengal. The judge of Dinagepore remarks, that his informants differed on the subject of the mas-Appendix II., ter's right to the property of his slave, "some thinking that slaves are free to acquire any No. 59. property they can without its being liable to be served, claimed or interfered with in any way by their masters, only that, on their death, the master can only be looked upon as the legiti-mate person to whom any property left would descend ; while others consider that they can create no property for themselves; that all they make belongs to their master." The agent to the Governor-general in South Behar says, "By the custom of the country Appendix II., the master has no right over the property of his slaves, and in the event of the death of one No. 64. leaving considerable property, which is not a rare circumstance, the property is inherited by his wie and children, although they may belong to another master. In the zillah of Thrhoot, it appears to have become the established usage that the slaves enjoy, and dispose of as they please, any property which they may acquire by working for

enjoy, and dispose of as they please, any property which they may acquire by working for others than their masters at times when the latter do not require their services.

A Mussulman

The jurisdiction of this officer comprises portions of the districts of Backergunge, Tipperah and Chittagong. 262.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 304, and Witness, No. 4.

A Mussulman master has, by the Mahomedan law, a right to exact the embraces of his female unmarried slave of the same religion; but not of his Hindoo slave. But if a slave so subjected to the embraces of her master has a child by him, she is called "un-ool-wuld," i. e., the mother of offspring, and becomes free at his decease, and the child so born is free.

In Rohilcund, and most probably all over India, it is a common practice for Mahomedan masters to avail themselves of this privilege ; and sometimes the masters are married to their female slaves in the nikah form.

It will be seen from some of the preceding details, * that in Bhaugulpore and Shababad Hindoo masters also allow themselves a considerable license with their female slaves ; and, by the Hindo has taken when the here a start of the here a child by her mester, such size, together with the child, becomes free. Among the Garrows in N. E. Rungpore, a man cannot keep a slave girl as a concubine.

7.-The modes in which Slaves are employed.

The slaves are employed either as domestic servants or out-door labourers, and sometimes in both ways. The duties of domestic slaves are, drawing water, pounding rice, and gene-rally performing whatever services may be required about the house, including cooking if the family be Mahomedan The males attend on their masters, and the women on the females of the family. Domestic slaves are commonly intrusted with the custody of the valuables of the house.

The duties of the slaves who are employed as out-door labourers are, carrying loads, cut-

ting wood, tending cattle, ploughing and other agricultural occupations. For the domestic service of a Hindoo family, it is necessary that the slave should be a Hundoo of pure caste, otherwise the family could not drink+ the water drawn or brought by him, and would be in danger of pollution from him in various ways. Though, strictly speaking, perhaps, a master has a right to exact from his slave every species of labour proportioned to his ability and strength, it is not usual, and would be considered a harsh exercise of power, to require from a Hindoo slave of pure caste any service unsuitable or derogatory to his caste; and, indeed, any impurity contracted by the domestic slave of a Hindoo master, which must necessarily be communicated to his employer, would affect his usefulness. Such slaves, therefore, are not usually employed in the meanest offices ; but in case of the illness

of the master, or other emergency, the slave would perform whatever was required of him. To give an example of the manner in which a Hindoo slave of pure caste might be unsuitably employed : we are informed that, in the district of Cuttack, it would be derogatory to persons of the pure tribes to work in company with persons of the impure tribes; some of the latter sould be the source of the source of the company with persons of the implice the barbor of the source of the sourc on pure caste, when employed in out-door work, are in this district kept separate from the impure classes. We are further informed by the witnesses whom we examined respecting the state of slavery in this part of the country, that formerly all persons of the impure tribes hved in separate villages, and gave the road whenever they happened to meet a person of pure caste; but since the district came under British ‡ rule they have become more indepen-dent. if a till however, usual with them other to read the read to a person of future caste who happens not to observe them, or to give him timely maring, that by returning he may avoid pollution. This perhaps is a solitary instance of such extreme deference paid to superior caste within the provinces under the Bengal presidency, and is to be accounted for by the fact that neither the Mahomedans por any other invaders ever completely occupied or colonized this district, which still remains one of those in which the Hindoo manners are preserved in the greatest purity, and where the smallest proportion of Mahomedans is to be found.

In Cuttack, the slaves of pure caste are employed both in domestic and agricultural labour;

those of impure caste, of course, only in out-door work. In the districts of Bengal, south of the Ganges, the slaves appear to be almost exclusively employed as domestic servants. In Sylhet, they are chiefly used in agriculture.

In Rajeshahy, there are some estates in which the greater part of the cultivators are slave

Of the few slaves in Dinagepore, some are domestic and some agricultural. The following details respecting the slaves in Purneah are taken from Dr. Buchanan's account of that district.

Distribution of the male adult slaves :

1.	Slaves	entirely	domestic	-	-	-
----	--------	----------	----------	---	---	---

2.	Khawas, or slaves partly emp	loyed in	agriculture	, partly	in	ser	vice	1,700
3,	Khawas, or slaves partly emp Slaves mostly employed in ag	riculture		·		~	-	3,650

6,140

790

But

Nos. 6 and 9. Appendix I.

Hamilton's Hindoostan, vol. 2, p. 32.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 914. Ditto, vol. 123-5, and MSS.

Ditto, p. 307. Buchanan. Martin,

vol. 3, p. 693.

[•] See head "Origin of Slavery." "8.—Birth" + "The natives always lift a reasel by putting their thumb in the inside, and their fingers without, so that the thumb is immersed in whatever luptor the vessel contains. It seems to be owing to the circumstance that so much anxiety is observed in taking water to drink out of the hand of impure tribes Actual cleaniness is quite out of the question, no people on that point being more careless than the Hindows; but they are afrind of taking water from the hand of a person whose thumb may have been soaked in an or s gore before it was thrust into their drink."—Buchanan. MSS. (Shahabad.) ‡ A. D. 1803.

But he was very uncertain what proportion was really employed in agriculture, and what as domestics.

The first " are chiefly domestics, although they are sometimes employed to tend cattle, to dig, to build houses, or in such kinds of labour. These live entirely in their masters' houses, but are always allowed to marry. Their children are slaves, and their women act as domestic servants. These are not numerous, and chiefly belong to Mahomedans."

" The second class belongs chiefly to Hindoos of rank, who either have small free estates or rent lands, and in the cultivation of these such slaves are chiefly employed, although some are also employed as domestics." The whole that Dr. Buchanan considered "as belonging to this class are such as are allowed a separate but and small garden for themselves and families, where they receive an allowance of grain and coarse cloth for subsist-ence. The men work constantly for their master, and the women, whenever their children do not require their attention, are either permitted to work on their own account, or, if required to work for their master, they and the children are fed and clothed entirely at his required to work for their master, they and the children are feed and clouded entrying at his expense. The children, so soon as they are able to tend cattle, are taken to their master's house, where they are fed and clothed until married." The third class "belong mostly to the great landlords, and each family receives a farm, free of rent, and sufficiently large for its comfortable subsistence. This the family cultivates

with its own hands, or by means of those who take a share; and, when required, the men attend their lords, sometimes on grand occasions to swell out his numerous train, but usually either as domestics, or as confidential persons, to whom he can safely intrust the super-intendence of his affairs. Their families live on their farms; only perhaps one woman or two in a hundred may be required to be in attendance on her lady."

field labour, according as their services are required.+

Dr. Buchanan gives the following account of the slaves in Bhaugulpore : " None of them Buchanan. Martin, are employed as confidential servants, such as in Purneah receive a good farm for the sub- vol. 2, p. 98-99, sistence of their family; on the contrary, they are generally very poorly provided, and the 219, greater part of the men are employed in agriculture; most of the land rented by the high castes being culturated by their slaves or hired servants. Some of them, when there is nothing to do on the farm, attend their masters as domestics; others are employed entirely as domestics, and living in their master's house receive food and raiment; finally, others are constantly employed on the field, and these get no allowance when there is no work on the farm, but are allowed to cut fire-wood, or do any other kind of labour for a subsistence. the farm, but are allowed to cut hre-wood, or do any other kind of labour for a subsistence. The women, when young, are usually alleged to gratify their masters' desires; and when grown up, sweep the house, bring fuel and water, wash, beat and winnow grain, and in fact are women of all work. At night they go to their husband's hut, unless when young and too attractive, in which case they are only allowed to make him occasional visits for the sake of decency. The boys, so soon as fit, are employed to tend cattle." In Behar, Patna and Shahabad, the poorer class of masters, particularly the Rajpoots and Romark who have not wurde occasion for domestic services employed they share in

and Bamans, who have not much occasion for domestic service, employ their slaves in agriculture.

In Shahabad, according to Dr. Buchanan, the Mahomedan slaves are mostly employed Ditto, vol. 1, p.489, in agriculture. Respecting the same district, he says, "In the northern parts, wealthy and MSS men usually have among their slaves a number of bearers, who in common cultivate their and, and, when called on, go with their master's palankeen; but in the south the bearers are mostly free." The women of the slaves in Hindoo families "occasionally attend on the Ditto, vol. 1, p. 479. ladies; but they are wretched dirty creatures, who pass most of their time in the hardest labiours of the field."

The same authority gives the following distribution of the able-bodied male slaves in the Ditto, vol. 1, App., pove-mentioned districts : p. 15, 47, and MSS. above-mentioned districts :

DISTRICT.	Men Slaves entirely Domestics.	Men Sixves partly employed in Agriculture and partly in Domestic Service.	Men Slaves employed entirely in Agriculture.	TOTAL Men Slaves,	
Bhaugulpore	574	1,300	2,560.	4,434	- ·
Behar and Patna , -	5,055	9,270	18,495	32,820	
Shahabad	720	850	3,765	5,335	
	1				
				· · · · · ·	In

In • For an account of the agricultural sizes of Sonth Behar, see head "Conditional Sizery and Bondage." † The following extract from Hamilton's Hindoostan will show the dreadful purposes to which the services of slaves an the wild parts of South Behar have been applied. "Theft is common throughout Ramgbur, but murder more prevalent among a particular class, which are the slaves possessed by persons inhabiting the mountanous and inaccessable interior, and of awage and ferocious babits. When petty disputes occur, these slaves are compelled by their masters to perpetrate any enormity, and are more especially amployed for the slaves are compelled by their masters to perpetrate any enormity, and are more especially amployed for the garposes of cheers of government, and executed as a morderer. The anal police have inherit desit, which is equally his fate should be fail in the strempt. On the other hand, if he succeed, he is sought to suite the covernity instigator; and if recourse be had to a multary force, he retures not the jungles. Neither to exize the covernity instigator; and if recourse the bar to save thus delegated to commit; on the con-trary, when seized, they always contess, and appear to expect applause for having done their duty."— Vol 1, p. 203-4 202. D 2 262.

27

In Turboot, the slaves are principally of the domestic kind, but they are sometimes employed in the culturation of the private lands of their masters. When the establishment of slaves belonging to any one family is large, those employed in agriculture are kept distinct from the domestic slaves; and in agricultural labour the slaves are generally mixed with free labourers, and no greater quantity of labour is exacted from them than from the latter, both working the whole day with intervals of refreshment. The females of the agricultural class do light work in the fields.

Throughout the western provinces, the slaves appear to be almost exclusively employed as domestic servants.*

Buchauan. Martin, According to Dr. Buchanan, the following is the distribution of the able-bodied male vol. 2, p. 427, Ap. slaves in Goruckpore:

Employed entirely as domestics	-	-	-	- 21	2
Partly employed in agriculture	-	-	-	- fe	Ø
Employed entirely in agriculture	-	-	-	- 20	Ð

Those employed in agriculture are four-fifths of the 250 Kurmis in the division of Parraona bordering on Sarun, who have been already mentioned. The women attend the ladies of the family, while the men work in the fields. The slaves received as marriage presents from Behar are all domestics.

In Rohilcund, the makes are employed as domestic servants only whilst young, and when they grow up they are employed chiefly in agriculture, their masters being then averse to their remaining about the house; but the female slaves are always occupied in the house, and are never made to work in the fields. The cultivation is carried on by free ryots; but a zemindar, who keeps one or two male slaves for domestic purposes, will employ them likewise in agrestic labour.

8.—Coercion of Slaves.

Carelessness, laziness, impertinence, disobedience, insubordination, desertion, and other misconduct of a slave, is purished by reproof, abusive language, threats, temporary banish-ment from the presence of the muster, stoppage of rations, slaps, blows or classisement with a shoe, twisted handkerchief, whip, thin stick or ratan, or by confinement, as by tying up for an hour or two. The masters consider that they possess the right of correcting their slaves with moderation, as a father his child, or a master his apprentice. The quantity of survey and the presence of the correction actually inflicted depends no doubt upon the temper and disposition of the master; and although we have no reason to believe that in general these chastisements are excessive, we bear in mind that we possess no evidence on the part of the slaves on this subject. Two exceptions to this general information will be found in the evidence.

In the Behar district, ill-disposed masters among the inferior landholders of the Baman caste, who superintend in person the slaves they employ in the culturation of their lands, are said to beat them severely, and sometimes to confine them by tying them up, though they keep them well fed, being prompted by self-interest to do so. The Pathans of Rohilcund, naturally a cholene race, enforce the services of their slaves by beating them either with a ratan or a staff; and the latter mode of punishment is some-

by bearing them either with a ratan or a staff; and the latter mode of punishment is some-times carried to such an extent that the arms and legs of the slaves are broken by the violence of the blows inflicted. An absconding slave they the with a string, or place fetters, light or heavy, on his legs, in the manner practised with convicts in the public gaols. Their free servants they beat to the same extent, but they do not confine them. It should be observed, however, that the witness who gives us this information, though a native of Rohil-cund, has resided for the last 30 years in Calcutta.

But though sometimes refractory, the usual character of the slave is obedience; and if a slave is incorrigibly obstinate or vicious, the master will sell him or turn him away, the

latter course being usually resorted to by the better kind of masters. "The middle class of Mussulmen," says the joint magistrate of Fureedpore, "frequently provide against the escape of their (female) slaves by marrying them under the form of provide against the escape of their (temate) staves by marying them under the form of mikab; and thus, in the event of their running away, they can claim as wives those whom they could not, under the Mahomedan law, legally claim as slaves, though in fact they are nothing more." In Purneah, Dr. Buchanan mentions, "servants being exceedingly scarce, few masters are supposed to be honest enough to refuse hinng a runaway slave; indeed, many will deny that there is any moral turpitude in protecting a fellow-creature who has escaped from thet state of degradation."

that state of degradation.'

In the province of Behar, if a slave runs away, the principal inhabitant of the place to which he has fled will persuade him to return on his master making application and proving his title ; or, if the fugitive have taken service with a zemindar, the latter will surrender him on the master's paying the expenses which may have been incurred for the slave's subsistence.

Witness, No. 26.

pendix, p. 12.

Ditto, No. 14.

Appendix II., No. 46.

Buchanan. Martin. vol. 3, p. 125.

^{• &}quot; In Cawnpore, domestic slavery exists; but of an agricultural slave I do not recollect a single instances. In Upper India, the slaves are employed in domestic labour entirely."—Mr T C Robertson's Erdence before the House of Lords Committee, 1830. Questions 1687, 1703. + In Rumpore, "the domestic slaves of the rich are assally accased of being very full of tricks."— Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 497. "In some places (in Purneah) it was said by the masters, that the slaves did more work than hired ser-vants, and were better fed ; but near Dinuya, where they are by far most numerous, it is allered, that they will do no labour without the constant fear of the rod, which appears to me the most credible account."— Dinto, vol. 3, p. 124-5. I in Bhangulpore, "the slaves are in general industrions, seldom run away, and are seldom besten."— Ditto, vol. 2, p. 99.

sistence. Remonstrances and kind treatment are the means resorted to by the master to retain a slave whom he has thus recovered.

In Rohilcund, we are told, persons residing in the country are restrained from purchasing Witness, No. 14. children of their own village as slaves, by the difficulty of preventing them from running to their homes.

9.—Food, Clothing and Lodging of Slaves.

The slaves are provided with food, elothing and lodging by their masters.* The following are the usual allowances of food and clothing for an adult male slave in Cuttack. For his daily food, one seer of rice, half a chittack of salt, half a chittack of oil, and one quarter of a seer of dal, or a pice to buy vegetables ; two pice per week for tobacco, and half a pice per day for fire-wood, unless the slave be allowed to cut it on his master's grounds. For his annual supply of clothes, four dhotees, two ungochas, one chudder, and one blanket. Some slaves have lands given them to cultivate, the master receiving half the produce, or such other portion of it as may be specially agreed upon.

In Rajeshahy, some of the agricultural slaves are fed by their masters, but others cultivate. for themselves lands which their masters have allotted to them. In this case the master supplies cattle and implements of husbandry.

In Purnesh, according to Dr. Buchanan, the slaves who are exclusively domestic live Buchanan. Martin, entirely in their master's house, whilst those who are employed partly in agriculture and vol. 3, p. 123. partly in domestic service are allowed a separate hut and small garden for themselves and families, where they receive an allowance of grain and coarse cloth for a subsistence. The numbers, where they receive an allowance of grain and coarse cloth for a subsistence. The allowance usually given annually to a slave of this description is about 15 maunds, at 64 sicca weight a seer, or 965 lbs: of grain, and a piece of coarse cloth; his wife's labour and his garden must furnish every other article of expense. When the women are required to work for the master, they and the children are fed and clothed entirely at his expense. The children, so soon as they are able to tend cattle, are taken to their master's house, where they are fed and clothed until married.

In the province of Behar, those who live in the master's house receive a portion of the food dressed for the family; the quantity so allowed is not fixed, but proportioned to the appetite of the slave. Those who live in a separate house, and choose to dress their own food, have fixed rations.

In Bhaugulpore, according to Dr. Buchanan, the slaves are generally very poorly provided. Ditto, vol. 2, p. 98, Those who are employed entirely as domestics live in their master's house, and receive food 99. and raiment. Those who are employed partly as domestics and partly in agriculture, and those who are employed entirely in agriculture (which is the case with the greatest part), receive an allowance. "The usual daily allowance is about three seers, Calcutta weight, the former making it of less value than the latter. The slave from this must find clothing, salt, oil and other seasoning, fuel and cooking utensils. His master gives him a wretched hut, where he lives almost alone, for, although he is always married, his wife and children live in the master's house, and there receive food and clothing." But the allowance above described is, in the case of the purely agricultural slave, contingent on his master having employment for him. When there is no work on the farm, the slaves of this class get no allowance, but are allowed to cut firewood, or do any other kind of labour for a subsistence.

In the district of Behar, according to the evidence we have received, the daily allowance for an adult male slave is three seens of rice in the husk, or two seers of wheat unground, and, in addition, three-quarters of a seer of suttoo, which is the meal made from inferior grain or pulse; and this being more than the slave can consume, he barters the surplus for sait and other conduments. He can sometimes get a little tobacco out of the surplus, but it is not enough to purchase paun and betel. He has no allowance of fuel, but must find it

for himself. The usual allowance of clothing is two suits in the year. This information, however, does not, tally with the account given by Dr. Buchanan, who Ditto, vol. 1, p. 125, This information, however, does not, any with the account given by Dr. Buchanan, who Ditto, vol. 1, p. 125, states that the allowance given to the slaves in the Bchar and Patna districts is in general 479. more scanty than that given in Bhaugulpore; and we gather from his remarks that the slaves in Shahabad are in this respect on the same footing as in Behar. In the district of Goruckpore, the Kurmi slaves, who have been described as confined to Ditto, vol. 2, p. 427.

the Parraona division, and four-fifths of whom are employed entirely in agriculture, live in their masters' houses, receiving food and clothing

In Rohilcund, the slaves are fed from the family meals, and they are provided with two or three suits of clothes in the year. They also receive occasionally a few pice, and opulent masters will give them one or two rupees per month, besides food and clothing.

The slave is entitled to maintenance from his master in age and infirmity; and according to the evidence taken by us regarding the province of Behar and the western provinces, this support is never withheld. But the Governor-general's agent in South Behar says, tuis support is never withheld. But the Governor-general's agent in South Behar says, Appendix II, there is no "provision for those slaves who from old age, or from any other cause, become No. 64. unable to work, so that if they happen to have no families to support them, they must depend on charity." Dr. Buchanan, also, in his account of the slaves in Bhaugulpore, says, Buchanan. Martin, "When old, their allowance is in general exceedingly scanty, and commonly depends, in vol. 2, p. 98, 99. Some measure, and sometimes in a great part, upon what their children can spare. If they

• The officiating judge of Sylhet says, "Whether the actual services to be performed by slaves are required, or otherwise, it seems to be incumbent on the master to support them and their children."-Appendix II., No. 49. 262.

D3

20

Buchanan. Martun, have no children, they are sometimes turned out to beg." And as he states that the allow-vol. 1, p. 125, 479. ance given to the slaves in the districts of Behar and Patna is in general more scanty than in Bhaugulpore, and that the slaves in Shahabad are on the same footing as those in Behar, it may be presumed that the aged slave is as little cared for in those parts of the country as in the district of Bhaugulpore.

10.—Treatment and General Condition of the Slaves.

We are led to conclude, from the information before us, that the system of slavery prevailing in this part of India is of a very mild character. In general, the slaves are well fed vaning in this part of radia is of a very mild character. In general, the stayes are well red and clothed, humanely treated, and contented with their lot; instances of cruelty and ill-usage are rare, and the correction which they receive at the hands of their masters is moderate. In respect of the supply of their physical wants, and particularly of the certainty of that supply, their condition is as good or better than that of the free servents and subsistence. In times of scarcity they have greatly the advantage of the generality of the lower orders, and in most cases the condition of children sold into slavery is better than the condition they were born to. The slave, however, must usually share the fate of his master. If the master is pinched, so is the slave, and if the master is prosperous, the slave fares well ; and this community of interests is a stimulus to the labour of the slave.

The domestic slaves are generally the most favoured servants of the family; they are treated with more kindness and attention than free persons who are hired, and trusted in preference to the latter in important matters and confidential employments. In some respectable families a clever slave will be invested with the entire superintendence of his master's household, and the slaves of wealthy zemindars are sometimes employed as agents and managers of the estates and property at a distance from the residence of their owners. In the simplicity of manners prevailing in India, the servants of a household live in a great In one simplicity of manners prevaiing in India, the servants of a household live in a great measure on a footing of equality with the rest of the family, and the reciprocal regard gene-rally existing between a master and his slave, particularly a hereditary slave, is sometimes heightened into an attachment resembling that between parent and child; and it is stated by the jndge of Mymensingh, that the slave often succeeds by will to the property of his master. Female slaves are regarded with great affection by their owner's children whom they have brought up. Slaves who have been liberated, or left to seek their own livelihood, in conservative in consequence of the inability of their owners to maintain them, have been frequently known to support their former masters or mistresses from the earnings of their industry, or by begging for them, and the expectation of this support in time of distress is stated by one

witness to be a principal inducement to purchase a slave. Though less kindness is felt for the slave who does not live in his master's house, he is treated in the same way as a hired labourer, and even perhaps with greater indulgence, as the full measure of work is generally exacted from the latter; though a severe master might oppress his slave in a way which a free hired person of the same caste would not submit to.

The general feeling of the judicial functionaries in favour of freedom has, no doubt, greatly tended to the amelioration of the condition of the slaves since the introduction of the British rule. The fear of punishment restrams the master from grossly ill-treating his slave; and though great harshness will on occasions be submitted to, if the general conduct of the proprietor be merciful and indulgent, yet if such harshness becomes frequent the slave absconds, and often finds a refuge on the estate of some landholder, whose protection secures him from molestation, whilst the inconvenience and expense attending an application to the courts, and the uncertainty of the issue, must frequently deter the masters from having recourse to that measure for the recovery of the fugitive. This is specially the case with Mahomedan masters, who are conscious of their inability to establish a strictly legal claim to their slaves. With those masters, therefore, to whom the services of slaves are an object of importance, self-interest ensures kind and considerate treatment to the slaves, their owners being sensible that they cannot retain them in their service against their inclinations

We give a few extracts from some of the reports of the public officers on this subject. "Slavery in these provinces," says the acting judge of Chittagong, "exists only in name. It is a species of servitude almost reduced to a contract, which, if not explicit, is implied. Kindhess and good treatment, sustenance and a home, are the articles on one side, faithful rvice on the other.

service on the other." The acting joint magistrate of Tipperah observes, "In fact, slavery is now looked upon by the natives themselves as extinct. They see that the days of slavery are past, and they have almost ceased to regard their slaves in the light of property. Slavery even in name would speedily disappear from among the native population were it not for the vain and fallacious notion that prevails in the upper classes of native society, that the possession of a long train of slaves increases their respectability, and enhances their importance in the eyes of the humbler classes of their fellow-countrymen. Slavery, as it exists at present in this part of India, assumes the very mildest form, and I have doubt whether it be not, upon its present footing, rather beneficial than otherwise in a country hke this. Masters now well knowing that under a Britsh administration the only hold they have over their alsees is by the engagement of their good-will and affections,—being aware that any thing approximating to ill usage or hard treatment would be resented by an appeal to the magistrate, and followed by speedy and total emancipation,—they, for the most part, being accessible to the ductates of self-interest, if not to the voice of humanity, take ample care to provide for the wants, and even even

Appendix II., No. 47.

No. 20.

Appendix II., No. 39.

Ditto, No. 42.

even for the comforts of this class of their dependents; and I have known not a few instances of slaves, who, being bred and born in the families of their masters, have felt and expressed for them the most tender and affectionate regard." The acting magnetizet of Allahabad. "Here slavery exists but in name."

The magistrate of Muttra. "The general belief amongst the natives is, that our govern- No. 99. ment does not recognize slavery. It certainly does exist, but it is merely in name; the Ditto, No. 114.

anear uses not recognize navery. It certainly does exist, but it is merely in name; the Ditto, No. 114. slaves are always well treated, and looked upon as part of the family." The judge of Allyghar. "Slavery in its general meaning is not known in this district. Ditto, No. 116. A species of it exists in a very mild form in the houses of the wealthy under the term Khanch-zad, but merely in name, for an individual of this class enjoys the same rights, and is in cere results fore as other men." is in every respect as free as other men." The commissioner of Bareilly. "Whatever the original Mahomedan or Hindoo law may Ditto, No. 118.

have been on this subject, I believe it to be an undenable fact, that slaves in Western India are no longer property. I come to this conclusion from never having met with an instance

are no longer property. I come to this conclusion from hever having met what all instance in which the right to a slave was disputed amongst members of families, who for every other inheritable or saleable portion of the ancestorial property were at the most bitter discord." The joint magistrate of the Rohtnk division of the Delhi territory. "It may be said, Ditto, No. 138. indeed, that slavery is unknown in this district, save by name, and only in this respect in a very limited degree. In some of the Mussulman communities there exists a class of people disconnected Chalance the correlation of why word would seem to denote that the denominated Gholams, the signification of which word would seem to denote that the class so designated is in a state of slavery. But this does not practically hold true. These people are not in a state of servitude, and no rights, to the best of my knowledge, are claimed over them, which place them on any other legal footing than that on which stand the other inhabitants of the district."

Doubtless, however, the slaves are differently treated ; some are well, some are ill used. Allowance must be made, in estimating the value of the information before us, for the fact, that of the witnesses we have examined, several are slave-owners, and all are of the class of slave-owners, and that the public officers who have favoured us with reports on the subject are not in general, from their peculiar position, hkely to be well informed of the condition of the slaves; and the latter can seldom possess the means of applying to them for the redress of their grevances. Yet even in the information so obtained, we find exceptions to what has been above described as the general treatment and condition of this portion of the population.

One of the witnesses, describing the state of the slaves in Cuttack, says, " The condition of No. 9. slaves is harder than that of free labourers. Their work is harder, their fare and clothing worse; and they are sometimes beaten.'

The magistrate of Backergunge says, "The female slaves of ordinary persons are gene-Appendix'II., rally well treated, for they can easily run away. But it is to be feared that the slave girls No. 37. of powerful zemindars, whose houses are surrounded by their own villages, through which escape is almost impracticable, are often treated with oppression and cruelty."

The seventies to which the slaves of ill-disposed masters of the Baman caste in the district. of Behar are subjected has been already noticed.*

of behar are subjected has been aready noticed." The judge of Furnckabad observes, complaints (of eruelty or hard usage) are of rare Ditto, No. 116. occurrence; "which is not, however, proof of non-existence of evil of the kind; for it is well known that great cruelty is often exercised: I have personal knowledge thereof. The want of freedom probably stifles complaint." The judge of Moradabad. "I imagine that slaves are frequently worse fed and worse Ditto, No. 123. clothed than hired servants, from motives of parsimony in their masters; but I am not pre-pared to state that they are generally maltreated, and many instances doubtless occur in which they meet with the greatest kindness and price them.

which they meet with the greatest kindness and protection."

The severe treatment and cruelty to which the slaves in Rohilcund (including Moradabad) are sometimes subjected, from the cholenc disposition of their Afghan masters, have already * are sometimes subjected, from the choice disposition of their Argnan masters, have already the been mentioned; and the agent to the Governor-general of the ceded and conquered pro- Slavery in India, vinces, in 1811, speaking of the importations from the hills into Rohilcund, Meerut and 1828, p. 115. Saharunpore, says, "The males are for the most part employed as demestic servants, who sometimes obtain comfortable establishments in the families by whom they are bought as slaves; this occurrence I believe to be rare, the greater number leading a laborious life for bare subsistence, and are often hardly treated." One of the afficient employed in Access choice are the provide the structure of the difference of the afficient of the structure of the

One of the officers employed in Assam observes, "Though the slavery of India is mild, Ditto, 1838, p. 357. compared to what it is elsewhere, I have seen myself cases of intolerable hardship."

The statements of Dr. Buchanan on this, as on every other point connected with the

subject, are valuable, and we therefore give them. In his account of Rungpore, he says, " Poor parents who are under the necessity of part- Buchanan. Martin, ing with even their male children, whom they sell with more reluctance than females, as vol. 3, p. 496-7. being a greater resource for support m old age, give them for a few rupees to any decent person that will undertake to rear them. These are in general considered as a kind of adopted children, and are called Palok-beta, or sons by nourishment. Wealthy people seldom take such children, because, if active and industrious, they usually leave their nourishers when they grow up, and in fact are not slaves, though while they remain with their master they receive no wages. It is among the Mahomedans that the custom of nou-rishing poor children is chiefly practised."

" Head, " Coercion."

D4

Describing the domestic slaves in Purneah, he says, "So far as I can learn, they are in Ditto, vol. 3, p. 123.

٠.

general

Appendıx II.,

31

262.

general tolerably well treated, and fare as well as the ordinary class of servants, whose state, however, in this country is not very enviable. They have, however, wherewithal to stay the cravings of appetite for food, and the comfort of marriage, without the care of providing for a family." Again; "They (the slaves) frequently run away, and, going to a little distance, hure themselves out as servants, which shows that their former state was not enviable." The condition of the slaves in respect of food, clothing and lodging in the districts of Bhauguipore, Behar, Patha and Shahabad, according to the same authority, has already been suchanan. Martin, described; it must be added, however, that, in speaking of the Mahomedan slaves in the vol. 1, p. 141. Ditto, vol. 2, p. 247: the domestic slaves in Gouckpore, received in marriage presents from the province of Behar, also as "treated with great kindness." also as " treated with great kindness."

11,-Slave and Free Labour compared,

In Cuttack, slave labour is more economical than free, both for domestic and agricultural work; and the land is said to be better cultivated by the slaves than by freemen, because the former feel that they have an interest in it.

In Calcutta, the domestic service of slaves is cheaper than that of free persons,

In those districts * of the Bengal province, respecting which we have any information on this point, slave labour, both domestic and agricultural, is considered either on a par with, this point, shave about, both domestic and agricultural, is considered either on a par with, or more expensive than, free labour, excepting in Dinagepore, where, according to the judge's account, a slave, for his daily food and two sets of clothes, costs about 18 rupees per annum, while a hired servant gets his daily food and wages at the rate of one rupee four annas per mensem, equal to about 30 rupees per annum. But Dr. Buchanan says, the free domestic servants of this district have miserable wages, and are very poorly clothed.

In the province of Behar, it is considered on the whole more economical to employ slaves an freemen. The same quantity of work is required from both, but the zeal inspired by than freemen. a permanent attachment to his owner's family causes the slave to accomplish more than the hired labourer, who gives to his employer as little labour as he can. According to the account given by the Patna council in 1774, it was only persons living on their own free estates in the country, and who employed their slaves both in the house and on their land, for their support. "In the city," they observe, "few people choose these Kuhar slaves, being indifferent to their business, and equally expensive with other servants."

Regarding the western provinces we are only informed, that in Rohilcund the labour of the slave is very little cheaper than that of the freeman.

Independently of considerations of economy, slaves are, in general, as domestics, and parti-cularly as female domestics, much preferred to free servants. From their greater fidelity they can be more safely trusted with the custody of money and other valuables; and the comfort and privacy of the women's apartments are better secured by the ministration of female slaves than by temporary servants of the same sex, who would more frequently give rise to scendal, and be more disposed to aid and abet intrigues.

sccndal, and be more disposed to aid and abet intrigues. Moreover, in some parts of the country there is a difficulty in procuring free domestic servants. "In the civilized parts (of Rungpore)," says Dr. Buchanan, "many are induced to keep slaves from the difficulty of procuring servants, especially of the female sex." Again; "The most striking circumstance in the domestic economy of the people of Dinagepore is the want or scarcity of female servants, even in houses of distinction. This does not proceed from the want of female delicacy in the women of rank; but from the difficulty of procuring women that will serve, as the whole almost are married." So also in his account of Purneah; "In many parts no free women servants are on any account procurable. In some they can be had for nearly the same wares that are given on most of them are elded women." be had for nearly the same wages that are given to men; most of them are elderly women that have lost their connexions; but some are young, and are probably concubines veiled under a decent name. In the eastern parts of the district, again, many poor creatures give up their services for merely food and raiment, as is usually the case with the women-servants in Dinagepore."

In the province of Behar, free domestics are in some places procurable, particularly in towns, and m such places the females belonging to Hindoo families in poor circumstances have no objection to hire themselves as servants, nor is it considered disreputable to do so. It is usually widows who thus take service, as marned women cannot be spared from the management of their own households. In other parts of the province free female servants are not to be had; both males and females of the lower classes thinking it derogatory to take menual service; and to the females in particular it is disreputable. In other parts, again, free servants, either male or female, are not attainable, though there is nothing durantified in the condution of a formele compart disreputable in the condition of a female servant,

Dr. Buchanan, in his account of Bhaugulpore, says, "Female free servants are in general Dr. Duchanau, in his account of brauguipore, say, remain the section of procurable, and those that can be had are commonly old women, who have lost all their kindred, and attend as domestics for food and raiment." And in his account of Behar and Ditto, vol. 1, p. 125. Pata; "Some of the women servants are young, and none are commonly procurable of any age without wages as high nearly as those given to men." In Shahabad the women servants, have authority, have nearly the some at the women servants are young, and none are commonly procurable of any age without wages as high nearly as those given to men." In Shahabad the women servants, have authority, have nearly the some at the women servants. according to the ame authority, have nearly the same allowances as the men. He adds, " I know

* Burdwan, Jessore, Backergunge, Rajeshahy and Dinagepore.

.

* vol. 1, p. 141.

Appendix II., No. 59.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 703.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 5.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 496. Ditto, vol. 2, p. 704.

Dittoy vol. 3, p. 120-1.

Ditto, vol. 2, p. 98.

.

"I know that all the free female domestics in one of the three (police) divisions where any are kept, are employed in a Mahomedan family, and suspect that the same is the case in the other two divisions. The Hindoo ladies, therefore, perform most drudgeries, except the bringing water, or other such labours as would expose them to view." He states, also, "that in the two greatest Hindoo families in the district there is no female domestic."

Respecting the western provinces, we learn only from Dr. Buchanan, that free domestic Buchanan. Martin servants, both male and female, are more numerous in proportion in Goruckpore than in vol. 2, p. 426. Shahabad; and from one of the witnesses examined by us, that in Robilcund no difficulty No. 14. is experienced in obtaining free female domestic servants.

The preference given to slave service is, however, by no means universal. In Cuttack, though slaves are generally preferred, one witness states, that families who have, under the No. 15. British rule, risen from poverty into affluence, have not purchased slaves, because they think them saucy and faithless, and also because there is an impression among the people that such purchases are prohibited.

"It is a general remark," says the additional judge of Burdwan, "that slaves are more Appendix II., troublesome and more expensive than hired servants, and with the exception of a few slave No. 20. girls for the female apartments, the Mahomedan masters would not much regret the enactment of any law which might give to their slaves the option of leaving the houses of their masters, or of remaining there as bired servants. At present, useless, idle slaves are in many instances retained by their masters, merely from a feeling that they ought not to drive away from their house one born and brought up under their roof; and they know that, if they were to do so, their good name would in some degree suffer in the estimation of their friends and neighbours. There is also some consequence and respectability attached in the eyes of the natives to the possession of slaves, and this also induces some individuals to keep them while it will, at the same time, be almost always admitted, that free servants are more useful and less troublesome than the present race of slaves." The magnetrate of Backergunge. " Dealing in male slaves has nearly, if not entirely, Ditto, No. 37.

ceased in this district. Plentiful harvests, the difficulty of retaining male slaves against their will, unless they are married to slave girls, together with the circumstance of male servants being easily procurable, and maintained at less expense than slaves, have contributed to cause the cessation of the traffic. The few male slaves in this district are nearly all kept only to ensure the stay of the females."

The joint magistrate of Furreedpore. "I am told that the value of slaves is small, and Ditto, No. 46. that they are more expensive generally than hired servants, and but for the convenience of them, as regards the security of the haram, they would be in a great degree dispensed with altogether."

attogener." The judge of Dinagepore, after stating the great comparative cheapness of slave over free Ditto, No. 59. service, and which he supposes the chief inducement to keep slaves, adds, " In the case of those masters who have inherited slaves for two or more generations. I have heard some say, that they would not feel at a loss if they were deprived of their slaves by an act of liberation on the part of government; masmuch as, from having lived long in their family, they become much less attentive and useful than hired servants, conceiving that they cannot be turned off that their masters must support them and so hearms there a burthey on their turned off, that their masters must support them, and so become rather a burthen on their support." This accords with Dr. Buchanan's account : he remarks, "Some rich Mahome- Buchanan. Martin, dan farmers (of Dinagepore) said, that in the last famines (of 1770 and 1788) some children vol. 2. p. 913-14. had been purchased in order rather to keep them from starving than with a view to profit. These have turned out very ill, and were so idle and careless that their labour became much more costly than that of hired servants."

The witness whom we examined on the state of slavery in Rohilcund says, "Slaves are No. 14, not worked harder than free servants, and the labour of the former is very little cheaper than that of the latter, whilst it has this disadvantage, that a slave cannot be turned off at pleasure."

12.—Slaves rendering occasional Service, or temporarily separated from their Masters.

Between those slaves who are constantly occupied in their master's service, and those persons who, having been slaves, have, by the course of events, attained to a practical in-dependence, there are some who, being located on their owners' lands, or left to procure ther own maintenance, render to their masters only occasional service; and others who are in a state of temporary but complete separation from their owners. Many of the numerous slaves of wealthy landholders are settled on the estates of their

masters, and are supported either by having lands given them to cultivate at a reduced rent, or by small assignments of land rent-free, paying the ordinary rent for any lands they may be allowed to hold beyond such assignments for their support. Strictly, however, they have no right to any part of the produce of the land, nor to any property as against their masters, and sometimes the latter, in a moment of displeasure, will deprive them of every thing they possess. The slaves maintained in this manner may be said to be kept for parposes of state, as they are not required to perform any service except that of attending at ceremonies, unless, indeed, on any particular emergency their master should summon them to his assistance.

Some of those described by Dr. Buchanan as being in Purneah mostly employed iff Buchanan. Martin, agriculture, are probably of this class. Of these he says, "Such persons are in fact by vol. 3, p. 195, and far the easiest class of labouring people in the district, and of course never attempt to run MSS. 262. Ē awav,

away, and are in general very faithful to their masters, who, although at a vast expense of land in maintaining them, very seldom sell them; but they possess the power, which operates strongly in rendering these slaves careful in the performance of their master's com-mands, and regardless of its nature." According to one witness these slaves in Purneah receive a small pecuniary allowance and rations while rendering occasional services.

When also the slaves of any master have multiplied * beyond his wants, or his means of When also the slaves of any master have multiplied " beyond his wants, or his means of providing for them, those whose services are not required are permitted to settle on lands either of their owner (in which cases a spot of ground is given them for the erection of a residence) or of others, and to take and cultivate lands as other free tenants paying rent, or to go out to service, or otherwise to follow their own occupations, and are so left to maintain themselves. In Sylhet, some of the slaves in this condition are police burkun-dauzes, receiving government pay to their own use. The masters do not by this measure relinquish their right of ownership over the slaves, and the slaves attend them at manuages, deaths, and festivals, and render them other occasional service, for which, in Sylhet, they receive no remuneration; but in the province of Behar they receive the same rations as a freeman during the period of their attendance, and usually a present also, and sometimes they receive wages in addition to their rations, but not so high as those of a freeman. In Chittagong, if called on for extra service beyond the usual attendance at festivals, they are entitled to receive the regulated hire of a free labourer.

Further, when a master falls into distress, he will direct his slaves to seek their own livelirurner, when a master falls into distress, he will direct his slaves to seek their own liveli-hood, reserving his right of ownership, and of recalling them to his service should his cur-cumstances at any future time allow of his so doing. In this case it is not usual for the master to receive any of the slave's earnings, except in Cuttack, when the slave is the child of the master; and, on the authority of Dr. Buchanan, we may except the district of Shaha-bad also, where, "when a master is so poor that he cannot feed his slaves, he usually requires them to give him a share of their wages." One of the witnesses, speaking of the customs of Benares and its vicinity, gives it as his opnion, that the master could not legally appropriate the earnings of a slave so situated. if he afterwards receiled him to he correct appropriate the earnings of a slave so situated, if he afterwards recalled him to his service. Many slaves, also, on the decay of their masters' fortunes, quit them without formal per-

mission, and work for themselves.

13 .- Manumission.

Manumession is extremely rare—so rare, that the slaves generally regard it as unat-tamable, and when well used probably do not desire it. It sometimes takes place when a master has particular cause of satisfaction with his slave; in which case it is usually accompanied with a gift of the means of earning a subastence, either in the shape of land, or a present of money. It also occasionally happens that a master, anticipating from the evid disposition of his children that they will maltreat his slaves after his death, manumits such of them as he has a regard for. Further, when a family of respectability is reduced to distress, and can no longer maintain the slaves attached to it, they are sometimes set at liberty.

liberty. In Cuttack, on the manumission of a purchased slave, the master delivers up to him the deed of sale; if there is no such deed he executes a farigh-khuttee, or release. In the southern division of this district, if a slave girl marries a freeman with her owner's consent, she becomes free. In Chittagong, also, if a Mussulman master marries his Mahomedan female slave to a freeman, and permuts her to go away with her husband, it amounts to emancipation.

In Rohleund, according to the only witness we have had an opportunity of examining on the state of slavery in this part of the country, when a slave attains the age of about 40 years, though his owner continues to maintain him, he relaxes in his demand of service : he also after that period permits him to seek other employment. If the slave takes advantage of this license, he separates from his owner, and works as a freeman; but if he remains with his master, his services being less rigorously exacted, he has time to work for himself, and the master does not interfere with his gains in this way. Here, also, a master reduced to distress generally lets his slaves go free.

Sometimes a master turns away a slave for continued misconduct. Seventy, and even extreme ill-usage, though punishable as a criminal offence, confers no right to emancipation; but one of the witnesses considers that the withholding support, or mability to give it, would authorize a court to set the slave free.

matching to give it, would autorize a court to set the slave nee. But though not actually manunitted, which can only be by express words, many slaves annually obtain their freedom in the modes which we have already described, when treating of the Kurmi and Kuhar slaves of Behar. Of those slaves who, in consequence of their masters having no employment for them, or of their inability to maintain them, are per-ting the start with their start with the start of their masters (as we are fold mitted to seek their own invelubood, many probably return to their masters (as we are told is the case with the Kurmi slaves of the Paina and Behar distincts), if the latter are in a condition to reclaim them before any considerable time has elapsed; but the partial separation is frequently prolonged until it terminates in the practical freedom, or even absolute independence,

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 1, p. 479.

No. 28.

No. 14.

No. 4.

No. 27.

[•] Dr. Buchanan, in a passage already quoted, observed, that in the civilized parts of Rungpore the alaves did not appear to be on the increase, and that the importation did not seem to do more than keep up the number, although the master always procured a wife for his slave: and, according to Witness, No. 20, a slave family in Bhaugulpore is never on the increase, the average uses of one woman being 3 or 4, of whom not less than one-half dre in infancy.

pendence, of the slave or his offspring, particularly when the decay of the master's family is the cause of the separation, or the secession of the slave, in which case it seldom happens that the slave can ever be again reduced to actual slavery.

As an illustration of the effects of a public calamity on the condition of the slave population, we may here notice the evidence of one of the witnesses examined respecting the No. 15. slavery of Cuttack. In consequence of the famme which prevailed in 1790 in that district, many persons in the northern or Balasore division, who possessed slaves, were unable any longer to support them, and the slaves became practically free. This he states to have been the case with his own family, who, before that period, possessed more than 20 houses of slaves; and persons of those very slave stocks are now in the service of his family as freemen, receiving wages. He further states, that there are now no slaves de facta in his pergunnah (Runna), though there are many de jure, which he considers to be the result of the famile, and of the decay of the ancent families, since the acquisition of the country by the British. He has been told also that in other parts of Cuttack masters have generally lost all practical dominion over their slaves.

14.- Transfer of Slaves by Gift.

It is customary for a father on the marriage of a daughter to give, as part of the mar-riage present, one or more of the female slaves of the family to be her attendants; and in the amongst other presents, to the officiating Brahmin. We have already stated, on the authority of Dr. Buchanan, that almost all the domestic slaves in Goruckpore were received in marriage presents from the province of Behar,

15.-Transfer of Slaves by Sale.

In Cuttack, such slaves as are the spurious kindred of their masters are never sold, but all others are constantly transferred by sale from one master to another, excepting in the southern division of the district, where, in consequence of their being scarce, they are seldom parted with.

In all the other provinces of the presidency, it is generally considered as disreputable to sell a slave ; and, in Rohilcund, any master resorting to such a measure would be called a burdeh-furosh,+ or slave-dealer. Besides that, the relation between master and slave is considered a family tie; the rank and respectability of a family is measured by the number of its dependents; and, according to the prejudices of the people, few of these dependents can be dismissed without incurring disgrace. Hence the sale of this description of property is seldom resorted to unless when the owner is reduced to distress, and can no longer maintain his slaves; and, even in that case, persons of respectability prefer either to give their slaves their liberty, or to dismiss them to seek their own livelibood, reserving their proprietary right should circumstances afterwards allow of their asserting it. Such sales, therefore, are not common in the above provinces, though they appear to be more so in the districts of Behar and Tirhoot than in other parts; but a master will sometimes sell a slave who gives trouble by his misconduct. An indistinct knowledge of the regulations respecting the slave trade, and the leaning of the courts in favour of liberty, have probably rendered the sale of slaves less common than formerly.

The above account, however, founded on information lately collected by us, must be received with some limitation. The assistant to the magistrate of Daoca Jelalpore stated, in 1816, that the custom of disposing of persons already in a state of slavery was common. 1828, p. 247. throughout that district, and that regular deeds of sale were executed in such cases, some of which were registered in the court. The father of one of the witnesses examined, a native of No. 33. Sylhet, purchased 10 families of slaves from their masters. The Council of Patna, in 1774, Slavery said, "Whole families (of slaves) were formerly sold together; but we do not find that the 1828, p. custom, though of old standing and still in force, is now attended to, except in the Mofussil, where sometimes the survivor of an old family, retired on his altungah, cultivates his lands by the hands of these slaves, who also perform the menial offices of the house." The officiating judge of Cawnpore mentions, that, as register and civil judge in South Behar, Appendix II.

he daily decided cases of purchase of whole families of predial slaves, No. 105. The statements of Dr. Buchanan confirm the account of the disgraceful light in which Bachanan. Martin, the sale of slaves is regarded in most pairs of the districts of Behar and Patna; but he vol. 1, p. 125, 479. says, that in Gyah, and some other places, the slaves are occasionally sold, and that in Ditto, vol. 2, p. 99, Shahabad they are often so disposed of. According to the same authority, in Bhaugulpore, they are not often brought to market, and in Goruckpore neither the domestic nor agricultural slaves are ever sold.

There appears to be no legal restriction on the right of the master to sell his slave, but custom and the general feeling seem to have imposed restraints in some cases.

1. It is not usual, according to our information, in any part of the country, to sell slaves 80

262. E 2 .

35

Slavery in India,

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 5.

427.

This is probably true of many other parts also, though our ordence on this point is confined to Behar. + See Erulence, No.14. Another writeess (No. 17) characterises such transfers as "sales of human fiesh." This devian of the provincial council of Burdwan, in his report to the council, dated in July 1774, on the subject of slavery in that part of the country, stated, that the children of slaves "cannot be sold either by the parents or by the master."

vol. 1, p. 195, 479.

Buchanan. Martin, so as to separate husband and wife, or children of tender age from their parents;* but vol.3, p. 124. Dr. Buchanan remarks on the subject of slavery in Purneah, that, although " in most parts (of that district) man and wife, provided they belong to the same master, are not usually sold separate, nor is it the custom to separate children from their parents until they are marriageable; in others they are sold in whatever manner the master pleases, and there the Ditto, vol. 2, p. 99; price rises considerably higher." He lakewise states it to be the case in Bhaugulpore, that slaves may be sold in whatever manner the master pleases, and he observes generally, that the slaves in Patna, Bebar and Shahabad'are (with some specified exceptions) nearly on the same footing as in Bhaugulpore, but whether the general remark extends to this particular point or not, we cannot be certain.

2. In the districts of Sylhet, Behar, Patna and Tirhoot, it is not usual to sell a slave to a purchaser residing at a great distance, so as to place him beyond the reach of communication with people of his own class; in Tirhoot especially, according to the reports of the public officers, sales of slaves are entirely confined within the limits of the district, or rather to the immediate price between the matteria called a state and the sale of the sale to the immediate neighbourhood of their master's residence; and were a master to sell a slave beyond the boundaries of the zillah, the slave would in a short time quit his new save beyond the boundaries of the main, the save would in a sint time quit his new owner and return to it. "It is not in my power," observes the judge, "to give a reason for this fact, but I have been given to understand that such has invariably been the custom, and the result of every attempt to evade it." In Bhaugulpore, it would not be considered hard to sell a slave to any distance, or into another district. In Shahabad the sales are practically confined to the neighbouring zillahs.

3. In the district of Cuttack, the consent of the slave is considered necessary to the validity of the transfer. According to one witness, such consent was necessary by ancient local usage; but according to another witness, a slave formerly might be sold to a purchaser living at any distance, and the master was not considered to act oppressively in so chaser living at any distance, and the master was not considered to act oppressively in so doing; and the consent of the slave has been deemed necessary only since the insue of a proclamation in 1824, by the then Commissioner of the district, declaring the sale of slaves illegal; the effect of that proclamation having been, not to put an end to such sales, but to prevent their taking place without the consent of the slave. In Sylhet, it is not com-mon to sell a slave against his will. The Decca court of circuit, however, in a letter of the 26th April 1616, on the state of slavery in their division, t say, "The transfer of slaves, we are informed, sometimes takes place both with and against the consent of the slaves themselves, but in the latter case, the mildest and most indugent conduct can alone secure to the purchaser any favourable result from such transaction." In Rungpore, the arbitrary sale of slaves is understood to be very unfrequent. In Bhaugulpore, neither would the slave's consent be asked, nor any objection he might make be attended to. In the districts slave's consent be asked, nor any objection he might make be attended to. In the districts of Behar, Patna and Tirhoot, the usage is, after the master has fixed the price of his slave, to allow the latter to object to the purchaser, and to select any other who is willing to pay the price; but if the slave cannot within a reasonable time find another purchaser, the transaction must proceed. In Rohilcund, the slave of a Mahomedan master has no right to choose his purchaser.

4. In Tipperah, no adult Hindoo slave can be sold to a Mahomedan against his will; and in Bhaugulpore, a Hindoo master would not sell his Hindoo slave to a Mussulman.

The form of instrument used to record these sales is either an absolute bill of sale or a deed of lease, the latter being resorted to for reasons already stated when describing the forms used in cases of self-sale, and sale of children and adults, from freedom into slavery. One witness, speaking of the asges of Tirhoot, states, that property in slaves being, by the Hindoo law, treated with the same respect as immoveable property, and transferred with requal formality, no person purchases a slave without full inquiry, and all particulars are recorded in the conveyance; and when a slave is purchased of a stranger, it is usual to require that some known person should become security that the vendor has a right to sell.

16.—Prices of Slaves.

Of course the prices of slaves vary according to circumstances; their age, their ments, the nature of the service for which they are intended, and the wants of the contracting parties. In the districts of Bhaugulpore and Behar, the price is settled either by the parties themselves or by a committee of arbitrators, who determine the value after a personal examination of the slave.

In Cuttack, the price of a young adult slave, male or female, varies from five to thirty rupees; children of five years old fetch about one-fifth the above. Generally, the slaves of pure caste bear a higher price than those of the impure tribes, as the latter cannot be employed in domestic service; but slaves of the Gokha caste, which is an impure one, sell for more than others; the men being fishermen, their wives skilful in buying and selling, and their occupation a productive one both to the slave and the master. The Gokha is allowed to retain A large share of the produce, making over the remainder to his master. The females of this class never sell for less than 60 rupees; the males fetch a lower price, the reason whereof does not appear.

Ín

Appendix IL. No. 81.

No. 9. No. 6.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 245.

No. 3.

^{*} One witness (No. 20), speaking of Bhangalpore, says, "No one would purchase young children under 10 or 11 years of age separately from their mother, as the trouble and expense of rearing them would not be compensated by any services they could render."

Viz. the districts of Backergunge, Chittagong, Tipperah, Dacca Jelalpore, City of Dacca, Mymensing's and Sylhet.

In Calcutta, Hindoo and Mahomedan boys and girls, brought by dealers in ordinary times from Dacca, Mymensingh and Sylhet, to be disposed of as domestics, sell for prices varying from 20 to 30 rupees.

In Tipperah the price of a	your	ng Ka	ayet n	ian va	nes fi	om	-	Rs.	20	to	40	
A young Kayet woman	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	"'	40	to	100	
A Kavet male child -	-	-			-	-	-	**	10	to	25	
A Kayet female child	-	-		-	-	-	-	,, 1	20	τo	30	
A young Chundal, man or	won	an	-	1	- '	-	-	· ,, , '	10	to	20	
A Chundal child, male or t			-	-	-	-	- 1		7	to	10	

The cause of the high comparative value of the female Kayet is, that she attends upon the ladies of the family. The free females who were formerly inveigled away and exported Slavery in India, from Sylhet fetched a higher price than males, being more in demand as domestic ser- 1828, p.246. vants.

According to Dr. Buchanan, poor parents in Rungpore sell their male children for a Buchanan. Martin, few rupees. The same authority states, that the slaves formerly imported from Assam vol. 3, p. 496-7. into Bengal, and who were mostly children, brought the following prices; viz., girls from Ditto, p. 681. 12 to 15 rupees; a Koch boy, 25 rupees; a Kolita, 50 rupees. In Dingeepore, the children of free parents, sold at six years of age, seldom fetch above 10 rupees, as they frequently abscond before or after they become adults.

In Purneah, according to Dr. Buchanan, a grown man costs about from 15 to 20 rupees; Ditto, vol. 3, a lad at 16 years of age, from 12 to 20 rupees; a girl at eight or ten years, when she is $P \cdot 1^{2}3^{-4}$. usually married, from five to 15 rupees; but in those parts of the district in which there is no customary restriction on the right of sale, the price rises considerably higher than in other parts where such restrictions exist.

In South Behar, a young female Kuhar sells for from 25 to 80 rupees; a young male. Kuhar for from 25 to 40 mupees.

In Bhaugulpore, the following are the usual prices of slaves when valued by arbitrators :

				18 years		from	~	-	-	-	Rupees	25	to	40
	"		15, 18	or 20 13	"		-	-	í	-	·	40	to	60'
A	male	of	12 or	13	, ,,		-	-	-	-	,,	15	to	22
			18 or	20	ʻ "		•	-	-	/-	**	26	to	40
			_											

In the Behar and Patna districts, according to one witness, the price of a Hindoo slave No. 4.

In the Behar district, according to a young male Hindoo, from 25 to 40 rupees. In the Behar district, according to another witness, the price of a young female may be No. 2. from 50 to 125 rupees; that of a young male, about one-third less. The price of children from six to eight years old, from 10 to 15 rupees, the females fetching about one-third more than the males; the reason of the higher price of the female being, that the offspring would belong to her owner.

The magistrate of Behar has given the following table of the average prices of slaves in Appendix II., his district :---

ron				years	olđ,	about	: 4		-"	-	-	-	-	Rupées	ıó	•	
20			14		n		-		-	~	-,	-	-	,,	35		ſ
			30		"	1	-		-	_	- 1	-	-	,,	50``	'	
"					33	•	-		-'	-	` - `	•	, -	<i>"</i> "	30		
"	51	to	60		"		-	,	-	-		-	-	"	12	,	

These, he states, are the usual prices for both males and females purchased for ordinary purposes or general work; but a young and handsome slave girl bought as a concubine will fetch 100 or 200 rupees.

Dr. Buchanan mentions, that in the districts of Behar and Patna, the slaves usually Buchanau, Martin, fetched a rupee for each year of their age, until they reached 20, when they were at their vol. 1, p. 125. highest value ; but that, in general, the price had risen, and in many parts had doubled.

In Shahabad, according to the same authority, young women fetch 20 rupees; men Ditto, p 480. usually 15 rupees.

In Tirhoot, one witness states, the prices of slaves range between 40 and 100 rupees; and No. 17. another, that the probability that the courts will not enforce the rights of the master, has No. 3. caused the prices of slaves to fall considerably, and he gives the following scale of former and present average prices :

	Former Prices.	Present Proces.
A young girl, from A young male of 18 or 20 years of	Rupees 50 to 60	From - Rupees 25 to 40
A young male of 18 or 20 years of	ių, " 30 to 40	"16 to 20

We find in the returns mention made of a sale in this district, in the form of a lease for Appendix II., 99, years, of a person, his wife and children, and children's children, for 19 rupees. No. 86.

In Rohilcund, the proce of a child is from 20 to 30 rupees. The price obtained for males and females, both children and adults, imported by the burdeh-faroshes from the bills into this part of the country, used formerly to be from 10 to 20 rupees each; but it has now Hamilton's Hin-risen to 20 or 30 rupees. According to Hamilton, the prices used to be from 10 to 150, doostan, vol. 1, P. 452. rupces. The E 3

262.

 \mathbf{F}_{1}

No. 73.

p. 452.

The scales of prices above given are those of ordinary times. In periods of scarcity and famine, children are sold by their parents for prices varying from four rupees to 12 annas, and sometimes even for a single meal.

17 .--- Mortgage of Slaves.

In Cuttack, it is common to borrow money on the mortgage of slaves; but the slaves, when mortgaged, continue in the possession of the mortgager.

We have no information whether the practice obtains generally in the province of Bengal or not ; by Fit exists in the district of Rajeshahy in two forms : 10 one, the mortgager receives possession of the slave, and his services discharge the interest of the principal sum lent; in the other, the possession continues in the mortgager, and the security of the creditor depends upon the deed only.

In the province of Behar, the mortgaging of slaves appears to be regarded by the better classes as equally disreputable with the sale of this description of property. In the district of Behar, however, this species of contract is common;[#] and here also it prevails in two forms, the mortgaged slaves either remaining in the possession of their owner, prevails in two forms, the mortgaged slaves either remaining in the possession of their owner, or being transferred to the mortgagee. In the latter case, the mortgagee supports the slave, and has the benefit of his labour, which, however, does not, without special agreement, go to discharge the interest of the debt. Under both forms, the children born during the mortgage belong to the mortgager. The magistrate of the district says, "Mortgages are common, and foreclosures applied for and obtained from the "courts. These contracts are usually attested by the cauzies, and not unfrequently registered in the courts." In Tirhoot, the interest of a debt is sometimes paid by the services of a slave, the slave remaining in the possession of the debtor, and being maintained by him. In this case, if the slave dies, the mortgager must provide another blave: hut if the death because in the the

slave dies, the mortgager must provide another blave; but if the death be occasioned by the fault of the mortgagee, the loss falls upon him.

In the western provinces, the custom of mortgaging slaves does not obtain.

18 .- Letting Slaves to hire.

In no part of the provinces, as far as our information extends, does it appear to be the custom to let slaves to hure, excepting in Jynteah, recently + annexed to Sylhet; the districts of Mymensingh and Rungpore, where, according to one witness, masters let their slaves to hire, particularly females, generally for short periods of from two to six months; and in Pachete in South Behar, where however it is resorted to only when the master is in low circumstances. The magistrate of the district of Behar also mentions the practice of taking slaves on short leases of from two to fen years.

19.—Slaves Adscripti Glebæ.

There appear to be no slaves *adscripti glebæ* in any part of these provinces; but in three districts of Bengal the agricultural slaves seem to be generally sold with the land. The magistrate of Backergunge, in 1816, speaking of Sylhet says, "Some (slaves) there are, whose families have been in a state of slavery for the last hundred years, and who, when a sale of an estate takes place, are included in the purchase." The assistant to the magistrate of Dacca Jelalpore thus writes in the same year : "When

The assistant to the magnetrate of Dacca Jelalpore thus writes in the same year: "When an estate to which slaves are attached is disposed of by private sale, the slaves are very commonly sold at the same time, though a separate deed of sale is always executed." And we are told by one of the witnesses, that in the distinct of Rajeshahy, if an estate is cultivated by slaves, no one would purchase the estate without the slaves, but on such sales separate bills of sale are executed for the land and the slaves; and he instances the sale by public auction for arrears of revenue of a portion of an estate, in which the slave cultivators not having been sold with the land, he considers them de jure to be still the property of the ex-zemindar, but de facto they have become free ryots paying rent to the new one. new one

Mr. Henry Colebrooke, in his munute on the slavery of Bengal written in 1812, says, "In the lower provinces under this presidency, the employment of slaves in the labours of husbandry is nearly if not entirely unknown. In the upper provinces, beginning from Western Behar and Benares, the petty landholders, who are themselves cultivators, are aided in their husbandry by their slaves, whom they very commonly employ as herdsmen and ploughmen; and landholders of a higher order have, in a few instances, the pretensions of masters over a part of their tenants long settled on their estates, and reputed to be descended from persons who were acknowledged slaves of their ancestors. Their claims descended from persons who were acknowledged slaves of their ancestors. Their claims to the services of those hereditary seris are nearly obsolete, and scarcely attended with any practical consequences. The seris pay rent and other dues for the lands which they till and the pasture on which they graze their herds, and are not distinguished from the rest of the peasantry, unless by a questionable restriction of the right of removing at choice. But those

Appendix II, No. 73.

No. 7.

Appendix II., No. 73.

Slavery in Iffdia, 1828, p. 247.

Ditto.

No. 7.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 311.

^{*} But witness, No. 23, speaking of the districts of Behar and Patna, says, "The general belief of the illegality sales has also put a stop to all mortgages."

^{+ 21}st March 1837.

those employed in husbandry by the inferior class of landholders are strictly slaves, and their condition differs from that of household slaves only as the one is occupied in out-door work, and the other in business of the interior of the house.

There is no evidence in the information before us of the present existence of this system of villainage in the western provinces; but probably something of the kind prevailed up to the period at which they were brought under British rule.

Dr. Buchanan in his account of Goruckpore observes, "During the government of the Buchanan. Martin, nawab, the people on each property were held in a great measure as adscript glebæ. vol. 2, p. 537. Perhaps no law existed to this effect, but it was not usual for one landlord to take away his neighbour's tenants; and whoever did so would have been liable to reproaches, which would generally have occasioned the displeasure of the governor." And in a recent report Journal of the on the settlement of the ceded portion of the neighbouring district of Azimgurh, by the late collector, Mr. J. Thomason, we find the following passages :---

" The urzal consists of Bhurs, Chumars, and low caste persons, who are generally located on the estate at some expense of capital, and are liable at any time to be left entirely dependent on the zemindars, who must either support them during a season of scarcity, or see his estate depopulated, and his future sources of profit destroyed."

"The third class, or tenants at will, consist mostly of those who are styled urzal in the preceding paragraph. They neither have nor assert in general any rights, other than the will of the zemidar. They lack what had be gives them, and pay the utmost that they can, either in money or in kind. Besides their direct contributions to his rental, they render him many personal services. If Kuhars, they carry his palankeen, merely receiving in return food to support them during the time. Other classes bring him wood, tend his cattle, or perform numerous other similar services for very inadequate remuneration. Under former governments this power was no doubt recognized and permitted. They were then predial slaves, who were beaten without mercy for misconduct, and were liable to be pursued and brought back if they attempted to escape. Their state is now much improved. The power is now conventional. A Chumar can now sue his zemindar in the criminal court for an assault, and, if detained against his will, can bring his action for false imprisonment; he can even recover in a civil court the wages of labour performed. Nothing vexes or annoys the zemindars in our whole system so much as this. It has struck at the root of a power, which has long been exercised most tyrannically, and yet, so strong is the force of habit and custom, that often, as the power of the zemindar is still abused, it is very rarely that they are brought into court to answer for their misconduct."

"The foundation on which the right of the zemindar now avowedly rests is that of pecuniary obligation. He expends capital un locating the cultivator in the village; he builds his house, feeds him till the harvest time, supplies him with seed, grain and implements of husbandry. On all these, an exorbitant interest is charged, and, in consideration of the pecuniary obligation thus incurred, the services of the man are exacted. Hence the connexion is rather personal than resulting from the tenure of the land, and various circumstances support this view. In mortgages those rights are seldom, if ever, transferred; in private sales very rarely, unless specified; in public sales, by authority, for arrears of revenue, never. Hence an auction purchaser never acquires any rights over the tenants at will of a former zemindar."

20.-Marriages of Slaves, and Ownership of their Offspring.

The same rites are observed at the marriages of slaves, both Hindoo and Mohomedan, as of free persons of the same castes or classes. The expense* is defrayed by the masters, † who are considered to be under a moral obligation to provide for the suitable marriage of their slaves; but sometimes, when the slaves can afford it, the charge is borne by them. In Purneah, the master usually gives about four rupees, and a quantity of grain, on the marriage of his slave ; in Bhaugulpore, the ceremony costs from 10 to 25 rupees, according to circumstances.

The children are married before or on attaining the age of puberty. In Bengal, a Hindoo slave girl of pure caste would be regarded as defiled if she remained single after that period, and it would be improper to receive water at her hands. In Purneah, a girl is Buchanan. Martinusually married at 10 years. The wishes of the parents and relatives of the slaves are con-sulted on these occasions, at least in the province of Behar; and the inclination of the male The wishes of the parents and relatives of the slaves are conslave also if he be adult.

1. A master prefers that his own slaves should intermarry, as in that case no difficulty arises in respect of their services, and their children will belong to him; and if he has no slave girl of an age proper to give in marriage to one of his slave boys, or vice versa, he sometimes endeavours to procure a spouse, by purchasing a slave from another owner, or a free person from himself, or a free child from his or her parents. But if a suitable match cannot

Asiatic Society. 1809, p. 115-16.

vol. 3, p. 124.

The judge of Mymensingh seems to consider this fact as the foundation among Hindoos of the right of the owners over the offspring of their slaves: "This," he says, "gives them a lien, or a prospective claim, to the produce of such marriage, and constitutes the only legitimate claim (among Hindoos) of hereditary slavery." -Appendix II., No. 47.

⁺ The expenses of the funeral ceremonies of deceased slaves, which are likewise the same as for free persons of the same class, are also borne by the masters; or occasionally by the slave relatives when they can afford it. 262.

cannot be obtained in either of the above ways, it is sought for either among the slaves of another moster, or in a free family.

2 The customs obtaining on the intermarrages of slaves of different masters with regard to the property in and services of the female, and the ownership of their offspring, vary in different districts, and even in different parts of the same district.

In the northern and central divisions of Cuttack, if such a marriage takes place with the consent of the female's master, the wife goes to live with her husband, rendering only occasional service to her master, and the respective owners take the children alternately: and if the woman ceases to bear when the number of her offspring is uneven, the last child goes to one owner, he paying half its value to the other. But if the marriage be made without the consent of the girl's master, he allows the husband to have access to her, but all the children belong to him. In the southern division of the district, if the owner of a slave girl consents to her marriage with another's slave, he loses his property in her, and she becomes the slave of her husband's master.

In Backergunge, according to the magistrate of the distinct, the Hindoo male slaves being few, several female slaves are married to one of them; which he distinguishes from the marriages with the Beeakara or professional bridegroom, hereafter described. "A Mussulman," he says, "generally marries a slave girl boin in his house to some one who will live by his house. Such husbands often serve elsewhere; but the wives, perhaps from motives of jealousy, are not allowed to do so."

In Chittagong, the offspring of the intermarriage of slaves of different masters belong to the owner of the mother.

In Topperah, when two masters agree to the intermarriage of their slaves, it is usual for the owner of the female to give her to the male's master, receiving a present, which is always less than her value; but if the marriage takes place without the consent of the female's master, the offspring are all his slaves.

In Dacca Jelalore, if the master of a female slave permits her to marry any but a slave of his own, his property in her, and of course in her future offspring, is *ipso facto* extinguished, and that without any consideration received in exchange, unless there be a special stipulation to the contrary. His property in her likewise ceases on such marriage, even although it may take place without his permission.

In Sylhet, the judge informs us, the daughters of slaves are generally mained to strangers, of whom the master usually receives a douceur of a few rupees, which is termed the mooneebanah, or master's fee, and thereby makes over his right to another.

In Rajeshahy, when intermarriages of slaves of different owners take place with the consent of the owners, a stipulation is made as to the division of the children; when they occur without the consent of the female's owner, the offspring belong to him.

In Purneah, according to our information, the children of married slaves belonging to different owners are the property of the master of the male slave; but the following account is given by Dr. Buchanan. "The two masters sometimes agree, and, having allowed the parties to marry, the master of the boy is entitled to one-half of the male children, and the master of the girl to the other half, with all the females. In other cases, the master of the girl at the marriage takes two rupees from the master of the boy. The male children are, as before, divided equally; but the master of the boy gets two rupees for every female child when she becomes marrageable. In both cases the female slave continues to live with her master, who, if he requires her work, feeds and clothes her and the children, until they are marriageable, and at any rate gives them a hut; but in general the male slave passes the night with his wife, gives her part of the allowance which he receives from his master, and she works for whatever else she may require. These contracts can therefore only be entered into between neighbours."

In the province of Behar, when it becomes necessary to obtain a match from among the slaves of another master, an arrangement is generally made for the purpose with a neighbouring owner.

In South Behar, the female remains in her master's house, and the husband visits her when he can find leisure; nor can he take his wife to his own house without her owner's consent. We are told, indeed, that if a male slave be married to the female slave of another master, who resides 150 miles off, her master has a right to remove her and her children to his own house, separating them from the husband and father, unless he can obtain his owner's permission to accompany them. The offspring of the marriage belong to the owner of the female, excepting in Pachete, where they are the property of the father's owner.

In Bhaugulpore, Behar and Patna, the female likewise remains at her master's house; but the husband is entitled to have access to her, though he cannot remove her to his own house without her owner's consent. The offspring are the property of her master.* But according to one witness, in Behar and Patna, a temale slave, married with the consent of her own master to the slave of another master, becomes the property of the latter.

In Shahabad, according to Dr. Buchanan, the slaves are generally on the same footing as in Behar and Patna. The children of masters by their female slaves, who, as has been stated, in this district are slaves, generally intermarry with others of the same spurious breed. The

Appendix II., No. 37.

Appendıx II., No. 49.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 124.

Appendıx II., No. 64.

No. 23.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 1, p. 497.

^{*} The Patna council, in 1774, describing the custom of the province generally, say, "Children born of slaves are the property of the owner of the woman, though married to a slave of a different family." --Slavery in India, 1828, p. 5.

The acting magistrate states, generally, that "the children remain with the mother, nor does Appendix II., the father or the master exercise any right of property over them."

In Sarun, the children of a marriage between slaves of different owners belong to the master of the father.

In Tirhoot, according to one witness, the woman resides with her husband, and performs No. 17. In Innoot, according to one winness, the woman resides with her husbard, and performs service both for his master and her own, though the former has no right to her services. She is usually supported by her own master, but if she work also for her husbard's master, she is supported partly by one and partly by the other. The two masters divide the off-spring between them; and should the family not consist of an even number of children. either the child in excess of the even number performs services for both masters, or it is valued, and one master retains the child, paying half its value to the other master, and this distribution is not affected by the circumstance of the marriage of a slave having taken place without the consent of the master. The above is stated by the witness to be the custom of his own pergunnah (Suresur), in respect of the distribution of the offspring ; but different customs, he observes, preval in different places. Another witness says, that the male children No. 3. of such marnages follow the father, and the female the mother; and if the marriage take place without the consent of the female's master, the husband may, nevertheless, have access to his wife, but so as not to interfere with her service more than conjugal rights require. According to the judge of the district, the husband and wife continue to reside with, and serve their respective masters; and the male children are the property of the father's owner, but the female are not necessarily slaves, and may on mature age marry as they please ; but they are generally disposed of by their parents by some agreement at the time of marriage, which is never disputed, and they continue slaves. The magistrate also states, that male Ditto, No. 83. slaves, whether born in the family of a Hindoo or a Mahomedan, become the property of the father's master, but the female slaves may be married out of the family to any one the parents choose.

But, whatever may be the local usage in the province of Behar respecting the ownership of the offspring of marriages between the slaves of two different masters, it is very frequently, on the onspiring of marinages between the states of two different masters; it is very frequently, and in particular parts in most cases, superseded by a special agreement between the masters at the time of the marinage. This agreement is sometimes made in consideration of the occasional deprivation of his slave's services, to which the master of the male may be sub-jected by the visits of the slave to his wife, when she remains at her owner's house, and it is at some distance from his own. Sometimes it dependent on the she has been at the she at some distance from his own. Sometimes it depends upon the whole expense of the mar-riage being defrayed by one party, or some such cause. In Bhaugulpore, however, it is never stipulated, by such agreement, that the owner of the male slave shall have any of the female offspring.

In Goruckpore, Dr. Buchanan states, the children of the Kurmi slaves belong to the Buchanan. Martin, master of the father; but no master scruples to give his slave girl in marriage to another vol. 2, p. 427. man's slave when he wants her.

In the other western provinces, the issue of the marriage of slaves of different owners belong to the master of the mother, unless any special stipulation is made between the masters. In Rohilcund, on such occasions, the slave girl of a neighbour is selected, so as to cause no interruption of their services to their respective owners, whose houses the slaves so married mutually frequent.

3. Though, generally speaking, slaves are married to persons in their own condition, in some parts of the country they intermarry with free persons.

In Cuttack, the low castes to which the slave population belong exist in three conditions : viz, first, those who are in actual slavery; secondly, those who, having been themselves slaves, or, having sprung from slave ancestors, bear the stigma of slavery though in the enjoyment of liberty; thirdly, the altogether free. Marriages are not contracted between persons in the first and third conditions, but those in the first and second conditions inter-marry without prejudice to the latter. Marriages of this last description, however, do not take place in Northern and Central Cuttack, except when the slave spouse can be purchased from the master. In Southern Cuttack, if a master consents to the marriage of his slave girl with a freeman, she becomes free; and if a freeman marries a slave girl without her owner's consent, the offspring belong to the father ; the maxim which regulates the local usage being that " the seed is more worthy than the soil."

In Backergunge, a free Hindoo seldom marries a female slave, such a match being considered discreditable; and Mahomedan male domestic slaves rarely marry slave girls, but often quit their masters and unite themselves in marriage with the daughters of villagers.

In Chittagong, if a Mussulman master marries his female slave to a freeman, and permits her to go away with her husband, it amounts to emancipation, and the children are free ; but if she remains in her master's service, the children are his property. Marriages between free persons and slaves, however, are not frequent, for, though a free person does not forfeit his or her liberty by marrying a slave, a freeman will very rarely give his daughter in marriage to a slave, and only when he is in low circumstances.

In Dacca Jelalpore, also, it very rarely happens that a freeman marries a slave girl; such a connexion does not affect his liberty, but it causes degradation, which can only be removed by divorcing his wife, and making atonement and presents to the priest.

In Rajeshahy, a freeman will sometimes consent to marry his daughter to a slave, and thus consign her to slavery, for the purpose of obtaining the master's favour. The slavery of the budegroom is not considered derogatory to the family of the bride, nor as debarring her from communion with them. If, as sometimes happens in this district, a husband sells 262. his

No. 78.

Appendix II., No. 81.

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 41

No. 7. Buchanan. Marus, vol. 3, p. 496, 693. Ditto, vol. 2, p. 914. are not tolerated.

his wife into slavery, and afterwards has access to her, the offspring will belong to the pur-chaser, or, to use the words of the witness, " to the owner of the soil." In Rungpore, free parents do not give their daughters in marriage to slaves. Among the Garrows, also, in N. E. Rungpore, intermarriages between free men and slaves

In Dinagepore, the slaves that are employed in agriculture, and probably the others also, are allowed to marry free women, but, as all the children are slaves, the master must pay a high price (five or six rupees) to the girl's parents, and the ceremony costs three or four rupees more.

Ditto, vol. 3, p. 124.

No. 27.

No. 23.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 99.

No. 17.

No. 3.

Buchanan. Martun, vol. 2, p. 427. No. 28.

No. 14.

pees more. In Purneah, "in some places it is not usual for free persons to marry with slaves; but in her places it is not uncommon. When a free man marries a slave gril, he is called chuiva other places it is not uncommon. other places it is not uncommon. When a free man marries a slave guil, he is called chutiya golam (cumo servers), and works for her master on the same terms as a slave, but he cannot be sold. His male children are in some places free, but are called Garhas, and are looked upon as of lower birth than persons of the same caste, both of whose parents were free. In other places, the male children are slaves, and the female children in all cases are reduced to that state. A man sometimes gives his slave in marriage to a free girl, paying her father two rupees. In this case all the male children are slaves, but the females are free; only, when each of them is married, either her relations or bridegroom must pay two rupees to the father's master. The woman lives with her kindred, and works on their account, receiving the husband's allowance from his master." According to one of the witnesses, in this distinct a free Kyburt readily gives his daughter in marriage to a Khawas, and the master of the male slave is the owner of the offspring.

Among the Kurmi and Kuhar tribes of the province of Behar, slavery is not considered a degradation as respects caste ; and the free male Kurmi or Kuhar has less reluctance to marry a slave girl, because, even if he were to marry a free woman, the children would be under her dominion, and not under his, according to the rules of those castes. One witness, however, says, that in the Behar and Patna districts, a free Karmi would not willingly marry his daughter to a slave; but the free Kubar, being always tainted with slavery, has no objection to such a connerion.

In Pachete, in South Behar, if a free woman marries a slave, both she and her offspring follow the husband's condition.

In Bhasgubore, the luberty of a free person, either male or female, is not affected by mar-riage with a slave; and the children of such a marriage follow the condition of the mother, and are slave or free according as she is one or the other. On the occasion of such a marriage, the master usually provides a hut for the new-married pair must his own house, and the free hubbard either follow he are constrained as a furger the account the free the free husband either follows his own occupation, or, as is always the case with the free wife, works for the master, in consideration of being maintained by him. The free children, likewise, work for the master, and are maintained by him until they grow up, when they seek their own livelihood as they please. Dr. Buchanan's statement differs slightly from the above ; he says, "In general a free man marrying a slave girl is not personally degraded to slavery, as in Purneah; in other places he becomes a chutiya golam (cunno servus), but cannot be sold ; he works for his wife's master at the usual allowance that a slave receives.

In the districts of Behar and Patna, also, the children of intermarriages between slave and Ditto, vol. 1, p. 126. free persons follow the condition of the mother. Dr. Buchanan, in his account of these districts, says, the Molazadah slaves "form a kind of distinct caste, which does not intermarry with the free person of this (Mahomedan) religion; although the children which the highest have, by girls purchased for the haram, are considered as nearly if not altogether equal to those by legitimate wives."

Neither in Shahabad nor Sarun, are intermarriages between free persons and slaves admitted.

In one part of Turboot, + according to one witness, if a slave man marries a free woman, the female off-pring are free, but the male offspring are the property of the owner of the husband; and if a slave girl marries a free man, the children are divided, but the father takes only one share, and the owner of the mother receives two shares; and in neither case does the free spouse forfeit his or her liberty. According to another witness of this district, a free person of either sex is degraded to the condition of a slave on marrying a slave, unless the contract is accompanied with an express supulation for continuance of freedom, in which case the children are slave or free according to the sex.

In Goruckpore, the few Kurmi slaves are not suffered to intermarry with free persons.

One of the witnesses states, that in Benares and all the contry to the westward of that province, if a freeman marries a slave girl, the offspring belong to her owner, whose slave the bushand likewise becomes for so long as he cohabits with his wife, but he may put an end to his servitude at any ume by reinquishing her. But if a free woman marries a slave, she becomes permanently the slave of her husband's master. In Rohulcund, on the marriage of a free person with a slave, the free husband or wife

resides at the house of the master of the slave consort, and serves him for maintenance, but remains free. The witness whom we examined respecting the system of slavery prevailing in thus part of the country, informs us, that there the slavery of the parents, in whatever manner that slavery may have originated, does not descend to the children, whether both or one only of the parents be in that condition. The children during infancy, and it may be afterwards also.

+ Pergunnah Suresur.

^{*} This figurative expression, as well as a similar one occarring above (p. 61), has reference to a maxum of Hindoo haw, according to which the female is considered as the soil, and the male as the seed.

also, remain in the house of the master where they were born, and receive their maintenance from and work for him; but on becoming adult, they are at liberty to seek their own livelihood as they please.

4. The practice of Punwah Shadee will be fully described in a subsequent part of this Report.

21.-Prostitution.

The sale of free female children by their parents, and of slave girls by their owners, to bawds, for the purpose of prostitution, though considered mimoral and disreputable, is very prevalent; and we fear that the kidnapping of free children with the same object is but too common.

The officiating radge of Cuttack says, "The female children of the following castes : Appendix II., viz., of Mahtus, or writers, Khundaits, Shukar Furoshes, Gowalahs, Chasas, Rajpoots, Du- No. 7. roodghurs, Ahungers, Bidoors, Patarahs and Potlee Baniahs, are sold by their parents to Luleans and Mahareans, as public singers and dancers, and for purposes of prostitution." "The Luleans," he adds, " are common bawds, who make no distinction of sects or caste, in contradistinction to the Mahareans or Deodasees,* who restrict their traffic to Hindoos, and are admitted to the temple of Juggurnath at Pooree." According to one of the wit- No. 9. dasees belonging to that temple, of whom there are 50 or 60 families. The males of these families are not married to the females, but live with them in a state of concubinage, and ther number is kept up by their own progeny. There is another temple in Cuttack, that of Ruggonath, which has a similar establishment.

An iniquitous system prevails of inveiging women and kidnapping children from the country, sometimes from as far as Moorshedabad, for the purpose of selling them in the city of Calcutta; we are informed by Mr. Blaquiere, one of the city magistrates, that from Wilness, No. 13. the year 1800 to 1831, during which period this particular branch of the police was under one year 1800 to 1831, during which period this particular branch of the police was under his charge, he released and restored to their families, or placed with respectable house-keepers, about 600 or 700 persons of this description, the greater part of whom were girls about to be sold for the purpose of prostitution; and he states his belief that such sales are still of frequent occurrence, a case having been brought to his notice, only six months ago, of two women who had been decoyed to Calcutta to be sold. "The houses of bawds in the city," he says, "swarm with women who have been invegled from their families, and prostituted against their will."

In Backergunge, m 1816, the sale to prostitutes of female children, by their mothers, was a frequent occurrence.

In Dacca Jelalpore, at the same period, the females who were occasionally procured from their parents, in low circumstances, were generally purchased by public women, who brought them up to the same line, and made a profit by their prostution.

In Mymensingh, female children are sold to the keepers of brothels, who are to be found "These unfortunate children," says the judge, "are thus brought up from infancy to Appendix II., "These unfortunate children," says the judge, "are thus brought up from infancy to Appendix II., infamy, and often complain (when able to do so) of the treatment they receive from these No. 47. commonly termed "surdarnees" or mothers. They often have good cause; but sometimes they are instigated by some paramour or favourite, who wishes to get them out of the hands of the bawd."

" Rungpore, being a section of Camroop (the Hindoo region of sensual love), public pros- Hamilton's East titution is so common, that, in 1809, 1,200 houses were occupied by females of that profession, which has assumed the organization of a regular society, with a priesthood adapted to their manner of life. In 295 of these houses, there were found to be 460 females between the ages of 12 and 25 years; 218 advanced in life, who acted as servants and superintendents; and the community also contained 39 old men, 35 youths, and 14 boys, all born of the sisterbood. These prostitutes, although mostly born of Mahomedan parents, affect Hindoo manners, on which account they abstana from all impure food, and before the age of puberty undergo the ceremony of maringe with a plantain tree.⁺ In this district, m. 1809, there were 78 sets of female dancers and surgers, all prostitutes. Here they are called Nutti, and belong to the same kind of institution as the common prostitutes, and have called Nutth, and belong to the same kind of institution as the common prostitutes, and nave the same religious guides. All the girls are purchased when children; the handsomest and smartest is generally the head of the set, which usually consists of two or three girls, and four or five mes, who are usually born in the caste." We learn from Dr. Buchanan, that in Buchanan. Martin, this millah free parents do not give their daughters in marriage to slaves; and, if very poor, vol. 3, p. 496. prefer selling them to a prostitute. The magistrate, after stating that it is very common for Appendix II., parents to sell their female children to prostitutes, says, " the prostitutes, in some measure, No. 57retaux

 Female slaves of the god.
 Female slaves of the god.
 Dr. Buchanan, in his account of this district, says, "Premature marriage is considered so necessary to Hindoo ideas of purity, that even the unfortunate children who are bought for prostitution are married .
 with all due coremony to a plantan tree, before the age when they would be defield by remaining single." —
 Buchanas. Martin, vol. 3, p. 456.
 The females of the Newar tribe, in Nepaul Proper, at eight years of age are carried to a temple and married, with the creaments usual among Hindoos to a fruit called bel. When a gut arrives at the age of puberty, her parents, with the consent, betroth her to some man af the same caste. —Hamilton's Hindoostan, vol. 2, p. 670. 262.

8 2

Slavery in India, • 1828, p. 247. Ditto.

India Gazetteer.

Appendíx VI., No. 13.

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 746, and printed report of this district, p. 79, 80.

Buchanan, MSS.

Buchanan, Martin, vol. 1, p. 127.

Ditto.

Ditto, p. 481, 491.

Buchanao, MSS.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 115.

retain a personal control over them, and in some cases dispose of them again to other prostututes.

The magistrate of N.E. Rungpore states, that 99 out of 100 prostitutes in his district are slave girls, or bondswomen; and we gather from his expressions that many females of mose two classes are compelled to prostitution by their masters.

• In Dinagepore, poor parents, in times of scarcity, or when unable to procure husbands for their daughters before the age of puberty, are sometimes induced to sell them to procuresses. " Every prostitute," observes Dr. Buchanan, " holds out her house as an asylum for the girls who choose to join her, adopts them as her daughters, gives them clothes and ornaments to the utmost of her ability, and expects in return to be supported in her old age; with this view they endeavour, if possible, to purchase children from their parents who are indigent, although this practice is contrary to law. It is, however, perhaps owing to this, that few children in a state of common mendicity are to be seen; but the number sold in Dinagepore is very inconsiderable."

In Purneah, most of the prostitutes are said to be purchased while infants, from the northern parts of Dinagepore and Rungpore

In Bhaugulpore, neither free females nor slave girls are ever sold to prostitutes.

In the district of Behar, all the prostitutes are Mahomedans, and their number is kept up by purchase from the west of India, or from the country north of the Ganges. The parents in this district will not sell their children for this purpose.

In the Patna district, also, the greater part of the prostitutes are Mahomedans ; but there are many Hindoos, partly Rumzanis, partly Khatranis, and partly Bengalese. All the Rumzani women are prostitutes, and the men musicians; but they adopt girls of any caste, whom they procure by purchase. The Khatrani prostitutes keep up their number by adoption.

In Shahabad, in 1809, the prostitutes amounted only to 130 houses. They are mostly Mussulmans, and are nearly on the same footing as in Behar. In the western parts of the district, there were four houses of Gandharvinis. "No one," says Dr. Buchanan, "disputes the purity of their birth, nor scruples to drink water from their hand, although they supply their numbers by handsome girls of any kind that they can procure. In Benares, they are numerous."

There are no dancing girls attached to the temples in Behar as there are in Cuttack.

In Goruckpore, the houses of bad fame were reported to Dr. Buchanan at 95 only. They were all said to be of the Museulman faith, though 15 houses were in fact Rumzania, It has already been stated, that most of these women are purchased from the hill tribes, and that the same is the case over most of the west of India. "Many of the mountain beauties," says Dr. Buchanan, with reference to this fact, "have a great deal of the Chinese or Tartar countenance, which seems to me to be admired by the natives more than their own regular features; a taste probably introduced by the Moguls, and spread, by the usual imitation of the great, even among their Hindoo subjects."

In Rohilcund, the greater part of the prostitutes, both Hindoo and Mahomedan, purchase children from their parents and from the burdeh-furoshes, so that almost all the prostitutes in that part of the country are slaves. The great majority of the children amported into Rohilcund, Scharunpore and Meerut, by the burdeh-furoshes, in 1811, were females, who, after importation, were purchased for concubines, and to supply the stews and brothels with prostitutes, and some as attendants in zenanas.*

22.-- Conditional Slavery and Bondage.

Besides the status of absolute slavery, there prevails in these provinces a system of con-dutonal slavery, determinable under certain circumstances; and this system is of several kinds or degrees.

1. The first, when a person, or a man and his wife, in a season of calamity or distress, offer themselves, with or without their children, as slaves to the more wealthy, without compensation, and merely for maintenance.⁺ These may be considered slaves at will, being at herty to quit their master at pleasure, but bound to work for him so long as they continue to receive their food and raiment from him.

This

• For further particulars connected with the subject of prostitution, see under the head of "Origin of Slavery," "Kidnapping," and "Importation."

Slavery," " Kidnapping," and " Importation." + It appears, from Dr. Buchanan's accountis, that, in Rungpore, Dinagepore, the eastern parts of Purneah, in Bhangulpore, Behar and Patna, the free female domestics are generally aged women, who have lost their husbands and kindred, and give their services for merely food and ramment; and probably it is servants of this description to whom the magistrate of Backergunge (Appendix II., No. 37) allodes, where he states, that, in that district, many Hindoo widows, who are unchaste, have quarrelled with their relations, or have other means of livelihood, voluntarily become domestic slaves in Hindoo families. In Purneah, Dr. Buchanan states. " These servants are sometimes called Binayania, but they are also colled Gulmi or Lanndi, that is, slaves, although it is admitted that they have not been purchased, cannot be sold, and that they may change their master whenever they find one that will treat them better." He adds, " There are some such persons employed, not only as domestics, but magnetute, and some of them are males." Of these males, he estimated the able-bodied at 2,250, whom he designates as " Balamas, called also Golams and Laundaa." He reckoned that there were 28 persons of the same description in Bihaugulpore.----Buchanan. Martin, vol. 3, p. 121, and MSS.

This species of servitude obtains in Cuttack, the district of Behar and Rohilcund ; and in This species of service obtains in Curtack, the district of Behar and Konneumi ; and in the last-mentioned tract of country it is very frequent. In Curtack, this description of slave is regarded as having lost caste by this voluntary act of submission ; and though such persons can put an end to their servitude when they please, the stigma of slavery continues to attach to them. They differ from the free hired servants of the district, in respect that they hve upon the leavings of their master's table, which degrades them to the rank of slaves. They can acquire no property during the continuance of the servitude, and their children, if bora after the servitude commences, are slaves for ever. In the district of Behar, the children are not affected by the relation between the parent and master.

A servitude of a similar kind prevails extensively in the district of Purneah, and originates in the voluntary submission of a free person to a superior for the sake of protection and support. This kind of slave is designated Khawas, the various meanings of which word support. have already been adverted to* as mentioned by Dr. Buchanan in his account of this district. The Khawases are of the Kewut or Kyburt, and Dhanuk castes ; and occasionally a Kayet Witness, No. 27. submits himself to this condition. The Brahmins are the only owners of this kind of servant, the Khetries being few, and none submitting to serve a Kayet in this manner. The wealthy Brahmin zemindars have many families of Khawases. The dominion of the master over a person who has thus sought his protection is not complete, for though the relation once established is seldom broken, the Khawas may, if he pleases, seek other protection, or again become independent; but the dominion over the descendants of the original Khawas is more perfect. Of the Khawases, some render constant domestic service, and these are also employed in superintending the cultivation, and in reaping, threshing and storing the crops of their master's private lands; ploughing and weeding being generally doine by means of hired labour, which in this district is very cheap. They receive monthly wages of one rupee and rations, and are entitled to support in old age and sickness. It is not usual to beat them for misconduct, but they are dismissed if they do not give satisfaction. But most of the Khawases belonging to the great zemindars are supported by small assignments of land, paying the usual rent for any lands they may be allowed to hold beyond those assigned; and these render only occasional service to their masters at ceremonies, receiving at such times a render moving a fixed at the service to their masters at the sector between a problem of the testing. small pecuniary allowance and rations. In structures, the master has perhaps a right to the earnings of his Khawas, but it is not enforced; and some of those who are maintained by assignments of land accumulate property, and are extensive farmers. Their condition is easier than that of free labourers. On the marriage of a Khawas, the master gives a small sum, usually four rupees, and a small quantity of grain. The offspring belong to the owner of the male, whether the wife be alave or free.

There is another description of Khawas, who is only nominally the slave of some person whose patronage and protection he has sought. In great families there is a sirdar or chief Khawas, who, when a stranger seeks the patronage of the master, is directed to admit him into the brotherhood of Khawases, and the person thus admitted to clientship derives protection and distinction from the use of his patron's name, and may become a tenant on his estate, but does not usually receive any assignment of land, and has no title to support in infirmity and old age.

It has been said, that "in this district the principal object of all native expenditure is to Hamilton's East maintain as many dependents as possible." This will account for the existence of the India Gazetteer. particular species of servitude above described.

2. Another description of conditional slavery has already been adverted to under the head of "Marriages of Slaves," where it was stated that, in Benares and the country westward of it, a freeman, marrying a slave girl, becomes the slave of her owner for so long as he cohabits with his wife, but he may put an end to his servitude at any time by separating from her. Perhaps the particular kind of slavery (chutiya golam), to which in Purneah and some parts of Bhaugulpore a freeman subjects himself by marrying a female slave, may be terminated in the same manner.

8. Slavery for a stated period has been mentioned by several of the public functionaries

Slavery for a stated period has been mentioned by several of the public functionaries as existing within their jurisdictions. "In Midnapore," says the acting joint magistrate, "there is generally a written agreement Appendix II., between the master and slave, the latter stipulating to serve the former a certain period, the No. 14. former engaging to provide food and clothing for the latter during his service." "In Hooghly," the officiating magistrate states, "there is a system (very much Ditto, No. 18. resembling that of apprenticeship in our own country) in which as person receives a small sum of money, usually from 40 to 50 rupees, and binds himself down, frequently in a regular written agreement, to serve as a slave for a certain number of years. A person of this, description is termed an Aieer, and the practice is said to be extremely prevalent." description is termed an Aleer, and the practice is said to be extremely prevalent," The magistrate of Sylhet. "In Juntea it is common to borrow money, the borrower Witness, No. 5-

The magistrate of Synce. at Synce and Synce an

pending (regarding slaves); but the suits were brought on writen agreements for limited No. 44. periods, and the object of the suit was to get the money (paid on the execution of the deed) returned with interest, or that the person should be made to perform the conditions of the bond. They were not, however, disposed of when I left."

The 'officiating additional judge of Tirhoot. " Individuals of the poorer classes are Ditto, No. 82. frequently found willing to sell themselves, either conditionally for a certain number of years,

OF

* See page 10 of these Details.

262.

45

46 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

or otherwise. And in seasons of scarcity and distress, they readily avail themselves of such. a mode of providing subsistence and comfort for themselves and offspring."

The judge of Juanpore. "A practice obtains of mortgaging the services of children for a certain number of years, commensurate to the probable term of life, but it is never enforced. When the children arrive at maturity, they remain with their masters or not, as they please. In all cases they receive wages and food, and, in the event of harsh treatment, numediately leave their masters and seek for service elsewhere; and I do not believe any attempt would be made to compet them to return."

The officiating judge of Futtehpore. "There is the custom of hiring the services, or rather of receiving children, by deeds of contract or mortgage for a certain number of years, about as many as they can be useful, perhaps about 36 years."

The two last descriptions, however, appear to be absolute sales under the disguise of long leases.

4. The fourth description of conditional servitude, and which is usually termed bondage, is a pledge or mortgage of service, or of person and service, for the repayment of a debt; but there is very little uniformity in this kind of servitude, according to the explanation we have received of it, as existing in particular parts of the country.

The officiating judge of Cuttack thus describes a class of slaves in that district, called Purjaks. "Slaves, peculiar to Orissa, denominated Purjaks (signifying subjects, tenants or renters), and who are restricted to the castes of Hujjam, Dhobee, Kewat, Gokha, Rahri, Pan, Kundra, Koomer, Mehter, Baoree, Tantee, Dome, Bagdee and Chumar (toddy-sellers and tar-leaf mat-makers.) They are to be found, moreover, only in some of the northern pergunahs of Cuttack. These Purjah slaves sell themselves and their whole families to either Hindoos or Mussulmans for a pecuniary consideration, rendering themselves amenable for the service of their profession until the purchase-money is repaid. The subsequent births in such slave families also become the master's property, and these slaves are sold, pledged and let out to bire. The issue of marinages between the male Purjah slave of one master, and the female slave of another, does not full to the latter (partus *sequititr ventrem*), but is divided equally between the two masters; and in the event of an uneven number, half the estimated value of the odd slave is given by the master who keeps the slave. These Purjahs, it is to be observed, do not by selling themselves forfeit their caste, as they live and take their meals separate from their masters, and retain throughout servitude their hereditary profession."

The officiating magistrate of N. E. Rungpore writes, " In the district under my charge, I am of opinion that in ninety cases out of the hundred, those held as slaves are not so legally, either by Mahomedan or Hindoo law; but their slavery has originated either by there forefathers having made themselves boudsmen by borrowing small sums of money (which bondage ought to have expired with their lives), or the descendants of cultivators who have died in debt, either to the zemindars, or persons holding small farms under them; as experience has proved to me, that neither would scruple to compel the widows or children of their deceased bondsmen, or insolvent deceased cultivators, to give them written emgagements of being slaves or bondsmen for life; and this instrument would cause the parties and their descendants to become slaves in perpetuity."

(In South Behar, a great portion of the agricultural labourers are slaves or bondsmen of the outcaste tribes of Bhooyian, Rajwar, Ghatwar, Turi, Bokta, Cole and Sontal; sometimes also Kandoors, Khyrwars, Dosadhs and Gowalahs become slaves for life and bondsmen; but Kurms and Kubars are very rarely found in the latter condition. Kanish and Sewuk are the generic terms by which these slaves and bondsmen are designated.

First.—One class of these labourers are absolute slaves, having sold themselves, or having been sold when children by their fathers or other persons exercising parental authority. These are included in the generic term Kamia, but are called Saunkuas. The Saunku has two beegahs of land and two Mohwa trees, and has the use of his master's bullocks to cultivate the land, and seed grain supplied to him. He is allowed at all times and under all circumstances three seers of grain in the husk daily, and, whilst employed in his master's work, one seer of rice besides. During harves the receives an extra allowance of one and a third sheaf of the crop every day. He is also clothed by his master. If his wife labours in the fields, she also receives three seers of grain in the husk; but if sick, or if for any cause she does not labour, she receives nothing. The average price of a Saunkia is 50 rupees. The Saunka marries his female children as he pleases, the master never interfeting with the disposal of them, though in strictness he may perhaps have a right to do so.

Secondly.—The Kamia or Sewuk, who sells himself for life, and is called Bunda Sewuk. He receives from a person a sum of money, and executes a deed called Sewuk Pattra, bualing himself to become that person's slave for life; and he cannot be released from his bond though he tender payment of the money he received. To the validity of this sale it is requisite, by the custom of the country, that the seller have attained his majority. These slaves are transferable property; the price of one varying from 10 to 40 rupees. The master feeds and clothes him, and generally defrays his expenses, always those of his marriage. The deed of sale executed by the parent in no way affects his children, nor can the parent sell his child to his own master or to any one else; but the son generally sells himself to his father's master. It must be observed, however, that one witness asserts, that there is no such practice as a man selling himself for hie without his children.

Appendix II., No. 92.

Ditto, No. 103.

Ditto, No. 7

Ditto, No. 58.

No. 34.

These

These two descriptions of permanent slavery belong properly to the former portion of these details, but we have postponed the mention of them to this place, to bring under one view the system of agricultural slavery and boadage prevailing in South Behar.

Thirdly.—The bondsman, called Bunduck-Kamia or Sewak, or Chootta-Sewak, or Kamia simply, or Saunkia, though this last term is applied by the witness above-mentioned to the absolute slave first described. The bondsman is a person who, in consideration of a debt provided month of the second debt previously contracted, or of an advance of money, varying from 10 to 30 rupees or more, engages by a written deed, or sewuknameh, to serve the lender until he repays the principal; which being done, he is entitled to freedom; but, according to the same witness, he cannot leave his master except at the end of the agricultural year, though he should have the money to redeem himself before that period. In most parts the bondsman is not transferable except by his own consent ; but in Pachete, the master may assign him over to another without his consent. One of the witnesses concerves, that if a bondsman does not perform his duty, the No. 30. master is entitled to compel him by force.

Three different statements have been made to us respecting the manner in which these Anree uncerent statements have been made to us respecting the manner in which these bondsmen are maintained. One is, that he receives nothing but three seers of grain in the husk daily; another, that he has a piece of land, generally about two beegahs, which he is allowed to cultivate on his own account, and receives about three seers of grain per dem as rations, also, for clothing, two dhotees and one blanket annually; the third, that they are generally fed and clothed by their masters, and are entitled at the harvest to a bundle out of every thereity one of even which they are to the there is the there is a bundle out of every twenty-one of grain, which they cut and carry to the threshing floor; the whole allowance amounting only to a bare subsistence.

The master is not considered to have any right over the property either of the slave for life (2d class) or of the bondsman; and in the event of the death of one leaving considerable property, "which," says the Governor-general's agent, "is not a rare curcumstance,"* the property is inherited by his wife and children, though they may belong to another master. In neither class does any provision appear to be made for those who from old age or other cause become unable to work, so that if they happen to have no families to support them

they must depend on charity. It seldom happens that the debt or loan is ever repaid, though sometimes the bondsman procures himself to be transferred to a new master, who of course pays a consideration to the former one. Further advances of money are generally required for the marriages of the bondsman's children, and then iresh sewuknamehs are executed in their names. The option of redemption, therefore, becomes a dead letter, and the bondsmen remain for gene-rations under the same proprietor and his heirs, and are subject to partition like any other property. A circumstance which also contributes to this result is, that if a bondsman dies before the loan is repaid, it is the established custom that one of the sons of the deceased shall take his place.

These systems of agrestic slavery and hondage are very prevalent in South Behar, and seem to have been so for a series of years; their comparative cheapness, and the constant supply of labourers which they ensure, being stated as the ground of preference over free service. The system of bondage is supposed to be more economical than that of slavery, as the master of the bondsman is not bound to defray the costs of the marriages of the bondsmen's children. All the respectable landholders cultivate their lands by means of these descriptions of labourers; the rajah of Ramghur alone having 400 or 500 of them on those lands of his estates which he keeps in his own hands. In Ramghar and Kurruckdeea, one-third of the entire population is supposed to consist of slaves of the Kuhar and Kurruckdeea, and Kamia or Sewuk slaves and bondsmen, the <u>Kamias</u> and bondsmen being the most numerous. These slaves and bondsmen are possessed both by Hindoos and Mahomedans; but a Mussulman agrestic slave or bondsman is rarely found in the possession of a Hindoo, though there are instances of Joolahas becoming bondsmen.

We extract the following observations on these systems of agrestic slavery and bondage No. 67. from a report of one of the principal assistants to the Governor-general's agent in this part

of the country. "Slavery in one or other of the above (three) forms is so general in Ramghur and Kurruckdees and Palamow, that a great majority of the agricultural labourers in those countries are slaves. Their condition in general is very miserable. They receive barely sufficient food to keep them in working condition, in some cases are obliged to find their own clothes, and in others are entitled to a piece of coarse cloth yearly."

" Men generally become slaves by falling into arrears to their landlords, from bad seasons, or other similar accidents, or from borrowing money for the performance of marriage ceremonies; being unable to pay, they are compelled or persuaded to write sewuknameha for the amount of their debts, and thus become slaves, frequently for life, and very often the

202.

Appendix II. No. 64.

^{*} The officiating judge of Cawnpore mentions a case which once came before him when magistrate of Ramghur or Behar, in which the charge preferred by the complainant was, that the defaudant falsely clanned him as has have. "The fact us," says the officiating judge, "that the planntiff had himself acquired a consi-derable fortune by traffic, and the defendant wished to avail himself of his rights as master, to participate in this affluence.

It may be observed, that this officer calls the predial slaves of South Behar, Kahars; and after stating that, as register and sivil judge in that part of the country, he daily decided cases of purchases of whole families of predial slaves, he remarks. " With the exception of this one instance (the one above mentioned), I never saw a cause in any court, where the persons such for as predial slaves due not acknowledge the fact of being se, and the dispute used to be mersly as to the fact of ownership. The slaves themselves were oftentimes called upon by the partnes to declare to which side they belonged." Appendix II., No. 105. 202."

the same miserable condition extending to their children. The smallness of the sums for which these bonds are occasionally executed is almost incredible. I once had a case-before me, when in charge of the <u>Hazareebaugh</u> division, where the amount stipulated in the bond was only one rupe; and the amount of the debt for which these men sell themselves is generally less than 20 rupees." "It is always an object with farmers and landholders to have as many slaves as possible :

"It is always an object with farmers and landholders to have as many slaves as possible ; and the facilities they possess of making up their claims of debts against poor and ignorant ryots are very great. The consequence, as above stated, is, that a great majority of the agricultural labourers are slaves." "If a poor man, when in debt, objects to write a bond binding himself to slavery, the creditor prosecutes him in our courts; and as the claim has always some foundation, although often the amount of it is exaggerated, finds no difficulty in getting a decree in his favour; after which the threat of imprisonment, in execution of the decree, speedily compels the unfortunate debtor to agree to the terms required, and he executes the bond. In numerous cases I have seen great unfarmess used in attempting to make out a claim against a man who it was notorious had no property whatsoever, and this for the sole object of getting the debtor to bind himself as a slave, in satisfaction of the decree." "The sons of slaves, whose condition does not extend to their children, are always

"The sons of slaves, whose condition does not extend to their children, are always advised to marry as soon as they become of age; the master of the father advances money for the performance of the necessary ceremonies, generally less than 10 rupees, on condition that the boy binds himself by a bond similar to that by which his father is bound. This he almost invariably does, and so renders himself a slave for life, or until the money is repaid, according to the terms of the bond."

A somewhat similar system of bondage, though in a milder form, exists in other parts of the Behar province, in respect of the ploughmen,* of which we give the following particu-

lars from Dr. Buchanan : "In the Behar part of the (Bhaugulpore) district, ploughmen (Krisan) are seldom hired. by the year, but generally for the ploughing season alone; they usually, in fact, sell themselves for that time, for they receive from 5 to 20 rupees as a loan, without interest, and, until they can repay that, they ought to work every ploughing season for their master, receiving daily about three seers, Calcutta measure, of rice in the husk, or of some coarse grain. If the master has four beasts, the ploughman works six hours; if there are six beasts, he works nine hours. He does nothing for his master but work the cattle, either in the about the shear the start of the is in interview. the plough or with the plank or rake; so that, if he is industrious, he may do little jobs in the afternoon. The money advanced defrays the expense of marriages, funerals, and such ceremonies, and is lost when the labourer dies."

"The plough servants (Kamiyas) in the districts (of Behar and Patna) are exactly on the same footing with those in the part of Bhaugulpore that belonged to Behar; the chief difference that I observed was, that in many places the son was considered bound to repay the money advanced to his father, even should the effects left on the parent's decease be far less in value than his debts. This seems to be an extreme hardship, reducing the whole far less in value than his debts. This seems to be an extreme hardship, reducing the whole of this class to a condition little better than that of slavery, and ought to be declared totally illegal. I was assured, in some places of the district, that within the memory of man the price necessary to be advanced to servants has doubled; formerly no one gave more than 20 rupees, now they are content to give 40; this seems, in a great measure, lowing to the increased quantity of money. In some places, the ploughman receives a small spot of land, from 5 to 20 kathas ($\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{1}{3}$ of an arce) of the country measure; this he culti-vates with his master's plough, but finds the seed, and gives his master one-half of the produce, that is, pays the rent. The usual deily allowance, when ploughing, is three seers of grain, or, in some places, from $1\frac{1}{3}$ to 2 paysas, with half a seer of the enboiled porridge called chhattu. It is seldom that, in this seeson, they work more than nume hours for their master, and when required to work the whole day, receive an additional allowance. They seldom, however, at this season earn more; but they do little jobs about their own house or spot of ground, when they have any."

"In Shahabad, the (free) plough servants (Kamiya or Horoya) are much on the same footing as in Behar, only that the system of making advances is confined to the vicinity of Afrah, and there varies from 5 to 20 rupees; but, even there, I do not learn that the son was held bound for advances made to the father, although part of the debt is often no duplt incurred on the car's maximum. doubt incurred on the son's marriage,

doubt incurred on the son's marriage," According to one of the witnesses, however, a system of agrestic bondage exists in the district of Behar, of even a worse description than that obtaining in South Behar. These bondsmen are of the Bhooyian and Moosur tribes, and they are bound, not only to repay the principal of the loan, but also to pay interest, which makes the condition of this class of bondsmen amount, in fact, from the high rate of interest, to the absolute slavery of himself and one or more of his descendants; for, on the death of the father, one or more of his sons become bondsmen for the payment of the increased debt; the arrangement being settled by arbitrators, and new engagements executed between the parties. Another witness, speak-ing o the same district, states that self-mortgage sometimes occurs both with and without possession possession

Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 226-7.

Ditto, vol. 1, p. 307.

No. 34.

MSS.

No. 2.

[•] In his account of Dinagepore, Dr. Buchanan says, "The rage for marriage is such, that a man who has not money sufficient to defray the expense of the caremony is every where willing to borrow it at any interest, and thus involves humself and offspring in difficulties, from which death alone can relieve thom. In some divisions, I found that even common labourers sold their services for from 18 to 24 months, in order to raise at once a sum sufficient to enable them to marry; and during that time the wife of course is left to provide for herself in the best manner she can. The master in such cases finds the servant in food and raiment."—Buchanan. Martin, vol. 2, p. 668.

possession of the person mortgaged. In the former case the mortgagee supports the person pledged, and has the benefit of his labour, which, however, does not, without special agreement, go to discharge the interest of the debt; but this kind of contract does not affect the children of the self-mortgager.

In Goruckpore, Dr. Buchanan states, the hired ploughmen are of three kinds; the first MSS. chiefly strangers, who come from the Oude territory for employment, and remain during the ploughing and sowing season; the other two kinds "are such as reside at all seasons, and have been thed down by advances (sawok or bhot) which they are not able to liquidate, nor can they procure stock, otherwise they would take lands of their own. The moneys: advanced, and which thus holds them in bondage, is often five rupees, and seldom exceeded 10 rupees, until of late, when some, in order to secure workmen, have been obliged to advance as far as 50 rupees for each. They pay no interest." "The one kind of this class, called Pariyas or Pariwalas, is most common in the eastern

parts of the district. They are the same with the Dhuriyas of Behar, and work two days on their master's (gosaingya) field, and one day on their own. This is only during the ploughing season, and they only plough and sow for their master." "In some cases the servant furnishes one half of the stock, in which case he works two days on his own fields, and one on that of his master."

"The last kind of ploughman, called Karoya or Chataiya, and most common in the west parts of the district, work the whole year for their master, having no land of their own. They are allowed daily a quantity of grain, fully more than they can eat, and which may indeed feed one or two children beside themselves; and they usually get one-sixth, although some are contented with one-seventh, of the whole produce of land which they have cult-vated, after deducting what is given to those who reap the portion that they are not able to secondly and the whole charges of the form such as keeper of the oven, blackswith. accomplish, and the whole charges of the farm, such as keeper of the oxen, blacksmith, carpenter, &c." " In some places persons of this description only plough for their master, in which case they get one-seventh of the grain, making similar deductions, and without any additional allowance

In Allahabad, also, the system of bondage prevails. Persons there mortgaging their labour for a certain sum, and binding themselves to serve their creditors till the debt be liquidated. are called Hurwas, and are generally employed in agricultural labour; a very considerable number of the ploughmen being persons thus bound to labour for their creditors. The debts are at first generally between 20 and 30 rupees; they receive scanty variable wages, and cast-off clothes; they are at liberty to work for themselves when their services are not required by their masters, and it is the prevailing opinion, that when a master becomes unable to support his bondsman, the latter may shift for himself. Some masters claim a right of transferring their Hurwas to any other person who may make good to them the sum advanced; but this is seldom if ever done, the debtors generally managing to select a creditor; and when a Hurwa leaves his master and takes service with another, it is under-Stood amongst the people that the new master should repay the sum advanced to the Hurwa. On the death of the original debtor, his sons become answerable for the debt in equal propor-tion, and are bound to serve until their debt be liquidated. The daughters are not answerable, Many persons of this class have been from generation to generation in one family.

It is stated by one of the public officers, that in the western provinces not only do men No. 26. sometimes pledge themselves to serve their creditors as slaves till the redemption of a debt, but even parents pledge their children, and husbands their wives, as security for money borrowed; the person so pledged being maintained by the pawnee, and rendering him the ordinary services of a domestic.

Practice of the Courts and Magistrates in cases respecting Slavery and Bondage.

We shall now proceed to give an account of the practice of the Mofussil courts and magistrates in cases relating to slavery and bondage in the territories which were subject to the presidency of Bengal prior to the year 1814.

With a view to ascertain the practice of the courts and magistrates under this presidency, in cases involving the relation of master and slave, the following queries were addressed on the 10th October 1835 to the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, at Calcutta and Allahabad.

1. What are the legal rights of masters over their slaves with regard both to their persons and property which are practically recognized by the Company's courts and magistrates ?

2. To what extent is it the practice of the courts and magistrates to recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying acts which otherwise would be punishable, or as constituting a ground for mitigation of the punishment ? What protection are they in the habit of extending to slaves on complaints preferred by them of cruelty or hard usage by their masters ? and, How far do they continue to Mussulman slaves the indulgences* which in criminal matters

How far do they continue to Mussulman stayes the indulgences" which in criminal matters were granted them by the Mahomedan law? 3. With reference to the apparently unlimited power allowed to the master over the person of his slave by the Hindoo law, by what law or principle would the mal-treatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts ? 4. Whether there are any cases in which the courts and magistrates afford less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters ?

5. With

262.

By the Mahomedan law, a slave is exempted from the extreme penalty of adultery, viz. lapidation, and is liable only to half the fagellation prescribed for adultery, fornication, the slanderous imputation of these offences, and for drinking intoxicating liquors. Also, in offences against the person, short of death, retalistion is barred if the offender be a slave.

50 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

5. With reference to sec. 9, Reg. VII. 1832, of the Bengal Code, would the courts support the claim of a Mahomedan master over a findoo slave, when, according to Hindoo law, the slavery is legal, but according to Mahomedan law illegal, and vice versé ? 6. Slavery not being sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized and administered by the British Government, except the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws, would the courts admit of a claim term and the first statement of the statement of the first statement of the statemen

6. Slavery not being sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized and administered by the British Government, except the Malomedian and Hindoo laws, would the courts admit and enforce any claim to property, possession, or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mahomedian or Hindoo claimant, and against any other than a Mahomedian or Hindoo defendant; and, if so, by what law or principle would the courts regulate their decisions in such cases ?

These questions were circulated by the Sudder courts to their subordinate judicial officers, and the reports furnished to the commission in reply amounted to 136, according to the subjoined statement.*

COURTS AND OFFICERS.	Lower Pro- vinces.	North- Western Provinces.	Total.
From the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut , commissioners of circuit - , judges and additional judges - , magistrates , joint magistrates , Governor general's agent in South Behar , principal assistants and assistant to ditto -	 1 8 29 88 9 1 3 79	19 26 5 	2 14 48 54 14 1 3 136

With a few exceptions, however, these returns supply little or no information of the nature required, a result which is attributable to the fact, that, in most parts of the country, cases involving the relation of master and slave are seldom or never made the subject of judicial cognizance. This will appear from the following specification :---

NUMBER OF OFFICERS WHO	Commissioners of Circult,	Judges and Ad- ditional Judges.	Magietrates.	Joint Mague- trates.	Total.
State that, to the beat of their recollection, no such cases have ever been before them Remember to have had only one or two such before them in the course of their experience Remember only to have tried a few cases in sillahs Mymensingh Rangurh, Behar, and at Delhi Have had no such cases before them whilst holding their present appointments Have had no such cases before them in the north western provinces Who, in consequence of kaving little or no expensate of such cases, can give no information on the subject	g 	8 1 4 4 1 1	5 1 	4	19 4 4 8 2
GRAND TOTAL	5	19	9	7	40

The civil and criminal courts, in the records of which, it is stated, no cases of the kind are to be found, are the following :---

COL	URTS	OF				Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Sudder Dewanny Adawint Commissioners of circuit Judges	- - -	•	-	-	-	 Dacca. Hooghly Bardwan Beerbhoom - Nuddea Jeasore	S. D. A. (esta- blished in 1832). Bundelcond. Agra. Furriekabad. Moradabad. Merut.
						Dacca Jelalpore. Rungpore. Dinagepore.	
						•	Magistrates

 Under the titles of commissioners, judges, &c. are included officers affactsting as such. The civil judges are likewise session judges within their respective local jurisdictions.

	co	URTS	OF					Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Magistrates	•	-		1	*	•		Balasore Burdwan Beerbhoom - 24-Pergunnahs - Rajeshahy Perneah. Sarun.	Hummeerpore. Futtehpore. Myopoorte. Agra. Meerut.
Joint magistrates -	-	-	-	-	-		-	Pubna Bograb: Maldab.	Kasheepore.
Assistant to Governo	r-genei	al's ag	çent,	South	Behar	-		Manbhoom.	

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

Courts in the records of which one or two cases only have been found.

Judges	-	٠	•	•	-	•	-	-	Backergunge	-	Ghazeepore. Goruckpore.
Magistrates -	-	-	-	-'	•)	-	-	7	- * -	-	Bijnore (N.D.) Moradabad.
			* 1	care	of pro	ostitut	ion v	?		-	* Sahuswan (lately established).
Joint magistrates	-	•	-	-	.	• ;	-	-	Bancoorab.		Bareilly.

, ⁻ The following are the only courts before which we can gather from the returns that cases of slavery are brought :--

Lower P	ROVINCES.
COURTS OF THE JUDGES.	
• 19 suits instituted from 1805 to 1807	* Cuttack. Moorshedabad. Chittagong. Tipperah.
† 9 suits instituted from 1827 to 1834; in all the parties were Hindoos.	†Dacca Jelalpore.
 From January 1828 to 30th June 1836 ; Original suita - 927 Appeals 168 † 71 Suits from 1825 to 1835 inclusive. 	• Mymensingh. Sylhet. N.E. Rungpore, or Gowalpara (Register's Court) Purneab. Courts of South Behar. Bhaugulpore. † Behar. Sarun. Cuttack.
COURTS OF THE MAGISTRATES. ‡ Cases respecting young females purchased by	t Jessore,
prostitutes.	Dacca. Mymensingh.
§ 10 Cases from 1824 to 1836, both inclusive.	§ Sylhet. 7 Rungpore, N. E. Rungpore, or Gowalpara.
72 Cases from 1825 to 1835 inclusive. ¶ 6 Cases from November 1828 to June 1835.	Behar. ¶ Patna. Shahabad.

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

Benares,	
Banda (S. D. Bundelcund).	
Cawnpore.	
Furrackabad.	
Boolundshehur.	
‡ Moradabad.	

•

It does not appear from the returns that the records of the Moonsiffs' courts of any district have been searched for the purpose of ascertaining whether suits respecting slavery are a62. G 2 instituted

52 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

instituted before those officers. In the evidence we find mention made of cases brought before the magnetrates in the Cuttack and Tipperah districts, and we have obtained the following further information:---

The annual statements of civil and criminal business disposed of by the courts of the Lower Provinces, the former during the years 1837 and 1838, and the latter during the years 1834, 5, 6, 7 and 8, exhibit only the following suits and cases connected with slavery; not including the offence of child-stealing for the purpose of selling into slavery, or cases under Regulations X. 1811, and III. 1832, for which, see "Kidnapping" and "Importation."

								······································
							No. of	-
		_					Sunts.	
Civ	IL SUI	TS :					h	1
Sylhet			-	_	-	- 1837	18	for slaves.
Symet	-		•	•	-	1838	25	- ditto.
		'				1030	1 2	for price of slaves.
								to recover amount of bondage.
Behar	-			-		- 1837	1 7	to obtain possession of slaves.
						1838	4	ditto.
South Be	her		•	•	-	- 1837	4 8	ditto.
				e.		1838	7	ditto.
CRI	MINAL	CASE	s :					
Balasore				•	•	- 1836	1	enticing away a girl belonging to a pros-
		,					1	selling children without their mother's knowledge.
Beerbhoo	m -		-		-	- 1836	1 1	eloping with a slave girl belonging to
								the prosecutor.
24-Pergu	nnahs	-	-	•	•	- 1834	1 3	purchasing a girl for prostitution.
Baraset (j		agistr	ate)	•	, -	- 1835	Ĩ	ditto.
Chittagon			- ·	•	-	- 1838	4	enticing away slave girls.
Noakholle	e (joint	magi	strate)	~	•	- 1838	25	runaway slaves.
Tipperah	-	•	••	*	•	- 1837	34	slaves absconding.
	-					3838	1 .	complaints for slaves.
M y <i>m</i> ensii	ogh	•	-	-	•	- 1836		abduction of slaves ; number of cases not specified.
							1	selling as slaves.
						1837	3	kidnapping and enticing away slave girls.
						1838	2	ditto.
Sylhet	•	•	•	-	-	- 1836	1	decoying away slaves, and selling them elsewhere.
Rungpore	-	÷.	-	-	-	- 1835	- 1 -	selling people.
~.						1836	1	ditto.
						-	9	selling female children.
		<i>a</i> .				1838	2	selling male and female children.
N. E. Ra					•	- 1836	1	
Monghys	(joint	magis	trate)	•	-	- 1838	1	abduction of a boy for purpose of sale.
Bebar	-	-	•	•	•	- 1836	1	tyranny, and forcing to execute a deed of sale of a girl.

There are also numerous cases entered in the statements of criminal business under the heads of-

Illegal * confinement ; false imprisonment.

Keeping forcible possession of females ; forcibly detaining men.

Assault; abuse of power; oppression; tyranny.

Absconding, deserting or escaping from service or employment; running away; escaping; escape from employment with money; quitting service without good and sufficient cause.

Some cases of complaint of masters against their slaves and others, and of slaves against their masters and others, may be included in these; but in the absence of more detailed information, this cannot be ascertained.

We shall now give, in a condensed form, the substance of the answers of the various Mofussal courts and officers to the questions proposed to them, and m so doing we shall endearour to distinguish between those replies which are founded on actual experience and those embodying opinions only which the officers entertaining them have not had occasion to apply in practice. With a view to perspicuity, we shall first dispose of those which relate to the civil branch of judicature, and then proceed to those connected with the criminal branch.

SUBSTANCE

^{*} Sixty-five cases of illegal detainer appear in the Tipperah statement for 1838.

By the practice of our courts the right of the master over the slave, as far as his services are concerned, is fully recognized ; as also the property or tille to sell, lead, or mortgage has services; and property acquired by the slave becomes that of his master.	CIVIL COURTS WHICH	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
And a party entioning or sheltering a runaway slave can be seed for damages for loss of service. Behar. Admit the claums of findoo masters to the personal services of their slaves, but the claums of Mahomedan master to such services have been admitted by some judges of thus court, and rejected by others. Mymensingh. To sell even so as to separate husband and wife, parent and child, at the caprice and will of the master over property acquired by the slave during servited! Mymensingh. Do not recognize any legal rights of masters either over the persons or property of slaves. Behar. Do not recognize the right of a master over property acquired by the slave. Governor-general's agent, South Behar., Principal serialstant, Loburdagge. By the practice of our courts the right of the master over the person of the slave. Commasion of the moster over the person of the slave. Would admit the right of a master over the person of slas slave. Judge of Backer-gunge. Would recognize the right of a master over the person of the slave, as far as has services are concerned, is fully econdition of the moster, and property acquired by the slave did person backer over would perhaps heallowed to the slave did not the right of a Mahomedan master to exact. Judge of Backer-gunge. Would decognize the master sight to property acquired by the slave did not be master, when nots of backer or the person of the master or segnes and poly against did alignes of the scored by the nature would perchaps heallowed to the master or aligner. Judge of Backer of the master or sell the person of the slave sp		South Behar, Behar,	
services of their slaves; but the claims of Mahomedan matters to such services have been admitted by some. Recognize the master's right to transfer his slave by gift, sale or mortgage. To sell even so as to separate bushand and wife, parent and child, at the caprice and will of the master over parent by the slave daming servitude! Do not recognize any legal rights of masters either over the persons or property of alaves. Do not recognize is also the property acquired by the slave, ecounds that of his master. Would recognize the right of a master over the person of the slave, as far as has services are concerned, is fully ecounes that of his master, Would recognize the right of a master over the person of the slave, as far as has services are concerned, is fully ecounes that of his master, would admit the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would admit the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would recognize the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would active the right of a master to seal her avent. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired by his slave. Suppose the evvi courts would recognize such right Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- gistrate of Cou- slave as an anceputon on groof of gors. Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- mismage were established against the latter. To decree semanched to mo for do gors. Let have guaranteed by governmant. Considers that clause 1, section t6, Regulation III, 1805, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the st of a silve segints a lindor of Mussilinan master, Considers	be sued for damages for loss of service.	Behar.	r.
sale or mortgage. Loherdogga (in South Behar), Behar. To sell even so as to separate husband and wife, parent and chid, at the caprice and will of the master. Such Behar), Behar. Recognise the right of the master over property ac- guired by the slave during servitude! Behar. Do not recognize any legal rights of masters either over the persons or property of slaves. Behar. Do not recognize the right of a master over the pro- perty of his slave. Governor-ge- meral's agent. South Behar), South Behar), Estat. Loher- dugga. By the practice of our courts the right of the master veer the alave, as far as has services are concerned, is fully ecourse that of his master, Commasion of the norther dugga. Would recognize the right of a master uver the person foi ha slave. Judge of Backer- gunge. Would recognize the right of a master uver the person foi ha slave. Judge of Backer- gunge. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact ervice from his slave according to ha ability ; to sell has have for gross mubel-abiour, and in a caregrene ; and rould adjudge such master's right to property acquired by his slave. ditto. If both master me alave were Hindocs, or both Mas- ultamae; otherwise the slave would person paint be allowed to rould recognize the master, when nots of cruely and adveres ; ditto. Has a law of it action given a slave his freedom by dis- niseng the claim of the master, when not of cruely and adveres ; Bard- wan. Has a cl	services of their slaves; but the claims of Mahomedan masters to such services have been admitted by some judges of this court, and rejected by others.	4 · · · ·	· · ·
To sell even so as to separate busband and wife, parent and child, at the caprice and will of the master. Shahabad. Recognize the right of the master over property acuited by the slave during servitude! De not recognize the right of a master over the property of bis slave. De not recognize the right of a master over the property of bis slave. Governor-general's agent, South Behar. By the practice of our courts the right of the master over the slave, as law the property or tile to sell, beind, or coordinated; as also the property acquired by the slave decomes that of his master, Commission of the norther division dindivision dindivision dindivision dindivision division division di		Lohurdugga (in South Behar),	· ,
Recognize the right of the master over property ac- guired by the slave during servitude! Behar. Do not recognize any legal rights of masters either over the persons or property of alaves. Governor-ge- neral's agent, South Behar. Dy the practice of our courts the right of the master ver the slave, as far as has services are concerned, is fully ecognized; as also the property or tile to sell, lead, or portgage has services; and property acquired by the slave ecouries that of his master, Commasion- of the norther division of th Doab. Would recognize the right of a master over the person of his slave. Judge of Backer- guinge- Judge of Backer- guinge- 		Shahabad.	
the persons or property of alaves. Meerut. Do not recognize the right of a master over the property of his slave. Governor-general's agent, South Behar. By the practice of our courts the right of the master over the alave, ns far as has services are concerned, is fully recognize the right of a master over the person of the slave. Commission of the norther drivition drivitic drit drivitic drivitic drit drivitic drivitic drivitic drit drivitic	Recognize the right of the master over property ac-	Behar.	
perty of his slave. neral's agent, South Behar, Principal as- sistant, Lohur- dugga. By the practice of our courts the right of the master proceedings has services are concerned, is fully recognized; as also the property or title to sell, lend, or mortgage has service; and property acquired by the slave percomes that of his master, Commission of the norther division of th Doab. OPFICERS WEO Judge of Backer- gunge. Judge of Backer- gunge. Would recognize the right of a master over the person of his slave. ditto. Judge of Backer- gunge. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact is slave. ditto. ditto. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact is slave. ditto. ditto. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired by his slave. ditto. ditto. Would accognize the master's right to property acquired or but master and slave were Hindoos, or both Mus- lumane; otherwise the slave would perhaps be allowed to locquire property, under sec. 9, Reg. VII. 1833. Additional gudge of Bard- wan, ditto. As well as the power of the master to sell the person is slave; ditto. ditto. Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- niseng the claim of the master when acts of cruely and ard usage were established against the latter. To decree emancipation cap proof of gross ill-treatment orould be considered by the natives as an infrangement of heur laws guaranted by goveriment. <	the persons or property of slaves.	Governor-ge-	
By the practice of our courts the right of the master over the slave, as far as his services are concerned, is fully recognized; as also the property or title to sell, lend, or mortgage his services; and property acquired by the slave Doab. OPFICERS WHO Would recognize the right of a master over the person of his slave. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact service from his slave according to his ability; to sell his slave. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired by his slave. If both master and slave were Hindoos, or both Mus- sequire property, under sace, 9, Reg. VII. 1832. Suppose the civil courts would recognize such right As well as the power of the master to sell the person of ins slaves; Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- miseng the claim of the master, when nots of cruelty and ard usage were established against the later. To decree enancipation on proof of gross all-treatment would be considered by the natives as an infringement of her laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation 111, 1803, sould bar a claim for damsges for personal injury on the sart of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, art of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, ber laws against a Hindoo or Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, beta as a slave against a Hindoo or Musulma master, beta as a slave against a Hindoo or Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, beta as a linton on Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, beta as a linton on Musulma master, att of a sleve against a Hindoo or Musulma master, beta as a linton on Musulma master, beta as a linton on Musulma master, beta as a linton on Musulma master, bet	perty of his slave.	neral's agent, South Behar. Principal as-	
OFFICEES WHO Judge of Backer- gunge. Judge of Backer- gunge. To the same extent as a master possesses over his free servant. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact service from his slave according to his ability; to sell his slave or gross musbehaviour, and in emergence; and would adjudge such master bound to maintain and protect is slave. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired by his slave. ditto. Would recognize the slave would perhaps be allowed to acquire property, under sec. 9, Reg. VII. 1833. Additional judge of Bard- wan. Suppose the civil courts would recognize such right - As well as the power of the master to sell the person of us slaves; ditto. Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- missing the claim of the master, when acts of cruelty and rard usage were established against the latter. Judge of Patna. To decree emancipation an proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natures as an infragement of her laws guaranteed by government. Acting judge of Sylhet. Magistrate or Agra.	over the slave, as far as his services are concerned, is fully recognized; as also the property or title to sell, lead, or mortgage his services; and property acquired by the slave		
of his alave. gunge. To the same extent as a master possesses over his free arvant. Judge of Ba revent. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact ervice from his slave according to his ability; to sell his lave. ditto. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired y his slave. ditto. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired ys his slave. ditto. If both master and slave were Hindoos, or both Musulmans; otherwise the slave would perhaps be allowed to cquire property, under sec. 9, Reg. VII. 1832. Additional judge of Burdwan. Suppose the civil courts would recognize such right Additional judge of Gowalpara. As well as the power of the master to sell the person of us slaves; ditto. Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by discipation the master, when acts of cruelty and ard usage were established against the latter. Judge of Patna. To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment rould be considered by the natives as an infringement of bar laws guaranteed by government. Acting judge of Sylhet. Considera that clause 1, section 16, Regulation III, 1803, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulinan master, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulinan master, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against of Missing in the damages in personal injury on the art of a slave ag	OFFICERS WRO		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 rervant. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact. alave. Would recognize the master bound to maintain and protect is alave. Would recognize the master's right to property acquired y his slave. Would recognize the master and slave were Hindoos, or both Mustufumars; otherwise the slave would perhaps be allowed to cquire property, under sen. 9, Reg. VII. 1832. Suppose the civil courts would recognize such right As well as the power of the master to sell the person of us slaves; Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by disnissing the claim of the master, when nots of cruelty and and usage were established against the latter. To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment fould be considered by the natives as an infringement of her laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation III, 1803, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulinan master, 	of his slave.		Judge of Ba-
Would recognize the master's right to property acquired by his slave. If both master and alave were Hindoos, or both Mus- ulmans; otherwise the slave would perhaps be allowed to course property, under see. 9, Reg. VII. 1832. Suppose the civil courts would recognize such right As well as the power of the master to sell the person of its slaves; Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- nissing the claim of the master, when acts of cruelty and ard usage were established against the latter. To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natures as an infringement of her laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation III, 1803, would bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulman master,	ervant. Would admit the right of a Mahomedan master to exact hervice from his slave according to his ability; to sell his lave for gross misbehaviour, and in emergence; and would adjudge such master bound to maintain and protect	ditto.	
ulmans; otherwise the slave would perhaps be allowed to locuire property, under sec. 9, Reg. VII. 1832. Suppose the civil courts would recognize such right As well as the power of the master to sell the person of its slaves; Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- nising the claim of the master, when nots of cruelty and and usage were established against the latter. To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natives as an infringement of hear laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation III, 1803, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulinan master,	Would recognize the master's right to property acquired .		– – ditto.
As well as the power of the master to sell the person of As well as the power of the master to sell the person of as slaves; Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- dissing the claim of the master, when acts of cruelty and hard usage were established against the latter. To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natures as an infringement of hear laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation III, 1803, would bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the art of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulman master,	ulmans; otherwise the slave would perhaps be allowed to equire property, under sec. 9, Reg. VII. 1839.	judge of Bard- wan,	98 г.
As well as the power of the master to sell the person of as slaves; Has in a civil action given a slave his freedom by dis- missing the claim of the master, when acts of cruelty and and usage were established against the latter. To decrees emancipation an proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natures as an infringement of hear laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation 111, 1803, To decrees emancipation 115, 1803, and usage of Patna. - Acting judge of Sylhet. - Magistrate or - Magistrate or	oppose no con a conta none roughine such right	gistrate of Cut- tack. Officiating ma-	•
missing the claim of the matter, when nots of cruelty and hard usage were established against the latter. To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natives as an infringement of heir laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation III, 1803, sould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the sart of a slave against a Hindoo or Musulinan master,	As well as the power of the master to sell the person of us slaves ;		
To decress emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natures as an infringement of hear laws guaranteed by government. Considers that clause 1, section 16, Regulation 111, 1803, rould bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the sart of a slave against a Hindow or Mussulman master,	nissing the claim of the master, when acts of cruelty and	Judge of Patna,	
would bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the Agra.	To decree emancipation on proof of gross ill-treatment would be considered by the natives as an infringement of	Acting judge of Sylhet.	-
	would bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the sart of a slave against a Hindoo or Mussulman master,		Magistrate of Agra.

ı.

The following STATEMENT exhibits the Principles by which some of the Civil Courts have been guided, and by which the several Officers, who have expressed their Opinions on the Subject, have regulated or would regulate their Decisions, in Cases involving the Civil Rights adverted to in the 1st Query, when brought before them.

	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
In the civil court of Tipperah, it has been the practice, when both parties are Hindoos or both parties Mahomedans, to decide according to the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws,	Judge of Tippe- rah.	
espectively. The civil courts are guided as above	Officiating judge of Behar.	Judge of Go- ruckpore.
'The judge of Goruckpore.has always so regulated his decisions Officers who would regulate their decisions in the same way:	Judge of Mid- napore. Acting megis- trate of Nuddea. Judge of Din- agepore.	- ditto.
Admitting of no slavery not strictly legal according to those	Judge of Tirhoot	Officiating judge
aws. And giving the alleged slave the benefit of the slightest doubt		of Agra. Magastrate of
respecting that legality Officers who think that there are very few slaves strictly legal:	Officiating judge of the 24 Pergun- nahs.	Benares. Magistrate o Agra.
	Acting magas- trate of Nuddea.	
Either under the Hindoo or Mahomedan law	Officiating ma- gistrate of Gowal-	Magistrate o Mynpoorie.
Who think' there are very few slaves legal according to the Mahomedan law:	para. Commissioner of Chutagong. Judge of Tip- perah.	Commissions of Muttra.
	Joint magis- trate of Furreed- pore. Officiating judge of Sylhet.	
Such has been the invariable result of investigations before	Ditto of Behar.	Magistrate
the magistrate of Cawnpore. The Mahomedans themselves are aware that their alleged rights as masters cannot stand the test of their law.	Acting judge of Chittagong, Joint magis- trate of Furreed-	Cawupore.
Presumes that if the purchase of a Hindoo slave, or descent from a slave so purchased, be proved, the slavery would be held to be established.	of Sylbet.	
In Cuttack,* it is well known that sales of children by their parents, is scarcity, are not binding on the persons so sold; the purchasers have no remedy.	Judge of Behar, late judge of Cut- tack.	
In the civil court of Mymensingh, the Hindoo law is admi- pistered for Hindoo slaves, and the Mahomedan law for Maho- medan slaves; but custom and precedent are admitted.	Judge of My- mensingh.	
The acting judge of Dacca would consider himself bound by Hindoo and Mahomedan law, unless they did not define the power of the master and rights of the slave; in which case he would act as justice and reason seemed to require, not deeming	Acting judge of Dacca.	
the master's power unlimited because undefined. The courts being hound to administer to the natives their own laws, the officiating judge of Shahabad would recognize the right of a master over his slave and his property, agreeably to Hindoo and Mahomedan law, as the case might be, provided no right was claimed inconsistent with proper, i. e., kind treatment of the slave.	Officiating judge of Shababad.	

[•] With this statement may be here contrasted that of the magistrate of Mymensingh, who regards the circular order of the Nizamut Adawlut, dated 5th October 1814, "as virtually sanctioning the sale of infants." "During two seasons of scarcity," he adds, "while I was employed in Cuttack, I invariably upheld such transactions."

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

RELATING TO SLAVERT IN TH	E BASE INDIE	
•	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
The judge of Purneah would be guided by the spirit of the regulations and humanity, and, if necessary, require a legal opnion from the Hindoo or Mahomedan law officer. He con- siders that all the rights of a Hindoo or Mahomedan master in reference to his slaves, sanctioned by the respective religious institutions of such persons, would be recognized by the courts, if they did not militate against the humane spirit of the British laws. Where they did, a British judge or magistrate would suspend their operation, as expressly done by Regulation VIII. 1790. A British functionary would always favour the alleged slave as far as consistent with the spirit of the regulations. A large discretion is felt, no doubt, allowable on the subject, and a very loose administration of the law to be excusable.	Judge of Pur- neab.	
All the cases in the civil court at Bhaugalpore for the last to years have been decided as mere matter of sale (in the form of leases for 70 or 90 years), and no questions of law taken. The only proof required is the sale having been made, and the person making it being the owner of the slave.	Judge of Bhau- gulpore.	,
The judge of Backergunge understands that the courts are guided by custom and usage.	Judge of Back- ergunge,	•
The judge of Jessore would be guided by custom, if it was ust and reasonable.	Judge of Jes-	
The commissioner of Bauleah conceives, that whatever may be the Hindoo or Mussulman law, cases before the civil courts would be treated as common contracts.	Commissioner of Bauleah.	
The commissioner of Bhangulpore thinks the courts have been guided more by the English law relating to master and hervant than by Hindso'or Mahomedan law, respecting the rights in question.	+- Commissioner of Bhaugulpare,	
The, principles by which cases respecting slavery are adju- incated in the civil and criminal courts of zillah Behar have seen different at different times.	,	
The additional judge of Ghazeepore, who was for five years udge and magistrate of the Behar district, describing his practice here, states, that, subject to the regulations and humanity, he debered to the Mahomedan and Hindoo law; and in civil cases ollowed those laws strictly.		Additional judge of Ghazeepore.
The acting judge also says, that the civil courts are guided by the Hindoo or Mahomedan laws, according to the creed of the parties.	Acting judge of Behar.	
The magistrate says," "In the district of Behar, the courts would appear, by their decisions, to have recognized generally the rights of masters over their slaves, to the extent of enforcing any engagements voluntarily entered into by the parties, accord- ing to the custom of these parts, and provided that they be not repugnant to the feelings of a British judge."	Magistrate of Behar.	•
The judge of Paina, who was likewise nearly five years in sillah Behar, after commenting on the uncertainty of the prac- ice of the courts of that district, in regard to rights claimed or exercised over slaves, proceeds: " In the usages which had thus become commen and binding, certan prioriples of natural equity were more or less discernible. For instance, the right of disposir male or female, rested exclusively with the mother, or, failing h mother." "Lissens to be generally admitted, that to make the parents valid, such sale should have been made under circum iserth and the like, and that the party sold be an infant or of	sale of a person born stances of distress,	of free
In leases of 90 years (substituted for deads of sale), this officer u the slave attaining majority, on payment of the principal advance was no express condition in har of such redemption.	used to allow redemp ed, and interest, whe	tion, on re there
In assigning the reasons for having, in a civil action, given acts of cruelty and hard usage were established against the m that I was not acting contrary to the Mahomedan law, and str presented of match and enuity. Which by the seculations in co	naster, he says, "be actly in accordance w	elieving rith the

acts that that i was not acting contrary to the Mahomedan law, and strictly in accordance with the practiples of justice and equity, which, by the segulations, in cases not specifically provided for, were to form my rule of conduct. The principle upon which elawery of person, not infidels or taken in battle, is justified by Mahomedan law and practice, is simply to preserve life, if, therefore, the master will not feed or provide for his slave, or otherwise by careless-ness or neglect endanger his life, the avoidance of the obligation on the side of the master will form a legal ground for emancipat-ing the slave. Cases of this description I have never met with."

262,

G 4

· (continued.)

56 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

,	Lower Provinces.	North Western Provinces.
"It will appear then," he concludes, " that civil and criminal courts have hitherin afforded remedy to slaves for injuries, whe- ther affecting person or property, not according to the strict letter of Hindoo or Mahomedan law, but according to the laws of custom and equity,—for this simple reason, that parties so complaining, whether master or slave, have never pleaded to have the provisions of either law enforced."		
"In this part of Upper Indua," says the magistrate of Agra, "Handoo or Mahomedan slavery can acarcely be said to exist. In the district of Agra, there is not, I believe, one single indi- routal in the state of a lawful slave. By lawful slave is meant, of tourse, an infidel who has fought against the faith, or the desce D'course, during famines, and even inder the pressure of ordu- the habit of selling (as the phrase of the common people runs) can provide for them. But the dictum of the sale of free childr medan country, is regarded by the ablest Mahomedan lawyers a province for them. But the dictum of the sale of free childr medan country, is regarded by the ablest Mahomedan lawyers a province to the sway of the Delhi empire, is clearly illegal. A of infinge this law must of necessity be a criminal offence, and fit can convey no nghts whatever over any particular indivi imes. ⁶ Doublies, however, there exist in Behar \neq on the i- Deccan, and in other parts of India, parties, who were made 1 of the Mogul empire beyond the north of India. The nature, hose unfortunate beings will of course be defined with more isoverer, useless for local officers to enter into detailed discussi rever enforced, rights which have never been defined, and involv f a fixed character which were never theought of by the sen uled in India from tho earliest periods to which her annals each. The number of lawful slaves. under the more reverenced	ant of a person of the nary poverty, parties their children to the sound in law, as it sposal of any mant ent to the subjection fiter this period, the the successful infru- tual or his offspring north-eastern fronties awful slaves under e such previous to the therefore, of the s difficulty. It is of- ions as to laws which one principles of re-	are in ose who be who be any sclear to any attempt attempt attempt attempt rement n after r, in the Hindoo e spread tatus of vyously; ch were seaoning
rule of the Mahomedan law, must, in every part of India once subject to the Delhi emperors, be very small indeed."		<u> </u>
ubject to the Delhi emperors, be very small indeed."	luery Fifth.	*
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Query Fifth.	*
SUBSTANCE of the ANSWERS to C OFFICERS WHO Would not admit the claim of the plaintiff if the slavery was	Judge of Din- agepore. Judge of Par- neah Principal as- enstant at Lohur- dugga (in South Behar). Officiating judge of Shaha-	 Additional judge of Ghaze pore. - Magastrate Benares. Officratingcor missioner at All habad. Acung magi trate at Banda.
SUBSTANCE of the ANSWERS to C OFFICERS WHO Would not admit the claim of the plaintiff if the slavery was	Judge of Din- agepore. Judge of Pur- neah Principal as- sistant at Lohur- dugga (in South Behar). Officiating	judge of Ghaze pore. · · Magnatrate Benares. Officiatingcor missioner at All habad. Acung magi
SUBSTANCE of the ANSWERS to C OFFICERS WHO Would not admit the claim of the plaintiff if the slavery was ot legal according to his law. ‡	Judge of Din- agepore. Judge of Pur- neah Principal as- stant at Lohur- dugga (ia South Behar). Officiating judge of Shaha- bad. Judge of Moor-	judge of Ghaze pore. · Magnatrate Benares. Officialingcon missioner at All habad. Acung mag

ŕ

^{• &}quot; Abul Fuzl states of the Hindoos": 'They have no daves among them ;' and this too when the empire embraced 15 soobalm, extending from Mooltan to the Bay of Bengal, and from the Himalaya to Mandow. The descendants of this class of people, in the provinces now under the Bengal government, must therefore be very few."

^{+ &}quot;The parties of whom Mr. Fleming makes mention in his evidence before the House of Lords clearly exist in a mere state of contract service."

[‡] The two courts of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut concur in this view.

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

OFFICERS WHO	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Would decide such cases according to the law of the defendant.	- Judge of Nud- dea. Judge of Patna.	
Considers that a Hindoo master could not claim a Mahomedan slave not a legal slave by Mahomedan law.	- Joint magis- trate of Fureed- pore.	ele B Galero F
Would decide by the law of the plaintiff or defendant, accord- ing as one or the other was most favourable to liberty.	Acting judge of Dacca.	* Officiating judge of Etawah. • Officiating commissioner of Muttra.
Would hold the slavery established only when the laws of both parties coincided as to its legality.		Officiating judge of Cawn pore.
Would decide against the claim of the plaintin in these cases.	- Additional judge of Burdwan.	Officiating judge of Saharum pore Officiating 'ma- gistrate of Pani put (Delhi Terri tory).
Would give the slave the benefit of any doubt in claims of this nature to his person or property, on the principle of British justice, equity and good conscience.		Judge of Mir- zapore.
By Hindoo law, Hindoos cannot be slaves to inferiors, and a Mahomedan being by them considered such, by that law a Hindoo could not be a slave to a Mahomedan.	Judge of Nud- den. Officiating ma- gistrate of Nud- dea.	
Such being the case, although by the Mahomedan law a Hindoo can serve a Mahomedan, yet a Mahomedan slave, being of little use to a Hindoo master, considers that it would be the best course not to support the claim of a Mahomedan o a Hindeo, or vice versa.	- ditto.	
Would admit the claim of a Hindoo master to a Mahomedan alave, such claim being primd facie legal, the onus probandi being on the claimant.		Officiating judge of Ghazee pore.
Would support the claim of the Mahomedan master, in the wo cases supposed, if it was just.	Judge of Mui- napore.	
Thinks that a Hindoo is not likely to claim a Mahomedan slave, and that such a claim would not have been admitted ander a Mahomedau government.		Magistrate o Mynpoorie.
Slavery being customary in this country does not see how the religion of the plaintiff could affect his claim.	Magistrate of Sylhet.	· · ·
In either of the two cases would support the claim if the contract was made with an adult, and the claim was for the person sold; in special cases would support the claim when the slave claimed had been sold by the parents in infancy, <i>i. e.</i> , in the extreme cases of famine and scarcity.	Judge of My- mensingh.	
The same right has been allowed to Mahomedans over their Hindoo slaves by the Sheergotty court (an South Behar) that was allowed to a Hindoo master over has Hindoo slave, the cus- tom of the country having been the guide. Has not heard of Hindoos having Mahomedan slaves in those parts.	Governor-ge- neral's agent in South Behar.	
In the civil-court of Bhaugulpore no notice appears to have been taken with regard to the party being either Mahomedan or Hundoo in any of the cases decided by that court.	Judge of Bhaugulpore,	
The courts in zillah Behar, in enforcing written contracts or voluntary engagements, make no distinction in regard to the religion of the parties.	Magistrate of Behar.	
Consider that the claim of a Hindoo master over a Mahome- tan slave would be cognizable under the custom of the country.	Judge of Tir- hoot. Acting addi-	
And vice verse	tional judge of do. - ditto.	
A Hindro or Mahomedan slave is regulated by the usages of his own caste, and not by the law of his master.	Acting magis- trateofShahabad.	

* The asterisk in this and the following statements distinguishes the officers who have given a less decided opinion than others on the particular point. 262. H

፟ 57

2

	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
For deciding against the plaintiff when the slavery is not legal by his law. Would restrict the system to its narrowest legal limits, and would not support a claum to property, which the law the claimant would desire to have administered to him in the de- chanon of all other questions of a civil instance pronounces to be llegal.	Officiating judge of Shababad,	
Because such a decision would be most favourable to the slave, and most consonant to reason.		Officiating com missioner of Alla habad
Because the Mahomedan law ordains that no Mahomedan shall exercise power over any person as a slave except slaves legal by their law, and the onus probondi lies on the plaintiff. The same principle would reasonably apply to a Hindoo claimant.		- Acting maga- trate of Banda.
For holding a claim to slaves conclusive only when the laws of both parties coincided as to the legality of the slavery. The plaintiff could not claim, and the defendant could not be enslaved, against his own law; 1st, because persons putting hemselves is such positions should themselves be fully aware of the habilities they incur, and of the insecurity of such trans- actions, from the instural difficulties of the case; 2d, because such a course would reduce an evil, net likely to be otherwise removed, to a minimum.		Officiating judge of Cawnpore.
For deciding against the claim in both the supposed cases. Because, with reference to section 9, Regulation VII. 1832, to admit such claims would be to deprive a man of what is petter than any property, dearer than any other right; viz., reedom.		Officiating ma gistrate of Paniput
For supporting the claim of the Mabomedan master in the two cases supposed, if it was just. Because, according to section 9, Regulation VII. 1832, the Mahomedan and iHindoo laws are not meant to operate to deprive of property persons entitled to it.	Judge of Mid- napore.	
For supporting the claim, if the contract was made with an adult, and the claim was for the person sold; or when the lave claimed had been sold by the parents in infancy, during amine and scarcity. On the <i>lex loss</i> and usage; and also because both parties have heretofore been allowed to sell themselves into slavery, and both have had the privilege to purchase slaves. The <i>lex</i> <i>losi</i> has its influence and weight even where the Mahomedan aw is in force, and it is part and parcel of the Hindoo law; <i>herefore</i> a <i>bond fide</i> contract of an adult could not equitably be set ande, because there is no precedent an Menu for the pur- chase of a Mahomedan by a Hindoo.	Judge of My- mensingh.	

REASONS assigned for some of the foregoing Opinions.

SUBSTANCE of the Answers to Query Sixth.

OFFICERS WRD	.	
Would admit no claims except where both parties were Hin- doos or Mahomedans.	Officiating ma- gistrate of Beer- bhopiu. Judge of Nud- dea. * Judge of Ra- jeshahy. Judge of Din-	Officiating judge of Ghazeepure.
Think that no person but a Hindoo or Mahomedan could manutain a claim to a slave. †	agepore. - Judge of Moor- shedabad. Additional judge of Burdwan. Judge of Beer- bboom.	- Magistrate of Mynpoorie. • Magistrate of Benares. Officiality com- missioner of Alla- habad.

† The Calcutta court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut agree in the opinion of the judge of Moorshe's abal on this question.

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES: 59

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Think that no person but a Hindeo ar Mahomedan could maintain a claim to a slave.	napore. Judge of My- mensingh. Acting judge of Behar, Acting judge of Shahabad.	 - Additional jud of Ghazeepore. Officiating cormissioner of Mu tra. Commissioner N. D. Doab, Magistrate Mozuffurnuggur.
Or a seceder from either of those religions. Would give judgment for a Hindoo converted to Islamism, that he was entitled to a slave by succession or inheritance, either before or after apostacy, and that the slave was a legal slave by Hindoo law. Thinks also that a Hindoo or Mahomedan converted to Christianity or other religion, should get his decree for a slave who was a legal slave according to the law from which the plaintiff had seceeded +	shedabad.	
Think that the claim of a British-bora subject to be a slave- holder could not be recognized.	Judge of Pur- neah. Acting magis- trate of Purneah. Principal as- sistant at Lobur- dugga. Judge of Tir-	- Officiating judg of Etawah.
	hoot. Acting addi- tional judge of Turbost.	.
Nor that of any Christian	Acting magis- trate of Purneah.	
Would not uphold the claim of a European or East Indian.	Acting magis- trate of Shahabad.	
Thinks the circumstance of a plaintiff not being a Hindoo or Mahomedan would not bar his claim, if the plaintiff's law recognized slavery.		Officiating jud of Cawnpore.
As amongst the Americans and many European nations slavery is still permitted by law, conceives considerable doubt may be entertained with respect to foreigners being entitled to hold slaves.		Officiating judg of Etawah.
As a magistrate, would support the claim of a British sub- ject to the services of a slave purchased when an infant, but would not uphold a transfer in such cases when the subject was of age.	Magistrate of Mymensingh.	
. If the plaintiff was not a Hindoo or a Mahomedan, but the defendant was, as there is no law on one ade, would take the law of the defendant, giving the plantiff the benefit of the law of the country as admissive of slevery.	Acting judge of Dacca.	
Would uphold a good purchase by a claimant not a Hindoo or Mahomedan, on the principle of established usage. Slavery being customary in this country, does not think the	Acting judge of Sylhet. Magistrate of	Judge of Ba
religion of the master would affect his claim, The right of, ownership would depend upon the validity of the tulk number number of the state of the	Sylhet. Judge of Patna.	really.
the title acquired by the purchaser. Thinks that a foreigner not subject to the supreme court might claim under the laws of the country.	Judge of Tur- hoot.	
Thinks that in claims of other classes than British-born sub- jects, c. g. Parsees, the coarts would be guided by the custom of the country, whatever that on inquiry might appear to be.	Principal as- sistant at Lohar- dugga.	•
Would entertain no claim against any but a Hindoo or Mahomedan.	- Judge of Mid- napore. Acting judge of Dacca. Judge of 'Tip- perah.	Officiating con missioner of Alia babad.
In disposing of claims of Hundoos and Mahomedans to a slave who was not of either of those persuasions, would regard the law of the defendant as well as that of the plaintif.		Additional judg of Ghazeepore.
and an and and and and an and the mate of the fushing.		(continued.

† The Calcutta Sudder court agree in this opinion,

.

66 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

e policie de la constante de la	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
In disposing of claims of Hindoes and Mahomedans, does not think the caste or persuasion of the defendant would be attended to, provided he was not a British or foreign European subject.	- • •	Commissioner of the N. D. Doab.
Admitting that any plaintiff whose laws allowed of slavery might sue, would not admit the legality of the slavery unless the laws of both parties coincided therein.		Officiating judge of Cawnpore.
A claim to a slave not a Hindoo or Mahomedan, might be supported under the <i>lex loci</i> ; but would not allow the claim to	Judge of My mensingh.	
extend to the offspring. The onus of proof would fall on the purchaser, to show that the slave was the child of Hindoo or Mahomedan parents, or was otherwise legally the property of the party from whom he	Jadge of Patna.	
was purchased. Seea no reason for exempting a Christian, if a native of India, from a claim to him as a slave.		Additional judge of Ghazeepure,
The courts in zillah Behar, in enforcing written contracts or voluntary engagements, make no distinction in regard to the religion of the parties.	Magistrate of Bebar.	;
In cases not of Hindoos or Mahomedans, would decide by the laws of the parties.	- # Acting addi- tional judge of Nuddea.	
On principles of British justice, equity, and good conscience, would give the slave the benefit of any doubt in claims to his person or property.	• • •	Judge of Mirza- pore.

REASONS assigned for some of the foregoing Opinions.

For not admitting the claim except when both parties are	<u></u>	*
Hindoos or Mahomedans.		
Because slavery is nowhere recognized by our regulation law in such cases.	Judge of Raje- shahy.	
For not admitting any but Hindoo or Mabomedan claimants.		
Because there is no law requiring such an admission, and neither justice, equity, nor good conscience can admit such a clamb.	Judge of Mid- napore.	
Christians, Parsees, Chinese, or any other claimants than Hindoos or Mahomedans, would be required to show by what law they could claim; and as no law exists in India by which such claims could be supported, the slave would of course have the benefit of the absence of the plaintiff's right.	Additional judge of Burdwau.	- -
Because slavery is only allowed in deference to Hindoo and Mahomedan law, and by no other law which is the rule of our courts.	Judge of Beer- bhoom,	•
The claimant must prove by his law, and thinks no one can expect to establish such a claim but a Hindoo or Mahomedan.	• - •	- Magistrate of Benares.
Because the plaintiff could not ground his claim on a law not his own, and against humanity.		Officiating com- missioner of Alla-
For not admitting the claims of any but Hindoos or Ma-	,	habad.
Because slavery is not sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized by the government, except the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws. †	Jadge of Moor- shedabad.	с.
For not allowing the claim of a British-born subject to hold a slave.		
Because, he imagines, a British-born subject might be punished in the supreme court for purchasing a slave.	Judge of Tir-	
Because the customs of the country are not exactly appli- cable to such persons.	Acting addi- tional judge of Turboot.	
For not allowing the claim of a European or East Indian.)
Thinks he should be justified on moral grounds, and author- ized by the spirit of the British Government, in not allowing Europeans and East Indians to hold slaves.	Acting magis- trate of Shahabad.	

† The Calcutta Sudder court concur in this view.

-- ·

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

6r.

	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
For admitting the suits of others than Hindoos or Mahome- dans if their laws recognized slavery. Because it has been the custom of the civil courts that all parties should have their cases decided by their own laws.		Officiating judge of Campore.
For admitting the claums of others than Hindoo or Mahome.		
dans. Because where no direct law or regulation applies to a case, the decision should be regulated by established usage, and	Acting judge of Sylbet.	
equity and good conscience. Because, if slaves by purchase from their parents in times of scarcity be allowed by the laws of nature to be right, does not see why any claimant should be debarred from preferring such claims.	•	Judge of Ba- reilly.
		}
Reasons for not admitting a claim against any but a Hindoo or Mahomedan.		
Because section Q: Regulation VII. 1832, declares, that the	Judge of Mid-	
rules referred to in the preceding section were designed for the protection of the rights of <i>bond fide</i> Hindoos and Mahomedans, not for the deprivation of the rights of others.	napore.	
Because there is no law to authorize such person's bondage -	Acting judge	ļ
Because in that case he would be guided by the law of the defendant, which, in the absence of any direct regulation or construction, must be taken to be that of an ordinary British subject in settlements in which slavery is not authorized by law.	of Dacca.	Officiating com- missioner of Alla- habad.

The following cases, adjudicated in the civil courts of the interior, are selected from the returns of the public officers.

Cuttack.-In a case tried by the sudder ameen in 1805, 6 or 7, in which a purchaser sued the former master to obtain possession of a slave, the plaintiff was nonsuited on the ground that the slave was not present when the engagement was entered into between the parties, and he was directed to sue for the recovery of his money.

Backergunge .- Case tried by the sudder ameen, also the Mahomedan law officer of the court, September 1820. Claim of two Mahomedans to two persons (Chundah and Asghurrea), court, September 1820. Claim of two Mahomedans to two persons (Chundan and Agghurrea), as their hereditary slaves, dismissed, because "no claim to slavery on persons of Maho-medan faith could be deemed valid in the absence of a regularly-executed deed of sule, or other equally conclusive proof." This decision was confirmed in appeal by the zillah judge, who remarked that the moulovy had in his decision declared, "that the Mahomedan law prohibited persons from consigning to slavery for an indefinite period, and restricted them to a temporary transfer in farm-an obligation which was alone binding on the person so consigned, and not on his heirs."

Chittagong.—In a recent case, a Mussulman sued to obtain possession of the daughter of a poor woman whom the mother had sold to hum. The moonsiff (a Mahomedan) dismissed the claum as being contrary to the plaintiff's law, and directed that the purchase money should be returned with some deduction as here or wages of the girl. The decision was confirmed by the principal sudder ameen (a Hindoo) in appeal, and by the zillah judge on a special appeal. The remark made by the judge on this case is to the effect, that custom will not supersede law.

Behar.+-From a list of suits instituted in the court from 1825 to 1835, inclusive.

Suit to obtain possession of slaves under a deed of mortgage, or by bye-bil-wuffa (condi-tional sale). Dismissed, on the ground that the plaintiff could not obtain possession until he had petitioned to foreclose the mortgage.

Surt for possession of slaves on plea of having purchased them to save from starvation. Dismissed, on the ground that the plea advanced by the plaintiff is not recognized by any regulation.

Claim to the services of a slave who had received consideration for the same. Judged, that the slave must not consider himself emancipated until he has repaid the money advanced to him. Suit for possession of a slave in virtue of a sale by the mother. Decreed for plaintiff.

Sarun.—The judge of Goruck pore states, from recollection, a case decided in this zillah, which he examined among others when commissioner of the Sarun division, and in which the decision gave freedom to the slave (the plaintiff) on condition of his repaying 12 rupees, the net sum for which he had compounded his liberty, his services being considered equiva-lent to the interest. The decision was upheld in appeal. It

⁺ In Appendix III. will be found a case (No. 5) decided by the Mahomedan sudder ameen of the zillah court of Ranghur, and subsequently in appeal by the judge, from whose decision a pertiion of special appeal mas rejucted by the sudder court. It is given as illustrative of the following points: 1. The effect of great families in reducing free persons to a service condition, and degrading them from a superior to a service coardinate as always, having belonged to a superior and non-service class. 2. This service condition of the Kuhar tribe in that part of the courty. 3. The power exercised by the unsternal grandmother in the Kuhar tribe of selling her grandchildren. 262. 8 3

It remains to notice a few isolated points of civil practice which have been stated by some of the public functionaries in their answers.

The judge of Mymensingh on the practice of his court :-

Partition of Estates. —In the division of estates, or allotting shares under decrees of court, it is also usual (if the claim is a hereditary one to an estate) to declare what proportion of the family slaves are to be transferred to the successful plantiff; but some difficulty always arses out of this part of the order, and generally leads to another suit. Costs.—It is the invariable practice when the master gets his decree, to make each party

pay his own costs, as the defendant could never pay the whole. Pauper Suits.—The claim is never so high but the most indigent person could defend the

suit. A petition to appeal in formâ pauperis is never given. Limitation.—The judge observes, "Suits used formerly to be instituted for loss of service

after the lapse of many years, from the plaintiffs own showing; that is, the slave had absconded, or ceased to do service for perhaps six or seven years, and often a longer period. I put an effectual stop to the institution of these stale cases, by dismissing them (whether in a regular suit or in appeal), whenever the cause of action (i. e., the default of the slave in a case of action (i. e., the default of the slave in performing service, or his absconding and leaving his master) occurred more than a year antecedent to the date of the suit being instituted; and which I was warranted in doing under section 7, Regulation II. 1805, + as the suit was always denominated one for kiseara, or personal damages, and may be viewed much in the same light as an action for seduction would be in the English courts."

A different principle is acted on in the court of the adjoining district of Sylhet. The judge of that zillah, speaking of slaves, who having multiplied beyond the master's means to provide for them, are allowed by the latter to earn a separate or independent hvelhood, by letting them cultivate their own lands, or putting themselves out to service, says, "If the period they have been thus independent has exceeded 12 years, in all such cases the claim of the master has been generally refused, as being barred by the rules of lumitation, on the plea that slaves were personal, and not real, property, and could not be claimed after the lapse of 12 years. But a more general reason has been, that it did not comport with equity to allow the master to claim, where he had for so long a period neglected to provide for the slave."

The opinion of the magistrate of the district is perhaps not opposed to the above practice, but it is at variance with that established in the court of Mymensingh. "If," observes that officer, "a slave has by sufferance occupied a separate dwelling for some years, amassed a little property, and become in a manner independent, this person would exercise the same powers both as to his own person and property as any freeman. But if the question were brought before a civil court, there appears to me no doubt that the rights as laid down in the law would be restored to the master."

Public Sale of Slaves in Satisfaction of Decrees of Court.—Slaves had been considered by the civil courts of Mymensingh available personal property to realize sums due on decrees; but the judge, from whose answer we extract the information, does not permit this practice.

"They are now," he says, "never recognized as assets; for if the court proceeded to sell them, it would in fact become a slave-market." "In the execution of decrees," says the judge of Rungpore, "it is extraordinary, that although all other description of property has been sold, even to the disposal of Hindoo idols to competent Hindoos, the sale of slaves has been exempted. It appears still more extra-ordinary when we find that the sale of slaves has been exempted. ordinary, when we find that the sale of children is allowed, and used to be regustered : and instances are not uncommon of Mussulmans and Hindoos selling their wives on account of enmity or for gain. But these latter cases never appear in the civil, and seldom in the criminal, courts."

We learn from a recent communication from the officiating judge of Cuttack, that it has not been the practice of the courts in that zillah to authorize the sale of slaves by public auction in satisfaction of decrees; and we are led to conclude from the evidence of all the witnesses whom we examined on this point, that such a practice does not exist in any part of the country. One witness, indeed, stated, that "slaves have frequently been sold in execution of decrees, by order of the courts in Behar, Patna and Shahabad;" but, on reference to the judges of those districts, we find, that no such sales have ever been made by order of any court in the zillahs of Behar and Shahabad. From the judge of Patna no rently has here reasoning no reply has been received.

Public Sale of Slaves to realize Arrears of Revenue or Rent.-As connected with the above subject, we may here state, that none of the witnesses whom we examined on the point ever heard of slaves being exposed to public sale under the summary process of distraint and sale provided by the regulations for the realization of arrears of revenue 1 and rent. And in a correspondence between the government of Bengal and the commissioner in Assam, dated 25th March and 10th April 1829, we find mention made of "orders of the government passed many years ago against the sale of slaves in satisfaction of arrears of revenue;" which orders, on the occasion of this correspondence, the government determined, were to "be held applicable to Assam, in common with other parts of the British dominions."

We now pass to the answers connected with the criminal branch of judicature.

SUBSTANCE

Appendix II., No. 142.

No. 2.

Appendix II., Nos. 147, 8, 9.

.

٠

⁺ But see the judgment of the Sudder Dewanny Adawint on this point, in the case of Loknath Dutt and another o. Kubir Bhandari and others, Appendix III., No. 8. * Mr. Robertson, in his evidence before the Committee of the House of Lords in 1830, says on this point, "I do not remember a single instance of an application for a sale of slaves in such a case in Upper India." Question No. 1705.

SUBSTANCE of the ANSWERS to	Query First.	
· _ · /	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
A master, either Hindoo or Mahomedan, is considered to have a right to his slave's labour.	Officiating ma- gistrate of the S. D. of Cuttack, at Pooree.	
Some magistrates admit only the right of a Hindeo master -	Acting judge of Chittagong.	
The master's power is not absolute	Joint magis- trate of Noak-	j .
No right over person or property of slaves would be admitted n the criminal courts, except such as the Malasmedan law and egulations warranted.	hollee. Judge of Moor- shedabad.	
# The customary services of the slaves would be allowed, but no defined legal right over his person or property is recognized by the criminal courts.	Judge of Bhau- gulpore.	
The right of a master over the person and property of his have, as over other property, is considered as fully recognized by law. When collisions between master and slave do arise, which is seldom the case, and interference cannot be avoided, he practice is so far to respect the custom as to avoid any order of manumission, or exemption from service, or other legal claim; but claimants to the person or property of any slave moder deed of sale, mortgage or otherwise, would be referred to the civil court.		- Magistrate of Ghazeepore.
Some magistrates arrest a runaway slave on the complaint of the master.	of Chittagong.	
This is the case in Tirboot, and the deserter is restored to is owner.	- Magistrate of Tirbuot.	
But on such complaints of flight, or recusance of the slave, he magistrates, before apprehending or passing orders, have isually demanded proof of the slave's amenability, either docu- nentary or by the slave's admission.	- Magistrate of Behar. *	
Some magnstrates interfere only when the complaint includes a charge of stealing or absconding with property.	Acting judge of Chutagong.	
Which appears to have been the practice in the magistracy of Bolundshubur.		Magistrate of Bolundshuhur.
The master, both Hindoo and Mahamedan, is held bound a furnish good and sufficient food and clothing to his slave.	Officiating ma- gistrate S. D. Cuttack.	
The magnstrate would not interfere on a complaint of flight or recusance, unless the master had contracted to support his lave.	Magistrate of Behar.	
When a female slave has quitted her master's house on cooust of had treatment, has never allowed her to be restored o her master against her will.		Officiating joint magistrate of Eta- wah.
The practical rights of masters, as recognized by the magis- rates, have been as those of English masters over their appren- ices; their engagements being hable to be anculled on the		Judge of Ge- ruckpore.
les of ill usage, and other good ground shown; the slavery enng merely nominal.		1 × 1
In the Banda court petitions were frequent from masters to he magnitude to apprehend slave girls said to have absconded, nd the same assistance was given as would have been given to master complaining of the desertion of his private servant.		ditto.
In respect of services, the slave would be treated by the agistrate as a menual servant.	• • •	Officiating ma- gistrate of Alla- habad.
Some magistrates refer masters seeking to recover a slave to to civil court.	Acting judge of Chittagong. Joint magis- trate of Nosk- hollee. Judge of My- mensangh. Magistrate of	Officiating joint magistrate of Ka- sheepore.
	Bhaugulpore,	. · ·
269. н.4		(continued.)

SUBSTANCE of the ANSWERS to Query First.

×.

	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Acknowledging, prund facie, no right of the master		Commissioner of Ghazeepore.
Or regarding the partial recognition, by the British Govern- ment, of the rights of masters over their slaves, as affecting their property rather than their persons.		Magistrate of Bareilly.
Many cases have been summarily disposed of by the magis- trate in the zillah of Behar, by setting the alleged slave at liberty, and binding over the master to sue, within a given time, to prove his right.	Judge of Patna.	
The magistrates were prohibited taking cognizance of cases involving the question of right to a slave.	Commissioner of Patna.	•
The magistrates at Allahabad have, for several years past, refused to lend their aid to apprehend or restore fugitive slaves.		Officiating ma- gistrate of Allaha- bad.
The magistrate of Cawnpore (in which district applications come only from Mahomedan masters) does not authorize a fugitive slave to be deluvered over to his master against his will.	- - -	•Magistrate of Cawnpore.
The magistrates do not attend to the Hindoo and Maho- medan laws of slavery.		Magistrate of Humeerpore.
The magistrates do not recognize any legal rights of masters over the persons or property of slaves.	Joint magis- trate of Bograh.	
The criminal courts have always treated the slaves as free- men, making no distinction between them.	- Commissioner of Cuttack. Officiating judge of Behar.	
The magistrates of Bengal never recognize the right of the master over the person of the slave.	Officiating ma- gistrate of Cuttack	
Such a right has never been recognized in the magistrate's court at Cuttack.	ditto.	
The rights allowed by the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws to the master have not been admitted in the western provinces since the introduction of the British rule.		Magistrate o Muttra.
No right of the master over the person of the slave is recog- nized in the magistrate's court at Moradabad.		Judge of Mora dabad. Magastrate of ditte
In the court of the magistrate of Furruckabad, neither is the right of the master over the slave nor the claim of a slave on his master acknowledged; nor have such rights or claims been acknowledged in any criminal court with which the ma- gistrate of Furruckabad is acquainted. On application to arrest runaway slaves, the court declares its incompetence to restore slaves to their owners.		Officiating judg of Furruckabad, Magistrate of ditte
OFFICERS WHO		
Would admit the claims of masters to the person, property and services of slaves born within the British territories.		Magistrate e Bolandshubur.
Would enforce the mutual rights and obligations of masters and slaves when legally proved, and punish the deviating party.		
Regards the right of the master to the slave's person as entire, provided no cruel treatment is proved; so also as re- spects property, until otherwise adjudged by the civil court, to which he would refer the slave.	Officiating ma- gistrate of Beer- bhoom.	
Considers that both Hindoo and Mahomedan masters un- doubtedly possess legal rights over the persons of their slaves as far as affects their hberty and services, and over their pro- perty unconditionally; but the masters are in no way allowed to maltreat their slaves.	1	Magistrate o Mozuffurnuggur.
Would adjudge the master entitled to the services of the slave, but would sanction no greater coercion than in the case of a freeman. Would enforce the volantary submission to slavery of one advanced in life, when done by deed of sale.	Mymensupgh.	
Would treat cases between master and slave by the rules of masters and servants, under Regulation VII. 1819.	Commissioner of Bauleah.	

64 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

OFFICERS WHO	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Consider that the regulations do not authorize a magistrate to recognize in the master any further power over his slave than be would possess over any other servant; but that proof of any specific contract between the master and a reputed slave would bring the case under clause 4, section 6, Regulation VII. 1819, which he regards as a distinct case.		Magistrate o Azimghur.
In case of dispute respecting a slave's property, would uphold the person in possession, and refer the other party to a civil suit, considering that a magistrate has nothing to do with the right of property, but merely to decide on the fact of pos- session.		Magistrate o Cawnpore.
Would not aid a master to recover his fugitive slave	Magistrate of Backergunge.	Acting magis trate of Juanpore. Magistrate
Nor restore any clothes or ornaments used by the slave But would prevent a third party taking away a slave against his master's will.	- ditto. - ditto.	Azımghur.
Would apprehend a slave accused of absconding with his master's property, and after punishing him for the theft, set hum at large.		Acting magis trate of Juanpore.
Would not recognize the relation of master and slave -	Acting magis- trate of Sarun.	Magistrate o N. D. of Morada bad (Bijnore).
Unless by the special direction of superior authority - Are aware of no legal rights possessed by masters over the persons or property of slaves that have been or could be recog- nized by the magnetrates. Regards slavery as abolished by law -	Acting joint magistrate of Midnapore. Acting magis- trate of the 24 Pergunfiahs.	- ditto.

REASONS assigned for some of the foregoing Opinions.

 - Acting magistrate of Shahabad. - Judge of My-mensingh. Magistrate of do. 	Magistrate of Ghazeepore. Magistrate of Bolundshuhur.
trate of Shahabad. Judge of My- mensingh.	Ghazeepore. Magistrate of Bolundshuhur.
mensingh.	
, U	1
-	•
•, •, • •	Officiating joint magistrate of Etawah
	<i>:</i>
• • •	Judge of Go- ruckpore.
• • •	Magistrate o Humeerpore.
Joint magis- trate of Bograh.	
• • •	Magistrate o Furruckabad.

SUBSTANCE

65

BENGAL.

66 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

• [SUBSTANC

SUBSTANCE OF	uhe	ANSWERS	to	Query	Second.	

OFFICERS WIIG	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
Consider that the relation of master and slave would justify the moderate correction of the slave by his master for disobe- dience, insubordination, insolence or desertion.	Officiating ma- gistrate, S. D. Cuttack (Pooree). Officiating ma- gistrate, Beer- bboom. Judge of Back- ergunge. * Magistrate of ditto. * Judge of Tip- perah. Magistrate of Sylhet. Officiating ma- gistrate of Gowal- para. • Judge of Di- nagepore. Judge of Pur- neah. Acting magis- trate of ditto. • Commissioner of Bhaugulpore. Judge of Bhau- man.	Additional judge of Ghase pore, Judge of Mira pore,
As permitted by the Mahomedan	gulpore, - Judge of Beer- bhoom. Acting addr- tional judge of Nuddea.	* Officiatin judge of Etawah
And Hindoo law	Judge of Beer- bhoom.	
To the extent allowed to a parent over a child; +	Magistrate of Behar. Judge of Patna.	Magistrate Ghazeepore.
To a master over a servant	Judge of Patna.	
To an English master over his apprentice	Judge of Tir- hoot.	
To preserve order in the family	Principal as- sistant at Lohur- dugga.	
Would allow correction as to a father over a son, but more innited for adult slaves. Such, as far as he knows, is the prac- tice, and is in strict accordance with native feeling.	Joint magia- trate of Noak- hollee.	
⁴ The slave would get less redress than others for petty assaults by his master.	Acting judge of the 24 Pergun- nabs.	
But nothing beyond such moderate correction would be al- lowed, and abuse of that power, undue severity, ill-treatment, bard usage, unjust and tyrannical conduct and cruelty of the master, would be purished.	Officiating ma- gistrate Si D. of Cuttack (Pooree.) Judge <u>of</u> Beer-	Additional jud of Ghazeepore. Magastrate datto.
	bhoom. Officiating ma- gistrate of ditto. Acting addi- tional judge of Nuddea. Judge of Back-	
,	ergunge. Magustrate of Sylbet.	

4 "A master would not be punished, the Court opine, for inflicting a slight correction on his legal slave, such as a teacher would be justified in inflicting on a scholar, or a father on his child; but no act of hard usage or cruelty would be permitted." Para 7. Answer of Calcutta Sudder Court. Appendix 11., No. 2. See the answer of the Allahabed Sudder Court, Appendix II., No. 84.

.

	1 ·····	
	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
But nothing beyond such moderate correction would be al- weed, and abuse of that power, undue severity, ill-treatment, ard usage, unjust and tyrannical conduct and sruelty of the naster, would be purished.	Officiating ma- gistrate of Gowal- para, Judge of Di- nagepore, Judge of Pur-	
, , ,	Acting magis- frate of ditto. Principal as- sistant Lobur- dugga. *Commissioner of Bhaugulpore. Judge of Bhau gulpore.	3 . 3 4 4
In such cases the slaves would receive from the magistrate	Magistrate, of Behar.	1
he same protection against their masters as would be shown o parties not standing in that relation. Such cases would be dealt with as between freemen	Judge of Tir-	Judge of Mir-
A master would also be punished for expelling his slave	Magistrate of Behar.	zapore.
Nor would the courts allow acts against the law of nature, ad a magistrate woold interfere if it was attempted by sale or therwise to separate an infant from its mother.	Magistrate öf Sylhet.†	8 3 V.
Would allow refusing to serve to be a palliation of maltreat- nent, like as between master and servant.	Magistrate of Backergunge.	ta 1
Thinks the magistrates would regard the slaves as servants, ut not release them from confinement in the master's house, ise slavery would be at an end, and that the magistrate should aly interfere in cases of severe mal-treatment.	Commissioner of Chittagong.	I
The relation of master and slave is not considered by the magistrates a bar to pumshment.	+	Commissioner of Ghazeepore.
It would not protect from pusushment for cruelty	Officiating judge of Hooghly. Judge of Nuddea.	
-Ill ireatment	Joint magis- trate of Fureed- pore.	
х с	Acting judge of Sylhet. Acting judge of Behar.	
Or oppression	Acting magis- trate of Shahabad.	ы. У С
Such ill usage and cruelty would b dealt with as in the case f a freeman.	Acting judge of Chittsgong. Acting magis- trate of Dinage- pore.	Magistrate of Moradabad- Officiating ma- gistrate of Saha- runpore,
	Governor Ge- neral's agent S. Behar. Principal as- aistant at Haza- reebaugh (South	
	Behar). Commissioner of Patna, Officiating judge of Shahabad.	
No mitigation of punishment would be allowed in conse- uence of the relation.	Judge of My- mensingh.	
The master would be punished as a busband or father for imilar ill-treatment of a wife or son.	Acting magis- trate of Nuddea.	• • •

+ See his evidence also, Appendix I., No. 5. 262. 1 2

'**r**

-

,

•

68 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
As a master for cruelty or ill-treatment of a servant		Judge of Be-
Has always protected slaves as he would free servants		Judge of Go-
Thinks the magistrates in petty cases would punish as an offence against a free servant, and that the circuit courts would permit no greater latitude than to the master of a servant.	Judge of Moor- shedabad.	ruckpore.
Believes mal-treatment is punished by all megistrates as of a free servant; but that the courts of circuit would be guided by Mahomedan law, except in trials in which, under clause 1, section 4, Regulation VI. 1833, no futuah was required.	Additional judge of Burdwan.	Manistration
Would punish mal-treatment as of a common servant		Magistrate of Cawapure.
Presumes, that, as the penal regulations have nowhere recognized slaves as a separate class, in all trials for crimes specially noticed in them, no distinction would be made in cases in which the parties stood in the relation of master and slave; but in crimes not thus provided for, and where the courts are referred to the Mahomedan law to apportion the punchment for an offence of which a master or his slave may be convicted, conceives that the courts are legally bound by that law in their judgments.		Commissioner of Muttra.
Equal protection is given to all under British rule	Judge of Rung-	
In administering justice, there is no respect of persons, or of	pore.	Judge of Mee-
the relation of master and slave. Our courts do not recognize the relation as ground of justi- fication or mitigation. Complaints of ill-treatment either of Hindoo or Mahomedan slaves against their masters are deter-		rut. -'- Commissioner of the N. D. of the Doab,
Induo of handmental saves against that masters are deter- mined precisely as others, and they receive the same protection under the general regulations, It has slways been the practice of the criminal courts to give equal protection to the slave in every respect as to a freeman.	Commissioner of Cuttack. Acting magis-	
Allowing no chastisements, nor any justification or mitiga- tion grounded on the relation.	trate of Tipperah. - ditto.	· ·
And that without any reference to the Hindoo or Mabomedan law on the subject.	Commissioner of Cuttack.	
Such is the practice in the courts of the magistrates of Benares, Allahabad, Bolundshuhur and Moradabad ;		Magistrate of Benares.
		Jadge of Alla- habad.
		Officiating ma- gistrate of ditto. Magistrate of Bolundshuhur.
		Magistrate of Moradabad.
Also in all the criminal courts in which the officiating magis- trate of Midnapore has presided.	Officiating ma- gistrate of Midna- pore.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
It is the practice of the court of the Dacca magistrate to afford full protection for cruelty or hard usage, and not to recognize the relation as ground of justification or mitigation.	Magistrate of Dacca.	
Has never recognized the relation as ground of justification or mitigation.	Magistrate of Bhaugulpore.	
Has never seen any distinction made between slave and free in our courts, nor any attention paid by the magnstrate to the Hmdoo and Mahomedan laws on the subject.		Magistrate of Humeerpore.
Full protection would be given to the alave against crueity or hard usage; the relation would not be held ground of justifica- tion or mitigation for any act otherwise punishable, as under the Hindoo or Mahomedan laws, but the same protection would be given to slaves as to freemen,	trate of Bograh.	Acting magis- trate of S. D. Bun- delcund (Banda). Officiating judge of Furruckabad. Magistrate of
	1	ditto. Judge of Mora-
Would punish a master for an assault on his slave with the same severity as for an assault on a freeman. Would sanction no greater coercion on a slave than in the	Moorshedabad.	dabad. Magistrate of Azımghur.

,	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.	BENGAL
Would give a slave the same protection as a freeman	Magistrate of Rungpore.	• Judge of Alla- habad. Officiatung com+ missioner of Alla- habad.	
And make no distinction in cases of cruelty or any minor amount of badily ill-usage.		- ditte.	
Would not allow the relation to justify any acts otherwise leserving of punnshment; and would in ao case afford less pro- action to a slave against his master than to any other man. On complaint of ill-usage, would give the fullest protection to a slave as to a freeman, and keep redress equally open to all. Thinks that the relation would not justify or mitigate, and hat protection would be given both against owners and others.	Acting joint	Officiating judge of Cawnpore. Magistrate of Shahjehanpore.	
Would give the same protection to a slave against his master,	magistrate of Midnapore. Acting magis-		
both as to property and person, as to a freeman.	trate of the 24 Pergunnahs.	Active marin-	
Would punish ill-treatment without reference to the relation; and would investigate complaints, whether of owners or slaves, as between man and man, and not as between master and slave. Would not consider the relation as absolving the master from		Acting magis- trate of Juanpore. Magistrate of	
punishment in any case of mail-treatment or oppression, although in a case of lenient and summary correction inflicted on the lave for a fault, he might not be induced to view the matter pre- cusely in the same light as he would were a person unconnected with the defendant to be the subject of the chastisement warded.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Mozuffurnuggur.	
-			
EMANCIPATION.			
Some magistrates separate master and slave in cases of mal- treatment; some only take security from the former. In one or two cases in the magistrate's court at Bolundshuhur, slaves complaining of the oppression of their masters were declared free.	Commissioner of Chutagong.	- Magistrate of Bolundshubur.	
The officiating magnetrate of Futtehpore did so in two cases of cruelty brought before him.		Officiating ma- gistrate of Futteh-	
Would emancipate a slave for cruelty	Joint magis- trate of Baraset.	, , , ,	
For ill treatment	Magistrate of Behar.	1. 2	
On demand of freedom on account of ill-usage would give the fullest protection to a slave as to a freeman. Emancipation could be authorized by nothing short of the most extraordinary mal-treatment.	* **	Magistrate of Shahjehanpore. Magistrate of Ghazeepore.	
The practice of the magistrate's court at Cuttack is, and always has been, to punish the master and manumit the slave on complaint of crucity or hard usage, or if the slave has any other reason for wishing to leave his master; it matters not if the alleged ill-treatment or cause of dissatisfaction is proved or not, the order runs thus always: "We do not recognize slavery; you may go where you please, and if your master	- Officiating magistrate of Cuttack.		
lays violent hands on you, he shall be punished." If a person stated himself to be living under restraint, he would be allowed to go free.	Joint magis- trate of Bograb.	т. Т	
A petition from a friend or relation of a slave that the latter is retained against his will by his master, would immediately ensure his release.	- Acting magis- trate of Tipperah.		
The practice of the criminal courts is usually to release the slave from bondage,		Judge of Meerut.	
INDULGENCES.			·
The indulgences granted to slaves by the Mahomedan law (according to all the answers but one touching on the point) have not been and would not be allowed by the criminal courts. The actung magistrate of Banda, on the supposition that the indulgences alluded to are the same as those mentioned in the Bab-nol-Hukkook of imaum Azzum, would adhere as closely as possible to the Mahomedan law in this respect.	* • *	Acting magis- trate of S. D. Bun- delcand (Banda).	

