1) Report from the Select committee of the House of Lord appointed to consider of the pethtion of the East India Company for relief and to report thereon to the House with the minutes of evidence taken before the committee inclusion appendix and Index.

V2, L. L5+ A840

2) Report from the select committee on trade with I have light withe minutes of evidence taken before them and unappondix and trade. ×9(J471:77):54.36641.1041

Rare section

R E P O R T

FROM .THE

SELECT COMMITTEE

OF

THE HOUSE OF LORDS

APPOINTED TO CONSIDER OF THE

PETITION OF THE EAST INDIA COMPANY FOR RELIEF;

AND TO REPORT THEREON TO THE HOUSE.

WITH THE

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE

TAKEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE,

AND

AN APPENDIX AND INDEX.

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed, 4 June 1840.

V2, L·L5t A840 14252

THE REPO	RT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	p. n
MINUTES C	FE	VID	ENC	E -	~	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	р.
APPENDIX		-,	-	-	-	-	-	•		-	-	•	p. 161
INDEX	-		-	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	p. 163

THE REPORT.

BY THE LORDS COMMITTEES appointed a Select Committee to consider of the Petition of the East India Company (presented to the House on the 14th of February 1840), complaining of many Grievances set forth in their Petition, and praying for Relief; and to report to the House; and to whom were referred certain Petitions and several Papers in relation to the Inquiry before the Committee:

ORDERED TO REPORT,

THAT the Committee have met, and, in the Execution of the Duty intrusted to them by the House, the Committee have examined several Witnesses in elucidation of the Matters referred to in the Petition, or in support of the Statements contained in it, and of its Prayer. They have likewise examined other Witnesses connected with the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane in the West Indies, with a view of ascertaining what Effect a Compliance with the Prayer of the Petition would have upon their Interests.

The Committee think that it may be convenient to the House that they should first place before it the Substance of what appears to them to be the most important Part of the Evidence they have received, and some of the more material facts which it seems to be necessary to consider with reference to the Allegations and Complaints of the Petitioners.

It appears from the Evidence of Mr. Melvill, Secretary to the Court of Directors of the East India Company, that the average Amount of Payments necessarily made every Year in this Country by the Court of Directors on account of the Territory of India is about 3,200,000 l., and that there is no material Reason to expect that this Charge will either be much increased or much diminished.

In addition to this Sum, the Impression, both of Mr. Melvill and of Mr. Larpent is, that about 500,000 l. a Year may be the Amount of private Fortunes transferred from India to this Country.

An Individual desirous of so transferring his Property would probably, except under particular Circumstances, not transfer it in One Year, unless the Exchanges were favourable for such a Transmission; but the 3,200,000l. which on the Average of Years, the Company demand in this Country, must be furnished, no Matter what the cost at which it is transmitted.

If the Company begin the Year with an affluent Cash Balance, that Balance will admit of some reduction on the Termination of the Year, and to that 353.

Extent

46.

113.

114.

115.

116.

139.

140.

137.

138.

70. Extent may supply a Deficiency in the Remittances from India. If a Disappointment occurred to the Extent of Half a Million, more or less, in one Year than another, it would not put the Company to any great Inconvenience. They have likewise the power of issuing Bonds in this Country to the Extent of 7,000,000 l., a Power at present exercised only to the Extent of about 1,700,000 l.; but an Increase of their Debt in this Country is the last Measure which the Company would willingly adopt. There is only One Year (since the Termination of the last Charter) in which the Remittances from India

the Termination of the last Charter) in which the Remittances from India have been less than the Expenditure, viz. 1834-35, and in that Year the Amount taken from the Assets was 2,188,000 *l*.

The Proceeds of the Company's Commercial Assets have now been entirely appropriated.

The Items of Remittance for the Year subsequent to 1834-35 have been as follow:—

		•	1 8 35÷36.	1836–37.	1837–38.	1838-39.
			£.	£.	£.	£.
Bills on India -	-	-	2,045,253	2,042,232	1,706,185	2,346,591
Advances in China	-	- }	957,738	968,236	297,852	394,396
Advances in India	-	-	1,099,017	1,052,573	990,655	630,915
Sales of Silk -	-	-	284,516	56,29 2	122,038	66,833

The average Rate at which the Company have realized all their Remittances during the whole Period of Five Years is 2s. per Company's Rupee, including the Remittance of Silk, and excluding that Remittance, 1s. 11.761d.

80. per Rupee, the Bullion Value of that Rupee at the Market Price of Silver in London (from 4s. 11½d. to 5s. an Ounce) being 1s. 10¼d.

In calculating these Rates the Interest is left out.

The Company have had no Difficulty whatever in realizing the Demand which this Country has had upon India during the last Five Years; but the Circumstances of the Exchange are more unfavourable at present than the Average of those Years; the Exchange is now only 1s. 11d. the Company's Rupee.

The Variations during the last Year have been, from 2s. 1½d. the highest, to 1s. 11d. the lowest, the Exchange at the present Moment, which it is apprehended must be affected by the State of our Relations with China.

Mr. Melvill is of opinion that the present Interruption of the Trade at Canton must affect all Remittances from India to the Extent of One Third, and materially embarrass the Company, should it continue, in the Remittance of the Sum necessarily paid in this Country; for the Imports from China to this Country have been very considerably greater in Value than the Imports into China from this Country in the course of the last Twenty Years, the Difference having been supplied by Imports into China from India.

It will be seen, on referring to the Statement in the Appendix showing the Imports and Exports of Treasure at each of the Presidencies of India from 1814-15 to 1836-37, that in the Years from 1814-15 to 1830-31, both inclusive, the net Import of Treasure into Bengal amounted to 28.49.89,370

Rupees, being a Sum of more than 28,500,000l.; that in the succeeding Two Years there was a net Export from Bengal of 1.05.63,090 Rupees, being a Sum of about 1,056,000L, that is, about One Twenty-seventh Part of the Treasure imported into Bengal in the previous Seventeen Years; and yet Mr. Trevelyan observes, " about the Year 1832 such Quantities of Silver " had been remitted to England, to supply the great Remittances which the" "Company was making at that Time, that there really was no Silver left in Bengal to pay the Land Tax; and in several Districts the Zemindars told us that they should be very happy to pay it in Copper or in Produce, but

Again, Mr. Trevelyan says, "For several Years previous to the last "Renewal of the Charter extraordinary Demands were made upon India for "Remittance by the Company. Great Sums were remitted to England over " and above what had been usually remitted, and I attribute to that the " remarkable Way in which the Country was drained of Silver. This was " productive of serious Effects; Money became much scarcer and more valu-" able; the Price of Grain and other Articles for Home Consumption fell in " an extraordinary Degree; and the Land Tax, which is payable in fixed Sums " of Money, was felt for a Time as a most oppressive Burden, even where " it was not, in an ordinary State of Things, heavier than it ought to be."

"that they had no Silver to pay it in."

During the Two Years above referred to, 1831-32 and 1832-33, there was a net Import of Treasure at Bombay of 84.12,069 Rupees, or 841,200l., and a net Export at Madras of 46.46,325 Rupees, or 464,600l., and the total net Export from the Three Presidencies was 67.97,346 Rupees, or only about 679,734l.; the total net Import into all India during the preceding Seventeen Years having been 43.91.70,155 Rupees, or about Forty-four Millions Sterling, a Sum nearly Sixty-five Times greater than that of which the Export in Two Years produced so great a Fall in Prices, and so much Difficulty in paying the Revenue.

The Cause of these Results is to be found principally in the great Consumption of the Precious Metals which takes place in India.

The Natives of India have always been in the habit of hoarding the Precious Metals, and of using them profusely in Ornaments. There is also great unner cessary Waste in the Coinage of the Native States. It is to be remembered too. that in India a temporary Deficiency of Metallic Currency is not supplied, as in this Country, by an Issue of Paper. An Export of Treasure is a net Diminution of the Circulating Medium; and, while the Habits of the People remain what they are, and the Monetary System what it is, any considerable Export of Treasure must produce Embarrassments similar to those which have been described by Mr. Trevelyan.

It is therefore upon the Import of the Produce and Manufactures of India, and upon that alone, that the Government of India must rely for realizing every Year in this Country the Sum of 3,200,000l., required to meet the Territorial Payments.

They advertise the Terms and Conditions upon which Advances will be made under Hypothecation, and specify the Rate of Exchange at which they are proposed to make such Advances.

If they find that they cannot procure the Sums they must necessarily send to England at the Rate of Exchange so mentioned, inasmuch as at that Rate of Exchange it is not profitable for a Merchant to remit Goods, they raise the

812.

804.

813.

92.

93

Rate-

(43.)

Rate of Exchange until they effect their Purpose; that is, they give more and more Rupees for the Pound Sterling until they make it profitable to remit Goods hypothecated to them for the Purpose of realizing that Sum in England.

The Allegation of the Representations made to the Court of Directors is, that, by the System of advancing Monies in India upon Goods undue Encouragement is given to Speculation, which deranges the Calculations of Merchants; and the Committee cannot but admit the Correctness of the Allegation, and see, in all the Facts they have detailed, the most urgent Reasons for giving to the Export Trade of India the utmost possible Freedom, for the common Benefit of India and England.

The Petition.

95.

Sugar.

220.

The first Complaint of the Petitioners is, that although the Sugar of Bengal is now subjected to the same Amount of Duty as that of the West Indies and the Mauritius, and the same Principle has been applied to Coffee the Produce of the British Possessions in India, yet in both Instances the Admission is fettered by Restrictions which diminish its Value. They say that the Means prescribed by the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 33. for extending to the other Parts of the British Territories in India the same Advantages which were conceded to Bengal by the 6 & 7 W. 4. c. 26. are circuitous, tardy, and uncertain. "Evidence is to " be produced to satisfy the Privy Council that the Importation of Sugar into " any particular District is prohibited, and then it is declared to be lawful for " Her Majesty in Council to issue an Order allowing the Importation of Sugar, " from such District into Great Britain at the lower Rate of Duty." In support of this Complaint, Mr. Larpent, the Chairman of the East India and China Association, stated, that "the Parties in India think that the Proof of such Prohibition should be left to the Authorities in India, and not reserved, as " it were, for the Satisfaction of the Privy Council here, which would raise " open Questions as to the Nature and Extent of the Prohibition to import "Sugars, and would, perhaps, throw Obstacles in the Way of these Presi-"dencies of India (which, by their own Authority, prohibit the Importation " of Sugar) benefiting by the Advantage of being placed on an equal Footing " of Duty with the West Indies."

By the 6 & 7 W. 4. c. 26. (passed on the 4th of July 1836) it was enacted, that after the 1st of December in that Year it should not be lawful to import into any Part of Bengal, or of any Dependency thereof, being a British Possession, any Foreign Sugar, nor any Sugar the Growth of any British Possession into which Foreign Sugar could be legally imported.

A Power was however given to the Governor General in Council to except from this Prohibition any of the Districts or Dependencies of Bengal, with the Proviso that Sugar the Produce of the Districts or Dependencies so excepted should not be admitted into the United Kingdom at the lower Rate of Duty.

The Act likewise required, before the Entry of any Sugar at the lower Rate of Duty, a Certificate under the Hand and Seal of the Collector and Assistant Collector of Land or Custom Revenue of the District within which such Sugar was produced, that such Sugar was of the Produce of the District, and that the Importation into such District of Foreign Sugar, or of Sugar the

Growth

Growth of any British Possession into which Foreign Sugar can be legally imported, is prohibited; and such particular and minute Provisions are made with respect to this Certificate as seem calculated to effect the evident Purpose of the Act, that the Privilege of Import at the lower Rate of Duty should be strictly confined to Sugar bonâ fide the Produce of a British Possession.

The Act of the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 33. (passed on the 4th of July 1838) provided, that if at any Time satisfactory Proof shall have been laid before Her Majesty in Council that the Importation of Foreign Sugar into any British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter is prohibited, it shall be lawful for Her Majesty in Council to allow the Importation of Sugar the Growth of any such British Possession at the lower Rate of Duty specified in the before-recited Act, subject to the same Restrictions and Conditions as Sugar the Growth of Bengal may be imported under the Provisions of that Act.

In the first of these Acts, Parliament, assured that Bengal was under such Circumstances as enabled it at once to prohibit the Importation of Foreign Sugar, and to commence the Exportation of Sugar the Produce of its own Soil, made Provisions for the Purpose of effecting such Prohibition, and of encouraging such Exportation.

In the Second Act, desirous of extending a similar Advantage to all British Possessions within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter at the earliest Period consistent with their several Circumstances, it left to the local Legislative Authorities the Power of determining the Period at which the System of Prohibition, with its concomitant Benefits, should commence, reserving only to Her Majesty in Council, not unreasonably, the Power of judging whether the Prohibition as enacted by such local Legislative Authorities was in fact efficient for the Purpose of satisfying the Condition upon which alone the Entry of Sugar at the lower Rate of Duty had been conceded.

It was not probable that a local Legislature would, without ample Notice to the Dealers in Foreign Sugar, pass a Law prohibiting its Importation; and in fact it appears that in Madras such Prohibition now enacted by Law is not to take effect till next June; so that, as might be expected in all Cases, it appears that in the Case of Madras ample Time is afforded to the Parties interested to lay before Her Majesty in Council the Act of Prohibition, and to obtain an Order in Council permitting the Importation of Sugar at the lower Rate of Duty from the Date at which the Prohibition shall take effect.

The Petitioners next submit, that with regard to Coffee great Uncertainty Coffee. and Inconvenience have arisen from the Ambiguity of the Words "British" Possessions;" that the strictest Interpretation of the Words, notwithstanding the earnest Remonstrances of the Court of Directors, has been in some Cases adopted; and this has not only excluded those Native States with which the British Government has subsidiary Alliances, but also Mysore, where the Government is actually administered by the British Authorities, and where all

On the Subject of the Relations subsisting between the British Government in India and the Native Powers, the Committee examined Mr. C. E. Trevelyan, who lately held the Situation of Deputy Secretary in the Political Department to the Indian Government.

the Resources of the Country are under its Control.

That Gentleman stated,—" Mysore is a Portion of the British Dominions in every thing but in Name. The Government is administered by us in every puntry is divided into Districts, which are managed by English

223.

736.

Collectors and Magistrates on the System of our own Provinces; and these Officers are superintended by a Commissioner residing at Bangalore, and are guided by a Set of Regulations based on our general System."

738.

It appears, further, by the Evidence of Mr. Trevelyan, that at the present Time a Certificate of Origin can be obtained from the authorized Officers in the Territory of Mysore, upon the Export of any Goods from Mysore, just the same as if it was an Export from our own Territories. That our Occupation of the Country is in effect permanent; that the Tenure is the continued Incapacity of the Rajah; and that in the event of the Rajah's Decease the Government would revert to us; the Country originally belonged to us, and it would lapse to us as a matter of course.

744.

752.

755. 756.

740. 741.

742.

743.

It appears, further, from that Gentleman's Evidence, that the State of our Relations with Travancore, the Territory of the Nizam, the Rajah of Berar, the Chiefs of Bundelcund, and generally of Central India, is that of supreme Political Control on our Part, and dependent Alliance on their Part. Internally they are independent, but externally their Relations are managed by us. We impose Duties upon the Import of their Commodities, and they impose Duties on ours, unless there are special Reasons for leaving the Trade free on our Side. We never interfere with their Power of levying Duties; but when we do not think it worth while to keep up our own preventive Line, we leave the Trade, as far as we are concerned, free; and that is the Case with respect to the

Trade with Lucknow (Oude) and Nepaul, and all the States on that Frontier of India. It is evident, therefore, there is no Territory in all India, except our own and the Territory of Mysore, in which a Certificate of Origin can be

*75*8.

obtained under the Act of Parliament.

250. With regard to the Coffee from M

With regard to the Coffee from Mysore, Mr. Larpent says, that Merchants always considered that there was practically so little Distinction, if any, between dependent States, entirely surrounded by the East India Company's Territories, and in fact almost identified with them, that Coffee from thence should be imported as if the Produce of a British Possession. Mr. Larpent says, in illustration, that, at the Time there was a discriminating Duty upon Pepper, Pepper was exported from Alepe, upon the Coast of Malabar, a Port of Travancore, subject to a Rajah politically dependent upon the East India Company. An Application was made to the Treasury, he believes, in 1833, for the Admission of Pepper from Alepe at the then low Duty of 1s.; and the Order from the Treasury, dated the 14th of December 1833, gave Permission for Pepper from Alepe to be imported into the United Kingdom on the same Terms as from a British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter.

The Committee understand that the Facts were as follow:—Pepper, the Produce of Travancore, having been imported from Alepe in 1833, the Merchants Importers applied for the Sanction of the Treasury to allow of its Entry for Home Consumption at the lower Rate of Duty. The Relief applied for was granted on the Recommendation of the Board of Trade, so far as related to the Pepper actually on hand; and this Indulgence was subsequently extended by a further Order. But it is under the Authority of the 6 & 7 Will. 4. c. 60. that the Duty on all Pepper has been reduced and equalized, the Distinction between Pepper the Produce of British Territories and of Foreign Countries having been altogether repealed.

Spirits.

The Petitioners next complain, that "Spirits the Product of any British

and Spirits the Produce of the British Possessions in India are subject to an Import Duty of 15s. per Gallon; an Excess of 66 per Cent. over the former."

Mr. Larpent says, on the Part of the East Indians, that Rum is a component Part of the Cane Manufacture; and that when Sugar was placed on the same Footing in the East and West Indies, East India Rum should have been considered, as the Mauritius Rum has been, entitled to all similar Advantages; that the Manufacturer of Sugar in the East Indies has no Inducement to send Rum to this Country, and in fact the Use of his Rum in this Country is actually prohibited by the Rate of Duty. He observes, too, that the Import of East Indian Rum is not allowed in any British Colony, except Canada, without an extra Duty. The Importation of Sugar from Bengal has materially improved since the Equalization of the Duty. In 1834 the Quantity imported was very little more than 3,800 Tons, and in 1839 it was about 26,000 Tons; but Mr. Larpent is of opinion that the Export of India is very much dependent: upon the State of Prices here, and that no very large Quantity (unless our Prices be high here) will come from India.

The Effect of recent Measures in the West Indies has been, to diminish the general Supplies of Sugar from 232,000 Casks, in the Year 1835, down to 178,000 Casks in 1839, and there is no Surplus. The Prices have therefore considerably advanced,—nearly 20 per Cent.,—and they are considerably higher, 12s. to 15s. and more, than the Prices of Sugar of similar Quality used on the Continent.

Mr. Larpent further states, that the Introduction of Rum, so as to bring it into Home Consumption, is essentially necessary; that in point of fact the *Exclusion of Rum from the Home Market makes the Cost of Sugar dearer; and, consequently, if he is right in his Views, that it is nothing but the high Price of Sugar here from the diminished Quantity from the West Indies that is likely to lead to any larger Exportation of Sugar, from the East Indies. He considers it very desirable to give the Benefit of the Home Market to all the Produce of the Cane. Mr. Sym, a Gentleman very largely interested in Land in the District of Goruckpore, and engaged in the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane upon an Estate of 25,000 Acres, states, that since the Alteration of the Duty his Shipments of Sugar to this Country have largely increased; that before that he shipped almost none; that in this Year he shall ship 20,000 Maunds; that the Export of Sugar has been of late Years a profitable Transaction; that various Circumstances have combined to render it so; the high Prices here, and the low Rate of Freight. The Ships taking out Emigrants to New South Wales come on to India for Return Cargoes, and the Freights have fallen to Three Guineas a Ton for Sugar. He intends to persevere in the Extension of the Cultivation, but has now got to a Point that renders it difficult to get rid of the Molasses. Hitherto he had been able to sell it the Native Confectioners, to make Sweetmeats; now the Quantity is so great that he finds the greatest Difficulty in getting rid of it at any Price at all. He does not know that this Year he has been able to sell it all: Last Year he had great Difficulty in getting 18d. for 82 lbs. Weight. He adds, that unless he entertained the Prospect of being able to export to this Country a considerable Quantity of Rum, made from this cheap Molasses, he certainly should not be induced to extend very much his Manufacture of Sugar; the not having a Market for the Molasses is becoming a serious Grievance. further says, that being unable now to sell the Molasses at all, whatever he (43.)got

277.

277.

264.

279.

496.

493.

497.

501.

503.

*****^^

509.

581.

REPORT FROM THE SELECT COMMITTEE

got for his Rum, after deducting the Expense of making it, and the Freight would be Profit.

Day. The Soil is a sandy Soil, but very retentive of Moisture; it is not

1103. Mr. Benjamin Greene has made a Calculation, that, assuming 82 lbs. of Mc. lasses to cost in India but 18d., it could be manufactured into Rum and landed

in England for 1s. a Gallon, and would now sell for 3s. 6d. to 3s. 8d. a Gallon. 561. The Sugar Cane upon the Estate in which Mr. Sym is interested is cultivated with the Plough. The daily Wages of Labour are $1\frac{1}{2}d$. or 2d. a

manured. The Sugar Cultivation is not allowed to last more than Three Years in general, and Sugar ought not to be cultivated again for Three Years. The Land is not in Fallow during that Period, but cultivated with some light

Grain Crop. His Land he should think very inferior in Richness to the rich 710. Lands of Bengal; it would not stand the excessive cropping that the Bengal

Lands would stand, but it is not so liable to Inundation. He should think the alluvial Deposit left by the Ganges Manure sufficient in Bengal. Mr. Trevelyan

says, that the Increase which might take place in the Production of Sugar if the Encouragement were given as prayed for by the Petition is quite unlimited. The Valley of the Ganges is a Tract of alluvial Country of extra-

ordinary Fertility, about 1,000 Miles long, and from 150 to 300 Miles broad; and if perfect Freedom of Trade prevailed, and the necessary Degree of Capital and Skill were applied to the Production of Sugar, it might grow Sugar

sufficient for the Consumption of the whole World. By "perfect Freedom 778.

of Trade" he means no more than the Admission into this Country of East Indian Produce at the same Duty as the West Indian Produce.

chinery by which the Sugar is made is of a very rude Description. has One Cattle Mill, but not a very good one; and the other Machinery is the common Pestle and Mortar of the Natives. Mr. Gouger is concerned

in an extensive Establishment near Calcutta, where Sugar has been made to a small Extent, and where Rum has been made to a considerable Extent

with Machinery imported from England. The Rum has been made both from Goor and from Molasses; Goor being the entire Product of the Cane,—the

Sugar as well as Molasses. He states that the Molasses have been rather the reverse of cheap; they have been rather in greater Request for the Manu-

facture of Rum, which is increasing materially in India, for Export to this Country, for Home or Indian Use, and for Export to the Colonies. thinks, that if Sugar were manufactured more extensively he should be able

to produce Goor at such a Price as to enable him to manufacture it into Rum at a Profit, for the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane is very general throughout the Upper Provinces, and the Natives boil the Juice into Goor in

very small Quantities where it would not answer their Purpose to refine it into Sugar. But if there were a great Demand for Sugar the Natives would manufacture Sugar, and only leave to him the Molasses to make into Rum at a

Profit, and he has found that the Case already for Goor is of late much increased in Price. His Position, and that of a Gentleman residing at Goruckpore, are very different. At Goruckpore the whole of the first Juice of the

Cane would be used for the Purpose of making Sugar in the first instance, and then the Molasses would be converted into Rum; but where there was no Demand for the making of Sugar, or an inferior Demand, the Goor

would be used, which contains both Sugar and Molasses; and Mr. Gouger thinks that the Person who made Rum from Molasses alone would make it much cheaper than the Person who made it from Goor.

562. 564.

699. 701. 700.

712.

777.

511.

1011.

880. 941. 884. 915.

898.

899. 900. **~931.**

935.

936.

On a subsequent Examination Mr. Gouger stated, that it appeared to him to be so far from the Fact that the Market for Rum was a glutted Market, that he considered Rum to be the only Article of Indian Produce imported into this Country the Supply of which was quite inadequate to the Demand. He made this Observation, not as to Indian Rum only, but as to Rum generally. The Importation, taking a considerable Average of Years, had fallen off; but it had been during the last Three Years rather on the Increase, so that with an increasing Import we had still advancing Prices. From 1837 to 1839 inclusive the Advance of Price had been from about Thirty to Thirty-five per Cent.

1041.

1042.

1044.

1045.

An Account of the Quantity of Rum imported into the United Kingdom entered for Home Consumption and exported in each of the Years ending on the 5th January from 1834 to 1840, both inclusive, and of the Quantity of Rum remaining in Bond on that Day in each of the above Years, distinguishing in each Case East India from West India Rum.

Gallons (including Over-proof.) 1833		
Quantities entered for Home Consumption - Quantities exported as Merchandize - Quantities delivered for Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1833	ther Sorts.	TOTAL.
1834		
1835	5,973	5,146,877
1836	36,789	5,158,489
1837 65,761 4,422,515 1	47,940	5,540,170
Quantities entered for Home Consumption - \begin{cases} 1838	70,552	4,993,942
Quantities entered for Home Consumption - \{ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	124,819	4,613,095
Quantities entered for Home Consumption -	222,812	4,912,227
Quantities entered for Home Consumption - \ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	228,283	5,477,676
Quantities entered for Home Consumption - Home Consumption - Home Consumption - Home Consumption - 1836 1835 23 3,416,939 1837 - - 3,184,239 1838 - - 3,135,631 1839 1 2,830,520 Quantities exported as Merchandize - Home Consumption - 1834 1,031 1,772,029 1834 515 1,610,382 1835 6,061 1,652,512 1836 28,240 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1839 36,598 1,064,358 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5 Quantities delivered for Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1836 - - 800,850 - 1836 - - 672,661 - - 815,928 - 1837 - - - 815,928 - - 707,746 -		3,492,193
Quantities entered for Home Consumption - 1836 - - 3,324,744 1837 - - 3,184,239 1838 - - 3,135,631 1839 1 2,830,520 1834 515 1,610,382 1835 6,061 1,652,512 1836 28,240 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1838 36,598 1,064,358 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5 Quantities delivered for Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1836 - - 800,850 - 1836 - - 790,398 - - 1836 - - 815,928 - 1837 - - 815,928 - 1837 - - - 707,746 -	21	3,345,177
Home Consumption - 1836 3,324,744 1837 3,184,239 1838 3,135,631 2,830,520 1834 515 1,610,382 1835 6,061 1,652,512 1836 28,240 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5 1834 800,850 - 1835 800,850 - 1836 815,928 - 1837 1836 815,928 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 - 707,746 -	4	3,416,966
1837 3,184,239 1838 3,135,631 1839 1 2,830,520 1834 515 1,610,382 1835 6,061 1,652,512 1836 28,240 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1838 36,598 1,064,358 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5 1834 800,850 - 1835 800,850 - 1836 1836 1836 1836 1836 1836 1835 1836 1836 1835 1836 1836 1835 1835 1836 1835 1835 1836 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835 1835	5	3,324,749
Quantities exported as Merchandize -	16	3,184,255
Quantities exported as Merchandize - \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	20	3,135,651
Quantities exported as Merchandize - 1836 1835 6,061 1,652,512 1836 28,240 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5	11	2,830,532
Quantities exported as Merchandize - 1835 6,061 1,652,512 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5	9,045	1,782,105
Quantities exported as Merchandize - 1836 28,240 1,244,647 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1838 36,598 1,064,358 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5 1834 - - 800,850 - 1835 - - 790,398 - 1835 - - 672,661 - 1836 - - 815,928 - Use of the Navy, &c. 1837 - - 707,746 -	28,218	1,639,115
Merchandize - 1837 63,985 1,064,436 1 1838 36,598 1,064,358 1 1839 59,086 659,528 5 1 1834 800,850 - 1835 672,661 - 1836 815,928 - 1837 707,746 - 1837 707,746 -	39,214	1,697,787
1837	74,117	1,347,004
1839 59,086 659,528 5	129,113	1,257,534
Quantities delivered for Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1837 800,850 - 790,398 - 672,661 - 815,928 - 707,746 -	161,151	1,262,107
Quantities delivered for Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1834	572,779	1,291,393
Quantities delivered for Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1835 - - 672,661 - 1836 - - - 815,928 - 707,746 - - 707,746 -		800,850
Ship's Stores for the Use of the Navy, &c. 1836 815,928 -		790,398
Ship's Stores for the \ 1836 815,928 - Use of the Navy, &c. 1837 707,746 -		672,661
1007 107,740 -	. ga	815,928
1838 3,978 690,492 -		707,746
		694,470
1839 87,998 634,470 -		722,468

An Account of the Quantity of Rum imported into the United Kingdom, &c .- continued.

		RUM.								
	Years. Of the British Possessions in the East Indies.		Of the British Possessions in the West Indies, &c.	Other Sorts.	TOTAL					
		Gallons (includ	ing Overproof).	•						
(1833	1,005	5,359,489	16,608	5,377,102					
	1834		4,435,295	13,536	4,448,831					
	1835		3,810,544	22,086	3,832,630					
Quantities remaining in	1836	4,587	3,549,991	30,808	3,585,386					
Bond on 5th January	1837	14,211	3,050,198	27,238	3,091,647					
	1838	15,987	2,516,292	22, 928	2,555,207					
	1839	20,623	2,270,014	84,569	2,375,206					
Į	1840	43,918	2,224,509	740,062	3,008,489					

Inspector General's Office, Custom House, London, 27th March 1840.

WILLIAM IRVING, Inspector General of Imports and Exports.

1067. 1070. 1079.

1122.

Mr. Benjamin Greene, who is a large Proprietor of Estates in the West Indies, is extensively acquainted with the Manufacture of Rum, and has directed his Attention to the Question of the Equalization of the Duties on East Indian and West Indian Spirits. He is of opinion that the West Indians would not be able to stand the Competition (if the Duties were equalized); that it would ruin them entirely; that they could not carry on the Cultivation of Sugar if the Rum Market was taken from them. That it is from the present Price of Rum that they are enabled to pay the additional Expenses of Labour.

1246. 1245. 1242.

1216.

1239.

1240.

Those additional Expenses in St. Kitt's Mr. B. Buck Greene calculates to amount, on an Estate which had 270 Slaves, to nearly Three Times what it Formerly the Clothing, Herrings, and other Things for the Use of the Negroes on such an Estate might cost from 400l. to 500l. a Year; now the Expenses are increased altogether from 800l. to 1,000l.; yet on that Estate the Plough is used to reduce manual Labour, and Labour is reduced Three Fourths in the Ploughing Departments; for instance, in opening the Land. They are beginning to use the Plough for the Purpose of clearing the Land between the Cane Plants; and Mr. B. Buck Greene has sent out Implements to open the Land, to do away with the Necessity of the Hoe at all in planting the

1216. 1218. 1221.

In the West Indies, however, the Hoe is most used. There are some Soils on which the Plough could not be used. The Opinion begins to prevail that to plant Canes by the Use of the Plough is the most advantageous Mode, and it is coming more into Practice.

1235. 1259. 1258. 1235. 1257.

The Price of Black Labour is from 9d. to 1s. a Day; but continuous Labour cannot be obtained. There may be Fifty Labourers To-day, and To-morrow not Ten. To the White Labourers 45l. Sterling a Year is given; the Plough is used by them. They were introduced at the same Time the Emancipation took place; not altogether as a Substitute for other Labour, but to give additional Labour, as a sufficient Number of Labourers could not be obtained to do the Work without some such Assistance. They are employed as Head Men.

1256.

Mr. B. Buck Greene had at One Time Eighteen Estates under his Direction, 1150. upon Twelve or Thirteen of which Rum was made; all in St. Kitt's. Last Year, out of 12,000l, the Proceeds of the Sales that were paid to the Owner, 1152. 6,800% and odd was for Rum alone. This is an Estate in Jamaica. Upon 1154. the other Estates he manages the Result would be nearly the same, or would rather show a larger Amount for Rum, the Proceeds of the Rum amounting to nearly Two Thirds of the Balance in favour of the Estate.

This Proportion is very different from what it used to be formerly. In 1837 1155. 1156. the net Proceeds of that Estate were something like 17,000l., and the Rum was only 4,000l.

He is not aware that more Rum is now produced in proportion to the Quantity of Sugar than was formerly the Case; but he has written out to alter the Proportions on One or Two Estates in Jamaica, and directed more Rum to be made and less Sugar, in consequence of the Rum being of greater Value than the Sugar from which it is made. If it became less profitable to introduce Rum into this Country, the greater Surplus of Molasses which remained at his Disposal would be sold to the Americans, or shipped to England for Sale; but the Effect would be so to reduce the Price of Molasses as materially to affect the Profits of a West Indian Plantation. The only Use that could be made of Skimmings, if they were not manufactured into Rum, would be to give them to the Stock; and they are not often required for that Purpose, or they might be boiled into Molasses.

The present Price of Rum is about 1s. a Gallon above the average Price.

Mr. Benjamin Greene states that Distillation from Rice had been carried on very profitably in London, for some Time past, by a Person who had taken out a Patent for it, until he was stopped by the Excise, on the technical Ground that Rice was not Grain. The Results of that Person's Experiments were, that upwards of 150 Gallons of Proof Spirit could be obtained from a Ton of Rice; and that from the Cost of Rice in Bengal, which is estimated at about 31. per Ton, he could manufacture Proof Spirit, to be delivered in London at the Cost of 1s. 4d. per Gallon; and that, taking the Price of Rice in London at from 10l. to 13l. per Ton, (because the Rice which is used for Distillation does not require to be of the finest Quality, if it is discoloured it does just as well for the Purposes of Distillation,) he could extract 150 Gallons of Proof Spirit from a Ton of Rice, which could be manufactured in England at a Cost of 2s. 1d. a Gallon. The Cost, taking the present Price of Barley at 5s. a Bushel, of English Spirit at Proof, would be 2s. 8d. a Gallon; whilst the Spirit made from Rice, which costs but 2s. 1d. a Gallon, sells, in consequence of its Quality, for 9d. a Gallon more in the English Market; therefore the Distiller from Rice, who makes his Spirit at the Cost of 2s. 1d., has the Advantage of 7d. a Gallon plus 9d., the higher Price arising from its Quality; making 16d. by which he could undersell the Distiller of Grain; the Rice Spirit would be 9d. better and 7d. cheaper. This Calculation is made on the Assumption that the Duty on Rice is 1s, a Hundred Weight.

Mr. B. Greene is of Opinion, that the Combination of Molasses and Rice is the best Material for Distillation, that combined they are the best, but that Rice is the next best. He considers Rice to be the best and cheapest Grain with which he is acquainted, and that a larger Quantity and a better Quality of Spirit can be produced from Rice than can be obtained from an equal Weight of any other Corn.

1174.

1175.

1176. 1177.

1182.

1183.

1202.

1078.

1079.

1082.

1083.

1087. 1088.

1100.

1099.

1331.

1332.

1333.

In a Sample of Spirit (which he produced), which came over under the Denomination of East Indian Rum, Mr. B. Greene thought he discovered a Portion of Rice, With Maple Rum or Date Rum he was not acquainted; 1090. 1091. but he said that Spirit, when it is distilled up to anything above Fifty per Cent. over Proof, is almost tasteless Spirit with regard to Flavour, and you may colour it, or give it almost any Flavour you please; and he thinks, that if judiciously done, it would be impossible to find out what it was distilled from.

Mr. Dehany gives Evidence to the same Effect.

On the other hand, Mr. Sym says, that Spirit is not made from Rice in any 507. Part of India in which he has been, but he has heard that it is made from

Rice in Ceylon; and it appears by Mr. B. Greene's Evidence already referred 1100. to, that from Molasses at the Price mentioned by Mr. Sym, 1s. 6d. for Eighty-two Pounds, Rum could be made and landed in England for 1s. a Gallon, while Rum made from Rice costing 21. 18s. or 31. 2s. a Ton could be 1107.

made and landed in England for 1s. 4d. a Gallon.

Mr. Gouger says, that he has seen Spirit made from Rice, but he imagines 892. that it would be impossible so to adulterate that Spirit as to make it resemble and be mistaken for Rum, the Flavour being quite different. He says too, 893. that a very small Quantity of the Products of any Palm mixed with Goor or Molasses will immediately deteriorate the Quality of the Rum; and his 889. Distillery was stopped, rather than use Molasses in which a Mixture of Palm 890. Product might be apprehended.

> Mr. B. Greene having been asked, if the Mixture of Rice with Molasses made so perfect a Spirit, would not the West Indians have got into the Practice of importing the Carolina Rice, and mixing it with their Material, for the Purpose of improving the Spirit; he replied, that the Labour in any thing that requires Manufacture in the West Indies would amount almost to a Prohibition, besides the Expenses of Freight, and greater Cost of Carolina Rice, which appears however to be importable into the West Indies at not a high Duty, although Mr. Greene could not exactly speak to its Amount.

Mr. James Macqueen states, that he understands a Maund of Molasses, equal to from Seven and a Half to Eight Imperial Gallons, can be obtained in the East Indies for 1s. 6d.; that the Price of Molasses in the West Indies is from 1s. 3d. to perhaps 1s. 6d. a Gallon; he estimates therefore the Price of Molasses in the West Indies as being fully Six Times greater than the Price in the East Indies. He says that the Maund of Molasses of Seven Gallons

and a Half ought to produce Six Gallons and a Half of Proof Spirits, of which the current Price here would be from 3s. 9d. to 4s. 9d. the Gallon.

Mercantile Freight and Charges he calculates at Qd. a Gallon, the Cost of 1339. Production and Distillation 61d., and the Value of the Molasses about 21d., 1340. making together 1s. 6d. as the Cost of the Gallon delivered in England.

Mr. Macqueen states, that the Price of Labour now varies from 8d. or 9d. a 1382. Day in the old Leeward Island Colonies to 1s. 6d. in Jamaica, and that it is 1383. even as high as 4s. in British Guiana and Trinidad; but it appears from his Evidence, that when the various Productiveness of these several Colonies is taken into Consideration, the various Price of Labour presses with equal Weight 1397.

Mr. Macqueen entered into several Details to show that in consequence of 1424. 1425. the Deficiency of Labour in past Years a greatly deficient Produce might be expected in 1840 and 1841, and any present Increase of Labour would not 1426. 1427. be

be shown in the Crops till 1842 or 1843. He is afraid that this Year and next are the worst Days the West Indies have to see; after that, he he	
they will get better.	1433.
He considers that the East Indian Sugar is introduced in a more ref. State than the West Indian, and that consequently the Duty presses heavily upon it.	
He considers too, that the West Indies are, as compared with the Indies, subjected to a Disadvantage by being compelled to purchase I Lumber, and certain other Articles from the British North American Colo at a higher Price than they could obtain such Articles from the Un States.	Fish, 1448. onies
He likewise gives Evidence as to the increased Expense of Cultivation s the Termination of the State of Slavery.	since 1458. and seq.
The Petitioners next complain, that "Tobacco, the Produce of the Br Possessions in America, pays a Customs Duty of 2s. 9d. a Pound; if ra in British India it is charged 3s. a Pound, the same as is paid on the Ar when imported from Foreign States."	aised
It appears that this Distinction was made in 1825. It has become entinoperative with respect to the British Plantation in Canada, to which it directed. The Export from Lower Canada in 1836 was 22,100 Pounds, we the Import was 92,326; practically, therefore, the Competition in the Ar of Tobacco is between the United States of America and British India.	t was whilst
In a Report of the Chamber of Commerce of Calcutta, dated April 183 is stated, that, the Fact of East Indian Tobacco having been used in Eng during the Scarcity of American Tobacco at the Difference of 3d. is a P that the Article needs only the Reduction of Duty to bring it into reg Consumption.	gland Proof
The Quality of the East Indian Tobacco is admitted to be inferior to Quality of that from the United States, but it is hoped that by Encouragen it might be improved and adapted to the English Market.	
Mr. Trevelyan thinks that the Inferiority in the Tobacco of India is partitioned in the Plant, and partly arises from the Circumstance that there is so much Care given to its Manufacture as in America.	
Mr. Larpent has never understood that there is any Reason to suppose Tobacco could not be produced in the East Indies of as good a Quality as	-
which we derive from the United States; that there is nothing in the Na of the Soil to prevent it.	ture 295.
It appears that the American Freights vary on Tobacco from 30s. to per Hogshead, or 40s. to 90s. per Ton of Fifty Cubic Feet.	70s. 288.
The East India Freight is about 5l., but it varies from 3l. 10s. to 6l. 10s consequence of the Variation in the Quantity of Shipping. The average Freight from the United States may therefore be considered be lower than that from India; the Length of the Voyage from the United States is much less, and, as Mr. Trevelyan observes, East Indian Toba has to pay the same Duty as Virginian Tobacco, which is much more valuab so that the Duty upon East Indian Tobacco is really much heavier than that Virginian Tobacco.	d to ited acco ble;
The Petitioners next submit, that it is alike unnecessary and oppressive aggravate the Difference between the British and the Indian Manufacturer (43.) b 4 uneq	by

(43.)

unequal Duties, the Inequality being in favour of the former and at the Expense of the latter. They observe that the Cotton Piece Goods of England are imported into Calcutta at an ad valorem Duty of only Three and a Half per Cent. if in British Bottoms, and Seven per Cent. if in Foreign Bottoms; while the Cotton Piece Goods of India brought to an English Port pay an ad valorem Duty which is in no Case less than Ten per Cent.

362.

Mr. Larpent does not apprehend that if the Duty upon the Importation of Cotton Goods from India were lowered to the Amount of the Duty upon the Importation of British Cotton Goods into India; any considerable Importation of Indian Cotton Goods would take place; for, in point of fact, Bengal Cotton Goods have ceased to be an Article either for Home Consumption or for Export, our own Manufactures having superseded them in all the Markets to which they were formerly sent. Madras Cotton Goods, consisting of Salampores and Madras Handkerchiefs, are not at all used in this Country, except a few Bales of fine Longcloths and a few Madras Handkerchiefs too trivial to notice. They are exported from hence to our various Possessions in the West Indies, and wherever there is a Black Population, for whose Use they are destined. Mr. Larpent submits, that it would be better to put an end to the Inequality; no practical Evil can result from doing so, and it would be beneficial as a Matter of Feeling.

Silk.

The Petitioners next complain, that in regard to Silk Piece Goods the Inequality is still greater, they being subjected in British Ports to a Duty of Twenty per Cent., while British Silks are admitted into Calcutta at Three and a Half per Cent. if imported in British Bottoms, and Seven per Cent. if in Foreign.

366.

Mr. Larpent is of opinion, that if this Duty were reduced the Home Consumption would be materially increased, and the Trade benefited. He alludes particularly to the Article of Corahs, which is a White Silk Handkerchief, not printed; in fact, the raw Material for our Manufacture, inasmuch as it is to be printed here.

In 1839 the Quantity entered for Home Consumption was 38,000, and the Export was 352,000.

On a subsequent Examination, Mr. Larpent stated, that of the 352,000 Corahs exported, very much the largest Portion was taken out of Bond under Security; was printed here; was returned into Bond and exported, the Security being cancelled on Exportation.

It is considered that if the Duty did not operate so heavily, the Consumer here would purchase the Corah when printed, as well as the Foreigner; the Silk Printer would have a larger Quantity to print, and the Revenue would gain.

The Petitioners further complain, that while Articles which long formed the Staple Manufactures of India are not admitted into Great Britain at a less Rate of Duty than Ten per Cent., the Rate in many Instances, especially on Drugs and Spices, amounts to 100, 200, and 300 per Cent. on the Value of the Article.

379.

Mr. Larpent says, that it is the Opinion of the India Trade that the very high Duties do prevent the Introduction of Drugs and other Articles from India. The Indian Drugs are well known to be inferior to the Drugs produced from the other Parts of the World; and the great Object Merchants have, in view is to encourage them in the English Market, and improve their Qualities. They are in a rude State now; and the Answer Merchants

384.

get, when they urge their Correspondents to improve their Qualities, is, "Your Duties are so high that it is of no Use to attempt to improve them."

The Petitioners then suggest, whether it may not be deserving of Considera. Tea from Assam. tion, whether it may not be politic, to make some Difference in point of Duty between the Tea produced in British Possessions and that obtained from a Foreign Country.

425.

. It appears from the Evidence of Mr. Larpent, who is Chairman of the Company, that a Company has been formed in this Country for the Cultivation of Tea in Assam, and that a Company which it was attempted to establish in India for the same Purpose has joined the English Company; that the Capital which it is intended ultimately to invest in the Undertaking is 500,000l., of which 5l. per Share has been already paid; and that confident Hopes are entertained that on raising 71. 10s. more per Share the Company will be able to obtain an Act of Incorporation. Not less than 2,000 or 3,000 Coolies, and Sixty or Seventy Chinese, have already been or are about to be sent from Calcutta for Location upon the Land which the Indian Government is to make over to the Company upon the Dikho River, which communicates, through the Barhampoota, with the Delta of the Ganges. The Country is much covered with Jungle, and the Population scanty; in fact, the Company have to convey the Population into the Country, which is the chief Drawback upon the Progress of the Experiment. The Accounts which have been received here of the Cost of Production, with every Charge for Conveyance, are such as to render it likely that the Company will considerably undersell the Chinese; and it is considered that Assam Tea would probably be now classed with good and fine Congou Tea. It is declared by the most competent Persons to be a good, strong, and very useful Description; holding out the Expectation, that by continued Attention to the Culture, and improved Experience in the Manufacture, it will become a most valuable and important Article of Commerce, and gradually lessen the entire Dependence upon China which has hitherto prevailed.

431.

438.

433.

442,

441.

430.

444.

The Petitioners further complain, that at Ceylon the Cotton Goods of Duties in Ceylon Great Britain are charged with a Duty of Five per Cent., those of India with and Australia. Duties varying from Ten to Twenty per Cent. Goods not specially enumerated in the published Table are directed to be charged Five per Cent. if the Growth or Manufacture of Great Britain, Ireland, or even any Part of Europe; but if the Growth or Manufacture of any other Place (India being included), they are subjected to a Duty of Ten per Cent.; so that not only Great Britain and Ireland, but the whole of Continental Europe, is placed in a better Condition than the Indian Portion of the British Empire. They add, that in the Australian Colonies, British Productions, with the Exception of Spirits, are admitted Duty free. The Goods of all other Countries, including British India, are charged with an ad valorem Duty of Five per Cent.

It appears that the Duty upon the Importation of the Manufactures of India into Ceylon did amount to from Twenty to Fifty per Cent., but that it has now been reduced to Ten per Cent.; and that the Wares and Merchandize imported from Great Britain into Ceylon are charged (not Five, but) Four per Cent. It is a Trade of no great Importance; there may be no very great practical Grievance; but there is apparently an Injustice upon the Face of it, of which the Petitioners complain.

468.

469.

472.

471.

(43.)

They

They consider, likewise, that the Difference of Duty in Australia is, to the Extent of it, injurious; and it is, equally with the other, an unjust Preference given to the British Manufacturer. If the Goods of India are imported into Australia through Great Britain they pay Two and a Half per Cent. still more, therefore marking invidiously the Difference between British Goods and Indian Goods. The most important Export from India to Australia is Grain, which is required only in Times of Drought; so that the Duty of Five per Cent. is practically imposed only when there is great Distress in that Country.

The Petitioners lastly submit, that those Provisions of the Navigation Law which relate to Natives of the Territories in India, subject to the British Government, serving as Seamen, operate injuriously to the Interest of such Natives, and that the Policy of continuing the existing Restrictions is a fitting Subject for Consideration.

By the 4 Geo. 4. c. 80. Four British Seamen only are required for every 100 Tons of the registered Burthen of any Ship partly manned with Lascars, and if that Proportion of British Seamen should not be procurable the Governments of India, and the Governors of any of His Majesty's Possessions within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter, are authorized to permit the Ship to sail with a less Proportion; and within the Limits of the Charter no Number of British Seamen is required as Part of the Crew.

The Inconvenience is, that a Vessel with a Lascar Crew from India to this Country cannot return but under the Provisions of the Law as applicable to all other British Vessels sailing from a British Port. Negroes, Natives of British Possessions, it appears, are deemed to be British Seamen, although the Natives of British Possessions in India are not so deemed; and there is a Feeling that the Natives of India are entitled to be considered in the same Light.

THE Committee cannot proceed to report to the House their Opinion with respect to the various Matters referred to in the Petition without first directing the Attention of the House to the very peculiar Position in which India is placed amongst all the other Dependencies of the British Crown, and to the peculiar Claims, arising out of that Position, which it appears to have upon the Justice and the Generosity, as well as upon the Policy, of Parliament.

Possessed of a Population Four Times greater than that of the United Kingdom and of all the rest of the British Empire in all Parts of the World, defraying from its own Resources the whole Charge of its Civil Government and of its Military Defence, subjected to the Rule of British-born Subjects in all the higher and more lucrative and honourable Offices of the State, India is further required to transmit annually to this Country, without any Return except in the small Value of Military Stores, a Sum amounting to between Two and Three Millions Sterling, of which by far the largest Portion must necessarily be transmitted in each and every Year without regard to the Cost at which such Transmission may be made, or to the Derangement of the ordinary Calculations of Merchants which it may occasion.

The Committee cannot doubt that Parliament will see in these Circumstances imperative Reasons for giving to the Prayers of the Petitioners, who approach it on the Part of the People of India, the most favourable and indulgent Hearing; and that it will be a Subject of Regret, if Circumstances of temporary

Seamen.

s. 21.

s. 22.

478.

Pressure

Pressure in other Dependencies of the Crown, or general Views of Policy embracing the whole Empire, should render it necessary to decline complying with any Part of the Claims which have been so strongly urged upon its Consideration.

It appears to the Committee that the general Principle upon which Commercial Regulations affecting the Intercourse between the United Kingdom and the Colonial Dependencies, and the mutual Intercourse of those Dependencies with each other should rest, should be that of perfect Equality, subject to Exception only where the permanent Interests of the whole Empire, or the temporary Circumstances of any Part of our Foreign Possessions, may seem to render such Exception necessary or expedient; that no partial Favour should grant to one Colony any Advantage over another either in the Colonial Ports or in those of the United Kingdom; still less that Parliament should partially secure for the Produce and Manufactures of the United Kingdom any Advantage in any Colonial Port over the competing Produce and Manufactures of its own Dependencies; for it is the firm Conviction of the Committee that Colonial Possessions scattered over the Four Quarters of the Globe, and legislatively dependent on the Acts of a distant Government, can only be maintained in peaceful and willing Obedience by making strict Justice and Impartiality the sole Guides of every Legislative Proceeding by which they may be affected.

In accordance with this Principle of Equality, the Committee first strongly recommend the immediate Removal of all those distinctive Duties in Australia, and in Ceylon, by which Advantage is given to the Industry of the United Kingdom over that of India and of our other Colonial Dependencies.

In accordance with the same Principle, they should recommend that no Advantage be given in British Ports to the Tobacco of British America over that of British India.

To a certain Extent, East and West Indian Spirits are already placed on a Footing of perfect Equality. Both in respect to the Export of Spirits from the Bonded Warehouse and to the Victualling Contracts, no Distinction is made between the Two Descriptions.

It would have been very gratifying to the Committee, had they deemed themselves justified in recommending further, at the present Moment, that the Duty on East Indian Rum should be at once, and in all Cases, assimilated to that levied in British Ports on Rum the Produce of the Colonies in which Slavery has been recently abolished; but they are reluctantly compelled to admit, that the Circumstances detailed in the Evidence as to the State of Transition in which those Colonies now are, afford Grounds for excepting them at present from the rigorous Application of the general Principle of Equality. It has, however, been stated by one of the Witnesses, a Person well acquainted with the practical Working of the new System, that the West Indies have, in his Opinion, seen their worst Day as to the Difficulty with respect to Labour; and, taking into consideration the productive Powers of India, the Richness and Extent of its Soil, and the Cheapness of its Labour, as well as the present and probable State of the Market for Sugar in this Country. the Committee cannot but indulge the Hope that an Adherence for some further Period to the present Rate of Duty on Rum the Produce of the East and West Indies, while it affords to the West Indies present Relief and the Means of future Prosperity, will not diminish the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane in India, although it must have the Effect of withholding from those

(43.) c 2 engaged

engaged in its Cultivation a new Source of Profit, in which it is admitted that, on general Principles, they have a just Right to participate, and of postponing for a Period the important Relief which the Consumer in this Country would derive from the increased Cheapness of Sugar.

It is highly gratifying to the Committee to remark how considerable has been the increased Importation of East India Sugar, consequent upon the Equalization of the Sugar Duties. The State of this Branch of Trade for the Three Years antecedent and subsequent to the Equalization of these Duties is exhibited in the following Table:

Years,	East India Sugar unrefined, imported.	Rate of Duty.				
	Cwts.	£ s. d.				
1833	111,731	11				
1834	76,613	1 12 0 per Cwt.				
1835	100,856	J				
1836	152,163	13				
1837	296,657	1 4 0 per Cwt.				
1838	428,854]				

The Committee abstain from offering to the House any Opinion upon the Question which has been raised, whether Mysore and other Countries politically dependent upon the British Government in India be entitled to be considered as British Possessions under the Terms of the Act of Parliament, because that Question will probably be brought at an early Period before Her Majesty in Council, when Application shall be made for the Admission of Sugar the Produce of Madras and its Dependencies at the low Rate of Duty, in consequence of the Prohibition to import Foreign Sugar into those Territories, which is to take effect in June next, under a Law made by the Government of India.

It appears by the Schedules of Customs Duties leviable in the Ports of India, that the Government of India has set the Example of Liberality by the Admission of all the Produce and Manufactures of the United Kingdom at a very low Duty; and, as the Petitioners state, the Cotton Manufactures of England and Scotland have in a great Degree superseded those of India, even in India itself. As far as this is the Effect of the natural Course of Trade, it is not brought forward by the Petitioners as a Ground of Complaint; but it is natural that they and the People they represent should view with painful Feelings the continued Maintenance in this Country of a high Duty upon an Article of Import, which the British Manufacturer undersells in the very Country in which it is produced; and it would surely be well to remove from our Schedule of Duties an Inequality which serves no Purpose but that of marking the Political Dependence of the People against whose Industry it is directed.

It would appear from the Evidence that a Diminution of the Duty on the Import of Indian Silks might have more practical Effect, and enable India to extend the Sale of that Article of her Manufacture in the Market of the United Kingdom.

It has so long been the Policy of this Country to foster its own Silk Manufacture, and the Interests involved therein are so extensive, that there may be Reasons for declining to make any such Alteration in the Duty as might have the Effect of leading to any considerable Displacement of British Industry in that Branch of Manufacture; but one Amendment of the Law has been suggested, on the ground that its Effect upon the Import of the Article of Corahs would be not only to benefit the Manufacturer of India, but the British Manufacturer and Consumer also; and generally the Committee must observe that it would be perfectly consistent with the Principle upon which the Silk Manufacture in the United Kingdom is now protected; if some Advantage were given to our own Colonial Manufacturers of Silk Goods over the Foreign Manufacturer of such Goods more considerable than that which is enjoyed by a Reduction of the Duty now levied upon Silks the Manufacture of India. The Committee have to regret, however, that the actual State of the Revenue seems to oppose present Obstacles to the Alteration in the Rate of Duty both on Silk Goods and on Tobacco, which on general Principles they deem it expedient to recommend.

The Duties on Drugs and Spices have within the last few Years been largely reduced; the Revenue derived from them is not very important, however disproportioned it may still be to the Value of some of the Articles; and the Committee recommend a careful Re-consideration of those Duties, with the view of making in them any further Reduction which may appear to be required for the Purpose of affording adequate Inducements to the Producers to direct increased Care and Attention to the Cultivation of them.

In the present infant State of the Speculation for cultivating the Tea Plant in Assam, the Committee think that it would be premature for them to offer to the House any Opinion as to the Expediency of affording any Advantage in the Rate of Duty to Tea which may be exported from that Country, but the Government of India appears to them to have exercised a sound Discretion in giving Facilities to an Experiment which, if successful, would make an important Addition to the Commercial Resources of India, and confer a material Benefit upon the Consumers of Tea in the United Kingdom.

In the Provisions of the Navigation Laws relating to the Natives of India the Committee cannot recommend any Alteration. It appears to them that the Law, as it at present stands, affords to the Merchant Exporter from India every Indulgence which it is consistent with the general Interests of the Empire that he should possess; and they cannot but think that the Petitioners themselves will, on Consideration, reconcile themselves to Restrictions which, however occasionally inconvenient to Individuals, have for their Object and Effect the Maintenance and Extension of that hardy Race of Native British Seamen by which alone our Colonial Dependencies can be protected, and the Independence of the United Kingdom itself can be secured.

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE

TAKEN BEFORE THE

SELECT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS

APPOINTED TO CONSIDER OF

The Petition of the East India Company for Relief;

AND TO REPORT THEREON TO THE HOUSE.

Session 1840.

LIST OF WITNESSES.

Die Lunæ, 2°	Marti	i 1840.			_
James Cosmo Melvill, Esquire	_	-	•	٠,	Page 3, 32
George G. de H. Larpent, Esquire	_	•	•	- 1	7, 83
Die Mercurii, 4	° Mar	tii 1840	•		
George G. de H. Larpent, Esquire		-	•	•	37
Die Veneris, 6°	Mart	tii 1840.			
Andrew Sym, Esquire -	•		-	•	67
Die Lunæ, 9°	Marti	i 1840.	:	,	
Charles Edward Trevelyan, Esquire	e	•	•		83
Henry Gouger, Esquire -	•	-	-	•	94
Die Jovis, 12°	Marti	i 1840.	•••	• •	*
Henry Gouger, Esquire -		•	•	•	107
, Die Lunæ, 16°	Marti	ii 1840.	i		
Benjamin Greene, Esquire -	•	7		4	111
Benjamin Buck Greene, Esquire	. -	•	•	•	119
Die Jovis, 19° 1	Martii	i 1840.			
William Knight Dehany, Esquire	•	-	-	•	127
Pie Veneris, 27°	Mar	tii 1840.			
George G. de H. Larpent, Esquire	*	•	•	•	131
James Macqueen, Esquire	-	•	•	- '`	134
Die Jovis, 2° A	Aprilis	i 1840.	•,		
James Macqueen, Esquire -	- ,	•	<u> </u>	-	153
		_			

Die Lunæ, 2º Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Evidence on the Petition of the East India Company for Relief.

JAMES COSMO MELVILL Esquire is called in, and elements follows:

J. C. Melvill, Esq.

1. YOU are Secretary to the Court of Directors of the East India Company?

2d March 1840.

2. Can you state the average Amount of Payments necessarily made every Year in this Country by the Court of Directors on account of the Territory of India?

About 3,200,000l.

3. Have you any Statement of the Details of that Sum?

I have a Statement of the actual Expenditure in the last Year, which, although it exceeds the Average, will give the Committee Information of the Items.

The same is delivered in, and read, and is as follows:

TERRITORIAL PAYMENTS made in England by the East India Company from 1st May 1838 to 30th April 1839.

	1		
	£	s.	d.
Bills of Exchange drawn on the Court for Interest of India Debt	100,017	17	8
Bills of Exchange for Effects of deceased Officers, and other Remittances -	8,104		0
Dividends on India Loan Property standing on the London Books	117,782	2	10
Advances to the Compassionate Funds of India, repayable there, and Annuities chargeable to the Civil Annuity Funds.	329,867		11
Family Remittances and Miscellaneous Payments on account of India -	51,283	3	0
Dividends to Proprietors of East India Stock	632,558		
Interest on the Home Bond Debt	84,395	15	11
. Military and other Public Stores exported	236,120	14	11
Purchase and Equipment of Steam Vessels, deducting Amount chargeable to Her Majesty's Government.	136,548	11	10
Transport of Troops and Stores	30,012	9	2
Furlough and Retired Pay to Military and Marine Officers of Indian Establishments, including Off-reckonings.	520,906		1
Retired Pay and Pensions of Persons of the late St. Helena Establishment, not chargeable to the Crown.	10,259	18	9
Paymaster General of Her Majesty's Forces for Claims accrued against the Company, in respect of Queen's Troops serving in India.	406,201	9	4
Payments under Act 4 Geo. IV. Cap. 71. on account of Retiring Pay, Pensions, &c. of Her Majesty's Troops serving or having served in India.	60,000	0	0
Civil Establishments of India, Absentee Allowances, and Passage Money -	43,344	9	10
Expense of Tanjore Commission in England	7,498		
Her Majesty's Mission to the Court of Persia	12,000		0
Her Majesty's Establishment at Canton	_		
Annuitants and Pensioners, including Compensation Annuities under Act 3 & 4 Will. IV. Cap. 85.	253,287	19	9
Lord Clive's Fund—Pensions	49,347	17	2
Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India, Salaries and Pensions -	29,455		5
Salaries of the Court of Directors and of the Home Establishment	82,843	3	1
Contingent Expenses of the Courts of Directors and Proprietors, consisting of	24,716	18	1
Repairs to the East India House, Taxes, Rates, Tithes, Coals, Candles, Printing, Stationery, Bookbinding and Stamps, Postages, and various petty Charges.			
Charges of the College at Haileybury and Military Seminary at Addiscombs	11,797	14	10
Carried forward £	3,238,351	16	5

J. C. Melvill, Esq.
2d March 1840.

Territorial Payments made in England from 1st May 1838 to 30th April 1839 - continued.

	£	s. ,	ď.
Brought forward	3,238,351	16	5
and of the Donot at Chatham	23,641	10	2
Recruiting Charges, including the Expense of the Depot at Sudges, Chaplains, Passage and Outfit of Governors, Commanders in Chief, Judges, Chaplains, Passage and Outfit of Governors, Commanders of Hor Majestry's Service pro-	18,484	5	0
Officers in charge of Recruits, and Officers of Her Majesty's Service proceeding to join their Regiments.	•		
Grant to the Most Noble the Marquess of Wellesley	***	,	
	3,280,477	11	7
Balance of Miscellaneous Items of Receipts and Payments:			*
Excess of Receipts			• •
Excess of Payments	33,836	17	10
æ [3,314,314	9	5

East India House, 2d March 1840.

4. Are there any of those Charges which are likely to experience any Reduction in future Years, with the Exception of the Compensation to the Officers of the Company who retired upon the Alteration of the Charter?

Officers of the Company who retired upon the Alteration of the Charter?

The Dividend to the Proprietors of East India Stock is redeemable, at the End of Forty Years from the Year 1834, by the Payment of Twelve Millions, and there is a Sum of Two Millions placed in the Public Funds of this Country accumulating as a Guarantee Fund, which will ultimately go towards the Redemption of the Dividend. The Tanjore Commission has ceased, and the St. Helena Pensions are only a temporary Charge. The Compensation Pensions, and other Pensions to the Commercial Servants of the Company, will gradually fall in.

5. That constitutes the Sum of 253,000l., does it not?

The Sum of 253,000*l*. includes all Annuitants, political as well as commercial. The Compensation Pensions form 144,000*l*. of that Sum.

6. Still all those Charges are Annuities, subject to Diminution as the Lives fall in?

They are, so far as regards Commercial and Compensation Pensions.

7. As those Annuities are diminished by the Death of the Persons who hold them, are any other Annuities annually granted which will create a similar Charge?

Not as respects the Compensation and Commercial Annuitants, but as regards other Annuitants there is an Accession of new Annuitants as well as a Falling-

in of old.

8. Is there any annual Remittance on account of that Guarantee Fund of Two Millions?

None.

9. It accumulates here in the Public Funds? It does, by the Re-investment of the Interest.

10. Then it does not form any Part of that Charge of 3,200,000l.? No.

11. Is the whole of that Interest every Year added to the Capital? It is.

'12. With respect to the Sum payable upon the Indian Debt; was it not intended, when the Charter was renewed, that that Portion of the Indian Debt of which the Interest was payable in England should be paid off by the Application to that Purpose of the Assets?

A very large Portion of the Debt was so paid off.

13. As much as it was expected at that Time could be paid off by the Application of that Money?

Quite as much.

14. Then the remaining Sum, that which you pay annually as Interest upon J. C. Melvill, Esq. the Indian Debt, is likely to remain as a permanent Charge?

2d March 1840.

15. As regards these Military Stores: the Sum at which you have estimated the Expenditure for Military Stores is considerably less than would be required

in the event of any continued War in India?

The Amount stated is 236,000*l*., which was the actual Expenditure of 1838-39, when there was a larger Demand for Military Stores than has been usual of late Years. 236,000*l*., exclusive of the Charge for Steam Vessels, is, I think, a full average Estimate of this Expenditure.

16. Are you not aware that in One Year there was shipped from this Country an Amount of Stores which cost nearly 1.100.000l.?

an Amount of Stores which cost nearly 1,100,000l.?

I am. That was during the Burmese War, and, so far as I recollect, caused a great Accumulation in the Arsenals of India.

17. In what Year was that?

In 1824; during the War with Ava.

18. Is not the average Expenditure, taking the Probability of War, on account of Military Stores, larger than that which appears in that Paper?

If War were to continue for a long Period the Amount would probably

exceed what I have stated.

19. Do you apprehend that to be the average Expenditure since the Termination of the Ava War?

The Average has not, I think, been so much as 236,000l.

20. With respect to the Debt, the Amount stated is that Portion of the Debt which the Company engaged to pay in England; it does not comprehend that Portion of the Debt which, being received by the Agents of the different Creditors in India, is remitted by them?

Certainly not.

21. Is not that also a considerable Amount?

We have no Means of ascertaining what Proportion of the Interest of the India Debt is remitted to England through private Channels.

22. Do you suppose that to bear any great Proportion to the Amount paid by the Company itself?

I do not think it is considerable.

23. Considering the Extent to which Steam Navigation will in all probability be carried in future Years, do you apprehend that the Charge which you have mentioned for Steam Vessels is likely to be increased or to be diminished upon the Average of Years hereafter?

Rather diminished than increased, I think. 136,000l. is the Sum stated in his Account for the Purchase and Equipment of Steam Vessels, after deducting

Amount chargeable to Her Majesty's Government.

24. Between what Places does that Steam Navigation proceed?

This Expenditure is partly for the Mail Communication between Bombay and Suez, and partly for Vessels intended to be attached to the Indian Navy for general Service.

25. Does it include any Expense in building Steam Vessels? Yes, it does.

26. Can you distinguish to what Extent?

The principal Part of this Expenditure was for building.

27. Is that an Account ending in May 1839?

It is. If the Committee please I can deliver in a Statement of the Payments made under each Head in each of the Five Years of the present System.

The same is delivered in, and read, and is as follows:

(43.1.)

	1834–35.	1835-36.	1836-37.	1837–38.	1838-39.	TOTAL.
Bills of Exchange drawn on the Court for Interest of India Debt Bills of Exchange for Effects of deceased Officers, and other Remittances Dividends on India Loan Property standing on the London Books Advances to the Compassionate Funds of India, repayable there, and Annuities chargeable to the Civil Annuity Funds.	£ s. d. 620,283 0 8 40,991 17 8 273,217 19 8	£ s. d. 536,501 13 2 22,141 18 8 270,357 17 9	£ s. d. 462,201 2 5 18,869 6 0 10,628 11 10 274,516 15 3	£ s. d. 108,692 7 5 9,845 14 6 110,394 15 2 311,284 18 7	£ 5. d. 100,017 17 8 8,104 6 0 117,782 2 10 329,867 4 11	# 1,827,696 1 4 99,953 2 10 238,805 9 10 1,459,244 16 2
Family Remittances and Miscellaneous Payments on account of India Dividends to Proprietors of East India Stock Interest on the Home Bond Debt Military and other Public Stores exported Purchase and Equipment of Steam Vessels, deducting Amount chargeable	10,299 15 9 * 636,825 17 5 92,857 18 9 202,855 8 0	9,216 6 6 626,098 6 7 83,555 17 8 186,483 18 7	60,084 14 8 637,086 1 8 115,131 16 9 224,912 6 5 52,426 12 4	89,504 9 5 626,570 6 4 139,341 7 0 226,750 16 0 37,812 11 4	51,283 3 0 632,558 15 10 84,395 15 11 236,120 14 11 136,548 11 10	220,888 9 4 3,159,139 7 10 515,282 16 1 1,077,123 3 11 226,787 15 6
to Her Majesty's Government. Transport of Troops and Stores Furlough and Retired Pay to Military and Marine Officers of the Indian Establishments, including Off-reckonings.	44,411 14 4 521,316 12 1	23,709 10 6 475,576 17 2	26,222 10 4 489,200 1 10	19,537 9 7 488,570 11 1	30,012 9 2 520,906 19 1	143,893 13 11 2,495,571 1 3
Retired Pay and Pensions of Persons of the late St. Helena Establishment,				*	10,259 18 9	10,259 18 9
not chargeable to the Crown. Paymaster General of Her Majesty's Forces, for Claims accrued against the	120,000 0 0	120,000 0 0	120,000 0 0	120,000 0 0	406,201 9 4	886,201 9 4
Company in respect of Queen's Troops serving in India. Payments under Act 4 Geo. IV. Cap. 71., on account of Retiring Pay, Pen-	60,000 0 0	60,000 0 0	60,000 0 0	60,000 0 0	60,000 0 0	300,000 Q O
sions, &c of Her Majesty's Troops serving or having served in India. Civil Establishments of India, Absentee Allowances, and Passage Money - Expense of Tanjore Commission in England Her Majesty's Mission to the Court of Persia Her Majesty's Establishment at Canton Annuitants and Pensioners, including Compensation Annuities under Act	38,633 0 4 7,927 7 4 6,500 0 0 7,633 6 8 145,457 16 9	39,792 5 3 7,921 12 4 23,733 10 2 7,633 6 8 250,602 17 5	32,483 3 7 7,884 11 4 15,000 0 0	33,169 17 1 7,908 8 5 12,000 0 0 11,286 6 0 259,386 6 5	43,344 9 10 7,498 16 0 12,000 0 0 253,287 19 9	187,422 16 1 39,140 15 5 69,233 10 2 26,552 19 4 1,159,850 14 11
3 & 4 Will. IV. Cap. 85. Lord Clive's Fund — Pensions Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India; Salaries and Pensions - Salaries of the Court of Directors and of the Home Establishment Contingent Expenses of the Courts of Directors and Proprietors; consisting of Repairs to the East India House, Taxes, Rates, Tithes, Coals, Candles, Printing, Stationery, Bookbinding, and Stamps, Postages and various petty	47,792 I 3 31,310 4 8 82,859 10 8 28,621 15 6	. 43,109 5 4 31,378 0 0 82,800 19 6 25,748 7 1	46,409 19 3 29,801 12 2 75,016 8 7 21,729 4 1	47,348 0 0 29,443 16 2 77,160 19 0 29,157 14 7	49,347 17 2 29,455 8 5 82,843 3 1 24,716 18 1	
Charges. Charges of the College at Haileybury and Military Seminary at Addiscombe Recruiting Charges, including Expense of the Depôt at Chatham Passage and Outfit of Governors, Commanders in Chief, Judges, Chaplains,	23,444 1 9 20,901 5 1	20,842 10 5 23,160 17 2	17,872 4 6 23,420 2 11	17,770 19 9 24,417 13 5	11,797 14 10 23,641 10 2	
Officers in charge of Recruits, and Officers of Her Majesty's Service proceeding to join their Regiments. Frant to The Most Noble The Marquess of Wellesley	23,473 11 6	18,992 13 9	20,518 19 9	16,278 16 T1 20,000 0 0	18,484 5 0	97,748 6.11 20,000 0 0
Balance of Miscellaneous Items of Receipts and Payments: Excess of Receipts Excess of Payments	3,087,614 5 10 24,292 7 1	2,989,358 11 8 29,383 6 2	5,092,532 0 S 1,949 10 9	2,933,634 4 2 45,879 9 3	3,280,477 11 7	15,385,616 13 6 Excess Payments. 24,091 3
	3,063,321 18 9	2,959,975 5 6	3,090,582 9 6	2,979,513 13 5	3,314,314 9 5	15,407,707 16
East India House, 2d March 1840. Mem.—The Payments in Liquidation of Debt made fro			Average of	the Five Years, per		3,081,541 11 4

28. In consequence of the Demand for more British Troops in India the J. C. Melvill, Esq. Payments in this Country on account of those Troops will be larger in the Year now current than in the Year to which you have referred, will they

2d March 1840.

The Demand for the Service of this Year will be larger than that for 1838-39, but in the latter Year there was a large Sum paid to the Queen's Government for Arrears of former Years. The total Payment in 1838-39 was 466,000l.; the Average of the future annual Payments on that Account may, I think, be taken at about 270,000l.

29. Is that on the Supposition that the present Number of British Troops will be maintained in India?

Yes.

- 30. Taking the whole of the Items together, from your Knowledge of the Affairs of the Company, have you any Reason to suppose that the Charge of 3,200,0001, is a fair Average of what may be in future expected? I think it is.
- 31. You see no material Reason to expect that it will be either much increased or much diminished?

I think not.

32. There is an Item in that Account with respect to the Mission to the Court of Persia; is the Diplomatic Mission to the Court of Persia at the

Expense of the East India Company?

The Persian Mission is under the Queen's Government, to whom the Company pay on that Account 12,000l. per Annum so long as an efficient Mission

is maintained.

33. In what Manner has the Court of Directors provided in this Country the Sum necessary to meet those Charges since the Termination of the Charter?

By Bills on India, by Advances in India and China upon Goods hypothecated, by Bills drawn on Her Majesty's Government in Repayment of Advances made for the Queen's Service, by Consignments of Silk, and also by Appropriations from the Proceeds of the Commercial Assets of the Company realized under the Arrangement of 1834.

34. Can you furnish a Statement to the Committee showing in what Proportions the Sums required for Payments here have been derived from those different Sources?

In the first Year, 1834-35, by Bills on India, 732,000l.

35. What is meant by Bills on India? Bills drawn by the Court of Directors of the East India Company upon the Government of India, and exchanged in this Country for Cash.

36. That is, payable out of the Public Revenue in India? Yes. The Amount remitted in 1834-35, by Advances made in China, was 511,000*l*.

37. Was that on Property belonging to the Company there?

Advances made by the Company's Agents in China to Shippers of Tea and Silk, which were hypothecated to the Company as Security for the Repayment of the Advances.

38. In what Way were the Advances made?

Under Regulations framed by the Company, and promulgated both in India and in China, specifying the Terms upon which Advances would be made to a limited Amount proportioned to the Value of the Goods, repayable at a certain Rate of Exchange.

39. In what Manner did the Company make an Advance; by a Bill upon India?

The Company's Agents obtained Funds in China by Bills upon India. Amount remitted in 1834-35, by Advances made in India, was 222,000l.

(43.1.)40. That J. C. Melvill, Esq.
2d March 1840.

40. That is Advances upon Goods in India sent to Europe? Yes. The Amount realized in 1834-35, by Sales of Silk consigned from India, was 513,000l.

41. In what Manner is that done?

The Silk was consigned by the Bengal Government to the Company, and sold in this Country.

42. Consigned by the individual Merchants of India?

No; by the Government of India, under an Arrangement reserved to the Company in 1834, to obviate an apprehended Danger from the sudden Termination of the Company's Transactions in Silk. They were therefore allowed to continue their Consignments for a Time, and to take proper Opportunities for disposing of their Filatures.

43. Did they buy Silk in India?

The Silk has generally been obtained in India by means of Advances made by the Government. This Business has now ceased; the Company have disposed of their Filatures, and the Trade in Silk is wholly in the Hands of Individuals.

44. In the last Year was any Sum appropriated to the Payment of Territorial Charges in this Country from the Proceeds of the Company's Commercial Property?

Not in the last Year. The Deficiency in the Territorial Remittances in former Years to meet the Territorial Payments has been made up out of those Funds.

45. Are you not capable of stating how much has been appropriated in each Year?

Yes. There is only One Year in which the Remittances from India were less than the Expenditure, viz. 1834-35, and in that Year the Amount taken from the Assets was 2,188,000l.

46. Is that Fund which was created by the Sale of the Company's Commercial Property now so exhausted as to be no longer capable of being applied to make up the Deficiency between the Remittances from India and Payments in this Country?

It is; the Proceeds from the Company's Assets have been entirely appro-

priated.

47. Will you give the Items of Remittances for the subsequent Years? They were as follow; viz.

		1	18 <i>35-3</i> 6.	1836-37.	1837-38.	1838-39.
		1				,
	/		£	€	£	€
Bills in India	•	-	2,045,253	2,042,232	1,706,185	2,346,591
Advances on China	•	*	957,738	968,236	297,852	394,396
Advances in India	•	-	1,099,017	1,052,573	990,655	630,915
Sales of Silk	~	7	284,516	56,292	122,038	66,833 '
**************************************				1	<u> </u>	, ,

48. In the course of those Five Years the Court of Directors has paid off a Portion of the Bond Debt, has it not?

It has.

49. Will you state to what Amount? 1,771,0001.

50. Has there not likewise been discharged in this Country a Portion of the Indian Debt, of which the Capital was payable in this Country?

There has.

51. To what Extent has that been? 5;445,000l., on account of the Principal of the old remittable Debt.

52. Has any other Payment been made, since the Termination of the Charter, which will not occur in future Years?

The Carnatic Debt has been discharged; Principal 2,667,000l., and Interest 49,000l,

53. The whole of the Carnatic Debt? Yes.

J. C. Melvill, Esq.
2d March 1840.

54. What is the total Amount of the Charges on account of the Payment of Debt which have occurred since the Termination of the last Charter, and which will not occur again?

The Debt discharged has amounted to nearly Ten Millions Sterling.

55. The whole of that Sum has been furnished by Proceeds of the Sale of the

Company's Property?

Yes; and also 2,000,000l, for the Guarantee Fund, 2,188,000l. in aid of Territorial Charges, and 570,000l. for outstanding Obligations; making a Total of more than Fourteen Millions and a Half of Commercial Assets realized and appropriated.

56. On the Average of future Years the Demand upon India for Remittance will be larger than it has been upon the Average of the last Five Years; not that the Charges in this Country to be defrayed will be larger, but the Remittances from India will be larger, inasmuch as 2,000,000*l*. has in the last Five Years been contributed from Commercial Assets of the Company? Clearly so.

57. A Portion of the remittable Debt is still payable in this Country?

The whole of the remittable Debt was advertised for Discharge, but simultaneously a new Loan, called the Transfer Loan, was opened, which is also remittable Debt, but at a lower Rate of Interest, and also at a lower Rate of Exchange, for the ultimate Discharge of the Principal. The Amount transferred to the new Loan from the old remittable Loan was about 3,500,000l.; the Remainder of the remittable Debt was paid off to the Extent, as I have before stated, of 5,700,000l.

58. Is the new Loan equally a remittable Debt? It is, but upon different Terms.

59. The Amount then of the remaining remittable Debt of this new Transfer Loan is about 3,500,000l.?

It is.

60. Of which the Interest is payable in this Country?

61. And the Capital, when it is paid off? Yes.

62. Can you form any Estimate of the Amount of the necessary Remittances

in the Transfer of private Fortunes from India to this Country?

I have Difficulty in forming any thing like a satisfactory Estimate of that Amount. The Fortunes made in India are less than they formerly were, and a Portion of what is made is left in India. Part of the Savings of the Civil and Military Servants of the Company consist of Subscriptions to Funds upon which they will ultimately become Annuitants. To the Extent of these Subscriptions the Savings remain in India. My Impression is, that about 500,000l. a Year may be the Amount of private Fortunes transferred from India to this Country.

63. An Individual desirous to transfer his Property from India to England would probably, except under particular Circumstances, not transfer it in One Year, unless the Exchanges were favourable for such a Transmission?

64. And consequently there would be no forced Pressure upon the Exchanges for that Transmission?

No.

65. But the 3,200,000*l*, which on the Average of Years the Company demand in this Country, must be furnished, no Matter what the Cost is at which it is transmitted to this Country?

It must be.

(43.1.) A 4 66. Do

J. C. Melvill, Esq. 2d March 1840. 66. Do you consider that a greater Portion of the Fortunes made in India than formerly are retained in India now for the Purpose of being embarked in Speculation or other Operations in that Country?

Probably, as Europeans are now permitted to settle and to hold Lands.

- 67. Has that Permission the Effect of retaining in India Money which would, under other Circumstances, have been remitted to this Country?

 I think it must have that Effect.
- 68. When you say that the 3,200,000l. are necessarily to be remitted every Year from India to this Country, have not the Company the Means of allowing a Portion of it to pass over from One Year to another, according to the State of the Exchange, or are they obliged to have the precise Amount each Year? The 3,200,000l, must be paid in this Country.

69. Have you not, by the Management of your Finance in this Country, either by increasing or diminishing your Bonded Debt, or by other Means, the Possibility of passing the Surplus of One Year into another, so as not to make it absolutely imperative to have the precise Sum in any particular Year?

Clearly. If the Company begin the Year with an affluent Cash Balance, that Balance will admit of some Reduction at the Termination of the Year, and to that Extent may supply a Deficiency in the Remittances from India. The Company have the Power also of issuing Bonds much beyond the present Amount of that Debt.

70. If a Disappointment occurred to the Extent of Half a Million, more or less, in one Year than another, it would not put the Company to any great Inconvenience?

I should hope not.

- 71. But would not the Court of Directors resort with great Reluctance to the Measure of increasing their Debt in this Country, payable here? With the greatest Reluctance,
 - 72. It is the last Measure they would willingly adopt? Yes.
 - 73. Have they a limited Power of issuing Bonds? It is limited, I think, to 7,000,000l.
- 74. What does that Limit mean; does it mean that the Bond Debt may not exceed 7,000,000l.?

It must not exceed 7,000,000l.; that is the Extent beyond which Parliament does not permit it to go.

75. What Amount is there now out? About 1,700,000l.

76. Can you state at what Rate of Exchange in each Year the Company have obtained their necessary Funds from India since the Termination of the last Charter?

By Bills on India, in 1834-35, at 1s. 10\frac{3}{4}d. the Company's Rupee.

77. What is the Company's Rupee?
A new Rupee, designed to take the Place of the Sicca Rupee.

78. Is it of the same Value? It is of less Value.

79. That is an Alteration made since the last Charter? It is.

80. What is the Difference between that and the Sicca Rupee? The Company's Rupee is worth $1\frac{1}{2}d$. less than the Sicca Rupee; the actual Value of the Company's Rupee, taking the Market Price of Silver in London, is $1s. 10\frac{1}{4}d$.

81. Is that its Bullion Value? Yes; taking the Market Price of Silver in London,—not the Mint Price. 82. What is the Market Price of Silver?

The Market Price is from 4s. $11\frac{1}{2}d$. to 5s. per Ounce. The Rates realized in the other Modes of Remittance in 1834-35 were, by Advances made in China, 2s. $0\frac{1}{4}d$.; by Advances made in India, 2s. $0\frac{3}{8}d$.; by Silk, 2s. 10d.

J. C. Melvill, Esq. 2d March 1840.

83. When you say that the Drafts in India have only realized $1s_1 \cdot 10\frac{3}{4}d$., do you mean allowing for the Interest of Money and the Difference between the Time of Payment of the Bills?

No; in those Rates the Interest has been left out.

84. Can you state what the Difference of Period is?

The Bills on India are drawn at Sixty Days Sight; the Overland Communication carries those Bills to India in Two Months, consequently the Bills are paid at about Four Months after the Company have received the Money here.

85. So that you obtain the Advantage of Four Months Interest upon the Sum

that you raise in this Country?

- Yes. On the other hand, the Bills from India and from China are at Six Months Sight, and it is not the Practice of the Company to send them Overland; they are sent simultaneously with the Goods, and, consequently, there is a Period of Ten Months during which the Company lose the Interest.
- 86. Then you estimate that there should be an Allowance for Ten Months Interest?
- Yes. The average Rate at which the Company have realized all their Remittances during the whole Period of Five Years is 2s. per Company's Rupee.
 - 87. Does that include the Remittance of Silk? It does.
 - 88. Have you a Statement of the Average, exclusive of Silk, by Bills?

1s. 11.761d. per Rupe

89. When you state the Exchange realizing 2s. 10d. upon Silk, a Portion of that must be properly taken as Profit upon your Trade in Silk, not as a mere Exchange?

Certainly.

90. In what Manner does the Court of Directors proceed when it desires to realize Funds in this Country by means of Bills on India?

It advertises. The Company's Treasury is always open for Cash for Bills upon India. The Company regulate the Amount paid in by the Exchange; when they want Money they lower the Rate, and when the Money comes in too rapidly they raise it.

91. But they fix in their Advertisement the Amount of the Exchange at which they are willing to receive the Money?

They do.

92. Is the same Course pursued in India?

It is. The Government of India advertise the Terms and Conditions upon which Advances will be made under Hypothecation, and specify from Time to Time the Rate of Exchange at which they are prepared to make such Advances.

93. In India, therefore, if the Government finds that it cannot procure the Sums, it must necessarily send to England, at the Rate of Exchange last mentioned; inasmuch as at that Rate of Exchange it is not profitable for a Merchant to remit Goods, they raise the Exchange until they effect their Purpose; that is, they give more and more Rupees for the Pound Sterling until they make it profitable to remit Goods hypothecated to them for the Purpose of realizing that Sum in England?

Exactly so.

94. Have there not been Representations made to the Court of Directors upon the Subject of that Remittance from India by Merchants interested in the Trade with that Country?

There has been a Representation made complaining of the Operation of that (43.1.)

Arrange-

J. C. Melvill, Esq. 2d March 1840.

Arrangement as respects India, and as respects China there were several such Representations.

95. Can you state the Substance of those Representations; of that relating

to India? The general Allegation was, that by the System of advancing Monies in India and in China upon Goods undue Encouragement was given to Speculation, which deranged the Calculations of Merchants.

96. What was the Request preferred by the Merchants who made that

Representation?

It was that the System of Advances might be discontinued, and that the Company might resort entirely to Bills upon India, as the sole Means of Remittance.

97. Their own Drafts? Yes.

98. Would not that have precisely the same Consequence?

I think not exactly; the Bills upon India are exchanged for Capital deposited The Advances in India are Capital advanced to Shippers.

99. But if the Company, in order to raise so very large a Sum in this Country, had been obliged to give a Rupee in India for a comparatively very small Payment in this Country, would not that still have had the Effect of encouraging the Trade in India beyond that which the ordinary Demand would have warranted?

I think the primary and principal Effect of a great Fall in the Exchange

would be to discourage the Export of British Manufactures.

100. What was the Answer given by the Court of Directors to that Representation?

The Court of Directors have abolished the China Agency, and discontinued making Advances in China.

101. In consequence of that Representation, or from its not answering its

Purpose to continue it?

That Representation may have had some Effect, but the Court of Directors found that they obtained sufficient Funds independently of those received by Advances in China, which they therefore relinquished, as the Mode to which the strongest Objection was taken.

102. Since what Time had that Relinquishment been made? It takes effect at the Close of the present Season.

103. As regards the Drafts upon India from this Country, to what Extent was the Court of Directors capable of acquiescing in the Desire expressed by the Merchants?

They advertised that their Demands upon India would amount to about 3,200,000l. per Annum, and that if there should be any material Alteration in that Amount further Notice would be given.

104. Do the Directors find or do they apprehend any Difficulty in being able to draw to the full Amount of their Wants?

There is, I think, a growing Impression that they will ultimately be able in that Mode to obtain all that is required.

105. Do you not suppose that leaving Transactions to the ordinary Operations of private Trade must necessarily increase the Means to the Company of acting by direct Drafts on India?

Certainly.

106. Is the Company apprehensive that by abandoning the other Mode of operating they may expose themselves to be acted upon by a Combination on the Part of the Merchants trading to India so as to force the Exchanges?

I do not think that there is much Apprehension of a Combination; the Court of Directors have considered that they must proceed with great Caution before they stop any Mode of Supply where the Amount of Remittance required is so large.

107. But you state the average Rate of the Exchange to be about 2s., and J. C. Melvill, Esq. you state the Rupee in Bengal to be only worth 1s. 101d. intrinsically; is it not clear that you are getting considerably above what may be called the Par of Exchange?

2d March 1840.

Perfectly clear.

108. And do not those Circumstances rather indicate that there cannot be any material Difficulty in realizing this Balance on the Part of the Company?

Certainly; judging only from the Experience of the last Five Years.

109. There does not appear to be any Difficulty in realizing the Demand on the Part of the Company in India?

So far as we can judge from the Experience of the Five Years there is not.

- 110. It is quite clear, is it not, that in the present State of the Exchanges none of the precious Metals can come from India? Quite clear.
- 111. Would not there in fact be, at the Rate you have stated, a Loss of upwards of Ten per Cent. upon the Transmission of Silver?

There would; but that is the Rate for the Five Years; the present Rate is

lower.

112. Does that Circumstance tend to carry Silver from Europe to India to

any great Extent?

Silver went to a considerable Extent last Year, when the Company, in consequence of the Sums paid in being more than were required, raised the Exchange beyond the Cost of sending the Bullion.

113. The Committee must therefore infer from those Circumstances that there is abundant Means on the Part of the Company to realize from India, by the ordinary Mode of Exchange, the Demand which this Country has upon India?

The Company have had no Difficulty whatever, during the last Five Years; the Circumstances of the Exchange are more unfavourable at present than the Average of those Years; the Exchange is now only 1s. 11d. the Company's Rupee.

- 114. Can you state the Variations which have taken place in the Exchange during the last Year?
 - 2s. $1\frac{1}{2}d$. was the highest, and 1s. 11d. the lowest.

115. What is the Exchange at the present Moment? 1s. 11d.

116. Do you apprehend that to be affected in any degree by the State of our Relations with China?

I think it must be.

117. You have stated that a considerable Exportation of Silver from this Country to India took place last Year; can you give the Committee the Amount, or an Approximation to it?

It could be obtained from the Custom House, so far as it has passed through that Department; but your Lordships are aware that Bullion often goes away of which there is no Entry at the Custom House.

118. Can you state during what Portion of Time the Remittance of Silver Bullion from this Country to India, from the State of the Exchange, would have afforded a considerable Profit, and consequently during what Period of Time it is probable that such Bullion was exported?

It was, during the last Year, from the Spring, I think, to the Winter, when

the Exchange was again lowered.

119. What were, in former Times, the principal Articles of Remittance from

India, directly and indirectly, to England?

Directly: -Indigo, Silk, Cotton, Saltpetre, Hemp, Drugs, Sugar, and Oils of various Kinds. Indirectly:—Cotton and Opium sent to China, and the Proceeds invested in Teas and Silks brought from China to England.

120. Of (43.1.)

J. C. Melvill, Esq.
2d March 1840.

120. Of these Articles of Remittance which have ceased to be remitted to

England of late Years?

There is no material Difference, I think, in the Trade now as compared with 1814, except in the Article of Sugar from Bengal, which has increased and is increasing; but, as compared with earlier Periods, the Difference consists in this, that the Exportation from India of Manufactures has almost wholly ceased, whilst the Import into India of the Manufactures of the United Kingdom has largely increased.

121. Are there any Articles of Export from India which the present Rate of Duty in this Country practically excludes from the British Market?

122. Do you allude to Spirits generally, or do you allude to any particular Spirits; the Sugar Spirits or Grain Spirits?

In answer to the Question which was put to me I alluded to Spirits generally, that Question being, as I understood, whether the Duty prohibited the Import into this Country.

123. It is a prohibitory Duty at present? There is scarcely any brought.

124. And the Duty has also a great Effect, has it not, upon the Importation of Tobacco?

There is a Representation from the Chamber of Commerce at Calcutta upon that Subject, from which it would appear that an Expectation is entertained in India, that if the Duty upon Tobacco were reduced to 2s. 9d. there would be a considerable Exportation of that Article from India.

125. The Duty at present is no higher than the Duty that is paid upon American Tobacco. Is it not 3s. Duty which is paid?

It is the same as the American, but it is 3d. more than the Duty upon any Tobacco brought from British Possessions.

126. But, in point of fact, there is very little Tobacco brought from any of the British Possessions in America?

I believe very little; but they have an Opportunity of bringing it.

127. So that practically the East Indian has not been under any Disadvantage in respect to Tobacco as compared with the Country from which the Supply comes?

No; but he has not the Encouragement which he conceives he ought to have.

. 128. Then the Complaint of India, on that Score, is that they have not some Preference; but they do not complain that they are at any Disadvantage as compared with those Countries which at present supply the Tobacco which is consumed?

The Complaint of India is that there is a differential Duty, and that although the British Possessions in North America may not be able to import Tobacco at the reduced Duty, still that India ought to have the same Advantage as other British Possessions.

129. Are you aware that the British Possessions in North America produce no Tobacco whatever?

I have understood that to be the Case.

130. So that India does not stand upon any Disadvantage as regards the Duty on Tobacco as compared with the Countries which supply the Tobacco consumed in this Country?

No.

131. In the event of that Duty being lowered so as to place Indian Tobacco in the same Situation with the Tobacco grown, however small the Quantity may be, in the British Possessions, would it lead to the Extension of the Cultivation of Tobacco generally, as well as in the Districts where it is now cultivated?

The Chamber of Commerce in Calcutta are of opinion that it would extend the Cultivation in the Places where it is now cultivated.

8

132. Have you a Copy of the Application of the Chamber of Commerce to J. C. Melvill, Esq. the Court of Directors?

I have.

133. Will you put it in?

The same is delivered in, and read as follows:

General Separate Department, No. 6. of 1839.

To the Honourable the Court of Directors of the East India Company.

Honourable Sirs,

WE beg to solicit the Attention of your Honourable Court to the Letter recorded on the Proceedings of the annexed Date from the Chamber of Commerce, relative to the Position of Tobacco as an Article of Export to Great Britain from India.

- 2. The Chamber of Commerce state, that the Duty in England on East Indian Tobacco is the same as that on Tobacco from the United States of America, viz. 3s. per Pound, while on Canadian it is only 2s. 9d.
- 3. The Chamber have remarked, that if the Charge on East Indian Tobacco were brought to a Level with that imposed on Canadian, such a Stimulus would probably be imparted to its Cultivation here and to its Export to Great Britain as would be sufficient to ensure the Trade being prosecuted to any Extent; but that, being an Article peculiarly liable to Deterioration during a long Voyage, its Export, in consequence of the Discouragement of an enhanced Duty, was at present quite insignificant.
- 4. They add, in support of the Claim of this Article to Favour, that though a few small Parcels of Tobacco had been forwarded to England in the course of the last Eighteen Months, it had only been in consequence of the Failure of the Crop in America that they had fetched remunerating Prices; whereas Prices yielding a Profit would, without the Operation of such Failure, have been obtained for these Parcels had the Growth of India been allowed the Difference of 3d. per Pound, which the Canadian and other British Colonial Produce had enjoyed for some Years. The Fact also of East Indian Tobacco having been used in England, during the Scarcity of American Tobacco, at a Difference of about 3d. per Pound in Price, is urged as affording a conclusive Argument that it only needed an equivalent Reduction of the Duty to introduce Indian Tobacco into regular Consumption.
- 5. On these Grounds the Chamber of Commerce requested us to solicit from your Honourable Court Support to their Application for an Abatement of the Duty on Tobacco produced within your Territories; and we confidently hope, that when the Disadvantage this Article of Commerce labours under, when conveyed from India, as compared with other British Colonies, is pointed out, the Equalization solicited will be granted without Opposition upon any Occasion offering for bringing forward the Question in England.

We have the Honour to be,

Honourable Sirs,

Your most faithful humble Servants,

(Signed) W. Morison,

T. C. Robertson.

W. W. Bird.

Fort William, 30th April 1839.

From W. Limond Esquire, Secretary, Bengal Chamber of Commerce, to H. T. PRINSEP Esquire, Secretary to the Government of India.

Sir,

I AM instructed by the Chamber of Commerce respectfully to solicit the Attention of the Honourable the President of the Council of India to the Position of Tobacco as an Article of Export to Great Britain.

The Duty in England on East Indian Tobacco is the same as that on Tobacco from the

United States of America, 3s. per lb., while on Canadian it is only 2s. 9d.

Were the Charge on East Indian brought to a Level with that imposed on Canadian such a Stimulus would probably be imparted to its Cultivation here and its Export to Britain as is required for prosecuting the Trade to any Extent, but without such Encouragement, being an Article peculiarly liable to Deterioration during a long Voyage, the Export at present is quite insignificant.

Though a few small Parcels have been forwarded to England in the course of the last Eighteen Months it has only been in consequence of the Failure of the Crop in America that they have fetched remunerating Prices, while Prices likely to yield a Profit would, without the Operation of such Failure, have been obtained for these had the Growth of India been allowed the Difference of 3d. per lb., which the Canadian Produce has enjoyed for some Years.

(43.1.) B 3 The

J. C. Melvill, Esq. 2d March 1840.

The Fact of East Indian Tobaccos having been used in England, during the Scarcity of American Tobacco, at a Difference of about 3d. per Pound of Price, may be received as Proof that it only needs an equivalent Reduction of the Duty to introduce it into regular

The Chamber forbears dwelling here on the Fact, so well known as it must be to Consumption.

Government, that India presents a boundless Field for the Cultivation of Tobacco.

Sensible of the Importance of encouraging the Development of the Agricultural Resources of India, and of multiplying and extending the Elements of its Commerce, his Honour, the Chamber presumes to hope, will judge it to be expedient, and be pleased to take an early Opportunity to urge on the Authorities at home the Propriety of according the Abatement of Duty sought, of according it too, though not without Deliberation, yet without Delay,-as an Act alike of Justice and of Policy.

I have, &c. (Signed)

W. LIMOND, Secretary.

Bengal Chamber of Commerce, 17th April 1839.

From H. T. PRINSEP Esquire, Secretary to the Government in the General Department, to W. LIMOND Esquire, Secretary to the Bengal Chamber of Commerce.

Council Chamber, 24th April 1839. I AM directed to acknowledge the Receipt of your Letter dated the 17th instant, soliciting that the Honourable the Court of Directors may be moved to obtain from the Government of England an Abatement of Duty on East Indian Tobacco from 3s. per lb. to that imposed upon the Canadian Tobacco, viz. 2s. 9d.

2. In reply I am directed to state, that the Government of India will forward the Representation of the Bengal Chamber of Commerce on this Subject, with a strong Recommenda-

tion in its Favour, to the Honourable Court of Directors.

1 am, &c. H. T. PRINSEP, (Signed) Secretary to Government.

Letter to India on the Financial Department, dated 11th September 1839.

No. 22.

1st. We have received from the Agricultural and Horticultural Society of India a Representation on the Subject of the Inequality of the Duties levied in this Country upon Tobacco and Rum the Produce of the British Possessions in the East Indies, and similar Articles

the Produce of the British Possessions in America and the West Indies.

2d. We desire that, in reply, you will inform the Society that the Question has for some Time past occupied our Attention, but that an Application to Parliament on the Subject

in the ensuing Session is contemplated.

134. Does not the Application on the Subject of Tobacco assume that there are greater Facilities for the Cultivation of Tobacco in British India than there are in British North America, and, consequently, that the Difference of Duty may be operative in the one case though it is not operative in the other? Yes.

135. It depends upon that Matter of Fact?

136. Are there any Means of ascertaining how the Matter of Fact really

I have no Doubt that Gentlemen locally conversant with India will be able to inform the Committee.

137. Have not the Exports from China to this Country been very considerably greater in Value than the Imports into China from this Country in the course of the last Twenty Years?

They have.

138. The Difference has been supplied by Imports into China from India, has it not? · It-has.

139. Will

139. Will not the present Interruption of the Trade at Canton most materially affect all Remittances from India?

I think to the Extent of at least One Third.

2d March 1840.

140. And materially embarrass the Company, if that Interruption should continue, in the Remittance of the Sum necessarily paid in this Country?

That must be its Effect.

141. Have they already experienced any of that Inconvenience?
Not materially, at present. The Amount of Money paid into the Company's Treasury here in exchange for Bills has, however, been less lately than in former Periods; but there has been no Difficulty as yet.

142. Can you state what has been the total Amount of Funds realized by the Company since the new Charter, arising from the Sales of their Commercial Stock?

14,701,947L is the total Amount realized from the Commercial Assets.

143. Every thing is now realized?

It is.

144. And all their Warehouses sold?

Yes.

145. Since the Trade in Silk has been given up, there remains no one Article in which there is any Trade on the Part of the Company, in India or anywhere else?

None whatever.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

GEORGE G. DE H. LARPENT Esquire is called in, and examined as follows:

G. G. dc H. Larpent, Esq.

146. YOU are Chairman of the East India and China Association? I am.

2d March 1840.

147. How long have you held that Situation? Since its Formation, Four Years back, in the Year 1836.

148. In your Capacity of Chairman of the East India and China Association, have you made any Representation to the Court of Directors upon the Subject of the Realization in this Country of the Funds required by them for the Payment of Territorial Expenses?

I have; and I now hold a Copy of that Representation in my Hand.

149. Will you state the Substance of the Representation that was made to them?

The Substance of the Representation was, that the Bengal Chamber of Commerce had urged us to prevail upon the Court of Directors and the Board of Control to fix in due Time the Sums to be raised at home by Drafts on the Indian Treasury, and the Supplements of Remittance by the several Presidencies on the Security of Shipments, publishing to the Community as early as possible such prospective Arrangements, that those engaged in Trade might take their Measures accordingly, and that the Tenor of its Course might not be disturbed by abrupt Interference generating Uncertainty and unnatural Excitement.

150. What was the Date of that Application?

The 27th of February 1837. I should go on to state that we proposed to the Company certain Modes by which we thought the Evils might be remedied. First, that the Company should, in the first Week in March, advertise the Sum they proposed to raise for the Year—say, for Illustration, 3,200,000l.,—and continue in each successive Year to make similar Communications; that the Advertisement should declare that the Money so required should be raised in a specific Manner, leaving the precise Sum for the future Regulation of the Court,—so much by Bills raised in London or India, so much by Advances in (43.1.)

Bengal,

G. G. de H. Larpent, Bengal, so much by Advances in Madras, and so much by Advances in Bombay: by that Arrangement it was hoped that the Mercantile Community would be able to judge of the Effect of those Operations upon the Indian Trade. With respect to the Advances in China, it was the unanimous Opinion of the Committee of the East India and China Association (comprising at that Time, as it does now, Members from almost every House connected with the Trade) that, since the opening of the China Trade, Experience had shown (though contrary. to the earlier Anticipations entertained) that the Establishment of a Committee of the Company's Servants at Canton for the Purpose of advancing Money on the Hypothecation of Goods was disadvantageous to the best Interests of the Trade between Great Britain and China, and therefore they requested that the Court of Directors at the earliest Opportunity would transmit Directions to Canton to withdraw their Servants from that Duty, and that those Operations might cease. Several Communications took place, both verbally and in Writing, with the Court of Directors; and since that Time they have agreed to abandon raising Money in China, and they have from Time to Time given Notice of the gross Amount that they should require in this Country for their Home

> 151. They have not further acquiesced in the Desire expressed by the Merchants?

> They have not done so; and on the Part of the Indian Trade we contend that the Sum annually required by the East India Company, which we assume to be somewhere about 3,000,000l., injuriously interferes with the Course of Trade; for unless the Sum be known by Persons engaged in mercantile Pursuits, and the Mode also in which it shall be raised, the Operations of the Company come suddenly upon the Market, and derange those of Individuals. The Practice hitherto has been to raise a Sum partly by purchasing in India Bills on England, secured by Shipments of Produce, partly by similar Operations in China, but which have now ceased, and partly, and much the larger Part, by selling Bills on India in this Country. Practically the Company fix the Rate of Exchange abroad, by throwing Funds into the Market in India for the Purchase of Bills, and in England also by being the largest Drawer; and this is done without reference to the State of Trade between the Two Countries; although, of course, in the long run these Operations were always governed, as to Rate of Exchange, by the Cost of Remittances of Bullion. From the 1st of May 1834 to the 31st of December 1837 the Amount raised was, by Bills on Bengal, 4,934,684l; on Madras, 541,090l; on Bombay, 511,883l.: making a Total of Remittance, by that Source, of 5,987,658l. The Remittances from Bengal, during the same Period, were 2,284,5871.; from Madras, 89,560l.; from Bombay, 255,977l.: making a Total of 2,630,124l. By the Way of China, 2,437,461l.; by Raw Silk from Bengal, the Proceeds of which were estimated at 854,445l., and by Bills for Money advanced in India to Her Majesty's Government, 134,1021.: making, during the Period of Three. Years and Two Months, 12,043,790l. Since that I have got a Return of the Remittance in the Year 1838, by all Sources, which amounts to 2,628,950l. The Remittance from all Sources, up. to the 25th of October 1839, was only 599,0681.; making, according to my Calculation, altogether, from the very Commencement of those Operations to October 1839, which is the last Return I have got, 15,263,806l. For the Five Years an Average of a little better than 3,000,000l. per Annum.

152. Has the Demand of the Company for Bills in this Country and in India,

produced sudden and great Variations in the Rate of Exchange?

Sudden and great Variations have certainly taken place. I have the Rate of Exchanges here: they have always been higher, I think, than the Bullion; but during the last Year 1839, partly from the State of our Money Market, and partly by the high Rate fixed by the East India Company, the Amount of Bills drawn by the Court on India, as I have stated, was small. In fact the Trade consider that those Operations, unless we know the Mode in which they will be carried into effect, are something analogous to a Demand for Corn abroad, or for Foreign Subsidies; and that the Tendency of them, unless we know the Amount, and the Mode in which they will be raised, is to derange the Operations of Trade.

153. Has one Consequence of those Demands upon India, and the drawing 'G. G. de H. Larpent, of those Funds from India, been a Difference in the Exchange upon England at Bombay and Calcutta?

2d March 1840.

The East India Company, not having surplus Funds in their Treasury at Madras and Bombay, have always kept up a Difference, in their Bills upon Madras and Bombay, of One Halfpenny higher; by which means the whole Stream has been directed upon the Indian Government at Bengal.

154. You have spoken of the Representation made by the East India and China Association to the East India Company. Are the Committee to understand that the Company have remedied the Complaints of that Association, as it respects the Abandonment of the raising of Money in China, and that they have given you Information, as far as they have been able, of the total Amount of what they would require; so that in fact the Company complied with the Request of the Association in every thing, except distinguishing the different Modes by which they would raise the Money?

Entirely so.

155. They have stated the gross Amount which they would require, but they did not comply with that Part of your Request which was that they should distinguish the different Modes of raising it?

Our Complaint now, in fact, is confined solely to this; that we ought to know, if possible, (at the same Time we admit the Difficulty, because it must in some measure be governed by the Wants of the East India Company,) what Sum will be thrown, as it were, as Capital into the Market of India, and what Sum will be open to us to send as Capital out to India. In Times of Difficulty in this Country, when the Company can raise only a limited Sum, and leaving a large Amount to be remitted from India, there is an Encouragement held out to excessive Speculation in India, by forcing, as it were, the Export of Goods; Speculators being found willing to take a certain Advance upon the Goods, trusting to the Market in England paying them for the Operation. If we had beforehand a certain Knowledge of the Amount required by the Company, we should be able to take advantage of it, and make use of that Mode of Remittance; the Bills of the Company upon India being always available for mercantile Operations, particularly now, with the Advantages of the Overland Communication. This tends to give a Preference to Bills over Bullion, because if Bullion be lost, unless the Insurance be effected payable in India, an Operation of a complicated Character, and one admitting no Delay as to Time, intended to be performed by the Remittance of Bullion (especially if of a speculative Nature), may be completely deranged.

156. But you admit that the Company, having so large a Sum to receive from India every Year, cannot safely at the Beginning of the Year precisely determine the Mode by which the Operation is to be conducted; that they cannot bind themselves as to what Amount shall be realized by drawing on India, or

what Amount shall be drawn by Remittances from India?

My Opinion is that the Company ought not to look for a higher Rate of Exchange than the Value of the Rupee; that they ought to look to 1s. $10\frac{1}{4}d$. as the Value of the Rupee; and that the Operation ought to be considered as unconnected altogether with any Profit upon the Exchange. The East India Company have a Revenue to remit for certain Purposes to this Country, and I should contend that if they get their Silver Rupee over into this Country for 1s. $10\frac{1}{4}d$. they accomplish the Object, and therefore that they should not interfere with commercial Operations, and that they might, knowing pretty well now that the gross annual Amount required will be about 3,000,000l, and that they have only to get an Exchange of 1s. $10\frac{1}{4}d$., make such anticipated Arrangements as would prevent any Derangement in the Trade of the Country either here or in India.

157. Do not you think that the Company are bound, in Justice to the People of India who pay those Charges, to make the Exchange at as favourable a Rate

for them as they can make it?

The Company are not to trade in Exchanges; and if they make their Remittance to this Country of their Revenue at the Price of the Silver Rupee I think India cannot complain, and a real Benefit to the Country will be con-(43.1.)

Esq.

G. G. de H. Larpent, ferred when Circumstances shall enable the Court of Directors to draw for the Home Charges in such a way as to make the Operation regular and certain, and thereby prevent any Derangement in Trade.

2d March 1840.

158. Is it not their first Duty, as a Government, towards the People of India, to obtain their Remittance at the cheapest Rate?

The cheapest Rate consistent with the real Advantage of the Country and

the beneficial Prosecution of Trade.

159. That is, if the Advantage given to the Country from the greater Freedom of Commercial Transactions overbalances the Advantage from the

cheaper Mode of Remittance?

Yes; they are not to lay themselves out for profitable Modes of making that Remittance so as to derange the Trade of the Country, but they are, of course, to avail themselves of the general Operations of Trade, and obtain as high a Rate for their Revenue payable in this Country as the regular Course of Trade will afford.

160. You are aware that when the British Government at home have wanted large Sums for any Purpose abroad they always realize them there in the most

favourable Manner that they can?

Certainly; those Operations are, however, done through Trade; and I believe it is one of the acknowledged Evils of having large Payments to make accidentally, as it were, to the Continent, that they derange the Course of Trade more than any other Circumstance. I am, however, quite aware, that the Argument that has been used with reference to the 3,000,000l., calling it a Tribute from India, is not a fair Way of putting the Case. Undoubtedly a large Portion of that Sum is remitted for Payment of Stores for which India receives an Equivalent; it is the same as the Payment made by this Country for the Again, another Part is of a Hemp and Stores for the Navy to Russia. Character analogous to Payments to Persons having legitimate Claims upon this Country, but residing abroad. In fact, with regard to India, it is a Question that resolves itself into Taxation. The People of India have the Benefit of Civil and Military Services; they obtain Security from the Army, and good Government by the Civil Service, and thus receive an Equivalent for the Pensions and Home Charges; and as to the Dividends on the Company Stock, probably the Capital which the East India Company has expended, and for which this is in some degree a Return of Interest, has largely benefited India, and, therefore, this Payment may be looked upon as a Consideration for that Benefit.

161. Are you aware of the Amount of Tonnage employed in the direct Trade from this Country to China in the course of the last Four Years?

In 1839 the Total to China was Twenty-four Ships, 11,705 Tons; in the previous Year it was Forty-three Ships, and 23,729 Tons; and in 1837 Fiftyfour Ships, 30,470 Tons.

162. To what Circumstance do you attribute the great Falling-off in the Amount of Tonnage in the Year 1839 below that of the Years 1838 and 1837?

The Difficulties in which the Trade of China has been placed.

163. Did those Difficulties begin in the Year 1838?

The Vessels alluded to are those cleared outwards for China direct; but Vessels clearing generally for India go down to China, and we should take the whole Trade of China and India as one connected Trade.

164. Do not the Accounts rather indicate that of late Years there has been some Change in the Course of Trade, and that a large Portion of the Tonnage from this Country has gone, in the first instance, to India, and afterwards to China?

Certainly. As the large Ships, that were exclusively employed in the China Trade, have worn out, the Tonnage has been supplied by the general Trade of India seeking Employment first in India and afterwards going down to Canton, according to the Seasons. In fact, the China Trade may be considered now in such Returns as merged in the general Trade of India.

165. Is the Tonnage employed in the direct Trade between this Country and G. G. de H. Larpent, China larger, or not so considerable as it was?

Esq.

I do not believe there is any Falling-off in the Trade between this Country and China; on the contrary; though Returns separating China from India will show a Diminution in the Number of Vessels cleared out for China.

166. Will not the Interruption of the Trade with China have a most material Effect upon the Remittances from India, and upon the general Trade of the East?

Upon the general Trade of the East it will have a most serious Effect. I have sketched out what may be taken roughly as the State of the Trade, considering China as a component Part of the Trade with India, which, I think, since the Alteration in 1834, it ought to be considered. I have put down here the Exports that come from India and China, which must be paid for in some Way or other. The first Article upon my List is Indigo, amounting to about 2,000,000l. Sterling; I take it in Sterling by way of showing the Operation. Sugar at about 600,000l.; Silk at 750,000l.; Silk Piece Goods at 350,000l.; Saltpetre, 300,000l.; Rice at 100,000l.; Sundries, comprising a Variety of Articles which it is impossible to state, they are so numerous, I calculate Then the Tea from China, which must be paid for, at about a Million. 2,300,000*l*.; the Silk from China, about 800,000*l*. The Exports from Bombay, chiefly Cotton, may be calculated at 1,400,000l.; making the Value upon the Estimate of all the Products of India and China brought to this Country, whether for Home Consumption, or for Export somewhere, amounting in the Year to about 9,600,000l. I have tried to find how this was paid for. I estimate that the Manufactures sent direct to India may be considered to amount in Commercial Value to about 2,500,000l., those to China to about 600,000l., and the Funds by private Individuals remitting their Fortunes to this Country I put down at 500,000l.; the East India Company's Home Charges I put down at 3,000.000l., raised either by Advances in India or by Drafts upon India. I have taken the Opium, which amounts to about 3,400,000l.; the Cotton which is sent to China 1,000,000l.; making altogether 4,500,000l.; from which I deduct the Difference that in the course of the Year was returned from China in Bullion to Calcutta and Bombay, and which, upon the Statement I have, may be considered as about 1,500,000l.; by that I mean the Sum arising upon the Balance of Trade between India and China in consequence of the Increase of Opium, and which Sum was returned to Calcutta or Bombay in Bullion, having been abstracted from China. Deducting this Sum from the 4,500,000l. leaves (by that internal Operation, as it were, for the Payment of the Tea and Silk) 3,000,000l, which 3,000,000l to be added to the other Items which I have mentioned, and which I make to amount to 6,100,000l., gives the Amount of Payments for the 9,600,000l. in nearly the exact Sum. Of course this is only a rough Mode by which I attempt to estimate the whole State of the Trade as one connected Trade, showing thereby that in the event of the Opium Trade ceasing there will be a Deficiency of about 2,000,000l., which must be provided for from some other Source.

STATEMENT.

India and China Trade.

Exports to England.		Mode by which per contra is paid for.		
Indigo, estimated Value of Amount exported	£ 2,000,000 600,000 750,000 350,000 300,000 1,000,000 1,400,000 2,300,000 800,000	East India Charges Opium sent Cotton Less Return lion from	to China s of private Fortunes Company's Home to China, 3,400,000 Do 1,000,000	- say 3,000,000
(43.1.)		C 2		167. Have

G.G. de H. Larpent, Esq. 2d March 1840.

167. Have you any Statement of the Quantity of Bullion exported from China to India and Europe for the last Ten or Fifteen Years?

Not for the last Ten or Fifteen Years; but I have here an Account of Opium, amounting to 3,376,000l., sent to China in the Years 1837 and 1838; and the Balance of the China Trade, after paying for Tea and Silk, was sent to India in Bullion. It is stated by the Chamber of Commerce at Canton, which is my Authority for it, that in 1837 and 1838, from Canton, the Amount returned was 8,974,776 Dollars.

168. Have you any Means of comparing that Export in the Years 1837 and 1838 with the Export in the previous Year; for instance, with the Year 1829-30

and the Year 1830-31? I have not got it before me; but the Impression upon my Mind is, that it greatly increased; that as the Opium Trade increased so did the Amount of Treasure from China into India increase.

169. Should you be able to furnish the Committee with the total Export of Bullion from Canton for the last Ten Years?

I think I could do so.

170. Can you state the Import of Silver by the Americans in those Two

Years, 1838 and 1839?

It has been very small, in consequence of the Mode which the Americans adopted of not sending out Dollars, but sending out Credits. The Bills of the United States Bank, and Bills of other Houses connected with America upon London, were circulated there, and formed the Mode by which they raised Funds; they sold the Bills there as Remittances.

171. Can you state when that Custom commenced on the Part of the Americans, of making their Purchases with Bills rather than with Silver at Canton?

I am afraid I cannot give the exact Date.

172. Should you be able to furnish the Committee with any such Statement? I will do so.

173. With what Bills did the Americans make their Purchases in China;

how did they procure them?

They were Bills in the Nature of Credits that they took out with them upon Houses in London connected with the American Trade, and also Bills of the large Banking Companies in America, which were sold there, and purchased by Parties wishing to make Remittances to England. They were circulated again in Calcutta. These Bills were sent up to Bengal and sold for Remittance home to this Country.

174. What Class of Persons took them in China; did not the Market for

them cease when the Company ceased to take Bills there?

The Company practically have never ceased yet; they limited the Amount, I think, to 300,000l. for the last Year. The Company's Advance of Funds has not practically ceased. This Disturbance in China took place when they were about to give up the China Business, but before it was actually closed there was 300,000l. to be remitted for the present Year.

175. Then Parties taking those American Bills in Canton must have been

Importers of Cotton from Bombay or of Opium?

Yes. The Importers of Opium and Cotton made their Returns upon London in American Bills, and those American Bills being forced as it were upon the Market proved the best Means of Remittance upon London; the Buyers got the Remittance cheaper than from any other Source; it was a Sale of American Credit in India.

176. You have stated that the Bills so negociated by the Americans in Canton were taken for the Purpose of paying for the Cotton coming from Bombay to Canton; was not the Draft of the American on the London House ultimately to a great Extent paid by the Shipment of American Cotton to Liverpool?

It was certainly a combined Operation, by which American Credit was sold in the Ports of India and China and remitted to this Country, and ultimately

provided for by American Produce sent to this Country.

177. Of which Cotton is the principal Item? Yes.

G. G. de H. Larpent, Esq.

2d March 1840.

178. The Interruption, therefore, of the Trade of Canton interrupts, not only the Commerce of the East, but the Commerce of the whole World?

Certainly; but this had ceased with regard to American Credit antecedent to the Disturbances in Canton.

179. In what Manner have the Americans carried on their Concerns with China since?

I hardly know how they have done it lately.

180. Has it been materially diminished?

We know very little of the State of the Trade in the Year 1839; I hardly know what Purchases they have been able to make.

181. Was it diminished in 1838?

I am not able to state.

182. You have not the same correct Information as to the Trade carried on by Foreign Nations with Canton which you possessed at the Time of the

Charter of the Company, have you?

We have no other than that which the private Industry of Merchants would collect to guide them in their Operations; before, the East India Company, being a Monopoly Trade, made themselves acquainted with the State of the Trade with every Part; now, it is Information acquired by the Chamber of Commerce, or such Information as private Merchants may collect.

183. Do you think you may place the same Reliance upon the Statements as to the Trade of Foreigners in Canton as you could place upon the Statements

made at the Time of the Company's Monopoly?

I should think not; because my Impression is, that the Servants of the Company made it their Business, and it was Part of their Duty, to communicate to their Masters the State of the Trade with all Parts; and now Information as to the Foreign Trade, except when recorded by the Chamber of Commerce, must be entirely derived from private Channels,—such Information as Individuals may seek for and obtain for their own Purposes.

184. Does not the Superintendent report?

As a Consul he probably does.

185. Even as regards British Trade, that direct from England and from

Canton, is Information now as full and correct as it used to be?

The Superintendent, if he has been instructed to make periodical Returns in the same Manner as Consuls do, will, of course, have communicated to the Foreign Office, and probably, upon moving for a Return, such Information may be obtained.

186. Is that as accessible as the former Information used to be to private Merchants?

I hardly know. The former was only through the Medium of Returns moved for by Parliament.

187. The Company were never very communicative with private Merchants as to the Trade with China?

No; we had nothing to do with it, and therefore we got it only through the Medium of the Parliamentary Returns.

' 188. Do the Committee understand you that, with respect to American Bills, the American Merchant took the Bills to China, for which he received Goods from the Chinese Merchant, that the Chinese Merchant then paid over those Bills to the Merchant in India for other Goods, and that the Bills were ultimately negotiated in India?

The American sold his Bills upon London, and obtained Dollars for them in China; the Bombay Merchant received the Bills of the Chinese for his Opium, or for his Cotton. By this Means the American was enabled with those Dollars to buy Tea. It was an Operation by which a Mode of Remittance to

London was thrown in as a Power of Purchase.

(43.1.) C 3 189. Then

G. G. de H. Larpent, Esq.

2d March 1840:

189. Then the Chinese Merchant received hard Money for his Goods from

the American? Of course; the American having sold his Credit, if the Chinese did not choose

to take Credit somebody else would take the Credit and supply the American with Tea.

190. Money actually passed; it was not that the Bill circulated without Money passing?
No, the Bill was sold.

191. The American paid back to the Chinese that very Silver which the

Chinese had paid who bought the American Bill?

Adverting now to the Petition, I have now stated one of the Grievances with respect to India, or rather, one of the peculiar Circumstances, namely, the Payment of the 3,000,000l. Home Charges of the East India Company, and the Way in which the Trade of India is affected thereby. There is also one other Circumstance to which I think the Attention of your Lordships should be directed in considering India and the various Duties charged upon its Produce; it is, that this Company has, in various Ways, encouraged and assisted by our great manufacturing Ingenuity and Skill, succeeded in converting India from a manufacturing Country into a Country exporting Raw Produce, and therefore more peculiarly is this Country called upon by every Means to facilitate the Introduction of the Raw Produce of India. The peculiar State of the Relations between this Country and India, and the Necessity of extracting from the latter Three Millions of Money for the Home Charges of the East India Company, and the altered State of Indian Industry, in its being converted from a manufacturing Country into a Country exporting Raw Produce, are Circumstances which, in my Opinion, ought to influence the Legislature to afford every possible Protection to its Agricultural Produce.

192. You have stated that altogether from the East, or from this Part of the East formerly within the Limits of the East Company's Charter, we get Goods to the Amount of 9,600,000l.; but that those Countries only take, in Manufactures, 2,500,000l. for India, and 600,000l. for China, making 3,100,000l.; all the Remainder is the Fortunes remitted, the Company's Debts, Opium, and Cotton to China, and other Things; but that, in point of fact, we get in Europe, in Goods, 9,600,000l., India and China only taking back 3,100,000l.; is that not the Fact?

There is also the Balance of the internal Trade of India, of Opium and of Cotton, which amounts to about 3,500,000L, that enabled you to pay for it.

193. That is only a Trade carried on in the East, having no reference to the Consumption in Europe of the Produce of the East?

194. Does it not appear, from the Evidence that you have given, that we take in Goods from those Countries to the Amount of 9,600,000l., they taking from us, in fact, no more than 3,100,000l.?

It does; and, as I have stated, if it were not for the other Sources of Trade, that Difference would be balanced by the Export of Bullion, which would so derange the State of Prices in India, that it would render it impossible to remit a large Quantity of Indian Produce.

195. Would it not also very much derange Prices, and the Circulation of

Money; in Europe?

It would. I should also say that in some Measure the East India Company, when they offer their Advances in India to buy Bills upon the Hypothecation of Goods, perhaps force out a larger Portion of Indian Produce than would, in the natural Course of Events, have been sent to this Country. In fact, the Remittance thus sent to this Country is a Remittance of Revenue in Kind through Trade.

196. If the Company did not require Three Millions for the Purpose of political Payments, or Payments independent of Trade, the State of the real Commerce as between Europe and India would require many Millions sent out every Year in Specie? Certainly.

197. From

197. From the Fact also being stated that the Exchanges now are such that G. G. de H. Larpent, you are almost upon the Verge of sending Silver to India, suppose you could, by any Alteration of the Laws in this Country, or by any Encouragement, as it is called, increase to the Amount of Two or Three Millions the Exportation from India to this Country, would it not be necessary to provide for that by the Shipment of Specie?

Esq. 2d March 1840.

I should hope that a very large Increase of British Manufactures might be the consequence. The Power of India to use our Manufactures depends upon what they get for their own Produce, and, therefore, once suppose that we should find it of Advantage to export the British Manufactures for the Purpose of paying for Indian Produce, I should think the natural Tendency would be that the Powers of Payment in India would be increased, for that I take to be the Limit of the Use of our Manufactures through India; the Mode of Payment will be affected by the Increase of our Manufactures.

198. Does it not appear, from the State of our Exchanges, that there is no Difficulty whatever in paying for any further Consumption of British Manufactures; that, on the contrary, the Exchanges are in a State almost to take Specie from this Country; and, therefore, that as far as Reciprocity of Consumption of their Produce is concerned there is no Want of Encouragement for their Produce?

Certainly, the State of the Exchanges, the Appreciation of the Rupee in India, is attended with some curious Circumstances. I confess I am not quite able to understand how the Rupee in the Exchange came to be so high as it is; it perhaps is the very Interference in large Masses by the East India Company with Capital that has occasioned an apparent Elevation in the Exchange in India; and, besides, Circumstances connected with India should be considered that have been in operation since the Year 1830, namely the total Derangement of the Commercial Houses in India about that Time; that India can scarcely be considered as having yet recovered its natural State: but there is undoubtedly this apparent Difficulty in the Case, that the Rupee is higher than it ought to be from the working of ordinary Causes.

199. It clearly indicates that there is no Difficulty on the Part of India to pay this Balance to Europe?

Certainly; because the Rupee of Exchange is higher than the Bullion Value of the Rupee.

200. From your Acquaintance with India do you suppose that the Consumption of British Manufactures among the great Mass of the Population could be much increased?

From all I have heard,—but it is not a Question of absolute Knowledge, never having been in India,—I should say that India is, in the use of our Manufactures, limited only by the Power of purchasing; that essentially they are a poor Country, and consequently cannot obtain many of the Indulgences of our Manufactures. In proof of this I should say, that the Period when there was a very severe Famine in India, and great Distress, was the Period when our Manufactures sold worst, and when there was a greater Glut of them than at any other Time. That shows, I think, that the Sale of our Manufactures must be very much dependent upon the Returns of the annual Crops, in the Case of an agricultural People like the Natives of India. We have seen, simultaneously with Famine and Distress in India, a falling off in the Consumption of our Manufactures.

201. Is not the Consumption of our Manufactures almost wholly confined to our own People and their Descendants?

They are spreading all over the Country, particularly the Manchester Goods and the Glasgow Goods; in fact it is a Question of Cheapness. Cheapness has forced our Manufactures into India, and as long as we can, by the Power of Machinery, make them cheaper, though they may not be so durable as their own, yet that is nothing compared with the Cheapness which, to a poor People, is the first Object they have in view; I should say it depends, as far as my limited Knowledge goes, derived from the Information I have obtained, but without having been resident in India, that it is the annual Produce of the Soil, the actual Return to the Farmer in India, that enables him to buy our Manu-(43.1.)

Esq. 2d March 1840.

G.G. de H. Larpent, factures. I may perhaps be allowed to give an Instance in Metals. There was, no Doubt, a great Depression in the Article of Copper for a considerable Time, although the Exports of Copper from this Country were not upon a large Scale, indeed rather smaller than they had been for some Years, and yet the Depression continued. The Reason assigned was, that the Cooking Utensils of the Natives being composed partly of Spelter and of Copper they had not the Means of affording to buy them, in consequence of their Poverty, from the bad Return the Land had given; and that Poverty rather than any thing else, not a Disinclination to purchase, prevented their buying such Articles, which, from their Custom of each having separate Cooking Utensils, are usually in great Demand. It was not that there was any other Source of Supply for Copper, which kept the Market down so low, but that the Natives were unable to pay for it.

202. Do you then suppose that the Circumstance of an additional Trade could so raise the Condition of the common labouring Population as to make

them Consumers of our Manufactures?

That enters into a Question of how far they are an over-taxed People, or how far we are able to raise the Condition of the People of India, and secure for them greater Prosperity and Wealth. But I feel satisfied, from what I know on the Subject, that the Power of Purchase is very much dependent upon the annual Returns of the Produce of the Soil; and it is to that, therefore, that the Consumption of our Manufactures is necessarily limited.

203. In what Articles of Export from this Country to India has the greatest Increase taken place, since the first Opening of the Trade in 1834?

I am hardly able to state that off-hand; it would require to go into some Manchester and Glasgow Goods are the principal Goods. Examination.

204. Has any great Increase taken place in the Consumption of Articles which, being imported from this Country, have supplanted the Use of Indian Manufactures?

It is not the Increase in the Consumption of Articles for Undoubtedly. Europeans, but for the Clothing of the People of India, and for their own domestic Comfort. It is not the Increase of Europeans that has led to it; what we must look to for the Consumption of our Manufactures is the great Mass of the People of India, and their own Dress and Wants.

205. In what Articles of Export from India to this Country has the greatest

Increase taken place?

In the main Articles the Export has been steady since 1834. Some, like Sugar, have increased; also Seeds. Indigo has remained much the same. Indigo Crops vary from 90,000 Maunds of Seventy Pounds Weight to 120,000 Maunds or 130,000; and the Supply depends upon the Season, the same as the Crops of Corn, or any other of a similar Character.

206. The Export of Opium from India to China has been the practical Mode of paying for the increased Export of Goods to India?

It has formed one Link of that great Chain of commercial Operations in this Country to India, including China.

207. Are you aware whether, with the Exception of Opium, there has been a considerable Increase of Export to China in the last Twenty

No, I do not think there has.

208. Has there not been a Diminution?

No; I should say that the Export of British Manufactures has increased to China, and Twist is sent in considerable Quantities to China.

209. Very recently, is it not?

Within the last Six Years; since the opening of the Trade, which took place in April 1834.

210. Is Twist sent to any considerable Amount in Value?

Yes. I put down in my Statement British Manufactures from 700,000l. to 800,000l. now exported to Canton, and it is a growing Trade, which I should have hoped would have amounted to 1,000,000l.; in short there is no telling to

what Extent the Trade with China could be carried if we could get Access to G. G. de H. Larpent, the Empire, because the Population is so immense, and we know so little of what the Cost of Production is there.

Esq. 2d March 1840.

211. Is not the Case of China, from which you take 3,000,000% of Goods every Year, China only taking 600,000l. or 700,000l. from you, a Proof that the mere Circumstance of taking the Produce of another Country is no Security for that Country taking a corresponding Produce from you?

If that Country wants to sell her Tea and her Silk she must be willing to buy something else of some other Country, to be paid for out of that Sale; there must be some circuitous Mode of paying for it, or we must purchase the Metals

to pay; the Balance in Trade must be reciprocal.

212. As you have stated that it was impossible for China to carry on the Trade which she has without paying a large Balance in Silver, are you enabled to state whether China has any Relations in other Quarters through which she procures the Silver that enables her to pay that Balance, or whether she pays it in constant Diminution of a Stock actually accumulated in the Country?

I believe she pays it out of a Stock accumulated in the Country and out of her own Mines, but she cannot obtain it in any other Mode except from her

Trade with the Indian Archipelago.

213. You have not taken into account the Imports of Bullion by the Americans in former Years?

I considered the European Trade as one. Whatever Bullion China got from America was, of course, included in the Mode of carrying on the general

214. Is it an uniform Price for Tea and Silk?

Tea and Silk depend, like all other Things, upon the Demand for them; but, during the Company's Time, through the Medium of their Supercargoes and their Factors, they made anticipated Arrangements with the Hong Merchants, with whom they dealt, and they with the Tea Dealers, so that the Company laid in their Investment of Tea at certain annual Prices for the Season, and as nearly as possible that System has been adopted by the private Merchants. Through their Houses and Agents there they have adopted the same Arrangements, which have enabled them to make Purchases of Tea at average Prices; of course the Chinese have endeavoured to obtain as high Prices as they could, and the British and American Merchants have endeavoured to make them as low as possible.

215. But the Power of Production in China appears to be without Limit? We have so little Knowledge of China that we cannot say.

216. Can you state whether China has natural Relations with other Countries sufficient to uphold her Commerce?

None, I should say, of importance, except her Trade with the Indian Archipelago.

217. Has she not with Russia?

It is a very small Commerce; there is a little Caravan which goes with a certain Quantity; but the immense Land Conveyance limits the Trade, and the Price of Tea is high.

218. Are you aware what the Amount of that Trade is? No, I am not.

219. Are you aware, whatever may be the Amount of that Trade, what Returns are made by Russia for Chinese Produce; whether the Chinese Commodities are exchanged for Silver?

I believe for manufactured Goods, Furs, Woollens, &c.; but I really am not prepared with Statements to answer that Question in detail.

220. Will you have the goodness to state to the Committee how the Law

stands with respect to the Importation of Sugar from India?

The Importation is regulated by the Act of the First of Victoria, Chapter 27, "An Act for granting to Her Majesty, until the Fifth Day of July One thousand eight hundred and thirty-eight (subsequently continued), certain Duties (43.1.)

G. G. de H. Larpent, on Sugar imported into the United Kingdom;" the Provision of which Complete.

Esq. plaint has been made is to the following Effect: "Provided always, and be it enacted, That if at any Time satisfactory Proof shall have been laid before Her Majesty in Council, that the Importation of Foreign Sugar into any British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter is prohibited, it shall be lawful for Her Majesty, by and with the Advice of Her Privy Council, or by Her Majesty's Order in Council, to be published from Time to Time in the London Gazette, to allow the Importation of Sugar the Growth of any such British Possession, at the lower Rate of Duty in the said Act specified, in like Manner and under the same Restrictions and Conditions as Sugar the Growth of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal may be imported, subject to a lower Rate of Duty under the Provisions of the said recited Act." The Case is this: by that Clause it is necessary, before any Part of India can take the Benefit of the Equalization of the Duties upon Sugar, that satisfactory Proof shall be laid before Her Majesty in Council, that the Importation of Foreign Sugar into such Possession has been prohibited. The Parties in India Foreign Sugar into such Possession has been prohibited. think that the Proof of such Prohibition should be left to the Authorities in India, and not reserved, as it were, for the Satisfaction of the Privy Council here; which would raise open Questions as to the Nature and Extent of the Prohibition to import Sugars, and would perhaps throw Obstacles in the Way of those Presidencies of India (which by their own Authority prohibit the Importation of Sugar) benefiting by the Advantage of being placed on an equal Footing of Duty with the West Indies.

221. Has Sugar at a reduced Duty been admitted under Order of Council from any Part of India since the passing of that Act? It has not, Bengal excepted.

222. Is it at the present Moment admissible from the Territories under the Government of Madras?

No.

223. Has the Importation of Foreign Sugar into the Territories under the Government of Madras been prohibited by the Supreme Government? It has; to take place in June next.

224. Has any Application consequent upon that Prohibition been made to Her Majesty in Council?

It is about to be made.

225. Is Sugar at the present Moment admissible at the reduced Duty from the Territories under the Government, of Bombay? No, at present it is confined to Bengal.

226. Is the Importation of Foreign Sugar into the Territories of Bombay prohibited?

No, it is not; they have not complied with the Condition of prohibiting the Import of Sugar; as, in Bombay, they could not supply themselves without Import at present; it is quite out of the Question for them to do so. It is in Madras, where they think the Advantage of exporting Sugar will be larger than the Benefit derived from importing Foreign Sugar, that they have complied with the Act prohibiting Foreign Sugar to take place in June next. In Bombay, I presume, they do not think of it at present.

227. Then the Difficulties you anticipate to arise under the Provisions of that Act have not hitherto been experienced, inasmuch as no Application to the Queen in Council has yet been made? Certainly.

228. Do you apprehend that there can be any Difficulty in the Proof that the Importation of Foreign Sugar into the Territories under the Government of Madras is prohibited?

I should think not; at the same Time it would delay the Operation of the Act if the Mode by which each Presidency in India is to obtain the Benefit of equal Duties be continued, and if Reference is to be made to this Country before allowing it to take effect. After the Authorities in India have declared that it shall be prohibited it requires a Reference to this Country, and of this Complaint is made.

229. But, supposing the Prohibition once to take effect in the Government G. G. de H. Larpent, of Madras, no such Difficulty can hereafter be experienced?

Esq.

No; supposing that Prohibition is sanctioned by the Government at home

and is a permanent Prohibition.

230. The Prohibition taking effect at the End of June, might it not be possible to obtain that Order in Council so as to admit in England all the Sugar shipped from India after the End of June?

On the Presidency of Madras complying with the Act, and that being sanctioned by the Home Authorities, and the Prohibition of Foreign Sugars being made permanent, they will be entitled to all the Benefits of the Equaliza-

tion of Duties. *

231. And the Order in Council might arrive in India in Time to enable the first Sugar sent from the Territories of the Government of Madras to be admitted at the low Duty?

Yes.

232. When you talk of the Prohibition of Importation into Out-ports, does that apply to the Importation from any tributary States in India?

It is Sea Imports,—not by Land.

233. So that it does not prevent the Importation by Land from any States in India not belonging to the Company?

No.

234. Are you aware whether there exists any Produce of Sugar in Asiatic States, independently of the Company, from whence Sugar might be imported into those States?

I know of none but in the Territories of the Company.

235. Might there not, in Bengal, come Sugar from Territories not belonging

to the Company; from Oude, for example?

The Cane being so universal throughout India the Case is possible; but it would perhaps only strengthen the Case of the Petitioners, when we come to consider the whole of India as one great Country, and that the independent States should be so considered in all Fiscal Regulations.

236. Are they not so considered now?

They are not so, at least as regards Coffee; but, in answer to the Question with regard to Sugar, I should say, take all India as one great Country. The Intention of the Act was that Sea-borne Sugar, coming from Java and Siam and Cochin China, and that Class of Sugar, should not be admitted into a Country which avails itself of the Equalization of Duties by exporting Sugar to this Country.

237. Was that the Operation of the first Act for the Repeal of those Duties

bearing solely upon Calcutta?

It was the Operation and Intention that in those Parts of India which would prohibit the Introduction of Foreign Sugar, they should become entitled to export Sugar to the Home Market upon an equal Duty with the West Indies.

238. Then you consider under the first Act that was passed, supposing that there was a Power of introducing Sugar from the Interior into Bengal, that there would have been a Power of exporting at the low Duty Bengal Sugar into

England?

I think it was never contemplated that Sugar grown in States dependent on the East India Company should be differently classed, but that, by the Arrangement under that Act, the whole of the Indian Sugar was taken as Indian Sugar, whether produced in the Territories of the East India Company or their Dependents.

239. Was not a Certificate of Origin expressly required, to show that the Sugar imported into this Country was Sugar grown in British Territories?

Sugar imported into this Country was Sugar grown in British Territories?

I should say that the original Sugar Act turned upon the Certificate of Origin. Under the Certificate of Origin Sugar was to be imported from a British Possession, but the Question was never raised as to British dependent (43.1.)

D 2

States;

G. G. de H. Larpent, States; the Equalization was given upon the Certificate of Origin from a British Possession, and upon the Prohibition of Foreign Sugars, and the Question was never raised as to supposed Foreign internal Sugars; there was no Certificate of Origin to meet that if there were any such Sugars; but it was the Law that Siam and Java and Manilla Sugar should not be imported into such Parts of India which at the same Time took the Benefit of exporting at the low Duties.

240. There was a double Protection, the Certificate of Origin and the Prohibition?

Yes.

241. The Act to which you refer was the Act of the 6th and 7th of William the 4th, Chapter 26.?

242. By that Act the lower Duty may be paid upon Sugar exported from Bengal; and it is enacted, that "it shall not be lawful to import into any Part of that Presidency, or any Dependency thereof being a British Possession, any Foreign Sugar, nor any Sugar the Growth of any British Possession into which Foreign Sugar can be legally imported "?

243. Do you understand that under that Act of Parliament Sugar could be be imported into the Territories of the Bengal Government from the different States which border upon it; for instance, the State of Oude?

My Opinion is that such Sugars are not practically prohibited, certainly not;

the Act has been considered as applying to Sugar Sea-borne and no other.

244. Do you mean that the Act has received that Construction?

It has been so considered in looking at the Condition of Prohibition, that it has only reference to the Sugars of the Indian Archipelago and China; that it never had reference to the Sugars of different States in the Peninsula of India, as far as the Condition of Prohibition went.

245. Is it not required, by another Clause of that Act, "that before any Sugar shall be entered as being of the Produce of any of the Provinces composing the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal, or of any of the Dependencies thereof being a British Possession, at the lower Rate of Duty fixed by this Act," there shall be a Certificate to the Effect that such Sugar was produced in the District from which it is sent?

Certainly; that is, as to Sugar actually exported, it must have a Certificate of Origin; that is, a Certificate of being the Produce of a British Possession in

246. The Clause to which reference has been made requiring a Certificate under the Hand and Seal of the Collector or Assistant Collector of the Land or Custom Revenue of the District within which the Sugar is produced, does it not follow that no Sugar, under the strict Interpretation of that Act, can be introduced into this Country at the lower Duty which is not actually grown within the Limits of a British Possession?

Certainly, it is in execution of their Duty impracticable that any Sugar but Sugar actually made in a British Possession in India can be introduced at the low Duty.

247. In fact, for Sugar so introduced, no Certificate of Origin could be

It could not consistently with the Terms of the Act.

248. Is the Committee to understand that that is one of the Complaints which the East India Company who presented this Petition wished to see remedied; is that considered as an important Obstruction to the Trade, that Sugar grown in a Dependency of the British Government of India and brought to Calcutta by River Communication or by Land, brought otherwise than by Sea, cannot obtain a Certificate of Origin sufficient to bring it in within the

We have no Complaint of that particular Grievance, namely, that Sugars cannot be exported for the lower Duties grown other than in the British

Possessions in India.

249. You have read the Petition of the East India Company which is before G.G. de H. Larpent, the Committee at the present Moment?

Linave.

2d March 1849.

250. In that Petition it is stated "With regard to Coffee great Uncertainty and Inconvenience has arisen from the Ambiguity of the Words 'British Possession,' and that this Ambiguity it appears highly important to remove. The strictest Interpretation of the Words, notwithstanding the earnest Remonstrances of the Court of Directors, has been in some Cases adopted, and this has not only excluded those British States with which the British Government has Subsidiary Alliances but also Mysore, where the Government is actually administered by British Authorities, and where all the Resources of the Country are under its Control." Do you complain, on the Part of the British Merchants, that the Interpretation desired by the East India Company is not given to the Act, and that Sugar is not admitted at the low Duty from States which, having Subsidiary Alliances with the British Government in India, may be considered as being under our Control?

I should say the Distinction drawn in my Mind between Sugar and Coffee was this: there was a clear Understanding, at the Time of the Settlement of the Equalization of the Sugar Duties, that the Certificate of Origin proving it to be the Produce of a British Possession should accompany the Boon of the Equalization of the Duty, and the Prohibition of the Introduction, as I understood it, by Sea of Foreign Sugars, and to that Agreement I consider we were bound to adhere. That was my Understanding at the Time with regard to the Equalization of Duties on Sugars. With regard to the Coffee from Mysore, though the same Principle may apply, yet we have always considered that there was practically so little Distinction, if any, between those dependent States, entirely surrounded by the East India Company's Territories, and which were in fact almost, you may say actually, identified with the East India Company's Territories (for Mysore has no political Relations uncontrolled by the Company's Servants), that Coffee from thence should be imported as if the Produce of a British Possession. I should take, for Illustration, the Case of Pepper. At the Time there was a discriminating Duty upon Pepper, Pepper was exported from Alepe upon the Coast of Malabar, a Port of Travancore, subject to a Rajah, under the political Circumstances before stated. Application was made to the Treasury, I believe in the Year 1833, for the Purpose of obtaining Permission to have Pepper from Alepe introduced at the then low Duty of 1s.; and the Order from the Treasury, dated the 14th of December 1833, gave Permission for Pepper from Alepe to be imported into the United Kingdom on the same Terms as from a British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter, thereby in some Measure conceding that Principle upon Articles where there was not, as in the Case of Sugar, to my Mind at least, a clear Understanding that a Certificate should accompany the Boon of the Equalization of the Duty. In all other Cases I consider that dependent States should be considered as one Country.

251. Is there not, in point of fact, some Difference in the Provisions of the Act of Parliament which relate to Sugars and to Coffee; the Act of the Sixth and Seventh of William the Fourth requiring a Certificate of Origin from the Collector of the Customs in the District in which the Sugar is produced, and the Act of the Fifth and Sixth of William the Fourth, Chapter 66., requiring only a Declaration by the Shipper of the Cargo that the same was really and bona fide the Produce of some British Possession?

There is that Distinction; and I think that the Understanding of the Commercial Body was, that those dependent States should not be considered distinct, in this discriminating Duty, from British Possessions. I ground that very much upon the Decision with reference to Pepper; for I recollect at that Time making Application about the Pepper, and stating the entire Subserviency of the Rajah of Travancore to the British Authorities, and that Travancore ought to be considered as Part and Parcel of the British Possessions in India; as such a Treasury Order was granted, and Pepper was admitted as British, though the Produce of the Dominions of the Rajah of Travancore, from Alepe, the most convenient Port of Shipment from the Coast of Malabar; but, after a great deal of Negotiation, the Settlement of the Sugar Question was left on the Certificate of Origin,

(43.1.) D 3 .252. You

G. G. de H. Larpents Esq. 2d March 1840.

252. You have spoken of the Construction of the Act, of a more limited and a more enlarged Construction; have the Merchants, within your Knowledge, or has the East India Company, taken a legal Opinion in order to know what is the actual Meaning and Interpretation of the Act, by which, of course, the Government must be bound in carrying that Act into effect?

The Merchants have not, to my Knowledge.

The Witness is directed to withdraw,

J. C. Melvill, Esq. JAMES COSMO MELVILL Esquire is again called in, and further examined as follows:

253. ARE you aware whether the Government of the East India Company in India treat the States with which it has subsidiary Alliances, and which may be considered as in a Manner dependent upon it, as its own Subjects, freely admitting the Produce of those Countries?

They do.

254. Are there no internal Transit Duties whatever in India?

The Transit Duties are generally abolished. At Madras they are only in Process of Abolition; but, even when the Transit Duties existed, there was no higher Transit Duty in going into those States than there was in going into the different Parts of the Company's Territories.

255. Beyond the River Jumnah are there Countries with which we have subsidiary Alliances, which may be considered as dependent States?

No.

256. Are there any Duties upon the Importation into the Territories of Madras of any Goods the Produce of Mysore?

There are not.

I believe not.

257. Or from the Territories of the Guicowar into Bombay?

258. Or into the Territories of Bengal?.

259. Would any Sugars from Calcutta, whenever they might be introduced, in whatever Part of the adjacent Countries they might be produced by the Company's Authorities, be considered as entitled to that Certificate of Origin

which would bring them into this Country at the low Duties?

Certainly not, because the Certificate of Origin must be given by an Officer who is not to be found in Oude or in any of those Countries. In the Case of Coffee there was a Declaration on the Part of the Shipper that it was the Produce of Mysore, and the Question arose at the Custom House whether Mysore was a British Possession; a Reference was made to the East India Company upon that Subject, and they considered that, though technically the Property of the Rajah of Mysore, it was virtually a British Possession, the whole Civil and Military Government being in the Hands of the East India Company.

260. Can you state whether of the Sugar exported from Calcutta there is any Portion of it produced in Territories not entirely subject to the Company?

There is no Portion of the Sugar imported from Calcutta into England without a Certificate of Origin that it is the Produce of a British Possession.

261. Is there any Sugar brought into Calcutta which, if it could obtain such a Certificate of Origin, would be importable?

I cannot say; there is a very large internal Consumption of Sugar in India.

262. Is the raw Material brought any considerable Distance for the Purpose of Manufacture?

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

GEORGE G. DE H. LARPENT Esquire is again called in, and further examined as follows:

G. G. de H. Larpent,
Esq.

2d March 1840.

263. IN what Part of the Territories of Bengal is the Sugar Cane cultivated? It is one of the Cultivations of the Land through the whole of the upper Part of Bengal, and the Company's Territories there; but I would rather refer to any body who knows the Cultivation from having been there.

264. Has the Importation of Sugar from Bengal materially increased since

the Equalization of the Duty?

It has; the Increase has been very considerable from the Year 1836. In 1834, I see the Quantity was very little more than 3,800 Tons; in 1835, about 5,000 Tons; in 1836, about 7,600 Tons; in 1837, about 14,800 Tons; in 1838, upwards of 21,000 Tons; and in 1839, about 26,000 Tons. But I should say that this latter Importation has been accompanied by a very favourable State of Prices here, which probably will lead to a considerable Increase of Import; the Diminution in the general Supply of Sugar into this Market having raised the Price of all Sugars imported at the low Duty. In fact, with regard to the Sugar Trade, it may be observed, that some Years ago there was a Surplus of Sugar in the British Market, which was exported. During that Time, the Prices being lower here than they were upon the Continent, the Introduction of East Indian Sugar was, comparatively speaking, of little Benefit to us or to India. Now, however, Circumstances have completely changed; the Effect of the recent Measures in the British West Indies has been to diminish the general Supplies from 232,000 Casks in the Year 1835 down to 178,000 in the Year 1839, and there is no Surplus. The Prices have, therefore, considerably advanced, nearly 20 per Cent.; and they are considerably higher, it has been stated to me from 12s. to 15s. and more, than Sugars of similar Quality used on the Continent; therefore the Introduction of Indian Sugar operates, at present, beneficially both to the Producer and to the Consumer. With regard to the Sugar in India, I conceive that India itself being a great consuming Country, except when Prices here are high, we cannot expect to have, under the present State of Things in India, any very great Increase in the Import of Sugar; and as one Reason for stating this I will submit a Calculation which I have made with reference to Sugar. An Order was given for a certain Quantity of Sugar in the course of the last Year, and it was given at the Rate of Twelve Rupees for the Bazar Maund of 82lbs. for the First Quality, and so on in proportion; that Order could not be executed in Calcutta, for the Sugar was not to be obtained there at that Price. Now that Price would be, at the Rate of Freight of 51. per Ton, and the Exchange at 2s. 2d. per Company's Rupee, somewhere about 46s. per Cwt., without the Duty. Antecedent to the Rise in Prices in this Country, that Sugar was selling here, at the very highest, at 37s. to 38s. in Bond; it is now selling at about 48s.; consequently, had that Sugar been brought here at Twelve Rupees, it would have paid a Freight of 51. and left a moderate Profit to the Importer. posing it had been obtained by Contract up the Country at Two Rupees cheaper it would have produced a Profit, and given, as it was intended, for the dead Weight a fair Freight to the Ship; but the Advantage has been in the Rise of Price here, and proves, to my Mind, that the Export of India is very much dependent upon the State of Prices here, and that no very large Quantity (unless our Prices be high here) will come from India.

265. Do not you conceive it possible that the Production of Sugar in India may be made more profitable, and therefore more extended, by the Application

of more Capital in its Manufacture?

Unquestionably it may be; but I believe it will be the Work of Time. It is very difficult to introduce Capital as it is called hastily into India; for as to erecting Machinery, or laying out a large Sum of Money in expensive Machinery, I suspect that will not give a corresponding Benefit; it will be only by European Superintendence and Management, still taking the native Mode of working the Cane as the Basis of Operations, that any considerable Improvement in Quality can be obtained. We cannot, I suspect, lay out any very large Capital in Plants and Works in India, with any thing like a Return, the Rate of Interest of Money being so high. The Probability is, that we must work up the Materials we find there, and trust to European Skill and Vigilance to make them more productive. What more may be done hereafter I cannot state,

(43.1.) D 4 except

G. G. de H. Larpent, except from what may be anticipated upon general Principles, and unquestionably the Application of Capital and Skill, and particularly Skill, may reduce the Cost of Production; but up to the present Time the Price in India would not afford a Profit in this Country, unless the Prices here were as high as they are now, and those high Prices arise from the State of our Imports from the West Indies. It is only as it were a Substitute for that which we got from the West Indies that India Sugars have got into the Market to any great Extent.

266. Is not the Manufacture of Sugar in the East Indies, as compared with that in our improved Establishments in the West Indies, in a singularly rude

It is so; but I dare say the Committee will examine Persons who are practically acquainted with the Manufacture there, who will confirm what I have heard from all Parties, that it is not likely that any very large additional Capital in Machinery could be applied to Sugar with Benefit for some Time to come; it will be principally by bringing a large Quantity of Land under the same Cul-Heretofore Sugar has been one of the Crops taken from Land in Patches; the Cane has been brought by the Natives to the Works; but if any Individual can obtain an uniform Growth to any large Extent, and employ Machinery for the Improvement of the Process, he will make a better Sugar at a lower Cost; but still that is a Work of Time, and, in a Country like India, itself consuming Sugar very largely, I think it is borne out by the Prices that the Article bears in India, that whilst heretofore we have only got very little more than what sufficed for dead Weight for our Ships, the increased Amount of Importations has been occasioned, and is likely to continue, only in consequence of the Profit derived from high Prices arising from the State of the West Indies.

267. Has there not been a large Establishment, with improved Machinery upon the Model of some of the most improved Establishments of Planters in the West Indies, carried on in India in which Mr. Gladstone is concerned?

The Committee will have that Gentleman probably before them, who will be able to give that detailed Information; but I believe, from the Information that I can collect, that we must in India look chiefly to the Mode of working by the native Population; but still I speak with Diffidence, from not actually having been in the Country. I can only say that, up to the present Time, the high Price in India is indicative of no great Extent of Quantity being applicable to this Country.

268. Does the East Indian Sugar undergo the same Process of Refinement that the West Indian does?

It is altogether in a rude State; there is nothing like the Refinement that is carried on in the West Indies; but there is a Process in some Degree analogous, though in a ruder Mode.

269. Does it bear the same Price as the West India Sugar?

At the present Moment it bears a high Price. It is in Bond, according to Quality, at 50s. to 70s. per Hundred Weight, the Duty being 24s. At the present Moment Jamaica fine Sugar is 68s. to 70s.; the Mauritius is 59s. 6d. to 70s.; and the best Bengal Sugar is somewhere about 70s. to 71s. fine White Bengal, of which there is a small Quantity only, stands thus in the highest Class of Sugar; but the general Run of India Sugar is from 64s. to 66s. per Hundred Weight, and perhaps 2s. or 3s. lower than Jamaica Sugar.

270. What is the Difference between the Freight from Calcutta and the Freight from the West Indies?

I hardly know what the Freight from the West Indies is; our Freight may be considered as 51. for Sugar.

271. Would that be a fair practical Freight to put upon the Sugar, considering the Difficulty that there frequently is in getting dead Weight? Probably not; it so entirely depends on the Quantity of Shipping at the Time.

272. You can only get what is called a Dead Weight Freight for a very limited Quantity; if it were a Question of supplying this Country largely from the East Indies you must have Ships destined to carry Sugar as their general Cargo?

Yes; the West Indians send Ships for their Sugar; now we have never, , that

that I have ever heard, sent out Ships to bring home Sugar; I know of no such G.G. de H. Larpent, Instance.

2d March 1840.

273. You have said that Sugar is from 10s. to 15s. dearer here than on the Continent; from whence does that cheaper Sugar come which is sold on the Continent?

I believe from the Brazils, from Cuba and Porto Rico. There is no Question that the Cultivation of the fresh and fine Land in Cuba, in Porto Rico, and in Brazil has been accomplished by great Accessions to the Slave Population, and therefore I would submit that if we are driven as it were by the diminished Supply from the West Indies to a very high Price of Sugar, that in order to carry out the Principle upon which we have stood with regard to the Slave Trade, it is our bounden Duty in every way to encourage that next Source of Supply, which is from India. After the West Indies and the Mauritius, the Slave Colonies that have been under the Operation of the late Alterations, have given us all they can, our great Object should be India, and to avoid if possible, from any high Prices, having Recourse to Foreign Sugars, cultivated and forced upon fresh Lands by the Increase of the Slave Population.

274. The Sources of Importation that you have mentioned are all in the West Indies; are you aware whether there has been a considerably increased Quantity from Java and from Manilla?

I do not think very large; but I have not accurately the Figures.

275. You are not aware that since 1816 the Production of Sugar has increased Tenfold in Java; it having in 1816 sent to Holland 72,000 Peculs, and in the last Year as much as 960,000?

I was aware that there was an Increase, but I did not know it went to that Extent.

276. Do you know whether it can be produced much cheaper in Java and Manilla than in Bengal?

In Java it can be produced cheaply; but, at the same Time, Sugar is not the Staple of Java; Coffee is the Staple of that Island.

277. Is not the Manufacturer of Sugar in the East Indies, as compared with the Manufacturer of Sugar in the West Indies, under Circumstances of Disadvantage, inasmuch as he has no Means of finding a Market for his Molasses, and no Inducement to send Rum to this Country?

He has no Inducement to send Rum to this Country, and, in fact, the Use of his Rum in this Country is actually prohibited by the Rate of Duty. The West Indian Rum pays 9s. per Gallon, Proof Strength; the East Indian Rum, imported from the Mauritius with Certificate, pays the same Duty, and is in all respects on the same Footing as West India Rum. Now East India Rum pays 15s. per Gallon, which entirely excludes it from Home Use, and it is not allowed in any British Colony, except Canada, without an extra Duty; for this Reason, it cannot be mixed with the West India Rum for Home Consumption, or for Exportation to the Colonies. East India Rum is in its Quality more acid, probably from the Imperfection of the Process of distilling, but of course, as there is more Attention paid to the Manufacture of Sugar in India, that may be removed. It is, however, advantageous for what they call parting with West India Rum, for it adds Flavour, though it is deficient in Richness and Fullness of Quality, from the East India Sugar not being quite so strong as the West India Sugar. The Quantity has been increasing. There was, in 1836, 400 Puncheons introduced; in 1837, between 600 and 700; in 1838, 1,000; but every Gallon was exported; the 15s. Duty was actually prohibitory. Within the last Two Years East India Rum has been received by the Government Contract on the same Footing as West India Rum. On the Part of the East Indians I should say that Rum was a component Part of the Cane Manufacture, and that when Sugar was placed upon the same Footing in the East and West Indies, East India Rum should have been considered, as the Mauritius Rum has been, entitled to all similar Advantages.

278. In Value what Proportion do the Molasses and the Rum bear on a West Indian Estate to the Sugar?

It would require more practical Information of West India Estates than I possess to answer that.

(43.1.) E 279. If

G G.deH.Larpent,
Esq.
2d March 1840.

279. If at present it is as you imagine only the high Price of West Indian Sugar which induces the East Indian Manufacturer to import his Sugar into this Country, do you apprehend that if his Rum were admissible at an equal Duty he would send his Sugar to Market notwithstanding a Fall of Price?

I think the Introduction of Rum so as to bring it into Home Consumption is essentially necessary; that, in point of fact, the Exclusion of Rum from the Home Market makes the Cost of Sugar dearer, and, consequently, if I am right in my Views, that it is nothing but the high Price of Sugar here, from the diminished Quantity from the West Indies, that is likely to lead to any large Exportation of Sugar from the East Indies. It is very desirable to give the Benefit of the Home Market to all the Produce of the Cane.

280. Are you acquainted with the Manufacture of Spirits from Rice?
I only know of it as being a large Manufacture in India of Arrack; but I should hope the Difference in Quality of Arrack would enable the Customs to discriminate between the Two Classes of Spirits, if such be necessary.

281. Will you state what is the Value that the Two different Descriptions of

Spirits bear in the Indian Market?

I have not the Figures here to state what the Difference is, but my Impression is, that Rum from the Cane would be quite as cheap as Arrack from Rice; I should think cheaper.

282. Is it not cheaper, inasmuch as the Manufacturer of Sugar can find no Market at all for his Molasses?

283. Whereas he must pay a Price for the Rice? Yes.

284. Is there a Difficulty in distinguishing Rum from Arrack, or can it be made so to resemble the other as to make it difficult to distinguish them?

It is said that you can colour so as to be similar, but I should have thought the Flavour and Taste would be sufficient to distinguish them.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Wednesday next, Half past One o'Clock.

Die Mercurii, 4° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

GEORGE G. DE H. LARPENT Esquire was again called in, and further examined as follows:

285. WILL you have the goodness to state to the Committee how the Law stands as to the Importation of Tobacco from the East Indies and from other Countries, and the Effect which you apprehend the Law has upon the Importation from India?

British Plantation Tobacco pays 2s. 9d. per Pound Weight; all Tobacco, including British India Tobacco, pays 3s. The Distinction was made in 1825; it has become entirely inoperative as to the British Plantation in Canada, to which it was directed. By the Parliamentary Returns of 1836 I find Tobacco in Upper Canada quoted at the Price of 10d. to 1s. 3d. Export from Lower Canada in 1836 was 22,100 Pounds Weight, whilst the Import was 92,326. Practically, therefore, the Competition in the Article of Tobacco is between the United States of America and British India. Our Supply comes almost entirely from America. It is the Opinion of the India Trade, in which they are borne out by the Opinion of the principal Dealers in London, that the Reduction of 3d. in the Duty, the other Duty remaining 3s., would increase largely the Consumption of the East India Tobacco.

286. That is, if the Importation of Tobacco from the East Indies were placed upon the same Footing as the Tobacco from the Colonies?

Yes. In a Report of the Chamber of Commerce of Calcutta, dated April 1839, I find the following Paragraph:—"That the Fact of East India Tobacco having been used in England during the Scarcity of American Tobacco at the Difference of 3d. is a Proof that the Article needs only the Reduction of Duty to bring it into regular Consumption." The Distinction in Duty, being inoperative as to Canada, if given to a British Possession really bona fide a Tobacco-growing Country, might prove of great Importance to that Country. The Question, as I humbly conceive, is a Question of Relation of Duties upon the acknowledged Principle of Legis-Question of Relation of Duties upon the acknowledged Principle of Legislation, viz. Preference to British Colonies and Possessions. It is not one as to the absolute Amount of Duty, which I believe has been the Objection taken to any Change, namely, that it involves a Reduction of the Revenue, because it appears to us, that whatever Price you fix the Duty at for the Purposes of Revenue, say at 3s., though I should be reluctant to raise the Duty, which is now 600 per Cent. upon the Price of the Article,—yet if it were 3s. upon East India Tobacco, and 3s. 3d. or 3s. 6d. upon American Tobacco, East India Tobacco would find a Vent in this Market. It is a Question of Relation. You might preserve your Revenue by not allowing anybody to have Tobacco at a lower Cost as to Duty paid than 3s. But if you establish a Relation in any way between Indian and American Tobacco we should be enabled to introduce Tobacco. The Competitition is so great in this Country among the Manufacturers, that I have been assured but Yesterday, by a large Dealer, that almost a slight Difference would turn the Scale in the Employment of Tobacco from the East Indies; and I need not point out how extremely important it would be to a Country like India. There is another Subject connected with the Trade of Tobacco, which is that of Segars. East India Segars pay a Duty of 9s. per Pound Weight: Now there is no Distinction between them and the Segars imported from the Havannah, the Circumstances of which Colony as to its Slave Population are well known. The Duty is all but prohibitory as respects India. From the inferior Quality, (43.2.)

Evidence on the Petition of the East India Company tor Relief.

G. G. de H. Larpent, Esq.

4th March 1840.

Esq. 4th March 1840.

G. G. de H. Larpent, none are introduced paying a Duty, but a very considerable Quantity is introduced by smuggling. There is no Doubt that upon Application to the Revenue Officers it will be found that there is a very large Quantity smuggled. It is an inferior Segar, I am aware; but if the Duty were reduced, I am informed that there would be a considerable Consumption, and in all probability it would put an end to smuggling. The smuggling is carried on probably from the Ships arriving from India, and the Ingenuity in smuggling in this Article is quite proverbial with the Custom House.

287. You have stated that the Distinction in the Duty was made in the Year 1825. Before that Year were the Duties equal upon all Tobacco, both British and Foreign?

They were.

288. What is the Difference of the Freight from that Part of America in which the Tobacco is produced and from India?

The American Freights vary on Tobacco from 30s. to 70s. per Hogshead, or 40s, to 90s. per Ton of Fifty Cubic Feet.

289. What is the East India Freight?

It entirely depends upon the Quantity of Shipping there. About 51. have known it as high as 6l. 10s., and as low as 3l. 10s. per Ton. It fluctuates very much, in consequence of the Variation in the Quantity of Shipping.

290. Is there any Difference in the Freights of Tobacco as compared with other Goods?

None. It is all arranged according to the Mode in which it is packed and the Space it occupies; but I have little Experience of that, as we have none from India, and so little has been imported. With regard to the West India Freights, of which your Lordships asked me last Time I was examined, I have now ascertained what they are: West India Freights from Antigua, Trinidad, and Saint Vincent, are 4l. per Ton; from Saint Kitt's, where the Tonnage Duties are very heavy, the Freight is 4s. 6d. per Hundred Weight, or 4l. 10s. per Ton; Jamaica 51.; Demerara it is rather lower, the Average being 31. 10s. to 4l. per Ton.

291. Do you happen to know what is the Freight from the United States? The Freights from the United States on Cotton vary from One Half to Seven Eighths per Pound, or 40s. to 70s. per Ton; and on Tobacco 40s. to 90s. per Ton of Fifty Cubic Feet. But I do not speak from actual Knowledge.

292. Is not the Quality of the East India Tobacco very much inferior to

the Quality from the United States?

It is inferior; it is of a sour Taste; but it is to be hoped that by Encourage. ment being afforded we should be able to improve it, and adapt it to the English Market.

293. Are you aware whether the Cultivation of Tobacco in India has been carried to a great Extent?

The Tobacco Plant is very generally cultivated throughout India.

294. Have you ever understood that there is any Reason to suppose that Tobacco could not be produced in the East Indies of as good a Quality as that which we derive from the United States?

None whatever, as far as in a general Inference can be drawn, except from those Impediments under which India may labour in its social State.

295. Nothing in the Nature of the Soil?

Nothing. Considering the immense Surface over which the Cultivation of India extends, I have no Doubt that the Tobacco Plant, for it is a common Plant, and the Price there is low, only needs the English Market to afford an Encouragement to improve it.

296. When you state the Freights from India for Tobacco or Sugar, is that upon the Presumption that those bulky Articles are merely as a Ballast to the Ship, or do you suppose that that would be the Freight supposing the Articlès

Articles to be introduced into England to such an Extent as to make it G. G. de H. Larpent, necessary to send out Shipping on purpose for those Articles?

Esq.

4th March 1840.

I think I directed the Attention of the Committee on Monday to that very Circumstance. No Case has yet occurred in which we have sent out a Ship for that Purpose, and therefore hitherto the Quantity of Sugar imported has been applied to the Purpose of dead Weight, and the Freight is regulated by that Circumstance. The Time may come when there will be so large a Quantity imported that we shall be obliged to send out Tonnage. There might then be a Difference made in the Freights for the Conveyance of it.

297. Could you at all conjecture at what Rate of Freight the Ship Owners of this Country could afford to bring those bulky Articles from India, supposing the Trade to be extended considerably beyond that Amount which

would come as dead Weight?

I should not think, from the great Concourse of Shipping to India for all Purposes, and the large internal Trade of India, and the Trade also with New South Wales, and the Number of Vessels that go to that Quarter, and the large Vessels that constantly arrive in different Parts of India, unless the Quantity became quite overwhelming, that for a long Time there would be any material Alteration in the Rate of Freight, and that Shipping and Tonnage would be abundant at very moderate Terms for many Years. The Difficulty hitherto has been that there has been an Excess of Tonnage for India.

298. You are aware that the Cost of Freight, under the Circumstances that you state the Trade now to be in, viz., that those Articles are merely brought as Ballast, is no Criterion of what the Freight would be on an extensive Trade?

. In different Circumstances of course it would not be a Criterion.

299. Did you ever hear that for the Purpose of making up the dead Weight in the Manner you have mentioned even some Stone Granite has come from

China to be used for the Pavement of the Streets of London?

I perfectly recollect it; and still more, with regard to India, I myself, instead of bringing home dead Weight, before the Alteration in the Act as to Sugar with regard to the Equalization of the Duties, should have preferred having Stone, if it could have been brought, to bringing any thing upon which there would be a Probability of Loss, but Stones were not to be obtained in Bengal.

300. Is there not every Year a considerable Quantity of Tonnage sent to India for which there is no Return; for instance, all the Tonnage which carries the Military Stores of the East India Company, and all that still larger Tonnage which carries out European Recruits and Reliefs?

Yes.

301. Are the Articles exported to India more bulky than the Articles

imported from India?

The manufactured Goods, Hardware excepted, of course occupy a very small Portion of the Tonnage of the Vessel outwards; in fact the chief Profit is in the homeward Cargo. The Shipping to India is scarcely profitable; the Passengers pay the best, but it is to the Return Freight that the Ship Owners look as far as Goods are concerned.

302. Therefore there is a reasonable Prospect that there will be generally a Return Tonnage from India which might be filled with Sugar without any Increase of Freight?

I should think so; I have no Fear of any excessive Freight in India.

303. Suppose the Trade to continue as it now stands; are you decidedly of opinion that the Difference of Duty, supposing it to be regulated in the Manner you propose, would not afford a sufficient Inducement for the American Tobacco to go out to India for Re-importation into England?

I should think not, certainly.

304. When you speak of the possible Necessity hereafter of sending out Tonnage for bringing home Tobacco, do you mean sending out Ships in Ballast?

Of course; getting here, however, all the Freight they could. That is the (43.2.) E 3

G. G. de H. Larpent, Case with the West Indians at the present Moment; that Trade is carried on by sending out Ships purposely under Contract.

4th March 1840.

305. That would very nearly double the Freight, would it not?
The Freight from the West Indies is very nearly equal to the Freight from the East Indies as dead Weight.

306. Would the Freight be altered if Steam Navigation were made use of instead of sailing Ships?

That I am scarcely able to answer; it is the large Space occupied by the Coals in Steam Vessels that makes it so difficult to use them in long Voyages.

307. Has that been a Matter of Speculation at all with respect to the'

Not as yet. There has been a Vessel sent out to try how far Steam may be made consistent with sailing, but the first Result was not very satisfactory. I still entertain Hopes that this may lead to some Improvement upon the Conveyance round the Cape of Good Hope.

308. Some Accident happened to the Machinery, did it not? Yes.

309. Do you think it might be made applicable for the Transport of Goods?

It might shorten the Voyage, without occupying too much of the Tonnage of the Vessel to make it very expensive.

310. Is it your Opinion that the Encouragement you have suggested would be sufficient to open a fair Field for the Employment of British Capital in India in the Cultivation of Tobacco?

It is.

311. Would India be able to afford a large Supply? To any Extent.

312. From all the Provinces?

From the Peninsula of India; it is the common Growth in all those Countries. I was asked, in my last Examination, about Java Sugar. I have since obtained all the Information that I think may be interesting upon the Subject; and it is very interesting, certainly. In the Year 1832, it appears by some Parliamentary Returns, the Export of Sugar from the Island of Java amounted to about 12,000 Tons. In 1837 the Quantity imported into Holland was to the same Amount, Sugar being probably sent also to other Parts. In 1838 I find the Import into Holland was 20,000 Tons, and in 1839 the Import is not less than 25,000 Tons. By the Information I have received I find that the Dutch Authorities have made Contracts with several Proprietors of Land in Java for the Delivery of Sugar at remunerative Prices. The Prices of Sugar in Amsterdam, and generally upon the Continent, bear out the Statement I made on Monday, they being 15s. per Hundred Weight lower than those of Sugar in this Country; that is to say, that on the Continent they are eating their Sugar 15s. to 20s. per Hundred Weight cheaper than we are here.

313. What Per-centage is that upon the Price? The Duty is 24s., and the Price 70s.

314. You state that upon the Continent, on an Average, they are consuming Sugar with a Benefit in point of Price of 15s., at the same Time that the Importations from Java are very largely increased; is not that a Proof of the Tendency of the Supply of Sugar to come from the East, and to beat out the West Indian planting of Sugar?