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

.

In a list before us of nine criminal cases decided in this sillah in 1837 and 1838, we find it stated in aux that the alayes were ordered to be made over to their masters.
 262. I 3. REASONS

7ð*

,

REASONS assigned for some of the foregoing Opinions.

, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces,
For punishing mal-treatment of a slave as of a common ser- vant. Knows of no criminal regulation giving the master greater power over his slave than over a free servant.	•••••	Magistrate o Cawnpore,
For giving equal protection to slaves as to freemen. Slavery is not recognized by the regulations The British Government professes to extend equal protec- tion to all, and there is nothing in the regulations contrary thereto. The regulations make no distinction for cruelty or any minor amount of bodily ill-usage between bond and free. Re-	- Magistrate of Rungpore.	Magistrate Benares. Officiating com
minor amount of bodily ill-usage between bond and free. Re- fers to the curcular order of the Nizzmut Adawlut, No. 4, dated ayth Apuil 1795, notifying that the costration of slaves is criminal, and punishable by the Mahomedan law. The regulations make no difference between slaves and freemen.		missioner, Allah bad. Acting magi trate of Allahaba
Knows no regulation which compels a magistrate to carry the precepts of the Mahomedan law into effect on the subject of slavery.		Officiating judg of Cawnpore.
The regulations define the jurisdiction of the criminal courts in misdemeanors and smaller offences. The magistrate's powers are defined without respect to persons, caste or reli- gion; and in the session courts, unless a specific provision be made for any particular offence, cognizance is ruled in clause 7, sec. 2, Reg. LHI. 1803, the same for all classes of people who may be amenable to the coart.	• • • •	Officiatiog judg of Furruckabad.
There is no regulation authorizing a master corporally to chastise his slave * Thinks that from the spirit of the regulations no distinction of persons could be recognized by the magistrates.		- Magistrate (Furruckabad. - Magistrate (Shabjehanpore.)
It is stated by the magisfrate of Rajeshahy, that he has every reason to believe that the wealthy Mahomedans of that district do not suppose that the interference of the criminal courts is more circumscribed with regard to their conduct or treatment of their domestic slaves than of any other class of the community.	Magistrate of Rajeshahy.	
For emancipating the slave on proof of cruelty, ill-treatment ar oppression.		· ·
Would take the case of Nujcom-oon-Nisa as a precedent Would emancipate in such case without reference to Hindoo or Mahomedan law, on the principle of justice. Has emancipated for cruelty, on the principles of English justice and humanity.	- Joint magis- trats of Baraset. - Magistrate of Behar.	Officiating ma gistrate of Futteb
The magistrate of Bolundshuhur gives the following as an extract from the last clause of the section on maintenance in the Hidaya, as apparently in some measure justifying the manumisstor of the slave who is oppressed by his master: "Masters are enjoined to feed and clothe, as they would them- selves, their slaves. Should they neglect to do so, and the slave be capable of carning his livelihood by his own abour, he, shall be entitled to do so; but the surplus profits of his labour. after his freeding and clothing, shall be the property of his r_{i}^{-1} 'r; and if he be from infrmity or other cause unable to labe ' he ruler of the country may compel the master to sell hin there's ho will provide for hun; and if no pur- chaser be 'F, shall manumit the slave.'t	• • •	pore. Magistfate' o Bolundshubur.

• The follo. • The subs.i.-1695. Have their masters any power of punishment S-None recognized by our laws. Whatever may be the provision of the Mohamedan or Hindoo codes to that effect, it is a dead letter, for we would not recognize it. The master, doubtless, may sometimes inflict domestic punishment; but if he does, the slaws rarely thinks of complaining of at. Were he to do so, has complaint would be received. —1696. Did you; nifer the regulations under which you acted, feel justified in punishing the master if he did milites personal correction ?-Most unquestionably. See the judgment of the Presidency Nizamut Adawlut in the case of Shekh Hazari and others. - Appendix IIL, No. 9. • This does not appear to be an exact translation of the original text, which, however, seems to point to the same conclusion.

-

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES. (...), 78

یاد دیار میلوند. ۱۹ دیار میلوند این م ر استینید ۱۰	Lower Provinces.	North-Western Provinces.
For manumitting the slave on complaint of cruelty, hard usage, or other cause of dissatisfaction, whether proved or not. Because there is no special enactment against such inter- ference, and humanity and justice are in favour of it.	– Officiating magistrate of Cuttack.	- F
	•	
For allowing any person to go free who states himself to be living under restrant. Because slavery is not recognized by the regulations =		7

SUBSTANCE of the Answers to Query Third.

All the officers who have replied to this question agree, that no distinction has been or would be made in such cases between Hindoo and Mahomedan masters ; the several reasons stated for which are,

1. That the Hindoo law itself permits only moderate correction of the slave by his master,

That the Hindoo haw near permits only inductate correction of the stave by his masses, and declares abuse of that power punishable.
 That no part of the Hindoo criminal law is recognized either by regulation or practice.
 That the criminal courts are guided by the Mahomedan law as modified by the regu-lations, which last make no distinction between Hindoo and Mahomedan masters.

4. That in the absence of any express law in support of the unlimited authority of the Hindoo master, such authority would not be allowed to operate against the general spirit of

the regulations, and the principles of public justice and humanity. The following is an extract from the reply of the magistrate of Agra, who has entered at some length into this subject :---

"As regards the principle by which the criminal courts should be guided in applying the general provisions of the existing penal law to slaves and masters of whatever religion, the question does not, I confess, seem to me surrounded with any great difficulties, in respect at least to that portion of the British dominions which was included in the Mahomedan empire, least to that portion of the British dominious which was included in the Mathematic computer virtually during the reign of Aurungzebe, and nominally too during the convulsions to which Hindoostan and Bengal were subject during the 18th century. Whatever part of the terri-tories of the Company were embraced within this dar-ool-islam, were by law and practices subject to the criminal jurisdiction of the imaum and his delegates. During the reign of Acbar * no doubt the Hindoos retained much of the privileges of their shasters, but in the subsequent three reigns there seems no sufficient reason for considering that the Mahomedan, criminal law was not effectively and indiscriminately enforced upon all classes of society. criminal law was not effectively and indiscriminately enforced upon all classes of society. All questions connected with public wrongs were determined, or at least were, I conceive, liable to be determined by the law of the imaum; and whatever proprietary rights in slaves were permitted or acknowledged to rest in the persons of infidels, this could be but merely recognized as subsidiary to the paramount rights of the hakim as the successor of Mahomet, the conqueror of the country, and depository of the law as well as the religion of Islam. Such at least, it appears clear, the mooftee would have ruled in his futwah, and the cazes would have apformed in his order during the 17th entry and have a new the work the cazes. Such at least, it appears creat, the monitor would have funct in the state as distinguished from private wrongs, distinctly recognize the Mahomedan law as the criminal code of the country, I feel no scruple in expressing my opinion that Hindoo masters, in respect to responsibility for the ill-treatment of slaves, possess not legally, or rather constitutionally, greater immunity within the limits referred to, than could be claimed by the professors of the Mahomedan religion

"Should this view of the subject appear in any degree fanciful or forced, it is to be remarked, that the criminal law as administered under Regulation VI. and Regulation VII. 1803, is undefined and anomalous to a degree, which renders it necessary to the student to fall back upon first principles, and the magistrate, among conflicting analogies, must select that which is most 'consonant to natural justice.'"

"Clause 1, section 16, Regulation III. 1803, would doubtless bar a claim for damages for personal injury on the part of a slave against a Hindoo of Mahomedan master. He is pre-sumed to possess no civil rights. But the ruler of the country, the hakim-ool-wuqkt, or the father of his subjects, alike under the Mahomedan law, the English law, and the law of nations, is justified in reserving in its own hands the power of depriving any subject of hife or limb, and in punishing where assumes to himself a prerogative, which can be claimed with fairness and administered with justice by the state alone."

We may remark, that on further investigation we do not perceive any material difference between the Hindoo and Mahomedan law in regard to the master's power of summary correction of his slave.

SUBSTANCE

262.

1

Clause 1, section 15, Regulation VII. 1803.

BENGAL.

^{* &}quot;The toleration of Achar towards the Hindoos was notorious; but even he, in his instructions framed for the guidance of the poince, directs, 'he must not allow private people to confine the person of any one, nor admit of people being solid as slaves. He shall not allow a woman to be burnt contrary to her inclination" Ayeen Achurce, vol. 1. p. 302 (378-6?).

SUBSTANCE of the ANSWERS to Query Fourth.

All the officers who have adverted to this point agree, that in no case would less protection be shown to slaves than freemen against other wrong-doers than their masters.

CRIMINAL CASES selected from the Returns of the Public Officers.*

Bancoorah.-In 1830 a burkundauz was reprimanded for entiting away a female slave from her mistress, and the slave was restored to her owner.

Mymensingh.—A moonsiff, who was also a cazee of this zillah, and a moollah, were fined by the magistrate, because the former had invergled a woman and her daughter, who were Synds, on pretence of marrying the latter to a relation, but married her to one of his slaves. The commissioner of circuit, on revising the case, added imprisonment, and the moonsiff was removed from his situation.

Sylhet.—Three cases tried by the magistrate and officiating magistrate in 1824, 1836 and 1836, in which, as involving disputed rights of property in slaves, the parties were referred to the civil court. The order in the second case was confirmed in appeal by the commissioner of circuit.

A complaint preferred to the magistrate in 1824 to recover possession of several slaves who had absconded 12 or 14 years previously, and had since lived on the defendant's lands, held by the magistrate to be not cognizable in his court.

had absconded 12 of 14 years previously, and had since lived on the defendant's lands, held by the magistrate to be not cognizable in his court. Case tried by the Mabomedan law officer in 1835, and confirmed in appeal by the magistrate. A. charged B. with having taken away C., his (A.'s) wife, who was the slave of B. B. answered that both A. and C. were his hereditary slaves ; that a year before A. had run away to a third party, and now wanted to get possession of his wife. C. said that she was B.'s slave, and consented to remain with him. The defendant was discharged, and C. sellowed to go where she pleased.

Case tried by the same officer in 1835. A. charged B. with confining her daughter in consequence of her (A.'s) refusing to give him a written agreement of servitude: she subsequently stated that she had received back her daughter through a friend of B. B. replied that both A. and her daughter were his slaves, that A. had left him, but her daughter remained with him, and that the daughter, whom he had sent to the court with his friend to appear as summoned, had been taken away on the goad. The sole object of A. being to recover her daughter, the defendant was discharged, and the daughter ordered to be made over to her mother, if she desired it.

Case tried by the same officer in 1835. A. stating herself to be the slave of another party, charged B. with seizing her and attempting to marry her to his slave against her will. B. answered that A. was the wife of his slave. But it appearing by the evidence that the marriage had not been legally consummated, and as the seizure for the purpose asserted was proved, both B. and his slave were ordered to enter into recognizances of 50 rupes each not to molest the prosecutrix.

Case tried by the acting magistrate in 1836. Two female slaves of the defendant (who was the principal sudder ameen of the zilah court) prosecuted him for beating them. The defendant admitted that he had done so slightly, and pleaded the custom of the country to beat slaves for disobedience or neglect. The defendant was warned not to beat his slaves with severity.

The parties in the four preceding cases were Mahomedans.

Behar .- Statement of criminal cases in the Behar court from 1825 to 1835 inclusive.

SUBSTANCE OF COMPLAINT.	No. of cases.	Ordered to be delivered to their masters.	Referred to the civil court.	Parties dis- charged with- out any speci- fic order.	Amicably ad- justed.	Punished.	Acquitted.	Struck of the file.
Master 5. Slave. For running away and carrying off property For absconding, to run away	4		* -	3	1			-
Master v. Master. For possession of a slave ; with assault	62 9	7	27 -	. * .	4	4	12	, t 4
Total	72	10	29	7	5	5	18	4.
		÷		•			P	atna.

• The officiating magistrate of Hooghly has animadverted on a futwah delivered in a case found in his records, in which two females, who had quitted the house of a Mahomedan nawaub of the Sheea caste, were, in consequence of it, restored to hum; but, on examinung the futwah, we find that the queston referred to the law officer related to ustifucturary or temporary marriage, which is reproduced by the orthodox, but apparently permitted to Sheeas, and that no point of slavery was involved in it.

Patna.-1828. Charge of cruelty to a female slave by burning her body. The slave was directed to go where she pleased, and the defendant, her mistress, not to oppose her so doing, but to sue in the civil court if she had any claim on her.

1830. Two slave grils charged with eloping from the house of the master. Directed to, go where they pleased.

1830. Charge of putting fetters on a female slave. The defendants were released, and the slave directed to remain where she pleased.

1836. Two slave girls charged with eloping from their master's house with property. The slaves were imprisoned for 14 days, without labour, and then made over to their master. 1835. Charge of beating and cruelty to two female slaves by burning their bodies. The

1835. Charge of beating and cruelty to two female slaves by burning their bodies. The slaves were directed to go where they pleased, and a recognizance required from one of the defendants (females) not to oppress the slaves. 1835. A female slave charged with theft of jewels, and elopement. The case was struck

1835. A female slave charged with theft of jewels, and elopement. The case was struck off the file in consequence of the non-attendance of the prosecutrix, and the slave directed to go where she pleased.

All the above cases were disposed of by the officiating magistrate, or assistant to the magistrate, except the last, which was referred to the principal sudder ameen (a Hindoo).

Ghazeepore.—In 1827, A. charged B. with forcibly and illegally detaining from him his wife and child. It appeared in evidence that the woman had been purchased by B. when an infant, and had acted as his female slave (Kuneez) ever since; she had marned A. with her master's consent, without prejudice to B.'s right of property over her. The magistrate said that he had no juvisicition, and referred A. to the civil court. The commissioner of circuit confirmed the decision after taking the mooffee's opinion.

The officiating commissioner of Allahabad states, from recollection, a case in which a slave was charged by his master with theft, and which was tried under the general regulations as applicable to cases where freemen are concerned. The officiating judge of Futtehpore mentions three cases of cruelty on the part of masters

The officiating judge of Futtehpore mentions three cases of cruelty on the part of masters and mistresses towards some of the Bundelcund children sold by their parents, during the famine of 1833-4, which were brought to his notice in 1834. In two of the cases he released the children, on the ground that their owners were not fit or deserving to take care of them, and in one case punished the owners to the extent of his power; in the others the owners were committed, and punished by the sessions judge.

Bareilly.—Statement of two cases in this court in the last 10 years. One, a case of severe beating of a slave by his master, a Mussulman nawaub, in which, though the right of the master to beat his slave at pleasure was not formally recognized, yet the situation of the slave seems to have operated with the magistrate as a bar to punishment, as mothing was done, though the beating inflicted was such as would certainly have been visited with a severe penalty in a case where both parties were freemen. The other, of recent occurrence, was a complaint of ill-treatment, in which two slave girls absconded and refused to return to their homes. The master was a Mahomedan of rank. The magistrate refused to correce, the slaves, as they complained of ill-treatment, and merely provided for their future safety.

Moradabad.—Case reported by the acting magistrate of the southern division of Bundelcund (Banda), as having recently occurred at Moradabad. A., a Mahomedan, had two slaves, male and female, whom he marned; the woman went astray, and her husband died shortly after, leaving two daughters and considerable effects. She came and claimed the offspring and effects as legal heir. The master objected, and the case came into court. Two fatwahs were obtained, both in favour of the master, on the ground that as he had a right of property in the persons of the parents, all they possessed, with their children, must also necessarily be his, and that more especially in a case where the mother had committed adultery. The master was therefore admitted as the legal her of his slave.

Northern Division of Moradabad, Bijnore.—One of two cases on the records of this court. A slave gurl complained that her master had beaten her, but could not prove it. The master was bound over to keep the peace towards her. "Thus," remarks the magistrate, " argues that had she been able to prove her charge, the defendant would have been punished, and also that he was not at liberty for the future to assault her more than any body else."

Sale of Female Children to Prostitutes.—A few only of the public officers have adverted to the practice of the courts in cases connected with this part of the subject. The information they have furnished tends to show that the proceedings of the magistrates on thus point are pretty uniform.

In Jessore, when prostitutes, who have purchased young female children, complain of their having absconded with their clothes, &c. the magistrate always declares the girls free, and refers the plaintiff to a civil suit to recover the clothes.

In Mymensingh, if a female sold for prostitution complains to the magistrate, either because really ill used, or at the suggestion of a paramour, on proof of ill-treatment, and prayer not to be compelled to return to her mistress, the magistrate declines to restore her, and refers the mistress for redress to the civil court, "in which," observes the judge of the district, "she is not likely to get much, as such a claim of slavery, or a slave purchased for such purposes, is neither tolerated in the Mahomedan or Hundoo law, and would never be listened to in a British court of justice." This officer states, that he found on his arrival in the district (in March 1828), that sales of this description at the sudder station used to be registered at the police thannah, but that he put a stop to this practice as countenancing such purchases.

362.

Įn

. . .

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 74

Appendix I., No. 5.

In Sylhet, whenever cases of intended sale of free female children for the purpose of prostitution have been brought before the magistrate, he has interfered to prevent the completion of the sale.

The magistrate of Rungpore, after observing that the personal control exercised by bawds over children they have bought from their parents, and trained up for prostitution, is not recognized by the courts, adds, " many cases have been brought to my notice of this nature, where the prostitutes have applied to this court to get back the children who, after purchase, may have absconded. In such cases I have made over the children to their parents, and punished all parties that I considered deserving of it, though I am not aware

of any regulations sanctioning such proceedings." In the statement of civil suits of the Behar district, already noticed, are two for the possession of slave girls purchased for prostitution, both of which were dismissed. The officiating judge of Futtehpore mentions a case he had before him when officiating magistrate of Patna, in which he refused to restore to her mistress a young girl purchased by a procuress of the city from her parents, and brought up by her, he concludes, for prostitution, but who had run away in consequence of ill-treatment, and permitted her to go where she pleased. " I might," he remarks, " have punished the procuress under the regulations, had any one brought a complaint of her buying the child for so vile a purpose."

On a complaint to the magistrate of Juanpore, 10 years ago, by a dancing woman, that two girls had been sold by their father to her, the claim was disallowed, because the girls at

the time of sale were of a marriageable age, and sold against their consent. At Banda (southern division Bundelcund), if a girl proved before the magistrate that she was forcibly detained for the purpose of prostitution, she was summarily declared free, and the persons claiming her referred for redress to the civil court.

The officiating magistrate of Suheswan mentions a case of the above description, which was tried in that court, in which a summary order was passed, allowing the freedom of the complainant.

"The only cases," says the magistrate of Moradabad, "which come before this court, are those of slave girls bought and reared for prostitution. Whenever these seek for are those of slave girls dought and reared for prostitution. Whenever these seek for manumission and protection from the court, the owners of them are warned that, unless the girls return of their own free will, they have no power to make them, and should force be used they will be liable to punishment. The slave girls are also directed to leave all property of jewels, &c. for that must be considered the right of the master, howsoever acquired, up to the date of emancipation." "In these orders," he adds, "this court has been guided by the futwah of the law officers of the Nizamut Adawut, communicated to the Direct line with the state of the slave of the state of the state in the back. the Bareilly court of circuit, on the 26th June 1816, relative to the orders issued by the magistrate of Furruckabad in the case of a female slave, named Goonna." We shall presently have occasion to notice the case here alluded to more particularly.

Delhi Territory.

We have reserved this territory for separate mention, on account of the peculiarity of its judicial practice as respects cases of slavery.

It appears from the reports of the public officers, that the right of a master over a slave or his property has not been acknowledged either in the civil or criminal courts in thus territory for a number of years.

territory for a number of years. "Since the promulgation in this territory," says the commissioner, "of the law prohibiting slavery, we have not even recognized possession as a claim; and though I do not at thus present moment recollect any instance of a male slave petitioning for emancipation, I have known very many applications from the unfortunate class of females purchased for the purpose of prostitution, and in every case the applicants were absolved from any further compulsory servitude, the mistress being referred to the civil court to obtain compensation for any expense incurred for food, clothing, jewels, &c." The judge writes, "In the courts over which I have authority, it does not appear that, during the last 25 years, any case has been decided in which a slave was a party concerned. About the year 1811 some orders on the subject of slavery were issued by the then chief civil authority at Delhi; the precise nature of these orders I am now unable to state, a

About the year 1611 some orders on the subject of slavery were issued by the then chief civil authority at Delhi; the precise nature of these orders I am now unable to state, a copy of them not being procurable, but I have reason to believe, that they went far to remove all inviduous distinctions between master and slave, and that the vonts in the Delhi territory, which have probably been guided in their decisions by the orders in question, have not for many years, so far as I am aware, recognized any right or immunity, beyond that of service, to attach to the one, which did not in an equal degree belong to the other." the other.

The prohibitory regulation alluded to in the two preceding extracts will be noticed hereafter. As a necessary consequence of the non-recognition of the rights of the master, it is the practice of the criminal courts to make no distinction between complaints by an alleged slave against his master or other person for cruelty, oppression, or ill-treatment, and those of a free servant or any other person, deeming the supposed relation no ground either of justification or mitigation of punishment.

Ditto, II. No. 110. Ditto, II., No. 86.

^{*} This territory is superintenfied by a commissioner, and the civil judge's court is held at Delhi. It is divided into five poluce districts, over four of which are placed magistrates, and over the fifth a joint ma-gistrate; viz. central division, southern or Goorgang ditto, Robtuk ditto, northern or Panuput ditto, and western or Hurrianah ditto.

Centre Division.-It is stated by the magistrate of the centre division, that 63 cases had been instituted in his office since 1820, by male and female slaves, particularly the latter, against their masters for ill-treatment; and that, in accordance with the prayer of their petitions, they had all been emancipated.

The officiating magistrate of Paniput mentions two cases which he tried whilst assistant at Delhi. In one, a case of "brutal ill-treatment," in which the master pleaded ownership, he bound the master down to keep the peace, though the injured man declined to prosecute. In the other, he adjudged arrears of wages to a khidmutgar against a nawaub, though the defendant proved the complainant was his slave, born in his house; setting. aside the defence on the ground that he could not, under any regulation, recognize the; relation of master and slave.

We find it stated in the report of the judge of Bundelcund, that formerly cases of slave Appendix II., girls escaping from the palace at Delhi were always referred to the criminal court, and on No. 100. the establishment of the claim the fugitive used, the writer believes, to be restored; "but," he adds, " for some time past this has been discontinued, and no claim of this description is recognized, nor any right of restraint over the person of any individual, on the plea of, ownership, male or female, admitted. The latter, consequently, in criminal matters, enjoy all the privileges of other members of the community." This account of the present practice is confirmed by the officiating magistrate of Paniput, who mentions that, whilst holding the office of assistant at Delhi, he had frequently seen cases of women who had escaped out of the palace, coming to the court for protection, which was invariably afforded them , and that he believes there was an order to this effect consequent on a reference to governand that he believes there was an order to this effect consequent on a reference to govern-ment. The reference here alluded to respected the case of two slave girls belonging to one, of the princes, who escaped from the palace in 1828, and were eventually emancipated by, order of government, notwithstanding the remonstrances of the king of Delhi. It is men-tioned by the commissioner, and will be found fully detailed in the volume of papers relating. Pages 1, 9, 37-43-to slavery in India, printed in 1838. Southern Dimision - The meanstrate of the conthern of Courts - Northern Dimision - The meanstrate of the contract of the courts of Courts - Northern Dimision - The meanstrate of the courts of the courts

Southern Division.—The magistrate of the southern or Gorgong division, after stating that it has been the practice of his court to recognize no nght of one man over another, except in the relation of master and servant, proceeds, "I have myself invariably con-sidered that the object and intent of the different regulations enacted regarding the importation and selling of slaves, were the gradual abolition of slavery throughout the Company's territories, allowing, at the same time, all persons who had slaves in their possession at the time of the annexation of territory to keep them unmolested ; and I should consider myself bound to declare any young person free who should complain in the magistrates' court, on the grounds, that whoever would prove his right of possession, must necessarily render himself either hable to be punished for importing or buying the slave, premising that no person can be a slave by birth." . .

In case of complaint of ill-treatment, unless the usage complauned of were decidedly beyond a moderate correction, this officer would dismiss it, " on the ground that as long as the man or woman chose to remain as a slave in the house of its master, it had thereby voluntarily subjected itself to correction at its master's direction." "Slaves escaping from foreign territories," he says, "have invariably been declared

free, and no claim on them has been considered valid, whether it be a Hindoo over a Hindoo, a Mussulman over a Mussulman, or Hindoo over a Mussulman, or vice versa; and several cases of this nature have been thus decided."

He furnishes a statement of seven cases disposed of by the magistrate, in the years 1828 to 1835, both inclusive, as being all that came under cognizance within that period. Four were complaints of ill-treatment, and two applications to be made free, one of them in consequence of ill-treatment, and two applications to be hade need, one of the inter in con-sequence of ill-treatment. In all six, both parties were females, and the order passed in each was, that "the plaintiff was made free," but in one only is the reason of the order men-tioned; viz., "the plantiff not being purchased by the defendant." The remaining case was a charge of escaping with jewels; the substance of the order is stated to be, "the plaintiff having denied that she (the defendant) was not a slave, therefore she was made free.'

Rohtuk Division .- No cases relating to slavery appear to have been brought before the court of the joint magistrate of this division.

Northern Division .- The officiating magistrate of Paniput, after stating his opinion, that a master possesses no legal right over a slave or his property, and that he considers a slave to possess equal rights, and to be perfectly on the same footing, as a menial servant, slave to possess equal rights, and to be perfectly on the same boung, as a mount service, proceeds, "I am not aware of any law or regulation specifically affording redress to a slave as distinguished from a free man, nor do I deem any necessary. It would be sufficient for me that no regulation recognizes the right of a master over a slave, and that such a claim is contrary to every principle of our regulations. It would, therefore, in my opinion, require the sufficient to recover the right of a back discussion to require the result of the sufficient for the sufficient of the sufficient sufficient to repeat to be sufficient to be a sufficient of the sufficient sufficient to be sufficient to contrary to every principle of our regulations. It would, therefore, in my opinion, require no specific regulation to give a slave redress; but I should require the master to point out a specific law, before I would consider any one his slave." "Regulation X. 1811, declares the importation of slaves illegal. Its preamble says, that 'the importation and traffic in slaves is inconsistent with humanity, and the principles by which the admuistration of the country is conducted.' If importation---if traffic--is illegal and punishable, I do not think it a very forced construction to conclude, that the possessing one is equally unlawful by this regulation, independent of common principles of equity. The slave, therefore, is entitled to, and would receive from me. redress for any injury, no matter from whom received." to, and would receive from me, redress for any injury, no matter from whom received." "Regulation III, 1832, in declaring free all slaves imported into the British territory subsequent to the year 1811, being a period of no less than 25 years, would certainly be 262. K 9 decisive

BENGAL.

decisive against the claims of masters in the greater number of cases." "Few cases of slavery," he observes, " ever occur in these districts."

Western Division .- There are no cases on record in the office of the magistrate of this division.

The officiating magistrate says, "The people are conscious that this relation (of master and slave) is not admitted by our courts; where, therefore, slavery does exist, it is in so limited a sense, that the slave would be more properly termed a household servant, who imited a sense, that the slave would be more properly termed a household servant, who receives from his master food and clothing instead of wages. The relation of master and slave has, indeed, never been acknowledged by this court; and this principle has been car-ried so far, that the claims of subjects of the adjoining Sikh states, who have occasionally applied for the restoration of slaves escaped from them into the British territory, have been applied for the destination of starte destination and the line of the starter of the startero of the startero o any special rights arising from either relation ever been upheld or acknowledged. In coming to this opinion, I have been guided by my own experience in the division, by the common understanding of the people at large on the subject, as well as by the judgment and expe-rience of the native sudder ameen (a Mussulman), long a resident in this ziliah."

We shall conclude this subject with an extract from the answer of the officiating judge of Cawnpore. "My experience since 1833 has been wholly confined to the Delhi territory, where for a long time the name of slavery only has existed. Its reality has been long extinct. This is a most important fact, as proving that the aboliton of slavery may be easily accomplushed if desirable. Having been, before my appointment to Dellin, for eight years in South Behar, where I have myself, as register and ciril judge, daily decided cases years in South Behar, where I have myself, as register and civil judge, daily decided cases of purchase of whole families of predial slaves or Kahars, I was astomshed to find that slavery was not recognized at Delh. I was informed on inquiry, that since Mr. Seton's time, no claim to a slave, or to compel slaves to work, has been allowed; and I found the established practice of the court, that whenever a person petitioned that another person had claimed him or her as a slave, an azadnamah, or certificate of freedom, was given him or her, to the effect that they were free. I gladly hailed this custom; but I pursued another course which I deemed more effectual. It struck me, that the issuing these azadnamahs, or certi-ficates, was, to a certain extent, allowing the existence of slavery in some sort or other. When similar applications were made to me. I used merely to pass an order, that slavery When similar applications were made to me, I used merely to pass an order, that slavery did not exist, and informed the petitioner, that if any person molested hum or her, he should be punished."

⁶From the foregoing analysis of the returns of the judicial officers it will be seen how great a variety of practice and opinion exists in regard to the adjudication of cases, whether in the civil or criminal courts of the interior, in which the question of slavery is involved, and the civil or criminal courts of the interior, in which the question of starty is involved, and the laws and principles by which the decisions in such cases should be regulated; and thus variety is observable, not only as respects different and distant parts of the country, but neighbouring districts, and sometimes different divisions of the same dustrict, and even as different times in the same court. Whilst some officers admit the legality of the status of and the rights and obligations arising out of it, others altogether reject it.³ Of slavery, and the rights and obligations arising out of it, others altogether reject it.³ Of those who recognize it, some would confine its existence within the bounds prescribed by the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws, others would extend it over the wider field of custom and usage; as magistrates, some would render assistance to the master to recover his fugitive slave, others would abstain from any interference; some would permit to the master the right of moderate correction, others would not countenance the exercise of such authority. There are also gradations of opinion between these extreme points. It is not surprising that, in the more ambiguous cases of claims to the person and service of slaves, wherein both parties are not Hindoos or Mussulmans, the same diversity and contrariety of sentiments should be found to prevail.

The defective state of the law, and the want of clear rules to guide the courts and magistrates, on the subject of slavery, have been noticed in several of the returns. We select the following passages :-

The judge of Patna, speaking of the proceedings of the courts in the district of Behar, ys, "Nothing could have been more loose or uncertain than the practice in regard to rights claimed or exercised over slaves. I have never been able to trace the rules that were recogindication case of the states of the state o

The judge of Gorackpore states, whilst commissioner of the Saran division, "I perused several cases sent to me by the judge of Saran, chiefly investigated by the sudder meens and moonsifis, and the decisions appeared to me all to depend upon the presiding 'authorities' ideas of equity, without reference to law." The acting magistrate of Banda.—" There is a general want of legal information and established course of proceeding in almost every office, entailing a proportionate degree of uncertainty in the decisions of the magisterial authorities, on cases of the above nature course

before

* See also Mr. Rickett's evidence, Appendix I., No 8.

Appendix If. No. 75.

Ditto, No. 86.

Ditto, No. W:

Appendix II., No. 105.

before them for adjudication; and it would, therefore, be impossible to lay down any clear and determined rules of guidance as those practically recognized by the Company's courts, every magistrate being, I believe, in the habit of using his own discretion, subject to the dictates of reason, justice, and humanity. These decisions are doubtless in many instances renumers to Mahometers law"

The magistrate of Bareilly....." In the present state of the law, so much doubt exists in Appendix II., regard to the whole subject, that each magistrate must, in fact, act according to his own views No. 120. and indgment: and in this way, doubtless, much difference of proceeding will be found to exist in the different courts."

exist in the difference courts. Mr. Robertson, then one of the judges of the Presidency Sudder court, in a separate Ditto, No. 3. minute, observes on this subject: "With regard to the internal system of domestic servi-tade which obtains in India, as in every other part of Asia, no specific rules having ever been laid down, it has been hitherto left to the discretion of every judicial functionary to dispose of such cases as might be brought before him according to his own judgment, taking the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws, on some occasions, but more generally the habits and feelings of the people, with his own sense of right, for his guides." 'This observation is quoted by Ditto, No. a. the Calcutta Sudder court in their letter to the commission.

Decisions of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut.

We shall now state what we can discover to have been determined by the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, in respect of the laws, principles and rules by which the courts and magistrates should regulate their proceedings in cases relating to slavery, and in respect of what does or does not constitute the legal status known by that name. ' And first, with regard to the civil branch of judicature.

No mention is made in the regulations of claims to the person and service of individuals as slaves, but on an application from the judge of zillah Chittagong, dated the 15th March Slavery in India, 1798, to be furnished with information by what rules, if slavery was allowed, he was to be 1828, p. 74-5. guided "in determining the circumstances, periods, and authentications of cabalas* and engagements, which are to be considered as constitutive of slavery in that portion of the British dominions in India; and further, whether the child of a slave is the property of the owner of the slave," the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut (proceedings 29th March 1798). owner of the slave, the court of Sudder Dewanny AdaWut (proceedings 29th March 1798), observed, that they had "no doubt that the spirit of section 15, Regulation IV. 1793 (which directs that 'in suits regarding succession, inheritance, marriage and caste, and all" religious usages and institutions, the Mahomedan laws with respect to Mahomedans, and the Hindoo laws with regard to Hindoos, are to be considered as the general rules by which the judges are to form their decisions,') should be applied to the cases of slavery noticed in the above (judge's) letter; but as these cases are not expressly within the descriptions of suits specified in the shore section." they resolved to refer the question for the order of suits specified in the above section," they resolved to refer the question for the orders of government. On the 6th April, the government communicated their entire concurrence in the opinion of the court, and requested them to furnish the judge of Chittagong with the necessary explanation for his guidance. This resolution, however, was not circulated to the other mofussil courts for their information.

By section 8, Regulation VII. 1832, the rule contained in the above-quoted section 15, Regulation IV. 1793, and the corresponding enactment contained in clause 1, section 16, Regulation III. 1803, were made " the rule of guidance in all suits regarding succession, Interstance, marriage, and caste; and all religious usages and institutions that may arise between persons professing the Hindoo and Mahomedan persuasions respectively." But by the 9th section of the same regulation it is declared, that the above rules are to apply "to such persons only as shall be *bona fide* professors of those religions at the time of the auch persons only as shall be bond have processors of those rengions at the third of the application of the law to the case, and that they were designed for the protection of the rights of such persons, not for the deprivation of the rights of others. Whenever, therefore, (the section proceeds) in any civil suit, the parties to such suit may be of different persuasion, or where one party shall be of the Hindoo, and the other of the Mahomedan persuasion, or where one or more of the persuasion, out the ball be of the Hindoo re Mahumedan where one party shall be of the Hindoo, and the other of the Mahomedan persuasion, or where one or more of the parties to the suit shall not be either of the Hindoo or Mahomedan persuasions, the laws of those religions shall not be permitted to operate to deprive such party or parties of any property to which, but for the operation of such laws, they would have been entitled. In all such cases, the decision shall be governed by the principles of justice, equity and good conscience; it being clearly understood, however, that this provision shall not be considered as justifying the introduction of the English, or any foreign law, or the application to such cases of any rules not sanctioning those principles."

law, or the application to such cases of any rules not sanctioning those principles." In the case of Mussummaut Chutroo, appellant, versus Mussummaut Jussa, respondent, Appendix III., both of the Mahomedan persuasion, in which the respondent, a prostitute, had, in the city Nu. 1. court of Benares, sued the appellant, whom she had brought up from chuldhood and educated to the same profession, for the recovery of a sum of money on account of a monthly allowance due under a written engagement, it was, on a special appeal, decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, on the 25th March 1822, in conformity with two futwahs of the law officers of the court, "that unless Chutroo was the lawful slave of Jussa, she (Jussa) had no right to every a provide the provide the court of a super to do any act contrary to her no right to exercise any control over her, or to cause her to do any act contrary to her wishes or inclination; that in this case there was no proof that Chutroo was the legal slave of Jussa; and that it is incumbent on the judicial authorities to abstain, without the fullest proof of free will, from countenancing the servitude of any individual entitled to freedom and

262.

K 3

77

BENGAL

* 4

· Deeds of sale.

78 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

BENGAL.

Appendix III., No. 2.

and that even if the execution of the deed were proved " (which it was not) " to have been by the consent of the girl (the appellant), it was nevertheless a nude pact, and a contract which did not promise her any equivalent; is other words, an undertaking to pay a sum of money in consideration of being exempted from a control to which the contracting party

money in consideration of the second states and the second states are second states and the second states are second states and the second states are female, of the Moslum creed, as his hereditary slaves, and in which the defendants admitted that they and their ancestors had rendered services of slaves in the family of the respondent, and of one of the appellants, but pleaded that the exaction of such services was illegal under the Moslim law, it was determined by the court on a special appeal, on the 28th August 1830, that with reference to the doctrines contained in the futwah of the moofus of the court, delivered in 1809* to the effect, that "Freedom is the natural state of man, and legal servitude only arises from infidelity, and captivity in open war with a Moslim con-queror, or from descent from such infidel captive; consequently, the sale, in a state of destitution, of a child, or of the vendor's own person, establishes no right of property in, or dominion over, the object of the sale; the essentials, constituting legal servitude, and giving the respondent a legal dominion over the persons claimed as slaves, were wanting." The claim was therefore disallowed.

The following is an extract from a futwah of the Mahomedan law officers of the Sudder court, in the case of Gholam Husun Ali, appellant, versus Zeinub Beebee (on the part of her son, Hummut Ali, a minor), respondent, decided on the 20th July 1801. "The marriage of son, human Ai, a minor, respondent, decided of the 20th July 1801. If he marriage of a Mahomedan with his slave girl is ineffectual and not bunding; for lawful enjoyment, such as is obtained in marriage, accrues equally from the embrace of a slave girl. Modern lawyers have, on prudential grounds, held marriage with slave girls to be advisable; because a slave girl, in the legal acceptation, should be one taken from foreign infidels, or the offspring of such a one. With respect to ostensible slave girls bought in times of scarcity, at a low price, from Mahomedans or infidel subjects, and kept for concubinge, there is a doubt as to the levelute of their ambrace i whatfore marriage with them to ensure the doubt as to the legality of their embrace, wherefore marriage with them, to ensure the lawfulness of it, has been held preferable. Though there should be proof to the marriage of sawulness of it, has been held preferable. Though there should be proof to the marriage of Jafur Ah with his four slave girls, his marriage with them, if they be really slave girls, is not binding or valid. Therefore his marriage afterwards with a free woman would in fact, not be a fifth marriage : and this marriage, notwithstanding the other four women be alive, will be valid and legal. Supposing, however, that the four girls were not legally slave girls, bat only commonly so reputed, then the marriage with them would be valid; and the marriage with the free woman, being a fifth marriage, not valid." In the case of Khairat Ali and Musst. Aminah, appellants, versus Zahuran Nissa, respondent, decided by the Sudder court on the 15th March 1830, is recorded a futwah of the kazi of the provincial court at Patan. in which it is declared that a formal deed

Ditto, vol. 5, p. 18. Hespindent, decided by the Studier court on the form match reso, is recorded to the resonance of the provincial court at Patna, in which it is declared that a formal deed assigning the person, labour and future offspring of Zahuran for a term of 55 lunar years, "did not legally operate as a sale, being, in fact, a limited assignment in the way of hire, creating no proprietory dominion. It is true," the futurah proceeds, "that conveyances of staves are ordinarily made in this form; but in law the contracts of sale and hire are nominally each descential descential descentiants."

nally and essentially distinct, and custom cannot prevail over law." In September 1826, Golak Narayan Ray sued Kewal Ram Deo and 16 others, in the civil court of Dacca, to recover his dominion over them as his hereditary slaves, alleging supported by lands assigned. The defendants, denying their servitude, asserted that the lands stated by the plantiff to have been assigned for their support were their own ancestrait talook, acquired by the plantifi to have been assigned for them is apport, which there over a trait talook, acquired by the father of certain of them; that the profession of the family being service, the person so acquiring the lands had entered the plantiff 's employment as a writer, and that since his death they had continued to pay the fixed rent of the talook to the plantiff, in whose estate it was situated. The plantiff produced a written agreement,

the plaintiff, in whose estate it was stuated. The plantiff produced a written agreement, dated in 1790, parporting to have been executed by three ancestors of the defendants, and authenticated by the collector at the time, and likewise a pervanah of the collector dated the same year. The defendants also produced documents. The acting judge, on the 23d May 1928, decided in favour of the plaintiff on the follow-ing grounds --Ist, that the facts alleged by the plaintiff were borne out by the evidence, especially by the written agreement, from which it appeared that the talook had been pur-chased by the plaintiffs grandfather in the names of the three ancestors of defendants, as hus slaves, and that the annual rent had been fixed at 370 rupees 2 annas, after deducting nine rupees from the assets as their subsistence, and the talook made over to their charge; the deed containing a clause that they and their descendants would continue to render the the deed containing a clause that they and their descendants would continue to render the be deed containing a change that they and their descendants would continue to induce the service of slaves, in default of which plantiff might resume the lands; and further, that they should be subject to the local usage in regard to sale. 2d. That the cognomen of Sakdar, which the defendants say was an official title obtained by their ancestors, was proved by their own witnesses to be an appellation common to slaves in the pergunnah, and was therefore presumption of the complexity of the defendants and that ther and was therefore presumptive of the service condition of the defendants, and that therefore presumptive of the service condition of the defendants, and that there relationship and connexion by marriage with slaves were proved. • "On appeal to the provincial court, one judge proposed to reverse the decree, decring the

plaint

Reports, vol. 1, p. 50.

Sudder Dewanny

Appendix III., No. 3.

On occasion of a reference from Mr. J. Richardson, judge and magistrate of Bundelcund. See Slavery in India, 1828, p. 304-6.

plaint fraudulent and malicious, because, 1st, the plaintiff had filed no deed signed by the defendants or their ancestors stating themselves to be hereditary slaves of his family; and without such a deed and full proof it would be inequitable to condemn a mass of persons and their descendants to perpetual bondage. 2d. The deed of acknowledgment was susand their descendants to perpetual bondage. 2d. The deed of acknowledgment was sus-picious, it not appearing why it was authenticated by the collector, and the plaintiff and attesting witnesses not having been summoned to prove it; if genuine it would have been mentioned in the plaint, and produced in a previous investigation which had taken place before the magistrate. 3d. The plaintiff's witnesses, though they speak generally to the defendants being his hereditary slaves and serving him as such, entered into no details, showing when and what services were rendered to his family and by whom. 4th. From the papers filed by the defendants, it appeared they were talookdars on the plaintiff's estate, paying an annual rent of 358 rupees and 9 annas; that they followed the profession of writers, and were persons of respectability. Another judge proposed to confirm the decree, deeming the oral and documentary evidence on the part of the plaintiff, especially the written agreement, given at a time when many other talookdars sought separation from the estate of the plaintiff, to be conclusive of the slavery of the defendants, and that the talook was really the property of the plaintiff.

the slavery of the defendants, and that the talook was really the property of the plantiff, He observed that, from the evidence for the defence, it appeared that Sakdar was an appella-He observed that, from the evidence for the delence, it appeared that Stakdar was an appella-tion common to slaves in the pergunnah; that the slavery of a family may be inferred from continuous service; that it seldom happened that after the lapse of many years the original title, showing the acquisition of the slave forefather, was forthcoming; and that in that part of the country many slaves were apparently persons of respectability and educated, and managed the zemindaree affairs of their masters. A judge of the Moorshedabad court of appeal, to whom the case was referred, concurring in the latter opinion, judgment was given accordingly, on the 20th April 1830. A special appeal was admitted by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, on the ground that

A special appeal was admitted by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, on the ground that "the lower court had passed judgment against appellants (defendants) without considering whether their ancestors had legally as slaves come under the dominion of respondent's (plantif's) father." On the 26th March 1832, one of the judges concurred in the judgment proposed by the judge of the Dacca provincial court, who first heard the appeal, and in the grounds of it. The case being brought before another judge, he observed, "In my opinion, the claim of the plaintiff is not established by his witnesses or documents... The witnesses are that they had scan defandate render service if slaves in the house of say that they had seen defendants render service like the service of slaves in the house of plaintiff; but this does not prove that they are really slaves. Moreover, if the genuineness of the engagement be conceded, still it is apparent from it that the defendants are dependent talookdars, holding on condition of paying a fixed rent and rendering service. If, then, the appellants should not render service, respondent may resume; from this it scenes that, during the tenure of the talook, service is obligatory, not after abandoning the tenure, and thereby discharging themselves : and it is to be observed, that he who has power to emanci-pate himself cannot be considered a slave." A final judgment was therefore given for the

The judge of Mymensingh gives the following account of a class of cases common in his district. "Another practice prevailed in the zillah of claiming a right of slavery over the Appendix II., descendants of persons who had in the first instance, on receiving a small portion of land, No. 47. bound themselves down as bondsmen or slaves to the proprietor of the soil in a menial capacity, or probably as mere cultivators of the land lying waste, the land then given in perpetuity being equivalent to such service: In these cases, the original agreement between the parties (if drawn out in writing) was never produced, and it appeared to me so unjust to allow or recognize such a demand or claim of slavery against the descendants (and who in many instances did not reside on the ground thus allotted, or if they did, could never when the particular distances did not reside to the sound thus allotted. subsist on the mere pittance of land granted to their ancestor), that I dismissed all these claims; and one having been affirmed in appeal by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,* this is how adopted as a precedent, and no suits of this description are now instituted, though before they formed at least one-third of the slavery causes on the file."

The above case was shortly this: A. claimed, as his hereditary slaves, B. and others, Appendix III, alleging that their forefather, C., had been a slave of his family, and that C. and his de- No. 4. scendants, including B. and other defendants, had continually rendered service, and received support, lodging, and a small assignment of land on his estate. B. denied the plaintiff's claim is toto. A. could produce no deed to prove the hereditary servitude of the defendants, and the judge held, that it would be " inequitable, even though such had been the usage, that the descendants, to the lowest generation for ever, should be subject to slavery to plaintiff, because his ancestor may have given two or three begahs to their remote forefather.

The judgment of the Sudder court, which was substantially the same as that of the zillah judge, was as follows. "Appellant has produced no deed showing that respondents were his hereditary slaves. What avails his mere assertion that his ancestor assigned the nankar land to the ancestor of defendant in consideration of service and attendance ? But let it be assumed that he did so. For two or three begahs, as nankar or chakran to the ancestor of respondents, it would be most inequitable that the descendants of the receiver should for ever be slaves to the descendants of the granter. Could appellant supply the deficient deed, it would not avail."

A case is also mentioned by the judge of Mymensingh, which arose in the Dacca jurisduction,

BENGAL.

70

Kishen Chunder Dutt Chowdry, appellant, ceress Birbul Bhundari and others, respondents, decided Nov. 24th 1832. 262. **K** 4

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 80

Appendix III., No 6.

jurisdiction, and, being brought by special appeal before the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut; was decided on the 7th December 1835; viz. Kirti Narayan Deo and others, appellants, versus Gauri Sankar Roy, respondent. This case was first decided in favour of the plaintiff (respondent) by the principal sudder ameen, and the decision was confirmed, with a slight modification, by the allab index any these terms of National South and the decision was confirmed. (respondent) by the principal sudder anteen, and the deviation was continued, which a modification, by the zillah judge, in these terms: "Neither from the deed of partition, nor any other document, do I find that the ancestor of appellant rendered service to respondent any other document, do I find that the ancestor of appellant rendered service to respondent as a slave. Nevertheless appellant, in his answer before the magistrate, and his brother Sri Narayan, in his deposition before the moonsiff, admitted that they were the bhandars or slaves of respondent and his brother. His denial, now, therefore, can avail nothing against his own admission." "With reference to the premises, I infer, that appellant and his ancestor, on receiving lands for support, rendered service to respondent and his aucestor. If respondent should not allow nankar lands for support of appellant and many seek their support where they can get it." The judgment of the Sudder court, reversing the decisions of the lower courts, was passed on the following grounds: "Plaintiff has, produced no deed to prove the assertion that appellants are his hereditary slaves. Plaintiff alleges that the appellants rendered service in consideration of bouse and lands for support allowed them. The defendants strongly deny this. No proof of their holding such house and lands is found in the papers of the case. Moreover, were it so the statement of respondent himself proves that appellant are blakta dasa, or slaves for their food, who render service for food." On reference to

are bhakta dasa, or slaves for their food, who render service for food." On reference to Mr. Macnaghten's compilation Hindoo law, and the second volume of the Digest, p. 247, the condition of slaves is stated thus, that when the slave for his food abandons the service, he becomes free. Therefore, the appellants, having given up subsistence, they are to be to be believed; but if credited, ther evidence does not avail the case of planutif; because

believed; but if credited, their evidence does not avail the case of planntiff; because appellants are to be considered as having become free by relinquishment of support. The copy of Kirti Narayan's examination before the magistrate is of no advantage to re-spondent; for a statement before the magistrate cannot be a proof in a civil case." The following case was also decided by the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, in special appeal, on the 24th February 1836. Nair, alias Narayan Singh, pauper, appellant, court of Sylhet, to recover the services of the appellant, his stepmother, and his wife and children, as slaves, alleging that the persons from whom they derived their title had purchased A., the grandmother, and B.; her son, the father of appellant, from their former master, on which eccasion he had executed a deed of release as respected their service to him, and A. had executed to the purchasers a deed of hire, binding herself and her son, B., then a minor, to, serve the purchasers for 60 and 70 years. The defendant denied the servitude of his father, humself and family. himself and family.

The zillah judge dismissed the claim, on the grounds that the action had been brought after the expiration of the longest term specified in the deed executed by A.; that the deed, making no mention of the wife and issue of B., did not support the plaintif's claim; and that there was no averment of the origin of the alleged slavery of the appellant's wife. On appeal to the officiating commissioner of Assam, that officer reversed the decision of

the zillah court; for the reasons, that he considered the limitation of time as being merely in conformity with custom, and to ensure the exemption from labour in old age, not freedom ; that the defendant was born within the period of the term ; and that the omitted mention of the issue of hired slaves in relation to the hirer is no argument of the freedom of their issue.

On special appeal to the Sudder court, the judgment of the officiating commissioner was reversed, and that of the zillah judge confirmed. The grounds of this decision were as follows: The proofs adduced by the plaintiff are not sufficiently satisfactory to induce the court to adjudge the claimed slaves, with their issue, to perpetual slavery. "The witnesses depose generally to this, that they presumed the defendants to be slaves from services per-formed. But services are of various sorts; nor is every servant a slave. The deed of hure wants authentication. Moreover, a term is limited therein, and the object of such limitation wants authentication. Moreover, a term is limited therein, and the object of such limitation is, that the performance of the condution be limited to the duration of the term. The wit-nesses assert usage to be this, that the person who is the object of the contract of hire does not become free at the expiration of the period. But such loose and vague assertion is entitled to no weight. Respondents allege the rent-free occupancy by defendants of land and dwelling as proof of slavery; but the witnesses depose to the contrary." The last case we shall give is that of Loknath Datt and Jainath Datt, here of Lakhnarayan Datt merger Kuhn Blandhai and bis the contrary to Sarder

Datt, versus Kubir Bhandari and his two sons and daughter, decided by the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the 17th May 1836. This suit was instituted by Lakhinarayan in the zillah court of Mymensingh on the 23d March 1880, to recover his dominion over the defendants, as being the descendants of a hereditary slave of his family, and having rendered to him the services of slaves, holding of him land and a house for their support. Kubir denied his slavery, and alleged, that whilst he resided in the plaintiff's village, the latter allowed him the use of some land in hen of wages, for which he occasionally served him, but not as a slave; and that for the 12 or 13 years preceding the suit, he had lived in another village as a free ryot. In reply, the plaintiff averred, that the father of Kubir had, with another hereditary slave, fallen to the share of the plaintiff's father on a partition of the family property;

Ditto, No. 7.

Ditto, No. 8.

r,

perty; that Kubir, owing to deficient accommodation in his original house, resided at another vilage, but continued to hold the land and house assigned him by the plaintiff, and to render hum service until he proved recusant; that he had marned his sister and daughter, and other females of his family, on discharge obtained from the plaintiff, to whom he made the established present; and that his own (Kubir's) marriage, and that of his son's, were effected at plaintiff's cost; also, that some of the descendants of the same slave stock, who fell to the share of the plaintiff's two uncles, likewise field, but had since been recovered by judgment of our values and one he voluntary surronder.

share of the plaintiff's two uncles, likewise fied, but had since been recovered by judgment of court, and one by voluntary surrender. On the 27th August 1833, the principal sudder ameen, to whom the case had been 'referred, passed judgment in favour of the plaintiff, observing, that the defendant had not supported his defence by any proof, whilst the plaintiff had established his pleas, both by oral and documentary proof, Kubir having, in an examination before the magistrate, on a 'charge preferred by himself against certain persons, stated, that the plaintiff's cousins were his masters.

In appeal, the zillah judge reversed the principal sudder ameen's decision, for the following reasons: "Plaintiff's action is estimated in the amount of loss for services withheld. It is not admissible, because not brought within one year from the absence of the defendant. Plantiff files no deed proving the service state of the defendant. His witnesses, who allege that defendant rendered service to plaintiff, and held of him lands for support, depose on hearsay. Moreover, it is not equitable that a family in perpetual descent should be slaves in consideration of the nankar lands for support." Application being made to the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut for the admission of a

Application being made to the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut for the admission of a special appeal, the petition, accompanied with the decrees of the lower courts, was referred to the pundit of the court, with directions "to state whether proofs, such as those recited in fithe decree of the principal sudder ameen, if adduced by plaintiff, would be sufficient legal evidence, under the Hindoo law, to establish the slavery of the defendant." The pundit's reply was to this effect: "The proof adduced by the plaintiff, to establish the fact of slavery, as set forth in the decision of the principal sudder ameen, is sufficient. For it seems that the defendants are inherited slaves, and this is one of the 15 legal classes of slaves." In support of this opinion, the pundit cited the text of Narada, cited in various books, in which the "slave mherited" is enumerated. On a consideration of this reply, the accuracy of the judgment of the zillah judge seeming doubtful, a special appeal was admitted on the 4th March 1834.

The case was finally disposed of on the 17th May 1836, by one of the judges, who gave judgment in the following terms: "I find, that the testimony of appellant's witnesses, examined to prove respondent's slavery, rests on hearsay, which therefore is insufficient. Plautiff's claim is thus, that defendants are slaves in consideration of lodging and lands for support. Now, if they received the same, it is clear they have abandoned such lodging and support. In the case No. 120 of 1833," on the 7th December 1835, I passed a decree, an concurrence with the opinion of Mr. R. H. Rattray. In conformity to that precedent, respondents are slaves of the class of slaves for their food. On surrender of the lands held, they are entitled to emancipation. The zillah judge has ruled that the claim is not cognizable, because not brought within a year. In this I do not concur. I suppose he rests his doctrine on section 7, Regulation II. 1805, which is urelevant."

It will be observed, that, in the five cases last stated, both parties were Hindoos; and it appears from a report of the shenstahdar of the Presidency Sudder Court, filed in the case of Loknath Datt v. Kubir Bhandari, that " there has not been any appeal in which the claim of a plantiff to establish his dominion over a slave has been sustained in this court."

of a plantiff to establish his dominion over a slave has been sustained in this court." Thotigh we cannot discover that the point has ever been settled by a judgment of the Sudder court, it appears to be clear, by Hindoo law, that the species of slave called Atmabikrya, or one self-sold, "signifying him who for a peruniary consideration barters his own freedom," must be considered to be in a state of permanent slavery, which will likewise be the condition of his offspring; but whether the most prolife source of slavery how in operation, viz., the sale of children by their parents, generates legal slavery; in other words, whether parents have, by the Hindoo law, the right, under any circumstances, of selling their offspring as slaves, and whether, if not specifically authorized by that law, such right would in avertheless be held legalized by usage, so far as Hindoos are concerned, are questions which, we believe, have never been brought judicially before the Sudder courts, aor clearly expounded in any book of Hindoo law. We thought it right to procure the opinion of the Hindoo law officer of the Calcutta court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on this subject. The questions proposed to him and his replies will be found in Appendix VIII. From these it would appean, that by the written law a father has no right to sell a son or daughter as a slave even in time of calamity, without the consent of such son or daughter, but that such consent being obtained, he may so dispose of them, both in time of calamity and at other times; and that by usage he is competent so to sell a son or daughter, incapable by reason of non-age of giving consent, with a view to obviate calamity. That such power of sale in no case extends to persons of the Brahmin caste. That a mother, either during the hie or after the death of the father, may, in pursuance of an order from him, or, if he is living, m the absence of any opposition on his part, from which his assent is inferrible, sell a son or daughter into slavery to obviate calamity, the conse

Our secretary gives the result of his investigation into the Hindoo law on this subject in Appendix VIII., the following words: "On the whole it appears to me that it would be difficult on direct No. 1.

* Kuti Narayan versus Gauri Sankar, vide supra.

scriptural

No. 3.

BENGAL.

262.

Construction, No. 1,022, July 29, 1836. Ditto, No. 1,009, June 24, 1836. Ditto, No. 550, May 7, 1830.

Appendix III., No. 2.

Ditto, No. 3.

Construction, No. 812, Aug. 16, 1833.

Slavery in India, 1828, p.371.

Nizamut Adawlut Reports, vol. 3, p. 140, 1828.

Circular orders of the Nizamut Adawlut, No. 4, vol. 1.

82 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

scriptural authority to establish the legal right of the parents to sell their children into slavery under any circumstances. That power, exercised as it always has been by particular classes, seems to me to rest rather on popular recognition and usage, and is subject to those limits and restraints which varying local institutions may impose."

The following points of civil practice have been determined by the Sudder courts :-

Construction, No. 1,022, July 29, 1836. Slaves must be regarded as personal property, and suits respecting them are cognizable by the native judges; but the court consider it highly inexpedient that such cases should go before a native, should the reference of them to a European judge be practicable.

A person adjudged to be the slave of another is entitled to appeal against the decision is forma pauperis.

A decree having been passed against certain persons under which they have been declared, with their families, the slaves, and as such the property, of the decree-holder, was affirmed in the provincial court; but a special appeal was admitted by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the grounds of the appellants (the slaves under the judgments already given) not appearing to be so under what, by the Mahomedan law, is required to constitute slavery. The appellants did not give security to stay the execution of the decree, for which the decreeholder had made application. Under these circumstances it became a question whether execution should be ordered, or, if stayed, upon what terms. The court were of opinion, that as the special appeal was admitted on the presumption that the appellants had been wrongfully declared to be slaves, and as they would be unable to prosecute their appeal i delivered over to the custody of the decree-holder as slaves, the execution of the decree should, in this special instance, be stayed without demanding security from the appellants. Case of Shekh Khawaj and others, appellants, versus Muhammad Sabir, respondent.

Following the above precedent, a like order was passed on the admission of the special appeal in the case of Kewal Ram Deo. appellant, versus Golak Narayan Ray, respondent.

We may here also notice a reference to the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, on the subject of registering contracts of slavery in the office of the register of deeds, in zillah Turhoot, which was made by the officiating commissioner of curcuit for the division on the 24th July 1833 in the following terms: "I deem it incumbent on me at the same time to notice a practice that prevails in Turhoot, which I conceive to be infinitely more objectionable, and of the legality of which I am doubful, viz., that of registering deeds called, or rather miscalled, yaranamahs, in a separate book kept for the purpose. The nature of the deeds I cannot better explain than by the following quotation of the purport of the last deed registered. 'Meer Muttooah, aged about 26, binds himself over for the period of 85 years, and his descendants for ever, for the sum of 18 rupees, to Omrao Sing, vakeel of the civil court at Turhoot.' In another, a person disposes of the services of hus slave girl and of her chuldren for a term of 81 years, for the sum of 200 rupees; and the rest were generally of a similar purport. My object in now noticing these deeds is to obtain the opinion of the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut as to the legality of such transactions being registerel under Regulation XX. of 1812, or any other law enacted for the guidance of the register of deeds." The court determined, that, as deeds of this description are not specified in Regulation XXXVI. of 1793, or Regulation XX. of 1812, the registry of them is illegal under the probibiton contained in section 7 of the regulation last quoted.

The only specific enactments for the guidance of the criminal courts in cases connected with slavery, besides Regulations X. 1811 and III. 1832, relating to the importation and removal of slaves for purposes of traffic, are contained in section 2, Regulation IV. 1797, and sections 2 and 4, Regulation VIII. 1799, and the corresponding provisions in clause 2, section 15, Regulation VII. 1803, and sections 15 and 17, Regulation VIII. 1803, which annul the exemption from kissas or retaliation sanctioned by the Mahomedan law in certain cases of wilful murder of a slave.*

In 1820 a Brahmin was tried by the court of circuit of the Bareilly division for killing his female slave, by cutting her down with his sword, because she was in the habit of quitting his house without his permission, and being convicted of the crime was condemned and executed.

In the case of Barong and Thokol, the prisoners, a Garrow chief and his bondsman, being convicted of putting to death another of his bondsmen, were sentenced to two years imprisonment, with reference to all the circumstances of the transaction, to the barbarous state of the country, the provocation given by the deceased, the authority theretofore exercised by the family of Thokol, and the subjection to him as his bondsman of Barong.

On the 27th April 1796, the following instructions were circulated by order of the Nizamut Adaptit to the mersentres:-----

2. "By the answers of the law officers to the reference made to them on these heads, it appears,

[•] On a reference from the magistrate of zillah Behar, as to the admissibility of claims to the persons of individuals as slaves, on the ground of purchase in infancy from the father of the person clauned, the government, on the 21st October 1791, "ordered the magistrate to be informed that he is to try all causes respecting laves by the established laws of the country."-Supplement to Colebrooke's Digest of the Regulations, p. 473.

1

expears, that the right of mastership over his slave is not forfeited by making such slave an eunuch, etther under the Mussulman or Hindoo law; but that the castration of any person, whether a slave or otherwise, is held criminal and punishable by the Mahomedan law, par-ticularly if the offender be proved to have made it his professional or frequent practice; nor, will the consent of the party be allowed to obviate the punishment, which, in all cases, is left to the discretion of the governor of the country, or his representative, and to be pro-portioned to the merginded of the offence " portioned to the magnitude of the offence."

3. "With a view to discourage and prevent as much as possible the cruel and detestable a with a view to discourage and prevent as inden as possible the order and decestable practice above adverted to, the court desire you will make public the foregoing provision of the Mahomedan hav against it, by a circular notification to the police officers under your jurisdiction; and that you will enjoin them to apprehend all persons charged with the crime in question, in hke manner as they are directed to apprehend persons charged with other trimes of a heinous nature, that, if there appear sufficient grounds for the same, they may be brought to trial before the court of circuit, and to exemplary punishment, as the law directs.

On the 1st December 1817, a circular order was addressed to the magistrates of the ceded Circular orders of and conquered provinces, including Cuttack, directing them to cause a notification of the the Nizamut same tenor as that enjoined in the third paragraph of the above circular order to be published Adawlut, No. 192. throughout the districts under their charge, in the event of such notification not having been already published in those provinces.

In the case of Nujoom Oon Nisa, convicted by the Nizamut Adawlut, in 1805, of mal-Nizamut Adawlut treating her female slave, Zuhoorun, the court sentenced the prisoner to imprisonment for Reports, vol. 1, the term of 12 months; and further ordered that the slave, "in consideration of the injurious p. 55-6.

treatment she had experienced from her mistress, should be declared free." The Presidency court of Nizamut Adawlut, in their reply to the queries of the law com-The Presidency court of Mizamut Adawut, in their reply to the queries of the law com-mission, remark, respecting the above trial, that no note being annexed to the proceedings, and the Persian record having been destroyed, it cannot now be ascertained what was the exact nature of the bondage of Zuhoorun. That "if the girl was a slave, as legally defined by the Mahomedan law, then the order of the court, directing her emancipation, would appear to have been illegal. If, however, on the contrary, the girl was not proved on the trial to have been a slave taken in battle, or the descendant of such a slave, then the set forth in evidence, to direct her immediate emancipation."

Another and similar case of cruelty by a Mahomedan female towards her slave girl was Slavery m India, tried by the Calcutta court of circuit in 1814, in which punishment was awarded to the 1828, p. 225. offender, and the slave girl was likewise emancipated. In this case, however, the eman-cipation is stated to have been directed "by the law officers' futwah."

The following is an extract from the answer of the Allahabad court of Nizamut Adawlut to the queries of the commission: " A case in point, as regards the liability of a Ma-homedan master to punishment, under the existing regulations, for maltreating his slave, came before the court in the course of last year, in which the prisoner, a Mussulman, holding a responsible situation in the family of a native of rank, at Cawnpore, was indicted, on the a responsible situation in the family of a native of rank, at Cawnpore, was indicted, on the prosecution of government, for being an accomplice in subjecting certain children, whom he had purchased during the famine in Bundelcund, to personal injury, cruelty and torture; and being found guilty of privity to the acts charged against him, was sentenced by the court to imprisonment in the zillah gaol." "The prisoner," they add, "would have been hable to and would doubtless have undergone precisely the same punishment, had he been a Hindoo, or the professor of any other faith." The reason assigned is, that the sentences of the criminal courts are regulated by the Mahomedan law, as modified by the regulations, The Calcutta Sudder court take the same view of this question.

By the Mahomedan law, hudd, or the prescribed punishment for larceny, is not incurred Nizamut Adawlut # by a slave stealing, or assisting to steal, the property of his owner; but he is liable to Reports, vol. 1, discretionary punishment for breach of trust. Case of Chumelee and Nuseem Hubshee. p. 233-4.

The inveigling away slaves as well as free persons, although not specifically provided for, Slavery in India, is an offence punishable by the criminal courts, under the Mahomedan law, and general 1828, p. 244, regulations in force. Letter of Nizamut Adawlut to Government, 1st May 1816.

On the 3d February 1826, the acting magistrate of Sylhet referred the following question for the orders of the Nizamut Adawlut: "It having been invariably the custom of this district for persons to complain in the criminal court to compel their slaves to work who The distribution of the second state of the second state of the state inhabitants of this district are slaves."

The court replied to this reference on the 17th February, by instructing the court of circuit at Dacca " to communicate to the acting magistrate the opinion of the court, that in no case of that description (viz. of complaints preferred by masters against their slaves for refractory conduct, k.c.) as he authorized to issue orders from the Foujdary court, whether the right of property be unquestionable or not." At the same time those proceedings of the Life fight of property be unquestionate of inter a fit the same time time proceedings of the court of encut adverted to by the acting magistrate, which were "contrary to the notion entertained by the court as to the legal course of proceeding," were called for, and the order therein passed was subsequently annulled on the 28th of April, the court £62, ruhng

BENGAL:

83

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 84

BENGAL

Appendix II.. No. 69.

ruling that " persons should not be made over to slavery by a summary order passed in a Foujdary court."*

The civil and session judge of Bhaugulpore says in his return : "During the time I held the office of commissioner of circuit for the Monghyr division, a case came before me in appeal from the joint magistrate's order, directing persons who had been previously slaves to be released, not on any proof of the master's maltreatment, or after any inquiry made into that matter; but the order was, that the individuals in question, after the expiration of

into that matter; but the order was, that the individuals in question, after the expiration of the period of imprisonment (in consequence of running away from their master), should be at liberty to go where they pleased, in other words, made free." And he transmits a copy of the proceedings of the Nizamut Adawlut on his own orders and of his reply thereto. The proceedings of the Sudder court, dated 25th May 1830, are in these terms: "The court observe, that Jhaul and others, five men and five women, were ordered to be released on the 10th Jan. 1828, by the magistrate, and that Mr. Lee Warner, on an appeal to him by Rungeet, adjudged the individuals in question to be his slaves. As the order of the court missioner is deemed to be improper, and unauthorized by any regulation, the court annul the same, and direct that the commissioner instruct the magistrate to call before him Runjeet, and the individuals who may have been made over to him as slaves by the commissioner's orders, and set at liberty the latter, taking from Runjeet a mochulka (recognizance) in a reasonable amount to abstain from illegally harassing the said persons, or any others affected by the order annulled, leaving the said Runjeet to seek his remedy in a court of civil jurisdiction." "The court regret that Mr. Lee Warner should have considered himself at liberty to

interfere in a case, which even from the position of the petitioners, that in India, slaves are of property, was clearly not within his jurisdiction; and that he should have issued an order disposing of disputed property in human beings, which he must be aware that he was

The commissioner replied to these proceedings on the 12th July 1830, and after referring, in explanation of his own proceedings, to the resolution of the Nizamut Adawlut, passed on a reference from the magistrate of zillah Furruckabad, dated 17th July 1817, sanctioning a a reference from the magistrate of zillah Furruckabad, dated 17th July 1817, sanctioning a summary inquiry by the magistrate in claims and disputes respecting slavery, subsidiary to a regular suit in the civil courts, and the grounds of that opinion, as given by Mr. Harington in a note at page 70 of the 1st vol. of his Analysis, proceeds: "I had viewed the case as an act of dispossession by the court, and in opposition to the decree of the civil court, and to that course of life they (the alleged slaves) had been pursuing (by living as slaves in Runjeet's house) until the time of the theft; and I feared that an impression might go forth, that a slave, to emancipate himself and relations, had only to steal his master's property and be sentenced to a limited imprisonment in gaol for the offence, when, on his being released from gaol, he became at the same time, by official interference, without any inquiry into the facts, released from servitude."

"The joint magistrate punished the individuals in question, not because the crime of theft was proved, but for having fled (mufroor) from the house; and without any assigned reason, orders (in the conclusion of his rubakaree) that after being released from gaol, they may go where they please. The decree of the court states that the male and female slaves are the right of Duleep Sing, the father of Runjeet, and also uses the term (ukrobai anha) their kin-

dred. Now, it is without doubt that they are (the persons mentioned in my order) the de-scendants of one of the persons, who is still alive, named in the decree." On the concluding part of the last paragraph of the court's proceedings, he remarks, "If taken in its literal sense, how is it to be decided whether the property which may be brought before the court in a case of theft belongs to the thef (as he says probably) or to the pro-secutor? And it frequently occurs that an inquiry is necessary to determine whose the pro-perty may be in the first instance." And he notices, as a case in point, the orders of the court in the case of Munneenath Baboo, at page 204, vol. 3, of the Nizamut Adawlut reports. In June 1834 A. (a Mahomedan) presented a petition to the joint magistrate of Shahje-

Construction, No. 887, 27th June and 18th July 1834.

hanpore, stating that, in consequence of the famine to the southward, the British authorities permitted the purchase of alwes; that he had in consequence purchased a girl there, and had intrusted her to B. (also a Mahomedan), from whom she had run away; and claiming the magistrate's interference to restore her to him. Some time previously the girl had made her appearance at one of the thanahs of the district in a starving condition, and the thanahdar, having offered to take charge of her, was permitted to do so, until her natural guardian could be

[•] In paragraph 6, of their reply to the queries of the law commission, the Calcutta court observe: "In the criminal courts, should a slave, admitted to be one, quit his master's service, or neglect to perform his ordinary work, he would be liable, on conviction, to summary punishment for the same."-Appendix 11., No. 2

No. 3. On a late reference from the government of Bombay to the government of India, as to the course to be pursued regarding an application preferred by his highness the Guicowar, through the resident at Baroda, for the surrender of two female slaves, who had left the service of his daughter on a journey through the Bombay territories, and taken refuge at Nassek, on the plea of ill-treatment, the above court having hern called upon "to state what is the practice of the courts under their control in regard to cases of a sumlar description," in their reply, dated 9th Nov, 1838, asy, "In ordinary cases, the jurisduction in matters regarding the property in slaves rests with the civil courts, and a magnetiate would not be -gustified in interfiring an order to compet their return to persons claiming them. In the case under consideration, the sourt are of opinion, that a magnetizate should have acted precisely as the magnetize of Nassick has done, that is, refuse to deliver up, the slaves, and refer the question for the decision of government. — Appendux XV1. 4 The Sumerr and Nerbudda territories, probably.

[†] The Saugur and Nerbudda territories, probably.

be found. The question was referred, through the magistrate and commissioner of circuit, to the Nizamut Adawlut, whether a magistrate is anthorized to interfere in such a case. The court ruled that the magistrate had no authority to interfere with a view to restore the child. to the petitioner, A.

The following case * was brought in appeal before the Nizamut Adawlut at Calcutta, and disposed of on the 2d March 1837 :- Several Mahomedans petitioned the magistrate of Tipperah in May 1836, for protection for themselves and families against A., likewise a Mahomedan, and zemindar of a portion of a pergunnah, alleging that they were free tenants, and that A. restrained and coerced them, though desirous of emigrating. The magistrate issued orders to the police darogah to the effect, that, if the petitioners were restrained, they were to be released, and if they wished to quit the place where they were, they should be allowed to go. The darogah was also directed to inquire and report as to certain houses and effects which the petitioners claimed. A. on his part likewise petitioned the magistrate, stating that the complainants were his house-born slaves; that they had combined against him at the instigation of the agent of the zemindar of the other portion of the pergunnah; and that in consequence of the magistrate's orders, 250 male and female slaves belonging to him had tumultuously broken out to his disgrace.

A. then appealed to the commissioner of circuit, who passed the following order : " The persons affected by the magistrate's orders are stated to exceed 80. It was wrong in the magistrate, without inquiry, to pass his successive orders for the release of the petitioners and their families, they appear to be the hereditary slaves of Musnad Ali, for in the peti-tions they are designated 'khana-zads' and 'khana-bands' of Musnad Ali. It appears that a numerous band tumultuously broke out from the house and adjoining premises of Musnad Ali; this is not less than a rot. Now, a not tends to great mischief, which is subversive of good order; for in this part of the country good order in respectable families depends on such inherited persons born and brought up in the family. In particular, in the fomilies of Hindoos and Moshims the abiding of such mherited persons is not illegal; on the contrary, there are indications of the legality thereof. It is usual for respectable people to have this class of people in their houses ; it is not a new custom that a sweeping order for emancipation should be passed without great mischief, or that the magistrate should interfere summarily on their petition. If any extreme oppression, contrary to custom, were inflicted on this class of persons, and that should lead to disturbance and be subversive of good order, the magistrate (if in such case competent by regulation to interfere) may do so. Under every view the orders are illegal, and should be amended. I reverse his orders, directing the release of the parties and their families.

directing the release of the parties and their families." On this the original complainants appealed to the Nizamut Adawlut to the following effect: "The order of the magistrate directed release of us and families; we are rained by the reversal thereof. Musnad Ali contemplates perpetual imprisonment of us and our families. We are not his bought slaves, yet he always seizes and beats us; he does not allow us to go any where, nor to attend the festivals of our class-fellows. By the law and practice of this court, a rich person is not allowed to restrain an unwilling poor man as his slave or servant *j*. Regulation 111. of 1832 was passed merely to prevent the sale of slaves: According to the 9th chapter of the Hidaya, a Moslim living in a Mahomedan country, not a 'Harbi capture', is not the slave of another; the claim of the zemindar is, therefore, contrary to Mahomedan law. We refer to case of Khawaj and others, appellants, and to the case of Kewal Ram Deo, appellant, versus Golak Narayan Ray; t taese invalidate any claim on another as a slave." claim on another as a slave.

The decision of the Nizamut Adawlut (2d March 1837) was as follows : "The orders of the magistrate and commissioner have been passed without any previous inquiry. When Hazari and others charged Musnad Ali with assault, and alleged their freedom, the magis-When trate took his affidavit and directed their release ; as the agent of Musnad Ali alleged that the petitioners were his slaves, the magistrate should have instituted a summary inquiry as The petitioners were his stayes, the migrorate should have instantia a summary maying as to the issue of fact. If he found petitioners were free, he should have increded their re-lease; if he found them to be ajir, \pm and house-born, he should ther have passed such order as might appear fit under the law and local usage, adverting to the islam of the petitioners. Moreover, the magistrate at all events should have investigated the assault and saving of which neutronary complain, whether they he free or slaves. Let the order of and seizing of which petitioners complain, whether they be free or slaves. Let the orders of the magistrate and commissioner be reversed, and the former proceed as above directed.

In June 1826 a person complained to the officiating magistrate of Sylhet, that A., having obtained a decree against hum, his brothers and mother, as slaves, sold them against their obtained a decree splitts muy me nothers and mother, as shaves, so do them against their will to B., whose intention it was to separate them, by sending them to different parts of the country. § The officiating magistrate submitted the following question to the Nizamut Adawlut: "A person possesses a slave and sells him to another person for a sum of money; the slave admits that he is the slave of the seller, but presents a petition to the meadwite assume that he use the server of the seller of the mean server of the mean sector the second the s magistrate saying that he is unwilling to remain as the property of the purchaser; he prays that the magistrate will allow him to buy his liberty from the seller at the same sum that the

BENGAL

85

.

[•] Shekh Hasari and others, appellants, versus Dewan Masmad Ali, respondent. Appendix, III., No. 9. This case is mentioned by the witness, No. 1, Raj Gound Sen.

⁺ See ants, p. 77-8. ‡ Literally, ' harelings.' § In this case the complainant, defendant and purchaser were Hindoos. 262. 1.3

the purchaser was to give, and thereby be absolved from slavery; is the magistrate at liberty to act accordingly? The purchaser objects to the slave being able to effect this,

liberty to act accordingly? The purchaser objects to the slave being able to effect this, and insists on his purchase being valid, and on his right of retaining the slave." "The following questions were in consequence referred, by order of the court, to their pundits: "A., an inhabitant of Sylhet, wishes to sell to B. his female slave, with her four sons and daughters, having fixed the price." The slaves have petitioned the court to this effect: "We are willing to serve our master, but he, out of enmity, has made this arrange-ment with the intending purchaser, that he should remove us to another country, and re-sell us at different places." us at different places.

Question 1. According to the Hindoo law, current in Sylhet, is such an objection of the slaves, in respect to a sale under the circumstances, valid or not?

"2. If valid, can the slaves designate another purchaser selected by themselves ?

. 3. Or can they obtain their emancipation, if able by any means to tender their fixed prices ? -

To which the pundits replied thus :-- " Fifteen slaves are propounded in Hindoo law, We infer, from the terms of the case, that the slaves referred to are of the class denominated "Grinh-jata," or house-born. Amongst the 15, there are the house-born, the bought, the obtained (by gift), the inherited, the self-sold. The emancipation of these five does not arise without the will of their owner. If the owner (inclined to self his slaves) desire the arise without the will of their owner. If the owner (inclined to sell his slaves) desire the discharge from him of slaves (of those five classes) by means of price fixed by himself, then, on account of his dominion and power, he may sell his slaves, though desirous of serving their master. But if, by the sale to the purchaser selected by the master, grievance of the slaves should exist, their release from him ought to be held established by legal reasoning, the owner having received the price settled by himself, either from a buyer designated by the slaves, or any other buyer; for thus the owner suffers no loss. But slaves are never emancinated from alwayer by neving the price first but shaves may like a super emancipated from slavery by paying the price fixed by their master from their own wealth ; for the owner has dominion in the property also of his slaves."

In forwarding a copy of this bebusteh to the acting magistrate, the court (5th August 1825) stated their opinion, as grounded on it, " that the slaves whom it is proposed to sell to one whose intentions they suspect and dread, may be allowed to select a purchaser with whom they are satisfied; and that in this their proprietor must acquiesce." They observed, however, that the answer of the pundits " does not go the length of stating that slaves are competent to purchase their freedom from their masters against the consent of the latter."

The magistrate of the district states, that the prosecution was subsequently withdrawn. and no further proceedings held.

Prostitution .- The court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, at Allahabad, transmitted, with their answer to the queries of the commission, copy of the correspondence on the following case, which originated in the year 1816, in the district of Furruckabad. We state the case as described in note (a) p. 142, vol. 3, of the Sudder Dewanny Reports, to the case of Mussummaut Chutroo versus Mussummaut Jussa, already mentioned.

A girl (named Gunna) had been purchased when an infant from her parents by a prostitute (of the Mahomedan persuasion), and having been educated in the courses, and for a long time followed the disreputable practices of her mistress, she at length attracted the special time followed the disreputable practices of her mistress, she at length attracted the special notice of Hadee Yar Khan, a most respectable person, who agreed to marry her in the event of her relinquishing her unlawful occupation. This she consented to do, and having left the house of her mistress, proceeded to that of the individual above named. The pro-titute who had purchased her, and who of course dreaded considerable loss of profit from-her departure, petitioned the magistrate of Furuckabad to compel her return, with which request that officer, from a mistaken notion of duty, complied. An appeal having been preferred from the above order, the opinions of the best authorities in that quarter were taken as to the validity or otherwise of the prostitute's claim, and the same question having been propounded to the (Mahomedan) law officers of the Sudder Dewamy Adawlut, they all unanumously declared that it rested on no leval foundation whatever, that a child purall unanimously declared that it rested on no legal foundation whatever, that a child pur-chased in its infancy was at full liberty when of mature age to act as best suited its inclination, and that it was even a duty incumbent on the magistrate to punish any attempt at compelling adherence to an immoral course of life.

The following is an extract from the instructions of the Nizamut Adawlut, dated 16th June 1816, to the Bareilly court of circuit in this case :-

" It appears by the concurring opinion of the law officers of the Nizamut Adawlut, that the futwab, delivered to the acturg magistrate of Furruckabad, which declared the purchase of Gunna, as a slave, by Mussummaut Jumayut insufficient to establish a right of property with reference to her not having been made captive in Jehad, or a war against intidels, and even if it were legally valid, that the purchaser has no right to compel her to an act of immorality, is strictly conformable to the Mussulman law."

" This is also confirmed by an exposition" of the Mahomedan law of slavery, received from the law officers of the Nizamut Adawlut, in answer to a reference made to them on the

28th April 1808." " Under these circumstances, the court most deeply regret that Mr. Wright, without any judicial inquiry to ascertain the legal powers and rights of Mussummaut Jumayut, should have thought himself justifiable in seizing the person of Mussummaut Gunna, when on her , way

Appendix III., No. 7.

Ditto I., No. 85.

way to Bareilly, for the purpose of being emancipated from prostitution, and marrying the Nawaub Hadee Yar Khan, adopting measures which had an immediate tendency to prevent such marriage, and formally delivering over Guina to a woman who had avowedly hired her out for the purpose of prostitution, and professed her intention of doing so in future for her own support."

"Although the court are unwilling to ascribe to the acting magistrate any other motive than a mistaken sense of duty, under the supposed legality of Junayut's claim, and her consequent right to prevent the marriage of Gunna, yet they cannot acquit Mr. Wright of a very incantious and unjustifiable misapplication of the authority vested in him as a public magistrate for the promotion of justice and good morals; especially after he was advised of the Mahomedan law as applicable to the case."

On the 17th March 1830, the commissioner of the Dacca division submitted for the con-suderation of the Nizamut Adawlut a circular order which had been issued by the magistrate of zillah Dacca Jelalpore to his police darogabs, with a view to check the indiscriminate sale of femiale children to women of bad character for the purpose of making prostitutes of them. This reference he accompanied with these remarks :

" The magistrate states that in his district it is a common practice for these women to The magistrate states that in his district it is a common practice for these women wo enlice young females from their parents or other protectors, and, under pretence of a transfer by sale, to compel them to become prostitutes; and further, that child-stealing for the same purpose is prevalent, the women above alluded to being purchasers. In order to check these evils, the magistrate has directed his police darogans to prepare a list of all the prostitutes, with their young females, residing within their respective jurisdic-tions, which list is to be preserved at the tannah. When any of these women purpose pur-

chasing a female, the darogan is to sift the matter, in order to ascertain whether the transac-tion be fair and proper, and to report the result to the magistrate." "Although the evil complained of by the magistrate is one of a very serious nature, yet I am of opinion it will be better to leave it to be dealt with in the ordinary course of the administration of criminal justice. The practice of buying and selling young females for no other purpose than to make prostitutes of them, is not only abhorment to the feelings of humanity, but, I believe, illegal. The interference of the officers of govern-ment, and the consequent tacit confirmation by the magistrate of such sales as the darogah may report to be fair, will naturally cause it to be supposed that the practice is recognized and countenanced by government, provided no improper means be resorted to in procuring the young women, and the effect will probably be to increase the evil it is intended to check. Further, I do not see how the course prescribed by the magistrate is to be enforced. It is not probable the purchasers will themselves give notice at the tannah except in cases of bond fide sales; and if they do not, they cannot be punished for the omission. On the whole, I am of opinion, that the order is rather calculated to invest the darogahs with a dangerous power of annoying the inhabitants than to remedy the evil complained of, and abould be therefore withdrawn."

The court of Nzamut Adawlut (2d April 1830) entirely concurred with the commis-sioner's opinion as to the impolicy of the notification, and directed it to be immediately withdrawn.

Practice of the Courts in cases of Bondage.

Local usage is the general principle by which the courts in South Behar regulate their decisions in cases relating to the bondsmen, who have been described as constituting so large a portion of the agricultural labourers in that part of the country. The master has no right over the property of his bondsman.

"When the servitude is conditional," the bondsman is released from it on the repayment of the principal sum for which he had mortgaged his labour; but it has sometimes been the practice of the courts to award interest to the master for any days the bondsman may have been absent from his work.

The contract has been generally regarded as one imposing a personal obligation only, and not affecting the children of the bondsman; t but the principal assistant in the Haza-Append reebaugh division, after stating that the individual executing the bond stipulating to serve No. 60. until the amount was repaid, in some cases engaged only for himself, in others for the whole of his family and descendants in perpetuity, proceeds thus: "Formerly it appears to have been the custom to acknowledge the right of a proprietor to the bondsman and his descend-ants in perpetuity, and they were made over in the same manner as any other property. But since I have assumed charge of this division, I have introduced a modification, founded (in the absence of any law on the subject) on principles of justice and equity."

" In my court I admit the claim of a proprietor to a Kummeea, if it be the individual who has executed the saunknama; provided he was at the time of executing it of sufficient age to judge for himself. But I do not recognize his right to the possession of minors or formation." females.

" Where

Appendix 11.,

BENGAL.

87

It appears to have been considered by some public officers that, even in the case of a contract to serve for life, the bondsman has the right of redemption by repayment of the sum advanced. See the evidence of Messrs. Fleming and Robertson before the Select Committee of the House of Lords, 1830, Questions, Nes. 1243 and 1694.

⁺ Mr. Robertson's evidence before the Committee of the House of Lords, 1830 (Q. No. 1711), is to the same effect. L 4

"Where minors have succeeded to any property from their father (a Kummeea', I hold them answerable for the sum advanced on the saunknama, subject to be tried for in the same manner as any other claim."

" In aggravated cases (of maltreatment) I should consider the Kummeea absolved from continuing his services to his proprietor, but answerable still for the debt, to be sued for as any other debt."

Few claims are preferred to recover runaway bondsmen, and few or no complaints by bondsmen against their masters. In cases of cruelty, hard usage, or maltreatment, they have received and would receive the same protection as freemen.*

The Hurwas m the district of Allahabad appear to be of the conditional class, but, accord-ing to the acting magistrate, on the death of the original debtor, his sons become answer-able for the debt in equal proportion, and are bound to serve until their share be liquidated.

Till a few years ego it was the practice of the magistrate's court of this district to serve runaway Hurwas and make them over to their masters, but this is not now done; the masters are referred to the civil court for the recovery of advances, and the Hurwa is treated in every respect as a freeman.

We shall now treat of Slavery and Bondage in the remaining parts of the Bengal presidency.

Saugur and Nerbudda Territories.

The judicial administration of these territories is intrusted to a commissioner, having under him principal and junior assistants. The administration of civil justice is conducted by these officers under instructions issued for their guidance by the government. In criminal matters they are subject to the Nizamut Adawlut for the western provinces.

Our information on the system of slavery prevailing in this part of India, and the practice of the courts relative to it, is derived solely from the replies to the queries of the law commission furnished by the officiating commissioner, the principal assistants stationed at Saugur and Jubbulpore, and the junior assistants at Seonee and Battool. The result of the inquines of the officiating commissioner, which appear to have been directed to ascertaining the state of slavery as it existed prior to the British rule, is thus given by that officer.

1st. " In these territories, the practice of slavery seems to have had scarcely any refer-ence to either Hindoo or Mahomedan law on the subject; moreover, the customs seem to have been very uncertain and arbitrary in different places and at different times."

2d. "Slaves were procured almost entirely by purchase of children from parents or relations in times of scarcity. The numbers do not appear ever to have been great, and are

relations in times of scattery. I have been unlimited, even extending to death; by some, particularly the petty raishs, asserted to have been unlimited, even extending to death; by others this is denied. I imagine that, in reality, it very much depended on the good understanding between the individual and the local governor.

4th. "The masters were considered bound to afford protection to their slaves; to pay the expenses of their marriages. The progeny of slaves is by some asserted to have been free,

5th. "The services on which slaves were employed appear to have been precisely the same as those of servants, either in domestic attendance, agriculture, or as military retainers."

We collect from the other reports that slavery does not now prevail to any extent in these territories, and that such as does exist has its origin solely in the sale of children by their parents, or other natural guardians, in times of scarcity and famine, occurring in the territories themselves, or in the neighbouring countries of Bundelcund and Berar. These visitations are noticed as having been frequent in this part of India. The junior assistant at Baitcol states, that in those parts of his jurnsdiction which were under the Mahratta rule, slavery is hardly known, and that an indigenous slave is scarcely to be found throughout its whole extent; such persons as are now in that condition having been sold to their owners in the famine of 1818-19, or more recently in the dearth which occurred in his district, and in Berar in 1832.

The individuals thus reduced to servitude are, in Baitool, in the possession only of the more wealthy portion of the community, and there, as well as in Jubbulpore, they are em-ployed as domestic servants. Their servitude appears to be of a very mild description, their condition comfortable and easy, and their treatment good. Being regarded rather as mem-bers of the family than hired servants or labourers, the mutual attachment between the master and his slave generally resembles that between parent and child; and ther slavery is described as constituting a family tie rather than a condition of restraint.

* The following is extracted from the evidence of Mr. Fleming before the Committee of the House of Lords, in 1630, respecting the bondsmen of South Behar. 1244. What duties did their masters undertake towards them ?—I believe it was quite nominal. Those bondsmen du exactly as they pleased; they came and culturated for their masters when they liked it, or it was convenient to themselves; but I do not know any instance in which they were forced to work contrary a their mill

Datto IV., No. 1.

Appendix II., No. 99.

In

was convenient to themselves; but I do not know any instance m which they were toreed to work contrary to their will. 1246. What was the mode of enforcing the services of the bondsman ?—I believe there was no mode of animring it, except by withhelding the wages. 1247. Where they subjected to any corporal punishment ?—No, not at all; if they had, they would have sinnedisately complained to the courts, and obtained instant redness) but I nover knew such a complaint

In Baitool, the sale or other transfer of a slave by his master rarely or never occurs, except in extraordinary circumstances, as famine or family distress.

in extraordinary circumstances, as famine or family distress: Very few cases connected with slavery are brought before the courts, either civil or oriminal, in these territories. None appear to be on record in the court of Seones, and none are mentioned as having been instituted in that of Jubbulpore. The principal assistant at Saugur states, that during six years' experience he has met with only the following descriptions of cases :---1st, of parents or other natural guardians reclaiming children sold by themselves or others during scarcity or distress; 2dly, female slaves complaining of ill-treatment by, or claiming their freedom from bawds, who, having purchased them in their infancy, have brought them up to a life of prostitution. purchased them in their infancy, have brought them up to a life of prostitution.

After premising that, in the absence of any distinct rule, the practice of one court has Appendix IV., doubtless varied from that of another, he proceeds :--- "In the first of the two cases, while No. 2. the custom of the country recognizes such a species of slavery, both with respect to Hindoos and Mussulmans, still, as it may be departed from without any ill effects, the practice of the ministerial officers does, I believe, vary. I myself have always restored the children on repayment to their protector of the charges incurred for their subsistence; and in cases, which are the most frequent, of the utter inability of the claimants to meet this charge, I have directed service to be levied from them by the purchaser for a fixed term, according to an equitable computation; or, if the child is old enough to be of service in his household, I have allowed the employer, on default of reinbursement for his expenses, and on condition of continuing to feed and clothe the child, to retain him or her for the same period in the relation of an apprentice; rather than incur the additional expense of which, without any ulterior object, the purchaser has generally foregone all claim, and given up the child to its natural guardian, taking credit for having supported it meanwhile in charity.

"In the case of slave prostitutes forming particular attachments, and claming their free-dom, I have known the right of the master or mistress to their persons to be admitted, on proof of purchase from a parent or natural guardian; and this, indifferently, whether the girl and her purchaser were Hindoo or Mussulman. But my own rule, even if the purchase could not be invalidated, which is rarely the case when closely inquired into, has been to consider the female as entitled to her freedom after the age of 15, on paying what shall be considered by arbitrators an equitable remuneration for her food and clothing during her minority, and making due allowance for the wages of her prostitution, which have been enjoyed by her mistress, and which in most cases of this kind may well be considered to have discharged the debt."

The principal assistant at Jubbulpore, speaking of the sales within his district of children Appendix IV., by their parents, inhabitants of Bundelcund, during the famine which prevailed in that No. 3. country in the years 1833 and 1834, says: "Most of these sales were made privately, but whenever the parties came to my kutcherrie to have the bargain publicly sanctioned and registered, I have always informed them, that, in the event of the parent appearing at any future period to claim the child, it would be required to be given up, on the parent paying a reasonable sum for its subsistence and education, should the latter have been bestowed upon it; the amount of such remuneration to be determined by arbitration, should the children be so claimed."

be so claimed." One of the sales made at this station, and authenticated in the manner above described, Case of Madaree became shortly afterwards the subject of investigation before the joint magistrate of Shah- v. Yacoob Allee jehanpore. Two female children, aged five and eight years, were sold by their parents in Khan. Construc-February or March 1834 to a jemadar and sowar of a regiment of irregular horse, for 14 tions, vol. 2, rupees; the parents for that consideration "giving up their children to the purchaser" (for P* 172-5. the contract was in the name of the jemadar only) "to be disposed of in such way as he also are of 60 ways on the the parent of the the theory are to be compared by pleases until they attain the age of 60 years, on condition that they are to be supported by the purchaser." In June following, the father prosecuted the sowar before the joint maging the purchaser." In June following, the father prosecuted the sowar before the joint magis-trate of Shahjehanpore (the regiment being then stationed at Bareilly) to recover his chil-dren, alleging that they had been stolen by the defendant from the bazar of Jubbulpore. On the production of the written contract, it appeared that the prosecutor had misrepre-sented his name in the deed, and at the authentication of it. The joint magistrate restored the children to their parents on the ground that slavery is prohibited by the government; but he applied to the magistrate for instructions "as to the mode of proceeding to be adopted with regard to the defendants." The question was referred, through the commissioner of circuit, to the Nizamut Adawlut, at Allal.abad, who determined, "that as it appears that the children in question were not purchased for importation and sale as slaves, the defendants the children in question were not purchased for importation and sale as slaves, the defendants cannot be considered liable to the penalty laid down in clause 2, section 2, Regulation III, 1832;" but they made no remarks on the legality or otherwise of the sale, and the joint magistrate's order restoring the children to their parents. In this case the purchasers, and

By the practice of the court at Battool, the master is considered to have a legal right to By the practice of the court at balkool, the master is considered to have a legal right to the slave's service, to his property, and, in the event of his emancipation, to remuneration for the expense of feeding and clothing him. The officiating first junior assistant states, that of the children sold by their parents in this district in the famile of 1818–19, and more recently in the dearth of 1832, above adverted to, the greater number have been freed by the masters themselves, and a large proportion liberated on the parents reimbursing the owners for the money expended in their food and maintenance. From the above we infer that the right of wedamnitor is allowed by the courts in the invitation theory that set appear right of redemption is allowed by the courts in this jurisdiction, though this is not specifically stated.

Slaves strictly legal according to the Mahomedan law are stated by the officers at Sangur and Sconce to be few or scarcely to exist in this part of the country. Оц 262.

BENGAL

1

Appendix IV., Nu. 2.

Ditto, No. 4.

as distinguished from practice :---The principal assistant at Saugur says, "Should any suit for emancipation occur, although I should neccessarily be guided generally by the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws respectively, as far as they are understood here, yet after the conflicting principles and precedents which may be adduced, and the latitude which seems to be allowed by section 9, Regulation VII. 1882, as well as by the practice of our courts in this territory, I confess I should be at a loss how to decide on any other principles than those of common sense, justice and good conscience."

"The view of the matter," observes the first junior assistant at Seonee, with reference to the first query of the law commission, " by which I should myself be guided, as that which appears to me most in conformity with the views of respectable natives themselves, is, that the property of a *bond fide* slave is the property of his master, saving what the latter may have himself bestowed; and that the slave's person in like manner is claunable by the master for the performance of all lawful services, such as may be obtained from others for hire; including, as regards female Mussulman slaves, concubinage, though not prostitution. And I would here observe, that I should consider the slave as having a reciprocal claim on the master for food, clothing and lodging; which principle has been

In the cases proposed in the fifth query of the law commission, viz., the claim of a Mahomedan to a Hindoo slave when the slavery was legal by Hindoo but illegal by Mahomedan law, and vice verså, the principal assistant at Saugur would give the slave the benefit of the law most favourable to his emancipation, as he would likewise do were the defendant any other than a Hindoo or Mahomedan; and the officienting first junior assistant at Baitool would be guided by the law, religion or usage of the defendant.

With respect to the sixth query, the first of the above two officers would not support or enforce any claim to property in a slave by any other than a Mahomedan or Hindoo claimant, and not then if illegal by their own laws; and the latter would not enforce a claim on behalf of or egainst any other than Mahomedans or Hindoos, on the ground that slavery is not recognized except between Mahomedans and Hindoos.

On points of criminal law, the principal assistant at Saugur would not recognize the relation of master and slave in justification or mitigation for acts otherwise punishable; and though he cannot say how far the courts would be justified in the eve of the law by following the dictates of reason and humanity, and emancipating a slave, Hindoo or Mahomedan, from a tyrannical master, on proof of gross and incorrigible ill-treatment, he thinks such would be the practice of his court.

The first junior assistant at Seonee considers that the courts would permit to the master such acts of coercion as they would allow a parent in respect of a child, but would punish cruelty or acts of vindictiveness, but not emancipate on that ground. He mentions a recent application of a Mussulman of Seonee for permission to place an iron on the leg of his slave, who, he stated, would not obey his orders; this permission was refused, and the master was informed, that kind and judicious treatment would be his only effectual means of obtaining work from his slave. "I believe," he adds, " that other Mussulmans in court at the time viewed this as the only just order that could have been passed." Smaller offences by slaves against their masters he regards as more fit for the cognizance of the master than of the courts, like as between parent and child, but he would punish slaves for more serious offences without reference to their status. Both from the expositions of the Hindoo law, and the views of the Hindoos themselves, he thinks there is no sufficient ground for hesitating as to the prevention of cruelty or violence of a Hindoo master towards his Hindoo slave; and he would make no distinction between a Hindoo or Mahomedan slaveowner, except in respect of concubinage, which the Mahomedans new more in the light of marriage, the Hindoos as prostitution and contamination; and considering the relation as conferring reciprocal rights, without giving to the master the power of exercising cruelty or violence, any more than is possessed at all times by a parent, he would not be disposed to make any distunction in regard to persons of any other race.

According to the officiating first junior assistant at Baitool, cruelty and maltreatment are not considered to justify emancipation. The master may inflict on his slave such moderate chastisement as he may consider requisite, but a slave has as great a right to protection against severe and cruel treatment as any other British subject. " I have reason to believe," he says, " that this rule existed in force under the Mahratta as under the British Government." He is aware of no indulgences granted either to master or slave in any case.

Both at Sconce and Baitool full protection would be given to the slaves against other wrong-doers than their masters; and the officer at the former station adds, that he would hold the master responsible in such cases if he did not use his endeavour to protect his slave.

We have the same representations from the officers in these territories as in other parts of the presidency, of the want of clear rules for their guidance in cases relating to slavery.

"The practice," says the officiating commissioner, "of the different magistrates and courts seems to have varied much, to the great vexation and annoyance of the people. It would be highly desirable that a definitive law should be passed, either totally abolishing slavery or allowing it; and, if the latter, declaring under what rules and regulations it should be tolerated."

The

The principal assistant at Saugur observes : "The regulations not having hitherto been in force here, and no specific rule having been ever, so far as I am aware, laid down for our guidance respecting slavery, I have never had, in the courts with which I have been connected, any other guide than precedent, and the custom of the country, modified by the discretionary power vested in the assistant, whose decisions are supposed to be governed by equity and reason. Such being the undefined nature of the law of slavery in these parts, the tendency of our practice, so far as my observation and experience extend, has been to condemn the principle altogether, and wherever it could be done with safety, and without interfering too much with popular prejudices, to disallow its operation. But the promul-gation of some certain and well-defined law on the subject appears highly desirable."

The first junior assistant at Seonee, after stating his own view on some of the points, contained in the queries of the law commission, says : "I need scarcely add, that in the above view I have been guided more by the dictates of my own judgment, and what I have been able to gather of the views of respectable natives themselves, than by any reference to the codes of law."

In the Bastool district, measures were adopted in 1831 to ensure a greater consistency of judicial decisions, and conformity to the practice of the courts in the western provinces. Captain Crawford, then principal assistant at Battool, applied on the 55th April 1831 to the commissioner, Mr. F. C. Smith, for instructions on the subject of slavery, which were firmished on the 29th of the same month; and Captain Crawford was likewise supplied at his request with a variety of cases disposed of in several courts of the western provinces; "and these," says the present officiating first junior assistant, "together with the instructions, form the guides for the assistant in any cases that may arise."

We are not informed of the nature of the decisions referred to, but Mr. Smith's instructions will be found in the Appendix. In them the commissioner adduces the construction Appendix IV., of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut in 1798, confirmed by government, which has been given No. 6. in a former part of these details, and the construction of the Nizamut Adawlut of Regula-In a former part of these decains, and the construction of the trianer Auswith of regime-tion X. 1811, circulated on the 5th October 1814, as permissive of slavery. He describes the two classes of persons who only can be slaves according to the Mahomedan law, viz., infidels made captive in war and their descendants; and refers to the case of Mussummaut Chutroo versus Mussummant Jussa, before noticed. Respecting slavery under the Hindoo law, he says (quoting from Mr. Colebrooke): "The Hindoo law fully recognizes slavery; which may occur from several causes, via, capture in war, voluntary submission to slavery for divers causes (as a pecuniary consideration, maintenance during a famine, &c.); invo-luntary, for the discharge of a debt, or by way of punishment of specific offences; birth Initially, for the discharge of a deta, of by way of pulsimizer of sporme directors, since (as offspring of a female slave); gift, sale or other transfer by a former owner; and sale or gut of offspring by their parents;" and adds, "from which it may be perceived that there are five descriptions of permanent thraldom." He concludes with the direction, that "in cases wherein both parties, or the defendant alone, are Mussulmans, you should decide by the Hindoo law." With reference to this direction it may be remarked, that the instruc-

by the finance name, with relationed to the interction it may be remarked, that the instruc-tions were framed prior to the enactment of sections 8 and 9, Regulation VII. 1832. On the 29th July 1836 the officiating commissioner referred the following case, which Slavery in India, arose in the Saugur district, for the consideration of the lientenant-governor of the north-1838, p. 363-6. wester a provinces, " urgently requesting some expression of the opinion of government as to the general purpose be deducted in such eace " to the general principle to be adopted in such cases." "A man, caste Coolie, in consequence of distress, sold his daughter, aged 12 or 18 years,

In 1833, for 16 rupees, to Mirza Robeem Beg Resaldar; plantiff now wishes to recover his daughter, to which defendant objects, on the plea that his family have taken great pains in teaching her duties as a household servant, and especially as the girl prefers remaining where she is, which she herself stated to Lieutenant Smith (officiating principal assistant at Saugur). The girl has become a Mussulmance; and although the plaintiff dealorse he will hold a numchavet and restore her to costs. I doubt whether her age assistant at Saugur). The girl has become a Mussulmanee; and although the plaintiff declares he will hold a punchayet, and restore her to caste, I doubt whether he can

do so." The officiating commissioner had previously, on the 11th June, made the same reference to the Nizamut Adawlut, at Allahabad, on the grounds that the direction contained in sec-tion 17, Regulation II. 1803; to act according to justice, equity and good conscience in cases for which no specific rule exists, furnished no guide to the judicial authorities in cases like that under reference; and that very opposite decisions had been given by different officers on the subject of slavery. The court's reply, dated 1st July 1836, communicated their opinion, "that under the pircumstances detailed, the principal assistant has no author the total the reference the section to restore the guide to the principal assistant has no author rity to interfere with a view to restore the grl in question to the complainant, who should be referred to the civil court for redress." The officiating commissioner, not being satis-fied with this reply to his inquiry, referred the question, as above stated, to the local government, submitting at the same time copies of his letter to the Nizamut Adawlut, and of his answer to the queries of the law commission.

The resolution of the lieutenant-governor of the north-west provinces on the case was conveyed to the officiating commissioner on the 23d August 1836 in the following terms ;-" If the girl be old enough to choose for herself, it is optional with her either to return to her father or remain where she is. The father has lost all right to her by disposing of her for money; and the purchaser has acquired no right, the purchase of any one as a slave being illegal."

As the limited amount of slavery which exists in these territories is stated to have origi-nated both before and since the British rule in the sale of children in times of scarcity and famine, the above resolution of the local government may be said to have negatived its 262. M 2 legal

BENGAL.

legal existence in respect of all persons whose slavery, or the slavery of whose parents originated subsequently to the acquisition. ٩

Kumaon.

Of the territories ceded to the British Government by the rajah of Nepsul, under the 1. Jounsar, Bawur, Poondur and Sundokh, and other small tracts situated between the part of Gurhwal. S. The province of Kumaoa, which, as now constituted, comprises the whole of the raj of that name, together with a large portion of the principality of Gurhwal.

The administration of civil and criminal justice in all the above territories is conducted by British officers under instructions issued for their guidance by the government; the Sudder court at Allahabad having superintendence and control in civil cases, we believe, over all the territories, and over the province of Kumaon in criminal matters likewise.

In the statistical sketch of Kumaon by the late commissioner, Mr. W. Traill, we find the following account of the manner in which that province was brought under subjection by the ancestors of the chieftains who possessed it before the Goorkha invasion in 1803, and from other information it appears equally applicable to the tracts between the Jumna and the Sutlege :

"The original occupants of the country, whenever they may have come, would appear to have been completely uncivilized, and wholly ignorant of agriculture and the common arts of life. At a period, comparatively speaking not very remote, the celebrity of the Himalaya in the Hindoo mythology, by inducing a constant resort of pilgrims, led to the gradual colonization of the country by natives of various parts of Hindoostan, who introduced their religion and knowledge; and the country having by these means been rendered an object of competition, its invasion and conquest soon followed. Such are the ancient traditions, and their simplicity entitles them to consideration,"

" In the interior, the inhabitants are comprised under three classes only, Brahmins, Rajpoots, and Domes; in the towns other castes and branches are to be found. The institu-Brahmins great latitude is taken in regard to labour, food, &c., and their claim to the dis-tunction of that caste is, in consequence, little recognized. The mass of the labouring population, from simular causes, have still less pretensions to the designation of Rajpoots, which the caster is a state of the second state of t which they assume. To the Domes or out-castes are left the whole of the inferior trades, those of carpenters, masons, blacksmiths, coppersmiths, quarriers, miners, tailors, musicians, &c.; and by them also are performed the most menial offices."

We have no information on the subject of slavery as respects the tracts between the Jumna and Sutlege, and the Deyra Doon. In the province of Kumaon, slavery has existed from time immemorial. No census has been taken of the slave population since the introduction of the British rule, but the system must prevail to a great extent, as all persons above the lowest class possess both domestic and agrestic slaves, according to their means; the more wealthy having from 20 to 25, others from 2 to 10 domestic, besides agricultural slaves; the Brahmins being the principal slave-owners. The only restriction imposed by usage on the possession of this description of property is, that no person should hold a slave of superior caste to himself; and whenever this does take place, the master cannot employ him as a domestic servant, but only as a peon or messenger, or for other like purpose.

"This system of slavery, except that of the Domes which we shall mention presently, has originated in several ways.

1. The sale of children by their parents or other relations in time of distress, and sometimes by strangers.

2. Self-sale. 3. The sale of wives * by their husbands; sometimes in consequence of intrigue, when

the offending wife was usually disposed of to her paramour. 4. The sale of widows by the heirs or relations of their deceased husbands, when unable or unwilling to support them.

5. Penal slaves, consisting of convicts condemned to labour on the private lands of the

rajah, and to whom they became from that period hereditary slaves. 6. Male and female slaves mported from the countries bordering on Bhadrinath. The imposts levied on this traffic formed part of the revenues of the state, when Gurhwal including Kumaon, existed as an independent principality.

The domestic slaves, called "Kumara" or "Chokra," are of all classes who can be con-sidered pure, except Brahmins; generally they are Kuhars, Kotas, Kurms, Mahs, Lodhas, Moras, Kachhis and Sandis.

The

Asiatic Researche vol. 16, p. 159-60. Hamilton's Hindoostan, vol. 2, p. 607-8.

^{• &}quot;At the same time marriages here are all mere bargains of sale and purchase, the bridegroom paying for his bride according to his situation in life. So intervoven are ideas of servitude with the habits of the people, that when the means of the suitor are insufficient to satisfy the parente' demands, an equivalent is sometimes accepted in the personal services of the former for a given period of years."—Slavery in Indus, 1838, p. 361.

The agrestic slaves, called "Hales," are Sudras, Modies and Domes. It does not appear that there are any Mahomedan slaves.

It does not appear that there are any Manomedan slaves. Of the Domes we find the following account in the statistical sketch already quoted. * Of the aborgines a small remnant, pertinaciously adhering to the customs of their ancestors, are to be found in the Rawats or Rajis. They are now reduced to about 29 families, who wander in the wide freedom of savage life, along the line of forests situated under the eastern part of the Himalaya in this province.⁺ In all probability the out-castes or Domes are in part descendants from them; a conjecture that is founded chiefly on two circumstances; first, the great difference in the personal appearance of the Domes from the other inhabitants, many of the former having curly hair, inclining to wool, and being all extremely black; and, secondly, the almost universal state of hereditary slavery in which the Domes are found here. With the origin of this slavery even the proprietors are unacquainted; it may, however, easily be explained by supposing a part of the aborgines to have been serzed and reduced to that condition by the first colonists above mentioned." "From its extent it can scarcely be ascribed wholly to the mere process of purchase."

Some idea may be formed of the degraded state of these unfortunate out-castes from one of the penal laws of the province, by which any infringement of the distinction of caste by a Dome, such as knowingly making use of a hookah or other utensil belonging to a Rajpoot or Brahmin, was made a capital offence. Mr. Traill states, that the Domes "are commonly of loose and dissipated habits, confirmed," he adds, " if not acquired, by continued intercourse with the plains." Those carrying on trades were found in a free state on our acquisition of the country.

The slaves were hereditary and transferable property, and could not be emancipated without the owner's consent. Strictly they could possess no property as against their masters, but they were generally left in the enjoyment of whatever personal effects, money, ornaments, &c. they possessed, and on their death their effects descended to their children. An illegitimate child had no claim to the property left by his natural father. On default of heirs, the effects either went to the master or were taken by the rajah. If a slave becomes recusant in work, his master seizes every thing he is possessed of.

The female domestic slaves prepare the rice, flour and other dry food, fetch water, wood and other supplies for the house, and perform other menial offices for the household, except cooking. The males assist in agriculture and other out-door work, bear messages, and on occasion of marriages or journeys carry their master's palankeen. The agrestic slaves are chiefly employed in ploughing and other field labour, but when not

so occupied cut wood or grass, carry burthens and perform other out-door work. There are a number of female slaves belonging to the temple of Bhadrinath. Formerly the masters used to correct their refractory slaves, but this is not now permitted.

The domestic slaves lodge in their master's house, or in huts adjoining it; they are fed from the family meal, and are usually supplied with clothes like members of the family. Some are detached and have lands assigned them, rent-free, for their support, and periodical supplies of clothing; and this is generally the case when the family of a slave has become numerous. They are supported when unequal to work, and taken care of in suckness.

The Halees either lodge in huts near their master's house, and have two meals a day, or they have lands assigned them, rent-free, on their master's estate, on which their huts are erected. These last receive rations also on the days they plough or do other work for their owners, and three or four sheaves at the spring and autumn harvests. On holidays and festivals they likewise have rations, or a present in money.

The allowance of clothes for the slaves and Halees is two suits in the year, and a blanket and pair of shoes in the winter, or money in lieu of the same. The officiating commissioner in his report of February 1836, states the allowance of clothes both for household and field slaves to be "a than (piece) of cloth for a dress every six months, and a blanket every third year."

The condition of both classes of slaves is said to be good, and their food sufficient. The domestic slaves are regarded as part of the family. The females work harder than the males. Free labour is stated not to be procurable. According to one account, hereditary or house-born slaves are not transferable by sale, but

they are generally allowed to be so, under the limitation that a master shall not sell his slave to a Mahomedan, or to a person of inferior caste to the slave. They are, however, disposed of only when the master is reduced to distress. In respectable families, on the occasion of double-time more make and family being countries for markets and the time. a daughter's marriage, male and female slaves sometimes form part of the nuptial present.

They are occasionally mortgaged by their owners as security for payment of a debt.

In the officiating commissioner's report above quoted, the Halees are described as "serfs or adscripti glebs," and as " belonging with their children and effects to the lord of the soil, like the beasts or other stock on it." But we do not find any mention of this in the other reports; and it is clear that some are bought and sold independently of the land; though it is probable also that many who have been long located on the same property are transferred with it on any change of ownership or occupancy by sale or mortgage.

During the rajah's government, the exportation of slaves was prohibited, but on the successive conquests of Kumaon and Gurhwal by the Goorkha power, and the heavy assessments imposed

262. **M** 3 Slavery in India, 1838, p. 361.

BENGAL

imposed on the country, the families and effects of the revenue defaulters were seized and sold to liquidate the balances which ensued, and a ready market for the former was found in the neighbouring towns of Robilcund. A similar fate attended the pergunnahs of Jounsar and Bawur, which, when conquered by the Goorkhas, were made over to different sirdars for the payment of their troops, at a greater value than the country could afford; and to make good the deficiency, the Goorkha soldiers were allowed to seize and sell the inhabitants for ready money.

These calamities were further increased in Kumaon by a scarcity which prevailed during the years 1809, 10 and 11, and besides the children whom the misery of their parents compelled them to sell, many of those imported into the neighbouring districts of the British provinces had been inveigled away, secretly stolen, or forcibly carried off. The traffic in slaves which was carried on at this time between the Goorkha dominions in Gurhwal and Kumaon, and the districts of Bareilly, Moradabad, Saharunpore and Meerut, by professional slave-dealers, has already been noticed in a former part of these details.

On information being carried to Nepaul of the seizure of children in liquidation of arrears of revenue, the practice was strictly forbidden by that government, and a commission deputed expressly for the purpose of restoring all such children to their parents, and of declaring the sales invahd.

The marriages of slaves are made with the consent of their master, by whom the expenses are defrayed. The offspring are his property, and serve and are maintained by him.^{*} The illegitimate children of a female slave by the slave of another master belong to the mother's' owner. The expenses attending the funerals of slaves are likewise borne by the master.

Young females are bought from their parents by prostitutes, for the purpose of their profession, under deeds of sale conveying to the purchaser entire property in the person of the party sold. They are called "Dburum putrs," or adopted daughters. The prohibition under the former government against exportation did not extend to these purchases of girls by prostitutes of the country, who emigrated in their vocation.

The Domes and other ont-castes sometimes bind themselves to work for others either for life, or for a specific term, in consideration of a sum advanced to them for a marriage or other occasion, or until the debt is satisfied by their labour. These labourers receive nothing from their masters except one meal for every day they work for them; and the contract does not affect their children.

Various and important have been the measures adopted for the gradual extinction of slavery in these parts, since the commencement of the British rale.

Immediately on the assumption of the government of Kumaon by the British authorities, the transit duties which the Goorkha rulers had continued to levy on the slave traffic in male and female children were abolished, and the traffic itself, which was understood to be of great extent, was prohibited. Also previously to the re-establishment of the rajah of Gurhwal, on the conclusion of the

Also previously to the re-establishment of the rajah of Gurhwal, on the conclusion of the Nepaul war, a sunnud was delivered to him specifying the conditions of the grant, one of which was that he should abolish the traffic in slaves.

On the 5th June 1923, at the recommendation of the commissioner of Kumaon, the government sanctioned a proclamation prepared by him, prohibiting the sale of wives by their husbands, and that of widows by the heirs or relations of the deceased husbands; but the proclamation was not published until the beginning of the following year. During the year 1923, 168 complaints regarding the sale of females had been brought before the criminal courts of the province, of which 19 were proved, 67 dismissed, 78 withdrawn or non-suited, and four were pending at the close of the year. It appears from the commissioner's report, that the whole of these were cases of sale of wives and widows by their husbands and their heirs, and that the cases dismissed were almost wholly claims founded on purchases of this nature made since the introduction of the British Government.

The sale of children for the purpose of being taken out of the hills of Kumaon into some other district was also prohibited, but we do not find by what order : perhaps Regulation III. 1832 was considered to apply to such cases. This description of sale, though at first, from the destitution and misery to which the people were reduced, dreadfully common, has decreased in proportion to the improvement of their condition, and the prosperity of the country. No doubt, however, it still occurs ; and there is reason to fear that the traffic between the hills and the plans in female children, for the purpose of prostitution, is still carried on to a considerable extent.

In May 1832, a prostitute of Subathoo applied to the principal assistant at that place for leave to purchase a female from the rajah of Mandee, beyond the Suttlege, who, she stated; had several for sale, according to a practice common in those parts. On this occasion the assistant reported that a number of female children were annually carried to the plains for prostitution. The application was rejected, and the government determined that purchases for such a purpose were illicit, and that any compulsory attempt to enforce them was punsihable.

la.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 266.

Hamilton's Hundoostan, vol. 2, p. 638.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 416–17.

Dato, p. 54, 55.

See page 19.

[•] In the note at page 39, we noticed the statement of the judge of Mymensingh, that the fact of the master defraying the expense of the slave's marriage is, among Hindoos, the foundation of his right over the offspring. We find the same view of the origin of this right in the report of Kishin Nand, acting peakkar of Hazur collections, countersigned by the chowdrus and kanoongoes of the pergunnah. Speaking of the bought Hali, he eave, "He is married at the cost of owners. For this reason his children are his master's property," Appendix V., No. 5,

In August of the following year, the rana of Baghul (one of the protected states between, Slavery in India, the Junna and Sutlege) detained a female child, who had been sold into slavery by the 1838, p. 7, same rajah of Mandee, and two men, inhabitants of the protected Sikh state of Khur, who were conveying her through his territories; and directions were given by the British authorities for her being restored to her parents.

The practice of the courts of Kumaon on the subject of slavery, and the extent of their

recognition of the system previously to 1636, may be thus briefly summed up: No sale except the self-sale of adults, and the sale of children by their parents, was allowed to be sufficient to create a right of property in any individual, male or female. The sale of children for removal out of the hills was deemed illegal.

Children seized in liquidation of arrears of revenue during the Goorkha government, and

sold by the military officers, were always manumitted by the courts. Wives proved to have been sold by their husbands were decreed their liberty, and the

purchase-money confiscated to government. The sales of widows by the heirs or relations of the deceased husband were also held illegal.

Transfers of slaves from one master to another, though they took place, were not recognized; nor could they be effected contrary to the inchnation of the party transferred, as, on application to the courts, he would obtain relief. But petitions praying for liberty,

or complaining of ill-treatment, were not frequent. Slaves running away from their owners were not apprehended by the courts; but claims for service, or, on the other hand, for freedom, were entertained and investigated like other suits.

In the year 1836 an important change took place, which was brought about in the following manner:

In April or May 1835, a subject of the rajah of Gurhwal applied to the political agent in Ditto, p. 8, 9, 70the Deyra Doon to have five slaves, Domes, who had fied from him into the British territory, 72, 74-77, 360-3, restored to him; and he presented a letter addressed by the rajah to the agent, requesting that the petitioner's application might be complied with.

The facts seem to be these :- The five slaves were a father and mother and their three children. The father of the male had, during the Goorkha dominion, sold both him and his wife for 17 rupees to the person, likewise a subject of the rajah, from whom the complainant derived his title. For 33 years they continued to cultivate the land of their master, but five months prior to the complaint he sold them, together with their children, for 180 rupees, to the complainant; with him they remained one month, and then absconded to the Doon, in consequence of his ill-treatment of them.

The complainant pleaded the usages of his country, which appear to have been the same in regard to this description of slave as those of Kumaon; but the agent decided that the slaves were at liberty to reside where they chose, and that no person should be permitted to seize them without his orders.

The agent likewise addressed the rajah of Gurhwal on the subject, which drew forth a reply from that chief, enforcing the reasons previously urged by the complanant, and appeal-ing to the usages of Kumaon as recognized by the British authorities in that province; and these statements having been confirmed by the commissioner of Kumaon on reference to him, the whole proceedings were submitted for the consideration and orders of the local government

The resolution of the local government, dated the 2d January 1836, was to the effect "that the government cannot countenance slavery," and that the political agent "had acted properly in refusing to restore the persons who had fled from the territory of the rajah of Guihwal." The officiating commissioner of Kumaon was also "called upon to report re-garding the custom of trafficking in slaves in that province, and the practice of cultivating the soil by the labour of Domes purchased for that use, which was said to exist there, and generally in the bill detricte generally in the hill districts."

Subsequently, in the May following, and after a further representation on his part, the rayah of Gurhwal was written to in a tone of friendly council, pointing out to him the evils of slavery, and the renown which he would acquire by suppressing it in his dominions. The honourable Court of Directors communicated their approbation of these proceedings

in a letter dated 13th February 1838.

On the 5th February 1836, the officiating commissioner of Kumaon submitted his report on the system of slavery prevailing in that province, and the practice of the courts respecting it; and concluded with a request to be furnished with instructions for his future guidance, with reference to the determination of government not to " countenance slavery."

Before coming to a determination, and with a view to enable the government more clearly to understand the nature of the claims for service or for freedom referred to m his report, as also the mode in which decisions in such cases were enforced, the officiating commissioner was directed to submit the records of the trial and execution of two or three of the cases of each class of claims. On these being furnished, and the opinion of the commissioner ascertained on the subject, the lieutenant-governor of the north-western provinces finally resolved, on the slst May 1836, "that in future no suits either for the restoration of slaves or for the enforcement of slavery shall be received in the coarts under the commissioner in Kumaon."

These orders are in full force : from the above date no suits of the nature therein described have been allowed, and many slaves have since been enfranchised under judgments of the courts. Slavery, however, still continues, and one of the public officers mentions a new practice which he learnt had sprung up; that of persons taking deeds of mortgage from No. 2, 262. **M** 4 others,

Appendix V.,

others, whereby the latter bind themselves to serve a defined time in consideration of a sum stated; which sum, however, the obligors do not receive, but their fathers or other relatives; yet decrees, he states, are given by the native judges against the obligors, in satisfaction of which they render labour.

Assam.

The province of Assam is at present divided into three portions ; viz.

1. Lower Assam or Kamroop, which is the western portion, and of which Gowhatty is the chief station.

 Central Assam, subdivided into North Central Assam or Durrung, and South Central Assam or Nowgong; the principal stations of which are, respectively, Durrung and Rungogurra.

3. Upper Assam, which is the eastern portion.

Prior to 1832, there were two divisions only, viz. Lower Assam, which comprised the parts

The judicial functionaries in this province are subject to the control and superintendence of the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, at Calcutta, to be exercised in con-formity with the instructions of the Bengal government issued to those functionaries.

Slavery prevails very extensively throughout the whole province. The chief wealth of all the respectable inholitants consists in the slaves they possess, inasmuch as they are in a great measure dependent on them for the cultivation of their lands; and in many instances the higher orders have no other property but what is denved to them from the labour of their slaves. A census, taken about the year 1830, of the population of Lower Assam, as then Slaves. A census, caken about the year 1850, or and population of about About About the second states of these (slaves it was calculated one-fourth were married, and, allowing four births to each marriage, the officiating magistrate estimated the whole number of slaves at 27,000, or about eight per cent. of the entire population, though we do not perceive how he obtains this result. The cent. of the entire population, though we do not perceive how he obtains this result. The slaves are stated to be less numerous in the district of Durrung than in other parts of the province

A principal source of slavery in Assam, as in other parts of India, is the sale of children by their parents in times of individual distress or general scarcity; but the operation of this cause was limited in times of individual distress of general scatchy, but the operation of this cause was limited in those portions of the province which now constitute Central and Upper, Assam by the peculiar nature of their political system. In them, under the former govern-ment, the whole of the free male population, who were called Payiks, owed service to the state, in consideration of which they held their lands tax-free; and sometimes, in individual cases a poll-tax was levied in lieu of these services. Every free male was therefore strictly prohibited from selling himself or his male children into slavery without the sanction of the supreme ruling authority, which, however, was usually given in times of famine.

The prohibition is still considered in force, though the state of society which gave rise to . The prohibition is still considered in force, though the state of society which gave rise to it no longer exists. In 1825, during a period of partial famme, and much distress occa-sioned by the rapine of the Burnesse and their allies, and the release of several thousand captives from the hands of the Singphos, the British commissioner of the province issued a proclamation permitting freemen to sell themselves as slaves from June to October of that year, as the only means of preserving their lives. The sanction of government was subsequently obtained to this measure, but it was disapproved of by the Court of Directors. Female children are constantly sold, and adult females occasionally sell themselves to discharge a debt, or relieve their parents and relations. The self-sale of male adults seems not to be practised in Assam.

not to be practised in Assam.

Free females voluntarily married to male slaves become the slaves of their husband's owner in the absence of any special agreement to the contrary. Except a few Naga females presented by the mountain chiefs to the King of Assam as

curiosities, the Assamese do not appear to have imported slaves.

Under the former government, prisoners of war, and criminals, who, after being capitally condemned, had their sentences commuted to slavery, were often granted to individuals as slaves; and even individuals of the free population were sometimes granted to the king as slaves to his nobles and spiritual advisers. This last description of slave was called "Bohutteea."

Persons born of slave parents are slaves ; and persons born of female slaves are generally slaves also.

But a considerable part of the slavery existing in Assam originated in the abuse of the payik system. It was the practice of the Assamese government to pay its officers by assign-ments of the labour of the payiks, and these officers frequently contrived, through the imbe-cility of the government, both to enslave the persons and usurp the lands of the payiks thus assigned to them. After the province came under British rule, a minute inquiry was insui-tuted into this abuse, and 6,13% slaves were liberated under the operation of it; but the investigation proved so vexatious, and was so corruptly conducted by the agents employed, that it was put a stop to by the commissioner before it was completed. Many persons, how-

Appendix VI., No. 4.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 1, 2 37.

[•] Mr. Scott in consequence further explained the grounds of his proceeding in paras. 18-22 of a report on the systems of alavery prevailing in the territories subject to his superintendence. This report, to which we shall have again occasion to refer, is dated 10th October 1830, but was never despatched, and was found' amongst Mr. Scott's papers after his death. From the corrections noted upon it, it would sppcar to have been, kept back for revision.—See Appendix VI., No. 5.

ever, illegally detained in slavery, as above described, have been since liberated by due

The abuse of the system of bondage was likewise a source of slavery, as will be more, particularly mentioned under that head.

particularly mentioned under that head. Besides these indigenous causes of slavery, many of the free population had been Asiatic Researches, reduced to that condution during the distracted state of the province for some years pre- vol. 16, p. 337, nously to the British conquest of it. About 1814, while the civil wars prevailed, the tribe 339. India of the Khamptis * took forcible possession of Suddiya, reducing the Assamese inhabitants to slavery, and maintained possession of the distinct, uniting with the Burmese interest during their invasion and occupation. Another wild tribe, the Singphos, had also recently during their invasion and occupation. Another what there, the Sugpros, has also recently taken advantage of the weakness of the Assam government, and carried their ravages beyond the capital, Rungpore, laying waste the whole country as far as Jorhaut, and carrying off the inhabitants into slavery. Both banks of the river were swept by then depredations, and the number of captives carried off amounted to many thousands. Of these the greater part were sold to the hill Singphos, Khamptis, Shams, &.; but of those retained for domestic and agricultural services in the Assamese low lands, 7,500 were liberated by the advance of the British detachments, and negotiations were set on foot for the liberation of the rest.

During the civil wars and the Burmese invasion, a great number of Assamese subjects fled into the Company's territories for protection, and particularly into the neighbouring district of Gowalpara. Numbers of the poorer orders fied with the rich, and, being unable to support themselves, lived under the protection of the rich and worked for them, merely upon the condition of receiving food and clothing. Some embarrassment was afterwards expe-rienced by the magistrate of the Gowalpara district in settling the claims which were frequently brought by some of these refugees for the services of others of them as their slaves; for though many of the poorer class were doubtless considered in their own country as slaves to those with whom they resided, many, it could not be doubted, were free, and in most cases no documentary evidence was producible by the claimants. Many Assamese Slavery in India, slaves and bondsmen fled also into Jyntiah on the Burmese invasion.

Of the castes to which the Hindoo slaves usually belong, the Koch, Kyburt, Kalipla, Kolita and Napit, are considered pure; the impure and inferior castes are the Chundal, Dome, Hira, Kumar, Jogee, Kacharee, Boreiyah and Burryhee.

There are many Mahomedans in Assam, some of whom are slave-owners, and some are slaves. The Mahomedan slaves sometimes belong to Hindoo masters, but are employed only in out-door work. Sometimes also Mahomedan masters have Hindoo slaves, whom they do not convert, but employ in out-door labour.

All the earnings of the slaves belong to their masters; they are transferable property, and cannot obtain their liberty, except by the consent of their owner. Manumission is very rare. Captain White, in his report on slavery in Lower Assam, says: "The slave- Appendix VI, owner becomes responsible for any debts that the slave may contract;" but thus, perhaps, is No. 4only under particular circumstances.

All the domestics in Assam are slaves, and every man of rank has several in his family. Free servants can very seldom be hired; female servants in particular, owing to the early marriages of the lower orders, are not procurable but at an expense insupportable by

marriages of the lower orders, are not procurable but at an expense insupportable by mnetcen-twentieths of those who, agreeably to existing usages, require such attendants. Every man also who has a farm must m general work it himself, as labourers cau seldom Buchanan. Martin, or ever be procured either for a share of the crop or for money. The only assistance avail- vol. 3, p. 681. able is that of slaves, and a good many are employed by those who can afford to keep them. A very large proportion of the land, and all the land of the best quality, is held by Brahmins, who are also the principal holders of slaves. The late commissioner, Mr. D. Scott, in his undespatched report, says : "The real value of slaves, except for domestic purposes, is very little, as farm business is conducted in Assam. They are usually exceedingly idle, and when they become numerous the master is even put to expense on their account." their account."

There are many temples in Assam to which slaves are attached. These are never pur-chased on account of the temple, but are the gifts of pious individuals ; persons having no relations occasionally presenting their slaves to a temple, whereby they become the slaves of the god. These slaves are employed for three months in the year in attendance at the the begins in the set of the share in the offerings during that time. During the other nine months they support themselves by their own labour. Their offspring are also slaves of the god. One of the temples of Kamakya possesses 20 or 25 slaves, and is endowed with 12 villages for its support, which are cultivated by free ryots paying rent.

The slaves are fed and clothed by their masters, who also provide for the expenses incidental to their births, marriages, deaths, and all other religious ceremonies, which they perform with the same regularity as the free population. The most usual way of maintaining slaves is by assigning them a portion of the master's estate to cultivate, the produce being divided between the master and the slave, and the share of the latter being sufficient for the maintenance of himself and family. If a person possesses many slaves, he only requires the labour of a few in rotation, and allows the others to engage in the cultivation of lands, for the rent of which he becomes responsible measures to engage in the cultivation of lands, for the rent of which he becomes responsible, reserving to himself what profit there may be after

1830, p. 30, 345-6.

BENGAL.

This tribe had, about 20 or 30 years before, emigrated from the hills, and, with the permission of the rejsh of Assam, sattled at Laffis-bour on the Thungs over. 262.

after allowing the slave a fair maintenance. In the poorer and middling families the slaves are fed from the family meal.

It appears to be the general opinion that the slaves in Assam are, on the whole, well treated. Complaints of oppression were not unfrequent immediately after our acquisition of the contry; but on proof of the charge punishment was always inflicted on the oppressor, and they now seldom occur. On the other hand, it is not anusual for masters to complain against their slaves for idleness or other cause. The geographical position of Assam operates as a practical check against any indue severity; for, being a nariow valley between two ranges of mountains, a day's journey carries the slave beyond his master's reach. The real motive which now induces the slave to do his work is the fear of losing the advantages of his situation. We extract the following from the report of the late commissioner, Mr. D. Scott:---

"In the poor and middling families, the slaves and bondsmen are treated like the other inmates, the same mess serving for the whole household, and both mistress and maid being entirely clothed in homespun manufactures. Among the rich, they often obtain great influence, and rule the family affairs in the capacity of dewans. Such persons frequently possess, by sufferance, farms and slaves of their own, and they are sometimes to be seen in Assam rding in a sort of palankeen, dressed in English shawls, &c., in the style of the vakeels and officers of our courts of justice."

"The practice of making concubines of their female slaves, and of bringing up the offspring of such connexions along with their other children, is not uncommon amongst the nobles and even the kings of Assam, to whom, in the public estimation, these domestics are often greatly superior in purity of birth; and the servile classes are consequently in general treated by their masters with a degree of consideration, familiarity and kindness, of which few examples are to be found in the intercourse between English masters and ther hired servants. They are, in fact, regarded as adopted children, and the universal designation of a female slave in Assam is 'betee,' or daughter."

"That, morally considered, the slaves are in a certain, but small degree, degraded, must be admitted, and also that in Assam they are of more dissolute and depraved habits than the free population." "In physical condition it does not appear that the slaves are worse off than the peasantry of the country. If they cannot accumulate property (which, however, practically speaking, is not the case), neither can they suffer those evuls from the total want of it to which the freeman is subject."

The condition of the agrestic slaves is nearly on a par with that of the agricultural labourer. Their field labours do not exceed those of the Payiks, and the latter scarcely consider their condition at all inferior to their own, except that they do not possess their (personal liberty. "Although," says the magistrate of Lower Assam, in 1830, "the condition of the slaves, as compared with the mass of the comunity, is scarcely inferior, yet, with reference to its effects on society, I am convinced the existence of slavery in Assam has had a most demoralizing tendency, as the course of my duty as a magistrate has afforded me ample evidence that, whenever atrocious crimes were instigated by the higher ranks, the perpetrators have invariably been their slaves; and indeed it is very common with masters to employ their slaves in acts of theft and dacoity, reserving to themselves a share of the plunder."

Notwithstanding the generally favourable description of the condition of the slaves, it is evident that by many of them the state of thraldom in which they are held is felt to be irksome. "Hundreds," says the present commissioner, "have and are yearly escaping into other provinces, or by taking refuge and becoming cultivators in the retured wastes of this province." The magistrate of Lower Assam also, in his report of 1830, stated that there were many complaints of their running away.

For a master to sell his slaves is considered highly discreditable, and indicative of his total ruin; such transfers, therefore, are not frequent, but they do occasionally take place when the owner is reduced to poverty and distress; and the masters possess, and in such cases exercise, the right of selling the slave husbands, wives and children, to separate bid-ders, without reference to the consent of the parties sold.

The prices of slaves vary in different parts of the province, and are regulated by their physical and moral qualities, and in respect of such as are required by Hindoos for domestic purposes, by their caste. The following, according to one officer, are the prices in three of the districts, for slaves of the Kolita, Kayet and Koch castes :--

District o	of Durrung	-	-	Men. 20 Rs.	Boys. 10–15 Rs.	Women. 15 Re.	Girle. 8-12 Rs.
n	Kamroop		-	40	15 - 20	20	12-20
**	Nowgong	-	-	20	10-15	15	8-12

The prices of the inferior castes, viz Jogees, Domes, Kacharees, Boreiyahs and Burryhees, are one-third less. The range of prices is stated by other officers to be from 10 or 15, to 50 or 60 rupees.

Mention has already been made of the traffic in slaves which used formerly to take place between Assam and the neighbouring districts of Bengal. In the year 1825, on the occupation of the province by the British troops, a great number of Assamese, being in a state of starvation, parted with their children for a trifle, or even gratis, to any one who would undertake to provide for their immediate wants; and many of these children, chiefly boys

Appendix VI., No. 4.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 346.

Ditto, p. 355.

Page 18. Slavery in India, 1838, p. 381. ^{*} boys of good caste, were purchased by the native civil and military officers, sepoys, mer-chants, and others then m Assam, for the most part as domestic servants, and whom no chants, and others then in Assam, for the most part as domestic servants, and whom no doubt they brought away with them on quitting the province. Many are still purchased and brought to Bengal in the same manner by native civil and military officers. Formerly Buchanan. Martin, also the Garrow mountaineers, in return for sait from Sylhet, and the cotton from their own vol. 3, p. 686, 693. hills, which they imported into Assam, used to take back slaves. These were chiefly Gar-rows, who had once been converted to Hindooism, but had lost caste by impure feeding, and who were sent back among their impure countrymen as a punchment for their transgression. The exportation of slaves from the province, for the purpose of traffic, has been prohibited since the British acquisition, but it is stated still to exist.

The following account of the usages of Assam, relative to the marriages of slaves, was given to the present commissioner by a well-informed native gentleman: "The price of a Slavery in India, given to the present commission by a work more than a provide the present of the same bouse with his master, shall 1833. P. 353-be paid by the master of the slave to the owner of the girl. If a ryot wishes to marry a be pair by the master of the size to the owner of the girl. It a ryot wishes to marry a slave girl, the owner of the girl shall give such ryot five rupees as a bond that all the off-spring of the connexton shall belong to the master of the girl; and, in the event of their separating (from whatever cause), the man is entitled to the five rupees, with all the profits he may, through industry, have accumulated with that sum." "Slaves living on farms, and

he may, through industry, have accumulated with that sum." "Slaves living on farms, and cultivating lands, may marry their daughters to ryots, and may take in marriage the daughters of 1yots; and if no agreement is entered into with the owner, the offspring of the connexion shall be divided into four lots, two and a half (putting a value on the half share) of which belong to the owner, and the remainder to the husband of the girl." "By the Hindoo law," says the late commissioner, Mr. D. Scott, "a free woman marry. Appendix IV., ing a slave becomes herself a slave, and gives birth to a service progeny; but although this. No. 5. is the law both in Bengal and Assam, masters in the latter country frequently permit their slaves to marry free women upon a special contract with the girl's father that the progeny shall be free. In cases of doubt, the ordinary rule is, that the children follow the condition of the parent with whose relations the family resided.—a female slave giving birth to free of the parent with whose relations the family resided,-a female slave giving birth to free children if she marry a freeman and reside in his house, while they would be slaves if the husband went to live with her. A good deal of litigation," he adds, "takes place in Assam husband went to live with her. A good deal of litigation," he adds, "takes place in Assam on this subject; and as the pergumah chowdries and <u>corporations</u> are very jealous of the abstraction of any portion of the male population and their detention as slaves; which would exonerate them from the payment of their quota of the pergumah rate, there is no danger of a man being unjustly debarred of his freedom; and it even sometimes happens, that a person who prolesses himself to be a slave, is emancipated by a decree of coart at the suit of the pergunah corporation; a fact which of itself shows how trifling an evil servitude is considered in Assam." The same officer remarks in another place, that "it is Append a very common practice in Assam for masters to allow their female slaves to take subshads No. 2. who are not slaves, denominated dhoka, when the connexion is avowedly com who are not slaves, denominated dhoka, when the connexion is avowedly con mal and temporary.

The present commissioner is of opinion that the female slaves are usually m nied, and that there is very little open or regular prostitution for birs in the province; but the ma-gistrate of Lower and Central Assam, in estimating the total slave population from the number of adult slaves in that portion of the province in 1830, allowed only for a quarter of the latter being marned; and the magistrate of Gowalpara states that 99 out of 100 prostitutes, both in Gowalpara and Assam, are slave girls or bondswomen, and the expressions used by him would seem to indicate that many women of those two classes are compelled to prostitution by their owners. Another officer observes, that the condition of the mother is the only criterion as to that of the offspring in Assam, "where to prove the father of a child begotten on a female slave would be difficult indeed."

There are two descriptions of conditional slavery in this province; one, the status of the slave called in the Hindoo law, "bhakta dasa," or slave for his food. Of this class there were in 1830 three or four thousand in Lower and Central Assam, who had voluntarily placed themselves under the protection of the great men of those portions of the province, and worked upon their estates, receiving nothing but their maintenance, and being at liberty to depart when they pleased. It was supposed that this arose from the disturbed state of society prior to the British rule, and was expected to diminish under a better-regulated system. The other exists in two forms; viz., when, either for a previous debt which he is system. The other exists in two forms; viz., when, either for a previous dept which he is unable to pay, or for an advance of money to meet some emergency, a freeman mortgages his services for a specific number of years, as 7, 14 or 20 years; or, as in South Behar, until the debt be repaid, in which event he regains his liberty. This system prevails to a great extent in Assam, though less, it is stated, in the distinct of Durrung than elsewhere. In 1830, there were 4,000 persons in Lower and Central Assam who had mortgaged their labour for specific periods. Several European settlers here had recourse to this method of labour for specific periods. Several European settlers here had recourse to this method of obtaining labourers; but their bondsmen have generally deserted, and they have found it impossible to trace the fugitives, from the backwardness of the natives to aid them in their search.

The following were the usages relating to bondsmen under the former government, according to the native gentleman's report above noticed. No bondsman could leave his Slavery in India, master but in the months of Magh and Phalgoon (February and March), consequently 1838, p. 353-4could not be engaged but in those two months. A man bound down with 20 rupees was entitled to the produce of one doon (or one quarter of a poorah of land) of rice from his master. A man bound for more than 20 rupees was entitled to three poorahs of dhan a month, and three pieces of cloth yearly. If any loss accrued to a master from a bonds-261 N 2 ៣ខ្ល

BENGÁL

Appendix VI.,

Ditto

Ditto.

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 160.

BENGAL.

man, unless owing to ill-health, the bondsman was bound to pay an interest of one anna for every rupee; and in the svent of his death, his heir was bound to serve in his stead, until he paid the money. The late Mr. Scott, m a letter to government, dated 24th March 1830, said, that the bondsman heng considered as a freeman, the poll-tax leviable in lieu of acr-vice was demandable from him or his master, as from other individuals; but in the report just quoted it is stated, that " no tax was levied on bondsmen by the rajah.

According to the magistrate of Durrung, all bondsmen receive their food and clothes from the mortgagee, and when they have a family they also get a portion of gram for their support; they can at any time, on discharging their debt, obtain their release, and on their death the bond becomes void. But it is clear that on the last mentioned point the usage was as previously stated, viz. that the heir of a deceased bondsman was held answerable for the debt; and this circumstance occasioned the status of bondage in most cases to degenerate practically into that of slavery, for we find it stated, that though the greater number of bondsmen in Assam had become so for sums under 30 rupees, the obligation had,

number of bondsmen in Assam had become so for sums under 30 rupees, the obligation had, from the poverty of the debtor party, descended from parent to child for several generations. Captam Bogle; the magistrate of Kamroop, mentions, that "the illegal proceedings of parties employing bondsmen have frequently been of such a character that they have not even attempted to defend them when once brought under investigation, but have resigned all claums to forther servitude." "I have known instances," he says on a former occasion, "in which not only men and women were retained in a state of slavery for their hile-time for a very small sum, bût their children also, unless a fortunate chance placed it within their power to pay off the original loan with interest, which, considering the high rate of interest in Assam (48 per cert.), can but marely happen." And again, in his evidence, he says : "In consequence of the ignorance of the bondsmen, and the power and mjustice of those to whom they were bound, it frequently happened, that, though a man had bound himself for whom they were bound, it frequently happened, that, though a man had bound himself for not more than eight rupees, yet his son and grandson remained in bondage. In fact, if a bondsman died without having discharged his debt, the master seized upon his nearest relation, and compelled him to serve so long as the debt remained unpaid."

In Gowalpara, the portion of Rungpore contiguous to Lower Assam, the system of bondage had the same pernicious results, as has been before shown.

We shall now mention the rules which have been made by the British Government and by the commissioners of Assam on the subject of slavery and bondage since the acquisition of the province.

On the 10th April 1829, the government resolved, on a reference from the commissioner, that the orders of government passed many years ago, "prohibiting the sale of slaves for arrears of revenue, are to be held applicable to Assam in common with other parts of the British dominions."

. On the 26th of February 1830, in reply to another communication from the com-russioner, that officer was informed, that the above orders were not intended to apply to the sale of slaves in satisfaction of decrees of court, and that it was considered inexpedient that government should interfere in that matter. Such sales therefore continued to take. place, according to former usage, until 1634, when, on the occasion of considering certain rules of practice proposed by the then commissioner for the guidance of the courts, including some relating to the system of bondage, and for gradually emancipating the slaves pointed out for sale in execution of decrees, the government informed him, by a letter dated 25th August 1834, that "the subject of the state of slavery and bondamen would be taken into consideration hereafter," and directed that " in the meantume the courts should abstain from selling slaves in satisfaction of decrees, or for any other object." courts should apstain from selling shaves in satisfaction of decrees, or for any other object." The honourable Court of Directors, in their despatch dated 3d January 1834, remarking on, the previous determination of government on this subject, observe: "We are hardly pre-pared to sanction the rule you have adopted of allowing slaves to be sold by public auction for the benefit of private creditors." The prohibitory orders government regarding the public sale of slaves, either for arrears of revenue or decrees at court, were circulated to the local officers in September 1834, and were "followed," says aptain Bogle in his evidence, " by a great decrease in the value of slaves."

in the value of slaves."

In a letter dated the 4th February 1830, we find the commissioner instructing the political agent in Upper Assam to open a register for the period of six months for the purpose of recording the names of all the slaves within his jurisdiction, and to issue a proclamation notifying that all persons remaining unregistered on the expiration of that period would be held free. This regulation, he states, had received the sanction of government; and it appears from the evidence of one of the witnesses, that all seles of slaves, as well sales of free children by their parents, as sales of slaves by one master to another, are registered at the office of the head station of each distruct.

In July 1833, the commissioner authorized a proclamation " prohibiting the sale or mortgage of any individual, a native of Assam, to a foreigner, under pain of being punished by a fine not exceeding 100 rupees; or in the event of the person so sold or mortgaged having been removed from his or her residence in progress to another country, by imprisonment for a period not exceeding six months."

Regulations X. 1811, and III. 1832, are in full force in Assam.

"Of the principles by which the courts in Assam are guided in the determination of suits relating to slavery, the commissioner says, "In the absence of any defined regulations regarding

Appendix VI., No. 13.

Ditto.

No. 35.

Page 46.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 6.

No. 35.

Appendix VI., No. 2.

No. 10.

Appendix VI., No. 13.

Ditto, No. 16.

regarding the rights of masters and slaves, the courts under me would require on dis-puted points the opinions of respectable inhabitants of the province. There are, I conceive; cases in these districts in which slaves can acquire and inherit property, but, under other creumstances, any property they may acquire would be considered to belong to their owners. The relative rights of masters and slaves are, however, I beheve; in this province more dependent upon local customs than on Mahomedan or Hindoo haw; for mether system of law has had more than a partial prevalence in Assam, nor been retroduced in a large portion of the province but of late years, and a considerable part of the inhabitants are menther

Mahomedans nor Hindoos." "In regard to criminal cases, I consider the courts would take the same notice of mak-"In regard to criminal cases, I consider the courts would take the same notice of maktreatment of slaves by their owners as of servants by their masters, and m certain cases of gross ill-treatment would release the slave, ander the precedent of the decision of the Niza-mut Adawlat in the trial, No. 67, 1805, quoted by the law commissioners, though I am not aware of any case in question."

The officer in charge of Lower and Central Assam, in a report dated the 9th August 1830, Appendix VI., says, "the masters are understood to possess the power of infloting corporal punishment;" No. 4. but it does not appear that this power has been generally acknowledged by the courts. Capt. Bogle states m his evdence, that since the acquisition of the province by the British No. 35-Concernment, the masters have never been permitted "to punish ther slaves more severely than a father may punish his child;" and the practice which prevailed among the principal that a father may punish his child; and the practice which prevales allong the participant people previously to our rule of keeping stocks in their houses, into which they put their slaves, or any poor person who offended them, has been since disallowed. "I do not con-sider," he says, "that by law the master has any power of punishing his slave by beating; (but no doubt if a slave complained, and it turned out that his master had only given him a bloc the court mouth control thank the account of but both putions. If then that any out a block slap, the court would scarcely think the case worth noticing. It this an act abolishing the master's power of punishment altogether would make no change in the taw of Assam.

Formerly it appears to have been the practice of the criminal courts in Assam to restore Slavery in India. fugitive slaves to their masters, but the commissioner says, on this tobject," When slaves (33, p. 80, 81, leave their masters, their necovery by their owners is very difficult, the slaves in such in- Appendix VI., stances mostly appealing to the magistrate, and affirming that they have been detained No. 16. unjustly in slavery, or denying that they ever have been slaves, on which the magistrate frequently refers the owner to a civil suit to establish his right to the person he claims as a slave,

slave." On the 20th January 1885, this officer applied to the Presidency Sudder court for in-fiructions how "to proceed in the case of individuals asserting that, they are detained in slavery although neither born slaves nor purchased," adding, that many such cases are brought forward in Assam and North-East Rungpore, and that the persons are probably often illegally detained in bondage, but are unable to prosecute suits for their hieration. In reply, he was informed by the court (the Sudder court at Allahabad concurring) that "if Construction, No. the party alleged to be a slave complain that he is detained by violence, the inquiry should 939, March 20, in the first instance be entered into in the criminal department, and if violence be proved, 1835. redress should be afforded to him, and the opposite party referred to a civil action to prove his claim."

In June 1836, the political agent in Upper Assam having issued an order, founded on an Slavery in India, In June 1836, the political agent in Upper Assam having issued an order, founded on 'an Slavery in Indus application made by one of the Kampti chiefs, for the surrender of a fugitive slave belong-. 1838, p. 9, 10, ing to him, he was called on by the government of Indua to explain his reasons for having 80, 81. done so. He stated in answer, that, 10 years previously, the British commissioners, Mr. D. Scott and Colonel Richards, had issued a proclamation notifying that the right of the Assamese to a property in their slaves would be respected; 'that it was the practice of the courts both in Lower and Upper Assam to restore fugitive slaves to their owners, and that the same course had been followed with regard to the Kampti chiefs.⁺ He was informed in reply (September 12, 1836), " that it is the wish of the Governor-general in Council, that all functionances should consider it as a general rule to refrain from any summary inter-ference for compelling the return to a state of slavery of individuals who may have effected ther escape from it. Every individual must be presumed to be in a state of freedom until ference for compelling the return to a state of slavery of individuals who may have effected their escape from it. Every individual must be presumed to be in a state of freedom until the contrary is proved; and where rights are claimed affecting his freedom, there seems to be no reason why claimants should have greater facilities afforded them than in ordinary cases. As the law stands, it may not be proper to reject a regular suit instituted to prove the right of one individual over the labour or person of another, but the plantiff should at least be required to fulfil completely all, the conditions which the law requires in the esta-blishment of his claim." A copy of these instructions was at the same time forwarded to the agent of the Governor-general, on the north-east frontier, for his information and suidance. guidance.

We have recently received from the government, for our information, a reference, dated Appendix VI., 20th May 1840, from the last-mentioned functionary, as to the course to be pursued regard- No. 19. ing some fugitive slaves claimed by certain Singpho chiefs, who had removed from the Bur-

1835.

BENGAL.

[•] It may be remarked, that this determination of the court, though in answer to a particular reference, forms a precedent for the guidance of all magnitrates subject to the control of the two Sudder courts. † It appears from this answer, that the British Gorennient allows the Kampti chiefs to manage the internal alturs of their titles, but reserves to the political agent, or the officer commanding at Suddiys, the cognizance of henous offences, and the investigation of complaints preferred against the chiefs themselves. 262. NS

mese territory into Eastern or Upper Assam. These slaves, it appears, are either captives formerly taken away from Assam by the Supphos or Burmese, or their descendants, either by Assamese parents on both sides, or by Assamese mothers and Singpho fathers. Some of them had been obtained by an after capture, having been intercepted on the Burmese frontier whilst endeavouring to escape back to Assam. Others, after effecting their escape from the Burmese territory, had taken up their abode at the first Singpho village on this side the frontier that could feed and protect them, and had become the servants of those who had received and sheltered them. The remainder had continued with their masters from the lime the latter had removed into the British territory, without having before made any attempt to obtain their freedom.

The abuse of the system of bondage, which has already been described, having been brought to the notice of the commissioner, Mr. T. C. Robertson, by Capt. Bogle, the following rules were framed by the former, in February 1834, for the guidance of the courts, on this subject :--

"1. If any individual has become or shall hereafter become bound to serve another in return for a certain sum of money during any clearly specified term of years, such a transaction shall be accounted legal, and be upheld accordingly. "2. If, however, any individual has become or shall become bound to serve in like manner

"2. If, however, any individual has become or shall become bound to serve in like manner for an unlimited term of years, under aggeneral condution that his or her bondage is to continue until a certain sum of money be repaid, then, on a suit being instituted, by a person so situated, for his or her release, the court before which it may be tried shall, after fixing the price of the plaintiff's labour, and deducting therefrom what may be esteemed a fair equivaleant for maintenance, carry the balance to the credit of the plaintiff. Whenever the sum total thus credited shall suffice to extinguish the original debt, with legal interest, or whenever a plaintiff shall pay up whatever may be wanting in the amount thus carried to his or her credit to effect such extinction of the said debt, in either case the court shall award to such plaintiff an entire discharge and liberation from his or her bondage.

"3. To prevent protracted investigations, as well as to protect masters from vindictive prosecutions, it is further enacted, that no master shall be required to account for any sum that may be carried to the credit of a plaintiff under the provisions of this rule, in excess of the amount of the original debt, with legal interest, and that no suit shall be entertained that may be instituted by a liberated bondsman for an amount alleged to be due to him on account of labour performed during the time of his bondage."

On the receipt of these instructions the officiating magnitude of Durrung (Lieutenant A. Matthie) introduced fixed rates and rules for the guidance of his civil courts in these cases, which are as follows :---

"A, matched introduced index and rules for the guidance of his tvit could in these cases, which are as follows — "1. That all persons who may have mortgaged or bonded themselves or another to a creditor for any specific sum shall be entitled to their release at any time the mortgagors may pay down the amount they originally borrowed, with the legal interest of 12 per cent. per annum.

per annum. "2. That the mortgagors shall be entitled to a remission on the original debt of one rupes per mensem for the services they or the person they have mortgaged have rendered to the mortgagee from the date of entering his service, provided the bondsman has been fed and clothed either by the mortgagor or himself. Should the mortgagee have fed and clothed the bondsman, then, instead of getting a remission of one rupee for his services, he will only be entitled to four annas per mensem."

only be entitled to four annas per mensem." "These rules," says Lieutenant Matthie, "have operated with great advantage; and, to prevent any dissatisfaction or injustice, I framed them on the opinion of the natives residing in my district, which are now thoroughly understood and taken advantage of by the unfortunate subjects for whose benefit they were made."

unfortunate subjects for whose benefit they were made." In the district of Kamroop, in April 1837, 211 cases had been decided under the commissioner's second rule, and 855 were nearly ready for decision. A great many bondsmen have obtained their discharge under the operation of it.

The letter from the magnetize of Durrung, dated 29th April 1837, we find mention made of another "rule of the late commissioner which required a limit (of time) to be specified in the bond (for service) to make it legal;" and he gives the following specimen of a bond juit in for registration to show how far it is attempted to carry the system of bonding without infringing the rule. A., B., C. and D., who are relatives, are indebted to E. 19 rupees; therefore A., at the request of B., C. and D., borrows that sum of F., and in lieu of repayment becomes his bondsman for 41 years, on the conditions that F. shall feed and clothe A., and A., according to custom, shall promptly obey all F.'s orders; that on the expiration of the above period A. shall be entitled to his release, the money lent being considered as liquidated by his services; but if A. die before the expiration of the period, either B., C. or D. who may survive him shall become F.'s bondsman, and work out the unexpired portion of the term. In the event of issue by A. and any of F.'s female slaves, A. disclaims all right to them and they shall be F.'s property

disclaims all right to them, and they shall be F.'s property. The annual statements on the administration of criminal justice in Lower and Central Assam show the following cases relating to slavery and bondage brought before the police authorities in those portions of the province during the years 1836, 1837 and 1838 :---

Illegal purchase of slaves, in contravention of Regulations X.

1811, and III.	183	2 -	-	-	-	-		-	-	18	
Selling free pers	ons i	nto sla	ivery	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	•
Selling persons	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
											Furcibly

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 356.

Appendix VI., No. 13.

Ditto.

RELATING TO SLAVERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

Forcibly carrying away 10 (native) British s	ubjects	to make	
slaves of them	· -		1
Detaining persons as slaves			4
Detaining persons in slavery and bondage -			60
Illegally and forcibly making individuals bonds	smen		2
Forcibly selling a slave			1
Forcibly keeping or detaining slaves			28
Violently keeping in custody and taking away	slaves a	and pro-	
perty	/		31
Entucing away slaves	- í		4
Decoying slave children and servants			24
Slaves deserting with property			26
Escape of bondsmen / ·			2
Not paying bondage-money		ء, م	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Arracan.			

The judicial functionaries in this province are subject to the control and superintendence of the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, at Calcutta, to be exercised in conformity with the instructions of the government of Bengal issued to those funetionaries.

From a report of the commissioner of Arracan, dated 18th October 1831, it appears that Slavery in India, three classes of slaves were recognized in Arracan under the Burinese government. 1838, p. 47.

three classes of slaves were recognized in Arracan under the Burnese government. "The first class, or 'Pho-byng Gounthee;' i. e., one subjected to authority and domicilia-tion by reason of a price paid, comprises those who have become the property of their owner by purchase. The slaves of this description are foreigners, being either hill-men and women or Bengalees, which latter were captured in former times by pirates or kid-nappers, who formed expeditions to Hutteeah, Sundeep and the Soonderbuns, in the neigh-bourhood of Backergunge, for this purpose, and sold their prisoners on their return. It has here near that this practice was first introduced by the Porturusee pirates. The slaves of bourhood of Backergunge, for this purpose, and sold their prisoners on their return. It has been said that this practice was first introduced by the Portuguese pirates. The slaves of this denomination can possess no property. Their masters are answerable to the legislature for crimes committed by them; they are the heritable property of their owners, who may punish them in any way not affecting life or limb; they may be transferred to others in per-petuity or for a limited period, the party to whom they are transferred becoming vested with the powers of the former for the time being; they may be manumitted, but cannot the wine other in fordor with according to grift, who having been asduced by their otherwise obtain freedom, with exception to grils, who, having been seduced by their master, are considered to become free women on the birth of a male child to him."

"The second class are called, 'Khaing-daug-boh,' or born in the house. These are the descendants of the first class, and are subject to all the restrictions under which their parents descendants of the first class, and are subject to all the restrictions under which their parents existed. If a slave of either of the two first classes be given by his owner to a phoongree or priest, he becomes dedicated to religious purposes; and, as a phoongree caunot accept money, or buy or sell, his state of slavery is perpetual. He is called 'Keeoong Thankeeda,' or sweeper of the temple." "The third species of slavery is called 'Cheet-peca-lara,' or the escaped from battle taken by the hand. These are entitled to manumission after occupation of a country, or often purpose has here accepted of the temperature of a country, but the state of the temperature of temperature of the temperature of the temperature of temperature of

after peace has been concluded; but they are not unfrequently sold, especially when they are too young to know their rights. If they become acquainted with them, and prove in court that they have never belonged to either of the two first classes, they may recover their freedom."

The predatory expeditions which are stated to have been the principal origin of slavery in The predatory expeditions which are stated to have even the principal origin of slavely in Arracan were not confined to the lowlands. The hill tribes lived in a state of constant warfare with each other, and their chief object therein was to secure prisoners for the purpose of enslaving them.* This is still the practice of the tribes beyond the British frontier; but there has not been an attack by one tribe within the border upon another so circumstanced for more than two years.

A case of gang robbery occurred in the province, apparently in 1834, in which the Slavery in India, dacoits attacked a village in the day-time, murdered four men and nine women, and carried 1838, p. 344-5-off 20 females as slaves, two of whom were disposed of to two persons residing in the Chittagong district.

When Arracan was taken possession of by the British army, a great number of the Ditto, p. s, 3,8, natives of Munipore were found there in a state of slavery. These had been carried away 43-54. from their country on the invasion of it by the Burmese troops, among whom were a large portion of Arracanese, who, according to the Burman custom of enslaving the inhabitants of an invaded country, brought down to their homes such women and children as they could capture. Many also were disposed of by the Burmese to the Arracanese about Ramoo and the southern parts of Chitagong when the Burmese force retreated from thence, and at Akyab and other places on the const. Some of this race had hkewise been sold by their parents in times of want and distress. All had adopted the customs and manners of the parents in times of want and discuss. An inter advance of the custom of the and an arracanese, and had no inclination to return to their own country, where they would in all probability have been considered and treated as out-castes.

On the organization of the Mugh corps many of these Munipoorees deserted their masters and enlisted in it. Claims were sometimes preferred by their owners for their being given up,

BENGAL.

103

Notes on a journey up the Koladain river by Licut. Phayre, assistant commissioner, December 1838. 262. **X**4

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 1-04

BENGAL.

up, but these were resisted by the commandant, and a compromise effected between the partnes; the persons claimed agreeing to give to the claimants a certain portion of their pay, two, three or four rupees per mensem, according to the curcunstances of the individual, until the sum fixed upon should be liquidated. Suits were also frequently instituted in the civil the sum fixed upon should be inquitated. Suits were also inequating instituted in the civil courts for the surrender of these men, but generally discouraged by the local authorities, and referred to the commandant of the corps for adjustment. The number of these people belonging to the corps in October 1831 was 72. Not one of them, however, acknowledged himself to be a slave, and having, so far as could be ascertained, been taken by the Buimese these mere activities to their freedom on massa having mede. These who called during war, they were entitled to their freedom on peace being made. Those who enlisted d during war, they were entitled to their freedom on peace being made. Those who enlisted did so, it was supposed, in order to secure themselves against claims upon them as slaves; and, although they denied being such, they appeared to consider themselves under some obligations to their former owners or protectors who had supported them in early life, and were willing to discharge the obligation by the payment of small sums from their monthly allowances. At the above date there were no Mumpore sepoys in the corps making such payments. According to the information of these men, the number of Munipoorees detained as slaves in the districts of Arracan and Chittagong was about 3,000 or 4,000.

A system of debtor slavery likewise prevailed in Arracan, which is thus described in the commussioner's report above quoted: "The fourth are slave debtors, and called 'Pongnhany,' or 'Keeoung-bong,' or the pledged, in consideration of mon-are regular deeds subscribed by the parties, and attested by witnesses Sying the period which the slave is to serve, and the amount paid. The slaves are free out, udation of them the money and for them one the computer of the more of pended which the shave is to serve, and the another part. And the expiration of the period to have an another part of the agreement.⁴ A man may pledge has an any bledge has an his children, and, with her consent, his wife also. These slaves may compet their man. had or mistress to transfer them to other persons who are willing to pay their original price, or the amount of their debt. If a married female debtor is seduced by her master, the sum of 60 rupees must be written off the debt; + if an unmarred female debtor slave cohabits willingly with her pledgee, no deduction is allowed. Slaves of this class may be corrected by the hand of their master or mistress (but not by others at their order), with a cane, with a bunch of rods, or with the open hand, but to such an extent, and in such manner only, as a parent would correct his own children. Wounds or mutilations inflicted by a pledgee, or by his orders, on his slave debtors, cancel the debt wholly, or in part, according to a table of times for such acts in the Burman code."

On the acquisition of Arracan by the British, slavery and bondage were not found existing generally to a great extent. All civil rights had been reduced to a state of great uncer-tainty by the Burmese conquest in 1789, and the number of slaves seems to have much decreased in consequence.

They appear to have prevailed chiefly in the Akyab district, the officiating magistrate of which stated, in September 1833, that there was hardly an individual, let his condition be what it might, that did not possess one or more of the three classes of slaves called 'Pho-byng,' 'Appong' and 'Monhe-tolling.'

In the Aeng division, at the same period, bondage only was common, and originated prin-cipally in the pledging of children by their parents, in consequence of want, or to " secure a returement free from labour, which the parents thus enjoy at the expense of the freedom of their child," and not unfrequently to provide the parent with the means of gambling: 20 or 30 rupees was the sum usually advanced for the services of one child. If misfortune befalls the family in which a child is pledged, and they are no longer able to keep it, they demand from the parents the sum advanced, who borrow it from another, and the child is removed as the security. In this division also "female children were sold and bought to be maintained in a state of concubinage."

With regard to the treatment of slaves, the officiating magistrate of Akyab, in the letter above quoted, observes: "The Magins, generally speaking, treat their slaves well, at least as well as their, wives, which inclines me to think that few would avail themselves of their liberty; for it is only when a woman is cruelly beaten and ill treated that she flues to the court for protection and release from thraldom.

The senior assistant at Ramree says, in 1833, " It is the policy of the owners to keep their slaves as poor as possible, to prevent any chance of their manumiting themselves." Among the Kyengs, slaves were allowed half the profits of their own labour.

Neither slavery nor bondage have been recognized by the courts since 1834. In 1833 some correspondence took place between the local superintendent and the commissioner on the subject, and the result was that the commissioner directed the superintendent to declare all slaves and bondsmen free, if he thought he could do so with safety, and the latter officer issued a proclamation accordingly.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 47-8.

Witness, No. 35.

Appendix VII., No. 5.

Ditto, No. 8.

Ditte, No. 6.

Ditto, No. 5. Witness, Nu. 35.

W٥

^{*} The efficiating magistrate of Akyab, in a letter dated 29th Soptember 1633, thus describes the three classes of slaves in his district : " lat, Phobyng, perpetual and hereditary ; 2d, Appong, manumission to be obtained on paying the purchase-money, which is on an average 40 rupees; 3d, Monke-tolling, a woman sells herself for, say, 20 rupees; she is oblyged to serve the person to whom she mancipates hereaft for 20 years ; she also receives at the expiration of each year one rupee, so that at the end of her servitude sing will have been paid 40."—Appendix VII., No. 6.
+ In a paper written by Mir. Charles Paton, sub-commissioner in Armean, we find the consequence of thay ill networks to rendered ull and void, and the husband can redeem hus wice, and, if he chooses, take the child also, and a fine of 60 rupees from the father "—Asiatic Researches, vol. 16, p. 374.

^{\$} See note supra.

We have been furnished with copies of this correspondence, but not with the final instruc- Appendix $\forall \vec{n}$. tions of the commissioner, or of the proclamation issued in consequence. The propositions of the commissioner were, in substance,

1. To interdict the recovery in the civil courts of the persons of slaves, or any money or consideration claimed on account of the sale, purchase, transfer or mortgage of slaves.

1 2. That any persons petitioning the criminal courts for release from restraint imposed upon them on the pretence of their being slaves, should have their remedy by an order being passed to the effect that they are at liberty to go where they please, and that any persons illegally restraining them will render themselves liable to punishment.

The officiating magistrate of Akyab stated, in reply, that he had acted hitherto on these principles.

The senior assistant at Ramree, after mentioning several cases in which he had liberated

I ne senior assistant at namee, after mentioning several cases in which ne had liberated slaves, adds, "There is a practice amongst the Mughs of pledging their wives or children for the payment of a debt, which they maintain is not slavery. I have, however, most peremptorily prohibited it, allowing only the debtor to pledge his own body." The senior assistant at Sandowy observed, "There is little or no slavery in this dis-first, most of the slaves having been released on petition, and the few that remain continue in their state voluntarily, they being aware that they may be released on application."

It appears also, that in 1831 a proclamation had been issued by the superintendent of Appendix VII. Arracan, to the effect that any person refusing to receive the price of a slave, tendered with No. 9. a view to his release, should forfeit both the price and the services of the slave.

Regulations X. 1811, and III. 1832, were considered in force in the province prior to the proclamation of abolition.

It is believed that many slaves and bondsmen left their masters in consequence of the pro- Witness, No. 35. clamation, but that a considerable number still exist *de facto*. The condition of the slave, however, is not distinguishable from that of a free labourer. The proclamation occasioned considerable dissatisfaction, but no disturbance was created, nor was there any public demonstration. The agriculture of the country is carried on by very small proprietors, who hold the plough themselves. There is no want of free labourers ; and even the sons of soogrys, who are a kind of tehsildars, and the highest class of people in the country, have been known in some instances to hire themselves as day labourers. At harvest time also a great many free labourers come from Chittagong, and return home after the harvest.

In the annual statements on the administration of criminal justice in this province for the

slavery.

District of Akyab, 1836, 6 cases of illegal holding of slaves. 1837, 26 ditto. District of Aeng, 1837, 9 cases of retaining slaves.

Tenasserim Provinces.

The judicial functionaries in these provinces are subject to the control and superin-tendence of the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut at Calcutta, to be exercised in conformity with the instructions of the Bengal government issued to those functionaries.

Absolute slavery does not appear to have existed in the Tenasserim provinces under the Burmese rule; but a system of debtor slavery prevailed, of which we have the following

country, and to the small chance of a debtor slave obtaining justice against his creditors in the courts, it may be looked upon as real 'slavery.' The chief alleviation of such a state is derived from the slave having it in his power to transfer his services to another creditor, should he find one willing to pay the amount of his debt." "The nature of the slave bond is very diversified—for general service, for house service,

agricultural service, &c. Many are mere engagements to pay some enormous rate of interest by daily or monthly payments, and those of the former description are often changed lest by daily of monthly permettion, and more of the control description are often changed into the latter, the slave engaging, on being permitted to follow his own business, to pay so much a day out of his earnings. All these bonds are mere acknowledgments of certain debts, on repayment of which the slave again becomes free. These debts, augmented hy the expenses incurred by the master on account of the slave for clothes and other items (not including food, however), descend to the children, whether born in slavery or not, and must be discharged by them either hy payment or the substitution of one of them for the deceased parent. Children horn to slavery become the slaves of the creditor, and are not released by the payment of the original debt of the parents. If grown up, the amount to

released by the payment of the original debt of the parents. If grown up, the amount to be paid for such born slaves is 30 ticals (rupees nearly) for a male, and 25 for a female." "In satisfaction of a debt, parents can sell their children, husbands their wives, heads of families their dependent relatives. The amount for which they are sold is considered their debt, for which they alone are answerable; and until it be paid to the creditor they and their posterity are his bond servants. On becoming a slave for a certain amount, it is a usual custom to provide security, and such security is answerable, not only in case of the slave absconding, but even on his death. These securities are generally relations of the slave."

262.

105

"In Burman law the price of a male is fixed at 30 ticals, and that of a female at 25. These sums are constantly decreed in their courts in numerous cases. For such sums the children born in slavery can redeem themselves. A master having connection with his female slave against her consent, forfeits 25 totals from the amount of her debt. These sums are also made use of in appropriating the children of slaves where the parents belong to different creditors."

"Instance, however, what the law may be in the several cases relating to slaves, or indeed to any other subject, we are too much in the habit of attaching our own ideas of legal rights of persons. Slaves may be looked upon in Burmah as the property of their masters as much as the cattle in their fields; and though generally their condition is far from being one of hardship, or looked upon as a disgrace, yet, once slaves, they have but a slender chance of ever manumiting themselves."

The following regulation for the amelioration of the condition of the debtor slaves was issued by the late commissioner in February 1831. The provisions are the same, with some modification, as those passed by the government of Penang in May 1820, respecting debtor servants.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 453-4. "1. Notice is hereby given, that from and after this date no contract or agreement binding persons to serve in the capacity of debtor servants, in consideration of a sum advanced for their labour and services, shall be valid, unless such contracts or agreements shall be acknowledged by the contracting parties before the commissioner, his deputy or assistants. These contracts shall be regularly drawn out, and entered m a register to be kept at the yourn, and the debtor servant furnished with a copy of his contract, signed by the commissioner, his deputy or assistants.

"2. The contracts so registered shall specify, as far as possible, the nature and degree of the cervice to be performed by the debtor, and always fix a definite term of servitude, with the sum which shall tend towards the monthly liquidation of the money advanced to has or her, and which sum shall on no occasion be less than two pice per day. No youth of either sex, under the age of 16 years, shall be deemed competent to enter into a contract for future services.

future services. " 3. No parent or parents shall be allowed to mortgage the labour or service of his or her or their children ; and no children of debtor servants shall be liable for the debts contracted by his, her or their parents for the mortgage of his, her or their labour or sermess. The children of all debtor servants are free; but if the father and mother be unable to support their offspring, the master or mistress shall be entitled to the gratuitous services of the children so supported, until they attain the age of 16 years, as a recompense for the expense incurred in their maintenance. But no master or mistress shall transfer or mortgage the labour or services of such children. " 4. In case of the death of the master or mistress, the debtor shall have the option of

"4. In case of the death of the master or mistress, the debtor shall have the option of repaying to the estate such sum as the commissioner, his deputy or assistant, may conceive equitable for unexpired services, or serve out the remaining period with the legal representative.

" 5. No debtor servant shall on any occasion be transferred to another person by his or her master or mistress, unless the terms of his or her contract included such provisions.

"6. In the case of females mortgaging their labour or services, their debt shall be cancelled by the commissioner, deputy or assistant, in every instance of its being proved that the master has cohabited with her, or that her master or mistress has been in any manner accessory to her prostitution.

Intervention in the commission of the satisfaction of the commissioner, his deputy or assistant, that any debtor servant has not been provided with proper food, clothing or habitation by the master or mistress, or has been otherwise treated with inhumanity or crueity by him or her, the contract or debt of such servant shall be cancelled, in addition to such other punishment as the commissioner, his deputy or assistant, may deem necessary on the master or mistress.

* 8. If a debtor servant fail to serve with fidelity, or has been neglectful from improper or vicious habits, the commissioner, his deputy or assistant, on such being proved, shall punish the party in the same manner as in the case of a common servant so offending.

"9. No contract or agreement binding persons to serve in the capacity of a debtor servant, in consideration of a sum of money advanced for their labour or services, shall be 'valid, unless the amount so advanced be paid in the presence of a magistrate to the persons mortgaging their services."

"This regulation," says the present commissioner, "so far modified the state of debtor sla-"ery, as it existed under the Burmese rule, as to reduce it to mere domestic service paid for in advance;" and he adds, "even the modified system of debtor service untroduced by us is now fast disappearing; and though I am in possession of the sanction of government for doing away with it altogether, yet I think it preferable to allow it to die a natural death, as the people are fast evincing a sense of its inapplicability to their improved state under our government."

The following statement exhibits the cases connected with this regulation brought before the criminal courts in the years 1836, 1837 and 1838 :---

District of Amherst.		,			1836.	1837.	1838.
Breach of Local Debtor Servant Regulation	+	-	-	~	4	4	••• '
District of Mergui.							
Absconding from service or not working, being o	lebto	r serva	nnt	-	2	. 2	5 . District

RELATING TO	SLAVERY	IN THE	EAST	INDIES.	
-------------	---------	--------	------	---------	--

District of Tavoy.	1836.	1887.	1838.	B
Absconding from service, or refusing to work as registered	-			1
debtor servant		1	49	
Harbouring runaway debtor servants	- ,-	-	2	

Prince of Wales' Island.*

Pulo Penang, since called Prince of Wales' Island, was ceded to the East India Company by the king of Queda in the year 1786. By another treaty with him in 1800, the Company became possessed of the tract of coast opposite to the island on the Malayan peninsula, called 1828, p. 419. Province Wellesley.

When the Island was taken possession of, the only inhabitants were a few Malay fishermen; but so early as 1787 slaves had been imported and become the subject of traffic, and Ib. p. 429.

the superintendent reported that " a register was kept of all slaves bought and sold here."+ the superintendent reported that "a register was kept of all slaves bought and sola here."⁺ It was one of the original conditions stipulated by the king of Queda, that "all slaves Ib. p. 420. must be returned to their masters, for they are part of their property." And in an agree-ment concluded with him in 1791, an article was inserted that "all slaves running from Ib. p. 424. Queda to Pulo Penang, or from Pulo Penang, shall be returned to their owners." Upon this article the superintendent remarked that it was "for the mutual benefit of both parties."

But a question soon arose, to whom the term slave should be considered applicable. The superintendent thought it could be applied only "to a person legally sold, or to one con- Ib. p. 425, demned to slavery for cranes." The king of Queda extended it "to such people as had taken refuge in his country from war or famme, and to debtors to his merchants."

The Bengal government directed a declaratory article to be annexed to the treaty specify-ing the persons to be considered as slaves, and desired that the term should be taken in the most confined sense.

About the same time certain Malays of Arabian extraction, of considerable fortune and 1h. p. 425. with large families, came to reside at Penang, but before settling they requested "a hcense to govern their own families, slaves, and dependents, with an independent power, and in all cases to be judged by the Mahomedan laws."

The superintendent answered that they could not have " an entire independent authority ;" but that "a reasonable, and so far as the general welfare would permit, an independent, authority would be allowed them over their families and dependents; that their religion, laws and customs would be undisturbed, and that they might inflict any punishment upon their children and family, except mutilation or death," but that in cases "requiring more than a whipping, the culprit should be committed to prison and tried by the laws of the island; and if the case concerned one of their people, and one of the inhabitants, or if any of their people committed a public breach of the laws, they should be tried publicly." This answer was 1b. p. 426. approved by the government, who observed, "that the exemption required by the Malays, relative to instituting a sort of arbitrary domestic police, was repugnant to reason, and sub-versive of the rights of society."

Wersive of the rights of society. The judge and magistrate of the island, in 1802, addressed the government of Bengal on Ib. p. 429. the subject of the slavery existing there. He said that slavery, limited and unlimited, had been tolerated,—the emigrants from the Malay peninsula and the eastern islands, who had become inhabitants of Prince of Wales' Island, having been permitted to retain in slavery those whom they had brought as slaves thither, some in utter slavery, others only in limited emitted the letter beyond the retained there are been as the retained by the starter of the permitted the retained become and the starter of the star servitude, the latter being the condition of those styled slave debtors, —people that voluntarily become slaves to their creditors till their debts are paid; but that the practice had not been expressly authorized by any regulation : that a decree, however, had lately been passed, by which slavery was recognized, and it was necessary therefore that regulations should be made defining the right of the master over the person and fortune of his slave, and that the case of the offspring of slaves should be considered, particularly of those born of one parent who is fiee, while the other is a slave. ‡ To this representation the government did not give particular attention, and the subject was dropped for a time.

But, in 1805, the Court of Directors, adverting to a report by which it appeared that in 1801-2 there were upon the island above 1,200 slaves, intimated to the government that they "could not authorize any encouragement being given to the introduction of slaves into the island," and expressed their " wish that the clearing of the lands and the culturation of the island," and expressed their " wish that the clearing of the lands and the cultivation of the people." In the same year, the Governor-general in Council, observing that the number of Ib. p. 433, male and females slaves at this settlement was understood to be then not inferior to 5,000, and remarking that, although the prejudices of our native subjects might on the continent of India forbid the entire abolition of slavery, the same objection did not appear to be appli-cable to Prince of Wales' Island, which had been settled within 20 years, expressed his opinion that it was desirable that the system of slavery there should, if possible, be pro-hibited, and accordingly directed the lieutenant-governor to consult with the best-mformed European inhabitants with respect to the means by which this measure could be accom-plished, and to report on the subject for the orders of the Governor-general in Council. A plan

Slavery in India,

ENGAL.

107

A plan

In 1830 the settlements of Prince of Wales' Island, Malacca and Singapore, which before were incorporated under a separate government, were annexed to the Presidency of Bengal.
 + It appears from the President's minute, April 1820, that a duty was collected on the value paid for the ID. p. 454.

¹ tappears iron use a resource and the presiding judge (the lieutenant-governor), that alaves registered.
1 in the case decided, it was proved, in the opinion of the presiding judge (the lieutenant-governor), that both parents of the individual claumed as a slave were slaves resident on the island, and it is to be inferred that the party was born on the island.
0 2

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 434-5. Mr. R. T. Farquhar.

Slavery in India, P. 435.

> Ib. p. 437. Ib. p. 440.

Ib. p. 440, 444.

A plan for the abolition of slavery was proposed in November 1805, by the late lieutenant-governor; but the consideration of the subject was not prosecuted by the government until their attention was called to it by a letter from the Court of Directors, dated February 1807, in which they observed that as the toleration of slavery could not be necessary at Prince of Wales' Island, where the population was already extensive and daily increasing, they con-sidered it a subject deserving of serious notice, and directed that every means should be resorted to for effecting its immediate abolition, provided the public interests of the settle-ment were not materially injured; but, even in that case, they conceived, that an early period might be determined upon for the entire emancipation of slavery, after which, it ought by no means to be tolerated.

Upon these instructions, the local government gave earnest consideration to the subject. It was at first in contemplation to abolish slavery immediately, converting the existing slaves into debtor servants, under an obligation to work for their masters till the debt charged upon them as the price of their freedom should be liquidated. But objections having been made by the native holders of slaves, a committee, composed partly of European gentlemen and partly of natives, was appointed to consider those objections, and to report the measures that appeared to them best calculated to effect the object in view.

The majority of the European gentlemen of the committee concurred in recommending the immediate and positive emancipation of the slaves, and the conversion of the slaves, as before proposed, into debtors * to their masters, for a certain sum respectively, to be fixed at a rate so moderate, that a debtor wishing to leave his master would not be likely to have any difficulty in procuring the loan of the amount from another, on a bond for service to him, or by contracting to perform some work, on account of which he might receive that amount in advance; with a provision, in the case of the debtor remaining in his former master's service, that a certain sum should be credited to him monthly, and written off from the amount of his debt.

The Mussulman members of the committee, however, objected absolutely to the emancipation of slaves.

Their objections, as stated by the European member of the committee who dissented from the recommendation of the majority, were

1st. That the abolition of slavery would interfere with their religious customs, par-ticularly regarding their women, and that Mussulmans might as well be ordered to wear hats (their own words) as be obliged to emancipate their slaves.

2d. That it would interfere with the whole of their domestic arrangements, inas-much as it is not customary amongst Mussulmans in Malay countries to order or exact from a slave debtor, or from a person receiving daily or monthly wages, that kind of domestic labour which they can exact from a slave.

3d. That when they settled on the island, they did so on the faith and implied assurance that their customs and usages would not be interfered with.

Considering the grounds of these objections, and " cautious of interfering in the domesic arrangements and customs of the various native inhabitants who had resorted to and settled on the island, on the faith that such should not be interfered with," and apprehending that " to deny them those domestic privileges which, though not openly allowed, were tacitly admitted elsewhere" in Indua, " would tend materially to affect the population of the island, by discouraging the general resort to it," it was thought by the government to be mexpedient to proceed at once to emancipate the slaves; and it was resolved instead to make a methodiem or behaviour to find the important of slaves and delaying make a regulation, prohibiting the future importation and transfer of slaves, and declaring that children born in bondage, after its date, should be free, further providing, that slaves might be transferred as slave debtors, and authorizing the magistrates to liberate slaves kept for prostitution, and slaves treated by their masters with inhumanity or cruelty. On the first establishment of the settlement, the government of Bengal had ordered 150

Caffres + to be sent from Bencoolen for the purpose of clearing and cultivating the country. These Caffres, it appears, were slaves. Many of them were liberated immediately, as being unfit for work, provision being made for their maintenance by an allotment of land, and by continuing to them a small proportion of their former allowances. Those who remained were emancipated in 1808, the government providing for the subsistence of the infirm and aged.

The children born in the island, it appears, the first superntendent promised should be free. In 1813, a further step was taken for the prevention of the importation of slaves, by the publication of the Slave Trade Act, 51 Geo. 3, c. 23. This was done by order of the Governor-general in Council, who directed at the same time that proper measures should be adopted for giving effect to it.

Ib. p. 446 to 448.

Ib. p. 421. Ib. p. 422. Ib. p. 450. Ib. p. 497.

Ib. p. 449.

In

^{* &}quot;A custom and usage, it was said, immemorially sanctioned and prevalent in the Malay countries and in this island since the formation of the settlement." In Crawford's "Indian Archupelago" it is stated that a small class exists every where in the Archipelago called detors in the native languages. "These are people who either voluntarily, or by the laws of the country, mortgage their services for a certain period, or during life, to discharge some obligation which they have no other means of liquidating. Their condition is in fact a mitigated kind of alavery." See also Marsden's "Sumatra," for an account of this kind of servitude, and p. 205, Slavery in India, 1829. † In Marsden's "Sumatra," for an account of this kind of servitude, and p. 205, Slavery in India, 1829. † They have no occasion of care or anxiety for the past or future. "Since they were first carried there, from different parts of Africa and Margacox, to the present hour, not so much as the rumour of disturbance or alsoritent has ever been known to proceed from them." It is mentioned in a letter from the acting readent as fort Marlbro', dated 2 September 1812 (Slavery in India, 1829, page 208), that the slaves of the Company were at liberty to purchase their freedom at fixed suma, viz, 60 dollars for each boy or gril.

In 1820, the attention of the local government having been drawn by a petition from Slavery in India, certain Mahomedan inhabitants of the settlement, to a consideration of the customs relative 1838, pp.451 to to slaves and slave debtors, which had prevailed amongst them since the abolition of the 455. slave trade, the President in Council recorded a minute on the subject, in which he stated that abuses on the part of masters of debtor servants had come to his knowledge, which rendered him desirous of proposing regulations for the purpose of protecting and ameliorating the condition of this class of people, and of preventing a usage sanctioned by government from becoming a means of perpetuating and extending all the evils and horrors of slavery only under a different appellation.

Those persons, he said, who possessed debtor servants on the island " are often inclined to look upon them as in a state of unconditional servitude," and "consider mere mannte-nance as an equivalent for the labours of the servant, and seldom look upon the sum origi-nally advanced as affected or reduced in any degree by his services, whatever may have been their fruits or their length." "The practice, as then allowed, was also," he observed, " liable to many other serious abuses. Children and minors may be transferred as debtor servants by people who have perhaps kidnapped them at the other islands. They may be transferred by their parents upon the payment of a trifling sum without any compact as to the nature, degree, and duration of the servitude, and be thus placed in a state little short of absolute slavery. Further, the ends of the parents on such occasions may be directed to their own advantage and not to the benefit of the children." "And young women may be thus delivered over to the absolute disposal and caprice of a private master, and their labours converted into the means of gratifying his lust or avarice."

The following are the regulations he proposed :-

"1. All contracts or papers binding persons to serve in the capacity of debtor servants, shall be acknowledged by the contracting parties respectively before the magistrate; shall be drawn out in the English and Malayan languages; and, after being regularly numbered and set down in a register to be kept at the police-office, shall be delivered to the master and servant, stamped with the official seal of the office.

"2. The contracts or papers so registered shall specify, as far as possible, the nature and degree of the service to be performed by the debtor, and always fix a definite term of servitude, with the sum which shall tend towards the monthly liquidation of the money advanced to him or her. These articles to be determined by the contracting parties before the magistrate, who will of course regulate and control them according to reason and justice.

"3. No youth of either sex under the age of 14 years shall be deemed competent to enter into a compact for future services, unless with the consent of persons who prove that they are authorized by nature or law to contract for him or her; and that they are engaging such youth with a view to his or her benefit, and not to that of their own; and no minor's term of servitude shall be engaged to extend, if a male, beyond the period of his attaining the age of 21 years; or, if a female, beyond the age of her marriage; when such persons will be at liberty to renew their engagement, or otherwise provide for themselves..

" 4. In the case of females so bound or apprenticed, their contract or debt shall be immediately cancelled by the magistrate in every instance of its being subsequently proved before him that the master has cohabited with her, or that he or her mistress has been in any manner accessary to her prostitution ; such master or mistress will of course be further

punished according to law. " 5. Whenever it shall be proved to the satisfaction of the magistrate that any debtor servant has not been provided with proper food, clothing or habitation by the master or mistress, or has been otherwise treated with inhumanity or cruelty by him or her, the contract or debt of such servant shall be immediately cancelled, in addition to such other punishment as the magistrate may legally inflict on the master or mistress.

" 6. In the case of the death of the master or mistress, the debtor servant shall have the option of repaying to the estate such sum as the magistrate may conceive equitable for unexpired services, or serve out the remaining period with the executor or legal representative; and no such debtor servant shall, without his or her own consent, be removed from the island, or be transferred to another person by his master or mistress, unless the terms of his contract included such condition.

"7. The children of all female debtor servants are free; but if the father or mother be unable, and the master or mistress of the female servants undertake formally before the magistrate to support such offspring, they shall be entitled to the gratuitous services of the children so supported, until they attain the age of 16 years, as a recompense for the expense incurred in their maintenance.

" 8. If a debtor servant fails to serve his or her master or mistress with fidelity, or has become neglectful from improper or vicious habits, or if he or she occasions any detriment or mjury to his or her mistress, the magistrate, on such being proved to his satisfaction, shall punish hun or her in the same manner as the law provides in the case of a common servant

 so offending.
 "0. The above regulations shall be translated into the different native languages, and shall
 "0. The above regulations shall be translated into the different native languages, and shall be always read at the police-office to all persons contracting for services before the papers are signed and sealed."

These rules were formed into a regulation, and we presume that they are still in force with respect to persons belonging to the island. The importation of persons to be engaged 262. 0 3 ın

BENGAL.

in service under such contracts would appear to be prohibited * under the proclamation and orders of the government of Prince of Wales' Island, Malacca, and Singapore, which wilk be noticed below.

With respect to persons already slaves, a question appears to have occurred to the govern-ment when considering the state and condition of the debtor servants in 1820, how they should be regarded and dealt with by the executive authorities, "remembering that a Brianomic be regarded and ceal with by the executive authorities, "remembering that a Bri-tash court of justice, which had entire jurnsdiction over the island and its dependencies, could never recognize such a being as a slave, and that the government had not the power of framing express regulations concerning slavery." This difficulty, the governor was of opinion, "could only be obviated by suggesting to the magistrate to regard persons brought before him as slaves under the denomination of debtor servants," and to apply the regula-tions for that class, and the principles on which they are founded, to such persons and their owners as far as circumstances will admit: "of course," he observed, "this arrangement could not have operation on any person who was not actually registered as a slave before the publication of the order prohibiting their importation; and it should be a standing rule with the magistrate to afford to all slaves brought before him the liberty of redeeming themselves by paying to their owners a valuation regulated according to their relative age, condition and length of service."

From 1820, therefore, it would appear that slavery has not been recognized as a legal coadition in Prince of Wales' Island.

In Low's account of Penang, published in 1836, it is observed that, in 1920 the Honourable Mr. Phillips, the governor of Penang, by humane and just regulations, paved the way for the speedy extinction of slavery. This was chiefly effected by taking, as a stepping-stone to the final object, the system, then co-existing with slavery, of selling service, or the debtor system, as it is here called. When at length slavery was abolished by Act of Parliament, the system alluded to remained under the wise restrictions which had been established, and it still continues to operate, but with diminished strength.

The author remarks that debtor servants, especially men, are indolent and improvident, and the worst of labourers; still the settlers, from long custom, do not yet seem quite sensible of their inefficiency.

As a system of labour, he observes, it is expensive, dangerous and demoralizing; it fosters idleness, and represses honest ambition. The value of such labour can scarcely be put on a par with that of convicts. The system, he adds, seems already dying a natural death.

Province Wellesley, the tract of territory on the peninsula, dependent on Prince of Wales' Island, we understand, is governed by the same laws and regulations as the Island.

Province Wellesley. Low's Penang, &c.

Appendix I.,

No. 37.

Slavery in India, p. 255.

Ib. p. 259.

The superficial extent of this tract of territory is estimated at from 120 to 150 square miles, and the population at upwards of 47,000. It lies within the jurisdiction of the court of judicature for the Straits' settlements. The principal assistant there for the time being, independent of his political and executive duties, exercises the functions of a magistrate, a

commissioner of the court of requests, police superintendent, coroner and collector. We learn from Mr. W. R. Young, who was lately employed as commissioner to inquire into the condition of the settlements in the Straits, that though slavery is equally contrary to the law in this province as in the Island of Penang, yet there is reason to believe, that, owing to the vicinity of the Siamese territory, upon which it borders, there are in fact some persons held in slavery illicitly.

We find that in 1828 the attention of the local authority at Penang was drawn to the locataton of about 80 persons from Pulo Nias to be sold as slaves. The law agent was importation of about 80 persons from Pulo Nias to be sold as slaves. directed to prosecute the Chinese concerned in this slave-dealing at the next sessions of over and terminer, but the grand jury ignored the bill, probably from the defect of evi-dence; and, as observed by the Court of Directors, the interference of the government pro-duced no effect beyond the liberation of the slaves.

duced no effect beyond the liberation of the slaves. The government communicated with the naval commander-in-chief on the subject, and requested his co-operation towards suppressing this traffic; but he considered the authority placed in his hands much too circumscribed to enable him to afford any ad towards the effectual prevention of slave-dealing in these seas; "for unless a vessel be under the British flag, or the most positive proof the adduced that she actually belongs to a subject or sub-jects of his Majesty, or to a person or persons residing within the dominons or settlements of his Majesty, or under the government of the East India Company, and is *bond fide* engaged in the slave trade at the time, he could not, during a period of peace, sanction her being brought to for examination on the high seas (much less for detention), or within a league of the territory of any power in amity with his Majesty."

Malacca.

The settlement of Malacca was taken by the British from the Dutch in 1795, and, no cession having taken place during the short pence after the treaty of Amiens, remained in our possession up to August or September 1818; from that time till April 1825 it was subject to the Netherlands government; it was then transferred to the British government, under which it has since remained. The subject of slavery at this settlement was fully investigated by the local government in 1929, and the results of the investigation were thus recorded: "It appears that slavery has existed at this settlement from time immemorial; that

It seems, however, from the information we have obtained from Mr. W. Young, that the prohibition is not understood to apply to Chinese.

that the slave trade, that is, the importing and exporting of persons to be deak with as slaves, ceased in the year 1813; that local slavery has continued up to this time; that dis-putes between masters and slaves have always been settled, while the place was under Netherlands authority, by the fiscal, while under the British, by the resident or the police officer. Slaves misbehaving have been punished, absconding slaves have been returned, and masters ill-using slaves have been also punished by fine or otherwise, as the case environd the more appears that in consequence of a proclamation of the Governorrequired. It moreover appears, that in consequence of a proclamation of the Governor-general, Baron Vander Capeller, a registry of slaves was opened in 1819, another in October 1822, and a third for the insertion of transferred slaves from other settlements; and these several registers contain as follows :-

1st.	Men -	-	-	-	-	- 657	
	Women		-	-	-	- 1880	
	Children	-	-	-	-	- 165	
							1,402
2d.	Men -		-	-	-	- 39	•
	Women	-		-	-	- 29	
			-				68
3d.	Men -		-	-	-	- 6	
	Women	-	-	-	-	- 6	
						<u>خت ک</u>	12

" It further appears that an agreement has been signed by certain of the principal inha-bitants to declare all children born of slaves after 1819 to be free." * * * * "It * "It follows, from an attentive consideration of all these papers, that the only persons coming in any way under the description of slaves are those surviving whose names are inserted in the above registers to which no addition has or could be made since the date of cession. Under all these circumstances, the Governor in Council has determined that the practice heretofore enforced in respect to all those whose names are found in the registry shall, as far as rests with him, be continued, until a full and regular judicial decision can be obtained on the general question, how far the state of slavery can be tolerated at this settlement under the existing laws of the British Government,-a question which the Governor in Council does not consider himself competent to decide."

It appears that, some time after, a judicial decision was passed on the question by the Slavery in India, court of quarter sessions, the Governor being ex officio president. The following is the 1838, p.236.

the most attentive revision of the whole, I am of opinion there is nothing either in the Act of Parliament by which Malacca was put into the possession of the East India Company, nor in that by which the creation of a new court of judicature was authorized, nor in the letters patent themselves by which the court was constituted, which appears to me sufficient to take away any right of property in a slave which any Dutch unhabitant may have had before the cession, and even the Act of 5 Geo. 4, c. 113, s. 13, recognizes and protects existing rights of property in slaves.

I am further of opinion, that in all cases relating to slaves standing on the registry at the time of cession, this court is bound to adhere to the laws, customs and usages in force regarding them, until those laws may be changed either by his Majesty in Council, or by an Act of Parliament. While this court, therefore, will protect all slaves from ill-treatment and improper usage on the part of the masters, they must, at the same time, enforce due obedience on the part of the slave, and, in respect to the return of absconding slaves, follow exactly

On the part of the slave, shut, in respect to the return of associating instead, solve causes, the course established under the Netherlands government, and hitherto observed." In the meantime a reference had been made by the local government to the Governor-general in Council, who took the opmion of the advocate-general on the question, "whether slaves registered under the preceding Netherlands government are to be considered still in the total slaves are the transfer of the tables to be advocated and the asthligha state of slavery, since the transfer of that place to the British authority, and the establish-ment of an English court of justice there." To this question the advocate-general answered, that, in his judgment, " those persons who were slaves, and entered as such in the register under the government of the Netherlands, are legally to be considered in a state of slavery since the transfer of that place to the British authority, and the establishment of an English court of justure." The Governor-general in Council considered this opinion to be conclusive and satisfactory on the question referred, and desired that the government and the local authorities should be guided by it until a different construction should be put on the law by a higher authority

Thus the executive and judicial authorities arrived at the same conclusion, and agreed in recognizing the legitimacy of the slavery existing before the cession according to the registers of the Netherlands government.

But previously, at the instance of the Governor, the inhabitants of Malacca (the principal of whom had agreed in 1819 that all children born of slaves after that period should be free) had held meetings to take into consideration the best mode for abolishing slavery entirely, and it was finally resolved at a meeting assembled on the 28th November 1829, at which deputations of natives were present; viz., five persons on behalf of the Portuguese, five persons on behalf of the Chinese, five persons on behalf of the Malays, and five persons on behalf of the Choolats, that "slavery shall not be recognized in the town and territory of Malacca after the 31st December 1841," which resolution was formally recorded and signed by the parties. 262. 04

BENGAL.

311.

Ibid. p. 236.

When

BENGAL.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 304. Ib. p. 238. When the subject of slavery was under discussion at Malacca, the attention of government was drawn to the practice of dealing in slave debtors; an inquiry was therefore instituted for the purpose of ascertaining the nature of this traffic, and the terms of the engagements by which the debtors were bound. For this purpose queries were sent to the resident councillors of Malacca and Singapore, and to the superintendent of police at Prince of Wales' Island.

Wales' Island. We have had access only to the answers from Malacca, which are contained in the volume of papers on slavery printed in 1838; but the general result of the inquiry is stated in a minute of the Governor, in the same volume, as follows: "The reports now received fully explain the general nature of the system, and establish the following facts : that the practice of importing slave debtors clandestinely still continues; that persons so imported are procured by nakhodas of Prahus and other native vessels from the adjacent islands, mostly from Bali; that they are procured exactly in the same manner as regular slaves, by purchase money, or goods in barter; that they are frequently the captives taken by the pirates; that they are imported to all intents and openly avowed as their price, it is represented as a debt due by the slave debtor is the importer, and on payment of that sum the debtor is transferred; but it does not appear that (excepting Chinese) the person so transferred has any voice in the transaction, or even knowledge of the terms of tranfer, but considers him or herself as a slave te all intents and purposes." With expression the state the all intents and purposes."

With respect to Chinese emigrants, the Governor had previously remarked, that in this case the transaction was a mere voluntary contract for labour for a given time; their labour for a year being disposed of on certain conditions, and the amount paid as passage money to the master of the vessel who brings them. The transactions, he observed, were at Penang regularly registered in the police-office; and, considering the character of the Clunese, he thought there was not much danger of abuse. With respect to others, natives of the "adjacent islands, the practice conducted, as above explained, he considered to be " actual "slave-dealing, subjecting all concerned to the penalties of the law," and he thought it would be the bounden duty of any magistrate to bring every case that came to his knowledge before the criminal sessions, and to commut all concerned for trial.

before the criminal sessions, and to commut all concerned for trial. He conceived that the government was "bound by every obligation, legal as well as moral, to put down a practice which, however conducted in form, is in reality slave-dealing forbidden by law, and the continuance of which must carry with it a continuation of all the horrors induced by it in other places, as exemplified in the case of African slave-dealing, the encouragement to wars for the purpose of making captives for sale, and in these seas even the piracies which it encourages, slaves being often the principal objects in view." It was accordingly ordered by the government that a proclamation should be published, declaring " the practice of importing and employing persons under the denomination of slave debtors," being "in reality only a cover to actual slave-dealing," to be an offence against the Act 5 Geo. 4, c. 113, and notifying "that all persons offending in this respect would subject themselves on discovery to the penalties laid down in the Act." With regard to persons who had been already imported and disposed of as slave debtors,

With regard to persons who had been already imported and disposed of as slave debtors, and were in the service of individuals, the Governor observed that "there could not be a doubt that all so situated are *ipso facto* free, and that no one could from such a transaction establish any legal claim to their service against their consent;" but he thought "any direct interposition of government would be objectionable." "So long as the servant was well treated by the master, and satisfied with his condition, there could be no moral reason or call for interference; on the other hand, when parties were ill-used and dissatisfied, they would find their way to the proper tribunal, the magistrate," who would doubtless "annul a claim founded on no better title than the sale of an imaginary debt, said to be due to a nakhoda, without either the knowledge of or admission by the party transferred." "The release of one so situated would be followed by the application of others who felt the desire to be released, and had the means of deriving subsistence by their own free labour. The admitted claim to release would probably secure to many such treatment from masters as would prevent too numerous applications." For these reasons the government was content with adopting the means within its power for preventing the further importation of slave debtors, without interfering directly to change the condition of those actually in that kind of service.

Appendix I., No. 37. We are informed by Mr. Young, that he believes that the prohibition above mentioned is enforced with respect to natives of neighbouring countries, but is not understood to apply to Chinese, who are still commonly imported under contracts to work out the cost of their passage.

Singapore.

We have no particular information regarding the settlement of Singapore ; but, as it was established in 1820, long after the abolition of the slave trade by Act of Parliament, no slaves could be introduced there legally ; and, as the island was previously inhabited only by a few Malay fishermen, it may be presumed that none were found there.

a few Malay fishermen, it may be presumed that none were found there. We suppose that the system of slave debtors grew up and prevailed at this settlement, as well as at Malacca and Penang.

But since 1830, under the proclamation of the Straits government already noticed, the importation of slave debtors has been prohibited as well at Singapore as at Malacca and Penang.

MADRAS.

PRESIDENCY OF FORT ST. GEORGE.

In treating of the provinces under the presidency of Fort St. George, it may be con- Selection of India wenient to follow the division of the country observed by the Madras board of revenue, in Papers printed by-their minute dated 5th January 1918, in which there is a report upon the condition of the the order of the labouring classes, and particularly of the slaves employed in agriculture. In this arrange. Court of Directors, ment the districts are classed with reference principally to the language of the people. The vol. 1, p. 886. first division, called by the board Telingana, comprises the districts in which Telinga is super up the first for porther gives for the slaves and particular for the slaves of the slaves of the slaves and particular for the slaves of the slaves nrst division, called by the board Telingana, comprises the districts in which Telinga is Slavery in Ind spoken, viz., the five northern circars, Ganjan, Vizagspatam, Rajamundry, Masulipatam and Guntoor; Palnad and Nellore, composing the collectorate of Nellore; and Bellary and Cuddapah, commonly called "the ceded districts." The second is the Tamil country, where Tamil is the prevailing dialect, comprising the districts of Chingleput, North and South Arcot, Salem,^{*} including the Baramahl,^{*} Coimbatoor,^{*} Madura and Dindigul, Tan-jore, Trichinopoly, and Tinnevelly. The third comprehends the districts of Malabar and Capara, on the western coast of the permissing in which the Malawaluw and Tanlary and the Canara, on the western coast of the pennsula, in which the Malayalum and Toolava are the vernacular dialects.

(In the above minute the board of revenue stated, that "throughout the Tamil country," as well as in Malabar and Canara, far the greater part of the labouring classes of the people have, from time immemorial, been in a state of acknowledged bondage, in which they continue to the present time." "In Malabar and Canara, where the land is very geneslave of the proprietor, and is sold and mortgaged by hum independently of his lands.[‡] In the Tamil country, where land is of less value, and belongs more frequently to a community that is on individual, the labourer is understood to be the slave rather of the soil than of its owner, and is seldom sold or mortgaged except along with the land to which he is attached. But in Tehngana, where it is difficult now to trace the remains of private property in the land, thus class of people is considered free." \S

Telingana.

Entertaining this notion of the general freedom of the actual tillers of the soil in the districts which they designated as Telingana, the board excluded them from an inquiry which they directed to be made by the collectors in Canara and Malabar and the Tamil country, in order to obtain more minute and satisfactory information respecting the relation of master and slave, particularly as to the power of the master over the person of his slave, and the hability of the latter to be sold independently of the land, and other particulars incident to his condition; also as to any rights or privileges the slave might be entitled to in virtue of his condition, which information was called for with a view to affording some relief to the slaves by legislative enactment. From this omission, there is not so much infor- $\sqrt{}$ to the slaves by legislative enactment. From this omission, there is not so much more -mation on record relating to slavery in this part of the territory of the Madras presidency as there is for the other districts, being chiefly contained in the answers of the district judges In Appendix IX, of and magistrates and of the superior courts to the queries of the law commission, circulated this Report. In 1836, which were framed with a particular view to the preparation of the penal code; and Slavery in India, in the answers of the same authorities to a reference made to them in 1832, on the expe-1838. dency of introducing into the Madras code a regulation containing provisions similar to those of Regulations X. of 1811, and III. of 1832, of the Bengal code. relating to the impor-tation of slaves, and their removal for the purpose of traffic from place to place within the British territories.

The board of revenue supposed that "in former times slavery may have been as prevalent in the northern as it is now in the southern and western provinces; and the same circum-stances that reduced the landlord of Telingana to the situation of a landholder may have Stances that reduced the landford of realigned to the situation of a manufactor may have tended gradually to weaken the power he possessed over his slaves, until they finally became altogether emancipated from his authority." We find, however, that slavery is not so Northern circars, entirely extinct, in the northern circars at least, as they understood it to be. In a statistical report made by Mr. Russell (late member of council at Madras), while Revenue Appendix in a statistical report made by Mr. Russell (late member of council at Madras), while Revenue Appendix

collector of Masulipatam, in 1819, he stated that "the far greater proportion of the more III., Report of Se-substantial lect Committee of

undisputed." § In a note the board remark, that "in Telungana a labourer cannot remove from one village to another pending engagements which he has not fulfilled; but he is free to make his own terms, and after per-forming the engagements into which he voluntarily enters, becomes again the master of his own labour. It is believed, however, that the labourers in Telingana generally remain in the same village, and attached to the same family of the ryot, from generation to generation."

262.

Court of Directors,

113

1 -

The board of revenue abserve, that in some detached parts of Salem, Baramahl, Coimbatoor and Bellary, the Canarese is spoken. Thus arases, they remark, from these districts comprehending part of the ancient kingdom of Carnataes, but the small extent of our terntory in which that language is spoken renders it unnecessary to class it separately from the rest.
 † Note by the board of revenue: "It is only the greater part, not the whole of the labourers in these countries that are slæres; imany of them are also free."
 † Note by the board of revenue: "As it is not the interest of the landlords in Malabar and Canara to sell the alares who cultivate their lands, they usually dispose of the increasing stock only for which they undisputed."
 § In a note the board remark, that " is followers of the sales independently of their lands seems to be

1832, p. 508.

Masulipatam. Slavery in India, 1838, p. 395.

the House of Com- substantial ryots have slaves, or rather they have men whose families have been in the mone, Aug. 16, employment of their ancestors from time immemorial, and whose services they have a right employment of their ancestors from time immemorial, and whose services they have a right to enforce." (He adds, however, " that the general acceptation of the name under which they pass is little applicable to their actual condition. The work of the Indian bondman is not the forced labour of compulsion, but the willing exertion of one who looks up to his master as his hereditary protector, and who toils as cheerfully for his allowance of grain as the temporary labourer whose assistance is hired.") The acting magnetized of Masulpatann, in 1833, gave a more particular account of the slaves in that district, by which it appears, that, besides those in the service of the ryots, called "<u>Paulalo</u>," being those alluded to by Mr. Russell, there are two other classes; one, of which the males are thenominated "Khasaloo." and the females "Danzeloo" in the service

Called "<u>Paulatoo</u>," being inose alluded to by Mr. Russell, there are two other classes; one, of which the males are denominated "<u>Khasaloo</u>," and the females "<u>Dansceloo</u>," in the service of zemindars; and other considerable persons of the superior Hindoo castes, including Bramins; the other, in the service of Mussulmans, the males called "Ghoolams," and the females "Baundies." (Those attached to the ryots are said to be of the Paria caste; "their masters give them a daily subsistence for from five to seven months in the year," and allow them the enjoyment of different kmds of emolument deducted from the gross produce, under the head of "Kareezooloo." "For the rest of the year they maintain themselves by other employments." "The masters are not bound to feed their wives daily, but they have employments." "The masters are not bound to feed their wives daily, but they have subortity to make these women work for them, whenever they require them for sweeping or weeding their fields, or reaping the crops.) The paulaloo and their male children (at whose birth the master pays certain expenses) are not to desert their masters. If they do, and go to a new master, the old one will claim them and receive them back, on payment to the new master of the expenses he may have been at for their mantenance while with hum." When a tryot mortgages his laad to another person, his paulaloo go with the land, and the person who takes the land in mortgage will gladly take them, because he thereby gets persons to cultwate the land without the trouble of seeking for them elsewhere. When the owner redeems has land, his paulaloe return to him along with it, but they are not sale-able." It is mentioned as a singular custom, showing the authority of the masters over the cattle of the paulaloo, that when a cow or buffalo belonging to the latter produces a bull-call, the master takes it, paying for the produce of the cow four rapees, and of the buffale two rupees, whatever may be the real value. The shaves in the service of the zemindars, &c., "men, women, and children, are fed and

The slaves in the service of the zemindars, &c., "men, women, and children, are fed and clothed by their masters," who also defray the expenses of marriages and births among them. Counted by their masters, who also derray the expenses of marriages and births among them. Should a khasa, or man slave, marry, he of course continues to be a slave, but his wife does not become a dausee. The male issue of such marriage are, however, khasaloo, although the female issue are not dauseeloo. The dausee, or wontan slave, never marries, but the children she may bear are all slawes. In short, all dauseeloo, and they children, and all khasaloo, whether married or unmarried, and the male offspring of marries have been a slave and her wider the parteeting of these is there are the marned khasaloo, are slaves, and live under the protection of those in whose service they were born, who must feed and clothe them. "These masters never sell their slaves; yet in time of distress they give them leave to go away and seek their livelihood elsewhere; in which case other persons of the same caste, who are able to afford it, take a pride in protecting these cast-off slaves, and so receive and feed them. But, should the fortunes of their former masters change again for the better, their new masters do not hesitate to send them back again on being demanded by their old masters, nor do the slaves refuse to return when so summoned." ("Their condition with their masters," it is said, "is by no means bad ; their masters generally treat them with kindness, and feed them from infancy to manhood. If they were to leave their masters, and seek a hvelihood elsewhere, they could not better themselves by serving other people on monthly wages, which, for this description of servants, cannot exceed four or five rupees a month, upon which the whole family of a khasa could not be maintained; so that they never desert their masters, who will always continue to maintain them, unless they are reduced to the greatest distress themselves."

With respect to the slaves in the service of Mussulmans, it is observed, that "although their masters probably would not scruple to sell them if they dared, yet they do not sell them, partly from awe of the government, and partly because they know that such sale would not be held vahd by the courts."

Shaves of all the classes, it is said; " oan leave their masters at any time if they please, and no force can be used to recover them."

In conclusion the magistrate observed generally, that the persons denominated slaves in this district might be more appropriately termed "hereditary servants," and that they "appear to be bound to their masters rather by community of interest than by any other the or oblightion." He added, that in "time of scarcity, children are frequently purchased from personal to have an indictioned and be to moving the addition and there are defrom parents who are in distress and unable to maintain them, and they are brought up and used as servants, but they are at liberty to leave the service of their purchasers when they please, and this practice may, therefore, be considered beneficial to the community, as such ghildren would otherwise starve."

The reports relating to this district, which were furnished by the local officers in 1836, agree with the foregoing account.

Of

Appendix IX.

^{*} Mr. Russell estimates the subaistence of two slaves for six months, at two scars of paddy each per diem, to cost 18 rupces. He says, in amplanation, "As the fees which the ryot receives at the threahung floor are given: to its slaves, and constitute them means of support during a pair of the year, it have calculated their polynetics of the russ means the subair of the year. subsistence for six months only." - From a table prepared by hi the foces alluded to amounts to four per cent. of the gross produce.

Of the other districts of the northern circars, the information we have found on this subject is very scanty and indistinct.

In the district of Rajahmundry, which bounds Masulipatam on the N.E., the slaves, it is Rajahmundry, stated, " form a distinct class. They cannot be admitted by maxings into any caste with Appendix IX. out dishonouring the family with which they become connected, owing to their degraded as the offspring of notorious prostitution among themselves. But though they are state. usually called slaves, the term is not considered to designate them properly, for they are slaves only in name, their servitude being, it is said, " perfectly voluntary, and cannot be coerced beyond the huitation of regular service with impunity."

be coerced beyond the similation of regular service with impunity." In Guntoor, which adjoins Masulipatam on the south-west, it is stated by one officer that Guntoor, "there is no slavery, in the strict sense of the word, but there are male and female servants Appendix IX. attached to the zemindars who are designated as 'slaves'." "they have been for the most part attached to their families for several generations, and their children look forward to their continuing in the same employment. Whatever might have been the case formerly, the engagement has been for many years voluntary, and can be said to exist only as long as the zemindar is willing to pay for their subsistence, and they have no wish to change their condition. The feet of their being slaves does not in any way evonewise the master from. condition. The fact of their being slaves does not in any way exoperate the master from punishment for any offence committed against them, and no measure would be taken to enforce the right of the masters to their service against their own consent."

district," but "the individuals are employed more as private servants than as slaves, and 1838, p. 294 are never bought or sold, but have been taken by the higher classes out of charity, to prevent their dying of want, and who provide them with food and clothing in return for their, services, which are exclusively domestic; and in numerous instances they have been pro-moted to high situations under the zemindars and others for good conduct, and have become cultivators, subadars, &c.'

cultivators, subadars, &c." In Vizagapatam, slavery, it is said, is not necognized as legal by the authorities. It ap- Vizagapatam, pears, however, from the report of the magistrate, in 1832, that "a species of domestic ser- Appendix IX. yitude exists, improperly called 'slavery." "The persons so demonstrated 'slaves' are Slavery in India, born in the family, in which they are clothed and fed, but receive no remuneration for their 1838, p. 397-services." "They are fully aware," the magistrate said, "of the protection to which the laws entitle them; but being kindly treated they have no desire to quit the roof under which they have been born and hed; were any ill-usage shown them, they would not besitate to avail themselves of such protection." In Ganjam, the most northern of the circars adjoining Cuttack, slavery is mentioned as Ganjam. existing, but in the "mildest form," "not likely to give cause for complaint," "except Appendix IX. with respect to the slaves belonging to zemindars," "who exert over them the most despotic, power, not because it is allowed by law, but because they are out of the reach of the law.", In one or two instances, it is said, where slaves have succeeded in escaping out of the zemin-dar's territories, they have been protected, and the right of the regals to the person of the Slavery in India,

an one or two instances, it is said, where slaves have succeeded in escaping out of the zemin-dar's territories, they have been protected, and the right of the rajahs to the person of the Slavery in India, slave denied. The magnetrate in 1832 stated, that some of the lowest easte of natives who 1838, p. 397-have many children, and no means of maintaining them, give up one or two, perhaps, to a rich neighbour, and receive in return money or clothes. The children are always well-treated, and now the well the approximation protocole the rate deviation of the dament. and are not bound to remain with their master if they are not desirous of doing so. There are a few children occasionally purchased in the district of Cuttack, and brought to the There small pagoda at Ganjam, or Berhampoor, by the dancing girls of those pagodas, but they are treated with kindness, and are at liberty to return at any time they think proper.

In the reports upon the other districts of the northern circars, excepting Masulipatam, Agrestic slaves, there is no distinct mention of agrestic slaves as a separate class. But we think that Mr. Russell's observation above cited, with other remarks of a general tenor in the report from which it is quoted, was not meant to be restricted to the district of Masulipatam. At an**∀** rate, when we find that agrestic slaves are so common in that district, that in an estimate given in the same report, of the costs of cultivation in different kinds of land and under afferent modes of culture, the subsistence of labourers of that description is included as one of the ordinary charges, and when we consider how closely connected this district is with the neighbouring ones, how similar are the customs and habits of the ryots, the tenures of land, and the system of agriculture, we can scarcely doubt that, in the contiguous parts of Rajahmundry and Guntoor at least, and probably more generally, the husbandry is mainly carried on by a class of field labourers who are in the same condition as those who are called slaves in Masulipatam. And, indeed, the description of the slaves in Rajahmundry, who are said to form a distinct class, considered to be impure, and, therefore, unfit for domestic service, leads to the inference that they are the same as the Paria agrestic slaves of Masulipatam.

Of the origin of this condition of slavery, to which a particular class of the rural popula- Origin of this partion is subject in Masulipatam, and probably in the neighbouring districts, if not throughout ticular kind of the northern circars, we have not found any information. But seeing that the people sub- slavery. ject to it are of the Paria tribe, one of the two of which the great body of agrestic slaves in the Tamil country is composed, and to which also belongs a large part of the slaves of Malabar, it is reasonable to suppose that it arose out of the same circumstances as in those countries, and probably had originally the same character.

Countries, and provening that originary the same character. The judges of the provincial court observe generally of the servitude known by the name General observa-of "slavery" in the northern circars, including, we apprehend, that which we have just adverted tions, 1836. to, as well as domestic slavery, that " it may be considered a voluntary submission to the Appendix IX. loss of liberty for the assurance of a certain but undefined subsistence, comprehended in the general term ' livehhood." It is an irregular system of servitude involving no loss of social rights, nor exposing the individuals to any other restraint than ordinary service imposes. 262. This P 2

. - -,

MADRAS.

MADRAS.

Exceptions;

This may be sufficiently correct as applied to the condition of the slave of the ryots in the villages, of those belonging to zemindars in the more settled parts of the country, employed partly in domestic services, partly in field labour and other out-door work, and of the male domestic slaves in the families of householders in the towns. But we conceive that an exception must be made of the hill zemindaries, and that the remarks of the collector of Ganjam, already quoted, as to the despote power which the chiefs in those territories practically, though it may be unlawfully, exercise over their slaves (as perhaps over other servants), must be taken as applicable to them all from Rajahmundry to Ganjam; and we think the observation of the court must be understood with some qualification with respect to female slaves employed as attendants in the private apartments, in the families of zemindars and Mussulman householders, over whom, from our general information, we cannot doubt that a greater degree of personal restraint is exercised than over any common servants.

It does not appear that the right to the services of slaves, agrestic or domestic, has ever been the subject of civil action in any of the courts of the northern circars; and both are exempt from sale. The law, it is said by the provisional court, "is available to such as fall under the denomination of slaves in common and in equal degree as to all other classes," and in this position the district indees and maxistrates appear generally to arree.

in this position the district judges and magistrates appear generally to agree. The sale of children by their parents, under the pressure of want, occurs in times of scarcity; but such sale does not confer a right to their services against their will after they are of age to make a free choice.

We do not find any notice of persons passing from freedom to slavery by self-sale.

We see that formerly a traffic was carried on chiefly by the French and Dutch settlers in the northern circars, by purchasing children brought to sale by their parents in times of distress, or kidnapped, and exporting them by sea as slaves, to suppress which a proclamation was issued by the government of Madras in 1790, prohibiting absolutely any traffic in the sale or purchase of slaves. This and other measures taken in concert with the French and Dutch authorities appear to have been effectual, and the practice seems to have been put down for a long time.

But a case has lately come under judicial investigation at Madras, from the evidence in which it appears that it has been partially revived. In the Appendix will be found reports upon this case from the advocate-general and the marine police magistrate at Madras, and the magistrates of Vizagapatam and Ganjam. The facts are briefly as follows : A brig, the Moydeen Bux, navigated by natives, but under British colours, arrived at Madras from Calingapatam, in the Ganjam district, bound to Nagore, in the district of Tanjore, having a number of children on board shipped at Calingapatam. The master-attendant having received information of this, and suspecting that the children were intended to be dealt with as slaves, instituted an inquiry as magistrate of the marine police, and eventually, upon the advice of the advocate-general, committed the nakhodah, or native commander, and others, for trial before the 'supreme court, upon a charge of slave-dealing, in 'contravention of the Act 5 Geo. 4, c. 113.

The parties, it appears, were acquitted on the trial before the supreme court on a point of form, in consequence of a verbal omission in the indictment. The number of children discovered by the police was 26.

The brig Moydeen Bux was driven into Calingapatam by stress of weather, and remained there during the whole of the south-west monsoon. At this time a great scarcity prevailed in Vizagapatam, the neighbouring district, and the people were suffering the extremity of want, and ready to sell their children for a trifle, or to give them away to persons who would undertake to subsist them. Under these circumstances, the children were obtained by the nakhodah and his people, and there does not seem to be any ground to suppose that they used either fraud or violence. There does not appear to have been any concealment practised, either in procuring them or shipping them, showing a consciousness of wrong on the part of those concerned.

Indeed, as noticed in another place, the sale and purchase of children in time of famine is declared in a late circular order of the Foujdary Adawlut not to be an offence according to the Mahomedan law, and, therefore, not punshable by the criminal courts. It is not probable that the nakhodah and his people were aware of the penalty denounced by Act of Parlament for slave-dealing; but if they knew it, they might still be ignorant that they rendered themselves liable to it, by the mere act of transporting by sea, from one place to another within the same territory, children purchased by them, as allowed by law in a time of famine, whom they might have carried to the same place by land without objection.

It appears that the Choolia (Mahomedan) merchants of Nagore carry on a considerable trade with the port of Bimlipatam, in the district of Vizagapatam, where some of them usually reside, passing to and from Nagore occasionally. The magistrate of Vizagapatam states in his letter to the marine police magistrate, that it has been proved that these persons have been in the habit of procuring children in that district and conveying them to their own country, their alleged object being to procure converts to their religion, lascars for their vessels, and slaves for domestic purposes. The same officer has informed the law commission that he is, however, "satisfied, that the practice of exporting children has been very limited, and that the transaction which led to the trail at Madras arose from the very peculiar circumstances of the past season." He has also transmitted to them a copy of a letter from the acting principal; collector of Tanjore, stating, that, from the inquiries he has made, there appear "no grounds to suppose that it is customary for native vessels to burge

Sale of children by parents.

Self-sale. Exportation of slaves. Slavery in India, 1828, p. 486, et

seg.

Appendix XIV.

Appendix XIV.

bring children to the ports in that district for the purpose of disposing of them for domestic or other description of slavery."

The magistrate of Ganjam has also informed the law commission, that, having made diligent inquiry in consequence of the late aftair of the Moydeen Bux, " All the information he has obtained leads to the belief that nothing of the nature of a trade in slaves has prevailed in this district for very many years." With respect to the Moydeen Bux, he observes, that "the accidental arrival and detention of the brig at a time when extreme distress pre-vailed in the neighbourhood, appears to have afforded facility for procuring the children, of which the Lubbies (or Choolas) availed themselves; but I do not think there is any ground to suppose that the vessel came expressly to the coast for any such purpose, or that children have in any other instances of late years been shipped from ports in this district." He remarks, that the Lubbies of Nagore and the other ports to the southward are in the habit of adopting into their families children of other castes, who serve them as domestics, and are also employed in their vessels and generally in their commercial transactions; and adds, "I have had an opportunity of seeing something of the Lubbies in the Tanjore and Madura districts, and consider them a very industrious and well-conducted class, and I am disposed to think that in obtaining these children the people of the Moydeen. Bux had no criminal intention of selling them again as slaves for the sake of profit."

A case is mentioned as having occurred in the Masulipatam district during the famine Appendix IX. in 1833, in which a party was convicted of having purchased or otherwise procured children Slavery in Iudia, for the purpose of exporting them as slaves to the Nizam's country, and was sentenced by 1838, p. 429. the Foujdary Adawlut to imprisonment and hard labour for three years. This case will be noticed more particularly in another place.

Of the remaining districts comprehended by the board of revenue under the general designation of Telingana, Nellore, Bellary, and Cuddapah, it is stated by the local officers, Nellore, that in Nellore, there is no slavery, although some few Mahomedans have domestic servants Aumendia who were purchased by them from their parents in infancy, but who cannot be considered Appendix IX.

Who were purchase of the are there there masters at their own will. Slaves, as they are at liberty to leave their masters at their own will. With respect to Bellary and Cuddapah, which compose what are commonly called Bellary and Cudda-"the ceded districts," it is reported that no cases of slavery have come under the cog- pah. App. IX. nizance of the authorities in those districts, and we see that it is stated positively by Mr., Anwers of A. D. Campbell, who was for some time collector of Bellary, that " no agrestic slaves whatever (Appendix I, (Puble) exist there, and that the domestic slaves, if there be any, do not exceed 100 or 200 in a. Report Sleet Commit-tee House of commons, the state of above 1,000,000."

We may here remark, that in the country of Mysore, which adjoins these districts, 16th August 1893. agrestic slaves are almost unknown. We find no mention of such slaves in the printed Mysore. report of the resident, Major Wilks, upon the statistics of that country; and Dr. Ba. Dated 5th Dec. report of the resident, Major Winks, upon the statistics of that country; and Dr. Bu-chanan appears to have found slaves employed in agriculture only in the district of 1804, printed by Nuggur, which adjoins Canara.* It is worthy of notice also that there are no slaves in order of Gov.-gen. those parts of North Arcot, Salem, and Coimbatoor, which border on Mysore to the east and May 1805. south, as will be seen below.

It appears, however, that under Tippoo's reign, the children of thieves and highway rob- Mysore, &c. vol. 3, bers were made slaves of the government.⁺ And an order[‡] was passed by Tippoo, for- p. 280. bidding the practice which had prevailed of "the aumils and officers of government and other people purchasing and selling abandoned girls and orphan children," and directing other people purchasing and selling abandoned girls and orphan children," and directing that in future they should neither "be sold abroad" nor "lodged in the Deostans" (Hindoo temples), but should "be collected together for government," "and sent to the huzzoor" (the seat of government).

Tamil Country,

Comprising the Districts of Chingleput, N. and S. Arcot, Salem, Coimbatoor, Madura and Dindigul, Tanjore, Trichinopoly and Tinnevelly.

With respect to the Tamil country, we have fuller information in the answers of the Slavery in India, collectors to the queries addressed to them by the board of revenue, in 1819, besides the 1828. reports of the district judges and magistrates, and the superior courts, in answer to the queries of the law commission circulated in 1835, which will be found in the Appendix, Appendix IX. and in the answers of the same authorities to the reference made in 1832, adverted to in the Supramia Inpreceding section.

We have also found valuable information in other reports, already in print, which are referred to below in the places where they are cited.

Of the districts composing this division of the Madras territory, it appears from the reports

• Col. Cubbon, commissioner in Mysore, states in a late report (13 June 1840) that predial slavery is found in the Mysore territory only in the districts skrining the Western Ghauts, and there to no great extent. + Tippool Regulations. "British India Analyzed, 1705." Art. 52. "There are many korchywars, thieves and highway robbers, in your district : you are to find them out and apprehend them, with their women and children ; and having selected from them all the young boys and grifts who are wonted by government as slaves, you shall provide them with a suitable allowance for their died, at the rate of one seer of rice and one pice per day, one with another, and send them with great are under charge of the killadar to the huxwor."

₽3

262.

16th August 1832.

Journey through

Stavery in India, 1838.

MADRAS,

1117

ports that slavery does not exist in Salera; and in Coimbatoor, it is found in a few yillages only, in the talook of Caroor, which adjoins the district of Trichuopoly, and that of Pola-chy, which hes towards Malabar. In these parts, it is stated, there is a class of people "who are series of the soil, and are considered attached to the estates on which their families

have resided, from time immemorial, and in mortgaging lands these people are always included with the estate."

Salem. Combatoor. Slavery in India, 1838, p. 389.

Ditto,1828, p. 873.

Dr. Buchanan, Journey from Madras through Mysore, Canara and Mala-

bar, vol. 1, p. 19.

In all the other districts, slavery, principally agrestic, is found to exist, but in the northern division of Arcot the slaves are few, and are almost confined to the southern talooks, which are those that properly belong to the "Tamil country." AGRESTIC SLAVES.

It was observed by Dr. Buchanan, in 1800, that in the lower Carnatic (Carnatic Payin Ghat), much of the land is rented by Brahmins; " but, like the Jews, they seldom put their hand to actual labour, and on no account will they hold the plough. Then farms they chiefly cultivate by slaves of the inferior castes, called Sudra and Panchum Bundum. The Punchum Bundum are by far the most hardy and laborious people of the country, but the greater part of them are slaves. So sensible was Tippoo of their value, that in his incursions it was these chiefly whom he endeavoured to carry away. He settled them in many districts as farmers, and would not suffer them to be called by their proper name, which is considered opprobnous, but ordered that they should be called cultivators. The Punchum Bundum consist of tour tribes : the Pariar, the Bulwup, the Shekhar and the Toti. The Shekhars dress hides, and from among the Toti is chosen a particular class of village officers. There are a few Mussulman farmers who possess slaves ; but the most numerous class is composed of the different tarbes of the Sudra caste. Some of these possess slaves, but many of them cultivate their farms with their own hands."

In Mr. Place's elaborate report on the land tenures of the district of Chingleput (Jaghire), dated 5th June 1799, we find the following statement on this subject : " In fertile and wellwatered villages, the Meerassee whereof belongs to Brahmins, who, being forbid to cultivate themselves, must employ servants for that purpose, the labouring servants are for the most part Pariars, who can by no means acquire property in land; and I have not yet met with an instance of their having done so. They receive wages partly in money and partly in those fees which I explained in my report of the 6th October 1795, called Galavassum, and, if not the which I explained in my report of the 6th October 1795, called Calavassun, and, it not the slaves of the Meerassidars, renew their service every year. But the other class of servants are men of the Pallee caste, and either by suston or rule have an heredutary right of service under the Meerassidars, and are entitled to one-third of the share which the latter receive of the crops. The Meerassidars, in this case, receive the Calavassum. Madrantica affords a very curious example of the preservation and assertion of this right. Previous to the repar-of the tank, it is not known how long the lands were uncultivated :+ but so soon as thus work was completed, the descendants of many families who had formerly been the hereditary servants of the Brahmins, claimed, and were admitted to, their inheritance, though in the servants of the Brahmuns, claimed, and were admitted to, their inheritance, though in the intermediate time they had taken up other occupation, and might be supposed to have for-got it. The office constitutes the inheritance like many offices of the feudal system. It might of course be relinquished by the occupant, who by that means broke the succession; and, in failure of herrs, it rested with the Meerassidar to appoint others or not; but this also caunot be sold, mortgaged or transferred. "It may be better to mention now than here-after," he added, "one very striking resemblance that this country affords to the feudal system regarding servants; and as I could not possibly find words that would so well de-scribe their situation, I shall beg leave to quote those of Judge Blackstone.

"' Under the Saxon government, there were, as Sir William Temple speaks, a sort of people in a condition of downright servitude, used and employed in the most servile works, and belonging, both they and their children and effects, to the lord of the soil, like the rest of the cattle or stock upon it.'

"These villeins, belonging principally to the lords of the manors, were either villeins regardant, that is, annexed to the manor or lands, or else they were in gross or at large, and transferable by deed from one owner to another. They could not leave their lord without his permission; but if they ran away or were purloined from him, might be claimed and re-covered by action, like beasts or other chattels. They held indeed small portions of land by way of sustaining themselves and their families ; but it was at the mere will of the lord, who might dispossess them whenever he pleased.

"Slaves of this description," continued Mr. Place, "are very numerous all over the country, and are, I think, preferably situated to servants more at liberty, who also, from the constitution of their religion, are very little better than slaves. I have seen that the masters of the former take an interest in protecting and in marrying them; for their offspring is in fact an increase of their own property; nay, so contented are they with the treatment they meet with, that hut very few instances have come within my knowledge of their de-sertion when they have been claimed in the manner stated in the quotation; and but one, where it has been so tyrannical that they were not to be prevailed upon to return. One man, a Nattawar, in Poonamallee, lately dead, possessed 400 families of slaves. Reduced by the l improvidence

Chingleput. Appendix to 5th Report of Select Committee of House of Commons (No. 16), 28th July 1812.

[•] This is stated postively by the magistrate with respect to the district generally; and by the joint magistrate, with respect to the duration under his charge; but the assistant magnitude, while he states that skavery is altogether unknown, yet observes that children, however, are purchased during famine, in consequence of the indigence of their parents. Some girls are taken as wives by than purchasers, some as servants. The latter, he says, are at liberty to abandon the protection of their parentsers whenever they think proper, and the case, it is presumed, is the same with male.—Reports of 1835-6, Appendix IX.

improvidence of his father to great distress, he could only employ about 100; but so strong did the attachment of all the rest remain to hum, that although they had for the most part engaged in the service of European gentlemen; and of myself among the rest, had he possessed the means of subsisting them, they would voluntarily, or with very hitle persuasion, have returned to him. The servants of the Vellalers were anciently all slaves, and I believe it is only with such that they are now found."

We should have thought that Mr. Place meant to designate by the term "Pullee," the tribe Paner on Meerassy called "Puller," in the other districts of the Tamil country. But we find that Mr. Ellis, speaking of the same part of the country, also mentions the "Palli," besides the "Paller" and "Pareiyer" as serfs, the two latter "as mostly the slaves of the Vellaler," the former "as vascals of the Brahmin Meerassidar."

In the paper written by B. Sencaraya, late sheristadar to the collector of Madras, on the same subject, which Mr. Ells annexed to his own, it is stated, that "in the large villages about Madras, including Meerassidars, Ulcudis, and Pyacaris, there may be from 20 to 140 autor matrixs, including interassidars, of cours, and ryacans, circle may be from 20 (2014) cultivating inhabitants, and in small, not more than five or six; always of course in propor-tion to the cultivable lands. In the villages held by the Vellaler or Agamudeyar, they possess a certain number of slaves. Each plough at work requires one man; and when the number of slaves, therefore, is not sufficient for the whole cultivation, hired labourers are employed. In agrahama held by Brahmins there are few slaves, and hired labourers are principally employed. In some agraharam villages there are no slaves."

From the reports of 1819 and 1835-86, it appears, that the agrestic slaves in the other Slavery in India, districts of the Tamil country are generally either Puller or Pariar. The Puller seem to 1828, p. 836, et seq. predominate in the southern districts, suid it is stated by the magistrate of Madura, that Appendix IX. they are of older standing than the Parar as slaves. In Tinnevelly, it is stated, there are also slaves of all the tribes of the Sudra caste, employed chiefly as domestics, but likewise. "in the lighter duties of cultivation."

With respect to Chingleput, it was stated, in 1819, that the chief part of the Pariar of NUMBER OF the district were slaves, but we do not find any estimate of their number.* In South Arcot, AGRESTIC SLAVES. the number of slaves of both sexes, meluding children, was then estimated to be more Magistrate of Tanthe number of staves of both serves, including children, was the examated to be more magnitude of language of the stave-population, it is stated by the present magnitude of the properties of the stave-population, it is stated by the present magnitude of the properties of the stave-population of the Puller was estimated, in 1819, at 10,000, so of Select Committee In Madura, at present, the magistrate states, slaves of all kinds bear but a trifling pro-formed to the whole population. If is not stated in any of the reports of 1819 or Collector of Trichs-proportion to the whole population. If is not stated in any of the reports of 1819 or Collector of Trichs-inged but to to be stated from them that the number is considerable. In the apport 1636, but it is to be gathered from them that the number is considerable. In the report Si of the collector in 1832, it is stated, that the census taken for 1821, 22 and 28 shows their 1838, p. 390. number then amounted to <u>324,000</u>; but this amount being about 38 per cent. of the whole population, is so far out of proportion to what we find in any other of the Tamil which the sum total under the head of "Puller," "Pariar," &c., made up from the details of talooks, is 129,520, and it is explanated that this comprises all of the precise talooks. also a considerable portion of other classes not subject to slavery. The grand total of all the classes engaged in agriculture, excluding one or two of the upper ranks of Sudras, it is stated, is about 31 lacs.

As to the origin of the slavery of the Puller and Pariar,** there is no distinct and positive ORIGIN OF THE information. Mr. Place observed, " that it would now be difficult to institute any investiga- SLAVERY OF THE tion on this point, and that it is one of those things we must be content to know existed with the Hindoo constitution, without assigning a reason or discovering a cause. Perhaps, he PANNAB TRIBER, is suggested, it was thought politically necessary that they should be made slaves when the Appendix, 5th Carnatic was first peopled." It is now impossible to trace, said the collector of Trichmopoly, Report. in 1819, "whether this establishment took its rise from the voluntary submission of the indi-gent to the wealthy, or whether the Pullers were originally captives taken in war;" but 1828. agricultural slavery has existed in this district from "tame immemorial." The collector of Itid. Tanjore

PULLER AND

right; vol. 1, Selec-tion of India Papers, p. 810; also, Revenue Appendix to Report of Select Committee of House of Commons dated 16th August 1832, p. 522. Ibid. p. 544.

nopoly 1819. avery in India, :

MADRAS.

^{262.} **P**4

MADR'AS.

Slavery in India, 1828.

Ibid.

.

Ibid,

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 887 to 900.

SLAVE-OWNERS.

Condition of the Slaves, and their connexion with the Land. Slavery in India, 1828, p. 887.

Colebrooke, B. II. c. 4, s. 14. Tanjore supposed, that the slavery of the Puller and Pariar was founded, in the first instance, upon a voluntary contract on their part to men more powerful than themselves, "upon whom they thus imposed, a more strict obligation to protect and maintain them and their families than if merely serving them as labouring servants."

The collector of Madura accounted for it in the same way. "When a Puller or Paria was unable to gain a lwelhood, he was accustomed to offer himself or his relations as slaves to cultivating inhabitants for a sum of money from one to ten cully-chukrums, when a bond of slavery was drawn out and signed." So, also, the collector of Chingleput observed, that "the Pariar slaves called 'Adami' (the Tamil name for a slave) came into vassalage in that district by their voluntary disposal of themselves, either for a sum of money, or upon some other agreement, in consideration of which they pledged themselves to service, and were at the disposal of the purchaser either for resale, mortgage or gift. In thus submitting himself to vassalage, he involved for ever his postenty." "The Puller and Pariar slaves," said the collector of South Arcot, "appear to have been born • in a state of servitude through some contract of their forefithers ;" but he added, " the Hindoo code, religious and civil, seems, however, to declare, that the Sudra tribe are naturally born in a state of servitude; and although some of the superiors of the subdivisions of that tribe in modern days have emancipated themselves from this degrading thraldom, yet the lower castes are always looked upon as natural slaves."

The late reports do not throw any more light upon this part of the subject.

The board of revenue, in reviewing the reports made to them in 1819, noticed the various ways in which slavery can originate by the laws of the Hindoos, but did not attempt to determine the original cause of the peculiar slavery of the Tamil country.

It is supposed by some, that the Puller and Pariar tribes are remnants of the aborigines who were found in the country when it was subdued and colonized by the Hindoos; and if this supposition is well-founded, it accounts easily for the state of slavery to which the majority of them have been subject from time immemorial. It may be presumed, that the colonists used the power by which they acquired possession of the lands to secure the command of labour sufficient to make it profitable by reducing to bondage the prior inhabitants all of them may not have been appropriated; and from the exempted may have spring those who are to this day free, and those also whose slavery can be traced to contracts made by their forefathers. That some were left free from agricultural slavery, for the purpose of being employed on other services necessary to the community, appears from this, that to the members of the Pariar tribe, from time immemorial, have been assigned, hereditarily, certain village offices.

Mr. Place believed that, in Chingleput, the tribe of Sudra cultivators called "Vellalers" only had slaves. The collector, in 1819, stated, that slaves were formerly possessed by Vellalers only; subsequently by Reddies, Comawars, and other Sudras also, but never by Brahmins. Dr. Buchanan, however, was informed, that Brahmuns and Mussulmans cultivated their lands by slaves as well as the Sudra landholders; and B. Sancaraya, we find, admitted that there were slaves, though few, in the villages held by Brahmins. In the report of the acting judge, in 1835, Vellalers only are spoken of as keeping slaves.

In Trichinopoly, it is stated, "Pullers are only to be found in villages where there is paddy cultivation, and the irrigated lands in which this cultivation is carried on belong to Brahmin Meerassidars, who by the immutable laws of caste are prevented personally exercising the offices of agriculture." The case, it is understood, is the same in the neighbouring district of Tanjore; but there, it is said, the Brahmins, in consideration of their caste, do not receive the bonds of slavery directly in their own names, but have them drawn out in the names of some of their Sudra dependents.

The board of revenue, in their proceeding dated 25th November 1819, in which they reviewed the answers of the collectors to their queries on the subject of slavery, observed, that " the present state of Hindoo slavery, as described by the collectors, appeared to be nearly the same as it was defined and mtended to be by the laws of Menu, but that certain incidents in their villeinage consequent on the provisions of those laws which were enacted with a view to the comfort and happiness of this race of people, have been looked upon as proofs of an abject, degraded, and miserable condition." They alluded " to the circumstance of slaves being sold with the land, or in payment of the rent of it." Upon this they remarked, "that the Hindoo law, on the subject of transfers of property, speaks of land and slaves employed in the cultivation of it, and evidently contemplates these two species of property as one and the same, and not as property separate from each other." " Indied, the attachment of the Hindoos to the lands which they have always occupied, and to the willage

The magistrate of Chingleput, in 1836, speaks of the slaves in that district as if they had all been purchased individually, making no mention of slaves by birth.—Appendix IX.

individually, making no mention of slaves by birth.—Appendix 1A. The magnetrate of South Arcot in 1832, without noticing this hereditary slavery of the Puller and Pariar, observes: "A description of slavery (if such it can be called) no doubt exists in this district, where parties for a consideration engage to cultivate the soil for an indefinite period; but this cannot be called lavery, since, upon repsyment of the consideration, the parties are allowed to leave their master and enter into the service of another." In his report, dated in 1836, the same officer speaks of "agricultural" alware, "if such they can be called," " who are in a manner stached to the soil." " Though the parties are termed 'slaves,' their labour pay be side to be voluntary, as they are at liberty to quit their services ar blessure, provided they are under no pecuniary obligation to their master."--Slavery in India, 1838, p. 400, and Appendix IX.

village where they have always resided, is proverbial, and to separate them, therefore, from their native soil, might under such circumstances be considered an additional act of cruelty."

"A certain portion of the produce of the soil which they cultivate is in the Tamil country allowed by the master for the maintenance of his slaves, whose duty it is to till the ground; and unless they were transferred with the land, the new proprietor, when he obtained pos-scesson, might experience difficulty in carrying on the cultivation, and the former master might be deprived of the means of enabling him to afford subsistence to his slaves." "The probability of being transferred with the land, moreover, gives them therefore, on

this coast, a sort of property in their huts and little spots of ground, which they can thus occupy without any great fear of being turned out, or transferred contrary to their interests,

They observed, however, that even in the Tamil country, generally, "slaves are not neces-sarly sold with the land, although the convenience of all parties seemed to have rendered practice common."

The reports recently received, as well as those of 1819, reviewed by the board of revenue, certainly make it appear, that in practice, in the Tamil country, the slaves in question are not always sold with the land, although that is most usual, so much so, perhaps, as to warrant its being taken as the original custom of the country, and to make it reasonably prewarrant its being taken as the original custom of the country, and to make it have arisen from $\sqrt{}$ sumable that the occasional exceptions which are now found in practice, have arisen from $\sqrt{}$ an abuse of the power of the master. Mr. Campbell, who was employed for a time in Appendix I. (Pub-Tanjore as principal collector, states, that "the agrestic slaves in the Tamil country are lic) Report of Select almost invariably transferred with the land. From this being done either in a deed separate Committee of the from that disposing of the land alone, or without any deed at all, a few of the local House of Commons, authorities, from imperfect inquiry, have been led to question the fact, which is, notwith- 16 August 1833. standing, broadly stated by others; but I entertain none (no doubt) of the general practice." "The removal of them from their village, and consequently from their families, would becontrary to ancient usage, or Indian common law, and hence the practice of transferring them with the land when it is sold, which, though not necessary in law, is in the Tamil country almost invariably the practice." Mr. Hyde, the collector of South Arcot, in 1819, observed : "It is stated that the slaves of this district can be sold by their owners to any person and to an alten village, and that no slaves are attached to any particular soi of village: but I am induced to believe that such a practice is at variance with the rights' annexed to the state of real bondage; for, in some Meerassi villages, it is known, that Meerassidars have advanced pretensions to possess an equal proportion of the slaves with their share of the villages; and I also believe that such a practice is hardly ever resorted to."

"The Pullers are not hke slaves," says the judge of Combaconum (Tanjore); "there is no Mr. F. M. Lewin, slavery in their treatment; their transfer with lands resembles the transfer of ryots on an 1836. estate âlienated by government, as Yanam (Enam) Shotrium, &c." Appendix IX.

According to another judge of Tanjore, the removal and sale of the personal slave would Mr. J. F. Thomas, be received by the people as no offence, whilst that of the bondsman attached to the soil would be considered an unjust infringement of presumptive right.

Purchases of slaves are seldom made, says the assistant judge of Tinnevelly, except where land also is bought, for slaves are for the most part attached to the land, and as part and pared of it. Where an estate is divided, the slaves are indiscriminately awarded to each shareholder. The caste of cultivators called "Pullers," the joint magistrate of the same district states, are bought, sold and mortgaged with the lands of their masters, as has been the custom for very many years. The collector of this district, in 1819, indeed, said that slaves were sold or mortgaged either with, or separately from, the land; but a subsequent obser-vation by him, that "the slaves in time become so attached to the village in which they are settled, that they seem not to consider their situation, nor to have any desire to be free and independent," implies that their settlement is regarded as permanent; and those who assert that slaves may be sold separately from the land which they have customarily cultivated, appear, for the most part, to maintain, that they cannot, however, be removed from their village without their own consent. The Sudder Adawlut observe, that in the rest of the provinces (excepting Malabar and Canara) where agrestic slavery exists, it is believed that the transfer of such slaves separately from the land is contrary to local "usage."

the transfer of such staves separately from the land is contrary to rotal usec. In Tanjore, there is attached "to each house of the slave, in common with the other ALLOWANCES, &c. householders who are not landowners, a "small piece of land or garden, tax free." And Mr. Campbell, "he is paid for his labour at a regulated rate in grain and clothing which was arranged by Appendix I., p.465s, the collector in 1802." It appears, that generally the agrestic slaves are considered to Report of Scient have a right to a specific share of the produce of the land cultivated by their labour. An Committee of the account of this 4 and of other allowances which the Puller is properly entitled to receive House of Com-was given by the collector of Trichinopoly in 1819. The share and allowances, commonly mons, 16th Au-called sotuntrums, vary in different districts, but they are generally, it is believed, as dis-tinctly defined by custom as those of the village servants and others. In the Tamil country, was given on the land they cultivate, and to prescribed fees in grain at each stage of the pre-served on the land they cultivate, and to prescribed fees in grain at each stage of the pre-stages. vious

MADRAS.

Appendix IX.

1832. Slavery in India, 1838. Appendix IX.

1838, p. 301. Ditto, 1828, p. 839.

* Stated by the collector, in 1819, to be 80 goontahs, " the same as to other labourers." † To a man and he write 10 per cent, of gross produce, besides contingencies for marriages, births, &c.; on the whole something above 17 per cent.

262.

Ellis on Meenssy. vious cultivation, as well as at certain national festivals. Mr. Ellis stated, that " they all claim Miras in the incidents of their villeinage, and it is generally allowed to them and their descendants on proving their former residence in the village." The collector of Chingleput in 1869 said, he had inquired into this claim, but could not find that any allowances wars accorded to the Pariars and Puller of that district, except Poorseallum, Calavassen and Alanda adey; but all that Mr. Ellis meant, apparently, was that they clauned a Meerassy right to till the land, and to receive from its produce these or similar allowances; Miras or Meerassy, as explained by him, being any office, privilege or emolument, descending hereditarily.

On the whole it does not appear an unreasonable hypothesis, that in the original constitution of society, after the Hindoos became predominant, when a portion of the conquered race was appointed to till the soil, and bound to that service from generation to generation, not only was their condition and duty thus fixed immutably, but likewise their station or locality; that certain families were attached to each village, and bound respectively to cul-tivate nericular lands therein a the local of the ultime time the service of the service locality; that certain families were attached to each village, and bound respectively to en-tivate particular lands therein, or the lands of the village generally, according to the nature of the termer, as the lands were held in severalty by individuals, or jointly by the commu-nity,* with a definite assignment on the produce for their subsistence. In the Tamil country, it is to be observed, the property in much of the land, and the slaves who till it, is vested in corporate village communities, Hindoo temples, and other institutions; and in the villages where the lands are held by such tenures, slaves are a kind of public servants; and perhaps their hereditary attachment to the land with a right to support from it, may as properly be considered a privilege as the hereditary right of the village servant of the same class to his office; and the allowances assigned to it.

office, and the allowances assigned to it. The following description of the employment of agrestic slaves in the Tamil country, by Mr. A. D. Campbell, is the fullest we have found: "The agrestic of field slaves in the Tamil country are employed by their masters in every department of husbandry: the men in plough-ing the land and sowing the seed, and in all the various laborious works necessary for the irrigation of the land upon which rice is grown; the women in transplanting the rice plants; and both sexes in reaping the crop. Their labour is usually confined to the rice or irrigated fands; the lands not artificially irrigated, watered only by the rains of heaven, and pro-ducing, what in India is technically termed dry grain, being seldom cultivated for their masters, whose stock is concentrated on the superior irrigated soils; and any cultivation by the slaves on nnirrigated ground is generally as free labourers for others, or on their own independent account.⁺ The agrestic slaves work in bodies together, the village accountant registering the work executed by them, which he inspects; but they are not personally superintended by any one, nor placed under any driver; they generally work from about sunrise to sunset, with the intermission of a oouple of hours for their meal during the middle of the day. They are not exempted from work on any particular day of the week, but obtain holidays on all the great native festivals; such as those fixed for consectating implements, the new year, and other great days. No particular take-work is assigned to them daily; it is sufficient that the slaves of each master execute the work necessary for the cultivation and irrigation of his lands. These slaves are also aften employed in erecting temporary nome, or pundals, used by their masters in marriages or other festivals, and occa-interval to the reaction the slaves of each master are unstroke to the risk and coca-interval. temporary moms, or pundals, used by their master in marriages or other festivals, and occasionally are called on by requisition of the collector or magistrate, issued to their masters, to aid in stopping any sudden breach in the great works of irrigation conducted at the expense of government, or in dragging the enormous cars of the idols round the villages or temples, to move which, immense cables, dragged by many thousands, are necessary ; in Tanjore, in particular, from the great number of the temples and the frequency of the fea-twals, this is a very energies duty."

It is stated positively by Mr. Campbell, that " the lash is never employed by the master against his slave in the Tamil country," and the general tenor of the reports implies, that "it is not usual to employ it, and that, as it is expressed by a former collector of Tanjore, " the slave is not more liable to personal punishment than other labourers, in consequence of his state of bondage." We find it stated, however, by the assistant judge of Tinnevelly, that " when the slaves are employed in the fields, not in task-work, in which they labour with alacrity, they require to be constantly watched, and the cane is in constant use." "They generally labour" (he observes) " from eight to four; but when occasion requires it, their whole time, day and night, must be spent in the field." The general opinion appears to be, that they are not coerced to labour by more server treatment than hired the servants of the same classes classes

EMPLOYMENT: -Appendix L., p. 455, Report of Select Committee of the House of Commons, 16th August 1832.

PERSONAL TREATMENT.

Appendix IX.

^{*} It is supposed by some that this was the original state of property in the land, generally, in the Tamil villages. On the establishment of every Tamil village, as now constituted, said the board of revenue, 1619, the rights above explained were vested in all the original Vellaier settlers, as a collective body, not in each individually if every one of hem, therefare, possessed a separate equal have in the whole Meerawy, and hence in each village, to the present day, the number of equal shares into whach the Meerawy and hence in each village, to the present day, the number of equal shares into whach the Meerawy and hence in some villages the lands having failen entirely to an individual while in others the shares have mereased in number, and the original sharers have been subdrided into fractional parts.

I is a stated in a minute of the cating third judges of the provinceal coart, southern division, 1832, that in Tanjore, " when the master have neares there have a cating third judges of the lare and row for souther Meeraws, and for the labour, by which means the slave acquires periodal property."
T he Abbé Debox says: " The Parahs of infits in general are not to be condetered in any other light castes, as subsists in our colonies between the plattery and their slave. These lead and a burder life than the other Yariahs, and the usage of both is equally severe." "The most of themselve with their wires and children

children

classes employed in similar work. "The fact, indeed, appears to be" (says one officer), Collector of Dinne-"that the slave is so necessary to the cultivation, and labourers are so scarce, that the pro- velly in 1810, prietors find at their interest to protect and treat them well." "I have examined the Pukleus Slavery in India, precors and a mer interest to protect and treat them well. "I have examined the Fullers Slavery in India, myself on this subject" (says another), "and asked them what course they would pursue if 1828. Ill-used. They replied, that they would seek other masters at a distance, who would treat Collector of Tri-them more kindly." "The right of the Puller" (the same officer adds) "is so distinctly chinopoly in 1819. defined by custom, and the interest of the Meerassidar so substantially affected by the good Ibid. conduct and health of the Puller, that it is hardly possible to suppose the Meerasadars would be so blind to their own interest as to cause their Pullers to abscond, or by harsh treatment reduce them to sickness. It has been the custom (he further semarks) to describe the Pullers as the lowest order of society, involved in wretchedness and misery, and reduced to a condition scarcely superior to that of the cattle which they follow at the plough; but so to a condition scarcely superior to that of the cattle which they follow at the plough; but so far as it relates to this class of people in Trichinopoly, it is highly erroneous, masmich as there is no class of people generally so athletic or tall in stature as the Pullers." Mr. Campbell also remarks, "that if a judgment may be formed from the appearance of the agrestic slaves in the Tamil country, which is generally that of stout athletic mean, their food is not deficient either in quantity or quality." And it is observed by the same officer, who speaks of the use of the care, that many of the slaves attain to a very great age, a proof, he adds they are used based their strengthering the slaves attain to a very great age, a proof, he adds, that they are not worked beyond their strength. It is implied in some of the remarks quoted above, that the slave has it in his power to

quit his master's service if he is ill-treated. It does not appear that he has a right to do so. The following observations of the present principal collector and magistrate of Tanjore illustrate what is meant : "So long as a slave chooses to remain with his master, he does so, and leaves him for a better at pleasure. Nothing but a covil suit, which would cost more then 10 years of his labour, can recover him, and, being recovered, there is nothing to pre-vent his walking about his own business as soon as he has left the court which has pre-nounced him to be the property of another." The magistrates, it seems, deckne to assist the master to recover a runaway slave, and leave him to his own resources, which the slave Under these circumstances, mutual interest appears to be really the bond between defies. The slave is willing to render perpetual labour to his master by himself and ins them. family, for the sake of a perpetual maintenance, for which, those who work for hire are often at a loss, and the master is constrained to use him well, that is, well enough to make his condition an the whole not worse than that of the free labours, from the far of losing his Magistrate of Tri-valuable services. It is said, that "the Pullers very rarely quit their masters, a certain sign chinopoly. that they are generally well treated."

As to the right of the master by law and custom to coerce his slave by personal punishment, we shall only remark here, that it was held by two of the five collectors, who noticed the point in the reports made in 1819, that the master had this right, one remarking, that Coimbatoor. he was supposed to be vested with despotic authority over his slaves and with power to punish them, and the other that the power of the masters over their slaves is unlimited, North Areot. except of course where the law intervenes to prevent cruelty and murder. The three others exercised under the British rule. Of the officers who reported in 1835-36, four are of Appendix IX. spinion that the master has the right to correct his slave by moderate personal chastisement if he fails to perform the work assigned to him, three of them expressly referring to the circular order of the Foujdary Adawlut under date the 27th November 1820, as sanctioning it. This order, which appears to have been overlooked by the other officers, who say gene-rally that the slave is equally entitled to protection from the courts against his master, as a free person against another, we shall have occasion to notice more particularly in another place.

It is asserted generally, that masters are bound to provide for the maintenance of their MAINTENANCE IN slaves, when they are unable to work from age or infimity, and it is this certainty of a OLD AGE AND perpetual provision which is supposed to reconcile the slave to his condition. On this point, INFIRMITY. however, Mr. Campbell observes, "I am by no means satisfied that due provision is made for, the support of agrestic slaves in sickness or in old age. Their masters are no doubt bound to support them; but in the absence of any summary means on the part of the civil magistrate to enforce this obligation, I fear the poor and infirm slave is too often left to the slow and doubtful remedy of a lawsuit against his master, or to the uncertain charity of his brethren stinted in their own means." The collector of Tinnevelly,* in 1819, stated, that the slave could claim nothing more than a bare subsistence while he worked, and his sotuntrum (or allowance from the produce) at the time of harvest. The assistant judge of that district, in 1836-86, on the other hand, says, that the slaves when they become mirm and useless

The principal collector of Madura particularly states, that it is understood that the pro-tection which the master is bound to afford has been generally rendered in seasons of calamity and scarcity, whilst free-cultuators were perishing fromwant.

The

123

chinopoly. Appendix IX.

CORRECTION OF SLAVES.

children for slaves to the farmers, who make them undergo the hardest labours of agriculture, and treat them These remarks were written, however, about 25 years ago, since which is would appear there has been much

improvement in their treatment.

improvement in their treatment. ⁴ It appears, however, from the report of the collector of this district, that the slaves "have the vast advan-tage of being employed during the whole year," which he thought with occasional bounties compensated for their daily allowance being only half of that of the free labourer.

MADRAS. J

The agrestic slaves, it appears, are generally provided with separate dwellings; in Tanjore's as already noticed, they are allowed the privilege of occupying a piece of ground as a garden, free from tax; whether a similar provision is made for them elsewhere does not appear.

Their marriages are made at the expense of their masters, and they equy some integrating at every birth. It was stated in 1819, by the collector of South Arcot, that "slaves cannot enter mito matrimonial connexion without the consent of their owners, who, as they defray the expenses of the marriage, virtually revier the contract of hereditary boundage, for the expenses of the marriage recorded as the property of their father's owner." But in the offspring of slaves are always regarded as the property of their father's owner." But in a report made at the same time by the collector of Chingleput, we find it averred on the contrary, that the claim to the children of a slave "does not always rest with his immediate proprietor. In the event of his marrying with one of the females belonging to his master, the children all become his property; but should he marry with a female slave of another the children all become his property; but should he marry with a temale slave of another person, the children of such marriage mostly become the property of the proprietor of the female, though in some villages the custom is otherwise; and in the event of a female slave having children previous to her marriage, their disposal depends upon the custom of the village, as they sometimes become the property of their master, and are sometimes made over with herself to her husband upon their marriage." Again, it is stated by the acting judge of Chingleput in 1835-36, that "if a female slave marries a free person and has issue, the wester agen chaim the female progray and the husband the male progray and the husband the master can claim the female progeny, and the husband the master; and has issue, band cannot carry his wife away without the consent of the master; and when it happens that the free husband consents to become the slave of his wife's master, the master can claim the services of both the male and female progeny." In general, it would appear that among the Duller and Denir, the abldow how to a more in a state of hand one when it has the poller and Pariar, the children born to a man in a state of bondage are slaves to hus master. The present collector of Madura, however, confines the right for the master to the male issue, "the female issue being at liberty to go where they please." And the assistant judge of Tinnevelly says, "the females are always allowed to hue with their bushander whether the latter below to their matters of the stars." husbands, whether the latter belong to their matters or to strangers. The stranger, in such case, has the benefit of the work she performs, but she still commus to be the property of her master; and her children, as soon as they are able, are obliged to work for him. women (he adds) appear to be of little value as respects their labour, yet their price is generally higher than that of a man of equal age and qualifications, owing, of course, to the above Annexed to Report arrangement." This statement, we observe, is contrary to the exposition of the Hindoo law America to report arrangement. In its statement, we observe, is contary to the exposition of the initiation has of ProvincialCourt, on the point, by the law officer of the provincial court, southern division, by which it was 1836. Appendix declared, that "the wife of a slave is also the slave of the master," on the anthonty of a IX. verse from Jugganardyen, importing that "the husband and wife are one and the same;" and one from the Sariti Chandrika, in the chapter concerning slaves, viz. "The husband is master to his wife, if that husband be a slave; although his wife be born of free parents, she 🔸 🕏 also a slave.

> With respect to property, the collector of Coimbatoor, in 1819, observed, that "the master possesses the power, not only over the person but over the property of his slave, and he may make use of the cattle reared by the slave for agricultural purposes." The principal collector of the same district in 1835-36 remarks, that "the general opinion with respect to the property of a slave is, that whatever belongs to a slave belongs to his master; but without the consent of the slave, the master would refrain from taking any of his effects, even the cattle he might possess for agricultural purposes; nor could he take effects which the slave himself had purchased or received by free gift from the master. The property of a slave is derived from the master."

> The foregoing observations, however, may perhaps be taken as referring rather to theory than to practice, since slavery is so little known in Coimbatoor.

The collector of South Arcot, in 1819, said, "The possessions and acquisitions of slaves are generally considered to be the property of their masters, who, however, usually relinquish , them to the family of the slave." On the other hand, the magistrate of Tanjore says, "No legal rights of masters over their slaves, with regard to their persons or property, are recog-nized by the magistrate in this district;" and we learn from Mr. Campbell's account, before quoted, that "m this district the Pullers are permitted by their masters, the Meerassidars, who -confine their attention to the cultivation of the nce lands, to employ their spare time in the cultivation of the unirrigated lands, as free labourers for others, or on their own account." And one of the judges of the provincial court of this division, as we have before noticed, states, that their earnings by such extra labour enables them to acquire personal property; adding, that "instances have been known, although rare, of slaves purchasing their liberty, by paying the amount which their master demands for his (their) freedom, or by procuring a substitute." The judge and the magistrate of Madura both say, that the agrestic slaves are not incapacitated from possessing property independent of their masters, and leaving it to their heirs. The former, however, observes, that should the slave die, the master gene-The busice of the same detroit writes to the same effect, as to the salve first as the salve salve salve the salve t and joint magistrate of the same district writes to the same effect, as to the right of the slave to appropriate what he gains by working for others, when his master does not require his . services.

MA RRIAGES AND CHILDREN. Slavery in India, 1828.

Ibid.

Appendix IX.

, ,

PROPERTY. Slavery in India, 1828. Appendix IX.

Slavery in India,

Appendix IX.

1828.

Ditto.

Ibid. Ibid.

services. It appears, therefore, on the whole, that whatever the right of the master may be \bigvee MADRAS; in theory, practically it is not exercised; and that any property the slaves may acquire by labouring for hire when their masters have not work for them on their own lands, is at their own disposal.

The assistant judge of Tinnevelly in the above quotation speaks of slaves purchasing their EMANCIPATION. freedom, though he adds, "they can seldom procure it for less than double their own value. The price of a well-bred, strong young man very seldom exceeds 20 rupees, yet there are few, candidates for the honour of being free at the sacrifice of a comfortable and certain provicandidates for the honour of being free at the sacrifice of a comfortable and certain prov-sion." Except this and the statement of the judge of the provincial court quoted above, we do not find any notice of agrestic slaves purchasing their freedom. "It does not appear," said the collector of South Arcot, in 1819, that "enfranchisement of slaves ever takes place; Slavery in India, yet as some owners have been reduced to indigence, and are unable to employ or subsist their hereditary slaves, those persons are ostensibly free, and labour for any person who will employ them. Cases of emancipation occur in the extinction of the owners' families, and from this description of Sudra who sill scarifice their blatties modern clause are acceptive from this description of Sudras, who still sacrifice their liberties, modern slaves are constihow this description of Suthas, who sum saturate their interacts, motion staves are consti-tuted, for they are mostly very needy, and consent to perpetual and hereditary bondage for about 20 or 30 pagodas, which the cultivator advances for the celebration of a marriage ceremony. In no instance, I beheve, do engagements exist where a labourer discharges such a loan by his manual labour." So strong do the slaves esteem the obligation they lie under to their masters, that many instances, it is said, have 'occurred of their voluntarily uncertified them they the operation by the carrier between the obligation of the bare supporting them, when they have fallen into adversity, by the earnings of their voluntarily supporting them, when they have fallen into adversity, by the earnings of their labour, instead of seizing the opportunity to abandon them, and obtain enfranchisement. The assistant judge of Tinnevelly, in 1835-36, stated, that at that time, a landholder in that zillah was in the daily receipt of half a measure of grain from each of his 500 slaves. Another striking instance will be found in the extract from the report of Mr. Place given abave. above.

It does not appear that in the Tamil country agrestic slaves have been sold for the reco- Slavery in India, very of arrears of revenue. The collector of Tinnevelly, in 1819, stated that it was usual for 1828. very of arrears of revenue. The conector of inneventy in 1919, state that it was usual for 1920 the tabuldars, in giving an account of the property of a person offering himself as a security, to include his slaves; but he had always rejected them as unavailable property to the strear. It is observed by the board of revenue that in Malabar alone have any slaves been sold for Ibid. arrears of revenue.

It is stated in the minute of the acting third judge of the provincial court for the basis and a strategy of the acting units jung of the press occurred of a slave basing been put up to auction by order of a court, although repeated instances have occurred of courts being moved to do so." But we observe that, in the district of Tinne- Report of assistant velly, so late as 1834, after the date of that minute, a sale of slaves took place openly before judge, 1835-36. the auxiliary court in satisfaction of a decree; and we find that the judge at Coimbaconum, Appendix IX. in a report made by him in 1832, remarked that the records of his court showed that sales Slavery in India, of slaves under the orders of the court had taken place in the Trichinopoly division of that ¹⁸38. zillah. We apprehend, however, that it may be assumed with certainty that slaves are never sold now in these districts in execution of decrees.

The domestic slaves in the Tamil country are comparatively few, and, from the accounts DOMESTIC given of them, which we proceed to notice, it would appear that the term "slavery" is SLAVES. scarcely applicable to their condition.

They are divided into two classes. 1. Those employed as domestic servants. 2. Females attached to companies of dancing women.

In Tanjore, with a population exceeding a million, according to Mr. Campbell, there are 'Tanjore. not above 100 or 200 "house or domestic slaves;" meaning, no doubt, slaves employed as domestic servants in private houses and families, thus excluding those attached to the com-panies of dancing women belonging to the Hindoo temples, of whom, probably, the number is considerable in that district, where such temples abound.

In Trichinopoly, the neighbouring district, the only slaves not agrestic, it is stated, " are Slavery in India, among the dancing girls employed in the Hindoo pagodas, some of whom are purchased in 1828. infancy from indigent parents, who have no other means of providing for them." The number of this description, however, it is supposed, is not great. "Numerous examples occurred in former times (said the collector of South Arcot, in 1819) of Mahomedans purchasing Hindoos as domestic slaves, whom they circumcised and converted to their religion ; but I am informed these cases are rare now. Brahmins and other superior classes purchase Sudras also for domestic purposes, and the persons so purchased are constituted hereditary slaves. The class of dancing girls are also in the habit of purchasing young girls, chiefly from the Kykullee or weaver caste, for the purpose of educating them in their profession; and the children of those girls, if females, continue to form a portion of the company to which their mother was attached."

The judge of Madura States, that "rich natives, principally in seasons of scarcity or Appendix IX. famine, buy children of both scates, that then harves, principally in seasons or scattery or famine, buy children of both scess, and train them up as domestic servants, or they purchase the services of grown-up persons, who voluntarily sell themselves as bondsmen in times of difficulty, sometimes for life, sometimes for a term of years. These slaves are fed and clothed and comments when a third is restering the second clothed, and sometimes married, at their master's expense. Should they afterwards prove theves and rogues, they are turned adrift ; and on the other hand, should they dislike their 262. 93 master's

The Appendix IX.

.5

Ibid.

MADRAS.

master's service, they leave it, and seek shelter and service elsewhers. Yet no appeal to the authonties is ever made in such a case for the recovery of a slave." "Mussulmans also purchase Hindoo children from their parents are starving themselves, and unable to support them; but sometimes the children are stolen or kudnapped and sold to them. Such slaves rise to so mach consequence in the family in which they are brought up, that they are no longer regarded as slaves, but become as members of the family; they almost always become converts to, or are brought up from infancy in, the Mahomedan religion, and marred to females of the same fauth, but of a lower grade. After three generations, however, their descendants are considered pure Mussulmans, and are admitted to all rights and privileges as such." "Dancing women are in the habit of purchasing female children of the better castes as slaves, whom they bring up in all the accomplishments peculiar to their own profession. But these girls, after they grow up, claim equal right to the property of their mistresses, as if they were their own daughters, and after their death perform their funeral rights, and become heirs to their property, after which they become entirely free; they are, in 'fact, to all intents and purposes, on the same footing as adopted children." A n early similar account is given by the principal collector and magistrate of Madura. He observes, that the domestic slaves can hold no property; and that all the property acquired by girls purchased, to whom, however, they often become heirs. He refers to a proclamation published by a former magistrate, prohibiting the purchase of slaves,* in consequence of which, it is supposed that the number of slaves in these two classes has decreased, but not of agrestic slaves. Both the judge and the magistrate observe, that slavery, as it exists in this district, is more generally a blessing than a curse.

The assistant judge of Tinnevelly mentions that slaves are to be found of all the tribes of the Sudra caste as well as the Pariar, employed in the lighter labours of the field, but chiefly about the house. He observes, that among the higher classes of slaves, the daughters are always reserved, if of pure blood, for the harams of their masters or their relations, " and from the offspring of these alliances are taken wives for the male slaves." " From this system of connexion (he remarks), probably arises the confidence which is reposed in a female slave by a master of her own caste. She is employed in washing, bringing water to the house, and attending his children, and is exempt from all laborous dutes." The magistrate of this district, in 1825, brought to the notice of the superior withorizes, that the custom which he believed to be more or less prevalent throughout the Madras territories, "of the sale and purchase of female children by diaming women, for the avowed purpose of bringing them up to a her of immorality," was more common in Tinnevelly than elsewhere, and recommended that it should be prohibited by law, observing, "also mentioned this class of slaves, composed of female children, bought by dancing women "for devotion to the service of the pagoda deities." These, he stated, are "nover purchased after their earliest youth, and are brought up with mack care as to their accomplushments, to prepare for the dutes afterwards expected from them." Both this officer and the joint criminal judge stated, that the children to recruit this class of slaves are generally procured fing other parts of the country, particularly Travancore. The latter said, he believed they were treated by their purchasers for the most part as if they were their own daughters.

Regarding the condition and treatment of female slaves in the families of Mussulmans mathematics, little is known.

It appears to be the general opinion, that the treatment of slaves in the Tamil country has become more lement under the British rule, particularly with regard to then persons. In former times, the description exercised by masters over their slaves, it is stated, was of a very severe description; but we gather that it has been mitigated latterly, from a general impression that the spirit of our Government is unfavourable to slavery, from an apprehension that the power of inflicting punishment upon a slave is not sanctioned, and that the exercise of it might subject the master to a penality; and from the non-interference of the magnetic to help the master to recover a slave who has been driven by ill-treatment to run away, making it the interest of the emester to concluste him as the only means by which he can secure his services; and there seems to be no ground to believe that the limit of moderate correction is commonly exceeded.

Province of Malabar.

Respecting the state of alavery in Malabar, we have drawn much valuable information from the reports of Mr. Baber, formerly judge and magistrate of that district, and afterwards a judge of the provincial court; of Mr. James Vaughan, a former collector; and of Mr: Graeme, who had a special commission to inquire into the state and condition of the country and

1828, p. 934.

Slavery in India,

Appendix IX.

Slavery in India, 1838.

FEMALE SLAVES IN THE FAMILIES OF MUSSULMAND. TBEATMENT OF SLAVES UNDER BRITISH BULE.

[•] A translation of the produmation of the magistrate of Madura, referred to above, which is dated 4th October 1826, has been furnished to us. It forbuis all persons to buy or sell children, as the regulations do not authorize it, and notifies that children who may be purchased will be immediately restored, if claimed by their parents.

and people, which are to be found in the volume of Papers on Slavery in India, printed by order of the House of Commons, m 1828; and from the papers contained in the second volume, printed in 1838, particularly one drawn up by Mr. F. C. Brown, the proprietor of an estate in Malabar, chiefly cultivated by slaves, whom he possesses by inheritance from his father, Mr. Murdoch Brown, the manner and circumstances of whose acquisition of them is a subject much discussed in the correspondence, which accoupies a considerable part of the former volume. We have also referred to Mr. Baber's answers to the questions of the Board of Control, contained in the Appendix (Public) to the Report of the Select Committee of the House of Commons, dated 16th August 1832, and to the peinted accounts Buchanan's Jourof Dr. Buchanan and of Mr. Jonathan Duncan (formerly the president of the commission ney through for the management of Malabar, afterwards governor of Bombay). The other papers we have had under consideration are reports made by the local officers and courts, in 1826 and 1835, and some more recent reports of the present principal collector and magistrate, which will be found in the Appendix.

It appears that agrestic slavery prevails throughout the province of Malabar; but, com-paratively speaking, in North Malabar to a very small extent, mcreasing gradually from the northern extremity of the province to the southern and eastern boundaries.

The number of agrestic slaves in Malabar, exclusive of Wynaad, was estimated, in 1819, at about 100,000, of which number perhaps one-twentieth was in North Malabar, four-twen-theths in the Centre Talooks, and the remaining fifteen-twentieths in the South and East Talooks.

In North Malabar it appears the land is cultivated chiefly by the owners and hired Coolies; but in South Malabar nine-tenths of the cultivation, more particularly of the nice land, is carried on entirely by Chermas or slaves.

By the census taken in 1888, the number of slaves of all descriptions, as stated by the principal collector,* was 1,40,933. Mr. Brown,+ however, in the paper mentioned above, remarks, that the periodical census being taken according to the different eastes, it comprehends, under the general denomination of slaves, besides those who are actually in slavery, all those of the service castes who are possessed of independent property in land and paying revenue to government; all those who, like the voluntary settlers on his property, are dis-persed, in yearly increasing numbers throughout the country, working for themselves, and free to go wherever they please, to better their condition; and all those, a still larger number, who are settled in the neighbourhood of the towns on the set-coasts, and there deriving an independent livelihood. All these persons, he remarks, although belonging to the servile castes, have passed from the state of serf bondsmen to that of proprietors and free labourers, and they are in complete enjoyment of all the personal liberty consistent with Hindoo institutions.

The slave population appears, from the information to which we have had access, to be on the increase, contrary to the opinion expressed by Mr. Baber in his answers to the questions of the Board of Control in 1882.

Major Walker, one of the first officers employed in this province, in his report on the tenures of Malabar, observes that "the Chermas or slaves of the soil are said to have been reduced to slavery in the following manner: "The Brahmins, when § Parasharum divided among them the lands, represented to him

that without assistance they must remain uncultivated; accordingly Parasharum weat in Report, Slavery in search of the wild people, who at that time inhibited the jungles, collected them and pre- India, 1828, p. 866, souted them to the Brahmins. They were thenceforward considered as jenn, || and continue to this day to cultivate the lands in Malabar."

He states that "the Chermas are absolute property; they are part of the live stock on an estate. In solling and buying land it is not necessary that they should follow the soil; both kinds of property are equally disposable and may fall into different hands. The Chermas may be sold, leased and mortgaged, like the land itself, or like any catle or thing."

"In the same manner as the soil, the possession of Chermas was originally confined to a particular class. They were then employed entirely in the labours of agriculture, but though they were the first and sole cultivators in Malabar, it is not to be imagined that this is the case at present, since there are many Kudians, of all castes, who cultivate their own lands."

Mr. Grame, in the report made by him as commissioner in Malabar, observes, that "slaves Slavery in India, are said to have been introduced by Parasarama, for the tillage of the ground, at the time he 1828, p. 914. gave the country to the Brahmins. By others they are said to have derived their engin from the Hindoo law, or at least to have had their numbers multiplied under the operation of it. Individuals

Note of Mr. Clementson, principal collector, upon extract of Mr. Greene's report, annexed to his letter dated 19th December 1836. App. IX, + Mr. Brown says, the number of the census of 1833 was 1,46,202 He observes that the house slaves are included in the enumeration of the free castes. Since this was written we have seen a late report from the magistrate of Malabar, in which the number of slaves of all descriptions, according to the last census (the date not mentioned), is stated at 1,44,871, or about ane-seventh of the whole population. M. Howen states that he possesses by inheritance 153 slaves, male and female, and has also upon his property 105 other alaves, voluntary settlers of 10 and 20 years habitancy. Of his own slaves some have been 36 years upon the property. S & Dir. Buchmann's Journey through Malabar," &c., vol. 2, p. 848, for this tradition ; also # Historical Remarks on the Coast of Malabar," by Mr. Jonsthan Duncan, in bit vol. Asiatic Researches.

\$68.

Mysore, Malabar and Canara. Asiatic Researches, vol: 5. Appendix IX., X., XI. Slavery in India, 1828, p. 844.

MADRAS.

Appendix (Public) Report of Select Committee of the House of Commons, 16th August 1822.

ORIGIN AND NATURE OF THIS SLAVERY. Major Walker's

Individuals became out-castes or chandalas by sins against the laws of their castes, and sub-jected themselves to servitude."

Mr. Vaughan says, "By the laws and customs of the country it is as impracticable to reduce a free-born subject to a state of bondage, as it is contrary to them to emancipate a

reduce a free-born subject to a state of hondage, as it is contrary to them to emancipate a slave; and 'once a slave always a slave' may be considered a motto to be prefixed to the sub-ject of slavery in Malshar, according to the ideas of natives." "Slaves now in existence have been slaves from their birth; they are descendants of slaves, whose origin must be traced in the traditionary legends of Malabar." Mr. Brown also says, "In Malabar, indiv-

duals become slaves by being born in the castes, which, according to the Hindoar, Hurvie are servile." Dr. Buchanan, Mr. Vaughan and Mr. Brown, agree that, though in a certain sense slaves of the soil, they are not attached to particular lands, but may be transferred separately. Mr. Grame also says they may be sold with or without the land.

MADRAS.

Appendix Report Select Committee, p. 494.

"Of agrestic predial slavery," says Mr. Baber, " the origin is of very remote antiquity : the general term given for this description of slavery is 'adami,' or literally, as I understand the term, serf, aboriginal or indigenous, being held previously under the same tenures and terms as the land itself, throughout, under some alight modifications, the Malabar coast. By the common law or desh-achary, this slavery is fully recognized, having existed from time immemorial, but not so absolute as has obtained gince the Malabar coast provinces came under the Company's government, namely, of disposing of them off of separate from the soil, the land of their birth, which I consider decidedly at variance with, and in innovation of, that law, as observed in ancient times; and in this opinion I consider myself borne out as well by the traditionary legends of their origin, as by the fact I have before mentioned, of the tenures and forms of sale of slaves being precisely the same as of lands. Such a practice is, moreover, inconsistent with the due observance of their religious ceremonies, every part of Malabar having its tutelary deity, and all classes of slaves have their household gods (their lares and penates) to whom in particular they perform the same ceremones that all other castes that are free-born do to theirs. They likewise cherish the memory of their ancestors, and consecrate a spot of ground where all the members must meet and make offerings."

In support of this view, Mr. Baber quotes from a report of the commissioners, of whom Mr. Jonathan Duncan, afterwards governor of Bombay, was president, on the first settlement

the land on which they live." ۰.

the land on which they live." • . The agrestic slaves of Malabar are known generally by the name of Cherma, + but they are subdivided, according to Mr. Græme, into 21 classes or tribes, viz.; 1, Kul-ladee; 2, Kunnakun; 3, Yerlun; 4, Allur; 5, Punnian; 6, Parayan; 7, Numboo Vettoovan; 8, Kongolum; 9, Koodummer; 10, Nuttalum; 11, Malayen; 12, Koorumber; 13, Punni Malayen; 14, Adian; 16, Moopen; 16, Narken; 17, Poolyan; 18, Waloovan; 19, Ooratee; 20, Kurrumpallea; and 21, Moovilen. ‡ Of the above, it appears, that the Punnuan, Adian, Moopen, and Naiken are chiefly to be found in Wynad. § The largest class is that of the Poolyan, which, according to an account taken by Mr. Sheffield, principal collector, in 1827, as quoted by Mr. Baber, comprises about one-half the whole slave population, exclusive of Wynad. Next to it is the Kunnakun.

According to Mr. Brown, the principal classes are: 1, the Vettowan; 2, the Erehlen or Yerlen; 3, the Kunnakun; 4, the Mahen, or Malayen; 5, the Punneen, or Puniun; 6, the Poleen, or Poolyan; 7, the Pareen, or Parian of the Coromandel coast; and the above is the order of their precedence. " Even among these wretched creatures, observed Dr. Bu-chanan, the pride of caste has its full influence; and if a Churma or Poolyan be touched by

a slave of the Parian tribe, he is defiled." The Poolyan, says Mr. Brown, must remain 10 paces from the Vettowan; the Parian . The rootyan, says Mr. Brown, must remain 10 paces from the Vettowan; the Parana the like distance from the Poolyan; and the Nyadee, a free man, but of a caste lower than the lowest of the slaves, 12 paces from the Paran. The distinctions arsing from caste among these classes is illustrated by Mr. Brown by an example taken from the practice between people of the Vettowan and Poolyan classes upon his own estate. "They meet and work together on all working days, but on leaving work the Vettowans invariably bathe ere they return to their houses or taste food. After bathing they utter the usual cry, and warm the commer Poolean to put the and and metant in the practice they are the state." baths ere they return to their houses or taste food. After bathing they utter the usual cry, and warn the coming Poolyan to quit the road and retreat to the prescribed distance. There houses are obliged to be 40 paces distant from the Poolyan's; they desert their houses when less; they will not frequent the same roads, nor buy at the same bazar,—there being a separate one kept by Mahomedans for the Poolyans; nor will the children intermix in each other's games on a common play ground." "They are subdivided," says Mr. Vaughan, " into different castes or sects, observe different forms of worship, have their separate and provention. pecaliar

CLASSES SUBJECT TO THIS SLAVERY.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 419.

[•] Mr. Duncan, in a note to historical remarks in the 5th vol. of the Asiatic Researches, gives an account of the castes of Malabar, received from the rajah of Cartinad, in which the Polars are described as "bonda-men attached to the soil m the lower part of Malabar, in like manner as are the Punners above the Ghauta." † Singular, Cherma or Cherman; joural, Chermar. † According to Mr. Brown, the subdivisors are 20. According to the circuit court and Mr. Sheffield (Appendix IX.), 18. § Mr. Greeme remarks, that in the Wynaad, all the field work is done by alaves called Punnians, who are held in higher estimation than the alaves of the lower district They are admitted to the thresholds of their mas-ters houses, and are employed in grunding rice for the use of the temples.

peculiar customs, and regulate their economy in conformity to the customs handed down from father to son, from generations, the origin of which is lost." Mr. Græme observes, that "the different castes of slaves keep up a distinction between each other, and do not intermarry or eat together. With the exception of the castes of Parian and Kunnakun, the other castes of slaves abstain from eating or slaving the cow. These circumstances (he remarks) tend to strengthen the idea of their having been out-castes, and having adopted the habits of castes from which they originally ensure "" the habits of castes from which they originally sprung.

With respect to the observances of the slaves towards people of the pure castes, Mr. Græme Slavery in India, states, that "the rules of Malabar prescribe that a slave of the castes of Poolyan, Waloovan 1828, p. 920. and Paran, shall remain 72 paces from a Brahmin and from a Nair, and 48 from a Teean; See also Duncar's a slave of the Kunnakun caste 64 paces from a Brahmin and Nair, and 40 from a Teean; See also Duncan's and the other castes/generally 48 paces from a Brahmin and Nair, and 24 from a Teean; Asiatic Researchea, In the northern division (he observes), these rules are deviated from in practice in favour of vol. 5. the slaves, whilst in the southern division they are thought to be exceeded in strictness."

Mr. Brown states that the slave castes cannot approach nearer than the prescribed distances, either to the houses or the persons of the pure caste, without polluting both the one and the other. Accordingly "the lower servile classes, wherever they go, give notice of their coming by uttering a particular cry at every four or five paces; if the cry be answered by another utered in like manner by a superior, giving warning that he is approaching, the slave instantly quits the road and retires." "The Polyan is interducted the highway, as his presence would pollute the houses situate upon it. The only exception (he says) that I know to this restriction, is in the towns on the coast, particularly Calcut, where, from the presence and the countenance of Europeans, and their own numbers, Polyans may be seen on the highway. Upon my own property, where we have been settled so many years, and in my own neighbourhood, where we possess some influence, the restriction is so rigidly enforced, that my Polyans cannot approach, much less walk through the village inhabited the source of the sour by the free labourers; they cannot work on the lands near their houses, and when at work upon my own lands at a distance, or walking upon my own roads, they are obliged to leave their work and quit the road, if a child, able to speak, utter the usual cry of warning and superiority.

"So very impure are all castes of slaves held (says Mr. Baber), that they are obliged Public Appendix to erect their huts at a distance from all other habitations; neither are they allowed to Report Select approach, except within certain prescribed distances, the houses or persons of any of the free castes; those distances vary from 72 to 24 paces, as well with reference to the caste of the several grades of free men as to their own; and even among these wretched "creatures the pride of caste has its influence. If a slave accidentally touches a Brahmin, he must the price of case has the indence. If a stave accidentary buckets a Drahmin, he must purify himself by prayer and ablution, and by changing his poonool (Brahminical thread). Hence it is that slaves are obliged to leave the road and call aloud from as far off as they can see a Brahmin coming. Nairs and other castes, who purify themselves by morning ablutions, if polluted as above, must fast and bathe."

Of the classes enumerated and denominated above, those named below * follow the custom of "Murroo Mukkatayum" defined as "inheritance + by sons to the right of their mothers," or, rather, of their mothers' brothers; and the others that of "Mukkatayum," or "inheritance by sons to the right of their fathers." In the Calcut district however, "Corner alwayers ""

or "inheritance by some to the right of their rathers. In the Calcut district, however, Mr. Græme observes, "There is an anomaly in the general system among the Poolyan, the Kulladee, and the Kunnakun, which are the only castes of slaves residing there. There is a mixture of the two customs of Mukkatayum and Murroo Mukkatayum, that is, the one or the other does not obtain separately in dif-ferent families in the district; but in all the families throughout the district, the inheritance workshow of the time modes and helf of the children are meridened to get with the metidened in the district. partakes of the two modes, and half of the children are considered to go with the mother, and consequently to belong to her proprietor, and half to be attached to the father, and therefore to be the property of his master. Where the number may not admit of an equal division, the odd number is reckoned to be the mother's."

Mr. Græme states, that "the wife of a Poolyan and of all the castes who observe the Murroo Mukkatayum; may be sold separately, and may, therefore, belong to a different' master from the master of the husband, but she cannot be separated from her husband; she must be allowed to remain with him. She is purchased separately in consideration of her future offspring, which by the custom of Murroo Mukkatayum would become the property of her purchaser. In the other castes the females are not separately saleable, neither the wife nor her female children. The daughters become the temporary property of the masters of their husbands; but this right of property ceases on the death of the husband, and the wife returns to the house of her father."

The court of circuit for the western division state, generally, that the offspring of a female Appendix IX. slave, who observes the "Mukkatayum," begotten before marriage, becomes the property of her owner; those born in wedlock belong to the husband's master, but the mother, after the death of her husband, becomes the property of her former owner. The issue of a slave • who

 Poolyan, Walcovan, Oorstee, Kurrimpallen and Mavilen.
 + For an account of this custom of unheritance among the Nairs, which has been adopted by other classes, see Buchanan, vol. 8, p 412. Also Thackeray's Report, No. 23. Appendix 5th Report Select Committee of House of Commons, 1812, p. 801; and Duncar's "Remarks," Assatic Researches, vol. 5 R

262.

Committee, p. 427.

#

120

MADRAS.

who observes the Murroo Mukkatayum becomes the property of the female's owner. Exceptions, however, are mentioned in the talook of Koorumbarnaud and in Wynaad. In a valuation is put upon the one, and the amount divided. In Wynasd, a valuation is put upon the offspring, and the amount divided between the owners of the male and female slaves. It is not stated how, in the latter case, the children are disposed of.

According to Mr. Sheffield, the magistrate of Malabar, whose report is referred to in that of the court of circuit last cited, in all the tribes of slaves except the Poolyan, the femule slave on her marriage leaves the estate of her own master and accompanies her husband, with whom she resides, and her master cannot oblige her to return to his estate, unless she survive or be divorced from her husband. With regard to the Poolyan tribe, who observe the Murroo Mukkatayum, the prevailing custom in the Chowghaut, Kootnaud, Ernaud and Betulnaud districts, is for the husband to reside in the house of his wife; in the remain-ing talooks, the wife invariably resides in her husband's house. In every part of the province, he says, the usage decidedly imposes upon the masters the obligation to allow their married always to live together. Mr Holland the index states the comslaves to live together. Mr. Holland, the judge, states the same.

Mr. Sheffield states, that in Temalapoorum, with the exception of the Pariar and Kunna-kun castes, females are purchased and given in marriage to the male slaves by the masters; but that this custom does not exist any where else.

It is usual, he says, for the male slave to present the owner of the female, on the occasion of their marriage, with a few fanams, and some articles of trifling value, with which he is supplied for the purpose by his own master; but nothing more is given to the owner of the female slave.

The female slave, he adds, while* hving with her husband, works for the latter's master, from whom it is not customary for the owner of the former to demand compensation, nor is any thing paid to him by the master of the hushand for the loss of her services; the latter is, however, bound to maintain the wife as long as she resides with her husband. After his death she is sent back to her own master. The male Poolyan slave, who resides at the house of his wife, goes daily to work for his own master. The owner of the wife cannot, in

house of his wire, goes use, a sum any manner, command his services. Mr. Vaughan states, that "no valuable consideration is given by the male for possession of the female to her owner. The contract may be dissolved at the pleasure of the

Mr. Baber remarks, that "although the ceremony of marriage is observed, it is not indissoluble; the man may separate from his wife, and also, provided he has her consent, part with her to another, on his paying back to his master his marriage expenses, which seems but just, since he originally defrayed them, and must again, if his slave take another wife.

Dr. Buchanan also remarks, speaking of the Poolyan, that when a man becomes tired of his wife, and she gives her consent, he may sell her to any other person who will pay back If she choose to go back to the hut of her parents, they and her to any other person who will pay back what they received for her; but if she choose to cohabit with any other master must pay back what they received for her; but if she choose to cohabit with any other man, the whole expense is lost. They are, however, he observes, "seldom guilty of this injustice." The marriages of the Pariars, he says, are similar to those of the Poolyan.

The whole cost of a marriage, which is defrayed by the master, he states, is about 241 fanams, or 16s. 1 d.

In describing the nature of the agrestic slavery in Malabar, it has been shown that the slaves are not now considered as attached to the soil, and privileged to abide on the estates on which they have been born and bred, and, practically, are treated as the absolute property of their masters, and subject to transfer, + with or without the land, like any other stock; though Mr. Baber, on grounds which are deserving of attention, contends that the practice of selling slaves off the land of their birth is a modern innovation.

He censures the practice as cruel and oppressive, asserting that it has the effect " of separating husbands and wives, parents and children, and thus severing all the nearest and dearest associations and ties of our common nature;" but it will be seen, from the infor-

mation we have cited, that he is in error as to the separation of husband and wife. "How or whence the practice originated," he observes, " it would be difficult to say; but I have no doubt, and never had in my own mind, that it has derived support, if not its origin, from that impolitic measure in 1798, of giving authority to the late Mr. Murdock Brown, while overseer of the Company's \pm plantation in Malabar, to purchase as many slaves as he might require to carry on the works of that plantation."

From

• Dr. Buchanan observes, that the females are allowed to marry any person of the same caste with them-selves, and their labour is always exacted by their husband's master, the master of the gri having no authority over her so long as she lives with another mail salave; a sustom which henoites with approtation as one that ought to be recommended to the West India planters. † The provincial court say, that the competency of the master to transfer the slave by cale, mortgage or lease, has never, they believe, been disputed or doubted; but they add, that no final decree has ever been passed by the court, whereby property exclusively in slaves (that is, without reference to the land to which they helong) has been recognized or rejected. j This plantation appears to have been made over by the Company to Mr. M. Brown, and is now in the possession of his son. Mr. F. C. Brown, of whose information on the subject of alayery in Malabar we have have have have been made over by the company to Mr. M. Brown, and is now in the possession of his son. Mr. F. C. Brown, of whose information on the subject of alayery in Malabar we have

SALE OF SLAVES.

Public Appendix Report from Select Commitee, p. 424.

Appendix IX.

largely availed ourselves.

From the correspondence on this subject, which will be found in the volume of Papers on P. 594 to 598. Slavery in India, printed in 1828, it is evident that the practice did not originate in the order Slavery in India, printed in 1828, it is evident that the practice do not originate in the outer of the Bombay government, referred to by Mr. Baber, the purchase of slaves having been senctioned by that order, expressly "on the ground of its not being incompatible with the subsisting regulations for the province." This is clear also from the report of Mayar Walker, Slavery in India, quoted above. And we find that while the Company had only a factory at Tellucherry long 1828, p. 683. before the acquisition of the territory, the transfer of slaves was recognized and sanctuoned by an order of the government of Bombay requiring such transfers to be "registered in the effort of the forter on the notice of the proving how the sumb begins to be successed office of the factory, on the parties attending and proving how the vendor became possessed of the slave. But it is worthy of observation, that the commissioners in Malabar declined to permit Mr. Brown to purchase slaves, without the express sanction of government; ob-serving, that although they were persuaded that, under Mr. Baber's superintendence, none of those evils could arise which the first Malabat commissioner's proclamation, prohibiting the sale of slaves, was well-calculated to prevent, yet they were fully aware that it might encourage the vicious part of the community to plunder the weaker class of ryots. They, therefore, considered this mode of procuring labourers, especially in the then state of the country, as impolitic. They feared, it seems, that encouragement would be given to the stealing of slaves from their masters, or the kidnapping or enslaving of free persons. It is to be remarked, however, that the order they referred to, which was passed in 1793, did not Ibid, p. 681. prohibit the sale of slaves within the province, but "the practice of shipping kidnapped and other natives as slaves."

This was pointed out by Mr. M. Brown, in his reply to the commissioners; and the Ibid. p. 597. government of Bombay (of which Mr. Dancan, who as commissioner had passed the order, was the head), appears to have acquiesced in his construction of it.

But there can be no doubt that the practice of buying and selling slaves separately from V the land derived support from the sanction given to it by government in this instance, and Ibid. p. 686. in another mentioned by the judges of the court of circuit (in their report upon the proceedings of Mr. Baber, in the case of certain kidnapped children, who were discovered on Mr. Brown's plantation in the condition of slaves), in which " a considerable number of bondsmen, who were the property, and found on the seatae of a person named Shinoo Putlen, formerly canakar of the rajahs of Koormanand, whose property was confiscated on account of his having gone into rebelhon, were, about the year 1800-1, transferred to Mr. Brown's charge to be employed on the plantation."

And without entering into the question of Mr. M. Brown's conduct, in the case alluded to, it may be remarked, that the admission of the court of circuit (whose views on the subject differed very much from those of Mr. Baber),—that it was "very apparent that numbers of the inhabitants of Travancore had been introduced (into Malabar) in a state of slavery, and but too often reduced to this situation by every criminal means,"-justified the sharery, and but too oten reducen to this situation by every criminal means, — Justine the apprehension of the commissioners; under which they had been unwilling to give any sanction to a practice so likely to lead to abuse. It is further worthy of notice, that the abduction of slaves " sometimes with their consent, frequently without it," is reported to be a common offence, "causing serious affrays, when not unfrequently the unfortunate Chermas, Slavery in India, the flath of content on a practice of the given of others." the flesh of contention, are sufferers for the crimes of others.

It is gratifying to us here to observe, that the government of Madras has lately come to Appendix X. the resolution of emancipating the slaves upon government lauds in Malabar; which has been approved by the Court of Directors;* and that the practice of realizing arrears of revebeen approved by the Court of Directors;* and that the practice of realizing arrears of reve-nue due to government by selling slaves off the land, — which, Mr. Baber remarks, cannot fail to have confirmed propretors in the too ready disposition to consider their slaves as nuch property as any chattel or thing,—was long ago abolished. And we may also remark here, that we have not found any late notice of the practice of kidnapping slaves and free persons, in Travancore, and elsewhere, to supply the demand for slaves in Malabar; the suppression of which traffic is probably owing greatly to Mr. Baber's exertions. Slaves may be sold, † then, according to the practice which has prevailed, if not from the first, yet as lar back as our records extend to, with or without the soil; and Mr. Greme adds, " in a different place from that of their birth or of their usual residence; they may be disposed of in another tallock, but it must be contiguous, and that seems the utmost extent

disposed of in another talook, but it must be contiguous, and that seems the utmost extent to which the power of removal goes. It is not customary at least," he says, " to send them to a great distance, and such a measure would be considered unwarrantable, cruel, and, if not justifying, at least causing, desertion."

"They may be let out," Mr. Græme states, "on simple rent, or mortgaged under the deeds SLAVES LET OUT of Veerompatum, Puneyum, Kanum, Wotte and Uttipar." roa Higs or

From the descriptions of these deeds[‡] as applied to land, we understand that the first two are

• The same has been done in Coorg, as will be shown more particularly in the sequel. † Mr. Brown says, slaves are sold but rarely in the present day, at pleasure, for this reason, that if they abscond, the purchaser is left to his own means to recover them. He therefore takes care previously to ascer-tain whether they are willing to become his slaves, and often gives them a trial. They may be sold in legal execution for the debts of their masters, but custom imposes a strong restriction to seeling them separate from their families, even if their low value did not make the inhumanity gratuitous

a mainless of our a later for value and only make the mainling gravitous
 The assistant judge of Malabar, in 1833-5, submitted with his report the forms of the deeds in use; viz. Appendix IX.
 I. Doed of sale absolute—full value received.
 Dutto of mortgage, slaves made over to mortgages.
 Dutto of lease, apparently for the year.

262.

1838, p. 403.

MORTGAGED.

4. Deed

MADRAS.

No. 23, Appendix 5th Report Select Committee of the House of Commons, 1812. Buchanan's Journey, vol. 2, p. 370.

are forms of lease, and the others mortgages. Under each form of mortgage, the slave, we apprehend, is delivered over to the mortgagee, and by the last the mortgage is foreclosed, and the property irrevocably and completely transferred. Dr. Buchanan explains, that "there are three modes of transferring the usufruct of slaves. The first by jennam, or sale, but the state of the slave is guide and the property is anticiply to prove the state of the slave is guided." where the full value of the slave is given, and the property is entirely transferred to a new master, who is in some measure bound by his interest to attend to the welfare of his slave." "A young man with his wife will sell for from 250 to 200 fanams, or from 61. 4s. to 71.8s. 114d. Two or three young children will add 100 fanams, or 21. 9s. 72 d. to the value of the family. Two or three young children will add 100 tanams, or 24. 9s. 7g d. to the value of the family. Four or five children, two of whom are beginning to work, will make the family worth 500 to 600 fanams, or from 124. 8s, 3d. to 144. 7s. 11d." "The second manner of transferring the labour of slaves is by canum, or mortgage. The proprietor receives a loan of money, generally two-thirds of the value of the slave; he also receives annually a small quantity of rice to show that his property in the slave still exists; and he may re-assume this pro-perty whenever he pleases to repay the money borrowed, for which, in the meanwhile, he pays no interest. In the case of any of the slaves dying, he is bound to supply another of equal value. The lender maintains the slaves, and has their labour for the interest of his money and for their support. The third manner of femploying slaves is by repting them for money and for their support. The third manner of employing slaves is by renting them for patom, or rent. In this case, for a certain annual sum, the master gives them to another man, and the borrower commands their labour and provides them with their maintenance. The annual hire is eight fanams, 3s. 11 id. for a man, and half as much for a woman.

In Mr. Græme's report is a table taken from the written testimony of the principal inhabit-ants of each district, which he observes "may be presumed to show, in an authenticated state, the sums for which the slaves are generally leased, mortgaged and sold."

By this it appears that the price of a male varies from 40 to 250* gold fanams; of a woman from 25 to 210; of a boy from 10 to 100.+

The proportion of the amount for which a slave is mortgaged to his price on sale is apparently on the average about two-thirds, as stated by Dr. Buchanan; but we observe that the maximum amount advanced on mortgage is, with respect to several of the castes, stated as high as the sale price.

The rent; for which slaves are let out by the year is stated lower than in Dr. Buchanan's account, the highest for a man being 7 } gold faname, and varying from that to three gold fanams.

We observe that, in the table given by Mr. Græme, in several of the castes females are omitted, as if they were not subject to sale, lease or mortgage. And we see that Mr. Hol-land, judge of Malabar, in 1826, mentions that he has "heard it said that the females of the Kanaka and Erala castes were, previously to our acquisition of Malabar, considered as exempted from bondage." He "doubts whether this usage is allowed by slave-owners to exist at present," "but alludes to it as matter for inquiry." Females of the two castes here mentioned, we remark, are omitted in the table.§

Mr. Grame observes, that "it is very generally admitted that the price of slaves has risen since the Company's government; this is attributed to the increased demand for them; and the demand again owes its rise to the tranquillized state of the country, to an extended cultivation, and to a greater number of teeans and others of the lower classes having become cultivators of land, than was usual under the former custom of the country." But if any judgment can be formed from the partial statement of the assumed prices of the slaves lately emancipated on the government estates, it would lead to a contrary inference, for the present time, the highest price for a male slave there given being below the average in Mr. Græme's table.

The

- * Deed of pledge, as security for the performance of a contract for the delivery of a quantity of paddy ; if not delivered, the slaves to be made over to work out the interest of the debt due by the contractor.

5. Deed of transfer of a debt for which a alave was mortgaged: it is not expressed that the slave is included in the transfer.
 A document certifying the transfer of slaves (without land), by sale, in execution of a decree, was also submitted by the assistant judge.

According to Mr. Grame's table, 31 gold fanams are equal to a rupee, which, at the present official rate of exchange, is equal to two shillings.

• • Mr. Barber remarks upon the same table, that the largest sum the highest class alave will fetch is 250 old gold fanama, equal to 64 5s., and the highest rent 7 is per annum, equal to 3s. 9d.; but the average selling price of all is 132 old gold fanama, equal to 3t. 6s., and average annual rent 5 fm., equal to 2s. 6d.; while the prices of the lowly Pooling Chermas, who compose more than half the aggregate algregate group of the other castes.

In a list of slaves on government lands in Malabar, ordered by the Madras government to be emancipated, the annual rent for a male is stated at various rates, from 6 as. 1 p. to 2 rs. for a male, and from 4 as. 7 p. to 1 r. 11 as. 5 p. for a female, and the price for a male from 12 m. 8 as. to 28 m. 9, 2, and for a female, from 10 rs. to 42 rs. 13, 9; the highest price for a male being equal to about 24. 17 e, and for a female, 44.5a.

from 10 78. to 42 rs. 15, 9; the Enginest price for a maic being equal to about 24. 174, and for a trimate, st. 54. § In special appeal No. 2, of 1828, on the file of the zillah court of Malabar, which will be found in the Appendix IX., wherein three male and three female Cherma slaves of the Kunnaka caste were the subjects of action, Mr. Holland admitted the special appeal on the ground that Kunnaka Cherma females were not before the time of the English Government subject to the alavery which their male relations suffer, and no subsequent law authorizes the aggravation of alavery in any way. In this opinion, the Hundoo law officer, a native of Palghant, in Malabar, concurred. And the judge, Mr. Maclean, who disposed of the case, passed a decree accordingly, dismissing the claim to hold the females as alaves, and directing the original plantiff to bring a fresh suit for the male slaves alone.

The following table of the allowances to slaves compared with those to free labourers in the different districts of Malabar is extracted from Mr. Græme's report :---

` `	, a			Daily Allowand	e of Paddy for	TOTAL	Daily Allowance of		
,	ر دیگی جر پیشینیس		A Male Slave.	Female Slave.	1.m.	"Paddy for a "" Free Labourer.			
		, ,		MacLeod Secre.	MacLeod Seers.	MacLeod Seers.	MacLeod Seers.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	•	. Ø			
	Calicut -	-	4	[' '1] '	ĩ	21	[.2 <u>\$</u>		
	Betulnad 🔹	¥	-	11	# 1	2	2		
•	Chowghaut 🐪	·	-	1 12	14 '	3	21		
	Temelpore -	•	-	N 12	11	8	21		
	Palghaut -	-	-	12	1	3.	2		
	Walooyanad	-	-	12	; 1 ,	{ ¢ 2₹	21		
	Ernad -	-	-	1 Iĝ	's 1	21	21		
	Nedoonganad	-	-	1 12	1/	22	``2 ‡ ''`		
	Shernad -	່ '	-'	1.	'1 '' '	2}	2		
	Koorumbanad	142	7	11	1 1	21	2		
1	Cavov -	-	.	124	1	127	21 >		
	Cherikal -	-	۶.,	14	1.	· · · •2≩	2		
	Kartnad -	•	- É	11	1	21-	2 '		
	Kotiote -	-	-	11	1	2	23		
	Tellicherry	-	-	1	1	21	21		

" N. B .-- The rates here mentioned may vary in respect to the price of paddy."

Mr. Brown states, that the above table is substantially correct; but, with reference to the note subjoined to it, he affirms that the rules are invariable, whatever may be the price of paddy. It will be observed that the allowance for a male and female slave together, is generally rather more than a free labourer can earn, independently of what may be gathered by his wife and children; the proportion of the male slave's allowance taken separately, being to that of the free labourer cenerally about as three to five.

Mr. Baber remarks, with reference to the same table, that nothing is there stated as allowed to young or aged; but adds, that it is within his own knowledge that this is generally half what able-bodied men and women receive, provided they do some work. Dr. \checkmark Buchanan says, children and old persons past labour get one-half, but no allowance is made for infants.

Besides the ordinary allowances, masters "give presents of clothes, oil, or grain, or a few Mr. Græme. fanams on a birth, death, or marriage, in the family of a slave," and on other occasions. Mr. Baber. And in the harvest time slaves are entitled to the crop of certain portions of the different Dr. Buchanan says fields as a compensation for watching them.

In the caste of Pooliun, which is considered the most industrious and docile and most trustworthy, a further fee of the same description is given to a kind of head man, whose duty it is to prevent the inroads of cattle on a large tract of rice land belonging to different masters. Mr. Baber states that many of the Mopilla or Mahomedan part of the community allow their slaves during working seasons cooked rice or conjee (rice water), at moon, and their treatment of their slaves generally is more liberal than that of their Hindoo neighbours.

"In most places (Mr. Græme" states) slaves are fed by their masters throughout the year, but their allowance, on days that, they have no work, is <u>only half</u> of what is fixed when they are employed.⁺ In several places on the coast, however, they are only paid when they work, and when not employed by their masters, they seek subsistence elsewhere. In the neighbourhood of large towns this is no hardship; on the contrary, they acquire much more m carrying grass, firewood, and other things, to the market, and in working for others, than a they can get from their masters; and slaves in this situation are in finer condition, more intelligent, and more cheerful than they are elsewhere. The only hardship to them is that they are obliged to obey their master's requisition for attendance upon an inadequate allowance."

262.

Mr. Græme. Mr. Baber. Dr. Buchanan says one-twentieth of the gross produce. Mr. Brown, onetenth of what they daily reap and gather.

MADRAS.

[•] Mr. Vasighan says, the proprietor is bound to see the fixed allowance served out to his slaves daily. A frequent failure on the part of the master to perform this duty is sure to be attended with desertion to another, from whom they expect kinder usage, and when this does take place, the recovery of them is attended with difficulties that are not easily oversome, for, independently of being obliged to have recourse to courts of justice, months and years, perhaps, elapse before they can discover to what place the slave absconds. Mr. Graeme mentions that the slaves in the districts adjoining the Coorg country show their sense of filtreatment of description.

[•] Mr. Grame notices that, in the Palghaut district, shaves have what they have not in others, employment throughout the year; when their presence is not required in the rice cultivation, they have to bring wood for field and building from a distance, which is not necessary in the districts where the cocca-aut grows in abundance near the houses of the inhabitants.

MADRAS.

ance." With respect to those who reside " in those remote parts where there is no demand for their labour (Mr. Baber says), they are left to eke out a miserable existence by feeding ance." J upon wild yams, and such refuse as would only be sought after by that extreme wretched-ness 'that envied the husks that the swine did est,' and not unfrequently are they tempted heres 'that envice the nurses that the source out out, and not conrequently are they tempted by the cravings of hunger to rob gardens of jack (arto carpus), plantains (musa), cocca-nuts, &c." "But the slave (says Mr. Grame) is scarcely ever exposed to the extremity of actual starvation; and it has been stated, by respectable public authority, and I understand with correctness, that a beggar of this caste is seddoni or never to be found." In another place, however, he observes that the slave in the interior is a wretched, half-starved, diminutive crea-ture, stinted in his food, and exposed to the inclemencies of the weather. And he again ture, stinted in mis 100d, and exposed to the inclemences of the weather. And ne again remarks, that "their diministive and squalid appearance " sufficiently indicates that they do not enjoy that comfortable state of existence which every person should at least have it in his power to acquire by his labour." He remarks at the same time that there are no doubt many free ment "who are equally indigent with the slave." Common free labourers, he says, are able to procure work for eight months only in the year, or 20 days of each of the 12 months.

With respect to clothing, Mr. Baber + states, that "the allowance consists of a waist cloth, wrap round their loins, and moori, signifying a fragment, to women : it is just large enough to wrap round their loins, and is of the value of from one to two fanams, equal to from 6 d, to 1e; in some districts this is given but once a year, but more generally twice, at certain festivals, which fall in September and May. None of the women (Hindoos) wear upper garments : which fall in September and May. None of the women (Hindoos) wear upper garments : there is a colloqual saying, "chaste women require no covering, prostuties only require to cover themselves." As a substitute for these waist clothes, it is very common with slaves, especially in the retired parts of the country, to use or wear bunches of leaves, generally of the wild plantain tree, supported by a fibre of some tree or vine." Mr. Baber mentious, that female slaves, particularly those belonging to Mopillas, "neglect not to adom their persons with necklaces of cowry shells, glass beads, and brass bracelets, form or a commun".

finger and ear-rings.

"The slaves erect for themselves small temporary huts, that are little better than large baskets. These are placed in the nce fields when the crop is on the ground; and near the stacks while it is thrashing." The slave (says Mr. Græme) "has his sieve of a hut in the centre of the rice lands." "
"They are permitted to dwell together in huts at a certain distance from the house of

their master when the crop is not on the ground.'

With respect to their employment, Mr. Baber says, "it is always in agricultural pursuits, because they are more expert in them than any other class of the people; these, however, are not confined to manuring, ploughing, sowing, harrowing, hoeing, reaping and thrashing, but they are likewise employed in fencing, tending cattle, watching the cattle, and even in carrying agricultural produce, it not being customary to use carts or cattle in the trans-portation to market; and, when the harvest is over, in felling trees, and preparing materials for house-building, &c., and this without intermission of a single day, so long as their master can find employment for them." "The slave has to toil from morn until evening, with no other sustenance than his morning's conjee (rice water) and evening meal, after which he has to keep watch, by turns, at night, in sheds erected on an open platform in the centre of the paddy field, several feet under water, exposed to the inclemency of the weather, to scare away trespassing cattle or wild animals." Mr. Brown says, "field slaves are employed and are worked in the same way as free labourers. In North Malabar they may be seen working apart in the same field with their Nair master and his family. Like them, they are employed in raising the staple productions of the country, rice, pulse, pepper, cocce-nut, betel-nuts, and cardamons. They do not work in gangs, nor ander a driver, but as farm-servants do in England, under a bailiff. They work from nine to ten hours a day, all the days of the week, except the customary holidays and festivals, if their master has work for them; if not, they each for most should be a term to be a start of the second seek for work elsewhere; an crop tune they probably work harder, because they are paid one-tanth of what they daily reap or gather. Task work is known and used; the lash is not used to either sex to make them work if they are well, and if they do not come to work, they are not paid."

POWER OF MAS-TERS OVER THE According to Major Walker, § " the jenmankar (or absolute proprietor) by the ancient laws of Malabar, is accountable to no person for the life of his own Chermas, but is the legal PERSONS OFTHEIR JUDGE of their offences, and may punish them by death if they should appear to deserve it." SLAVES FOR COERCION AND CORRECTION. The kolloo-naven (a temporary and conditional master by mortgage, or lease, we presume,) conneither put to death a Cherma nor sell him, but he may chastase him." Mr. Grame, how may be the state of th however.

principal collector. † According to Dr. Buchanan, a male slave annually gets seven cubits of cloth, and a woman 14 cubits. † "Our slaves (says Mr. Brown) have always had Sundays to themselves besides " § Mr. Vaughan also says, apparently upon the authority of Major W., that "in former days the pro-prictor possessed the power of life and death over his alaves, but this was probably seldom or never had recourse to."

CLOTHING.

' DWELLINGS. Dr. Buchanan.

EMPLOYMENT.

CORRECTION.

[•] Dr. Buchanan observes, that the ordinary allowance would be totally inadequate to support the slaves, but on each estate they got one twenty-first part of the gross produce of the rac m order to encourage their care and industry. Yet their dominutive stature and squahd appearance show evidently a want of adequate nourshment. Mr. Brown, however, affirms that, ir point of food and in other respect, their condition is not wilely different from that of the bulk of the lower castes of free labourers; and thus a stated also by the principal collector.

however, says, "It is not admitted that the proprietors of slaves had, at any time, the power V MADRAS. of life and death over them; that measure of severity was never executed except under the sanction of the nadwallee of the district, in particular cases, but generally, of the

rajah." "Their authority over them extended only to corporal punishment, and confining in the stocks, and they still chastise them for petty offences."

But from the written * statements of the inhabitants of the different districts of Malabar, Slavery in India, m answer to queries from Mr. Vaughan, it appears that in former times greater severities 1828, p. 847, et were exercised by the master, and that mutilation by cutting off the nose was not an seq. uncommon punishment; at present, it seems, corporal punishment, not so severe as to injure the body, is usual, to which is added confinement and putting in the stocks, and when the slave is very refractory he is occasionally made to labour in chains. Mr. Baber affirms, that he has repeatedly observed on the persons of slaves marks and scars from stripes inflicted by the rattan. The pundit of the provincial court, himself a slave owner, says, that the masters inflict punshment for misbehaviour by a few stripes on the back with a rope, Appendix IX. or a thun branch. The sherishtadar, and Malabar monashes, of the same court, say, that further than punishing for refusing to remain under them or neglect of duty or misconduct, masters do not ill-treat their slaves, though instances have been known of such punishment having occasioned mortal injury. The assistant-judge of Malabar observes, that "by the Hindoo law, owners may inflict moderate corporal punishment upon their slaves for petty offences; slaves submit to such chastisement without making any complaint. In cases of serious ill-usage, masters have been punished on the prosecution of the slaves without reference to their relation."

reference to, their relation." * Mr. Græme says, "The slaves of Malabar are equally well defended by the British law against any enormous stretch + of power, as any other subjects of the British Government; and the collector and magistrate of the province (he observes) declares, that there have been few complaints of ill-usage, though instances have not been wanting of the proprietors having been brought to justice for wounding and murdering them." But he remarks upon this statement, "if the ill-usage alluded to is that of corporal punishment, or of neglecting to supply a proper quantity of food, it must be observed, that in most places slaves have been fow entirely dependent upon their masters, and the interference of the magisterial authority has hitherto been so systematically withheld from regulating the modus of their daily food, that they could not, with any regard to the interest of themselves and families, resort to a higher power. Even the cases of wounding and murdering are probably brought to notice more by the agency of the police officers than of their neglecting, which (though a vast number of crimes are occurring which are never reported) did not contain cases of wounding and even murdering slaves, chiefly brought to light by the efforts of the police'; though, generally speaking, they are the most enduring, unresisting and unoffiching classes of the people."

In a report made by him, as judge of circuit in the western division, Mr. Baber brought INSTANCES OF to the notice of the Foujdary Adawlut two cases of murder, in which the deceased were CBUELTY. slaves; "one had deserted, it was supposed, from ill-treatment; the other had, through Slavery in India, hunger, stolen a few handfuls of the paddy he had been set to watch," and proposed, among 1828, p. 907. other measures for amehorating the condition of the slaves suggested by the instances of maltreatment which the calendar exhibited, that all personal injuries done to a slave by his master should be estimated like personal injuries in other cases. It was upon this occasion Ibid. p. 908. that the Foujdary Adawlut issued the order to which we have several times adverted, declaring the law as expounded by their law officers with respect to the power of the master to chastise his slave, and his liability to punishment for exceeding that power. The follow-ing is the exposition of the law officers : " Under the Mahomedan law, a master is competent to inflict correction (tazeer) upon his own slave. If, therefore, the master should, in a lawful manner, correct his slave for committing an act by which tazeer is incurred, he is not liable to punishment; but if a master should chastise his slave without his having been guilty of any offence incurring tazeer, or in the event of the slave having committed such an offence, if the master should not correct him in a lawful manner, but treat him with violence and cruelty, the master would be hable to tazeer."

" The court, under the foregoing exposition of the law, considered that the existing regulations sufficiently provide for the punishment of our new, consucred that the calling teght cruelty; and with a view to discourage and prevent as much as possible the practices alluded to by the third judge, they directed that the several magistrates be désired to make public the foregoing provision of the Mahomedan law, by a circular notification to the police-officers under their jurisdiction respectively, and that they be enjoined to apprehend all

262.

R4

[•] See Mr. Baber's comments, p. 428, Appendix I. Report of Select Committee of House of Commons, 16th August 1832. † In another place he says, against injury to their lives or limbs, or any great severity of all usage; Mr. Brown understands that the precise condution of the service scates under Eintsk law, as to he and limb, is the same as that of freemen, that they are recognized to be to the same extent under the protection of the sivil modificate. civil magistrate.

 $[\]pm$ Mr. G. here alludes to Mr. Vaughan, who said the alayes might be considered as well protected by the laws as any other race of beings, but from the tenor of his preceding remark it seems that he meant only that, in respect to life, they are equally safe.

MADRAS.

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 927.

all persons charged with cruelty towards their own slaves, in hke manner as they are directed to apprehend persons charged with other crimes of a heinous nature," observing that "it will be the duty of the criminal judges in such cases, whenever the acts established in evidence may appear to demand greater punishment than they are competent to inflict, to commit the offenders for trial before the court of curcuit."

In another circuit report, in 1823, Mr. Baber officially brought forward a case tried by him, in which evidence had been given of the barbarous mutilation of slaves by cutting off their noses.* Upon this case he made the following observations :

subsistence, independent of their owners or employers, their repairing to and attending upon a public cutchery is a thing physically impossible; and even though those provisions of the regulations that require all complaints to be preferred in writing were dispensed with in favour of slaves, and they were exempted from the payment of tolls at the numerous ferres they would have to pass, and though an allowance were made to them by government during their detention at the cutcherries and courts, unless forfeiture of the right of property over slaves was the penalty for ill usage, their situation would only become more intolerable than it was before they complained."

In reviewing Mr. Baber's report, the Foujdary Adawlut observed, that the instances of In reviewing Mr. Baber's report, the Foujdary Adawlut observed, that the instances of barbarous crueity mentioned by him had occurred some years before, and, adverting to the order above noticed, they expressed a hope, that no act of this nature could now be perpe-trated, without bringing exemplary punishment upon the offender. They desired that "instructions should be given to the parbuttees and potails to apprehend any person guilty of an act of crueity towards his slave; and that, when the potail may be the offender, the curnum or shanabogue should report the case to the tabsildar." They ordered that, " the, magistrates should also be enjoined, in their circuits, to inquire particularly as to whether offences of this nature are allowed to pass without notice, and that all instances of connivance at the ill-treatment of slaves on the part of police-officers should be severely punished." " It might also be useful (they remarked) to cause a registry to be made of individuals who have suffered the mutilation, of which instances are given in the report, with a view to ascertain suffered the multilation, of which instances are given in the report, with a view to ascertain whether similar atrocities continue to be committed." And finally, the court observed, that "the perpetrators of any of the cruelties, instanced by the third judge, who may have com-mitted them since the general regulations were put in force in the provinces of Malabar, were of course liable to punishment, notwithstanding the time which might have elapsed since then course tracted by their perpetration.

In a report made some years after by another circuit judge, of the same division, we find further mention of ill-treatment, to which the slaves are occasionally subject.

It is to be observed, that only acts of cruelty are contemplated as punishable, the right of the It is to be observed, that only acts of cruelty are contemplated as punishable, the right of the master to chastuse has slave moderately being recognized by the order referred to, which remains in full force for the guidance of the magistrates and officers of police and the criminal courts. The order was specially noticed in a report from the Sudder and Foujdary Adawlut to Government, under date the 18th April 1831, in which it was stated, that "the judges did not think that the correction which a master may lawfully inflict on his slave can be defined with greater precision than is done by the law officers in their opinion recited in it." And in a late report referring to an observation made by the government of Indta, that " much variance in the practice of magistrates exists as to recognizing the right of moderate cor-rection, by a master, of his slave," the judges remark, "that the circular order of the Foujdary Adawlut of the 27th November 1820 has laid down a uniform course of procedure in this respect, and that, insamuch as no specific penalty is prescribed in the regulations roujuary Adawiut of the 27th Provember 1820 has laid down a uniform course of procedure in this respect, and that, inasmuch as no specific penalty is prescribed in the regulations for assaults exceeding the jurisdiction of the magistrate under section 32, Regulation IX. of 1816, the criminal judge is required, under the provisions of section 7, Regulation X. of 1816, as illustrated by the circular order of the 28th January 1828, to be guided in such cases by the Mahomedan law, which does not make a master liable to punishment for correcting his slave, in a lawful manner, for an offence incurring discretionary punishment under that . law."

We must note, however, that the judges of this court in 1886, in submitting the answers of the subordunate courts and magistrates to the questions of the law commission, took occasion to observe, that though, under the circular order in question, "the magis-trates might recognize the right of a master to inflict tazeer on his own slave in certain cases therein exclude it mysterion is written to such duration is made". therein specified, in practice it would appear that no such distinction is made.

And

lbid. p. 926.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 404.

Ibid. p. 428.

Dated 17th July 1839, Appendix

Appendix IX.

^{*} Amongst the witnesses for the prosecution, two Chermas appeared who had been thus mutilated

And it was said by the magistrate of Malabar at the same time, that "the relation of master and slave has never been recognized as justifying acts which would otherwise be punishable, or as constituting a ground for mitigation of the punishment; and by the criminal judge, that no distinction is recognized in the criminal courts between a freeman and slave;" Appendix IX. which statement is repeated by the court of circuit. Mr. Baber says, "There is no legal objection that I am aware of to slaves possessing property of their own, independent of their masters." "Out of all the examinations sent up by the late collector, Mr. Vaughan, there are but three wherein it is contended that whatever slaves may accure the master has a right to: and those are from the principal inhabitants

slaves may acquire the master has a right to; and those are from the principal inhabitants of the less civilized country of Wynaad, where they have, I know, many peculiar customs it is to be observed, that in neither of the other depositions is the right unequivocally admitted ; they merely allow the possibility of the thing, coupled or rather qualified with the condition of doing their master's work. Mr. Warden mentions as a fact, within his knowledge, one of the zarmorin's slaves holding property of his own, though it is the only one he can call to recollection. Pandura Kanaken, an inhabitant of South Malabar, is, I imagine, the instance in question. In North Malabar there is one also, named Karimbai. Poolla, who has considerable property of his own, and is, I understand, quite independent of any master. Some of the slaves sow dry grains and cultivate yams, and I have seen also a few plantain trees, and now and then a solitary jack tree, in the ground adjoining their chala huts, the

fruits of which they enjoy, but the right in the soil and in the tree is in the masters." Mr. Brown states, "They may and do acquire property for themselves, and hold it against their master.'

Mr. Græme observes, that "in Malabar a few individual instances are mentioned of slaves holding land on patom, or lease, and of their being responsible for the government revenue, it being entered in their names in the accounts; but these are very rare exceptions to the general rule."

The present principal collector, Mr. Clementson, states, that " in the revenue depart-ment, the right of the slave to possess and hold land and other property is recognized equally with that of the freeman," and that, " in fact, there are at present about 377 slaves holding land on different tenures, and each paying a revenue direct to government of from 1 to 92 rupees per annum." He adds, that "any complaint of the master taking forcible. possession would receive the same attention and meet with the same redress as the complaint of a freeman."

plaint of a reeman. The sheristadar and Malabar moonshee of the provincial court, on the other hand, say, that the possession of independent property by slaves is so rare, that they doubt whether there are 3 or 10 cases in the whole province. In such case, however, the master can-not claim it during the life of the slave and his family; but the slave cannot dissipate or dispose of it without the master's consent. The master becomes entitled to the property of a slave only when the slave has no heir.

a slave only when the slave has no heir. Mr. Græme observes, that "masters are not entitled to the property of their slaves, unless they die without heirs; but, except near large towns on the coast, it would appear that this

they die without heirs; but, except near large towns on the coast, it would appear that this privilege is not very profitable to the proprietor, as property is seldom made by slaves." Among the questions addressed by Mr. Vaughan to the principal inhabitants in the several talooks of Malabar, in 1819, was the following: "If a Cherma be desirous of return-ing to his master the amount that he cost him, and thereby be free from slavery, will his master receive the cash, and free the Cherma?" to which the answers were, without excep-tion, in the negative, and expressed in terms to show that the manumission of Chermas had

tion, in the negative, and expressed in terms to show that the manufication of Chernias have never been contemplated by them as a thing possible. We have already quoted Mr. Vaughan's own strong expression, that it is so contrary to the laws and customs of the country to emancipate a slave, "that 'once a slave always a slave,' may be considered a motto to be prefixed to the subject of alavery in Malabar, according to the ideas of the natives." Mr. Brown says, "Hindoos of the service castes are born such." "The caste, in the belief of the Hindoos, is indelible. No human law can born such." in other words him (there) more then it can unceste a Brahmin." unmake, or, in other words, uncaste him (them) any more than it can uncaste a Brahmin." " In this sense, a slave's child, though really free, necessarily belongs to the service castes, and in this sense, therefore, there can be no law either to hinder or promote his manu-mission." "But it is in the scope of British law, in matters unconnected with caste, to regard the service man and the free alike, and thus to recognize by natural right, rather than by express enactment, the claim of the former to acquire and enjoy his personal formed on " freedom

Mr. Baber says, "There is no local act to hinder or promote the manumission of slaves; and though the Hindoo law will not allow to the ruling power the right of granting manu-mission, there is no interdict against masters doing it." We have already noticed the emancipation of the slaves on the government lands in Malabar, by order of the government of Madras, which has been entirely approved by the Count of Directory is have also shown that there are on Mr. Brown's extra the foregraphic

Court of Directors; we have also shown that there are on Mr. Brown's estate 105 persons of the slave castes, voluntary settlers, and free to go wherever they please to better their condition; and we have given his statement that the number of persons belonging to the several classes who, as he expresses it, have passed " from the state of serf bondsman," and have

* The number of slaves emancipated was 2,009; of whom 1,254 were fit for work-657 males and 597 females. The annual rent for their labour was Rs. 927. 13. Then estimated value, at the average prices current in the country, Rs. 22,833. 10 5.

262.

MADRAS.

MADRAS

have become free labourers, and some even proprietors, "with the complete enjoyment of personal liberty consistent with the Hindoo institutions," is yearly increasing throughout the country, particularly in the neighbourhood of the towns on the sea coast. We have further shown, on the authority of the principal collector, that there are 377 slaves, as they are called, entered in the public accounts as holding land and paying revenue direct to government. We presume that these peoples are only slaves by name, as belonging to the servile castes, and that they are not actually under the dominion of masters. Thus it appears, that, practically, the bar opposed by custom to slaves obtaining personal freedom and becoming independent has been removed, and that, however appropriate the motito of "once a slave always a slave" may have been in past times, it is so no longer, except with respect to the slavery of caste. Upon this point, we think the following observations of Mr. Brown are worthy of notice: "It is only by the predominance of this sentiment (reverence for the distinctions of caste, as having a relignous snaction) that I can explain the slow progress which 86 years of persevering solicitude on the part of my father, and, since his decease, on mine, have made in raising our slaves in the estimation of their countrymen. Although they mingle daily at work with the free labourers; although they are uniformly protected and treated with the same justice and consideration; although the grast improvement in their physical and intellectual faculties has placed the two races on a par, and although the slave is as free as the freeman to go unquestioned wheresoever he may please, to better his condition, yet no neaser approximation has taken place between the two, and the same line of distinction exists almost as broadly as before; so that the time is not come when I can, without public support, claim for the slave the free right of way upon moment, fearlessly approach, address or pass me, retreat the next to a dista

Since the foregoing paragraphs were written, we have had before us a report of the prineipal collector of Malabar, dated the 7th January 1839, upon the present state of the slaves. No alteration, he says, has taken place in the tenures upon which slave property is held, since the report made by Mr. Greene in 1822 (from which we have so largely quoted), and little or no amehoration in the food and clothing of the slaves; "as regards their treatment, however," he continues, "a decided improvement, from all I can learn, has taken place, and it may be said generally, that the slaves of South Malabar are by no means in a worse condition than many of the free field labourers in North Malabar, where there are few or no slaves."

"Though the landholders and proprietors of slaves," he adds, "still retain the power of mortgaging and letting them out for hure, as well as of selling them with or without the land, and the children without the parent, still I have reason to believe, that the latter proceeding is seldom or never adopted, inasmuch as the purchaser would find it an unprofitable speculation; for in the event of the Chermas running away, which they invariably do if taken even to the adjoining talook, they get no assistance from the local authorities."

We must here observe, however, that, though the ordinary practice may be as represented by the magnetrate, there appear to be deviations from it occasionally. We find an instance reported by Mr. Newnham, judge of circuit, of the interference of the joint magnetrate on the application of a person claiming a whole family as his slaves, and of his passing an order to deliver them to the claimant, notwithstanding their denial of his right of ownership over them, which denial, the judge thought, was made on good grounds. In this case, the joint magnetize, having been directed by the judge to make further inquiry, appears to have been induced to revoke his orders.

With the report above referred to, we received a correspondence which arose out of some remarks made by the first judge of the court of circuit for the western division, upon the large proportion of the cases of murdler tried at two sessions in 1830-37, in which the crime was committed by Chermas, who, he observed, "in the commission of such deeds appear to be void of all feeling, and perhaps will remain so till some measures be adopted for the improvement of their morals and present lamentable low condition in society." A reference having been made to the magistrate in consequence of these remarks, he stated, that in examining the accounts for the last 10 years, he found that the murders committed by Cherman did not an the current of the factors.

A reference having been made to the magistrate in consequence of these remarks, be stated, that in examining the accounts for the last 10 years, he found that the marders committed by Chermas did not on the average amount to five cases, the number of persons engaged in them being less than 10 per annum; whereas the total number of murders committed by persons of all classes on the average amounted to 36,—the proportion committed by Chermas being about the same as the proportion of the slave population of the province. We agree with the magistrate in thinking that this result is rather creditable to the class of slaves, considering their degraded state and their moral disadvantages compared with the more civilized classes.

With respect to their morals, generally, we find the following testimony given by Mr. Brown and Mr. Baber :---

Mr. Brown says, "Their habits and morals are those of men kept in a state of ignorance and great seclusion. Theft and drunkenness are the vices commonly imputed to them; of my own slaves I can aver with truth that those born on the estate[®] are an industrious, honest,

• It is due to Mr. Brown to note that the magnitume of Malabar, in suggesting the establishment of schools for the moral improvement of the slaves, observes, that this has been attained to a very satisfactory extent as regards the slaves attached to his (Mr. B.'s) estate at Anjuracundy.

Appendix XI.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 426.

Appendix XI.

13 out of 31.

honest, simple-minded race, observers of truth, without fraud or guile, whose standard of morals I believe to be at least on a par with that of the free castes." Mr. Baber eavys, "With respect to the morals of the slaves, I should say, there is much

Mr. Baber says, "With respect to the morals of the slaves, I should say, there is much less profligacy and depravity among them than among their more civilized countrymen; drunk-enness is their hesetting sin when they can get liquor; but except pilferings in plantations and grain fields, the higher crimes of gang or highway robbery are by no means common; when they have gone on plundering excursions it has generally been as Coolies to bring away the they have gone on plundering excursions it has generally been as Coules to bring away the booty: circumvention, chicanery, fraud and perjury, so common to all other natives, are hardly known to them; but acts of ferocity and cruelty are too common." One of the pro-vincial, court judges, quoted by Mr. Baber in support of the last observation, says, "They are, as might be expected from the state of degradation to which they are reduced and held, absolutely brutal in their conduct, and destitute of the knowledge of right and wrong. They are extremely malicious and vindictive, carrying the latter spirit to the most shocking extremities on occasions of the slightest provocation, apparently regardless of or perhaps incapable of reflection on the consequences."

The Mahomedans in Malabar have domestic slaves of their own religion. "They live," DOMESTIC SLAVES. says Mr. Græme, "in the house of their masters, and partake of all the privileges of their religion. This kind of slavery is a social fraternity, and is a step to the best comforts and the highest honours of life among the Mussulmans. It is totally dissimilar in every essential point to the servitude of the Chermar."

Mr. Baber states, that they are natives or the offspring of natives of Arabia, but chiefly of Abyssmia, and called Wadawar or Golâms, who came over with, and are either the personal attendants of, their masters the Seyads (who pride themselves on being descendants of the prophet, and who are very numerous on the coast), or employed in navigating the Arab, Mopilia, or Lubbee vessels, or in the service of the tanguls or high priests of the Mopilias, in all the great Mopilia and other Mussulman families in the principal towns." It is stated by Mr. Strange, the assistant-judge of Malabar, that their number is very limited ; but from Mr. Baber's account it is evident that they are more numerous than he supposed.

It has been stated, that there are no domestic slaves among the Hindoos in Malabar; Mr. Græme. but Mr. Baber says there are some, "the descendants of out-caste persons (called Jade Mr. Strange, as-Brishta, and Polietta Penna) who had been excommunicated either through some aberration sistant-judge. from caste rules, such as eating with, or the food cooked by, men of low caste, or from co-habitation with persons of lower caste than themselves, or within the prohibited degrees of kindred, and of Brahmins convicted of robbery and theft, who had been sold by former among the Hun-

governments into slavery to Chittees, Mopillas, and to whomsoever would purchase them." With respect to the polietta penna or degraded women, Mr. Græme explains, " that they were a source of profit to the rajahs: out-castes, not exclusively but chiefly of the Brahmin sibility of a Paria caste, they were made over to rajahs to take care of. As a compensation for their main- being the domesti tenance, and for the trouble of preventing their going astray again, the family of the out-caste alave of a Brahmi were in the habit of offering to the rajahs as far as 600 fanams or 150 rupees. The rajahs but wrong in his then disposed of them for money; but their future condition was not exactly that of a slave. general negation. They were generally bought by the coast merchants called Chitties, by whom they had offspring who came to be intermarried among persons of the same caste, and in a few generations their origin was obliterated in the ramifications of new kindred into which they were adopted."

Mr. Baber also supposes that there are domestic slaves free born, but kidnapped and KIDNAPPING. sold in childhood, quoting an assertion of the late Mr. M. Brown, that "he could produce bundreds of them in every town in Malabar, there being few Mopilla or Christian houses in which there were not some of them," of the correctness of which he says he has no doubt.

There is sufficient proof, that the practice of kidnapping free-born children in Travancore and elsewhere, for sale in Malabar, did exist; but, as we have already remarked, it appears to have been put down chieffy by Mr. Baber's exertions. A case occurred at the French settlement of Mahé, in Malabar, in 1819. The local authority gave ready attention to the Slavery in India, representation of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate on the occasion, and it appears from a report of the magistrate of the kind has been brought to notice.
We apprehend that if there be any domestic slaves, properly so called, among the Hindoos, fhe number is inconsiderable. It does not appear to be at all the practice for free persons to sell their children into slavery under the pressure of want. Indeed, the country is so favoured by nature, that a general scarcity of food, approaching to famine, we believe, is almost unknown.

almost unknown,

Travancore.

In the Travancore territory, subject to a native prince, which extends along the coast, south of Malabar to Cape Comorin, predial slavery obtains very generally.

In the Appendix will be found an account of it; from which it will be seen that in its Appendix XII. main features it corresponds with that of Maksbar. The origin is no doubt the same in both countries. As in Malabar, the predial slaves are mostly of the Kuanakun, Peolyan, and Parian castes; they are commonly attached to the glebe, but appear to be sometimes disposed of separately. When their owner parts with them, it is most frequently on mort-gages, or on conditions which give him the power of recovery. Manamission is rare, and, it is said, is not desired, though the condition of the slave is described as very miserable. 262. \$ 2

MADRAS.

Mr. Brown denies among the Hin-doos. He is right as to the impossibility of a Pariah being the domestic slave of a Brahmin,

Ditto, 1838, p. 11.

MADRAS.

In early times the master had power over his slave's life; but this has ceased, and it is said that "personal chastisement is not often inflicted; but they experience little sympathy." "In sickness they are wholly left to nature, perhaps dismissed in poverty, and in age often abandoned." They are treated capriciously, and, on the whole, rather rigorously. They are looked upon by the other classes with even greater contempt and aversion than in Malabar.

"A very considerable number of predial slaves," it is stated, "belong to the government, to whom they escheat as other property on the failure of heirs. They are partly employed on the Sirkar lands, partly rented out to the ryots," as they were on the government lands in Malabar. The Vaidun, Oladuns and Naiadees, in Travancore, are described as slaves in the report referred to; but they are not considered as such in Malabar (though of still lower degree than the Cherman Tribes), and it would appear that in Travancore they are without individual owners, and in much the same wild state as in Malabar.

Appendix XII.

In 1837, the resident in Travancore brought to the notice of government that the inhabitants of Anjengo, a small British settlement in that country, had been accustomed immemorially to hold slaves, and suggested that the practice should be prohibited, and the whole of the present slaves emancipated, "reimbursing their owners for the amount they originally paid for them." The number of the slaves, according to a list submitted by the resident, is 32; viz. 8 males, and 24 females. The owners appear to be chiefly persons of Portuguese extraction. Most of the slaves bear Christian names, and, it is presumed, have been brought up as Christians. Some, though entered in the list as belonging to particular masters, are said to live by their own labour. Two are set down as beggars supported by the poor fund. The prices said to have been paid for them vary from 150 gully fanams (74 to a rupee) to 25.

Canara. 🕫

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 549.

The first notice of systematic agrestic slavery in Canara, which we find on record, is contained in a report of Mr. J. G. Ravenshaw, collector of the southern division of that district, dated 7th August 1801.

It is stated therein, that by far the greatest part of the slaves employed in agriculture are Daerds; the whole number of them, men, women, and children, being estimated at 52,022 divided into three classes, the Moondaul, Magore or Magor, and Mavey Daerd. The two former, it is said, will not eat the flesh of the cow; the Mavey Daerd, though he will not kill the animal, will eat the flesh. In the Moondaul and Mavey sects, property descends from uncle to nephew; a father gives up his children to their uncle. In the Magore sect, property descends from father to son. A Magore and Moondaul will eat together, but it is not common. They never intermarry by consent; but if a Moondaul runs away with a Magore and marries or defiles her, certain proceedings take place, after which she is considered as made over to her husband's sect, and become a member of it. Neither of these sects associate with the Mayey Daerd.

Of the Moondaul Daerd, in respect of service, the following account is given: [If a man goes to a landlord or other person, and says he wants to marry through his interest; if the person consents, he gives him from three to four pagodas (10) to 14 rupees) to pay the expenses of the ceremony; the Daerd, as soon as married, brings his wife to his landlord's house; and both are bound to serve him and his heirs so long as the husband hves.) They receive cloths annually, the expense of which is 13 rupee. The man receives 14 and the woman 1 hany of rice daily, besides one mors of rice per annum between them. This couple have no claim over any children they may have born; they are the exclusive property of their uncle; but if he consent to, their remaining with their father till they are grown up, this may be done; and if when grown up, their father's owners give the males money to marry, they are bound to serve him and his heirs as long as they live. Whenever the uncle, does not agree to their remaining with their father, when going away, he takes them, and his master pays them according to the work they do. As to the daughters, if their uncle agrees, they may remain with the father till some person comes with their nucle's consent to east them in marriage; they are then given up and bound to serve their husband's owner. In the event of the husband's death, his master has no right whatever over the mother and children, for whom the children's uncle is bound to provide, and they are bound to serve his master if he has work for them. If a man wants to marry a second time, his master supplies him with money, but, in consideration of the extra expense, he stops the annual allowance, leaving the daily allowance. This sect may be called a life property on the male side; they are never sold, though they sometimes mortgage themselves. If a man who has no owner is distressed for money, he will borrow of some person whom he will agree to serve till he repays the amount; their owners may also mortgage them in the same way.

The Magore or Magor Daerds, it is said, are bought and sold, and thence they and their male heirs are bound to serve their master and his heirs for even. Females remain with their father till marned, after which his owner has no claim on them; they become the property of their husband's master.

³The average price of a man and his wife, if purchased together, is from four to five pagodas (14 to 17] rupees). The owner pays only as many of the family as work for him. This sect are sometimes mortgaged as well as sold. At the time of purchase, a small piece . .

141

of land, with a cocca-nut and jack tree upon it, is assigned to them. They receive the same daily allowance of rice and the same cloths as the Moondauls, but not an annual allowance, the land and trees being considered equivalent. The master sometimes gives them a bullock.

Of the Mavey Daerd the following account is given :--If a person purchases a maw and woman of this sect, and marries them together, they and their male heirs are bound to serve him and his heirs for ever; the purchaser pays the expense of the marrage. If the man dies and the woman marries again, the children she may have by her new husband are all the property of her owner, by reason of his having purchased the woman; but he has no claim whatever on the new husband. In cases when these people are not purchased, but merely bind themselves to service, on account of some person having paid the expense of their marriage, as the Moondauls, do, the same rules are observed as with them; but there are many of these sects, who belonging, or being, as it were, an appurtenant to an estate, are bought and sold therewith; they enjoy the same privileges and allowances as those of the same sects who are purchased without an estate. The landlord can neither sell nor mortgage them, nor can they, without the landlord's consent, mortgage

It is stated, generally, that an owner is only bound to give daily subsistence to as many \checkmark of the family of his Daerds as he employs; if he has more than he requires, he may lend them out to other people, who pay him an annual allowance of one morah of rice, as a sort of quit-rent or acknowledgment that the Daerds they employ belong to him.

Daerds cannot go to work for another person without their owner's consent, and they are bound to return whenever he may have work for them.

Exclusive of the Daerds, Mr. Ravenshaw said, there was another sect of slaves in Canara, though he believed many of them had become free. He further stated, that under the Biddenore government, all illegitimate children, save those by dancing girls, were considered the property of the Sircar, which took possession of and sold them as slaves to any person who would purchase them; the number of this sort at the time he wrote was about 722; there were also, he said, many slaves imported from Arabia.

In a further report, dated 12th August 1801, Mr. Ravenshaw observed, that nearly the whole cultivation of the country was carried on by the Daerds and slaves of other sorts, and whose curvation of the country was carried on by the Daerus and shares of other sorts, and that "an estate without a property in some of these people would be of little value, because day-labourers are not to be procured in this as in other countries." (He stated that several landlords had suffered considerable loss from their Daerds and slaves having enlisted into sepoy corps; in consequence of which he submitted to the board of revenue the following sepoy corps; in consequence of which he submitted to the board of revenue are honowing questions; first, whether it be politic to allow the Daerds, whom he described as "con-ditional servants for life or for ever," to enlist in any of the Company's corps; second, whether there exists a right to recruit them; third, whether it be politic to allow any description of slaves to enlist, without the consent of the owners, or the owners getting some remuneration for the loss of their services. Referring to the following definition of slavery, viz., "an obligation to labour for the benefit of the master, without the contract or consent of the servant, the master at the same time having the nght to dispose of him by sale, or in any other way to make him the property of a third person," he said, "That sect of the Daerds who are bought and sold, and who come nearest to the description of slaves, differ from them in the following respects: their service is conditional; a master at the time of service, agrees to give them the usual allowance of rice, cloth, &c.; if he fails and refuses to do which, the Daerds are no longer bound to serve him, and can recover the balance of allowances due to them and their children. If the purchaser agrees to give the established allowances, the Daerds cannot refuse to enter his service; but if from any real cause they have a dread of their man, the old master will generally, on being asked, keep them until he can get another purchaser. A master cannot make a traffic of them; that is, he cannot can get another purchaser. A master cannot make a traffic of them; that is, he cannot put them up to public sale, or transport them either by sea or land to any place where there are not people of their caste; as, which is confined to Canara, they can never be sent out of their own country: they can even refuse to be sold out of the moganny, in which they are born and bred. Such is their strong and rooted attachment to the place of their nativity known, that no person ever thinks of purchasing and taking one away to a distant place, even in the country; it seldom indeed happens that they are ever shought or sold at more than 20 or 30 miles distant from the place of their birth. This sect of Daerds, therefore, and their cluldren, may, I conceive, be truly called conditional servants for ever. Those of the Mavey Daerds who are attached to estates have the same privilege as those just ance of rice, &c. they cannot quit his lands; but on making a complaint, they can recover ance of rice, ace, they cannot quit in many, set on many a community, but the terms of the right with damages. All other descriptions of Daerds are conditional servants, on the male side, for life, and in no case have they, so long as their master feeds and clothes them according to usage, a right to leave his service.") Mr. Ravenshaw argued that, enlisting them was useless, because they were sure to desert, and that it was impolitic to permit it, for, " if the recruiting of the Daerds or slaves, the property of the landlord, is allowed to be continued, it will be the cause of every possible mischief toward checking the prosperity of the country; it will afford those descriptions of people an asylum to fly to, whenever caprice or any trifling cause may tempt them to heave their master (who, as in that case they will never be able to command to a certainty hands to cultivate their estates) will see a train of new calamities coming upon them, which they were not even labouring 262. 83

2

MADRAS.

SOUTH CANABA. Buchanan's Journey, vol. 3, p. 5 to 7.

Ibid. p. 12. Ibid. p. 35-36.

171 rupees, at present worth 35s.

J

Buchanan, vol. 3, p. 100. Ibid. p. 95, et seq.

Ibid. p. 106.

under in the late government, and which all the advantage they have or can gain by the present will not recompense. The very fabric of their agricultural system will be under-mined; if which be the case, their attachment cannot longer be depended on, any more than can the troops raised by the property thus wrested from them." The board of revenue submitted the subject for the consideration of government, but it

does not appear that any definitive orders were passed.

Dr. Buchanan gives a statement of the population of the southern division of Canara, Dr. Buchanan grees a statement of the population of the southern unitation of Canara, which was furnished to him by Mr. Ravenshaw, wherein the "Daerd," described as "slaves employed in cultivation," and "Mar Daerd," as "day-labourers, messengers, &c." make up the number of 52,022, stated in that gentleman's report. Dr. Buchanan observes, that in the part of the country to the south of the river Chandragrit, now belonging observes, that in the part of the country to the south of the river Chandragm, now belonging to the district of Canara, which was formerly a part of the province of Malaya or Malabar, "in cultivation more slaves than freemen are employed." On the north side of this river begins the division of Canara, called Tulava. In this division he says, "the cultivation is chiefly carried on by Culialu, or hired servants; but there are also some Muladalu, bought men or slaves." "A male slave," he states, " is allowed daily 1 ½ hany of rice, or three-fourths of the allowance for a hired servant; a woman receives one hany; the man gets 1 ½ rupee's worth of cloth and two rupees in cash; the woman is allowed only the cloth. They receive also a trifting allowance of sait and other seasonings. A small allowance is If ruppers worth of cloth and two ruppers in cash; the woman is allowed only the cloth. They receive also a trifling allowance of sait and other seasonings. A small allowance is given to children and old people. When a slave wishes to mary he receives five pagodas (two guineas) to defray the expense. The wife works with the husband's inaster. On the husband's death, if the wife was a slave, all the children belong to her mother's master; but if the ground formula to deal all he children belong to her mother's master; but It is he was formerly free, she and all her children belong to her husbaud's master. A good slave sells for 10 pagodas, or about four guineas. If he has a wife who was formerly free, and had other children, the rate is doubled. The slave," he says, " may be hured out, and the renter both exacts his labour and finds him in subsistence. Slaves are also mortgaged, but the mortgagor is not bound to supply the place of a slave that dies; and in case of accidents the debt becomes extinguished, which is an excellent regulation."

He adds, that " freemen of low caste, if they are in debt or trouble, sometimes sell their sister's children, who are their heirs, but have no authority over their own children, who belong to their maternal uncles."

belong to their maternal uncles." He further states, that the hired servant "differs little from a slave;" for "although at the end of the year he may change his service if he be free from debt, yet this is seldom the case; and when he gets deeply involved his master may sell his sister's children to discharge "the amount, and his services may be transferred to any other man who chooses to take hum and pay his debts to his master;" and although his allowance is larger than that of a slave, on the other hand, the master is not obliged to provide for him in sickness or in old are old age.

He mentions other classes of slaves in this part of the country. "Having assembled some of the Corar or Corawar, who under their chuef, Habishika, are said to have once been masters of Tulava, I found they are all slaves, and have lost every tradition of their former power. Their language differs counsiderably from that of any other in the penusula. When their masters choose to employ them, they get one meal of victuals, and the men have daily one hany of rice, and the women three quarters of a hany. This is a very good allowance; but when the master has no use for their labour, they must support themselves as well as they can. This they endeavour to do by making coir or rope from ecoca-nut husks, various kinds of baskets from rattans and climbing plants, and building mud walls." "Their mode of living," he observes, " is apparently very miserable, but, in spite of it, they are a good-looking people, and therefore probably are abundantly fed. If spite of it, they are a good-looking people, and therefore probably are abundantly fed. If they can get them, they marry several wires, the master paying the expense of the marrage feast." "When a man dies, his wives, with all their children, return to the huts of their respective mothers and brothers, and belong to their masters." "They follow all the oxen and buffaloes of the village, as so much of the live stock, when these are driven in a great procession, which the farmers annually celebrate."

"In the northern parts of Tulava (he says) are two castes," called 'Bacadaru' and "Batadaru," both of whom are slaves; both speak no other language than that of Carnata, "Batadaru," both of whom are slaves; both speak no other ianguage than that of Carnata, and both follow exactly the same customs, but will not, in general, eat or intermarry with one another. They seem to be poorer and worse looking than the Corar. The master gives annually to each slave, male or female, one piece of cloth, worth a rupee, together with a knife. Each family has a house and 10 hanies sowing of rice land, or about a quarter of an acre. At marriages they get one mudy of rice, three-tenths of a bushel, worth about 2s., and half a pagoda, or 4s. in money. When their master has no occasion for their work, they get no wages, but hire themselves out as labourers in the best manner they can, not having the resource of basket-making, and the other little arts which the Corar practice." Corar practise."

" The

In the report of the magistrate in 1826, the "Bukkadroo and Buttadroo" classes are mentioned particularly. It is remarked of them, that "they are prohibited by their customs from carrying quadrupeds of any descrip-tion, or any article having four supporters, as a burden on their heads (it being considered derogatory to the carte), under penalty of being instantly expelled, though they may carry vilor loads, such as dung, turf, &c. When necessiry, however, obliges a person of either of these castes to break through this custom, and carry any thing having four legs, such as a cot, conch, table, chair, &c., one leg of it must be removed, to enable him to take it up on his head with impanity."

"The master is bound, however, to prevent the aged or infirm from perishing of want. When they work for their master, a man gets daily one and a half hany of rice to carry home, with half a hany ready dressed, in all two hanes, or rather more than one-sixteenth. of a bushel; a woman gets one and a quarter hany of rice to carry home, and half a hany ready dressed ; and a boy gets one hany of nce."

In the division of North Canara, called Haiga, comprising the talook of Onore, most of NORTH CANARA. In the division of North Canara, called Haiga, comprising the talook of Onore, most of NORTH CANARA. the cultivated lands, says Dr. Buchanan, are private property, and the proprietors chefly Haiga. Brahmins. Most of them cultivate their lands on their own account, but perform no labour with their own hands; "most of the labour is performed by slaves. These people get daily one and a half hany of rice; a woman receives one hany; each gets yearly two and a half rupees worth of cloth, and they are allowed time to build a hut for themselves in the cocca-nut garden. They have no other allowance, and out of this pittance must support their infants and aged people. The woman's share is nearly 15 bushels a year, with rather less. than 14½ rupees; to this if we add her allowance of clothes, she gets 16½ rupees a year, "A male free servant, hired by the day, gets two hames of rice. Both work from seven in the morning till five in the evening, but at noon are allowed half an hour to eat some

in the morning till five in the evening, but at noon are allowed half an hour to eat some victuals that are dressed in the family as part of their allowance."

In the western parts of the talook of Sconda, in Canara, above the Ghauts, in which the SCONDAH ABOVE cultivation of gardens of betel-nut paim, betel-vme, pepper, &c. is the principal object of the THEGHAUTS, WEB-farmer, according to Dr. Buchanan, "a few slaves are kept; but most of the labour even in TEAN PARTS. the grounds of the Brahmms is performed by the proprietors, or by hired servants. The Buchanan, vol. 3, Haiga Brahmms toi on their ground at every kind of labour, except holding the ploughs, p. 226, but they never work for hire." All the gardens, says Dr. B., belong to these Haiga Brahmus.* The hired servants "eat three times a day in their master's house, and get annually one blanket, one handlerrohief and in money six parceles, or 48t supers, or blankets, one handkerchief, and in money six pagodas, or 48+ rupees, or 2l. 8s. 44d. Ther wives are hired by the day, and get one and a half seer of rough rice; and three dudus, of which $49\frac{1}{2}$ are equal to one rupes. In so poor a country (Dr. Buchanan remarks) these wages are very high. A male slave gets daily two puckal seers of rough rice, with, annually, one blanket, one handkerchief, a piece of cotton cloth, and some oil, tamarinds and capsicum. He gets no money, except at marriages; but these cost $16\frac{1}{2}$ pagodas, or $6l.8s.11\frac{1}{2}d.$ for the woman must be purchased. She and all her children, of pagodas, of 61.23. 11 g.d., for the woman must be purchased. She and all her children, of course, become the property of her husband's master. The woman slave gets daily one and three-quarters seer of rough rice, a blanket, a piece of cloth and a jacket annually; children and old people get some ready-dressed victuals at the house of the master, and are also allowed some clothing. The men work from sunrise till sunset, and at noon are allowed one Hindoo hour, or about 24 minutes, for dinner. The women are allowed till about eight in the morning to prepare the dinner, which they then carry to the fields, and continue to work there with the men till sunset."

In the eastern and more open parts of Soonda, says Dr. Buchanan, "farmers who are EASTERN PART OF not Brahmins, unless their farms be large, work the whole with their own families; but rich Soonda. men must here servants or keep slaves; and to hold the plough, Brahmins must always have people of the low castes. This is a kind of work that even a Haiga Brahmin will not perform."

"A man slave gets daily two seers of a	rough	rice,	or yea	urly	£.	s.*	đ.
about 26 bushels, worth	-	- `	-	-	1	2	-1
A handkerchief, a blanket, and piece	of clo	th, w	orth 1	two			~
rupees	-	<u></u>	-	1	-	4	- ž
A pagoda in money	-	-	-	-	_	8	
Six candacas of rough rice at harvest	-	-	-	-		14	6
`					<u> </u>		
					£.2	8	73"
,							

"The women get one piece of cloth annually, and a meal of ready-dressed victuals on the days that they work, which may amount annually to 8s. 1 d." "Hured men get four seers of rough rice a day, worth less than 1 d."

From the report of Mr. Harris, the collector of Canara, in 1819, m answer to the queries Slavery in India, of the board of revenue, it appears, that "Dher" (apparently the same as "Daerd" in 1828, p. 842. Mr. Ravenshaw's reports) is the general term for the tribes, to which the common agrestic slaves in Canara belong. According to this report, there are 12 classes of Dhers, "labourers on the soil;" "their number estimated at 60,000;" "one-half are decidedly sold, and are transferred with estates, or may be sold. The remainder are (not) actually in slavery ; they are of the same caste, and sell their children, but themselves work as daily labourers on estates." "They come under the fixed term of out-castes or Parishs." "Slavery also exists among the Mussulmans and Suders to a considerable extent:" the number estimated exists among the Mussulmans and Suders to a considerable extent ;" the number estimated

143

MADRAS.

эt

[•] The Haiga Brahmins, he remarks, seem to have changed conniries with the Karnataen Brahmins of Sounda, who in Haiga are in greatest estimation, while the Brahmins of that country have all the valuable property in Sounda. f Sor erg. : correctly, six page. = 21 rupees; the value of which at present is 21. 2.. 1 16 page. = 50 rupees; 54. 12s. at the present exchange.

^{262.}

MADRAS.

at 20,000. "Tilling the land is the chief occupation of the 12 classes" of Dhers; also "rearing of cattle, and the lowest menial offices; in short, every description of labour unconnected with the internal economy of the master's house, which they could not enter." With respect to the slaves who are liable to be sold, "the right of sale (it is said) was,

and is still, the master's exclusive privilege, either with or without the land; the price varies and is settled amongst the purchasers and sellers." "The usual rates are as follows: for a strong young man, from 12 to 20 rupees; ditto woman, 12 to 24 rupees; a child never

"It is customary to pass a bill of sale on a bargain being made, or a mortgage bond." "The transfer by purchase or gift is attended with a short ceremony between the seller or giver, and receiver, and the slave. The slave drinks some water from his brass basin, and calls out, 'I am now your slave for ever.'" "The master can lend his slaves out on hire; he can sell the husband to one person, and the wife to another. This is not often done,

he can sell the husband to one person, and the write to another. This is not often done, because neither of the purchasers can be sure of keeping his purchase; care is always taken in purchasing not to carry the slaves to any distant estate, their attachment to the sol on which they were born being well known. The master can sell the children, but this is seldom done, from the foregoing cause, the fear of desertion." The master "feeds and clothes his slaves. He never pays them wages in money, but presents them on their marriage, or particular ceremonies, with a small sum." "The quantity of food and clothing to a slave varies in every talook : it does not seem to be regu-lated by any rule, although it would appear that some original quantum obtained. The average may be thus estimated :

average may be thus estimated : "Food.—A man one and a half canara seer coarse rice, two rupees weight salt, a little betel-nut and leaf; a woman one seer; a child three quarters ditto. Clothing.—A man two pieces of cauthy, six cubits, in some talooks a kumblee and roomal given. Woman, one ditto, seven cubits. Child, one ditto, four cubits. "The sait, betel, &c., are optional; it is also customary to give them conjee (the water in

"Which rice has been boiled) from the master's house." "From every inquiry," said the collector, "I cannot learn that any wanton cruelty is experienced by the slaves, the master being well aware that on any ill-treatment they will desert him, and the trouble and expense of recovering them would, perhaps, amount to the value of the deserters.

"They have no day which they can call their own. It often happens, however, that a kind master, on any of his great ceremonies, grants to his slaves that day to themselves free from all labour." "If a Dher accumulates a little real or personal property, he retains it independent of his master." "The master of a deserving slave sometimes gives him a slip of ground which he may cultivate for his own use. He also enjoys the produce of such trees, roots and vines as he is permitted to plant; but the right in the soil, or tree, is in the north." is in the master.

Slaves, it is stated, are prohibited borrowing money from any but their master, who supplies them with what they require for their sacrifices and marriages. " There does not exist any interference on the part of the master in the ceremonies (of marriage); but if the slave of one man marries the female slave of another, the child born of that marriage, if a male, goes to the owner of the male, and *vice versâ*." The 12 classes, however, it is stated, have

different customs with respect to marriage and religious ceremonies. The number of slaves of all descriptions in Canara has never been correctly ascertained, J but the collector estimated it at \$2,000.

In the report of the judge on circuit in the western division, on the first sessions of 1825, he brought to notice two cases tried in Canara, wherein the accused were charged with causing the death of their slaves by severe chastisement. "The frequent absence from his master's work, which occasioned the deceased's chastisement, in one of these cases was owing to visits to his wife, who resided at a distance on her master's estate, who would not allow her to live with her husband." This induced the judge " to make inquiry at Mangalore regarding the prevailing custom in instances where the slave of one master marries the slave of another, and particularly whether their respective owners can prevent their living together;" and he was informed, that "it is usual for the female slave to reside with her husband; and if his residence be at such a distance as to prevent her from coming to work daily at her master's house, the master of the husband must indemnify the owner by the payment annually of half a morah of rice; but if her master should employ the female at his own house, he must employ, also, her husband, whose owner he must indem-mify by the payment annually of one morah of rice."

In consequence of this report, a more particular investigation was ordered to be made as to the obligation of the masters of slaves to allow the married to live together; upon which the acting criminal judge of Canara, Mr. John Vaughan, reported, that "the male and female married slaves are always allowed to live together." "The custom of the females living at the houses of their respective husbands is general, that of the males living at the houses of their wives is not so frequent." "The females living at the bouses of their husbands are employed to work by the masters of the female slaves, and *vice versa* where the male slaves are employed by the masters of the female slaves, and *vice versa* where the male slaves are employed by the masters of the female slaves." "In some parts where the houses of the husband and wife happen to be in the same village, the wife and husband work at the houses of their respective masters, and after their work is over, the female goes to the house of her husband, or the husband to her honse. The masters of the female or male slave

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 936.

Appendix IX.

slave cannot object to their living together, and the master of the female has no reason to do so, since the children which she produces are the property of her master." The above, the judge remarked, was stated by the persons questioned by him, not as "known right;

but as the prevailing custom." The magistrate of Canara, Mr. J. Babington, on this occasion, made a very full report of Appendix IX.

the state of the slaves of all descriptions in that district, agreeing generally with that made by the collector m 1819, but more complete and distinct, and, where it differs, probably more correct.

In this report, the Dhers, or "slaves by birth and caste," "labourers on the soil," are estimated at 60,000; * and are said to be divided into 12 classes, under the same denominations as m the report of Mr. Harris; and it is stated, that " about one half are the property of individuals, and can be sold, with or without the estate on which they are living. remainder are not in actual bondage; they work as day-labourers on estates, and are at herty to take service where they please. They are, however, in the habit of selling them children as elaves, and the latter become the absolute property of the purchaser from the day of sale.

The following, it is stated, are the rates at which slaves are sold in Canara, viz;

'A strong young man	1	· _ ·	· '-	-'	' -	Rupees 12		
A strong young woman	-	-,	-	-	-	" 16	1	1
A boy or girl	-	·	`-	. *	-	`» 4		

"When a Dher is sold or mortgaged to another, a bill of sale or mortgage bond is passed by his original master to the purchaser or mortgagee, and a short ceremony takes place, at which the slave acknowledges his new master by exclaiming aloud, 'I am your slave for ever.'"

"By the custom of the country, the master builds his slaves a hut and supplies all their

wants: he is not, however, hable for debts contracted by the slave without his knowledge.". "The daily subsistence and annual clothing of the slaves vary in some talooks," but the averages are stated as follows : "To a man one and a half seer of coarse rice per day, and a averages are scaled as bolows: " To a man one and a han see of constraints here per day, and a piece of cloth or cumblee per annum, not exceeding the value of three quarters of a rupea. To a woman, one and a quarter seer of rice, one cloth per annum of the same value. To a boy or girl of an age to rear cattle (generally above eight years, none being granted to those under this age) three quarters of a seer of rice, and one cloth of four cubits, worth about

a quarter of a rupee." "Besides the above subsistence and clothing, the master sometimes gives to his slave, on reaping the crops, the produce of a bett land yielding from one to a quarter morah of paddy, and sometimes allows him at the same season to take home as much paddy as he can carry to his house at one time," with some other indulgencies occasionally. "When a master does not give his slave the regulated daily subsistence, it is usual for the

latter to remonstrate with him; where this is not attended to, he gets the friends of his master or his fellow-bondsmen to intercede for him; and where this proves ineffectual, he generally applies to the sircar servants, who in such a case send for the master, remonstrate with him, and get him to satisfy the slave; others desert their master's service and remain absent until their master consents to their reasonable demands."

"The slave never had any land that he could call his own; + latterly some have rented lands from individuals: but no wurgs ‡ appear in their names in the sirear accounts. Where the slave has planted any cocoa-nut, scoparce, or other trees of his own in the master's compound, the master and slave possess equal right to their produce; in some cases where the slave wishes to have the whole, the master's share in the trees is rented to him. The slave cannot either moltgage or sell these trees to others, and when he dies, his heirs enjoy this right in the same way; where there are no heirs, the right of inheritance of the trees goes to the master."

For petty offences and trespasses, "by the existing custom of the country, the slave is liable to be punished by his master, by threatening and abusing, tying his hands behind him, flogging him with switches of trees," and in other ways not more severe. "Formerly him, hogging nim with switches of trees, and in other ways not more severe. Formery masters treated their slaves as they thought proper, and punished them frequently with great cruelty;" but then power has been restricted by the order declaring them." Inable to be called to account for any barbarous treatment of their slaves, and punished as if they had com-mitted these acts of violence on a freeman." "Now when masters inflict cruel punishment "The master finds it for his own advantage to treat bis slave well, since he has discovered

that the latter will not be forced back into his service, when he only leaves it on account of maltreatment." Mr. Babington, as magistrate, had always "refused interference after ascertaining the fact of oppression or ill-usage by the master, and the latter had been forced in consequence by conclusion to induce his slave to return, the loss of his services in the meantime acting as a wholesome lesson to teach him the policy of kindness to his bondsman." "On the other hand, when a slave had quitted his master's service from any other mouve than

*• The present population of Canara is understood to be near 8,00,000. † In Mr. Grame's report on Malalar, it is observed, that in Canara it is not uncommon for alaves to have small pieces of land given to them by their masters for raising vegetable productions, and they sometimes have parts of rice fields and a few cocca-nut trees particularly assigned to their use. Lands are also leased out to them.

‡ Estates; or independent holdings.

262.

4

MADRAS.

than to escape violence and oppression, he had, as magistrate, directed that he should be restored to his owner." He did not find this course of proceeding prescribed by any regulation, but considered it consistent with the orders above referred to, and not in opposition to any orders.

"When two Dhers belonging to different masters agree to marry, they carry offerings to their respective owners." "When the marriage takes place, the owner of the male gives him two rupces and one moorah of rice, and the owner of the female slave gives her one rupce and one moorah of rice, and sometimes giore; but no kind of grant whatever is made by the owners to each other." "The wife lives in her husband's house, in whose owner's temporary service she is now considered to be, and is supported by him, but he has no right either to sell her, mortgage, or lend her out to others." "She still belongs to her former master, and is obliged to attend at his house twice in the year, at the time of transplantations, and reaping the crops, for which, however, she is paid the usual daily allowance;" "and in the event of non-attendance, she must indemnify him in the payment of from half to one rupce, or from a quarter to one moorah of rice," "or it is given by the owner of her husband." In case of child-birth or sickness, her former master generally defrays the expense attending t; when he cannot afford it, it is done by her new master." "The children born of this marriage to to the proprietor of the woman, who can cell, mortgage, or otherwise dispose of them. The female slave continues to live at the house of her husband till she becomes old, or till his death, when she returns to spend the remainder of her life in her former owner's boudage. When one of the parties is bought on the occasion of marriage, the rights of the respective owners in the parties themselves,

"The children born of this marinage go to the proprietor of the woman, who can sell, mortgage, or otherwise dispose of them. The female slave continues to live at the house of her husband till she becomes old, or till his death, when she returns to spend the remainder of her life in her former owner's boudage. When one of the parties is bought on the occasion of marinage, the rights of the respective owners in the parties themaelves, and in the children, are determined by specific conditions made at the time of purchase. The master is at liberty to sell the husband to another person, and the wife to another, but in most cases they are not thereby considered to be separated, because the masters to whom they are sold generally allow their living together, especially the owner of the female, who permits it more readily because he has a right to the children she produces. The objection, when any is made, is on the part of the owner of the husband, because he is deprived of his services without any commensurate advantage." "There is no positive obligation upon the owners of marined saves to allow them to live together, when the male and female belong to different masters ; it is very generally done, and the master who keeps them from either living together, or visiting each other at reasonable times, is considered to act harshly, but not illegally or unjustly, as he is admitted to have a right to make the most of his islave's time."

"Few instances occur of the families of slaves being separated by a sale, and in these few, the new masters almost always live near, and the slaves can visit each other at leisure hours. The impolicy of separating them to a great distance had evinced itself in the very few cases in which it had taken place by the slaves absconding from their masters repeatedly, and depriving them of their services for a time at least." "The master can lend out his slaves and their children on hire (called hall mundy

"The master can lead out his slaves and their children on hire (called hall mundyhunna), which he receives, but the daily allowance of one and a half seer of rice per man, and one and a quarter seer per woman, and three quarters for each boy or girl, which is also given by the person hiring them, is taken by the slaves themselves." The other slaves in Canara, besides the Dhers, Mr. Babington estimated at only 4,500

The other slaves in Canara, besides the Dhers, Mr. Babington estimated at only 4,500 instead of 20,000 as computed by the collector in 1819. According to Mr. Babington, the following are some of the causes to which this slavery is owing, viz. "Being sold as slaves by the former government, the gooroos (high priests), or parents; being born of slaves so sold; captives taken in war; persons selling themselves in payment of debts, or disposing of themselves to others as a stake at play; or for food to support life in a time of scarcity; for love for the female slave of another; and for various other reasons, being sold or selling themselves, as slaves, either permanently or for a stipulated time." We find it stated by Mr. Harris in 1819, that "Suders, or Brahmin women, who had lost caste by having connexion with a man of inferior caste," were made slaves by being sold under the Mahomedan government, "and their descendants continue slaves." When Mr. Baber was magistrate in Canara, he said, "some stop was put to this, but there is no doubt it continues in are underhand manner to this day."

These slaves, it is stated by Mr. Babington, "seldom ever marry according to the strict meaning of the term. No ceremony takes place either religious or civil; they live in a state of concubinage, and are generally faithful to each other." "When a male and female agree to live together, they inform their masters and solicit their sanction." "The owner of the man agrees in some cases with the master of the woman for her purchase, or vice versâ." "Sometimes they are allowed to live together without a change of property in either." In the latter case, "the children universally go to the owner of the woman." "When the man. lives at the house of the woman's master, it is usual for him to make some compensation to has master for the loss of his services; and when the woman lives at the house of the marks owner, she makes a similar compensation as a token of subjection to her master." "But this arrangement is not of frequent occurrence, and only takes place when the masters hve at a distance from each other. When this is not the case, they visit each other at their leisure hours; and are ready at their respective masters' houses at the usual time, to begin their daily labour."

^{*} The extent of this compensation is not defined by custom. It is considered a voluntary effering, and consists of money, fruit or vegetables, according to the abulty or inclination of the donor.