It shows what Efforts have been made to increase the Cultivation of Sugar in Java; and if corresponding Efforts be made in India I have no Doubt that the aggregate Quantity of Sugar for the Consumption of Europe would be very largely increased.

315. Have you any Idea what the Price of Labour is in Java? It is very low, and it is a very rich Island.

316. Is

316. Is the Price of Labour in Java as low as in India? I can scarcely speak of my own Knowledge.

G G. de H. Larpent,
Esq.

4th March 1840.

317. Do you know what it is?

I do not. Information is to be had upon the Subject from those who have been there. It is a most flourishing Colony; a great Rival to British India in that respect.

318. Do you think it possible, supposing Encouragement were given to supply Europe from the East Indies, considering the low Price of Labour both in Bengal and in Java, that the Islands in the West Indies, where from 1s. 6d. to 2s. is paying for Negro Labour, can ever for any Time supply the Consumption of Europe with Sugar?

Perhaps it is difficult to answer that Question in any other Way than to say, that I should be afraid if I were a West India Proprietor that it would

not.

319. Would not a great Diminution in the Price of Sugar possibly have a

material Effect upon the comparative Consumption of Coffee and Tea?

I have no Doubt whatever that with reference to Coffee it would, because Coffee would require a very considerable Quantity of Sugar, and I believe the Use of Coffee has been materially affected by the high Price of Sugar. With reference to Tea, the lower Classes can drink that with Sugar of coarse Quality, and very little. But I am sure with regard to Coffee, such is the Taste of this Country, that they will not drink it unless there is a considerable Portion of Sugar, and I believe that has materially affected the Consumption of Coffee. I am now anxious to supply something that I was unable to give on Monday. I stated, with reference to Bombay, that a very long Time must elapse before Bombay could become an exporting Country under the Condition of its prohibiting the Import of Sugar. My Ground for saying so was, what I recollected in the Parliamentary Evidence given in the Year 1831 and 1832, in which I find the Substance of the Evidence to be to this Effect: "that the Soil and Climate of Bombay and Madras are suited to the Growth of the Sugar Cane, but no Sugar is produced for Export. In Bombay the Sugar Cane is grown in almost every Village, but it is not made into Sugar; it is imported from Bengal, China, Manilla, Mauritius, and occasionally Java, The Sugar Cane is common in Coimbatoor." That is the South-west Part of the Deccan. I find this in the Digest of the Evidence, Appendix No. 2., Finance and Commerce, by the Committee of the Commons, and that was the Ground of my Observation. But in order to show to your Lordships the Feeling in Bombay upon the Subject, I wish to be permitted to read Extracts from the Reports of the Chamber of Commerce of Bombay of 1837 and 1838. In the first, with reference to the Article of Sugar, there is this Observation, showing that it has attracted a great deal of the Attention of the Commercial Public; the Article is under the Head of "Sugar:"—"The increasing Importance of the Manufacture of this Staple in Western India is daily evincing itself; and the Committee's Correspondence with the Revenue Commissioner and others on this interesting Subject will abundantly manifest, that neither the Government, its public Servants, nor your Committee, are indifferent to its Encouragement. Several splendid Samples of the Mauritius Cane grown in different Districts of the Presidency have been received from the Revenue Commissioner, whose enlightened Suggestions for the Erection of public Sugar Mills (to be placed under the Superintendence of competent Persons) in the Districts where the Mauritius Cane is extensively cultivated have been laudably sanctioned by Government. Under such a State of Circumstances your Committee are led to hope, with some degree of Confidence, that the Day is not distant when the Restriction which is now suspended over Sugar manufactured within this Presidency will be removed." And in the Report of the following Year these Remarks are made under the Head of "Sugar:"—"The Committee have much Pleasure in referring the Chamber to the various Communications received by them on this Subject, especially those from the Revenue Commissioner, forwarding Reports from Dr. Gibson, in charge of the Government Nursery Gardens in the Deccan, as bearing Evidence of a considerable Advance being made towards the Improvement of the Quality and Augmentation of the Production of Sugar in the Districts, of this Presidency. The deep Interest (43.2.)manifested

4th March 1840.

G. G. de H. Larpent, manifested in these Objects by this Government, the Zeal and Energy evinced by the Revenue Commissioner and the local Revenue Authorities, in encouraging and aiding the Ryots to cultivate the Mauritius which is fast superraging and along the Hyots to Culture the Natives instructed in superior seding the Country Cane, and in having the Natives instructed in superior Modes of Manufacture,—the Willingness evinced by the latter to adopt these Improvements, Proofs of the practical Advantages of which are presented to them in the Results of Experiments in the Culture and Manufacture, conducted with equal Skill and Perseverance, by Dr. Gibson,—all have tended to produce the Effect above noticed, and justify a Hope that the Time is not far distant when Sugar may be expected to become a large and valuable Addition to the exportable Products of these Territories." I should also state that it so happened that I was appointed the Agent here of a Sugar Company in Bombay with a moderate Capital, with a view of trying the Experiment in the Deccan of the Cultivation of the Mauritius Cane under European Inspection, and that there was sent out in August 1839 a Sugar Mill for that Purpose. I think all this tends to show the strong Feeling that exists, even in that Presidency of India which has been hitherto an importing Country of Sugar, to obtain the Advantages of the Home Market for the Export of that which is so common as to be grown in every Village in the Country.

> 320. Do you know whether any Attempt has ever been made by the East Indies to compete with the Java Sugar in the Markets of Europe; in Germany, for instance?

> I think I explained on Monday, that before the Equalization of the Duties there was a Surplus from our own Possessions entitled to come in at the low Duty to this Country, consequently there was no Advantage in bringing Sugar from the East Indies to this Country, where there was a Surplus which was exported, and in the Markets abroad at that Time they met with Foreign Sugar from all the Slave Colonies, Cuba, Brazil, &c., which were at a lower Cost, or at quite as low a Cost. It is totally the reverse now, inasmuch as in consequence of what has taken place in the West Indies there is a diminished Supply to this Country, and it is now that it tells so much in bringing Sugar from the East Indies; the Price has got up to the Extent of 10s. or 12s. per Hundred Weight, which has enabled the Manufacturer of India to export Sugar under the equal Duty at a considerable Profit, to supply the Gap occasioned by the diminished Quantity coming from the West Indies.

321. When you spoke of Sugar being 15s. cheaper on the Continent than it

is in this Country, do you mean exclusive of Duty?

Without Duty on either Side. I take the Java Sugar in Bond here, and the Java Sugar in Bond at Amsterdam. They can consume Sugar there at 15s. per Hundred Weight less Price than we can consume the same Qualities in this Country, without reference to Duty.

322. Can you state what is the Duty on Sugar in Germany? I could not state that. I will get the Information, if your Lordships wish it.

323. Do you know whether practically the Sugar is drunk by the People on the Continent at a cheaper Rate than it is by the People of this Country; is their Duty lower than ours?

I cannot speak as to that.

324. When you say 15s. upon the Price without Duty, it would be the Difference of 15s. upon 25s.

Yes, upon whatever the Price may be less Duty.

325. Then, supposing it 25s. there, it would be 40s. here?

Yes. The Price of the best Sugar here is 70s.; that is the highest Price; take 24s. from it; that leaves 46s., the Price of our Sugars; whilst those on the Continent would be 30s., both irrespective of Duties.

326. If any great Improvement was given to the Cultivation of Sugar, should you look to the Bast Indies being able to supply any Portion of Europe?

I should not, as long as I find the immense Quantity annually increasing from Cuba, from the Brazils, and from those Colonies where they are enabled, by introducing an increased Number o Slaves, to open fresh and rich Land, and thereby to produce Sugar to a very great Extent. It is to that Cause, and

the

the Increase of Quantity from Java, that I attribute the low Price on the G.G. de H. Larpent, Continent.

327. Then you would look to England alone to increase the Exportation of 4th March 1840. Sugar from the East Indies?

I should at present.

.328. Do you know whether the Sugar in Java is made by Machinery, or in the rude Way in which it is made in India?

I presume in a Manner somewhat similar to that in India, but I cannot speak

to actual Knowledge.

329. How is it made in Cuba?

There they have all the Advantage of Machinery and immense Capital from the large Profits that have been recently made.

330. Can you calculate to what Extent the Price would be affected by the improved Machinery?

I have no Means of making that Calculation; and, as I said before, if we attempt to introduce any very expensive Machinery into India I think it will fail, and the Return not be adequate to the Outlay of Capital. We must follow the Native Mode of making the Sugar, improved by European Inspection and Skill, to bring in an Article adapted to this Country; but if we lay out a large Capital in Machinery in India I think it will not answer.

331. The East Indian Sugar is never refined in this Country; it is not made

into Loaves, is it?

No; it wants Strength or Grain. It is not used by the Refiners, but sold to the Grocers. I am not sufficiently practically acquainted with the Use of it, but I understand it wants Strength for our Refinery.

· 332. Have you read the Petition of the East India Company? I have.

333. As it respects the Article of Sugar, what is it that the Government could do, in compliance with the Wishes of the East India Trade, with respect

to the Article of Sugar; what does the East India Trade want?

The East India Trade wants, if I may be allowed to use the Expression, an Alteration made in the Act of Parliament, which, as I stated on Monday, renders it imperative, in the first instance, to prohibit the Import of Foreign Sugar into the Presidencies of India before they are entitled to the Benefit of the Equalization of the Duties. For instance, if Madras be prevented (which Presidency is now applying to be admitted to the equal Duties) from receiving the Benefit of the Equalization of the Duties because it does not prohibit the Importation of Sugar into its Territories from its dependent State Mysore (if there be any Sugar made there), the Case will be considered very hard. All Transit Duties are about to be abolished in that Presidency; the Attempt is to make the whole Peninsula as near as possible one Country, and by-and-by the same will be applicable to Bombay, as Hopes are entertained of that becoming hereafter an exporting Country. The Objection is to the Mode in which this is to be declared, by Reference to the Privy Council, and in its being necessary to show Proof that all Sugars are prohibited, not only those which are commonly supposed to be understood by that Prohibition, namely, Sea-borne Sugars from Java, Siam, and Cochin China, Manilla, &c., but also Sugars manufactured in Oude or Travancore. That that might embarrass the Question so much, adverse Interests being concerned, that it might render it extremely difficult for those Presidencies to obtain the Boon. That is the principal Ground upon which they rest their Complaint.

334. Then you want Power given to the Government of India to judge the Case where the Prohibition has been made, so as to empower you to import your Sugars into England, and that you should not be delayed in getting that Power from England?

That is what we want; and I beg to say that the Question of Spirits or Rum is connected, and forms Part and Parcel of our Complaint; because, unless we have a Market for that which is a component Part of the Produce of the Cane, Sugars from India will become dearer to the Consumer, or less profitable to the Indian Manufacturer.

335. You (43.2.) \mathbf{F}

G. G. de H. Larpent,

4th March 1840.

335. You are aware that the West India Colonies sending us their Sugars are prohibited from importing?

Yes.

336. Would it be fair to put them under the Disadvantage of leaving them

subject to the Prohibition, while it would be taken away in India?

Certainly not; I never for One Moment wished to place ourselves in a better Situation than the British West Indies; and when the Proposition was originally adopted, of making it a Condition of the Supply from India (with an Equalization of the Duties) that the Importation of Sugars into India should be prohibited in a Manner analogous to the Law existing in the British West Indies, we conceived it to be perfectly fair and just. It is only the Fear we have, that by assuming that the Sugars which are grown in the dependent States of India are Foreign Sugars that we apprehend we might be deprived, for Madras soon, and for Bombay hereafter, of that great Benefit. Bengal has got it, and has prohibited the Introduction of Foreign Sugars. Madras has applied for it, and hopes to obtain it; but if the Difficulty be raised in the Privy Council in consequence of Sugar being introduced from these dependent States, although there is in fact no longer any Frontier, and although the Object of the Government of India has been to remove all Impediments to the Transit of Produce throughout India, and this be considered a Violation of the Compact with the West Indies, then it will be a serious Injury to British India; but we never supposed that for Water-borne Sugars there was to be other than a strict Prohibition.

337. Then the Committee are to understand that the Traders to India are satisfied with a strict Prohibition in the Importation of Sugars not grown within the great Peninsula of India, but that they wish for an entire Freedom of Communication between the different Parts of the Peninsula, without reference to whether they are strictly British or tributary or subsidiary States; without reference, in fact, to their political Condition?

The Question has expressed exactly what I mean.

338. You state that Bombay cannot suffer Importation to be prohibited, because it does not produce sufficient for its own Consumption; is Bombay content to get Sugar from any other Parts but British Possessions in India, or

does it want to import from Foreign States?

It wants to import from Foreign States; it imports largely from China and Manilla, and Siam, and Cochin China; in short, it is a Country which at this Moment is importing Sugar from all Parts; it is therefore prospective with regard to Bombay. But my Object was to qualify what I stated on the First Day of my Examination, knowing the Feelings of the Parties in Bombay, and that they are looking forward to be placed ultimately, though it may be some Time hence, in the same Category as Madras and Bengal.

339. Then are the Committee to understand that at present, at least, you apprehend that no Relief can be given to Bombay in that Manner; that

Bombay, importing Sugar from other Parts, is not entitled to Relief?

Undoubtedly Bombay strictly must comply with the Condition on which the Sugars were placed upon an equal Footing; namely, that as the West Indies are bound not to import Foreign Sugars, so should the East Indies not be allowed to do so when they have the Benefit. But the Question turns upon the Word We contend, with Submission, that all India is our Country at the present Moment; and as we have been attempting to knock down all the Barriers, and get rid of all the Transit Duties, we say, Do not let us be affected by hostile Interests; that because there may be Sugars from dependent States they should be considered Foreign, and therefore we should be excluded from the Boon.

340. How would you meet this Difficulty: Bombay is an Importer of Sugars from every Place; you could not permit a free Intercourse between Bombay and Calcutta?

Not in Sugars, certainly not.

341. Therefore you would be obliged to restrain that Part of the Commerce hetween British India which now exists?

Confining it to Water-borne; the whole Object is to confine it to Sea-borne

Sugars.

342. But you could not permit Sea-borne Sugar to go from Bombay to G. G. de H. Larpent, Calcutta?

4th March 1840.

Certainly not; all Sea-borne Sugar is to be prohibited. All I ask is, that there is not to be a Difficulty raised before the Privy Council upon the Technicality that it is Foreign Sugar when it comes from any of the dependent States to Madras. Make it the Rule with respect to Sea-borne Sugar, but do not let us be impeded in getting the Boon we ask because there may be Sugar brought from dependent States by Land.

343. Do you conceive it possible that Sugar may go by Land to any Port having the Power of Exportation?

Certainly not; it would be impracticable.

344. What is the Position of Madras with respect to the Consumption and Importation of Sugar?

Madras has prohibited the Importation of Foreign Sugar from June next, and has made Application to be permitted to export.

345. If Sugar could be produced to any Extent, and cheaply, in the Bengal Territories, might not the Consumption of the Bombay Territories be confined to that which may be produced by Bengal; could there not be an absolute Prohibition of Foreign Imports of Sugar, that from Bengal being permitted?

At the present Moment my Opinion is, that it would be detrimental to Bombay to attempt to fulfil that Condition. As an Emporium of Sugar it now receives Sugar, and afterwards distributes it, and at the present Moment it would not be beneficial to prohibit Importation; but the Time may come, and it is a Time which the Parties in Bombay are sanguine enough to look forward to, and therefore I thought it better to state their Case prospectively.

346. But Sugar imported from Calcutta and placed in Bond would not be considered as imported into the Bombay Territory, and that Power might still remain of making Bombay the Emporium?

There is no Bonding System in Bombay.

347. If that Prohibition was attempted at present would it subject Bombay to pay a higher Price?

Certainly; it would be detrimental to Bombay.

348. The Difference not being considerable between the Freight from Bombay and Calcutta and the Freight from those Parts of America in which the Cotton is produced, can you explain why there exists in India a Custom of subjecting Cotton shipped to Europe to so great a Pressure as materially to injure the Fibre and the Quality of the Cotton, and to diminish its Value?

injure the Fibre and the Quality of the Cotton, and to diminish its Value?

The Bengal Cotton is screwed to the Density of 1,517 Pounds Weight of net Cotton, besides packing Materials, into a Ton of Fifty Cubic Feet; the Bombay Cotton is screwed to 1,312 Pounds Weight of net Cotton in the same Space; the American Cotton is pressed to about 700 Pounds Weight net Cotton to the Ton of Fifty Cubic Feet; the New Orleans Cotton is pressed to 844 Pounds Weight of net Cotton. An Experiment was tried, I believe at the Instance of Lord Ellenborough, when he was at the Board of Control, in 1830, of a Shipment per Elizabeth in July 1831. The Report of the Court of Directors to the Bombay Government, I think, is given in March 1832. The principal Parties consulted here acquainted with the Cotton Trade state, that if the Cotton were dry and clean it would not suffer from the Screw, and that in fact it is rendered less subject to be affected by the Atmosphere. But the sending a Parcel of Cotton not screwed by that Ship was not attended by sufficient Advantage, or even by any Advantage, with reference to its Quality, at all adequate to compensate for the Difference of Freight.

349. Was that clean Cotton?

No; it was not very well cleaned. The Freight of that Cotton made the Operation a profitable one, for I think the Freight was 51. 15s. 6d. only. It was at the Time when they had an Opportunity of shipping the Cotton at a very low Rate of Freight, and therefore it was a beneficial Operation. Had the Freight been the usual Freight it would have been a very losing one.

(43.2.) F 2 350. What

G. G. de H. Larpent, Esq.

4th March 1840. as t

350. What is the Difference of Freight between the pressed and unpressed Cotton; the pressed Cotton takes rather less than One Half of the same Room

as the unpressed Cotton?

The Freight is calculated at Fifty Cubic Feet to the Ton; it is the Space that it occupies; and if the Cotton occupies in one Case 1,312 Pounds Weight of net Cotton, and in the other Case 700 only, it will be nearly double Freight on the latter.

351. In reference to that particular Freight of Cotton, you said that that was brought here at the low Rate of 5l. 15s. 6d. a Ton. Did you not state that the usual Average was about 5l.?

This was about the Year 1830.

352. You consider that the usual Average now is about 51.?

Yes. This was an Operation of the East India Company in the Year 1830, shipped by their own Officers, under the Direction of the Board of Control.

353. Is the Weight never calculated in charging the Freight?

No; it is always by Measurement.

354. Do you happen to know to what Extent they press the Smyrna Cottons?

No, I do not.

355. Are you aware, from your Acquaintance with the India Trade, that any thing can be done by the Government or the Legislature to aid or assist in the Improvement of the Trade in Raw Cotton?

Nothing, unless we branch out into another Consideration, namely, the Mode of Agriculture in India, and the Taxation of our Indian Subjects, which

are perhaps foreign to the immediate Objects of this Committee.

356. Those are Remedies which the Indian Company has Power to apply,

and does not want the Aid of Parliament to enable them to do so?

No; and those Remedies, as far as my limited Knowledge goes, they seem most desirous to apply in every way. The Letter of Lord Auckland which has been published, and the whole Stream of Instructions sent by the Board of Control and by the East India Company for a Series of Years, have had for their Object to improve the Cultivation of Cotton, and to bring it into this Country for the Use of our Manufactures. It is remarkable that America and the East Indies started about the same Time to supply Cotton for our Manufactures; the Americans have entirely beaten us in the Supply of the Article; and it is a Matter of considerable Interest to ascertain the Causes of that, and whether they lie in India itself, and the Nature and Character of the Inhabitants of India, compared with the superior mental Advantages, and the Capital, Industry, and Skill of the United States of America, which have been brought to bear in an unprecedented Manner, assisted by Steam, and the great Power of inland Communication in bringing the Cotton from all Parts of America; it becomes a Matter of very curious Speculation, both those Countries starting at about the same Time, and America having so completely beaten us in the Race.

357. What is the Reason of your persevering in packing Cotton in the

Manner you have mentioned?

The screwing is not disadvantageous. The Result of that Experiment was, that there was not, from the Pressure being less, any Advantage derived in the Quality of the Cotton when it arrived in this Country at all compensatory of the greater Expense of the Freight. The Result of the Experiment was in favour of continuing the Screw, and it has been continued and will be continued. I apprehend it is quite impossible to import it without the Screw.

358. Do you know why the Americans do not screw their Cotton to such an Extent?

They bring it within the reasonable Compass of 700 and 800, and with no Inconvenience, and that enables them to bring it at a moderate Freight.

359. If the American was to screw the Cotton in the same Proportion it would reduce by One Half the Freight, would it not?

Better Information than mine may be obtained as to the Mode in which the Americans

Americans load their Ships. As to the Freight, I suspect that they understand G.G. de H. Larpent, it very well, and bring it as cheap as possible.

4th March 1840,

360. The Americans clean the Cotton before they pack it, do they not? Their Cotton is a great deal cleaner than Indian Cotton; and a great deal of the Attempt to improve Indian Cotton has been, in the Mode of cleaning it, by Machinery which has been sent out. A Premium for the Purpose of finding the best Machine for cleaning Cotton was thought expedient, and the East India Company gave 100l. for that Purpose. A Machine has been made, and sent out by the Chamber of Commerce at Glasgow for that Purpose, of which great Hopes are entertained; something superior to the common Churka of India, but not like the Saw Gin, which has injured the Cotton in cutting it a great deal. All that is stated in Lord Auckland's Letter.

361. Do you consider that any Alteration could be made in the Mode of assessing the Rate upon cultivated Land in India which would be attended with the Effect of improving the Cultivation of Cotton?

I can hardly consider myself competent to answer the Question; it requires a

local Knowledge of India.

362. Do you apprehend that if the Duty in this Country on the Importation of manufactured Cotton Goods from India was reduced to the Amount that it stands at when Goods are sent from this Country to India, viz., Three and a Half per Cent., there would be any Reason to expect any considerable Importation

of Cottons from the Territories of the East India Company?

I certainly do not; for in point of fact Bengal Cotton Piece Goods have ceased to be an Article either for Home Consumption or for Export, our own Manufactures having superseded them in all the Markets to which they were formerly sent. . Madras Cotton Goods, consisting of Salampores and Madras Handkerchiefs, are not at all used in this Country; except a few Bales of fine Longcloths and a few Madras Handkerchiefs, too trivial to notice, they are exported from thence to our various Possessions in the West Indies, and whereever there is a Black Population, for whose Use they are destined. England the Duty upon Indian Cotton manufactured Goods is Ten per Cent. and British Manufactures are admitted into British India at Three and a Half per Cent.; and therefore it is a Matter of Feeling rather more than one that would have any beneficial Effect upon the Trade, but as long as it exists it is pointed at as an Inequality in the Duty which the Legislature has imposed upon the Article, and, as one, I would venture to submit that it had better be withdrawn; no practical Evil can result from it, and it will be beneficial as a Matter of Feeling.

363. In what Manner would you propose to do that; would you do that by increasing the Duty upon English Cotton in India, or reducing the Duty upon Indian Cottons imported?

Not by increasing the Duty upon Cotton Goods in India, where in fact their Cheapness has been the great Cause of their Consumption.

364. Then you would do it by reducing the Ten per Cent. Duty? Yes.

365. With regard to the Cost at which Cotton Goods are produced in India, do you believe that such a Reduction as that would produce any Importations into this Country?

None whatever.

366. Do you apprehend that the Duty of Twenty per Cent. upon the Importation of Silk Goods from India materially diminishes the Importation, and absolutely prevents the Importation, of many Articles which might at a lower

Duty be imported advantageously?

I am of that opinion certainly, and if the Duty were reduced I entertain no. Doubt that the Home Consumption would be materially increased, and the I allude chiefly to the Article of Corah, which is a white Trade benefited. Silk Handkerchief not printed,—in fact the raw Material for our Manufacture, inasmuch as it is to be printed here. In 1838 the Quantity of Corahs delivered F 3 (43.2.)

G.G. de H. Larpent, for Home Consumption was 16,000 Pieces only, whereas the Amount exported was 310,000 Pieces. In 1839 the Quantity entered for Home Consumption was 38,000, and the Export was 352,000.

367. Where did the Exports go to? .

To the Continent of Europe. I confess that I am unable to account for this, except that it is attributable to the Duty, which amounts to from 3s. to 4s. upon every Piece of those Goods, the Price of those Goods being about 15s. to 18s.

368. Is there a Drawback on Export to the Continent?

They are exported in Bond, and the Difference is 3s. to 4s. upon an Article of 15s. or 16s., which in fact limits the Importation very strictly. I can state for myself, that we made an Attempt in 1830, upon rather a large Scale, to print in India, by sending Blocks from this Country to adapt the Handkerchiefs to British Taste, but it failed, and I consider myself that it failed from the Difficulty of introducing the Article for Home Consumption in consequence of the Duty; they were exported to all Parts, to Gibraltar, to Constantinople, and to different Parts of the Continent, wherever we could export them; but the Difficulty was to find a Market in this Country, though great Pains were taken to adapt the Printing, by Blocks that we ourselves sent out, to the Taste of the English Markets.

369. You say they are printed in this Country?

The Corah is an Article unprinted. In the Case which I mentioned we wished to print them in India.

370. They are at present printed in this Country?
When the Corahs are brought into this Country they are either used unprinted or are printed here.

371. They cannot be printed here, and then exported?

No; they are allowed to be brought to this Country in Bond, and exported in Bond, of course.*

372. So that they are exported in the same State as they arrive in? Yes.

373. They cannot be exported upon a Drawback?

They cannot be exported upon a Drawback; they are entered for Bond, and exported; and therefore, as far as Printing goes, if used not in their White State the Benefit of Printing is on the Continent. The extra increasing Demand for the Article of Corahs in all the Foreign Markets, wherever they have been introduced, fairly leads us to infer that the Consumption would be increased in this Country if the Duty were reduced. I have a Statement of the total Importation of Bandannoes and Choppahs (those are the printed

^{*} Note.—Extract from 'the Evidence given before the Committee by Mr. Larpent, on Friday the 27th March:—

[&]quot;1294. Do you wish in any Manner to correct the Evidence you gave with respect to Corahs? "If you will allow me to do so. I am not quite certain of the Expression I used. I rather think I gave the Idea that the Corahs were printed abroad; whereas they are printed here. I wish to state that the course of the Trade with regard to Corahs is as follows: Of the gross Quantity cleared in 1839, 390,000 Pieces, 38,000 Pieces only paid the Twenty per Cent. Duty, and went into Consumption; and of the Remainder, 352,000 Pieces, 349,600 Pieces were taken out of Bond under Security; they were printed here, and returned into Bond, and exported, and upon Exportation the Security was cancelled; 2,400 Pieces only were exported Grey, as they are technically called, direct from the Warehouses. That is the Course of the Trade; and the Argument I founded on it was, that this Export proves that there is a very great Demand for these Articles abroad. In Germany, Holland, and Belgium the Duty is low; and as the British Public is as rich, and perhaps richer, than the Foreign Public, and Silk Handkerchiefs are an Article liked here, there would be a considerable Demand for the Home Trade if the Duty did not prevent their Consumption. If we once could get Admission into the Home Trade the Printer in England would be benefited, as he would print for the Home as well as now for the Foreign Market only; and whatever Duty (if any be retained) would be an Advantage to the Revenue. The Silk Handkerchiefs, printed or unprinted, might partially affect the Consumption of British Silk Handkerchiefs; but that is the very Evil we complain of, the Injustice to India, in giving British Manufactures a Protection to the Exclusion of the Indian Manufactures."

Articles), and the Corahs (which are the first or white Silk), which I will G. G. de H. Larpent,

The same is delivered in, and read; and is as follows:

4th March 1840.

Total Importation, Delivery, and Stock of Bandannoes, Choppans, and Corans, compiled chiefly from Warehouse Returns for the Port of London, including Goods sent up in Bond from the Outports.

N.B.—The Quantity on which Duty is paid in the Outports is very insignificant.

RANDANNOES AND CHOPPAHS

YEARS IMPORT			}		
	.IMPORTS.	Home	Export.	Total.	STOCK.
		For 12 Months e	nding 30th Nove	mber.	1
1831	71,300	66,600	28,400	95,000	57,000
1832	165,100	67,800	54,400	122,200	99,900
1833	142,500	72,300	83,700	156,000	86,400
1834	173,800	73,000	79,000	152,000	108,200
]	For 13 Months e	nding 31st Decer	mber.	•
1835	205,200	93,700	122,500	216,200	97,200
	1	For 12 Months e	nding 31st Dece	mber.	
1836	104,700	86,200	67,300	153,500	48,400
1837	163,800	63,300	64,900	128,200	84,000
1838	112,400	55,200	106,000	161,200	35,200
1839	146,400	<i>5</i> 2,800	62,500	115,300	66,300
		. co	PRAHS.		
	•	DELIVERY.			
YEARS.	IMPORTS.	Home	Export.	Total,	STOCK

YEARS. I		DELIVERY.			
	IMPORTS.	Home.	Export.	Total.	STOCK.
		For 12 Months e	ding 30th Nover	 mber.	
1831	66,400	29,800	55,200	85,000	8,300
1832	67,700	4,200	44,100	48,300	. 27,700
1833	119,800	17,200	101,800	119,000	28,500
1834	98,400	13,500	91,900	104,500	22,400
	,	For 13 Months e	ending 31st Dece	mber.	
1835	217,800	49,600	181,100	230,700	9,500
		For 12 Months e	nding 31st Decen	nber.	
1836	211,800	30,200	147,100	177,300	44,500
1837	304,800	45,700	223,400	269,100	79,700
1838 #	338,500	16,500	310,100	326,600	91,600
1839	363,600	38,200	352,400	390,600	64,600

^{374.} Is there any Article manufactured in this Country at all similar to those Corahs?

A very considerable Manufacture here of British Silk Handkerchiefs.

^{375.} Are they nearly similar?
They are an Imitation of the Indian make. The Indian Handkerchief was a prohibited Importation, and was always smuggled, and at last it was opened at (43.2.)

Esq. 4th March 1840.

G. G. de H. Larpent, a Duty. A certain Quantity has since been introduced of Bandannas and Choppahs, which have been sold; 52,000 Bandanna Handkerchiefs have been sold here.

376. Do they manufacture those Corahs in England for Exportation? Not for Exportation.

377. Are those which are manufactured in England for Home Use sold to a considerable Extent?

Yes; it is Part of our Silk Manufacture.

378. The Committee understand that in the Case of Cotton it would be a Matter of Feeling, but would produce no practical Result in the Alteration of the Duties; but in the Case of the Twenty per Cent. Duty upon Silk Goods you think that the Reduction of Duty would materially increase the Production?

Exactly so, and be a great Boon to India.

379. The Petitioners complain that the Duty on the Importation of Drugs from India amounts to 100, 200, and even 300 per Cent.; are you of opinion that those high Duties materially diminish the Importation into this Country of

Drugs required as Medicines.

I certainly am prepared to state that it is the Opinion of the India Trade that the very high Duties do prevent the Introduction of Drugs and other Articles from India. I should say, with regard to medical Drugs, that the East India and China Association received a Quantity of Drugs the Produce of Punjaub and Mysore, the Properties of which were stated to be unknown in They were sent to us for Examination, and they are at this Moment under Examination. I have not got a Report; and as the Medical Profession are stated to stand in need of more Specimens of Indian Produce, it is hoped the Result of the Inquiries now going on with respect to them will be such as may prove not only useful to Medical Science but act as a Stimulus to Indian The Association has distributed them to the Hospitals and the Industry. Medical Institutions, the Ophthalmic Hospital, and the Superintendent of the Medical Botanical Gardens, and also Mr. E. Solly, Chemical Analyzer to the Royal Asiatic Society. It is a Matter of very considerable Interest, and the Association has been very anxious to obtain the best Information with regard to those Drugs in every Way.

380. Have Drugs or any very valuable Articles been at any Time imported by means of the Steam Vessels through the Red Sea?

I do not know.

381. Do you know whether any Articles have been imported by that Route? No; none that I know of.

382. It has never been used for mercantile Purposes? No.

383. Do you know what the Freight is?

No; I do not know whether there is any fixed Rate of Freight.

384. Are you aware whether many Drugs used for medicinal Purposes lose a great Portion of their virtue by Age, and that it might be highly desirable

to import them in the shortest Period of Time possible?

I should think that was the Case. I can only say, with reference to Indian Drugs that they are well known to be inferior to the Drugs produced from the other Parts of the World; and the great Object we have in view would be to encourage them in the English Market, and improve their Qualities. They are in a rude State now; and the Answer we get, when we urge our Correspondents to improve their Qualities, is, "Your Duties are so high that it is of no Use to attempt to improve them.

385. Do the Drugs come in a raw State?
Always in a raw State. With the Permission of the Committee, I will put in a Table of the Duties payable upon Drugs and other various Descriptions of Goods.

The same is delivered in, and read; and is as follows:

A I An Account showing the Rate of Duty payable on sundry Articles imported from the East Indies; the Rate of the Duty to their Market Value in Bond; and the Amount of Revenue thereon, where known.

Extracted from the Tables of Revenue, &c.

	A D.T.I.C.T. E. Present Duty		NET REVENUE.			JE.	
ARTICLE.	Present Duty.	Rate per Cent.	1834.	1835.	1836.	1837.	REMARKS.
•	-		£	€	£	£	The Duty on West India Spirits being
Rum of Bengal -	15i. per Gall.	857	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil. {	9s. the Gallon, the Difference of 6s. operates as a total Prohibition to its Consumption in this Country. In 1835 the Quantity imported from the East India Company's Territories was 14,068 Gallons. In 1836 38,140 In 1837 67,060 not One Gallon of which do the Parliamentary Returns show to have
Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: Tobacco: To	} 2s. 9d. per lb. 3s. per lb	On the Average of 6d, per 1b.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	Nil.	been entered for Home Use. East India Tobacco is charged 3s. per lb., the same as Foreign Tobacco, although not Half the Value. The Imports from the East India Company's Territories were,— In 1834 - 3,981 lbs. In 1835 - 10,578 - In 1836 - 38,854 - In 1837 - 30,280 - none of which appears to have been entered for Home Use, as far as the Parliamentary Returns show.
Alkali, natural, and Barilla}	40s. per Ton.	36	18,293	9,784	4.146	4, 976 \	The total Quantity of Alkali or Barilla imported in the Year 1835 was 6,253 Tons; in 1836, 3,510 Tons; and in 1837, 5,100 Tons; of which 25 Tons of Alkali came from the East Indies in the first Year, 2 Tons in 1836, and 11 Tons in 1837. The Value of Barilla is 81.15s. Od. per Ton, and East India natural Alkali 51.10s. Od. per Ton, so that the Duty of 21. per Ton is 22 per Cent. on Barilla, and 36 on Alkali. This Disproportion on the Duty accounts for the Smallness of the Quantity of East India Alkali imported; and whilst the Duty on Barilla is continued at 21. per Ton, that on East India Alkali should be reduced to 11.6s. per Ton (22 per Cent. on
		•					its Value), or even lower from its being the Produce of British Pos- sessions.
ಾಪರ್ಗಿ Aniseed, Star -	5s. per Cwt	91		No R	eturn.	, 'r	It has been represented that Boracic
	, è ;						Acid, which pays the same Amount of Duty as unrefined Borax, is prepared for the Potter's Use at a less Cost than Tincal, and is on that Account largely used, although admitted not to make so good a Glaze as Tincal, which latter has not kept
AB C & Borax refined - unrefined - DE 10 A Boracic Acid -	10s. per Cwt. 4s. per Cwt. 4s. per Cwt.	9	292 1,228	653 1,474	 614 1,945		pace with the Production of Earthenware. The Quantity of Borax taken for Consumption in the Years 1830, 1831, and 1832, when the Duty on unrefined Borax was 3d. per lb., was 143,114 lbs., and for the Years 1834, 1835, and 1836, when ½d. per lb., 250,641 lbs. The Quantity of Boracic Acid, taken for the Years 1830, 1831, and 1832, when the Duty was 4d. per lb.,
(43.2.)	-	İ		G	ļ		averaged 415,134 lbs., and in the

An Account showing the Rate of Duty payable on sundry Articles imported from the East Indies, &c .- continued.

An Account show	wing the Rate of .	Duty paya	ible on a				Hom the Last Indies, &c.—Cominuel
	NET REVENUE.		DE.	REMARKS.			
ARTICLE.	Present Duty.	per Cent	1834.	1835.	1836.	1837.	LIMANA
			£	£	£		Years 1834, 1835, and 1836, when the Duty was only id. per lb., 873,203 lbs., whence it appears that Boracic Acid, the Produce of Tuscany, has increased in Three Years 110 per Cent., whilst unrefined Borax, which is brought from a British Possession,
Comphan - n	40s. per Cwt.	25	1	No Re	tu rn.	, ί	has only increased 75 per Cent.
Camphor Cassia Lignea -	6d. per lb	160	2,196			{	Cassia Lignea imported from a British Possession pays the same Duty as Cinnamon, viz. 6d. per lb., although only worth in Bond 2l. 16s. per Cwt. or 6d. per lb., whereas Cinnamon is on an Average worth 5s. 7d. per lb.
- Buds -	1s. per lb	154½	'	No R	eturn.		on an inverage worth os. 74. per 10.
Cardamoms, Ceylon — Malabar	} 1s. per lb	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 80^{\circ} \\ 30^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}\right\}$		No Re	eturn.	,	
China Root -	3d. per lb	147		No Re	eturn.		m 70 .
Cloves, Amboyna - Bourbon -	6d. per lb 6d. per lb	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}44\\54\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right\}$	8,751	9,321	5,313	{	The Duty was 2s. per lb. until 13th August 1836.
Cinnamon, B. P	6d. per lb	870	310	432	428		
Cubebs Galangal Ginger	6d. per lb 10s. per Cwt. 11s. per Cwt.	70 32½ 50	702 6,353	No Re	918 eturn. 5,452	-	Cashmere Shawls, an Article of growing Importance, now the Indus is open, and made in those Countries adjacent
Gums: Ammoniac, Drop Lump	} 6s. per Cwt.	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}5\\7\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right\}$		No Re	eturn.	•	to it, pay a Duty of 30 per Cent. ad valorem, and are therefore almost entirely exported to France.
Animi, scraped - — washed - — rough -	}6s. per Cwt.	$\left\{ egin{array}{c} 4 \\ 7 \\ 11 \end{array} ight\}$	658	535	664	{	These Amounts are for Animi and Copal blended.
Arabic, Yellow - Brown -	6s. per Cwt.	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 10\\16\end{array}\right\}$	5,301	4,565	5,467		
Mace	2s. 6d. per lb.	621	3,036	3,2 88	3,265	{	The Duty was 3s. 6d. per lb. until 13th August 1836. Munjeet from the East Indies, of the
Munjeet	2s. per Cwt.	151		No Re	turn	\ {	Value of 13s. per Cwt., is charged; Duty of 2s. per Cwt., the same a Dutch Madder, worth from 40s. to 60s. per Cwt.
Nutmegs Oil of Aniseed Cassia Cloves	2s. 6d. per lb. 1s. 4d. per lb. 1s. 4d. per lb. 14s. per lb.	20½ 19 161½	15,146	17,093 No R		`	oon per our
— Mace - Cajeput - Olibanum - Rattans -	1s. 4d. per lb. 1s. 4d. per lb. 6s. per Cwt.	834 28 <u>1</u> 20			 		
Rhubarb, China -1	5s. the 1,000.	33 _{\}}					
- Dutch - S	Is. per lb	{ 40 26 _子 }	2,454	2,200	2,236	-,[In 1832, when Pearl Sago was charged 10s. per Cwt., and common Sago was
Sago, common - }	1s. per Cwt -	{ 14 8 3 3	697	<i>5</i> 86	871	{	1s. per Cwt., the Consumption was 4,770 Cwt., paying 917l. Duty only, and in 1837, 17,193 Cwt., being an Increase of 620 Tons, or nearly 2604
Senna	6d. per lb.	185	4,075	4,363	4,991	ا _ ز	per Cent.
Shell Lac	6s. per Cwt	5 <u>1</u>		No Re	eturn	{	Quantity taken for \
Seed Lac Turmeric, Bengal - Vermilion	6s. per Cwt 2s. 4d. per Cwt. 6d. per lb	8½* 17 14¾		111		111,25	In 1833 Lac Dye was rated at 6s per Cwt, whilst Cochineal was fixed at 2l. 16s. per Cwt. In 1838 the Duty on Cochineal was lowered to 1s. per Cwt., but no Alteration was made in Lac Dye. Thus Lac Dye, the Produce of a British
	-	•			,	ı	Possession, worth about 1s. to 1s.1d., per lb. pays Six Times as much Duty as Cochineal, an Article of Foreign Growth, and worth 7s. per lb.

386. Is the Duty the same coming from India as from China?

It is; except in the instance of Cassia, which from China pays 1s. per Pound Duty.

G. G. de H. Larpent, Esq. 4th March 1840.

387. In what State is Cajeput Oil imported into this Country? It is imported as an Oil.

388. What is the Duty upon that?

The Duty upon Cajeput Oil is 1s. 4d. per Pound, equal to Twenty-eight and a Half per Cent. upon its Value.

389. Will you state where Cajeput is chiefly grown?
It is a Straits Production; not a Production of the Peninsula of India.

390. Of those Articles that you have detailed to the Committee, can you state whether any have higher Duties imposed upon them coming from British India than coming from any other Part of the World?

No; it is the same Duty.

391. You have then no Complaint to make of any Inequalities?

392. What Parts of the World compete with India in the Import of those

Drugs and Spices to which you referred?

The Spices may be considered as exclusively Asiatic. If you take the Article of Pepper, the Duty in 1820 was 2s. 6d. per Pound without any Distinction; in 1826 it was reduced to 1s. on Pepper from British Possessions.

393. Remaining the same on Foreign?

Yes; and in 1837 it was reduced without Distinction to 6d. per Pound. The Effects of that were remarkable. In 1820 there was entered for Home Consumption 1,400,000 Pounds of Pepper; in 1836 there was entered for Home Consumption 2,800,000 Pounds; in 1837-38 the Quantity is still 2,600,000 Pounds. But the Benefit of the 6d. can hardly be said to have yet been ascertained. From this Statement I think it may be said to appear, that the Reduction of Duty has occasioned an Increase of Consumption to Double what it was in 1820. The Time has not yet elapsed to enable us to submit to the Test of Experience the Consequence of the Reduction of the Duty to 6d., which was a most wise and salutary Measure.

394. In the Year 1827, when the Duty was 1s. upon the Pepper produced in British Possessions, and 2s. 6d. upon Pepper from Foreign Countries, what was the Quantity imported from the British Possessions and from Foreign Countries?

I can put in a Table of the Quantities of Pepper imported into and exported from the United Kingdom from the Year 1820. The Returns do not distinguish the Quantity from British Possessions and the Quantity from Foreign Countries.

395. Could you produce any Paper which would give that Distinction?

I am afraid I could not; the Accounts are kept altogether.

The same is delivered in, and read; and is as follows:

×.

G. G. de H. Larpent, An Account of the QUANTITIES of PEPPER imported into and exported from the United Kingdom.

	QUANTITIES.		RATE		of DUTY.	Net
Years.	Imported.	Exported.	Cleared for Consumption.	British Possessions.	Foreign Possessions.	Revenue
	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	Per lb.	Per lb.	£
1820	789,300	3,985,353	1,404,021	2s. 6d.	2s. 6d.	174,063
1821	852,038	1,630,968	1,256,532			156,206
1822	7,244,778	4,451,396	1,446,400	—	-	179,586
1823	6,137,993	5,241,141	1,368,983			170,627
1824	8,818,697	2,926,857	1,447,030		- 1	180,816
1825	5,438,428	3,330,958	850,087	_	-	106,222
1826	14,091,799	5,329,525	2,529,027	18.	1s.2d1s.6d.	126,517
1827	9,083,605	4,092,386	1,949,931	-		97,496
1828	4,987,630	4,226,031	1,927,718			96,468
1829	2,015,184	2,962,063	1,933,641	_		96,726
1830	2,816,598	1,488,238	2,009,154		'	100,492
1831	6,273,480	6,844,616	2,050,082		-	102,639
1832	4,688,847	3,657,378	2,225,491			111,238
1833	8,729,552	3,997,027	2,228,393			111,174
1834	7,675,340	6,391,247	2,457,020			122,852
1835	3,343,277	1,246,482	2,359,573			117,995
1836	7,724,932	4,151,569	2,794,491			*99,134
1837	5,291,993	4,768,860	2,625,075	6d.	6d.	65,621
1838	3,572,084	- •	2,635,020	_	_	65,876 } Gross. }

^{*} All Sorts 6d. per Pound since 13th August 1836.

The present Duty is still too high. The best Quality is produced in Malabar. I have taken the Particulars of the whole Growth of Pepper.

396. Do you consider the Duty of 6d. still too high? Very high.

397. What Proportion does it bear to the Cost?

It is 200 and 300 per Cent. The Cost is 2d. and 3d.; and as a Condiment to the general Mass of the Population, if the Duty were lower, I have no Doubt that you might raise the Consumption to 5,000,000 lbs.

398. As far as Experience goes, you have the Fact that since the Reduction from 1s. to 6d. the Consumption has not increased, but on the whole rather diminished?

But, with Submission, I should say that no Time has elapsed to test the Operation of the Reduction of 6d. The Pepper is collected in Sumatra and the Archipelago, and the Effect has not yet been produced.

399. Are you not aware that there are in this Country always Two or Three Years Consumption of Pepper on hand; that a large Quantity is exported; and therefore, if the Reduction of Duty increased materially the Consumption, we must have felt it from 1837 up to 1840?

Parties holding that Pepper have been of course anxious to retain it as long as they could without losing in Price. The Experience we have had is, that from the higher Duty being reduced the increased Consumption is nearly double.

400. Should you not rather conclude that Pepper is one of those Articles that no Man would materially increase the Consumption of, even if he could get it for nothing?

I think among the lower Classes if it were cheaper it would be used to season

season all Articles of Food. It gives a Taste and Flavour to all Food, which, I G. G. de H. Larpent, think, would render the Consumption very large indeed.

Esq.

4th March 1840.

401. Would not One Pound of Pepper season as much as any One Individual

I still think that it might be greatly increased. There is One other Point upon the Article of Pepper. I believe it was a Maxim among Merchants in old Houses, "Always buy Pepper when Pepper is 12d.," and by the Operation of free Trade the Price of Pepper has been brought down from above 1s. per Pound to what it is now, $4\frac{1}{2}d$. That is One great Effect of the free Trade with India; for Twenty Years ago or Thirty Years ago it was always considered an Article which it was safe to buy at 12d.

402. When you speak of the Price of $4\frac{1}{2}d$., do you mean in India or here? Here. When I say $4\frac{1}{2}d$., that is $4\frac{1}{2}d$. in Bond.

403. When you speak of the Price you speak of the Price in Bond?

Yes. Merchants always speak of the Price in Bond. The Pepper is produced in Sumatra and the Archipelago to the Extent of 35,000,000 lbs.; and Malabar, which is our own (supposing India to be all one Country), produces from 15,000,000 lbs. to 20,000,000 lbs. Taking the whole Amount of Pepper which is supposed to be the Growth of the East, it is about 40,000,000 lbs. to 50,000,000 lbs., of which 15,000,000 lbs. to 20,000,000 lbs. come from Malabar.

404. Can you at all explain the Reason of the very great Variations in the Importation of Pepper from the East Indies into this Country?

No, I am afraid I cannot.

405. Is the Pepper a precarious Crop?
Not at all; it is the staple Product of the Archipelago and Malabar.

406. Is it an Article which suffers from keeping? On the contrary.

407. Is there any thing in the present State of the Law with respect to the Importation of Coffee, which you are of opinion is disadvantageous, and ought to be removed?

The Coffee Duties are arranged under Four Heads:—the Duties on British Produce and from British Possessions, 6d. per Pound Weight, which may be considered to be the Coffee from Ceylon and the West Indies; from British Possessions within the Company's Limits, 9d., such as Mysore Coffee or Mocha Coffee; from Places within the Company's Limits, not British Possessions, 1s.; and from Foreign Parts 1s. 3d. Ceylon, for instance, pays 6d. Duty, and sells at this Moment at 100s. to 112s. a Hundred Weight; the Mysore or Malabar, which is not admitted as British Produce, but admitted at 9d., sells at 75s. to 86s., add 3d. additional Duty, 28s., makes up the Difference, because they are equally the same in Quality; that makes it, when added to 75s., 103s., and 28s. added to 86s. makes it 114s.; so that the Difference of 3d. in the Duty makes the Difference in Price between the Two Articles of similar Quality. The whole Question turns upon what is the Meaning of "British Possession." It is stated that in Mysore both Civil and Military Power is exercised by the British, and that in Travancore the Government are not allowed to make any Treaty but with the British. At this very Moment there is a curious Transaction going on. Coffee from the Brazils or other Coffees are sent out from Holland to the Cape of Good Hope, to be there entered and re-exported, and so come within the Ninepenny Duty as from a British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company, and to a very considerable Extent this Trade is now carried on.

409. Is any Quantity of Coffee produced from Travancore? Yes, and exported from Alepee in Travancore.

410. Is it the Travancore Produce?

I believe it is, but Mysore is principally supposed to be affected by it.

(43.2.) G 3 . 411. What

G.G. de H. Larpent, Esq. 411. What Part of India would that come from? From the Malabar Coast, and thence from Bombay.

4th March 1840. 412. Or

412. Or Madras? It might go across.

413. Is there any considerable Difference between the Qualities of the Coffees?

There is. the Reason. There is no Complaint made of this Distinction.

414. Are other Articles besides Coffee imported into the Territories within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter from Foreign Countries, and imported thence into this Country, with any Advantage of Duty on that Account?

Yes; Spices have been brought here for warehousing for Exportation from Holland by British Shipping, and thence carried to the Cape of Good Hope, to be imported here at the reduced Duty. Thus, Spices which under the Navigation Law could not be imported from Holland into this Country have been taken to the Cape of Good Hope, and, the Cape of Good Hope being within the Limits of the East India Charter, have been imported from thence in British Ships into this Country, and the Operation has been a profitable one.

415. Have any other Articles been imported in the same Manner? Tea is at the present Moment.

416. To any Extent?

Yes, in proportion to the Extent of their Stock, but they have no large Stock of Tea abroad.

417. Is Sugar so imported?

Sugar cannot be; it requires a Certificate of Origin to obtain the lower Duty.

418. What makes the Tea cheaper in Bond at Amsterdam than it is in London?

It has been imported into Amsterdam at a very low Price, and Tea has got up to a high Price, and consequently it is profitable to bring Tea here. From the diminished Supply the Tea Market has advanced, and therefore, to meet that, Tea has been carried from Holland to the Cape of Good Hope, which being within the Limits of the Company's Charter will be brought to this Country, and introduced under the general Law which admits the Importation of Tea from any Place within the East India Company's Limits.

419. Those Transactions so far have been an Advantage to the British Ships, by giving Employment for Vessels which they would not otherwise have enjoyed?

Certainly; and also they are advantageous by bringing an Article much wanted into this Country for Consumption.

420. They are, therefore, no Subject of Complaint on the Part of the Indian Government?

No; far from it.

421. In what Part of the British Peninsula of India is Coffee grown? Mysore.

422. To any Extent?

I am unable to answer the Question, but I believe not at present to any great Extent. In Ceylon it is grown, and the Importations at the lowest Duty of 6d. have hitherto been almost confined to Ceylon Coffee.

423. Can you state whether Coffee is imported into British India, or whether enough is grown for its Consumption?

Probably from Java there is an Importation, and from Mocha to Bombay.

424. Therefore Coffee, with the Exception of Ceylon, cannot be stated to be G. G. de H Larpent, One of the Productions of British India the Admission of which at lower Esq.

Duties into this Country would be a material Benefit?

4th March 1840.

Not at the present Moment; but it is looked forward to with great Anxiety as a Growth which, if encouraged, may be carried to a considerable

Extent

425. Have not some Arrangements been made recently for the Employment of British Capital in the Cultivation of the Tea Plant and the Manufacture of Tea in Assam?

A Company has been formed in this Country for the Cultivation of Tea in Assam, of which I have the Honour to be the Chairman, and among the Directors are several Gentlemen largely interested in the Tea Trade, some Gentlemen connected immediately with India, and some Gentlemen also connected with The Capital intended to be invested ultimately is 500,000l., of which a small Amount is at present raised, only 51. per Share; but after a considerable Negotiation with the East India Company here and the Government abroad, confident Hopes are entertained of obtaining an Act of Incorporation, upon the Payment of 71. 10s. more per Share, making about Twenty-five per Cent. upon the assumed Capital. Simultaneously with the Formation of this Company in England, in consequence of the Attention of the Government of India having been directed to the important Fact of Tea being a Plant indigenous in Assam, a Committee was appointed in India to assist in its Cultivation, and experimental Farms were formed there. A Company was attempted also in India, consisting of the principal Merchants, and of several Gentlemen in the Company's Service, and particularly those Members who had been Members of this Tea Committee. This Association has now joined with this Assam Company to form one large Company for the Purpose of cultivating the Tea, considering it to be a great Object, in a national point of view, to both Countries, both to India and to England, to raise Tea, as a Security also against the Monopoly of China. I have a Report, which I can put in, of all that has taken place in India upon this interesting Subject, by which it appears that there is every Reason to believe that the Tea of Assam, from the Specimens that we have had imported, is a very fine Quality of Tea, and quite equal to the Tea of Commerce.

426. What Price do they think it would bear in the Market now, if exposed for Sale, not merely as a Matter of Curiosity?

1s. 6d. or 2s. per Pound; probably somewhere thereabouts, before the late Advance.

427. What is the Price of the ordinary Tea with which it would

compete ?

We are in a State of Excitement now as to Price; but it is the Congou Tea principally with which it would compete. Congou Tea now rules at 2s. 5d. and 2s. 10d. per Pound, but before the Advance it was 1s. 2d. and 1s. 9d.

428. What is the Price of that?

That would be in ordinary Times at about the same Price. At the present Moment it is higher. Assam Tea would probably be classed with good and fine Congou Tea.

429. How far from Water Carriage are the Districts in which this Tea Plant is cultivated?

The District in which we are likely to have Locations is upon the Hills in the Country of Assam through which the great River Barampoota runs; a River that overflows, and leaves a Sediment in the lower Districts, and the Tea is grown upon the Hills. The Extent of the Growth of the Tea Plant is very large, and in the Jungles there are a very large Number of Tea Plants.

430. How far from the Barampoota are the Hills on which the Tea Plant is to be cultivated?

I am not aware of the exact Distance, but we have no Fear whatever of the Cost. The Accounts we have received of the Cost, of Production, with every (43.2.)

G 4

Charge

G.G.de H. Lurpent, Charge for Conveyance and otherwise, are such as to render it likely that we shall considerably undersell the Chinese, as far as we know the Price of Chinese Tea, but that Price has been remarkably steady for many Years; it is however scarcely possible, from what we know of China, to ascertain the precise

431. What is the Size of the River?

It is a magnificent River, like the Ganges. We have already taken Measures for building and contracting, and are about to send out Steam Vessels to convey Stores for the Formation of our Establishment in the upper Part of Assam, and to bring down the Tea. Measures have also been taken by the Gentlemen who formed the Committee in Calcutta to bring a large Number of Labourers there, and we are getting Chinese, for that which is of the greatest Importance, namely, the Manipulation of the Tea in the Chinese Mode. Not less than 2,000 or 3,000 Coolies have been and are about to be sent up from Calcutta for Location, upon the Land which the Company are to give us there, we hope upon favourable Terms. We have not yet got any Particulars, but we understand that the Indian Government are willing to be very liberal in their Arrangements, and we are taking Measures to proceed, it being of the greatest Importance to move rapidly. We are told there will be no Difficulty in ultimately ascertaining the Boundaries, and that we may send out Persons there to locate and prepare the Tea there without Fear of being disturbed hereafter. When the Country has been surveyed we shall have the Limits of our Location properly defined. The Quantity of Tea sent home to the East India Company this Year I think amounted to about Ninety Boxes; but our Expectations are that we shall have 1,000 if not 2,000 Boxes against the Season of 1841.

432. Is it all One Quality? Various Qualities.

433. Green and Black?

Yes. The first Settlement will be on the Dikho River, near which are extensive Tracts of indigenous Tea; and at the Date of our last Advices, which were in November, they were engaged in clearing a considerable Location there, and in building Huts and Workshops. It is near the Dikho River that the Company applied for and were arranging with the local Government the Terms for the Possession of a very extensive Grant of Land.

434. What is the Weight of a Box of Tea? The Chest of Tea weighs about Eighty-four Pounds.

435. When you bring the Tea down the Barampoota, where do you embark

it for this Country?

At Calcutta; it will come round by the Dikho, and thence from the Barampoota by one of the tributary Streams that will communicate with Calcutta into the Hooghly. I suppose the Tea imported from Canton comes a very considerable Distance from the Hills in the Interior of China. Assam is the nearest to the Province of Yunan, in China, from which the best Tea comes. Upon an Investigation of the Soil of Assam we find it to be nearly the same as that of Yunan, and therefore the Probability is that it is the Continuation of the same Tract in which the Tea grows in China in China.

436. Is there any Communication of any Size by Water with this

The Barampoota forms One great Delta with the Ganges and the Hooghly.

437. The Petitioners suggest that there should be some Favour granted in the Rate of Duty to the Tea imported from Assam; you do not apprehend that any Favour in the Rate of Duty will be requisite in order to induce a large Importation hereafter from that Country?

Certainly our Expectations are, from all we have learnt, that we shall be able to supply Tea at a low Rate. At the same Time, looking to the great Importance of the Object, not only as respects India but as respects this Country, Tea

now having become an Article of absolute Necessity to the great Bulk of our G. G. de H. Larpent, Population. It may be a Question whether, in order so far to encourage our own Territories, it is not justifiable to give Assam Tea the Benefit of Protection, and, following out the Principle of Preference to Importations from our own Possessions over those from Foreign States which is adopted in our Tariff, whether we might not have some Discrimination in the Article of Tea, at least prospectively, as an Encouragement for its Growth and Manufacture in India, Care being taken that it shall be bona fide Assam Tea by any Regulations that may be adopted.

Esq. 4th March 1840.

438. Would that be easy to adopt, as you say the Province is so near the Province of China?

It is a very wild Country, and hitherto there has been no Communication with China, and we know up to this Time what Difficulty there has been in obtaining any Communication with China. But we submit that this Cultivation is a great Object of Interest to this Country and to India, and that any Encouragement that the Legislature might give to another Growth of Tea, so as to render us in some degree independent of China, whilst it assisted the Operations of the new Company in the Cultivation of Tea in Assam, would be of great Benefit to this Country also.

439. Do you know how the Chinese that were established in Assam were

procured?

We have between Sixty and Seventy at present. Our Agents there have collected them in various Parts, principally from the Archipelago; and we are expecting to receive a considerable Number more from Penang. It should be remembered that to Java, a very large Number of Chinese annually come down. There is an Establishment of Chinese in Java. They also come down in the Junks to Sincapore. We are endeavouring, wherever we find that such Chinese are acquainted with the Manipulation of Tea, to obtain their Services. We wish to have as many as we can, for the Purpose of teaching the Natives of India the Manipulations of the Tea.

440. So that you have no Doubt of the Possibility of increasing the Number of Emigrants to any Extent necessary for the Cultivation?

None, the Labourers being Natives of India, and the Chinese being sent as it were to teach the Process of Manipulation.

441. You have to carry the Population into the Country, have you not? Yes; that is the chief Drawback to the Progress of the Experiment.

442. It is a waste Country without Population?

Yes; the Country is much covered with Jungle, and the Population scanty.

443. Do you raise a new Plant, or do you pluck the Leaves from Trees

already existing?

Tea is indigenous in Assam. China Plants have been imported, and have been placed in the Company's Experimental Garden; they have delivered over several to us. We shall adopt the System of plucking indigenous Tea from the Plant, and of attempting the Cultivation in Gardens. The great Object in the Management of Tea is to have the various Stages of Growth separated from each other. Tea plucked with old Leaf and young Leaf in its different Stages, and mixed together, makes an Article which is of a very inferior Value; and therefore the proper gathering of indigenous Plants, and also seeing how far the Quality of the Tea may be improved by Cultivation, are the Two great Objects we have in view.

444. Do not you think, if this Tea should not be made good, and equal to

China Tea, bringing a great Quantity of it into this Country would tend to a fraudulent Mixture, just as Chicory is mixed with Coffee.

With Submission, I should state that we have no Idea whatever but that this Tea is equally good with the China Tea. I could have brought the Cortificator not only of Mr. Thompson, the Company's Broker by whom the Certificates, not only of Mr. Thompson, the Company's Broker by whom the Teas of the late Importation by the East India Company were all examined, but the Certificates of other Persons who have examined them, who are perfectly competent to speak to it; and upon that Subject there is a Passage (43.2.)

G.G. de H. Larpent, in a Report which was made to the Proprietors of the Assam Company, which was drawn up by the Gentlemen in the Direction, who are great Tea Dealers was drawn up by the Gentlemen in the Direction, who are great Tea Dealers in London. It is as follows:—"Ninety-five Chests of Black Tea, the Produce of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Assistants have been been produced by the Chinese of the East India Company's Assistants have been produced by the Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting only of Two Chinese of the East India Company's Establishment, consisting the East India Company's Establishment in the East India Company in the East I Black Tea Makers, with Twelve Native Assistants, have been received lately Black Tea Makers, with I welve I alive Tissistants, have been received lately by the East India Company, and those Gentlemen in the Assam Company who are extensively engaged in the Tea Trade"—and I am sure when I quote such Men as Twining, Travers, and Fox, it will be admitted that those are Men of the highest Character in every Way—"confirm the Opinion entertained on the Samples of the Importation of previous Years; namely, that the Character and Quality of the Assam Tea is a good strong and very useful Description, holding out the Expectation, that by continued Attention to the Culture, and improved Experience in the Manufacture, it will become a most valuable and important Article of Commerce, and gradually lessen the entire Dependence upon China which has hitherto prevailed."

445. If this Assam Tea should not answer, would there be any Difficulty in

introducing the best Kinds of Tea into that District?

No, I should think not, the Soil being favourable to the Growth of Tea, and similar to that, as we understand, in which Tea is grown in China.

446. Do you know any thing of the Tea which is grown in the Brazils? It is understood not to have succeeded; and certainly Tea in Java has proved a Failure.

447. You were requested, on Monday, to procure Information with respect to the Amount of the American Trade in Canton; have you been able to do so? I have; and the Results are exceedingly interesting.

The Account is delivered in, and read; and is as follows:

CHINA TRADE. AMERICAN TRADE TO CANTON.

		Imports.		Total Imports.	Exports.	
		Merchandize.	Trəasure,	Total Imports	23501133	
		Drs.	Drs.	Drs.	Drs.	
1829–30 -	-	2,793,988	1,123,644	3,917,632	4,108,611	
1830-31 -	-	2,871,220	183,655	3,054,975	4,263,551	
1831-32 -	-	2,383,685	667,252	3,050,937	5,857,732	

The above for 1830-31 and 1831-32, adding the Bills of Exchange.

***************************************	Imports.	*	<u>.</u>	Exports.
1830-31	Total as above - Add Bills of Exchange	-	3,054,975 1,168,500	
			4,223,475	4,263,551
1831-32 - 4-	Total as above -	-	3,050,937	
	Add Bills of Exchange	-	2,480,871	5,857,732
•			5,531,808	

I have also an Account for 1837-38 of the British and the American Trade with Canton.

The same is delivered in, and read; and is as follows:

BRITISH TRADE WITH CANTON.

1st July 1837 to 30th June 1838.

G. G. de H. Larpent,
Esq.
4th March 1840.

IMPORT	s.	EXPORTS.				
Manufactures (Woollen and Cotton) } Metals Cotton (raw)	Drs. 2,364,366 116,090 6,563,124 13,554,030 751,435 1,396,517 24,745,562	Silk Tea Nankeens Silk Piece Goods - Sundries Treasure Ships, Port Charges - Balance	Drs. 1,686,528 9,561,576 41,500 365,760 935,410 8,974,776 450,000 2,730,012			

AMERICAN TRADE.

Drs. Drs. Drs. Silk Piece Goods, Shawls, &c Sundries Ships, Port Charges - Sundres Ships, Port Charges - Silk Piece Goods, Silk Piece Goods, Shawls, &c Ships, Port Charges - Ships, Port Charges - Silk Piece Goods, Shawls, &c Ships, Port Charges - Ships, Port Ch	IMPORT	s.	EXPORTS.			
5,191,111 5,191,111	Metals Opium (Turkey) - Treasure Sundries	506,390 104,310 8,264 846,062 583,577 3,142,508	Silk Piece Goods, Shawls, &c } Nankeens Sundries Treasure	3,973,440 827,501 30,010 258,910 ————————————————————————————————————		

This shows the immense Extent of the Opium Trade, and the very large Quantity of Treasure that has been extracted from China, and also the great Superiority of the British Trade over the American under the free System. I beg to state that I took this from a very interesting Publication, which gives a particular Account, from which I have extracted this general Account.

448. What Publication is it?

It is called "The Anglo-Chinese Kalendar," published in Canton in the Year 1839, and abridged. With regard to those Trade Statements, of which I have given a Sort of Analysis above, I can put the detailed Statements for the Year ending the 30th of June 1838. They have been made out and printed by the Direction of the Committee, that is, the Committee in Canton, comprising all the chief English Merchants of that Port, and they state in their Report, "that they are as perfect as the Circumstances would admit, but it is hoped that the Difficulties in the Way of procuring the Information required for their Construction will gradually disappear, and that a complete Account (43.2.)

G. G. de H. Larpent, by the Secretary may soon be given, almost concurrently with the Occurrence itself." Of course the Disturbances in China have prevented that; but they are extremely interesting; and I can put them in, to be annexed to the Minutes, if the Committee should wish it.

449. Can you state whether the 8,974,776 Dollars Specie, said to be sent

from China, went to Bengal or to Europe?

To Calcutta and Bombay. It meets the very Statement I made, as to the Quantity of Bullion that was carried into India, and which has oozed out of China, to use Commissioner Linn's Phrase.

450. No Part of that was exchanged against American Bills?

I conceive that the Statement in that Account shows that the Balance was in favour of the British Trade and against the American Trade, and that the Question was settled between them by Bill Operations. That is my own Hypothesis.

451. Suppose that to have been the Course of the Trade for some Years past, must there not be a large Accumulation of Silver in British India?

It has not been for any considerable Time.

452. If you refer back to the Records of past Times, do not you find that there has always been a great Importation of Silver into British India; and is it not known that there is a much greater Consumption of Silver in India than in other Parts?

Yes.

453. Must not a State of Trade such as you have described tend to accumulate a considerable Quantity of the precious Metals in British India?

If it were to continue it would be an Influx of Metals into India in return for Opium, and an Efflux from China.

454. Would not that make it very easy for India, supposing India to have a few Years of unfavourable Balance with this Country, to remit a Portion of the precious Metals?

It is so dependent upon the Opium Trade; if you struck out the Opium Trade the Balance would be different, 13,000,000 and upwards is the Amount

of the Opium Trade.

455. Supposing that Trade to continue, would the State of Things such as you have described indicate a Capacity on the Part of India to supply any unfavourable Balance, supposing that to exist, by Specie?

Dependent always upon its large internal Consumption of Silver.

456. Are you acquainted with the Variations that have taken place in the Price of Commodities in India in the last Ten or Fifteen Years?

I am acquainted with the Variations in the chief Articles; but in the Case of Indigo it so much depends upon the Seasons.

457. Have they not, in point of fact, become nominally so much cheaper

as to indicate a Scarcity of the precious Metals rather than an Abundance?

Yes. With reference to the Question asked me last Time as to the Exchanges, I have turned it over in my Mind, and can only attribute that apparent Appreciation of the Rupee which was observed upon to these Four First, the Cessation in some measure of the Company's Capital employed in Commerce, in its Investment for some Years previously to the Alteration in its Functions; secondly, to the Failure of almost all the large Commercial Houses, which took place in 1830-31, at that Time employing very large Funds; thirdly, to the profitable Markets for India Produce at home, which, as it were, forced out a large Quantity of India Produce; and, fourthly, to the Drain of Capital and the Demand for the Purposes of the Opium Trade, which is the very Circumstance which is mentioned in the Question; because it appears that the Capital of India was drawn into the Opium Business, and consequently the Price of Goods relative to the Rupee was low, and the Rupee was appreciated; and I think it may be attributed very much to those Four Circumstances, and to the Time that must elapse before, in so distant a Trade, the Transport of Bullion can right the Exchange.

It is an apparent Anomaly which has given rise to a good deal of Thought on G.G. de H. Larpent, my Part. I have been anxious to ascertain the Causes.

4th March 1840.

458. Would not the Fact of the East India Company giving up its Trade, and having in consequence to withdraw its trading Capital, rather, on the contrary, have the Effect of lowering the Exchange?

It would reduce the Price of Goods. The Goods were left without corresponding Capital to pay for them, the Company no longer coming into the

Market to purchase Goods.

459. In point of fact, had not the Company very materially diminished, for many Years, their Exportation of Manufactures to India, from finding their Trade with that Country to be unprofitable?

Undoubtedly; they had almost ceased to carry on any Trade, except that

provided by their Investments.

460. So that, in point of fact, at the Termination of the Charter, with the Exception of the Reduction of their Establishment for the Purchase of Silk, they had very little mercantile Alteration to make?

Exactly so.

461. Are you aware what has been the Effect upon the Quality of Silk imported from India, the Company having diminished of late Years its Importation, and now having abstained altogether from the Importation?

There has been no Inferiority in the Quality of the Silk imported from India. It is now in private Hands, and I have every Reason to believe a very flou-

rishing Trade.

462. Have the Company disposed of their Filatures to Individuals? They have disposed of all their Filatures to Individuals.

463. It was apprehended by the Trade, was it not, that in the event of their suddenly withdrawing their Capital from the Importation of Silk, and giving

up the Trade, the Quality would be very much deteriorated?

It was; but that has not been the Case at all. I wish merely to state the Importance of the China Trade, with reference to the Silk Manufacture; that, of the gross Importation of Silk for our Manufacture, about One Half is Italian or European, One Quarter Bengal, and One Quarter is from China; and that, the China Silk is of the greatest Importance to our Manufactures, as controlling in some measure the Price of the raw Material, and enabling us to compete with the Continent in our Manufactures. Also there is a Circumstance connected with the China Silk which I wish to state: The British Manufacturer has found out the Art of using China Silk with a Waste of only Three per Cent.; whereas it used before to be a Waste of nearly Twenty-five per Cent. This is a very great Advantage over his French Rival, inasmuch as he has thus been enabled to apply the China Silk to Purposes without this Wastage which they cannot do abroad. This is an Extract of a Letter which I had from one of the chief Persons connected with the Silk Trade; and he says, "I would remind you, that the Supply of Silk from that Quarter" (China) "is most important to the Silk Trade of this Country; for, in addition to the Advantage of giving us an increased Supply, China Silk importantly assists the English Manufacturer in competing with the Foreigner, inasmuch as upon the Continent the Throwsters or Preparers of it for the Manufacturer would make a Waste of from Fifteen to Twenty-five per Cent., which was the Case with our Throwsters Twenty Years since; but the increased Supplies of it of late Years have forced it upon the Attention of the Trade, and the Management of it is now so well understood by our Throwsters that they can prepare it at a Waste of only Three to Five per Cent."

464. Is the Waste the Difference in Weight between the raw State and the Silk as manufactured?

Yes.

465. Is it by Improvement in Machinery that that Waste is diminished? Yes, and Skill in the Management of it.

466. Does that apply to China Silk only?

It applies to China Silk chiefly; Necessity has induced them to make (43.2.)

H 3 such

G.G. de H. Larpent, such an Improvement in that as to render it available where there was a Loss Esq. before.

4th March 1840.

467. Where has the Improvement originated? In this Country; by the Throwsters.

463. The Petitioners say, that "At Ceylon the Cotton Goods of Great Britain are charged with a Duty of Five per Cent; those of India with Duties varying from Ten to Twenty per Cent. Goods not specially enumerated in the published Table are directed to be charged Five per Cent., if the Growth or Manufacture of Great Britain, Ireland, or even any Part of Europe; but if the Growth or Manufacture of any other Place (India being included) they are subjected to a Duty of Ten per Cent." Do you apprehend that that Difference in the Mode of treating the Productions of India and those of this Country has been seriously injurious to the Trade of India with Ceylon?

I should state, before I answer the Question, that the Rate of Duty

upon the Importation of the Manufactures of India into Ceylon was Twenty to Fifty per Cent, but that they have been reduced now to Ten per Cent;

I am not prepared to state the Year.

469. Has there been any Reduction since the Year 1825?

I am not prepared to state, but they have been reduced now to Ten per Cent., and the Wares and Merchandize imported from Great Britain into Ceylon are at Four per Cent. It is a Trade of no great Importance, but it stands practically thus: That those Goods which can be obtained from Madras or from Parts of India the nearest to Ceylon stand at Ten per Cent., and British Goods are, as it were, to the Extent of the Difference, forced into the Market at Four per Cent., which is an apparent great Injustice with reference to India.

470. Have you any Statement of the Duties levied at Ceylon?

I know of no other Duties on Importation than those of British Manufacture.

471. Are there any Manufactures of India which you apprehend are excluded by that Difference of Duty? Would the Cotton Manufactures of India

compete with those of this Country at an equal Duty?

The Difference of Duty is a Check upon Indian Manufactures into Ceylon, and it is apparently an Injustice upon the Face of it, that Indian Manufactures close at hand should pay Ten per Cent., whilst British Manufactures pay only Four per Cent.

472. As you have explained to the Committee that the English Manufacture of Cotton beats out that of India in India itself, how is it possible (supposing no Duty to exist) that the Manufactures of India could compete with those of England in Ceylon?

I am not prepared to say that there is any very great practical Grievance in it, but it is more upon the apparent Inconsistencies that I urge the

Question.

473. It does not amount to any great practical Consequence?

I should hope not. I do not speak myself with that Knowledge which would enable me to answer that Question decidedly.

474. The Petitioners state, "That in the Australian Colonies British Productions, with the Exception of Spirits, are admitted Duty-free; the Goods of all other Countries, including British India, are charged with an ad valorem Duty of Five per Cent." Do you apprehend that that Difference of Duty is

materially injurious to India?

I should think to the Extent of it it is injurious, and it is equally with the other an unjust Preserence given to the British Manusacturer. It exists to the Extent stated in the Question; namely, "That in the Australian Colonies British Productions, with the Exception of Spirits, are admitted Duty-free; the Goods of all other Countries, including British India, are charged with an ad valorem Duty of Five per Cent." And what is more remarkable, that if imported through Great Britain they pay Two and a Half per Cent. still more, therefore marking invidiously the Difference between British Goods and Indian Goods.

475. What are the principal Exports from India to Australia?
Grain, Silk Piece Goods, some Sugar, and various Articles for the lower Classes, Gunny Bags, &c.

G.G. de II. Larpent,
Esq.
4th March 1840.

476. Is the Export of Grain from India to Australia regular, or is it required only in Times of Drought?
In Times of Drought only.

477. So that the Duty of Five per Cent. is practically imposed only when there is great Distress in the Country?

Exactly.

478. The Petitioners consider that they are subjected to some Grievance by the Provisions of the Navigation Laws, under which Natives of British India, although in fact born British Subjects, are not treated as such when they navigate Vessels coming from that Country to this; are you aware that any serious

Inconvenience is experienced by them under those Laws?

There is this Inconvenience, that a Vessel with a Lascar Crew from India to this Country cannot return but under the Provisions of the Act. This has been introduced into the Petition of the East India Company; but as far as the East India Association or the Merchants have any Feeling upon the Subject, I do not know that there is any Application of the Kind from them. For my own Part, I should humbly suggest that this is an imperial Question for the Security of the State, and that the Inconvenience is to be endured for the Purpose of superior Security. The only Thing I understand as a Matter of Feeling is, that Negroes were not excepted, and that they are looked upon as British Seamen, and there was a Feeling that the Natives of India were entitled to be considered in the same Light; that if there was a Prohibition it should apply to the Negro as well as the Lascar; but it is not a Question that I should press, being one connected with the general Welfare of the Empire.

479. What is the State of the Law?

The Section of the Act is, "Provided always, that no Asiatic Sailors, Lascars, or Natives of any of the Territories, Countries, Islands, or Places within the Limits of the Charter of the East India Company, (although born in Territories, Countries, Islands, or Places under the Government of His Majesty or of the East India Company,) shall at any Time be deemed or taken to be British Sailors, Seamen, or Mariners, within the Intent and Meaning of the 34 G. 3. Cap. 68., intituled 'An Act,'" &c., (since repealed,) "or of any other Act of Parliament relating to the Navigation of British Ships by Subjects of His Majesty, for the Purpose of entitling any Ship or Vessel to be deemed to be a British Ship navigated according to Law." And the Section as to the Proportion is as follows: "And whereas Lascars and other Natives of the East are not deemed to be equal in Strength and Use to Europeans or other Seamen; and the requiring the Proportion of Three Fourths of British Seamen in Ships having as Part of the Crew Lascars and Natives of the East would compel such Ships to carry a larger Number of British Seamen than other Ships, or to employ a smaller Number of Lascars and Natives of the East than would be sufficient to make a proper Crew; be it therefore enacted, That any Ship or Vessel, duly registered, manned in part with Lascars or Natives of India, which shall be commanded by a British Master, and navigated by Four British Seamen, as Part of the Crew, for every 100 Tons of her registered Burthen, and so in proportion for any Part of 100 Tons, shall be deemed, construed, and taken to be navigated according to Law as to the Crew of any such Ship or Vessel, although the Number of such British Seamen shall not be equal to the Proportion of Three Fourths of the whole Crew of such Ship or Vessel."

480. Though the Lascars are not put upon a Footing with British Seamen, Ships partly manned with Lascars are not obliged to carry so many Seamen?

No; such Ships are to carry, instead of Three Fourths British Seamen, Four of them to each 100 Tons.

481. That does not apply to the Coasting Trade of India? No; it is only with reference to the Home Trade.

(43.2.) H 4 482. Would

G.G. de H. Larpent, Esq.

482. Would the Native Seamen be cheaper if you could employ more of them?

4th March 1840. Probably they would.

483. The Alteration of the Law in that respect would not, in your Opinion,

be any great Boon, or any great Advantage?

I presume, from the East India Company having put it into their Petition, they consider it so. I have expressed only my own Opinion upon it, that it is an imperial Question affecting the Security of the Country, and therefore, whatever Burden it may be, it is for the general Good.

484. Not only are Ships partly manned with Lascars allowed to take a smaller Proportion of British Seamen on account of the Lascars, but also the Twenty-second Section of the Fourth of George the Fourth, Cap. 80, provides, that when a sufficient Number of British Seamen cannot be obtained any Governor may license the Vessel to sail with a smaller Proportion ? Yes.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Friday next, Three o'Clock.

Die Veneris, 6° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Evidence on the Petition of the EastIndia Company for Relief.

ANDREW SYM Esquire is called in, and examined.

Andrew Sym, Esq.

485. YOU are extensively interested in the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane 16th March 1840. in India, are you not?

486. To what Extent of Land?

I could hardly tell how much Land, because we buy a great deal of Cane from our own Tenants without ascertaining the Quantity of Land cultivated by them.

487. You are a considerable Proprietor of Land, are you not? Yes.

488. To what Extent?

I am interested in about 60,000 Acres.

489. As a Proprietor?

As a Proprietor under Government; but the Estate on which we cultivate the Sugar is about 25,000 Acres, most of which we let out to Tenants, who grow what Crops they please; but we make them Advances upon growing Sugar Cane, and they deliver the Juice of the Cane to us for the Purpose of manufacturing into Sugar.

490. In what Part of India is that Land? In the District of Goruckpore.

491. How far from Oude?

One Part of Goruckpore bounds Oude, but not that Part where we cultivate Sugar; that is at the exactly opposite Part. It bounds the District of Chanuparum.

492. Upon Land which is your Property you grow the Sugar Cane?

Yes; we grow it ourselves, and our Tenants also grow it for us, and we buy the Sugar in the Neighbourhood, when we find an Advantage in so doing, as we have done this Year.

493. To what Extent have you carried the Export of Sugar from India to England of late Years?

This Year we shall ship 20,000 Maunds of Sugar.

494. Is that much more than you have shipped in any previous Year? Yes.

495. Since what Period is it that your Shipments of Sugar have increased so much?

Only within the last Four or Five Years.

496. In consequence of the Alteration of Duty?

We shipped almost none before that. Sugar was grown, but it was generally taken to the Western Markets; not by us, but by Native Merchants.

497. Have you found that Shipment a profitable Transaction?

Of late Years it has been. This Year it has been profitable; various Circumstances have combined to render it so; the high Prices here, and the low Rate of Freight. The Ships taking out Emigrants to New South Wales come on to (43.3.)

Andrew Sym, Esq. 6th Marchel 840.

India for return Cargoes; and the Freights have fallen to Three Guineas a Ton for Sugar.

498. That is as dead Weight?

499. For light Goods the Freight is about 51.?

For Indigo and Silk, but not for light Goods of small Hemp, and Goods of that Description, I suppose are charged about the same as

500. Had the Price of Sugar remained in this Country what it was Three or Four Years ago, and had Freights remained what they were, should you still have been able, at the reduced Duty, to make that Shipment of Sugar with Advantage?

We should not have carried it to so large an Extent as we have done. These concurring Circumstances have induced us to prosecute the Cultivation of it to

a larger Extent than we should otherwise have done.

501. But looking forward, as far as you can do so, to the probable Price of the Sugar in this Country, you intend to persevere in the Extension of the

Cultivation? Yes, we do; but we have now got to a Point that renders it difficult for us to get rid of our Molasses. Hitherto we have been able to sell it to the Native .Confectioners, to make Sweetmeats; now the Quantity is so great that we find the greatest Difficulty in getting rid of it at any Price at all.

502. That would enable you to make Rum very cheaply? It would.

503. What have you sold your Molasses for?

I do not know that we have been able to sell it at all this Year, but last Year we had great Difficulty in getting 18d. for 82 Pounds Weight.

504. That being the Price of Molasses, you could make Rum more cheaply than you could Arrack?

Arrack is Rum.

505. Arrack is, properly, Spirits, is it not?

Yes; but it is only made from Molasses and Mowah, a sweet Berry from a Tree.

506. Is it not made from Maple and from Dates? Not in our Part of the Country.

507. Or from Rice?

Not in our Part of the Country. I have been told that in Ceylon it is made from Rice; but in no Part of India that I have been in is it so made.

508. Have you seen it made from Maple and from Dates?

No. I have not seen it made much at all; but all that I have seen made is from the Refuse of Sugar, or from Mowah, which is a Berry, of the Size and Shape of a Cherry, that falls down from a large Tree in the Month of March, just about this Time of the Year, preparatory to the Leaf coming on the Tree. The Natives gather it up, and they distil that into a Kind of Arrack.

509. Unless you entertain the Prospect of being able to export to this Country a considerable Quantity of Rum made from this cheap Molasses, should you be induced to extend very much your Manufacture of Sugar?

No, certainly not, unless we could make Rum ourselves, or other People were allowed to make Rum to whom we could sell the Molasses. The not having a Market for our Molasses is now becoming a serious Grievance to us.

510. That is, the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane has been extended beyond

the Means the Natives have to purchase the Molasses?

The Molasses is only used in making the Native Sweetmeats, and by the Native Distillers; and there is no great Outlet in India for Arrack; the lower Class of Natives drink it, but the better Class of Natives never use it at all.

511. Is the Machinery by which the Sugar is made of a very rude De- Andrew Sym, Esq. scription?

With us it is. We have One Cattle Mill, but not a very good one; and the 6th March 1840.

other Machinery is the common Pestle and Mortar of the Natives.

512. Do you apprehend that if you introduced the Mill Machinery of the West Indies you should derive any considerable Benefit from it?

I am led to believe we should; but I have had no Experience upon that

Point yet.

513. You have not thought it worth while to introduce any yourself? We have not hitherto. One Cattle Mill which we have we bought in Calcutta-• at a very low Price.

514. Is much Sugar lost in the Mode in which the Natives manufacture it? Scientific, Sugar-makers tell me that there is. I have very little Knowledge on the Subject; I have no chemical Knowledge on the Subject.

515. Is there any thing in the Mode of Manufacture which deteriorates the Produce?

I have heard it said that our Process of Manufacture does deteriorate the

516. That there is not only a Loss in the Manufacture, but that it is deteriorated?

They say our Sugars are not so good, but the Prices we get here rather contradict that.

517. Are there any Limits to the Extent to which the Sugar could be manufactured in India?

No, no Limits.

518. Do you cultivate the Mauritius Cane?

No, the common Cane of the Country. We tried better Sorts of Cane, but our Experiment was not successful. The Place that I am connected with, as regards the Manufacture of Sugar, is 450 Miles from Calcutta; the Voyage up the River is very long, and the Canes sent for planting were damaged in the Voyage; but we are going to try it again, and we are led to believe that we should realize a greater Profit by better Canes.

519. What Tenure have you of the Land which your Tenants hold under you?

We have a Lease from the Government, and the Revenue is settled with us for Fifty Years.

520. When did that Lease commence?

· We have been in Possession for about Seven or Eight Years; but there were some of the other Grants, that were given at the same Time as mine was, that did not come on so rapidly, and the Government have re-considered the Subject, and by way of putting us all on the same Footing they last Year granted a fresh Lease, and commenced again for Fifty Years.

521. You have then Fifty Years now to run? We still have Forty-nine Years to run.

522. Upon what Terms is the Land let to you?

In the first instance, the Government suppose that One-Fourth of the entire. Area is unculturable, and they put that aside altogether.

523. But they leave you the Power to cultivate it?

Yes; that regards the Assessment only. After that, the Three Fourths are divided into Twenty Parts; of those Twenty Parts we are supposed to cultivate One Twentieth every Year for Twenty Years; the Revenue proceeds upon the Data of there being Two Thirds of Two Annas paid for the first Year, and gradually increasing until it becomes Two Thirds of Eight Annas. I am afraid it is so complicated an Arrangement that I can hardly explain it to a Person who has not been in India.

524. Is the Land measured by the Acre?

It is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre.

(43.3.)525. Can I 2:

Andrew Sym, Esq.

6th March 1840.

525. Can you state, by the Begah or by the Acre, how much you actually pay to the Company annually? Do you pay the same Amount every Year?

No; it goes on increasing every Year; it begins at about 150 Rupees and

goes up to 7,500.

526. It begins at 150 Rupees for the whole 60,000 Acres?

For the 25,000 Acres only: My Interest in the Land of Goruckpore extends to 60,000 Acres, but of the Sugar Estate the Grant is only about 25,000 English Acres.

527. Have you made any Estimate how much for the whole 25,000 Acres you will have to pay to the Government?

It is written in my Lease, but I do not carry it in my Head. I know that

the Maximum is 7,500 Rupees.

528. Does it go on increasing for the whole Fifty Years?

No; we get Three Years free to begin with, and then it goes on increasing for Twenty Years; so that at the End of Twenty-three Years it comes to its Maximum, and at that it continues for the Remainder of the Fifty Years. When I use the Term proprietary Right in Land, it must be understood that the proprietary Right in India is not the same as the proprietary Right in England, because the Government, at the End of Fifty Years, have the Power of Assessment ad libitum.

529. You say you are to pay on the Calculation that you cultivate a

Twentieth Part every Year; are you bound to cultivate that?

A Surveyor may be sent on the Fifth, Tenth, and Twentieth Years. The Government reserves to itself a Right, on the Fifth, Tenth, and Twentieth Years, of finding out whether or not we have been doing any thing.

530. If you have not, what happens?

Then the Government have a Right to reclaim all the Land which is not cultivated; it is a mere nominal Thing.

531. In point of fact is One Fourth unculturable?

No.

532. The whole is cultivated?

Yes. There are Rivers and Lakes, Swamps and Roads, occupying some Portion of the Land.

533. Is it a rich Soil?

It is a good Soil for Sugar.

534. What do you do with the rest of the Land beyond the 25,000 Acres? We let it out to different People, and they may cultivate what they please upon it.

535. You are the intermediate Holders between the Government and the Tenants?

Yes; we are the Tenants in Chief.

536. Upon what Terms do you let it

A Rupee a Begah, and sometimes Two Rupees a Begah. We let it upon very easy Leases; but it is a heavy Jungle, and requires a great Expense in order to bring it into Cultivation.

537. Do you let it upon Terms similar to those at which it is let to your-

selves; viz. demanding no Rent for the first Three Years?

We did that at the Beginning to a small Extent, without regard to the Quantity the People cultivated, but we do not do so now; we find that we can get plenty of Tenants without giving them such unfavourable. Terms to ourselves.

538. Is it not a rather unusual Mode of letting Land, the Mode adopted by

the Government in your Case?

It is; and it was only because a large Quantity of Land was out of Cultivation, and the Government wished to have European Capital expended upon it. The usual Term is Twenty Years.

539. What would be the Rent usually paid to Government upon Land as Andrew Sym, Esq. good as that which you hold, in a State of Cultivation?

About Twelve Annas, or 1s. 6d., a Begah. All the Land in our Part of the

Country is very lightly assessed.

540. In the Cultivation you do not consider the Rent to be any Charge worth considering?

Yes; it is about Ten per Cent. upon the Rental of the Estate.

541. Beyond the Rent that you pay to Government do you pay Taxes?

No; the Rent is the Tax.

542. You pay no other Tax of any Kind? No.

543. What do you mean when you say that your Tax to Government is Ten

per Cent. of the Rental?

What we pay to Government we collect from the Tenants; and I think that the Government Revenue will be about Ten per Cent. of the Rentroll of the

544. So that, supposing you collect 100 Rupees, Ten will go to Government, and Ninety to yourselves?

Yes. It is not placed upon that Footing. We have agreed to pay a specific Sum, and we get as much as we can.

545. So that in fact your Land costs you less than nothing; your Land produces a Profit exclusive of your refining of Sugar?

The Sugar Speculation is merely an incidental Thing, which we have taken up in consequence of the high Prices of Sugar in England. My original Occupation was that of a Land Owner; the Land Speculation was my Occupation originally.

546. You took Land from the Government, and then let that Land to Natives, with a Profit?

Yes; that was my original Occupation.

547. The Government have made over to you the proprietary Right for a certain Number of Years, have they not? You might put out the Tenants, if you thought fit?

Not if we give them Leases.

548. But at the Termination of the Lease you could put out the Occupier of the Soil?

We could, legally, but we would not do that.

549. You stand in the Place of the Government, as the Proprietor of the

Soil, during the Currency of the Fifty Years Lease?

Yes; we have as much Right to the Land as any body has to Land in England. But it is not the Custom of India to change Tenants. When a Man sets himself down in a Place we increase the Rent upon him. If such a thing was to happen that he did not pay, of course he would be put out of the Land.

550. Is much Land let upon the same Conditions upon which you hold this Land of the Company?

In the District of Goruckpore there are 200,000 or 300,000 Acres, so let.

551. To Europeans, or Natives?

To both, but principally to Europeans. Myself and Mr. Dickins, the Registrar of the Supreme Court of Calcutta, have the largest Quantity; between us we hold One Half of the whole.

552. The Sugar Cane is raised upon the Estate of which you have spoken, of 25,000 Acres; what is the Cultivation of the remaining Land in which you are interested?

I should think Wheat is one of the most general Crops, and Rice and Oats.

553. From those remaining 35,000 Acres you derive only the Rents you receive from your Tenants?

Exactly so. The Land that I am interested in is divided into Three Grants: (43.3.)

Andrew Sym, Esq. one is held in my own Name, in conjunction with a mercantile House in Calcutta; in that we are carrying on the Sugar Cultivation: another is in a joint Account with myself and a Gentleman who has retired from the Bengal Civil Service; that is about 40,000 Begahs or 30,000 Acres; upon that we are at present doing nothing; we are merely collecting the Rents and paying the Government Jamma. A smaller Grant is upon a joint Account with another Gentleman, in whose Name it stands; it is not in mine.

554. Who had held those Lands under the Government, and who was

accountable for the Jamma, before they were leased to you?

There was no Jamma; the Land was in Jungle; it had been in Cultivation many Years before,

555. What was the Population upon it? Almost none.

556. Was it covered with Wood?

A great deal was covered with Jungle; some of it was in Grass Plains.

557. Can you assign any Reason why Land stated to be of such good Quality

should have remained so long without Cultivation?

I can, as regards one of the Estates. It was in consequence of a Dispute among the Shareholders; they were carrying on a Suit in the Supreme Court for a Period of Twenty-five or Thirty Years, during which Time the Estate went to Jungle.

558. Supposing you met with sufficient Encouragement, how many Maunds

of Sugar could you produce upon those 25,000 Acres?

I have no Doubt we could produce 20,000 to 30,000 Maunds a Year, or probably more; it depends altogether upon the Encouragement we meet with. I could not say how much it is capable of producing. If it were all under Sugar Cultivation it would produce an enormous Quantity; but it never could be all under Sugar Cultivation, with Advantage.

559. You are not acquainted with any of the West India, Islands, or the West India Colonies?

No.

560. You cannot state what the Quality of this Land is, relatively to any of the Land in the West Indies?

I have never seen any of the West India Islands, and therefore I cannot speak to that.

561. Will you describe the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane on this Estate? We cultivate it with the Plough. I have been told that in the West Indies .they cultivate with the Hoe.

562. When you engage any Person at weekly Wages what do you pay? We engage them at daily Wages; at $1\frac{1}{2}d$. or 2d. a Day.

563. Do you dig Holes for the Canes? No; we only plant with the Plough.

564. Is the Soil in which they are planted a stiff tenacious Clay, or a Soil easily worked?

Not with us; it is sandy Soil, but very retentive of Moisture. We do not irrigate our Sugar Cane, but Irrigation of the Sugar Cane is common in many Parts of India.

565. How do you keep the Sugar clean? With the Hoe.

566. Is that very laborious Work?

No, I do not think it is; the Natives of India never work very hard, because they put Two Men upon One Man's Work if it becomes laborious.

567. What Proportion of the Produce is necessary to pay the Tenant's Rent who holds under you?

That depends upon the Price of Grain and the Price of Sugar; it depends upon the Prices of Produce altogether; he does not pay us a Proportion of the Produce, but he pays a specific Sum in Money.

568. And

568. And that is Ten Times as much as you pay to the Government? Yes; I think that is about the Proportion.

Andrew Sym, Esq. 6th March 1840.

569. Have you in many Cases made Advances to them to enable them to cultivate the Land?

We make Advances in regard to the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane and Indigo.

570. The Tenant in that Case pays you more than the Rent?

He pays us the Rent of the Land, but we pay him for the Produce which he delivers to us.

571. Have you in any Case advanced Capital for the Purpose of bringing the Land into Cultivation?

Yes.

572. That is taken into consideration in the Rent; does he pay you Interest for the Capital?

No; we write Interest, but we never exact it. We may charge Interest if we like, but we do not.

573. You make with each Individual a Bargain; your Bargains are various? Yes.

574. Some Land you cultivate yourself?

A little Indigo and Sugar and Oats we cultivate ourselves; not very much.

575. All the rest is held by Tenants? All that is let out; it is not all let out.

576. May they sell their Produce to whom they please?

Yes, if they do not make an Engagement with us. If they have taken an Advance, of course it is Part of the Engagement that they are to deliver the Produce at a certain Price to us.

577. Are they most of them under that Engagement to you? Some of them; not a great many.

578. What Number of Begahs does each of those Tenants hold?

I recollect One Man holding a Thousand Begahs; about 700 Acres; but he was a wealthy Man.

579. In general, what is the Size of the Holdings?

They are sometimes as small as Five Begahs, and very frequently as large as 200 and 400.

580. On how small a Farm does the Tenant carry on the Manufacture of Sugar himself?

He does not manufacture the Sugar; he only squeezes the Cane.

581. If you were able to introduce the Rum into this Country at the same Duty at which the West Indian Rum is introduced, what Proportion would the additional Profit bear to that which you can now make upon the Introduction of Sugar?

As it at present stands, we cannot sell our Molasses at all. Whatever we got for our Rum, after deducting the Expense of making it, and the Freight, would

be Profit.

582. Can you state what Proportion of Molasses you make from a Maund of Sugar?

For each Maund of Sugar we should have about Two Maunds of Molasses. It of course varies.

583. Is there much remaining Land in that Part of the Country disposable in the same Manner?

Not much now; it has been mostly taken up.

584. You said that you ship about 20,000 Maunds; do you mean you ship abroad?

To this Country this Year we shall. I have not the Accounts from Calcutta yet, but I am led to believe we shall ship 20,000 Maunds from Calcutta.

(43.3.) I 4 585. Do

Andrew Sym, Esq. 6th March 1840.

585. Do you sell none in India?

Very often we do; but we have been encouraged this Year, by the high Prices in this Country, and the low Freights, to send it here.

586. At what Price does it sell here? Some of it sold at 70s.

587. That is the full Price of the West India Sugar?

I suspect that it is rather more.

588. So that you would infer from that that it is of the Value of the best

West India Sugar? Yes, as far as a small Quantity goes; but the West Indies could sell more Sugar at the higher Price than we could, because their Sugar is better fitted for

the Refiner. 589. Yours is not used for refining; it is used for consuming in the State in

which it comes? I have been told that it has been mostly used by the Grocers for mixing with the dark Sugar, and producing a Kind of Sugar that looks well.

590. Is the 20,000 Maunds the Produce of this Year?

It is, but not all the Produce of my Estate. The Prices have been so high that we have been induced to buy from our Neighbours. I do not know the Proportion from our own Estate and from other Sources.

591. Is your Sugar incapable of being refined to the same Extent as the West India Sugar? I have been told so. I know nothing of refining of my own Knowledge.

592. Have you sent any Rum to Australia?

I never sent any. I rather think, they are prohibited from shipping Rum directly from Calcutta.

593. Is there any Sale for Rum in India?

The lower-class Natives drink a little of the Spirits made by the Distillers, and the Troops are supplied with Bengal Rum, but not beyond that.

594. Does the Cheapness of Rum tend to increase its Consumption in India?

No, for, cheap as it is, it is not the cheapest intoxicating Liquor; the fermented Juice of the Luna Palm is much cheaper than Rum.

595. Do you know whether the East Indian Sugar and the West Indian Sugar come into the Market in exactly the same State; are they in an equal State of Refinement when they are imported into this Country?

Our Sugar looks much better than the West Indian Sugar does, but it is not nearly so good in Grain. I believe there is not so much Saccharine Matter in our Sugar as there is in the West Indian Sugar, Weight for Weight.

596. Do you attribute that to the Manufacture?

Probably to that, and also to the Circumstance that our Soil is not so strong as the West Indian.

597. Before it is sent here has not it undergone a Process nearer to Refinement than the Muscovado Sugar from the West Indies?

I suspect that a great deal of it has.

598. Do you know how that is effected?

By a Weed from the River, which is laid upon the Top of the Sugar. The Sugar is put in a conical Vessel, inverted with the Cone downwards, and the Weed is put upon the Sugar at the broad End of the Cone, which makes the Molasses trickle down and run out.

599. That is analogous to claying?

Yes, but not done with Clay; a good deal of the Sugar that comes home from India is done in that Way.

600. Have you ever cultivated Tobacco?

I have only cultivated a little, from Maryland and Virginia Seed, as an Experiment.

614. Do

601. How does it answer? It grows very well.

Andrew Sym, Esq. 6th March 1840.

- 602. You say that your Molasses sold in the Country for 1s. 6d. a Maund? Yes; Twelve Annas a Maund.
- 603. And now, owing to the Increase of the Cultivation, you cannot even get that?

No; we cannot sell it at all, as far as I have heard.

604. Are you satisfied with 1s. 6d. a Maund? Is that what you would consider a satisfactory Price?

It is a very low Price, but we were very glad to get it. There was so much offered in the Market that we had great Difficulty in selling.

605. What was the Price of Molasses Five Years ago? Two Shillings; it has come down very much.

606. If you get 70s. per Cwt. for your Sugar, and 1s. 6d. for a Maund of Molasses, even if you lost it entirely that would not deter you from the Cultivation of Sugar?

It depends upon the Freight. When the Freight is 3l. or 3l. 3s., and Sugar

70s. secured in London, it would still be a good Price.

607. Can you state at what Price in London for your Sugar you could still cultivate with Advantage, the Freights remaining as they are, and taking the

general Average of the Sugar you export?

64s. or 65s.; we could still go on with that, supposing the Freights to be at 31. and 31. 3s. The Rate of Exchange alters it also. Supposing that the Rate of Exchange remains at 2s. 1d., which it was by the last Accounts from Calcutta.

608. Should you give up the Trade if it went down to 60s.? For instance, should you not think it worth while continuing if it went down to 60s.?

I would not give it up as long as I could do it without a Loss. I would rather cultivate Sugar without a Profit than not cultivate it at all; because it tends to increase the Value of the Land, and it brings Money into the Tenantry of the Estate.

609. So that you look upon the Cultivation of Sugar more as a Means of improving the Condition of the Tenantry than for the Manufacture itself? Yes; we look upon it both Ways. In this present Year the Sugar itself will

give us a very good Profit.

610. Have you any thing else to complain of, as to the State of the Law, except the high Rate at which the Spirit is introduced?

No; that is the Grievance that presses on me the hardest.

611. Do not you consider that the Duty in this Country being regulated by the Weight, and not by the Quality, the Producer of the highest priced Sugar has an Advantage in the Duty over the general Grower of the common Qualities of West Indian Sugar?

But all People who grow Sugar grow different Qualities of Sugar. That we sent home the other Day was the best; it sold for 70s. The Sugar that is now coming will be inferior to that; it will not sell so high; and the last Sugar that we send will perhaps sell for 60s. We cannot make all our Sugar of first Quality.

612. When you speak of the Profit you make upon the Transmission of Sugar, you speak of the Profit upon the whole Transaction, not upon the Transmission only of the highest priced Sugar?

No; I was meaning the best Sugar.

613. When you spoke of the Profit you had made on the Export of Sugar from India, you spoke of the Profit as being derived from the whole Transaction of that Export, not merely upon the Export of the finest Sugar? Exactly; upon the whole, as we send it home.

(43.3.)K Andrew Sym, Esq. 6th March 1840.

614: Do you buy the Cane from the Natives, and manufacture it yourselves?

Yes, sometimes we do, but it is not a very common Plan with us; the Natives

prefer crushing their own Cane, and bringing the Juice to us.

615. You buy it in the Juice?

Yes.

616. Supposing they bring the Cane, cannot you make more of it than they

would?

No; I do not know that we can, because our own Machinery is very little better than theirs; we have very little Advantage over them. If we had good Machinery no doubt we should be able to get more from the Cane than they would.

617. As to the Quality of your Rum; how do you consider it in the Market, as compared with the West India Rum?

It is inferior to the Jamaica.

618. To what Extent?

From 3d. to 6d. I believe that some Rum has been made in India almost equal to the Leeward Islands Rum.

619. Is it not very unwholesome, that which is called Arrack? I have never tasted it.

620. Is it not so reputed?

I do not know; it seems to be very strong.

621. What is the Population at present upon those 25,000 Acres which are in Sugar Cultivation?

I cannot tell.

622. Is it sufficiently occupied for its perfect Cultivation? Not at all; it is not above half cultivated.

623. Have you still a large Tract of Land that you could lease out to other Tenants?

We have; but we are cutting the Jungle gradually, which is an expensive Operation.

624. Whence do the Tenants come that you engage?

From the neighbouring States. We give them easier Terms than the Zemindars, the Native Landholders, do, and we act up to our Contract more fairly than they do. The Native Landholder always takes as much as he can, without any Regard to the Lease.

625. Do the Natives feel any Objection to the Cultivation of Sugar? No; they like Sugar, but they do not like Indigo much, or Poppy, though

they bring them good Returns. 626. Do they grow Tobacco?

627. Of good Quality?

A good deal.

I suspect that it is not so good as the Cuba Tobacco, or the Tobacco from the Philippine Islands, for both of them are imported as Segars into India.

628. Have you ever exported any Tobacco into this Country? No; the Duty is too high.

629. Should you do so if the Duty was lowered 3d.?

Probably the People of India would try it then; as it at present exists it is not worth while.

630. As far as you have known the Quality of Indian Tobacco, and the Quality of the United States Tobacco, do you think, if you had that Advantage of 3d., you would be able to export it?

I should think so, because I have seen American Tobacco growing in India,

and it grows luxuriantly; I have had it grow myself.

631. Have you ever made any Exports to Ceylon? No; my Operations have not been mercantile.

632. Your principal Object has been to derive a Rent from Land; and you Andrew Sym, Esq. have lately found it profitable to superinduce upon that the Manufacture of

6th March 1840.

Sugar?
Yes; we have introduced Sugar and Indigo, encouraged by the high Price in this Country, and the low Rate of Freight.

633. Does there appear a great Disposition in other Parts of India to increase the Produce of Sugar, in consequence of the present State of Prices?

The Increase will not be so great, probably, as in Goruckpore, because there is not so much Scope for it elsewhere. In a densely populated District there cannot be so much Sugar grown, because the People must grow Grain. We have a great deal of surplus Land, which enables us to grow Sugar.

634. Have you ever imported any Gour from Oude? No, I have never imported any, but I believe it comes.

635. To any Extent?

Yes.

636. Is there any Duty upon passing the Frontier of Oude? None.

637. None on Salt?

Salt is prevented from passing free to our Part of the Country. We do not make Gour; the Natives call the Juice of the Cane, as it is delivered to us, Rab. The Rab, by mechanical Pressure, is made into Shaccar, which is a coarse Kind of Sugar. We buy the Rab sometimes, and sometimes we buy the Shaccar. Of course the Shaccar is much dearer, but there is much more in it.

638. Is Sugar cultivated to any Extent in the Territories of Oude, or is there any Probability that it will be?

I should think that the Oude Cultivation of Sugar will not be very general.

639. It is not cultivated to any great Extent?
It is not cultivated to the great Extent that it is in our Territory, but there is a good deal of Sugar grown in Oude.

640. For the Use of the Natives?

I suspect that almost all the Cane Juice comes to our Territory to be made into Sugar, and then probably it goes back again. I should think that we supply Oude with Sugar.

641. Have you ever exported to this Country any Sugar that came from

No; my Sugar Factory is a very long Way from the Boundary of Oude; it is on the Eastern Frontier of Goruckpore, and it is on the Western Side that Goruckpore is bounded by Oude.

642. Before you move the Sugar from the Land on which it is made, you obtain a Certificate from the Collector of the Customs that it is the Produce of the District?

Yes; from the Revenue Collector of the District I obtain a Certificate that it is the Produce of the District to the best of my Knowledge and Belief; but if a Man gets Sugar from Oude, and sells it to me as the Produce of the British Territory, I can only declare that to the best of my Knowledge and Belief it comes from the Company's Territory,

643. How far have you to go by Land before you get to Water Carriage? The Estate is bounded on the East by the Great Gonduck, which goes into the Ganges at Hagupoor.

644. Is it perfectly navigable at all Seasons of the Year?

It is quite navigable for such Boats as we want. I suspect it is navigable for large Boats at all Seasons; at all events we have not found any Difficulty.

645. You state the Freight to be what you call very low? Three Guineas it was, by the last Accounts.

646. Is that for Ships loaded with Sugar, or do they take Sugar as their

They take Sugar as dead Weight; they all take Indigo and Silk if they can get it.

K 2 (43.3.)647. SupAndrew Sym, Esq. 6th March 1840.

647. Supposing the Production of Sugar very much increased, could they afford to take Freight at any thing like 3l., sending whole Cargoes of it?

I should think not. I do not know much of the Expenses of Shipping, but I should think that Ship Owners could not be paid with Three Guineas. I should think we must lay our Account with Four Guineas.

648. You think at Four Guineas they could carry Sugar?

649. Do you grow Cotton? My Ryots grow it for Native Consumption.

650. Have you made any Attempt to improve the Cultivation?

No; I have grown American Cotton in my own Garden, but not to any Extent. I sent Two or Three small Bundles home that were thought to be very good.

651. Was it the Black or the Green Seed? Both.

652. Were they both good? They were too little to sell; but they were valued at 11d., one little Parcel; but there was much more Care taken of it than could be taken with a large Speculation.

653. Do you know what the other was valued at? I think 7d.

654. That was a good Price, was it not? Yes.

655. In preparing that Cotton that you sent to England did you take particular Care in picking it?

Yes, there was the greatest Care taken.

656. Did that occasion any very great Expense? I cannot tell; the Experiment was on a small Scale.

657. How did you clean it?

We made the Coolies sit down and pick it; a much more expensive Operation than would have paid us for Sale. We should perhaps have lost Money by selling it at 11d.

658. Did the Plant grow well? It grew very well.

+ , 4,

659. Is there any material Alteration in the Fabric of the Cotton Goods of India, in your Récollection; are there finer Goods now produced than were formerly produced?

There is very little of Cotton Goods produced in India; the English Cottons are beating them out of the Market.

660. But for the Use of the higher Classes in India are there any finer Goods now produced?

Not much. I think all the higher Classes are clothed in English Muslins

661. Do others, the lowest of the People, use the Cotton Goods of India? Not the lowest; but almost all the Natives, high and low, in the Neighbourhood of Calcutta, are clothed with English Cottons.

662. They have then no Object in cultivating Cotton?

The Place I am speaking of is Four or Five hundred Miles from Calcutta. They grow their own Cotton there, and weave it, and make a Cloth that lasts Three or Four Times as long as the English.

663. Do the Manufactures there wear better than the English? Much better. Oude used to be a great Place for the Manufacture of Cotton; but even there the English have beaten the Native Weavers out of the Market,

664. Higher up, in the North-western Provinces, do they use the Cottons of Andrew Sym, Esq. India? 6th March 1840.

I have not been up there, so that I cannot say.

665. What do they use the English Cotton Twist for? To make Cloth of it.

666. They weave by Hand the Cotton Twist?
Yes. The English Cloths woven in England are the cheapest of all, but they last the shortest Time. The next is the Cloth woven by Native Weavers from English Yarn; that is a little dearer, and lasts a little longer. The Native Cloth is very dear, but it lasts a very long Time.

667. That is both grown and spun and woven in India?

Yes. I have seen the Tenants at Pudrowna growing the Cotton and weaving it.

668. Are your Tenants doing well? Yes.

669. Have they acquired any Property?

They acquire Bullocks and such Property as that; but the Native Peasantry never acquire Property; they spend it all in Marriages and Festivals.

670. You consider them to be better off than the common Ryots of the Country?

They are certainly better off, on this account, that when we make a Bargain with them we stick to it; we do not alter it.

671. What Lease do you give your Tenants in the Land; do you give them the same Lease that you have yourself?

Sometimes Three Years, and sometimes Seven and Ten. I have given Twenty.

672. Have you an Agent now resident in the Country, or do you manage the Estate yourself?

I used to manage it myself.

673. You have passed some Years there?

Yes; I have been there ever since the Commencement. I was there till I got ill.

674. Is there a Person there now managing it?

Yes; there is a Gentleman there who has a Share in it.

675. How many Europeans are employed in the Management of it? We have only Three, I think, altogether.

676. Is it a healthy Country?

No; very much the reverse. I lost my Health there.

677. Are there any Natives employed, under the Europeans, in Offices of considerable Trust, where, if they were dishonest, they would have the Power of occasioning great Loss?

Yes; the Native Putwarrees collect all the Rents for us.

678. And they act honestly?

Yes.

679. Do you give them high Salaries?

No.

680. And still you are satisfied with their Conduct?

Yes. They cheat when they can; but they cheat the Ryots more than they cheat us. The highest Sum they get is about a Pound a Month.

681. You say they have no Taxes to pay, except their Rent to you? There is a little Tax upon Salt.

682. How do they make their Roads; have they no local Taxation? There is a Tax of One per Cent. on the Jamma upon all Zemindars, and upon us included, by which the Roads are made. The Roads are let out in · **·(43.3.)** K 3

Andrew Sym, Esq. making. That is since Lord William Bentinck went to India. The Ryots formerly were compelled to make the Roads by forced Labour.

683. Is the Government Rent, the Jamma, high in any District with

It is, comparatively; but I do not think it is oppressively high in my Neighwhich you are acquainted? bourhood. I am told that it is high in Bundlecund and Rohilkund; but I have never been there.

684. Who is employed to arrange the Terms between you and the Govern-

ment, on the Part of the Government?

The Collector of Land Revenue; but the Arrangement emanated entirely and was conducted under the Management of Mr. Bird of Allahabad. He is now the First Member of the Revenue Board. The Grants to Europeans was a Scheme of his, which he worked out himself, with his subordinate Officers.

685. Are there any other Europeans in that Neighbourhood who have Grants to a considerable Extent?

Mr. Dickens, the Registrar of the Supreme Court in Calcutta, is a still larger Holder than I am.

686. Are those Holders engaged in the Cultivation of Sugar, or in any mercantile Speculation, or are they satisfied with their Rents?

They have Indigo, and other Things of that Kind. I am not sure about

687. Have any of them employed any Capital in the Improvement of the Land?

Almost all of them.

688. To any considerable Extent?

Yes; we sunk about 10,000l.; and Mr. Dickens must have expended, I should think, more than we have.

689. In what Way did you employ that Money? In clearing the Jungle, and in Buildings.

690. Did you build?

We have a Sugar Factory and an Indigo Factory.

691. You do not build any Residences for your Tenantry?

No; we give them Facilities for building; we give them all the Materials for nothing; they generally build themselves.

692. Are you going on with your Improvements? Yes.

693. How long have you possessed this Land? Since 1831 or 1832.

694. What Extent of Land have you cleared in that Period of Time? About 12,000 Acres of the Jungle.

695. In clearing that Country, do you clear it by grubbing the Roots, or do

you cut the Tree and leave the Root in the Ground?

We grub the Roots, whatever we do ourselves; some of the Land cleared by our Tenants has been done without grubbing.

696. A great Portion of your Ground was natural Meadow, was it not? A good deal was Grass Plain.

697. Was there any particular Reason for making the Contract between you and the Government of so complicated a Nature with respect to the Payment?

It was originally proposed by the Government to send Officers yearly to measure our Land, and to assess us only upon the actual Quantity under Crop; but the Native Officers of Government are in general so rapacious, and so very hard upon the Ryots and Natives of the District, that I petitioned the Governor General to allow me to pay a Quit Rent every Year, without reference to the Quantity, on purpose that I might not have Government Officers every Year coming upon the Estate. I believe I have sustained a Loss; that is, that I have paid more Revenue than I should have had to do had the Government measured the Land; but my Tenants have been saved a good deal of Vexation.

_698. If

698. If the Land is cultivated with Sugar Canes, is it liable to wear out Andrew Sym, Esq. much?

Yes, very much.

6th March 1840.

699. How do you provide Manure?

We do not manure; we lay it under Grain Crops. We never renew the Sugar Cultivation immediately.

700. For what Period is it allowed to lie in Fallow before you take it into Cultivation again?

They do not make it actually Fallow, but they cultivate upon it some light

701. How soon does it come about again, so as to be applicable to Sugar Cultivation?

Sugar ought not to be again cultivated for Five Years. We never allow it to last more than Three Years, in general, when we cultivate the Cane. We cut it the First Year, and leave it a Second, then, in general, dig up the Roots. Sometimes we take a Third Year's Crop off the same Land; but it is not advisable to take more than Two Years, because it wears out the Land, and we are far away from Population, and get Manure with great Difficulty; in fact we can not get it at all, and therefore the only Thing left for us is to let the Land rest.

702. While it is in Sugar Cultivation, what Amount of Labour is wanted for an Acre of Land to cultivate it with the best Advantage?

I should think that One Man with his Wife, and if he had One little Boy of Six or Seven Years old, could cultivate an Acre of Sugar.

703. Do you employ Cattle in the Cultivation of Sugar?

Yes; it is all done by Bullocks The People do not plant with the Hoe, as they do in the West Indies.

704. What is the Sort of Manure that would answer best for your Sugar

Salt would be very good sometimes.

705. Cannot you get that?

Salt is a Monopoly, or there is a high Duty upon Salt.

706. Have you ever tried Saltpetre? We make a little Saltpetre sometimes.

707. Do you get Lime?

Yes; we get Lime very well; but hitherto the Government Assessment is so light upon the Lands, that the best of all Plans is, throwing it out of Cultivation.

708. Where do you get the Lime from?

Up the Gundock River; it comes out of the Nepaul Hills; a little Way from the Hills there is good Limestone.

709. Do you get it down conveniently by Water Transport? Yes; very cheaply indeed.

710. After all, your Land must be very inferior in Richness to the rich Lands of Bengal?

I should think so; it would not stand the excessive cropping that the Bengal Lands would stand, but it is not so liable to Inundation; we have some Advantage in that respect.

711. Is the Sugar Cane generally in Bengal cultivated on Land similar to yours, or on richer Land?

I should think in richer Land.

712. Then, probably, they use Manure, and retain the Cane?
No; I think the alluvial Deposit that is left by the Ganges is Manure sufficient.

713. Is the Sugar Crop a precarious Crop?

The Frost sometimes injures the Crops, so that they may not have so much Sugar; but it is not a very precarious Crop.

(43.3.)**714.** There K 4

Andrew Sym, Esq.
6th March 1840.

714. There is not often a Failure of the Crop?
No, I have never known a Failure; but the last Two or Three Years the Seasons have been unusually favourable for Sugar.

715. Is there any Cultivation in the East Indies of Vegetables that produce Oil?

Yes.

716. Name some? Poppy, and Linseed, and Rape.

717. Has the Sunflower ever been cultivated for that Purpose?
Not to my Knowledge; the Poppy Seed is, I believe, considered best for Oil, and that may be had to almost any Extent.

718. Has Hemp ever been cultivated?
Yes; Hemp grows spontaneously in many Parts of India; in my Part of India, for instance.

719. Was the Tobacco of good Quality that you grew?
I believe it was of good Quality. All the Tobacco used by the Natives in India is grown in India, and every Man smokes. The Consumption of Tobacco in India must be enormous.

720. They import Segars? Europeans use Segars that are imported.

721. It is not good enough for Segars?
The lower Orders of Europeans use the Segars made of Indian Tobacco.

722. Is the Condition of your Tenants improving? Yes; they were all very poor Men when they came to us.

723. Are they enabled to purchase any British Manufactures, except Cotton? They buy Beads, and they are very fond of little Ornaments,—Rings. The Women buy Amulets, and they are very fond of English Umbrellas; and Broadcloths those who can afford it are very fond of; they are also very proud of having Stockings. Natives always in coming into a Room, or any where, as a Mark of Respect to a Superior, take off their Shoes, as we take off our Hats, and they like to have Stockings that they may walk into a Room with.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Monday next, One o'Clock.

Die Lunæ, 9° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Evidence on the Petition of the East IndiaCompany for Relief.

CHARLES EDWARD TREVELYAN Esquire is called in, and examined as follows:

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq.

724. WHAT Situations have you held successively in India?

9th March 1840.

I held a great many. I began by being Assistant to the Resident at Delhi, and while I held that Situation I was employed to settle a Territorial Question pending between the Company and the Raja of Bicancer, and acted in charge of different Divisions of the Delhi Territory, and in charge of the Political Agency at Kotah; then I became Deputy Secretary to the Government in the General Department, and then Deputy Secretary in what we call the Political Department, which answers to the Diplomatic Department in this Country.

725. Was that your last Situation?

It was the last permanent Appointment I held; but I was employed in various other Ways not immediately connected with my Office, and, amongst others, in drawing up a Report on the Transit and Town Duties; as a Member of the Prison Discipline, Education, and Tea Committees; and during the last few Months of my Stay in India I acted as Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

726. The Report you drew up was on the internal Duties of India?

Yes; and after that I acted as a Member of the Customs Committee, which was assembled to revise the Customs System of the whole of British India; and the same Commission was appointed to revise the Post Office.

727. What Proceedings have taken place subsequently to the Report made by

you on the Subject of the internal Customs Duties of India?

The Report was published, and referred to the Board of Customs at Calcutta, who took a different View of the Subject, and wrote another Report, about twice as long, endeavouring to refute it; and so the Question hung. But in the meantime Mr. Ross was appointed to act as Governor of Agra, and he abolished all the internal Custom Houses in the Agra Presidency.

728. Did he do so without having received any Instructions from the General Government to that Effect?

Yes.

729. What Course did the General Government then pursue?

Sir Charles Metcalf, who was then Governor General, seeing that the Transit Duties had been abolished in the Agra Presidency, considered that it would be impossible to retain them in the Bengal Presidency, and he abolished them there also.

730. In what Year were they abolished in the Agra Presidency?

I do not exactly recollect, but it must have been about the End of 1835. Then Lord Auckland came to India, and seeing that the Transit Duties had been abolished both in the Agra and the Bengal Presidencies, he considered that the Town Duties must be abolished also, and he abolished them throughout both Presidencies; and thus we obtained the entire Freedom of the Home Market.

731. What Steps were taken for the Purpose of giving the same Benefit to the Territories under the Government of Bombay?

Lord William Bentinck, just before he left India, appointed a Committee, (43.4.) composed

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq. 9th March 1840. composed of Members from all Three Presidencies, to revise the whole System of Customs, both internal and external, and the Post Office; and they commenced by sending Queries to all Parts of British India; and it was while this Committee was sitting that the Customs were abolished in the Way I have described. The Work was done for them.

Yes; and they also examined into the Customs System of Bombay and Madras, and recommended that the internal Customs of the Bombay Presidency should be immediately and entirely abolished, which was accordingly done. They in like Manner recommended the immediate Abolition of the internal Customs in the Madras Presidency, if the State of our Finances admitted of it; but if not, they proposed that this ruinous and most oppressive System should be got rid of, District by District, as our financial Circumstances allowed, until the whole Presidency should be freed from it; but, up to the Time I left India, no Relief had been given to the Madras Presidency, and I do not know what has been done since.

733. Is the Commercial Intercourse between the Territories under the immediate Government of the Governor General and the Territories of dependent States, or States connected with our Government by subsidiary Treaties, established on one uniform System, or is there a Variety in the Mode of dealing with those States?

There is a Variety.

734. As regards the Kingdom of Oude, what System is pursued?

A System of entire Freedom. There are no Customs Duties whatever; the Trade is entirely free.

735. That is, we levy no Custom Duties on the Import of Goods from Oude, nor the Government of Oude upon the Import of Goods from our Territories?

The Government of Oude levies its own Duties; we have no Concern with them; but as far as we are concerned there is no Duty either upon the Imports from or the Exports to Oude.

736. What is the State of our Relations at present with Mysore?

Mysore is a Portion of the British Dominions in every thing except in Name. The Government is administered by us in every respect. The Country is divided into Districts, which are managed by English Collectors and Magistrates, on the System of our own Provinces; and these Officers are superintended by a Commissioner residing at Bangalore, and are guided by a Set of Regulations based on our general System.

737. There is no Native Authority whatever employed in Mysore?
None whatever, except in strict Subordination to us. There are the same
Native Officers employed there under our Control as there are in our other
Provinces, but no other.

738. Therefore, at the present Time, and since that System has been established, a Certificate of Origin can be obtained from the authorized Officers in the Territory of Mysore upon the Export of any Goods from Mysore? Yes, just the same as if it were an Export from our own Territories.

739. Since what Period have we been to any Extent in the Possession of and administering Justice in Mysore?
As well as I recollect, since 1831.

740. Was the Government taken possession of temporarily, or with a view to permanent Occupation?

Our Occupation of the Country is in effect permanent. The Tenure by which we hold it is very peculiar.

741. Will you state what it is?

It is the continued Incapacity of the Rajah to govern his Country; but under existing Circumstances it is a very secure Tenure; there is no Probability of the Government being given back to the Rajah.

742: In the event of the Rajah's Decease would the Government revert to us?

Esq.
9th March 1840.

C. E. Trevelyan,

Yes.

743. The absolute Sovereignty?

Yes; the Country originally belonged to us, and it would lapse to us as a matter of course.

744. What is the State of our Relations with the State of Travancore?

That of supreme political Control on our Part, and dependent Alliance on their Part. Internally they are independent, but externally their Relations are

managed by us.

745. Do we levy any Duty on the Imports from Travancore into our Territories on Goods the Produce of Travancore?

Yes.

746. And upon the Export of Goods from our Territory?

Yes.

747. Are the judicial Authorities in Mysore British?

Yes.

748. Mysore appears to be as much under our Government as Burdwan?

Yes, just the same; and there is no more Chance of its ceasing to be under our Government.

749. Are you acquainted with the Position of the Port of Alepe in Travancore?

No, I do not know it from the other Ports in Travancore.

750. You are not aware of any Circumstances connected with that Port as a Port of Export; of its having been placed by Orders in Council in this Country under different Circumstances from other Ports?

No.

751. Was there not at one Time a Monopoly of the Pepper Trade in Malabar

on the Part of the Madras Government?

Yes; but that has been abolished for a long Time. The whole Commercial System of British India came under the Cognizance of the Customs Committee, and I remember nothing about any Pepper Monopoly; there is certainly none such at present. There is the Madras Salt Monopoly and the Malabar Tobacco Monopoly.

752. What is the Nature of our Relations with the Territory of the Nizam? That of dependent Alliance on his Part, and of supreme political Control on our Part. His Government is internally independent and externally dependent.

753. Then Duties are levied upon the Imports from the Territory of the Nizam into our Territories of the Produce of the Nizam's Country?

Yes.

754. And vice versâ?

Yes.

755. What is the State of our Relations with the Rajah of Bera?

The same as with Hydrabad.

756. What is the State of our Relations with the Chiefs of Bundlecund, and

generally of Central India?

Our Relations with all the States of India is that of dependent Alliance on their Part, they having the entire absolute Government of their own Territories, but they are not able to form any external Alliances, or carry on any external Negotiations, without our Leave. Sindia and the Ruler of the Punjab alone are nominally independent; but their real Position towards us is the same as that of the others.

757. Then with respect to all those Countries our Commercial Relations are the same in principle as those which we have with the Territory of the Nizam and the Rajah of Berar?

Yes; in principle they are the same.

(43.4.) L 2 758. We

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq. 9th March 1840, 758. We impose Duties upon the Import of their Commodities, and they

Yes, unless there are special Reasons for leaving the Trade free on our Side. Yes, unless there are special Reasons for leaving Duties; but when we do not We never interfere with their Power of levying Duties; but when we do not think it worth while to keep up our own preventive Line, we leave the Trade, as far as we are concerned, free; and that is the Case with respect to the Trade with Lucknow and Nepaul, and all the States on that Frontier of India.

759. Is there any Territory in all India, except our own and the Territory of Mysore, in which a Certificate of Origin can be obtained under the Act of Parliament upon the Export of Sugar or any other Commodity?

None in which such a Certificate can be obtained as can be depended on.

760. There can be in all those Countries no Certificate given by the Officers who alone can give the Certificate under the Act of Parliament?

None whatever.

761. It cannot be a regular Certificate under the Act?
No; it cannot be given by an European Officer, which is required by the Act.

762. Has the Prohibition, which has for some Years prevented the Importation of Foreign Sugar into the Bengal Territory, in your Opinion been perfectly effectual?

As far as I know it has been, but I have left India now upwards of Two Years. I know that the European Inhabitants of Calcutta complained of being obliged to give up their China Sugar Candy; they formerly used nothing but China Sugar Candy in their Tea and Coffee, and for domestic Purposes generally; they have now been obliged to give that up, and to take to the Use of Indian refined Sugar, which they do not like equally well.

763. Are you of opinion that there would be greater Difficulty in preventing the Import of Sugar into the other Presidencies than there has been in preventing its Import into the Presidency of Bengal?

No, I should say not.

764. Do you think there would be any Difficulty in preventing the internal

Import of Asiatic Sugar into those Presidencies?

There would be more Difficulty, certainly, because there is an extensive Line of Frontier which can be crossed at any Point without Difficulty. Crossing a nominal Line on Land is a very different Thing from landing a Cargo of Goods from the Sea; but there are so few independent States that are fit to grow Sugar that I think there would be no practical Difficulty.

765. That is, there are few independent States that grow Sugar at present; but supposing that the Presidencies of Madras and Bombay were to become exporting Countries of Sugar, and thereby the internal Demand for the Consumption in the Two Presidencies were increased, do you think that then it would lead to any considerable Importation of Sugar into those Presidencies?

No, I should say not, because the independent States which border on the Bombay and Madras Presidencies are generally not fit for the Cultivation of Sugar. They grow no Sugar, except perhaps for their own Consumption, and not always that; and they never can become Sugar exporting Countries. The Nizam's Territory, for instance, which borders for many Hundred Miles on both those Presidencies, is quite unfit for the Cultivation of Sugar for Exportation.

766. Therefore a great deal of Difficulty in the Transit would attend the

Introduction of Asiatic Sugar into those Presidencies?

Yes; and more than that, the Native States bordering on those Presidencies are not fit for the Growth of Sugar; they have never been Sugar Countries. In England we hear of Sugar coming from India, and do not distinguish between the different Parts of India; but in India we know that there are different Parts that have peculiar Capabilities of growing Sugar, and that there are other Parts that have not those Capabilities.

767. Was the China Sugar Candy imported into Bengal superior to the Sugar grown in India?

No. The European Society had been accustomed to use China Sugar Candy, and did not like to give it up. I do not think it was better.

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq. 9th March 1840.

768. Was it not imported also as refined Sugar?

No; only in the Shape of Sugar Candy.

769. To what Extent had it gone?

The European Residents in the Agra and Bengal Presidencies generally consumed it.

770. Was it at all in consumption among the Natives?

To a certain Extent; but it was principally consumed by Europeans.

771. Then in fact it was a mere Luxury, and not a Necessity? Yes.

772. Is the China Muscovado Sugar good of its Kind? I never saw any China Sugar except this Sugar Candy.

773. Is that well manufactured?

Yes. Europeans prefer it to the soft Sugar made in the Country.

774. How is it as compared with the Sugar made in the West Indies? Not so good as that, certainly.

775. Not so sweet in proportion to the Bulk? No; nor so well made.

'776. What Districts are most applicable to the Production of Sugar?

Our great Sugar Districts are Benares and Ghazeepoore, and, I may say, generally the central District of the Valley of the Ganges. A good deal of Sugar is also grown towards the Head of the Valley of the Ganges. In former Times, before the Navigation of the Ganges and Jumna was opened, all upper India, as far as the Punjab and the Indus, and even the whole of Central Asia to the Frontiers of Russia, used to be supplied from Rohilcund; but since Peace has been established, and the Navigation of the Ganges and Jumna has been fully opened by the Protection afforded by our Government, the Growth of Sugar in Rohilcund has been continually diminishing, and the Countries which used to be supplied with Sugar from Rohilcund are becoming more and more supplied from the Benares District. Owing to the same Causes, the Cultivation of Sugar has been entirely discontinued in Bundlecund, and the Stone Sugar Presses may everywhere be seen lying neglected and broken. The Benares Province is our Jamaica; it is the great Sugar District of India. As an Instance of its Capabilities in this respect, in 1789, when the first Commercial Resident was sent to Benares, he reported that only 30,000 Maunds of Sugar were then grown in the Ghazeepoor District; and in 1832 261,133 Maunds paid Duty on Exportation, besides what was consumed in the District, and smuggled out of it. have the Statement in the Report which I made on the internal Customs. This great Increase took place without any Encouragement beyond that which was afforded by the gradual Extension of the Home Market.

777. Can you give any Opinion as to the probable Increase that would take place if the Encouragement were given as prayed for by the Petition?

It is quite unlimited. The Valley of the Ganges is a Tract of alluvial Country of extraordinary Fertility about 1,000 Miles long and from 150 to 300 Miles broad, and if perfect Freedom of Trade prevailed, and the necessary Degree of Capital and Skill were applied to the Production of Sugar, it might grow Sugar sufficient for the Consumption of the whole World. It might get its Grain from the neighbouring Countries, which are suited only for the Production of Grain, and might itself be given up to the Growth of Sugar, Indigo, Tobacco, and other valuable Productions. There is a very marked Distinction in India as to the Soil of the different Districts. The Valley of the Ganges is an alluvial Country, and suited to the Production of the more valuable Articles, such as Sugar and Tobacco and Indigo. The whole of the Centre of India, which is occupied principally by the Native States, is a dry Country, fit only for the Production of dry Grains. Up to the last Mahratta War Central India was (43.4.)

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq.9th March 1840. so much laid waste by the Pindarees that the Inhabitants were obliged to get, not only their Sugar, Tobacco, and Indigo, but also their Corn, from the Valley of the Ganges; but since Central India has been settled, a proper Division of Labour has begun to take place, and now we import Corn from Central India, and they take our Sugar, Tobacco, &c. to a greater Extent than ever. I have no Doubt that as Trade increases that Division of Labour will become more complete, and that the Valley of the Ganges will grow more and more of the valuable Articles, and Central India will grow more and more of the different Kinds of Grain.

778. When you state that this more extended Cultivation would in your Opinion take place if there was perfect Freedom of Trade, do you mean by "perfect Freedom of Trade" any thing more than the Admission into this Country of East India Produce at the same Duty as the West India Produce?

779. Would there be any Difficulty in procuring the Labour of Natives to any Extent in the Cultivation of Sugar?

None. There are upwards of 60,000,000 in the Valley of the Ganges alone.

780. Do they in Benares use the Plough or the Hoe in putting in the Canes?

I should say the Plough.

781. Have your ever seen the Cultivation?

I have never seen it in Benares, but I have seen it in other Parts of India. The Plough certainly is used; the Hoe is not known in India. Nothing can be ruder than the Native Process both of cultivating and manufacturing the Sugar Cane.

782. In what respect are you deficient in that Freedom of Trade which you

say would largely increase the Production of the Valley of the Ganges?

We were, till Three or Four Years ago, when the Duties on East India Sugar were put on the same Footing as the Duties on West India Sugar, entirely deficient in that Freedom of Trade; our Sugars were shut out entirely from the English Market. Since the Duty was equalized on East and West India Sugar and Coffee, we have partially obtained that Freedom of Trade. What is wanted to give India fair Play is that that Principle should be carried out to the full Extent, and that not only our Sugar and our Coffee should be admitted. on the same Footing as the West Indian Sugar and Coffee, but also our Rum and our Tobacco, and every thing else; and I have no Doubt that it would have a very great Effect, judging from the Effect which the Equalization of the Duties has already had upon the Exportation of Sugar from Bengal.

783. Confining your Reply to the Question of Sugar only, what Alteration is it that you would suggest, as regards Sugar, as being necessary in order to give that Freedom of Trade in Sugar to which you attach so much Importance?

None, as regards Sugar in the Presidencies of Bengal and Agra; but Rum is a component Part of Sugar, and until every thing that is manufactured from the Sugar Cane in India is put upon an equal Footing with every thing that is manufactured from the Sugar Cane in the West Indies we shall not have fair Play.

784. When you speak of peculiar Territories in India being suited to the Growth of Sugar, do you mean by that to refer to the Capability of the Climate for the Growth of the Sugar Cane?

I refer to the Nature of the Soil, and to the Means of Irrigation, much more than to the Climate.

785. Are you aware that any considerable Portion of the Sugar of India imported into this Country is produced from other Substances than the Sugar

I have heard lately that it is manufactured from the Palm Tree; but I never heard it in India, and therefore I suspect the Truth of it.

786. But, assuming the Fact to be that a considerable Portion of the Sugar which is now imported into this Country is the Manufacture of the Juice of the Date Tree, would that in any respect vary the Observations which you have already made?

It would only strengthen them, by showing that the Capabilities of the Valley 9th March 1840. of the Ganges are greater than what I supposed.

C. E. Trecelyan,
Esq.

Oth March 1840

787. Are there not, connected with many of the lately established Sugar Manufactories in India, Distilleries for the Manufacture of Rum?

I have never seen any of those Manufactories, and I am not qualified to say. I know that it is considered as a Grievance by Europeans who manufacture Sugar that they are not able to send their Rum to England.

788. Are you aware that a considerable Quantity of Rum does come to England for the Purpose of Foreign Export?

I am not aware of it.

789. Can you inform the Committee of the Price at which the Rum is produced at Calcutta, and of the relative Price of Rum and of Rice Spirit?

No; I never had Occasion to enter into those Details; but I know that Rice Spirit is much cheaper than Rum.

790. You spoke of the Power that existed, in your Opinion, of employing certain Districts in India in the Growth of Grain; are we to understand by that, Wheat?

Yes, all Kinds of Grain, but principally the coarse Pulse of different Kinds which forms the Food of the Mass of the People in the Upper Provinces.

791. Do you know any large Establishments in Calcutta engaged in the Export of Grain from India to England?

Yes; there is a very flourishing Establishment of that Kind in Calcutta.

792. Is that Mr. Rawson's?

Mr. Smith's was the Name by which it was known.

793. Do you know at what Duty that is imported into this Country? No.

794. Do you think the Cultivation of Grain, if the Duty were lowered, could be considerably extended?

If it would bring a remunerating Price in England; but I am not qualified to say whether it would or not.

795. Do you know whether the Flour that was imported into England was for the Purpose of Food, or of being used in the Manufactories?

We were given to understand in India that it was used for Starch; I understood that it was so inferior to English Flour that nobody would use it for Food in England, and it was used principally or entirely to stiffen the Chintzes. I should say that India will never become a Corn exporting Country to Europe; it may export Corn to the neighbouring Asiatic Countries, but it will never export it to Europe. The Corn is very inferior to European Corn, and the Distance is greater than that of many Countries which are much better suited to export Corn. Its great Staples are the more valuable Products, Sugar, Indigo, Tobacco, and so forth.

796. You say that if there were greater Facilities of importing it here Tobacco might be cultivated to any Extent; what is the Obstacle to its being brought here?

That it has to pay the same Duty as the Virginian Tobacco, which is much more valuable, so that the Duty upon East Indian Tobacco is really much heavier than that upon the Virginian Tobacco.

797. Is this Inferiority in the Tobacco inherent in the Plant, or does it arise from the Circumstance that there is not so much Care given to its Manufacture as in America?

I should say both; just as in the Case of Sugar. The East Indian Sugar Cane is inferior to the West Indian Sugar Cane, and the East Indian Manufacture is inferior to the West Indian Manufacture. In the Case of Rice, the East Indian Rice is inferior to the American Rice; and the Indian Cotton is inferior to the American Cotton.

(1 (43.4.) L 4 798. Then

C. E. Trevelyan, 9th March 1840.

798. Then, according to that, the Tobacco from the East Indies could not be imported to any great Extent unless it had the Advantage of a diminished Duty as compared with American Tobacco?

No.

799. It is stated that the Advantage of 3d. per lb. would be sufficient? I understand so, but I have not sufficient Information to be able to give an Opinion.

800. The Reduction of 3d., being the Difference between the Duty paid upon East Indian Tobacco and the Tobacco grown in Canada, would be sufficient to

facilitate the Cultivation of Tobacco? ...

I am not qualified to say. All I know is, that the East Indian Tobacco is very inferior to the Virginian, and that they pay the same Duty; so that in fact the Duty is higher upon the East Indian than upon the Virginian. If, instead of this, they were to be subjected to an ad valorem Duty, and thus entered this Country upon an equal Footing, no doubt a great deal of East Indian Tobacco would be consumed.

801. Do you think the Quality could be improved?

I have no doubt it could; it has been entirely neglected as yet. We know that the Quality of the Sugar Cane may be greatly improved; a good deal has been already done towards it by the Introduction of better Sorts of Cane. I think there is also every Reason to believe that the Cotton may be improved; and we may therefore conclude that the Quality of the Tobacco may be improved likewise.

802. Do the Natives of India use a large Quantity of the precious Metals in Ornaments?

Yes.

803. Do you believe, considering the large Importation of the precious Metals into India, that the Circulation has been increased?

No; it has been diminished, which falls very heavy upon the Land Revenue;

804. To what do you attribute that Diminution, it being stated that there is

a large Importation into India every Year of the precious Metals?

To several Causes. One Cause was, that before the Trade was thrown open in 1814 great Quantities of Cloths were brought to this Country from India which were paid for in Silver; now we send our own Cloths to India, and they are paid for in Produce, so that there is not the same Demand for Silver to be sent to India as formerly. But the main Cause of the Drainage from India of the precious Metals is the unnatural State of the Export Trade. In trading with Countries which stand upon an equal Footing with us, as with France or Russia, where they send us a Value, we send them an equal Value in return; but in the Trade between England and India they have to send to us about Five Millions every Year more than we send them. The exportable Produce of India is not sufficient to meet this unnatural State of the Export Trade; the Price of the Articles in the Indian Market fit for Exportation to England is run up to an unnatural Height, and we are obliged to make up the Deficiency by sending In that Way, about the Year 1832, such Quantities of Silver had been remitted to England, to supply the great Remittances which the Company was making at that Time, that there really was no Silver left in Bengal to pay the Land Tax; and in several Districts the Zemindars told us that they should be very happy to pay it in Copper or in Produce, but that they had no Silver to pay it in.

805. So that in point of fact India has been an exporting Country to

India, in the long run, is an importing Country, as far as the precious Metals are concerned; but at that Time the Remittances to England were so much increased that they could not all be made in Produce, and the Deficiency had to be made up by sending Silver. Thus India was drained of Silver for the Time, but it has come back since in a great measure.

806. Where from? From England.

807. In the ordinary Course of Trade?

Yes: Soon after the Effects took place which have been described, the Company very considerably diminished their Remittances to England for a Time, upon which the Prices of exportable Produce fell in the Calcutta Market, the Exchange became much more favourable to India, and Silver began to flow back.

C. E. Trevelyan,
Esq.

9th March 1840.

808. Then do your believe in the Average of Years, for instance, for the last Ten Years, that more Silver has been sent to India than has come from India?

Certainly; in the long run more Silver must be sent to India, because there is a great Demand for Silver in India, both for Ornaments and hoarding, and for supplying the Wear and Tear of the Currency.

809. If that be the Fact, does not that refute the Proposition that you maintain, that the Tendency of the Trade of India with the rest of the World

was of a Description to drain it of its Specie?

The general Tendency of the Trade with India is to supply it with Specie; but besides the ordinary mercantile Exports of India, there is the Amount of 5,000,000L a Year to be sent to England to pay the Home Establishment, and to remit the Fortunes of the Civilians and Military, and of the Merchants and Planters, and in order to meet that unnatural Export every now and then we have to export a great Quantity of Silver, and that causes temporary Inconvenience.

810. If it be the Fact, that notwithstanding this large Sum to be remitted for the Purposes of the Civil Government, the Influx of Specie into India still continues, is not that a Proof that the Trade, as a Trade, must consist of an

Exportation of much larger Value than is imported?

Yes; but that is all to the Disadvantage of India; 5,000,000l. Sterling a Year is subtracted from the Wealth of India and added to the Wealth of England, which is the most serious Evil which India suffers from its Connexion with England. We take 5,000,000l. from India every Year, and appropriate it to ourselves, just as much as if it were taken from one Individual and given to another.

811. That is a Loss to India, and a Gain to this Country; but still, is it not a Proof that there is a very large Consumption on the Part of the rest of the World of the Productions of India, that India is enabled to meet that unnatural Demand, and still to export the precious Metals to this Country?

Yes, certainly; but that does not benefit India, because if the Indigo and Sugar and Rice, and so forth, were not sent away to provide the Means of making this unnatural Remittance, they would be sent away in the ordinary

Course of Trade, and India would get an Equivalent for them.

812. The Question is, not whether it is a Benefit to India to have to pay 5,000,000l abroad for nothing, but as to the Balance of Trade of India with the rest of the World, whether it does not prove that a larger Amount is taken from India than is sent to it?

It proves that 5,000,000L a Year more are taken from India than are sent to it, and that she has very valuable Productions which enable her to remit this great Amount. If she were not a very rich Country she would sink under it very soon. I have an official Statement (included in my Report on the Transit and Town Duties), which I will take the Liberty to read, of the total Imports and Exports of the Port of Calcutta, both of Merchandize and Treasure, for a Period of Twenty Years, from 1813-14, when the Charter was renewed, to 1832-33.

STATEMENT of the total Imports and Exports of the Port of Calcutta both of Merchandle and treasure from 1813-14 to 1832-33.

*				<u>:</u>		,	water a
	Imports.			Exports.		المحمد المستسب	GRAND TOTAL
YEARS.	Merehandize.	Treasure.	Total.	Merchandíze.	Treesure.	Total.	11.00
	Sicca Rupees.	Sicca Rupees.	Sieca Rupeea.	Sicca Rupees.	Sicca Rupees.	Sicca Rupees. 4-64-51-069	Sicca Rupees. 6.91.17.752.
1813-14	1.69.11.317	57.55.366	2.26.66.683	4.64.08.319		` 1	•
1814-15	1.59.42.141	1.11.84.285	2.71.26.426	4.73.44.878	1.54,625	4-74-99-503	7,46,25,930
1815-16	1.67.29.595	1.94,49.746	3.61.79.341	5.63 95.085	12.37.395	5.76.32.480	9.38.11.821
1816-17	2.07.73.124	4.13.35.318	6.21.08.442	6.11.84.351	18.37.636	6.30.21.987	12.51.30.429
1817-18	2.98.79.566	3,31.71.670	6.30.51.236	6.51.00.658	32.51.281	6.83.51.939	13.14.03.175
1818–19	2.97.37.436	5.23.40.569	8.20.78.005	5.83.23.194	35.72.173	6.18.95.367	14.39.73.372.
1819-20	1.75.21.977	4.10.84.670	5.86.06.647	5.43.31.305	66.47.505	6.09.78.810	11.95.85.457
1820-21	2.24,45.163	2.40.71.335	4.65.16.498	5.68.03.248	12,29,363	5,80,32,611	10.45.49.1091
1821-22	2.59.03.599	2.21.49.437	4.80.53.036	5.35.53.123	1.23.96.395	6.59.49.518	11.40.02.554
1822-23	2.68.66.535	1.72.89.382	4.41.55.917	6.18.51.480	51.51.966	6.70.03.446	11.11.59.363
1823-24	2.61.98.443	1.31.69.214	3.93.67.657	5.05.45.292	1.22.53.039	6.27.98.331	10.21.65.988
1824–25	2.86.55.916	1,21,42.271	4.07.98.187	5.26.16.355,	34.91.676	5.61.08.031	9,69,06,218
1825-26	2.14.98.729	1.50.58.005	3.65.56.734	5.66.39.922	1.38.704	5.67.78.626	9,33,35,360
1826-27	2.17.60.679	1.26.00,153	3.43.60.832	.5.12.26.319.	11.15.032	5.23.41.351	; 8.67.02.183)
1827-28	2.79.97.567	1.42.01.609	4.21.99.176	5.95.27.104	44.80.987	6.40.08.091	10.62.07.267
1828-29	3.01.92.732	69.02.379	3.70.95.106	5.02.81.959	17.63-193	5.20.45.152	8.91.40.258
1829-30	2.37.31.457	1.09.18.623	3.46.50.080	4.50.02.059	16.40.321	4.66.42.380	8.12.92.460
1830–31	2.73.21.374	60.97.416	3,34.18.790	4.62.82.717	33.11.136	4.95.93,853	8.30.12.643
1831-32	2.30.10.219	37.31.578	2.67.41.797	4.30.65.594	1:05:39.812	5.36.05.406	8.03.47.203
1832-33	1.96.89.529	. 46.96,563	2.43,86.092	4.46.34.364	78.46.037	5.24.80.401	7.68-66-493
	<u>, </u>		,			.,	()

"During this Period of Twenty Years the Imports amount to 84.01.16.682 and the Exports to 1.14.32.18.352 Rupees, being an Excess on the Export Side of 30.31.01.670 at Calcutta alone; and if the Trade of Bombay and Madras were taken into account the Excess of Export over Import, which is the same thing as Remittance, would be much greater; probably it does not amount to less than Fifty Crores of Rupees, or, at a rough Calculation, 50,000,000L Sterling, drained from this poor Country during the Twenty Years under Consideration." In one Sense India is a poor Country, because it has very little accumulated Wealth; but in another Sense it is a rich Country, because it is capable of producing almost any thing. For several Years previous to the last Renewal of the Charter extraordinary Demands were made upon India for Remittance by the Company. Great Sums were remitted to England over and above what had been usually remitted, and I attribute to that the remarkable Way in which the Country was drained of Silver. This was productive of serious Effects; Money became much scarcer and more valuable; the Price of Grain and other Articles for Home Consumption fell in an extraordinary Degree; and the Land Tax, which is payable in fixed Sums of Money, was felt for a Time as a most oppressive Burden, even where it was not, in an ordinary State of Things, heavier than it ought to be.

813. Therefore, if the Want of Specie which you describe has notwithstanding shown itself, must it not arise either from a Disposition to hoard, or a Consumption of the Article for Ornaments or other Uses in the Country?

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq. 9th March 1840.

That is Part of the ordinary Consumption. The Natives of India have always been in the habit of hoarding the precious Metals, and of using them profusely in Ornaments. There is also great unnecessary Waste in the Coinage of the Native States, which issue a new Coin every Year, with a view to gain by the Depreciation of the Coin of preceding Years. The Coin of past Years is taken by them at a lower Rate, in proportion to the Length of Time it has been gried and then it is either to soited or resisted at a higher Rate. has been coined, and then it is either re-coined, or re-issued at a higher Rate without being re-coined.

814. Does not our Government re-coin frequently? No, not more than it ought to do.

815. Does not the Confidence the Natives have in our Government tend to

diminish the Disposition to hoard?

The greater Part of the rich Proprietors in our own Provinces No Doubt. who used to hoard their Money now invest it in the Government Funds; but the habit of hoarding arising from Ages of Insecurity still prevails, particularly among the lower Orders. The greatest Consumption of the precious Metals is in Ornaments, which is itself a Kind of hoarding. A Native of the lower Ranks estimates his Wealth by his Wife's Jewels, and when he has an extraordinary Demand upon him he sells as many of them as are necessary to meet it.

816. Does the Taste for and the Consumption of precious Metals prevail

pretty equally in different Parts of India?

Less in proportion to the Length of Time the People have been under our Government; because they want less for hoarding and less for Ornaments.

817. You conceive that the great Demand for the precious Metals in India

is founded upon the Principle of securing some valuable Possession?

As India has an immense Population, and no Mines, even its ordinary Demand must be very great. But there is also this extraordinary Demand: that every Man tries to get as many Jewels as he can for his Wife, to serve him in Time of Need.

818. Then, in proportion as the Country became more civilized it would have a greater Demand for the precious Metals for the Purposes of Ornament; and, on the other hand, in proportion as it was less civilized it would have a greater Demand for them for the Purpose of hoarding?

I do not think the Natives would ever use more than they do at present for Ornaments. They cover their Wives and Children with them, besides what

the Men wear themselves.

819. Do they melt down the Gold Coin for the Purpose of those Ornaments?

Yes, to a certain Extent.

820. In what Form is Silver imported? Principally in Dollars.

* 821. What Proportion of this Import of the precious Metals is in Gold?

It is almost all in Silver. The Standard is Silver. There is a Coin called the Gold Mohur which is used principally for hoarding and Ornaments; it is scarcely ever used in exchange.

822. Does it bear a Premium?

Yes. On that Account it is rarely to be met with; it is only to be got when it is expressly called for.

823. Is it a legal Tender?

No; the Rupee is the legal Tender.

824. Do the Company coin those Gold Coins?
Yes, as fir as they are called for; but they do not form the Currency of the Country; they are used for the Purpose of hoarding and Ornaments. For (43.4.)

M 2 instance,

C. E. Trevelyan, Esq.

9th March 1840.

instance, when a Lady wants to make a Gold Chain, she sends for a Number of Gold Mohurs, and weighs out to the Jeweller the necessary Number which are used by the Jeweller in making the Chain.

825, Is the Gold Coin stamped at all?

Yes, the same as the Rupee, with the Queen's or, rather, King William the Fourth's Head, for I believe the Queen's Effigy has not yet been stamped upon the Coin. The Government is probably apprehensive that the Shroffs would take advantage of the Change, and depreciate the old Coin.

826. Do you know the Proportion between Gold and Silver in Bengal? Not accurately enough to hazard an Assertion. I believe it to be much the same as it is in Europe.

827. If this Gold Coin is not a legal Tender why do the Government coin it at all?

Because there is a certain Demand for it for the Purposes I mentioned.

828. It is a Demand for it as Merchandize, not as Coin? Exactly.

829. Is there a Seniorage paid at the Mint?

Yes; a small Seniorage, amounting, I think, to Two per Silver. I do not know how the Case stands with respect to the Gold.

830. Is there no Gold found in any of the Rivers of the Southern Parts of India?

I never heard of it in the South. I have heard of its being found in the Himalayas, and collected to a certain Extent; but it is nowhere found in sufficient Quantities to affect the Market. There are no Silver Mines at all.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Henry Gouger, Esq.

HENRY GOUGER Esquire is called in, and examined as tollows:

9th March 1840.

831. YOU are a Proprietor of Land near Calcutta, are you not? In company with other Parties, I am.

832. What is the Extent of your Property? The Property consists of about 230 Acres of Freehold Land.

833. Do you pay any Tax to the Government upon that Land? None whatever; it is Rent-free Land.

834. Upon what Ground has it been made Rent-free?

It is, I believe, nearly the only Freehold in India held by a British-born Subject: it was a Grant by the Marquis of Wellesley, I think, to a Gentleman in Calcutta, for some Service rendered, which at this Distance of Time I do not recollect.

835. About how far from Calcutta is it? About Fifteen Miles.

836. When did you purchase that Property? In the Year 1835 or 1836.

837. Did you find any Establishments of Machinery upon it? Yes; several.

838. Of what Description?

There was a large Manufactory for Cotton Yarn, a Foundry, Machinery for expressing Oils, and a very large Distillery, also a Paper Mill.

839. Have you used the whole of that Machinery since you have been in possession of the Property? and the state of t Yes; the whole of it.

840. To what Extent have you carried the Manufacture of Cotton Yarn? The Mills have produced about 700,000 lbs. Weight per Annum.

841. Is

841. Is that Manufacture increasing?:

No; it is quite stationary.

Henry Gouger, Esq. . 9th March 1840.

842. Do not you now find a greater Demand for it in India than there was at first?

There is an ample Demand for it, but we have not Machinery to make

843. Can you sell at a Profit all the Yarn you can make? We have hitherto done so.

844. Are there any other Mills of a similar Description? No, none.

845. Do you undersell the Yarn imported from England? Yes, certainly.

846. Your Yarn is used in preference to the English, as being of better

Some of the Qualities are more in Demand, and sell at a somewhat higher Price; others again are not superior to the English. Our coarser Numbers are most approved.

847. What Power do you work with? With Steam Power.

848. Where do you obtain your Coals?
They are obtained from a Colliery owned by the Indian Firm of which I am a Member; not by the same Parties who possess the Mills.

849. Can you produce Coal in any Quantity? We can in any required Quantity.

850. How far from your Works is the Colliery; whereabouts is it situated? I believe it is about Eighty Miles from Calcutta.

851. Is it on the Ganges?

No; it is on a River running through the Burdwan District, which falls into the Hooghly or Western Branch of the Ganges.

852. Have you the Advantage of Water Carriage for your Coals?

853. At what Rate per Ton can you deliver your Coal at your Works? We get a good Profit at 16s. per Ton, delivered at the Works.

854. Will you describe the Quality of the Coal, as compared with the Qualities of Coal known here?

It appears to me to be very much like the Inland Coal of this Country: very much like the Warwickshire Coal.

855. Is it Surface Coal?

Yes.

856. Is it found in great Quantities? In very large Quantities.

857. Does the 16s. a Ton include the Carriage?

Yes; and a fair Profit. The actual Cost of it is about 12s. or 13s. a Ton in llcutta.

858. Are you the only Person that has ever worked this Coal?

No; there are Two Collieries, another besides our own, and both have been worked for some Timel

859. That has been only within the last few Years? Within a very few Years,

860. Has not the Coal been known for many Years?

861. To whom does the Property in the Soil belong?
We have purchased it.

(43.4.)

862. Have

Henry Gouger, Esd. 9th March 1840.

862. Have you purchased the Land that the Coal is on ?

863. Do:you sell the Coal at all?

Yes.

864. Do you sell it to Steam Vessels?

Yes; we supply a great deal to the Steam Vessels in Calcuttal.

865. Has Coal been discovered at Mergui in Tenasserim?

Yes.

866. Has any of that Coal been imported into Calcutta?

The Discovery has been made since I left India, and I have only a Knowledge of it through the late Commissioner of Tenasserim.

867. Do you know whether any has been imported from Mergui to Calcuttà?

I do not know that any has.

868. Is the Coal in the alluvial Country or in the Hill Country?

In the alluvial Country; that is, within the Tract of Inundation; the actual Site of the Mines is never under Water.

869. Do you work the Mine without being troubled with the Water? We are not troubled with the Water at present.

870. Do you suppose, when you get a greater Depth, the Quality of the Coal will improve?

We have no Means of judging at present.

871. You have not bored to any Depth for the Purpose of ascertaining

I am not certain whether we have or not; the Mines have been purchased since I left Calcutta, and, consequently, I am not intimately acquainted with the Details.

872. Is the Supply at present equal to the Demand, and to the increasing Demand?

Fully so.

873. The Supply could be carried to any Extent?

I should think to any Extent. The Mines have hitherto supplied as much as Calcutta required.

874. Do you suppose the Field of Coal to extend for any great Distance? I have no Means of judging.

875. Is, it, the First Seam?

It is.

876. Do you know whether there is a Second and Third Seam? I cannot say.

877. Do you employ Natives entirely in the Colliery?

With the Exception of One Superintendent, the Parties employed are all

878. How much below the Surface is the Coal?

Never having seen the Mines, I can hardly give an Answer to that Question.

879. But no great Depth? No great Depth.

880. Have you manufactured Rum to any Extent? Yes, to a considerable Extent.

881. Have you exported any to this Country? Yes.

882. What has been done with it here?

It has been sold largely to the Contractor for Her Majesty's. Government.

: }

883. When you say the Government, do you mean for Naval Purposes? Yes.

9th March 1840.

884. From what have you made the Rum? From Goor and Molasses.

885. In any Case from Maple or Dates?

Never, that I am aware of.

886. Have you ever seen any Rum or Arrack manufactured from Maple or Dates?

I have drunk the Arrack from the Palm, but have never imported it as an Article of Commerce.

887. Is it easily distinguished from Rum made from Molasses? Very easily.

888. Can it be so manufactured as to resemble and be mistaken for Rum made from Molasses?

I imagine that it would be quite impossible.

889. Upon what Grounds?

Because a very small Quantity of the Products of any Palm mixed with Goor or Molasses will immediately deteriorate the Quality of the Rum.

890. Deteriorate its Flavour?

Yes. I have in my Pocket a Letter from my Partners in India which proves that Fact. The following is a Quotation:—"The greater Part of the Molasses has to be procured from the Upper Provinces, the Bengal Produce being often mixed with Date Molasses, which destroys the Flavour of the Rum. We expect, now that the Rivers have opened, to have a full Supply of good Quality, and have Advices of a Quantity being afloat, and daily expected at Gloster, equal to the Production of 30,000 Gallons." The Distillery was in fact stopped, rather than use Molasses in which a Mixture of Palm Product might be apprehended.

891. Have you ever manufactured Arrack from Rice? No, never.

892. Have you seen Arrack made from Rice?

Yes; I have seen Spirit made from Rice.

893. Are you aware whether that Spirit can be so adulterated as to resemble and be mistaken for Rum?

I should imagine that to be impossible; the Flavour is quite different.

894. Has your Import of Rum into this Country increased? Yes, considerably.

895. What has induced you to increase the Import? We have received a very good Profit from it.

896. Of the Quantity of Rum you have imported into England, have you exported from this Country the largest Portion, or disposed of the largest Portion to Government?

I think the largest: Portion has been sold for the Use of Her Majesty's Government; but I have no Means of ascertaining that Fact.

897. What Quantity have you imported in any One Year?

During the last Year we have imported rather more than 25,000 Gallons.

898. Has the great Cheapness of Molasses enabled you to manufacture the Rum so as to obtain a Profit here?

No; the Molasses have been rather the reverse of cheap; they have been rather in greater Request, for the Manufacture of Rum.

899. Is the Manufacture of Rum increasing in India? Very materially.

900. Is that for the Purpose of Export from this Country to the Continent or Foreign Countries?

Both for Export to this Country, for Home or Indian Use, and for Export to the Colonies.

(43.4.)

Henry Gouger, Esq. 9th March 1840.

901. Is any Quantity exported to Australia? I believe there is.

902. Have you exported any yourself? I am not able to answer that Question.

903. If the Duty on East Indian Rum were assimilated to the Duty on West Indian Rum should you immediately make large Imports of Rum into this Country?

I think we should make considerable Imports.

904. You have mentioned Molasses being produced from Dates; is that done

to any considerable Extent?

I believe Palm Molasses to be produced to some Extent by the Natives for Home Use. I have seen the Sugar which has been manufactured from, the Palm.

905. Is it so profitable as to be likely to be done to any Extent?

Certainly not for the distilling of Spirits, because it destroys the Quality of the Spirit, and is itself only slightly cheaper than the Sugar from the

906. Did the Committee understand you to say that you had a Contract with Government for the East Indian Rum?

In India we had formerly a Contract with the East India Company.

907. Is the Rum exported to England for the Purposes of the Army and Navy?

No; simply for Sale; either to be sold to Her Majesty's Government or for Export.

908. You know that it has been purchased by the Government? Yes.

. 909. Do you consider this Rum to be equal in Quality with the Jamaica Rum?

Certainly not.

910. As good as the Rum from the Leeward Islands?

It is within 1d. a Gallon of the Price of the Leeward Islands Rum.

911. What does it sell for at the present Moment?

London Proof would sell at the present Moment at 3s. 7d., and perhaps the Leeward Islands at 3s. 8d. or 3s. $8\frac{1}{4}d$.

912. At what Price is that Rum sold at Calcutta?

Our Contracts with the Government, I think, ran at 10 Annas a Gallon; but I am not quite sure, as I quote from Memory.

913. Can you inform the Committee what is the relative Value of the Rum

Spirit and the Spirit distilled from Rice in the Calcutta Market?

There is no Distillation from Rice in Bengal, that I am aware of; where I had an Opportunity of seeing it was to the Eastward, in the Burmese Ter-

914. Where it is produced, can you state what the relative Cost of Production would be of the Rice Spirit and of the Sugar Spirit? No, I cannot.

915. Do you purchase the Molasses for the Purpose of distilling, or do you raise the Sugar yourself?

Goor is not exactly Molasses; it is the entire Product of the Cane; the Sugar as well as Molasses.

916. The Distillation takes place from that? Yes.

917. Is that Rum of the same Quality as is distilled from the Residue of the Sugar Cane after the Juice is extracted?

I am not aware whether there is any Difference in Quality; I should think very slight.

918. It is made of more valuable Materials? It is.

Henry Gouger, Esq. 9th March 1840.

919. In your Contract with Government for the Supply of Rum do they take the East and West Indian Rum upon the same Terms?

I believe they do. My Knowledge is derived from our having sold Rum to the Parties who had taken the Contract from Her Majesty's Government.

920. They make no Distinction? Not the slightest.

921. Then, to the Extent of the Consumption of the Army and Navy, the Rum of India is under no Disadvantage as compared with the Rum of the West Indies?

None that I am aware of.

922. So that that would give you a Market at home upon the same Terms as the West Indian Rum to the Extent of the Government Contract? Exactly.

923. Is it proved in any way before it is sold?

It is always sold at London Proof, and after it is tested we receive an additional Price in proportion to the Strength. We usually import it about 30 per Cent. above London Proof.

924. Is this Supply of the Government a new thing lately, or has it existed for some Time?

I believe this has always been the Case; I know of no Alteration having lately taken place.

925. The Government have for many Years past taken East and West Indian Rum indiscriminately?

They might have done so; but East Indian Rum was never imported till the last few Years. 1834 was, I think, the First Year of Importation, and then the Quantity was under 600 Gallons.

926. Is there any Exportation of East Indian Rum out of Bond from Great Britain to the Colonies?

Yes; it is largely exported.

927. To Canada?

To Canada, largely.

928. In the Canadian Market is it subject to any Disadvantage as compared with West Indian Rum?

I am not aware that it is.

929. Are you aware whether the Home Market for Rum is an increasing Market, or a Market which has been for a considerable Number of Years very fixed and stationary?

I am not prepared to answer that Question.

930. Is Sugar extensively manufactured in the Neighbourhood of Calcutta? Not in the Neighbourhood of Calcutta.

931. Whence do you get the Goor that you use for making your Rum? The best Goor is brought from the Province of Benares.

932. Do you bring it from thence?

933. From the Country in which they make the Sugar?

934. If Sugar were manufactured more extensively, do you think you should be able to procure Goor at such a Price as to enable you to manufacture it into Rum at a Profit?

I think we should. The Cultivation of the Sugar Cane is very general throughout the Upper Provinces, and the Natives boil the Juice into Goor in very small Quantities, where it would not answer their Purpose to refine it into Sugar. These small Quantities are collected from various Parts, and sent to one Mart for Sale.

(43.4.)

Henry Gouger, Esq. 9th March 1840.

935. But if there were a great Demand for Sugar would not the Natives manufacture Sugar, and leave to you only the Molasses to make into Rum at a Profit?

Clearly so; we have found that the Case already, for Goor has of late much

increased in Priće.

936. Then your Position and the Position of a Gentleman residing at Goruckpore are very different; he would use the whole of the First Juice of the Cane for the Purpose of making Sugar in the first instance, and then convert the Molasses into Rum; but where there was no Demand for the making of Sugar, or an inferior Demand, the Goor would be used, which contains both the Sugar and Molasses?

Yes.

937. Would the Person who made the Rum from Molasses alone make it much cheaper than the Person who made it from Goor? Yes, I think so.

938. But would it be inferior?

I am not aware whether it would be inferior or not.

939. Do you know whether the Machinery you use for the Manufacture of Rum is superior to that which is possessed up the Country in the Sugar District?

The Establishment is larger, but I am not aware of any other Advantage.

940. Is your Machinery equal to that used in the West Indies? I am not able to answer that Question, as I have not had an Opportunity of becoming acquainted with the Machinery used in the West Indies.

941. Was your Machinery imported from England? Yes.

942. What Machinery do you make in the Foundry? We make all the Machinery needful for the Cotton Works and the other Establishments; we also manufacture a large Quantity of Screws for Indigo and various other Works.

943. Iron? Yes.

944. Do you make the Machinery for the Steam Boats? It has been made at our Works, but not to any Extent.

945. Are you capable of making Machinery of a large Size? We could easily adapt the Foundry to work of any Size.

946. Whence do you get your Iron?

It is, I believe, British Iron, with little Exception.

947. There is Iron in India, is there not?

There is Iron in India, occasionally of a good Description.

948. Where does that come from?

It is found in various Parts of India; the best, I believe, is within the Madras Territory.

949. Is there any near Calcutta?

I am not aware of any being near Calcutta.

950. Does the Iron come out in Pig or Bar Iron? In Bar Iron.

951. Have you any Ironstone at your Colliery? I am not aware whether we have or not.

952. For what Purpose do you manufacture Paper?

It is chiefly manufactured for the Use of the Cotton Mills, to bundle the Yarns. It is also sold in Calcutta to some Extent.

953. To what Purposes is it applied in Calcutta? For coarse Printing; such as Catalogues or Newspapers.

954. Is it of a very stout Quality? Some Qualities of it are remarkably so, approaching to the Strength of 9th March 1840. Parchment.

Henry Gouger, Esq

955. Do you make any for printing Patterns upon, like the Chinese Paper? None whatever.

956. Have you ever imported any into this Country?

We are not able to import it in any Quantity, in consequence of the very high Duty it bears.

957. What is the Duty? The Duty is 9d. a Pound.

958. What is the Extent of Duty on Paper manufactured in England? Paper of that Description would be $1\frac{1}{2}d$ a Pound.

959. Do you think, if the Duty was lowered upon the Importation of your Paper, you should find an extensive Demand for it?

If it were lowered to an Equality, I should think so, certainly.

960. Do you require the lowering of it to that Extent to give you the Advantage of the English Market?

I am not able to say whether any thing less than that would have any Effect.

961. Of what Material is the Paper made? From the Refuse of the Cotton Works.

962. Is there an increasing Demand for it in India itself?

I am hardly able to answer that Question. We cannot produce it to any great Extent, so that it is not a Matter of great Importance.

963. What gives the Paper the great Tenacity which it has?

I am not aware what is the Cause of it, but it certainly is a most remarkable Paper; I have shown it to Stationers in London who have noticed its extraordinary Strength.

964. There is nothing particular in the Mode of Manufacture? Not that I am aware of.

965. Is it made from Cotton Rag which has been used?

I am not quite sure whether any Rags have been mixed with it, but I know all the Refuse of the Mills is applied to the Purpose of making Paper, as a Matter of Economy.

966. It is the Refuse from the Cotton Yarn?

Yes; but it may be that Rags are added in order to increase the Quantity.

967. Are you aware of any Difference in the Mode of making it from the Mode pursued here?

No, I do not know of any Difference.

968. Is Paper made here from the Refuse of Cotton Works in the same Way?

I do not think it is; the Refuse from the Cotton Works may be used for that Purpose, but not at the Establishment itself.

969. You make no finer Sort of Paper; no Writing Paper? Nothing finer than that used for Newspapers.

970. Is there any other Establishment for making Paper in Bengal besides

There has been One established since I left India, and there had been One before, at Serampore.

971. But none that comes in competition with European Paper used for the Purpose of Writing?

Not that I am aware of. The One recently established is of greater Magnitude than our own.

972. You (43.4.) N 2

Henry Gouger, Esq. 9th March 1840.

972. You stated that you crushed Oils; have you done that to any Extent? We have done so to some Extent; but the Information I have upon that Subject is very imperfect, for I am not aware to what Amount that Branch of the Works has been carried on.

973. Are you aware whether there is any thing in the State of the Duties upon the Importation of those Oils into this Country which limits the Importation of those Oils, or prevents it?

I do not think there is. I have imported the Oil crushed from the Native Linseed, but the Reason it did not yield a Profit was owing to Leakage; we could not get any Description of Package to hold it.

974. What are the Vegetable Substances from which you obtained this Oil? Linseed.

975. No other Substance; no Palm Oil?

The Machinery is only adapted for crushing Seeds; Mustard Seed and Poppy Seed, and small Seeds of every Description.

976. Have you ever crushed the Seed of the Sun Flower?

No; I am not aware whether it exists in India.

977. Do you know where the Indian Cotton which is exported from this Country to Europe is principally used?

I believe it is chiefly exported to Holland or Belgium, or both Countries.

978. Is it used in the Cotton Manufactures in Belgium? I have been told that it is used there.

979. Do you know why the Belgians should use that Cotton which is refused by the Manufacturers in this Country; is there any Difference in their Machinery?

I once asked that Question of a Person who was better acquainted with their Machinery than myself, and he told me that it was in consequence of their Machinery being better adapted for the Manufacture of Bengal Cotton than our

980. Are you aware of the particular Difference between their Machinery and ours which enables them to manufacture it? I am not.

981. Do you apprehend that the Endeavours that have been made to improve the Cottons of India are likely to succeed to any Extent, so as to enable us to import them in any large Quantity into this Country for our own Use?

There are Efforts making, but with what Success I am unprepared to state. There are some excellent Cottons produced already in India; for we supply our Mills in Fort Gloucester entirely with Indian Cotton, which we manufacture into Yarn without any Admixture of Foreign Cotton; all we use is of the Native Growth.

982. Do you buy that Cotton as you find it in the Market, or do you take care that it is cleaned in a proper Manner before you purchase it?

We are obliged to select it from the first Markets up the Country before it comes to Calcutta.

983. Where do you buy it? At the great Mart of Mirzapore.

984. How far is that from Calcutta? Not far from the Town of Benares.

985. Do you make Advances to those who produce the Cotton? No; I believe Advances are made by Native Merchants to the Parties who produce the Cotton, but we purchase it in the Market.

986. Have you ever commissioned your Agent to take particular Care to select such Cotton only as is well cleaned?

We have not given those Instructions, as being unnecessary, for unless they took especial Care on that Point we should not be able to work the Indian Cottons at all.

987. Do the Indian Cottons come to the Bengal Market in different States; Henry Gouger, Esq. some well cleaned, and others not well cleaned?

Nearly all are ill-cleaned Cottons.

9th March 1840.

988. To what Circumstance do you attribute such Neglect in the Preparation of an Article of such immense Importance and Value?

It appears to arise chiefly in Neglect in picking the Cotton from the Pod.

989. To what Circumstance do you attribute that Neglect? Is it not worth the while of Persons who grow the Cotton to clean it properly, and so raise its Value in the Market?

It is worth their while, undoubtedly; and if they were the Parties who used the Cotton it would be still more manifest to them.

990. Have Europeans, to any Extent, engaged in the Cultivation of Cotton for the Purpose of Export to this Country?

To a very limited Extent indeed, as Cultivators.

991. Is the Cotton of India now as carefully cultivated as it was at the Time when there existed in India a large Demand for the Cotton Manufactures of the Country?

I cannot answer that Question, as my personal Knowledge does not extend so far back as to the Period referred to, but I should rather think the reverse.

992. May not one Reason why the Cultivation of Cotton and its cleaning are so much neglected be this, that there is no Demand in the Country for Goods made of that Cotton?

That, if the Case, would no Doubt be a Cause of Deterioration in Quality.

993. Were the fine Dacca Muslins of old Times made of the present Quality of Indian Cotton?

No; it was a peculiar Cotton, I believe grown in the Dacca Province alone, which may be perhaps raised now; I am not aware that it is not.

994. That was the finest Description of Cotton?

Yes; it was a peculiar Cotton which is not common in India.

995. What Length of Time is required to transmit the Cotton from Mirzapore to your Factory?

A very short Time; the whole being by Water Carriage, the Voyage would be, during the Freshes, not more than a Fortnight.

996. What is the Freight?

Very trifling indeed. I have forgotten what Rate it is, but it is very small.

997. What is the Freight up the River?

They carry Salt and various Supplies. The Voyage is tedious going up the River; but still the Rate of Freight is always very low in Native Boats, both up and down the River.

998. When the Boats that bring the Cotton from Mirzapore go up again, do they get a Freight for the Return Voyage?

I am not sure whether the identical Boats do always return, or whether they

999. Have Steam Boats been used for mercantile Purposes on the Ganges?

Not for mercantile Purposes.

1000. Not as Tugs?

There are a few Tugs now, belonging to the East India Company; I believe

1001. But none have been used by Merchants?

None are owned by Merchants, nor are they in general used by Merchants as Tugs.

(43.4.) N 3 1002. Merely

Henry Gouger, Esq. 9th March 1840.

1002. Merely as Passage Boats? As both; but as there are but few they are nothing to the vast Commerce of the Ganges.

1003. In your Manufacture of Machinery have you manufactured any improved Machinery for Sugar Works? I do not think we have manufactured any Machinery for Sugar Works.

1004. Are you aware whether the Machinery used in the larger Establishments of Sugar Works in India is now improving? I cannot say. Seven Years ago I saw much excellent Machinery arrive from England, but I cannot speak of the Result of its Application.

1005. Do you conceive that the Application of Machinery to Sugar Works, and the Introduction of the Improvements which are known in the other Colonies, are likely to extend the Sugar Trade in India, by diminishing the Cost of Production?

We have only Two Manufactories of Sugar in India where such Machinery is used; therefore it is difficult to form a correct Opinion on the Subject. There is one belonging to Mr. Blake, and there is another in the Interior.

1006. But do you consider that the Application of Skill and Capital and Machinery to Sugar in India is likely to extend the Manufacture? Certainly.

1007. What is the Price of East Indian Sugar at present? The best is now selling at 72s. the Cwt.

1008. At how low a Price could it be imported from India into this Country?

It is now paying a large Profit.

1009. Where would you fix the Rate of Price at which Profit would begin?

I have not sufficient practical Knowledge of the Question to say what the exact Cost of Production of Sugar in India is, but I know that the Price has risen very materially of late in India, consequently I should think the Cultivators are deriving a large Profit.

1010. Supposing the present Profit at the Price of 72s. for the best East Indian Sugar to be from Twenty to Twenty-five per Cent., at what Price would the Sugar imported from India give a Profit sufficient to induce them still to import it?

I can hardly give an Answer to that Question.

1011. Have you ever made Sugar? Yes, we have made Sugar, but to a small Extent.

1012. You are not practically acquainted with the Subject? No, I am not.

1013. Was the Sugar that you made Sugar from the Cane, or from Goor? From Goor.

1014. What Profit do you suppose it to give at its present Price? I am unable to answer that Question, but: I am satisfied that the Profit must be handsome.

1015. Must not the Profit be very various?

When I was in India I recollect the very same Qualities of Sugar which are now selling in Calcutta at Nine and Ten Rupees per Maund selling at Six and Seven Rupees per Maund, and I always imagined the Producer had a Profit; if he had a Profit at Six or Seven Rupees, he must surely have a large one now at Eight and Ten Rupees.

1016. Did it come to England at Six Rupees? No, not to any Extent, as now; the Duty prevented it.

1017. What is the Freight of it to England?

About 3l. 10s. to 5l. the Ton of Twenty Hundred Weight.

1018. Does it come merely as the Ballast of the Ship? More than Ballast; it sometimes fills Half the Vessel.

Henry Gouger, Esq. · 9th March 1840.

1019. Could it be afforded to load a Ship entirely with Sugar?

Not exactly; because a Ship will make a better Freight with a mixed Cargo, which is always procurable in Bengal.

1020. And therefore the Sugar is at a lower Freight than it would be if you were to grow so much Sugar in India for the Consumption of Europe as to make it necessary to send entire Cargoes of it?

The Freight then would no Doubt rise considerably upon Sugar.

1021. You consider the present Freight as low?
Not the Average of the Rates I have mentioned; that Average is rather high. In point of fact the Rate of Freight is 44, 10s. from India.

1022. For dead Weight?

Yes: I have paid lately on dead Weight 41. 10s.

1023. Has not Freight risen very recently?

By the last Letter we had Freight had receded. I am not mentioning at all a high Rate; Three Months ago it was considerably higher than what I have quoted; I should think fully 51 would have been paid at that Time on Sugar.

1024. Does the Rate of Freight vary very much from Time to Time? Yes, it does; I have known it as low as 21. 10s. and as high as 61. for dead Weight.

1025. At what Intervals?

Perhaps the whole within a few Months.

1026. Could your Machinery for making the Sugar be very much improved? I do not understand exactly the Nature of the Machinery for the Manufacture of Sugar. I was not the Owner of any Sugar Works when I was in India. It is only since I have returned to this Country I have become connected with the Sugar Manufacture.

1027. You have not carried the Manufacture of Cotton further than the

spinning of Cotton?

Yes, we have carried it further than the spinning; but finding the Power was much better employed in spinning than in any other Manner, we discontinued the Manufacture of Cotton Cloths, and devoted the Power to increasing the Quantity of Cotton Yarn.

1028. Of what Fineness is your Cotton Yarn?

From Indian Cottons we can spin now as high as Number 50.

1029. How does that stand as compared with the same Number in England? The lower Numbers of our Yarns sell rather better than Manchester Yarns in the Indian Market; they prefer our coarse Numbers to the coarse Numbers of the Manchester Yarns.

1030. If you were to continue your Manufacture, and carry it to any great

Extent, would not that beat out English Yarns from India?

No; it is impossible. This Manufactory, when it was established, cost the first Proprietor more than 200,000%; and it was purchased by my Firm at a very low Price, otherwise we never could have made it pay a Profit.

1031. So that it would not have paid you if you had had to build those Works

originally?

Certainly not; it would have been ruinous. I am quite satisfied there never will be another Cotton Mill established in India.

1032. So that the Experiment can never be said to pay, except to those who buy Works at a great Advantage? Exactly so.

1033. Do you experience any Disadvantage, in any Part of your Business in India, from the Want of free Communication between the different, States of India as regards Cotton or Sugar, or any other of your Operations?

I am not aware that we have any thing to complain of in that respect.

(43.4.) 1034. There N 4

Henry Gouger, Esq. 9th March 1840.

1034. There is sufficient Freedom of Communication between the different Parts of India for every Purpose of your Trade?

Yes; India, so far as our Trade is concerned, is so well watered by large.

navigable Rivers that they afford ample Communication.

1035. Are there any Duties imposed in any Parts of India that injure you? No. Formerly there were; but of late the Transit Duties have been removed.

1036. Upon the 230 Acres what do you grow?

We grow very little. It is thickly inhabited; there are about 5,000 People living on it.

1037. Do you pay any Taxes?

No; none whatever; it was a free Grant.

1038. Does it pay any Revenue to the Government? None whatever.

1039. Is there any Obstacle now to Europeans acquiring Freehold Property in India?

I believe they cannot generally hold it exactly as Freehold, but on a Tenure which nearly approaches to it.

1040. Did you purchase the Coal Mine from the Company or from the Zemindar of the District?

It was first purchased by a Firm which failed in India, the Firm of Alexander and Co.; on their Failure we purchased it from the Assignees to the Firm. The original Title I do not know.

The Witnesss is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Thursday next, Four o'Clock.

Die Jovis, 12° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Evidence on the Petition of the **EastIndiaCompany** for Relief.

HENRY GOUGER Esquire is again called in, and examined as follows:

H. Gouger, Esq.

12th March 1840.

1041. WHEN you were last before the Committee you were asked if you were aware whether the Home Market for Rum is an increasing Market, or a Market which has been for a considerable Number of Years very fixed and stationary. To that Question you gave this Answer: "I am not prepared to answer that Question." Have you reconsidered that Question, and are you now able to give the Committee any Information upon it?

It was not until I left the Committee Room I recollected that I had Information upon that Subject which I could communicate. It appears to me to be so far from the Fact that the Market for Rum is a glutted Market, that I consider Rum to be the only Article of Indian Produce imported into this

Country the Supply of which is quite inadequate to the Demand.

1042. Are you speaking of Indian Rum only, or Rum generally? The Observation is applicable to Rum generally.

1043. Have the Prices of Rum increased considerably of late Years? The Prices have increased very considerably.

1044. Has the Importation fallen off?

The Importation, taking a considerable Average of Years, has fallen off; but it has been during the last Three Years rather on the Increase, so that with an increasing Import we have still advancing Prices.

1045. Has the Advance in Price been considerable within that Time? From 1837 to 1839 inclusive the Advance in Price has been from about Thirty to Thirty-three per Cent.

1046. Has the Quantity imported for Home Consumption been on the Increase during the last Two or Three Years from what it was previously?

The Home Consumption, comparing the last with the previous Year, has fallen off, as I observe by a Return made to the House of Commons; but the Export must have increased.

1047. To what Countries has Rum been so exported?

The chief Part to the Colonies; I imagine largely to Canada; but I am not aware of the exact Places to which the Export has taken place.

1048. Do you speak of East India Rum in particular, or Rum in general? I am speaking of Rum in general.

1049. Do you know for what Quantity of Rum the Queen's Government contracted on the breaking out of the War in Canada?

I am not sure; but it appears such has been the Eagerness latterly manifested to purchase Rum, that I have myself seen, within the last Day or Two, Contracts for the Delivery of Rum which cannot arrive in this Country for probably the next Two Months.

1050. Have you any thing further to state to the Committee upon this Subject?

I am not aware that there is any thing else.

1051. When you state that there is an Export of Rum to the Canadas, do you mean directly from the Places where it is produced, or through England? Through England.

1052. Is (43.5.)0

H. Gouger, Esq. , 12th March 1840.

1052. Is there a Difference in the Price of East and West India Rum? There is a Difference in favour of West India Rum, largely in favour of Jamaica, and slightly in favour of the Leeward Island. The West India Rum has more Flavour than the Rum of the East Indies.

1053. Dò you know the Difference between the Price of East India Rum and

Between the East India and Jamaica, (speaking, however, not quite within my own Knowledge,) I should think 5d. or 6d. a Gallon, but Leeward Island not more than 1d. or $1\frac{1}{2}d$.

1054. Is as much Rum sent from the East Indies as can be produced there, or is produced there at present?

I should imagine not; certainly not.

1055. You consider the Importation as increasing?

It is an increasing Import, but the Quantity of Rum as yet sent from the East Indies is comparatively small; the Trade, in fact, is quite in its Infancy.

1056. Do you know at all what is the Quantity sent here annually? Last Year the whole Import was about 130,000 Gallons; in 1834, which was, I think, the first Year of Import, it was only 500 or 600 Gallons.

1057. Do you know the Difference of Freight from the East and the West

The Difference of Freight would amount to about 1d. a Gallon on the Price of Rum. On the Subject of the Supply of Rum the Committee will perhaps allow me to add, that, since the Years 1830, 1831, and 1832, there has been a great falling off in the Home Consumption, which it appears to me cannot be well accounted for except by the great Advance in Price which has taken place since the Period in question. The high Price has restricted the Consumption. The Price has been beyond what many Consumers could afford to pay.

1058. Do you consider that there is now a great falling off in the Demand for Home Consumption?

It appears by a Return made to the House of Commons, published only a few Days ago, that there has been a falling off in the Home Consumption.

1059. What occasions the increased Demand?

A short Supply. If we could get more, at a lower Price, it would be, no Doubt, consumed. I may remark, that when the Duty was raised in 1830 only 6d. on 8s. 6d. a Gallon, even that small Difference seems to have had some Effect on the Home Consumption. The diminished Consumption has been attended with a regular Advance of Price: thus, in 1837 the average Cost of Leeward Island Rum, London Proof, was 2s. 4d. per Gallon, taken at quarterly Periods; in 1838, it was 2s. 9d.; and in 1839, 3s. 5d.; making a Difference between the extreme Prices of 1s. 1d. per Gallon.

1060. How can the Stock in Bond at this Moment be the same as it was last Year, and yet there be so great a Demand for Rum that there is a Contract already made for Rum which cannot arrive for the next Two Months?

The Stock of Rum in Bond is not very large; the whole in Bond at this Moment in the Port of London is equal perhaps to about Four Months Consumption.

1061. Then it must be gone, or quasi gone, before the Rum for which a Contract is made will have arrived?

Not exactly so. There are many Parties who hold Property which they will not sell under a certain Price, speculating on a Rise. I have no Doubt there are some who hold Rums on this Expectation.

1062. The Rum in Bond is for Exportation, as well as for Home Con-

sumption, is it not?

It is. The Quantity so in Bond in London amounts to rather more than 10,000 Puncheons.

1063. Do you know what is considered the average Quantity taken out for Home Consumption in Great Britain?

H. Gouger, Esq. 12th March 1840.

The last Year it was about 2,800,000 Gallons, there being about 105 Gallons in the Puncheon; that would be about 27,000 Puncheons.

1064. The Consumption of Rum decreases rather than increases, does it not? The last Year there was a Decrease in the Home Consumption of Rum but not in the Import; and I should think, from that Circumstance, that the Export must have been much larger, though I have no Return of it.

1065. The Quantity taken out for Home Consumption having been less of late Years, how do you account for the Disposition to contract for Rum not yet arrived; what Grounds must there be to expect a greater Demand abroad?

It must arise from the Probability of higher Prices here, or at least from the

Holders of Rum in London expecting higher Prices.

1066. Do you consider that the increased Price of Rum in England is stimulating the Production of it in the East Indies?

I should think that it is. I think the Prices are perfectly remunerative, and

therefore calculated to stimulate Production.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Monday next, Two o'Clock.

Die Lunæ, 16° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Petition of the East India Company for Relief.

Evidence on the

BENJAMIN GREENE Esquire is called in, and examined as follows:

B. Greene, Esq.

1067. YOU are a large Proprietor in the West Indies? I am.

16th March 1840.

1068. To what Extent?

In Saint Kitt's I am the Owner of Three considerable Estates myself, and I rent Estates in that Island of upwards of 4,000l. a Year, and I have been also for these last Forty Years engaged as a practical Brewer.

1069. You are also a Landed Proprietor in Suffolk? I occupy some Land in Suffolk, at Bury St. Edmund's.

1070. You are extensively acquainted with the Manufacture of Rum? Yes.

1071. Is there any other Article used in the Distillation of Rum in the West Indies besides the Refuse arising from the Manufacture of Sugar?

I am not aware that we grow any Article in the West Indies that could be beneficially distilled into Spirit but the Refuse arising from the Manufacture of Sugar.

1072. Could Rice be profitably used with the Refuse of Sugar in the Distil-

lation of Spirit?

I am of opinion, taking into consideration the present Price of Rice in Bengal, that it could be very profitably introduced into Distillation, either alone or with the Refuse arising from the Manufacture of Sugar, because I find that Rice contains a very large Proportion of Mucilage and Starch, and but a small Proportion of saccharine Matter; but when combined with Molasses I consider them the most perfect Materials that can be combined to produce the most perfect Spirit.

1073. Have you as a Brewer particularly directed your Attention to the Properties of different Kinds of Grain, as well as Rice, in Distillation?

I have almost all my Life been engaged in ascertaining the various Properties of Grain, with reference to the best Extracts which could be obtained from them, and my Attention was more particularly directed to that Subject in the Year 1831, when the Question of the Admission of Molasses into the Breweries and Distilleries was under the Consideration of Parliament.

1074. Were you examined before the Committee of the House of Commons? I was examined at that Period before the Committee, and furnished the Committee with various Results as to the Experiments which I had tried. I have since brewed from Molasses alone, from Molasses and raw Grain, and from Molasses and Malt.

1075. You have stated that you consider that the Combination of Molasses and Rice is the best Material; but which of the Materials separately do you consider is the best for Distillation?

I consider them combined as the best, but Rice the next best. I consider Rice to be the best and cheapest Grain with which I am acquainted, and a larger Quantity and better Quality of Spirit can be produced from Rice than can be obtained from an equal Weight of any other Corn, and if, permitted by the Excise could be used very profitably with Malt in the brewing of Beer.

(43.6.) O 3 1076. What

B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1076. What is the Quality of the Spirit? The Quality of the Spirit when distilled, and subjected to the Science and Skill of English Rectifiers, is a very excellent Spirit indeed.

.. 1077. Has your Attention been directed to the Question of the Equalization

of the Duties on East Indian and West Indian Spirits?

Yes, it has. I have felt very deeply the Importance of that Question; and I certainly am free to admit that it is a very plausible Argument, that if the Duties upon East and West Indian Sugars are equalized that the Duty upon Spirits made from the Refuse arising from the Manufacture of Sugar should be equalized also; but I feel that the Question embraces such important Results with reference to the Landed Interests and the West Indian Interests, and the Distillers also, that it appears to me practically a very difficult Question to carry into execution.

1078. Are you aware that Distillation from Rice has been carried on in

London for some Time past?

I am aware that it has been carried on in London for some Time past, and that a Person has taken out a Patent for it, and that he was distilling very profitably from Rice, until he was stopped by the Excise on the technical Ground that Rice was not Grain. The Results of his Experiment, as he informs me, are, that upwards of 150 Gallons of Proof Spirit could be obtained from a Ton of Rice.

1079. Rice unmixed with any thing else?

Yes; and that from the Cost of Rice in Bengal, which is estimated at about 31. per Ton, he could manufacture Proof Spirit to be delivered in London at the Cost of 1s. 4d. per Gallon; and that, taking the Price of Rice in London at from 10l. to 13l. per Ton, (because the Rice which is used for Distillation does not require to be of the finest Quality; if it is discoloured it does just as well for the Purposes of Distillation,) he could extract 150 Gallons of Proof Spirit from a Ton of Rice, which could be manufactured in England at a Cost of 2s. 1d. per Gallon. The Cost, taking the present Price of Barley at 5s. per Bushel, of English Spirit at Proof, would be 2s. 8d. per Gallon; whilst the Spirit made from Rice, which costs but 2s. 1d. per Gallon, sells, in consequence of its Quality, for 9d. a Gallon more in the English Market.

1080. Is it the East Indian Rice, or the Carolina Rice? The East Indian Rice.

1081. Is there any Difference in that respect between East Indian Rice and

other Rice?

There is the same Difference between East Indian and Carolina Rice as there is in this Country between Barley grown on some particular Soils and Barley on other Soils. Generally speaking, the Rice that comes from the East Indies, the Bengal Rice, is the best; and Carolina Rice, being of Foreign Production, could not be used; but if Distillation were carried on in this Country as a Business, upon a large Scale, by scientific Distillers, Rice would be brought over here in a much more perfect State for the Purposes of Distillation than it is now. It has undergone the Preparation of taking the Husks off to render it better for Human Food; but if it came over in the Shape of what is called Paddy it could be used much more profitably by the Distillers.

1082. The Distiller from Rice, who makes his Spirit at the Cost of 2s. 1d., has the Advantage of 7d. a Gallon plus 9d., the higher Price which he obtains arising from its Quality; making 16d. by which he could undersell the Distiller of Grain?

9d. better, and 7d, cheaper.

1083. Does your Calculation presume that there is any Duty upon the Rice?

I presume the Fact that it is 1s. per Hundred Weight.

1084. You calculate upon that Principle? "I do.

1085. Did you say that the Quality of Carolina and East Indian Rice, for the Purpose of Distillation, was much the same; or which would give the greatest and the best Produce?

B. Greenė, Esq.
16th March 1840.

I think it matters very little whether it is grown in Carolina or whether it is grown in the East Indies. I could not tell by looking at it, but by subjecting it to a Test, and making an Extract, I could ascertain the Quality best suited for the Purposes of Distillation. Samples might be taken to ascertain which would produce the greatest Quantity of saccharine and fermentable Matter, before it was purchased.

1086. Is there not a Difference between the Two generally?

There is a Difference between the Two, for Human Food; but until this Question was brought before Parliament I confess myself that I was not aware that ever Rice had been used for public Distillation in this Country. I only knew it from private Experiments of my own.

1087. Have you distilled it yourself?

I'have subjected it to the first Process of Distillation, for my own Satisfaction, but not being a practical Distiller myself, I did not arrive at the same extensive Results as the Gentleman who has taken out a Patent for distilling from Rice. I have in my Pocket a Sample of Rice Spirit. This is it (producing the same); purely Rice Spirit. This is (producing another Sample) the Spirit just as it comes from the East Indies, which I am of opinion contains a Portion of Rice, with a great Proportion of Molasses, and the Skimmings from the Coppers used in the Manufacture of Sugar. That Spirit came over from the East Indies under the Denomination of East India Rum.

1088. What induces you to think that there is a considerable Portion of Rice?

When I found that the Spirit was advertised for public Sale about Three Months ago, I took a Portion of it as a Sample; and when it gets into a State of Evaporation, nearly high enough for Distillation to take place, there is a very different Smell arising from that Spirit than there is from the Spirit distilled purely from the Refuse of Sugar. I was not able to detect the Properties of Rice so as to show what Portion there was of Rice, and what of the Refuse of Sugar; but I am satisfied in my own Mind that there was a Portion of Rice.

1089. Does not the Experiment lead you only to this Conclusion, that there is something else than the Produce of the Sugar Cane?

It leads me further than that, because there is a Smell arising from pure Rice Spirit very similar to the Smell arising from that Spirit which I have now produced.

1090. Are you acquainted with the Maple Rum? No, I am not.

1091. Or Date Rum?

1092. You do not know the Smell?

It has never come under my Notice. The Fact is, that Spirit, when it is distilled up to any thing above Fifty per Cent. over Proof, is almost a tasteless Spirit with regard to Flavour, and you may colour it, and give it almost any Flavour you please; and I think, if judiciously done, it would be impossible to find out what it was distilled from.

1093. How did this Spirit, of which you have a Sample, and which you suspect to be a Mixture of Sugar and Rice, sell in the English Market?

It averaged, reduced to Proof, 3s. 6d. a Gallon.

1094. How is that in proportion to good Jamaica Rum?

With regard to the Leeward Island Rum, on the same Market Day, the Rum from Saint Kitt's, Demerara, and Antigua was selling at 35: 8d. a Gallon, Proof; there was a Difference of only 2d. a Gallon between East India Rum and that coming from the Leeward Islands.

(43.6.) O 4 1095. Two-

B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1095. Two-pence in favour of the Leeward Island Rum? Yes; and at that very Period I should say the best Jamaica Rum was selling at about 4s. 4d. and 4s. 6d. a Gallon, Proof; making a Difference, as far as Jamaica Rum is concerned, of from 10d. to 1s. a Gallon in favour of Jamaica

1096. If that be so, how do you come to the Conclusion which you have stated, that the most perfect Spirit made is this very Mixture of Sugar and Rice, if when it is brought into the Market it sells at a Disadvantage?

I think that the Knowledge of Distillation and the Practice of Distillation has been very imperfectly carried on hitherto in the East Indies; it is a Subject to which very little Science and very little Chemical Knowledge has been applied in that Country. Great Expense has been gone into with regard to the Distillation of Rum in Jamaica, and the most experienced scientific Men have been consulted with regard to the best Mode and Principles of Distillation. I consider that this very Spirit which came from the East Indies, and sold at Proof at 3s. 6d. per Gallon, would, if put into the Hands of an experienced Rectifier in London, have made a very excellent Spirit indeed.

1097. So that as it actually has come from the East Indies it has not that

great Perfection which you think it is capable of attaining?

It has not; and until, I think about the Year 1834, there was not more than 500 or 600 Gallons of East India Rum came into this Country. Since that Period it has been admitted into the Contracts for the Navy, under the Denomination of Spirits coming from "the British Possessions." Formerly, I think, the Contracts used to be for Spirits coming from "the British Plantations," but the Contracts are now offered by the Government for Spirits coming from the British Possessions. sessions; and in the last Contract I have no Doubt that East India Rum was bought by the Persons who took the Contract for the Supply of the Navy. I was surprised to find, upon looking into the Subject, that, in the Year 1834 I think, about 500 Gallons only of East India Rum came into this Country; in 1835 there were about 14,000 Gallons; in 1836 there were about 36,000 or 38,000 Gallons; in 1837 there were upwards of 68,000 Gallons. The East India Rum has really found a Market in Great Britain, though it has not been admitted for Consumption in England; it has found a Market in the British Navy, and purchased by British Merchants, and exported to Sydney and Canada; and that under the present Rate of Duty there appears no Want of a Market for East India Rum.

1098. Is Carolina Rice importable into the West Indies? Yes.

1099. At a very low Duty?

I cannot exactly speak to the Duty now, but I think the Duty is not high:

1100. If the Mixture of Rice with Molasses made so perfect a Spirit, would not the West Indians have got into the Practice of importing the Carolina Rice, and mixing it with their Material, for the Purpose of improving the,

The Question of Labour in any thing that requires Manufacture in the West Indies would amount almost to a Prohibition, besides the Expenses of

Freight and greater Cost of Carolina Rice.

1101. If you could obtain Molasses and Rice at the same Price, should you, with a view of producing an Article of the best Price, make Rum from Molasses or from Rice?

I should make it from both, if the comparative Price of both were equal. The Principle, both in brewing and distilling, is this: to select that Article (if they are permitted to use it by the Excise) which will contain the greatest Amount of fermenting Matter at the cheapest Rate. For instance, at this. very Time the cheapest Article from which Spirit can be made in Great. Britain, allowed by the Excise, is, I believe, from Potatoes; and some Distillers are working upon Potatoes at this Moment, on account of Barley being at a very high Price.

1102. But do you think that the Two mixed, Molasses and Rice, would B. Greene, Esq.

make a better Spirit than Molasses alone?

I think that Molasses and Rice would make a much better Spirit than Molasses alone; and I think the same would apply to the Process of brewing, if raw Grain or Rice were allowed by the Excise to be used with Molasses and Malt in the brewing of Beer.

16th March 1840

It was not in a perfect State, because I had no Means of properly distilling it was only done as a Guide to my own Judgment; my only Object in trying it was to see what Portion of Extract could be obtained from a Hundred Weight of Rice as compared with the Extract which could be obtained from a Hundred Weight of Barley, with reference to the Prices of the Two Articles,

Rice in Bengal being above 3s., and that of the Barley in England 8s. 6d.

1104. You have spoken of the Quality of Mr. M'Carthy's Rice Spirit; you

have stated it to sell for 9d. a Gallon higher than Corn Spirit?

He informed me that he obtained 9d. a Gallon higher for it than that distilled from Corn Spirit; and that it is in much Request at this Time to use for British Brandy, and is also used in the Imitation of Rum, in the Proportion of One Puncheon of pure Jamaica Rum and about Five or Six Puncheons of Rice Spirit, and in that Proportion it could scarcely be detected from the finest Jamaica Rum imported into this Country; in about that Proportion, One in Five, according to the peculiar Flavour of the Jamaica Rum that is mixed with it.

, 1105. Did he sell it unmixed as the pure Rice Spirit?

He sold it to the Rectifiers. Mr. McCarthy is, I understand, purely a Distiller; he sells the Spirit to the Rectifiers. Indeed the Distillers in this Country are now so much aware of the Importance of Rice Spirit that this Individual is about entering into an Engagement, he having obtained his Patent, to receive One Penny per Gallon upon all Spirits which the Distillers make, from adopting the peculiar Mode he has discovered of obtaining the best Extract and manufacturing the purest Spirit from Rice.

1106. You have stated what was the comparative Cost of British Spirit and Rice Spirit distilled in England; can you make a Comparison between British Spirit and Rice Spirit distilled in India?

Assuming that the Quality of Rice fit for Distillation can be purchased in Bengal at from 3l. to 3l. 10s. per Ton, then my comparative Statement is accurate.

1107. Have you any Reason to know that it can be so purchased at that Price?

Yes; I am informed that the last Purchase which took place of fair marketable Rice fit for Distillation was bought from 2l. 18s. to 3l. 2s. per Ton in Bengal; and I think, assuming the Rice to cost 2l. 18s. or 3l. 2s. per Ton, Rice Spirit can be manufactured and delivered in London at the Cost of 1s. 4d. per Gallon.

1108. You have stated that at 3l. 2s. the Ton of Rice, Rum made of that Rice can be introduced into this Country at 1s. 4d. a Gallon; supposing Molasses to sell at 1s. 6d. the Maund of Eighty-two Pounds, at what Price

could Rum made from Molasses be introduced into this Country?

If Eighty-two Pounds of Molasses in India costs but 1s. 6d., it could be manufactured into Rum and landed in England for 1s. per Gallon, and would now sell for 3s. 6d. to 3s. 8d. per Gallon. The Skimmings of the Copper are often confounded with the Molasses; but they are a perishable Article. Molasses, I believe, may be sent to this Country from the East Indies at the same Rate of Duty as from the West Indies. I therefore know of no Reason why they are not exported to England, if sold so cheap in India; but I am not aware to what Purpose we could apply in the West Indies our refuse Produce, unless it was distilled into Rum. If the English Market is supplied with Rum manufactured at so cheap a Rate from the East Indies it must prove ruinous to West India Property. I do not recollect ever having any Molasses from Jamaica; I have had some from St. Kitt's and Montserrat.

1109. Have you ever sold Molasses in Jamaica?

The only Sale we have for Molasses in Jamaica, if it is not distilled into Rum, is, I believe, when the Americans sometimes exchange with us for Lumber.

(43.6.) P 1110. Has

B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840. 1110. Has it a Price?

It has not a current Price; we can never sell it at a Money Price; we sometimes barter with the Americans.

1111. When you exchange it, what should you say was its Price? I should say when we exchange it that its Price would be in Exchange about 9s. or 10s. a Hundred Weight.

1112. At what Price do the Molasses sell in England? At this Time now in England the Molasses are worth 34s. a Hundred Weight; the Duty of 9s. deducted from it would leave 25s. 'a Hundred Weight.

1113. In what Proportion do you obtain Molasses from a certain Quantity of Sugar in the West Indies?

We generally get about One Puncheon of Molasses from a Hogshead of of Sugar; a Puncheon of Molasses would be about 80 Gallons.

1114. How many Gallons are there in a Hogshead? About 80 Gallons in a Puncheon of Molasses, and about Seventeen Hundred Weight in a Hogshead of Sugar.

1115. You have stated that the Cost of Rice Spirit distilled in Bengal would would be 1s. 4d. delivered in England, and that the Cost of Corn Spirit is 2s. 8d.? Assuming Barley to be 5s. per Bushel.

1116. So that the Distiller distilling Rice in Bengal could undersell the Corn Distiller in England by 7d. per Gallon?

Yes, and obtain 9d. more.

1117. What Freight do you allow upon that?

My Calculation was, that it would be 6d. per Gallon upon the liquid. Gallon; but we should send it over at 30 or 40 per Cent. over Proof, which, when reduced to Proof, would make it but 4d. per Gallon.

1118. Would the Freight from India be upon the Gallon? The Spirit which recently came over from India I understand was paid for at so much a Gallon.

1119. Is there much Leakage upon the Voyage? There is not much Leakage in Spirits if it is put in good Casks; it hardly comes under the Denomination of Leakage; it is more Evaporation than Leakage.

1120. That would be of course more considerable upon the longer Voyage from the East Indies than from the West Indies?

It would; but it does not amount to much as a Question of Calculation, because the Duty is not charged upon it till it is delivered to the Buyer, and it may be many Months in the East Indies, and some Weeks coming here, and remain some Months in the Docks before the Duty is paid, which Duty forms the great Charge upon it, and the Loss therefore would not amount to much.

1121. So that the Waste is hardly worth calculating? It is not.

1122. Then are the Committee to understand that you are of opinion that if the Spirit of the East Indies were let in at the same Duties with the West,

the West Indians would not be able to stand the Competition?

The West Indians would not be able to stand the Competition; it would ruin them entirely; they could not carry on the Cultivation of Sugar if the Rum Market was taken from them; it is from the present Price of Rum that they are enabled to pay the additional Expenses of Labour. The English Farmer also would be deprived of the Distillers as their Customers for the ordinary Quality of Grain.

1123. That menaced Danger you calculate to arise from the Impossibility of ascertaining the Mixture of the Rice and the Sugar Spirit?

I do not only calculate it to arise from the Mixture of the Rice with the Sugar Spirit, but that the Spirit arising from Rice being manufactured cheaper

by the Distillers than it can be from Barley, they would not distil from Barley at all.

B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1124. Do you think it probable, or have you any Reason to think it likely to take place, that the English Distiller will engage in distilling Rice in the East

Indies to export to England?

An eminent Distiller told me that he had already ordered Stills of large Dimensions for the Purpose. If they were permitted to distil Rice in the East Indies, and send it to this Country at 9s. Duty, and prevented from distilling from Rice in this Country, he would carry on his Distillation of Spirits in the East Indies.

1125. What Reason had he to suppose that the Duty would be equalized; what Reason sufficiently strong to induce him actually to engage in the sending out of Machinery?

He thought it so probable that this Measure, which appeared so plausible in Principle, would be permitted, and that he was looking out to prepare for it,

to be first in the Market.

1126. You have stated that that Gentleman has come to some Understand-

ing with the English Distillers?

Mr. M'Carthy informed me that one great Distiller said, "Well, if you will enter into this Arrangement with my House I will give you a Cheque for 1,000l." He said, "No, I will not take 1,000l., but I will take 1d. a Gallon for all that is distilled under the peculiar Mode for which I have taken out the Patent and have an exclusive Right, and I will not permit you to use my Mode of distilling at a less Price than 1d. per Gallon, which will produce to me from all the Distillers 4,000l. or 5,000l. per Annum."

1127. His Patent goes upon the Assumption that he will be permitted to distil from Rice in England, or that he will be able to distil from Rice in the East Indies, and introduce it at 9s. Duty?

Yes; in either of which Cases he will avail himself of the Patent.

1128. He has taken it out with a view to one of those Contingencies?

The Patent could not of course be taken out in the East Indies, but he would carry with him the Knowledge he possesses, and apply that Knowledge in Distillation in the East Indian Market.

1129. Is his Patent exclusively adapted to Distillation from Rice?

It is exclusively so. He has, by a peculiar Mode of Distillation from Rice, been enabled to distil a much greater Quantity of Proof Spirit of a better Quality from a certain Weight of Rice or Grain than any other Individual has hitherto been able to extract.

1130. You say, "any other Grain;" is the Discovery applicable to the Distillation from British Grain?

I do not think, from what I know of the Patent, it would succeed so well for British Grain. The Patent, so far as I understand it,—but of course he is very reluctant to disclose any Portion of it,—is, instead of leaving, as in ordinary Distillation, a considerable Quantity of Refuse or Grains after we have obtained the Extract from Grain, that with Rice he exhausts the whole; the whole becomes a Mucilage, and the whole is converted into Spirits; and in a Ton of Rice he would scarcely have Fifty Pounds of what is called Refuse. He has found out a Mode of exhausting the whole of the Rice by converting it into Mucilage, and making use of the whole in Distillation.

1131. When you state the Price at which the Spirit could be produced in this Market, do you refer to the raw Spirit that would require a Second Process, namely, the Rectification?

I do.

1132. Does the Proprietor of this new Patent contemplate the Possibility of producing a marketable Spirit by One Process?

No; he sells the Spirit to the Rectifiers.

(43.6.) P 2 1133. And

B. Greene, Esq.

1183. And in that respect it is precisely analogous to the Mode in which the British Spirit is produced by British Distillers?

Exactly. It then goes to the Rectifier; with this Distinction, that English

Exactly. It then goes to the recentled which is Distillers do not exhaust the whole of their Grain, but leave a Portion which is technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technically called Grains, and which is sold to Cowkeepers and other Persons to the technical to the tech

1134. You have stated that the Rice Spirit has been sold to the Rectifiers; could the Spirit made from Molasses be sold for a similar Purpose to them?

It could not be made in England from Molasses by the Distillers without an Order in Council; they are not permitted to distil from Molasses.

1135. Then the Spirit distilled in India from Rice might be sold to the Rectifier for Rectification; whereas Spirit distilled from Molasses is prohibited to be sold for Rectification?

Spirit distilled from Molasses in this Country has been prohibited, but not Spirit distilled from Molasses, I believe, either in Jamaica or the East Indies,

upon Payment of Duty.

1136. You stated in the early Part of your Examination that Rice combined with Molasses would make the best possible Spirit; could not Rice be profitably imported into the West Indies for the Purpose of mixing with Molasses?

Yes; but the Question of Labour in the West Indies would prevent it being profitable; we could not compete with the Price of Labour in the East Indies, and our Labourers are not acquainted with this Mode of Distillation, and we could not obtain Rice but at a great Expense of Freight.

1137. Can you say what would be the Difference of Price when imported into the West Indies and when produced in India?

I could not speak without referring to it.

1138. But it would be considerable? It would.

1139. Before the Emancipation you considered the Labour cheaper in the West Indies?

Yes; but my Attention was never directed to distilling from Rice, and though my Attention has been for Years directed to the Subject of the various Properties of different Sorts of Grain, I was not aware that Rice contained such valuable Properties as I now know it does, and till this Question of equalising the Duties came before Parliament I think very few Individuals were aware that so valuable and cheap a Spirit could be distilled from Rice.

1140. What Proportion of your Profit as a West Indian Planter is derived from the Sale of Rum?

I should say, taking the present State of the West Indies into consideration, the Profit we get from the high Price of Rum is almost the only Profit that a West Indian Estate, however well conducted, would give; and that we could not continue the Cultivation, at the present Expenses for Labour, but from the high Price of Rum.

1141. Is there less Difficulty now in getting the Negroes to apply themselves

to the making of Rum than to the making of Sugar?

I do not think there is more Difficulty, but there would be increased Expense, in using Rice with the Refuse of the Manufacture of Sugar, because the Negroes have never been accustomed to that Mode of Distillation.

1142. You say the chief Profit is not obtained from Sugar, but from Rum; why is there more Profit from your Rum than from Sugar; is that because the

Negroes are more willing to work upon Rum than upon Sugar?

No; but it arises from this: the Labour is now so expensive that unless we can make the Rum a profitable Part of the Production of a West Indian Estate that Estate could not be profitably managed at all. A Puncheon of Rum, which is equal to Two Hogsheads of Sugar, ought to fetch a net Price in the English Market of about 201.; unless we had that 201. for every Two Hogsheads

of Sugar a West Indian Estate could not be conducted, at the high Price of Labour, with any Profit at all.

B. Greene, Esq. 16th March, 1840.

1143. You have spoken of the comparative Prices of Production in the West Indies and in the East Indies, how would the Case be with respect to the

Expense of Produce in the Mauritius?

I should think the Expense of Produce in the Mauritius would be now as large as it is in Jamaica and Demerara; they have a great Deficiency of Labourers, and a great Expense for Labour, in the Mauritius; but if Parliament should allow the Emigration of Labourers to the Mauritius, then the Mauritius would have a great Advantage over the British West Indies, and could manufacture Sugar and Rum at a must less Cost.

1144. The Importation of 20,000 Coolies into the Mauritius must have given the Mauritius an Advantage in the Price of Labour?

A very great Advantage indeed.

1145. Can you state, from your Acquaintance with the Cultivation in the West Indies, about the average Rate of Negro Labour?
In Jamaica the average Rate of Wages, I should think, is about 1s. 8d. to 2s. 6d. Sterling per Day, but with many other Advantages; and in the Leeward Islands, in Saint Kitt's, and Montserrat, it is about 1s. Sterling per Day, with the Advantages of Medical Attendance and free Cottages.

1146. With some Garden Ground?

In Saint Kitt's there are small Provision Grounds.

1147. Can you get continued Labour for that? We cannot get continuous Labour.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

BENJAMIN BUCK GREENE Esquire is called in, and examined as follows:

B. B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1148. HAVE you managed any Plantation of Sugar in the West Indies? Yes, I have.

1149. Have you made Rum upon that Plantation? I have.

1150. On how many Estates?

At One Time, I think, I had Eighteen Estates under my Direction, upon Twelve or Thirteen of which Rum was made.

1151. All in St. Kitt's? Yes.

1152. Of the whole Profit upon those Plantations on which Rum was made, what Proportion was derived from the Manufacture of Rum; take last Year,

I happened to take an Account of the Proceeds of an Estate consigned to us last Year, and out of, I think, 12,000l.,—the Proceeds upon the Sales that were paid to the Owner,—6,800 and odd Pounds was for Rum alone. This is an Estate in Jamaica.

1153. Was there any thing peculiar in the Character of the Estate? Not that I am aware of.

1154. Does the same Result take place upon the other Estates that you

manage?

I think the Result would be nearly the same, or would rather show a larger Amount for Rum. I am not enabled to state the Amount, because the Accounts from these particular Estates have not yet been quite closed; but I this Morning calculated as far as we had gone, and I found the Proceeds of the Rum amounted to nearly Two Thirds of the Balance in favour of the Estate.

1155. Is not that Proportion very different from what it used to be formerly? Very different.

. 1156. Whát P 3 (43.6.)

B, B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1156. What was the former Proportion? In 1837, as far as my Recollection serves, the net Proceeds of that Estate were something like 17,000L, and the Rum was only 4,000L; in that case there was the Absence of the heavy Expenses for Labour which were felt in 1838.

1157. The other 13,000l. was for Sugar? Yes.

1158. Will you take the whole Produce of One of the Plantations under your

Management, and divide it into Sugar and Molasses and Rum?

I had better apply my Answer first to Jamaica, as my previous Answer had Reference to the Island. This I know of only by the Consignments; but I now forget the total Number of the Hogsheads and the total Number of the Punforget the Volume of the Punforget the Punf cheons, that were made in that Year (I have stated the Value of the Sales); but that is a Point that I can ascertain on Reference to our Books.

1159. Of the Estates that you have under your Management in Saint Kitt's, what was the usual Proportion between the Crop of Sugar and the Crop of

They are all very small Estates there; an Estate making 200 Hogsheads of Sugar would make (varying of course considerably) from 80 to 120 Puncheons of Molasses, and of Rum varying from 30 to 70 Puncheons.

1160. What is the Value of the Sugar in London;

The Sugar nets at the present Time about 251. per Hogshead; it depends upon the Price; the Molasses, about from 81. to 101.; and the Rum we have from Saint Kitt's, being very little above Proof, will net from 13L to 14L, at the present Price.

1161. Upon that Estate you have supposed the Quantity of Molasses you have mentioned to be sold?

That Quantity of Molasses is sold independently of the Rum, and not manufactured into Rum.

1162. If you had not an Inducement to manufacture so much Rum upon that Estate how could you dispose of the surplus Molasses?

We should dispose of the surplus Molasses by Sale.

1163. In that Case the Price of Molasses would be very materially diminished?

If the Quantity was very materially increased it must have that Effect.

1164. Is the Market for Molasses one which can bear any great Increase of Supply without a considerable Decrease of Price? I should say not.

1165. What was the Proportion in former Times, say Eight Years ago, which the net Profit upon the Rum and Molasses bore to the net Profit upon the Sugar?

I am not quite prepared to answer that Question.

1166. Was the Proportion very different from what it is now? Very different.

1167. To what Circumstance do you attribute the Change?

To the increased Expenses of Labour consequent upon the Emancipation of the Slaves, and the Difference in the Prices of Rum and Sugar.

1168. Since the total Emancipation has that Expense greatly increased? Very greatly increased.

1169. Upon the Average of Estates is the Proportion between the Sugar Crop and the Rum Crop that of, say, Fifty Puncheons of Rum to One Hundred Hogsheads of Sugar, or One Half?

In Jamaica that is the Proportion.

1170. Can you say what is the average Value of a Hogshead of Sugar in the last Year in the British Market, taking the average Price?

A Hogshead of Forty-inch Truss, I think, would average throughout the Year 251. or nearly.

1171. What would be the average Price of a Puncheon of Rum? The average Price last Year of a Puncheon of strong Rum would be 221. or 23l.

B. B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1172. Are the Proportions between the Sugar, the Molasses, and the Rum manufactured upon the Estates in the West Indies materially changed of late?

It has changed in the last Year, and it frequently changes; sometimes the Proportion of Molasses is much larger than it is at other Times.

1173. In consequence of the different Nature of the Crop?

In consequence of an Alteration in the Weather the Canes do not yield so much Molasses; they yield more Sugar and less Molasses. We have found that to be the Case during the last Year; and it has been a general Complaint in the Island of St. Kitt's that the Molasses Crop has been very short.

1174. Have you intentionally altered the Proportion between the different Parts of the Produce; do you produce more Rum now in proportion to the Quantity of Sugar than you did formerly?

No; I am not aware that we do. We have written out to alter the Proportions on One or Two Estates in Jamaica, and directed more Rum to be made, and less Sugar, in consequence of the Rum being of greater Value than the Sugar from which it is made.

1175. Supposing, in consequence of the Alteration of the Duty, or any other Circumstance, it became much less profitable to you to introduce Rum into this Country, what Use should you make of the greater Surplus of Molasses that remained at your Disposal?

We should sell it to the Americans, or ship it to England for Sale.

1176. What Effect do you think that would have upon the Market for Molasses, and upon your Profits?

I should say it would have the Effect of reducing the Price of Molasses.

1177. Would it so reduce the Price of Molasses, in your Opinion, as materially to affect the Profits upon a West Indian Plantation? I should say that it would.

1178. To what Purposes are Molasses applied in America? They are used by the poorer Part of the Population instead of Sugar.

1179. Is not the Rum manufactured jointly from the Skimmings of the Sugar in the Process of boiling and the Molasses? Yes.

1180. The Skimmings constitute a very considerable Portion of the Sweets from which the Spirit is distilled.

They form a large Portion; in the Leeward Islands the principal Portion.

1181. Is the Quantity about Three Fourths?

Yes; the Quantity in Gallons of Skimmings is very much greater than the Quantity of Molasses.

1182. Could you make any Use of the Skimmings if you did not manufacture

The only Use we could make of the Skimmings would be to give them to the Stock, and they are not often required for that Purpose.

1183. Could you not manufacture Skimmings into an inferior Sugar? They might be boiled into Molasses.

1184. You consider the present Price a high one? Yes.

1185. Is not that a little owing to the falling off in the Cultivation of Sugar in the West Indies?

Yes; it is owing to the short Supply of Sugar, and the expected short Supply during the present Year.

(43.6.)1186. Do B. B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1186. Do you expect the Supply to be much shorter in the present Year than the last?

Much shorter under any Circumstances.

1187. Owing to the increased Price of Labour? To the Want of Labour during the last Year.

1188. If that should go on diminishing, will there not be so short a Supply of Rum in the Market here as to make it absolutely necessary to have re-

course to some other Means of Supply?.

At present the falling off in Rum is not in proportion to the falling off in Sugar; at least I believe it so happens at present; and I think, owing to the high Price which Rum bears, that many Planters have been anxious to make rather a larger Quantity of it; the Quantity has not fallen off in proportion to the Quantity of Sugar.

1189. But it must fall off with the Decline of Cultivation? Certainly it would.

1190. Do you look forward to a larger Crop in the Year following? The Crop that is being planted now, and which will be taken off in 1841, we expect to be a very fair Crop.

1191. In Saint Christopher's?

In all the West India Islands. I do not know that we shall have a much better Crop in Saint Kitt's. I was speaking then of Jamaica; the Opinion there is, that there will be a much better Crop in 1841, from the better working of the Labourers during the last Six or Eight Months.

1192. That is upon the Assumption that the Labourers will work better than they have done?

Yes; and that they have got in more Plants now than they had at the cor-

responding Period of last Year.

1193. Have you ever been in India?

1194. Have you ever made Inquiries as to the Mode of manufacturing Sugar in India?

Yes.

1195. Do you apprehend it is of so rude a Sort as to produce great Waste in the Manufacture?

I should think it is. My own Opinion is, that a proper Manufactory, conducted in the same Way as in the West Indies, would produce Sugar under more favourable Circumstances; that is, more profitably than at present.

1196. Both Sugar and Rum?

Both Sugar and Rum. I am surprised that they have not attended more to Distillation.

1197. Have you ever seen Spirits made from Rice? I have seen a Sample said to be made from Rice.

1198. Have you ever seen any Spirit made of Molasses and Rice mixed?

1199. Do you apprehend that there is any thing in the Spirit made from Rice that would so adulterate the Spirit made from Molasses as to injure its Quality and Price?

I should rather think it would improve the Quality if any thing; at least it . is so stated; it is said to be a better Spirit.

1200. You are not yourself practically acquainted with that? I am not.

1201. How much do you consider the present Price of Rum to be above what you would consider the average Price?

I should think something more than 1s. a Gallon. I am speaking of strong

Rum.

1202. That Price would have been still higher if the Navy had not taken B. B. Greene, Esq. Part of their Supply from the East Indian Rum, would it not?

I should think it would.

1203. Have you any Notion of what the Difference in Expense to the Navy, in taking the East Indian Rum instead of the West Indian, as it used to do, would make in a Year?

No, I have not.

1204. Are you at all aware in what Proportions East and West Indian Rum have been exported from this Gountry?

No. I think as many as 68,000 Gallons of East Indian Rum were imported last Year.

1205. The Question refers to Exports for the Foreign Market? I cannot state now the Proportions.

1206. Must not all the East Indian Rum, with the Exception of what is taken by the Navy, be exported?

It must; it cannot, at the present Rate of Duty, be consumed here.

1207. Do you know in what Proportion the Contract for the Navy has been taken from the East and West Indies?

I do not know.

1208. Have you increased the Amount of White Labour upon the Estate that has been under your Management?

I introduced White Labourers about Six Years ago, and we have from Time to Time been increasing their Number.

1209. Will you state whether the White Labour you have introduced is a material Facility in respect to the Sugar Plantation, or any other Mode of Cultivation?

I found, while I had the Superintendence myself, that the White Labour was of very great Use, and saved other Labour very materially.

1210. As being applicable to every Mode of Cultivation equally? Yes.

1211. Was that upon a Sugar Estate? Yes.

1212. Where did they come from? From the County of Suffolk.

1213. Are they on the Plantation still? Yes.

1214. Did you apply the Plough to the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane? I did.

1215. For the first Time?

Not for the first Time; but I used Horses instead of Oxen for the first Time.

1216. Is it usual in the West Indies to use the Plough instead of the Hoe? The Hoe is most used. I use the Plough to reduce manual Labour.

1217. Is there a material Saving in Expense by the Use of the Plough? Very great, where the Plough can be used.

12183 Are there many Soils upon which it could not be used? There are some where it could not be used.

1219. Do you think it probable that the Amount of manual Labour may be, in the Progress of Improvement, still further reduced than it is at present?

Q

I think so.

(43.6.)

B. B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1220. Is not the Use of the Plough very generally adopted now where it is practicable?

It is coming more into Practice now.

1221. There is a general Opinion that it is the most advantageous Mode of planting Canes? I think that Opinion begins to prevail.

1222. It was not so under the System of Slavery, was it? No; there was an Objection to it.

1223. What Effect has it with respect to Produce? It produces at less Cost.

1224. But as to positive Produce; does it diminish the Amount of Produce? Certainly not. Land that has been ploughed, and Land that has been simply hoed, would produce, in my Opinion, the same. If any thing, I should give the Preference to the Plough.

1225. So that it is a mere Consideration of Expense between the Two Modes of doing it?

Yes, that is all.

1226. You could not get the English Labourer to stand the Work with the

No; it is a Tool that he is not at all accustomed to.

1227. He could not stand the Climate? I should think he could not.

1228. Therefore the Use of the Plough must necessarily follow the Use of White Labour? Yes.

1229. Would not the Black Labourers be capable of managing the Plough? Yes, they would.

1230. Do your White Labourers retain their Health very well? In Saint Kitt's they have sustained their Health remarkably well; we have lost One Man only in that Island.

1231. How many Men have you taken there? We have sent out altogether, I think, Eighteen or Twenty Families.

1232. Have those that went out remained there willingly? Not above One or Two have returned.

1233. Did you ever get any Labourers from Malta or the Canary Islands? No.

1234. Have you seen any of them?

1235. What is the Difference between the Expense of White Labour and Black?

To the White Labourer we give 451. Sterling a Year; the others get from 9d. to 1s. a Day.

1236. Then have those who receive the 9d. a Day other Advantages which the White Labourers have not?

Yes; the White Labourers have not Advantages to the same Extent.

1237. Which is the cheapest; Black or White Labour? Black Labour, I should think, is the cheapest.

1238. Is it the best as well as cheapest?

I do not know that there is much Difference, considering the increased Cost of the White.

1239. Do you at all know what is the Difference in the Amount of Labour B. B. Greene, Esq. that is required when the Plough is used instead of the Hoe?

Yes; the Difference in Saint Kitt's is, that the Labour is reduced Three Fourths in the Ploughing Departments; for instance, in opening the Land.

16th March 1840.

1240. Is the Plough used for the Purpose of clearing the Land between the Cane Plants, or must that be done with the Hoe?

That is now beginning to be done by the Plough; I have myself sent out an Implement with a view to attain that Object, but I am not sure that that will be successful; but I have sent out other Implements to open the Land, to do away with the Necessity of the Hoe at all in planting the Cane.

1241. Do the White and Black Labourers work in Harmony together? Yes.

1242. Taking into consideration this Saving by the Use of the Plough, what Proportion does the Expense of cultivating a Plantation now bear to the Expense of cultivating it before the Alteration was made in the State of

Slavery?

It makes a very considerable Difference, having to pay for the Labour. The Labour of an Estate, making about 200 Hogsheads, will now cost from 1,000l. to 1,500l. a Year Sterling. Formerly we had simply to furnish Clothing, Herrings, and Food; the latter we grew upon the Estates (in the Leeward Islands), the Expense of which was not felt. In Jamaica Provisions were not planted. I should say, that Clothing, Herrings, and other Things for the Use of the Negroes might cost on such an Estate from 400l. to 500l. a Year.

1243. Then the Food which you raised on the Estate, and before gave the

Labourers, you now sell to them?

We are not able to cultivate Provisions profitably. I did cultivate them in the first instance, but the Labourers did not buy them freely; they gave so little that we were obliged to give it up; therefore that Source of Saving is dried up.

1244. Then do you consider that the total increased Expense is about 1,100%. a Year upon that Estate, after taking into consideration the Saving by the Use of the Plough?

On such an Estate our Expenses are increased, I should think, altogether,

from 800*l*. to 1,000*l*.

1245. It is Three Times what it was?

That would be very nearly Three Times what it was.

1246. What was the Number of Slaves upon the Estate The Estate had, I think, 270 Slaves.

1247. What was the Compensation per Head for those Slaves? Sixteen Pounds Ten Shillings.

1248. You consider that the West Indies have seen their worst Day as to the Difficulty with respect to Labour?

I think they have.

1249. That it is now rather upon the Turn? I think it is.

1250. You are speaking particularly of Saint Kitt's? I think throughout the whole West Indies it is so.

1251. As to the Number of Hours Work done per Day by the White Labourers, how is that, compared with the Number of Hours Labour done now by the Black Population?

I think about the same; the White Labourers actually work at the Plough about Seven Hours or Seven Hours and a Half a Day, and they have to attend

to their Horses in addition.

1252. And they are all willing to work together?

The Black and White Labourers only work together in Carting, and the Black Man is an Assistant to the White; he is not required to assist the White. Man in ploughing.

(43.6.) Q 2 1253. How

B. B. Greene, Esq. 16th March 1840.

1253. How many Hours a Day did the Black Population use to work before the Commencement of the Apprenticeship? They worked in the Fields about Nine or Ten Hours a Day.

1254. Do the Black and the White Man now work for the same Number of

The White Man works for a greater Number of Hours, if you take into consideration the Time he is occupied in feeding his Horses, and attending to them.

1255. You do not employ the White Men in the Cultivation of Sugar; in hoeing or cleaning the Canes?

No.

1256. You employ them as Head Men?

Yes; they have their own Departments, and when a White Man is carting he has a Black Man to assist him to load.

1257. Owing to the Substitution of this new Plan, what is the Reduction in the actual Population employed upon those Plantations to which you have been referring?

The Plough is used by the White People. They were introduced nearly at the same Time the Emancipation took place; not altogether as a Substitute for other Labour, but to give additional Labour, as we could not get a sufficient Number of Labourers to do the Work without some such Assistance.

1258. What is the Amount of Population now employed, as compared with

that which was employed formerly?

We should employ more if we could get them; but the Numbers vary. On the Estate I have referred to I should think we have altogether, small and great, about 100 People employed out of the 274 who were Slaves; at least we have that Number on our List; but they turn out to work so irregularly that it is impossible to get at the exact Number. You may have Fifty To-day, and Tomorrow you will not have above Ten.

1259. You cannot get continuous Labour?
No. They occasionally work on Saturdays for increased Pay; they are in the habit of leaving their own Estates on that Day to go to others as Strangers, and get an increased Rate of Wages.

1260. How do those who have ceased to labour maintain themselves?

They have Provision Grounds, and have the Privilege of keeping Stock upon the Estate, which Privilege has never, in Saint Kitt's, been altogether refused

1261. Do they pay any Rent for those Grounds since the Emancipation? None, in Saint Kitt's.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Thursday next, Half past Four o'Clock.

Die Jovis, 19° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Evidence on the Petition of the **EastIndiaCompany** for Relief.

WILLIAM KNIGHT DEHANY Esquire is called in, and examined as W. K. Dehany, Esq. follows:

19th March 1840.

1262. YOU are Solicitor for the Excise? I am.

1263. Is there any Instrument by which Spirit distilled from Rice can be

distinguished from Spirit distilled from Molasses?

I am not aware of any Instrument which will distinguish one Spirit from another; the only Instrument that I know of is an Instrument (the Hydrometer) for ascertaining the Strength of Spirits, but it will not give the distinctive Character of any Spirit, or indicate the Materials from which it is distilled.

1264. Can the Officers of Excise or Customs by Taste or Smell distinguish one Spirit from the other, particularly if they were imported at a high Strength, such as from Thirty to Forty per Cent. over Proof, as is the Practice with respect to the West India Rum, and if it were mixed with Rice Spirit, or with the Mixture which is usually employed for giving Colour and Flavour to the

If Two Spirits, one made from Rice, or from Grain, or from Grape, and the other made from Sugar or any other Material, were imported or produced with their distinctive Character attached to them as they were distilled, there would be no Difficulty in distinguishing them; but, when you speak of the higher Strengths, if they were rectified so as to become Spirits of Wine or plain Spirits, and imported in that Character, they could not be distinguished; because as Spirits of Wine or plain Spirits they would be deprived of their distinctive Flavour, and it could not be told from what Materials they were distilled. With respect to Imitation, I do not see why Rice Spirits should not be flavoured to imitate Rum or other particular Spirits, as well as Spirits from other Grain; Barley, for instance, from which I believe the British Rum and the British Brandy, which are very good Imitations, are made.

1265. Is not the Spirit distilled from Molasses, the Rum, for instance, at

from Forty to Fifty per Cent. over Proof, tasteless and colourless?

I have never seen any of so high a Strength as that; but in that State I should not call it Rum. If it were rectified or distilled at a very high Strength, above Forty-three per Cent. over Proof, and so as to get rid of the distinctive Character, it would be Spirits of Wine, and in that State the essential Oil which gives the Flavour being discharged from it, which is more difficult with Sugar Spirit than with any other, it would be tasteless, and it could not be distinguished from what Material it had been made.

1266. Would it be worth the while of the Importer of Rum to bring it in that Condition, so many per Cent. above Proof, as to destroy its Taste alto-

gether?

That would depend very much upon the Price of the Market, and the original Quality of the Spirit; whether from its known Character as Rum it would fetch a very high Price; or whether, not making a fine Description of Rum, a Party could get a higher Price for it by converting it into Spirits of Wine.

(43.7.)Q 3 1267. Is W.K. Dehany, Esq. 19th March 1840.

1267. Is not the Strength at which Rum from the West Indies is usually imported the Strength of from Thirty to Forty over Proof, which they call in the Trade Thirds and Fourths?

That is more a Question for the Customs, as a Matter of Revenue. I believe the best Rums are imported at from about Thirty to Thirty-eight per Cent. over Proof.

1268. Is Distillation from Rice prohibited in Great Britain and Ireland? It is. There have been lately several Applications to be allowed to distil from Rice.

1269. Is it prohibited by a specific Enactment or by Interpretation? By Interpretation. There were lately Applications made by some Parties to be allowed to distil from Rice, and it became a Question of Interpretation whether Rice came under the Description of Grain.

1270. Was the Application to distil at home from Rice?

1271. What is the Duty on Corn Spirit distilled in England, Scotland, and Ireland?

In England 7s. 6d. a Gallon, in Scotland 3s. 4d., and in Ireland 2s. 4d.

1272. What is the Duty upon Rum imported into England or Scotland or

Ireland; does it vary, or is it the same?

It is the same in each Part of the United Kingdom. The Duty on Spirit the Produce of the British Possessions in America is 9s., on East Indian Spirit it is 15s., and on all Foreign Spirits 1l. 2s. 6d.

1273. How does the Rum that pays a Duty of 9s. stand with respect to

Strength in relation to the home distilled Spirit?

All Spirits are charged at the Proof Gallon. If they are imported at Thirty per Cent. over Proof, or if the home Distiller brings off the Spirits from the Still over Proof, it is always calculated to Proof for charging the Duty.

1274. Then the Duty is always upon the same Degree of Strength? Yes.

1275. That being the Case, do you suppose that there is any fraudulent Conversion of British or other Grain Spirit into Rum by flavouring it in any way,

or by any other Circumstance?

The Difference of Strength would be of no Importance; it is the different Rate of Duty. I am not aware of any fraudulent Importation; but I believe it is not uncommon, though forbidden, to mix British Spirit with Rum and Foreign Spirits. There is also an Imitation of Rum and an Imitation of Brandy, which is made, not fraudulently, by the Rectifier, as British Spirit, and such as it is very difficult, nearly impossible, I should say, to distinguish from the inferior Rum or French Brandy, or from the Canada Brandy more particularly, which is a Grain Spirit.

1276. Then the Dealer has the Means of making, out of an Article paying only 7s. 6d. Duty, an Article which would pay 9s. Duty, in such a Manner that it is very difficult for any Consumer to detect it?

Certainly the British Spirit that is made into the Imitation of Rum here is made from a Spirit paying 7s. 6d. Duty, whereas the Rum imported from the British Colonies pays 9s.

1277. It is not easy to detect it?

Certainly not. I have fancied myself, when taking a Sample, knowing previously what it was, that I could detect the Grain Flavour; but in my Opinion it would be quite impossible to distinguish it so satisfactorily as that the Revenue Officer could object to pass it.

1278. Do you suppose that there can be much Danger of the People of India converting their Spirit distilled from Grain into a Liquor apparently of the Description of Rum?

That must entirely depend, in my Idea, upon the Terms upon which their Spirit was to be admitted here. Suppose, for instance, if the Duty of 15s. now payable on Spirit from India was reduced to 9s. on Rum, or Spirits made from Molasses or the Refuse of Sugar only, but retained on all other Spirits, so that

Spirits

Spirits could only be brought in as Rum at the lower Duty, it might be, and W.K. Dehany, Esq. perhaps would be, worth while to increase the apparent Quantity of Rum by adding a plain Spirit from Rice, if extensively distilled from, or to flavour the plain Rice Spirit as Rum, so as to import it at the lower Duty in the Shape of Rum. Suppose, on the other hand, that, as with the West India and American Colonies, all Spirits of whatever Description, no matter from what Madiatilled in India were to be admitted at the lower Duty of Os if there terial, distilled in India, were to be admitted at the lower Duty of 9s., if they can produce a Spirit from Rice, Grain, or otherwise, and import it at a cheaper Rate than the British Distiller can bring his Spirits into the Market here, they would find it more advantageous to bring it in as a plain Spirit to supply the rectifying Houses, and supplant the English Distiller. It must depend upon the Arrangement made whether it suited their Purpose.

19th March 1840.

1279. But then the Importer would be subject to the higher Duty of 1s. 6d. as compared with the Corn Spirit?

I am taking that Difference into consideration, but with it I am supposing that from Cheapness of Material and the lower Cost of Labour the East India Spirit could still be imported so as to sell at a lower Price than the Distiller here could manufacture it.

1280. Is it more easy to distinguish the Material from which Spirit is distilled at a low Strength,—at Proof, for, instance, —than at a very high Strength?

Certainly, if the Strength is so high as that the Flavour has been removed.

1281. You have said that when it is of the Strength of Alcohol it is difficult or impossible to distinguish it?

When Spirits of Wine, the distinctive Flavour of whatever Material distilled is lost.

1282. Could you recover that distinctive Flavour, and acquire the Means of distinguishing it, by reducing it to Proof?

The Flavour having been removed, other Materials would be necessary to give a Flavour. The ordinary Means of reducing Spirits to Proof is by adding Water.

1283. When once it is distilled to a high Strength, so as to make it not

distinguishable, you cannot make it distinguishable by lowering it again?

Not at all; there must be a new Flavour communicated. That is the Way in which Compounds and Essences are made.

1284. If Spirit is raised Thirty or Forty per Cent. over Proof, the Flavour it receives afterwards is fictitious?

If the original Flavour is destroyed. It is more difficult to destroy the Flavour of Spirit from Sugar than any other.

1285. Is West India Rum consumed in Ireland? That I can hardly tell. But very little, I believe.

1286. Is that fictitious Flavour which is acquired by Adulteration, after it is reduced to Proof from having been raised to Thirty or Forty per Cent. above Proof, equal to the original Flavour that it had?

I should apprehend a Party would hardly destroy an original Flavour to communicate it again. These are Questions which a Distiller or Rectifier, or Dealer, would answer much better than I can do.

1287. Then, under ordinary Circumstances, it would not answer the Purpose of Producers to raise the Spirit to Thirty or Forty per Cent. above Proof, and then reduce it, and give it an adulterated Flavour?

It is the Rectifier's Process to flavour plain Spirits. What is the Course for producing the British Brandy or Rum I do not know. That is a Question which a Rectifier could answer better than I can do.

1288. Do you know whether the Spirits that come from the West Indies are really Sugar Spirits or not?

I have no Means of knowing it more than ordinary Reputation. heard of any other Material for Rum.

1289. It (43.7.)Q 4

W.K.Dehany, Esq. 19th March 1840.

1289. It may be distilled from Sugar or any other Material? Certainly it may; there is no Restriction upon that. For instance, Spirits brought from Canada are not distilled from Sugar, but from Grain; they are imported as Spirits of the British Colonies, and come in at a lower Duty.

1290. Do you happen to know, as a Matter of Fact, whether there is any other Material used in the West Indies from which they distil their Spirits besides Sugar?

No, I am not aware of any. In Canada I am aware they distil from Grain.

1291. Supposing they do distil in the West Indies from any other Material

than Sugar, the Committee understand you to say there is no Means of detecting it when it arrives here at Forty per Cent. above Proof?

I do not say that as a matter of course, because Rum may come in at Forty per Cent. still keeping a distinctive Character, and you may have another Spirit as strong as that with some particular Character to it. When I speak of not knowing from what Materials Spirit is made, I mean plain Spirits, or that from which Re-distillation or Rectification has discharged the distinctive Flavour. I do not say the Flavour is necessarily lost at Forty per Cent., although it may be, and will be, if carried very much higher.

1292. Should it be the Interest of the Importer to have that distinctive

Character lost he is enabled to do so?

I am not aware of any thing that would prevent it. That is more a Question of the Custom Laws; but I do not think, as far as my Recollection of them goes, that there is any thing which would prevent him doing it, as all Spirits from the Colonies, with the Exception of sweetened Spirits, are charged at the same' Duty.

1293. Do you happen to know, from any Information which you have received, the Fact, whether there is any Spirit distilled from any thing but Sugar and Molasses in the West Indies?

I am not aware that there is, nor can I suppose that there is any other Material that would answer so well, because the greater Quantity of Saccharine there is in the Material it would produce the greater Quantity of Spirits.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Friday the 27th instant, Two o'Clock.

Die Veneris, 27° Martii 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

GEORGE G. DE H. LARPENT Esquire is called in, and further examined follows:

1294. DO you wish in any Manner to correct the Evidence you gave with

respect to Corahs?

If you will allow me to do so. I am not quite certain of the Expression I used. I rather think I gave the Idea that the Corahs were printed abroad; whereas they are printed here. I wish to state that the course of the Trade with regard to Corahs is as follows: Of the gross Quantity cleared in 1839, 390,000 Pieces, 38,000 Pieces only paid the Twenty per Cent. Duty, and went into Consumption; and of the Remainder, 352,000 Pieces, 349,600 Pieces were taken out of Bond under Security; they were printed here, and returned into Bond, and exported, and upon Exportation the Security was cancelled; 2,400 Pieces only were exported Grey, as they are technically called, direct from the Warehouses. That is the Course of the Trade; and the Argument I founded on it was, that this Export proves that there is a very great Demand for these Articles abroad. In Germany, Holland, and Belgium the Duty is low; and as the British Public is as rich, and perhaps richer, than the Foreign Public, and Silk Handkerchiefs are an Article liked here, there would be a considerable Demand for the Home Trade if the Duty did not prevent their Consumption. If we once could get Admission into the Home Trade the Printer in England would be benefited, as he would print for the Home as well as now for the Foreign Market only; and whatever Duty (if any be retained) would be an Advantage to the Revenue. The Silk Handkerchiefs, printed or unprinted, might partially affect the Consumption of British Silk Handkerchiefs; but that is the very Evil we complain of, the Injustice to India, in giving British Manufactures a Protection to the Exclusion of the Indian Manufactures.

1295. Will you look at that Paper, and inform the Committee whether you believe the Prices of Sugar in Foreign Markets, as stated in that Paper, to be correct?

I believe the Prices of Sugars stated in the Paper which I now hold in my Hand to be those current in the Month of March 1840.

1296. And the Duties stated to be levied at the several Places mentioned? Yes.

The following Paper is delivered in, and read:

MARCH 1840.—PRICES OF SUGAR. Hambro'. d_ White Sugar in Bond 25 25 0 White Sugar in Bond 10d.] No Duty. Import Duty lő 4 Consumption do. 17s. 6d. Austria. 43 White Sugar in Bond 25 0 Prussia. Charges 1s.] 29 0 25 White Sugar in Bond Duty 28s. Charges 1s. 31 0 Duty 30s. 54 0 Belgium. England.n White Sugar in Bond White Sugar in Bond 0 Duty -Duty 16 8 71 0 41 8 (43.8.) \mathbf{R} 1297. You

Evidence on the Petition of the East India Company for Relief.

G. G. de H. Larpent,
Esq.

27th March 1840.

G. G. de H. Larpent,

1297. You stated in your former Evidence, Question 232., that the Prohibition to the Importation of Sugar, which has been made a Condition of being 27th March 1840. entitled to import Sugar at a low Duty in England, applies to Sea Imports, and not to Sugar imported by Land; and in Question 244., that the Act has been considered as having reference only to the Sugars of the Indian Archipelago and China; by whom was the Act so considered?

I should say by those Parties who felt interested in the Question as connected with India. The Impression on my Mind was that the Sugars intended to be

prohibited were Sea-borne Sugars.

1298. You have stated also, that the Act does not prevent the Importation by Land of any tributary States; and also that such Sugars, namely, from the States bordering on Bengal, for instance Oude, are not practically prohibited; can you inform the Committee whether any Measures have been taken to prevent the Importation of Sugar by Land from the Interior into Bengal?

No Measures have been taken; on the contrary, all the Transit Duties have been got rid of; but I should say that the Sugars that are brought to this Country for Consumption here at the low Duty are required to have Certificates of Origin, which being signed by Officers of the East India Company, which of course do not exist in Oude, these Oude Sugars cannot practically be brought into Consumption at the low Duty.

1299. Then we are to understand that there is a free Permission to import

Sugars from the subsidiary States of Oude into Bengal?

There is no Obstacle by any existing Custom-house Regulation between the Territory of Oude and Bengal, to my Knowledge.

1300. Can you inform the Committee whether any considerable Quantity is

imported or not?

I do not know the Fact, whether it is or not. Sugar, of course, is the general Growth of the Country; but there is no Reason to suppose that it is imported in any Quantity, excepting merely on the Borders or Frontiers.

1301. There is no Obstacle opposed?

1302. You stated that there is a Certificate of Origin required for such Sugar as is exported?

Yes.

1303. That Certificate of Origin would not prevent the Exportation of any Quantity of Sugar the Growth of Bengal, or its Place being supplied by the Importation of Sugar not grown in the British Possessions from the Interior?

It of course would not prevent it, because the Certificate refers only to that particular Sugar which is admitted at the low Duty being the Growth of British

1304. You also stated, in another Part of your Evidence, that it is not the Wish of the East India Company and Persons connected with it to be placed on a better Footing than the West Indies?

No; it was the fair Understanding at the Time, that as the West Indies were prohibited from having Foreign Sugar introduced, so were the East India Company's Territories to have the same Prohibition. It was the Principle of the

1305. The Question was asked at the Time, whether you were not aware that the Introduction of Sugar is altogether prohibited in the West Indies, and consequently their Exportation is limited only to the Surplus of their Growth above their own Consumption?

Of course. I know that to be the Fact.

1306. Then if the Export from India is supplied by Sugar imported from the Interior, they will not be placed on the same Footing as the West India Colonies :

I beg Pardon, because I consider the whole of India as one Country; and it is on that Footing I proceeded.

1307. The Question was, if Sugar, not the Growth of British Possessions,

is imported from the Interior, then they are not put in the same Situation as the .G. G. de H. Larpent, West Indies?

I think the Word "imported" implies introduced as a commercial Article, 27th March 1840. and I am not prepared to admit the Fact that Oude Sugar is actually an Article of commercial Intercourse; indeed I do not believe, though I cannot speak of my own Knowledge, it to be such an Article. Sugar, being the Growth of the whole Territory of India, of course may be introduced, or not, from Oude, under the present Arrangements.

1308. If it was intended to confine the Export of the surplus Produce of the British Dominions in India above their Consumption, that would not be accomplished by permitting the Introduction of Sugar not the Growth of British Possessions, from the Interior?

Undoubtedly the Facts as stated would lead to that Conclusion; but the real Question is, whether there is any Sugar for commercial Purposes so introduced, or even for Consumption to any Extent. I really do not know the Fact; and I can only state, that Sugar being an Article of common Growth throughout the whole of the Country, the Permission exists, now all the Transit Duties are removed.

1309. What is required is now that a Power should be granted to introduce it, and that the Law should be altered?

No. What I have asked for is simply this; that the Decision should be left to the Powers and Authorities in India, without reference to the Privy Council, to determine whether they will or not embrace the Conditions upon which the Equalization of Duty was offered, namely, to prohibit the Importation of Foreign Sugars.

1310. That applies solely to those Parts of India into which the Importation of Sugar is not already prohibited by Act of Parliament?

1311. The Act of Parliament which prohibits the Importation of Sugar into Bengal and its Dependencies prohibits it absolutely in express Terms, making no Distinction between Sea-borne Sugar and Sugar imported from the Interior?

None.

1312. But the Understanding of the Merchants, when that Boon was granted to India, was, that it was to apply only to Sea-borne Sugar? Certainly.

1313. The Words of the Act of Parliament not bearing out that strict Interpretation?

Yes.

1314. If the Indian Government have not carried into effect the Act of Parliament by forming Establishments of Customs upon the Frontier of Oude or other Countries, that makes no Difference in the Law, but is solely an Act of Negligence on their Part? Certainly.

1315. And the Permission which the East India Company require to introduce from the Interior would not be consistent with the Limitation on the West

Indies for exporting the Surplus of their own Consumption?

There is an Advantage which the West Indies possess, though a small one, in the Case of refined Sugars. At this Moment a large Quantity of refined Sugars, about 10,000 Tons, Brazils, &c., are refined in this Country, and are exported, a similar Quantity being returned again into Bond; and this refined Sugar so exported is, though the Produce of a Foreign Country, admitted without Duty into the West Indies, and may therefore be drank in the Tea of the West Indies, or otherwise consumed.

1316. Do you consider that an Advantage to the West India Grower of Sugar?

If he had the Power of refining himself it would be better.

1317. Since - (43.8.) R 2.

G. G. de H. Larpents Esq. 27th March 1840.

1317. Since what Period is it that you suppose that the Brazilian Sugar has

been introduced into the West India Islands? The refining Foreign Sugars in Bond is a growing Trade. The official Docu-

ments will show the Details.

1318. Have you any Knowledge when it began?

I cannot speak accurately.

1319. Since or not since the Abolition of Slavery?

It has been recently, but I have not the Documents with me to show the Particulars.

1320. You state that the Act of Parliament merely gives this Privilege of Importation of Sugars upon equal Terms with the West Indies to such Parts as are prohibited from the Importation of Foreign Sugars; that you consider that Prohibition to extend as well to Foreign Sugars Sea-borne as to Sugars from subsidiary Places, by the Words of the Act; in point of fact, if Sugars from subsidiary States do come into Bengal from a State not British, is not that then

direct Violation of the Act of Parliament.

There are Two Acts of Parliament; the Act of Parliament 6 & 7 W. 4. c. 26., admitting Sugars from Bengal at the low Duty, actually prohibits the Importation of Foreign Sugars into that Presidency; and upon that Act Sugars from Bengal, in the existing State of Things, as stated, (Transit Duties being abolished, and the Possibility existing of Sugar coming in from Oude,) are admitted at the low Duty. But there is another Act, 1 Vict. c. 27., which states that such Presidencies in India as wish to place themselves on a Footing of Advantage as to the Equalization of Duty, should previously to so doing prohibit the Introduction of Foreign Sugars into their Possessions, and that such Prohibition having been proved, and Cognizance of it having been taken by the Privy Council, that then Sugars from such Possessions should be admitted to the Benefit of the Equalization. It is on that very Point, and to remedy its possible Evils, that we are now offering our Suggestions; namely, that as technical Difficulties may arise (the very Difficulties that have been this Day stated) when the Case comes before the Privy Council, Madras Sugars may not to placed in the same Situation as Bengal Sugars are now.

1321. You wish the Condition of preventing the Importation from the Interior should be relaxed?

It follows from what I stated that would be what we wish.

1322. That no Part of the Sugar produced in India should be considered as Foreign Sugar?

Yes.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840. JAMES MACQUEEN Esquire is called in, and examined as follows:

1323. WILL you state to the Committee what Opportunities you have had of obtaining a Knowledge of the Cultivation and Commerce of the West Indies?

I have known the West Indies for more than Forty Years; I have lived in them as a Planter for more than Fourteen Years of my early Life; I have visited them again and again since that Period; and within the last Three Years I have been through the whole of them, British and Foreign, Danish, Spanish, French, and English.

1324. Have you a practical Knowledge of the Distillation of Rum? Yes.

1325. Is there any other Material employed in it except the Juice of the Cane or the Refuse of the Manufacture of Sugar in the West Indies? None whatever.

1326. Could any other Material be advantageously so employed?

There is no other Material in the West Indies at all that could be employed for the Purpose of Distillation, with the Exception of the Berry of the Coffee, which

which yields a very poor miserable Spirit of the Nature of Brandy, but it is J. Macqueen, Esq. not worth the Expense of Distillation.

27th March 1840.

1327. Then it is not distilled?

No; it has been tried as a Trial, but for nothing else.

1328. Could Rice be employed, either separately or jointly with the Produce of the Cane, so as to make it impossible to detect the Mixture?

Quite easily; any Spirit might be made so as to prevent Detection from Mixture.

1329. Can you insert Spirit made from Rice in Spirit made from Molasses,

and preserve the pure Flavour of the Rum?

The Way in which it can be done is, you rectify the Spirit from Rice or any other Spirit to an exceedingly high Proof, you take away the raw Flavour that is peculiar to that, and then the smallest Quantity of Rum or any Spirit mixed with that Spirit gives it the Flavour of that particular Spirit that you may wish to give it.

1330. Can you state the comparative Cost of Spirit distilled from Rice, or

from the Cane, or from any other Material, in the East Indies?

I cannot state from my own positive Knowledge that specifically; the Difference is very considerable in favour of Rice over Grain Spirit in this Country.

. 1331. Can you state the comparative Cost of Spirit distilled from Molasses or the Juice of the Cane or from Rice in the East Indies; in short, between

Rice Spirit and Sugar Spirit?

The Spirit distilled from Molasses in the East Indies, according to the Price that I have heard Molasses bears there, must be much cheaper than even Rice Spirit, and immensely cheaper than West Indian Rum; for instance, I understand that a Maund of Molasses can be bought in the East Indies for 1s. 6d., that is nearly Eight Imperial Gallons,—perhaps from Seven and a Half to Eight Imperial Gallons; the Price of Molasses in the West Indies at the present Time cannot be less than from 1s. 3d. to perhaps 1s. 6d. the Imperial Gallon; Eighty-five or Ninety Imperial Gallons is about Ten Hundred Weight; the Puncheon of Molasses is from Ten to Ten and a Half Hundred Weight.

1332. So that the Difference of Price is about Eight to One? Fully Six to One; rather more.

1333. Can you state how many Gallons of Proof Spirit can be obtained from a Maund of Molasses?

A Maund of Molasses of that Quantity which I now state, say Seven Gallons and a Half, ought to produce, after allowing for Wastage, Six Gallons and a Half of Proof Spirits; perhaps Six and Three Quarters if the Molasses are good.

1334. You are speaking now of India?

Yes.

1335. And that Spirit imported from India would sell in Bond at what Price?

The current Price now is from 3s. 9d. to 4s. 9d. a Gallon.

1336. The East India Spirit?

Yes; that is of course according to the Strength. I take that from the Price Current of the present Day.

1337. Can you state what would be the mercantile Charges per Gallon? The mercantile Charges and Freight would be about 8d. or 9d. a Gallon.

1338. Which would leave net Proceeds to the Importer of how much per Gallon?

About 3s. per Gallon.

1339. Then a Maund of Molasses distilled in India last Year would have produced Six Gallons, giving 18s. Profit per Maund to the Importer, deducting the Expense of Manufacture in the Country?

The Expense of Manufacture in the Country would amount to about 6d.

or $6\frac{1}{2}d$.

(43.8.) R 3. 1340. Then

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

1340. Then you calculate there would be Half a Crown a Gallon, or 16s. per Maund, clear Profit, to any Person distilling their Molasses in India, and importing it this Year into England?

You have first the mercantile Freight and Charges at 9d., then 6½d. for the

Cost of Production and Distillation, and the Value of Molasses about 21d. making 1s. 6d. per Gallon.

1341. Can you state whether there is any material Difference in the Quality of the Spirit distilled from the Juice of the Cane or the Molasses which is drained from the Sugar?

Yes; a very great Difference. The Spirit distilled from the Syrup of Sugar before the Sugar is granulated, containing both the Molasses and the Sugar, is

without Exception the finest Spirit you can distil.

1342. That is from what they call in India Goor?

Yes. It is superior to the finest Brandy, and if introduced into this Country would supersede the Consumption of Malt Spirits.

1343. Is it sufficiently the Custom so to distil it to give it a regular Market Price?

It is a Question of Expense in distilling that; whether it is better to distil that or sell the Sugar; if the Sugar was low, and the Price of Rum high, they would distil from Syrup alone.

1344. Is it sufficiently the Custom to distil it to enable you to state the usual Difference in Price between the Rum so distilled and the ordinary Rum from the Molasses?

It is not often distilled, because it is more profitable to sell the Sugar, but it

does bear very high Prices.

1345. You cannot say what Price?

I cannot say at this Moment. I have heard of Rum from the East Indies bringing an exceedingly high Price; thus I know that it is of that Descrip-

1346. Is that Practice ever followed in the West Indies?

No; it is too expensive, with the Exception of distilling probably a Puncheon or two of that Description for the Estate's Use.

1347. Can you state the comparative Price of East India Spirit, the Rum from the Leeward Islands, and from Jamaica, in Bond in England now,—of the

Three Spirits?

The Price of Leeward Island and Jamaica Rum is regulated according to the Proof; what they call Sixteen per Cent. over Proof is a Proof Spirit. At the present Moment, from the Price Current, Leeward Island Rum is from 3s. 61d. to 3s. 10d., making about 3s. 8d. for Proof; Jamaica Rums, from 4s. 8d. to 6s. 6d. The Reason why Jamaica Rum is higher than Leeward Island Rum is generally that it is re-distilled to a very high Proof for the Purpose of Home Consumption in this Country; a large Portion of it is for that Purpose; it is made for the Home Consumption. Demerara Rum, which is a good deal distilled after the Manner of Jamaica Rum, and the Molasses in which Colony is of a superior Quality and Strength, runs from 4s. 1d. to 5s. 8d.

1348. What is the Number of Imperial Gallons contained in a Puncheon? About Ninety will be the Number of Gallons contained in a Puncheon.

1349. What was the Quantity according to the Island Measure?

A Puncheon of Rum, according to the old Measure in the West Indies, ran from 118 to 120 Gallons; but there was a great Wastage in the Voyage home, arising from the Leakage and Soakage, and from the Condensation of the Liquid from the Difference of a Climate of Ninety Degrees to one like this; and it was very seldom that a Puncheon of Rum contained more here than 105 Gallons.

1350. There is the same Diminution still?
Yes. Then 105 old Gallons is about Ninety of the Imperial Gallons; about One Sixth less.

1351. When you talk of a Puncheon containing Ninety Imperial Gallons, you mean after this Soakage and Leakage has taken place? Yes, undoubtedly.

1352. The Wastage by Soakage and Leakage is in the Proportion of 120 J. Macqueen, Esq. to 105?

27th March 1840.

Yes; sometimes it is even more.

1353. Can you state at all what the Rum wasted would be upon the Voyage from India?

There would be no material Difference.

1354. Would not the different Length of the Two Voyages make a Difference?

No; the principal Difference arises from Soakage in the Cask, if it is a new Cask; from Leakage, to which all are exposed; and from the Difference of Condensation in the Liquid.

1355. That must take place in that brought from the East Indies; it passes the Line Twice?

There would be a Contraction and Expansion Twice in the Voyage from India; that would not alter the Point.

1356. Will you state what is the usual Proportion of the Sugar Crop in

the West Indies to the Rum Crop in Quantity and in Value?

The general average Proportion will be about Seventy Gallons to the Hogshead of Seventeen Hundred Weight of Sugar; or, to put it clearer, and perhaps more satisfactorily, the average Production of Rum and Molasses is about Four Gallons to the Hundred Weight. I reckon Rum and Molasses Gallon for Gallon; I know that from my own personal and practical Knowledge; but, in Proof, I take the Quantity of Sugar exported from the West Indies in any given Year. For instance, I take the Year 1832, when the Export of Sugar to all Parts was 4,151,000 Hundred Weight; the Exports of Rum and Molasses to all Parts in that Year amounted to 14,300,000 or 14,400,000 Gallons; and which, allowing for the Quantity consumed in the Colonies, would just bring it to Four Gallons from the Hundred Weight, which practical Knowledge and Experience taught me.

1357. Will you state the Proportion in Value, supposing the whole of the Sweets are manufactured in Rum; what is the Proportion between the Rum Crop and the Sugar at the present Moment?

It is exceedingly difficult to state that very accurately, because Leeward Island Rum is not worth more than 12*l*. per Puncheon, while Jamaica Rum, and Rum of that high Proof, in Price will net 25*l*. per Puncheon; but take it on the Average of the whole Colouies, I should say about One Third.

1358. What is the Difference in Jamaica?
It is about 25L in the very high Prices.

1359. Now what Proportion does the Value of Rum made in Jamaica at

Proof bear to the Value of the Sugar?

It is utterly impossible to distinguish the Quantity sold at Proof, and at Ten per Cent. over Proof, and Forty per Cent. under; it is quite impossible to find that out.

1360. The Duty is paid on the Gallon at Proof?

Yes; and so much for every per Cent. over Proof. It may be brought out in this Way; the average Price in this Case will be about 5s. 6d.; that will net about 23l.

1361. Is that Jamaica Rum at Proof? Taking the Average.

1362. Is Leeward Island Rum inferior to Jamaica in itself?

Yes, because it undergoes a very coarse Process of Distillation, not intended for the Home Consumption of this Country.

1363. Is Leeward Island Sugar inferior to Jamaica Sugar?

1364. Then the Leeward Island Rum must bear a considerable Proportion of Difference to the Value of the Sugar?

Yes; there is a greater Quantity of the Rum from the Leeward Islands; but (43.8.)

27th March 1840.

J. Macqueen Esq. they distil at Proof Strength, whereas in Jamaica it is distilled at Forty per Cent. above Proof.

1365. There it undergoes a Second Distillation? Yes.

1366. Taking all the Circumstances into consideration, can you inform the Committee what Proportion the Leeward Island Rum bears to the Value of the Leeward Island Sugar, and what Proportion the Jamaica Rum bears to the Value of the Jamaica Sugar?

The proportional Price in the Leeward Islands, at the present Rates, would

be as 10l. to 25l.

1367. 10l. of Rum to 25l. of Sugar?
Yes. The Proportion in Jamaica will be in the Proportion of about 18l. to 251. It is very difficult to come to any thing like an exact Calculation.

1368. You have been giving a Puncheon of Rum and a Hogshead of Sugar? Yes; I misunderstood the Questions. With regard to Jamaica, I can speak specifically to the Proportion there, because I have the Official Return presented to the House of Assembly last Year along with me. The Quantity of Sugar exported in Jamaica last Year was, in round Numbers, equal to 47,000 Hogsheads.

1369. Now what is the Rum? The Rum is about 16,000 Puncheons.

1370. If it became more profitable to import Rum than Sugar you would increase the Quantity of your Rum and diminish a Portion of your Sugar? You could not to a very great Extent.

1371. You might go to the Extent of converting all the Juice of the Sugar Cane into Rum, making this fine Spirit you spoke of?

We could not get a Market for it; we might do it if we chose.

1372. State to the Committee your Opinion of the Consequence of equalizing

Duties on East India and West India Spirit?

The Consequence would certainly be, that there would be a vast Production of East India Rum introduced at a very low Price compared to West India Rum; that it must of necessity to that Extent supersede the Sale of West India Rum in this Market; and the finer Qualities that I particularly allude to would most certainly supersede the Consumption of British Spirits, even the finest Description of Malt Spirit.

1373. Is there in our Colonies any Portion of the Soil suitable to the Production of Rice?

Very little.

1374. Not in Guiana? In Demerara there might.

1375. Is there much actually_cultivated at present?

I do not think there is any; I am not aware there is any; I never saw it nor heard of it.

1376. If it should suit the Purpose of the Colonist, is there any legal Obstacle to growing Rice, and distilling Spirit from it, and importing it to England?

There is no Obstacle to their growing it, but there is a legal Obstacle to

their distilling it, and importing it into this Country,

1377. Can you state the Law?

There is a Law to prevent the Distillation of Rice.

1378. You are speaking of this Country?

1379. But we are speaking of the West Indies? It would not be allowed; I have understood so.

1380. Would it be worth while to import Rice from Carolina into the West J. Macqueen, Esq. Indies, for the Purpose of Distillation?

I do not think so; the Price of Labour in the West Indies is so great, and the Difficulty of procuring Labour would prevent it.

27th March 1840.

1381. You do not happen to know the Price at which Carolina Rice could be introduced into Jamaica?

I cannot charge my Memory with that at this Moment?

1382. Can you give the Committee any Information as to the working of the System of Free Labour in the West Indies, and its Effects on the Cultivation?

That is a very important Question, and One requiring a very lengthened Observation to answer it fully. I can give the Committee Information regarding that, both in the Operation under the Apprenticeship System and also since the Emancipation. The Expense has been very greatly increased, from the very great Difficulty of procuring Labour. The Price of Labour varies exceedingly in the Colonies, and also on Estates in the same Colony. It varies so much as from 8d. and 9d. per Day in the old Leeward Colonies to 1s. 6d. and even more in Jamaica.

1383. Are you stating your Prices in Currency or in Sterling? All in Sterling; and as high as 4s. in Demerara and Trinidad.

1384. When you speak of Demerara, do you include Essequibo and Berbice?

· I count all that British Guiana.

1385. Where is the richest Land?

The richest Land is in Demerara and Part of Trinidad.

1386. And next in Jamaica?

A certain Portion of Jamaica, in Saint Mary's, and some other Parts.

1387. Considering the greater Richness of Land in Demerara and Jamaica, and the Poorness of the Land in the Leeward Islands, are you quite sure that the Price of Labour may not be counterbalanced in the poor Country by the Unproductiveness of the Soil, and the high Rate of Labour compensated by its Fertility in Trinidad and Demerara?

The Fertility of the Soil in Trinidad and Demerara is so superior to others, that they produce nearly Three Times the Quantity of the others, and the Price of Labour in these Colonies is increased very highly from the Difficulty of procuring Labourers, and from the Paucity of Labourers in them.

1388. You have stated the Day's Labour; can you state to the Committee what is the Difference between the Price of a Day's Labour and the Sum which is frequently earned in a Day by Task-work by the Labourer, which is habitually earned, a great Portion of the Cultivation being carried on by

I cannot state that generally; I know that Task-work is paid very high for. I have heard of Negroes earning as much as a Dollar and a Half.

1389. Per Day? Yes, at Task-work.

1390. It is not unfrequent to earn 2s. 6d. and 3s. a Day Sterling by the

That is quite common by Task-work.

1391. On the same Estates where 1s. 6d. would represent the Value of a Day's Labour?

Yes.

1392. When you spoke of that very high Price of Labour given for Taskwork, are you not referring to Task-work in particular Departments of Labour of a more scientific Description?

1393. Common Field Work? Common Cultivation.

(43.8.)

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

1394. And a Cultivation that lasts the whole Year; not to a particular Season where great Urgency is required? Yes, in particular the Task-work which is paid highest for; they earn

most in digging Cane Holes, which is the most laborious Part of the Work.

1395. That you have in some Parts superseded by the Use of the Plough? To a very small Extent; in a great Part of the Land the Plough cannot be

1396. That is at a particular Season of the Year? Yes.

1397. Have the goodness to inform the Committee, if you can, on which of the Islands the increased Price of Labour has pressed most heavily; has it pressed most heavily on Demerara, where the Land is very rich and the Price is so high, or in the Leeward Islands, where the Land is comparatively poor and the Price of Labour low?

I should say it has pressed very severely on Demerara and Trinidad and also on Jamaica; but really when you take the Productions of the Soil,—the Quantity of Produce that is raised in each Colony,—the Wages I think I may say

press equally heavy on all, considering the Quantity that is produced.

1398. The Negro Labourer in Demerara and Trinidad, whom you represent to be earning 4s. a Day; what Portion of that do you consider is required for his reasonable Sustenance,—the reasonable Expenses of his Sustenance?

One Half would be sufficient; he might live very comfortably on that. The Wages are extravagant there. They are doing a great deal of Mischief both to themselves and the Negroes; they have taught them these very high Wages. That arose at a Period during the Apprenticeship System Three Years ago, when the Price of Sugar got very high in this Country; they gave any Wages in order to bring it forward, and have accustomed the People to those high Wages, and they cannot bring them down without a Dissatisfaction which they will not submit to.

1399. In what Way do they dispose of their Surplus; do they save, or have

they increased their Style of Living?

I am afraid, for I made particular Inquiries about that, that they save nothing; they are spending it all in Extravagance; there is a totally different Mode of Life in Negroes now. There are Three Things which have become very serious to several Interests in this Country. The Negroes now will not consume Herrings; they account that a Badge of Slavery; they will not take them, although before that they would have preferred a good salt Herring to the finest Beef that ever was killed. They will not wear Osnaburghs: that is another Badge of Slavery; they buy finer Linen,—finer Articles. The Penistons that they used to wear they have the same Objection to the same of th to wear they have the same Objection to them.

1400. So that, in point of fact, they are better fed and better clad according to their own Notions?

Yes; but that is a System of Extravagance that must prove ruinous to them and their Employers at last, because they are spending all the Money they had saved during the Period when they were Slaves, which was very large in many Places. To a great Extent the Negroes possessed Money that they are squandering away in that particular Mode of Living. I made particular Observation about that, and I am sorry to say that a System of Extravagance is universal among them; whereas if they had laid by their Money, and preserved it, the same as the Peasantry in Scotland, it would have been a strong Hold on them for future Industry.

1401. Does that show itself in Intemperance in any Way? Not in Intemperance, but in Extravagance in fine Clothes, fine Dresses, the Women and the Men, and even going the Length of drinking Champagne on extraordinary Occasions.

1402. Do they continue to work every Day?

Oh by no means; they are very unsettled; you cannot depend on them at all, and if you find the slightest Fault with them they go away.

1403: In point of fact they do not get Six Times 4s.?

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

1404. Do they work on an Average Two or Three Days a Week, or more? If you take the Average of the whole of their Work, at what you would call a Day's Work of Twelve Hours in this Country, I do not think the Average Work of the Negroes in the West Indies is more than Three of those Days at Work.

1405. Do none of them lay by so as to become Proprietors of Land them-

There are many of them who had laid by Money who are laying it out in purchasing Pieces of Ground; these have left the Estates where they formerly lived, and that is one of the greatest Evils we have to contend with; they are the best Description of People who have done that.

1406. So that in proportion as they become Proprietors themselves the Amount of hired Labour diminishes?

Yes, of course.

1407. How do they generally cultivate these little Pieces of Land?

Principally in Provisions and Ginger, and light Things of that Kind, by extending the Cultivation of which they exceed the Demand in the Market; and it is so exceedingly low that they gain nothing for their Labour. I have seen myself, in the West Indies, Hundreds of Negroes coming to Market with Provisions for Sale where Provisions were so abundant that they were obliged to carry them back.

1408. Do they show considerable Industry in working the Land when they have acquired it?

Not that continued Industry you would see among the Population of this Country; they do not work that Way at all.

1409. Are they more industrious in cultivating their own Land than they are when they are working for Wages?

They will work harder for themselves the Time they do work.

1410. If they only work Three Days a Week for 4s., they do not get more per Week than if they worked Six Days a Week at 2s.?

No.

1411. Then they have no more with which to be extravagant than they had before?

The Extravagance that I allude to does not apply to the Sums they are now receiving for their present Wages among the Mass of them; it is to the Money they had before.

1412. They are wasting their Capital? Yes.

1413. You think there is less laid by now than there was in a State of Slavery?

Yes; in fact I do not think they think of laying by any.

1414. Do they show any Jealousy to the Immigration of Foreign Labour? Yes; they express considerable Fears for the Introduction of Emigrants to any great Extent.

1415. Do you think when they have got rid of this Accumulation, wasted it all, and are reduced to the mere Wages of Labour, will not that operate as an Inducement to them to more continuous Labour than they are willing to submit to now?

I have great Fears on that Point. If I could be sure that would be the Case I should say the sooner they got clear of it the better; but I know the Character of the Negro so well, and the Character of Mankind generally, when he spends all, and finds he must labour, he does not like to do that. I much fear they will become Outcasts in Society to a very great Extent, and they will not labour. There may be Exceptions, but I have great Fears that will prove to be the Result. There is no Part of what is going on in the West Indies that has given (43.8.)

27th March 1840.

J. Macqueen, Esq. me so much Cause of Alarm as that very Point of the Conduct of the Negroes in that respect; I look on that with great Fear as to the Result.

1416. If their natural Inclination led them to save Money out of the Pittance they could obtain when they were obliged to give Labour without Wages, would they not be influenced by the same Motives when they were to be maintained by the Wages of Labour, and nothing else?

It does not appear from any thing that has taken place yet that that is the

Case; I should doubt very much if it will prove to be so. .

1417. May not a good deal of that have arisen from the Novelty of their Situation, and to a certain Degree of Unfitness of many of them to manage their own Affairs at so early a Period after their Emancipation?

No doubt to a certain Extent I dare say that was the Case; but if they continue on in the same Way to which I am alluding they will not improve

their Minds or their Morals in any way.

1418. I think you said they show a great Disposition to get the Possession of Landed Property?

A great many of them do purchase a little Piece of Ground; that is very

much the Negro Character and Habit.

1419. Is that Piece of Ground enough to enable them to make a Profit of it, or merely to raise enough to support Life?

Just enough for supporting Life is the principal Object that most of them

have in view in that respect.

1420. Has the Produce of Sugar and Coffee been diminished during the

Apprenticeship and since the Emancipation?

Yes, very greatly indeed. I have here an Official Return of the Exports from the West Indies of all the different Articles I am about to mention. Quantity of Sugar exported from the West Indies in 1832 was 4,151,368 Hundred Weights; in 1834 it was 3,843,976 Hundred Weights; last Year it was 2,822,872 Hundred Weights; making a Decrease from 1834 of 1,000,000 Hundred Weights, and from 1834 of 1,328,496 Hundred Weights, or nearly One Third.

1421. Is that Coffee?

That is Sugar. Of Coffee the Exports in 1832 were 25,000,042 Pounds; in 1834 it was 22,000,000 Pounds; in 1839 it was 10,500,000 Pounds. On the other hand, the Quantity of Sugar from Mauritius has increased from 527,000 Hundred Weights in 1832 to 612,000 in 1839. The Rum I am sorry to say it is impossible to give correctly; there appears to be an Increase in the Importation of Rum between 1832 and 1839 to 763,000 Gallons; but unless we had the Returns of the Imports into the British North American Colonies we cannot give that correctly, because in 1832 the Exports to the British North American Colonies from the West Indies amounted to 1,800,000 Gallons. I believe there is now little or none; it is all thrown on the Market here.

1422. What Rum has supplanted it in the North American Colonies? Foreign Rum.

1423. East Indian?

I have not got the Returns as to that; there is a great Increase of the Foreign Sugar of Cuba and Porto Rico into the North American Colonies.

The following Paper was delivered in, and read:

CROPS. CUBA AND PORTO RICO.

J: Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

Exported.	Sugar.	Molasses.	Coffee.
1836. Havannah Matanzas St. Jago de Cuba	Boxes. 312,656 184,312½ 30,000	Hhds. 48,018 44,439 15,000	Arrobas. 922,493 243,042\frac{1}{2} 720,000
Each 4 Cwt	526,968½ 4½	107,457	1,885,545 1
Cwts	2,207,874		•
Nine Tenths clayed, equal to - Trinidad de Cuba, 22,000	3,002,708		
Hhds. at 11 Cwt	240,000	22,000	16,000
St. Jago de Cuba, 5,000 Hhds. at 11 Cwt	55,000		
Total - Cwts.	3,297,708	129,457	1,901,545‡arrob.
Porto Rico	498,888	16,500	47,238,625 lbs. 4,914,444
Totals - Cwts.	3,796,596	145,957	52,153,069 lbs.

The Consumption of Produce in Cuba and Porto Rico is equal to Two Sixths of the whole. The Crop of Porto Rico for this Year is estimated at 1,100,000 Hundred Weight, or 100,000 Hogsheads of Eleven Hundred Weight each. The Crops of Cuba increase at the Rate of about Six per Cent. yearly.

Brazils.

1837. Sugar, 2,400,000 Cwts. Coffee, 134,000,000 lbs.

Sugar Estates, Br	1835.	1838.				
Province Rio Sugar Estates - Fifty Mills, Water and Steam.	•	-	• -	-	850	900
Province Bahio Sugar Estates One Fourth Water and Steam.	•	±	-	•	603	650
Province Pernambuco Sugar Estates Two Steam and Sixty by Water.	-	-	-	-	453	500-
•		Total	· _	•	1,906	2,050

EXPORTS from the Four great Ports in Cuba.

***************************************			Sugar.	Coffee.	
1837	. -	-	Boxes. 585,000	Quintals. 530,000	,
1838		-	700,000	410,000	
1839	-	-	620,000	480,000	

which for the Average of these Three Years gives 53,009,000 Pounds of Coffee, and, at the same Time, Proportion for clayed, exported as above, 3,550,000 Hundred Weights of Sugar for Cuba alone.

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

1424. Can you explain to the Committee the Effect of the Want of Labour in the Autumn of 1838, that is, immediately after the Emancipation, on the Sugar Crops of 1840 and of the Sugar Crops of 1841?
The Effect will be very severe indeed.

1425. Are you speaking of the West Indies generally? I am speaking of them generally; it will be very severe. This Year and next will be by far the severest Trial for the West Indies. The Want of Labour after the Emancipation in August 1838 was attended with very disastrous Consequences; the Cattle were allowed to roam at large and destroy whole Cane Fields of their best Canes and Plant Canes. I speak of them generally throughout the Colonies; in some it was better, and in some it was worse. Of the Fall Plants, which are usually put in in the Month of October and November in every Colony, which are always the principal Stand-by for the Crop of this Year 1840, there were scarcely any put in. That is not the only Evil: it does not stop there; because for the following Year, next Year, what they call the first Ratoons of that Plant was another great Aid for their Crops of 1841; that of course they have not; then, from their not getting regular Labour, and not getting the Crop of last Year taken off in proper Time, it lengthened out the making of Sugar down as far as July and August; whereas the whole of the Crops should be finished early in June. At the Commencement of the Rains, if the Canes are not weeded, the Weeds grow up most rapidly; the Cane shoots up in One or Two Sprouts, and extends itself to a great Height before it can be weeded, and therefore is exceedingly thin on the Ground. That is now telling; by the Advices of last Packet, where they have commenced cutting their Cane they are cutting Two Acres for a Hogshead of Sugar which formerly

made them more than One.

1426. Formerly required only One? Yes. That Evil is not yet done away with, because through the whole of last Year the same Difficulty, though not to such a great Extent, continued. There was not One Half the Fall Plants put in last Year that was requisite or was customary, and consequently the Crop of next Year, from all these Combinations, will, I greatly fear, be even shorter than that of the present; and unless the Negroes betake themselves to regular and industrious Habits, and continued Labour at moderate Wages, the Evil must go on increasing, and get still worse; for when once a Field gets fairly overrun with Weeds, and you have such a Difficulty of procuring Labour, you cannot get on with your Work in the proper Time in which that Work should be done. You can neither get your Cane weeded, nor can you get your Crops taken off during the proper Months of the Year. The Cane cut from February to June will yield double that which is cut in June, July, and August; then they are full of Juice from the Rains, and that Juice will scarcely granulate or make Sugar at all.

1427. From the same Cause any subsequent Increase of Labour would not be shown by an Increase in the Crops until the Year 1842 or 1843?

No, not until 1843; even if Labour were to go on in a regular Manner at reasonable Wages this Year, the Effect of that would not be known till 1843 to any great Extent.

1428. Do you see any Symptoms of a better Disposition to steady Labour? I confess I do not; I know every Island and Colony in the West Indies perfectly, the Locality in every Part, and have Correspondents in them, and I am sorry to say the Accounts they send me are of a very gloomy Description

1429. All the Accounts are favourable as to the quiet and peaceable Disposition of the People, but unfavourable as to their Disposition to steady

There is no Disposition in the People to Mischief, but an Indisposition to Labour.

1430. Is there not an Improvement in their Disposition to work? In some Places there is; and I believe, in some Places in Jamaica, that is the Case; but I do not know that it is so generally.

1431. Are there not many Estates where the Crops have been kept up to J. Macqueen, Esq.

nearly what they were previously?

Very few, as far as I can learn. I have heard of Estates where the Crops have been kept nearly up, but the Way they have been kept up has been from giving excessive Wages, which I feel assured when the Produce comes to Market it would never repay.

27th March 1840.

1432. Then you do not think the West Indies have seen their worst Days?
I am afraid this Year and the next are the worst Days they have to see,

1433. After that they will get better?

I hope so.

1434. On what Ground do you hope so?

I hope so from this, that the Negroes will in the course or time see their proper Interest, and that it is their Interest to labour, in order that they may support themselves. I am in hopes of that, but I confess I have great Fears.

1435. Have you any Doubt of the Supply of Labour increasing in most of the Colonies?

I do not know where it is to come from. There is a Change of Labour from one Colony to another going on, but I understand that is very considerably checked of late.

1436. Can no such Increase take place by the captured Negroes being permitted to enter into the British Colonies, and add to the stated Labour there?

That would to a certain Extent, but that is so small; the few Thousands of those that are captured in the course of a Year is nothing; the largest Number ever captured in any Year was 7,000.

1437. There is no Tendency in the natural Population of the Negro Race to increase, under the present Circumstances, beyond what it did in a State

of Slavery?

As far as I have been able to make Inquiries, when I was in the West Indies, that was not the Case. The Women neglected their Children, and did not pay that Attention which they were formerly forced to pay. There are great Attempts making now to bring free Blacks from the United States; there are a large Number of them there; some of them have gone to Trinidad, and as far as the Accounts we have hitherto received from there they seem to be going on favourably.

1438. Have you been in the Way of seeing any English Emigrants that

have gone out to labour?

No, I have not seen any English Emigrants. There have been English Emigrants gone out to Jamaica, but I have never seen them; they are in the Interior of the Colony. From a Friend of mine I heard they are doing very well; but that is not Sugar Cultivation. I have seen German Emigrants, but they did not do; they could not stand the Climate and the Cultivation of the Sugar at all.

1439. Any Maltese?

I never saw those; but I should think the Maltese are about the worst; they are accustomed to a very dry Climate in their Country, and if you carry them into the damp Countries of Trinldad or Demerara I fear it will be destructive to their Health.

1440. How do you account for the Mauritius having increased in its Pro-

duce, while our old Islands have fallen off?

In the Mauritius we have only got One Crop since the Emancipation, which Crop was prepared before. There has been a very large Introduction of Coolies from the East Indies there, which has kept up their Crops. There is another Reason why the Crops of Mauritius appear to have increased last Year as compared with 1834; in that Year and 1835 a very large Portion of the Produce of the Mauritius was purchased by People from the United States; their own Sugar Crop failed, and they went to the Mauritius, and purchased, and a considerable Quantity of Sugar was taken from the Mauritius to the United States, which now comes to this Market.

1441. Are

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

1441. Are you acquainted with the Cultivation and the Manufacture of Sugar in India?

Yes, to a considerable Extent.

1442. Have you ever been in India?

Never; but being interested in the West Indies at one Time, and taking a deep Interest in the Sugar Discussion, I paid particular Attention to obtaining every Information I possibly could from all Travellers and Writers, and above all from a very valuable Report which was published by the East India Company in 1822, for the Use of their Directors, a Document which is but very little known, containing the History of the Sugar Trade in India for a Period of nearly Thirty Years.; from that I gained the Facts which I am ready to state with regard to the Process and Manufacture in the East Indies. It is exceedingly wretched. The Cultivators of Sugar in general cultivate but a small Quantity; according to that Report the largest of them seldom exceeded or reached even an Acre of Land. In those Districts where they cultivate there was a Person came with a Mill of a most remarkable Description, as much like a Pestle and Mortar as an actual Mill. The Cane was then cut up into small Pieces, and was ground, Juice and Molasses and Cane altogether; all were

boiled together in a rough Manner. Then it was carried away, perhaps to a, distant Part, where Water was put into it, and boiled over again, and cleared of all its Impurities, which produced the coarsest Muscovado Sugar, and it underwent another Process of re-boiling, when it was converted into the finest Sugar that comes here. Part in its original State, and Part undergoing another Process similar to what we call claying in the West Indies, or filtering Sugar, the Sugar in that State approaches very near, indeed is equal to the Clayed Sugar made in the West Indies; and in fact, nearly equal in Strength and Substance to the single refined Sugar of this Country. That is the general

Mode of manufacturing Sugar in India.

1443. Do you feel competent to give the Committee any Information as to the Capability of India to supply the Consumption of this Country?

There is no Doubt, with Capital, India might raise Sugar to supply England,

and a great deal more.

1444. Could that Extension of Cultivation in India be effected without a proportional Investment of additional British Capital in India?

Certainly not; they have no Capital themselves.

1445. Of new Capital to be introduced into India? It must be all new Capital.

1446. Are the West Indies under any Disadvantages to which the East Indies are not subjected?

There are Disadvantages to which India is not subjected.

1447. Besides that of the Price of Labour?

They have a Market open to them which the West Indies have not; there are various Difficulties as to the North American Colonies which the East Indies are not subjected to.

1448. What Difficulties are they subjected to?

The West Indies are obliged to take the Supplies of Lumber and Fish and other Articles of that Description from the British North American Colonies at a higher Price than they could obtain those from the United States.

1449. Fish was formerly imported as Provision for the Negroes? A great deal of it was consumed by the free Population, and by them both; a good deal of it by the Negroes. It was a general Consumption.

1450. At present it is not necessary for a Proprietor of any Estate to import Fish at all?

No, he is not obliged, but it will be imported according to the Demand.

1451. The Price of Fish does not enter into the Expense of producing Sugar at present?

No; there is nothing now; the Lumber and Staves are most important

Things.

1452. Do you know what the Difference of Duty is; does it amount to a J. Macqueen, Esq. Prohibition?

It is next to a Prohibition; the Americans cannot come to these Markets 27th March 1840.

1453. Cannot they come in a round-about Way?

No; they cannot smuggle.

1454. By bringing it to a British Port?

No; they tried that; but the Expense of landing and re-shipping, and carrying any thing from the West Indies, the Difficulty of getting from St. Thomas's to St. Kitts or to the Windward Islands is so great, that it would not pay.

1455. You are aware that Lumber is cheaper in our North American Ports than the States?

I am not aware of that.

1456. From what Part of the United States would the Lumber come from

if permitted to import it at the same Duty?

From New England and Massachusetts; but I believe a great Part of that Lumber that came from the United States was actually cut in the British Territory and brought down the Albany Canal.

1457. Is it not likely that the Lumber cut in the States might find its Way down the St. Lawrence as English?

I believe at one Time it did so, but I rather think now there is nothing of that Kind going on, at least I have not heard so.

1458. Did you ever make an Estimate or Calculation of the Difference of the Price of Labour between the Maintenance of the Slaves on the old System and the Payment of Wages under the new?

Yes, I have; it is a very difficult Thing to come to any thing like a very accurate Calculation on that Subject, from the Diversity of Wages; but I have a very accurate Calculation of what the actual Expense of the Negroes under the old System for Food, Clothing, and other Articles was.

1459. Medicines?

Yes; all these Items I find upon an Average in the West India Colonies was about 7l. Sterling per Annum per Head.

1460. For Men, Women, and Children?

Yes, young and old; now, even at the average Wages of 1s. a Day throughout the Colonies, it would make for the same Number of People that then worked on the Sugar Estates 16l. a Year, taking 312 Days to a Year.

1461. We had Evidence of an Estate on which there were formerly 270 Slaves; the Number of Persons now working is about 100; when you make your Calculation do you mean that every one of the 270 of those Slaves would have cost 71, and every one of the 100 would have cost 161.?

No; that is not the Way I am making my Calculation.

1462. How do you make it?

I make it on the Number, which after strict Research were on Sugar and Coffee and Produce Estates.

1463. Do you make your Calculation on this Supposition, that that Sum of 71. was the Expense of each Head of Slaves on the Estate? Yes, altogether.

1464. Not merely working Men, but each Head of Slaves on the Estate? Yes.

1465. How do you make your Calculation, when you say that the Price is 161. for those now paid?

From the working. The Difference for those who do not labour (Children I do not count, nor old People,) would amount to about 51. per Head.

1466. You say 71. a Head all round for the whole Slave Population was the Estimate?

Yes.

(43.8.) T 1467. Now

1467. Now of that whole Population what Proportion of it should you 27th March 1840. present System? present System?

About Two Thirds.

1468. You stated that 51. was, agreeably to your Calculation, the Difference between the Amount paid for Provisions, excluding the old and the infant Children, as compared with the Wages of the Labourer; now can you state what the Difference is, including the Provisions given to the old and to the Children?

The Difference that I meant to state was this, to show the increased Expense; for that I think was the Nature of the Question put to me; the

increased Expense for Wages now over the old System.

1469. Does the Expense of maintaining the Old and Children fall now on the Proprietor of the Estate, either by Law or by Custom? No; by Custom they do:

1470. Then what is the Expense of the Provision for a Family incurred previous to the Extinction of Slavery as compared with the Rate of Wages?

The whole Expense, according to the most accurate Calculation I could make, amounted to the Sum I have mentioned per Head. Now taking into account the Number who work at the Wages I have mentioned, supposing One Half of them at work now, taking the Sum paid for Wages to be that which I have stated, the Difference will be about 51. more, the Cost for Labour.

1471. We will suppose that there were 300 Slaves on an Estate, and each of those Cost 7l. a Head; that makes 2,100l. a Year; now, of those 300 remaining on that Estate, how many now work and receive Wages at the Rate of 16l, a Head?

It is impossible for me to say how many of them. Supposing that Proportion of them worked now that worked before, and they are paid at the Rate I mentioned to you, it would make that Difference; and the Point I wanted to bring out was this, that that increased Price and additional Sum paid for Wages beyond what was the Cost of the Negro Labour before would amount to very nearly 9s. a Hundred Weight on Sugar.

1472. Out of those 300 how many do you suppose do now work? It is impossible for any Person to tell that, unless they had been through every Island in the West Indies within the last Twelve Months.

1473. From your own Experience and Knowledge of the Business as a Planter would you prefer to pay for free Labour at 1s. a Day in preference to having all the Charge of maintaining and clothing; and lodging and providing with Medicines, and every thing else for Negroes on a Plantation?

1s. a Day, taking the Average of all the West Indies, is more than the present

Price of the Produce will pay.

1474. Supposing you were a Planter, you would think think 1s. a Day more than equivalent to the Charges under the old System, or less? It is more.

1475. How much more; would it be 9d. instead of 1s.? 9d. would be nearer; 8d. to 9d.; it would be about that; in that Proportion. It is a very difficult Business to make the Calculation; exceedingly difficult; almost impossible.

1476. You have stated that in the Leeward Islands Labour in some of them is 8d. to 9d. a Day; in that Case the Leeward Islands would not be worse off under the new System than under the old?

There is very little Difference; the Planter pays more than what he did fore; not to a great Extent. before; not to a great Extent.

1477. Is the Negro Population increasing or decreasing?
I am afraid that they are decreasing; but there has been no Law in the Colonies in the West Indies to take a Census of the Population; that was a great Error at the Time of the Emancipation; an annual Census should have been continued; there has been nothing of that Kind.

1478. What

1478. What is your Opinion as to the comparative Efficiency, with respect to J. Macqueen, Lisq. the good Cultivation of the Sugar Canes, working by the Plough or working 27th March 1840.

by the Hand?

The working by the Plough can only be carried to a very small patent in the West Indies. Taking the West Indies in general it requires a particular the West Indies. Process; the Land must be cleared by the Labour of the Negro; the Root of the Cane, if it has been in Canes before, must all be dug out, and then the Land ploughed, and then hoed again in the usual Way as we formerly did.

1479. To what Extent have they resorted to the new Plan of cultivating by

the Plough?

I am not aware that it has been carried to any great Extent in the Colonies I am acquainted with; there has always been a good deal doing of late Years, before and since the Emancipation.

1480. Has it very much increased in general? In some of the Islands it has increased considerably.

1481. They resorted to it because they could not get the Negroes to work

with it in the old Way?

Yes; when they do work they work in their old Way; the ploughing consists of a very small Portion; you can only use the Plough for the Quantity of Land you are going to plant.

1482. Can you give any Information as to the Increase of the Production of

Sugars and Coffee in the Countries cultivated by Slave Labour?

Yes; I can give very accurate Returns of the Produce of the Brazils, and Cuba and Porto Rico, with the comparative Increase of these for a great many Years; I have Returns prepared of the Crops of Cuba and Porto Rico.

1483. Comparative Returns?

Yes; and of the Brazils, as also comparative Returns for all these Possessions. It is a very remarkable Document; it shows such a prodigious Increase of Production in these Colonies. If the Exports of Cuba alone were converted into Muscovado Sugar, for that is the Process necessary to know the exact Quantity of Sugar that is exported, the Amount exported last Year was 3,550,000 Hundred Weight, rather more than all our Sugar Colonies, Mauritius included.

1484. Where did it go to?

A great Portion of it to the Continent of Europe. The United States of America take a great deal.

The following Paper was delivered in, and read:

COMPARATIVE CROPS EXPORTED..

```
Cuba.
                                                   Coffee
                                                  720,000 Arrobas.
1808
                 2,400,000 Arrobas
                 9,588,428 Do.
1829
                                               - 1,736,257
                                                             Do.
              - 10,220,000 Do.
1839
                                               - 1,920,000
                                                             Do.
  Porto Rico.
1808
                     1,420 Cwts.
                                                  291,000, Ibs.
1836
                   498,888 Do.
                                               - 4,914,444 Do.
         The Crop of Sugar for 1840 will be about doubled.
  Brazils.
                                                  Coffee
                   400,000 Cwts.
1808
                                               24,400,000 lbs.
1837
                2,400,000 Do.
                                            - 134,000,000 Do.
```

1485. You state that in giving these Quantities you have reduced them to the Quality of Muscovado Sugar?

1486. Could you state what Addition you have made to the Quantity of Havannah Sugars for the Purpose of so reducing it?

It is stated here; the Quantity added is about 800,000 Hundred Weights, on account of its being White Clayed Sugar.

1487. You (43.8.)

J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840. 1487. You do not add that to the Havannah Brown Sugar? I take a Proportion of that which is Brown from that which is Clayed.

1488. You only make the Addition to the Clayed, and not to the Brown? No.

1489. The Brown you consider as Muscovado?

Yes; it becomes necessary to do that in order to understand what the Crops of Cuba are, compared with the Crops of our own Possessions, or any other Places; because you will see it makes a very material Difference if 2,000,000 Hundred Weights are Clayed Sugar, and 2,000,000 are Brown.

1490. You consider the Yellow Sugar—the Havannah Sugar—as Clayed? What they call White.

1491. Should you consider the East India Sugar in that respect as Clayed or not?

I consider the fine Sugar that comes from India is a Degree finer than Clayed

1492. Therefore to assimilate to the Muscovado should have an Addition made to it?

Yes.

1493. That being the Case, is not the Duty on East Indian Sugar cheaper, calculated as a Per-centage on the Value, than it is on the West Indian Sugar?

Undoubtedly; that Sugar which is to a certain Extent refined is charged on the 24s., the same as the West India Muscovado Sugar; whereas it should be charged higher, because it is to a much greater Extent refined.

1494. Are you aware what Proportion that finer Sugar from the East Indies bears to the Sugar imported of inferior Sorts?

I cannot state that Proportion.

1495. There are Varieties of Quality of Sugar imported from the West

There are some better and some worse, but all proceed from the same Process. There is no Process but one, and the Difference in Quality arises from the Attention paid in the Manufacture of it to the Fineness of the Soil, and other Matters, but not to any additional Process of claying or refining.

1496. Do not you apprehend there is the same Difference between the highest and lowest Price of East India Sugar as there is between the highest and lowest Price of West India Sugar?

There is very little Difference between the Price of West India Sugar; it is Barbadoes that produces the finest Sugar. All the rest are inferior in Quality

to the fine Description of Sugar that comes from India.

1497. Do you consider the Process in India is a nearer Approximation to the highest State of Refinement than that in the West Indies?

Yes; if the West India Sugar were to undergo a similar Process to that which the finest Sugar that comes from India does undergo, it would be equal to refined

1498. Why does it not?

The Expense of Labour is one thing; it would be a great Expense.

1499. Theirs is like a Half Degree of Refinement?

Yes; if it was to undergo that Process it would be charged at a higher

1500. Taking the whole of the Importation of East India Sugar and West India Sugar, which, upon an Average, will bear the highest Price in the Market?

I think that the East India would be the highest; that finest Quality of Sugar.

1501. Not speaking of the highest Quality, but taking an Average of the whole Qualities imported into the Country from the East and West Indies, which would bear the highest Price in the Market?

The East India, I think.

1502. Decidedly? Yes.

J. Mucqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

1503. Is there any West India Sugar selling now at 72s. the Hundred Weight?

No; neither West India nor the Mauritius.

1504. How high is it?

The highest in this Price Current is,—Mauritius, 70s. 6d.; best West India, 71s.; and East India, 72s.

1505. That is a small Quantity bought to mix with the West India Sugar? Yes; they buy large Quantities of White East India Sugar to mix with the West Indian.

1506. Is the Produce of the Slave-trading Colonies introduced into the British Colonies either as raw or refined Sugar?

Yes; the British North American Colonies are now supplied to a considerable Extent from Cuba and Porto Rico.

1507. Is not the Continent of Europe supplied with Foreign Slave-trade Sugar refined in England?

Yes; but the whole of our Colonial Possessions are also supplied with refined Sugar from Slave Labour.

1508. Are there any other than the American Colonies?

Yes; the Cape of Good Hope, and every Place where we export refined Sugars.

1509. The Produce of the Slave-trading Countries?

Yes; I have with me a very striking Proof of that, the annual Returns published by Parliament, where it appears the whole Drawback last Year upon refined Sugar was only about 26,000l. in place of 800,000l., as it used to be.

1510. Inasmuch as the Sugar of the Slave-trading Countries can be supplied at nearly Half the Price which is now paid for the Sugar of British Plantations, it is quite clear that any Colonies having the Liberty of importing from where they please will take the cheaper Sugar?

Yes; in all our Colonies the Foreign-refined Sugar is exported there as the

cheapest.

1511. Have you in your Power to state what Number of Slaves have been

imported into any Foreign Colonies for some Time past?

Yes; I can tell very nearly from official Returns, the Number of Slave Population in Porto Rico, Cuba, and Brazils in 1808, and what it is now; what Number of Slaves have been carried from Africa for these Countries.

The following Paper was delivered in, and read:

African Slave Trade since 1808.

Carried away from Africa for the Brazils - - 2,420,000
Carried away from Africa for Cuba and Porto Rico - 1,020,000
Carried away from Africa for French Colonies, Mexico, United States
Captured and liberated, and died after Capture, about - 3,860,000

Total (1808 to 1840) - 3,860,000

The Number that die on the Middle Passage, the Decrease by Deaths over the Births, and the Number enfranchised in the Colonies, are equal to at least Twenty-five per Cent. on the Number shipped from Africa.

1512. You have stated that the Decrease of Coffee exported from the West Indies, in round Numbers, between 1832 and 1839, was 14,000,000 Pounds? Yes; from 25,000,000 Pounds to 10,000,000 Pounds.

1513. From what Part of the World is that Deficiency made up?
They are bringing in Coffee from Cuba, Porto Rico, and the Brazils;
carrying it to the Cape of Good Hope, and bringing it in under a lower.
Duty.

(43.8.).(1 T 3 1514. In

1514. In point of fact this Deficiency is made up from Slave-trading Colonies?
Yes. J. Macqueen, Esq. 27th March 1840.

The Witness was directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Thursday next, Two o'Clock.

Die Jovis, 2º Aprilis 1840.

The Lord ELLENBOROUGH in the Chair.

Evidence on the Petition of the EastIndia Company for Relief.

JAMES MACQUEEN Esquire is called in, and further examined as follows:

J. Macqueen, Esq.
2d April 1840.

1515. DO you wish to explain any Part of the Evidence you gave the

other Day?

There are a few Points I wish to explain. There are several Points of considerable Importance on which I can give your Lordships more decided Information than on the last Day I was here, particularly with respect to the Question of Distillation. I shall explain them to your Lordships.

1516. Are there any Points you wish to explain in your former Evidence?
I first wish to give your Lordships some further Information. I shall do that, and then give the Explanations on the other Parts of my Evidence.

1517. We had better take the Explanation first of the Evidence we have already had?

I find I had in my Possession an accurate Return of the Rum imported into this Country at Proof Strength, which enables me to make out a correct Table of the comparative Quantity of Rum that is produced in every Colony, as compared to the Quantity of the Sugar. I have separated the Mauritius from the West Indies, in order to show how it is that there is scarcely any Rum comes here from the Mauritius, because the Mauritius Rum is charged with the East India Duty; the Consequence of which is, that of the principal Part of the Rum they make, they use a Part of it to cleanse the Sugar, a Portion of which they export to Madagascar and New South Wales, and a Portion of their Molasses they reboil into a kind of Consistency or Syrup, and they export these to New South Wales also. I have put the whole on one connected Table; the Sugar in one Column, the Rum in another, the Molasses in Hundred Weights; and then I reduced all into Gallons, both Rum and Molasses, and stated the whole in another Column; which shows exactly what I told your Lordships before, that the Proportion of Sweets, that is, Rum and Molasses, which we count equal Gallon for Gallon, is just Four Gallons the Hundred Weight, the Average Production of all the Colonies. If the whole Sugar is distilled, that is, if it is mixed with a little Molasses and the Syrup before it is granulated, and then distilled, it will produce about Five Gallons to the Hundred Weight; being One Gallon more than than from the Molasses singly.

1518. Hand in the Paper? Yes.

The said Paper is delivered in and read, and is as follows:

(43.9.) T 4 Proportions

PROPORTIONS of Rum and Molasses to Sugar. 1838.

J. Macqueen, Esq.
2d April 1840.

	Sugar.	Rum.	Molasses,	Spirits or Proportion of Sweets.
Antigua - Barbadoes - Dominica - Granada - Jamaica - Montserrat - Nevis - St. Kitts - St. Lucia - St. Vincents - Tobago - Tortola - Trinidad - Demerara - Berbice - Total - To	Cwts. 203,043 473,587 48,290 156,798 1,053,181 10,413 25,410 91,765 61,691 194,182 71,621 7,279 286,247 669,574 165,726 3,518,807	Gallons. 29,171 455 17,010 234,919 2,303,790 8,036 10,867 65,677 7,493 181,562 252,414 3,088 3,530 1,384,282 124,664 4,624,958	Cwts. 97,614 98,683 7,893 18,359 2,242 2,513 2,971 18,488 4,786 45,667 7,181 906 78,387 239,984 13,493	Gallons. 907,647 888,602 88,047 400,150 .305,906 30,653 37,606 232,069 50,567 592,567 317,043 11,242 709,013 3,544,138 246,107
1835. Rum and and t	3,305,960 1,000,000 14,663,317			

The same Year there were exported to the United States (5,107 Hundred Weights) and to British North America 74,000 Hundred Weights of Sugar, and allowing for Consumption in the Colonies 100,000 Hundred Weights, the Sugar produced will be 3,692,807 Hundred Weights, and Spirits and Sweets 14,663,317 Gallons, or as near as may be, Four Gallons of Proof Spirits to the Hundred Weight of Sugar for the British West Indies.

MAURITIUS.

Mauritius requires to be stated separately, because Part of the Molasses there produced are reboiled, and afterwards exported to New South Wales in a half-granulated State, and Part of the Rum produced is used in clarifying Sugar. The Crop of Mauritius for 1835 was 558,712 Hundred Weights of Sugar to Great Britain, and 6,956,586 Pounds or 62,121 Hundred Weights to New South Wales, British and Foreign India. The Sugar sent to New South Wales was 61,200 Hundred Weights, and to Ceylon 2,113 Hundred Weights. The Molasses exported were 23,853 Hundred Weights, chiefly to New South Wales, and Rum 19,984 Gallons, of which 10,861 Gallons went to Madagascar.

1519. Is there any other Point on which you wish to amend your Evidence? I would wish to explain why some of the smaller Islands, such as Antigua and St. Christopher's, are working rather better under the Emancipation System than other Colonies. It arises from the following Causes: they have no spare Land on which the Negroes can go and retire to, as in Jamaica and other Places; and they were always accustomed to be what you call Hand-fed; that is, depending principally on external Supplies, or Supplies raised from the Estates, and distributed to them weekly; consequently it was Wages in another Form, and therefore they came easier into the System than the other Colonies where they have abundant Provision Grounds. On carefully examining the various Tables of the Number of People attached to Sugar Estates, and the Wages that are given in various Colonies, which I have tested by actual Facts connected with single Estates, I find that the increased Cost, upon the Average, comes out exactly to what I stated to your Lordships, from 9s. to 10s. per Hundred Weight. In reference to a Question that was asked of me regarding

the different Position in which the East and the West Indies stood, there is one J. Macqueen, Esq. very important Fact which it may be necessary to mention, and which is, that personal Slavery exists to a very great Extent in many of the Districts of India, and especially in those Districts where Sugar and Coffee and Rice is produced. There was, in 1828, a very large Volume published of Returns ordered by the House of Commons; it is from that I speak.

2d April 1840.

1520. To what Districts do you refer?

In that Volume (and I have taken it with great Care), in every District, more or less.

1521. You mentioned Mysore?

Mysore particularly. I have brought your Lordships some further decided Information with regard to the Distillation Question, the Quantity of Spirits that is taken from the Hundred Weight of Rice, and the Quantity as compared with the same Quantity of Grain in this Country.

1522. Are you satisfied of the Correctness of the Statement?

I have made up the Statement with the greatest possible Care. The comparative Cost of Production I give in both as landed in England. For example, East India Sugar Spirit landed in England would cost 1s. 62d., and the Cost of British Raw Grain Spirit is 2s. 0½d. per Imperial Gallon.

1523. When you state the relative Cost of British Spirits and Colonial Spirits, of course you refer to an imaginary Standard of the Price of Barley and the Price of Sugar; can you give us the Price to which you refer when you state that Average Price?

Yes. I take the Grain Spirits, which is a Mixture of Malt and Barley and Raw Grain, at 30s. the Quarter; I take the Malt just at the Cost of the best Barley, 40s., which is rather too low; and what I have mentioned to your Lordships now is the comparative Cost of British Raw Spirits. Material for Malt Spirits costs 2s. at 40s. per Quarter, and the Quarter produces Twenty Gallons. The Cost of Distillation is $6\frac{1}{2}d$., and consequently the Cost of the Spirit is 2s. $6\frac{1}{2}d$. The East India Rum Spirit costs, landed in England, 1s. 62d., making a Difference of 1s. in favour of the East India Rum. The Quantity of Proof Spirits produced from 448 Pounds of Rice, unfettered by the Excise Regulations of this Country, is Thirty-two Gallons. The Quantity of British Grain from the same Number of Pounds is 22.10 ths, making a Difference of 9.6 ths per Gallon, or Forty-three per Cent. in favour of East India Rice. I have gone over the whole Table in the same Way.

1524. You are satisfied that the Calculations made in that Paper are correct? Yes.

The same is delivered in, and read; and is as follows:

ESTIMATES AND CALCULATIONS.

One	Hundred Weight of East India Eight Gallons of Proof Spiri	ts, conse	quently.	the Tor	of Rice	e will	prod	luce	160
	Gallons of Ditto. Rice in Ind	ia is 60.	s. per To	n, which	will give	for the	e Co	st c	f the
	Raw Material, per Gallon	-	-	-	_ ~	· · a			43
,	Cost of Distillation -	, 10	-	. -	•	-	0	0	$6\frac{1}{2}$
	Cost of Rice Spirit in India	•	-	-	-	-	0	_	11
	Charges to England, say	. -	~ -	•	-	~	a	0	9
-	Landed in Er	gland	-	-	-	- 3	€ o	ì	8
	•		, 1		•	•			

A Maund of Eighty-two Pounds of Molasses in India costs 1s. 6d.; this Weight gives about 63 Imperial Gallons, which should produce Six Imperial Gallons and a Half of Proof Spirit.

Cost of Raw Material, 63 Gallons for 1s. 6d., is per Gallon Cost of Raw Material, West Indies, say <u>+</u> £0 0 2‡ **4** . **0** · **1**

> Difference in favour of East India Distiller **£**0

(43.9.)

J. Macqueen, Esq.	Rum-Spirits:
2d April 1840.	Raw Material, Cost of, per Gallon, in India Cost of Distillation, say 20 0 31
All America de la come	Charges to England - 0 0 9
	Landed in England, Cost - £0 1 61
	Cost of Raw Material for One Gallon of Proof Spirits, West Indies, allowing for
	Wastage Cost of Distillation
	Charges to England 0 0 84
	West Indian Rum in England East Indian Rum in do 0 3 3 East Indian Rum in do 0 1 64
	Difference in favour of India £0 1 91
	Cost of West Indian Rum landed in England £0 3 3 Do. East Indian Rice Spirit do do 0 1 8
	Difference in favour of India £0 1 7
	English Spirits.
	British Grain Spirits produce, from the Imperial Quarter of 400 Pounds of Raw Grain, Twenty Gallons of Proof Spirits; and Malt, from same Quantity, from Nineteen to Twenty Gallons, say Nineteen Gallons and a Half.
	Raw Grain, at 30s. per Quarter, is per Gallon £0 1 6 Malt do. at 40s. do do 0 2 0
	Then,
	Raw Grain, Raw Material, Cost per Gallon Cost of Distillation - #0 1 6 - 0 0 64
	Prime Cost per Gallon 0 2 01 East Indian Rice Spirit landed in England - 0 1 8
	Difference in favour of India £0 0 41
	East Indian Sugar Spirit landed in England - # 0 1 61 Cost of British Raw Grain Spirit 0 2 01
	Difference in favour of India £0 0 6
	BRITISH MALT SPIRITS.
	Cost per Gallon, Raw Material Cost of Distillation, per Gallon Cost of Distillation, per Gallon Cost of Distillation, per Gallon
	Cost per Gallon 0 2 61 East Indian Rum Spirit in England, per Gallon - 0 1 61
	Difference in favour of India £0 '1 0
	British Malt Spirits, per Gallon - 0 2 61 East Indian Rice Spirits landed in England, per Gallon - 0 1 8
	Difference in favour of India £0 0 101
	Comparative Production.
	448 Pounds of Rice produces, Proof Spirit - 32 Gallons. 448 Pounds of British Grain produces - 22.4
	Difference, or about Forty-three per Cent. in favour of Rice - 9.6
	Dura Dura

	D	UTY SPIR	ITS.		J. Macqueen, Esq.
Grain Spirits, England Malt do do. Grain Spirits, Scotland Malt do do. Grain Spirits, Ireland Malt do do. Rum, general Duty -	-	-		7s. 6d. per Gallon. 8s. 7d. do. 3s. 4d. do. 4s. 4d. do deducting 8d. 2s. 5d. do. 3s. 5d. do deducting 8d. 9s. 0d. do.	2d April 1840.

1525. Is there any other Point on which you wish to add any thing? With regard to Java, one of your Lordships asked me a Question to which I could only speak generally. I have brought the Crop of Java for last

1526. Are you satisfied of the Correctness of it? I have received it from a very accurate Authority within the last Month.

The following Paper is delivered in, and read:

CROP, JAVA.

```
734,979 Peculs,
                                                          892,475 Cwts.
1839.
       Sugar
                                               → or
                                                - or 80,174,460 lbs.
                                        do.
       Coffee
                              589,599
       Rice
                              949,456
                                       do.
                                                   or 129,126,016 lbs.
      .Indigo
                                                          743,186 lbs.
             Specie Value
                                           2,366,096 Florins.
```

1527. Is there any other Point on which you wish to offer Evidence to the

There is a Return with regard to the Productiveness of different Estates in different Colonies in the West Indies; if your Lordships consider it of any Service it is here, showing how many Days Labour, as confined to the Field Labour, will produce One Hogshead of Sugar on different Estates in different Islands, the very finest Estates.

1528. You have not taken the poorer Estates in that Account? No, only the best; it shows a very great Disparity.

The following Paper is delivered in, and read:

COMPARATIVE PRODUCTIVENESS of different Colonies, from a Calculation of the Labour necessary in One Year to produce One Hogshead of Sugar on one of the first-rate Estates in each of the following Colonies.

Naparina, Trinidad	-	7 Days Labour.
Kendall Estate, Barbadoes	-	100 - do.
Lower Dramond Estate, St. Vincent's	-	72 - do.
Resource Estate, Dominica -	-	262 - do.
Blake's Estate, St. Kitt's	~	140 - do.
Bel Alliance Estate, Demerara -	-	75 - do.
Treecthiok's Estate, Grenada -	-	44 - do.
Telescope Estate, - do	-	150 - do.

1529. That is, you mean the Labour of One Man for 150 Days? Yes.

- 1530. Or the Labour of more Persons for a shorter Period?
Yes; on some of the finest Estates in Trinidad when the Cane is once planted it grows for ever; the only thing is that it grows too luxuriantly.

1531. Is there any thing else you wish to add?

There is the Point regarding the Consumption of this Country the last Year in Sugar, which I have made out in a Tabular Form; it leaves a Surplus of about 4,000 Tons.

(43.9.) U 2 1532. Is

J. Macqueen, Esq. 2d April 1840.

1532. Is there any thing else you wish to speak to?

There is only one other Point connected with the Foreign Slave Trade on which I would wish to have shown to your Lordships the Accuracy with which the general Abstract has been made up, from the Number of the Slave Population in these different Colonies to which it alludes at certain Periods.

1533. What Authority have you for that Statement?

Most of them are official. For example, both Cuba and Porto Rico are from an accurate Census made in the Colony by the Government at certain Periods. In the Brazils, for example, the Number of the Slave Population registered in 1833 was 2,100,000. That is from the Census of Government.

1534. Is there any Authority for that?

I have an accurate Authority of the Number of the Slave Population in the Brazils.

1535. Are there Registers kept in the Brazils?

Yes; there was a regular Census taken by the Order of Government in 1833; it is from that I took this, and from the Number subsequently imported, making an Allowance for the Decrease.

1536. Upon what Authority do you state that Increase of the Population of the Slaves in the Brazils?

I state it on this Authority. I have the Authority of Sir George Staunton for the Number of Slaves in the Brazils in 1791. I have the Imports every succeeding Year down to the Year 1808, from which I take my Standard. The Year in which we abolished the Slave Trade gives the Number just the same in the Brazils as they were in 1791; that is, in the Brazilian Territory, independent of Monte Video, which has since separated from it. In 1833 there was a regular Census of the Population by the Government, which gives the Total Number registered 2,100,000.

1537. Of Slaves? Yes.

1538. Do you recollect the Number of free Negroes?

No; the free People of Colour and the White People are not separated; they are all in One Return, Three millions and odd thousands. Then for the Population last Year, I have an accurate Return of the Slaves imported into the Brazils, at least as accurate as it is possible to get it.

The following Paper is delivered in, and read:

Comparative Slave Population, Brazils, Cuba, &c.

	1808.	1830.	1833.	1839.
Brazil Cuba	600,000 113,352 15,133	479,000 31,000	2,100,000 11,000	2,500,000 600,000 10,000

1539. On what Data is that Return of the Slaves imported prepared? From the official Returns prepared by Government every Year.

1540. By the Brazilian Government?

By our own Government, the Slave Commissioners. The Number imported there exceeds that which they give, but I do not go beyond that; and that will give the Slave Population of the Brazils at least of 2,500,000.

1541. That is supposing the existing Slave Population neither increases nor decreases?

After allowing for the Increase Five per Cent., which is what the official Authorities in the Brazils state it to be, of Births beyond Burials.

1542. You do not know how it comes that there should be that Decrease, J. Macqueen, Esq.

while the Census in North America shows an Increase in the Slave Population?

That is very easily explained. The Slave Population of the United States of America have been for Generations equal in Numbers as regards the Sexes; they are all Natives of that Country. The others, in the Brazils, and Cuba and Porto Rico, are imported, in the Difference probably of Two to One between Males and Females. These, moreover, are principally grown up People, in the Prime of Life, as most required for active Labour, and consequently the Decrease in their Numbers is much greater. sequently the Decrease in their Numbers is much greater.

2d April 1840.

The Witness is directed to withdraw.

Ordered, That this Committee be adjourned to Monday next, Four o'Clock.

APPENDIX

TO THE

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE.

TAKEN BEFORE

THE SELECT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS

APPOINTED TO CONSIDER OF

The Petition of the East India Company for Relief;

AND TO REPORT THEREON TO THE HOUSE.

1840.

CONTENTS OF THE APPENDIX.

No. 1.–	-Copy of the l	Petition of	the East	'India C	ompan y _	presente	d to t	he House	e of L		PAGE ,
	on the 14th	of February	1840	4	- ,	•	-	4	-	′ •	163,
No. 2	-Statement sho India from I received)										165
No. 3	-Notification b Native Chief	•				g that	the T	erritories +	of ce	rtain	168
No. 4	-Notification b Chiefs not s shall be dee	subject to th	e Jurisd	iction a	nd Auth	orities	•				ib.
No. 5	-Corresponden	ce on the S	ubject 'o	f Indian	Tobacco		٠ <u>٠</u> , ; ,	; ; =,	-)		ib.
No. 6	-Treasury. Mir from Aleppi		25th Oct	ober 183	3, respec	ting the	Duty	on Peppe	er imp	orted	170
No. 7	-Act passed 30th May 18					ernor G	eneral	of India-	in Co	uncil,	îb.
No. 8	-Act passed 3d January				•	1. " 11	/	/ t · 1	1 1	A (4 . 1 .	

APPENDIX.

No. 1.

COPY of the PETITION of the EAST INDIA COMPANY presented to the House of Appendix, No. 1. Lords on the 14th of February 1840.

To the Right Honourable the Lords, Spiritual and Temporal of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland in Parliament assembled:

The humble Petition of the East India Company,

Showeth,

That the Territories, the Government of which is committed by Act 3 & 4 Will. 4. c. 85. to your Petitioners, constitute a very large and important Portion of the British Empire, contributing greatly to its Power and Resources, and defraying the entire Charges of its own

That in the Exercise of this Duty your Petitioners have long laboured to effect the Removal of every Impediment to the Exercise of the agricultural and manufacturing Industry of India, and to procure for the Products of that Country Admission into the Ports of Great Britain on the same Terms of Advantage as are granted to other Dependencies of the British Empire. The Justice of this Claim your Petitioners submit must be acknowledged by all who consider, not only that the Indian Empire has been founded and maintained without subjecting the People of Great Britain to any Expense whatever, but also that it contributes largely to the Wealth and Power of the Country by which it is governed. Your Petitioners, however, have to lament, that their Exertions have been attended by only partial Success. The Sugar of Bengal is now subjected to the same Amount of Duty as that of the West Indies and the Mauritius, and the same Principle has 6 & 7 W. 4. c. 26.

* Sect. IV—" Provided always, and be it been applied to Coffee the Produce of the

* Sect. IV.—" Provided always, and be it enacted, That if at any Time satisfactory Proof shall have been laid before Her Majesty in Council that the Importation of Foreign Sugar into any British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter is pro-hibited, it shall be lawful for Her Majesty, by and with the Advice of Her Privy Council, or by Her Majesty's Order in Council, to be published from Time to Time in the London Gazette, to allow the Importation of Sugar the Growth of any such British Possession at the lower Rate of Duty in the said first-recited Act specified, in like Manner, and under the same Restrictions and Conditions, as Sugar the Growth of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal may be imported, subject to a lower Rate of Duty under the Provisions of the said first-recited Act."

British Possessions in India; but in both Instances the Admission is fettered by Re- 5 & 6 W. 4. c. 66, strictions which considerably diminish its Value. The Means prescribed by the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 33.* for extending to the other Parts of the British Territories in India the same Advantages which were conceded to Bengal by 6 & 7 Will, 4. c. 26. are circuitous, tardy, and uncertain. Evidence is to be produced to satisfy the Privy Council that the Importation of Sugar into any particular District is prohibited, and then it is declared to be lawful for Her Majesty in Council to issue an Order allowing the Importation of Sugar from such District into Great Britain at the lower Rate of Duty.

Your Petitioners respectfully submit, that no valid Reason exists why the Governor General in Council, who is intrusted with the Power of suspending the Prohibition of Importation in Bengal, might not also be vested with the further Discretion of extending the Prohibition to any other District of India, when such District is capable of supplying its own Consumption of Sugar, and producing a Surplus for Exportation. That with its own Consumption of Sugar, and producing a Surplus for Exportation. That with regard to Coffee, great Uncertainty and Inconvenience has arisen from the Ambiguity of the Words "British Possessions," and this Ambiguity it appears highly important to remove. The strictest Interpretation of the Words, notwithstanding the earnest Remonstrances of the Court of Directors has been in some Court and this has not only strances of the Court of Directors, has been in some Cases adopted; and this has not only excluded those Native States with which the British Government has subsidiary Alliances, but also Mysore, where the Government is actually administered by the British Authorities, and where all the Resources of the Country are under its Control. The immediate Interest which Great Britain has in the Prosperity of States thus situated renders it most desirable that a more liberal Interpretation of the Words "British Possessions" than has heretofore prevailed should be adopted, and this can be effectually secured in no other Way than by a declaratory Enactment of the Legislature. That while, with regard to both the Articles in which some Relief has been afforded, that Relief has been imperfect, there are others in (43,—APP.)

Appendix, No. 1.

which the Inequality of Duty between the Products of India and those of other British Dependencies is still maintained. Spirits, the Produce of any British Possession in America, are subject to an Import Duty of only 9s. per Gallon; Spirits, the Produce of the British Possessions in India, are subject to an Import Duty of 15s. per Gallon; an Excess of Sixty-six per Cent. over the former. Tobacco, the Produce of the British Possessions in America, pays a Customs Duty of 2s. 9d. per lb.; if raised in British India, it is charged 3s. per lb., the same as is levied on the Article when imported from Foreign States.

That the Cotton Manufactures of England and Scotland have in a great Degree superseded those of India, even in India itself, and as far as this is the Effect of the natural Course of Trade it is not represented by the Petitioners as a Ground of Complaint, but they beg most respectfully to submit, that it is alike unnecessary and oppressive to aggravate the Difference between the British and the Indian Manufacturer by unequal Duties, the Inequality being in favour of the former and at the Expense of the latter. The Cotton Piece Goods of England are imported into Calcutta at an ad valorem Duty of only Three and a Half per Cent. if in English Bottoms, and Seven per Cent. if in Foreign Bottoms. The Cotton Piece Goods of India brought to an English Port pay an ad valorem Duty, which is in no Case less than Ten per Cent. In regard to Silk Piece Goods the Inequality is still greater, they being subjected in British Ports to a Duty of Twenty per Cent., while British Silks are admitted into Calcutta at Three and a Half per Cent. if imported in British Bottoms, and Seven per Cent. if in Foreign.

Act of Government of India, No. XIV. of 1836.

That these Inequalities are the more grievous from the Disadvantage being thrown on the poorer Country.

That while Articles which long formed the staple Manufactures of India are not admitted into Great Britain at a less Rate of Duty than Ten per Cent., the Rate in many Instances, especially on Drugs and Spices, amounts to One, Two, or Three hundred per Cent. on the Value of the Article.

That there is One Production for which a constant and increasing Demand exists in this Country, and which, though not hitherto forming Part of the regular Exports of India, may possibly at a future Period become an important Article of its Commerce. There appears some Reason to hope that India may in the Progress of Time supply a considerable Quantity of Tea for Consumption in Great Britain; and, with a view to the Encouragement and Improvement of its Cultivation, your Petitioners would suggest that it is deserving Consideration whether it might not be politic to make some Difference in point of Duty between the Tea produced in British Possessions and that obtained from a Foreign Country.

That it is not in Great Britain alone that India has to complain of a Want of Fairness in the Imposition of Duties upon its Productions, but that in other Parts of the British Dominions similar Inequalities exist. At Ceylon the Cotton Goods of Great Britain are charged with a Duty of Five per Cent., those of India with Duties varying from Ten to Twenty per Cent.; Goods not specially enumerated in the published Table are directed to be charged Five per Cent. if the Growth or Manufacture of Great Britain, Ireland, or even any Part of Europe, but if the Growth or Manufacture of any other Place (India being included) they are subjected to a Duty of Ten per Cent. Here not only Great Britain and Ireland, but the whole of Continental Europe, is placed in a better Condition than the Indian Portion of the British Empire.

That in the Australian Colonies British Productions, with the Exception of Spirits, are admitted Duty free. The Goods of all other Countries, including British India, are charged with an ad valorem Duty of Five per Cent.

That those Provisions of the Navigation Laws of this Country which relate to Natives of

Provided always, That Natives of Places within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter, although under British Dominion, shall not, upon the Ground of being such Natives, be deemed to be British Seamen.

the Territories in India subject to the British Government serving as Seamen operate injuriously to the Interests of such Natives, and that the Policy of continuing the existing Restrictions is a fitting Subject for Consideration.

That the Facts to which brief Reference has been made furnish a Ground for the wise and beneficial Interference of the Legislature.

Your Petitioners therefore pray, That, in regard to these Premises, your Right Honourable House will be pleased to grant such Relief as may be effectual to remove the Grievances to which, under the existing Law, India is, in many Instances, subjected.

And your Petitioners will ever pray, &c.

(L.s.)

No. 2.

STATEMENT

SHOWING THE

IMPORTS and EXPORTS of TREASURE at each of the Presidencies of India from 1814-15 to 1836-37 (the latest Period to which Accounts have been received).

No. 2. STATEMENT showing the Imports and Exports of Treasure at each of the Presidencie

		BEN	GAL.			MAD	RAS.	
	Imports.	Exports.	Net Imports.	Net Exports.	Imports.	Exports.	Net Imports.	Net Exports.
	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupces,	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees
1814-15 -	1.06.86,444	1.54,625	1.05.31,819		10.08,970	1.00,649	9.08,321	
1815-16 -	1.80,34,071	15,750	1.90.18,321		11.17,010	1.07,559	10.09,451	*
1816-17 -	3.18.51,985	1.69,000	3.16.82,985		. 17.42,272	2.44,169	14.98,103	4
1817-18 -	3.20.27,029	3.17,250	3.17.09,779		17.28,422	45,774	16.92,648	
1818-19 -	4.71.92,494	2.79,538	4.69.12,956		23.79,668	98,087	22.81,581	
1819-20 -	4.06.46,025	30.98,921	3.75.47,104		18.05,953	1.89,286	16.16,667	•
1820-21 -	2.36.17,241	11.39,363	2.24.77,878		23.92,462	14,250	-23.78,212	
1821-22 -	2.14.54,536	1.23.96,395	90.58,141	enterioris.	25.34,990	1.69,898	23.65,092	ermedning.
. 1822-23 -	1.70.68,452	15,945	1.70.52,507		31.21,737	1.52,860	29.68,877	-
1823-24 -	1.29,95,420	75.65,828	54.29,592		12.39,890	7.02,298	5.37,592	
1824-25 -	94.02,249	16.09,497	77.92,752	·	43.01,554	21.76,819	21.24,735	-
1825-26 -	1.04.09,972	1.38,704	1,02.71,268		22.47,356	50.50,007		28.02,651
1826-27 -	1.22.88,328	11.15,032	1.11.73,296		28.31,624	7.02,230	21.29,394	
1827-28 -	1.41.39,582	44.80,987	96.58,595		25 18,685	39.13,817		13.95,13
1828-29 -	65.58,526	17.63,193	47.95,333		14.78,895	11.03,083	3.75,812	
1829-30 -	98.10,254	16.40,322	81.69,932	,	10.93,057	54.01,232	-	43.08,175
1830-31 -	60.12,147	33.05,035	27.07,112	,	11.37,557	11.27,762	9,795	W
1831-32 -	35.44,838	1.14.45,476		79.00,638	9.21,854	38.99,860		29.78,000
1832-33 -	51.71,083	78.33,535	Self-general Principal Self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-s	26.62,452	13.46,370	30.14,689		16.68,319
1833-34	56.84,766	24.75,527	32.09,239		11.45,275	1 3,851		8.68,570
1834-35 -	64.62,248	6.65,549	57.96,699		15.31,150	10.64,776	4.66,374	
1835-36	68.71,687	5.65,994	63.05,693	-	11.27,602	3.15,289	8.12,313	والاستان
1836-37 -	61.25,274	16.13,164	45.12,110		7.59,580	7.26,158	33,422	
	35.80.54,651	6.38.04,630	30.48.13,111	1.05.63,090	4.15.11,933	3.23.34,403	2.31.98,389	1.40.20,85

The Consignments of Treasure between the several Ports

East India House, 3

No. 2.

aulindia from 1814-15 to 1836-37 (the latest Period to which Accounts have been received).

	ВОМ	BAY.	•	BEN	GAL, MADRA	As, AND BO	MBAY.	
gral Imports.	Exports,	Net Imports.	Net Exports.	Total Imports,	Total Exports.	Net Imports.	Net Experts.	
In A Rupees.	* Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	Rupees.	
1.0229.71,700	6.51,680	23.20,020		1.46.67,114	9.06,954	1.37,60,160		1814-15
08 60.47,889	77,430	59.70,458		2.51.98,969	2.00,739	2.49.93,230		1815-16
.0880.12,743	42,163	79.70,580	Taking Saulik	4.16.07,000	4.55.332	4.11 51,668		1816-17
).51. 16.66,852	2.64,172	1.14.02,680		4.54.22,303	6.27,196	4.47.95,107		1817-18
1.58 3.14,090	57,635	1.82.56,455		6.78.86.252	3.35,260	6.75.50,992		1818-19
. 07 70.59.032	6.16,395	64.42,637	-	4.95.11,010	39.04,602	4.56.06,408		1819-20
1.50 67.02,319	4.66,244	62,36,075		3.27.12,022	16.19,857	3,10.92,165		1820-21
1 27. 52.02,765	4.67,997	47.34,768	****	2.91.92,291	1.30.34,290	1.61.58,001		1821-22
) ac 56.05,933	3.90,148	52.15,785	-	2.57.96,122	5.58,953	2.52.37,169		182 2 -23
. 69.79,401	15.66,527	- 54.12,874		2.12.14,711	98.34,653	1.13.80,058		1823-24
.h 71.57,033	6.52,352	65.04,681		2.08.60,836	44.38,668	1.64.22,168		1824-25
El .13.28,783	1.35,978	1.11.92,805		2.39.86,111	54.24,689	1.85.61,422		1825-26
96.45,945	2.66,192	93.79,753		2,47,65,897	20.83,454	2.26.82,443		1826-27
25.01,9 08 د ت	7.03,271	1.17.98,637		2.91.60,175	90.98,075	2.00.62,100		1827-28
.7527.04.920	20.00,940	1.07.03,980		2.07.42,341	48.67,216	1.58.75,125		1828-29
.0110.22,000	22,97,468	87.24,532		2.19.25,311	93.39,022	1.25.86,289		1829-30
. ЦО01.53,128	16.19.385	85.33,743		1.73.02,832	60.52,182	1.12.50,650		1830-31
.87 73.55, 868	20,35,147	53.20,721	. ——	1.18.22,560	1.73.80,483		55.57,923	1831-32
816,04.49	18.58,270	30.91,348		1.14,67,071	1.27.06,494		12.39,423	1832-33
£ (19.34,387	11.53,484	1.07.80,903		1.87,64,428	56.42,862	1.31.21,566		1833 -34
T. #.1.17.72,307	3.24,601	1.14.47,706		1.97.65,705	20.54,926	1.77.10,779	<u>``</u>	1834-35
0.3 1.40.19,617	4.01,170	,1.36.18,447		2.20.18,906	12.82,453	2.07.36,453		1835-36
15.1.34.76,818	3,00,018	1.31.76,800		2.03.61,672	26.39,340	1.77.22,332		1836-37
ξο.1. 65.85,055	1.83.48,667	19.82.36,388		61.61.51,639	11.44.87,700	50.84.61,285	67.97,346	

bixesidencies in India are excluded from the above Statement.

(Errors excepted.)

JAMES C. MELVILL,

Secretary.

NOTIFICATION by the GOVERNOR in COUNCIL, declaring that the TERRITORIES Appendix, No. 3. of certain NATIVE CHIEFS shall be deemed Foreign Territory.

Territorial Department Revenue.

NOTIFICATION.

The Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased, in conformity with the Provisions of Section VIII. Act I. of 1838, to declare that the Territories of the under-mentioned Native Chiefs shall be deemed Foreign Territory, and that Goods passing into or out of such Territory shall be liable to the Duty fixed for Foreign and British Bottoms, as follows:-

For Foreign Bottoms:

The Raja of Sawunt Warree.

For British Bottoms:

Angria Sirkheil;

The Hubshee, or Khan of Janjeera.

The Right Honourable the Governor in Council is also pleased, under Section X. of the said Act, to empower the Collector of Customs of Guzerat and the Konkan, the Principal Collector of Dharwar and the Collector of Belgaum, to levy the Duties above noticed, according as the Frontiers of the above-mentioned States may border on their Collectorates respectively.

By Order of the Right Honourable the Governor in Council.

(Signed) L. R. REID,

Bombay Castle, 31st January 1838. Acting Chief Secretary.

·No. 4.

Notification by the Governor in Council, declaring that the Territories of all Native Chiefs not subject to the Jurisdiction and Authorities of the Bombay Presidency shall be deemed Foreign as respects the Duties on Salt.

Territorial Department Revenue.

Notification.

The Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased, under Section VIII. of the Act No. I, of 1838, to declare that the Territories of all Native Chiefs not subject to the Jurisdiction of the Courts and Civil Authorities of the Bombay Presidency shall, so far, as respects the Duties on Salt, be deemed to be Foreign Territories, and that Salt passing out of such Territories into those of this Presidency is liable to the Duty fixed for Foreign Bottoms by Schedule A. of the said Act.

Published by Order of the Right Honourable the Governor in Council.

L. R. REID, (Signed) Acting Chief Secretary.

Bombay Castle, 25th April 1838.

No. 5.

Appendix, No. 5.

Correspondence on the Subject of Indian Tobacco.

General Separate Department.

(No. 6. of 1839.)

To the Honourable the Court of Directors of the East India Company.

Honourable Sirs,

We beg to solicit the Attention of your Honourable Court to the Letter recorded on the Proceedings of the annexed Date from the Chamber of Commerce relative to the Position of Tobacco as an Article of Export to Great Britain from India.

2. The Chamber of Commerce state that the Duty, in England, on East Indian Tobacco is the same as that on Tobacco from the United States of America, viz. 3s. per Pound, while on Canadian it is only 2s. 9d.

3. The Chamber have remarked that if the Charge on East Indian Tobacco were brought to a Level with that imposed on Canadian, such a Stimulus would probably be imparted to its Cultivation here, and to its Export to Great Britain, as would be sufficient to ensure the Trade

tary of Bengal Chamber of Commerce, dated 17th April 1839, Cons. 24th April 1839.

Letter from Secre-

Appendix, No. 5

Trade being prosecuted to any Extent; but that being an Article peculiarly liable to Deterioration during a long Voyage, its Export, in consequence of the Discouragement of an

enhanced Duty, was at present quite insignificant.

4. They add, in support of the Claim of this Article to Favour, that though a few small Parcels of Tobacco had been forwarded to England in the course of the last Eighteen Months, it had only been in consequence of the Failure of the Crop in America that they had fetched remunerating Prices, whereas Prices yielding a Profit would, without the Operation of such Failure, have been obtained for these Parcels had the Growth of India been allowed the Difference of 3d. per Pound, which the Canadian and other British Colonial Produce had enjoyed for some Years. The Fact also of East Indian Tobacco having been used in England during the Scarcity of American Tobacco, at a Difference of about 3d. per Pound in Price, is urged as affording a conclusive Argument that it only needed an equivalent Reduction of the Duty to introduce Indian Tobacco into regular Consumption.

5. On these Grounds the Chamber of Commerce requested us to solicit from your

Honourable Court Support to their Application for an Abatement of the Duty on Tobacco produced within your Territories; and we confidently hope that when the Disadvantage this Article of Commerce labours under when conveyed from India as compared with other British Colonies is pointed out, the Equalization solicited will be granted, without Oppo-

sition, upon any Occasion offering for bringing forward the Question in England.
We have, &c.

Fort William, 30th April 1839.

W. Morison. (Signed) T. C. Robertson. W. W. BIRD.

From W. LIMOND Esq., Secretary Bengal Chamber of Commerce, to H. T. PRINSEP Esq., Secretary to the Government of India.

Fort William, 17th April 1839. I am instructed by the Chamber of Commerce respectfully to solicit the Attention of the Honourable the President of the Council of India to the Position of Tobacco as an Article of Export to Great Britain.

The Duty in England on East Indian Tobacco is the same as that on Tobacco from the

United States of America, 3s. per Pound, while on Canadian it is only 2s. 9d.

Were the Charge on East Indian brought to a Level with that imposed on Canadian, such a Stimulus would probably be imparted to its Cultivation here, and its Export to Britain, as is required for prosecuting the Trade to any Extent; but without such Encouragement, being an Article peculiarly liable to Deterioration during a long Voyage, the Export at

present is quite insignificant.

Though a few small Parcels have been forwarded to England in the course of the last Eighteen Months, it has only been in consequence of the Failure of the Crop in America that they have fetched remunerating Prices, while Prices likely to yield a Profit would, without the Operation of such Failure, have been obtained for these had the Growth of India been allowed the Difference of 3d. per Pound which the Canadian Produce has enjoyed for some Years.

The Fact of East Indian Tobaccos having been used in England during the Scarcity of American Tobacco, at a Difference of about 3d. per Pound of Price, may be received as Proof that it only needs an equivalent Reduction of the Duty to introduce it into regular

Consumption.

The Chamber forbears dwelling here on the Fact, so well known as it must be to Govern-

ment, that India presents a boundless Field for the Cultivation of Tobacco.

Sensible of the Importance of encouraging the Development of the Agricultural Resources of India, and of multiplying and extending the Elements of its Commerce, his Honour, the Chamber presumes to hope, will judge it to be expedient, and be pleased to take an early Opportunity to urge on the Authorities at home the Propriety of according the Abatement of Duty sought, of according it too, though not without Deliberation, yet without Delay, as an Act alike of Justice and of Policy.

Bengal Chamber of Commerce, 17th April 1839.

I have, &c. W. Limond, Secretary. (Signed)

From H. T. PRINSEP Esq., Secretary to the Government in the General Department, to W. LIMOND Esq., Secretary to the Bengal Chamber of Commerce. (No. 33.)

Sir, Council Chamber, 24th April 1839. I am directed to acknowledge the Receipt of your Letter, dated the 17th instant, soliciting that the Honourable the Court of Directors may be moved to obtain from the Government of England an Abatement of Duty on East Indian Tobacco from 3s. per Pound.

to that imposed upon the Canadian Tobacco, viz. 2s. 9d. (43.—App.) 2. In Appendix, No. 5.

2. In reply I am directed to state, that the Government of India will forward the Representation of the Bengal Chamber of Commerce on this Subject, with a strong Recommendation in its Favour, to the Honourable Court of Directors.

I am, &c. (Signed)

H. T. PRINSEP,

Secretary to Government.

LETTER to India in the Financial Department,

11th September 1839. (No. 22.) 1. We have received from the Agricultural and Horticultural Society of India a Re-

presentation on the Subject of the Inequality of the Duties levied in this Country upon Tobacco and Rum, the Produce of the British Possessions in the East Indies, and similar Articles the Produce of the British Possessions in America and the West Indies.

2. We desire that in reply you will inform the Society that the Question has for some Time past occupied our Attention, but that an Application to Parliament on the

Subject in the ensuing Session is contemplated.

No. 6.

Appendix, No. 6.

TREASURY MINUTE, dated 25th October 1833, respecting the Duty on PEPPER imported from ALEPPI.

(20, 134.)Read Report of the Commissioners of Customs, dated the 18th Instant, on a Memorial of Messrs. Brooke, Hulbert, Layton, and Hulbert, and others, Brokers and Dealers in Pepper, praying that the Duty of 1s. the Pound may be received on certain Quantities of that Article imported from Aleppi. The Commissioners report that Aleppi was, until very lately, deemed to be a British Possession within the Limits of the East India Company's Charter, but a Question having recently arisen how far Aleppi and Quiton on the Malabar Coast were British Possessions, it was stated that neither of these Possessions could be considered as such, being within the Possessions of the Rajah of Travancore, an independent Native Prince, and they directed their Officers to govern themselves accordingly in future Cases, and the Foreign Duty of 1s. 2d. was in consequence demanded upon the Pepper in Question; but as that Decision of the East India Company could not have been foreseen by the Importers of Pepper, they are of opinion that the Parties have an equitable Claim to the Admission of the Stock in hand at the Duties hitherto charged thereou; and they submit whether my Lords may not see fit to give further Directions in regard to future

Importations from the Places in Question. Give Authority to comply with the Parties Request, so far as relates to the Stock at

Transmit to the Board of Trade for the Opinion of the Lords of that Committee with respect to the Mode in which this Trade ought hereafter to be conducted.

No. 7.

Appendix, No.7,

Act passed by the Right Honourable the Governor General of India in Council, 30th May 1836, respecting Imports and Exports.

Act No. XIV. of 1836.

Regulations imposing Transit and Town Duties in the Interior, and fixing Rates of Import and Export Duty on Sea Goods, repealed: except as regards the

Jumna Frontier Line,

and Duties on Wes, tern Salts.

Import Duties to be levied according to Schedule A. annexed.

1. It is hereby enacted, That from the First June next, such Parts of Regulations IX. and X. 1810, Regulation XV. 1825, and of any other Regulations of the Bengal Presidency as prescribe the Levy of Transit or Inland Customs Duties or of Town Duties, and likewise the Schedules of Duties and Provisions of any Kind contained in these, or any other Regulations for fixing the Amount of Duty to be levied upon Goods imported into or exported from the said Presidency by Sea, shall be repealed; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to prevent the Levy of Duties at the Rates now in force at the Custom Houses and Chokies established on the Line of the Jumna, or on any Frontier Line, upon Goods crossing that Line for Import into or Export from the Territory of the East India Company by Land, nor to affect the Regulations in force for imposing and levying Duties on Salt the Produce of Western and Central India.