Mr. Babington, in conclusion, expressed his opinion, "that the present condition of the slaves in Canara is better than in any part of the world where slavery is tolerated," "as good, if not better than that of many of the free labourers; for, suck or well, the slave is supported by his master, and has always a but to cover his head in the inclement season; big ford labe is in the inclement season is the inclement season. his food also is wholesome, and generally sufficiently abundant; the punishment to which he is liable is not severe, or, according to his ideas, disgraceful, and his work is not oppressive or beyond his strength. Instances of cruelty do occur, but they are only sufficiently numerous to form an exception to the general practice; and as they are now punishable by the police, they are likely to be of more rare occurrence."* Mr. Babington saw no objections to its being made a compulsory rule, that married

slaves should be allowed to live together, it being provided, that the owner of the slave removed thereby from his service should receive the usual indemnification. It would not, he observed, be a greater infringement of the master's right than the order above referred to, which deprived him of the power of severe punishment, "and would neither be more opposed nor considered more oppressive" than the latter, which had been silently acquiesced in by the whole of Canara, and it would be a considerable step towards the improvement of the condition of slaves. But he thought the rule ought to be passed in the same way as the last one, by an order, and not by a regulation, as a legislative enactment on the subject of slavery would give such a sanction to it as would tend to resuscitate and perpetuate the system, which appeared to him to be dying a natural death.

On comparing the accounts, the substance of which is given above, it will be observed, Differences in the that the custom of the father having no power over his own children, while those of his sister accounts of slavery are subject to him, which Mr. Ravenshaw and Dr. Buchanan notice as prevailing in some of in Canara. the tribes of Dhers, is not mentioned by Mr. Harris and Mr. Babington. This is probably the tribes of Dhers, is not mentioned by Mr. Harris and Mr. Babington. This is probably owing to their having had generally in view in their remarks, those who are commonly bought and sold, and whose servile condition is hereditary, among whom, it appears from Mr. Ravenshaw, the ordinary custom in respect to the paternal relation and authority obtains, the children being subject to their own father, and the sons following his condition. Mr. Harris and Mr. Babington say, that the labourers of the Dher tribes who are not actually in bondage themselves, yet are accustomed to sell their children ; while Dr. Buchanan states, that it is their sisters' children whom they sell, or who are sold on their account. We presume that this depended upon the caste to which they below, that the

secount. We presume, that this depends upon the caste to which they belong, that they sell the children over whom they have parental authority, their own or their sisters' children,

sell the children over whom they have parental authority, their own or their sisters' children, as the case may be, according to the custom of the caste. Mr. Harrs and Mr. Babington, say generally, that the slaves who are saleable may be transferred indifferently, with or without the land they have been used to till; while Mr. Ravenshaw on the other hand states particularly, that there are some who are considered as appurtenants to estates. It is hkely that this usage in favour of the slave, as we esteem it, and which we are inclined to think was originally the more general, has been gradually falling into desuetude, from the want of power on the part of the slaves to maintain it against their masters, whose interest it is, obviously, to abolish it, as limiting their proprietary viorbt. right.

In Mr. Babington's report it is stated, that "the civil courts every day decree slaves to a suitor, like cattle, grain or any other kind of property;" and we see that, in a report of Mr. Newnham, first judge of the court of circuit for this division, dated in August 1829, he Slavery in India, Newman, hist judge of the control chemit for this invision, date in August 1829, he said he had been informed that the courts in Canara daily put up slaves for sale, as they would any other moveable property, and noticed particularly a civil suit wherein a demand was made "of 20 moolumunishers (slaves), value 50 pagodas, without individual specifica-tion, immediately followed by a like summary demand for brute animals." Mr. Newnham objected "to the practice of thus suing without name or individual description for so many sentient creatures of God;" and the court of Sudder and Foujdary Adawlut, upon his representation, ordered, that in suits for slaves, "each should be distinguished by his particular name, and the specific sum at which the owner values him, under penalty of nonsuit."

When a married couple of slaves belong to different masters, the children, according to Dr. Buchanan, Mr. Babington, and Mr. Vaughan, belong to the owner of the mother; but Dr. Buchanan, Mr. Babington, and Mr. Vaughan, belong to the owner of the mother; but Mr. Harris says, the child, if a male, goes to the owner of the male, and vice versá. Mr. Ravenshaw states, that even when they both belong to one master, only the male children become his property; and the female children, when they are married, become the property of their husband's master. But he speaks of females being purchased for the purpose of being married to male slaves, and when these are the daughters of slaves, it may be pre-sumed, that they are sold by the owners of their parents, who thus transfer their property in the owner of the intended husbands. them to the owners of the intended husbands.

It is stated by all, that it is not the practice to sell slaves so as to cause their removal to a distance from their homes, to which they are said to be greatly attached. Mr. Harris and Mr. Babington, however, say only that it is found impolitic to do so, as the slaves so re-moved are discontented, and apt to abscond; whereas Mr. Ravenshaw asserts not only that some are appurtenants to estates, and therefore not lable to be removed from them, but that the

1838, p. 405.

MADRAS

^{*} Mr. Græme obsorves, that the Canara slaves are not held in the same disrepute with regard to caste as in Malabar ; their approach, short of actual contact, is suffered without contempt by their Scodra proprietors, and they seem in this respect to have the same privilege as a man of the Tean caste in Malabar has relatively to a Nair. T 2

^{262.}

MÀDRÁS

the rest generally are privileged to refuse to be sold out of the mogany[®] in which they are born and bred.

It is remarkable that Mr. Harris and Mr. Babington do not notice the peculiar kind of It is remarkable that Mr. Harrs and Mr. Daoington to not notice the pectuar kind of life-servitude particularly described by Mr. Ravenshaw, as prevailing among the Moondaul Daerds or Dhers, arising from a voluntary contract, by which a man having obtained from a landlord the means of defraying the expense of his marriage, in consideration thereof, engages his own services and those of his wife, to him, for the term of his own life, but does not bind his offspring nor his wife after his death; a kind of bond servitude, which, from

not bind his offspring nor his wife after his death; a kind of bond servitude, which, from Mr. Ravenshaw's account, appears to be commonly renewed on the male side in the same way, and for the same consideration, from generation to generation. Neither do they notice the way in which, according to Dr. Buchanan, the labourers of some of the Dher tribes, originally free to choose their service, occasionally lose this right by ber-coming indebted to their masters, whereby they are placed at his disposal, and may be transferred by him to the service of any one who will pay the debt, while their sisters' chil-then may he add the him for its redemution. dren may be sold by him for its redemption.

It is to be supposed, that they did not consider the persons in either of these conditions as in a state of slavery; but, as affecting themselves, their state would appear to have little

advantage over that of slaves in the more strict sense of the term. With respect to the allowances to slaves, both of food and clothing, the accounts agree pretty nearly; and it seems, that when their dues are not rendered, they find means of work-ing upon their masters to do them right, which are generally effectual. The fear of driving them to desert, it is stated, operates to prevent their masters from stinting them of their proper allowances. These allowances are less than those of free labourers, but it is to be considered, as Dr. Buchanan observes, that the master of the free labourer is not obliged to provide for him in sickness or in old age. That this obligation rests upon the masters of slaves is asserted by both Dr. Buchanan and Mr. Babington.

slaves is asserted by both Dr. Buchanan and Mr. Babington. The same fear of the desertion of the slaves, it appears, has a salutary effect in checking the masters in punishing them for misconduct, since the magistrate will not interfere to oblige them to return, when they have field in consequence of ill-usage. The slaves are deemed to be liable to punishment by their masters by the custom of the country; but the masters, it is said by Mr. Babington, are restrained from carrying it beyond the limits of moderate correction, partly by that fear, and partly by the apprehension of being called to account before the erminal kinbunals for any act amounting to cruelty, under the circular order issued by the Foujdary Adawlut in 1820. Mr. Babington states, that there has been a decided improvement in the treatment of slaves in this respect; but it must be observed, that a lete magistrate. Mr. Cotton, while he assents to Mr. Babington's account of the state that a late magistrate, Mr. Cotton, while he assents to Mr. Babington's account of the state of the slaves in Canara generally, at the same time remarks, that it appears to be very much the same now as it was under the Hindoo and Mahomedan governments.

Appendix 1X.

It was stated by the judges of the provincial court of the western division, in 1836, that "they were not aware that the civil courts had ever recognized, in the masters of slaves, any legal right with regard to their (the slaves') property; though, as respects their persons, the competency of the master to transfer the slave by sale, mortgage or lease, according to the accent laws and customs of the country, had never been disputed or doubted " in this province, or in Malabar; but that it did not appear that in the provincial court any final decree had ever been passed, whereby property exclusively in slaves, that is, without reference to the land to which they belong, had ever been recognized or rejected."

In the following year, some discussion took place upon this subject, between the zillah judge of Canara, the provincial court, and the Sudder Adawlut, with reference to a special appeal before the zillah judge. The report of the zillah judge and the provincial court, and dated 26 Aug. 1839. the proceedings of the Sudder Adawlut, will be found in the Appendix.

In the case in question, certain slaves were attached at the instance of a party, who had obtained a decree against their owner; whereupon, another party, who alleged that he had received them in mortgage from the owner, instituted a suit to obtain their release from attachment.' The district moonsiff, before whom this suit was brought, decreed that they 'attachment.' should be released, as required by the plaintiff. The party who attached them, who was third defendant in the suit before the district moonsiff, appealed, and the case was further tried by the Sudder ameen, who adjudged, that the slaves should be sold for the satisfac-tion of the decree, on account of which they had been attached, after paying the sum for which, it was admitted, they had been mortgaged to the plaintiff in the suit before the district moonsiff.

The case having been brought by special appeal before the zillah judge, he reported it to the provincial court, and requested instructions on these points, viz. "Whether an award of slaves is authorized by a British court of judicature, and whether, as in the case in question, they could be legally ordered by him, as a subject of Her Majesty's Government, to be brought to the bazar and sold."

He said, he had "examined several decrees amongst the records of the court, to see if an award similar to the one under discussion could be found, but had observed, in most claims for slaves, there was a claim for land, and that slaves apparently went with the land, but had never been ordered to be sold in the way specified in the decree." In laying the case before the Sudder Adawlut at the presidency, the judges of the provincial court said they felt some difficulty in submitting their opinion upon the points referred by the zillah judges, they

Enclosures in letter from Register, Sudder Adawlut,

Appendix IX.

· A subdivision of a talook.

they "believed they were warranted in asserting that, in the provinces of Malabar and Canara, the sale of slaves, except with the land or estate to which they may belong, has never been authorized by the courts;" but, they added, "there is no doubt that the custom is common in both districts, of transferring slaves by mortgage or sale, independently of the kand, by private contract; though it is understood that such transactions are generally be-targen period because and blocks and thet the slaves are addeen removed to a contract dis-

tance than a day's journey, and then only with their such transactions are generally be-tween neighbourng landholders, and that the slaves are seldom removed to a greater dis-tance than a day's journey, and then only with their own consent." With respect to the particular case referred to, the judges observed that the decree of the Sudder ameen, from which a special appeal had been admitted, might be set aside on the ground of irregularity, without passing a decision upon the questions raised by the zillah.

Judge. The court of Sudder Adawlut expressed their opinion that the course suggested by the provincial court should be followed. They added, that "the zilah judge might properly refuse to do more than had been already done by the courts," as stated by the provincial "the provincial courts," as stated by the provincial "the set to co hand to who they be the provincial the set of the and they advised generally that he should "confine his sanction to such orders as he finds to have been passed on former occasions by the zillah court, and refuse compliance with any novel application on the subject."

While we note the assertion of the provincial court, that in these two provinces the sale of slaves, except with the land or estate to which they belong, has never been authorized by the courts, we must observe, that we find among the decisions of courts in Malabar and Canara, transmitted through the provincial court in 1836, many by which transfers of slaves, Slavery in In without land, by sale or otherwise, are distinctly recognized and adjudged to he valid; and 1838, p. 405. we must refer to the report of Mr. Newnham, first judge of the same provincial court, quoted above, in which he stated that the courts in Canara daily put up slaves for sale as they would any other moveable property.

It does not appear that slaves have been sold in this province for the recovery of arrears of revenue.

We do not find any particular account of domestic slavery in Canara. Probably the number of domestic slaves is very small. It does not appear that children are sold into slavery in Canara as a means of providing for their subsistence.

We have not seen any notice of the enfranchisement of slaves by their masters in Canara, either gratuitously or in consideration of a price paid.

Coorg.

1 2 5

An interesting correspondence on the subject of the predial slavery prevailing in the terri- Appendix XIII.

tory of Coorg will be found in the Appendix. This territory, which was brought under the British dominion in 1834, is situated on the summit of the Western Ghauts, bounded on the north by Canara and Mysore, on the east by Mysore, on the west by Canara and Malabar, and on the south by Wynaad, a talook of Malabar above the Ghauts.

It appears that slavery has existed in this country from time immemorial. At present it is supposed that half of the agricultural labourers are in that condition. There are two descriptions of slaver with a true those of the call. descriptions of slaves, viz.: 1st, those attached to the soil, transferable from one proprietor to another, but not removable from the land to which they belong; 2d, those who are the personal slaves of the cultivators, and who may be either sold or mortgaged by them, but not to a person who will carry them out of the country, unless with their own consent; they always remain attached to their actual masters, and move with them. Their number is esti-mated at nearly 7,000. They are of 10 tribes, which are classed under three general denominations, viz., Holeyarco, Yewaroo and Paleroo. In one tribe, called Mare Holeyaroo, the inheritance goes to the sister's son; in the others, it follows the common custom. The females of the Paleroo caste do not remain in slavery after the death of their husband. The female children of slaves of this caste, it is said, are not the property of their masters unless by purchase, they are sent to their maternal grandmothers to be brought up.

Marriage contracts among the Coorg slaves are sometimes made by the parents of the parties with, and at other times without, the interference of their masters. The marriage-tie bartes with, and at other inners without, the interference of their interference of the management of the second s to have submitted voluntarily to the condition in order to obtain a livelihood, or to have sold themselves for a price. Some of the tribes are supposed to be indigenous, some to have come from Canara, and some from Mysore.

The rajahs of Coorg had always a considerable number of slaves belonging to them, who were employed in cultivating the punniums or royal farms. When land was given to a ryot for the purpose of cultivation, one or two slaves were originally made over to him from those belonging to the rajah. The ex-rajah had about 1,75? slaves; but many of them made their escape in the war.

When the country came under the British dominion, the lands which had belonged to the rajah became the property of the state, and the question immediately arose, what was to be done with the slaves upon them. The commissioner in reporting upon the subject observed, that these slaves might have been emancipated nud there been no children in the several thousands more, he finding that slavery prevailed generally, and that there were several thousands more, he that these slaves might have been emancipated had there been no others in the country, but deemed it inexpedient to attempt any immediate change in the existing system. The 262. T 3 Governor-general

Slavery in India,

150. COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

MADRAS.

Governor-general in Council was inclined to effect a general emancipation by means of a pecuniary payment to the owners of slaves, if it could be done without risking any serious disaffection to our rule in consequence, and directed inquiries to be made cautionsly to

disaffection to our rule in consequence, and directed inquiries to be made cautionsly to ascertain the state of feeling on the subject. The commissioner and the local supernitendent agreed in opinion, that it was inexpedient to emancipate the slaves generally. " I think" said the commissioner, " that the emancipation of slaves ought not to be contemplated in the present condition of Coorg under any circumstances, even of proposed pecaniary compensation to the owners; and that such a measure, if practicable at all, would be fraught with much evil to the slaves themselves, as well as prove a source of great inconvenience and deep discontent to their proprietors." The commissioner and superintendent did not think it advisable even to proceed at once to emancipate the slaves on the government lands, but proposed a modified plan, by which they were to be assigned to respectable ryots, " who should be required to maintain them on the same terms as ordinary labourers, paying them the same rate of hure, demanding their attendance only during working hours, and, especially, allowing them the entire management and control of their family affairs, and the settlement of their children's marriages." " The rising generation to be considered the property of government, but to be in reality perfectly free, except in being placed under the surveillance of the potalis of their villages," and " in being obliged to apply for the permission of the sırcar when desirous of removing from one part of the country to another." The Governor-general in Council, however, " determined to emancipate those slaves whose persons, as belonging to the state, government had the undoubted right to set at liberty."

The plan proposed by the superintendent for carrying this measure into effect, and providing for the employment and livelihood of the liberated slaves, was to settle as independent ryots on the government lands all who were desirous to undertake cultivation on their own account, giving them advances (as usually allowed to the lower class of ryots) to purchase cattle, implements of husbandry, and the means of subsistence, until the produce of their farms should enable them to depend entirely on their own resources, and exempting them from the whole of the land rent for the first year, and half of it for the two following; and to give to those wishing to establish themselves as free labourers, a donation of three rupees to each man, two rupees to each woman, and one rupee to boys and girls under 12 years of age, to enable them to procure clothing and other necessaries.

J For such as should be unable from age or infirmity to gain a livelihood for themselves, a small allowance was recommended, sufficient to purchase food and obtain accommodation in their villages. These recommendations were approved and adopted by government; but a further recommendation of the superintendent, that the emancipated slaves should be placed under surreillance generally, was disapproved; he was, however, authorized to impose a certain restraint upon such of them as should evince a determined disposition to ulleness and mischief.

/ The number of slaves emancipated under these orders was 1,115, and the amount of donations paid to them was 2,238 rupees. Each individual was firmished with a certificate of freedom. About 50 families were settled as cultivators on government lands. Some continued to work on the estates to which they were formerly attached. A number refused to work, and wandered about the country without employment, but committed no excesses, and did not show any disposition to mischief, as had been apprehended. No discontent was excited among the remainder of the slave population, excepting a few individuals, who were instigated to express dissatisfaction by camp followers and others with whom they associated, and the Coorgs in general appeared to view the proceeding with indifference. This is the substance of the first report made by the superintendent in April 1836, soon after the emancipation of the government slaves was carried into effect. Again in August 1837, the superintendent reported, that he had not heard a single instance of any of the individuals who had been emancipated having misconducted themselves, as it was at first apprehended they would do. A number, he said, had continued in the service of the ryots to whom they were formerly attached; 383 families had during the past season established themselves as independent labourers, and between 50 and 60 families cultivated on their own account small patches of land. On the whole, he had renson to believe that they were a remarkably.

Which the superintendent states, that still ho instance of misconduct on the part of any of the emancipated slaves has come to bis knowledge, but, on the contrary, all accounts he has received of their pursuits and habits confirm the favourable opinion he before expressed of them. A few of those who had undertaken the cultivation of lands on their own account, he says, have thrown them up, but there are still between 30 and 40 families so engaged. About one-fifth of the whole have established themselves as independent labourers, or have

attached themselves to the voice nave established memberves as independent habourers, or have attached themselves to the ryots as domestic servants. It is supposed that some few of the Yerrawaroo tribe, who came from Wynaad, have entered the service of ryots to whom their relatives are attached.

Such of the emancipated slaves as have taken land for cultivation have congregated in small villages in the neighbourhood of the farms to which they formerly belonged. They occupy farms varying in size, and bearing an assessment ranging in amount from δ to 20 ruppes. The superintendent states, " they are better clothed than they were; their dwellings are for the most part substantially built, and their condition appears on the whole decidedly improved. " Those who have re-entered the service of their former masters, or who have attached themselves to ryots as domestic servants, are maintained very nearly, if not precisely, on the same footing as they formerly were. They have with the slaves of the establishments

Dated 6th June 1840. establishments to which they belong, are allowed the same rations, and are required to work the same number of hours." Some have stipulated for a payment in money from $\sqrt{2}$ to 4 rupees a year instead of clothing, but the greater number receive the same allowances, and are otherwise treated exactly as if they continued slaves. Indeed, it is said, that many have destroyed they restificate a for fraction and thermal thermal thermal thermal the model. have destroyed their certificates of freedom, and "have bound themselves to continue for life in the service of their masters, on condition of being maintained, as slaves are in their old age, or when unable to work from illness; and that others have done the same in order to procure the means of getting married, or to obtain the consent of masters to their marrying female slaves of their establishments." "The condition of this class cannot, therefore (the superintendent remarks), be regarded as being in any way improved." He adds, that he "cannot perceive any difference in the circumstances of those who have established themselves as independent labourers, the rates of hire differing little from what they formerly received."

The result of this interesting experiment has justified the expectation of government, Mr. Secretary founded upon "the experience of other countries and other times," "that the emancipated MacNaghten's founded upon "the experience of other countries and other times," "that the emancipated MacNaghten's for laboratory of the secret slaves of Coorg would willingly work to obtain their livelihood, and that those for whose benefit they had hitherto been tasked would willingly employ them as hired labourers." It has also proved the groundlessness of the principal objection raised against the measure, that it would occasion a general feeling of discontent among the remaining slave population. The supermtendent states, that the liberation of the Punniah slaves has been regarded by the lt rest with perfect indifference, and has not produced the slightest alteration in the conduct of any. This indifference, however, it is proper to remark, is attributed to there being no obvious improvement in the condition of the great majority of the liberated slaves to provoke the jealousy of their fellows who remain in bondage.

From this example it appears, that the mass of slaves do not care for freedom by itself. and rather prefer slavery, with its evils, for the sake of the important advantage of a sure provision against want, and that the freedman is by no means considered an object of envy. We imagine that the emancipation of slaves would not be felt as a boon by the general body, though there would probably be a few every where who, having a capacity to improve their condition, would be benefited by the removal of the shackles which now prevent them from rising from their low estate. We do not think the result of this experiment is to be regarded as discouraging. It was as much as could be reasonably expected that a few out of the mass would be benefited immediately, and a few have been benefited. It is perhaps more them uses to be aveneted that more about the works of them before, but of them more than was to be expected that none should be worse off than before; but, as things have turned out, it would seem that all who have not benefited are at least as well off as before.

before. It is stated, that the wealth of a cultivator in Coorg is estimated by the number of his slaves, as in proportion to their number is the extent of the lands he has under cultivation. They are said to be well treated by their masters, "who, actuated by self-interest, if not a better motive, pay much attention to their comfort," "and protect and treat them with kindness, as forming a part of their family." They appear to be well provided for in general. "Three seers of rice for a male slave, two seers for a female, and one and a half to a boy or girl, are given by their masters, independently of salt and curry stuft, which are supplied sometimes monthly, at other times daily." They are entitled also to a load of grain once a year at harvest. "They are supplied for them by their masters in the small villages, and a piece of ground is appropriated to their use, in which they usually grow vegetables or tobacco." They are supplied with clothing twice a year. The above rations, however, are not given universally throughout the year. "Some ryots in Coorg provide their slaves with subsistence at those times only that they work for them is at other times they are obliged to seek a livelihood elsewhere, but are bound to return to their master at the season of cultuvation." The masters have authority to chastise their slaves moderately for faults, but not to inflict severe punishment upon them. Instances of ill-usage, the superintendent believes, are very rare. He observes, that the slaves have at all times the superintendent believes, are very rare. He observes, that the slaves have at all times the means of escaping from ill-treatment, and that they are in the habit of absconding on receiving the slightest cause of annoyance. Considering this, he thinks that the conduct of the master towards his slave cannot differ much from what it would be were the latter a free domestic servant.

From the accounts above cited of the allowances made to the slaves in Coorg, we apprehend that they are better off than those of Malabar and Canara, and it would appear that they are better treated generally. Yet it is stated by the superintendent that a considerable they are better readed generally. Tet it is stated by the superintendent that a considerance number, about 500, have this year migrated to Wynaad, a talook of Malabar, which adjoins Coorg on the south. It is alleged that this is owing to the Wynaad proprietors having increased the allowance to their slaves, and put them in respect to food and clothing on an equality with the slaves of Coorg, while the labour in Wynaad is lighter.* It is stated by the superintendent that there is an old understanding between the Coorgs and the ryots of Wynaad, according to which, slaves absconding from either district are not claimable by the master whom they have left, after having crossed the frontier. For some years past, he says, this custom has operated much to the advantage of the Coorgs, the immigrations from Wynaad having much exceeded the emigrations thither. But this year several who came from

ຂມີຊ.

MacNaghten's letter to Colonel Fraser, dated 12th October 1835.

151

[•] We have quoted in another place a remark of Mr. Gramme, that the slaves of Wynaad are held in higher stimution than those of the lower district.

152 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

MADRAS.

from Wynaad have returned, and have induced a number of the slaves of Coorg, with whom they were associated, to accompany them. Desertions in this manner from the one district to the other, he states, appear to have been of constant occurrence. Many of the slaves, on crossing into Coorg, are claimed by ryots to whom they were formerly attached, and the same is the case in regard to those absconding from Coorg to Wynaad; so that many of the slaves on either side of the frontier are considered as having masters in both districts, and they have changed so often from one to the other, that it would be almost impossible now to say to which they properly belong. Thus, when slaves desert to Wynaad, it is not to be concluded that they do so in consequence of ill-treatment; but the superintendent thinks that this conclusion is to be drawn when slaves, not belonging to families which came originally from Mysore, and have still connexions there, desert thich, for the aversion of the Coorgs to the open country is said to be so great, that nothing but ill-treatment could drive them to settle there; and the same, he adds, may be inferred in the case of such as desert from Malabar or Canara, as the slaves (as well as all other inhabitants of the coords) entertain the greatest dread of the climate above the Ghauts, and are very unlikely to select. Coorg as a place of abode, unless it be to escape from the tyrany of a master.

It appears that "partial assistance has occasionally been accorded to inhabitants of Canara in recovering slaves who have taken refuge in Coorg, and the like assistance has on one or two occasions been received by Coorgs who have proceeded in pursuit of their slaves to Canara." But a late application from the magistrate of Canara for the restoration of fugitive slaves belonging to a person in that district, led to a reference to the commissioner on the subject, and he has laid the matter before government. The superintendent states that he "does not believe that any serious inconvenience would result from the officers of government affording no assistance to the owners in recovering such slaves as may fly from Coorg into Mysore," and is "not aware of any that is likely to arise from the same course being pursued in regard to such as may fly from Malabar or Canara into Coorg." He does not thnik it expedient at present to prohibit the district authorities from taking any active part in restoring runaway slaves who may remain in Coorg, though he does not believe that any serious inconvenience would result to the owners from such a prohibition. He says that applications for assistance of this nature are extremely rare; but "any change in what has hitherto been customary in this respect would no doubt be regarded by many of the most respectable inhabitants as an encouragement to insubordination amongst their slaves, and as leading to innovations which, in their opinion, could not fail in the end to cause the utter rum of their families." He adds, that he "knows of no change which would be likely to give rise to so much alarm and bad feeling as the adoption of any measure likely to weaken the right which masters now possess to the services of their slaves."

Domestic Slavery.

From the details given above, it will be seen that domestic slavery is found, more or less, all over the Madras territory, but, generally speaking, it is rare among Hindoos, and not common even among Mussulmans. We quote the following farther remarks on the subject from the board of revenue, and Mr. A. D. Campbell. "The slaves in this part of India (say the board of revenue) may be divided into two very distinct classes; the one consisting of the slaves of Mussulmans, the other of the slaves of Hindoos. The former are exclusively domestic slaves employed in the house, and are commonly purchased whilst infants, and brought up in the Mussulman faith by their masters; many of them are females employed in the seraglio or haram of the richer Mussulmans to attend on their ladies; and once there Inclosed, they are seldom allowed egress from it, as they are viewed as part of that establish-ment, which it is the chief point of honour with a Mussulman to guard from the view of another. The men slaves are employed as menial servants, and, having free communication with others, and means of complaint, are generally well treated; but none except those who have access to the recesses of the haram can judge of the treatment which the females receive. The Mussulman elevent are communication for the treatment which the females receive. The Mussulman slaves, however, are comparatively few in number; the great slave population consists of the Hindoos, of whom none are confined, and all of whom, with the exception of a very few, are employed in agriculture, and may be termed field slaves, though occasionally employed in domestic service." Mr. Campbell says, "In regard to food, clothing, employment, treatment and comfort, there exists the greatest contrast between the domestic and agrestic slaves in the territories under the Madras government. The domestic slaves, confined principally to the Mahomedan families, being brought up invariably in the creed of their masters, are at once amalgamated with the family itself, who treat the males indulgently, with somewhat of that privileged familiarity allowed in all countries to those who are permanently attached to a family, and are rather its humble members by adoption than its servants or slaves. They are well fed, well clothed, and employed in domestic offices, common, except in families of the highest rank, to many of their master's relatives. The free communication with others, and facility of access to the British tribunals, which the want of all restraint over egress from the house ensures to the male domestic slaves, combunes with the indulgent treatment of their masters to qualify their bondage, so as nearly to exclude it from what the term 'slavery' implies. Such, however, is not the lot of the female domestic slaves employed as attendants in the seragilos of Mussulmans of rank ; they are too often treated with caprice, and frequently punished with much cruelty. Once admitted of a Mussulman to seclude from all communication with others. The complaints made to me, as superintendent of police at Madras, against the nabob of Arcot, and subsequently when

Slavery in India, 1828, p. 897.

Appendix I. Public Report from Select Committee of the House of Commons, 1832, p.453. when magistrate of Bellary, against the brother of the nabob of Kurnool, gave me an insight into transactions committed in the recesses of the female apartments of these two personages, which has left on my mind a strong impression of the cruelty and wanton barbarity with which this class of female slaves were subject to be treated." " Indeed (he adds), httle doubt can be entertained that the seclusion of female slaves in the harams of Mussulmans of rank too often precludes complaint, prevents redress, and cloaks crimes at which Europeans would shudder.

Mr. Campbell says, he does "not think that domestic slaves are ever sold." "Individuals generally become domestic slaves (he remarks) by being sold, when children, by their parents, in years of scarcity." "A Hindoo, however, who buys a child on such an occasion," "treats it as a Briton would, not as a slave, but rather as a servant to whom food and raiment are due, and whose wages have been advanced to maintain the existence of the authors of its due, and whose wages have been advanced to maintain the existence of the authors of its being authorized by nature to contract for its service until it is old enough to confirm or cancel such compact." "On the child attaining maturity, it is, in practice, as free amongst the Hindoos as amongst Britons, unless long habit or attachment induces it voluntarily to acquiesce in a continuation of its service." But, "under the spirit of proselytism which characterizes the Mussulman faith, a male infant is no sooner purchased than it is circum-cused; and whether male or female, it is invariably brought up in the Mahomedan creed, which, if it be a Hindoo (as is usually the case), irrevocably excludes it from all return to its parents or relations." "Besides the purchase of children in years of scarcity, I have heard (Mr. Campbell adds) of natives, to cancel a debt, voluntarily selling themselves as domestic slaves for a certain number of years; but this is unusual." "There can also be no doubt that children are sometimes sold without the knowledge of ther parents." Mr. Campbell here alludes to discoveries made by him, as superintendent of police, at Madras, reported in here alludes to discoveries made by him, as superintendent of police, at Madras, reported in Slavery in India, a letter to government, dated 27th May 1818, in which he stated that "his highness the 1828, p. 901, nabob of Carnatic, the various branches of his family, and indeed the whole of the principal Mussulmans at Madras, were in the habit of purchasing female children to serve as domestic slaves in their families," and that, to supply this demand, certain native women made a trade of child-stealing.

Dancing Girls.

Another condition, which is considered by many as a species of slavery, prevails more or less in most of the districts under the Madras presidency, but chiefly in the southern dis-tricts, and most of all it would appear in the district of Tinnevelly, at the extremity of the pennsula. The subjects of it are the dancing girls, entertained on the establishments of the Hindoo temples. They are purchased in mancy by women of the companies attached to those establishments, who bring them up with great care, and treat them, it is said, with as much kindness as if they were their own children, and indeed commonly adopt them, and leave their property to them at death. The resemblance to slavery consists in the children being purchased by strangers, separated from their natural connexions, and brought up in a manner to fix their destiny for life without a choice on their part. They are cut off from the charities of home and family relations, and are trained to a public profession, which but too surely involves them in a life of immorality, exposing them particularly to prostitution, which it may be said is their common lot.

Dr. Buchanan remarks, that "the dancing women and their musicians now form a sepa- Journey, vol. 2, rate kind of caste, and a certain number are attached to every temple of any consequence. p. 266. The allowance which the musicians receive for their public duty is very small; yet, morning and evening, they are bound to attend at the temple to perform before the image. They must also receive every person travelling on account of the government, meet him at some distance from the town, and conduct him to his quarters with music and dancing. All the distance from the town, and conduct nim to mis quarters with music and dancing. All the handsome girls are instructed to dance and sing, and are all prostitutes, at least to the Brshmins. In ordinary sets they are quite common ; but under the Company's government those attached to temples of extraordinary sanctity are reserved entirely for the use of the native officers, who are all Brahmins, and who would turn out of the set any girl that profaned hattee oncers, who are an Dianamis, and who would thin out of the Stang Erit and Product herself by communication with persons of low caste, or of no caste at all, such as the Christians or Mussulmans." "They are seldom now called upon to perform in private, except at mar-riages." In another place he says, "All the dancing girls in this country are dedicated to Vol. 1, p. 307. the service of some temple.

We observe that the Madras government had this subject under consideration in 1825, Slavery in India, upon a representation from the collector of Tinnevelly, who recommended that the practice 1828, p. 935. of selling and purchasing female children for the profession of dancing girls should be prohibited, on account especially of the immoral hie to which it consigned them. Upon this occasion the government declined to interfere, " adverting to the nature of the institution of becausing the government declined to interfere, "average to the nature of the mistuition of dancing women, and to its connexion with the ceremonies and observances, both religious and civil, of the great bulk of the people," remarking also, that "loss of personal freedom is not among the consequences of being brought up to be a dancing woman, and that the species of immorality that the interference would propose to redress prevails, and is generally tolerated, m the most enlightened and highly-civilzed nations of Europe; and it is much more closely connected with general denoming and with misser in Europe then it is in the life." connected with general depravity and with misery in England than it is in India.'

The government did not take notice of an additional argument urged by the collector of Tinnevelly for the measure proposed by him, that "it would serve as a check upon child-stealing, which is occasionally practised under the pretence of purchase:" we think, how-262. 11 ever.

MADRAS.

153

154 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

MADRAS.

ever, that it deserves attention, since we find it stated in the more recent reports, that in Tinnevelly the supply of these establishments is kept ap mainly by girls procured in the neighbouring territories of Travancore, and snuggled into the district, and bearing in mind the practice of kidnapping which formerly prevailed in that territory to supply the demand for slaves in Malabar.

The selling or purchasing of children for the avowed purpose of prostitution, we observe, was declared by the judges of the Foujdary Adawlut at Madras, on the occasion in question, to be an offence punishable under the existing law.

In the proceedings of the Foujdary Adawlut, under date the 23d March 1620, a case is mentioned in which "the charge was for selling a free-born female child into slavery, and one of the prisoners was the mother of the child." "The transaction (it is stated) was fully proved on the trial; but not being punishable under the Mahomedan law, which has not in thus point undergone any legislative modification, the court directed the release of the prisoners, in conformity with the futwah of their law officers."

In reporting this case to government, the judges took occasion to observe, that "the purchase and sale of persons free-born involved an obvious infringement of inherent rights, and it did not appear that this traffic had the sanction of old and acknowledged usage, or that its suppression would offer violence to the prejudices of the native subjects of government."

The board of revenue, in their proceedings, under date 25th November 1819, had previously recommended to government to pass a regulation in which, among other provisions, "the further purchase of free persons as slaves should be declared invalid and illegal."

The subject was again brought under the notice of the Madras government in 1925, by a proposition of Mr. Cotton, principal collector and magistrate of Tanjore, that the sale of children, except by their own parents, in seasons of great scarcity and distress, and the purchase of children, except directly from the parents, should be prohibited under a penalty. "The Governor in Council apprehended that there would be great danger of doing harm rather than good, by any attempt to regulate and restrict by law, as proposed by Mr. Cotton, the practice of selling children. It is obviously desirable, he observed, to avoid giving that sancton to the practice which in the cases not prohibited would be implied by that restriction. The evil appears to arise from the usages of the country with respect to domestic slavery, a subject of much difficulty and delicacy, and where there is more ground to hope for improvement from the gradual operation of the administration of police and justice in a spinit favourable to personal freedom than from positive enactments." But while the government of Madras refused to interfere in this matter in its legislative capacity, we find, as we have noticed in another part of this report, that one of its magistrates, in 1828, issued a proclamation, forbidding all persons to buy or sell children, on the ground that the regulations do not authorize it.

And lately (even since the above was written) the exposition of the Mahomedan law above referred to, upon which the judges of the Foujdary Adawlut acted in 1820, has been set aside by a new construction of the present Mahomedan law officers of the Foujdary Adawlut, who have declared that, according to the Mahomedan law, the sale of a child by its parents " is not punishable when committed in a season of famine, and that at all other times it is punishable by tazeer," which opinion the court of Foujdary Adawlut has promulgated for the information and future guidance of the subordinate judicial officers.

The order of the Foujdary Adawlut, containing this opinion, will be found in the Appendix, together with a report explaining the circumstances under which the judges thought it advisable to issue it.

It will be seen that the present Mahomedan law officers were required to submit their reasons for dissenting from the futwah of their predecessors, and those officers then repeated the opinion already given, observing that it was accordant with the decision recorded in the books of Huneefah, that in a time when scarcity does not prevail, the people of this country are forbidden to sell their children, and that to do so renders them hable to tazeer, " or discretionary punishment."

"Of the correctness of this last opinion" the judges remarked, " there could be no doubt," and advarting to the different references made to them on the subject, the discordant opinions which had been given, and the doubt generally entertained by the officers in the provinces as to the course they were authorized to pursue in such cases, they deemed it proper to promulgate it for the future guidance of the judicial officers. Thus we find that a circular order of the Foujdary Adawint, founded upon a construction of their law officers, directly at variance with a futwah given by their predecessors, has made a penal officer of what was before declared not to be punishable by the existing law, and which the government declined to make punishable by a new law.

We have obtained the opinion of the kazee of the Nizamut Adawhit at Calcutta upon the point in question, and we find that he differs from the Madras law officers, holding that parents who sell their children, even in dearth, are liable to tazeer or discretionary punishment, remarking, however, that the degrees of punishment will depend upon the existence or non-existence of the need and urgent want of the parents.

SALE AND FUR-CHALDERN FOR FROSTITUTION. Slavery in India, 1828, p. 934-SALE AND FUR-CHASE OF CHILDREN AS SLAVES. Slavery in India, 1828, p. 907-

Ibid. p. 900.

Ibid. p. 926.

P. 126.

Appendix XV.

Ditto.

Exportation

Exportation of Slaves.

With respect to foreign traffic in slaves, we have already referred to a proclamation issued P. 116. by the Madras government in 1790, to suppress the trade which had been carried on by the French and Dutch in the northern sircars, which prohibited absolutely any traffic in the sale and purchase of slaves. We have noticed also an order passed by the commissioners P. 231, for Malabar in 1793, prohibiting "the practice of shipping kidnapped and other natives as slaves" from the ports of that coast, and we find, that in the custom-house regulations for Slavery in India, slaves " from the ports of that coast, and we find, that in the custom-house regulations for Slavery in India, that province, prepared by the commissioners, there was a strict prohibition against the 1828, p. 548. exportation of slaves. The penalty was a fine of 250 rupees for each offence. In former times, it appears the export slave trade was principally encouraged by the French and Dutch on this coast. Children were frequently stolen, and full-grown persons carried off by force to be ultimately sold to foreign traders; and with a view to prevent the revival of this traffic, a provision to the same effect, prohibiting the export of slaves from Malabar was intro-duced in Regulation II. of 1812, but was rescanded by Regulation II. of 1826, upon the Sec. 18, clause 14. advice of the advocate-general, as unnecessary and inconsistent with the Act of 51 Geo. 3, Slavery in India, cap. 23.

Upon the discovery of the traffic in slaves from Travancore into Malabar, the advocate- Ibid. 1828, p. 55c general being of opinion, that the statute 51 Geo. 8, c. 28, applied in all its consequences and penalties to all persons residing within the King's or Company's territories, including therefore native subjects, recommended, that the substance of the Act should be published throughout the provinces under the government of Madras; but the Governor-general in Council being referred to, did not consider the provisions of the Act applicable to the impor-Council being referred to, did not consider the provisions of the Act applicable to the impor-tation or removal of slaves by land, and suggested that a regulation should be passed at Fort St. George for preventing such importation; and inquiries having been made into the operation of Regulation X. of 1811 of the Bengal code, which was passed for the same object, and it appearing that it had proved fully effectual, it was proposed, that the Madras regulation should contain corresponding provisions. The provisions of the Act of Par-liament, it was observed, would effectually restrain the importation of slaves into the British territories by sea.

No regulation, however, was passed, and subsequently, in 1825, the advocate-general of Ibid. p. 933; that day, Mr. Compton, expressed his opinion, that the offence provided for by the statute and ibid. 1838, in question, although committed on land, and even by persons not inhabitants of Madras, p. 370-might be tried in the supreme court of judicature, and that it was not competent for the government to invest the local courts with power to punish that offence.

This opinion was circulated for the information and guidance of the courts, and Regulation II. of 1826 was passed, the preamble of which declares, generally, that the offence of carrying away or removing any person from any country or place whatsoever, for the purpose of being sold or dealt with as a slave, is punishable by the said statute.

In 1830, the Foujdary Adawlut brought under the notice of government a case referred Ibid. p. 371. to them by the judge on circuit in Malabar, of a free person, a female, alleged to have been removed from one part of the Malabar district to another, for the purpose of being dealt removed from one part of the Malabar district to another, for the purpose of being dealt with as a slave, and pointed out, that in Bengal, the Slave Trade Act had not been construed as at Madras, the importation of slaves by land being considered as an offence punishable not under the statute, but under Regulation X. of the Bengal Codé. In consequence of this Ibid. p. 377. representation, Mr. Norton, the successor of Mr. Compton, as advocate-general, was called upon for his opinion, and having considered the whole subject, he made a full report upon it, referring to both the Act 51 Geo. 3, c. 23, and to that by which it had been repealed, 5 Geo. 4, c. 113. Having reviewed and discussed the provisions of both Acts, he stated, that "he had very decidedly come to the conclusion, that neither in the present instance, nor any other, the removal of a person from one part of the Company's territories in India to another, in order that such person should be there dealt with as a slave, is an offence within the Slave Trade Acts; but that it becomes so, and is triable only by the local law or reguthe Slave Trade Acts; but that it becomes so, and is triable only by the local law or regu-lation." He observed, however, that the last statute does "certainly imply," that an offence against it "may be committed on land, and does not merely apply to substantive offences done at sea, or acts accessorial to such offences;" but "if we are to conclude that the land removals are contemplated, we must expressly note also, that they are removals at least into foreign countries; and that such offences are only to be tried by the King's especial commission issued for such purpose. Moreover, even this mode of trial is only to be resorted to when it is committed in a place not being within the local jurisdiction of any ordinary court of a British colony, settlement or territory."

The supreme government upon this were requested to furnish the Madras government Ibid. p. 379. with information as to the construction put upon the Slave Trade Acts in Bengal

In answer, the resolution of the supreme government, under date the 9th September 1617, was referred to, in which the statute was construed as applicable only to the importation or removal of slaves by sea, and it was stated, that nothing had since occurred to induce the supreme government to alter that opinion.

At the same time it was observed, that Regulation X. of 1811 was still in force, although its provisions, so far as they regard importation by sea, had been rendered nugatory by the statute.

262.

465

1838, p. 370.

U 2

The

156 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

MADRAS.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 33. Printed Circular Orders, p. 188.

The Madras government, in reporting this correspondence to the Court of Directors, ob-served, that it would be satisfactory to them to be made acquainted with the opinion of the Company's law officers in England, us to the correct construction of the slave trade laws. The court replied, that the subject had been transferred to the law department. The result we are not aware of.

In the meantime, the court of Foujdary Adawlut, on the 30th April 1830, circulated Mr. Norton's opinion, for the information of the magistrates and criminal courts, with the following comments and order : "Under Mr. Norton's construction of the Act, the removal following comments and order : " Onder Mr. Norton's construction of the Act, the reinoval of a free person from one part of India to another, to be dealt with there as a slave, without reference to any traffic by sea, is an offence not cognizable by the supreme court, but by the established criminal tribunals in the interior. Persons accused of such a crime should ac-cordingly be committed for trial before the court of circuit." The court of Foundary Adawlut, at the same time, directed that the prisoners in the case upon which the reference had been made, and who had been admitted to bail, should be brought to trial at the next sessions. Whether this was done or not, and if done, what was the result, we are not informed.

But we find a case reported, in which two persons were tried upon the charge of having "purchased 27 children, whom they were conveying to Hyderabad for the purpose of domestic slavery." The judge who tried the case noticed it in his report as "of a very re-markable character," as "perhaps embracing the first indictment of the kind upon record, since the crime it sets forth has been ruled cognizable by the Honourable Company's courts." The Foujdary Adawlut, considering that the children had not been ill-treated, and "perhaps rescued from an untimely death," "deemed it sufficient to pass a sentence of three years' imprisonment with labour, without irons, on the principal offender," the ac-cessory being acquitted and released.

The offence charged in this case, as stated by the judge who tried it, was that of " conveying children, the subjects of the British Government, by land to foreign states for the purpose of slavery.' We presume, that it was considered as falling within the scope of the order of the Foujdary Adawlut above mentioned, as an offence cognizable under Mr. Norton's conits routinity Adawing above mendoled, as an obtence cognizable united with volume con-struction of the Slave Trade Acts by the established criminal tribunals in the interior. But if, as involving an attempted removal into a foreign country, it might, according to Mr. Norton's opinion, be treated as an offence against the statute, it is not clear, from that opinion, that it could be tred by a provincial court. The order of the Foundary Adawlut, opinion, that it could be tried by a provincial court. The order of the Foujdary Adawlut, it appears to us, is decidedly erroneous in laying it down generally, that " under Mr. Norton's construction of the Act, the removal of a free person, from one part of India to another," to be dealt with as a slave (including necessarily a removal from one part of the Company's territories to another), " is an offence cognizable by the established criminal tribunals in the interior." For Mr. Norton plainly says that such removal is not an offence within the Slaver y in India, 1838, p. 382. Slavery in India, 1838, p. 382. Slaver 1, 1835. Bud p. 401. September 1, 1835. Source in the importation of slaves and their removal from one zullah * to another should be introduced into the Madras code, with " the rule contained in clause 2, section 80, of the Source into slavery," and the draft of an Act framed by them for that purpose. The following Madras to Supreme is the rule proposed on the latter point :-

> "Any person who shall hereafter export slaves from a place immediately dependent upon the presidency of Fort St. George, into a foreign territory, without first obtaining a written permission from the magistrate, whose duty it shall be to grant the same only when satisfied that the object is not sale, shall be punishable on conviction before a criminal, joint criminal, or native criminal coart, with fine not exceeding 300 rupes, commutable by imprisonment not exceeding six months, or one year's imprisonment, or both; and the slave, on returning to the territory subject to the presidency of Fort St. George, shall be held to be free."

> The recommendations we have thought it proper to offer on this subject will be found in another part of this report.

> • They recommend the substitution of the term "zillah" for that of "province," which is used in the Bengal regulation.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 429. Proceedings Foujdary Adawlut, 26th July 1834. Judge's report. 28th June 1894.

Madras to Supreme Government, Nov. 17, 1835. Referred to law commission, Jan. 11, 1836.

BOMBAY.

BOMBAY.

1. In drawing up this division of our report, we have had to work with materials not only scant in quantity, but, with rare exceptions, unsatisfactory in regard to the quantity of information required for compiling a minutely-accurate account of the present social position of the slaves

2. In fact, the returns to the queries of the commission, circulated in 1835, when the cri- Appendix XVI. minal code was under preparation, may be said to be almost the only serviceable materials at command for our present purpose. Those returns embrace, it is true, all the localities under the presidency of Bombay; but in details such as we require, they are, for the most part, defective, owing to the limited range of the inquiries, which were confined to a few specific points touching the relations between slavery and crime.

3. This dearth of direct evidence unfortunately is not reheved, in respect of Bombay, by recourse to the printed parliamentary and other slavery papers, of which we have largely availed ourselves in drawing up our Madras section particularly; neither have we enjoyed, in the slavery papers of the slavery papers. as in preparing that of Bengal, the advantage of examining witnesses possessing personal ac-quaintance with the actual condition of slavery on this side of India. With respect to parliamentary papers, besides a single paper in the Appendix (No. I.) to the Report of the Select Committee, House of Commons, dated 16th August 1832, p. 421, the collection printed by order of the House of Commons in 1838 is the only one in which there is any important information on the subject, in relation to Bombay. In this volume we have found pretty full information respectively in transmission and African slave trade, as connected with Bombay and the west of Indua, which we shall notice particularly in the sequel. It also contains the proceedings of the government of Bonibay, and the reports of the officers in the provinces, which led to the enactment of the restrictive provisions of Regulation XIV. of 1827, in the code of that presidency, and reports of proceedings in pursuance of those provisions. But the statistics of the slavery actually existing are but cursorily noticed.

The 4th volume of the collection of judicial and revenue papers, printed by order of the East India Company a few years back, is almost entirely made up of able reports by Mr. Elphinstone and Mr. Chaplin, successive commissioners in the Deccan, and by their intelligent assistants, in the administration of the districts above the Ghauts, conquered from the peishwa in 1817-18, which were formed, together with Candersh, into a separate jurisdiction ; while the province before first of the one promote before the province of the separate form. provinces below, fruits of the same remarkable campagen, were annexed to the government of Bombay. But these reports, copious and particular on so many interesting points of histo-But these reports, copious and particular on so many interesting points of historical, statistic and administrative detail, are by no means so on the topic of slavery. Indeed, the earliest series of these reports (for 1819-21) may be said hardly to notice the subject; and though in those of 1822 a general account is given of the origin and nature of the slavery prevailing in the Deccan, we do not find in them details illustrative of the condition and social position of the slaves.

From the information we have gathered from the papers referred to, we are led to conclude that predial slavery is very limited in the territories under the Bombay presidency. It would appear, indeed, to be confined to the zillah of Surat, and to the southern Mahratta country.

Among the returns in answer to the queries of 1835, those of Mr. Grant and Mr. Vibart, Among the returns in answer to the queries of 1835, those of Mr. Grant and Mr. Vibart, the judge and principal collector of the zillah of Surat, both speak of a species of servitude, distinct from that of the ordinary domestic slave, the subjects of which are agricultural labourers. The former of these gentlemen classifies the servile population into the "Gholams," or absolute slaves, "being persons or their offspring who have been purchased," and the "Halees," or bond ploughmen, serving a creditor to discharge a debt. They are called "Halee," he says, from the word "Hull," signifying a plough, "there chief employ-ment being that of ploughmen." Mr. Vibart distinctly states that the Halee is an hereditary bondsman, "almost the only description of slaves," "and usually employed in agricultural labour." labour.'

In a report of 1825, also, we find mention of agrestic slaves in the same district, as "fre- By Mr. Lumsden, quently attached to the soil," in the possession of "the Dessaees, Buttela Brahmins, in Par- collector of Suiat, chole Soopah, and some other pergunnahs." They are distinguished in this report from the Slavery in India, bond servants, who voluntarily engage to labour in payment of loans made to them for their 1838, p. 433. marriage, or the like occasions. The slaves, it is said, "are all of the Coolee, Doobla and Idem, 433. other poor classes of the Hindoos."

We see a similar notice of slaves attached to the soil in the districts of Dharwar Hoobley Slavery in India, and Noulgoond, in the southern Mahratta country, where, it is said, the "slaves attached to 1838, p. 443-and who superintend wuttun land, are stationary, though the owners of the wuttuns may not be so; but the slaves of surcar ryots accompany their masters whenever and wherever they emigrate."

Domestic slavery is not thus limited ; but, on the contrary, seems to prevail in those prowinces as in the other provinces of India. We proceed, as well as the limited information we possess admits, to inquire into,-

1st. The origin of slavery in the territories under this presidency.

2dly. The laws and usages affecting it.

3dly. The practical condition of the slaves,

262.

Dated Feb.22,1836. Dec. 16, 1836. Appendix XVI.

Ditto.

BOMBAY.

1.—Origin

BOMBAY.

Treaty of Poorbundur, 1776, con-firmed in 1782. The mhob of Sa-rat reagned m - 1799 Chowrasay district acquired - - 1800 Guickwar share of Kutch - - 1800 Kaura - - - 1805

N Conkan, annexed to S. do Salsette. ted Dec-Kandeish. Ahmednugu canComь, ership. Dharwar, Sattarah, r the Rajah.

Judicial Selections, IV. p 270. Chaplin, Deccan report. Briggs, ditto, ditto.

Appendix XVI. Bondsmen.

Appendix XVI. Simson, Dharwar. Grant, Surat. Vibart, ditto.

1.—Origin of Slavery.

The inquiry into the origin of the slavery existing under the Bombay presidency is facili-tated by the political circumstances and history of the territories subject to it, which are almost all (save Bombay island itself) comparatively of recent acquisition, and are inhabited by people professing, for the most part, the same religion.

From the original cession of the town and castle of Bombay to Charles II., there is a very From the original cession of the town and castle of Bombay to Charles II., there is a very long interval, to the possession of Salsette in 1776, our next permanent acquisition on that side. The districts of Malabar, won from Tippoo by Lord Cornwallis in 1792, and at first intended for annexation permanently to Bombay, were transferred to Madras after the con-quest of Mysore in 1799; and Bombay gained little besides the undivided rule in Surat with a district adjacent, till the great Mahratta struggle of 1803. The results of that war left Bombay a gainer, directly and indirectly, from Scindia, Holkar, the peishwa and the guardware of considerable territory in Guarant and a small motion of Kathunge guickwar, of considerable territory in Guzzerat and a small portion of Kathiawar.

Finally, the mighty military revolution effected by Lord Hastings' Pindarree war, of 1817, utterly broke up the Mahratia confederacy, and stripped its chief, the peishwa, of his entire dominions. By this event, and the antecedent treaty of Poonah, Bombay acquired the whole Conkan or seaboard, and the western Carnatic, above the Ghauts, from the Tamboodra to the Sathpoora mountains, more than double her previous area.

In the entire of the vast provinces thus acquired by Bombay, Hindoo governments were In the entire of the vast provinces thus acquired by Bombay, Hindoo governments were in possession and actual vigour, and had been so, more or less extensively, since the latter part of the 17th century, when the Mahrattas began to wrest their ancient provinces from the Mahomedans. But the English possessions in Bengal and the Coromandel coast (Tanjore excepted) were conquered from conquering Mahomedans, whose matututions were found in full force; the Hindoos being left in doubtful possession of so much of their reli-gious and civil codes as might suit the views or temper of the victors. In the Bombay provinces, this order of things had long been reversed by the victorous Mahrattas, though the tolerant spirit of Hundows interfaved little with the interval basers of the services. the tolerant spirit of Hindooism interfered little with the internal usages of the remaining Moslem population. But we may reasonably doubt whether a Moslem could have made good his plea of legal ownership against an alleged slave in a Mahratta court, even on proof of descent from a captive infidel of the pious wars of Mahmood or Aurangzeeb. We may, therefore, conclude that the strict Mahomedan slave law was, practically, in a state approaching to abeyance, at least, as against Hindoos and their descendants; so that, if Mussulmans held Hindoos as slaves at all, it was rather by the acquiescence of the indi-

Mussulmans held filmdoos as slaves at all, it was rather by the acquescence of the indi-vidual and connivance of the authorities, or by the force of undisturbed usage. The more common origin of slavery, properly so called, appears to have been sale; either self-sale, by which adults consigned their persons and services to a purchaser of their own accord, or sales by which the partness were disposed of without their own consent by persons exercising power over them. Thus people were formerly imported from Africa, for the purpose of being sold as slaves, and were also brought by Brinjaris and other itinerant tribes, chiefly from Berar and Nimaur. These rovers kidnapped children, or bought them from their parents in time of dearth when adults even would often sell themselves for a from their parents in time of dearth, when adults even would often sell themselves for a subsistence. The main source of slavery in Western India seems to have been the sale of children, however obtained, by dealers from without. But there is not a doubt that numbers of children have also been sold by their parents within the provinces, and are so even in our days, not for gain, nor from want of natural affection, but generally from feelings of an opposite kind, during the famines to which these parts of India are subject.

Another source of slavery was the practice of sentencing to that condition erminals (not being of the Brahminical class) guilty of offences under the degree of capital, or in commutation of death. Adulteresses, particularly with a paramour of low caste, were thus dealt with. Prisoners taken in war could not be lawfully made slaves.

In general, the progeny of persons reduced to slavery in any of the above modes were considered as slaves by birth. In one of the returns, however, and certamly one of the best, that of Mr. Smson, there is a distinct assertion, that slavery, whether of the com-pulsory or bond class, did not, in the southern Mahratta country, descend on children, who We were born free, even if both father and mother were slaves, the property of one master. have not observed that this broad doctrine is confirmed by evidence from other quarters.

Among the slaves by birth also may be reckoned the out-caste Hindoo offspring of un-lawful connexions between Hindoo men of low caste and high caste women, or between prohibited degrees of kindred or interdicted classes generally. The offspring of all such connexions seem to have been considered in the light of family-slaves by birth, whether either parent were enslaved or free.

The other description of slavery, that of the debtor-bondsman, considered as in some respects voluntary, appears to have been most prevalent at Surat, where, as above noticed, those subject to it are designated by the name of Halee, or ploughman, and are employed in agricultural labour. It was not, however, unknown, though it was in disrepute, in Maharashtra. These bondsmen were compelled personally to work out their debt; but the evidence of the returns is conflicting as to the effect of the father's condition and engage-ment on the fate of his descendants. We are disposed to think that, in Maharashtra proper, at least, children were not forced, however meritorious such a pious labour might be, to work out the balance of debts uncleared by the toil of a deceased parent. But it was certainly otherwise in Surat. There the children of Halees do appear to have been joined

in the liability; and this must render the species of servitude virtually hereditary, the balance being constantly swelled by marriage and other expenses defrayed by the creditormaster according to usage, independent of the food, raiment and lodging which he is bound in all cases to provide.

Bondsmen.-The Halee appears to have had a right to acquire property by gift, inhert- Appendix XVI. tance, working at spare hours or otherwise, his debt to the master notwithstanding. But, Mr. Grant, Surat. with respect to other debtor-bondsmen, upon such property acquired and existing at the Vibart, ditto. death of the debtor, the master had a claim as a creditor of the estate, although during the Appendix XVI. life of the bondsman he could not medle with the property, payment of the debt having Simson. been provided m another shape, namely, the commutation bond.

Features common to both of the great divisions of servitude appear to have been, that Appendix XVI. although all under Brahminucal degree might be enslaved in one or other class, yet none Simson, Dharwar-could be consigned to owners of caste inferior to their own. It was held, that the status of slavery did not of itself damage the individual's caste; and by custom having the force of law, a master could not exact services incompatible with his slave's caste.

2.-Laws touching Slavery.

The servile condition being distinctly recognized by the old Hindoo and Mahomedan codes, as well as by the laws or ancient customs prevailing in full force in the various provinces added from time to time to the Bombay presidency, we assume, that, agreeably to civilized practice and to prudent policy, slavery, like other institutions which we found, remained in undisturbed legal vigour until altered by the dominant nation. The first occasion in which the Bombay presidence at the the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of t

The first occasion in which the Bombay government ventured to touch the question of slavery, was in A. D. 1812, when they seized the opportunity of the passing of a set of rules for the guidance of the court of petty sessions on the island of Bombay, under the title of Rule, Ordinance and Regulation I. of 1812, to enact the following rules on slavery and the slave trade :----

" TITLE TWELFTH, OF THE SLAVE TEADE AND SLAVERY."

" All importation of slaves into this island for sale is prohibited.

"All importation of slaves into this island for sale is prohibited. "The petty sessions shall, in such cases, emancipate the slave and send him or her Bombay Reg. I. back to their family, or to the place from which he or she was brought, at the expense of 1812, p. 341. the importer. Where the slave is desirous of remaining, the importer shall pay him the Article I. money which would otherwise have been employed in defraying the expense of his return. Article II. The petty sessions may inflict further imprisonment in aggravated cases. "All children born of parents in a state of slavery in this island after the 1st day of January 1812, shall be free. But, if the masters of their parents or any other persons support them from birth till they be capable of working, they shall be compelled to work without wages for such masters or others for such number of years as the petty sessions shall determine to be a compensation for their support during childrood."

This enactment, being applicable only to the Island of Bombay, was followed in the succeeding year by Regulation I. of 1813, prohibiting the importation of slaves from foreign countries into the places immediately dependent on the presidency of Bombay. But the preventing of the sale of "slaves," though declared in the preamble to be one of the objects of the regulation, was altogether unnoticed, and the important provision in the rule above quoted for securing the freedom of children born after a specified date of slave parents was omitted in the regulation.

parents was omitted in the regulation. In this regulation the Bombay government only followed up the course of legislation in Bengal, and confined themselves to prohibiting all importation of slaves from foreign coun-tries by sea or land into the territories under that presidency, under penalties of fine, im-prisonment, and the costs of returning to his own country any imported slave so desiring, who was in all cases to be set free. The external traffic in slaves may have been destroyed by this regulation, but the internal traffic in purchases and sales remain untouched. The range of the regulation, too, was limited to a comparatively small tract of country, Salsette, Surat, and the small portions of the Guzzerat and Kuttywar provinces then possessed by the British Government.

On the conquest of the north and south Conkan from the peishwa in 1818, and theur On the conjuget of the north and south contain nois the promute in 1955, and then annexation to the Bombay presidency, the slave regulation became law in this extensive stripe of country, reaching nearly from Damaun to Goa below the Ghauts. But it did not become law in the more extensive conquests above the Ghauts, which, as we have before stated, were separately administered by a commissioner as non-regulation provinces. In these, therefore, slaves might be introduced from without, for sale; while into the Conkan, in common with the old Bombay provinces, slaves could not be so imported in virtue of Regulation I. of 1813. But in all the provinces of the Bombay presidency, slavery and internal dealing in slaves remained equally undisturbed by law until 1920, when a great and remarkable change, no less than the absolute prohibition of all selling of slaves, was introduced into the reserved Deccan provinces only, in which slavery certainly had no less root in law and custom than elsewhere in the west of India.

The Honourable Mr. Elphinstone, commissioner, appears to have been twice applied to Slavery in India, by Captain Briggs, his assistant in charge of Candeish (in December 1819, and September 1828. 1819), for instructions on the subject of the prevailing elavery; and to have issued orders

262.

BOMBAY.

ín

BOMBAY.

in consequence, that no alteration should be made in the existing laws and usages. Of these appeals, the last was a special reference to ascertain whether the practice of "carrying about slaves for sale" was to be permitted, and it distinctly set forth that such practices were frequent, and the subjects of them usually young women and guils, brought by Brinjaris, professing to have purchased them in time of recent scarcity.

The commissioner, in reply, instructed Captain Briggs to sanction the sales described in his reference, but denounced the severest punishment against any who should attempt to carry off young people by force.

When this correspondence came before the supreme Government, under whose orders the Deccan commissioner was specially placed, the Marques of Hastings, then Governongeneral, desired to know Mr. Elphinstone's reasons for giving his sanction to the practices described; and adverted to the difficulties which would be found in checking the practice of carrying off young people by stealth. In reply, Mr. Elphinstone urged the general principle (which guided his administration) of non-interference with the laws and usages of the new country; and he adverted to a case which had occurred some years previously, and in which this abstinence had been enjoined in a question concerning a refugee slave in the canonments at Poonah.

Mr. Elphinstone having in the meantime been advanced to the governorship of Bombay, when occasion was taken to annex the Deccan to that presidency, the rejoinder of the supreme Government on the slave question was addressed to the Governor in Council of Bombay. It set forth, that the importation of slaves by land or sea, and the sale of such slaves, "being strictly prohibited under the presidency of Fort William, his lordship was not aware of any sufficient objection to the extension of a similar prohibition to the territories conquered from the late peishwa, as well as to the dominions under the presidency of Bombay generally, if it does not already exist in these dominions. And the Governor-general therefore recommends this point to the consideration of the Governor in Council of Bombay."

The correspondence seems to have closed with an acknowledgment by Mr. Elphinstone in Council of the above letter on the subject of the sale of slaves in the territories conquered from the late peishwa, and an assurance that instructions had in consequence been issued to the commissioner in the Deccan.

The Bombay government further states, in reference to the doubt expressed as to the actual state of the laws at Bombay regarding import for sale of slaves by sea or land, that the trade in slaves stood prohibited at that presidency by Regulation I. of 1813.

We have quoted the correspondence with some minuteness, because it seems to us that the intention of Lord Hastings at that early period of newly-acquired dominon over a proudslave-holding people like the Mahrattas, hardly went so far as the measure adopted by Mr. Elphinstone. The Bombay government were called on to "consider" certain elements of a grave political question under discussion. They appear to have construed this into a positive order to act, and they at once prohibited all sales whatever of slaves, instead of reporting how far it might seem expedient to bar the importation of slaves from without into the peishwa's late dominions, as described by Captain Briggs, and the hawking of them about for sale. In this case, as in those of the Delhi and Cuttack proclamations, the people appear to have acquiesced in the prohibition ; at least, we do not gather from the Deccan reports of 1622, or our later returns, that dissatisfaction resulted from the measure. We may perhaps infer, that the value of slaves, at least, the numbers were considerable.

The prohibition itself, issued by the Bomba's government, not being in evidence before us, we only infer its stringent provisions from allusions to it in the returns. We observe that Mr. Chaplin writes, "The sale of slaves now stands prohibited by the order of the supreme Government. This, however, has increased the price without putting a stop to the traffic."

But Regulation XIV. of 1827 of the revised Bombay code, being of later date and of general force every where under that presidency, sets all such questions at rest. Its comprehensive provisions, by regulating, distinctly recognize, slavery and the sale of slaves, even, we presume, in the Deccan provinces, although, as we have related, every sort of sale had there been forbidden in 1820.

The volume of papers on Slavery in India, printed in 1838, contains, as already noticed, the proceedings of the government and the reports of the local officers which led to the enactment of those provisions.

The Governor, Mr. Elphinstone, in June 1825, laid before the council a minute, in which he observed, that, from an old report of Sir C. Metcalfe on the territory under Delli, it appeared that he had succeeded without opposition in putting a stop to the sale of slaves; the success of which experiment led him to think that the obstacles to aboliton under the Bombay presidency had been over-rated. Referring to the interrogatories, formerly circulated by the commissioner in the Deccan, he remarked, that the replees were rather unfavourlable, but were directed rather against the emancipation of persons already in servitode than against the prohibition of the sale of slaves. He was himself inclined to propose the entireprohibition of sale, but thought it proper first to consult the judicial and revenue officers, and also the regulation committee and the Sudder Adawlut. If entire abolition should be impracticable, he thought the following modifications might be adopted :--

1. The transfer of grown-up slaves allowed, but the sale of children entirely forbidden.

2. The-

January, 1820.

Selections, vol. 4, page 504.

Slavery in India, 429, et seq. 2. The transfer of children permitted during famine, allowing the parents a right of redemption for a certain number of years after the sale.

3. The sale of women for common prostitution prohibited, but the women who keep such slaves allowed to sell them, it being made penal on the purchasers to sell or expose them again to prostitution.

The first modification was intended to remove any objections to the interfering with what was then a marketable property, and also to meet a remark that slaves might often be at a loss for a maintenance if they were emancipated, a result which would also occur if the reduced circumstances of their master prevented his keeping them while the law forbade his selling them. This object of the modification, it was observed, might be attained by making the consent of the slave a condition of the transfer.

The second modification was intended to counteract an evil, anticipated by some, who urged, that the lives of many children were preserved during famine by the sale of them to rich people; and that if government prohibited this expedient, it was bound to provide other means for their preservation. If the sale of children should be tolerated during a famine, Mr. Elphinstone thought it should be confined to the parent, with a right of repurchase at the same price, as was done by the peishwa's government after the famine in 1803.

The reason assigned for allowing the sale of prostitutes was, that they are often purchased by men who wish to have them as concubines, and even as wives ; the worst of which conditions is better than that of a common prostitute.

The answers of all the officers referred to having been received, Mr. Elphinstone, in a Slavery in India, minute dated 5th January 1826, observed, that many of them dwelt on the inexpediency 1838, p. 457. of emencipating those persons who were already in slavery,—a measure which was never contemplated; that most of them were unfavourable to the regulation which proposed prothisting the sale of slaves; and that all (except one from a gentleman who had been but a few years in India) described the condition of a slave among the natives to be as good as that of a hired servant, an opinion, he said, in which the opportunities he had had of observing led him to concur. On a general view of the whole correspondence, he was induced to abandon the proposal of abolishing the sale of slaves at that time. The discontent and alarm the measure would create, he observed, might be considerable, while the advantage would be very small,—all the reports showing that the sale of slaves was of rare occurience, and the rules he meant to submit being calculated still further to narrow its range. He thought that the three rules he formerly suggested, in case an entire abolition of sale were found inexpedient, might be adopted, with some additions, regarding the separation of relations, and measures for preventing the importation of slaves by sea or land, as well as for guard-ing against the kidnapping of children. He further proposed that slaves should never be sold without the transaction being registered; but he thought a general register of all persons now in scrutide incording. now in servitude inexpedient.

The exportation and importation of children who are slaves, whether for sale or not, he thought, should be prohibited except in time of famine.

Mr. Warden, one of the members of the council, also recorded a minute on the subject, in which he sud that he did not apprehend the slightest danger or alarm in giving effect to the original proposition of the government. He added, "I presume not to sketch out the mode, or to fix the period of general emancipation, and perhaps the sudden manumission of those now actually in a state of bondage, though abstractedly just, might be politically unwise, but there can exist no 'good reason, either political or humane, against the British Government prohibiting the purchase or sale of all slaves, legitimate or illegitimate, after a specified time; and likewise ordaning and declaring that all children, male and female, born of narent in a state of slavery, shall from a like date he free." of parents in a state of slavery, shall from a like date be free."

The following are the rules which were enacted :-

"XXX. Clause 1st.—Any person who shall import into any zillah subordinate to Bom-bay a slave for sale (and sale within one year after importation shall be deemed conclusive proof that such was the object of the importation), shall be punishable, if the slave be of ten years of age or upwards, with fine, or ordinary imprisonment not exceeding one year, or both; and, if the slave be under ten years of age, with fine, or ordinary imprisonment, or both; and further, the simple importation of a child under ten years of age in a state of slavery, except in time of famine, or immediately after, and on written permission granted by the magistrate, is hereby declared illegal, and will be visited with the retributive measures described in section X XVI." described in section XXXII.

"Clause 2d .- Any person who shall export a slave from a zillah subordinate to Bombay into a foreign territory, without first obtaining a written permission from the magistrate, whose duty it shall be to grant the same only when satisfied that the object is not sale, will be punishable with fine, or ordinary imprisonment not exceeding one year, or both, and the slave on returning to the Bombay territories shall be held to be free."

"XXXI.-In addition to the preceding rules, the following sales of slaves within the zil-lahs subordinate to Bombay are declared to be illegal, and all persons concerned therein, either as buyers or sellers, will be punishable with fine or ordinary imprisonment, or both :

" 1st. All sales whatever, not registered by the magistrate, whose duty it shall be, after due investigation, to grant or withhold such registration and a certificate thereof, according "2d. Any sale of a female slave, having a child under ten years of age, by which such

child is separated from her.

262.

162

169 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

BOMBAY.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 406.

"8d. Any sale of a child under ten years of age, though already in a state of slavery, except in time of famine.

"4tb. Any sale of a child into slavery, except in time of famine, and by one of the parents, in which case a right of redemption at the price of sale shall be reserved to either parent and the child for the period of ten years.

"5th. Any sale of a female for common prostitution."

"XXXII. Whenever an importation or sale of a slave has been pronounced to have been illegally made, the slave becomes thereby emancipated, and if government shall so deter-mine, on a report to be made by the zillah magistrate, if the case shall have been decided by him, and by the criminal judge in all other instances, a fine commutable into imprison-ment, according to their jurisdictions, shall be imposed on the offender by those authorities respectively, and shall be expended when realized in re-exporting the emancipated slave to his own country."

By the last section a report is required to be made to government in order to obtain sanc-tion for the imposition of a fine upon the offender, and the expenditure of it in re-exporting the emancipated slave. In the volume upon Slavery in India, of 1838, we find a series of reports made accordingly, which came down to 1836. If this series comprise all the reports made in pursuance of the regulation from the time of its enactment to 1836, it must have come but slowly into operation, the first report being dated in November 1831. In that year only one case was reported, in the following year only three cases, and in 1833 five cases, involving 20 persons who had been treated as slaves, contrary to the provisions of the regulabut the reports were mostly from two zillahs, Ahmednuggur and Poonsh.[•] We remark that the judges of the Sudder Adawht observed upon the returns + made by

the local officers in 1836, that, taken as a whole, they lead to the gratifying conclusion, that the laws of 1827 are in successful operation for the gradual extinction of a practice so abhor-rent, as is slavery, to natural right as well as to the real health of the social compact of civilized life.

Perhaps the beneficial effects of these enactments have extended beyond the mere intimi-dation of the penal clauses; for the returns show a general impression on the minds of the people that the government and English gentlemen view slavery and slave-dealers with dis-like and disfavour.

We may here notice two somewhat remarkable petitions from parties complaining of the effect of the laws of 1827.

The first was from the community of dancing girls of Kaira. In forwarding it to govern-ment, the collector observed, that they had stated verbally to him, that, as they neither give nor take in marriage, and by consequence have no families of their own, a few years would probably see the end of the race, since the regulation prohibits them from purchasing chil-

for the parents, who, through want, offer them for sale. These girls we rear up and treat as if they were our own children, and eventually they become our heirs; we also rely on them for our support. The purchase of girls by us being prevented, (they ask) what are we to do? who are to become our heirs, and how are our names to continue?" In fine, they prayed for an exception in their favour. The Governor in Council transmitted this petition for the consideration of the supreme Government; in the event of any inquiry being in contemplation performed and the order of the collection of the contemplation. respecting slavery in India; and, in reply to the collector who forwarded the petition, acquainted him that the government could not, with propriety, at any time crase the enact-ment complained of from the code, and at the present time deemed it inexpedient to accede to the prayer of the petition.

The other petition was from the Gosaens of Poonah, representing that they have been from The other petition was from the Gosaens of Poonah, representing that they have been from time immemorial permitted to obtain disciples for their religious establishients by pur-chasing them, when there are failures by natural means. The petition had reference to cases which had been sent up by the magistrate for trial by the session judge of Poonah, wherein some members of the community were charged with criminal acts in having so obtained disciples, some of whom the petitioners had procured in time of famine. They stated that those who are initiated into their order become joint members of the community, and derive equal advantages with the rest, often acquiring even wealth. They also pleaded ignorance of the law, which they alleged had not been acted upon, though it had been passed seven years before. seven years before.

The session judge expressed his opinion that from the regulation never having been pro-mulgated excepting by being printed with the rest of the code, and not having been acted upon as affecting these religious orders, the petitioners had really acted in ignorance of the law; and, accordingly, with the sanction of the Sudder Foujdary Adawlat, suspended the trial of the case until the orders of government should be received upon it. Government, however, declined to interfere; and we see a case reported by the magistrate of Poonah, two months after, prohability the same of control hows who had here acid to Government, two months after, probably the same, of certain boys who had been sold to Gosaens of the city of Poonah, and made proselytes by them, in which cases the Gosaens, it was stated, had been punished according to law, and the boys emancipated. The magistrate requested instructions how to dispose of them, observing, that most of them wished to remain with the Gosaens.

• In 1836, only one case reported, but the reports extend only to the early part of that year. † ha answer to the queries of the law commission.

Ibid. p. 488.

Ibid. p. 527.

Gosaens. The government decided that none should be allowed to remain in the hands of the Gosaens, but that those who had parents should be returned to them, and the rest otherwise disposed of in a proper manner.

otherwise disposed of in a proper manner. The plea of ignorance of the law set up by the Gossens, we observe, was urged in another Slavery in India, case of slave-dealing, in which a child was sold by her father to a prostitute, tried at Poonah 1835, page 556. by a different judge, and was admitted by the judge as a ground for mitgating his sentence. June 18, 1145. The following are the judge's observations on the case:—" The sale of the child occurred J. B. Simson. very nearly four years ago; it is understood that during the late government, and previously, it was most common to dispose of children in this manner; it is not in evidence, nor is the court aware, that any proclamation prohibiting the practice has ever issued from the mergeneral depertment and all the pricemers plead uncomme of the resultation, which had magisterial department, and all the prisoners plead ignorance of the regulation, which had been in force scarcely more than a year when the sale took place. That the parties were ignorant of the enactment may also be fairly inferred from the open manner in which the The deed of sale was registered by the village koolkurnee and attested by four witnesses." judge took occasion, from this case, to suggest to the magistrate, that the illegality of the sale of children should be made generally known by proclamation or other mode. Upon this suggestion, the magistrate (Mr. Baber) remarked, "that gross as the ignorance of the people undoubtedly is of our laws in general, he very much doubted whether there is any person living who does not know that the sale of human beings is illegal."

The judges of the Sudder Foujdary Adawlut, overruling the principle that ignorance of September 19, the regulations might be successfully pleaded in extenuation of the crime of slave-dealing, 1835. yet thought it proper to direct, that every publicity possible should be given to the regulation against the practice of buying and selling children, in order that the people might be generally informed that such a practice would undoubtedly entail a severe punshment. It is probably owing to this measure that the regulation had become so operative as it was considered by the judges to be in 1836.

When promulgating the new code of 1827, for the territories subordinate to the presidency of Bombay, the government of that presidency took occasion to annul Rule, Ordinance and Regulation I. of 1812, before alluded to, as forming the law for the island itself, and to pass another Rule, Ordinance and Regulation II. of 1827.

There was in the new rule a very remarkable alteration under the head of " Slavery thirteenth), for, whilst the first two articles were retained entire and unaltered, the third article (which we have already quoted), declaring the children born, after a certain date, of slave parents, to be eventually if not immediately free, is entirely omitted, its place being supplied by an enactment against abduction of females.

We are at a loss to account for this omission, which is the more remarkable because all such rules, ordinances and regulations, being of force only within the presidency itself in the jurisdiction of the supreme courts, require to receive the consent of those courts before they can become law, so that the judges of the supreme court of Bombay must have been cognizant of the omission, and have sanctioned it with a full knowledge of the reasons that led to it.

But notwithstanding the restrictive laws which have been passed at this presidency, slavery continues to exist. The right of one man to coerce the services, to appropriate the slavery continues to exist. In engine of one main to coerce the services, to appropriate the acquirings, of another, to sell, to purchase, to bestow, to bequeath, to inherit him, and it may be his offspring, subsists, and with it the undefined though understood obligation of affording sufficient maintenance till death. These ancient rights and obligations, subject to the restrictions and conditions imposed by the law of 1827, still exist, and may be enforced in the neural of instance and adverse a neural course are neural with the second second with the second sec the courts of justice in such manner and degree as may accord with precedents, with the views of the judge for the time being as to what was ancient law or existing custom, or his notions of what ought to be deemed and taken as good law and custom in these more enlightened days.

Under such a loose system, where the civil and criminal functionaries, even of the same locality, may entertain widely-different views of such undefined rights and obligations, and where these functionaries themselves are in a state of constant fluctuation and change, where, moreover, the notorious bias of the government and of the dominant and educated class is adverse to slavery, it is easy to understand that the hold of the master on his human chattel must become weaker and weaker, and the entire slave system tend to decay. An examination of the returns in the Appendix will show how rare, indeed almost unheard of, is a suit in the civil courts against a slave or a third party for recovery of services, pro-perty or damage by abductionor desertion. Yet almost all the reporting functionaries agree that a slave-owner has a good cause of action in the cases supposed, and possesses rights which cannot be questioned in the abstract, though so difficult of enforcement as not to be worth the attempt in these times. In confirmation of these sentiments, we subjoin the opinion of the Bombay Sudder Foundary Adawlut, as set forth in two letters lately received, which will be found in the Appendix. The first dated 20th July 1839, is to the effect that no special law for the protection of the slaves under the Bombay presidency was necessary, because no offence, which would be punishable if done against a freeman, is exempted from punishment because done against a slave: that the power of a master to correct his slave has never been admitted by the Bombay code, and the general practice of the magistrates has been against it; at the same time that masters are protected against the misconduct of their slaves by the regulations for the punishment of servants.

In the second letter they state, that only three cases are on record of the regulation Dated 5 May, against slaves having been put m force (and that in one zillah), and three cases of complaint 1840. by slaves against their masters, in one of which the master was fined for putting his slave in the stocks ; the other two cases being dismissed for want of proof.

269.

X 2

BOMBAY.

We

164 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

BOMBAY.

Appendix XVI. Surat, No. 3.

Appendix XVJ. Surat, No. 14.

Lumsden. Slavery in India, 1838.

Anderson, ditto.

Appendix XVL Broach, No. 4.

Appendix XVI. Broach, No. 15.

Appendix XVI. Ahmedabad, No. 5.

Appendix XVI. Ahmedabad, No. 16. We abstract some specimens of the varying opinions of the public officers, to illustrate what has been said of the feebleness and uncertainty of the laws which uphold slavery on the Bombay side of India, or, to speak more precisely, of what remains of old law and custom, after the narrowings effected by the changes of 1813, 1819-20 and 1827 above detailed.

NORTHERN PROVINCES-SUBAT.

Mr. Grant, acting judge and session judge.—Slaves are of two kinds, Gholams and Halees. Gholams are slaves in all respects; either purchased themselves, or the offspring of the purchased. The master has a right to possession of their services, persons and property, and, by Mahomedan and Hindoo law, even to the exacting of prostitution from females:—servitude complete and binding on children;—may be legally sold, given away and devised;—can acquire no property. Halees are rather bondsmen than slaves; persons or their offspring who have sold their labour for an advance of money, bound to serve the lender and his heirs until they are able to repay the sum. Halees' children are bound till the debt be discharged. Halees may acquire property.—cannot be transferred or sold. No records are extant which ascertain or define the rights of masters, the courts and authorities differing so much. In his opinion the magistrate is bound to enforce, in both kinds of slavery, by every means short of violence and cruelty, the master's right to possession and personal services, so long as the master fulfils his obligation to feed, clothe and well-treat.

A master would not be justified in doing any thing to a slave that would be punishable as committed towards any other person, except restraining or confining him ;--flagrant illusage would be punished, and slave manumitted. All others, as well as Mahomedans and Hindoos, may hold slaves.

Mr. Vibar, principal collector.—Halees are hereditary bondsmen, and almost the only kind of slave in these districts. The master's claim is generally founded in expenses incurred in bringing them up from infancy, or for money advanced for marriage expenses; the individual and his family held in bond until repayment, when they all become free. If one absconds, magistrate is authorized, by a letter from government, 19th April 1822, to apprehend such runaway and return him to his master, provided no ill-treatment appears, and if the complaint be laid within 12 months; but a Halee recusant, nevertheless, can only be punished, though a slave, as an ordinary servant under section 18, Regulation XII. of 1827, by order of Sudder Adawlut, 13th December 1830. Slavere no instification for any wrong-doing. He would not enforce any claim to slave

Slavery no justification for any wrong-doing. He would not enforce any claim to slave property, person or service, except as between Mussulman or Hindoo. The prevailing impression with the natives is, that our government judicially punishes

The prevailing impression with the natives 15, that our government judicially punishes any severe treatment of a slave in the same manner as if he were a freeman, and the general feeling of the character of our government restrains acts of violence towards slaves as well as others. Slaves sometimes run away from their masters, and such cases are brought before the magistrate. Sometimes the master is to blame, and sometimes the servant. Does not explain what is done by the magistrate in either case.

The property in slaves at present existing, recognized and defined by Hindoo and Mahomedan law. Forbearance, as regards domestic slavery, guaranteed by section 5, Regulation I. 1805.*

Mr. Richardson, assistant judge and session judge.—A master has a right to service of his slave; inherits his property if he die; and may take it when he pleases during life.

Relation of master and slave not considered as constituting a ground for mitigation of punishment in case of personal wrong done by the former to the latter. Master punishable for assaulting slave as any one else. Courts only recognize claims of Mussulmans and Hindoos against Mahomedan and Hindoo respectively, in matter of alavery.

Mr. Kirkland, acting sub-collector and joint magistrate.—The criminal courts or magistrates would not recognize a legal right of masters over slaves with respect to person or property, or enforce such, but would refer parties to civil action of damages for alleged loss of services. A slave may remain only as long as he pleases with his master; the magistrate will not use force or threat to compel his return.

Relation of master and slave no justification of cruelty or hard usage. If the master molest or maltreat, he will be required to give security for good conduct. The courts or magistrates would not give over any slave's property to his master, unless proved to be the bond fide owner.

In the town of Broach there are only 62 slaves—two males, the rest females. In the pergunnahs, no slaves among subjects of the British Government; though there may be a few among thakoors (chiefs) and respectable grassias (gentry).

Mr. Le Geyt, acting judge and session judge.—No cases on his civil or emmal records, determining or serving to determine civil rights of masters and slaves, not any record of complaints from either. The same protection is afforded to both. He considers that British subjects and others amenable to supreme court cannot hold slaves, but all other persons may.

Mr. Jackson, acting magistrate.—In cases of complaint of a criminal nature by slaves against masters, the same measure of justice would be awarded as to any other complainant. No case on record involving civil rights. If any occurred he would be guided by 8.26, Regulation

* This opinion was given in 1825, before the new code was framed

Regulation IV. 1827; and by the exposition of the law by the Hindoo or Mahomedan law officer, as the case might be. In case of a Christian being concerned, he would refer to a higher authority.

, *****

Mr. Stubbs, magistrate.-Finds only one case on record in ten years-that of a master Appendix XVI. keeping a female slave in irons and beating her. He was convicted, and sentenced to six Kaira, No. 17. months' imprisonment.

MIDDLE PROVINCES,

Mr. W. Simson, acting magistrate.-Considers the rights of masters over the property of Appendix XVI. slaves as absolute, but over their persons and services being qualified, ceasing on duress or Tannah, No. 18, ill-treatment; very little allowance being made for the sovereign or paternal character of the. master. Caste is of no consideration at all in practice.

Mr. Remington, assistant to collector of Tannah.-By law and practice the services of persons sold into slavery, and of their wives and descendants for ever, belong of right to purchaser and his heirs. Cites a recent case in point. The magistrate delivered over to their owner some slaves (although sepoys* in Honourable Company's service) who were descendants of absconded slaves of a Hindoo patell, who claimed them. A slave's property is derived from and belongs to his master. Slaves are protected equally with other servants (the relative condition of the two differing only in duration of perpetual servitude) against illtreatment from any one, even their masters. All castes or religions may hold slaves. Parsees did and probably now do hold slaves, and they would find equal favour with the court as Hindoos and Mussalmans.

Mr. Coles, assistant collector.—The persons and properties of slaves belong absolutely to Appendix XVI. their masters. He would alcordingly restore either to a reclaiming owner. He would allow Tulloodga, No. 20. no further power to a master than to any head of a family to keep order. Any ill-usage Prant Kulvan part would be punished as assault under the regulations, and, if repeated, security exacted for of Tannah collec-good behaviour. Any caste may hold slaves.

Mr. Davies, assistant collector.—The persons of slaves are the property of masters only Appendix XVI. so long as the former choose to obey. But, during 19 years of British rule, no case has Nusrapoor, No. 21, occurred to try the question of right. Mahomedan and Hindoo masters would rather give part of Tannah. up a claim to a slave than come into court either as plaintiff or defendant with a slave.

But the slave's property is the master's, whether gamed by gift or labour. A slave only enjoys the usufruct of it during life; the master lays claim to it in cases of death or alienation, but, if emancipated, the slave takes the property, unless otherwise especially provided.

How far the servile relation might be pleaded to mitigate or justify acts otherwise culpable has never yet been tried. No case exists among his records. Slaves have never yet been registered in these districts. He considers the relation of

master and slave to be more analogous to a contract rather than a relation of legal right and , obligation. The slaves were originally imported and sold by a caste of traders called Lumman, during native rule, when servile rights and obligations were insisted on ; but, since the British regime, most of the slaves have freed themselves, owing to the masters' unwillingness to try their right before any competent authority.

Mr. Glass, collector and magistrate.—Sections 30, 31 and 32, Regulation XIV. of 1827, Appendix XVI. recognize slavery and the sale of slaves under certain limitations. He finds no complaints Ruinagerry, No. 22. on record on either side, and conceives that the magistrate would only interfere in case of 'violent assault' or "unjustifiable treatment." The right to property would follow the law of the master under Regulation IV. of 1827, sec. 26 and 27. The Bombay code makes no difference as to slave or freeman in punishing offences ; but'

he concerves that magistrates no und allow full exercise of authority, and such chastisement as would be permitted to the master of a family. He is aware of no distinctions in the regu-lation as to particular castes holding slaves. However, none but Hindoos and Mussulmans possess slaves within his jurisdiction.

Mr. Hunter, acting assistant judge.-Knows of no cases determining civil or criminal Appendix XVI. rights or habilities of masters or alaves. Rutnagerry, No. 7

Mussulmans and Hindoos only can be allowed to hold slaves, and only when these also are either Hindoos or Mussulmans.

Mr. Shaw, judge.--No cases appear on his records, civil or criminal, determining rights Appendix XVI. of masters. But some rights do exist in the "common or unwritten law" of the country; Conkan-Tannah; and, as magistrate, he has formerly given up claimed runaways, male and fenale, taking No. 6. precautions against "undue severity," and referring the parties to civil court, if dissatisfied with his decision as magistrate. By unwritten law he means to express, that the laws regarding slaves have accommodated themselves to the feelings of the present government in a great measure, though founded originally in the now impracticable rules of the Koran and Shaster, to which present practice has still some reference, though no written code perhaps is now held in strict and general obedience. Slavery being recognized, but the old codes rejected, circumstances and custom are the

rules of decision, and apply equally to Christians as Mussulmans or Hindoos.

Saving

165

[•] Probably not regular sepays, but men enlisted in the police, or police peons, who are commonly called sopoys, in the districts under Hombay. 262. X 3

166 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

вомвач.

Appendix XVI. Poonah, No. 8.

Sholapore(Poonah), No. 9.

Sholapore(Poonah), No. 24. Poonah, No. 23.

Appendix XVI. Poonah, No. 25.

Appendix XVI. Poonah, No. 26.

Appendix XVI. Kasba Indapore, No. 27.

Appendix XVI. Ahmedauggur, No. 11.

Appendix XVI. Candensh, No. 12. (Ahmedouggur.)

Appendix XVI. Ahmednuggur, Saving the rights recognized by custom of masters over person and property of slaves, and also some small latitude of correction allowed the master, a slave enters court on equal terms with another.

DECCAN OR SOUTHERN PROVINCES.

Mr. Bell, judge.—Considers the law of master and apprentice as most applicable to the present relations of master and slave, slavery being mild and entirely domestic. Full protection is afforded against all except the master; all castes may alike hold slaves. R. IV., s. 26, 1827.

Mr. Pitt, assistant judge.-Finds no cases on any of the records since 1823, when the courts were established.

Mr. Luard, sub-collector.--There are no records of any cases to determine rights. Slavery may be said not to exist under the Brutsh regime.

Mr. Mills, principal collector.—No case has ever occurred before him to bring the legal rights of master and slave into discussion. If any should arise, he would refer to the law officers, and then decide by general principles of justice and equity. He would protect slaves against harsh and severe measures, but recognizes the master's right to exact duties consistent with the maintenance of domestic authority, and with the caste and religion of partnes; saving this, would give full protection to slave.

Mr. G. Malcolm, assistant collector.—Knows of no cases or precedents on slave questions. He considers slavery under the Bombay presidency only nominal, and that a slave's remaining with his master depends on his own free will. If a runaway case were brought before him, he would search for and bring up the slave, would inquire into the causes of his absconding and nature of his treatment, and probably persuade but not certainly force him to return. He would consider a master justifiable in fatherly correction of a slave boy; but would not otherwise make any allowance for the relation of master and slave.

A slave has no right to any other return for his services than food and raiment. The courts would not regard the caste of a defendant in any suit for the property of a slave.

Mr. Malet, assistant collector.—Is aware of no cases to assist him in determining the question of right. He would take the opinions of the law officers of the caste, if any case occurred, and also those of persons conversant in the usages of the sect. He sees no reason for making any difference between a master's ill-using a slave or any other person. He would not feel himself officially bound to enforce or admit any claim to property, possession or services by a master; but would only endeavour to persuade the parties to abide by the usages of their caste, as explained by persons acquainted with its customs.

Mr. Goldsmid, assistant collector.—Has no cases on record; but would afford to a slave ill-used the same redress against his master as against any other parties; but if the master had thought his relation really gave the right to ill-treat his slave, Mr. Goldsmid would mitigate the punshment for the first offence. If any one of whatever sect or caste laid claim to person, property, or services of another, he would refer such claim to his superiors, before deciding.

Mr. Hutt, acting judge.—It is difficult to ascertain the rights of master and slave. In civil courts, the usages of the country or lawful customs of the parties must be considered, and in criminal also, save the few cases falling under Reg. XIV. of 1827, s. 30, 31 and 32. No case of rights has occurred in his time, civil or criminal, and the records show only such as come under the above-quoted sections. But slavery exists to a great extent in this country. Few Mussulmans or Hindoos who can afford it that have not slaves; and the absence of complaints and cases proves either the mild character of the slavery or the excessive ignorance of the people as to their rights and the disposition of the British Government and laws, or both, most probably. Usage and Mussulman and Hindoo laws. give the master full power over the slave's property and over himself by loan, gift or devise a mode of transfer not noticed or guarded against in the regulations. He would admit the right of correction, as of a father or schoolmaster, which is also the custom of the country and the rule of Mussulman and Hindoo laws, and which, indeed, follows the recognized rights of property in the person of the slave. As the heinousness of offences depends in some measure on the moral and religious feelings of the culprit's class, Mr. Hutt would consider cases "not of very aggravated nature entitled to exemption or mitigation of ponishment. All not immediately bound by English law could claim redress against ther slaves."

Mr. Birdwood, assistant judge.—The right of a master to the slave's person and services, from the very nature of slavery being admitted, he is in course entitled to the slave's property also. These rights are recognized so long as the master feeds, clothes and treats well his slave. No case has come before the civil court to enable him to speak more fully. The magistrate does not recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying illtreatment; and were such complained of, the magistrate would set free the slave altogether. The kusbeens or bawds are the chief purchasers of female children.

Slavery being recognized by the regulations, under defined restrictions, the magistrate must admit claims without regard to caste.

Mr. Harrison, magistrate.—No cases enable him to determine as to rights. They continue to be exercised as heretofore, without inquiry or interference. As to persons of other castes than Hindoos or Mussulmans holding slaves, he would refer every question upon this point to the judges of the Sudder Adawint. Mr. Boyd, collector.—Slaves acquired lawfully before 1627 are subject to the usages of Appendix XVI. the country previously in force in respect to their master's rights of selling them and profit-and by their labour. The courts would sustain actions for recovery of slaves or their value, unless in the case of proved ill-usage; such would warrant emancipation of the slave or dis-missal of the case. The property of slaves dying went to their master, but during life was never taken away, save for misconduct; but when a mass belongs himself to another man, his right to hold property is an empty privilege. Since 1827, no slave can be sold but with the sanction of a magistrate, which will be so rarely asked, that he considers the code of 1827 calculated to affect the total summer of the same taken. 1627 calculated to effect the total suppression of slavery, when taken in connexion with the well-known feelings of the English Government on that question. He knows of only one application to buy since 1827, but knows of many manumissions for irregular sale. He con-siders the master as having a right to a reasonable portion of labour, and that he may chastise as a father; but exceeding this, he may be punished as any one else. He would only listen to slave claims of Mussulman, Hindoo, and of native-born Jews and Christians; "no European could, of course, possess a slave." He knows only of three complainte of He would ill-usage in six years.

Mr. Larken, assistant collector.—Since 1827 slave sales have been very rare and hazard-Appendix XVI. ous. Female slaves more numerous than males. No respectable man will sell his slave. Candeish, No. 30. A complaint of ill-usage from male or female is "of the very rarest occurrence."

Mr. Simson, judge.—Unless when compelled by the regulations, there is less and less dis-position in the British authorities to listen to rights of slave-holders, which would have been Dharwar, No. 13. admitted by the previous rulers of these countries. No court would ever deliver over a slave, especially a female, to a claimant who ill-used her. Yet some right of property in the per-son of the slave exists beyond doubt, and it is highly expedient that it should be recognized for the present: for how could we support characteristic the present. for the present; for how could we support otherwise the reciprocal obligation of maintaining the helpless slave an age, sickness and famine, when the master could be absolved, and the suffering slave abandoned, but on the ground and claim of previous servitude? Nominal emancipation would be a serious injury to many; setting up theory at the expense of prac-tical benefit is as grasping the shadow to lose the substance. He would view leniently a master's moderate assault for remissness, but by no means would allow of cruelty or hard usage, and in case of either he would, in a civil action, think himself justified in reducing the damages from a runaway bondsman to a very diminutive sum. He would consider a slave, when a party in court, as "in all respects a freeman," save where his own acts had rendered him amenable to his master in purse or person.

"Slaves retain enture their civil rights, except where they have mortgaged them in part to their immediate masters." He considers all castes on a level as to the right of holding slaves, and would recognize claims from all, admitting and enforcing these where the person claimed had bound himself in a manner an apprentice for value received, and the claimant had faithfully abided by his part of the engagement.

Mr. Dunlop, principal collector.—Only two slave cases within his experience have been Appendix XVI. brought before the magistrate. One, of certain girls purchased by dancing women before Dharwar, No. 32. the province became British, so that no punishment could follow; the girls were set at liberty, of which, however, it is probable they did not avail themselves. The other case was an illegal sale of a girl for prostitution; all the parties, ten in number, were convicted, and more or less punished. Runaway cases occur not unfrequently among domestic slaves; they are not compelled to return, but are usually reconciled and pacified.

3 .- Actual State of Slavery.

It is when reporting on the actual state of slavery and the condition of the slaves under a distant presidency that we feel most strongly the absence of personal and local inquiry, the insufficiency of mere written opinions from a single class, the public functionaries, and the deficiency of minute information in the only evidence of this description available to us.

On the details of slave treatment with reference to age, sickness, health, infancy, youth, marriage, pregnancy, concubinage, sale and separation of families, food, lodging, raiment, labour, punishment, valuations, &c., &c., on these points so essential to a due understanding of the slave's real condition in relation to his master, we have little information to help us

towards describing things as they are. Of the papers already described to which we have resorted for information, we shall under this head refer chiefly to the reports, from 1819 to 1822, of Commissioners Elphinstone and Chaplin, and their subordinates, upon the Deccan districts, and to the answers to the queries of the commission which were received in 1836; the former may be considered as giving a general review of the state of slavery as it was when the country had just passed under the rule of the British Government from that of the peishwa, while the latter may be taken to exhibit the effect produced by British rule and intercourse during the interval from 1819 to 1836; the conclusion deducible from this juxta-position and comparison of periods is satisfactory. The area, it is true, covered by the Deccan reports is only a portion (about one half) of that comprehended in the returns of 1836; but, after perusal of the whole, we are of opinion, that the progress of social improvement in the northern and middle provinces has been considerable, as well as in the south ; and that slavery is daily becoming milder, and is in fact tending gradually to extinction.

We proceed to extract from the several documents in question the substance of the opinions and facts which they contain.

1819-22. Private debtors are seldom put in prison, and it is only in rare cases, and when Honourable Mr. 262. x 4 such Elphinstone's

Report on Deccan, 1819. Vol. 4, Judicial Selections, p. 193. Jb. p. 212; and Captain Grant, Sattarah, p. 229. Captain Briggs, Candelsh, p. 249. p. 255. Mr. Chaplin, Dharwar, or Southern Mahratta, p. 269. Captain Pottinger, Ahmednuggur, p. 298. Mr. Chaplin's Report in the Deccan, for 1822. Selections, vol. 4, p. 503.

Judicial Selections, vol. 4, p. 504.

Capt. H. D. Robertson, Poonah, p. 589.

Ditto, page 589.

Slavery in India, p. 400.

such condition may have been expressed in the bond, that they are made to serve their cre-ditors till the amount of nominal wages earned equals the original debt. The lower classes often paid, by labour, debts which they had no other means of dis-

charging.

Debtors who gave bond so to do, obliged to serve their creditors. Debtors' families frequently kept as hostages for debts.

Debtors obliged to serve their creditors. In extreme cases children and families kept as hostages." Parents had a right to sell children under age.

From debtors of low degree unable to pay, personal services sometimes exacted, the creditor subsisting his bondsman the while; children and families sometimes taken as hostages, but not frequently.

Debtors sometimes obliged to serve their creditors, the usage not confined to a particular class. The creditor receives half the wages stipulated as the equivalent for debtor's labour. Parents' authority over children very absolute, even to sell them; the government would not interfere for any act of a parent towards his child; guardians, husbands, heads of families had the same power as parents.

Domestic slavery in the Deccan requires regulating by some legal sanction, to prevent oppression and check the traffic. It would be injustice to interfere, as far as prohibition of sale, to the injury of private property in a hitherto marketable commodity. Slavery in the Deccan is very prevalent; it is recognized by Hindoo laws and by custom time immemorial. But it is a very mild and mitigated sort of servitude, rather than absolute slavery, and But it is a very mild and mitigated sort of servitude, rather than absolute slavery, and differs essentially in many particulars from the foreign slave trade now discontinued by British subjects. Slaves are treated by Hindoos with great indulgence, rather as hereditary servants than menials; domesticated in the houses of the upper classes; are treated with affection, and allowed to intermarry with female slaves; the offspring; though deemed base-born, if males, are often considered free; if females, remain slaves. Marriage almost amounts to emancipation, as a married slave is rather an incumbrance. Many respectable Brahmins have one or more slave-girls as servants. In a Mahomedan household of any consequence they are indispensable. A female slave is called a Loundee; her offspring by a Brahmin. Sindee: these do not acquire the character of pure Mahrita blood till the a Brahmin, Sindee; these do not acquire the character of pure Mahratta blood till the second generation, though they call themselves such; a Loundee's child by a Mahratta takes the father's family name; but the stain of blood is not wiped out till three generations. A slave girl could not quit her master without consent, but he is obliged to feed and clothe her, and provide for the children she might bear him. He might chastise moderately, but if death ensued, might be severely punished by fine or otherwise at pleasure of the govern-ment. He could sell his slave; but this was not thought reputable among the upper classes. Mahratta adulteresses were sometimes condemned to slavery. Debtors sometimes become slaves to creditors. But most slaves have been sold as children in famines, to save become slaves to creditors. But most shaves have been sound as contract in families, to save their own lives and keep their parents from starvation. Of late years many such have been imported in this way; beyond doubt scarcities are alleviated by thus disposing of starving offspring; but the evil arose thence of kidnapping them to sell in distant countries, a common practice with Lumans and Bringarahs. This may be stopped by prohibiting all sales of children unless the mode of procuring such slave have been satisfactorily explaned to the local authorities. Mr. Chaplin doubts the policy of enturely prohibiting the traffic, and quotes Mr. Thackeray, his successor in charge of the southern Mahratta division, or Dharwar, who says, funds must first be provided for relieving starving children. Mr. Chaplin nuctes all bis collectors as concurring that it is concerned to the southern tothern to the sout and that they doubt of its being acceptable to the present slaves, while they think it would be certainly "unpopular among the people." The sale of slaves now stands prohibited by order of government of 18th December 1819; but this has increased the price without putting a stop to the traffic.'

As Mr. Chaplin's report is much in the nature of a compilation out of those rendered to him by his subordinates, we shall compress these last, and only give a few prominent facts or opinions.

Slavery is entirely domestic, originating in sales by parents or kidnappings by thieves. Few slaves know their connexions. A debtor is lawfully a slave to his creditor; but this is rarely enforced, save by Brahmins against Koonbee ryots. Public feeling makes this a dead letter. He knows of only three instances, and they occurred in the late peishwa's time. Slaves are well treated. No cruelty. Public opinion being against it, Captain Robertson has himself caused cruelly-treated and over-worked slaves to be emancipated.

Slaves are chiefly of the Koonbee and Dhangur castes. Males are not unfrequently manumitted on attaining manhood ; females never, and the children of those are slaves also. To abelish slavery or emancipate by a law would be unpopular. The relief to one famishing province by the sale of its starving children to another district more fortunate greatly counterbalances the loss of freedom under a system of slavery so light. A female slave growing up from childhood knows not what freedom is, attaches herself to a family or some have come to his knowledge of manumission refused by a slave, even when preferring com-plaints of ill-asage against one member of a family; the fear was strong of being turned adrift to live by her own exertions.

In a later report we find it stated by this officer, that it is considered by masters, and is also consonant with the general sense of the community, in opposition both to common reason and the natural liberty of man, that if an adequate price is offered by a slare, or by any person for his emancipation, it is not incumbent on the master to accept it, or to take any sum short of that he may voluntarily demand.

The Sattarah district, in the political settlement, at the close of the war in 1817-19, be- Grant, Duff, came the apanage of the liberated Ram rajah, the successor of Sewajee and chief of the Sattarah. Mahrattas, but whose office had long been reduced to a nominal sovereignty by the usurpa- Judicial Selections, tions of the peishwa Sattarah, for a number of years after the peace, was administered, as vol. 4, p. 670. one of the divisions under the Deccan commission; but has since been handed over to the government of the rajah on attaining his majority. It is no longer, strictly speaking, within the purview of this report; but as the customs and laws of the people assimilate to those of the other Mahrattas, we have thought it well to notice any remarkable points in

the report of Captain Grant to Mr. Chaplin for 1822. Domestic slavery is considerable. Males, when grown up or born of slave mothers, are often turned away, to provide for themselves after marrying, as they become a burthen; are girls cannot be liberated. Almost every respectable Brahmin has one or more slave girls as servants; and a Mahratta is not respectable without them. In general treated with kindness.

A tax of 121 per cent. of the value is levied on the sale of slaves by private bargain. No famines have occurred of late; therefore the import of slaves is slack, and depends solely on kidnapping. This may be remedied without outraging the feelings of the country on the delicate subject of domestic slavery, by making every seller give strict account how he came by a child before it is allowed to be sold. Mabratta women become Loundees by adultery with inferior castes. A Loundee's child by a Brahmin is called a Sindeea, and requires three generations to purify the blood.

generations to purify the blood. Slavery depends much on the state of the neighbouring districts. In the famine of fuslee Briggs, Candeish, 1229, from 150 to 200 were imported. Slavery is adopted and recognized every where. P. 710. Slaves are bought from Brinjarahs and others as children. If they turn out well, they are adopted and treated well by the upper classes; if they prove vicious, they are turned off. The lower classes treat them equally well with their domestics or children, but not unfrequently sell them again. Sometimes these marry ther slave women, but the off-spring continues impure until the third generation. Children born of slaves, however, are not considered slaves. It would be mconsistent with usage to emancipate purchased children on attaning majority, when their services are beginning to compensate those who purchased on attaining majority, when their services are beginning to compensate those who purchased them in infancy

Besides children bought from parents or kidnapped, a very numerous class of slaves Pottinger, Ahmedvoluntarily follow Bringarahs to preserve life in famines, on stpulated agreement to be sold nuggur, p. 761. to offering purchasers. Some are bought when young by dancing-masters, to be brought up to that profession, and all become prostitutes. Slavery is not very prevalent; it is chiefly in large towns. The chief slave-owners are Brahmins, Mussulmans, and some Potails, and a few only of the Koonbees (cultivators). The price used to range from 500 to 25 rupees, a rew only of the Kooncees (cultivators). The price used to range from 500 to 25 rupees, according to the circumstances of the moment or recommendatory qualities of the subject. Females were always dearest, in proportion to good looks and youth. These are usually not only servants of the family, but also concubines of the master. Where male and female slaves were kept and allowed to intermarry, the offspring are not slaves. Slavery is fully recognized by local usage; there is no foreign tarritories and sold, and perhaps also all ages and sexes have been brought from foreign territories and sold, and perhaps also similarly exported, but it does not form a source of trade. No rules are requisite for checking Similarly exported, but it does not form a source of trade. To rules are requisite for checking sales of children in famine (if allowed), except giving a discretion to the civil authority under particular circumstances to emancipate any who apply. In 1819, a number of all ages and sexes of grown slaves were brought voluntarily from Nizam's country, when famine prevailed, by Brinjarahs. On arriving, and finding support as bired labourers, they then refused to be sold, and complained of having been used as Coolies. It was notified that all who purchased sold, and complained of having been used as Coolies. It was notified that all who purchased these must do so at their own risk of loss, as such traffic could not be countenanced, nor runaways restored. On this the Brinjarahs let them all go, as none would purchase them. So at Nassick, many children were brought for sale; Mr. Wilkins was instructed to forbid the sale, and the children were put out to respectable landholders, promising to feed, clothe and treat them humanely, and they were to be free where they pleased. Since then few applications have been heard of concerning slaves. Slavery is falling into disuse, the people assuming the British Government to view it with disfavour. This notion, and the want of any great inclumation to keen slaves has sufficient to check the practice without formal probiassuming the British Covernment to view it with disravour. It is noused, and the want or any great inclination to keep slaves, has sufficed to check the practice without formal prohi-bition. The only complaints of late have been from Naikeens, or female chiefs of dancing establishments, from whom girls eloped. In these Captain Pottinger was guided by circum-stances; if the lover was willing to pay the original price paid by the Naikeen, the arrange-ment was sanctioned; or even if the girl showed that she had earned more for the mistress than all she ever cost her, no steps were taken to compel her to return to service. The event way followed in recent it cales are answer to heat transmitteness. system thus followed in regard to slavery ensures the best treatment, though this advantage is but negative, masmuch as slaves appear always to have been cherished rather as members of families. It would not be consistent with usage to emancipate a young slave on attaining majority.

The people know our sentiments, and soon there will be no slaves. No purchases are to be heard of now, save by some of the Naikeens or a rich Brahmin; and in both cases it is confined to females. Such girls may be considered fortunate, if we except the morality of their lives, as they are sure to be well fed and treated with the utmost kindness.

their lives, as they are sure to be well fed and treated with the utmost kindness. A tax is levied in this district, among other thulmore (or duties on imports), called "nuk- Judicial Selections, kas," on the sale of living things, men as well as cattle; its object was alleged to be that of vol. 4, p. 77². preventing disputes and roguery, by the publicity it gave to the transactions. Slavery is not very common in this country, and it is very mild; formerly it was very an openative XYI.

170 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Thackeray, Dharwar, p. 806. Southern Mahratta country.

uncommon, but increased in the late pershwa's time; slaves may be emancipated. Women committing formication or theft were sometimes made slaves either of the state or of private persons to whom they were sold. In fammes, female children were sometimes sold by their parents; this practice prevals much north of Meritch. Slaves could not leave their masters without consent, and could be sold to any one. The master was obliged to feed and clothe any children he had by a slave, and also to perform their marriage coremonies; the sons of slave girls became domestics--the girls, if not married, slaves or prostitutes. A son was her to the mother, and, failing him, the master, excepting always property acquired by prostitution, which the mother might bequeath to her daughter. "A master was allowed to beat his slave and her son if they did not conduct themselves with propriety, but was fined heavily if death ensued from his maltreatment. Slavery is recognized by Mahomedan and Hindoo law, and by the custom of the country. The toleration of it saves many lives during famine, and does not appear to shake the affection of parents or encourage oppression. Bondismen here are rather hereditary servants than slaves, and he doubts whether they would feel grateful for a law which should emancipate them. By restrictions of slavery we raise its price, and with it the price of life in a famine. If government should abolish it, they should provide a fund for starving children." Slaves are very seldom imported ; most of the few m the Dooab were either born slaves or sold in famine. The usages were the same as to foreign or native slaves; the Mahratta government never interfered. Parties made their own arrangements in communication with the heads of villages. A child sold, and having partaken of food with her purchaser, if of lower caste than the seller, becomes irredeemable. "It has happened that Johallies (who usually suffer most in times of scarcity) have repurchased their children after having sold them five or six years. If marrie

The above report was noticed in 1825 by Mr. Baber, who succeeded Mr. Thackeray as principal collector of the southern Mahratta country, with the observation that it referred rather to what the practice had been during the late government than to what it then was.

Mr. Baber himself reported at the same tume as follows:---" There are a few persons who are held in a state of bondage, but they may be considered more in the light of hired domestics than as absolute slaves. These may be formed into three classes; 1st, those who were deprived of their personal freedom as a penalty for crimes, and acts whereby they forfeited their castes during the late government; 2d, those who were born in their masters' houses, or purchased of their parents in time of famme and scarcity; and Sd, those who subjected themselves to voluntary slavery for ifie or a limited period in liquidation of debts. There are no returns of the number of these several descriptions of slaves; but from inquiry and observation I should not suppose that the aggregate exceeded a few hundred in the Company's part of the Dooab. They are more numerous in the territories of the Kolapore rajah and of the jaghiredars, but chiefly confined to the houses of those chieftains and of their dependents under the designation of Scindya Battakee. The same gentleman in his answers to the queries of the Board of Comtrol, printed in the Appendix to the Report of the Select Committee of the House of Commons, 1832, stated, that in the Dooab, or southern Mahratta country, including Kolapore, the number of domestic slaves was computed at 15,000, rather more than three quarters per cent., reckoning the population at about 2,000,000. All jaghiredars, deshwars, zemindars, principal Brahmins and soucars, he sad, retained slaves on their domestic establishments.

In fact, in every Mahratta household of consequence they are, both male and female, especially the latter, to be found, and are, in fact, considered indispensable.

In all the countries enumerated by him, comprising the western provinces south of the Kishna, and on the Malabar coast to Cape Comorin, the varieties and sources of domestic slavery, he stated, are very numerous, namely, the offspring and descendants of free persons captured during war, outcast Hindoos who had been sold into slavery under or by former governments, kidnapped persons brought by Brinjarahs, and other travelling merchants from distant inland states, and sold into slavery; persons imported from the ports in the Persian Gulf and Red Sea, or from the African coast; persons sold when children by their own parents in times of famme or great dearth; the offspring of illegitimate connexions, that is, of echabitation between low caste Hindoo men and Brahmin women, and generally between Hindoos of different castes, or within the prohibited degrees of kindred; persons who, in consideration of a sum of money or discharge of security for the payment of a debt, have bound themselves by a voluntary contract to servitude either for life or a limited period; all which have in former times, or do now preval more or less wherever domestic slavery is found, but chiefly in the southerm Mahratia country, both in the Company's and the Jaghir portions of it, and in the Kolapore rajah's dominions, also in those of Koorg and Mysore.

With tegard to Mr. Baber's testimony touching domestic slavery, which does still greatly prevail, though in a mild form, that gentleman's description of the classes and varieties composing the slave population corresponds almost exactly with the like details in the Deccan reports, and is in turn corroborated by the Bombay returns in 1836.

We proceed to give from the late returns a brief analysis of the mass so as to bring together certain points of treatment upon which all are agreed, and certain other points in which the majority acquiesce; subjoining abstracts of the contents of a few separately which

Dahar 1-44-- D

No. 1, Public.

Slavery in India,

1838, p. 437.

Baber, letter B. para. 1, Answers.

Ditto, para. 2, Answeis.

Appendix XVI. Bombay Sudder Adawlut Returns, 1 to 29. which appear to require distinct attention, whether from singularities in matter or greater copiousness of detail.

I. All the returns agree upon the following points :---

1. That the master is bound by usage at least to supply "sufficient maintenance," by which all seem to understand customary food, raiment and lodging for life, during sickness or health

2. That the master advances in like manner marriage and other ritual expenses, which, in the case of debtor-bondsmen, become added to the amount of original debt which has to be worked out.

8. That the master is now obliged to treat his slaves well, so far as not to over-task their powers beyond reason and custom.

4. That the master is bound to abstain from all cruelty, and from seventy of correction beyond the parental or apprentice limit, on pain of punishment by the magistrate as if he assaulted a freeman, or of liberation to the slave, or both, in extreme cases.
5. The complaints of ill-usage are very rare, few such having ever come to the knowledge of lower for domentations are assimptions are actions for domentations are as a provide the parental or a provide the second seco

of local officers; equally rare are actions for damages or reclamations civil or criminal, whe-ther on the part of masters or slaves, although the leaning of the government and its officers

against slavery is generally known. 6. That full legal recognition and consequent protection are afforded to the slave as to any freeman in respect of any other party than the master. 7. That the steady disfavour with which the British Government and public are known

to view the system of slavery, co-operating with the regulation of 1827, a marked effect has been and continues to be produced by both together, tending to the gradual extinction of slavery.

To these points of general agreement may perhaps be added, that such of the returns as allude to European colonial slavery distinctly assert, that the mild servitude of the Bombay provinces has few or no features of resemblance in common with that of the Americas.

II. On the following points most of the returns are agreed :--

1. That domestic slavery, particularly that of females, is very general, in families of Appendix XVI. respectable degree, who have hardly any other servants for the interior of their establishments, Dunlop, Dharwar, particularly among the higher Mahrattas.

2. That the treatment of these domestics is generally humane, often producing a consider- Hutt, Ahmednugable degree of mutual attachment.

3. That it is not deemed respectable to sell a slave, or to come into court to try questions of any kind with one.

4. That sales of girls to procuresses, and of both sexes for dancing and music sets, the Appendix XVI. chief slave market under the old government, have much diminished, especially since Regulation XIV. of 1827, which renders such sales and purchases highly penal.

5. That runaways are not forced by the authorities to return to their masters, although frequently through the mediation of the magistrate reconciled; the master urged by his wish

6. That the transfer of children by their parents in times of famine for training prices paid, or for mere food to save their lives, recognized under limitations by the slave law of 1827, has prevailed in seasons of dearth, frequent in Western India, and that it is questionable whether the practice ought to be stopped, if that were possible. 7. That the relation now subsisting between master and slave may be considered as closely

approaching that of master and apprentice or servant, or even that of parent and child m respect of power and coercion.

8. That slaves purchased, or born such, as distinguished from debtor-bondsmen, can hold no property but by sufferance of their owners.

III. The following extracts are taken singly from some of the most prominent of the returns :-

Mr. Grant, speaking of the Halees, or agricultural debtor-bondsmen, of Surat, says, that Appendix XVI. he master is bound to give them a piece of ground to cultivate on their own account. Grant, Surat, Rethe master is bound to give them a piece of ground to cultivate on their own account.

Some slaves are allowed as an indulgence to work at handicraft on their own account, to

Solue staves are knowed as an induced to wroke a second staves are knowed as an as property, and so pay for manumission. Mr. Vibart, another of the few, who also touches on field-slavery, says, that almost the (Conkan), No. only slaves m "these districts" are Halees, "hereditary predial bondsmen." Probably he Vibart, Surat, does not mean to include the city of Surat, an ancient and large Mussulman town and port, No. 14. which we must suppose to swarm with domestic slaves or Gholams.

Since the British rule the greater number of slaves have emancipated themselves without Appendix XVI. opposition from their masters, who shun appearing in courts in such cases. Mr. Davies Nusrapore, No. 12. considers the servile relation as if a mere implied temporary contract, which cannot be enforced, admitting of no legal right on the part of the master, nor obligation on the slave. But thus you of the relations is a consider too lay by Ma Sure the sure in the service. But this view of the relation is considered somewhat too lax by Mr. Simson, the superior of Mr. Davies. Yet he also considers the real power of the master limited to the absolute possession of the alave's property; but very qualified over person or services. Mr. Davies goes on to state that transfer or alienation of slaves by a master is rare; the descendants of slaves originally purchased are found still to remain in the families of the original purchasers, and are evidently well treated.

Mr. Davies's return is one of the very few which even touches on the statistics of slavery នប៉ទ

Return No. 32. gur, No. 11.

Larken, Candeish No. 31.

turn No. 3. (Conkan), No. 19.

BOMBAY.

171

173. COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

In Kygur talook, 75 lares, chuely Africana, 10 Rayoora dustrict, 8 Sankse, 20. In Talooka Salastic and Oorun, 32. Appendix Report Select Committee House of Commons, 1832a, p. 432. Appendix XVL Broach, No. 15.

Appendix XVI. Poonah, No. 8.

Appendix XVI. Poonah, No. 9.

Appendix XVI. Candeish, No. 30. Candeish, No. 31.

Appendix XVI. Dharwar, No. 13.

Dharwar, No. 32.

He gives the total slaves in his districts at 153, out of a population of 200,000, or about one slave to 1,307 free, a ratio so singularly low as to induce doubts of its accuracy. Mr. Baber's estimate of these proportions in the Dooab is three-fourths per cent., and greatly as this exceeds the proportion stated for the part of North Conkan, in which Mr. Davies was employed, even Mr. Baber's is of small amount compared with the slave ratio in Assam and several other older provinces under the Bengal and Madras presidencies.

Mr. Kırkland's statement for Broach gives a ratio of slaves to free about thrice as great as Mr. Davies's, yet insignificant in positive amount, namely 60 (of whom only two are males), for the city of Broach; that is, assuming the population of the town to be only 32,000, as in 1812, about one slave to 515 free, or not one-fifth per cent. This statement is said not to include the adjacent pergunnah, in which there are no slaves held by natives under British rule, and only a very few by the thakoors of Ahmode and Khurwarra, and other respectable grassias (landholders), yet it is difficult to account for so small a number as 60 domestic slaves in a town census of a considerable place like Broach. Mr. Bell.—Conceives, from personal observation and experience, the clamour elsewhere

Mr. Bell.—Conceives, from personal observation and experience, the clamour elsewhere raised, whether well-founded or not, against slavery in other parts of the world, not to apply "in the slightest degree to the state of persons so designated in this country, either within the British territories or those of other powers." They form part of the family, and are treated with the greatest possible kindness.

" "This proceeds not from selfish notions, but, if it did, that very circumstance ought certainly to be considered as the strongest guarantee of protection to what is termed the enslaved party." Under the above view of the case the law of master and apprentice may be considered the most applicable in all its béarings.

Mr. Pitt.—" Perhaps in no civilized country has there been so small a portion of slaves as in India. No part of the field labour is carried on by slaves, though they are made use of for domestic purposes, yet the number of persons is very limited in proportion to population. The soil in the country is cultivated by a caste both numerous and respectable, and it is the system of caste which is one of the causes of exemption, and also slaves being usually prisoners of war, and the Hindoo caste of cultivators being of a sacred order, therefore they could not possibly associate, and hence those prisoners were not detained as slaves."

could not possibly associate, and hence those prisoners were not detained as slaves." We have here given Mr. Pitt's evidence regarding Sholapoor slavery (Poonah division) complete and verbatim, because he declares field slavery to be unknown in his district, and for reasons which he discerns in the difference of caste between the mass of cultivators and slaves brought from without. By the mass of cultivators, we presume, he means the Koonbees (or Mahratta cultivators), yet we do not observe that other authorities consider them as a "sacred order." It does not very clearly appear whether the same objection exists against "detaining prisoners of war as slaves" for domestic purposes; but the natural inference seems, that the difference of caste should operate against domesticating the impure servant, even more than against his association in field labours. Mr. Bourd.—Domestic slaves are costly, and will rarely be purchased now, as all the

Mr. Boyd.—Domestic slaves are costly, and will rarely be purchased now, as all the inhabitants of rank and wealth are aware of the British opinions regarding slavery. Mr. Larken.—Sales of slaves are rare since 1827. The great majority are females,

Mr. Larken.-Sales of slaves are rare since 1827. The great majority are females, purchased as children, brought up in the family, and treated as humble relations.

Their condition is not to be lamented; they are, in fact, better off than free citizeps of many other states; the slave is found in almost every instance to cling to a decayed family, though he might profit by the fallen fortunes of the master to go free; instead of which he continues faithfully to serve, not only from gratitude, but from the feeling that "his affection and home are theirs." The feeling is reciprocal; no respectable person ever sells a slave. As the slave girls purchased grow up, there is no doubt that personal attractions are not without effect in saving them from the more laborious parts of household drudgery. Whether thus be an enviable condition or not depends on circumstances.

The abominable slavery of male and female dancing sets is very different. They were usually recruited, anterior to our rule, by purchases of children, and this class of performers furnished an ever ready market to the slave dealer. But since our time, the practice has obviously decreased, being absolutely illegal, and as this is now generally well known, the abominable traffic will rapidly cease altogether.

Mr. Simson.—Considers compulsory slavery virtually at an end; persons enslaved as children are only voluntary servants because illegally enslaved : he would not recognize any right of a master to exceed the power he might exercise over a voluntary bondsman.

Mr. Dunlop.—There is much domestic slavery in respectable families in his division, as also among the jaghiredars and petty states under the political agent. The Mahratas have hardly any other servants. They are chiefly female domestics performing household drudgery, such as fetching water, plastering floors and walls with cow-dung, cleaning the house, grinding the corn, &c. "It has not unfrequently happened that these persons have fled from their owners (or, more properly, masters) generally in consequence of real or fancied illtreatment." They have not been forced back, but reconciled by mediation. The progeny usually continue nominally slaves, but really the most trustworthy and well-treated of dependents. Under our laws and known sentiments, he is of opinion that any treatment severe enough to makeslaves forego the benefits of their situation, and break the other ties that bind them to their master's service, would be followed by desertion. Against this the master has no remedy, as service cannot be compelled. The old sources from which slaves used to be obtained are now entirely closed up, and the class of domestic slaves must die out with the present generation.

ARABIAN AND AFRICAN SLAVE TRADE.

WE proceed to consider the Arabian and African slave trade with India, upon which Trade. subject we have found pretty full information in the collection of papers printed by order of the House of Commons in 1838.

To Indua and Arabia, as to many other parts of the world, Africa has long been the great source of supply for foreign labour,—the hive from which slaves have been driven forth in swarms, and exported to distant countries for the benefit of others. Of those carried away to India and eastern countries, the greater part are employed as domestics, hardly ever, it would seem, as agriculturists, and the chief species of hard work in which they appear Slavery in India, to be engaged is on board ships, and in the fisheries, where they work in common with the 1838, p. 89. freemen, and even with their masters. Even those so employed are found to rise to command and trust; while the domestics in families appear to be generally well-treated, often Ibid. with favour.

The eastern coast of Africa, from Delagoa Bay to Mussowa and Suakim, is of course that which has supplied slaves to the Red Sea, Arabia, Persia and India, besides those which were heretofore, if they are not still, sent to the French islands, and hered, besides unbers which are no doubt to this day supplied to North and South America and the West Indues. The port of Muscat appears to have been the principal mart into which the slaves carned

from the East African ports were imported, and thence passed on to the Arabian and the Persian ports and to the north-west of India. With a view to prevent this importation of slaves, the Bombay government, in 1831, placed the Katiawar and Kutch coasts under naval surveillance; and we observe from a despatch of Commodore Brucks, that the Portuguese settlement of Diu, Porebunder, and Mangalore in Katiawar, were then the principal ports of import whence the slaves were distributed.*

We proceed to notice what has been effected by negotiation with the neighbouring Ma-homedan powers for the suppression of the importation of slaves by sea on the western side to have been made with Persia, the Turkish or Egyptian authorities, among all of whom the trade has almost immemorially been carried on to a large extent.

On the 8th January 1820, a treaty was concluded with four Arab chieftains of the Per-sian Gulf, Haran Bin Ramah, sheikh of Abuthabee, formerly of Rasul Khymah; Karreeb m Ahmud, sheikh of Jomal-al-Kamra; Shakboot, sheikh of Aboo Dabay; Hasanbin Ali, sheikh of Zyah; by Major-General Sir W. Grant Keir, commanding the expedition sent by Ibid.

the Bombay government against the Ben-i-boo Ali and other piratical chiefs. The sheikh of Bahrein appears to have also acceded to this treaty, and it is that which is now officially declared as the general treaty.

The 9th article of this treaty refers especially to the slave trade, at that time rife and almost unchecked. The article declares that the "carrying off slaves, men, women or children, from the coast of Africa, or elsewhere, and the transporting them in vessels, is plunder

dren, from the coast of Africa, or elsewhere, and the transporting them in vessels, is plunder and piracy, and the friendly Arabs shall do nothing of the kind." Whatever might have been the intention of the original parties to the framing of this treaty, to r this particular article, the authorities of Bombay appear to have restricted its interpreta-tion to the primary act of enslaving Africans by force, thus excluding any general dealings in slaves; for they instructed the resident in the gulf to construe the somewhat ambiguous Ibid. p. 89, 90, 222, article as evidently alluding only to descents made on the coast of Africa for the purpose of making slaves, observing that this is justly declared to be plunder and piracy, terms which it would have been an abuse of language to apply to any trade, however detestable, as long as it was peaceably conducted. "In this sense (they add) must the 9th article be under-stood; but every infringement of it, where clearly established, must be resented exactly as a case of puracy would be." case of piracy would be.

The powerful and independent chief of Muscat, besides his own proper country on the Arabian peninsula, holds considerable possessions along the eastern coast of Africa, and at Zanzibar in particular, one of the greatest and most pestilent slave marts. With this prince a treaty was effected in August 1822, by Captain Moresby, of his Majesty's ship Menai, Ibid. p. 89. thereto commissioned by the governor of Mauritius, who found his endeavours to put an end to the slave trade in that island baffled by French vessels resorting for a ready supply to the abundant markets of Zanzibar and the east coast of Africa.

In 1821 the honourable Court of Directors had taken up the question of the Muscat and Zanzıbar slave trade in a despatch to the Governor in Council of Bombay (11th April), grv-ing cover to a memorial from the president and directors of the African institution on the Ibid. p. 91. subject, and the court had urged on their governor the exceeding desirableness of using the strongest persuasive influence to prevail on his highness the imaum to put an end to the traffic. A letter was accordingly addressed to his highness, setting forth the detestable nature of the traffic, and requesting his co-operation in its suppression.

In October of the same year also the supreme government communicated to that of Bombav

262.

. Archian and African Slave

173

• . , :

[•] This measure was repeated by the Bombay government, at the close of 1835, in regard to the coast of Kutch and Katiawar, on the occasion of the detention at Porebunder of 74 slaves taken out of ressels from the ' Person Gulf, to which we have slawhere alluded.—(Slavery in India Papers, 1838, p. 120.) But the order was, in January 1836, cancelled for the present, in consequence of the opinion of the advocate-general, that the government could not legally detain vessels having on board slaves not belonging to British subjects or resi-dents in British territory.—(Ibid, p. 105.)

Slavery in Indi-1838, p. ga.

bay two despatches from the governor of Mauritius, which, after adverting to the progress made in effecting the annihilation of the slave trade at that island, under the operation of the treaty concluded with the king of Madagascar, noticed, as a consequence of that measure,

treaty concluded with the king of Managascur, nonceo, as a consequence of mat measure, the resort of the French ships engaged in that traffic to the east coast of Africa, and parti-collarly to the port of Zanzibar belonging to the imaum of Muscat. 'The Bombay government having in the meantume received a complaint from the imaum regarding two of his ships with slave cargoes, which had been detained by English cruisers, which had been detained by English cruisers, and took the occasion, while disclaiming all intentional interference with his highness's inde-pendent rights, to represent forcibly the gratification which he would afford to the British Government as well as the whole nation if he would stop the slave trade within his dominions

altogether, or, at the least, that carried on with Europeans. In December 1821, his highness accordingly agreed to prevent any British dependents from carrying on the trade in his dominions, and he issued orders to his governor at Zanzihow to forbid the selling of slaves to any European; but it appears that his highness declined to bibit the trade among his own subjects. The resident in the Persian Gulf was ad-vised of these proceedings forthwith, and instructed to endeavour earnestly to accomplish the d sired object of effectually checking the nefarious traffic, if it could not be suppressed altogether, through explanations and arrangement with his highness. By a communication from the governor of Zanzibar which the imaum forwarded to Bombay, it appeared that slave cargoes had already been refused to some French ships, and for this a letter of thanks with suitable presents was sent to the hakim from the Governor of Bombay.

On the 29th August 1822, Captam Moresby finally effected the treaty with the imaum of Muscat, and communicated it in person to the Bombay government. By this important in-strument, that primce declared the trade in slaves with European nations for ever prohibited, and authorized the seizure of all vessels with slaves, whether of his subjects or under his own flag, which should be found to the east of a line drawn from Cape Delgado on the coast of Africa to 80 miles east of Socotra, and thence to Diu Head, in Guzzerat.

This restricted the portion of slave trade that was still to remain undisturbed in the hands of his own subjects and those of all other powers, not Christian, within narrower limits, and, in particular, it must have been a considerable protection to all the western coast of India up to Din Head, from much of its hability to the visitations of the boldest and most active slavers of the east, who could no longer hover off the coasts in their own vessels, watching opportunity to run their cargoes

In 1825, the imaum appears (by despatches from the resident in the gulf, which are not before us) to have complained, through that officer, of certain interferences with his people by British agents on the coast of Africa, and to have set up a claim, of which we do not gather in the evidence the foundation, to the assistance of the British Government against all his enemies.

In a consequent interview with his highness's agents, the honourable Governor Elphinthe consequent interview with the highliess's agents, the controls covering Education to the states stone had taken occasion to observe, that such interferences arose solely out of the anxiety of the British Government to put a stop to the slave trade in which his highness was supposed to be so much engaged, which belief much interfered with the esteem in which his highness would otherwise be held by all Englishmen; for which reason, as well as for humanity's sake, the Governor was most anxious that some means should be devised to put a stop to the traffic throughout the entire dominions of his highness, who was therefore requested to suggest whether any, and what, compensation would induce his highness to abandon it altogether.

In consequence, the imaum made through his agents what Mr. Elphinstone considered an inadmissible proposal. But his highness's letter, containing the proposed terms of com-pensation, deserves attention, because it distinctly sets forth the difficulties of the imaum's position in reference to the embarrassing question of slave trade abolition.

"We now write this in reply to the proposition for the entire suppression of the slave-trade made through you by the honourable the Governor.

"You are well acquainted with the fact, that the chief revenue and advantage derived from Zanzibar arose from the sale of blacks; but that notwithstanding thus, on Captain Moresby's coming here (to Muscat) some time ago, and on his requesting us to come to some agreement on this point (the abolition of the slave trade as respected Europeans), we put up with a very great loss, and consented to Captain Moresby's proposition, in order that yeu up with a very great ross, and consented to Captain Intoresoy's proposition, in order that we might satisfy and please the British Government. At the present moment they appear to desire this prohibition to be universally extended, i.e., to Asiatics and Mussulmans as well as to Europeans. You know well what a weighty matter this is with Mussulmans, and that to them it appeared a very barsh measure. Should such a measure be adopted, the whole (Mahomedan) world will become our enemies, some overly and some secretly; and the case of one who becomes viewed as an universal enemy is very difficult; notwith-standing which, by reason of the friendship I entertuin for the English notion product. standing which, by reason of the friendship I entertain for the English nation and the allance I hold with them, I would not mind incurring any risk.

" This matter might accordingly be arranged on either of the following conditions :---

" 1st. That the British Government bind themselves to defend me against either mine own or their enemies both by land and by sea.

" 2dly. Or if they consider the above proposition inexpedient, then let them give me the country of the Portuguese (Mozambique, &c.) according to Captain Owen's promise to me.

" 3dly. Or if this also be impracticable, let them (the British Government) make a pro-VISIOD

Ibid.

Ibid.

In 1828, the imaum, reverting to the subject, directed his agent to obtain from the Bombay government its sentiments with regard to the traffic of slaves carried on at Mozambique.

The acknowledgments of government were conveyed to his highness for the sincere interest he took in the aboliton of this detestable traffic in human flesh, but he was informed that, as far as regarded the Portuguese settlement of Mozambique, the government of Bombay could neither authorize nor advise any interference.

The first of the imaum's proposals seems to have been declined, as involving the government too closely in Arabian politics; the second, as being one which could only be enter-taned as a national question by the Ministers of the Crown; and the third, for reasons which we gather from a despatch addressed to the Bombay government by the honourable Court of Directors in the following year, 1827, written in reply to detailed expositions of the 17 October 1827, views of that government (which do not appear in the papers before us) as to the construction of all the Arab treaties, and the imaum's position with reference to the slave trade, and to the negotiations regarding that question. The honourable court concurred generally in the s of their government, and, in particular, expressed an opinion in accordance with that of the honourable Governor Élphinstone and the majority of his council (Mr. Warden dissenting), that the concessions made by the imaum in abolishing the slave trade with Christian nations, and permitting seizure of his own vessels within the limits, were substantial, and as much as could possibly have been expected from a prince in his circumstances, moving, as they did, a sacrific of revenue from this trade as well as from the general com-merce of his ports arising out of it; the concession, too, according to Captain Moresby, who negotiated it, being an unpopular one, in a country and among a people where power depends on popularity, and slavery is permitted by law and custom. The honourable court therefore considered his objections to take any further steps without compensation reasonable.

But with regard to the three alternative proposals of his highness detailed above, the court approved of their having been declined for the reasons assigned by the majority of the Bombay council, and they concurred in the opinion, that if the imaum, as he proposed, were to retire from Muscat on a pecuniary provision, such an event would be "a serious seril in very many points of view," adverting to all that his highness has done, and desired to do, under the circumstances of his position.

under the circumstances of his position. The honourable court in this despatch go on to declare their belief, that the suppression Slavery in India, of the European eastern slave trade depends manuly on the "faithful fulfilment of our existing 1838, p. 13. treaties with the imaum of Muscat, and his cordial co-operation," and they add, "the African and Arabian slave trade in the ports of the packa of Egypt and imaum of Senna would flourish, and counterbalance greatly the advantages to be derived even from the abolition of Mahomedan slavery by the ruler of Muscat. But under any circumstances they consider it essential to the ultimate attainment of the desired end, that nothing should be done to dimusch his authority and influence as a Mahomedan prince, or to place him in a position It essential to the utimate attainment of one desired and that nothing should be done of diminish his authority and influence as a Mahomedan prince, or to place him in a position of hostility with the people of Arabia, against whom, in justice, he must be defended by our government, if we have forced on him measures endangering his safety. For these reasons the Bombay government is instructed not to press the imaum further on this point of the general abolition of slave trading, but to return his highness the most cordial acknowledgments of the Court of Directors for the concessions he has already made of such obvious tendency towards the suppression of the European slave-trade, and to assure hum of the high value they attach to the co-operation he has hitherto shown, and which they

him of the high value they attach to the co-operation he has hitherto shown, and which they trust he will continue to manifest in the prosecution of this great object." At a subsequent period, the honourable the Court of Directors thus expressed their Ibid. p. 15. expectations on the subject to the Bombay government: "By the judicious exercise of influence you will no doubt in time be able to accomplish another object of importance to cuvilization,—the suppression of the slave trade, which is still carried on, though to a limited extent, between the coast of Africa and the Arab ports, not excepting Muscat."

"In the papers now submitted to us," the court go on to say, "various indications occur that the Arab states in the gulf would not be averse to our assuming that general protectorship over them which would be implied in our prohibiting wars, and becoming the arbitrators of all their disputes. We entirely concur with you, however, in considering the assumption of such a power, and indeed any more intimate connexion with those states than at present exists, to be wholly unadvisable."

The subject was but little agitated between 1828 and 1838, but in the latter year very satisfactory and final arrangements were effected both with the imaum of Muscat and the Arab chiefs in the Persian Gulf, who were parties to Sir W. Grant Keir's treaty of 1820, by which, combined with the internal precautionary measures we shall detail in the sequel, the coast of India was entirely freed from apprehension of slaves being imported from Africa or elsewhere

It appears that Captain Hennell, officiating resident in the Persian Gulf, who is vested with the conduct of all the relations of the British Government with the states in that quarter, received towards the end of 1837 a complaint from a person named Ubduilah-ben-Ivvuz, stating that some Joasnee boats had carried off a large number of girls (233) from the coast of Barbarah, under pretence of marriage, and had disposed of them as slaves at Ras-ul-khyma, one of the Joasmee ports. The chief of the Joasmees, sultan Bin Suggur, when called to account for this, denied the fact as to the enticing away these girls, but admitted that some 262. Y A had

Arabian and African Slave Trade.

Slavery in India, 1838, p. 93.

19 April 1826, 7 June 1826, Return, p. 19.

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 176 had been purchased from tribes who held them as prisoners of war, and contended that

Arabian and African Slave Trade.

such traffic was not contrary to the 9th article of the treaty. participation in these alleged outrages, and asserted his willingness to aid in putting them down, to obtain his signature upon the spot to an agreement, that he, sultan Bin Suggur, sheikh of the Joasmee tribe, in the event of vessels connected with his ports, or belonging to his subjects, coming under the suspicion of being employed in the carrying off (literally stealing) and embarkation of slaves, men, women or children, agreed to their being detained and searched whenever and wherever they may be fallen in with ou the seas by the cruisers of the British Government; and further, on its being ascertained that the crews had carried off (hterally stolen) and embarked slaves, their vessels should be liable to seizure carried off (interally stolen) and emotived survey, then ressets should be have to because and confiscation by the cruisers. A similar agreement was at the same time signed by sheikh Rashid Bin Hummud, chief of Amulgaveen, sheikh Mukhtoom, chief of Debaye, and sheikh Khuleefa Ben Shukboot, chief of Aboothabee. The readent in his letter handing up this document remarked, that this agreement did not in any degree pledge the government

to any specific line of policy with reference to the slave-trade. The government of Bombay entirely approved of these engagements, as did the govern-ment of India.

Before the close of the year 1839, Captain Hennell had concluded with the Arab chiefs and the maum of Muscat formal treaties to the above effect, but more precise in defining the

limits within which the right of search was to be exercised. Treaty executed in July 1839 by sheikh Khuleefa, of Aboothabee; sheikh Mukhtoom, of Debaye; sheikh Abdullah, of Amulgaveen; sheikh sultan Bin Suggur, of Ras-ulkhymah:

" I do hereby declare, that I bind and pledge myself to the British Government in the fol-. lowing engagement :

"1st. That the government cruisers, whenever they may meet any vessel belonging to myself or my subjects, beyond a direct line drawn from Cape Delgado, passing two degrees seaward of the island of Socotra, and ending at Cape Guadel, and shall suspect that such vessel is engaged in the slave trade, the said cruisers are permitted to detain and search it.

"2d. Should it on examination be proved that any vessel belonging to myself or my subjects is carrying slaves, whether men, women or children for sale, beyond the aforesaid line, then the government crusers shall seize and confiscate such vessel and her cargo. But if the aforesaid vessel shall pass beyond the aforesaid line, owing to stress of weather or other case of necessity not under control, then she shall not be seized.

"3d. As the selling of males and females, whether grown up or young, who are hoor, or free, is contrary to the Mahomedan religion; and whereas the Soomalee tribe is included in the: boor, or free, I do hereby agree, that the sale of males and females, whether young or old, of the Soomalee tribe, shall be considered as piracy, and that after four months from thus date all those of my people convicted of being concerned in such an act shall be punished the same as pirates.

Treaty executed by his highness Saeed Bin Sultan, imaum of Muscat, dated 10th Shawal 1255, or A.D. 1839, 17th December:---"I agree that the following articles be added to the above treaty, concluded by Captain

Moresby on the aforesaid date: "1st. That the government cruisers, whenever they may meet any vessel belonging to my subjects beyond a direct line drawn from Cape Delgado, passing two degrees seaward of the island of Socotra, and ending at Pussein, and shall suspect that such vessel is engaged in the slave trade, the said cruisers are permitted to detain and search it. "2d. Should it, on examination, be found that any vessel belonging to my subjects is

carrying slaves, whether men, women or children, for sale, beyond the aforesaid line, then the government cruisers shall seize and confiscate such vessel and her cargo ; but if the said vessel shall pass beyond the aforesaid line owing to stress of weather, or other case of necessity not under control, then she shall not be seized.

"Sd. As the selling of males and females, whether grown up or young, who are hoor, or free, is contrary to the Mahomedan religion; and whereas the Soomalees are included in the hoor, or free, I do hereby agree that the sale of males and females, whether young or old, of the Soomalee tribe, shall be considered as piracy; and that, four months from this date, all those of my people convicted of being concerned in such an act shall be punished as pirates."

The only difference between the treaties with the imaum and the Arab chiefs is, that the imaum would not agree to the boundary line being fixed further west than Pussein, on the Mukran coast, which is 70 miles east of Cape Guadel, the point agreed to by the Arabs, the reason assigned being, that Pussein was the easternmost boundary of his highness's territory on the Mukran coast; and that its provisions do not appear to apply to his high-ness's own vessels, probably in deference to his dignity as a sovereign prince and to his close alhance with us. But there is an essential variation between this treaty with the Joasmees and that concluded with them by Captain Hennell, as reported in his letter of 28th April 1828 to up the former one there is an experiment of the protect of the source of th 1838; for in the former one there was no mention whatever of any particular limit within which their vessels should not be hable to seizure, and we draw attention to this fact, because no explanation of the cause of less stringent terms having been required from these tribes in the treaty last executed is on record.

Whilst these efficient measures were pursued against the external traffic in slaves in the Arabian sea, the government of Bombay was engaged in negotiations with its allies on the continent.

11th July, 1838. 6th August 1838. continent of India, for the purpose of inducing them to prohibit the importation of slaves Arabian and into their territories by sea.

So long ago as December 1835, Sir A. Burnes reported that the rao of Kutch had given his ready assent to the wishes of government on this head, by issung a proclamation against importation. In the same month the principal chieftains of Kathiawar, the peninsula between the gulfs of Kutch and Cambay, declared their adhesion to a general league prohibitmg the Indian traffic in slaves.

The rao of Kutch formally acceded to this, and the gaikawar, on whose part we exercise the paramount rule in Kathiawar, was also understood to have consented to the measure. With regard to the actual state of the trade in slaves, we are without any evidence to

show any diminution in the extent of the East African branch of it. The treatnes lately concluded are of too recent a date to admit of any just calculations being drawn from them, besides that they are calculated solely to keep the traffic away from the shores of India, and do not in any way interfere with that carried on in the gulfs. Even up to this time, there is no agreement with any of the states in the Red Sea or with the coast of Arabia, nor any restriction on their voyages ; and it appears they carried on a considerable slave trade with the north-west coast of India, till it was put an end to by the Bombay government pre-vailing on its dependent allies in that quarter to prohibit all importation and dealing in slaves.

To render the preventive system ample and effectual, the concurrence of all the Arabian, Turkish, Egyptian, and Persian powers is requisite to a treaty on the principle of those The authorities seem to agree in thinking this concurrence cannot be Hennell. above noticed. obtained, or, if obtained, is only to be upheld by a vast increase in the number of the ships of war maintained by the British Government in the gulfs, since the interest of those states is deeply involved in keeping up a traffic that is allowable by their law, and brings them in a large revenue

It is stated by Captain Hennell, that the imaum of Muscat alone is said to have lost 100,000 crowns already by assenting to the treaty we effected with him in 1822. But if the coast blockade agreed to by our allies in Kutch and Kathnawar be enforced with good faith and vigour, the importation of slaves must soon dwindle to an amount so low as will induce the remaining Arab powers to listen to reason on the subject.

The principle of compensation we observe was stremiously opposed by Captain Hennell, and was decidedly objected to by the Bombay government for reasons given in a correspon dence we have inserted m the Appendix. Captain Hennell indeed stated his conviction that granting compensation to the Arab chiefs would only throw the trade into the hands of the

In the last of the Person and Turkish ports in the galf. In the last of papers, initialed "Slavery in Indas," will be found a very ample account of the extent of the gulf slave trade at the date of 1831, being an account by Colonel D. Wilson, at that period resident at Busbure, in the Person Gulf, m a report to the government of Bombay, embracing as well the states under the treaties effected by Captain Moresby and Srr W. G. Kerr as those states with which we have no treaty.

Colonel Wilson concludes his interesting details with a strong expression of opinion which is worthy of notice as coming from's person of so much local experience and general ability, that "if the states in that quarter could be induced to abandon entirely the traffic in slaves, few single acts could contribute so much towards their progress to civilization, and the ameliorating extension of their peaceful commerce and intercourse with India and elsewhere, to the infinite benefit of their neighbours as well as of themselves.

There is reason to believe that the practice of seizing and carrying off slaves from the coast of Africa still continues, in spite of the strenuous exertions of the government of Bombay to suppress it. In a preceding part of this report we have noticed indications of this practice, to which the resident at Bushire called the attention of the Bombay government.

The acting resident, Captain Hennell, as already stated, called upon the chief of the the resident declared his belief, that acts of violence were perpetrated by Arab vessels in the manner alleged. During Captain Hennell's temporary absence from Bushire, his assistant, Dr. Mackenzie, having received answers from the agents at Muscat and Shargah relative to the alleged outrage, reported to the Bombay government, that although it was not brought home to the Joasmees, & disgraceful traffic in slaves was carried on, not only by them, but by every port of consequence in the gulf; and he instanced one act com-mitted by the subjects of the chief of Rowah, who had carried off persons of a low tribe, the Soomalees, into slavery.

The resident, in reporting on his assistant's letter, stated that the traffic alluded to did exist, but that the subjects of it were for the most part females, with a few negroes and Abyssmians, procured by purchase, and were by the Mahomedan faith legitimate bonds-women. We presume this to mean that Pagans and Christians, not being of the faithful, are lawful slaves when taken prisoners in war. He added, that instances had taken place of Soomalees also being brought for sale, but rarely, not one in a hundred being of that tribe; that they would, if the fact were known to the authorities, be immediately set free; that the sheikh of Rowah was not a party to the general treaty, and called himself a sub-ject of the Turkish government, but would be required to account for carrying off the seven Soomalee girls from Barbarah. The government of Bombay thought it highly improbable that the protection secured to the negroes of the coast of Barbarah by Sir W. Grant Keir's 3d Sept. 1838, treaty, excluded the Abyssinians, many of whom are Christians, and have the strongest claim to the protection of the British Government; and the resident was directed not to lose sight of the case of these people, nor of the African children.

204.

African Slave '' Trade.

177

Court of Directors.

The

COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS' 178

Arabian and African Slave Trade.

The importance and value of the arrangements lately concluded with the dependent or semi-dependent states in Kutch, Kathiawar, &c. for prohibiting the trade and seizing slave semi-dependent states in Kutch, Astnawar, ac. for promoting the value and serior states were sets and cargoes, may be appreciated in some degree from the recent evidence of Captain Brucks, Indian navy, commodore at Surat, of Mr. Secretary Willoughby, late political resident in Kathiawar, and of Lieut-colonel Sir A. Burnes, acting resident in Kutch. The first-mentioned officer reports (Jan. 1886) on his return to Surat from inspecting the

the coast of Africa, for purposes of ordinary commerce, each of which would bring back six or eight slaves as a private venture of the nacoda in command, and his crew, who would pass them off, if necessary, as servants. That a considerable commerce is carried on from pass them off, if necessary, as servants. Init a considerable commerce is carried on from the same place by Arab vessels with Africa and both gulfs, all the vessels engaged in which openly or in the manner above stated import slaves. These importations used to reach Porebunder at two periods, just before the S. W. monsoon commences, and just after it is over. The slaves were sometimes landed at Novabunder, and other ports of the rana, and many found their way to Bombay in this manner.

Mangalore, he adds, sends one or two boats every year to Zanzibar, and has some trade

with the gulfs; and the same remarks apply to it as to Porebunder. Verawul, a very large town belonging to the Joonagurh nawab, carries on a large com-merce with Zanzibar and the gulfs, and numbers of slaves are thus introduced here and into other ports of the nawab in the very same way. A town called Puttun, near it, is a place where slaves may be, and he believes are, landed. Between the Portuguese settlements of Diu and Mozambique, a regular commerce is well known to be maintained.

About five or six brigs are thus employed every year, and sometimes each brings from 10 to 20 slaves back. Some are taken into the adjacent districts, some to Goa, others smuggled "by one and two at a time," as a part of the crew or servants, into Bombay and Surat.

Captain Brucks believes all the ports and places on the west coast, from Diu to Tulajiya, as also the coast of Okamundul and the various places in the gulf of Kutch, participate in the slave trade, as also that Mandavie in particular has much traffic in slaves, many of

the slave trade, as also that Mandavie in particular has much traffic in slaves, many of whom find their way into the British territory. Sir A. Burnes in December 1885, in reporting the ready acquiescence of the râo of Kutch in the wishes of government for prohibiting and effectually stopping the slave trade; states by special desire of the râo, that there are no slaves imported into his country ex-cept about 400 or .500 negroes from Zanzbar, to which the readent adds, a few from Mus-cat, as he has seen " about 40 of these poor creatures" sold publicly in the bazar so lately as in June 1833, and is told that such was " a daily and common occurrence." On behalf of the râo, the regident is desired to add, that in our sense of the word as applied to Wess India slaves (in his highness? sowp words). the situation of the Zanzibar alayes in his country India slaves (in his highness's own words), the situation of the Zanzibar slaves in his country is quite different, they being received as members of the families to which they are admitted, and treated with great kindness, some of the females being even married : some are sent to Scinde, his highness further says, and others married to the Seedhees or negroes settled in Kutch, a numerous, and the resident adds, " I may say a happy community."

The rao goes on to express a wish most reasonable and acceded to accordingly by government, that sufficient time should be given for the return of vessels already departed for the African coast ignorant of offence or of the impending change, and who will undoubtedly, bring negroes along with them to Mandavie.

In February 1836, the same officer, Sir A. Burnes, reports the issuing of the rao's penal proclamation, and further progress towards suppression of the trade.

He takes occasion to draw the attention of government, for the second time, to the extent of the Muscat slave trade and the imaum's encouragement of it, which, he asserts, has the effect of intumidating the rao, lest the commercial prosperity of Kutch should be injured erect or intimidating the riso, lest the commercial prosperity of Kutch should be injured by the imaum's taking umbrage. A recent case is instanced of six negroes admitting then-selves to be slaves, who ran from an Arab vessel at Mandavie, and took refuge at Bhooj, complaining of starvation and ill-treatment. The riso declared them fiee, and allowed them to live in his household, " at which they were delighted." But shortly after, the navigator of their vessel appeared, and claimed the slaves as belonging to a near relation of the imaum of Muscat, when the riso immediately gave, them up. Sir A. Burnes reports the case in proof that the riso's interests forced him to act contrary to his humane and benevo-hent wishes, and emphatically cade. " if the clave trade is polyched in Muscat twill caced lent wishes, and emphatically adds, " if the slave trade is abolished in Muscat, it will cease in Kutch."

Mr. Secretary Willoughby reports (1st December 1835) that, when political resident as Rajcote (Kathiawar), he found that much trade m slaves was carried on at all the ports of Kathiawar, and even more in those of Kutch. Inspection of the Porebunder custom-house books and accounts of duties levied verified his suspicions. The slaves are imported chiefly books and accounts of duties levied verified his suspicions. The slaves are imported chiefly from Muscat and various ports in Arabia and Scinde, and also brought into Kathiawar from Kutch. The trade is conducted chiefly by the Budalla and Curwa castes, who frequent the ports and contract to navigate vessels for shipowners (who drive a trade with Arabia, the Persan Gulf and coast of Africa) at a fixed rate for the voyage, together with a portion of private tonnage. By this means they were enabled to import slaves, for whom a ready market is always found among the chiefs, Rajpoot, Mussulman and Katty. The places to which they chiefly resort for slaves are Mokha, Judda, Maculla, Sobal, *kc.* The majority of slaves are males. Stout, healthy boys of eight or nine sell for about 40 rupees, increasing to a certain age and then diminishing. A youth of 20 is not so easily disposed of, being less likely to submit to the yoke of slavery, but proue to escape. At Rajecte, tractable, fine youths fetch from 80 to 100 rupees; those of average quality about 60. Fernales

Females

Returns, p. 106.

Returns, p. 151

Returns, p. 15,107.

Females are dearer, being sought as wives by the poorer classes of Scindees and other Ma- Arabian and homedans, as cheaper than wives of their own caste.

The demand for African boys in Kutch is very great, Mr. Willoughby assures us, as " they are taught mechanical arts, and become most useful members of the 'community." At Mandavie there are 800 houses belonging to the above-mentioned Budalla and Curwa castes. The importation from Arabia thither is on a great scale, and they are thence brought into Kathiawar, whence slave-dealers claudestinely transport them to Guzzerat and all parts of Hindoostan. African slaves are highly prized in Kathiawar, and always employed in domestic servitude, never in agriculture.

The slave trade is also carried on with the port of Diu, in Kathiawar, belonging to the Returns, p. 108.

The slave mane is also carried on which the port of Dit, in Acamara, becouging to an Portuguese, where a great number of African boys are to be seen. Of two modes by which the Kathuswar trade might be checked, viz. prohibition by the paramount British Government, or enlisting the chiefs in the good cause, Mr. Resident Willoughby considered the latter most prudent and practicable; and by judicious addresses to the principal chiefs, viz. the nawab of Joonagurh, the jam of Nowanugger, the rana of Porebunder, the thakoor of Bhownuggur, the chief of Mangrole and the authorities at Jafferabad, obtained their adhesion to the general league for abolishing the Indian traffic in slaves, to which the rao of Kutch also adhered in February 1838.

In consequence, as it would seem, of these exertions and the successful addresses of Mr. Willoughby to the different chiefs, a number of slaves appear to have been shortly after seized by the Porebunder authorities on the requisition of British officers on the spot. These amounted to 79 in all, imported on three Arab ships bound with goods to Bombay from Maculla, which had put into Porebunder on pretence to wood and water, but, it would seem, really to dispose of these slaves, which they were afraid to take to Bom-bay. These were seized at the same time and place, but after some detention seem to have been allowed to proceed to Bombay, through the connivance of the rana, who, not without reason, as it appeared, was afraid of reprisals on the part of the Arab states. The slaves were sent up to Bombay under British charge, *and placed under the care of the chief ma-gistrate of police until the law authorities should decide as to their final disposal.

Two grave questions arose on this occasion. 1st. Whether the ships could be detained, and proceedings had in the supreme court against the ships, commanders or crews. 2d. How the slaves were to be dealt with at Bombay, being all of tender age, having been seized and liberated by foreign authonties at Porebunder. The British Government was series and norshed by loregin automices at Porebunder. The Britsh Government was willing to support them, and proposed apprenticing the males to the Indian navy or other occupations, and the females as private servants or to charitable institutions. These points were referred to the legal advisers of government; and to the 1st question, Mr. Advocate-general Le Messurier replied decidedly in the negative upon all the points.

If the vessels or crews, he said, being foreign, belonged to nations with whom the govern-ment had any treaties concerning the trade in slaves, then according to such treaties only could they be dealt with, but not under the British slave trade abolition laws, which affect only the subjects of his Majesty, or persons residing or being within the United Kingdom, or 51 Geo. 3, cap. 23, any of the dominions, &c. belonging to his Majesty, or in his possession, or under the 4 Geo. 4, cap. 213, government of the Honourable East India Company, and can have no force over foreigners, least of all in a case of seizure, like that in question, in a foreign port (Porebunder).

The advocate-general justly adverted to the slow progress and great difficulties environing the advocate-general justly adverted to the show progress and great dimenters environing the slave trade abolition questions from 1787 up to the present day, and to the statutory recognition of slavery in India so late as the last India Charter Act. He added, that 3 & 4 Gul. 4, c. 85, these slaves thus brought to Bombay could not properly be paid for by the British **a**. 88. Government or by the rana, lest it should encourage other importers, notwithstanding the willingness of the rana to do so, or to restore them to their owners, because of his fear of any other to the state of the rana to do so. of repusals from the Arabs, damage to his people, and injury to the revenue and commerce of his port on account of this transaction.

The advocate-general, in fine, counselled the utmost caution and delicacy in meddling with the slave system and traffic of the wild and lawless Arabs, and advised that the slaves should be sent to the government to which their owners belonged, though not without a strong remonstrance on the inhumanity of this traffic, and a recommendation that the mdividuals should be set free.

To the 2d question, regarding the lawful disposal of the young slaves, Mr. Acting Advo-cate-general Roper answered, that, in strict law, the government could not stand in loco pa-rentis to the children, nor legally appentice them out, neither could the slaves effectually bund themselves and mesters (as in England might be done), because of doubts how far the apprentice law might be of validity in India, or justices of peace possessed of the enforcing jurisdiction given by that code. The acting advocate-general did not conceive that there Slavery in India, could be any objection to the boys entering the Indian navy. He, however, advised the 1838, p. 160, greatest caution, to satisfy them that they were perfectly free agents in the matter. He ad- lb. p. 107. vised, however, that the government should so far take on itself the parental function, as to place the children of both sexes with individuals of known respectability and humanity, who should enter into regular engagements as to their treatment, instruction and ultimate libera-tion, or here as servants. This course was ultimately followed. The government put the children, in the first instance, on a small stipend for each, under the humane charge of a benevolent individual, Mr. Acting-secretary Townsend, who volunteered his good offices until they could be gradually and advantageously placed out; and many of them subse-quently were so placed. x_{2} . Two To the 2d question, regarding the lawful disposal of the young slaves, Mr. Acting Advo-

262.

ŗ

African Slava Trade.

Returns, p. 151.

Returns, p. 132.

z 2

Two

Returns, p. 17.

. Two other parties of young slaves, in all amounting to 18, of all ages from 6 to 17, were similarly rescued shortly after, in Kathiawar, all of whom, we gather, were similarly disposed of, under charge of Mr. Townsend. His enlightened views in regard to their education and treatment, in modification of the condition at first required by government (that all these liberated young people should be brought up as Christians), we think it right to record, in justice to that excellent person and to his superiors, who judiciously acquiesced in those views.

". "Of the name (of seligion) I would say nothing," Mr. Townsend writes; " with their manser of food and clothing, I would not interfere. I would have them put in schools where they would be well taught and kindly treated, and instructed in a meaner which might eventually lead to their embracing Christianity. The object would be to enlighten their minds, to make them acquainted with our sacred books, and to give them such a course of education as would enable them hereafter to earn their bread."

Both of these last parties of young slaves appear to have been originally Kutch importations, and to have been thence carried into Kathiawar. The first consisting of ten, by a Turk under a forged pass, purporting to be from the rao and Colonel Pottuger, the resident; the second by a sabookar of Mandavie, who freighted a vessel for slaves from Bate, in Guzzerat, direct to the coast of Abyssinia, which returned with its cargo after a ten months' voyage... The fears of the rana of Porebunder, lest the seizure of the vessels and slaves at Porebun-

Returns,p. 181, 182.

The fears of the rana of Porebunder, less the seizure of the vessels and slaves at Porebunder should bring upon him reprisals and damage, turned out to be well founded. Indeed, considerable embarrassment to the Indian government arose out of this transaction, and at one time it appeared likely to terminate in hostilities with some of the minor independent chiefs on the southern coast of Arabia.

The sultan of Wadi, the principal inland town of the Maharra Bedouins, whose sea-port, Haswall, carnes on a brick slave trade with the Sawahel or East African coast, on learning the detention of his ships at Porebunder and Bombay, and the liberation of the slaves, seized, in February 1836, a buggalow laden with goods belonging to a Hindoo British subject of Bombay, the cargo of which appears to have been valued at 13,510 and the vessel at 4,000 rupees. This act was avowedly committed in retalation for the seizures at Porebunder in November preceding, and the government of Bombay, at first, on receiving the complaints of the suffering parties, prepared to obtain restitution by sending over an armed force in the event of the Arabs refusing to yield to the remonstrances of Captau Haines, of the Indian navy, then in the neighbourhood, and commissioned to demand reparation. The supreme government, however, on being consulted, seem to have recommended a conclustory course, for which indeed there was substantial reason, inasmuch as the Arab vessels at Porebunder appeared to have had no sufficient warning that their accustomed slave tradic with the ports of our allied dependents was about to be visited with confiscation. The papers before us do not enable us to trace the precise manner in which this embarrassing affart terminated, nor, in particular, whether the Bombay merchants ever recovered their goods, or indemnity for them from their own government, or from the Arab chuef, who professed his willingness to restore the vessel, but excused himself as to the cargo, which he averred to have been plundered and dissipated by the mob beyond recovery before he could interfere. It appears, however, that the Bombay government despatched a vessel of war to Wadi, of which the commander was authorized to offer moderate compensation for the slaves

It appears, however, that the Bombay government despatched a vessel of war to Wadi, of which the commander was authorized to offer moderate compensation for the slaves seized, and directed to require restitution of vessel and cargo. On failure thereof, he was to intimate coercive measures would be adopted. The result, as just stated, does not appear.

Was to infinite coercive measures would be adopted. The result, as just stated, does not appear. We do not find any evidence of further attempts, subsequent to those now given, at importing African slaves or others from that quarter into the Bombay or allied territories. But, in the papers and returns already largely quoted, we find mention of a former curious case of alleged slave-trading, which seems worthy of notice, as some important discussions thence arose connected with the execution in Indus of the British statutes affecting the trade in slaves.

In March 1827, a British colonial brig, L'Espérance, which cleared ont at Mauritius for Bombay, put into Mangalore owing to sickness on board, and there buried one of her mates. The master then claimed the protection of the magistrate (Mr. Babington), as a justice of the peace, against three Portuguese and a Frenchman on board, whom he declared to have threatened his life, and to have endeavoured to seize the vessel and to compel him to run into Mozambique for slaves, and who were still meditating to force him into Goa, whence with fresh Portuguese papers they were to proceed on their slaving voyage.

Whence with fresh Portaguese papers they were to proceed on their slaving voyage. Mr. Babangton thereupon seized the ship, papers and crew, under the statute 6 Geo. 4, eap. 110, and sent them up-to Bombay, there to be disposed of by the vice-admiralty court. But on the vessel arriving at that presidency, Mr. Advocate-general Norton reported, that, after argument before the chief justice, the learned judge minimated his opmion that he had no jurisdiction to hold a vice-admiralty court, because the powers to that effect vested in the recorder's court appeared not to have been renewed when that tribunal was succeeded by the present supreme court. The advocate-general went on to say, that although the seizure certainly was legal, and the prisoners parties engaged in a felonious transaction, yet it did not follow that there was any person entitled to serze under the strict interpretation of directly holding commissions under the Crowa, and not any such fanctionaries of the East India Company. Mr. Babington, therefore, Mr. Norton maintained, could not seize, still less could Lieutenant Macdonald of the Indian navy, who brought up the brig to Bombay by order

Returns, p. 169.

Returns, p. 173. Ib. p. 162, 16, 166. Ib. p. 179, 182, 183.

Returns, p. 162.

*

Th. p. 174, 179.

Slavery in Iudia, 1838, p. 1. March 1837.

Slavery in India, p. 201.

lb.p 196, 200.

order of Mr.Babington. But it seemed competent to Captain Furneaux, of the Royal uavy, Arabian and commanding the Hinde sloop of war, then in Bomhay harbour, to seize and prosecute in any Africe blave vice-admirality court. This officer, however, after having taken possession of the Espé-rance, in pursuance of the advocate-general's opinion, abandoned his capture, apparently from doubts as to the sufficiency of the evidence, and the probability of condemnation by any other competent court to which the vessel must have sailed at certain expense and risk. The discontingent anomaliant the horizontal function from the properties of the

The advocate-general considered that he himself might have prosecuted ex officio in the supreme court, though not on the Admiralty side, but without probability of success, under the strict rules of evidence required in such case, and in a matter depending of success, linker production and examination of ship's papers and parties. The prisoners, who had been committed for felony, were eventually released by *kabeas corpas* without opposition, and the vessel was restored.

In this case the ends of justice were defeated by the wast of a vice-admiralty commis-on. The supreme court at Bombay, like those at Calcutta and Madras, has admiralty sion. jurisdiction, but it appears from this case that the statute in question gives the jurisdiction only to courts of vice-admiralty, and this is certainly so with respect to the statute 5 Geo. 4, c. 113, with which we are more immediately concerned.

It would seem that since the eslablishment of the supreme court at Bombar a vice-admiralty commission has been sent out to that presidency, and since the late i. i.e. of the Crown none has been sent out to Calcutta or to Madras.

In regard to the legal difficulties as to qualified and duly commissioned seizers, we observe that the general question was not raised only on this occasion of detaining the brig Espérance, but had already occupied the attention of the government and law authorities of Bombay, in consequence of a correspondence of which we gather imperfectly the substance, but the final result not at all from this volume of returns.

In a despatch from the Court of Directors to the Bombay government, dated 27th Septem-Returns, p. 19, 25, ber 1826, in answer to a reference of November 1824, the honourable court appear to have 26, transmitted, for information and guidance, copies of a correspondence between the Board 1826. of Control, Earl Bathurst and the Lords of the Admiralty, relative to the execution of the 5th Geo. 4; and we infer that the home authorities had deemed it competent to the Indian government to seize and to commission their officers so to do under the provisions of the 1827. slave trading laws.

This despatch was probably received about March or April of the following year, when the at April. attention of government and of the law authorities at that presidency was already alive to the seizure question in the matter of the brig Espérance; and it appears that the advocate-gene-ral was then called on to advise the Governor in Council as to the forms proper to be observed. ral was then cannot on to advise the dovenue in countries to be the company's cruisers. In his reply, in issuing the required commissions to captains of the Company's cruisers. In his reply, Mr. Norton, after supplying the form which he considered best adapted to the case, Returns, p. 221. expressed very strong doubts as to the interpretation which had been given by the high authorities in England to the provisions of the statute, regarding persons qualified to seize or to issue commissions.

About the same time also, a despatch from the supreme government of 29th November com-municated the particulars of the Espérance case, and the difficulties arising out of the want of a competent vice-admiralty jurisdiction at Bombay. The reply to Bombay of the honour-able Court of Directors bears date 10th December 1828, and states briefly that the questions submitted were then under considerations of their law officers; a reply to the same effect of the 23d September 1829 appears to have been sent to the Bengal reference, and we are unable to gather, from information before us, whether any further progress has since been made in the resolution of the difficulties that had occurred.

made in the resolution of the difficulties that had occurred. But, so late as 1937, it would seem by a correspondence between the government of Bom-Appendix XVIIL bay and its advocate-general, Le Messurier, which has been referred to us by the govern-ment of India, that the questions regarding the right of the Company's authorities in India to serze alayes and slavers under the British Acts of Parliament remained in the same doubtful position. Captain Rogers, of the Indian navy, in 1837, being at Judda, and in command of the Euphrates cruster, took three slave boys out of two ships, sailing under British colours and registers, the Francis Warden and Fuzzit Kurreem. The boys were slaves beyond doubt, bought to be sold again. They were brought to Bombay and dealt with as the government had humanely disposed of so many others. Captain Rogers re-ported that he had abstained from detaining the slavers as well as the slaves at Judda, only because he doubted whether his government would wish to prosecute the matter further by seizing the shups; but as he was about to proceed on a cruise to the Persian Gulf, where he was likely to fall in with English vessels having slaves on board, the captain very properly solicited, through Admiral Malcolm, superintendent of the navy, distinct instructions as to solicited, through Admiral Mulcolm, superintendent of the navy, distinct instructions as to the line of conduct he was to pursue in regard to sezures of any kind under the slave trade law

The advocate-general, referring to the draft of a proposed Act which he had prepared for the legislative council of India, and which specifically conferred the power of seizing on the Indian navy, replied, in substance, that until the Act in question should be passed, and the desired power distinctly conferred, he could only recommend Captain Rogers not to materiere. Here again, under the actual system of our Indian colonial empire, we have high authority pronouncing it illegal for one class of the public naval force to do that which am-other branch of the same force is bound to do in furtherance of national designs and objects. known to the whole world.

262.

Arabian and African Slave Trade.

The last circumstance that we find deserving of notice is a complaint on a charge that was preferred towards the close of '4.p. 1838 against the government of Bombay, by a M. Fontanier, French vice-consul at Bussora, but then residing in Bombay, conveyed in a letter to the captain of a French man-of-war then in the harbour, to the effect-

That the slave trade was carried on at Bombay with the knowledge of the authorities. whio tolerated it.

That the slave trade was in full activity in the Persian Gulf, though it would be easy for

the Indian authorites to prevent it, if they wished to do so. That the navigation regulations in India were not in harmony with British law in this point, for they allowed it to take place under the British flag.

The Governor, to whom the letters containing these assertions had been handed by the French officer, took the opportunity of recording a minute, in which M. Fontamer was shown to be in error; and a summary of all the later proceedings of the government towards India, as the readiest mode of refuting the injurious aspersions cast on the Bombay government.

The correspondence on this subject will be found in our Appendix.

Since the preceding pages of this Report were sent to the press, some papers, which will be found in the Appendix, were received from the government of India, conveying two interpretations by the Sudder Foujdary Adawlut, of Bombay, of section 80, Reg. XIV. of 1827, quoted by us at page 161.

The first arose out of a case wherein a man, having obtained the magistrate's permission to export a slave into a foreign territory under pledge not to sell the slave, subsequently broke the pledge by disposing of the slave, and was put upon trial for the offence. The court held that the regulation in question did not provide for this case; but, having con-sulted their Hindoo and Mahomedan law officers, decided that a Hindoo or Mahomedan would be liable to punishment, not for sale of the slave, but for disobedence to the sircar. The second was that of a man who had carried off a free child from British territory and sold it into slavery in foreign territory. The case had been viewed as one connected with the law against sale of slaves, and the prisoner, under the interpretations we have just quoted, was acquitted, but upon its being discovered that the child was free born, an indict-ment for child-stealing had been preferred against him; the result of the trial is not yet known. known.

We believe that the recommendations we shall have to propose in the sequel will fully meet the want of legislative sanction felt in treating the former of these two cases.

PAST LEGISLATION.

Past Legislation.

.. *

12

WE now proceed to show the course of past legislation in the presidency of Bengal.

The first legislative measure regarding slavery in Bengal which has come to our know-ledge was adopted in 1774. On the 17th May of that year, the Governor (Warren Hastings) in Council passed certain regulations for the police of the town of Calcutts, of which the 9th and 10th are as follows :-

9th. That every person who shall forcibly detain or sell any man, woman or child, as a slave, without a cawbowla, or deed, attested in the usual manner by the cauzee of the place where the slave was purchased by the proprietor, or who shall decoy away or steal any children from their families or places of abode, shall be punished as the law to which he is amenable shall direct.

amenable scali direct. 10th: That from the 1st day of July 1774, answering to the 21st day of Rebbee-oos-Sanes, or the 11th Assar, Bengal style, no person shall be allowed to buy or sell a slave who is not such already by former legal purchase; and any cauzee who shall grant any cawbowla after that date, for the sale of any slave whatever, shall be dismissed from his employment, and such cawbowla shall be invalid.

On the 14th of the following month a copy of these two regulations was circulated by order of government to the committee of revenue at Calcutta, and to the several provincial councils established at Burdwan, Moorshedabad, Dacca,* Dinagepore and Patna, with durections to "see the same effectually carried into execution in their divisions," and on examining the official records of those bodies, with the exception of those of the Dinage-pore council, which are imperfect, we find the following notices of the receipt of this order, and of the manner in which it was executed :--

The letter was read by the committee of revenue at Calcutta on the 20th June, and on the 28th of the same month they ordered a publication to be issued in Bengallee and Persian to the effect of the regulations.

The volume containing the proceedings of the Burdwan provincial council for this period cannot be found; we learn, however, from the index book, that the letter was read on the 20th June, but no order is mentioned as having been passed in consequence.

By the Moorshedabad council, the letter was read on the 23d June, and a reply was made on the same date in these terms : " It shall be our care to enforce your injunctions on the head of purchasing and selling slaves;" but no order was then recorded for the publication of them.

The

[•] The districts of Tipperah and Chittagong were at this time under the management of a chief. • •

. The Dacca council replied on the 20th June, that they would "ummediately publish the Past Legislation., resolutions;" but they at the same time submitted a question which we shall notice presently.

š.

The Patna council, on the 27th June, passed this order : "That this regulation respecting slaves be entered in the book of standing orders for the Adawlut cutcherry, and that it be immediately made public throughout this division, and that a Persian copy be given to the cauzee of the sudder, with directions to circulate it to the several cauzees in the per-guinable."

The following minute was recorded by government respecting these regulations on the date on which they were passed :-

"It is necessary to remark upon the two preceding regulations, that the practice of stealing children from their parents, and selling them for slaves, has long prevailed in this country, and has greatly increased since the establishment of the English Government in it. The influence derived from the English name to every man whose birth, language, or even habit, entitles him to assume a share in its privileges, and the neglect of the judicious precautons, established by the one of the country which recurring that no share a built is call entities him to assume a share in its privileges, and the neglect of the judicious precautions established by the ancient law of the country, which requires that no slave shall be sold, without a cawboula, or deed, attested by the cauzee, signifying the place of the child's abode (if in the first purchase, its parents' names, the names of the seller and purchaser, and a minute description of the persons of both), having greatly facilitated this savage commerces, by which numbers of children are conveyed out of the country on the Dutch and especially the French vessels, and many lives of infants destroyed by the attempts to secrete them, from the notice of the magistrate. There appears no probable way of remedying this calag-mitous evil but that of striking at the root of it, and abolishing the right of slavery altoges. there, excerting such cases to which the authority of covernment cannot reach : such for ther, excepting such cases to which the authority of government cannot reach; such, for, example, as laws in being have allowed, and where slaves have become a just property by purchase antecedent to the proposed prohibition. The opinions of the most creditable of the Mussulman and Hindoo inhabitants have been taken upon this subject, and they condemn. the authonized usage of selling slaves as reput int to the particular precepts both of the Koran and Shaster, oppressive to the people, and injurious to the general welfare of the country."

This minute, and the regulations to which it relates, are not very accurately worded. But upon the whole, it seems, that the first of the two regulations is merely declaratory of the old law, that no free person could be sold into slavery without a cawbowla from the cauzee, and that the second renders invalid the sale of any free person after the 1st July 1774. It is remarkable, however, that the only persons whom this regulation permits to be sold are slaves who are "such already by former legal purchase," as if no one could be born a slave

A question immediately arose upon this point, which is thus stated by the provincial council of revenue at Dacca : "As it is an established custom throughout the Dacca districts to keep in bondage all the offspring and descendants of persons who have once become lation is to be extended to the children of slaves born subsequent to the period mentioned in that regulation."

The answer of the government was in the nature of a rescript. They added it to the above-mentioned regulations, and promulgated it in the following circular to the Calcutta. committee of revenue and the provincial councils :-

" In consequence of a reference made to us by the provincial council of revenue at Dacca, we have lately had under our consideration the subject of the rights of masters over the offspring of their slaves. In those districts where slavery is in general usage, or any way connected with, or is likely to have any influence on the cultivation or revenue, which we are informed is the case in the frontier parts of Bengal, we must desire you particularly to advise us what is the usage and every circumstance connected with it, and we shall then give such directions as we may judge to be necessary; but, considering the reference in the meantime in the light of a general proposition, we are of the opinion, that the right of the masters to the children of the slaves, already their property, cannot legally be taken from them in the first generation; but we think that this right cannot and ought not to extend further, and direct that you do make publication accordingly.

This circular is dated 12th July 1774, and we trace the following particulars respecting it on the official records of government, and of the bodies to which it was addressed, whose proceedings we have examined for this purpose to the close of the year 1774.

Being read by the committee of revenue at Calcutta on the 20th July, it was ordered, " That the dewan be furnished with a translation of the purport of the former part of the letter to report on, and that the latter part be published accordingly."

Enclosed, we have the honour to transmit you the reports of the dewan and the several naibs of our division, in answer to the reference we made to them on the subject of slaves, in consequence of your orders of the 12th ultimo.

" Slavery is very little the custom in this country, and there is no danger of the revenue being affected by any regulations you may think proper to make regarding it. The slaves of talookdars do not appear to be sold with the lands. The report of Pudlochun, the naib of Beerboom, is particularly explicit on this point, and, we believe, very just." "Oodey Narrain. Received 27th July 1774 .-- I have received your perwanna regarding

slaves, and have been informed by the most experienced men of Midnapore and Jellasore, that a master supports his slave as long as he is able, and when he is no longer possessed 262. 24 of

Past Legislation.

of the means, he gives him his dismission, and the slave has recourse to some work for his subsistence. He is not cold together with a memindarry or talookdarry." "Dewan Bowanny Miter's report of the custom of Burdwan with regard to slaves.--Any

"Dewan bowanny butters report of the castom of buttwan with regard to slaves. —Any child, whether make or formale, which is born of a slave, is supposed to belong to the house, and serves the master. He receives no wages, but only clothing and what is required for his necessary expenses. The children born as above cannot be sold either by the parents or by the master. A slave is to do whatever his master orders hun, and he receives no extraordinary wages for cultivating the land."

"Joyaran Chowdry, sumes of Bishenpore. Received 31st July 1774.-When any person has purchased a slave, it is customary here for the slave to serve him, and also for any child which the slave may have. Since it is ordered that the grandchild shall be free, I will publish the order that it may be observed in future. There are no talookdarres in this distruct "

"Ram Chunder Bose, suzawak of Pacheat. Received 31st July 1774 .-- Uses the same words."

"Pud Lochun, naib of Beerbhoom. Received 80th July 1774 .-- I have received your permanna, and inquired of all the men in this district who are most capable of giving me information respecting slaves. The law does not permit the absolute purchase of slaves, but, there father and mother being willing, they may give a written contract to serve a man but, there father and mother being willing, they may give a written contract to serve a man for the term of 50 or 60 years, in consideration of a sum of money. The master may within the time limited employ them in cultivation, or order them to execute any business. In case of the sale of a talockdarry, the slave is not considered as a part of the purchase, but continues to serve his old master. If the master is in debt and has no other means of paying, he may make over the service of the slave to the creditor till the term limited in the contract is expired, he being cansidered as part of the effects belonging to the house. After the expiration of the time instead in the contract, it is at his option either to leave his master on stay with him. Force must not be used to detain, him. I have published the hookunname, which you have enclosed."

By the Moorshedabad council the circular was read on the 21st July, when it was-"Ordered, that the secretary do publish as extract as far as relates to the prohibition of retaining the children of slaves after the first generation, and that the dewan be directed 'to make inquiry into such particulars as are pointed out for investigation." And on the 28th

'to make inquiry into such particulars as are pointed out for investigation." And on the 28th of the same month they informed the government thus: "Your subsequent orders regarding the sons of slaves have been published, and shall be duly enforced." The letter addressed to the Dacca council was read by them on the 21st July, when it was "Agreed it lay for consideration." By the Patna council it was read on the 25th July, and it was—"Ordered that the board'a regulation prohibiting the right-of mesters over the children of their slaves to extend further than the first generation, be made public, and that we procure as particular an account as possible of the laws and usages which have heretofore obtained in this province." ۰,

On the 4th August, "having made inquiry into the usage of shaves in this province," they fine address the government: "We have published your commands of the 12th ultime," that the right of masters over their slaves should not extend beyond the first generation; and after stating the result of their inquiry regarding the state of slavery within their divi-sion, they observe in conclusion : " On the whole we do not magine that alterations in the usage of slaves will be attended with any consequences of moment to the cultivation or revenue of this province.

The government of Bengal reported their proceedings on this subject to the honourable the Court of Directors in a despatch, dated the 18th October 1774, in which they remark : "We cannot doubt that the motives of policy and humanity which influenced this regulation will meet with your approval; but we would wish also to be favoured with your sentiments and orders on the subject; to regulate our conduct, when we shall receive the reports called for from the provincial councils of the state of slavery throughout these distincts; some of those have been already received, others are still expected."

We have not been able to discover, either that any instructions were received from the Court of Directors in reply to this despatch, or that any subsequent resolutions were taken by the government of Bengal on the subject.

Supposing; then; these regulations never to have been repealed (and we have found no supposing, then; mease reginations never to have been repeated (and we have found no trace of a repeat), as soon as the generation of slaves existing in 1774, and the generation next to that, have passed away, slavery is legally extinct throughout the provinces of Bengal and Behar, with the exception of those districts where slavery was in general seage, or any way connected with, or was likely to have any influence on the cultivation or revenue. And throughout Bengal and Behar, including those districts, the sale of free persons into slavery has been illegal ever since the 1st Jely 1774.

It is difficult to say in what light the courts would now regard these regulations. We collect that it is extensively believed that skavery has been abounded by the Britsh Govern-ment, and the coincidence of this belief with the actual continuance of slavery has nothing in it extraordinary when the manner of the abolition is known. There may be a few slaves

In nextuarranary when the manner of the shouldon is known. I here may be its side is still living who were born before 1774. There may be many still living who are the children of such slaves: It is probable, moreover, that the particular provisions of these regulations would be forgotten before any person was born free by virtue of those provisions, and therefore that no person has ever de facto been exempt from slavery is consequence of their construction. enactment.

There is distinct evidence that these regulations were considered to be in force 11 years after the date of them. Mr. Day, the collector of Daeca, in a latter dated the 2d March 1785.

1785, conveying to the committee of revenue information of a trade which had lately been Past Legislation established between the low caste Portuguese at that place and those of Calcutta, Chunsurah established between the low caste Portuguese at that place and those of Calcutta, Chinsurah and other foreign settlements, consisting in the purchase of children for the purpose of exportation, proposed that orders should be issued to the custom masters to secure all boats laden with children, as it might be the means of "tracing the concern to the principal, who, as acting in open defiance of the public and long-established orders of government, might be brought to justice." He stated also that he had placed in confinement, until the receipt of the committee's orders, certain persons with whom he had discovered 42 children for sale. On the 14th of the same month the committee submitted to government the mea-sures they had judged it "expedient to recommend to Mr. Day for the apprehension and prosecution of the persons guilty of so flagrant a contempt and violation of the orders of government." These were approved by government on the 9th September following, who directed that "in future the utmost diligence should be used to prevent the trade of children isolary of an information of the same should be used to prevent the trade of children being carried on." being carried on.

It is worthy of mention, also, that Sir W. Jones, in his charge to the grand jury of Calcutta, in June 1785, recognizes the existence of this law: "Many of you, I presume, have seen large boats filled with such children, coming down the river for open sale at Calcutta; nor can you be ignorant that most of them were stolen from their parents, or bought, perhaps, for a measure of rice in a time of scarcity, and that the sale itself is a defance of this government, by violating one of its positive orders, which was made some years ago, after a consultation of the most reputable Hindoos in Calcutta, who condemned such a traffic as repugnant to their sastra."

both by the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws.

" I have, however, a plan under consideration, which I hope to be able to execute without doing much mury to the private interests, or offering great violence to the feelings, of the natives, and which has for its object the abolition of the practic. under certain limitations, and the establishing some rules and regulations to alleviate as much as may be possible the misery of those unfortunate people during the time that they may be retained in that wretched situation."

And in a despatch from the Governor-general in Council to the Court of Directors, dated eight days subsequently (10 August), informing them of the issue of a proclamation against eight days subsequently (10 August), informing them of the issue of a proclamation against the purchase or collection of natives for the purpose of exporting them as slaves, it is stated (paragraph 100), "Forther regulations against slavery in the internal parts of Bengal and Behar have been devised, with the consent and approbation of the judges of the supreme court; but we have thought it necessary, previous to adopting them, to transmit a copy thereof to Mahomed Reza Cawn, the naib nizam, that we may receive his opinion on a point of much importance, whether they militate in any respect with the laws and licensed userses of the country". usages of the country.'