II. And it is hereby enacted, That Duties of Customs shall be levied on Goods imported by Sea into Calcutta, or into any other Place within the Provinces of Bengal and Orissa, according to the Rates specified in Schedule (A,) annexed to this Act, and with the Exceptions

Exceptions specified therein; and the said Schedule, with the Notes attached thereto, shall be taken to be a Part of this Act.

Appendix, No. 7.

III. And it is hereby further enacted, That Duties of Customs shall be levied upon Export Duties to be Country Goods exported by Sea from any Port of Bengal or Orissa according to the Rates collected on Country Goods according to the Rates of Export Duties to be Country Goods exported by Sea from any Port of Bengal or Orissa according to the Rates of Country Goods according to Country Goods accor specified in Schedule (B.) annexed to this Act, with the Exceptions therein specified, and the said Schedule, with the Notes attached thereto, shall also be taken to be a Part of this Act

Schedule (B.) annexed.

IV. And it is hereby enacted, That no Goods or Articles whatsoever entered in either therein as liable to of the said Schedules as liable to Duty shall be exempted from the Payment of such Duty, or of any Part thereof, except under special Order from the Governor of Bengal: Provided, however, that it shall and may be lawful for the Collector of Customs, or other Officer in charge of a Custom House, to pass free of Duty as heretofore any Baggage in actual Use, but the Collector may at his Discretion; and in case of any Person applying to have Goods passed as such, the pass Baggage belonging to Passengers at Collector, acting under the Orders of the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium, shall his Discretion. determine whether they be Baggage in actual Use, or Goods subject to Duty, under the Rules of this Act.

Duty to be exempted except by Order of Government;

V. And it is hereby enacted, That the Rules and Regulations now established for the Existing Rules to be Levy of Duties of Customs on Goods imported into or exported from Calcutta, and other enforced for levying the new Import and Ports of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal, shall continue to be in force, and Export Duties. shall be observed and applied for the Levy of the Import and Export Duties imposed by this Act, unless repealed or altered, or repugnant to the Provisions thereof.

the new Import and

VI. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Governor of the Presidency Place may be fixed by of Fort William in Bengal, by an Order printed in the Calcutta Gazette, to fix a Place the Governor of Bengal, by an Order printed in the Calcutta Gazette, to fix a Place the Governor of Bengal, by an Order printed in the Calcutta Gazette, to fix a Place the Governor of Bengal, by an Order printed in the Calcutta Gazette, to fix a Place may be fixed by in any River or Port in Bengal or Orissa, beyond which Place it shall not be lawful for any inward-bound Vessel inward-bound Vessel, save and except such Dhoonies and Country Craft as are referred to is not to proceed until In Section XXII. of this Act, to pass until the Master or Commander shall have delivered a Manifest has been to the Pilot on hourd for the Purpose of being forwarded by the public Dayle or otherwise. to the Pilot on board, for the Purpose of being forwarded by the public Dawk or otherwise, be forwarded. as may be ordered by the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium, a Manifest made out in the Form prescribed by Section 45, Regulation IX. 1810. And it is hereby enacted, That if Master to be responthe Manifest so delivered by the Master and Commander shall not contain a full and true sible for its Correct-Specification of all the Goods imported in the Vessel, the Master or Person in charge thereof shall be liable to a Fine of 1,000 Rupees; and any Goods or Packages that may be found on board in Excess of the Manifest so delivered, or differing in Quality or Kind, or in not corresponding Marks and Numbers, from the Specification contained therein, shall be liable to be seized with Manifest, to be and confiscated, or to be charged with such increased Duties as may be determined by the seized and confiscated, Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium; and if any inward-bound Vessel shall remain outside, creased Duties. or below the Place so fixed by the Governor of Bengal, the Master or Commander shall in The Masters of Veslike Manner deliver to the Pilot, so soon as the Vessel shall anchor, a Manifest as above sels lying below to deliver Manifests on deliver Manifests on prescribed; and if any Vessel entering a Port for which there is a Custom House established shall lie at anchor therein for the Space of Twenty-four Hours, the Master and Commander whereof shall neglect to deliver the said Manifest to the Pilot on board, he chor 24 Hours without shall for such Neglect be liable to forfeit the Sum of 1,000 Rupees, and no Entry or Port sending Manifest to be Clearance shall be given for such Vessel until the Fine is paid.

1,000 Rupees. Goods in Excess, or or charged with incoming to anchor; if remaining at ansubject to Penalty of 1,000 Rupees.

VII. And it is hereby enacted. That no Vessel shall be allowed to break Bulk until the No Vessel to break Bulk until the Bulk unless Two Manifest described in the preceding Section of this Act, and another Copy thereof to be presented at the Time of applying for Entry inwards, shall have been received by the Collector of Customs, and Order shall have been given by the said Collector for the Discharge of the Cargo; and the said Collector may further refuse to give such Order, if he shall see fit, until and Entry may be reany Port Clearances, Cockets, or other Papers known to be granted at the Places from fused until Papers of the Places of Department of Department of the Places of Depa

Copies of Manifest have been received;

ture are delivered.

Collector may send

VIII. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be competent to the Collector of Customs at any Port of Bengal or Orissa, at his Discretion, to send One or more Officers of Customs Custom House Officer on board of any Vessel at any Time, and the Custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board any Vessel, and the Custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board any Vessel, and the Custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board any Vessel, and the Custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board any Vessel, and the Custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on board of the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the custom House Officer so sent shall remain on the cus board of such Vessel by Day and by Night until the Vessel shall leave the Port, or until it to remain on board till she sails, be otherwise ordered by the Collector of Customs.

admit Custom House Officers, or not giving

IX. And it is hereby enacted, That any Master or Person in charge of such Vessel, who Persons refusing to shall refuse to receive a Custom House Officer on board when so deputed as above provided, or shall not afford such Officer suitable Shelter and sleeping Accommodation while on them proper Accommodation while on board, shall be liable to Fine not exceeding the Sum of 500 Rupees for each Day during modation, liable to which such Officer shall not be received and provided with suitable Shelter and Accommo-Fine. dation, which Fine shall be adjudged by and at the Discretion of the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium at Calcutta; and the Vessel by the Master or Person in charge of which such Fine shall have been incurred shall not be moved until the same shall be paid.

X. And it is hereby enacted, That whenever a Collector of Customs shall see Cause to Collector may order a direct that any Vessel shall be searched, he shall issue his Warrant or written Order for the Vessel to be searched. same, addressed to the Custom House Officer on board, or to any other Officer under his (43.—APP.) 4. Authority,

Bulk Heads to be broken open if not opened on Requisition.

Any concealed Goods to be confiscated.

Resistance or Refusal of Masters punishable with Fine of 1,000 Rupees.

Goods not to be landed or put on board till Entry of the Ship is duly made.

Cargo to be sent ashore and laden outwards according to existing Forms.

Goods unmanifested not to be landed in ordinary Form; but to be seized on board.

Master to be answerable that all Goods manifested are forthcoming and duly passed.

Under Penalty of 500 Rupees for each missing Package of unknown Value, or double Duty if assessible.

Rule for presenting an amended or supplemental Manifest.

Custom House Officers taking unauthorized Fees or Bribes subject to Penalty of 500 Rupees.

Same Penalty on Persons offering,

Collector to investigate and adjudge Confiscation.

Board's Confirmation necessary.

Twenty or Thirty
Days allowed to clear
Inwards according to
Tonnage;

after which the Master to pay Charges of the Custom House Officer. Master to land Goods

Master to land Goods if Consignees do not; if these fail, Collector may land and warehouse; Authority, and upon Production of such Order the Officer bearing it shall be competent to require any Cabins, Lockers, or Bulk-heads to be opened in his Presence, and if not opened upon his Requisition to break the same open, and any Goods that may be found concealed and that shall not be duly accounted for to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs shall be confiscated; and any Master or Person in charge of a Vessel who shall resist such Officer, or refuse to allow the Vessel to be searched when so ordered by the Collector of Customs, shall be liable, upon Conviction, for every such Offence to a Fine of 1,000 Rupees, to be adjudged by any Magistrate or Justice of the Peace of the Place.

XI. And it is hereby enacted, That no Goods shall be allowed to leave any Vessel or to be put on board thereof until Entry of the Vessel shall have been duly made in the Custom House of the Port, and Order shall have been given for Discharge of the Cargo thereof, as above provided; and it shall be the Duty of the Custom House Officer on board, and of all Officers of Customs, to seize as contraband any Goods which shall have been removed or put on board of any Vessel in contravention of the above Provision, or which any Attempt shall have been made to remove from, or to put on board of, any Vessel in contravention of the above Provision; and after Entry of the Vessel at the Custom House in due Form such Part of the Cargo as may not be intended and declared for Re-exportation in the same Vessel shall be sent to Land, and Export Cargo shall be laden on board thereof according to the Rules and Practice now in force; and if an Attempt be made to land or put on board Goods or Merchandize in contravention thereof, the Goods or Merchandize shall be liable to Seizure and Confiscation.

XII. Provided however, and it is hereby enacted, That no Goods shall be allowed to leave any Vessel under the said Rules unless the same be duly manifested; and any Goods found on board in excess of the Manifest, or not corresponding with the Specification and Description therein contained, shall be seized by the Custom House Officer on board, in order that they may be dealt with as prescribed in Section VI. of this Act; and if Goods entered in the Manifest shall not be found on board the Vessel, or if the Quantity found be short and the Deficiency be not duly accounted for, or if Goods sent out of the Vessel be not landed at the Custom House, or at such other Ghaut or Place as the Collector of Customs shall have prescribed or permitted, there to be passed in due Form, the Master or Commander shall be liable to a Penalty not exceeding 500 Rupees for every missing or deficient Package of unknown Value, and for twice the Amount of Duty chargeable on the Goods deficient and unaccounted for, if capable of being assessed therewith: Provided however, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to prevent the Collector of Customs from permitting the Master or Commander of any Vessel to amend obvious Errors or to supply Omissions from Accident or Inadvertence by furnishing an amended or supplemental Manifest, but the receiving of such shall always be discretionary.

XIIIe And it is hereby enacted, That any Custom House Officer whatsoever, who shall demand or accept any Gratuity not authorized by any existing Regulation or Order of Government in consideration of doing, or of omitting to do, any Act in his official Capacity, shall forfeit for every such Offence the Sum of Five hundred Rupees, and any Person who shall offer a Bribe to any Custom House Officer in order to induce such Officer to act in a Manner inconsistent with his Duty, shall forfeit a like Sum; and these Penalties shall be adjudged on Conviction before any Magistrate or Justice of the Peace of the Town, District, or Place where the Custom House may be established by such Magistrate, and in default of Payment, any Person so convicted shall be committed to the Civil Gaol of the City or District until the Fine be paid, or for a Period not exceeding Six Months.

XIV. And it is hereby enacted, That when Goods shall be seized as contraband and liable to Confiscation the Collector of Customs shall investigate the Case, and, according to his Judgment, shall either release the Goods or adjudge them to Confiscation, and whenever he shall declare Goods to be confiscated he shall report his Proceedings for Confirmation and final Adjudication by the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium; provided however, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to prevent the Governor of Bengal from ordering the Release of Goods seized, or from remitting any Penalty whatsoever that may be incurred for Contravention of the Customs Laws.

XV. And it is hereby further enacted, That Twenty Days, exclusive of Sundays and Holidays, shall be allowed for the Discharge of the Import Cargo of Vessels, not exceeding Six hundred Tons Burden, and Thirty Days, exclusive of Sundays and Holidays, for the Discharge of the Import Cargo of Vessels exceeding that Burden, and the said Periods shall be calculated from the Day of the Tidewaiter, or other Custom House Officer first going on board; and if the whole Cargo be not discharged by the Expiration of the above-stated Periods respectively, the Master or Commander shall be charged with the Tidewaiter's or other Officer's Wages and other Expenses for any further Period that he or they may be detained on board; and if the Owners, Importers, or Consignees do not bring their Goods to Land within the Periods above fixed, it shall be the Duty of the Master or Commander so to do; and if any Goods remain on board after the Time fixed as above for the Discharge of the Import Cargo, the Collector may order the same to be landed and warehoused for the Security of the Duties chargeable, and of any Freight and Primage and other Demands that may be due thereon, giving his Receipt to the Master for the same: Provided always, that

in

in all Cases it shall be lawful for the Collector, or other Officer in charge of the Custom and may land Pack-House, with the Consent of the Master of the Vessel, to cause any Packages to be brought ages before 20 Days with Consent of the Duties with Consent of on shore and to be deposited in the Government Warehouse for the Security of the Duties Master. and Charges thereon, although Twenty Days may not have expired from the Entry of such Vessel; and in case Goods so landed and warehoused, or any Goods brought to Land from any Vessel, be not claimed and cleared from the Custom House within Three Months from the Date of Landing, it shall be competent to the Collector to sell the same on account of the Duties, Freight, and other Charges incurred and due thereon.

XVI. And it is hereby enacted, That a further Period of Fifteen Days, Sundays and Further Period of 15 Holidays excluded, shall be allowed for putting on board Export Cargo if the Vessel shall or 20 Days for continuous Lading for not exceed 600 Tons Burden, and Twenty Days if exceeding that Burden when the Export. Lading and Unlading thereof shall be continuous, and the Master or Commander shall in such Case not be charged with the Wages and Expenses of the Custom House Officer on board until after the Expiration of such additional Periods respectively; and if a Vessel of the Vessel be laid up, Tidewaiter to having discharged its Import Cargo shall be laid up, the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, certification of the Custom House Officer on board search and leave, ce shall be withdrawn so soon as he shall certify that no Goods remain on board excepting fying that it is empty. necessary Stores and Articles for Use; and when a Vessel so laid up shall be entered at the coording to Days, accounted the Custom House for Receipt of Export Cargo, a Custom House Officer shall be sent on board, coording to Ioniage, allowed for Ioniage, and if the said last-mentioned Officer shall certify that no Goods are on board saving as above excepted, Twenty Days, exclusive of Sundays and Holidays as above, shall be allowed from being laid up, but the Date of such Certificate for the Lading outwards of a Vessel not exceeding 600 Tons, and Thirty Days for Vessels exceeding that Burden, after which Periods respectively the Master and Commander shall be charged with the Wages and Expenses of the Custom House Officer on board to the Date of the Vessel's sailing from the Port.

cording to Tonnage, allowed for lading a Vessel outwards after Search and Certificate that nothing is on board necessary.

VII. And it is hereby enacted, That if any Person in charge of a Vessel shall have When Penalty has become liable to any Penalty, Fine, or Demand on account of any Act or Omission relating to Customs, the Collector of Customs shall be competent, subject to the Orders of the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium, to refuse Port Clearance to such Vessel until the Fine shall be discharged.

een incurred by a Master, the Collector may refuse Port Clearance of the Vessel till it be paid.

XVIII. And it is hereby enacted, That upon any Goods passed through the Custom Goods shipped after House for Shipment, the Application for which shall be presented after Port Clearance to pay double the presented Duty shall be presented and if the could be presented to the presented Duty and the presented Duty are presented Duty and the prese shall have been taken out, double the prescribed Duty shall in all Cases be levied, and if the 5 per Cent. if free. Goods be free Five per Cent upon the Market Value shall be levied thereon.

XIX. And it is hereby enacted, That when a Vessel having cleared out from any Port In case of Relanding shall put back from Stress of Weather, or it shall for any Damage or from other Cause be for Damage, &c., Offinecessary that the Cargo of the Vessel that has cleared out shall be unshipped or relanded, board to watch; a Custom House Officer shall be sent to watch the Vessel and take charge of the Cargo during such Relanding or Removal from on board; and the Goods on board such Vessel shall not be allowed to be transhipped or re-exported free of Duty by reason of the previous Settlement of Duty at the Time of first Export, unless the Goods shall be lodged in such Place as shall be allowed by the Collector of Customs, and shall remain while on Land or while on board of any other Vessels under special Charge of the Officers of Customs, until the Officers. Time of Re-export, and all Charges attending such Custody shall be borne by the Exporter or by the Applicant for this Advantage: Provided however, that in all Cases of Return to Proviso for Re-im-Port after Port Clearance, on account of Damage or for Stress of Weather, it shall be lawful portation when Duties for the Owner, or for the Master and Commander to re-enter the Vessel and land the Cargo under the Rules for the Importation of Goods, and the Export Duty shall in that Case be refunded and the Amount paid in Drawback be reclaimed, and the Goods be placed in all respects as before being passed for Exportation; and if Goods on account of which Drawback has been paid be not found on board the Vessel, the Master shall forfeit the entire Value of Draw-back Goods not forththereof, unless he account for them to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs.

and Cargo not to be exempt from Duty on Re-export unless all the while in charge of Custom House

and Drawbacks are to be refunded;

XX. And it is hereby enacted, That when Goods shall be relanded before the Lading of any Vessel is complete, and Port Clearance has been granted, the Duty levied upon such Clearance. Goods shall be returned to the Exporter, but no Refund shall be made of Duty paid on the Export of any Goods after Port Clearance shall have been granted for the Vessel on which the Goods were exported, except the Vessel shall have put back for Stress of Weather or for Damage, and the Goods shall have been relanded under the Rule contained in Section XIX. of this Act.

and Master to forfeit coming.

No refund of Export Duty after Port

XXI. And it is hereby further enacted, That Vessels owned by Natives of Arabia and Arabs and other Foreign Asiatic Vessels to be deemed Foreign.

Arabs and other Foreign Asiatic Vessels to be deemed Foreign.

Subject to the Dominion of the King of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, excepting Dhoonies and small Craft from the Maldive and Nicobar Islands as herein-under provided, shall be deemed Foreign Vessels.

XII. And it is hereby enacted, That Dhoonies, Country Craft, and other small Vessels Dhoonies, &c. to be not brought into the Port of Calcutta by Pilots, shall be required to anchor and moor in a particular Part of the River Hooghly as shall be marked out by the Collector of Customs, with the River. the Sanction of the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium; and if any such Vessel shall Penalty for not moving anchor in any other Part of the River than as so marked out, and the Master or Person in said Ghat, when recharge thereof shall not immediately, upon being ordered so to do, move his Vessel to quired, 100 Rupees.

(43.—App.)

Y 2

Dhoonies, &c. from Maldives and Nico-bars to be British Vessels.

Vessel, its Equipment, or Cargo may be seized.

The Place marked out, he shall be liable to a Fine of 100 Rupees, to be adjudged by the Collector of Customs, and the Vessel, or any Part of its Equipment or Cargo, may be seized and sold in satisfaction of such Fine; and Goods shall be landed from such Vessels and put on board for Export according to such Rules and at such Places as shall be prescribed by the Collector of Customs; and Vessels of this Description coming from the Maldives or from the Nicobar Islands shall be considered as British Vessels.

SCHEDULE (A.)

RATES of DUTY to be charged on Goods imported by SEA into any Port of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal.

No.	Enúmeration of Goods.	When imported on British Bottoms.	When imported on Foreign Bottoms.
1	Bullion and Coin - Precious Stones and Pearls -	Free Ditto	Free. Ditto.
2	Grain and Pulse	Ditto	Ditto.
3 4	Horses and other living Ani-	Ditto	Ditto.
_	mals.		
5	Ice Challe Challe and	Ditto	Ditto.
6	Coal, Coke, Bricks, Chalk, and Stones.	Ditto	Ditto.
7	Books printed in the United Kingdom or in any British Possession	Ditto	3 per Cent.
8	Foreign Books	3 per Cent	6 per Cent.
9	Marine Stores, the Produce or Manufacture of the United Kingdom, or of any British . Possession.	3 per Cent	6 per Cent.
. 10	Do. do. the Produce or Ma- nufacture of any other Place or Country.	6 per Cent	12 per Cent.
11 .	Metals, wrought or unwrought, the Produce or Manufacture of the United Kingdom, or any British Possession.	3 per Cent-	6 per Cent.
12	Metals, do. do., excepting Tin, the Produce or Manu- facture of any other Place.	6 per Cent	12 per Cent.
13	Tin, the Produce of any other Place than the United Kingdom, or any British Possession.	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
14	Woollens, the Produce or Ma- nufacture of the United Kingdom, or any British Possession.	2 per Cent	4 per Cent.
15	Do., the Produce of any other Place or Country.	4 per Cent	8 per Cent.
16	Cotton and Silk Piece Goods.	3½ per Cent	7 per Cent.
	Cotton Twist and Yarn, the Produce of the United King- dom or of any British Pos- session.		
17	Do., the Produce of any other Place.	7 per Cent	14 per Cent.
.18	Opium	24 Rs. per Seer of 80 Tolas.	Tolas.
19	Salt	Rs. 3-4 per Md. of 80 Tolas per Seer.	Rs. 3-4 per Md. of 80 Tolas per Seer.
20	Alum	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
21	Camphor	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
22	Cassia	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
23	Cloves	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
24	Coffee	7½ per Cent	15 per Cent.
25	Coral	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
26	Nutmegs and Mace	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
27	Pepper	10 per Cent.	20 per Cent.
28	Rattans	$7\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent	15 per Cent.
29 30	Tea	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
	Vermillion	10 per Cent.	20 per Cent.
31	Wines and Liqueurs	10 per Cent	20 per Cent-

Appendix, No. 7.

No.	Enumeration of Goods.	When imported on British Bottoms.	When imported on Foreign Bottoms,
32	Spirits, Consolidated Duty, including that levied heretofore through the Police of Calcutta. And the Duty on Spirits shall be rateably increased as the Strength exceeds of London Proof; and when imported in Bottles Five Quart Bottles shall be deemed equal to the Imperial	9 As. per Imperial Gallon	16 As. per Imperial Gallon.
33	Gallon. All Articles not included in the above Enumeration.	3½ per Cent	7 per Cent.

And when the Duty is declared to be ad valorem, it shall be levied on the Market Value, without Deduction; and if the Collector of Customs shall see Reason to doubt whether the Goods come from the Country from which they are declared to come by the Importer, it shall be lawful for the Collector of Customs to call on the Importer to furnish Evidence as to the Place of Manufacture or Production; and if such Evidence shall not satisfy the said Collector of the Truth of the Declaration, the Goods shall be charged with the highest Rate of Duty, subject always to an Appeal to the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium.

And upon the Re-export by Sea of Goods imported, excepting Opium and Salt, provided the Re-export be made within Two Years of the Date of Import as per Custom House Register, and the Goods be identified to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs, there shall be retained One Eighth of the Amount of Duty levied, and the Remainder shall be repaid as Drawback; and if Goods be re-exported in the same Ship without being landed (always excepting Opium and Salt, in regard to which the special Rules in force shall continue to apply) there shall be no Import Duty levied thereon.

SCHEDULE (B.)

RATES of DUTY to be charged upon Goods exported by Sea from any Port or Place in the Presidency of FORT WILLIAM in BENGAL.

No.	Enumeration of Goods,	When exported on British Bottoms.	When exported on Foreign Bottoms.		
1	Bullion and Coin	Free	Free.		
2	Precious Stones and Pearls -	Ditto	Ditto.		
2 3	Books printed in India -	Ditto	Ditto.		
4	Horses and living Animals -	Ditto	Ditto.		
5	Opium purchased at Govern- ment Sales in Calcutta.	Ditto	Ditto.		
6	Cotton Wool exported to Europe, the United States of America, or any British Possession in America.	Ditto	8 As. p. Md. of 80 Tolas to the Seer.		
7	Ditto, ditto, exported to Places other than above.	As. 8 p. Md. of 80 Tolas p. Seer.	As. 16 p. Md. of 80 Tolas to the Seer.		
8	Sugar and Rum exported to the United Kingdom, or to any British Possession.	Free	3 per Cent.		
9	Ditto exported to any other Place.	3 per Cent	6 per Cent.		
10	Grain and Pulse of all Sorts -	1 Anna per Bag not exceeding 2 Mds. of 80 Tolas to the Seer, or if exported otherwise than in Bags, ½ an Anna per Md.	2 As. p. Bag not exceeding 2 Mds. of 80 Tolas to the Seer, or if exported otherwise than in Bags, 1 Anna per Maund.		
11	Indigo	Rs. 3 p. Md. of 80 Tolas to the Seer.	Rs. 6 p. Md. of 80 Tolas to		
12	Lac Dye and Shell Lac -	4 per Cent	8 per Cent.		
. 13	Silk, Raw Filature	$3\frac{1}{3}$ As. p. Seer of 80 Tolas	7 As. p. Seer of 80 Tolas.		
14	Silk, Bengal Wound -	3 As. p. Seer of 80 Tolas	6 As. p. Seer of 80 Tolas.		
15	Tobacco	4 As. p. Maund	8 As. p. Maund.		
16	All Country Articles not enumerated or named above.	3 per Ĉent	6 per Cent.		

And when the Duty is declared to be ad valorem, the same shall be levied on the Market Value of the Article at the Place of Export, without Deduction.

(43.—App.)

And

Appendix, No. 7.

And in settling for the Duties on Exports by Sea, Credit shall be given for Payment of Inland Customs Duty, and Drawback shall be allowed of any Excess of Duty paid upon Production of Ruwanas, under the following Conditions, until the 1st April 1837:

anas, under the following Condition and Destination to the Port of Export proved in First.—That the Goods shall be identified, and Destination to the Port of Export proved in

the usual Manner.

Second.—That the Ruwanas shall bear Date before the 1st April 1836, and the Goods shall not have been protected thereby, or by the Original thereof, more than Two Years.

And after the said 1st April 1837 Credit shall not be given, nor shall Drawback be allowed, of any Inland Customs or Land Frontier Duty paid at any Custom House or Chokee of the Jumna Frontier Line, or of Benares, except only upon the Article of Cotton Wool covered by Ruwanas taken out at the Custom Houses of the Western Provinces, and proved to have been destined for Europe the Sec when passed out of those Provinces. Export by Sea when passed out of those Provinces.

No. 8.

Acr passed by the Honourable the PRESIDENT of the Council of India in Appendix, No. 8. Council, 3d January 1838, respecting Imports and Exports in the PRESIDENCY of BOMBAY.

Act No. I. of 1838.

I. It is hereby enacted, That, from the 1st February 1838, all Regulations of the Bombay Code passed before the Year 1827, and now in force, with the Exception of Sections I., II. and III., Regulation VI. 1799, and of Clause 2, Section VII., Regulation IX. 1800, and of Sections XIV., XVII., XX., XXI., and XXV., Regulation I. of 1805, and of the whole of Regulations II. 1810 and VI. 1814, shall be repealed.

II. And it is hereby enacted, That Chapters I., II., IV., VI., VIII. and IX. of Regulation XX., 1827 of the said Code, together with the Appendices A., B., C., E., H., I., J., K., of that Regulation, also Regulation II. 1831, Regulation XIII. 1831, Regulation I. 1832, Regulation IV. 1833, and Regulation IV. 1834 of the said Code; and all such Parts of any Regulations of the said Code as prescribe the Levy of Transit or Inland Customs Duties, or as require the Payment of any Fee whatever on account of any Vessel which may enter any Port in the Territories subject to the Bombay Presidency, and likewise the Provisions of any Kind contained in those or in any other Regulations, for fixing the Amount of Duty to be levied on Goods imported or exported by Sea at any Place within the Limits of the Bombay Presidency, or the Drawback payable on the same, shall be repealed; and it is further enacted, that Act No. II. of 1836 shall likewise be repealed, except in so far as it repeals any Regulation of the Bombay Code.

III. Provided always, That nothing contained in the Two preceding Sections of this Act shall be construed to prevent the Levy of any Town Duty, or of any Municipal Tax, or of any Toll on any Bridge, Road, Canal, or Causeway, for Repair and Maintenance of the same; or of any Fee for the Erection and Maintenance of Lighthouses.

IV. And it is hereby enacted, That Duties of Customs shall be levied on Goods imported by Sea into any Place in the Territories subordinate to the Government of the Presidency of Bombay, after the said 1st February next, according to the Rates specified in Schedule (A.) annexed to this Act, and with the Exceptions specified therein, and the said Schedule, with the Notes attached thereto, shall be taken to be a Part of this Act.

V. And it is hereby further enacted, That Duties of Customs shall be levied upon Country Goods exported by Sea from any Ports of the Bombay Presidency after the said 1st February next, according to the Rates specified in Schedule (B.) annexed to this Act, with the Exceptions therein specified, and the said Schedule, with the Notes attached thereto, shall also be taken to be a Part of this Act.

VI. Provided however, That the Ships of any European Nation having Firman Privileges in the Port of Surat shall not be subject to further Duties of Import or Export than may be prescribed by their Firmans respectively; any thing in the Schedules or in this Act notwithstanding.

VII. And it is hereby enacted, That Duties of Customs shall be levied on Goods passing by Land into or out of Foreign European Settlements situated on the Line of Coast within the Limits of the Presidency of Bombay; at the Rates prescribed in the Schedules of this Act for Goods, imported or exported on Foreign Bottoms at any British Port in that

VIII. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay to declare, by Notice to be published in the Gazette of that Presidency, that the Territory of any Native Chief, not subject to the Jurisdiction of the Courts and Civil Authorities of that Presidency, shall be deemed to be Foreign Territory, and to declare Goods passing into or out of such Territory liable either to the Duty fixed for British or for Foreign Bottoms, as the said Governor in Council may think fit.

IX. And

Appendix, No. 8.

- IX. And it is hereby enacted, That for the Levy of Duties of Customs as above provided on Goods exported by Land to, or imported by Land from, such Foreign Territories, Customs Chokees may be established at such Places as may be determined by the said Governor in Council, and every Officer at every such Chokee shall have Power to detain Goods passing into or out of any such Foreign Territory, and to examine and ascertain the Quantities and Kinds thereof; and such Goods shall not be allowed to pass across the Frontier Line out of or into the Territory of the East India Company until the Owner or Person in charge thereof shall produce and deliver a Certificate showing that the Customs Duty leviable thereupon has been paid in full.
- X. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the said Governor in Council to appoint such Officers as he may think fit to receive Money on account of Customs Duties and grant Certificates of the Payment thereof, and that such a Certificate being delivered to any Chokee Officer shall entitle Goods to cross the Frontier into or out of the East India Company's Territories, provided that the Goods correspond in Description with the Specification thereof contained in such Certificate, and that the Certificate show the entire Amount of Duty leviable on those Goods to have been duly paid; and if upon Examination the Goods brought to any Chokee be found not to correspond with the Specification entered in the Certificate presented with the same, the Difference shall be noted on the Face of the Certificate, and if the Payment of Duty certified therein shall not cover the entire Amount of Duty leviable on the Goods as ascertained at such Examination, the Goods shall be detained until a further Certificate for the Difference shall be produced.
- XI. And it is hereby enacted, That the said Governor in Council shall give public Notice in the Official Gazette of the Presidency of Bombay of the Appointment of every Officer appointed to receive Customs Duties on Goods crossing the Land Frontier of the said Foreign Territories, and the Officers so appointed shall, on Receipt of Money tendered as Customs Duty, be bound to give to any Merchant or other Person applying for the same a Certificate of Payment, and to enter therein the Specification of Goods with the Values and Description thereof according to the Statement furnished by the Person so applying, provided only that the proper Duty leviable thereupon, according to the Descriptions and Values stated, be covered by the Payment made.
- XII. And it is hereby enacted, That no Certificate shall be received at any Chokee that shall bear Date more than Thirty Days before the Date when the Goods arrive at the Chokee; provided however, that any Person who has taken out a Certificate from any authorized Receiver of Customs Duties shall at any Time within the said Period of Thirty Days, on satisfying such Receiver that such Certificate has not been used, and on delivering up the original, be entitled to receive a renewed Certificate with a fresh Date, without further Payment of Duty.
- XIII. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the said Governor in Council to prescribe by public Notice in the official Gazette of the Presidency of Bombay by what Routes Goods shall be allowed to pass into or out of any such Foreign Territory as is described in Sections VII. and VIII. of this Act; and after such Notice shall be given, Goods which may be brought to any Chokee established on other Routes or Passes than those so prescribed shall, if provided with a Certificate, be sent back, and if not provided with a Certificate, shall be detained, and shall be liable to Confiscation by the Collector of Customs, unless the Person in charge thereof shall be able to satisfy the said Collector that his carrying them by that Route was from Ignorance or Accident.
- XIV. And it is hereby enacted, That Goods which may be passed, or which an Attempt may be made to pass across any Frontier guarded by Chokees between Sunset and Sunrise, or in a clandestine Manner, shall be seized and confiscated.
- XV. And it is hereby enacted, That any Chokee Officer who shall permit Goods to pass across the Frontier when not covered by a sufficient Certificate, or who shall permit Goods to pass by any prohibited Route, shall be liable, on Conviction before the Collector of Customs, to Imprisonment for a Term not exceeding Six Months, and to a Fine not exceeding 500 Rupees, commutable, if not paid, to Imprisonment for a further Period of Six Months.
- XVI. And it is hereby enacted, That, if any Chokee Officer shall needlessly and vexatiously injure Goods under the Pretence of Examination, or in the course of his Examination, or who shall wrongfully detain Goods for which there shall be produced a sufficient Certificate, such Officer shall, on Conviction before the Collector of Customs, or before any Magistrate or joint Magistrate, be liable to Imprisonment for a Term not exceeding Six Months, and to Fine not exceeding 500 Rupees, commutable, if not paid, to Imprisonment for a further Period of Six Months.
- XVII. And it is hereby enacted, That all Goods imported by Sea into any Port of the Presidency of Bombay from any Foreign European Settlement in India, or from any Native State, the Inland Trade of which has been declared by the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay, under Section VIII. of this Regulation, to be subject to the Duties levied on Foreign Bottoms, shall be liable to the same Duties as are imposed by Schedule (A.) on Imports on Foreign Bottoms.

 (43.APP—

 XVIII. And

Appendix, No, 8.

- XVIII. And it is hereby enacted, That no Goods whatsoever entered in either of the Schedules of this Act as liable to Duty shall be exempted from the Payment of such Duty, or of any Part thereof, except under special Order from the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay: Provided always, that it shall and may be lawful for the Collector of Customs, or other Officer in charge of a Custom House, to pass free of Duty any Baggage in actual Use at his Discretion; and if any Person shall apply to have Goods passed as such Baggage, the Collector, acting under the Orders of the Government, shall determine whether they be Baggage in actual Use, or Goods subject to Duty under the Provisions of this Act.
- XIX. Provided always, That when Goods are imported at any Port of the Bombay Presidency from any other Port in that Presidency under Certificate that the Export Duty specified in Schedule (B.) has been duly paid thereon, or that there has been a Re-export, and that the Import Duty specified in Schedule (A.) has been duly paid, the said Goods shall be admitted to free Entry.
- XX. Provided also, That when Duties of Customs shall have been paid on any Goods at any Port in any Part of the Territories of the East India Company not subject to the Presidency of Bombay, and when such Goods shall subsequently be imported at any Port of the Presidency of Bombay, Credit shall be given at such last-mentioned Port for the Sum that may be proved by the Production of due Certificates to have been so paid.
- XXI. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay from Time to Time, by Notice in the official Gazette of that Presidency, to fix a Value for any Article or Number of Articles liable to ad valorem Duty; and the Value so fixed for such Articles shall, till altered by a similar Notice, be taken to be the Value of such Articles for the Purpose of levying Duty on the same.
- XXII. And it is hereby enacted, That when Goods liable to Duty for which a Value has not been fixed by such a Notice as is above directed, or for which a fixed Duty has not been declared by the Schedules annexed to this Act, are brought to any Custom House in the Presidency of Bombay for the Purpose of being passed for Importation or Exportation, the Duty leviable on such Goods shall be levied ad valorem; that is to say, according to the Market Value of such Goods at the Place and Time of Importation or Exportation, as the Case may be.
- XXIII. And it is hereby enacted, That the Market Value for Assessment of Duties on ad valorem Goods shall be declared by the Owner, Consignee, or Exporter, or by the Agent or Factor for any of these respectively, upon the Face of the Application to be given in by him in Writing for the passing of the Goods through the Custom House; and the Value so declared shall include the Packages or Materials in which the Goods are contained; and the Application shall truly set forth the Name of the Ship in which the Goods have been imported or are to be exported, the Name of the Master of the said Ship, the Colours under which the said Ship sails, the Number, Description, Marks, and Contents of the Packages, and the Country in which the Goods were produced.
- XXIV. And it is hereby enacted, That every such Declaration, when duly signed, shall be submitted to the Officer of Customs appointed to appraise Goods at the Custom House, and if it shall appear to him that the same is correct he shall countersign it as admitted; but if any Part or the Whole of the Goods shall seem to him to be undervalued in such Declaration, he shall report the same to the Collector of Customs, who shall have Power to take the Goods, or any Part thereof, as purchased for the Government at the Price so declared; and whenever the Collector of Customs shall so take Goods for the Government, Payment thereof shall be made to the Consignee or Importer, if the Goods be imported Goods, within Fifteen Days from the Date of the Declaration, the Amount of Import Duty leviable thereon being first deducted; and if the Goods be intended for Exportation, the entire Value as declared shall be paid without Deduction on account of Customs Duty.
- XXV. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay to declare by public Notice in the official Gazette of that Presidency what Places within the same shall be Ports for the Landing and Shipment of Merchandize, and any Goods that may be landed, or which an Attempt may be made to land, at any other Port than such as shall be so declared, shall be seized and conficated
- XXVI. And it is hereby enacted, That when any Vessel shall arrive in any Port of the Presidency of Bombay the Master shall deliver a true Manifest of the Cargo on board, made out according to the Form annexed to this Act and marked C., to the first Person duly empowered to receive such Manifest that may come on board; and if no such Person shall have come on board before the Anchor of the said Vessel is dropped, then the Manifest shall be forwarded to Land on board of the first Boat that leaves the Vessel after dropping Anchor, and if the Port be up a River or at a Distance from the Land first made, then it shall be lawful for the said Governor in Council, by an Order published in the official Gazette of the Presidency, to fix a Place in any such River or Port, beyond which Place it shall not be lawful for any Inward-bound Vessel, except such Country Craft as

are described in Sections LI. and LII. of this Act to pass until the Master shall have forwarded in such Manner as may be ordered by the said Governor in Council such a Manifest as is required by this Act.

Appendix, No. 8

XXVII. And it is hereby enacted, That if the Manifest so delivered by the Master shall not contain a full and true Specification of all the Goods imported in the Vessel, the said Master shall be liable to a Fine of 1,000 Rupees; and any Goods or Packages that may be found on board in excess of the Manifest so delivered, or differing in Quality or Kind, or in Marks and Numbers, from the Specification contained therein, shall be liable to be seized by any Customs Officer and confiscated, or to be charged with such increased Duties as may be determined by the Collector of Customs under the Orders of Government.

XXVIII. And it is hereby enacted, That if any Inward-bound Vessel shall remain outside or below the Place that may be fixed by the said Governor in Council for the first Delivery of Manifests, the Master shall deliver a Manifest as herein-before prescribed to the first Person duly empowered to receive such Manifest that may come on board; and if any Vessel entering a Port for which there is a Custom House established shall lie at anchor therein for the Space of Twenty-four Hours, the Master whereof shall refuse to deliver the said Manifest in the Manner above prescribed, he shall for such Refusal be liable to a Fine not exceeding 1,000 Rupees; and no Entry or Port Clearance shall be given for such Vessel until the Fine is paid.

XXIX. And it is hereby enacted, That no Vessel shall be allowed to break Bulk until a Manifest as required by this Act, and another Copy thereof to be presented at the Time of applying for Entry Inwards, if so required by the Collector of Customs, shall have been received by the said Collector, nor until Order shall have been given by the said Collector for the Discharge of the Cargo; and that the said Collector may further refuse to give such Order if he shall see fit until any Port Clearances, Cockets, or other Papers known to be granted at the Places from which the Vessel is stated to have come, shall likewise be delivered to him.

XXX. And it is hereby enacted, That no Goods shall be allowed to leave any Vessel or to be put on board thereof until Entry of the Vessel shall have been duly made in the Custom House of the Port, and until Order shall have been given for Discharge of the Cargo thereof, as above provided; and it shall be the Duty of every Customs Officer to seize as contraband any Goods which have been removed or put on board of any Vessel in contravention of the above Provision, or which an Attempt shall have been made to remove from or to put on board of any Vessel in contravention of the above Provision; and after Entry of the Vessel at the Custom House in due Form, such Part of the Cargo as may not be declared for Re-exportation in the same Vessel shall be sent to Land, and Export Cargo shall be laden on board according to the Forms and Rules that may be prescribed for the Port by this Act or by Order of the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay, and if an Attempt be made to land or put on board Goods or Merchandize in contravention of the Forms and Rules so prescribed, the Goods shall be liable to Seizure and Confiscation.

XXXI. And it is hereby enacted, That if Goods entered in the Manifest of a Vessel shall not be found on board that Vessel, or if the Quantity found be short, and the Deficiency be not duly accounted for, or if Goods sent out of the Vessel be not landed at the Custom House or at such other Place as the Collector of Customs shall have prescribed, the Master shall be liable to a Penalty not exceeding Five hundred Rupees for every missing or deficient Package of unknown Value, and for twice the Amount of Duty chargeable on the Goods deficient and unaccounted for if the Duty can be ascertained; provided however, that nothing herein contained shall be construed to prevent the Collector of Customs from permitting at his Discretion the Master of any Vessel to amend obvious Errors, or to supply Omissions from Accident or Inadvertence by furnishing an amended or supplemental Manifest.

XXXII. And it is hereby enacted, That there shall in every Port of the Bombay Presidency be One or more Places appointed for the landing and Shipment of Goods, and Goods shall not be landed at any other Place without the special Order in Writing of the Collector of Customs for the Port; and if any Goods be landed, or an Attempt be made to land any Goods at any other than the said authorized Places, without such Order, they shall be seized and confiscated.

XXXIII. And it is hereby enacted, That if the Governor in Council shall see fit, for the Security of Customs at any Port, to maintain special Establishments of Boats for the landing and shipping of Merchandize, or to license and register the Cargo Boats plying in any Ports, then, after due Notification thereof, it shall not be lawful for any Person to convey Goods to or from any Vessel in such Port otherwise than in the Boats so authorized and prescribed, except under special Permit from the Collector of Customs at the Port; and any Goods that may be found on board of other Boats than those so authorized for the Port shall be liable to be seized by any Officer of Customs, and shall be liable to Confiscation.

XXXIV. And it is hereby enacted, That when the Governor in Council of the Presidency of Bombay shall see fit to maintain at any Port an Establishment of Officers to be (43.—App.)

Appendix, No. 8.

sent on board of Vessels to watch their unlading and lading, then, after due Notification shall have been given that such Establishment is so maintained at any Port, the Collector of Customs at that Port shall have Power, at his Discretion, to send One or more Officers of such Establishment, to remain on board of any Vessel in such Port by Night and by Day, until the Vessel shall leave the Port or it shall be otherwise ordered by the Collector.

XXXV. And it is hereby enacted, That any Master of such Vessel at such Port who shall refuse to receive such Officer with One Servant on board, when such Officer shall be so deputed as above provided, or shall not afford such Officer and such Servant suitable Shelter and sleeping Accommodation while on board, and likewise furnish them with a due Allowance of fresh Water if necessary, and with the Means of cooking on board, shall be liable to Fine not exceeding the Sum of One hundred Rupees for each Day during which such Officer and Servant shall not be received and provided with suitable Shelter and Accommodation.

XXXVI. And it is hereby enacted, That whenever a Collector of Customs shall see Cause to direct that any Vessel shall be searched, he shall issue his Warrant or written Order for such Search, addressed to any Officer under his Authority, and upon Production of such Order the Officer bearing it shall be competent to require any Cabins, Lockers, or Bulk-heads to be opened in his Presence, and if they be not opened upon his Requisition, to break the same open, and any Goods that may be found concealed, and that shall not be duly accounted for to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs, shall be liable to Confiscation and any Master or Person in charge of a Vessel who shall resist such Officer, or refuse to allow the Vessel to be searched when so ordered by the Collector of Customs, shall be liable upon Conviction for every such Offence to a Fine of One thousand Rupees.

XXXVII. And it is hereby enacted, That every Master of a Vessel who shall remove from such Vessel, or put on board thereof, any Goods, or cause or suffer any Goods to be removed from thence, or put on board thereof, between Sunset and Sunrise, or on any Day when the Custom House is closed for Business, without Leave in Writing obtained from the Collector of Customs, shall be punished with a Fine not exceeding Five hundred Rupees.

XXXVIII. And it is hereby enacted, That no Cargo Boat laden with Goods intended for Exportation by Sea shall make fast to, or lie alongside of, any Vessel on board of which there shall be a Customs Officer stationed, unless there shall be on board the Boat, or have been received by the said Customs Officer, a Custom House Permit or Order for the Shipment of the Goods, and the Goods on board of any Boat that may so be alongside or be made fast to a Vessel, if such Goods be not covered by a Custom House Pass accompanying them or previously received by the Customs Officer on board the said Vessel, shall be liable to Confiscation.

XXXIX. And it is hereby enacted, That when Goods shall be sent from on board of any Vessel having a Customs Officer on board for the Purpose of being landed and passed for Importation, there shall be sent with each Boat-load or other separate Despatch a Boat Note, specifying the Number of Packages, and the Marks and Numbers or other Description thereof, and such Boat Note shall be signed by an Officer of the Vessel, and likewise by the Customs Officer on board; and if any imported Goods be found in a Boat proceeding to land from such a Vessel without a Boat Note, or if, being accompanied by a Boat Note, they be found out of the proper Track between the Ship and the proper Place of landing, the Boat containing such Goods may be detained by any Officer of Customs duly authorized by the Collector, and unless the Cause of Deviation be explained to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs the Goods shall be liable to Confiscation.

XL. And it is hereby enacted, That when Goods shall be brought to be passed through the Custom House, either for Importation or Exportation by Sea, if the Packages in which the same may be contained shall be found not to correspond with the Description of them given in the Application for passing them through the Custom House; for if the Contents thereof be found not to have been correctly described in regard to Sort, Quality, or Quantity; or if any Goods not stated in the Application be found concealed in or mixed up with the specified Articles, all such Packages, with the whole of the Goods contained therein, shall be liable to Confiscation.

XLI. And it is hereby enacted, That if any Person after Goods have been landed, and before they have been passed through the Custom House, removes or attempts to remove them with the Intention of defrauding the Revenue, the Goods shall be liable to Confiscation, unless it shall be proved to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs that the Removal was not sanctioned by the Owner or by any Person having an Interest in or Power over the Goods.

XLII. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Collector of Customs, whenever he shall see fit, to require that Goods brought by Sea and stowed in Bulk shall be weighed or measured on board Ship before being sent to Land, and to levy Duty according to the Result of such Weighing or Measurement.

XLIII. And it is hereby enacted, That on Application by the Exporter of any Salt that has paid the Excise Duty fixed by Act No. XXVII. of 1837, a Certificate shall be granted by the Collector of Customs at the Place of Export, under Authority of which Certificate the Quantity of Salt specified therein shall be landed at any other Port of the said Presidency of Bombay, and shall be passed from such Port into the Interior, without the Levy of any further Duty either of Excise or of Customs.

Appendix, No. 8.

XLIV. And it is hereby enacted, That when a Customs Officer shall be sent on board of any Vessel to superintend the Delivery of Cargo, Twenty Days, exclusive of Sundays and Holidays, shall be allowed for the Discharge of the Import Cargo of Vessels not exceeding Six hundred Tons Burden, and Thirty Days, exclusive of Sundays and Holidays, for the Discharge of the Import Cargo of Vessels exceeding that Burden, and the said Periods shall be calculated from the Day when the Customs Officer first went on board; and if the whole Cargo be not discharged by the Expiration of the above-mentioned Periods, the Master shall be charged with the Wages of such Officer, and other Expenses, for any further Period that such Officer may be detained on board; and if the Owners, Importers, or Consignees do not bring their Goods to Land within the Periods above fixed, it shall be the Duty of the Master so to do.

XLV. And it is hereby enacted, That when there shall be no Customs Officer sent aboard Vessels discharging Cargo, it shall be lawful for the Collector of Customs to fix a Period, not being less than Twenty Days, for the Discharge thereof and Clearance of the Vessel Inwards; and if any Goods remain on board after the Time so fixed, or after the Time allowed in the last preceding Section of this Act, the Collector may order the same to be landed and warehoused for the Security of the Duties chargeable thereon, and of any Freight and Primage and other Demands that may be due thereon, giving his Receipt to the Master for the same: Provided always, that in all Cases it shall be lawful for the Collector or other Officer in charge of the Custom House, with the Consent of Master of the Vessel, to cause any Packages to be brought on shore and to be deposited in the Government Warehouses for the Security of the Duties and Charges thereon, although Twenty Days may not have expired from the Entry of such Vessel; and in case any Goods brought to Land from any Vessel be not claimed and cleared from the Custom House within Three Months from the Date of Entry of the Ship in which such Goods were imported, it shall be competent to the Collector to sell the same on account of the Duties and other Charges due thereon, and the Balance remaining after deducting the said Duties and Charges shall be held in Deposit, and paid to the Owner on Application.

XLVI. And it is hereby enacted, That when a Customs Officer shall be sent on board of any Vessel discharging Cargo, a further Period of Fifteen Days, Sundays and Holidays excluded, beyond the Twenty Days above specified, shall be allowed for putting on board Export Cargo if the Vessel shall not exceed Six hundred Tons Burden, and Twenty Days if it exceed that Burden, when the lading and unlading thereof shall be continuous, and the Master or Commander shall in such Case not be charged with the Wages and Expenses of the Customs Officer on board until after the Expiration of such additional Period; and if a Vessel having discharged its Import Cargo shall be laid up, the Customs Officer on board shall certify that no Goods remain on board except necessary Stores and Articles for Use, and when a Vessel so laid up shall be entered at the Custom House for Receipt of Export Cargo, a Customs Officer shall be sent on board, and if the said last-mentioned Officer shall certify that no Goods are on board except as above excepted, Twenty Days, exclusive of Sundays and Holidays, as above, shall be allowed from the Date of such Certificate for the Lading outwards of a Vessel not exceeding Six hundred Tons, and Thirty Days for Vessels exceeding that Burden, after which Periods respectively the Master shall be charged with the Wages and Expenses of the Customs Officer on board to the Date of the Vessel's sailing from the Port.

XLVII. And it is hereby enacted, That when upon Application from the Master of any Vessel the Customs Officer shall be removed from on board thereof, under the Provisions to that Effect contained in the last preceding Section of this Act, if the Master of such Vessel shall, before a Customs Officer have again been placed in such Vessel, put on board of such Vessel, or cause or suffer to be put on board of such Vessel, any Goods whatever, such Master shall be punished with a Fine not exceeding 1,000 Rupees, and the Goods shall be liable to be relanded for Examination at the Expense of the Shippers, upon Requisition to that Effect from the Collector of Customs.

XLVIII. And it is hereby enacted, That upon any Goods liable to Duty that may be passed through the Custom House for Shipment, the Application for which shall be presented after Port Clearance shall have been taken out, double of the prescribed Duty shall in all Cases be levied, and if the Goods be free or have already paid Import Duty, or have been imported free under Certificate, Five per Cent. upon the Market Value shall be levied thereon, or if the same be imported Goods entitled to Drawback the Drawback shall be forfeited, but no separate Duty shall be levied on Drawback Goods.

XLIX. And it is hereby enacted, That when a Vessel having cleared out from any Port shall put back from Stress of Weather, or it shall for any Damage or from other Cause be necessary that the Cargo of a Vessel that has cleared out shall be unshipped or relanded, a (43.—App.)

Appendix, No. 8.

- Customs Officer shall be sent to watch the Vessel and take charge of the Cargo during such relanding or Removal from on board, and the Goods on board such Vessel shall not be allowed to be transhipped or re-exported free of Duty by reason of the previous Settlement of Duty at the Time of first Export, unless the Goods shall be lodged in such Place as shall be allowed by the Collector of Customs, and shall remain while on Land, or while on board of any other Vessel, under special Charge of the Officers of Customs until the Time of Re-export, and all Charges attending such Custody shall be borne by the Exporter; provided, however, that in all Cases of Return to Port after Port Clearance on account of Damage or for Stress of Weather, it shall be lawful for the Owner or for the Master to enter the Vessel and land the Cargo under the Rules for the Importation of Goods, and the Export Duty shall in that Case be refunded, and the Amount paid in Drawback be reclaimed, and if Goods on account of which Drawback has been paid be not found on board the Vessel, the Master shall be liable to a Fine not exceeding the entire Value thereof, unless he account for them to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs.
- L. And it is hereby enacted, That when Goods shall be relanded before the lading of any Vessel is complete, and before Port Clearance has been granted, the Duty levied upon such Goods shall be returned to the Exporter, but no Refund shall be made of Duty paid on the Export of any Goods after Port Clearance shall have been granted for the Vessel on which the Goods were exported, unless the Vessel shall have put back for Stress of Weather or for Damage, and the Goods shall have been relanded under the Rule contained in the last preceding Section of this Act.
- LI. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the said Governor in Council to establish Rules for the anchoring of the Coasting and Country Craft of the British Territories, for the Delivery of Manifests of the Cargo of such Vessels, and for the landing of Goods therefrom and shipping of Goods therein; and that whoever being in charge of any such Craft shall knowingly contravene any such Rule, shall be liable to a Fine not exceeding 100 Rupees for each Offence.
- LII. And it is hereby enacted, That Pattamars, Dhonies, Botellos, and other small Craft from the Maldive or Laccadive Islands, or from the Native Ports of Kattywar, Cutch, and Scinde, shall be treated in the Ports of the Bombay Presidency like the Coasting Craft of the British Territory, provided that they conform to such special Regulations as to the Place of anchoring and Mode of landing and shipping Goods as may be made by the Governor in Council of Bombay for such Vessels in the several Ports of the Bombay Presidency.
- LIII. And it is hereby enacted, That no Drawback shall be allowed on Goods shipped on such Native Craft as are described in the last preceding Section of this Act.
- LIV. And it is hereby enacted, That Goods exported in the same Vessels if manifested for Re-export shall not be subject to Import or Export Duty, and if any Goods brought to any Port in any Vessel be transhipped in such Port, they shall in all Cases be subject to the same Duty as if they had been landed and passed through the Custom House for Re-exportation in the Vessel into which they may be transhipped.
- LV. And it is hereby enacted, That no Transhipment shall be made of any Goods except under special Order in Writing from the Collector of Customs of the Port, and an Officer of Customs shall in all Cases be deputed to superintend the Removal of the Goods from Vessel to Vessel.
- LVI. And it is hereby enacted, That at every Port subordinate to the Bombay Presidency, the Port of Bombay excepted, an Anchorage Fee shall be levied once at each Port, according to the Burden, on all Country Craft above the Burden of (100) One hundred Maunds, at the Rates herein-under specified.

•								F	ees (Rupees).
Above 10 Candies,	•	_	not exc	eeding	20	Candies,	•	-	1	0.
(equal to	100 N	Taunds,)			'	(200 Indian	Maunds)		
20	- ,	-	-	_	40		-	<i>-</i>	I.	8
40	-	-	_	-	60		-	-	2	0
60	-	بن	_	_		-	-	_	2	8
80	-	-	-	-			-	_	3	O'
100	4	_	_				_	-	3	8
150	_	_	_				_	_	4	Õ
200	_	_	_				_	-	4.	8
250 ——		_	_				-	-	_	· .
	-	-	-	-	300		-	-	5	. 0
300 ——	-	-	-	′ 🛥	350		•	-	5	8
350	-	_	••	ړ 🕶	400	and upwards	,		6	0

- LVII. And it is hereby enacted, That in all Cases in which under this Act Goods are liable to Confiscation, the Collector of Customs of the Place where those Goods may be shall be competent to adjudge such Confiscation.
- LVIII. And it is hereby enacted, That if any Person in charge of a Vessel shall have become liable to any Fine on account of any Act or Omission relating to Customs, the Collector

Collector of Customs shall be competent, subject to the Orders of the Governor in Council Appendix, No. 8. of the Presidency of Bombay, to refuse Port Clearance to such Vessel until the Fine shall be discharged.

LIX. And it is hereby enacted, That it'shall be lawful for any Collector of Customs, or other Officer who may be authorized to adjudicate Customs Cases, if he shall decide that a Seizure of Goods made under the Authority of this Act was vexatious and unnecessary, to adjudge Damages to be paid to the Proprietor by the Customs Officer who made such vexatious Seizure, besides ordering the immediate Release of the Goods; and if the Proprietor accept such Damages, no Action shall thereafter lie against the Officer of Customs in any Court of Justice on account of such Seizure; and if such adjudicating Officer shall decide that the Seizure was warranted, but shall deem that the Penalty of Confiscation is unduly severe, it shall be lawful for him to mitigate the same to the Extent of the Levy of Double Duty; and if the said Officer shall adjudge Confiscation, it shall further be lawful for him to order that from the Proceeds of the Sale of the Goods a Proportion, not exceeding One Half, shall be distributed in Rewards amongst such Officers as he shall deem entitled thereto, and in such Proportion as he may direct to each respectively.

LX. And it is hereby enacted, That all Officers of Customs shall, as heretofore, be amenable to the Civil Courts of the Presidency or Island of Bombay by Action for Damages on account of any executive Acts done in their official Capacity at the Suit of the Parties injured by such Acts: Provided however, that no Suit shall lie against a Collector of Customs or other Officer for any Judicial Award in a Matter of Customs passed under the preceding Section of this Act.

LXI. And it is hereby enacted, That whoever intentionally obstructs any Officer in the Exercise of any Powers given by this Act to such Officer shall be punished with Imprisonment for a Term not exceeding Six Months, or Fine not exceeding 1,000 Rupees, or

LXII. And it is hereby enacted, That whoever, being an Officer appointed under the Authority of this Act, shall accept or obtain or attempt to obtain from any Person any Property as a Consideration for doing or forbearing to do any official Act, shall be punished with Imprisonment for a Term not exceeding Two Years, or Fine, or both.

LXIII. And it is hereby enacted, That whoever, being an Officer appointed under the Authority of this Act, practises or attempts to practise any Fraud for the Purpose of injuring the Customs Revenue, or abets or connives at any such Fraud or at any Attempt to practise any such Fraud, shall be punished with Imprisonment for a Term not exceeding Two Years, or Fine, or both.

LXIV. And it is hereby enacted, That it shall be lawful for the Governor in Council of Bombay, by an Order in Council, to transfer any of the Powers given to a Collector of Customs by this Act to any other Functionary, and to make any Rules consistent with Law for the carrying of this Act into effect, and to establish such Bunders and appoint such Officers as he shall think fit, and to fix Rates of Wharfage and of Rent to be paid for Goods deposited or suffered to lie in the Godowns of the Custom House.

SCHEDULE A.

RATES of DUTY to be charged on Goods imported by Sea into any Port of the Presidency of BOMBAY.

Free Ditto Ditto Ditto	-	Free. Ditto. Ditto.
Ditto	-	Ditto.
Ditto	-	
Ditto		
	-	Ditto.
Ditto - +	` ہے	Ditto.
	-	Ditto.
	-	3 per Cent.
3 per Cent.	-	6 per Cent.
1 .		6 per Cent.
	Ditto 3 per Cent.	Ditto 3 per Cent

0. 8. No.	Enumeration of Goods.	When imported on British Bottoms.	When imported on Foreign Bottoms.
10	Marine Stores, the Produce or Manufacture of any other	6 per Cent	12 per Cent.
11	Place or Country. Metals, wrought or unwrought, the Produce or Manufacture of the United Kingdom or	3 per Cent. + '-	6 per Cent.
12	any British Possession. Metals do. do., excepting Tin, the Produce or Manufacture of any other Place.	6 per Cent	12 per Cent.
13	Tin, the Produce of any other Place than the United Kingdom or any British Possession.	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
14	Woollens, the Produce or Manufacture of the United Kingdom or any British Possession.	2 per Cent.	4 per Cent.
15	Do. the Produce of any other Place or Country.	4 per Cent.	8 per Cent.
16	Cotton Wool, not covered by Certificate of the Payment of Export Duty at any other Port of Bombay.	9 As. per Md. of 80 Tolas to the Seer.	1 Re. 2 As. per Md. of 80 Tolas to the Seer.
17	Cotton and Silk Piece Goods, Cotton Twist and Yarn, the Produce of the United King- dom or of any British Pos- session.	3½ per Cent	7 per Cent,
18	Do. the Produce of any other Place.	7 per Cent	14 per Cent.
19 2 0	Opium covered by a Pass Do. not covered by a Pass	Free 24 Rs. per Seer of 80 Tolas	Free. 24 Rs. per Seer of 80 Tolas.
21	Salt not covered by a Pass -	8 As. per Md. of 80 Tolas per Seer.	8 As. per Md. of 80 Tolas per Seer.
- 22	Alum	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
23 24	Camphor	10 per Cent.	20 per Cent. 20 per Cent.
25	Cloves	10 per Cent	20 per Cent.
26	Coffee	$7\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent	15 per Cent.
27 28	Coral Nutmegs and Mace -	10 per Cent	20 per Cent. 20 per Cent.
29	Pepper	10 per Cent.	20 per Cent.
.30	Rattans	$7\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent	15 per Cent.
31	Tea	10 per Cent.	20 per Cent
32	Vermilion	10 per Cent.	20 per Cent.
33 34	Wines and Liqueurs Spirits, consolidated Duty, in-	10 per Cent. 9 As. per Imperial Gallon	20 per Cent. 1 Re. per Imperial Gallon.
•	cluding any Duties levied	3 Ms. per imperiar Ganon	I let per amperiar curion
	heretofore through the Police.		
	And the Duty on Spirits shall be rateably increased as the		
	Strength exceeds London		
	Proof, and when imported		
	in Bottles Five Quart Bottles		
	shall be deemed equal to the Imperial Gallon.		
35	Tobacco	1 Re. 8 As. per Md. of 80	1 Re. 8 As. per Md. of 80
	Which Duty shall be the mi-	Tolas per Seer.	Tolas per Seer.
	nimum Customs Duty levied on Raw Tobacco and all		4
	Preparations thereof in all		
	the Ports of the Bombay		
10.	Presidency, but if at the		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rate of 5 per Cent. on the actual Value a higher Duty		
	30, than 1 Rupee & Annas per	-	,
	350 Maund should be leviable		
TVIT	any Preparation of To-		,
liable to	And it reo, the Duty shall be Confiscatied ad valorem at that		•
shall be co	mpetent to if imported on British		
	Same of to her center		
LVIII.	And it is here'n Bottoms. And		
occome na	ble to any Fine's Duty laid upon all be allowed in		
		τ.	1

Appendix. No. 8.

No. Enumeration of Goods.	When imported on British Bottoms.	When imported on Foreign Bottoms.
settling for the special Dut levied on the Import of the Article into the Island of Bombay, which special Duty shall be levied at the Rate of 9 Rupees for the	f I	
Indian Maund.		
All Articles not included in the above Enumeration.	$3\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent.	7 per Cent.

And if the Collector of Customs shall see Reason to doubt whether the Goods liable to a different Rate of Duty according to the Place of their Production come from the Country from which they are declared to come by the Importer, it shall be lawful for the Collector of Customs to call on the Importer to furnish Evidence as to the Place of Manufacture or Production, and if such Evidence shall not satisfy the said Collector of the Truth of the Declaration the Goods shall be charged with the highest Rate of Duty, subject always to an Appeal to the Governor in Council at Bombay.

And upon the Re-export by Sea of Goods imported, excepting Opium and Salt, and all Goods of the Growth, Production, or Manufacture of the Continent of India, provided the Re-export be made within Two Years of the Date of Import as per Custom House Register, and the Goods be identified to the Satisfaction of the Collector of Customs, there shall be retained One Eighth of the Amount of Duty levied and the Remainder shall be repaid as Drawback.

But no Exporter of imported Goods shall be entitled to Drawback unless the Drawback be claimed at the Time of Re-export, nor shall any Payment be made of Drawback unless the Amount claimed be demanded within One Year from the Date of Entry of the Goods for Re-export in the Custom House Registers.

SCHEDULE B.

RATES of DUTY to be charged on GOODS EXPORTED by Sea from any Port or Place in the Presidency of BOMBAY.

No.	Enumeration of Goods.	Exported on British Bottoms.	Exported on Foreign Bottoms.		
1	Bullion and Coin	Free	Free.		
2	Precious Stones and Pearls	Ditto	Ditto.		
3	Books, Maps, and Drawings printed in India.	Ditto	Ditto.		
4	Horses and living Animals -	Ditto	Ditto.		
5	Opium covered by a Pass -	Ditto	Ditto. •		
6	Do. not covered by a Pass -	Prohibited	Prohibited.		
7	Cotton Wool exported to Europe, the United States of America, or any British Possession in America.	Free	9 As. per Maund of 80 Tolas to the Seer.		
8	Do. do. exported to Places other than above.	9 As. per Maund of 80 Tolas per Seer.	1 Re. 2 As. per Maund of 80 Tolas to the Seer.		
9	Salt having paid the Excise of 8 Annas a Maund.	Free	Free.		
10	Tobacco	1 Re. 8 As. per Maund of 80 Tolas to the Seer.	1 Re. 8 As. per Maund of 80 Tolas per Seer.		
11	All Country Articles not enumerated or named above.	3 per Cent.	6 per Cent.		

And upon the Re-export to Europe, the United States of America, or to any British Possession in America, or from any other Port of the Bombay Presidency, of Cotton that has been imported under Certificate of the Payment of the Duty specified in this Schedule, provided that the Re-export be made in British Bottoms within Two Years from the Date of such Certificate, and the Amount be claimed within One Year from the Date of Re-export as per Custom House Registers, the whole Amount of Export Duty levied at the first Place of Export shall be refunded.

Appendix, No. 7.

SCHEDULE C.

Manifest of Goods imported per under

, Commander, from Colours; viz.

Marks.	Numbers.	Packages.	Quantity.	Weight.	Gallons.	Yards.	Description of Goods.	Invoice Value,	Tariff Value.	
A.	1 @ 5	5 Cases	250 Pieces.				Cambrics. Long Cloths, bleached. Long Cloths, un- bleached. Madapollams, bleached. Do. unbleached. Plain Muslins.			-

N. B.—Articles generally to be specified, excepting such as Ironmongery, Hardware, Glassware, Earthenware, Cutlery, Perfumery, Confectionary, Stationery, and such like.

All Articles from Great Britain to be entered according to the English Weight, not Native.

From China in like Manner in China Weights.

In Imports and Exports of Bullion or Coin, to specify the Sort of which they consist.

GENERAL INDEX

OT"

THE PRINCIPAL MATTERS;

PRECEDED BY

A LIST OF WITNESSES.

(43.—Ind.)

LIST OF WITNESSES.

DEHANY, WILLIAM KNIGHT, Esq., Solicitor to the Excise,	Page 127 to 130.
GOUGER, HENRY, Esq., a Proprietor of Land near Calcutta, - { GREENE, BENJAMIN, Esq., a Landed Proprietor in Suffolk and St. Kitt's, -	94 to 106. 107 to 109. 111 to 119.
GREENE, BENJAMIN BUCK, Esq., a Manager of Sugar Plantations at St. Kitt's,	119 to 126.
LARPENT, GEORGE G. DE H., Esq., Chairman of the East India and China Association,	17 to 36. 37 to 66: 131 to 134.
MACQUEEN, JAMES, Esq., a Planter in the West Indies, {	134 to 152. 153 to 159.
MELVILL, JAMES COSMO, Esq., Secretary to the Court of Directors of the East India Company,	3 to 17. 32.
SYM, ANDREW, Esq., a Proprietor of Land at Goruckpore in the East Indies, -	67 to 82.
TREVELYAN, CHARLES EDWARD, Esq., late Secretary to the Board of Revenue, East Indies,	83 to 94.

GENERAL INDEX.

The Figures following the Names of Witnesses refer to the Number of the Question in the Evidence.

The Words, Evidence, page-indicate that the Page of the Evidence is there referred to.

The Words, Appendix, page-indicate that the Page of the Appendix is there referred to.

	•	
A.		
General Heads.	Name of Witness	and Number of Question.
ACCOUNT of the British Trade with Canton from 1837 to	Larpent,	- 447.
of the American Trade with Canton from 1829 to	Larpent,	- 447. 448.
(See Statement.)		
• East India Company from the 1st of May 1838 to 30th April 1839.	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
colonies of Labour for a Hogshead of Sugar in a Year,	Macqueen,	- 1527.
of the Exports from the West Indies in 1832, 1834, and 1839,	Macqueen,	- 1420 to 1423.
of the India and China Trade,	Larpent,	- 166.
of the Proportions of Rum and Molasses to Sugar in the Colonies of the West Indies and the Mau- ritius, in 1838,	Macqueen,	- 1518.
of the Quantity of Pepper imported into and exported from the United Kingdom,	Larpent,	- 395.
of the Price of Sugar in Foreign Markets and in	Larpent,	- 1295. 1296.
of the Total Importation, Delivery, and Stock of Bandannoes, Choppahs, and Corahs,	Larpent,	- 373.
of the Produce of Sugar and Coffee from the Brazils, Cuba, and Porto Rico,	Macqueen,	- 1483 to 1495.
of Duty on Sundry Articles imported from the East Indies, the Rate of Duty to their Market Value in Bond, and Amount of Revenues,	Larpent,	- 385.
of the Number of Slaves imported into Foreign Colonies from 1808 to 1840,	Macqueen,	. 1511.
of the comparative Slave Population in the Brazils, Cuba, and Porto Rico,	Macqueen,	- 1539 to 1542.
ACTS OF PARLIAMENT that regulate the Import and Export of Sugar of the East and West Indies are the 6 & 7 W. 4. c. 26. and 1 Vict. c. 27.,	Larpent,	- 1320.
Advances of Money on Goods in India and China; Mode of, and Effects,	Melvill,	- 95 to 99.
are made to Tenants who grow the Sugar Cane in India,	Sym,	489 to 492. 569 to 573. 576. 577.
in China abolished,	Melvill,	- 100 to 102.
Agency in China abolished,	Melvill,	- 100.
AGRA, Abolition of Customs Duties in, (See Manure.)	Trevelyan,	- 727 to 732.
ALLUVIAL Deposit of the Ganges is a good Manure,	Sym,	~ 712. 713.
AMERICAN Mode of Remittance on London and China,	Larpent,	- { 170. 171. 173. to 191.
(See Accounts.) Trade with Canton,	Larpent,	-' 447 -
Anglo-Chinese Kalendar, Extracts from, as to Trade with China,	Larpent,	- 448.
- (See Clive, Lord.) ANNUITIES and Pensions, including Compensation Annuities under 3 & 4 W.4. c. 85.,	Melvill,	`- 3. 4. 27.
(43.—Inp.) A a 2		•

, tr.,1,	Name of Witness	and Number of Question.
General Heads.	-	
(See Rice.) ARRACK SPIRIT, as to making it from Rice in the East Indies,	Larpent,	- 280 to 284.
from what distilled,	Sym,	- 505 to 508.
	Sym,	- 507. 508.
	Gouger, Gouger,	- 891 to 893 886 to 890
is sometimes made from Palm, is only used by the lower Class of Natives in India,	Sym,	- 510.
A Character of	Larpent,	- 444.
Company, proposed Capital and 1100pcom	Larpent,	- 425 to 445.
Assems Commercial, Amount of, since the new Charter, -	Melvill,	- 142 to 145.
Association of the East Indies and China, Representation of, to the Court of Directors as to Mode of Payment of Territorial Expenses,	Larpent,	148 to 151.
Australia, Goods exported from India to,	Larpent,	- 475 to 477.
Australian Colonies, Rates at which Indian Goods are	Larpent,	474.
admitted into,		
В,		47.4 Servellebrugg
D ,		B
BALANCE OF TRADE. (See Trade.)		1
BANDANNOES. (See Accounts — Corahs — Silk.)		
BEGAH OF LAND is Two Thirds of an Acre,	Sym, = 1	524.
(See Labour.)	• .	
Bengal, Price of Labour in, Rum is supplied to the Troops in,	Larpent, Sym,	- 316 to 318. - 593. 594.
Abolition of Customs Duties in,	Trevelyan,	• 729 to 732.
BERAH RAJA, British Relations with the,	Trevelyan,	- 755. 757.
(See Exchange.)	N.C. 2. 111	00 . 00
BILLS on India, what, Advances on, how made,	Melvill, Melvill,	- 33 to 38. - 38 to 41.
of Exchange on the Court of Directors for Interest		- 3. 4. 27.
on Indian Debt, of Exchange for Effects of deceased Officers, &c.,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
and Drafts on India and China at what Periods	Melvill,	83. 84 to 05.
BOARD of Commissioners for Affairs of India, Salaries and Pensions of,	Melvill,	• 3. 4. 27.
BOMBAY, Abolition of Customs Duties in,	Trevelyan,	• 732. 733.
an Act passed by the President of the Council of India in Council as to Imports and Exports to and from,	Appendix,	- page 176.
(See Bills — Debt.)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BOND DEBT, Interest on the Home,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
Portion of, paid off in Five Years,	Melvill,	- 48 to 50. 69 to 75.
Brazils, Tea grown at the, has proved a Failure, Account of Produce of,	Larpent, Macqueen,	- 446
BRITISH MANUFACTURES in use by the Natives of India,	Sym,	- 723.
BRITISH Possessions, Interpretation of the Term,	Larpent,	- 249. 250.
(See Exchanges — Silver.)	- "	
Bullion, Amount of, sent from Canton in 1837 and 1838, - is sent from China to Calcutta and Bombay, -	Larpent,	• 167. 168. • 449. 450.
is sent from Onina to Calcula and Dombay,	Larpent,	** TT3. TUU.

.		and the second
(See Sugar.)	•	
CANE, SUGAR, the Sort used in Goruckpore,	Sym,	- 518.
is bought of the Natives by Sugar Manufac-	Sym,	- 614 to 616.
(See China.)		
CANTON, American Trade with, Trade to, and the East Indies, Mode of, since the	Larpent,	- 447.
Expiration of the East India Company's Charter.	Larpent,	- 182 to 186.
British Tea Trade with,	Larpent,	447.
Establishment at, Expenses of, probable Effects of the present Interruption of Re-	Melvill,	• 3.4.27 to 29.
mittances from, on Trade,	Melvill,	- 139 to 141.
Interruption of Trade to, deranges the Commerce of the World,	Larpent,	- 178 to 181.
CAPTURED NEGROES: (See Negroes.)		•
* ***********************************	* 1 - * .	a server of mark at

o 117.1		***
General Heads		and Number of Question,
CARNATIC DEBT, Principal and Interest discharged, and Amount of,	Melvill,	- 52. 53.
CENTRAL INDIA, British Relations with,	Trevelyan,	- 756 to 758.
CERTIFICATE OF ORIGIN in what Cases granted,	Larpent,	- 240. 259, 260.
of Coffee,	Larpent,	· 251. 252.
of Pepper,	Larpent,	- 251. 252.
of Sugar, in what Parts of India it can be obtained for Export of Sugar,	Larpent, Trevelyan,	- 251. 252. - 759 to 761.
CEYLON, Arrack is made from Rice in,	Sym,	507. 508.
CHATHAM. (See Troops.)	оуш,	\$ 007.000 .
(See Opium — Remittances.)		
CHINA, Exports from, are greater than the Imports to, in the last Twenty Years,	Melville,	- 137. 138.
Export of British Manufactures to, is increased, -	Larpent,	- 208
Bills and Drafts on, at what Periods paid,	Melvill,	\$83 to 95, 103 to
Mode of paying for Exports to and from,	Larpent,	106. - 211 to 216.
Agency abolished,	Melvill,	- 100.
Advances in, abolished,	Melvill,	- 100 to 102.
and Indian Trade, Amount of,	Larpent,	- 166.
Trade, Amount of Tonnage in the, from 1837 to 1839,	Larpent,	- `161 to 165.
(See Remittances.)		
Trade, Remittances how affected by the Stoppage of 1	_	
the,	Larpent,	- 166.
and East Indian Association. (See Association.)		
Silk, Advantages of, in Manufacture,	Larpent,	- 463.
Sugar Candy, Quality of, as compared with West	Trevelyan,	- 774-775.
India Sugar,	-	
Choppahs. (See Account — Corahs — Silk.)	Trevelyan,	• 767 to 775.
•	West-191	0.4.05
CLIVE'S, LORD, Fund for Pensions,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
COAL is produced near Calcutta, Price and Quality of,	Gouger,	- 848 to 879. 1040.
COFFEE, Price of,	Larpent,	- 407. 409 to 413.
Decrease of Quantity exported from the West Indies, and how Deficiency made up,	Macqueen,	- 1512 to 1514.
as to Certificate of Origin of,	Larpent,	- 251, 252,
and Sugar, Quantity has diminished since the Ap-	Macqueen,	
prenticeship and Emancipation of the Negroes, - J Duty on, from the West Indies, East Indies, and	macqueen,	- 1420.
other Parts,	Larpent,	- 407.
yields a poor Spirit, not fit for Rum,	Macqueen,	- 1326. 1327.
as to Importation of, to India,	Larpent,	- 423,
is grown in Mysore,	Larpent,	- 421, 422, 424.
COMMERCIAL ASSETS. (See Assets, Commercial)		
COMMISSIONERS for the Affairs of India. (See Board.)		
COMPASSIONATE FUND of India, Advances to, and Annuities	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
chargeable to the Civil Annuity Funds, 5	2,2027,223	0. F. 21.
Contingent Expenses. (See Directors — Proprietors.)		
(See Labour.)		
O	B. Greene,	1143. 1144.
	Macqueen,	• 1440.
CORAHS. (See Silk — Account.)		
Quantity of, imported from the East Indies and exported from England,	Larpent, -	366 to 373.
Forting and a second a second and a second a	¥ 4	1294.
(See Matine Cloth)	_	. 4294.
COTTON, English, is beating the Indian Cottons out of the	G	
Market, }	Sym, -	659 to 662.
is grown in India by the Ryots for Native Consumption, and Value of,	Sym,	649 to 658.
as to great Pressure being used so as to affect its,	Larpent, -	
Tions, and diminish his value,	Larpent, -	240 240
American, is cleaner than Indian,		, 360.
Raw, as to improving the Trade in,	Larpent, -	355. 356.
Twist is exported to China, -		208 to 210.
manufactured Goods from India as to reducing a	•	665. 666.
Duty on, 3	•	362 to 365.
	Larpent, -	- 350 to 353.
(43.—Ind.) A a 3		

192	Varia AF IIIim	and Namber of Ouester
General Heads.		and Number of Question
COTTON Goods, British and Indian; Duty they pay at Ceylon,	Larpent,	• 468 to 473.
Indian, as to improvement of	Gouger, Gouger,	- 981. 982. - 987 to 992.
	Gouger,	- 977 to 980.
to what Countries exported, is bought at Mirzapore, is bought at Mirzapore,	Gouger,	• 983 to 986.
of Oude wear better than the English,	Sym,	- 663.
Yarn is manufactured near	Gouger,	-{ 840 to 844. 1027 to 1033.
Yarn of India is preferred to the English,	Gouger,	- 846, 847.
CUBA, Sugar is manufactured by Machinery in,	Larpent,	- 329. 330.
Account of Produce of	Macqueen,	- 1482 to 1495.
Customs Duties, System of, as to Revision of,	Trevelyan,	- 726. 727.
Abolition of, in Agra,	Trevelyan, Trevelyan,	- 727 to 732. - 729 to 732.
Abolition of, in Bengal,	·	- (20 60 (02)
Ď.		•
•		
DACCA MUSLIN, is the finest manufactured in the East	Gouger,	- 993, 994.
Indies,	•	
(See Bills—Bond Debt — Carnatic — Interest — Territorial	•	•
Payments.) Debt, as to Payment of, in India,	Melvill,	- 4.5.9.
DEHANY, WM. KNIGHT, Esq., Evidence of,	Evidence,	- page 127 to 130.
DIRECTORS, Court of, and Proprietors, Expenses of,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
Salaries of,	Melvill,	3.4.27.
(See Duty-Grain.)		
DISTILLATION from Rice is prohibited in England and Ire-	Dehany,	• 1268 to 1270.
Land, Explanation of Mr. Macqueen's Evidence on,	Macqueen,	- 1515 to 1518.
from Rice, Molasses, and English Grain, and Ex-	Macqueen,	- 1522 to 1524.
penses of,	-Autoquota,	(2022 40 2021 7
DIVIDENDS on India Loan Property, standing on the London	Melvill,	3.4.27.
Books, on East India Stock, when redeemable,	Melvill,	· • 4.
(See Proprietors.)		
to Proprietors of East India Stock,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27 to 29.
DRUGS, high Duty on, prevents their Growth in and Impor-	Larpent,	- 379. 385.
tation from India, from India or China, pay the same Duty,	Larpent,	- 386 to 392.
	7	
(See Coffee—Corahs—Sugar—Tobacco.) Duty on East India Sugar is regulated by its Weight, -{	Sym,	611 to 613.
on Coffee from the East and West Indies and	Larpent,	- 264 to 269.
Foreign Parts,	Larpent,	- 407.
on Corahs should be reduced,	Larpent,	• 366 to 373.
on Silk Goods, Reduction of, would be a great Boon to India,	Larpent,	- 378.
on East Indian Spirits excludes them from the	Melvill,	- 121 to 123.
British Market,		
on Spirits in England, Scotland, and Ireland, -{	Dehany, Macqueen,	- 1271. 1272. - 1524.
on East and West India Spirits should be equalized,	B. Greene,	- 1077.
on West India Rum is 9s. per Gallon,	Larpent,	- 277, 279.
on East India Rum is 15s. per Gallon,	Larpent,	- 277, 279, 385.
on East and West India Rum, probable Consequences of equalizing,	Macqueen,	1372.
on Tobacco from British Possessions and other	Larpent,	- 385-
Parts, on East India Segars,	*	- 286. 287.
high, on Drugs prevents their Growth in and Import-	Larpent,	
ation from the East Indies,	Larpent,	- 379. 384 to 392.
on Paper is Nine-pence, and should be reduced,	Gouger,	 952 to 960. 392 to 399.
on Pepper,	Larpent, B. Greene,	- 1082 to 1084.
on Cotton manufactured Goods, as to reducing of, -	Larpent,	- 362 to 365.
, E ,		
The Tuny And Crew Accourage / Co. Access		1
EAST INDIA AND CHINA ASSOCIATION. (See Association.) (See Territorial Payments—Troops.)	,	
East India Company, Civil Establishment of; Payment to,	Mai en	a el am
for Passage and Absentee Allowances, }	Melvill.	2,3.27.
EAST INDIA COMPANY'S PETITION, presented to the House	Appendix,	- page 163-
of Lords, 14th February 1840,	- 14/	4-0- 4;

GENERAL INDEX.	193,		
General Heads.	Name of Witness and Number of Question.		
EAST INDIA COMPANY, Outfit and Passage of Governors, Commanders, Judges, Chaplains, and Officers,	Melvill, - 3. 4. 27.		
Expenses of, wish to have the Power to import Sugar, Rum, and Spirits into England, grown within the Peninsula of India,	Larpent, - 333 to 347.		
Stock when redeemable, Cotton manufactured Goods, as to reducing Duty	Melvill, - 4.		
on,	Larpent, - 362 to 365.		
EAST INDIA RUM. (See Rum.) EMIGRANTS, ENGLISH, are not employed in the Cultivation of Sagar	Macqueen, - 1438, 1439.		
(See Advances—Bills—Rupee—Silver.) Exchange, Rate at-which the East India Company obtain Funds from India,	Melvill, - 76. 86 to 94.		
on India, Variation of,	Melvill, - 107 to 116.		
Reasons for the Appreciation of the Rupee,	Larpent, - { 157. 197. 198. Larpent, - 457 to 459.		
(See Accounts.) Exports from India, from the West Indies, in 1832, 1834, and 1839,	Larpent, - 205 to 207. Macqueen, - 1420 to 1423.		
from and to China, how paid for, of Sugar from the East Indies has increased,	Larpent, - 211 to 216. Larpent, - 205.		
F.			
(See Remittances.)	7.6.7513 O. A. OPT		
FAMILY REMITTANCES from India, FORTUNES made in India are less now than formerly,	Melvill, - 3. 4. 27. Melvill, - 62.		
	Melvill, - 62 to 66. Sym, - 497 to 501.		
of Sugar or Tobacco from India,	Larpent, - {270 to 272. 297 to 304.		
Sugar is carried as a dead Weight,	Sym, - 497 to 501. Sym, - 645 to 648.		
	5,5.		
G.	•		
GLASGOW and MANCHESTER Goods are the principal Exports to India,	- 200, 201,		
GOLD is found in the Himalayas, GOLD MOHURS are coined by the East India Company, and ?	Trevelyan, - 830.		
Use of,	Trevelyan, - 824 to 829. Sym, - 518.		
GOUGER, HENRY, Esq., Evidence of,	Evidence. page 94 to 106.		
Gour or Goor, is the entire Produce of the Sugar Cane,	Gouger, • 915 to 918. 936.		
pays no Duty, Increase in Price of,	Sym, - 634 to 636. Gouger, - 935. 936.		
the best is from Benares,	Gouger, - 930 to 934.		
GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL, Notification by, that the Territories of certain Native Chiefs shall be deemed Foreign Territory,	Appendix, - page 168.		
GRAIN Districts in the East Indies, as to growing of, in India,	Trevelyan, - 777. Trevelyan, - 790. 794.		
Export of, from India to England,	Trevelyan, - 791 to 795.		
GRANT to the Marquess of Wellesley,	Melvill, - 27.		
GREENE, BENJAMIN, Esq., Evidence of,	Evidence, - page 111 to 119. Evidence, - page 119 to 126.		
Greene, Benjamin Buck, Esq., Evidence of, Guarantee Fund, Amount of,	Melvill, - 8 to 11. 56. 57.		
н.			
HAILEYBURY MILITARY SEMINARY, Charges of,	Melvill, - 3. 27.		
HANDERCHIEFS, Silk, of British Manufacture are considerable in Quantity,	Larpent, - 374 to 377.		
HELENA, SAINT. (See Saint Helena.)			
HEMP grows spontaneously in India, HIMALAYAS, Gold is found in the,	Sym, - 718. Trevelyan, - 830.		
(43.—Ind.) A a 4	•		

I.		
General Heads.	Name of Witness and Number of Ques	tion.
IMPORTS AND EXPORTS, Act passed by the Governor General in Council, 1836, respecting,	Appendix, - page 170.	
of Bombay, Act passed by the President of the Council in Council in respect to,	Appendix, - page 176.	
India, Exports from,	Larpent, - 205 to 207.	
INDIA and China Trade, Amount of,	Larpent, - 166.	
(See Territorial Payments.) INDIAN DEBT,	Melvill, - \begin{cases} 3. 12. 13. 21. 22. 27. 48 to 55.	14. 29.
(See Bond Debt.)	Malain o 4 on	
INTEREST on the Home Bond Debt,	Melvill, - 3. 4. 27Larpent, - 205.	
Indigo, annual Amount exported to England, and Sugar are grown in the East Indies, encouraged by the high Price in England and low Freight,	Sym, • 632. 633.	
IRON, British, is exported to the East Indies, is found in the Madras Territory,	Gouger, 946. 947. Gouger, 948. 949.	
, J,	•	
JAMMA RENT. (See Rent.)		
JAVA. Increase of Quantity of Sugar grown in, -	Larpent, - 275. 276.	
Quantity of Sugar exported from, in 1832, 1837, 1838, and 1839,		
	Larpent, - 446.	
Tea grown at, is a Failure, Crop of Sugar, Coffee, Rice, and Indigo grown in, in 1839,	Macqueen, - 1525.	
Price of Labour in,	Larpent, - 316 to 318.	
K. '		
KITT's. (See St. Kitt's.)		
L.		
14,		
/ C		
(See Coolies — Negroes — Slaves.) LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes.	B. B. Greene 1259.	
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System,	B.B. Greene, - 1259. Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477	
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430	L
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B.Greene, - { 1230. 1230. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250. 1250.	.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene - 1208 to 1213	.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B.Greene, - { 1230. B.B.Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B.B.Greene, - 1251 to 1257	i.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B.Greene, - { 1208 to 1213 1230. B.B.Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241. B.B.Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen - { 1382 to 1385	i.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B.Greene, - { 1230.} B.B.Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B.B.Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. 316 to 318.	i.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B. B. Greene, - { 1230.} B. B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B. B. Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. 316 to 318.	
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.)	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B. B. Greene, - { 1230.} B. B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B. B. Greene, - 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 136 to 1147	
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B. B. Greene, - { 1230.} B. B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B. B. Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. 316 to 318.	
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B. B. Greene, - { 1230. B. B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B. B. Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1136 to 1147 B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym 519 to 530.	
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B.B. Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1136 to 1147 B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 535 to 551.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B.B. Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1136 to 1147 B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym., - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 535 to 551. 568. 578 to 556.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym, - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 564.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre, Holdings, general Extent of,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238} 1241. B.B. Greene, - 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385} 1406. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1136 to 1147 B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym, - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 535 to 551. Sym, - 564. Sym, - 524.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym, - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 54. Sym, - 524. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 688 to 698.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre, Holdings, general Extent of, in the West Indies, Quality of,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym, - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 54. Sym, - 524. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre, Holdings, general Extent of, in the West Indies, Quality of, Extent of, cleared by Mr. Sym,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym., - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 564. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre, Holdings, general Extent of, in the West Indies, Quality of, Extent of, cleared by Mr. Sym, LARPENT, GEORGE G. DE H., Esq., Evidence of, LEGAL TENDER of the East Indies is the Rupee, LIME is used for Manure in the East Indies,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym, - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 54. Sym, - 524. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre, Holdings, general Extent of, in the West Indies, Quality of, Extent of, cleared by Mr. Sym, LARPENT, GEORGE G. DE H., Esq., Evidence of, LEGAL TENDER of the East Indies is the Rupee, LIME is used for Manure in the East Indies, LINSEED OIL, as to crushing of,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1251 to 1257 Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1136 to 1147 B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym., - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 564. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579. Trevelyan, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579. Trevelyan, - 579. Trevelyan, - 579. Trevelyan, - 579. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579. Trevelyan, - 579.	567.
LABOUR, continuous, cannot be had from Negroes, Expenses of, under the old and new System, Effects of Want of, in the West Indies, White, from Suffolk, great Use of, Black and White, Difference of Expense of, how arranged, in the West Indies, Expense of, and Difficulty of procuring, Price of, in Bengal, in Java, in the West Indies, (See Coolies.) Deficiency of, in the Mauritius, LABOURERS, Number of, that could be procured in the Valley of the Ganges, LAND, by what Tenure held, and Rent paid for, in India, upon what Terms let by chief Tenants, Nature of, in the East Indies, is measured by the Begah, which is Two Thirds of an Acre, Holdings, general Extent of, in the West Indies, Quality of, Extent of, cleared by Mr. Sym, LARPENT, GEORGE G. DE H., Esq., Evidence of, LEGAL TENDER of the East Indies is the Rupee, LIME is used for Manure in the East Indies,	Macqueen, - 1458 to 1477 Macqueen, - 1424 to 1430 B.B. Greene, - { 1230.} B.B. Greene, - { 1235 to 1238 1241.} B.B. Greene, - { 1251 to 1257} Macqueen, - { 1382 to 1385 1406.} Larpent, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 316 to 318. B. Greene, - 1143. 1144. Trevelyan, - 779. Sym, - 519 to 530. Gouger, - 1036 to 1039 Sym, - 555 to 551. Sym, - 524. Sym, - 579. Macqueen, - 1385 to 1387 Sym, - 579. Trevelyan, - 823. Sym, - 823. Sym, - 707 to 710.	567.

M. General Heads. Name of Witness and Number of Question. M'CARTHY'S Patent for distilling Rice, and Price to be B. Greene, 1126 to 1135. paid by Persons using it, (See Sugar.) MACHINERY from England is used in the large Sugar Works } Gouger, 1003 to 1005. of India, for manufacturing Sugar could not be used to advantage in the East Indies, Larpent, 330. is of a rude Description for Sugar in the East 511 to 517. Indies, is used in Cuba to manufacture Sugar, 329. 330. Larpent, - made in the East Indies, Quality of, 941 to 945. Gouger, { page 134 to 152. MACQUEEN, JAMES, Esq., Evidence, 153 to 159. Explanation of his Evidence, Evidence, page 1515 to 1518. MANCHESTER and GLASGOW Goods are principal Exports to \ Larpent, 203, 204, India, -MADRAS, Monopoly of Salt at, Trevelyan, 751. MALABAR, Monopoly of Tobacco at, Trevelyan, 751. MANUFACTURERS of England, their Power to purchase in India depends on the annual Produce of the Larpent, 201 to 204. Soil, MANURE, Lime, Salt, and alluvial Deposit of the Ganges, Sym, 704 to 710. are used for, in the East Indies, MAURITIUS, Deficiency of Labour in the, B. Greene, 1143. 1144. Importation of 20,000 Coolies into the, B. Greene, 1144. Produce of the, has increased, Macqueen, 1440. Evidence, page 3 to 17. Melvill, James Cosmo, Esq., Evidence of, MILITARY and Marine Officers, Retired Pay to, Melvill, 3. 4. 27. (See Stores.)
and other Public Stores exported, Melvill. Stores, Expenditure for, and Average of, Melvill, 3. 15 to 19. Monurs, Gold. (See Gold.) Molasses of the East Indies, Difficulty of finding a Market Larpent, 277. 278. 282. for, {501 to 504, 509, 510, 581. Difficulty of Sale of, and Price, Sym, Price of, is 1s. 6d. per Maund, if exchanged, the Price it bears, Sym, 602 to 605. 1110. 1111. B. Greene, B. Greene, the Price it sells for in England, 1112. Quantity produced from a Maund of Sugar, Sym, 582. 1161.1162.1163. - West India, low Price of, is owing to high Price of 1165 to 1168. B. Greene, Labour, 1172. 1173. 1184. and Rice, make a better Spirit than Molasses only, -B. Greene, 1100 to 1105. if not used for Rum, how disposed of in the West B. Greene, 1174 to 1185. Indies, MUSLIN of DACCA, is the finest manufactured in India, 993. 994. Gouger, 5 740. to 743. Mysore, Tenure by which we hold that Country, -Trevelyan, 748. Government, under what Regulations administered, -Trevelyan, 733. 736 to 740. N. NATIVE CLOTH is strong, Sym, 666.667. NATIVES OF THE EAST INDIES, British Manufactures they use, Sym, 723. - like the Cultivation of Sugar, Sym, 625. 478 to 484. NAVIGATION LAWS, their Effects on the Natives of India, Larpent, (See St. Kitt's.) NEGROES still have the Privilege of Provision Grounds in B. B. Greene, 1260. 1261. St. Kitt's, cultivate their Lands well, 1407 to 1412. Macqueen, 1399 to 1400. 1401. 1410 to Extravagance and Improvidence of, Macqueen, 1413. 1416. 1259. B. B. Greene, as to their working continuously, 1415 to 1417. Macqueen, (See Coolies.) are jealous of Foreign Labour, Macqueen, - have a great Desire for Landed Property, Macqueen, 1418. (43.—Ind.)

190			
General Heads.	•	Name of Witness a	and Number of Question.
NEGROES captured, greatest Numbe	r in a Year that were dis-	} Macqueen,	1496
			• 1436.
Population has no Tendenc	y to increase,	Macqueen,	1437.
NIZAM. British Relations with the,		Trevelyan,	{ 752 to 754. 757.
COVERNO	R IN COUNCIL, declaring)	
that the Territories of an	Native Chiefs not subject uthorities of the Bombay med Foreign as respects	Appendix.	- page 168.
	0.		
OIL is produced from Poppies, R	ape, and Linseed in the	1	71 F A. 719
East Indies, -		, ,	- 715 to 717.
as to crushing of Linseed for	or,	Gouger,	- 972 to 977.
OPIUM, Quantity of, sent to China i		Larpent,	- 167. 168.
ORIGIN, CERTIFICATE OF. (See	the English -	Sym,	- 663.
Oude, Cottons of, wear better than Sugar is grown in,	rife truguen,	Sym,	- 638 to 642.
has no Customs,		Trevelyan,	- 734. 735.
OUTFIT of Governors, &c. (See Ed	st India Company.)		•
1	Р.		
Derry Amost is sometimes made fo		Gouger,	- 886 to 890.
Palm, Arrack is sometimes made from Sugar and Molasses are ext	racted from the,	Gouger,	904. 905.
PALM, LUNA. (See Luna Palm.)		2	
PAPER is made in the East Indie		} Gouger,	_ { 954. 961 to
Cotton Works, and Quali		Gouger,	971. - 952 to 960.
PAY, RETIRED, to Military and Ma		Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
to Persons of the late St. H	elena Establishment,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
PENSIONS. (See Annuities—Clive,	Lord—St. Helena.)	Mobrill	. 4.40.7
Compensation for Amount		Melvill, Larpent,	- 4 to 7.
PEPPER of Alepe, Duty on, - Duty on, and Quantity imp		Larpent,	- 392 to 399.
as to Certificate of Origin of	of,	Larpent,	- 251. 252. ·
Price of, as to probable Increase of (Consumption	Larpent, Larpent,	- 401 to 406. - 398 to 401.
Treasury Minute, 25th Oct Duty on, imported from	ober 1833, respecting the		page 170.
(See Tanjor Persia, Mission to the Court of, E		Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
PETITION of the East India Compan	-	•	
of Lords, 14th February	1840,	J. Inppendix,	- page 163.
Drovers is used for alenting the Su	Clima	Sym, Trevelyan,	- 561 to 567. - 780. 781.
PLOUGH is used for planting the Su	igar Cane,	B. B. Greene	f 1214 to 1225.
			(1239 to 1242)
Advantages of, in West Inc		B. B. Greene	1239 to 1242
—— Use of, is only to a small I		_	- 1478 to 1481.
PROPERTY OF COURT OF Frances		- Melvill,	- 1482 to 1486.
PROPRIETORS, Court of, Expenses of East India Stock, Divid	or, ends to, -	- Melvill, - Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27 to 29. - 3. 4. 27 to 29.
Public Stores. (See Stores.)		•	N
•	R.		
RECRUITING CHARGES. (See Tro			
REMITTANCES, Amount of, from 1	835 to 1839.	Melvill.	- 47. 56 to 61. ··
Amount of, and from wl	at Sources made up, from		
1834 to 1837, and also f Deficiencies of, how made	rom 1838 to 1839.	. S Dai Pents	- 151. 154 to 160.
Articles of, directly and in	directly,	Melvill, Melvill,	- 44 to 46. - 119.120.
Family, from India, of Silver to India,	• -	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27 to 29.
Mode of, by the American	•	Melvill,	- 117. 118. • 170. 171. 173 to
•		Larpent,	- { 191.
from Canton, probable Eff present Interruption,	eces or, on I rade, from the	f Tamont	- 139 to 141. - 166.
		Prompt	100

General Heads.	Name of Witness and Number of Question.	
(See Land.)	Sym 3 519 to 530.	
RENT paid for Land in the East Indies,	549 to 558.	
Government, or Jamma, is high,	Sym, - 683 to 684.	
(See Account—Statement.) Report on the Manufacture of Sugar, and the Process, published by the East India Company,	Macqueen, - 1441. 1442.	
RETURNS. (See Account-Statement.)		
(See Distillation—Rum.) RICE is better for Distillation than any other Grain,	B. Green, - 1702 to 1706.	
Manufacture of Arrack Spirit from,	Larpent, - 280 to 284. Sym, - 505 to 508.	
Distillation from, is prohibited in England and Ire-	Trevelyan, - 891 to 893.	
land,	Denany, - 1200 to 1210.	
Spirit is cheaper than Rum,	Trevelyan, - 798. B. Greene, - 1117 to 1121.	
Soil of the West Indies is not fit for,	Macqueen, - 1373 to 1380.	
distilled, if permitted to be imported into England at 1 9s. Duty, will be carried on in the East Indies,		
—— Distillation by M'Carthy's Patent, and Price to be paid by Persons using it,	B. Greene, - 1126 to 1135.	
and British Spirit, comparative Cost of, distilled in India,	B. Greene, - {1106. 1107. 1115. 1116,	
- East Indian, Distillation of, is carried on in London,	B. Greene, - { 1078 to 1080. 1093.	
and Expenses, Expense of Distillation of, and Duty,	B. Greene, - 1082 to 1084.	
and Sugar Spirit, Difference of,	B. Greene, $-\begin{cases} 1088.1089. \\ 1092. \end{cases}$	
—— Carolina, is importable into the West Indies,	B. Greene, - 1098. 1099.	
— East Indian and Carolina, Qualities of Spirit from,	B. Greene, - 1085 to 1087.	
East Indian, Qualities of,	B. Greene, - 1081. Sym, - 682.	
(See Duty-Molasses-Rice-Spirit.)	-	
Dane Manufacture of in the Fact Indian in increasing	[Larpent, - 277. L Sym, - 593.	
Increase of, in the East Indies since 1834,	B. Greene, - 1097.	
East Indian, Quantity exported,	Gouger, - 1056. B. B. Greene, 1204 to 1206.	
- is largely exported to Canada,	Gouger, - 926 to 929.	
is supplied to the Troops in that Country,	Sym, - 593. 594. Gouger, - 1041. 1042.	
- is exported to Australia,	Gouger, - 901.	
Export and Import of, Duty paid on,	Gouger, - 1046 to 1051. Larpent, - 277. 279. 385.	
as to Reduction and Equalization of Duty on,	B. Greene 1077.	
with West Indian, ————————————————————————————————————	Sym; - 581.	
Duty as West Indian, - is received by Government Contract, on the		
same Footing as the West Indian,	Gouger, - 880 to 883,	
——— Duty on, should be assimilated to West Indian,	Gouger, - 903. (Sym; - 617 to 620.	
is inferior to West Indian, and Extent of,	Gonger \$ 909 to 914.	
East and West Indian, Difference in Price of,	B. Greene, - 1094 to 1096.	
West Indian, from what distilled, -	B. Greene, - 1070, 1071.	
is manufactured from Goor and Molasses, manufactured from Molasses should be cheaper than	Gouger, = 884. 885.	
from Goor,	Tunger, - 551. 336.	
could be manufactured from Rice beneficially, manufactured from the Juice of the Cane, and from	B. Greene, - 1072. 1073.	
Molasses, Difference of,	Macqueen, - gori to loro.	
Profit, Price, and Freight,	Macqueen, - { 1328 to 1340. 1515 to 1518.	
manufactured from Molasses, Price of, if sent from the East Indies,	D. Greeke, - 1,100.	
West Indian, Duty on, Duty paid on, in England, Scotland, and Ireland,	Larpent, - 277. 279. Dehany, - 1271 to 1273.	
Price of,	B. B. Greene, { 1160. 1161. 1172. 1170 to 1172.	
Price of, is increased,	(1201 to 1203.) Gouger, - 1043 to 1045.	
comparative Price of, from the East Indies, Leeward Islands, and Jamaica,	Macqueen, - 1347.	
Proportion of Profit on, as compared with Sugar, now and formerly,	B. B. Greene, { 1152 to 1158. 1169.	
(43.—Ind.) B b 2		

General Heads.	Name of Witness and	Number of Question.
RUM, high Price of, is the Support of many of the West	B. Greene,	1040 to 1042.
India Estates,	Macqueen, -	1368. 1369.
Crop or, in the west made, and value	Macqueen, -	1356 to 1371.
Supply of, in 1840, will be short, and Causes	B. B. Greene,	1185 to 1187.
Stock in Bond, and Quantity taken out for Home	Gouger, -	1060 to 1066.
Consumption, Market for, is glutted, Thirty sight pay Cont 3	Gouger, -	1041.
is imported from Thirty to Initity eight per Cent.	Dehany, -	1267.
over Proof, Freight of, from the East and West Indies, Coffee, Spirit is not fit for, and Quality of,	Gouger, - Macqueen, -	1057 . 1326. 1327.
a Puncheon of, contains Ninety Gallons,	Macqueen, -	1348 to 1355.
Rupee is the legal Tender of the East Indies,	Trevelyan, -	823.
of East India Company, and Sicca Rupee, Difference of Value of,	Melvill, -	77 to 81.
Russian Trade with China,	Larpent, -	217 to 219.
S.		
SEGARS, EAST INDIAN, Duty on, is 9s *	Larpent, -	286. 287.
are smuggled,	Larpent, -	286.
are of inferior Quality,	Larpent, -	286.
SILK, Amount realised by Sale of, in 1834-5, (See Corahs.)	Melvill, -	40 to 43.
Goods of the East Indies, such as Corahs, Duty on, should be reduced,	-arpone,	366 to 373.
Goods, Duty on, if reduced, would be a great Boon to the East Indies,	Larpent, -	378.
Trade is in the Hands of Individuals,	Melvill, -	43.
Trade of India is in a flourishing State, -	Larpent, -	460 to 462.
Proportions of Italian, Bengal, and China, used in Manufacture,	Larpent, -	463.
of China, Advantages of, in Manufacture,	Larpent, -	463.
Handkerchiefs of British Manufacture are consider.	Larpent, -	374 to 377.
able in Quantity,	Larpent, -	464 to 467.
(See Bullion — Rupee — Specie.)	T	
SILVER is the Standard of the East Indians, Market Price of,	Trevelyan, - Melvill, -	821. 822. 82.
a greater Consumption of, in India than in other Parts, and Causes of,		451 to 456.
- Causes of the Drainage of, from India,	Trevelyan, -	802 to 810.
great Demand for, in India, for Ornaments, &c., - (See Lime.)	Trevelyan, -	808. 809.
SALT is taxed in the East Indies,	Sym, -	637. 681.
is the best Manure for Sugar, Monopoly of Madras,	Sym, - Trevelyan, -	704 to 707. 751.
(See Account.)	•	101.
SLAVE EMANCIPATION works best in the small Islands in the	Macqueen, -	1519.
West Indies, and Reasons for, Compensation per Head was 16L 10s.,	B. B. Greene,	1247.
increased Expenses of Cultivation are the Con-	B. B. Greene,	1242 to 1246.
sequences of, SLAVE POPULATION in the Brazils, Cuba, and Porto Rico,		
SLAVERY exists in the East Indies,	Macqueen, - Macqueen, -	1539 to 1542. 1519 to 1521.
(See Account.)	•	1019 to 1021.
SLAVES, Number imported into Foreign Colonies from 1808 to 1840,	Macqueen, -	1511.
increase in Population of, in the Brazils,	Macqueen, -	1536 to 1538.
Soil of the East Indies, Nature of,	Sym, -	564.
(See Silver—Statement.)		
Species of the East Indies, Quantity sent to England annually,	Trevelyan, -	810 to 820.
Spirits. (See Arrack—Molasses—Rice—Rum—Sugar. from Rice, mixed with Spirit from Molasses, would		•
improve the latter,	B. B. Greene,	1199. 1200.
(See Duty.)	7. 	
of India are excluded from the British Market by the Rate of Duty,	Melvill, - Sym, -	121 to 123.
of India, if let in at the same Duty as the West Indies	,	610.
the Planters of the West Indies could not sustain	B. Greene,	1122. 1123.
the Competition,	1	

General Heads.	Name of Witnes	s and	Number of Question.
SPIRITS from Rice, are made in the East Indies,	Larpent,	.=	281 to 284.
East and West Indian, Duties on, should be equalized,	B. Greene,	-	1077.
as to ascertaining the Strength of, Duty paid on, from the East Indies and America,	Dehany, Dehany,	-	1263 to 1267. 1272 to 1293.
STATEMENT. (See Account.)	2 01.um.j,		
showing the Imports and Exports of Treasure at each of the Presidencies of India from 1814-15 to 1836-37,	Appendix,	-	page 165.
of Territorial Payments made in England by the East India Company, from the 1st of May 1838 to 30th April 1839,	Melvill,	-	3. 4. 27.
of the India and China Trade,	Larpent,	-	166.
of the Total Imports and Exports of the Port of Calcutta in Treasure and Merchandize from 1813 to 1833,	Trevelyan,	-	812.
STEAM VESSELS, Increase or Diminution of, and Charges for, Purchase and Equipment of,	Melvill, Melvill,	-	3. 23 to 26. 3. 4. 27.
St. Helena, Retired Pay and Pensions of the late Establishment at,		-	3. 4. 27.
St. Kitt's, Ploughs are used for the Cultivation of the Sugar Cane at,	B. B. Greene	₽,	1214 to 1220.
Negroes still have the Privilege of Provision Grounds	B. B. Green	е,	1260. 1261.
Rum in, Proportion of Profit on, as compared with Sugar, now and formerly,	B. B. Green	е,	1159.
STORES. (See Military Stores—Troops.) ——— Military and other Stores exported,	Melvill,		9 4 07
Transport of, and Troops,	Melvill,	-	3. 4. 27. 3. 4. 27.
(See Advances — China — Machinery.)			
Sugar, East India Company wish to have the Power to import Sugar, Spirits, and Rum into England grown within the Peninsula of India,	Larpent,	-	333 to 347.
Trade of the East Indies is regulated by 6 & 7 W. 4. } c. 26. and 1 Vict. c. 27.,	Larpent,	-	{ 220 to 248. 1297.
East Indian, Duty on, Quality of, as compared with the West Indian,	Larpent, Sym,	-	269. 591 to 597.
——————————————————————————————————————	Larpent,	_	5 264 to 269. 270
Price at which it sells in England,	Sym,	_	to 272. 586 to 588.
——————————————————————————————————————		_	493 to 497.
ation of Duty,	Sym,		606 to 608.
by what Process refined,	Sym,	-	597 to 599.
Quantity shipped to England by Mr. Sym in 1840,	Sym,	-	584. <i>5</i> 90.
Cultivation of, can be extended, -	Larpent,	-	265 to 268.
Cultivation of, increases the Value of Land, - is cultivated with the Plough, -	Sym, Sym,	-	608. 609. 561 to 597.
——————————————————————————————————————	Larpent,		3 31.
——————————————————————————————————————	Trevelyan, Larpènt,	-	776. 784. 205. 264.
(See Machinery)	mar ponts		203. 201.
the Manufacture of,	Larpent,	-	330.
(See Certificate.) as to Certificate of Origin of,	Larpent,	_	2542.
——— Difficulty of preventing Import of Foreign.	Trevelyan,	-	762 to 767.
its mixing Qualities, as to Improvement in the Cultivation of,	Sym, Larpent,	-	589. 319. 320. 3 <i>2</i> 6.
sufficient Quantity might be produced to)	Macqueen,	-	1443 to 1455.
supply England if more Capital employed, Manufacture, Report on Process of, published by the			
East India Company in 1822, 5	Macqueen,	-	1441. 1442.
Quality of, depends on the Mode of Manufacture, - produced by Foreign Slaves is refined in England, -	Macqueen, Macqueen,	-	1495 to 1503. 1507 to 1509.
produced by Foreign Slaves is grown at half the	Macqueen,	_	1510.
Price it is in England,	Larpent,	-	1315 to 1317.
Cane in Bengal is cultivated on very rich Land, -	Sym,	1	711 to 713.
in what Parts of the East Indies cultivated, in the East Indies is put in by the Use of the	Larpent,	-	262 to 264.
	Trevelyan,	-	780. 781.
Plough, One Acre of, is cultivated by a Man, Woman, and Boy,	Sym,	•	702.
(43.—Ind.) B b 3			
•			

	•	3	Name of Witness	and l	Number of Question.
General Heads	to Pino Venno	•	Sym,		
Sugar, Lai	nds for, are not used but once in Five Years	,	Larpent,	ŗ	699 to 702. 270 to 272.
Fre	ight of,	-{	Gouger,	· {	297 to 304.
.	Cotton of the East Indies are infer	ior to i	Trevelyan,	-	1017 to 1025.
			•	_	191.
and	Coffee, Quantity of, has decreased since a		Macqueen,	•	1420.
Ехј	port of, from Jamaica, as to granting Cerum		Larpent,	•	1298 to 1322.
from	n the West Indies, Supply of, will be short in nd Causes of,	1840, }	B. B. Greene,	,	1185 to 1187.
	diminished Supply, and rise in Price,	•	Larpent, B. B. Greene	-	264.
	Crop of, in 1841 will be fair, Price and Profit on,	*	Gouger,	-	1190 to 1192. 1007 to 1016.
	- present Prices of,		B. B. Greene	. {	1160. 1161. 1170 to 1172.
		tina -	Macqueen,	_ ر	1201 to 1203. 1504 to 1506.
	 Prices of, in the West Indies and Mauvi Prices of, in Foreign Markets and in Be 	and in L	Larpent,	_	1295. 1296.
Y	Ingland,	ر -	Trevelyan,		785. 786.
	is produced from the Palm Tree and Dat	es, -{	Gouger, Larpent,	•	904. 905. 275. 276.
Inc	rease of Quantity grown in Java, bable Effects of an enlarged Growth of,	on the t	Larpent,	_	319.
C	Consumption of Coffee,	- 5		٠,	273. 321. 324.
	ce of, on the Continent,	•	Larpent,	-{	325.
b	Indigo are grown in the East Indies, enco	ht, - >	Sym,	•	632. 633.
is g	rown in Cuba, Porto Rico, and Brazil, by ion to the Slave Population,	$egin{array}{c} ext{Acces-} \ ext{2} \end{array} \}$	Larpent,	•	273.
	Ew, Esq., Evidence of,	•	Evidence,	- p	age 67 to 82.
	Mi.				
	Т.				
_ ~	Towns of in England		Melvill,		3. 4. 27. 32.
	OMMISSION, Expense of, in England, - SAM. (See Assam Tea.)	-	interviry	•	J. T. 211 J2.
	E, British, with Canton,		Larpent,	•	447.
of s	America,	•	Larpent,	•	447.
TEA, prese	nt Price of, I Spices are brought from Holland, and	carried	Larpent,	~	427. 428.
t	to the Cape of Good Hope, to be imported. England at the reduced Duty,	ed into	Larpent,	•	414 to 420.
	own in the Brazils and Java has pro	ved a	Larpent,	_	446.
	Failure,	- <u>)</u> 	Larpent,		434.
	ad Debt — East India Company — Remitt	ances.	Larpens		2020
TERRITORI	AL PAYMENTS of the East India Comp India made in England, from 1834 to 1839,	any in I	Melvill,	•	{ 1 to 4. { 27 to 29.
	(See Land)		C		£70
	HOLDINGS, general Extent of, in the East In in India, the Term they have in Lands,	idies, -	Sym, Sym,	•	<i>5</i> 79. 671. 672.
	cilities they have to improve their Lands,	•	Sym,),	691.
Tenure by	y which Land is held in India, -		Sym,	-	519 to 530. 549 to 558.
	(See'Sugars.)		^		600. 601. 626.
TOBACCO (of good Quality is grown in the East Indies,	•	Sym,	-	627. 719 to 721.
Co	orrespondence on the Subject of,	-	Appendix,	•	page 168. 293 to 295.
m	generally cultivated in the East Indies, ight be grown to any Extent in the East In	dies,	Larpent, Larpent,	-	310 to 312.
	ast Indian, is inferior to that of the United		Larpent,	-	292. 800. 801.
E	ast Indian, Quality of, can be improved	-	Trevelyan, Trevelyan,	-	801.
D	uty on, from America, and Canada and t Indies,	he East	Melvill, Larpent,	-	132. 133. 385.
D	ity on East Indian, is too high to export,		Sym.	•	628 to 630.
	eight of, from the East Indies,	, .	Larpent, Trevelyan,	- 7	288 to 304. 751.
E	ast Indian, as to lowering the Duty so as to	enable	Melvill,	-	7 124 to 136.
	the East Indians to grow and export it at a pplication of Chambers of Commerce of (-	3796 to 800.
*	and Bengal to the Court of Directors as to ing Duty on,		Melvill, Larpent,	-	286.

* * *		.4
General Heads.	Name of Witnes	ss and Number of Question
Tobacco, Effects of the Law on the Exportation of, from India,	Larpent,	- 285. 286.
TONNAGE, Amount of, in the China Trade from 1837 to 1839,	Larpent,	- 161 to 165
(See Statement.)		
TRADE, Indian and China, Amount of.	Larpent,	- 166.
Balance and Amount of, to the East, and probable Increase of Exports of British Manufacture,	Larpent,	- 192 to 202.
TRANSIT DUTIES in India are generally abolished,	Larpent,	- 254 to 258.
(See Troops.)		
TRANSPORT of Troops and Stores,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
TRAVANCORE, our Relations with the State of,	Trevelyan,	- 744.
— Duties are levied on Imports and Exports in,	Trevelyan,	- 745 to 747.
TREASURE, Statement of the Imports and Exports of, at each of the Presidencies of India from 1814-15 to 1836-37,	Appendix,	- page 165.
TREASURY MINUTE on the Importation of Pepper from Aleppi,	Appendix,	- page 170.
-		- 0
TREVELYAN, CHARLES EDWARD, Esq., Evidence of,	Evidence,	- page 83 to 94.
(See Military Stores.) TROOPS, European, Payments in respect to, by the East India Company,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
Retiring Pay, Pensions and Payment to, by the East India Company,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
Recruiting Charges, and Depôt at Chatham, Expenses of,	Melvill,	- 3. 4. 27.
in the East Indies are supplied with Rum from Bengal,	Sym,	- 593. 594.
;		
W.		
Wages, Rate of, in the East Indies,	Sym,	- 562.
Waste in Silk, what,	Larpent,	- 464 to 467.
Wellesley, Marquess of, Grant to,	Melvill,	- 27.
(See Molasses—Negroes—Rum—Sugar.)		
West Indies, Quality of the Land in the,	Macqueen,	- 1385 to 1387.
(See Labour.)		
Labour in the, and Difficulty of procuring,	Macqueen,	f 1382 to 1385.
	mod moon)	1388 to 1406.

Y.

YARN, COTTON. (See Cotton Yarn.)

REPORT

FROM THE

SELECT COMMITTEE

ON THE

TRADE WITH CHINA;

TOGETHER WITH THE

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE,

TAKEN BEFORE THEM.

AND

AN APPENDIX, AND INDEX.

Ordered, by The House of Commons, to be Printed, 5 June 1840.

Martis, 24° die Martii, 1840.

Ordered, That a Select Committee be appointed to inquire into the Grievances complained of in the Petition of Merchants interested in the Trade with China (presented 24 March), by reason of the Surrender of Opium to Her Majesty's Superintendent there, in the Month of March 1839, and to report their Observations thereupon to The House:

Veneris, 3° die Aprilis, 1840.

A Committee was nominated, of-

Mr. Crawford.

Lord Viscount Palmerston.

Sir Robert Peel.

Mr. Charles Buller.

Mr. Herbert.

Sir George Staunton.

Mr. Gladstone.

Mr. Colquhoun.

Sir George Grey.

Mr. Hogg.

Mr. Elliot.

Mr. John Abel Smith.

Mr. John Parker.

Lord Viscount Sandon.

Mr. Strutt.

Sir William Somerville.

Sir Robert Harry Inglis.

Sir Charles Lemon.

Mr. Edward Buller.

Mr. Clay.

Mr. Horsman.

Ordered, That the Committee have power to send for Persons, Papers, and Records.

Ordered, That Five be the Quorum of the Committee.

Veneris, 1º die Maii, 1840.

Ordered, THAT the Correspondence relating to China, presented to this House, and the several Reports on the Subject of the Trade with China, and the Affairs of the East India Company, in the Years 1830, 1831, and 1832, be referred to the Select Committee on China Trade.

Veneris, 5° die Junii, 1840.

Ordered, That the Committee have power to report the Minutes of Evidence, taken before them, to The House.

	*											
THE REPORT	•	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	, -	-	-	p. iii
MINUTES OF	EVID	ENÇ:	E -	-	•	-	_	_	-		-	p. 1
APPENDIX,-	. •	~	-	. •	-	_	_	-		_	-	p. 155
INDEX	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	p. 189

REPORT.

THE SELECT COMMITTEE appointed to inquire into the Grievances complained of in the Petition of Merchants interested in the Trade with China, (presented 24th March,) by reason of the Surrender of Opium to Her Majesty's Superintendent there, in the Month of March 1839, and to report their Observations thereupon to The House; and to whom was also referred the Petition of Merchants interested in the Trade with China, (presented 17th March,) and who were empowered to report the Minutes of Evidence taken before them to The House;—Have considered the matters to them referred, and have agreed to the following REPORT:

THAT Your Committee feel that they shall best discharge their duty by laying before The House, without any observation on their own part, the Evidence which they have taken upon the subject.

5 June 1840.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COMMITTEE.

ATTENDANCE when no Evidence was taken, and Divisions.

Lunæ, 13° die Aprilis, 1840.

Sir R. Inglis.
Mr. Gladstone.
Mr. E. Buller.
Mr. J. A. Smith.
Mr. Herbert.
Mr. Clay.
Lord Palmerston.
Lord Sandon.

Mr. Strutt.
Sir Geo. Staunton.
Mr. Crawford.
Sir Geo. Grey.
Mr. J. Parker.
Mr. Hogg.
Mr. Elliot.
Mr. Horsman.

Mr. Crawfoed called to the Chair.

Jovis, 4º die Junii, 1840.

Mr. Edward Buller.
Sir Geo. Staunton.
Mr. Elliot.
Mr. Herbert.
Lord Sandon.
Mr. C. Buller.
Lord Palmerston.
Mr. Clay.

Mr. Hogg.
Sir Geo. Grey.
Mr. Strutt.
Mr. Gladstone.
Sir R. Inglis.
Sir W. Somerville.
Mr. Parker.
Mr. Colquhoun.

Mr. J. A. Smith in the Chair.

Resolution proposed by Mr. Herbert.

"That your Committee, to whom was referred the petition of certain merchants trading to Canton, have examined the several witnesses called on behalf of the petitioners; your Committee, feeling that the matters which have been referred to them involve some grave considerations of public policy, which The House is more competent than your Committee to entertain, conceive that they shall best discharge their duty by laying before your Honourable House the evidence which they have taken, and submitting the whole case to that mature consideration which, from its importance both to individual interests and to the national character, it well deserves.

"Your Committee, therefore, beg to lay the evidence they have taken upon the table of your Honourable House."

Amendment proposed (Sir R. Inglis) to leave out all the words after "that," in order to add the following:—

"Your Committee, to whom it was referred to inquire into the grievances complained of in the petition of merchants interested in the trade with China, (presented 24th March,) by reason of the surrender of opium to Her Majesty's superintendent there, in the month of March 1839, feel, that they best discharge their duty by laying before the House, without any observation on their own part, the evidence which they have taken upon the subject."

Question, that the words proposed to be left out stand part of the Resolution, put:

Committee divide.

Ayes 7.
Sir G. Staunton.
Mr. Hogg.
Mr. Herbert.
Mr. Gladstone.
Lord Sandon.
Mr. C. Buller.
Mr. Colquhoun.

Noes 9.
Mr. E. Buller
Mr. Elliot.
Sir George Grey.
Mr. Strutt.
Sir R. Inglis.
Sir W. Somerville.
Mr. Parker.
Lord Palmerston.
Mr. Clay.

Words added.

Resolution as amended agreed to.

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE.

LIST OF WITNESSES.

J	ovis,	30° die	Apı	rilis, 1	840.					
James William Freshfield,	Jun.	Esq.	-	-	-	•.	- ,		p.	1
	Jov	is , 7° d i	ie Ma	ii, 18	40.		y \$			
Robert Inglis, Esq		-	٠	-	-	٠.	-	-	p.	1
	Lun	æ, 11°	die M	faii, 1	840.					
Robert Inglis, Esq	-	-	•	_	-	•	•		p.	36
Captain John Thacker	-	r 1	r	. –	ำ	⊸ , ' ,	•	•	р.	60
,	Jovis	s, 14° d	lie M	aii, 18	340.					
Captain John Thacker	-	, ~	-	-	-	_	, -	-	p.	65
Anthony Daniell, Esq.	- t	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	-	p.	77
William Jardine, Esq.	-	•	•		en Han Ange		-		_P	_89
•	Luna	e, 18° d	ie M	aii, 18	340.		75			
William Jardine, Esq.	-	_	_	* \	_	_	-	•	_p.	97
James Malcolmson, Esq.	-	-	. •	4	•	-	-		-	120
	Lun	æ, 1° d	ie Ju	nii, 18	40.					
James Malcolmson, Esq.	•	•	, 	4	,	•	**	. '	n.	128
Ardaseer Cursetjee, Esq.	-		-	•	-	_	•	- ,	a .	129
Alexander Matheson Fea		_							(T	

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE.

Jovis, 30° die Aprilis, 1840.

MEMBERS PRESENT.

Mr. Buller. Mr. Clay. Mr. W. E. Gladstone. Mr. Hogg. Sir Robert Inglis. Sir Charles Lemon.

Mr. Parker. Lord Sandon. Mr. John Abel Smith. Sir George Staunton. Mr. Strutt.

JOHN ABEL SMITH, Esq. in the Chair.

James William Freshfield, jun. Esq. called in; and Examined.

1. Chairman.] YOU are the professional Agent of the parties appearing before J.W. Freshfield, jun. this Committee, under a petition to the House presented on the 17th March?— I am.

2. Do you wish to put in certain documents in proof of the allegations contained in the petition?—I do; I submit now extracts of reports and other documents in proof of the preliminary allegations in this petition.

30 April 1840.

(The Witness delivered in the same. Vide Appendix.)

Jovis, 7º die Maii, 1840,

MEMBERS PRESENT.

Mr. Charles Buller. Mr. Edward Buller. Mr. Clay. Mr. Colquhoun. Mr. John Elliot. Mr. Gladstone. Sir George Grey. Mr. Herbert. Mr. Hogg.

Mr. Horsman. Sir Charles Lemon. Mr. Parker. Sir Robert Peel. Lord Sandon. Mr. John Abel Smith. Sir William Somerville. Sir George Staunton. Mr. Strutt.

JOHN ABEL SMITH, Esq. in the Chair.

Robert Inglis, Esq., called in; and Examined.

3. Chairman.] YOU were for a number of years resident at Canton ?—Since Rebert Inglis, Esq. 1823.

7 May 1840.

4. When did you quit it?—On the 30th of May last.
5. You are a partner in the house of Dent & Co.?—I am.

6. Had you made arrangements for leaving Canton before the beginning of the recent troubles there?—Yes; I was on the point of embarking on the very

day that the embargo was put upon the passage boats.
7. Can you name the day?—No, I cannot; but it must have been prior to the issue of the Commissioner's edict on the 18th of March: I had my luggage packed 0.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq. packed up for a week ready to go to Macao, but always found difficulties made

when I wished to put it on board a boat.

Nay 1840.

New Whom?—By the linguists. I

8. By whom?—By the linguists. I went at last to Howqua to ask him if there was any wish to keep me there, for it appeared that that really was the intention, and I asked him if that was the case; he told me he was going to Consoo that day, and he would let me know; that is the Consoo-house, where the merchants meet the officers of government. In about two hours he told me that I could go, but I still found difficulties. I tried to get my luggage on board the boat immediately, but the linguists again made difficulties, and the next morning there came out an order to prevent any foreigner leaving Canton.

- g. Was that previous or subsequent to the issuing of the edict by him?—Previous.
- 10. Your house was largely engaged in the importation of opium into China?

 —Yes, it has been for many years past.

11. Do you recollect the arrival of Lin, the commissioner appointed by the

Emperor of China?—Yes.

- 12. And you recollect the edicts published by him on his arrival?—Yes; about a week or 10 days subsequent to his arrival at Canton the first edict was published; he arrived at Canton on the 10th of March, and his first edict was published on the 18th.
- 13. What was your impression of the character of those edicts?—That he intended to get opium out of us decidedly.
- 14. Was it your impression that he meant to seize it, or to buy it?—I think that he meant at first to pay for it; but I do not think he ever expected to get so much; that was my impression at the time.
- 15. Have subsequent events altered that impression at all, that he meant to pay for it?—No; except that I think he never contemplated to get 20,000 chests; that I have no doubt made an alteration in his disposition to pay for it.
- 16. Mr. Hogg.] Will you state the grounds of your belief that he intended to pay for it?—His own edict.
- 17. Have you any grounds for that belief that are not contained in the edict itself?—No; unless I may have general impressions as to the policy of the Chinese government which I can hardly very well explain.

18. Chairman.] Was the opinion entertained by the European community at Canton of the character of those edicts unanimous?—No, I should think not; I give this merely as my own opinion.

19. What edicts were issued by Commissioner Lin on his arrival at Canton?
—The one I particularly alluded to is the edict of the 18th of March, which ordered us to give up the opium in three days.

- 20. What notice was taken of that edict by the European community?—They had several meetings on the subject. The Chamber of Commerce met, and there was a good deal of discussion about it; but there was no specific answer given, further than that the Chamber of Commerce told the Hong merchants that they wished for time; that it was a very important matter to give up a large property of that kind, not belonging to us in a great measure, and that we wished to have time to think of it; that three days was too short a notice to comply with a requisition of that kind.
- 21. Mr. E. Buller.] Did the embargo which you have mentioned before the 18th apply only to you and to Mr. Dent's house, or to all the community at Canton?—To all the community.

22. That was before the issuing of the edict?—I am almost certain that it was.

23. Chairman.] Will you state the circumstances which occurred subsequently to that claim of Commissioner Lin upon the European community for the surrender of the opium?—The edict was published on Monday the 18th. I think I was the first person that got it on Monday afternoon. I took it over to Mr. Morrison to ask him to translate it about three o'clock. It was an edict ordering us to give up the opium in three days. The whole of Tuesday and Wednesday were spent in discussions with the Hong merchants upon the subject, by the Chamber of Commerce, and by foreigners, amongst themselves. On Thursday night the Hong merchants, having had many discussions in the course of the morning with the Chamber of Commerce, assembled some of the foreigners about 10 o'clock on Thursday night, and told them that they had just come

from

from the Commissioner, and that he required a thousand chests of opium to be Robert Inglis, Esq. given up the next morning, or that two of them were to lose their lives. I was not present at that meeting, and never heard of it till the next morning; but it appears that the foreigners were worked upon by compassion for the Hong merchants to subscribe 1,030 chests, of which one of the Hong merchants subscribed ten chests to make up the amount. The next morning some of them, and, among the rest, two of my partners, saw the folly of the thing, and so did everybody else; that it was very unlikely that the Commissioner, who on Monday had said in his edict that he knew there were several tens of thousands of chests in the ships at Lintin, and who had required that the whole of them should be given up, that none should be sent away, and no part withheld, should be now content with 1,000 chests; and they saw now that the thing must have been nonsense, and accordingly none of the Hong merchants lost their lives, or anybody else; and in the course of the day it was understood that the thing had failed altogether; probably it may have been a trick of the merchants themselves, but it answered no purpose whatever. On the Friday night the Hong merchants came to demand Mr. Dent, to go into the city to meet the Commissioner the next morning; Mr. Dent was willing, himself, then to go in, and certainly had the intention of going in on Friday night, but he wished to ascertain the object of his going, and he made difficulties about it to put it off that night, on the pretence that he required a guarantee, but his real intention was to ascertain the intention of taking him there. The merchants, however, came on Saturday morning; they had been obliged to report that Mr. Dent would not go in there, and they came on Saturday to say that he must go in; and they came without their buttons, and with chains round them, saying that they had been degraded, because Mr. Dent had not gone in as the Commissioner required. At this time the whole of the foreign community had assembled in our house to see what was going on, and in the course of these discussions the community was appealed to whether Mr. Dent ought to go or not. They were unanimous that he ought not to go; I myself wished him to go, and he himself had, in the first instance, intended to have gone. However, in the course of the day one of the Hong merchants admitted that Mr. Dent would be detained in the city. He said that he thought no harm would be done to him. We knew before that the Commissioner had hired a cook who had been in the foreign establishment to cook for him, and there was no doubt that he was going to be detained there, and the alarm expressed about him by several of the foreigners, particularly by his own brother, made me at last consider that he ought not to go into the city; for although I would go in myself, I could not advise another to go. His own brother told me, in a state of great excitement, that if I allowed my partner to go into the city, he would lay his death at my door, so much alarm was there about him, and of course I could not under those circumstances advise him to go in, though I would have gone myself. The Hong merchants professed that they dare not go to the Consoo-house, where the kwang-chow-foo, the chief magistrate of the city of Canton, was waiting for their answer, and they asked if some of us would go and report Mr. Dent's answer, that he would not go in. His reason for refusing to go was, that he wished for security. He said he would go in if the Commissioner would give him security under his hand for his safety, that he should come out again, which I felt sure he could not get. The Hong merchants professed that they dared not go in to tell him this, and asked if any of us would go. Upon which a number of us volunteered to go to the Consoo-house to tell this to the kwang-chow-foo. We went there, and there seemed to be a great difficulty about the thing; and he said that Mr. Dent must go. After talking a great deal about it, he asked if we thought that if two of the mandarins present went to Mr. Dent's house, he would go in. We said that we did not think he would, inasmuch as it was the whole foreign community that prevented his going; and it was no disrespect on Mr. Dent's part towards the authorities. Upon this two of the mandarins present, one of whom was a district officer, the kwangheep, and the other was the weiyune, an officer taken from his regular duty to act upon this special service; these two mandarins put on their boots and their official caps, and went immediately to Mr. Dent's house, and there asked him why he would not go in. The whole foreign community nearly were present at the time, and he stated through an interpreter that he would go if he had sufficient protection from the Commissioner. They said that was quite impossible, but that they themselves would answer for his safety. However, it was . 0.59.

7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. shown them that such a thing had occurred once before in the history of foreigners in China; that the chief of the British factory in China had gone into the city with the same kind of guarantee from a number of the officers, and that he was detained in prison for several years eventually. The whole foreign community retired into another room to reconsider this matter, and it was then stated to them what Mowqua had told us, that Mr. Dent would certainly be detained in the city. Coupling that with other things, it was determined that he ought not to go into the city, more especially as it appeared that the Commissioner had picked him out as the representative of the rest of the community, evidently to get his opium out of him, and to make him take the first step to induce all the other foreigners to give it up; and therefore, as he was considered to be acting in the common cause, the whole of the foreigners protested against his going. After some conversation, the whole of the foreigners returned down stairs again, and this was explained to the mandarins. They then stated that they were afraid to go and tell this to the kwang-chowfoo, and at length they asked if some of the foreigners would go for them; several of us volunteered to go, and returned back with them to the Consoo-house. When we got there, the kwang-chow-foo seemed to be in the same difficulty as the other officers had been. He said he dare not go into the city without Mr. Dent to report this; and, after a good deal of conversation upon the subject, he asked Mr. Thom, the interpreter, if he would go in, or if I would go in. The moment it was put to me, I said I would go. Several other gentlemen present volunteered to go. I begged them not; I thought it was unnecessary; not that I had the slightest fear about returning, but I thought there was no occasion for more of us going than necessary. At length I went with three gentlemen who spoke Chinese, and we were taken into the city, and detained there, I think, a couple of hours. Both Mr. Thom and myself were taken separately before the four great officers of government next to the governor and the deputy governor. They asked me who I was, and I mentioned that I was Mr. Dent's partner. They wanted to know how it was that I could come there when Mr. Dent could not. I told them that Mr. Dent was detained by the whole foreign community; that the Commissioner had selected him, apparently, as the representative of the community, and the community would not allow him to go as their representative, but that I came in my private capacity; that Mr. Dent did not fear to come as far as he alone was concerned, but that it was the foreign community who would not allow him. He then said that the Commissioner wanted him alone, and did not want him as the representative of the foreign community, and he then repeated again that Mr. Dent must come. I then told him that Mr. Dent could not go; that he could not give up his, opium in that way; that the opium did not belong to him or to the foreign community in general; that the greater part of it belonged to other people, some in India and some in England, and that we could not by our own laws give up other people's property in that way, without some equivalent for it. I told him that I was coming to England myself, and that I should be liable to my own laws if I gave up the property in that way. To which the criminal judge, who was the spokesman, answered, "We know nothing about your laws; the Commissioner is a very great man sent down with a special authority from the Emperor here; he has ordered Mr. Dent to come before him, and Mr. Dent must come;" and went on repeating the same over and over again for about a quarter of a hour, repeating that I must go and show Mr. Dent that he must come. I answered that I would show him, but that I could not promise for his coming; and it ended at last in his repeating the same thing to me again, and I believe Mr. Thom's examination was something to the same effect. After this we were taken again before the same officers we had met with at the Consoo-house, and there Mr. Thom remained for, I suppose, an hour talking with them, and explaining the difficulties, more especially to the Commissioner's own officers, and stated that we must have more time to think about it before we could give up a large amount of property like that. The conversation went on, I think, for an hour to that effect, and the officer of the Commissioner seemed to take a great interest in it, and to listen attentively to everything that Mr. Thom said; but always repeating that Mr. Dent must go in the next morning. After this we were dismissed, and went home. When we came home the Hong merchants were all there again, this was at nine or ten o'clock, insisting that Mr. Dent must go in the next morning. We then recollected for the first time (one

of the missionaries reminded me) that the next day was Sunday, which not one Robert Inglis, Esq. of us had ever thought of in the confusion of the day. The moment that was suggested, we stated it to the Hong merchants. They were as pleased as we 7 May 1840. were with the prospect of getting a day's grace, and proposed immediately that we should write and state that. That was done, and in a quarter of an hour we had an answer that Mr. Dent was to go in at 10 o'clock on the Monday. On Sunday afternoon, about six o'clock, Captain Elliot arrived at Canton, and relieved us from all further responsibility.

- 24. Mr. Hogg.] You said that three gentlemen that spoke Chinese accompamied you when you went before the officers?—Yes; first Mr. Thom was taken; he interpreted for himself; then they took me with a Chinese interpreter, and then they took the other two together. I should state, that on the Wednesday prior to this, Mr. Astell, one of the East India Company's agents there, had written down to Macao, just before our communication was cut off. He had hired a Chinese boat, to take information of what was going on, to Macao, and he wrote to Captain Elliot, and that was the only knowledge that Captain Elliot had of the circumstance at all, from a private letter by Mr. Astell. On the Saturday afternoon, while the discussion about Mr. Dent was going on, Mr. Astell got an answer from Macao, I think not from Captain Elliot himself, but he heard of Captain Elliot's proclamation, which he had issued there. Previous to this, one of the houses in Canton, Messrs. Bell & Co., had put their opium into Mr. Johnston's hands, the second superintendent, saying that they looked to him, as Her Majesty's representative, for the security of the property. I remember telling him also that Dent & Co. would do the same, if Mr. Dent was taken into the city. I told Mr. Dent himself when we were talking of his going in, "If you like to go in, I have no doubt they will keep you there; we shall hear nothing of you for a few days, and of course be uneasy about you; but we will not give up one chest of opium to your order, unless we have reason to believe that they are ill-treating you; if they should be torturing you, or anything of that kind, we shall have no hesitation about it; but the moment you go in we will put the whole of our opium into Mr. Johnston's hands, and leave it to him to do as he likes about it."
- 25. Chairman.] Did Mr. Johnston accept the charge of the opium given up.to him by Bell & Co.?—Yes, he accepted it immediately, without any guarantee or anything.
- 26. Mr. Gladstone. When was this?—He accepted it on Saturday the 23d; Mr. Johnston told me that he had accepted it. An hour or two afterwards I heard of what Captain Elliot had done at Macao; and, in speaking with him again upon the subject, he said, "Now I know what Captain Elliot has done, I have no doubt of my course." He did not say what that course was. I mention this, because the inference of course was, that Captain Elliot had considered the opium question before, and what was to be done under any circumstances of that kind, and that Mr. Johnston knew his opinion upon the subject.
- 27. Chairman.] Did you consider that observation as implying his willingness to take charge of your opium?-Decidedly, but not to engage for any indemnity.
- 28. Sir William Somerville.] Did you sign any paper, putting your opium into the hands of Mr. Johnston?—I did not, but other parties did; I never put our opium into his hands, because Mr. Dent did not go into the city.
- 29. Chairman.] When did Captain Elliot arrive at Canton?—At six o'clock on Sunday afternoon.
- 30. Sir William Somerville.] You said that the impression upon your mind was, that Commissioner Lin intended to pay for this opium which he was about to seize?—When I first got his edict of the 18th I took it to Mr. Morrison, and he read it over to me then, and I made him repeat one or two expressions in it. It was to me the most remarkable edict which I had ever seen of the Chinese; I particularly made him read over that passage about the Commissioner promising that if we complied with his request he would report our conduct to the Emperor to reward us,
- 31. It was from an expression in the edict that you were led to calculate upon being paid for the opium, not from any general impression?—Not at that moment; I went home and reported this to my partners. We took the thing into consideration, and I told them I felt sure, from the wording of the edict, D.59.

7. May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. that the Commissioner was determined to have opium out of us, but that I thought he was going to pay us for it in some shape or way. Afterwards, when I was in the city, I was talking with Howqua about it, and his object in the conversation appeared to be to ascertain what the value of the opium was, and this, coupled with other circumstances that had occurred, left an impression upon my mind that he was ascertaining it himself, with a view to see what we would take as compensation. Moreover, while they were asking Mr. Dent to go into the city, Mowqua, who is the second Hong merchant, a most respectable man, asked my partner, Mr. Braine, in whose department the opium was, if we would be content with 400 dollars a chest for the opium; consequently I thought that the Hong merchants at that moment fully believed that they would have to pay for the opium; I had no doubt that their object in taking Mr. Dent. into the city was to get his opium from him, and my impression was that they believed that they would have to pay for the opium. Whether they had any reason for believing that, more than the general policy of the government, I cannot say.

- 32. Mr. Gladstone.] Is not a guarantee given by the Hong merchants for the foreigners who reside at Canton, to the effect of rendering them liable to be called upon in case of the foreigners being summoned by the Chinese government on account of any such traffic as the opium traffic?—I believe they are security to their own government for the good behaviour of the foreigners.
- 33. Would you not say that they were security for the foreigners to this extent, that the foreigners should not be engaged in any contraband traffic?—Yes, certainly; they have always been made security for that.
- 34. Mr. Edward Buller.] What was the nature of the security demanded from the kwang-chow-foo for Mr. Dent; was it a security with regard to his personal safety, or a security for his return?—A security for his immediate return.
- 35. You were under no apprehension with regard to Mr. Dent's life, if he had. gone without that security?—I was not, but many were; his own brother came to me in a state of great excitement, and he said that he knew that I wished his brother to go in; and he said, that if his brother went into the city he was sure he would be put to death, and that he would lay his death at my door.
- 36. Mr. Hogg.] What was your object in asking Mr. Johnston to take charge of your opium, in the event of Mr. Dent going into the city?—I do not know that I put that question to him, whether he would take it or not; but having heard that he had already accepted opium from other parties, I told him that Dent & Co. would do the same thing if Mr. Dent was taken into the city.
- 37. You were understood to say that he acceded to that?—Not with reference to Dent & Co.; he did for other parties, and gave them a written authority
- 38. What was your object in making that suggestion to him?—Mr. Johnston had never had any responsibility of the kind upon him; and I said to him at the time, "I suppose you must take it, but do not engage yourself any further than is necessary." I thought he put it to me, as much as to say, What do you think about this? and I said, "You must take it, I presume, but do not go into any engagement further than is necessary." I felt sure that Captain Elliot would be up, and my object was merely, as I would speak to a young man in a friendly manner, to counsel him not to go into any further engagement than was absolutely his duty to do; and it was then that I said to him, "You will have a great deal more put into your hands, for Dent & Co. will put theirs also into your hands, if Mr. Dent goes into the city.'
- 39. What is the reason that would have influenced Dent & Co. in doing that? For security. I told Mr. Dent, "If you go into the city, we will put this opium directly out of our own power, and we will not give the opium up, even to your written order." Because I believed they were going almost to force it from him, and they might have got an order under such circumstances, that I would not have complied with it. But I should have left it to Her Majesty's representative to do as he pleased about it, and put the responsibility off the shoulders of Dent & Co. on to those of Her Majesty's Superintendent.
- 40. Mr. Gladstone.] When you speak of giving the opium into the care of Mr. Johnston, you mean into his bona fide possession?—We were accustomed to. transfer

transfer the opium to other parties by written orders. We should have trans- Robert Inglis, Esq. ferred it to him, leaving him to give it to the Chinese if he chose.

Al. Sir George Grey. You would have parted with all legal right over that 7 May 1840.

41. Sir George Grey.] You would have parted with all legal right over that opium?—Entirely.

42. Mr. Hogg.] Your object would have been to put the opium beyond your own control, and to throw the whole responsibility of it upon Mr. Johnston?——

43. Mr. Gladstone.] You were understood to say that Mr. Dent in the first instance was willing himself to have gone into the city?—Yes, on the Friday when he was first applied to; we had consultations about it amongst the partners, and we all thought that he should go in.

44. He afterwards became unwilling to go?—He afterwards became unwilling. I think on any part of the Saturday he would have gone, had he got the Com-

missioner's guarantee.

- 45. When you say that he was willing in the first instance, do you mean that he was willing to go in without a guarantee?—I do not think the guarantee came into question till the Saturday or the Friday night. The object was rather to defer the thing, in order to ascertain what the object of the Commissioner was.
- 46. Did any circumstances in the course of the Saturday throw additional light upon the object of the Commissioner?—Yes, one of the Hong merchants avowed that Mr. Dent was to be detained there, and then when the whole foreign community opposed his going, he was scarcely master of his own acts.
- 47. Did the avowal of that Hong merchant produce any effect upon your own mind; that is, if you had been in Mr. Dent's circumstances, would you have gone in if you had been the person demanded, after you had heard that avowal from the Hong merchant?—I would have gone at any time up to the Monday night; in fact the whole of Monday morning I sat at home fully expecting to be asked to go.

48. To what extent did your apprehension go with respect to Mr. Dent; did you suppose that he would be detained for a short time, or for an indefinite time?—I thought he would be detained till they got the opium from him.

- 49. Did you apprehend that he would be subject to ill-treatment?—No; perhaps I had better mention that Mr. Dent was probably the most popular man amongst all the foreigners with the Chinese. It was not from any enmity to him that he was selected; quite the contrary. My own belief is that they fixed upon him because they knew him to be a very kind-hearted man, and that they were going to get the opium from him by tormenting the Hong merchants. He was a great friend of the Hong merchants, and I think they were going to annoy the Hong merchants, probably to make them kneel before him in chains, and to put a wooden collar about their necks, and to work upon his feelings by that means.
- 50. You think their exclusive object in sending for him was to obtain possession of the opium, by means of confining him, and that they selected him because they thought he was the individual through whom they could most easily attain that object?—That is my own opinion about it; but this is also to be said, that he was one of the oldest residents in China, though not the oldest then concerned in the opium trade, and he was at the head of his firm at the time; whereas there was only one other firm of equal standing in the opium trade, the nominal head of which had left China just before; so that that may have been the reason for taking Mr. Dent.
- 51. Was the guarantee of the chief magistrate of Canton a guarantee for his release within any given time?—No, he did not give his guarantee; the other two officers gave him a guarantee, but the kwang-chow-foo, I think, did not give his guarantee. He said he could not tell what Commissioner Lin might intend to do; but he said that his own opinion was, that Mr. Dent would return immediately. This opinion, however, was quite contrary to that of the Hong merchants, who were by far the best authority.
- 52. Did the other two officers give their guarantee?—Yes, they were ready to promise anything in the world.

53. You did not place reliance upon their guarantee?—Not the slightest.
54. You said that many of the foreign community were aware that the chief magistrate would not give his guarantee for the immediate return of Mr. Dent?

0.59. B 4 — No,

Robert Inglis, Esq. - No, they wanted the guarantee of Commissioner Lin, a paper signed with his stamp. I never believed he would give it for a moment; but I do not think

that was the general opinion.

55. When you used the expression, "the whole foreign community," were ten merchants of other nations included in that?—Yes, I think everybody was in our house in the course of that day. They went and came, so that I could not say who was there at any moment. Mr. Johnston was in our house the greatest part of the day; but he was in a room by himself, and he was not present when the question about Mr. Dent's going in was discussed.

56. Did Mr. King, the American merchant, take any part in that discussion?

I do not think he took any part, but I dare say he was there.

57. Can you describe the degree of duress which was suffered by the foreign community previously to the arrival of Captain Elliot?—There was no duress

further than preventing our leaving Canton.

- 58. Was there any stoppage of the supply of provisions?—No; nor had ourservants left us even; we were not troubled in any way previous to Captain Elliot's arrival, further than that we were not allowed to leave Canton; and I think our communications were cut off by writing, but I am not certain about
- 59. Then the opium which was made over to Mr. Johnston by certain parties was made over to him before there was any actual duress?—Yes, further than the detention in Canton.
- 60. Had you any reason to suppose, that if you had been the individual demanded by the Chinese, instead of Mr. Dent, they would have treated you better than they would have treated him?—No; I think rather worse, probably; the treatment would have been such as I should have disliked excessively. because I should have been put in a temple, and exposed to the whole of the mob, where you are surrounded by all the servants and the people of the establishment.
- 61. You, yourself, with Mr. Thom, placed yourselves completely in the power of the Chinese?—Entirely; but both Mr. Thom and myself came away from the temple that night, with a full belief that Mr. Dent ought to go into the city.

62. You were received kindly?—Quite so.

63. How do you account for the circumstance that so much apprehension was entertained by the foreign community on account of Mr. Dent, and yet you. yourself and Mr. Thom were allowed to place yourselves in the power of the Chinese?—I never asked the foreign community about myself; I did it as an act of my own, and for which Captain Elliot blamed me very much, and con-

vinced me that I should have been wrong in going into the city.

64. When you went yourself, in conjunction with Mr. Thom, do you think the foreign community generally were aware of it?—Yes, many of them begged me not to go; an old resident in China reasons upon a great number of things. that a man who has come later would not think of; they are those kind of reasons which are founded upon the impressions derived during a long residence; I was convinced that they wanted Mr. Dent, and nobody else; and, therefore, I was sure that they would let me out again, in order to induce Mr. Dent to go in; I felt quite certain about it, but this might not have operated with me on the Monday; if I had gone in on the Monday, I should have no longer had that feeling of safety; but on the Saturday I had no more doubt about coming back. safely, than I have about going out of this room safely.

65. Then the feeling of the foreigners, with respect to your going into the city, was very much the same as about Mr. Dent's?—Yes; the general feeling

was decidedly against it.

- 66. You have expressed a very strong opinion that Mr. Dent was the only person whom the Chinese wished to get, and that therefore they would not be anxious to detain you; can you state the reasons of that opinion? Why might not the Chinese have sought to attain the same object through your personal detention, as they appear to have sought through Mr. Dent's personal detention?—Because they might have kept the Hong merchants kneeling in chains. for a month before I would have given up the opium. I confess I was not so tender-hearted as Mr. Dent.
- 67. Would you have gone there resolved to undergo all extremities?—I did not expect any great extremities. As regards the firm of Dent & Company, after getting the viceroy's edict, and talking over the matter, we agreed amongst ourselves,

ourselves, that if the community would all act together upon any feasible plan, Robert Inglis, Esq of course we would go, in the first instance, with the community; but if they would not, and if each had to fight their own battle, that Mr. Dent should go into the city and make the best bargain for Dent and Company, the belief of us all being that the Commissioner did intend to pay for this opium; and up to Monday night I should have gone with the belief, though I knew I could not have done it so well as Mr. Dent, still that it might lead to that.

68. Is it your impression that the Chinese would have held Mr. Dent responsible for any opium beyond his own personal control?—No; from what I had seen subsequently I have no doubt that part of the object was to make him sign penal bonds. I have no doubt that that was part of the intention.

69. You think the idea of penal bonds existed at that time?—I have no doubt of it. I did not think of it at that time; but I have no doubt that that was part of the intention. I think that if he had done what they wished, they would have dismissed Mr. Dent with very great credit for his complying with the imperial mandate, and allowed him to load all the ships he had got through his consignment in Canton, as an inducement for others to do the same

thing.

- 70. In the event of Mr. Dent having gone into the city of Canton, and refused to make an order for the surrender of the opium, what do you then think would have been the result, protracted imprisonment, or anything else?—I do not think the result would have depended upon him, because the moment Captain Elliot came up, the thing would have come upon Captain Elliot, because he must have demanded to get Mr. Dent out of the city. I was prepared to go into the city myself up to Monday night. I sat the whole of Monday morning within hearing of Consoo-house, fully expecting they would come for me every moment. I thought that having already gone voluntarily once, I could not refuse to go again.
- 71. Supposing that Captain Elliot, using all the means in his power to get Mr. Dent out of the hands of the Chinese authorities, had been unable to do so, in addition to the previous supposition that Mr. Dent had placed himself in their hands and refused to surrender the opium, what do you think would have been the result?—They would have kept him in confinement.

72. Do you think nothing more?—I think nothing more.

- 73. Mr. E. Buller.] You mentioned that Messrs. Bell had given up their opium, are you aware of any others that gave up their opium?—I heard just before I came into this room of Captain Thacker having done so; I think Mr. Daniell told me that he told Mr. Johnston that he was going to do the
- 74. Mr. Hogg.] Was Mr. Dent selected as having control over a greater quantity of opium than any other individual?—The Chinese said that they knew that he had got 5,000 chests.
- 75. Had Mr. Dent and your firm control over a greater quantity of opium than any other firm?—Not at all; he gave up only 1,700 chests, whereas others gave up 7,000. The Commissioner chose to assert that he had got 5,000 chests, but he never had.
- 76. Do you suppose that the Commissioner in selecting him was influenced by the belief that he had control over a greater quantity of opium than any other . merchant?—No; the Commissioner had too good information for that.
- 77. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you know of any other merchants who intended to deliver their opium to Mr. Johnston?—No, I do not, except Mr. Daniell, which "I have only learnt to-day:

78. Had you any conversation with other merchants interested in the opium trade with reference to the surrender of opium to Captain Elliot or Mr. Johnston

before the arrival of Captain Elliot?—No.

79. Then you were aware of no intention existing on the part of the merchants to abandon their opium to the care of Mr. Johnston or of Captain Elliot?—Not at all; I mentioned what I had myself said to Mr. Dent about it, but I never heard of anybody else talking of such a thing till Mr. Johnston had already accepted some of it.

80. After he had accepted it, and before the arrival of Captain Elliot, were there any others who had expressed an intention of giving up their opium to the care of Mr. Johnston?—No, I do not recollect that ever being discussed at all. 0.59. I think

7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. I think many like Dent & Company may have been looking to that when an

absolute necessity came.

7. May 1840.

81 Why should one

81. Why should one firm have surrendered their opium when no others did so, or had any intention of doing it, except that the firm of Dent & Company intended to take the same course which had been taken by Bell & Company in case Mr. Dent went into the city?—This property did not belong to ourselves; it belonged to others; and it would naturally occur to anybody to wish to throw off the responsibility of giving up opium belonging to others from their own shoulders on to the shoulders of Her Majesty's Superintendent.

82. Then, although you are not aware of any intention having existed on the part of other merchants, still you are inclined to think that it is the course they would have adopted?—I think it is very likely that the whole of the merchants would have done that; I have scarcely a doubt that the Parsees would have done

it; it is the course that, I think, they would certainly have adopted.

83. Sir George Grey.] That was intended as merely a temporary step for their own security?—I can only speak for the intention of Dent & Co., which was to throw off the responsibility from their own shoulders on to those of Her

Majesty's Superintendent.

84. Not permanently to part with the right of property, but merely to transfer it to the hands of the Superintendent, for the purposes of temporary security?—Yes; but Dent & Co.'s idea was this. His own partners told him, "If you choose to go into the city we will not give up the opium, even to your written orders, but we shall give it into the Superintendent's hands; and we will not interfere with him to get him to give it up, unless we know that they are torturing you."

85. Did Mr. Johnston give any acknowledgment for the opium he received?

—I found just now that Captain Thacker had got a written acknowledgment

for it.

86. Chairman.] You alluded to the character of a Chinese mob; can you state anything as to the treatment that would be received from them?—They are very insolent; and it is very unpleasant to be exposed to such a thing.

- 87. Mr. E. Buller.] You could not yourself understand their language?—No; but you understand their gestures. When I went into the city we were very civilly treated; we had tea and cakes given to us; but while they were preparing the hall in the temple for the reception of the great mandarins, they turned us out into the passage, and there we were surrounded by all the porters and servants of the establishment.
- 88. Sir C. Lemon.] Is there any excitement, on the part of the crowd themselves, against foreigners?—When I went into the city we were taken a long way through the city, evidently to avoid a large crowd collected in front of the temple; when the crowd heard we were going along there, they made a rush, with the most dreadful yelling, to get at us, and they came close to us; but there was luckily a gate in one of the narrow streets, and the persons attending us shut that gate.

89. Was it with a hostile feeling that the crowd made a rush towards you?—I cannot say as to that. It had been reported beforehand that the kwang-chow-foo had gone expressly to bring one or two foreigners, and they presumed that they would be brought as prisoners; and I think they would have delighted

in it.

90. Sir R. Peel.] Did the mob take part with the constituted authorities?—I really hardly know; it was believed at first that they took part with Commissioner Lin.

91. There was a national feeling which led them to support the constituted authorities?—Yes, I think it would be a great satisfaction to any Chinese mob

to see a foreigner humbled in any way.

- 92. Sir W. Somerville.] Had you a guard of soldiers?—I think not in going, but in coming back again the kwang-chow-foo came to the steps of the temple, and called out aloud, that if any persons attempted to injure the foreigners in any way, they were to be seized and punished, and we went back with an immense guard with us; the streets were lined with soldiers the whole way.
- 93. At the time the gate was closed to keep off the mob there were no soldiers with you?—I do not recollect that there were any soldiers at that time.
 - 94. Sir R. Peel.] The mob must have been aware that the main object of the Commissioner

Commissioner was to seize the opium, and consequently to prevent the supply Robert Inglis, Esq. of opium?—I think they knew nothing more than that the kwang-chow-foo had

been sent by the Commissioner to bring a foreigner into the city.

95. Why should they use offensive gestures towards the foreigner, unless they took part with the constituted authorities?—That was when I was in the temple; it was the common porters, and servants of that kind; it was not anything unusual.

96. You were understood to say, that there being a conflict between the foreigners and the government, the mob took part with the government?-No, it was nothing more than may occur at any time, and which would occur probably in this country if a foreigner, under any circumstances of discredit, was to get amongst a mob here. There was nothing unusual in that, they were merely servants belonging to that temple.

97. Chairman.] You mean that, generally speaking, that class of people are indisposed against foreigners?—At that moment the excitement was very much like what I remember to have existed in this country, at the time of the Queen's trial, against an Italian witness whom I remember to have seen in London.

98. Sir George Grey.] Was the excitement upon this occasion the result merely of their antipathy to a foreigner, or did it arise from the particular circumstances under which the foreigner had been brought into the city, as connected with the opium trade?—The excitement of the mob was mere curiosity; they expected, I have no doubt, to see a foreigner brought in chains into the city, and they went to see it as a mob here would go to see a prisoner carried through the streets.

99. You mean without reference to the offence, or supposed offence, which had

induced the authorities to put the foreigner in chains?—Yes.

100. Sir C. Lemon.] It was the very reverse of sympathy with you?—Yes, I conceive it to be exactly what there might have been in this city if a mob knew that any number of people were to be carried in chains through the city. was an immense yelling and a noise, and there was a rush of the mob. It was a horrible noise, and it was alarming for the moment, because they came very close to us, and there was a difficulty to get the gate shut.

101. Sir R. Peel.] Supposing that gate not to have been there, should you have apprehended danger from an actual contact with the people?—I apprehended danger from the immense mob shoving one another on in a little street

six feet wide.

102. Not from the mob wilfully intending to do you any injury, but merely from the pressure?—Yes.

103. Then you think the mob was entirely indifferent to the cause of the

dispute?—Entirely.

104. Sir W. Somerville.] Commissioner Lin had been about 10 days in the city?—Yes, he arrived on the 10th, and I went in on the 23d.

105. Do not you think it was known all over the city that he was come for the supposed purpose of putting down the opium trade?—Certainly.

106. That was known to all the mob that were surrounding you at that time? -Yes, they had every reason to believe that kwang-chow-foo had gone to bring somebody into the city.

107. Lord Sandon.] But you do not think the excitement of the mob had

any connexion with the opium question whatever?--None whatever.

108. Mr. Hogg.] Had the edicts requiring the foreigners to deliver up the opium within three days been published generally among the Chinese, or had they been addressed only to the foreigners?—I think not at that time; they were posted up afterwards.

109. Then you do not believe that, at the time you are speaking of, the contents of the edicts calling upon the foreigners peremptorily to deliver up the opium within three days were generally known?—I think it is very likely that

the substance of them was known.

110. Chairman.] How long were you in the city altogether?—About two hours.

111. Lord Sandon.] Had not a man been executed for dealing in opium before that time?—Yes.

112. That must have made the question at issue between the government and the foreigners pretty notorious to all the people in the place?—Yes, certainly; they all knew the object of the Commissioner's coming.

113. Mr.

Robert Inglis, Esq.

7 May 1840.

113. Mr. Horsman.] Did not some collision between the mob and the foreign community take place upon the occasion of that execution?—Yes.

114. And the foreign community were protected, were they not, by the interference of the authorities?—Yes, they were; it was understood that the popular feeling was very much in favour of the Commissioner during all the first part of his proceedings.

115. Upon the occasion of that collision which took place between the foreign community and the mob, did that arise from the mob having a strong feeling in favour of the execution of the individual who was condemned for smuggling?—
I believe not at all; I was not present at that time, but from the accounts I heard of it, and from the printed accounts, is seems that the mob rather took part with the foreigners than otherwise, at first; in fact, they applauded the foreigners.

116. Did not that execution take place opposite the British factory?—The

execution did not take place there, because the foreigners prevented it.

117. Was it not to take place there, as a warning, especially to people engaged

in the opium trade?—Yes.

- 118. Upon that occasion the British community endeavoured to prevent the execution, and the mob came into collision with them, and endeavoured to carry the execution into effect?—The mob were entirely with them as to preventing the execution, but after that was done, one of the foreigners struck one of the Chinamen, and then it led to a general fight, and then, of course, they became exceedingly vindictive against the foreigners.
- 119. Sir W. Somerville.] You said just now that the conduct of the mob was such as a mob in this country would be inclined to adopt against any stranger brought into the city under circumstances of discredit; was the general impression upon the part of the Chinese mob, that the dealing in opium reflected any sort of discredit upon an individual engaged in it?—I think, so far as regards that mob that came to see me, it was more from a feeling of curiosity to see a foreigner, and a little feeling of exultation at seeing a foreigner humbled in that way.

120. In no way connected with the opium?—I do not see why it should be; I conceive there would have been the same mob if I had gone in for any other

purpose.

121. Mr. Colquhoun.] There have been visits of British merchants to the city before?—Yes.

122. Upon those visits have they been met by similar mobs?—Captain Elliot

was knocked down by one, although he went in full uniform.

123. So that in ordinary times a British merchant entering the streets of Canton would be met by a large mob, and would be exposed to crowding, and perhaps to insulting gestures?—Certainly; in going out of our usual limits.

124. Mr. Herbert.] Were not the British residents permitted three days in the week to have access to the city, and to walk about beyond the boundary of the factory?—No.

125. Lord Sandon.] On what day was the last execution?—I do not recollect; there was only one real execution; upon the first occasion the foreigners prevented it; but upon the second occasion the man was actually executed.

126. What was the date of that?—That I do not recollect, but that must have

been not long before the Commissioner's proceedings.

127. Did you consider that it was intended as a warning against the opium trade?—Yes.

- 128. You did not consider it as a wanton insult, but as having that purpose in view?—I believe that it was preparatory to something else; and judging from what has occurred subsequently. I believe that that was one of several measures that were intended to intimidate us, and to get us to submit readily to Commissioner Lin's demands.
- 129. Sir W. Somerville.] Did the last execution take place some time before Commissioner Lin's arrival?—I cannot say how long; I am not even sure that it was before his arrival; I am certain it was before his edicts.
- 130. Mr. Horsman.] You said that some opium was given up to Mr. Johnston before Captain Elliot's arrival, and you said you gave him some advice about it?—He mentioned to me that he had had some opium given up to him; and having always been a personal friend of his, I conceived that he put it in that way,

as much as to say, "What do you think about it;" and I said, "I suppose you Robert Inglis, Esq. must take that opium, but do not commit yourself further than is necessary. I felt myself sure that Captain Elliot would come up, as many others did, I believe; and all that I meant to say was, you had better it to leave him, believing that Mr. Johnston had never been left in a situation of responsibility before.

7 May 1840.

131. And you told him you should probably put your own opium under his charge?—I said, "I dare say you will have a good deal more put under your charge, and Dent & Co. will put theirs, if Mr. Dent goes into the city."

132. You said that you gave him advice, because Mr. Johnston was young and inexperienced?—I supposed that he had never been placed in a situation of

responsibility before.

133. Had he been accustomed to take an active part as a member of the Commission?—Not at all, I think.

134. Everything had been done by Captain Elliot?—Yes.

- 135. In Captain Elliot's absence you had no person whom you could trust as a representative of the Government?—No; I merely mentioned that as the reason why I came to be talking with Mr. Johnston about it; but subsequently to that Mr. Johnston heard of what Captain Elliot had done at Macao; and he then said to me that he had no kind of difficulty about his course of acting; he had had no communication himself from Captain Elliot, but had merely heard what Captain Elliot had done there; and his remark was, that he had no kind of difficulty about his course of acting; he did not say what that course of acting was
- 136. Mr. E. Buller.] You mean, by these acts of Captain Elliot, which came to the knowledge of Mr. Johnston, the directions of Captain Elliot to the people at Hong-kong?—Yes, to put themselves under the command of Captain Blake,
- 137. Chairman.] When did Captain Elliot arrive at Canton?—About six o'clock on Sunday afternoon. I think five minutes after I had heard of his arrival he was in our house in full uniform, and demanded Mr. Dent to go with him to his house.

138. Did he use any influence or authority over Mr. Dent?—He merely com. manded him in the Queen's name to accompany him to his own house.

139. You do not know how he became aware of all the circumstances that had occurred?—The only way in which he had become aware of anything that had occurred was from a private letter from Mr. Astell. Mr. Astell told me himself that he had asked Mr. Johnston if he had written to Captain Elliot to inform him of what was going on. Mr. Johnston said he had not, nor did he think of doing it; and then Mr. Astell himself, probably having other occasion to write, got a China boat to go to Macao, and he wrote a note to Captain Elliot to tell him what was going on; and it was upon that advice, I believe, that Captain Elliot put forth that declaration at Macao, and came up.

140. What induced Captain Elliot immediately upon his arrival to come to Mr. Dent?—I suppose he had made up his mind to take Mr. Dent under his protection; he had stopped at Whampoa, and he would hear something of it

there.

141. You imagine that his reason for coming to Mr. Dent was, that he had heard of the demand of the Chinese government for Mr. Dent to go into the city?—He had heard of it from Mr. Astell.

142. Mr. Colquhoun.] You said written communications were interrupted? So they were; Mr. Astell's was the last communication that was made, and he

had, I believe, bribed very high to get a Chinaman to take it.

143. Chairman.] Did Mr. Dent remain with Captain Elliot ?—Captain Elliot took him by the collar, and led him away to his own house through the mob. Mr. Dent remained there during the whole time; he never came to his own house again, and has never been there since.

144. Mr. E. Buller.] In fact Captain Elliot took him into custody —He did; he commanded him, in the Queen's name, to accompany him, and he led him away by the collar from our house to the square; at least I understood that was

the case, through a very dense mob.

145. Sir R. Peel.] His taking him by the collar was to show the mob that he was determined to carry his intentions into effect; it was not done in hostility to Mr. Dent?—Not at all; he was in full uniform. Mr. Dent appeared a little puzzled 0.59.

.7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis. Esq. puzzled about it, and he appealed to myself and to our other partners to know what he must do, and we said of course he must go. I took Captain Elliot to the terrace at the top of our house, and showed him that there were 12 Chinamen lying around the factory to guard Mr. Dent. On the terrace on one side of the house I could see three men lying on each side, so as to command a view of every I remember taking Captain Elliot there, and begging him part of the house. to be witness of that.

146. Chairman.] Will you explain exactly what you mean by the word "factory." To what sort of place do you apply the name of factory to; is it a collection of houses, or an inclosed space?—There are different hongs; which hong is a thing something like the back part of the Albany Chambers here; a narrow passage, with houses on each side of it, and each of those houses we call

a factory; I should call it a house, perhaps.

147. Mr. Hogg.] Were the men planted merely to watch, or were they armed?—I think not.

148. Your opinion is that they were planted merely to watch the motions of Mr. Dent?—Yes, they vanished directly they saw Captain Elliot, in five

minutes, and they were never there again.

149. Chairman.] Will you proceed to detail the occurrences on Monday and the following day?—On the Monday morning I never left our house myself; I stayed at home, because I thought it exceedingly likely that the Chinese authorities would come for me, having missed Mr. Dent. I heard an immense noise going on the whole day at the Consoo-house, which was within hearing of my windows, and I was every moment expecting them to send for me. It was only when the correspondence was published here that I knew what that noise was about, from which I see that Captain Elliot was in correspondence with the parties the whole day; that the kwang-chow-foo was wishing him to go to this Consoo-house, and there is an official document on the part of the Chinese, stating that Captain Elliot had been expected to go there; that Captain Elliot first invited the mandarins to come to his house, but that they would not do; but the kwang-chow-foo had gone to the Consoo-house to see Captain Elliot, and the Viceroy states, that neither Captain Elliot nor any other of the foreigners would show their heads; in fact, it was then taken out of the power of the foreigners altogether. I myself would have gone at any moment up to Monday night, and it was understood that Mr. Thom and Mr. Fearon, the interpreters, were invited to go to the Commissioner on the Monday, but that Captain Elliot prevented their going; so that in fact the power of communicating with the Chinese was entirely taken out of our hands by Captain Elliot. I would have gone at any moment, up to nine o'clock on the Monday night; but at nine o'clock I went over to Captain Elliot's house, and talking with him upon the subject, he blamed me very much for having gone into the city in the first instance, and commanded me not to go into the city again, should I be required; and he stated a reason which quite satisfied me that I was wrong, which was this, that had I gone into the city, he should have been obliged to go into the city to get me out; and he would have done so, I have no doubt, so that I should have got him into so much trouble. In fact, I should have done no good by going there, but I should have risked myself, and the whole weight of the thing would have fallen upon him; and from that moment I gave up any idea of going in, though it hever was actually in question.

150. Will you state what next took place?—On Tuesday, about 3 o'clock in the day, my partner, Mr. Braine, who had been to Captain Elliot's house, came and told me that Captain Elliot was going to demand the opium to be given up to him. I asked him then upon what terms. He said he could not quite make out. I said, "Is Captain Elliot going to pay us for the opium, or going to give us any pledge for it?" He said that he had been asking that, but that Captain Elliot did not seem to be quite determined about it. I said, "We must know this, of course, before we can consider about it, because there is no reason why we should give up our opium to Captain Elliot more than to the Chinese, unless we have some indemnity for it," and I told Mr. Braine that he had better go back again and ascertain the fact. However, Mr. Braine asked me if I would go and speak to Captain Elliot about it. We went to the Superintendent's Hall, and there Mr. Dent and Mr. Braine, my partners, and myself, had a conversation with Captain Elliot about it. We asked him what he intended about it, and after a little while we asked Captain Elliot if he was quite

certain of what he was about; if he was quite sure of his grounds, and that he Robert Inglis, Esq. had authority to do it. He said he was quite certain, and that he had determined to do it on his way up from Macao. We then asked him if he intended to make the Government liable for this opium, because we stated that we could not give it up to him any more than to the Chinese, unless it was an act of necessity, or unless we had an indemnification for it; and he then stated that he meant to make the Government decidedly liable for it. We got him to repeat that over once or twice, and I remember before leaving him I told him there was still time to retract the thing, and to be sure that he felt he was quite justified in what he was doing by the authority he had, but he seemed to have no doubt about it himself. Upon this I returned home, and we considered the matter, and we thought there was nothing else to be done but to give up the opium to him. About 10 o'clock at night I went over to the hall again to see Mr. Dent. As I was passing Captain Elliot's door he beckoned me in. and he said that he was writing out the order to give up the opium, and he wished me to hear it read, to see that he had not made any verbal error in it; and then I again asked Captain Elliot if he was quite sure that he was borne out by his instructions from his Government in doing it. I told him that there was still time to retract, and we had a long conversation about it, and he said that he was quite certain that he was entirely justified in what he was doing, and that it was a thing he had made up his mind to do and meant to go through with. I remember his feeling at the time the responsibility under which he was acting. He wrote out while I was there an order, and he called in Mr. Dent also to hear it, to see that he had not committed himself verbally in anything unnecessary.

151. Sir Robert Peel.] You say you asked him if he was quite sure that he was borne out by his instructions; did you require from him a written assurance as to his construction of his authority?—No, certainly; I never saw his instruc-

152. The question is not whether you asked him to produce his instructions, but whether you asked him to give you in writing an assurance that he thought himself warranted by his instructions in demanding the opium?—Certainly not.

153. Lord Sandon.] Did he refer specifically to his instructions in giving this order?—Certainly; I asked whether he felt that he was quite authorized by his

instructions in demanding the opium.

154. Did he refer specifically to his instructions in making this demand?— Yes, in these terms; he said that he was quite authorized in what he was doing.

155. Chairman.] Are the Committee to understand that you asked him the question whether he was authorized by his instructions to make this demand?— Certainly, and his reply was, that he felt himself authorized to do what he was I conceived that Captain Elliot called me in to hear it as much as a personal friend, as from being concerned in it; not at all to give any opinion as to whether he was warranted by his instructions or not; he never consulted me upon that.

156. Sir George Grey. V Did your question refer to the written document containing his instructions from the Government here, or did it refer merely to the general authority he possessed as Superintendent?—To his general authority; I

was not aware of any particular instructions he had.

157. Lord Sandon! But your question was as to his instructions?—Not as to

any particular instructions.

158. You asked him whether, under his instructions, he was authorized to take such a step?—Under his instructions generally; perhaps it would be more correct to say, whether he had authority.

159. Mr. Strutt.] You are not sure that you used the word "instructions?"

-No.

160. Chairman.] Did you know that he had private instructions?—No; I had heard him talk about instructions. For instance, when he came up to Canton, in his various communications with the mandarins I had heard him speak of instructions, but not of any specific instructions.

161. Then you mean by the word "instructions" the general authority he received for the guidance of his conduct from the Government?—Certainly; I could not suppose that he had any instructions with reference to that particular

state of things, as to the opium being given up.

162. Sir

Robert Inglis, Esq. 7 May 1840.

162. Sir Charles Lemon.] Did you point out what would be the consequences to the merchants of his acting beyond his authority?—No; it was more from personal friendship to him than from anything else that I advised him to be sure, for his own sake, of what he was about. This was a private communication

entirely accidental.

163. Chairman.] You stated that Captain Elliot called you into the room to read the paper; will you proceed to state what took place next?—Before I went away he had called for the secretary, Mr. Elmslie, to copy it; and I begged him, as a favour to myself, not to have it copied till the next morning, till he had had a night's sleep. I wished him for his own sake to have a night's rest before he committed himself to a demand upon the merchants to deliver up the opium. which he promised to do, and it was not copied out till the next morning; and then it was sent forth very early in the morning, calling upon the merchants to give up the opium by six o'clock the same afternoon.

164. That was the close of the 26th?—Yes. I have seen it stated here in print that the merchants and Captain Elliot concocted this order. That is why I have particularly mentioned the only part I had to do with it. I have

stated all that I know about the thing.

165. Do you think that others were consulted previously to its being done?— I have no doubt that Mr. Matheson was consulted about it, because he was so

much more concerned in the opium trade than we were.

166. Do you think it was for the purpose of consulting them, or for the purpose of ascertaining whether ready obedience would be given to the order?— I suppose that, at the same time that Captain Elliot communicated to Mr. Braine that he intended to make that demand, he did the same to Mr. Matheson.

167. Did he consult you, or do you suppose that he consulted others as to the propriety of the step he was about to take?—Not at all; he never consulted me upon it. I only ventured to endeavour to ascertain how far he felt himself justified in what he was doing.

168. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you know whether he consulted Mr. Dent, who was in the house at the time?—I believe he never consulted him about it. I do not think Mr. Dent knew more about it than I did when I went there between three

and four o'clock.

169. Lord Sandon.] You say that Captain Elliot told you that he had decided upon this step before he came from Macao?—Yes.

170. Sir Robert Peel.] Before his arrival at Canton some merchants had delivered their opium to Mr. Johnston?—Yes.
171. Had they done that voluntarily?—Yes.

172. Rather seeking for an opportunity of delivering it?—I imagine that they had done it for the same reason for which I should have done it had my partner gone into the city, as I said before, in order to throw off the responsibility of giving up opium belonging to other parties from my shoulders on those of Her Majesty's representative.

173. When, therefore, Captain Elliot demanded the opium and coupled that demand with a promise of indemnity by the British Government, the merchants were glad to give it up?—It relieved them from responsibility; my own feeling was that the Chinese would certainly have it from us in one way or another; and conceiving myself obliged to give it up in one way or another, I would much rather give it up to Her Majesty's representative than the Chinese.

174. Therefore the order for the delivery met with the general concurrence of the holders of the opium?—Yes.

175. It was a popular measure with them?—I do not know what else we could do; I never heard any party there mention what else could be done.

176. It was a measure that met with the general concurrence of the parties holding the opium?—I think so.

177. Chairman.] Had you any consultation upon the subject of giving up the opium upon the 26th?—Never with other parties.

178. Mr. E. Buller.] Supposing that Captain Elliot had called for the opium without any guarantee; suppose he had said, we are all in duress, and the only way to relieve ourselves from the situation we are in is to comply with the demand of the Chinese government, and call upon the British community to surrender the opium, and you must trust to the British Government taking what course

course they think fit afterwards; do not you suppose that in that case the opium Robert Inglis, Esq. would have been equally surrendered?—No, I think not.

179. Sir Robert Peel. You said just now that you did not know what you could do, except to deliver it; what could you have done, supposing you had not delivered it?—We might have done it eventually, but not that day. I think we should have done what we wished to do originally, to fight the thing off as long as we could, and to try and make terms with the Chinese. Having the Queen's authority in China, and the Canton community being a good deal divided amongst themselves, as is the case in most small commercial communities, we have hardly the power of acting together in anything; we have never been obliged to do it, and therefore when the Queen's authority stepped in to relieve us from responsibility of that kind, about which we were not agreed as to what ought to be done, we were all very glad to take advantage of this circumstance, and to relieve ourselves from our responsibility.

180. Chairman.] Was there any consultation among the merchants with reference to the course that ought to be taken on the receipt of Captain Elliot's order?-None that I remember; privately the merchants talked together about

the thing.

181. Sir Charles Lemon.] What is the remonstrance to which the petition of the merchants refers. It is stated, "That after remonstrating in vain with Captain Elliot against such regulation, the British merchants then present did make a surrender of very large quantities of opium;" how was that remonstrance expressed?—I recollect nothing of the kind.

182. Was there any formal remonstrance made?—I recollect nothing of the

sort; I never recollect hearing of such a thing as a remonstrance.

183. Mr. E. Buller.] When Captain Elliot arrived, what was the impression among the merchants at Canton as to the authority of Captain Elliot; did they suppose him to be invested with an absolute authority over the merchants at Canton?—It was supposed that he would never do a thing of that kind, unless he was justified towards his own Government in doing it.

184. You were under the impression that his authority was so absolute, that you were bound to yield to it under any circumstances?—I think the question was rather thus; I must speak for Dent & Co., or rather for myself; my feeling was, that the Chinese would have opium out of us in some way or other. There was great difficulty in giving up opium belonging to other parties; but when the Queen's authority demanded us that we should give up that opium under indemnity, we supposed we were justified towards our employers in doing that; and that if any one party had refused to accept that guarantee, and the Government had paid the others, the responsibility of refusing so to give it up would have fallen upon the agent in China.

185. Sir W. Somerville.] You thought at all events you were certain of losing

your opium?—I thought so.

186. But that, by handing it over to the Chief. Commissioner, there was a chance of saving it?—I considered that the Government were pledged to

indemnify us.

187. Mr. E. Buller.] If you considered that Captain Elliot's authority was not so absolute as to justify you in surrendering the opium without an indemnity, how could it be the impression of the merchants at Canton that he had authority to demand it with indemnity?—The merchants at Canton never knew what authority he had.

188. But the act he performed was so acceptable to them that they never questioned his authority?—I questioned it to him, having a doubt whether he might not be acting a little precipitately; but when he seemed to be so perfectly aware of the responsibility of what he was doing, I could not imagine that he would do it unless he felt himself justified in doing it.

189. Were the merchants under the impression that his authority was equal to that of the supercargo, under the existence of the charter of the East India Company?—We never knew what his authority was. We have two newspapers at Canton, both of which have been calling upon him, the last three years, to state what his authority was.

190. As it was so important to know the extent of his authority, did the merchants never refer to the Act of Parliament?-I have heard them often talk about instructions, which must have been founded upon the Act 0.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq. of Parliament, but which were not to be found in any public document that we had got.

7 May 1840.

191. Surely the question of the power of Captain Elliot must have been discussed by the merchants at Canton?—Two newspapers there have been continually calling upon him to explain his authority.

192. Are you aware that upon many occasions individual merchants resisted that authority?—I do not recollect any instance.

193. Do you recollect the case of Mr. Keating?—Yes, he called upon Captain Elliot to publish his instructions.

- 194. Supposing the same circumstances had taken place under the existence of the East India Company's charter, would it not have been impossible for Mr. Keating to have resisted the supercargo?—They would have sent him away, no
- 195. Then from that transaction, it was perfectly notorious to all the merchants at Canton that the powers of Captain Elliot were not so extensive as those of the supercargo?—I do not see that; because although Captain Elliot had anthority to send him away, it did not follow that he should exercise it. The East India Company had power to send persons away, which they did not exercise for years.

196. Were the merchants at Canton under the impression that Captain Elliot had authority to exercise that power upon any British subject who resisted his instructions or orders?—I always believed that he had that authority, until I

saw the published correspondence here.

- 197. Sir George Grey.] Did Dent & Company deliver their opium to Captain Elliot out of deference to his authority, or did they deliver it to him because they thought that by that delivery they secured themselves against the total loss of the opium, or had a better chance of securing themselves than by running the risk of its being seized by the Chinese?—They considered that Captain Elliot having pledged himself on the part of Her Majesty's Government for an indemnity for that opium, they would be indemnified.
- 198. If, instead of being convinced as you were that the Chinese would get the opium by some means or other, you had been convinced that they would not get it, and that the opium was safe in your own keeping, would you have delivered it to Captain Elliot?—If others had done it, probably we should; I dare say we should have refused it in the first instance, but if any other party had given it up, I think the others must have done the same, inasmuch as if other parties had given up their opium, and had been paid here 500 dollars a chest for that opium, and we had sold opium belonging to other parties at a less price, I should think we should be liable for the difference in any court of justice.
- 199. You would not in that case have taken upon yourself the responsibility of taking another course from that which was taken by other merchants?-No; I have no doubt that we should all have hesitated a good deal, and discussed the matter a long while before we should have given it up to Captain Elliot.

200. You would have been governed by a reference to your own pecuniary

liability?—Yes.

- 201. Lord Sandon.] Then your giving up the opium was less in deference to the authority of Captain Elliot than in confidence that he had authority to pledge his Government for the value of it?—He felt himself authorized in doing it; I conceive he would not have done it unless he were authorized.
- 202. It was a reliance upon the value of the indemnity that he offered which induced you to give it up?—A reliance upon being paid the indemnity money.

203. Chairman.] You had no precise knowledge of what his powers were?—

Certainly not.

204. But you had a general belief that those powers authorized him to take the steps he did?—Certainly; I knew Captain Elliot had been in correspondence with Government about the opium question; I knew that from conversations with him; I had for two or three years before foreseen that some question must arise out of the opium; it was my belief long before, and that of many others, that the thing could not go on as it was doing; Captain Elliot was well aware of that; and I could not but suppose that he had represented it to his own Government; and I could not but imagine that his own Government had given him some general instructions by which he was to be guided in case of any evil Robert Inglis, Esq.

happening.

7 May 1840.

205. Mr. Hogg. You have stated your belief, when you first read the Commissioner's edict, that it was the intention of the Chinese government to pay for the opium if delivered up; did you communicate that impression to Captain Elliof in your communication with him respecting his intended requisition?— Perhaps not at that moment; but I talked with Captain Elliot about it on several occasions; on Monday night, for instance, though I do not recollect it, it is exceedingly likely that I mentioned it then.

206. Did you, before he issued his requisition, inform him that it was a general impression of the merchants, on reading Commissioner Lin's edict, that the Chinese government intended paying for the opium?—Certainly not, because I do not think it was a general impression of the merchants; on the contrary,

I think it was not.

207. Did you communicate to him your own impression that that was the intention of the Chinese government?—I have not the least doubt that I did, but not on the occasion of discussing the demand to give up the opium.

208. What was it that changed that belief in your mind, that the Chinese government would pay for the opium?—I believe, to this moment, that Commissioner Lin came down from Pekin prepared to pay for part of the

209. Mr. Herbert.] Was that your impression at the time you made the arrangement with Captain Elliot?—Certainly.

- 210. Sir George Grey.] Was that impression derived from the terms of his edict exclusively, or from any other information?—From the terms of his edict, and from the merchants; I have no doubt that the Hong merchants believed it; I am sure that they believed that they would have to pay for the opium which Mr. Dent would have to give up, if he went into the city.
- 211. Mr. Herbert.] Then you imagined that you had the alternative of selling the opium either to the Chinese government or to Captain Elliot?—I knew that the Chinese government were never prepared to pay for 20,000 chests. I think that Commissioner Lin intended, first of all, to take Mr. Dent into the city. He said that he had got 5,000 chests of opium; and, supposing that had been the fact, he would have got that out of him; I believe that, to induce Mr. Dent to comply, he would have paid for the opium; and I am satisfied the Hong merchants believed that they would have to pay for it, inasmuch as Mowqua, during the discussion about Mr. Dent's going into the city, asked my partner, Mr. Braine, if we would take 400 dollars per chest.
- 212. Sir Robert Peel.] As you were upon good terms with Captain Elliot, and advised him confidentially about this transaction, did you ever suggest to him that he might get something out of Commissioner Lin, if he would be very firm? After this had occurred, I had many conversations upon the subject with Captain Elliot.
- 213. Did you tell him that you thought he might have got payment from Commissioner Lin for a considerable part of the opium?—I have no doubt I did; I thought myself the Chinese would propose, through the Hong merchants probably, payment for the opium in some way. This was after Captain Elliot had given up the opium; and even now I should not be very much surprized to hear of such a negotiation on the part of the Hong merchants.
- . 214. Mr. Gladstone.] What do you think prevented that expectation being realized?—I think the amount of 20,000 chests was so much larger than Commissioner Lin ever expected to get, that that has thrown a difficulty in the way; then, in the next place, I think a number of circumstances have led to exasperation between the Chinese government and the foreigners in China, and that has prevented any kind of pacific overture. Upon every occasion I have ever known before, the Chinese, after committing any violence, have always begun to conciliate, and I thought they would do the same in this case.
- 215. As no exasperating circumstance occurred soon after the delivery, do you think the quantity of opium alone would have staggered the Chinese, and prevented them from offering payment for it?—I have no doubt that it staggered Commissioner Lin, but I never expected that Commissioner Lin was going, himself, to propose paying for this opium, but that it would have come through the Hong merchants. For instance, had Captain Elliot left the country altogether 0.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq. gether, and had the trade gone on regularly, which I have no doubt it would, it is probable that after two or three months the Hong merchants would have treated with the foreigners to ask them what they would take for the opium, and to devise means for paying it in the course of 10 or 12 years, as the debts of the Hong merchants were paid.

216. Sir Robert Peel. In that case what would have been done with the

opium?-They would have destroyed it.

- 217. Supposing Captain Elliot had made this proposition to the Chinese authorities: We are ready to take such measures as we can take in future to prevent this illicit traffic in opium, but there has been a great laxity on your part; you have connived at it, and therefore we cannot abandon the opium actually in the country without payment, but we will deliver up to you whatever quantity you will undertake to pay for; do you think he would have been successful if he had said, Here are 10,000 chests of opium, and they shall be delivered up to you provided you will guarantee the cost?—I think it is possible, but the whole conduct of Commissioner Lin is to me so unlike anything Chinese that I ever saw before, that it has quite thrown me out in all my calculations.
- 218. You think he came to Canton with the intention to pay something?—I think so myself; I think he came from Pekin authorized to make an arrangement to pay something, if necessary.

219. Sir George Grey.] Do you think that such a proposal from Captain Elliot would have been attended with success, unless it had comprized the whole

20,000 chests?—No..

220. Sir Robert Peel.] If he had insisted upon the condition of payment for the whole 20,000 chests, do you think that after they had taken time to deliberate upon it, if Captain Elliot had firmly adhered to that proposal, he might ultimately have succeeded?—No, I am afraid not. Besides, we were all starving in the meantime; at least, we were threatened with starvation.

221. Were you yourself alarmed by those threats of starvation?—No, I

was not.

222. Sir George Grey.] You stated that there was a general expectation that Captain Elliot would arrive at Canton?—Not general; it was the expectation amongst several parties that knew him best. I am stating a great deal as opinion, which is entirely my own, and which was not the general opinion.

223. You entertained the opinion, and you told Mr. Johnston that you entertained that opinion, that Captain Elliot would certainly arrive at Canton?—I do not know that I told Mr. Johnston, but I had no kind of doubt about it, nor had Mr. Astell; several of us, who knew Captain Elliot best, had no doubt

about it.

224. Was that opinion founded on the fact of Mr. Astell's letter to him, and the information which that letter had conveyed to Captain Elliot?—No; it was founded upon Captain Elliot's character. We were sure that Captain Elliot would not let his countrymen be in any danger; and, therefore, we felt assured that the moment that he knew we were forcibly detained at Canton, he would

225. Did you see Mr. Astell's letter to Captain Elliot?—No.

226. Do you know whether any representation had been made to Captain Elliot of a general feeling of alarm and apprehension existing on the part of the foreign community at Canton?—He could have had nothing but Mr. Astell's letter, which must have been a very short note, for he could not have sent a long letter by such means as he did.

227. Mr. Astell took extraordinary means to get that letter conveyed to

Captain Elliot?—He took advantage of a boat, by paying highly for it.

228. Was that letter to represent to Captain Elliot that the foreign community at Canton was in a state of alarm and apprehension, owing to the measures taken by the Chinese authorities, and urging his coming to Canton?—I do not think it urged him to come to Canton, but I have no doubt that it informed him what was going on. Mr. Astell had nothing to do with the opium trade. Captain Elliot had no knowledge of what was going on at Canton but through Mr. Astell; and I know Mr. Astell, before he wrote himself, asked Mr. Johnston if he had communicated what was going on to Captain Elliot; and he stated that he had not.

229. Your

229. Your own impression was, that upon the receipt of Mr. Astell's letter, Robert Inglis, Esq. judging from what you knew of Captain Elliot's character, he would immedi-

ately come to Canton?—Certainly.

230. Mr. Elliot.] You were understood to say that you had a conversation with Captain Elliot as to the probability of Commissioner Lin causing the opium to be paid for by the Hong merchants; can you say that you had any conversation of that sort, in which you confidently expressed that opinion previously to giving up the opium?—I cannot say; I think it exceedingly probable.

231. You did not urge that opinion at the time when it was proposed to give

the opium up?—Certainly not.

232. Mr. Horsman.] When Captain Elliot arrived at Canton, the question of giving up the opium to the Commissioner was still under the consideration of the merchants?—It was.

233. And the moment he came he assembled the merchants?—Yes.

234. Was that question of the propriety of giving up the opium at all submitted to Captain Elliot?—I never heard it till my partner, Mr. Braine, men-

tioned it about three o'clock on Tuesday.

235. The decision which the merchants came to not to give up the opium, they came to after Captain Elliot's arrival?—I do not recollect their coming to any decision about it, further than that Mr. Dent was not to go into the city. I doubt whether they ever agreed upon any other point.

236. Did Captain Elliot give any advice or any opinion upon that question as to whether you should give up the opium?—I did not hear his speech upon that

occasion.

237. He made a speech upon that occasion?—Yes; there was nothing what-

ever, I think, said about giving up the opium.

238. Upon the question which was then in dispute between the merchants and Commissioner Lin, did Captain Elliot give any opinion?—I do not recollect that.

239. When he found the community in this difficulty, that they had a certain quantity of opium which the Commissioner insisted upon their delivering up, did not he give any opinion upon the question which had placed them in this difficulty, as to whether or not they should obey the order which he had issued?—I do not recollect the purport of his speech.

240. Mr. Elliot.] Was that point ever submitted to Captain Elliot?—I do not recollect any opinion about giving up the opium till about three o'clock on

Tuesday afternoon.

241. Had Captain Elliot not arrived upon the Sunday, would it not have been impossible that Mr. Dent should not have gone into the city?—I believe

they would have taken him in by force.

242. Had he gone into the city, do you suppose he would have been in great personal danger?—Judging from what we know of the Commissioner's character and his proceedings since, I think that Mr. Dent might have been in danger had he not gone in on the Monday morning. Had he gone in I believe they would have kept him there till he gave up his opium.

243. And you think upon his giving up his opium he would have been released?—And signing the bonds. At that time we did not think anything

about the bonds; but I have no doubt that was part of the intention.

244. And you think the same course would have been pursued in rotation with the several merchants that had opium?—We understood from the Chinese that that was the intention.

245. So that if Captain Elliot had not arrived at Canton at that time the merchants would have been obliged to give up their opium, and would have been obliged to sign those bonds?—I think they would have made a great difficulty about the bonds; it would have depended upon how long they would have endured the imprisonment, and the probable annoyances attending it. I think they would not have let Mr. Dent out till he had signed the bond.

246. And the rest of the merchants the same —Yes.

247. So that the whole community would have been bound to abide by those bonds?—Yes.

248. And you attribute that not having been done to the arrival of Captain Elliot at Canton?—Yes; I have no doubt that Mr. Dent would have been taken 0.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq. into the city had Captain Elliot not arrived; and I have no doubt that they would have had the opium out of him and made him sign a bond; but Captain Elliot would still have come up to Canton under any circumstances, and then the thing would have turned upon the imprisonment of Mr. Dent; he would

have insisted upon Mr. Dent being released.

249. Mr. Hogg.] You have stated that until after Captain Elliot's arrival, European merchants were not subject to any inconvenient duress, at least to no deprivation of servants or of provisions; was there any deprivation of servants or provisions in the time that intervened from the arrival of Captain Elliot till the issuing of the requisition?—They took away our servants the night that Captain Elliot arrived; about an hour afterwards.

250. Were your provisions stopped?—Yes.

251. When?—On Captain Elliot's coming up, on the Sunday.

252. Mr. Gladstone.] Did you consider that the stoppage of the provisions and the removal of the servants was connected with Captain Elliot's arrival?-I am not quite sure, but I think the reason assigned for doing it was Mr. Dent's

not obeying the Commissioner's order.

253. Mr. Hogg.] Will you state when you were first deprived of the servants, and when the supply of provisions was first stopped, and to what extent the supply of provisions was stopped?—The servants were taken away the night that Captain Elliot arrived; immediately afterwards, on the Sunday night; and the provisions were stopped on Monday morning. The fact is, in our house we knew what the Chinese do in those cases, and we had laid in a supply of provisions before, so that we were not dependent upon them for a few days.

254. Lord Sandon.] The Chinese have stopped the provisions on former occasions?—Yes; the old hands, who knew them, had guarded against that; we

had got nearly a month's supply.

255. Sir George Grey.] You think that was part of the process to which the Chinese authorities had recourse to compel Mr. Dent to go into the city?

256. And you believe they would have persevered till they had compelled

Mr. Dent to go?—Yes.

- 257. And that they would have gone on with the rest of the merchants till they had compelled them all to go in and deliver up the opium?—Yes. On Saturday, when two officers came to Mr. Dent's house, he said, if you make me go with you I must go; if you take me I do not mean to offer any resistance.
- 258. Chairman. Were you exposed to any other inconvenience than the loss of provisions and the departure of the servants?-No, except that they blocked us up and prevented our going into the city. I am not quite sure that the provisions were stopped on the Monday morning; it may have been Tuesday; but they drew a cordon of boats in the river, in front of the factory, so as to prevent any person going upon the river. Upon Monday morning they blocked up all the entrances into the city; that was under the pretence of preventing Mr. Dent escaping.

259. Mr. Horsman.] Previous to Captain Elliot's arrival, had not he issued

a proclamation to the British merchants?—Yes, at Macao.

260. Were the Chinese authorities aware of that proclamation before they stopped your provisions?—I am not aware of that. We knew, by Mr. Astell's answer, that he had issued something of that kind.

261. Requiring the British ships to put themselves in a state of defence?—

Yes.

262. Mr. Gladstone.] Is it your impression that the Chinese authorities, supposing that Captain Elliot had not come up, intended to proceed by the direct personal seizure of Mr. Dent, or by general measures of coercion against the foreign community?—I think they meant to take Mr. Dent first, and that they intended to get his opium; and from what I have seen subsequently, I have no doubt they intended to make him sign the bonds.

263. Then you do not infer from the stoppage of the provisions, that they intended to inflict very great inconvenience in the first instance on the whole community, but you think they would have gone direct to Mr. Dent?—The stoppage of the provisions was after Captain Elliot came up and had taken Mr. Dent to his house; it had then ceased to be a question of Mr. Dent going

into the city. There was a public document issued by the Chinese, saying that Robert Inglis, Esq. Captain Elliot had taken the whole responsibility upon himself.

7 May 1840.

- 264. Was any practical inconvenience suffered, to your knowledge, by any part of the foreign community from the stoppage of provisions before the arrangement was made for the delivery of the opium?—I think not, because we all helped one another; and, as I mentioned before, in our house we had got a month's supply.
- 265. Will you state, as exactly as possible, the time when Captain Elliot's order was promulgated for the delivery of the opium?—On Wednesday morning, the 27th.
- 266. Lord Sandon.] Your impression was, that, till Captain Elliot came, the measures of the Chinese government were intended to be directed against individuals, one after another?—Yes.
- 267. But they did not intend to proceed against the community till Captain Elliot came and identified himself with the whole transaction?—I think their intention was to take Mr. Dent first, and begin with him; that was my own belief.
- 268. Chairman.] But subsequently to Captain Elliot's arrival, you were understood to say, that the idea of Mr. Dent going into the city was abandoned?—Yes; I think that was on the Tuesday, when there was a public document by the Chinese authority, saying, that since Captain Elliot had taken the responsibility upon himself, it was no longer a question with Mr. Dent.
- . 269. And then, you think, the measures of coercion were directed against the community at large?—Yes, but under the pretence, as far as the guard went, of preventing Mr. Dent's escape.
- 270. Was there any opium in Canton itself belonging to European residents?

 —I believe there was. Commissioner Lin had required that all the opium in the houses should be given up, upon which Captain Elliot sent round an order to the merchants to deliver up the opium that they had to him; I never knew what he did get, but I believe there was some.
 - 271. Was it a trifling quantity or large?—Very trifling.
- 272. Sir George Grey.] Was it given up without any promise of indemnity?

 —Yes.
- 273. Mr. Gladstone.] Supposing the agreement for the delivery of the opium had not been made by Captain Elliot, what course do you apprehend that the Chinese authorities intended to take; is it your impression that they would have reduced the foreign community to actual starvation?—From what I have seen of Commissioner Lin's conduct since, I think he might have gone to any extent; he seemed to be resolved to carry out his own measures.
- 274. Sir George Grey.] Do you know what Captain Elliot's impression upon that point was at the time?—I think he was exceedingly alarmed; he thought that Commissioner Lin was prepared to go any lengths; and, from what I have seen since, I think he was.
- 275. You, from subsequent observation, have arrived at the same opinion which Captain Elliot entertained at the time?—Yes.
- 276. Mr. Gladstone.] What was the general feeling of the foreign community; did they expect that they should be starved?—They were under immense alarm; the excitement was beyond anything I ever saw.
- 277. Lord Sandon.] The people expected that they should be starved?—Yes. There were a great number of people newly arrived, who had not seen these kind of things before, and they were infinitely more alarmed than the old residents.
- 278. Sir George Grey.] Was it an alarm at the prospect of starvation, or was it a general alarm and apprehension that the Chinese authorities would have recourse to violence?—That they would have recourse to violence, I may mention the case of the two Mr. Dents. My partner is an old resident in China, and he himself wished to go into the city on the Friday, and even on the Saturday morning, and during the Saturday; whereas his brother, who had not been long in China, was, as I mentioned, dreadfully alarmed about the fate of his brother, and thought that he would be certainly put to death if he went into the city. I mention this to show the difference between men that have been accustomed

Robert Inglis, Esq. to the Chinese and men that have but lately arrived. A great part of the community in Canton at that time had not been long resident; there were a vast number of captains and officers of ships, for instance, and some strangers.

279. Mr. Elliot.] You said that you considered the operations of Commissioner Lin not to have been more directed against Mr. Dent personally than against any other person, because you said you believed that the same operation would have been carried on with respect to all the other merchants?—Yes, that

was understood.

280. So that in fact it was an operation against the whole foreign community, although not perhaps carried into effect by means of starvation; but you believe it would have been carried on against the whole community one after another?

—Yes; but there was no starvation at that moment; at that time the general impression was that they wanted to get the opium out of him to lead the way for the others.

281. Lord Sandon.] That they would have successively laid hold of each of the merchants that dealt in opium, for the purpose of inducing each of them successively to give up the opium consigned to him?—Yes, that was what the Chinese said; they even mentioned the names; they said that Mr. Matheson was to go in next.

282. Mr. Elliot.] After Captain Elliot came, they forbade the servants to come into the factory?—They took them away at night.

283. And then stopped the provisions?—Yes; I cannot be quite sure about

when the provisions were stopped, whether it was Monday or Tuesday.

284. Was there not also some prevention of the supply of water?—We have all got wells in our houses; but the water is not very good, and we usually get water from a good spring without the precincts of the factory; and that was cut off.

- 285. Mr. Gladstone.] Was the passage to the water in particular guarded, or was it that all egress was cut off?—All egress was cut off.
- 286. Lord Sandon.] The Hong merchants were perfectly aware of the resources of water which you had within yourselves?—Yes; besides that we keep supplies from the river.
- 287. Chairman.] Was any wall built up in front of the doors?—No; they built up the streets, but I do not recollect at what period; I should say not till some days after Captain Elliot came up.
- 288. Mr. Herbert.] You mentioned that each of the merchants would probably have been taken, and made to give up his opium, and sign a bond; were not the bonds first mentioned subsequently?—Yes, that is my belief, from what has occurred since.
- 289. Lord Sandon.] No mention was made of the bonds till subsequently?—No.
- 290. Captain Elliot could not have known anything about the bonds at that time?—No.
- 291. Mr. Colquhoun.] Till the arrival of Captain Elliot, the foreign community were in a state of alarm and duress, but there was no stoppage of provisions or taking away of the servants?—No.
- 292. The old residents were less alarmed than the younger ones?—I should say so as a general rule.
- 293. From what cause?—Because they understood the Chinese less. These are things that have happened before, taking away servants and stopping provisions.
- 294. And after a struggle the foreign community has been placed again in the same condition as before?—Yes.
- 295. Mr. Elliot.] But from your subsequent knowledge of Commissioner Lin's character, you think this alarm was better founded than you thought at first?—Certainly.
- 296. You would not like to trust yourself in the city now with Commissioner Lin?—I do not think I should.
 - 297. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you of opinion that Commissioner Lin had any object

object beyond the effectual stoppage of the opium trade?—I have no doubt the Robert Inglis, Esq. intention was to put back the whole foreign trade under what they call the old regulations, which are every now and then brought forward to bring it back to the time when the members of the factory waited on the Hong merchants with swords, as Commissioner Lin mentioned. I have no doubt he intended that, because he began doing the same thing with the Chinese trade; he issued the most vexatious regulations for the Chinese trade.

298. You think, then, that he had objects beyond the stoppage of the opium trade?—Yes; I have no doubt that there had been political fears in Pekin which

had induced them to do this.

299. Do you think it was part of the Commissioner's object at all to limit or impose fetters upon the legal trade?—Yes, I have no doubt to put us under what they call the old regulations. Whenever we have any difficulty with them they bring up these old regulations.

300. Do you think the government of Pekin have lately become more jealous of the legal trade, and of intercourse with the English?—I think they have

become more jealous of the English.

301. And of the extension of the legal trade?—No, I think they merely wish

to put restrictions upon it. 302. Do you think they are favourable to the extension of the legal trade?-

Yes, certainly.

303. Do you think they are strongly desirous of an extension of the legal

trade?—Probably not.

304. Do you think they are more desirous of it than they used to be?—I think they are desirous of getting as much trade as they can; they would be happy to increase the export of their own products to any extent.

305. Lord Sandon.] Does not Commissioner Lin, in more than one of his edicts, offer increased advantages to the legal trade if the opium trade were

given up?—It is very likely; I do not remember anything of that kind.

306. Chairman.] Your statement has now gone up to the morning of the day on which Captain Elliot issued his orders for the delivery of the opium; will you proceed to state what happened subsequently?—The opium was agreed to be all given up on that day; afterwards there were several weeks of negotiation between Captain Elliot and the Chinese government and Commissioner Lin as to the mode of giving it up, and the various delays that occurred at Lintin; but I recollect nothing that I as a merchant was particularly interested in till the end of the time, when it was found that the quantity required, which the parties had pledged themselves to give up, was not forthcoming. I do not recollect anything particular occurring in the interval, further than that there were continual negotiations with the Commissioner about it; and of course we were under great suspense and anxiety at the time, to know when we were to get out.

307. When were the returns sent in of the quantities which you respectively held?—They were sent in by six o'clock of the afternoon of Tuesday; we had sent in a statement of the opium which we had prepared to give, amounting

altogether to 20,283 chests.

308. Each house made a statement of the opium under its control?—Yes.

309. With an intimation of your readiness to obey the command of Captain Elliot?—We said that we were prepared to hand it over to him in the course of the next day, and on the following day we sent orders for the opium.

310. Was there any general meeting of the merchants for the purpose of making that communication?—No.

311. Each communicated separately ?—Yes.

312. Was there any demur, or any reluctance expressed on the part of the merchants?—None whatever.

313. Were there any complaints by the superintendent of the slowness of the delivery of the opium?—I had forgotten one thing that occurred previous to giving up the opium, which was, that a meeting of the principal opium merchants was held, at which they all engaged to sign a bond that they would not enter into the opium trade any more; that was done before the opium was given up, with a view to get the Commissioner to modify the terms of his demand.

314. Was that subsequently to Captain Elliot's proclamation?—That was

prior to his demand.

315. Was it prior to his coming up to Canton?—No, it was after he came upto Canton.

316. At

Robert Inglis, Esq. 7 May 1840.

316. At that meeting the European merchants agreed to give up the trade in opium?—Yes; they all signed a letter to that effect, addressed to Captain Elliot. which they handed to Captain Elliot, which he forwarded to the Chinese.

317. On what day was that?—I forget; I think it must have been on the Monday that it went to the Chinese; the paper was signed by the several firms

engaging not to trade in opium any more,

318. What was the object of entering into it?—The object was to get Commissioner Lin to modify the terms of his demand; the intention of Dent & Co., which I can answer for, was to give up the trade entirely, unless it should be a legal trade.

319. Have they given up the trade?—Entirely, except to get rid of the opium which they had in hand; they have neither taken a consignment of a chest of

opium, nor bought a chest of opium since.

320. Lord Sandon.] Was this given in consequence of its being required of you while you were under duress?—No; there was no bond required at that particular moment.

321. At that time were you cut off from supplies of provisions?—Yes; this was after Captain Elliot came up; I do not recollect the exact date of taking

away the provisions; in fact it was done gradually.

322. It was on Monday that this bond was signed ?—It must have been either

Monday or Tuesday.

323. Then this deprivation of provisions could not have been any long time in force, even if it was in force at all?—It was enforced for a day or two, and those days must have been the Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday; it was enforced till Captain Elliot had engaged to deliver up the opium.

324. Chairman.] At the time of that meeting the European community were suffering no great practical inconvenience?—I think not, inasmuch as several of the old houses there had laid in provisions; and there was no fear of actual star-

vation, I consider, at any moment.

325. Mr. Gladstone.] Had not some of the houses in Canton determined, at an earlier period, to give up the opium trade?-I know of none; I know one or two parties, members of houses, who were inclined very much to get out of it, because it was becoming a disreputable trade. I have known two Englishmen who refused to join houses engaged in the opium trade.

326. The question refers to a very recent period; had the previous measures of the Chinese government, before Commissioner Lin actually came down, induced any of the houses in Canton to determine on abandoning the opium

trade?—Not entirely.

327. They were still engaged in measures connected with it?—Yes.
328. There were not any of them that had given notice that they would

receive no more consignments of opium?—No, I think none of them.

329. Chairman.] You were understood to say that that letter, addressed to Captain Elliot, was communicated to the Chinese authorities?—It was. I cannot be very sure that I am right as to the mode by which the communication was made; but I am certain as to the fact, that such a declaration was signed by most of the houses.

330. When was that communication made?—It must have been prior to

Captain Elliot's giving up the opium, prior to the Wednesday morning. 331. You imagine that Captain Elliot lost no reasonable time in communicating that?—Certainly not; I can only state the dates from the official corres-

pondence

332. Will you state what occurred with respect to giving up the opium?— I remember nothing particular till the question occurred as to the short delivery of opium. Captain Elliot had pledged himself to make good every chest. There was a general belief that the Commissioner doubted whether Captain Elliot was ever going to deliver up 20,000 chests. That was the general belief of the Chinese; and in some part of the transaction it was supposed that he had rather taunted Captain Elliot with the slowness of the delivery. Captain Elliot became exceedingly uncomfortable upon this; and I have heard him say very frequently indeed, to the merchants at his house, "For Heaven's sake, gentlemen, enable me to keep my pledge with this man, and to fulfil the whole agree-And it was on this account that at last, when it was really become apparent that the opium could not be found, that some of the parties who were to give up the opium could not give it up, that Captain Elliot called together a

number of merchants by circulars, one night, to ask them to devise means to Robert Inglis, Esq. enable him to keep his word with the Commissioner, to enable us to get out of Canton, because we had been five or six weeks in Canton, and we could not get out till the whole was forthcoming. Upon this occasion a number of people assembled at his house the next day, for the purpose of devising the means of doing it; but we found that it had been settled between him and one of my partners, Mr. Braine, and one or two other gentlemen, who had arranged the thing with him to make up the deficiency of opium on the terms which Captain Elliot has mentioned in his correspondence.

333. You were understood to say that you had before given up all the opium that you had?—Dent & Co. had given up the whole of their quantity, or had it ready to give up, and so had all the other houses, with three exceptions.

334. How did you supply Captain Elliot with any more opium, if you had given up all yours?—Dent & Co. supplied the deficiency, out of a ship that arrived from Bombay after the 20,283 chests had been resigned by Capt. Elliot.

335. The deficient supply was made up by the house of Dent & Co. out of

opium that arrived subsequently to the agreement to surrender?—Yes.

336. What were the terms upon which you did it?—It was given up to Captain Elliot upon the understanding that he was either to pay for it in money, or return the same opium, chest for chest, at the end of a month. He has put a third agreement into his letter, about our taking it as part of the original surrender, but it was never intended in any possible way to identify the opium which we gave to him to make up the deficiency with the original surrender, and we could not do it, for this reason, that the opium did not belong to us; and though we might have been justified in the first instance in giving up the opium upon Captain Elliot's peremptory demand on the part of the Queen, we could not possibly have been justified in giving up other opium afterwards on the same demand, when we were not under the same necessity.

337. What was the reason of the short delivery?-One reason was, that Jardine & Co. had given up some opium belonging to a gentleman at Macao, which they happened to have in their possession, and that gentleman refused to abide by that engagement. That was one difficulty, which was subsequently got over; and another was, that Heerjeeboy Rustomjee, a Parsee, had given up a small parcel of opium, that opium I believe being consigned to another house, which held the bill of lading as a lien upon it. This was the general belief at the time at Canton, but I cannot be sure that I am right, except as to the Macao

opium.

338. The general impression was, that one party gave it up as being the owner, and that the other party gave it up as having money advanced upon it? —That was generally understood to be the case.

339. Sir George Grey.] Therefore to that extent the indemnity orignally

given could never be claimed?—No; it could only be claimed once.

340. Chairman.] Did Captain Elliot persevere in giving up the quantity from a wish that his conduct should be unsuspected by the Commissioner? Certainly.

341. What was the date of making good the deficiency; do you recollect when the opium arrived out of which the deficiency was supplied?—I do not recollect, but it was prior to the 11th of May, the date of Dent & Company's

agreement as to the payment for the opium.

342. What agreement did Captain Elliot make with you with regard to the payment for the opium?—The original understanding was, that it was either to be paid for in money, or replaced chest for chest. But Captain Elliot mentioned at the time that the money must be Treasury bills, inasmuch as he had no way of getting money but by Treasury bills. Dent & Company never intended to take payment for this opium out of the original indemnity money, nor in any way whatever to identify the two transactions, inasmuch as they could not have done it, because the circumstances was so entirely different. And the letter in the official correspondence of Heerjeeboy Rustomjee and another Parsee party, in which they engaged to Captain Elliot to replace the amount out of the indemnity money, was never known to Dent & Company at all; in fact, it was written after I left China, and we were no parties whatever We merely required that at the end of a month we should either have the opium back again, chest for chest, or be paid for the opium. It was absolutely necessary to fix the time, because it was other people's opium.

343. Were 0.59.

7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq.
7 May 1840.

343. Were you paid for that opium?—We were paid by Treasury bills; but the Treasury bills, upon the face of them, apparently identify the transaction with the original surrender of the opium. The bills were drawn after I left Canton.

344. Sir C. Lemon.] Was any minute made of that understanding?—Yes. On the 11th of May there was a minute made, by which we were to be repaid either by identifying it with the original surrender which Dent & Company were no parties to, or by the return of the opium, chest for chest, or by Treasury bills.

345. The memorandum setting forth that understanding exists?—Yes; it is

published in the official correspondence.

346. Chairman.] Those bills have not been accepted?—Those bills have not

been accepted.

347. Lord Sandon.] Was not the communication of the foreign merchants giving a pledge that they would not continue the trade in opium in future, addressed to the Imperial Commissioner?—I do not recollect; I was not present at that.

348. Will you have the goodness to look at the official correspondence (the Witness referred to the same); having looked at the document in the printed correspondence, are you enabled to say through what channel this assurance to the Chinese government was conveyed?—No; I thought that it went through Captain Elliot, but I presume it must have been through the channel of the Chamber of Commerce,

349. Chaiman.] Have you any doubts that it went in some shape or other to

the Chinese authorities?—None whatever.

350. Lord Sandon.] You were in possession of it soon after it was written?-

I have no doubt whatever about it,

351. Mr. Gladstone.] Can you give the Committee any idea in what proportion the 20,000 chests of opium were owned in Canton or elsewhere?—No, I have no notion of that; the general supposition was that the greater part of it belonged to merchants in Bombay, but I have no means of ascertaining that.

352. Chairman.] Was it or was it not the general course of affairs that the great bulk was on consignment?—Certainly, on consignment from Bombay or Calcutta; but the general supposition was that the Bombay people were more

largely concerned in the opium delivered up than any other persons.

353. Mr. Gladstone.] Do you suppose that the majority of the proprietors, in point of value, were resident in the East Indies?—Yes; nearly all the firms in Canton professed to be agents, and therefore the supposition is that the greater part of the opium was on consignment to them.

354. They did more business as agents, and as commission merchants, in the

legal trade also, than as owners?—Yes.

355. Chairman.] Is it the fact that in England there are very few persons largely and directly interested in opium?—Very few. The way in which merchants in England may have become interested in it may be, that their funds in India were advanced upon it, and in that way the merchants in China have become very largely concerned in it, inasmuch as they have been in the habit of advancing money upon the opium, and of course they hold the bill of lading as their security, and this opium which they gave up was in many cases their security.

356. Lord Sandon.] Is not the Bengal opium equally held on native account?

-Yes; on account of parties in Bengal.

357. Chairman, The Parsee merchants, who are largely interested, are principally at Bombay. Yes; a great deal of the Calcutta, and a great part of the Bombay opium belonged to natives in India on the Bombay side.

358. Have you any means of judging whether those people are distressed by

the absence of their funds?—I have no doubt of it whatever.

359. They are not in a situation to bear the withholding of their funds?—Certainly not.

360. Did you return home by Bombay?—No, I came direct from China to

36111 You have no personal knowledge whether this has produced any distress among the natives of India?—I have heard that it has, and I conceive that it has, because a vast number of poor natives are concerned in the opium trade in Bombay, generally receiving advances from the European houses of agency, or from

from the native merchants in Bombay; and therefore the loss will, in fact, fall Robert Inglis, Esq. upon the rich natives to a very great extent. I think the rich natives in Bombay can afford to bear it, but it will ruin a great number of the small merchants. The rich merchants will probably bear the loss without bringing it before the

7 May 1840.

362. Lord Sandon.] They will bear the loss, as having made advances?

363. Mr. Hogg. Do you consider that the greater part of the opium belongs to merchants resident in Bombay, not to merchants resident in Calcutta?—It is generally supposed that the Bombay merchants and the Marwarries up the country, who are concerned in the growing of opium, do buy very largely at the Calcutta sales, in order to keep up the price, and that they have very much influenced the sales, in order to support the price of their own opium.

364. Is not the greatest portion of the opium the Malwa opium?—All the Malwa opium belongs to people on the Bombay side, and they are supposed to

own a great deal of the Bengal opium also.

365. Sir George Grey.] The loss of which you speak arises from the actual

loss of the value of the opium delivered up to the Chinese?—Yes.

366. Has there been any interference with the opium trade from Bombay; have the exports of opium diminished, so as to produce a loss to the growers of it ?—I cannot say.

- 367. Then you confine your statement to the loss sustained by delivering up the opium at Canton?—Since the opium was delivered up, the prices in China have been higher than they were before, and opium has been selling at an enormous profit; there are immense fortunes making in China now in the opium
- 368. Does not that to a certain extent diminish the loss which the parties might have suffered from the delivery of this particular opium without receiving the value of it?—Not altogether, because the taking 20,000 chests out of the market has made a market for others.

369. Mr. Gladstone: Although the prices have been very high since March 1839, has not the supply been comparatively limited?—I dare say it has.

- 370. Sir George Grey.] You do not know whether the exports of opium from Bombay have increased or diminished subsequently to this transaction?—I do not.
- 371. Lord Sandon.] Are they the same parties that have been selling opium since the seizure?—In some cases no doubt, and in some cases the commanders of the ships there, who always sold opium on the coast, are now selling it on their own account; but they have never signed the bond.

372. Chairman.] You stated that nearly the whole of the 20,000 chests delivered up were out of Canton?—Yes; all down at Lintin, or on other parts of the

Was it entirely out of the reach of the Chinese?—Yes.

373. Was it entirely out of the reach of the Chinese?—Yes. 374. They had no power or means of getting hold of it?—None that I know.

375. Lord Sandon.] Within the limits of the Chinese waters?—It is in what they call the outer waters, which it seems the viceroys of Canton have given as an excuse why they could not put down the trade, because it was in the outer waters, out of their reach, but it is within the Chinese jurisdiction, inasmuch as many of the islands are peopled, and those people are under the Chinese

376. It is within the limits of the jurisdiction given to Captain Elliot?—Yes, since his jurisdiction was extended to Linting on purpose to include the opium ships.

377. Sir George Grey.] A good deal of the trade is carried on along the coast?

Yes; the last three years the greater portion.

378. Mr. Horsman.] Had the trade at all revived at the time you gave up those chests to make up the deficiency?—There was opium supposed to be selling outside, but not much at that time; but the trade was going on very briskly at the time the last arrangement was made.

379. You stated, that in the first surrender you made to Captain Elliot, it was a matter of calculation with you as to the interests of those who had consigned it to you, by comparing the chances of loss by giving it up to him with the chance of loss in any other way; did that consideration affect you in the last delivery which you made?—Upon the last delivery our reason for selling it to Captain

0.59. Elliot 7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. Elliot was our never doubting the payment of the bills, because these were looked upon to be ordinary Treasury bills; I never contemplated any bill drawn in the way in which these are done, but it was intended that they were to be like any other Treasury bills.

380. Chairman.] Were those bills put into circulation, and sold in the way in which ordinary bills are?—Not in Canton; part of them were remitted to Bombay; they were endorsed over by Dent & Co. to parties in Bombay, to whom

the consignment belonged.

381. Sir George Grey.] This opium came out of a ship that arrived subsequently to the delivery?—Not subsequently to the delivery, but subsequently to the agreement to deliver.

382. The opium that arrived from Bombay, out of which you sold some to make up the deficiency, did not form part of the 20,000 chests, and was not

comprised in the statement originally given in ?-No.

383. What became of the rest of the cargo?—After this was delivered up we had still some which has been sold subsequently, notwithstanding the bond; we did not think the bond extended to the length that we were not to sell opium that had unavoidably come into our hands, and it was sold there to Europeans; some of it was sold at 600 dollars a chest in the very week in which the arrangement for those chests were made.

384. Was there any difficulty in finding a purchaser for it?—I fancy none at all at the time the bills were drawn; when the vessel first arrived there would

have been a difficulty.

- 385. Chairman.]. Do you think it probable that the poorer classes of persons who have been engaged in the trade at Bombay, can find means to continue the trade, and thereby share in the profits given by the increased prices?—I should doubt it; generally, in any crash of this kind in the opium trade, of which we have had a good many before, from many circumstances, it generally cleans out all the poorer persons engaged in the trade, and then another class go into it when it revives.
- 386. Lord Sandon.] Before the news of this seizure reached Bombay, probably fresh shipments of opium would have been made?—The opium would fall into other hands. As soon as the difficulties in China were known, of course down went the price at Bombay, until it reached a certain point, at which speculators would go into it, but it would be only a few rich men that could go into it, because it is only those that can afford to hold for the length of time necessary.

387. In the meantime the opium that was on its way from Bombay to China would have found an excellent market when it reached the Chinese waters?—It

appears that it did find it.

388. Mr. C. Buller.] You think that the persons that gained by the subsequent rise in the price of opium, were a great many of them different persons from those by whom the opium had been given up?—Undoubtedly; that is always the state of things. If you have an immense loss, and then a sudden fall in the price, it will necessarily ruin a great number of parties engaged in that particular transaction, and generally brings with it such a low price as to induce other parties to go into it as a speculation.

389. Are you rightly understood to infer that many of the people who lost their opium by its being confiscated, were not able to derive any advantage from the subsequent rise in price?—I should think so; moreover, they would be

afraid of it.

- 390. Those were chiefly the poorer class of people, you suppose?—I think so. 391. And the persons that would buy it afterwards you suppose to have been the richer class of people, who were tempted by the low prices to speculate in it?—I think so, for this reason. The price would fall to a point at which speculators would be in the price would fall to a point at which speculators would be into the price would be into th lators would go into the market, but they would not be sure at what period they would be able to sell it in China, and therefore only those parties would go into the speculation who were able to hold it till the demand for it revived.
- 392. Then you infer that the poorer parties who lost their opium, derived no compensation from the subsequent advance of price, but that the advantage of that was derived by richer parties, who afterwards entered into the speculation? -I think that must be the effect of every great change in the course of trade of that kind.
 - 393. Sir George Grey.] You consider the opium trade to have been a very uncertain

uncertain one, rendering the parties engaged in it in India liable to very great Robert Inglis, Esq. risks?—Undoubtedly; a very good proof of that is, that at Calcutta various parties have been engaged in it; at one time it has been the rich Baboos, at another time the Jews, at another time the European houses. Every now and then a bad year comes, and it ruins a vast number of those that have been previously engaged in it, and they go out of it, and other parties come in. crisis of that kind is accompanied by low prices, and that induces others to go

7 May 1840.

394. Then a crisis of that kind, though not accompanied with a seizure of the opium, had not been an unfrequent occurrence?—No.

395. Mr. Hogg.] Were the parties chiefly engaged in the opium trade opulent natives of India?—Yes; they have changed a good deal at different times. It was in the hands of Portuguese merchants and Jews'at one time.

396. Generally speaking, the principal European houses in Calcutta were very little concerned in the opium trade?—Yes, very little; I think they were latterly

getting more into it.

307. Formerly had not the principal European houses in Calcutta very little to do with it?--Yes, always much less than the natives and the Portuguese; they

have been the principal dealers.

398. And any interference in the opium trade in Calcutta, by European houses, was rather matter of exception?—Matter of exception. Latterly, since the free trade, they have been more induced to go into it, because they have had a larger amount of capital sent out for the purpose of remitting to China.

399. Were not those remittances generally made in the way of advances upon opium?—Yes, by advances on opium and cotton; but there has been such competition for advances, that they have been seldom able to invest the whole amount by advances; and I think the Calcutta houses have usually had authority, under those circumstances, to purchase either opium or cotton, if they were at low prices; and in that way they have made pretty large purchases of those articles.

400. But the usual way of remitting funds to China was by advances?—Yes. I will speak of Dent & Co.'s house. We have been in the habit of sending very large sums, to be returned back again in advances, with a view to get consignments, and to profit by the exchange; but we had very often, indeed, given a low price at which to buy opium, rather than the money should be detained in Calcutta, if we cannot get the money over by means of advances, so that in that way we have become importers of opium when we did not wish it, and when, probably, the object had not been to engage in the opium trade, but merely as a means of getting back our money.

401. Mr. Horsman.] Were not the speculations in opium to a greater extent. in the year previous to the seizure, than in any former year?—Certainly, because

the quantity sold in India was larger.

402. Then the loss consequent upon that seizure would be greater in proportion?—That depends upon the price given for it. I believe that the prices were lower in Calcutta; so that a larger amount of opium might be bought for a smaller amount of money probably.

403. Mr. Elliot. Can you state what was the average invoice price of the Malwa opium, the price at which it was shipped at Bombay?—I recollect that, after the opium was given up, when we were talking over the probable price at which we should receive the indemnity, some data were got together by the Chamber of Commerce, or by some committee, for the express purpose of laying it before Captain Elliot; and I think they agreed that 500 dollars a chest, all round, would be about the average cost in China.

404. The question refers to the cost at which it is shipped at Bombay?—I do

not recollect that.

405. You cannot give any approximation to the invoice price as shipped, either at Bombay or in Bengal?—No, I cannot, the prices are so different.

406. Chairman.] Can you give any idea of the number of Europeans in the factory at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival?—I estimated about 320, but I am not aware that any list was ever taken.

407. Mr. Gladstone. You were understood to say that you conversed with . Captain Elliot on the Tuesday night on the subject of his powers?—Yes. On Tuesday, when my two partners were present, I remember asking him if he felt quite 0.59.

7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. quite sure what he was about; and again, on Tuesday night, when he was writing out the order for the opium to be given up.

- 403. Did you make known among the mercantile community in Canton the substance of that conversation?—Certainly not; because the order came out the next morning for the opium to be given up. This was a private conversation with Captain Elliot, which I had no object whatever to communicate; in fact I had no time before the order came out. I presume every one could have asked him the same questions on his own account, and I dare say that those to whom he communicated his intention did it.
- 409. Are you aware whether many persons conversed upon the same subject with Captain Elliot, or took any pains to ascertain the point for themselves?-I do not know.

410. Are you aware whether it was discussed among the merchants whether Captain Elliot had this authority?—It was a great deal discussed after the opium was given up; we were all in such confusion till it was given up, that I think many people were incapable of any cool discussion.

411. Do you know whether any of the parties hesitated about coming into the arrangement, upon the ground of a doubt respecting Captain Elliot's competency to make the arrangement?—I never remember any person making the slightest demur about it. Several days after it occurred, I remember Captain Elliot speaking with me one day about it, expressing a little feeling of misgiving at the moment; and I remember telling him at the time that, as far as I had heard from the merchants talking outside about the thing, I could not find that a single one could point out any other mode that could have been followed, or anything else that was to be done; I believe we were all in great confusion at the time; several of the parties I am sure were quite incapable of sitting down to any cool consideration of what was to be done.

412. Sir G. Grey.] Arising from an apprehension of violence on the part of the Chinese?—Yes; we did not know what was coming, even the old residents were

apprehensive of what was coming.

413. Mr. Gladstone.] Was there, so far as your knowledge extends, an universal and undoubting belief that Captain Elliot's arrangement would be acknowledged at home?—I think decidedly. I do not remember that any doubts were raised at the time.

414. Had the mercantile community in Canton ever seen the Orders in Council affecting Captain Elliot's office?—They had seen the Orders in Council;

but they had not seen the instructions published under those Orders.

415. Had the Orders in Council been published in Canton?—Yes; they were published by Lord Napier, and were republished by Captain Elliot himself, in consequence of the newspapers calling upon him to state under what instructions he was acting.

416. Had not those Orders in Council, together with the clause in the China Trade Act, under which those Orders in Council were issued, been a good deal discussed both in mercantile society and in the newspapers in Canton !—They had by the newspapers, I do not know as to mercantile society; but not at the

moment when it was a question as to giving up the opium.

417. Had not the English press at Canton arrived at any sort of conclusion with respect to Captain Elliot's powers?—They doubted his powers constantly, inasmuch as they mentioned that they had continually called upon him to show what his powers were, and at one time he republished, I think, the Order in Council, and some part of his instructions, saying that he published as much as he felt himself authorized to do, thereby plainly inferring that he had other instructions which he could not show.

418. You stated that the Canton newspapers expressed great doubts of his powers; were there great doubts as to his powers also among the community, so far as the subject was discussed at all?—I think when his powers were called in doubt, it was by Mr. Keating, and by parties with whom he had got into collision in matters of that kind as to interference in the squabbles of the merchants between themselves, and matters of that kind.

419. If there were these great doubts as to the powers of Captain Elliot, how do you account for the fact that nobody seems to have raised the question upon this occasion, whether those powers were capable of being exercised to such a great extent?-We were all in a state of great confusion, and besides the greater part were incompetent to set themselves to decide a question as to the construc- Robert Inglis, Esq. tion of the law.

7 May 1840.

420. You were understood to state, that when the opium was surrendered by the merchants it was surrendered with the full confidence that the British Government would acknowledge the transaction?—Yes, certainly.

421. Is it not natural to suppose that that must have been founded upon the conviction that Captain Elliot had those powers?—Yes; nobody could suppose that Captain Elliot, who is a very clever man, could do anything of that kind, unless he supposed that he had authority to do it; that his general authority authorized him to demand the surrender of the opium; I am quite sure that he was as confident of it as I am that I am sitting here.

422. Do you think it was the impression of the community at Canton that Captain Elliot had such powers under the Orders in Council?—No, by the

instructions given under the Orders in Council.

423. By something, the detail of which had not been made known to the community at Canton?—Yes; Captain Elliot himself admitted that he had other instructions, which he did not think himself authorized to publish.

424. Mr. Herbert.] Then whatever was the doubt as to the power of Captain Elliot among the merchants, Captain Elliot himself entertained no doubt as to his authority to take the opium?—Certainly not; his feelings were evidently too

powerful to be controlled at the time I was speaking to him.

425. Mr. Hogg.] What course was adopted by the Americans and others, not British subjects, who had opium which they were required by the general order to deliver up?—I am not aware that any other parties in Canton had opium except the Americans, and they surrendered it to Captain Elliot as British property; I believe it really was British property.

426. Was all the opium, either at Canton or at Lintin on board ships, in fact surrendered?—I imagine so; more was given in to Captain Elliot than it turned out that there was in China at the moment, by the mistake which I mentioned; that quantity of opium never could have been made good to Captain Elliot, but from the accidental arrival of that ship to us.

427. Then the American houses surrendered their opium as well as the

English?—Yes.

428. They surrendered it as belonging to British subjects?—Yes.

429. Mr. Gladstone. Do you believe that the whole of that belonged to British subjects?—I believe that every chest of it did.

430. That there was no opium owned by Americans in the Chinese waters?— I think there was a little; about 10 chests, which they sent away as soon as they

could get means of communicating with the ships.

431. Mr. Hogg.] It is your belief that the whole of the opium, both at Canton and on board the ships, was bond fide the property of British subjects?-Yes, with one exception, where the American houses made out that it belonged to parties in India, as to whom they could not say whether they were British subjects.

432. Was not that an unusual state of things, that the whole of the opium should belong to British subjects, and that there should be no opium belonging to Americans?—Only as regards Turkey opium; they generally have Turkey

opium, which at that moment they had not.

433. Was any of the opium, surrendered Turkey opium?—I believe not; it may have been Turkey opium that had gone out from this country belonging to British houses, or under advance from British houses, but I am not certain how

434. Mr. Gladstone. What means were adopted for sending away that small quantity of opium which belonged to the Americans?—I am not sure that there was any; but I have reason to think there were a few chests of opium, which were never sent away till after we got out of confinement.

435. Sir G. Grey.] Was that comprised in the certificates delivered up to Captain Elliot?—No, not any sent away; I think there was one house that had

10 chests.

436. Mr. Gladstone.] The quantity was so minute, that they felt themselves justified in overlooking it?—Yes; and even that quantity I am not sure about.

437. Chairman.] Was there any large proportion of the persons who were detained at Canton who had nothing to do with the opium trade?—Yes, a good

7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. many; there were the superintendents, and two of the East India Company's agents; there were six missionaries there, I think Americans; two or three foreign consuls, the American consul, and the Dutch consul, neither of whom had anything to do with it; and there must have been 30 or 40 at least officers of ships and British sailors up there; I think there were as many as 40 sailors belonging to ships there.

438. Mr. Gladstone.] Were there any others who were not interested in the

opium traffic?-There were the clerks in our offices.

439. Were there not one or two houses that were not interested in the opium trade?-There is Mr. King's house; and there were many supercargoes of American ships there who were not concerned in the opium trade at all.

440. But you are not aware of any other house besides that of Oliphant & Co.?

No, because every other house is a house of agency.

441. Mr. Hogg.] Can you state the number of those detained?—I am not aware that it was ever counted up; but I have made an estimate of 320 or 330.

out of whom there may have been 30 Americans.

442. Mr. Horsman.] Were all the British houses engaged in the opium trade?

-Yes, I believe so. There were English individuals that were not concerned --Yes, I believe so. in it. There was Dent & Co.'s book-keeper, for instance; Mr. Henry, who had been 12 years in China, and had been trading on his own account, but he had never seen a chest of opium nor had a chest of opium in his life, and he was one of the 16 expelled by the Chinese.

443. Mr. Gladstone.] Was there not also Mr. Thom?—He was engaged in Jardine & Co.'s house.

- 444. Mr. C. Buller.] Which house was largely engaged in the opium trade?
- 445. He was a clerk in Jardine's house?—Yes; he had a particular department in that house, the sale of their piece-goods; and he was engaged in no other department whatever.

446. He did not trade in any way on his own account?—I should suppose not;

I never heard that he did, or, if at all, in a very trifling way.

447. He was a person that hardly traded at all upon his own account, but he

was clerk to one of the houses most largely engaged in the trade?—Yes.

448. Mr. Gladstone.] Was not he interpreter?--No. They called him interpreter because he spoke Chinese very well, and was constantly employed in that way gratuitously.

449. Mr. Colquhoun.] Did Mr. Henry trade on his own account?—Yes.

450. Was he then connected with you at the time of the seizure?—He was our book-keeper.

451. Then there was no other British firm unconnected with the opium trade? -I think not, because they were all houses of agency.

452. Sir George Grey.] Nor any American house, with the exception of

Oliphant and King, which was not a house of agency?—No. 453. Chairman.] You were understood to state in answer to a former question that there was great doubt in Canton as to the powers of Captain Elliot?—The newspapers had been continually calling upon him for his powers upon such occasions as that of Mr. Keating, and we had had great doubt about his powers. On one occasion Dent & Company wrote to Captain Elliot, as Chief Superintendent, to ask him if he was empowered to take charge of a deceased merchant's property there, who had died, having money belonging to a party in Bombay in his hands. That party at Bombay sent a power of attorney to Dent & Company, to enable them to take possession of the property. That property in the meantime had got into other hands, and we called upon Captain Elliot to take charge of the property, and see that it was properly administered, which he said that he had no power to do. That was a case where we'did not know what his power extended to.

453*. Lord Sandon.] If he had been a consul he would have been called upon to execute that office?—I have always understood that to be the consul's duty, and we conceived that he could do it, and would do it.

454. Chairman.] In the case of Mr. Keating, where he did not enforce the power which he was supposed to have possessed, was that thought conclusive as to his not possessing the power, or was it attributed to reluctance on his part to enforce it?—I do not recollect, for it was impossible to distinguish between what his powers might be, and how far he might think it right to enforce them.

455. Lord

455. Lord Sandon.] With regard to the opium, you understood that Captain Robert Inglis, Esq. Elliot had been in correspondence with the Government recently, and therefore you concluded that he might have instructions upon that head?—Yes; I knew that he was in correspondence, inasmuch as I had frequently spoken to him about the dangers that were evidently about to arise out of the opium trade. I told him that I was sure the thing could not go on; and I knew that he felt so strongly upon the subject, that I never doubted that he had communicated his. fears to the Government.

456. He never told you that he received any answer?—No.

457. Mr. Gladstone.] How long ago had you told him that you were sure that the thing could not go on ?—For four or five years past; the last time I was in England I remember more than once saying that the thing could not go on.

458. Chairman.] What gave you that impression?—An immense quantity of opium being forced upon the Chinese every year, and that in its turn forcing it

up the coast in our vessels.

459. When you use the words "forcing it upon them," do you mean that they were not voluntary purchasers?—No; but the East India Company were increasing the quantity of opium almost every year, without reference to the demand in China; that is to say, there was always an immense supply of opium in China, and the Company still kept increasing the quantity at lower prices.

460. Do you use the word "forcing" in the same way that you would use it

in reference to a large supply of cotton goods, or anything else?—Yes. 461. Mr. Hogg.] When you say, "the East India Company," you mean that it was opium grown in India?—Yes; I say the East India Company, because I conceive that nothing but a monopoly could have forced the opium in the way in which it was done.

462. Do you speak of all the opium grown in India?—I do; but I think the

Calcutta opium has been increasing faster than the Malwa.

463. Chairman.] Will you explain what you mean when you say that you think it was impossible to force the trade so rapidly, except through a monopoly? -I think it is nothing but the circumstance of the Company making so large a profit upon opium that could induce them, for so long a series of years, to go on increasing the quantity upon lower prices.

464. You think that nothing but that could bear a rapid fall of price, produced by an increased supply?—Yes, for a series of years; parties engaged in the trade might be induced to go on for a year or two at a loss, but hardly for a

series of years.

465. Mr. Elliot. Did not that decreased price still afford a wonderfully high profit?—It is nothing but monopoly that would afford a continued high profit; where there is competition, the profit is reduced.

466. Mr. Hogg.] Are you aware that since the monopoly as to the Malwa

opium has ceased the quantity has increased?—Yes.

- 467. And that the increase of quantity has been a direct and necessary consequence of the cessation of the monopoly of opium as regards Malwa?—Yes, that it has followed the cessation of the monopoly.
- 468. Chairman: You do not know that the increase is produced by that?— No, I do not know that it is produced by that.
- 469. Sir G. Grey.] Are you not aware that an increase was anticipated at the time of the abolition of the monopoly, and a transit tax was substituted for the monopoly?—Yes; but I believe the Malwa opium has been nearly as great a monopoly as it has been on the other side of India, for it has been in the hands of Bahadur Mull, and other men of that description.
- 470. Mr. Hogg.] Did that great increase in the quantity of opium take place as long as the system of monopoly was rigidly observed in India?—I fancy one reason of the Company changing that monopoly was the increase in the quantity of opium that was finding its way through the native states, and through Demaun every year.
- 471. As long as the monopoly rigidly existed in Bengal, and before there was an open trade in Malwa, was there any considerable increase in the quantity of opium?—No, I fancy not, because the Company prevented that, except as to that portion that went through Demaun; and I think the reason of their adopting the passe system was to prevent that opium going through Demaun; that was gradually increasing, certainly.

472. Mr. 0.59.

7 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq.

472. Mr. Gladstone.] Had the Company a real monopoly over the Malwa opium?—Yes.

7 May 1840;

473. Mr. Elliot.] Were the bills that were given by Captain Elliot negotiable with the American merchants and other persons in Canton?—Certainly not. The shape in which they were drawn out at once destroyed the original agreement under which the thing was done. I was not there when the bills were drawn out; but I never contemplated that they were to be drawn out identifying them with the original opium transaction.

474. The question refers to the first bills?—There were no bills drawn out

for the first indemnity; those were mere certificates.

475. Were those certificates sold in the market as negotiable securities?—

Certainly not.

476. Why were they not?—They were sold, I know, at Bombay, in the name of opium scrip, but they were sold at a very great discount; but at Canton they were not negotiable, for the plain reason that we were all concerned in it.

477. Why were they sold at a considerable discount at Bombay?—Because the people at Bombay at once threw a doubt upon the whole thing; they denied the power of Captain Elliot to grant them.

Lunæ, 11° die Maii, 1840.

MEMBERS PRESENT.

Mr. Brownrigg.
Mr. C. Buller.
Mr. E. Buller.
Mr. Clay.
Mr. Colquhoun.
Mr. Elliot.
Mr. Gladstone.
Mr. Hogg.

Mr. Horsman.
Sir R. Inglis,
Mr. Parker.
Mr. J. A. Smith.
Sir W. Somerville.
Sir G. Staunton.
Mr. Strutt.

JOHN ABEL SMITH, Esq. in the Chair.

Robert Inglis, Esq. called in; and further Examined.

478. Sir George Staunton.] YOU have, no doubt, seen the volume of Papers printed by order of the House of Commons upon the China question?—I have.
479. In p. 258 of that collection Lord Palmerston appears to have written a letter of instructions to Capt. Elliot, stating "that Her Majesty's Government cannot interfere for the purpose of enabling British subjects to violate the laws of the country to which they trade. Any loss, therefore, which such persons may suffer in consequence of the more effectual execution of the Chinese law on this subject, must be borne by the parties who have brought that loss on themselves by their own acts." Was any communication of those instructions, to your knowledge, made to the British community at Canton?—Never that I heard of; I never heard of such a thing till I saw it in the correspondence. But Captain Elliot evidently applies it entirely to the smuggling operations carried on within the river; and he applied it undoubtedly, not in Lord Palmerston's words, but the sense of it, to the smuggling going on within the river. But as Dent & Co. never were engaged either in smuggling opium or anything else within the river, I never could conceive Captain Elliot's wording of that to apply to them. His wording is so distinct and so plain, and so evidently applied solely to the smuggling within the river, that nobody could by any latitude of construction apply it to Dent & Co.

480. No specific communication was made by Captain Elliot in consequence

of receiving those instructions?—Never.

481. Neither in substance nor in words?—Not as applied to the general opium trade without the river.

482. It appears in the same papers, p. 345, that in January 1839, a very strongly worded edict was issued by the Chinese Government against the opium trade, desiring that the receiving ships might leave Canton. Was any notice communicated by Captain Elliot to the British community of this edict, or any instructions

instructions or advice or exhortation that the ships should quit Lintin in con- Robert Inglis, Esq. sequence of it?—None whatever, that I remember. Similar edicts had very often been issued before, and no notice taken of them. I think he was first called upon to drive away the ships at the end of 1837; and then the Chinese authorities made a communication to him expressly for him to send to Her Majesty's Government here.

11 May 1840.

- 483. No warning was given to the British community, or the holders of property, that their persons or their property would be in danger in consequence of not obeying this edict?—None whatever, that I remember; I never remember its being a question by Captain Elliot of the opium ships leaving Lintin.
- 484. In this Chinese edict a threat was made, that unless the ships quitted Lintin the whole of the legitimate trade would be stopped; were any measures taken by the Chinese authorities to put that threat into execution between the issuing of that edict and the arrival of Commissioner Lin?—None whatever, that I recollect; that threat has been repeated certainly from time to time ever since 1820; and it has been laid down over and over again by the Chinese authorities that the law of the country was, that if foreigners did not obey their edicts, the trade was to be stopped to enforce it to be carried into effect.
- 485. Mr. E. Buller.] A distinction has been made between the licit and the illicit trade; do you know enough of the Chinese law, to say whether any foreign trade is according to the laws of China?—I hardly know what the laws of China are; they have been translated, but we never paid any attention to any law in China, that I recollect; I certainly know of none which has ever been entirely acted upon, not one.
- 486. Are you not aware that the general tendency of the Chinese law is the prohibition of foreign trade?—It was originally, I presume, but I should doubt whether that is the case now.
- 487. Are you aware of any law which has altered that former law?—No, I am not; I have not a notion of what the laws relating to foreign trade are. When Sir George Staunton translated the laws of the empire, I believe there were not above one or two relating to foreigners; since that there have been other compilations of the Chinese laws, and I believe that some laws relating to foreigners have been incorporated into those, but I cannot speak with certainty; I have never seen, a translation of the addition to the code of which a translation was published by Sir George Staunton, and I doubt whether the foreign traders at Canton ever troubled themselves much about the laws of China, for they had neither the benefit of them, nor of any other laws, that I know of.
- 488. Mr. Gladstone.] Was there a meeting of the foreign community after the arrival of Captain Elliot at Canton?—Yes, there was a meeting; I do not know whether it was the Chamber of Commerce or a committee of the people engaged in the opium trade; but there was a meeting, at which they signed a declaration that they would not trade any more; that, I find, was done on the

489. Was there any general meeting, or any general resolution, with reference to the delivery of Mr. Dent, after Captain Elliot came to Canton?—No; it became known, I think, some time on the Monday afternoon that Commissioner Lin no longer made it a question of Mr. Dent; he said, that Captain Elliot had taken the responsibility upon himself, and therefore Mr. Dent was no longer in question.

- 490. Was the previous meeting at which it was determined that Mr. Dent should not go into Canton attended by other foreigners than partners in firms? -The meeting was at Mr. Dent's own house. I believe it was first at the Chamber of Commerce, and then the Chamber adjourned to Mr. Dent's own house on the Saturday morning, and I should say that in the course of that day every member of the foreign community was in the house at one time or another.
- 491. Were they all understood to be parties in any way to the resolution, or did they come merely as spectators?—At the time when the mandarins came to the house to take Mr. Dent, all the foreigners who were there adjourned into another room to consider the matter, and the room was as full as it could hold. I should say that nearly the whole of the foreign community were thereat the time.
 - 492. Not only partners in firms but others?—Nearly the whole community then,

11 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. then, and I think there was not one dissentient to the resolution that Mr. Dent ought not to go into the city.

493. At what valuation was the opium delivered to Captain Elliot? - At none; it was left to be decided afterwards. There was a valuation for the opium given

up to supply the deficiencies to make up the 20,000 chests.

494. At what valuation has the claim upon the British Government subsequently been fixed ?-I think there was a meeting during the time we were in Canton, but long after the opium had been delivered up; I think some of the merchants met together to consider what would be a remunerating price for the whole of the opium that had been delivered up, taking an average price for the old opium and the new, the Malwa and the Bengal opium; I think they made it out to be 500 dollars a chest.

495. Will you explain more specifically what you mean by a remunerating price?—I mean a price to pay the cost, and the charges of bringing the opium to China; in fact, what it had cost to the proprietor at the moment that it was

given up to the Commissioner.

496. Mr. Brownrigg.] Exclusive of any profit?—Yes.

497. Is your memory sufficiently alive upon the subject to enable you to state that that valuation did not comprise any profit, but merely the original cost,

with the charges?—Yes.

498. Mr. Gladstone.] Is your memory sufficiently fresh upon the particulars of that valuation to enable you to state the elements of those 500 dollars per chest?—No; I was not present, or probably never gave much attention to it; it was a thing to be decided, I conceived, by the Government here. I did not suppose that the Government would consider themselves bound by a committee of that kind; it was merely to give the Government all the information that the consignees of the opium possessed.

499. Can you state how great a proportion of the 500 dollars formed the profit of the Indian Government, and went into the Indian revenue?—No, because I could not state the first cost of the opium; some of it was opium of one year and some of another; some may have been two years old; some from Malwa

and some from Bengal.

500. Cannot you even approximate to it?-No, I could not without a long calculation. In one year the sale of opium in Bengal alone was supposed to give nearly two millions sterling profit to the Company; but that was the year when, I believe, they sold their opium at the highest price.

501. In Bengal, exclusive of Malwa?—In Bengal, exclusive of Malwa.

502. Mr. Hogg.] Upon what return or upon what grounds do you make the statement of the profit of two millions, and to what particular year do you allude?-I think it was the year 1837; but, upon recollection, I think the whole sales were two millions sterling.

503. Is whatever information you have upon the subject derived from statements in the newspapers?—I recollect something being published in the Chinese

Repository in China, collected from Indian documents.

504. Do you speak from official documents which you saw returned by the Bengal Government?—No.

505. Are you aware whether those two millions were the gross profits or the

gross proceeds?—I think it was the gross proceeds.

506. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you recollect the price of opium at the time this opium was delivered up?—At the moment it was delivered up there could have been no price. If there was a price anywhere, it would be upon the coast, and there it would be nearer 800 dollars than 500; but that would not do for the whole of China.

507. Can you speak as to the latest prices published in the Canton Register?

-I could ascertain that from the price-currents.

508. Mr. Brownrigg.] What is the highest price you ever remember having paid at the Company's sales in Calcutta?—I do not know; I never was at the Company's sales in Calcutta. My business was with the opium as it came into China.

509. Mr. E. Buller.] Can you tell the average price at which it used to sell in Canton?—It has sold, to my knowledge, at from 2,000 dollars a chest down to 450 or 460 dollars. The extreme highest price may have been the year before I arrived in China, when it was even higher; I am sure of this fact, but I am not justified in saying I recollect it.

510. Can you state, with reference to the transactions that your house had in opium, what was the price received for the opium?--No, I cannot; but we had

some opium sold upon the coast about the time we were giving up the opium in Robert Inglis, Esq. Canton; I cannot say what the price was, but I think it was nearer 700 or 800 dollars than 500. I can speak to our having sold opium, after the opium was 11 May 1840. delivered up, for 600 dollars, to an Englishman, now in this country.

511. Sir R. Inglis.] Do you know what the premium of insurance is upon opium from Calcutta to Canton?—It is 2 \frac{1}{2} per cent.

512. You have stated that you were never personally present yourself at Calcutta at any sale of opium?—Not at any sale. I have known the prices of sales, because we had always the invoices of opium, with the cost price in them. I think the opium was supposed to have cost the Indian Government about three rupees a seer. They sold it at a much higher profit than that. It has never been my vocation to know what profit the East India Company made in India.

513. The object is to have what the profits were in China, after the Indian Government is supposed to have made its profit?—They varied from year to year. I have known the price vary in China myself to a very great extent. It

has gone up nearly a hundred dollars a day for a few days.

514. Mr. E. Buller.] Can you state the greatest difference which you have known between the invoice price at Calcutta and the price at Canton?—No, I cannot state that; it is very difficult to give an answer to that, because the prices at Calcutta, after a sale, would often rise very materially; so that the average of the Company's price for any one season would by no means indicate

the price at which the shipper of opium sent it on to China.

515. Mr. Brownrigg.] In the course of your experience have your ever known any material loss occasioned by speculators in opium?—I should say that there have been more losses than gains, while I have been in the trade, decidedly; and I think I can say, almost from my own experience of it, that there has been more money lost than made in China, in the opium trade, until lately. Within the last year or two there has been undoubtedly a good deal of money made; but prior to that time I hardly know three people that ever left China with fortunes made in the opium trade. On the contrary, it was most notorious that all those who touched the opium trade lost by it, except those

516. Chairman.] Were not there some large fortunes made by Americans in the opium trade?—There was one American gentleman, who was formerly connected with the house to which I since belonged in China, who made a large

fortune, which was supposed to be chiefly from the opium trade.

517. Sir R. Inglis.] Will you look at the paper now shown to you, containing the monthly report of the Calcutta market; dated Calcutta, 31st October 1839, and where you will see the following passage in the third page, headed Opium: "In the early part of the month the market was dull, consequent to the absence of intelligence from China, and the transactions which then obtained were the purchase of 10 chests of Patna, at Company's rupees 410 per chest: the arrival of the Nymph towards the close of the month, with advices of the successful prosecution of smuggling on the coast, gave impulse to operations, and purchases to some extent have been effected at prices from Company's rupees 429 to 455 per chest; the present quotations of the market are, of Patna, Company's rupees 430 to 435, and of Benares, Company's rupees 440 to 445 per The first government sale of season 1839-40 will be held as usual in the early part of January next; the drug at this sale will be put up at the upset price of Company's rupees 400, about the cost to Government, and at the subsequent sales at rates as may be previously determined upon. The quantity on sale is about 18,932 chests, which includes 1,900 chests of last year." Does this passage bring to your recollection the general prices of opium in the market of Calcutta, and the general character of the transactions as understood in Calcutta?—This is subsequent to the 20,000 chests being taken by the Chinese; but this is a price I never heard of before. It was a very natural consequence, I conceive, of 20,000 chests being taken; that is no sort of guide, I conceive, to anything that ever occurred before.

518. Mr. E. Buller.] Is the price there stated an unusually low price?—It is an unusually low one in Calcutta; 429 rupees is a price unheard of before the delivery up of the 20,000 chests of opium; I never heard of a price like that; I should think the average of the Company's sale prior to that must have been

upwards of 800 rupees a chest.

519. Chairman.] This was the state of things which you alluded to in your

11 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. former examination, when you stated that many persons previously engaged in the trade were ruined, and that fresh persons were tempted into the trade in consequence of that fall of price?—Undoubtedly it was a price that people could quite calculate upon; everybody knew that seizing 20,000 chests must make a fall of this kind.

520. Sir R. Inglis.] Did not you state that you had known the price of

opium vary from 500 to 2,000 dollars?—Yes.

521. Are the Committee to understand that that which was sold in China sold at a loss of the difference between 800 and 500?—No, the opium delivered up in China was some of it bought at much higher average than this; I cannot say at what prices, because a great deal of it was opium of the year preceding; some of it may have been what we call in China "old old opium," for we often had opium that was two seasons old. I have known opium kept four or five years before it could be got rid of, because it had been bought at a very high price indeed, and opium bought in subsequent seasons came in at a much lower price, and therefore the holders of the first opium held on in hopes of an advance of price.

522. Mr. E. Buller.] Does opium sold at Calcutta for 800 rupees a chest frequently sell in China for 800 dollars the chest?—It may do that; that which was not delivered up to the Commissioner may have sold, I have no doubt, at that price. There is a person here now who made 200 per cent. upon the purchase of opium at Singapore, which came up to China after the delivery of the

523. Then the profits of the opium trade were very high?—They were sometimes very high, and sometimes very low; I have known people totally ruined by it. There is an instance of a gentleman now living in China, at Macao, who, many years ago, was totally ruined by fluctuation in price; he lost a large fortune in it.

524. To what would you attribute the great fluctuation in prices?—Sometimes in India, for instance, we have had a report from Malwa of some 6,000 or 7,000 chests more coming on in the season than had ever come on in any season before from Malwa; it perhaps has not turned out eventually that so much did come; but that report has immediately operated upon the market to a most fatal extent.

525. Have those losses and variations in price ever arisen from the acts of the Chinese government?—Of course every temporary stoppage of the trade, occasioned by the mandarins, probably to enhance their own squeezes, had the effect

of altering the price.

526. The parties engaged in that trade were glad to do it, with the prospect of very high profits, and sometimes they were subject to great losses?—Of course it was, in its very nature, a very gambling trade; of course every one who went

into such a trade must be prepared to meet large losses.

527. Mr. Colquhoun.] Those extreme fluctuations were not felt in the cotton or linen trade?—Not such violent fluctuations. The opium trade is more like the stocks, or things of that kind, in this country. A mandarin going up to Pekin, for instance, would make a rise in the price of opium, because there has been 100 or 200 chests supposed to be carried up smuggled in his baggage; and you will find, in the price-currents, things of that kind stated as reasons for a rise of price.

528. Sir R. Inglis.] Can you supply the Committee with one of the pricecurrents containing that statement?—I have no doubt I can, even since 1836.

529. Mr. Colquhoun.] It being understood to be with the participation of the mandarin?—I cannot state that; but a mandarin travelling through the country, his baggage is not searched by the custom-house, and his attendants may take

the opportunity of smuggling.

530. Mr. E. Buller.] Has the same fluctuation applied to any other article of import in China?—No; the opium was a notoriously gambling trade.

531. Mr. Horsman.] Was the risk of losses, from the stoppage of the trade, all confined to opium, or did it apply equally to all other articles of trade?—
When there was a general stoppage of the trade, it, of course, applied to everything; but what I alluded: to is the stoppage of the delivery of opium; that is, every now and then the mandarins would go down to Lintin, and persecute the smugglers, and then they would all vanish for a time; sometimes for a whole month they would scarcely deliver 20 chests of opium; and sometimes the persecution would be so strong, that the vessels there could not sell opium for a month together; and, of course, competition made the prices go down directly.

532. Mr.

532. Mr. Brownrigg.] From your own experience can you state whether Robert Inglis, Esq. these great fluctuations in the price at which opium was sold in China existed anterior to the opening of the trade?—Yes, at all times.

11 May 1840.

533. They were equally great before as after?—Perhaps, latterly, they may have been more frequent, but not greater than in years before; but they have been more frequent as the persecution increased; perhaps that is a wrong word to apply to it, but that is the word commonly used there.

534. Mr. Gladstone.] Would you not describe a portion of this risk in carrying on the trade to the fact that the cultivation of the poppy is precarious?-The crop is precarious; but in the Malwa opium there were immense fluctuations at all times, because the trade in opium in the Malwa country was a matter of monopoly, and it often happened that, in China, we could not tell till the first Malwa opium arrived, either the supply of it for the season, or the probable price of it; and when the first arrival has come, I have known a ship to be there four or five days before we knew what she had got on board; and in those few days the prices would be quite nominal, and they would vary to the extent of 100 dollars a chest. There would not be much actually sold or bought, but the nominal prices would fluctuate considerably.

535. Mr. Brownrigg.] Does that fluctuation apply to the period when the Company had the monopoly in Malwa, or to the period when they threw it open by permitting it to pass through the territory, paying a duty?—Even then there was always an uncertainty what quantity might come through Demaun. There was always an uncertainty attending it, till we got the first arrival of the new

opium in China.

536. Mr. Hogg.] That uncertainty was much greater after the pass system was resorted to?—Much greater; we had never any means of ascertaining what the crop was in Malwa till the opium actually arrived in Bombay.

537. Mr Colquhoun.] In your former examination you stated that the condition of the foreign community at Canton, before the arrival of Captain Elliot, was one of duresse, but that the provisions had not been withdrawn, nor the servants removed; and you mentioned that you yourself and the older residents there were less alarmed at the state of matters than those who had more recently arrived in China. That was owing to your experience that such transactions had not been altogether unprecedented in China; that the Chinese government had, on former occasions, as perhaps you may remember, in the year 1830, applied very strong coercion to the then foreign community in Canton?—Yes; I was not there at that time; I had left China a few months before that com-

538. And that on that occasion they had gone so far as to withdraw the ser-

vants and stop the provisions?—Yes.

539. On that occasion you may have heard that the supercargoes expressed it as their opinion that such proceedings could only be met on their part by decided resistance, and that such resistance was on that occasion applied?—Yes.

540. Do you think it possible that had similar vigorous measures been resorted to upon the present occasion, the threats and intimidation of Commissioner Lin might have been restrained within narrower bounds?—Undoubtedly; had we had the Company's supercargoes there, they would have fought the battle far

541. That is to say, if there had been sufficient force to present a formidable aspect to the Chinese government, and sufficient vigour in managing that force?

—Yes; I think if the East India Company's monopoly had still continued, and

their factory had been there, this never would have happened.

542. It would not have happened, as you apprehend, because the supercargoes would have been backed by a superior force, and they would have exhibited greater energy in their proceedings?—They would have been united, which the foreign community scarcely ever were; for since the opening of the trade, having a British Superintendent there, we of course looked to him to fight our battles for us in any question of that kind; had we been left alone, I presume the same necessity, which has originated all government, would have forced us, in spite of our differences of opinion, to have united against the common enemy, the Chinese; but as we had a Superintendent there to do that, as we conceived, for us, we trusted of course to him for it. We were taken suddenly unawares, and it was impossible for us to unite together in any common cause; nor had we any head to whom the rest would choose to submit.

543. And ' Ø.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq.
11 May 1840.

543. And you naturally looked to Captain Elliot, as representing Her Majesty's Government, as the person who was to originate whatever measures of resistance were to be resorted to?—We naturally supposed that, as Superintendent of the trade, it came within his duty to protect the trade.

544. You said that Commissioner Lin's proceedings, as you afterwards saw,

were of a very violent character?—Yes, subsequently.

545. But your impression was, when he came to Canton to fulfil the orders of the government, that those orders would have been satisfied by obtaining, even upon the terms of paying for it, a considerable quantity of opium?—That was my own impression, but I think not the opinion of the greater part of the community.

546. The opinion of the greater part of the community was, that his determi-

nation was to obtain the whole of the opium?—Yes.

547. But you mentioned that it was unexpected to him to obtain so large an amount as 20,000 chests?—I do not think he ever expected to get that amount.

548. You think he would have been satisfied if he had obtained a smaller

amount?—I think that was the opinion of the Hong merchants.

549. Judging from past circumstances, to which you have already referred, is it your opinion that if a different course of proceeding had been adopted by the authority at Canton, Commissioner Lin might have been satisfied with a less amount of opium?—No. That was my impression at first; but from what passed afterwards, when the Hong merchants tried that experiment with the thousand chests, it then became apparent that he would have the whole amount of opium. He said he knew there were at Lintin several 10,000 chests, which at least implied two 10,000's. He said, in the original edict, that he knew that we had several 10,000 chests, and that it was of no use to give up a part of the opium and to send the rest away, that he must have the whole of it. And from what we have seen since, it appears that we ought to have put more faith in that edict than we did at that moment. But as it is a common thing in the Chinese to demand more than ever they intend to be satisfied with, my impression was that they would be satisfied with less, and that was the opinion of the Hong merchants.

550. But the fact is, that before Captain Elliot came, your provisions and servants remained with you; you were merely restrained from departing; and the fact is, that in 1830 the provisions and servants were stopped, and there was a threat of an armed force entering the factory?—Yes; I remember that Mr. Dent laid in a supply of provisions on Lin's coming down, because he thought it pro-

bable that he would pursue some such measure as was done in 1830.

551. He recollecting the case in 1830, laid in his provisions, because he thought they would be required by the persons in the factory as they had been in 1830?—Yes; and I think it likely that one or two others of the old residents there had done the same thing.

552. In 1830, were not the threats put a stop to, and the foreign community at Canton liberated, by the arrival of a very small force at Canton?—I think the factory at Canton would have gained the same point even had that force never

arrived, by their own energy and their own ships.

553. You are aware of the fact, that the factory called up an armed force to Canton, and that subsequently to the arrival of that armed force the threats were

removed?—Yes.

554. Would you not infer that had a similar course been pursued upon the present occasion, similar results would have ensued?—I conceive that if the slightest check had been given to the Chinese a few years ago, this business never would have happened; I consider that as certain as I can consider anything upon which I have not positive grounds of knowledge.

555. You said that you would not have given up the opium without a guarantee from Captain Elliot?—Certainly not; nor would I have given it up.

to the Chinese under anything short of starvation, without some security.

556. You said that you would have made a struggle, and made the best terms

you could with the Chinese?—Certainly.

557. Mr. Elliot.] You were understood to say that you were under no alarm for your personal safety until the arrival of Captain Elliot?—The alarm rather ceased when Captain Elliot arrived than otherwise.

558. You were understood to say that you, who were an old resident in China,

had no personal alarm regarding your life, or any serious violence, previous to Robert Inglis, Esq. the arrival of Captain Elliot?—Captain Elliot's arrival relieved us all. I believe that every foreigner in Canton went to bed more tranquilly the night of his arrival than he had done several nights before; certainly all the British subjects did. I am not certain but that the other day I may have led the Committee to suppose that I considered that the alarm of the foreign community was greater after his arrival than before; but if I said that, I said what I perhaps am not justified in saying.

559. You yourself were not under any alarm of personal violence before Captain Elliot's arrival?—Certainly not, or I would not have gone into the city.

560. The alarm that existed was amongst those who were less acquainted with China, but not with the older residents, who were more accustomed to the country?—There was no alarm on the part of my partner, Mr. Dent, and myself; but that was not the case with others.

561. Were you a party to a memorial addressed to Lord Palmerston in May? Yes.

562. In that memorial this paragraph occurs: "We feel it our duty to express to your Lordship our deep sense of the public spirit which induced this officer." meaning Captain Elliot, "at no inconsiderable risk, to endeavour to rescue British life and property from a position of fearful jeopardy, and we may assure your Lordship that but one feeling existed of the extreme peril of the whole community when he succeeded in forcing his way to Canton, and took charge of all responsibility in the negotiations with the Chinese government." That is a paragraph in the memorial?—It is.

563. Will you reconcile these very strong expressions, in which you say that but one feeling existed as to the extreme peril of the whole community, and in which you speak of his having endeavoured to rescue British life and property from a position of fearful jeopardy, with the feeling which you now seem to entertain, that you were under no apprehension of personal violence?—I must explain, in the first place, that Mr. Dent and I had two other partners at that time, one of whom was Mr. Braine, who had most to do with the opium department, and who had been in China only a few months, and had never seen any such transaction as that before; and I had another partner, who had only just joined the house. It was Mr. Braine, by the bye, who did everything relating to the opium, and who attended the meeting at which the memorial was got up. 564. Chairman.] Had you quitted China when the memorial was prepared? --No, I was in China when that memorial was signed.

565-6. Mr. Elliot.] You did not object to the memorial?—No, not as an individual; besides, when I say that I had no personal alarm on those days, I only speak of what was occurring on those days; but it was impossible to say what the thing would have come to had we been left to ourselves, and subjected to the will of Commissioner Lin. I think subsequents have shown that I was wrong in my feeling of security, and that the mass of the community were right; I think the subsequent conduct of Commissioner Lin evidently shows that there was more danger attending these transactions than has been the case upon any other occasion in which we have come in contact with the Chinese; since that I have been led to think that I was wrong, and that the rest of the community were right.

567. Chairman.] That memorial was signed by the firm of which you were a member, not by yourself personally?—Certainly there were members of that firm who had not been long in China; and upon matters of that kind it would be impossible for any Englishman to come forward and say, "I have no personal alarm, and therefore I will not sign the memorial."

568. Mr. Elliot.] You will observe that is one very strong expression: "And we may assure your Lordship that but one feeling existed of the extreme peril of the whole community"?—That may be going a little too far; that was written in May, when we had had much longer evidence of Commissioner Lin's proceedings, and of the length that he was prepared to go. If I had been required to sign my own name to that I might have hesitated.

569. After a certain time had elapsed, may not your impression as to the peril you were in at that time have been stronger than you say it was at the moment? -It was much stronger, because at the time I had no fear of personal violence; but at the same time, it was impossible to say what length they might go to. At the moment I am speaking of Captain Elliot had not arrived, and we had

11 May 1840.

0.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq. no protection whatever; we were disunited; we had no head to fight the battle for us, and we had no earthly means of resistance.

11 May 1840.

570. May the Committee consider that the sentiments contained in the memorial signed by you and the greater part of the respectable persons at Canton, may be regarded as giving a true picture of the real feeling of the community at that time?—Undoubtedly; I think, moreover, that Captain Elliot, who is a person who would not say anything that he did not believe to be true, states as a reason for giving up the opium, the alarm of the community; I do not suppose that he asked me individually whether I was alarmed or not, but he took the general sense of the community, and judged by what he saw of the state of alarm of the community generally; and that was one of the grounds upon which he gave up the opium; and I take it that his authority, in a matter of that kind, is better than my own individual feelings.

571. Do you think that any inference could be drawn as to what would have occurred under the government of Commissioner Lin, by comparing it with what happened at any previous period during which you were in China?—I may probably have involved myself in some little contradiction, from having the other day told the story, as nearly as I recollected, of what my own feelings were at the moment the transactions were going on, but which have altered very materially since; so that at one time I know I may have spoken according to my feelings at this moment, and at another I may have spoken according to

what my feelings were at the time.

572. Do you think that any inference can be drawn from what has been done at periods previous to the arrival of Commissioner Lin at Canton, and the edicts that have passed during those periods, as to what would have happened under the government of Commissioner Lin, armed as he was with extraordinary powers, and acting in the extraordinary manner in which he has certainly done?—The whole transaction has shown the Chinese in a character that I must confess I never viewed them in before. I had no conception that it was even the policy of the government of China; it is certainly not the character of the present Emperor to do what Commissioner Lin has done.

573. Do you think that by comparing what took place in 1830, or any previous year, with the circumstances that took place at Canton at this time, any inference can be fairly drawn as to what the result of a different line of conduct on the part of the Superintendent, or any British authority, would have been?—Certainly not; I think it is quite impossible to say what might have hap-

pened had Captain Elliot not interposed.

574. Chairman.] Are the Committee to understand you to say, that your sense of the danger you incurred previous to Captain Elliot's arrival has been much increased by your knowledge of Commissioner Lin's subsequent conduct?—Certainly. Prior to that transaction the Chinese always showed wonderful caution as to injuring the life, or even the property of foreigners; notwithstanding what their threats may have been, in practice they never put either the life or property of foreigners in jeopardy. Commissioner Lin has done this since. He has put both in jeopardy; and we have at this moment reason to believe that the cruelty exercised towards Mr. Morss was sanctioned at least by Commissioner Lin, if it was not done by his order. Now, I never recollect a thing of that kind occurring before.

kind occurring before.

575. Mr. Gladstone.] What evidence is there to that effect?—Nothing but that the boat was said to be a mandarin boat; at first it was said that they were not mandarins at all, that it was done by pirates. But I think the evidence goes

to show that it was sanctioned certainly by the Commissioner.

576. You said that it was a mandarin boat?—It was stated by Mr. Morss himself to be a mandarin boat.

577. How is a mandarin boat known?—By the insignia, the lanterns, and flags upon it, and the dress of the mandarin boatmen.

578. What would be the rank or quality of a mandarin commanding such a

boat?-Very low, I imagine.

579. For the sake of explanation, can you give the name of any officer in this country whose rank you would compare it with?—No, I would not venture upon that; great mistakes are constantly made in trying to compare officers there with officers of equal rank in this country; for instance, when we talk of a Chinese admiral, I have no conception that a Chinese admiral ought, for a moment, to be ranked with Sir Frederick Maitland.

580. What

580. What is the meaning of the word mandarin?—It is a Portuguese word, Robert Inglis, Esq. which is applied to every officer from the Governor down to a tidewaiter.

11 May 1840.

- 581. Have you any evidence upon the subject of the seizure of that boat, and the murder of the crew, except the declaration of Mr. Morss?—None whatever.
- 582. Mr. Hogg.] Is not it usual for pirates in China to assume the appearance of mandarins, and to fit up their boat as a mandarin boat when they are committing piracies?—Undoubtedly they do it; I could show Chinese evidence of that 583. Are you aware whether Captain Elliot has himself expressed an opinion
- and belief that the murder of Mr. Morss was effected by pirates, and not by the order of a Chinese authority?—No, I know nothing whatever upon the subject but what I have seen in the public correspondence. I see that some of the Canton merchants and the editor of one of the papers thought at the time that it was done by pirates under the disguise of mandarins.
- 584. Were you rightly understood to say that your apprehension of violence and danger from the Chinese authorities is founded upon subsequent events, and did not exist at the time when the occurrence itself took place, that is, at the time of your duresse, before the arrival of Captain Elliot?—Certainly, myself personally, I had no apprehension, and, therefore, at the time I would have gone into the city.
- 585. The only apprehension that you have that there was danger to the person or property of any foreigner, is founded upon the subsequent events?—Yes; I now think that had Mr. Dent gone to the city, he would have been in much more danger than I apprehended at the time.
- 586. Mr. Elliot.] But your opinion at that time was not the general opinion among the foreigners?—No, certainly not. A man residing a number of years in China may form an opinion from a vast number of little events which he cannot explain to others, and he may form a wrong opinion.
- 587. Were there a good many other persons who had been in China as long as yourself?—There was one gentleman that had been there longer. I think Mr. Matheson had been there upwards of 20 years. Mr. Dent and myself had been there about the same time.
- 588. Is not Mr. Matheson's name subscribed to that memorial to Lord Palmerston?—I believe it is.
- 589. Then other persons who had been there a number of years were not so little impressed with the danger as you appear to have been?—They were more impressed with the danger. The greater part of the firms signing that have all been established since the opening of the trade. Many parties belonging to them had resided a very short time in China, and they naturally were alarmed. I may mention that Mr. Astell, the East India Company's agent, was not by any means so alarmed at the moment as the other agent was, who had just arrived in Canton the night before we were shut up. I am not aware that Mr. Astell showed any alarm at all.
- 590. Sir George Staunton.] Allusion has been made to the transactions of 1830; were the strong measures of the Chinese at that time at all similar to the measures adopted by Commissioner Lin?—I left China for India in October 1829; the business had begun then, but I was not in Canton during the latter part of those proceedings.
- 591. They were alluded to as if they were something similar to the proceedings upon this occasion; can you state whether that was the case or not? --- I recollect Mr. Dent giving as a reason why he had laid in provisions when Commissioner Lin came, that he did it because he had profited by the experience of 1830.
- 592. Were the British community placed under duresse and confinement in 1830?—I cannot say.

593. You do not know that they were so?—I think not.

594. Is it within your knowledge that anything similar had ever taken place in the history of our intercourse with China?—The servants have been taken away; they were taken away in Lord Napier's time; but I never recollect the foreigners being shut up in Canton. I was not there when Lord Napier was there. I never was in Canton myself when anything of the kind occurred; I never remember any foreigners being shut up in Canton.

595. That confinement took place previous to Captain Elliot's coming to Canton? \mathbf{G} 3

Robert Inglis, Esq. Canton?—Yes; all communication was cut off, even by land, previous to his coming.

11 May 1840.

- 506. And you were, practically, as much confined as if you had been in prison?—Yes; there was an order from the Kwang-chow-foo saying that pending Commissioner Lin's proceedings the foreigners were interdicted from leaving Canton.
- 597. And that was not a mere matter of form, but it was a practical confinement to Canton?—A practical confinement.
- 598. You could not have escaped?—No; I should have escaped if I could, because I had part of my baggage on board already, and all the rest of it packed up to put on board the boat to go to Macao; but I found that I never could get away, even before that order was issued; I could not get my pass, and I was sure there was something coming, and it was that which made me go to Howqua to ask whether he meant to keep me or not.

599. Although you had the range of the factory, you were, practically, as much confined as if you had been within the walls of a prison?—Certainly.

- 600. Allusion has been made to the servants being taken away; it is not the custom for foreigners to bring servants of their own with them to China?—No; generally they have Chinese servants.
- 601. Therefore the taking away the servants is in fact a very great grievance?

 —Certainly.
- 602. Because it obliges gentlemen to perform the most menial offices?—On this occasion I may mention what became a great inconvenience, and would have become a means of sickness to us, had it continued, and that was the inability to get our places cleaned; it may easily be conceived what that would be in Canton, in a large community confined in a very small space, and a great number of people living in the same house; the inconvenience of that was becoming intolerable, till at last the Hong merchants allowed it to be remedied; and it may be considered a very insulting act to place a number of persons, of the rank of gentlemen, in so humiliating a position. I may mention, that when we did get back our servants, every person in our house went away the day the house was cleaned, it had become so intolerable from the collection of dirt during seven weeks.
- 603. Mr. Gladstone.] In point of fact, how were the meals prepared, and the ordinary offices of servants discharged?—We had 30 or 40 common sailors shut up with us, they were distributed amongst the Europeans, and though they broke everything in the houses, still they kept the kitchens clean; and then the Parsees behaved remarkably kindly to us; they had their Parsee servants, and their Parsee cooks, and they made their servants available for those who were not equally fortunate.
- 604. What number of Parsee servants may there have been in the factory?—I do not know; but every Parsee has Parsee servants.
- 605. How many Parsees were there?—I think there must have been 50 at least.
- 606. What was the number of native servants who were removed?—I cannot say; but a great many; because we have a great many servants there, as is usually the case in the East; not so many as in India, but still a great many.
- 607. Mr. Brownrigg.] Each individual has three or four, has he not?—No; every individual has one servant to wait upon him; and then we had a vast number of Coolies, who are engaged in carrying about the dollars, as all our payments are made in hard cash, and they were all taken away.
- 608, Qf domestic servants, do you suppose that there were 200 or 300?—I cannot say, but there were 200 foreigners, and there must have been 300 servants taken away.
- 609. Sir G. Staunton.] You stated that your own opinion was, that Commissioner Lin intended to pay for the opium?—I thought so from the wording of his edict.
- 610. But that he did not contemplate obtaining so large a quantity as 20,000 chests?—I thought not at first; we never put entire faith in the edicts; and in this instance we did not put so much as I now think we ought to have done; for

11 May 1840.

he worked it out as I never knew an officer of the Chinese government to carry Rebert Inglis, Esq. out the orders in any edict that he issued.

- 611. From the information you have obtained since, you are not of opinion that any other course could have been safely pursued than that of giving up the opium?—Nobody, at the time, could see any other course that could be taken.
 - 612. Without compensation?—Certainly not.
- 613. Though you originally were of opinion that compensation might be obtained, yet now, looking back upon the transaction, you do not think it could have been obtained?—I do not know; I spoke only with reference to Dent & Co. in particular. When Mr. Dent talked of going into the city it was under the impression that he might make, as it were, a bargain for Dent & Co.; but I never thought that that bargain would be extended to all the rest of the community, because I think it extremely likely that the Chinese intended kindly to Mr. Dent, and that they would not have done the same with all the rest of the community.
- 614. You stated that it was rumoured that Mr. Matheson would be the next person taken?—That was the report among the Chinese that Mr. Matheson would go next, and then Mr. Turner, and then another.
- 615. In short, that the measures would be followed up till the whole of the opium was obtained?—Yes; I was inclined then to think, that had Commissioner Lin been able to carry out his own measures, he would only have allowed to remain in China such persons as he liked, and have taken means of banishing such as he did not like; that was my impression at the moment.
- 616. You stated that the supposed object of requiring Mr. Dent's presence in the city was to work upon his feelings, and also to intimidate him?—That was my own impression; probably that might not be the opinion of a great part of the community; it was my own opinion.
- 617. But not that there was any idea whatever of putting him upon anything like a trial for breaking the Chinese laws, or anything of that kind?—No; we understood that Commissioner Lin had rooms prepared for him, that he had got a cook, a Chinaman, who had been employed as a cook in an European house, and it was supposed that this was for Mr. Dent, and that they were going to keep him there for some time. And my own impression was, that he would be brought every day before Commissioner Lin, and that they would try first to coax him out of his opium, and that failing, then they would not have proceeded to hurt him immediately, but they would have tormented the Hong merchants before him; they would have brought them in with chains, and made them kneel before him in chains; and that they might even have threatened to put them to death, to try to induce Mr. Dent to give up his opium. And I would not say but that with a person of Mr. Dent's kind heart they might possibly have succeeded, where with others they would not.
- 618. Mr. Gladstone.] When you speak of torment, do you mean mental torment or physical torment?—It would have been mental torment to Mr. Dent, but physical torment to the Chinese.
- 619. Sir G. Staunton.] It is clear, however, that the object of bringing Mr. Dent into the city was not for the purpose of putting him on trial upon a charge of smuggling, as might have been done in this country, and examining witnesses and punishing him for smuggling?—I think not. I think the Commissioner believed that he would get the opium out of him without proceeding to personal With respect to Mr. Dent, I think it is likely he expected that he would get him to sign that bond; and supposing he had done that, my impression is that he would have released Mr. Dent with great applause, and that then the Hong merchants would have been ordered to load all the ships with tea, and that the Hong merchants would have been ordered to pay him for his opium by some process or other, either by tea or by instalments during a series of years.
- 620. With respect to the bond, it appears by the papers printed by the House of Commons, that Captain Elliot declared that he considered that bond of so atrocious a character, that he would rather sacrifice his life than sign it. Do you think that the trade could be fairly and honourably carried on subject to the signing of such a bond?—It is impossible, I think. I conceive that very few Englishmen would have remained in Canton subject to such conditions. A man, for

0.59.

*11 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. for instance, is required to say that any captain of a ship who should bring opium to China should be liable to be put to death, and he is even called upon to say that he should be content to be put to death. If Mr. Dent had gone into the city, I think they might have worked upon him to give up the opium; but I do not know how they would ever have got him to sign the bond.

- 621. You stated that it is conceived that Commissioner Lin had ulterior views, besides that of demanding the surrender of the opium?—I think so; and in confirmation of that I may mention that he has issued some very extraordinary regulations with respect to the native trade. There is a large book published under his orders for the native trade, which I asked one of the interpreters to translate and send home. It has got a wood-cut of a junk, showing how their junks are to be built and appointed, and one thing is, that the name of every sailor in the junk is to be written in clear characters upon the sail; they are regulations under which it is impossible that any earthly trade can be carried on.
- 622. The regulations respecting the foreign trade are such, that it would have placed it in an infinitely worse position than it ever has been in; in fact, it would be impossible to be carried on?—Utterly impossible; they are the old regulations of 1740, or thereabouts; they never have been able to be carried into effect.
- 623. Do you believe that the whole of the opium was destroyed?—I think so; I think, myself, that Commissioner Lin has been sincere throughout; I judge entirely from what. I suppose to be the character of Commissioner Lin. Had it been attributed to Tang, the viceroy, I would never have supposed that it had been done.

624. The opium lying in the ships at Lintin was not in any manuer within the power of the Chinese?—Certainly not.

- 625. Do you know whether the Chinese boats or custom-house officers have ever been in the habit of visiting ships in the outer waters?—No, never, that I
- 626. They took no cognizance of ships' cargoes till they entered the Bogue? -Perhaps latterly, within the last few years, they may have been ordered to do it, but in practice they never have done it.
- 627. You are not aware of any edict having been issued for the confiscation of the opium at Lintin, in the event of the ship not quitting the coast?—No,
 - 628. Nor of any insinuation or threat of that kind?—No, never.
- 629. You have stated that the number of persons detained at Canton was 320, and that there were 16 persons denounced as having connexion with the opium trade?—If I said 320 in my former examination, it was by mistake, I meant 230.
- 630. Was that the whole number of those who were charged with the opium trade by the Chinese government?—No; those sixteen were selected. They first of all ordered away Mr. Dent, and then a few days afterwards they ordered away Mr. Matheson alone, and then in a few days afterwards they ordered away the whole sixteen.
- 631. Upon what principle were they selected; were they selected as being the most concerned in it?—Certainly not; because there were one or two who had never been concerned in it at all. My own name was never known to the Chinese government till I went into the city. I was not upon the list, and Kwang-chow-foo did not know at all who I was when I went into the city. It was supposed that the commissioner got those names from the linguists.
- 632. Upon the plea that they were the dealers in opium?--Yes; and I presume as being the principal dealers in pium, which was perhaps correct enough as regarded three or four of the names.
- 633. But there were others who dealt in opium of whom the Chirese government took no cognizance?-No, they took no notice of them.
- 634. Chairman.] You were understood to say that several of those sixteen never had had any transactions in opium?—Yes; there was a cousin of Mr. Matheson, a young lad, who had just arrived out in China, and who during his short stay in China had been occupied in their (Jardine, Matheson & Co.'s) office. He certainly, personally, never had had anything to do with opium:

was also our book-keeper, who as our book-keeper may be said to be impli- Robert Inglis, Esq. cated in the opium trade, but he had been trading on his own account for 12 years in China, and had never even seen a chest of opium up to the moment of his coming away; he had never had anything to do with the opium trade whatever on his own account.

11 May 1840,

- 635. Mr. Brownrigg.] You stated that you thought that Commissioner Lin intended to deal kindly with Mr. Dent, and that if he had succeeded in obtaining the opium from him and getting him to sign the bond, he would have dismissed him with praise and permitted him to remain there, and that his ships would have been loaded; do you think he would have followed up the same measure with all the rest after he had extorted that kind of agreement from Mr. Dent, or do you suppose that Commissioner Lin would have been content with proceeding against Mr. Dent alone, and that he would not have proceeded against any other of the merchants?-No; it was supposed that he intended to proceed next against Mr. Matheson and then against Mr. Turner; I think that he would have followed it up; I think the object was to make Mr. Dent make the first leap, hoping that others would follow.
- 636. Mr. Gladstone.] Do you think it was his intention to proceed against all the holders of opium one by one?—Yes, I think it was. The Chinese themselves mentioned two or three names as coming next, which were probable, because they stood next among the English in those transactions; but Mr. Matheson stood far higher than ever we did.
- 637. You do not infer, from their only having named 16 persons, that they would have stopped when they got to the end of the 16?—No; I imagine that the banishing of the 16 was entirely an afterthought.
- 638. Do you believe that Lin had the ulterior views to which you have alluded when he came to Canton, or that he was led to entertain those ulterior views in consequence of the opposition offered to him?—I think the banishing of the 16 was quite an afterthought. First of all, he ordered that Mr. Dent was to go away within three days' notice; Mr. Dent got a day or two more given him to stay. Before the time arrived for his going, Mr. Matheson was ordered away, and at this time the rest of the community were all in confinement; and then came out the order for the 16 to be banished.
- 639. Is it now your opinion, after the light that you have derived from subsequent experience, that if Lin's edict had been at once obeyed when it was issued, and the opium delivered up, he would then have been satisfied without ulterior measures?—I think then the question of the bonds would have come on. did not think so much of the bonds then, not having regarded the edicts as likely to be enforced to the letter; but, from what I have seen subsequently, I have no doubt that the bonds were part of his original intention in coming from Pekin.
- 640. Bonds relating to the opium trade?—Bonds obliging us to declare that we never would engage in the trade any more, and that any captain of a ship coming to us should be liable to death, and should be contented to be put to death. I think that would have created quite as great a difficulty as the delivery up of the opium.
- 641. Supposing he had obtained this object, do you think he would have been willing to make any payment for the opium delivered up?—I think he came from Pekin with that intention, but that at Pekin he never expected to get 20,000
- 642. Is it your opinion that he at all contemplated or desired to raise obstructions to the legal trade, or to confine the legal trade within narrower limits? I think that was also part of his original intention.
- 643. Do you think that he wished to diminish the export of tea from China? -No, but to put us under the old regulations.
- 644. You think he wished to place you under strict regulations, which, as you have stated, would have been highly inconvenient; but do you think that he wished to narrow the trade itself?—Certainly not; not the export of tea or of China produce.
- 645. In what way were the opium transactions made public to the foreign community at Canton; in the ordinary course of the trade, that is, the arrivals and the sales?—The sales were made by individuals, and we only knew it from the price-currents.

Robert Inglis, Esq.

11 May 1840.

646. Did the price-currents state the arrivals of the week, or the month?—The whole quantity of opium was perfectly known; I have a paper in my pocket, which will explain it to the Committee (producing a paper).

[The Witness delivered in the same, which is as follows:]

Opium Deliveries for February 1839.										Remaining Stock, 1st March 1839.						
VESSELS.		P.		B.		м.		TOTAL.	P.		В.		М.		Torac.	
		0.	N.	о.	N.	0.	N.		0.	N.	0.	N.	o.	N.		
Jane - Hercules Mermaid Lintin - Mithras - Ruparell Aurora - Ardeseer Lady Grant	-		3 1 1 1 1 1 1	2½ 17 ½	- no	ne	11 ,10 - - 2 - -	12 4	161 10 31 41 - 2	29 66 17 5 - -	776} 492 266 87 46 9 -	101	279 50 99 48 - - -	4788 1,640 87 - 8 - 362	4711 1,301 9191 1,0501 2141 369 400 850	2,026 1,501 1,339 1,192 268 378 302 400 850
TOTAL			3	19‡	-	2	23	16	634	108	1,676}	171	486	2,525	5,576}	10,390

647. Was any notice taken in the price-currents of the names of the consignees?—Yes, the names of the consignees were stated.

648. Including the consignees of the vessels that brought opium?—Yes.

649. Mr. C. Buller.] Were those returns printed?—The result of them; the amount of opium on board the ships was returned to every person who had opium on board in those ships; the general result of that was also printed in the newspaper on the 1st of every month; the weekly quantity of opium delivered in that week was also sent up in the same form to Canton, and the general result published in the newspaper.

650. Mr. Gladstone.] Did the newspapers publish the names of the consignees of all the ships?—They published the names of the consignees of all the ships lying at Hong Kong; some of them were the regular ships, and others were

ships that came and went.

651. With opium?—Yes, there were opium vessels; and various other ships stop there.

652. Mr. Hogg.] That publication was in the English language?—It was.

653. Was there for general information, or for the particular information of the trading community of China, any publication or information respecting opium in the Chinese language?—Nothing whatever of the kind; every opium broker understood this perfectly; he would come to get a return of the quantity delivered for his own speculations, and he would get one of these papers.

654. Were they circulated, either in a printed or a written form, in the Chinese language?—No; they were circulated among the people engaged in the oplum

trade in this form, and they all understood it sufficiently.

655. Chairman.] But the existence of a certain quantity of opium, and the existence of these weekly or monthly transactions, were matters of perfect notoriety at Canton?—Gertainly. With regard to the opium vessels lying there, I may mention that there were several names of vessels mentioned by Governor Yuen in his proclamations from 1821 to 1823, and three of the vessels then belonged to the same houses to which three of these vessels belonged, and two of those houses have continued during the whole of that time, as houses of the same name, and those are Russell & Co., an American house, and Dent & Co., an English house; the names of those houses have been the same ever since Governor Yuen's proclamation against those ships in 1820 to 1823.

656. Specifying those two houses?—Not specifying the houses, he was merely specifying the ships; but he had the means of knowing who the houses were; the

Chinamen knew to whom the ships lying there belonged.

657. Mr. Hogg.] The space to which foreigners were limited at Canton was always very confined, was it not?—Yes.

658. Confined almost to the respective factories? +No; we were allowed to go down into some part of the suburbs of the town to get to the Hong merchants places.

659. Was that the description of duresse to which the foreign community were subjected

subjected before the arrival of Captain Elliot; did it amount to anything more Robert Inglis, Esq. than confinement to their factories, with power to move about from house to house and visit each other?—It was confined to their being cut off from communication with Whampoa and with Macao.

11 May 1840.

660. Did the confinement amount to anything further than an inability to leave the factory in boats?—Nothing further, to the best of my recollection.

661. Sir George Staunton.] But that confinement kept them totally in the power of the Chinese; they had no power of escaping to England?—Entirely: we had no means of getting away by boats.

662. Mr. Hogg.] You could move from house to house?—Yes; and so we

could during the whole time after Captain Elliot came.

663. Sir George Staunton.] When you say that you expected that payment might be obtained for the opium, do you mean payment out of the government treasury, or by means of the Hong merchants?—By means of the Hong merchants.

664. The payment would have been advanced in the first place by the Hong merchants, and they probably would have reimbursed themselves out of the Consoo fund?—I think they would probably have paid a certain sum of money, of course coming out of the Consoo fund, and the rest they would have proposed to repay by instalments; I am sure that was the impression of the Hong merchants; and Mowqua actually asked us if we would take 400 dollars a chest.

665. Mr. Elliot. You form the same opinion yourself from the terms of the

edict?—From an expression in the Commissioner's edict.

666. You said before that it promised "that if we complied with his request

he would report the thing to the Emperor to reward us"?—Yes.

667. Can you recollect the exact words?—No, I cannot; but they could be -easily ascertained by reference to the edict; but I remember begging Mr. Morrison to read it over again, and I said at the time, "That is a very remarkable expression; it means more than it implies a good deal;" and I repeated the words to my partner; but there was considerable doubt upon that expression afterwards among the community, and many of them thought it did not mean so much, and I think Mr. Morrison himself, upon reconsideration, seemed to think that it did not mean so much as I at first thought it did.

668. Do you think the Hong merchants derived their impression from that expression, or that they had other private information?—I think they formed their opinion upon the general tendency of all transactions of the kind, which is

to make them pay.

669. Mr. E. Buller.] You stated that the opium trade was carried on at Canton with the connivance of the authorities; was it carried on with the connivance of the highest authorities of Canton?—Certainly; they knew perfectly that the opium trade was carried on, and they knew how it was carried on; and I presume that they had always the same means of stopping it which they had at last.

670. Have you any reason to believe that the Viceroy himself was either directly concerned in the smuggling of opium, or that he derived a profit from it?—There is an Englishman here now, ready to offer evidence, I understand, before the Committee, that he himself was employed by the Viceroy in smuggling opium into the river; but my own impression is, that his son was actually engaged in the trade; the Chinamen themselves placarded him in the streets. The deputy-governor of one of two provinces under the Viceroy's management, in memorializing the Emperor respecting the opium trade, recommended that an officer of government should be employed in purchasing opium to ascertain exactly who were the parties engaged in the trade, and that, if necessary, they should even open shops in order to ascertain the precise mode in which the trade was conducted. Judging from that, it seems to me not unlikely that the Emperor did authorize the Viceroy to employ anybody he pleased in the trade in order to ascertain the particulars of it; and judging by the general conduct of the mandarins, and of this officer in particular, I think it exceedingly likely that his son would buy 500 chests on the Emperor's account, and 2,000 on his own; and, therefore, if Her Majesty's Government were to say to the Emperor of China, we have evidence that your Viceroy was engaged in the trade, he would say, "Certainly, I know that; he did it by my order;" but that Viceroy really profited to a very large amount, because he reported 500 chests, when he would buy, or his son would buy, 2,000.

Robert Inglis, Esq. 11 May 1840.

671. In what year do you suppose the son of the Viceroy to have been engaged in these opium transactions?—In the end of 1837, or the beginning of 1838, when the opium trade was first driven from Lintin into the river within the Bogue, which, I think, was about November or December 1837.

672. Was that in consequence of the strict regulations of the Chinese within the river ?- No; first of all, it was in consequence of that persecution, as I call it, against the opium smugglers at Lintin; they obliged them to destroy their boats, and then, as the sale of opium was necessarily suspended for a while at Lintin, the trade was forced, as it is upon all such occasions, into some other channels, and then some parties began smuggling it in the river in small boats: one of those parties told me himself that he delivered 1,200 chests a month for two months, chiefly to two boats, which he believed to be the Viceroy's own boats.

673. Do you know whether they carried the Viceroy's flag?-1 should think not, but I would rather not say anything upon this subject; I never was engaged in that particular branch of trade. I think the Committee will have means of

getting it from parties that were.

674. At the period you have mentioned, when the smuggling by the Chinese boats was to a great degree suppressed, and the smuggling was driven into the channel which you have alluded to, which was a British channel chiefly, did you hear at that time, as matter of notoriety, that the Viceroy owned boats for the purpose of smuggling opium?—Certainly; it was generally reported. The Chinese themselves placarded his son as being engaged in it. There is a translation of the placard in one of the Canton newspapers.

675. Do you believe that report to be true?—I believe it in the way I mentioned before, namely, that the Viceroy had parties employed in buying opium: but I believe that if you were to accuse him of that, he would say, "Certainly, I bought opium; I had boats engaged in buying opium, but it was by the orders of the Emperor, to ascertain the parties who were engaged in the opium trade,

and the mode of conducting it."

676. Mr. Gladstone.] Have you any knowledge upon the subject, or is it merely your belief, founded upon report, that the Viceroy went further than to fulfil the orders of the Emperor with respect to the purchase of opium?—That is entirely a notion of my own, derived from seeing the memorial of Foo-quen (Deputy-Governor) of Kwang-See, recommending the Emperor to order that to be done, and judging by the usual character of the mandarins, and the particular character of the Viceroy, I think it is exceedingly likely that, whoever he cmployed, whether his son or anybody else, he would do that.

677. Was Tang Viceroy then?—He was.

- 678. When was he appointed?—I think he arrived in Canton about June 1836.
- 679. Sir G. Staunton.] Your decided belief is, that the Viceroy received a pecuniary benefit from his connivance at the opium trade?—The Viceroy, or some parties employed under him.

680. With his knowledge?—With his knowledge.

681. Mr. C. Buller.] Are there any Chinese in Canton that can read English? -I recollect two, and they had nearly forgotten it. They had both been able to read English well, at one time; one was a deputy gauger employed by the government of Calcutta.

682. Can none of the interpreters read English?—Not a syllable, or talk it

either, so as to be intelligible to persons in this country.

683. Do you suppose that all those things that were published in written papers, and handed round to the different merchants, and the printed papers and public newspapers, were a perfect secret to the Chinese?—No; Howqua took one of the newspapers regularly.

684. Do you suppose that the contents of that newspaper never were known to the Chinese?—On the contrary, it was supposed that he communicated the

contents to the Viceroy whenever the Viceroy wanted it.

685. Sir R. Inglis.] Did not Howqua read English?—No.

686. Mr. C. Buller. Then the Chinese must have been perfectly aware at this time of the publicity of these opium sales?—Undoubtedly; it was as notorious as possible; in fact, there have been reports every year almost of opium ships by name lying at Lintin from the very first time I arrived in China; there were constantly reports made of the number of ships and the names of the ships.

It appears from the official memorials, that in 1836 some of the parties in Pekin Robert Inglis, Esq. knew the names of the parties engaged in the opium trade, and it was in consequence of that that several parties were ordered to quit China, which was not, however, carried into execution.

11 May 1840.

687. Can you give any explanation as to the object of Commissioner Lin in requiring such a bond as that which you have mentioned?—I suppose it was as a means of putting an end to the opium trade, in which I think he was sincere.

688. Looking at the ordinary practice of foreign governments, it would appear that the Chinese had nothing to do but to make any law that they chose about the importation of opium into their own country, to affix any penalty to it they chose, and to enforce that penalty when the law was violated. What was the necessity of requiring the consent of the parties to the enforcement of a penal law?—I cannot say; it is equally difficult to say why the Chinese did not enforce the only penalty which they have ever threatened to inflict upon the foreign trade, which penalty was, that if the foreigners did not obey the laws of China, their trade was to be stopped. Governor Yuen, in 1820 to 1823, drove the trade out of Canton River, and he went so far in expelling ships from Lintin, that the East India Company's factory wrote to the Court of Directors to say that if he persisted in carrying his threats into execution next season, they should feel themselves obliged to drive away the ships themselves from Lintin. Now I may ask why he did not carry out his threat? It is evident that the Chinese government had far greater facility of putting a stop to the opium trade when there were not above 5,000 or 6,000 chests imported than when there were 26,000.

689. Sir George Staunton.] Then if it is said that at the time of the arrival of Commissioner Lin the government had exhausted all legitimate means of putting a stop to the opium trade, you consider that that was not the case?—It certainly was not the case. This same Governor Yuen, who is one of the first men in the empire, who had gone so far towards putting it down in 1820 to 1823, and then ceased just at the moment when it appeared that the East India Company were prepared to co-operate with him in doing it, he, after holding several high employments in the empire, was in the Emperor's council, the Council of Four, up to the end of 1838; and it was supposed to be his retirement which was the signal for the party who had advised violence towards the foreigners to carry into effect their measures, in consequence of which Commissioner Lin came to

690. Mr. Gladstone.] At what period of the year 1839 did the legitimate trade terminate; when was the trade of the season over?—There has been no season of late years; ships have come and gone at all times. Further than that, I apprehend the greater proportion of the tea was generally taken out of the market about May or June.

691. At the time when Lin came to Canton, can you state how many British ships there were in the Canton River which had come to China for the purpose of carrying on the regular trade?—I do not recollect the number at this moment, but there were a considerable number of ships there.

692. How many ships do you suppose remained unloaded then?—I cannot

693. Was the great bulk of the legitimate trade of the season concluded at that time?—I do not think it was. The trade had been suspended in December for some time; in fact, I was kept in China by the accident of the suspension of the trade then; and I think four or five ships left China immediately upon the opening of the trade, which had been loaded, and which lay there loaded throughout the whole time of our confinement in Canton.

694. Is it your opinion that there was a very considerable proportion of the goods belonging to the trade not yet either loaded or contracted for when Commissioner Lin came down to Canton?—Undoubtedly; there was estimated to be 13,000,000 of pounds of tea left in Canton, which would probably, the great bulk of it, have been shipped during the time that we were in confinement had

the trade gone on regularly.

695. Mr. C. Buller.] The Europeans generally were not considered amenable to the Chinese laws?—There was no penalty that ever I heard of attaching to Europeans under any Chinese law previous to Commissioner Lin's arrival, except that of death in cases of murder, and the general penalty upon the trade of suspension, closing the hatches of regular ships till the foreigners obeyed the law.

696. Was 0.59. н 3

Robert Inglis, Esq. 11 May 1840.

696. Was there any notion of the penalty of confiscation?-Nothing that ever I heard of.

697. Was not the object of the Chinese in requiring the bond this, to get the sanction of Europeans to enforcing the severe laws against the importation of opium? My own belief is, that they did not like to inflict the real penalty which they have constantly threatened, stopping the trade, because it was inconvenient to themselves, and because, moreover, they knew that it would bring them into collision with our Government.

697*. They wanted to enact death as the punishment of importing opium?—

It was threatened by Commissioner Lin.

608. Instead of doing that, as any European government would have done, by passing a law binding all foreigners in the country to obey it, they tried to obtain your consent to the enactment of this law against you by inducing you to sign this bond?—Yes; the bond was, I have no doubt, to prevent the merchants in Canton from engaging in the opium trade any more.

699. But they thought it necessary to get your sanction to inflict that punish-

ment before inflicting it of their own authority ?- I suppose so.

700. Sir George Staunton.] Was not the intention of the bond to induce foreigners to suppose that if they sold opium they would be subject to the penalty of death, and thereby to intimidate them?—No doubt.

701. Mr. Elliot.] Would a man given up under that bond have been tried

by the laws of China applied to their own subjects?—I suppose so.

702. Has that been the case in other instances when men have been given up?—I never recollect any case but one of a man given up, by the Americans.

who went through what is called a trial.

703. Did he go through the same form of trial that a Chinese subject would have done under similar circumstances?—I do not know. I believe the man. who was an Italian, not an American, although serving in an American vessel, had no interpreter except the common Chinese interpreter; and I believe the man was made to sign a confession, under the promise of being liberated; the man put his hand in red ochre, and stamped it on his confession, as having committed a murder; and he was induced to do that under the promise of being liberated.

704. And then he was executed?—And then he was executed.

705. Do you suppose that it was the form of trial which takes place with regard to their own subjects?—Their courts are not like our courts, where the same form is exercised every day; I imagine that it varies with every magistrate.

706. In the papers which have been laid before the House of Commons, it is stated that Europeans who have been given up to the Chinese authorities have not been tried in the same way in which natives of the country have been tried?

—I think that very likely. I can scarcely suppose, for instance, that a native of the country would be induced to sign his own confession by a promise of pardon, which promise is not to be enforced afterwards.

707. Mr. Gladstone.] Captain Elliot writes, on the 22d of March 1839, thus: "The completion of the great portion of the trade of this year has relieved me of a very embarrassing addition to the difficulties of my situation." Is not Captain Elliot correct in stating that the great portion of the trade of the year had been completed?—I have no doubt that he is.

708. Was not the confiscation of opium a common punishment in the case of

native dealers -- Certainly not.

709. Was it not common in the case of native servants?—I think the memorials themselves mentioned that there were only one or two instances. One of them asks, how it is that the government regulations had never been carried into execution except once or twice? I think one case was by Governor Yuen, and another by Governor Loo. I think one of the memorials mentions

710. Before the year 1836, when the trade in native boats was broken up, was not it common to confiscate opium which was found in native boats?—Yes; since 1836, the thing has changed materially.

711. From that period forward have not confiscations been common in the case of native boats?—Yes; and in the case of property taken from European

712. Was not it understood, from the year 1821, that opium, to whomsoever belonging, found within the Bogue, was liable to confiscation?—I imagine so, but but it was quite a dead letter. There were one or two cases published, which is Robert Inglis, Esq. of course decisive as the law.

11 May 1840.

713. But there was no doubt of the existence of the law of confiscation as to opium found within the Bogue from the year 1821?—No.; and probably earlier, ever since 1795 or 1796, when opium was first imported; there was always a penalty attaching.

714. The specific penalty of confiscation?—I do not recollect what the penalty

was; but there was a penalty of some kind attaching to it.
715. Chairman.] Was there any other penalty than that of stopping the trade? Not as to foreigners; there was never the penalty of confiscation. It has been the genius of the Chinese laws towards foreigners never to make them

liable to their penalties, but always the traitorous natives.

- 716. From the year 1821, when the opium ships were driven down to Lintin, was not it clearly understood that opium found within the Bogue, whether in the hands of foreigners or of natives, and whether owned by foreigners or by natives, would be liable to confiscation?—I do not know as to its being within the Bogue; because, so far as I know, there never was opium within the Bogue till 1836, and then if it was found there, it was actually seized and confiscated.
- 717. Mr. C. Buller.] But seized and confiscated in the hands of Chinese?— Yes.
- 718. Chairman.] Not in the hands of foreigners?—I think not in the case of foreigners till 1838, and then they seized 23 chests of opium in a boat near Macao. They boarded the boat and took the opium away.

 719. Mr. C. Buller.] By whom was that boat manned?—By Lascars proba-

bly; but it belonged to foreigners.

720. Sir R. Inglis.] It was at the time in the hands of foreigners?—It belonged to foreigners; the Chinese junks boarded the boat somewhere near Macao, and took away 23 chests of opium.

721. The property being assumed to be English?—To be foreign.

722. You have stated that the boat was manned by Lascars?—I am not certain about that; but I have no doubt that the boat was manned by foreigners, because all those boats are manned either by Englishmen or Lascars.

1.723. Chairman.] Supposing the property to be that of foreigners, was that act according to what you believe to be the law of China?—No doubt they

seized the property, and set the boat at liberty.

724. Then you believe that confiscation of opium belonging to foreigners was a legal act?—L presume so; but whether it had been so ever since the first introduction of opium, or whether it has arisen out of some of the Viceroy's edicts subsequently to 1836, I cannot say,

725. Sir R. Inglis.] Was there any remonstrance made in reference to that seizure in 1838, by the person owning the goods so seized?—I cannot say.

726. Did the seizure make a sensation in the community at Canton?—Certainly; they thought it was an indication that the Chinese were becoming sincere in the determination to check opium.

727. That impression was left upon the minds of the community?—Certainly; but I must add that this was prior to the time I speak of, or about the same time, probably, when the boats were lying openly in Whampoa Reach, selling opium there, and when the mandarin's boats were supposed to be engaged in buying it.

728. Sir George Staunton.] At the time of the seizure, was the boat in the act of transmitting opium from the ship to the shore?—I think it is probable that the boat was going from Macao inside the Bogue; it was supposed, of course, to be a mandarin that made the seizure, but, as in the case of Mr. Morss, it is difficult to say whether it was really a mandarin boat or a pirate acting under the semblance of a mandarin boat.

729. Mr. E. Buller.], You stated that that seizure took place near Macao; that is not within the Bogue?—No; that is the only case I recollect without the

730. Mr. Gladstone.] Is that the only case of seizure from a foreigner before Lin came?—No; there were seizures of silver, the produce of opium within the

731. Were there no seizures of opium, both within and without the river, from foreigners, between the period of 1837, and the arrival of Commissioner Lin at ·· b.59.

11 May 1840.

Robert Inglis, Esq. Canton ?- Yes, there was one; that was a chest of opium taken from a boat up in Canton, lying opposite Canton, which boat was condemned afterwards; then there was another seizure from an European boat belonging to a ship at Whampoa, and that was certainly a seizure of silver, the produce of opium. A boat that had been selling opium was boarded, and the dollars or the silver, the price of the opium, taken away; that occurred in 1828.

732. Were the seizures numerous during that latest period?—Not numerous.

certainly; but there were two or three instances.

733. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you believe that the seizure of the opium at Lintin would have been strictly in conformity with the Chinese laws?-I do not

734. Up to the time of Lin's arrival, should you say that the opium lying at Lintin was not liable to confiscation by any known law of China?-Certainly not; I am not aware of any law that could confiscate it prior to its leaving the ship.

735. And yet the opium on board the boat near Macao was liable to seizure? I cannot say that, but it was seized. I think the impression of the European community must have been that it was liable to seizure; but that was subsequent to 1836, in which there were edicts issued about the trade, and especially about the trade in those boats; and yet, as to many of those edicts, no sort of

attention was paid to them.

- 736. Did the foreign community draw a distinction between property on board a boat outside the Bogue, and property on board a ship outside the Bogue?-I do not think there was any distinction drawn till after 1836, after that memorial to Pekin, and after the project, first, for legalizing the trade, and then for driving it away, and then they altered the edicts issued about the opium in boats. We knew before that it applied to opium in ships within the Bogue, but they then applied it to opium in boats; in fact, it never was the habit of the parties engaged in the opium trade to smuggle opium in boats till that period, at least since I have been concerned in it. Our mode of dealing in the trade was to keep the opium on board our ship, and we gave an order at Canton for the delivery of that opium from the ship to the China boat, and that went on till the end of
- 737. Mr. Clay. Where were they to get the opium upon those orders !—We gave an order in Canton; a merchant ship came in with a quantity of opium, and we gave an order upon the ship to deliver the opium. Then, in consequence of the edict issued in 1836, the opium became unsaleable for a time, and then we had recourse to sending our vessels on to the coast, and other parties began smuggling in the river in European boats, and then came out the orders expressly against the European boats, and I presume that it was under one of those orders that this boat was seized.

738. Previously to 1836 were the boats employed wholly Chinese boats, owned and manned by the Chinese?—Yes; large boats with 40 or 50 men.

739. Those boats went with the order to which you refer, as being procured from European merchants in Canton, to the ship's side, and had the opium delivered to them?—Yes; they broke up the chests and put it into bags, and brought it away.

740. Was that when the ships were at Lintin?—Yes, or one of the adjacent

741. But generally before they entered the Bogue?—Yes, always; our ships were never near the Bogue at all; they purposely kept out of the way of the Bogue.

742. And they got rid of the whole of the opium before entering Canton River? -Certainly, the European ships.

743. Sir W. Somerville.] Upon the receipt of the communication from Lord Palmerston, notifying to Captain Elliot that the Government would not be responsible for any opium seized, the property of British merchants, how did Captain Elliot make that known to the merchants? - Captain, Elliot never made Lord Palmerston's notice known in the words of Lord Palmerston; he applied the sense of it, and I suppose he understood that Lord Palmerston must have meant it to be so applied solely to the smuggling trade within the river.

744. What sort of notice did Captain Elliot give; was it a public printed notice inserted in the journals?—Yes, certainly, published in all the journals; it was a notice that Her Majesty's Government could not sanction the proceedings which had been carried on the last year or two within the. Bogue, but it

was entirely confined to the smuggling trade within the Bogue. I was reading Robert Inglis, Esq. over the wording of it a day or two ago, and I think it is impossible to apply it to any other trade than the smuggling trade within the Bogue; and I presume it means the smuggling of all things, as well as opium. I think it is not impossible to have been connected with the case of Mr. Innes, which had occupied the attention both of Captain Elliot and of the Home Government.

11 May 1840.

745. Did that create any alarm?—It created a great deal of annoyance to the parties concerned in smuggling in the river, and it very much horrified the whole foreign community, to find that Captain Elliot had for the first time committed his Government to a knowledge of the opium trade, because in the time of the East India Company the East India Company's factory had most carefully avoided admitting to the Chinese that they knew anything about it, and so had Her Majesty's Government always done up to that period.

746. Was opium then specifically mentioned in this notification of Captain Elliot?—I cannot say that the word "opium" is mentioned.
747. That notification created great alarm?—It created great alarm among the owners of smuggling boats there, who had been engaged in the trade, and who were making a great deal of money. Of course it tended to destroy the

profit of all parties engaged in it.

748. Chairman.] Had the merchants, previously to Captain Elliot's arrival, positively made up their minds not to deliver up the opium to the Chinese; or with respect to the House of Dent & Co. in particular, had Dent & Co. determined not to give up their opium previously to the arrival of Captain Elliot at Canton?—When it was under consideration with Dent & Co., it was upon the supposition that Mr. Dent would go into the city, and also upon the supposition that Commissioner Lin would have the opium by one means or other; that he had got us entirely in his power, and that he would keep us there till he got the opium out of us. Of course, under these considerations, the determination was not to give it up as long as it could possibly be avoided; and we believed at the moment that he had it in his power to give compensation, and that he was willing to do so, and thus that Mr. Dent would be able to make a bargain for Dent & Co.

749. Mr. Brownrigg.] In short, that you would hold out as long as you could with safety to life and limb?—Yes; I fancy that our intention was known to the foreign community, and that was one reason why they would not let Mr. Dent

go into the city to be made the bell-wether of the flock.

750. Chairman.] Are the Committee to understand that you were willing either that Mr. Dent or yourself should undergo confinement within the city rather than give up the opium without some bargain?—Decidedly; I was quite prepared, if I went in, to stand out the confinement, and anything else that might come, as long as I could; and I think I expressed myself to Mr. Dent that we would not give up the opium even to his order, unless we knew he was being tortured, and then of course there could be no question of it. I said, "If you choose to go, we shall hear nothing of you except vague reports of the linguists, but we will not give up a chest of opium unless we have good reason to believe that they are torturing you."

751. You have stated, that as late as the Monday evening you were personally

ready to go into the city?—Yes.

752. And you gave up your intention of going into the city at the express desire of Captain Elliot?—Certainly; Captain Elliot gave me what I considered a good reason why I ought not to go in, which was, that I might probably be detained, and that if I were, he should be called upon by the whole foreign com-

munity to get me out again.

753. At that moment, then, you were not prepared to give up your opium except for payment from the Chinese, or an indemnity from Captain Elliot?— Certainly not; if it had been a question of giving up 20 or 30 chests of opium, or 100 chests of opium of their own, perhaps Dent & Co. would have given up their own opium, if they could have kept the opium of their constituents out of sight. The object was to make a bargain to do the best that could be done under the circumstances, with the feeling that the Commissioner would have the opium.

754. Mr. Clay.] Judging from what has transpired since, you now believe that the Commissioner would, under any circumstances, have proceeded to possess himself of your opium by means of coercion?—Yes; I am satisfied that if Mr. Dent 0.59.

Robert Inglis, Esq.

11 May 1840.

Dent or myself had gone in, we should never have got out without giving up opium.

755. Mr. Elliot.] And without signing the bond?—Yes; I have no doubt

now that that was part of the original plan.

756. Chairman.] The idea of putting the opium into Mr. Johnston's hands was merely for safe custody?—It was to put it out of our power to give it up, even to Mr. Dent's order.

757. To give you the power of saying to the Chinese, "The opium is not in our hands now; it is in the hands of the Superintendent"?—Certainly; that we had no longer any control over it; it was to throw off the responsibility of giving

it up from our shoulders on to those of Her Majesty's Superintendent.

758. You mean that if the Chinese, under such circumstances, had seized the opium they would have seized it, according to your impression, from the British Government, and not from a private individual?—Yes, undoubtedly; they might have forced Mr. Dent into giving an order to give up the opium, but his other partners told him that we would not give up that opium to his order, but that the moment he went into the city we should put the opium into Mr. Johnston's hands.

759. Mr. Horsman.] Did your feeling of its being more secure in Mr. Johnston's hands arise from the greater difficulty which the Chinese authorities might find in seizing the opium when it was in the hands of the British representative, than in seizing it when it was in the hands of private individuals?—I cannot say that; the feeling was to put the responsibility off our shoulders

on to those of Her Majesty's representative.

760. Mr. E. Buller.] Did you contemplate the possibility of surrendering it to the Chinese government?—I contemplated the possibility in this way: We told Mr. Dent that if we knew he were being tortured we should be ready to give it up. I thought that in that case Mr. Johnston would have said to us, "Will you now authorize me to give it up?" and certainly I would have done it upon an occasion of that kind.

761. If your impression was that the opium would be forced from you by the Chinese government, did not you contemplate that it might equally be forced

from the representative of the British Government?—Undoubtedly.

762. Supposing that your opium had been forced from the representative of the British Government, what compensation would you have anticipated?—There was no anticipation of compensation in that case, of course; what I should think would have been the course in such a case is this, that if we knew that Mr. Dent was being tortured, or that his life was in danger, I dare say Mr. Johnston would have said to us, "What do you say yourselves to this; do you think we must give up the opium?" and I should have said, "Yes, undoubtedly;" but if that case had not arisen we should not have done so.

763. Mr. Clay.] How long before the arrival of Captain Elliot at Canton did the conversation to which you are now referring take place?—This was on Saturday afternoon about three or four o'clock, and he arrived in Canton at six

o'clock on Sunday afternoon.

764. Did that conversation take place before or after Mr. Astell dispatched his letter to Captain Elliot?—Before; Mr. Astell got his answer somewhere about that time, because I remember Mr. Johnston's expression, that now he knew what Captain Elliot was doing (referring to the proclamation that Captain Elliot had put forth at Macao), he had no doubt about his own course. As far as I am aware, Mr. Astell had no answer from Captain Elliot, but he had Captain Elliot's proclamation sent to him, or the substance of it, and he communicated that to Mr. Johnston; and I remember Mr. Johnston saying that now he knew what Captain Elliot had done, he had no doubt whatever about his own course, but he did not say what that course was.

course, but he did not say what that course was.

765. That was on the Saturday afternoon?—Yes; I had recommended him before, as a friend, not to commit himself about it more than was necessary.

766. Have you still frequent communication by letter with China?—Yes. 767. Do your letters mention that the trade in opium is now going on?—Yes.

768. By means of the clippers?—Yes.

769. Have you any knowledge whether, by measures of internal policy, the Chinese government are taking every step to put down the consumption of opium?—None whatever.

770. Have you any such knowledge of what is passing at Canton, as to be able Robert Inglis, Esq. to give any information upon that head?—None whatever. I should like to mention, with reference to some questions put to me about the negotiation of the bills drawn by Captain Elliot, that I have a letter from a gentleman connected with the house of Dent & Co., who is at Calcutta. It mentions, that in a communication from Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co., at Madras, it is stated that they have received 6,000 l. of bills drawn by Dent & Co., at six months' sight, on England, for negotiation, together with a salary bill of Captain Elliot's, at 30 days' sight, and that they negotiated the whole of the bills at the same rate. It is matter of some pride to Dent & Co. that, notwithstanding all these disasters, we should be in as good credit as Her Majesty's Government.

- 771. Mr. Elliot.] You mentioned that you thought the opium had been destroyed. The following is an extract from a letter of Captain Elliot to Lord Palmerston, dated the 22d of April 1839; will you state what reason you have for supposing this statement not to be correct: "Indeed, my Lord, I have ascertertained, beyond all doubt, that the surrender of this mass of property (under the declaration that it was taken away from Her Majesty's subjects, in the name of Her Majesty) has overturned the original schemes (of whatever nature they were); and that the High Commissioner has applied to the court for orders concerning its disposal. In the meantime, he remains at the Bocca Tigris, superintending an elaborate examination, careful repackage, and classification of the opium into three sorts—carefulness which does not accord reasonably with destructive intentions. In my judgment, the main body of this opium, in fact, all that is saleable, will be turned to the most advantageous account"?—I think he may have been very right in his views then, judging by what had happened before in cases of seizures of opium. We had every reason to suppose that the intention was, at one moment, to send the whole of the opium up to Pekin, or perhaps to destroy part of it, and to send the rest up; but it is supposed, from subsequent orders, that the Commissioner destroyed the whole of it.
- 772. You will observe that this letter is dated the 22d of April?—The opium was not destroyed till long after I left China; I suppose it was in July.
- 773. And it was supposed to be destroyed?—Two parties saw the process of destruction going on of one portion of it.
- 774. You were understood to say that you found your belief of its being destroyed upon the character of the Commissioner?—In the first place, upon the knowledge that some portion of it was destroyed, and then upon the character of the Commissioner.
- 775. And you found your opinion with regard to the character of the Commissioner upon this, that you do not believe the Commissioner to be corrupt, and therefore you believe that he carried his orders into effect?—Yes, and upon the fact that they had begun destroying it.
- 776. Sir George Staunton.] There were foreigners present, were not there?— Yes; Mr. King saw the process going on, and the only reason for supposing it not to have been destroyed, was a calculation made that they could not have done it within the time stated.
- 777. But notwithstanding that calculation, you think it was all destroyed?
- 778. Mr. Horsman.] Was it the impression of the European community that the Commissioner came down with particular orders as to how he was to put the trade down, or that he came down with full powers?—I think there was a certain sort of outline marked out for his conduct at Pekin, which probably may have been that he should endeavour to get a portion of the opium given up to him, never dreaming at the time that there was so much opium there; and I think probably he was to get those bonds signed, and to allow no foreigner to trade in China who did not sign those bonds.
- 779. Was the impression that he came down armed with absolute powers, and with no orders but to put down the opium trade, by whatever means?—We had no means of knowing anything of that kind. We supposed that he came down armed with absolute powers, and that the rest would be left to his discretion, according to circumstances.

Captain 0.59. I 2

11 May 1840.

Captain John Thacker, called in; and Examined.

Captain John Thacker.

780. Chairman.] WERE you engaged in business at Canton:—I had just arrived in Canton when these transactions occurred. I went out to Canton in the year 1838 for the purpose of establishing a house.
781. When did you arrive in Canton?—In February 1839.

782. How did you take your funds to China with you?—I went over-land to Bombay; I took my funds in East India Company's bills, and I invested the money in opium in Bombay, to take it on to China.

783. You bought opium at Bombay in your way to China?—Yes.

784. Can you state about the price of opium at Bombay at that period?—Yes: I paid for mine 1,065 rupees per chest.

785. Was that Malwa opium?—It was. 786. Did that opium so bought form part of the opium subsequently surrendered by Captain Elliot to the Commissioner?—It did.

787. You were in the factory at Canton at the period of Captain Elliot's arrival and subsequently?—I was.

788. And you quitted the factory with the other European residents?—I quitted about eight or ten days after Captain Elliot quitted; I was not one of the 16 that were proscribed.

789. Are you cognizant of the fact, whether there was or was not any opium in Canton at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival there?—I think there was none, with the exception of half a chest, which was delivered up to Captain Elliot.

790. Can you speak with any certainty upon that subject?—Yes; because a notice was sent round to the factories upon the subject.

791. Did you abandon your idea of forming a mercantile establishment at Canton in consequence of these transactions?—In consequence of my property being given up to Captain Elliot.

792. When application was made to you to give up your property to Captain Elliot, had you any consultation with any one else about it?—I was living at Mr. Jardine's factory; I was called out of bed at six o'clock in the morning by Mr. Matheson, the head of that house, and we consulted a few minutes upon that subject.

793. Previous to the arrival of Captain Elliot at Canton, had you delivered the opium in your possession into the care of Mr. Johnston?—After the Commissioner's edict came out, and they were endeavouring to extort the opium from the merchants, I could not afford to give mine up, and I surrendered it to Mr. Johnston for safer custody; Captain Elliot was outside; a man-of-war was outside, and my opium was outside.

794. When you arrived there in February 1839, did you find the community in a state of great excitement in expectation of the arrival of the Commissioner at Canton?—Yes; the excitement was of a favourable nature; it was understood by the Chinamen and Europeans that he came to soften matters down from what the Viceroy had been doing.

795. Do you mean that there was then an idea that the trade would be legalized?—It was thought that something would be done to obviate the difficulties that had been thrown in the way by the Viceroy; that he had been too

796. Was it expected that, in some shape or other, the trade would be legalized?—I do not know as to legalizing it, but that the obstructions would be

797. What first gave an impression different from that?—The consternation amongst the servants after he had arrived; they began to remove their things away from the factory, which made me think that his measures would be more serious.

798. Had the servants begun to quit you before the edict of the 18th of March? -Yes, they began to remove their clothes from the factory, which proved that there was something behind which they were aware of, which we were not.

799. You are aware of the discussions which subsequently took place in the Chamber of Commerce with regard to Mr. Dent?—I was not a member of the Chamber of Commerce, and did not attend them; I knew what passed from

800. Were you at Mr. Dent's house on the Saturday when the Hong mer-

chants were endeavouring to prevail upon him to go into the city?—Yes.

801. Will

801. Will you state what passed ?—I went late, and at that time the Hong merchants were sitting there drinking and smoking in one of the rooms, after it had been resolved that he should not go.

Captain

John Thacker.

11 May 1840.

802. Was the opinion of the foreign community that he should not go an unanimous opinion ?—Quite.

803. Upon what was that opinion founded?—The distrust that every one had of the Chinese government, from previous acts.

804. Do you mean to say that there was an apprehension that personal violence would be shown to Mr. Dent?—That he would be detained; that was the

opinion of every one, with perhaps the exception of Mr. Inglis.

805. You stated that, from an apprehension of violence, you placed your opium, which you could not afford to lose, in the custody of Mr. Johnston?

Yes; I wrote to Mr. Johnston a letter, a copy of which I have here, and I have his answer to it.

806. What date was that ?—It was the 23d of March.

807. What day of the week?—It must have been Saturday. 808. That was the day before Captain Elliot's arrival?—Yes.

809. Will you read your letter to Mr. Johnston?—

[The Witness read the same, as follows:]

"To A. R. Johnston, Esq. Her Majesty's Deputy Superintendent in China.

"In consequence of the edict of the imperial Commissioner of the 17th March 1839, addressed to foreigners of all nations, demanding unconditional delivery of all opium under their charge, and being at this time close prisoner in Canton, I do hereby surrender to you, as Her Majesty's Superintendent of British trade in China, orders for the delivery to you of all opium under my control, for its safer custody, requesting you will give me an immediate acknowledgment of the due receipt of the same.

" I am, Sir,

"Your most obedient servant, " John Thacker." (signed)

(Capt. Thacker.) There is an order enclosed to the commander of the ship who was outside with the opium.

" To Captain Parry, or Commanding Officer, Ship Hercules, Lantoa,

"Sir,—Deliver to the order of Her Majesty's Superintendent of British trade in China all opium belonging to me on board the Hercules.

" I am, Sir,

"Your most obedient servant, " John Thacker." (signed)

810. Will you read Mr. Johnston's answer?—

[The Witness read the same, as follows:]

" Sir. " Canton, 23 March 1839. "I have the honour to acknowledge your order upon Captain Parry to deliver to the Superintendent all opium belonging to you on board the ship under his command.

" I have the honour to be, Sir, " Your most obedient servant, " Edward Elmslie,

" Secretary and Treasurer to the "Superintendent."

"To John Thacker, Esq., Canton."

811. What was your object in doing that?—I was not aware what steps the Chinese might take to force my opium from me, and I thought that Captain Elliot being outside, and the man-of-war being outside, by placing it under his custody it would be safe.

812. There was no question made of indemnity to you, or payment by the Superintendent to you for that opium?—No; I only asked him to take charge

813. Why did you think it would be safer in his custody than your own?-Because there was a man-of-war outside, and Captain Elliot was outside. 0.59.

814. But

11 May 1840.

814. But Mr. Johnston was not outside?—No, but he was the deputy.

815. Was not it to him that you addressed the letter?—He was the next person in Canton acting next under the Superintendent.

- 816. Do you know whether any steps were taken in consequence of that order?—No, I do not know that any steps were taken.
- 817. It was merely a question of safe custody, without any reference to permanently disposing of the opium?—Yes; it was for safe custody.
- 818. Mr. Horsman.] Had you had any previous conversation with Mr. Johnston about surrendering that opium to his keeping?—No.
- 819. Chairman.] You did not ask whether he would consent to take charge of the opium?—No; I waited upon him with the letter.
- 820. Did you conceive that he accepted the charge of it for safe custody?—I conceived so by his answer, he did not repudiate it.
 - 821. You conceived that it was in his care, not in your own?—Decidedly.
- 822. When did Captain Elliot arrive?—On Sunday the 24th, in the evening. 823. What did he do then?—He assembled the community in the Company's Hall, and he read to them the proclamation he had issued in Macao a few days

before, and then addressed the meeting. 824. Were you present?—I was.

- 825. What was the purport of his communication?—He stated that he had foreseen what had now taken place; that he had been prepared for it; that the proclamation which he then read, as having addressed at Macao, he had had prepared a month or two previously; that he begged it might be understood that he was then acting upon his own responsibility, upon his orders or instructions from the Government, or words to that effect, and that he could take no advice from any person, and that he hoped it would not be taken as offensive; that he could take no advice from any one, and begged that no one would offer him any; that he should demand passports for all the British at Canton, within 10 days, from the Commissioner, and that he had every reason to suppose that he would obtain them, and, if not, he thought we should be able to force our way out; that there was a man-of-war outside; that his force was small, but that he would do all he could to assist us in it.
- 826. Did he say anything about the surrender of the opium then?—No; I think he said that he was sorry that the 1,030 chests had been tendered to the Hong merchants.

827. But with reference to the cession of the whole quantity nothing was said?

-Nothing whatever.

828. Are you cognizant of anything that passed with Captain Elliot subsequent to that, before the notice came out for the delivery of the opium?—No.

829. When did that come out?—It came out, I think, on the morning of the 27th.

830. What did you do when it came out?—I was sent for out of bed, at six o'clock in the morning, by Mr. Matheson; and I think that Mr. Johnston brought the requisition himself, and read it to us.

831. What did you do upon receiving that requisition?—I gave it a good deal of consideration, and, after viewing it for a considerable time, I gave up my opium

like the rest.

832. How did you do that?—I wrote to Captain Elliot a letter, which I have here.

833. Will you read the letter?—

[The Witness read the same, as follows:]

"Canton, 27 March 1839.

"To Captain Charles Elliot, R. N., Her Majesty's Chief Superintendent of British Trade in China.

"In compliance with your public requisition of this day, I hereby surrender to you, for the service of Her Majesty's Government, the undermentioned opium belonging to me, or under my control; viz. I. T. 40 chests of Malwa opium, on board the Hercules, Captain Parry, my own property; P. C. S. 41 ditto, ditto, under my control, but belonging to others; the said opium having already been placed in charge of Her Majesty's Superintendents of British Trade

Trade in China by me on the 23d instant, as per my letter of that date to them, and order therein enclosed to Captain Parry or commanding officer of the Hercules, to deliver the same to their order.

Captain John Thacker.

11 May 1840.

"I have the honour to be, Sir,

"Your most obedient humble servant, " John Thacker." (signed)

834. Was that acknowledged?—No, there was no acknowledgment of the

opium till the receipts were finally given.

835. After the arrival of Captain Elliot, was there any duresse or coercion exercised towards the residents in the factory?—The servants were all taken away on the Sunday evening on which Captain Elliot arrived.

836. With regard to the provisions, were they stopped?—The supplies were

all stopped after that Sunday.

837. Mr. Horsman.] You stated that you were a close prisoner before Captain Elliot's arrival?--We were not allowed to quit Canton, but the servants had not left, and the provisions were not stopped till after Captain Elliot came up.

838. Chairman.] When you consented to give up the opium to Captain Elliot, did you consider that it was the same sort of thing that you had previously done with regard to Mr. Johnston; did you conceive that you gave it up to him positively and entirely, or did you do it merely for safe custody?-No; I gave it up to him, upon his pledge of remuneration, to do what he liked with it for the service of Her Majesty.

839. Should you have refused to give it up to him if he had not promised remuneration?—Yes, most decidedly.

840. Was there any consultation between yourself and any one else upon the subject previously to your determination to give it up?—I had a few minutes conversation with Mr. Matheson at the door of the hall when we came in, it came so suddenly upon us; it was so unexpected to have a demand of the kind.

841. And you would not have given it up, except under a promise of pay-

ment?—Certainly not.

842. With regard to your own feelings, did you apprehend that your life was in danger at that moment?—No, I did not apprehend that my life was in danger from the Chinese government; I thought it probable that we should be imprisoned in the city; I did not think that the Chinese would have gone to the extremity of executing any of us; but I think there was great danger of their letting in the mob upon us; I think they might have done that.

843. Were you prepared to submit to an extent of confinement rather than give up your opium?—Yes; that was the reason I put it beyond my own control.

844. In that case you thought you might say that it was no longer within your own control?—Yes.

845. You considered, therefore, that supposing you had given an order for the delivery of the opium, Mr. Johnston would not have obeyed that order?—I should conceive not, if I was in durance.

846. And that the captain of the ship would not any longer have obeyed that order?—No; because I had given orders to him to deliver it to Captain Elliot.

847. Mr. Horsman. You said you were afraid they would let in the mob upon you; was the feeling of the mob very strong against you?—Not at first, but latterly it became so; the tendency of the execution was to excite the mob against us.

848. Mr. C. Buller.] Was it not the fact, that at the execution the Chinese authorities prevented the mob from committing any violence?—That was on the 12th of December, when I was not there; but I speak of the 26th of February,

when the mob was excited.

849. What indication did they give of a wish to excite the mob?—I thought the object of bringing the man there to be executed was to excite the mob against the foreigners, to point them out as the cause of the execution.

850. But the effect of that would not be to excite the mob against you to vio-

lence?—I think it would.

0.59.

851. Was there any indication of such a feeling on the part of the mob?— I thought so from the appearance of the men that were there; it was a different kind of mob from what we had been used to; it appeared to be the lowest class of people that could be mustered from the precincts of the city; they were desperate looking people.

14

852. Mr.

11 May 1840.

852. Mr. Hogg.] You said your impression was that Commissioner Lin came with a modification of the restrictions; was that consistent with the executions to which you allude as having taken place in front of the factory?—The impression among the foreigners was that the Commissioner was going to soothe matters; that execution was the act of the Viceroy, not the Commissioner; it was previous to the Commissioner coming, and it was understood that he was coming to soften these matters. That was the impression amongst the Chinese.

853. Chairman.] Had you received with the others a demand, previous to Captain Elliot's arrival, from Lin to give up your opium within three days?-

A public notice.

854. And you determined not to obey that notice, and upon that you placed your opium under the charge of Mr. Johnston?-I did.

855. Did you sign the agreement not to deal in opium?—I did not.

856. Have you had any subsequent dealings in opium?—None, nor before. 857. After the cession of the opium, had you a conversation with Mr. Mathe-

son upon the subject?—I had a great number of conversations with Mr. Matheson

upon the subject after the opium was given up.

858. Did he communicate to you anything upon the part of Captain Elliot?— Once he mentioned to me that he had just left Captain Elliot, and Captain Elliot had stated to him that there would be no time left for shipping teas (I was endeavouring to get two ships away from Canton); that there would be no time, after the opium was surrendered, to make such arrangements; that his measures

would make Canton too hot to hold any European, even the Americans. 859. Mr. Elliot.] Whose measures?—Captain Elliot's measures; he said that Captain Elliot wished that to be made public, and that any of us could go and speak to him if we liked, and I went with another gentleman, Mr. Gemmel. Captain Elliot did not give me time to ask any question, but said, "I am very glad you have come; you will have very little time to do anything after the opium is surrendered; my measures will be such as will make this place too hot to hold any European;" and he put his hand upon some books or papers lying by him, and he said, "I have just been referring to Lord Palmerston's instructions here, and my measures are taken," or words to that effect.

860. Chairman.] Did he express any opinion as to the conduct of the Chinese? -He said that they had put themselves in the wrong, which would give the

English Government an opportunity of bringing them to book for it.

861. Mr. Hogg.] Had you ever heard that Captain Elliot had any intention of calling upon the foreigners to give up the opium till you actually saw the requisition or heard it read?—Never till I was called out of bed, at six o'clock in the morning.

862. It was not a matter that was ever discussed, or, to your knowledge, even

known by the community at large?—Never to my knowledge.

863. Chairman.] Previously to your quitting China had you further conversation with Captain Elliot?—The morning I left China I saw him. I then got his receipt from him, and he then stated to me, "You will get your property returned to you as soon as you arrive in England." I said, "I hope it will be so." He said, "No doubt about it." I said that I conceived there could be no loss to the Government. He said, "Certainly not;" he said, "In fact, we could be paid for it now if we liked."

864. He held out to you the expectation of receiving the money for your

opium immediately upon your arrival here?—A positive expectation.

865. Mr. Elliot.] You said that you made no objection when you were desired to deliver up your opium?—We were to deliver it up before six o'clock in the evening. I took a few hours to consider of it.

866. You made no objection ?—No.

867. Do you know whether any one else made any objection to it?—I do not know whether any one did.

Jovis, 14° die Maii, 1840.

MEMBERS PRESENT.

Mr. Brownrigg.	Mr. Herbert.
Mr. C. Buller.	Mr. Hogg.
Mr. E. Buller.	Sir C. Lemon.
Mr. Clay.	Mr. Parker.
Mr. Colquhoun.	Mr. J. A. Smith.
Mr. Elliot.	Sir. W. Somerville.
Mr. Gladstone.	Mr. Strutt.
Sir G. Grey.	\

JOHN ABEL SMITH, Esq. in the Chair.

Capt. John Thacker, called in; and further Examined.

'868. Chairman.] HAVE you brought a statement with respect to the cost of opium?—I have brought an exact statement of what mine cost me up to the time I delivered it to Captain Elliot, not calculating the interest or the commission, but the actual payment.

Captain John Thacker.

869. Will you read it?—

[The Witness read the same, as follows:]

MEMORANDUM of Cost of Malwa Opium surrendered by Captain John Thacker to Captain Charles Elliot, R.N., Her Majesty's Chief Superintendent of Trade in China, on the 27th March 1839; viz.

45 chests of opium marked IT, and 41 chests marked PCS; cost in Bombay Rs. 92,677. 6. 71., and, at the exchange then ruling between Bombay and China, of 208 rupees per 100 dollars, equal to dollars (this includes insurance to China) 44,556 33 Add, freight insurance in China, and other charges paid upon the same previously to their delivery to Captain Elliot on the 27th March 1839 1,863; 4 Total Dollars 46,419 37

Making an average of Dollars 539. 76. per chest.

Note.—To which interest and commission will have to be added.

(signed) John Thacker.

At exchange of 5 s. is 134 l. 18 s. 9 d. per chest.

870. Do you know what the exchange on England averaged then?—From China it was 5s. to 5s. 1d.; I drew bills at that; they were charging three quarters per cent! for the insurance of ships lying at sea in China.

871. Mr. Hogg.] What is the risk?—In the typhoon season the risk is considerable.

872. Is that the rate throughout the year?—Throughout the year,

873. Mr. C. Buller.] Is that the general insurance upon all goods,, or only upon opium?—Upon opium; I think upon all other goods it is much the same.

874. It is no more upon opium than it is upon other goods?—No.

875. Mr. Gladstone.] Is the risk equal at all seasons of the year?—No, at the typhoon season it is much greater; but they take it upon an average through the year.

876-7. Then the insurance does not vary, although the risk does?—Yes.

878. Mr. C. Buller.] It is an average insurance?—It is. 879. Mr. E. Buller.] Are you able to state, from your own knowledge, what the rate of insurance is upon other goods?—Yes; I have had other goods in China at all times.

880. Chairman. The paper you have put in is the actual cost to you of the opium you delivered up to Captain Elliot, exclusive of all interest of money, either before or since?—Yes.

881. Can you give the Committee any information as to the average prices of Malwa

14 May 1840.

Malwa opium at Bombay?-No; I had not been engaged in the opium trade at all, except taking this remittance to China.

882. Do you know whether the price you paid was an unusually high price for

the season?—No, I do not think it was.

883. Mr. E. Buller.] When you arrived at Canton, did you ascertain the price of opium at that time?—There was no price; it was not saleable when I arrived at Canton.

884. Chairman.] What was the reason of that?—The trade had been entirely

stopped by the Viceroy.

885. Was it in consequence of the arrival of Commissioner Lin that the trade was suspended?-No; the Viceroy had succeeded in stopping it, I think, more than the Commissioner afterwards did; it was completely at a stand still before the Commissioner arrived, the Viceroy had taken such strong measures to suppress it.

886-7. But it was in apprehension of the arrival of the Commissioner?—It was that which it was understood had acted upon the Viceroy, expecting the Com-

888. What was the general impression as to the cause of the suspension of the trade?—In China it was, that the Commissioner was coming down, and that the Viceroy himself had been implicated in the opium trade, and that his measures

were excessively severe, to clear himself with the government.

889. How long was your opium in China altogether before its delivery up to Captain Elliot?—From the 15th of February to the 27th of March, the day of the delivery. I wish to state to the Committee, that on my way to China I stopped at Singapore, and there a ship had just arrived from Canton, and I first saw Captain Elliot's letter to the Viceroy, offering to assist, if necessary, the Chinese authorities in driving the opium boats from the river. The impression was so strong in my mind at the time that the Chinese would, as a matter of course, turn round and say, "drive away the ships outside also," that I endeavoured at once to dispose of all my opium, and I effected the sale of some of it to the Batavian government at a great sacrifice; I sold all I could to the Dutch government.

890. Mr. Elliot.] At what price did you sell it?—At 450 dollars per chest for

10 chests.

891-2. Mr. Brownrigg.] Was there an agent of the Java government at Singapore?—Yes; Johnson & Company were the agents for the Batavian government there.

893. Chairman.] You stated that when you gave up the opium to Captain

Elliot, you gave it up to him upon his pledge of remuneration?—I did.

894. Had you made up your mind, in case he had not offered compensation, to refuse to give it up?—I should not have given it up if he had not offered compensation, but I did not contemplate his asking for it.

895. You considered yourself free from any claim on the part of the Chinese in consequence of your having previously placed your opium in the custody of the superintendent, Mr. Johnston?—I knew that the Chinese were not a very reasonable government, but I still thought that if they pressed me or coerced me for my opium, if I proved to them that it was no longer in my custody, they would not have gone to extremities with me for it.

896-7. Sir William Somerville.] Is that still your opinion, considering the events that have since occurred?—Yes, I think so. I do not think they would have

done so.

898. Mr. Gladstone.] It is stated in the petitions which have been presented to the House of Commons, and referred to this Committee, that it was after remonstrating in vain with Captain Elliot against the requisition which he had made for the surrender of the opium, that the British merchants there present did make the surrender; are you cognizant of that fact?—No.

899. You do not recollect that there was any remonstrance addressed to Captain Elliot, urging him to withdraw the requisition he had made to the merchants

for the surrender of the opium?—Certainly not; I never heard of it.

900. Do you think that if there had been such a remonstrance you must have been aware of it?—I should think so. But I was not so well known at Canton as the different houses of agency there, because I had but just arrived, and my opinion might probably not have been sought for at all, for I was not much known in anyway. But I never heard of any remonstrance being made to Captain Elliot at all.