In the collection of papers from which we are quoting, it is said, that no further notice of the plan here adverted to by his lordship has been traced upon the records of the Bengal government.

No further legislation took place upon the subject of slavery till the year 1811, except the provisions already referred to, in p. 82 of the digest of Bengal Slavery, which annul the exemption from kissas or retaliation sanctioned by the Mahomedan law in certain cases of wilful murder of a slave.

By the 2d and 3d sections of Regulation X. of 1811, " the importation of slaves, whether By the 2d and 3d sections of Regulation X. of 1811, "the importation of slaves, whether by land or by sea, into the places immediately dependent on the presidency of Fort William," was prohibited and made penal. But the generality of these expressions was considerably restrained by a construction which the court of Nizamut Adawlut put upon them within a year after the regulation passed. The correctness of the view taken by the Nizamut Adawlut on this subject has been questioned both by government and the home authorities, though, by reference to the records of government, it appears to be in accordance with the intention of those who framed the law. The history of the construction is as follows :-

It was first put forth in a letter from the register of the court to the acting magistrate of zillah Agra. "The court adverting," says the register, "to the title and preamble of the regulation in question, and to the bond required by section 5, understand the provisions in is to be applicable only to the importation of slaves, for the purpose of being sold, given away, or otherwise disposed of." It appears by an extract from the Bengal Judicial Consultations of the 16th May 1812, that the Governor-general in Council concurred in the construction thus given by the court. In October 1814 the letter containing the construction was made a circular order by the Nızamut Adawlut.

In the interval, however, between the date of the letter containing the construction and the date of its promulgation in the shape of a circular order, the government seems to have changed its opinion on the point. For in the course of a correspondence with Sir C. Metcalle, relative to a proclamation of his, making punishable any person who should "import and sell slaves," Mr. Dowdeswell, chief secretary to government, writes thas on the 6th March 1813 (paras. 3 and 4). " On recurring to the terms of that publication (the resident's proclamation), the Governor-general in Council observes, that the prohibition against the 262. purchase

Past Legislation.

purchase and sale of slaves is absolute. The prohibition regarding the importation of slaves is much less so, it being stated, that if any person 'shall import and sell,' &c. The offence of importing would not therefore be complete, nor consequently liable to punshment, unless a sale took place of the imported slaves. In both these respects, therefore, the tenor of your proclamation differs from the provisions contained in Regulation X. of 1811, which prohibits the importation of slaves into the British territories in the most general and comprehensive manner possible, but is silent as to the sale of slaves." And again in para. 8: " It will still remain to modify the tenor of the proclamation issued by you under date the shot September last, so as to render the prohibition of the importation of slaves into places subject to your control absolute, as is done with respect to the other parts of the British territories, by section 2, Regulation X. of 1811." And accordingly in the amended proclamation it is not importation and sale, nor importation for the purpose of sale, but importation simply which is made penal. importation simply which is made penal. In September 1815, Mr. W. Leycester, in a report dated the 18th of that month, argues

In September 1815, Mr. W. Leycester, in a report dated the 18th of that month, argues against the construction of the Nizamut Adawlut, and cites cases to show that the practice had not been in conformity with it. The court of Nizamut Adawlut in their remarks upon this report on the 12th June 1816, say (para. 49), "The court do not think it proper to offer any opinion upon the particular cases stated by Mr. Leycester, without having the proceedings before them, but observe, that in any cases brought before the courts of circuit, wherein it may appear that the magistrates have not correctly understood the provisions of Regulation X. of 1811, as construed by the Nizamut Adawlut, it is their duty to inform and instruct the magistrates."

In the proceedings of government, dated the 14th February 1817, "the Governor-general in Connecil," it is said, " is disposed to think that the circular orders of the Nizamut Adawlut, under date the 23d of April 1812, have narrowed the proper construction of Regulation X, of 1811; and he concurs with Mr. Leycester in the general reasoning on which he has founded his conjugation to the the intert of the superlayed to a problem. he has founded his opinion, that the intent of the enactment was to prohibit the importation as has rounded his ophatod, that the interior of the elactinent was to promot the importation of slaves altogether, and not merely the importation of slaves ' for the purpose of being sold, given away or otherwise disposed of.'' The Court of Directors, in a letter to the Governor-general in Council in the judicial department, dated 26th April 1820, express themselves thus (para, 57): " It appears, then, that on the stb of April 1820, express themselves thus (para, 57): " It appears, then,

that on the 6th of Angust 1811, a regulation, absolutely and strictly prohibing the importation of slaves, was enacted by your government; that on the 23d of April 1812, a circular order of the Nizamut Adawlut, restricting the prohibition to importation for sale, was submitted to your government and distributed to the provincial courts; that this circular order was known and acted on in some districts, while only the original regulation was known and acted on in others; that the original regulation was as usual transmitted to us, but that we were left in total ignorance of the circular order; that though the circular us, but that we were left in total ignorance of the circular order; that though the circular order was submitted to your government, it does not seem, notwithstanding its discrepancy with the regulation, and with your intention in enacting it, and notwithstanding the very great importance of the subject, to have been in any manner adverted to by you till your "attention was called to it by one of the judges of circut; and that from the 23d April 1812 to the 14th February 1817, a period of nearly five years, a circular order of this vital importance, superseding a regulation and possessing all the authority of a regulation, which you would not have enacted, and which we should not have approved, was allowed to remain in force without notice on your part, and consequently was never brought to our knowleder." knowledge.'

The circular order of the Nizamut Adawlut was, however, never rescinded, and five years later we find the government directing one of its officers to act upon it. The occasion of this direction was an application to government made by Mr. D. Scott, commissioner at Rungpore, on the 3d July 1825, to be informed whether certain slaves brought from Assam to Rangpore, and intended bond fide for domestic service, were within the provisions of the Regulation X. of 1811. The commissioner appears not to have heard of the circular order, or else to have thought it was no longer in force, as he does not allude to it. The only difficulty he felt was, whether Assam was or was not to be considered a foreign territory. difficulty he felt was, whether Assam was or was not to be considered a cone of the field was, whether Assam was or was not to be considered a cone of the field secretary to government, dated 21st July 1825, † is as follows: "I me answer of the chief secretary to government, dated 21st July 1825, † is as follows: "I am directed by the Right honourable the Governor-general in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th instant. The construction which has been given by the instant. The construction which has been given by the court of Nizamut Adawlut to the provisions of Regulation X. of 1811, and which was communicated to the several courts of justice in a circular letter dated the 5th October 1814, seems sufficient to meet the difficulties adverted to in your letter, and to render it unnecessary that government should at present determine whether Assam is or is not to be considered as a foreign territory. A copy of the circular order in question is enclosed in this letter."

The next legislative measure on this subject is the proclamation of Sir Charles Metcalle, when resident at Delhi, issued in 1812. We have already had occasion to allode to this proclamation in discussing the construction of Regulation X. of 1811. The proclamation, as we have already stated, prohibits the importation of slaves for any purpose (except when authorized by the resident); and in so doing, it was thought by the government to be in accordance with Regulation X. of 1811. It is at variance, however, with the construction of the Nizzmut Adawlat, and with the subsequent Regulation III. of 1832 (to be presently noticed).

The words "the prohibition of" are not in the printed copy of Mr. Dowdeswell's letter; but the sense idently requires them, or something equivalent, † 1816, by mistake, in the printed copy.

noticed), which was intended by its framers to be in accordance with Regulation X. of 1811, Sir Charles Metcalfe's proclamation also prohibits and makes penal the sale and purchase of slaves in the territory of Delhi. The law regarding slavery became, therefore, by force of this proclamation, different in that territory from what it is in any other part of British India.

From the 2d vol. of Slavery in India, pp. 87-48, it appears, that in several cases slaves who had made their escape from the palace at Delhi have been liberated by the judicial authorities under this proclamation, and that in two cases which were brought to the notice. of government and the Court of Directors, that course has received the sanction of those authorities. The two last of these cases occurred in the year 1828. Since that period the terms of the proclamation seem to have been forgotten. But the practice of the courts has not on that account relapsed into what it was before the proclamation. On the contrary, it has gone much beyond the terms of that instrument. For the local judicial functionaries believe, and act upon the belief, that Sir C. Metcalfe altogether abolished slavery in the Delhi territory.

territory. The next legislative measure, and the last which has been enacted on the subject, is the Regulation No. III. of 1832. This law, as appears by its preamble, was passed "in consequence of the extension of the possessions held under the presidency of Fort William, subsequent to the enactment of Regulation X. of 1811;" and because "a doubt had arisen whether the provisions of that regulation could be held to apply to cases of slaves removed from any part of the British possessions, acquired subsequently to the passing of that ' regulation, into any part of those then held under the said presidency;" and also; as the preamble goes on to say, "with a view to the entire prohibition of the removal of slaves for purposes of traffic from one part of the British territories to another." Accordingly, in the enacting part. all slaves who, subsequently to the peactement of Revulation. A of 1811, have enacting part, all slaves who, subsequently to the enactment of Regulation X. of 1811, have been or may hereafter be removed by sea or land for purposes of traffic from any country, &c. into any province now dependent, or that may hereafter become dependent, on the presidency of Fort William, &c. or may have been or may be so removed from one province thot now is or may hereafter become dependent, &c. are declared free. And any person concerned in the sale or purchase of a slave, knowing him to have been so removed, is made liable to six months' imprisonment, and a fine not exceeding 200 rupses.

Except in the territory of Delhi, therefore, the law is such as, according to the Court of Directors, the government would not have enacted in 1811, and such as the court would not have approved. Except in the territory of Delhi, slaves may be imported into this presidency by land, for any purpose except that of traffic. The orders of a legislative kind affecting slavery in the Saugur and Nerbuda territories, / Kumaon, Assam, and Prince of Wales' Island, are sufficiently described in the parts of the

Kumaon, Assam, and Prince of Wales' Island, are sufficiently described in the parts of the digest relating to those places: (pp. 91. 94-96. 100, 101. 108, 109, 110.) With respect to the presidency of Madras, it has been already stated in the digest, that it was proposed by the Governor-general in Council, that a regulation containing provisions corresponding to those of the Bengal Regulation X. of 1811, should be passed there, but that this suggestion was not adopted. We have only to add, that there is no regulation in the Madras eode which contains any provisions on the subject of slavery, except Regulation VIII. of 1802, in which is prescribed a modification of the Mahomedan law, corresponding with that previously prescribed by the Bengal code, rendering a master hable to capital punishment for the murder of his slave, notwithstanding that kissas is barred by the relation between them, under that law, and Regulation VI. of 1829, which overrules certain personal exceptions to witnesses and prosecutors.

exceptions to witnesses and prosecutors recognized by the Mahomedan law, among others, the exception to servants or alayese of the prosecutor. We have noted that Regulation II. of 1812 of the Madras code, contained a clause prohibiting, under a penalty, the exportation of slaves from Malabar by sea, which was reached by Regulation II. of 1826, as unnecessary and inconsistent with the Act 51 Geo. 8, c. 23. The preamble of this regulation, we have also remarked, declares generally that the said Act " contains provisions for the punishment of the offsace of carrying away to remark the said Act " contains provisions for the punishment of the offsace of carrying away or removing from any country or place whatsoever, any person or persons as a slave or slaves, or for the purpose of being sold or dealt with as a slave or slaves;" but it is not followed by any enactment authorizing the district and provincial courts to give effect to them.

The course of legislation on the subject of slavery in the Bombay presidency, we have found it convenient to trace in the digest, and we have nothing to add to the relation there given.

١Ĭ 262.

.

OBSERVATIONS.

Past Legislation.

OBSERVATIONS.

ACCORDING to our plan, we should now present a view of what appear to us, generally, to be the distinguishing features of the slavery of this country, and point out the evils which belong to it, and the remedies required to remove or alleviate them.

But unfortunately we are not unanimous in our sentiments on these points; and we have expended so much time in the vain endeavour to reconcile our differences, that, to avoid further delay, we are induced to send up the sequel of our Report in a form which is unusual, and which is not that which we should have adopted had we foreseen what has occurred.

In the arrangements we made for the preparation of the Report, the portion which follows fell to one of our members, who is in the minority upon the subjects on which we differ. His draft, therefore, contains the views which, after much anxious discussion with their colleagues, appear to the minority just and correct.

Instead of re-casting this part of the Report so as to make it express the views of the majority, we prefer, at this late period, to send it up to government as it now stands, and to subjoin to it a statement of the points on which the majority dissent from Mr. Cameron and Mr. Millett, and of the recommendations which they deem it proper to offer on the points of difference.

We will now endeavour to point out the distinguishing features of Indian slavery, and the evils which belong to it. For this purpose, it should be compared with, and distinguished from, those conditions of the human race which in other countries have been called by the same name.

It may be distinguished by very remarkable differences from both of the well-known types of slavery; that is to say, the slavery of the ancients, and the modern slavery of the West Indies and of North America.

There have been two principal and steadily operating causes of those frightful abuses of power which have made the very name of slavery hateful.

The first of these causes seems to have operated more generally in modern than in ancient slavery, and more powerfully in the West Indies than in the southern states of North America.

The West Indian slave was, the American slave still is, part of his master's agricultural stock, bought or bred like a horse or an ox, for the purpose of pecuniary profit. As such, a slave has no motive but the fear of punishment to yield his assistance in the endeavour to extract a surplus produce from the soil; it is necessary, to the accomplishment of that object, that the fear of punishment should be kept in a state of great intensity by the actual application of it.

Hence have resulted occasional acts of dreadful cruelty, and generally a most undesirable relation between the class of employers and that of labourers; these acts of cruelty, it is true, have been the acts of only a few individuals, but the guilt of them has always in some degree been spread over the whole body of slave-owners, because that body, feeling that its pecuniary interests are at stake, has always been disposed to palliate offences against alaves, and to screen the offenders from punshment; the same cause has disposed the slave-owners to resist every legislative limitation of their power; they have looked upon every such limitation as tending to endanger the amount of their profits.

The motive then for becoming a slave-owner was pecuniary gain; motive for becoming a slave there was absolutely none at all; the African was brought into the condition by mere fraud or violence, or by a combination of both. It is true that the above description does not allogether apply to domestic slavery; but the object which gave rise to West Indian and American slavery was the desire of making fortunes, first by mining operations in the Spanish colonies, and afterwards by the extraction of a surplus produce from the soil in our own. Had it not been for that object the slavery of the west would never have come into existence. The domestic portion of it was a mere offset from the agricultural.

This is the first of the two causes above mentioned, and it seems to have acted with greater force in the British West Indies than in the United States; the reason of the difference appears to be, that the estates in the islands were generally managed by hired agents, those on the continent by the owners themselves; the agent comes from his own country to make a fortune and return with it; the owner is a country gentleman hving on his estate, and in him the desire of gain is comparatively weak.

In the ancient world this cause must have operated less generally, because so much larger a portion of the slave population was kept for purposes having no immediate connexion with pecuniary profit.

Dr. Taylor, in his Elements of the Civil Law, after mentioning some instances of the great number of slaves possessed by individuals, adds, " More surprising still is the account in Atheneus, that several private people in Rome had slaves to the number of 10,000 or 20,000 of their own, and some a greater number still; and to obviate an objectuon he was aware of, he subjoins that they were not stock in trade, but retained for state and luxury." In the agricultural and manufacturing enterprises, however, which were carried on among the ancients by means of slave labour, the constant motive to severity must have been in full operation.

а па ^с

The effect of this constant motive to severity on the part of the master has been aggravated, in these instances, by the want of motive to exertion on the part of the slave. With respect to the negro, it has been said that he is constitutionally idle. This may possibly be true; but we believe that the transatlantic negro would have been idle whatever might have been his constitutional tendency; for he never feit the stimulus of actual or apprehended want. As far as he could see, subsistence for himself and his family was always to be easily obtained from the soil, and there can have been no reason why he should ever have looked upon his status of slavery as something for the preservation of which it was worth his while to make priorily constituted. to make painful exertions.

To this feature of slavery, scarcely any resemblance can be perceived in the status which is here called by that name.

There are, it is true, in Malabar and in the Tamil country, a great number of slaves employed in agriculture; and there are a few so employed in other parts of India. But the object of the proprietor is, in the present state of society, to maintain himself, his family and his slaves by the produce of the land, not to accumulate a fortune out of the surplus. And in the greater part of Indua, it is not production at all which induces men of property to acquire and to retain a troop of slaves. They like to have slaves because they like to have hereditary domestics and dependents. The possession of them is a mark of affluence and station in society. A large retinue of slaves is part of the pomp with which the great men of the country delight to surround themselves. They have great reluctance to sell their slaves; the same sort of reluctance which a man has to sell his family estate. They often retain them after their maintenance has become a burthen. The name and form of slavery, too, is kept up after the substance has passed away. The master very seldom emancipates his slave, but rather desires him to seek his own livelihood, discharging him from all duty except that of attending upon occasions of ceremony.

Nor on the part of the slaves is there any general desire for freedom. On the contrary, the advantages, such as they are, which belong to the condition of a slave, seem to be too

highly appreciated by the servic classes. There is nothing, indeed, in the status called slavery in this country more remarkable or more characteristic than the fact, that it has so frequently a voluntary origin.

Mr. Bentham, in the chapter upon slavery in his "Principles of the Civil Code," makes the following observations, which are very just in themselves, and which will illustrate by contrast this portion of our subject :-

" It is absurd to reason on the happiness of men, otherwise than with a reference to their own desires and feelings. It is absurd to seek to prove by calculation, that a man ought to be happy when he finds himself miserable; and that a condition into which no one is willing to enter, and which every one desires to leave, is in itself a pleasant condition, and suited to human nature. I can easily believe that the difference between liberty and slavery is not so great as it appears to be to some ardent and prepossessed minds. Being accustomed to the evil, and, much more, never having experienced the better condition, the interval which separates these two conditions, which at first sight appear so opposed, is But all reasonings upon probabilities are superfluous, since we have greatly diminished. proofs of the fact, that this condition is never embraced from choice, but, on the contrary, that it is always an object of aversion."

Now, the condition which in India passes under the name of slavery is not always an object of aversion, and it is frequently embraced from choice. The people who sell themselves or their children into slavery may make a false calculation, they may act upon a mustaken view, but there is no question that they do act upon their own view of the interests of themselves or their children. It may be right to prohibit such transactions; but a law for that purpose must be supported by very different reasons from those which called for the abolition of the African slave trade. Such a measure would be much more analogous in its principle to the laws against usury, or the law which makes the contracts of a minor voidable, than to the statute abolishing the African trade. It would be a measure to protect people against the imprudence of themselves or their natural guardians, not against the fraud or violence of strangers.

The voluntary or quasi-voluntary origin of slavery, as defined by Hindoo law, stamps a character of mildness upon the institution.* Men do not give up their children and whole posterity,

[•] Sir W. Blackstone, giving an account of the various ways in which slavery might originate by the Roman law, has these words: "But, secondly, it is said that slavery may begin, *jure civit*, when one man acils him-selt to another." And, if the notion which this statement is calculated to convey were a correct one, it would, considering the extreme severity of Roman slavery, go far to invalidate the argument in the text. But the truth is, at least so far as we have been able to ascertain it from the books within our reach, that one man dd not, *jure civit*, become the slave of another in the performance of a contract of self-sale. Such a contract would have been of no effect.

and not pure true, becamin to construct the seven on hiberum, presudicium juri tuo aliquod comparasses; quanto "Neo ai rolens scriptisses, servum te esse, non hiberum, presjudicium juri tuo aliquod comparasses; quanto nunce mages quum cam computation dare compulsum te esse testars 8"---Od. 7, 16, 6. Aquin: "Liberos privatis pacts vel actu quocumque administrationes non posse mutatà conditione servos fieri, certi juris est."---Ib. 7, 16, 10. But the transaction which really did sometimes take place among the Romans, and which Blackstone alluded to in the above misleading expression, was a fraudulent and a very remarkable one. A freeman, representing himself as a slave, conspired with another who represented himself as the master, the object 260 A 3

posterity, still less do they give up themselves, to a condition of hopeless oppression. It is true that the origin of legal Mahomedan slavery is violent. The infidel taken in battle and his progeny are the only lawtul slaves, according to the law of the followers of Mahomed. But slavery derived from that origin is probably of very limited extent, if it exists at all. The slavery which has sprang up since the conquest among the Mussulmans of this country, is slavery originating in modes sanctioned by Hundoo law or by custom, but hot by Mahomedan law.

inclan law. It is true, also, that among the modes in which slavery may originate according to the Hindoo law, capturity in battle is one. But it is curious to observe that, even is thus, the only legal Hindoo origin of slavery which is tainted with violence, the formal consent of the conquered party was necessary to his becoming a slave. The captive of a Hindoo did not become *ipso facto* the slave of his conqueror, as in the Roman and Mahomedan law. "Jure gentum," say the Pandects after Marcian, "servi nostri sunt qui ab hostibus capiuntur." "In the opinion of the generality of lawyers," says the Mahomedan law officer cited by Mr. Macnaghten in his work on Mahomedan law, " mankind becomes a subject of property solve by reason of infidelity and residence in a hostile country, joined to the fact of sub-

solely by reason of infidelity and residence in a hostile country, joined to the fact of sub-

Jugation." The language of the Hindoo law is somewhat different. Menu having enumerated among his seven sorts of slaves, " one made captive under a standard," Jaganatha thus comments : "As in the chapter concerning Virat (in the Mahabaratta), Bhimasena thus bespoke a king, called Suscema, vanquished during the war which arose from the seizure of a cow on the south of Virat : Fool ! if thou desirest life, hear from me the conditions ; thou must declare before a select assembly and before the multitude—I am a slave. On these terms will I grant these hie; this is a settled rule for him who is conquered m battle." "Consequently," A grant the first such section rule for him who is conjusted in patter. Consequency, the commentator continues, "since Bheema requires his declared acquiescence, one who agrees to it becomes a slave; hot every person conquered in battle." The difference no doubt is little more than a formal one, since few would refuse to declare their acquiescence under such circumstances. Yet that the law should require the formality

there acquiescence under such circumstances. For that the law should require the formality of an express consent in a transaction substantially based on violence, appears to us to illustrate strongly the Hindoo notion of slavery. The right of the master in thus case depends upon consent under duress (not an illegal duress however), but still upon consent as its efficient cause. In all the other modes in which free persons become slaves according to strict Hindoo law, the night of the master springs from the consent without duress of the slave

It is the common opinion that the parent, in selling his child, is generally seeking what he is good faith supposes to be its interest. In the third volume of Harington's Analysis a famine or a dearth," he says, " parents have been known to sell their children for prices " During so very inconsiderable, and little more than nominal, that they may in frequent instances have aredit for a better motive than that of momentarily relieving their own necessities, namely, the saving of their children's lives by interesting in their preservation persons able to provide nourishment for them. The same feeling is often the motive for selling children when particular eircumstances of distress, instead of a general dearth, disable the parent from supporting them. There is no reason to believe that they are ever sold from mere avarice and want of natural affection in the parent; the known character of the people, and proved disposition in all the domestic relations, must exempt them from the suspicion of such conduct; but the pressure of want alone compels the sale, whether the immediate im-pulse be consideration for the child, or desire of personal relief." That the condition is not an object of aversion has been very lately shown by the remark-

able experiment of emancipating the slaves who came into the possession of our government upon the deposition of the rajah of Coorg. We have given an account of this experiment in a former part of this Report (p. 149, et seq.). It has there been shown, that of 1,115 shares who were emancipated by government, a great majority have re-entered the service of their former masters, or have attached themselves to ryots as domestic servants; that they are maintained very nearly if not precisely on the same footing as they formerly were ; that they live with the slaves of the establishment to which they belong, are allowed the same rations, and are required to work the same number of hours; that some have stipulated for a payment in money of from two to four rupees a year instead of clothing, but that the greater number receive the same allowances, and are otherwise treated exactly as if they continued slaves; that it is said that many have destroyed their certificates of freedom, and have bound themselves to continue for life in the service of their masters on condition of being maintained, as slaves are, in their old age, or when unable to work from illness; and that others have done the same in order to procure the means of getting married, or to obtain the consent of masters to their marrying female slaves of their establishment.

We

being to defraud the purchaser, and divide the price between them. To punish and repress this kind of fraud, it was provided, by a Senatus Consultum, that the person pretending to be a slave should really become one. The kind of sale in queestion is thus shortly described in the institutes as an instance of the modes in which a man might become a slave *juse cost*: " Cam liker home major vignati annis ad pretium participuadum sees venundari passus est."—Inst. 1, 3, 3. Heinocitons, in his Antiquitus of the Roman Law, expresses himself as follows: " Ast his mires frauder. Sublease enum addressentes mals feriati scipes also vendendos dave, pretin participandi causa, as de mede pro-clamare ad libertation. Quare S. Co. demune cautum est ut qui essent majorsance, seque pretin partici-pandi causa, venandais divisent, in cervitate manereut."—Vol. 9. 90. Bee the same wrater in his Hecitationes, 56, and Vianasa in his Commentary on the Institutes, 23.

191

Observations.

We believe, then, that there is a motive operating extensively upon the lower classes in India, inducing them to enter into the condition of slavery, and to continue in it from choice; and in this respect the slavery of India is much more analogous to pauperism than to the slavery of the ancients or of the western world. In one respect it is a less permicious institution than pauperism had become in England before the late reform. Before that reform, the pauper set a high value upon his *status*, but he had a legal right to it of which nothing could divest him. The parish could not, like the slave-owner, cast off its burthen by an act of emancipation. The pauper, therefore, did not exert himself for the sake of earning the good-will of the parochial authorities, as the Indian slave does for the sake of earning the good-will of his master. Again, the parish could scarcely ever be reduced to absolute run, and even if it were, the paupers, by rates in aid, might be quartered upon other more fortunate parishes. The paupers, by rates in aid, might be quartered upon other more fortunate parishes. The pauper, therefore, did not exert himself to keep his parish in a flourishing condition. But the Indian slave, knowing that his master's income, which is the fund he looks to for the support of himself and his family, is dependent upon the indicating of himself and his fallows and knowing that there is no article is and the support the industry of himself and his fellows, and knowing that there is no rate in aid to supply the deficiencies of that fund, may be expected to labour, and it is said really does labour, like one interested in the result,

The moderate demand, then, which the master makes upon the sweat of his slave's brow, and the interest which the slave feels in complying with that moderate demand, exclude the necessity of the cart-whip, and no such instrument is ever carried into the fields to remind the slaves why it is worth their while to work.

The other steadily operating cause of oppression is fear of the slaves. The Romans felt very intensely the dread of a servile war : " Totidem esse hostes quot servos," is an expression of Seneca; and much of the treatment of their slaves corresponded with that view of the case.

But in the slave-holding states of North America there is not only the dread of a service insurrection, but the dread of a civil war of the most ferocious kind, if slavery should be abolished.

The chapter in M. de Tocqueville's excellent work on Democracy in America, entitled, "Position occupied by the Black Race; dangers with which its presence threatens the Whites," is very instructive upon this subject. "We must carefully distinguish," he eavy, "two things; slavery in itself, and its con-

sequences." "The immediate evils produced by slavery were nearly the same among the ancients as they are among the moderns; but the consequences of these evils were different. Among the ancients, the slave belonged to the same race as his master, and was often better educated and more enlightened. Nothing but liberty separated them; liberty being gives, they readily mixed together." "The ancients, then, had a very simple mode of delivering themselves from slavery and

its consequences; this mode was emancipation, and as soon as they made a general use of it they succeeded." . "It is true, that in antiquity the traces of servitude subsisted for a time after servitude

was destroyed." "There is a natural prejudice which induces a man to despise one who has been his

inferior for a long time even after he has become his equal; to the real inequality produced by fortune or by law, there succeeds always an imaginary inequality which has its root in manners; but among the ancients this secondary effect of slavery had a limit; the enfranchised slave so strongly resembled men of free origin, that it soon became impossible

to distinguish him in the midst of them." "What was most difficult with the ancients was to modify the law; with the moderns, it is to change the manners, and the real difficulty for us begins where it ended in ancient times."

"The cause of this is, that among the moderns the immaterial and fugitive fact of slavery is combined in the most unfortunate manner with the material and permanent fact of difference of race. The remembrance of slavery dishonours the race, and the race perpetuates the

remembrance of slavery." "No African has come freely to the shores of the New World; whence it follows, that all who are now there are either slaves or freedmen. Thus the negro, together with existence, the descendants the external sign of his ignominy. The law can destroy

servitude; but only God can cause the trace of it to disappear." "The modern slave differs from his master not only by liberty but by origin. You may set the negro free; but you can never place him, in relation to the European, in any other position than that of a stranger."---Vol. 2, pp. 349-50.

M. de Tocqueville then goes on to show, that in those states of the union which have $\sqrt{}$ abolished slavery, the negro is, through the hostility of the white population, excluded practically from the privileges to which he is entitled by law. He thinks that the white and black races can never form one people, and he informs us that this is also the opinion of the whites in the slave states,

To the sophinic of the whites, and to the feelings which accompany it, is to be attributed, according to M. de Tocqueville, the miserably debased condition of their slaves. "The legislation of the states of the south in respect to the slaves, presents, in our days,

an unheard-of species of atrocity, which of itself makes manifest some profound disturbance in the laws of humanity. It is sufficient to read the legislation of the southern states in order to judge of the hopeless position of the two races who inhabit them." 262.

....

"It is not that the Americans of this part of the union have, strictly speaking, increased the rigour of servitude; on the centrary, they have mitigated the material condition of the slaves. The ancients knew no other resource for the maintenance of slavery than chains and death; the Americans of the south of the union have discovered more intellectual guarantees for the continuance of their power. They have, if I may so express myself, spiritualized despotism and violence. In ancient times, the object was to prevent the slave from breaking his chains; in our days, they have undertaken to eradicate from his usind the desire of doing so.

"The ancients fettered the body of the slave, but they left his mind free, and remitted him to instruct himself. In this they were consistent with themselves; there will then a natural outlet from servitude. The slave might any day become free, and the equal of his master."

"The Americans of the south, thinking that the negroes can never form one people with themselves, have forbidden, under severe penalties, that they should be taught to read and write. Being determined not to raise them to their own level, they keep them as nearly as possible in the condition of the brutes."*---Vol. 2, pp. 389-90.

The cause which has produced this frightful state of things has absolutely no existence in this country. It may be safely said, that no Indian master ever harboured a fear that his slaves would assert their liberty by force, or that, if they were emancipated, they would form a hostile community in the midst of their former masters, prepared to contest with them the possession of the country.

It is true, indeed, that the Indian slaves are in a state of profound ignorance; but their ignorance belongs to them, not as slaves, but as poor men, and as men of low caste. They are no otherwise excluded from the acquisition of knowledge than the free people who are engaged in servile occupations.

5 In respect of marriage, there is a marked superiority in the condition of the slave of this country over both the West Indian and the Roman slave. He does not live, as the former did, until the public opinion of the mother country produced a reform, in a state of mere concubinage; nor is his union with the other sex contemptuously distinguished by name from that which takes place among free people, like the contubernum of the Roman law. He is married, as all our witnesses agree, with the same rites as a freeman. So far, indeed, is there from being any disability in a slave to be married with as much ceremony as any other man, that one motive which induces free persons to sell themselves into slavery is that they may be enabled to marry. This is not because the people are provident enough to think much about their means of maintaining a family, but because it is the custom among all ranks m this country to expend, upon the occasion of a marriage, a sum which, according to European ideas, is very disproportioned to their means. This expense, in the case of the marriage of slaves, is always paid by the master, who, as our witnesses state, considers him-self as much bound to provide suitably for the marriage of his slaves as of his children.

Another topic very illustrative of the distinction to which we are directing attention, is the admissibility of slave evidence.

By the Roman law, it seems that the testimony of a slave was only to be received when no other means of discovering the trath were available. "Servi responso tunc credendum est quum alia probatio ad eruendam veritatem non est."-Dig.xxii.; De Testibus, vii. And that it was not to be received without the supposed safeguard of torture. "Si ez rei conditio sit ati Arenarium testem vel similem personam admittere cogamur, sine tormentis testimonio ejus credendum non est."—Dig. xxii. 5; De Testibus, xxi., sec. 2. In the West Indies, it was thought necessary for the protection of the master that the

evidence of the slave should not be received against him.

We have not the means of knowing all the different restrictions which the jealousy of West Indian masters imposed upon the admission of slave testimony; but we find in a printed official letter from the commissioners of correspondence of the Bahama Islands to George Chalmers, Esq., colonial agent, a statement of the effect which, in the opinion of those commissioners, would have resulted from admitting slaves as witnesses in courts of index commissioners, would nave resulted non admitting staves as which see in courts of justice against their owners; and this statement, coming from persons who were themselves slave-holders, and from a colony in which slavery was generally thought to bear a peculiarly mild character, is perhaps more illustrative of the unhappy opposition of interests which resulted from that institution as it existed in our western possessions, than any account of the prime proceeding clove which are to relate the opposition of processions. various laws respecting slave evidence to which that opposition gave rise.

various laws respecting slave evidence to which that opposition gave rise. This letter was written in the year 1823, when the West Indians were apprehending some great measure in favour of their slaves, partly in consequence of a speech made by Mr. Wilberforce in Parliament, and of a pamphlet published by him. After having remarked that Mr. Wilberforce " talks, but not always very intelligibly, of the want of an executory principle in all our laws for the benefit of the slaves," the commissioners say, " Seriously, then, if by this same executory principle is meant, that, in order to give effect to the laws in question, slaves are to be admitted as witnesses in courts of justice against their owners, we have only to say, that by such a measure the colonies would very soon cease to require any laws to regulate the relations of master and servant. In less than 12 months there would be no slavery to ameliorate, not a single slave to enfranchise, within the range of the West Indues." Indies."

Afterwards,

^{*} In the West Indies, also, there was much unwillingness to educate the slaves; but measures for that purpose were forced on them, like the emancipation itself, by the mother country.

Afterwards, they observe, "that setting aside all objections on the score of religion, there are motives of necessary policy quite sufficient in the West Indies to prevent the life or property of a white man from being at any time jeopardized at will by the information or evidence of a slave."

Further on the commissioners say, "There is a peculiarity in that state of society in which slavery forms an essential ingredient, which renders it impossible to put the master in any manner legitimately at the mercy of his servants, without shivering one main link in the chain of subordination, on which altogether depends the integrity of the social bond."

Now, the change which these West India gentlemen represented as equivalent to the abolition of slavery, and destructive of "the integrity of the social bond," has taken place here, not only without the slightest disturbance of social order, but without the slightest apprehension of any such disturbance.

The Mahomedan law excludes the evidence of slaves where freemen are concerned. The Hindoo law excludes it unless better cannot be obtained; but in our courts, both civil and criminal, the evidence of a slave is received exactly as if he were a freeman.

The constant oppression, then, which resulted from slavery in the ancient world, in the West Indies, and in the United States of America, is little known in India. It may even be said, that slavery here, so long as it is confined within its legal limits, or within the limits which custom, sanctioned by public opinion, has assigned to it, produces nothing which is felt as oppressive by the abject spirit of the servile classes; and that nearly all the evils (some of them of great magnitude) which have their origin in the existence of slavery, are illegal and abusive acts perpetrated under colour of doing those things which the status of slavery permits; if these abuses cannot be prevented otherwise than by abolishing slavery, we should say slavery must be abolished; but if means can be found for putting a stop to these abuses, and at the same time leaving untouched the lawful and nearly innocuous status of slavery, then we should recommend the adoption of those means rather than the sudden annihilation of an institution which, though it bear an odious name, and could not exist where there is a high-spirited lower class, is really congenial to the habits and feelings both of masters and slaves, and which will decay and perish of itself whenever it ceases to be so.'

Before, however, we proceed to point out the mischiefs which arise out of slavery as it actually exists, we wish to call the attention of government to the probability under existing circumstances of a different class of mischiefs springing up. For although the hardships and \checkmark oppressions endured by the West Indian and American slaves have, generally speaking, no existence in India, it appears to us, that now the country is open to the enterprise of European capitalists, if they are permitted to purchase and hold slaves, a system may gradually grow up not very dissimilar from that, the abolition of which has cost the mother country so much money and so much trouble in the other hemisphere.

A set of white masters working gange of black slaves for profit is an object which, after looking through the history of slavery in the west, we cannot contemplate without the strongest repugnance; and in proportion to that feeling is the earnestness with which we would urge the government to prevent, by timely interposition, the possibility of such an object being realized.

Mr. MacOulloch, a high authority upon all economical questions, seems to think, that the apprehension of such slavery in this country is visionary. In an elaborate essay in the Edinburgh Review, upon the value of colonial possessions, he thus expresses himself: "It is said, however, that slavery exists in Hindoostan as well as in Janaica, and that by reducing the duties on East India sugar, and facilitating its cultivation by allowing Europeans to purchase and farm land, we should not get rid of the evil of slavery, but would be merely substituting the produce of one species of slave labour for another. Now, admitting for a moment that this statement is well founded, still it is certain, from the cheapness of free labour in Hindoostan, that no slaves ever have been, or ever can be, imported into that country; and hence it is obvious, that by substituting the sugars of the east for those of the west, we should neither add to the number, nor deteriorate the condition of the existing slave population in our dominions."

"But it is much worse than idle to pretend to say, that East India sugar should not be imported because it is raised by slaves, as well as that which is imported from our colonies in the West Indies; there is in fact no room for a comparison between the state of the slaves in Hindoostan and Jamaica; the former may justly be said to be freemen when compared with the latter. Our readers are already sufficiently acquainted with the condition of the slaves in the West Indies; and the following extract from a work of Sir (Mr.) Henry Colebrooke, one of the ablest of the East India Company's servants, will serve to make them acquainted with the condition of the slaves of Hindoostan. ('Slavery,' says Sir Henry, 'is not unknown in Bengal; throughout some districts the labours of husbandry are executed chiefly by bond servants. In certain districts the ploughmen are mostly slaves of the peasants for whom they labour; but treated by their masters more like hereditary servants, or like emancipated hinds, than like purchased slaves; they labour with cheerful diligence and unforced zeal. In some places, also, the landholders have a claim to the servitude of thousands among the inhabitants of their estates; this claim, which is seldom enforced, and which in many cases is become quite obsolete, is founded on some traditional rights acquired many generations ago, in a state of society different from the present; and slaves of this description do in fact enjoy every privilege of a freeman except the name, or at most they must be considered as villeins attached to the glebe, rather than as bondsmen labouring for the sole benefit of their owners; indeed, throughout India, the relation of master and slave. a52. B

Observations.

194 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Observations.

J.

appears to impose the duty of protection and cherishment on the master, as much as that of fidelity and obedience on the slave; and their mutual conduct is consistent with the sense of such an obligation, since it is marked with gentleness and indulgence on the one side, and with zeal and loyalty on the other '. Those (continues Mr. MacCulloch) who can find any thing in this description similar to the condition of the slaves in the West Indies, or who can found on it any argument against allowing East India sugar to be imported on the same terms as that of our western possessions, must certainly be endowed with very peculiar means of perception, and very extraordinary logical powers."

found on it any argument against allowing East India sugar to be imported on the same terms as that of our western possessions, must certanily be endowed with very peculiar means of perception, and very extraordinary logical powers." But it surely does not follow, because a form of slavery very different from the West Indian form has resulted in this country from causes very different from those which have been in operation in the West Indias,—it surely does not follow, that if we permit the introduction of similar causes, we are not to expect similar effects.

The Hindoo or Mussulman slave under his Hindoo or Mussulman master may perhaps be said, without too violent a figure, to be a freeman, as compared with a negro on the sugar plantation of a European master; but place the Hindoo or Mussulman slave on the sugar plantation of a European master, and we do not see what security he would have for the continuance of that comparative freedom.

There is in Malabar an English gentleman cultivating a pepper plantation with a gang of slaves; he may be a very humane man, as there were very humane men among the planters of Jamarca and Demerara,—indeed we have evidence that Mr. Brown (for that is his name) has used every exertion for the improvement of his slaves,—but it seems clear to us, that if there should ever be in India a great number of European capitalists cultivating the soil or carrying on a manufacture with slaves, we may look for a repetition of those scenes which have disgraced our western colonies. We do not forget that an oppressed slave would have greater facilities of escape from a European than from a native master, on account of the sympathy of the surrounding population; and of course, as far as this goes, it would present a motive to the master to abstain from cruelty; this motive would not, however, we think be sufficient to counteract those of an opposite tendency, and its force would become weaker and weaker as the number of European slave-owners increased.

We think, therefore, notwithstanding what has been said by Mr. MacCulloch, that the condition of the existing slave population would be deteriorated by suffering them to pass under the dominion of European masters.* For these reasons we shull recommend, in the proper place, that it shall be made unlawful for any person not of oriental race to purchase or hold a slave.

It may be said, perhaps, that by the law as it now stands, Europeans are disabled from purchasing or holding slaves. Some obscurity hangs over this subject, which cannot, we fear, be dispelled, if at all, without a long legal disquisition.

This question depends partly upon the more general question, What is the *lex loci* of British India? That is to say (speaking practically), What is the law to be administered to all persons who are neither Hindoos nor Mahomedans? We have investigated that question to the best of our ability, in a report upon the petition of the East Indians, sent up to government on the 31st of October last, to which we here beg leave to refer. It will there be seen, that there is some ground for arguing that, according to the spirit of the principles which have been laid down by English jurists, as soon as any place in India became a British factory. English law became the *lex loci* of the British crown, English law became the *lex loci* of that as soon as any portion of Indian territory became the dominion of the British crown, English law became the *lex loci* of any different with the letter of some of the leading propositions in which English jurists have embodied their principles; for, according to the letter of those propositions, the Mahomedan or the Hundoo law would be the *lex loci* of any given portion of British India, according into our possession. It may perhaps be thought that, as the Mofusil courts decide according to equity and good conscience in all cases where the parties are not Hundoes or Mahomedana, they will not recognize the right of any other person to hold a slave because it is contrary to equity and good conscience. But that is very questionable doctrine. If the law authorize a European to hold a slave, the say that the doing so is against equity and good conscience. A court of equity may say, that a particular exercise of a legal right is unconscientious, but it can never be permitted to say that the right itself, and consequently every exercise of it, is unconscientious.

But even if we assume upon the present occasion, for the sake of argument, that English law is the *lex loci* of Brunch India, there will still remain a question which is not quite free from difficulty; viz. how far a person living under English law is prevented by that law from having any right to the services of a slave.

The generally received opinion, no doubt, is, that a person living under English law cannot be the owner of a slave. But if we put to sleep for the moment our benevolent feelings, and examine the question as a mere dry question of law, the generally received opinion seems not to rest upon any very solid foundation.

Belore

It is curious to observe, that the landable object of procuring an equalization of the duties on angar seems, in some degree, to have coloured the opinions of those who have treated of Induan alavery: in a paper, art. 3 of No. IX. of the quarterly sections of the Frand of Indus, it is broadly laid down, that " Slavery is now enturyly prohluticed by the British Government here as really as in Britan itself;" "that in consequence of thus, whatever of this nature exists at present, is conducted wholly in secret, like all other acts of injustice, sobbery and iniquity;" and that " no native dares openly avow here that he holds a fellow-creature in slavery."

Before the decision in the case of the negro, Somersett (State Trials, vol. xx. p. 1), the general understanding was, that an Englishman might hold a slave any where. Lord Talbot and Lord Hardwicke, when attorney and solicitor-general, gave it as their opinion, that a slave coming from the West Indies, either with or without his master, doth not become free, and that his master's property or right in him is not thereby determined or varied; and they were also of opinion that the master might legally compel him to return to the plantations. And this opinion was recognized by Lord Hardwicke, sitting as Chancellor, on the 19th October 1749. "This judgment," says Lord Stowell, in the case of the slave, Grace (2 Haggard, 105), "so pronounced in full confidence, and, without a doubt, upon a practice which had endured universally in the colonies, and (as appears by those opinions) in Great Britain, was, in no more than 22 years afterwards, reversed by Lord Mansfield, The personal traffic in slaves resident in London as in any of our West India alands. They were sold on the Exchange, and other places of public resort, by parties themselves resident in London, and with as hitle reserve as they would have been in any of our West India possessions. Such a state of things continued without impeachment from a very early period up to nearly the end of the last century."

It appears that, at the time when Somersett's case was decided, viz. in 1771, there were as many as 14,000 slaves in London. Lord Stowell calls Lord Mansfield's doctrine "his new doctrine;" and there is no doubt, we think, that Somersett's case was really, de facto, introductory of new law. Of course, however, it must, de jure, be taken to have been merely a declaration of the old law. But the whole effect of it is, that no man can in England exercise over another the rights with which the status of slavery invests the master over the slave.

Lord Mansfield thus expresses himself: "The return states, that the slave departed and refused to serve, whereupon he was kept to be sold abroad. So high an act of dominion must be recognized by the law of the country where it is used. The power of a master over his slave has been extremely different in different countries. The state of slavery is of such a nature that it is incapable of being introduced on any reasons, moral or political, but only by positive law, which preserves its force long after the reasons, occasion and time itself from whence it was created are erased from memory. It is so odious that nothing can be suffered to support it but positive law. Whatever inconvenience therefore may follow from the decision, I cannot say this case is allowed or approved by the law of England, and therefore the black must be discharged."

Some of these expressions do appear to go further than what we have stated to be the effect of the decision. But we have Lord Stowell's authority for our statement, fortified by that of the Scotch judges who decided a similar case in 1778. Lord Stowell says, "The Scotch judges have well expressed their opinions of the extent of the judgment of Lord Mansfield, in the case of Knight v. Wedderburn, in 1778, a case argued with great ability, in which they determined the extent of this judgment to be, that the dominion assumed over the negroes by the law of Jamaica could not be supported in this country." And he afterwards says, "All that the judges, in the different cases I have adverted to, have determined, is, that slaves coming into England are free there, and that they cannot be sent out of the country by any process to be there executed." p. 116. Moreover in another place, p. 107, Lord Stowell shows, that what Lord Mansfield says about the necessity of positive law for the mtroduction of slavery amounts to very little, when it is understood that custom is one of the grounds of positive law. "Far from me be the presumption," he says," of questioning any obiter dictum that fell from that great man upon that occasion; but I trust that I do not depart from the modesty that belongs to my situation, and I hope to my character, when I observe, that ancient custom is generally recognized as a just foundation of all law; that villeinage of both kinds, which is said by some to be the prototype of slavery, had no other origin than ancient custom; that a great part of the common law itself, in all its relations, has little other foundation than the same custom; and that the practice of slavery, as it exists in Antigua and several other of our colonies, though regulated by law, has been in many instances founded upon a similar authority."

Now, it is this instance of Antigua, and of other colonies similarly situated, which seems to us to furnish direct authority, and that of the highest kind, against the generally received opinion. The authority we mean is that of the British Legislature sanctioning, both by tacit sufferance and by express recognition (not by enactment), the legality of the slavery of those colonies.

of those colonies. The law of Antigua is the common law of England, so far as it stands unaltered by any written law of that island. The slavery of Antigua, Lord Stowell says, "never was the creature of law, but of that custom which operates with the force of law." It is a most important circumstance that this slavery was not created either by imperial or local legislation, but grew up by the side of the English common law, and that it grew up legally cannot be denied, for it is affirmed by Acts of Parliament. In the West Indies, then, Englishme lawfully held slaves having themeslaves introduced

In the West Indies, then, Englishmen lawfully held slaves, having themselves introduced the status of slavery into countries where English law was the *lex loci*, and where no other law existed at all. How then can it be contended, that in the East Indies, where Englishmen found the status of slavery already existing, they may not hold slaves because English law is the *lex loci*?

It was not illegal till it was made so by statute, for an Englishman hving under English law to buy a slave from a Mussulman in Africa, carry him to an English colony, where English law, and that only, was established, and keep him there in slavery; a fortiori, it cannot be illegal (seeing that no Act of Parliament or of the local legislature has yet made z62.

Observations.

it so) for an Englishman to buy a slave from a Mussulman in India, and keep him as a slave in that country where he has always been one.

There are two cases in which the dicts of the judges carry the law in favour of liberty further than it is carried by the cases of Somersett, and of Knight v. Wedderburn. It is to be observed, that the dicta we allude to were not necessary to the decision of the point before the court in either of those cases. Though in the latter case it is true, that the very profound lawyer, whose judgment we shall quote, chose to rest his decision upon the broad ground, which, as we have said, extends beyond the cases of Somersett and of Knight v. Wedderburn.

The first of the two cases is that of Williams v. Brown, S Bos. and Pull. 69, and Mr. Justice Rooke there says, speaking of the defendant, who was a slave in Granada, but who had made a contract in England, "though he might enter into a contract to go to any other place but to Granada, yet he could not engage to go there without danger of being detained," which expression certainly implies, that the slavery of the party could be enforced detained." nowhere but within the island of Granada.

The second case is that of Forbes v. Cochrane, 2 Barn. and Cress. 448. Mr. Justice Holroyd there says, "Put the case of an uninhabited island discovered and colonized by subjects of this country; the inhabitants would be protected and governed by the laws of this country. In the case of a conquered country, indeed, the old laws would prevail until altered by the King in Council; but in the case of the newly-discovered country, freedom would be as much the inheritance of the inhabitants and their children as if they were treading on the soil of England. Now, suppose a person who had been a slave in one of our West India settlements escaped to such a country, he would thereby become as much a freeman as if he had come into England."

If this be so, the first negroes who were carried from Africa to Antigua, St. Christopher's or Barbadoes, must have become freemen, for no statute had made them slaves. But it is or baroacoes, must have become increment, for no statute had made them slaves. But it is notorious that they did not become freemen, and that the legality of their slavery has been recognized by the British Legislature. Their slavery was not indeed created by the common law of England. But it was thought to be perfectly compatible with the common law of England, which was the law of those colonies whither they were carried.

England, which was the law of those colonies whither they were carried. In 1689, ten judges, with Lord Chief Justice Holt at their head, humbly certified their opinions to be, "that negroes are merchandize," and consequently that it was against the Navigation Act to give libertly to any alien to export them from his Majesty's plantations. It appears from Stoke's view of the Constitution of the British Colonies, that even after the colonies had got legislatures, and had made local laws for the regulation of property in slaves, still negroes, on their first arrival from Guinea, and as long as they remained in what was called the Guinea Yard, were not considered to be under the law of the particular colony in which they were landed, but were "absolutely personal estate," whatever might be the law of the particular colony in that respect. It was not till they were sold and taken out of the Guinea Yard that they became subject to the *lex loci*, and were considered as real, or personal, or mixed estate, according to the provisions of that law, whatever they real, or personal, or mixed estate, according to the provisions of that law, whatever they might be. (p. 417.)

We think, then, that these dicta of Mr. Justice Rooke and Mr. Justice Holroyd go beyond the law in favour of freedom. But even assuming that they are to be taken as law, they still will not reach the case of British India.

It will conduce to a clear understanding of the subject, to distinguish between the disability of the person claiming to hold a slave, and the privilege of the person claimed as a slave. As it is admitted that there are persons in British India whose status is slavery, the question, where one of these persons is concerned, must be, whether the person who claims him is disabled from having a slave. Now, it will be observed, that every one of the cases which are authorities in favour of

freedom, turn upon the privilege of the person claimed as a slave. Somersett's case and the case of Knight v. Wedderburn show, that a slave is privileged in England and Scotland. The dicta in the cases of Williams v. Brown, and Forbes v. Cochrane, supposing them to be law, show, that a slave coming into any country where there is no law but English law, is English law may not become the owner of a slave in a country where there are persons whose lawful status is slavery

The common law still permits a man to be the owner of a villein in England, only there are no villems to be found. The common law permitted a man to be the owner of a slave in Antigua and Barbadoes till the statute law made all the slaves there free. Why will not the common law permit a man to be the owner of a slave in British India, where no statute has made the slaves free ?

The question has never been decided, as far as we are informed, in any of the supreme courts.

The chief justice, Sir Edward Ryan, has been so obliging as to cause a search to be inade through the notes of cases which have been made by different judges of the supreme court at this presidency, and the only case in which the question has been raised occurred in 1796.

" 1796."

"The case was an indictment against a British subject and a Mussulman woman, for

assaulting, wounding, and false imprisonment of two slave-girls." "Mr. Strettell, coursel for the British subject, contends, that by the Mahomedan law slavery is permitted, and severe punishment of the slaves only short of life and limb; that whether

197

. 4

whether this country belongs to us by treaty or by conquest, the former law remains in force excepting as to those parts in which it has been expressly altered by authority of the King" of the British Parliament; and with respect to this subject; it has not been so altered in the provinces at large,* whatever may be the law in Calcutta."

" (N.B. Lord Cornwallis's proclamation as to slaves, and the regulations of the Adawluts.")

5 **#** " Mr. Macnaghten, 2d counsel for the British subject."

"Supposing slavery still to exist here under the Mussulman law, Mr. H. cannot, be punished. This is now under the dominion of Great Britam, m which Acts of Parliament have given countenance to slavery.

"It follows, that slavery is not necessarily abolished by this country; having come into the hands of Great Britain." 1. 1. 1. 1. 1

" A reference is made to the 21st Geo. 3, cap. 70, sec. 18." 11

• • • • * * " Mr. Shaw, counsel for the prosecution, in reply."

" As to the Mussulman law, the court will inform you, that Mr. H. is only subject to the law of England ; and the woman, if subject to the jurisdiction, is also subject to the law of England, at least as to this fact."

The note contains no expression of any opinion by the court upon the point of law. But both the defendants were found guilty and sentenced.

As Sir William Jones has adverted to the subject in his charge to the grand jury in 1785,

it will be proper to quote what he says. "It is needless to expatiate on the law (if it be law) of private slavery; but I make no scruple to declare my own opinion, that absolute unconditional slavery, by which one human . creature becomes the property of another, like a horse or an ox, is happily unknown to the laws of England, and that no human law could give it a just sanction ; yet, though I hate the word, the continuance of it, properly explained, can produce little mischief. I consider slaves as servants under a contract, express or implied, and made either by themselves or by such persons as are authorized by nature or law to contract for them, until they attain a due, age to cancel or confirm any compact that may be disadvantageous to them. I have slaves whom I rescued from death or misery, but consider them as other servants; and shall certainly tell them so when they are old enough to comprehend the difference of the terms. Slaves, then, if so we must call them, ought not to be treated more severely than servants by the year or by the month, and the correction of them should ever be proportioned to their offence; that it should never be wanton or unjust, all must agree."

The sum of this seems to be, that, in Sir William Jones's opinion, the English law does not admit of one man having a property in another, but does admit of one man having, by contract, a right to the perpetual services of another, and of his exacting them by moderate correction. Much legal weight, however, cannot be attached to these observations, notwith-standing the eminence of their author. They were made (being part of a charge to the grand jury) upon a question which had not been argued, and were not even called for by any case in the calendar. He was calling the attention of the grand jury to the oppressions exercised upon slaves in general in Calcutta, and so took occasion to give his opinion upon the law. He does not argue the question at all himself, nor cite any authorities.

In the year 1813, the right of a British subject to hold a slave in India was discussed by Mr. Anstruther, then advocate-general at Madras.

The question seems to have attracted the attention of the Madras government, in consequence of certain disputes between Mr. Baber, at that time magistrate of North Malabar, and Mr. Brown, the father of the gentleman to whom we have already alluded as the owner de facto of a considerable number of slaves.

The advocate-general, after speaking of a supposed complaint against a Mahomedan master, thus proceeds: "But if a similar complaint were preferred against Mr. Brown for violence against his slaves in Malabar, I am confident that he could not justify it. But the civil right to the perpetual service of the persons held by him in slavery may possibly be distinguished from the right of punishment of them as slaves, and I think the question of right may be well tried, and ought to be tried in that shape. If any one of the persons now working upon the estate of Mr. Brown as slaves, be advised to instruct the attorney for paupers to bring an action against Mr. Brown for false imprisonment, in detaining him upon his plantation, the admission of the fact by Mr. Brown will bring before the court the simple question of the capacity of a British subject to have a slave in India. I by no means wish to be understood to say that it is a clear point, but I think it very proper to be settled."

" From the importance of the point being settled, I should also propose that, by mutual consent, whatever might be the decision, it should be carried before the King in Council, as otherwise different decisions might be given at the different presidencies, and the question be set afloat, instead of being finally settled."

> · The crimes were committed in the Mofussil. BBS

262.

It.

It does not appear that this course was ever adopted. We also thus that the point is very proper to be settled. But the legislature is, in our opinion, the most proper organ for settling doubtful law, and the only proper organ for correcting unjust law.

Indeed, from what follows, the advocate-general seems to have recommended that the question should be brought before the supreme court at Madras, and ulimately before the King in Council, principally because there was at that time no legislature in the country which was competent to bind the supreme courts.

For he goes on to say: "Supposing it to be ancertained, by the highest judicial authority, that British subjects can have property in slaves in India, it remains to be considered whether the law ought to be left in that state; or, rather, if the Government shall think it ought not, a regulation of Government may, as to the provinces, remove the necessity of any trial or inquiry as to what is now the law on the subject, by prohibiting the practice in future.

When we compare the ease with which the mischief we have been adverting to may be prevented from taking root, with the difficulty of eradicating it at a future period, we are prevented from taking root, with the difficulty of eradicating it at a future period, we are disposed very strongly to recommend the enactment of a law to accomplish the former object. Such a measure appears to be wholly free from objection. It will interfere with no existing property. There is, we believe, only one European slave-holder in British India, and his rights, whatever they may be, will not be affected by the new law. And short of the entire aboliton of slavery, there is perhaps no measure by which the British Govern-ment can so strongly mark to its native subjects the dislike with which it regards this insti-fution, as one which prevents the whole European race from taking any part in it.

Perhaps it may be thought that, as we recommend in the sequel the entire abolition of the master's power to punish his slave, the cruelty of which we have been expressing our apprehension, will, by that measure, be as completely prevented as it can be by law, and therefore that our present recommendation becomes superfluous if our subsequent one is adopted. But whoever pays close attention to the nature of the two proposed laws will perceive, that although either of them would produce the desired effect, if complete obedience could be ensured, the chances of ensuring complete obedience are very different in the two cases.

In a country so vast as this, and so inadequately supplied with courts of justice, slaves will be beaten by their masters in spite of legislative prohibitions. But capital will not be invested by Europeans in the purchase of slaves, when the legislature has declared that no legal right shall arise out of such purchase.

It is proper to observe, that this measure may perhaps be an impediment in the way of colonization in those districts where free labour cannot be obtained. But we have no fear that this consideration should be thought sufficient to prevent the adoption of our recommendation.

We proceed to the mischiefs which actually exist, and the suggestion of remedies for them.

We have already said, that if the abuses which slavery gives rise to in this country cannot

we neve areauy said, that it the abuses which slavery gives rise to in this country cannot be prevented otherwise than by its abolition, then slavery ought to be abolished. But we think that the abuses can be otherwise prevented, with such a degree of certainty as to justify us in not advising the emancipation of the slaves, which would, in the present circumstances of India, be an unnecessary and very unpopular interference with the interests, as understood by themselves, both of mostre and closer by themselves, both of masters and slaves.

On the other hand, we shall be careful not to recommend any measure by which the rights and obligations arising out of slavery can be strengthened, or any measure under which any person who is not a slave according to struct law can possibly be treated as such by public authority. We hope, that if our recommendations are adopted, no further legislation on the subject will be necessary.

The first of the actually existing mischiefs which we shall notice is the abuse of the power of punishment, and in considering this, we shall answer the questions put to us in Mr. Offi-cialing-secretary Grant's letter of the 27th May 1839. We have said, that in the actual cialing-secretary Grant's letter of the 27th May 1839. We have said, that in the actual circumstances of this country, there is no constantly-operating stimulus to cruelty; but excited passion does of course give rise to occasional violence. This happens is all countries, even in the relation of master and pupil, and master and apprentice. But the instances are rare in these relations, because in them the tender age of the subject-party leads as well to ready submission on his part as to forbearance on the other part. The social condition too of the pupil is often superior, that of the apprentice generally equal, to that of his master. And thus the master is restrained from violence by the fear of censure from those classes upon whose opinion his reputation depends, and whose sympathies are sure to be on the side restraints exist, we must conclude that the power of purabilities are sure to be abused in such a degree as to overbalance any advantage resulting from it. Action : the difference between moderate and immoderate correction is a difference in degree,

Again : the difference between moderate and immoderate correction is a difference in degree, and consequently the lawful is not divided from the unlawful by any line which is discernible even to the eye of calm reason, much less to the eye of inflamed anger. On the other hand, the difference between stripes and no stripes is as well marked as any difference can be; and whoever transgresses a law founded upon it must do so with a distinct consciousness of wrong. Neither a law prohibiting immoderate correction, nor one prohibiting all correction, is likely to be constantly obeyed. But a law of the latter kind has incomparably the best chance

chance of being obeyed. For these reasons, we mean to recommend that the master shall be prohibited from striking his slave.*

This change, or rather a much greater change than this, has, as will be seen from Captain Bogle's evidence, been already brought about in Assam, where slavery abounds, and where the treatment of slaves, and indeed of all low people, by their superiors, was peculiarly unjust and severe. "Since we have had the country," says Captain Bogle, "we have never per-mitted the masters to punish their slaves more severely than a father may punish his child." It will be each protected the their their the seven of the

It will be seen, however, by what follows, that Captain Bogle does not consider even this

"When I first went to Assam, many of the principal people kept stocks in their houses, and used to put their slaves, or any poor person who offended, into them." "Since we have been in possession, these stocks are no longer permitted."

"The real motive which now induces the slave to do his work is the fear of losing the advantages of his situation."

"Cases of oppression were every now and then occurring while I was in the country; but we have always punished the oppressors whenever complaints have been substantiated."

" I do not consider that by law the master has any power of punishing his slave by beat-ing; but no doubt, if a slave complained, and it turned out that his master had only given him a slap, the court would scarcely think the case worth noticing.

"I think that an Act abolishing the power of punishment altogether would make no change in the law of Assam."

We do not think that this is to put an end to slavery.

After this measure is passed, there will still remain all that is good in slavery, or, more After this measure is passed, there will still remain all that is good in slavery, or, more properly, all which a wise government would not choose violently to destroy, so long as both of the classes concerned desired its continuance. We admit that such a measure as this would have put an end to negro slavery. But if we have correctly distinguished between that form of servitude and the one prevailing in this country, nothing can be inferred from that admission as to the effect of the same measure here. Bodily pam, and the terror of it, were the vivifying principles of that system. The interest of the slave is the vivifying prin-ciple of thus,⁺ We do not propose to interfere with the substantive legal rights of the master; he will have in law the same dominion over the slave, and over every thing that is earned by the slave's labour, as he had before; but he will not have the power of enforcing his right with his own hand. It must not be concluded that his right will be ineffectual. A slave who is well treated has no temptation to leave his master, or to neglect his duties; at least no temptation sufficient to overbalance the fear of forfeiting his right to protection and least no temptation sufficient to overbalance the fear of forfeiting his right to protection and subsistence.

In announcing this recommendation, we have answered the first question addressed to us in Mr. Officiating-secretary Grant's letter of the 27th May 1839; but as various matters are suggested to us by government in that letter as fit to be weighed in deciding the question, whether the master's power of punishment should be negatived by an express law, we now proceed to the consideration of those matters.

" It is also to be considered," says Mr. Officiating-secretary Grant, "as the regulations for the punishment of servants do not appear to be applicable to slaves, whether, regarding such benefits as the slave may derive from his situation, it is proper that he should be placed in a much more independent condition than a servant, and be exempted from punishment of every kind and on whatever occasion."

To this we must answer, first, that we think the regulations for the punishment of servants are not good regulations. Upon this subject we adhere to the opinion expressed by the law commission in note P. to the penal code. If the penal code is adopted, those regulations will be repealed; but however that may be, as we think them not good in principle, we can-not think that the omission to repeal them is a sufficient reason for keeping up a power over slaves which, for the sake of this argument, must be admitted to be, in itself, objec-toreable. tionable.

Secondly, the benefits which the slave may derive from his situation seem to be regarded in Mr. Grant's letter as a reason why he should, at least, be as much subject to punishment Now, in our view of the matter, it is because the slave derives benefits from as a servant. his situation, that it is unnecessary to make him hable to punishment for conduct by which he would forfert those benefits; by how much the loss of his situation would be a greater evil to the slave than to the servant, by so much is it the less necessary to present to him, in the shape of punishment, any additional motive for performing the duty which he owes to his master.

It is further observed in Mr. Grant's letter, that "it may deserve inquiry whether an objection applies to any special law regulating the conduct of masters towards their slaves (especially

262.

Observations,

^{*} This subject is treated more fully in Mr. Cameron's minute which accompanied our report of the 1st February 1839, to which we beg to refer.

Let February 1839, to which we beg to refer. \uparrow As I was one of the law commissioners who signed the draft of the penal code sent up to govern-ment, I am desurous of explaining in what respect the view I now take of the master's power to punch differs from that which we took in note B, appended to that draft. I still entirely agree in the doctrue of that note, but I now think it less applicable to the case of Indian alavery than I then did. I still think that where the fear of punchment is the motive which induces the slave to work, to take away from the master the power of punchment is to abolish slavery; but our inqui-res, since the subject of alavery was referred to us, have satisfied me that the fear of punchment is not the motive which induces the Indian slave to work.—F. Millett, $gig = \frac{1}{2}$

(especially if it be thought proper that the law should contain provisions for enforcing, by a magistrate, the obedience of slaves, in like manner as servants) as implying a recognition of a state of slavery, towards the absolute extinction of which, by the mere force of time, of civilization, and of the levient and well-understood principles and practice of British adminis-

Civilization, and of the lebient and wein-understood principles and practice of Drittsh adminis-tration, great advances are in progress." If what is here intended is a recognition in general of the *status* of slavery in India, it appears to us that it is now a great deal too late to shrink from such a recognition. A pro-hibition to remove slaves into another province for purposes of traffic is surely as distinct a recognition of slavery as a prohibition to punish slaves; yet a law to this effect was passed in 1892. Indeed, the very statute under which we are now recommending measures for the mitterstore of planet contains a distinct recognition of the status in this effect was presented. mitigation of slavery contains a distinct recognition of that status in this country.

But if the meaning is, that the "enforcing by the magistrate of the obedience of slaves, in like manner as servants," would occasion the recognition, by public officers, of slavery as the status of specific persons, we fully admit that this would be a decisive objection to a law containing a provision for enforcing in that manner the obedience of slaves.

It is hardly necessary to say, that we contemplate no such law; it would offend against both of the principles which we laid down a few pages back as governing our recommenda-tions. Such a law would both strengthen rights and obligations arising out of slavery, and cause many persons who are not slaves, according to strict law, to be treated as such by public authorities.

The investigation before a magistrate must necessarily be of a much more summary kind than that by which the question of ownership would be decided in a civil court; the consequence would be, that men might be forced back into the service of those whose slaves they are not. There is, besides, just reason to apprehend that a decision of this kind would have the effect of fixing the *status* of slavery indelibly upon the individual concerned. It would be easy, no doubt, to provide in the law that such a decision by the magistrate should not prejudice the party's title to freedom in a civil action; but it would be very difficult, if not impossible, to make the slave comprehend this provision, especially as it would be the interest of masters that the slave should not comprehend it.

A law transferring coercive power from the master to the magistrate, including, as it does, the power of compelling the return of a runaway slave, would be a step in a direction not only opposite to that in which our own principles impel us to move, but also to that followed by the Governor-general in Council in 1836, with regard to the provinces not subject to the regulations; and even to the direction which we are commanded by the Imperial Legislature to pursue.

The views of the Governor-general in Council to which we are alluding may be seen at page 102, and also in the latter part of this Report. With regard to the Imperial Legislature, we are commanded by the Charter Act to devise means for the extinction or mitigation of slavery; we think such a measure as the one under consideration would not be in accordance with those commands of the Legislature.

It may, perhaps, be thought, that although the provision in question, considered by itself, would be a step in the wrong direction, it is otherwise when coupled with the abolition of the master's right to coerce his slave; three considerations, however, will show that this view is not correct.

First, admitting, for the sake of argument, that the measure is a mere transfer of power from the master to the magistrate, it is a transfer unfavourable to the slave; for, although note the master to the magistrate, it is a transfer untavourable to the slave; for, although as regards the power to punish for idleness and misbehaviour a slave whom the master has got in his possession, the transfer to the magistrate would no doubt be, in one point of view, a mitigation of slavery; the pursuit, apprehension and restoration of a fugitive slave is quite a different thing. The magistrate, with his police, is an incomparably more efficacious instrument for this purpose than the master.

Instrument for this purpose than the master. Secondly, we have already remarked, that the investigation before a magistrate must necessarily be of a nuch more summary kind than that by which the question of ownership would be decided in a civil court. And this is true, whether the investigation takes place upon a demand of the master to have a disobedient slave punished, or a fugitive restored. Add to this, that if the regulations of 1774 are still in force (and they have never been repealed), the status of the greater part of the *de facto* slaves in the provinces of Bengal and Behar, and the district of Midnapore, would turn out, upon investigation in a civil court, to be, not slavery, but freedom. Add further, that the same may be said of the slaves *de facto* of all the Mussulmans within the presidencies of Bengal and Agra. For, according to decisions of the Sudder Dewany AdaWit, based upon Mahomedan law, no Mussulman can maintain a claim to a slave in any civil court in those presidencies. And it will be abun-dantly evident, that the investing of magistrates with this jurisdiction to be exercised upon a summary inquiry, not into the right but into the mere fact of slavery, is a measure calculated to give legal vigour and consistency to an institution which now is held together almost exclusively by the opinions and feelings of the people.

to give legal vigour and consistency to an institution which now is held together almost exclusively by the opinions and feelings of the people. But, thirdly, it is not true that the measure in question would be a mere transfer of power from the master to the magistrate. Throughout a large part of India the master is not now authorized by the law, as administered by the courts, to bring back his runaway slave by force, or to beat his disobedient slave. His only remedy is a civil action. Throughout all that part of India, the measure in question would be in direct opposition to the commands of the British Parliament of the British Parliament.

Mr. Officiating secretary Grant remarks also, that "it appears to be very important to compare, on the one hand, the inconveniences to which it may be thought the law will give rise, not merely such as may necessarily result from it, but also such as it may be likely to produce

Observations.

produce if administered indiscreetly, or if made a plausible ground for discontent and excitement; and, on the other, the practical benefits which the law may be expected to confer.'

We have already stated the principal practical benefit which we expect from the law abolishing the power of punishment, to which we will add the advantage of letting a master know with certainty what he is to expect if his slave complains of a beating to the magistrate, which advantage the master now does not possess. And with regard to the indiscreet administration of the new law, it seems scarcely possible that the courts should go further in the way of indiscretion (if any indiscretion there be in the matter) with the new law than they now do without it. Our reason for thinking that the law will not produce discontent or excitement in any considerable degree is, that these extremely liberal proceedings of the courts, the various legislative enactments, and orders of government in the nature of legislative enactments on the subject, and the proclamations of local authorities, appear always to have been received with entire submission. For the facts establishing this proposition, we refer again to Mr. Cameron's minute.

Mr. Secretary Grant next raises the question— "Whether, supposing a law of the nature proposed be determined on, it could with justice be passed without compensation to the owners of slaves, and, generally speaking, what compensation would be equivalent to the practical change which such a law would effect in the value of a slave?"

We have maturely reflected upon this question, and we are of opinion that a law of the nature proposed could with justice be passed without compensation to the owners of slaves. The order m council for improving the condition of the slaves in Trinidad furnishes a

precedent applicable to our purpose. Before that order, the Trinidad slave-owner had the power of stimulating his slaves, male and female, to labour by the immediate application of the cart-whip, which was always carried into the field.

The order provides, " that it is and shall henceforth be illegal for any person or persons within the said island of Trinidad to carry any whip, cat or other instrument of the like nature, while superintending the labour of any slaves or slave in or upon the fields or cane-pieces upon any plantation within the said island, or to use any such whip, cat or other instrument for the purpose of impelling or coercing any slaves or slave to perform any labour of any kind or nature whatever, or to carry or exhibit upon any plantation or elsewhere any such whip, cat or other instrument of the like nature, as a mark or emblem of the authority of the person so carrying or exhibiting the same over any slaves or slave.'

The order in council also converted into a judicial proceeding the power of punishing offences with the lash, which it still left to the master in regard to male slaves, limiting the punishment to 25 lashes in one day, requiring that the slave should be free from laceration occasioned by any former whipping; that 24 hours should elapse between the commission of the offence and the punishment; that one person of free condition should be present besides the person infl ting the punishment, and that if the punishment exceeded three lashes, a statement should be entered in a book of the nature and particulars of the offence, of the time, place, and of the nature and extent and particulars o the punishment, and of the person or persons of free condition present.

With regard to female slaves, the order in council not only took away from the master the power of stimulating to labour by the cart-whip, but also the power of flogging for offences committed, leaving him nothing but the power of imprisoning or putting the female offender in the stocks.

It seems to us impossible to deny that this order in council, which had the sanction of both Houses of Parliament, was a much greater infringement of the rights of West Indian both Houses a much greater deterioration of the pecuniary value of West Indian slaves, than our Act will be of the rights and value of the masters and slaves of this country. And if that be so, then we have the authority of the King in Council and of the two Houses of Parliament for saying, that the passing of this proposed Act would create no legitumate claim to compensation.

In the debate which took place in the House of Commons on the 19th of May 1826, the ' In the debate which took place in the House of Commons on the 19th of May 1926, the question of compensation was discussed. That debate arose upon a resolution moved by Mr. Brougham, expressive of the House's deep regret, that nothing effectual had been done by the West Indian legislatures in compliance with the declared wishes of his Majesty's Government and the resolutions of the House of Commons, upon which the above-mentioned order in council was founded. Mr. Canning, who spoke as the organ of the Government, expressed himself thus: " I agree, sir, in many particulars with an honourable gentleman opposite (Mr. Bernal), who has spoken with so much good sense; but I differ from him widely on the subject of compensation. I think nothing could be more monstrous than to admit a claum to compensation into a system of measures which are much more which are admit a claim to compensation into a system of measures which are purely measures of amelioration; and which all who look upon the moral improvement of the slave as beneficial advance instead of a deterioration in the value of the master's property in his slaves."

We quote these words because it would not be right, in considering the question to which the government has called our especial attention, to omit the opinion of so disjuguished a statesman; but we must confess that we are not satisfied with his reasoning. His argument is, indeed, stated with the utmost skill, but we think it admits of an answer, particularly as it applies to the use of the whip.

The fallacy appears to consist in not distinguishing between the improvement of the negro as a man and his unprovement as a slave. What the Trinidad planter wanted was a strong. 262. CC and

Observations.

201

and submissive drudge, with just so much intellect as would enable him to perform the

several operations of cane-planting and sugar-making. The elevation of the negro in the scale of rational beings was to the planter, as such, a matter of indifference in itself. And if that elevation was to be produced by taking away the stimulus which induced the slave to cultivate with energy the soil in which he had no discernible interest, the master cannot have regarded it as a good in which he was to have his share, but as a good which was to be brought about at his expense.

It seems to us, that the legislatures of the West Indian islands, who declined to adopt the principles of the Trinidad order, had a correct perception of their own pecuniary interests; and we believe that the slaves of Trinidad must have become, under Mr. Canning's humane regulations, less valuable, considered as mere property, than they were, when, to use his own expressive words, "they were subject to that wanton and degrading use of the whip which places the negro slave on a footing with the cattle of the field."

The same view of the subject was taken by Lord Dudley and Ward, himself a proprietor of slaves. He said, "that while negroes remained the slaves of the planters, he did not think that what was done merely to ameliorate their condition could form a ground for such ever prompted by humanity and with whatever prospect of success, measures were taken to set the slaves free from their matters, the nature of the case was completely altered, and the owners were justly entitled to indemnification. Where slavery ended, compensation began."

We have felt bound in candour not to put forward, as if we have relied upon it, an argu-ment from which we see reason respectfully to dissent, however much we might have rejoiced to shelter ourselves under the authority of such names as Mr. Canning's and Lord Dudley and Ward's. But though the ground upon which, those distinguished statesmen put the refusal of compensation may not be tenable, there may be, and it seems to us that there is, another ground on which the refusal may be justified. When a legislative measure diminibust the put of promotive it to be ground upon to the computer of the provide the put of the provide the put of the provide the put of put of put of the put of put of the put of put of the put of the put of put of the put of diminishes the value of property, it seems to be a necessary condition to the granting of compensation that the diminution should be capable of estimation. It would be neither wise nor just to give compensation at random. If, therefore, compensation cannot be given in a particular case otherwise than at random, it eught not to be given at all. This question must not be confounded with the question of compensation for injuries by individuals, to which, at first sight, it seems to bear great affinity. There is an essential distinction between them. In many instances the law awards compensation for injurnes, committed by indi-viduals even where there is no standard by which the amount of injury can be estimated, as in actions for adultery, or for defamation. But in these cases, the idea of punchment seems to mix itself more or less with the idea of compensation. The law does not actually authorize the infliction of punchment in a civil action, but it at least connives at such infliction. In odium spolatoris, it is permitted to take something more from the defendant than the actual amount of the damage. This we believe to be the motive which has induced the legislatures of most civilized countries to exact compensation for injuries by private persons, even when the amount of the compensation must be fixed at random. If, by the random estimate that must be made, too little is given, still the injured party is in a better plight than if no compensation were given. If too much is given, it is at least taken from a person who has deserved no favour.

has deserved no favour. This doctrine has no application to the case of compensation given out of the public purse for damage to property inflicted by the legislature. By supposition, the act of the legisla-ture which produces the damage is not a blameable but a meritorious act. The idea of punishment is entirely out of the question. The legislature is aiming at the general good of the whole people, and if, in attanting that object, it inevitably damages the property of individuals, it may reasonably say to them, "Show us the amount of the loss you have suf-fered, and you shall be indemnified out of the public purse; but, if you cannot show the amount, we shall run the risk of giving you more than an indemnity." This principle, we believe, is very generally acted upon. Acts of Parliament which regulate the mode in which property shall be enjoyed almost always affect its value; but compensation is not given in such cases; and the ground of distinction between such cases, and those in which property is taken away, seems to be, that in the latter case the damage is estimable, in the property is taken away, seems to be, that in the latter case the damage is estimable, in the former not. We fairly admit the mere circumstance, that a legislative measure must lower the value of property without the possibility of compensation, to be itself an objection to that measure; but it is, of course, an objection which in each case may or may not be overbalanced by the advantages expected from the measure. In this case, moreover, it is only for the sake of argument that we admit that there is any sensible deterioration of property.

We are satisfied that the deterioration of property occasioned by our Act will be so small as not to be worth consideration, even if it were capable of being estimated in figures; and further, that there will at any rate be no deterioration which would not equally have place whether this law were passed or not.

We hold, that our proposed Act differs from the Traidad order in council in such a manner, that even if the latter had created a case for compensation, it would not follow that the former would do so. All our evidence shows, that the Indian slaves work for the sake of preserving the advantages of their position, and because they feel that their interests are bound up with the prosperity of their masters' affairs. Universal experience shows, that if they had not some such motive, the degree of punishment which the judicial authorities of India now permit would not be sufficient to make them useful to their master in a pecuniary way. The power of inflicting such a degree of punishment may be convenient to

Observations.

to the master in preserving the discipline of his establishment; but its value in money is surely not worth the trouble of attempting to estimate it.

If, then, the British Government and the two Houses of Parliament were justified in passing and approving this order in council without giving compensation to the slave-holders of Trandad, it follows, that the government of India will be much more than justified in passing the proposed Act without giving compensation to the slave-holders of India. This passing the proposed Act without giving compensation to the slave-housers of huma. Ans follows, as we have just been showing, from the difference between the power which the order in council took away, and the power which the proposed Act will take away.

But the same conclusion follows also, as we will now show, from the different position in which the two governments stand with relation to the parties whose rights are in question.

The British Government had itself created and fostered the slavery of the West Indies. It had done every thing in its power to encourage the investment of capital in slaves. It had more than once refused its sanction to Acts passed by colonial legislatures to prevent the further importation of slaves. The West Indian planters were in truth only the instruments (the willing instruments no doubt for the most part) by which the Government and Legislature of Great Britain carried into effect their schemes for augmenting the national wealth by slave labour. If ever, therefore, there was a case in which the Legislature would have been justified in exercising liberality with the public money, it was this case of the West Indian planters. Yet so long as the property in slaves was suffered to continue, the vest initial planers. Tet so long as the property in saves was sufficient to continue, the claim to compensation for measures which merely diminished in an uncertain degree the productiveness of that property, was held to be clearly inadmissible. How much more clearly, then, is the claim supposed to arise out of this proposed Act madmissible ! The government of India found slavery existing as an immemorial institution. From

the time of Mr. Hastings' regulations, whenever it has had occasion to speak publicly upon the subject, it has announced its rooted dislike of that institution. No man was ever tempted by the government to acquire this kind of property. Very few men can have purchased slaves without knowing that they were acquiring property in a subject-matter which the rulers of the country thought to be wholly unfit for such a purpose. But further, we submit, with reference to the observations in Mr. Grant's letter, that the

But further, we submit, with reference to the observations in Mr. Grant's letter, that the question of compensation does not depend upon the adoption or rejection of our recom-mendation. For, as we have already observed, there will be no deterioration of property which would not equally have place whether this law were passed or not. If the alternative were, shall we take the power of parental correction from the master, or shall we leave it to him? then, indeed, before adopting the first branch of the alternative, it would be fit to consider, whether the power is of any substantial and ascertainable value. But that is not the alternative in the present conjuncture. The alternative is, shall we take the power of parental correction from the master by a legislative act, or shall we take it by indicated designers?

take the power of parential correction from the master by a legislative act, or shall be sub-it by judicial decisions? "I the sub-order of slavery entertained by the English functionary is gradually establishing an administration of the law under which all slavery must fall. It may be certain that with the lapse of time that abhorence will only increase and be diffused, and that any inconsistencies now existing in legal practice must be before long removed in favour of the slave."

We pass by for the present the very questionable propriety of permitting the judicial functionanes to express and to act judicially upon their abhorrence of that which the legislature of the country not only admits to be the law, but actually refuses to repeal; and we remark only, that if any inconsistencies now existing in legal practice mast be before long removed in favour of the slave, the same right to compensation appears to us to accrue to the masters whether that removal is affected by a repeal of the law, or by the abhorrence which the judicial functionaries feel for it. If there really is injustice in taking away the nower of correction without naving for it that injustice is not mutcrated by the abhorrence which the judicial functionaries feel for it. If there really is injustice in taking away the power of correction without paying for it, that injustice is not mitigated by the proposed mode of taking away the power. At best the injustice is only concealed by that mode of proceeding. Not only is it not mitigated by that mode of proceeding, but it is really aggravated. By a legislative repeal, fair notice would be given to all masters of slaves, that correction is forbidden and punshable. By the other course, many masters would be led to believe, that they might lawfully exercise the power, and would only be undeceived by finding themselves treated as criminals. Either, then, compensation is due though this Act should not pass, or compensation is not due though this Act should pass. The second evil which belongs to slavery in India is the sale of free persons into slavery. It is true, that when adults sell themselves, or when parents sell their children with a suncere view to their advantage, this kind of transaction, though very alten from our manners.

sincere view to their advantage, this kind of transaction, though very alien from our manners, is, in the absence of any provision for the destitute, not without its utility. But there are many abuses connected with it, and some of the most frightful kind.

The first of these which we shall mention is, as far as we are informed, confined to the province of Behar; it is by no means the worst, but it illustrates very strongly that bland obedience paid in this country to custom, however strange and unreasonable. The first account which we have met with, of this peculiar slavery in Behar, is contained in a letter from the provincial council of Patna to Mr. Hastings, dated 4th August 1774.

Having stated that, on investigation, they found two kinds of slaves in the province, Mussulman and Hindoo, and that the former are properly called Moollazadah, and the latter Kahaar, the council describe as follows the manner in which the Kahar caste became slaves : "They date the rise of the custom of Kahar slavery from the first incursions of the Mahomedans, when the captives were distributed by the general among the officers of his army, to whose posterity they remained; all other slaves have become so by occasional so2. purchase, C C 2

Observations.

purchase, as in cases of famine, &c. The kabalah must be signed by the mother or grand-mother, and not by the father ; children, also, born of slaves are the property of the owner "" "The palankeen bearers in this province are all of this latter tribe, and belong to some

person or other, though allowed to intermarry, labour for themselves, and act at their own descretion, the same as if no such nominal bondage subsisted."

The bondage, however, could not be called altogether nominal, for it appears to have always involved a liability to be sold into actual slavery. "It seems," say the provincial council, "that on the sale of a slave who separately pro-cures his own subsistence, only one half of the price is received by the owner, the other half going to the parents of the slave."

This state of things appears to have been the transition from complete slavery, in which the slave could; of course, be sold by nobody but his master, to that extraordinary condition in which the free Kahars and Dhanuks of Behar are described to be by one of our wit-

In which the hee Kahar and Dhannas of John are described to be by one of our when resses, Tek Loll. "Of Hindoo slaves," he says, "there are two classes in Behar, the Kahar and the Dhanuk, which is called Juswar Kurmi; these are both inheritable and transferable by sale. By the local custom of Behar, free persons, whether infant or adult, of these two classes, may be sold by their maternal uncles or maternal grandmothers, not by their parents."

"No one would buy a free person of these classes unless the maternal grandmother or maternal uncle was present at the delivery, and consenting." "The mother has a veto upon the sale, but not the father."

"The maternal grandmother has the prior right to sell; she being dead or permanently absent, then the maternal uncle."

"These sales take place not only in times of scarcity, but at all times."

"But vickree is one kind of these sales, which takes place when the subject of the sale is absent from his family; the consent of the subject is quite immaterial, and is not asked. The price is lower when the sale is bun-vickree."

"If a person thus sold were to refuse compliance, the buyer would coerce him, and I should think the magistrate would support the buyer in doing so." "I do not know any case of the kind of my own knowledge, but I have heard of such

cases."

This strange custom appears, as we have said, to be peculiar to Behar. In other parts of India free persons are sold into slavery; but they are either children sold by those who have parental authority over them, or adults sold by themselves. Here adult free persons are sold, not only without their consent, but without their knowledge and in their absence; the price in that case being lower, because the buyer runs some risk of never finding the person he has bought.

Such a custom would be wholly inexplicable if we did not know that the persons thus sold, though practically free before the sale, do nevertheless belong to a slave stock, and have never been legally emancipated. We may suppose that in the transition state described by the provincial council of Patna, the master was glad to permit the relations of the slave to retain half of the price, upon condition that they would help to make the sale effectual, and that in process of time, those without whose help the sale could not be effectually made heren to keen the whole type. began to keep the whole price themselves. The right to sell, if so it may be called, is supposed to reside only in persons to whom

the slave is related by descent from females; this is doubtless with a view to ensure that the relationship is genuine. In some other parts of India the rules of inheritance are framed with the same sort of caution.

Dr. Buchanan does not mention this remarkable custom; he says only (vol. 1, p. 125), "By far the greater part (of slaves), as in Bhagalpur, are of the Rawani or Dhanuk tribes, but there are some Kurmis; such Kurmis, however, as have become slaves are as slaves, when they fall into distress. All the Rawanis seem originally to have been slaves, although a good many, from circumstances above mentioned, may now be considered as free.

Another witness from Behar denied all knowledge of this custom when we put the ques-

tion to him. "The great majority of Kurmi are absolutely free; but as far as I know, a free Kahar does not exist, though many have left their masters and are practically frees, but these, when claimed, never pretend to be gurua or unowned. They are sold by their owners, but never by any one else."

The answer of Mr. Morris, judge of Patna, to the questions of the law commissioners,

The wind inst or an observe, generally, that nothing could have been more loose of uncer-tain than the practice in regard to rights claimed or exercised over slaves. I have never been able to trace the roles that were recogmized or acted upon to any principles of law, whether Mahomedan or Hindoo. Local prescriptive usage, modified and limited by occa-sional edicts, issued by the civil anthornizes, to guard against particular abuses, seems to have been the only law to which either party, whether master or slave, looked up. In the usages which have thus become common and binding, certain principles of natural equity were more or less discernible. For instance, the right of disposing by sale of infant offarmooffspring,

205

offspring, male or female, rested exclusively with the mother, or, failing her, with the maternal grandmother. The father, or other relations on his side, had no such right of disposal, nor is his consent even deemed indispensable to the validity of the sale. This custom applies mainly to two large castes, viz. the Kahars and a tribe of Kurnis, who, as a body, are all counted as slaves immemorally, though it may happen that some few here and there, being accidentally free, do sell their own children. In all other cases the children are the property of the parent's master. By degrees, the practice referred to seems to have become pretty general throughout Behar, *i. e.*, whether the parents are reputed free or otherwise, no sale of children appears to be recognized as valid to which the mother or her mother has not in some way been made a party; and even in cases of sale of slaves, the undoubted property of the person selling them, it is customary, in order to give greater validity to the sale, to procure the assent of the mother, or her attestation to the instrument of sale."

The particulars of this very singular custom will be found more fully stated in the part of our Report which gives the details of Bengal slavery. The next abuse which springs out of the custom of selling free persons is that of kid-

The next abuse which springs out of the custom of selling free persons is that of kidnapping children for the purpose of selling them, and connected with this is the unheard-of atrocity of an established trade, which consists in murdering the parents and other persons in whose care children may be, with a view to kidnap the children, and to prevent their being reclaimed.

This monstrous practice has only been lately brought to light, and it is described in Major Sleeman's report, under the name of Megpunnaism. "There seems good ground to believe that the system began with the siege of Bhurtpore, in the year 1826. Parents had, no doubt, long before this been occasionally murdered for the sake of their young children, in that and in every other part of India where children are allowed to be bought and sold; but we have no reason to believe that there was, before that time, any gang in that or in any other part of India that followed this system of murdering indigent and helpless parents for the sake of their children, as an exclusive trade. We have reason to believe that it has not yet extended beyond the Upper Docab, the Delhi territories, and the Rajpootana and Alwar states; and the able and successful exertions of Lieutenant Mills have given me reason to hope that we shall very soon, if well supported and assisted by the local authonties, be able to suppress the system where it has prevailed, and effectually prevent its spreading to other parts. It will be seen that these gangs always select for their vactims the parents and grown-up children of dustressed families who have been driven to emigration by famine or domestic misfortunes. Brinjarahs, who all over India trade in children that have been stolen from their parents, and prostuties, who purchase those that are good, looking wherever they can get them, will give more for those whose parents are certified to be dead than for any others, because they have less apprehension of such children ever absconding in search of them, or being reclaimed by them. In seasons of great and general calamity, like those by which Upper. India has been for some years past afflicted, great numbers of the most respectable families of all castes have been reduced to indigence, and obliged to emigrate ; and tho children of parents of this description, who have been taken great care of, and sheltered from the sun, and who are, in consequence, commo

" In such seasons of calamity, the permission to purchase and sell children saves, no doubt, a great number from starvation; but as such seasons happily, even in India, return after long intervals, and as this permission is hable to foster such forrible crimes as are here exposed, it had perhaps better be withheld altogether. It is, I believe, understood where such purchases of children are permitted, that when they reach the age of maturity they shall be free to go where they please; but who shall say into what hands or into what county such children shall be transferred before that time comes? If Hindoos, they must become outcastes in their own religion; and in nine cases in ten they become, I believe, Mussulmans, in order to secure a recognition of civil and social rights in some circles of society above the very lowest. Lieutenant Mills, in his letter of the 15th October 1838, states, 'This system of murdering indigent parents for their children has been flourishing since the acce of Bhurtpore in 1826; and the cause of their confining their depredations to this class of people seems to have been the great demand they found for these children in all parts of the country, and the facility with which they inveigled their parents into their society. They were in the habit of disposing of the female children thus obtained for very large sums to respectable natives, or to the prostitutes of the different cities they visited, and they found this system more lucrative than that of murdering travellers in good circumstances, and less likely to be brought to the notice of the local authorities, as inquiries were seldom made after the victums by their surviving relations.""

"These gangs, contrary to the customs of those whose proceedings are now so well known to us, invariably take their families with them on their expeditions; and the female members of the gangs are employed as inveiglers to win the confidence of the emigrant families they fall in with on the road. They introduce these families to the gang, and they are prevailed upon to accompany them to some place suitable for their designs upon them, where the parents are murdered by the men, while the women take care of the children. After throwing their bodies into the river, or otherwise disposing of them, the men return to their women in the camp; and when the children inquire after their parents, they are told that they have sold them to certain members of the gang, and departed. If they appear to doubt the truth of these assertions, they are deterred from further inquiries by a threat of instant death. They are allowed to associate fiely with the families of the murderers, and 252.

Observations.

1

in a few days their grief subsides, and they become reconciled to their fate. The female children are either adopted by members of the gang, or sent in charge of the women to be disposed of. They find a ready sale for them among the Brinjaraha, many of whom are con-nected with these gangs in their morderous trade, and all of them are well known in Upper India to traffic in children. These Brinjarahs resell the children to the prostucts of the different cities, who soon become acquanted with the fate of their parents, and are much placed to loave it as it relieves them of all superhearing that the rest. pleased to learn it, as it relieves them of all apprehension that they will ever come to reclaim them."

"The numerical strength of these Megpunna gangs, as far as I can yet learn, are between 300 and 400 persons over and above what I have already secured; and many of them have hving with them the unbappy orphans of respectable parents whom they murdered. I fear, however, that the gangs will hereafter be found more numerous, though I have here given the names and descriptive rolls of all who are known to those whom I have as yet admitted as approvers. Indeed I am disposed to think that the greater part of the Hindoo Brmjarah tribes practise this system of murder; but I am not at pre-sent in possession of sufficient evidence to authorize the apprehension of any. The consent in possession of sufficient evidence to authorize the approhension of any. The con-viction of these gangs for specific acts of murder is attended with considerable difficulty, from their practice of throwing the bodies of their victims into the rivers, near which they are commonly murdered; and from the obstacles we find in training and recovering the children who have become inmates in the zenanas of respectable people, or the establish-ments of common prostitutes, who all consider themselves justified in the purchase of them.⁴ They are so, I fear, from the existing regulations; at least the purchase has not hitherte been considered a crime, particularly during the late famine, when hundreds of children were hought and sold dails.⁴ bought and sold daily."

For the further illustration of this astonishing depravity, we quote some passages from the confessions given in Major Sleeman's report :

"The confession of Jewun Dass, alias Prem Dass, relative to the Husseeagunge affair,

"Q. Relate some of the technical terms used by your gang "-A. We call our trade, viz. murdering travellers for their children, 'megpunna;' a male traveller, 'kur;' a female traveller, 'kurree.' "Q. Do you observe any omens on opening a megpunna expedition ?—A. Yes; the call of

the partridge, which, if heard on the left, is considered propitious, and on the right the contrary

"Q. From whom did you learn this system of Thuggee, &c. ?-A. From Umree Jema-damee, a woman confined for hife in the Delhi gaol.

"Q. Relate the particulars of the Husseeagunge affair ?-A. I left my home with a gang "Q. Relate the particulars of the Husseeagunge affair ?—A. I left my home with a gang of 40 Thugs, and proceeded to Husseeagunge, where Heera Dass and Rookmanee went to the city of Muttra for the purpose of baying some clothes, and succeeded in winning the confidence of four travellers, two men and two women, with their three children, whom they brought with them to our encampment; after passing two days with us, Teella Dass, Mudhoo Dass, Byragees, and Dewa Hookma, Teelake, Gungaram, Brinjarahs, Balluck Dass, Chutter Dass, Neput Dass and Hunooman Dass, prevailed on this family to accompany them to the banks of the Jumna, and murdered the four elderly travellers in a garden near the village of Gokool; after throwing their bodies into the Jumna, they took their three children to the tanda, or encampment, of Dewa Brinjarah, near the village of Kheir, and sold the two female children for 40 rupees, and the male for five rupees; on their return to the encampment of the gang, Heera Dass, dias Pudma, and Mudhoo Dass, quarrelled about the division of of the gang, Heera Dass, alias Pudma, and Mudhoo Dass, quarrelled about the division of the money, which terminated in Hookuma Brinjarah preferring a complaint of selling children against Mudhoo Dass at the thana of Husseesgunge. The thanadar made inquiries regarding the sale of the children, and succeeded in recovering them from Dewa Brinjarah, who related at the Thana the particulars of the murder of their parents, and the circumstance of their having been taken by a party of Byragee Thugs to the village of Khar, and sold to the Bran-jarah, upon which the thanadar apprehended 29 of us."

From the deposition of Radha, wife of Roopla :

"We now went off to Thuneiseir, where we encamped in a grove on the bank of a tank, and here several parties of travellers were inveigled by the wives of the leaders of our gange to come and take up their lodgings with us.

"1. A Chumar, with three daughters; one 30 years of age, and the others young.
"2. The widow of a carpenter, and her son, 10 years of age.
"8. A Brahmu and his wife, with one beautiful daughter 14 years old, another five, and

a son six years of age. "4. A Brahmin and his wife, with one daughter about 14, another 12, and a son three years of age.

"These travellers lodged for two or three days among the tents of the Naeks and Brajarahs, after which we all went one morning to a village in the territory of the Toorooe rajah; I forget

[&]quot; "When the children are found, they are often too young to be admitted as competent evidences at the

forget his name. Here very heavy rain fell at night, and deluged the country, and we got no rest. The next morning we went to a village on the bank of the canal, still in the same rajah's country. The next day we went to a village on the bank of the Jumna; and two hours after night, Kaner Dass proposed that we should go down to the sacred stream of the Jumna, say our prayers, and remain there. They all went down accordingly, leaving me, Roopla and his second wife (Rookmunee) at the village. They murdered the seven men and women, and threw their bodies into the river; but who killed them, or how they were killed, I know not. The Chumar and his eldest daughter, the two Brahmins and their wives, and the carnerber's widow, were all murdered.

the carpenter's widow, were all murdered. "They brought the nine children back to us a watch and a half before day-light. They were all crying a good deal after their parents; and we quieted them the best way we could with sweetmeats and playthings. We came to Beebeepore, and encamped in the grove. A daughter and son of the Brahmin's were extremely beautiful, and these we left with Dhyan Sing for sale. We came on to a village a coss distant from Beebeepore. Here a trooper came up to Beebeepore, saying that he had heard of several people being murdered, and suspected us of the crime. The head men of the willage of Beebeepore and some of the Brinjarahs came to our camp with the trooper, and assured him that he must be mistaken, as they knew us all to be very honest, inoffensive people, and taking him back to Beebeepore they treated him with great consideration, and he went away apparently satisfied. But fearing that our deeds had become known, Pemla and Newla's wives and Pemla's mother `But took off the seven other children to Dhyan Sing, and left them all in his charge. Pemla went to Kurnaul, and Goorbuksh and his gang went to Beebeepore, while my husband and his party remained where we were. A woman who keeps prostuties came from Kurnaul, and purchased and took away all the children. All were sold through Dhyan Sing. One boy was purchased by an elephant driver, who took him off upon his elephant, and another was purchased by a Mussulman. All the rest were taken off in covered carriages by the pros-tutute to Kurnaul. I should know all their faces again were I to see them. My husband and Kaner Dass disputed a good deal about the mare that has been brought in; but my husband got it at last in his share of the booty, and seven or eight rupees besides.

"At functions at last and his balar of the body, and seven to legat here besides. "At functions, at the same time that we got ours; and the parents were all murdered at the same time and place that the parents of our children were murdered, on the bank of the Jumna. He also sold his children through Dhyan Sing at Beebeepore. There were several people from Beebeepore concerned with us. We cans back to Beyree in the Jhujjur he had kept for himself out of his booty."*

The confession of Roopla Femadar, relative to the sale of the children whose parents were murdered near Kurnaul ;

"Three of the children whose parents were murdered at Kurnaul were sold to Emam-buksh, who keeps prostututes, and lives at a village about four coss from Kurnaul. "Q. Describe the personal appearance of these three children?—A. One of them is about

nine years old, remarkably faur, with very light hair, and the other two not quite so fair, about six or seven years of age.

"Thanah, zemindar of the village of Beebeepore, takes five per cent. on every child he disposes of for us.

" C. Mills," (signed)

From

" Lieutenant Mills had the following conversation with one of the two men, who described this last murder, Dheera:

"Q. You have stated in your various depositions that you invariably preserve the children and sell them. Are you not afraid that these children will disclose the manner in which you and seit them. Are you not atraid that these children will disclose the manner in which you got them, and thereby get you into trouble ? - A. We invariably murder our victums at night, first taking the precaution to put the children to sleep, and in the morning we tell them that we have purchased them from their parents, who have gone off and left them. "Q. You seem to have been in the habit of selling children in all parts of the country; how have you avoided being apprehended ? - A. The children are seldom aware of the fate of their parents; and in general we sell them to people very well acquainted with the nature of our proceedings."

of our proceedings,"

From the first confession of Khema, alas Nursing Dass, a jemadar of Thugs :

"After the capture of Bhurtpore, Nanoo Sing Brinjarah, and four other Byragees, resi-dents of Kurroulee, came to me with four travellers and their four children, and invited me to participate in their muder, which I consented to, and with the assistance of my gang we strangled the whole of them, preserving the lives of the children, whom we sold at Jeipore for 120 rupees, half of which was divided among the members of my gang. After this affair, I resolved on selecting for my victims the poorest class of travellers and mudering there for their shildren for whom there was so great a demand in all the great atting was them for their children, for whom there was so great a demand m all the great etiles; since which I have committed the following murders, the particulars of which I will detail as I may remember.

C C 4

^{* &}quot;This poor boy Goorbuksh is supposed to have murdered when he found it impossible any longer to sape from Licentenant Mills's partnes." 262.

From the sixteenth confession of Hurree Singh, alias Seetal Dass, alias Sewram :

"After my return from the last expedition, or ten days previous to my arrest, I went to Allum Bagh in the Bhurtpore district, and was disappointed in finding only five Brinjaraha, one of whom gave me a rupee for my food, which was to be adjusted the first murder we committed."

There is an abuse of the practice of selling children into slavery, which consists in selling them for prostitution. This abuse does not appear to be confined to those who practise the frightful trade of megpunnaism; of course, however, such persons are far more indifferent to the future destiny of the unfortunate children whom they sell, than parents or other relations who sell children when pressed by want, and it is therefore probable that a much larger proportion of children sold by Megpunna Thugs is devoted to prostitution, than of children sold by any other class.

In Mr. Secretary Grant's letter of the 27th May last (No. 223), he says, "The opinion and suggestions of the Indian law commissioners are requested on this subject in a separate report, as it appears to the President in Council to be a question which, supposing it to require legislation, might be conveniently legislated upon without reference to the question to which my separate letter of this day relates." Without disputing that separate legislation might take place without inconvenience upon the subject of colling a billing for might for the place without he during the subject of th

Without disputing that separate legislation might take place without inconvenience upon the subject of selling children for prostitution, supposing it to require legislation, we nevertheless foresaw that the measures which we should have to recommend for the prevention in general of abusive sales of children, would be of such a nature as to prevent the sale of children for prostitution, as far as that can be accomplished by legislation. Foreseeing this, we suggested in our letter which acknowledged the receipt of Mr. Grant's, that it would be most convenient and satisfactory to include our answer on this subject in our general report upon slavery.

report upon slavery. The prohibitory part of our recommendations is in conformity, it will be seen, with those which Major Sleeman has made upon the same subject. But in the permissive part we have ventured to go beyond what he thinks expedient. He dreads the consequences of allowing contracts for the services of infants terminating at the age of maturity. "Who shall say," he asks, "into what hands or into what country such children shall be transferred before that time comes?"

This is undoubtedly an evil to be guarded against, but we think that the system of registration which we recommend may be so organized as to accomplish that purpose. We have the high authority of Major Sleeman himself for asserting, that in "seasons of calamity the permission to purchase and sell children saves, no doubt, a great number from starvation." We could not, therefore, make up our minds to take away altogether this resource from the starving poor, unless we were prepared to suggest some other. But we are afraid that it would not be possible in this country to set up any tolerably safe and economical machinery for the distribution of public charity. We therefore propose to permit these apprenticeships. The third evil which springs out of slavery in India, and which is, indeed, necessarily incident to absolute slavery in all countries where the status exists, is the separation of the members of a formula but we take a status exists, is the separation of the

The third evil which springs out of slavery in India, and which is, indeed, necessarily incident to absolute slavery in all countries where the *status* exists, is the separation of the members of a family by the sale of part, or by the sale of the whole when they are not all sold to the same master; and also the removal of slaves from places to which they are attached, or to places which they dislike. This last is indeed already confued within certain limits by Regulation III. of 1832 of the Bengal code, which prohibits the removal of slaves from one province of that presidency to another for the purposes of traffic. But such a case as that of a slave bought in Bengal and carried up to Delhi, for the purpose of there performing service, would not be within the regulation, and yet such a *transaction* might cause extreme suffering to the slave. And so, indeed, might a sale by a master invahid every sale of a slave without his own consent, or, in the case of a minor, without that of his parent or natural guardian.

of his parent or natural guardian. Our evidence shows, that sales which are oppressive to the slave are already considered disreputable. The effect of this measure will therefore be no more than the conversion into a legal obligation of a moral obligation, which has no peculiarity that unfits it to receive the sanction of positive law.

We prefer this to the absolute prohibition of the sale of slaves, because in many cases it may be indifferent or agreeable to a slave to change his master. A slave who can be sold with his own consent, and not without it, approaches more nearly to a freeman than one who cannot change his master, however much he may desire it.

There is another evil connected with sharery as it exists in Backergunge, Tipperah, Dacca Jelahore, Mymeusingh, Sylhet, Rajshahye, Purneah, Sarun, and parts of Thrhoot, which we must not omit in this enumeration, although we have no specific remedy to propose for its correction,—we mean the custom of marrying female slaves to a person called a "Byakara." Marriage to such a person is called "punwah shadee,"

The Byakara, who is generally, but not always, a slave, is the husband of many female slaves, whom he visits in turn, once a month, or once in two months. At each of his marriages he receives a present of four or five rupees from the master of the female, and at each visit to any of his wives he receives food and a small gratuity. Whatever he receives is to his own use, though he be a slave.

The object of this arrangement, according to Mr. Mytton, the magistrate of Sylhet, is, that the slave girl may remain in her master's house, and that all her children may belong to hum.

The

200

Observations.

The same reason for the arrangement is assigned by one of our native witnesses. Brijnath Das Vydia ; and he adds, what shows still more clearly that it is the master's interest, when he has a female slave and no male slave who is a fit match for her, to marry her to a

Byakara. "When two slaves" belonging to different masters intermarry," says this witness, "if there is no special supulation, the owner of the female loses all his rights, and the children, there is no special supulation of the male. He, however, receives no consideration for of course, belong to the owner of the male... He, however, receives no consideration for giving up these, for, in an affair of marriage, who takes a price ?"

Unless, therefore, the master of a female slave marries her to a Byakara, or to a slave of his own, he loses her and her offspring; and, moreover, he cannot, without the imputa-tion of meanness, receive money for the property he thus parts with. This last circumstance seems also to show, that the feeling of the master towards his slaves resembles rather that with which he regards his children, than that with which he regards his horses or bullocks.

Two of the judicial authorities seem, from their answers to the questions of the law commission, to look upon this kind of marriage in a somewhat different light, and to regard it as a cloak thrown, for the sake of decorum, over an intrigue between the master and his female slave.

Mr. Cheap, judge of Mymensungh, says, in describing this kind of marriage, "It appears to be confined much to this part of India, and, from the pundit's bewusta would appear not to be authorized by the Shasters, but as the sanction of custom, on which, I believe, all

He hando hav officers place almost equal dependence." He then describes it as "the marrying of female slaves to a person who makes it his occupation to go about and offer himself as a husband for any slave. This is called a 'punwah shadee.' The bridgeroom receives a few rupees, sometimes only two, and a cloth. He stays a night after the ceremony is performed, and then departs; and is generally called upon to visit his wife after she has been confined. This nominal marriage (for of its consummation some doubts may be entertained) removes any stigma or reflection that might arise from a female slave being enceinte. But as her being so again would, without another visit from her avowed husband, lead to suspicion or scandal, he is again called in, as I have above stated, after her delivery.

"Of the offspring of such marriages, the putative father (who is a freeman) may, I believe, claim every alternate child, but it is not often, I believe, that he avails himself of this privilege; for if he did, and his wives were prolific, he would find it difficult to provide for his numerous family, and paternal feelings cannot have much to do with the matter. He is, in fact, much the same as a Koolin Brahmin, and may form as many marriages, with this difference, that the latter confers an honour on the family where he makes an espousal; and the punwah battur saves the reputation of a slave who may become pregnant in the household, perhaps, of that very Koolin's wife's family, or any other wealthy Hindoo's."

Mr. Stainforth, magistrate of Backergunge, after mentioning other marriages of slave girls, adds, "Lastly, they are married to Byakaras, professional bridegrooms, who, receiving three or four rupees, marry scores, cohabit with them for a short time, and quit after the fashion of the Koolin Brahmins."

" If the slave becomes pregnant when it could not have been by the Byakara, he issought for, and induced by a present to come and cohabit with her for a short time, to divert suspicion of the paternity from resting on the master. If the Byakara cannot be found, abortion is resorted to, or the woman is turned out."

It is to be observed, however, that Mr. Stainforth says, " The profession of a Byakara obtains among the Mussulmans, the bir th of a bastard child in whose house is not necessarily discreditable.

The probability seems to be, that the punwah shadee was invented for the purpose of keeping female slaves and their offspring in the possession of the master; but that being capable of serving as a cloak to the master's licentiousness, it is occasionally put to that use. And this view of the subject is confirmed by the evidence of Kashinâh Khan, who says, "Sometimes this kind of marriage is intended only as a screen to conceal the intimacy of the master with his female slave."

We have already stated that we have no specific measure to propose on this subject. The Hindoo law permits unlimited polygamy, and it would probably not be prudent to meddle with that institution. But the fact that slavery aggravates, as in this case, the mischiefs of polygamy, + is an additional reason why the termination of slavery should be desired, and, if possible, hastened.

The next mischiefs to be considered are those of importation and exportation.

With regard to importation by sea, the only measures we have to recommend are two, which are required for rendering effectual in India the statute 5th Geo. 4, cap. 113, viz. that vice-admiralty commissions should be sent to all the supreme courts, and that power to seize for breach of the provisions of the Act should be given to the officers of the East India Company.

Importation

262.

We must of course understand the witness to mean the case where the male slave is not a Byakara.

We must of course understand the waters to deal the owners that the mark and a state and a state and a state of a state of the state of

Importation by land, when the act is not accompanied with circumstances which will bring it within any of the penal or prohibitory recommendations which we suggest for the prevention of oppression, can hardly be looked upon as an evil. A slave brought over our frontier from a country where his master has, *de facto*, power of his master strikes hum, is our dominions, where he is entitled to redress from the courts if his master strikes hum, is certainly not injured by the change. . We do not, therefore, propose to prohibit such importation. On the contrary, it is rather matter of regret that our other measures must tend to

tation. On the contrary, it is rather matter of regret that our other measures must tend to operate as a prohibition. We do not know whether it was designedly or unintentionally, that while importation of slaves by land, and removal of them from one province to another, are prohibited by the Bengal code, no provision has been made against exportation of slaves by land, which nevertheless seems much more likely to be productive of hardship to the alare. The Madras code* has no provisions at all on the subject of importation and exportation. The slaves in that presidency have only the protection of the British statutes, which are understood to provide only against importation and exportation by seen. The Bornhen and a productive of the british statutes which are understood to provide only against importation and exportation by sea. The Bombay code prohibits the exportation of slaves by land for the purpose of sale. We recommend that the exportation of slaves by land against their will be generally

prohibited.

There is a class of cases in which masters residing in foreign states have sought to bring back to their own country slaves who have taken refuge in our territories, and in which foreigners visiting our territories, accompanied by their slaves, have sought to recover them when they have absconded, with the view of removing them from our territories. This kind

when they have absconded, with the view of removing them from our territories. This kind of transaction does not properly fall within the description of exportation, and a special provision seems to be necessary. The following details will show, that this class of cases has hitherto been dealt with only by the executive government, and that some legislative provision is desirable:--On the 13th April 1836, Mr. Hodgson, the resident at Nepaul, wrote to Mr. Wilkinson, the magistrate of Tirhoot, as follows: "At the request of the durhar, I have the honour to forward to you a list of fugitive slaves belonging to a chief of this kingdom, who have taken up their abode in your zillah, and whom it is desired you will have the goodness to use your endeavours to cause the return of to their master, so far as your doing so may consist the law and propriety". consist with law and propriety."

On the 17th of the same month, Mr. Hodgson again addressed Mr. Wilkmson thus : "With reference to my despatch to your address of the 18th instant, with its enclosure, I request you will be good enough to limit your services for the present to the ascertainment of the facts, whether the slaves are now forthcoming at the place stated, as well as under what circumstances they fled from Nepaul, and if it is their disposition to return voluntarily to their master, provided he be first pledged not to maltreat them."

" Though it has been heretofore customary to solicit and obtain the aid of our magistrates wishes be warrantable, and I have accordingly referred the question to the decision of go-vernment." to procure the return of fugitive slaves, I am not sure that such compliance with the durbar's

"The answer of government to this reference is contained in a letter from Mr. Secretary Macnaghten, dated the 22d May + " In reply," he says, " I am desired to acquaint you, that the Governor-general in Council prefers the tenor of your second to that of your first communication to the address of Mr. Wilkinson, it being the object of the British Govern-

communication to the address of Mr. Wilkinson, it being the object of the British Govern-ment to effect the gradual suppression of slavery, and manifest its aversion, instead of lend-ing its support, to the practice, on all possible occasions." On the 14th May, Mr. Hodgson again wrote to Mr. Wilkinson, informing him of the dis-inclination of government to the surrender of the slaves. " I request, therefore," he says, " you will be pleased to relieve them from the surveillance of your police, and to let them know that they are at liberty to continue in your district without liability to future question or interference of any series"

or interference of any sort." On the 6th June, Mr. Secretary Macnaghten informed Mr. Hodgson, that the Governor-general in Council had been pleased to approve the tenor of his communication to Mr. Wilkinson.

The doctrine thus sanctioned by the supreme government was confirmed by the opinion it expressed in another case which occurred in the course of the same year, though the facts of this latter case turned out not to be such as to call for the expression of that opmion.

The case was as follows : A Khamptee chief, named the Towa Gohain, complained to Lieutenant Miller that his female slave had absconded, and had taken refuge with one of Lieutenant Miller's Chuprassees. Upon this, Major White, political agent in Upper Assam, instructed Lieutenant Miller, that if he was satisfied that the woman was a slave, and that

Instructed Lieutenant Miller, that if he was satisfied that the woman was a slave, and that she had not suffered from any gross maltreatment, compatibly with the usage of the British courts in Lower Assam, he was bound to give her up. The government seems to have supposed, from the entry of these proceedings in Major White's diary, that the requisition for the delivery of the slave girl had been made by autho-rity from the rajah Poorunder Singh, and Mr. Secretary Macnaghten wrote to Major White on the 1st August 1836, requesting him to state under what circumstances, and upon what principle, the surrender could be deemed justifiable. " There appears," Mr. Macnaghten adds.

* Vide ante, p. 158, et seq.

+ Probably this should be the 2d May.

adds, "to be no provision in the treaty with Poorunder Singh which would authorize such a requisition, and nothing short of a positive obligation would appear sufficient to warrant an interference to compel the return of any individual to a state of slavery." Major White's reply, dated 27th August, explains, that "the order in question was given with reference to a Khamptee chief named the Towa Gohan, who stands in quite a different relation to the British Government from that of Poorunder Singh, a feudatory rajah, are start to be proved on the grad desth was proved to be supported by the provenient of the grad desth was proved to be the start of the provenient of the grad desth was proved by the start of the provenient of the grad desth was proved by the provenient of the grad desth was proved by the p possessing the power of life and death, masmuch as that the British Government, although it allows the Khamptee chiefs to manage the internal affairs of their tribes, yet reserves to the political agent or commanding officer at Suddeya, the cognizance of heimous offences, and the investigation of complaints preferred against the chiefs themselves : under these cells unmetances, the law with reserved to glaves has been the serve of state themed in forcumstances, the law with regard to slaves has been the same as that observed in Lower Assam subject to direct British rule."

Major White then points out, that according to the practice of the courts in Lower Assam, this slave ought to be given up. He concludes his letter thus: "As regards Popunder Singh, I have no recollection that he ever made any requisition to me for the surrender of slaves; but it would be satisfactory to obtain a rule of conduct for my guidance in regard to him, the singphoes, and other chiefs, as if they see that slaves are given up in the Company's territory, they may conceive it inequitable that persons proved to be such before a British magistrate, and who have not absconded from ill-treatment, should not be surrendered, more particularly females, who generally run away to British sepoys and others, because their high pay enables them to spend more money upon them than the Assamese can afford.'

To this letter Mr. Secretary Macnaghten rejoined on the 12th September : "I am directed to state," he says, "that it is the wish of the Governor-general in Council, that all functionaries should consider it as a general rule, to refrain from any summary interference for compelling the return to a state of slavery of individuals who may have effected their escape from it; every individual must be presumed to be in a state of freedom until the contrary is proved; and where rights are claimed affecting his freedom, there seems to be no reason why the claimants should have greater facilities afforded to them than in ordinary cases; as the law now stands, it may not be proper to reject a regular suit, instituted to prove the right of one individual over the labour or person of another, but the plaintiff should at least be required to fulfil completely all the conditions which the law requires in the establishment of his claim."

This, it will be seen, is only an instruction as to the manner of dealing with claims made to slaves by persons living under British dominion, and does not touch the question how a claim made by a foreigner to carry his alleged slaves out of the Company's territories is to be treated. But the expressions we have quoted from Mr. Macnaghten's letter of the 1st August show clearly, that the supreme government was prepared to adhere to the principle on which it had acted in the case of the Nepaul chief, if the question of restoring slaves to masters residing in independent territories had really been involved. This principle appears to us to be a sound one. We think that it would be inconsistent

with the justice and humanity which characterize the British Government to interfere for the purpose of sending slaves out of our own dominions. We suffer our civil courts to decree that a slave must return to his slavery within our own dominions. But then the slavery to which we so permit him to be condemned is a condition in which he is protected from violence by the superintendence of our courts. A runaway slave, restored to a Hindoe or Mussulman master, beyond the jurisdiction of our courts, might be cruelly beaten or

That the principle thus adopted by the supreme government needs promulgation, seems clear from the embarrassment in which the government of Bombay found itself in three cases which occurred in the course of the years 1837 and 1838.

On the 31st December 1837, Mr. James Erskine, political agent in Katteewar, wrote to Mr. Secretary Willoughby thus: "I have the honour to solicit the instructions of the Right honourable the Governor in Council, in the case of an African slave, who having escaped from his master, a Scindian of Wagar, has sought my protection, but is now claimed by his owner."

"Annexed is the deposition of the poor unfortunate, as also an account of the condition in which he presented bunself at Rajcote when he first came in. His owner demands his restoration, or, if that is not permitted, the price which he paid for him. Considering that restoration, or, it that is not permitted, the price which he pair for him. Consistency the the lad was not imported by him, but purchased from another Scindan, who was not the importer also, I believe government will decide on obtaining his freedom by the payment of the purchase-money. For this reason I have retained the slave under my protection, and informed his owner that the orders of government have been applied for in the matter.

Upon this communication Sir Robert Grant minuted, on the 26th January, as follows :-

"I think the owner of this unfortunate youth should, as a special case, be paid by govern-ment the price for which he was purchased. But before sanctioning this, Mr. Erskine, without informing the owner of our intentions, should ascertain from him what was the amount of the purchase."

Inquiry was accordingly made, and the value of the slave having been ascertained to be Rs. 65. 15. 5., the political agent in Katteewar was authorized to pay that sum to the owner, and to set the slave at liberty.

The next case gave rise to more discussion.

The circumstances of it are thus related by Mr. James Sutherland, political commis-262. D D 2 RIODER

Observations.

211 .

sioner and resident at Baroda, in a letter dated 2d April 1838, addressed to Mr. Secretary Willoughby :

"A person at Baroda went to Poonah accompanied by a male slave belonging to his father. had been used on the spot, the father applied to me to afford him assistance. In conse-quence, J addressed a letter to the superintendent of bazars at Poonah, requesting his aid to submit with this letter. In his reply he asserts that no power is vested in him by which he can in any way interfere.'

Further on, Mr. Sutherland says, " On the introduction of our rule, we found slavery to exist sanctioned by the laws of the country, and in India there has been no legislative enactment doing away with slavery, or making any distinction in the relative position in which master and slave stand to each other. In fact, the property of the owner in a slave is as much respected by the constitution at this present time as it ever was;" and he con-cludes his letter thus: "Mr. Salmon (the superintendent of bazars at Poonah) is not singular in the opinion he has given, for many have erroneously acted upon the same principle, emanating, I believe, from emancipation of slaves elsewhere by the British Parliament, but which does not extend to domestic slavery in India; and as judicial and magisterial officers are bound to administer the laws, they should regard those only which are prescribed for their guidance."

Upon this communication Sir Robert Grant minuted at some length; and it is to be observed, that throughout his minute he expresses no doubt of the right of the master to observed, that throughout his minute he expresses he doute of the right of the master to reclaim a slave though living in a foreign country. After showing good reasons against complying with Mr. Sutherland's requisition, he proceeds thus: "On a recent occasion, when the daughter of the guicowar* preferred a claim nearly similar to the present, I was willing to evade the difficulty by redeeming the two slaves demanded. Her rank seemed to willing to evade the difficulty by redeeming the two slaves demanded. Her rank seemed to me to render that course convenient, as it was both advisable and practicable. But it is plainly a course to be followed only under special circumstances. In this instance we must face the difficulty, and, as at present advised, I should be apt to say that the claimant, if desirous of recovering his slave, must proceed either as an inhabitant of Poonah would have to proceed in a like case, or, if he chooses to remain at Baroda, as any other person residing out of the British juradiction must proceed for the recovery of any other property. How for it is open to him to appear before the maximum to the protect on the appear. far it is open to him to appear before the magistrate by attorney, or what are the precise steps he should take, I am quite unable to say; but I do not think that in the form in which the demand comes to us, it can be complied with. I quite scree with Mr. Sutherland that justice should be done, but what is asked could not, I think, be granted without injustice to another party.

"After all, however, I mean here to state doubts rather than opinions, and I beg the advice of my colleagues. Mr. Anderson's knowledge and experience pecularly quality him to speak on the subject; and I shall feel greatly obliged by his giving it attention. I am told that several instances have occurred of a compliance with requisitions like the present; but I should not be apt to follow such examples, unless they can be supported by better reasons than I have been able to imagine. Precedent cannot sanctify injustice; and without making any parade of anti-servile principles, or wishing to apply them to cases to which they do not belong, I certainly think that we ought to be cautious of acting on light grounds or loose authority in any matter affecting the personal liberty of mankind."

On the 23d April 1838, Mr. Anderson thus expressed himself: "However right Mr. Sutherland's opinion may be upon the general question of slavery in this country, he was clearly wrong in conceiving that he had authority, as resident at Baroda, to require a magis-trate at Poonah to apprehend or give up a slave claimed by an individual at Baroda; his experience will, I think, have furnished him with no precedent for this." "But the question is even more doubtful than this; it is doubtful if the magistrate, on

the application of the owner himself, could compel the slave to return." "I say it is doubtful, because upon no question have the authorities in India given more opposite opinious than on this—the duties required of magistrates in respect to slaves; I state thus nom the documents I saw when in the law commission."

"The subject was amply discussed, and we had laid before us the written opinions of serv entherity in India. except by the way, the Sudder Adawlut of Bombay. The note of every authority in India, except, by the way, the Sudder Adawint of Bombay. If the law commission on the chapter of exceptions, page 22, fully shows the result."

He then adverts to the silence of the Bombay code on the subject, and continues thus : "The law our authenties administer thus leaves the subject undefined, untouched; hence the magnetrates act upon their discretion; hence the diversity of opinion that is found to prevail.

"There is no difficulty in showing Mr. Sutherland the great uncertainty of the law; there is no difficulty in showing him that he had not the power to require the magistrate to apprehend the slave; but there is difficulty in telling the master that if he wishes the magistrate to interfere he must proceed to Poonah, and yet that it is uncertain if the magis-trate will interfere when he gets there; it may be difficult, but I declare that 1 know no other course."

The

^{*} The case here alluded to is one of the three we are setting forth. We have placed it last because the Iast discussions upon it by the Bombay government were later than the discussion upon the present case.

The uncertainty here alluded to by Mr. Anderson relates to the more general question, whether a magistrate would interfere to restore a slave to his master; not to the more particular question, whether a magistrate would interfere to restore a slave to his slavery in a foreign country. This latter question, indeed, seems to be one which could not properly arise upon a regular application to a magistrate by the party or his attorney. Upon such an application, we presume that the magistrate, if he thought himself competent generally an approximation, we presume that the magnetizer in he thought immedic competent generally to adjudge a slave to his master, would not inquire (at least for the purpose of deciding the question before him) what the master proposed to do with his slave; and if he did make the inquiry, in order to prevent an illegal exportation of a slave, he would endeavour to prevent that exportation, we presume, not by refusing to restore the slave to his master (always supposing that he would be bound under other circumstances to do so), but by taking security from the master part to appear

supposing that he would be boling inder other characteristices to do sol, but by taking security from the master not to export the slave. The case terminated by Mr. Sutherland being informed what the views of government were, and that it was left to his discretion to communicate so much of them to the party concerned as he night deem expedient, "intimating to him, at the same time, that he pos-sessed no method of recovering his alleged slave but by regularly proving his claim before the local magistrate."

The last of the three cases arose out of a visit of the guicowar's daughter to Poonah. The facts are thus shortly stated by the guicowar himself, in a reclamation made by him to the resident at Baroda: "My daughter, Eshada Baee Ghoorporee, on her return from Poonah to Baroda, remained for a short time at Nassick; there two female slaves of hers, named Dhoondee and Parvattee, ran away from her service; these two were, in the presence of Mahadar Rao Sheraboode, given over to the Company's officer at Nassick."

It appears from the statement of the slaves themselves, that Dhoondee accompanied the princess from Baroda to Poonah, whereas Parvattee was an inhabitant of Poonah, where she entered the service of the princess, and had never been in Guzerat. Both the slaves stated that they left the princess's service in consequence of ill-treatment.

The guicowar applied to the resident at Baroda, and the resident to the Bombay government, for an order to the magistrate of Nassick to deliver up the slaves.

The resident urged the same sort of arguments, and adverted also to the rank of the claimant.

Sir Robert Grant minuted on this application on the 8th April 1838. After remarking on the difficulty of such cases, he says, "Slavery, however, is not unlawful here, nor do I find that the regulations forbid the export of slaves, except for the purpose of sale or prostitution; therefore I am not aware that the guicowar calls on us to do any thing illegal, or any thing so palpably contra bonos mores as to be for that reason out of the

question." "The slaves, however, plead ill-treatment as the cause of their having deserted their mistress. In an ordinary case, I think this would impose on us the duty, and confer on us the right, of inquiring into the truth of such plea, and to remit the demand if the plea were established; but the high rank of the mistress seems to me to preclude our taking that course; and, under all the circumstances, I am inclined to say that we should redeem these slaves.

Mr. Anderson, in his minute of the 17th April, asks, "Is there an obligation to give up the slaves? If such obligation exists, it must be complied with. I do not see how it is met or got over by redeeming the slaves. If there is not an obligation, then I conceive we must leave them alone to do as they please." This opinion of Mr. Anderson appears to be quite in accordance with that which was

In a software which which a software a software which which was sanctioned by the supreme government in the case of the Nepaul chief. In a later minute, of the 3d May, Mr. Anderson, after referring to his minute in the pre-ceding case from Baroda, concludes by suggesting, that as the question was a political one, and of some general importance, a reference should be made to the supreme government.

This suggestion was adopted, and the question was referred to the supreme government in a letter from Mr. Secretary Reid, in which, after advertence to the facts, the object of the reference is thus stated :-

"The Governor in Council is therefore desirous of being informed how such a case would be dealt with by the magistrate under the Bengal presidency, on a similar demand by any foreign prince with whom the Britsh Government is in alliance, and to be favoured with the sentiments of the Right honourable the Governor-general of India as to the course which this government should follow in the present instance.

The Government of India called upon the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut of Bengal to state what is the practice of the courts under their control in regard to cases of a similar description.

The reply from the registrar of the court states, "that in ordinary cases the jurisdiction in matters regarding the property in slaves rests with the civil courts, and that a magistrate would not be justified in interfering in order to compel their return to persons claiming them. In the case under consideration, the court are of opinion, that a magistrate should have acted precisely as the magistrate of Nassick had done; that is, refuse to deliver up the slaves, and refer the question for the decision of government." The court then allude to what was done in a former case which occurred in the Bengal precisely are then are the form the correspondence which occurred in the Bengal

presidency in the year 1810, and quote from the correspondence which took place in that case matter so important, that we thought it right to obtain from government the papers on record relating to it; and we shall presently have occasion to call attention to the contents of those papers.

262.

Ĭt

214 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Observations.

It thus appears to be laid down, that a magistrate cannot restore to their master slaves who have escaped from a foreign country, nor slaves who have escaped from a foreign master who has brought them into our dominions. This, indeed, is contained in the more general proposition which, as we have seen, is maintained by the Government of India and by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut of Bengal, that a magistrate cannot restore any slaves to their master. It seems, however, to be the duty of the magistrate to report to government wheaever a claim is made to slaves who have taken refuge from a foreign country. And this appears to be expedient, inasmuch as such cases, particularly where the claimant is of exalted rank, may involve political considerations. It may further be collected, that government will not cause such refugee slaves to be restored. But what does not appear from these gases is, the course which a civil court would be bound to pursue upon such a claim being made before it.

It is true that in the case of the Nepaul chief, the slaves were told, with the approbation of the supreme government, that they were at liberty to continue in the district in which they had taken refuge, without liability to future question or interference of any sort. But if this freedom from hability means, as the generality of the terms seems to import, that the slaves were not hable to be claimed in a civil court as the property of their master, by a regular suit, then we apprehend that, to give complete effect to the benevolent intentions of government, a new enactment is required. There is no law which says that a foreigner shall not recover possession of his slaves in a civil suit. Perhaps if it were illegal to carry slaves over our frontier into the territories of a native power, as we now propose to make it, a civil court, when it had reason to suppose that such exportation would be the result of a decree in favour of the country. Even that, however, is doubtful, for such a transaction could hardly be 'considered as falling within any general prohibition of exportation.

out of the country. Even that, nowever, is outputs, or such a standardon could hardly be considered as falling within any general prohibition of exportation. We have already stated that our attention was attracted to a case which occurred in 1810, by a quotation made by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut from the papers connected with it. From that case, it appears that the government of that day felt the same humane reluctance to allow slaves who had taken refuge in our territories to be deprived of that asylum against oppression. But they thought that a new law was necessary for the purpose, and contemplated the enactment of one. Why that design was not executed, we are not informed.

The circumstances of the case were as follows: In March 1810, Dusrut Tuppa, a subject of Nepaul, made a claim before Mr. Dumbleton, magistrate of Goruckpore, to six slaves who had absconded from him and taken refuge in millah Goruckpore. It is worthy of remark that his demand was, either that the slaves should be restored to him, or their value given in money. The magistrate heard the case, and sent the proceedings to the Sudder court. The court forwarded them to the government. "As the issue of this suit" (meaning a suit in a civil court), says the letter of their registrar, "may involve the delivering up of six persons, the subjects of a foreign state, who have sought an asylum in the British territory, and as the Governor-general in Council may possibly deem it expedient to satisfy the claim of the plauntiff for the value of the laves rather than allow them to be surrendered, the court submit the case for the information of government."

The government authorized the payment of the value, 226 rupees. But Mr. Secretary Dowdeswell, in communicating this to the registrar of the court, observes, "At the same time it appears necessary to government to guard against the recurrence of demands of this nature."

"Whatever reason may exist for maintaining the existing laws respecting domestic slavery among the two great classes of the native subjects of this country, the Mahomedans and Hindoos, the Governor-general in Council is not aware of any principle of justice or policy which requires us to render our courts of judicature the instruments for compelling persons who may seek an asylam in the British territories to return in bondage to the countries from which they may have emigrated. Unqualified as the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws respecting domestic slavery at present are, his Lordship in Council concludes that a regulation will be necessary in order to establish the modification of it above noticed in the practice of our courts of judicature. The Governor-general in Council accordingly regulations that the Nizamut Adawlut will prepare for his consideration the draft of a regulation framed on the principle above described."

There is some difficulty in devising a provision which shall give protection to slaves under such circumstances as those mentioned in the cases we have detailed, and which shall not at the same time make an invidious distinction between foreigners suing m our civil coarts and our own subjects. We think it would not be reasonable to enact, that a person domiciled in Nepaul or Oude should not have the same remedy for recovering the services of his slaves, provided he is willing that those services shall be rendered where the slave is within the protection of our coarts, as a person domiciled on our side of the fronter; but, at the same time, if we prohibit the master from carrying his slave home with him after he has obtained a decree, the right of suing for such a decree is almost if not quite nugatory. We propose, nevertheless, to give the foreign slave-owner this barren right to sue, merely as the least offensive mode of doing what, we think, must be done at all events. It is clearly the him and our own subjects. We only refuse to him that which we equally refuse to them; the true difference being, that the thing refused is of much more importance to his interests than to theirs. We shall not propose, therefore, the prohestion to the general prohibition of exportation by land, which we have already intimated our intention to recommend, a provision to the effect.

effect, that it shall not be lawful for any person to remove from the British dominions any slave who may have taken refuge therein, nor for any person to remove from the British dominions a slave whom he has brought into them, if the slaves desire to remain.

We think, however, that a fine will be a sufficient punishment for the breach of these provisions, and that the fine should be remitted if the slave is brought back to the British territories.

Our first 10 recommendations relate to free persons;

Our next 17 to slaves;

Our next four to bondsmen;

And our last two to the provisions of the statute 5 Geo. 4, c. 113.

Our recommendations relating to free persons are-

1. That it shall be unlawful for any free person to become a slave by any means whatever.

2. That it shall be lawful for any free person of full age to contract to serve another for

life, or for any number of years. 3. That it shall be lawful for the parents or guardians of mmors to apprentice them till

majority, or for any shorter period. 4. That all contracts under recommendations 2 and 3 shall be void upon the ill-treatment or prostitution of the servant or apprentice, and shall be void, ab initio, if made with a view to prostitution. 5. That all contracts under recommendations 2 and 3 shall be registered within a fixed

time by some public officer to be designated by the executive government, who shall exercise his discretion in granting or refusing registration for a sufficient cause to be assigned, and that every such contract shall be void if not registered within the time fixed.

6. That any person who shall pretend to apprentice or to sell any minor, of whom such person is not the parent or guardian, shall be punishable by fine, not exceeding or

or by imprisonment, with or without hard labour, for a term not exceeding by both.

7. That any person who shall purchase or receive as an apprentice any minor from any person whom he has not good reason to believe to be the parent or guardian of such minor, shall be punishable by fine, not exceeding or without hard labour, for a term not exceeding or by unprisonment, with

8. That any person having in his possession one or more minors, with the intention of selling or apprenticing them, such person, not being the parent or guardian thereof, shall be punishable by fine not exceeding or by imprisonment, with or without hard or by both. labour, for a term not exceeding

9. That any party to any contract under recommendations 2 and 3, who shall omis to apply for the registration of such contract within the time fixed, shall be punishable by fine or by imprisonment, with or without hard labour, for a term or by both. not exceeding not exceeding

10. That no rights arising out of any contract under recommendations 2 and 3, shall be enforced by a magistrate, and that no wrongs which are violations of such rights, except such wrongs as are specified in the 23d chapter of the penal code, shall be punished by a magistrate.

Our recommendations relating to slavery are-

11. That it shall be unlawful for any person to acquire any slave, or to hire the services of any slave from his master, except persons who are the issue of Hindoo, or Mahomedan, or Parsee fathers and mothers.

12. That any act which would be an assault if done to a freeman, shall be an assault, and punishable as such, if done to a slave by his master, or by any other person.

13. That no sale or gift of a slave, nor any transfer of his services for a limited time, except where land in the cultivation of which such slave is employed is sold, given or transferred for a hmited time, shall be valid, unless it be made in writing, and authenticated by some public officer to be designated by the executive government; and unless it be made with the consent of the slave, if adult, or of his parent or natural guardian, if a minor. 14. That no slave shall be sold by public authority in execution of a decree of court, or

for the realization of aircars of revenue or rent.

15. That no sale or gift or transfer of the services for a limited time of any female slave for the purpose of prostitution shall be valid.

16. That any slave shall be entitled to emancipation upon the neglect, refusal or mability of his master to provide him with customary maintenance.

17. That any slave who has been treated with cruelty by his master shall be entitled to emancipation.

18. That any female slave who has become a common prostitute through the influence of her master shall be entitled to emancipation.

19. That any slave shall be entitled to emancipation, if a reasonable price be tendered to his master.

20. That whenever any slave is entitled to emancipation, the wife or busband, and the minor children of such slave, shall also be entitled to emancipation, provided they are slaves, of the same master.

21. That any person claiming emancipation from slavery shall be entitled to enforce his claun either in a civil or cruminal court. 262.

Observations.

DD4

22. That

Observations.

22. That any person claiming emancipation from slavery, or claiming to be a freeman, shall be entitled to the privileges of a pauper in any civil court. 23. That every decree by which the slavery of any person is affirmed shall be appealable

to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.

24. That any person exporting a slave by land from the British territories into those of any foreign power against the will of the slave, or removing a slave against his will, with a view to such exportation, shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding or by imprisonment, with or without hard labour, for a period not exceeding or by both. 25. That any person selling a minor slave without the consent of his parent or natural

guardian, or having in his possession one or more minor slaves with the intention of so selling them, shall be punishable by fine not exceeding or by imprisonment, with or by imprisonment, with

or without hard labour, for a period not exceeding or by both. 26. That any person who shall remove from the British territories any slave who may have taken refuge therein, or any slave whom he may have brought into those territories, and who is unwilling to return, shall be punishable by fine not exceeding but shall be entitled to have the fine remitted upon bringing back such slave into the British territories.

27. That no rights arising out of slavery shall be enforced by a magistrate, and that no wrongs which are violations of such rights, except such wrongs as are analogous to those specified in the 23d chapter of the Penal.Code, shall be punishable by a magistrate, except by emancipation under recommendation 16.

We do not intend that any of these recommendations which imply the recognition of slavery, as a legal *status*, should apply to any places within the territories subject to the government of the East India Company (such as Kumaon) where that *status* has no longer a legal existence. But, with this reservation, we propose that all legislative provisions which are inconsistent with our recommendations should be repealed.

If the above recommendations are adopted, no bondage will be lawful in future except such as is sanctioned by our second recommendation, and those connected with it. The four following recommendations are only rendered necessary by the nature of the actually existing bondage.

28. That no right to the services of any bondsman shall be transferred without his consent.

29. That no right to the services of any child or other descendant, or of the wife of any bondsman, shall accrue upon the death of any bondsman to the person entitled to his services, notwithstanding any agreement to the contrary, express or implied, between the bondsman and the person entitled.

30. That all contracts of bondage shall be void upon the ill-treatment of the bondsman, or upon the ill-treatment or prostitution of the bondswoman.

31. That no rights arising out of any contract of bondage shall be enforced by a magne-trate, and that no wrongs which are violations of such rights, except such wrongs as are specified in the 23d chapter of the Penal Code, shall be punished by a magistrate.

Our recommendations relating to the statute 5 Geo. 4, c. 113, are-

32. That the Government of India should request the home authorities to cause commissions of vice-admiralty to be sent to all places within the limits of the Company's charter where there is a court of admiralty, and where no vice-admiralty commission exists

33. That the Government of India should request the home authorities to apply to Parliament for an Act declaring and enacting, or simply enacting, that the Government of India, and the governments of Madras and Bombay, and of the Straits, shall exercise the same powers as by the above-mentioned statute are to be exercised by the governors of any colonies, &c: belonging to Her Majesty, and that the officers of the East India Company shall exercise the same powers as by that statute are to be exercised by Her Majesty's officers, civil and military

Several other measures have occurred to us which might perhaps contribute to secure both to master and slave the benefits which each party looks for from that relation, which we nevertheless abstain from recommending. The reason is, that we are anxious that the law should be, as far as possible, in such a state as to oppose no obstacle to the dissolution of slavery whenever it shall cease to be in accordance with the feelings of the people, and also

in such a state as to oppose no obstacle to that change in the feelings of the people. It is very possible that a law containing provisions for the easy and speedy enforcement of the right which the slave has to subsistence from his master, whether he is able to work. or not, might be productive of some benefit to the slave, and the same may be said of a law to restrain manumission. But such laws would tend to give stability to slavery. They would tend to keep alive the servile spirit, the spirit which leads men to barter their liberty for security against starvation. We are afraid of any legislation which shall confirm the rights that spring out of slavery, whether they be the rights of master or of slave. We would have the slave look up to the magistrate as his protector against violations of those rights which he would enjoy in an equal or greater degree if he were a freeman; but not against the violation of those rights which he has as a slave, and would not have at all if he

Were not a slave. We would give no encouragement to his reliance upon these rights. We propose that the law should forbid assaults upon a slave just as it forbids assaults upon a freeman, and we therefore propose to give the slare the same medies for assaults as a freeman. But we do not propose to give any fresh confirmation to the right which the slave now has to food, clothing, lodging, the expenses of his marriage, and to the protection of

of his master against wrongs done him by strangers. We have no evidence that slaves ever appeal to the courts of justice for the enforcement of these rights. We do not desire that they should so appeal. No doubt the effectual enforcement of such rights as these might render the status of the slave in some instances more valuable to him than it now is; render the status of the slave in some instances more valuable to him than it now is; perhaps, in some instances, nearly as valuable as the status of pauperism had become to the labouring classes in parts of England before the new poor law. But we do not think this upon the whole desirable. Whatever measures it may be necessary ulumately to adopt for saving the destitute from starvation in India, we are disposed to think that no method of doing this, which has ever been devised, is open to such grave objections as slavery, even in its most mitigated form. We desire the extinction of slavery in India; indeed its ultimate evidentia e answing devided by the Imperial Legislature. And we in its most mitigated form. We desire the extinction of slavery in India; indeed its ultimate extinction may be considered as already decided by the Imperial Legislature. And we believe that if, by taking away the power of punishment, we prevent the possibility of any speculations depending upon slave-labour holding out a prospect of profit, the other motives which hold the master and slave together will become gradually weaker with the general progress of society. And this tendency, we think, would be counteracted by giving the slave and his posterity an indefeasible right to subastence which he could enforce against his unwilling master. We leave the slave, therefore, to the force of custom, of ancient, though perhaps never enforced law, and to the kindly feelings of his master, so far as regards the positive privileges which belong the him as a slave. But we bring him within the protection of British courts of justice, as regards those negative rights which he already has, or with which he is now to be invested in common with all other subjects of Great Britan. Great Britain.

If the object were to preserve slavery for the sake of the partial good which in certain states of society it produces, then no doubt it would be desuable to aim at the security and extension of that good. But as the object is to let slavery perish quietly, legislation should, it seems to us, be confined to the mere prevention of its evils.

If, as we recommend, all assaults upon a slave by his master are forbidden by law, the legal condition of the slave will approach much more nearly to pauperism than to what is called slavery in other countries. The essential distinction between him and a labourer will be, that instead of hiring himself out wherever he can find employment, and Tabourer will be, that instead of mining minister out wherever he can ind employment, and receiving wages while he is employed, he is bound by law to give to one person all the labour of himself and his family, and entitled by law to receive subsistence for himself and his family at all times from that person. These are rights and obligations which we believe cannot be generally and systematically enforced by legal proceedings. Whatever permanence they are to have must depend mainly upon the mutual interests and wishes of the parties. Those mutual interests and wishes appear at present to have sufficient strength to preserve the relation between master and slave from dissolution. And, with regard to the slaves, we do not perceive any general cause likely to change their view of the matter, except one, of which the operation must be extremely remote-we mean the general diffusion of education. But with regard to the masters, the case is different. A change in their views may be expected within a much shorter period. As soon as the proprietors of the soil begin to prefer profit to pomp, a large revenue to a large retinue, they will find the possession of a troop of slaves, that is to say, of slaves whom they may not punish, a very burthensome appendage. We have seen that proprietors in decayed circumstances very frequently allow their slaves to seek a livelihood where they please, and the most flourishing proprietors will assuredly do the same thing whenever the great object of their desire is to increase the net produce of their estates.

We think, then, that we were justified in expressing our hope, that, if our recommendations are adopted, no further legislation will be necessary upon this subject. As far as laws can prevent, nothing will be left which the slaves themselves look upon as an evil. There will remain, for some time, what in the eyes of the slaves is a good, though it is otherwise in the eyes of an enlightened government. But after a time even this will silently disappear. Thus will be accomplished the task which the Imperial Legislature has imposed upon that of India of "mutigating the state of slavery," "amelorating the condition of slaves," and "extinguishing slavery as soon as such extinction shall be practicable and safe."

> C. H. Cameron. F. Millett.

The majority of the commissioners, Mr. Amos, Mr. Eliott, and Mr. Borradaile, while they cannot entirely concur in the observations and conclusions of their colleagues on some they cannot entirely concar in the observations and conclusions of a new convagues of some important points, yet agree with them for the most part in the opinions expressed, and the recommendations offered, in the foregoing pages of this portion of the Report, which are, indeed, to a considerable extent, founded on the consultations of the whole commission. The three commissioners, however, have directed their attention principally to matters having immediate reference to East Indus slavery, and have not made any close comparison between that and other species of slavery.

The majority of the commissioners agree with their colleagues in thinking that, on the pair of the slaves in India, of all classes, there is not any general desire for freedom. They believe, indeed, that the advantages of the condition of slavery, according to the modification of it which exists in India, are so far thought to overbalance the evils incident to it, that 262.

BR

217

Observations

few slaves would, under ordinary circumstances, voluntarily abandon the relation in which

they stand to their masters. The majority of the commissioners agree with their colleagues in thinking that the principal evils incident to the condition of slavery in India arise out of illegal acts perpertrated under colour of slavery, and which are neither warranted by law nor by general custom; and they concur in the conclusion, that it would be more beneficial for the slaves themselves, as well as a wiser and safer course, to direct immediate attention to the removal of the abuses of slavery, rather than to recommend its sudden and abrupt abolition,

It is in proposing remedies for checking the abuses of slavery that the majority of the commissioners are unable to concur entirely in the views of their colleagues. The main difference between them relates to the power of coercion and of restraint, which the masters of slaves now possess and exercise, for the purpose of enforcing their services, maintaining discipline among them, and preventing their absconding, the effect of that power, and the consequences of abolishing it.

The majority of the commissioners apprehend it to be the general impression among the natives, that the law rightly interpreted, with a due allowance for prescriptive usage, warrants such a degree of coercion as is recognized by the circular order of the Madras court of Fonjdary Adawlut. (*Vide supra*, pp. 135-6.) It is believed that the slave ordinarily submits without complaint to moderate correction inflicted by his master for the breach or submits while to complain to inderate correction indicted by its matter for the breach or neglect of his regular duties. He considers that the evil, such as it is, of thus being com-pelled to work, is compensated by the advantage of maintenance for himself and family, not only whilst in health and vigodr, but also in sickness, and after failure of strength. The demand thus made by a master on the labour of his slave does not generally exceed the demand that would be made upon a hired labourer, and for the most part hired labourers and slaves are employed upon similar tasks.

and slaves are employed upon similar tasks. It appears, however, to the majority of the commissioners, that without a power of moderate correction for neglect of duty lodged somewhere, slaves employed in field labour, at least, would not work with the like industry as hired labourers, whose bread depends on their giving their employer satisfaction, who know that if they do not perform the task set them for the day, they will not get the hire for the day, and probably will not meet with an

engagement for the morrow. The slaves know that they are of a certain value to their master, and that he could not discharge them, as he might discharge a hired labourer, without loss to himself, and that it is their master's interest to give them sufficient subsistence to keep them in working con-dition. Under these circumstances, it is to be expected that they will indulge that propensity to idleness so characteristic of the lower orders in India, as far as they can, and that they will do nothing that they can avoid doing. The fear of losing their situations can have little influence in counteracting the propensity

to idleness among slaves, when they must feel sure that they run no risk of being discharged for only doing less than they are able and ought to do, while they still render some service which is not without value. It is more, it is conceived, than can be reasonably expected, which is not without value. It is more, it is conceived, than can be reasonably expected, that predial slaves, at least those living apart, and kept at a distance by their masters, and not partaking of their sympathy, as in Malabar and elsewhere, will work actively from any regard to the interest of those masters. It does not appear to the majority of the commissioners that, in the parts of India at

It does not appear to the majority of the commissioners that, in the parts of india at least where predial slavery exists, masters are willing to be satisfied with a less degree of industry on the part of their slaves, upon whose labour they depend, perhaps entirely, for the cultivation of their lands, than they would require from hired servants. Every master may be expected to have a natural desire of improving his income, and thereby in-creasing his comforts, and in proportion as that desire is more or less strong, so will be the motive to urge his slaves to exertion; neither is it universally the case that the object of the lowdowned incomplication is characterized on the parts of the land, and not to see motive to urge his slaves to exertion; neither is it universally the case that the object of the landowner is to maintain his family and slaves by the produce of the land, and not to ac-cumulate a fortune out of the surplus. There will always be found native landowners, such as the Mopla merchants of Malabar, who have purchased their lands merely for the sake of a profitable investment of money, and whose sole object is to raise the largest possible surplus produce; yet, in fact, there appears to be no difference in the treatment of the slaves of the Mopla merchants and those of ancient proprietors; the same description and quantity of labour appears to be required and obtained from both. It is to be observed, with merchants in that in the necellar condition of slavery which prevails on the with reference to this matter, that in the peculiar condition of slavery which prevails on the east and west coasts of the southern peninsula of India, and it would seem more or less elsewhere, whole tribes have been regarded as impure outcastes, subject from remote antiquity to the culturation of the soil, in like manner as pure Hindoo castes are bound to particular professions and occupations. The distinction between these outcaste tribes and the pure classes is, in the parts of India where it prevails, quite as marked as any that can arise from colour.

A check upon the power of the master, independently of law, is the fear of making the slave dissatisfied with his condition, and disposing him to desert. In some parts of the country we have proof that this check has operated most beneficially for the slave, especially country we have proof that this check has operated most benchard in the state, especially in restraining the master from a severe exercise of his power of punishment, and it is believed to have much influence every where. In the neighbourhood of towns, where the wages of labour are high, and the advantages of freedom obviously preponderate, masters have been unable to maintain their control over their slaves, and, in a great measure, have tacily ceased to exercise it. Thus, in the neighbourhood of Madras, particularly, where prediat slavery

Observations.

slavery formerly prevailed in as full force as in the other parts of the Tamil country, it is now little more than nominal. The same change appears to have commenced and to be in progress in the neighbourhood of several of the larger towns and seaports on the Malabar coast.

It is very material to bear in mind that every excess of moderate correction, or of correction of any kind without cause, is punishable by the magistrate, and that there is no apprehension of the law in this respect not being always construed very beneficially for the slave.

The majority of the commissioners consider that a law taking away all power of correcting and restraining slaves would have the effect, as far as the promulgation of a law could produce it, of abolishing slavery. They concut in the observations contained in note B. of the Penal Code, "that a labourer who knows, that if he idles his master will will not dare to strike him, that if he absconds his master will not dare to confine him, and that his master can enforce a claim to service only by taking more trouble, losing more time, and spending more money than the service is worth, will not work from fear." In such a case names are of no consequence; the labourer is meality no longer a slave. There is an end, too, of that kind of domestic discipline by restraint over the slaves, especially the female slaves, which has hitherto been considered requisite for the due government of a family according to native manners.

The majority of the commissioners consider that the restricted power of coercion and restraint which the masters may now lawfully exercise cannot justly or prudently be taken away by law without providing compensation, or without transferring the power to the magistrate. But neither of these courses appears to them to be advisable.

trate. But neither of these courses appears to them to be advisable. 'With respect to the first, they think that a provision which would be satisfactory in regard to all the interests concerned is scarcely feasible, and they consider it inexpedient to attempt a measure so beset with difficulties, and so unlikely to give general content. They see no reason to doubt that the masters would be really injured by a law depriving them of their present compulsory power over their slaves; but the deal injury, they apprehend; would be inconsiderable compared with the loss that would be plausibly alleged, and attributed to a measure involving so sweeping a charge in the relation of master and slave, and for which compensation would be claimed, if any opening were given to such claim. It is likely that for a time every loss in an estate cultivated by slaves, not obviously produced by some other distinct cause, would be ascribed indiscriminately to this cause; and that whenever the proprietor fell in arrears of the revenue payable by him, he would complain that he was unable to pay because he could no longer compel his slaves to work, or that he was put to slaves to induce them to work as they did before. It is thought that wherever the law effected its purpose of preventing the master from coercing his slaves, there would be grounds for complaints of this nature; that either the master would really get less services from his slaves, or would be obliged to purchase their exertions by extra allowances or indulgences. The example once set by a master of giving extra allowances or indulgences, his neighbours would be constraimed to follow it in order to prevent their slaves from becoming discontented, and availing themselves of the license to abandon their services, which they would find they might take with impunity, and without resistance, whenever they pleased.

It is not thought that the law would come into general operation so as to have the effect intended quickly, because the ignorant slaves would not soon become aware of the privilege bestowed on them, and because they would not be ready to take advantage of it, while the masters would be slow to give obedience to the law so long as the slave did not complain. But the masters would be quick to perceive the tendency of the law, and the injurious effect it must have upon their interests eventually. By them, therefore, it would be felt as a grierance from the first; and there seems reason to fear that it would occasion among them a degree of discontent, in some parts of India at least, which it would not be prudent to provoke.

The demand for compensation would first arise, probably in anticipation of the injury, and it is thought that it would be impossible to satisfy it. If it were determined to give compensation only in case of loss being proved, there would be extreme difficulty in judging of it; and however reasonable the judgment might be, it would most likely always disappoint the claimant. The inquiry must necessarily occupy a great length of time, and involve many perplexing questions; whilst, after all the trouble bestowed, the expense incurred, and dissatisfaction provoked, the object of the compensation might, perhaps, be wery little furthered.

But the injury which would be most felt, arising from the relaxation of discipline among domestic slaves, and consequent disorder in families, would not admit of compensation, and there would be no other means of allaying the discontent which it is feared would be excited by a law calculated to produce such results.

With regard, next, to the transferring of the power of punishment and of restraint from absconding to the magistrate. It is to be observed, that the power of punishment was not' taken from masters by the Imperial Parliament, in the case of the West Indies, until the status of slavery was abolished; whilst the status was left unbuched, an effectual power of punishment was left also as necessary to maintain the master's dominion. It was when slavery was changed into contract service, for a certain time, that the power of punishment was taken from the master and transferred to the magistrate.

262.

BE2

The

Observations,

820 COPY OF REPORT FROM THE INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Observations.

The majority concur with their colleagues in thinking it inexpedient to make the transfer to the magistrate in India, though they do not agree to all their reisons. While they deem it not advisable, in the present circumstances of India, to take a step tending directly to destroy the authority of masters, and so to annihilate the status of slavery, they see the most forcible objections to any measure tending to confirm and give permanency to so debasing a status, and one which can only be tolerated, because more decisive measures would tend rather to impede its gradual decay than to effect its abolition. Upon the most mature reflection, they are convinced, that to require the magistrate to compel a slave to yield obscience and render service to his master, would have the effect of upholding slavery on a more firm and permanent basis. They would carefully refrain from taking any step that would be to the prejudice of the slave. They think that this step would he so, even if united with the abolition of the master's right to puncifi and restram. It would also be a step contravy to the principles upon which the Government of India have lately acted, and to the practice throughout almost the whole of British India.

As the majority of the commissioners are not prepared to recommend that magistrates should be required to interfere for the purpose, of compelling slaves to perform their duties or to punish them for default, they need not enter upon the general question of the propriety of the regulations which empower magistrates to interfere upon the complaints of masters against their servants for misconduct or neglect of duty. They will only observe, that if slaves were to be made free from restraint and entirely exempted from punishment for failure of duty, they would obviously be placed in a more independent situation than that in which free estraints actually stand up most parts of India.

The majority have now to express their own view of the course which should be followed. They propose to leave introuched the lawful status of slavery, and with it the lawful power of the master to punch and restrain. It is lawful to correct a slave moderately, and to restrain him by the use of a force, which if used to a freeman would be an assault. It is not lawful to correct a slave immoderately or arbitrarily, or to use violence sufficient to cause permanent of severe personal injury. There is no doubt that the vindicatory powers of the law as it exists are sufficient to punish cruelty and oppression by a master. The majority think that the power which the master may lawfully exercise and does exercise occasionally is necessary as a check to the propensity to illeness which the situation of the slave naturally prodaces; they do not think that it is in general exercised with seventy; they have already pointed out the check by which, independently of law, the master is restrained from severity. They believe that by fear of the vindicatory power of the law on the one hand, and this check on the other, the personal treatment of slaves by their masters has been already considerably mitigated. They see reason to think that the causes upon which the check adverted to depends are gradually gaining force, and to hope that, if they are left undisturbed to work their way, they will surely accomplish eventually, but without precipitation, and without disorder, and almost imperceptibly, the ultimate object simed at,—the general extinction of slavery; while in the meantime the condition of the slaves will

at, --- the general extinction of slavery; while in the meantime the condition of the slaves while be progressively ameliorated. With these views it appears that the more prudent course is not to add new provisions to the law which would be offensive, and irritating, and really injurious, so far as they should be operative, to the masters. Such provisions, if their operation was found to be successful, and not purely prejudicial, or if they were not totally inoperative, would have the effect of precipitating and bringing about with a ruder shock; and probably with some disorder, the same issue which may be attained gradually by a surer and more safe course. It should be endeavoured to give efficiency to the administration of the existing law for the protection of slaves, that the fear of it may more powerfully restrain the masters from abusive acts falling within its scope, and to provide some additional checks to enforce its requirements and prohibitions.

For this latter object, the majority concur with their colleagues in recommending "that any slave shall be entitled to emancipation upon the neglect, refusal or mability of his master to provide him with customary maintenance," and "that any slave who has been • treated with cruelty by his master shall be entitled to emancipation;" "that any female slave who has become a common prostitute through the influence of her master shall be entitled to emancipation;" and " that whenever any slave is entitled to emancipation, the wife or husband and the mmor children of such slave shall also be entitled to emancipation, provided they are slaves of the same master."

Further, in and of the causes which it is conceived are leading to the mitigation and, eventual extinction of slavery, the majority concur with their colleagues in the restrictions proposed with regard to the transfer of slaves, and in the recommendation that any slave shall be entitled to emancipation if a reasonable price be tendered to his master. They wish to go further, and recommend a provision corresponding with that which was ordained by the order of council for Trimidad in 1824, that a slave who has acquired sufficient property shall be entitled to purchase his own freedom, the freedom of his wife, and that of his-shidten.

Thus last recommendation, it will be observed, recognizes a right on the part of a slave to the possession of property. It will be allowed that it is of the atmost importance to establish this right on the part of the slave, and to render it anguestionable for the future, if thus can be accomplished without injustice to the master. It appears to the majority of the commissioners that the right of the slave to retain the possession of property which he has acquired has been practically admitted by masters to such an extent, even in parts of India where slavery is most prevalent and is maintained with the greatest structness, that no injustice or danger would arise from establishing the right permanently by law.

It appears to the majority of the commissioners that a provision enabling slaves to purchase emancipation with their property would have a beneficial operation generally throughout India, but, perhaps, particularly so in Malabar. It is thought that such throughout India, but, perhaps, particularly so in Malabar. It is thought that such provisions are particularly requisite, and would serve the interests of both master and slave in those situations where the advantages of freedom so decidedly overbalance those that In mose situations where the auvantages of infection is a constant temptation to escape, and belong to the servile condition, that the slave is under a constant temptation to escape, and the master finds it difficult to maintain his control. Under such circumstances the master would be disposed to give up his right to the slave for a very small compensation, and the slave would probably be able to raise a sum sufficient for the purpose by binding himself

slave would probably be able to raise a sum sufficient for the purpose by binding himself as a hired servent to a new master, and would be willing to release himself absolutely in this way, rather than by deserting with the bond of slavery still hanging over hum. The majority consider that such provisions would be very beneficial, for instance, to those slaves in Malabar who, hving in the neighbourhood of towns, are permitted by their masters, during a part of the year when they have not work for them, to seek employ-ment there, and to whom it is a hardship, after having enjoyed the comparatively high wages paid for labour in those places, to be obliged to return at the call of their masters to work for them on a pittance scarcely sufficient for their support. The hope of being able to purchase their freedom would encourage the slaves under such circumstances to save their wages, and the temporary masters as fhose who were dilucent and future would some save their wages, and the temporary masters of those who were dilgent and active would sometimes probably aid them by advances to make out the price required for their emancipation.

Nothing, in the opinion of the majority, would lead more to raise the character of the unfortunate race who are subject to slavery in Malabar than such a transition of indiviunfortunate race who are subject to slavery in manador than such a transition of indivi-duals among them to a state of freedom, gradually but constantly going on. Emancipated slaves who have bettered their condition, and acquired property by the higher reward of labour to be obtained in towns, and who have not only enjoyed personal liberty, but, by their residence in towns among a mixed population, have been, for a long time, saved from the ignominious treatment to which their brethren are exposed in other parts on account of the ignominious treatment to which their brethren are exposed in other parts on account of the ignominious treatment to which their brethren are exposed in other parts on account of the ignominious treatment to which their brethren are exposed in other parts on account of the ignomined in the forther of the state of their caste, will probably have gained such a feeling of independence as to lead them to refuse compliance with the degrading usages which prevail in the interior of the country, and to resist any attempt to enforce their observance against themselves, or those connected with them. The influence of their example would spread, and, aided by the discountenance of the governing authorities of all such humiliating practices, would tend to their falling gra-dually, though perhaps slowly, into desuetude. Thus then it seems there is reason to expect a beginning to the breaking up of the odious debasement of caste which now so lamentably depresses the miserable subjects of it, and which is a principal impediment to the extinction of slavery in India.

of slavery in India. The suggestions offered in the draft for the prevention of the sale of free persons into slavery, particularly with a view to put a stop to the abuses arising from the sale of children, and for restricting within the British territories the sale of slaves, and the recommendations relating to bondage, are founded upon principles agreed to by the commission unanimously; after much discussion and deliberation, and the majority consent generally to the observa-tions and reasons by which they are supported, subject to some partial qualifications where they amera to militate acquise their sentiments as above expressed. they appear to militate against their sentiments as above expressed.

One other provision, not recommended by their colleagues, the majority consider to be necessary to guard against what would be a great evil, and one, they conceive, not unlikely to occur in the gradual decay of slavery, viz. a provision to prevent masters from telleving themselves by pretended emancipation from the obligation of supporting, during life, the slaves who, from age or infirmity, after having worked for them through their youth and manhood, while their strength lasted, have become unable to render them efficient service. The provision that appears to be called for is, that any slave who is above the age of 50, or who, being under that age, is suffering from an infimity which renders him incapable of earning his own subsistence by labour, shall be entitled to refuse emancipation, and that no master shall be exempted from the obligation of supporting such slave during life, unless the slave has formally accepted his freedom, and surrendered his claim to maintenance, by an act done and recorded in a civil court, or in the court of a magistrate, after being personally examined by the judge or magistrate, to ascertain that his consent is freely given, with a knowledge of the consequences, and that other means of maintenance are available to him. Unless the judge or magistrate is satisfied on these points, he should not permit the act to be recorded.

On the principle of not permitting any extension of the existing slavery of British India, or allowing any conditions of slavery not having the sanction of long-established custom, and as slavery is scarcely known in India except under masters being Hindoos, Mahomedans or Parsees, the majority concur in the recommendation intended to prevent persons of other classes from acquiring slaves or hiring the services of slaves. Existing interests will not be affected by this measure. And whatever doubts there may be on the general question, whether a person amenable to English law may lawfully be the owner of a slave, the law as proposed will leave no ground for any mistake on this point in future with respect to the British territories in India at least.

The majority concur in the recommendations relating to the Act 5 Geo. 4, c. 113, for the purpose of rendering its provisions effectual in India for the prevention of the importation and On the subject of the importation and exportation of slaves into and from the British

262. BB3 territories

221

Observations.

Observations,

territories by land, the majority of the commissioners do not entirely concur with their colleagues.

On the principle in which they all concur, that every means should be adopted to pre-vent any extrinsic accession to the stock of slaves in British India, the majority think it should be enacted, that no person shall be recognized as a slave in the British territories on the ground of his having been a slave in a foreign territory, and that any act which would be punishable if done to a freeman, shall be punishable if done to a person who, having been a slave in a foreign country, has been brought into, or has taken refuge in, the British territories.

An enactment of this tenor, it is conceived, would be sufficient, without attaching a penalty to the act of importing slaves. The object is not to prevent a foreigner who has been accus-tomed to be served by slaves from bringing them with him for the sake of their services when he has occasion to enter the British territory, but to prevent him from treating them and dealing with them as slaves, and especially from removing them by force when he and dealing with them as slaves, and especially from removing them by force when he returns, if they are unwilling to go with him. And with respect to slaves imported for sale, from the moment of their entering the British territory, the importer, under the proposed enactment, would be hable to punishment for any attempt to carry his purpose into execution. The majority concur with their colleagues in thinking that the exportation of slaves against their will should be generally prohibited; but, in order that the will of the slave may be ascertained, they consider it necessary that the provision should be expressed as follows :----That any properties a statempting to express from the British territory into

That any person exporting, or attempting to export, a slave from the British territory into a foreign territory, without the consent of the slave having been declared by him personally before a magistrate, and certified by the magistrate, shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding or imprisonment, with or without hard labour, for a period not exceeding or with both.

With respect to the other recommendations, which they have not particularly noticed, the majority of the commissioners wish to be understood as concurring generally with their colleagues.

The majority are fearful that it may be thought that they have treated the topics upon which they differ from their colleagues in a more summary manner than the case demanded. Though they have maturely considered their various recommendations, they could have wished for some further time in order to explain and illustrate their sentiments more fully. But although the papers relative to the several topics had been previously discussed at the meetings of the commission, it was not till within a very few days that they were circulated as finally revised and discusted is and in the commendation in which according to the proas finally revised and digested; and in the connected form in which, according to the previous arrangement among the members, it was settled that they should be considered by the whole commission with a view to the ultimate preparation of the Report. The majority were unwilling, after the call lately made by government, to delay the submission of those papers, which, besides containing the opinions of their colleagues from which they dissented, contained likewise much in which all agreed, and which was the result of their joint consultations. They were equally unwilling to submit the opinions of their colleagues on the points of difference, without a brief expression of their own sentiments that might sufficiently indicate the views they entertain, though the time would not allow of their being elaborately developed.

(signed) Andrew Amos. D. Eliott. H. Borradaile.

Before we conclude, we wish to express our obligations to our late colleague, Colonel James Young, to whom the preparation of the details relating to Bombay was committed in the original distribution of our labours, and who afforded us much assistance in the discussion of the whole subject.

We submit this our Report for the consideration of your Lordship in Council.

(signed)

Andrew Amos. C. H. Cameron. F. Millett. D. Eliott. H. Borradaile.

Law Commission, January 15, 1841.

APPENDIX.

A Ρ Ρ E Ν D I X.

EVIDENCE.

LIST of WITNESSES examined on the Subject of Slavery whose Depositions are annexed to the Report of the Indian Law Commission, dated 1st February 1839.

NO.	DATE OF EXAMINATION.	NAMES.	NATIVE COUNTEY.	OCCUPATION.
1.	28 December 1838	Raj Govind Sen	- pergunnah Sarael, village Chuntoor, Tıp- perah.	mooktear of the rajah of Tipperah.
2.	ditto	Tek Loll	Behar, village Futteh- poor, pergunnah Putch- roke.	mooktear in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Cal- cutta.
3.	2 January 1839 -	Vydia Nath Misser -	Tirhoot, pergunnah Dharour.	pundit of the Presi- dency Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.
4.	ditto -	Hamud Russool	Behar, district Patna, pergunnah Sanda.	vakeel of ditto.
5.	12 ditto -	R. H. Mytton, Esq		magistrate of Sylhet.
6.	15 ditto -	Dhurb Singh Das	pergunnah Cutteya, northern Cuttack.	- orish missul khan in the Presidency Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.
7.	18 ditto -	Kashe Nath Khan " .	village Satteen, per- gunnah Khatta, Raj- shahye.	agent of the ranees of the late rajah Bishen Nauth, of Natore.
8.	22 ditto -	H' Ricketts, Esq		commissioner of revenue and circuit, 19th division,
-	25 ditto -	Tek Loll (in conti- nuation).	-	Cuttack.
9.	29 ditto -	Ram Krishna Putnaik	village Burmukhun- dapore, pergunnah Sa- rael, near Pooree, south- ern division Cuttack.	mooktear in the Sudder board of revenue, Calcutta.

APPENDIX I.

1.-Raj Govind Sen, Mooktear of the Rajah of Tipperah.

I AM a native of the pergunnah Sarael, village Chuntoor, in Tipperah. I am acquainted with the districts of Tipperah, Sylhet, Mymensingh, Dacca and Chit-

tagong. In these districts there are two classes of slaves, the Kayat and Chundal.. The distinction between them is, that the Kayat is pure, and the superior castes can receive water from him; the Chundal is impure, and can only be employed in out-door

A slave is either so by descent or by sale. A free person may be sold either by both his parents, or the survivor of them, or by himself.

.

A free person who has attained majority cannot be sold unless with his own consent. These sales of freemen only take place in time of calamity. Sometimes the consideration for which a freeman sells himself is marriage with a slave

Sometimes free persons are sold by themselves, or by their parents, to Mussulmans, and become Mussulmans; but no adult, even if already a slave, can be sold to a Mussulman without his own consent.

If a Kayat slave were converted to Islamism he would become unfit for domestic use, but would continue a slave, and might be employed out of doors by a Hindoo master. " I am not aware that there is any importation of slaves for sale in the districts of which

I speak ; though sometimes people going to Assam buy slaves there, and bring them back with them. 262.

,

The

Appendix I.

Evidence.

Appendix I. Evidence.

The price of a young Kayat woman varies from 40 rupees to 100; that of a young man, from 20 to 40.

The price of a young Chundal woman varies from 10 to 20 rupees; that of a young Chundal man is about the same.

The cause of the high comparative value of the female among Kayat slaves is, that she attends upon the ladies of the family. The price of a Kayat female child is from 20 to 30 rupees; that of a male child, from 10

to 25 rupees.

That of a female Chundal chuld is from 7 to 10 rupses; that of a male chuld, the same. There is, in Sylhet, a class of out-door slaves who are Mussulmans; I believe they are

low caste people who have been converted, but have retained their servile state.

Slaves are very numerous in these districts; a family of respectability will frequently have from 10 to 25 families of slaves; and there is no family of respectability, either Ma-. homedan or Hindoo, that has not at least one family of slaves.

I should say one-fourth of the population are slaves

Many slaves are not required to do regular work for their masters, but only to attend at festivals.

There is generally a reciprocal regard between master and slave; and the master treats his slave with more kindness and attention than his hired servant.

In general it is considered derogatory to sell a slave; but it is done when the owner is in distress.

It is customary on the marriage of a daughter to give one or two female slaves as her attendants.

If a slave give offence, it is usual to give him a slap or a blow with a shoe.

I never heard of a case of manumission; but a master sometimes expels his vicious slave. Slaves are married with the same ceremonies as free persons of the same class ; and when the husband and wife belong to different masters, it is usual for the owner of the woman to

give her to the man's master, receiving a present, which is always less than her value. If this kind of marriage take place without the consent of the woman's master, the offspring are all his slaves.

Sometimes female slaves are married to persons whose profession it is to go about as the husbands of slaves. These persons are called Byakara, and this kind of marriage is called , "punwah shadee." The offspring of this marriage are the slaves of the woman's master. The

Byakara is generally a slave, but receives to his own use what he earns as a Byakara. He comes to each of his wives about once in a month or two, and receives at each visit sustenance and a present. He receives at each marriage four or five rupees. It is not usual to let slaves to hire; but I have heard that, beyond the limits of the

Company's territories, in the hill country of Tipperah, Munipore and Jentia, that custom prevails.

In a case which was decided, in appeal, in the Nizamut Adawlut, in 1837, certain slaves were restored to their owner. The name of the case is Photia and others (the slaves) v. Musund Alı, zemındar of Sarael, whose agent I was.

A nephew of mine brought an action against a slave of his and two persons, to whom the slave had clandestinely given his own daughter in marriage. The object of the suit was to recover the two female slaves. The suit was compromised.

In another case, of which the circumstances were the same, the master got a decree in the , zıllah court of Tipperah, and recovered his female slave.

I have been 16 years in Calcutta, and only know these cases from hearsay.

28 December 1838.

2.- Teh Loll, Mooktear in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

I was born in Behar, in the village of Futtehpore, pergunnah Putchroke, and am owner of seven slaves, whom I bought.

I am acquainted with that district and the adjoining districts. Of Hindoo slaves there are two classes in Behar, the Kuhar and the Dhanuk, which is also called Juswar-Kurmi. These are both inheritable, and are transferable by sale. By the local custom of Behar, free persons, whether infant or adult, of these two classes, may be sold by their maternal uncles or maternal grandmothers, not by their parents.

No one would buy a free person of these classes unless the maternal grandmother or maternal uncle were present at the delivery, and consenting.

The mother has a veto upon the sale, but not the father.

The maternal grandmother has the prior right to sell.

She being dead or permanently absent, then the maternal uncle.

These sales take place not only in times of calamity, but at all times.

Bun-vickree is one kind of these sales, which takes place when the subject of the sale is absent from his family, and cannot be got at.

The consent of the subject is quite immaterial, and is not asked.

The price is lower when the sale is bun-vickree, on account of the risk the buyer runs of not getting possession of the person sold. The Kuhar and Juswar-Kurmi sometimes sell themselves to their creditors, or for the pur-

pose of paying their creditors with the price.

Besides those who have thus become slaves from freemen, there are many who are slaves by

by descent. These have all descended from persons belonging to the Kuhar or Juswar-Kurmi, and who have been sold in the manner described.

These sales take place, not only to Hindoos, but also to Mussulmans or other persons. When a Mussulman is the buyer, and makes a convert of the slave, the slave is called " Moollah Zadah."

I have known Mussulmans to buy slaves brought from other districts. But a Hindoo would not do so, because he would not be sure of the slave's caste, and would fear pollution. The slaves thus brought from other districts are generally children.

If a person thus sold were to refuse compliance, the buyer would coerce him; and I should think the magistrate would support the buyer in doing so.

I do not know any case of the kind of my own knowledge, but I have heard of such cases. In case of scarcity or famine, other cases sometimes give up their children to be brought up by persons in good circumstances, but no price is given; and the children are not slaves, though they perform service in the house

Sales of free persons, as above described, are very common, and so are sales of persons already in slavery.

The only difference between the Kubar and the Juswar-Kurmi is, that the former, being of inferior caste, carry palankeens, which the latter do not; with this exception, they are both employed in the same menial offices, and in agriculture.

The price of slaves, of course, varies much according to circumstances. But the price of a young female may be from 50 to 125 rupees, and that of a young male about a third less. The cause of the difference is, that the girl may have children, which will belong to her owner.

Children of from six to eight sell for from 10 to 15 rupees : the price of females exceeding that of males in about the same proportion as above. The pergunnah of Puchroke contains about a lakh of people. I should think the pro-

portion of slaves is about one-eighth. Probably the same proportion may prevail in the rest of the zillah.

If a slave will not work, he is coerced by threats, by flogging, and by stopping his rations. The usual character of slaves is obedient ; but sometimes slaves are refractory

In agricultural labour, slaves are generally mixed with free labourers, and no greater quantity of labour is exacted from them. Both work the whole day, with short intervals for refreshment.

28 December 1838.

3 .- Vaydia Nath Missar, Pundit of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

I AM a native of pergunnah Dharour, zillah Tirhoot.

I am well acquainted with that zillah, and have some knowledge of the adjoining districts of Sarun and Purneah.

The slaves in Tirhoot are all Kyburts; but they are subdivided into Kyburt proper, Dhanuk, Amat and Kurmi. (Kyburt in common parlance is pronounced Keeot.) Many people of these castes, however, are free.

The origin of all this slavery must be traced to self-sale or self-gift. I arrive at this conclusion by comparing the actual state of things with the doctrine of the Shasters.

By the Hindoo law, a Brahmin cannot be a slave to any body; a Khitrya or Byse might be, but I never heard of any that were. The slaves of the several classes mentioned are nearly the same in regard to purity, and

are employed indifferently in in-door and out-door work

There are no slave-castes in my country, nor does the Hindoo law recognize slavery as incident to caste.

Many of the slaves of great families are settled on the estates, and are not required to perform any service except attending at ceremonies and defending their master in case of need. They pay rent, but less than is paid by free persons. They have, however, no right to any part of the produce of the land, nor to any property as against their master; and if he is angry with them, he sometimes takes every thing from them. The rajah of Durbhunga has a great many slaves. Many free people of the castes precified are in the babit of snylving to he mut on bills to flaves their object is to obtain

the offices of gomashtahs and tehsildars.

I know of no text of Hindoo law which gives the slave a right to sustenance from his master, but all masters do maintain their old and infirm slaves; and, I think, as this is the established custom, a court of justice would decree maintenance to a slave, if it were refused. But I know no case in which the question has been brought before a court. Indeed, slaves

But I know no case in which the question has been brought before a court. Indeed, slaves are generally more favoured than other servants. The practice of self-sale is now frequent, the transaction is recorded by an instrument called "param bhatarak." The price in these cases is the absolute property of the slave, and descends to his heirs; which is also the case with all property of which the slave may have been possessed previous to the sale. The sale of free children by their parents only takes place in cases of great distress, and would be invalid in other circumstances by Hindoo law; only the castes above-mentioned sell themselves or their children.

sell themselves or their children.

My paternal grandfather died, leaving five sons. They divided the property, and among 262. other

225

Evidence.

APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 900

Appendix I.

Evidence.

other things, eight families of slaves. One of my uncles died; and his slaves, fearing that they would be shared among the other brothers, and that their families would thus be separated, fied away to Derhampore, in Purneah, which is on the estate of the Durbhunga separated, fied away to Derhampore, in Furnesh, which is on the estate of the Durbhunga rajah. My eldest uncle, the head of the family, went after them to induce them to return. They agreed to do so, but the head of the family dying at that time, they did not come hack. The death of this uncle took place about thirty years ago, and since that time my other uncles, my father and my elder brother have written occasionally to the rajah's manager to claim the slaves. We have sent messages, and they answer "We will come." We have never sued for them, because it would be expensive, and the courts do not favour the claims of masters to slaves. And another difficulty exists, in this, that we are a numerous body of binsmen begins a joint undivided claim on several families of alares. kinsmen, having a joint undivided claim on several families of slaves.

Those of the slaves who have acquired no property, say they are ready to return ; but those who have made acquisitions refuse

It would not be considered disreputable in us to take the acquisitions of these slaves. which by law belong to us

I am one of an undivided family of four brothers. We have, in our household, thirteen slaves; three who descended to us, and ten whom we bought. Besides these, and besides those above-mentioned who went away to Derhampore, there are two families, consisting together of ten or twelve individuals who belong to us, my sunt, and my sister. They are settled on another part of the Durbhunga rajah's estate; but they come to us whenever they are summoned, to attend at festivals. We do not support them. The chastisement of a slave ought to be the same as that of a son; that is, by the half ratan, or by tying him up by the hands. But it may be inferred, from the power which the

Shasters recognize in the master to exact work, that he may be interfed, the power which the work; and it is the duty of the ruling power to make the master and slave both perform their duties. One of our slaves ran away, and my brother applied to the magistrate to have hum restored. This took place about twenty years ago. The magistrate issued orders to the darogahs, but the slave escaped into the Napaul territory. The slave afterwards, on hearing that I was established in Calcutta, came and joined my household. My brother then wrote to me to inform me of his having ran away, and to beg me to turn hum away. But I kept him notwithstanding.

I do not know of any case of manumission; but I have heard of manumissions, where the slave had done something with which the master was much pleased. When a slave saves his master's life, he is ipso facto manumitted according to the Hindoo law; and in such case the slave is entitled to share in the master's property as a son.

Slaves are employed generally in menial offices, with the exception of cookery, which would be impure if performed by a slave. Poor persons, who have no slaves, hire persons to do such work ; but slaves are preferred by those who can afford to purchase them, because slaves have a permanent attachment to the family.

In general, I think, it is more economical to be served by slaves than by hired servants.

A master is, in general, more disposed to favor his slave than a hired labourer, from whom he generally exacts the full measure of work.

A severe master might oppress his slave in a way which a hired servant of the same caste would not submit to.

The slave has no right to any portion of his time.

A shave who does no work regularly for his master, but is only called upon to attend at festivals, or to do other occasional service, neceives, when so called upon, the same rations as a freeman, and wages, but not so high as those of a freeman.

No absolute slave has a right to purchase his freedom; but sometimes there is a stipu-lation for redemption in the contract of self-sale, or of the sale of a child. I have never heard of a class of slaves called Moollah Zadah. The Hindoo slaves of

Mussulmans remain Hindoos.

By the Shasters, property in slaves (or bipeds as they are called) is treated with the same respect as immoveable property, and is transferred with equal formality. Consequently, no one buys without full inquiry; and in the conveyance all the particulars are recorded. When a slave is bought of a stranger, it is usual to require that some known person should become surety that the seller has a right to sell.

Perhaps one or two-sixteenths of the whole population of these districts are slaves; but the great majority of the Kyburt caste are slaves ; almost all respectable families bave slaves, even those who are in a state of decay.

The same rites are observed at the marriage of slaves as of other Sudras, and the master is under a moral obligation to provide for the marriage of his slaves, as of his children. The parents of a young slave are consulted as to the choice of a bride or bridegroom. Illegiti-

parents of a young slave are consulted as to the choice of a bride or bridegroom. Illegiti-mate children of a slave woman are slaves of the woman's master. When two slaves of different masters intermarry, there is usually a stipulation between the two masters respecting the ownership of the children; where there is no stipulation, the male children follow the father, the female the mother. There is frequently a special stipulation respecting the ownership of the children, depend-ing upon the expenses of the marriage being all paid by one party, or some such cause. If a free person of either sex marry a slave, without stipulating for freedom with the master, such person becomes a slave; but if such person stipulate for freedom, then the . children are slaves or free according to their sex; I am stating the law as laid down in the Shasters, but I have heard that the practice is conformable to it, though I do not know any case of my own knowledge. case of my own knowledge.

If a male slave marry the slave of another master, without his consent, such slave may nevertheless nevertheless have access to his wife, but so as not to interfere with her service more than conjugal rights necessarily require.

The practice of punwah shadee is known in the districts of which I speak.

The sale of slaves is very common, but it is becoming less so, because the leaning of the urts against slavery deters people from purchasing. The probability that the courts will not enforce the rights of the master has caused the proce of slaves to fall considerably.

The present average price of a young girl is now from 25 trupees to 40, and it used to be from 50 to 60. The price of a young male of 18 or 20, is from 16 to 20 rupees, and was from 30 to 40.

It would be considered oppressive to sell a slave, so as to place him beyond the reach of communication with people of his own class, or to separate families; the courts ought to interfere to prevent such sales.

There are no slaves adscript to the soil. I know no instance in which slaves have been sold in execution of a decree, or for arrears of revenue or rent; but I see nothing illegal in such a proceeding. I am not aware that slaves are ever hired out, but the interest of a debt is sometimes paid

by the services of a slave, the slave remaining in the possession of the debtor, who continues to maintain the slave.

The mortgage of slaves is legal, but not much practised, not being convenient. If a mortgaged slave due, the loss falls upon the mortgagor, and he must provide another slave; but if the death be occasioned by the fault of the mortgagee, then the loss fails upon him.

Sale for the purpose of prostitution is of course illegal, because a prostitute necessarily loses caste.

2 January 1839.

4 .-- Hamid Russool, Vakeel of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

I AM a native of Behar, district of Patna, pergunnah Sunda. I am acquainted with other districts of Behar, viz. Ramghur, Behar Proper, Shahabad and Turhoot.

There are two classes of Hindoo slaves, Kuhar and Kurmi; the Kuhar are principally domestic slaves ; many of the Kurmi have separated themselves from their masters, owing to the decay of the master's family, and have established themselves as cultivators upon their own account; the right of the master to these slaves remains nevertheless, and may be asserted.

The slave generally returns to service when required; if he refuse, and a breach of the peace arise, and the case come before the magistrate, he would, if he had no doubt about the slavery, pass an order for the dehvery of the slave to the master; if he had a doubt, he would tell the master to bring his action in the civil court; I do not know any instance of this of my own knowledge, but I have heard of such instances.

I remember a case in zillah Behar where one Afzul Ali, a Muslim, applied to the magistrate, and being referred to the civil court, brought a regular action in the zillah court against the slave, a girl, and Salamut Alu, the person who was harbouring her; he got a decree, and the girl was restored to him.

The great majority of Kurmis are absolutely free; but, as far as I know, a free Kuhar does not exist, though many have left their masters, and are practically free; but these, when claimed, never pretend to be gurua or unowned; they are sold by their owners, but never by any one else.

The sale of free children is rare, but in times of extreme distress, even Brahmins, Khitryas and Syuds will sell their children; I have heard that this occurred in the great famine, m the fushi year 1177; at present only the lower classes sell their children when urged by distress.

The sale of high caste children is not considered valid in law; and I have heard that the purchasers of such children, in the great famme, returned the children when they discovered that they were of high caste.

By strict Mahomedan law no one can be a slave but a Cafir taken in battle; but by By strict intaionician new no one can be a since the labouring classes is permitted; the law is evaded by framing the deed as a contract of hire for a long period; the same form is used in the sale of a Hindoo; for in Behar the Mahomedan forms of contract and conveyance have been generally adopted,

The offspring of a person thus sold is free; my grandfather bought a female Kuhar in this manner; she remained in our family, as a slave, till her death, but we have no right to her children; she relative in our family, and were supported by us, but they are free. I have never known a contract of this sort, in which any mention was made of future

offspring; but I have known cases in which men have sold both themselves and their existing offspring by the same deed.

I have never heard of any importation of slaves into zillah Behar or Patna, and people do not buy slaves from unknown persons.

If a slave refuse to work, the master corrects him with a slap on the face, or a rattan; if the slave is incorrigibly obstinate or vicious, he is turned away : this rarely happens. Slaves perform menial offices in the house, including cookery, when the master is a Mahomedan. Slaves are also employed in agriculture.

262.

Manumission

227

Evidence

Appendix T. Evidence.

Manumission is rare, and not generally desired by the slave. But it sometimes happens, that a master anticipating, from the evil disposition of his children, that they will maltreat the slaves, manumits such of them as he has a regard for.

The slaves of great people frequently appear to possess property; but I suppose, in law, it is the property of the master. I know of no case in which the right to such property has been disputed between master and slave.

A master has no right to exact from his slave offices which are unsuitable to his caste; and I preaume the slave would be protected in refusing to perform such offices.

The Mahomedan master has a right to exact the embraces of his female unmarried slave, of the same religion, but not of a Hindoo slave.

If a slaw, so subjected to the embraces of her master, have a child by him, she is called "um-ul-wald" (the mother of offspring) and becomes free. The offspring inherit as legitimate children.

. Slaves are not entitled to any time to work for themselves.

A slave who is separated from his master is entitled to food and clothing, if called upon for some occasional service, and he also commonly receives a present.

I think, upon an average, that there is some economy, and certainly some comfort, in being served by slaves, rather than free people, particularly female slaves. In the country, female free servants are not to be procured. Both males and females, of the lower classes, think it derogatory to them to take menial service; and to the females, in particular, it is disreputable.

Slaves are frequently employed in offices of trust. They are generally more trusted than freé servants.

A man who has sold himself into slavery has no right to redeem himself without his master's consent. Nor has the parent of a child, who has been sold, any right to redeem the child.

Syuds,* and Sheikhs, Patans and Malaks + are the only Mahomedans who cannot be slaves according to the custom of the country. A Mahomedan master employs a Hindoo slave in out-dobr work, and does not interfere

with his religion.

The proportion of slaves, in the above districts, may perhaps be about five per cent. All respectable families, whether Hindoo or Mahomedan, have slaves. It rarely happens that a Hindoo slave is converted, and becomes Moollah Zada. I never saw one.

The same rights of marriage are observed among slaves as among free men, whether Hindoo or Mahomedan; and it is the duty of the master to provide a spouse for his slave, and to pay the expenses of the marriage.

In the absence of any special agreement, the master of the female slave is entitled to the offspring.

So also, if the husband is a free man, and there be no special agreement. It is not usual to make special agreements as to the distribution of the offspring. I never heard of a free woman marrying a slave. I am speaking of the slaves of Mussulman masters, whether such slaves be Mussulman

or Hindoos

The husband of a slave woman has no right to remove his wife from her master's household, but he is entitled to have access to her. Slaves are generally well treated. The old and infirm are entitled, by law and justice, to

support and care. I have never heard of this right being enforced by application to a court.

Cruelty to a slave does not entitle him to emancipation, But the magistrate ought to interfere to prevent and to punish it. The withholding of support, or the inability to give it, would authorize' the magistrate to

set the slave free.

It is thought disreputable to sell slaves, but not so to buy.

The price of a Hindoo slave girl is from 30 to 100 rupees; that of a young male, from 25 to 40 rupees

It is not usual to sell slaves to purchasers living at a great distance, nor to separate . families.

According to usage, a slave about to be sold is allowed to object to the purchaser, and to choose any other who is willing to pay the price; and the master ought to give the slave time in such, a case to find a purchaser. If, however, the slave cannot find one, the transaction must proceed.

I know of no class of slaves who are adscript to the soil.

It is not the custom to sell slaves in execution of decrees, or for arrears of rent and revenue.

The practice of letting slaves to hire, or mortgaging them, does not occur in my country. Procuresses sometimes kidnap children for the purpose of prostitution. It would be disgraceful in a Mahomedan master to sell a girl for that purpose. It is also contrary to Mahomedan law.

2 January 1839.

5.-R. H. Mytton,

• The descendant of the prophet and the descendants of his companions. † Descendants of persons who have received titles from the sovereign.

5 .- R. H. Mytton, Esq.; Magistrate of Sylhet.

I was three years in Sylhet as magistrate and collector.

Sylhet is under a ryotwary settlement, and every Meerassadar has, in his family, one, two or three slaves.

It is considered as a mark of distinction to possess slaves; and a man's slave is the last thing he will sell.

The number of registered Meerassadars is a lakh and a quarter; but amongst them are many under-purchasers, who are of an inferior rank and station, and do not possess slaves, though they call themselves Meerassadars.

I cannot say what is the number of registered Meerassadars. For these reasons it is extremely difficult to estimate, with any accuracy, the number of slaves. It is not common to sell a slave against his own consent, nor to sell one to a person

residing at a great distance.

Complaints have sometimes been made to me, by mothers, that their master was about to sell their infant children, so as to separate them, —I mean children of an age to require parental care. In such cases I have interfered, to prevent the master from doing so. I parental care. In such cases I have interfered, to prevent the master from doing so. I have never found it necessary to do more than issue an order. I doubt whether it would be legal to enforce such an order by punishment.

I never recollect a case of the separation of husband and wife coming before me. The greater part of the whole population is Mussulman, and so is the greater part of the. slave population.

I never heard the term Moollah Zada.

A great many Hindoo masters have Mussulman slaves, but very few Mussulman masters have Hindoo slaves

The greater part of the poorer classes is Mussulman, and it is, of course, these classes who sell themselves and their children in times of scarcity. They do not object to selling themselves to Hindoo masters.

The slave population is principally employed in agriculture.

The condition of slaves differs very little from that of freemen of the same class.

I never heard of any slaves who are adscripti gleba.

I never heard of a case of manumission.

By law, I believe, the master is entitled to all the slave's earnings; but in practice it is ry common for slaves to possess property. Some are burkundazes, receiving government. very common for slaves to possess property. Some are burkundazes, receiving government pay to their own use. Some are holders of lands, under their masters, and pay rent. The master can, by law, compel his female slave to marry against her consent; indeed,

both slave and free children are generally married at an age at which they are incapable of giving consent.

Female slaves are frequently married to men whose profession it is to go about as the husbands of slaves. The object of this arrangement is, that the slave girl may remain in her master's house, and that all her children may belong to him.

These itinerant husbands receive a present at the marriage, and they are maintained, while visiting their wives, by the master.

The master is bound by law to maintain his old or infirm slave, and the general feeling would be strongly against the neglect of that obligation. I have never been called upon to enforce it as a magistrate.

I think there is no importation of slaves into Sylhet, nor do I think there is any exportation to foreign countries. But certainly, and particularly in years of scarcity, there is some exportation into the adjoining districts.

I think it would not be expedient to prevent this, masmuch as it alleviates the distress.

I do not think Regulation III. of 1832, applicable to such cases. Because this is not importing from one province to another, and because, under the circumstances under which it takes place, it cannot, I think, be called removal for purposes of traffic.

There is also a practice of inveigling slaves, principally women and children, away from their masters, carrying them away, and selling them in the adjoining districts, especially in the pergunnah of Bickrampore, near Dacca, which is inhabited by respectable Hindoos, Brahmins and Kayets, amongst whom there is a great demand for such slaves.

Whenever a case of this kind has come before me, I have always punished it as a theft; and, I believe, this has been the practice of my predecessors. I have had many such cases before me.

There are many persons who are legally slaves, and who may be reclaimed by their masters, but who are practically free, and living in residences of their own.

There are others who are in states intermediate between complete slavery and that which I have just described.

The usual way in which a man sells himself is by a deed, purporting to lease his services for a long term, nearly a hundred years in general. The deed is called "kharidagi-pottah."

In India, it is common to borrow money, the borrower mortgaging his services for a short term of years.

Cases have come before me, where free female children have been sold for purposes of prostitution. I have always interfered to prevent the completion of such sales; and I think I have bound over the parents in recognizances not to sell the children.

I never heard of slaves being sold in satisfaction of a decree, or for arrears of revenue or rent.

12 January 1839.

262.

6.-Dhurb

Appendix I. Evidence

Appendix I.

Bridence.

6.—Dhurb Singh Das, Oriah Missul Khawn, in the Calcutta Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.

I AM a native of pergunnah Cutteya, in the northern division of Cuttack.

Since 1819, I have held various official situations in that province, where I remained till

November 1837, when I attained my present appointment in the Sudder. There are two classes of persons in Cuttack who generally keep domestic slaves, Mus-sulmans and Kaits. The latter are subdivided into Myntea or Oriah Kaits, the Bengalee and Lalla or Western Kaits.

There are also some rajahs and zemindars who are Kundaits, Rajpoots and Ketryas, who keep such slaves, but no Brahmin does so.

Before the Mahratta invasion of Cuttack the rajah Pursuttam Deo prohibited Brahmins from keeping slaves. I do not know the reason of this prohibition, but since that time no Brahmin keeps a domestic slave.

The Byse also never keep domestic slaves, it is contrary to the principles of their easte.

The domestic slaves consist of such low castes as are considered pure. The impure castes are employed exclusively in out-door work. All classes of people who can afford it keep this kind of slaves, and they are constantly sold from hand to hand.

The pure castes are-

. Chasa, Khundait, Gualah, Tanti, Agari, Bas Bania and Nursala.

The impure castes are ;

Dhobee, Chumar, Ghokha, Kyut or Kyburt, Raree, Pan, Kundra, Napit, Bhagti, Hari and Dome.

All kinds of slaves are constantly sold ; but according to popular recognition, the consent of the slave is necessary.

This custom has arisen from a proclamation issued, in 1824, by Mr. Robert Ker, who was commissioner of Cuttack.

A slave who was sold against his own consent ran away. The master used force to coerce this; he complained to the magistrate, who gave him no protection; he then appealed to the commissioner, who gave him his liberty, fined the purchaser, and issued the proclamation of which I have spoken.

The proclamation declared the sale of slaves illegal.

Since that time, I think in 1829 or 1830, a slave complained to Mr. Forrester, the magis-trate, who declared a deed of sale of a slave to be unlawful, fined the purchaser, awarded sosts from him to the slave, and referred the purchaser to the civil court to recover the price he had paid from the seller. This is the only case I remember since the proclamation. The effect of the proclamation has been, not to put an end to sales, but to prevent their taking place without the consent of the slave.

There are Mussulman slaves, who are the illegitimate offspring of women of low caste, whether slaves or free women, by Mussulmans.

The offspring of a Mussulman and a low caste woman has no right to inherit from his father, unless the ceremony of marriage have been performed between his parents.

There are also Mussulman slaves who have become so by conversion, having been bought from their parents or masters in childhood.

The origin of Hindoo slavery is, sale of free persons by themselves or their parents. People do not usually sell themselves or their children, unless pressed by necessity. This kind of sale is not uncommon at the present day.

The purer classes of slaves are sometimes employed in out-door work as well as in in-door. In such cases, they work separately from the impure classes, by whom they would be con-taminated. If a man of pure caste accidentally touch one of impure caste, he must purify himself by washing.

It is usual for people of impure caste, in going along the road, if they meet a man of pure caste who happens not to observe them, to give warning, saying, "Good Sir, I am of such and such a caste; you had better retire."

Formerly, the impure castes lived in separate villages, and gave way whenever they met a person of pure caste on the road ; but since the country came under the Company's government, they have become more independent.

If a slave refuse to work, or otherwise misbehave, the master corrects him by besting with the hand or a cane, or by tying him up for an hour or two.

I never heard of a complaint being made by a slave to a magistrate of ill-treatment.

Emancipation is not uncommon when a master is much pleased with a slave. In that case, if the slave were purchased, the master gives him the deed of sale; if there is no such deed, the master executes a farigh khatte, or release.

No time is allowed to the slave to work on his own account, and any thing he may acquire belongs to his master.

The murriages of slaves take place with the same rites as those of freemen of the same caste, and the expense is paid by the master. Upon the death of slaves of pure caste, the master also provides the funeral feast.

The usual practice is, for the master to buy a husband or wrife for his alave; but when a marriage takes place between the slaves of two different owners, the owners take the offspring alternately;

alternately; and if the woman cease to bear when the number of her offspring is uneven, the last child goes to one owner, he paying half its value to the other.

When such a marriage takes place with the consent of the woman's master, she goes to live with her husband, rendering only occasional service to her master. If it take place without his consent, he allows the husband to have access; but the children all belong to him. the woman's master.

In ever heard of the intermarriage of a free person with a slave. The low castes of which I have spoken are in three different conditions. They are either -first, free; or, second, actual slaves; or, third, persons who, having been themselves slaves, or having sprung from slaves, can never escape the stigma of slavery, though they are in the enjoyment of liberty.

Persons in this last condition intermarry with actual slaves; but only when they can purchase them from their masters.

I never heard of Byakaras or punwah shadee.

Slaves are generally well treated; their condition is equal to that of hired labourers. The master is bound to maintain his old or infirm slave; and I presume the slave might obtain a decree for maintenance in the civil court.

The master may exact any service which is not derogatory to his caste. It would be derogatory to the pure castes to compel them to work with the impure, and would therefore be an act of oppression.

It is more economical to employ slaves than freemen, both m-doors and out of doors.

I am an owner of slaves. I have 50.

I give an adult male slave a seer of rice, half a chittak of salt, half a chittak of oil, and one quarter of a seer of dal, or a pice to buy vegetables. I also give two pice a week for tobacco. Two pice will purchase as much tobacco in Cut-

tack as four anas here.

I also allow them to cut fire-wood upon my ground. The usual allowance for fire-wood, when the slave is to purchase it, is half a pice a day.

I give four dhotes, two unguchas, one chuddar, and one blanket every year.

This is the usual allowance given to slaves.

They are provided with lodging.

They are trusted with the custody of money and other valuables in preference to hired servants.

There is no redemption in the case of self-sale, or sale of children by their parents.

There is a class of persons who agree to serve as slaves for food. They can put an end to their servitude when they please; but the stigma still remains. These people differ from hired servants, in respect that they hve upon the leavings of the master's table, which degrades them to the rank of slaves.

The children of such people, if born after the servitude commenced, are slaves for ever.

Such people can acquire no property during the continuance of the servitude. Women become slaves in this way as well as men.

The proportion of slaves to freemen is as six to ten ; a great zemindar will sometimes have 2,000 slaves. There are many such ; Junma Jay Chowdri and Baghwat Chowdri and others.

I dare say there are 200 or 250 who have as many. I have been speaking only of the northern and central divisions of Cuttack. In the southern division, there are but few slaves, and they are seldom sold. The great zemindars there employ freemen.

The southern and cestral divisions are the most flourishing parts of Cuttack. Land is better cultivated by slaves than by freemen; for the slaves feel that they have an interest in the land.

I attribute the present depressed state of agriculture, in North Cuttack, to the late inunda-The castes to which slaves of North and Central Cuttack belong exist in equal numbers in tions of the sea.

South Cuttack.

The price of a young male varies from five to 30 rupees. That of a young female is the same.

Slaves of the Gokha caste sell for more than other slaves, because the men are fishermen, and the women manage the buying and selling, and are very skilful, and their occupation is a productive one, both to the slave and the master. The Gokha is allowed to retain a large share of the produce, making over the remainder to his master. The Gokha is allowed to retain a large share of the produce, making over the remainder to his master. The Gokha females never sell for less than 50 rupees. The male sells for less, and I cannot tell the reason. Generally, the pure castes bear a higher price than the impure, because they can be employed in domestic occupations.

A boy of five or six years sells for one-fifth of the price of a young adult ; the same of a . girl.

Before Mr. Ker's proclamation, the slave might be sold to a purchaser living at any distance, and the master was not considered to act oppressively.

But even in those times it was not usual to separate families.

There are no adscripti glebæ.

It is common to borrow money upon a mortgage of slaves; but the slaves remain in the possession of the mortgagor.

It is not common to let slaves to hire.

The old form of self-sale was by a deed of sale ; but since Mr. Ker's proclamation at is done by a lease of 60, 70 or 80 years, which is understood to include children born after the lease. 262.

7 F 4

Sale

Appendix I.

*31

Evidence

Appendix I. Evidence.

Sale for prostitution is illegel by the Shaster; and is considered immoral and disreputable, though it takes place sometimes.

I knew a case in which a judgment creditor included slaves in the schedule of his debtor's property, for the attachment and sale of which he moved the court. The debtor objected, and Mr. Pigou had the slaves struck out of the schedule, saying they were not a fit subject for sale.

18 January 1839.

7 .- Kashi Nath Khan, Agent of the Ranees of the late Rajah Bishen Nath, of Nator.

I AM a Brahmin.

I am a native of the village of Satteen, pergunnah Khatta, district of Rajshahi. I am principally acquainted with the zillah of Rajshahi; but I have hkéwise some knowledge of the adjoining districts.

possessed two slaves; one is dead, and I have now but one.

Most of the respectable, people in Rajshahi, both Hindoos and Mahomedans, have domestic slaves.

The Mahomedans have generally Mahomedan slaves. The Hundoo slaves are of the Kybert, Kait, Jalia, Mali, and generally of all the low castes. There is no caste so low as to be incapable of slavery; but the lowest castes are not employed within doors.

The origin of slavery is, self-sale and sale, by parents or other relations in loco parentis; also of a wife by her husband. This sale does not dissolve the marriage; if the husband have access to her, the offspring will belong to the purchaser, who is the owner of the soil.*

These sales, which formerly sometimes took place in Rajshahi, were principally sales of slaves imported from Rungpore and Mymensingh. (The sale of slaves domiciled in Rajshahi has always been uncommon.) Formerly these sales were frequent, and took place in the market.

But, about 20 years ago, a person was detected in having bought a boy of 10 years old, and sacrificed him to the goddess Kali. He was tried, convicted of murder and executed. This case occurred in Rungpore; but, in consequence of it, a proclamation was issued, by order of the Nizamut Adawiut, in Rajshahi and other adjoining districts, prohibiting the sale of slaves in the market. The people supposed that the prohibition was extended to all sales, and in consequence of this understanding, though private sales still take place, yet it is no longer the custom to register them, as it was before the proclamation, in the zillah or the pergunnah-Cazi's office.

Formerly, slaves were imported from Rungpore and Mymensingh by itinerant dealers. That traffic has ceased; and now, when a person in Rajshahi wishes to buy slaves, he must either go or send, or write to those districts, and has some difficulty in finding slaves for sale.

The slaves may be about two or three-sixteenths of the whole population. Some of the agricultural slaves are fed by their masters; but others cultivate for them-selves land which their masters have allotted to them, cultivating at the same time the

master's land. In this case, the master supplies cattle and implements of husbandry. Self-sale does not now occur in Rajshahi; I believe it has ceased in consequence of the proclamation which I have mentioned, and of the inclination of the courts in favour of freedom.

A self-sold slave may be purchased in Rungpore and Mymensingh ; but such a slave will probably be told, that if he run away, the courts will not restore him to his master.

Refractory slaves are coerced by threats, and beating with the hand or a stick. But this consequence often follows, that some other person, who wishes to seduce the slave, tells him that if he complain to the magistrate he will be liberated; and a master, therefore, very seldom beats his slave.

There are no adscripti gleba.

But if an estate is cultivated by slaves, no one would purchase the estate without the 'slaves.

There are many estates in Mymensingh, on which the greater part of the cultivators are slaves, and there are some such estates in Rajshahi.

I can mention, in particular, the estate of Lushkurpore. A portion of that estate has been sold for arrears of revenue; the slave cultivators were not sold with the land, and I consider them to be still the property of the old zemindar, but practically they are free ryots,

paying rent to the new zemindar. When slaves are sold with the land, it is usual to have separate bills of sale for the land and the slaves.

I have heard of but one instance of manumission.

The slave cannot hold any property against his master. Slaves are married with the same rites as free persons of the same caste-It is the moral duty of the master to provide for the marriage of his male and female

slaves.

^{*} This figurative expression has reference to a maxim of Hindoo law, according to which the female is considered as the soil, and the male as the seed.

slaves. Sometimes the master will buy a wife for his male slave; sometimes he will marry him to the daughter of a freeman, who consents to make his daughter a slave to obtain the favour of the master. The slavery of the bridegroom is not considered derogatory to the bride's family, she being still admitted to communion with her family. Sometimes the master will buy a husband for his female slave. In other cases he marries.

her to a Byakara, who visits her occasionally, she remaining in her master's house. The Byakara has generally several wives of this kind, and visits them m succession. Sometimes this kind of marriage is intended only as a screen to conceal the intimacy of the master with his female slave.

The offspring of a Byakara, whether he be free or a slave, belong to the masters of his wives respectively.

It is not usual for the husband and wife to be slaves of different masters, on account of It is not usual for the nusband and while to be slaves of dimerent masters, on account of the inconvenience; but if a slave of one master marry the slave of another without his con-sent, the offspring belongs to him. If such a marriage were to take place with his consent, there would be a stipulation as to the division of the offspring. Slaves are in general well treated. A respectable master will treat his domestic slave as a child. Less kindness is felt for the slave who does not live in his master's house. But

to the ist treated in the same way as a bired labourer. There is more satisfaction in having domestic service performed by slaves than by hired servants, because they are more trustworthy, but I think the expense is about the same. The same may be said of out-door slaves.

The slave has a right to maintenance from his master in age and sickness, and the courts

The slave has a right to maintenance from his master in age and sickness, and the courts would enforce the right. According to the Shaster, the master would be punished for ill-using his slave, but the slave would not be liberated. Now, however, I believe the courts would liberate the slave. In Mymensingh and Rungpore, masters let their slaves to hire, particularly females, but not in Rajshahi. The hiring is generally for short periods, from two to six months. There are two modes in which slaves are mortgaged : one, when the mortagee has posses-sion of the slave whose services discharge the interest; the other, when the possession remains with the mortgagor, and the security of the creditor depends upon the deed only. Slaves are transferred by an absolute bill of sale.

arrears of rent or revenue.

4

There is no redemption in the case of self-sale or sale by a parent. As far as I have observed, it is not usual to separate husband and wife, or young children from their parents. But the master has certainly a right to sell his slave to whom he pleases without his consent; but, the ruling power ought to restrain him in any oppressive exercise of that right.

18 January 1839.

8.—Henry Ricketts, Esquire, Commissioner of Revenue and Circuit, 19th Division, Cuttack. Cuttack.

I HAVE been employed in the district of Cuttack, in the political, judicial, revenue and salt

departments, since the year 1827. Slavery prevails in all parts of Cuttack, but more particularly in the chuckla of Budruk, in the northern division, and in the chuckla of Jehazpore, in the central division of the district.

Slaves are kept by all classes of persons, and are employed chiefly in out-door work. The slaves are principally Pans, Kundras, Chasas and Gowalahs. The Pans and Kundras are impure castes, and cannot be employed in any services by which their masters might be polluted.

There are also Mussulman slaves.

In 1829 or 1830, in consequence of the prevalence of dacoity in the chuckla of Budruk, and the impression that the slaves were chiefly concerned in those atrocities, I took a census of the slave population of that portion of the district, and found it to amount, to the best of my recollection, to about 11,000; and in 1831 or 1832, I took a census of the whole popu-lation of Balasore, including the chuckla of Budruk, and found it to be 500,000. The official returns of this census are deposited in the magistrate's office.

I do not know how slavery organated in Cuttack's but accessions are continually made by the self-sale of adults, and the sale of children by their parents in times of distress. I believe the Mussulman slaves bear a less proportion to the free Mahomedan population

A deed of sale is the form of document used in cases of self-sale and the sale of

children, and these writings purport to convey the parties sold, and their descendants, in full property for ever. The civil courts so far recognize these sales, as to admit the deeds in property for even. The control control and recognize these sales, as to admit the deeds in evidence for the purpose of deciding on the titles of parties claiming the proprietory right in the slaves, but the slaves themselves are never parties to such suits. I recollect no suit instituted by a master against a slave, or vice versa, founded on a deed of this description; and I cannot say, therefore, what the result would be; but I should not myself enforce such a deed on the prepublic thet assars is not recompared by any of our recollection. I was for seven or eight years magistrate of the northern division of Cuttack, and during

that period several complaints were preferred to me by masters, regarding the non-attend-262. GG

Appendix I.

233

Evidence.

Appendix L Evidence.

ance of their slaves, but I never interfered to assist in coercing the latter; and I believe it to be the general practice of the magistrates in Cuttack not to recognize the right of the master to punish or coerce his slave. I know not how this practice originated. I never heard of any proclamation issued by Mr. Ker, when commissioner of Cuttack, on the subject of slavery.

I am not aware what measures masters resort to for the purpose of enforcing the services of their slaves. My impression is, that one or two cases have occurred of slaves com-plaining against their masters for maltreatment, but I have no distinct recollection of them : such complaints are exceedingly rare.

As a magistrate, I would not recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying any act on the part of the master which would otherwise be an offence, not even an act of slight correction or restraint of the slave.

I do not know whether slaves are ever manumitted by their masters. My impression is, that slaves do occasionally purchase their liberty, but I cannot call to mind any particular instance.

The slaves do not enjoy the privilege of working during any portion of time for their own benefit. The masters have a right to their full labour.

l can give no information respecting the marriages of slaves. The slaves are generally well treated, and their condition is equal to if not better than that of the free agricultural labourer, particularly in a famine.

It is the usage of the country for masters to support their slaves under all circumstances; but suits are never preferred by slaves on this account, and I imagine such suits would not be entertained by the courts.

Slaves are usually maintained by a daily allowance of food and periodical supplies of clothing; but some have lands given them to cultivate, the master receiving half the proclothing; but some nave takes given them is a several of a several several of the several seve

an advance of money; but I do not know for what periods such contracts are usually made, or the conditions of them, whether the services of the self-mortgagor go to the discharge of both principal and interest, or of interest only. I believe that transfers of slaves from one owner to another are frequent, but that they are

never made without the slave's consent.

I understand also that slaves are mortgaged by their masters as security for the payment of a debt; but in such cases the slaves continue in the possession of their owners.

I am not aware whether masters let their slaves to hire.

I know of no slaves adscripti glebs. Children are frequently sold by their parents for the purposes of prostitution : sometimes by kidnappers. There are female slaves attached to the temple of Juggurnaut, but I do not know if to other temples also.

I am not aware of slaves being sold by auction in satisfaction of decrees of court, or for realizing arrears of revenue or rent."

I know of no persons being imported into or exported from the district of Cattack as slaves.

Kidnapping is certainly not common, but a case of kidnapping of a child occurred a short time ago at Cuttack. For what purpose the child was taken I know not.

I remember only to have tried one suit whilst officiating as judge of Cuttack, in which the ownership in slaves was disputed ; and I do not recollect the particulars of it.

22 January 1839.

CONTINUATION of the Deposition of Tek Loll, Mockteur of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

MANUMISSION is rare, but sometimes it takes place when a master has a particular cause of satisfaction with a slave.

Upon occasion of funerals, it is usual to give one or more slaves, amongst other presents, to the officiating Brahmin. In general, slaves are contented with their lot.

They can have no property as against their masters, but, by his indulgence, they fre-

quently possess property. Their marriages and funerals are attended with the same rates as those of free people of

the same caste, and the master pays the expenses.

I have seven slaves.

One female slaves. One female slave accompanied my family from Behar to Calcutta two years and a half ago, and is now living with us. Two, one male and one female, I bought here. The other four are left in my family house in Behar. They consist of a lad, a man who is married to the slave of another master, an unmarried girl, and a widow who has married a second time in the form we call "saggai."

Two sold themselves to me, viz. the girl I mentioned, and the lad, from their masters. Two sold themselves to me, viz. the widow, and the man who is married to another man's slave. He was a freeman, though marned to a slave.

Of the other three, I bought one girl of 11 years old from her maternal grandmother; another girl, very young, from her maternal uncle; and a boy, aged between four and five years, from his maternal uncle.

I know of no case in which the price of a slave is shared between the maternal relation and the owner.*

The girl I bought from her grandmother has been married since we came to Calcutta. I married her to a slave who had left his master, and who followed me from Behar, and now lives in my family as a servant. He told me he was a slave, but never disclosed his master's name. I pay him wages. The marriage was performed at my expense. I have only heard the prices of the slaves remaining in Behar from my butther.

I have only heard the prices of the slaves remaining in Behar from my brother.

The girl, who was bought from her master, cost 41 rupees. The self-sold man, 26. Of the other two I have forgotten the price. Of those in Calcutta, the male, who was bought from his maternal uncle, in Calcutta, cost seven rupees. The girl who is married to the runaway slave, cost 11 rupees. She was bought in Behar. The unmarried girl was bought for five rupees, in Calcutta. All the seven are Behar people, of the Kuhar caste.

The maternal relations who sold the children to me had settled in Calcutta, and were in distress. I do not know if the children were born here or in Behar.

It is a moral duty incumbent on the master to provide for the marriage of his slaves.

If my male slave marry the female slave of another, the progeny all belong to the owner of the woman.

The owner of the female to whom my slave in Behar is married has assigned a house, to which my slave goes at night after his work is over. They have children, who all belong to her master.

It is uncommon for slaves of different masters to intermarry. It is not uncommon for a free Kuhar to marry a slave. Even if he were to marry a free woman, the children would be under her dominion, and not under his, according to the rules

of the Kuhar caste; therefore he has less reluctance to marry a slave. If a free girl marry a slave, which often happens, the children are free, as they follow her condition.

These customs belong to the Kurmi as well as the Kuhar caste. Slaves are in general well treated. If they live in a separate house, they have rations; if in the house of their master, they have their portion of the food which is dressed for the family. They all receive clothing; usually two suits in the year. The quantity of food is not fixed, but proportioned to the appetite of the slave, when he

lives in the house.

But when he lives separate, and chooses to dress his own food, he receives a fixed allowance. An adult male would receive three seers of rice in the husk, or two seers of wheat unground, and, in addition, three quarters of suttu, which is the meal made from inferior gram or pulse. This is more than he can consume, and he barters the surplus for salt and other condiments. He has no allowance of fuel, but must find it for himself.

He sometimes can get a little tobacco out of the surplus, but it is not enough to purchase pawn and betel.

It is considered that the slave has a right to support in sickness and age. I never knew it refused.

Extreme ill-usage would not confer a right to emancipation; but the magistrate would punish the master in that case.

If the master had no occasion for any service from the able-bodied slave, he would tell him to go and earn his own livelihood, but without relinquishing his legal rights.

I do not know of any case of a slave being let to hire, but mortgages of slaves occur in two forms; that is to say, when the slave remains in the possession of the mortgager, and when he is transferred to the mortgagee. In this latter case the mortgagee supports the slave, and has the benefit of his labour; which, however, does not, without special agreement, go to discharge the interest.

The children born during the mortgage belong, in either case, to the mortgagor.

I remember a case which occurred in Behar three or four years ago: a suniasi claimed a man, named Beetut, and several others, as his hereditary slaves. The case was decided in the plantuff's favour by the sudder aumeen, and the decision was confirmed by the zillah court. "

I have lived eight years in Calcutta. Before that time I lived for 22 years in the city of Patna, going occasionally to my family house.

Slaves are usually transferred by a bill of sale called " puttra." There are two ways in which the sale of slaves (whether self-sale or sale by a master) takes place; one is, when the price is settled between the parties; in the other, the price is settled by a committee of arbitrators, who fix the price after a personal examination of the slave. If the slave about to be sold is a pregnant woman, and the future offspring sold with her, the price is greater If the slave about than it would be if the woman were sold alone.

A Kuhar could not be required to perform the work of a sweeper; but sometimes he will. do such work if his master is ill.

There

262.

Appendix I. Evidence.

[•] The question, to which this is an answer, was asked in consequence of our having observed a state-ment in the following words in the collection of papers, initialed, "Blavery in India," p. 5 :--

[&]quot; It seems that on the sale of a slave who separately procures his own subsistence, only one half of the price is received by the owner, the other half going to the parents of the slave."

Appendix I.

There are some slaves who live, with their master's consent, on the lands of other persons, and perform no service for their masters, except attending at festivals, when they receive food

It is more economical to have labour performed by slaves than by freemen. Slaves show more zeal in the service of their masters

The females belonging to Hindoo families, in poor circumstances, have no objection to hire themselves as servants.

It is common to commit the custody of valuable things in the house to slaves, but not to employ them in zemindari offices.

The sale of children is very frequent in times of scarcity. Their relations who sold them have no right to redemption.

When a man agrees to serve for food, he can hardly be called a slave. His children are not affected by the contract.

When a Mahomedan buys a Hindoo slave, he does not usually make a convert of him.

Self-mortgage sometimes occurs, and is subject to the same rules a clover of mini-which I have spoken. This kind of contract does not affect the children.

I never heard of a Byakara. The transfer of slaves is very common. But people of con-sideration think it derogatory to sell their slaves; and, when in reduced circumstances,

prefer to let their slaves go and earn their own livelihood. It is lawful, and not disreputable, for a master to sell his slaves to purchasers living at a distance, and to separate families. But such cases are rare.

It is usual for the master, after he has fixed the price of his slave, to allow him to select any purchaser who is willing to give that price. There are no adscripts gleba in Behar. Slaves have frequently been sold in execution of decrees, by order of the courts in Behar,

Patna and Shahabad ; but I cannot tell whether this is still done.

They are not, I believe, sold for arrears of rent or revenue.

Procuresses do obtain female children for purposes of prostitution. There are no dancing girls attached to the temples in Behar.

Slaves are divided among the family, like any other part of the inheritance.

25 January 1839.

9.-Ram Crishna Putnaik Mahanti; or, Oriah Ka'it.

I AM a native of village Bir Mukkunda Pore, pergunnah Sarai, néar Pooree, in the southern division of Cuttack

I am mooktear by occupation.

I have hved all my life in Cuttack, and have only been two months in Calcutta. I am the owner of six villages. I have no slaves of my own. My lands are cultivated

There are slaves in Central and South Cuttack. They are the children or descendants of men of high caste, except Brahmins and of Mussulmans, by concubines of inferior classes. Among the lower castes, self-sale and the sale of children in times of 'scarcity are also

origins of slavery.

The pure castes are-

Chasa, Gowala, Khundait, Soodra (proper), Goorea (confectioner), Buriee (carpenter), Loohar, Bus Bunnia (seller of spices), Napit.

The impure are-

Telee, Kyburt-Raree, Golo, Tanti, Rungree (dyer), Chumar, Gokha, Khundra, Baslee, Pan, Haree, Dom, Bagdee.

The Brahmins do not own domestic slaves, but they have slaves for out-door work.

The impure castes are employed exclusively in out-door work. The pure are employed in both out-door and in-door work.

Sales of slaves are not common.

Those slaves who are the spurious kindred of their masters are never sold. The others, not very often.

The sale is invalid without the consent of the slave. This is the local usage of the country.

I have heard of a proclamation of Mr. Ker, which prohibited the sale of slaves. The country. I have heard of a proclamation of Mr. Ker, which prohibited the sale of slaves. The country sent of the slave is necessary by the old local usage, independently of the proclamation. The spurious offspring of a Mussulman, by a woman of low caste, would not be a slave. I do not know whether he would inherit.

I never heard of any class of slaves in Cuttack called Moolah Zadah.

There are some classes so very impure that it is necessary to wash, after accidentally coming in contact with them. If one of these see a man of respectability coming towards him, he either gives way or gives warning, that the men of good caste may avoid the pollution.

If a slave of pure caste is disobedient, it is usual to correct him by slaps with the hand. But the course with a slave of impure caste is to complain to the darogah, who will admonish him. He may also be corrected by causing another impure man to beat him.

I never

Evidence.

I never heard of an instance of emancipation. The slaves do not in general desire it. It sometimes happens that a master, in decayed circumstances, will tell his slave to go and earn his own livelihood. In this case, it is not usual for the master to receive any of the slave's earnings, unless the slave should be his child.

The master does not, by this proceeding, relinquish his legal rights, though sometimes the slave becomes practically free. But this does not frequently happen.

I never heard of a slave being let to hire.

No time is allowed to the slave to work on his own account.

Slaves are married with the same rates as free people of the same caste; and their funerals are performed in the same way.

Sometimes a master will marry two of his slaves to each other : sometimes he will purchase a husband or wife for his slave, and sometimes he will marry them to the slaves of other masters.

Free people do not intermarry with slaves except those people who, though not belonging to any owner, have the taint of slavery in their blood. These people marry slaves without loss or consideration.

When I speak of buying a husband or wife, I do not mean buying them from another master, for it is not usual for masters to sell their slaves. I mean the purchase of a man from himself, or of a girl from her parents.

The maxim which regulates the local usage is, that the seed is more worthy than the soil in the distribution of the offspring : and, therefore, if a freeman marry my slave girl, with or without my consent, the offspring is his.

It is not usual upon the marriage of slaves to make any special agreement respecting the ownership of the offspring.

If I consent to the marriage of my female slave with a freeman, or with the slave of another master, she ceases to be my slave. In the first case, she becomes free : in the last, she becomes the slave of the husband's master.

The condition of slaves is harder than that of free labourers. Their work is harder, their fare and clothing worse, and they are sometimes beaten.

When I said the slaves do not desire emancipation, I mean that they look upon it as unattainable; and, therefore, do not think about it.

The slave is entitled to maintenance from his master in age and infirmity; and I think that Mr. Wilkinson, formerly collector and magistrate of Cuttack, enforced this right in a case which was brought before him.

It is not usual to exact the lowest offices from slaves of pure caste, but if the master insist, the slave must obey. The slaves of impure caste perform the lowest offices.

The labour of slaves is more economical than that of free labourers.

If I could obtain slaves, is more economical than that of mee indomers. If I could obtain slaves, I should culturate my villages by means of them, but they are not to be had; and I should also employ them for domestic purposes. There is no redemption in the case of self-sele, or sale by parents. The proportion of slaves (meaning by that term, all who have the stigma of slavery) to freemen is as sux to ten; one part out of the sux is in actual slavery, the other five are practically free. I am speaking only of Southern Cuttack.

Southern Cuttack is more thickly peopled, and more cultivated than the other division. The people are more industrious. This has always been the case.

The purchase of children by procuresses for prostitution takes place. The children are sometimes kidnapped, and sometimes bought from their mothers.

I remember that Mr. Wilkinson punished a man with eight months' imprisonment for selling a child he had kidnapped.

Slaves are never sold in execution of decrees, or for arrears of rent or revenue.

There are 60 or 60 families or slaves belonging to the temple of Juggernaut. The males of these families are not married to the females, but live with them in a state of concubinage. The number of this college of Devadasis or slaves of the god is kept up by their own progeny, and no addition to their numbers from without is permitted.

There is another temple in Cuttack, that of Rogonat, which has a similar establishment.

29 January 1839.

262.

(Continution

663

Appendix I.

Evidence:

Appendix I.

(Continuation from page 223.)

Evidence.

-

EXAMUNATION of WINNESSES on Slavery in India, forwarded to the Supreme Government with the Second Report of the Law Commissioners.

¥0.		DATE OF		WAMES.	PLACE OF BEETH.	occupation,
10.	5 F	ebruary	1839	Vishna Dutt Dalla	Nil Assur Parbutty -	chief-priest of the to ple of the goddess Durge
11.	5	dítto	"	Vaydianath Missur	Tirhoot, pergunnah Duraon.	pundit of the Sud Dewanny Adawhut, C tutta.
12.	8	ditto	*	Aga Kurbullae Ma- hummud.	Shiraze	- merchant and al owner and agent for si belonging to the Red and Persian Gulf.
18.	8	ditta	"	W. C. Blacquière, Esq.	Great Britain	 Persian translator Persian translator chief interpreter to supreme court of Calculated and justics of the peace.
14.	12	ditto		Hafiz Ahmud Kubir	Rampore, in Rohilkund	- principal of the Ma medan college at Calcut
15.	12	ditto	' <i>w</i>	Gopal Lall Kait	village Romdaud, pergunnah Ramnah, North Cuttack.	- agent of the rajal Burdwan.
16.	12	ditto	"	Ramcoomul Rae -	- Nagdaon, pergunnah Salem Oortaul, tuppah Arrangabad, zillah Dac- ca Jalalpore.	mooktear of the S der Dewanny Adawlut, (cutta.
17.	12	ditto	7	Lallah Kashipershaud	- Susua, pergunnah Turesar.	mooktear of maha ri Chutter Singh, Durbh gah, Tirhoot.
18.		ditto	'n	Bissumber Ghose -	village Kalisha, per- gunnah Shahabad, ml- lah Burdwan.	mooktear of the raja Burdwan.
19.	15	ditto	n	Survand Rae	Khulsee, pergunnah Sultaun Pertaub, Dacca Jalalpore.	mooktear of Bhagro Deveye, zemindar of Za Alem, of Mymenangh.
20.	15	ditto	"	Parishnath Dobe -	Bhaugalpore	mooktear of maha r Rahamut Ali Khan B door, of Khurruckpoor.
21,	15	ditto	59	Brijnath Daas Vydia -	village Mohdly, per- gunnah Chundpertab, Dacca Jalalpore.	 mooktear of Bow Kishor Acharji, zemi of pergunnah Ulalas Mymenangh.
22.	19	ditto _,	'n	Hurnarayn Tewary -	• • • • •	mooktear of the far of Bissumbur Pundit, C zeepore.
23.	19	ditto	**	Chunes Lall Dobs -		of the newab Nazar Moorshedabad.
24.	19	ditto	ν	Abdul Bari - *-	village Izzah Nugar, Chittagong.	kazes of the city of cutta.
25.	22	ditto	.0	Sunkurnath Jhah -	Colgong, sillah Bhan- gulpore.	priest of the famil Khurknath, zemindar Colgong.
26.	26	ditto	23	- Urahud Ali Khan- Bahadoor.		Colgong. vakeel of the ne Nazim.
27.	5 M	arch	H	Dumur Singh	Purnésh	mooktear in the Su Dewanny Adawlut, Cutta.
28.	8 d		"	Jogdhyan Missur -	- Benares, but of a Lahore family.	professor of mathe tics in the Sauscrit coll
29. *	15 đ	itto	"	Soodursun Loll	Tırahi, pergunnah Gos, in Saran.	mooktear in the Sac Dewanny Adawint, (cutta.
30.	15 đ	itto	W	Lallah Deoks Nundun	Bhojpoor, in Shahabad	mooktear of the raje Chotsh Nagpore.
31.	22 d	itto	"	Sridhur Buxshee Kait	Jamalpoor, Western Birbhoom.	- agent of the rajah Pachet, in Jungle Mehs
32.	30 d	itto	90	Bahadoor Reza	Sylhet	owner of two fallow
33. 34			97 87	Prawn Kishen Dutt - Lalls Ramchurn Lall -	- pergunnah Sherghot- ty, in Bamghur Behar.	talookdar, Sylhet. agent of maha ra Lutchmenath Smgh.
35. 36.	16 A 30 N	ugust lovembe	" "	Captain Bogle W. R. Young	Great Britain -	commissioner of Arracai commissioner to inq into the condition of settlements in the Stran

10 .- Vishnu Dutt Dalli.

I AM a native of village Assur Parbutty, and by right I am chief priest of the temple of the goddess Durga, under her name of Kamkhya, which is seven days' journey east of Gowalpara.

The tribe of Brahmins to which I belong is established in Canouge.

I am the owner of 10 slaves, five men, four women, and one boy

The Kos, Kybart, Kalipla and Napit, are so far pure as to be fit for in-door work in the houses of Brahmins and Kaits.

The Chundal, Dom, Hera and Kumar, can only be employed in out-door work.

There are slaves belonging to all these castes, but many of them are also free.

The origin of slavery in Assam is the sale of children by parents in times of scarcity. Self-sale does not take place there.

Slavery never originates, in Assam, from the mixture of castes.

If a Brahmin or a Kait were to cohabit with a free woman of low caste, the offspring would not be slaves. The father would be degraded, but might recover his purity by proper expiation.

cople do not sell their slaves except when they are in distress; and by favour of the goddess, my country is blessed with plenty; consequently there are few transfers from one master to another.

Since the establishment of the British Government in Assam, all sales of slaves are registered at the office of the head station of each district, as well sales of free children by their parents, as sales of slaves by one master to another.

There are many Mahomedans in Assam; some of whom are slave-owners and some are slaves.

These Mahomedan slaves sometimes belong to Hindoo masters, but are only employed in out-door work

Sometimes Mahomedan masters have Hindoo slaves. They do not convert them, and employ them only in out-door work.

I do not know the term Moolah Zada.

Manumission co normalize is very rare: but sometimes a man who has no relations will present his slaves to a temple, whereby they become the slaves of the god. The slaves of the god are employed for three months in the year in attendance at the temple, and have a right to share in the offerings during that time. During the other nine months they support themselves by their own labour. The rank assigned 12 villeging for the support of my temple, which are cultivated by for

The rajah assigned 12 villages for the support of my temple, which are cultivated by free ryots paying rent to the temple; we have only 20 or 25 slaves of the goddess. There are many temples in Assam to which such slaves are attached. They are never purchased by the temple, but always presented by the pious. Their offspring are also slaves of the god.

5 February 1839.

11 .- Vaydenath Missur, Pundit of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

Is a man wish to adopt a son, and the adoption fail, owing to the requisite ceremonies being omitted by the adopter, or being impracticable by reason of the child's age, or having been already performed, the child is not a slave in any case; and if he have been initiated

by his natural father, his filial relation to him is not dissolved. I explain* the passage in the Kalika Purána, by supposing that the word "das" describes figuratively the condition of a child whose adoption is incomplete. I also think that Nunda Pundit, in his comment on the passage, understood the word "das" to be used figuratively in the text the text.

The real condition of a child imperfectly adopted, if the imperfection result from any other cause than the child's being already initiated by his natural father, is one of degradation, and which, therefore, may be figuratively called slavery, for the purpose of expressing contempt; but it has nothing of slavery in it but the degradation.

5 February 1839.

12.- Aga Kurbelai Mahomed.

I AM a native of Shiraz, and have resided for the last 30 years in Hindoostan, and for the last 18 years in Calcutta.

I am a merchant and ship-owner and an agent for ships belonging to the Red Sea and Persian Gulf.

The ships from Judda and Muscat do not bring cargoes of slaves; but sometimes the officers of them used to have a few slaves whom they would sell in Calcutta.

For

262.

Appendix I.

239

Evidence,

[•] This is an answer to a question relating to the following passage of the Kalika Purána, cited in the Duttaka Minánsá of Nanda Fundit, seo. 4, a. 22.): " The ceremony of tonsure and other rites (Chudádya) of mintation being indeed performed, under his own family name, sons given, and the rest may be consi-dered as issue; else they are termed slaves."

Appendix 1. Evidence.

For four years, this importation has entirely ceased. The cessation was occasioned by the proceedings of this government. The governments of Judda and Muscat, hearing that this government had prohibited the importation of slaves, ordered that no slaves should be brought hither in ships belonging to the state.

The slaves imported were inhabitants of the east coast of Africa. Of the males imported a large part were eunuchs.

a large part were cunuchs. Since the importation has ceased, those who formerly purchased have been without any scupply. Of those formerly imported many were sent up to Lucknow. The purchasers were principally respectable Mahomedans. I am the owner of seven. They are all cunuchs. I imported them myself from Judda. I have heard that a Hubshe would sell in Calcutta for 200 rupees if emasculated; for 160 if entire; a Mobazi slave would sell for 100 rupees; they are never cunuchs. At Lucknow, a cunuch would sell as high as 1,000 rupees; an entire Hubshe would not fetch above 300.

fetch above 300.

13.-William Coates Blaquière, Esq., Persian Translator and Chief Interpreter to the Supreme Court of Calcutta, and a Justice of the Peace.

I SHOULD think that very few slaves, if any, are imported into Calcutta since the increased vigilance of the custom-house officers. This increased vigilance commenced about two years ago, when the establishment of the custom-house was re-organized.

Before that time, there was certainly a considerable importation, not however immediately before, for the vigilance of the magistrates had in a great degree suppressed it.

Before that time the importation was very great of Hubshis, that is to say, Abyssinians brought by Arab merchants from the Red Sea.

These Hubshis were usually carried up the country to Lucknow and other places; some, however, remained in Calcutta. These slaves were of both sexes, but the females pre-ponderated; some of them were adults and some children; some of them were eunuchs; I think they were bought exclusively by Mussulmans; I believe that they were generally bought on the east coast of Africa from their parents or from slave-owners; they were used only as domestic slaves by the purchasers in this country; they were always circumcised and made Mussulmans when they arrived here.

I remember that in consequence of the correspondence between the government and the magistracy, an extract from 51st Geo. 3, cap. 25, was communicated to all Arab merchants and persons connected with Arab shipping in Calcutta; I attribute to this the diminution of the trade in slaves which I before mentioned.

The interruption of the slave trade did not occasion any demonstration of discontent among the former purchasers.

The majority of the Mahomedan, Portuguese, Armenian, Parsee and Jew inhabitants of Calcutta possess slaves.

The motive for keeping slaves is, no doubt, that it is cheaper to do so than to hire servants. In the vert 1834, a native lady, calling herself a relative of General Martin, came down from Lucknow upon her own affairs. In consequence of the inundation that had taken place, there were at that time a great many children for sale at the price of from one to four rupees. These were sold, some by their parents, and others by persons who went into the parts which had been inundated, to the south of Calcutta, for the purpose of purchasing or kidnapping them. The lady I have mentioned purchased 24 or 25 of these children, and had embarked them in her boats for the purpose of carrying them to Lucknow. Informa-tion of this transaction was laid before me; I sent my officers to take possession of the children; they were all Mahomedans; most of them had been converted to Islamism by their mistress; they were from seven to fourteen years of age; three of them were boys. Upon the senaire of the children, the mistress remonstrated with me through her attorned. The and threatened me with an action; no proceedings, however, were taken against me. The female children were placed partly at Mr. Wilson's, and partly at the Kidderpore Ladues' Asylum, and a few at other schools. The boys were made over to respectable Portuguese Asylum, and a few at other schools. The boys were made over to respectable Portuguese Christian housekeepers, who promised to take charge of them. I examined the children when neither their mistress nor any person on her behalf was present. They all expressed reluctance at being taken from her, and refused to be placed under the care of Christians, declaring that they were Mahomedans. They were gradually prevailed upon to go to the several institutions where they are now; three were persuaded in the first instance, who told the others that they were well treated, which induced them to follow. Six or seven months elapsed before they were all disposed in this way. During this time the girls were placed in a place adjacent to the female lock-up house, hired for the purpose, under the care of female attendants, also hired for the purpose. The boys were allowed to run about the police-office until they were placed. All the children were fed and clothed at the expense of government during this period. government during this period.

After the inundation of which I have spoken, children were commonly hawked about the streets of Calcutta and the neighbourhood. Whenever information of this was laid before the magistrates, we interfered, took the children away, and placed them with respectable householders. Constant applications were made to the magistrates for these children; and they were made over to the applicants, when respectable, on verbal agreements.

⁸ February 1839.

It has always been my practice to interfere, when I have heard that children or women have been kidnapped in the Mofussil, sometimes from as far as Moorshedabad, and brought into Calcutta for sale.

The number of those whom, after inquiry, I have thought fit to release and restore to their parents, or place with respectable housekeepers, I should think must amount to six or seven hundred. I have been a magistrate since the year 1800.

The greater part of these were girls about to be sold for purposes of prostitution. Until the re-organization of the police, in 1831, the branch of police to which these pro-

ceedings belong was under my sole charge. I believe these sales, for purposes of prostitution, still take place very frequently; a case of the kind was brought to my knowledge about six months ago. I liberated the two women who had been brought to Calcutta to be sold, and restored them to their families. The house of hundring clauting argument with more many how how here invisible done their

The houses of bawds in Calcutta swarm with women who have been inveigled from their .

families and prostituted against their will. The Hindoo families in Calcutta are served by free people, who either receive wages, or

Ine finitude families in Calcutta are solved by five people, who entire receive wages, of merely food, clothing and lodging. In ordinary times, dealers go from Calcutta into Sylhet, Dacca and Mymensingh, and there purchase Hindoo and Mussulman boys and girls, whom they sell to Mussulmans of Calcutta as domestic slaves, the prices varying from 20 to 30 rupees. The Hindoo children are converted to Islamism. I do not know how many are thus imported annually; I should think not many.

8 February 1839.

.

14 .- Hafiz Ahmud Kubeer, Principal of the Mahomedan College at Calcutta.

I AM a native of the town of Rampore, in Rohilcund; I am 57 years old, and have resided for the last 30 years at Calcutta. I am acquainted with the Rampore-Jaghire, and with the districts of Bareilly and Mo-

radabad.

Rohilcund is inhabited chiefly by Mahomedans, the descendants of the Afghan settlers. Slaves, both Hindoos and Mahomedans, are numerous in Rampore and the two districts above mentioned.

The Hindoo slaves are Brahmins, Rajpoots, Kurmees, Chumars and Kolees; the three first being pure castes; the two last, impure. Slaves of all the above castes may be kept by all classes of persons.

Both Hindoos and Mahomedans become slaves by being sold in childhood by their parents, under the pressure of want. In times of general scarcity, the price of a child is three or four rupees; but at other times, in individual cases of necessity, from 20 to 30

The sale of Brahmin and Rajpoot children is not frequent. Hundoo children purchased by Mussulmans become Mussulmans. Many children are purchased by inhabitants of towns; but persons of respectability in the the likewise buy them, but not from their own villages, as it would be difficult to keep country likewise buy them, but not from their own villages, as it would be difficult to keep them from running to their home.

The males, whilst young, are employed as domestic servants; but from those of pure caste no services are required by which they would be polluted, as the pollution would be communicated to the masters. When they grow up they are employed chiefly in agricul-ture, their masters being then averse to their continuing about the house.

The female slaves are always occupied in the house, and are never made to work in the fields.

When the slaves attain the age of about 40, their owners continue to maintain them, but they relax in their demand of service from them; after that period, also, their owners

do not insist on their remaining with them, and they seek employment where they please. The children of persons thus sold into slavery are free; the masters of their parents cannot sell them, and have no right of dominion over them, and they are at liberty to seek their own livelihood.

The self-sale of adults is not known. There are persons, both Hindoos and Mahomedans, in those parts, who resort to the Increase persons, both ringgoes and Manomedans, in those parts, who resort to the hill countries of Kumaon and Gurhwal for the purpose of purchasing Hindoo children and adults from their parents and relatives, whom they dispose of as slaves in Rampore and the districts of Bareilly and Moradabad, and also at Lucknow; these traders are called "burdeh furoshes" (slave sellers), and this traffic was very considerable before the British rule. It is still carried on, but clandestinely, and only to a very small extent. The price obtained for males and females, both children and adults, so sold, used formerly to be from 10 to 20 rupees each, but it has now risen to 20 and 30 rupees. The children of these slaves are likewise free. likewise free.

Prisoners taken in war by the first Afghan settlers in their fights with their Hindoo neigh bours were made slaves; their descendants are still numerous, but are not slaves any more than the children of the other slaves above described

The slave population bears a very small proportion to the free, it may be one-hundredth of the whole.

Only persons of respectability who can afford it keep slaves. The cultivation is carried on 262. by нн

Appendix I. Evidence.

Appendix L Endence

by free ryots, but a zemindar who keeps one or two slaves for domestic purposes will employ them likewise in the fields.

The masters enforce the services of their slaves by beating them either with a ratan or a In masters enforce the services of their slaves by beating them either with a ratan or a staff, this depending on the disposition of the masters; the arms and legs of the slaves are sometimes broken by the violence of the blows infinited. They confine slaves attempting to abscond by tying them with a string, or putting fetters, light or heavy, on their legs, in the manner practised with convicts in the public gaols. The Afghans are a choleric race, and they beat their free servants to the same extent as

their slaves, but they do not confine them. The complaints of free servants for such assaults have always been attended to by the

public authorities, but those of the slaves were not so formerly, and I doubt if they would be now.

The better kind of masters discharge their slaves when they become troublesome through misconduct, or when correction has no effect upon them.

Slaves are not worked harder than free servants, and the labour of the former is very little cheaper than that of the latter; whilst it has this disadvantage, that a slave cannot be turned off at pleasure.

No difficulty is experienced in obtaining free female domestic servants; these are called " asseels."

The slaves are fed from the family meals, and they are provided with two or three suits of clothes in the year; they also receive occasionally a few pice, and rich masters will give An old or infirm slave has a right to maintenance; which, indeed, is never withheld.

Manumission seldom takes place. Some masters, as above stated, discharge their slaves when they become troublesome through misbehaviour, and sometimes slaves are manumitted in reward for special good conduct.

As long as they continue structly slaves, they have no opportunity of acquiring property for themselves; but, as I have before explauned, if on reaching the age of 40 they think they can better themselves by seeking other employment, they do so. They then separate from their masters and work as free persons; or, if they stay with their masters, their ser-vaces being less rigorously exacted, they have time to work for themselves, and their masters do not interfere with their gains in this way.

The marnages of slaves are performed with the same ceremonies as those of free persons of the same class. The expenses, both of marriages and funeral ceremonies, are defrayed by the master.

When practicable, a master selects a wife for his male slaves from amongst his female slaves. If a suitable match is not to be found in this manner, he marries him to the female slave of a neighbour, so as to occasion no interruption of their services to their respective owners, whose houses the slaves so married mutually frequent; or he obtains the daughter of a free person in marriage for his slave, in which case the wife resides in the house of her husband's master, and serves him in consideration of maintenance, but does not become his slave. So, likewise, a female slave is sometimes married to the son of a free person, in which case the husband resides at the house of his wrife's master, and serves him for maintenance, but continues free.

The offspring of a male and female slave, both the property of the same master, remain in the house of the master during their childhood, and, it may be, afterwards also, and are maintained by and serve him; but when they attain majority, they are free to go where they please, and the master cannot prevent them.

If the father and mother belong to different masters, the children continue with the mother, and serve and are maintained by her master while young; on becoming adult, they are at liberty to seek their own livelihood.

If the father be a free person and the mother a slave, the children, whilst young, remain, in like manner, in the house of the master ; and so, also, if the mother be free and the father a slave

My father had nine or ten slaves, two of whom were males; he purchased them from their parents and from burdeh furoshes. Three of the females volunteered to accompany me to Calcutta, where they died. Of the other slaves, one remained with my brother, one with my sister, and the rest with others of my relations.

None of the females had children, except one, who was married in the Nikah form to my

father, by whom she had a son. This son is now living with my younger brother. Slaves are differently treated; some are ill, some are well used. They are frequently employed in offices of trust. If maltreated, they will abscond; but ill-usage gives no claim to emancipation.

They are very seldom sold. Such transfers are considered very disreputable, and only take place when the masters are in distress, or the slaves give trouble by their misconduct. There is no absolute restriction on such sales; but a master who thus disposed of his

slave would be called a burdeh furesh.

A slave has no right to select his purchaser; but according to huddees (tradition), ia master cannot sell his slaves so as to separate the husband from the wife, or children of tender age from the mother.

I do not know what the Hindoo custom is on this point.

A master who is reduced in circumstances generally sets his slave at liberty; and a slave so manumitted cannot be reclaimed by his master. Slaves have been known to maintain their masters when reduced to poverty.

A deed of sale is the form of document used in transfers of slaves, by sale, from one master master to another; and in sales of free children into slavery by their parents. Children so sold are not redeemable.

It is not the custom to let to hare or to mortgage slaves.

There are no slaves adscrupti gleba.

It is a frequent practice for persons in distress to serve a master in consideration of being maintained by him, but not for a fixed term; and such persons quit their masters when they please.

Slaves are not sold in satisfaction of decrees, or to realize arrears of revenue or rent.

It is a common practice for Mahomedan masters to cohabit with their female slaves; the offspring of such connexions are free. Sometimes the masters are married to their female slaves in the Nikah form.

The greater part of the prostitutes, both Mahomedan and Hindoo, purchase children from their parents and from the burdeh furoshes; so that almost all the prostitutes in that part of the country are slaves.

12 February 1839.

15.-Gopal Lall Kaiet.

I was born in the village Gourdaud, pergunnah Rumna, division of Balasore, or North Cuttack; I am one of the agents of the rajah of Burdwan. It is 30 years since I left home to seek employment, but I have been there occasionally, visiting my family.

Fifty years ago, our family possessed more than 20 families of slaves. About 1792, a great famine occurred in my county, and in consequence of that many families which possessed slaves could no longer support them, and the slaves became practically free. That was the case with our family. Persons of those slave families, who formerly belonged to us, are now in our service as freemen, receiving wages.

A great many of the poor perished in the famine; none sold themselves on their children into slavery in my country; for, in consequence of the distress, there were no purchasers; but many went away into other districts, and I cannot say what became of them.

The classes to which slaves in my country belong are some pure and some impure : the pure are Chasas and Gwalahs; the impure are Dhobees, Pans and others.

There are no persons, in my pergunnah, in a state of actual slavery, though many are slaves dejure. The causes are, the famine I have mentioned, and, also, that, since the Company assumed the government, all the old families have fallen into decay.

Those who have risen from poverty into affluence have not purchased slaves, because they think them saucy and faithless; and moreover there is an impression among the people that the sale of slaves is prohibited. I never saw the proclamation prohibiting it, but I have heard of such a proclamation.

It is true that a long time has elapsed since I lived in North Cuttack, but I have visited it It is true that a long time has exapped nine a north in the Cartany, and I am in correspondence with friends there, and I can take upon myself to say, that there is no sale of slaves in my part of the district. I am told, also, that in other parts of Cuttack masters have generally lost all practical dominion over their slaves.

12 February 1839.

16 .- Ram Comul Rai, Mooktear in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

I AM of the Vydia caste. My native village is Nowgaon, pergunnah Salim Pertaub. Tuppah Aurungabad, in the zillah of Dacca Jalalpore.

I am one of an undivided family, owning a small estate.

There was an hereditary slave in our family. When he was married, our family placed him in a separate house, on a part of our estate. He was advanced in years, and the father of a family as far back as I can recollect. One son of his only remains. He supports himself by cultivating the land which he holds from us. He pays no rent, and renders occasional service to us at ceremonies.

The majority of slaves in my neighbourhood are Kaiet. Some few are Napits and Gwalas. Most of the respectable people possess domestic slaves. But agricultural labour is universally performed by hired labourers.

The origin of slavery existing in my country is self-sale or sale by parents; and some-times a man becomes a slave for the sake of marrying a slave girl. The Mussulmans have domestic slaves, but I am not familiar with their concerns.

Slaves are occasionally sold fiom one master to another ; but this is considered disreputable. We could, if we chose, bring back to our actual service the slave I have mentioned; but he is not a person who would be useful. It frequently happens, however, that persons in this condition, if they have useful qualities, are brought back to actual slavery. Slaves are occasionally beaten by their masters, but only in the same way in which hired

servants are beaten.

Manunission occasionally takes place, and sometimes the master dismisses his slave without relinquishing his legal rights. But it seldom happens that a slave so dismissed can ever be again reduced to actual slavery. 262.

нна

Slaves

Appendix I. Evidence.

243

Appendix I.

Evidence.

Slaves are married with the same rights as freemen, and the master generally provides a spouse for his slave.

If I allow my slave girl to marry any one but a slave of my own, my property in her is ipso facto extinguished. And even if my female slave marry without my consent, though such a proceeding is an injury to me, yet my property in her ceases. The master is bound to support his slave in sickness and old age, and the condition of slaves is in general very comfortable. Frequently a clever slave will become the manager of the frequently affinite.

of the family affairs.

12 February 1839.

17.-Lala Kashee Parshadh.

I AM a native of the village Suresur, pergunnah Suresur, in the district of Tirhoot. When ten or eleven years old, I went to Benares for my education, and remained there ten years. I then resided for two years in my native village, after which I came to Calcutta, where I have since continued, with the exception of one year's intermediate residence at my own village.

I am a mooktear of maha rajah Chutter Singh, of Durbunga, in Tirhoot, and am acquainted with that district.

Slavery, both of Hindoos and Mahomedans, prevails there; but the Mussulman slaves are few.

The Hindoo slaves are principally Kurmees, Amuls and Kewuts. These are pure castes. There may be slaves of impure castes, but these must be rare, as they are useless for domestic purposes.

All persons of the above three castes are not slaves. There is no tradition of the free persons of those castes having ever been slaves.

Slavery has originated by free persons selling themselves or their children in times of necessity.

The slaves are employed both in in-door and out-door work, including field labour, according as their services are required.

When the slaves belonging to one master have increased beyond his wants, the superfluous ones are permitted to go out to service, or are settled on lands, and so left to maintain themselves; but the proprietory right still remains in the master, and they render hun occasional service, at marriages or other festivals, receiving rations during the time of their attendance.

The rajah of Durbunga has many slaves belonging to his household. Some are in charge of the house stores; others of his slaves farm portions of his estate, or are employed as collectors of his rents; and some of the members of their families are settled as cultivators, with a view to their maintenance.* The rajah has no register of his slaves. Old and mfirm slaves are always supported by their masters. I cannot say whether the

courts would decree maintenance to them in case of its being withheld.

The slaves are well used. If maltreated they abscond.

The form of document used in cases of self-sale, or of the sale of children by their parents, is either a deed of sale or a lease for sixty years; this period having been adopted from a notion that it was a term fixed by the regulations. In cases of self-sale, the price given is the property of the slave.

I have no slaves with me here; but I and my uncle have two male slaves, Kurmees, in joint property, at our family house. We purchased them when children from free parents. I do not know for how much.

If a slave abscond, his master brings him back, and endeavours by remonstrance and kind treatment to retain him; but he is never bound. That mode of restraint is used only for cattle. Impertinence or laziness is punished with slaps, or at most with a kick. Continued misbehaviour ends in the slaves being turned away.

I am not aware of any civil or criminal case respecting slaves having been decided in the courts in Tirhoot. But one occurred in the zillah court of Behar, in which a master obtained a decree for the right of property in a slave. The slave preferred a petition of appeal to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, but it was rejected.

If a slave abscond, and take service with a zemindar, the latter will give him up on the master's claiming him, and paying the expenses which may have been incurred for the slave's subsistence.

Manumission is never granted. But when a master is no longer able to maintain his slaves, they quit him with or without his consent. There are a great many who have thus obtained their freedom.

The families of free and slave Kurmees intermarry, slavery not being regarded as a degra-

dation as respects that caste. A master would not require from his slave any work which would affect his caste, because any impurity contracted by the slave would affect his usefulness to his master : but during

a master's illness, the slave would perform the lowest offices for him. On the score of economy, I do not think there is any difference between slave and free labour,

[&]quot; This was an answer to a question put to the witness with reference to the evidence of Vaydianath Missur on this point.

labour, but in some places free servants, either male or female, are not procurable; though there is nothing disreputable in the condition of a free female servant.

The slave works more willingly and, therefore, does more than a free labourer ; because he regards his own interests as identical with his master's.

In strict law, the property of the slave belongs to his master, but according to usage the slave enjoys any property which he may acquire by working for others at times when his master does not require his services.

Persons selling themselves into slavery have no right of redeeming themselves; nor can parents redeem their children whom they have sold asslaves. In Tirhoot, Mahomedans do not keep Hindoo slaves, nor Hindoos, Mahomedan slaves

but Mahomedans have Mahomedan slaves. These are of the lower classes, Joolahas and others who have sold themselves, or have been sold, when children, by their parents

I am not aware of any importation of slaves from Nepaul or elsewhere; or that children are kidnapped for the purpose of being disposed of as slaves. Persons do not buy slaves

of whose previous condition they know nothing. It is not a general practice to keep slaves. Some persons of respectability keep them, some do not : even people of distinction sometimes have no slaves. In my own pergunnah, two-sixteenths of the population may be slaves, but in other pergunnahs the proportion of the slave-population is greater. Speaking from what I have heard from others, I should say there are more free persons than slaves of the Kurmi, Amat and Kewat castes.

Slaves are married with the same rites as free persons of the same caste. The expenses of marriage and funeral ceremonies are generally defrayed by the slaves, if they can afford it; if not, by the master, who is under a moral obligation to provide for the marriage of his slaves.

The master usually consults the wishes of his male slave respecting his marriage. Either he marries him to a female slave of his own, in which case the offspring belong to him, or he marries him to the female slave of a relation, in which case the two masters divide the offspring between them; and should the family not consist of an even number of children, either the child in excess of the even number performs services for both masters, or it is

valued, and one master retains the child, paying half its value to the other masters, of it is valued, and one master retains the child, paying half its value to the other master. The two male slaves belonging to my uncle and myself were married to two female slaves belonging to some of our relations. They had children, but the children died very young, and before any division could be made of them.

and before any division could be made of them. When the male slave of one master is married to the female slave of another master, the woman resides with her husband, and performs service both for his master and her own; but her husband's master has no right to her services. She is usually supported by her own master; but if she work also for her husband's master, she is supported partly by one and partly by the other master.

If a male slave is married to a free girl, the wife does not become a slave; the female offspring of such a marriage are free, but the male offspring are the property of the husband's owner. If, as sometimes happens, a freeman marry a female slave, he continues free, and the children are divided; but the father takes only one share, and the master of the mother receives two shares.

The distribution of the offspring is not affected by the circumstance of the marriage of a slave having taken place without the consent of the master. In what I have said respecting the offspring, I have stated the custom of my own pergunnah; but different customs pre-vail in different places in this respect, and I cannot say what is the practice elsewhere. The illegitimate children of a female slave belong to her master. The punwah shadee is not known in that part of the country.

The sale of slaves by their masters never takes place except when the latter are reduced to great distress; such sales of "human flesh" * are considered very discreditable. The prices obtained by such sales vary much, according to circumstances, ranging between 40 and 100 rupees.

I am not aware that slaves are ever sold so as to separate husbands from wives, or young children from their patents, or to be sent away from their country, or to a distance from their homes. Nor would a master sell a slave to a person he did not wish to serve. The master would consult the inclination of his slave in such a case.

There are no slaves adscripti gleba.

Slaves are not sold in satisfaction of decrees of court, or for the realization of arrears of revenue or rent.

It is not the custom to let to hire or to mortgage slaves.

Procuresses, I am informed, purchase children for the purpose of prostitution.

12 February 1839.

18 .- Bisumber Ghose, Mooktear of the Rajah of Burdwan.

I AM a native of village Kalesa, pergunnah Shahabad, zillah Burdwan. I left my home, in 1820, in search of employment, but I visit it every year.

There is no sale of slaves in my country now, nor do I see any slaves in respectable families.

I have heard, as a matter of tradition, that in the great famine in 1769-70, children were sold into slavery by their parents.

I am

262.

* The expression used by the witness. RHS

Appendix I. Evidence.

Appendix I. Evidence.

I am not acquainted with the habits of the Aymadars, who are, in general, Mussulmans, and there are none living near my village.

In the great famine, people were driven by distress to do and eat what they ought not to have done and eaten. I know of no case in the courts of that district relating to slavery.

15 February 1839.

19 .- Sarvanand Rai, Mooktear of Bagorutti Durya, Zemindar of Zaffar Shahi and Mymensingh.

I AM & Kaiet.

I am a native of village Khulsee, pergunnah Sultan Pertaub, district Dacca Jalalpore.

I have resided in Calcutta for about 10 years ; but I have frequently been to the estate of my employer during that time, and have taken an active part in the management of the zemindary affairs.

My mistress is the owner of 1,400 families of slaves, settled upon her estate at different distances from her mansion.

They belong to the following castes, Sudra proper, Kurmar or Goldsmith, Kumar or Potter, Gwala, Tali and Bagirdar, which is a Mussulman caste. The domestic slaves of my mistress who are included in the 1,400 families I have

mentioned, are 450 in number, of whom about 200 are females.

These domestic slaves belong to Sudra proper, Kurmar and Gwala castes, which are pure castes.

Of the domestic slaves, 25 or 30 are the peculiar attendants on my mistress's person. They receive rations and clothes. The remainder have land allotted to them to culturate for their support, and receive also an allowance of about two puns of couries per diem and fire-wood.

Some Bagirdars, male and female, are included in the 400 domestic slaves, and do the work of porters and sweepers. The other slaves cultivate land which my mistress has assigned to them for their main-

tenance, and attend at festivals. They do no agricultural work for her. All that is done by free ryots, except that the Bagirdars are employed in making roads on the estate.

Some of the slave families have been 400 or 500 years on the estate. No purchases of slaves have been made since the time of my mistress's late husband's father. He purchased some.

The origin of all this slavery must be traced to self-sale and sale by parents. All the great memodars of Mymensingh and the neighbouring districts have slaves, in proportion to their wealth, scilled on their exists in the same way. The condition of these slaves is so easy, that punishment is scarcely ever required, but

when it is, they are scolded or receive slaps.

Since the death of my mistress's husband, the number of slaves has, I think, diminished a little, which I attribute to the ravages of cholera.

Manumission sometimes takes place when a slave does any thing very gratifying to the master. I remember my mistress's husband manumitted a slave who had saved him from injury by the falling of a punkah.

The physical condition of the manumitted slave was not perhaps improved, but his condition was elevated. The zemindar appointed him to be putwarry on the estate, and he also became a ryot on it.

In strict law, whatever these slaves earn is the property of my mistress, but she never interferes with their earnings, and some of them have considerable property, sometimes as much as 1,200 rupees.

The slaves are married with the same rights as free people. When one of them wishes to be married, he presents a petition to the zemindar (which must be done in all cases where religious rites are to be performed), and if she consent, she contributes to the expenses of the marriage more or less according to her estimation of the parties. The zemindar does not permit her female slaves to be married to the slaves of other masters.

In Mymensingh and the neighbouring districts, even those who live upon small salaries, such as writers and accountants, have generally five or six slaves.

15 February 1839.

. 20.-Parisnath Doobe, Mooktear of Maha Rajah Ruhmut Ulle Khan Bahadoor, of Kurruckpore.

I Am a native of the town of Bhaugulpore, in the district of that name. When I was about 30 years old, I went to Moorshedabad, where I attended the court of appeal for 10 years as a mocktear, visiting my house during the vacations. For the last 12 years I have resided constantly in Calcutta.

I am well acquainted with the zillah of Bhaugulpore. The slares in Bhaugulpore are principally Kurmis, valgarly called Dhanuks, a pure caste ; some of the Kuhar caste are also slaves, but they are considered impure in this district.

The

The slaves of these two classes are employed indifferently in in-door and out-door work, including field labour; but the superior castes cannot receive water from the Kuhar. There is a tradition that all persons of these two castes were formerly slaves.

But in consequence of the death of the masters without heirs, particularly in times of general distress, such as in the famine of 1170 fuslee, 1762-8 A. D., when whole families perished, many have become free. At the present day the greater part of the Kuhar caste are free; but most of the Kurmis continue slaves.

The origin of this slavery is, first, self-sale, for the purpose of discharging a debt, or in consideration of an advance of money in any case of necessity; second, sale of children by their parents, or other natural guardians, under similar curcumstances. It is true that all classes are liable to want; but it never was known in my district that.

persons of any other caste than the two above mentioned either sold themselves or were sold as slaves.

These slaves are kept by Brahmins, Rajpoots, Byse and Kaiets, but not by Mussulmans. All Hindoos of the above classes keep slaves who can afford to do so; and that is the case with the greater number. To possess slaves is a mark of respectability. The higher classes, however, have not more than five or six; the generality have two or three. The slaves never multiply beyond the wants of the master. The women bear only three or four oblighter was a statement of the master.

or four children each, and as some of these die, no eventful increase of the slave family takes place.

According both to law and custom, the aged or infirm slave has a right to maintenance from his master, and it is never withheld. Whilst I was in the district, the two descriptions of sale above mentioned were of frequent

occurrence; and I am informed by persons coming from that quarter, that they are so still. These transactions are recorded by an absolute deed of sale called "purram bhattaruk."

In cases of self-sale, the seller disposes of himself and his future offspring, generation after generation, in full property to the purchaser; but if he have children at the time of sale, they must be specified in the instrument, if intended to be conveyed by it. The price is the absolute property of the slave ; such also is any property the slave may have been possessed of previous to the sale.

If a slave is careless, and spoils or breaks any thing, the master takes the work out of his hands and keeps him unemployed for a time. This the slave feels as a disgrace, and amends. Some masters slap their slaves, but I never heard of one beating his slave with a ratan, or binding him with a string, if he attempted to abscond. The masters, in fact, feel the same affection for their slaves as for their own children. If a slave run away, the principal inhabitant of the place to which he has fled will persuade him to return to his master on the latter appearing and proving his title; but no violence would be used to compel him to do so.

If a slave abscond two or three times, I suppose the master would sell him. I do not know if the magistrate would interfere to restore a runaway slave. I never heard of a slave being manumitted. If a master is reduced to want, the slave

maintains both himself and his master by his labour; and this is a principal inducement to purchase slaves.

Ill-usage confers no title to emancipation. Slave service is more economical than that of free servants : the former being fed with the leavings of the family meal, and receiving the necessary clothing; whereas the latter must have their regular wages. Slaves, moreover, are greatly preferred, because they work more willingly and are more trustworthy, and the privacy of the family is thereby better maintained.

Free domestic servants are to be had; but they are less confided in. Married women cannot be spared from the management of their own families to take service with others; but widows are so employed, and such service is not considered disreputable. This free service, however, is confined chiefly to towns, and seldom takes place in the country.

The same quantity of work is required from a free servant as from a slave.

A slave has no right to any portion of his own time. I am not familiar with the habits of the Mahomedans, and cannot say if they have slaves or not. If they have, I conclude they are Mussulmans, as I am certain they have no Hindoo slaves.

Slaves do not acquire property. Things given them by their masters are, strictly speaking, the only property they can hold as against their masters ; but the master would not deprive a slave of any thing given him by another person.

The same rites are observed on the marriage and death of a slave as of a freeman of the same caste; the expenses of which are defrayed by the master. The marriage of a slave costs 10, 15, 20 or 25 rupees, according to circumstances. It is incumbent on the master to provide for the suitable marriage of his slave, and in performing that duty, he consults the wishes both of the parents and relatives to the slave.

A master prefers that his own slaves should intermarry; the children in that case belong to him.

If slaves of two different masters intermarry, the female remains at her owner's house, and the busband visits her when he can find leisure : such matches are, therefore, generally made between slaves of masters residing near each other. In this case, the children follow the mother, and belong to the owner of the soil. Sometimes, by special signalation, the master of the male slave gets a portion of the male offspring, but never of the female. This stipu-lation, whenever it occurs, is made in consideration of the occasional deprivation of his slave's services, to which the master of the male slave may be hable by the visits of his slave at the 962. 11 11 4 house Appendix I. Evidence.

Appendix I. Evidence.

house of the female's master, when at a distance from his own. It does not depend on the amount of the marriage expenses paid by the respective owners.

If a master cannot provide a suitable match for his male slave, in either of the ways above-It is master cannot provide a suitable match to this make stave, in either of the ways above-mentioned, he procures a free spouse for him, and erects a hut for them near his own house; the woman preserving her freedom, and all the offspring being likewise free, and no stipu-lation for a share of them is ever made by the master. The wife, however, renders service to her husband's master, in consideration of being mantained by him; and such is likewise the case with the children, until they grow up, when they seek their own livelihood as

If a slave girl is married to a freeman, the master does not permit her to reside with her husband's family, but provides them a habitation near his own. The husband either follows his usual occupation, or renders service to the wife's owner in return for maintenance. All the children belong to the owner of the mother, who would never agree to any supulation for their being free.

The punwah shadee is not known in my district.

I never heard of a single instance of a slave girl having an illegitimate child. Their conduct is regarded with as much jealousy, and watched with as much care, as that of a daughter.

Though it is not considered disreputable for a master to sell a slave, such transfers seldom take place. They occur only when the master becomes too poor to maintain the slave, or is induced to part with him in consequence of his misconduct.

The following are the usual prices of slaves when valued by arbitrators :---

A female of 12 or 13 years, from 25 to 40 rupees. Ditto - 18 or 20 - - - 40 to 60 rupees. male - 12 or 18 - - - 15 to 22 rupees. A male Ditto - 18 or 20 - -- 25 to 40 rupees.

If the seller is pressed for money, the prices will be less

No Hindoo master would sell a slave to any but a Brahmin, Rajpoot, Byse or Kaiet. He never would dispose of one to a Mussul man.

It would be considered harsh to sell slaves in such a manner as to separate husband and wife, and no one would purchase young children under 10 or 11 years of age, separately from their mother, as the trouble and expense of rearing them would not be compensated by any services they could render; but after that age they might be sold separately, and such a proceeding would not be blameable; subject to the above explanation, it would not be

considered hard to sell a slave to any distance, or into another zillah. The slave's consent is never asked in such transactions, nor would any objection he might make be attended to.

There are no slaves adscripti glebæ. Slaves are never sold in satisfaction of decrees of court, or to realize arrears of revenue or rent.

rent. It is not the custom to let to hire or to mortgage slaves. Hindoo free persons or slaves are never sold to prostitutes. There were three hereditary male slaves in my house; two died whilst I was young; the remaining one I married to a free woman whom I procured from a village; I erected a but for them, and she rendered me service in return for my maintaining her; she died child-less; I married him a second time to a free woman, a resident of the town of Bhaugulpore; she likewise served me for maintenance, and died childless; I married him a third time to a free woman of a village, who likewise served me as a domestic servant; when I came to Moorshedabad they both accompanied me, but on their falling sick I determined to send them home: I put them on hoard a boat bound to a place on the way to Bhaugulpore, giving. them home ; I put them on board a boat bound to a place on the way to Bhaugulpore, giving them five rupees for their expenses; from that boat I ascertained they embarked on board another, which was to pass Bhaugulpore on its way to the western provinces, but they never reached my house. From that day to this I have never been able to obtain any tidings of them.

15 February 1839.

21.—Brij Nath Das Vydia, Mooktear of Bhawani Kishwar Acharjya, Zemindar of Pergunnah Ulal Smgh, in Zillah Mymensingh.

I AM a native of village Mohulli, pergunnah Chand Pertaub, in the district of Dacca Jalalpore.

The descendants of my grandfather, including myself, possess the village of Mohulli, and some other villages.

My grandfather had six families of slaves; and when the inheritance was divided, each of his three sons took two families of slaves.

My father placed his two families on the part of the estate which fell to his share, close to his house, that they might render domestic service in the family.

One of the two slave couples who fell to my father's share died without issue; the other had eight children, six males and two females; they served us for some time after the death of

of their father; but about 10 years ago, seven of them (one having died) went across the Mayawatte, and took refuge on the estate of another zemindar who harboured them. We have never taken any legal proceedings, or any other steps, for the purpose of bring-

ing them back, being doubtful whether the court would sustain our claim, and because the zemindar who harbours them is rich and powerful; they are now receiving his wages. The father of this family of slaves was a proper Sudra, but the taint of slavery would pre-

vent a free Sudra from associating with him, not only a free Sudra proper but even one of the nine improper castes, which are produced from the confusion of castes. In the country I speak of, all kinds of Sudras, except Kaiets, are to be found, sometimes

in a state of slavery.

The Vydia I consider not to be a Sudra but a Byse.

The origin of slavery in my country is self-sale and sale by parents; I have never known any instance of this myself, but I have heard that such sales take place; neither do I know any instance of sale by one master to another, but I believe such sales do take place ; but it is disreputable to sell a slave. There are no adscripti glebæ.

The correction of slaves depends in a great measure on the temper of the master; sometimes he will reprove them, sometimes he will banish them from his presence, and sometimes slap them with his hand or with a ratan.

Manumission is rarely practised; but a master in decayed circumstances will frequently permit his slave to go and earn his own livelihood. Slaves, when well treated, do not desire manumission; when they are dissatisfied they run

away; those who run away from us did so because I wanted to bring two of them down to Calcutta.

Slaves are married with the same rites as free persons of the same caste.

When two slaves belonging to different masters intermarry, if there is no special stipula-tion, the owner of the female loses all his rights, and the children of course belong to the owner of the male ; he, however, receives no consideration for giving up these, for in an affair of

When the owner of a female slave, living in his house, wishes to have her married, and at the same time to retain her in his house, he marries her to a professional bridegroom, who visits her occasionally.

This kind of bridegroom is called a Byakara, and has many wives; his occupation is considered a profession.

The Byakara receives a small present on each marriage, and when visiting his wives is fed and clothed by their respective masters; they have generally from five to seven wives. If, however, a master of a slave girl has a male slave of his own, fit to be her husband,

he would of course prefer such a slave to a Byakara.

It happens sometimes, though very rarely, that a freeman marries a slave girl of the same caste; he does not lose his freedom by so doing, but he degrades himself, and can only recover his station by divorcing his wife, and making atonement and presents to the priest.

A Byakara is generally a freeman who has already suffered degradation.

15 February 1839.

22.—Har Narain Tewari, Mooktear of the Family of Bissumber Pundit, a Jaghirdar of Ghazeepore.

BISSUMBER PUNDIT was a jeghirdar of Ghazeepore, having his family mansion at Benares. I lived for five or six years at the family house at Benares. Bissumber Pundit had bought two Rajpoot male slaves. One of them died without issue. The pundit manumited the other, whose name was Huttoo. He and his children, who were all born after the manu-mission, were living in the family as servants, receiving wages, when I was at Benares. Most of the respectable people of Benares have slaves.

My father bought a male slave at Calcutta from the vakeel of Nepaul, about 15 years ago, My father bought a male slave at Calcutta from the vakeel of Nepaul, about 15 years ago, for 75 rupees. The vakeel sold hum on account of his propensity to run away; but without disclosing that circumstance. After three or four years, he ran away. We found him, after two or three years, in Calcutta, and laid hold of him. After two or three years more, he ran away again. After a few days, we caught him again; but thinking it not worth while to keep such a man, we told him to go about his business. He has since turned Mahomedan, and lives by mendicity. We occasionally give him alms.

19 February 1839.

I was born in the city of Patna. For the last six years I have resided in Calcutta.

Evidence.

249

^{23 .--} Choonee Lal Doobe, Mooktear and Tahsildar of the Nawab Nazim, of Moorshedabad. .

I am acquainted with the Patna jurisdiction and the district of Behar. The Hindoo slaves are principally Kooimees, Kuhars and Gwalas.

The children of all other castes, except Brahmins, are also solu, but latery, and only the pressure of great distress. These are heritable property. According to the strict Shaster, the masters cannot sell them; but masters do sell them occasionally in times of difficulty.

Appendix I.

Evidence.

I do not know the origin of slavery, nor is there any tradition on the subject; but I am informed that slavery had existed from time immemorial.

Children are sold by the parents or persons in loco parents in times of distress; but no one else can sell a child. Certainly not the maternal uncle or maternal grandmother, as such.

I have heard that self-sale takes place ; but I never knew an instance.

All Kuhars are considered as belonging to a slave stock, though many are practically free, having no assignable master; and others have established their independence, though it is well known who their masters are.

There are many Koormees also in this condition ; but there are others who are absolutely free, and without any taint of slavery.

The general belief is, that the sale of slaves is prohibited by Regulation X. of 1811; and consequently, when a person huys a child from its parent, the instrument by which the child is transferred purports to make over the child for 60 years for the purpose of support, with a stipulation that if the seller should ever reclaim the child, he shall refund the price, and pay all that has been expended in supporting the child. In the case of sale to a procuress, it is stipulated that parents shall further pay the expenses incurred in educating the prostitute. Formerly, children were sold by a direct deed of sale, and the present form was introduced

to evade the prohibition supposed to be contained in the above-mentioned regulation. I do not know the term "bun-vickree."

Slaves are married with the same rites as free people of the same caste. If I marry my male slave to the female slave of another master, with his assent, she becomes my slave ; but sometimes there is a stipulation that the offspring shall be divided between the two masters.

If a free Koormee or Kuhar has connexion with a female slave, the master lays hold of him, and reduces him to slavery. A free Koormee would not willingly marry his daughter to a slave ; but the free Kuliars,

being always tamted with slavery, have no objection to such a marriage. The treatment of slaves is generally good. Their condition is better than that of hired

labourers. If they are ill used, they run away. Male slaves are employed both in-doors and out of doors; but when the establishment

of slaves is large, those employed in agriculture are separated from the domestic slaves; and in that case, the females of the agricultural class do light work in the fields.

Sometimes a master will manumit a slave with whom he is well pleased; but this does not often happen. Sometimes also he turns him away for bad conduct. In the former case,

manumission is usually accompanied with a gift of the means of earning subsistence. A slave who misbehaves is beaten with the hand or a thin stick, or a shoe or a twisted handkerchief.

Those in actual slavery are about one-eighth of the whole population ; but those who have the taint of slavery are about six-sixteenths.

The owners of slaves are principally the owners of land.

There are no adscripti gleba.

My paternal grandfather purchased two Kuhar slaves, n.an and wife. They had two sons and a daughter. One son died without issue. The other married twice; the first time at the and a daughter. Une son died without issue. The other married twice; the first time at the expense of our family; the second time he sought a wife for himself, married at his own expense, and left us. He takes service with other people, but comes to us occasionally at festivals, in the hopes of getting a present. He also comes to us when he is sick. My uncle provided a husband for the daughter, and they remained for some time within our family; but have now gone away, and are beyond our control. We have remonstrated with them, but they refused to come back, making excuses, but not denying our right. I should be glad to recover her children; but every body is afraid of preferring a claim to slaves for fear of heing find. slaves, for fear of being fined.

A slave is entitled morally, and I should think legally, to support in age and infirmity. By the old law, the master was entitled to every thing which a slave might earn; but I do

not know what may be the law on the subject at the present day.

Formerly, inferior people would sometimes mortgage their slaves; but it was always dis-reputable to do so; and now the general belief of the illegality of sales has also put a stop to all mortgages.

Mussulman families possess slaves. Formerly they used to purchase low caste Hindoos and convert them to Islamism.

19 February 1839.

24.-Abdul Bari, Kazee of the City of Calcutta.

I am a native of the village Izzat Naggur, in pergunnah Do Hazare, zillah Chittagong. Our family has lands, both paying revenue and lakhiraj, in the above village. I have been kazee about 11 years, during which time I have been frequently in my own

country.

Our family possess about 24 hereditary slaves, male and female, adult and infant. They live close to our house, and do both in-door and out-door work. They are all

Mussulmans.

Our land is cultivated by ryots. The part immediately about the house, about thirty begahs, is cultivated by ryots, under the superintendence of our slaves.

It

It is usual, in my country, for respectable people to have slaves. The origin of slavery there is, I believe, the sale of children by their parents in times of

scarcity. I never heard of self-sale.

I believe there has never been any importation from Arracan or other places. I have never seen any Mugh* slaves.

The slaves of the Mussulmans are all Mussulmans. Those of the Hindoos are low caste Hindoos. I cannot say of what castes.

It is disreputable to sell one's slave. A master in poverty would support his slave as long as he was able, and, indeed, would never turn him away. The relation between master and slave is considered as a family tie. A slave, when his master falls into distress, will some-times leave his master to seek his own livelihood. This does not make the slave free, for manumission can only be by express words. Manumission is not frequent, but sometimes a master will emancipate a slave with whose conduct he is pleased. I am speaking of Mus-sulman masters, being little acquainted with the Hindoos. When a master emancipates, he generally gives land to the freed man or a present of money. If a slave is refractory, the master will beat him with his hand, or with a thin stick or ratan, for the purpose of amendment. If he be not corrigible by this kind of punishment,

he is turned away.

If a slave is bent upon running away, the master will threaten him. If he actually escapes, the master, perhaps, complains to the magistrate. A slave of my father ran away, with his family. My father applied to the magistrate, who caused the slave and his family to be restored.

Slaves are married with the same rites as free people of the same class. The master marries his male and female slaves together, if in that manner he can make suitable matches; if not, he seeks a bridgeroom for his female slave among the slaves of other masters, or perhaps he marries her to a poor free Mussulman. A freeman will very rarely give his daughter in marriage to a slave, but I have known such a case. A free person does not lose

freedom by marrying a slave. When the slaves of two different masters intermarry, the offspring belong to the master of the mother. When a master allows his slave girl to marry a freeman, and go away with hum, this amounts to emancipation, and the children are free. But if the girl remains in her master's service, then the children belong to him.

The food and raiment of a slave are superior to that of a hired labourer, and he gets his share

A slave belonging to a neighbour of mine ran away and came to Calcutta, where he worked as a labourer. His wife and child followed him. After a year he returned to his master, who pardoned him, and received him again into his service.

The slaves are entitled to support in sickness and old age, both by law and usage. No degree of ill-usage confers a right to emancipation.

19 February 1839.

25 .- Sankar Nath Jhah, Priest in the Family of Kashinath, Zetuindar of Kolgong.

I AM a native of the town of Kolgong, in zillah Boglipore.

Most of the respectable people in that part of the country have slaves. I have two female slaves, mother and daughter. The daughter, with my consent, married a freeman. I do not consider him to be my slave, though he comes to the house, and occasionally per-forms services for me. According to the present local usage, I do not think I have any legal right to his services. I stipulated on the marriage that he should leave his wife a slave in my house. But even if there had been no express stipulation, it would have been so under-The slaves in my country are principally Kurmis. There are but few Kuhars. The origin of slavery must be traced to self-sale, or the sale of a child by its mother, or

some maternal ancestor.

A sale by the father alone, while the mother is living, would be invalid; and if the mother were dead, the consent of the maternal grandmother is equally necessary. In a sale by the father and maternal grandmother, the price would be divided according to any arrangement they might make between themselves; but the price would be paid by the purchaser to the father. The father signs the conveyance, of course, but it is safer to get the signature of the maternal grandmother also. But if the mother is alwe, her signature is essential.

Some of the Kurmis are quite free from any taint of slavery. Others are practically free, but have the taint of slavery derived from slave ancestors. The same may be said of the Kuhars.

The self-sale and the sale by parents are much more uncommon among Kuhars than Kurmis, because Kuhars from their impurity are, in a great measure, unfit for service. Hindoos of all castes have slaves if they can afford it. My employer, Kashinath, has two

hundred families of slaves.

About twenty or twenty-five slaves are employed about his household. The rest are employed

* The Mughs are inhabitants of Arracan.

Appendix I. Evidence.

' 262.

Appendix I. Evidence.

employed in the cultivation of the lands, which he keeps in his own hands. A few, who can write, keep the zemindarry accounts. Some free ryots are also employed in the cultivation of the lands, which my master keeps in his own hands. Several of the slaves earn their own livelihood by serving other persons as peons, &c. I do

not think the zemindar receives any portion of their earnings, but a less wealthy master would probably expect some share of the earnings of a slave whom he had allowed to take service.

A great many of these two hundred families are in truth only nominally slaves, who attend at festivals; for the zemindar's is a decayed family, and not now capable of sup-porting so many. It would be derogatory to sell them. The lands which the zemindar has in his own hands contain two or three hundred beegahs.

Slaves, when they much misconduct themselves, are punished by stopping their rations. and striking them with the hand or with a stick, according to the disposition of the master. It is the master's right to beat his slave.

Slaves are married with the same rites as free persons of the same caste. If I want to marry my male slave, I should first look for a suitable match among my female slaves. If there was no suitable match, I should try to get some freeman to give his daughter in marriage. In that case she would not, according to the custom of the country, become my slave, and all the children would be free.

If I marry my slave to the female slave of another master, and no special stipulation is made respecting the offspring, they all belong to the master of the woman, and without his consent the husband cannot take her to his home.

I have heard that masters sometimes emancipate their slaves, but I never knew a case.

The respectable Mahomedans have generally slaves in their families. The Kurney will not sell themselves or their children to Mahomedans. But these best supply their wants by purchasing children from low Mahomedans-for instance, the Sekaras. Household slaves are fed from the remains of the master's table.

Slaves, in general, share the fate of their masters a life the master is pinched, so is the slave. If the master is in prosperity, the slave fares well. The master obtains more work from a slave than from a hired labourer; for the slave has an interest in the master's prosperity. The hired labourer gives the master as little of his labour as he can.

Severity does not confer any right to manumission upon the slave.

It is not usual to mortgage slaves or let them to hire. I have heard of the species of sale called "bun-vickree," as existing in Behar. But it is quite unknown in my country. In all sales of slaves, the deed is called "parum bhattaruk,

and conveys the slave and his future progeny. The sale of slaves by masters is very rare, being, as I have said, derogatory to the master. Such sales, however, do take place when the master is in distress.

The price of a young male adult is about forty rupees, and that of a girl of fifteen about fifty rupees.

There are no adscripti glebæ.

The number of persons in actual slavery may amount to one-sixteenth of the population.

22 February 1839.

26 .- Arshad Ullee Khan Buhadur, Vakeel of the Nawab Nazim.

I AM a native of Gaya, in Behar, and have held judicial and revenue offices in various parts of India.

Besides the district in which I was born, I am acquainted, from having served or resided in them, with Etawa, Allyghur, Ghazeepore, Mirzapore, Bhaugulpore and Dacca. In all these districts, slavery obtains more or less; but in the greatest degree, in Behar, and in the least degree to the westward.

It is thirty years since I was in Etawa. At that time most of the respectable Hindoo proprietors had Kurmi and Kuhar slaves employed as domestics. The Mussulman families of respectability had likewise Mussulman slaves.

The origin of slavery, in all the districts I have mentioned, is sale by parents and self-sales in times of distress.

In Etawa there are very few Mussulmans in so low a condition as to be ever reduced to sell their children. The Mussulmans, therefore, purchase Hindoo children and make converts of them.

In all these districts, it is unusual for masters to sell their slaves, and it is considered derogatory to do so.

If a-slave is disobedient, it is usual to correct him by slapping him with the hand, and occasionally with a whip, or a ratan.

In Behar, there is a caste called Bamans, who live by agriculture. Most of the land-holders of Behar belong to this caste. The inferior landholders of this caste, who superintend personally the work of cultivation, employ slaves, and I have heard that ill-disposed masters of this class will sometimes beat their slaves severely, and sometimes confine them by tying them up. They always feed them well, however, that being the master's interest, The Hindoo masters never emancipate their slaves that I know of; Mahomedan masters

do occasionally, it being a moral duty in them.

Both

When two slaves, of different masters, intermarry, there is almost always a stipulation as to the ownership of the offspring. When there is not such a stipulation, the offspring follow

I am speaking of the slaves of Mahomedans, for I am not acquainted with the customs of the Hindoos.

I have heard that formerly, in Behar, the magistrate would interfere to restore a runaway. slave to his master. But I never saw any case of the kind in the course of my own experience.

The condition of slaves, in Mahomedan families, is generally very comfortable. And I believe it is generally so among the Hindoos also, with the exception of some of the Baman masters.

All masters support their aged and mfirm slaves, and, I should think, a slave to whom support was refused might enforce his right in a court of justice.

No degree of al-usage confers a right to emancipation.

If the master grossly ill-uses his slaves, he is punishable at the discretion of the ruling

power. When the parent or ancestor sells a child, or when a man sells himself, the deed purports. In practice, such to let the services of the subject for a long period, such as eighty years. In practice, such an instrument is understood to convey the subject and all future offspring.

26 February 1839.

27.—Damar Singh, native of Purnia, by caste a Kalet, Pergunnah Howeli, Mooktear in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

I AM acquainted with the southern part of district Dinajpore, as well as with my native district. I held there the office of decree-engrosser. I am now in the service of rajah Bejai Govind Singh, and rajahs Bedyanand Singh and Rudranand Singh. Slaves (desig-nated Khawases) e st in Purna. They are by caste Kaiets or Kybuts and Dhanuks. The great zemindars have many families of Khawases, most of whom are supported by small assignments of lands. They render occasional service on ceremonies, receiving then a small assignments of lands. They render occasional service on ceremonies, receiving then a small pecuniary allowance and rations. The slaves who render constant domestic service receive monthly wages of one rupee and rations. The condition of slaves is easy—I may say easier than that of labourers. It sometimes happens that a free Kauet will-voluntarily sub-mit to be Khawas to a zemindar for the sake of protection and support received. If the slave is allowed to tenant lands beyond the assignment for his support, he pays rent. Some of this class accumulate property, and are extensive farmers. I cannot say whether or not the origin of the bondage of hereditary slaves may be traced back to self-sale or sale by parents, but sole does not now cocur in Pumie. Khawasea are accuring by the submission parents, but sale does not now occur in Purnia. Khawases are acquired by the submission I have described. My master, Bejai Govind Singh, has many families who have submitted to his servitude, and continue to do so. The Brahmins are the slave-owners in Purnia. to his servitude, and continue to do so, and commiss no the Kaiets and other inferior There are a few Khatris, but they do not own slaves, nor do the Kaiets and other inferior Hindoos. Those who voluntarily submit to the slavery of a Brahmin, would not submit to serve a Kaiet. The Muslim of the middle rank do not own slaves. A few great Muslim serve a Kaiet. The Muslim of the middle rank do not own slaves. A few great Muslim zemindars, who exist in the distict, may own domestic slaves; but 1 am not well informed as to their domestic concerns. The Khawases are married with observance of rites. The master gives a small sum, usually four rupees, and a quantity of grain on the occasion. The master of the male slave is the owner of the offspring of this marriage, whether the wife be free or slave. A free Kybut readily gives his daughter in marriage to a Khawas. The dominion of the patron or master over the Khawas who has sought his protection is not considered as complete, though the relation thus established is seldom broken; but should the Khawas seek doer motertion or independence. I consider that he was are house do en considered as complete, though the relation thus established is seldom broken; but should the Khawas seek other protection or independence, I consider that by usage he may do so. The dominion of the master over his inherited Khawas is more perfect. In Purnia, labour is very cheap. It is not usual to beat domestic Khawases. If they do not give satisfaction they are expelled. Khawases are employed in the cultivation of their master's private lands. The labour of ploughing and weeding is generally done by hired servants. The Khawases superintend and are employed in reaping, threshing and storing. I know of no instance of manumission. In strict right, the master may have a right to the earnings of his slave, but it is not enforced. I know of no case involving right of master and slave coming before the Purnia court. In the southern part of Duainore. I did not observe any slavery. The It is not enforced. I know of no case involving right of master and slave coming before the Purmia court. In the southern part of Dinajpore, I did not observe any slavery. The Khawas who renders actual service as slave is entitled to support in old age and sickness. But the Khawas, who is only nominally slave of some patron, whose protection he has sought, is not so entitled. In great families, there is a head or Sardar Khawas. When a stranger seeks the patronage of the master by voluntary submission, the Sardar is directed to enrol or admit him into the brotherhood of the Khawases. The person thus admitted to clientage derives protection and distinction by the use of the patron's name. But the nominal Khawas of this sort may become a tenant on his master's estate, but does not usually receive any assignment of land. receive any assignment of land.

5 March 1839.

. s6a.

28.—Jog

253

Appendix I. Evidence.

28 .-- Jog Dhyan Misr, Professor of Mathematics in the Sanscrit College.

My family belongs to Lahore, but I am a native of Benares. I have been resident in Calcutta eighteen years. But in the course of that tune I have visited various parts of Hindoostan.

The respectable inhabitants of Benares and the vicinity have all domestic slaves. The slaves are Kuhars, Kurms, and the spurious descendants of Rajpoots. The origin of slavery is either self-sale or sale by parents, or the birth of a child begotten

upon a concubine. Such a child and all its descendants are slaves.

Self-sale and sale by parents is common in Benares, and so is sale from one master to another, but a master will not, in general, sell his slave unless he is in straitened circumstances.

A master may correct his slave, as a father may correct his child, or a master his apprentice.

I have heard that slaves are sometimes manumitted when they have done something with which the master is much pleased. But I never knew an instance.

The earnings of the slave all belong to the master; he can hold no property unless by the indulgence of the master.

Slaves are married with the same rites as free people of the same caste.

If the male slave of one master marry the female slave of another, the offspring belong to the master of the female. The same, if a female slave marry a freeman. In the latter case, the freeman is my slave so long as he cohabits with his wife; but he may dissolve the connexion whenever he chooses to desert his wife.

If a free woman marry my slave, she makes herself my slave irrevocably. These rules obtain not only at Benares, but at Delhi, Lahore, and all the parts westward of Benares,

The begetting of slaves upon concubines is a practice which is not openly avowed, though it is done frequently. But in the Dakhan this is done openly without scruple. The treatment of slaves is generally good. They are, for the most part, better treated

than hired servants.

Masters always support their slaves in sickness and old age. If a master should neglect to do so, the ruling power ought to compel him.

Ill-usage does not confer a right to emancipation ; but the ruling power ought to punish the master in that case.

It is not usual to let slaves to hire nor to mortgage them. But a father, upon the mar-

It is not usual to let slaves to nire nor to mortgage them. But a father, upon the mar-riage of his daughter, frequently gives a slave or two as part of the marnage present. When a master falls into decayed circumstances, he generally dismisses his slaves to seek their own livelihood. And in that case, if he afterwards recall them to his service, I think, that he cannot legally appropriate their earnings. The form of self-sale and sale by parents is a regular bill of sale when any written docu-ment is drawn up. But most commonly there is none.

6 March 1839.

29.-Soo Dursun Loll, Mooktear in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

I AM a native of the village Terali, pergunnah Goa, in Sarun.

It is nine years since I left my native country. In most of the respectable families there are hereditary slaves. The origin of this slavery is self-sale. But such sales no longer take place, nor do sales from master to master.

The castes to which slaves belong are Kurmees, Kuhars, Dhanuks, Baris, Napits.

Though slaves are not sold, yet they are commonly given as part of the marriage present by the father of the bride.

Slaves are coerced by slaps. When a master falls into decayed circumstances, he some-times tells his slave to go and earn his own livelihood; and I have heard of a slave being 'emancipated.

The slaves benefit by the prosperity and suffer by the adversity of their masters; and, of course, feel an interest in his fortunes.

Some of the great zemindars have as many as 200 slaves, but most of them only give occasional attendance, being settled on lands belonging to their master.

Free people and slaves do not intermarry.

If the slaves of two different masters intermarry, the ownership of the offspring is gene-rally settled by supulation, but in default of stipulation they belong to the master of the father.

The master always pays the expenses of the marriage of slaves.

15 March 1839.

30,-Lala Deoke Nundun, Mooktear of the Rajah of Chota Nagpore.

I AM a native of Bhojpore, in Shahabad. I resided about seven years ago at Lohar Daga, the principal estate of the rajah, and I have visited his other estates, which are all in the Jungle Mehals.

Slavery,

Slavery, in the general acceptation of the term, obtains in this part of the country, and a particular form exists there called a Sunkia.

The Sunkia is a man of low caste, who, in consideration of an advance from 10 to 30 rupees or more, assigns himself to the lender until he repays the loan. It seldom happens rupees or more, assigns inimerit to the leader and he repays the loan. It school happens that the loan is ever repaid, though he sometimes procures himself to be transferred to a new master, who, of course, pays a consideration to the old one. But a Sunkia cannot be handed over to a new master without his own consent. If a Sunkia does not perform his duty, the master, I conceive, is entitled to compel him by force.

The Sunkia receives rations about three seers of grain a day, and a piece of land, gene-rally about two begahs, which he is allowed to cultivate on his own account; he also re-ceives clothing,—two dhotees and a blanket a year. The people who assign themselves in this form are generally outcastes. Almost all the following classes of people are Sunkias, (Bhuyas, Coles and Soutwals) Kurmees and Kuhars, many of whom are domestic slaves, very rarely become Sunkias.

It is more economical to employ Sunkias than slaves, because the master has to pay the expense of the marriage of his slaves.

I cannot say why this peculiar form of slavery prevails rather than common slavery, except that these classes of people do not choose to sell themselves or their children. The slaves of this part of the country are all Kurmees and Kuhars. The origin of their slavery is sale by a mother, a maternal grandfather or grandmother. No one would buy a Kurmee or Kuhar from himself; no such transaction is known either in the country I am speaking of, or in Shahabad.

Sales by relations, and sales from one master to another, used to be common; but an impression has got abroad that they are prohibited, and, in consequence of this, sales are now made under the disguise of a deed of hire. I beheve this impression arcse from some late decisions and *dicta* of the Sudder judges. I allude to two particular cases, in one of which I was mooktear.

In the sale of Kurmee and Kuhar children, the consent of the father is quite unimportant.

The general condition of slaves in the parts of which I have been speaking is good. They are sometimes corrected with slaps, and so are Sunkias. But no severe punishment is ever inflicted ; if it were, the slave would run away.

In Shahabad and Behar, the poorer class of masters, who have not much occasion for domestic services, employ their slaves in agriculture. I allude to the Rajpoots of Shahabad and the Brahmins of Behar.

The rajah has from 80 to 100 domestics, but he has no agricultural slaves nor Sunkias. having no land in his own hands.

15 March 1839.

31 .-- Sri Dhar Bukshi Kait, Agent of the Rajah of Pachit, in the Jungle Mehals,

I AM a native of Jumalpore, in Western Beerbhoom.

I am an hereditary servant of the rajah, and frequently go backwards and forwards between his residence and Calcutta.

My master and the respectable people of that part of the country, in general, possess slaves.

The slaves of my master's family are spurious Rajpoots. He himself is a Khetrya of the solar race.

The slaves in general are Kaiets, Koomars, Kamars, Kurmi, Chasa, Kybert, Bhuyans. The Kalet slave is very rare.

There are also some low caste persons who contract the obligation of servitude in consideration of an advance of money. They become free upon repayment of the advance, and are entitled to food and clothing from the master in the meantime.

The master may assign such a person over to a new master without his consent. The origin of the slavery of this country is sale by parents, and also self-sale, as I have heard. These sales generally take place when the family is in distress. But sometimes a parent will give his child, as a slave, to a great man like my master with a view to the advantages of that position.

If two slaves of different masters intermarry, the offspring belong to the owner of the father, unless there is some express stipulation.

If a free woman marry a slave, she and her offspring follow his condition.

The sale of slaves by masters is not common, and only takes place when the master is urged by distress. The price varies much according to the ments of the slave. Slaves are punished by blows with a slipper or a ratan, and by confinement. Since the

government of the English, masters have been careful not to ill-treat their slaves, from the fear of punishment.

The earnings of the slave all belong to his master. I am myself the owner of two slaves belonging to the Baon caste. My father left us several slaves, but we have fallen into straitened circumstances, and are not able to support them ; and except the two I have mentioned, they are practically free and earning their own lavelahood.

We have not sold them because they would have fetched very little, and it would be dis-262. 114 reputable

255

Evidence.

Appendix J. - reputable to do so. They say they will come back to us if we ever regain our station in the world, and I believe they would. Evidence. There is a kindly feeling subsisting between hereditary slaves and their masters. The slaves will sometimes beg for the support of their masters.

The slave has a right to support from his master when he is incapable of work.

Sometimes a master hires out the services of his slave, but only when he is in low cir-

22 March 1839.

cumstances.

32.- Bahadoor Ruza.

I are a native of Sylhet, and the owner of two talooks in that district. One of them is in the Lahore division and the other in Bunia Choung. On the former, there are about twentyfive families of hereditary slaves of my family, and on the other, about a hundred and twenty. They are now only nominally slaves. Down to the time of my father they per-formed the service of watch and ward. But now they do not differ from other ryots paying rent on the estate, except that they sometimes come upon occasion of ceremonies, and that

They always ask my permission to marry. Some of them also culturate the lands of other zemindars. I neither give them any thing nor receive any thing from them. So purely nominal is my dominion over these people, that about fifteen years ago I bought five male and five female slaves for domestic purposes. I bought them from their parents. Seven of these were children of Mahomedans, natives of the country, who were in distress. The other three were Hindoo children from Munipore, who have become Mahomedans, of course, as being slaves of a Mahomedan master. About the time when these last were bought, a great many inhabitants of Munipore took refuge in Sylhet, from the terror of a

Burnese invasion, and sold their children into slavery. Sux of these slaves have run away, and I know that three of them are at Dacca. I mean to sue in the civil court for restitution of their services. My father bought two slaves, named Chunda and Nida, from their master. They refused to come under his dominion, whereupon he sued them in the avil court of Sylhet. My father died during the suit. I continued it, and got judgment; which was confirmed on appeal in the provincial court of Dacca. The defence was, that they were not slaves; and upon that point being decided against them, they came under my dominion.

30 March 1839.

33 .- Prawn Kishen Dutt.

My father is a small talookdar in pergunnah Bejorira, in Sylhet, adjoining Bunia Choung.

He owns about 75 families of slaves, of which about 60 are Hindoos, principally Kaiets and Kybert Das. The remainder are either Mussulmans or Chundals. These people are located in the vicinity of our house, and cultivated the lands of the talook, paying reat to my father. The only service they perform for my father is, that they cultivate, when called upon, the land, which he keeps in his own hands, which is about two-and-a-half ploughs; for this they receive no renuncration. Four or five male and six or seven female Koints are amplying the dominant is our house and there also consider the seven female Kaiets are employed as domestic servants in our house, and these also occasionally cultivate

my father's land. When any of these domestic slaves are married, it is at my father's expense. When any man wishes to marry one of the female slaves not domestic, he first asks the consent of her father; and having obtained that, he asks the consent of the master; and if that is granted, he makes a small present of one or two rupees, and our proprietory right over the girl ceases.

My father bought about 10 families of these slaves. The motive for buying is, that they increase the consideration of the owner. They were bought from their former master.

Cases relating to slavery frequently come before the courts in Sylhet. The megistrate, if a prima facie case of slavery is made out, refers the alleged slave to the civil courts.

A slave, named Bolha, was the property of my two uncles, Goun Sunkar Dott and Jugul Kishwur Dutt. About 25 years ago, hus maternal grandmother, also their slave, sold hum fraudulently to Gopal Kishen and others, who resided at a distance. Bolha, the slave, and Gouri, joined in suing Gopal and the other vendees, to set aside the sale. Jugul was then absent in Assam.

30 March 1839.

84.-Lalla Ram Charan Lal, Agent of Maharajah Lutchmee Nath Singh, of Ramghur.

I AM a native of village Amarnt, pergunnah Sheerghotty, in what is now the Behar district, formerly the Ramghur district.

In my pergunah, and in Hazareebagh, slaves are of two kinds; the doniestic slave, who is always either a Kurmi or a Kahar, and the Kamia, or out-door labourer, who is an outcaste, eather Bhuyian, Rajwar, Ghatwan, Turi or Bokta.

The

The Kamias are sometimes absolute slaves, and are then called "Saunkia;" sometimes bound until the repayment of a loan.

There is no such thing as a sale of a man for life without his offspring.

The origin of the slavery of the Kurmis and Kahars is the sale of adults or children by their mothers. The presence of the maternal grandmother, or, if she is dead, of the maternal uncle, is necessary to this kind of sale. If the mother is dead, the grandmother may sell ; if the grandmother is dead, then the maternal uncle. When a female is thus sold, her future offspring pass by the sale. When a male is sold, his future offspring are not affected. When an adult is sold, his consent is not asked. The mother may sell her daughter, though she be married. If the husband is a freeman, he generally follows his wife; but if he is the slave of another master, then they are separated, and the husband looks out for another wife.

The sale called bun-vikree is known in the country of which I am speaking; and the price is lower than when the subject of the sale is in the possession of the seller.

, I consider that all the Kurmis and Kahars belong to slave stocks, though many of them are practically free; but they are all liable to be sold as above.

The origin of the slavery of the Kamia is self-sale, and sale by the father or other person exercising parental authority.

The Kamia, who is bound until he repays a loan, is not transferable except by his own consent. He cannot leave his master, except at the end of the agricultural year, though he should have the money to redeem himself ready before that period.

All the respectable people cultivate their land by Kamuas.

The maharajah has about 500 of them cultivating the land, which he keeps in his own hands.

The master of a Saunkia never interferes with the female children of Saunkias: in strictness, I suppose, he has the right to do so; but it is not the custom. The Saunkia mar-res his female children as he pleases. It is otherwise with the Kurmis and Kahars; the marriages of their female children are made by the master, who pays the expenses.

The Saunkia is allowed three seers of grain in the husk daily, and one seer of rice. If his wife labours in the fields she receives the same. If she is sick, or does not labour from any cause, she receives nothing. During the harvest the men receive one and a third sheaf of the crop every day. Besides this, the Saunkia has two beegahs of land and two Mohwa trees, and has the use of his master's bullocks to cultivate the land and seed grain. He is also clothed.

The Kamia, who is bound till he repays a loan, receives nothing but three seers of grain in the husk.

The average price of a Saunkia may be about 50 rupees.

The amount of the advance to the bondsman is from 10 to 20 rupees.

If the bondsman die before the loan is repaid, his son, as a matter of course, takes his place. This is the established custom.

In Behar, the Kamia is bound not only to repay the principal of the loan, but also to pay interest, which makes the condition of the Kamia amount, in fact, to the absolute slavery of himself, and some or all of his descendants.

On the death of the father, one or more of his sons become Kamias for the payment of the debt; the arrangement being settled by arbitrators, and new engagements executed between the parties,

In Behar, the Kamias are Bhurjeans and Moosur.

One Petumbur Singh, of Seekun, in Behar, enticed away 19 or 20 Kamias from Sheerghotty, and the magistrate of Behar caused them to be restored. Many suits respecting Kamias have been decided in the civil court at Sheerghotty; but I know of no case in which a creditor has sued the sons of a deceased Kamia in consequence of having been unable to bring them to terms.

17 May 1899.

35 .- Captain Bogle, Commissioner of Arracan.

I FIRST went to Arracan in the end of 1828, to command the provincial battalion. In 1 left 1829 I was transferred to the civil department, as an assistant to the commissioner. the province in July 1831; in 1837 I returned to Arracan, as commissioner, which office I still hold.

No slavery now exists in Arracan. When I was first there I found slavery and bondage existing, but not to a great extent.

All civil rights were reduced to a state of great uncertainty by the Burmese conquest, in 1783; in consequence of this, the number of slaves appears to have much decreased.

The abolition of slavery and bondage was brought about in the following manner :-

In the year 1834, some correspondence took place between the local superintendent and the commissioner, Mr. Walters, at Chittagong (Arracan and Chittagong were at that time under the same commissioner), on the subject of slavery and bondage, and the result of the 262. KK correspondence

Appendix I.

'257

Evidence.

Appendix I.

correspondence was, that the commissioner directed the superintendent to declare all slaves and bondsmen free, if he thought he could do so with safety.

The superintendent accordingly issued a proclamation to that effect. This proclamation occasioned considerable dissatisfaction, but no disturbance was created, nor was there any public demonstration. I have reason to think that the proclamation produced a practical effect, and that many slaves left their masters in consequence of it.

When I returned to Arracan, as commissioner, in 1837, some petitions were presented to me by persons who had been owners of slaves and bondsmen, complaining that there slaves and bondsmen had left them, and that they had not been able to obtain redress from the local officers. Upon the receipt of these petitions I inquired into the matter, and found that the proclamation above mentioned had been issued; I therefore discouraged the petitioners.

Afterwards, when I went into the interior, a great number of similar petitions were presented to me; these I referred to one of my assistants, and, upon his report, I was satisfied that it was not prudent to stir the question at all, and that if I did so, I should be overwhelmed with petitions.

I have never been called on to decide judicially upon the validity of the proclamation.

Notwithstanding the proclamation, I believe that a considerable number of slaves and bondsmen still exist de facto.

I do not remember any complaints made by slaves or bondsmen of ill-treatment on the part of their masters.

The agriculture of the country is carried on by very small proprietors, who hold the plough themselves.

The highest class of people in the country is the Soogree, a kind of tehsildar; and I have known, in some instances, the sons of such persons to hire themselves as day-labourers.

The condition of a slave is not distinguishable from that of a free labourer.

There is no want of free labourers.

At harvest-time, a great many come from Chittagong, and return home after the harvest. I believe that the slavery of Arracan originated in predatory expeditions into the adjoining countries.

I was in Assam from 1833 to 1837, as assistant to the commissioner.

A very large proportion of the land, and all the best land, is held by Brahmins, who are also the principal holders of slaves.

It would not be nearly so easy to abolish slavery in Assam as in Arracan, because the proprietors of slaves are men of such considerable wealth and power.

Since we have had the country, we have never permitted the masters to punish their slaves more severely than a father may punish his child.

When I first went to Assam, many of the principal people kept stocks in their houses, and used to put their slaves, or any poor person who offended, into them.

Since we have been in possession, these stocks are no longer permitted.

The real motive which now induces the slave to do his work is the fear of losing the advantages of his situation.

Cases of oppression were every now and then occurring while I was in the country; but we have always punished the oppressors whenever complaints have been substantiated.

I do not consider that, by law, the master has any power of punishing his slave by beating; but no doubt, is a slave complained, and it turned out that his master had only given him a slap, the court would searcely think the case worth noticing.

I think that an Act abolishing the master's power of punishment altogether would make no change in the law of Assam.

I believe that a considerable part of the slavery now existing in Assam originated in the Paik system, which was this: the native government permitted the Paiks to hold land at a small quit-rent, and exacted labour from them in return. The government used to pay all its officers by assignments of the labour of these Paiks. Before the country came into our possession, the public officers continued frequently, through the imbecility of the government, to make slaves of the Paiks, to whose services they had been thus entitled, and also to usurp the lands to which these Paiks were entitled.

After the province came into our possession, a minute inquiry was made into this abuse. In consequence, several thousands were liberated. But the inquiry itself was so versations, and gave rise to so much bribery, that the commissioner put a stop to it before it was completed.

In 1834, the government gave orders that no slaves should be sold in execution of decrees or for arrears of revenue. This was followed by a great decrease in the value of slaves.

The most common way of maintaining slaves in Assam is by assigning them a portion of the master's estate to culturate, the produce being divided between the master and slave, and the share of the slave being sufficient for the subsistence of himself and his family.

I think the effect of abolishing slavery in Assam would be, that the indignation of the master and the insolence of the slave would prevent them from making the agreement for labour

labour which their mutual interests would suggest, and thus considerable mischief would for some time be produced.

In consequence of the ignorance of the bondsmen, and the power and injustice of those to whom they were bound, it frequently happened that, though a man had bound himself for not more than eight rupees, yet his son and grandson remained m bondage. In fact, if a bondsman died without having discharged his debt, the master seized upon his nearest relation, and compelled him to serve so long as the debt remained unpaid. I brought this abuse to the notice of the commissioner, and he directed me, whenever a bondsman applied for his release, to fix the price of the plaintiff's labour, and, after deducting from it what might be esteemed a fair equivalent for maintenance, to carry the balance to the credit of the plaintiff, and whenever the sum thus credited sufficed to extinguish the original debt with legal interest, or the plaintiff paid up whatever was wanting in the amount so credited to effect such extinction, to award to the plaintiff an entire discharge and liberation from his bondage. But, to prevent protracted investigations, and to protect the master from indictive prosecutions, the commissioner further directed that no master should be required to account for any sum that might be carried to the credit of the plaintiff under the above rule, in excess of the amount of the original debt, with legal interest, and that no suit, by a liberated bondsman, for any sum alleged to be due to him on account of labour performed during his bondage, should be entertained. A great many bondsmen were released under the operation of this rule.

Several of the bondsmen, thus liberated, have left their musters and sought employment elsewhere.

Several European settlers have established themselves in Assam who have taken bondsmen, but they generally escape, and the European finds it impossible to trace them out. The native master would find sympathy and aid in a search for a runaway bondsman.

16 August 1839.

36.-W. R. Young, Esq., Commissioner, to inquire into the Condition of the Settlements in the Straits.

I AM aware that the question of abolishing the court of judicature in the Straits has been under discussion. My opinion is, that so long as the law of England obtains in these settlements it would not be advisable to intrust the administration of it to unprofessional judges without some professional check.

The court of judicature is not only a court of first instance, but is also a court exercising a superintending jurisdiction over the justices of the peace and the court of requests, and I think it very necessary that some such jurisdiction should exist, on the spot, to prevent the meanvenence which suitors must suffer from the delay in correcting the mistake of those subordinate functionaries.

A circuit, made by a judge of the supreme court from Calcutta, would not adequately perform these functions unless it took place several times in the course of the year. I should say not less than three times.

I will give, as an illustration of the necessity of a professional judge, a case which occurred while I was in the Straits.

The indorsee of a bill of lading, in which freight was expressed to have been paid in London, brought an action against the captain to recover the goods, he having refused to deliver them on the ground that the freight had not, in fact, been paid. After the merits of the case had been investigated, the defendant objected that the plaintiff had no sufficient interest in the goods to maintain the action. No recorder was present, and the unprofessional judge decided that the objection was fatal, though the merits of the case were clearly with the plaintiff. It is generally understood that this decision is wrong in point of law.

I think that, admitting the expediency of having a professional judge on the spot for the decision of such cases as I have specified, it is, nevertheless, true that such a court ought not to be pard entirely out of the revenues of India. But some part of the expenses of such a coart may reasonably be paid out of those revenues in respect of the benefit which India derives from the settlements in the Straits in a commercial way, and as receptacles for convicts.

I see no mode in which the revenue of the Straits can be increased except by customs.

I did not hear that the decision of the recorder's court, by which it was held that the Dutch Roman law was abolished in Malacca, had created any dissatisfaction among the Dutch inhabitants.

All conveyances of real property between Englishmen are according to the English forms; but the court would receive evidence of the native customs in a conveyance between natives.

I wish to observe, that not only the European inhabitants but also the natives are very much attached to the present administration of justice, and that they would not like any such change as would leave the settlements without a professional judge.

262.

K K 2

Supposing

Appendix L

Evidence.

260 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix I.

Evidence.

Supposing that the recorder's court is not abolished, the principal reform which appears to me to be necessary is the simplification of the pleadings and procedure. Sir Benjamin Malkin did a great deal towards the accomplishment of this end; but now the business of preparing the pleadings has fallen into the hands of law-agents, and they have become long and technical. The proper remedy for this, I conceive to be, that the parties should be obliged to state their case vivo voce in court, except in cases of sickness or other reasonable excuse.

I do not think there would be any difficulty in finding persons competent, from their general respectability, to sit as jurors or assessors in the courts. But, inasmuch as it would be very difficult to find persons wholly disinterested, I think it would not be safe to make their verdict binding on the court. I do not think the people would at all complain of thus burthen, if the number of jurors or assessors were limited to two or three.

I was sent by the government of B engal, as a commissioner, to inquire into the condition of the settlements in the Straits.

I was about 18 months in the different settlements.

The proclamation * alluded to has been observed, but it has not been understood to include the case of persons who are imported as the Chinese are under a bargam with the captain, that they will bind themselves to serve for a term some person who will pay for their passage.

I believe there is a considerable number, both at Singapore and Penang, who are now serving under such contracts.

I do not think the masters of such persons ever attempt to enforce the contract by personal chastisement.

The transfer of these persons from one person to another, without their own consent, is not legal.

I never heard, while I was at Mal acca, that any such agreement as the one now alluded to + had ever been entered into.

In fact, the Dutch inhabitants do generally possess slaves, but not the British.

There is no slavery at Penang.

I consider province Wellesley to be exactly in the same condition, in point of law, as Penang, in respect of slavery. But in consequence of its bordering upon the Siamese territories, I believe that, in fact, there are some persons held in slavery.

I believe that the debtor servants are in general well treated, but I think the system of debtor-service a very inefficient one.

I wish to observe that I only speak from a general impression of what I heard and saw, for it was not part of my duty to inquire particularly into the state of slavery in the Straits.

30 November 1839.

Published by the government in these settlements in March 1830, prohibiting the importation of persons under the denomination of slave-debtors.

An agreement entered into by the inhabitants at a meeting assembled on the 28th November 1829, that slavery shall not be recognized in the town and territory of Malacca, after the 31st December 1841, A.D.

APPENDIX II.

OFFICIAL RETURNS as to Slavery in the Provinces included in the Presidencies of Fort William and Agra.

 LETTER of the Law Commission to Registers of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, established at Calcutta and Allahabad, dated the 10th October 1835.
 Reply thereto from the Register of the Calcutta Courts, dated 3d March 1837, with en-

closures, viz.-3. Mr. T. C. Robertson's Minute enclosed therein. 4. Mr. Officiating Deputy Register H. Torrens' Note, ditto. 5. Return by Mr. H. Ricketts, Commissioner of Cuttack, 19th Division, Balascre, ditto, Mr. J. C. Brown, Civil Judge, Behar, ditto. 6. 7. Mr. H. V. Hathorn, Judge, Cuttack, ditto. ,, 8, Mr. J. Grant, Acting Magistrate, Balasore, ditto. ,, Mr. T. C. Scott, Magistrate, Balasore, ditto. 9. **77** Mr. M. Mills, Officiating Magistrate, Cuttack, ditto. 10. **3**P Mr. J. K. Ewart, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Pooree, ditto. 11. ,, Mr. Abercrombie Dick, Judge and Sessions Judge, Midnapur, ditto. 12. 93 Mr. J. Stainforth, Officiating Magistrate, Midnapur, ditto. 13. 37 14. Mr. D. J. Money, Acting Joint Magistrate, Midnapur, ditto. ,, Mr. H. M. Pigou, Commissioner, 18th Division, Jessore, ditto. 15. " 16. Mr. E. M. Gordon, Commissioner of Circuit, 14th Division, Moorshedabad, ditto ,, Mr. E. J. Harington, Officiating Judge, Hooghly, ditto. 17. ,, Mr. E. A. Samuells, Officiating Magistrate, Hooghly, ditto. 18. " Mr. J. Curtis, Judge, Burdwan, ditto. 10. ** Mr. R. Macan, Additional Judge, Burdwan, ditto. 20. ,, Mr. W. Taylor, Officiating Magistrate, Burdwan, ditto. \$1. *, 22. Mr. W. H. Elliott, Officiating Magistrate, Bancoorah, ditto. n Mr. J. H. D'Oyly, Civil and Session Judge, Birbhum, ditto. 23. ·,, Mr. W. J. H. Money, Acting Magistrate, Birbhum, ditto. 24. ,, 25. Mr. H. J. Middleton, Judge, Murshidabad, ditto. 17 Mr. G. Myers, Principal Sudder Aumin, Murshidabad, ditto. 26. ,, Mr. R. Torrens, Magistrate, Murshidabad, ditto. 27. ,, 28. Mr. C. R. Martin, Officiating Judge, Twenty-four Pergunnas, ditto. ,,, Mr. J. Laurell, Officiating Magistrate, Twenty-four Pergunnas, ditto. 29. ,, 30. Mr. G. W. Battye, Joint Magistrate, Baraset, ditto. ,, 31. Mr. C. G. Udny, Civil and Sessions Judge, Nuddeah, ditto. ** 32. Mr. H. P. Russell, Officiating Additional Judge, Nuddeah, ditto. ,,, Mr. R. C. Halkett, Officiating Magistrate, Nuddeah, ditto. 33. " Mr. C. Phillips, Judge of Jessore, ditto. 34. ,, Mr. A. F. Donnelly, Officiating Magistrate, Jessore, ditto. 35. " Mr. R. W. Maxwell, Judge of Backergunge, ditto. 36. ** 37. Mr. H. Stainforth, Magistrate, Backergunge, ditto. 37 Mr. W. Dampier, Commissioner 16th Division, Chittagong, ditto. 38. ,, Mr H Moore, Acting Judge, Chittagong, ditto. 39. ,, 40. Mr. G. Bruce, Acting Joint Magistrate, Noakholle, ditto. ,, Mr. J. Shaw, Civil and Session Judge, Tipperah, ditto. 41. " 42. Mr. ---- Allen, Assistant Joint Magistrate, Tipperah, ditto. ,, 43. Mr. J. Lowis, Commissioner of Circuit, Dacca, ditto. ,, Mr. J. F. G. Cooke, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Dacca, ditto. 44 ** Mr. J. Grant, Magistrate, Dacca, ditto. 45. ** 46. Mr. W. H. Martin, Joint Magistrate, Furreedpur, ditto. ,, Mr. G. C. Cheap, Judge, Maimunsingh, ditto. 47. ,, 48. Mr. D. Pringle, Magistrate, Maimunsingh, ditto. 23 49. Mr. C. Smith, Civil and Session Judge, Sylhet, ditto. ** Mr. R. H. Mytton, Magistrate, Sylhet, ditto. 50, ,, 51. Mr. C. W. Steer, Commissioner of Circuit, Bauleah, ditto. ,,, 52. Mr. R. Barlow, Judge, Rajshahi, ditto. ., 53. Mr. H. T. Raikes, Officiating Magistrate, Rajshahi, dutto.

262.

54. Return

APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 269

54. Return by Mr. J. B. Ogilvy, Joint Magistrate, Pubna, enclosed therein. Mr. J. Taylor, Joint Magistrate, Bogra, ditto. 55 Mr. T. A. Shaw, Civil and Session Judge, Rungpur, ditto. **√** 56. 32 Mr. H. F. James, Magistrate, Rungpur, ditto. ♥ 57. n Captain Davidson, North East Rungpur, ditto. ₩ 58. 37 Mr. J. Wyatt, Civil and Session Judge, Dinagepur, ditto. 59. " Mr. G. T. Shakespear, Officiating Magistrate, Dinagepur, ditto. 60. ,, The Honourable R. Forbes, Officiating Magistrate, Malda, ditto. 61. Mr. H. Nisbet, Judge of Purnea, ditto. 62. ,, 63. Mr. W. P. Goad, Acting Magistrate, Purnea, ditto. ... 64. Captain Wilkinson, Governor General's Agent, Kishenpur, ditto. Lieutenant J. Hannyngton, Assistant Governor General's Agent, ditto. 65. 35 Captain L. Bird, Principal Assistant Governor General's Agent, ditto. 6Ğ, 13 67. Mr. J. Davidson, ditto. 3> 68. Mr. C. Harding, Commissioner of Circuit, 12th Division, Bhagulpur, ditto. 39 Mr. E. Lee Warner, Civil and Session Judge, Bhagulpur, ditto. 69. ,, Mr. J. Dunbar, Magistrate, Bhagulpur, ditto. 70. 39 Mr. H. Laing, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Monghyr, ditto. 71. " 72. Mr. F. Gouldsbury, Officiating Additional Judge, Behar, ditto. ... Mr. H. V. Hathorn, Magistrate, Behar, ditto. 73-9 Mr. C. Tucker, Commissioner, Patna. 74. " Mr. G. J. Morris, Judge, Patna, ditto. 75. " Mr. W. R. Jennings, Magistrate, Patna, ditto. 76. 37 77. Mr. J. Hawkins, Officiating Judge, Shahabad, ditto. 33 78. Mr. T. Sandys, Officiating Magistrate, Shahabad, ditto. " Mr. T. R. Davidson, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Sarun, ditto. 79. ** Mr. W. Luke, Officiating Magistrate, Serun, ditto. 80. 15 81. Mr. T. J. Dashwood, Judge, Tirbut, ditto. Mr. G. Gough, Officiating Additional Judge, Tirhut, ditto. 82. 53 Mr. J. E. Wilkinson, Magistrate, Tirhut, ditto. 83. Reply from the Officiating Register of the Allahabad Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, dated 18th March 1836. 84. 85. Return by Mr. F. Currie, Commissioner, 5th Division, Ghazeepur, ditto. Mr. G. Mainwaring, Civil and Session Judge, Goruckpur, ditto. 86. Mr. A. P. Currie, Joint Magistrate, Goruckpur, ditto. 87. ,,, Mr. R. W. Barlow, Judge, Ghazeepur, ditto. 88. " Mr. W. Jackson, Additional Judge, Ghazeepur, ditto. 89. ,, Mr. E. P. Smith, Magistrate Ghazeepur, ditto. 90. ,, Mr. J. Thomason, Magistrate, Azimgurh, ditto. Q1. **3**> Mr. B. Tayler, Judge, Jounpur, ditto. Q2. Mr. C. Tulloh, Magistrate, Jounpur, ditto. 93. ,, Mr., W. Gorton, Civil and Session Judge, Benares, ditto. 94. ,, Mr. D. B. Morrison, Magistrate, Benares, ditto. 95-22 Mr. H. H. Thomas, Civil and Session Judge, Mirzapur, ditto. 96. " Mr. W. H. Benson, Officiating Commissioner of Circuit, 4th Division, ditto. 97. ,, Mr. J. Dunsmure, Civil and Session Judge, Allahabad, ditto. 98, * Mr. A. Spiers, Magistrate, Allahabad, ditto. 99. 12 Mr. S. Fraser, Judge, Bundlecund, dittol. 100. ,, Mr. R. C. C. Clarke, Acting Magistrate, Bundlecund, ditto. 101. " Mr. H. Pidcock, Magistrate, Humeerpur, ditto 102. " Mr. R. J. Tayler, Judge, Futtehpur, ditto. 103. ,, Mr. H. Armstrong, Officiating Magistrate, Futteapur, ditto. 104-,,, Mr. R. Neave, Officiating Judge, Cawnpur, ditto. 105. ,, Mr. C. M. Caldecott, Magistrate, Cawnpur, ditto. 106. ,, Mr. J. Cummine, Joint Magistrate, Belah, ditto. 107. 33 Mr. C. Fraser, Officiating Commissioner, 2d Division, Agra, ditto. 108. **9**> 109. Mr. A. W. Begbie, Officiating Judge, Myspooree, ditto. 110. Mr. H. Fraser, Magistrate, Mynpooree, dutto. -Mr. J. P. Gubbins, Joint Magistrate, Etawah, ditto. 111. " Mr. J. Davidson, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Agra, ditto. 112. 17 Mr. S. G. Mansell, Magistrate, Agra, ditto. 413. 33 Mr. W. H. Tyler, Magistrate, Muttra, ditto. 114. ,, 115. Mr. J. Neave, Judge, Alliguth, ditto. 33 Mr. H. Swetenham, Officiating Judge, Furruckabad, ditto. 416. ,,, Mr. F. H. Robinson, Magistrate, Furruckabad, ditto. 117. 3> Mr. S. M. Boulderson, Commissioner of Circuit, Bareilly, ditto. 118. 33 Mr. W. Cowell, Judge, Bareilly, ditto. 119. وي ا

130. Return

- 120. Return by Mr. W. J. Conolly, Magistrate, Bareilly, enclosed therein.
- 121. " Mr. C. S. Clarke, Magistrate, Shajehanpur, ditto.
- 122. " Mr. S. S. Brown, Magistrate, Suheswan, ditto.
- 123. " Mr. E. S. Smith, Judge, Moradabad, ditto.
- 124. " Mr. W. Okeden, Magistrate, Moradabad, ditto.
- 125. " Mr. R. Dick, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Kasipur, ditto.
- 126. " Mr. --- Lushington, Magistrate, Bijnore, ditto.
- 127. Mr. J. R. Hutchinson, Commissioner of Circuit, ditto.
- 128. " Mr. G. W. Bacon, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Saharunpur, ditto.
- 129. " Mr. J. Lowis, Acting Magistrate, Saharunpur, ditto.
- 130. Mr. E. F. Franco, Magistrate, Mozuffurnuggur, ditto.
- 131. " Mr. R. C. Glyn, Officiating Judge, Meerut, ditto.
- 132. , Mr. B. N. C. Hamilton, Officiating Magistrate, Meerut, ditto.
- 133. " Mr. M. H. Tierney, Magistrate, Bolundshahur, ditto
- 134. " Mr. T. Metcalfe, Commissioner, Delhi, ditto.
- 135. " Mr. H. Fraser, Judge, Delhi, ditto.
- 136. " Mr. S. W. Truscott, Magistrate, Centre Division, Delhi, ditto.

137. " Mr. C. Gubbins, Officiating Magistrate, Goorgong, ditto.

- 138. " Mr. A. Fraser, Magistrate, Rohtuk, ditto. .
- 139. " Mr. J. Lawrence, Magistrate, Paniput, ditto.
- 140. " Mr. M. R. Gubbins, Officiating Magistrate, Hurriana, ditto.

141. Letter from the Secretary Law Commission, dated 5th April 1839, to Judge of Cuttack, on subject of sale of Slaves to levy judgmenta.

142. Reply thereto, dated 1st May 1839.

143. Letter from the Secretary Law Commission, dated 5th April 1839, to Magistrate of Central Cuttack, on subject of a Proclamation issued by Mr. Ker, the Commissioner, and an order passed by Mr. Forrester, Magistrate of the district.

- 144. Reply thereto, dated 19th June.
- 145. Letter from the Secretary of the Law Commission, dated 5th April 1839, to the Magistrate of North Cuttack, as to the census of Slave population in that district.
- 146. Reply thereto, dated 7th May 1839.

147. Letter from the Law Commission, dated 23d November 1839, to the Judges of Behar, Patna and Shahabad, on the subject of the sale of Slaves to levy judgments.

- 148. Reply of the Behar Judge, dated 18th December 1839.
- 149. Reply of the Shahabad Judge, dated 27th December 1839.
- FROM the Secretary of the Indian Law Commissioners to Registers of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Bengal and Agra, dated 10th October 1835.

THE Indian Law Commissioners having under their consideration, as connected with the preparation of a criminal code, the system of slavery prevailing in India, I am directed to request that the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut will favour them with information on the following points :---

1st. What are the legal rights of masters over their slaves, with regard both to their persons and property, which are practically recognized by the Company's courts and magistrates under the Bengal presidency.

2d. And as more immediately connected with the criminal code, to what extent is it the practice of the courts and magistrates to recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying acts which otherwise would be punishable, or as constituting a ground for mutgation of the punishment; what protection are they in the habit of extending to slaves on complaint preferred by them of cruelty or hard usage by their masters; and how far do they continue to Mussulman slaves the indugences which, in criminal matters, were granted them by the Mahomedan law.

3d. Whether there are any cases in which the courts and magistrates afford less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters.

The Act of 51 Geo. 8, c. 23, and Regulations X. of 1811, and III. of 1832, specially provide against the importation of slaves by sea or land from foreign countries, and the removal of slaves for the purposes of traffic from one part of the Bruish territories dependent on the presidency of Fort William to another: but the only general rule which appears to have been laid down for the guidance of the courts in other cases of slavery, is that contained in the construction of section 15, Regulation IV. of 1793, by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, in 1798, confirmed by the Governor-general in Council on the 12th of April of that year, and fully recognized in the subsequent resolution of the Honourable the Vice-president in Council, dated the 9th of September 1827, in the discussions which arose regarding the intent and application of the Act of Parhament above referred to.

262.

By

Appendix II. Returns.

No. 1.

Appendix II.

Returns

By that construction it was determined, that the spirit of the rule contained in section 15, Regulation IV. of 1793, for observing the Muhomedan and Hundoo laws in suita regarding Regulation 1 v or 1795, for observing the manufactur and finance away in suita regarding successions, inheritance, marriage and caste, and all religious usages and institutions, was explicible to slavery, though not included in the letter of it; the above-mentioned section, therefore, with the additional provisions in section 9, Regulation VII. of 1932, virtually con-stitute the law by which the decision of the courts, in cases of this nature, are directed to be regulated,

2. With regard to the Mahomedan law, the nature of the services which a master is entitled to demand from his slave, the summary correction with which he is justified in enforcing that right, and the liabilities of the master for maltreatment of his slave, are Adverting, however, to the case of Nujoom-oon-nissa, reported at page 55, vol. 1, of the Nizamut Adawhat Reports, it does not appear to the commissioners by what law the courts were guided in ordering the emancipation of Zuhoorun, when it would seem by their circular letter, dated the 27th April 1796, and the 4th reply, in case No. 2 of the Precedents above referred to, that maltreatment is not legally a sufficient cause for emancipation, and that

referred to, that mailtreatment is not legally a sufficient cause for consumption, and that the ruling power has, on that ground, no right or authority to grant it. 3. With respect to the Hindoo law of slavery, as described in the outline drawn by Mr. H. T. Colebrooke, and quoted in the 5th chapter of Macnaghten's work on Hindoo law, I. Colebrooke, and quoted in the 5th chapter of Macnaghten's work on Hindoo law, the power of the master over the slave under that law would appear to be unlimited. "It," (viz. the Hindoo law) it is stated, " treats the slave as the absolute property of his master, (viz. the Hindoo law) it is stated, " treats the slave as the absolute property of his master, famiharly speaking of this species of property in association with cattle, under the contemp-tuous designation of bipeds and quadrupeds. It makes no provision for the protection of the slave from the cruelty and ill-treatment of an unfeeling master, nor defines the master's power over the person of his slave; neither prescribing limits to that power, nor declaring it to extend to life or limb;" and the author of the Principles and Precedents, in a note animadverting on the bywasta of the pundits of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, in which have assured limits to the master's power over the person of his slave. they assigned limits to the master's power over the person of his slave, remarks, " that in the delivery of their opinion they were probably guided by reason rather than express law, or perhaps from the analogy of the rule with respect to servants." Yet in the next page but one of the same excellent work, he affirms, that the " courts of justice are accessible to slaves as well as freemen, and a British magistrate would never permit the plea of proprie-tary right to be urged in defence of oppression." Mr. Colebrooke, in his observations, cited by Mr. Harington, at page 763, of the ad volume of his Analysis, remarks : " But, although the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws have not provided for the protection of the slave from the barbarity of an inhuman master, the regulations passed by the British authority have done so, by expressly annulling the exemptions from kisas, or retaliation for murder, in the case or, by expressly annuning the exemptions from kisas, or retahation for murder, in the case of a slave slam by his master. Since the period (nearly 14 years ago) when that regu-lation* was enacted, slaves have not been considered as out of the protection of the law, either in cases of murder or of barbarous usage; and instances have occurred of recourse to the officers of police for redress against the cruelty of a master in cases falling short of that extremity."

4. Mr. Colebrooke's exposition of the Mahomedan law as to the unlimited power of . masters over their slaves would not appear to be an exact one; but the commissioners, having to reason to doubt that the practice of the courts and magistrates is correctly stated in both the above extracts, are desirous of ascertaining by what law or principle the maltreat-ment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts.

5. They would also wish to know with reference to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, whether the courts would support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, when, according to Hindoo law, the slavery is legal, but, according to the Mahomedan law, illegal, and vice versa. Also, slavery not being sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized and administered by the British Government, except the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws, they are desirous of being informed whether the courts would admit and enforce any claim to property, possession or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, and against any other than a Mussulman or Hindoo defendant; and if so, by what law or principle the courts would regulate their decisions in such cases.+

No. s.

ANSWER of the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Calcutta, dated 3d of March 1837, to Secretary to the Indian Law Commission.

2. THE records of the court, not containing the information required by the commissioners, a copy of your letter was forwarded to the commissioners of circuit, civil and session judges, additional judges, magistrates and joint magistrates, on the 13th November 1838, requesting those functionaries to furnish the court, at their earliest convenience, with such observations on the several points in binding the control of the main canner converting to throw light on the subject ander inquiry, as might be suggested to them by a perusal of your letter, and to state, at the same time, the practice of their courts with regard to the different cases noticed therein.

a. The

Regulation VIII. of 1799.
 † This letter, as addressed to the register of the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, at Allahabad, has a few verbal differences of no importance.

 \sim 8. The constant pressure of various important duties has been generally assigned as the cause of the delay that has taken place in replying to this circular; the court have, however, now the honour of forwarding, for the information of the commissioners, 83 original letters on this subject, as per list marked (A.)* together with a general abstract of the reports containing a list of the document descrime rescal afference and a note on the marked the the taning a list of the documents deserving special reference, and a note on the whole by the late officiating register of the court, Mr. H. Torrens. The court have also directed me to forward to you, for the purpose of being laid before the law commissioners, a minute recorded on the subject by Mr. T. C. Robertson, on the 10th November 1835.

4. From these various documents, the law commissioners will observe, that "slavery" (as is justly remarked by Mr. Torrens), "its laws and local usages, are, in Bengal, one strange mass of anomaly and contradiction. In some districts it is so prevalent that slaveholding and property may be almost considered synonymous; in others, it is either almost extinct, or nearly unknown."

5. Under these circumstances, the answer to the 1st question the must depend almost entirely on local usages of the district, and on the good sense and good conscience of the presiding officer. As observed by Mr. Robertson, "No specific rule having ever been laid down, it has hitherto been left to the discretion of every judicial functionary to dispose of such cases as might be brought before him according to his own judgment, taking the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws on some occasions, but more generally the habits and feelings of the people with his own sense of right, for his guides."

6. The question may, however, the court believe, he answered generally by stating that, in the civil courts, the right of a master over the person and property of a slave would be duly recognized, if proved agreeably to the doctrines of the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws: and, in the criminal courts, should a slave, admitted to be one, quit his master's service, or neglect to perform his ordinary work, he would be liable, on conviction, to summary punishment for the same.

7. The reply to the 2d query must also, the court observe, be general. A master would not be punshed, the court opine, for inflicting a slight correction on his legal slave, such as a teacher would be justified in inflicting on a scholar, or a father on his child : but that the complainant was the prisoner's legal slave would not constitute a proper ground for mitigation of punishment, and the master would be punished for the assault, under the general regulation of government.

8. In like manner, a slave pleading that he had assaulted or murdered another person under the orders of his master, would not bar a legal conviction of the offence; although the magistrate or the court of Nizamut Adawlut might, under all the circumstances of the case, grant such remission or mitigation of punishment as to the court might appear just and proper

9. With regard to the latter part of this query, the court have directed me to observe, that a slave would be considered equally under the protection of the law with a freeman, as regards complaints of cruelty or hard usage, and that no indulgences, in criminal matters, would be granted to slaves, which might be considered inconsistent with the ends of public justice. Futwahs are not, I am directed to observe, taken by magistrates, and the courts of Nizamut Adawlut are competent to set aside any futwah, and to pass a final sentence, what-ever may be the opinion of the Mahomedan law officers.

10. In reply to the 3d query, I am directed by the court to state, that they are not aware of any cases in which the courts or magistrates would afford less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters.

The persons against other wrong-doers than their masters. 11. With respect to the case of Nujoom-oon-nissa, reported at page 55, volume i, of the Nizamut Adawlut Reports, in which a slave girl of the name of Zuhoorun was emancipated by order of the court, I am directed to state, that there is no note or memorandum annexed to the proceedings that might enable the court to inform the commissioners by what law the presiding judges, Messrs. Harington and Colebrooke, were guided on that occasion. The Persuan record of the trial having also been destroyed, were guided on that occasion. were guided on that occasion. The Persian record of the trial naving also been descroyed, together with many other documents of a similar nature, the court regret that they have been precluded from ascertaining the exact nature of the bondage of Zuhoorun. If the girl was a slave, as legally defined by the Mahomedan law, then the order of the court directing her emancipation would appear to have been illegal. If, however, on the con-trary, the girl was not proved on the trial to have been a slave taken in battle or the descendant of such a slave, then the ruling power would certainly be competent, under the peculiar circumstances of the case, as set forth in the evidence, to direct her immediate emancipation.

diate emancipation. 12. In reply to the query contained in the 4th paragraph of your letter, in which you observe, that the commissioners are desirous of ascertaining by what law or principle the mattreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered an offence cognizable by the criminal courts, I am directed to observe that the sentences of the criminal courts are regulated by the Mahomedan law, as modified by the regulations of government. By section 19, Regulation 1X. of 1807, the magistrates are empowered to punish any person subject to their jurisdiction convicted of any criminal offence punishable note: ander

Appendix II.

Returns

These are omitted in this Appendix.
 Thus letter, as addressed to the register of the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, at Allahabad, has a few verbal differences of no importance.
 See No. 1. of this Appendix.

^{252.}

Appendix IL

under the Mahomedan law, or by the regulations of government; and under that section any maltreatment of a Hindoo slave, by a Hindoo master, would be considered an offence cognizable by the criminal courts.

13. With reference to the 5th and last query, the court, after much consideration, are inclined to adopt the views held by Mr. Middleton, the late judge of Moorshedabad, as contained in the 7th paragraph of his letter, under date the 4th February 1836, as contained in the following extract :-

in the ronowing extract -"7. I find it difficult to offer any answer to the 5th question, contained in paragraph 5 of the law commissioners' inquiry, viz., whether, with reference to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, the courts would support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo VII. of 1832, the courts would support the claim of a infussional master over a filmdoo slave; when, according to Hindoo law, the slavery is legal, but according to the Mahome-dan law, illegal; and vice versa." The explanatory provisions detailed in that section are of recent origin; nor can any cases be traced in the published reports which can directly or constructively be brought to hear on this subject. Until, therefore, the question be set at rest by some regular suit, appeal or construction of the superior court, a great diversity or practice will probably obtain in the subordinate courts, by reason of each taking a dissumdar view of the provisions in question. The following would, I conceive, be what the civil court here would do in such cases. Suppose a Mahomedan to claim the property possession or here would do in such cases. Suppose a Mahomedan to claim the property, possession or service of another (be he Mahomedan or Hindoo) as his slave, and the latter to deny the claimant's right, the claimant would be required to prove that the person so claimed is has slave according to the provisions of the law acknowledged by the claimant, and m default of such proof, his claim would be dismissed, and the alleged slave declared free, (on the prin-ciple that the claimant has no right to that which is denied him by the laws of his own, persuasion), and vice versa in the suit of a Windon is defined to the away of his own persuasion, and vice versa in the suit of a Hindoo claimant; but in a similar suit brought by a claimant, originally a Hindoo, and since converted to Islamism, we should, with reference to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, pass judgment in his favour (provided Islamism be proved), that he was entitled to the alleged slave by succession or inheritance, either before or after his apostacy, and that the slave in question was a legal bondsman, agreeably to the Hindoo law. Also in suits instituted by claimants, originally Hindoo or Mahomedan, but at the time of bringing the action professing the Christian or any other religion, for the posses-sion of a slave, such slave being proved a legal bondsman, according to the law from which the claimant has secended, I think the claim must, under the section above cited, be man-tained. Supposing, however, a claim to a slave to be advanced by a party, neither Ma-homedan, Hindoo, nor secender from either of those faiths, I consider the courts could not which is a slave being proved a legal bondsman. uphold such, in that slavery is not sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized by the government except the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws."

No. 3.

MINUTE of Mr. T. C. Robertson.

As I am on the eve of quitting the court for a time, I think it right to leave on record the few remarks that have occurred to me on perusing the letter about slavery recently received from the secretary to the law commission.

The present is a question upon which the government have abstained from legislating, excepting in as far as was necessary to keep pace with those parliamentary enactments which prohibited the traffic in slaves throughout the British empire.

With regard to the internal system of domestic servitude, which obtains in India as in every other part of Asia, no specific rules having ever been laid down, it has been hitherto left to the discretion of every judicial functionary to dispose of such cases as might be brought before him according to his own judgment, taking the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws on some occasions, but more generally the habits and feelings, of the people with his

How so is some occasions, but more generative and instant and reading the property of the prop stance, that during the whole course of my own experience as a magistrate, first in the crowded city of Patna, and afterwards for seven years in the large and populous district of Cawnpore, I do not remember a single important case to have come before me in which I had to decide between a master and a slave. I have no doubt whatever that the experience of most magistrates will, in this respect, correspond with my own. Occasional cases may of course arise, like that of Nujoom-oon-nissa, referred to in the second paragraph of the law commissioners' letter, in which humanity may compel us to interfere; but such are of very rare occurrence, and had far better be left to be dealt with separately and individually, than be made the subject of a minute and (as every man acquainted with the feelings of the people, especially the Mahomedan, upon this head must know that it would prove) offensive

legislation. • The judicial establishments in India are part of the machinery of government, by and through which our power is maintained, with the slenderest means, over the widest realm

Of establishments so constituted and so circumstanced, it is perhaps too much to exact in every instance, as if they were mere courts of law, that they should quote some precise enact-ment, or some formally-recognized code, in justification of their acts. Wherever the regulations are silent, it may, I conceive, be understood that the judicial officers of the Induan government ought to shape their measures, as enjoined in section 21, Received to shape their measures, as enjoined in section 21.

Regulation . .

Returne

Regulation III. of 1793, and repeated in section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, in conformity with the dictates of good sense and good conscience; and it was by this feeling that the judges of this court were doubtless actuated, when, knowing, as they must have done, that to have replaced the slave girl in the power of her incensed mistress would have, in fact, been to expose her life to the most imminent peril, they took it upon themselves, in virtue of that plenary discretion with which, in cases not expressly provided for, every high European judicial functionary must, I contend, in a country situated as this is, be held to be invested, to order the emancipation of Zuhoorun.

The order in question is apparently at variance with the strict letter of Mahomedan law; but that law has never, in any country, been literally adhered to; and the deviation, in this instance, we may rest assured, excited no alarm, and has never, in all probability, till the present moment, been made the subject of comment.

The preceding remarks refer to that purely domestic servitude which it is perhaps a misnomer to call by the name of slavery, differing as 1t does, entirely, in most of its circumstances, from the status to which the same name 1s in other quarters of the globe applied.

There are, however, some other modes of slavery in various parts of the country with which the legislature may with propriety and safety interfere.

The claims advanced by procuresses against poor girls, from whose prostitution they derive profit, are often extremely embarrassing, advanced as they are under the guise of demands for remuneration for expenses incurred in feeding and clothing.

This practice is not, I apprehend, peculiar to India; and it is probable that something very like it obtains even in Christian countries. Still, I think, that provision might be made for defeating such suits, by enacting, that the mere proof of a party having derived profit from the prostitution of a female slave shall be held sufficient to void all claims upon

her, and to warrant her being declared free. The other species to which a legislative remedy may, I think, be applied, is that which, branching out of domestic servitude, extends itself over the offspring of slaves knowever numerous.

numerous. Much has been done towards abolishing or mutigating this evil, by the decision of this court, dated 28th August 1880, No. 3,204, in which Mahomed Sabir was the original plantiff, Bolakce and others defendants;* a translation of which, as well as of the decision which remains to be passed on a similar case now pending in this court, in which Kurtee Naraen Deo is appellant, and Gowree Sunkur Dutt Race respondent,+ had better, I think, be furnished to the law commission. There is a case of this description which arose at be numerical to use new commission. Increase a case of this description which arcse at Sylhet, where this species of hereditary slavery is very prevalent, and was decided on during my absence on leave from my former office, by the acting commissioner of the 17th division, between the 15th November and the 25th December 1933, in which, I know, that an appeal was pending in our court, though what has become of it I cannot now discover.

The case is a very curious one, and had better be brought to the notice of the law com-mission.⁺ I remember well, that I was on the point of deciding in favour of the alleged slaves, but was induced by the earnest entreaties of many of the people about me, who protested that such a decision would produce the most extensive mjury, to postpone my final order, and, during my absence, the case was disposed of as I have above stated. remember also, soon after joining this court, to have met with the head man of the family against whom the decree had been given, who told me that a special appeal had been admitted by Mr. Rattray, and that execution of the decision appealed from had been stayed. An extract of the cases above alluded to, and of any others that may be found, will, I think, prove the most satisfactory reply to the queries of the law commission. There having been little or no legislation on the subject of slavery, it is very difficult to

give a formal answer to questions propounded under a supposition of our having been guided in our decisions regarding it by any precise code of law, while, in point of fact, we have been left to steer our own way between the antagonist prejudices of the natives in favour of a long existing institution, and of our own countrymen against anything that bears a name peculiarly odous to their ears. For my own part, I am not one of those who look with horror at every form and mode of servitude existing among a people to whose cha-racter and habits it must have in it something congenial, or it could not have prevailed so widely or lasted so long. Still, even under its midest form, I account it an evil, but an evil of the same class as polygamy; for which moral and religious education may, but legislation never can, provide an effectual remedy.

Nors on Slavery by Mr. H. Torrens, Acting Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, dated 7th January 1837.

No. 4.

THE queries § having been circulated to all commissioners, judges, and magistrates in the lower provinces, answers have been received, the substance of which is contained in the annexed abstract,-each opinion being, for readier reference, numbered, important Appendix IL Returns.

Reported in Printed Reports for 1830: see Appendix III., No. 2.
 Vide Appendix III., No. 6.
 The case of Nair alua dotus Narayan Sing, pauper appellant, adjudged in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the 4th February 1836, is alluded to. See Appendix III., No. 7.
 § See Letter from the Law Commussion, No. 1. of this Appendix.

LL2

Appendix II.

tant extracts being occasionally appended, and conflicting opinions contrasted. It would have been difficult for the judges to have come to any definite conclusion on the mass of contradictory matter berewith submitted, without the adoption of some such plan as the The papers upon which the annexed abstract has been prepared are herewith above. submitted under three heads :-

1. Documents, which though abstracted (with one or two necessary exceptions), merit. nevertheless, special reference.

2. Documents abstracted, save in what relates to the 5th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter," which the judges may be satisfied, for the following reasons, to omit any direct notice of:

Ist. Because it appears on very strong evidence, that the holding slaves at all, as at present, is, under Muslim law, wholly illegal.+____

2d. Because it is only as singular exceptions that a Hindoo master will be found having a Mussulman slave; and then only on the frailest tenure as an out-door labourer.

3d. Because it does not appear that, by Hindoo law, the Hindoo slave of a Mussulman could be considered as other than a Mussulman, or at any rate a seceder from his faith. § 4th. Because British subjects, amenable to the supreme court, cannot under English

law hold slaves; how, therefore, can be sanctioned an anomaly by allowing them the privilege under any other code?

5th. Because Europeans or others, not British subjects, and liable to local autho-rities, cannot under their orders hold slaves any more than natives when the said authorities assert the right of manumission, as in the cases cited below.

For the above reasons, no abstract of opinions on the 5th paragraph has been made, independently of the fact, that the said opinions are so vague and contradictory as to lead to no definite conclusion being formed upon them.

3, Documents fully abstracted or unimportant. The reports most worthy of notice, among the whole, seem to be that by the late Mr. Dashwood (No. 81), and that of Mr. C. Smith (No. 49), because they not only contain valuable information, but also suggestions for the mode of abolition of slavery. Next to these may be placed the report by Mr. Cheap, (No. 47), a note on the Indian slave trade by Mr. Myers, [principal sudder ameen (No. 26), (forwarded with No. 25), Captain Wilkinson's report (No. 64), Mr. Shaw's (No. 41), Mr. Morris's (No. 75), Mr. Stanforth's (No. 37), Mr. Samuells' (No. 18), Mr. Hathorn's (No. 73); all these contain interesting and valuable information.

Slavery, its laws, and local usages, are, in Bengal, one strange mass of anomaly and con-tradiction. In some districts it is so prevalent, ** that slave-holding and property may be almost considered synonymous. In others it is either almost extinct or nearly unknown. In some, ++ the civil courts are loaded with suits for slaves, as that of Mymensingh, 11 which had, some, if the civil courts are loaded with suits for slaves, as that of Mymensingh, if which had, on the 30th June 1836, 250 such cases pending before it. In others, if the opinion generally prevails that slavery has been abolished, and that no sales are legal, at least of adults. A careful perusal of all the evidence as to the existence of slavery in Bengal, and as to its extent, leads to the conclusion, that slavery, as existing in zenanaba, may be found to prevail to a certain degree throughout the whole of Bengal; that the open sale of adult slaves is frequently only in the regular slave districts; that the treatment of slaves is (as far as is known) gentle and considerate; that the ordinary fenure of slaves is other than the slave district forder below. It is either by nurchase of the services for a normal with nurchase of the districts noted below, || || is either by purchase of the services for a period, or by purchase of the slave when a child, he or she generally absconding when arrived at years of discretion; that the ordinary causes of the effecting such sales are, 1st, debt on the part of the individual selling his service; 2d, prices paid by procuresses for the services of young girls; and 3d, selling his service; 2d, prices paid by procuresses for the services of young girls; and 3d, famine. The mild form of slavery prevalent in this country is much insisted on by most of the reporting officers, and there is apparently singular proof of this adduced in the fact, ¶¶ that in Tirhoot, a great slave district, no complaint of slave versus master is on the records of the magistrate's court. There is, however, strong ground for believing that the interest only of the slave-holder induces him to treat the slave kindly,*** and that when he can coerce, the bondsman or woman is often used most cruelly. That slaves are sometimes devotedly attached to their owners (vide Mr. Myers' note), affords no general argument against the above belief. This, therefore, weakens the argument of those who would leave slavery to "wear out in this country under the influence of British rule," without immediate intervention to suppress it, because the slaves are generally well provided for. The other reasons put forth by the advocates of continuing the present system are, 1st, that the prohibition

Returns.

<sup>See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.
Shekh Khawaj and others v. Mahomed Sahir, D. 69, Rep. S. D. A. 1830, See Appendix III., No. 2. See also in this Appendix, No. 36, Mr. Maxwell; 39, Mr. Moere; 41, Mr. Shaw; 76, Mr. Morres; 72, Mr. Gouldsbury; 46, Mr. Martun. N.B.—There is one single instance cited (No. 18) against this principle, of which more hereafter.
No. 41, Mr. Shaw; 81, Mr. Dashwood.
§ No. 43, Mr. B. Lee Warner; 76, Mr. Morris; 10, Mr. Mills; 76, Mr. Jennunga. Case of Nujcom-oon-niess, p. 55, vol. 1, Rept. Nizzanut Adawit.
* No. 64, S. E. Frontier; 49 and 80, Sylhet; 72, 73, 75, Behær; 47, Mymensingh; 81, 82, 83, Tirhoot; 55, Assam (App. VI.)
* + No. 19, Burdwan, Mr. Curtis; 17, Hooghly, Mr. Harington; 36, Backergunge, Mr. Maxwell. ‡ No. 47.
§ No. 20, Burdwan, Mr. Macan; 55, Bograh, Mr. Taylor; 18, Hooghly, Mr. Samuella, who cites a singular case in point with regard to the slaves of the Dutch at Chinsural.
[]] No. 64, S. E. Frontier; 39, Chittagong; 5, Assam (App. VI.); 72, 73, 76, Behær; 78, Shahabad; 47, Mymensingh; 49, 60, Sylhet; 69, O. Bhavguapore; 41, Tapparah; 81, 82, 83, Tirhoot; 10, Cuttack.
*** No. 18, Mr. Samuells; 37, Stainforth; 53, Rakea.</sup>

hibition to sell human beings would in time of famine cause great loss of human life, and 2d, that the abolition of right to possess slaves would produce great diminution in the value of property to those who own hereditary slaves or slaves for life.* In answer to the above, and without referring to the obvious argument of inhumanity, it will be shown, that the present system is so unsystematic and contradictory as to call for some immediate enactment to amend it; and further, that this enactment may be easily made to provide for the abolition of hereditary and life slavery without endangering loss of hife by famine, or rendering property insecure. In proof of the anomaly at present prevailing, the opinions of reporting officers as to the principle on which cases between master and slave should be decided are appended under the following heads:---

Principle of English Law, or local usage, equity and good conscience.	Native Law.	Native Law, with the Regulations, equity and good conscience.	Regulation VII., 1819, in criminal cases and civil, as "common contracts."
 Ricketts. Jenkins. Harington. Udny. Russell. Phillips. Cook (criminal). Smith. Mytton. James. Wilkinson. Davidson (of Gowalparah). Harding, Hathorn. Tucker. Morris. Gough. 	 Money (ditto). Pigon (?) Elliott cites a precedent in criminal court. Shaw. Cooke (civil). Dunbar (ditto). Gouldsbury (ditto). Dashwood (civil). 		5. Ricketts (civil). 51. Steer. 80. Luke.

The above opinions on the question in the abstract are, however, not nearly so anomalous as the practice obtaining in the erminal courts of contiguous districts. In central Cuttack+ it appears, that directly two persons come into the magistrate's court as master and slave, the latter, whether his plaint be proven or not, is summarily manumitted ; while in Pooree, the master's right is recognized, and he is allowed "to apply moderate correction sum-Yet Mr. Mills states his belief, that no magistrate in Bengal acknowledges marily." such a right. Again, six slave-cases are reported from the Patna magistracy, in five of which the court manumitted the slave, and in the sixth the slave was made over (after being punished) to his master. The joint magistrate of Monghyr, A. D. 1630, punished certain persons as slaves for flying from their masters, and directed their manumission at the expiration of the period of confinement. The order was supported by the Nizamut Adawlut, and the master of course received injury by deprivation of a description of property which the civil courts of adjoining districts were in the daily practice of acknowledging the right to in regular suits. Instance upon instance of similar anomalies might be cited; but these are nothing compared with the contradictory usages of slavehood. Of the three descriptions of slaves, viz. the born bondsman, the life-slave, and the spell-bondsman, the last is by far the most numerous. He is found throughout all the lower provinces. He is the bunduk shewuk of Shergutty, the ager of Hooghly, the debtor ** slave of Tenas-serim. He is merely an individual, who, sometimes in discharge of a debt, sometimes to realize a sum for his immediate wants, either binds himself to serve for a certain time, with food and clothing, to work out what is due, or receives his wages for such a term in advance, and in like manner, and under like condition, works them out. His property is his own. The life-slave again in like manner sells himself, but, according to Captain Wilkin-son,++ cannot sell his child even to his own master, is irredeemable, and enjoys his own with interest to the master. The born bondsman^{‡‡} in Sylhet, Tirboot, and towards the southwith interest to the master. The born bondsman11 in Sylnet, Tirboot, and towards the south-east frontier, enjoys his own property. In Behar §§ he can own nothing. In Sylhet he lives in many instances on nankar lands, assigned to him and his by his master, under obligation " of performing certain service, but paid for extra labour, this being in fact nothing more than the man-rent tenure still prevailing in some parts of Scotland and the Orkneys. In Sylhet, and on the south-east frontier, the offspring of the intermarriage of slaves belongs to the owner

• No. 47, Mr. Cheap and others. † No. 10, Mr. Mills. 7 No. 11, Mr. Ewart. 9 No. 76, Mr. Jennings.	No. 64, Capt. Wilkinson. No. 18, Mr. Samuells. ** No. 11, Mr. Bluudell. (App. VII.)	++ No. 64. ++ Nos. 49, 81, 64. §§ No. 75.
262.	LL3	

Appendix If.

Returns.

270 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II. Returns.

owner of the mother; in Tirhoot, to the owner of the father. In Mymensingh," where female slaves are married to a Byakara, or professional bridegroom (who usually has many temale slaves are married to a Dynamic, or processional integroom (were usually max many slave wives, and is fee'd for marrying them), every alternate child is claumable by the owner of the mother. In Backergunge, t where the Byakara system also prevails, the offspring of the union is invariably the property of the woman's master. In Sylhet, agan, instead of feeing a bridegroom to procure the marriage of a slave girl, the master receives a fee from the freeman, who takes her as his wife. As a further instance of anomalous practice may be the first had, who had down in a futwah given by a government law officer, that a Sheea Mussulman may hold a slave legally under circumstances which would make the holding by

Mussulman may hold a slave legally under circumstances which would make the holding by a Soonnee illegal.§ In short, the variety of usage in the case of slavehood is not less remark-able than the discrepancies of practice, and is equally irreconcileable. Now it is evident, that to abolish immediately all forms of slavery (so called) would be productive (as observed by Mr. Robertson in his minute, and in Mr. Dashwood's report) of injury so great as to induce none but a pseudo-philanthropist to entertain the idea. Plans for the gradual aboliton, and for the modification of slavery, are proposed by Mr. Smith and Mr. Dashwood, which become the more feasible on admission of the general illegality of slave tenures by Mussulmans. Yet it would not be expedient to deny at once to the Mussulman population of Sylhet, Chittagong and Assam, the right of possessing slaves, whom they and theirs have held for years on local usage. In some districts,¶ it would appear, that Mussulmans who maintain their slaves from a feeling of pride or pity. would appear, that Mussulmans who maintain their slaves from a feeling of pride or pity, would not be averse to being relieved from the charge of supporting a class of dependents more idle and useless and expensive than hired servants. The plans above alluded to might be perhaps combined with general advantage in some such manner as the following, so as to meet all contingent cases :

1. That a registry of all slaves be made, with specification of their condition, whether · born bondsman, life-slave or spell-bondsman, within three months after a certain date.

2. That non-registry within that period be considered equivalent to manumission.

3. That competent authorities be constituted for the investigation of claims to slaves, with power to manumit summarily, at discretion, and to decide disputed cases of service purchase.

4. That from the date above noted, the right to possess (slaves hereditarily) born bonds-men shall cease: adult slaves (born bondsmen at that time) to have the power of redeeming themselves at a certain rate, failing which they will be considered in the light of hfe-slaves: children (born bondsmen) at that time to be free on arriving at years of discretion. 5. That from the date above noted, the practice of self sale, or procuring the sale of others as life-slaves, be declared illegal, and punishable by fine and imprisonment: adults the declared integration of a series of a series of a series.

(life-slaves at that time) to have the power of redemption of service; failing which, they must continue to fulfil their contract: children (life-slaves at that time) to be free on arriving at years of discretion.

6. That the practice of spell-bonding for a period, in the case of adults of not more 7. That the law for relations between the spell-bondsman and the purchaser of his service,

be that of master and servant.

8. That the spell-bondsman shall be entitled to renew his term of bondage on receipt of

 b. That in spectrostatiant is the other of the rest sering officer.
 9. That on proof of the purchase of a woman's services, for the purpose of prostitution, on this principle the recovery of the purchase-money be barred, and a fine of equal amount with it levied on the purchaser.

10. That the above enactment be general for all castes and classes throughout the presidency of Bengal.

The above propositions are based upon the necessity of recognizing the rights of present slave proprietors, and upon the expediency of providing some means of self support to the poorer classes during time of famine; and they are made on a mere extension of the man-rent principle above alluded to. According to the original tenure, the labour of the servicor is the rent of the land he enjoys. According to the one above proposed, it is the mterest of the money advanced for his services. When it is suggested, that on redeeming his service he should pay back his advance with interest, the intention was simply to prevent, by a sort of tax, the entering into contracts idly, to the injury of the parties purchasing labour (as they imagine) for a certain fixed period.

No 5.

ANSWER of Mr. Henry Ricketts, Commissioner, 19th Division, Balasore, dated 26 June 1836, to the Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Calcutta.

In this province, masters claim a right of ownership over their slaves.⁴ They are bought and sold, and are the subject of civil sunts in the court lake any other property; but a com-plaint of cruelty made by a slave against his master would be admitted, and, if proved, the master would be punished, the relation of master and slave not being considered a ground for the mitigation of punishment. In the case of a slave sold to a new master, if unwilling to lave block mode a short of the new master, so and the start would be the slave to be a slave sold to a the start would be the start of the new master, so and the start of the new master, so and the start of the new master, so and the start of the new master would be the start of the new master. to leave his former abode, compulsion on the part of the new master would not be allowed. There

* No. 47.	+ No. 37.	t No. 18, Hooghly.	
§ N. B.—This is perhaps a quibb	le by an interested S	connee, on the ground that the baces, not being	
§ N. B.—This is perhaps a quibble by an interested Soonnee, on the ground that the Shees, not being orthodox, need not be considered bound by the strict interpretation of the law.			
1 17		bo D	

^{||} No. 81. ¶ No. 59, Dinagepore; 20, Burdwan.

There is a vast number of slaves in this province. I had a list of upwards of 7,000 within the jurisduction of one thannah of the Balasore district; but by the criminal court they have always, I believe, been treated in every respect as freemen, without any reference whatever being had to the Hindoo or Mahomedan law respecting them.

ANSWER of Mr. J. C. Brown, late Judge of Zillah Cuttack, dated 23 November 1836, to Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Calcutta.

2. I DO not recollect having had a single suit before me, during the period of my being in office there (Cuttack) for slaves; but that slavery exists in Orissa there can be no doubt. The transfer, I was given to understand, was made more in the way of a lease for a limited period than a sale; and individuals have been known, for a specified sum, to bind them-selves and their beirs to others for 80 or 90 years, engaging, at the expiration of that period, if the sum advanced be not repaid, together with all expenses incurred by the lessee, to continue in servitude. During a scarcity, children are frequently sold for trifling sums by their parents; but it is well known that such sales are not binding on the individuals thus disposed of. It often occurs, therefore, that, when they arrive at the age of 8 or 10 years; they leave their purchasers, who have no remedy, and are obliged to put up with the loss; thie, though, is seldom very great, as two or three rupees are (and I have heard as low a sum as 12 annas) given for a child, the price varying according to the age and appearance, and often being regulated by the sex.

ANSWER of Mr. H. V. Hathorn, Officiating Judge, Zillah Cuttack, dated 30 December 1836, to Register to Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

2. THE accompanying statement exhibits the total number of suits instituted since a court for the administration of civil justice was established at Cuttack.

3. It will be observed that there have been only 19 actions brought relative to slavery in the course of 30 years, and none of which were disposed of "on their merits;" and these few applications, it will be seen, were made when the court was first opened, in the years 1805-6-7. A.D.

4. I am informed, notwithstanding, that slavery in Cuttack is extremely prevalent; and which may be ascribed to the unimportant trade and manufactures in Orissa, the general poverty of the people, and the limited intercourse with other districts.

Slaves in Cuttack may be divided into five classes, as follows :-

1st. The children of indigent parents, whether Hindoos or Mussulmans, sold in time of scarcity

2d. The female children of the following castes ; viz. of Mahtes (or writers), Khundaits, Shukar Faroshes, Gowalahs, Chasaa, Rajpoots, Duroodghurs, Ahungers, Bidoors, Patarahs and Potlee Baulahs, sold by their parents to Luleans and Mahareans, as public singers and dancers, and for purposes of prostitution. The Luleans are common bawds, who make no distinction of sects of caste, in contradistinction to the Mahareans or Deodases, who restrict their traffic to Hindoos, and are admitted to the temple of Juggernaut, at Pooree.

ad. The llegitimate children of Hindoos by women of a loggernaut, at Pooree. 3d. The llegitimate children of Hindoos by women of a lower caste. 4th. Slaves peculiar to Orissa, denominated "Purjahs" (signifying subjects, tenants or renters), and who are restricted to the castes of Hujjam, Dhobee, Kewut, Gohar, Rahre, Pan, Kundra, Koomar, Mehter, Baoree, Tantee, Dome, Bagtee and Chumar (toddy-sellers and tar leaf mat-makers). They are to be found, moreover, only in some of the northern pergunnals of Cuttack. These Purja slaves sell themselves and their whole families to either pergunnahs of Cuttack. Inese rurja surves sent memory of and them entry amenable for Hindoos or Mussulmans for a pecuniary consideration, rendering themselves amenable for the service of their profession until the purchase-money is repaid. The subsequent births in such slave families also become the master's property, and these slaves are sold, pledged and let out to hire. The issue of marriages between the male Purja slave of one master and the female slave of another does not fall to the latter (partus sequitur ventrem), but is divided equally between the two masters, and, in the event of an uneven number, half the estimated value of the odd slave is given by the master who keeps the slave. These Purjas, it is to be observed, do not, by selling themselves, forfeit their caste, as they live and take their meals separate from their masters, and retain throughout servitude their hereditary possession.

5th. Poor families, whether Hindoos or Mussulmans, who, in seasons of calamity, offer themselves and their children as slaves to the more wealthy, without compensation, merely for the sake of maintenance. These may be considered slaves at will, being at liberty to quit their masters at pleasure; as long, however, as they remain, and get food and clothing, so long they are obliged to work. This description of slave is understood to have lost caste by this voluntary act of bondage.

5. In explanation of the circumstance of no suits relating to the legal rights of mastors over their slaves having been mstituted in this court since 1807, and the few prior to that date having been either annually adjusted or non-suited for neglect of the party, I may add, that a general supposition appears to have existed in Cuttack, that the civil and criminal functionaries were obviously averse to entertain any cause of action or criminal proceeding whereby the system of slavery was recognized: this has probably influ-enced some, whilst the uncertainty whether slavery was or was not sanctioned by law may have prevented others.

262.

LL4

STATEMENT

No. 7.

Returns.

Appendix II.

No. 6.

272 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II. Returns.

٠

.

. ,

STATEMENT of Suits instituted in the Civil Court at Cuttack, relating to Slavery, from 5th September 1805, to 30th December 1836.

Number of Suits.	Description of Parties.	Substance of Suits.	By what Court decided, with Date of Decision.	How disposed of.
40 <u>4</u>	Master v. Slave	to recover his lawful slave.	sudder ameen, 6th December 1806.	"non-suited," not bein considered cognizable unde the regulations in force.
474	ditto	- ditto	ditto, 19th No- vember 1806.	a "razeenama" entered b the master, the slave havin given humself up.
536	ditto	- ditta	- ditto, 16th Ja- nuary 1807.	" non-suited," in conse quence of the plaint containing three separate causes of action
538	ditto	- ditto	ditto, 15th De- cember 1806.	"razeenama" filed by the plaintiff; the slave havin given humself up.
559	ditto	- ditto -	11th October 1806.	" dismissed," in cons quence of plaintif's neglect (proceed.
623	ditto	- ditto	4th December 1806.	" razeenama," the slav having given himself up.
664	ditto	- ditto	ditto, 13th April 1807.	" non-suited," the plain embracing several disting causes of action.
741	Purchaser v. Former Master.	- ditto	ditto, 9th May 1807.	"non-suited," as the slav was not present when the su gagement between the parti- was entered into. The pu chaser ordered to sue for th recovery of his money.
753	Master v. Slave.	- ditto	ditto, 31st May 1807.	the plaintiff failing to proceed, the suit was dismissed.
826	Purchaser	- ditto	ditto, 2d July 1807.	- ditto ditto.
908	Possessor. Master v. Slave	for recovery of a slave.	ditto, 30th Ja- nuary 1807.	the alave having give himself up, the suit was di posed of by razeenama.
1,309	ditto	- ditto	register, 2d May 1807.	- ditto ditto.
2,342	Pledger v. Pledgee.	for amount of debt on account of which a slave was received in	sudder ameen, 6th February 1808.	- the amount claimed hav ing been paid, the suit wa amicably adjusted.
3,315	Purchaser v. the Slave's Fa- ther.	pledge. - for the value of a slave, the child having been taken away by its parent.	ditto, 8th De- cember 1808.	- ditto as above.
3,601	Master v. Slave	for money of a slave.	ditto, 15th May 1809.	non-suited for neglect.
1,654	ditto	to get back a deed of contract for the hire of a slave.	register, 25th July 1807.	- the cause of action hav in arisen in 1792, A. D., the sui was dismissed.
1,687	ditto	for the reco- very of a slave.	ditto, 25th July 1807.	struck off the file in conse quence of plaintiffs 'neglect.
1,705	ditto	- ditto	sudder ameen. 29th July 1807.	the slave having given himself up, the master filed a razuenama.
1,737×	ditto	- ditto	ditto, 16th Sep- tember 1807.	dismissed on account o default.

Officiating Judge.

ANSWER

ANSWER of Mr. James Grant, Acting Magistrate of Balasore, dated 1st February 1836, to Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. I AM unable to state what the practice of this court has been with regard to the different cases noticed in Mr. Millett's letter, as the records of this office furnish no cases of the kind.

ANSWER OF Mr. T. C. Scott, Magistrate of Balasore, dated 5th July 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to state that the records of this office afford no cases in point; at the the vertice in the state that the rest of this of the bolt mode mode and the state in a state that any assistance would be rendered by the magistrate, on the institution of any complaints of this nature by the soi-disant proprietor or owner of slaves

staves. 2. I have only very recently joined this district. I am, however, given to understand, that slavery prevails in it to a very trifling extent, with the exception of one thannah, called Buddruck, an extensive tract of country between this and Cuttack. In this division, one-fourth of the population (Hindoo) is said to be slaves, descendants of people of this descrip-tion under the Marhatta government; they are, however, retained in willing servitude, and with their own consent are privately transferred from one master to another, like any other species of purports, their masters hains guarant they could not be an other which species of property; their masters, being aware they could not keep them against their wish, consult their interest in treating them well, and their condition is in no way inferior to people enjoying a perfect freedom. The distress occasioned by the late storms has, I believe, aug-mented the number of this class of people.

ANSWER OF Mr. M. Mills, Officiating Magistrate of Zillah Cuttack, dated 11th January 1835, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. I THINK I may with safety assert, that the magistrates of Bengal never recognize the masters to have a legal right over their slaves with regard to their person. The practice in this court, which I find has been adopted by every officer that has presided in it, is to punish the master, and manumit any slave who prefers a complaint against him for cruelty, hard-usage, or has any other reason for wishing to leave him. It does not signify whether the ill-treatment of the master or alleged cause of dissatisfaction on the part of the slave is substantiated or not. Every magistrate has passed an order on all such cases to the following substantized of not. Every magnetize sharey; you may go where you please, and if your master lays violent hands on you, he shall be punished." I am unable to say by what law, especi-ally as regards the menial treatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master, the cogni-zance of such offences is acknowledged. There is no specific enactment prohibiting inter-ference in these cases, in the absence of which, I believe, the magistrates consider themselves surthorized to interpose their authority, demanded as it is by every feeling of humanity and interference in the second state of justice.

3. As regards the property a slave may acquire while in a state of bondage, I presume that our courts would recognize the master's claim. I know of no precedent, and I give my opinion on the subject with much deference, as I have had little practice in the civil court.

4. In Cuttack, slavery exists ; but, as in all places where the law makes no distinction, in its mildest form, indeed, where it is known that the authorities will not recognize the rights they exercise and claim, it cannot be considered any thing more than voluntary servitude.

ANSWER of Mr. James K. Ewart, Officiating Joint Magistrate of Pooree, dated 17th June 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to state that the principle upon which slaves have been treated in this court, either as prosecutors or defendants, is precisely the same as in the case of free persons, that is to say, a master, whether Hindoo or Mussulman, is considered to have a right to his slave's labour, and to apply summarily such moderate correction as is necessary. If it is proved that a master has exceeded that limit, he is liable to punishment. The master is likewise held bound to furnish good and sufficient food and clothing to his slave.

ANSWER of Mr. Abercrombie Dick, Judge and Session Judge of Zillah Midnapore, dated 25th March 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

1. Ws must practically, because thus only can we legally, recognize those rights between master and slaves which are recognized by their own laws, Mahomedan and Hindoo, not modified by the regulations of our own government. 269. MM

2. The

No. 11.

No. 12.

Appendix II. Returns. No. 8.

No. 9.

No. 10.

Appendix II,

Returns.

2. The Mahomedan law is the criminal code of the land, modified by our regulations. Conformably to it, therefore, should be the practice of our courts. It will, however, be seen in a case hereafter cited, that a very liberal interpretation has been given to the modifications in favour of humanity, by Mr. Colebrooke and Mr. Harington, two of the ablest judicial officers India ever possessed.

8. I know of none, and can conceive none.

The next question to be answered as : By what law the courts were guided in ordering the emancipation of Zuhoorun? There is no express law, or rather regulation, to sanction this; but we may infer which regulation guided the two judges who passed that sentence. One of these two able judges was Mr. Colebrooke, and he was guided, no doult, by the liberal construction he has put upon Regulation VIII. of 1798, quoted by Mr. Millett, from the analysis of Mr. Harington, who was the other judge that sat with Mr. Colebrooke in the case of Zuhoorun. Thus, then, Regulation VIII. of 1798, seems to have been the guide in that sentence; and it was enacted subsequent to the circular order of April 1796.

The above advertence to the opinion of Mr. Colebrooke, cited hy Mr. Harngton, will enable us to answer the next question put by the law commissioners: By what law or principle the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal court? The Mahomedan law, as modified by the reguon access of the bindoo law, is our criminal code; and the above liberal construction put, on Regulation VIII. of 1798, points out the law and the principle on which the precise of the courts is founded.