901. Mr. Brownrigg.] You signed that petition, did not you?—I signed that

petition

petition to the House of Commons, but I was not aware that such a clause was

Captain

John Thacker.

14 May 1840.

- 902. Perhaps you signed the petition without having distinctly read through it? No; I assuredly read it over, but I do not remember that being in it.—[A Petition was shown to the Witness.]—My name certainly appears to it; that has been an oversight on my part; I never observed the clause, nor most assuredly did I ever hear of a remonstrance. I never remonstrated, and never saw Captain Elliot upon the subject.
- 903. From what you saw of the general feeling that prevailed amongst the merchants there who had opium on consignment, do you conceive it was likely that they would make any remonstrance against this requisition for the opium, or that they would not rather have willingly given up the opium to get rid of the responsibility under which they laboured?—I cannot speak to that. I do not know what means the houses which had been there a long time had of disposing of their opium.
- 904-5. Mr. Herbert.] Did they not seem to meet the requisition readily, and to be glad that the offer was made to them by Captain Elliot?—I cannot say; I can only speak to my own feelings upon the subject; I went to my room, and gave it the best attention I could, and then sent in the letter. The only hesitation I had upon it was, that I disliked there not being a price named.
- 906. Mr. Parker.] How could you have avoided giving up the opium to the Chinese government if you had not given up it to Captain Elliot?—The opium was outside; they could not seize it.
 907. You were in Canton?—I was in Canton.
- 908. Mr. Gladstone. Was there any withdrawal of the letters which had passed between you and Mr. Johnston when you wrote that letter of the 27th of March to Captain Elliot?—None at all, except stating that the opium was already in their custody, and that they could use it for the service of Her Majesty, agreeably to his requisition.
- 909. Chairman.] Then, in giving up your opium, you had no doubt whatever of the due execution of Captain Elliot's engagement to you, that the British Government should repay you?—I had not the most distant; part of the opium I gave up was my own, and part of it I had lent money upon, belonging to a native of India, and that native was in Canton at the time; I wrote to him upon the subject, and I have the letter to him, and his answer to me; the party authorized me to give up his opium, as well as my own, to Captain Elliot, and he was so satisfied of its being a bond fide transaction, that he afterwards paid me for the opium which I gave up, the money I had lent upon it.
- 910-11. Retaining his own claim upon the British Government?—Yes; which claim I am obliged to prosecute for him, as Captain Elliot would not give a separate receipt.
- 912. Was that a voluntary act upon his part, without any coercion used by you to obtain it?—I could use no coercion in China, for there are no laws in China to oblige men to pay anything.

913. And he was so confident of obtaining payment from the British Government, that he paid you the money you had advanced upon it?—He did.

914. Mr. E. Buller.] But those advances were in the nature of a loan, which he was bound to repay under any circumstances?—I do not think he was bound to repay it; I think the parties that received the money in India would have been bound to repay; but I think that he to whom the opium was consigned, to sell for the parties, was not bound to repay it, not having the proceeds to pay it with.

915. It was a debt which you had a right to recover even though the opium was not paid for ?—I had a right to do so from the party to whom I lent it.

916. You had advanced money, and the opium was the security; if the opium was taken from you, you lost your security, but still the claim against the party remained valid?—Yes, against the party in India, I should think; but it is a disputed point.

917. Mr. Elliot.] Supposing you had not given up the opium to Captain Elliot, was there anything to prevent Mr. Johnston insisting upon your taking it off his hands again !- I think Mr. Johnston could have refused to have accepted it.

918-19. On Captain Elliot requiring that the opium should be given up to him, had you refused was there anything to prevent Mr. Johnston saying, "I cannot under the circumstances retain the opium in my possession, you must take it back `· 0.59. K 2

14 May 1840.

again into your own hands"?—There was nothing to prevent Mr. Johnston saying so.

920. Supposing he had done so, do not you believe the Chinese would have compelled you to give up your opium?—I cannot say that I am capable of greater endurance than other people; I might have been coerced by suffering or confinement to do it, or I might not.

921. Do you suppose that they would have put those measures in force against you?—I am inclined to believe, from what I have since seen of the Commissioner's

acts, that they would have done so; but this is after knowledge.

923. You think that in all probability, in the end, as you have not more power of endurance than other people, you would have been obliged to give it up?—

I might or I might not.

924-25. Sir William Somerville.] You were understood to say that your opinion was that they would not have forced you to give it up?—I did not say so; but that they would not have gone to extremities with me, the opium being in the possession of Mr. Johnston.

926. Mr. Herbert.] Did you think at the time you made the transfer to Captain Elliot, that you would ultimately have been forced to give it up to the Chinese?— After I gave it up to Captain Elliot, I did not think anything further of it; of course my giving it to Mr. Johnston evinced that I had some fear that they would be able to get it from me if I retained it myself.

927. Sir E. Buller.] But in fact Mr. Johnston never gave you a receipt for the opium; he merely acknowledged the receipt of your letter?—I took that as an

acceptance of it, otherwise he would have repudiated it.

928. Sir William Somerville.] Your opinion now is that in the end you would have lost your opium?—I cannot say that; I say they might or might not have forced it from me.

929. Have you no opinion upon the subject now as to whether they would or would not?—I do not think they would have gone to extremities with me; the general impression was, I think, that if a remonstrance had been made from the outside by the captain of the man-of-war, they would not have dared to have gone to extremities with any one.

930. Mr. Parker.] But it was under an apprehension that such a state of things might happen that you gave up the opium to Mr. Johnston?—Decidedly. I wished

to put it beyond my own control, in case they came to extremities.

931. Mr. Gladstone.] Was it under the apprehension of being imprisoned, or under the apprehension of suffering violence over and above imprisonment?—I do not mean merely being shut up in Canton; I thought they would have marched us into the city, where we might have been subject to very harsh treatment.

932. Will you explain, so far as you are able, what you mean by the expression "very harsh treatment," and say whether you had any definite apprehension, or whether it was only a general apprehension under which you acted; whether you contemplated that any particular course would be taken by the Chinese government?—From my knowledge of the Chinese, I understand that their measures are very harsh to all parties confined in their own prisons in the city, and I thought that we should be subjected to the same.

933. Have you heard of any cases in which their measures have been very harsh towards Englishmen in Canton?—No, I have not heard of any; I have heard of

cases of their own subjects only.

934. Mr. Elliot.] Your object was, in giving up the opium to Mr. Johnston,

to secure your opium from being taken by the Chinese?—Decidedly, 935. You imagined that it was in danger of being taken by the Chinese?—No

doubt

036. That if it had remained in your hands it might have been taken by the Chinese?—Yes.

*937. You had a strong apprehension of that? - I had.

938. As the Europeans holding opium had been required by Captain Elliot to give it up, had Mr. Johnston also been required to give up this opium, and upon having that requisition made to him had delivered it back to you, there would not have been any difference in your possession then, from what it was when you gave it up to Mr. Johnston?—I would not have accepted it under the circumstances.

o39. You think that Mr. Johnston might have been compelled to retain charge of it?—Yes, I think so; I think I had a right to claim his protection as long as

my

14 May 1840.

my opium was outside, and there was a British man-of-war outside, and I was a

prisoner and threatened with violence.

g40. Upon what grounds do you found that right?—As a British subject, Captain Elliot had mixed himself up in the opium question. I thought it very hard, when I arrived in China, after having paid for this opium, which was a trade perfectly understood in this country, and perfectly recognized by the Government of India, and fostered by it, that when I came to the only market which it was grown for the express purpose of being sent to, I should be met by any obstruction in selling it on the part of the representative of the British Government.

941. You said that on your arrival at Singapore you saw some paper issued by Captain Elliot, declaring his intention of aiding the Chinese in suppressing the opium trade?—Proffering his aid, if they required it, to drive away the opium

boats in the river.

942. Therefore, clearly manifesting his intention of giving no countenance to that trade?—To the inside trade.

943. Then, after his having given that intimation, you still think that you were entitled to call upon the British authority at Canton, requesting the British Government to give protection to opium, a smuggled article in China?—I think so, for this reason: whilst it was on board a British ship it was not a smuggled article; Captain Elliot had interfered, or offered his interference, to prevent the opium being smuggled into the river; surely, then, if I have paid my money for this opium in a trade which was legally recognized by this country and by the Indian government, when he steps in to prevent my selling it, I have a right to call upon him to prevent its being confiscated by illegal means, such as extortion by violence.

944. Since that was your opinion when you were at Singapore, how came you to sacrifice your interest so much as to sell your opium at 450 dollars a chest?—I would have sold it all then if I could; because Captain Elliot having it under his charge did not make it more valuable to me if I could not sell it in China; I was

upon the point of sending it away when the trade was stopped.

945. Then it is your opinion that every person that had opium in China would have had a right to take his opium to Captain Elliot, and say, "Here is British property, you must protect it"?—Yes, so far as it was outside, and only in danger

of being seized through violence to the person of the owner.

946. Mr. E. Buller.] You would have expected him to protect that property against seizure by the Chinese; but you would not have expected him to afford facilities for the introduction of it into China?—I think I may have been misunder-stood; I do not think I was entitled to ask Captain Elliot to prevent the opium being seized by the Chinese government in a legal way; but I think I had a right to ask him to protect me from having it extorted by violence.

947. Supposing the Chinese had proceeded to order away all the opium that was at Lintin, what would have been your position in that event?—I would have

sent mine away.

948. Should you have felt yourself entitled then to claim compensation?—No.

o49. What would have been the value of the opium, supposing you had been obliged to send it away from China?—It would have been of little value at the moment; but the value would have come back again, as it always has done, under those circumstances.

950. Did you contemplate being obliged to send it away from China?—Yes, I was about to send it away to the Malay Islands.

951. For what purpose?—To exchange it away for beetle-nut and pepper; I had

a ship on the point of sailing when we were shut up.

952. Are you aware of the price of opium in Java?—We are not allowed to introduce it into the Dutch settlements, but amongst the Malay Islands, where the Dutch have no power.

953. Did you ascertain the price at which opium might be sold in the islands to which you had access?—I have known what it had sold for occasionally; it varies according to circumstances, from 400 dollars to 1,000 dollars a chest; sometimes

350 dollars, sometimes upwards of 1,000.

954. Then the general price in those islands would be very considerably below the price at Canton?—I think below, generally. There is not a market for a very large quantity there; mine was a small quantity; I had a ship at Canton that I could not get freighted with tea, and I intended to send her down with the opium to the Malay Islands.

955. Then

14 May 1840.

- 955. Then, if other parties as well as yourself had been obliged to send away their opium from Canton, they would probably have taken the same course as yourself, and have sent it to those islands to be disposed of there?—I think that would depend upon the quantity; if all of us had had the power of sending it away, it would have been of no use.
- 956. Would it not have necessarily followed, that as much as could, by any possibility, be disposed of in those islands, would have been sent there?—I think it
- 957. The natural consequence would have been a very great fall in price in those islands?—I should think so.
- 958. And, therefore, you must have anticipated the disposal of your opium in the Malay Islands at a very considerable loss?—I did not anticipate a great loss. If I had demanded money for it, no doubt that would have been the case, but not in barter, if I took beetle-nut and pepper for it.

959. You hoped by the sale of the articles you obtained in the islands to reimburse yourself?—Yes.

960. You were aware that you had to stand the risk of two markets, the market for the opium in the Malay islands, and the market for beetle-nut and pepper in China?—Yes.

961. In consequence of the increased quantity of opium that was likely to be poured into the Malay Islands, and the increased quantity of beetle-nut and pepper likely to be poured into China, you were liable to a difference of prices against you in both those markets?—More so than under other circumstances.

962. Mr. Elliot.] You said that you considered that you had a right to call upon Mr. Johnston to protect the opium that was outside, against the Chinese?—

Against its being extorted from me by violence.

963. You mean that he ought to have protected you against violence?—I think he ought to have protected my opium from being extorted from me by violence: I gave it to him for safer custody that they should not be able to extort it from me; that I might be able to say, "I have handed it over to one of the officers of my own Government; and I have now no power to give it up."

964. You considered that you had not only a right to call upon Mr. Johnston to protect you against violence by giving up your opium into his hands, but you also considered that while the opium was outside, and while you could not bring it inside, you had a right to go to him and say, "My opium is outside, I cannot bring it here, and it is safe where it is, but I call upon you to protect me by taking charge of my opium which is outside, and which I cannot bring in?"-Yes.

965. Chairman. Had not Captain Elliot given some orders to the opium ships to place themselves under the control of the commander of the Larne?—He had; and, in the absence of the captain of the Larne, under the command of two of the

captains of opium ships specified by name.

966. What did you conceive to be the effect of that order?—That it was to pro-

tect the opium.

967. Mr. E. Buller.] But you were not aware of that order before you gave up your opium to Mr. Johnston?—Certainly not before I gave it to Mr. Johnston, but before I gave it up to Captain Elliot I was.

968. Mr. Elliot.] You say the effect of that order was to protect the opium; was not it also to protect the ships which contained the opium, and also to protect the ships which did not contain opium?—It was to protect the whole of the British property.
969. Was there a considerable quantity of British property that had nothing to

to do with the opium?—Yes.

970. Was not it necessary that an order of that sort should be given to protect that property?—Certainly.

971. Chairman.] There was no exception made in favor of ships which had only

opium on board?—No.

972. Mr. Gladstone.] How do you know that the opium ships were included in the order?—The two ships that were named to take the command in the absence of the captain of the Larne, were two of the principal opium ships.

973. Mr. Brownrigg. You stated that if Mr. Johnston had desired you to resume charge of your opium, you would not have taken it, but nevertheless you would have considered yourself, supposing that disturbance had subsided, perfectly justified in taking it again from Mr. Johnston whenever you pleased?—Yes.

974. Although you would not have taken it from him when he called upon you

to receive it? - L only gave it him for safe custody when in danger; when the danger was past I conceived I had a right to take it back again.

975. But you would not have taken it back again till it suited your purpose?-

Just so; not till I could have protected it myself.

976. Mr. Parker.] If a party can deliver a contraband commodity into the hands of an officer of his own government, and claim his protection and safe custody, does not it follow that there can be no danger in illegal trade?-It was. not contraband with regard to my own Government. I could have no cause to complain of anything that the Chinese did to prevent the trade.

977. Was not it to provide against what the Chinese did to suppress the trade, that you had put the article into the hands of the officers of your own Government? -- It was to prevent personal violence to myself, and to protect my property.

- 978. Mr. Gladstone.] Will you explain the expression you used, that Captain: Elliot had mixed himself up with the opium traffic. When you employed that expression, did you allude to the order that Captain Elliot gave at Macao on Saturday the 23 of March, or to any previous circumstances, and if so, to what circumstances?—I alluded in that, when I was speaking of Singapore, to my first reading there Captain Elliot's offer to assist the Chinese government if they required, to drive the opium boats out of the river.
- 979. Would you say that such an offer as that on the part of Captain Elliot amounted to mixing himself up with the opium traffic, when that measure, so faras it went, was a preventive measure?—It is that I complain of. I complain that he should have so mixed himself up with it. Why should he interfere? why not leave the Chinese to their own resources?
- 980. But if Captain Elliot mixed himself up in the opium traffic only in the sense of restricting and preventing it, can you found upon such a mixing up as that a claim for protection of the opium?—I think so, for my opium, and for reasons I have already given.
- 981. Sir William Somerville.] You said you thought you had a right to claim the protection of your Government, because the opium trade was recognized in this country, and the opium trade was recognized in India; but do you think that that recognition on the part of the Government in England and in India would authorize you to claim the protection of your Government in endeavouring to smuggle opium into a country where it was prohibited?—No, if the Chinese could have seized my opium in the course of smuggling it on shore, I should have had no right to ask any interference on the part of the British Government; but the opium was not at all in the power of the Chinese; they had taken improper and illegal measures to extort it from me, and I thought I had, therefore, a right I do not mean to say that I had a right to ask the Government to protect me in the act of smuggling; but that having brought my opium there, I had a right to the protection of my Government to prevent its being extorted from me by illegal means.

982. Mr. E. Buller.] Your view is, that you had a right to call upon your Government to protect your person from violence?—Yes; I look upon it that with respect to smugglers here in the Channel, upon the same principle, if the Government could not seize them they could have no right to seize all the merchants in the Royal Exchange, and imprison them till they brought those smuggling ships in.

983. Mr. Hogg.] If your opium had been within the river, would you have conceived yourself authorized to make a similar requisition to Mr. Johnston?— Certainly not; but I would have, even in that case, claimed protection against

violence.

- 984. Then you found your claim upon the fact of your opium being in a ship lying at Lintin, what is called outside?—Yes, below Lintin, and out of the power of the Chinese to seize it.
- 985. Supposing the Chinese government had sent war junks to Lintin, and seized by force the opium on board those ships, would you in that case have considered yourself authorized to make any claim upon the British Government?-
- 986. You would have considered that a justifiable measure on the part of the Chinese to put down what they considered an objectionable trade?—Clearly so.
- 987. Mr. Elliot.] You say that they had no right to extort from you opium in ane outer waters?—No, nor to extort it from me in the inner waters. oss. They

Captain John Thacker.

14 May 1840.

.14 May 1840.

988. They had not commenced to extort it from you when you gave up the

opium?—Only by the proclamation and threats of violence.

989. Then did you think that the issuing of a threat by the Chinese government was sufficient to make it incumbent upon the officer representing the Britisls. Government there to take charge of all the property belonging to individuals so threatened ?—I think so, they being in confinement at the time; I was in confinement at the time, and their threats had therefore so far been carried into execution.

990. In what degree were you confined at the time?—I was not allowed to quit

Canton, or to have any communication with my ship.

991. Sir W. Somerville.] You think the Chinese had a right to seize the opium

whether it was inside or outside?—If they could.

992. But not to touch your person?—They have no right to seize your person. and to extort it from you; if they could get the opium, as they have frequently done, no compensation would be asked for it.

993. Have you any idea what the Chinese law may be upon the subject ?—No. 994. Mr. Gladstone. Is it your impression that the Chinese government, though it might be justified in the seizure of contraband goods, would not be justified in the seizure of persons who were known to be employed in contraband traffic?-

No; I do not think they could be justified even in that.

995. Is it your idea that the general principle of the seizure of persons who are engaged in a contraband traffic, supposing the fact to be certain, is contrary to the law of nations?—Decidedly; I do not think any nation has a right to seize the person of a party suspected to be guilty of smuggling, unless they can trace it to him.

996. Supposing the fact to be clear, is it your impression that any nation has a right to seize the person of a foreigner engaged in a contraband traffic, as well as

to seize the goods?—Not unless they can seize him in the particular fact.

997. Will you explain what you mean by seizing him in the particular fact, with reference to the peculiar circumstances of the opium traffic at Canton. Inasmuch as the parties engaged in the opium traffic at Canton have no concern in the bodily transfer of opium from one possession to another, how would it have been possible for the Chinese government to find those persons in the fact?—Then if they cannot find them in the fact, they have no right to punish them.

998. You are of opinion, therefore, that under the circumstances in which the opium traffic was carried on in Canton, the Chinese government would have no

right to seize the merchants that carried it on?—No, I think not. 999. Mr. Elliot.] You mean without proof?—Without proof.

1000. Mr. Gladstone.] Without proof of their having been engaged in such. transactions?—I think you must connect them with some immediate transaction at the time. A foreigner here may be known to this Government to be engaged in extensive smuggling transactions, and that he has a number of ships outside; but you are not justified in seizing that person, and making him bring those ships in; but if you detect him in the act of smuggling, you seize his property and you punish him for it.

1001. Are you of opinion that it would have been possible, under any circumstances, for the Chinese to have had legal proof of the particular acts of parties engaged in the opium trade in Canton?—That I am not competent to speak to. I had no transactions in the opium before this; I do not know how they managed

it, and I do not know how they could trace it.

1002. Were not the opium transactions matter of perfect notoriety among the foreign community at Canton :- Yes. It does not appear that the foreigners were engaged in the smuggling; the Chinese were the smugglers; they came to the ship and brought the money and took away the opium.

1003. Who wrote the orders for the delivery?—The merchants in Canton.

1004. And yet you say those merchants in Canton were not engaged in the smuggling?—They were engaged in it no doubt; they sold the opinion to those parties; the Chinese themselves took it on shore.

1005. You are of opinion, then, that the parties who wrote the orders were engaged in it, and that the parties who received the orders were engaged in it?-The property belonged to the parties who gave the orders, and of course they were engaged in it.

1006. They, in your opinion, were engaged in it?—They were engaged in the

trade, no doubt; but the immediate smugglers were the Chinese.

1007. Were not the transactions in opium as much matter of notoriety as the transactions transactions in tea, and in silk, and in other goods among the foreign community? -Not so much as tea and silks.

1008. Were) not the names; of the consignees of the opium ships printed in the price currents from week to week ? Yes.

1009. Do not you consider that that constitutes notoriety?—Xes; but the mode of carrying on the business of opium is not so well known to everybody at Canton as that of tea; and; silks.

1010. Do not you consider that the constant and regular announcement of these transactions in the public journals at Canton constitutes notoriety?—Yes, very great notoriety.

1011. When you say that the opium transactions were not so well known as the transactions in other branches of trade, is it not a fact that all the mercantile houses in Canton, with the exception of one American house, were engaged in the trade?—I think to a very slight degree, many of them.

1012. You mean, that although all of them entered into it from time to time, yet that the bulk of the trade was, for the most part, confined to a few?—Yes.

1013. Mr. Elliot.] Was the imprisonment of persons confined entirely to opium

dealers?—No; everybody was confined, 1014. Then it is to be inferred, that the proof of dealing in opium had nothing to do with the confinement of persons at Canton?—It had not.

1015. Mr. Herbert.] You say that the ships were put under the command of the captain of the Larne with a view to defence; supposing some junks had come down, and had singled out the ships containing opium, and attempted to confiscate the cargoes, would not that confiscation have been resisted by the Larne?—No doubt about it.

1016. Sir W. Somerville. Is it your idea that no one is engaged in smuggling, unless he actually conveys the goods on shore; because you say that the Chinese are the smugglers, and that those who bring opium in ships have nothing to do with smuggling?—I think they have nothing to do with it, so far as to enable the Chinese to fix the fact of smuggling upon them; they are mixed up with the business no doubt.

1017. That is, you make smugglers of the Chinese, but you are not smugglers yourselves?—We supply the means of their smuggling.

1018. Mr. E. Buller.] You say, you do not, consider it justifiable to seize persons, unless those persons are engaged at the time in the act of smuggling, or unless there exists a ground for a specific charge against them?—I think so, certainly.

1019. But in either of those cases it would be justifiable?—With regard to its being sufficient that there should be a charge against him, I am not so clear upon that point: I think that to fix a man with smuggling, you, must detect him in the fact, and seize the property.

1020. Supposing a cargo has been run, and that parties who were present at the running of that cargo can swear to the facts and identify the parties, will not that justify the seizing of the persons?—The parties present at the running may be punishable by their own government. A French ship may have brandy on board in the channel; if an English boat goes to her and buys it, can you punish the

1021. Your view with regard to the seizure of persons is, that they must either be engaged at the time in the act of smuggling, or that there must be grounds for a specific charge against them?—Yes...

1022. You do not consider it to be justifiable to seize persons only on the ground of a general notoriety?—I think not, certainly.

1023. Any more than you would consider it justifiable to seize persons in England on the ground of general notoriety of their being engaged in breaking any of the laws of the country? - Certainly.

1024. Mr. Herbert.] But still you hold that the Chinese had a right to confiscate the opium if they could seize it, but you acknowledge that if they had attempted to do so it would have been resisted by order of Capt. Elliot?—No doubt Captain Elliof would have protected it.

1025. Then were not the Chinese government compelled to adopt some other means?—If a man cannot obtain his object by fair means, he is not justified in adopting unfair means.

1026. You say that the Chinese government coming legally to confiscate illegal 0.59.

Çaptain John Thacker.

14 May 1840.

Captain

John Thacker.

14 May 1840.

cargoes, would have been resisted by the captain of the Larne?—If they had come to seize them, British subjects being at that time illegally detained in Canton.

1027. You admit that they would have had a right to seize them?—They would have had a right to seize them if they could, and the other party would have had a right to resist.

1028. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you of opinion that if one party had a right to seize, the other party would have had a right to resist?—Decidedly; if I were smuggling I would resist all I could; it is a natural right that will be exercised, whether a legal right or not.

1029. Mr. Elliot.] How do you know that if the Chinese authorities had been sent on board those ships to seize opium, the Larne would have resisted?—I do

not know; I suppose so.

1030. You have stated that that would have been the case, what ground have you for stating that?—I supposed so, from having taken charge of it.

1031. Then this is mere supposition on your own part, and you have no autho-

rity for saying this but from your own supposition?—Certainly.

1032. Mr. Parker.] Might not the captain of the Larne have permitted the seizure of the opium, but have protected the vessels in other respects?—I should think not, because Captain Elliot had more than once declared that the Chinese government should not have a chest of opium.

1033. Chairman.] When had he declared that?—Several times.

1034. Mr. Hogg.] In the absence of the captain of the Larne, was not the command to be given to the captain of the Hercules?—Yes.

1035. Was the Hercules a receiving ship?—The principal receiving ship of Jardine's house.

1036. And he was appointed to take the command in the absence of the captain of the Larne?—He was.

1037. Mr. Elliot.] Was not that arrangement made in contemplation of an inimical attack upon the ships, and also an attack by fire-ships upon the vessels?—Captain Elliot's own circular to the inhabitants of Macao will explain the cause of it; I have not got the words here, but he states his own reason for it.

1038. Chairman.] When you say that Captain Elliot several times declared that the Chinese should not have a chest of opium, what circumstances or what period do you refer to?—During the time that he was in Canton he said so. I think when he came up, and made that address at the public meeting, he said that they should not have a chest of opium, and he disapproved of the 1,030 chests being given up.

1039. Were you present when Captain Elliot addressed the European residents •

after his arrival at Canton?—Yes, I was present.

1040. Did he then declare that the Chinese should not have a chest of opium?

—Yes, to the best of my recollection, he then said that the Chinese should not have a chest of opium, and that he regretted that the 1,030 chests had been surrendered to them.

1041. You do not know of Captain Elliott's having expressed any opinion or determination of the same kind, prior to his arrival at Canton at that moment?—No, because I did not see him, and there was no question about the seizure before that.

1042. How long before the giving up of the opium by Captain Elliot was this declaration made?—I think only three days.

1043. How long previous to the proposal to the merchants to give it up?—

I think three days.

1044. In the speech which Captain Elliot addressed'to the merchants at the time, did not he say that he had already made up his mind what was to be done? He did.

1045. Had that reference to the giving up the opium?—No, I should say not, be expressed his regret that any of it had been tendered to the Chinese at all; and he said that he would demand passports for us to leave within 10 days.

1046. Mr. Brownrigg.] In your former evidence, when you were relating what passed when Captain Elliot arrived, this question was put to you, "Did he say anything about the surrender of the opium then?" Your answer is, "No; I think he said he was sorry that the 1,030 chests had been tendered to the Hong merchants;" but you now say that he said not a chest should be delivered up?—I think he coupled the two together, that he was sorry that any opium had been tendered, and that not a chest should be given up.

1047. But

1047. But then a further question was put; "But with reference to the cession of the whole quantity nothing was said?" and your answer is, "Nothing what-You now say that Captain Elliot frequently asserted his determination not to give up a single chest?—It is difficult to recollect every word that is said in a long speech.

Captain John Thackers

14 May 1840.

1048. Mr. Hogg.] When you say that the actual operation of smuggling was always performed by the Chinese themselves, you speak of a period anterior to 1838?—I understand it to be so; so far as the outside ships are concerned, I believe it is always done by the Chinese.

1049. Are you not aware that the Chinese smuggling boats were destroyed in 1837, and that subsequently to the destruction of those boats, the opium was intro-

duced in English boats?—I am not aware of the fact.

- 1050. Mr. Gladstone.] In question 802 you were asked, with reference to Mr. Dent going into the city, "Was the opinion of the foreign community, that he should not go, an unanimous opinion?" and your answer is, "Quite." persons do you include in "the foreign community" in that answer?—I said that I believed there were one or two, and Mr. Inglis, I believe, was one, that thought there was no danger in his going; but the opinion was general amongst all the people that he ought not to go in.
- 1051. When you say "amongst all the people," do you mean exclusively partners in mercantile firms?—Everybody that I conversed with there; I do not mean the Americans.
- 1052. When you say that the foreign community was nearly unanimous, with those one or two exceptions, do you mean by "the foreign community" other parties than those who were interested in the opium question?—Everybody that was there, everybody that I spoke to.

1053. Do you mean to include many other persons than those who were interested in the opium question?—Yes, many that had nothing to do with opium.

1054. You think their opinion was nearly unanimous, like the opinion of those

interested in opium?—Yes.

- 1055. And that they were called upon for their opinion as well as the parties interested in opium?—I do not think everybody was called upon to give a vote upon the question. There was an assemblage of people which met at Mr. Dent's house, and Mr. Dent made an appeal to the people present, and said that he would go or not go, according to their opinion, and it was the universal cry, " No."
- 1056. That assembly comprehended the great bulk of the foreigners, and it was indifferently composed of the partners of houses and of other foreigners?—I think it was.
- 1057. Mr. E. Buller. You were understood to say that you would not have surrendered your opium but for the pledge of payment on the part of Captain Elliot; what grounds had you to suppose that Captain Elliot could pledge his Government to pay for it?—I am not much of a legislator, but I read his requisition, which I have here, it is a most powerful address; and I did not see that I could do otherwise than comply with it. I thought it was clear that he would never have written it unless he had been satisfied of his power.

1058. You rested your view of his authority solely upon his powers?— Decidedly.

- 1050. Did you not inquire in any way into the nature of his authority; did you not look at the Order in Council, or at the Act of Parliament !—No, I did not ! I had no means of looking at them.
- 1060. Did you hold no conversation with persons at Canton upon the subject? There was a great deal of conversation between six o'clock in the morning and nine or ten o'clock, the time of giving all up, among the parties in the house where I was living, and it was the unanimous opinion that there could not be any doubt about the question; that Captain Elliot must have the authority, or no doubt he would not have issued this order. I only hesitated about there being no price fixed.

1061. That he had authority to guarantee the payment?—Yes.

1062. You did not suppose that he had authority to order the surrender of the

opium without such a guarantee?—Certainly not.

1063. Were those with whom you conversed at the time, all of opinion that Captain Elliot had this authority?—Yes, I never heard a word said to the contrary.

1064. In L 2 0.59.

14 May 1840.

1064. In what way did you suppose that authority to be conveyed; generally, under his consular powers, or by special instructions from the Government?—Both, we thought.

1065. Are you not aware that consular powers do not give authority to the consul to pledge the Government to any such liability?—It came upon us so much by surprise, when the requisition came in the morning. There was great excitement prevailing at the time, and it was the opinion of many, that Captain Elliot had some precise object in doing this; that he was carrying out some policy which he was directed by his Government to carry out, in giving up this opium; in placing the Chinese, as it were, in the wrong.

1066. If he did it with the view of carrying out some line of policy, you would have been equally bound to surrender the opium without any guarantee for repayment?—I do not know that; I do not see that I am bound to sacrifice my property to carry out the views of the Government.

1067. Was it in obedience to the authority which you supposed him to possess, or because you thought he was the best purchaser for your opium?—It is not a

demand; it is a request, pledging himself that it should be paid for.
1068. Do you consider these words "I enjoin" as a request?—Yes; and he holds out a sort of threat, that if you do not comply you must take the consequences, viz. have the protection of your Government withdrawn from you.

1069. Mr. Parker.] Did not you ask him whether he had the power?—No:

I did not see him.

1070. Mr. Herbert, You thought it was rather your business to obey his authority than to call it in question?—I thought it was my business to protect, in the best way I could, my property, which I had worked very hard for. It was the opinion of every person that I heard speak on the subject, that there was no doubt about it; that the pledge was so strong, that no man would have given it unless he had still power so to do.

1071. Chairman.] You thought it impossible that the representative of the British Crown in China would have issued a paper of that kind unless he had authority to do so?—I thought so. It may be a very different thing after the affair is over to sit here and question what I ought to have done, but I did it bond

fide, supposing that he had authority to make the pledge.

1072. Mr. Parker.] Did you hear any parties ask him whether he had the

power?—I did not.

1073. Did you not do it, upon the whole, as the best means of getting out of the difficulties with which you were then surrounded?—I thought it was the best thing I could do under the circumstances of the case. I thought that I could not do otherwise, in fact, when some parties had given up their opium; I do not see how I or others could have refused to do it.

1074. Chairman.] If you had had personal freedom you could have done some-

thing else with your opium?—I could.

1075. Mr. E. Buller.] If it was a mere request, and if you surrendered it to him on account of the promise of repayment, does it not follow that you would have been justified in refusing if you had considered it your interest to do so?— No doubt, but Captain Elliot held out a threat that he would in that case be no longer answerable for it, or for any protection.

1076. Then you imagined that for the security of your property it was better for you to comply with Captain Elliot's request?—I did; that otherwise the pro-

tection of the British Government would be withdrawn from my property.

1077. Do you or do you not think, that, under those circumstances, you could have retained possession of that property?—I think I could, not consistently have

retained it, particularly that which was not my own.

1078. Had you any reasonable expectation that if you had refused to comply with Captain Elliot's request, and the rest of the community had done so, you could have retained possession of your opium, and have avoided the surrender of it?—I think, under my peculiar circumstances, I might. I was unknown to the Chinese, and it never would have been known that I had any opium at all; but I thought I should not be justified in doing it, particularly with regard to the opium that belonged to another party, which I had lent money upon.

1079. You thought that the retaining the opium would have been so great a risk that you would not have been justified in running that risk, with reference to opium belonging to a third party?—I think that when an offer of this kind was made for it, I should not have been justified in taking the chance of anything

better afterwards. Here was an offer made to take it from me; I was in difficulty, I was in prison, and I think that I was bound to accept that offer; I think any man would have done the same if he had been there.

Captain John Thacker. ,

14 May 1840.

1080. Mr. Gladstone.] Are the Committee to understand that your feeling was this: although you thought there was a chance of saving it if you rétained it in your own possession, yet you knew there was also a chance of losing it; and you thought there would be no chance of losing it if you surrendered it into the possession of the Government, and therefore you preferred certainty to contingency?—No; that was not my feeling exactly; my feeling was that it might be a long time before I should be able to realize it, and that here I had a good offer made of winding up the thing for me, and that the best thing I could do would be to accept it; I had placed it before in the charge of Mr. Johnston, and I thought it was safe, still it might be a long time before I could sell it.

1081. Mr. E. Buller.] Then it was a voluntary transaction on your part, in

surrendering it up to Captain Elliot?—Decidedly, trusting to his pledge.

.1082. Mr. Hogg.] Supposing you had had an opportunity of communicating with the ship on board of which your opium was, would you rather have ordered the departure of that ship with your opium, for any market you might choose, or the delivery of the opium to Captain Elliot, under his requisition?—I would have preferred the delivery to Captain Elliot; I looked upon it as such a bond fide transaction, that I considered it a certainty.

1083. So that if you had had the opportunity of ordering the ship away, with your opium on board, for the Malay Islands, or any other place you chose to direct, in preference to giving such orders, you would rather have delivered up the opium to Captain Elliot, under his requisition?—I would, most assuredly; the one

was a certainty and the other was a very great uncertainty.

Anthony Daniell, Esq. called in; and Examined.

1084. Chairman.] YOU are a partner in the house of Daniell & Co. of Canton?

A. Daniell, Esq.

1085. When did you go out to China?—I arrived in China in September 1834.

1086. Was your house engaged in the opium trade?—Yes.

1087. Both as matter of consignment and of personal venture of your own?—We had very little on our own account.

1088. But you received considerable consignments?—We did.

- 1089. Were you ever engaged in the trade within the Bogue?—No, we never were.
- 1090. You carried on the trade along the coast?—Outside and along the coast.

1091. To some extent?—To a considerable extent.

1092. The trade was in a state of considerable stagnation, or almost entire stoppage, in the beginning of the year 1839?—Yes.

1093. What was the reason of that?—The edicts which had been issued about

that time from the Viceroy.

1094. What do you believe was the cause of those edicts coming out?—
I believe the cause of them was the export of Sysee treasure.

1095. When did Commissioner Lin arrive in Canton?—On the 10th of March.

1096. Do you recollect the edicts published by him on his arrival?—Perfectly. 1097. What impression did they create amongst the foreign community in Canton?—The general impression was, that he would demand the surrender of the opium, but that it would be got over by some arrangement.

the opium, but that it would be got over by some arrangement.

1098. Are the Committee to understand that you had any expectation of being able to prevent the surrender of the whole quantity of opium?—No idea was en-

tertained of giving up the whole quantity.

1099. Could you have protected yourselves against such a demand if it had been made?—We should have endeavoured to have done so.

1100. How could you have done so?—By remaining firm.

1101. Had you the power of sending it away?—Not after the time or the edict, because all communication was stopped.

1102. You had the power of sending it away previously, if you had anticipated anything of the kind?—Certainly we had.

•

1103. When

A. Daniell, Esq.

14 May 1840.

1103. When was the first period of your being unable to quit Canton?—On the 21st, when no boats were allowed to quit Canton.

1104. Was that the day of the issuing the edict?—The edict from the Hoppo, prohibiting foreigners leaving Canton, was issued on the 19th.

1105. When was the notice given you that you were not at liberty to quit

Canton?—On the 19th.

1106. What occurred between the issuing of the edict and the injunction for you to remain at Canton; did you take any steps with reference to the demand made upon you for the delivery of the opium?—On the evening of the 19th I received a message from Howqua, wishing my attendance at the Consoo-house, with some of the other foreign merchants of Canton. Amongst them were Mr. Dent, Mr. Fox, Mr. Matheson, Mr. Green, Mr. Wetmore, and Mr. Thom, the interpreter; who read to us the chop from Lin, saying that the opium must be surrendered, and he requested us to give an answer immediately; we'then told Houqua and the other Hong merchants present, that we could not possibly give an answer upon so important a question, but that a general meeting of the Chamber of Commerce should be called on the 21st, which was the earliest period at which it could well be done; and on the 21st a good deal of discussion took place regarding the measures to be adopted, and it ended in a deputation being sent to the Consoo-house, to the Hong merchants there assembled, in order to inform them that they would shortly receive a letter from the Chamber of Commerce to the purport that the edict involved such important and complicated interests, a direct reply could not be given before the 27th, although it was the general feeling of the foreign community to relinquish all future traffic in opium, the emperor's desire to put it down being now apparent; at the same time we endeavoured to impress upon them the impossibility of delivering up the opium, it being almost entirely the property of merchants in India. The merchants then went into the city, and we heard nothing more till about nine o'clock the same evening, when we were requested by Mr. Wetmore the chairman, to meet at the Chamber of Commerce; it was there agreed that the attendance of the Hong merchants should be called. Howqua then stated that he had seen one of the authorities (the Kwangchow-foo), to whom he gave our answer, which he said was of no use, and that the opium must be surrendered. Howqua continued that he was greatly excited, and that if a certain quantity of opium was not given up, two of them were to lose their heads in the morning; and that if the opium was not surrendered at 10 o'clock in the morning, he would be at the Consoo-house, and they would see what the consequences would be. A great deal of discussion took place, when Howqua mentioned that 1,000 chests would be sufficient; and to appearse the exorbitant demand of the High Commissioner and save their own lives, after some consultation the foreign merchants agreed among themselves to sacrifice the 1,000 chests.

1107. Mr. Brownrigg.] Was this previous to or after the demand for the attendance of Mr. Dent?—This was previous to the demand for the attendance of Mr. Dent.

1108. Mr. Clay.] On the evening of the 21st?—Yes.

1109. Mr. Colquhoun.] What day of the week was that?—Thursday.

1110. Chairman.] Will you state what further occurred?—On Friday morning there was an answer sent that this quantity was not sufficient, and also, I think, at that time, Mr. Dent was requested to go into the city, which at first he had some idea of doing, but when it became generally known, parties advised him not to go unless he could get the guarantee of the Commissioner for his safe return. The Hong merchants said they would do so; which was rejected, as their guarantee could in no way control the acts of the high commissioner. Then, I think, it passed over till Saturday morning, when there was a meeting of the Chamber, at which I was not present; but I went over to Mr. Dent's about 11 or 12 o'clock of the Saturday, and there saw the Hong merchants in chains, at least Howqua and Mouqua had chains; they were sitting in an ante-room; they had come to request Mr. Dent's attendance, and they said that if he did not come immediately, soldiers would be sent to take him before the Commissioner; however, it was put to the community whether Mr. Dent should go or not; they said, most decidedly not; that they did not think it safe that he should go in. They then went into the Consoo-house, and stated Mr. Dent's answer; that Mr. Dent was no longer acting as a private individual, but that it was the determination of the whole foreign community that Mr. Dent should not go into the city, unless they had a guarantee

14 May 1840.

from the commissioner. Afterwards a Wei Yuen and the Namhoy came to the house, and several times asked Mr. Dent whether he would go into the city or not, to which he replied "No; that the foreign community had determined that he should not go; at the same time that he was very sorry to do anything which should annoy the Commissioner in any way, but that he was unable to go, unless with his guarantee." Mr. Inglis was then asked to go to the Consoo-house with Mr. Dent's message, which he did, and he then volunteered to proceed into the city, where he was detained till about nine in the evening. The Hong merchants afterwards came to Mr. Dent, about 10 or 11 o'clock, and repeated their desire for him to go in, which he again declined; and upon its being represented to Howqua that the following day was Sunday, it was conceded that no molestation should be offered on that day. Nothing transpired till about, six o'clock on the Sunday evening. I was walking in the garden in the front of the factory, and we saw a boat coming up with English colours flying and a pendant; it was Captain Elliot, and he arrived about half past six. There was an endeavour to cut him off by one of the Mandarin boats in front of the landing-place; but he managed to get in, and landed about half past six. We ran to meet him in the garden, in front of the British factory. He asked us to go up to the Hall with him. First of all he asked for the union jack, which could not be found, and immediately they planted a small union jack, which they had in the boat, on the top of a flag-staff. He immediately asked for Mr. Dent, whether he was safe, and on learning that he was in his own factory, he proceeded there, accompanied by all who met him; and in about 10 minutes he returned with Mr. Dent into the Hall where all the foreign community were assembling, and to whom he read the address which he published on the 23d at Macao. He then stated that he was glad that he had succeeded in arriving amongst us in this difficult position, and that he should be very happy to afford us any assistance in his power; that the H. M. ship Larne, although of small force, was outside, and that if we were compelled to force our way down we should certainly have his support, and have the assistance of the ship outside at the mouth of the Bocca Tigres; he said, that he did not wish to be offensive to anybody, but that he intended to act without the advice of others, or else it might be considered that he was favouring some parties in Canton, and that he was acting entirely upon his own responsibility, upon the powers of his office. The meeting then dispersed. Nothing occurred, except that immediately after that it was agreed to establish a kind of guard in our hong, which was part of the same hong as Captain Elliot's, and we kept on guard every two hours alternately, the different parties residing The Hong merchants of course came in during the evening of Sunday and on Monday; but nothing was known of what was going on from Captain Elliot until Wednesday morning, when Captain Elliot called upon us to surrender the opium on the morning of Wednesday.

1111. When were the Chinese servants removed?—They began to leave immediately upon Captain Elliot's landing; at least, about half an hour afterwards; some of our private servants had gone previously; our compadore had left on Friday.

1112. When were the provisions stopped?—On the Monday.

1113. When were the servants removed by command?—About half an hour after Captain Elliot's arrival one of the linguists came through the hongs to order them all off. I omitted to state, that almost immediately after Captain Elliott's arrival, the whole space in front of the foreign factories was occupied by Chinese guards, and the river blocked up with three rows of large boats, moored in the form of a crescent, filled with soldiers, so that all communication was completely cut off

1114. Had you taken any measures to guard against the scarcity of food?—Yes, we had.

1115. To a considerable extent?—No, not to a considerable extent; we had several fowls and things of that sort laid in; we might have gone on for a fortnight or three weeks, with biscuits and stores that we had from England.

1116. You had no immediate fear of starvation?—No.

1117. Mr. Elliot.] Do you mean that the whole of the foreigners might have gone on for a fortnight?—I do not know what supplies others had, but some had done it to some extent also.

1118. You do not know what quantity of provisions had been laid in for the whole number of foreigners there?—That I cannot answer; we had merely laid in a few fowls.

1. Daniell, Esq. -14 May 1840.

1119. Mr. Colquhoun.] Is it your impression that the majority had done as you had done?—Yes.

1120. Mr. Elliot.] When you say the majority, do you mean houses or captains

and seamen?-No; I mean the houses of residents in Canton.

1121. If those provisions had been divided amongst the whole number confined, can you form any notion how long they would have been able to hold out?—I do not know what the others had at all; of course everybody would have divided the provisions he had with the others as long as they lasted.

1122. When you say that you and that others had provisions for a fortnight or three weeks, you do not mean to say that if those provisions had been divided amongst the whole number they would have lasted them for that period?—No;

simply for our own establishment.

1123. Chairman.] Was the supply of water cut off?—They would not allow

any water to be brought into the factories.

1124. Is there any water within the factories?—Yes, but not wholesome water: the drains run from the different houses into it; the river flows in, but there is a great deal of dirt.

1125. Are there wells?—No; it is a large sewer more than a well.
1126. The only supply of water to the factories is from the river?—Yes, it is brought in by coolies every day for common purposes, but at the same time we usually get our supply of drinking water from a spring in the city.

1127. Mr. Hogg.] Is it usual to have water in in quantities, which you purify for the purpose of drinking, and keep a stock of?—Yes; about every six months they lay in a supply of water for their drinking, which they purify.

1128. Then, the supply is generally a supply which lasts three or four months?

-Yes; for drinking, many have a few large jars for their own consumption.

1129. Sir C. Lemon.] Your access to those was not cut off?—No; not to the

1130. Mr. Elliot.] Are those supplies laid in by the different houses, or are they laid in by the whole community?—By the houses separately; by their own

1131. So that the whole community had not access to this water?—No, but I believe each house supply their own wants in that way.

1132. Mr. Hogg.] Was it the usual practice of every resident at Canton to have a stock of water in his own house for the purposes of drinking?—Yes, I think it

was very general.

1133. Sir C. Lemon.] In point of fact, were you under any alarm of a drought of water?—They deprived us of getting water for the purposes of cooking, and

things of that kind.

1134. But you had no immediate apprehension of being deprived of water?-They did not endeavour to take away the water we had in our jars.

1135. The sources you had under your control were sufficient for your supply for a time?—Yes.

1136. Mr. Gladstone.] Was any actual inconvenience suffered during the time that the supply from without was cut off?—No; we had water sufficient to go on with.

1137. Are you aware whether any portion of the foreign community was in actual want of good drinkable water?—I am not aware that they were.

1138. For how long was the supply of water cut off?—For a week, I think.

1139. For how long was the supply of provisions cut off?—A week.
1140. Was not the access to provisions permitted when the arrangement for the delivery of the opium was made?—No; not till afterwards. I think it was on the 30th that there was a present sent of provisions to Captain Elliot.

1141. Both provisions and water began to be cut off from the time that Captain

Elliot arrived?—Yes; on Monday.

1142. And they continued to be cut off from the Monday till when? - Till the

Saturday, I think it was.

1143. Chairman.] What you mean is, that there was water within your wells besides the drinking water, but it was not wholesome for the purposes of cooking? -No; it was not used for consumption, it was merely used for washing the hongs.

1144. Mr. Colquhoun.] From what source did other persons who were not within the houses that had a supply of water, such as seamen, draw water for their use during those five days?—They were either provided at the hotel, or they were residing at the different houses.

1145. Mr.

1145: Mr. Hogg.] Had not there frequently before been a temporary deprivation of servants, and of supplies of food?—Not frequently.

A. Daniell, Esq.

1146. Occasionally?—I think Lord Napier's was the last time when the ser- 14 May 1840. vants were taken away.

1147. But occasionally the Chinese authorities have, on disputes and differences arising, taken away the servants, and stopped the supplies?—I have heard that, on previous occasions, servants have been taken away.

1148. And supplies stopped?—Not that I am aware of.
1149. Mr. Colquhoun.] Your residence does not extend so far back as 1830?
-No; I arrived there in September '1834, just after Lord Napier arrived.

1150. Sir George Grey.] Did the stoppage of the water and the provisions occasion any extraordinary alarm and apprehension on the part of the foreign community at Canton?—They thought it rather a serious step, of course.

1151. Chairman.] Was there much alarm amongst the foreign community?-

There was a good deal of excitement.

1152. Was that general, or was it confined to those who had newly arrived, and who were not acquainted with the practices of the Chinese government?—I should say, more to those who had newly arrived.

1153. Those who had been there for some years were not so much alarmed as

those that had newly arrived ?-No, I should say not, certainly.

1154. Mr. Clay.] But they had an impression that the Chinese government were more in earnest than they had been on former occasions?—Yes, from the fact of their having stopped the supplies of food in the way they did.

1155. Chairman.] You say that on the Wednesday morning, the 27th, Captain Elliot called upon you to give up your opium in common with the rest of the com-

munity; did you give up your opium?—We did.

- 1156. Mr. Hogg.] Previous to seeing Captain Elliot's requisition, had you ever heard from him, or from anybody else, that he had it in contemplation to issue such an order?—No, I never heard it from him.
- 1157. The first intimation you ever had of the requisition, or of any intention to issue the requisition, on the part of Captain Elliot, was seeing the requisition itself?—It was.
- 1158. Then there could have been no remonstrance against the requisition, on behalf of yourself or other members of the foreign community?—No.
 - 1159. Chairman.] What quantity of opium did you surrender?—1,456 chests.
- 1160. On what ground did you comply with Captain Elliot's requisition to give up the opium?—From the terms of his circular, expecting that we should be remunerated by the Government.

1161. Did you believe then that Captain Flliot had a right to call upon you to

give up the opium?—Certainly.

- i 162. Did you believe that you had the right of refusing, or of acquiescing, as you thought best?—No; we were called upon by Captain Elliot to deliver it to him on the terms of his "Notice" of the 27th March.
- 1163. Sir G. Grey.] Then you would have delivered it up whether he promised remuneration or not, his right to claim it being the same in either case?— No. We could not have delivered it up to Captain Elliot if he had not promised remuneration.
- 1164. Do you mean that you would have told him that he had no right to claim it?-We could not have delivered it up without he had offered us remuneration, as we should thus be throwing away an immense value of property.

1165. But you would have entertained the same opinion as to his right?—We

did not know what Captain Elliot's full powers were.

- 1166. Mr. E. Buller. You did not give it up under the impression that Captain Elliot was vested with an unlimited authority?-We gave it up under the impression that he had full power to demand it from us, as he expressed in his "Notice."
- 1167. If he had required the surrender of the opium without any promise of indemnity on the part of the Government, you would not have given it up? Certainly not.

1168. You would not conceive that he had any authority to call upon you un-

conditionally to surrender the opium?—No."

1169. It was not so much in obedience to Captain Elliot's authority, as in anticipation of the remuneration that you would receive from the British Government that you gave it up?—It was in obedience to his authority that we gave up the opium, relying most implicitly on his pledge.

1170. Did

A. Daniell, Esq. 14 May 1840.

1170. Did you then imagine that Captain Elliot had unlimited authority over the British merchants at Canton, to the extent that he might have called upon them to surrender their opium unconditionally?—I certainly imagined he had the authority he set forth in his "Notice."

1171. Mr. Brownrigg.] Without payment?—Not without remuneration.

1172. Mr. E. Buller.] Did you give up your opium under the impression that Captain Elliot had authority to demand it under any circumstances, or did you give it up under the impression that Captain Elliot was authorized to pay for it by the Government?—We gave it up under the impression that Captain Elliot was authorized to receive it for the use of Her Majesty's service, and under the full conviction that he had power to do so, and to remunerate us for it.

1173. That is to say, you were under the impression that Captain Elliot was authorized to pledge his Government for the payment; but you were not under the impression that he had authority to call upon you to surrender the opium without remuneration?—We could not have given over that property to Captain

Elliot unless he had given us the guarantee of the Government for it.

1174. But if Captain Elliot was invested with this unlimited authority he might have called upon you to surrender it without remuneration, trusting to the British Government to obtain an indemnity for you from the Chinese government?—We could not have given it up under those circumstances.

1175. Then you were not under the impression that Captain Elliot was vested with that absolute authority?—We were under the impression that he had autho-

rity to receive it, and pledge his Government to reimburse us for it.

1176. That he was authorized to make a bargain with you for the opium, but that he was not authorized to compel you to deliver it up?—We thought he had full authority to call upon us for it in the way he did.

1177. Mr. Hogg.] Would you think it within the competency of any public authority to exact from British subjects, in any part of the world, the surrender of their property without any remuneration for it?—I should think not.

1178. Mr. Herbert.] In fact, you never thought that Captain Elliot had the power to seize the opium, but only the power to buy it?—Only the power to buy it.

1179. Mr. E. Buller. On what did you found your opinion that he had the power to buy it?—From his calling upon us in the way he did for the surrender

1180. You never asked yourself the question, how it was possible for Captain Elliot to have such a power?—We certainly thought he had full powers, but he

never told us what his powers were.

1181. Did you never ask yourselves the question, how it was possible for Captain Elliot to have that power?—We had some conversation about it, and we were fully impressed that Captain Elliot would never have called upon us to deliver it up unless he had full authority.

1182. How did you suppose that such an authority could be conveyed to him?

-From the instructions of the Government.

1183. Did you ask yourself the question, whether it would be possible for the Government to give such instructions?—We were in ignorance what the instruc-

1184. Was there any probability that the instructions could have been to that effect?—In the circumstances of China, and in the state of the trade altogether,

we thought there might have been powers of that kind granted to him.

1185. Did you think it possible that the British Government could have given Captain Elliot instructions to do whatever he thought right, and that those instructions could enable Captain Elliot to pledge the British Government to that extent? -It is impossible for me to say what the Government would do, or what their instructions were; we should never have thought that Captain Elliot would have called upon us for that immense surrender of property, unless he had the power.

1186. Chairman.] In fact, that was the only thing you went upon; you placed implicit confidence in him, and thought he would not have called upon you in the terms of the notice for the surrender of your property, unless he was

authorized to do so?—Certainly.

1187. Mr. E. Buller.] And you never took the trouble to inquire into the nature of Captain Elliot's powers under the Act of Parliament, or under the Orders in Council?—Captain Elliot, at a meeting some time previously, stated that it was not in his power to publish his instructions. 1188. Mr.

1188. Mr. Herbert.] Did you conceive that Captain Elliot was the best authority as to what his powers were?—Certainly; he had stated, at a public meeting in December, that it was not in his power to publish his instructions.

1189. Sir George Grey.] Had you heard at this time of a previous surrender of

opium to Mr. Johnston before Captain Elliot's arrival?—Yes.

1190. Chairman.] Do you know under what circumstances the surrender to Mr. Johnston was made, and by whom?—There were two or three houses mentioned as having surrendered on the Saturday, I think; they said they placed it with him for safe custody; that, I think, was the plea upon which they put it.

1191. Mr. Clay.] Your house had made no such surrender?—No.

1192. Chairman.] Was there any opium at Canton at the time of Captain

Elliot's arrival?—There was a small quantity.

1193. What do you call a small quantity?—I think it was under a chest.

1104. Had you any consultation, either amongst the partners of your house, or with other members of the foreign community, before you decided upon complying with the terms of Captain Elliot's notice?—I had some conference with my partner upon it.

1195. When you received the notice?—Yes; and also in the course of conver-

sation outside as to the general opinion.

1196. Had you any hesitation in complying with the requisition?—No; when we read the terms of the requisition we had none.

1197. Was the whole of your opium at Lintin?—No; part of it was on the coast.

1198. But it was all outside?—Yes.

1199. Mr. Clay.] Was the tenor of that notice matter of very great surprise to

you?—It greatly took us by surprise.

1200. Chairman.] Are you prepared with any statement as to the cost of the opium then in China; do you know what your own opium cost you per chest?-I have not the statement of the exact cost at present with me.

1201. Can you give the Committee an idea of about how much it cost you?— I should think that Patna stood us in above 520 or 530 dollars per chest, and the Malwa above 600; the quantity belonging to ourselves being small.

1202. Mr. Clay.] Were the chests of Malwa opium of the same weight as the Patna and Benares?—The Patna and Benares are heavier.

1203. What is the weight respectively of those chests in English pounds?— The Malwa ought to weigh, on leaving Bombay, about 140 pounds, the net opium in the package.

1204. And what the Patna and Benares?—About 116 to 120 cultees; equiva-

lent to 150 or 160 pounds. 🦥 .

1205. Is not the opium packed in balls of about 2 pounds weight each?—The chests of Patna and Benares contain 40 balls; the Malwa is in small flat cakes.

1206. Sir Charles Lemon.] Did anything pass between you and Captain Elliot with regard to the price that was to be paid for the opium?—No.

1207. Mr. Elliot. Was the opium given up without any agreement regarding the price?—Yes.

1208. Might he then have retained it without paying any price at all?—No; because that would have been contrary to the terms of his "Notice."

1209. Chairman.] You consider that the value of it was to be settled subsequently?—Yes.

1210. Was that the tacit understanding ?-It was according to the terms of his "Notice."

1211. Mr. E. Buller.] You state that there was no remonstrance before the issuing of Captain Elliott's injunction to deliver up the oplum; are you aware of any remonstrance having been made after the issuing of that injunction?—No.

1212. You did not yourself remonstrate with Captain Elliot at all against so

doing?-No.

1213. But you immediately complied with the terms of his order?—We immediately did so; we handed him, the same evening, orders upon the captains of the different receiving ships.

1214. Sir Charles Lemon.] Did you sign one of the petitions referred to this

Committee ?—I believe my partner did.

1215. Mr. Brownrigg.] What is the name of your partner?—Wilkinson Dent.

1216. You said, in the first part of your evidence, that previous to the 21st of March, you had power to send the opium away if you had thought fit, the 0.59. M 2

A. Daniell, Esq. 14 May 1840.

4. Daniell, Esq. 14 May 1840.

receiving ships were always in a state fit to go to sea? They can always go to sea at very short notice.

1217. What length of notice?—In a very few hours they would have their masts

and sails up; they are all on deck.

1218. What quantity of other property was there in the ships lying at Lintin about that time?—I cannot say what other property was there; sometimes there might be woollens, or other merchandise.

1219. But, generally speaking, those ships have opium only?—They were

principally receiving ships.

1220. It was not the custom much to put other goods into the receiving ships, but generally they were brought up to Canton?—Except on a few occasions: some ships came up bound for Lintin or Manilla, and left their cargoes on board those ships; but as soon as it could be done, they were taken up to Canton.

1221. So that, generally speaking, those ships at Lintin, the receiving ships as

they are called, have opium only?—Yes.

1222. Mr. Elliot.] Were not some of those ships so unfit to go to sea, that they

were obliged to be sold in the end?—Not that I am aware of.

1223. In the speech which Captain Elliot made after his arrival at Canton, do you remember whether he said anything about his determination not to give up any opium?—I think he stated that it was his intention not to give it up.

1224. He stated that he was sorry that 1,000 chests had been offered to be

given up?—I think he stated that.

1225. And you say that besides that, he stated that he would oppose himself to giving up any opium?—I think he said words to that effect, but I am not certain; there was a good deal of bustle and confusion in the room at the time.

1226. Did you object to giving up your opium when you were called upon to

do so?—No.

1227. Do you know whether anybody objected?—I am not aware that anybody objected.

1228. Are you not of opinion that everybody was very glad to give it up on the

terms proposed?—I do not think they were very glad to give it up.

1229. You said that it was your opinion that by remaining firm you might have retained the opium?—That we might have come to some arrangement with the Chinese government; that was my impression.

1230. Is that your opinion now?—My opinion has altered since a good deal:

I never expected the things that have occurred since.

- 1231. You said that you were under considerable alarm before Captain Elliot arrived in Canton?—Not considerable alarm; we thought things were looking serious.
- 1232. But there was no great alarm at that time?—A good deal of excitement from the very circumstance of this chop being out; we hardly knew what to think about it.
- 1233. The question refers to decided alarm; do you conceive that the European community at the time were in a state of very serious alarm or not?—Some parties who were new comers were more excited than the older residents there.

1234. Do not you conceive that the whole foreign community of Canton were at that time in a very serious state of alarm?—I do not think they were in a very

serious state of alarm.

1235. Chairman.] Did you apprehend any danger to life?—I did not myself think there was any danger to be apprehended from the Chinese Government; the only fear which I should say was generally apprehended was, perhaps, that the mob might come in upon us; there was a very large collection, at least so we were told, of people who had come down from the country, and who were still in the vicinity of the factories.

1236. Mr. Gladstone.] What do you suppose the Chinese intended to do to Mr. Dent if he had gone into the city?—I think they intended to detain him there.

- 1237. Do you think they intended anything more than imprisonment?—I think, from what has since taken place, they would have confined him for some time, but whether they would have proceeded to taking his life, I do not feel prepared to answer the question.
- 1238. What was your impression at the time; did you at the time anticipate anything more than imprisonment in the event of his going into the city, and refusing to deliver up his opium?—I do not think they would have taken his life.

1239. Did you anticipate anything more than prolonged imprisonment? -No:

1240. Sir

A. Daniell, Esq.

1240. Sir G. Grey.] Did you concur with the rest of the community in think-

ing that Mr. Dent ought on no account to go into the city?—Yes.

the community would have remained firm if it had not been for the arrival of Captain Elliot, and his offer to take the opium into his own possession; when you used that expression, to what inconveniences or dangers do you think the community would have been exposed in the event of Captain Elliot not having arrived?—From the assurance of Howqua, my own impression was, that the giving up the 1,000 chests might, at that time, have satisfied the Commissioner; and that arrangements would have been made for the receiving-ships to have left China, and thus the matter would have been settled.

1242. When you say that the community would have remained firm, do you mean, that you think the community would not have consented to anything more than that the 1,000 chests should be delivered up, and that the receiving-ships

should quit China?—I think that was the general impression.

1243. Mr. Colquhoun.] Would you have consented had the Chinese government made the same demand upon you which Captain Elliot had made, that you should surrender the whole quantity of opium?—Certainly not.

.1244. Mr. Clay.] They did make such a demand in the edict?—They de-

manded an unconditional surrender.

1245. Mr. Colquhoun.] Then you were not, in consequence of the state of alarm which you were in, prepared to abandon the whole of your opium to the Chinese government?—No.

1246. Sir George Grey.] Not if the Chinese had coupled the demand with the promise of payment, as Captain Elliot did?—I do not think the parties would

have given it up upon those terms, unless the dollars had been paid.

1247. You would not have thought the promise of the Chinese such good

security for payment?—Certainly not.

1248. Mr. Gladstone.] Supposing that Captain Elliot had not come to Canton, and that the foreign community had offered those terms which you have just specified, namely, the surrender of 1,000 chests, and the departure of the receiving ships, to what further measures do you think the Chinese government would have proceeded?—My idea was, that the thousand chests would have been given up, that the ships would have gone away, and then, that Lin would have represented to the Court at Pekin that he had made à seizure and put a stop to the trade, and that the ships had gone way; and I think then the Court would have been satisfied.

1249. Mr. Clay. You have stated, that on the Friday Howqua brought back a message to say, 1,000 chests were not considered enough by the Commissioner, and on Saturday morning you saw him in chains; how is that consistent with what you state to have been your opinion that the Commissioner would have been satisfied with 1,000 chests?—It was my opinion at that time that that would have

been sufficien**t.**

1250. Was that your opinion on the Saturday morning, after the positive refusal to accept 1,000 chests brought back by Howqua?—No; because Howqua then said it was not sufficient; but I still thought that he would be brought in to terms.

1251. Did not Howqua, on Saturday morning, state that the Commissioner would not accept of 1,000 chests, and still demanded that Mr. Dent should go

into the city?—He did.

1252. Mr. Colquhoun.] But you thought, in fact, that you were making terms with the Chinese government, they demanding as much as possible, and you offering as little as possible, and that a medium would be struck between you, which medium, you conceived, would be about 1,000 chests?—Yes; I thought that would have been sufficient.

1253. Chairman.] You think they would have coupled with that a condition

that the ships outside should go away? Wes.

1254. Mr. Gladstone.] Was the general meeting held on the 21st largely attended?—Yes, it was; nearly all the foreign merchants in Canton were there.

stop the trade, conveyed as formally as communications generally are from the mercantile body to the Chinese authorities?—The communication was made to Howqua. The merchants assembled on the Thursday, and on the 25th signed a pledge, stating that, according to the imperial will, and seeing that it was the wish of the Emperor to put down the trade, they would engage to deal no more in opium; and it was on the 25th of March that that was sent in.

0.59. M 3 1256. Did

A. Daniell, Esq.

14 May 1840.

1256. Did you sign that?—I did.

1257. Later in the course of these proceedings, after Captain Elliot had come to Canton, after the supplies had been stopped and the servants removed, what was it your impression the Commissioner Lin contemplated; did you think it was his intention to reduce the whole foreign community to surrender themselves prisoners?—We were all prisoners at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival; we were prisoners from the 21st. On the Monday we did not think much about it, for Captain Elliot was then in communication with the authorities. We heard nothing from them after the Saturday. On the Sunday they said they would not interfere with us, it being our day of worship, and we heard nothing more from them.

1258. To what measures do you now believe that the Commissioner would have proceeded, supposing that Captain Elliot had not come up to assume the responsibility which he did assume, and supposing that the merchants had persisted in refusing to deliver the opium?—I do not know what he would have done; we have seen what he has done since with regard to the whole community and the

whole trade.

1259. Do you believe that there was any danger of his inciting the mob to attack the factories?—There seemed to be an apprehension that they might be let loose, at least that the police might not be able to restrain them, and even in his edict he hints at such a step.

1260. Are you not able to state what you now think the Commissioner would have proceeded to do in the event of the foreign community having refused to surrender the opium?—He has carried out his edicts so far since, that one now naturally supposes that he would have resorted to his threats.

1261. Do you mean that an expulsion from Canton was to be apprehended?—

Yes, he expelled me from Canton.

1262. Do you think anything still more severe than expulsion was to be apprehended?—I hardly know what lengths he might have gone to.

1263. Mr. Clay.] Do you think he would have taken Mr. Dent by force?—I

now think that he would.

1264. Sir G. Grey.] When you speak of expulsion, do you mean expulsion from Canton, without compelling the delivery up of the opium belonging to the parties then at Canton, or expulsion from Canton after the delivery up of the opium?—I mean expulsion after the delivery of the opium.

1265. Mr. Clay.] Judging by what has occurred, do you think he would have proceeded to coerce you into a surrender of the opium, by a continued privation of food and water?—From what has since occurred I should say that he would, certainly; he is quite a different character to other Chinese authorities we had ever been accustomed to treat with.

1266. Mr. Colquhoun.] You judged at the time from what you knew of former acts of the Chinese government, and judging from those acts you had no fear of,

personal violence?—No.

1267. Mr. Gladstone.] Do you now think that there was any fear of personal violence to the community in general at any period previous to the surrender of the opium?—Very little occurred between Sunday and the delivering up of the opium; there was nothing going on, everything was quiet, as all communication was reserved to Captain Elliot and the Commissioner.

1268. Is it your impression that during any part of the period previous to the surrender of the opium, there was any well-grounded apprehension that the Commissioner would proceed to personal violence towards the foreign community in general?—As I stated before, I was led to think we might have been enabled to

come to terms with Lin.

1269. Do you mean that you never abandoned the hope of being able to come to terms till Captain Elliot came up?—Not till Captain Elliot came up.

1270. Then you had not abandoned the idea of coming to terms at the time when Captain Elliot's arrangement was proposed?—Immediately upon Captain Elliot's appearance in Canton, all negotiation was assumed by him; and we were ignorant of the communications that passed between him and the high commissioner from the 24th to the 27th.

1271. But when Captain Elliot came up you had not abandoned the idea as hopeless, of making terms with the Chinese government?—Not when Captain Elliot arrived.

1272. Mr. Colquhoun.] On the contrary, you had a proof of the forbearance

of the Chinese government at the time, because they respected the Sunday?—

A. Daniell, Esq.

14 May 1840.

1273. Mr. Elliot.] Amongst the printed papers there is a memorial to Lord Palmerston, signed by most of the residents at Canton, dated the 23d of May; do you remember that memorial?—Yes.

1274. Were you a party to it?—It was signed by the firm.

- 1275. And of course you concurred in it?—Yes.
 1276. Your attention is requested to the following paragraph in that memorial. "We feel it our duty to express to your Lordship our deep sense of the public spirit which induced this officer, at no inconsiderable risk, to endeavour to rescue British life and property from a position of fearful jeopardy; and we may assure your Lordship that but one feeling existed of the extreme peril of the whole community, when he succeeded in forcing his way to Canton, and took charge of all responsibility in the negotiations with the Chinese government." Have you seen any reason subsequently for altering the opinion which you then expressed in that memorial?—No.
- 1277. Chairman.] Do not you think the subsequent measures of Lin strengthened the impression that the foreign community were then in danger?—Certainly; they strengthened the opinion that we entertained at the time.
- 1278. Mr. Elliot.] Then it is still your opinion that life and property were at that period in a state of fearful jeopardy?—They were in danger, certainly, at that
- 1279. And you are also of opinion that there was but one feeling upon the subject among the community at Canton?—Certainly, there was a general feeling; I will not say with everybody, but there was a general feeling that they were in a state of great jeopardy.

1280. Chairman.] You were understood to state that the sense of insecurity and danger was stronger amongst those that had recently arrived than amongst the

older residents?—It was.

- 1281. Sir G. Grey.] Are not the older residents amongst the parties whose signatures are attached to that memorial?—Yes, they are.
 - 1282. Some of the oldest residents at Canton?—No, not some of the oldest.
- 1283. Is not the house of Dent & Co. one of the oldest established at Canton?
- 1284. Are those signatures at all limited to parties who had but recently come to Canton?—No; I believe nearly all the houses are there.
- 1285. Do these signatures comprise a fair representation of the British community at Canton?—Yes.
- 1286. Chairman.] In fact, almost all the houses signed it?—Almost all; that of Jardine & Co., I see, is not here.
- 1287. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you of opinion that the lives of the British community, in general, were in danger at the period when Captain Elliot came to Canton? -From what has taken place since, I certainly consider they were in greater danger than'I had at first apprehended.

1288. Are you of opinion that the lives of the British community were in danger at that period?—I should say that they were certainly, from what has taken place

0.59.

1289. Were you of that opinion at the time when Captain Elliot arrived, that the lives of the British community were in danger?—I did not consider that they were at that moment in danger, because, as I said before, I then hoped by negotiation, that by the delivery of 1,000 chests of opium, and the ships being sent away, the matter would be brought to an amicable adjustment.

1290. Mr. Colquhoun.] This memorial was not signed till three months after the

transaction?—It was not signed till the 23d of May.

1291. And, in the evidence you have given before this Committee, you have spoken of what your impression was at the time the transaction took place in the end of March?—Yes.

1292. Sir George Grey.] How long is it now since Captain Elliot arrived at

Canton?—Fourteen months ago.

1293. Is not it probable that your impression as to the state of feeling of the community, at the distance of three months, would be more correct than your impression at the distance of 14 months?—No, I judge from what I have seen since. , - ,

1294. Chairman. м 4

A. Daniell, Esq. 14 May 1849.

1294. : Chairman.] Have you any written statement to put in with regard to the price of opium?—I have a statement of the price at different periods; I have with me the prices of Malwa opium, in China from the 18th of July 1838 to the 25th of November 1838. The highest price of Malwa was 675 dollars, and the lowest price 550; the average, about 595: for Patna for the same period, from the 26th of August 1838 to the 25th of November 1838, the highest price was 607, and the lowest 530.

. How late do you bring it down?—To the 25th of November.

1295. Is that the latest period at which you can give the prices?—That is the latest period.

1296. There were no transactions of any importance subsequently?—No.

1297. Do you know of any at all after that?—I think there was some sold at Macao and Hong Kong about January at about 500, but it was a small

1298. Was that considered a low price?—It was, but there were very few transactions.

1299. When the opium was delivered up, and the whole thing was settled, and when you quitted Canton, how did you manage with regard to any money in dollars that you might have in Canton at the time; did the Chinese allow you to take them away with you?—No; they said we could not take any with us.

1300. How then did you manage?—We managed it by transfers; suppose we owed 100,000 dollars, or whatever it might be, to another house, and that house owed a particular Hong merchant a certain sum of money, we paid it over to him, and we arranged it amongst ourselves.

1301. Mr. Clay.] When did you leave China?—On the 23d of June.

1302. Has your house been engaged in the opium trade since the surrender? Na; a small quantity, about 50 chests, came in, which I believe we disposed of.

1303. At what period was that ?—It was just before I left; it was shipped pre-

vious to the accounts of the surrender reaching Bombay.

1304. To whom was it delivered!—I do not know; it arrived just at the time I was leaving, just the day previous, and of course left to the management of my partner.

1305. Sir William Somerville.] What was the date of your departure from

Macao?—The 23d of June.
1306. Mr. Clay.] Was that small parcel of opium delivered upon a previous contract, a contract made prior to the surrender to Captain Elliot of the 2,000 chests?—No; it was a small quantity sent on by one of our constituents who was not aware of the surrender.

1307. At what price was that sold ?—I do not know.

1308. Was it delivered to parties from on board the receiving ships at Lintin? -I do not know, because it arrived only the day before I left.

1309. You do not know by what means it was introduced into China or into Canton?—It could not have gone to Canton; the port, was closed.

1310. You do not know by what means it was introduced into any other port of China?—I imagine it was introduced in the way in which it has usually been before, by vessels upon the coast.

1311. Sir William Somerville.] The opium was on board the vessel in which it

had arrived when you came away?—Yes. There get a see that the 1312. Mr. Clay.] Have you any knowledge of the trade in opium, which has been carried on since the surrender of the opium by Captain Elliot?—No; nothing more than by report.

thing more than by report.

1313. You yourself, or your house, have had no trade in opium since, except this one transaction to which you have referred?—No other.

1314. Chairman.] That originated before the news was known in India?—Yes,

it originated long before that.

1315. Do you believe, from the means of information open to you, that there has been a considerable trade in opium carried on since the period of the surrender? There has been a good deal. There were noticed with and the set with

1316. Mr. Gladstone.] Was the guarantee demanded by the foreign community, in answer to the requisition of the Commissioner, that Mr. Dent should go of his return within 24 hours. The man and the safe return? 1317. Who composed the meeting that demanded that guarantee; did it consist exclusively 1. 30 cont

exclusively of the partners in houses interested in the opium traffic; or did it consist of the whole foreign community generally?—The foreign community generally.

A. Daniell, Esq. 14 May 1840.

1317*. It was attended by others who were not partners in houses as freely as by those who were?—Yes; it was a public meeting; it was at Mr. Dent's house where the question was put; everybody had ingress and egress.

1318. It was thereby broadly distinguished from the meetings of the Chamber of Commerce?—So far as that it was not held at the Chamber; I was not present at the meeting of the Chamber on the morning of Saturday; they then adjourned to Mr. Dent's house, where the question was put whether Mr. Dent should go into the city or not; and there was a very large assembly of all the community.

1319. Would a meeting of the Chamber of Commerce include any other persons than partners in firms?—Yes; a public meeting of the Chamber does.

1320. Are there any members of the Chamber of Commerce besides merchants? —No, none; the Chamber of Commerce summoned a public meeting.

1321. Were those members of the foreign community who were not interested in opium as much parties to the decision, that Mr. Dent should not go into the city, as those members of the foreign community who were interested in opium?—Yes.

1322. Mr. Brownigg.] At the time this resolution was come to, at a meeting of the whole community, not to permit Mr. Dent to comply with the demand of the Commissioner to attend in the city, were any of the Chinese officers present, or any of the Hong merchants?—They were in a separate room below stairs.

1323. But the decision of the community not to permit Mr. Dent to comply with the requisition of the Commissioner was communicated to them?—Mr. Dent, I think, went down and told them.

1324. And that was the reason assigned for Mr. Dent not complying with the requisition, that he would not be permitted to do so by the community at large, unless certain conditions were complied with?—Yes.

1325. Were there any Chinese officers present besides the Hong merchants?— I think a Wei Yuen and the Namhoy was present on one occasion, and it was communicated to them.

1326. And of course it would have been communicated to the Commissioner that that was the reason assigned why Mr. Dent was not allowed to come into the city?—Yes; the Kwang-chow-foo was waiting at the Consoo-house for the result.

1327. Sir Charles Lemon.] What is the office of the Kwang-chow-foo?—He is

the Lord Mayor of the city of Canton.

1328. Mr. Hogg.] Captain Elliot gave orders or acknowledgments to the mer chants who delivered up the opium, specifying the quantity, and the price was afterwards settled?—It was left to be adjusted.

1329. Was it not subsequently adjusted?—No.

1330. Were any of those orders sold in Canton, or otherwise, to your knowledge, before you left China, and if so, at what price?—Captain Elliot's receipts were issued the morning I came away, I think, or the day before.

1331. They had not been issued sufficiently long to admit of any sale taking place before you left China?—No; I think they were issued the day before I came

away.

1332. Mr. Brownrigg.] Have you heard of any negotiation of those which are commonly called opium scrip?—No.

1333. Mr. Hogg.] Do you know of any sales having taken place of them in London?—No, I am not aware of any.

1334. Sir Charles Lemon.] Do you know what has been done with the opium that was surrendered?—Merely from report; it is said that it has been destroyed.

1335. It is the general opinion that it was destroyed?—Yes.

William Jardine, Esq., called in; and Examined.

1336. Chairman.] YOU were a great number of years resident at Canton?—Yes; I resided there from 1820 to 1839, except a few months, and I was backwards and forwards from 1802 to 1818.

1337. You were the principal partner in the house of Jardine, Matheson & Co.?—I was.

1338. When did you quit Canton?—The last day of January 1839.

1339. You, of course, are only cognizant by reports subsequently of what passed

W. Jardine, Esq.

W. Jardine, Esq. 14. May 1840.

passed in the month of March?—I know nothing but by report of what passed after January.

1340. You are of course aware by report of all that did occur then with respect to the conduct of the Chinese authorities?—Yes, as far as letters from China go and public documents; I have read most of them.

1341. Do you conceive that the conduct of the Chinese government during the years in which you were resident in China was at all corresponding with the line of conduct adopted in March 1839, or was any warning to the European residents of what they might then expect?—No one who had resided in China any number of years could have expected that Commissioner Lin would have acted as he did from anything that had passed previously.

1342. Do you mean by that that the sincerity which he evinced in putting down the opium trade was totally at variance with the previous conduct of the agents of the Chinese government?—Totally at variance with the conduct of the different

governors and commissioners of customs during the time I was there.

1343. You draw a distinction between the general government and their agents in Canton?—I know nothing of the general government, and nothing of the imperial edicts, except from having seen them. I never saw them acted upon in any

1344. Your house was very largely engaged in the importation of opium?—Yes, we had large consignments of opium.

1345. You had also opium occasionally on your own account?—We had a few

hundred chests annually; 200 or 300.

1346. Can you give the Committee any information as to the price of opium at Bombay and at Calcutta during the year preceding your departure from China?— The Committee may get more accurate advice from the price currents than I can give them; but, from the best of my recollection, it began in Bombay at about 900 rupees and went up to 1,200, and some was sold as high as 1,350, and then they went back again, as the reports from China became less favourable.

1347. That was the spring of 1838?—Yes.

- 1348. Can you state what the prices were in Calcutta?—In Calcutta the Company's sales are recorded, and there is no difficulty in getting them; the highest and lowest prices of the sales are given, and the average struck by their own servants.
- 1349. Shall you be able to put in those price-currents?—I will furnish them to the Committee.
- 1350. Will you have the goodness to prepare and put in an account of the cost of a chest of Malwa opium and of Bengal opium, as nearly as you can?—I will
- 1351. Are you able to give the Committee the actual cost to your house of the opium which was surrendered to Captain Elliot at Canton ?-I believe I can with respect to a good deal of it. I saw a document the other day which states the price of what was purchased in China as well as several consignments from Bombay and Calcutta in the year 1838, which were still on hand, and surrendered to Captain Elliot; part of it had been sold for delivery on the coast, and the vessels

were called back from delivering it, to give it up to Captain Elliot.

1352. Can you state what was the price of opium in China at the time of your quitting Canton, or shortly before?—It had been fluctuating between 500 and 650; but the sales were chiefly made for delivery in the Straits of Namo, and off the port of Amoy. There were very few deliveries in Canton at the time.

1353. The trade was in a state of stagnation?—In consequence of a severe edict coming from the Emperor to the Viceroy, he was at the time endeavouring

if he could to put a stop to the trade just before I left.

1354. What made him take that measure?—The junks that went to the port of Tienshan were ordered to be searched by the Emperor; and they were searched, and a quantity of opium found on board each of them. A severe edict was issued by the Emperor, blaming the governor of Canton for neglect of duty, and threatening him with making him personally responsible in the event of the opium ships not leaving the anchorage outside. He then issued an edict to search the Hongs in Canton that had supplied opium to those junks, and took every measure that he possibly could to put an end to it in consequence of the imperial order that had reached him at that time.

1355. That of course was before the arrival of Commissioner Lin?—A long

while before his arrival.

1356. Before

1356. Before any idea of his coming was entertained?—We had heard nothing w. Jardine, Esq. about him then.

14 May 1840.

1357. Was he expected when you left China?—He was expected to arrive about 10 days after I left China; and it is now generally believed that he was incog. in the vicinity for some time, endeavouring to get information before he commenced operations publicly.

1358. You have been in Canton at various periods when there have been differences existing between the foreign community and the Chinese authorities?

A great many.

1359. Was there any violence ever used towards the European or foreign residents by the Chinese on any of those occasions?—Not by the government; I have seen violence occasionally by the mob, or by a soldier on duty, but to a trifling

extent, and generally very much provoked by the Europeans themselves.

1360. Would your experience on former occasions, when there have been restrictive measures used by the Chinese authorities towards the foreign residents, have led you to think that there was any danger to life or property when the edict was issued by Commissioner Lin requiring the delivery of the opium?—Certainly

1361. If you had been there you would not have entertained any fear either for your life or your property?—Feeling as I do now, I certainly should not.

1362. Subsequent events, and the severity of the measures which have been since taken, do not modify your opinion?—Not in the slightest degree; I conceive that if there had been more resistance, the measures would have been less severe than they were.

1363. Are you aware of the address to Lord Palmerston, signed by the foreign merchants at Canton on the 23d of May 1839?—I have read it in the newspapers;

I had a copy of it sent me.

1364. Do you know the reason why the house of which you are a member did not sign it?—The reason is assigned in one of the letters I have got; but I do not at this moment recollect exactly what it was; it was owing to the way in which it was worded; there was a part of it which they thought unnecessary; I believe it was about the personal fear they were in, or something to that effect; but I can refer to the letter, and state it at the next meeting of the Committee.

1365. Do you imagine, that if more firmness had been shown, the Chinese would have come to terms with the European residents with respect to the opium? -I think they would have tried to purchase a certain quantity of it through the merchants, to satisfy the Emperor, and let the ships go away with what remained.

1366. You do not think that they would have deviated from the practice which they have heretofore pursued of treating the foreigners with fairness, if they had been left to themselves?—I should have had no apprehension whatever about their taking my property from me, without paying me for it. The only difficulty would have been in fixing the price; they would have tried to get it as cheap as they could; but I should not have had the slightest apprehension about their not paying me for anything they forced me to give up.

1367. Sir George Grey.] You mean that you do not think that even at that time the Chinese authorities at Canton were in earnest in the intention of putting down the opium trade altogether?—I think they were in earnest about putting down the opium trade; but they would not have adopted these means to put it down, if they

had not found the Europeans yielding.

1368. You think they would have bought up the opium :- They would have bought up a certain quantity, sufficient to save Lin's credit with the Emperor.

1369. What would have become of the rest?—It would have been sent away;

it was not in their power.

1370. You think they would have prevented a further trade in opium taking place within the Bocca Tigris?—They would just have acted as they have now. The trade inside the Bocca Tigris has existed for months. The general belief amongst the Chinese was, that it was a subterfuge of the Viceroy's. When he sent away the vessels from Hong Kong, they came back again; and the next Imperial edict told him that they had come back again; that it was through his neglect; and that he must be responsible if ever they came back again; and then, seeing that the attention of the Emperor was turned to the outside anchorage, he encouraged, or winked at the boats bringing it up to Whampoa, where it had been carried on till 1821.

1371. Mr. Elliot.] You found your opinion as to the probability of their not

14 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. pursuing more violent measures than you now imagine would have been the case, upon your former knowledge of the Chinese ?-Yes, the question was so put.

1372. But you have never known any proceedings on the part of the Chinese. such as have been adopted by Commissioner Lin, in any of your previous experience?—Never.

1373. Do not you think it is rather difficult to draw a correct inference from former experience as to what Commissioner Lin would have done ?- I should have drawn a very incorrect inference, as it has turned out.

1374. Then you do not mean to say that it is the inference you now draw? It is the inference that I should, then have drawn, but experience has taught us differently, because we have seen the result; it is not drawing an inference now, but a conclusion.

1375. Mr. Colquhoun.] Is not it possible that Commissioner Lin may have been encouraged to his late proceedings by the absence of resistance on the part of Europeans?—I have no doubt of it, and also by our own representative offering

to aid him in putting down the opium trade.

1376. Do not you think that on former occasions, had the supercargoes met the demands of the Chinese by similar weakness, they might have been incited to as violent proceedings as those which Commissioner Lin took?—No, I do not think there was any Viceroy that had the same power as Lin had.

1377. Do you recollect the Europeans ever showing the same weakness?—No.

I do not think they ever did whilst I was there.

1378. They never were so totally without force?—They never parted with property, or acted with so little general spirit, as they appear to have done upon this occasion, from the time that Captain Elliot joined them.

1379. They never were so unprotected by force?—Yes, they have often been

as little protected there, as to force.

1380. Had not the East India Company's ships always a considerable force in sailors and guns?—No; the East India Company's ships arrived generally in September, October or November, and left again in January or February, and through the rest of the year we were without any force.

1381. But during that period of the year there were no dealings?—Yes; ever since the trade was opened there have been dealings all the year round, particularly since 1834. I have been there for years and years, and there is one gentle-

man in Canton that never was away from Canton for 18 years.

1382. Sir George Grey.] Do you ever remember a similar demand made upon the supercargoes of the East India Company for the delivering up of the

whole of the opium belonging to the British at Canton?—No.

1383. Mr. Colquhoun.] Could such a demand be made, inasmuch as they disclaimed all participation in opium?—I do not see how they could disclaim it, They disclaimed their vessels because they used to advance money upon it. having any opium on board, and when other vessels were obliged to give a bond to the government that they would not bring in opium, they refused to give it for their vessels.

1384. There was an ostensible distinction ?—Yes; there was that distinction.

1385. Mr. Elliot.] You say that there never was so much weakness shown on the part of Europeans at any previous period; can you name any previous period when the European community at Canton were placed in a similar position?—The time when Lord Napier was there was the most like, when we were imprisoned, and his Lordship's provisions taken away, while they threatened to stop ours if we supplied him.

1386. Do you consider that to have been an analogous case to the position in which the community were placed at that period when Lin was Commissioner at Canton?—I am aware that Lin had more authority than any person that had ever

been at Canton before, and therefore the cases are not analogous.

1387. Do not you think that he was more determined to carry that authority

into execution?—Yes, I think he was.

1388. Does not that make a serious difference between the period which is now under consideration, and the previous periods of time to which you have referred?—That will not alter the circumstance of foreigners protecting their own property on former occasions, and on this occasion giving it up.

1389. Mr. Clay.] Was not there this difference, that Lord Napier had a force under his command, and brought that force within the Bocca Tigris?—He did, rultimately; but the communication, was cut off, so that the force could afford him no assistance; they got as far as Whampoa, where there were vessels sunk across W. Jardine, Esq. the river, and they could get no further.

1390. Sir George Grey.] And Lord Napier was obliged to order the force back again?-Lord Napier was obliged to order the force back again.

14 May 1840.

1391. Mr. Colquhoun.] Do you conceive that that force had any effect upon the Chinese government?—The result proves that it had none; they continued to do the same, till Lord Napier's ill health made it necessary for the surgeon to interfere; and the pass for Lord Napier was got at the request of the surgeon and myself.

1392. Chairman.] Have you ever had any communication with the Board of Salt and Opium in Calcutta, upon the subject of opium?—Yes; we have had musters of opium sent on to us in small quantities, packed in different ways, with a request that we would sell it and ascertain the kind of package that suited the Chinese market best, and report on the same to the Board.

1393. Mr. Hogg.] By whom was that communication signed?—I forget the gentleman's name who signed it; it was the same party who signed the correspond-

ence from the Board; the secretary.

1394. Mr. Brownrigg.] But it was expressly by the authority of the Board?— By the authority of the Board, and I believe, emanating from the Supreme Government.

1395. Sir G. Grey.] Had you been engaged in the opium trade during the whole of your residence at Canton?—From 1818.

1396. Up to the time of your leaving Canton?—Up to the time of my leaving

1397. During that period was there ever any serious interruption of the opium trade; and if so, for how long did it last?—I do not know that ever the trade was entirely interrupted during the whole time; there have been great difficulties thrown in the way at different periods. The time when the interruption lasted longest was in 1821, when the vessels were expelled from Whampoa.

1398. How long did the interruption last at that period?—I suppose for two or three months; there was not much business done, but there was still some.

1399. Were the receiving ships sent away upon that occasion?—They were sent away from Whampoa on that occasion.

1400. But not from Lintin?—There were none at Lintin then, they were all at Whampoa; and they used to have one or two vessels into which they could tranship, and those receiving vessels had a custom-house officer at each gangway, who received certain fees for removing it out of the other ship into those ships.

1401. Did the receiving ships that were sent away in 1821 take any opium away with them, or was the opium delivered up to the Chinese or seized by the Chinese?—All that they had they took away.

1402. No seizure took place of opium on the part of the Chinese on that occasion?—No; the Chinese threatened to search them, but that being considered contrary to the laws of the port, they armed themselves for resistance.

1403. Mr. Colquhoun.] What force had they?—The Indiamen were all there at that time; they had probably 150 or 160 men among them; I mean the opium vessels.

1404. And they had guns?—Yes.

1405. Mr. Elliot.] Did the Indiamen themselves bring opium from Bengal?— Yes, decidedly; there is no question about it.

1406. Mr. Brownrigg.] Not on the part of the East India Company?—No.

1407. Mr. Hogg.] Do you mean that what were called the Company's ships, carried opium?—Yes; not commonly, but they did carry it. In fact there was a correspondence took place between the commanders of the Company's ships and the Government of Bombay, pointing out the inutility of forcing them to do it in country ships when they could do it at less expense in their own ships.

1408. Are you aware that it was prohibited by the Supreme Government, and that dismissal from the service was the penalty annexed in the case of any Company's commander carrying opium in any Company's ship?—I am perfectly aware of those regulations, and I dare say you are aware that Turkey opium has been seized when they were carrying it from the island of Madeira before now, and the person not dismissed the service; that has happened more than once.

1409. Do you speak of opium being conveyed by the Company's officers on board the Company's ships as a rare and occasional occurrence, or do you speak

of it as a usual practice?—As an occasional occurrence.

1410. And

W. Jardine, Esq.
14 May 1840.

1410. And as an exception from the general rule?—Yes, I should say it was an exception from the general rule; but the question put to me was, whether they ever carried it, and I say yes, and I can prove it.

1411. Did you mean to say that you can prove particular instances?—Yes, many captains of Indiamen never bought a chest of opium in their life, but that

was because they had not a turn for the speculation,

- 1412. With respect to those captains of Indiamen who did buy opium and ship it to China, was it not the universal practice, with partial exceptions, for those captains to ship the opium so bought in country ships, and not in Company's ships?—In Calcutta they generally did; but there was a petition went in from the captains of ships to the government of Bombay, pointing out the absurdity of not allowing them to carry it and deliver it at Lintin, and proposing that a certificate from the opium receiving-ships at Lintin should be considered a sufficient discharge to them, as not having taken it to Whampoa, and consequently as not having infringed any agreement that the Company's supercargoes had entered into with the authorities at Canton.
- 1413. You speak of a petition having been presented to the Bombay government by the Company's officers; do you mean to state that the Bombay government passed an order, acceding to the prayer of that petition?—I believe they did, but I will not answer that positively.

1414. Can you state about the date of that petition?—It was during the time

that the Company sold Malwa opium in Bombay.

1415. Can you state about the year?—Between 1826 and 1830.

- 1416. Have you ever known a commander of a Company's ship carry opium in his own ship from Calcutta?—I do not know that I have, but I have been in a ship that has taken it from this country to China, opium bought in the Company's sale-room here.
- 1417. With respect to the transmission of opium purchased by the Company's officers from Bombay, do you mean to say that it was a general usage for them to carry opium in the Company's ships?—I think it was more so from Bombay than from Calcutta; but there were many that never had any.
- 1418. You said that you had never known an instance from Calcutta, but that you have known an instance from Bombay?—I think I have; I am not sure whether Captain Larkins did not command one ship that did so.

1419. From Bombay, do you speak only to particular instances, or do you

speak of it as a general usage?—Particular instances.

1420. You have stated that you believe the Bombay government acceded to the prayer of the Company's officers; subsequently to that period did it become a general usage to carry opium in Company's ships?—I do not think it did, because very few of the Company's officers dealt in it.

1421. But the few that did, you believe carried the opium in their own ships subsequently to that petition?—Some of them did, some might not do so; of that

I am not certain.

- 1422. If the Bombay government had given them permission to carry the opium in their own ships, would they have any object in shipping it in country ships?—None whatever; on the contrary, they would save about 10 dollars a chest by taking it in their own ships.
- 1423. Chairman.] Was the existence of the opium trade, as carried on by mercantile houses in Canton, matter of perfect notoriety to the authorities in Canton?—Perfectly; they knew us all by name; and they had published lists, over and over again, of those houses that dealt in opium; there were very few exceptions.

1424. Sir G. Grey.] After the interruption in 1821, how soon did the opium trade resume its usual course?—In the course of a very few months; the shipping

lay at Lintin, and the deliveries went on there.

1425. Did things go on in that way from 1821 till the late interruption?—Yes, shifting from one place to another; sometimes Lintin, and sometimes Khamsingmoon, sometimes Capsingmoon, and latterly Hong Kong.

1426. Mr. Colquhoun.] Did that shifting arise from interruptions?—Yes, from edicts issued against the vessels lying in certain parts; it generally originated from the censors, who report to the Emperor the transactions in the provinces; and when it was reported that certain ships were lying at a certain anchorage, the Viceroy ordered them away from that anchorage.

1427. Was

1427. Was that order enforced, or did they avoid the further enforcement of it W. Jardine, Esq. by obeying it?—They obeyed it.

1428. When they thus occupied those anchorages, were there custom-house

14 May 1840.

officers placed upon deck?—There never were custom-house officers outside. 1429. Is that because the custom-house department does not reach beyond the Bocca Tigris?—Yes; it does not reach so far.

1430. Are the outside waters within another Chinese department?—Entirely;

the Hong merchants have nothing to do with the trade outside.

1431. Mr. Elliot.] During the time you were in China, generally speaking, was there greater difficulty in landing opium and disposing of it in China than there was in landing any other goods?—It was landed upon a different principle; it was by far the safest trade in China, because you got your money before you gave your order. Whatever the difficulty was in landing it afterwards, you had nothing to do with it. When the cash-keeper reported so much cash paid into the treasury, you gave an order for as much opinm as the man wanted, and then you had done with it; it was his affair after that.

1432. Was it not, in fact, as open a trade to public view nearly as any other trade that was going on within the river?—So perfectly open that all the world knew it; they would point out the opium boats, which were constantly going past

in daylight in front of the town.

1433. Was it possible that that trade could have gone on upon this footing without its being publicly known to the highest authorities in Canton?—Quite impossible.

1434. Do you think it possible that it could have gone on without its having reached the highest authorities in Pekin?—We know that it reached them again and again, because their own edicts proved that to us.

1435. Mr. Colquhoun.] Do those edicts always condemn it?—Yes.
1436. There is no instance of an imperial edict sanctioning the trade?—Never;

it was expected in 1826 that they would sanction it.

1437. Chairman.] Was a notice given to you by the Hong merchants, at that time, that the government were about to sanction it?—It was not exactly a regular notice by the Hong merchants to us; but they gave us information, in the course of conversation, that they had every reason to believe, from their advices from Pekin, that the Emperor intended legalizing the trade, and levying a small duty upon it.

1438. Sir George Grey.] When you speak of the trade having been carried on with the knowledge of the authorities at Canton, do you, by the word "knowledge," imply connivance?—Perhaps I may answer that question by saying, that the Viceroy had four boats that carried opium with his flag flying upon them.

1439. Are you aware that the supreme authorities at Pekin also connived at the trade?—I scarcely know how to answer that question; the repeated edicts against it, without taking any severe measures to put it down, I think prove that they did.

1440. Had they the power of taking measures to put it down, if they had been disposed to do so?—They have succeeded now in doing so, to a great extent, at Canton, not on the coast.

1441. Do you believe, at any former period during your residence in China, the imperial authorities might have adopted the same measures which they have recently adopted, and with the same result?—No, I do not think the result would have been the same, because there would have been no one who would have had authority to interfere with the property; and it could not have been given up as it has been now.

1442. Do you believe at any former period the imperial authorities could have effectually driven away the trade from Canton?—They drove it away from

Whampoa in 1821.

1443. Do you mean that the imperial authorities might, in addition to the edicts, have adopted measures which would have crippled the trade to a great extent, whereas instead of doing that, they issued edicts without putting them in force?—They issued edicts without putting them in force; and whenever they did put them in force, they crippled the trade to a certain extent, but they seldom remained in earnest more than two or three weeks.

1444. You judge that they did not remain in earnest, because they abandoned the measures which were within their reach, and which to a certain extent crippled

the trade?—Yes.

1445. Mr. Colquhoun.] Might not that have happened, owing to the imperial authorities W. Jardine, Esq. 14 May 1840. authorities being deceived?—We know from their edicts that they were not deceived; they might have been deceived as to the extent, but not as to anything else, because they describe the kind of boats that carry it on, and the name of the people that deal in it, and everything connected with it, except the extent; they tell you even the number of ships there were at Lintin, though many of those were ships carrying cargoes in place of opium ships.

1446. Then they enumerated those before issuing the edict to put them down?

—They embody them in the edict.

1447. Then whenever this information reached the imperial authorities, it appears to have been followed by edicts professing to extinguish the trade?—Yes.

1448. They could only enforce those edicts by means of the provincial authorities?—Yes.

1449. And their only information as to the enforcement of those edicts must have been derived from the provincial authorities who, it appears, were interested in the trade?—There are also censors, a set of officers who exist all over China, who have the power of reporting what they please, whether true or false, and no punishment can ensue.

1450. Does the censor come from Pekin to Canton, and remain there a certain

period?—They are moved about in all directions.

1451. How many years does the same censor remain?—I do not think there is any fixed period.

1452. Ordinarily how long?—It is impossible to answer the question, for their

names are not given, and they are not generally known.

1453. Sir George Grey.] Do they make their report direct to the imperial authority, or through the local authorities at Canton?—Direct.

1454. Mr. Hogg.] Have you read the minutes of different Members of the

Privy Council upon the discussion as to legalizing the opium?—I have.

1455. Do not you think it is apparent from those minutes, that the opium trade generally in its details must have been known to the court at Pekin?—No doubt about it; their own edicts explain the trade completely, and establish that point.

1456. Mr. Elliot.] During the period you were in China, do not you think that the Emperor of China must have had information as to the foreigners who dealt in

opium at Canton?—No doubt of it.

1457. Do not you believe that the discovery that a large quantity of bullion was leaving the country, produced in the Chinese government the determination to act upon a different principle from what they had acted upon previously?—They say so in one of their edicts distinctly.

1458. Chairman.] In one of those reports to the Emperor, was not it stated that many thousands of chests of opium were annually produced in China itself?—The party making the report enumerates six provinces where it is grown; and he states that he is not aware what is the quantity grown in the other five; but that in the one of which he is a native, thousands of chests are produced annually.

1459. Have you ever heard of any attempts made by the Chinese government to put down the growth of opium in China?—Frequently; in former days there

used to be translations of the Pekin Gazette to that effect.

1460. Sir Gearge Grey.] To what motive do you attribute the frequent issuing of those edicts by the imperial authorities if they did not seriously mean to enforce them?—The local authorities were obliged to issue them, because they came from the Emperor.

1461. Why should the Emperor affect an intention of stopping the trade, if he did not mean to do so?—In everything they do they appear to keep up the theory more than the practice; the theory must be perfect, whatever the practice may be.

1462. Then the imperial edicts never created an impression, on the part of the foreign community, that it was the intention of the Emperor to suppress the trade?

-No, never.

1463. Mr. Hogg.] Before reading the discussions at Pekin about the suppression of the trade, did you know that opium was extensively grown in China?—Yes, in consequence of having seen it in the Pekin Gazette, when it used to be translated for the Company, that authorities had been sent out to destroy the poppy in the provinces in which it is grown.

Lunæ, 18° die Mari, 1840.

MEMBERS PRESENT.

Mr. C. Buller.
Mr. Edward Buller.
Mr. Brownrigg.
Mr. Clay.
Mr. Colquhoun.
Mr. Elliot.
Mr. Gladstone.
Sir George Grey.

Mr. Herbert.
Mr. Hogg.
Sir Robert Inglis.
Sir Charles Lemon,
Mr. Parker.
Mr. John Abel Smith.
Mr. Strutt.

JOHN ABEL SMITH, Esq. in the Chair.

William Jardine, Esq. called in; and further Examined.

1464. Chairman.] YOU were requested, in your former examination, to put in some statements of the cost of opium in Canton, both of Malwa and of Bengal opium, and also the price which some of the opium actually cost your house, which was delivered to Captain Elliot; have you prepared the statement?—I have prepared several statements, which I will present to the Committee.

IV. Jardine, Esq.
18 May 1840.

1465. You now deliver in two invoices, one of Malwa and one of Bengal opium, delivered up to Captain Elliot, in consequence of his demand, by Jardine, Matheson & Co.?—I do.

1466. You also put in a statement of purchases of Patna opium, made by Jardine, Matheson & Co., from August to November 1838?—Yes.

1467. And the same with regard to Malwa opium purchases, made by Jardine, Matheson & Co., from July to November 1838?—Yes.

[The Witness delivered in the same.]

Vide Appendix.

1468. Was any opium delivered up to Captain Elliot which had been previously sold by your house?—A good deal.

1469. Do you know the circumstances attending that transaction?—It was sold at Canton, to be delivered on the coast at a certain price, the party paying bargain money sufficient to pay the expenses of insurance and ship hire for a couple of months; they then paid for it, and took it away on the coast; it was paid for first, and taken away afterwards.

1470. And orders were given to deliver it up with the rest?—A vessel was sent up to call the vessels on the coast back to Canton, to deliver up all that they had to Captain Elliot.

1471. Was any opium actually sold, during the passage of the ships, on their return from the coast, for the purpose of delivering up the opium?—Yes; one of the captains, Captain Jauncey, sold several chests (I do not know exactly how many), at 750 dollars a chest, in the straits of Namo, on his way down from Amov.

1472. Was he aware then that the whole was to be delivered up?—He was merely recalled without its being expressed what the object was. The order was sent to the senior officer, (he was the second) to come himself, and bring all the opium ships under his orders with him.

1473. On your last examination you referred to the Imperial edicts against the trade in opium, and to the disregard with which they were treated; were there any other edicts, regarding the trade with foreigners, which were also disregarded? The edicts, in general, were disregarded. All the edicts respecting sysee silver were on the same footing as those respecting opium; there was no attention paid to them; it was the interest and advantage of the authorities so to treat them. They were obliged to publish them, because they were sent down to them for publication; but they never had them translated or served upon the Europeans at all, further than sending the Hong merchants to state that such an edict was out; and 0.59.

18 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. they used to send us a copy when we applied for it, generally accompanying it with a remark that it would come to nothing, that it would not be acted upon.

- 1474. There was no reason to doubt the sincerity of the government, and their desire to prevent the export of sysee silver?—I believe the Emperor latterly was sincere in preventing the export of it; previously, at Canton, the authorities used to be paid for allowing it to pass.
- 1475. Did all the parties in Canton export sysee silver, when it suited them to do so?—Where they had orders to make remittances in sysee, they did so, when they could get it; it was difficult to get sometimes.
- 1476. Did the East India Company ever send out sysee silver?—The East India Company used to receive sysee silver for one or two seasons, upon the same principle as broken dollars. When the exchange was down at 4s., we remitted in broken coin, and we found that it was affecting the operations in Canton very much, by producing a want of circulating medium; and then it was suggested to the Company's chief supercargo, that if they would receive sysee, and send it home, we would prefer supplying that to the other. They agreed to take it from the principal houses, upon our guaranteeing that it should make as good a return as the broken coin. If there was any advantage in it, they took it; but we were obliged to make up the deficiency, if there was any.
- 1477. Do you mean that if there was any loss you guaranteed them against the loss, and if there was any profit they took it themselves?—Yes.
- 1478. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you mean that they sent sysee silver of the same weight as the broken dollars, and if there was any advantage from the sysee silver being purer than the dollars they got it?—Yes; we had the check in our hands to a certain extent, because we might have valued them at a higher per centage, if we chose, so as to be sure that there would be very little difference in the
- 1479. Chairman. But the sending of sysee silver was recognized by the chief supercargo of the East India Company?—It was; there was an order came out afterwards from the court to prevent their servants so receiving it.
- 1480. Are you of opinion that, upon Captain Elliot's arrival at Canton, the Chinese looked upon that circumstance as altering the whole state of things, and that they considered that he was the authority to whom they ought to refer, and that other persons were relieved of all responsibility by Captain Elliot's arrival?—Captain Elliot, on arriving at Canton, and taking Mr. Dent under his protection, as they called it, or rather under the protection of the British community, for he removed from his own factory and went to the Company's hall, where they were all assembled round him, and Captain Elliot, having come up as their representative, they turned their attention to him in place of Mr. Dent. Finding that they could not get Mr. Dent to go into the city, they were glad of any mode of shifting from their demand, without appearing to be foiled in it.
- 1481. In your opinion they looked upon Captain Elliot's arrival merely as affording them the means of getting out of the difficulty?—Of getting out of the difficulty with Mr. Dent; they had refused to take Mr. Dent. Their whole conduct proved they would not take him, because they sat down in his office, and said they would remain there. If they had wanted to take him they would have laid hold of him; but having insisted upon his going there, and finding themselves defeated in that, it was natural enough to shift from him to the other, without acknowledging a defeat; therefore they let Mr. Dent alone when Captain Elliot
- 1482. Is that consistent with their usual course of policy?—I never knew them acknowledge themselves in the wrong; they generally shift out of it in some lefthanded way of that kind.
- 1483. You were asked upon the former day, supposing the claim for the delivery of the opium had been made by the East India Company instead of the Government, what you would have done; have you anything to add upon that subject?—I think I replied that the circumstances did not exist by which the Company could well have made such a claim; but if they had insisted upon it, and issued their order, we must have complied, because we were under instruc-We lived there by their tolerance, to a certain extent they tions to do so. had the power of sending us away if we did not comply with their orders, and we should not have hesitated much about complying, because the Company must

have

have been responsible; a court of law would have made them so, for the acts of their servants.

W. Jardine, Esq.

18 May 1840.

1484. Then you draw a distinction between the East India Company, against whom you would have a remedy at law, and the Government, against whom you have no remedy?—Yes; we would have been more ready to give it up when we were sure of a remedy by a jury of our countrymen if there was any doubt about it.

1485. Mr. E. Buller.] You stated that the prices at Bombay, during 1838, had been 900 rupees, 1,200 rupees, and 1,350 rupees?—It fluctuated very much that season, according to the reports from China; it was just on the back of the proposal to legalize the trade, and a great deal of that opium was contracted for in Malwa; they have no public opium sales there, as in Calcutta.

1486. But as the price fluctuated between 900 rupees and 1,350 rupees at Bombay, the price at Canton, as you have shown by your invoice account, averaging 594 dollars a chest, the trade that year must have been a losing trade?—There was not a great deal of opium bought as high as 1,500 rupees; not any I think; 1,300 and 1,350 was about the highest that it stood at for any time, and that for a short time only; but it was no uncommon thing for it to be a losing trade.

1487. If purchased, as you have stated, at 1,000 to 1,200 rupees a chest as the average, and sold at Canton at 594 dollars a chest, there would be no profit?—No; on the contrary, a loss. Many did lose; and the East India Company, in one of those years, made an allowance to the purchasers who lost by their Bengal purchases.

1488. The price of 594 dollars a chest was a very low price at Canton, was it not?—I have known it as low as 400; 595 or 600 dollars would be about the average price of late years when it became so abundant.

1489. From what period would you date, when you say of late years?—Probably the last four or five years; from the time the Company gave up selling it and opened the trade, and when it passed through Bombay on paying a duty; it increased from about 5,000 or 6,200 chests to 20,000 or 22,000 chests, and that of course reduced the price in Canton.

1490. Then while the price has been, upon the average, about 600 dollars a chest at Canton, what should you state had been the average price at Bombay?—It is very difficult to say; but I can give all the prices at Bombay if the Committee wish it, from the price-currents, and also the quantities and prices in Canton for several years back. There is a table of them published, which would be more accurate than any information I could give from memory. But it is very difficult to state what was the price at Bombay. It is very easy to state the prices in Calcutta, because the Company's sales regulate it. You have the highest and the lowest given you. The price-currents give it you after every sale in Calcutta. It fluctuates a little afterwards, according to whether the natives that purchased it with the view of re-selling in Calcutta, made a good business of it. Sometimes they gain and sometimes they lose; but when they lose it is late in the season; they do not submit to a loss early in the season.

1491. If the prices fluctuate at Canton, are they more steady at Bombay and Calcutta?—The prices fluctuated at Bombay very much. In Calcutta it was not that the prices were steady, but you always knew them, because it was a public sale. But in Bombay the contracts were made up the country with the Malwarese, and some of them used to be made in October for the ensuing year, so that you had a great variety of prices, sometimes even in the same day, at Bombay. You had the prices as stated in the price-currents, but in general you had no clue whatever to the contracts made up the country by the large purchasers.

1492. Was the trade, in your opinion, as regular a trade, with reference to the risks run and the profits obtained, as any other branch of trade between India and China?—No; I think the prices fluctuated more; it was equally steady and equally certain, but the prices fluctuated more.

1493. Did the prices fluctuate on account of the fluctuations in the supply and demand for opium, or did they fluctuate on account of the proceedings of the Chinese government?—A little of both; the Chinese government used to affect prices every now and then, by being more stringent, and enforcing the regulations; and then they used to relax, and the prices got up a little.

1494. Like any other trade carried on against the laws of the country, it was liable to great fluctuations, according to the increased or relaxed rigour of the enforcement of the laws of China?—Yes, to a certain extent; never to a very 0.59.

18 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. great extent, except in the year 1821, when it fell very low for a time, 30 or 40 dollars was the usual fluctuation from such causes.

1495. Were the fluctuations to that extent as to deter men of regular habits as merchants from engaging in it, regarding it as a gambling transaction?—There were some very rich men engaged in transactions on the Chinese side, and there was scarcely an exception as to the Europeans.

1496. You never heard of any man being deterred from engaging in the trade. either on account of the gambling nature of the trade or from high moral principles?

-Yes, Mr. King professes to do so; that is the only instance I know.

1497. Supposing any man to entertain a moral objection to the trade, was he likely, from the high profits held out to him, to be induced to engage in it?-Mr. King is the only exception I know to the general rule. Mr. Cushing, latterly, has not engaged; but that was from his connexion with Howqua.

1498. Then either the Europeans engaged in it were not aware of the moral objection to the trade, or the profits were so large as to induce them to engage in it notwithstanding?—When the East India Company were growing and selling it, and there was a declaration of the Houses of Lords and Commons, with all the bench of bishops at their back, that it was inexpedient to do it away, I think our

moral scruples need not have been so very great.

1499. Mr. Gladstone.] Did you ever hear of any instance of a person who went out to China, and refused to become a partner in a house of great reputation and wealth in China, on account of his objection to the opium trade?—Yes, I recollect Mr. Matheson coming, back; I am aware of all these things; there are three instances altogether, one American and two Scotchmen; Mr. Matheson and Mr. Robertson; Mr. Robertson had been in the Scotch Church before he went out, and had some scruples of conscience and came home again; and he has since written a pamphlet, in which he defends opium more than he condemns it.

1500. Mr. Colquhoun.] The third case is Mr. King, the American?—Yes. 1501. Sir G. Grey.] What was the nature of the moral objection which those parties entertained against the trade in opium; was it that it was a trade prohibited by the Chinese, and that it was immoral to smuggle; or was the objection founded upon the prejudicial effects produced by the consumption of opium among the Chinese?—If I were to give you Mr. King's opinion, he objected to it upon the broad principle of the demoralizing effect upon the Chinese, and also the immorality of smuggling; but unfortunately he smuggled in everything else except

1502. What was the nature of the objection entertained by the two Scotch gentlemen?—That it was, strictly speaking, an illegal trade; I believe their objection was, that as the imperial edicts were against it, although it was encouraged by all the authorities, yet they did not think it right to engage in it; and they also thought that it was demoralizing to the people, the same as spirits are in this

country.

1503. Are you laware of the existence of edicts equally severe against the propagation of Christianity, and the introduction of religious tracts into China?—Yes.

1504. Are you also aware of the circumstances attending the voyage of the Huron, a vessel freighted by the house of Oliphant & King, and in which Mr. Medhurst made a voyage along the coast for the purpose of introducing religious tracts into China?—Yes, perfectly:

1505. Are you aware of any considerable resistance offered at different points along the coast at which the ship touched, by the Chinese authorities, to the attempt of Mr. Medhurst to introduce religious tracts?—They objected to it at almost every place he went to; his work is published; he states it himself.

1506. Do you know whether, owing to the perseverance of Mr. Medhurst, aided by the curiosity of the Chinese, he was eminently successful, notwithstanding the resistance of the authorities, everywhere in violating the Chinese law, by the introduction of religious tracts into China?—I think he says so; but I think Mr. Gutzlaff circulated more tracts than he did.

1507. But Mr. Medhurst's voyage was subsequent to Mr. Gutzlaff's?it was.

1508. Was not the voyage of the Huron undertaken with that express object, the ship being taken up for the purpose, and under an agreement that the opium trade should be strictly and religiously abstained from?—Yes; he applied to us for a passage, and I told him that he might have one in any one of our vessels going to the coast; but he declined it on account of their having opium on board-

1509. Is

1509. Is there any distinction in kind between the edicts issued by the Chinese W. Jardine, Esq. against the opium trade and those against the introduction of Christianity?—They differ in their form according to the article they are about to probible; but all their edicts are framed upon the same general rule; they were the same 20 years ago as they are now.

18 May 1840.

1510. Is not the punishment of death imposed upon any native Chinese who shall be a party to the propagation of Christianity in China?—I should say not; the law may be so, but in practice it is not so.

1511. Do you recollect that Mr. Medhurst states in his book, that in the year 1810 an edict was issued, imposing the penalty of death upon any party who should embrace the Christian religion?—Yes, I believe there was an edict to that effect published; but he must be a much better authority upon this subject than I am, because I suppose he made himself master of it before he put it in

1512. Did those edicts give rise to an impression on the part of the foreign community, that there was any immorality or impossibility in the propagation of Christianity in China?—Never in the vicinity of Canton to my knowledge. I have heard of massacres of the Christians up the country. There was one soon after

that voyage of the Huron.

1513. Has it had the effect of deterring Europeans from making the attempt? -As far as regards our own people I do not know. It has not the French and other nations, because we have sent several of them along the coast to be landed and sent up to the body of Christians in the interior; but I do not think we have any one there at present belonging to Great Britain, except at Macao and

1514. Chairman. Was not Mr. Medhurst for a great number of years resident in Java?—-He was.

1515. Is not the opium trade carried on there more extensively than almost anywhere clse?—Not more extensively; it is carried on. The Dutch government farm it to a man who pays so much a year to the revenue.

1516. Is the consumption of opium great in Java?—The consumption is great, but not to so great an extent as the same number of people in China consume. They use the Turkey opium chiefly there.

1517. Mr. E. Buller: You stated that the introduction of opium was connived at by all the authorities at Canton, and that the Viceroy had four boats engaged in the trade; will you state at what period that commenced?—I think the Viceroy's boats commenced about the beginning of 1837, or the middle of 1837; and for some time they were employed to put down smuggling. But in order to make it intelligible, it will be necessary to state how the smuggling was carried on. There was one man of large property that used to contract with the government for the safety of all the vessels, and they violated their engagement with him by seizing a vessel returning with sysee silver, after she had delivered the opium. Upon that he threw up his situation as guarantee, and they could not get any man of sufficient character and wealth to engage in it; and then the Viceroy's boats having nothing to put down in the way of smuggling, turned to smuggle themselves, and they did all the carrying business for about 18 months.

1518. At what period in 1837 did that begin?—It did not end till a little before Lin's coming down. They were even engaged in the river trade when it first commenced, which was the last thing done in opium before my leaving, in the

end of 1838, or the beginning of 1839.

1519. Were the Viceroy's boats engaged in the opium trade up to the period when Captain Elliot assisted the Chinese authorities to put down the trade in the river?—Xes, his boats took 150 chests out of a schooner at one time in the

river near Whampoa about that period.

1520. Had they discontinued at that time, or did they still continue?—There was very little done at Canton for many weeks before I left; the trade was chiefly carried on along the coast about Namo and Amoy. It was banished from Canton to a great extent; the Viceroy tells us, in his edict, in reply to Captain Elliot, when he offered to put himself at the head of a Chinese force to drive the English boats out of the river, that he could put a stop to them whenever he pleased, by a single scratch of his pen; a proof that there was no necessity for Captain Elliot's interference.

1521. Mr. Gladstone.] Are the Committee to understand that those boats of the . Viceroy carried on the trade between Lintin and the port of Canton?—Yes;

1522. And 0.59.

W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

- 1522. And that they monopolised that trade for a certain period?—Yes, as far as the Chinese boats were concerned.
- 1523. For the whole 18 months?—I cannot speak to a few months, but for a great many months they did; they took care that no others should interfere with them.
- 1524. Is it not recorded in the papers which have been laid on the table of the House of Commons, that the trade was, to a considerable extent, in the hands of Europeans at that time?—The Europeans were the parties on the one side, and the Viceroy's boats on the other. The Viceroy's boats carried European orders, and received the opium accordingly.
- 1525. Is it not recorded in these papers that the opium was actually carried in boats engaged by Europeans or belonging to Europeans?—For a few months in the river it was, in the schooners.
- 1526. For how many months were boats belonging to Europeans engaged in carrying the opium from Lintin to Canton?—I cannot answer that question exactly; but I suppose as much as five or six months.

1527. And not more?—I should think not much more.

- 1528. At what time did they begin to ply?—They were in the midst of it when the admiral went there, which I think was in the month of August or September.
- 1529. Was it in August or September 1837 that they began to run?—I cannot tell the dates, but it was about two or three months before the admiral arrived.
- 1530. Will you state as nearly as you can, when opium began to be carried in European boats between Lintin and Canton?—It began about the July before the time when the admiral was there.
- 1531. When was the old system of carrying the opium in the native boats given up?—The system of carrying in the native boats still continued even up to the time that I left, but in a very limited degree. After those junks being searched at Tien-shun, and a severe edict coming to the governor upon that, he knocked up his boats then, and left off running them.
- 1532. When was the period in the year 1837 when the recognised system of carrying the opium in the native boats was broken up?—That was at the time I mentioned before, when the head man would no longer become security for them; he broke up his own boats, after they seized his sycee contrary to compact; and when one of our captains offered him 1,000 dollars for the last boat, he said, if he would give him 50,000, he would not let them exist; that he must burn them, to prove that he was in earnest in refusing to continue the contract.
- 1533. From that period to the end of 1838, is it your opinion that the greater part of the opium which was carried between Lintin and Canton was carried in boats belonging to the Viceroy?—Yes, certainly.
- 1534. Nearly the whole of it?—Nearly the whole of it. The Hoppo boats did a little, but not much.
- 1535. Were there not a great many seizures and collisions during that period between the authorities and the boats carrying opium?—There was never any collision of importance; they used to have a sham fight now and then, by way of making it appear that they did their duty,

1536. Were there not very considerable seizures of opium?—I can only recollect one seizure of any great importance, and that was of a hundred and odd chests.

1537. Owing to what circumstances do you think it was that the trade was so

- 1537. Owing to what circumstances do you think it was that the trade was so much depressed as to have become nearly extinct in the latter end of 1838?—I have stated before the searching of the vessels at Tien-shun and the seizure of the opium on board of them, and the edict of the Emperor to the Viceroy at Canton in consequence.
- 1538. When you allude to the searching, do you allude to an event which occurred at one particular time, or to a practice of searching?—At one particular time; it never occurred before.
- 1539. When was that?—The account came to Canton in the end of the year 1838; in fact, it preceded the seizure of the opium from Mr. Innes in the river, and was the cause of all the mischief that was attributed to Mr. Innes's seizure.

1540. That was in December 1838?—I think it was.

- 1541. Then it immediately preceded that seizure?—The account came to Canton about three days before Mr. Innes's seizure, and most people in Canton attributed what ensued to Mr. Innes's seizure; but in fact it had nothing to do with it.
 - 1542. Was not the opium trade in the river nearly brought to a stand-still is

good

good while before that event?—It was attended with more difficulty; but that was W. Jardine, Esq.

the first thing that gave it a thorough check.

1543. To what do you ascribe that increase of difficulty?—I have answered that; it was owing to the severe edict sent by the Emperor to the Viceros, threatening him with making him personally responsible if the vessels did not go away from Lintin for good, and if he did not put a stop to the opium trade.

1544. Did the Viceroy take any measures to put that edict into execution -

He did latterly, about the month of December, when this notice came.

1545. The question refers to an earlier period. You have stated that there had been an increase of difficulty in carrying on the opium trade previous to that time; you were asked what was the cause of the increase of the difficulty, and you now answer by saying, that it was the edict which came in December 1838?— There had been increased difficulties, but not to that very great extent till that edict came; the trade had still gone on, as you will see by the deliveries; on the coast it had gone on uninterruptedly.

1546. Were not the deliveries in all the latter months of 1838 very much restricted?—They were very small at Canton, but very considerable on the coast; there were at least 16 or 18 vessels in the straits of Namo at that time delivering.

1547. But they were very much restricted in Canton river?—Yes, and at

1548. Was that limitation and obstruction in Canton river owing to the Emperor's edicts; or did the Viceroy take any measures to put those edicts in execution in the year 1838 before December?—After it was decided that opium should not be admitted as an article of legal trade, the Viceroy's measures became more stringent, in consequence of his receiving severer orders from Pekin, and they came to a climax at the time I mentioned.

1549. Will you describe those measures, as far as your memory serves you; such as took place before December 1838?—In the first place his own boats were restricted; he no longer openly countenanced his own boats, and the trade was then carried on by mandarin boats, commanded by men of less importance; the deliveries used to go on at various parts in the city; there was one place, which was a large establishment for lepers, where they used to deliver their opium; they converted it into an opium depôt for the inferior mandarins; and it used to be delivered there after the Viceroy's boats had ceased running.

1550. You have said that the Viceroy's boats did not cease running till the end of 1838?—Till towards the end; they ceased running about a month or six weeks before the severe edict of the Viceroy came.

1551. Then, are the Committee to understand, that between the middle of 1837 and the end of 1838 the trade was carried on chiefly in boats belonging to the Viceroy, and partly also in boats belonging to inferior Chinese authorities, and partly also in boats belonging to Europeans?—Not for so long a time as that; the Europeans carrying it on in boats on the river was restricted to five or six months altogether; if these facts are wished for by the Committee, I can extract them, and furnish a statement of them; I can give much more accurate information by that means than from memory.

1552. Will you explain how it happened that the trade came to be considerably restricted in the latter months of 1838, although the Viceroy's boats were running, and although there had been at that time very few seizures of opium?—The Viceroy's boats did not stop in a day, for they went twice a week before, and they came down to going once a week, once a fortnight, and once a month; they were taken off gradually, and the fellows very likely ran latterly for their own behoof without the Viceroy knowing anything about it.

1553. Do you think it was the alarm created by the imperial edicts, and the anticipation of further and more stringent measures, which restricted the carrying in mandarin boats and the carrying in the Viceroy's boats?—No question about it,

1554. Then it was not caused so much by the actual seizures as by the anticipation of future seizures?—No, there were very few seizures, and they were very trifling; eight or ten chests was thought a very large seizure in the river deliveries.

1555. Mr. Colquhoun.] Were there not armed boats at the time belonging to Europeans in the river?—The boats were all armed.

1556. Then it was not a new practice to introduce arms?—No, you cannot go up and down the river with safety unless you have arms; people have often been 04 0.59.

18 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq.
18 May 1840.

robbed between Canton and Lintin, and between Lintin and Macao; you are obliged to have arms to defend yourselves against robbers, or Ladrones.

1557. Then the Europeans in 1838 used boats of the same size, and not more armed than before?—The only difference was, that having more property on board, they had more arms than they would otherwise have had unless when they carried treasure.

1558. The arms being necessary, as a defence, not against the attacks of the government schooners, but against pirates?—We have had no instance of the

government schooners attacking European vessels.

1559. Have not we heard of collisions between government ships and European ships along the coast?—Captain Elliot heard of them; I never did; but I do not consider Captain Elliot's authority very good on that point,

1560. You never heard of collisions between the Chinese government ships and European ships carrying opium to land on the coast?—No, not till after I left; we have had published accounts of such things latterly, but not till after I left.

1561. On what do the published accounts depend; on the authority of Captain

Elliot?—I believe so.

1562. And that authority, when you were in China, you considered not a good one?—In the first place, he had no means of knowing anything upon the subject, unless what they chose to tell him; that trade was in our own hands for four or five years; we had a vessel lying there the whole year round.

1563. And he could only ascertain the state of the trade from the accounts given him by the merchants?—Occasionally, when the captains and officers came

to Canton or Macao, he used to get into conversation with them.

1564. Did the information which the captains and officers gave more fully to you, lead you to believe that there was any collision between the Chinese government ships and the European ships?—I believe not; I believe they saved many lives, but I do not believe they ever took one; they saved many lives from

shipwrecked vessels, boats and junks.

1565. Mr. Gladstone.] Captain Elliot writes, on the 18th of January 1838, at page 253 in the Parliamentary Papers, that the boat of Mr. Just, a British subject, and a watchmaker residing at Canton, had been visited a few evenings before, and three cases of opium taken; are you, then, accurate in saying that the carrying opium in European boats did not begin till a few months before December 1838?—Yes, perfectly accurate, I believe; I cannot speak to a week or a few days, but it began in that season.

1566. You observe that the date of this letter is January the 18th, 11 months before the period to which you have referred?—That is perfectly consistent with what I say; it was in the end of 1838 that the whole affair took place. I will explain what that seizure was: Mr. Just was a watchmaker in Canton; he had got a small schooner that he used to go to Whampoa in; he got into trade with some Chinese, and brought up these three chests of opium for the purpose of putting them into his store to retail them; the trade was not general then.

1567. You consider that the seizure in Mr. Just's boat was an exception?—It was about the first of the season; there were a good many people engaged in it afterwards; but Just and another man, a tavern-keeper, were the only people at

that time, and it was in a very petty way.

1568. For three or four months afterwards, up to June, were there many Europeans engaged in it?—Not many; it began chiefly with the shipping of that season.

1569. Mr. Colquhoun.] When Mr. Just's boat was running and seized, the Viceroy's boat was carrying opium?—Yes.

1570. So that in fact Mr. Just's boat was interfering with the Viceroy's trade?

-Yes, to the extent to which she was doing business.

1571. Mr. Gladstone Captain Elliot writes this on the 20th of April 1838, in page 299 of the Parliamentary Papers: "My Lord, in the course of the last two months the number of English boats employed in the illicit traffic between Lintin and Canton has vastly increased, and the deliveries of opium have frequently been accompanied by conflict of fire-arms between those vessels and the government preventive craft." You consider, then, that Captain Elliot was not accurate in the statement he here makes?—I think he overrates it very much; I do not believe that there was a single life lost, or a single individual wounded.

1572. Do you think there was any considerable number of English boats employed in the traffic at that period, in April?—I should say not more than three

or four boats in April; it was later than that when the boats began to increase materially.

W. Jardine, Esq.
18 May 1840.

1573. That statement of Captain Elliot's, that the number had vastly increased between the 20th of February and the 20th of April, is quite inaccurate?—I do not think it strictly accurate; but there is no means of arriving at accuracy, unless we come to numbers upon this point; if there was only one boat before, and another was added to it, the number was doubled.

1574. Must not Captain Elliot's statement, with respect to the general impression it was intended to convey, have been grossly inaccurate, if you are right in saying that there was a very small number of European boats engaged in the traffic at that time?—Yes. I was on the spot, and Captain Elliot was down at Macao; and I leave the Committee to judge which of us was most likely to know anything about it.

1575. Mr. Colquhoun.] If the increase of boats was, in fact, only from one to three, you never would apply this expression, "the number of English boats has vastly increased"?—I do not know that I would apply it; but it would be a vast increase upon one, being more than double. I do not know what he means by the phrase, "vastly increased." I should be glad to give a list of the boats, as far as I know them.

1576. Sir George Grey.] How many boats had the Viceroy engaged in this carrying trade?—Four.

carrying trade?—Four.

1577. Then an increase of three boats would bear a great proportion to the whole number of vessels engaged in this traffic?—But they were a very different kind of boats. Some of these boats would come up with five chests, or 10 chests, some with 20, some 30; but the Viceroy's boats took 100 or 200 at a time.

1578. Mr. Hogg.] What was the greatest number of European boats ever engaged at any one time?—I think I have seen 16 or 18 lying at one time at Whampoa.

1579. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you aware whether the fact of the Viceroy running boats, for the purpose of carrying opium, was ever brought to the knowledge of Captain Elliot?—He could not help knowing it.

1580. Was it an undoubted and notorious fact, or was it doubted, and by some disputed?—It was so well known, that every Chinaman would point his finger to the flag, and tell you, "That is the Viceroy's boat."

which, you say, the Viceroy's boats were carrying opium?—To the greater part of the time; I cannot speak accurately as to the time; but very soon after the seizure of the sysee silver, contrary to the compact, the Viceroy's boats began to ply for themselves. They negotiated for some time, to try to get some one else to put himself in the situation of the man who retired from being guarantee, but failed.

1582. Mr. E. Buller.] Who negotiated?—The underlings of the Chinese authorities; the military aid-de-camp to the Viceroy was the chief person.

1583. Mr. Gladstone.] And the Viceroy's boats continued to be engaged in it till near the time of the affair of Mr. Innes?—Yes.

1584. Did you ever hear a statement that the Viceroy's boats were allowed to run by order of government, in order to lead to the discovery of the parties engaged in the opium trade?—No; nor would I believe it if I heard it, because they had the names of all of them before that; they had a list of all the parties connected with it long before then.

1585. You think it was done by the Viceroy in defiance of the imperial edict?—I believe the Viceroy did it for the sake of gain; a vast number of people in Canton said that his boats used to pay him 13,000 taels each per month.

1586. What is a tael?—Six shillings and eight-pence.

1587. You entirely discredit the notion that it was done by the Viceroy under an arrangement with the imperial government?—That I do not know; I should think not; I should hope the Emperor would not be so bad as to issue these edicts, and at the same time to enter into a compact with the Viceroy to break them; but it is generally believed that part of the money went to the imperial treasury in the shape of presents.

of presents, purporting to be levies upon opium, or the fruits of the opium traffic?

That nobody knows; it is believed that all the viceroys bribe, for the purpose of being kept in their places, and that that was the readiest way in which he could make money with which to bribe

0.59. P 1589. Then

W. Jardine, Esq.
18 May 1840.

1589. Then the general belief does not include the supposition that the money paid in presents to the Emperor was paid as being part of the proceeds of the opium traffic?—No, I do not believe that any European ever presumed to form an

opinion upon that subject.

1500. It appears that there were very few measures taken by the provincial authorities for the suppression or limitation of the opium trade before the month of December 1838?—At different times they have had an opium broker seized, and one or two, I think, were banished to the cold country, or banished from Canton. During the 20 years I was there there was, every two or three years, an opium broker seized; one man was tortured and put to death at Macao, and another man was executed; but those were in such times as 1821, when they were driving the opium from the river; and, in fact, whenever one of the censors sent up a report to the Emperor against the dealing in opium in Canton, the measures became severe, but in a short time they relaxed again.

1591. Was there any native put to death for dealing in opium in 1821?—I do not know that it was in 1821; a few years after that I think there was a man put

to death at Macao.

1592. Can you state about the year?—I am afraid to state what year it was in; but it is very well known.

1593. Can you state that it was certainly 10 years ago?—Certainly, it was more

than 10 years ago that an instance of that kind occurred.

1594. Mr. Colquhoun.] Were not there very vigorous measures taken between 1821 and 1823 by Governor Yuen?—That was at the time the vessels were sent away from Whampoa: but even upon that occasion the Hong merchants, who were the only medium of communication with us, came to us to say, "If you send the vessels outside, that is all that is required; they may carry on their trade quietly, only they must not come in to Whampoa."

1595. Had the Governor at that time the power to stop the trade, if he had been so minded?—I suppose his power must have been pretty much the same as it was latterly; he has not been able to stop it now, with all the stringent measures

he has taken.

1596. Sir Robert Inglis.] You were understood to state that it was believed that the Viceroys generally, and among them the Viceroy at Canton, made presents to the imperial court in order to keep themselves in their offices, and that you inferred from that that the Emperor was cognizant of the fact that the Viceroy of Canton in particular derived the means of making his presents from the bribes which he received for conniving at the opium trade?—No, I did not state that I thought the emperor was cognizant of the fact; we have no power of judging, except by his own acts; but we know by the imperial edicts that he was aware of the opium trade being carried on, and he accurately describes the vessels by the names of "Flying Dragons," and "Fast Crabs," and the usual phrases at Canton; and he even describes the brokers that come and pay their money and take out their orders.

1597. Mr. Gladstone.] Is that in edicts subsequent to the discussions in 1836?—About that time two of them were issued; I think there were some even before

1598. Mr. Colquhoun.] But you have no proof of such accurate information on the part of the imperial authorities before 1836?—I forget; but I recollect seeing a copy in a Bengal paper of the edicts of 1821, and they were almost verbatim the same as those of 1838-9, as if they had set forms in the public offices.

1599. Did they contain the same minute specification with regard to the boats and the persons engaged in the trade?—No; in 1821 the trade had been carried on

differently; there was a change in the mode of carrying it on in 1821.

1600. Was the trade carried on then as minutely known to the imperial govern-

ment as it was in 1836?—I cannot answer as to that.

1601. Mr. Elliot.] You said that there were censors who reported to the government, and that upon their reports severe measures were taken; is it not quite certain that those censors, when they reported, would give such information as would put the government in possession of the facts?—No doubt about it.

1602. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you quite sure that the description of the kind of boats employed in the traffic is to be found in any imperial edict as early as 1836?

—I do not know whether it is 1836 or 1837; I know that it is in the imperial

edicts.

1603. You are sure that it is in an imperial edict, and that it is not in the documents

documents presented by some of the official persons to the Emperor?—No, it is in W. Jardine, Esq. the imperial edicts.

18 May 1840.

1604. Can you produce that edict?—I have no doubt I can; I will look for it,

and endeavour to get it, if you wish it.
1605. Mr. E. Buller.] You stated that previous executions had taken place of parties who had been engaged in opium dealing, and that the affair afterwards blew over, and the opium trade went on as usual?—Yes, some of those things scarcely interrupted the trade, except just at the spot where they occurred; they were generally at Macao.

1606. Then you do not attach any great importance to that attempt to execute a Chinese in front of the factory at Canton?—That was a man who had been condemned many months before. The imperial sentence for his execution had come down at that time. The only interest we took in it was, that we did not choose to have the place in front of our factories, where our flags were flying, converted into an execution ground.

1607. Then you would not have taken that execution as an extraordinary warning to abstain from the opium trade in future?—I believe the Governor did

it for the sake of effect, that he might publish an edict upon the occasion.

1608. You would have viewed it as intended, like previous executions, merely to serve its immediate purpose, and not as indicating any intention, on the part of the Chinese government, to pursue a more rigorous course with regard to the opium trade?—Yes, that one I should; because the man had been in prison, and condemned, I forget how many months, before these very stringent measures began; he was not a recent offender.

1609. You were not at Canton when the second attempt, which in fact was carried out, to execute a criminal before the factory took place?—No, I had left before

1610. But knowing, as you have done for many years, the conduct of the Chinese authorities, had you been on the spot, should you have considered that as so decided an indication of their determination to put down the trade, as to have considered it necessary to abandon the trade?-No, I should have viewed it in a different light; I think they brought it upon themselves. In the first instance the mandarins who came to see the sentence carried into effect, went away and executed the man at a little distance; but then the Chamber of Commerce insisted upon sending in a petition to the Viceory remonstrating against such an attempt, claiming the ground in front of the factory as belonging to us, which was deemed by most people to be imprudent, as everybody foresaw that the reply would be that the ground belonged to the Emperor, and that he would execute as many people as he liked; which was the reply actually given, and I believe the second execution was carried on there to show that he would have his own way.

1611. You look upon that execution rather as an assertion of the right of the Viceroy to carry out his own purposes on that ground, than as an expression of any determination of the Chinese government to put down the opium trade?—

Yes.

1612. Mr. Gladstone.] Were these executions of natives for dealing in opium of common occurrence, or had you known no previous instance of it?—I think I recollect as many as four or five previous to the one that was tried to be executed. in front of the factory.

1613. And there was one at Macao?—There was one at Macao, and another

at one of the villages between Macao and Canton.

1614. Do you recollect, previous to the year 1837, as many as four or five instances of persons being executed simply for the crime of dealing in opium?-No, not previous to that; I think there were three took place before that, that I have some faint recollection of; I think I recollect two at Macao.

1615. Do you mean two independently of the cases recorded in the Printed Papers laid before Parliament?—I mean two independently of those.

1616. Do you consider that the claim of the merchants upon Her Majesty's Government for indemnity rests in any degree upon the circumstance that the system pursued by the provincial authorities with regard to the opium trade was one of connivance?—I do not know that I should be a fair judge upon that question; I consider that when Her Majesty's representative made himself responsible for it, he was as responsible as if it had been bags of flour or barrels of beef, or anything else for Her Majesty's service.

1617. Without meaning to imply any opinion with respect to the sufficiency of

W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

the claim which you found upon Captain Elliot's order, the question is, whether you think that it derives any corroborative force from the circumstance that the system pursued by the Chinese authorities, with regard to the opium trade, had been one of connivance?—I think that the circumstance of their connivance, and of our own authorities having sanctioned the trade by their own declarations, and continuing to foster it and increase it in Calcutta, renders it to all intents and purposes, so far as the dealers were concerned in it, a legal trade.

1618. You rely upon the order of Captain Elliot; you also rely upon the coun-

tenance which, as you say, was given by the authorities at home and in India to the trade, as strengthening the claim upon Her Majesty's Government; do you also rely upon the connivance of the Chinese authorities as strengthening the claim upon Her Majesty's Government?—Yes, I think it makes a less objection-

able case.

1619. Supposing that, on the other hand, the merchants had been carrying on a contraband trade against the evident and determined intention of the Chinese government, do you think that would have weakened the present claim, and deprived it of the strength which it now has?—I do not see how anything could have weakened the present claim, so long as Captain Elliot made himself responsible on behalf of his employers for it; if it had been seized in smuggling, we should have

nothing to say.

1620. Do you think, that if the merchants had been persisting in the pursuit of the opium traffic against the evident and palpable intentions of the Chinese government for a series of years, that would have deprived the present claim of the additional strength which you consider it derives from the contrary having been the fact?—I dare say, in the minds of many people, it might; it would not in mine, because I could not get over the straight-forward principle of making good the

engagements of the party you employ.

1621. Mr. E. Buller.] The consent of the English Government, and the connivance of the Chinese government, only go so far as this, to place opium precisely on the same footing as other merchandize?—Yes.

1622. It merely amounts to this, that the trade was not so notoriously a contraband trade that the English Government can turn round and say to the merchants, you were engaged in an avowedly illicit and contraband trade, and therefore we will not give you compensation?—Precisely so.

1623. Mr. Gladstone.] With respect to the connivance of the Chinese authorities, do you think that for some considerable period before you left China there were clear indications of an altered course of policy on their part, and that a crisis was evidently coming?—It was very clear that the Emperor had become more determined to put the trade down than he had previously been; but I do not think there was anything to lead you to believe that it would not be got over till Commissioner Lin came down; I think the thing was altered then for the first time; previous to that there was no Chinese law by which a foreigner could be punished for dealing in opium.

1624. Did you ever know, before the end of 1836, of an edict ordering the expulsion of English residents on account of their trading in opium?—Yes, I believe some of them were; I have been expelled at least a dozen times by im-

perial edicts.

1625. Do you mean that you had been ordered to quit Canton at least a dozen times before the close of the year 1836, on account of dealing in opium?—No.

1626. Had you ever been ordered to quit Canton for dealing in opium before the end of 1836?—I think not, but the edicts will prove that; they are all on

1627. Do you know any instances in which British residents were ordered to quit Canton before the end of 1836, for the single act of dealing in opium?-I think not.

1628. Do you think you must have known of such a case if it had occurred?— I think I must.

1629. Are you prepared to say that you know of no instance of an edict ordering the expulsion of British residents before the end of 1836, for dealing in opium? I have no recollection of it.

1630. Was it not the fact that such an edict appeared at that time?—There

was an edict appeared about that time in which names were given.

1631. You did not interpret that edict as giving evidence of an altered policy on the part of the Chinese government?—Not at all, because the Hong merchants

came and begged that I would send a nephew of mine down to Macao, which they W. Jardine, Esq. said would answer all the purpose, and they would give him a chop boat to take

18 May 1840.

him down; and he went down and came back again, and the thing was settled.

1632. Mr. C. Buller.] In fact the Chinese government has often ordered the expulsion of foreigners, but it was such a mere farce, that their doing it upon this occasion did not impress you with the idea of any particular sincerity of determination against the opium traffic?—Not in the least.

1633. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you aware whether Captain Elliot believed them to be sincere in their intention of expelling foreigners who were engaged in the opium traffic?—I think Captain Elliot was much more alarmed than there was necessity

for upon those occasions.

1634. Mr. Hogg.] Supposing that Committees of both Lords and Commons had strongly reprobated the opium trade, and supposing the Chinese government had never directly or indirectly connived at it, would that have altered your opinion as to the validity of the claim founded upon a compliance with the requisition of Captain Elliot?—No, I do not know that it would have altered mine. simple circumstance of Captain Elliot demanding the property in the name of Her Majesty, and promising to remunerate them for it, is sufficient for me, but others may take a different view of it.

1635. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you aware that in the year 1837 the local authorities of Canton applied to Captain Elliot on the subject of the receiving ships, earnestly requesting that they might be sent away; and that upon his answering that he had no control over them, they urged that he should make a representation to his Government at home, in order that they might be sent away?—Yes, I

recollect that.

1636. Were you cognizant of that fact at the time?—I was.

1637. Was not that rather a new circumstance in the policy of the Chinese government?—Our having a representative in China was a new circumstance alto-

We have only had one from 1835.

1638. Was not such a requisition on the part of the Chinese government a new circumstance?—They used to issue edicts, and send them to the merchant that they thought of the greatest importance, or that had the most trade, and begged that he would circulate them among his countrymen; and when there was a consul they used to be sent to him. It came through the Hong merchants.

1639. Was not it a new circumstance, that besides issuing edicts ordering the vessels to quit, they should have addressed a requisition to the British superintendent, urging him to make known that demand to his Government at home, with a view to its being complied with?—It is the second instance that I have known of any reference home, and the other was requesting some one to be sent to take care of the trade after the Company's supercargoes were sent away.

1640. Do you interpret that as indicating sincerity and earnestness on the part

of the Chinese authorities?—It did not strike me so at the time.

1641. Do not you think that the Chinese authorities are very desirous that some person should be sent with power to superintend the trade and to control the British residents?—I believe that the Company's supercargoes got up that requisition themselves; Mr. Marjoribanks applied to Howqua, who was the originator of

1642. Do not you think that the Chinese were very desirous that there should be some efficient authority over the British residents?—They were desirous that there should be a head man or consul; they did not care what he was, so long as he was a merchant; they did not want a man of any rank or any official dignity.

1643. Do not you think that they were in earnest when they desired that a reference home might be made upon that subject?—I do not think they were, particularly; I think it was more an idea of theirs that it might be possible to get the Company to continue; it was an act of Howqua's, very much.

1644. Is it the habit of the Chinese government to interfere in the government of the foreigners resident in Canton?—They do everything through the Hong mercliants.

1645. Is it not their practice to abstain from interference, except in cases of

homicide?—As much as possible.

1646. Was not it, then, of great importance to them to have some foreign authority on the spot, who might be able to act judicially and to govern the foreign community?-No, I do not think they cared much about it, further than preventing rows in the streets.

1647. Was 0.59.

W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

1647. Was not it of importance for that purpose, and for the control of ships' crews, and other similar purposes?—It was of more importance to us than to them.

1648. Was it not of considerable importance to them, for the peace and good

order of the place?—The same as it is in every place.

1649. Is it not of great importance in all places?—The same there as elsewhere.

1650. Then, if the having such an authority upon the spot was a matter of great importance to them, and if they made a requisition that a reference might be made home that such an authority should be sent to Canton, does not it appear that the making such a requisition home was an indication of their earnestness upon the subject?—I have stated the view that I take of the subject.

1651. You do not feel prepared to give a directly affirmative or a directly nega-

tive answer to that question?—No, I have stated the light in which I view it, and that is all I know about it; I have given my opinion as I formed it at the time.

1652. You were understood to state that when the Chinese urged Captain Elliot to refer home upon the subject of sending away the opium ships, you were not struck with that circumstance as an indication that they were more earnest than usual upon the subject?—I viewed it as nothing more nor less than an act of Howqua's, that he might be able to show the authorities that he had done all in his power; that he had requested the British representative to send home to his-Government to have the ships sent away, and that when he had done that, he thought no more about it.

1653. There was an edict in 1837 threatening to expel Captain Elliot, and to stop the trade, unless the receiving ships were sent away?—I have a faint recollec-

tion of that edict.

1654. There was a series of edicts to that effect?—Yes.
1655. Was it usual to threaten to drive away the British authorities resident in Canton, unless the receiving ships were removed?—I.do not think it was.

1656. Did that strike you as indicating greater sincerity and earnestness of purpose on the part of the Chinese authorities?—No; I think we all considered it very much a matter of course; we had been too long accustomed to see all these edicts pass away as nothing, to be struck by them.

1657. If these were new features in the edicts that were issued, was it natural to regard them as matters of course?—We did so; I do not know whether it was

natural or not.

1658. Are the Committee to understand that up to the moment when you left Canton, there was no practical effect produced upon the course taken by the British merchants?—Latterly, within the last few days before I left Canton, I think they began to suppose that the thing was more in earnest; the first thing that struck me was the edict being issued to all the different provinces of China without exception; the previous ones had always been issued to Canton alone, or to the two provinces under the Viceroy of Canton; but when the edict became general to every province throughout the whole country, I began to think that the thing was more in earnest, and I kept a vessel ready to send to Calcutta to stop purchases at the first Calcutta sale; but I was overruled by other people, who thought more lightly of that edict than I did.

1659. That was almost immediately before Lin's arrival?—Yes; it must have been about the month of November, because I kept a vessel ready to send to Cal-

cutta, that she might be in by the first sale.

1660. About the beginning of the month of December you began to consider that the Emperor was more in earnest?—Yes; when that edict came out which bore upon every province of China, I began to fancy he was more in earnest.

1661. That was nearly four months before the demand for Mr. Dent's delivery?

It was in December; the demand for Mr. Dent was in March.

1662. Are you aware whether during that interval any measures were taken by any British houses to withdraw from the opium trade?—Not that I am aware of.

1663. Do you think that the Emperor of China might have realized a considerable revenue from opium, if he had been so disposed?—I think he might; with reference to the trade inside the Bogue, I offered to put an end to it whenever they liked; I pointed out again and again that they had only to search every vessel as she came in, and to send her back if she had opium on board.

1664. Were not the boats in which the trade was carried on armed?—They would not have used their arms against custom-house officers sending them back;

they might have used their arms if they had tried to take it from them.

1665. Would not they have forced their way in ?—I do not think they would.

1666. Have

1666. Have you sufficient knowledge of the parties rengaged in that branch of .W. Jardine, Esq. business to make you feel confident that there would have been no risk of blood. shed?—Yes, I have not the most distant idea that there would have been any

18 May 1840.

1667. Your opinion upon that, however, is at variance with the opinion of Captain Elliot?—Very likely; but I think I know a great deal more about it than Captain Elliot.

1668. Mr. Hogg. You stated that the poppy was cultivated in some provinces of China; when the edicts were issued for the suppression of the trade in opium, were any corresponding edicts issued to suppress the cultivation of the poppy in the provinces?—I think not; I never could find any of them; and I was very much struck with the circumstance, having known that there were orders in the Pekin gazette, again and again, to destroy the poppy in different districts where it was grown in former days, as far back as 1824 or 1825, that ever since they became so severe upon the foreign opium, I have not been able to hear of one of those orders in the Pekin gazette.

1669. Your attention has been drawn to the matter, and you have inquired?—

1670. Then you do not believe that when these rigorous measures were adopted for the suppression of the foreign opium trade any corresponding measures were adopted for the suppression of the trade in the interior?—I think not.

1671. Mr. Gladstone.] Is there not a very strong taste for opium among both the Chinese inhabitants and those of the neighbouring islands generally?—There is a very small portion of the Chinese population that use opium, if you consider it as comprising 300 and odd millions; I suppose that there are not more 2,000,000 or 3,000,000 required to consume all that they take.

1672. It is an expensive luxury?—It is.

1673. Are the means of the great bulk of the population such as to enable them to purchase it?—Some of the lowest class use it.

1674. Mr. Herbert.] Upon the coast, where the ships go, is it not generally used?—I believe the opium pipe is as common in the province of Fokein as the tobacco pipe is in Canton.

1675. Mr. Gladstone.] Is the tobacco pipe very common at Canton?—So common in every house that upon a Chinaman coming in they always offer him a cup of tea and a pipe. There are generally a dozen standing ready in the corner of the room, and a man to light them.

1676. With respect to the coasting traffic in opium, is it your opinion that the Chinese government have not the power to put it down?—They have the power to put it down through the medium of their own people; they have not the power to drive away the vessels from the coast; their mode of putting it down would be by preventing their own people from going off to them.

1677. Upon such a vast extent of coast, would not that be almost impossible? -It all depends upon the magistracy that they have. We find it difficult to prevent spirits and tobacco coming into England, and I suppose they would find the

same difficulty.

1678. Considering the difficulty that exists in England, where there is a remarkably efficient service, and considering the particular inefficiency of the service in general in China, and particularly of the nautical service, do not you think it is almost impossible for the Chinese government to put down the coast trade in opium?—There is one distinction to be borne in mind, which is, that the one is an arbitrary government, and the other is not; here every thing must be done according to law, but there the will of the mandarin is every thing.

1679. Do you think that distinction tends in favour of the chance of putting

down such a traffic?—Yes, if they were in earnest, I think it does.

1680. Are you of opinion that, with opium ships constantly coming in sight of the coast, the Chinese government have the power of preventing its being landed? -1 believe they might in a great measure lessen it; to say that they could entirely prevent it would be speaking against experience everywhere else.

1681. Do the opium ships which sail up the eastern coast always sell the opium to the native boats, or are their own boats ever employed to carry it on shore?—

I suppose that 99 times out of 100 they sell it to native boats.

1682. Would it not be extremely difficult to prevent the native boats from coming off to those ships by night, and in unfrequented places?—It is difficult to suppress smuggling everywhere where the gain is great.

1683. Would there not be many peculiar difficulties in that case?—I do not see P 4

18 May1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. why there should; you have only a few places upon the coast where the vessels are, and there are military on shore, and custom-house officers on shore.

1684. Do not the opium vessels to a considerable extent frequently change their place?—Yes, they move about; they are often told by the authorities at a place, I wish you would go away and come back again; there is a mandarin inspector coming here.

1685. Do not those circumstances increase the difficulties?—The extent of the coast increases the difficulties no doubt; but they might lessen the trade if they

cannot entirely suppress it.

1686. Taking into consideration the extent of the coast, and the strong taste for opium among the people, and the corruption of the local authorities, and the inefficiency of the marine, do not you think that it amounts nearly to an impossibility. to prevent the trade?—I think that laying any stress upon the strong taste of the people for opium is a mistake, because it is not the people that smuggle it that use it; it is done for the sake of gain.

1687. Does not the strength of the taste for opium induce the people to give a high price; and does not it in that way form a material element in the case?—The larger profit it brings, the more inducement there is to the party to smuggle it.

- 1688. Then does not it become a material element in the case?—It is the same there as everywhere else; we know that we have, by our coast-guard, put down smuggling very much, and the Chinese might do the same if they were to use effective measures.
- 1689. Do you think they would be able to limit it considerably?—I believe they would.
- 1690. Mr. Elliot.] Is the smoking of opium in moderation very injurious?— I do not think it is.
- 1691. Is it at all so?—It is with some, but with others not; so far as it affects the stomach and bowels it is injurious.
- 1692. But the same answer would apply in some measure to tobacco?—In a great measure, and to ardent spirits.

1693. Do you think that out of the multitude of persons that smoke opium, there are a large proportion that do not suffer injury from the habit? -- Many.

1694. You think that a large portion of the consumption of opium does not, in fact, go to demoralize the people?—I think there is a great deal more said about it than is necessary; I think that if I had any friend that was about to submit to being either a dram drinker or an opium smoker, I should select opium as less dangerous to morality, and as less injurious to the health.

1695. Sir G. Grey.] Do you know whether the cultivation of the poppy has increased in China lately?—No.

1696. Have you any information upon that subject?—We have with respect to one province. Among the reports made to the Emperor there is one man who remonstrates with the Emperor about admitting it; he says that in his native province there are thousands of chests produced annually.

1697. Chairman.] Is there anybody in your own office who is an habitual opium smoker?—Our compadore, who had charge of the cash, had smoked opium

between 30 and 40 years.

1698. Mr. Elliot.] What have been the effects upon him?—No evil consequences that I saw; he was one of the cleverest fellows I have met with; and for the last half dozen years that he was with us, there passed more money through his hands than had ever gone through the Company's treasury in the same time, and I never knew a mistake all the 30 years that he was there.

1699. Do you think that is a common instance?—I think there are many such; I have known many die with all their faculties in complete exercise between 70 and 80 years of age, who have been opium smokers.

1700. Then the evil arising from opium smoking is more in the abuse of the habit than in the indulgence of it in moderation?—Decidedly.

1701. Mr. Herbert.] Does it produce any effect upon the appearance?—Some

of them get cadaverous and thin, others are plump and fat.

1702. Mr. E. Buller.] Was your own compadore affected by it in that respect? -He was middling; he was afraid of it making him black in the face; he was a bit of a dandy; and, therefore, had his opium refined more than that generally used.

1703. Mr. Brownrigg.] Does not the opium ordinarily used in smoking undergo a considerable dilution from the state in which it is imported?—It gets purified from the feculent matter attached to it. A chest of Patna, of about 120 catties to 124 catties, yields about 50 of pure extract fit for smoking. 1704. Mr.

1704. Mr. C. Buller.] Is not it the fact that what you call opium, and sell to the Chinese as such, is not what medical men call opium, but is rather what they call morphia?—No; what we sell to them is opium; it is the juice of the poppy as it is taken from the capsule of the poppy; they scratch it with a knife the night before, and before the sun gets up they take away what has exuded, and that is what we sell to the Chinese.

W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

1705. Sir Charles Lemon.] In Canton is it refined by the persons themselves that use it, or is there a trade of refining it?—Men who use it largely have generally servants that can prepare it; but there are public preparers of it; it takes two or three days to prepare it.

1706. The only way in which it is supplied for use is in a refined state?—It is

retailed in that state.

1707. Where it loses about half its bulk?—Rather more than that.
1708. Is that process of refining very laborious, and is it easy to detect by the government?—You cannot pass a street where they are either smoking it or refining it without smelling it; it affects the air.

1709. It is easily detected?—It is.

1710. Mr. Hogg.] Are there no public shops in Canton, where the Chinese can enter and ask for a pipe of opium?—Yes, and where they go and smoke; there are smoking divans. There was a foyuen who was a bitter enemy to it, and was in the habit of prowling about in disguise at night to ascertain what was going on in the town, he went into those places; and he declared, before he had been more than two or three months there, that he had quite altered his opinion; that they were respectable and gentleman-like men that he met in those divans.

- 1711. Are any means taken by the persons who keep those smoking shops to conceal them?—Nothing more than feeing people to shut their eyes. Where they keep their store, they have it walled up as you would brick up wine in a cellar.

1712. But the shop itself is easy of detection?—Yes, they have a list of them all; the police runners sometimes come and tell them, "There is going to be a search for you; you had better get out of the way.'

1713. Does the partial use of opium smoking generally lead to great excess and great abuse?—It does with imprudent men; but there are many men that take their opium as steadily as we take wine.

1714. Has not it almost an irresistible tendency to lead to excess?—Not with a man of any strength of mind.

1715. Not more so than spirits?—No.

1716. And you think that opium may be used moderately, with as little chance

of leading to excess as ardent spirits?—I think so.

1717. Chairman. Do you know what is the cost of a chest of opium retailed in Canton after running all risks?—It varies very much: I recollect at the time the regulations became so very severe, it doubled its price in very few days. They get 50 catties out of a chest, which costs them generally about 600 or 700 dollars, and they require to sell it, to be paid, at the rate of about 1,500 to 1,600 dollars, which would be about 100 dollars the pound.

1718. Mr. Hogg.] What is the price of an opium pipe in one of the shops?— I do not know. I have gone in and seen them, but I never tried to smoke it.

1719. You do not know what would be charged for an ordinary smoke, such as an ordinary dram?—No, I do not. I think they do not take it in that way; they have very handsome little boxes made of silver, with a top to them. The smoking apparatus is a very handsome one, more like a gentleman or lady's dressingcase than anything else.

1720. Mr. Elliot.] Do you conceive that there is more injury done by the use of ardent spirits and liquors in general in this country, or by the use of opium in China?—I should say that the drinkers in this country are infinitely more dis-

gusting passing along the street than the opium-smokers in China.

1721. In what proportion would you say it affects the population of each country; is there more of one or more of another?—That is a difficult question to answer. I should think there were more dram-drinkers in England than opiumsmokers in China; that is, persons who are publicly known to be such; men that make themselves offensive by it. There are many people in China that smoke, and you know nothing about it.

1722. Have you observed in China that a larger number of the population are injured by the habit of smoking opium than you have observed in this country to have suffered from the habit of intemperance in the way of drinking?—I should W. Jardine, Esq. 181May 1840.

give it in favour of opium as being the less of the two; but it is not a fair question. I know more people in this country than I do there. I could not name perhaps more than six or eight instances where I have known people injured by smoking opium in China, putting them altogether.

1723. Mr. Herbert.] Is it sufficiently cheap to be accessible to the lowest class?

The inferior kind is; there is a kind of refuse that is sold to the inferior classes

of society that they get tolerably cheap.

1724. Which class is it that smoke most universally, the upper or the lower?——
I should say the public functionaries, and the people in public offices, are the people who use it more generally than any others, and many of the military

1725. Mr. Gladstone.] Do you suppose that opium is used by anything like the same proportion of the population in China that use spirits here?—No; I should say not so many. I think there is more drinking of spirits here than there is

smoking of opium in China.

1726. You say that a chest of 120 catties of Bengal opium would yield about 50; what is done with the rest of the chest?—It is thrown away. The opium juice, when collected, is put into a case which is made like a cocoa-nut shell, by means of poppy leaves and poppy flowers, moistened with a solution of opium in water; a round piece is then cut out of the so made case, to admit of the juice being put in; it is then closed up by replacing the round piece, and, in this shape, carried to China: all this case is thrown away as refuse, when the opium has been extracted by boiling, straining, and filtering.

1727. Has it ever been attempted to perform the process of refinement in

Bengal ?—Yes, often.

1728. Does it sometimes come from Bengal to China in the refined state?— The Company sent it to have it tried in that way; I left a number of musters at Macao when I left China, which I saw the last day I was there, of that description, but the Chinese do not like it; they prefer preparing it themselves.

1729. Then the process does not answer perfectly?—It never does for gene-

1730. Mr. Hogg.] Is it only used at Canton for the purpose of smoking; do not they chew it?—Very few; there is one particular district where they use the Turkey opium, where I understand they chew it.

1731. As far as your means of knowledge go, generally throughout Chins is it used for the purpose of smoking or for chewing?—For smoking; there is only one district that I know of where they chew it, and that is west of Canton.

1732. Mr. Elliot.] Is any quantity of Turkey opium imported into China?— Yes; I believe the consumption, when it is not used for adulterating other opium, is about 600 peculs in a year; but I have known 1,200 and 1,500.

1733. Who have generally imported that opium?—The American houses used

to have the Turkey opium in their hands.

1734. Chairman.] The captains commanding the ships on the coast were in your employment, were they not i—Yes, the vessels belonged to us.

1735. They were persons on whom you placed great reliance?—They were

people in whom we had implicit confidence.

1736. Therefore, if anything had happened with reference to a contest with the natives, you had full confidence that they would have communicated that fact to you — They used to send reports. Their instructions were, that they had got their arms and their extra men to defend themselves against any attack, but upon no account to commit any acts of aggression upon any one.

1737. You feel sure that if any contest with the natives had occurred in which there had been any loss of life, you would have known it?—No question of it.

1738. Mr. E. Buller.] Were there instructions to defend themselves against the Chinese authorities?—Not against the Chinese authorities; against being attacked by anybody.

1739. What penalties were those parties liable to, by the Chinese law, who were engaged in that trade along the coast?—No penalty that I am aware of, further than being sent to their own country to be judged by their own government. George Staunton states in his speech, that as far as his knowledge of the Chinese law goes, there is only one crime, that of murder or homicide, which is punished with death, where they allege that it is life for life; in the case of foreigners. But that is the only crime for which they have any power to punish a foreigner, beyond expelling him from the country, to be dealt with by his own government.

1740. Mr. Herbert.] Is the cargo ever confiscated?—There is no instance of

confiscating

confiscating a cargo; they have the power of seizing opium, and if they seize it.

they confiscate it.

1741. Mr. E. Buller.] With regard to the power of seizing it, does that power exist by the Chinese law?—No, I do not think there is any law authorizing them to seize foreign property upon the high sea, but opium being prohibited they would make that an excuse for doing so.

1742. You are not aware of any law by which any cargo lying at Lintin was liable to confiscation by the Chinese authorities?—No, not lying at Lintin, because I consider that the outer waters; I believe if they had seized opium at Whampoa

they would have had the right.

1743. But that lying at Lintin, it was decidedly contrary to the Chinese law to seize it?—There is one edict in which they tell us to go to Lintin from the Kamsingmoon, as that is the proper place for us; so that that is like licensing it.

1744. But no trade with China is legal, or sanctioned by the imperial govern-

ment, but the trade with the port of Canton?—No, it is illegal.

1745. All other trade is in fact illicit trade, whether it is carried on in opium or

in other goods?—Yes.
1746. If opium is liable to confiscation, other goods would be equally liable to confiscation if they were breaking the Chinese law by endeavouring to trade outside the port of Canton?—No question about it; it is under no further regulation than the other, except that they every now and then issue an edict prohibiting it altogether, as we did Bandannah handkerchiefs in former days.

1747. Then you do not deny the right of the Chinese to confiscate if they could get possession of the opium?—If they had seized it, we should never have made a

complaint to the government upon the subject.

1748. You consider that you are liable to that risk?—Yes, but it is not a very

great one, as we always get the money before we issue the order.

1749. Mr. Gladstone. Do you think it was in the power of the Chinese government to seize at Lintin?—No.

1750. Mr. E. Buller.] The penalty which they threatened, and which they endeavoured to enforce, was not confiscation, but the sending the ships away?—Yes.

1751. They always held out that an attempt to trade with any other port but the port of Canton, would be met by sending the ships away?—By expulsion.

1752. Expulsion was the penalty attached to any attempt to violate the harbours of China?—Yes.

1753. Mr. Gladstone.] Was there any attempt to seize foreign opium below the Bocca Tigris previous to your leaving China?—Yes, there was a seizure took place in a vessel owned by Portuguese, who had been cheating the Macao customhouse by not paying them their fees.

1754. Was that shortly before your leaving China?-I think it was in the year 1838. 1755. Do you know of any other case of the seizure of foreign opium?—No,

not below the Bocca Tigris.

1756. Mr. Hogg.] You say that by the Chinese laws the authorities would have had a right to confiscate any opium seized within the Bocca Tigris; supposing they had sent an adequate force of war junks to Lintin and seized the opium there, would they have had a right to confiscate it?—It is very much like a French vessel with brandy anchoring beyond the boundary between us and France, where she could tell our fleet, 'I mean to run this cargo in to-night, but as to your touching me while I am here, you cannot.'
1757. Mr. Gladstone.] Is not Lintin within the Chinese waters?—It is in the

outer waters.

1758. Mr. Hogg.] Did not the authority of Captain Elliot extend expressly to that very spot, as included within the Chinese waters?—No; his authority was first intended to extend within 100 miles of the coast all along, then it was restricted to the port of Canton; they used to send a cutter in to sign documents inside the Bocca Tigris, and then go out again; but they found that inconvenient, and they applied to have it extended to Lintin.

1759. Do not you consider Lintin expressly within the limits of the Chinese authority?—I go by the distinction they make themselves; they call it the outer

waters of China.

1760. Mr. Colquhoun.] Does not their jurisdiction extend over those outer waters?—I believe not; our men-of-war took vessels there during the American war, and they did not consider that they were infringing the neutrality; that is perhaps as strong a case as we can have.

1761. Mr. 0.59. Q 2

W. Jardine, Esq.

18 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

1761. Mr. C. Buller.] Then do you think that the Chinese government have no more jurisdiction over ships lying at Lintin than they have over ships at Manilla?—They have as much jurisdiction over them as we should have over a boat loaded with French brandy lying about the division line between France and England.

1762. Mr. Gladstone.] That is none at all?—None at all.
1763. Mr. E. Buller.] But in the one case the French boat is within the French waters, and in the other case, at Lintin, the boat is within the outer waters of China?—I will suppose her to be a Spanish boat lying in the position of the French one, and then it will be on the same footing exactly.

1764. By that you mean to say that the Chinese have no jurisdiction whatever in the outer waters?—They themselves do not consider their custom-house laws

to extend there.

1765. You are aware of the distinction which is made between the inner and the outer waters; are not you also aware that there is a distinction made between the outer waters and the great sea beyond?—There is a distinction, but it is very undefined.

1766. Then it amounts to this, that, in point of fact, they seldom if ever exercise any jurisdiction without the port?—I have never known them, further than issuing those edicts.

1767. Mr. Colquhoun.] Does not the Chinese admiral exercise authority over the outer waters?—The Chinese admiral has been ordered to send the vessels away

again and again.

1768. Which he would not have been ordered to do if they had been lying on the high seas?—He would have been ordered to do it if they had been lying at the outer Ladrone Islands, which are a long way beyond.

1769. Mr. E. Buller. Are not you aware that the Ladrone Islands are the boundary of what they consider the outer waters?-Not the outer range of the

Ladrone Islands.

1770. Is not the fact of an order from the Chinese government to send away ships from Lintin an assertion of their authority over those seas?—It is an assertion of their authority over those seas, as far as preventing their lying there; but they have never used force to carry it into effect, and to prove that they thought they were right in doing so.

1771. Then any right that exists must arise, not from the exercise of that right on the part of the Chinese, or from prescription, but only from the universal law of nations?—No; the question would be, whether Lintin was or was not within the limits within which they had a right to order vessels away. Macao Roads are in the same predicament. I suppose that it would be defined by the extent that

their guns would reach, where they could protect vessels.

1772. Are you aware of any edict which either asserts the extent of their authority or which limits the extent of their authority?—I do not know that I am; I think it is a very undefined point where their authority ceases; the only distinction they draw is between the ocean and the outer waters.

1773. In point of fact, they do not exercise any regular authority in the outer waters?—Not that I have ever witnessed or heard of, further than those paper edicts.

1774. Mr. Hogg.] Do you think the English authorities would seize a French

ship with brandy lying about Ramsgate?—Yes, I think they would.

1775. Do not you think that Ramsgate is much more parallel, with regard to Lintin, than the imaginary line between France and England?—No; we are a long way from the provincial town when off Lintin.

1776. Is not Lintin within the river, although it is called the outer waters?—

No, certainly not; it is not within 20 miles of it.

1777. Mr. C. Buller.] Is Lintin an inhabited island?—It is.

1778. Has it been long inhabited?—Yes; it was there where the Topaz killed

1779. Mr. Gladstone.] Is it a part of the empire of China?-I believe so.

1780. How is the anchorage formed?—It is an open bay, and a very shallow bay, with two projecting points.

1781. Is the anchorage formed, in any degree, by any part of the coast of the main land?—No, entirely by the island; they shift about from one bay to another, all round it.

1782. If the island of Lintin is in the dominions of the Emperor of China, does not it seem to follow that the bay, which is formed by the coast of that island, must

be

be part of the Chinese waters?—No, I do not think that follows exactly, if you mean the inner waters of China.

W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

1783. Was not it common for the naval functionaries of the Chinese to visit the receiving ships?—The admiral used occasionally to visit them.

1784. Were not fees paid to them?—The Chinese paid them; we did not. 1785. Were not fees paid by those on board the receiving ships?—No; the captains of the receiving ships used, at the request of the opium brokers, to receive a dollar upon every chest, which, they said, went to the admiral; but we had nothing to do with it, further than handing it over to one of them at the end of each month;

and they used it in feeing the authorities.

1786. You do not see, in that circumstance, any recognition of the jurisdiction

of the Chinese authorities?—No, I do not see the least.

1787. Mr. E. Buller.] Did not the mandarin boats lie quite close to the ships at Lintin?—They used to go on board, and sometimes the mandarins went and dined with the captains of the opium ships.

1788. Were not they there for the avowed purpose of preventing the opium

being carried on shore?—Not exactly; it is an admiral's station.

1789. Have you never heard instances of mandarin boats stationing themselves quite close to a vessel to watch the delivery of opium?—Frequently.

1790. And they have made seizures?—Yes.

1791. Is not that exercising jurisdiction in the outer waters?—Those are generally boats employed by a petty mandarin, whose master does not even know of it; the only large seizure that was made was in that way by a man from Heongthan coming in, using his master's flag; one of the smuggling boats ran aboard another smuggling boat, and the seizure was made by authority of the flag.

1792. But whether it is done by an inferior mandarin, or by a superior mandarin, it is equally an assertion of the Chinese authority?—These fellows committed an act of depredation, and justified it by producing the flag as their authority.

1793. But the mandarin boats are stationed there for the purpose of preventing smuggling, and are looked upon in that light?—If I am asked whether the admiral has instructions to prevent smuggling, there is no question he has.

1794. And that to a great extent he endeavours to act according to his instructions?—Not much of that, I think; he takes his money, and keeps quiet, and

smokes opium himself, and goes on board and gets a supply every now and then. 1795. Mr. Gladstone.] There is an edict of the 22d of November 1837, in page 251 of the Parliamentary Papers, which contains the following passage: "It appears from this memorial, that the receiving ships of the English and other nations have of late years, under the pretext of taking shelter from the weather, been in the practice of entering the inner seas. Commands have now been issued to the Hong merchants, to be by them enjoined on the superintendents of affairs of the said nation, requiring that all the receiving ships anchored off Lintin and other places be sent away, and ordered by him to return to their country." Is not that one instance of assertion of jurisdiction over these particular waters by the Chinese? -I believe that applies to Kam-Sing-Moon, which you enter by a narrow neck. They succeeded in banishing the ships out of Kam-Sing-Moon, and they erected batteries there to prevent them from returning.

1796. Chairman.] What do you think will be the effect upon the parties in Bombay and Calcutta engaged in the opium trade, and whose opium was given up to the Superintendent; will it produce upon their part great distress?—It will be

utter ruin to many of them.

1797. Are there many persons with small means engaged in that trade?—A

1798. Mr. Brownrigg.] Principally Parsees?—Principally Parsees.

1799. Chairman.] Do you think that any considerable number of the parties whose opium was surrendered have got any compensation for those losses by increased profits upon opium sent out to China since?—No; most of them were disabled from sending any more opium by having their funds locked up there.

1800. Did the price of opium, after the seizure, fall very prodigiously in Calcutta and Bombay?—It fell so much in Calcutta that the Company were obliged to tax their opium at 400 rupees a chest, and if there was no bidder, to be taken in. I do not think there was a great deal taken in; it had begun to improve in China, but it had been bought as low as 250, I think, and 280 rupees previous to that.

1801. Would that low price tempt other parties to engage in the trade?—There

18 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. is no doubt it tempted other parties, but not those parties whose property was locked up.

1802. But you have no doubt that the withholding so large a portion of funds from those parties in Calcutta and Bombay, particularly in Bombay, would produce great and general distress among those persons?—All the letters that I have had from Bombay talk of the commercial distress produced by withholding the capital; they complain bitterly of the breach of faith of the Government, and say many families are suffering most severely in consequence.

1803. Sir G. Grey.] How has the surrender of the opium affected the price in China ?-It had the effect for the moment, while there was little there, of raising the price; but then it was sold in very small quantities at a time. Afterwards the

prices on the coast got up to much what they were before.

1804. Has the trade gone on briskly since?—There has been a good deal, as far

as my information goes, done on the coast since.

1805. There has been no material diminution in the quantity actually delivered? There is very little, I understand, going on about Lintin, where Lin and his myrmidons are; but along the coast, I understand, the deliveries are going on rather briskly.

1806. Then it had the effect rather of altering the place of delivery than of diminishing the aggregate amount delivered?—Yes; it altered the kind of people that carried it on. In former days they were wealthy men that carried it on, in a wholesale way; and now the inferior classes of people along the coast go off in boats, uniting together small sums of money, to enable them to purchase a chest or half a chest.

1807. Mr. Brownrigg. In a previous part of your evidence to-day you stated that upon a former occasion, when the merchants engaged in the opium trade suffered a heavy loss, a part of the purchase-money had been refunded by the East India Company; will you state the circumstances connected with that transaction, as far as your memory extends?—I will furnish the Committee with a statement of the particulars. I forget the amount, but the Bengal Government made a distinction between China and Singapore. They gave a larger remuneration to those who had purchased at a certain period for China than they did to any one else; they gave them more than they did the Singapore people, and some of the Singapore people they did not allow anything to, according to the time when the purchase was made. The prices were run up very much at Calcutta, in consequence of a general belief that the opium trade would be legalized; and why the Company did it, unless they could not have cleared the opium, I have no idea.

1808. Then that remuneration was made avowedly by the Company, in conse-

quence of the loss sustained by the traders in opium in China?—Yes.

1809. Sir G. Grey.] What was the distinction made between Singapore and China?—It was owing to some of the sales; but when this paper is put in you will see the whole of the distinctions stated.

1810. Mr. Hogg.] Do you mean to say that the Bengal Government returned part of the price, simply upon the allegation that the merchants had sustained a loss, unaccompanied by any other reason?—I never knew any other reason than that.

1811. Are you not aware that the express reason of that return was that the

opium sold was of a very inferior quality from what it had been stated to be at the period of sale, and the Bengal Government thought it right to return part of the purchase-money to the merchants under the circumstances?—That is another transaction; there were two returns. That was some years before what I was speaking of.

1812. Can you put in the papers connected with the return of 1836, by the

East India Company ?—I think I can.

1813. Mr. Colquhoun.] You stated on the former day that you would give the Committee the reason why the house of which you are a member did not sign the address to Lord Palmerston, which was signed by the foreign merchants at Canton?—I have referred to the letter, and the reason they assign is that they thought it was quite irrelevant, opening up questions and vindicating things that required no vindication; that it was useless, in fact. It goes on to vindicate the opium trade, which they thought did not require vindication, considering that the case might be left to its own merits.

1814. Is that the only reason they assign?—Yes.

1815. Did they participate in the feelings expressed in that address?—They give no opinion upon that. 1816. Then,

1816. Then their not participating in those feelings might have been another reason why they refused to sign it?—It might have been, but they do not assign

that; I speak of the letter, as I saw it, in which they assign their reasons.

1817. Mr. Hogg.] You stated, in the early part of your evidence to-day, that an express was sent to order back part of the opium on board of the ships that were in the act of delivering opium on the coast; were those ships so stationed at the time that Captain Elliot's requisition was issued?—They had gone away a long time before the requisition was issued; they were on the coast.

• 1818. Then at the time that Captain Elliot's requisition was issued, those vessels with this opium were not at Lintin, but were at a great distance on the coast, and beyond the possible reach of any Chinese authority?—Yes, they were.

1819. Then what possible object could there be in calling upon the proprietors of that opium to deliver it up to the Chinese authorities; or how could it be considered as within the control of the Chinese authorities?—I do not know; you must refer to Captain Elliot; he is the only person who knows; I only state the circumstances as they occurred, that these ships came down after part of the opium had been delivered; they began the delivery with the vessels that were on the spot. Captain Elliot demanded a list from every one of all the opium they had; and when the list was put into his hands, it was pointed out to him, "These are the quantities which the vessels had on board at the time the last letters came from them; whatever has been sold in the meantime will be deficient, and therefore if you are going to turn this to any account with the Chinese government, you must make allowance for that." He paid no attention to that, but just put down the list as it had been given in, and handed it to the Commissioner.

1820. Was not it understood that Captain Elliot limited his requisition to the opium in the factories within the Bocca Tigris, or on board the ships lying at

Lintin?—No, there was none in the factories, and not much at Lintin.

1821. Then what was understood among the merchants as regulating Captain

Elliot in issuing his requisition?—I cannot answer that question.

1822. Sir George Grey.] Did not the Chinese require the surrender of all the opium belonging to the foreign community at Canton, or within the power of that foreign community?—I believe they did; all that belonged to them, or that they had control over.

1823. Was not Captain Elliot's requisition co-extensive with the demand on the part of the Chinese?—I suppose, but I do not know, that Captain Elliot's requisition was made on the same terms in which the Chinese had made their requisition to him.

. 1824. Did not Captain Elliot require the different members of the foreign community to deliver to him a statement of the quantity of opium coming within that description? Yes, I have just stated that.

1825. And that comprised the opium which was in course of delivery, because that opium, on the statement of the parties themselves, was subject to their con-

trol?—Yes.

1826. Chairman.] Was any large proportion of the opium surrendered in the ships on the coast?—A very considerable proportion; but that will be proved if the claims are ever examined. The claims are made so as to distinguish between that which had been sent to the coast for delivery and that which was at Lintin.

1827. Mr. Hogg.] How much of the opium delivered up do you suppose to have been on board the ships on the coast in the act of delivering it there? -- I suppose there must have been, at the time I speak of, about 3,000 to 4,000 chests on

1828. On board vessels lying there?—Yes, for delivery.

1829. And in the act of delivery?—Yes; there were generally from 12 to 18, and sometimes 20 vessels on the coast.

1830. The opium you now speak of was on board those vessels at the time of your departure?—Yes.

1831. And forms part of the opium delivered up?—Yes.
1832. Mr. Elliot.] Did the members of your own house at Canton refuse to deliver up the opium when demanded by Captain Elliot?—I believe they remonstrated with Captain Elliot against giving it up, saying, that they had amongst them given up 1,030 chests, which they called their own property, to the Hong merchants, to prevent their losing their heads, as they said. They said they had given that in as the quantity which belonged to themselves, and over which they had control, and that they would give in no more as it was not theirs, but belonged

0.59. Q 4 W. Jardine, Esq. 18 May 1840.

*18 May 1840.

W. Jardine, Esq. to their constituents; but that if he demanded it for the benefit of Her Majesty's Government, they wanted to know how they were to be remunerated if they gave it up to Her Majesty's Government.

1833. When Captain Elliot explained to them the terms upon which he required them to give it up, did they refuse to give it up?—No, they then gave it up.

1834. Do you know whether anybody else refused to give it up?—I think there were one or two of the smaller holders that refused. Those that were in Macao refused in toto, because they were not in prison, and they never have given it up.

1835. Chairman.] Have you any reason to believe that your partners in China would have submitted to almost any personal inconvenience rather than have given it up, either to the Chinese or to the British authorities, without payment?—They say so very distinctly in their correspondence, that nothing would have induced them to give it up to the Chinese.

1836. With regard to the account given in by your house to Captain Elliot of the opium under their control, was it distinctly pointed out to Captain Elliot that a portion of that which was on the coast might have been sold since the period of

the last advices from the ships?—Yes, positively.

1837. And then, with that knowledge before him, Captain Elliot still gave in

the full amount set down in those accounts?—Yes.

1838. Was any of that opium sold subsequently to the return of the ships?-The only sale that was made was by Captain Jauncey, which I stated before, of a few chests at Namo, on his way down.

1839. Was that the reason of part of the deficiency which Captain Elliot afterwards provided for by purchase?—No; that was a matter of 10 or 12 chests; the deficiency amounted to hundreds, which we made up by purchasing; Captain Elliot had great difficulty in getting it, and we made up the deficiency, although we had told him that it would be deficient.

1840. That deficiency occurred from sales on the coast between the period of the last advices sent from the ships and the period of the delivery of the opium? -Yes, advices about a month before; he was also told that one vessel was under orders, with all her opium, for Singapore, and that she might have sailed before the order reached her.

1841. Was Captain Elliot told that part of the opium on board the ships was actually known to be sold, though not then delivered?—That I do not know.

James Malcolmson, Esq. called in; and Examined.

J. Malcolmson, Esq.

- 1842. Chairman. YOU are a partner in the house of Forbes, Forbes & Co.? ---I am.
- 1843. You are agents in London for a large portion of the Parsee merchants in Bombay?—For Parsee and Hindoo merchants in Bombay and Canton.

1844. Principally in Bombay?—Principally in Bombay.

1845. Your knowledge of the Parsee merchants in Bombay is very extensive?

—It is very considerable.

1846. Can you speak from your knowledge of the effect produced upon those people in Bombay by the withdrawal or the withholding of such a large amount of funds as were represented by the opium belonging to them seized in China?-The effect has been very serious upon the commercial interests of Bombay generally.

1847. And more particularly upon those persons engaged in the opium trade? -Particularly upon persons engaged in the internal trade of the country, as well in the article of opium as in all other articles.

1848. Its effect upon the trade of the district has been general?—It has.

1849. And very severe?—Very severe indeed; and to show that, I may mention, that soon after the matter in China took place, there was no such thing as taking any native bills in Bombay; indeed large shipments of specie round to Calcutta were made, a thing hardly known before.

1850. Have there been any failures among the Parsees?-Not to any extent;

but great forbearance is the cause of failures not having taken place. .

1851. Upon what was that forbearance founded?—Mutual forbearance between

creditor and debtor. 1852. Was that forbearance founded upon any expectation that the British Government would ultimately pay?—Upon a very confident expectation that the time would come when all would be right, by the British Government paying the indemnity. 1853. Was

1853. Was there much disappointment experienced at Bombay, upon the first refusal of the government to acknowledge the bills drawn from China?—As far as I am advised, very great indeed.

J. Malcolmson. Esq.

18 May 1840.

1854. Do you believe that those merchants relied with great confidence upon the validity of those documents?—With every confidence.

1855. They looked at it as an engagement of the British functionary, and they felt, no doubt, that it would be respected by the Government at home?—In all the letters that I have seen from the parties interested, in the first instance, there is not a doubt expressed of the fulfilment of the pledge.

1856. You are one of the gentlemen who signed a petition to the House of Commons, referred to this Committee, presented on the 24th of March?—I am.

1857. You there state that you are agents of a great number of persons; a certain number of them are at Calcutta?—The greater number are at Bombay, and the rest are in Calcutta and in Canton.

1858. Is the effect produced upon those people in Calcutta as great as in Bombay?—Not so great as at Bombay, I think.

1859. Is the class engaged in the trade at Bombay poorer?—It is more distributed, I think, at Bombay; many of the parties interested for very small amounts; many of them being very moderate capitalists; and I do not think that is so much the case at Calcutta.

1860. Do you think that many of those persons, who have experienced loss at Canton, have been able to continue the trade, and to avail themselves of the high prices which have subsequently prevailed in China?---I apprehend that the opium that has since gone on to China has been principally from Bengal. On looking to the exports from Bombay, during the whole 10 months that have elapsed since, the amount is very small comparatively.

1861. Then you think that, as far as Bombay goes, those who were engaged in the opium trade previously, and who sustained a loss when the opium was given up to Captain Elliot, have had no compensation for their loss by subsequent profits in the trade?—Not to any extent.

1862. Sir G. Grey.] You think the exports from Bombay have very much diminished?—They have.

1863. What has become of the opium which had been brought down to Bombay for the purpose of exportation?—It lies at Bombay now; that is one of the causes of the difficulties that have occurred.

1864. You state that there was a general expectation that the time would come when all would be right; did that expectation continue after it was known that the British Government had refused to liquidate the claim? Not so general.

1865. But there was still an expectation that in time all would be right?—That is the tone of the letters I have received. 1866. Still continued?—Still continued.

1867. Mr. E. Buller.] How do they expect that all will be made right; that it will be made right by an immediate payment from the British Government, or by compensation exacted from the Chinese?—I presume, in the first instance, it must come from the British Government; and that seems to be the impression, as far as my letters go. The expectation arose in this way; the refusal of the British Government was before Parliament met; then it was held out that when Parliament met something would be done; consequently the expectation continued that when Parliament met something would be done, and that from whatever source the money would ultimately come, it would first come from this Government.

1868. That forbearance which you spoke of as being the reason why bankruptcies were not very extensive in Bombay still continues, in the anticipation of receiving the amount with the sanction of Parliament, though the Government had

refused it?—To a very great extent.

1869. Supposing it to be refused by Parliament, do you anticipate that that forbearance will immediately cease?—I look upon the most disastrous consequences as likely to take place to the commercial body of that part of India, indeed of all parts of India interested in the opium, and also the produce of India generally, if something is not done.

1879. Will not the forbearance you have spoken of still continue in anticipation of compensation being obtained from the Chinese?—I am not aware that the parties expect the compensation to come from the Chinese; but that it must come

through the British Government.

1871. Sir G. Grey.] Do you think the forbearance would continue if it were distinctly 0.59.

J. Malcolmson, Esa.

18 May 1840.

distinctly understood that the British Government were prepared to enforce compensation from the Chinese, and to pay it over to the parties injured?—I think if the British Government would give a pledge that they would enforce it from the Chinese, that would to a certain extent be equivalent to a pledge of payment.

1872. Mr. E. Buller.] In that case you would not anticipate those disastrous

consequences to which you have referred?—Certainly not in the same degree.

1873. Chairman.] Would not that in some degree depend upon the time at which the payment would be likely to be made?—No doubt it would, because for-

bearance would only go to a certain extent.

1874. Mr. Gladstone.] Is it not the habit of the Chinese to make their payments with extreme tardiness?—I answered the question under an impression that the British Government would insist upon the Chinese paying, and consequently that would take it out of the ordinary course of payment between Chinese merchants and British merchants.

1875. And also under the impression that the British Government would require immediate payment of the whole sum?—Yes.

1876. Chairman.] In the usual course of trade between Bombay and China, do

not the returns come with great promptness generally?—They do.

1877. Mr. Brownrigg.] In the petition presented by your own house, you state that you are agents to a number of natives of India; was it intended to convey the opinion that all those natives were proprietors of the opium that had been given up?—Certainly it was.

1878. The whole of those are, more or less, proprietors of the opium?—The whole of them are, more or less, from one chest upwards, proprietors of the opium; they are the parties for whom, when the compensation becomes payable, our house

will have to receive the money.

1879. Chairman.] In the petition you presented you say, "That the beforementioned native merchants of India, who have been thus so seriously affected by the acts of the Chinese government and the British Superintendent, possess no other knowledge of the laws of England than the orders of the British authorities, and have paid implicit obedience to such orders, in entire faith and confidence in the British Government." Have you reason to believe that there is not a sufficient degree of education amongst those people to acquaint themselves with the English law, and that their only means of judging of it is through the orders issued by the public authorities with whom they are in communication?—There is sufficient education among those parties to acquire the knowledge, but the natives generally have not the opportunity of referring immediately to the laws; and in such a case as this it has been, I believe, a matter of great pains, even in this country, to refer to all the points bearing upon the question. The native merchants are in the habit of considering it the affair of the Government or the authorities to interpret the law, and they enter into no inquiry whether it is the law or not.

1880. Amongst the Parsee population in Bombay education is rapidly extending?

1881. Are they not a very valuable class of people, and, as regards trade in India, of vast importance to the prosperity of the country?—Certainly; they are most enterprising merchants.

1882. Advancing in éducation, in wealth, and in influence?—Certainly.

1883. Is there anything else connected with this subject that you wish to state to the Committee?—Nothing further than that the parties are many of them pressing anxiously for some declaration by the Government at home, that compensation will be given in some shape or other, otherwise they all contemplate the consequences as most alarming. Two parties mentioned in one of the recent letters have already committed suicide in consequence of the disappointment from this country.

1884. Mr. Clay.] You are referring now to your own correspondents?—Yes.

1885. Have any of those parties engaged in the opium trade since the surrender of the opium to Captain Elliot?—I have heard some of them have.

1886. You have no doubt that some of those parties to whom your house has

been agent have since engaged in the trade,?—To a small extent. 1887. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you aware of the answer that has been given by Lord John Russell in the House of Commons, to a question put by the Chairman of this Committee?—Yes.

1888. Do you think the declaration contained in that answer with respect to

compensation

compensation is likely to allay the fears of parties interested in the opium claims, and to produce a continuance of that forbearance respecting which you have been questioned?—It will have that tendency; but I think at this late period they will look for something more definite.

18 May 1840.

Esq.

J. Malcolmson,

1889. Chairman.] You mean that however strong that answer might have been, the merchants of Bombay would require something more precise and more distinct, in order to justify them in forbearing from calling up their debts from their debtors?—Certainly at this period. I have one or two letters here, which state very forcibly the feeling of some of the parties. This is a letter dated the 30th of May 1839, from a Parsee merchant of eminence at Bombay, largely interested in opium.

1890. One of the parties named in the petition?—Yes. "The pledge given by Captain Elliot is most full and unreserved; and when we look to the immense stake at issue, and the loss that must have fallen upon England had the general trade been stopped, we think the course he has pursued is the most judicious one he could have selected. Had not the opium been surrendered for the service of Her Majesty's Government, the whole trade would have been stopped, and perhaps the life of every foreigner in China endangered, which must have led to a fearful encounter with the Chinese nation, and the loss to England of the immense revenues derived from tea, which form a most important item in the assets of the country. Our agents, as British subjects, merely obeyed the orders of Her Majesty's Superintendent, and were not by fear or compulsion induced to the surrender of our property, which was not in jeopardy, and could not have been seized by the Chinese, guarded as it was by the armed Lintin fleet, which was quite equal to its protection. The question now rests between the two governments of England and China; and it is for the former to determine what course to pursue for the recovery of the property surrendered by Her Majesty's representative, whose pledge we should consider must be binding, as else all faith in the Government will be at an end. Our property has been peaceably surrendered for the service of our Sovereign, on the unreserved and full pledge of Her Majesty's Superintendent, that the full value should be repaid to us; and, in our opinion, it now only remains to hasten forward the settlement of these uncontested claims. And, on this point; we turn to you and your friendly regard for us, with confidence, as we feel assured that you will unceasingly exert yourselves and put in motion every engine that may lead to the desired success. The delay is the only thing we have to fear, and this we do certainly dread when we look around us, and see how long it takes even to arrange the simplest matter connected with government; but against the benumbing influence that prevails in all matters connected with India, we must most earnestly solicit that you will produce a counteraction, and by constant and repeated appeals, urge upon the authorities the importance to India of a speedy settlement. We have no doubt that an effort will be made to vitiate our just claims, on the plea that the opium trade was a contraband trade, and therefore not entitled to the protection of England; but this argument, if brought forward, is at once answered by the fact of the whole question of opium, and the Company's monopoly of it, on the Bengal side, having been the subject of inquiry by a Parliamentary Committee at the time of the renewal of the Charter, and that it was with the fullest information before them that the Parliament of England sanctioned a continuance of the said monopoly, and a consequent encouragement of the opium trade. The Honourable Company have derived an immense revenue from the trade which we and others have carried on in opium, and upon every principle of equity we are entitled to the fullest protection from the Government which has sanctioned such a gain by means so well known and so fully understood. Had the British Parliament disallowed the continuance to the Company of the opium monopoly, and branded its name as contraband and immoral, then the question would have been materially altered, and every man would have traded on his own risk; but by the course pursued, a pledge was given which must be fulfilled, and which we and all others are entitled to reckon upon without a shadow of a doubt. We rest upon the honour and integrity of the British Government."

1891. Have you a number of other letters, containing expressions to the same

effect, from various other parties?—Yes.

1892. Sir George Grey.] Are the other representations you hold in your hand to the same effect?—Very much to the same effect. There is one letter, dated the 4th of October, after the doubt had got out of the government confirming the pledge here: "The poor natives are not to be blamed for having traded in opium; 0.59.

1892. Sir George Grey.] Are the other representations you hold in your hand to the same effect. There is one letter, dated the 4th of October, after the doubt had got out of the government confirming the pledge here: "The poor natives are not to be blamed for having traded in opium; but

J. Malcolmson, Esq.

18 May 1840.

but the East India Company, who have, in every way, fostered the trade, and have and now are drawing an immense revenue from the same. You will be surprised when we tell you, that, notwithstanding all that has occurred, yesterday's Government gazette contained the usual annual proclamation for the granting of passes for the Malwa opium; and we learn from Calcutta, that the Supreme Government intend to bring forward 15,000 chests of Patna, and 7,500 chests of Benares opium, now ready in their godowns, and that none of the opium agencies are to be discon-We enclose, for your perusal, an extract from a letter on this subject: 'The Indian government have taken no steps whatever to check the sale or growth of the drug, but continue to draw immense profits from a trade that they pretend to call contraband. Is it honourable, is it dignified, for a government to foster a trade that is contraband, and by which their subjects will ultimately be ruined? If the Home Government wish, there will be no difficulty in putting an entire stop to the trade, and thereby relieve the poor natives, who easily fall into so seducing, but, at the same time, most dangerous trade. Does it not appear ridiculous that, on the one hand, Captain Elliot delivers up 20,000 chests, while, on the other, the Indian governments are bringing forward, for sale, upwards of 40,000 chests more?" There is a great deal more to the same effect.

1893. Is that letter written by one of the parties for whom you act?—It is from one of the Parsees.

1894. Mr. Hogg.] That letter, you say, was written on the 4th of October. When this letter was written, had the notice reached Bombay, that those orders drawn by Captain Elliot, on the British Government, had been partially repudiated?—I do not think they had, but they were most probably in possession of information to lead them to anticipate a refusal.

1895. At the time that letter was written, is it not your belief, from the communication you had from your correspondents, that the general feeling at Bombay was, that the British Government would not honour those bills?—Certainly it was.

1896. Sir G. Grey.] On what was that expectation, that the British Government would not honour those bills, founded?—In October I think they must have been in possession of advices from this country, informing them that their correspondents here doubted an immediate confirmation of Captain Elliot's act.

1897. Previous to any correspondence with the Treasury upon the subject?—

Certainly; long previously to any correspondence with the Treasury.

1898. Mr. C. Buller.] What is the date of Mr. Gordon's letter ?—The letter to Mr. Gordon was on the 7th of November, and the answer on the 11th of November.

1899. Sir G. Grey.] Have you had any recent communications from Bombay upon this subject?—Yes.

1900. Since the preparation for the armament against China?—Yes.

1001. Do they speak of this general forbearance to which you have referred as likely to last only a short time, or as still continuing, in expectation of the result of that armament?—The forbearance will not last to an indefinite period; it will

every day be getting more circumscribed.

1902. Are the Committee to understand you as representing the desire entertained by your correspondents at Bombay, to be for a distinct assurance on the part of the Government of ultimate payment?—Certainly, as stated in this letter: "Bombay, 29 January 1840.—If your good nation does not come forward and openly give some assurance for the opium indemnity you may depend that many respectable men will put an end to their lives. We have already seen two instances, and many more will occur in the interior, as men of high spirit and ancient family cannot bear the disgrace of appealing to the insolvent law. We are ourselves confident that Government will ultimately redeem the pledge of their own officer, but what will be the use when the mischief is done?"

1903. They would prefer immediate payment, but what they ask for is a distinct assurance of ultimate payment?—They ask for immediate payment, but now that they have been disappointed in getting that, it would be a great boon to get

a declaration of ultimate payment.

1904. What they are looking for now is a distinct assurance to the same effect as the answer which was referred to just now by a Member of this Committee, as given by Lord John Russell in the House of Commons?—Yes, something definite; that the claims arising out of Captain Elliot's guarantee will be fulfilled.

1905. Will be fulfilled within a reasonable period?—Yes.

1906. Mr. E. Buller.] Would you have a distinction between claims of the merchants, merchants, arising out of Captain Elliot's guarantee and the claims of the merchants, independently of the guarantee?—The claims of the merchants arise from

Captain Elliot's guarantee.

1907. The answer given in the House of Commons by Lord John Russell, in which he states the intention of the expedition to China as being to demand compensation for the merchants, would not arise out of Captain Elliot's guarantee, but out of the wrong that had been done to them by the Chinese government?-The merchants consider that they hold the pledge of the representative of the British Government, and upon that they have relied throughout.

1908. They want a recognition of this pledge ?—Yes.

1909. They do not want a mere engagement on the part of the Government that compensation shall be awarded for the wrongs they have received from the Chinese?—If they get a pledge from the British Government that Captain Elliot's guarantee will be fulfilled, they do not go further.

1910. They want an acknowledgment of the validity of Captain Elliot's engagement?—Yes, and ultimate compensation.

1911. Sir George Grey.] They want, in fact, the value of the opium which was surrendered?—They want an acknowledgment that the British Government will carry out the order which Captain Elliot issued on the 27th of March, in which the value of the opium was to be matter for future settlement.

1912. What they want is, that the value of the opium surrendered should be ascertained, and that when ascertained, that value should be paid to the individual

owners of the opium?--Yes.

1913. Chairman. You were understood to state, that some immediate settlement was, according to the statement of your correspondents, necessary to prevent great calamities in Bombay ?—Undoubtedly.

1914. Sir George Grey.] Some immediate settlement, or some immediate promise of settlement?—Some immediate settlement, if possible; but some immediate

promise would no doubt go a great way to lessen the distress.

1915. Since the correspondence with the Treasury has become known to them, do they look for immediate payment?—They urge immediate payment; but I fear that their hopes of immediate payment were damped by that correspondence.

1916. In the last communication you have received from Bombay, dated at the beginning of this year, is not the promise of future payment what is distinctly looked

for, and pressed upon your consideration?—Undoubtedly.

1917. Mr. Brownrigg.] Your meaning is this: that every person engaged in trade who has suffered a heavy loss from the withdrawal of property, if he could get immediate payment for it, of course his difficulties would be relieved at once; but next to that is the promise of some ulterior payment, because he would be enabled upon that promise, which his creditors would give faith to, either to obtain their further forbearance or to maintain his credit?—Exactly.

1918. If immediate payment could not be made, a promise that would be relied upon by himself and his creditors would have the effect of relieving him from embarrassment?—For a time.

1919. Sir George Grey.] Has any of what was termed opium scrip found its way to Bombay?—Opium scrip I understand to mean Captain Elliot's certificates. A great number of them found their way to Bombay.

1920. Were they negotiated?—Not at Bombay.

1921. Was any attempt made to negotiate them?—Not at Bombay; there was at Calcutta.

1922. Was there any judicial decision at Calcutta upon the validity of them? They were sold at about 35 l. a chest, being about one-fourth of the price at which Captain Elliot bought the remainder of the opium in China.

1923. Did the validity of that scrip ever come before a court of law in Calcutta? -I do not think that was the question on the trial alluded to; the question was

the responsibility of the guarantee for the China agent.

1924. Chairman.] Is there anything more you wish to state?—I would wish to read an extract from a letter of the 23d of May 1839, before they knew anything of the refusal of this Government. "Idle people say that opium is prohibited goods to carry to China for sale; but in the time of renewing the charter the Houses of Parliament ordered several Committees to take evidence and inquire respecting the India affairs, and, among other things, they have inquired into the opium monopoly; and also Home Government know this drug is to be carried to China and sold there, and not taking any notice, and allowing to give East India Com-

0.59.

J. Malcolmson. Esq.

18 May 1840.

J. Malcolinson, Esq.

18 May 1840.

pany privilege to continue and make annually more than one and a half millions sterling. If the Government had taken notice, and disallowed the system, this thing would not have occurred." And the same writer, in showing the anxiety of the Bengal Government to increase the trade, alludes to a sample of opium having been put up in order to send to China to suit the taste of the Chinese better than it had hitherto done.

1925. Mr. Hogg.] These letters are from claimants to whom part of the opium

belonged?—They are.

1926. Mr. Elliot.] Can you state why it was that none of the opium scrip could be negotiated at Bombay?—I think it was only attempted at Calcutta, where there is a much more extensive market for these sort of things than at Bombay; the thing was tried at Calcutta; it is referred to in the letter I have received merely as a curiosity; the sale was not attempted to any extent.

1927. Were not funds very much wanted at Bombay by the persons holding the scrip?—Funds were very much wanted latterly; not in the first instance; they fully relied upon it that the money would be paid, and the remittances made; and

all our letters direct us to remit the money immediately.

1928. You are not aware that any attempt was made to dispose of the opium

scrip?—I am not aware of any.

1929. Mr. E. Buller.] It appears to be generally admitted by the writers of these letters which you have read, that if the trade had been decidedly and avowedly a contraband trade, the parties would then have had no claim for compensation?—I do not apprehend that these letters go exactly to that, because the claim is founded upon Captain Elliot's engagement; but then they would not have the strong case that they have, if it had been decidedly understood to be a contraband trade in India as well as China.

1930. Those extracts which you have read appear to make the admission that if they had been engaged in a contraband trade, they would then have had no claim for compensation; is that, in your opinion, a just conclusion?— Certainly, if there

had been no positive engagement by Captain Elliot.

1931. But with that engagement on the part of Captain Elliot, do you conceive that if the trade had been a decidedly contraband trade they would have had that claim?—I conceive the parties would not waive the positive engagement of Captain Elliot, whatever the origin of the trade might be; but the object of these letters is to point out the facts that strengthen their claim upon the Government for the fulfilment of that pledge.

The following Extracts from the Canton General Price Current of Tuesday, 8th of May 1838, referred to in Mr. Inglis's Evidence of the 11th of May 1840, were delivered in and read, as follows:—

Deliveries of Opium at Lintin, from 1 April 1837 to 1 April 1838. In March 11 previous Months.

Total to 1st April.

	Chests.	Price.	Value in Dollars.	Chests.	Value in Dollars.	Chests.	Value in Pollars.	Stock, 1st April.
Patna (old) (new) Benares (old) - (new) Malwa (old) - (new)	485 197 257 90 861 <u>1</u>	480 430 385 385 385	232,800 } 84,710 } 98,945 } 34,650 }	4,847 1,318 <u>1</u> 13,8751	3,091,320 811,809 6,980,028	* ;		$ \begin{cases} 1,151 \\ 1,628 \\ 422 \\ 76 \\ 1,730 \end{cases} $
TOTAL -	1,890}	- #	786,895	20,0403	10,883,157	21,931	11,670,052	5,007

"Opium.—On the arrival of the three vessels from Calcutta, the prices of Patna and Benares gave way a little; but the departure of the judge for Pekin has produced a sudden demand for upwards of 100 chests, which, added to some speculators having oversold, and being obliged to purchase, has suddenly produced a brisk demand, to supply which sales have been made at various prices from 430 a' 465 for Patna, and 415 a' 440 for Benares. The old drug and Malwa have experienced little change."

Lunæ, 1° die Junii, 1840.

MEMBERS PRESENT.

Mr. Brownrigg. Mr. Charles Buller. Mr. Herbert. Mr. Hogg. Mr. Edward Buller. Mr. Parker. Mr. Clay. Lord Sandon. Sir William Somerville. Mr. Colquhoun. Mr. W. Gladstone. Sir George Staunton. Mr. John Abel Smith. Sir George Grey.

JOHN ABEL SMITH, Esq. in the Chair.

THE following extract from "The Monthly Review of the Calcutta Market," of 31st August 1837, referred to in the evidence of William Jardine, esq. was delivered in, and read:-

1 June 1840.

OPIUM.

The opium question, in regard to the bonus offered by Government, has occasioned some very animated debates during the month amongst our mercantile community. A general meeting was held on the 16th instant, which was followed by another at the Chamber of Commerce. The following is the final notification of the Board of Customs.

Opium. Notification.

The following rules and conditions under which adjustments will be made with the shippers of opium, purchased at the January, February, March, and June sales of 1837, are published for the information of parties concerned.

1. Shippers to China of opium, purchased at the sales of January, February, and March, 1837, if the ship left the port before the 1st of August last, will receive at the rate of 140

Company's rupees per chest.
2. Upon opium of the June sale, shipped in vessels which left this port before the 1st of

August, nothing will be paid.

3. Upon opium of the sales of January, February, and March, 1837, shipped from this port to any port of the Straits or Eastern Archipelago, before the 1st May last, nothing will now be paid; but if it shall be hereafter proved by the production of bills of lading and other evidence, to the satisfaction of the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium, that any part of this opium has been sent on from Singapore, Malacca, or Penang to China, before the 1st June 1837, then the shippers thereof at such port will receive the same amount per chest as all other shippers of opium of said sales to China, viz. at the rate of 140 rupees per chest.

4. Shippers of opium of the sales of January, February, and March, 1837, to the settlements in the Straits, on vessels which left this port after the 1st May last, and before the 1st August last, will receive the same amount per chest as shippers to China, viz. 140 rupees on each chest of the said first three sales of the year 1837, but no payment will be made upon opium of the June sales, as already provided in the second of these conditions.

5. Payment of the amount per chest as above allotted, will in each instance be made by the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium, to the actual shippers from this port, or from Singapore, Penang, and Malacca, as the case may be.

6. The Board of Customs, on being satisfied as to the actual shippers of any lots or chests, will grant to him an order on the general treasury for the amount payable under these rules, taking a receipt specifying that the party receives the amount on behalf of all parties concerned or interested in the said lots or chests. The order of the Board on the general treasury may, at the option of the holder, be cashed or paid in satisfaction of any general treasury may, at the option of the holder, be cashed or paid in satisfaction of any demand of Government.

It is further notified,

7. That the public sale price per chest of all opium purchased at the sales of 1837, which was cleared or left this port after the 31st July last, will be adjusted, with the following abatements:

On opium of the January, February, and March sales, 300 rupees per chest will be

remitted from the price of such chest.

QΩ

1 June 1840.

On opium of the June sale, 150 rupees per chest will be remitted from the price of such chest.

8. On all opium paid for, but not shipped, between the 31st July and the 26th instant, the amount allowed in abatement as above, will be refunded to the party producing in this office the pass or order upon the opium godown-keeper for the delivery of such opium; and prior to its being returned to such party, the re-adjustment of the price, and the amount refunded, will be notified on the pass.

refunded, will be notified on the pass.

9. On all opium paid for and shipped between the 31st July and the 26th instant, the amount allowed in abatement will be refunded to the shipper, and a receipt taken as in the

sixth condition.

10. On opium neither shipped nor cleared before the 26th instant, the amount allowed in abatement will be remitted from the price at the time of payment being made in full, and orders for the delivery of the opium being taken from this office.

It is further notified,

11. That the opium of the sale of February 1837 remaining unpaid for on the 14th of September next will be re-sold, on account of Government, on the following day, the deposits being previously forfeited.

12. That the opium of the sale of March 1837 remaining unpaid for on the 14th October next will be re-sold, on account of Government, on Monday the 16th of October, the depo-

sits being previously forfeited.

13. That the opium of the sale of June 1837 remaining unpaid for on the 30th of November next will be sold on the 1st of December, the deposits being previously forfeited.

By order of the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium, the 28th of August 1837,

S. G. Palmer, Acting Secretary.

James Malcolmson, Esq. called in; and further Examined.

J. Malcolmson, Esq.

1932. Chairman.] WHEN you were last examined before the Committee, you put in various letters from persons connected with the opium trade, detailing the distress experienced at Bombay, in consequence of the seizure in China; have you any other letters, from persons not interested in the trade, to put in, or any further explanation to offer, as to the effects produced by the circumstances that took place in China?—In consequence of a remark having been made, at my last examination, that the letters I read were from parties interested, I have looked through the correspondence of our friends and agents at Bombay and at Calcutta, neither of the houses being interested in opium, and I perceive throughout one general feeling of apprehension of the consequences on trade generally should the indemnity for the opium not be fulfilled, or an early promise given that it would be fulfilled, and one general feeling, particularly on the Bombay side, that there would be difficulty in getting the cotton crops to market, arising from the want of the usual advances, the parties following that trade being principally natives, and not able, from having been deprived of their capital, to make the usual advances; and to show that very strongly, I took out the amount of cotton brought down to Bombay in the year 1839, from the 1st of January to the 29th of March 1839, and compared it with the three months of 1840, and the difference is very marked. From the 1st of January to the 29th of March 1839, there were 36,000 candies, or about 72,000 bales of cotton brought down to Bombay. From the 1st of January to the 22d of March, which is the last day of this present year, to which the accounts come down, the amount was only 9,900 candies, or about 20,000 bales, being about one-fourth the quantity in the previous year, and this is one cause why prices at Bombay have kept up, to the surprise of all those connected with the trade, the quantity brought down being so extremely small.

1933. Mr. Colquhoun. Is that in any way to be accounted for by the crop naving failed?—The crop is considered to be a very abundant one. This information comes from houses in no way interested in the opium; for although our house here represents the owners of upwards of 5,000 chests of opium,

our European friends in India are in no way interested in it.

1934. Chairman.] The expectation of a low price of cotton during the spring at Bombay has been almost universal?—It has been universal in this country.

1935. And that expectation has been counteracted by the small quantities brought down from the interior in the absence of the advances usually made by the native merchants at Bombay?—It has.

1936. Have you any other letters that you wish to bring before the Committee?

—I have given the substance of a variety of letters, extending from June last year

to the latest dates; and although the subject is not so strongly put from Calcutta, it is still frequently alluded to in the letters from thence; but the trade of Bengal in many other articles being so much more extensive, it is not felt so much at Calcutta as at Bombay, where the native merchants interested in opium are generally the same as those interested in cotton.

J. Malcolmson, Esq.

1 June 1840.

1937. Do you know whether, in the case of the export of opium from Calcutta, it is very often on Bombay account?—Very frequently.

1938. In fact, the Bombay merchants are the principal dealers in opium?— The Bombay native merchants of late years have been large operators in Calcutta as well as at Bombay.

Ardaseer Cursetjee, Esq., called in; and Examined.

1939. Chairman.] ARE you a Parsee gentleman of Bombay, upon a visit to Arduseer Curseijce, this country for scientific objects connected with steam navigation in India?—Yes.

1940. Are you wholly unconnected with trade yourself?—Entirely unconnected.

1941. Is your family also, to a great degree, unconnected with trade?—My own family is entirely unconnected with the opium trade.

1942. Are any of them merchants?—Some of them are merchants.

1943, But you know various persons who are connected with the opium trade?—I do.

1944. And you know the great extent to which the opium trade is carried on at Bombay?—Yes.

1945. When did you leave Bombay?—On the 13th of September last.

1946. Had the news of the seizure of the opium by the Chinese or the surrender of it by Captain Elliot reached Bombay before you left?—Yes.

1947. What was the effect when the first intelligence of that event reached Bombay?—They seemed in great distress in the first instance, and then afterwards they made a petition to this Government, and they were in very sanguine hopes that they might be remunerated.

1948. Their confidence was very great that the Government would confirm

the act of Captain Elliot?—Yes.

1949. Have you heard since you left what was the effect which the intelligence produced that the Government had refused at first to pay?—No, I have not myself heard about it, because we are not connected with opium, and they do not give us any extensive accounts about the opium trade.

1950. Do you know what has been the effect produced at Bombay upon the mercantile public by their disappointment in getting payment from the Govern-

ment as they expected?—I do not know anything since I left Bombay.

1951. Have you heard anything of the distress produced amongst certain individuals?—The only thing I heard was, that certain friends of ours had been dead on account of the opium.

1952. You refer to a gentleman who destroyed himself?—Yes.

1953. And your letters state that that was produced by his disappointment? -Not by this disappointment, but by some disappointment about the opium trade.

1954. You do not think it was the disappointment of not receiving his money from the British Government?—I do not know.

1955. Mr. Brownrigg.] Are you a native of Bombay?—Yes.

1956. Have you resided there for many years?—Yes, I was born at Bombay,

and have resided all my lifetime at Bombay.

1957. Of course you have an intimate knowledge of the general feelings of the native subjects of the British Government, upon any great political measure that might occur?-Yes.

1958. Are you of opinion that in consequence of the refusal on the part of the Government in England, to confirm the engagement of Captain Elliot, the character of the British Government for good faith, which has been so well established in India, will be materially affected?—I should think so.

1959. The natives of India, generally, are accustomed to rely with implicit confidence upon the fulfilment of any pledge given by any public officer?—Cer-

tainly, they always do so.

1960. And a refusal in this instance, would tend to shake their faith in that respect?—It is natural that it should.

1961. Chairman.]

Ardaseer Cursetjee, Esq.

1 June 1840.

1961. Chairman. Do you know anything of the course of the opium trade. and the system of advances made at Bombay, to persons in the interior, or the details of the mode in which it is carried on?-I never knew anything particularly upon the subject.

1962. You have no personal knowledge upon the subject?—I have no per-

sonal knowledge about it.

1063. Have you any reason to know that the large sums locked up in China after the surrender of the opium seriously embarrassed some of the principal Parsee merchants at Bombay?—Yes.

1964. Have you any reason to doubt, supposing that money is not repaid to them, that ultimate ruin must be the consequence to many families?—Yes, ruin

must be the consequence to many families.

- 1965. Mr. Parker.] Would not that ruin have taken place equally if the Chinese government had seized the opium without paying for it?—I do not think the merchants would have allowed the Chinese to seize it; but certainly this opium was delivered with the particular idea that they had some person to look to.
- 1966. Supposing the Chinese government had seized the opium without paying for it, would not the loss have been equally great to the people who sent it from India?—It would have been equally great.

1967. Sir William Somerville.] You know nothing about these transactions. any further than from what you have read in the public papers?—Exactly.

- 1968. Chairman. Your information is wholly limited to the consequences produced at Bombay by the surrender of that large quantity of property at Canton?—Yes.
- 1969. Sir W. Somerville.] Did you ever hear the slightest doubt expressed at Bombay about Captain Elliot's power?—Not to the best of my knowledge. When first this news came that Captain Elliot held himself a guarantee, everybody was very much rejoiced, and they thought themselves quite safe.

1970. They considered he had full power to act as he did?—Yes.
1971. Was the general impression that he was acting under instructions from his Government, or upon his own responsibility?—They always understood, so far as I know, that he acted by authority of his Government.

1972. In demanding this opium :—Yes.

1973. Chairman.] Was not there a very considerable desire on the part of all parties in Bombay to show great indulgence to persons whose property was so locked up in China, under the confident belief that they would ultimately have the means of fulfilling their engagements when they received the money from the English Government?—Yes.

1974. And there was no general apprehension that the Government could disavow, or would disavow, Captain Elliot's act?-Not when we first heard of it.

- 1975. Mr. Parker. When were the circumstances first known at Bombay? -Not till I left; we heard all the news from China, but not from this Govern-
- 1976. Sir W. Somerville. It was not known then that the Government had refused to confirm the act of Captain Elliot?—No.
- 1977. Mr. Parker.] Was it known that the merchants at Canton were put under restraint?—Yes.
- 1978. Chairman.] Do you happen to have heard whether the ordinary operations in other branches of trade have been interfered with by the locking up of so much capital?—It would interfere with a good many branches of trade in consequence of the large amount of money locked up.

1979. Have you heard anything of the cotton trade at Bombay during this

spring?—No, I have not heard anything about the cotton trade.

1980. Is a large proportion of the trading transactions at Bombay carried on by Parsees?—Yes.

1981. They are the principal persons engaged in trade?—They are the

principal merchants.

- 1982. Mr. Parker.] Are the parties in India who carry on the trade aware that it is a trade not recognised by the Chinese government?—I think most of them are not aware of those things, because a good many of those who are connected with those things are petty merchants, and they are not much educated.
 - 1983. Chairman.] They only look to the sanction of the government under which

which they live?—I cannot tell their feeling exactly, because I have never had Ardaseer Cursetjee, an opportunity of talking upon this subject with them.

Esq.

1984. Was it considered a legal trade as far as the Indian government went?
—Most of them understood that it was a legal trade, because we never had an interruption previous to this occurrence.

1 June 1840.

1985. There was nobody there who doubted that the Indian government under which they lived allowed the trade?—I do not know, I am sure.

1986. You are aware that the Indian government raised a large revenue upon the export of opium from Bombay?—Yes, the government has got some duty, I believe, upon the opium.

1987. Sir W. Somerville.] What were the general current ideas in Bombay with regard to the restrictions imposed by the Chinese upon this opium; was it generally understood to be a contraband transaction?—They must have been aware of it, because they never allowed the opium to be shipped on board the East India Company's vessels, to the best of my knowledge, and they never allowed them to take it to Canton; they were always obliged to tranship the opium into the receiving ships before they went into the Chinese waters.

1988. Then it was entirely carried on in private bottoms?—I suppose so.

1989. And it was notorious that the trade was an illicit trade in China?—I should think not.

1990. Do you think that some engaged in it under the idea that it was a legal traffic?—That may be; because most of the merchants, from high to low, are connected with opium, and many people's impression was, I have no doubt, that the trade was legal.

1991. What was your own opinion?—I was always of opinion that it was not legal.

1992. And there were a number of merchants at Bombay under the same impression?—Yes.

1993. Mr. E. Buller.] And, knowing it to be illegal, they were aware that it must be liable to greater risks than a legal trade?—I never conversed upon this subject, and, therefore, I could not take upon myself to answer that question.

1994. Sir William Somerville.] The trade in opium is generally considered to be carried on under a great risk?—Yes, I think so.

1995. Consequently the profits were upon many occasions proportionably large?—Yes.

1996. Chairman.] Do you think it was considered generally, in Bombay, that it was attended with greater risk than an ordinary trade must always have attending it?—To the best of my knowledge they never had a thought of any danger, or any serious effect upon their mind regarding the trade; but they used to understand that it is not a legal trade.

1997. You mean in China?—In China.

1998. But it was a legal trade in India?—As far as I can see.

1999. You believe that to have been the general impression?—Yes; but I know that some of them were aware that they never used to allow it on board the East India Company's ships, and therefore, of course, some persons must have been aware that there was something wrong.

2000. A great number of Parsees have engaged in the trade to a small extent?—Yes.

2001. Sir W. Somerville.] Is opium never exported from Bombay to any other part, except to Canton?—To Canton and Macao, and those places in China.

2002. Chairman. Does not it go occasionally to Singapore and to the Straits?—Yes.

2003. Sir W. Somerville.] Is opium never exported in vessels connected with the East India Company?—So far as I know, it is not. They do not take it to sell at Singapore, but sometimes they tranship it to go to China.

2004. Even that is carried on in private merchant ships?—Yes.

2005. It is an understood thing that the opium that goes to Singapore is to be forwarded afterwards to Canton or to Macao?—I believe it is.

2006. Chairman.] Does it always follow so?—It always follows that it is to go to Canton.

2007. Is there any large consumption of opium in the Straits?—Some Chinese junks come into the Straits and buy some opium.

o.59. s 2 2008. Sir

Ardaseer Cursetjee, Esq.

1 June 1840.

2008. Sir W. Somerville.] Even at the time before the trade was opened it was not customary for the East India Company's ships to be engaged in the opium trade?—Exactly so; and since the new charter, there are no East India Company's ships.

2009. Chairman.] It was a legal trade at Singapore, was it not?—I do not

know.

- 2010. Mr. E. Buller.] Was any considerable portion of the opium consumed in India?—Not any considerable part in India.
- 2011. Do not the Mahomedans in India consume it to a considerable extent?

 No, the inhabitants of Malwa, I believe, use a quantity of opium, but it is no comparison with what goes to China.

2012. Is a considerable quantity consumed in India?—I should think not a very considerable quantity, but some quantity is used in India.

- 2013. Is it commonly used by the Mahomedans in India?—It is not the Mahomedans, it is another caste we call the Malwaree, who generally use opium.
- 2014. Are the Malwarees generally Mahomedans or Hindoos?—They are a kind of Bengalese, not exactly Mahomedans and not exactly Hindoos; something between.
- 2015. But the Hindoos, and particularly those of high caste, do not use opium?—Some of the princes in the different countries do use opium, I believe.
- 2016. Then there is no religious restriction upon the use of opium?—No, the restriction by the Hindoos religion is upon spirits and liquors, but not upon opium or tobacco.
- 2017. And that is the case whether they belong to the Mahomedan or to any other religion?—I do not know that opium has been forbidden by any religion.
- 2018. Chairman.] With regard to that unfortunate suicide of your friend in Bombay, your letters state that it was produced by his losses in opium?—By his losses in opium; he was a respectable man, and the person that had lent him money, demanded it in a very sharp manner, and he had not the means to fulfil it immediately.
- 2019. He was a man of proud and high mind?—He was a man of proud mind; a high-minded man.
- 2020. And he did not like the disgrace attendant upon the failure of his engagements?—No.
- 2021. Do you think that the want of power to fulfil their engagements which may be caused by the withholding of this money, will produce a very deep effect upon the Parsees in Bombay?—I should think it will produce an effect upon persons of every nation engaged in trade.
- 2022. They would feel the disgrace of failing in their engagements very deeply?—I think they would.
- 2023. Sir G. Staunton.] Do you know any instance of opium being seized by the Chinese government previously to the late transactions?—I believe they did so.
- 2024. Are there any instances of opium having been seized previously to this?—Yes.
- 2025. Where was it seized?—At some place near Canton; what we call a smuggling-boat comes alongside the English vessel, and carries away the opium, and generally a Chinese boat was watching them, and when they came in contact they used to seize them.
 - 2026. They seized the opium in the Chinese boats?—Yes.
- 2027. Not from any European vessel?—Yes, they seized it in a European vessel, if they were aware that the European ship possessed any opium, in their own waters.
- 2028. Did the loss fall upon the seller of the opium?—It depended upon whether they insured the opium.

Alexander Matheson, Esq., called in; and Examined.

2029. Chairman.] ARE you a partner in the house of Jardine, Matheson & A. Matheson, Esq. Company?—Yes.

2030. When did you go to Canton first?—I went to Canton in December

1 June 1840.

- 2031. Have you resided there ever since, till you quitted?—With a short absence.
 - 2032. When did you quit China?—On the 25th of June 1839.
- 2033. You quitted Canton previously to that?—I left Canton on the 12th of March 1839.
- 2034. Was Commissioner Lin then in Canton?—It turned out that he was, but I was not aware of it; he arrived in Canton on the 10th of March, but his being there was not generally known.
- 2035. He was daily expected?—He was known to be in the neighbourhood of Canton; some people said that he was in Canton, but we were not quite sure of it.

2036. What was the reason of your quitting Canton at that particular moment?—I was sent down by the house in order to be ready to send away the opium ships in the event of its being judged expedient after Lin's arrival.

2037. Had you any consultation with the Hong merchants upon the subject of sending the ships away?—Yes, we consulted with one of the Hong merchants, with Mowqua, the second Hong merchant, upon the subject, whether we should send away the opium ships before he arrived, or after he arrived. The Hong merchants said that they did not themselves know what measures he intended adopting with regard to the opium trade, and that it would be better to wait till he was actually in Canton, at the same time that one of us ought to be on the spot ready to act at a moment's notice.

2038. Did they consider it a possible case that he was coming down to legalize the trade?—They could not tell what his intentions were; some said that he was coming down to legalize the trade; others said that he was coming down to put a stop to it, if possible; no person seemed to know precisely what his orders were.

2039. What was the belief of your own house?—The Viceroy, previous to his coming down, was very rigid in his endeavours to put down the trade; and it was feared that a rebellion would be the consequence about Canton, in consequence of the strict measures which had been adopted. We fancied that the intention was first of all to inquire into the measures which the Viceroy had been carrying on, and afterwards to act with regard to the opium trade as he saw most expedient.

2040. You thought that the course of future events was one of great doubt and uncertainty?—That Lin would first make inquiry, and afterwards act on the facts which he discovered; that he would make up his mind what ought to be done after inquiry.

2041. How soon after your arrival at Macao did Lin's edict appear?—Lin's edict was published on the 18th of March, and I had it at Macao on the 21st of March.

2042. Did your house send you any orders in consequence of the publication of that edict?—Yes; orders accompanied the edict to send away the ships immediately.

2043. Did you act upon that order?—I sent away two ships, one with 1,400 chests of opium, and another with about 1,000. I sent away about 2,400 chests in the course of a few days.

2044. Where did you send them to?—I sent them with orders to cruize between certain latitudes in the China seas for a month.

2045. Quite out of the Chinese jurisdiction?—Beyond the reach of the Chinese jurisdiction; two or three hundred miles from Canton.

2046. Did those ships actually go:—Yes.

2047. Did you take any measures with regard to any others?—Yes; we had about 3,000 chests in one ship, and as we could not insure so large a quantity in one ship, we were in the act of transshipping about half that quantity to another, and the intention was to send both those ships away as soon as the transhipment was completed.

2048. Where to ?—To Singapore.

A. Matheson, Esq.

1 June 1840.

2049. When the opium was surrendered by Captain Elliot, were fresh orders sent you by your house?—Yes; they stated that in compliance with Captain Elliot's order they had surrendered the whole of the opium to him, and that it would be necessary to recal the ships that had been already sent away, and to stop the transhipment that was going forward.

2050. Did you recal those ships that you had sent away?—Yes, I sent

another vessel to recal them.

2051. Did that vessel find them cruizing?—She found one of them. The other came back, after being out a month, according to the previous understanding; she came back to a distant point on the Chinese coast, where there were letters waiting for her.

2052. That opium formed part of the opium surrendered by Captain Elliot?

-Yes, the whole of it.

- 2053. When given up by you, it was entirely not only out of the immediate reach of the Chinese, but beyond the limits of any pretended control of the Chinese authorities?—Out of the Chinese waters altogether; 100 miles away from China.
- 2054. Had the Portuguese at Macao any opium?—Yes, a considerable quantity.
 - 2055. Do you know how much ?—I should think about 1,800 to 2,000 chests.
 - 2056. What did they do with that opium?—They sent it away to Manilla.

2057. Did they ever surrender any?—Not a chest.

2058. Mr. Herbert.] Were they ever asked to do so?—They were asked to do so, but they declared they had none; that they had sent it all away, and they gave their bond not to admit any more into Macao.

2059. Chairman.] Do you know what was done with that opium afterwards?

-It was sold on the east coast of China.

2060. At a very large profit?—Yes.

- 2061. Do you know personally that it was so?—I do; some of it was sold before I left China.
- 2062. Mr. Hogg.] Were those ships of yours with the opium which you spoke of cruizing about, or were they delivering opium?—Cruising about; they brought back every chest that went out in them.

2063. Had you any ships employed at the time on the coast of China?—A

great many.

2064. In the act of delivering?—Yes.

2065. And those ships were also called back?—They were.

2066. And the residue that remained in them formed part of the 20,000 chests?—Yes.

2067. Chairman.] Was there not some opium belonging to your house sold between the period of the return given in by your house to Captain Elliot of the quantity of opium under your control, and the moment when it was actually surrendered?—Yes.

2068. And you made up the quantity sold during the interval?—Yes, we

made it up.

2069. Sir G. Grey.] You said that the opium sent away was removed altogether from within the control of the Chinese; is it your impression that the opium which was at Lintin in the receiving ships was within the control of the Chinese?—No, they could not get it.

2070. Was there any substantial difference between the opium at Lintin and the opium sent away, so far as it might be affected by any control to be exercised by the Chinese?—There was no substantial difference. The Chinese could exercise no control over either; but the foreigners themselves could no longer control the opium sent away.

2071. Did the Chinese claim a jurisdiction in the one case which they disavowed in the other, or did they equally disavow the claim of jurisdiction in both cases?—I cannot tell what passed between the Chinese and Captain Elliot.

2072. Was it the general impression of the foreign community that the opium at Lintin was liable to seizure, and that the opium at a distance was not?

—I do not conceive that the opium at Lintin was liable to seizure on board British vessels.

2073. Then the only difference that it made was, that it was removed out of the knowledge of the Chinese, and that they could not demand its surrender, because they did not know of its existence?—They did not know where it was.

2074. Did

2074. Did not it remain equally subject to the control of your house after it A. Matheson, Esq. was sent away?—No; my house agreed in the first instance to give up a small quantity of opium. The Canton community agreed to give up 1,037 chests.

1 June 1840.

2075. Did not this opium sent away by your direction from Lintin remain subject to the orders and control of your house?—No, it was subject to my orders.

2076. As a partner in the house?—No, to me individually. The moment that they agreed to surrender 275 chests they sent an express to each of the captains requesting that they would hold all the opium less those 275 chests to my order, and not attend to any order which they might afterwards give for any part of it.

2077. What was the quantity of opium comprised in the return made by your house to Captain Elliot, as being the opium within the control of your house?—From seven to eight thousand chests.

2078. Did that exclude or include the opium which had been sent away by your directions?—It included the whole.

2079. Mr. Hogg.] What was the object of placing it individually under your control?—In order that no compulsory measures of the Chinese should force the partners then in Canton to deliver any more opium.

2080. Were you not yourself resident in Canton?—No, I was at Macao.

2081. Sir George Grey.] The apprehension of your house was, that some means of coercion might be resorted to as affecting the persons of those members of your firm who were at Canton, and the object of placing it under your control was, that you being absent from Canton, they might be able to say that the opium was no longer subject to their control?—Yes, and those who surrendered the 1,037 chests agreed, in the event of its being accepted, to abstain in future from further trading in opium.

2082. But, in point of fact, the resident members of your firm at Canton did include, in their return, the opium so sent away; they did not feel themselves justified, owing to its being under your sole direction, to withhold it from the return given in of opium of which the Chinese demanded the surrender?— When they came to treat with Captain Elliot, they conceived themselves bound to obey any order which Captain Elliot might issue, but they did not conceive themselves bound to obey the order of the Chinese.

2083. Chairman.] In the first instance, it was placed under your control, as a means of evasion, to escape from the compulsion of the Chinese authorities, but in treating with Captain Elliot you felt yourself bound to give up the whole?—In giving up the opium to Captain Elliot, the orders drawn upon the captains were sent enclosed to me, and it rested with me to obey the orders.

2084. Sir G. Grey. Was not the requisition upon Captain Elliot founded upon a demand previously made by the Chinese authorities for the surrender of the opium?—Î do not know what passed between the Chinese government and Captain Elliot. In the first edict of the 18th of March, they required all the opium to be surrendered under the control of foreign merchants at

2085. Was not Captain Elliot's requisition co-extensive with the demand on the part of the Chinese?—Yes.

2086. Was not the demand for the surrender of the opium under the control of the British firms resident at Canton?—Yes.

2087. If this distinction existed with regard to the opium placed under your control, and existed owing to a settled purpose, on the part of the firm, to evade the surrender to the Chinese, why did not your firm at Canton make that distinction themselves, and state to Captain Elliot that only a portion of this opium was within their control, and that the rest had been placed beyond it?—I was a member of the firm myself.

2088. But the opium which had been sent away no longer remained subject to the control of the firm?—Except with my sanction. I could obey or disobey the order of the firm as I chose.

2089. Then, in point of fact, the resident members of your firm at Canton, did not consider themselves justified in making an exception of the opium sent away, inasmuch as they knew that it substantially remained within their control?—Yes; in acting with the British Government.

2090. Chairman.] In the first case, it was a studied evasion, in order to avoid

A. Matheson, Esq. any compulsory attempt of the Chinese to get the opium, and in the other case you felt bound to act with perfect good faith, and as you could deliver it up, you felt bound to deliver it :---Yes.

2001. Mr. Clay.] If a certain amount of coercion had been exercised towards your partners at Canton, that would have induced you to give up the opium, although you had not their permission?-I do not know how that might have been; I was not put to the test.

2092. Sir G. Grey. You were left to the exercise of your own discretion as

to the circumstances that might arise?—Yes.

2003. You said that one ship that was sent away was ordered to return in a limited time?—She was ordered to anchor in a certain bay a month after the date of her departure, and to receive fresh orders; there were fresh orders waiting for her at a certain point.

2094. Chairman.] Do you know what that point was?—Taitam Bay, just at

the mouth of Canton River.

2095. Sir G. Grey.] The object of her returning was to ascertain whether a change of circumstances had taken place, which might render it safe to offer that opium for sale?—Precisely; or in the event of no change taking place, to send it to Singapore or to Manilla.

2096. Chairman.] When did you leave Macao on your route home?—On

the 25th of June.

2097. Did you come through Bombay?—Yes.

2008. Are you well acquainted with the details of the opium trade as carried on at Bombay?--Yes.

2099. A large proportion of the opium exported to China is on account of the merchants at Bombay?—Yes, a large proportion of it.

2100. Is a large proportion of the Bengal opium also exported on account of merchants at Bombay?—Yes, a large proportion.

2101. Is there as much as a third?—I should say nearly a third; certainly a fourth of it.

2102. Of course the news of what had occurred in China with regard to the surrender of the opium had arrived in Bombay before you reached it?-

2103. What was the effect of that intelligence?—It produced a great deal of pecuniary distress; there had been two or three failures previous to my arrival in Bombay.

2104. Did that affect all classes, or only the small houses. Were any of those that were previously possessed of ample means and credit, affected as

well as the little people?—Yes.

2105. Do you know of any specific instances of distress produced?—Yes: I know several where parties owed sums of money, and their creditors were abstaining for a time from pressing for payment of those sums, under the expectation that they would be relieved, by compensation being paid.

2106. Was there any apprehension or doubt expressed in Bombay as to the readiness of the British, Government to fulfil Captain Elliot's engagement?— The natives were very sanguine of being paid; they had little or no doubt of it

at first.

2107. The news of the conduct of the British Government in refusing to pay those certificates had not reached Bombay when you quitted it?—Before I quitted Bombay, the news had reached that applications had been made to the Treasury, but that no answer had been given.

2108. That a doubt was expressed as to the payment?—That doubts were expressed in letters from this country whether the British Government would pay or would not pay.

2109. Did that produce much alarm and consternation?—Yes, it did; the natives, however, were very sanguine of the payment; they said that they had never known a case in which the British Government or the East India Company had disavowed the acts of their servants; that they always placed the most implicit reliance upon the word of the Government servants, or the Company's servants, and they could not believe that such a thing was possible as the Government disavowing the acts of their servants.

2110. Mr. Herbert.] Who held that language?—The principal natives of Bombay.

2111. But

2111. But the scrip went down at the same time?—I am not aware that A. Mutheson, Esq. there was any sale of scrip at Bombay.

1cJune 1840.

2112. Sir G. Grey.] What was the date of those letters to India, which had conveyed the impression to which you have adverted?—I think they must have been dated in August.

2113. Are you confident that they stated that application had been then made to the Treasury for payment, or was it merely the impression of merchants here, that when the claim was made, it would not be followed by payment?—I am not confident upon that point; but I read some of the letters, which expressed a doubt, at all events, as to the immediate payment of the scrip.

2114. Of what date?—The end of July or the beginning of August.

- 2115. That was three months before the formal application to the Treasury?
- 2116. Chairman.] Was the general trade of Bombay much deranged by the capital of those merchants being locked up?—Very much indeed.
- 2117. Was there any effect produced upon the sale of British manufactured goods?—Yes, the sales have diminished considerably.
- 2118. You do not know the relative quantities?—No, I cannot state the relative quantities.
- 2119. Do you know whether the cotton export trade from Bombay was affected also?—The export trade to China was affected very considerably.
- 2120. Is not the system of the cotton trade at Bombay this, that persons in the interior receiving advances upon their cotton goods, send them down to merchants in Bombay?—Sometimes contracts are made; but I believe a large portion of cotton is purchased by the exporters after its arrival in Bombay.
- 2121. To enable persons to bring it to Bombay, are there not advances made?—There used to be large advances made upon opium; I am not so well. acquainted with the cotton trade.
- 2122. Mr. E. Buller.] You are yourself a partner in Jardine's house?— I am.
 - 2123. There is another gentleman of your name at Canton?—There is.
- 2124. What house is he a partner in ?—In Jardine's. He is now the head of Jardine's house in China.
 - 2125. Is he a relation of yours?—He is an uncle of mine.
- 2126. He was present during the whole of those transactions at Canton?
- 2127: Do you know whether he was consulted by Captain Elliot at that time? -No, he was not consulted by him.
 - 2128. Certainly not?—Certainly not.
- 2129. Supposing it should have been stated by any witness before this Committee that he was consulted, you are convinced that that is a mistake?—He received communications from Captain Elliot; but I am not aware that Captain. Elliot asked advice from any party.

2130. You had letters, subsequently, from Mr. Matheson?—Yes; I am quite positive that he was not consulted by Captain Elliot.

- 2131. Sir George Staunton.] You stated that when Commissioner Lin arrived, you proposed to send away the ships from Lintin, but that the Hong merchants recommended you not to do so?—They said it would be better to wait and see what course Lin adopted. They said they could not be sure whether Lin would prefer reporting to the Emperor that he found no ships there, or that he himself had driven them all away.
- 2132. Therefore they recommended you to wait?—Yes; and promised to give us the earliest possible notice of what they considered the best mode for us to adopt, either to send the ships away or wait, and give Lin the credit of having driven them away after he arrived.

2133. A few months before the Hong merchants had urged you to send them away?—They urged us to remove them from one anchorage to another.

2134. After the edict of the Viceroy in January, the Hong merchants recommended you to send them away?—To send them to another point.

2135. But when Commissioner Lin arrived they rather recommended you to wait?—This was before they knew of his arrival, when he was daily expected. ...

2136. You proposed, as matter of security, to send them away?—Yes; we

A. Matheson, Esq. asked their advice whether it was better to send them away before Lin came to Canton, or to wait and to let Lin have the credit of driving them away, as they express it.

2137. And they gave you to believe that it was for your interest that they

should remain?—Yes.

2138. You stated that there was no certainty what Commissioner Lin's ob-

jects were in coming to Canton ?-Yes.

2139. Was there any rumour or expectation prevailing that he came for the purpose of taking possession of the opium by detaining the British community?-None whatever, or nobody would have remained in Canton; nothing of the kind was ever dreamed of; it was totally unlooked for.

2140. Chairman.] As far as your experience goes, is the conduct pursued by Lin wholly at variance with anything that had previously occurred?—There

is no precedent for it as far as my experience goes.

2141. Reverting again to Bombay, was there a general spirit of forbearance exercised on the part of creditors towards their debtors?—Yes.

2142. Was that based upon the expectation of their being able to pay?—Of their being able to meet their engagements after they received compensation from the British Government.

2143. Have you heard whether the subsequent knowledge of the course taken by the British Government has affected that at all?—It has curtailed it no doubt, but there are instances where forbearance was exercised up to the very last date, towards some parties, but other parties have been very much distressed; one or two people have committed suicide in consequence of their

2144. Sir George Grey.] When did those acts of suicide take place?—They took place either at the end of December or the beginning of January; I think in January.

2145. Chairman. Was it on the first arrival of the certain knowledge that the Government refused to pay?—It was on the receipt of Mr. Gordon's letter

2146. Is the principal part of the trade from Bombay to China carried on by Parsees?—A great part of it. There are other rich natives besides the Parsees; there are Hindoos engaged in the China trade.

2147. The Parsee merchants are a very influential and respectable body of men?—Yes.

2148. It is upon them principally that this loss will fall?—Yes.

2149. And their destruction would very greatly interfere with the future course of trade in Bombay?—Very materially.

2150. Mr. E. Buller. You do not remember the dates of those two suicides? -Not the precise dates.

2151. Can you take upon yourself to say that they took place immediately upon the receipt of Mr. Gordon's letter in Bombay?—Yes.

2152. Within a few days after?—I cannot say how many days, but I dare say I could ascertain by reference to letters. I think Mr. Gordon's letter went out from this country about the 15th of November. I met it myself, at Lisbon, at the end of November; and it would be in Bombay about the 1st of January.

2153. Chairman.] Though there might be some exceptions, were the large number of persons previously engaged in the opium trade incapacitated by their losses from availing themselves of the subsequent rise in the price of opium in the China seas?—Almost entirely so.

2154. The trade went into new hands?—Yes, a great part of the Malwa opium which has since been sold on the coast of China, was sent by natives in the interior, who were in the habit of bringing it to Bombay, and selling it to Bombay merchants, but finding that there was no market for it in Bombay, some of them sent it on their own account, and realized a good price for it on the coast of China. They were quite a different set from those who formerly sent it.

2155. Do you know what was the lowest point to which opium fell in Bombay !- I cannot say.

2156. But it fell prodigiously on the arrival of the intelligence from China? -Yes, I think it fell to about 300 rupees a chest.

2157. Was

2157. Was there any opium sent away by any other firms than your own out A. Matheson, Esq. of the reach of the Chinese?—None.

2158. Sir William Somerville.] When was it exactly that you sent away the opium?—On the 27th of March I sent it away.

2159. That large quantity which you mentioned?—Yes.

2160. Mr. Brownrigg.] When did you hear of the requisition of Captain Elliot?—That is dated the 27th; I received it on the 30th at Macao.

2161. Sir William Somerville.] Had you determined to send away the opium before Captain Elliot came up to Canton?—I was ready to send it away before he came up.

2162. Had you made up your mind to send it away before he came up?—No, the day after he came up I had made up my mind to send it away; I saw Captain Elliot the same day that he embarked for Canton; he left Macao on the 22d of March, in the afternoon, and he arrived at Canton on the 24th.

2163. Did you know that he had made up his mind before he left Macao to issue this order to the British merchants?—No; on the contrary, it appears that he had not made up his mind.

2164. Sir George Grey.] Had you any conversation with him just as he was embarking for Canton?—No.

2165. You suppose that he was going to act according to the circumstances which he should find existing there?—He told me in the forenoon that he was going to Whampoa, and that it would depend upon what he heard there whether he should proceed to Canton.

2166. Had he then received a letter from Mr. Astell, from Canton, urging his going there?—I do not know; but I had a letter that morning, the contents of which I communicated to him, stating some of the proceedings that were going on in Canton; I had as late accounts from Canton as he could have had at that time.

2167. Sir William Somerville.] How long had you been at Macao?—I arrived at Macao on the 15th of March.

2168. Then it was after Commissioner Lin's arrival that you left Canton and came down to Macao?—Yes; I did not know that Commissioner Lin was in Canton when I left, but some said that he was, and some said that he was close in the vicinity of Canton.

2169. Was it in consequence of an opinion in your mind that your opium was in danger that you left Canton to proceed to Macao?—It was in order to be ready to act according to circumstances.

2170. Sir George Grey.] Although you did not know that Commissioner Lin was in Canton, you knew that he was in the immediate neighbourhood, and that his authority was paramount there?—Yes.

2171. Sir William Somerville.] You did not make up your mind to send away the opium till after Captain Elliot had left Macao?—It did not rest with me, it rested with my partners at Canton; I did not receive their instructions till two days after he had left.

2172. It was in consequence of the instructions that you received from Canton that you sent away this opium?—Yes.

2173. Had Captain Elliot had time to arrive at Canton before those instructions were sent off to you?—No; the instructions I received left Canton on the 22d, and Captain Elliot did not arrive till the 24th.

2174. Commissioner Lin was in Canton when your instructions came?—Yes; and before those instructions were sent to me, the merchants at Canton had agreed to give up 1,037 chests of opium; on the 21st of March they agreed to do that.

2175. Mr. Gladstone.] Will you state what quantity of opium there was at Canton at the time that agreement was made?—I do not think there was any.

2176. About what time did you begin to be convinced that the Chinese were in earnest, and meant to take effectual measures for the suppression of the trade?—A very short time before Lin came the Viceroy became exceedingly strict, and his measures were extremely oppressive to the Chinese merchants; he commenced searching their houses.

2177. Can you state at all about how long before Lin came down?—We were always of opinion that Lin's coming down would produce a relaxation instead of making matters worse.

0.59. T 2 2178. Was

A. Matheson, Esq. 1 June 1840.

2178. Was that the general sentiment?—That was our opinion; the natives that were in the habit of buying opium from us told us that matters could not go on as they were; that the people about Canton were getting very dissatisfied with the Viceroy's proceedings, and that it was supposed that when Lin came down and found the state of matters, instead of instituting severer measures against the opium, he would relax the measures that had been instituted.

2179. Do you think that the opium trade was generally popular with the

people?—I am satisfied of it.

2180. Had the measures that preceded the arrival of Lin convinced you that the Chinese government were in earnest?—They were very severe indeed in their measures.

- 2181. What impression did those severe measures convey to your mind with respect to the intentions of the Chinese government?—Judging from our past experience of China, we fancied it would blow over; that they would be severe for a time, and that after making a report to the Emperor they would revert to the old custom.
- 2182. At the time when a native was executed upon the square of the factory did you think there was any likelihood of the trade being legalized?— Our idea was this, that the government would take every possible means of putting a stop to the trade, and that when they saw that the trade could not be stopped then they would legalize it; our impression was that it was utterly impossible to stop the trade.

2183. You thought it was out of their power to stop it?—Yes.

2184. And that being reduced to the absolute despair of stopping it, they would legalize it as the next best measure?—Yes.

2185. Was it not the impression of your senior partner, some months before

the arrival of Lin, that a crisis was approaching?—Yes.

- 2186. But the rest of the partners of the house did not agree in that?— I confess that I did not agree in it; I thought it very likely that no business would be done for many months; we had not made any sales in Canton for many months before Lin's arrival.
- 2187. Can you state the causes which led you to believe that it was impossible for the Chinese government to stop the trade?—Because all the officers about Canton, from the highest to the lowest, all smoke opium; and I argued in this way, that if the importation of wine into England was prohibited, I do not fancy that any power in England could, stop the importation of wine; that those who could afford to pay a large sum for it, would have it in spite of any government regulation.

2188. Was that the only circumstance, namely, the strong desire of the people for opium, which led you to believe that it was impossible for the Chinese Government to enforce the prohibition?—Unless the people wished for it, of

course it would not be imported.

. F . C . L . 2

2189. Was there any other circumstance besides the desire of the people for opium that led you to believe that it would be very difficult for the Chinese Government to stop the trade?—I do not recollect any other circumstance at present, except that it was contrary to the interest of the authorities that it should be stopped.

2190. You mean the provincial authorities?—Yes.

2191. Therefore you would mention the corruption of the authorities as another circumstance which tended to make it impossible to stop the trade?—

2192. Do you think also that the strength of the vessels in which the opium was kept at Canton, and in which the opium was sent along the coast to the eastward, compared with the weakness of the Chinese marine, was a material impediment in the way of the Chinese government?-The strength of the vessels alone would not have enabled foreigners to import opium; if the natives were kept from going on board the vessels, no opium could be imported into

2193. Supposing that the Chinese had had a very effective marine, and supposing that the nation carrying on the opium trade had been very little skilled in marine warfare, would not that have afforded some additional facility to the Chinese government in putting a stop to the trade?—The importation would have been less, perhaps, but it would not entirely have put a stop to it. The Chinese who imported opium into the country would have to go further

for it; they would have to go a greater distance for it; they would have to go A. Matheson, Esq. to Manilla or Singapore; but as it would still yield a large profit, it would be imported to a certain extent.

1 June 1840.

- 2194. Can you state at what period you consulted with the Hong merchants as to whether it was better to send away the ships?—I think it was the day before I left Canton.
- 2195. Were the Hong merchants unanimous in giving the advice you have stated?—We did not consult them all; we consulted the second in rank among the Hong merchants, Mowqua.

2196. Was Howqua consulted upon that subject?—No.

- 2197. Did Howqua give any advice upon the subject?—We would not have consulted Howqua upon the subject.
- 2198. Was not Howqua the man of greatest experience and sagacity among
- the Hong merchants?—Yes; but he was not considered the most honest.
 2199. Was not he considered as competent to give advice upon that particular subject; was not he considered a competent interpreter of the intentions of the Chinese government?—He was not a party on whose advice we could

2200. Was there any sinister or private interest which would have been likely to bias him?—I do not think that he was any friend to the English at any time; he has always been linked with the Americans, and he has carried on business in partnership with some of them.

2201. Did you anticipate that he would be more likely to give an opinion unfavourable to the trade, and to exaggerate the dangers that were impending?-The weight of any measure proposed by the government would fall upon his shoulders, as being at the head of the Hong merchants, and he would give the advice that would suit his own interests.

2202. Being the most responsible of them all, was not he the most competent to convey to you the real intentions of the government?—If we could believe him; but we considered that he would not tell us the truth, at least that we could not depend upon him.

2203. Supposing the government did not intend any serious measures with respect to the opium trade, would it have been Howqua's interest to pretend that they did?--If Howqua had been a man who upon other occasions stated the truth, we might have found out by his answers; but we could not tell whether he told us the truth or told us a falsehood.

2204. Mr. Hogg.] In matters unconnected with opium, where the interest of the merchants was at stake, was Howqua a person whom you would have consulted ?--No, not upon business between foreigners and Chinese.

2205. Although it might be unconnected with the question of opium?— Although unconnected with opium, he would have given us an interested answer.

2206. Mr. Clay. You have stated that you believe the opium trade to have been popular in Canton. Are you not aware that the hostility of the mob that had collected round the factory was one of the dangers that was apprehended by the British residents at Canton?-When I spoke of its being popular, I meant among the more intelligent classes; I do not suppose the mob cared anything about it.

2207. Mr. Gladstone.] Was Mowqua the person upon whose ability and fidelity you were most accustomed to rely to interpret the intentions of the Chinese government?—Yes.

2208. Is he a man of considerable ability?—He is dead; he was considered a very honest man by foreigners.

2209. Was he a man of considerable property?-Not a man of much property.

2210. Howqua was a man of great property?—Yes. 2211. Howqua was an old man?—Yes, rather old.

2212. And he had been longest a Hong merchant?—Mowqua's father was a

Hong merchant; Howqua was, I believe, originally a servant.

2213. Sir George Grey.] You have stated that, in your opinion, it was impossible for the Chinese to stop the opium trade; have they in fact stopped it, or does the trade still exist to a great extent upon the coast?—It exists to a great extent upon the coast.

2214. Chairman.] In China each house has particular relations with a separate Hong merchant - Yes.

2215. And Mowqua was the person with whom you were more especially connected?

A. Matheson, Esq. connected?—We gave each of the merchants a share of our business, but Mowqua was the man we had most confidence in.

2216. Had you much to do with Howqua?—We had a good deal to do with

Howqua.

2217. Mr. Gladstone.] Did you ever find him otherwise than trustworthy in his transactions?—In business transactions he was perfectly trustworthy, but in political transactions we could not trust him.

2218. Chairman.] Was it his general character in Canton that he was not a sincere man, as far as foreigners were concerned?—As far as the English were concerned; he appeared to have always a leaning towards the Americans; he found them more submissive and more easily managed.

2210. Has he not subsequently availed himself of the difficulties of trade in Canton ?—Yes; he is understood to have shipped tea largely to England, through

the Americans, since the difficulties commenced.

2220. Mr. Gladstone.] Not direct in American bottoms?—No, it is shipped in American vessels at Whampoa, and the tea is transhipped afterwards at Hong Kong to British vessels.

2221. Sir George Staunton.] Your reason for not consulting Howqua was, that you apprehended that, from his great connexion with the government, the opinion he would give you would not be an opinion for your own interest, but an opinion conformable to the wishes and desires of the Chinese government? -Precisely.

2222. Mr. Gladstone. Have you any means of judging what opinion Howqua

would have given?—I cannot tell.

2223. Mr. Hogg. Is it your opinion that Howqua would have honestly communicated to you the real wishes and intentions of the Chinese government, or that he would have made his communication to you in conformity with his own private interest?—He would have made his answer conformable to his own private interest, certainly; he would have tried to make us do what would give himself least trouble, as the middleman between us and the government.

2224. Could you have depended upon him as giving you a fair representation of the wishes and intentions of the Chinese government?—No, we could not.

2225. Mr. Gladstone.] Was the Viceroy in the habit of communicating directly with several of the Hong merchants?—He used to have the Hong merchants in his palace sometimes.

2226. Did he communicate with any one of them in particular confidentially, or did he communicate with the body?—He sent for three or four of the senior merchants; he did not communicate with them all upon matters of importance.

2227. But there was no one in particular with whom he communicated confidentially?—He may have communicated sometimes with Howqua confidentially. I do not know, but I have frequently heard of his sending for three or four of the senior merchants, and having a conference with them.

2228. Are you aware that the Hong merchants, from the time when Captain Elliot arrived in Canton, addressed to him frequently urgent entreaties to send away the opium ships, even before the edicts were issued to that effect?—I believe the Hong merchants did.

2229. Do you imagine that they were sincere in their representations?—I

fancy they were told by the Viceroy to make those representations.

2230. How then do you account for Mowqua's change of opinion between the period of Captain Elliot's arrival, in 1837, and the month of March 1839, when the measures against the opium trade, instead of relaxing, had become more severe?—I do not suppose there was a change of opinion. His communications to Captain Elliot were official; his communications with us were private, as between one friend and another.

2231. Do you think that when he, in conjunction with his colleagues, urged Captain Elliot to send away the ships, he did it as the mere organ of the Viceroy, and that he did not at all suppose himself that any danger would arise if the ships were not sent away?—Entirely as the organ of the Viceroy.

2232. You think that he did not anticipate any danger from the ships not

being sent away?—I think not.

2233. Will you state what means the foreign community possessed of becoming acquainted with the tenor of the Chinese laws affecting foreigners; what was the usual medium through which they were communicated?—We had no means of knowing them except through the Hong merchants.

2234. And

2234. And also through the edicts which they conveyed to you?—Yes.

2235. Do you know the Chinese laws in any other form than in the form of edicts?—No.

A. Matheson, Esq.

1 June 1840.

edicts?—No.

2236. You looked upon an edict therefore as equivalent to law?—We considered that there was no law at all.

2237. But so far as there was any law at all in China, you found that law, and became acquainted with it, in the form of edicts?—Yes; but we never looked upon it as law; we saw it broken every day of our lives by the Chinese themselves.

2238. The question refers not merely to the law respecting opium, but to Chinese law in general; do you think that there was no law at all that was accurately observed?—None at all.

2239. Either relating to opium, or any other subject?—Yes; except the duties, which they took care to collect.

2240. The duties were made known by practice?—Yes.

2241. Chairman.] Did not the foreigners apply very often for a copy of the tariff?—We did, and we never could get one.

2242. Mr. Gladstone.] But there was a constant promulgation of edicts on various subjects?—There was a set of edicts published regularly every year; the same edicts, almost verbatim, were stuck up against the factories every year, and the very parties that stuck up the edicts laughed at them.

year, and the very parties that stuck up the edicts laughed at them.

2243. Were all those edicts equally unsubstantial, and never carried into practice?—Yes; by those edicts, no Chinaman, except the linguists and Hong merchants, could come into our houses; but our counting-house was full of Chinese, even on the very days on which the prohibitory edicts were issued.

2244. Sir G. Staunton.] Were those imperial edicts?—They were issued by the heads of the Canton government; they were not imperial edicts.

2245. Chairman.] Was not there an imperial edict annually put up about servants?—It was issued by the government of Canton; it was not an imperial

edict, I believe.

2246. Mr. Gladstone.] Was it known in Canton that opium, if found in foreign vessels or boats within the Bocca Tigris, was liable to confiscation?—

That might have been the case; I do not know.

2247. Was it known, that if found in the factories, opium would be liable to confiscation, or if found entering the factories?—If found there, there is no doubt of it.

2248. Then how was that known; was it known through any law, or through any edict?—It was understood; I cannot say how it was known. The merchants at Canton never had opium in their factories.

2249. In point of fact, do you mean that you had no formal and legal know-ledge of any Chinese laws?—No.

2250. You gathered them, as well as you could, from experience?—We gathered them, as well as we could, from practice.

2251. Do you remember any instance of any edict upon any subject affecting foreigners coming down and being carried into execution?—No, never.

2252. Were you in China in the year 1821, when the depôt was removed to Lintin?—No, that is 19 years ago.

2253. Are you aware whether that was done in consequence of an edict?—
I believe it was done by a private understanding with the Canton authorities.

2254. Does law exist in China in any other form than in the form of imperial edicts —I am not acquainted with the laws of China at all; I do not know the language.

2255. You never heard of any laws being communicated to foreigners, except in the shape of edicts?—Never.

2256. Chairman.] Was there not a long continued effort to get the tariff of duties published?—Yes, it has been applied for many times, and we never could get it

2257. Was that tariff referred to in an imperial edict?—I am not sure; I cannot state at present whether it was or not.

2258. Mr. Hogg.] Do you believe that there is in existence such a thing as a tariff?—I believe there is.

2259. Has it ever been privately shown to you by any of the Hong merchants?—No, never; there are a great number of additional charges put on in Canton by the merchants and by the authorities.

0.59. T 4 2260. Without

A. Matheson, Esq. 1 June 1840.

2260. Without the sanction of the Emperor?—I believe without his sanction. 2261. Do you suppose that that is the reason that difficulties have been thrown in the way of your getting a copy of the tariff?—Yes, I believe it is not expedient for them to explain the different items composing it.

2262. Fearing that the tariff so shown to you might be inconsistent with the

tariff as established by the imperial edict?—Yes.

2263. Sir W. Somerville.] Was there any reason to suppose that Commissioner Lin was coming for the purpose of relaxing the system?—Some of the natives said so.

2264. Did the Hong merchants say anything of that kind?—I class them with the other natives; they did not seem to know precisely what he was

coming to do.

2265. Did any of the Hong merchants give you reason to believe that the Commissioner was coming down for the purpose of relaxing the system?—I do not know that I heard any Hong merchant say so, but I have heard several intelligent Chinese say that they thought he was.

2266. Chairman. Basing that opinion upon the discontent of the people produced by the measures of the Viceroy?—Yes; and the apprehension of an

insurrection, provided the Viceroy's measures were continued.

2267. Sir W. Somerville.] When the advice was given to remove the opium ships, was it accompanied by any sort of hint that it was merely done in obedience to the wishes of the Viceroy, or was it bona fide given?—It was mere private advice, not at all coming from the viceroy.

2268. Mr. Hogg.] Did you effect your sales of opium through the medium

of the Hong merchants?—No, never.
2269. Mr. Gladstone.] Are you aware whether it had been a common thing, before the arrival of Captain Elliot, habitually to make representations to the company's supercargoes, desiring that the opium ships might be removed?— That, has been done.

2270. Was it done as an ordinary practice, or, when it was done, was it not supposed that some peculiar measures were in contemplation?—No; it was generally done once a year as matter of form.

2271. Was it only done once a year, as matter of form, in the time of

Captain Elliot?—I believe so; his own despatches will show.

2272. Mr. Hogg. Were there any leading Chinese merchants at Canton who were publicly and generally known as dealing in opium more extensively than any others:—Yes.

2273. After the issuing of Lin's edict, were those persons dealt with by the Chinese government with any severity?—They disappeared, and were not to be

found when Lin came to Canton.

2274. Then the China merchants chiefly implicated in dealing in opium left Canton, and absconded before the arrival of Lin?—Yes, they disappeared.

2275. Sir G. Staunton.] Are you aware whether it was stated in any of the edicts what the consequence would be of the ships not leaving Lintin?—Not that I am aware of.

2276. There was no threat of confiscation?—No.

2277. Mr. Hogg.] Suppose the Chinese war junks had gone to Lintin, and seized the opium by force, do you consider that those to whom the opium belonged would have had any claim for compensation?—If they had seized the opium, we would have had no claim, certainly.

2278. Chairman.] But you think they would have no right to seize it at Lintin?—They would have no right to go on board a foreign vessel and

search it.

2279. If it had been seized, you would not have had the claim for compensation that you now have ?—It would depend upon how it was seized; I supposed

the question meant if it was seized in a Chinese boat.

2280. Mr. Hogg.] The question referred to its being seized in a ship; suppose the Chinese war junks had gone to Lintin, and had by force seized opium as contraband, on board a British ship?—I apprehend they have no right whatever to go near our ships at Lintin, only within the Bocca Tigris; they never sent custom-house officers on board the ships outside the Bocca Tigris; their custom-house regulations do not extend beyond the Bocca Tigris.

2281. Is it your opinion that any seizure of opium as contraband by force

outside

outside the Bocca Tigris, would have been an act of hostile aggression, and not A. Matheson, Esq. an enforcement of their own revenue laws?—Yes.

1 June 1840.

2282. Mr. Herbert. You consider that the seizure of a cargo of opium upon the coast would also have been an act of hostile aggression?—Yes.

2283. Though in the act of delivery?—So long as it was on board a foreign

ship they have no right to touch it.

- 2284. Mr. Gladstone.] Do you consider that as the general sentiment of the British community at Canton, that the opium ships lying at Lintin were out of the jurisdiction of the Chinese, and likewise that opium ships on the coast were out of their jurisdiction, even though they might be in the act of delivering the opium into Chinese boats?—I believe it is the general opinion at Canton, that the property of foreigners, so long as it is on board foreign vessels, and so long as it is not in a Chinese port or harbour, is beyond the jurisdiction of the Chinese.
- 2285. Do you imagine that that is the law that would prevail in this country with respect to French and other vessels that might be engaged in contraband trade upon our coast?—No, I am aware that it is not.

2286. Sir G. Staunton.] In point of fact, the Chinese have never exercised

that jurisdiction?—No.

2287. Mr. Gladstone.] Have they the power to take any one opium vessel; do you believe that all the junks belonging to the Emperor of China could have taken the opium ships at Lintin?—Not all of them at once.

2288.

2289.

2290.

229T.

2292.

2294. Mr. E. Buller.] Supposing Captain Elliot had explained to the British merchants the illegality of the ships remaining at Lintin with their opium, and supposing the Chinese had prepared to search the ships and to take possession of the opium, and that he had warned them that they were not to resist the Chinese authorities, but that they were bound to obey the Chinese authorities; if in consequence of that representation from Captain Elliot, they had surrendered their opium, would they have had any claim against the British Government for compensation?—In that case the ships would have sailed away. After

such a notice as that from Captain Elliot, they would not have remained at Lintin to be searched.

2295. Mr. Gladstone.] Do you think the merchants would have retained the opium ships at Lintin if they had not believed that their property was under the protection of the authorities of their country?—They considered themselves certainly under the protection of the British authorities.

2296. Do you think if Captain Elliot had desired them to send the opium ships away from Lintin, and had acquainted them that the British Government could not be responsible for anything that might happen to them while they were remaining there, that in that case they would have sent them away?-Yes; or if they did not send them away, they would have remained at their own risk or peril.

2297. Chairman.] In that case, there would have been no claim for compen-

sation?—Not for anything that was seized after a notice of that sort.

2298. Sir G. Grey.] When you say, that in the case supposed, the ships would have been sent away, do you mean that the ships would have been sent back to India with the opium in them, or that they would have been sent away from Lintin, to deliver their cargoes along the coast?—I think that, in the first instance at least, they would have been sent to Singapore; the property did not belong to the Canton merchants, and consequently they would have waited the orders of the parties to whom the property belonged.

2299. Was not a good deal of the opium ordinarily disposed of along the

coast previously to the stoppage of the trade in the river?—Yes.

2300. Did that come to the receiving ships at Lintin at all?—Yes, it went from them.

2301. On the ships being directed to leave Lintin, why should not you have sent the opium to the ordinary market, to which a great portion of it was in the habit of going, and to which you sent, when you were alarmed by the fear A. Matheson, Esq.

1 June 1840.

of having it seized, a considerable portion of the opium under your control?

—I did not send it to be sold; I sent the vessels to cruize 100 miles off the coast.

2302. You were understood to say, that one of those ships had actually delivered a portion of her cargo on the coast?—Not one of those ships.

2303. Mr. Clay.] What distance is Lintin from the shore?—Lintin is an island.

2304. What width is the strait that separates Lintin from the main-land?—I suppose about four or five miles.

2305. Is it in that strait that the shipping at Lintin ordinarily lies?—No; it is outside the island altogether. There is a projecting point; the island terminates in a point, and the ships move from one side of the point to the other, according to the quarter from which the wind blows. They seldom anchor in the channel between Lintin and the main-land.

2306. Considering the state of equipment of the opium vessels, do you consider that without the aid of a British ship of war they could have made successful resistance against the Chinese junks, supposing they had been disposed to seize the opium at Lintin?—Yes; the Chinese could not have seized it.

2307. Are you aware that that does not agree with the opinion expressed by Captain Elliot?—I am not aware of that, but if so, I disagree with him.

2308. Sir G. Grey.]: Your opinion is, that the trading ships might have defended themselves against any force which the Chinese could bring against them?—Unquestionably.

2309. You have stated that the opium trade is now going on along the coast; can you state to what extent the trade has been interfered with by Commissioner Lin's measures; that is to say, whether the quantity of opium actually delivered in China has been materially diminished?—It has been diminished.

2310. To what extent?—I cannot state the extent, but it has been diminished unquestionably; perhaps to the extent of one-third.

2311. You conceive that the ordinary deliveries are one-third less now than they were before Commissioner Lin arrived at Canton?—Yes; fully one-third.

2312. Can you say what proportion of the trade was upon the coast, as compared with that which went on at Lintin?—I suppose three-fourths of the deliveries were at Lintin.

2313. There has been a considerable increase of deliveries upon the coast, though it has not made full compensation for the stoppage of the trade at Lintin?—Yes, considerable.

2314. Sir George Staunton.] Do you know how far they go up the coast?—They do not go up very far; the sales are chiefly about Amoy; they seldom go as far as Nang-po.

2315. Sir George Grey.] Is it carried on upon the coast with the connivance of the local authorities?—Yes.

2316. Chairman.] Have you any doubt, if Captain Elliot had taken the same steps to stop the trade at Lintin as he took to stop the trade in the river, and had issued orders to the European residents, and the foreign community, to give up their trade, that, as regards the English merchants, however onerous they might have thought his orders to be, they would have obeyed them?—I think they would. When he ordered the river trade to be stopped, which was carried on by an inferior class of people, the principal merchants assisted him in putting a stop to it.

2317. And you think they would have taken the same course subsequently if he had issued the same orders with regard to the trade at Lintin?—Yes; without the assistance of the principal merchants he could not have stopped the river trade.

2318. Mr. E. Buller.] Were those orders to stop the river trade immediately obeyed?—Almost immediately; there were some people engaged in it who were not British subjects, and those people did not at once obey the order; they did not consider themselves bound to obey Captain Elliot's orders; they were Portuguese.

2319. Do you recollect the date of Captain Elliot's order to suppress that trade?—It was in December, I think; it was either in December 1838, or in January 1839; I recollect it, because I was a member of the Chamber of Commerce, and I was one of the committee who corresponded with him upon the subject.

2320. Do

2320. Do you recollect the time of his going down with the Chinese autho- A. Matheson, Esq. rities to Whampoa to put an end to the river trade?—He was at Whampoa for some time.

1 June 1840.

- 2321. Did not a considerable interval elapse between his issuing the order for the suppression of the trade, and his going down with the Chinese authorities to Whampoa to put an end to it?—I do not know that he ever went with the Chinese authorities; I do not think he ever acted in concert with them in putting a stop to the trade; he offered his assistance, and the answer he got was, that they did not want his assistance; that if they chose to put a stop to the trade, they would do it in 10 minutes, without his assistance, or without consulting him at all; they treated his offer of assistance with the utmost
- 2322. Mr. Clay. After the opium had been made over to you which was under the control of your house, except the small quantity to which you have referred, you considered that you were entitled to act entirely upon your own discretion as to surrendering that opium to the Chinese government?—Yes; I would not have surrendered it to the Chinese government.
- 2323. Then when the order for the surrender came from Captain Elliot, it was upon your own judgment solely that you acted?—That was a different matter altogether; that was a matter between the representative of our own Government and us. An order came down from the house in Canton, and of course I complied with it.

- 2324. Without exercising your own judgment upon it?—Yes.
 2325. Was any copy of Captain Elliot's order sent to you?—The whole came
- 2326. Did not that state that he was himself in a state of duresse; that he and all the other foreigners were in a state of great danger?—Yes.
- 2327. Did not it occur to you that it might be wise to exercise your own judgment as to surrendering the opium under an order which had evidently been issued under coercion :—I felt bound to obey the order.
- 2328. Should you have felt bound to obey that order, supposing, for instance, that Captain Elliot and the other British residents had been in no danger or coercion?—I would have obeyed Captain Elliot's order as the representative of the British Government. The opium was deposited in our hands to dispose of it, and the money of the British Government was as good as any other money we could get for it.
- 2329. You considered Captain Elliot as good a customer as any other; but should you have supposed it possible, except under the circumstances of difficulty in which Captain Elliot was placed, that he had power to direct the surrender to himself of the opium under your control?—He was represented to us as sent out to China expressly to manage the foreign trade, and we felt bound to attend to his orders in matters relating to trade.
- 2330. Will you state on what ground you could have supposed that from the office he held he could have had any power or authority to request from you the surrender of that opium, to be paid for by the British Government, except under circumstances so extraordinary as those in which he was placed?—He had driven the opium trade from Whampoa.
- 2331. Do-you consider, except under the circumstances of extreme danger and difficulty in which you were aware that he was placed, that simply by his mere authority he could have asked for, or that you would have been justified in resigning the opium under your control?—Not without a guarantee for the payment of it. If Captain Elliot had been at perfect liberty, and if he had said to me, "I want all the opium which you have under your control, and if you will give it up to me it shall be justly paid for," I would have given it up to him. He might have had some necessity for it which I was not aware of, and if he guaranteed to me that the British Government would pay me for it, that was enough.

2332. And upon that you would have exercised no discretion?—No.

- 2333. If six months before the time the requisition was made he had required you to surrender your opium, would you have done so?—Under the guarantee which he gave us at Canton I would.
- 2334. Then, without any circumstances of difficulty or danger, you would equally have obeyed the requisition?—I should consider that we were bound to obey it.
 - 2335. Would it never have occurred to you to doubt whether any consular U 2

A. Matheson, Esq. agent had any such authority?—We did not look upon him exactly like a consular agent; he always represented to us that he had powers and instructions which he was not at liberty to communicate to us.

2336. Do you think that the supercargoes of the East India Company would have had such an authority?—We would have delivered up opium to the super

cargoes if they had demanded it, and given us a guarantee to pay for it.

2337. Are you acquainted with the nature of the powers which the supercargoes possessed, and are you not aware that they had no such power?—If they were acting for the East India Company, the East India Company would have held us harmless; they were responsible for the acts of their servants.

2338. Were you not aware that the power they possessed was that of sending ships or individuals away from China, and that that was the limit of their power? -They had the power of confiscation. Under the Company, we were in China

by sufferance merely.

2339. Do you mean to say, that apart from all circumstances of difficulty or danger, you would have exercised no judgment upon the power of Captain Elliot to demand from you the surrender of the opium under your control?—On his giving a sufficient document for it, upon which I could afterwards claim from the Government; I would not have surrendered it without a guarantee for

2340. Sir George Staunton.] You considered, from your knowledge of Captain Elliot, that he would not have assumed a power which he did not possess?—

Certainly not.

2341. Sir George Grey.] The circumstances of difficulty and danger in which he appeared to be placed made no alteration in your mind as to the validity of the guarantee?—None in the world.

2342. Mr. Herhert.] The circumstances in which the British community were

placed made the necessity of giving up the opium still stronger?—Yes.

2343. Sir George Grey.] That necessity arose from an apprehension of what the Chinese would do to compel its surrender, if it were not surrendered in the manner demanded by Captain Elliot?—There is no saying what they might have done I was not much afraid of violence being used.

2344. Why did the circumstances of difficulty and danger, in which it appeared that Captain Elliot and the foreign community were placed, render the necessity for the surrender of the opium in your opinion greater?—I would have given up the opium under any circumstances, on receiving a guarantee for the payment of it; but of course the circumstance of Captain Elliot and the other parties being in an unpleasant situation might have some influence, but not any material influence, on my determination.

2345. Chairman.] Had you any apprehensions while you were at Macao for the lives or safety of your partners?—I had no fear for their lives: I did think that they would be placed in very uncomfortable circumstances for a time.

2346. Sir George Grey.] Do you still retain your opinion, or have subsequent events led you to modify it, that their lives were in no danger?—I do not think the Chinese would have taken the life of any man; if they had taken the life of any, I think it would have been Captain Elliot's.

2347. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you think they could have effectually resisted the demand of the Chinese to surrender the opium?—The opium would have been

sent away, and what could the Chinese do?

2348. Sir George Staunton.] You think that better terms might have been made?—I think that we might have been obliged to surrender 2,000 or 3,000 chests, but not 20,000.

2349. Mr. E. Buller.] How could the opium have been sent away when your communication was stopped?—I was ready to send away our proportion, and I

was in the act of sending it away.

2350. You sent it to a certain distance, but still it was within the reach of the orders of your house?—No, it was not; not what I sent away.

2351. In point of fact, you brought it back again when you pleased?—I did;

but that was my own act.

2352. But you would have been equally obliged to call it back supposing the Chinese had pursued their measures of coercion to such an extent as to make you fear that your partners at Canton were really in danger?—I would not have called it back, unless I saw that their lives were in danger.

2353. It

2353. It would entirely have depended upon the degree of coercion exercised A. Matheson, Esq.

by the Chinese authorities?—It would.

2354. Mr. Hogg.] Supposing Captain Elliot had said, "I cannot, and will not, order the delivery to the Chinese government of any opium, but I will order all the ships to sail away from Lintin;" do you apprehend that in that case the Chinese government would have proceeded to any act of violence towards Captain Elliot himself, or any of the foreigners then resident in Canton?—When we offered to the Hong merchants to deliver up the 1,037 chests, part of the agreement made was that on those 1,037 chests being accepted, the merchants in Canton would abstain from trading in opium for the future, and that all the opium they had then under their control belonging to other parties would be sent back to India; that the ships would sail away with it.

2355. Sir George Grey. Were those terms accepted?—No, they were not

accepted.

2356. Mr. Hogg.] As far as you are competent to form an opinion from the circumstances at the time, and from the circumstances that have occurred since, do you believe that if Captain Elliot had resolutely refused to order the delivery up of the opium, and had simply said, "I desire the ships to sail away," that in consequence of such refusal the Chinese government would have had recourse to any acts of violence either towards the British residents generally, or Captain Elliot in particular?—I think there would have been a very protracted negotiation, and that it would have terminated in a compromise.

2357. Chairman.] You were understood to say, that the Portuguese were called upon at Macao to give up their opium, and that they sent it to Manilla and gave up none. Were there any Portuguese at Canton?—There were some.

2358. Sir George Grey.] Owners of opium?—No, they had no opium.

2359. Chairman.] Supposing the Chinese had wished to seize the native Portuguese at Canton, and to hold them responsible for the opium at Macao, they might have done so?—They might have done so.

2360. Mr. Herbert.] The Portuguese evaded that difficulty by sending their

cpium away, and you think you might have done the same thing?—We might have

done the same thing.

2361. Mr. Hogg.] Where was the opium belonging to the Portuguese that they sent away?-Nearly all was on shore at Macao; about two-thirds of it in their warehouses.

2362. Mr. Clay.] The power of the Chinese government is as complete at Macao as at Canton?—So far as jurisdiction goes.

2363. Supposing they had chosen to take those people dealing at Macao, would there have been anything to prevent their doing so?—They have not the physi-

cal power to do it. 2364. Sir George Grey.] An offer to surrender part, and to send away the whole of the rest, was distinctly made to the Chinese authorities, and distinctly refused?—It was made to the Hong merchants, and no answer was returned; we understood that it was not accepted, that the quantity was considered too

2365. Was it merely a question of quantity; was it understood that if a larger portion had been offered to be surrendered, it would probably have been accepted? That was the impression upon my mind, but I am not aware of any general understanding to that effect.

2366. They continued their demand for the surrender of the whole?—Yes.

2367. Mr. Clay. You said that it was supposed that had Captain Elliot declined to interfere, and the Chinese had continued their system of coercion, the matter might have been ended by a compromise; that is to say, on the one hand, by a larger quantity being surrendered by the merchants at Canton than the thousand chests; and by the Chinese, on the other hand, accepting less than the total quantity required?—Yes; and it was understood that those 1,037 chests were to be paid for.

2368. Sir George Grey.] Did the offer imply that?—It was understood that it

was to be paid for.

2369. Mr. Clay.] How does that appear?—It does not appear in any written

2370. Sir W. Somerville.] At the full price?—There was no price fixed, but the merchants agreed to give up that quantity in order to save the lives of the Hong merchants, which the Hong merchants represented to be in danger. There was

A. Matheson, Esq. no time for much deliberation on the subject, but it was privately understood that the Hong merchants were to recompense the foreigners for their opium. There was no specific treaty as to the value of it.

2371. Recurring to the possible compromise which you suppose might have been the result of a prolonged negotiation, should you, in that case, having, individually, the entire control of the opium belonging to your house, have been willing to be a party to that compromise by surrendering the opium, or any part of it, under your own immediate control?—Very likely I might; it would depend

upon the quantum of advantage resulting from the compromise.

2372. Supposing the result of that negotiation had been that the merchants had agreed to give up one-half of the total quantity required, then in such case, although you were yourself beyond the reach of danger, and although the opium under your control was beyond the reach of the Chinese government, you would not have thought it right to hesitate to give up that portion of your opium which was comprehended within the compromise?—It is impossible to say what I might do under such circumstances; but I would stretch a point of course to meet their wishes, and to bring a business of the sort as speedily as possible to a conclusion; I would go as far as I reasonably could go in carrying a compromise of that sort into effect.

2373. Judging from what you have subsequently seen of the determination of the Chinese authorities, is it your opinion that they would have been satisfied with any quantity short of the whole, having in their power the persons of the British residents in Canton?—I think they would have been satisfied with a compromise short of the whole.

2374. Would it have been a compromise in your judgment, much short of the whole?—Yes, considerably.

2375. Mr. E. Buller.] Supposing the Chinese authorities to have been inclined to proceed to extremities, what power of resistance had the British merchants at Canton?—None at all.

2376. Could they have forced their way out?—I think not; some people think they might, but I think not.

2377. Your opinion is, that they were absolutely in the power of the Chinese

authorities?—Yes, absolutely.

2378. That there was nothing to prevent their carrying their measures actually to starvation, if they had so thought fit?—Yes; the Chinese had the power of

2379. Mr. Hogg.] Are you rightly understood to say, that it is your opinion, that if Captain Elliot had returned to Macao, and left the merchants and foreigners to manage for themselves, they would have made a much better bargain, and a much more beneficial arrangement for themselves?—Yes, certainly.

2380. Sir G. Grey.] Have you seen the memorial addressed by the resident merchants at Canton to Lord Palmerston?—Yes.

2381. Your opinion is essentially different from the opinion of the parties that signed that memorial?—Yes; we did not sign it.

2382. You retain that opinion still?—Yes.

2383. With the exception of your house, did every other house at Canton sign it?—I am not sure; I have not seen it since the signatures have been

2384. Mr. E. Buller.] Do you suppose that the merchants, in the event supposed in the former question, would have acted in a body?—Yes, I think that they would; the Chamber of Commerce would have acted in a body.

2385. Had not one house in particular, some idea of making the best bargain they could for themselves; Dent's house?—I do not know; I was not in communi-

cation with them at the time.

2386. Mr. Hogg.] Do not you think, that the pressure of a common danger would have united the merchants in one course of action and conduct?—Yes,

2387. Mr. Brownrigg.] You stated, in speaking of the authority of Captain Elliot, that you conceived that he would not have given any orders, or taken upon himself any responsibility, which his instructions did not warrant; you would not of course suppose that the Government deputing Captain Elliot, could give him greater powers than they could exercise themselves, and of course you would be aware that the Executive Government in this country could not possibly guarantee the payment of a large sum of that kind, but could only make it conditional upon

the

the consent of Parliament?—In a distant part of the world like that, there must be A. Matheson, Esq. a great deal of discretionary power given to an official person; he must act upon his own responsibility and authority. We had confidence in Captain Elliot, as the representative of the British Government, that he would act rightly; there were no doubts among the foreign community at the time, as to the validity of his guarantee.

2388. Sir George Grey.] You felt yourself at liberty to deal with Captain Elliot for a price, but you did not feel bound to surrender the opium without com-

pensation?—Certainly.

2389. Sir William Somerville.] If Captain Elliot's proclamation, desiring you to give up the opium, had contained no guarantee for repayment, you would not have delivered it up?—I was not upon the spot myself; but I think that many persons would not have delivered it up.

2390. Supposing a similar order had come from any of your own partners, saying that they were in duresse, and desiring you to give up the opium, would you have done it then?—The opium was put in my power with the object that I might

not do it under such circumstances,

2391. Was that the understanding before you left Canton ?—No; because it was not known before I left Canton, that Lin was going to adopt the measures which he did adopt.

2392. Sir George Grey.] You stated that there was an apprehension, that owing to the measures which were in contemplation, the opium might be in danger, and that on that account you were sent to Lintin to be able to act according to circumstances, upon your own discretion?—Yes; but the opium was not placed at my disposal till after that.

2393. After your arrival at Macao, was the opium placed at your disposal in order that it might be alleged to the Chinese authorities that it was out of the power of the members of your firm at Canton?—The opium was placed at my disposal the day after the firm had agreed to give up their portion of the 1,037

2394. With the view of removing it ostensibly from their control?—With the view of putting it out of their power to surrender a larger quantity.

2395. If you had heard from your partners at Canton that they were subject to duresse, which they apprehended would endanger their lives, and if you had been satisfied of the truth of that representation, should you have felt yourself still bound to disobey the injunction from them to surrender the opium to Captain Elliot or to the Chinese, even without compensation, for the sake of saving their lives?—It is impossible to say what I might have done under certain circumstances.

2396. Was a discretion vested in you to act according to circumstances, or were you bound beforehand to disobey such an injunction from your partners?—I was left to my own discretion.

2397. And you would have been guided by your belief of the actual state of circumstances at Canton at the moment?—Yes, I would.

2398. Chairman. If you had not felt yourself bound by Captain Elliot's order, you would not have acted upon it?—No.

2399. Mr. E. Buller.] Was there anything in your opinion to prevent the Chinese authorities proceeding to those severities, which would have compelled you to surrender the opium, supposing Lin to be a man determined to carry out his views?—There was no power of resistance.

2400. Mr. Hogg.] You have stated that the peculiar circumstances did not much influence your opinion as to the validity of the guarantee, and that you considered yourself as obeying the general authority of Captain Elliot. In settling the value of the opium, then, how came you to fix the value at prime cost instead of demanding the market price, which you would have been entitled to do, viewing, as you have stated you did, Captain Elliot as an ordinary purchaser?—The price was not settled at all with Captain Elliot.

2401. Was not the price subsequently settled upon an estimate?—It is not

settled up to this hour.

2402. Was there not a meeting of the merchants to fix the price that should be demanded for the opium, and although no price was actually fixed, was not the principle understood to be to demand the prime cost and charges without any profit?—Yes; I recollect an understanding of that sort; the reason of that was 2422. Do

1 June 1840.

A. Matheson, Esq.

1 June 1840.

that we could not quote a market price. We had made no sales in Canton five months previously to the surrender of the opium, and we were holding on the property for a change of times.

2403. Mr. Brownrigg.] After you went down to Macao on the 12th of March, you had frequent communications with your partners, you said almost up to the time of Captain Elliot's quitting Macao, to go up to Canton?—Yes; I had letters.

2404. Had you any communication with your partners after Captain Elliot went,

except the one regarding the delivering up of the opium?—Several.

2405. So that they could communicate with you by letter; the means of communication were not entirely cut off?-No; but they were very small letters; I have got one of their letters in my pocket, which came rolled up inside a cigar, without any address upon it (producing a very small piece of paper). I paid 50 dollars for this letter.

2406. Mr. Hogg.] What is the date of that letter?—The 27th of March.

2407. That is the day upon which Captain Elliot issued the requisition?—Yes. 2408. Are you aware whether Captain Blake received any communication from Captain Elliot during that time, between the 23d of March and the 27th?—I am not aware; but those communications which I received I immediately communicated to Captain Blake.

2409. Sir George Grey.] Have you any objection to state the nature of that communication which you hold in your hand?—None at all; it is merely stating that the opium had been all surrendered to Captain Elliot, and requesting me tocommunicate to Captain Blake.

2410. Is there any statement in it of the existing condition of the foreign community?-No; except that "We are all well; everything is settled, by our agreeing to give up all the opium to Captain Elliot; please communicate this to Captain Blake."

2411. Mr. Brownrigg.] You said that you received a letter on the day that Captain Elliot left Macao, and that you received some intervening letters; did any of those letters state the great alarm that the community were in?—Yes; they stated that they were a good deal excited.

2412. But not expressing any apprehension for their lives ?—No.

2413. Sir George Grey.] Have you any doubt that great apprehension did exist on the part of the British merchants at Canton?—There were many people in Canton at the time who had been a very short period there, and who did not know much of China, and who were a good deal alarmed.

2414. Are you aware that the memorial to Lord Palmerston, which was signed by almost all the foreign community of Canton, states, that their lives and property were in great danger at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival at Canton?—I' believe there were many who signed that document who never read it.

2415. Do you believe that that document does not contain the real opinions of all the parties whose names are signed to it?—Yes.

2416. Here is the house of Dent & Company; do you suppose that the firm, consisting of Mr. Dent and his partners, signed that memorial, and were parties to addressing it to the British Government, without having read it?—I think that one of Mr. Dent's partners had a hand in preparing the document.

2417. Do you doubt that they really entertained an opinion that life and property were in danger at the time of Capt. Elliot's arrival?—The partner of Mr. Dent who managed the opium business had not been in China above six months, I think (Mr. Braine), and I believe that he was the party who prepared that memorial.

2418. You have not looked at the signatures to the memorial?—I do not recollect at present the signatures.

2419. Were there not then resident at Canton members of firms who had for years resided there?—Not many; there were not many old residents there.

2420. Chairman.] The great bulk of those persons had taken up their residence at Canton at the expiration of the Company's charter?—Yes; some within 18

2421. Sir George Grey.] Do you doubt that the document truly expressed the opinions of the parties who signed it, although those parties, from having recently arrived there, might not be able to form a correct opinion?—I think that many signed it who were not able to form a correct opinion.

2422. Do

2422. Do you doubt that it correctly expressed the opinion of the parties that A. Matheson, Esq.

signed it?—I suppose it did. 2423. Have you any doubt that the signatures comprised almost all the British 1 June 1840. firms resident at Canton?—It is only a few years since there were more than

three British firms there.

2424. Will you have the goodness to run your eye over these names, and state whether you conceive that these firms formed a fair representation of the existing British firms at Canton at that time?—(The memorial being shown to the Witness)-Yes, they did.

2425. Do you find any omission of the principal firms at Canton, with the exception of your own, from those signatures?—No.

2426. Mr. Hogg.] The date of that document is the 23d of May?—It is. 2427. Sir George Grey.] Does not it refer to the period of Captain Elliot's arrival at Canton?—Yes, it does.

2428. Mr. Hogg.] Is it your opinion that the feelings of those persons, as expressed in that document, were very much influenced by the conduct of Lin, subsequently to the month of March, and that their feelings of danger and apprehension are probably more strongly expressed in the month of May than they would have been if the document had been signed on the 26th of March?—I think their feelings in May were different from what they were in March.

2429. Do you not think that in May their feeling of danger, and their apprehension of violence from the Chinese government in consequence of the subsequent conduct of Lin, were much greater than they were in March?—I think so; Lin had acted much more treacherously, and done a great deal more than people could have anticipated in March.

2430. Sir G. Grey.] Then at the date of that document you think they had better evidence of Lin's intentions, and were therefore more competent to form a correct opinion than they were in March?—I think that Lin went much further than he at first intended; that the facility with which his orders were submitted to encouraged him to go further.

2431. Chairman.] And therefore that in May the sense of the danger they had escaped was greater than when they were actually in it, in March?—Yes, I believe so.

2432. Sir G. Grey.] You think they had better evidence upon which to form an opinion in the month of May than they had in March?—Yes; I think that if there had been more firmness in March, Lin would not have gone the length which he went to.

2433. Mr. Hogg.] Do you think that if the memorial had been prepared and signed on the 26th of March, it would have been expressed in terms so strong, with reference to their apprehension of danger, as the terms which exist in that document, assuming the signatures to it to be the same, or do you think that those strong expressions of danger have been induced by subsequent events?—I think that subsequent events made them believe that they were in greater danger than they supposed themselves to be in at the time.

2434. Mr. Brownrigg.] It appears that about three-fourths of the signatures to this are Parsees; do you suppose that they all could read English?—I do not sup-

pose that the majority of them ever read the memorial.

2435. Sir. George Grey.] Were the transactions of those Parsees who have signed that document with native Englishmen at Canton carried on in English?— Yes, in English.

2436. Mr. Gladstone.] You have said that the trade upon the coast is now carried on with the connivance of the local authorities; have you any authentic

information upon that subject?—I have no documents to prove it.

2437. Do you derive your impression from mere rumour?—Mere rumour.

2438. How far does it go; does it relate to certain particular parts?—Not to any particular part.

2439. Does it relate to any particular class of authorities?—It relates to the petty mandarins along the coast.

2440. Not to persons of authority or station?—No.

2441. Sir George Grey.] Is your firm at present engaged in the trade upon the coast?-Indirectly it is.

2442. Does your firm receive direct accounts of the mode in which the trade is carried on?—Yes.

2443. Mr. 0.59.

A. Matheson, Esq.

1 June 1840.

2443. Mr. Gladstone.] Those accounts do not inform you that there is conni-

vance on the part of persons of authority upon the coast?-No.

2444. Chairman.] Is the ground upon which you assume that supposed connivance the knowledge of sales being made?—Sales being made; and I know that the mandarins, up to the time of my leaving, connived at the trade.

2445. Sir George Grey.] Do not you find that greater obstruction exists to the trade upon the coast since Commissioner Lin's measures than existed previously?

-Yes; the purchases are upon a smaller scale.

2446. With a view to concealment?—Yes; they buy less at one time.

2447. Chairman.] That is, the number of persons engaged in the trade is more numerous?—Yes; but each one takes a smaller quantity.

2448. Sir George Grey.] Has the price been raised considerably?—Yes.

2449. Mr. Brownrigg.] Has it not sold as high as 900 dollars a chest?—It may have been, in one instance or two.

2450. Mr. Herbert.] What is the mode of obstruction which the Chinese adopt upon the coast; do they attack the opium ships?—Never; the mode in which they try to prevent it is, to prevent the natives going off to the ships.

2451. And to intercept the landing of it in boats?—Yes.

2452. Sir George Grey.] Is it always taken ashore in native boats?—Always; the opium never goes over the ship's side till it is paid for; it is then put into bags, and the natives carry it away about their persons, and in various ways.

APPENDIX.

LIST OF APPENDIX.

PAPERS delivered in by James Wi	illiam Freshfield, Jun. Esq., 30 April 1840; v	riz.
---------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	------

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
I.
EXTRACTS from Parliamentary Papers and Evidence as to the Connexion of the Trade in Opium between <i>India</i> and <i>China</i> with the Revenue Administration of <i>British India</i> , and the part and interest taken by the East India Company and its Officers therein:
(A.)—Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1830 (Parliamentary Paper, No. 644.)
(B.)—Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1831, Appendix 1 (Parliamentary Paper, No. 320-A.)
(C.)—Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1831, Appendix 4 (Parliamentary Paper, No. 320-D.)
(D.)—Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1832; II. Finance, Part 1, (Parliamentary Paper, No. 735-II.)
(E.)—Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1832; III. Revenue, Part 2. (Parliamentary Paper, No. 735-III.) p. 166
(F.)—Report of Committee of House of Lords, 1830 (Parliamentary Paper, No. 646.) p. 170
II.
EXTRACTS from PARLIAMENTARY PAPERS as to the Character of Chinese Edicts:
(G.)—Third Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1831, Appendices I. & II. (Parliamentary Paper, 1831, No. 320-A. 320-B.)
III.
EXTRACTS from Acts of Parliament, Secret Consultations of the Select Committee at Canton, and Evidence given before the Select Committees of both Houses of Parliament, on the Affairs of the East India Company, as to the Powers and Authorities and Public Character of the Select Committee of Supracargoes at Canton, prior to the 21st day of April 1834:
(H.)—Act 26 Geo. 3, c. 57
(I.)—Act 33 Geo. 3, c. 52
(K.)—Act 53 Geo. 3, c. 155
(L.)—Forms of East India Company's Licence to Country Ships trading between Calcutta and Canton
(M.)—Report of Committee of House of Lords, 1830 (Parliamentary Paper, No. 646, 1830)
(N.)—Second and Third Reports of Committee of the House of Commons on East India Affairs, Evidence, 1830 (Parliamentary Paper, No. 644, 1830) p. 178
(O.)—Third Report of Committee of House of Commons, 1831, Appendix I. (Parliamentary Paper, No. 320-A. 1831)
(P.)—Third Report of Committee of House of Commons, 1831, Appendix II. (Parliamentary Paper, No. 320-B. 1831)
(Q.)—Act 3 & 4 Will 4, c. 93
(R.)—Order in Council of 9 December 1833 p. 180
PAPERS delivered in by W. Jardine, Esq., 18 May 1840; viz.
(1.)—Order in Council, 3 April 1840
(3).—Claims for Indemnity for Opium surrendered by Messrs. Jardine, Matheson
& Co p. 183 (4.)—(A.)—Statement of Purchases of Patna Opium by Messrs. Jardine, Matheson
& Co. (B.)—Statement of Purchases of Malwa Opium by Messrs. Jardine, Matheson
& Co

APPENDIX.

PAPERS DELIVERED IN BY J. W. FRESHFIELD, JUN. ESQ.

I.

EXTRACTS, EVIDENCE, &c. as to the connexion of the Trade in Opium between India and China with the Revenue Administration of British India.

Trade in Opium between India and China.

(A. 1.)—First Report from Select Committee on the Affairs of the East India Company, ordered to be printed, 8 July 1830. (Parliamentary Paper, No. 644.)

The foreign trade, which is now restricted to Canton, except in the case of the Spaniards, who have still access to Amoy, a privilege stated to be merely nominal, and very rarely used, was formerly carried on in other ports, from which foreigners were gradually excluded by Acts of the Chinese Government.

In spite of these restrictions, however, it is stated that a contraband trade, chiefly in opium, has of late been openly carried on (without any disturbance from the Chinese authorities) with the ports to the north-east of Canton, which are represented to be safe and excellent harbours.

Smuggling in various articles is carried on in China to an immense extent, and to the injury of the Hong merchants, who are never concerned in it. It takes place chiefly in the contraband article of opium, which is imported into China in country and American ships, and much of which is purchased at the Company's sales in India.

The Chinese Government prohibits the use of opium upon a moral principle; but this prohibition, although frequently reiterated in Imperial proclamations, is not only disregarded by the people, but also by the Government officers, who appear systematically to connive at the smuggling of opium, and to derive a large profit from the bribes of the smugglers. The Company's servants in India and China are cognizant of the fact that the opium sold at their sales is conveyed to China, but they are expressly prohibited from having any dealings whatever in that article.

The trade in opium, which is altogether contraband, has been largely extended of late years, and is conducted by private merchants, British and American. The opium is readily disposed of in China, and the returns of merchandize from China to India not being proportioned to the value of the opium, many of the ships go back in ballast, taking their sale proceeds either in bills on India or in specie, the exportation of which requires a licence from the Chinese authorities. This permission is granted occasionally for dollars, but never for native silver, usually called sycee. Both are however constantly exported by private traders to India in spite of the prohibition, and the whole export of silver by different parties has in some years considerably overbalanced the importation of dollars.

(A. 2.)—Evidence of C. Marjoribanks, Esq. (Parliamentary Paper, No. 644.)

of June 1828 in the court of Canton amounted to 20,364,000 dollars; can you state what proportion that bears of the whole foreign trade at Canton?—I could give a statement of the amount of American trade, if it were not already before the Committee, and a comparison of the two statements with that of the Dutch included, would give the result. I should say the British trade at Canton is very considerably beyond all the others.

706. By this statement it appears that the trade on the part of the Company imports

4,518,000 dollars, and that on private accounts including 11,243,000 dollars. The amount by the private individuals is 12,365,000 dollars. Will you state in what manner this account is made up, to enable them to show the amount of imports of opium, when it is a prohibited article?—I have already stated that though the committee in China are confined in their commercial dealings to the Hong merchants, yet like every other description of persons in their situation, they receive information from every source which they consider good, and when they are desired by their employers to furnish them with accounts of the opium trade or others, they consider it their duty to make such inquiries as may elicit the best information upon those subjects. Not dealing in opium themselves, they cannot speak to the practical part, but they receive information from British agents and others who deal in it. The friendly intercourse which has always existed between the respectable class of British merchants in China and the representatives of the Company induces the British merchants to communicate readily information upon those subjects, at least I have always found it so.

p. v.

p. vi.

p. x.

p. 63.

0.59.

Trade in Opium between India and China.

707. It is stated here, that 3,080 chests of Malwa opium is valued at 1,185 dollars per chest; in what manner is that valuation obtained?—From the sale. Those prices are not put down by the Company's servants; they are obtained from the British merchants who deal in opium.

708. Are the Committee to understand that although the trade of opium is prohibited under very severe penalties, yet that the quantity imported, and the prices at which it is sold, is as regularly known as any other article which is authorized and regularly imported? —It is made no secret of; it is generally known by the parties who deal in it, and they communicate it to others. The prices of opium are always given in the Canton Register, a public newspaper.

709. Is it not an instruction from the Court of Directors, that no Englishman in China shall in any way be concerned in the importation of opium?—No, there is no such order from the Court of Directors; the Company prohibit any of their own servants from dealing

in opium.

710. Are you aware whether any of the Company's marks are put on the chests of opium imported from Malwa and Bengal?—I cannot speak accurately as to the fact. The only time when I have seen opium was when it was taken out of the chest; I do not know that it is so, and I do not know that it is not.

711. Is opium landed in chests in China?—I have understood that on board the country ships they break up the chests and put the opium into bags, in which state it is delivered to the Chinese. The chest is a cumbrous article, and they wish to get rid of it. The chest

does not find its way into the Chinese boat; it is, I believe, left in the ship.

712. Can you inform the Committee how the trade of opium is carried on?—The question refers to the transfer of opium from the country ships to the opium boats. When I first went to China the opium trade was at Macao, from which it went to Whampoa, and is now confined to the islands at the mouth of the river. The opium smuggling boats go alongside the ships, in the open face of day, and the opium is delivered to them, upon their presenting what is called an opium order from the agent in Canton.

713. Is this trade carried on within the view of the officers of Government, and the menof-war boats of the Chinese ?--Frequently within the view of the men-of-war boats.

714. Are there boats stationed, on the part of the Chinese authorities, within reach and view of this trade?—Not regularly stationed; they frequently go and come there; they are constantly manœuvring about, and often report to the Canton authorities that they have swept the seas of all smuggling ships. The ships remain there just the same.

715. Have you ever known any case in which they have interposed to prevent the carrying on of this opium trade?—The Chinese have frequently interposed by the strongest proclamations; I have known some instances in which the opium boats have been seized, and

the crew have had their heads cut off.

716. Has that happened when they have been detected up the river, or at the place among the islands where the trade is carried on?—They have been detected in different parts of the river; they are tried and convicted in a very summary manner. The customparts of the river; they are tried and convicted in a very summary manner. house officers, by whom the opium is seized, frequently on such occasions light a fire on the top of a hill, in a conspicuous situation. The opium is declared to be burnt; but I believe none of it is put into the fire.

717. Then, in point of fact, the interposition, although it may be connected with the loss of life, does not lead to the cessation of the trade?—No, it does not. The Chinese Government, with respect to opium, consider it as a traffic that ought not to exist. An Imperial edict is supposed to be indisputable, and anything contained in it is not to be contravened.

They never acknowledge it as a trade.

718. Therefore, the existence of established laws against foreign trade, and against foreigners, by no means admits of presumption that the practice is according to the law?—

No; practice and profession are much at variance in China.

719. Then, in point of fact, it may be said that the smuggling trade in opium is carried on with the connivance of the Chinese authorities?—With the connivance of the lower Government authorities; I am not prepared to say of the higher authorities.

720. Is that the case in the smuggling of other articles?—Yes, it is.

721. Have you known of seizures being made of opium in the interior of China?—I have

heard of them; I believe the opium finds its way to all parts of the empire, and within the

walls of the Imperial palace at Pekin, though it is disclaimed and denounced as a poison.

722. Is it carried on without interruption?—No, it is liable to frequent interruptions; the persons who carry it from Canton are obliged to conceal it about their baggage, to evade the search of the Chinese officers.

723. How can a trade of such an extent be a secret trade?—It is an illicit trade.

724. You have stated that the smuggling trade in opium is confined to the islands at the mouth of the Canton river ?- It is there that it is principally conducted; the foreign ships anchor there, and the opium boats go alongside of the ships there.

725. Is it carried on at any other parts of the coast?—I have known opium ships go along the coast with a view to disposing of opium, but I believe unsuccessfully.

726. Is the smuggling trade in other articles carried on in any other parts of the empire? -Not to my knowledge; it is all at Canton.

727. Was there not a ship called the Merope freighted with opium?—I remember a ship of the name of Merope in China.

728. Did not that ship sail to the northward, and dispose of her cargo somewhere about

the ports of Amoy and Ning Po ?- I heard that she went along the coast, but the extent Trade in Opium of her cargo I am not aware of.

between India and

729. Have you ever known the Hong merchants deal in opium?—Never to my know-

730. Do you understand the consumption of opium in China to be rapidly increasing?—Very rapidly. I think I stated that the year I left China the importation of opium was supposed to be between 13,000 and 14,000 chests, which was a very considerable increase. I have a statement of the consumption and value of the Indian opium in China from 1818-19 to 1827-28.

[The Witness delivered in the same.]

STATEMENT of Consumption and Value of Indian Opium in China, from 1818-19 to 1827-28.

		•		Patna a	nd Benares.	М	Ialwa.	Total.		
Saglin ord-rein	-			Chests.	Value.	Chests.	Value.	Chests.	Value.	
					Dollars.		Dollars.		Dollars.	
4818-1819	-	-	-	3,050	3,050,000	1,530	1,109,250	4,580	4,159,250	
1819-1820	-	<u>*</u>	•	2,970	3,667,950	1,630	1,915,250	4,600	5,582,200	
1820-1821	•	-	-	3,050	5,795,000	1,720	2,605,800	4,770	8,400,800	
1821-1822	•	÷	-	2,910	6,038,250	1,718	2,276,350	4,628	8,314,600	
1822-1823	•	-	-	1,822	2,828,930	4,000	5,160,000	5,822	7,988,930	
1823-1824	-	+	-	2,910	4,656,000	4,172	3,859,100	7,082	8,515,100	
1824-1825	-	•	-	2,655	3,119,625	6,000	4,500,000	8,655	7,619,625	
1825-1826	•	4	-	3,442	3,141,755	6,179	4,466,450	9,621	7,608,205	
1826-1827	-	-	-	3,661	3,668,565	6,308	5,941,520	9,969	9,610,085	
1827-1828	-	-	-	5,114	5,105,073	4,361	5,251,760	9,475	10,356,833,	

Canton, 10 November 1828.

731. Is that statement confined to opium which has passed the Company's sales in India, or does it include the opium which is smuggled from India to China?—I believe it includes the Malwa opium, which comes from the Portuguese port of Demaun.

(A. 3.)—Mr. John Aken.

1996. Do the Hong ever purchase opium?—I believe not,

1997. Do you know how the outside merchant introduces it into China?—I am not acquainted with that.

1998. Is it not a fact that they bring the boats alongside, and then it is thrown out of the ships into the boats?—Yes; when I sold my opium, I gave an order upon the chief officer to deliver it; but the person to whom I sold it, takes the risk entirely in taking it

from the ship; he pays me for it before he gets the order.

1999. What does he generally pay you with?—In dollars or sycee:

2000. Do you happen to know the method by which the opium is smuggled into the country?—They take it from alongside in smuggling boats, that are well manned and armed, and there are a great many rivers, branches, and islands, and different places, and they put off directly with it and they set all the Government bests at defence it have seen that put off directly with it, and they set all the Government boats at defiance; I have seen that myself. I have seen four Mandarin boats surrounding my ship, when I had 30 chests of opium to smuggle, and I was prevented going to sea on account of the opium, and I sold it to the people. I went down myself and saw the way they smuggled it; they stripped the chest entirely away and took nothing but the opium and put it into bags; and we open the lower deck port, and in one moment they put the opium into the boat, and all hands are off in a moment; we did that in a very heavy shower of rain; there was a cry out about

three minutes afterwards, but the boat was gone like a shot.
2001. Were the Mandarin boats lying near?—One was lying ahead touching the ship,

another was lying at the stern, and another was lying upon the opposite side.

2002. They were there to prevent smuggling?—Yes.

2003. Do you obtain greater or less price for your opium in proportion to the degree of vigilance of the Mandarin boats?—No, I never knew any difference made on that

2004. If the Mandarin boats had not been there, should you have obtained a larger price ?—I do not think we should have got more; they always make certain of it; and it always struck me that there was an understanding between the smugglers and the Mandarin boats; there is an apparent vigilance kept up which has no existence, in my opinion; I have been told so by a number of people.

p. 134.

0.59.

I.
Trade in Opium
between India and
China.

(A. 4.)—James C. Melvill, Esq.

p. 433.

- 4965. Are you aware of the quantity of opium which is sent at the present moment from India to China?—I know that it is very considerable.
- 4966. Are you aware of the fact of its having increased very much?—I believe it has; but at the same time, that trade is subject to certain contingencies. The supercargoes have reported twice or thrice during the present charter, that owing to some new exercise of rigour on the part either of the Emperor of China, or of the local authorities, to check the contraband trade in opium, they have been unable to rely with confidence upon the proceeds of that opium for the supply of their treasury.
- 4967. Supposing that trade to have considerably increased, would it not thereby afford considerable facilities in carrying on the rest of the China trade?—The Company always take up two-thirds of their funds in China, by either bills upon India or consignments from thence, and therefore it can only affect, so far as the Company are concerned, the difference between that and the total amount of the prime cost.

p. 448.

- 5147. Are you aware that in 11 years, from 1817-18 to 1827-28, the value of Indian opium sold in Canton alone, without including other quantities deposited in the other parts of China, has increased from 2,951,000 Spanish dollars in the first of those years to 11,243,496 in the last of those years?—I know that there has been a great increase in the price which opium has fetched at Canton, but I have understood that that price has greatly fallen, and that by the last accounts it was still decreasing.
 - 5148. Are you also aware that the exports of Chinese merchandize have been far from keeping pace with this extraordinary increase of exportation of opium?—I am aware that there has been a remittance of bullion from China to India every year to pay the balance.
 - 5149. Does not the large remittance of bullion from China to India to pay the balance explain the temporary falling off in the supply of opium from India to China; and does not it in fact show that the state of the trade now from China with other parts of the world is one in which they want the means of remittance in order to pay for the great quantity of opium and other articles that they consume?—It would appear that the Chinese cannot make returns in merchandize for all that they can take.
 - 5150. Then the result is, that in proportion to the consignments made of opium, and many of those other articles, there will be greatly extended facilities given to the Company or any other parties trading with China for making remittances to India, and from India to Europe?—To India certainly; and the Company avail themselves of that resource to the extent of two-thirds of what they want.
 - 5151. Are you not of opinion that if the Chinese could find increased means of remittance, they would consume a further quantity of opium and other goods from India and this country?—No doubt; but with respect to the opium trade, considerable uncertainty attaches to that, on account of the rigour that every now and then bursts out on the part of the Government to check that contraband trade.

EXTRACT from Third Report Commons' Committee, 1831, Appendix 1. (Parliamentary Papers, 320-A.)

- (B. 1.)—Extract from a Letter from the Select Committee at Canton to the Court of Directors, dated 26th January 1831. (First Appendix, Third Report, 1831.)
- **p.** 619.
- 26. We have already acquainted your Honourable Court with the greatly increased extent of the opium trade in China, carried on in defiance of official proclamations, under the connivance, and frequently by the instrumentality, of the officers of Government appointed to put it down. The ships engaged in it now remain anchored at the island of Lintin, and in its neighbourhood, throughout the year; unmolested by the Chinese war-vessels. The traffic is not confined to opium, but extends to articles of every description. Reports are regularly made by the native pilots to the governor of Canton of the number of vessels engaged in it. It never can be a recognized trade; but it is now to all intents an established one, and affords one of the most remarkable instances in this or perhaps in any other country, of the weakness and corruption of a government.

EXTRACTS from Despatches, and Enclosures in Despatches, from the Court of Directors to Trade in Opium the Governor-general in Council, and from the Governor-general in Council to the Court between India and of Directors. Laid before the Select Committee of the House of Commons, and printed China. n the Fourth Appendix to their Third Report on the Affairs of the East India Company, 1831. (Parliamentary Paper, 320-D.)

(C. 1.)—EXTRACT from a Despatch from the Secret Committee of the Directors of the East India Company to the Governor-general in Council at Fort William, in Bengal; dated 10th May 1816. (signed) Thomas Reid, John Bebb.

Para. 3. THE revenue derived from opium and salt, which at different times has been Appx. No. 1, p. 1. realized by different means, has always been of so great importance, that we entirely approve of the attention which you have paid to this subject. It certainly never was the intention of the Legislature, in opening the trade with India to the public at large, to endanger a

revenue about 2,000,000 *l*. sterling per annum.

7. It being quite clear that the China trade is reserved exclusively to the Company by virtue of the 53 Geo. 3, c. 155, s. 2, no British subject can trade thither without the licence of the Company have therefore an indubitable

right to withhold a licence to carry Turkey opium or any other article to China.

9. It is not in our power to prevent, nor can the British Legislature prevent, Turkey opium from being carried to the Eastern Isles and to China; because, though the trade in that article were altogether prohibited to British subjects, it would still be open to foreign nations to engage in it. We desire, however, that you will watch the progress and course of the trade, and report to us from time to time your information and sentiments respecting it.

- (C. 2.)—Extract from the Reply of the Governor-general in Council to the Despatch of the Secret Committee of the 10th May 1816; dated in the Territorial Department, 11th October 1816. (signed) Moira, N. B. Edmonstone, Archibald Seton, G. Dowdeswell.
- 6. WE had anticipated the suggestion contained in the 7th paragraph of your despatch, having already, on occasion of the importation at this presidency of a quantity of Turkey opium (to which we alluded in the 16th paragraph of our financial despatch of the 28th June last), given directions for inserting in the licences to trade in China a condition that such licence shall be void in case any foreign or other opium sold by the Company at their public sale in Bengal be laden on board the ship in any part of the voyage, or be imported into China on board of it.

p. 6.

(C. 3.)—LETTER from the Court of Directors to the Governor-general in Council at Fort William, in Bengal, dated 27th January 1819. (Signed by 15 Directors.)

Para. 1. WE have received your political letter, dated the 4th January 1817, representing Appx. No. 5, p. 11. the injury which the opium branch of the Company's revenue is likely to sustain from the traffic in that article, which is stated to be carried on between the port of Goa and other ports in India, not subject to British jurisdiction, and the Portuguese settlement of Macao, and suggesting an arrangement between the Governments of Great Britain and Portugal, under which the latter Government may consent to prohibit the importation by its own subjects into Macao of all opium not purchased at the Company's sales in Bengal, and to impose such duties on that drug, whether the produce of Turkey, or of any place in India not subject to the British Government, when imported into that settlement by foreigners, as

may be tantamount to a prohibition.

2. We are by no means insensible to the injury to which the Company's interests connected with the opium monopoly are exposed from the competition of Malwa and Turkey opium in the Chinese and other eastern markets, and we approve of the solicitude which you have evinced to provide for the security of this valuable branch of the Indian revenue. It has, however, appeared to us so improbable that the Government of Portugal would accede to an arrangement such as you have suggested, that we deem it quite unnecessary

to submit the proposition to His Majesty's Government.

3. The superiority of the Bengal opium in point of quality over that manufactured in other parts of India, will, we trust, ensure to it a preference in the foreign market so long as the superiority is not much more than counterbalanced by the difference in price; and should a reduction in the price of Bengal opium become necessary, the expediency of proportionately increasing the annual provision will naturally engage your attention. We know of no practical mode of guarding against the evil which you apprehend, except by supplying the market at a moderate price with a better article than can be procured from different quarters, and through other abappels. different quarters, and through other channels.

0.59.

Trade in Opium between India and China.

(C. 4.)—Extracts from a Letter in the Separate Department from the Court of Directors to the Governor-general in Council in Bengal; dated 30th January 1822.

Appx. No. 7, p. 12.

Para. 40. We have already noticed the progressive decline of the opium revenue, from 1814-15 to 1817-18 inclusive; this decline was ascribed to the competition of foreign opium in the eastern market. The proceedings now before us relate to the measures which you have proposed for the purpose of overcoming this competition, and restoring the prosperity of this branch of our resources.

perity of this branch of our resources.

43. The rivals of the Company in the China opium market are of three classes, namely, the traders in Malwa opium, the traders in Turkey opium, and the traders in illicit Bengal

opium.

44. Of these three sources of rival supply, the Malwa opium appears, from the excellence of its quality, to have afforded the most powerful instrument of competition, so much so, that in the opinion of the Select Committee of Supracargoes at Canton, if the quality of the Company's opium should not be kept up to the standard established in 1798, and if the quality of the Malwa drug should continue to rise in proportion to its recent improvement, and the traffic continue equally free from restrictions, there was reason to conclude that the competition would extend to the limits at which the proceeds of opium in general would merely repay the expense of production and transport.

48. The principles of a strict monopoly, which supposes the exclusive possession of a market, and the consequent assurance of high price by means of limited supply, are evidently inapplicable to this state of things; you have, therefore, made it a subject of careful consideration, whether the eastern market cannot be commanded by the adoption of an entirely different system, namely, by supplying its entire demand at such a price as would

render competition unprofitable.

57. We find, on reference to the records, that the selling price of the Behar and Benares opium at Calcutta, on the average of eight years from 1787-8, was 558; of 11 years from the same period, being the last 11 years of the contract system, 495; of 14 years from the same period, which will include the three first years of the agency system, 527 rupees per chest. If it was worth the while of the dealers in Turkey opium to send it to the China market when the price of the Company's opium at Calcutta was from 500 to 550 rupees, we can scarcely imagine such an enhancement of the cost of production or of transport, as that it would not be worth their while to send it when the price of the Company's opium at Calcutta is 1,400 rupees, making the most ample allowance for the improved quality of the agency opium. We fear, therefore, that the idea so strongly entertained by you, that a sale price of 1,400 rupees for the Company's opium in Calcutta will be so low as, by underselling, to drive the Turkey opium out of the China market, has been taken up on an imperfect view of the subject, and will be found, on experience, fallacious.

perfect view of the subject, and will be found, on experience, fallacious.

72. We are fully aware that you had only a claim among many opposite difficulties, and that you had it not in your power to fix on a course that would not have been liable to exceptions; but we have deemed it necessary to call your attention to some important considerations which you appear to have overlooked, and which forbid us to entertain any very sanguine hopes that the result of the course you have pursued will realize your expectations of maintaining the revenue at the standard of the most favourable year (1814-16); at the same time, the means which the Company possesses of commanding a large supply on moderate terms, and of an excellent quality, will always afford it a considerable advantage in the ordinary course of mercantile competition. We have no doubt but these advantages are capable of very great extension, if the drug be supplied of such uniform good quality as will give the purchasers in the eastern market implicit confidence in the Company's stamp. This confidence the deterioration of late years has greatly weakened. If this confidence can be restored and preserved, our resources may still derive considerable benefit from that moderate profit on an enlarged supply to which we must restrict our expectations, but we are fully persuaded that in proportion as this confidence shall be preserved or lost, this branch of our revenue will prosper or decay. The point, therefore, of vital importance, and that to which your most vigilant supervision is requisite, is the preservation of the uniform excellence of quality.

(C. 5.)—Extract from a Letter in the Separate Department from the Court of Directors to the Governor-general in Council in Bengal; dated 21st January 1829.

Appx. No 9, p. 21.

7. The project of extending the cultivation of opium to Rohilcund, and establishing there another agency, suggested by Mr. Forde, collector of Moradabad, and Captain Jeremie, was by you, upon reasons which appear to us satisfactory, declined. But in consequence of the report of the late Dr. Abel, that the opium grown by Captain Jeremie in Rohilcund possessed "superior excellence as a narcotic over that of Behar, Benares or Patna, while in its other qualities it would bear a comparison with the finest kind of that grown in Turkey," the Board of Customs, Salt and Opium being of opinion that opium of the character of that produced by Captain Jeremie might be prepared in any of the present agencies, recommended that an experiment to that effect should be made; and in the making of it, that the following points should be in a particular manner attended to.

1. Generally, whether our Behar, Benares and Malwa opium are capable, by means of improved

between India and

improved cultivation or different preparation, of being assimilated in all respects to that Trade in Opium of Turkey?

2. Whether, if converted into such, in what way the value of each would be affected in China. Chinese estimation?

3. Whether the present proportion of narcotic substance in the Behar opium could be augmented, and the drug be still preserved free from the noxious qualities which the Chinese consider the Turkey opium to possess?

4. What it is in the manufacturing process in our agencies that is prejudicial to the goodness of the drug, compared with the Turkey opium, as a medicine in Europe?

5. How far, if the character of Turkey opium can be imparted to the produce of India,

and the article be sent home to compete with the other, there would be any fear of its subsequently finding its way to China, and so interfere with the exports made directly from hence? And

6. If possible to discover the nature of those desirable qualities in the Behar opium which

have already obtained for it such a decided preference amongst the Chinese consumers.

9. Approving these suggestions, you authorized Captain Jeremie to be employed under the agent at Behar, at a salary of 500 rupees per mensem, as a temporary arrangement, and for the purpose of experiment. Afterwards, on a strong recommendation from the medical board that Captain Jeremie should be employed to manufacture a pure opium for the use of the medical department, you thought proper that this should be included among the duties he was to perform.

10. Averse as we are to the creation of a new office, and to any addition to the expense of your Government, we think that advantages here in view were so great, and the promise of them so far as to justify a temporary arrangement of the chance of their attainment. It is our particular desire, however, that the temporary and experimental character of the measure be not lost sight of, and to this end that an accurate report be forwarded to us as soon as possible, informing us what the result of the experiment has been, and whether any arrangement consequent upon its success or failure is by you reckoned expedient.

(C. 6.)—Extract from a Letter in the Separate Department, from the Court of Directors to the Governor-general in Council in Bengal; dated 16 September 1829.

19. WE observe, from the report of the supracargoes at Canton, dated 13th September Appendix, No. 10, 1827, that the Chinese have manifested an increasing taste for the opium of Malwa, and p. 25. that it had, in consequence of this and the disrepute of the Bengal opium, arising from the bad quality of it in the preceding year, produced a much higher price than the Patna and Benares opium, which in that year were stated to be of good quality. This circumstance, though the supracargoes describe their information as very imperfect, we trust has not been overlooked by you and the Board of Customs, as indicating the course which ought to be pursued in our Bengal agencies. It is at variance with the theory of the late Dr. Abel, in attempting to explain the reasons why the Chinese preferred the Patna opium both to the Malwa and the Turkey opium. We hope that the experiments which were approved of in our letter, dated the 21st January last, will have enabled you to judge of the competency of the officers entrusted with the preparation of an article so important both to the commercial and financial prosperity of your Presidency to improve the quality of the opium; should you entertain doubt on this point, we must insist on your adopting the course indicated in the concluding paragraph of that letter, as we cannot for a moment consent to sacrifice objects so important on account of any personal considerations.

(C. 7.)—Extracts from an Enclosure in a Letter from the Governor-general in Council in Bengal, in the Political Department, to the Court of Directors, (dated 10 July 1829, signed W. C. Bentinck, W. B. Bayley, C. T. Metcalfe). Being an Abstract of Correspondence regarding Malwa Opium, commencing from the year 1818 to the year 1828, prepared in the Secretary's office. (signed) A. Stirling, Deputy Secretary to the Government. G. Swinton, Chief Secretary to the Government. (countersigned)

THE first paper in the series is a despatch-from the Supreme Government, requesting Appendix, No. 11, a communication of the views of the Bombay government as to the best method of check- p. 26. ing the exportation of Malwa opium, via the ports of Diu and Demaun, for the China The Bombay government state in reply that, 1st, as cultivation of the poppy had been prohibited in Guzerat (in 1803) by the influence of the British Government, so by the same influence the cultivation of the drug for exportation might be prohibited in Malwa; 2dly, that should the prohibition of the cultivation of the drug in Malwa be considered objectionable or impracticable, by cultivating the poppy in Guzerat, we should at any time be enabled to drive the Malwa opium out of the Chinese market.

In the resolution of Government, recorded in the Territorial Department as per margin, a full review is taken of the question of Malwa opium, and of the effects it would probably

have on the Bengal monopoly, were not our restrictive measures extended to Malwa.

After explaining the relative value of the Bengal and Turkey opium in the Chinese market (which is five to three), and showing that there is no ground for apprehension from 0.59.

I. Trade in Opium between India and China.

the effects of that opium, provided the price of our opium be not kept too high, it is observed that, on account of the demand for Malwa opium in the Chinese markets, it is impossible for us to retain our strict monopoly of Bengal opium; it remained to be considered whether we could obtain supply from Malwa at such a price as to prevent any great deficit in the revenue from the inferior price which Bengal opium must fetch in consequence of the modi-

fication of our monopoly.

The objects that would be attained by a more extended supply of the Chinese market on moderate terms would be, 1st, The prevention of smuggling; 2dly, The expulsion of foreign opium from the Chinese market. The total amount of foreign opium supplied to the China markets, in the year 1817-18, exceeded 3,000 chests, and the supply to Java and the Eastern Archipelago averaged 1,100 chests, making a total supply of 4,100 chests. If then 4,000 chests, in addition to our Bengal opium (4,000 chests), could be supplied by us from India, it would appear that our Bengal opium would suffer no material depreciation. The chief object in view must necessarily be, 1st, to obtain the supply of 4,000 chests from Malwa; and 2dly, to prevent by restrictive measures the production of any quantity of opium in excess of that supply. The scheme, however, of introducing our restrictive measures into Malwa was stated to be liable to many objections.

In a note of Mr. Secretary Mackenzie, of 10th July 1823, it is observed, that as the price of Malwa opium depends on the price it will fetch in the Chinese market, it is obviously our interest to bring up such a supply of Malwa as will prevent a glut in the Chinese market, and enable us still to derive a fair profit from our Bengal monopoly. In order to secure such a supply of opium as will answer these objects, and to prevent a large quantity being thrown into the market, it will not be sufficient to enter into mere engagements with the different chiefs for the prevention of the illicit trade, but we must give them an interest in the monopoly. This may be done by purchasing the opium in the first instance at a moderate price, and allowing the chiefs a share in our net receipts. With regard to the total quantity of Malwa opium to be purchased, it would appear (from the best information before the Government) that 4,000 chests, each containing two factory maunds, will be a sufficient supply; and as we cannot, in order that the temptation to smuggling may not be too strong, and to keep out the opium of Turkey, expect above 600 or 700 rupees profit per chest, the profit on the whole would amount to 2,800,000 rupees. If it were necessary, therefore, to give a moiety of this, the remainder, or 14 lacs, would be a larger profit than we have hitherto derived from opium bought in Malwa. We should at the same time preserve our Bengal monopoly.

The internal consumption should, of course, be provided for by us at a cheap rate. In conclusion, Mr. Mackenzie observes, that unless we are enabled to introduce a restrictive system into Malwa, it is to be feared that the supply of opium will be so superabundant as to render our Bengal monopoly of little value.

(C. 8.)—EXTRACTS from a Letter in the Separate Department, from the Governor-general in Council in Bengal to the Court of Directors; dated 3d August 1830.

Appendix, No. 12, p. 53.

59. Every motive of sound policy seemed to suggest that the scheme of issuing passes, for a consideration equal to the real value of the route to be opened, should be substituted for that of purchasing opium in Malwa, to be forwarded and resold at Bombay

60. The Government will doubtless lose by this plan that portion of the profit heretofore realized by its sales, which was the result of speculation upon China fluctuations of price; but that was less a consideration at present than it had been heretofore because of the certainty of the increased production causing a gradual diminution of the selling price in the ulterior markets. It had occurred at one time to us, as will have been seen in a previous part of this despatch, that a participation in that profit also might have been secured (besides the net equivalent for the value of the routes opened) by limiting the number of passes issued, and selling them by auction; but, on reconsideration, we determined to abandon that scheme and to confine the Government receipt to what might fairly be demanded as the equivalent for the advantage of transit and shipment offered to dealers by the new routes. As these benefits were fixed, and capable of distinct valuation, the rate of duty taken for the passes conferring them ought evidently to be so too.

62. Influenced by the considerations above adverted to, we have resolved as follows:

1st. That in lieu of the existing system for deriving a revenue on opium, the produce of Malwa and other parts in Central India, the Bombay Government shall give notice that passes for the free transit of the article to Bombay, for exportation by sea, will be granted on application to the collector of customs at Bombay, or to the resident and opium agent at Indore, on payment at the rate per chest of 140 lbs. weight, of rupees 200, or such other sum as the Bombay Government may fix as the fair and proper equivalent for the routes opened by the passes.

2d. That the opium agent in Malwa shall discontinue making any purchases of opium, and shall use his best endeavours to give effect to the scheme proposed to be substituted, concerting with the Bombay Government as to the form of pass to be issued, the period for which it is to run, and the manner in which it is to be returnable after the arrival of the opium covered by it at Bombay.

3d. That

3d. That the agent be further directed to promulgate the determination of the Trade in Opium Government to grant passes in the manner indicated, and to explain to the merchants the facilities that will be afforded by the routes opened as well as those of the Port of Bombay furnishing every information on the subject in his power to all that may apply for passes.

between India and

4th. That notice of the above change of plan be communicated to the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium, with a view to its being made known to the merchants and purchasers at the Calcutta sales.

68. Full information of the new plan upon which it was proposed to conduct the concern in Malwa, will be communicated through the Board of Customs, Salt, and Opium, to all persons concerned in the opium trade to China, at this presidency; and we informed the Bombay Government that it was our intention to continue to encourage the extension and production of the articles on this side of India.

C. 9.)—Extract from a Letter from the Governor-general and Council in Bengal to the Court of Directors; dated the 21st September 1830. W. B. Bayley, C. T. Metcalfe. (signed) W. C. Bentinck;

15. WE are taking measures for extending the cultivation of the poppy, with a view to Appendix, No. 13, a large increase in the supply of opium to be offered for sale at this presidency. Our p. 59. proceedings for this purpose will be reported hereafter. In the meantime, we look upon the difficulties which threaten this resource, through the removal of the restrictions in Central India,—and from which the restrictive system was not exempt, for during its existence the exportation from Demaun to China was continually increasing,—to merit the watchful care of Government; for the final effect of an increase beyond assignable limit in the quantity of this drug exported to China from both sides of India is a result beyond the power of our foresight to discover, or even to hazard at present any speculation upon.

(D. 1.)—Extract from the Report of the Commons' Committee, 1832; II. Finance, Part I. Parliamentary Paper, 735-II.

THE gross revenue of India has been increased by the acquisition of new territory, but improved and extended tillage, enlarged commercial dealings, an increase of population, the enactment of better laws, more efficient management on the part of Government, new stamp duties, and a great increase in the demand for opium in China, have all contributed to improve the revenues.

p. viii.

(D. 2.)—MINUTES of EVIDENCE before Select Committee; II. Finance, Part I.

Holt Mackenzie, Esq.

216. In opium the Company has an exclusive trade?—Yes; and from that source also they derive a very large revenue, the excess of the sale prices beyond the first cost constituting such a tax as I should think it hopeless to get by any other device; and though, commercially speaking, there are strong objections to the system, yet we must set against that the necessity of the revenue, and my belief is, that the same amount of revenue cannot be otherwise got.

230. You state that opium yields an immense revenue, and that you doubted whether the monopoly can be taken away without a loss to the revenue; you have already stated that the market of China, the principal outlet, is supplied by Malwa and Turkey opium?

-It is. 231. Are you not afraid that the profit derived from opium in Bengal may perhaps be

influenced by Malwa and Turkey opium coming in competition in the China market, and would not that rather seem to call for a reduction of that heavy duty on Bengal opium than to keep it up, as proposed, for the purpose of revenue?—I would not propose that the tax should be kept up at the rate which has hitherto prevailed on this subject. I beg to refer the Committee to a letter of the Finance Committee in Bengal, in which the necessity of a large extension of supply, coupled with a smaller price, is very decidedly urged. It is indeed clear, that the monopoly profit in Bengal must be measured by the price at which a supply of the drug can be drawn from other quarters; and I think it is essential for the security of the opium revenue at Calcutta that the supply should be considerably increased, and the sale price of course reduced, which is equivalent to a reduction of duty; but I still think the cost of opium produced in Malwa, and brought to Bombay, and the cost at which Turkey opium can be obtained, is such as to leave a balance very much in favour of the produce of the Bengal provinces, such a balance as could not be collected in the form either of an excise or transit duty. And although I anticipate a considerable reduction in the rate of tax, it does not therefore necessarily follow that there will be a reduction in the amount of revenue.

236. Do you include in that the portion of amount required which would be sent through Canton?—I think that a remittance to England might be advantageously made through. p. 26.

p. 27.

Trade in Opium between India and

p. 29.

through China, as is now in fact done by the Company, the drafts of the supercargoes on the Bengal government forming virtually a remittance from Bengal to England. The trade in opium, of which the proceeds in China by the last account were upwards of two millions and a half, seems to afford a large means of remittance by way of China, so long as England shall have a balance to pay to that country for tea and other things purchased there.

237. That would still remain open as a means of remittance, whether the Company traded or not?-Certainly, if the trade continued; if individuals traded with the same

success.

255. Do you not apprehend that, under all circumstances, it is probable a large export trade from India to China will continue?—Yes.

256. Will not the opium trade carried on from India to China always afford to the Indian government the means of making remittances from India to England?—Certainly, so long as England has a balance to pay to China.

257. Must there not, so long as there is a large export of opium from India to China, always be remittances made, either from China to India or from China to England, to pay

for that large export of opium which so takes place?-Certainly.

258. Will not bills, therefore, on England, to the amount of the opium exported from India to China, be always to be drawn in respect of the opium so exported?—I should think that must depend upon the general state of the trade between the three countries.

259. In what manner at present does China pay for the opium exported from India to China?—Partly in the Company's investment, partly in bullion, and partly in goods sent to India, especially to the Bombay side of India.

(D. 3.)—APPENDIX.—Part 3. II. Finance (Commercial.)

OPIUM shipped from 1820-21 to 1830-31 at the Port of Calcutta to Countries beyond p. 882. the three Residencies.

YEARS.					China.	Penang,&c.	Sumatra.	Peque.	TOTAL.
					Chests.	Chests.	Chests.	Chests.	Chests.
1820-21	-	-	-	*	3,591	657	45		5,147
1821-22	-	-	-	-	1,936	268	38		2,591
1822-23	-	-	-	•	3,207	395	50		4,100
1823-24	-	-	-	-	3,923	8011	47		5,209
1824-25	-	-	•	-	5,365	1,258	73	20	7,086
1825-26	-	-	-	-	4,627	345	10	~ -	5,155
1826-27	-	-	-	-	5,861	435	64	33	6,568
1827-28	-	-	- ,	~	7,341	325	23	1	7,903
1828-29	-	-	_	-	4,903	1,582	-, -,	7	6,554
1829-30	-	-	-	-	7,443	2,071		31	9,678
1830-31	-	-	-	-	Returns not yet arrived.				1

(E. 1.)—Extracts from the Report upon Revenue 16th August 1832. III. Revenue, Part II. 735-III.

THE monopoly of opium in Bengal supplies the Government with a revenue amounting to Sicca rupees 84,59,425, or sterling money, 981,293 l. per annum; and the duty which is thus imposed amounts to 3012 per cent. on the cost of the article.

In the present state of the revenue in India, it does not appear advisable to abandon so important a source of revenue; a duty upon opium being a tax which falls principally upon the foreign consumer, and which appears, upon the whole, less liable to objection than any other which could be substituted. * * *

At the same time, it must be recollected that the revenue thus derived is of the most precarious kind, depending as it does on a species of monopoly under which we possess exclusive control over neither the production nor the consumption of the article. It has already been materially affected by the competition of the opium of Malwa; and from the continued increase of supply from that district, as well as from its improved quality, which has enabled it to fetch an enhanced price in the foreign market, it would appear that the same

p. 10.

cause must in all probability hereafter produce a still greater reduction in the revenue. It Trade in Opium would therefore be highly imprudent to rely upon the opium monopoly as a permanent between India and source of revenue, and the time may probably not be very far distant when it may be desira- China. ble to substitute an export duty, and thus by the increased production, under a system of freedom, to endeavour to obtain some compensation for the loss of the monopoly profit.

Another source of revenue under this head is the duties collected on the transit of Malwa opium through Bombay, the Government having for the two last years abandoned their attempted monopoly of that article, and substituted a permit or transit duty, which has been attended with satisfactory results. It is in evidence, that previous to this regulation, two-thirds of the opium of Malwa was carried by a circuitous route to the Portuguese settlement of Demaun, and only one-third brought to Bombay, but latterly no more than one-tenth has been experted from Demaun, and the remainder pipe tenths have been shipped tenth has been exported from Demaun, and the remainder, nine-tenths, have been shipped from Bombay, yielding to the Government a revenue of 200,000 *l*. for the current year. Although there can be no doubt of the policy of this measure, it must be recollected that every additional facility which is afforded to the sale of Malwa opium will eventually enable it to enter with increased advantage into competition with the opium of Bengal; a consideration which tends still more strongly to recommend either the adoption of the system adverted to in the preceding paragraph, or of some other equally calculated to meet such a contingency.

(E. 2.)—MINUTES of EVIDENCE before the Select Committee, 735-III.

III. Revenue, Part II.

Hugh Stark, Esq.

127. You are Chief of the Revenue Department of the India Board?—Yes.

230. Will you be so good as to tell the Committee in what parts of the Presidency of Bengal the opium monopoly has been established?—The opium monopoly exists all over

British India.

232. What is the system under which that cultivation is adopted?—The opium agents are aided by the collectors of districts, who are termed deputy opium collectors; they enter into arrangements with the cultivators for specific portions of the poppy collection; the Company pay the cultivators 31 rupees for poppy juice per seer, which weighs rather less than 2 lbs. * * * Of late years I have seen no complaints on the part of the cultivators, and the cultivation has been largely extended, with a view of competing in Eastern markets with the opium of Malwa and Turkey.

235. Is that monopoly at present a well-enforced monopoly?—I believe it is very effectual; there may be some smuggling, but it is merely for the supply of the neighbouring

districts. The Hindoos use little opium.

236. Has it not been affected of late years by the cultivation of opium in other parts of India?—The Company have relaxed in the price of the opium; their object now is to sell at a low price, to enable merchants who purchase the opium at the Calcutta sales to compete with the merchants who procure their supplies from Malwa and Turkey for the China markets.

239. Does the price of opium which is of the same quality vary much from time to time

in China?—The price in one year fell very considerably; I think it was in 1827.

240. Owing to what circumstance?—From a large importation of opium from Malwa and other quarters. The quantity of capital employed in China in purchasing 4,628 chests, in the year 1821-22, amounted to 8,314,600 Spanish dollars; in 1827 there were 7,430 chests imported, and sold for 7,352,150 Spanish dollars.

241. Do you think that the China market would take a larger supply of opium than that

now sent?—It has done so, and the supply is still increasing.

242. Do you conceive that the value of that monopoly has been latterly increasing to the Company as a source of revenue?—The Finance Committee reckoned that the Government might derive from that source about 1,000,000 l. a year.

243. What was the usual revenue derived from it before the monopoly was infringed by

the native cultivation?—I think it averaged from 70 to 90 lacs of rupees, but it has always

fluctuated.

248. According to the information that you have obtained, can opium be cultivated more cheaply and with greater advantage in Bengal than in any other part of the East, taking into consideration both quantity and quality?—The Bengal Government have never attempted to produce opium, with reference to its medical qualities, but entirely with a view of meeting the taste of the Chinese.

470. Will you describe to the Committee the state of the opium revenue under this Presidency (Bombay)?—A considerable portion of the opium purchased when the Government employed an agent at Malwa was brought to Bombay, and sold on account of the Government, in the same manner as the Benares and the Behar opium is sold at Calcutta to British

and other merchants. Opium is not produced in the Bombay territories.

473. Since that time has there been a system of license for that?—It is proposed to abandon the sales, and to substitute a transit duty upon the opium belonging to private 0.59. merchants p. 9.

p. 18.

p. 34.

Trade in Opium between India and China.

through China, as is now in fact done by the Company, the drafts of the supercargoes on the Bengal government forming virtually a remittance from Bengal to England. The trade in opium, of which the proceeds in China by the last account were upwards of two millions and a half, seems to afford a large means of remittance by way of China, so long as England shall have a balance to pay to that country for tea and other things pur-

237. That would still remain open as a means of remittance, whether the Company traded or not?—Certainly, if the trade continued; if individuals traded with the same success

255. Do you not apprehend that, under all circumstances, it is probable a large export trade from India to China will continue?—Yes.

256. Will not the opium trade carried on from India to China always afford to the Indian government the means of making remittances from India to England?—Certainly,

so long as England has a balance to pay to China.
257. Must there not, so long as there is a large export of opium from India to China, always be remittances made, either from China to India or from China to England, to pay for that large export of opium which so takes place?—Certainly.

258. Will not bills, therefore, on England, to the amount of the opium exported from India to China, be always to be drawn in respect of the opium so exported !—I should think that must depend upon the general state of the trade between the three countries.

259. In what manner at present does China pay for the opium exported from India to China?-Partly in the Company's investment, partly in bullion, and partly in goods sent to India, especially to the Bombay side of India.

(D. 3.)—APPENDIX.—Part 3. II. Finance (Commercial.)

OPIUM shipped from 1820-21 to 1830-31 at the Port of Calcutta to Countries beyond p. 882. the three Residencies.

	YE	ARS.	•		China.	Penang, &c.	Sumatra.	Peque.	Total.
•					Chests.	Chests.	Chests.	Chests.	Chests.
1820-21	-	~	-	-	3,591	657	45		5,147
1821–22	~	~	-	-	1,936	268	38	·	2,591
1822-23	-	~	-	-	3,207	395	50		4,100
1823–24	~	-	-	-	3,923	801 <u>‡</u>	47	-, •	5,209
1824-25	-	-	-	-	5,365	1,258	73	20	7,086
1825-26	~	~	~	-	4,627	345	10		5,155
1826-27	-	-	-	-	5,861	435	64	33	6,568
1827-28	~	-	-	-	7,341	325	23	1	7,903
1828-29	-	-	-	-	4,903	1,582	-, -,	7	6,554
1829-30	-	-	-	- ,	7,443	2,071	.	31	9,678
1830-31	-	-	· ~	• -	Returns not yet arrived.		·		

(E. 1.)—EXTRACTS from the Report upon Revenue 16th August 1832. III. Revenue, Part II. 735-III.

THE monopoly of opium in Bengal supplies the Government with a revenue amounting to p. 10. Sicca rupees 84,59,425, or sterling money, 981,293 L per annum; and the duty which is thus imposed amounts to 3012 per cent. on the cost of the article.

In the present state of the revenue in India, it does not appear advisable to abandon so important a source of revenue; a duty upon opium being a tax which falls principally upon the foreign consumer, and which appears, upon the whole, less liable to objection than any other which could be substituted. * * *

At the same time, it must be recollected that the revenue thus derived is of the most precarious kind, depending as it does on a species of monopoly under which we possess exclusive control over neither the production nor the consumption of the article. It has already been materially affected by the competition of the opium of Malwa; and from the continued increase of supply from that district, as well as from its improved quality, which has enabled it to fetch an enhanced price in the foreign market, it would appear that the same cause

p. 29.

cause must in all probability hereafter produce a still greater reduction in the revenue. It Trade in Opiuin would therefore be highly imprudent to rely upon the opium monopoly as a permanent between India and source of revenue, and the time may probably not be very far distant when it may be desirable to substitute an export duty, and thus by the increased production, under a system of freedom, to endeavour to obtain some compensation for the loss of the monopoly profit.

Another source of revenue under this head is the duties collected on the transit of Malwa opium through Bombay, the Government having for the two last years abandoned their attempted monopoly of that article, and substituted a permit or transit duty, which has been attended with satisfactory results. It is in evidence, that previous to this regulation, two-thirds of the opium of Malwa was carried by a circuitous route to the Portuguese settlement of Demaun, and only one-third brought to Bombay, but latterly no more than onetenth has been exported from Demaun, and the remainder, nine-tenths, have been shipped from Bombay, yielding to the Government a revenue of 200,000 l. for the current year. Although there can be no doubt of the policy of this measure, it must be recollected that every additional facility which is afforded to the sale of Malwa opium will eventually analysis it to enter with increased adventure into competition with the prime of Peneral and the sale of Malwa opium will eventually analysis in the contraction with the prime of Peneral and Pener enable it to enter with increased advantage into competition with the opium of Bengal; a consideration which tends still more strongly to recommend either the adoption of the system adverted to in the preceding paragraph, or of some other equally calculated to meet such a contingency.