On the above construction and regulation we may also reconcile the apparent inconsis-tency noticed in Mr. Millett's letter of the author of the Principles and Precedents of Hundoo Law.

Law. In reply to the question put in the last paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, I answer to the first,—Yes, most certainly, if the claim of the master be just; because it is expressly declared in the ited section of Regulation VII. of 1632, that the laws of those (Mahomedan and Hindoo) religions are not to operate to deprive of property parties entitled to it. To the 2d question, I answer—No, most decidedly; for the only laws which admit such a claim do not extend to such a claimant, and neither "justice" nor "equity" nor "good conscience" can admit of such a claim. To the 3d question, I again answer—No, though not se decidedly; because, in the cited section of the paragraph of the protection of the protection of the right. in the cuted section it is declared those rules were designed for the protection of the rights of such persons (*i. e. bond fide* professors of the Hindoo and Mahomedan religions), not for the depivation of the rights of others. It must be confessed the section in question is sufficiently indefinite, and comprehensively latitudinarian.

No. 13.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Stainforth, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Midnapore, dated 4th February 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. I BEC to state that it has been uniformly the practice in the criminal courts in which I If you to state that it has been uniformly the practice in the original courts in which it have presided, to extend the same protection to a slave in all cases as to a freeman, leaving any question of property that might arise to be determined by the civil court.
 Having lately assumed charge of this office, I am unable to state exactly the practice that has obtained in this district; but I forward copy of a letter on the subject from the

joint magistrate, Mr. D. Money.

No. 24.

ANSWER of Mr. D. J. Money, Acting Joint Magistrate, dated 30th January 1836, to the Officiating Magistrate of Midnapore.

DUBING the time I have acted as joint magistrate, only two cases of slavery have come under my notice. The first was the murder of a slave-girl by her master, a zemindar, named Punchanund Chowdry. She was sent to Midnapore in a dying state, and had just strength sufficient to make, before her death, a clear and distinct confession of all the circumstances connected with the murder. I was obliged to make over the case to Mr. Cardew, the acting magistrate of Hidgelee, as the erime was committed in this district, and I have never learned the result of the trial. The second case was a theft committed by a Mussulman slave-girl on the property of her master, who complained against her in the eveninal court. She was sentenced to impresonment for three months; after the expiration of which period, although she had not served her stipulated time. I gave her freedom, at her

be which period, atthough she had not served her stipulated time, I gave her treedom, at her own desire and by consent of her master. There are, I believe, some cases among the records of the Fonjdary office, from which the system of slavery in this distinct, and the practice of the court with reference to 1, might be culled. I am not aware of any legal rights that masters possess over their slaves, with regard either to person or property, that have been or could use practically recognized by the magistrate, nor do I think the relation of master and slave could justify an act which, in another would be pumpished as he are advised in retired on the provided of the second put of the second states of the second stat in another, would be punishable, or be even admitted in mutigation of punishment. The complaint of a slave ments and would receive the same attention, of course, as that of a

freeman, whether it he made against his master or any other individual. I have not by me the regulations quoted by Mr. Millett, but I recollect considering-them deficient on the subject of slavery. There was too much left to the discretion of the magistrate.

magistrate. There was no clear, explicit rule by which a magistrate could be unhesitatingly guided in his decision, embracing every possible case that could be brought before a criminal court by a Mussulman or Hindoo master or slave. With regard, however, to the maltreatshould not consider it as an offence cognizable by the criminal court on the principle of justice, he would not, I think, outstep his duty in trying the case and mflicting punish-ment, under the sanction of such regulations as authorize, without making an exception, the punishment of any one who maltreats another.

The 5th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter refers, I conceive, more particularly to the civil court. The fact upon which the question in the first part of it is put could hardly occur in this district. A Hindoo master keeping a Mussulman slave, or a Hindoo slave serving a Mussulman master in any household capacity, would lose caste. The claim, therefore, by either party is not likely to be made.

Slavery scarcely exists in the Midnapore jurisdiction, and where it does exist, it can hardly be called slavery. There is generally a written agreement between the master and slave, attested by witnesses, the latter stipulating to serve the former a certain period, the former engaging to provide food and clothing for the latter during his service. The property of the slave, at his death, goes to the nearest of kin, and only to the master in the

event of his having no relation. Soon after the late gales, which laid waste a great part of the district, and caused **x** dreadful loss of life and property, there was a constant sale of httle children. It has now happily ceased, but occasioned by such harrowing encumstances, and conducive as it was in many instances to the preservation of infant life, it could hardly be considered a crime, and need not be mentioned under the head of "slavery."

ANSWER of Mr. H. M. Pigou, Commissioner, 18th Division, Jessore, dated 9th July 1886, to the Register of the Court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

3. As register and assistant to the magnetrate, as judge and magistrate, and as judge, I have discharged judicial functions during a period of 29 years in Bengal and Orissa, and of two in the upper provinces, and during that time I have never met with a single slavery case brought in any shape before the criminal court, and only of one instance before the civil court: in that case the claim was for the recovery of a whole Hindoo family of slaves who had deserted their master's estate, which suit, under the exposition of the Hindoo law given by the pundit of the court, was decreed in favour of the plaintiff.

ANSWER Of Mr. Evelyn M. Gordon, Officiating Commissioner of Circuit, 14th Divisiony at Moorshedabad, dated 14th April 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I REGRET to state, that, not having had any cases in my court fending to throw any light on the system of slavery prevalent in India, I am unable to supply the information required by the Sudder Nizamut circular, No. 2,973, dated the 13th of November last.

ANSWER of Mr. E. J. Harington, Officiating Judge, Zillah Hooghly, dated 2d February 1836, to the Register of the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to state, that the result of the inquiries which I have instituted upon the subject, both by reference to the records of the civil court, and communication with persons whose intelligence and general knowledge assured me that I might reasonably expect to ascertain from them the true state and condition of the class of persons denominated "slaves," has convinced me that slavery, or that state of subjection which we hear of as existing in this country previously to the rule of the Company, has ceased in this district.

Our laws, although recognizing slavery, would neither permit cruelty, nor sanction or, forbear to punish cruelty, whether exercised by a master towards his purchased or heredi-tary slave, or by one freeman towards another. The disposition on the part of judges, magis-Bary slave, or by one neuran towards another. The disposition on the part of judges, magis-trates and persons possessing authority, since the establishment of our government in India, to protect slaves from injustice and suffering—evinced by their orders and general conduct—combined with the clearly-to-be-discerned spirit of all our enactments, considerate to provide security and good treatment to every class, have gradually but powerfully con-. tributed to this end; until at last the few persons who have not acquired positive emancipa-tion are as happy and secure from ill-treatment as persons who are acknowledged free and have never suffered elevery. have never suffered slaver

Unless a master should be empowered by law to exercise coercion of a severe nature, towards his reputed slave, and that redress for ill-treatment should be denied to a slave on he preferring a complaint in the magistrate's court, I conceive that slavery must decline, and at last cease. **262**,

MM2

Appendix II. Returns.

No. 15.

2, 3

No. 16.

No. 17.

876 APPENDIX_TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II.

Returns.

In this district, no civil suits regarding the right to slaves by purchase or inheritance have occurred since its constitution,—a fact the most strongly corroborative of the impressions which I have received. Excepting the district of Mymensingh, there is not one, in which I have been employed, where slave cases often occurred, either in the court of the judge or the magistrate. In Mymensingh (I speak of nearly 20 years ago) they were frequent; and families of slaves have been allotted like other property, by division, among the descendants of their deceased master, in the judge's court and in the magistrate s court. Whenever a master has complained that his slave has been enticed away, or has absconded, without justifiable cause of complaint, the police have interposed under the authonity of the magistrates, and have compelled the slave to raturn; but the return has rarely been productive of advantage to the master, because the slave, discontented and sulky, generally refused to yield that cheerful and active obedience which could render his services really valuable; and the master could not be upheld in the exercise of the severe coercion abuse. If the master could by mild treatment (as would sometimes happen) succeed in conciliating the slave, and make him feel that by adherence to his service he would promote his own benefit and happiness, of course a different and much more favourable effect would ensue.

The slaves in Mymensingh, and in this district generally, are persons who either sold themselves, or were sold by their parents in times of scarcity, to masters capable of providing them with support, which they could not otherwise procure: and the sale, in addition to a small amount of purchase-money, seems rather to have been, or ultimately to have become, a condition of service to be remunerated by support and good treatment on the part of the master. The obligations of these contracts were frequently well respected, and I have often observed, that the slaves have become the attached and confidential servants of their masters; but how easily relief from bondage might be obtained may be easily discerned.

Questions regarding the caste of slaves have never come under my observation; and, I believe, that the reason of their not being advanced may be, that persons in the condition of life to which slaves belong are rarely scrupulous and seriously attached to any particular persuasion or habit.

No. 18.

ANSWER of Mr. E. A. Samuells, Officiating Magistrate of Zillah Hooghly, dated 17th February 1836, to the Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. SLAVERY, in this part of the country, is so entirely of a domestic character, and is so rarely brought before the notice of the authorities, that it is somewhat difficult to say to what extent it prevails, or with what peculiarities it is attended. From the information which I have been able to collect upon the subject, I learn that it is only among the Mussulman population of the district that slavery is to be found in any shape whateoever. Female slaves and young boys (also slaves) are to be found in the families of most Mussulmans of any respectability. The duty of the women appears to consist in a general attendance upon the inmates of the zenana, and that of the boys, in a performance of the lighter and less menial duties of the household.

8. No males of advanced age are, I understand, to be found in this district in a condition of absolute slavery. There is, however, a system, very much resembling that of apprenticeship in our own country, in which a person receives a small sum of money, usually from 40 to 50 rupees, and binds himself down, frequently in a regular written agreement, to serve as a slave for a certain number of years. A person of this description is termed an "ajeer," and the practice is said to be extremely prevalent.

4. The records of this office only exhibit three cases in which slaves are in anywise concerned. Two of these are prosecutions for homicide, in causing the death of certain slavegirls by maltreatment; but as neither of them were proved, and the parties were acquitted in both instances, they do not furnish us with any means of ascertaining whether the relation of master and slave has ever been considered as a circumstance which should plead in mitigation of the punishment or not. Never having myself had a case of this description before me, my own experience will not, of course, assist in elucidating the point.

5. The third case is that of Meer Golam Hossein, servant of the nawab Akber Ali Khan z. Bodnn and Phekoo. Charge : the abstraction of two slave-girls from the house of the Nawab. The case being clearly proved, the defendants were fined 100 rupees each, and the girls were ordered to be made over to the prosecutor. They, however, were extremely unwilling to return, alleging that they had met with constant maltreatment in the house of the prosecutor, and prayed that they might be set at liberty. Upon this, the law officer was called upon for his opinion as to the course which ought to be pursued ; and he having delivered in a futwah declaratory of the right of a nawab, as a follower of the Sheea doctmes, to retain possession of his slaves, the girls were immediately given up to him. The whole argument in the futwah, it is to be observed, is grounded on the fact of Akber Ali Khan being a Sheea,—the document expressly declaring that a Soonnee would not have been entitled to reclam the women. On what particular law or precedent this decision of the law officer was founded I am unable to say, as the futwah is throughout extremely vague. 2

6. In this case, then, the alleged maltreatment would not seem to have been considered a sufficient cause for emancipation; nor does it appear that any protection was extended to these slaves, or that their complaints, indeed, were ever inquired into. It would not, however, be fair to judge of the practice of the court from one isolated instance. The idea which the natives in general entertain of what is hkely to be the decision of our courts in cases of slavery is widely different. I am informed by the old inhabitants of the place that, under the Dutch government, which encouraged slavery, an immense number of persons of that class were to be found in Chinsura; but, finding after the decision, that their new rulers looked with a cold eye upon the right of property which the master asserted in the slave, they had generally shaken off their fetters and gone abroad as freemen. So strong indeed, was the opinion of our disuclination to uphold slavery, that I cannot learn that any one ever came forward to reclaim this runaway bondsman. Such is still, I have reason to believe, the prevailing idea on this subject of the inhabitants of the district at large.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Curtis, Judge of Zillah Burdwan, dated 18th June 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort Wilham.

I HAVE the honour to state, that the records of this office do not afford one single case of suits brought forward for the recovery of the services of slaves, and that a state of bondage, as formerly recognized in the West India islands and other British colonies, is, I may say, extinct in this part of Bengal.

ANSWER of Mr. R. Macan, Additional Judge of Zillah Burdwan, dated 24th June 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

Mu. MILLETT's letter * appears to embrace two points : the first relating to the persons of slaves; the second relating to their property.
 By the third paragraph of the letter referred to, it appears, that slaves have the same

5. By the third paragraph of the letter referred to, it appears, that slaves have the same security for their lives \dagger that other natives of India possess; and I would remark, from what I recollect of the practice of a magistrate's court, that any ill-treatment or crulely towards a slave would be punished by every magistrate in the country with the same severity as in the ordinary cases of the same description between masters and hired servants. In the fourth paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, the law commission wish to know the law or principle by which a Hindoo master would be punishable in such cases in the cruminal courts. On this subject, I would observe, that the magistrates generally know very little of either Mahomedan or thudoo law, and they very seldom apply to the molvies or the pundits of the courts for futwahs or bewustahs. The distinction, therefore, which those laws make between a slave and a free servant would not be recognized by the magistrates in the petty offences cognizable by them; and if a case should be committed to the court of circuit, the Hindoo law is not binding, and the futwah of a Mahomedan law officer may now be dispensed with, as laid down in the lat section of the regulation noted below. \ddagger Thus the existing laws provide, in a great

This section of the regulation noted below. 1 Thus the existing laws provide, in a great measure, for the safety of the lives and persons of slaves. 5. The second point referred to in Mr. Millett's letter is connected with the property of slaves. From the situation and circumstances of slaves, they can very seldom acquire much property, but by the 9th section of Regulation VII. of 1832, as quoted in the 5th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, the civil courts might, in a great many cases, secure to a slave any property which he flight be found possessed of; but if a case were brought into a civil court, in which the master and slave should be either Mahomedans or Hindoos, the laws of those religions would, if strictly adhered to, require that a decision should be given agaunst a slave. In a case as contemplated in the first part of the sth paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, of the claim of a Mahomedan master over a Hindoo slave, and vice versá, the civil courts would certainly avail themselves of the discretion given to them by the section of the regulation last quoted, and decide in favour of the alave.

the civil courts would certain to a watchmeasile over a finado state, and size bersa, the civil courts would certain to a watchmeasile over a finado state, and size bersa, section of the regulation last quoted, and decide in favour of the slave. 6. With reference to section 9 of Regulation VII. of 1832, I would remark, that it is not a rule which admits of a wide and clear application to civil suits in general. If the members of the law commission were to inquire into the object which the framers of Regulation VII. had in view, in inserting the clause referred to, they would, I think, find that it was intended to secure to persons converted from the Mahomedan or Hindoo religion to Chinstianity the possession of their real and personal property; for by the law, as it stood before the promulgation of Regulation VII. of 1832, every convert to the Christian religion from either the Hindoo or Mahomedan persuasion, not only forfeited his right of succession to all real and personal property, but he could even be deprived of all hereditary property which he might be actually in possession of at the time of his conversion. The law, however, as laid down in section 9, is vague and unsatisfactory, and the real object which it is believed the framers of the regulation hid in view is little understood, while the rule can never, as before remarked, admit of a wide and clear application to civil suits between persons of different nations and of different religious persuasions.

* See No. 1 of this Appendix. 262. M M 3

277

Na. 10.

No. 20.

7. The

278 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II.

7. The only point connected with slaves which is altogether unprovided for by the regulation, is their actual liberation from the power of a Hindoo or Mahomedan master.

6. In the last part of the 5th paragraph of the letter of Mr. Millett, the law commission would wish to know the law or principle which would regulate the courts in their decisions on claims to the property, possession or services of slaves, where neither party might be Mahomedans or Hindoos. In British India, the professors of the Christian religion, Parsee fire-worshippers, and Chinese-Bhoodites, would be the only persons likely to be the owners of slaves; and any individuals of those religions, or of any other persuasion, whether plantiffs or defendants, in the criminal or civil courts, would be required to show by what law they could claim either the person or property of a slave; and as there does not now exist any law in India by which such claims could be supported, the slave would, of course, have the benefit of the absence of right on the part of masters who might not be Mahomedans or Hindoos.

9. In this district, the impression amongst the natives is almost universal that the existing laws prohibit the purchasing of slaves; and though this is not in reality the case, still all that now remains of the traffic in slaves is the occasional purchase of a few children who are offered for sale in times of great scarcity. It is also generally understood that the magistrates would afford the fullest protection to any slave who should complain of cruelty or ill-treatment on the part of the master.

10. In the allah Burdwar, and in a few of the surrounding districts, slavery exists to a considerable extent, and particularly amongst a class of men called Annadars, or persons holding lands directly from the government on a low quit-rent. The Annadars are mostly Mahomedans of old respectable families, and they have, according to their circumstances, from one to twenty slaves. Most of these slaves have been born in the houses of their masters, and they are generally the descendants of children who were purchased in the great famine which visited Bengal in the year 1770; while, in some instances, the slaves have been, from father to son, for 200 and 300 years in the same family.
11. It is a general remark, that slaves are more troublesome and more expensive than been with the constrint of the family for the family.

11. It is a general remark, that slaves are more troublesome and more expensive than hired servants; and with the exception of a few slave girls for the female apartments, the Mahomedan masters would not much regret the enactment of any law which might give to their slaves the option of leaving the houses of their masters, or of remaining there as hired servants. At present, useless, idle slaves are in many instances retained by their masters, merely from a feeling that they ought not to drive away from their house one born and brought up under their roof; and they know that if they were to do so, their good name would in some degree suffer in the estimation of their friends and neighbours. There is also some consequence and respectability attached in the eyes of the natives to the possession of slaves, and this also induces some individuals to keep them, while it will, at the same time, be almost always admitted, that free servants are more useful and less troublesome than the present race of slaves.

12. Instances of cruelty to slaves are stated by the natives to be very rare indeed, and if chastiscement is resorted to, it is not marked by severity, and the general testimony is, that slaves in this district are as well fed and as well clothed as free servants who are employed in similar occupations.

18. Throughout the Bengal presidency slavery certainly exists to a considerable extent, and though it is seen in its mildest form, yet still it is slavery; and a new code of criminal and civil law, such as that now preparing by the law commission, will no doubt include in its pages enactments which will secure liberty for the persons, and security for the property, of all slaves throughout the British possessions in India.

No. 21.

ANSWER of Mr. Taylor, Officiating Magistrate of Zillah Burdwan, dated 5th February 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. No case of the nature specified in the several paragraphs of Mr. Millett's letter, or in any way calculated to throw light on the question under discussion, being discovered in the records of the office. I am unable to state what is the practice of this court.

No. 22.

ANSWER of Mr. W. H. Elliott, Officiating Magistrate, Bancoorah, dated 80th April 1838, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I REGRET to state that I do not feel competent to offer any remarks on the subject treated of in Mr. Millett's letter.

2. I can only find one instance in which the question of slavery has been brought into 'this court, and that was one of a most simple nature. In 1830, a person complained that a female slave whom he had made over to his sister as her private attendant had been enticed away from the house by a burkundaze. This being proved, the slave was restored to her mistress, and the burkundaze reprimanded. ANSWER of Mr. J. H. D'Oyly, Civil and Session Judge of Zillah Birbhum, dated 7th November 1838, to the Register of the Court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

3. No case in which slaves are concerned has ever, as far as I can discover, been brought before this court.

Section 15, Regulation IV. of 1793, for observing the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws in suits regarding succession, inheritance, marriage and caste, and all religious usages and institutions, is rendered applicable to all cases of slaves, although they are not by name alluded to.

Regulation VIII. of 1799 empowers the courts to pass sentence for heavy offences on all without distinction.

4. I am not aware of any rules which would empower our courts to make a difference between a freeman and a slave m awarding punishment for crime, nor should I, in such a case, be either inclined to grant indulgences to a slave because he were a Mussulman, or to afford less protection to a slave than to a free-born against wrong-doers.

5. In trifling cases, however, where the parties are either Mussulmans or Hindoos, I conclude the magistrate should be guided by the Mussulman law or Hindoo Shastres in allowing chastisement to be inflicted, if it do not amount to barbarous treatment, which would bring it under our own regulations.

6. In answer to the first part of the last paragraph of Mr. Secretary Millett's letter, I should think that the English courts would be bound to support the Mussulman's claim to the services of a Hindoo slave, because the pundit would give his bewusta to the effect that slavery is permitted by the Shastres, and with reference to Regulation VII. of 1882, section 9, the law of the defendant should be acted upon. Where the Hindoo master suce for the Mahomedon slave the Mahomedon law would

Where the Hindoo master sues for the Mahomedan slave, the Mahomedan law would declare that a Mussulman cannot be a slave unless taken prisoner in battle or the descendant of such; and, therefore, the Hindoo plaintiff must necessarily be cast, unless the Mussulman be proved to be a slave of the above description.

This appears to me to be the law as it stands, although I am not prepared to admit that it is justice; and the practice above stated must be legal in my opinion until a new enactment be made.

7. In reply to the last part of the above paragraph, I should say, that as slavery is not sanctioned by any law operating in our courts, except by the Mahomedan and Hindoo, a person neither a Mussulman nor a Hindoo could not establish a claim to property, possession, or service of a slave not being a Hindoo or a Mussulman, and that the fact alone of slavery not being recognized by the British Government (with the above exceptions) would in itself be sufficient to justify such a practice in an English court of justice.

ANSWER of Mr. W. J. H. Money, Acting Magistrate, Birbhum, dated 30th January 1836, to the Register of the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

1. No cases connected with masters or their slaves have occurred in this district, so as to form a practical ground of opinion: but I should say, in the event of such complaints pending in this court, the legal rights of masters over their slaves, with regard to their persons, would be recognized as entire, provided no cruel treatment had been proved against the master: and in the same way with regard to their property, until otherwise decided by the civil court, to which the slaves would be referred for redress.

2. The court would recognize the relation of masters and slaves so far as to justify the moderate correction of their slaves, and in like manner protection would be extended to slaves on complaints preferred by them of cruel treatment, or of conduct exceeding those justifiable acts of slight chastisement.

3. The court would not afford less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters.

4. The makreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master, though not specially provided for by any enactment, would, I should say, be considered in the light of a misdemeanor, and punished accordingly by the regulation preservised for such an offence.

5. With reference to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, the claim of a Mussulman master over his Hindoo slave, in the case alluded to in the 5th paragraph of the letter from the secretary to the law commission, would not be supported; but, vice versé, the claim of a Hindoo master would be upheld.

6. The court would not admit or enforce any claim to property, possession or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, or against any other than a Mussulman or Hindoo defendant. Appendix II. Retarns.

No. 23.

No. 24.

M. N 4,

Answer.

.

262.

APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS **#8**0

Appendix II.

Returns.

No. 25.

Answen of Mr. H. J. Middleton, Judge of Moorshedabad, dated 4th February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

2. SLAVERY, I believe, exists in Bengal as in the upper provinces, and, as far as I can learn. Mahomedans, Hindoos, Armenians, Jews and East Indians all hold slave

3. With respect to the 1st question of the law commission, as to the legal rights of masters over their slaves, &c., it may be remarked, that, as in the administration of criminal justice, the government has directed that the courts shall be guided by Mahomedan law, excepting in cases wherein a deviation therefrom may have been expressly authorized by the regulations, the rights over slaves can be no other than those conferred by the said law and the regulations.

Said law and the regulations.
4. On the 2d question of the law commission I have to state, that in cases of murder of a slave by his owner, the Mahomedan law, which exempted the master from kissaa, has been modified by Regulation VIII. of 1799. The making of eunuchs has been declared a heinous crime, subject to exemplary punishment by circular orders of the Nizamut Adawlut, dated 27th April 1796. But for the homicide (short of murder) or cruel treatment of a slave, there is, I believe, no enactment for regulating the practice of the magishare u and I should imagine that as in petty cases there would be no flutwah taken by the tracy; and I should imagine that, as in petty cases there would be no futwah taken by the magistrates from the Mahomedan law officers, the Foujdary court would punish the officader as though his offence had been against his servant, and not his slave. In more serious cases of maltreating a slave tried by the sessions court, I consider no great latitude would be permitted to the owner of a slave than to the master of a servant, nor would any judge, I think, agree in a futwah which declared the fact of the injured party being a slave of ground for mitigating the punishment to which the owner had on trial been declared amenable, since the sympathy of the European presiding officer would always, in consideraamenable, since the sympathy of the European presiding oncer would aways, in consudera-tion of his defenceless condition, he arrayed on the side of the slave. Magistrates, I believe, would not generally grant the privileges and indulgences made in behalf of slaves, and noticed in the Hedaya (see Hamilton's Index, article "Slaves,") and consequently, if a slave committed an assault upon or destroyed the property either of his owner or of a stranger, such slave would, I suppose, by our courts be punished by imprisonment. 5. In reply to the 3d question of the law commission, I beg to say, that a slave can be a slave only with reference to his owner. Whatever immunities the Hindoo or Mahomedan haw may time the courd may are in criminal mattern. place a slave under any

law may give that owner, such could never, in criminal matters, place a slave under any special outlawry with reference to strangers. Hence I doubt whether a case coming within this question has ever occurred in our courts. A British magistrate would never allow a master to injure a slave with impunity, much less a stranger; and the latter would certainly

be punished like a wrong-doer to a party other than a slave. 6. With respect to question 4th, in paragraph 4 of the law commission's inquiry as to, by what law or principle the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts, it may be stated, that, as the Hindoo criminal law has been placed in abeyance by the regulations of government, the offence glanced at in the question would be punished under the regulation "Criminal Law."

7. I find it difficult to offer any answer to the 5th question contained in paragraph 5 of. the law commission's inquiry, viz., whether, with reference to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, the courts would support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, when, according to Hindoo law, the slavery is legal, but according to the Mahomedan law, illegal, and vice versâ. The explanatory provisions detailed in that section are of recent origin, nor can any cases be traced in the published reports which can directly or construc-tively be brought to bear on this subject. Until, therefore, the question be set at rest by some regular suit, appeal or construction of the superior court, a great diversity of practice will probably obtain in the subordinate courts, by reason of each taking a dissimilar view of the provisions in question. The following would, I conceive, be what the civil courts here would do in such cases. Suppose a Mahomedan to claim the property, possession or would do in such cases. Suppose a Mahomedan to claim the property, possession or service of another (be he Mahomedan or Hindoo) as his slave, and the latter to deny the claimant's right, the claimant would be required to prove that the person so claimed is his slave according to the provisions of the law acknowledged by the claimant, and in default of such proof his claim would be dismissed, and the alleged slave declared free (on the principle that the claimant has no right to that which is denied him by the laws of his own personasion), and vice versë in the suit of a Hindoo claimant. But in a similar suit, brought by a claimant originally a Hindoo, and since converted to Islamism, we should, with refer-ence to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, pass judgment in his favour (provided Islamism be proved), that he was entitled to the alleged slave by succession or inheritance either before or after his apostacy, and that the slave in question was a legal bondsman agreeably to the Hindoo law. Also in suits instituted by claimants originally Hindoo or Mahomedan, but at the tume of bringing the action professing the Christian or any other religion, for the pos-session of a slave, such slave being proved a legal bondsman according to the law from session of a slave, such slave being protoning in on an according to the law from which the claimant has seceded, I think the claim must, under the section above cited, be maintained. Supposing, however, a claim must, under the section above trach, be maintained. Supposing, however, a claim to a slave to be advanced by a party neither Mahomedan, Hindoo nor seceder from either of those faiths, I consider the courts could not, uphold such, in that slavery is not sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized by the government, except the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws.

8. I subjoin

8. I subjoin extracts from a memorandum, prepared at my request, by Mr. G. Myers, the principal sudder ameen, a gentleman of great intelligence and observation, and whose career has been followed in the upper and western provinces, as well as those of Bengal; and, in doing so, I imagine, I meet the suggestion contained in the communication of the court (dated the 18th of last November) now acknowledged.

EXTRACTS of Notes and Observations on Slavery, as existing in Bengal, Behar, Benares, and the Ceded and Conquered Provinces. (By Mr. G. Myers, Principal Sudder Ameen.)

ï

THE sources by which slavery is perpetuated are chiefly the following :----1. Importation by sea or land. This source has been much empled by the Act 51 Geo. 3, chap. 23, and Regulations X. of 1811, and III. of 1832. The Arab ships, which 20 years ago were wont to import into Calcutta from 10 to 30 slaves in almost every ship, seldom bring now more than a fourth of that number on each vessel. I have seen male and female, Abyssinian and other negroes, to have been sold in former times, at Calcutta, from 50 to 100

rupees, but they cannot be procured now for less than 200 to 400 rupees. The exportation for traffic of country slaves from one province of the British territories to another was prohibited, by a proclamation of government, so long ago as the 22d July 1789; and though (with the exception of section 74, Regulation XXII. of 1795, for the province of Benares) the rules of this proclamation appear not to have been embodied in the code of regulations enacted in 1798 for the province of Bengal, nor in that of 1803 for the code of regulations enacted in 1785 for the province of Delign, but in that of 1760 for the ceded and conquered provinces, yet the magistrates have, in the spirit of it, universally discountenanced such a commerce. That the practice still prevails to a limited degree in the Mofussil, I have not the least doubt. In the year 1809, or 1810, perhaps, when I was at Hurdwar with the board of commissioners, I remember very well seeing a large number of children of both sexes brought down by the adjacent mountaineers for sale at the fair; and I have good reasons for believing that the inhabitants of the chain of mountains bounding I have good reasons for beneving that the initialitation of the chart of information boundary the north and north-eastern parts of Bengal are in the habit of clandestinely importing slaves for sale into the adjoining Bengal districts, particularly young boys and girls. These importations are, however, invariably checked by the magistrates whenever they chance to hear of them. With respect, however, to the town of Calcutta, I can say, from personal knowledge, that the practice of annually bringing boat loads of children for open sale in the town from the interior of the country, adverted to by Sir W. Jones in his charge

sale in the town from the interior of the country, adverted to by Sir W. Jones in his charge to the grand jury delivered on the 10th June 1785, does not exist at present.
2. Kidnapping children and selling them into slavery. This source is, from its nature, limited, and, when detected, the perpetrators are hable to punnshment under the regulations.
8. Sales of children by their parents or relations, from poverty or inability to maintain them in times of famine, or other general calamity, as in the year 1833, when, owing to the disastrous hundations experienced in the southern parts of Bengal, hundreds of half-starved, helpless wretches thronged the suburbs and streets of Calcutta and the adjoining distincts, offering themselves and their children for sale for a few measures of rice only. This source of slavery is the most prolific; and the origin of allowsf the whole slave population in the provinces above indicated may be traced to this and the following.
4. Birth, as the offspring of a slave, commonly denominated "Khanzad."
5. Voluntary sale or pledge by a person of full age to serve his creditor as a slave, in liquidation of, or till the redemption of, a debt, or in consideration of money borrowed to

liquidation of, or till the redemption of, a debt, or in consideration of money borrowed to discharge the debt of another creditor. This source is, as may readily be imagined, very confined; but I have known men so infatuated, especially in the upper provinces, as to render themselves slaves by written engagements to their creditors, when otherwise unable to liquidate the debts contracted by them; and even of parents pledging their children, and husbands their wives, as security for money borrowed,—the persons so pledged being main. tained by the pawnee, and rendering him the ordinary services of a domestic. Before I proceed to the questions propounded by the law commission. I shall make a few obscriptions in illustration of the money in plus are grouped by the service of a domestic.

observations in illustration of the manner in which slaves are generally treated in this country by their owners. That slavery, however modified or restricted by law, is a crying evil, is unquestionable, and the sooner abolished the better for mankind. From all, however, that I have seen, or heard, or read, I have no hesitation in declaring that the East India slaves are, in every particular, better treated than their unfortunate brethren of the west. The slaves in this country form, in fact, a class of cheap, and are treated by their owners as, domestic servants. More confidence is often reposed in, and greater consideration sometimes shown to persons of this class by their owners, as being their subcration sometimes shown to persons of this class by their owners, as being their property and khair khas, than to hired free servants, who are often termed "ghair" and "begana." Let me not, however, be understood as asserting that owners do not often maltreat their bondsmen. There are, in this country, as many monsters in human shape, dead to every generous and noble feeling, as in other parts of the world. The redeeming feature of this country lavery is, that the slaves are not so systematically worked up, nor so cruelly whipped and punished as in the American slave-holding dis-tricts. The prime and resistance of slaves are not so systematically determined in August tricts. The rising and resistance of slaves against their owners have occurred in America and elsewhere; not so in India. A servile war is an event, I believe, unknown in the history of this country. The picture, however, drawn of slavery by Sir W. Jones, in his charge above alluded to, viz., " Nevertheless I am assured from evidence, which, though not all judicially taken, has the strongest operation on my belief, that the condition 161. X N

Appendix IL Returns.

No. 26.

p84 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix TL

Retoras,

.-

of slaves within our jurisdiction is beyond imagination deplorable, and the cruettles are skily practised on them, chiefly on those of the tenderest age and the weak or sex, which, if it could not give me pain to repeat and you to hear, yet, for the honour of human nature, I should forbear to particularize," is, in my humble opinion, too highly coloured: whilst, on the other hand, the description given by Mr. Macnaghten," that "in India, generally speaking, between a slave and a free servant there is no distinction but in the name and in the superior indulgences enjoyed by the former. He is exempt from the common cares of providing for himself and family; his master has an obvious interest in treating him with tentry; and the easy performance of the ordinary household duties is all that is exacted in return," though rather favourable, is yet, on the whole, nearer the fact, as far as my observation goes, than the former. The natives of this country are not prone to beating their servants or slaves for trivial offences. Abusive language and threats are the correctives usually applied on these occasions. To sell a slave is universally considered infamous; and the profession of a slave-dealer is justly held in exerciation both by Hindoos and Mahomedans. I cannot charge my memory with an instance of a respectable native selling his slave. If the owner is schaeed to poverty, the slaves are set at liberty. Much afficction often evaluates between a Khanazad and the offspring of his bowner. I have known everal instances of slaves set at liberty by their ansters, from inability to provide for them, to person in their turn the supporters of their masters, where they do suit as an using of a officer of high rank, at this station, in the capacity of an ayah, or child's-maid, and maintaining her former mistress, at Calentia, from the savings of her wages: I caund, however, say whether the class of slaves termed *adscript globa*, where they do exist, as in sone of the proper provinces, are sold along with the land. But from

No. 27.

ANSWEE of Mr. R. Torrens, Magistrate of Moorshedabad, dated 21st March 1836, to, the Register to the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

The subject + is one in which I have had no experience in the sivil court, or in the criminal.

2. I have only to observe, that I consider it my duty as a magistrate to punish any assault sommitted by a master on the person of a slave with the same severity as at it were influcted on the person of a freeman.

1

No. 28.

ARSWER of Mr. C. R. Martin, Officiating Judge, Zillah 24-Pergunnahs, dated 13th May 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE in no one instance been required, in my official capacity, to give my attention to any one of them. 1 I have had no practical experience in any court whatever in the decision of questions in which the telation of master and slave has been a subject of legal consideration. Both the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws recognize this relation, and the rights of masters over their slaves extend very far. Masters may sell their slaves to any person, and at any price, without the consent of the latter, may give or bequeath them as any othet property or possessions, and may also pledge them as security for debt. They may compel them to labour or give them their liberty ; the latter can possess no property; all their acquisitions become the property of the former; but it should be observed that these rights were formerly recognized only in cases where persons became slaves in consequence of having been made prisoners of war, and of being descended from such prisoners. To such persons, the term "slave," in its strict and unlimited extent, appears only to have been applied. The term, when applied to any other person, was considered a term of reproach, and any person so

asing it was liable to panishment. 2. The authority of the master over his slave is quite absolute, according to the Mahomedan haw; and protection cannot legally be extended to the latter in case of cruelty or hard usage. But, notwithstanding the law at the present time is so much on the side of the master, it is an acknowledged power of the courts to award penalties on the master if he do not feed and clothe his slaves well, do not allow them to marry, or punish them without cause. The courts would also protect slaves equally with free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters; though in small matters, such as those of petty assaults, for instance, the slave is less likely, according to the custom of the natives, to obtain redress in the court than the free person.

* 3. In reference to the particular case referred to in Mr. Millett's letter, viz., the emancipation of Zuhoorun, 1 am wholly uninformed in respect to the law by which the court was authorized to decree the emancipation. I have said that the court exercises discretionary power

🔹 🕈 Seé pri	nted Remarks, Principles and Precedents of Mahomedan Law, p. 39. ‡ Topics of inquiry.	† Slavery.
·, ·	2 Topics of inquiry.	: :

283

power in cases where slaves are punished by their masters without cause; but I am not aware that it can extend so far as to emancipate a slave. Maltreatment is not legally a sufficient cause for emancipation. The decisions on such questions, however, would depend very much on the interpretation of the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws given by the native functionaries of the court.

In conclusion, I beg to observe, that if the matter regarding slaves was well safted, very few would come under that denomination, and those that are so are treated more as members of the family in which they reside than as bondsmen.

ANSWER of Mr. Laurell, Officiating Magistrate of Zillah 24-Pergunnahs, dated 3d February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William. ...

2. I REGRET extremely my inability to furnish the superior court with any information that may tend to throw any light on the various points of the question now mooted; as, in the first instance, I have never myself met with any case bearing at all upon the subject (slavery); instance, I may never myself met with any case bearing at an opon the subject (starty); and secondly, because, after a careful search and investigation amongst the records of this-office, I can find no instance of a case having occurred which might guide me as a precedent in the event of any such as are noticed in the letter of the secretary to the law commission commg before me, or from which I can glean any information on the subject in general.

3. Under these circumstances, with ho specific regulation of government to direct meritim with no precedent in the office upon which I might, if it so seemed expedient, ground the basis of my own proceedings in the event of my being called upon to act in a case of the description now under discussion (coupled to the curcumstance of my never having yet been called upon to act in any such case), I trust the court may be inclined to make every allowance. cance upon to act many such case, , a cust the court may be inclined to make every allowance for the scanty and imperfect information with which I am enabled to furnish them, and that they will not attribute the same to any want of zeal and perseverance on my part in my endeavours to obtam *data* from which I could have gathered more ample and satisfactory information, preparatory to submitting it for their consideration.

4. I cannot, however, omit the opportunity to state, for the information of the court, that although I cannot point out any specific rule which I might take for my guidance, nor am I enabled from the records of my office to adduce, as a precedent, any case where a master and slave have been the opposing parties to each other; yet I have no hesitation in saying, that were a slave to prefer a complaint in any court where I might happen to preside, against his master or owner, or any other than his master, I should feel myself bound, both in reason and in justice, to grant my protection to such slave, both as regards his property and his person, in the same way, and to the same extent, as I should be authorized to do by, law and regulation, in the case of any other individual under the jurisdiction of British. courts.

5. I should, moreover, notwithstanding that, according to the Hindoo law, "a Hindoo mass ter appears to have still more absolute and unlimited power over his slave than a Mahomedan ter appears to have stin more absoring and unimited power over in stand that a minimucan has by his haw over his;" I should, I say, still be inclined to treat Mahomedan and Hindoo masters and slaves precisely in one and the same manner; as " by the Act (as quoted in the 3d paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter) 51 Geo. 3, cap. 23, and Regulations X. of 1811, and III. of 1832, the importation of slaves, by seas or land, from foreign countries, and the manner is blaves for the more and the same manner is the Britch territories down and 111. of 1832, the importation of slaves, by sea or land, from foreign countries, and the removal of slaves for the purposes of traffic-from one part of the British territories depen-dent on the presidency of Fort Wilham (including-Agra), to another," is specifically pro-hibited; and although the confirmation, by the Governor-general in Council, on the 12th April 1799, of the construction put by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, in the same year, on section 15, Regulation IV. of 1793 (which was again fully recognized by the resolution of the honourable the Vice-president in Council, under date 5th September 1837, and afterwards extended to Benares and the western provinces) would seem in some measure to be contradictory to and at variance with the spirit of the Act of Parliament and of Regulatoons X. of 1811, and 111. of 1832 ; still I am not aware that these latter have been abrogated bons A. of 1811, and 11. on 1852; stul 1 am not aware time incee inter may open abrogated or specifically rescinded by any subsequent act or regulation; and my opinion, therefore, naturally is, that, to all intents and purposes, the system of slavery has been legally abolished, and that, consequently, any principle tending to deprive a slave of any right or privilege in a court of justice, which a freeman enjoys, should not for a moment be recognized in any court; but that the same indulgence and protection should be indiscriminately and impar-tions. tially allowed and extended to all, - to slaves (or those who are so considered) as well as to freemen

6. My view of the case, as above set forth, may very possibly be erroneous, but, such as it is, I respectfully beg leave to submit it for the consideration of the court, and beg leave to add my hope, that they will be good enough to bear in mind, that I have merely stated the way, I conceive, I should myself act, in the event of a slave (or any person so denoway, I concerve, I shown myself act, in the event of a magnetizet of any period so denov minated) claming my protection in my capacity of a magnetizet or other judicial officer; against his master, or any other molvidual, under the present (as it appears to me) contradictory and undefined state of the law and regulations regarding slavery in thus country.

302.

No. 29.

Appendix . IL

Returns:

NN2

ANSWEE

484 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix IL.

ANSWER of Mr. G. W. Battye, Joint Magistrate of Baraset, dated 6th July 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

No. 30.

I MUST declare my inability to afford any information as to the practice of the civil courts ' in questions of slavery.

In questions of slavery. 2. With reference to the custom prevailing is the criminal courts, I can speak more from-inquiry than actual experience, as I do not remember aver having received a complaint from, master or slave in any of the offices to which I have been attached. The existence of slavery, however, among both the Mussulman and Hindoo population is notorious, and it is perhaps extraordinary that so few instances should occur in which either party ever come into the courts. As regards, however, the proprietors, it is not so much cause of astonish-Milett's letter, allent on the subject, yet the general feeling known to exist in the breast of every Englishman may of itself deter them applying for aid to the courts. 8. In the absence of any distinct prohibition of slavery, I should have, perhaps, been doubtful what was my duty, had not the case of Nujoom-con-nissa afforded a precedent.

for the authority of government to emancipate slaves when any act of cruelty was established.

4. Giving, therefore, an extended meaning to Regulation III. of 1832, and supported by the decision of the court above quoted. I should not hesitate to set at liberty any slava who. sought protection of the court; and, in doing so, I imagne I should only be pursuing the course usually adopted by magistrates before whom similar complaints have been instituted;. at least such I am informed has been the case in districts to the eastward, where slavery is

more prevalent than here. 5. The provisions of clause 23, Act 51 Geo. 3, supported by the admission (quoted by Mr. Macnaghten) of the ruling power having authority to interfere in cases of oppression, would, I conceive, justify me in extending the protection sought, and be, as stated in the preamble to Regulation X. of 1811, consistent with the dictates of humanity and with the, principles by which the administration of this country is conducted.

No. 31.

ANSWER of Mr. C. G. Udny, Civil and Session Judge of Zillah Nuddeah, dated 13th September 1836, to the Register of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlat, Fort William.

2. No case involving the question of the relative rights of master and slave appears ever to have arisen in this court. But I conceive that the plea of proprietary right would never be recognized as justifying acts of oppression, and that in such cases the courts would not hesitate to afford protection to slaves from the cruelty of their masters as well as of other

hesitate to short protection to shaves non the crucity of their matters as wont as or outs wrong-doers. 3. With regard to the question contained in the fourth paragraph of the letter from the secretary to the Indian law commissioners, "by what law or principle the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts," it is sufficient to state, that the act being prima facie illegal, it would rest with the master to show specific grounds of exemption from the authority of the courts. In cases of this nature, more especially, the judicial authorities would no doubt be scripp-lously opposed to any latitude of construction; and the circumstance that the extent of the master's power over the person of his slave is not defined by the Hindoo law would never

be held to confer an unlimited and irresponsible authority over him. 4. With regard to claims preferred in our courts by a Musulman master to a Hindoo slave, and vice verså, all difficulty would be obviated by making the law of the defending party the rule of decision; for although, according to the Hindoo code, slavery is allowed, yet a Hindoo cannot legally be the slave of a Mahomedan who is considered as his inferior.

5. No claims to the property, possession or service of a slave ought, in my opinion, to be admitted or enforced by our courts, except in cases in which the claimants and defendants are either Mahomedans or Hindoos.

No. 32.

ANSWER of Mr. H. P. Russell, Officiating Additional Judge, Nuddeah, dated 8th September 1836, to the Register of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. THE subject embraced in these communications is one with which I am not practically conversant. I do not remember any criminal prosecution on the part of a slave against his paster, or vice versá; the subject, therefore, is not one on which, from experience, I can afford any information.

3. With exception of a few cases which came before me when register of the zillah court' of Behar, in 1823 and 1824, the above observations equally apply to the civil court. In" them there was no question as to the subserviency of the slave, but merely as to the right of ownership. Under these circumstances, I am unable to state "what legal rights of masters" over their slaves, with regard to their persons and property, are practically recognized by the Company's court."

4. With

Returns.

E 44 4 4 5 4 ***

. •

4. With reference to the second paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, as to "what extent it is the practice of the courts and magistrates to recognize the relation of master and slave, as justifying acts which would otherwise be punishable, or as constituting a ground for miti-gation of punishment," viewing the slave more in the light of a servant, no magistrate, I conceive, would recognize any right of punishment on the part of the master than that of moderate correction, and that on complaints of cruelty, ill-usage or aggravation of any kind being preferred by slaves against their masters, our courts would afford equal protection to them as to any other persons ; and in so doing, in case of the parties being Mussulmans, I apprehend they would be acting in perfect conformity to the Mahomedan law. 5. As regards the question contained in the fourth paragraph, viz., "by what law or

b. As regards the question contained in the found paragraph, i.e., by what law of principle the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by a Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts," I concerve that, notwithstanding the Hindoo law makes no provision for the protection of the slave from the cruelty and ill-treatment of an unfeeling master, and the absence of any specific rule to guide our courts in such cases, an unfeeling master, and the absence of any specific rule to guide our courts in such cases, that, in awarding redress to the aggieved party, their decisions would be governed by the principles of public justice, and, as Mr. Macnaghten has remarked, that "a British magis-trate would never permit the plea of proprietory right to be urged in defence of oppression." 6. In reply to the third paragraph, I am clearly of opinion that there are no cases in which the courts and magistrates would afford less protection to slaves than to freemen against other wrong-doers than their masters; no one but a master can possess any legal

against other wrong does a lare, and there can be no question as to the latter being entitled to the same redress from our courts for mjury received either in their person or property from others than their masters as other individuals.

7. With reference to the point adverted to in the first part of Mr. Millett's communication, I am of opinion that our courts would act in conformity to the law of the religion of the I am of opinion that our courts would act in contoning to the haw ou the region of the planniff; that is, that they would not "support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, if according to the Hindoo law the slavery should be legal, but according to the Mahomedan law, illegal, and vice verså," as it appears to me that in cases of this nature the courts would be justified in rejecting the claims of either plaintiff, if not actually bound to do so when remument to the laws of their own parenasion

to do so, when repugnant to the laws of their own persuasion. 8. As to whether the courts would admit and enforce any claim to property, possession, or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, I conceive the decision of our courts would necessarily be guided by the laws of the parties concerned.

ANSWEB of Mr. R. C. Halkett, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Nuddeah, dated 4th August 1836, to the Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut.

In reply, I beg to state that I do not know "what the legal rights of masters are over their slaves with regard both to their persons and property, which are practically recognized by the Company's courts and magistrates under the Agra presidency," and by some courts under the jurisdiction of the abolished provincial court of Dacca, where, I am told, slavery is not less prevalent than in the upper provinces; nor do I know by what law or principle the officers of those courts are guided in deciding cases connected with slavery.

I do not remember that I have ever decided or met with any case similar to those embraced in Mr. Secretary Millett's communication; owing to which I am unable to point out to "what extent it is the practice of the courts and magistrates to recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying acts which otherwise would be punishable, or as constituting a ground for mitigation of the punishment;" but, had an action of this description been brought on my file, I would have proceeded to recognize the relation of both parties, by following the modes now adopted, to examine the relation of husband and wife, father and son, and others. In my opinion, the magistrates ought to ascertain the relation of slaves and masters; and when a master claims the legal duties to be performed by his slave, and the slave refuses to perform them, and, on the other hand, if the slave shows his readiness to perform his legal duties, and the master demands those services from him which he cannot legally demand, in both cases the magistrates ought to regulate the duties to be performed by one and those demanded by the other, punishing the deviating party. When the magistrates find that a suit has been instituted falsely, they may be at liberty, after inves-tigation, to inflict such punishment upon the plantiff as they would in any other case brought forward for purposes of veration. The punishment, however, on the score of relation of the

master and slave, should not be mitigated or extended. Notwithstanding "the indulgences which in criminal matters were granted them (the slaves) by the Mahomedan law," I do not conceive that our courts can lessen or extend staves) by the Manomedan law," I do not conceive that our courts can lessen of extend their protection to slaves on complaints preferred by them of cruelty or hard usage against ther masters, or any other wrong-doers than to freemen. On perusal of the printed case of Nujoom-con-nissa, it does not clearly appear that the state of bondage of Zubcorun was legal; and until the real fact of her bondage be,

state of bondage of Zudoordn was legal; and that the Pranat Adawhat were guided by no law in ordering the emancipation of Zuhooran, as by the mere term of "slave-gul" mentioned in the report, her bondage was not legally established. So few individuals at present exist who can legally be called "slaves," that I am inclined to suppose Zuhooran must have been considered as a nominal slave-gui, and not according to law. Had her bondage been established, I should then say that the court had passed the order according to "most even in the report, be bondage bar and the say that the court had passed the order according to "good conscience;" having themselves laid down in their circular, that the "maltreat-262. NN3 ment

Appendix II. Returns

No. 33-

886 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II.

Returns

ment is not legally a sufficient cause for emancipation, and that the ruling power has on that ground no right or authority to grant it."

The ground no right or automay to grass to Laying aside the conflicting dootness of the laws of our native subjects regarding "the minimized power of masters over their slaves," I am of opinion, that the maltreatment of a Hindoo or Mussulman slave by his Hindoo or Mussulman master must be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts, who "would never permit the plea of proprietary right to be urged in defence of oppression," and should punsh the parties as they now do for the maltreatment of a wife by a husband, and son by father.

now do for the maintent of a wife by a husband, and son by father. The Hindoos, according to their own law, become slaves to individuals in the direct order of classes, but not in the inverse; such as men of the Schatria (military), Vaisya (commercial), and Sudra (servile) classes may be slaves of Brahmins, and Vaisya and Sudra of Schatrias, and Sudra of Vaisyas. But under no circumstances can a Brahmun be a slave to any of those classes of people. The Hindoo law prohibits a Hindoo to associate with Joruns (Mussulmans), as it is laid down that the Mussulmans are lower than the lowest class of Hindoos; in consequence of which, no Hindoo, I think, can ever be a slave to a Mahomedan, though the latter may be so to the former. Mahomedan slaves could be of but very little service to a Hindoo master, for the Mussulmans are not allowed given to, enter the houses of respectable Hindoos. Should a Hindoo become a slave to a Mahomedan, he loses his caste, and if he perform the services which his Mahomedan haw, I beheve, the Hindoos may be slaves to Mussulmans who can demand duties from Hindoo slaves, by the performance of which the latter become degraded. I am, therefore, of opinion that it is better for us not to maintain any claim of a Mussulman master over a "Hindoo slaves, the court should act according to that he parties are of the same caste, the court should act according to that law which is followed by the parties concerned.

No. 34-

Answers of Mr. C. Phillips, Judge of Zillah Jessore, dated 11th January 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

My experience in judicial business has been limited almost exclusively to what has come before me at Jessore. I have been here exactly five years, during which period, not one complaint or suit connected in the most distant degree with slavery, either as respects the protection of the slave or the rights of the master, has been brought forward. Neither do I find on inquiry, or from the records of the court, that cases of such a character had been previously tried and decided on by my predecessors. I am consequently anable to give the information required in regard to the practice of the zilkh courts, or, indeed, any information tending to throw light on the several points noticed by Mr. Millett's letter. The subject is discussion had never before aftracted my particular attention. On the questions, proposed in the two concluding paragraphs of Mn. Millett's address, I beg to state, that, in threstigating and deciding on such cases, I should be guided by the custom which might be proved to have existed previously, provided that, under the circumstances of the particular case, the custom appeared just and reasonable.

No. 35. '

ANSWER of Mr. Donnelly, Officiating Magistrate, Jessore, dated 4th March 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I are to state, that, so far as I can learn, there are not any slaves in this district; and the omla tell me that no case of this description has been tried ar the court for 30 years. Prostitutes are in the habt of purchasing young female children, and petitions are occasionally preferred of their having absconded with ther cloths, &c., but it has always been the practice of this court to declare the girls free, and to refer the plaintiff to the civilcourt for the recovery of the cloths. Children of both series are occasionally purchased' from their parents, especially during seasons of scarcity; but these can scarcely be considered skeves, as, on arriving at maturity, they either stay with or leave their masters as may suit their own pleasure and convenience.

No, 36.

ANSWER of Mr. Maxwell, Judge of Zillah Backergunge, dated 14th September 1836, to the Register of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. This reference made by the law commission is, I-believe, more strictly applicable to the vestern provinces of India, where the system of slavery is understood to be comparatively in much more general practice than in the province of Bengal ; and as it embraces a subject of which, during the short period of my career as a judical efficient, I have had no efficient cognizance. I regret my inability to report from practical experimence on any of the points noticed in Mr. Millett's letter, or to supply from the records of these cort any information calculated to further the inquiry undertaken by the commission. a. From the inquiries I have made among the better-informed natives of these parts, I aminduced to believe that the system of servinde or devery matised in many parts of India

8. From the inquiries I have made among the better-informed natives of these parts, I aminduced to believe that the system of servitude or slavery practised in many parts of India is, is not almost unknown in this district, at all events much less general than in parts. further eastward, and more particalarly in the districts of Chittagong and Dacca, where a species species of vassalage is understood to be pretty generally acknowledged and practised; and this behef seems to gain confirmation by the almost total absence from the records of this court of all cases involving either questions of slavery or the right and title to persons doomed to servitude of that description.

³ 4. In this as in most other parts of the country, I believe, individuals composing this class of the community emanate and have emanated from the lowest orders of the agricultural population, who from indigent circumstances, the result on almost all occasions of unfavourable seasons and failure of crops, have been deprived of the means of supporting their offspring, and have been compelled to abandon them for protection and support into the hands of the more opulent, to whom they remain bound in voluntary servitude, until by misconduct or other contingency they forfeit their claim to the patronage of their supporters; and are driven to seek a manutenance elsewhere. That distress occasioned by the above causes has been severely felt in most parts of this district during the last few years is indisputable; but 1 have not heard that such contingency has continued in any material degree to uncrease the proportion of persons comprised within the present inquiry.

5. I am not aware to what extent the rights of masters over the persons and property of their slaves may have been practically recognized by courts of justice in other parts of the country, where the system of slavery is more general than in this, and where questions arising out of disputes between the parties may have received judicial cognizance and investigation; and I am precluded from referring for such information to the records of this court, before which no questions of the above nature seem ever to have come under discussion. But I may be allowed to remark, that the custom and usage of the country ard understood to recognize in such cases the paramount authority of the masters over both the property and person of the slave; and, as far as I have been able to learn, such usage has been generally admitted by the courts of justice as a guide to regulate their decisions in cases where the relative rights of the parties have been agitated:

property and person of the slave; and, as far as I have been able to learn, such usage has been generally admitted by the courts of justice as a guide to regulate their decisions in cases where the relative rights of the parties have been agitated: 6. As regards the power vested in the master over the person of the slave, the Mahomedan law, I believe, equally with the usage of the country, recognizes the right of the former to exact from the slave every species of labour proportioned to his ability and strength, and in cases of insubordination, or insolence to his superior, or of desertion from his service, the infliction of slight manual chastisement, while in other cases involving gross misbehaviour on the part of the slave, and generally, I behave, in cases of emergency, the property of the slave reverts to the master,

7. These principles have, I am informed, been recognized and admitted in most judicial decisions throughout those districts where the system of slavery is most general. But' in all complaints of the wanton abuse of power or maltreatment preferred by the slave, the master (who is equally inhibited from the exercise of undue severity or oppression towards' his slave, and enjoined to afford him protection and the means of sustenance and clothing proportioned to his substance) becomes, on conviction, hable to all the penalties prescribed' for such violations of the law, unless it be proved to the satisfaction of the presiding authority that he was acting in the exercise of his acknowledged right of coercion for derelection of' duty; and the same principles and the same law are understood to guide the adjudication of all cases, whether the parties be of the Mahomedan or Hindoo faith.

of all cases, whether the parties be of the Mahomedan or Hindoo faith. • 8. Adverting to the points mooted in the concluding paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter to the register of the courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, under the Agra presidency, I regret that my own inexperience has not prepared me to offer an opinion on the questions therein propounded, and my inability to adduce any precedents indicative of the mode of procedure adopted by the courts in cases of the nature described. After a careful review of the records of this court, two cases only relating to the present question have met my observation, and in both cases the adjudication was conducted in the first instance before the moulovy of the court, in his capacity of sudder ameen, and the claim of the plaintff¹¹ thrown out. In the former case a person, by name Koodrut Ullah, sued to obtain possession of two persons named Sheikh Manick and Teetye, whom he claimed as slaves purchased by him for the sum of 31-8 rupees. But it appeared, on investigation before the court, that the defendant, Manuck, had previously sued the plaintiff for arrears of wages; and' this being deemed proof positive of the worthlessness of the charge, the claim to slavery was thrown out as wholly unfounded, and this decision was confirmed in appeal to the judge on' the 13th January 1821. The second case involved a claim on the part of two individuals, named Koorban Ullah and Miher Ullah, to the persons of Chundah and Asghurreah, as hereditary slaves, and the damages were laid at 64 rupees. But the claim to slavery or deed of sale, or other equally conclusive proof. This decision also was confirmed in appeal to the judge, who took occasion to remark in his proceedings, that, in the original plaint, the plaintiffs had asserted a right to a share of 8 persons, of whom 4 were claimed as peries; and with reference also to the decision of the moulovy, who had declared that the -Mahomedan law prohibited persons from consigning to slavery for

, 260.

. +

NN4

·· 4 ··

ARSWEE

APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS 268

Appendix II.

No. 37.

ANSWER of Mr. Stainforth, Magistrate of Zillah Backergunge, dated 10th September 1838, to the Register of the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. As a general rule, I conceive it to be my duty to interfere between master and elave as little as possible. I should not aid in giving possession of a slave that may have quitted he master, and of any clothes or ornaments used by him. On the other hand, I should interfere

to prevent a third party attempting to take away a slave against the will of the owner. 3. In any case of maltreatment that might come before me, I should consider the relation-ship of master and slave analogous to that of master and servant. Refusal to perform a service due would in either case be held by me to be a palliating, and, in cases of trivial assault, perhaps a justifying, circumstance. 4. No cases of the kind alluded to in the 3d paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter are on

record here

5. I should punish a Hindoo master for maltreating a Hindoo slave under the Mahomedan law, never referring to the Hindoo law in criminal cas

6. The questions contained in the 4th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter relate to the civil court, from the judge of which they will doubtless encit full replies.

7. Dealing in male slaves has nearly, if not entirely, ceased in this district; plentiful harvests, the difficulty of retaining male slaves against their will unless they are married to slave-girls, together with the circumstance of male servants being easily procurable and maintained at less expense than slaves, have contributed to cause the cessation of the traffic. The few male slaves in this district are nearly all kept only to ensure the stay of the females.

8. Females are less frequently sold, and I am not quite sure that an indistinct notion of the regulation regarding slavery may not be one of the component causes of this; for I am given to understand that the mode of obtaining girls for the purposes of prostitution is now generally mortgage for a long period, - the amount paid being without interest, the service without remuneration.

9. Many Hindoo women voluntarily become the slaves of private individuals, being Hindoos. They are widows who have not preserved their chastity, and who have quarrelled with their relations, or have no other means of obtaining a livelihood. They are taken into families whose casts allows of water being received at their hands; and if such a slave become pregnant (as her master would have the discredit of it), means are either used to cause abortion, or the woman is turned out of the house. In the latter case, she either preserves her child and becomes a prostitute, or brings on abortion; and if this is effected without being generally known, she may be admitted into some other family, or even be received back into that which she left, otherwise she becomes a wandering beggar under tha auspices of Kishun.

10. Hindoo girls purchased for ordinary slaves, or who are born of married slaves, are of course given in marriage before they are sixteen years of age, for after that age, if they are unmarried, it is improper to receive water at their hands. The marriage is sometimes with a freeman; but seldom, as the match is not creditable to the husband. In other cases, as the male slaves are few, several women are married to one of them; or, lastly, they are married to Byakaras, professional bridegrooms, who, receiving three or four rupees, marry slaves, cohabit with them for a short time, and quit after the fashion of the Koolin Brahmins.

11. If the slave become pregnant when it could not have been by the Byakara, he is sought for and induced by a present to come and cohabit with her for a short time, to divert aug-picion of the paternity from resting on the master. If the Byakara cannot be found, abortion is resorted to, or the woman is turned out.

12. The profession of a Byakara obtains among the Mussulmans,-the birth of a bastard child in whose house is not necessarily discreditable. A Mussulman generally marries a slave-girl born in his house to some one who will live by his house. Such husbands often serve elsewhere ; but the wives, perhaps from motives of jealousy, are not allowed to do so. 13. Male slaves, born in a house, seldom marry slave-guis, but often leave their masters and marry the daughters of villagers.

14. There are no women servants in the interior of the district. They would not be pre-ferred; as temporary servants would more frequently give rise to scandal, and be more dusposed to aid and abet in intrigues.

15. The female slaves of ordinary persons are generally well treated ; for they can easily run away, and they are looked upon by the children, whom they have brought up, with great affection. But it is to be feared that the slave-girls of powerful zemindars, whose houses are surrounded by their own villages, through which escape is almost impracticable, are often treated with oppression and cruelty.

No. 38,

ANSWER of Mr. W. Dampier, Commissioner 16th Division, Chittagong, dated 1st October 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

8. I AM not aware that the magistrates consider the relation of master and slave as authorizing any less degree of protection than that afforded by them to servants, excepting that I do not think any magistrate competent to interfere in the release of a slave from confinement in his master's house on a petition ; because, if that authority was once admitted, alavery under the Mahomedan or Hindoo law would cease to exist, as a petition alleging confinement would, at any time, insure selease.

4. A magistrate

Returns.

4. A magistrate is, I consider, authorized to interfere in cases of cruelty or severe maltreatment only. But as no law is laid down, the practice of affording the assistance varies much,—some officers entirely separating the slave and master, whilst others deem it sufficient to take security for the future good conduct of the master. 5. I do not think that in the lower part of India many, if any, slaves held on a strictly legal tenure are to be found in the possession of Mabomedans. Those called the families

5. I do not think that in the lower part of India many, if any, slaves held on a strictly legal tenure are to be found in the possession of Mahomedans. Those called the families of hereditary slaves have merely performed services of slavery for several generations,—the mode of acquiring a property in them not being at present known; and if they came into court, the ownership could never be maintained.

6. The slaves are, however, so exceedingly well treated in general, and there is such a necessity for having them in respectable families to attend on the females, that they may be considered, as a class, to be better off than those of their countrymen who live by labour; but there no doubt exists a great necessity for a law distinctly defining what slavery is, both with Hindoos and Mahomedans, the rights of their masters, and the powers of the criminal courts to interfere summarily in certain cases; for all magistrates differ at present in their practice, according as their feelings on the subject differ.

7. I also think it would be highly advantageous to define more particularly the power of the Hindoos to dispose of their offspring as slaves in their infancy, and the degree of right which the purchasers have over them when they reach their full age.

ARSWER of Mr. Moore, Acting Judge of Zillah Chittagong, dated 24th February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

2. THEOUGHOUT OUT provinces, the claims of masters over their slaves are admitted, but a great diversity of procedure will be found to obtain. Some officers, on an application to the criminal court, issue a warrant of arrest against a runaway slave; others, again, refer the master to the civil court. By some, a distinction is made between Mussulmans and Hindoos; admitting the latter only. It will be found, also, that some magistrates reject petitions for the mester's property of slaves, unless coupled with an accusation of theft or of having borne off the master's property in his flight.

But, I apprehend, when any charge of ill-treatment or cruelty is preferred by a slave against his master, then there is but one system pursued in the trial: there is no distinction of persons; the case is decided as any other between parties both freemen.
 The above is the sum of my experience as a magneterial officer. As relates to our civil jurisdiction, I have invariably found the claims of Hindoos to the personal services of their

4. The above is the sum of my experience as a magneterial officer. As relates to our civil jurnsdiction, I have invariably found the claims of Hindoos to the personal services of their slaves respected and upheld. A suit for the exaction of the produce of the slave's labour has never come under my notice. I know likewise that a great diversity of practice obtains, in civil courts, regarding the admission of claims made by Mussulmans; many officers admit, while others reject them as totally illegal.

5. In this zillah, such has been the case; yet the Mussulman admits his claim cannot stand the test of his law. One case only has come before me. It was a special appeal, in the suit of a Mussulman native of some respectability, for the daughter of a poor woman that had been sold to him by the mother. The officer of the lower tribunal was a Mussulman; he three out the claim as being contrary to the code the plaintiff was bound by, allowing him to recover back his purchase-money from the mother; and even from this, a certain sum, as the hire or wages of the girl, was deducted. The principal sudder ameen upheld, and I finally confirmed, the decision of the lower court. The principal sudder ameen was a Mindoo, still a native, and therefore alive to and self-interested on the point of slavery. He performed his duty conscientiously; and we may learn that slavery would not be sanctoned, even in a country abounding with slaves, whenever a claim may be preferred by any one who ought not to foster it; and this may be sufficient answer to the question proposed in the 5th paragraph of Mr. Secretary Mullett's letter. No illegal claim would be supported, provided the judge is aware of the law. I regret to add the proviso, some officers have not given the subject mature deliberation. They may probably think that custom has superseded the law.

6. Slavery in these provinces exists only in name. It is a species of servitude almost reduced to a contract, which, if not explicit, is implied. Kindness and good treatment, sustenance and a home, are the articles on one side; faithful service on the other. A slave certainly might bear some harshness without murnur. When more than ordinary, then he would seek relief in flight, or remove with his family to the estate of some zemindar, whose protection would ensure him from any molestation except a civil suit.

7. Instances of violence or cruelty are rare,—nearly unknown. The Hindoo slave is the favoured and confidential menial. Amongst the most respectable Hindoos, the slave has the control of every thing—the surdar, as they call him. His fellow-slaves have their different offices. When they become too numerous they settle out, and are independent as far as respects property. All they acquire is their own. Only now and then, at stated festivals, they are bound to attend their masters to perform offices of service. In wealthy families, when the slaves settle out, the land on which they locate is given them, that is, the spot on which their residence is fixed, and they take and cultivate lands as other free tenants; what is more, it called upon for extra services beyond the stated festivals, they are entitled to, and receive, the regulated hire of a free labourer.

262.

Appendix IL.

°280

Returns.

. • •

No. 39.

0

8. The

Appendix IL . Returns

8. The custom and habits of the Mussulmans vary from the Hindoos. The slaves of this sect are not so numerous : when they quit their master's house they make themselves free ! while resident, they are his domestics.

No. 40.

ANSWEB Of Mr. Bruce, Acting Joint Magistrate, Noakhollee, to the Register of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

1. A SLAVE.can scarcely be considered the absolute property of his master, as the power of the latter over the former is not unlimited. The courts of justice are as accessible to the slave as to the freeman; and possession of a slave, who has left his master, can only be recovered by a decree of court; the property of a slave is his absolute property; he may dispose of it as he pleases, and his here succeed to it; if he die without here it goes to his master.

2. As far as regards summary correction and maltreatment, the relation of master and slave, either Hindoo or Mahomedan, appears to be viewed much in the same light as that of father and son; although, after the years of childhood, even to a more limited extent.

3. The protection afforded by magistrates to slaves against other wrong-doers than their masters is the same as that afforded to freemen.

4. I have not been able to ascertain that any court has the right to grant emancipation on the grounds of maltreatment.

5. In taking cognizance of the maltreatment of a Hindoo elave by his Hindoo master, a. In training cognization in the maintenance in the power of one man over another uni-the British magistrate is guided by the limit to the power of one man over another uni-versally admitted in civilized communities to exist, unless extended by slavery laws by the versity admitted in civilized communities to exist, diffess exceluted by slavery laws by the power given in the Shasters to the master to punish his slave with a slight rod, and by his feelings as an Englishman. The foregoing remarks are the result of my inquiries relative to the practice of the courts

of justice, and are in strict accordance with the feelings of the native community.

No. 41.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Shaw, Civil and Session Judge, Zillah Tipperah, dated 20th June 1886, to the Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

In offering any observations on so momentous and important a subject, I do so with con-siderable diffidence, having seldom had occasion to exercise my judicial functions respecting it as judge, and never having had, as far as my memory carries me, occasion to use my magisterial authority in any one instance relating to it. I therefore cannot be expected to afford or furnish any useful or advantageous information.

2. The cases which have come under my own observation have chiefly been brought forward by Hindoo masters claiming Hindoos as their slaves; and in giving the final decisions in those cases, I have been guided by the evidence, written and documentary, the circumstances attending them, and the exposition of the law by the Hindoo law officer. But these claims have been invariably instituted for the rights and possession of the person, and not for the property, of the slave. Had they been for the latter, I should have been guided in my decisions as above stated; and a similar course of proceeding has been adopted in In decisions as above stated; and a summer course of proceeding has been adopted in respect to Mahomedans preferring such claims. On perusing the few cases of this nature which have been adjudicated by former public functionaries in this district, the mode of procedure above mentioned has invariably been followed and adopted; but there has never been an instance known in the Tipperah district of a Mussulman master instituting a claim for a Hindoo slave, and vice versú. Were such an occurrence as the former to take place, and becoming an in-door servant or attendant on the family, would make up have, and becoming an in-door servant or attendant on the family, would make up his mind, in the first instance, to renounce the tenets of his own religion, and become a convert to the faith of his master, and thereby subject himself to the Mahomedan law. In the other case, it is quite if not more interpolable to occur it heave up hown in the other case, it is quite, if not more, improbable to occur, it being likewise unknown in this district, viz. that a Hindoo master would take into his family a Mussulinan elave. Nay, he would do every thing to avoid taking such a step, in order that he might not inflict an injury upon his own character, and afix a lasting stigma upon his caste, family and religion. However, I have been told, that in some distincts such a custom prevails; but then the slaves are never allowed to enter the precincts of the family-dwelling, and are only employed in out-door occupations. And were such claims to be preferred by either of the two sects as above mentioned, or to be brought forward by any other person or persons of a different persuasion (it being a matter of doubt in my own mind whether, ander the provi-sions of section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, such claims could be legally supported), I would deem it expedient and proper to have the opinion of the superior court previous to passing a final decision, the meaning of the aforesaid section being vague and ambiguous. But I should certainly not admit or enforce any claim to property, possession or service of a slave against any other than a Mussulman or Hindoo defendant.

3. I cannot bring to my recollection an instance of a slave preferring a complaint against his master for cruelty or oppression during the period I was employed as a magistrate. But had such occurred, and the charge been satisfactorily established, I should have exercised the authority vested in me by the regulations in force, upon the principle of public Justice, without referring to any plea of proprietary right which might have been urged by the master; and would have deemed it my duty to afford the same protection to the slave as to

;

to free persons under similar circumstances. But had the master merely inflicted a slight degree of chastisement, I should have felt somewhat loath to interfere in the matter; inasmuch as slaves live in a far more easy and happy condition than their fellow-labourers living in a state of freedom do, having all their wants and comforts provided for them by their masters; notwithstanding which they are said to be lazy and indolent, and often saucy and petulant when required to perform their duty, and consequently require being corrected and slightly punished.

4. I am given to understand that in this district it is a difficult matter to hire a female servant by the month, in consequence of the dislike prevailing amongst the class of that description to enter into service; and were it not for the system of slavery existing amongst the Hindos, and hiring of persons by the respectable Musuimans for a certain number of yeans (there being but few persons who could actually be enumerated under the proper acceptation of the word "slave" now in being or extant, agreeably to the Mahomedan law, in the families of the better class of Musuimans), great annoyance and real inconvenience would be experienced in obtaining proper and adequate attendance upon the inmates of the female department.

female department. 5. The Hindoo may perhaps exact rather more labour from his slave than the Mussulman master; but their respective degrees of ease and comfort may be viewed in the same ratio; and I cannot conclude this letter in a better or more appropriate manner than by inserting the following quotation from Mr. W. H. Macnaghten's preliminary remarks (p. 39), and m which I fully concur: - "The law may interpose its authority in cases of peculiar hardship and cruelty. I believe, however, it will be found that there is little moral necessity for such interposition." In Bengal (I beg to insert instead of "India," as I am only acquainted with the lower provinces, generally speaking), "between a slave and a free servant there is no distinction but in the name and the superior indulgences enjoyed by the former; he is exempt from the common cares of providing for himself and family; his master has an obvious interest in treating him with lenity, and the easy performance of the ordinary household duties" (and I may add common out-door work, which free persons are subjected to) "is all that is exacted in return."

ANSWER of Mr. C. Allen, Assistant Joint Magistrate, Zillah Tipperah, dated 25th February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to inform you, that it is and appears always to have been the practice of the magistrate's court of this zillah to afford the same legal protection to slaves in every respect as to free persons. All complaints brought by slaves against their masters or others are investigated, and the defendant, if guilty, punished in the same manner and proportion as if the charges were preferred by freemen.

2. The right of control or of punishment of slaves by their masters, being not recognized by the regulations of government, is never admitted as a plea in justification of the offence, or even in mutgation of punishment.

3. Masters are not allowed to retain a forcible possession of the persons of their slaves. On any friend or relation of a slave presenting a petition to the magistrate, stating that a slave is retained against his will by his master, he instantly obtains an order for his release, and protection is extended to him, such as to insure his future freedom.

slave is retained against his will by his master, he instantly obtains an order for his release, and piotection is extended to him, such as to insure his future freedom. 4. In fact slavery is now looked upon by the natives themselves as extinct. They see that the days of slavery are passed, and that they have almost ceased to regard their slaves in the light of property. Slavery, even in name, would speedily disappear from among the native population, were it not for the vain and fallacious notion that prévails in the upper classes of native society, that the possession of a long train of slaves increases their respectability, and enhances their importance in the eyes of the humbler classes of their fellow countrymen.

fellow countrymen. 5. Slavery, as it exists at present in this part of India, assumes the very mildest form; and I have doubt whether it be not upon its present footing rather beneficial than otherwise in a country like this. Masters now well knowing that under a British administration the only hold they have over their slaves is by the engagement of their good-will and affections, being aware that any thing approximating to ill-usage or hard treatment would be resented by an appeal to the magistrate, and followed by speedy and total emancipation, they for the most part (being accessible to the dictates of self-interest, if not to the voice of humanity.) take ample care to provide for the wants and even for the comforts of this class of their dependents; and I have known not a few instances of slaves who, being bred and born in the families of their masters, have felt and expressed for them the most trender and affectionate regard.

6. Indigent parents now sell their children, whom they are unable to support, to persons who are both able and willing to maintain them, and thus I am persuaded, that in many instances much musery is alleviated, and not a little crime prevented : for now the wretched parent only sells that offspring which famine and despair might under other circumstances have urged and induced her to destroy.

. 262.

Answer

No. 42.

Appendix II. Returns.

• .

292 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II.

Returns, No. 43. ANSWER of Mr. J. Lowis, Commissioner of Circuit, Dacca, dated 8th September 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to state, for the information of the court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, that I do not find that any slavery cases have been tried in the commissioner's court since its institution; and that I am consequently unable to describe the practice which has prevailed in the trial of such suits.

2. As the 'subject treated of in Mr. Millett's letter of the 10th October 1835 is one to which my attention has not been directed, I am unable to make any observations at all calculated to throw light upon it, and consequently refrain from encroaching upon the time of the court with crude speculations which would be of no value.

No. 44.

ANSWER OF Mr. T. F. G. Cooke, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Dacca, dated " 8th January 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. On a search made amongst the records of this court, nine cases relating to slavery have been found: one in 1827, two in 1828, one in 1830; all these cases were decreed, and the defendants ordered to perform service, the claim on them was as hereditary slaves: one in 1832, claim as hereditary, case dismissed, there being no document, the evidence of the plaintiff's witnesses not credited: two in 1833, claim by late purchase, both dismissed, the deed in one case not being produced, the transaction not credited in the other two in 1834, in one, claim as hereditary, evidence not credited, and what service the father of defendant performed not apparent; in the other, claim as having bought the defendant of her father and given her in marnage to his slave, dismissed; same reasons as in case above as to the service of the husband. The parties in all these cases were Hindoos.

3. I have held an appointment in 'the judicial branch of the service since 1821; and, I believe, have never yet had occasion to pass any order, criminal or civil, regarding slaves. In the Purneah district there were two or three cases pending; but the suits were brought on written agreements for limited periods, and the object of the suit was to get the money (paid on the execution of the deed) returned with interest, or that the person should be made to perform the conditions of the bond. They were not, however, disposed of when I left.

4. I should, however, consider myself bound to act agreeably to the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws, and as not having power to pass any modified order, unless those laws did not define the power of a master over, or the rights of, a slave; and then I should act as appeared consistent with justice and reason, and not hold the opinion, that, because the power was undefined, it was unlimited.

5. In reply to the question put in the 5th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, if the claim of a Mussulman over a Hundoo slave was legal by the law of the latter, but illegal by that of the former, I should dismiss the claim, giving as my reason that he could not claim under a law he did not recognize; and if it was vice versh, the result would be the same, but my reason, that the Hundoo could not be held in bondage according to a law foreign to him.

6. If the claimant was neither a Mussulman nor Hindoc, but the slave was of either of those religions, there being no law on one side, and law on the other, I should adjudge the claim by the law of the slave, grwing the claimant merely the benefit of the custom of the country, which admitted of slavery. Should the defendant or slave be neither a Hindoo nor Mussulman, I should not entertain the claim, there being no law to authorize his bondage.

No. 45.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Grani, Magistrate of Dacca, dated 6th September 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAYE the honour to inform you, that it has been the practice of this court to afford full protection to slaves on complaints preferred by them of cruelty or hard usage by their masters or other persons, and that the relation of master and slave has not been recognized as justifying acts which otherwise would have been punishable, or as constituting a ground for the mingation of punishment.

No. 46.

ARSWER of Mr. W. H. Martin, Joint Magistrate of Furreedpore, dated 18th January 1826 to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. It appears to have been the practice in this court to consider slaves as under the protection of the law against the ill-treatment either of their master or others; and masters have been punished for ill-treatment on complaint of their slaves. Complaints of ill-treatment are very rare, the treatment of slaves, I suspect, being generally good, and the slaves, perhaps, running away instead of complaining, when ill-treated. The greater number of slaves belong to Mussulmans, and only a very small proportion are of that description whose

whose slavery would be legal under the Mahomedan law. This is generally known, and whose slavery would be legal under the Mahomedan law. This is generally known, and slaves run away or absent themselves, without, in many cases, any claim being preferred for them. In fact, I am told, that the value of slaves is small, and that they are more expensive, generally, than hired servants; and but for the convenience of them, as regards the security of the haram, they would be in a great degree dispensed with altogether. The middle class of Mussulmans frequently provide against the escape of their slaves by mar-rying them, under the form of nekah, and thus, in the event of their running away, they can claim as wives those whom they could not, under the Mahomedan law, legally claim as slaves, though, in fact, they are nothing more.

3. With reference to the 4th paragraph of the letter of the secretary to the law commis-sion, I conceive that ill-treatment of a Hindoo slave would be punished under the regula-tions, though not actually a crime by Hindoo law. With reference to the 5th paragraph, I am of opinion that, under section Θ of Regulation VII. of 1832, a Hindoo master could not claim a Mussulman slave, whose slavery was legal according to Hindoo law, but illegal according to Mahomedan law. But there seems nothing in that provision to prevent a Mussulman master claiming a Hindoo slave, whose slavery would be legal according to Hindoo law.

ANSWER of Mr. Cheap, Civil and Session Judge of Mymensingh, dated 19th September 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort Wilham.

Answer to the 1st question. . I SHOULD SUPPOSE, when the slave belongs to a Mahomedan, that law would regulate the decision, and if a Hindoo, the Hindoo law. But custom has a great deal to do with such cases, or the law established by precedents in particular zillah courts, and also those laid down by the decisions passed in the Sudder Dewanny in appeals to that the state of a buck to the down by the decisions passed in the Sudder Dewanny in appeals to that court, some of which are to be found in the printed reports.

2. As to the right of a master in a slave : from the cases that have come before me, it would seem he can dispose of the slave by sale, or gift, though the former practice is very rarely resorted to, except in cases of great distress, or when the master has fallen into decayed circumstances and is unable to support his slaves. At the marriage of daughters, it is usual in respectable families to make over to her some of the family female slaves, as her attendants.

3. In the division of estates, or allotting shares under decrees of court, it is also usual (if the claim is a hereditary one to an estate) to declare what proportion of the family slaves are to be transferred to the successful plaintiff. But some difficulty always arises out of this part of the order, and generally leads to another suit.

4. A slave has been considered as available personal property, to realize the amount of the decree; and in the instance of a native of India who held a decree, he put in, among the schedule of property belonging to the defendant, his slaves; but these I struck out. They are now never recognized as assets; for if the court proceeded to sell them, it would in fact become a slave-market.

5. I am informed it has not unfrequently happened that a slave has purchased the freedom of himself and family from his savings; thus establishing that the property possessed or realized by a slave in servitude is his own.

6. The property, however, of a slave reverts generally to the master at his death, and the former often succeeds (by will) to the property of his master. If there is, at the marriage of a slave, an understanding that his progeny are not to be slaves, then the children would succeed. But slaves seldom have any thing to leave of their own, their clothes and every thing they have on, or what they have for use, belong to their own insters, who provide them with every thing; and unless he becomes a minion or favourite, it is impossible for him to accumulate any money.

7. The property of cunuch slaves (and who are only retained or to be found in the house-holds of nawabs and very wealthy Mahomedans) invurtably devolves, on their denise, to their masters; and many lauded estates have thus reverted to the nawab nazim of Bengal, at Moorshedabad, which I learnt from the late Mr. F. Maguac, for some time Governorgeneral's agent, and many years judge and magistrate of that district, and under whom I was employed as register and assistant, and, being my brother-in-law, had many opportunitees of hearing these particulars. 8. Magistrates rarely interfere in matters connected with slaves, whether with regard to

be their absorbding or refusing to perform service, or any other act connected with his position as a slave, leaving the complainant to seek redress in the civil courts, to which the investigaas a slave, leaving the complainant to seek redress in the civil courts, to which the investiga-tion more properly belongs; and, for the same reason, claims to the property of deceased slaves never become a subject of inquiry in a criminal court; and I have not met with a single instance of a disputed claim of this kind in this sillah court; 9. Answer to the 2d question.²—I do not recollect a justificatory plea, that the person maltreate.¹ or abused was the defendant's slave, being ever urged for such treatment. In this ict (except from girls in the houses of prostitutes, and which I shall notice

Lereafter)

* See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix. 003

261.

No. 47-

Appendix II.

Returns.

Appendix II. Returns.

hereafter) I cannot bring to mind any complaint of this kind brought against a master by his slave; and I hardly suppose that any magistrate would admit such plea, and the relative situations of the parties, as a mitigation of punishment.

10. The only crimes or offences in which, such a plea being urged, might possibly influence the law officer, is that of the rape or carnal knowledge of his female slave by a Mahomedan, on the plea of his conceiving her to be lawful to him; * and in this respect the Mahomedan law allows a great latitude. How far this defence would be allowed, or a bar to punish-ment by the Nizamut Adawlut, and excuse a man having forcible connexion with his female slave, whilst living under the protection of the British rule in India, is a point which has not, I believe, been yet determined.

11. It recollect some years ago, a Kidmutgar, or some domestic of the late Miss Thorn-hill's, being hanged in Calcutta for a rape on a native girl that lady had brought up, and who probably was disposed of by her parents for a few rupees. I do not insimilate she was considered or treated as a slave; but I doubt whether, under the Mahomedan law, a constance of "thudd" as have the manufactor motivity have been experiment. sentence of "hudd" or lapidation would have been warranted under the circumstances; and the crowd whom I met coming away from the execution seemed to express some surprise at the severity of the sentence. I believe in no instance has a capital one issued from the Nizamut Adawlut for rape.

12. I do not quite comprehend the latter part of the question relating to the indulgence which in criminal matters was granted to slaves by the Mahomedan law. A slave poisoning his master has had judgment of death. + But what would be held larceny in a servant, amounts only to fraud or embezzlement in a slave, under the Mahomedan law, and seems to have been allowed by the Nızamut Adawlut.‡

13. Persons are, by the English law,§ excused from those acts which are not done of their own free will, but in subjection to others; but as regards persons in private relations, this only renders a wife excusable. Neither a child nor a servant are excused the commission of any crime, whether capital or not, done by the command or coercion of the parent or master. In the case of the garrow and his bondsman, || the relative situations, however, appear to have operated in mitigation of punishment as regards the latter. In the Cuttack case, I the offence having been committed before the criminal court had jurisdiction in the province, appears to have led to a remission of punishment altogether; but, as I have already said, not exactly comprehending the purport of this question, I cannot enter more into it; and, besides, it is more a subject for the Nızamut Adawlut than a subordinate authority, with little experience, to dwell upon.

14. Answer to the 3d question.**-I am not aware of any case in which the courts and magistrate have afforded less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters. It would be inconsistent with that principle of equal justice which, is the boast of the British rule, and which was the object of all the amendments and modifications of the Mahomedan law enacted in the regulations.

15. In an affray where a slave was killed ++ and "decut" declared to be incurred in consequence by the futwah, no distinction seems to have been made in the punishment of the prisoners convicted, because the deceased was a slave.

16. In the case of a kazee and moonsiff # of this district, who inveigled a woman and her daughter (who were Syuds) on the pretence of marrying the latter to a relation, and who instead married her to one of his slaves, the former and his moolah were both fined by me. The commissioner (Mr. Tucker) enhanced the punishment, and added imprisonment. The court of appeal, to whom the latter circumstance was reported for their information and orders, removed the moonsiff from his appointments (kazee and moonsiff), and in appeal to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, the court affirmed the order, remarking, however, that the provincial court had no authority to remove the kazee, whose removal or dismissal. rested with the superior court only.

17. At Patna, a practice which prevailed among masters, of putting chains on their run-away slaves, was interdicted by Mr. T. C. Robertson, when officiating magistrate of that, city, and used to be cited when I was employed as an assistant there in the year 1821. I believe that, in some instances, in consequence of such illegal duress and other mal-treatment, the slave was both released and allowed to go at large by the magistrate, after, punishing the master, leaving the latter to seek redress in the civil court. But whether declared "emancipated" I cannot state. I used to hear the subject discussed among the ovvil authorities, and among whom were Messers. Fleming, Newnham, Tippet (the late), and I. B. Elliet and the last preduct with the civil does not would firmlish information on the J. B. Elhot ; and the last-named is still there, and I dare say could furnish information on the subject

<sup>If a master should have connexion with his female slave before she has arrived at the years of maturity, and if the female slave should in consequence be seriously injured or should die, the ruling power may punish him by tazeer and akobut.—Answer to the questions put to the mooftees of the Nizamut Adawlut, Alexander's Magazine for July 1834, p. 17.
Buddeen Kuhars case, Nizamut Adawlut Reports, vol. 2, p. 19.
Case of Chumelee and Nusseem, an African. Ibid. vol. 1, p. 233, 6 Russell on Crimes, &c. vol. 1, p. 16.
Case of Barong and another, Nizamut Adawlut Reports, vol. 3, p. 140.
Neelcunth Migraj and others, vol. 4, p. 160.
See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.
+2. Case of Husan Alli and others, Nizamut Adawlut Reports, vol. 2, p. 381.
4. Mahomed Sajeud's case, late moonsif of Attya.</sup>

subject if required, and the nature of slavery generally at Patna and the adjoining districts.

18. Para. 1st to 3d question.⁴—The rules contained in the 5th section of Regulation X. of 1811 were virtually superseded by the statute cited in Mr. Millett's letter (51 Geo. 3, cap. 23), which again has been repealed by the 5 Geo. 4, cap. 113; and sec. 9, particularly declares and enacts, that the dealing in slaves on the high seas by any subjects of his Majesty; or any persons residing or being within any of the dominions, forts, settlements, factories or territories now or hereafter belonging to his Majesty, or being in his Majesty's occupation or possession, or under the government of the United Company of Merchants trading to the East Indies, is to be deemed "piracy, felony and robbery, and punished with death," except in such cases as are permitted by the Act.⁴

19. The most recent Indian enactment on this subject is Regulation III. of 1832, which makes the dealing or trafficking in slaves a misdemeanor only, punishable summarily by the magistrate by fine and imprisonment. What a difference in the offence and also the magistrate by fine and imprisonment. punishment !

20. But so long ago as July 1789, the Governor-general in Council issued a proclama-tion ‡ adverting to the practice of dealing in slaves then prevalent, and to prevent it in future, and to deter, by the most exemplary punishment, parties from being concerned in such an inhuman and detestable traffic, government resolved to prosecute, in the supreme court, or before the magistrate of the place or district in which the offence was committed, at the expense of the Company, all persons who might thereafter be concerned in such trade; (the proclamation also laid down sundry regulations for the discovery of offenders and prevention of the trade ;) and on the 30th of the same month and year, a Captain Horeborrow, of the ship Friendship, appears to have been indicted and convicted, in the supreme court, for assaulting and carrying away forcibly to the Island of Ceylon, and there selling as slaves, patives of India, procured through a French resident at Chandernagore.§

21. Neither the Act of Geo. 4, or Regulation III. of 1832, lay down where the venue of the offence of trafficking in slaves is to be. But in the case of persons to be tried under the Act, questions as to jurisdiction are likely to arise, if the trading was not from the port of Calcutta. There are other places, as Chuttagong, and the sides of the Bay of Bengal, where Calcuta. There are other places, as Chittagong, and the sides of the Bay of Bengal, where it might be carried on by the natives of India, even to Ceylon, and also to Egypt, by the Arab ships. For the offence of serving, or procuring others to serve, foreign "states," the trial is to be where it was committed, "which is the place where the party passed out of the kingdom :" [] and so might persons charged with this traffic from the port or place they had left, or were about to leave; but then the punshment must be made to correspond, or the difference at present between the statute and regulation made to assimilate. In making the observation, I beg to be understood not to advecate or propose a punishment near so severe as that denounced under the statute.

22. For the reason stated above (at the latter part of paragraph 13th), I do not venture to give any opinion on the other topics noticed in the paragraphs or clauses appended to the third question, except that there can be but one opinion with regard to the affirmation quoted from Mr. Macnaghten's Principles of Hindoo Law, " that the courts of justice are accessible to slaves as well as freemen, and a British magistrate would never permit the plea actions of proprietary right to be urged in defence of oppression towards a Hindoo slave." Another authority (the late Mr. Doim) has recorded " that the Hindoo law, as regards its ideas of criminal justice, is nonsensical," — an opinion very generally entertained, I believe.

23. The construction of the Sudder Dewanny (confirmed by the government) would also appear to me to have reference only to the civil courts in suits regarding shares, and not to the authority possessed over them " in for domestic," and exercised immoderately or unreasonably, either in the measure of it, or the instrument made use of for that purpose, by the master of a slave.

accessory before the fact.

25. The act of indemnity obtained for the begum or relation of the nawab of the Carnatic, and who was tried at Madras for ordering her slave to be heat (who died afterwards), was not, I believe, on account of the offence not being cognizable by the supreme court there, but because the defendant would not appear personally before the court,—pleading the custom of the country as exempting her from such personal attendance, and adding, that her appearance in public would be a disgrace to the whole family of the nawab.

26. Answer

004

|| Russell, vol. 1, p. 92.

Sic orig.

Returns,

295

See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.

Russell, vol. 1, p. 164, et infra.
 Colbrooke's Supplement to the Digest of the Regulations, p. 444.
 Auber's Analysis of the East India Company, 697.
 Nizamut Adawlut Reports, vol. 2, p. 247.

²⁶²

Appendix II. Returns

may be applied to me for venturing to give any answer, but, as presiding over a court of primary instance, I suppose it as incumbent upon me to give an opinion.

27. In either of the two first cases + proposed, I would support the claim if the contract was made with an adult, and the claim was for the person sold on the lex loci and usage, and also because both parties have heretofore been allowed to sell themselves into slavery, and both have had the privilege to purchase slaves.

28. I would also, in special cases, support the claim, when the slave claimed had been in its infancy sold by his or her parents,-I mean in the extreme cases of famine and scarcity.

29. In the famine, some three years ago, in Bundelcund, the lives of numbers of children purchased, without reference to their castes, by wealthy Mahomedans and Hindoos, were saved; and if slavery had then been prohibited, it is more than probable they would have been abandoned and left to perish, or to be devoured by wolves and hyenas.

30. Mr. D. Scott has observed, ‡ " that the necessity to government (meaning of India) to not have been so in the case of the refugees from Bundelcund. The philaithropy of individuals (among whom I may enumerate with pleasure and pride my friends, Messrs. Shore and Rivaz), though it did much good, could not meet the exigences of the hundreds without means of subsistence §. Slavery, in the mild spirit in which it is established in India (under the British rule) was therefore on that occasion allowed to have a beneficial operation, as regarded individuals, and to the state, in saving its subjects from the porrors of famme, and its concomitants, rapine, robbery, and even murder, by the exposure of infant children.

· 31. It cannot be necessary for me to explain what I mean by the lex loci. It has its influence and weight, even where defined laws exist, and which (though made for a different people) have been transplanted to India by her Mahomedan conquerors. In the Hindoo law, as adopted in the civil courts, it has, like the common law of England, become a constituent part; and a *bonk fide* contract entered into by an adult could not equitably be set aside, because there is no precedent in Menu for the legality of the purchase of a Mahomedan by a Hindgo.

32. The Vedas are supposed to be considerably older than the laws of Solon or even Lycurgus; and the best commentances, I believe, were written before the birth of Mahomed,

33. The present ambassador of the king of Oude, in London, or any other Mahomedan who ventured to marry one of Britain's fair daughters, whilst sojourning there, would, if before married in India, be likely to be brought up for bigamy.

234. The supreme court in Calcutta, in its ecclesiastical jurisdiction, grants probate to the wills of both Mahomedans and Hindoos; and though the conclusion may seem somewhat illogical, these well-understood operations of the lex loci surely would not make it wrong in a Mofussil judge recognizing or supporting a claim of the nature proposed in the fifth question, under the circumstances I have stated, and which, in my humble opinion, would be consonant both to justice and equity.

85. I beg, however, to be understood, that I should not recognize or support any claim to the progeny of such slaves, or allow that they were servile, or that the master had any hereditary claim of slavery against the offspring.

, 86. Neither would I admit or enforce any claim to the property, possession or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mahomedan or a Hindoo claumant; nor would, I think, any other civil court ; though there can be no doubt children are often purchased by Protestants, Roman Catholics and also Greeks, and brought up, not as slaves, but menials, in the creed of the purchaser ; and the girls are christened or rejoice in the most florulent nomenclature, such as "Rosa," " Narcissa," "Jessamina," &c.

GENERAL REMARKS.

37. Slavery is very prevalent in all the districts in the eastern frontier, both among Mahomedans and Hindoos, and more so in the adjacent district of Sylhet, I believe, than any other.¶ (In the time of the Mogula it is said to have been a slave-market for Bengal.). Claims on account of slavery, or the loss of services, by masters of the Mahomedan persuasion, however, are not frequent; but Hindoo masters are constantly instituting suits in the court.

I attempted

<sup>See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.
† In this district, the sale of children by Mahomedans to Hindoos is not uncommon, but not the converse.</sup> In Behar, the Kuhar casts sell themselves to both Hindoos and Mahomedana.
* Mr. Sout's Report.
* But in such cases the mooftces seem to thmk it would be better for "a finished man to feed upon a dead body (1) or rob another (1) than to sell humself; and that a distrement debut is not hable to say fine or punishment, but nevertheless they are constantly incarcerated at the instance of inexorable creditors—See answers of the mooftces cited above.
I) Opinion of Sir William Jones in his preface to the translation of Menu.
* Putture of Indus, vol. 1, p. 173.

38. I attempted to lay down some rule for regulating how the cause of action in such cases was to be calculated, and submitted the propositions for the orders of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut. The court, however, directed me to furnish an English report; and surmising the subject was one they (perhaps) did not wish to have mooted, and having other and much more important duties, I did not like to trouble them with my crude suggestions, and which were chiefly with a view to the court establishing (by a rule of practice) how the family. loss of services of each individual slave was to be estimated, as often a whole family were included in one suit of perhaps eight or ten persons, and in one instance the suit was laid against so many, that the amount of action or damage did not amount to a rupee per head !

39. Suits used also formerly to be instituted for loss of service, after the lapse of many years, from the plaintiff's own showing ; that is, the slave had absconded, or ceased to do service, for perhaps six or seven years, and often a longer period.

40. I put an effectual stop to the institution of these stale cases, by dismissing them (whether in a regular suit or in appeal), whenever the cause of action (i. e., the default of the slave in performing service, or his absconding and leaving his master) occurred more than a year antecedent to the date of the suit being instituted, and which I was warranted in doing under section 7, Regulation II. of 1805, as the suit was always denominated one for "kissara," or personal damages, and may be viewed much in the same light as an action for seduction would be in the English courts. A stale case, under such circumstances, would be scouted. And after a slave and his family had established themselves in some respectable employment, to recognize a claim against them for slavery (and which had become dormant) appeared to be both contrary to the spirit of the section and regulation cited, and otherwise objectionable, as allowing such a claim to hang indefinitely over the head of a man, who perhaps had been manumitted by the ancestor of the plaintiff.

41. Again ; another practice prevailed in the zillah, of claiming a right of slavery over the descendants of persons who had, in the first instance, on receiving a small portion of land, bound themselves down as bondsmen or slaves to the proprietor of the soil, in a menial capacity, or probably as mere cultivators of the land lying waste, the land then given in perpetuity being equivalent to such service. 42. In these cases, the original agreement between the parties (if drawn out in writing) was

never produced; and it appeared to me so unjust to allow or recognize such a demand or clam of slavery against the descendants (and who in many instances did not reside on the ground thus allotted, or, if they did, could never subsist on the mere pittance of land granted to their ancestor), that I dismissed all these claims. And one having been affirmed in appeal by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut[®] (by Mr. Rattray), this is now adopted as a precedent, and no suits of this description are now instituted, though, before, they formed at least one-third of the slavery causes on the file.

43. It has been the invariable and immemorial practice, in this zillah, to order, in slavery cases, when the master has got a decree, that both parties shall pay their own costs, that is, the slave defendant has never been saddled with costs, and, in fact, it would be indiculous to

suppose they could ever pay these. 44. I may also mention, that I have never seen the damages or action laid at so high a rate that the most indigent person could not defend it, or an instance of an application (on the score of inability to pay costs) to be allowed to appeal in forma pauperis

45. I have already noticed that slaves, if entered in the schedule as available assets for the execution of decrees, have always been struck out, and which, if allowed, would (as I have before observed) be making the civil courts marts for the sale of slaves.

46. I have likewise noticed how they (the slaves) have been occasionally divided or "butwarred " in the execution of decrees, when the decree-holder has a hereditary right, under such decree, to a portion of the estate, and also personal property (in which slaves are included) of his deceased ancestor.

47. I may mention that, with the exception of the suits alluded to in paragraph 40, those instituted and decided have been mostly for persons and their descendants who originally sold themselves into slavery for a specific sum of money, or to liquidate a debt due to the master, or some other debt against the person disposing of himself.

48. It will be seen from the annexed statement what proportion of suits have been decided (whether in the first instance or in appeal) from the 1st January 1828 to the 30th June 1836, (or seven and a half years), in favour of the master or slave.

49. The suit, in the first instance, was generally referred for decision to the sudder ameens ; if a Hindoo claimant, to the Hindoo sudder ameen ; and if a Mahomedan, to the sudder ameen of that persuasion.

50. A principle seems to have been established, in a late case decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, t which, though not applicable to the other cases, will be a great one in favour of slaves. The admission of a party in any court, I believe, is good evidence against him, but the more so if such is made on oath by the party.

51. In the case alluded to, the slave admitted, on his oath, in the Foundary court, that he was the slave of the plaintiff, but this admission was not allowed by the superior court as evidence for the plaintiff, or admitted as contradicting or controverting the appellant's plea, that

Appendix II, Returns.

[•] Kushen Chunder Dutt Chowdry, appellant, e. Birbul Bhundari, and others, respondents, decided the 24th November 18:32 See Appendux III, No. 4 † Kertee Naram Deb and others, appellants, e. Goree Sunkur Dutt, respondent, decided the 7th December 1835. See Appendix III., No. 6.

^{262.} PP

Appendix IL

Returns

that he was not a slave of the plaintiff or respondent. The Mahomedan law officer and sudder ameen gave the plaintiff a decree, with reference to the defendant's deposition in the Foujdary court, and this (in the absence of a precedent then to the contrary) I affirmed in appeal, seeing no reason to distrust what the defendant had admitted against himself.

appear, seeing no reason to distrust what the detendant had admitted against himself. 62. It may not perhaps be considered unconnected with the subject to mention a marriage ceremonial very common, I understand, in this zillah, among the large Hindoo proprietors of land; and the greater part being females, the practice the more generally obtains. It appears to be confined much to this part of India, and, from the pundit's bewusta, would appear not to be authorized by the Shasters, but has the sanction of custom, on which, I believe, all Hindoo law officers place almost equal dependence.

53. It is the marrying of female slaves to a person who makes it his occupation to go about and offer himself as a husband for any slave. This is called a "Punwah Shadee." The bridegroom receives a few rupees, sometimes only two, and a cloth. He stays a night after the ceremony is performed and then departs, and is generally called upon to visit his wife after she has been confined. This nominal marriage (for of its consummation some doubts may be entertained) removes any stigma or reflection that might arise from a female slave being enceinte; but as her being so again would, without another visit from her avowed husband, lead to suspicion or scandal, he is again called in, as I have above stated, after her delivery.

54. Of the offspring of such marriages, the putative father (who is a freeman) may, I believe, claim every alternate child, but it is not often, I believe, that he avails himself believe, claim every alternate child, but it is not often, I believe, that he avails himself of this privilege; for if he did, and his wives were prolific, he would find it difficult to provide for his numerous family, and paternul feelings cannot have much to do with the matter. He is, in fact, much the same as a Koolin Brahmin, and may form as many marriages, — with this difference, that the latter confers an honour on the family where he makes an esponsal, and the Punwah Battur saves the reputation of a slave who may become pregnant in the household, perhaps of that very Koolin's wife's family, or any better mucher Hunder's other wealthy Hindoo's.

55. A case I had in the Foujdary, where the complainant alleged his wife had been illegally detained by a young zemindar, first led to my inquiring into this practice. The case was amicebly adjusted, and I never heard any more of the itinerant husband and prosecutor. The bewusta alluded to was given in this case.

56. With the exception in the above case, I believe the offspring of slaves are always regarded as the property of the father's or mother's master; that is, in all cases where the latter defray the expenses of the slave's marriage. This gives them a lien or a proe-pective claim to the produce of such marriage, and constitutes the only legitimate claim (among Hindcos) of hereditary slavery.

57. There is a practice, however, revolting in the extreme, and which might at once be but down; that is, the sale of female children, merely for the purpose of prostitution, to the keepers of brothels, who are to be found in every large town, and in the neighbourhood of most bazars and petty haunts in this district.

58. These unfortunate children are thus brought up, from infancy, to infamy, and often complain (when able to do so) of the treatment they receive from these commonly termed "surdarnees," or mothers. They often have good cause, but sometimes they are instigated "surfamees, or motiers. They out in have good cause, but contained site, in a have by by some paramour or favourite who wishes to get them out of the hands of the bawd; and a case being made out of ill-treatment, the girl prays not to be obliged to go back to her mistress. The magistrate tells her he cannot compel her, and the defendant or mistress is told to seek redress in the civil court, and in which she is not likely to get much, as such a claim of slavery, or a slave purchased for such purposes, is neither tolerated in the Mahomedan* nor Hindoo law, and would never be listened to m a British court of justice.

59. I found on my arrival in this district (in March 1828) that sales of this description at the sudder station used to be registered at the police thannah; and though not then aware of a precedent for the practice existing in the most civilized country in Europe, +1forbade the darogah having anything to do with the business, as his interference gave a colour or sanction to the sale, which, instead of being countenanced (as I desired him to explain to the unfeeling parents), excited among the civil authorities the greatest horror and dis-gust; and they deserved a brand of reprobation, instead of an acknowledgment of having

entered into the contract before the police, and that it was a fair and valid transaction. 60. Excepting the cases of children sold in slavery for the above purpose, I believe the lot of others to be far from miserable. In mne cases out of twelve, it is better than the condition they were born in, and full as good as the state of the generality of the lower classes; and, to use the late Mr. D. Scott's words, # "it should not be forgotten, with reference to the circumstances under which children are usually sold, that the probability is, that in many cases they would not have been in existence but for that contract, which, at the expense of their personal liberty, preserved their lives or those of their ancestors.

61. During

61. During the high inundation in 1834, and partial famine in consequence, in these dis-tricts, there can be no doubt that numbers of children would have perished had they not been disposed of to the more wealthy inhabitants; and more, that the traffing sum of money received (from the supply exceeding the demand) was the means of subsistence to their parents during the scarcity, and who for a time were fed by the people who purchased their children.

62. That a supposed prohibition to sell children during a famine in the upper provinces led to very fatal consequences, and many children were abandoned by and expired in the arms of their parents, is upon record;* and unless prohibited by legislative enactment, no magistrate (as long as the opinion or order in question is in force) would venture to put a stop to the practice,

63. If slavery is to be put down on the ground of the maltreatment of slaves by their masters, a comparison should be drawn between the treatment shown by the latter to their servants who are freemen, and I question if it would be found that the slave was, or had been, more hardly used than the voluntary menial servant and labourer.

64. Nor, on the maxima felicitas principle, would the abolition of slavery be hailed as, a boon or blessing conferred on the natives of India.

65. There are undoubtedly more slaves than masters; but taking into account the happiness and comforts of both, it will be found, I venture to say, that a state of slavery is more conducive to that of the majority of slaves, especially of females, than the reverse.

66. I need only refer to Mr. D. Scott's opinion, in his report, in confirmation of the fact. 66. I need only refer to Mr. D. Scott's opinion, m his report, in commation of the lack A writer in one of the papers +, after pointing out a revolting practice of "mock marinages" among prostitutes of their slave-girls, adds, "Slavery in India, in a respectable family, is well known to be of the mildest description: the slaves are treated with as much kindness as any other part of the family." More than 50 years ago it was observed, "The ideas of slavery borrowed from our American colonies will make every modification of it appear, in the eyes of our countrymen in England, a hornble evil. But it is far otherwise in this country (India); her slaves are treated as the children of the families to which they belong, and offen accounts a work harding the them there availed here hourd for the and often acquire a much happier state by their slavery than they could have hoped for by the enjoyment of their liberty." This was addressed by the committee of circuit to Mr. Warren Hastings, President in Council.t

67. In passing through Fyzabad (in Oude) last year, I heard that some of the "ladies" (now antiquated) who, driven by hunger, plundered food from the bazar, were still living in one of the muhals there; (all refractory begums and subjects are banished to Fyzabad by In one of the matrix there, (an rendering begins and subjects are similar to ryzada by the court of Lucknow;) and if slavery is abolished in the British territories, it will be no uncommon sight to see the higher ranks of females going about without shoes or stockings,— a circumstance which the manager who opened the beguin charge against the ex-governor above alluded to laid so much stress upon, as an aggravation of his conduct, or cruelty, or, to say the least of it, want of consideration to the customs and habits of the "enshrined" ex-begum of Oude and her female attendants.

262.

Appendix II. Returns

ABSTRACT

^{*} Nizamut Adawlut Circulars, new edition, vol. 1, p. 109, No. 141. † Hurkaru of the 10th August 1836. ‡ Harington's Analysis, p. 300.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT OF SHAW				uary 18				6.		_		
	uary 1828. ne 1886.		1	Finally disposed of.								
				In favour of		F				7 1836.		
	Pending 1 January 1828	Pending 30 June 1836.	TOTAL.	The slave.	The master.	TOTAL.	Dismissed on default,	Adjusted or withdrawn.	TOTAL.	Pending 1 July 1836.	Ramarro.	
Original suits before the judge, Mr. G. C. Cheap	8	-	3	2	-	3	1	-	8	-	The present judge	
Ditto, before the registers (Messrs. J. Dunbar and C. Bury) stationed at Shere pore	8	-	8	2	-	2	1	-	8	-	was appointed to this district in the month of January 1828, and joined on the 15th of	
Ditto, before principal sudder ameen, Kazee Jelaluddeen Mahomed	-	10	10	4	4	8	2	-	10	-	March following.	
Ditto, before the same when law officer and ex-officio sudder ameen	28	26	ő 4	19	32	41	18	-	64	-	N.B.—The first co- lumn only shows the number of suits actually pending on the 1st of	
Ditto, before Sumbonath, sud- der ameen	15	68	78	28	85	58	16	2	78	2	January 1828, on that file, or transferred to 1t from the judge's file or	
Ditto, before Ramdhun Pun- dıt, ditto, ditto, when sudder ameen ex-officio	2	19	91 	6	14	20	-	1	21	-	the file of other courts subsequent to the 1st January 1828, when	
Ditto, Mr. J. Reily, late ditto, ditto	17	2	19	8	10	18	5	I	19	-	determined on that file.	
Ditto, before Rampershaud, de- ceased, late ditto, ditto -	18	14	27	7	8	15	12	-	27	-	Thus, for instance, Mr. Bury did not take oharge tall March 1838,	
Ditto, before Molvee Imadud- deen, present law officer, and formerly acting ditto, ditto Ditto, Oomakanth, present de-	1	4	Б	8	2	б	-	-	5	-	and was appointed pur- posely to dispose of the numerous appeals pend- ing.	
puty collector, and formerly acting ditto, ditto	-	1	1	-	1	1		-	1	-	Again : Rampershaud, deceased, late addı-	
Ditto, before Molvee Ally Reza, acting ditto, ditto, late moonsiff at Sherepore -	·+	6	6	1	5	8	_	-	6	-	tional sudder ameen, stationed at Shorepore, was not appointed till	
Total	82	145	227	70	101	171	60	4	225	2	1829, and the suits ex-	
Appeals before the judge, Mr. G. C. Cheap	26	57	83	37	8 ·	45	86	-	81	2	the 1st January 1828, were pending before on the file of the register stationed at Sherepore	
Ditto, before the registers (Messurs. J. Dunbar and C. Bury) stationed at Sherepore	18	6	19	12	-	12	7	-	19	-	and transferred to the sudder ameen's file.	
Ditto, before the acting register, at the sudder station, Mr. C. Bury	24	91 ·	65	43	7	49	Б	1	55	-		
Ditto, before the principal sudder ameen, Kazee Je- laluddeen Mahomed -	_	11	11	4	4	8	8	-	11	-		
Total -	63	105	168	95	19	114	51	1	166	2		
GRAND TOTAL	146	250	895	165	120	285	101	5	891	4		

-

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of Slave Suits pending, instituted and disposed of by the Civil Courts of Zillah Mymensingh, from the 1st January 1928 to 30th June 1836.

Mymensingh, 19 September 1886.

(signed) G. C. Cheap, Judge.

ANSWER

300

..

ANSWER of Mr. D. Pringle, Magistrate of Zillah Mymensingh, dated the 1st February 1836, to the Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William,

1. WHATEVER be the legal rights of a master over a slave, they cannot operate in support of oppression; as during an experience of ten years, while I have been employed in Bengal, Behar and Orissa, I do not recollect a case of complaint on this ground.

2. Were such to come before me, I should sanction no greater measure of coercion than in the case of a freeman ; though, were the servitude proved, I should certainly adjudge the master entitled to the services of the slave as such.

master entitled to the services of the slave as such. 3. As respects "other wrong-doers" than their own masters, I conceive their call for protection against such would be rather increased, than lessened, by the circumstance of their condition.

4. The maltreatment of a slave I should punish as a misdemeanor under the general regulations.

5. If a British subject purchased a slave, I would uphold him in his demand on his services, if at the time of the purchase he was an infant. Of course I speak as a magistrate. I should not, however, uphold a transfer, in such case, when the subject was of age.

6. I have always deemed slavery a necessary evil in India, and yet in its present state only relatively so. I have invariably observed that no class are better taken care of and provided for than slaves. If it be otherwise, they have the easy remedy of absconding. As long as they are fed (I can scarcely add clothed) they are contented. In proof of which, I have known not a few instances of its being voluntarily entered upon by those advanced in life, particularly when circumstances of debt have involved them in difficulties. This, when carried into effect by a kabalah, or deed of sale, when required, as a magistrate, I should not think of doing otherwise than enforcing. The construction of Regulation X. of 1811, communicated under the circular orders of the

The construction of Regulation X. of 1811, communicated under the circular orders of the 5th October 1814, I have always considered as virtually sanctioning the sale of infants: and during two seasons of scarcity, while I was employed in Cuttack, I invariably upheld such transactions as only averting the greater calamity of starvation; for, generally speaking, few parents would otherwise resort to such practice.

ANSWER of Mr. Charles Smith, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Zillah Sylhet, dated 3d June 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. FROM a careful inquiry, I find that the practice of this court, with reference to slavery, has been, that on a suit being instituted in the civil court, and the claim being, proved, an award is given declarative of the master's right to the slave: and on application from the master, the slave is apprehended and delivered to him. If the slave refuse to serve or to comply with the award, he is imprisoned so long as the master chooses to pay the subsistence money,—in the same manner as other prisoners are confined in the civil gaol under a decree in a regular suit.

3. The same process is observed against female slaves. But no action is brought forward against the daughters of slaves, as they are, m general, married to strangers, of whom the master usually receives a douccur of a few rupees, which is termed the "mooneebanah," or master's fee, and thereby making over his right to another. Those slaves, male or female, who are supported and live with their masters, perform the duties of menials, such as ploughing, cutting wood, tending cattle, drawing water, pounding rice, carrying loads, and in the same manner as paid servants.

4. Slaves who support themselves and live separately follow their own occupation. But there services are occasionally demanded in case of a marriage or death, and are the same as those required from the former description of slaves who are supported by their masters. They, however, receive no remuneration, but have nankar or small rent-free lands allotted to them for the purpose of erecting their houses; and, in general, the master shows the greatest kindness towards his slaves, though a few instances of ill-usage may and do occur.

5. At present, I believe, there are no cases of a criminal nature pending before the magistrate, either of complaints from the master or from the slaves, and those cases are, I may truly say, of rare occurrence; and whether the actual services to be performed by slaves are required, or otherwise, it seems to be incumbent on the master to support them and their children. I am also inclined to think flavourably of the treatment of slaves in general by their masters, and we have few instances to the contrary on record.

general by their masters, and we have few instances to the contrary on record. 6. The kidnaiping of persons, particularly children, for the purposes of selling them as slaves, is still, I believe, carried on to some extent, and the profits derived in the traffic of human beings from this source considerable. Many a child, I apprehend, has been inveigled away or stolen, leaving the parents in a state of deep distress for the loss of their offspring. The abolition of slavery would be an effectual remedy against such calamities, and the inducements and advantages now held out in that traffic done away with. In this country, however, slavery cannot for a moment bear a companison with the barbarous manner in which that practice was till recently carried on by European nations, and in other quarters of the gldbe. Indeed, I will assert, without fear of its being refuted, that alavery in Beagal exists in its mildest form.

262.

7. The

No. 49

Appendix II. Returns.

No. 48.

302 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix If.

Returns,

7. The prosecution of a slave against his master for ill-treatment is recognized in the magistrate's court, and the master is either fined, imprisoned or admonished, or put under recognizances, with reference to the nature of the crime proved. Should any slave be ill-treated by any other than his master, the same redress is afforded as to a freeman, and in those instances they have always aid and support of their masters in carrying on their prosecution. I am not aware that civil courts would give a decision declaring a slave free on proof of gross ill-treatment.

8. In such cases it might, though just, be considered arbitrary by the people as infringing on their rights, and acting in opposition to their own laws, which have been granted to them by the British Government, and further secured by the establishment of judicial tribunals in 1793. In making, however, this observation, it is far from my intention to impugn or animadvert on the decision passed by the superior court in the case of Zuhoorun against Nujoom-oon-nissa, referred to in the letter under acknowledgment.

9. The chim of a Mahomedan master against a Hindoo slave is extremely rare, and I have not been able to ascertain any instance where a person, not being of the Mahomedan or Hindoo persuasion, has instituted a suit against a slave. But I should not hesitate to give a decision in favour of the plantiff, provided the original purchase of the slave be good and substantiated. But, where no direct law or regulation bears upon the point, the ease would be decided with reference to established usage in such cases; and, notwith-standing the terms "equity and good conscience" which have been so profusely and ironically applied by persons inimical to our Mofussil courts, I should be assisted by both in passing my decision.

10. The greater portion of the inhabitants of this district are Mahomedans; and I believe their law permits only two descriptions of slaves, viz. infidels made captives in war and their descendants. It declares, further, that in no case can a person legally free become a subject of property; that all sales or purchases of children are invalid; that a freeman cannot sell his own person even in times of famine. Under this exposition of their law, it must be inferred that, in all instances in which the courts have decided against the slave without having satisfactory proof of his having descended from a captive taken in war, the decision may be considered not in accordance with law. I am not, however, certain whether the above exposition be strictly correct.

11. With reference to the slaves of Hindoos, if the purchase of the slave or his descent from one so purchased be established, the courts, I should consider, must decide accordingly. There is a practice, too, when slaves have multiplied beyond the means of the master to provide for them, to allow their earning a separate or independent livelihood, by letting them cultivate their own lands, or putting themselves out to service. If the period they have been thus independent has exceeded twelve years, in all such cases the claim of the master has been generally refused, as being barred by the rules of limitation, on the plea that slaves were personal and not real property, and could not be claimed after the lapse of twelve years.

12. But a more general reason has been, that it did not comport with equity to allow the master to claim, while he had for so long a period neglected to provide for the slave. I am of opinion that most of the Hindoo slaves were bought at seasons of scarcity, and generally for small sums, and that they have repaid the value of their purchase-money by the services they have rendered to their masters, and that the latter, therefore, would suffer no great pecuniary loss in their being free. But the respectability of a person, whether Mahomedan or Hindoo, is considered by those classes respectively in a great measure with reference to the number of his slaves.

13. If the legislative council has it in contemplation to abolish slavery, it remains to inquire how this may be accomplished with the least detriment to the people and the least violence to their rights; for such all alwes are considered by them. Out of a variety of plans, I would suggest that the first step taken on the subject be to order a registry of all existing slaves, declaring, after the manner in which the rent-free tenures were required to be registered in 1793, that the non-registry of a slave shall of itself entitle him to manumission.

14. If the prevention of litigation be a desirable object, I would make it a free requisite to registry, that in each district a qualified person be appointed registrar and justice of the peace, with written rules or laws for his guidance, empowering him to determine upon all cases between master and slave coming under the denomination of misdemeanors, subject to revision in appeal to the commissioners or Nizamut Adawlut, and that the slaves be brought before the registrar, and a descriptive roll taken and inserted of the individuals. This measure would be attended with another good effect: it would prevent the registry of unjust claims and of those who had already rendered themselves independent by earning a separate subsistence.

16. I would recommend that the children of all slaves subsequent to a certain period be declared free, and bound as apprentices until they arrived at the age of \$1 years, unless proof is adduced to the satisfaction of the registrar that the parents are in such circumstances so as to be able to maintain them, and that all other slaves be free after a certain period. This seems to be the only tangible plan which suggests itself to me; but in proposing it, I still apprehead much difficulty may arise, for few persons, probably, will be induced to engage children as apprentices who are to be liberated at a period when their labour and services may prove most useful. Where the population also is so extensive, menuals and other servants could be procured at a cheaper rate.

16. I am aware that slavery in India has been justified on the plea that the toleration of

balance the evil which is done to the multitude,—if partial benefit will atome for much and perpetual misery,—then, indeed, may the point be conceded, but not otherwise. Besides, there will be time enough to meet the emergency when it does occur. 17. As I before stated, if it is the intention of government to abolish slavery, I think it may be done after a reasonable period, and in the manner I have above ventured to suggest. But it may at first create dissatisfaction and hardship, particularly in this district, where nearly one-third of the population are slaves, and the former, at a moderate calculation, may be estimated at 100,000. The rights of the people will be considered as invaded; but if remuneration be granted, in the manner pursued in the British colonies, there can then remain no ostensible ground of complaint, and the supposed injustice will be soon obliterated from the minds of the people by the desire and wish shown by the ruling power to compensate its subjects for their losses.

Answer of Mr. R. H. Mytton, Magistrate of Zillah Sylhet, dated 10th August 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

Answer to the 1st question.*--In the first place, I must mention that I believe there are no slaves of the classes termed "Muckatub" and "Moodubur," in the Mahomedan law, in this district. My remarks will therefore be confined to Mr. Macnaghten's first class of "entre slaves."

The power of the master over his slave appears to be almost absolute, both in law and practice. But doubtless exceptions to the rules contained in the 11th paragraph of Mr. Macnaghten's chapter on slavery occur; for instance, a slave has by sufferance occupied a separate dwelling for some years, amassed a little property, and become in a manner independent. This person would exercise the same powers, both as to his own person and property, as any freeman. But if the question were brought before a civil court, there appears to me no doubt that the rights, as laid down in the law, would be restored to the master.

Answer to the 2d question.*--The criminal courts do not interfere between master and slave except for ill-treatment, or any act which may militate against the law of nature. In the former case, moderate correction of a slave by his master would not be considered as a misdemeanor, but absolute crueity to a slave would be punished in a similar manner as if the sufferer had been a freeman. Complaints of this kind, however, are very rate.

In the latter case, such as an attempt to separate an infant child by sale or otherwise from its mother, the magnitude would interfere. The latter part of this question I am unable to answer, as I have no work to refer to in which the indulgences granted to slaves in criminal matters are set forth.

Answer to the 3d question. ----Magistrates would undoubtedly be bound to afford persons in bondage the same protection from other wrong-doers than their masters, as they would to freemen.

I now pass over the remarks which follow this question, the discussion of the points contained in which appears more legitimately to appertain to the supreme court, and proceed to answer the query contained in the concluding part of paragraph 4 of Mr. Millett's letter, viz. by what law or principle the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts. 4. As I am not aware of any part of the Hundoo criminal law being recognized either by

4. As I am not aware of any part of the Hindoo criminal law being recognized either by regulation or practice in the criminal courts, I should look upon this offence in precisely a similar light as if it had occurred in the case of Mussulmans.

5. I cannot discover that any case of the nature contemplated in the concluding paragraph of the letter noticed has been litigated in the courts here. The question, especially the latter part of it, is one difficult to answer; but I certainly should be of opinion that, in this country, where slavery is customary, it would be mequitable that the mere fact of difference in religion on the part of the master should deprive him of that which is looked upon as property.

ENCLOSURE of the above, Mahomed Saber versus Badur alias Bahadoor, Poocha, Janoo, Hanoo, Sheik Anoo, Robeah, Sonae, Mussumat Kajili, and Mussumat Kunchanee, slaves, and Ram Sundur Doss.

Tried before W. J. Turquand, Esq., Magistrate, September 7, 1834.

COMPLAINANT states, that his slaves, Badur, Poocha, Janoo, Hanoo, Sheik Anoo, Robeah, Sonae, Mussumat Kajili and Mussumat Kunchanee, 12 or 14 years ago ran away to Ram Sundur, Doss. The above-named deny the slavery, pleading that they are the ryots of Ram Sundur, defendant, who supported this plea, adding that prosecutor's father made a similar charge some years previously, and was mulcted 15 rupees for false petition. From the evidence it appears that the defendants were at one time complainant's slaves, but that they have

862.

No. 50.

Appendix II.

304 APPENDIX TO*REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II. Returns.

lived a long time on Ram Sundar Doss's land. As the offence was committed 12 or 14 years ago, it did not appear by the magistrate cogurable, and the charge was therefore dismissed.

Mahomed Zuma versus Gholam Naya, Burkundass of Tajpoor Thanna.

Tried before C. Tucker, Esq. Magistrate, October 3, 1824.

COMPLAINANT states, that the defendant met his daughter on the road, and took her away to make a slave of her.

Defendant states that the child is a daughter of Ghoree, a slave of his, but that complainant took her away about two years ago. As it appeared to be a case of disputed property in a slave, the defendant was released, the case dismissed, but the girl made over to her father, the complainant.

Bujorum versus Harkishan.

Tried before C. Tucker, Esq. Acting Magistrate, September 19, 1825.

"A. possesses a slave, and sells him to another person for a sum of money. The slave admits that he is the slave of the seller, but presents a petition to the magistrate, saying, that he is unwilling to remain as the property of the purchaser; he prays that the magistrate will allow him to buy his liberty from the seller at the sum the purchaser was to give, and then be absolved from slavery. Is the magistrate at liberty to act accordingly? The purchaser objects to the slave being able to effect this, and insists on his purchase being valid, and on his right of retaining the slave."

" In reply, I am desired to forward to you a copy of the answer delivered by the pundits of this court (with a translation thereof) to a question which the court thought proper to propound to them, the partees concerned in your reference appearing to be of the Hindoo persuasion. From this reply, the court are of opinion, that the slaves, whom it is proposed to sell to one whose intentions they suspect and dread, may be allowed to select a pur haser with whom they are satisfied, and that in this their proprietors must acquiesce. The answer, however, does not go the length of stating that slaves are competent to purchase their ficedom from their masters, against the consent of the latter."

The prosecution was subsequently withdrawn, and no further proceedings held.

Sheik Sookae versus Mahomed Sabir.

£

Tried before Ram Ram Pundit, 9th November 1829.

COMPLAINANT states that the defendant, Mahomed Sabir, and others, came to his house and took away his mother, Mussumut Badlee; and his sister, Mussumut Luckee; with her children, Pool and Lung, and confined them in his premises: that he went with Shumsheer to defendant's house, and was taking Lung to her home, when the defendant beat him and Shumsheer, and took Lung away. On receiving this petition, the darogah was ordered to release the prisoners. His return reports that they were not confined. Defendant states that he did not beat the complainant, or confine the woman, but that they are his slaves, and he purchased them from Sheik Katae. From the evidence, there is no proof that complainant was beaten; and from the woman's account, it appears, that Sheik Katae sold them to the defendant and his brother, Sheik Zackee. It was ordered that defendant should give a recognizance of 50 rupees not to molest complainant, and to be released. No order was passed regarding the disposal of the women.

Har Govind Das, Gomastah for Mahomed Nazir Chowdree, versus Mussumat Pultee, Mussumat Sheshee and Mussumat Ronhafzah.

Tried before S. Stainforth, Esq., Magistrate, January 5, 1835.

THE complainant informed the darogah, that the defendants, Mussumat Pultee, Mussumat mat Sheshee, and Mussumat Ronhafzah were his slaves, and that they had run away from his house, taking with them 200 rupees. The darogah states, in his report, that it was not certain that the slaves had committed the robbery, and the complainant was directed to prosecute by petition to the court if he wished.

On the 3d January 1835, Sanae, a servant of the complainant, presented a petition, stating that the slaves had ran away, and though not certam where they had gone, he suspected that they were concealed m Gyazoodeen's premises; and on the same day Gyazoodeen also presented presented a petition, stating that the slaves were his, and that the complainant was his broiher and partner, and had taken away the slaves by force, and while with him they had quitted him on account of ill-treatment. As it appeared from the petitions of both partnes that it was a question of disputed property in slaves, the parties were referred to the Dewanny. This award was confirmed on appeal to the commissioner, J. Louis, Esq.

Sheik Bazir versus Faiz Mahomed.

Tried before Molovee Haleem Ollah, Cazee, February 19, 1835.

COMPLAINANT states, that defendant took away his wife, Mussumat Kutkee, who is prosecutor's slave, and would not restore her. Defendant denies having taken the woman, and states, that Bazir, the prosecutor, and Mussumat Kutkee, are his slaves, and that they were the slaves of his grandfather, Maha Hoshen, on whose death they served him and his aunt, Jhan Bebee; that a year ago the complainant ran away to Mahomed Kaleem, and now presented his petition to get his wife away from the defendant. Mussumat Kutkee says she is the defendant's slave, and consents to remain with him. It was ordered that defendant be released, and Kutkee go where she pleased.

Complainant appealed to the magistrate, J. Stainforth, Esq., who confirmed the order.

Mussumat Hera Bebee and Sheik Sedal versus Mahomed Sirdar.

Tried before Molovee Haleem Ollah, Cazee, March 7, 1835.

COMPLAINANT states, that the defendant, Mahomed Sirdar, wished her to give him a written agreement of servitude; and on her not consenting, the defendant took her daughter, Rhinoo, and confined her in his house. After the complaint was made, the complainant stated that she had found her daughter, and that Sedul had received her from Danae, a firend of Mahomed Sirdar, defendant. Defendant pleads that Mussumat Hera is his slave, and that she left him, taking with her a daughter called Angenah and another whose name he forgot, and that Rhinoo remained with him; that he sent Rhinoo with Danae, his friend, to appear as summoned, and in the way Mahomed Raheen and others took her away.

As the complainant only wished to recover her daughter, it was ordered that Rhinoo be made over to her mother if she desires it, and defendant to be released.

Mussumat Earamby versus Sultan Mahomed.

Tried before Molovee Haleem Ollah, Cazee, November 12, 1835.

COMPLAINANT states, that she is the slave of one Rajeeb-ooddeen, and that the defendant seized her and attempted to marry her to a slave of his own, named Rubby-oollah, against her will. Defendant pleads that complainant is the wife of Rubby-oollah, but from the evidence of witnesses, in a cross case brought forward by the said Rubby-oollah, it appears that the marriage was not legally consummated; and as, from evidence in this case, there is proof of the defendant having seized complainant for the purpose asserted, it is ordered that the defendants, Sultan Mahomed and Rubby-oollah, give a recognizance each to the smount of 50 rupees not to molest the complainant in future.

Jhan Bebee versus Mussumat Salam.

Before A. C. Bidwell, Esq., Acting Magistrate, July 8, 1836.

COMPLAINANT stated, that the defendant, Mussumat Salam, had adopted her daughter and promised to have her married; but that afterwards she tried to persuade the girl, Nowab Jhan, to prostitute herself, and on her refusing; beat and confined her. The darogah was ordered to release the complainant's daughter and to mvestigate the case. In his report he states, that the complainant's daughter, Nowab Jhan, was not confined, and that she was the defendant's slave, but complained of ill-treatment; and as it appeared to be a case of disputed property in a slave, she was released, and the parties were referred to the civil court.

262.

Mussumat

Appendix II.

305

Returns.

Appendix II. Returns.

Mussumat Sundur and Anarkalee versus Mahomed Idris, Principal Sudder Amcen.

Before A. C. Bidwell, Esq., Acting Magistrate, August 11, 1836.

COMPLAINANTS state, that they are the slaves of defendant, who beat them. Mahomed Idris, being required to offer an explanation, admitted that he had beaten them slightly; pleaded the custom of the country to beat slaves for disobedience or neglect. On this the sudder ameen was warned not to beat his slaves with severity.

No. 51.

ANSWER of Mr. C. W. Steer, Commissioner of Circuit, Bauleah, dated 26th May 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. In reply, I beg to state, that never having had an opportunity of giving the subject due attention, and never having had a case of the kind before me, I feel quite unprepared to offer any suggestions or remarks on the subject.

3. I should conceive that the cases likely to come before our courts would (whatever may be the precise laws on these heads as existing among the Hindoos and Mahomedans), in their civil capacity, be treated as common contracts, and in their criminal, by the rules of the master and servant, under Regulation VII. of 1818.

No. 52.

ANSWER of Mr. R. Barlow, Judge of Zillah Rajshahee, dated 23d June 1836, to the Register of the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

2. I DO not remember having ever entertained a case between master and slave, either on the civil or criminal side of our courts.

3. Under the spirit, though not the letter, of section 15, Regulation IV. of 1798 (upheld by section 8, Regulation VII. of 1832), I apprehend the claim of a Hindoo to a Hindoo slave, and of a Mussulman to a Mahomedan slave, might be recognized in the Dewanny Adawlut, when the question would be disposed of according to the Hindoo or Mahomedan law, as the case might be. But in a suit, in which the parties are of different persuasions, excluding such suit from the provisions of the 15th section of Regulation IV. of 1793, and brugging it within the pale of section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, I am of opinion, the section of the latter regulation ought to rule the decision, which should be governed by the principles of justice, equity and good conscience. In the matter of others than Mahomedans and Hindoos, the last-mentioned section appears to me to bear strongly against right of property in a slave, as alavery is nowhere recognized by our regulation law in such case.

No. 53.

ANSWER of Mr. H. T. Raikes, Officiating Magistrate of Zillah Rajshahee, dated 11th July 1886, to the Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to observe, that no case involving any of the points alluded to in Mr. Secretary Millett's letter has ever been brought officially before this court. It is notorious that slaves are domesticated in the families of many of the wealthy Mussulmans in this district; but (I have every reason to believe) without the supposition that the interference of the criminal court is more circumscribed with regard to their conduct or treatment of these individuals than of any other class of the community.

No. 54-

ANSWER of Mr. J. B. Ogiloy, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Pubnah, dated 11th January 1836, to the Register of the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to state, that the records of this office do not show that the existence of slavery in this part of the country has ever come under the notice of the court. I am unable, therefore, to furnish the required explanation of the practice of this court, where none has existed, in recognizing the relative rights of slaves and their masters.

I am led to believe, however, that slavery is not known here at all.

No. 55.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Taylor, Joint Magistrate of Bograh, dated 9th April 1836, to the Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

IN reply, I beg leave to state, that, as far as I have been able to ascertain from inquiries instituted on the subject, the system of slavery in any extended sense does not prevail in this district. The only cases in which it is stated to exist being in the occasional purchase of female infants for the demoralizing purpose of prostituting their persons in mature years, and for the very best and benevolent of intentions—adoption.

On a reference to the records of the office, it does not appear that a single case touching the question of slavery has ever been brought to issue before this court since its establishthe question of alavery has ever been brought to issue before this court since its establish-ment. I am therefore prevented from citing any particular law or principle which has hitherto been recognized by the several presiding functionaries. My own view of the question, however (and there can be no doubt that it is the prevailing one with most magistrates), has been, not to recognize any system of slavery as authorized by the regulations of government in cases brought officially before me; though such power, in the absence of any direct rule for the guidance of magistrates, can, I conceive, be considered only in the light of a discretionary one. only in the light of a discretionary one.

In reply, then, to the first paragraph of the secretary's fetter, I would observe, that no legal rights of masters over their slaves are practically recognized by the magistrates, either

On the same principle, in reply to the second paragraph, I would add, that the relation of Con the same principle, in reply to the second paragraph, I would add, that the relation of the second paragraph and the second paragraph. Un the same principle, in reply to the second paragraph, I would add, that the relation of master and slave is not recognized as justifying any acts which otherwise would be punishable in our courts; but that on a complaint being preferred by a slave, of cruelty or hard usage, he would be considered equally under the protection of the civil magistrate with any other persons residing under his jurisdiction, and that if he stated himself to be living under restraint he would be allowed to go free. The third paragraph would seem to be replied to in the above, that a slave is considered precisely upon the same footing as regards his claim to protection with any other subject of

precisely upon the same footing as regards his claim to protection with any other subject of the provinces.

Paragraph the fifth, having reference to the civil court alone, I conceive, calls for no reply from me.

In conclusion, I would beg to remark, that the prevailing idea amongst the natives now is, that slavery has long since been abolished, and the system has to all mtents and purposes ceased. Should the India Law Commissioners, therefore, have it in view to prohibit the practice in toto, I have no hesitation in saying it might be done to-morrow without the slightest inconvenience resulting.

ANSWER of Mr. T. A. Shaw, Civil and Session Judge of Rungpoor, dated 22d June 1836, to the Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. AFTER the fullest inquiry and search into the records of the civil judge's office, it does not appear that any case has been instituted in this court, in which a slave has been a principal party against his master; or, vice versa, the master against his slave. 8. With reference to the rights of slaves in criminal cases : in this part of Bengal, slavery

exists in its very mildest form. It is generally understood that in practice, during the British rule, the right of the slave to obtain redress in all criminal matters has been equal to that of other subjects; and herein the rights of slave-holders have become almost nominal

4. With reference to their rights in civil matters: from some cause or other, whether it be the extreme mildness of slavery as found here, or the incompatibility of its existence where our laws are in full force, it appears that no suts involving the respective rights of mas-ters and slaves, in all civil matters, whether of possession, of inheritance, marrage, or the like, for many years past, have been instituted in the civil courts of this district. The arbitrary sale of slaves by their masters is understood to be very unfrequent. In the exceu-tor of decreases it is extraordinear that are all other decription of non-respective here. allowary sale of slaves by their mesters is understood to be very unifrequent. In the excell-tion of decrees, it is extraordinary that (although all other description of property has been sold, even to the disposal of Hindoo idols to competent Hindoos) the sale of slaves has been exempted. It appears still more extraordinary when we find that the sale of children is allowed, and used to be registered; and instances are not uncommon of Mussulmans and Hundoos selling their wires on account of computer for more than the sale between the sale of the sale

Another, and used to be registered, and instances are not uncommon of musicillarian and Hindoos selling their wives on account of enmity or for gain. But these latter cases never appear in the civil, and seldom in the criminal, courts. In case of the contemplation of any law which shall regulate the sale of slaves, or direct its abolition, I would urgently recommend that the transfer of infants, by their parents or intermediate the sale which is a state of the sale of slaves in the state of the sale of slaves of the sale o ats account, I would urgently recommend that the transfer of infants, by their parents or natural guardians, should be sanctioned (whether made with or without any consideration), without restriction as to time, whether of famine or of plenty, or as to the party offering the transfer, as none but the very poor will dispose of their offspring. This system of transfer does now exist, and is prevalent in its very worst form, that of the sale of young girls for the purpose of gain by their prostitution. This measure should be sanctioned by law (not merely not prohibited as not being immoral), as being in conformity with the habits of the people over perhaps the whole of Indua, and as being the sole cause of preservation of the lowes of thousands of infants. lives of thousands of infants.

ANSWER of Mr. H. F. James, Magistrate, Rungpore, dated 23d June 1836, to the Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I BEG to state, that, from the records of the office, I am unable to learn that any cases of slavery, or of the powers of masters over slaves, have ever been tried in this court; and that, as slavery is not recognized by the regulation, I consider that slaves ought to be allowed the same redress and afforded the same assistance from the ill-treatment of their masters as fice persons.

262.

There

No. 57.

No. 56.

Appendix U. Returns.

308 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II.

Returns

There is one species of crime very common in this district, approximating m some degree to slavery, and which I consider, without digressing from the subject under discussion, may be mentioned in this place. It is that of parents selling their female children to prostitutes, who bring them up in the same infamous and degraded course of hife as their own, and who in some measure retain a personal control (though not recognized by the courts) over them, and in some cases dispose of them again to other prostitutes.

Many cases have been brought to my notice of this nature, where the prostitutes have applied to this court to get back the children who after purchase may have absconded. In such cases I have made over the children to the parents, and punished all parties that I considered deserving of it; though I am not aware of any regulations sanctioning such proceedings.

No. 58.

From Captain A. Davidson, Officiating Register and Magistrate, Zullah Goalparah, North-east Rungpore, dated 10 July 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I BEG to offer it as my opinion, that our courts would fully recognize the power of the master to sell the person of his slaves, and would not admit that the slave could have separate and independent property of his own.

Our criminal courts would not punish a master for inflicting such moderate punishment upon a slave as might appear necessary to insure obedience or diligence in the performance of his duties. But should the master have inflicted cruelty, I am of opinion he would be liable to the usual punishments of fine and imprisonment.

J am not aware of any such cases as are contemplated in the 8d paragraph.

In the district under my charge, I am of opinion, that, in inter ou paragraphi, those held as slaves are not so legally either by Mahomedan or Hindoo law; but their slavery has originated either by their forefathers having made themselves bondsmen by bor-rowing small sums of money (which bondage ought to have expired with their lives), or the descendants of cultivators who have died in debt either to the zemindars or persons holding small farms under them; as experience has proved to me, that neither would scruple to compel the widows or children of the deceased bondsmen, or insolvent deceased cul-tivators, to give them written engagements of being slaves or bondsmen for life; and this instrument would cause the parties and their descendants to become slaves in perpetuity.

No. 59.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Wyatt, Civil and Session Judge, Dinagepoor, dated 25th June 1836, to the Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

DURING my experience in India as a magistrate, and subsequently as a civil and session DURLNG MY experience in india as a magistrate, and subsequently as a dvil and session judge, no cases have fallen under my cognizance involving the rights of masters over the persons and property of their slaves, with the exception of two or three suits which were instituted in the civil court of zillah Mymensingh (in which district slavery, I believe, is extensive), disputing the vested property in the slave, which, to the best of my recollection, were all dismissed for want of documentary evidence; nor do any cases connected with slavery appear from the report of the record-keeper of this court even to have been insta-tuted in it. Whence as far as the motivary proteinally more instatuted in it. Whence, as far as the rights are practically recognized in the civil and criminal courts to which I have been and am attached, I regret I am unable from my own experience to afford any information.

3. From all the intelligence, however, I have been able to collect from the best sources of information in this district, it would appear that there is no original slavery in this dis-trict, as described by Mr. H. T. Colebrooke. The kinds stated to exist are those arising out of the sale and gift of offspring by their free parents, in consequence of their being too poor to maintain them. This practice seems to have prevaled so far back as 1807, by the few observations made by Dr. Buchanan on the subject in his geographical, statistical and historical description of this district. He says, "Poor parents, in times of scarcity, many give their children to persons of rank as slaves, and are sometimes induced to sell them to provide the theory of the self them to be a statistical and the subject in the self them to be a statistical them to provide the self the self them to be a statistical them to be a statisti prostitutes. This, however, is quite contrary to Hindoo law, although such parents are not hable to excommunication."

hable to excommunication."
4. The Mahomedans, forming by far the greater portion of the population here, are the principal owners of these slaves, whom they, as well as Hindoos, buy (I am credibly informed) without any writing being entered into, as children of about six years old, for a few rupees, the price seldom being known to exceed 10 rupees, as it too often happens that these children, when they arrive at maturity or before, then run away from their masters, whence the latter never like to risk much upon their purchase. They feed, clothe and marry them, and the slaves look upon them as parents, and perform easy household work for them, the girls being chieffy required to attend upon the females composing the master's family. Their being more cheaply maintained than hired servants is, I suppose, the chief inducement to their acquisition, a slave, for his daily food and two sets of clothes, costing about 18 rupees per annum, while a hired servant, by getting his daily food and wages at one rupee four annas a month, costs about 30 rupees yearly.
6. Little (as it appears to me) as slaves are capable of acquiring for themselves in the shape of property, the opinions of the best-informed among the natives, both Hundoos and Mussulmans,

Mussulmans,

Mussulmans, whom I have consulted as to the rights they conceive masters to possess over the property of their slaves, seem to vary, some thinking that slaves are free to acquire any property they can without its being hable to be seized, claimed or interfered with in any way by their masters, only that on their death the master can only be looked upon as the legitimate person to whom any property left would descend; while others consider that they can create no property for themselves,-that all they make belongs to their master.

8. As to the right over their persons, but one opinion seems to prevail, viz. that they have no extraordinary right, that they can moderately punish their slaves; but if they exceed, that moderation of punishment, they must, like persons who are not masters, abide the consequences, and be punishable by the magistrate.

7. It seems to be the general opinion, that very little oppression, if any, is exercised over slaves in this district; on the contrary, that they lead rather an easy life; and in the case of those masters who have inherited slaves for two or more generations, I have heard some say that they would not feel it a loss if they were deprived of their slaves by an act of liberation on the part of government, inasmuch as, from having lived long in their family, they become much less attentive and useful than hired servants, conceiving that they can not be turned off, that their masters must support them, and so become rather a burden on their support.

8. As the decisions, however, of judicial tribunals can only be properly regulated by what the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws may prescribe in respect to the rights of masters, over the persons and property of their slaves, Sir Thomas Strange, formerly chief justiceof Madras, has made the following observations on the Hindoo law, as applicable to the person of the slave : " That the owner has the same power of correcting his slave that belongs to a master over his servant is implied; for he is one of the most abject kind, and a runaway slave is reclaimable." * "But, if a slave pledged refuse to work, complaint should be made to his owner, who must assign the pledgee another, such slave while in the posseesion of the latter not being lable to be beaten by him + That the master has power over his slave's life nowhere appears; and here, construing 'servant' in the text eited from Menu to comprehend slave, that great legislator and Sir William Jones are agreed, that in the exercise of such power over him as by law he has, it is at his peril, if it be immoderate; according to the consequences that may ensure t. But, with the extention stated it is comaccording to the consequences that may ensue,¹ But, with the exception stated, it is com-petent to him to compel him by force, not being excessive, to do whatever work he orders. him to perform, in which consists mainly the difference between a slave and a servant."§

In respect to his property, the same authority observes,-

"It is certain the latter (slave) can only acquire for the benefit of his master; possessing his person, he possesses every thing that can relate to it, nor can the slave have any po-perty that he can call his own but by his master's consent;" and in the 6th chapter of the 2d volume of his work on Hindoo law, gives several instances of judicial decisions of two of the zillah courts in respect to property.

9. But Mr. H. T. Colebrooke appears to have described the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws as possessing unlimited power over the slave, but which in regard to his person (however Mr. Colebrooke's exposition of Mahomedan law is considered not to be exact) has obtained the interposition of British authority by the enactment of Regulation VIII. of 1799, disallowing to the master exemption from kissas in case of the murder of a slave; from the time of which enactment slaves have not been considered as out of the protection of the' law in cases of murder or barbarous usage.

10. No criminal cases in which have been involved the connexion between master and slave having, as I have before stated, fallen under my cognizance as magistrate or session judge, I am unable practically to speak upon the points contained in this query. But in the event of any cases implicating the conduct of master or slave coming before me in the capacity of a magistrate, I should think they were justified in committing no serious act which would not be punishable if committed by a freeman. Tshould likewise punish the master as I would any other offender, if convicted of cruelty or hard usage of his slave. As to the indulgences granted to slaves in criminal matters by the Mahomedan law, I am not exactly aware to what allusion is meant; but I am inclined to think no indulgences would be shown to them by magistrates, but that they would be punished to an equal extent with other offenders.

11. Answer to the 3d question. ¶--I have never heard of any such cases. Other wrongdoers, I should think, would be equally punishable with masters for offences committed against slaves

12. Answer to the 4th question. ¶—Independent of what I have cited as the interpretation of the Hindoo law, by Sir Thomas Strange, in regard to the master's power over the person of his slave, by the law of Menu, which is supported by the authority of other Hindoo legislators, as Barhusputtee Missur, Rogoonunder Sharuth, Gopal Neyaipunchanund and others, it is literally laid down that "If a wife, son, slave, disciple and younger brother commit any offence, they shall be punishable by being bound by a rope, or beaten with a bamboo switch (benadul)

993

262.

(benadul),

Returns.

Nareda, 2 Dig. 237.
 † Catyayana, 1 Dig. 153.
 † Menn, 2 Dig. 209. Sir W. Jones's Charge, June 10, 1785.
 § Nareda, 2 Dig. 222. Virkaspate, Idem. 223.
 † Menn, VIII. 416, 417. Nareda, 2 Dig. 237 249.
 1 Dig. 16. Catyayana, 2. 252.
 † See Letter from tile Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.

Appendix II. Returns. (bens-dul), or by any other mild means; but they shall not be struck on the head. Should this measure of punishment be exceeded, the inflictor shall be held responsible for it to the ruling power."

Thus it is clear that maltreatment, beyond the slight punishments prescribed by Menu, of a Hindoo slave by a Hindoo master, is legally cognizable by the criminal courts on the part of government.

13. Answer to the 5th question.*-No. I should think the courts would not support the claim of a Mussulman over a Hindoo slave in the way the question is put, the slavery, by the Mahomedan law, being ullegal, and vice verså, it being necessary that the claim of the plaintiff should be supported by his law.

14. No. I should think the courts would not admit and enforce any claim to property, possession or service of a slave, but on behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, and against a Mussulman or Hindoo defendant.

No. 60.

ANSWER OF Mr. G. T. Shakespear, Officiating Magistrate, Dinagepur, dated 17th February 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

In reply, I beg to state that the question, What are the legal rights of masters over their slaves? practically recognized in our courts, is one which I am not qualified for fitly answering. During the few years I have been in the service, and actively employed, I have never met with a trial involving the respective rights of masters over their slaves, or slaves from their masters. I shall confine myself, therefore, merely to remarking that, had a trial of the description alluded to come before me for decision, I think that my English mode of thinking (if I may be allowed such an expression) on the subject would have led me to afford the same protection to a slave as to a freeman, and would have hindered me from admitting the relation between master and slave to be any sufficient ground for cruelty and ill-usage shown towards the latter; and I would, in such a case, have punished the master as I would have any other person in like manner offending, and wholly unconnected with slave in the light of a servant, and awarded to him, for such an offence, theenhanced degree of punishment allowed by clause 4, section 3, Regulation XII. of 1818.

The above contains, to the bast of my ability, my reply to paragraph 1st, greater part of paragraph 2d, and the commencement of paragraph 3d of the letter from the secretary to the Indian law commission. With regard to the rest of that officer's communication, I must confess my complete inability to throw any additional light on the several points touched upon and unfolded therein.

No. 61.

ANSWER of the Honourable R. Forbes, Officiating Magistrate, Maldah, dated 15th February 1836, to the Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to intimate, for the information of the superior court, that no suits, embracing any of the points adverted to by Mr. Millett, having ever been instituted in this, or, as far as I can recollect, in any other court in which I have had the honour to preside, I regret my inability to furnish the court with any information founded on my own practical experience on the subject.

No. 62.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Nisbet, Judge of Purneah, dated the 30th December 1835, to the Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

2. WITH regard to the practice of the court over which I preside, in matters regarding slavery, I have to observe, that no cases involving any such matter have come before the court since I have been at the head of it. If any question of the kind were to come before me, I should endeavour to regulate my decision by what the spirit of the regulations and the impulse of humanity might dictate on the occasion, and, if necessary, I should call for a legal opinion from the Hindoo or Mahomedan law officer, as the case might require.

3. It appears by the tenor of the secretary's letter, and I am not prepared to gainsay it, that there are no definite legal provisions on the subject of slavery in the Bengal judicial code. The letter in question, however, quotes one precedent, that of Nujoom-con-nussa; and others, I have no doubt, exist (though I am not prepared to point them out) in which, in absence of any express law, the rule of decision has been that of humanity, and the general principle of all British institutions, abhorrent of every thing like cruelty and oppression.

4. All the rights of a Mahomedan or Hindoo master, in reference to his slaves, sanctioned by the respective religious institutions of such persons, would be recognized by the courts, if they did not multate against the humane spirit of the British laws. Where they did

* See Letter from the Law Commission, No 1 of this Appendix.

did, a British judge or magistrate would, no doubt, feel fully warranted in suspending the operation of the native law. The regulations have expressly done it in one instance, namely, in the provisions of Regulation VIII. of 1799.

5. With regard to the second query in the secretary's letter, the court would, I conceive, so far recognize the relation of master and slave, as to uphold the right of moderate correction by the former. In case of actual delinquency, they would, in like manner, certainly punish unjust and tyrannical conduct on the part of the master towards his slave, upon proof of the same. I am not aware what the indulgences are which in criminal matters are granted to Mussulman slaves, but certainly I should think that the circumstance of the offender being in a state of servitude would not exempt him from any consequences meident to an infringement of the rights of an indifferent individual, either in his person or property.

6. I know of no cases in which the courts and magistrates afford less protection to slaves than free persons, against other wrong-doers besides their masters.

7. In reference to the subject of inquiry in the fifth paragraph of the letter under notice, my opinion is, that where the law, binding on a claimant, did not sanction his claim upon the freedom of an individual, a British judge or magistrate would certainly not admit it. The question is a nicer one, as to whether a Christian, or the professor of another religion than the Mahomedan and Hindoo, would be allowed to maintain a claim of slavery in our courts. All are now equally bound by the laws administered in the native courts ; yet I conceive a British-born subject would never, under any circumstances, he recognized as the owner of a slave, whatever might be ruled with regard to persons other than British-born subjects.

8. The principle of humanity would, I apprehend, lead a British functionary to favour the person on whom a claim of slavery was made, wherever he could do it consistently with the spirit of the regulations. The whole subject is one in which I have no doubt a large discretion is felt to be allowable, and a very loose administration of the law to be excusable. Slavery is repugnant to the feelings, national and personal, of every Briton; and if I may presume to offer my individual opinion, it is this, that slavery under a British Government is that ought to be cut, if it cannot be unlossed, like the infernal system of Suttee, the abolition of which we owe to the fearless virtue and straightforward policy of our late Governor-general.

ANSWEM of Mr. W. P. Goad, Acting Magistrate of Zillah Purneah, dated 24th March 1836, to the Register of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. No case involving the rights of masters over the persons and property of their slaves appears on the records of this office from which I can ascertain the practice of the courts.

3. With reference to the second paragraph of the secretary's letter, I conceive that the relation between master and slave might be recognized, in the case of the former having recourse to moderate correction in punishing the latter for any actual delinquency. But in the event of cruel and unjust maltreatment, the same need of protection ought in justice to be extended to the unfortunate slave as to the freeman. The circumstance of an individual being in a state of slavery should not, I conceive, entitle him to any indulgent con-sideration in criminal matters, or exemption from the penalties incident to the infragement of the law of the law.

4. I am not aware of the existence of any cases of the nature adverted to in the third paragraph of the secretary's letter.

5. The principles of humanity and justice would, I imagine, be the basis on which a British judge would consider himself justified in punishing a Hindoo master for the unjust maltreatment of his slave, in the absence of any express law to that effect

6. With regard to the question as to whether the courts would support the claims of a Mahomedan master over a Hindoo slave, I am of opinion, that as the law, binding on the claimant, does not sanction his claim, the decision would be in favour of the slave; and under the same rule, the claim of a British-born subject or any denomination of Christian could not be recognized.

7. From inquiries that I have made among intelligent indigo planters, both Europeans and The indication of the state of the second strange of the state of the second strange stran to see this degrading system eradicated.

ANSWER of Captain T. Wilkinson. Governor-general's Agent, Kishenpoore, dated 5th August 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. WITHIN the divisions of Hazareebaugh and Lohurdugga there are three classes of bondsmen. Slavery prevails in every purgunnah of the Hazareebaugh division, and in Pula-mow and Tooree of the Lohurdugga division. 262.

Appendix II. Returns.

No. 63.

No. 64.

First

312 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

, l'Appendix II.

. Returns.

First class.—The slaves (Nuffers) whose offspring continue in slavery for ever : they are transferable and saleable property. The offspring are the property of the owner of the female, and if they run away from their masters they can be recovered in the court, as was for years the practice in the Sherghotty court previously to the formation of the agency. A male slave residing in Kurruckdea, and belonging to a person in that pergumah, if married to a female whose master resides in Pulamow, 150 miles off, the master of the female has the right to remove her and children to his own home, separating them from the husband and father, unless he can obtain his own master's permission to accompany them. Youthful females of this class sell for from twenty-five to eighty rupees. Youthful males of this class well for from twenty-five to forty rupees. This class are almost exclusively of the Kubar ceaste, and are numerous.

Second class.—Sheewuk (commonly called Sawuk) or Kummea. This is a bondsman who sells himself for life. He receives from a person a sum of money and executes a deed, "shewuk puttra," binding himself to become that person's slave for life; he cannot be released from his bond, though he tender payment of the money he received. The deed in no way affects his children. The parent cannot sell the child to his own master, nor to any other person. The master generally pays the expenses, I believe always, of the marings of the Kummea, and feeds and clothes him. To make the sale of a person by himself good, agreeably to the country, it is necessary he should have attained his majority. It generally happens that the son of a Kummea sells himself to his father's master. This class of slaves are transferable and saleable property; the price of one varying from ten to forty rupees, The following castes chiefly compose this class, viz., Bhoogas, Koormees, Gowallas, Kandoos, Boghtas, Khyrwars and Dosads. Sheewuks are very numerous.

Third class.—Bundick Sheewuk or Kummea. This is a bondsman, who borrows a sum of money from a person, and engages by a written deed to serve the lender until he pays 'the principal. The principal paid, the bondsman is released, unless where he absents humself from his work. Under such circumstances, for as long as he may be absent, it has in some cases been the practice of the court, I understand, to award interest. This class are fed 'and clothed by their masters, and they are entitled at the harvest to a bundle out of every twenty-one of gram, which they cat and carry to the kullyan, or place of beating out. The advances made to this class of bondsmen may vary from one to twenty rupees.

3. Over the persons of the three classes specified, the master has a legal right to the extent above indicated. By the custom of the country the master has no right over the property of the slave; and in the event of the death of one having considerable property (which is not a rare circumstance), the property is inherited by his wife and children, although they may belong to another master.

4. Neither the Hazareebaugh assistant, Lohurdugga assistant, nor I, have ever had a case before us in which a bondsman has complained against his master of cruelty or bard usage. I learn, however, from several persons who are masters of bondsmen, that, when complaints were preferred in the Sherghotty court, the same protection was afforded to the slave as ta any other complainants; and I should certainly grant it, from the full conviction that the waster considers the slave entitled to it.

¹ 5. There are no cases in which the courts would afford less protection to slaves than to free persons, against other wrong-doers than their masters.

6. I am not able to quote any law by which the courts have authority to interfere in protecting a Hindoo slave; but that the courts do protect them is undoubted.

7. I do not find myself able to give a satisfactory reply to the 5th[®] paragraph of Ma, Millett's letter. I have never had any case before me of the nature contemplated by the regulation quoted. From inquiries I have made, I learn that the three classes of slaves I have mentioned are in the possession of both Hindoo sand Mussulmans, and that the same right has been allowed to the Mussulman over the Hindoo slave by the Sherghotty court that was allowed to a Hindoo over a Hindoo slave, the custom of the country having been the guide. I have not been able to hear of any instance in which a Mussulman slave of the first or second class has been in the possession of a Hindoo, although there are instances of Joolahs having become Bundick Sheewuks, who could claim their release on the repayment of the cash they borrowed.

8. In Ramgurh and Kurrackdea, I may with safety say, that one-third of the whole population are slaves, belonging to one of the three classes above mentioned. Those of the second class are the most numerous, and in none of the classes is there any provision for those slaves who from old age or from any other cause become unable to work; so that, if they happen to have no families to support them, they must depend on charity.

9. I herewith transmit the replies I received from my assistants. The assistant in charge of Maunbhoom division observes that no precedents are found in the records of the court tending to elucidate the subject under reference. From information, however, I have received from other quarters, I learn that there are both Nuffers and Kummeas in Kattrass, Jherrea, Nowagurh, and Toondee pergunnahs adjoining Kurruckdea, but not in the jungle mehal zillah.

, ENCLOSURE

* See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.

EXCLOSURE to the foregoing, from Lieut. J. Hannyngton, Assistant to Agent of the Governor-general, Manbhoom, dated 3d February 1836.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that no precedents are found in the records of this court tending to elucidate the subject under reference.

ENCLOSUBB of Captain Wilkinson's Letter from Captain L. Bird, Principal Assistant to Governor-general's Agent, Hazareebaugh, dated 14th January 1836.

WITH reference to the first part of your letter, as I consider the several points to apply to Mussulman slaves (of which there are none in my district, as far as I have been able to ascertain), and as my very limited knowledge of what may be the practice in the Company's courts renders me by no means competent to give an opinion even if there were, I proceed to state what is the usage in my district and the practice of my court with regard to Kummeeas, by which I understand bondsmen in a modified sense.

There is no particular law by which this state of bondage is permitted or authorized, but it appears to have been the custom and usage in this district for a series of years. The several zemindars and landholders, unable to till the lands themselves, and finding, no doubt, that the cultivation in its rude state did not bring sufficient returns to afford payment for free labour, introduced the system of Kummeeas, by which, on a trifling advance and at a very little expense in the shape of grain for subsistence, they secured the service not only of the individual who bound himself, but of all his family.

The individual thus binding himself executed a sawuknama, engaging that for the money received he would serve until the amount was repaid by him; in some cases engaging only for himself, and in others for the whole of his family and descendants in perpetuity.

For the services rendered by the Kummeeas they are fed and clothed by the proprietor or master, at whose expense their children are also married; and as advances for this purpose are required, fresh sawuknamas are given in the names of their children.

Having no means of ever repaying the money advanced (as the grain given is barely sufficient to support them), the option of purchasing a release becomes a dead letter, and they remain for generations under the same proprietor and his heirs, and on the division of property they are divided in common with all quadrupeds, &c.

Formerly it appears to have been the custom to acknowledge the right of a proprietor to the bondsman, and his descendants in perpetuity, and they were made over in the same manner as any other property. But since I have assumed charge of this division, I have introduced a modification founded (in the absence of any law on the subject) on principles of justice and equity.

From the few cases of claims for possession of Kummeeas who have deserted which have come before my court, I am inclined to think that they are generally satisfied with their situation; and in no one instance has any complaint of maltreatment from Kummeeas against their proprietors or masters been brought before me, although, in my tour through my district, opportunities are not wanting to make known their grievances.

In fact this state of bondage of long established usage appears to the Kummeeas to have become the law of the land, nor do I imagine that it is either considered irksome or burthensome by them; and in this happy state of ignorance they will continue until civilization and education teach them that man is not intended to be a slave to his fellow man.

In my court I admit the claim of a proprietor to a Kummeea, if it be the individual who has executed the sawuknama, provided he was at the time of executing it of sufficient age to judge for himself, but I do not recognize his right to the possession of minors or females.

Where minors have succeeded to any property from their fathers (a Kummeea), I hold them answerable for the sum advanced on the sawuknama, subject to be tried for in the same manner as any other claim.

As I have before stated, no cases of maltreatment have ever come before me, but were any to be brought forward I should extend the same protection to Kummeeas as I would to freemen, and in aggravated cases should consider the Kummeea absolved from continuing his services to his proprietor, but answerable still for the debt to be sued for as any other debt.

Were any regulation to be framed on this subject, I would suggest that the system be permitted in this district (in the modified view I take of it), as I consider it necessary for the purposes of cultivation, the Kummeea binding himself to be constrained to serve his proprietor and his heirs during the term of his own hife, provided the proprietor does not fortent his claim by maltreatment.

262.

LETTER

Appendix II.

No. 65.

No. 66. -

314 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II. Returns.

No. 67.

LETTER from Mr. J. Davidson, Principal Assistant to the Governor-general's Agent in Chota Nagpoor, Camp Tomar, dated 24th January 1836, addressed direct to Secretary to the Law Commissioners, in reply to their Circular.

1. Tax system of slavery alluded to is known by the name of "Sawuk," and prevails very extensively in Ramghur, Kurruckdeea, and Palamow. There are several kunds of Sawuks; Ist, hereditary slaves, the condition of whom is much the same as that of slaves in other parts of India; 2d, slaves for their own life only (called Bunda Sawuk), the children of this class are not slaves; 3d, slavery for debt (called Chootta Sawuk), individuals of this class are entitled to their freedom on repayment of the debt stupulated up the bond (or sawuknama).

2. Slavery in one or other of the above forms is so general in Ramghur and Kurruckdeea and Palamow, that a great majority of the agricultural labourers in those countries are slaves. Their condition in general is very muserable. They receive bately sufficient food to keep them in working condition, in some cases are obliged to find their own clothes, and in others are entitled to a piece of coarse cloth yearly.

S. Men generally become slaves by falling into arrears to their landlords, from bad Beasons, or other similar accidents, or from borrowing money for the performance of marriage ceremonics. Being bable to pay, they are compelled or persuaded to write sawakmamas for the amount of their debts, and thus become slaves, frequently for life, and very often the same miscrable condition extending to their children. The smallness of the sums for which these bonds are occasionally excouted is slaves incredible. I onde had a case before me when in charge of the 'Hazareebaugh division, where the amount stipulated in the bond was only one rube's and the amount of the debt for which these men sell themselves is generally less than 20 rupees.

4. It is always an object with farmers and landholders to have as many slaves as possible; and the facilities they possess of making up their claims of debts against poor and ignorant ryots are very great. The consequence, as above stated, is that a great majority of the agricultural labourers are slaves.

5. If a poor man when in debt, objects to write a bond binding himself to slavery, the creditor prosecutes him in our courts; and as the claim has always some foundation although often the amoint of it is exaggerated, finds no difficulty in getting a decree in his favour, after which the threat of imprisonment in execution of the decree speedily compete the unfortunate debtor to agree to the terms required, and he executes the bond. In numerous cases I have seen great unfairness used in attempting to make out a claim against a man who it was notorious had no property whatsoever, and this for the sole object of getting the debtor to bind himself as a slave in gatisfaction of the decree.

asympt the upper to bind minister as a slave in generally condition of the decree. 6. The sons of slaves, whose condition does not extend to their children, are always advised to marry as soon as they become of age. The master of the father advances money for the performances of the necessary coremonies, generally less than ten rupees, on condition that the boy binds himself by a bond similar to that by which his father is bound. This he almost invariably does, and so readers himself a slave for life, or until the money is repaid according to the terms stipulated in the bond.

7. To the existence of this system we have no means of applying any efficient check. According to the custom of this part of the country, the bonds do not require to be authenticated by the register or the kazee or any other authority, and are most frequently written on unstamped paper.

8. That such a system must give rise to great oppression amongst an extremely poor and ignorant population must be obvious. That it is injurious to the country, by reducing so great a proportion of the population to a condition in which they are uninterested in its prosperity is equally so.

9. Under the actual condition of Ramghur, Kurruckdeea and Palamow, I do not believe it is possible to regulate this system in such a way as to render it materially less oppressive than it is at present. I beg, therefore, to suggest, that the only effectual remedy for the evils above alluded to is to abolish the whole system, by declaring the sale or pledge of free persons, whether by their own act or that of their parents, illegal.

10. Some embarrassment would at first be felt by the farmers and landholders; but as the measure would only have a prospective effect, and would not interfere with their present stock of slaves, they would gradually, as their slaves died off, fail into the way of employing the same class of persons as free labourers that they now do as slaves; and I should not, therefore, anticipate that any great inconvenience would be felt by the slave-owners by the proposed measure.

11. Should it be determined to carry this measure into effect, I beg to suggest an exception which, I think, on the grounds of humanity, ought to be made to the prohibition of the sale of children by their parents—I mean in seasons of great scarcity, when it ought to be permitted. On such occasions there is no doubt that the lives of many children, who would otherwise perish from starvation, are saved by their parents selling them. A very few simple rules would suffice to prevent this qualified permission being abused, so as to detect the general prohibition.

ENCLOSURE

\$

ENCLOSURE of Captain T. Wilkinson's Letter (A.) from Mr. J. Davidson, the Principal Assistant to the Governor-general's Agent, Camp Tomar, dated 20th July 1836.

Assistant to the Governor-general's Agent, Camp romar, dated 20th duty reso. 2. In reply to the first * paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, I beg to state, that slavery only exists in this division in the two pergunnahs of Toree and Palamow; in respect to which the courts recognize the legal rights of the mastef over his slave as fully as that of an owner over any other description of property; and his right to sell, transfer or mortgage his slaves is considered inquestionable. In regard to the property of slaves, no right over that on the part of the master is recognized. It is considered to belong exclusively to the slave as completely as if he were a free person. 3. In reply to paragraph 2d, the courts recognize the right of the masters to correct their slaves moderately, provided it is not beyond what appears farly necessary for the support of order in their families. Should the measure of correction appear to have been beyond what is fairly necessary for this purpose, the court would grant protection to the slave. But on this point I beg to state, that I have never had any complaints of ill-usage preferred against their masters by slaves. This is perhaps partly owing to their having in general hitle to complain of, but chiefly to the being the alsoconding; and the difficulty the masters find in compelling their return to servitude is a great check to any tendency the masters find in compelling their return to servitude is a great check to any tendency towards ill-usage on their part. There are no Mussulman slaves in this division.

4. There are no such cases as those contemplated in paragraph 3d. Slaves are considered to have the same right in regard to parties other than their masters as freemen have between man and man.

5. In reply to paragraph 4th, it is difficult to cite any written law by which a magistrate is authorized to interfere in protecting, a Hindoo slave from the cruelty or ill-usage of his Hindoo master; but that the courts do protect slaves under such circumstances is certain, probably under the influence of a feeling on the part of the magistrates that they are bound to afford protection to all, the free and the slaves, and without inquiry whether such interference is or is not in accordance with certain maxims of Hindoo criminal law, which have never been practically in force in India since the establishment of our courts, and which are incompatible with the existence of any rational system of law administered by men of education and humanity.

6. In reply to paragraph 5th, the courts would not support the right of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave in the case supposed, or vice versa. In respect to the supposed case of claimants of slaves other than Mahomedan or Hindoo, the courts would not recognize the right of British-born subjects who might claim possession of slaves. But in respect to other classes, such as Parsees, for example, they would, I should say, in the absence of any law on the subject, be guided by the custom of the country, whatever on proper inquiry that might appear to be.

Answer to the 1st question.*---Mx experience leads me to the conclusion, that the courts of justice have been generally guided more by the principles of the English law as respects master and servant, than by the rules prescribed in the Mahomedan and Hindoo codes, relative to the authority and privileges therein specified regulating the respective duties and rights of master and slave.

2. Answer to the 2d question .- Complaints between master and slave are of such rare occurrence, and the practice of courts so different according to circumstances, that it is impossible to reply to this question satisfactorily. If a master, without due provocation, seriously maltreated his slave, he would probably be fined and admonished; if he moderately chastised hum for impudence, disobedience or neglect of duty, he would be considered justified in so doing. As above observed, the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws have not been much attended to in cases of complaints of a criminal nature between master and slave. Such cases have been disposed of according to their particular merits on the principles of substantial justice, with-out reference to the laws of slavery, which have ever been discountenanced.

8. Answer to the 3d question .- I am not aware of any.

262.

ANSWER of Mr. E. Lee Warner, Civil and Session Judge of Bhaugulpore, dated 20th February 1836. to the Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlat, Fort William.

No. 68.

Appendix IL Returns

815

No. 6g.

[&]quot;ANSWEE of Mr. C. Harding, Commissioner of Circuit, 12th Division Bhaugulpore, dated 28 January 1836, to the Register of the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

On the 1st^{*} question, I reply, as far as my experience goes, there is no defined legal right, either of the person or property of masters with regard to slaves recognized by the criminal courts.

On the 2d question, there is a general understanding that the master cannot maltreat or punish with seventy a slave; and if it be brought to the notice of the magistrate's eourt,

^{*} See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix. R R 1

Appendix II: Returné

15th May 1830. 1sth July 1830.

Monghyt, Calendar, No. 1. September 1835. Poorun Singh **Versus** Akla Dhanook.

٠

316 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

court, the master would be fined or made to enter into a recognizance not to ill-use the slave; but I conceive the services of the slave, after what is customary, would be allowed to the master. I speak, of course, ceteric paribus, that no act of undue severity would be permitted, nor, on the other hand, would petty complaints be attended to by the court.

2. During the time I held the office of commissioner of circuit for the Monghyr division, a case came before me in appeal from the joint magistrate's order, directing persons who had been previously slaves to be released, not on any proof of the master's maltreatment, or after any inquiry made into that matter; but the order was, that the individuals in ques-tion, after the expiration of the period of imprisonment (in consequence of running away from their master), should be at liberty to go where they pleased; in other words, made free.

3. I beg to annex a copy of the superior court's orders to me and my reply, because it appears to me that some definite rule should be promulgated for the guidance of the courts, whether civil or criminal.

4. I also beg to notice a case which came before the session court. The prisoner was accused of murdering Hunoman Singh, the master of a slave-girl, the wife of the prisoner; and the prosecutor, son of the above master, deposed that the prisoner murdered his father, because he had objected to the wife, the slave-girl, going so often and leaving his service ; and on her going away and not returning at the given time, he said he would sell her, as she was of no service; on this threat the prisoner, out of revenge, murdered his father. The prisoner was acquitted as there was no proof against him; but it shows that some law regard-ing the power of masters over their slaves is desirable.

CIVIL COURT.

I have looked over the suits decided in the civil court for the past 10 years, and do not find any that require notice, as all have been decided as mere matter of sale (by Ujeernamah, generally specifying a term of 90 or 70 years), and no question of law taken. The right of selling the child from the parent, the wife from her husband, at the caprice and will of the master, appears recognized, and the only proof required is the sale having been made, and the person making it being the owner of the slave. Surely m these enlightened times it may be hoped and expected that some line will be drawn, and that children born of slaves will not be allowed to be held (from birth) the slaves of the master. Those who have (after coming to years of discretion) sold themselves, are not to be pitued; but there ought to be some restriction as recards the first. Let those who are slaves (considering the past times) some restriction as regards the first. Let those who are slaves (considering the past times) remain slaves, possessing, however, a right to justice (I mean on trial) equally with all other persons, but the offsprings be declared from a given time (after proclamation) born free. This will annihilate the system of prevailing slavery, and be the eventual loss of the master for the general good of all.

. There appears to have been no notice taken, with regard to the party being either Mussulman or Hindoo, in any of the cases decided by the court.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Nizamut Adawlut, under date the 25th of May 1830, referred to in the above.

PRESENT :

W. Leycester and C. T. Sealy, Esquires, Judges.

READ a return from the commissioner of circuit for the 12th division, and the proceedings The case of Jhaul and others claimed by Runjeet as his slaves, called for by the court's precept of the 2d January last, on a petition from Jhaul and others. The court observe that Jhaul and others, five men and five women, were ordered to be re-

leased on the 10th January 1928, by the magnetate, and that Mr. Lee Warner, on an appeal to him by Rungeet, adjudged the individuals in question to be his slaves. As the order of the commissioner is deemed to be improper and unauthorized by any regulation, the court annul the same, and direct that the commissioner instruct the magistrate to call before bim Runjeet and the individuals who may have been made over to bim as slaves by the commissioner's orders, and set at liberty the latter, taking from Runjeet a mochulka in a reasonable amount to abstain from illegally harassing the said persons, or any others affected by the order annulled, leaving the said Runjeet to seek his remedy in a court of civit junisdiction. jurisdiction.

The court regret that Mr. Lee Warner should have considered himself at liberty to interare considered internet to be wanter should have considered nimeer at morely of internet to be a set to be a set of the same as lands, and that large sums are expended "in the acquisition of that species of property," was clearly not within his jurisdiction, and that he should have issued an order disposing of disputed property in human beings, which he must be aware that he was not computed to do not be word to be an order to be a set. competent to do with regard to any article of property, animate or inanimate.

To the Officiating Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the extract from the proceedings of the Nuamut Adawlut, under date the 25th May 1830, in the case of Jhaul and others, claumed by Ranjeet as his slaves, called for by the court's precept of the 2d January last, on a petation from Thaul and others.

2. I beg leave to state for the information of the Nizamut Adawlut, that the orders of the court have been immediately carried into execution by transmitting the same to the joint magistrate of Monghyr, with directions to report the due completion of the orders contained in the extract above adverted to.

8. In the concluding paragraph, the judges of the court have thus recorded their opinion: "The court regret, that Mr. Lee Warner should have considered himself at hberty to interfere in a case which, even from the position of the petitioners, that in India slaves are assets the same as lands, and that large sums are expended in the acquisition of that species of property, was clearly not within his jurisdiction, and that he should have issued an order disposing of disputed property in human beings, which he must be aware that he

was not competent to do with regard to any article of property, animate or inanimate." 4. Under the circumstances of this censure having been entered on the records of the Nizamut Adawlut, I trust the court will see the propriety of my reply being also filed with those proceedings, in explanation of the grounds on which I acted. And, first, I beg to state, that I know of no distinct rule by which the interference of the criminal court is restricted in such matters; on the contrary, I have been misled by an authority which I had hitherio considered universally acknowledged by all the courts of India. I allude to Mr. Harington's Analysis of the Laws and Regulations, published in 1821; and if your court will do me the favour to look at page 70, it will be found that Mr. Harington thus expresses his opinion: " On considering the regulation proposed by Mr. Richardson with respect to slavery, I entirely concur in his first proposition that all claims and disputes respecting slavery should be made cognizable by the magistrates in the first instance, subject to the esta-blished control of the courts of circuit." This quotation, I am aware, is only the opinion of that gentleman; and on it I do not exclusively rest my excuse, but on the following point recorded:-

5. Mr. Harington observes : "The following extract of a letter from the magistrate of zillah Furruckabad, under date the 17th February 1817 (which induced the Nızamut Adaw-Into a summary inquiry by the magistracy subsidiary to a regular suit Adaw-lut to sanction a summary inquiry by the magistracy subsidiary to a regular suit in the civil court), may be cited as forcibly applicable to this point." Now the reasons assigned are all of a general nature, and will suit any case of slavery which may be brought before the court; and the precedent having obtained in this instance, the question became, I supposed, no longer doubtful. Moreover, I believe that if a report is called for, it will be found that the criminal courts are in the practice of determining summarily those cases. I may be wrong, but I request the court will be pleased to ascertain the fact, and if such a mode of proceedings shall be found to be in use. I hope the court, in consideration of the peculiarity of the individual case, and of a want of precedent, or rather, I may say, being led away by a wrong construction of the Analysis quoted, will be pleased to annul the order of censure from their books. No one can rejoice more than myself in having a precedent which will, in future, enable me to act up to the dictates of my own conviction of what is right, and to that feeling which is common to all Englishmen, —a detestion to slavery. I had viewed the case as an act of dispossession by the court, and in opposition to the decree of the civil here the as an act of disposition of the they had been pursuing (by living as slaves in Runnet's house) until the time of the theft; and I feared that an impression might go forth that a slave, to emancipate himself and relations, had only to steal his master's property and be sentenced to a limited imprisonment in gaol for the offence, when on his being released from gaol, he became at the same time, by official interference, without any inquiry into the facts, released from servitude.

6. The joint magnstrate punished the individuals in question, not because the crime of theft was proved, but from having fied (mufroor) from the house; and without any assigned reason, orders (in the conclusion of his rubakaree) that after being released from gool they may go where they please. The decree of the court states that the male and female slaves are the right of Duleep^{*} Singh, and also uses the term "ukrobai anha," their kindred. Now it is without doubt that they are (the persons mentioned in my order) the descendants of one of the persons who is still a slave named in the decree. I state the circumstances only to show that I acted on what I thought sufficient cause; though as I have already said, I repoice at the decision of the superior court. 7. I also beg leave to state that I do not exactly understand the meaning of the conclud-

ing part of the last paragraph; for, if taken in its literal sense, how is it to be decided whether the property which may be brought before the court in a case of theft belongs to the thief (as he says probably), or to the prosecutor ? And it frequently occurs that an inquiry is necessary to determine whose the property may be in the first instance; and, as a case in point, I beg to notice the Nizamut Adawlut's orders in the case of Munneenath Baboo, page 264, Report of Cases 1829, decided by the Nizamut Adawlut, of which this is an

extract: "Mr. R. H. Rattray.-The property I would leave to the discretion of the magistrate; any deeming themselves aggreeved by his disposal of it, having an appeal to the commis-moner who held the trial, and finally to this court by petition.

"Mr. Leycester agreeing with regard to the property, orders were issued accordingly."

Commissioner's Office, 12th Division, Monghyr, 12 July 1830.	(signed)	E. Lee Warner, Commissioner of Circuit.			
		Answer			
* The fathe	er of Runjeet.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

268.

R B 3

Appendix II. Returns.

318 APPENDIX TO REPORT FROM INDIAN LAW COMMISSIONERS

Appendix II, Relaras No. 70,

· ANSWER of Mr. J. Dankar, Magistrate, Bhaugulpore, dated 26th January 1836, to the Register to the Court of Nazamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. As far as my own practice has gone, I have never recognized the relation of master and slave as in any degree justifying acts which would be otherwise punshable, or con-stituting a ground for mitigation of punishment. When a complaint is laid before me of Bil-treatment, I have never considered it necessary to inquire whether the complaining party were actually a slave, over whom the accused had a legal right or not. The law has party were actually a snave, over whom the accused had a legal right or not. The law has always taken its course, as it would in any other case; and if the offender claimed his accuser as his own property. I have never, as magistrate, recognized such a claim, but directed him, if he felt so inclined, to carry his claim into the civil court. 8. Slavery to a great extent exists in this district. I am not aware that the slaves are subjected to any particularly bad treatment, but I confess, as I think the system is alike repugnant to the laws of God and man, I should rejoice to see it put down by a legal

enactment.

No. 71.

. .

ANSWER of Mr. A. Lang, Officiating Joint Magistrate of Monghyr, dated 25th January 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. The system of slewery, as at present prevalent in this country, having never been brought to my notice in any cases which I have had before me, I feel that it would be presumption were I to offer an opinion on the points mentioned in Mr. Millett's letter. I trust that I may therefore be excused doing so.

No. 72.

ANSWER of Mr. F. Gouldsbury, Officiating Additional Judge, Zillah Behar, dated 11th January 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. WITH regard to the legal rights of masters over the persons and property of their slaves, which are practically recognized, by our courts, I beg to state, that, as far as my experience goes, it appears to be the general practice of our courts in all civil suits brought before them involving the right of a master over his slave, to be guided in their decisions by the Mahomedan or Hindoo laws, according as the parties may belong to one or other of those religious persuasions. In criminal matters, I am not aware that it is usual to make any distinction between free persons and slaves, or to afford less protection to the latter description of persons than to any other class of British subjects.

8. By the rules of our criminal code, I conceive that a slave 18 entitled, equally with a free person, to protection from oppression and ill-treatment, and that a magistrate would not be justified in exempting a master from the full punishment which he would deserve for uruelty or hard-usage towards his slave, merely because the Mahomedan and Hindoo codes would sanction such maltreatment. Such cases are, however, I believe, of rare occurrence, and the mterposition of the authority of the law is consequently seldom required. In this country, the condition of a slave is generally superior to that of a free person, as the master has an obvious interest in treating him with kindness.

4. The only slaves recognized by the Mahomedan law are infidels made captive in war; 4. The only slaves recognized by the Mahomedan law are infidels made capity in war; and their descendants; consequently there are but few at present existing who can be legally denominated slaves; and the condition of those who are nominally in a state of bondage is not such as to render their emancipation either necessary or desirable. They are generally persons who were sold by their parents in their infancy in time of scarcity; and who have consequently only exchanged a state of starvation for one which secures them protection, and places them beyond the reach of ward; as both daty and interest combinees it incomes to make the most of the above the starvation before the

5: The Hindoo code recognizes no less than 16 descriptions of slaves, according as they are acquired by birth, purchase, donation, inheritance, conquest, &c., whose persons and goods are the absolute property of the master, whose power over his slaves would appear to be unlimited. The actual condition of Hindoo slaves, however, differs little from that of Mahomedans. They are almost invariably well treated by their masters, and their condition is superior to that of free servants.

6. With reference to the 5th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, I beg to say, that, in my opinion, a Mahomedan master's claim over a Hindoo slave could only be determined by the Mahomedan law, and nice versa; and that, except in behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, the courts are not competent to admit and enforce any claim to property, possession, or service of a slave.

No. 73.

ANSWER of Mr. H. V. Hathorn, Magistrate of Zillah Behar, dated 25th June 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

Answer to the 1st paragraph. --IN the district of Behar, the courts would appear, by their decisions, to have recognized generally the rights of masters over their slaves, to the extent of enforcing any engagements voluntarily entered into by the parties, according to the cus-tom of these parts, and provided that they be not repugnant to the feelings of a British judge. Answer,

* See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendux.

Answer to the 2d paragraph.*-In cases of complaints preferred by masters against their slaves for absconding or contemptuous refusal to work, previous to causing the apprehension of the slave, or requiring him to perform the service, magistrates have usually demanded proof of the slave's amenability, either documentary or by the slave's admission; in hke manner in mstances of complaints instituted by slaves against their masters for expulsion or the ill-treatment of themselves or their children. In the former case, the magistrate would not interfere, except when the master had engaged to support the ejected individual. In the latter case, on proof of ill-usage, the officer, in awarding punishment, would regard the parties in the relative position of parent and child, admitting the right of the former to correct, by moderate chastisement, the misconduct or disobedience of the latter, but protecting the slave against acts of cruelty inflicted by the master.

In cases when the slave might apply for emancipation, in consequence of maltreatment or otherwise, it would be the duty of the functionary, I concerve, without reference to Hindoo or Mahomedan law, to record the right of freedom to the applicant, upon the general principle, that in the absence of any specific rule or regulation, the courts are guided by justice, equity and good conscience; which discretionary power would immediately dictate the propriety of granting emancipation to the slave.

I am not aware of any cases in which less protection would be afforded to an injured slave than to a free subject.

In practice, I believe, the courts of criminal judicature would not draw any distinction. In deciding upon cases of complaint brought by slaves against their masters, whether the parties were of the Hindoo or Mussulman persuasion, and would dispose of such cases the same as if a servant had complained against his master.

I have before stated, that I believe it to be the practice of the courts at Behar, generally, to recognize the relationship of master and slave, to the extent only of enforcing such written contracts or voluntary engagements as may exist between the parties; further it has not been usual to draw any distinction in regard to the sect or religion of the parties concerned.

I am led to suppose, that the construction of section 15, Regulation IV, of 1793, by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, in the year 1798, is not generally understood to have reference. to criminal cases. I do not recollect any instances in which the spirit of the section above. quoted was considered to be the rule of guidance in disputes between masters and slaves. On the contrary such cases would seem to have been judged according to equity and justice ; treating it as a case for which no specific rule existed.

Having briefly disposed of the queries suggested in Mr. Secretary Millett's letter, I pro-ceed to offer a few general remarks as to the state of slavery in the district of Behar.

Slavery, both among the Hindoos and Mussulmans, prevails to a considerable extent: in this district; it appears to be resorted to by the higher classes as a cheap mode of increasing the number of their followers and attendants, and thereby adding to their dignity and state; and the poor people find it a ready means of obtaining a certain liveli-hood, and securing to themselves and families a comfortable asylum in seasons of calamity and distress

Among the Hundoos, the caste denominated "Kuhars" are nearly all slaves. The Koorr mises are also mostly slaves. There are many also among the Dhanook and Jessuar caste. Among the Mussulmans, slavery is almost entirely confined to the Jolahah caste. But the slaves of this persuasion are called indiscriminately "Molazadas," which properly applies only to the descendence of slaves. only to the descendants of slaves.

Slaves purchased are either employed as labourers or as menial servants; in the former case, the larmer or landed proprietor tils his land and gathers his harvest at, less expense; and, as menials, the master ensures in his slaves greater fidelity. In years of distress arising from calamities of season, the traffic in slaves by their parents is very considerable. in Behar. As long as the slave continues faithful to his master, he is fed, clothed, and well-treated, and all religious ceremonies performed at the marriage or death of the slave are defrayed by the owner. The offspring of a slave becomes the property of the master, who is obliged to afford protection.

Slaves in Behar appear to be purchased either conditionally or unconditionally. They are also taken on long and short leases; in the latter case, from 2 to 10 years; in the former, far. about 80 years. Mortgages are also common, and foreclosures applied for and obtained from the courts. These contracts are usually atteated by the cause, and not unfrequently.

The price of slaves varies according to their age and the nature of the service for which they are purchased. The following are the average prices for which they are sold at certain ages :-From 1 to 7 years about 10 rupees; from 8 to 14, about 35 rupees; from, 15 to 30, about 50 rupees; from 31 to 50, about 30 rupees; from 51 to 60, about 12 rupees. They are usually sold, both male and female, for ordinary purposes or general work. But a slave girl, if young and handsome, and sold as a concubine, will fetch 100 or 200 rupees.

In order to acquaint the law commissioners of the nature of the cases regarding slavery adjudicated in the Mofussil courts, I have annexed an abstract of suits instituted in the civil and criminal courts of Behar within the last 10 years, showing the entire number of com-plaints preferred, and how they have been disposed of. It is a curious coincidence, that the number instituted in the two courts should have been nearly the same.

262.

Appendix II.

310

Returns.

•

	m	•		How decide			
Substance of Suit.	Total No. of Cases.	Decreed in favour of the Plaintiff.	Dimpresed.	Parties discharged without any specific Order.	Amicably	Struck off the File,	Substance of Decision in particular Cases,
1. For right to possession of slaves.	68 _.	81	22				
2. For possession of slaves by deed of partition or takseemnamch.	6	8	8				
3. For possession of slaves by deed of mortgage or "byebilwuffa."	I		1	• •			- In this case it was ordered, that the party could not obtain possession, until he had pe-
4. For possession, from one who had for idulently sold slaves not his property.	2		. 2				htioned to forealose the mort-
5. For possession, on plas of having purchased to save from starvation.	1	- ,-	1	و ر م		- •	Ordered, that the case be dismissed, as the plea advanced by the plaintiff is not recognized
 For services from a slave, who had received con- sideration for the same. 	1		1			•	by any regulation.
7. For possession of a slave on mere assertion.	1		• •	ľ			Ordered, that the slave must not consider himself emanci-
8. For possession by deed of gift, without a consi- deration.	1	I			,		pated, until he has repaid the money advanced to him.
9. For possession, on 18 years' lease.	1		1				
10. For possession, as per sale by the mother.	1	ļ					
11. For possession of a slave girl purchased for pros- utution.	ą		2	,			Ordered, that the case be dismissed, as the regulations do not recognize purchases made for such like illegal purposes.
12. For possession by gift, for a consideration.	I		1			- *• -	Ordered, that the case be dismissed, being contrary to the Sheaters.
TOTAL	71	86	84	1			MT1064012

ABSTRACT of SUITS connected with SLAVERY instituted in the Civil Courts at Behar, from 1825 to 1885, inclusive.

ABSTRACT of CASES relating to SLAVERY preferred before the Criminal Courts at Behar, from 1825 to 1835 inclusive.

6 • • •	Number	How decided.								
Substance of Complaint.	of Cases.	Ordered to be delivered over to their Master.	Referred to the Civil Court,	Parties discharged without any specific Order.		Punished.	Acquitted.	Struck off File.		
MASTER VETEUS SLAVES.										
1. For running away, and car- rying off property.	4	2	2			ł		l		
2. For absconding, to run away	4			8	1	1		i		
MASTER versys MASTER.				-]				
3. For possession of slaves, with assault.	62	7	27		4	. 4	12	. 4		
4. For enticing away slaves -	2	1		<u> -</u>		1				
Тотаг	72	10	29 -	7	6	5	12	3 4		
	L	L!		ļ	<u></u>	L	······································	Anowa		

320

.

ANSWER of Mr. C. Tucker, Commissioner of Patna, dated 12th September 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. I BEGRET that it is not in my power to furnish any information on the various topics connected with the subject of slavery adverted to in the court's orders. I have never sat in a civil court since first entering on the public service, and have had no opportunity of forming an opinion as to the system of slavery which obtains in this country. Magistrates were prohibited taking cognizance of cases involving the question of right to a slave; and I do not ever remember an instance of an application being made by a slave for redress against his master for maltreatment. On general principles of equity, however, I should, as a megistrate, entertain such cases, and proceed to their trial the same as if the applicant were a freeman.

3. Under these circumstances I must at once plead my inability to supply any-information that could be of the least use to the court on this subject; and in excuse for the delay which has occurred in making this declaration, beg to state, that the court's circular, of the 13th November last, reached me whilst on the move from Sarun to Gya, and in the confusion of moving was mislaid, and only produced this day.

Atswer of Mr. George James Morris, Judge of Patna, dated 24th September 1836, to the Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. SINCE the period I have held charge of my present office at Patna, no cases connected with slavery, whether immediately for the right of property in slaves by sale, bequest or succession, or indirectly in the course of enforcing decrees of court, have come before me, although allusions to such a *status* as actually existing are of frequent occurrence. No criminal prosecutions arising out of maltreatment of slaves, or of disputes for the right of possessing them, have ever found their way into the sessions court; and I have reason to believe, that the records of the magistrate's kutcherry will be found to be equally free from them. The system of buying and selling slaves, if it prevails at all in this district, is not, as it were, openly legalized, as far as an avowed custom and unforbidden practice can legalize it. The cazees, too, I am informed, do not consider themselves at liberty to authenticate instruments for the conveyance of property in slaves. In the district of Shahabad, where I was last stationed, cases of slavery were also very rare. In Behar, on the contrary, where I held office for nearly five years, claims and actions for slaves were as common as those for any other description of property; and very many disputes came to be settled before the magistrate, by whom, in questions of disputed right, the matter was summarily disposed of, by setting the alleged slave at large on security; while the party claiming to be the master was bound over to bring a civil suit within a given time to prove the right. As many such claims had been tried and adjudged by me, I wished, therefore, before proceeding to answer the particular questions proposed by the law commission, to refer to my notes of such trials. This advantage I have missed, the note books having been made over to my successor (Mr. Dent), who is at present absent from India. As far, however, as memory will serve me, I will state the practical results to which, in the course of my experence in adjudicating such cases, I was brought.

3. I will first of all observe, generally, that nothing could have been more loose or uncertain than the practice in regard to rights claimed or exercised over slaves. I have never been able to trace the rules that were recognized and acted upon to any principle of law, whether Mahomedan or Hindoo. Local prescriptive usage, modified and limited by occasional edicts issued by the civil authorities to guard against particular abuses, seems to have been the only law to which either party, whether master or slave, looked up. In the usages which had thus become common and binding, certain principles of natural equity were more or less discernible; for instance, the right of disposing by sale of infant off-spring, male or female, rested exclusively with the mother, or, failing her, with the maternal grandmother. The father or other relations on his side had no such right of disposal; nor is his consent even deemed indispensable to the validity of the sale. This custom applies mainly to two large castes, viz. the Kahars and a tribe of Koornees, who, as a body, are all counted as slaves immemorially; though it may happen that some few, here and there, being accidentally free, do sell their own children. In all other cases the children are the property of the parents' master. By degrees the parents are reputed free or otherwase, no sale of children appears to be recognized as valid to which the mother, or her mother, has not in some way been made a party; and even in cases of sale of slaves, the undoubted property of the parents of the mother, or distribution to the assent of sale, should have been made under circumstances of distress, such as dearth and the keens to be generally admitted, that, to make the sale of a person born of free parents wald, such sale should have been made under circumstances of distress, such as dearth and the key, and that the party sold be an infant or of inmature age. Another point to be remarked in connexion with slavery usages is this, that the deeds and titles under which the owners,

Appendix IL Returns.

No. 74.

No. 75.

Appendiz II. Returns.

•••

bondage? 2d. Can such instrument give any thing more than a life interest in the thing sold, or can it convey any right over the offspring of the person sold or leased out? Cases which turned upon the first of these questions have come before me judicially; and where there was no express condition in bar of it, and the mortgage had not been foreclosed, I have allowed the person who was sold to be a slave, in childhood, to redeem his or her freedom, on payment of the principal sum advanced, with interest. I do not call to mind having adjudged any claim in which the second case I have put was involved in the point at issue.

4. What has been stated above partly meets some of the questions proposed by the law commissioners in regard to the practice of the civil and criminal courts. I will now proceed briefly to answer those questions in the order in which they are put. Answer to the 1st question.*-When the sale or other conveyance is valid and complete,

Answer to the 1st question."—When the sale or other conveyance is valid and complete, the legal right so acquired extends to the persons and property of the slaves themselves and of their offspring, supposing both parents to belong to one and the same master. But if they belong to different owners, then the children are the exclusive property of the proprietor of the mother. The proprietary right of the master in regard to the use, loan and sale of his slave is the same as over any other kind of personal property. If the slave be hired out, his earnings while in service belong to his master; if he runs away, he can be brought back and restored to his master; and the party sheltering or enticing such runaway slave can be sued for damages for loss of service.

Answer to the 2d question.⁴—According to the Mahomedan notions, founded on the precepts of their law, the purchase of a slave for unlawful purposes, such as prostitution, theving, &c., makes such sale null and void. If, therefore, unlawful or improper service be exacted from a slave by his master, he can, under that law, claim his release. So fur, then, from the relation of master and slave justifying acts in themselves illegal and punishable, such acts go of themselves to dispute the relation. How far this principle may in practice be acted upon, I will not take upon myself to say. I have un a civil action given a slave his freedom, or, in other words, dismissed the claim of the master, when acts of cruelty and hard usage were established against the latter, believing that I was not acting contrary to the Mahomedan law, and strictly in accordance with the principles of justice and equity, which, by the regulations, in cases not specifically provided for, were to form my rule of conduct. The principle upon which slavery of persons, not infidels or taken in battle, is justified by Mahomedan law and practice, is simply to preserve life. If, therefore, the master will not feed or provide for his slave, or otherwise by carelessness or neglect endanger pround for emancipating the slave. Cases of this description I have never met with. In ordinary practice, slaves complaining against their masters will receive from migistrates the degree of punishment would be determined according to the mitigating or aggravating circumstances to be found in the case itself, without reference to such relation; and the degree of punishment would be a master on his slave, in like manner as on a child or servant, would certainly be looked upon as a venial offence. But, in point of fact, such cases never find their way into court; it is only habitually bard and cruel usage that forces a slave to run away, and even then he is rarely the first to complain. The indugences to Mussulman slaves, referred to at the end of this query, ar

Answer to the 3d question.⁶—In the case supposed, no distinction is ever made by civil and criminal courts between slaves and free persons. The status of the former has never, to the best of my knowledge, operated to exclude them from claiming protection of their natural rights, whenever those rights, whether as regards person or property, were infinged by any wrong-doers not being their masters. If any thing, the complaints of persons so aggneved would at first sight be more favourably viewed from the natural leaning towards the weaker party.

8. In summing up, then, what has goue before, and referring moreover to the doubtful points put in the 2d and 4th paragraphs of Mr. Millett's letter, n will appear that civil and criminal courts have hitherto afforded remedy to slaves for injures, whether affecting persons or property, not according to the strict letter of Hindoo or Mahomedan law, but according to the laws of custom and equity; for this simple reason, that parties so complaining, whether master or slave, have never pleaded to have the provisions of either law enforced.
6. In the case supposed in the concluding paragraph of that letter, the decision would, I presume, be governed by the law to which the defendant was subject. In the other cases the provide of averaging more than work the module of the tide.

6. In the case supposed in the concluding paragraph of that letter, the decision would, I presume, be governed by the law to which the defendant was subject. In the other cases the right of ownership would depend upon the validity of the title acquired by the purchaser, upon whom the onus of proof would fail to show that the slave was the child of Hindoo or Mahomedan parents, or was otherwise legally the property of the party from whom he was purchased.

No. 76.

ANSWER OF Mr. W. R. Jennings, Magistrate, City of Patna, dated 13th August 1830, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I BAVE the honour to submit a statement, showing the several cases of slavery which have been brought before this court from November 1828 to June 1835, which are all that are traceable among the records of this office.

2. You will observe there is but one instance of a slave having been pumished for deserting from her master's house with property, and again made over to him.

STATEMENT

^{*} See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.

- .-

		-		
Names of the Parties.	Charge.	By whom Tried and Order passed.	Date of Order.	Substance of Order.
Moossamut Mobarukh Kud- dum, slave, <i>versus</i> Moossamut Khanumjee, mis- tress.	Cruelty by burn- ing body.	Mr. R. Neave, acting magistrate.	26 Nov. 1828	The slave ordered to go where she pleased, and the mistress not to make any resistance; and it she has any claim, she is at liberty to sue in the Dewanny Adawlut.
Moossamut Moharukh Kud- dum and Moossamut Nunnhee, slaves of Mırza Nujjuf Ullee Khan.	Eloping from the house of their mas- ter.	Mr. H. Scott, assistant magus- trate.	17 June 1830	The slaves ordered to go where they pleased.
Moossemut Pooneah, slave, versus Wife of Lolsah Tewaree and Deheechurn, her son-in- law.	Fettering the slave.	Mr. T. C. Scott, officiating magne- trate	14 July 1830	The defendants released, and the slave ordered to remain where she pleased.
Bolukram Pondry, master, versus Moossamut Murrucheah and Moossamut Lounghee, slaves.	Eloping from the house of their mas- ter with property.	Mr. T. C. Scott, ofheating magis- trate.	5 Aug. 1885	The slaves were imprisoned 14 days without labour, and then they were made over to their master.
Moossamut Etro and Moossa- mut Mooskee, slaves, versus Moossamut Jonnee Begum and Moossamut Doolhin- jon, austresses.	Beatang and cruelty by burning body.	Mr. J. C. Dick, officiating magis- trate.	18 July 1835	- The slaves were ordered to reman where they pleased, and mochulka taken from Moossa- mut Jonnee Begum not to oppress the alaves.
Moossamut Wolauety Kha- num, mistrees, <i>versus</i> Moossamut Hosenneah, slave.	Accusation of theft of jewels and elopement.	Principal Sud- der Ameen Ujod- heapershaud Te- waree.	5 June 1835 «	The plaintiff having failed to attend the court, the case was struck off the number, and the slave ordered to remain where she pleased.

STATEMENT of CASES of SLAVERY before the Magistrate of Patna, from November 1828 to June 1835.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Hawkins, Officiating Judge, Zillah Shahabad, dated 4th July 1836, to No. 77. the Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I NEVER had a case of slavery before me during the whole of the time I have been in the service; nor did any system of slavery prevail in any district in which I have been employed, with the exception of that in which I at present hold office. 2. Such being the case, I can only state to the court how I should consider it necessary to act on being called upon to decide cases of the nature alluded to. Bound as are our courts to the private to the normal Labourd consider myself commelled to

2. Such being the case, I can only state to the court how I should consider it necessary to act on being called upon to decide cases of the nature alluded to. Bound as are our courts to administer to the natures their own laws, I should consider myself compelled to recognize the rights of masters over slaves and their property, agreeably to the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws, as the case may be, provided that no right was claimed inconsistent with the proper, that is, kind treatment of the slave: and I should, therefore, say, with reference to the second and third paragraphs of the letter of the secretary to the legislative council, that I would mete out the same justice to slaves that I would to other persons, and consider the master responsible and punishable for acts of cruelty to the same extent as if those acts were committed against persons in whom he possessed no right of property.

and consider the master responsible and pullishable for acts of crueity to the same extent as if those acts were committed against persons in whom he possessed no right of property. 8. In reply to the concluding paragraph of the secretary's letter, I do not hesitate to say, that I would not support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, when, according to the Hindoo law, the slavery is legal, but according to the Mahomedan law, illegal, and vice versa, unless expressly directed to the contrary. I would restrict the system of slavery to the narrowest legal limits, and I would not support a claim to property which the law the claimant would desire to have administered to him in the decision of all other questions of a civil nature pronounces to be illegal. Upon the same principle, I would not recognize the claim of any other than a Hindoo or Mussulman to a right of property in slaves.

A.SWER of Mr. T. Sandys, Magistrate of Zillah Shahabad, dated 9th July 1836, to the Register of the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

No. 78.

2. THERE can only be one grand principle of practice avowedly recognized in all our courts of justace, "that they are accessible to slaves as well as freemen, and a British magistrate would never permit the plea of proprietary right to be urged in defence of oppression." But 262. 328

Appendix II.

Returns.

it is questionable how far the slave, from his peculiar position (doubly enalaved by his abject and wilful submission in the first instance, and in succeeding generations rendered fumiliar by the distinction of caste and domestic education), will allow himself to make the court accessible to himself.

3. Importation of slaves by sea can exercise no influence in this district, either with regard to its geographical position or the state of bondage as it obtains in these provinces. That by land, also, cannot be termed importation; it confines itself, as within its own bonn-daries, simply to transactions of purchase, mortgage or exchange with the neighbouring distinct. districts. Slavery, therefore, as it exists here, is purely domestic, bad even as this most favourable view of slavery may be. The castes who generally engage or sell themselves as slaves are-

MUSSULMANS.	HINDOOS.				
1. Jolaha. 2. Dhoonnia.	1. Kuhar. 2. Koormee.				
3. Dome, either Mussulman or Hindoo.	3. Dhanook. 4. Bharee. 5. Buruhee. Seldom except under emergency.				

The subject may be simply viewed as a mortgage of personal services for life, or 70 years, instead of daily labour at daily hire, the castes engaging being of the lowest labouring classes, their liberty in outward respects being without restraint, their employment house-hold and domestic. The cheapness of the system compared to hired service, independent of other considerations, strongly prejudices the native master in its favour. On the other hand, the slave is saleable as other kinds of property, being constantly hable to mortgage or sale, and is frequently made the subject of htigation in the civil courts, which decree or sale, and is frequently made the subject of intigation in the cruit courts, which decree accordingly. The slave does not appear to possess the power of re-purchasing his liberty, and his release, at any time or under any circumstances, seems to remain optional with the master. Once a slave, whatever he has or may gain is his master's. Hence the speculation and value attached to the system. The children remain with the mother; nor does the father or the master exercise any right of property over them. This is the description of slavery, as I understand, ruled by the court's circular order, No. 141, wherein the court observe, "that any part of Regulation X. of 1811, is not applicable to sale of slaves not imported into the British territories."

4. Under the foregoing circumstances, cases between the master and slave, and slave and master, do not frequently come before the criminal court, the greater number of minor offences consisting of complaints of runaways, "thieving," or on mortgage or sale taking place, the slave endeavouring to avoid the exchange and obtain his release by questioning the right of bondage. But, particularly to notice serious cases as affecting the personal protection of the slave, a reference to the records of this office from 1816 to 1836 assures me, that no case of simple maltreatment, slave versus master, master versus slave, or a single instance of heinous charge on either side, has occurred.

5. With regard to the reference to section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, I understand that a Hindoo or Mussulman slave is regulated by the usages and practices of his own caste, and not by the law of his master. This, I believe, is a mutual understanding. It is not impro-bable that European and East Indian subjects may employ slaves of this description, and who, under this modified system of bondage or engagement, and with the tacit consent of the slave, exercise just as much right and property over him as the Hindoo or Mussulman master; though, were the point contested in court, in my humble opinion, I should be justified, on moral grounds, and authorized by the spirit of British government, in eman-cipating the poor ignorant bondsman from such a slavery out of the hands of this class of subjects,

No. 79.

ANSWER of Mr. T. R. Davidson, Officiating Civil and Session Judge of Zillah Sarun, dated 17th September 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

SINCE February 1833, I have officiated as judge in five districts, Ramghur, Etawah, Behar, Shahabad and Sarun, and it must be evident to the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut that I have scarcely had time to make myself acquainted with the system of slavery pre-valung in each or any of these zillabs. Mr. G. T. Morris, the judge of Patna, will have placed before the court the result of his long experience in Behar, and, from the character which he bears for ability and habits of reflection, his suggestions and observations on the system of slavery in that district cannot but prove most available. I the less regret, therefore, having destroyed my notes of cases which came before me when I officiated for a short period as judge of Ramghur and Behar; and, to the best of my recollection, in no other district has a case of slavery ever come before me.

No. 80,

ANSWER of Mr. Luke, Officiating Magistrate of Zillah Saron, dated 15th September 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. THE rights of masters over their slaves do not appear to have been recognized in this district; and, as more immediately connected with the magistrate's office, the records of this sherishts do not furnish a single instance where the plaintiff and defendant stood in the

the relation of master and slave; from which it may be inferred, that if ever slaves have claumed the protection of this court, their grievances have met with such redress as the regulations would have afforded to free-born subjects.

3. During my experience, it has never fallen to my lot to try a case in which master and slave were the parties concerned; were, however, such a case to come before me, I should be guided by the nature of the evidence of the witnesses, without reference to any relation existing between the parties as master and slave, and should award such punishment as the provisions of the regulations might authorize.

ANSWEE of Mr. T. J. Dashwood, Judge, Zillah Tirhoot, dated 5th February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Fort William.

3. The higher ranks of Hindoos invariably keep a number. of slaves, and the rajah of Durbhunga, the principal person in the district, has probably several hundreds of them in his family.

4. Brahmins, Rajpoots, and even Kaiets have them according to their means, and most of the rich Mussulmans also retain them. Slavery, however, in this country is not to be compared with that in the West Indies or America, and the ideas and prejudices regarding it which exist in Europe ought to be laid aside in considering the question here. I have no knowledge of the system in the western provinces, but in this district slavery is almost, if not entirely, confined to domestic slavery. The slaves are in constant attendance on their masters, and are employed in cleaning the house, bringing water for ablution and the use of the formit document the ford and preference more subject the formits of the formits of the formit of the formits of the formit of the formits of the formet of the formits of the f the family, dressing the food, and performing whatever services may be required about the house; and in return they get their food, clothing and lodging from their master, by whom also the expenses incurred at their marriages and funeral ceremonies are paid. They are rarely, or I may say never, engaged in tillage of the ground on their own account, but are sometimes employed in the cultivation of the private lands held by their master.

5. If they have the opportunity of saving money or other property on their own account, it is entirely at their own disposal, and their master has no control over it, or the power of disposing of it contrary to their wishes. They are generally the most favoured servants of the family, and trusted in preference to others in unportant matters, and are also employed as generating and managers of the states and property at a distance from the resulting of as gomastas, and managers of the estates and property at a distance from the residence of their masters

6. No implements of terror or punishment are required for them ; and, to all appearance, and, I believe, in fact, they are better off and happier than the common ryots of the country and other natives of the lower castes and rank of hie. It cannot, however, be denied, that in the literal meaning of the word they are slaves; their masters have the power of buying and selling them, and they have not the power of emancipating them-selves. From the good treatment they receive, few would probably wish for emancipation; but were they to desire it, they have not the power of obtaining it, except from their master's pleasure and gift. The purchase and sale of slaves is common, but is entirely confined to the limits of the district, or rather to the immediate neighbourhood of their master's residence. He would never attempt to sell a slave out of Tirhoot, and were he to do it, the slave would not remain with the purchaser, but abscond in a short time, and return to some part of Tir-hoot. It is not in my power to give a reason for this fact, but I have been given to understand that such has invariably been the custom and the result of every attempt to evade it. The marriage expenses of the slaves are, as I said above, at the cost of the master. It is generally contracted with some other slave in the neighbourhood, and the parties continue to reside with and serve their respective masters.

7. With regard to the issue of the marriage, the rule is, that every male child born shall belong to and be the slave of his father's master, but the female children born are not belong to and be the slave of his rather's master, but the female children born are not necessarily slaves, and may, on arriving at mature age, marry as they please; but they are generally disposed of by their parents by some agreement at the time of marriage, which is never disputed, and they continue slaves. There is another custom prevalent in the dis-trict, viz. men of the lower castes (as Coornee, Dhanuk, &c., but freemen) letting them-selves out by deed for a term of years, and selling any progeny that they may have as slaves for life. Poverty and inability to provide for themselves is the usual reason assigned in these kinds of deeds, and the term is generally 70 or 80 years, which is equivalent to they which life as the narties have already arrived at vests of maturity. their whole life, as the parties have already arrived at years of maturity.

8. I enclose for the inspection of the court, copies of some* deeds of this kind, some regularly drawn out by the cazee; and, I believe, that it is this way that Mahomedans mostly obtam their slaves to make their purchases legal.

9. I now come to the specific questions in Mr. Millett's letter. I have above stated, that the master has no power over the property acquired by the slave; and as to his right over his person, he has, by the native laws, the power of correction, as a master would have in England over his apprentice; but he would be hable to punishment equally there and here for any acts of cruelty. I have never had any complaints of the kind before me at the sessions: but I would not, nor do I conceive that any court would, admit the plea of being the master as a justification, or cause of mitigation of punishment, for premeditated acts of cruelty.

> • Translated in the Law Commission ; see p. 327. \$ 5 3

No. 81.

Appendix II. Returns

Appendix IL Retarns: ernelty. Against other wrong-doers than their masters, slaves would of course receive the same protection as other persons from every court in the country.

16. The clause in Regulation IV. of 1793, directing the courts j. 16. The clause in Regulation IV. of 1793, directing the courts to adhere to the Hindoo and Musaulman laws, refers to civil rights only; and under them the courts would be bound, and do receive claims for the proprietary right over slaves. In the criminal courts, we took for our guide the Musaulman law only, which had prevailed for years under our predecessors in the government of the country. That being the case, the Hindoo would not be allowed to claim exemption under the Hindoo law for his criminal acts, as suggested in the fourth paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, and he would be tried under the Mussulman law. We have tempered the severity of that law by some specific enactments; but every criminal act cannot be specifically defined and its penalty awarded; and the ruling authorities had under the Mahomedan law a general discretionary power, to which our government succeeded.

11. Our ideas of justice and equity are different from theirs; and it was, I imagine, inder that authority that the Nizamut. Adawlut were guded, in punishing the mistress and emancipating the slave Zuhoorun. She might not be entitled to emancipation by the letter of the law; but, with our ideas of justice, she was entitled to protection as much as any free persoit, and the court had no means of giving to her that security for the future, except by emancipating her from the power of her mistress. Cases similar to those mentioned in the fifth paragraph of the letter must be very rare, as a Hindoo, on account of his caste, could buly keep a Mussulman slave for out-door work; but they may occur, and the custom of the bountry would be a sufficient authority to the court to receive such claims. A Britishborn subject could not, however, well be allowed to claim a slave, as I imagine that he could be punshed in the supreme court for having purchased him; and therefore a court sould not well decree a slave to him at one moment, and then, in the next, send him down to be tried crimmally for having him. But foregners, reading in the Mofussil, who are not subject to the supreme court, but to our courts, might, under the laws of the country, claim a slave; at least I see no prohabilition against it.

12. There are no other questions to reply to; but, before I conclude, I must add a few other remarks on the subject. However much we may be anxious to remove every mark of slavery, and though it exists in the mildest form, it is the duty of government to attempt it; yet care must be taken that we do not cause a greater evil than that which now exists. There is here no foreuble abduction of the slave from his native soil, nor any of the severities said to be elsewhere practised, used towards hum.

18. We ought not, therefore, to be urged on by mere motives of philanthropy to rash and hasty measures, but should take into consideration the general condition of the inhabitants of the country. Whatever may have been the origin of slavery here, I am conwinced that their number is now kept up solely from the poverty of the lower classest Their poverty is at all times great; but in seasons of scarcity, or even a partial failure of crops, the difficulty of providing for their families is much enhanced; and it is then that they sell themselves and their children to obtain immediate support. The total prohibition, therefore, of every kind of transfer would, I fear, at such seasons, occasion the sacrifice of many lives from starvation, if not lead to the commission of the murder of children, from want of means for their support. We must therefore wat patiently for the further improvement of the country, and increase of the means of subsistence for its inhabitants, before we can entrely prohibit slavery. But we may attempt to regulate it, and abolish it by degrees, by preventing great additions being made to the number of slaves hereafter. This, I think, might be managed by regulating the practice above mentioned, of men letting themselves out, and introducing a system of huring in lien of sale.

14. In one of the accompanying deeds, a man, being of age, lets himself out for 60 years, which is equivalent to his whole hile, and sells his unborn hens for ever. A man may have an abstract right to sell himself for hile, and, in order to provide for his children then living, some latitude may perhaps be allowed him; but he can have no right to dispose of his unborn heirs for ever. All clauses regarding the unborn, might, I think, be at once prohibited and declared illegal. With regard to the man himself and his living children, the case is different; but, even with them, slavery for their whole life is too great a price to pay for relief from a temporary distress and difficulty. In my opinion, therefore, all sales or n leases for life should be prohibited, but grown-up persons permitted to let themselves out on lease for seven or ten years, with liberty of renewing it at the close of every lease Children are generally infants when sold, so that they cannot be of any service to the purchaser for many years after he has had them, and clothed and fed them. He is therefore enttled to some remuneration, or to their services, after they have reached an age capable of serving him in some way or other. I would therefore give power to the parent to dispose of, or let the child out on lease; if under 10 years of age, for a period of 15 years, and if above 10, for 10 years, at the close of which period they would be of age, and able to earn ther own livelihood in freedom, if so inclined ; if not, they may let themselves out again as all grown-up persons would be allowed to do. I fear that we cannot interfere with those now in slavery, beyond extending the above clauses regarding children to be offspring of the present slaves, and giving them the period of 10 or 15 years, according to their age, from the date when the Act took effect ; and thus much we might do without much mjustice to their owners.

-- 15. A register should be kept in each police division of all slaves within it, and a copy of the entries sent monthly to the magistrate's office, where a general register should be kept, and

and every slave after the passing of the Act should be entitled to his freedom, unless his name and that of his master be duly registered, and the period of his lease entered in it. By these means, we should give an opportunity of emancipating themselves to those who wish for their freedom, and thus by degrees lessen the number of slaves, and still not prevent the poorer natives from preserving the lives of their children, or their own, in time of distress. Parents would probably, if their own circumstances were improved, take back their children, or persuade them to emancipate themselves; and, at any rate, if neither of them wish for their freedom, they would become the hired servants, in heu of being absolute slaves, and after a time, though perhaps a distant one, slavery would die of itself, and become extinct without injury or injustice having been done to any one.

Three Forms enclosed in the foregoing Letter.*

1st. I, Jumuni (a female Muslim), am destitute of means of support and in debt. On that account, in consideration of 75 rupees received from A. (Hundoo landholder), I have let out in hire my son, C., aged seven, and daughter, D., aged eight, years, during the term of 80 years ending 1320 Fussiv. I engage and covenant that the said parties so let out in hire, receiving support, shall attend and render servile services to the said hirer. They shall not be recussant; and without his leave shall go nowhere, nor shall they run away during the term. Every child begutten or produced by the said hired persons shall be the property of the hirer; so also their remotest descendants who may hereafte; be born. Neither I nor my said children shall oppose or object on any account. Therefore have I written this deed of hire for 80 years. Dated 30th August 1832, corresponding with 14th Chyt, 1239 Fussly,

2d. I, A. B. (a male Kurmi), aged 26, am in want of necessaries of life and involved in debt, and have received eight rupees from C. (Hindoo), wakil of the zullah court of Tirhoot, and let myself out to him to serve him for 85 years. I covenant and promise night and day to attend on the said hirer. All my lineal children who may be born hereafter will be the right of the hirer; and so also must their remotest descendants, to be born, attend and serve the hirer and his heis. Hereafter, neither I nor my heirs, who shall be born hereafter, will make any claim, let or obstruction whatsoever. This, then, is written as a deed of lease. Dated 30th March 1832.

3d. Cause of writing these lines is this. A. B. (a Muslim weaver), of sound and disposing mind, appeared before me † at my office this day. He voluntarily confessed and acknowledged thus: "I could not, from poverty, support and feed my daughter, C., aged nine years; she was m danger of dying. Therefore, in consideration of five rupees, of full weight, duly received, I have let her out in hire for 74 years, ending Fussly 1302, to D. (a Muslim). It is necessary that the said C. should attend night and day on the said hirer, obeying orders and rendering services of a slave. Without leave of the hirer she is not to go any where, nor infringe his order. The hirer must support her. Her progeny and descendants, born within the term of the lease, will belong by way of protit to the hirer and his heirs. Hereafter they will attend on him as slaves. I shall have no claim on him for the party hired, or her offspring, on account of non-payment of said sum or any other account whatsoever. Therefore, these words have been written as a deed of hire to be used when occasion may require. Dated 14th of Maug, 1228 Fussly."

ANSWER of Mr. G. Gough, Officiating Additional Judge, Zillah Tirhoot, dated 24th February 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

2. My residence in this district has been so very limited, that I am unable to make any remarks on the system of slavery peculiar to this part of the country; but, considering the question generally, so far as the subject of slavery has come under my observation. I have always found that slavery, as it exists within the Company's territories, is of a far milder form than that which is in force in other countries, or is generally imagined, under the term "slavery," by those who have not had local experience of the fact. 3. Slavery exists to a very great extent in India, and almost every zemindar possesses slaves according to his means. These, however, are not the degraded individuals which that term is understood usually to denominate. I have invariably observed that the slaves are

S. Slavery exists to a very great extent in India, and almost every zemindar possesses slaves according to his means. These, however, are not the degraded individuals which that term is understood usually to denominate. I have invariably observed that the slaves are the most favoured and trusted servants of their masters, generally engaged in domestic duties, and frequently intrusted with the most confidential employments, in many instances, indeed, appearing more as members of their master's family than as absolute slaves. The master possesses a proprietary right over the person of his slave, which is recognized in the courts; but the sale and transfer of slaves from one master to another is not of very frequent occurrence, and never takes place when the parties live in distant parts of the country.
4. The natives of Indua do not look upon slavery with the same degree of repugnance with which it is regarded in other countries; and inviduals of the poorer classes are in the master south which it is regarded in the country.

4. The natives of India do not look upon slavery with the same degree of repugnance with which it is regarded in other countries; and individuals of the poorer classes are frequently found willing to sell themselves, either conditionally for a certain number of years, or otherwise. And in seasons of scarcity and distress they readily avail themselves of such a mode of providing subsistence and comfort for themselves and offspring. No instance has ever

+ Pergunnah Cazzi, who attests.

262.

No. 82.

Appendix II. Returns.

See para. 8 of this Letter, p. 325.

Appendix II.

Returns.

ever come under my knowledge where a slave was reduced to that condition by any violent means. Modifications in regard to slavery may be beneficially introduced, particularly in limiting the power of the parents in the sale of their children, and restricting the period of bondage in such cases to certain limits. But great caution and consideration ought to be exercised before deciding upon the total prohibition of slavery, if such a measure be in contemplation.

5. With reference to the points questioned in Mr. Mullett's letter, to the address of the register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nızamut Adawlut, of the Agra presidency, I would observe, that the master is considered as possessing a proprietary right over the person of his slave; but no sale or traffic is permitted whereby the slave would be transported to any distant or foreign country. The master does not possess any proprietary right in whatever property the slave may have accumulated, who is at liberty to dispose of such property as he pleases.

6. The master is never considered as possessing power to inflict such punishment on his plave as would be considered just ground of complaint had it been inflicted by another; and plaves are always afforded protection where cruelty and hard usage appear. No cases have ever come under my cognizance where alaves were afforded less protection than free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters.

7. I am not aware of any express law bearing on the point discussed in the 4th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter; but I conceive that a magistrate would be fully warranted, by the general discretionary power vested in him, in taking cognizance of the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master.

8. With reference to the 5th paragraph of the letter above alluded to, I imagine that the courts would be guided by the general custom of the country in regard to slavery, and therefore support the claim of a Mussulman master to his Hindoo slave, and vice versá. But as those customs are not exactly applicable to British-born subjects. I should not think that claims preferred by such individuals to proprietary rights in slaves would be recognized.

No. 83.

ANSWER of Mr. J. E. Wilkinson, Magistrate of Tirhoot, dated 17th February 1836, to the Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

Ox my return to the station from leave of absence, I found, amongst letters unanswered, one from your office regarding the system of slavery, and have the honour accordingly to observe, that since I assumed charge of the magistrate's office of thus district, there have not been any cases connected with slaves brought before me; there are, however, slaves in almost every family (Hindoos and Mahomedans) of any respectability in the district; that masters conceive they possess a right to punish refractory slaves with moderation; that a slave rumnung away may be brought back; and that, on complaints being made to the magistrate, the deserter may be made over to the master; that male slaves, born in the family of a Mussulman or Hindoo, become the property of the master of the fahter, and are fed and clothed as other slaves; that female slaves may be married out of the family to any one the parents choose; that disputes between two or more parties about a slave may be adjusted by the institution of a regular suit in the civil court, the same as for landed property; and that slaves may be bought and sold, as every other description of property within the district, by a conditional deed of sale, termed amongst Hindoos "purm bhuttur," and amongst Mussulmans, "ijaranamah."

No. 84.

ANSWER of Mr. H. B. Harington, Officiating Register, Allahabad Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, dated 18th March 1836, to the Secretary of the Indian Law Commissioners, Fort William.

S. FROM the returns herewith forwarded, it will be observed, that throughout the western provinces slavery, in the legal acceptation of the term, exists in a very limited extent, and that in several of the districts it is scarcely known at all, while the whole of the authorities, civil and criminal, unite in bearing testimony to the mildness of its form where it still prevails, and to the generally happy and comfortable condition of the slaves in those places, their situation being little inferior to that of other menual servants. Indeed, in some parts of the country, the relationship existing between them and their masters is described as resembling that between parent and child. These circumstances, added to our well-known abhorrence of the system generally, the relationship existing between them and their masters is described as resembling that between parent and child. These circumstances, added to our well-known abhorrence of the system generally, the relationship existing between them and their masters is described as resembling that between them, they would appear to have invariably been guided, never to countenance the servicie of any individual, unless there was full and sufficient proof that, according to the strict interpretation of the law, he was legally a slaves, sufficiently account for the very few instances in which recours has been had to the courts by masters, on the one hand, to recover the possession or to compel the services of their slaves, and by slaves, on the other, complaining of ill-treatment on the part of their masters, or sung to obtain their freedom. And hence it happens that the mujority of the local officers who have been consulted on this occasion are unable to support their opinions by any facts which have

come within their own experience. The same remark applies equally to the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut for these provinces. None of the cases made over to it at the time of its establishment in 1832, or on the abolition of the courts of appeal, involving claims of the nature of those alluded to in your letter, nor have any such been since instituted.

4. The substance of the replies received from the local authorities on the several points under inquiry (subject to some few exceptions, which do not appear of sufficient importance to require separate notice) may be thus briefly summed up :

As regards the first point, that the criminal courts practically acknowledge no legal right in the master over either the person or property of his slave, but that, considering them-selves precluded, as in all other matters of a civil nature, from taking cognizance of cases involving claums of this description, their ordinary practice is to refer the parties to the civil court for redress

On the second point, that the spirit of the rule for the observance of the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws having been expressly declared applicable to cases of slavery, the civil courts consider that no option is left to them in the matter, but that they are bound to recognize in practice the claim of the master to the possession and services of his slave, and his legal right to dispose of him either by sale, gift or otherwise. It is also generally acknowledged, in practice, that a slave, whether Hindoo or Mahomedan, can possess no property in his own right, and that whetever may fall to him by inheritance, gift or otherwise, as well as every thing that he may acquire by means of his own labour and exertions, becomes and is necessarily the property of his master.

As respects the third point noticed in your letter, that although the Mahomedan law per- Vide Macnaghten's mits the master to correct his slave with moderation, the code by which the magistrates and Precedents of Ma-other criminal authorities are required to regulate their proceedings does not recognize any homedan Law, and such power; and as the regulations of government draw no distinction between the slave and Hamilton's Hiduya. freeman m criminal matters, but place them both on a level; it is the practice of the courts, following the principles of equal justice, to treat them both alike, affording them equal protection and equal redress whenever they come before them, and whether they stand in the relation of master and slave to each other or not.

It has already been stated, that, in practice, the criminal courts do not acknowledge any legal right in the owner over the person and property of his slave, whom they view in the light of any other servant, and treat accordingly; nor do they consider themselves competent to render a master any assistance in recovering a slave who may have absconded or been inveigled from his service, and they reject accordingly all applications to that effect by whomsoever prefetred.

A case in point the court observe occurred at Furnickabad in the year 1816, in which the magistrate having, from a mistaken notion of his duty, compelled the return to her mistress of a girl purchased when an infant by a prostitute, it was ruled by the court at Calcutta that he had exceeded his competency, and he was cautioned against having recourse to that mode of proceeding in future. Copies of the correspondence which took place on the occasion are herewith forwarded for the information of the law commissioners.

Stoners. The three preceding paragraphs fully answer the fourth point noticed in your letter. With respect to the case of Nujoom-oon-missa, which has attracted the notice of the law commissioners, the court observe, that the order directing her emancipation w_{1} passed by the court of Nizamut Adawlut at Fort William; and as they have not the proceedings in the case to refer to, they are unable to state the grounds which induced that court to direct her deliverance from bondage her deliverance from bondage.

With reference to the question proposed in the fourth paragraph of your letter, the court observe, that although the spint of the rule for observing the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws has been declared applicable to slavery in civil matters, in the adminis-tration of criminal justice the several courts of judicature are required to be guided by the former only eccent where a deviation from it has been expressly authorized by the tration of criminal justice the several courts of judicature are required to be guided by the former only, except where a deviation from it has been expressly authorized by the regulations. Such, however, is not the case with respect to the subject under inquiry; and as, by the Mahomedan law, a master guilty of oppression towards his slave, or of *Vide* Precedents of exceeding the limits of his power of chastisement (that is to say, of correcting him Law, with moderation), is declared liable to exemplary punishment by "tazeer" and "akoo-but," the measure of punishment being left in such cases by the regulations to the discretion of the court of circuit or the Nizamut Adawlut, according to the nature of the crime so a Hundon master ill-tracting a Hundon slave would be table to precedent the crime, so a Hindoo master ill-treating a Hindoo slave would be hable to precisely the same penalties as would attach to the commission of a similar offence by a Maho-

medan or a person of any other persuasion. A case in point, as regards the hability of a Mahomedan master to punishment under the existing regulations for maltreating his slave, came before the court in the course of last year, in which the prisoner, a Mussulman, holding a responsible situation in the family of a native of rank at Cawnpore, was indicted on the prosecution of government for being an accomplice in subjecting certain children whom he had purchased during the famine in Bundelkund to personal injury, cruelty and torture; and being found guilty of privity to the acts charged against hun, was sentenced by the court to im-pussonment in the zillah gaol. The court further direct me to observe that the prisoner would have been liable to and would doubtless have undergone precisely the same punishment had he been a Hindoo or the professor of any other faith.

With reference to the concluding paragraph of your letter, I am directed to commu-plicate to you the opinion of the court in regard to the cases as therein put, that the 262. Ŧ I civil

homedan Law, and

Appendix II.

' Returns.

329

Appendix II. Returns.

civil courts would not be authorized in entertaining any claims of the nature of those in question, such claims being directly opposed to the law of the plaintiff in both the cases stated; and further, that in all suits of the description of those alluded to in the paragraph of your letter under reply, the decisions of the courts would be regulated by the provisions of sections 8 and 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, that is, by equity, justice and good conscience.

LETTER of Mr. W. Leycester, Second Judge, Bareilly Court of Circuit, dated 15th April 1836, to the Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Fort William.

I BEQUEST you will lay before the Nizamut Adawlut the accompanying record of a case, apparently of great and very just interest to two individuals, involving matters of much moment to the community at large, and of infinite importance to the feelings of a government any way concerned in the encouragement of moral obligations among its subjects, and more especially involving a duty in every British subject to conform to the laws of his country, and to resist to the atmost of his power the degradation of his fellow-subjects into a state of ignominious slavery, and to bring to notice the mfamous practice of biring out by professed bawds, as a matter of revenue, the persons of female slaves for the purpose of public prostitution.

2. This case opens by the petition (No. 1 of the copied papers) of the bawd, Jumeyut, who (after setting forth that she had hired out a female slave, Gunna, a ready-money purchase of hers, to Hadi Yar Khan, and that, on a quarrel taking place, the said Khan was compelled to enter into a recognizance to have no further connexion with her) complains of the taking away of her slave, represents that there is a proposition on foot to unite her in wedlock, backed by the law opinions of a cauzee and moofty, and praying the interposition of the court.

This after a few intervening papers is followed by an order (No. 2) from the magistrate to the moherir of the thannah of Jurutpore (a stage on the Bareilly side of Futtygurh), setting forth that it would seem from the verbal representation of Juneyut, that Gunna had proceeded towards Bareilly, and therefore ordering him to apprehend her, and in case she had passed, to pursue her.

The return endorsed herein sets forth that some officers of the cutwal of Furruckabad had previously taken up Gunna at Jellalabad (one stage nearer to Bareilly).

This is followed by the examination of Gunna (No. 3), who states that her object in coming to Barelly was to get emancipated from public prostitution, and to obtain permission to marry, and adds, that she had previously sent a petition to the assistant to the magistrate, accompanied by the futwahs, and that an English letter in her possession she had received from Mr. Colebrooke.

The acting magistrate's proceedings (No. 4) conclude among other things by handing over, on the 17th January, Gunna to Jumeyut; and as formal a receipt (No. 5) for this person as ever was granted for the purchase of any negro slave in the West Indies is

regularly accorded by Jumeyut, and duly attested by subscribing witnesses. On the 2d February the acting magistrate's proceedings were called for by this court on a representation (No. 6) filed by the moktyar of Gunna (I do not allude to the case of nawab Hadi Yar Khan included in this record, as it is not connected with the reference).

The proceedings were accordingly sent. But on the 6th February Mr. Wright sends us an examination (No. ?) of Gunna, in which she disclaims her petition, and denies her

an oktyar, and this also upon oath. On the receipt thereof, this court by its robekaree (No. 8), summoned through the magis-trate all the witnesses to the moktyarnama: Gunna herself and another female slave were said to be present.

Before the process was issued at Futtehgurh, the said Jumeyut decamped with her slavegirls.

A communication is made to the magistrate of Cawnpore, before whom Jumeyut herself attends, and gives in a petition (No.'9); but we are told her slave-girls are gone across the Jumna.

The evidence of the witnesses to the moktyarnama tends to confirm the said document.

One point at issue (between Gunna on one side, and her moktyar and all the witnesses on the other) is, whether she has falsely and on oath charged the latter with forgery, supported by perjury, or not.

The papers noticed above, to which numbers are fixed, have been copied, and are sent also for the facility of reference.

It seems to me, in this case, that several of the proceedings of the magistrate have been unadvisable and objectionable.

The seizure of Gunna, when on her way to Bareilly, or, if that were doubted, the not send-ing her when she declared to Mr. Wright that her object was to appeal against compulsory fornication, and to obtain license to marry honestly, accompanied even by a peon, if deemed tornication, and to obtain license to marry honesity, accompanied even by a peon, if deemed necessary, and the ultimate handing her over to Jumeyut, a professed bawd, by precluding her from all hope of emancipation, placed her not very far from the attnation lately described by the chief justice of the supreme court, by which the law of lingland would justify a slave in effecting his liberty by proceeding to the utmost extremity necessary to obtain it. The law of England may not be the law of our regulations, but they are intended to assimilate, and they are not diametrically opposite.

With

With regard to the legality of pursuing Gunna to Jellalabad, on the verbal representation of Jumeyut, and by the chuprasees of the cutwal, to whom no process appears to have been intrusted, I should think it very questionable, even if executed under every proper form, and by the proper officers.

But it seems impossible not to contrast the active measures pursued at first, with the less active measures taken to enforce the orders issued from hence

The witness, Meher Ally Khan, deposes, that he heard at the magistrate's cutcherry, that he and Guuna were summoned to Bareilly, that two days after he learnt she was going away with Jumeyut, and that he informed the cutwal. The cutwal's people could be active in preventing Guuna coming to Bareilly; and as he does not seem to have had orders either way, I must infer that he ceased from his activity with the same object; and when, after the expiration of many days, process is in van issued by the assistant in the absence of the acting magistrate, it seems unaccountable that some of the omlah (who had witnessed the

acting magistrate, it seems unaccountable that some of the omlah (who had witnessed the previous active measures of pursuit by which Gunna was brought back from Jellalabad) could not suggest to the assistant that the same measures might be equally effectual. A proceeding, however, was sent to the magistrate of Cawnpore. The acting magistrate's procedure of the 6th February does not seem very intelligible. For what purpose does the magistrate receive Gunna's razenama? There was no prosecution undisposed of before him; and on what grounds does the acting magistrate proceed to take her deposition, first without oath and afterwards on oath; and on what grounds is she asked whether she had sent any petition to Bareilly? She had already on a former day told the acting magistrate she was going there herself, but he prevented her; having said she was enticed away, she is asked by whom : and amoner others her answer points to nawsh Hadi The acting magnetice sub was going that output, output, output, output, output, output, output, and among others her answer points to nawab Hadi Yar Khan, who might, and with great propriety, perhaps, be desirous of enticing away her affections, under the wish to make her his wife. But having thus taken her deposition on oath, implicating others in a charge of forgery and of a gross contempt of this court, on

what grounds is she discharged without even taking a recognizance from her? At Cawnpore, Jumeyut attends the magistrate, but we are told that Gunna and the other slave gril are gone across the Jumna. Jumeyut is also pleased to record a petition at Cawn-pore, in which she informs us that a company of forty persons depend for their hvelshood on these slave-girls, expresses her utter astonishment at the proceedings of this court, and this in a petition intended expressly for the magistrate's office at Futtygurh.

But whether all this be legal or not, it seems probable that a scene so infamous was seldom before exhibited to the world in the course of the administration of justice. A young female, termed and treated as a slave, living under the protection of the British Govern-ment, which, by an act of the supreme legislature, treats slavery as a felony, but in what way acquired as a slave nobody knows, is hired out to prostitution by her mistress, a professional bawd (who dares to avow that the support of forty people depend on this and another slave-girl), and apparently, in the course of this profession, having been hired out to the nawab Hadi Yar Khan and acquired his affection, is disposed to be repentant of her former way of life and to unite herself in marriage with this respectable party, and the nawab is equally desirous for the union,

But these just pursuits are thwarted, perhaps, and probably to the girl's irretrievable injury, to say nothing of the disappointment of the nawab.

The girl is debarred from appealing to a superior court, being seized on the way to it, and ultimately given over bodily to her mistress.

In fact, here is a practical denial of justice in one of the stages our regulations allow, and I think a great wrong, which can only be remedied by the superior court.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Wright, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Furruckabad, dated 15th February 1836, to the Register of the Court of Circuit for the Division of Bareilly.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the court's precept of the 6th instant, with the accom-

panying copy of an arzee. 1. The proceedings, in which 500 rupees were ordered to be levied from Hadi Yar Khan, But for the court's further were sent upon a former occasion, and are now before the court. But, for the court's further satisfaction and information, I do myself the honour of forwarding the proceeding, which contains a moochulka, the amount of which was to be levied from Hadi Yar Khan. 2. The court will observe that Hadi Yar Khan having, in opposition to the letter of the

moochulka, forcibly detained Gunna against the inclination of her mistress, and having likewise attempted to prevent the girl being again recovered by or restored to her, rendered him-

while altempted to prevent the gain being again recovered by or resource to her, rendered mini-self liable to the penalty which it became my duty to levy from him. 3. I should not be sorry if the court could discover cause for mitigating or altogether remitting the penalty; because I have every reason to suppose, that Hadi Yar Khan was led by the perincious advice given him by the sensitiedar of the Dewanny court to act the part he did; and that if left to himself he never would have attempted any thing a support the intervention of the most course of the most course. so improper; he is a man of high respectability, of fair character, and of the most correct deportment, so far as I know.

4. The court cannot fail to notice the very conspicuous part which Wellayut Ally Khan has acted in the affair in question; so far from showing or expressing continuon for his highly disrespectful and improper conduct, I know that he continues still to take a very active part in the business; the arzee given in by Abdul Razak, and denied by Gunna, J firmly believe to be his composition.

262.

5. H18

Appendix II, Returns.

Appendix II.

Returns

5. His pertinacious adherence to the line of improper conduct he has pursued in this affair has determined me to submit, for the determination of the court, whether he ought not to be removed from office; if offending ignorance is made to suffer fine and imprisonment, what does he deserve who, with the advantages of an excellent education, long expenence, a perfect knowledge of our regulations and laws, deliberately and contumaciously endeavours to resist the order of that court, of which he is a principal officer, without (if he is to be believed) being impelled to it by any motive of interest or of friendship for Hadi Yar Khan ?

I at Anal i 6. Should the court, however, be of opinion, that the punishment would exceed the offence, I beg of it to suspend any order on this point, that I may, at my earliest leisure, submit some further reasons, unconnected with this case, for the opinion I entertain, that Wellayut Ally Khan is unfit for and undeserving of the important office he fills. I did hope, that I should have been spared this inviduous task, by being relieved long ago from the charge of this district; but since my expectations have not been fulfilled, and he has in a manner brought one instance of his own misconduct before the court himself, I am resolved not to suffer my individual feelings to deter me any longer from performing an unpleasant part of my duty.

7. It may be proper to observe here, regarding the razeenama I forwarded to the court **a** few days ago, that it was very probably executed by the desire of Gunna's mistress and of some interested persons, because she did not acknowledge it with the same degree of alacrity with which she denied on oath all knowledge of the arzee signed by Abdul Razak; and we must suppose her to possess the feelings and sentiments common to our species; and the vanity peculiar to her sex, which would be gratified by her being rescued from prostitution, and becoming the wife of a man in so respectable a situation of hie as Hadi Yar Khan.

8. It was in this girl's case that I requested the opinion of the court as to the mode she should adopt to obtain emancipation, which she has been taught to hope she is entitled to by law. I hope the court may now be of opinion that it is not foreign to its office to assist my judgment in this matter, and that, in returning the proceedings to me, it will notice this point in any order it may have occasion to pass.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Leycester, Second Judge, and Mr. C. Elliott, Fourth Judge, Barelly Court of Circuit, dated 17th February 1816, to the Acting Magistrate of Zillah Furruckabad.

WE have received your letter of the 15th instant, in reply to our precept of the 6th, together with its enclosure.

A short English return, on the back of the said precept, referring to a Persian robekaree, specifying what has been done in pursuance thereof, was the reply the precept required; in the stead of which you enter into a long discussion, furnishing extra-judicial opinions of the lability of Hadi Yar Khan in your second paragraph, stating in your third paragraph your good opinion of Hadi Yar Khan, and that you should not be sorry if we could discover the cause for remitting the penalty, under the suppositious assumption that he was influenced by Willayut, Ally, expatiating further on the subject of Willayut Ally in your fourth, fith and sixth paragraphs, observing, in the seventh paragraph, that the razeenarms alluded to was probably executed at the desire of Gunna's mistress, as the vanity of the former would be gratified by being rescued from prostitution, and in the eighth that you hope we may assist your judgment in the proper mode to be pursued for the emancipation of a slave.

Many of these points might have been fit matter for discussion when you decided the case, but totally misplaced at present; and we do not wish to run the hazard of our judgment being influenced either way, by being informed of what may be your firm belief, or what you may have reason to suppose, in a case which we have had or may hereafter have before us, in any other than the known legal form of finding those sentiments in their proper place. The robekness deciding the cause.

ments in their proper place, the robekaree deciding the cause. With regard to the eighth paragraph, the specification of the name does not alter the grounds on which we gave our opinion on the abstract question; viz. that we are not the proper authority to construe any dubious point of law. The proceedings enclosed with your letter are returned.

ANSWEB of Mr. W. Wright, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Furruckabad, dated 28th • February 1816, to the Register of the Court of Circuit for the Division of Barelly.

I HAVE the honour of acknowledging the receipt of the court's letter of the 17th February 1816, replying to my address of the 15th, and objecting to the time and mode of submitting certain remarks therein conveyed.

It is not my practice, when I pass orders in Foujdary cases, to detail at length the ground on which they are passed, except on particular occasions, where some explanatory remarks appear necessary; in the present instance, since I had no discretionary authority sto remit wholly or in part the penalty which Hadi Yar Khan subjected himself to, and I could could not anticipate his intention to appeal, or foresee that the case would come ultimately under the notice of the court, there was no use in recording my sentiments where they would have found a place under different circumstances.

3. Scarcely was the order passed regarding Hadi Yar Khan, when the court, in a precept issued on Gunna's appeal, called for the record, whereby a stop was put to my proceedings before the case was finally disposed of, or any order, beyond forbidding him for the present to interfere in the business of the court, was passed regarding Willayut Ally Khan.

4. Had not this been the case—had the record been before me when the court's precept was received, or had I resolved on submitting Willayut Ally Khan's conduct for the consideration and final orders of the court, the remarks objected to, and a recommendation, in Hadi Yar Khan's favour, would have been introduced into a robekaree containing the order for sending up the record to the court.

5. Thus precluded from proceeding in the case, I wrote the letter. I was not aware that the remarks being submitted in that form, or in a robekaree, was a matter of the slightest consequence : either would be a public document and a part of the record; and I must further confess my want of discernment m not perceiving that the same sentiments expressed in a Persian robekaree might have a different result in influencing the opinion of the court when it came to revise the proceedings, if they found their way into an English letter standing in the place of a robekaree.

6. As to my remark regarding Gunna's razeenama, the two cases are intimately connected and closely interwoven with each other, and the two appeals arose out of the same proceedings. The razeenama was sent up immediately, and whilst I was sitting in court. Hence to me, little circumstances, which afterwards forcibly struck me on reflection, passed unnoticed when they should have been, and I resolved, as I believed it was my duty to do, to supply the omission, that the court might be guarded against receiving or acting unreservedly on that document.

ANSWEE of Mr. J. C. Dick, Assistant Zillah Furruckabad, dated 19th February 1816, to the Register to the Court of Circuit for the Division of Barelly.

I HAVE the honour to enclose a copy of my Persian proceeding of this date.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Leycester, Second Judge, Bareilly Court of Circuit, dated 21st February 1816, to the Assistant of the Magistrate of Futtehgur.

WE have received your letter, and its enclosure, of the 19th February, in part reply to the court's precept of the 12th instant.

The court is desirous of learning whether you received that precept, and acted on it of your own authority, or in what way, and when, and how you received it, and on what day the orders directed were issued.

It has at present a very singular appearance, that of the officers intrusted with the two processes, the one party should have been so zealously active in seizing back Gunna from Jellabad, and the other party apparently so remiss in executing the measures directed in our precept. You are directed to call on the foujdary nazir, to explain this seeming neglect of his inferior officers; and you will endeavour to ascertain and report in what mode Gunna, &c. became apprized of our address, so as to find an opportunity of escaping.

We have apprized of our address, so as to find an opportunity of escaping. You will also report, when the flight of Gunna, &c. became first known, whether measures were taken to pursue her into the Cawpore distinct, as were adopted into the Bareilly district, and if not, the cause of such different measures being taken.

Should, however, Mr. Wright in the meantime return, you will transmit the report required, of course, through him; otherwise, you will send it direct to this court, and with as little delay as possible.

ANSWEB OF Mr. J. C. Dick, Assistant Zillah Furruckabad, dated 8th of March 1816, to the Judges of the Court of Circuit for the Division of Barelly.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of a letter from your court dated the 21st of February. The actung magnetrate, having some public business to transact in the interior at this district, left the sudder station on the 16th of February, on which date he sent a number of papers to me, among which was the court's precept of 12th of the above month. As I wished to be informed in what manner I could best carry into execution the orders of the court, I wrote to the acting magnetize on the subject. In answer, he stated that it would be advisable to summon the parties specified in the precept through the nazir, and on their appearance, either to send them to Barelly under burkundazes, or to take mochulkas from them for their appearance before the court of Barelly. On the recept of the acting magnetize answer, I directed the nazir immediately to summon the parties. Two of them, viz. Abshoobra Suman Khan and Moher Ally Khan, appeared in court, and mochulkas of 50 rupees were taken from each for their appearance at the Barelly court within the period of five days. Moossamut Gunna having left Jellalabad before the notice was issued, the 262. T T 3

Appendix 1f. Returns.

Appendix II. Returns

summons could not be served on her; but on obtaining information that she had proceeded to Bittoor or Rujdyhan, I summoned her through the acting magistrate of Cawapore.

2. I regret it has not been in my power to ascertain in what manner Moossamut Gunna 2. I regret it has not been in my power to ascertain in what manner moossamut Guina became apprized of your orders, so as to find an opportunity of escaping. Should the court deem this explanation not perfectly satisfactory, I trust they will not impute any defect in the execution of their precept to neglect and remissness on my part. The case of the parties summoned had never been before me, and I was consequently unacquanted with the circum-ter the the explanation is appropriate that the neutring mode and not explanate on the parties of the summoned had never been before me, and I was consequently unacquanted with the circumstances, and had no reason to suppose that the regular mode would not ensure their appearance at the court.

ANSWER to Mr. M. H. Turnbull, Register, dated 16th June 1816, to the Bareilly Court of Circuit.

I am directed by the court of Nizamut to acknowledge the receipt of a letter from your second judge, dated the 15th April last, and its enclosure, relative to the proceedings and orders of the acting magistrate of zillah Furruckabad in the case of a female slave named Gunna

2. With a view to ascertain the accuracy of the futwah bearing the seal of cazes Mohumed Ibeg Alee, mooftee Syud Mohumed Wullee Ullah, moulvee Saeed-oodeen-khan, which accompanied the petition of Moossamut Gunna, dated the 28th December 1815, and filed by the assistant to the magistrate of Furruckabad on the 80th of that month, the law officers of the Nizamut Adawlut were desired, on the 29th ultimo, to state their opinion whether the futwah in question, assuming the facts on which it is founded, is conformable to the Mahomedan law.

3. The whole of the Persian proceedings and papers received with the letter of your second judge were also referred to the law officers for their information.

4. The answer of the Cawzy-ol-cuzat, and one of the mooftees of the Nizamut Adawlut, (the other being absent on leave) is herewith transmitted, together with the whole of the original papers of the case; and you are desired to forward it, or a copy of it, to the acting magistrate of Furruckabad.

5. It appears by the concurring opinion of the law officers of the Nizamut Adawlut, that the futwah delivered to the actung magistrate of Furruckabad, which declared the purchase of Gunna, as a slave, by Moossamut Jumeynt insufficient to establish a right of property, with reference to her not having been made captive in Jehad, or a war against infidels, and even if it were legally valid, that the purchaser has no right to compel him to an act of criminality, is strictly conformable to the Mussulman law.

6. This is also confirmed by an exposition of the Mahomedan law of slavery, received from the law officers of the Nizamut Adawlut, in answer to a reference made to them on

From the law oncers of the Mizamut Adawiut, in answer to a reference made to them on 28th April 1808, as will be fully communicated to you by the accompanying copy of the question fut to the law officers on that date, and of their answers thereto. 7. Under these circumstances, the court most deeply regret that Mr. Wright, without any judicial inquiry to ascertain the legal powers and right of Moossamut Jumeynt, should have thought himself justifiable in seizing the person of Moossamut Gunna, when on her way to Bareilly, for the purpose of being emancipated from prostution, and marrying the paweb Hadi Yer Khan adouting measures which had an immediate tendency to prevent nawab Hadi Yar Khan, adopting measures which had an immediate tendency to prevent such marriage, and formally delivering over Gunna to a woman who had avowedly hired her out for the purpose of prostitution, and professed her intention of doing so in future for her own support.

8. Although the court are unwilling to ascribe to the acting magistrate any other motive than a mistaken sense of duty, under the supposed legality of Jumeyut's claim, and her that a mistaken sense of daty, duct all support is any of a unit of the sense of the sense of the sense of Gunna, yet they cannot acquit Mr. Wright of a very mcautious and unjustifiable misapplication of the authority vested in him as a public magistrate for the promotion of justice and good morals, especially after he was advised of the Mahomedan law as applicable to the case.

9. The court must further express their concurrence in the sentiment of your second judge, namely, the irregular seizure of Gunna by the chuprassy of the cutwal of Jellalabad, without any written process, as well as upon an evident remissness in not taking measures for carrying into effect the order of the court of circuit, passed the 12th February, for

summoning Gunna to Barelly, until she had left Furruckabad. 10. It, appears from Mr. Dick's letter of the 8th March, that Mr. Wright left the sudder station for the purpose of transacting some business in the interior of the district on the 16th February. But, as he had previously received the order of the court of circuit, and it appears from the evidence of Meher Ally Khan, that Gunna and Jumeyut were both then at Furruckabad, the court are of opinion that he ought to have taken mmediate steps for executing the order of the court of circuit, instead of sending it with other papers and without any instructions to his assistant as stated by the latter, who was consequently at a loss how to proceed; and by the delay of a reference to the acting magistrate gave the parties ordered to attend the court of circuit an opportunity of leaving Furuckabad before the requisite process was issued. 11. The court direct that a copy of this letter be transmitted to the acting magistrate of

Furnickabad, for his information; and with an admonition to be careful in avoiding any similar neglect of duty, a recurrence of which would compel the court to report his conduct for the most serious notice of his Excellency the Governor-general in Council.

. .

ANSWER

ANSWER of Mr. F. Currie, Commissioner 5th Division Zillah Ghazeepore, to the Acting Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad, dated 23d December 1835.

1. MAGISTRATES acknowledge primâ facie no right of one man over the person or property of another. If such right is attempted to be established by a magistrate (as in the case of a master praying for the seizure of a runaway slave, or claiming the return of property that a person stated to be his slave holds), the magistrate refers the petitioner or complainant to the civil court to establish his legal claim. 2. In cases coming within the cognizance of the magistrates in their judicial capacity, those officers are not in the habit of admitting the plea of slavery to bar the punishment to which an offender would be subject for a breach of any of the regulations of the penal code. 3. There are certainly no cases in which the magistrates afford less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters.

than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters.

ANSWER of Mr. G. Mainwaring, Civil and Session Judge, Zillah Goruckpore, dated 16th February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

I HAVE the honour to state for information of the court, that on inquiry I cannot discover that the practice of slavery in any form has ever existed in this district. After a strict search, I can only find one case amongst the records of the judge's court, in which an individual a can puty use one case amongst the records of the judge 8 court, in which an individual sued for the recovery of a slave-girl, and in that the cause of action originated in Shahabad, and the claim of the plantiff was dismissed. I will, however, proceed to offer such obser-vations as have been suggested to me by the perusal of Mr. Millett's letter, and as have resulted from my experience and practice in other parts of India.

2. The legal rights of masters over their slaves with regard to their persons and property practically recognized in the several courts in which I have presided as magistrate, have never, to the best of my recollection, extended beyond that of masters over their apprentices, according to the English laws, their engagements or indentures being equally hable to be annulled on a plea of ill-usage or other good grounds shown, the denomination being in fact hypothetical, and having no affinity to the term as applied to the system prevailing or heretofore prevailing in other parts of the globe.

3. As a magistrate, I invariably gave the same consideration to complaints preferred by slaves against their owners, as I would have done to those of domestic servants against slaves against their owners, as a would have done to done to done as much under the protec-their masters; in fact, looking upon them in every point of view as much under the protec-tion of the laws as free persons. In the Banda zulah court petitions were frequently pre-cented to the magistrate for the apprehension of slave-girls said to have absconded. The same assistance was given as would have been afforded to a master complaining of the deser-tion of his private servant. But, on the plea advanced and established by the girls, that they were forcibly detained for the purposes of prostitution, they were summarily declared free, the complainants being referred for redress to the civil court, where only any observance of the Mahomedan or Hindoo law as relates to slavery is, as far as my experience goes, enforced. If asked by what law or principle I was guided in my practice as a magistrate, I would answer, by the laws of humanity, and the principles of equity and good conscience.

4. I cannot call to mind having in my practice in the civil courts ever had causes to decide involving the points adverted to in Mr. Millett's letter. In the few suits relative to decide involving the points adverted to m that patients between the two problems of the law before me, I have, to the best of my recollection, been guided by the exposition of the law by the Mahomedan or Hindoo law officer, as the case might be.

by the exposition of the law by the Mahomedan or Hindoo haw officer, as the case might be. 5. In Behar and Tirhoot domestic slavery prevails to a surprising extent. This fact first came to my notice when on my circuit at Mozufferpore, as commissioner of Sarun division. On examination of the registry books, I found one book entitled "Ijaranamahs" (deeds of lease or tenure) which was solely set apart for the entry of indentures, binding an individual or a whole family, in most cases for a trifling consideration, to slavery or servitude for a period tantamount to perpetuity. I have a perfect recollection of one entry, in which an individual bound himself, his wife and children, and children's children, to servitude for a period of 99 years; the consideration was 19 rupees, and the purchaser or holder of the deed was a vakel in the judge's court. This circumstance led me to inquire whether such cases was a vakeel in the judge's court. This circumstance led me to inquire whether such cases were often litigated, and, if so, by what principle the courts were generally guided in their decisions. I perused several cases sent to me by the judge of Sarun, chiefly investigated by the sudder ameens and moonsiffs, and the decisions appeared to me all to depend upon the presiding authorities' ideas of equity, without reference to law. In one I recollect the deci-sion gave freedom to the slave (the plaintiff) on the condition of his repaying the net sum for which he had compromised his liberty (12 rupees), his services being considered as an equivalent to the interest. The decision was upheld in appeal.

ANSWER of Mr. A. P. Currie, Joint Magistrate of Zillah Goruckpore, dated 28th January 1836, to the Officiating Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that no cases of the description mentioned therein* have been brought forward in this court since I have been in charge of it.

Mr. Millett's letter, 10th October 1835.

Appendix II.

Returns.

No. 85.

No. 86.

Appendix II.

ANSWER of Mr. Wellesley Barlow, Zillah Ghazeepore, dated 28th December 1835, to the Acting Register of the Court of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

Returns. No. 28.

2. No case between a master and slave having ever come under my cognizance during the eight years that I have been vested with either the civil or criminal jurisdiction of different districts, I am unable to state from experience what are the legal rights of masters over slaves practically recognized by the Company's courts and the magistrates; or, in other words, what is the practice of those courts in all cases of slavery; while, as regards this district, Ghazeepore, upon reference to the civil records, I find that only one civil action of this nature has been instituted since the establishment of the zilah. This was a suit by Jaffer Ali Khan in 1823, to obtain possession of a femile slave, by name Moossamut Muhtab, who had during a year of scarcity been let out on hire to him, in leu of two rupces, for the period of 90 years, by her mother, Jhahan, the defendant in the suit. The suit was referred to the sudder ameen for decision, and was upon default of defendant decided *ex-parte*.

Referred to the sudder attent for decision, and was upon decisit of decisition decisities exparts. 3. With reference to paragraph 4 of the secretary's letter, I beg to state, that under Mt. Colebrooks's exposition of the Hindoo law, and under the existing enactments regarding slavery, as cited by the law commissioners, I presume that a magistrate, when taking cognisance of the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master, would, like the Sudder Dewanny pundits alluded to by Mr. Macnaghten, "be guided by reason rather than express law;" for Regulation VIII. of 1799, the only penal regulation that would bear on the case, relates only to cases of murder, and not to maltreatment.

4. In answer to the questions contained in paragraph 5 of the letter of the secretary to the law commissioners, I may state—

1st. That I would not support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, such claim being (I adopt the law as laid down by the commissioners) contrary to the law of the claimant; and that, in doing so, I should consider myself acting according to justice, equity and good conscience. 2d. That I would admit a claim of a Hindoo master over a Mussulman slave, such

2d. That I would admit a claim of a Hindoo master over a Mussulman slave, such claim being primâ facie legal (I again take the law as laid down by the commissioners); and I would decide the case according to its merits, throwing the onus probandi of the law upon the claimant.

3d. Slavery not being sanctioned by any system of law which is recognized and administered by the British Government, except the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws, I would not, under the discretionary power vested in the civil courts by section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, admit or enforce any claim to property, possession, or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, and against any other than a Mussulman or Hindoo defendant.

No. 89.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Jackson, Additional Judge, Zillah Ghazeepore, dated 16th December 1835, to the Acting Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. No cases have been made over to me, as additional judge of Ghazeepore, in the remotest degree connected with this subject. But in the course of five years, during which I performed the duties of judge and magistrate of zillah Behar, where slavery is much more prevalent than here, I had frequent occasion to notice the defective state of the law on this head. And as it was necessary to dispose of the cases which arise in some manner, my practice was to adhere strictly to the regulations, as far as they established rules for my guidance, and beyond that to follow the course which appeared to me most consistent with justice and humanity.

3. For the limits of the legal rights of masters over their slaves, after the paramount authority of the regulations, I have endeavoured to follow the precepts of the Mussulman and Hindoo laws: but I have not considered myself bound to observe them where they appeared grossly at variance with the dictates of humanity. In civil cases, I have found no difficulty in observing them strictly, but in matters of a criminal nature, although admitting the right of the master to the services of his slave, I have not considered him at liberty to enforce that right by violence or cruelty: by this rule, the only point left to the arbitrary determination of the magistrate is the limit between slight or salutary correction and fruelty; and it is but seldom that a case occurs in which it is necessary to fix the boundary with great precision. In the same manner I have considered slaves responsible for their acts to the same extent as freemen. In both instances, I have acted on the ground that the penal provisions of the regulations contain no reservation in favour of either masters or slaves. Had it been intended to recognize an authority in masters to maltreat their slaves, or to extend to slaves the indulgence granted by the Mahomedan law, the legislature would no doubt have expressed such recognitions distinctly; it would be rather a stretch of interpretation to assume this intention on the part of the government.

4. With regard to the order of the sudder court in the case of Zuhoorun, I have always viewed it in this light. Under the interpretation of the Hindoo and Mahomedan law, given in Mr. Macnaghten's treatise, violence or cruelty to a slave by his master does not authorize the ruling power to emancipate the slave; although the master is liable to fine in some cases by both laws. But the sudder court found it necessary to provide against a repetition of the the violence; and as this could not be done effectually if the slave were returned to her master, they gave her her hberty; and in doing so, I think they were justified by the general authority vested in them by the regulations, although not by the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws.

6. If a claim over a slave were advanced before me by a Mussulman or Hindoo, and it should appear that by the law of the claimant the slavery is illegal, I should consider the claimant legally incapable of preferring such a claim, and dismiss it accordingly; on the same principle I should not admit the right of any person not being a Hindoo or Mussulman to possess slaves in British India. If a claim were advanced against a person not being, either a Hindoo or Mussulman, besides acrutinizing the validity of the claim under the claimant's law, I should take into consideration any general claim to exemption from slavery advanced by the person claimed. Until the enactment of Regulation VII. of 1832, it was a principle of the regulations, that where the parties (Hindoos and Mussulmans) are of different persuasions, the law of the defendant shall be observed; but this would not prevent a judge from ascertaning whether the claimant under his own law is capable of preferring his claim. I do not at present recollect, whether cases of exactly this description have owne before me: but the principles above mentioned are those on which I have acted in all cases. I have never known a claim of slavery brought against a Christian; but if he were a native of India, I see. Bo reason of exempting him from such claims.

6. Slavery is far from being the only point in which it is necessary for judicial officers in this country to exercise a discretionary power in the administration of justice. This may at first sight appear very objectionable; but I believe there are points of this nature to be found in every system of law. The great infrequency of the occasions requiring the exertion of such a power renders the defect rather nominal than real. I believe it is considered at least doubtful whether too much detail and an attempt to be exact and complete is not the greater defect of the two in this country; where we find two systems of ciril law, totally differing from each other, and each forming a part of the religion of a great portion of the natives, it is but natural that such difficulties should arise. We cannot be said to have a code of laws in India; the early regulations laid down merely forms of procedure and a few general administration of justice, and to prevent inconvenience arising from difficulties unforeseen by the legislature, they established in the sudder court an authority capable of preparing new laws, and vested exclusively with the power of interpreting those which existed. Probably this method of allowing the law to grow out of the necessities of the country was the best which could be adopted for the purpose of introducing gradual improvement and avoiding the shock of a violent transition from bad to good; during the progress of improvement, however, the local officers must expect to continually find cases upprovided for, and must do the best in their power to meet such exigencies.

ANSWER of Mr. E. Peploe Smith, Magistrate, Zillah Ghazeepore, dated 26th January 1836, to the Officiating Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. Is reply to the first question, viz., What legal rights of masters over their slaves, with regard both to their persons and property, are practically recognized by the magistrates?—I would premise that, as far as my own experience goes, cases requiring the magistrate to pass an opinion on the relative rights of master and slave are of extremely rare-occurrence; a circumstance which, I am told, naturally results from the mutual understanding between the parties, and generally among the people, that domestic slavery is not contrary to the law, or at variance with the practice of their Bittish rulers; that the master has an undoubted right over the person and property of his slave, as much as over any other personal or real property purchased or possessed by himself or bis ancestors; and that nothing short of gross injustice or crueity on the part of the master towards his slave, or of treachery and dishonesty on the part of the latter towards his master, would justify an appeal to the criminal court. Such being the prevailing notions on the subject, whenever a case of collision does arise, the practice observed is, in the first place, non-interference, as far as may be possible; and ultimately, so far to respect the customs as to prevent the issue of any order of manumission* or exemption from service or other legal obligatiou. Au reste, all claimants to the person or property of any slave, under an instrument of sale, mortgage or otherwise, would of course be referred to the civil court. An example of this occurred at Ghazepore, in the year 1820, the circumstances of which are briefly as follows:—A. charged B. with forehby and illegally detaining from him his wife and child, praying the assistance of the magistrate to cause him to deliver them up. An inquiry was accordingly directed, from which it was elicited that the woman had been purchased by B. when an infant, and had acted as his female slave (kuncez) ever since; that on her arriving at the age of puberty, she had, with her master's consent, been united

3. With

No. 90.

Appendix II.

Fide Spirit of Regulation X. of 1811; also Construction S. N. A., No 99, 26th April 1812, and Circular Order N. A., No. 141, dated 5th October 1814. 262. UU

Appendix II.

Returns.

3. With reference to the second question, it appears to me that the magistrate would regard the relation of master and slave precisely in the light of parent and child, as justifying in the former instance that degree of correction and reproof which is universally and avowedly exercised by the latter; provided always that the measure of punishment were within rea-sonable bounds. And in this way, no doubt, acts which would be unjustifiable towards a free person, and punishable under the regulations, would come to assume a different chafree person, and punishable under the regulations, would come to assume a different cha-racter, and either pass unnoticed by the magistrate, as warranted by the misconduct of the slave, or would be visited with a greater degree of lenity than ordinary cases. But in well-founded complaints of cruelty or hard usage, preferred by slaves against their masters, every protection would be extended to the former which could be claumed by an indifferent person. recept, indeed, that of emancipation, which nothing short of the most extraordinary mai-treatment would authorize. With the indulgences which, in criminal matters, the Mussulman slaves enjoyed under the Mahomedan law, the magistrate has nothing whatever to do, nor is any regard paid to such considerations in the trial of criminal cases.

4. In regard to the third question, I am decidedly of opinion that no case could arise in which, practically, less protection would be afforded to slaves than to free persons against which, precisionly, response of the information of the start of the personal against other wrong-doers than their masters, merely on the ground of their being slaves; the amount of injury in both cases being, according to the immutable dictates of justice, equal and identical; though here we shall, I fancy, be at issue with our native subjects, according to whose notions a becoming respect and consideration is due to rank and caste, and con-sequently to the freeman over the slave.

5. With respect to the fourth question, I would observe, that the criminal courts of first resort are guided in their decisions solely and exclusively by the regulations; or, where they resort are ginded in their decisions solely and exclusively by the regulations; or, where they fail, by the principles of justice, equity and good conscience, the operation of the Hindoo and Mahomedan law of slavery being wholly inapplicable to the proceedings of a magnetrate. Thus, whatever might be the law, or construction of the law, as given by the eminent authorities cited in the second and third paragraphs of the secretary's letter, in regard to the liabilities of a Hindoo master for maltreatment of his Hindoo slave, that offence would be (with the reservation noticed in the answer to the first query) just as cognizable by the criminal court as if the prosecution were laid under Regulation IX. of 1793, Regulation IX. of 1807, or any other criminal enactment. Nor would any plea of proprietary right be admitted in defence of oppression, or as evenpting the master convicted of cruelty towards his slave from the usual penalties awarded in such cases.

6. The fifth and last questions relate exclusively to the civil courts, and therefore do not

6. The first and last questions relate exclusively to the civil courts, and therefore do not belong to this report.
7. Having answered, to the best of my ability and judgment, the questions proposed for the consideration of the court, as far as they related to my office, I will take the liberty of adding a few words as to the actual operation of the system, and its influence on the happiness of the enslaved; premising, however, that, in what may be advanced, I am not speaking my own sentiments on the subject of slavery, but merely the result of my inquiries in the trial methods.

speaking my own sentiments on the subject of slavery, but merely the result of my inquiries into the actual working of the system, considered apart from the courts of justice. 8. Judging, then, from the familiar communications of several natives of both persuasions, with whom I have conversed on the subject generally, the system would appear to be most prevalent in large towns and cities, where society being more refined, the value of personal service is far greater than in the less inhabited parts of the country; that it is altogether of a domestic character, hearing a close affinity to the relation of master and servant, or parent or ad while, that charge house convolved as absolute herediatery are not stoff the domestic character, hearing a close annuly to the relation of maker and servant, or parent and child; that slaves, being considered as absolute hereditary property, any act of the legislature, having for its object their emancipation, would be viewed by the masters as an interference with their private rights and interests, while the parties themselves who must be supposed to possess interest in the question, viz., the slaves, instead of being an oppressed and unfortunate race, are, generally speaking, better cared for and happier than any otherclass of domestic servants.

No. 91.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Thomason, Magistrate, Zillah Azimgurh, dated 15th December 1835, to the Officiating Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahaba.

2. I AM not aware of any regulation which would authorize a magistrate to recognize in the master any further power over his slave than he would possess over any other servant. I should certainly punish a master for an assault on his slave; and I would decline to aid a master in the recovery of his fugitive slave. Proof of any specific contract existing between the master and reputed slave would, of course, bring the case within the contem-plation of clause 4, section 6, Regulation VII. of 1619; but this is perfectly a distinct case.

No. 92.

ANSWER of Mr. B. Tayler, Judge, Zillah Jounpoor, dated 2d December 1835, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. I Am not aware that slavery exists at all in this district. I have never heard of complaint being preferred by a slave against his master for ill-treatment, nor of a master apply-ing to the court to enforce the services of a slave. A practice obtains of mortgaging the services of children for a certain number of years, commensurate to the probable term of hfe.

life, but it is never enforced. When the children arrive at maturity, they remain with their masters or not, as they please. In all cases they receive wages and food; and in the event of harsh treatment, immediately leave their masters and seek for service elsewhere; and I do not believe any attempt would be made to compel them to return.

ANSWER of Mr. C. Tulloh, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Jounpoor, dated 24th December 1835, to the Officiating Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. SLAVERY in this district, as far as I am aware, does not exist in its true acceptation, nor have any cases connected with slaves been brought to my notice; some there are, I

nor nave any cases connected with slaves open brought to my notice; some there are, I believe, who are denominated slaves, *i. e.*, slave-girls; but they, I have always understood, are looked upon as belonging to the family, and are kindly treated. 8. Did slaves complain of receiving ill-treatment from their masters, I would investigate the cases alike with others, without any distinction, and punish the defendants or owners accordingly. The right of the master over the slave I would not recognize; moreover, if slaves ran away with property belonging to their owners, I would issue orders for their caprune; and I would punish them as thereas, and afterwards set them at large. If slaves ran away, provided no property was stolen by them, I would take no steps to apprehend them.

4. No cases having been brought to my notice, I cannot pass an opinion on the subject ; but were complaints filed in my court by either owner or slave, I would investigate them as between man and man, and not as between master and slave.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Gorton, Civil and Session Judge of Benares, dated 23d January 1836, to the Officiating Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. I HAVE no recollection of any case between master and slave having come under my consideration, either in the civil or criminal court, in the course of my experience; nor have I any reason to believe that cases of this nature (that is, complaints by slaves against their masters for cruelty or ill-usage) are at all common or frequent; indeed, I rather incline to the opinion that it is the reverse, and that slaves are generally treated with great kindness and consideration; exceptions to this rule may be met with, but I think very rarely.

8. As far as I can learn, the practice of the courts does not recognize any other relation between a master and his slave than that of master and servant (not a slave) in ordinary cases, that is, in cases of cruelty or ill-treatment; nor am I aware that less protection is ever afforded to a slave than a free person under circumstances stated in the third paragraph

of Mr. Millett's letter. 4. With reference to the fourth and fifth paragraphs of Mr. Millett's letter, it must depend much on the nature of the claims pieferred, whether the courts would be authorized in admitting them ; for, although no express law or regulation may exist, the courts are to be guided by justice, equity and good conscience in such cases.

ANSWER of Mr. D. B. Morrison, Magistrate of Benares, dated 20th January 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nızamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

THOSE officers who have presided in the civil court will best be able to explain the principles upon which their practice has been founded; and it will be, perhaps, sufficient for me to state, that if I were called upon to decide regarding either the persons or property of slaves, I should have no hesitation, however unwilling I might be to do it, in decreeing in favour of masters in cases of clearly-established slavery; where there existed the slightest doubt of the legality of the slavery under the Hindoo or Mahomedan laws, as interpreted by Messrs. Colebrooke and Macnaghten, I should allow it to weigh in favour of the slave.

I am aware of no case in which the relation of master and slave has been recognized, in the criminal court here, as justifying acts which otherwise would be punishable, or as con-stituting a ground for mitigation of punishment; nor am I aware of any instance in which such relation has ever been used as a plea either of justification or mitigation. I consider always that slaves are as much entitled to protection, on complaints preferred by them of cruelty on hard usage by their masters, as any freeman; in fact, I observed that it had all along been the system at Benares to consider a slave as much as possible, in criminal matters, upon an equality with a freeman. Such a system I have endeavoured to uphold ; and I am convinced that in punishing, upon clear evidence, a master for maltreating a slave, mone of the native community would think that any illegal or unjust stretch of authority had been exerted. I must, at the same time, state, that the general treatment of slaves is so been exercised. I hous, at the same time, state, that the general dealinent of states is so good, that there is hardly ever any cause of dissatisfaction; they are, in fact, upon nearly the same footing as servants, excepting that they cannot sue summarily before the magine-trate for any wages or remuneration for their services, though in every other respect, as far as regards their personal treatment, they find equal protection with a fiee labourer. It 262. 11 11 2

No. 95.

No. 93.

No. 94.

Appendix II. Returns.

Appendix Ll.

Returns.

It is nowhere enacted in the regulations, that the condition of slavery places a man-out of the bounds of that protection which the Biritish Government has all along professed to extend to every denomination of its subjects; and the general intent of these regulations sufficiently, in my opinion, warrants a conclusion in favour of the mal-treatment of any slave, be he Hindoo or Mahomedan, being cognizable by the criminal courts.

I am of opinion, that should the rule laid down in section 3, Regulation VIII. of 1795, be deemed inapplicable to such cases, no person ought to be judically pronounced a slave unless the claimant can prove him to be one legally according to his (that is, the claimant's) own law; and I think that, with the exception of Hindoos and Mussulmans, no other class of our subjects can bring into court or expect a court to enforce any claim to pro-perty, possession or service of a slave; for, saving by the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws, slavery is not sanctioned by any system of law recognized and administered by the British Government.

No. 96.

ANSWER of Mr. H. H. Thomas, Civil and Session Judge of Mirzapore, dated 25th January 1836, to the Officiating Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. It has so rarely happened to me to have the trial of cases, civil or criminal, involving the relative rights of master and slave, that I can scarcely offer an opinion grounded our judicial experience; I will, however, state what would be my probable mode of proceeding in emergencies. If, for instance, a slave complained of his master's cruelty towards him, and I found, after investigation, that the master had but inflicted a slight correction for some alleged fault, I do not think that I should take further notice of the complaint; if, however, the conduct of the master were proved to have been wantonly tyrannical or unneces-sarily severe, then I should not scruple to visit him with as heavy a penalty as if he had assaulted a freeman. In thus affording to the slave whatever protection was in my power, by taking strict cognizance of his master's ill-treatment, I conceive that I should act agreeably to the spirit of our regulations, which, being immical to the whole system of slavery, could not consistently permit the plea of proprietary right to be urged as ground for mitigation of punishment.

8. But whatever plea may be set up by the master in justification of his inhumanity, and whatever weight it may have with the court, it appears to me clear that the slave ought to be fully protected from all other wrong-doers, who can have no plausible pretence for mobesting him. Being in a state of bondage, and, in most instances, the property of an indi-vidual, it is highly improbable that a slave should intentionally come in collision with others than his master.

4. With advertence to the fifth paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, I am of opinion that, 4. With advertence is the first paragraph of Mr. While is letter, and of opinion that, in the absence of a particular law, the decision of cases of the nature therein described should be governed (as section 9, Regulation VII. of 1882, has provided) "by the prin-ciples of justice, equity and good conscience;" that is to say, justice, equity and good conscience according to British notions of those virtues, which would incline to give to the slave the benefit of any doubts or difficulties attending a claum to his person or property.

No. 97.

ANSWER of Mr. W. H. Benson, Officiating Commissioner of Circuit, Fourth Division, dated 20th January 1836, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. I SHOULD premise that the only cases connected with slavery with which I can tax 2. A SHOULD premise that the only cases connected with slavery with which I can tax my recollection as having come under my notice during my period of service (which has been passed chieffy in this presidency and in the judicial branch of the service), were, 1st, a case of attempt to dispose of a child as a slave by persons charged with having kidnapped a free child for that purpose; and, 2d, a charge of the preferred by a proprietor against a slave, in the investigation of which the general regulations as applicable to cases in which free persons might have been concerned had free course. I have never had occasion to be apprendent the rest of the service against a start the rest of the service against a start of the service against against against a start of the service against agains recognize, by any judicial act, the proprietary claim of one man over another; under these ircumstances, I am unable to answer the first query as to the practice of the courts in the becognition of legal rights of masters over slaves in these provinces.

3. The same bar is opposed to my affording information regarding the second and third queries, as far as the practice of the courts is concerned; but I feel bound to state, that I should conceive it incumbent upon me, under the general regulations, in the cases con-templated in these queries, to administer the same measure of justice, and to extend equal

a. In reply to the fourth query, I may state that the regulations of government.
a. In reply to the fourth query, I may state that the regulations of government in regard to pusishments for cracity or any minor amount of bodily ill-usage, making no distinction-between bond and free, I should consider myself bound by them to make no distinction in practice. One particular case of eruelty is specifically provided for by the circular order, Dizamut Adawlut, No. 4, of 27th April 1796. 4. With regard to the hypothetical case put in the first part of the four h paragraph, the

RELATING TO SLAWERY IN THE EAST INDIES.

laws of the plaintiff and defendant differing regarding the legality of the claim, I should unhesitatingly prefer that which should be most favourable to the slaves, more particularly as it would be contrary to reason that, in such a case, the plaintiff should be benefited by a law which he did not himself recognize; and in reply to the second hypothesis, contained in the same paragraph, I may observe, that I should reject, under the existing regulations, a claim to property in a slave instituted by any person not being a Mussulman or Hindoo, as well as a claim made by any person whatsoever against any other than a Mussulman or Hindoo: in the former case, on the ground already stated, that the plauntiff has no right to benefit contrary to the dictates of humanity by a law which he does not recognize; and, in the latter case, I should consider myself bound to be guided by the law of the defendant, which, in the absence of any direct regulation or construction, must be taken to be that of an ordinary British subject, in settlements in which slavery is not authorized by law.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Dunsmure, Civil and Session Judge of Zillah Allahabad, dated 8th December 1835, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. I BEG to state, that no cases connected with slavery have come before me, either in the civil or sessions courts. So far as this district is concerned, I should say that slavery exists but up name; for the term "slave" appears too harsh to apply to a class of people who are generally treated in every respect like any nember of the family with whom they are living, During the late famine in Bundelkund, had the practice of purchasing children been prohibited, thousands must have died from actual starvation.

3. The courts in this district do not appear to recognize any legal rights of masters over their slaves, and would undoubtedly punish them for crueity or hard usage, without any advertence to their relative position. I should consider myself bound to afford the same protection to a slave as to a free person, and such would appear to be the principle on which the courts have acted; but in matters connected with slavery, the local authorities have no defined law or rule of practice to proceed upon, and the course of their proceedings is guided either by what usage has sanctioned or reason may dictate.

ANSWER Of Mr. A. Spiers, Officiating Magistrate of Zıllah Allahabad, dated 23d November 1835, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. THE question relative to the laws applicable will, I presume, be answered by the court. My observations shall be chiefly confined to practice. There are two classes of persons in bondage; 1st, slaves in the usual acceptation of the word, and 2d, persons who, for a certain sum, have motogaged their labour, and bound themselves to serve their creditors till the debt be liquidated. Many of the first class are the offspring of those who have themselves (as their fathers were) been slaves. This class are added to and acquired chiefly in time of general distress, famine, and the like. The master purchases the children from the parents. They are all brought up to profess the Mussulman faith, and none of another faith are held as slaves by Mussulmans.

The regulations of the British Government not having specifically exempted any class of persons from the protection of the magistrate, he grants, without reference to Hindoo or Mahomedan, a slave the same protection as any other person, and punishes a master to the same extent for ill-treating a slave as he would for ill-treating a freeman. As to service, the slaves would be treated as menial servants; in short, slaves are treated as freemen, and the same protection is granted to them. For several years past, the magistrates here have refused to lend their and to apprehend and restore to a master a runaway slave. This is a considerable check on the master. Any excess of bad usage or hard work induces the slave to abscond. It is not the custom, in this part of the country, for masters to sell or otherwise dispose of their slaves. They remain in the family so long as the mester can support them; if his means fail, the slaves leave and work for themselves. In one instance, I have heard of the slave supporting his late master's widow. Slaves are never hired out by their masters for the sake of gain. In Hindoo families, the slaves are generally Kuhars, Ahurs or Chumars, who retain their

In fundoo families, the slaves are generally Kuhars, Aburs or Chumars, who retain their distinct caste. The observations above made are generally applicable to Hindoo slaves.

The second class of persons in bondage are generally employed in agricultural labour; while those of the first class are employed in-doors. A very considerable number of the ploughmen are persons bound to labour for their creditor. The debts are at first generally between 20 and 30 rupees. They receive scanty, variable wages, and cast-off clothes. They are at liberty to work for themselves when their services are not required by their masters. Some masters claim a right of transferring them to another person, who made good to hum the sum advanced. This, however, is seldom, if ever, done; the debtors generally manage to select a creditor. Most persons seem to think, that when a master becomes unable to support his hurwa, the latter may shift for himself. On the death of the original debtor, the sons become answerable for the debt in equal proportion, and are bound to serve till their share be liquidated. Daughters are not auswerable for debts. Many of this class have been from generation to generation in one family. Till a few years ago, runaway hurwas were serzed by the magniture, and made over to their creditors or masters. This is not now done. Mastens are referred to the civil courts for recovery of advances. When hurwas leave a 262. $0 \le 3$.

Appendix II.

Returns,

No. 98.

No. 99.

Appendix II, Returns. master and go elsewhere, it is understood amongst the people that the new master should repay the sum advanced to the hurwa. Debtors of this class are treated in every respect as freemen.

The remarks made are applicable to this part of the country. I know that in Behar, and more to the east, real slavery exists : here it exists but in name.

No. 100.

ANSWER OF Mr. S. Fraser, Judge, Zillah Bundelkund, dated 15th March 1836, to the Officiating Register to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Allahabad.

AFTER inquiry, I cannot discover that any cases of slavery have ever been brought forward in the civil court of this division.

2. The only cases which have ever come to my knowledge are those of alave-girls escaping from the palace at Delhi, which are always referred to the criminal court. I believe at one time it was usual, on the establishment of the claim of the owner, to restore the fugitive, but for some time past this has been discontinued, and no claim of this description is recognized, nor any right of restraint over the person of any individual, on the plea of ownership, make or female, admitted. The latter, consequently, in oriminal matters, enjoy all the privileges of other members of the community.

No, 101.

ANSWER OF Mr. R. C. C. Clark, Acting Magistrate, Bundelkund, dated 2d January 1838, to the Officiating Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. In reply to the 1st question, it may, perhaps, without fear of contradiction be stated, that there is a general want of legal information and established course of proceeding m almost every office, entailing a proportionate degree of uncertainty in the decisions of the magsterial authorities on cases of the above nature coming before them for adjudication; and it would therefore be impossible to lay down any clear and determined rules of guidance as those practically recognized by the Company's courts, every magistrate being, I believe, in the habit of using his own discretion, subject to the dictates of reason, justice and humanity. These decisions are, doubtless, in many instances repugnant to Mahomedan law; which, while it provided for the food, clothing, marriage and protection of the slave, as stated in the bab-ol-bukkook of the imam Azzum, does not tolerate the authority of the ruling power to bestow freedom, on proof of crulity or ill-usage, in the habool-kuza of the same lawyer. With the view of throwing further light on the subject, I might here marrate a case which occurred a few years since at Mooradabad, inductating the extent of the legal right of the master over the children and property of a deceased slave, as admitted in a magistrate's court, which would seem to be perfectly analogous to the old Roman law. A respectable native, named Allodeen Khan, of the slaves juraned "Contubernales." A respectable native, named Allodeen Khan, of the slave is possessed of two alaves, a man and woman, whom he marred. The woman went astray; and her husband dying a short period after, and leaving two daughters and considerable effects, she came forward and claimed her offspring and the property, as the lawful heir. This being objected to by the master, the case came into court, and two furthers were obtained, both of which were in favour of the master on the grounds that, as he had a right of property in the parents, all that they possessed with their children must also necessarily be his, and that more specia

Suited, and the master admitted as the legal heir of his slave. 8. With reference to the 2d and 4th questions, I beg to submit, that the same protection of person is to the best of my knowledge extended to a slave as to a freeman against crucity or hard usage, and that the relation of master and slave would not justify any act on the part of the former against the latter, which would otherwise be punishable in any other person, or be deemed a legal ground of mitigation. With regard to the indulgences alluded to in the second paragraph of the letter under reply, which I conceive to be the same as those mentioned in the bab-col-hukkook of imam Azzumi, I should deem it my duty to adhere as closely as possible to the spirit of the Mahomedan law.

4. The 3d question may be replied to in the negative, which it may be observed is in express conformity with the principles of jurisprudence laid down by the imam above quoted, who, while sanctioning exemptions of kisss in the case of a slave slam by his master, provides for the protection of the slave if ill-used or killed by any other person, in opposition to the absurd doctrine of the imam Shafee, who argues, that if a freeman kill a slave he shall pay devut or 5,000 derums to the master, because (adds the sophist) the word kisas juplies equality which does not exist between a freeman and a slave; but that if a slave slay a slave, or a slave a freeman, he shall suffer kisas, for in the former case they are equals, and that if a man be not exempt from such penalty for killing an equal, how much less orght he to be so far killing a superior? He also goes on to say, that a man shall suffer kisas for slaving a woman and a woman for a man, thereby obtaining a principle contrary to the maxim of his own doctrine of equality as above engound. The imam Azzum on the other hand urges that kisas has only reference to equality in the religion of the partners, for that the fact of kisas being demandable from a slave for slaying a freeman who are not equals, neffuces the doctrue of its applicability to persons. Again, that the law of the Pro-

phet ordains that a man shall die for a man, a woman for a woman, a freeman for a freeman, a slave for a slave; and that as the imam Shafee admits that a man is not exempt from kisas for killing a woman, or a woman for a man, the same principle ought legitimately to be applied to freemen and slaves, on both of which last-mentioned points the law of the Prophet is neither clear or expressed.

5. With regard to paragraph 5, whether the court would support the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, when, according to Hindoo law the slavery is legal, but, according to the Mahomedan law, illegal, and vice versá, it might with propriety be suggested, that the onus of proving an exclusive right over any person as a slave ought to rest with the master, and that where he is unable legally to establish such claim agreeably to the tenets and precepts of his own religion, be he Mahomedan or Hindoo, the claimant's title might with good justice be deemed inadmissible, for the Mahomedan law ordains that the followers of the Prophet shall not exercise any power over any persons as slaves, excepting those who can be proved to be such by the Mahomedan law, and it would require no great strain of inductive reasoning or moral argument to apply the same rule of guidance in adjudicating cases of that nature in which Hindoo masters might be one of the parties. Such at least would be the maxims to which I should adhere.

6. A great improvement would seem to have progressively taken place in the condition of the slave. They now rarely endure any physical duresse, and are generally treated as a part of the family; while formerly it would appear to have been a leading characteristic among both Hindoos and Mussulmans, to look upon them rather as transferable property than rational beings. Still the stigma that is attached to slavery is not obliterated; there is a personal restraint, and infringement of liberty; and it needs no eloquence to show that they are a degraded race, and not at their own disposal. The body may not suffer, but the moral servitude is retained, and the mind remains sordid and debased, and man still unjustly inherits that which should only be imposed on the public offender, ob maken actionis. The immediate emancipation of all male slaves, with a prohibitory edict against the exertion of authority in future over any man as a slave, might without fear of opposition be decided on and enforced. The present state of society, however, and the jealous suspicion with which established prejudices and customs connected with women are regarded in this country, would (it is to be regretted) appear to raise an insuperable barrier against imparting the benefits thereof to the helpless females immured within the walls of the zenama; for though they might legally be included in any such act of government, it is difficult to conceive how the advantages and privileges of freedom could be even practically extended to them or ther heirs.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Pidcock, Magistrate of Humeerpore, dated 21st December 1835, tothe Officiating Register of the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

1st. THE right of a master over his slave is laid down at length in the Mahomedan and Hindoo codes; agreeably to which, however, cases of this nature are, I beheve, never disposed of m our courts of justice; not because the magistrates are unacquanted with the native laws applicable to such cases, but because they are so directly opposed (especially the Hindoo) to our notions of reason, liberty and right. As far as my limited expensence goes, I have never seen m our courts any distinction made between slaves and fieemen.

2dly. I have never seen any distinction recognized by our courts between slaves and freemen; the cases arising between master and slave have always been disposed of as if no such connexion existed.

3dly. None, as far as my experience extends.

2. I have searched this office and not a single case is to be found. In fact, disputes' between masters and slaves are of rare occurrence in every part of the country with which I am acquainted. Hindoo slavery is of very limited extent, and smong the Mussulmans, slaves are treated almost as members of the family.

ANSWER OF Mr. R. J. Tayler, Officiating Judge of Zillah Futtehpore, dated 22d February 1836, to the Officiating Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Agra Presidency, Allahabad.

2. I HAVE been but a short time in this zillah, and have not heard of any slavery existing in it; neither has my expenence in other districts been more fortubate. There is the custom of hiring the services, or rather of receiving children by deeds of contract or mortgage for a certain number of years, about as many as they can be useful, perhaps about thirty-six years. I have never met with an instance of a slave complaining against the master or mistress. In general they are treated very kindly, are well fed, clothed, and receive wages. Should they be harshly treated they abscond.

3. I remember the circumstance of a procuress, at Patna, bringing a young girl before me when I was officiating magistrate of that city, charged with running away, she having been purchased from her parents and brought up by the old hag, most probably for the purpose of prostitution.

4. The girl complained that the woman had ill-treated her, and that she had in consequence 263.

No. 103.

No. 102.

Appendix II.

343

Returns.

Appendix II.

Returns.

ran off to a Sowar, who protected her. I ordered the girl to be released and go wherever she pleased, and refused to let the woman take her away. There was no appeal from the order, and I might have punished the procuress under the regulations, had any one brought a comblant of her buying the child for so vile a purpose. 5. The children who are bought by Mahomedans of rank are in most instances treated

better than hired servants, and sometimes adopted and well provided for.

No. 104.

.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Armstrong, Officiating Magistrate, Futtehpore, dated 26th January 1836, to the Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. The records of this office do not afford any light on the subject of slavery, owing to no complaints of cruelty and ill-usage having been brought to the notice of the magistrate. Nor do I believe there are, in this district, any slaves who have been brought and imported from foreign countries. This class of beings is only to be found on the establishments of the wealthy; and there are no persons in this zillah who are rich enough to keep them. The only description of slaves, if they can be called such, with whose cases I have ever had any experience, were the children of the inhabitants of Bundelkund, who were sold by the parents during the famine which prevailed in that country in 1838-34. In the latter year three cases of cruelty on the wast of the masters and matresses towards some of these children were of crucity on the part of the masters and mattersess towards some of these children were brought to my notice. In two of these instances, I not only released the children on the principle that their owners were not fit or deserving to take care of them, but also punished them, in one case to the extent of my power, and in the second case, committed the owners, who were punished by the session judge. In removing the children from their owners, I considered I was acting on the principle of English justice; and although the regulations may not authorize such a measure, I should still feel I have performed my duty in accordance to the rules and dictates of humanity, if in future I released any slaves who preferred true complaints of cruelty against their masters, unless prohibited to interfere in such cases by an express regulation, or by the order of the superior court.

No. 105.

ANSWER of Mr. R. Neave, Officiating Judge, Zillah Cawnpore, dated 25th January 1836, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. WITH respect to the three first queries, I am unable to answer them specifically, from these circumstances: that during the time that I have been in the country, now comprised under the Agra presidency, I have not seen one instance where a master has come forward to call for judicial compulsion of his slave's services, or where a slave has complained against his master for oppression.

his master for oppression. 3. In this letter, save with the exception hereinafter made in regard to the description of men of or belonging to the district of Behar, called Kahars, I allude to the Mussulman population alone, since it is those only who keep domestic slaves, as far as I personally, know the state of the case. The reason why such cases have never come before me is, principally, that my experience since 1833 has been wholly confined to the Delhi terratory, where for a long time the name of slavery only has existed; its reality has been long extinct. This is a most important fact as proving that the abolition of slavery may be easily accomwhere for a long time the name of slavery only has existed; its reality has been long extinct. This is a most important fact, as proving that the abolition of slavery may be easily accom-plished, if desirable. Having been, before my appontment to Delhi, for eight years in South Behar, where I have myself, as register and civil judge, daily decided cases of pur-chase of whole families of predial slaves or Kahars, I was astonished to find that slavery was not recognized at Delhi. I was informed, on inquiry, that since Mr. Seton's time no claim to a slave, or to compel slaves to work, have been allowed; and I found the established practice of the court, that whenever a person petitioned that another person had claimed hum or her as a slave, an azadnama or certificate of freedom was given him or her, to the effect that they were free. I eladly hailed this custom: but I pursued another course. effect that they were free. I gladly hailed this custom; but I pursued another course, which I deemed more effectual. It struck me that issuing these azadnamas or certificates was, to a certain extent, allowing the existence of slavery in some sort or other. When similar applications were made me, I used merely to pass an order that slavery did not exist, and informed the petitioner that if any person molested him or her he should be punished.

4. In respect to information I have acquired from other sources, I beg to submit, that many details of the practice at present in use in the courts cannot be expected ; principally, I have reason to believe, because but few cases ever came before these courts. My experience has not given me conclusive evidence that the Hindoos have slaves, or carry on the practice, save in regard to the Kahars of South Behar above alluded to, and whose case I shall bereafter more specifically bring to notice. As to Mussulmans and their slaves, the reasons, of but few cases coming before the courts are two-fold: first, because there are but few domestic slaves; and, secondly, those that there are are well treated.

domestic slaves; and, secondly, those that there are are well treated. 5. The Mussulman law allows slavery in only two cases; capture in war, and purchase in times of scarcity to save parents and children from starvation. The first of these sources is almost wholly cut off, if not entrely so, since the importation of slaves has, by Regula-tion III. of 1832, been rendered penal; these causes render slaves scarce. Secondly, the slaves in India have every facility of escape; while, owing to the known repugnance of our courts to make a slave over to his alleged master, the master has, in case of his slaves escape.

escape, scarcely any mode of recovering hum. This leads the master, from self-interest, to concluate and make much of a servant, who, if ill-treated, has the remedy in his own hands. 6. If, after acknowledging that I have not known any cases such as those alluded to in

6. If, after acknowledging that I have not known any cases such as those alluded to in the first, second and third questions, I was asked what practice I should follow were such cases to come before me, I should indubitably reply, that I should acknowledge no relation of master and slave to justify any acts otherwise deserving of punishment, and no case wherein I would afford less protection to a slave against his master than another man. I acknowledge the toleration of the name of slavery; but I know no regulation of government which compels a magistrate to carry the precepts of the Mahomedan law into effect on the subject of slavery. As far as this, I allude merely to the criminal jurisdiction between master and slave. Cases of property, wherein slavery is acknowledged, since it is recognized by the law of the land, may be tried in the civil courts.

7. The fourth paragraph of the secretary's letter adverts to Hindoo slavery. No cases of Hindoo slavery have ever come to my knowledge, save those in the district of Behar above alluded to; and with respect to these people, I have the honour to furnish a memorandum, drawn up from replies made a few years ago to some queries of mine. It is a curious fact, that I recollect but one case which ever came before me in the magistrate's office, where a complaint was made to me in the Ramghur or Behar districts on this subject; and this was not for maltreatment, but was an averment on the part of the plantiff that the defendant falsely claumed him as a slave. The fact is, that the plantiff had himself acquired a considerable fortune by traffic, and the defendant wished to avail himself of his nghts as master to participate in this affluence. With the exception of this one instance, I never saw a cause in any court, where the persons sued for as predial slaves did not acknowledge the fact of being so, and the dispute used to be merely as to the fact of ownership. The slaves themselves were oftentimes called upon by the parties to declare to which side they belonged. In respect to the question put by the law commission, I can only reply, that had such a case come before me as magistrate, I should have judged the parties of the case as if they were not in such relation to one another as that stated.

8. In regard to the question proposed in paragraph fifth of the letter under acknowledgment, I beg again to say, that such cases never came to my knowledge. As the magistrate's courts have no power to decide cases of property, the courts alluded to must be the civil courts. In such cases of difficulty, where the diversity of the law created almost insuperable difficulties, and permitted a doubt under any circumstances, I should act, according as the regulation quoted has it, by justice, equity and good conscience. Abhoring, as I do, every thing in the shape or form of slavery, I should, in the two cases noticed, take advantage of the ambguity to annihilate the cause of dispute. Where the claim is on the part of a Mussulman, and it is by his own law illegal, I should hold his claim void on his own showing; and where it was valid on his side, and not by the Hindoo law, I would dismiss the case, because the defendant could not legally be a slave. I would only hold the slavery conclusive where both laws coincided, for two reasons: first, because persons putting themselves in such positions should themselves be fully aware of the liabilities they incur, and of the insecurity of such transactions, from the natural difficulties of the case; and secondly, because such a course would reduce an evil, not likely to be otherwise removed, to a minimum.

9. In respect to the last question, as to the enforcement of any claim to the property of a slave, except on behalf of a Mussulman or a Hindoo against other than a Hindoo or Mussulman, I beg to say, that as it has been the custom of the civil courts that all parties should have their cases decided by their own laws, the only rule that could be laid down would be on the same principle, that a plaintiff whose laws allowed slavery might sue, and not otherwise; and the principle of decision would be that mentioned in the last paragraph. The case is of course a supposed one, such never occurring to me.

10. On the whole, it seems that there is little reality in the slavery of India; and that it is, with the exception of one description, more in name than reality; that there are very few principles and precedents recorded in our courts on the subject, because there are but few evils attendant on its practice; that the uncertainty of the law on this subject, and the general tendency of all judicial decisions towards loosening rather than tightening the chains of slavery, have conduced considerably to the downfal of the system, and to the mutigation of its discomforts where it exists; I further finally think, that in this instance it is wholly useless to consult the Hindoo and Mussulman prejudices, or to pay any respect to them, except that it might be advisable to effect the end proposed by a prohibition of the purchase and sale of any slaves whatever hereafter, and by a limitation of the extent of slavery for those at present in that state.

slavery for those at present in that state. 11. One more remark I wish to make, and it is of some importance : that slavery in any way may be abolished as above. I doubt not, and I wish it may be so; still, when we consider, in every year, and particularly in years of scarcity, how many lives are saved, both of children who have nothing to eat, and parents who have nothing but the food given in exchange for their hungry children, it may be a question which, though political economists and populationists may consider it foreign to the matter at issue, humanity may ask, whether a modification of an absolute prohibition of this practice may not be permitted ? may not a change of the term "slavery" to "apprenticeship" take away much of the odium of an appearance of things after all very similar in themselves ? might not the parents save the lives of all parties, by transferring, in case of deep distress, their children to more affluent indirelease of, children so transferred ? This subject will, I trust, be duly considered.

262.

Appendix II.

Returns.

Appendix II.

Returns.

ANSWER of Mr. C. M. Caldecott, Magistrate, Cawnpore, dated 27th November 1835, to the Officiating Register to the Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

No. 106.

2. I AM not aware of any criminal regulation in force in these provinces which in any way gives a master any right over his slave beyond that which he possesses over his hired ser-vant, with regard to offences against the person; if a slave runs away from his master, I do not authorize his being delivered over to his master again against his will; and if any charge of maltreatment were proved against a master, I would punish him to the

same extent as if the person maltreated had been a common servant. S. As to "a slave's property," I have had no practical experience; but if any case were to arise, I should uphold the person in possession, and refer the other party to the civil court; because a magistrate has nothing to do with the right to property, but merely to decide upon the fact of possession. As, however, I have had no case of Hindoo slavery before me, my remarks apply ex-

clusively to Mahomedan.

4. Siaves, according to Mahomedan law, may, I believe, be of two classes, absolute and conditional.

"Absolute," when acquired from a "Darol Hurb;" in this case the slave and his de-scendants are the property of their master; many, however, of the conditions required to constitute a "Darol Hurb" are a matter of dispute among learned Mahomedans of the present day; and the acknowledged conditions are of such a nature, that I doubt whether any slave in these provinces could be proved to belong to this class; at any rate I have never found any slave proprietor able to prove such a title; and, unless this primary objec-tion be overcome, of course the claim must fall to the ground, even if we allow that, in such matters, our criminal courts are bound to decide strictly according to the principles of the

Mahomedan law. "Conditional," by purchase, &c. from a third person, under pretext of famine or inability of the disposer to support the individual made over to slavery; in this case the receiver is not bound to ask any questions of the seller, but the slave can at any time obtain his liberty by application to the cazes, or, mutato nomine, the magistrate ; a claim, therefore, for the recovery of a slave of thus class can never be entertained ; but most learned Mussulmans depy that such can even be called slaves.

5. Upon the above grounds, I have hitherto made my decisions; and to the best of my knowledge these decisions have never been upset.

6. The above remarks render any answer to questions 2 and 3 unnecessary.

No. 107.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Cummine, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Zillah Belah, dated 25th January 1836, to the Register of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. DURING my few years of service, no case, involving a consideration of the relative rights either of master or slave, has come to my notice or under my cognizance. I am, therefore, unable to furnish any information on the three points alluded to in Mr. Millett's letter, which refer to the practice of the courts and magistrates in such cases.

'3. I am equally disqualified from offering any remarks on the latter paragraphs of that letter, from having resided in the provinces, where I never observed any reason to believe that slavery existed, either amongst Hindoos or Mussulmans, and consequently never had occasion to give particular attention to the subject.

No. 108.

Answest of Mr. C. Fraser, Officiating Commissioner, Second or Agra Division, Muttra, dated 6th February 1886, to the Officiating Register, Nuzamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

THE reports of the magistrates to the court lead to the conclusion, that no legal settlement of the relative rights of master and slave has been made in the courts of this division, and that, somewhat illegally, the former class of persons have been discountenanced, and their

una, somewnat illegally, the former class of persons have been disconntenances, and their rights have been summarily set aside and hastly disposed of. Our criminal legislation has been framed on the basis of the Mahomedan code, with such modifications of it as were from time to time deemed just and proper by the ruling authornies, and although no express enactment has been promulgated for our guidance in this department, in modification of the Mahomedan law of slavery, now that the question has been mooted, government have full power to introduce one as a remedy for the objectionable provisions of that law and may declare, that in our courts masters and slaves

nas been mooted, government have ruli power to introduce one as a remedy for the objectionable provisions of that law, and may declare, that in our courts masters and slaves shall be placed on the same footing, and equal justice administered to all. Slaves, however, as defined by the Mahomedan law, are, I believe, not to be found in the provinces within this division : and, since our penal regulations have nowhere recognized them as a separate class of the community, in all trials for crimes specially noticed in them, no distinction would, I presume, be made in cases where the parties stood in the relation to each other of a master and slave. But in crimes, not thus provided for, and where we are referred to the Mahomedan law to apportion the numeriment for an offence of which a master referred to the Mahomedan law to apportion the punishment for an offence of which a master or his slave may be convicted, we are, I concerve, legally bound to abide by that law in our judgments. But such cases seem never to have occurred; and the state of bondage which provide a context of the never to have occurred; and the state of bondage which prevails to a certain extent in this part of Indus would not come within the legal designation of slavery as understood by a Mahomedan lawyer.

All rights of property come before our civil courts; and the law which is to be followed in their decisions is laid down in sections 8 and 9 of Regulation VII. of 1832. But here, again, I am aware of no precedents, relating to these provinces, respecting the rights of masters and slaves, and the natives have not called for any.

When a Mahomedan should file a suit against a Hindoq slave, the law of the plaintiff would be decidedly more favourable to the defendant than his own: and, as the onus probands would be cast upon the former, he would in most if not every suit fail in obtaining a decree. But in the case of a Hindoo master and a Mahomedan slave, the reverse would happen. I have never had myself to decide a suit in which the parties were thus of different religious persuasions; and I should on all occasions, where personal rights are involved, be averse to deprive a defendant of the benefit of his own law; and section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832, might be pronounced inapplicable to suits between masters and slaves.

Hindoos and Mahomedans outnumber every other class of our subjects in this division; and our courts, civil or criminal, are frequented almost solely by them. What law should be applied, when one not of those persuasions claims a slave, would depend upon the law, which may be pointed out as the one to be followed. But I suspect that a plaintiff would have little chance of discovering one which could substantiate his right, and I look upon the filing of a claim as imaginary and highly improbable.

The people in the lower Dooab would, I am satisfied, make no complaints, were an act to be passed declaring slavery to be an illegal state; and such an act would, of course, set at rest all the difficulties involved in its existence,

ANSWER of Mr. A. W. Begbie, Officiating Judge of Zillah Mynpoory, dated 9th March 1836, to the Officiating Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. WITH reference to the three queries contained in the first paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, I beg to state, that I cannot call to mind a single instance in which application has been made to me, as a judge or magnitude, either by a master or slave, by the one with the view of enforcing his authority, or by the other of seeking the protection of the law. As the above questions refer entirely to the practice of our courts, I am consequently unable to afford any information on the points at issue, founded on experience, which would alone, I presume, be considered desirable.

3. In reply to the inquiry contained m paragraph 4 of Mr. Millett's letter, I beg to observe, that, as by the construction of our courts the judges are bound in criminal eases to adhere to the provisions of Mahomedan law (as modified by the regulations), whatever may be the religious persuasion of the parties, and as, by section 15, Regulation VIII. of 1803, the persons of slaves are protected from extreme violence on the part of their masters, I should have no hesitation, under the enactment above referred to, in recommending to the Nizamut Adawlut the infliction of capital punishment on a Hindoo master convicted of the wilful murder of his Hindoo slave. Whether, in cases of maltreatment of a less .aggravated nature, the criminal courts would be justified in interfering between a master and his slave, unless such interference were expressly authorized by the Mahomedan law, appears extremely doubtful. With occasional exceptions, our regulations seem to have been intended to provide for the regulation of the intercourse between freemen; and if slaves in India have found their condition meliorated since the establishment of the British supremacy, the beneficial change is, I imagune, to be ascribed rather to the well-known abhorrence entertained by the ruling power of the state and practice of slavery, than to any special provisions of the law in the behalf of this unfortunate race. To this general impression must, I think, be imputed the rarity of cases connected with slavery, which have come under official cognizance. The agitation of the subject is carefully avoided, from a fear that new laws might be made, which would materially affect the condition of the slaves, whose value (merely as property) would diminish in proportion to their rise in the scale of society.

4. With respect to the queries in the 5th paragraph, I with deference would observe, that I should consider myself fully justified in dismissing the chaim of a Mussulman master to a Hindoo slave, where the title was clearly opposed to the principles of the plantiff's own law and religion. Where the master's claim, on the contrary, was supported by his own law, but opposed to that of the slave defendant (as the courts are not bound to observe the Mahomedan law in civil suits, unless where both partnes are of that persuasion). I should inclume in this case also to decree in favour of the slave, on the principle recognized by clause 2, section 6, Regulation VI. of 1831. In the absence of any specific enactment, I should, in the words of Regulation VII. of 1832, be governed (to the best of my judgment) by the principles of equity, justice and good conscience.
5. The last question, relative to the claums of other than Hindoo or Mahomedan masters, is difficult to be answered. Amongst the Americans and many European nations, slavery is

5. The last question, relative to the claims of other than Hindoo or Mahomedan masters, is difficult to be answered. Amongst the Americans and many European nations, slavery is still permitted by the law, and it has, indeed, but recently disappeared in the colones of the British empire. So far as British subjects, therefore, are concerned, no difficulty remains. But with respect to foregners, I should conceive that considerable doubt might reasonably be entertained. Fortunately, such cases must be of rare occurrence, and it is always in the power of the government to guard against such by a distinct legislative enactment.

262.

ANSWER

Appendix II.

Returns.

No. 109.

Appendix II.

Returns.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Fraser, Magistrate of Zillah Mynpoory, dated 80th January 1836, to the Register, Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

No. 110.

2. I BEG to inform you, that there is no record in my office of any case of the nature specified. Nor does any question of the relation between master and slave appear to have been brought before the magistrate of this district. Slavery, too, is unfrequent; and I have reason to believe legal slavery under a strict construction of the Hindoo or Mahomedan laws would, comparatively, in few cases be found to exist. Under the name of "Golams," however, amongst Mahomedans, and Cheeras amongst Hindoos, many families of substance have domestic servants of both sexes. But in two instances only during my official experience do I recollect complaints made in the magistrate's court to compel the return of slaves to servitude.

3. The first case was at Juanpoor, 10 years ago, by a dancing woman, on the plea that two girls had been sold by their father to her, and since absconded, when the claim was dusallowed, as it appeared that the girls at the time of sale were of a marriageable age, and sold against their consent. In the second case, at Shajuhanpore, a girl had become the favourite concubine of her master and been turned out of doors by his wives; as the poor girl had no other protection, and the master appeared attached to her, a reconciliation took place, he promising to protect her from the anger of his wives.

4. With regard to the protection to be afforded to slaves, it must be confessed the present enactments are unsatisfactory. But, as the Mahomedan law has been declared, when not modified, the criminal law of the country, I should consider the penalties for maltreatment of slaves therein laid down equally applicable to Hindoo masters, and the resolutions of council quoted in Mr. Millett's third paragraph as referring only to the rights of property in slaves.

5. It is, I conceive, doubtful whether a magistrate would be justified, under any circumstances, in requiring runaway slaves to return to servitude, although the Mahomedan law and practice may authorize the proceeding; and it is probable that magistrates may have passed such order, as the enactments for their guidance hitherto have furnished rules only in particular cases, leaving other matters either to be decided by analogy and expedience, or furnishing no remedy for numerous petty wrongs of constant occurrence.

6. On the subject of the last paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, it might be presumptions in me to offer an opinion. But I conclude no proprietary right of slaves could be recognized in individuals, either Hindoo or Mussulman, the resolution in council above alluded to specifying no other classes. With respect again to the claim of a Mussulman over a Hindoo slave, where by the Mahomedan law the right is invalid, as the Koraf and its commentaries are the only code of law recognized by the Mahomedans, no claim in opposition to its tenets could be maintained. The case again of a Hindoo master and Mussulman slave is of very unfrequent occurrence, the difference of faith rendering such a slave a very useless member of a Hindoo family, for whom they are little likely to make a claum, which I suppose would not have been admitted under a Mahomedan government.

No. 111.

ANSWER OF Mr. J. P. Gubbias, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Zillah Etawah, dated 31st December 1835, to the Officiating Register, Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

No cases in which masters or slaves were parties concerned have come before me since in charge of this joint magistracy.

2. From the inquiries I have made, I have reason to believe that slavery does not exist in this part of the country in the male sex, and as regards the female sex, it is so completely confined to the private apartments of the better class of natives, that it is not easy to ascertain the extent to which it prevails.

3. It is, however, a very rare occurrence for a female slave to leave her master's honse on account of bad treatment; and in such cases I have never allowed females to be restored to their masters against their will, which is, I conceive, agreeable to the spirit of British legislation, though it does not strictly coincide with the Hindoo or Mahomedan laws that recognize the state of positive slavery in both sexes.

No. 112.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Davidson, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Agra, dated 2d January 1836, to the Officiating Register of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Allahabad.

In reply, I beg to inform you, that, from the best inquiries I have been able to make, it appears that the condition of slavery in these provinces is an uncommon one, and that, as in the actual relations of society slaves can be obtained only by an illegal act (viz, the purchase of children), the possessors of such will not, either by harsh treatment or by claims to person, service or property, bring themselves within the danger of the regulations; whilst, on the other hand, slaves who think they can do better for themselves, quit of their own free-will their master's household for ordinary service; and those who, from gnorance or habit, do continue in it, do so because, in all material respects, their treatment is the same as that of any hired servant. There does not appear to have been, within the memory of any one connected with the civil and criminal courts of this jurisdiction, a single case in which either of the parties has appeared in the relation of master or of slave. The principle of the courts and the law officers, in the event of any formal complaint or claim being made by parties coming forward as master or slave, would be, by a rigorous construction of law, to show that, in the actual instance, the conditions necessary to constitute legal slavery by Mahomedan or Hindoo law did exist; and that, therefore, the case of the party termed "slave" was to be tried on its ments, according to the regulations and to natural justnee, on exactly the same footing as that of any other free subject.

ANSWER of Mr. S. G. Mansel, Magistrate of Zillah Agra, dated 7th December 1835, to the Officiating Register of the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

I HAVE been unable to find in the Agra court any criminal case in which the prosecutor and prisoner stood to each other in the relation of slave and master, or, indeed, to trace any proceedings whatever which can throw any light upon the nature of the rights and unmunities supposed to exist under the law of slavery among the Hindoo and Mahomedan population; to the practice, therefore, of the criminal courts I cannot speak from precedent.

But, as regards the principle by which the criminal courts should be guided in applying the general provisions of the existing penal law to slaves and masters, of whatever religion, the question does not, I confess, seem to me surrounded by any great difficulties, in respect, at least, to that portion of the British dominions which was included in the Mahomedan empire, virtually during the reign of Aurungzebe, and nominally, too, during the convulsions to which Hundoostan and Bengal were subject during the eighteenth century. Whatever part of the territories of the Company were embraced within his Darool Islam were, by law and practice, subject to the criminal jurisdiction of the imaum and his delegates. During the reign of Akbur,* no doubt the Hindoos retained much of the privileges of their Shasters; but in the subsequent three reigns there seems no sufficient reason for considering that the Mahomedan crimunal law was not effectively and indiscriminately enforced upon all classes of society. All questions connected with public wrongs were determined, or at least were, I conceive, liable to be determined, by the law of the imaum ; and whatever proprietary rights in slaves were permitted or acknowledged to rest in the persons of infidels, these could be but merely recognized as subsidiary to the paramount rights of the hakim, as the successor of Mahomet, the conqueror of the country and depositary of the law as well as the religion of Islam. Such, at least, it appears clear the mooftee would have ruled in his state as distinguished from private wrongs, distinctly recognize the Mahomedan law as the crimmal code of the country. I feel no scruple in expressing my opinion that Hindoo masters, in respect to responsibility for the ill-treatment of alaves, possess not legally, or rather constitutionally, greater immunity within the limits referred to than could be claimed by the professors of the Mahomedan religion under the futwahs of our own

Should this view of the subject appear in any degree fanciful or forced, it is to be remarked, that the crunnal law, as administered under Regulation VI. and Regulation VII. of 1803, is undefined and anomalous to a degree, which renders it necessary to the student to fall back upon first principles, and the magistrate, among conflicting analogies, must select that which is most "consonant to natural justice." + Clause 1, section 16, Regulation III. of 1803, would doubtless bar a claim for damages for personal mjury on the part of a slave against a Hindoo or Mahomedan master: he is presumed to possess no civil rights. But the ruler of the country, the hakim-ool-wugkt, or the father of his subjects, alke under the Mahomedan law, the English law, and the law of nations, is justified in reserving in its own hands the power of depriving any subject of life or limb, and in punshing whoever assumes to himself a prerogative which can be claumed with fairness and administered with justice by the state alone.

In this part of Upper India, Hindoo or Mahomedan slavery can scarcely be said to exist; in the district of Agra there is not, I believe, one single midividual in the state of a lawful slave. By lawful slave is meant, of course, an mfidel who has fought against the faith, or the descendant of a person of this class. Of course, during famines, and even under the pressure of ordinary poverty, parties are in the habit of selling (as the phrase of the common people runs) their children to those who can provide for them. But the dictum of the sale of free children being invalid in a Mahomedan courty, is regarded by the ablest Mahomedan lawyers as sound in law, as it is clear that it is so in jurisprudence; and this being admitted, the disposal of any infant to any party, Hindoo, Mahomedan, Armennan or European, subsequent to the subjection of any province to the sway of the Delhi empire, is clearly illegal. After this period, the attempt to infringe this law must of necessity be a crimmal offence, and the successful infrangement of it can convey no rights whatever over

Appendix II.

Returns.

No. 113.

any

Appendix II.

any particular individual or his offspring in after times. Doubtless, however, there exist in Behart on the north-eastern frontier, in the Deccan, and in other parts of India, parties who were made lawful slaves under Hundoo monarchies, never subjected to Mahomedan rule, or who become such previous to the spread of the Mogul empire beyond the north of India. The nature, therefore, of the status of those unfortunate beings will, of course, be defined with more difficulty. It is obviously, however, useless for local officers to enter into detailed discussions as to laws which were never enforced, rights which have never been defined, and involving principles of reasoning of a fixed character which were never thought of by the semi-savage despots who have ruled in India from the earliest period to which her annals reach.

The number of lawful slaves under the more restricted rule of the Mahomedan law must, in every part of India once subject to the Delhi emperors, he very small indeed. The power of the Mahomedan master over them is properly qualified, and scarcely exceeds that conferred upon a husband, a father, or a schoolmaster, for the salutary correction of the party placed in a state of subordination to a superior. As section 19, Regulation IX. of 1807, and clause 7, section 2, Regulation LIII. of 1808, contemplate the influction of a maximum of punishment, the criminal courts would of course be justified in acting with that lemency to slaves which the Mahomedan law, in a certain class of causes, directs. The soundness of this principle, however, may be well doubted, and practically I have no doubt that the distinctions made in the inflictions of Hudd by the Mahomedan caze on slaves would be designedly (and properly so) overlooked by the European magistrates in administering criminal justice under the regulations above named, and by which regulations the penal power of the rules of Hudd and Tazeer have been modified and extended, if not annulled.

No. 114.

ARSWER of Mr. W. H. Tyler, Magistrate, Muttra, dated 12th December 1985, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

I HAVE the honour to state, that the extent of slavery within the zillah of Muttra is extremely limited; that it consists almost entrely of female slaves, and that these are exclusively in the possession of Mahomedans. The number is estimated at about 50 or 60, whilst the male slaves are said not to exceed 15 or 20.

According to the Hindoo and Mahomedan laws, the master has a legal right over the person and property of his slave, can claim from him the performance of the household dutes, give him correction when negligent, and dispose of his services to another. But these rights have not, I am informed, been admitted in these provinces. Since the introduction of the British rule, the practice of the courts having been to dismiss the claims of a master, and to give redress on the complaint of a slave, complaints of this nature to the courts, I am told, have been rare. For myself, I can say, that during the 10 years I have been in these provinces, not a single claim on the part of a master, or complaint of a slave, has been brought before me. The general belief amongst the natives is, that our government does not recognize slavery. It certainly does exist; but it is merely in name. The slaves are always well treated, and looked upon as part of the family.

No. 115.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Neave, Judge, Zillah Alligurh, dated 30th January 1836, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. SLAVERY, in its general meaning, is not known in this district. A species of it exists. in a very mild form in the houses of the wealthy, under the term "Khanehzad," but merely in name; for an individual of this class enjoys the same rights, and is in every respect as free as other men.

No. 116.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Swetenham, Officiating Judge of Zillah Furruckabad, dated 28th November 1835, to the Register, Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. FROM inquiry and from my own experience, I am disposed to consider that there never has been a suit instituted in the civil court of Furuckabad regarding rights of masters over their slaves with respect to person or property. 3. Although it has been determined that the spirit of the rule contained in the 15th section,

3. Although it has been determined that the spirit of the rule contained in the 15th section, Regulation IV. of 1793, for observing the Mahomedan and Hindoo laws in suits regarding succession,

[•] Aboo-ul Fuzle states of the Hindoos, "They have no alaves among them," and this too, when the stupirs embraced 15 soohaha, extending from Mooltan to the Bay of Bangal, and from the Himalys to Mandow. The descendants of this class of people in the provinces now under the Bangal government must therefore be very free.

⁺ The parties of whom Mr. Fleming makes mention in his evidence before the House of Lords clearly exist in a more state of contract service, while the slave population, which Mr. Baber, in his evidence before the same har, states to be spread over Canara, Malabar, Travancore, &c. to the awful extent of 400,000 souls, are clearly the aborigines of the country, of the history of whose subjection to the bonds of slavery we have no accurate account, but to whom, doublese, the Mahomedan criminal law cannot easily be held to apply, Hamilton, indeed, mentions that the slaves of Malabar are very severely treated.

succession, inheritance, marriage and caste, and all religious usages and institutions, is appli-cable in construction to cases of slavery, such construction has been circulated and enforced, I behave, only in the lower provinces. I am not aware that any similar* construction has been laid down for the practice of the courts under the Agra presidency, with reference to the corresponding enactments of clause 1, section 16, Regulation III. of 1803, and section 3, Regulation VII. of 1892; and I am therefore inclined to think that there exists a latitude for the corresponding enactments devide use here see by the principles of userse south and the courts in these provinces to decide such cases by the principles of justice, equity and good conscience, agreeably to the provisions of section 9, Regulation VII. of 1832. As the laws, with exception to the subjects provided for in Regulation X. of 1811, and Regulation VII. of 1832, are silent on the matter of slavery, this is an inference only; and were a case to come judicially before me, I should deem it necessary to refer to the court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut to ascertain if the constructions of the Bengal enactment were to be applied to the regulations enacted for these provinces, ere I ventured to give judgment in such case.

4. I believe the general impression amongst the natives is, that slavery is abhorrent to the principles which guide the judicial autongst includers is, that avery is ability is ability to define the other of a suit in the civil court for rights connected with slavery. 5. In the magistrate's court of Furruckabad, I have known cases brought forward within

the last two or three years, in which applications have been made to recover female slaves who have run away from their masters, which have been rejected : and I have, when commissioner of circuit, orally explained to individuals that an appeal would be ineffectual. I have slight recollection of a case, in which a nawab was fined for maltreatment of a female slave.

6. I have heard it asserted by a native in Rohilkund, that if a slave went before a magis-

trate with a petition on eight annas stamp paper, praying for emancipation, that it would be granted; whether such belief arose out of the practice of any court, I am not able to state. 7. Complaints preferred by slaves against their masters of cruelty or hard usage would be heard equally the same as similar complaints from freemen. No mitigation of punishment would be grounded on the Hindoo or Mahomedan law. Such complaints are, however, of rare occurrence; which is not, however, proof of non-existence of evil of the kind; for it is well known, that great cruelty is often exercised; I have personal knowledge thereof. The want of freedom probably stifles complaint.

8. Protection, if sought, would be granted to slaves the same as to freemen, as far as circumstances would admit, against other wrong-doers than their masters. 9. With reference to the 4th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter, as "to what law or prin-

ciple maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts," I would observe, that the criminal courts are not in any case guided by the Hindoo or Mahomedan law. The regulations of government define the cognizance of the criminal courts. In misdemeanors and smaller offences, the magistrate's powers are defined without respect to persons, caste or religion ; and in the sessions court, unless specific provision be made for any particular offence, cognizance is ruled in clause 7, section 2, Regulation LIII. of 1803, the same for all classes of people who may be amenable to the court.

10. Section 9, Regulation VII. of 1882, appears applicable to the point noticed in the 5th paragraph of Mr. Millett's letter.

11. That the abolition of slavery would produce considerable dissatisfaction amongst the wealthier classes cannot be doubted, though no more need be anticipated than occurred on the abolition of Suttees. The principle of humanity dictates the propriety of granting freedom of person to all who may be under the British protection. The regulation proposed by Mr. Richardson, in 1809, and the amendments suggested thereon by Mr. Harington, appear too Richardson, in 1809, and the amendments suggested thereon by Mr. Harington, appear too complex for the understanding of those for whose bruefit they are proposed; nice points of law would tend to perpetuate slavery. I would suggest that the construction by which the spirit of section 15, Regulation IV. of 1793; clause 1, section 6, Regulation III. of 1803; and section 8, Regulation VII. of 1832, may be rendered applicable to slavery, be forthwith an-nulled; that the enacted, that slavery is not recognized by the British Government; and that the magistrate be empowered to declare any individual, Hindoo, Mahomedan or other, free, who may complain of being held in bondage contrary to his wishes, and with powers to maintain his decision subject to appeal.

ANSWEB of Mr. F. H. Robinson, Magistrate, Zillah Furruckabad, dated 30th November 1835, to the Register, Sudder Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

NEITHER in this criminal court nor any that I have known, is it the practice to acknowledge the right of masters over slaves, or the claims of slaves on masters.

2. The reason I take to be this, that although slavery is recognized by the regulations, yet there is no express enactment sanctioning the interference of the magnitrates. There are few Englishmen who, without some strong motive, would enforce the rights, if such a term can be used, of the master over the slave ; thus, on application for arrest of runaway slaves, the answer is ready, that the courts have no authority to restore slaves to their masters. In the

351

Appendix II.

Returns.

No. 117.

^a Mr. Millett quotes the resolution of the Vice-President in Council, dated 9th September 1327; but I do not think this has been circulated for the guidance of the courts. 262.

Appendix II. Returns.

the event of cruelty perpetrated and complaint on the part of the slaves, the case is treated as one of assault of one freeman on another; for we have no regulation authorizing a master corporally to chastise a slave. It follows, that no indulgence is shown to slaves under the Mahomedan law, in consideration of their status, in the event of their committing crimes. In no case is less protection extended to slaves suffering from other wrong-doers than their masters.

I have no doubt that a regulation might with perfect safety be passed, abolishing slavery In have no doubt that a regulation might with perfect safety be passed, about sing slavery in the western provinces, and authorizing any major slave to sue out his or her freedom in the magistrate's court. At the same time provision might be made, authorizing parents to bind over their children as apprentices till the age of, say twenty-one, defining the relation of master and apprentice. Many thousand of indigent children would be taken and brought up by wealthy individuals on these terms, to the relief of their parents, especially in time of scarcity or famine.

No. 118.

ANSWER of Mr. S. M. Boulderson, Commissioner of Circuit, Bareilly, dated 28th January 1836, to the Officiating Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

3. FRW cases of complaints of ill-treatment, or for emancipation, appear to have come before the magistrate of this division (Bareilly); and in one instance only does it appear that less protection was afforded to a slave, on complaint of severe beating, than would have been granted under similar circumstances to a freeman ; and the reason assigned by the magustrate for the leniency with which the accused was treated is rather conjectural than real.

4. The magistrates in this division recognize no legal rights in masters over the persons of their slaves,* and their right to property acquired by slaves appears generally to have

been considered as a question appertaining to the civil courts. 5. No instance is mentioned of a slave having been forcibly compelled to return to his master, or punished for refusing to work ; nor have I ever, officially or otherwise, during a long period of service, heard of an instance in which an adult has been sold as a slave by one master to another.

6. Whatever the original Mahomedan or Hindoo law may have been on this subject, I believe it to be an underiable fact, that slaves in Western India are no longer property; I came to this conclusion from never having met with an instance in which the right to a slave was disputed amongst members of families, who for every other inheritable or saleable portion of the ancestorial property were at the most bitter discord. 7. Slavery, in the sense which European nations apply to the term, certainly does not

exist in Western India.

No. 119.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Covell, Judge of Bareilly, dated 17th March 1836, to the Officiating Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. In reply to the first point, I beg leave to state that the legal right of masters over their slaves, with regard to their persons, are much upon a par with those that are observed between master and servant; and as to property, I think there can be no doubt but that it is at the master's disposal, for "a slave cannot legally acquire or possess any species of property, although it be vested in him by his master." (Vide Baillie's Mahomedan Law, p. 204.)

p. 204.) S. Instances of cases embraced in the second point so seldom occur, and none, to the best of my recollection, having been brought before me in my judicial capacity, I am not able to offer any thing certain or conclusive on the subject; but I am sure that the indulgences extended to Mussulman slaves, on complaints preferred by them of cruelty or hard usage by their masters, are more liberal than what are extended to them by the Mahomedan law, according to which "the ruling power has no right or authority to grant emancipation to slaves who are ill-treated by their masters and stinted in food." (Vide Macnaghten's Principles and Precedents of Mahomedan Law, p. 317.)

4. Regarding the third point, I know of no instances in which magistrates have afforded less protection to slaves than to free persons against other wrong-doers than their masters. 5. On this point I beg leave to refer the court, for the authorities quoted, to Macnaghten's

Hindoo Law, v. 2, p. 274-5. 6. In reply to the fifth paragraph, I should consider the claim of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, although illegal by the law of the former, to be admissible by the courts of judicature, and vice versa, provided such slaves are treated with lenuy and taken proper care of; and that this is generally the case, although few exceptions may occur to the contrary, I have every reason to believe, and am willing to acquiesce in the following opinion: "In India, between a slave and a free servant there is no distinction but in the name and in the superior indulgences enjoyed by the former; he is exempt from the common cares of providing for himself and family; his master has an obvious interest in treating him with lenity, and the easy performance of the ordinary household duties is all that is expected (Vide Machaghten's Hindoo Law, v. 1, p. 116.) in return.

łf

[·] Vide, particularly, the report from the magistrate of Bijnour.

If slaves by purchase from their parents, in time of scarcity, be allowed by the laws of nature to be right, I do not see why any clamant other than a Mussulman or Hindoo should be barred by our courts from preferring their rights to the objects in question.

ANSWER of Mr. W. J. Conolly, Magistrate of Bareilly, dated 9th December 1835, to the Officiating Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Agra-Presidency.

2. Is the absence of any other rules for my guidance but those quoted in Mr. Millett's letter, I have, as far as my personal experience is concerned, been always accustomed to look upon the partial recognition, by the British Government, of the rights of masters over their slaves, as affecting the property, rather than the persons, of the latter, and, in this view, to consider any disputes arising from the relation as belonging rather to the civil than the criminal courts. I have had the records of this office searched for 10 years back, but can find only two cases in this period between the slaves and masters relevant to the matter in question; one of these was for severe beating on the part of the master; and refused to return to their holes. In the first case, although the regimes down, yet the situation of the slave seems to have operated with the magistrate as a bar against punshment, for nothing was done, although the beating inflicted was such as would certainly have been visited with a severe penalty in a case where both parties were freemen. The second case was one which came before me last year; the slave-girls, who had run away in consequence, as they said, of ill-treatment from their master (a Mussulman of rank, as in the other case), refusing to return, I declined using coercion to oblige them to do so, and merely provided for ther future safety. In the present state of the law so much doubt exists in regard to the whole subject, that each magistrate must, in fact, act according to his own views and judgment; and in this way, doubtless, much difference of proceeding will be found to exist.

2. DURING my experience as magistrate, no case of the nature alluded to, namely, a complant of ill-usage against a master, or demand of freedom on such account on the part of a slave, either Mahomedan or Hindoo, has ever come officially before me. There can, I should think, be no doubt as to the course which would be pursued by the court, and which I should certainly tollow under such circumstances,—of affording the protection of the law to its fullest extent to a slave equally with a freeman. Nor do I conceive, from the spirit of the regulations, that any distinction of persons could be recognized by the magistrates, or that the right of legal redress is not equally open to all classes and castes of persons.

ANSWER of Mr. S. S. Brown, Magistrate, Zillah Suheswan, dated 26th January 1836, to the Commissioner of Circuit, Bareilly, Moradabad.

1. THE magistrate's office in this district has been too recently established to afford the information, founded on precedents, relative to disputes between masters and their slaves, called for in your circular of the 20th ultimo, No. 83.

2. If find that in one instance, an application was made to the joint magistrate by a young girl, requesting to be emancipated from the control of a woman who forced her to lead the life of a prostitute, and appropriated the gains; on which a summary order was passed allowing her freedom. This is, however, the only case on record.

ANSWER of Mr. E. J. Smith, Judge of Zillah Moradabad, dated 15th January 1836, to the Officiating Register of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. In reply to the first query, I beg to state that, on reference to the records of the office, it does not appear that any case has ever been decided in this court, in which the persons or property of any individual was claimed as appertaining to another in right of slavery. In the criminal court the claim of an individual to have a right over another, such person being his slave, is not recognized.

slave, is not recognized. 3. With reference to the second query, my opinion is, that in the event of a slave preferring a charge of ill-treatment and establishing the same against his master, the relation of master and slave would not be recognized as constituting a ground for mitigation of punishment. By the Mahomedan law, however, though a person who should maltreat the slave of another would be hable to punishment, the same as in any other case, in the event 262. X X No. 123.

No. 122.

No. 121.

No. 120.

Appendix II.

Returns

ANSWER of Mr. J. S. Clarke, Magistrate of Shahjehanpore, dated the 23d December 1835, to the Officiatung Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

Appendix IL. Returns

of a person killing, wounding, or ill-treating his own slave, a less degree of punishment would be awarded : he would not be subject to "kissass" or "decut," but to "tazeer" and "akobut," at the discretion of the ruling power.

"akobut," at the discretion of the ruling power. 4. In answer to the third query, I have to state, that I do not consider that a magnetrate would afford less protection to a slave than to a free person, or that in the higher courts the relation of master and slave would be admitted as grounds for a mitigation of punishment, in the event of a master wounding or killing his slave. The person so convicted would be punished, I conceive, in opposition to the futwah, agreeably to the powers vested in the judges of the Nizamut Adawlut by section 4, Regulation XVII. of 1817. 5. Notwithstanding that slavery may be said to meet with no countenance or support in the houses of Mahomedans, or Mahomedan slaves in those of Hindoos. I imagine, also, that slaves are frequently worse fed and worse clothed than hird servants, from motivea

that slaves are frequently worse fed and worse clothed than hired servants, from notives of parsimony in their masters; but I am not prepared to state that they are generally maltreated, and many instances doubtless occur in which they meet with the greatest kindness and protection.

No. 194.

ANSWER of Mr. W. Okeden, Magistrate of Zillah Moradabad, dated 30th November 1835, to the Officiating Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. No legal rights of masters over their slaves, with regard to their persons, are recognized a. No legal lights of muscus over their slaves, with regard to their persons, are recognized in this court; and I should afford the same protection to an individual styled a slave as I would to a free person, should a complaint be preferred before me of maltreatment. 8. With regard to property, the slave, I should imagine, can have no claim to any inheritance from his master.

upheritance from his master.
4. The only cases that come before this court are those of slave girls bought and reared for prostitution. Whenever these seek for manumission and protection of the court, the owners of them are warned, that unless the girls return of their own free-will, they have no power to take them; and should force be used, they will be hable to punishment. The slave-girls are also directed to leave all property of jewels, &c., for that must be considered the right of the master, howscorer acquired, up to the date of emancipation.

5. In these orders this court has been guided by the futwah of the law officers of the Nizamut Adawlut, communicated to the Bareilly court of circuit on the 26th June 1816, relative to the orders issued by the magistrate of Furruckabad, in the case of a female slave named Gunna.

No. 125.

ANSWER of Mr. R. Dick, Officiating Joint Magistrate, Kasipur, dated 7th December 1835, to the Commissioner of Circuit, Bareilly.

No cases have ever occurred in this court involving disputes between masters and slaves from which I could inform you of the practice of the court. Had a complaint of severe ill-usage been preferred by a slave against his master, I should undoubtedly have admitted and decided it as any other case; and in so doing have been guided by the principle by which the government abolished the exemption from kisas allowed by Mahomedan law; nor would a slave receive less protection than another person against any wrong-doer, or be considered entitled to any immunities. Cases involving these points are, however, very rare. I have never met with one.

The question of the rights over the person and property of an individual claimed as a slave belongs exclusively to the civil courts; and, consequently, disputants in cases of the nature mentioned in the second paragraph of your curcular, which have occasionally come before me, have always been referred to the civil courts, the criminal court interfering no further than to prevent violence. The interference of a magnetrate to compel the return of a formule of large data of the prevent violence. female claimed as a slave, for the purpose of prostitution, was severely animadverted on by the Nizamut Adawlut.

No. 126.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Lushington, Magistrate of Bijnore, dated 17th December 1835, to the Commissioner of Circuit, Moradabad.

2. I HAVE only found two cases in the record illustrative of the subject, and even these apply by inference only.

"ist. A. complained that B. kept his slave-girl, C., from him. B. replied that she was residung with his wife, who was related to A. The order then passed tacity recognizes a right of property in slaves; for B. was given to understand (fabumanich) that he should give her up. Subsequently, however, C. appeared in court, and expressed her willingness to remain with A. Accordingly she was "dismissed in company with" that person. The two orders appear to me somewhat inconsistent.

2d. A slave-girl complained that her master had beaten her, but was unable to prove it. The master was bound over to keep the peace towards her. This argues that had she

she been able to prove her charge, the defendant would have been punished, and also that he was not at liberty for the future to assault her more than any body else. 3. I have had very little experience as a magnitude, and therefore you will not be sur-prised to hear that no case of the kind ever came before me in my official capacity. There are, however, slaves in the house of nearly every respectable person in the district, especially amongst the Mahomedans; and I have long ago proposed to myself the line of conduct which I should unhestatingly pursue. In a word, I should not recognize slavery at all; and if the circumstances of any case which came before me were such as to render dangerous the uncompromising mode of proceeding, I should report it for the orders of my official superior. Under no circumstances would I, of my own accord, be instrumental towards the degradation of the human species

I may have been more candid than prudent in making this avowal, in the very teeth of the law, Mahomedan, Hindoo and English, to which attention has been directed by the law commission ; but I have little doubt the same answer will be made by a large majority of my contemporaries. I consider it, like the rite of Suttee, to be an abomination, which only awaited increase of strength on the part of the rulers, or of sense on the part of the ruled, to be abolished for ever. Nor did I err in supposing that it would be one of the first subjects to which the attention of the law commission would be directed. As I would have prevented a Suttee, though yet legal, by every means at my disposal short of actual com-pulsion, so should I now consider it my duty, as far as in me lies, to withhold the sanction of government to the existence of slavery.

5. Previous to writing the above remarks, I made careful inquiries from several very respectable residents of North Moradabad, both Mahomedan and Hindoo. The result is, the conviction that they would not, as a body, feel disgusted at the interference of the magna-trate between themselves and their slaves; nor would they consider a refusal to recognize slavery at all as any serious infraction of their legal rights.

ANSWEB of Mr. J. R. Hutchiason, Commissioner of Circuit, First Division, dated 11th February 1836, to the Register of the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

1. By the practice of our courts, the right of the master over the slave, as far as services are concerned, is fully recognized, as also the property or title to sell land or mortgage his service; and property acquired by the slave becomes that of the master.

2 & 3. Our courts do not recognize any relation of master and slave as justifying acts which would otherwise be punishable. Nor do they allow the relation in mitigation of punishment; in fact, it has no practical operation different from that of master and servant. The complaints of a slave (Hindoo or Mussulman) against his master for ill-treatment are heard and determined precisely as others, and he receives the same protection under the provisions of the general regulations for the administration of criminal justice.

4. In respect to this question, I suppose, on the general principle of slavery being illegal, except under the Mussulman and Hindoo laws, the courts would not admit the claims of any but Mussulman and Hindoo claimants : but, in disposing of them, I do not think the caste or persuasion of the defendant would be attended to, provided he was not a British or foreign European subject. In claims preferred by a Mussulman master against a Hindoo, and *vice versá*, the law of the claimant would be acted upon.

ANSWER of Mr. G. W. Bacon, Officiating Civil and Session Judge, Zullah Sarunpore, dated 7th January 1836, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

In this zillah, slavery is unknown, or, if existing, is so concealed, or exists to such a very trifling extent, that in my own personal experience I have never met with a case. Nor can I recollect even a single instance of a slave complaining against his master, or vice verså, in any of the districts in which I have had the honour to serve.

As the intricacies of Mahomedan and Hindoo laws have been unravelled by abler hands than mine, I conclude the court do not wish for a mere opinion on the subject of slavery. I therefore do not reply to the law commissioners' letter seriation. By the existing regulations, with reference to paragraph 5th of the law commissioners' letter of the 10th October last, I should say, that the case of slavery, therein supposed, ought immediately to be dismissed.

ANSWER of Mr. T. Louis, Acting Magistrate of Zillah Saharunpore, dated 19th January 1836, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

I BEG leave to inform you, that I do not recollect that I have ever had occasion to take cognizance of a single case of the nature of those alluded to by Mr. Millett, in which the parties were a master and his elave; and I think that the latter are generally in this part of 202. ¥Y2 the

No. 129.

No. 128

No. 127.

Appendix If. Returns

Appendix 1L

Returns.

the country so well treated by their owners, as to render any recourse to the magistrate very uncommon, if such a circumstance has ever occurred. In case of any act of cruelty, however, towards a slave, being substantiated against either a Hindoo or Mussulman master, I should consider myself bound by the principles of equity and justice, which serve to guide our decisions, where the regulations are not sufficiently explicit, to inflict the usual punishment awarded to such an act, without any consideration for the rights the defendant might urge that he possessed over the person of the plaintiff or the property.

No. 130.

ANSWER of Mr. E. F. Franco, Magistrate of Mozuffurnugger, dated 28th November 1835, to the Officiating Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. WITH reference to the first query of the commissioners, I beg to observe, that both Hindoo and Mahomedan masters undoubtedly possess legal rights over the persons of their slaves, as far as affects their liberty and services, and over their property unconditionally; but the masters are in nowise allowed to maltreat their slaves.

3. Our courts certainly do not recognize as justifiable any acts of masters towards their slaves, unconnected with their liberty or services, which would otherwise be punishable by law: nor should I consider that the relation between the parties would absolve the master from punishment, in any case of maltreatment or oppression; although, in a case of lenient and summary correction inflicted on the slave for a fault, I might not be induced to 'new the matter precisely in the same light as I should were a person unconnected with the defendant to be the subject of the chastisement awarded.

4. A sentence of fine or imprisonment would be consequent on the conviction of a master who was proved to be guilty of oppression towards his slave; and it would probably be necessary to bind the former, in a pecuniary penalty or by sureties, to behave in future with greater leniency to his dependent.

5. The indulgence granted by the Mahomedan law in criminal matters to Mussulman slaves would not, I imagine, under any circumstances be allowed by our courts: but the slaves could in all instances be dealt with in the same manner as other delinquents.

6. In any case of a complaint by a slave against any other person than his master, the same protection and aid would indubitably be afforded as would be extended to a free person of any class whatsoever. The slaves, either Mussulman or Hindoo, are not without the pale of the law and they would always be treated in our courts as the subjects of the government of the dountry. They would never be allowed to be oppressed, and their case would inevitably find an interest in the breast of a British functionary.

7. I am not aware of any law nor of any principle, save the broad one arising from our common feelings of humanity and justice, by which the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered as an offence cognizable by the criminal courts; but it assuredly would be so considered, notwithstanding the unlimited power which may be said by the Hindoo law to be vested in the Hindoo proprietor over his slave of the same persuasion.

8. With reference to section **2**, Regulation VII. of 1832, the courts would be guided in "their judgment to support or disniss the claims of a Mussulman master over a Hindoo slave, and vice verså, entirely by the laws laid down by the tenets of their respective faiths; and it therefore being contrary to the Mahomedan law that a Mussulman should possess a Hindoo slave, the claim of the former to the latter would at once be thrown out.

9. In conclusion, I beg to add, that no claim to property, possession or service of a slave, except on behalf of a Mussulman or Hindoo claimant, would ever be admitted or allowed.

No. 131.

e ----

ANSWER of Mr. R. C. Glyn, Officiating Judge, Zillah Meerut, dated 10th February 1836, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlot, Allahabad.

2. WITH respect to the first query in Mr. Secretary Millett's letter, I beg to state, that no legal right of masters over the persons and property of their slaves is recognized by the court; but if the slave dies, the charge of his family and effects belongs to the master.

3. In reply to the 2d, 3d, 4th and 5th queries, I have only to observe, that, in administering criminal justice, there is no respect of persons, whether masters or slaves, the law being dealt out in all such cases according to the crime established, without regard to the relative position of the parties. But there is no instance on the records of this office of a slave complaining against his master, nor of a master against his slave. The practice of the criminal courts being usually to release the slave from bondage, operates to prevent such kind of disputes being brought before them. Neither is there any instance of a suit for possession or service of a slave on the part of a Mussulman or Hindoo, such sort of claims being kept out of the civil courts for the like reason.

2

ANAWER

ANSWER OF Mr. N. C. Hamilton, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Meerut, dated 4th January 1836, to the Officiating Register to the Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2

I use to state, that no case involving the rights of a master over a slave, or the relation of one to the other, has come before me during the period I have been in charge of this magistracy; neither can I find in the records of the office any proceedings by which a rule of conduct could be said to be laid down.

ANSWER of Mr. M. H. Tierney, Magistrate, Zillah Bolundsbehur, dated 5th February 1836, to the Officiating Register, Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

I HAVE the honour to submit such observations on the subject, as my own official experience and the records of this office enable me to make.

2. In answer to the inquiry as to what legal rights masters are recognized by our courts as possessing over the persons and property of their slaves, the records of this office furnish but few cases of disputes strictly of the nature inferred in this inquiry. Applications have been made for the re-apprehension of slaves who have absconded, on the plea of their having carried away with them articles the property of their masters; and in such cases, orders have been issued to the police to assist in their apprehension.

The slave has, in these instances, either returned, or has been apprehended and restored to his master, without further inquiry or complaint on the part of either master or slave. 3. From the circumstance of these applications being invariably accompanied with the charge of theft on the part of the slave, I should infer, that masters consider such charge necessary to induce the interference of the magistrate, and that the sole plea of ownership would not be recognized by our courts.

4. In addition to the notice of the construction of Regulation IV. of 1793, and Regulation 4. In addition to the notice of the construction of Regulation 1.4. of 1793, and Regulation X. of 1811, as noted in the 5th paragraph of Mr. Secretary Millett's letter, I observe that the Nizamut Adawlut, in their circular order to the courts of circuit, dated the 5th October 1814 (page 109 of volume 1 of Nizamut Adawlut Circulars), construct the provisions of Regulation X. of 1811 as inapplicable to cases of the sale of slaves not imported by sea or herd with our territories, at the same time recording the safe the safe the safe land into our territories; at the same time recognizing as legal the acts noticed in the 2d paragraph of the letter of the superintendent of police for the western provinces, dated 19th July 1814, addressed to them on the subject.

5. Thus, as the law at present stands, it is evident, that claims to the person, property and service of slaves born within our territories are admissible; and that the decisions which have been made in this court as well as others, rejecting such claims, are arbitrary and ill egal.

6. In answer to the second question of Mr. Millett's letter, it has not been the practice of this court to recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying acts otherwise punishable, or mitigating the punishment awardable for such acts. In one or two cases I find that slaves complaining of the oppression of their masters have been declared to be free.

The subjoined extract from the Hidayah would seem in some measure to justify the manumission of the slave who is oppressed by his master :

" Masters are enjoined to feed and clothe, as they would themselves, their slaves. Should "Masters are enjoined to feed and clothe, as they would themselves, their staves. Should they neglect to do so, and the slave be capable of earning his hvelihood by his own labour, he shall be entitled to do so, but the surplus profits of his labour, after his feeding and clothing, shall be the property of his master; and if he be from infirmity or other cause nable to labour, the ruler of the country may compel the master to sell him to others who will provide for him; and if no purchaser be found, he shall manumit the slave."—From the last clause of the section on Maintenance. (Hidayah.)

7. In reply to the third question, I beg to state there are no cases on record in this office of the class therein alluded to; but the practice of this court is to be inferred from what has been above stated, that in no case would less protection be afforded to the slave than to the freeman.

8. In answer to the fourth question, inquiring by what principle or law the maltreatment of a Hindoo slave by his Hindoo master would be considered an offence cognizable by the criminal courts, I answer, that such case would be tried under the general regulations, and treated, in muor instances, as a misdemeanor, and, in severer cases, as cognizable under the same regulations by the court of session.

9. The fifth question, referring principally to the practice of the civil courts, does not appear to require any reply from this office, and the several claims therein noted, if made before a magistrate, would no doubt be dismissed, and referred to the civil courts.

ANSWER of Mr. T. Metcalfe, Commissioner of Delhi, dated 22d December 1885, to the Register to the Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

The right of a master over a slave or his property has not been acknowledged in this territory, and no act of cruelty or oppression would be justified by such plea, or lead to the mitigation of punishment due to the offender.

The complaint of a slave for ill-treatment would meet precisely with the same attention as 202. X X 3 that

No. 134.

Returns. No. 132.

No. 133.

Appendix II.

357

Appendix II.

that of a servant or any other person; and we should in no way be guided by the doctrines of the Mahomedan law,

No distinction being admitted in our courts between slave and freeman, a complaint, against whomsoever preferred, would meet with the same consideration.

The maltreatment of a Hindoo slave would be cognizable in our criminal courts, on the principle of equal justice to all; for, as in this territory, the legal claim of the master to enforce servitude is not acknowledged, he can possess no right to injure or maltreat the slave.

In the event of a civil suit being instituted by a Mahomedan master against a Hindoo slave, or Hindoo master against a Mahomedan slave, a decision would not be passed with reference to the laws of their religions, but as directed by Regulation VII. of 1832, on the principles of justice, equity and good conscience.

2. Since the promulgation in this territory of the law prohibiting slavery, we have not even recognized possession as a claim; and though I do not, at this present moment, recollect any instance of a male slave petitioning for emancipation, I have known very many applications from the unfortunate class of females purchased for the purposes of prostituton, and in every case the applicants were absolved from any further compulsory servitude, the mistress being referred to the civil court to obtain compensation for any expense incurred for food, clothing, jewels, &c.

3. In the year 1838, the government humanely interfered to rescue from slavery two females who succeeded in effecting their escape from the palace at Delhi, and threw themiselves on the protection of the magistrate. Every exertion was made by the owner (one of the princes), backed by strong remonstrances from his majesty the King of Delhi, and even by the recommendation of the then resident, to procure their restitution; but they were, nevertheless, eventually emancipated by the express directions of the Right honourable the Governor-general in Council.

No. 135.

ANSWER of Mr. H. Fraser, Judge of Delhi, dated 5th February 1836, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. In the courts over which I have authority, it does not appear that during the last 25 years any case has been decided in which a slave was a party concerned. About the year 1811, some orders on the subject of slavery were issued by the then chief civil authority at Delhi ; the precise nature of these orders I am now unable to state, a copy of them not being procurable; but I have reason to believe that they went far to remove all invideous distinctions between master and slave, and that the courts in the Delhi terntory, which have probably been guided in their decisions by the orders in question, have not for many years, so far as I am aware, recognized any right or immunity, beyond that of service, to attach ta the one which did not, in an equal degree, belong to the other.

No. 136.

ARSWER of Mr. S. W. Truscott, Magistrate, Centre Division, Delhi, dated 8th Pebruary, 1836, to the Officiating Register, Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

Answer to the 1st question.*--THOUGH the Hindoo and Mahomedan law officers of Delhi are of opinion that masters have absolute authority over the persons and property of their slaves, yet in practice no legal rights of this nature have been recognized either in the civil or criminal courts of Delhi.

Answer to the 2d guestion.—I cannot find a case in point among the criminal records of this office. From a statement prepared in ruy office, I find that, since 1820, 63 suits have been instituted in the magistrate's office by male and female slaves, particularly the latter, against their owners, for maltreatment, and in accordance with the prayer of their petitions they were invariably emancipated. The minor offences of slaves would seldom be brought to the notice of the court, as their masters would be unwilling to risk the loss of their services, and I am not aware that the courts would grant any indugence to a slave charged with a serious criminal offence merely from a consideration of his being a slave.

Answer to the 3d question.—I can find no such case on record in this office, nor am I aware that the courts would afford less protection to slaves than to free persons under such circumstances.

* 2. The questions proposed in section 5 of Mr. Millett's letter having reference solely to the practice of the civil courts, I do not feel myself competent to reply to them; and the answers which I obtained from the principal sudder ameen and the Hindoo and Mahomedan sudder ameens of Delhi, are so very contradictory, that I find it difficult to draw any satisfactory conclusions from them. I am, however, decidedly of opinion, that the purchase and sale of slaves in British India is rapidly on the decline, and that if the penal provisions of clause 2, section 2, Regulation III. of 1682, were at once extended generally to the purchase

. See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.

Returns.

chase and sale of slaves, the practice would very soon cease altogether in our territories, and the vile race of pimps, prostitutes and eunichs, who now infest our large towns, would in another quarter of a century become extinct. An additional clause, declaring all the children born of slaves after the date of its promulgation free, would in like manner, without infringing too suddenly on the rights of the present proprietors, lay the foundation for the gradual but sure extinction of slavery in India. Whereas, any attempt to regulate or ameliorate, by legislation, the slavery as it now exists in India, will, in my humble opinion, inevitably tend to increase the evil, and render any future attempt to abolish it exceedingly difficult; and, as in the case of the West India slavery, very expensive.

Returns.

Appendix II.

-{" .

359

ANSWER of Mr. C. Gubbins, Officiating Magistrate of Goorgong, dated 27th November 1835, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahahad,

I HAVE the honour to inform you, that the practice of this court has been, as far as I can checover, to recognize no right of one man over another, except in the relation of master and servant.

I have myself invariably considered that the object and intent of the different regulations enacted, regarding the importation and selling of slaves, were the gradual abolition of slavery throughout the Company's territories, allowing, at the same time, all persons who had slaves in their possession at the time of annexation of territory, to keep them unmolested ; and I should consider myself bound to declare any young person free who should complain in the magistrate's court, on the ground that whoever would prove his right of possession must necessarily render himself either hable to be punished for importing or buying the slave, premising that no person can be a slave by birth.

No case comes within my recollection, where a slave has complained of ill-treatment against his master in this court. Should such a case arise, unless the treatment complained of were decidedly beyond a moderate correction, I should dismiss it, on the ground that as long as the man or woman chose to remain as a slave in the hopse of its master, it had thereby voluntarily subjected itself to correction at its master's direction.

Should the case be one of mamming or endangering the life of a slave, I should consider myself competent to take cognizance of it, according to the regulations in force for freemen. Slaves escaping from foreign territories have invariably been declared free, and no claim on them has been considered valid, whether it be 4 Hindoo over a Hindoo, a Mussuman over a Mussulman, a Hindoo over a Mussulman, or vie versä; and several cases of this nature have been thus decided.

No.	When brought forward.	Plantiff.	Defendanf.	Crime.	Date of Decision and Order.
1	26 April 1828 -	Mussamut Meena.	Mussamu Shezadee.	III-treatment	The plaintiff not being purchased by the defendant, she was made free on the 26th April 1828.
2	91 July 1828 -	Mussamut Douletabadee.	Mussamut Jumne,	ditto	- The plaintiff was made free on the 29th July 1829.
3	11 June 1831 -	Mussamut Asoorun.	Mussemut Amerbuksh.	ditto	ditto, ditto, on the 11th June 1831.
4	sg Dec. 1831 -	Gopal Singh of Bullungurh.	Mussamut Keereembuksh.	Making her escape from the bouse of the defendant with jewels.	The plaintiff hav- ing denied that she was a slave, therefore she was made free on the 29th December 1831.
5	94 Jan. 1834 -	Mussamut Jooggun	Mussamut Moothee.	Ill-treatment -	The plaintiff was made free on the 25th January 1834.
6	11 Sep. 1834 -	Mussamut Lado.	Mother of the plaintiff.	To be made free.	The plaintiff was made free on the 11th of September 1834.
7	19 June 1835 -	Mussamut Fyzleoksh.	Mussamut Sheedhee.	ditto, in consequence of ill-treatment.	The plaintiff was made free on the 31st July 1835.

I have the honour to annex a statement including all cases of this nature which have come under the cognizance of the Goorgong magistrate.

¥ ¥ 4

Answei

262.

No. 137.

Appendix II.

ARSWER of Mr. A. Fraser, Magistrate, Rohtuk Division, Delhi Territory, dated 27 January' 1836, to the Officiating Register, Allahabad Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.

No. 138.

I HAVE the honour to remark, that in no instance has any case, to the best of my knowledge, come before this court involving any of the questions propounded in that circular. It may be said indeed that slavery is unknown in this district, save by name, and only in this respect in a very limited degree. In some of the Mussulman communities, there exists a class of people denominated "Gholams," the signification of which now would seem it denote that the class so designated is in a state of slavery. But this does not practically hold true. These people are not in a state of servitude; and no rights, to the best of my knowledge, are claumed over them which place them on any other footing than that on which stand the other inhabitants of this district.

On the general question, I possess no such knowledge as could induce me to suppose that my remarks would be useful. I refrain, therefore, from unprofitably occupying the tune of your court.

No. 139.

ANSWER of Mr. J. Lawrence, Officiating Magistrate, Zillah Paniput, dated 30th November 1835, to the Officiating Register to the Courts of Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allababad.

Answer to questions 1st and 2d.*-A MASTER, in my opinion, possesses no legal right ever a slave or his property; and no court would recognize the relation of master and slave as justifying acts of cruelty or constituting grounds for mitigating the punishment due to them.

^c Answer to question 3d.—A slave, on complaining of ill-treatment, would receive the protection which a menial servant is entriled to; in fact, in every respect I should consider them on a footing of perfect equality and possessing equal rights; this being my opinion, the latter part of the question does not require an answer.

Answer to question 4th .-- None ; this question is fully answered above.

Answer to question 5th. —I am not aware of any law or regulation specifically affording redress to a slave as distinguished from a freeman, nor do I deem any necessary; it would be sufficient for me that no regulation recognizes that right of a master over a slave, and that such a claim is contrary to every principle of our regulations. It would, therefore, in my opinion, require no specific regulation to give a slave redress; but I should require the master to point out a specific law before I would consider any one his slave; I would say to the master who put in the plea of slavery as justification of his treatment, "First show me the regulation which makes that man your slave; until you can do so, he is, in my eyes, a freeman."

Regulation X. of 1811 declares the importation of slaves illegal; its preamble says, that "the importation and traffic in slaves is inconsistent with humanity and the principles by which the administration of the country is conducted." If importation, if traffic is illegal and punishable, I do not think it a very forced construction to conclude that the possessing one is equally unlawful by this regulation, independent of common principles of equity. The slave, therefore, is entitled, and would receive from me, redress for any injury, no matter from whom received.

Answer to question 6th.-I should say certainly not. In the first place, the section here quoted runs thus: "The law is designed for the protection of rights of persons, not for the depivation of those of others; that the Mussulman or Hindoo law shall not be permitted to deprive parties of any property to which, but for the operation of such laws, they would be antitled; that the decision should be governed on the principles of justice, equity and good conscience." For all or any of these reasons I think that no court would recognize any such claim of either Mussulman or Hindoo; to do so would be to deprive a man of what is better than any property, which is dearer than any other right, that of freedom; it would be copposed to the plain intent of the first and second paragraphs above quoted; and, lastly, it would be clearly contrary to every principle of justice, equity and good conscience. I need not add that, such being my interpretation of the law, I would dismiss a similar claim of any other person, no matter what might be his religion. Few cases of slavery ever occur in these districts; the population is entirely agricultural, and among them the practice is unknown. In the city of Delhi and in all the surrounding independent states, especially where the chiefs are Mussulman, it is more common; it is chiefly females who are stolen or purchased in Rajpootana, and brought to Delhi for prestitution. In some cases of Thuggee which I have seen, the murders were perpetrated merely for the children; some of whom were sold in the city the same day. When serving as an assistant at Delhi, I have frequently seen cases of women, who had escaped out of the palace, coming to the court for protection, which was invariably afforded them; and I believe there was an order to this effect, consequent on a reference from government. Two cases only I can now recall to memory bearing exactly on this subject; the one was of brutal ill-treatment which I witnessed when riding through the city one day; I really believe if I had ho

* See Letter from the Law Commission, No. 1 of this Appendix.

declined to prosecute, but I bound the master down to keep the peace for the future. The other was a case in which a Kutmutgar prosecuted a nawab for arrears of wages. The de-fendant asserted and proved that the man was his slave, born in his house; I set this defence aside, on the ground that I could not, under any regulation, recognize the relation of master and slave, and decreed the plaintiff the amount of his arrears.

LETTER of Mr. J. Lawrence, dated 21st October 1835, in continuation of above.

In continuation of my letter to your address, under date the 30th ultimo, regarding the system of slavery in the country, and the practice of this court in cases brought before it, I beg to remark that Regulation III. of 1832 (of which, when writing my letter, I was not acquainted), in declaring that all slaves imported into the British territory subsequent to the year 1811, being a period of no less than 25 years, would certainly be decisive against the claume of matter in the greater pumper of cases the claims of masters in the greater number of cases.

ANSWER of Mr. M. R. Gubbins, Officiating Magistrate, Hurrianah Division, dated 12th December 1835, to the Officiating Register, Sudder Dewanny and Nizamut Adawlut, Allahabad.

2. THAT in this division of the Delhi territory, the relation of master and slave is scarcely known; and that a careful examination of the records of this office has failed to show that any case of this nature was ever brought into the magistrate's court, m which a right of property in the person of another was claimed by any individual subject to our government.

3. The population of this district may be divided into three great classes; viz., Jauts, Bhuttees and Rajpoots. Among the two former, I have never even heard that the relation of master and slave existed; in the latter, I am aware that it does prevail, but to a very limited extent. The people, however, are conscious that this relation is not admitted by our courts; where, therefore, slavery does exist, it is in so limited a sense that the slave would be more properly termed a household servant, who receives from his master food and clothing instead of wages.

 The relation of master and slave has, indeed, never been acknowledged by this court; and this principle has been carried so far, that the claims of subjects of the adjoining Sikh states, who have occasionally applied for the restoration of slaves escaped from them into the British territory, have been similarly rejected, it being held that, though in servitude before, these became enfranchised by a residence in the British territory.

5. The records of this office affording no precedents from which its general practice regarding the several cases noticed in the secretary Indian Law Commissioners' letter might be inferred, I regret that I am unable to afford the answers required. I must, however, state my opinion, that no distinction of freeman or slave has ever been or would now be allowed by the practice of this court; nor have any special rights strising from either relations ever been upheld or acknowledged. In coming to this opinion, I have been guided by my own experience in the division, by the common understanding of the people at large on the subject, as well as by the judgment and experience of the native sudder ameen (a Mussulman), long a resident in this zillah.

FROM the Secretary Law Commission, dated 5th April 1\$39, to the Judge of Zillah Cuttack.

I AM directed by the Indian Law Commissioners to request the favour of your informing them whether it is or has ever been the practice of your own and of your subordinate courts

to authorize the sale of slaves by public auction in satisfaction of decrees of court. 2. They learn from evidence taken before them on the subject of slavery in Cuttack, that on one occasion a judgment creditor included slaves in the schedule of his debtor's pro-perty, for the attachment and sale of which he moved the court, but that, on the debtor objecting to that proceeding, Mr. Pigou, then judge of the district, directed the slaves to be struck out of the schedule, on the ground that they were not a fit subject for sale. It would be satisfactory to the commissioners to have specific information respecting this particular case, if it can be traced without much trouble.

ANSWER of Mr. H. V. Hathorn, Officiating Judge, Zillah Cuttack, dated 1st May 1839.

In reply to your letter, No. 193, dated 5th ultimo, I have the honour to state that it would not appear to have been the practice in the courts of Cuttack to authorize the sale, by public auction, of slaves in execution of decrees of court.

2. I regret that I have been unable to trace the suit alluded to in your letter, in which 2. I regret that I have been unable to trace the shift sinded to in your retter, in which Mr. Pigou, in his capacity of judge of the district, is said to have struck out, from a schedule of property, certain slaves proposed for attachment and sale. 3. I have, however, on further search, discovered one case, as described in the margin, which was instituted when Mr. Ricketts was officiating judge, and decided in Mr. Diracit time. In this case the degree was presend by the burner council

Mr. Pigou's time. In this case, the decree was passed by the lower court, awarding the proprietary right in three slaves. It is to be remarked, however, that in the execution of this decree, no order was issued (although applied for) for giving actual \$62. ΖZ possession

No. 142. Court of Sudder Ameen, Zillah Cuttark. No. 10,543. Sreeputty Pendah, Plautaff,

Purmessur Mahapatur Becepapar Pundah - Jeetchace Mullick - Lab Mullick - Arath Mullick - Jeetchace Guiltek -Claum. For possession of three slaves, valued at 30 rupess. Suit instituted 23d Jan 1823. Dec ded 20th Apr I 1829.

No. 141.

No. 140.

Appendix 11. Retorns.

361

.

Appendix II: Returns: possession of the slaves. "The hukumnamah" merely contains an order for the payment of the costs of suit, and this omission is not in any way explained.

No. 143.

FROM the Secretary Law Commission, dated 5th April 1839, to the Magistrate of Cuttack.

FROM evidence taken before them on the subject of slavery in Cuttack, the law commissioners understand that a proclamation was issued by Mr. Robert Ker, whilst commissioner of the district, declaring the sale of slaves illegal. The immediate cause of that measure is stated to have been an appeal preferred to the commissioner by a slave, who on being sold by his original owner to a person he was unwilling to serve, had applied unsuccessfully to the magistrate for protection against the coercive proceedings of the purchaser, and the result of the appeal appears to have been that the slave was enfranchised, and the purchaser subjected to a fine.

2. The law commissioners are desirous of examining the proclamation in question, as well as the proceedings both of the magistrate and commissioner in the particular case which gave rise to it, and I am therefore directed to convey to you their request, that you will favour them with copies of the above documents at your earliest convenience.

3. From the same source, the law commissioners further learn that on the occasion of a complaint preferred by a slave, Mr. W. Forrester, then magistrate of the district, declared a deed of sale of a slave unlawful, imposed a fine on the purchaser, awarded costs to the slave, and referred the purchaser to the civil court for the recovery of the purchase-money from the vendor. The commissioners would be obliged by your furnishing them with a copy of these proceedings also, if the case can be traced without much trouble. They regret that they cannot supply any particulars of date, or of the names of the parties.

No. 144.

FROM the Magistrate of Zillah Cuttack, dated 19th June 1839, to the Secretary to the Indian Law Commissioners, Fort William.

In reply to your letter of the 5th of April last, I regret to state that after the most particular search in my record office, I cannot discover the proclamation or decision alluded to by you. I, however, forward two* proceedings. The first dated the 81st January 1822, acquits the defendants, Hera Das and Gooma, prostitutes, of selling Mosummat Dhurnee, the sister of Fakir Das, plaintiff. The second proceeding, dated the 8th of June of the same year, convicts Pudya, defendant, of stealing and selling Oholia, the daughter of the plaintiff, Bugwan Das, and sentences him to sux months' imprisonment, with labour, in from.

2. These two cases prove, I think, the practice of the courts to have been to punish all persons convicted of selling free-born individuals as slaves. In this district there is a class of serfs, who pay no rent to the proprietor on whose lands they reside, but are hable to be called on to work for their owners, only receiving food. They are permitted to enter the service of other individuals, but pay a portion of their savings to their master. The share to be paid is not fixed; it is given in the shape of a present. These people are sold frequently, I am given to understand; but such sales are not recognized by the criminal courts. Whenever any person sold has presented a petition of objection, it has always been the practice to disallow the sale, and to permit him to go where he pleased; so that transfers can only be considered bunding when all parties consent.

No. 145.

FROM the Secretary Indian Law Commission to the Magistrate of the Northern Division of Cuttack, Balasore, dated 5th April 1839.

MR. RICKETTS, late commissioner of Cuttack, having stated, in his evidence before the law commission on the subject of slavery in Cuttack, that in the year 1829-80 a census was taken by him of the slave population of chucklah Bhudruck, and subsequently, in 1831 or 1882.

^{*} The enclosures of his letter are two :---

In the decision passed by Mr. W. Forrester, the magistrate of xillah Cuttack, on the Sist of January 1852, on the prosecution of Fahrn Das. Here Das and Massumat Gooma, prosecutor, were charged with selling prosecutor's sister. Mr. Forrester sequitted both prisoners, and made over the grit to prosecutor. Ho remarked, that a "person of the same class, to whom prosecutor had intrusted his sister to be nourished, made her over to Sulha for that purpose. She made her over to Hera Das, who transferred her to a Brahnmin. Size again made her over to the sister of Gooma, prostitute. Even the offence of selling, or in any other way that of the abduction of his sister from prosecutor's house, is not proved.

^{2.} The other encloants is the "ision passed on the 8th June 1832, by Mr. W. Forrester, the magistrate of the sillah Cuttack, on the pro. orion of Bugwan Dass. Ruttan Pauk, Pudya and others. Pudya, who was charged with having stolen the daughter, aged seven years, of prosecutor, his master, and selling her to Ruttan Pauk, was convicted on his own confession. The others were charged with being accessory, but released for defect of proof.

1882, of the entire population of the Balasore division, and that the official statements of the same are deposited in your office; I am directed to convey to you the request of the law commissioners, that you will favour them with copies of those statements at your earliest convenience, or with an abridged analysis of them, if too voluminous to be readily transcribed.

ANSWER of Mr. Edward Repton, Magistrate, Balasore, dated 7th May 1839, to the Secretary to the Indian Law Commissioners, Calcutta.

2. SOME delay has taken place in replying to it, as I have been obliged to make an abstract of a mass of papers, sent in by the Mofussil officers employed by Mr. Ricketts. The total number, as shown by the papers of my office, 18, of men, women and children, 617,613. This was the result of the inquiries instituted after the storms. Since then, an area paying upwards of 30,000 rupees has been added, and I calculate its population at 40,000 or 50,000.

40,000 or 50,000. 3. In forwarding the returns to you some time ago, I stated the population at 5,000,000, and did so with the knowledge of Mr. Ricketts. He estimated that of Balasore at 450,000, to which I added the above-mentioned annexation from Midnapore. The census which I had made by the police gives 462,000 inhabitants of the zillah. I consider the former papers in my office, as far as they relate to the Bhudruck chucklah, quite incorrect. It is impossible there can be 365,066 in that thannah. My police state the number to be 925 458 A memoryandum of the slaves is herewith sont 225,458. A memorandum of the slaves is herewith sent.

STATEMENT showing the Number of Persons in the Zillah of Balasore, according to the Papers filed in the Collector's Office after the Storms.

Balasore chucklah Bhudruck chucklah	-	-	-	-	-		-	· 252,547 · 365,066
	•				T	OTAL	-	 617,613

One slave to 77 free, or 1.3 per cent.

(signed)

Edward Repton, Magistrate.

Balasore, Magistrate's Office, 7 May 1839.

LIST of SLAVES in the Pergunnahs of Bhudruck Chucklah, also of Individuals having no Houses, and no visible Means of Support.

Names	of Per	gunn	Number of Slaves.			Number of Men having no Houses or Means of Support.			
Arraroopea	-	-	· -	-	-		2		151
Zillah Ámbohutta	-	-	- /	-	-	-	-	-	313
Agas	-	-	- /	-	-		545		69
Byang	-	-	- [•	-		833		43
Baulkund	-	-	-/	-	- 1		76		422
Tuppa Pursondo -	-	-	-/	-	-	-	-	-	656
Soso	-	-	-	-	- 1		859		280
Dhamnuggur -	-	-	-	-	-		894		82
Raudea Orgurra -	-	-	4	-	- }		296		700
Sumawutt	-	-	4	-	- 1		1,535		89
Dolegram	-	-	4	-	~		2,013		10
Katia	-	-	/-	-	-		1,469		73
Tuppa Malunch -	-	-	1	-	-	-	- 1	-	125
		1	OTAL		-		8,022		3,013
						11,035			

Balasore, Magistrate's Office, 7 May 1839.

262. ..

FROM

Appendix II.

363 ٩.

Returns.

No. 146.

Approdix II.

No 147.

FROM Secretary Law Commission to the Judges of Zillah Behar, Patna and Shahabad, dated 7th November 1839.

THE alw commissioners have examined several individuals in regard to the state of slaves in different parts of the country, and the usages by which they are affected. One individual has stated, that in your district slaves were formerly sold in satisfaction of judgments against their masters.

2. The law commissioners request the favour of information, as to whether resort has been had to this class of judicial sale, formerly or at present, and in what degree of frequency; and if such recourse is no longer practiced, the cause of the desuetude.

3. The law commissioners are rather anxious for an early reply, than for detailed and exact information; which must be necessarily preceded by inquiry and research. I am directed, therefore, to solicit such general information on this subject as your own knowledge, or that of the officers longest attached to your court, may be able to supply with as little delay as possible.

No. 148.

ANSWER of Mr. C. T. Davidson, Officiating Judge, Zillah Behar, dated 18th December 1839, to the Secretary of the Indian Law Commissioners, Fort William.

2. I HAVE made inquiry, if the practice of selling slaves, in satisfaction of decrees against their masters, ever prevailed in this court. I required also all the judicial officers subordinate to this court to institute similar inquiry in their own offices. The returns have been received by me, and it appears that no instance of the sale of slaves for judgments against their masters has ever occurred.

.

No. 149.

ANSWER of Mr. John French, Judge of Zillah Shahabad, dated 27th December 1839, to the Secretary of the Indian Law Commission, Calcutta.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, under date the 7th November last, and beg to inform you, that from the reports of the several ameens and moonsifis, and the kyfent of the mahafadufter of this court, it does not appear that the practice of selling slaves in satisfaction of judgments against their masters has occurred in this district.

16168

APPENDIX