(E. 2.)—MINUTES of EVIDENCE before the Select Committee, 735-III.

III. Revenue, Part II.

Hugh Stark, Esq.

127. You are Chief of the Revenue Department of the India Board?—Yes.

230. Will you be so good as to tell the Committee in what parts of the Presidency of Bengal the opium monopoly has been established?—The opium monopoly exists all over British India.

p. 18.

p. 9.

232. What is the system under which that cultivation is adopted?—The opium agents are aided by the collectors of districts, who are termed deputy opium collectors; they enter into arrangements with the cultivators for specific portions of the poppy collection; the Company pay the cultivators 31 rupees for poppy juice per seer, which weighs rather less than 2 lbs. * * * Of late years I have seen no complaints on the part of the cultivators, and the cultivation has been largely extended, with a view of competing in Eastern markets with the opium of Malwa and Turkey.

235. Is that monopoly at present a well-enforced monopoly?—I believe it is very effectual; there may be some smuggling, but it is merely for the supply of the neighbouring

districts. The Hindoos use little opium.

236. Has it not been affected of late years by the cultivation of opium in other parts of India?—The Company have relaxed in the price of the opium; their object now is to sell at a low price, to enable merchants who purchase the opium at the Calcutta sales to compete with the merchants who procure their supplies from Malwa and Turkey for the China markets.

239. Does the price of opium which is of the same quality vary much from time to time

in China?—The price in one year fell very considerably; I think it was in 1827.
240. Owing to what circumstance?—From a large importation of opium from Malwa and other quarters. The quantity of capital employed in China in purchasing 4,628 chests, in the year 1821-22, amounted to 8,314,600 Spanish dollars; in 1827 there were 7,430 chests imported, and sold for 7,352,150 Spanish dollars.

241. Do you think that the China market would take a larger supply of opium than that now sent?—It has done so, and the supply is still increasing.
242. Do you conceive that the value of that monopoly has been latterly increasing to the Company as a source of revenue?—The Finance Committee reckoned that the Government might derive from that source about 1,000,000 l. a year.

243. What was the usual revenue derived from it before the monopoly was infringed by the native cultivation ?—I think it averaged from 70 to 90 lacs of rupees, but it has always

fluctuated.

248. According to the information that you have obtained, can opium be cultivated more cheaply and with greater advantage in Bengal than in any other part of the East, taking into consideration both quantity and quality?—The Bengal Government have never attempted to produce opium, with reference to its medical qualities, but entirely with a view of meeting the taste of the Chinese.

470. Will you describe to the Committee the state of the opium revenue under this Pre-

sidency (Bombay)?—A considerable portion of the opium purchased when the Government employed an agent at Malwa was brought to Bombay, and sold on account of the Government, in the same manner as the Benares and the Behar opium is sold at Calcutta to British

and other merchants. Opium is not produced in the Bombay territories.

473. Since that time has there been a system of license for that?—It is proposed to abandon the sales, and to substitute a transit duty upon the opium belonging to private merchants 0.59. Y 4

p. 34.

China.

P. 55.

p. 139,

p. 267.

Trade in Opium merchants passing through the Bombay territories, and to afford them all the facilities that between India and the Bombay Government can of storing it and exporting it to China.

474. Will you explain the circumstances under which these agents were placed at Malwa? —The Bombay Government determined to go into the market as purchasers, but it was found that the agents employed by them had so little knowledge of the business on which they were employed, that they raised the price much beyond the ordinary price in the districts. The Government of Bengal at length deputed Mr. Swinton, an experienced opium agent, into Malwa, for the purpose of placing the opium transactions on a satisfactory footing. With the aid of the political agents in Malwa, Mr. Swinton succeeded in restricting the growth of the poppy, and established a monopoly similar to that in Behar and Benares. 475. Was that interference found necessary in consequence of the effect of the growth and manufacture of opium at Malwa upon the manufacture of opium at Behar and Benares?-It was considered necessary for the security of the opium revenue of Bengal, which was seriously affected by the increasing exportations of Malwa opium to the Eastern markets.

(E. 3.)—EVIDENCE of Langford Kennedy, Esq.

717. WERE you in the employment of the Company?—I was in their civil service.

718. What situation did you hold in the civil service?—I first held the situation of assistant to the opium agent at Patna; I was appointed assistant in 1812; in 1815 I was appointed deputy to the opium agent in charge of the districts of Sarun and Tirpoets, in the province of Behar. In 1821 I was appointed collector of land revenue conjointly with that of deputy opium agent in Sarun, in which situation I continued until I left India, in 1829.

780. Is the agent limited as to the quantity of opium he can supply, or is he to supply as much as he can?—As much now as he can; there was formerly a prescribed limit, in consequence of its being understood that the demand for the article in the Eastern markets was limited, and Government wishing to derive a large revenue from a small supply; the object of Government, when I came away, was to procure as large a supply as could be obtained.

1125. Where there is a sufficient temptation offered, smuggling would be carried on

under the present system?—Yes, I think it certainly would: the quality of opium grown in that part of the country of which I am speaking (Rungpore), and I believe it would be found generally to be the case if it were cultivated in Bengal, was very inferior indeed to that of Behar; so bad that the Government would never allow it to be sold for the China market, though tolerably well adapted for the internal consumption of the country; Government would not endanger the character of their Behar and Benares opium by allowing it to be carried to a foreign market.

(E. 4.)—EVIDENCE of William Butterworth Bayley, Esq.

1644. You have been employed in a variety of offices in India?—Yes, I have; I was a p. 136. member of Council for five years, before which I held the office of chief secretary to

1684. Do you recollect what has been the amount annually put into the pockets of Government from the sale of opium of late?—I think a net revenue fluctuating from

700,000 l. to 1,000,000 l. a year.

1685. Do you think the revenue upon that stands upon a secure footing?—Yes, I think it does; unless the Chinese should practically enforce their laws against the admission of opium, I do not think the revenue will fall much below what it is at present. The demand for opium is increasing. Our means of augmenting the supply are very great indeed. We can extend the quantity supplied from the Bengal Presidency very largely; we could afford a still greater reduction of price, under the supposition that the demand continues to increase in the same ratio as it has done of late years.

1687. Does the difficulty of smuggling it into China increase with the quantities that are carried in ?—I should say apparently not; we have heard of very little difficulty in its being smuggled of late years. The local authorities must of course wink at it.

(E. 5.)—EVIDENCE of James Mill, Esq.

2991. Has the situation which you have held at the India House made it necessary for you to turn your attention at all to the great monopolies established in India; one of salt, р. 263. and the other of opium?—It has.

3020. Has any calculation been made which would enable you to judge what extent of supply thrown into the China market would reduce the cost of opium to the cost of bringing there, leaving no monopoly profit or export duty whatever?—I think nothing beyond a vague conjecture can be formed on that subject; for we know hardly anything of the China market, but that it has taken so much, and at such prices. Our experience of the past is

in this case little of a guide for the future; we see that an increasing quantity has gone to Trade in Opium China, and that this has gone off at a high price; the late reduction of price may have between India and been produced by the competition of the merchants, who have been able to supply them. China.

selves more cheaply.

3021. If the Committee assume, then, that the price in China continues merely the same as it is, that the expense of growing opium in Malwa continues as much greater than the expense of growing it in Bengal, and that it is also loaded with transit duties to a considerable amount; in competition with the opium, brought to the market under such circumstances, what do you think would be the monopoly or selling price of the Bengal opium; would it be very much less than it has been lately, or is at present?—Several of the duties alluded to in the question remain very uncertain. We cannot tell what the cost production of Malwa opium will be reduced to; my own notion is, it must be very much reduced; it will certainly be very much reduced if the governments there are wise enough to lower the assessment. The amount of the transit duty will depend upon the cost of carriage to Demaun; what that may be reduced to can also be but vaguely conjectured. Whatever price, however, can be imposed upon the Malwa or other opium, beyond the prime cost of the article in Bengal, must always be insured as profit to the government on the Bengal produce; and if its hopes are realized that it can increase the quantity in Bengal very much, and come into competition in the Chinese market, to a large extent, with even the

cheap Malwa opium, then a very considerable revenue may still be realized.

3024. Is not the present duty levied entirely on the foreign consumer?—Entirely on the foreign consumer; there is a tax levied on the home consumer, but in a different way.

3025. Is not the present duty levied entirely on the foreign consumer; there is a tax levied on the home consumer, but in a different way.

3026. Do the Hindoos consume it?—To no great extent; the monopoly operates as a tax merely on the foreign consumer.

EXTRACTS from Letters addressed to T. Hyde Villiers, Esq. in reply to a Circular Letter from the Commissioners for the Affairs of India, requesting information on the Revenue Administration of British India. Laid before the Select Committee of The House of Commons, and printed with their Report on Revenue, 16 August 1832.

(E. 6.)—Extracts from the Letter of W. M. Fleming, Esq. formerly Judge of Circuit, and Inspector of Opium for the East India Company at Patna.

WITH regard to the present plan of levying a revenue from opium in Behar and Benares, I am of opinion that it is perhaps upon the whole the best that can be adopted, being less objectionable than any other monopoly, as well as a fair object for taxation. The system, even in a commercial point of view, appears to me beneficial, as being perhaps the only means of ensuring a supply of the pure drug, of a uniform quality, and prepared in a particular manner to suit the taste of the Chinese, which if not duly attended to would, I apprehend, greatly injure the trade, which has long been a most profitable one to the merchants of Calcutta, besides being a source of revenue.

It is not difficult to account for the Malwa chests having sold higher in China one season than those of Behar, for they actually contain a greater quantity of dry opium; and besides the character of the Behar opium had suffered in consequence of the inferiority of the provision of 1824 and 1825 (but which there is reason to believe has recovered). With the view, however, of ascertaining whether the mode of manufacture of the drug and package adopted in Malwa was preferred by the Chinese to that which had been so long practised in Behar, a few chests were packed with pounded leaves in the Malwa manner, and also one or two in oiled paper, and sent to China with the provision of 1828; the result of which I have not heard.

(E. 7.)—EXTRACT from the Letter of the Right Honourable Holt Mackenzie, late Secretary to the Territorial Departments of the Government of Bengal.

THOUGH it is, I believe, certain that the quality of the opium of Behar and Benares has been very greatly improved under the agency system, (the occurrence of exception does not destroy the rule), and although the same effect was, I understand, produced by Mr. Swinton's exertions in Malwa, yet I do not see any sufficient reason to apprehend a failure of the revenue from the deterioration which is by so many anticipated as a consequence of free trade, especially as it is likely that many Europeans might engage in the speculation. And on the whole, seeing the great importance to India and to England of securing the China market for the produce of our own dominions, by an abundant and cheap supply, I am not prepared to assert that the trade in this article might not be advantageously thrown open.

p. 282.

p. 295.

0.59.

Trade in Opium, between India and China.

(E. 8.)—EXTRACT from the Letter of the Right Hon. Mountstuart Elphinstone, late Governor of Bombay.

p. 332.

My only knowledge of the Bengal opium monopoly arises from the steps taken to protect it from the competition of Malwa opium. That opium could not easily reach the sea without passing through the districts under Bombay, and it was there met by a duty which amounted to a prohibition. This afforded a great temptation to illicit traffic, and great quantities of opium were constantly smuggled to Demaun, a Portuguese port, from whence it was exported to China. To relieve the authorities of Malwa from the effect of this exclusion of their opium, a portion was annually purchased by the Company and sold again to merchants for exportation to China.

(E. 9.)—EXTRACT from a Letter from the Bengal Government to the Court of Directors; dated 10th January 1832. (signed) C. T. Metcalfe, W. Blunt.

p. 707.

30. On our part we represented to the Right Hon. the Governor-general that we were of opinion that the system of granting passes for Malwa opium ought to be continued, under the success which had attended the experiment in the past season, as reported by the agent, and the Right Hon. the Governor-general being of the same opinion, his Lordship caused instructions, of which the following is the substance, to be communicated to the Government at Bombay.

The consideration paid for the passes, viz. 175 rupees per chest, appeared, from the number of applications made, under condition of payment for them in advance, not to be more than the real value of the advantage opened to the dealers by the permission to bring the drug by the direct route to Bombay, and ship it there for China.

(E. 10.)—LETTER in the Separate Department from the Court of Directors to the Governorgeneral in Council in Bengal, 19th October 1831.

p. 711.

Para. 16. Upon the whole, we cannot but consider your opium revenue as in great hazard; and we think it of importance to warn you against placing an implicit reliance upon a resource which may fail you, and in respect to which a disappointment, if unforeseen and

unprovided for, might greatly embarrass your Government.

17. It is impossible to frame in this country any specific instructions for your guidance; your measures must be adapted to circumstances as they arise, or as they may be reasonably anticipated. If, contrary to our apprehension, the price of opium should continue as high at Bombay as you anticipate, and if you should also be enabled, in consequence of the continuance of high prices in the Chinese market, to sell a much enlarged quantity at Calcutta at a price, though reduced, still sufficiently high, a perseverance in the measures which you propose will be adapted to these circumstances, and the results will be all satisfactory.

18. But if this expectation should not be realized, if prices should fall considerably

either at Bombay or in China, some alteration of your measures will be immediately required, and a close attention to the circumstances can alone direct you to the proper

expedients.

(F.)—EVIDENCE of William Malcolm Fleming, Esq.

(Parliamentary Papers, No. 646.)

p. 65.

1060. HAVE you resided in India?—I have.
1061. In what capacity?—In the Judicial department.
1062. What situation did you last hold?—I held the situation of second judge of the court of circuit for the division of Patna.

1063. Were you not directed to make some inquiries respecting the manufacture of opium?—Yes, I was.

1064. Have the goodness to state the object and nature of those inquiries?—The object was to ascertain the cause of the opium of a particular season, I think 1824-25, having sold so badly in the China market, in fact a great proportion of it being almost unsaleable, and in consequence, those who had purchased at the Company's sales made an application to Government for a remuneration for losses sustained in consequence of the badness of the article.

1066. Did you ascertain the cause of the deterioration?—Yes; I stated my opinion of

the cause of the deterioration, and how it happened.

1067. Have the goodness to mention it?—It appeared to me that it was chiefly caused by a quantity of leaves being mixed up with the drug at the time it was forming into balls. It is sent to the China market in a particular form, made up with a crust of leaves round it, and the people who were employed in making it added a considerable quantity of leaves

1070. The sum paid back by the Company was large, was it not?—It was. 1071. Do you recollect how much?—Twelve or thirteen lacs of rupees.

II.

II. Character of Chinese Edicts.

EVIDENCE as to the character of Chinese Edicts, and disregard of them by the Company's Supracargoes.

EXTRACTS from LETTERS from the Select Committee at Canton to the Court of Directors, and from the Secret Consultation of the Select Committee at Canton; printed in the 1st and 2d Appendixes to the Third Report of the Select Committee of the House of Commons on the Affairs of the East India Company, 1831.

- (G.)—EXTRACT from Letter to the Court of Directors, dated 26th January 1831. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. I.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-A. p. 617.)
- 7. WE feel confident that the general principles which we have laid down for our guidance will meet the approbation of your Honourable Court; we at the same time admit, that the very peculiar character of this government, and the rigid nature of its institutions, must be borne in remembrance. We believe that its violent and dictatorial proclamations are often a tribute which its authorities are compelled to pay to national vanity. There are many of them daily issued and disregarded.
- 10. The experience which your Honourable Court had of the Chinese character, in the history of the past intercourse of your servants with this country, will forcibly suggest how impossible it is to apply to it the principles which regulate the governments of other civilized nations; those which peculiarly belong to it, as influencing our situation here, are its exclusive nationality, its corrupt constitution, its anxiety to preserve unimpaired British intercourse with China, and its apprehension of what might be the consequences were that intercourse by any act of its own interrupted.
- 11. To its nationality must be attributed that tone of haughty dictation, which in common with most oriental governments it assumes, which is most conspicuous in official proclamations, issued frequently without any expectation, often without any wish, that they should be attended to.
- (G. 1.)—Extract from Letter to the Court of Directors, dated 15th November 1830, on the subject of Discussions between the Select Committee and the Chinese Government, relating to the residence of Ladies at Canton. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-B. p. 28.)
- 57. The Hong merchants having informed us that the Viceroy was unwilling to give more than a verbal assurance to a verbal threat, we addressed a letter to him, which will be found upon our Consultations, under date the 25th October, stating the threat made use of, and the formal way in which it was conveyed, and requiring from him the strongest assurances that our factories and our persons were secure.
- 58. On the 28th, an answer recorded under that date was brought to the President by the merchants, who stated, that it would be found conciliatory, and to contain the assurance we required of personal protection; they added the strongest assurances on their part, that no armed force should ever enter the factories, and that no molestation would be given to English ladies residing in Canton, qualifying this latter assurance by saying, that although edicts might from time to time be issued, which the forms of office rendered necessary, yet beyond that no molestation would be offered or notice taken; exemplifying their meaning by an allusion to the edicts annually promulgated against the opium ships, which were never intended to be put into execution.
- (G. 2.)—EXTRACT from Letter in the Secret Department to the Court of Directors, dated 24th December 1814, partly relating to the case of a Linguist said to have been punished for his services to the East India Company's Factory. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-B. p. 87.)
- 7. Your honourable Committee have been already informed of the official declaration of the Viceroy, that the arrest of the linguist was not on any account of his connexion with the English. With the edicts on this occasion we declared ourselves satisfied, with the exception of an offensive paragraph which, although not withdrawn, was ultimately explained; and the insinuations it contained disavowed.
- 8. On a perusal of these edicts, and the arguments made use of by the Mandarins in the first conference with Sir George Staunton, it might appear to a person unacquainted with the Chinese Government, and the entire inconsistency of its practice with its professions, that

II. Character of Chinese Edicts.

that the Committee had no cause of complaint, and that the Government were merely enforcing the laws against a delinquent, who having once been a menial servant, had obtained rank. The specious show of reason and argument assumed on all occasions by the officers of Government, even in asserting a falsehood, must no doubt have the effect of inducing those who have not a local knowledge and experience to guide them, to judge more favourably of the Chinese character than they merit. We beg to refer your Honourable Committee to the Report transmitted us by the linguist, of his examination and his subsequent communications.

- (G. 3.)—EXTRACT from Letter in the Public Department to the Court of Directors, dated 8th November 1816, partly relating to circumstances arising out of an Address of the Select Committee to the Viceroy of Canton, being presented at the Viceroy's Palace, and not forwarded through the Hong Merchants. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-B. p. 94.)
- 21. Since writing the above paragraphs we received an edict of the Viceroy, a translation of which is forwarded in the extracts from our Consultations, and will, no doubt, evince to your Honourable Court the difficulty under which we labour in all negotiations with a government so void of truth in all their proceedings, and whose edicts and actions on no occasion accord. Your Honourable Court will observe the declaration of our address having been presented by the merchants at the moment that our compradore is still in confinement, and has undergone the severest treatment, from false accusation of having conducted Europeans into the city. It is however a conclusive argument, that we committed no error in proceeding to the city with our address, as it would have been readily seized as an act of delinquency had it been contrary to the laws of China. The describing the General Hewitt as lying at the second bar, or rather affecting ignorance of her being at Whampoa, is a convincing proof, added to many, of the statements that are made on all transactions with foreigners.
- (G. 4.)—EXTRACT from Letter to the Court of Directors, dated 8th December 1817.
 (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-B. p. 100.)
- 63. Nations in general must be admitted to possess the right of regulating their commerce according to their separate views of policy; but China forms an exception to civilized countries, where trade is regulated by treaties. Foreigners have equally with natives the protection of the laws; when custom is invariably referred to by one party as the rule of action, it is but equitable that the advantages of that test should be reciprocal.
- (G. 5.)—EXTRACT from Letter to the Court of Directors, pending Discussions with the Canton Government, dated 22d February 1814. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-B. p. 59.)
- 27. By the publication of the Viceroy's edict of the 2d of December, we were happily relieved from the necessity of taking into consideration the adoption of the measure proposed in Mr. Elphinstone's Minute, of withdrawing the Honourable Company's ships for the purpose of more effectually representing what we had to complain of to the government of Pekin. Carrying on an extensive commerce sufficient to excite the rapacity of the officers of government, protected by no laws, but on the contrary, such regulations as are made, so vague and undefined as to admit of any interference or interpretation that a corrupt and despotic government may be disposed to give them, our only hope of preventing the recurrence of these attacks is by a firm and decided resistance.
- (G.6.)—EXTRACT from China Consultations, 7 October 1830, on the case of the Homicide of Captain F. Mackenzie, by certain Parsees at Canton. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary, Paper, 320-B. p. 38.)

Although, judging from the documents previously recorded, it would seem that the Chinese government does in principle take cognizance of the acts of foreigners among themselves, yet the difference evinced by the Hong merchants, and the documents being addressed generally to the foreign native chiefs, would incline to the belief that in practice they care not to interfere. * * * The Committee are prepared to act with decision, but are unwilling to be dragged into the business, and consider it their duty to keep as much in the back ground as possible, until compelled to take a part; but in regard to the three Parsees, the best course appears to be to send them by the first opportunity to Bombay.

* * In searching for precedents, the Committee perceive, in the Consultations of the 14th December 1780, that precisely the same view was taken by the Select Committee of that period as to the dangerous precedent of allowing the Chinese government to interfere in cases of homicide where their own subjects are not concerned.

III.

EVIDENCE, &c. as to the Powers of the Select Committee of Supracargoes at Canton, and of the British Superintendents.

Powers of Supracargoes at Canton, and British Superintendents.

EXTRACTS from Acts of Parliament relating to the East India Company.

(H.)—Act 26 Geo. 3, c. 57.

XXXII. And whereas sundry Acts of Parliament have been heretofore made, and are now in force, for securing the whole, sole, and exclusive trade in, to, and from the East Indies, and all places between the Cape of Good Hope and the Straits of Magellan, to the said United Company, by which said Acts, or some of them, the offenders against the same are made subject and liable to divers punishments, forfeitures, and penalties in the said Acts respectively in entioned, which offences may be prosecuted, and the said forfeitures and penalties sued for and recovered in some or one of His Majesty's courts of record at Westminster; and whereas it may be necessary and proper, in certain cases, that the said offences should be prosecuted, and the said forfeitures and penalties sued for and recovered in the courts of justice in the East Indies: Be it therefore enacted, that all offences which shall be committed after the 1st day of January 1787, against any law now in force for securing the exclusive trade in, to, and from the East Indies, and places aforesaid, to the said United Company; and all forfeitures and penalties to be incurred after the said 1st day of January 1787, for illicitly trading, or for being in the East Indies, or doing any other act against the said laws or statutes, or any of them, shall and may be prosecuted, sued for, and recovered in the Supreme Court of Judicature at Fort William, in Bengal, or in the respective Mayors' courts of Fort Saint George and Bombay, in like manner as the same can or may be prosecuted, sued for, and recovered in any of His Majesty's courts of record at Westminster; and it shall and may be lawful for the said respective courts in the East Indies to pass and give the like judgment in such prosecutions, actions, and suits as might be passed and given for the like matter, cause, or thing, in any of His Majesty's courts of record at Westminster, and to carry such offence, to be sent and conveyed to Great Britain; anything contained in any of the said Acts to the contrary notwit

XXXIV. And be it further enacted, that the powers and authorities in and by the said Acts, and every or any of them, given, granted, or provided for taking, arresting, seizing, remitting, sending, or bringing to England any person or persons being in the East Indies, or in the limits or parts aforesaid, contrary to the provisions of the said Acts, or of this Act, and for seizing any ships, vessels, goods, or effects made liable to seizure by the said Company by any law now in force, shall and may be enforced and put in execution by, or by the order and authority of the Governor-General and Council of Bengal, or the President and Council of Fort St. George and Bombay respectively, or by any Resident at any other of the British settlements in the East Indies for the time being respectively, or by, or by the order and authority of the Company's Council of Supracargoes for the time being at the town or factory of Canton, within the said town or factory, and upon the river of Canton, and by such other person or persons as shall from time to time be specially deputed and authorized for that purpose by the Court of Directors of the said Company for the time being, in the name of the said Company.

(I.)-Act 33 Geo. 3, c. 52.

LXXVIII. And for the further encouragement of trade to the north-west coast of America and the islands adjacent, under the limitations contained in the Convention made by His Majesty with the King of Spain of the 28th day of October 1790, it may be expedient that ships fitted out for those parts should in certain cases be permitted by license from the said Company to proceed from the said coast and islands direct to the isles of Japan and the coast of Korea and Canton, there to dispose of their cargoes obtained on the said north-west coast of America, and to return from thence direct to the same north-west coast or islands adjacent, and there dispose of their returns in trade, the owners and commanders of such ships entering into such covenants with and giving such security to the said Company, and submitting to be bound by such rules and regulations as shall appear to be best adapted for preserving to the said Company the exercise and benefits of their commercial privileges, and conduce to the preservation of good order and regularity of the ships' companies, and their observance of the laws prescribed by the native states, during the continuance of such ships on the said coast of Japan, Korea, and in the river of Canton aforesaid: Be it therefore further enacted, that the Court of Directors of the said Company shall and they are hereby required, forthwith after the passing of this Act, to frame and lay before the Board of Commissioners for the Affairs of India, such rules and regulations as they shall think best 0.59.

Powers of Supracargoes at Canton, and British Superintendents.

adapted for the purposes aforesaid, and also the forms of such deeds of covenant or other securities as the said Court of Directors shall judge to be proper or necessary to be entered into or given for the due observance thereof by the owners and commanders of ships to be licensed as aforesaid, and that the said Board shall thereupon proceed to revise the same, and to give such orders and instructions to the said Directors in relation thereto as they shall think fit and expedient; and that the said owners and commanders conforming themselves to the terms and conditions which shall be so prescribed, shall have and be entitled to such license or licenses, and the said Court of Directors are hereby required to give the same accordingly, unless on any representation made by the said Directors to the said Board of Commissioners, containing any specific objections against the granting of any such license, the said Board shall order the same to be withheld, in which case it shall and may be lawful for the said Directors to withhold or refuse the same.

LXXIX. Provided always, and be it further enacted, that the said rules and regulations to be so made for the purposes aforesaid, or any deeds of covenant or other securities to be required to be entered into or given for the observance thereof, shall not extend to vest in any council of supracargoes or other officers of the said Company a greater power over any ships, or the commanders, officers or companies of the same, in the eastern seas or on the coasts of Japan, Korea, and China which they shall be permitted to visit, according to the tenor of such licenses, than such as can, shall, or may lawfully be exercised by the said council of supracargoes or other officers of the said Company, in or over the ships employed by or in the service of the said Company, and the commanders, officers, and men belonging thereto.

CXXIX. And whereas various statutes have been heretofore made for securing to the said United Company their sole and exclusive right of trading to the East Indies and parts aforesaid during the continuance of such sole and exclusive right, and to restrain all illicit and clandestine trade to, in, and from the East Indies and parts aforesaid; and whereas the limitations and provisions in this Act contained, concerning the future conduct of the said trade, require that some alteration should be made in the said statutes; and it may be convenient that such provisions as shall be deemed necessary for securing to the said Company the full benefit of such sole and exclusive right (subject to the provisions and limitations contained in this Act), and for restraining all clandestine and illicit trade in, to, and from the East Indies, and parts aforesaid, should be reduced into one Act of Parliament: Be it further enacted, that if any of the subjects of His Majesty, his heirs or successors, of or belonging to Great Britain or the islands of Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, Sark or Man, or Faro Isles, or to any of His Majesty's colonies, islands, or plantations in America or the West Indies, other than such as by the said United Company shall be licensed or otherwise thereunto lawfully authorized, shall at any time or times before such determination of the said Company's whole and sole trade as hereinbefore limited, directly or indirectly sail to, visit, haunt, frequent, trade, traffick, or adventure to, in, or from the said East Indies, or other parts hereinbefore mentioned, contrary to the limitations and provisions of this Act, or the true intent and meaning thereof, all and every such offender or offenders shall incur the forfeiture and loss of all the ships and vessels belonging to or employed by such subjects respectively, with the guns, tackle, apparel, and furniture thereunto belonging, and also all the goods and merchandize laden thereupon, or which were or shall be sent, acquired, traded, trafficked, or adventured within the said East Indies or parts aforesaid, and all the proceeds and effects of such goods and merchandize, and double the value thereof; (to wit) onefourth part of such forfeiture to such person or persons who shall seize, inform, or sue for the same, and the other three-fourth parts thereof to the use of the said United Company, they defraying thereout the charges of prosecution.

CXXX. And be it further enacted, that all and every subject and subjects of His Majesty, his heirs and successors, of or belonging to Great Britain or the islands, colonies, or plantations aforesaid, or any of them, who shall at any time or times, before such determination of the said United Company's whole and sole trade as is hereinbefore limited, go, sail, or repair to the East Indies or parts aforesaid, against any of the provisions of this Act, shall be deemed and accounted to have unlawfully traded and trafficked there, and all the ships, goods, and merchandize which shall be employed therein or found in his or their custody, or in the custody of any other person or persons by his or their employment, order, or procurement, shall and may be seized, and shall be forfeited, with double the value thereof; one-fourth of which forfeitures shall belong to the person or persons who shall seize, inform, or sue for the same, and three-fourths thereof shall be to the use of the said United Company, they thereout defraying the charges of prosecution.

CXXXI. And be it further enacted, that if any subject or subjects of His Majesty, his heirs or successors, of or belonging to Great Britain, or any other islands, colonies, or plantations aforesaid, not being lawfully licensed or authorized, shall at any time or times before such determination of the said Company's whole and sole trade as hereinbefore limited, directly or indirectly go, sail, or repair to, or be found in the East Indies, or any other of the parts aforesaid, all and every such person and persons are hereby declared to be guilty of a high crime and misdemeanor; and, being convicted thereof, shall be liable to such fine or imprisonment, or both fine and imprisonment, as the court in which such person or persons shall be convicted shall think fit; and in case of a fine, one moiety thereof shall belong to His Majesty, his heirs or successors, and the other moiety thereof to the said United Company, if they shall prosecute the said offence, or otherwise such moiety shall be to the use of such person or persons as shall prosecute the same.

CXXXII. And

CXXXII. And be it further enacted, that at any time and times before such determina- Powers of Supration of the said Company's whole and sole trade as is hereinbefore limited, it shall and may cargoes at Canton, be lawful to and for the said Company, and their successors, by and through such person or and British Superpersons as is and are hereafter mentioned, to take, arrest, and seize, or cause to be taken, intendents. arrested, and seized, at any place or places within the East Indies, or parts aforesaid, all and every person and persons, being a subject or subjects of His Majesty, his heirs or successors, of or belonging to Great Britain, or to any of the islands, colonies, or plantations aforesaid, who shall go, sail, or repair to, or be or be found within the said East Indies, or parts aforesaid, against any of the provisions of this Act, and him or them to remit, and send, or bring to England, there to answer for his, her, or their offence, according to due course of law; and when such person or persons shall arrive in England, it shall and may be lawful to and for one or more of His Majesty's justices of the peace, and he and they are hereby authorized and required to commit all and every such person or persons to the next county gaol, there to remain until sufficient security be given, by natural born subects or denizens, for the appearance of such person or persons in the court in which he or they shall or may be sued or prosecuted, or shall be under actual prosecution, in respect of such his or their offence, and for his or their not going out of court, or out of the kingdom, without the leave of such court.

CXXXIII. And be it further enacted, that the powers and authorities hereby given to the said Company, of taking, arresting, seizing, remitting, or sending to England any such person or persons as aforesaid, together with the power of seizing any ships, vessels, goods, merchandize and effects, by this Act made liable to seizure or forfeiture, shall and may be enforced and put into execution in the name of the said Company, by the order and authority of the Governor-General of Fort William, in Bengal, or the Governor of Fort St. George, and Governor of Bombay for the time being respectively, or by any chief officer of the said Company, resident at any other of the British settlements in the East Indies respectively, or by the order and authority of the said Company's council and supracargoes for the time being, at the town or factory of Canton, within the said town or factory, and upon the river of Canton, or other part of the coast of China, and by such other persons as shall be from time to time especially deputed and authorized for that purpose by the Court of Directors of the said United Company for the time being.

CXXXIV. And be it further enacted, that during such time as the said Company shall have such whole and sole trade as aforesaid, if any person or persons who shall have been dismissed from, or shall have voluntarily resigned the service of the said Company, or any free merchant, free mariner, or other person, whose covenants or agreements with the said Company shall be expired or have ceased, or whose license to go to, or traffic, trade, or reside within the East Indies, or parts aforesaid, shall have ceased and determined, shall be found in the East Indies, or parts and limits aforesaid, after the expiration of such time as shall be allowed by the respective governments or presidencies in India, wherein such person or persons shall be or be found, every such person and persons shall be deemed and taken, to all intents and purposes whatever, to have unlawfully traded in the said parts and limits contrary to this Act, and shall be subject to all the penalties, forfeitures, and provisions of this Act, against persons unlawfully going to, or trafficking, trading, or adventuring, or found in any place within the said parts and limits accordingly.

CXLVI. And be it further enacted, that * * * , and also so much of an Act made in the same twenty-sixth year of the reign of His present Majesty, for the further regulation of the trial of persons accused of certain offences committed in the East Indies, and for other the purposes in the title of the said Act mentioned, as makes offences against any law for securing such exclusive trade as aforesaid to the said United Company, and all forfeitures and penalties for illicitly trading, or for being in the East Indies, prosecutable, or makes any seizure of any ship or goods within the limits of the said exclusive trade cognizable in the Supreme Court of Judicature at Fort William, in Bengal, or in the respective Mayors' courts of Fort St. George and Bombay, or as relates to persons whose licenses to go to, or trade, or reside within the limits of the said exclusive trade, shall have ceased and determined, or who shall have been dismissed from, or have resigned the said Company's service, or as relates to, or as makes the powers of arresting, seizing, remitting, or sending to England, any person or persons in the East Indies, or limits aforesaid, or for seizing any ships or goods liable to seizure by the said Company, enforceable and executable by the Governor-General and Council of Fort William, in Bengal, or the Presidents and Councils of Fort St. George and Bombay respectively, or the other person or persons therein mentioned, shall be, and are, and is hereby repealed and made void.

(K.)—Act 53 Geo. 3, c. 155.

II. And be it further enacted, that the sole and exclusive right of trading, trafficking, and using the business of merchandize in, to, and from the dominions of the Emperor of China and the whole, sole and exclusive right of trading and trafficking in tea in, to, and from all islands, ports, havens, coasts, cities, towns, and places between the Cape of Good Hope and the Straits of Magellan, in the same manner as the same rights now are or lawfully may be exercised or enjoyed by the said United Company by virtue of an Act or charter now in force, but not further or otherwise, and all and singular the profits, benefits, advantages, 0.59.

III.

Powers of Supracargoes at Canton, and British Superintendents.

privileges, franchises, abilities, capacities, powers, authorities, rights, remedies, methods of suit, penalties, forfeitures, disabilities, provisions, matters, and things whatsoever granted to or vested in the said Company by the said Acts of the 33d year of His present Majesty, or either of them, for and during the term limited by the said Act of the Parliament of Great Britain, and all other the enactments, provisions, matters, and things contained in the said Acts of the 33d year of His present Majesty, so far as the same or any of them are in force and not repealed by or repugnant to this Act, shall continue and be in force during the further term hereby granted to the said Company, subject to such alterations therein as may be made by any of the enactments, provisions, matters, and things in this Act contained.

XL. And be it further enacted, that if any of the subjects of His Majesty, his heirs or successors, of or belonging to any of His Majesty's dominions situate without the East Indies and limits of the said Company's charter, other than such as shall be licensed by the said United Company or otherwise thereunto lawfully authorized, shall at any time before the determination of the further term hereby granted to the said Company, directly or indirectly sail to, visit, haunt, frequent, trade, traffic, or adventure to, in, or from the East Indies or parts aforesaid, or go, sail, or repair thereto, or be found therein in any other manner than is prescribed or allowed by the provisions of this Act, and the terms and conditions of any license or certificate to be granted by virtue thereof, all and every such person and persons shall be deemed and taken to have unlawfully traded and trafficked there; and all such persons, and all ships and vessels found in the custody of any such person or persons, or engaged or concerned in such unlawful trade or traffic, and the owners, masters, and crews thereof, and all goods, merchandize, treasure, and effects shipped or laden thereon, or taken out of the same, or found in the custody of any such person or persons, shall be subject and liable to all such and the like pains, penalties, forfeitures, disabilities, and methods of suit as are contained in the said Acts of the 33d year of His present Majesty, or either of them, or in any Act or Acts now in force, and which pains, penalties, forfeitures, disabilities, and methods of suit, were enacted for the purpose of securing to the said Company the sole and exclusive right of trading to the East Indies and other parts within the limits of their charter, during the continuance of such sole and exclusive right, and of restraining clandestine and illicit trade in, to, and from the East Indies and parts aforesaid; and all such and the like powers, provisions, clauses, matters, and things as are contained in the said Act of the 33d year of His present Majesty, or either of them, or in any Act or Acts now in force, and which were enacted for the purpose aforesaid. shall be deemed and taken to be in force and to apply to all such unlawful trade and traffic as aforesaid contrary to the provisions of this Act, or of the terms and conditions of any license or certificate to be granted by virtue thereof, and shall be put in execution during the further term hereby granted to the said Company, for the purpose of preventing any such unlawful trade or traffic, as fully and effectually as if the same powers, provisions, clauses, matters, and things were severally repeated at large and re-enacted in the body of this Act, and applied to and for the purpose last aforesaid.

(L.)—Form of the East India Company's License to Country Ships trading between Calcutta and Canton, as settled in 1820.

To whomsoever, subjects of His Majesty George the Third, by the grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, and so forth, and to all others whom these presents may concern; His Excellency the Governor-General of and for the Presidency of Fort William, in Bengal, in Council, acting for and on behalf of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies, sends greeting.

ship , burthen about tons, commanded by , belonging , is bound on a voyage, in ballast, to the port of Canton, in China, and back Whereas the ship to the port of Calcutta; and the said owner has requested the license of the United Company of Merchants of England to be granted for that purpose, and to allow tea not exceeding chests thereof, to be shipped on board the said ship, in the said port of in quantity Canton, in China, to be delivered on shore, in and at the port of Calcutta, or on shore, in and at any intermediate port or ports in the course of the said voyage from the said port of Canton to Calcutta: Now know ye, that, by virtue of all and every the powers in us vested, we do hereby grant a license for and in the name of the said United Company to the said ship to proceed upon and throughout the said voyage to the said port of Canton, in China, and back again, and to take on board the said ship in the said port of Canton, in China, and not elsewhere, tea to be packed, except as to so much thereof as may be required to be loose for the use of the said ship, in chests, half-chests, or quarter-chests, not exceeding chests thereof, and to deliver on shore, in and at the said port of Calcutta, or on shore in and at any intermediate port or ports in the course of the said voyage, and not elsewhere, all such tea, together with all other cargo which shall have been shipped or laden on board the said ship at the port of Canton aforesaid; provided and upon condition that this license shall cease and be void, if and so soon as any foreign opium, or other opium than such opium as shall have been sold at the public sales of the said United Company in Bengal, shall be laden with the knowledge or concurrence of the master or commander thereof on board the said ship, either in Bengal or in any part of the said voyage to and from China, or be imported on board of the said ship with the knowledge or concurrence of the master or commander thereof into China; or if the master or commander of the said ship Powers of Suprafor the time being, or other persons acting as supracargo thereof, shall be guilty of any cargoes at Canton breach of any of the regulations established by or on the part of the said United Company and British Super in China, or shall make any opposition to or act in disobedience to any particular orders or intendents. instructions which shall or may from time to time be given in China by any of the supracargoes of the said United Company there, although such order or orders should amount to a requisition for the said master or commander, or supracargo, or either of them, to quit China with the said vessel and goods. And we do hereby require and command all persons within or belonging to this government under our jurisdiction, and we do desire all persons that are subjects, friends, and allies to His Majesty George the Third, by the grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, and so forth, to suffer the ship to pass with her company, goods, and merchandize, without any seizure or molestation whatever, provided this license and pass be in force; which is to cease and determine as aforesaid, or otherwise, at the expiration of 12 months, or return of the said ship to the port of Calcutta, whichever shall first happen.

Given under our hands, and the large seal of the said Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies, at Fort William, this day of in the year of our in the year of our

(Signed by the Governor-General and Members of Council.)

(Registered in the Secretary's Office, and countersigned by the Secretary.)

(L. 2.)—Form of East India Company's License, granted at Bombay in 1821.

To whomsoever, subjects of His Majesty George the Fourth, by the grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, and so forth, and to all others whom these presents may concern; the Honourable Mountstuart Elphinstone, Governor of and for the Presidency of Bombay, in Council, acting for and on behalf of the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies, sends greeting.

Whereas the ship Glenelg, burthen about 800 tons and $\frac{24}{94}$ tons, commanded by John Gover, belonging to Messrs. Remington, Crawford & Co. is bound on a voyage with a cargo of cotton and sundries to Calcutta, and from thence to the port of Canton in China, and back to the port of Bombay; and the said Messrs. Remington, Crawford & Corequested the license of the United Company of Merchants of England to be granted for that purpose, and to allow tea, not exceeding in quantity 400 chests thereof, to be shipped on board of the said ship, in the said port of Canton in China, to be delivered on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at the port of Bombay. shore, in and at the port of Bombay, or on shore in and at any intermediate port or ports, in the course of the said voyage from the port of Canton to Bombay; Now know ye, that by virtue of all and every the powers in us vested, we do hereby grant a license for and in the name of the said United Company, to the said ship to proceed upon and throughout the said voyage to the said port of Canton in China, and back again, and to take on board of the said ship in the said port of Canton in China, and not elsewhere, tea to be packed, except as to so much thereof as may be required to be loose for the use of the said ship, in chests, half-chests, or quarter-chests, not exceeding in quantity 400 chests thereof, and to deliver the same on shore in and at the said port of Bombay, or on shore in and at any intermediate port or ports in the course of the said voyage, and not elsewhere; provided and upon condition, that this license shall cease and be void, if and as soon as any opium other than such opium as shall have been sold at the public sale or sales of the said United Company in Bengal or Bombay shall be laden, with the knowledge or connivance of the master or commander thereof, on board of the said ship, either in Bombay or in any part of the said voyage to or from China, or be imported on board of the said ship with the knowledge or connivance of the master or commander thereof into China; or if the master and commander of the said ship for the time being, or other person acting as supracargo thereof, shall be guilty of any breach of any of the regulations established by or on the part of the said United Company in China, or shall make any opposition to, or act in disobedience to any particular orders or instructions which shall or may from time to time be given in China by any of the supracargoes of the said United Company there, although such order or orders should amount to a requisition for the said master or commander, or supracargo, or either of them, to quit China with the said vessel and goods; and we do hereby require and command all persons within or belonging to this Government, under our jurisdiction, and we do desire all persons that are subjects, friends, and allies to His Majesty George the Fourth, by the grace of God of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, King, Defender of the Faith, and so forth, to suffer the said ship Glenelg to pass with her company, goods, and merchandize, without any let, seizure, or molestation whatever, provided this license and pass be in force, which is to cease and determine as aforesaid, or otherwise, at the expiration of 12 months, or on the return of the said ship to the port of Bombay, whichever shall first happen. Given under our hands, and the large seal of the said Company of Merchants in 0 59.

·(L.S.)

Powers of Supracargoes at Canton, and British Superintendents.

England tra Lord 1821.

England trading to the East Indies at Bombay, this 13th day of June, in the year of our Lord 1821.

M. Elphinstone.
C. A. Colvill.
A. Bell.
G. L. Prendergast.

Registered in the Secretary's Office, by order of the Honourable the Governor in Council.

Bombay, the 13th June 1821.

J. Benoni.

Chief Secretary to the Government.

(M.)—Extracts from the Evidence of John Stewart, Esq. before the Select Committee of the House of Lords, 15th June 1830. (Lords' Report, 1830.—Parliamentary Paper, No. 646, p. 393-404).

5442. You have been frequently in China, have you not?—I have.

5443. In what situation have you been there?—I have been there in the situation of mate and commander of a ship, and agent for the transaction of business connected with the ship I commanded. I have also had other ships with their cargoes consigned to me in China, whilst I was there.

5578. In the event of opening the trade with China to all British subjects do you think it would be necessary to establish some public authority at Canton, which should have the power of controlling the conduct of all British merchants and subjects trading to that port?

—Yes, I should think that essentially necessary to the preservation of the trade; I state that from my own knowledge of the peculiarities of the Chinese, and the necessity of controlling Europeans visiting Canton.

5579. In what manner would you give to that public officer, so established at Canton, an effectual control over the conduct of British subjects; merely by a change in the act of the Legislature, or would you give him some essential authority?—I conceive the authority now vested by the Act of Parliament in the East India Company's supracargoes is quite sufficient.

5581. What powers have the supracargoes?—They have very extensive powers; they are empowered to remove from Canton, or from any of the islands on the coast of China, any British subject whenever they think it proper to do so; and they are entitled to call upon the commander of any British ship at Canton for physical force to enable them to carry their determination into effect. They can also interdict the whole British trade at any time if they think proper. In fact, every British ship going to Canton may be controlled by the select committee, and not only the ship and cargo, but the crew; they are obliged to comply with any orders the select committee may issue to them.

5582. Do you think it necessary this power should be continued, or other powers substituted for it?—I think it necessary a power of that nature should be retained.

(N.)—Extracts from Evidence before the Select Committee of the House of Commons on East India Affairs.—Printed with their Second and Third Reports, 1830.

No. 1.— Evidence of W. S. Davidson, Esq. (Second Report, 1830, Evidence.—Parliamentary Paper, No. 644, p. 171.)

2625. How often have you known the trade interrupted by the English coming into collision with the public authorities there?—Two occasions I have a vivid recollection of. The first occasion was in 1814; it was the resistance on the part of the supracargoes to an attempt made by the Government, in conjunction with the Hong merchants, to make the monopoly, which they possess, much more close and injurious to the English trade than it had ever been before.

2628. What steps did the Company take in resisting those demands?—They resisted those demands by stopping not only their own trade, but all the country trade.

2629. How long did they stop that trade?—As well as I can recollect, I should say about two months.

(N. 2. c.)—EVIDENCE of John Stewart, Esq. M. P., and a Member of the Committee. (Third Report, 1830, Evidence.—Parliamentary Paper, No. 644, p. 324.)

3882. a. From what you know of the character of the Chinese government, would you contemplate the opening of the trade to Canton without some established board or authority to represent the British Government there?—I am of opinion that if the trade were open, it

would be necessary that a body should be constituted at Canton, with ample powers to Powers of Supraexercise a most rigid and effectual control over every British subject going to China.

cargoes at Canton, and British Super-

- 3883. a. Do not the Select Committee of the Company at Canton now exercise that authority over all their officers and seamen?—They do; they have ample power of doing so by Act of Parliament.
- 3884. a. Do you contemplate any greater authority to be exercised over Englishmen generally, than the Company have now over their own servants?—No; I should think that no greater authority would be requisite. I think their powers are very ample, and they extend to all British subjects, as well as to the servants of the Company.
- (O.)—Extracts from the Secret Consultations of the Select Committee of Supracargoes at Canton, printed with the 1st Appendix to the Third Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, 1831.
- (O. 1.)—EXTRACT from an Address from the Select Committee to the Hoppo of Canton, recorded in the Secret Consultations of the 25th December 1829. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. I .- Parliamentary Paper, No. 320, p. 595.)
- "WE, the President and Select Committee, to whom is intrusted the management of all the various interests of the East India Company, as well as a general superintendence over all British trade in China, take leave to present to your Excellency our congratulations on your appointment to the important and weighty charge which the Emperor has conferred on you.
- (O. 2.)—EXTRACT from an Address to the Emperor of China, recorded in the Secret Consultations of 11th January 1830. (Third Report, Commons, 1831, App. No. I.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-A. p. 597.)
- "WE, the President and Select Committee appointed by authority to conduct the affairs of the English nation in China, approach with reverence to lay before your Imperial Majesty a statement of wrongs and grievances under which we suffer from the harsh and unjust treatment of the officers of the Canton government."
- (O. 3.)—Public Notice to British Subjects, recorded on Secret Consultations of 11th January 1830. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. I.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-A. p. 602.)

NOTICE is hereby given, that we, the President and Select Committee of supracargoes, being duly authorized by Acts of the British Legislature to superintend all the affairs of the English nation in China, do hereby interdict intercourse between merchant vessels trading under the British flag and the port of Canton, and prohibit all such vessels from proceeding in the channel towards the Bocca Tigris, beyond the island Lintin.

We further give warning that an infraction of this prohibitory notice will render the party so offending liable to the penalties which have been attached by Acts of Parliament to disobedience of orders of the representatives of the East India Company in China, upon all points connected with the trade to the port of Canton.

Macao, 11 January 1830.

(signed)

By Committee.

- (P.) EXTRACT from a Letter in the Public Department from the Select Committee at Canton to the Court of Directors, dated 27th July 1823. (Third Report, 1831, App. No. II.—Parliamentary Paper, 320-B. p. 137.)
- 18. WE have the honour to enclose to your Honourable Court three edicts, received from the different officers of the Canton government, on the subject of the ships remaining on the coast laden with opium, and our reply thereto. We were desirous to avoid the slightest implication on the part of the Honourable Company, and at the same time not to oppose unnecessary impediments to the trade; the arguments we have taken up, although specious, cannot be maintained, should the Viceroy place any obstacles to our commercial transactions dependent upon the departure of the vessels in question.
- 19. In the margin we have noted the number and names of the British vessels, and we are in hopes the Government will continue for some time silent and inoffensive. In April last, however, the Viceroy resorted to the usual measure of exacting the responsibility of the trade, by preventing the departure of the Pascoa (the only British vessel then at Whampoa), A A 2 0.59.

Powers of Supracargoes at Canton, and British Superintendents.

Whampoa), until the opium vessels departed from their places of resort on the coast; and should he in the present season adopt a similar line of conduct in regard to the Honourable Company's ships, and our remonstrances prove of no effect, in obedience to the orders of your Honourable Court to that purport, we must require the departure of those vessels, so long as they afford any impediment to the commercial proceedings of the Honourable Company.

- (Q.)—Extracts from the Act 3 & 4 Will. 4, c. 93, intituled, "An Act to regulate the Trade to China and India."
- I. "Whereas the exclusive right of trading with the dominions of the Emperor of China, and of trading in tea, now enjoyed by the United Company of Merchants of England trading to the East Indies, will cease from and after the 22d day of April 1834 * * *.
- V. "And whereas it is expedient for the objects of trade and amicable intercourse with the dominions of the Emperor of China, that provision be made for the establishment of a British authority in the said dominions: Be it therefore enacted, that it shall and may be lawful for His Majesty, by any commission or commissions, or warrant or warrants under his Royal sign manual, to appoint not exceeding three of His Majesty's subjects to be superintendents of the trade of His Majesty's subjects to and from the said dominions, for the purpose of protecting and promoting such trade; and by any such commission or warrant as aforesaid, to settle such gradation and subordination among the said superintendents, (one of whom shall be styled the chief superintendent,) and to appoint such officers to assist them in the execution of their duties, and to grant such salaries to such superintendents and officers, as His Majesty shall from time to time deem expedient.
- VI. "And be it enacted, that it shall and may be lawful for His Majesty by any such order or orders, commission or commissions as to His Majesty in Council shall appear expedient and salutary, to give to the said superintendents, or any of them, powers and authorities over and in respect of the trade and commerce of His Majesty's subjects within any part of the said dominions, and to make and issue directions and regulations touching the said trade and commerce, and for the government of His Majesty's subjects within the said dominions; and to impose penalties, forfeitures, or imprisonments for the breach of any such directions or regulations, to be enforced in such manner as in the said order or orders shall be specified; and to create a court of justice, with criminal and admiralty jurisdiction, for the trial of offences committed by His Majesty's subjects within the said dominions and the ports and havens thereof, and on the high seas within 100 miles of the coast of China; and to appoint one of the superintendents hereinbefore mentioned to be the officer to hold such court, and other officers for executing the powers thereof; and to grant such salaries to such officers as to His Majesty in Council shall appear reasonable."

(R.)—Order of the King in Council, establishing Regulations for the government of the King's Subjects at Canton, dated 9th December 1833.

At the Court at Brighton, the 9th of December 1833.

Present:—The King's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

Whereas by a certain Act of Parliament, made and passed in the 3d and 4th years of His Majesty's reign, intituled, "An Act to regulate the Trade to China and India," it is, amongst other things, enacted, that it shall and may be lawful for His Majesty, by any such order or orders as to His Majesty in Council shall appear expedient and salutary, to give to the superintendents in the said Act mentioned, or any of them, powers and authorities over and in respect of the trade and commerce of His Majesty's subjects within any part of the dominions of the Emperor of China, and to make and issue directions and regulations touching the said trade and commerce, and for the direction of His Majesty's subjects within the said dominions, and to impose penalties, forfeitures, or imprisonments for the breach of any such directions or regulations, to be enforced in such manner as in the said order or orders shall be specified; and whereas the officers of the Chinese government resident in or near Canton, in the empire of China, have signified to the supracargoes of the East India Company at Canton the desire of that government, that effectual provision should be made by law for the good order of all His Majesty's subjects resorting to Canton, and for the maintenance of peace and due subordination amongst them; and it is expedient that effect should be given to such reasonable demands of the said Chinese government. Now, therefore in pursuance of the said Water and in avecation of the powers thereby in His Majesty fore, in pursuance of the said Act, and in execution of the powers thereby in His Majesty in Council in that behalf vested, it is hereby ordered by His Majesty, by and with the advice of His Privy Council, that all the powers and authorities which on the 21st day of April 1834 shall by law be vested in the supracargoes of the United Company of Merchants trading to the East Indies, over and in respect of the trade and commerce of His Majesty's ... subjects at the port of Canton, shall be, and the same are hereby vested in the superintendents for the time being, appointed under and by virtue of the said Act of Parliament; and that all regulations which on the said 21st day of April 1834 shall be in force touching the said trade and commerce, save so far as the same are repealed or abrogated by the said Act

Act of Parliament, or by any commission and instructions or Orders in Council issued or Powers or Supramade by His Majesty in pursuance thereof, or are inconsistent therewith, shall continue in cargoes at Canton, full force and virtue; and that all such penalties, forfeitures, or imprisonments as might on and British Superthe said 21st day of April 1834 be incurred or enforced for the breach of such then existing intendents. regulations, shall thenceforth be in like manner incurred and enforced for the breach of the same regulations, so far as the same are hereby revived and continued in force as aforesaid; and that all such penalties, forfeitures, or imprisonments when so incurred, shall be enforced in manner following; that is to say, either by such ways and means by which the same might, on the said 21st of April 1834, have been lawfully enforced; or by the sentence and adjudication of the court of justice established at Canton aforesaid, under and in pursuance of the said Act of Parliament: provided also, and it is further declared, that the regulations herein contained are and shall be considered as provisional only, and as intended to continue in force only until His Majesty shall be pleased to make such further or other order in the premises in pursuance of the said Act of Parliament, as to His Majesty; with the advice of his Privy Council, may hereafter seem salutary or expedient, in reference to such further information and experience as may hereafter be derived from the future course of the said trade.

And it is hereby further ordered, that the said superintendents shall compile and publish, for the information of all whom it may concern, the several regulations hereby established and confirmed as aforesaid, and that such publication, when so made with the authority of the superintendents, shall for all purposes be deemed and taken to be legal and conclusive evidence of the existence and of the terms of any such regulation. And it is further ordered, that the said superintendents shall, on the arrival of any British ship or vessel at the port of Canton aforesaid, cause to be delivered to the master, commander, or other officer, together with every other person arriving in or being on board any such ship, shall be bound and is hereby required to conform himself to such regulations. And the Right Honourable Viscount Palmerston, one of His Mujesty's Principal Secretaries of State, is to give the necessary directions herein accordingly.

> C. C. Greville. (signed)

PAPERS DELIVERED IN BY W. JARDINE, Esq.

(1.)—ORDER IN COUNCIL.

(Presented to Parliament by Command of Her Majesty, April 1840.)

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 3d day of April 1840,

Present:-The Queen's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

HER Majesty having taken into consideration the late injurious proceedings of certain officers of the Emperor of China towards officers and subjects of Her Majesty: and Her Order in Council, Majesty having given orders that satisfaction and reparation for the same shall be demanded 3 April 1840. from the Chinese Government; and it being expedient that, with a view to obtain such satisfaction and reparation, ships and vessels and cargoes, belonging to the Emperor of China and to his subjects, shall be detained and held in custody; and, that if such reparation and satisfaction be refused by the Chinese Government, the ships and vessels and cargoes so detained, and others to be thereafter detained, shall be confiscated and sold, and that the proceeds thereof shall be applied in such manner as Her Majesty may be pleased to direct: Her Majesty, therefore, is pleased, by and with the advice of Her Privy Council, to order, and it is hereby ordered, that the commanders of Her Majesty's ships of war do detain and bring into port, all ships, vessels, and goods belonging to the Emperor of China, or his subjects, or other persons inhabiting within any of the countries, territories, or dominions of China; and, in the event of such reparation and satisfaction as aforesaid having been refused, by the Chinase Covernment, to bring the same to indepent in the order. having been refused by the Chinese Government, to bring the same to judgment in any of the courts of Admiralty, within Her Majesty's dominions: and to that end, Her Majesty's Advocate-general with the Advocate of the Admiralty, are forthwith to prepare the draft of a Commission, and present the same to Her Majesty at this Board, authorizing the Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral to will and require the High Court of Admiralty of Great Britain, as also the several courts of Admiralty within Her Majesty's definitions and the several courts of Admiralty within Her Majesty's dominions, to take cognizance of, and judicially proceed upon all, and all manner of captures, seizures, prizes, and reprisals of all ships, vessels, and goods, that are, or shall be taken, and to hear and determine the same according to the course of Admiralty, and the laws of nations, to adjudge and condemn all such such ships, vessels, and goods as shall belong

Order in Council, 3 April 1840.

belong to China, or subjects of the Emperor of China, or to any others inhabiting within any of his countries, territories, or dominions; and that such powers and clauses be inserted in the said commission as have been usual, and are according to former precedents; they are, likewise, to prepare, and lay before Her Majesty at this Board, a draft of such instructions as may be proper to be sent to the courts of Admiralty in Her Majesty's foreign Governments and Plantations for their guidance berein: and the said Commissioners are to give the necessary directions herein accordingly.

C. C. Greville.

(2.)—ORDER IN COUNCIL.

(Presented to Parliament by Command of Her Majesty, April 1840.)

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 4th day of April 1840.

Present:—The Queen's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

Order in Council, 4 April 1840. Whereas there was this day read at the Board the annexed draft of a Commission authorizing the Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of Great Britain, to will and require the several courts of Admiralty to take cognizance of, and judicially proceed upon all captures, seizures, prizes, and reprisals that have been or shall be made of ships or vessels belonging to the Emperor of China or his subjects; Her Majesty taking the same into consideration, was pleased, by and with the advice of Her Privy Council, to approve thereof; and to order, as it is hereby ordered, that the Right Honourable Viscount Palmerston, one of Her Majesty's Principal Secretaries of State, do cause the said Commission to be prepared for Her Majesty's signature, with a proper Warrant for the immediate passing the same, under the Great Seal of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

(signed) C. C. Greville.

VICTORIA R.

Victoria, by the Grace of God Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Councillor, Gilbert, Earl of Minto, Knight Grand Cross of the most Honourable Military Order of the Bath; Our trusty and well-beloved Sir Charles Adam, Knight Commander of the most Honourable Military Order of the Bath, Vice-Admiral of the Blue Squadron of Our Fleet; Sir William Parker, Knight Commander of the most Honourable Military Order of the Bath, Rear-Admiral of the White Squadron of Our Fleet; Sir Edward Thomas Troubridge, Baronet, Captain in Our Navy; Sir Samuel' John Brooke Pechell, Baronet, Captain in Our Navy; Sir Samuel' John Brooke Pechell, Baronet, Captain in Our Navy; Sir Samuel' John Brooke Pechell, Baronet, Captain in Our Navy; and Archibald Primrose, Esquire (commonly called Lord Dalmeny); Our Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and the Dominions thereunto belonging, and to Our Commissioners for executing that office for the time being, greeting: Whereas We have taken into consideration the late injurious proceedings of certain officers of the Emperor of China towards certain of Our officers and subjects; and whereas We have given orders that satisfaction and reparation for the same shall be demanded from the Chinese Government; and whereas it is expedient, with the view to obtain such satisfaction and reparation, that ships and vessels and cargoes belonging to the Emperor of China astisfaction be 'refused by the Chinese Government, the ships, vessels, and cargoes so detained, and others to be thereafter detained, shall be confiscated and sold, and that the proceeds thereof shall be applied in such manner as We may hereafter be pleased to direct: We, therefore, are pleased, by and with the advice of Our Privy Council, to order that the commanders of Our ships of war do detain and bring into port all ships, vessels, and goods belonging to the Emperor of China or his subjects, or other persons inhabiting within any of the countries, territori

inhabiting within any of His countries, territories, or dominions. In witness whereof, We Order in Council, have caused the Great Seal of Our United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland to be 4 April 1840. affixed to these presents, which We have signed with Our Royal Hand.

Given at Our Court at Buckingham Palace, the 4th day of April, in the year of Our Lord 1840, and in the third year of Our reign.

(3.)—CLAIMS FOR INDEMNITY FOR OPIUM SURRENDERED.

			
Her Britannic Majesty's Government,	Dr to Jardine,	Matheson & Co.	Claims for Indem- nity for Opium
For Indemnity for the undermentioned Opium surrende Government to the Chief Superintendent of the Trac obedience to his requisition of 27 March 1839-			surrendered.
306 chests Patna opium, received 2 March 1839 po Rover, amounting as per accompanying invoice rupees		Rs. 270,332	
141 chests ditto, received 16 March, per Ann -		124,567	
447 chests. Company's R	Rupees	394,899	
-206 rupees, per 100 dollars, the exchange at Bombay	on China -	Span. Dols. 191,698 54	
Charges.	i		
Freight, at \$16 per ch.	- 7,152 00		
Demurrage and ship-room, 1 mo. at \$1 per c.	- 447		
Insurance, at \$ 201,150, 1/3 per c	- 672 50		
Cunsha, or delivery fee, \$5 per ch.	- 2,235		
Interest on \$191,698 54 for 2. 22 days, from 27 Ma to this date, at 1 per cent. per mo.	rch - 5,230 98		
		15,737 48	4
	\$	207,436 02	
Our commission, \$ 207,436 09	2, 3 per c	6,223 08	-
Spanis	sh Dollars	213,659 10	
Exchange 5/ per dollar -	Sterling	53,414 15 6	-
(Errors excepted) -		
Macao, 18 June 1839. (si	gned) Jardine	, Matheson & Co.	

0.59.

. Claims for Indemnity for Opium surrendered.

No. 181.—Duplicate.

Invoice of 306 chests Patna Opium, shipped per Red Rover, Wright, for Lintin, and consigned to Messrs. Jardine, Matheson & Co. on their account and risk.

	1		1					
J. M. & Co.	306 chests Patna opium, at 837/ per	chest -	-	-	-	256,122	-	-
P. 1 a' 306.	Charges.							
	To paid for packing, marking, and sh To paid insurance on Co. rs. 299,88	ipping -	306	3 -	_			
,	per cent. and policy To paid commission on Co. rs. 256,12	- >-	7,50	۱ –	-			
ļ	per cent		6,403	3 -	. '			
			'			14,210	-	-
į	Cash this c	lay, Co's.	Rs.		-	270,332	-	_
	(E. E.)	(signed	1)		t. M	(atheson &	Co	•
Calcutt	a, 9 January 1830.	\ B	,		- ,		50.	

Certified that the above-mentioned opium has been surrendered by us to II. M. Chief Superintendent of the trade of British subjects in China, for the service of Her Britannic Majesty, in obedience to his requisition of 27 March 1839.

Macao, 10 June 1839. (signed) Jardine, Matheson & Co.

No. 210.—Duplicate.

Invoice of 141 chests Patna Opium, shipped per Ann, Captain Guy, for Lintin, and consigned to Messrs. Jardine, Matheson & Co. on their account and risk.

			*	·				-			
J.M. & Co.	141 chests Pa	tna opium,	at 837/ per	c.	-	••	-	•	118,017	_	_
P. 307 a' 447.		CHARG	es.				(•	•			
	To paid packing To paid insura	ng, marking	, and shipp	ing 80 at 6	- 71	14	t 1	3			
4	per cent. an	d policy		-	-	3,45	8 8	-			
	To paid commi		- s ns.110,0	- -	22	2,95	0 6	9	6,550		
•				,					0,000		
		Cash 1	o instant C	ompan	y's :	Rupe	es' -	-	124,567	-	
	(E. E.)			'(sig	rned) :	Lual	 !. M	atheson &	Co.	
	(== 21)			15.8	,	,	J	•	,		

Calcutta, 23 January 1839.

Certified that the above-mentioned opium has been surrendered by us to H. M. Chief Superintendent of the trade of British subjects in China, for the service of Her Britannic Majesty, insobedience to his requisition of 27 March 1839.

(signed) Jardine, Matheson & Co.

Macao, 10 June 1839-

Claims for Indemnity for Opium surrendered.

Her Britannic Majesty's Government,

Dr to Jardine, Matheson & Co. For Indemnity for the undermentioned Opium surrendered for the service of Her Majesty's Government, to the Chief Superintendent of the trade of British subjects in China, in obedience to his requisition of 27 March 1839.

71 Chests of Malwa Opium received 4 September 1838, per ship Van- sittart, amounting as per accompanying invoice to Company's Rupees	67,470 2 40
At 206 rupees per 100 dollars, the exchange at Bombay on China, Spanish dollars	32,752 42
Insurance to China \$35,000, 2 ½ per \$878 Freight at \$7 per c 497 Demurrage and ship-room, 2 mos. at 1 per c 142 Insurance \$35,000, at 1/3 per pm. 5 ms 585 30 Cunsha, or delivery fee, at \$5 per c 355 Interest 7 months, at 1 per ct. pm 2,292 64	4,749 94
Our Commission \$ 37502 36 at 3 per c Spanish Dollars Exchange at 5/ per dollar Sterling	37,502 36 1,125 06 38,627 42 9,656 17 0
(Errors Excepted.) Macao, 18 June 1839. (signed) Jardine,	Matheson & Co.

Invoice of 71 Chests of Malwa Pass Opium, shipped by Remington & Co. at Bombay, on board the ship Vansittart, Capt. McQueen, bound to Lintin, consigned to, and on account and risk of, Messrs. Jardine, Matheson & Co. of Canton, being marked and numbered as per margin.

C. o. 352 a' 378 1,864 a' 1,883 1,884 a' 1,897 1,898 a' 1,903 1,926 a' 1,928 1,929 a'	MALWA PASS OPIUM. 27 chests not repacked after the inspector's examination. 20 chests repacked, good shape. 14 ———————————————————————————————————	65,320 O O
	CHARGES. Hamallage and boat hire - Rs. 27 0 0 Repacking on 44 chests, including nails, tape, wax, &c 22 0 0 Inspector's fee for examining the quality at Rs. 2 per chest - 142 0 0 Bazar brokerage, on Rs. 65,320 at \[\frac{1}{3}\text{ per ct.} - 326 2 40 \] Commission on ditto, 2\frac{1}{2}\text{ per ct.} - 1,633 0 0 Freight at \$7 per chest, payable in China as per bill of lading.	2,150 2 40
	(Errors Excepted.)	67,470 2 40

Remington & Co. (signed) · Certified that the within-mentioned opium has been surrendered by us to Her Majesty's Chief Superintendent of the trade of British subjects in China, for the service of Her Britannic Majesty, in obedience to his requisition of 27 March 1839.

[Signed] Jardine, Matheson & Co. Bombay, 7 July 1838.

0.59.

BB

(4.)—PURCHASES OF OPIUM.

Purchases of Patna Opium.

(A.)—STATEMENT of Purchases of Patna Opium made by Jardine, Matheson & Co. in Canton.

	From whom.	Chests.	Price.	
1838:	W. Saatt	00	\$.	\$.
August - 26	Wm. Scott	20	575 580	11,500
28	Affech	45 10		26,100
	W. Scott		590	5,900
	Ditto	25	595	14,875
- 31	Bowamjee Manechjee	40	<i>5</i> 95	23,800
	Tienshun	40	585 580	23,400
Santambar a	Wm. Scott	10		5,800
September 3		45	579	26,055
	HeerjeebhoyRustomjee		590	23,600
$\frac{}{}$	Allye	30	607	18,210
	Tylong	10	545	5,450
10	Acheong	20	540	10,800
11	Yeehing	9	530	4,770
14	Tunshun +	10	560	5,600
21	Tylong	10	530	5,300
October - 8	HeerjeebhoyRustomjee	9	590	5,310
		3	595	1,785
25	Yeehing	10	585	5,850
November 24	Captain Parry	30	570	17,100
- 25	H. M. Cursetjee -	1	540	540
- 11-	Снезтв	417		\$. 241,745 or \$. 579.724 per chest.

Purchases of Malwa Opium.

(B.)—STATEMENT of Purchases of Malwa Opium made by Jardine, Matheson & Co. in Canton.

**************************************	From whom.	Chests.	Price.		
1838: July - 18 — 19	Wohing Bomanjee Manechjee	40 45 20	\$. 620 620 625	\$. 24,800 27,900 12,500	
- 20 - 23 - 24 - 26	James Innes Appun Appun	15 10 40 10	630 621 621 626	9,450 6,210 24,840 6,260	
30	Chunsing Ditto	25 7	621 620	15,525 4,340	
August - 3	Allye Achow	6 5 5	625 622 625	3,750 3,110 3,125	
- 16 - 18 - 26	Achow Tylong Accong	5 10 10	626 655 665 675	3,130 6,550 6,650 6,750	
September 3	Wm. Scott Appun Allye Tienshun	15 5 10 20 40	675 670 625 625 630 650	10,125 3,350 3,125 6,250 12,600 26,000 6,500	

	From whom.	Chests.	Price.		Purchases of Malwa Opium.
1838: September 8 - 9 - 10	Accong	32 8	\$. 630 625 615 620 600 600 600 595 565 565 570 565 570 565	\$. 9,450 9,375 12,300 6,200 9,000 12,100 7,200 4,200 5,950 17,700 23,200 5,650 8,325 11,400 5,650 5,650 4,480 18,240 4,560	Malwa Opium.
<u> </u>	Yeeshing	5 5	560	2,825 2,800	
25	HeerjeebhoyRustomjee	25	565	14,125	
	Toushing	58 200	560 550	32,480 110,000	
	Снезтз	968	•	\$. 575,700 or \$. 594.73.	

Before me, Captain Charles Elliot, R.N. Chief Superintendent of the Trade of British subjects in China, personally appeared this day Henry Wright, partner in the British firm of Jardine, Matheson & Co. lately of Canton, now of Macao, who maketh oath that the Statements (A.) and (B.), hereunto appended, exhibit alone a faithful account, extracted from Jardine, Matheson & Co.'s opium book, herewith produced, of the rate of cost and average of Patna and Malwa Opium purchased in China, surrendered by the said Jardine, Matheson & Co. for the service of Her Majesty's Government.

(signed) H. Wright.

INDEX.

ANALYSIS OF INDEX.

LIST of the Principal Headings referred to in the following Index, with the Page of the Index at which they will be respectively found.

Page of Index.	' Page of Index.
Astell, Mr 191	Dent & Co 196
Bell, Messrs 191	Destruction of the Opium 196
Johnston, Mr 204	Duresse of the Community:
BOMBAY MERCHANTS	1. Its Nature and Extent: Danger,
1. Quantities of Opium owned by	&c 197
them 191	2. Alaca 197
2. Distress caused by the Surrender	Servants
of their Property to the Chinese 192 3. Their Reliance upon the Promise	Water 215
of Indemnity 192	EAST INDIA COMPANY;
4. Forbearance of Creditors - 192	
Calcutta Trade 192	Their cognizance of the Opium Trade - 197
Parsee Merchants 210 Suicides at Rombau 212	Elliot, Captain 197 Dent, Mr 196
Deterites at Bomong -	Duresse of the Foreign Community - 196
	Expulsion of Foreigners 198
20,00,000 (-000 2-000)	Indemnity for the Opium surrendered - 199
Calcutta Sales of Opium 192	Instructions to Captain Elliot 202 Palmerston Lord 210
Calcutta Trade 192	Palmerston, Lord 210 Powers of Captain Elliot 210
CERTIFICATES OF OPIUM SURRENDERED.	Surrender of the Opium 212
Whether negotiable 193	Violence 215
2. Doubts as to the Payment of	Execution, the 198
them 193	Expulsion of Foreigners from Canton - 198
Indemnity for the Opium surrendered - 199	Foreign Community 198
Powers of Captain Elliot - 210	Dent, Mr 196
Surrenaer of the Option	Duresse of the Foreign Community - 197
Chinese Native Trade 193	G
Christianity 193	GROWTH OF OPIUM: 1. In India 198
COMPENSATION FROM THE CHINESE:	1. In India 190 2. In China 198
1. Original Intention of the Chinese	Hong Merchants 198
to pay for the Opium demanded 193	Howaua 198
2. Extortion of Compensation from them by Force 193	Laws of China 204
	Legalization of the Opium Trade - 204
Indemnity for the Opium surrendered - 199 Surrender of the Opium 212	108
Confidence in the British Government - 193	11000400
Confiscation of Opium by the Chinese - 193	Imperial Edicts 199
Connivance of the Chinese Authorities at the	INDEMNITY FOR THE OPIUM SURRENDERED:
Opium Trade 194	1. Evidence showing that the Opium
Opium Trade with China 208	was surrendered on account
CONSUMPTION OF OPIUM:	of the Reliance of Merchants upon Captain Ellioi's engage-
1. In China 194	ment 199
2. In India 194	2. Confirmatory Claims to In-
	demnity 199
DENT, MR.	3. Cost Price only of the Opium demanded 199
1. Narrative of Proceedings: Rea- sons of the Chinese for requir-	
ing his presence in the City,	Continue of Onium surrendered - 193
and of his refusal to go = 190	Compensation from the Chinese - 193
o Ilnanimity of the Foreign Com-	Powers of Captain Elliot
munity in advising him not to go .9°	Surrender of the Upium
3. Proceedings of Captain Elliot with reference to Mr. Dent - 196	Instructions of Captain Elliot 202
Penul Bonds 210	Powers of Captain Ethios - 204
Powers of Captain Elliot 210	Johnston, MII. (Second Superior
0.59.	вв3

Alphabetical List of the Principal Headings-continued.

		F	age o	f Index.	
Laws of China -	-		•	204	Penal Bonds - Page of In de
Imperial Edicts Tariff of China	-		•	· 199 · 213	Portuguese 210
Legal Trade with Chine	x -			204	.7
Legalization of the Opin	um Tra	de -		204	
Lin, Commissioner -			١.	204	1. Generally
Compensation from	the Ch	inese -	•	193	gagement for Indemnity
Dent, Mr Destruction of the	Ominum	-	•	196	Indemnity for the Onium assumed
Duresse of the Com	opiuni munita	,	*	196	Instructions to Captain Elliot 20
Surrender of the O			_	197 212	Surrender of the Opium 21
Violence	-		-	205	PRICES OF OPIUM.
LINTIN:				_	ţ
How far the Ships	were r	vithin th	e .Tu	risdic.	1. Average Prices generally 21
tion or the Power of the Anchorage	r of the	Chinese	; P	osition	2. Prices previous to the Surrender of the Opium to Captain Elliot 211
Malwa Opium -	-		_	206	3. Prices since the Surrender - 211
Consumption of Op	inm		_		Remonstrance 21
Prices of Opium	-	- :	-	194 211	Revenue (British India) 21
Memorial, the, to Lord	Palmer	ston -	_	207	Samanata
Duresse of the Com	muhity		-	197	Duresse of the Foreign Community - 197
Mobs in China -	-		_	208	Smoking Opium 219
Napier, Lord -	_		_	208	Smarainlina
OPIUM TRADE WITH C.			_	-00	Quitables at Danie
				0	Samoundan of the Tare T to
1. Fluctuations 2. Interruption			•	208 208	Supercargoes of the East India Company - 212
3. Mode of con			ade	209	SURREND R OF THE OPIUM.:
4. Its Notoriet	y	÷ =	···	209	To the Chinese before Captain
5. Its Popular		the Chi	nese	·	Elliot's arrival 212
People 6 To Tombia		• •	-	209	II. To Captain Elliot upon his Requi-
6. Its Legality 7. Moral Obje		o it -	_	209 209	sition:
8. Trade carri	ed on si	nce the S	Sur-	209	1. Prompt Obedience of Merchants
render of	Opium	by Cap	tain		to Captain Elliot's Requisition:
Elliot			-	209	Reliance upon his Pledge of
Bombay Merchants Calcutta Sales of Op	* *		-	191	Payment 213
Connivance of Chin	ese Ai	thorities	at	192	2. Deficiency discovered in the
the Opium Trade			•	194	Number of Chests after the Account had been given in:
Consumption of Opin	um -	-	-	194	how caused and supplied - 213
East India Company	/ -		•	197	Bombay Merchants 191
Growth of Opium Insurance of Opium		_	-	198 202	Certificates of Opium surrendered - 193
Legalization of the O	pium I	rade	_	204	Compensation from the Chinese - 193
Lintin		-	-	205	Dent & Co 196
Prices of Opium		-	•	211	Indemnity for the Opium surrendered - 199 Powers of Captain Elliot 210
Viceroy of Canton Yuen, Governor		•	-	214	Prices of Opium 211
Orders in Council -	_	_	_	215	Sycee Silver 213
	- •-	-	-	210	Tariff of China 213
Palmerston, Lord - Instructions to Capta	- in F15:	nt -	-	210	Viceroy of Canton 214
Memorial, the, to Lor			-	207	Connivance of Chinese Authorities at
Parsee Merchants -	-, -,	-	-	210	the Opium Trade 194
Bombay Merchants	-7 #4, **	_	*	191	Opium Trade with China 208
Calcutta Trade		-	_	210	Violence 215
Confidence in the Bri		vernmen	t -	193	Duresse of the Community - 197
Suicides at Bombay		-	-	212	Water 215

\mathbf{N} D \mathbf{E} Χ.

N.B .- In this Index the Figures following the Names refer to the Questions in the Evidence; and App. p. to the page of the Appendix.

A.

ADVANCES. See Bills of Lading.

Aken, John. Evidence before Committee on East India Affairs in 1830. Mode of conducting the opium trade; the apparent vigilance of the mandarins to prevent smuggling does not exist in reality, App. p. 160.

American Consul. See Foreign Community.

Americans. Those who held opium, surrendered it as British property, Inglis 425. See also Howqua.

Anchorages. Since 1821, the receiving ships have been continually ordered from one anchorage to another, Jardine 1424-1427.-—See also Lintin.

Arbuthnot & Co., Messrs., of Madras. Negociated bills drawn at six months sight, by Dent & Co., at the same rate, as a salary bill of Captain Elliot, at 30 days' sight, Inglis 770.

Astell, Mr. The only information Captain Elliot had received of the proceedings of the Chinese authorities at Canton, previous to his arrival, was from a private letter of Mr. Astell, one of the East India Company's agents, Inglis 24, 139.

Authority of Captain Elliot. See Powers of Captain Elliot.

Bahadur Mull. See Malwa Opium.

Banishment. See Expulsion of Foreigners.

Bayley, W. B. Extracts from his evidence in 1832, before the Select Committee on East India Affairs, as to the revenue arising from opium, App. p. 168.

Bell, Messrs. On the day before Captain Elliot's arrival they gave their opium in charge of Mr. Johnston, the second superintendent, for security, who accepted it without any guarantee, Inglis 24-28.—See also Johnston, Mr.

Benares Opium. See Consumption of Opium.

Bills of Lading. It is the practice of China merchants to advance money upon the opium, holding the bills of lading as their security, Inglis 355.

Bocca Tigris. The Chinese custom-house department does not extend beyond the Bocca Tigris, Jardine 1428-1430.

Bogue, The, In practice the Chinese have taken no cognizance of the cargoes of ships until they enter the Bogue, Inglis 625, 626. See also Confiscation of Opium.

BOMBAY MERCHANTS:

1. Quantities of Opium owned by them.

2. Distress caused by the surrender of their Property to the Chinese.
3. Their reliance upon the Promise of Indemnity.

4. Forbearance of Creditors.

1. Quantities of Opium owned by them:

The greater part of the opium belonged to native merchants at Bombay; they own all the Malwa opium, and also a great portion of the Bengal opium; losses that they will suffer, Inglis 347-364—They have been of late years large operators in opium, both in the Calcutta and Bombay markets, Malcolmson 1937, 1938—A large proportion of the opium is exported on their account; nearly a third of the Bengal opium belongs to them. Matheson 2006-2101 belongs to them, Matheson 2096-2101.

2. Distress

BOMBAY MERCHANTS--continued.

2. Distress caused by the surrender of their Property to the Chinese;

Many of the smaller merchants were ruined by the surrender of the opium, and rich speculators derived the profit arising from the subsequent high prices, Inglis 385-392

— The surrender of the opium will be the utter ruin of many Parsee merchants in Bombay and Calcutta, Jardine 1796-1798— Commercial distress at Bombay; complaints of breach of faith on the part of Government, Jardine 1802—If the losses are not made good, ruin must be the consequence to many families, Curseijee 1961-1968.

3. Their reliance upon the Promise of Indemnity:

Strong feeling entertained by them of their claims to indemnity; encouragement given to the opium trade by the Indian Government, Malcolmson 1889-1893—No doubt was felt that Government would fulfil the engagements of its functionary, Malcolmson, 1853-1855—On the refusal of Government to pay, the merchants expected much from Parliament; disastrous consequences if they are disappointed, Malcolmson 1864-1869—Their confidence that Government would confirm the act of Captain Elliot, Curseijee 1944-1948.

4. Forbearance of Creditors:

Forbearance of creditors in expectation that the indemnity will be paid, Malcolmson 1850-1852; Cursetjee 1973, 1974—All classes of merchants at Bombay were affected by the surrender of the opium; their creditors did not press for payment, believing that the indemnity would be paid, Matheson 2103-2105—At first there was a general forbearance on the part of creditors at Bombay, but latterly many merchants have been greatly distressed; Matheson 2141-2143—If an immediate settlement of their claims cannot be made, an immediate acknowledgment of them will save their credit, and continue the forbearance of their creditors, Malcolmson 1899-1918.

See also Calcutta Trade. Compensation from the Chinese. Confidence in the Government. Parsee Merchants. Suicides.

Bombay Trade. Severe effect of the withholding the opium indemnity upon the internal trade of Bombay generally, Malcolmson, 1846-1849—The locking up of so much capital must interfere with many branches of trade, Cursetjee 1978—The general trade was much deranged, the sale of British manufactures diminished, and the export trade to China diminished, Matheson 2116-2119.

Bond, The. (25th March.)—On the 25th March, previous to Captain Elliot's proclamation, the principal merchants engaged to sign a bond that they would not enter into the opium trade any more, with a view to modify the terms of the commissioner's demand, Inglis 313-315; Daniell 1254, 1255—At that time they had not suffered much practical inconvenience, Inglis 316-331, 347-350.—See also Penal Bonds,

Braine, Mr. See Memorial, The. Powers of Captain Elliot.

C

Calcutta Sales of Opium. Statement of the prices at which opium was sold at Calcutta in October 1839, after the surrender of the 20,000 chests; average prices on other occasions, Inglis 517-522—Since the surrender of the opium, the annual proclamation of the government for granting passes for Malwa opium has issued, and 15,000 chests of Patna, and 7,500 of Benares opium, was ready to be brought for sale, Malcolmson 1892.

Calcutta Trade. The loss of the opium has not been felt so much at Calcutta as at Bombay, the trade in other atticles being more extensive, Malcolmson 1936—The export of opium from Calcutta is very frequently on Bombay account, Malcolmson 1937, 1938—Nearly a third of the Bengal opium belongs to the Bombay merchants, Matheson 2096—2101—Quantities of opium shipped in each year, from 1820-21 to 1830-31, at the port of Calcutta, to countries beyond the three residences. App. p. 166.

Canton. There was no opium in Canton at the time of Captain Elliott's arrival, Thacker 787-790—Less than a chest of opium was at Canton, Daniell 1192, 1193-

Canton Merchants. The Chinese merchants engaged in the opium trade had disappeared before the arrival of Commissioner Lin, Matheson 2272-2274

Censors. A class of officers in China who report what they please direct to the emperor, as to other officers, whether true or false, and no punishment can ensue, Jardine 1449—1453.

CERTIFICATES OF OPIUM SURRENDERED:

1. Whether negociable.

2. Doubts as to the payment of them.

1. Whether negociable:

The certificates given by Captain Elliot were not sold as negociable securities except at Bombay, as "opium scrip," at a very great discount, Inglis 473-477—Price at which opium scrip was sold at Calcutta; at Bombay no attempt was made to negociate it, Malcolmson 1919-1928—Witness has not heard of any negociations of opium scrip, Daniell 1328-1333—Witness is not aware that any opium scrip was sold at Bombay. Matheson 2111 sold at Bombay, Matheson 2111.

2. Doubts as to the payment of them:

At Bombay the people doubted Captain Elliot's power to grant them, Inglis 473-477

— There was a general feeling, at Bombay, that Captain Elliot's bills would not be honoured, Malcolmson 1894-1898—There was no general feeling of that kind; indulgence was shown to those whose money was locked up in China, Cursetjee 1973, 1974

— Doubts were expressed as to the immediate payment, in letters written from England in August, three months before the application to the Treasury, Matheson 2112-2115. See also Indemnity. Surrender.

Chinese Native Trade. Absurd regulations issued by Commissioner Lin; the form of the junks laid down, and the name of every sailor to be written in clear characters on the sail, Inglis 621.

Christianity. Edicts of the Chinese government against the introduction of Christianity, and the dissemination of tracts; voyage of Mr. Medhurst in the Huron; opposition encountered by him, Jardine 1503-1513.

COMPENSATION FROM THE CHINESE:

- Original Intention of the Chinese to pay for the Opium demanded.
 Extortion of Compensation from them by Force.

1. Original Intention of the Chinese to pay for the Opium demanded:

Witness believes that Commissioner Lin at first intended to pay for the opium, but changed his mind on finding that there were 20,000 chests, Inglis 13-18believing that the opium would be paid for; wording of the edict; conversations on the subject of compensation with Howqua and Mowqua, Hong merchants, Inglis 30, 31, subject of compensation with Howqua and Mowqua, Hong merchants, Ingles 30, 31, 205-216—If the Chinese had given compensation for the opium, it would have been paid, by means of the Hong merchants, out of the Consoo fund; reasons for thinking this to have been Lin's intention, Inglis 663-668—Lin would have bought a certain quantity of opium to save his credit with the emperor, and the rest he would have sent away, if proper firmness had been shown, Jardine 1363-1369—It was understood that the Lorg chests were to be reid for this does not appear on any written document. that the 1,037 chests were to be paid for; this does not appear on any written document, Matheson 2364-2370.

2. Extortion of Compensation from them by Force:

An understanding that compensation for the opium destroyed is to be forced from the Chinese would tend to avert the consequences of a refusal of indemnity by Parliament, Malcolmson 1870-1876—Lord J. Russell's declaration will allay the fears of some, but they will expect something more definite, Malcolmson 1887, 1888.

Surrender. See also Indemnity.

Confidence in the British Government. The natives of India rely with implicit confidence upon the fulfilment of any pledge given by a public officer; their faith in the British Government will be shaken if Captain Elliot's engagement be not confirmed, Cursetjee 1955-1960—The natives of Bombay are very sanguine in their hopes of payment; they place great reliance upon the word of Government servants, whose acts they cannot believe will be disavowed, Matheson 2106-2110.

Confinement of Foreigners. Opposition of the linguists to the departure of witness before the issue of the edict forbidding foreigners to leave Canton, Inglis 7-9, 21, 22. See also Duress of the Foreign Community.

Confiscation of Opium by the Chinese. Was frequently enforced, both upon native and European boats within the Bogue; case in which confiscation took place at Macao, outside the Bogue, Inglis 707-736—The Chinese have the right of confiscation within the Bocca Tigris, Jardine 1740-1755—The Chinese would have had a right to seize the opium if they had been able, but had no right to touch the persons of parties not proved to be engaged in smuggling transactions, Thacker 992-1000—If the Chinese had attempted to seize the opium in the ships, they would have been resisted, Thacker 1024-1031—Object in preparing for the defence of the ships; declaration of Captain Elliot, that the Chinese should not have a chest of opium three days before the proposal was made for surrendering it, Thacker 1038-1047. was made for surrendering it, Thacker 1038-1047.

Connivance 0.59

Connivance of the Chinese Authorities at the Opium Trade. Connivance of the Viceroy Tang, and other high authorities, at the opium trade; profits derived by him; his son was actually engaged in the trade, Inglis 669-686 — The authorities in Canton knew every house engaged in the trade; the trade was known to the highest, Jardine 1423.

1432-1435—The viceroy had four boats carrying opium with his flag; the ineffectual edicts from Pekin prove the connivance of the emperor, Jardine 1439-1455—The viceroy's boats carried on the smuggling trade between Linin and Canton for eighteen months, till near the time of Lin's arrival; there were sham fights, occasionally, to make months, till near the time of Lin's arrival; there were snam nghts, occasionally, to make it appear that the authorities did their duty, Jardine 1535, 1536—Notoriety of the viceroy's proceedings; a portion of his profits is said to have gone into the imperial treasury, in the shape of presents, Jardine 1579-1589—The petty mandarins along the coast are still conniving at the opium trade, Matheson 2436-2444.

Statement in Report of Committee, 8th July 1830, that the Chinese officers appear systematically to connive at the smuggling opium, and to derive a large profit from bribes, App. p. 157; Marjoribanks' Ev. App. p. 158; Aken's Ev. App. p. 159—Extract from a letter of the Select Committee at Canton to the Court of Directors, 26th January

1831, on the connivance and corruption of the authorities, App. p. 160.

Consoo-House. Description of the interviews of witness and Mr. Thorn with four government officers, and with an officer of the commissioners, as to Mr. Dent's coming into the city, Inglis 23.

CONSUMPTION OF OPIUM:

1. In China.

2. In India

1. In China:

Two millions of persons out of the three hundred millions in China could consume all the opium, Jardine 1671-1673-Statement of the consumption of Patna, Benares, and Malwa opium in China, in each year from from 1818-19 to 1827-28, App. p. 159.

2. In India:

Opium is used in India by the Malwarees, but not to any great extent; it is not forbidden either by the Hindoo or Mahomedan religion, Cursetjee 2010-2017. See also Refinement of Opium. Smoking Opium.

Cotton Crops in India. Difficulty of getting the cotton crops to market, from want of the usual advances; quantities in 1840, from 1st January to 29th March, as compared with the same period in 1839; high prices consequent upon the limited supply, Malcolmson 1932-1935.

Cursetjee, Ardaseer. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—A Parsee gentleman of Bombay, upon a visit to England for scientific objects connected with steam navigation in India, 1939—Unconnected with trade; some of his family are merchants, but not concerned in the opium trade, 1940–1943—The people in Bombay had great confidence that the Government would confirm the act of Captain Elliot, 1944–1948—A friend of witness has destroyed himself on account of some disappointment in the opium trade, 1949–1954—The natives of India rely with implicit confidence upon the fulfilment of any pledge given by a public officer: their faith in the British Government will be shaken pledge given by a public officer; their faith in the British Government will be shaken if the engagement of Captain Elliot be not confirmed, 1955-1960.

If the parties are not repaid for their loss, ruin must be the consequence to many families, 1961–1968—They understood that Captain Elliot had been acting by authority of his Government in demanding the opium, 1969–1972—There was no general apprehension that his acts would be disavowed, and indulgence was therefore shown to those whose money was locked up in China, 1973, 1974—The locking up of so much capital would interfere with many branches of trade, 1978—The Parsees are the prin cipal merchants at Bombay, 1980, 1981—The opium trade is considered legal in India although illegal in China, the opium is never shipped on heard the East India India, although illegal in China; the opium is never shipped on board the East India Company's vessels; many do not know that the trade is illegal in China, 1982-2000.

Opium is sometimes sent to Singapore and the Straits, but even then in private merchant ships, 2001-2007—Before the trade was opened the Company's ships did not engage in the opium trade, 2008, 2009—Opium is used in India by the Malwarees, but not to any great extent; it is not forbidden either by the Hindoo or Mahomedan religion, 2010-2017—Witness's friend, who committed suicide, was a proud man, and his creditor demanded his money sharply, 2018-2020—All persons concerned in the trade will feel the disgrace of failing in their engagements very deeply, 2021, 2022— The Chinese had previously made seizures of opium, 2023-2028.

D.

Daniel Anthony. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—A partner in the house of Daniel & Co. of Canton, 1084, 1085—They were engaged in the opium trade chiefly on consignments, 1086–1088—They never traded within the Bogue, but outside and along the coast, 1089-1091-At the beginning of 1839 there was a great stagnation of the trade on

Daniell. Anthony. (Analysis of his Evidence)-continued.

account of the edicts of the viceroy, 1092, 1093—These were caused by the export of Sysee treasure, 1094—Commissioner Lin arrived on the 10th March, 1095—The foreign community expected that his demand for the surrender of the opium would be got over by some arrangement, 1096-1100—They had no power of sending the opium away after the 19th, when the edict was issued prohibiting foreigners from leaving Canton, 1101-1105—Conference on that day with the Hong merchants as to the surrender of the opium; meeting of the Chamber of Commerce on Thursday the 21st, and determination to give up 1,000 chests, to save the lives of two Hong merchants, 1106-

On Friday morning an answer came that this was not sufficient, and Mr. Dent was requested to go into the city; the guarantee of the Hong merchants for his safe return was rejected; determination of the community that he should not go without a guarantee from the commissioner; arrival of Captain Elliot, and circumstances afterwards occurring, 1110—The servants were ordered to leave half an hour afterwards; some had already left; the provisions were stopped on the Monday, 1111-1113—Most of the residents had laid in a store which would have lasted some time; there was no immediate danger of starvation, 1114-1122—The supply of water was cut off, but most persons had sufficient in their houses for immediate use, 1123-1137—The supply of provisions and water was cut off for a week, 1138-1142—The water in the wells was not wholesome, but was used merely for washing, 1143, 1144—The servants had been taken away in Lord Napier's time, 1145-1149.

There was much excitement amongst the foreign community, more especially with those who had lately arrived, 1150-1154—They were not aware of Captain Elliot's intentions until the requisition appeared, 1155-1158—Quantity of opium surrendered by witness, 1159—He believed that he should be remunerated, 1160—He should not have delivered his property without that pledge, which he supposed Captain Elliot had authority to give, 1161-1178—The community believed that he would have called upon them to surrender their property without having full powers under his instructions, 1179-1186—At a public meeting in December, Captain Elliot had stated that it was

not in his power to publish his instructions, 1187, 1188.

Two or three firms had placed their opium in charge of Mr. Johnston for safe custody on the Saturday previous to Captain Elliot's arrival, 1189–1191—Less than a chest of opium was at Canton, 1192, 1193—The merchants were greatly surprised at Captain Elliot's requisition, but did not hesitate to comply with it, 1194–1199—Cost per chest of the Patna, Benares, and Malwa opium; weight of chests, 1200–1205—No agreement was made with Captain Elliot as to the price to be paid, which was left to be settled afterwards, 1206-1210—There was no remonstrance against Captain Elliot's injunction, 1211-1213—The receiving ships at Lintin generally had nothing but opium on board; they could always go to sea at a short notice, 1214-1221—At first Captain Elliot expressed himself sorry that the 1,000 chests had been offered, and was

Captain Elliot expressed himself sorry that the 1,000 chests had been offered, and was understood to say that none should be given up, 1222-1225.

The foreign community were not in a state of very great alarm before Captain Elliot arrived, 1129-1234—What was chiefly apprehended was the irruption of the mob, who had come down from the country and were in the neighbourhood of the factory, 1235—The community expected that Mr. Dent would have to undergo imprisonment, but did not think his life would be in danger, 1236-1240—When they offered the 1,000 chests they thought the commissioner would be brought to terms, 1241-1253—On the 25th (Thursday) they signed an engagement to deal no more in opium, 1254, 1255—The commissioner hints in his edict the possibility of the mob breaking in upon them. -Until Captain Elliot's arrival they had not abandoned the hope of making terms with the Chinese; but it is difficult to say to what lengths the commissioner would have gone, 1260: 1272—Witness agrees with the opinion expressed in the

would have gone, 1260: 1272—Witness agrees with the opinion expressed in the memorial dated 23 May, as to the danger in which the community were when Captain Elliot forced his way up to Canton, 1273-1276—From what has since occurred, it seems that they were in real danger and jeopardy, 1277-1293.

Prices of Malwa and Patna opium in China from 18 July to 25 November 1838, 1294-1298—After the surrender of the opium the merchants were not allowed to take away their dollars; system of transfer adopted, 1299, 1300—About 50 chests of opium were consigned to witness's house the day before he left, which he supposes were disposed of along the coast, 1301-1314—There has been a considerable trade in opium since the surrender, 1315—The guarantee which was required of the commissioner in the case of Mr. Dent was that he should return within 24 hours, 1316—The resolution that he should not go was agreed to at a public meeting called by the Chamber of Comthat he should not go was agreed to at a public meeting called by the Chamber of Commerce, to which all had access, 1317-1321—The resolution was communicated by Mr. Dent to the Hong merchants and to two Chinese officers, 1322-1327—Witness has not heard of any negotiations of opium scrip, 1328-1333—It is the general opinion that the opium was destroyed 1924, 1927

opinion that the opium was destroyed, 1334, 1335.

Degradation of Hong Merchants. Return of Hong merchants from the city without their buttons and with chains round them, because Mr. Dent had not gone into the city, Inglis 23.—See also Dent, Mr. DENT C C 2 0.59.

DENT, MR.:

1. Narrative of Proceedings; Reasons of the Chinese for requiring his presence in the City, and of his refusal to go.
2. Unanimity of the Foreign Community in advising him not to go.

3. Proceedings of Captain Elliot with reference to Mr. Dent : their effect.

1. Narrative of Proceedings; Reasons of the Chinese for requiring his presence in the City, and of his refusal to go:

Demand made on Friday, March 22, that Mr. Dent should meet the commissioner; his willingness to go; causes of his delay; degradation of the Hong merchants in consequence; reasons for believing that he would be detained; his demand for security to return; messages and further proceedings, Inglis 23—The security demanded from the kwan-chow-foo (the chief magistrate of the city) was for Mr. Dent's immediate return, not for his safety, Inglis 34, 35—The guarantee required of the commissioner was that Mr. Dent should return in 24 hours, Daniell 1316—The belief was that he would be detained until the opium was delivered; he would have gone on any part of Saturday with the commissioner's guarantee, which, however, they did not expect to obtain, Inglis 43-48. 51-56.

Reasons for which the Chinese desired Mr. Dent in particular to come; he was known to be a kind-hearted man, and expected to work upon his feelings by tormenting his friends the Hong merchants, Inglis 51-56—They would have threatened to put the Hong merchants to death in order to work upon his feelings if other modes had failed, Inglis 616-619—If he had refused to give up the opium, they would only have kept him in confinement, Inglis 70-72—It was expected that he would suffer imprisonment, but not that his life would be in danger, Daniell 1236-1240—Mr. Matheson and Mr. Turner were mentioned as the next to be sent for; they wished Mr. Dent to take the first leap, hoping the others would follow, Inglis 635, 636—The commissioner asserted that Mr. Dent had 5,000 chests of opium; he had, however, only 1,700, while others gave up 7,000, Inglis 74-76.

2. Unanimity of the Foreign Community in advising him not to go t

Unanimous determination of the merchants with respect to the course to be pursued by Mr. Dent, Inglis 23—Not only partners in firms, but the whole foreign community agreed in the resolution that Mr. Dent ought not to go into the city, Inglis 488-492—It was the unanimous opinion of the merchants (with the exception of Mr. Inglis) that Mr. Dent, on account of the distrust entertained for the Chinese government, should not go into the city, Thacker 799-804—It was the unanimous opinion, not only of partners in firms engaged in the opium trade, but also of the foreigners generally, that Mr. Dent should not go, Thacker 1050-1056—The guarantee of the Hong merchants for his safe return was rejected; determination of the community that he should not go without a guarantee from the commissioner, Daniell 1110—The resolution that he should not go was agreed to at a public meeting called by the Chamber of Commerce. should not go was agreed to at a public meeting called by the Chamber of Commerce, to which all had access, Daniell 1317-1321.

3. Proceedings of Captain Elliot with reference to Mr. Dent; their effect:

Captain Elliot on his arrival commanded Mr. Dent, in the Queen's name, to come to his house, and taking him by the collar, carried him through a dense crowd, Inglis 137-145—Mr. Dent's movements were watched by 12 Chinamen, Inglis 145-148—If Captain Elliot had not arrived on the Sunday, Mr. Dent would have been forced to enter the city on Monday, Inglis 241-243. 248—The arrival of Capt. Elliot afforded the Chinese an excuse for getting out of their difficulty with Mr. Dent, Jardine 1480-1482. See also Penal Bonds. Powers of Captain Elliot.

Dent & Co. The firm was largely engaged in importing opium into China, Inglis 10

There being found a deficiency in the number of chests agreed to be surrendered, Dent & Co. supplied it from a ship lately arrived, and Captain Elliot agreed to pay for it either in money or in opium, quite distinct from the general indemnity, Inglis 332-342—The Treasury bills drawn by Captain Elliot apparently identify the transaction with the original surrender, and were not accepted, *Inglis* 343–346—The opium last sold to Captain Elliot would have been disposed of at a high price; the Treasury bills which were received in payment were remitted to the consignors at Bombay, Inglis 378-

Destruction of the Opium. Presumption in favour of its having been actually destroyed, arising from the character of Commissioner Lin, Inglis, 623-Two parties saw the process of destruction going on; Mr. King saw it; the only reason for supposing that it was not destroyed was a calculation that it could not have been done in the time stated, Inglis, 771-777.

Duress of the Community:

1. Its Nature and Extent; Danger, &c.

2. Alarm.

1. Its Nature and Extent; Danger, &c.:

The foreign community were not in duress, except that they were unable to leave Canton, when Captain Elliot arrived, *Inglis* 57-59—Before Captain Elliot's arrival and his seizure of Mr. Dent, the merchants had not been deprived of their servants and provisions, but then the whole community were placed in duress, Inglis 249-269—
They had wells within the factory, but usually supplied themselves from a spring outside; the streets were built up to prevent egress, Inglis 282-287—Extent of the danger in which the community were; the proceedings of Commissioner Lin were unlike any that had been adopted on former occasions, Inglis 537-595—Their confinement; extreme inconvenience suffered on account of the privation of servants,

Inglis 596-608.

The community, while under duress, were able to move from house to house, but were entirely in the power of the Chinese, Inglis 657-662—The servants had not left, and the provisions were not stopped until after Captain Elliot's arrival, Thacker 835-837—Everybody was imprisoned; whence it may be inferred that the proof of dealing in opium had nothing to do with their confinement, Thacker 1013, 1014.

The servants were ordered to leave half an hour after Captain Elliot's arrival or

The servants were ordered to leave half an hour after Captain Elliot's arrival on Sunday evening; some had already left; the provisions were stopped on Monday, Daniell 1110-1113—Most of the residents had laid in a store which would have lasted some time; there was no immediate danger of starvation, Daniell 1114-1122. The supply of provisions and water was cut off for a week, Daniell 1138-1142.

There was much excitement amongst the foreign community, more especially with

those who had lately arrived, Daniell 1150-1154.

The foreign community were not in a state of very great alarm before Captain liot arrived, Daniell 1129-1234—Witness agrees in the opinion expressed in the Elliot arrived, Daniell 1129-1234—Witness agrees in the opinion expressed in the memorial, 23d May, as to the danger in which the community were when Captain Elliot forced his way up to Canton, Daniell 1273-1276—Most of the residents had not been long at Canton; some who signed the memorial were not able to form a correct opinion as to the danger, Matheson 2411-2427.

Witness's partners had made over the whole of their opium to him, in order that no

compulsory measures at Canton should force them to deliver it, Matheson 2074-2081, 2091, 2092—If there had been any fear that Lin would detain the community at Canton no one would have been there, Matheson 2138-2140—The community were entirely in the power of the Chinese, Matheson 2375-2378.

See also Servants. Violence. Water.

Dutch Consul. See Foreign Community.

EAST INDIA COMPANY:

Their cognizance of the Opium Trade:

Witness has been applied to by the Board of Salt and Opium in Calcutta to know in what kind of package opium sold best in China, Jardine 1392-1394—The Company's ships sometimes brought opium to China; the practice was prohibited; it ocpany's snips sometimes brought opium to China; the practice was prohibited; it occurred more frequently from Bombay than from Calcutta; petition to the Bombay government on the subject, Jardine 1405-1422—Return of part of the purchase-money by the East India Company when merchants had sustained losses in the sale of opium in 1836, Jardine 1807-1812—Evidence of W. M. Fleming as to the return of money to merchants in 1825 for opium which had been unsaleable in China on account of the quality, App. p. 170—Their servants are cognizant of the fact that the opium sold at quality, App. p. 170—Their servants are cognizant of the fact that the opium sold at their sales is conveyed to China, but they are prohibited from having any dealings in that article, Rep. 8th Jan. 1830; App. p. 157—Extracts from despatches and enclosures in despatches from the Court of Directors to the Governor-general in Council, and from the Governor-general in Council to the Court of Directors, on the opium trade with China, App. p. 161, et seq.

Elliot, Captain. The day after his arrival all power of communicating with the Chinese was taken out of the hands of the merchants by Lin, Inglis 149—Witness, knowing Captain Elliot's character, expected his arrival in Canton directly he heard that his countrymen were in danger, Inglis 222-229—His address to the foreign community on his arrival; his confidence in acting on his own responsibility; he said nothing of the surrender of all the opium, and regretted that the 1,030 chests had been offered,

Thacker 822-825. See also Dent, Mr. ee also Dent, Mr. Duress of the Foreign Community. Expulsion of Foreigners. Indemnity. Instructions. Powers of Captain Elliot. Surrender. Violence, &c. &c.

H O.W

Execution, The (in front of the Factory). Collision between the foreigners and the mob at the attempted execution in front of the factory; the mob took part with the foreigners at the attempted execution in front of the factory, she made some part with the following at first, until one of them was struck, and then there was a general fight, Inglis 111-118

The execution was one of the many measures intended to intimidate the foreigners, Inglis 124-129—The execution was supposed to have been the act of the viceroy, and it was expected that the commissioner was coming to soften matters, Thacker 852

The execution in front of the factory was caused chiefly by the denial of the right of the Chinese to execute criminals there; the first that was attempted was for the purpose of giving effect to the edict against the opium trade, Jardine 1605-1611—Witness recollects the execution of four or five Chinese dealers in opium before that time. Jardine 1612-1615.

Expulsion of Foreigners from Canton. If Commissioner Lin had been allowed to carry out his own measures he would have banished all foreigners that he did not happen to like, Inglis 615—Mr. Dent was first ordered away, and, in a few days, Mr. Matheson; the whole 16 were soon ordered to follow; some were not connected with the opium trade; the names must have been given to the commissioner by the linguists, Inglis 630-638

—Frequent expulsion of Europeans; witness has been expelled a dozen times; Captain Elliot was too much alarmed at expulsion, Jardine 1623-1633.

F.

Fleming, W. M. Extract, from his evidence before the Committee on East India Affairs, in 1832, as to the return of money to merchants who had bought opium at the Company's sales, which proved unsaleable in China, App. p. 170.

Foreign Community. Number of Europeans in the factory when Captain Elliot arrived, Inglis 406. 629—Persons confined who were not interested in the opium trade; mis-Inglis 406. 629—Persons confined who were not interested in the opium trade; missionaries, American and Dutch consuls, and sailors; clerks in the offices; firm of Oliphant & Co., Inglis 437-452—So much weakness was never shown by Europeans as when Captain Elliot joined them, Jardine 1371-1383—They would have been united, and would have made a better bargain if they had been left to manage for themselves, Matheson 2379-2386.

Freshfield, J. W. jun. Papers delivered in by him, App. p. 157.

G.

GROWTH OF OPIUM:

- 1. In India.
- 2. In China.

Continual increase in the growth of opium in India, without reference to the demand in China, occasioned by the monopoly of the East India Company, liglis 455-472.

2. In China:

Quantities of opium grown in China; frequent edicts for its suppression, Jardine 1458, 1459. 1463—No measures were taken to destroy the poppy growing in China, while attempts were making to suppress the foreign trade, Jardine 1668-1670.

Η.

Heerjeeboy Rustomjee, a Parsee. Mistake in his giving up opium consigned to another house, which gave in an account of the same chests, thus causing a deficiency in the number to be given up to Commissioner Lin, Inglis 337-339.

Henry, Mr. Had never seen a chest of opium in his life, but was one of the sixteen persons expelled by the Chinese, Inglis 442.

Hong Merchants. On Thursday, March 21st, the Hong merchants acquainted the Chamber of Commerce that unless 1,000 chests of opium were given up next morning, two of them would lose their lives, *Inglis* 23—They are security to their own government that foreigners shall not be engaged in contraband traffic, *Inglis* 32, 33.

Some of them believed that Lin was coming to legalize the opium trade, while others said he would put a stop to it, Matheson 2037, 2038—Degree of confidence existing between the Chinese government and the Hong merchants, Matheson 2221-2232.

See also Degradation of the Hong Merchants. Dent, Mr. Howqua. Laws of China. Legalization of the Opium Trade. Mowqua.

Howqua. He was not consulted by witness's firm as to the intentions of the Chinese government; he is supposed to be linked with the Americans, with whom he has traded in partnership; he could not be depended upon, Matheson 2194-2205—In business transactions he was trustworthy, but not in political matters; he has shipped tea largely to England lately, through the Americans, Matheson 2216-2220.

I.

Imperial Edicts. The edicts from Pekin against the opium trade never caused a belief that it was intended to suppress the trade, Jardine 1460-1462—General disregard of imperial edicts; export of Sysee silver in spite of them; they are sent to Canton for publication, but the local authorities neither translated them nor served them upon Europeans, Jardine 1473-1475—Intimate acquaintance with the state of the opium trade displayed in the edicts, Jardine 1596-1604—Correspondence with the Court of Directors, showing the character of Chinese edicts, and the disregard of them by the East India Company's supracargoes, App. p. 171. East India Company's supracargoes, App. p. 171.

INDEMNITY FOR THE OPIUM SURRENDERED:

- 1. Evidence showing that the Opium was surrendered on account of the reliance of Merchants upon Captain Elliot's Engagement.
 2. Confirmatory Claims to Indemnity.
- 3. Cost Price only of the Opium demanded.

1. Evidence showing that the Opium was surrendered on account of the reliance of Merchants upon Captain Elliot's Engagement:

Conference with Captain Elliot on the indemnity to be given for opium surrendered to his order; his conviction that he was authorized to give it, Inglis 150-168—If he had not guaranteed indemnity, the merchants would have tried to make what terms they could with the Chinese, Inglis 178, 179—The merchants thought themselves justified, as agents, in giving up the opium under indemnity; pecuniary liability of any merchant who should have declined to do so, Inglis 183-186, 197-204—The merchants had an undoubting belief that Captain Elliot's engagement would be acknowledged at home, Inglis 407-413. 419-424—Captain Elliot held out to witness a positive expectation of payment as soon as he arrived in England, Thacker 863, 864.

The perfect reliance of merchants upon Captain Elliot's engagement illustrated by a case in which a person authorized witness to size up arrived by a large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person and the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the large part of the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized witness to size up a reliable by the person authorized by the person authoriz

case in which a person authorized witness to give up opium which he had lent money upon, and afterwards repaid the money, *Thacker* 906—916——When the offer of indemnity had been made, witness preferred delivering his opium to the uncertainty of disposing of it in any other way, Thacker 1073-1083—Witness surrendered his opium upon the pledge of indemnity, without which he would not have done so; he supposed Captain Elliot had authority to give it, Daniell 1161-1178—Witness's firm would not have given up their opium, either to the Chinese or to Captain Elliot, without payment, Jardine 1832-1835.

2. Confirmatory Claims to Indemnity:

The claims for indemnity rest upon Captain Elliot's engagement; and the sanction of our own authorities at home and in India, and the connivance of the Chinese, put opium upon the same footing as any other trade, Jardine 1616-1622. 1634--Even if the trade had been avowedly contraband, the positive engagement of Captain Elliot would entitle the parties who surrendered their opium to compensation, Malcolmson 1929-1931.

3. Cost Price only of the Opium demanded:

The claim for indemnity would be limited to the actual cost of the opium up to the time of the surrender, exclusive of any profit, *Inglis* 493-498—No agreement was made as to the price to be paid, which was settled afterwards, *Daniell* 1206-1210—No more than the cost price was fixed upon as the limit of compensation, as there had been

no market price for some months, Matheson 2400-2402.

See also Bombay Merchants. Certificates of Surrender. Compensation from the Chinese.

Powers of Captain Elliot. Surrender of Opium. Powers of Captain Elliot.

Inglis, Robert. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—Resident in Canton since 1823; partner in the house of Dent & Co., 3-5—Was on the point of embarking when the embargo was put upon the passage-boats, 6, 7—Opposition of the linguists to witness's departure for a week previous to the issue of the edict, 7-9, 21, 22—Dent's house was largely engaged in importing opium into China, 10—Commissioner Lin arrived at Canton on the 10th March, and his first edict was published on the 18th, 10-12— Witness believes that at first he intended to pay for the opium, but changed his mind on finding that there were 20,000 chests, 13-18—The edict ordered the opium to be delivered up in three days; the Chamber of Commerce told the Hong merchants that

they wished for time to consider of it, 19, 20.

Statement from the Hong merchants that 1,000 chests must be delivered, or that two of them were to lose their lives; demand made on Friday that Mr. Dent should meet the Commissioner; his willingness to go; the delay arose from his desire to know for what purpose he was required; return of the Hong merchants on Saturday degraded, because Mr. Dent had not gone; unanimous determination of the merchants that he should not go; proof that it was intended to detain him; his demand for security that he should return; mission of merchants with this answer; two mandarius came to Mr. Dent's house, who answered for his safety; adherence of the foreign merchants to CC4 0.59.

Inglis, Robert. (Analysis of his Evidence)-continued.

their original determination; some of them returned to the Consoo-house, and thence witness, with Mr. Thom, the interpreter, and some others, went into the city; their conference with four government officers, who insisted upon Mr. Dent's coming; conversation of Mr. Thom with an officer of the commissioner; arrival of Captain Elliot on Sunday evening, the day before Mr. Dent was finally ordered to enter the city, 23-The only knowledge Captain Elliot had of these circumstances was from a letter of Mr. Astell, 24—Messrs. Bell, on Saturday, the 23d, gave their opium into the charge of Mr. Johnston, the second superintendent, who accepted it without any guarantee,

Reasons for believing that it was at first the intention of the Chinese government to y for the opium, 30, 31—The Hong merchants are security to their own governpay for the opium, 30, 31ment that the foreigners shall not be engaged in contraband traffic, 32, 33security demanded from the kwang-chow-foo was for Mr. Dent's immediate return, not for his safety, 34, 35—Had Mr. Dent gone into the city, his firm would have made for his safety, 34, 35—Had Mr. Dent gone into the city, his firm would have made over their opium to Mr. Johnston, placing it beyond their own control, 36-42—The belief was that he would be detained until the opium was delivered; he would have gone

on any part of Saturday with the Commissioner's guarantee, 43-48.

Reasons for which the Chinese selected Mr. Dent in particular to come, 49, 50-The foreign merchants did not expect that Lin would give the guarantee required, 51-The foreign community were not in duress, except that they could not leave Canton, when Captain Elliot arrived, 57-59—Witness entertained no apprehensions for his own safety, but the merchants advised him not to go; Captain Elliot blamed him for going, 60-66—The object of the Chinese was to induce Mr. Dent to sign penal bonds, going, 60-66—The object of the Chinese was to induce Mr. Dent to sign penal bonds, for which they would have rewarded him, 68, 69—If he had refused to give up the opium, they would only have kept him in confinement, 70-72—Captain Thacker and Mr. Daniell gave up their opium to Mr. Johnston, 73—The commissioner asserted that Mr. Dent had 5,000 chests of opium, but he had only 1,700, while others gave up 7,000, 74-76—Dent & Co., and most probably all the other merchants, would have surrendered their opium to the superintendent to save themselves from responsibility,

77-84.

Conduct of the Chinese mob; their excitement and curiosity when witness was conducted through the streets, 85-110—The mob and the foreign community had come into collision at the execution in front of the factory, 111-118—They exulted at the sight of a foreigner humbled, as witness seemed to be, 119, 120—In ordinary times a British merchant at Canton would be exposed to crowding; Captain Elliot was knocked down in full uniform, 121-123—The execution was one of many measures intended to intimidate the foreigners, 124-129—Conversation of witness with Mr. Johnston as to the propriety of his taking charge of the opium, 130-136—Captain Elliot on his arrival commanded Mr. Dept. in the Onem's name to come to his house, and taking arrival commanded Mr. Dent, in the Queen's name, to come to his house, and taking him by the collar, carried him through a dense crowd, 137-145—Mr. Dent's movements were watched by twelve Chinamen; 145-148—On the Monday all power of communicating with the Chinese was taken out of the hands of the merchants by Cap-

On Tuesday Captain Elliot stated his intention of demanding all the opium to be given up, and of engaging to indemnify the owners; he felt no doubt as to his authority to do so; his communications with witness and Mr. Braine upon the subject, 150-168--The order for the surrender of the opium met with the general concurrence of the holders of it, as they felt that they would otherwise have to give it up to the Chinese, 169-177—If Captain Elliot had not guaranteed indemnity, the merchants would have tried to make what terms they could with the Chinese, 178, 179—Witness recollects no remonstrance, 180-182—The merchants thought themselves justified, as agents

no remonstrance, 180–182—The merchants thought themselves justified, as agents for others, in giving up the opium under indemnity, 183–186—Ignorance of the merchants as to extent of Captain Elliot's authority, 187–196—They surrendered the opium, relying upon being paid the stipulated indemnity; pecuniary liability of any merchant who should have declined to do so, 197–204.

It was believed to be the intention of the Chinese, three the Hong merchants, to pay for the opium, but the quantity was found to be too great, 205–216—If Captain Elliot had proposed to surrender 10,000 or 20,000 chests on receiving the value, Lin would not have agreed, 217–221—Witness knowing Captain Elliot's character, expected his arrival in Canton directly he heard that his countrymen were in danger, 222–229—If he had not arrived on Sunday, Mr. Dent would have been forced to enter the city on Monday; the Chinese intended to get the merchants, one after another, into their power, and to make them give up their opium and sign bonds, 230–248 into their power, and to make them give up their opium and sign bonds, 230-248.

279-281—Before Captain Elliot's arrival, and his seizure of Mr. Dent, the merchants had not been described of their contents. had not been deprived of their servants and provisions, but then the whole community were placed in duress, 249-269—Captain Elliot and the merchants thought Lin was prepared to go any lengths, and this opinion has been confirmed by experience; they dreaded violence, 270-278—They had wells within the factory, but usually supplied themselves from a spring outside, 282-286—The streets were built up to prevent egress, 287. . No

Inglis, Robert. (Analysis of his Evidence)—continued.

No mention had been made of bonds at that time, and Captain Elliot could have known nothing of them, 288-290—The younger residents were most alarmed, but, as it appears, justly, 291+297—It was Lin's intention to put restrictions upon the legal trade, and to revive the "old regulations," 297-305—After Captain Elliot's order, and much negociation with the Chinese Government, each merchant communicated the amount of opium in his possession, 306-312—Previous to Captain Elliot's proclamation, the principal merchants engaged to sign a bond that they would not enter into the opium trade any more, 313-315—They signed a letter to Captain Elliot to that effect, which he forwarded to the Chinese; at that time they had not suffered much practical inconvenience, 316-331, 347-350—There being found a deficiency in the number of chests agreed to be surrendered, Dent & Co. supplied it from a ship just arrived, and Captain Elliot agreed to pay for it, either in money or in opium, quite distinct from the general indemnity, 332-342—The Treasury bills apparently identify the transaction with the original surrender, and were not accepted, 343-346.

Captain Elliot agreed to pay for it, either in money or in opium, quite distinct from the general indemnity, 332-342—The Treasury bills apparently identify the transaction with the original surrender, and were not accepted, 343-346.

The greater part of the opium belonged to native merchants at Bombay; losses that they will suffer, 347-364—The prices of opium have since been very high, and large fortunes are being made, 365-371—Nearly the whole of the 20,000 chests were at Lintin and other places out of the reach of the Chinese, but within their jurisdiction, and that of Captain Elliot, 372-377—The opium last sold to Captain Elliot by Dent & Co. would have sold at a high price; the Treasury bills which they received in payment were remitted to the consignors at Bombay, 378-384—Many of the smaller merchants were ruined by the surrender of the opium, and rich speculators derived the profit arising from the subsequent high prices, 385-392—The opium trade has generally been a very uncertain one, and changed hands very much, 393-395—European houses in Calcutta have been very little engaged in it, 396-398—Remittances were

generally made to Canton as advances on opium and cotton, 399, 400——Five hundred dollars a chest was agreed to be about the average price of opium at Canton at the time of the surrender, 401-405.

Number of Europeans in the factory when Captain Elliot arrived, 406—The merchants had an undoubting belief that Captain Elliot's arrangement would be acknowledged at home, 407-413. 419-424—The Orders in Council and part of his instructions had been published, and his powers had been canvassed, 414-418—All the opium belonged to British subjects; the Americans who had any of it surrendered it as British property, 425-436—Persons confined by the Chinese who were not interested in the opium trade, 437-452—Doubts as to the powers of Captain Elliot, 453, 454—Continual increase in the growth of opium in India, without reference to the demand in China, 455-472—The certificates given by Captain Elliot were not sold as negociable securities, except at Bombay as "opium scrip" at a very great discount; Captain Elliot's power was doubted, 473-477.

[Second Examination.]—Captain Elliot made no communication of Lord Palmerston's instructions as to the liability of merchants for losses incurred in illegal traffic, 478-481—It was a common practice for the Chinese to threaten a stoppage of the legal trade; their laws with reference to trade, 482-487—Agreement of the foreign community as to their proceedings, 488-492—Average prices of opium; great fluctuations of the trade; perpetual variations in price; causes thereof, 488-536—Extent of the danger in which the community were at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival; unlike any previous occasion, 537-595—Their confinement; extreme inconvenience suffered on account of the privation of servants, 596-608—No course was left but the delivery of the opium; intentions of the Chinese relative to Mr. Dent, 609 619. 635-638—The possibility of trading in conformity with the bond proposed by Lin, 620—Ulterior views of the commissioner, 621, 622. 639-644.

Notoriety of the opium trade; entries in the price current, 645-656—When under duresse, the merchants could move from house to house, 657-662—Reason for thinking that Lin at first intended to pay for the opium, 663-668—Connivance of Tang, the Governor of Canton, and other authorities, at the opium trade, 669-686—Proceedings of Governor Yuen in 1820-23 with reference to the opium trade, 687-689—Interruption to the regular trade caused by Commissioner Lin, 690-694—State of Chinese laws affecting foreigners, 695-706—Their power of confiscating opium outside the Bogue, 707-736—Mode of conducting the trade by means of orders to the ships, 736-742—Captain Elliot applied Lord Palmerston's instructions solely to the smuggling trade within the Bogue, 743-747—Intentions of merchants in placing their opium in charge of the superintendent, before the arrival of Captain Elliot, 748-765.

The opium trade is still continuing; the Chinese government have taken no measures to put down the consumption, 766-769—Reasons for believing that Commissioner Lin actually destroyed all the opium, 771-777—He is supposed to have come from Pekin with absolute powers, and with general instructions to put down the opium trade, 778, 779.

At a public meeting in December 1838, Captain Elliot Instructions to Captain Elliot. stated that it was not in his power to publish his instructions, Daniell 1187, 1188.

See also Powers of Captain Elliot.

Insurance of Opium. The premium of insurance upon opium from Calcutta to Canton is two and a half per cent., Inglis 511—General rate of insurance for opium and other goods lying at sea in China, Thacker, 871-879.

Intestates' Effects. See Powers of Captain Elliot.

Jardine, William. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—Was resident at Canton from 1820 to 1839, and was backwards and forwards from 1802 to 1818, 1336, 1337—Left Canton 31st January 1839, 1338—No one who had resided long in China could have expected that Lin would act as he did, 1341-1343—Average cost of Malwa and Bengal opium, 1344-1350—Actual cost of the opium surrendered by witness's house, 1351, 1352—The viceroy had taken severe measures to suppress the opium trade, on account of an edict from the emperor, long before the commissioner's arrival, 1353-1356 -It is believed that Lin was in the vicinity incog. before he commenced his public operations, 1357—Witness never saw violence used by the Chinese government towards Europeans, but occasionally by the mob, or by a soldier on dufy, 1358, 1359—There was no danger to life or property when Lin's edict was issued; if there had been more resistance, his measures would have been less severe, 1360-1362-He would have bought a certain quantity of opium, to save his credit with the emperor, and the rest he would have sent away, 1363-1369.

The trade has existed for some months within the Bocca Tigris; the opium is brought up in boats to Whampoa, 1370—So much weakness was never shown by Europeans as when Captain Elliot joined them, 1371-1383—In Lord Napier's time they were imprisoned, and his Lordship's provisions were taken away, 1385-1388—He brought a force up as far as Whampoa, where he was stopped by sunk vessels, 1389, 1390— A pass was at length obtained for Lord Napier, by a surgeon and witness, on the ground of ill health, 1391——Witness has been applied to by the Board of Salt and Opium in Calcutta, to know in what kind of package opium sold the best, 1392-1394—In 1821 there was an interruption of trade, and the ships were expelled from Whampoa; no opium was seized; the ships were prepared to resist a search, 1395-1404—The Company's ships sometimes brought opium to China; the practice was prohibited; it occurred more frequently from Bombay than from Calcutta; petition to the Bombay

government upon the subject, 1405-1422.

The authorities in Canton knew every house engaged in the opium trade by name, Since 1821 the ships have been continually ordered from one anchorage to another, 1424-1427—The custom-house department does not extend beyond the Bocca Tigris, 1428-1430—The opium trade was the safest in China; the money was always paid before the order on the ships was given, 1431—The trade was publicly known to the highest Chinese authorities, 1432-1435—In 1826 the Hong merchants believed that the emperor intended to legalize the trade, levying a small duty, 1436, 1437—The viceroy at Canton had four boats carrying opium, with his flag, 1439— The viceroy at Canton had four boats carrying optum, with his hag, 1439——The ineffectual edicis issued from Pekin prove the connivance of the emperor, 1440— 1445—The discovery that bullion was leaving the country induced him to act upon a different principle, 1457—Quantities of opium grown in China; frequent edicts for its suppression, 1458, 1459. 1463—The imperial edicts never caused a belief that it was intended to suppress the trade, 1460-1462.

Second Examination.]—Statements of the prices of opium, 1464-1468 opium was delivered up to Captain Elliot which had been previously sold, 1469-1472 General disregard of imperial edicts; export of sysee silver in spite of them, 1473-1475—The export of sysee silver was recognized by the chief supercargo of the East India Company, 1476–1479—The arrival of Captain Elliot afforded the Chinese an excuse for getting out of their difficulty with Mr. Dent, 1480-1482—If the East India Company had made a similar demand to that of Captain Elliot, witness would have obeyed it, as they would have been responsible in a court of law, 1483, 1484—Fluctuations of price in the opium trade; frequent losses sustained, 1485-1493—The fluctuations were caused partly by the supply and demand, and partly by the proceedings -Moral objections of certain merchants to engage in the of the Chinese, 1493, 1494opium trade, 1495-1502.

Edicts of the Chinese ugainst the introduction of Christianity and the dissemination of tracts; voyage of Mr. Medhurst in the Huron; opposition encountered by him, 1503--Mr. Medhuist resided many years in Java, where opium was much consumed, 1513—Mr. Medhust resided many years in Java, where optum was much consumed, 1514-1516—The viceloy's boats carried on the smuggling trade between Lintin and Canton for eighteen months, till near the time of Lin's arrival, 1517-1534—There were occasional sham fights, to make it appear that the authorities did their duty, 1535, 1536 The measures of the viceroy became stricter in 1838, before the edict arrived in December, 1537-1548-His own boats were gradually put down, and inferior man-· darins

Johnston,

Report, 1840-continued.

Jardine, William. (Analysis of his Evidence)-continued.

darins carried on the trade, 1549-1552—The European boats always carried arms to protect themselves from robbery, 1555-1557—The government schooners never attacked European vessels, 1558-1564—Circumstances attending the seizure of Mr. Just's boat, 1565-1568—It was running at the same time as the viceroy's boats, and interfering with his trade, 1569, 1570.

Accuracy of Captain Elliot's statement that the number of European boats had "vastly increased," and that conflicts were frequent, disputed, 1571-1578—Notoriety of the fact of the viceroy's boats being used, 1579-1583—He is said to have made 13,000 taels on each boat per month, part of which went as presents to the imperial treasury, 1584-1588—It is not supposed to have been paid as part of the proceeds of the opium traffic, 1589—Very few measures were taken for suppressing the opium trade before December 1838; now and then an opium broker had been seized, 1590-1592—When Governor Yuen ordered the vessels away from Whampoa, the Hong merchants stated that the trade might still be carried on quietly, 1593-1595—Intimate acquaintance with the state of the opium trade displayed in the imperial edicts, 1596-1604—The execution in front of the factory was caused chiefly by the denial of the right of the Chinese to execute criminals there; the first execution which was attempted was for the purpose of giving effect to the edict against the opium trade, 1605-1611—Witness recollects four or five executions of Chinese dealers in opium previous to that time, 1612-1615.

The claims for indemnity rest upon Captain Elliot's engagement, and the sanction of our own authorities at home and in India; and the connivance of the Chinese put opium upon the same footing as any other trade, 1616–1622. 1634—Frequent expulsions of Europeans; witness has been expelled a dozen times, 1623–1632—Captain Elliot was too much alarmed at expulsion, 1633—The desire expressed by the Chinese, that Captain Elliot should refer home as to sending away the opium ships, was not viewed as a proof of their earnestness, 1635–1657—In December 1838 witness began to apprehend that they were serious in their intentions to put down the trade, 1658–1662—The emperor might have had a large revenue from opium, 1663—Every vessel might have been searched within the Bogue; there would have been no danger of bloodshed, 1663–1667—No measures were taken to destroy the poppy growing in China, while attempts were making to suppress the foreign trade, 1668–1670.

2,000,000 persons out of the 300,000,000 in China could consume all the opium, 1671-1673—The opium pipe is as common in Fokein as the tobacco pipe at Canton, 1674, 1675—The Chinese might limit smuggling on the coast very much if they pleased, although they would have to contend with many difficulties, 1676-1689—Opium smoking, in moderation, is not injurious; spirits are more dangerous to morality and health; case of witness's compadore who had charge of the cash, and smoked for 30 or 40 years, 1690-1702. 1713-1716—Refinement of opium for smoking; it can neither be refined or smoked without being detected by the smell, 1703-1709—Smoking divans described, 1710-1712—Retail price of opium, 1717—There is more dram drinking in England than smoking of opium in China, 1718-1725—The Chinese prefer refining the opium themselves, 1726-1729—The Turkey opium is sometimes chewed, 1730-1733.

Instructions given to commanders of ships for their defence, 1734-1738— Murder is the only crime for which foreigners are punished with death, 1739— The Chinese have the right of confiscation within the Bocca Tigris, 1740-1755— Lintin is in the outer waters and not within Chinese jurisdiction; position of the anchorage; the admiral has instructions to prevent smuggling there, 1756-1795— The surrender of the opium will be utter ruin to many of the Parsees in Bombay and Calcutta, 1796-1798— The fall in the price of opium caused other parties to engage in the trade, 1799-1801— Commercial distress at Bombay; complaints of breach of faith on the part of Government, 1802— The trade has since been going on briskly along the coast, but has been conducted by a lower class of men, 1803-1806.

Return of part of the purchase-money by the East India Company when merchants sustained a loss in the sale of opium in 1836, 1807-1812—Reasons assigned by witness's firm for not signing the address to Lord Palmeiston, 1813-1816—Much opium was surrendered which was on the coast, not ever at Lintin, but beyond all doubt out of the reach of the Chinese, 1817-1831—Witness's firm would not have given up their opium either to the Chinese or to Captain Elliot without payment, 1832-1835—Although Captain Elliot was told that part of the opium, of which an account was given him, might have been sold since the last advices, he gave the account without any deduction to the Commissioner, and was afterwards obliged to purchase more to supply the deficiency, 1819, 1836-1841.

Jardine, Matheson & Co. Claims of Jardine, Matheson & Co. for indemnity for opium surrenders, App. p. 183.

Jauncy, Captain. Had sold several chests at 750 dollars a chest, in the straits of Nano, which were afterwards delivered up to Captain Elhot, Jardine 1469-1472.

0.59.

Johnston, Mr., (Second Superintendent.) Delivery of opium into his charge by Messrs. Bell, for safe custody, before Captain Elliot's arrival at Canton; witness's intention to do the same, if Mr. Dent had gone into the city, in order to put it beyond their own control, Inglis 24-28. 36-42—Captain Thacker and Mr. Daniell gave up their opium to Mr. Johnston, Inglis 73—Dent & Co., and most probably all the other merchants, would have surrendered their opium to the superintendent to save themselves from responsibility, Inglis 77-84—Conversation of witness with Mr. Johnston, who said when he knew that Captain Elliot had desired the ships to put themselves under the command of Captain Blake, he had no difficulty about his course of acting, Inglis 130-136—The merchants desired to throw upon him the responsibility of giving up the opium, in case that should be unavoidable, by placing it in his charge, Inglis 748-765.

Before Captain Elliot's arrival, witness had given up his opium to Mr. Johnston, for safer custody; correspondence with Mr. Johnston, Thacker 793. 805-821—Witness had a strong apprehension that he might be obliged to surrender his opium to the Chinese, when he gave it in charge to Mr. Johnston, Thacker 917-937—Two or three houses had placed their opium in charge of Mr. Johnston, for sate custody, on the Saturday, Daniell 1189-1191.

Just, Mr. Circumstances attending the seizure of his boat by the Chinese; it was running at the same time as the viceroy's, and interfering with his trade, Jurdine 1565-1570.

K.

Kam-Sing-Moon. The Chinese succeeded in banishing their ships thence, and erected batteries to prevent them from returning, Jardine 1795.

Keating, Mr. See Powers of Captain Elliot.

Ì.

Laws of China. No penalty attached to Europeans previous to Commissioner Lin's arrival at Canton, except that of death for murder, and suspension of trade for disobedience to Chinese law, Inglis 695-696, 701-706——Murder is the only crime for which foreigners are punished with death, Jardine 1739——The laws are only made known to foreigners through the Hong merchants; they are all so disregarded that the law can be only gathered from practice, Matheson 2233-2255.

See also Imperial Edicts, Tariff.

Legal Trade with China. It was Commissioner Lin's intention to put restrictions upon the legal trade, and to renew the old regulations; vexatious regulations issued by him for the Chinese trade, Inglis 297-305—It would have been impossible to carry on the legal trade, under the regulations which Commissioner Lin intended to propose, Inglis 620-622—Interruption caused by Lin to the regular trade; 13,000,000 pounds of tea were left at Canton, which would have been shipped during the time the foreigners were in confinement, Inglis 690-694—It has been a common practice of the Chinese since 1820 to threaten a stoppage of the legal trade, if their edicts were not obeyed by foreigners; their laws concerning foreign trade, Inglis 482-487.

Legalization of the Opium Trade. In 1826 the Hong merchants believed that the emperor intended to legalize the trade, levying a small duty, Jardine 1436, 1437—It was believed that when the Government found it impossible to stop the trade they would legalize it, Matheson 2180-2186.

Lin, Commissioner. He arrived at Canton on the 10th of March, and his first edict was published on the 18th, Inglis 10-12—He is supposed to have come from Pekin with absolute powers, and with general instructions to put down the opium trade. Inglis 778, 779—The community expected that the commissioner was coming to remove obstructions to the trade until they observed the servants removing their things from the factory, Thacker 794-798—Some of the Hong merchants said he was coming to legalize the trade, and others that he would stop it; belief that he would make inquiries, and act according to the result of them, Matheson 2037-2040—No one who had resided long in China and was acquainted with the character of the people could have expected that Lin would act as he did, Jardine 1341-1343—It is believed that he was in the vicinity, incog. gaining information, before he commenced his public operations, Jardine 1357.

See also Compensation from the Chinese: Dent, Mr. Destruction of the Opium. Surrender of the Opium. &c.

Lintin:

How far the Ships were within the Jurisdiction or the Power of the Chinese; position of the Anchorage, &c.:

Nearly the whole of the 20,000 chests were at Lintin and other places, out of the reach of the Chinese, but within their jurisdiction and that of Captain Elliot, Inglis 372-377—The opium ships are not in any way in the power of the Chinese; the custom-house officers have never visited them, Inglis 624-627—The Chinese custom-house department does not extend beyond the Bocca Tigris, Jardine 1428-1430—It is in the outer waters and not within Chinese jurisdiction; position of the anchorage; the admiral has instructions to prevent smuggling there, Jardine 1756-1795.

The receiving ships were out of the control of the Chinese; those out at sea (from which some opium was delivered) were out of their knowledge, Matheson 2069-2073 The Chinese had no right to search British vessels at Lintin or on the coast; it id have been an act of hostile aggression, Matheson 2275-2287——If the ships had would have been an act of hostile aggression, Matheson 2275-2287—If the ships had not been considered under the protection of the British authorities, they would have been sent away, Matheson 2294-2300----It was the duty of the superintendent to protect British subjects at Canton from having their property in the outer seas extorted from them by violence, *Thacker* 938–946. 962–977. 981–990—The opium ships seldom anchored between Lintin and the main land, but on the outside of the island, moving from one side to another of a point according to the wind, Matheson 2302-2305—The ships could have defended themselves against any Chinese force without

the aid of a British ship of war, Matheson 2306-2308.

The Hong merchants advised that the ships should not be sent away, as Lin would be better pleased to say he had driven them off, Matheson 2131-2137-Elliot had sent away the ships, a long negotiation would have ensued, which would have

ended in a compromise, Matheson 2347-2356. 2371-2374.

See also Receiving Ships.

M.

Mackenzie, Holt. Evidence before the Finance Sub-committee on East India Affairs, 1832, as to the revenue to the Indian government arising from the opium trade, App. p.

Malcolmson, James. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—Partner in the house of Forbes, Forbes & Co., 1842—Agents for Parsee and Hindoo merchants in Bombay and Canton, 1843–1845—Severe effect of the withholding the opium indemnity upon the internal trade of Bombay generally, 1846–1849—Shipments of specie to Calcutta instead of native bills, 1849—Mutual forbearance between debtor and creditor, in expectation that the indemnity will be paid, 1850-1852—No doubt was felt that Government would fulfil the engagements of its functionary, 1853-1855—Very little opium has since been exported from Bombay; that consumed in China has gone from Calcutta; much opium is lying at Bombay, 1856-1863—On the refusal of the Government to pay, the merchants expected much from Parliament, 1864-1868—The most disastrous consequences will appear if Parliament also refuse 1860—An understanding that comconsequences will ensue if Parliament also refuse, 1869--An understanding that compensation is to be forced from the Chinese would tend to avert such consequences, 1870-1876.

The Parsees have not the means of referring immediately to our laws, but trust the execution of them to Government, 1879-They are increasing in education, wealth, and influence, 1880–1882—Two parties interested have committed suicide in consequence of the disappointment, 1833, 1884—Some have since engaged in the opium trade to a small extent, 1885, 1886—The declaration of Lord J. Russell will have a tendency to allay their fears, but they will expect something more definite, 1887, 1888 -Letters from Bombay merchants on the subject of compensation, and their undoubted right to it; encouragement given to the opium trade by the Indian government, 1889-1893—There was a general feeling at Bombay that Captain Elliot's bills would not be

honoured, 1894–1898.

Danger of further suicides; men of high spirit and ancient family cannot bear the disgrace of appealing to the insolvent law, 1902-If an immediate settlement of their claims cannot be made, an immediate acknowledgment of them will save their credit, and continue the forbearance of their creditors, 1899-1918--Price at which opium scrip was sold at Calcutta, 1919–1923—Letter concerning the anxiety of the East India Company to increase the opium trade, 1924—At Bombay no attempt was made to negotiate opium scrip, 1926–1928—Even if the opium trade had been avowedly sontraband, the positive engagement of Captain Elliot would entitle the parties who surrendered their opium to compensation, 1929-1931.

[Second Examination.]—Difficulty of getting the cotton crops to market from the want of the usual advances; quantities in 1840, from 1st January to 29th March, as compared with the same period in 1839; high prices consequent upon the limited supply, 1932-1935-The loss of the opium has not been felt so much at Calcutta as at Bombay D_D3 12215

Malcolmson, James. (Analysis of his Evidence)-continued.

Bombay, the trade in other articles being more extensive, 1936 - The export of opium from Calcutta is very frequently on Bombay account; the Bombay native merchants have been of late years large operators in both markets, 1937, 1938.

Malwa Opium. Increased quantity produced since the cessation of the East India Company's monopoly; it has been nearly all in the hands of Babadur Mull and others, Inglis 466-469—Immense fluctuations in the price; the crop is precarious, and there are no means of ascertaining what it is until the opium arrives at Bombay, *Inglis* 534-536—Price per chest at Bombay, February 1839, *Thacker* 784, 785—The natives finding, after the news from China had reached India, that there was no market for opium at Bombay, sent it to the coast of China on their own account, and realized a good price, Matheson 2154--Statement of purchases made by Jardine, Matheson & Co. in Canton, from July to November 1838, App. p. 186. See also Consumption of Opium. Prices of Opium.

Mandarins. The journey of a mandarin to Pekin has been stated to raise the price of opium, on account of 100 or 200 chests being smuggled in his baggage, Colquhoun 527--See also Connivance of Authorities at the Opium Trade.

Marjoribanks, C. Evidence before the Committee on East India Affairs, 1830riety of the opium trade; publication of prices; mode of conducting the trade; seizure of smuggling boats in the river; variance of practice and profession in China; connivance of the inferior, authorities at the opium trade; opium finds its way into the imperial palace, App. p. 157.

Matheson, Alexander. (Analysis of his Evidence.)-Partner in the house of Jardine, Matheson & Co., 2029—Has been at Canton since 1826, 2030—Left Canton 12th March 1839, to be ready to send away the opium ships if it should be judged expedient, 2033-2036—Some of the Hong merchants believed that Lin was coming to legalize the opium trade, while others said he would put a stop to it, 2037, 2038— Witness's house believed that he would inquire into the proceedings of the viceroy, and act upon the facts he discovered, 2039, 2040—When his edict appeared, March 18th, witness sent away two ships, one with 1,000, and the other with 2,400 chests, and was transhipping the cargo of a third, containing 3,000, when he received fresh orders, 2041--His firm had surrendered all their opium to Captain Elliot, and he recalled the

ships, which were quite beyond Chinese jurisdiction, 2049-2053.

The Portuguese had opium at Macao, which they sent away; they did not surrender a chest; the demand was made by the Chinese, 2054——It was afterwards sold at a very large profit, on the east coast of China, 2059-2061——Some opium belonging to with party by the court of the return ground in the Captain Filler was made good. witness's house had been sold, after the return given in to Captain Elliot was made good, 2062-2068—The receiving-ships at Lintin were out of the control of the Chinese, but when out at sea they were removed from the knowledge of the Chinese, 2069-2073-The firm had made over the whole to witness individually, in order that no compulsory measures should force the partners then in Canton to deliver it, 2074-2081—They conceived themselves bound to obey the order of Captain Elliot, but not of the Chinese, -They felt bound to act with perfect good faith to the English Government, 2082, 2083 and not to practise the evasion they had intended for the Chinese, 2084-2090-Witness had been left to his own discretion as to giving up the opium to the Chinese, 2091, -One of the ships that had been sent away was to return in a month to Taitam Bay, at the mouth of Canton River, 2093-2095.

A large proportion of the opium is exported on account of merchants at Bombay; nearly a third of the Bengal opium belongs to them, 2096-2101—All classes of merchants at Bombay were affected by the surrender of the opium, 2103, 2104—Their creditors abstained from pressing them for payment, believing that compensation would be paid, 2105—The natives were very sanguine of being paid, 2106—They had always placed the most implicit reliance upon the word of the Government servants, whose acts they could not believe would be disavowed, 2107-2110—Witness is not aware that any opium scrip was sold at Bombay, 2111—Doubts were expressed as to the immediate payment of the scrip, in letters written from England in August, 2112—2115—The general trade of Bombay was much deranged, 2116—The sale of British manufactures was diminished, 2117, 2118—The export trade to China was affected.

-Advances on the cotton trade, 2120, 2121. Witness's uncle, Mr. Matheson, was not consulted by Captain Elliot, 2122-2130-The Hong merchants advised that the ships should not be sent away, as Lin would be better pleased to say he had driven them off, 2131-2137—If there had been any fear that he would detain the British at Canton, no one would have been there, 2138-2140— At first there was a general forbearance on the part of creditors at Bombay, but latterly many have been greatly distressed, 2141-2143—On the first receipt of Mr. Gordon's letter in Bombay two persons committed suicide, 2143-2145. 2150-2152—The principal loss will fall upon the Parsee merchants, 2146-2149—Nearly all persons engaged in the trade work analysis and fall into non hands. in the trade were unable to continue it, and it fell into new hands, 2153-2154--Great fall in the price of opium, 2155-2156. Witness

'MEM

Matheson, Alexander. (Analysis of his Evidence)-continued.

Witness left Canton before Captain Elliot reached it; he received instructions to send away the opium on the 22d, and Captain Elliot did not arrive till the 24th, 2157-2174—The viceroy had been exceedingly strict, and it was the general opinion that Lin would cause a relaxation, 2175-2178—The opium trade is generally popular with the people; they were much dissatisfied with the viceroy's proceedings, 2178-2179—It was believed that when the government found it impossible to stop the trade they would legalize it, 2180-2186—The strong desire of the people for opium, and the interests of the provincial authorities, would prevent the exclusion of opium, 2187-2191—A more effective marine force would not prevent it, 2192, 2193—Howqua was not consulted; he has been carrying on business in partnership with the Americans, 2194-2200—He could not be depended upon as speaking the truth, 2201-2205—The mob did not care about the opium trade, 2206—Character of Howqua and Mowqua, 2207-2215—In business transactions Howqua was trustworthy, but not in political matters, 2216-2218—He has shipped tea largely to England lately, through the Americans, 2219-2220.

Degree of confidence existing between the Chinese government and the Hong merchants, 2221-2232—Chinese laws are only known through the Hong merchants; they are all disregarded; the law can only be gathered from practice, 2233-2255—The merchants have never been able to obtain a copy of the tariff; charges are added without the sanction of the emperor, 2256-2262—Many Chinese thought Lin would relax the measures of the viceroy to allay discontent, 2263-2267—Notice was given once a year, as a matter of form, that the opium ships should be removed, 2269-2271—The Chinese merchants engaged in the opium trade disappeared before the arrival of Lin, 2272-2274—The Chinese had no right to search British vessels at Lintin or on the coast; it would have been an act of hostile aggression, 2275-2287—If the ships had not been considered under the protection of the British authorities they would have been sent away, 2294-2300—The opium ships seldom anchored between Lintin and the main land, but on the outside of the island, 2302-2305—They could have defended themselves against any Chinese force, 2306-2308.

The trade has been diminished one-third by Lin's measures; it is carried on along the coast with the connivance of the local authorities, 2309-2315—If Captain Elliot had desired the merchants to discontinue the Lintin trade they would have complied, and would have given the same assistance as in the case of the river trade, 2316-2321—Without any circumstances of danger witness would have obeyed Captain Elliot's requisition to give up the opium, on payment, 2322-2334. 2339-2344—He always said that he had powers and instructions which he was not at liberty to communicate, 2335—The supercargoes of the East India Company would have had the power, and the Company would have been responsble, 2336-2338.

The lives of the foreigners were not in danger; Captain Elliot was the most likely to lose his life, 2345, 2346—If Captain Elliot had sent the opium ships away, a long negotiation would have ensued, which would have ended in a compromise, 2347-2356.

2371-2374—The Portuguese sent away their opium from Macao, 2357-2363—It was understood that the 1,035 chests of opium were to be paid for, 2364-2370—The community were entirely in the power of the Chinese, 2375-2378—The merchants would have been united, and would have made a better bargain if they had been left to manage for themselves, 2379-2386—Discretion vested in witness by his partners, 2389-2399—Reason for fixing only the cost price of the opium as the demand for compensation, 2400-2402—Frequent letters received by witness from Canton while the communication was stopped, 2403-2410.

Most of the residents in Canton had not been there long; some who signed the memorial were not able to form a correct opinion as to the danger, 2411-2427—In May, when the memorial was signed, their sense of the danger they had escaped was greater than in March, 2428-2433—The majority of the Parsees who signed it never read it, 2434, 2435—The petry mandarins along the coast are conniving at the opium trade, 2436-2444—More persons are engaged in the trade, who make small purchases, 2445-2447—Opium has been sold for 900 dollars a chest, 2448-2449—The natives fetch it from the ships; it is paid for before it goes over the ship's side, 2450-2452.

Matheson, Mr. It was said that he would be the next merchant sent for after Mr. Dent, in order to force his opium from him, and to make him sign bonds, Inglis 281——Was not consulted by Captain Elliot, Matheson 2122-2130.

See also Dent, Mr. Expulsion of Foreigners.

Medhurst, Mr. His voyage in the Huion for the dissemination of Christian tracts in the Chinese language; opposition encountered by him, Jardine 1503-1513.

Melvill, J. C. Evidence before Committee on East India Affairs in 1830, as to the opium trade and the general trade of China; remittances, export of bullion, &c., App. p. 160.

Memorial (The) to Lord Palmerston. It was prepared by Mr. Braine; many of the parties who signed it were not able to form a correct opinion as to their danger; they had not long been 0.50.

Memorial (The) to Lord Palmerston-continued.

been in China, Matheson 2411-2427-In May, when the memorial was signed, their sense of the danger they had escaped was greater than it had been in March; many of the Parsees who signed it had never read it, Matheson 2428-2435.

See also Duress of the Foreign Community.

Mill. James. Extracts from his evidence before the Committee on East India Affairs. 1832, on the opium trade, App. p. 168.

Missionaries. See Foreign Community.

Mobs in China: Conduct of the Chinese mob; their excitement and curiosity when witness was conducted through the streets; they exulted at the sight of a foreigner who ness was conducted through the streets; they exulted at the sight of a foreigner who seemed to be humbled, Inglis 85-120—In ordinary times, a British merchant in the streets of Canton would be liable to crowding; Captain Elliot was knocked down in full uniform, Inglis 121-123—Witness did not apprehend his life to be in danger while under confinement, although the Chinese might have let the mob in upon the factory, Thacker 842-851—The irruption of the mob, which had come down from the country, and were in the neighbourhood, was apprehended more than any other danger, Daniell 1235—The commissioner hinted, in his edict, the possibility of the mobbreaking in upon them, Daniell 1259—They did not care about the opium trade, Matheson 2206.

See also Execution, The.

Morss, Mr. Reasons for believing that the cruelty exercised against him was sanctioned at least by Commissioner Lin; pirates do sometimes assume the dress of mandarins, Inglis 574-583.

Was the Hong merchant upon whose ability the foreigners chiefly relied to interpret the intentions of the Chinese government; he is since dead, Matheson 2207-2215.

Napier, Lord. In his time the community were imprisoned, and his Lordship's provisions were taken away; he brought a force up as far as Whampoa, where he was stopped by sunk vessels, Jardine 1385-1390—A pass was at length obtained for him by a surgeon and witness on the ground of ill-health, Jardine 1391. See also Servants.

0.

Oliphant & Co. See Foreign Community.

Opium Scrip. See Certificates.

OPIUM TRADE WITH CHINA:

1. Fluctuations therein.

2. Interruptions to the Trade.

3. Mode of conducting the Trade.

4. Its Notoriety.

5. Its Popularity with the Chinese people.

6. Its Legality.

7. Moral Objections to it.

8. Trade carried on since the Surrender of the Opium by Captain Elliot.

1. Fluctuations therein:

The opium trade has always been liable to great fluctuations; it has changed hands between rich Baboos, Jews, and European merchants, Inglis 393-395—European houses at Calcutta have been very little engaged in it until lately, Inglis 396-398—— Until very lately, nearly all persons engaged in the opium trade have lost by it; an American gentleman made a large fortune; extraordinary fluctuation of prices and uncertainty of the trade, Inglis 515-536-- Fluctuations in price; frequent losses sustained; the fluctuations are caused partly by the supply and demand, and partly by the proceedings of the Chinese, Jardine 1493, 1494.

2. Interruptions to the Trade:

In 1821 there was an interruption of trade, and the ships were expelled from Whampoa; no opium was seized; the ships were prepared to resist a search, Jardine 1395-1404—Very few measures were taken for suppressing the opium trade before December 1838; now and then an opium broker had been seized, Jardine 1590-1592 In December 1838, witness began to think the Chinese were serious in their intentions to put down the trade, Jardine 1658-1662—At the beginning of 1839 there was a great stagnation of the trade on account of the edicts of the viceroy, Daniell'

OPIUM TRADE WITH CHINA-continued.

4. Interruption to the Trade-continued.

1092, 1093--- The viceroy had taken severe measures on account of an imperial edict long before the commissioner's arrival, Jardine 1353-1356—His measures were so severe that a rebellion at Canton was apprehended, Matheson 2039—His measures had been extremely oppressive to Chinese merchants; he searched their houses; it was the general opinion that Lin would cause a relaxation, Matheson 2175-2178. 2263-

3. Mode of conducting the Trade:

Mode of conducting the trade by giving orders at Canton on the ships at Lintin, where the opium was delivered before the ships entered the Bogue, Inglis 736-742-It was the safest trade in China; the money was always paid before the order on the ships was given, Jardine 1431.

4. Its Notoriety:

Its notoriety; publication in the price currents of the quantities brought in different ships, and the names of the consignees, Inglis 645-656—Notoriety of the trade; publication of the names of the consignees in the weekly price currents, Thacker 1007— -The authorities in Canton knew every house engaged in the opium trade by 1012name, Jardine 1423.

5. Its Popularity with the Chinese People;

The trade is generally popular with the Chinese people; they were much dissatisfied with the viceroy's proceedings for its suppression, Matheson 2178, 2179—The strong desire of the people for opium, and the interests of the provincial authorities, would prevent the exclusion of opium; a more effective marine force would not prevent it, Matheson 2187-2193.

6. Its Legality:

Sanction given to the trade by the British Parliament, and participation and profit of the East India Company, Malcolmson 1890. 1893. 1928—The opium trade is considered legal in India, although illegal in China; the opium was never shipped on board the East India Company's vessels; many do not know that the trade is illegal in China, Cursetjee 1982–2000. 2008, 2009—The opium is sent in private merchant ships even to Singapore and the Straits, Cursetjee 2001–2007—It was usual for the Chinese to give notice once a year, as a matter of form, that the opium ships should be removed, both during the time of the supercargoes, and of Captain Elliot, Matheson 2269–2271—Correspondence between the Court of Directors of the East India Company and the Governor-general of India, on the opium trade, and the revenue arising therefrom, App. p. 161—Form of the East India Company's license to country ships trading between Calcutta and Canton, as settled 1820, App. p. 176-Form of license granted at Bombay in 1821, App. p. 177.

7. Moral Objections to it:

Moral objections of Mr. King, Mr. Matheson and Mr. Robertson, to engage in the trade: countenance given to the trade by the East India Company, and by Pailiament, Jardine 1495-1502.

8. Trade carried on since the surrender of Opium by Captain Elliot:

The trade is still continuing; the Chinese Government has taken no measures to put down the internal consumption, *Inglis* 766-769—There has been a considerable trade since the surrender, *Daniel* 1315—The trade has existed for some months within the Bocca Tigris; the opium is brought up in boats to Whampon, Jardine 1370—The fall in the price caused new parties to engage in the trade; the trade has been going

fall in the price caused new parties to engage in the trade; the trade has been going on briskly along the coast, but has been conducted by a lower class of men, Jardine 1799-1801, 1803-1806—Nearly all persons engaged in the trade at Bombay were unable to continue it, and it fell into new hands, Matheson 2153, 2154.

Very little opium has been exported from Bombay since the opium crisis, although much is lying there; that consumed in China has gone from Calcutta, Malcolmson 1856-1863—The trade has been diminished one-third by Lin's measures; it is still carried on along the coast with the connivance of the local authorities, Matheson 2309-2315—More persons are engaged in the trade now who make smaller purchases; the natives fetch the opium from the ships; it is paid for before it goes over the ship's side. Matheson 2445-2452. over the ship's side, Matheson 2445-2452.

See also Bombay Merchants. Calcutta Sales of Opium. Connivance of Consumption of Opium. East India Company. Growth of Opium. Legalization of the Opium Trade. Lintin. Prices of Opium. Canton. Yuen, Governor. Connivance of Authorities. Insurance.

Orders EЕ 0.59.

PRICES

Report, 1840—continued.

Orders in Council. Copy of the Order in Council, establishing regulations for the government of King's subjects at Canton, dated 9th December 1833, App. p. 180—Orders in Council, 3d and 4th April 1840, as to reparation for the late injurious proceedings of the Chinese Government, App. p. 181, 182.

Palmerston, Lord. Captain Elliot did not communicate his Lordship's instructions, which stated that any loss which might be suffered from the execution of Chinese law, against smuggling, must be borne by the parties; but seemed to apply them to smuggling within the river, Inglis 478-481—Captain Elliot did not publish the words of Lord Palmerston, but gave a notice that Government could not sanction the smuggling trade within the Bogue, Inglis 743-747—Reasons assigned by witness's firm for not signing the address to Lord Palmerston, Jardine 1813-1816.

See also Instructions. Propers of Captain Elliot Powers of Captain Elliot. See also Instructions.

Parsee Merchants. The Parsees have not the means of referring immediately to our laws. but trust execution of them to Government; they are increasing in education, wealth and influence, Malcolmson 1880-1882—Are the principal merchants at Bombay, Cursetjee 1980, 1981—The principal loss will fall upon them, Matheson 2146-2149. See also Bombay Merchants. Suicides.

Pass System. The uncertainty as to the opium crops has always been very great, but has increased since the adoption of the pass system, Inglis 534-536.

Patna Opium. Statement of purchases of Patna opium made by Jardine, Matheson & Co. in Canton, from August to November 1838, App. p 186. See also Consumption of Opium. Growth of Opium. Prices of Opium.

Penal Bonds. Had Mr. Dent gone into the city, they would have made him sign penal bonds, for which they would have rewarded him, as an inducement for others to do the bonds, for which they would have rewarded him, as an inducement for others to do the same, Inglis 68, 69—It was the intention of the Chinese to get the merchants one after another into their power, and to make them give up the opium, and sign bonds; Mr. Matheson would have been sent for after Mr. Dent, Inglis 243-248. 279-281—No mention had been made of bonds when Mr. Dent was sent for; Captain Elliot could have known nothing of them, Inglis 288-290—Impossibility of trading in conformity with the bond proposed by Lin; few Englishmen would have remained in Canton subject to its conditions, Inglis 620—These bonds formed part of his original intention in coming from Pekin; they would have created as much difficulty as the delivery of the opium. Inglis 630-644 opium, Inglis 639-644.

Portuguese. The Portuguese had opium at Macao, which they sent away; they did not surrender a chest to the Chinese, although the demand was made, Matheson 2054-It was afterwards sold, at a very large profit, on the east coast of China, Matheson 2059-2061—Nearly all their opium was on shore at Macao; about one-third in their ware-houses; there were some Portuguese at Canton; the Chinese jurisdiction extends to Macao, Matheson 2357-2363.

Powers of Captain Elliot:

1. Generally.

2. Specifically, as regards his Engagement for Indemnity.

1. Generally:

Ignorance of the merchants as to the extent of Captain Elliot's authority; it had been discussed in the newspapers; Mr. Keating's demand that the instructions should be published, Inglis 187-196—The Orders in Council and part of the instructions had been published, and his powers had been canvassed; Captain Elliot stated that he had published as much as he felt himself authorised to publish, Inglis 414-418—Captain Elliot had stated that he had no power to see the property of a deceased merchant properly administered, which a consul would have been called upon to do, Inglis 453, 454—He always represented that he had powers and instructions, which he was not at liberty to communicate. Matheson 2225—Extracts from Acts of Parliament, as to the -Extracts from Acts of Parliament, as to the liberty to communicate, Matheson 2335powers of the select committee of supracargoes at Canton, and of the British superintendents, and other papers relating thereto, App. p. 173, et seq.

2. Specifically, as regards his Engagement for Indemnity:

Captain Elliot's statements to witness, Mr. Dent, and Mr. Braine, that he was quite certain he had authority to engage for indemnity for the opium; he appeared to feel no hesitation about it, Inglis 150-168—No one doubted but that the representative of the Crown had authority to demand the delivery of the opium, and to enter into an engagement for indemnity, Thacker 1057-1072—The community believed that he would not have called upon them to surrender their property without having full powers under his instructions, Daniell 1179-1186—The people at Bombay understood that Captain Elliot had been acting by authority of his Government, Cursetjee 1969-1972.

See also Indemnity. Instructions of Captain Elliot. Surrender of the Onium. See also Indemnity. Instructions of Captain Elliot. Surrender of the Opium.

PRICES OF OPIUM:

1. Average Prices generally.

2. Price previous to the Surrender of the Opium to Captain Elliot.

3. Prices since the Surrender.

1. Average Prices generally:

Average prices of opium; great fluctuations therein; causes thereof, Inglis 488-536.

2. Price previous to the Surrender of the Opium to Captain Elliot:

Five hundred dollars a chest was agreed to be about the average price of opium at Canton at the time of the surrender, Inglis 401-405—Price of Malwa opium the chest at Bombay, February 1839, Thacker 784, 785—Exact statement of the cost of witness's opium until it was delivered to Captain Elliot, Thacker 868-870—When it arrived at Canton it was not saleable, as the trade had been stopped by the viceroy, in anticipation of the commissioner's arrival, Thacker 880-888.

Cost per chest of the Patna, Benares, and Malwa opium; weight of chests, Daniell on 1205—Prices of Malwa and Patna opium in China, from 18th July to 25th November 1838, Daniell 1294-1298—Average cost of Malwa an cost of that surrendered by witness's house, Jardine 1344-1852. -Average cost of Malwa and Bengal opium; actual

Statement of the quantities of Malwa and Patna opium purchased by Jardine & Co. in Canton, and the price per chest, from July to November 1838, App. p. 186——Great fall in price at Bombay on receiving the intelligence from China; some was sold at 300 rupees a chest, Matheson, 2155, 2156.

3. Prices since the Surrender.

The prices have been very high in China since the surrender, and large fortunes are being made, Inglis 365-371—Rich speculators derived the profit arising from the high prices, and not the small merchants who had sustained losses by the surrender, Inglis 385-392—Opium has been sold along the coast for 900 dollars a chest, Matheson 2448, 2449.

R.

Receiving Ships. The ships at Lintin generally had nothing but opium on board; they could always go to sea at a short notice, Daniell 1214-1221. See also Lintin.

Refinement of Opium. It is refined in China for smoking; it can neither be refined nor smoked without being detected by the smell, Jardine 1703-1709--The Chinese prefer refining it themselves, Jardine 1726-1729.

Remittances. Were generally made to Canton as advances on opium and cotton; the difficulty of investing the whole in this manner has often induced merchants to become importers, Inglis 399-400.

emonstrance. Witness recollects no remonstrance of the merchants against Captain Elliot's requisition for the surrender of the opium, Inglis 180-182—Witness heard Remonstrance. of no remonstrance against Captain Elliot's requisition, Thacker 898-905; Daniell 1211-

Revenue (British India.) Extracts from Parliamentary Papers and Evidence as to the connexion of the trade in opium between India and China with the revenue administration of British India, and the part taken by the East India Company and its officers therein, App. p. 157—Extract from Report of Committee (revenue), 16th August 1832, stating that it is unadvisable to abandon so important a source of revenue as that on opium, App. p. 166—Extracts from letters in reply to a circular letter from the Commissioners for the Affairs of India, on the revenue administration of British India, relating to the opium monopoly and trade, App. p. 169.

Robberies on the Canton River. All the European boats carry arms to protect themselves from robbers or Ladrones; it is not safe to go without them, Jardine 1555-1557.

Sales of Opium. See Calcutta Sales.

Scrip. See Certificates.

Search of Vessels. Every vessel might have been searched within the Bogue; there would have been no danger of bloodshed, Jardine 1663-1667.

time, Inglis 594; Daniell 1145-1149—It is not the custom to bring servants to China; the withdrawal of the native servants therefore puts the community to the greatest inconvenience, Inglis 600-608—The servants used to leave within half an inconvenience, Inglis 600-608hour after Captain Elliot's arrival, some had already left, Daniell 1111-1113.

See also Duress of the Foreign Community.

Smoking

212

SUR

Report, 1840—continued.

Smoking Divans. The police have a list of them; the owners are warned to be out of the way; respectable people who meet there, Jardine 1710-1712.

Smoking Opium. The opium pipe is as common in Fokein as the tobacco-pipe at Canton, Jardine 1674, 1675—In moderation it is not injurious; spirits are more dangerous to morality and health; case of witness's compadore who had charge of the cash, and smoked for thirty or forty years, Jardine 1690–1702. 1713–1716—There is more dram-drinking in England than opium smoking in China, Jardine 1718–1725.

Smuggling. It is not justifiable to seize persons on account of the general notoriety of their smuggling; they must be caught in the fact, or there must be grounds for a specific charge, Thacker 1015-1023—The Chinese might limit smuggling on the coast very much if they pleased, although they would have to contend with many difficulties, Jardine 1676-1689—If Captain Elliot had desired the merchants to discontinue the Lintin trade, they would have complied, and would have given the same assistance as in the case of the river trade, Matheson 2316-2321.

Smuggling Trade in the Canton River. Accuracy of Captain Elliot's statement, that the number of European boats had "vastly increased," and that conflicts were frequent disputed, Jardine 1571-1578.

Specie. Shipment of specie instead of native bills to Calcutta from Bombay since the opium crisis, Malcolmson 1849.

Stark, Hugh. Extracts from Evidence before the Committee on East India Affairs in 1832, on the cultivation of opium in India, and the revenue derived therefrom by the East India Company, App. p. 167.

Suicides at Bombay. Two parties interested in the opium trade have committed suicide on account of the disappointment as to the payment of the indemnity, Malcolmson 1883, 1884—Danger of further suicides; men of high spirit and ancient family cannot bear the disgrace of insolvency, Malcolmson 1902—A friend of witness's committed suicide on account of disappointment in the opium trade; he was a proud man, and his creditor demanded his money sharply, Cursetjee 1949-1954. 2018-2020—The two persons who committed suicide did so shortly after the receipt of Mr. Gordon's letter in Bombay, Matheson 2150-2152—All persons engaged in the trade will feel the disgrace of failing in their engagements very deeply, Cursetjee 2021, 2022.

Supercargoes of the East India Company. If the monopoly of the East India Company had continued, and their supercargoes had been at Canton, the surrender of the opium would not have taken place; they would have fought the battle better, *Inglis* 540-543—They would have had power to make the same requisition for the surrender of the opium as Captain Elliot, and the Company would have been responsible for the indemnity, Matheson 2336-2338—Extracts from various Acts of Parliament, as to the powers of the select committee of supracargoes at Canton, and of the British superintendents, App. p. 173:

SURRENDER OF THE OPIUM:

- I. To the Chinese before Captain Elliot's Arrival.
- II. To Captain Elliot upon his Requisition:
 - 1. Prompt Obedience of Merchants to Captain Elliot's Requisition; Reliance upon his Pledge of Payment.
 - 2. Deficiency discovered in the Number of Chests after the Account had been given in; how caused and supplied.

I To the Chinese before Captain Elliot's Arrival:

The edict of the commissioner required all the opium to be delivered in three days; time asked by the Chamber of Commerce to consider the demand, Inglis 19, 20-Subscription of 1,030 chests amongst the merchants, who were moved by compassion for the Hong merchants, who said their lives would be taken; folly of compliance, Inglis 23—Conferences with the Hong merchants, and meeting of the Chamber of Commerce; resolution to give up 1,030 chests, to save the lives of two Hong merchants, Daniell 1106-1109—Belief that the Chinese would not have proceeded to extremities in forcing the surrender of the opium, Thacker 893-897—The foreign community expected that Lin's demand for the opium would be got over by some arrangement, Daniell 1096-1100—When the 1,000 chests were offered, it was expected that the commissioner would be brought to terms, Daniell 1241-1253—At first Captain Elliot expressed himself sorry that the 1,000 chests had been offered, and was understood to say that none should be given up, Daniell 1222-1225.

SURRENDER OF THE OPIUM-continued.

· II. To Captain Elliot upon his Requisition:

1. Prompt Obedience of Merchants to Captain Elliot's Requisition; their reliance upon his Pledge of Payment:

On the Tuesday after his arrival, Captain Elliot stated his intention of demanding all the opium to be given up, and of engaging to indemnify the owners; he said he was justified by his powers; his communications with Mr. Braine, Mr. Dent, and witness on the subject, Inglis 150-168——The community were not aware of Captain Elliot's intentions until the requisition appeared, Daniell 1155-1158——The merchants were much surprised at Captain Elliot's requisition, but did not hesitate to comply with it, Daniell - Captain Elliot's order met with the general concurrence of the holders of opium, as they felt that they would otherwise have to give it up to the Chinese, Inglis

169-177.

The surrender was made, relying upon being paid the stipulated indemnity; pecuniary liability of agents who should have declined to accept the terms offered, Inglis witness's letter surrendering 197-204—Captain Elliot's notice came out on the 27th; witness's letter surrendering the opium over which he had control for the service of Her Majesty's Government, Thacker 828-834—He would not have surrendered it without a promise of payment, Thacker 838-841—No one made any objection to giving up his opium, Thacker

865-867

If the East India Company had made a similar demand to that of Captain Elliot, witness would have obeyed it, as they would have been responsible in a court of law, Jardine 1483, 1484—Witness's firm conceived themselves bound to obey Captain Elliot, to use perfect good faith to the British Government in giving up all the opium over which they had control, Matheson 2082-2090—Without any circumstances of danger witness would have obeyed Captain Elliot's requisition to give up the opium, on

payment, Matheson 2322-2334. 2339-2344.

All the opium belonged to British subjects; the Americans who had any of it surrendered it as British property, Inglis 425-436—If Captain Elliot had proposed to surrender 10,000 or 20,000 chests on receiving the value, Lin would not have accepted

such terms, Inglis 217-221.

2. Deficiency discovered in the Number of Chests after the Account had been given in; how caused and supplied:

After Captain Elliot's order and much negotiation with the Chinese government, each merchant communicated to Captain Elliot the amount of opium in his possession, Inglis 306-312—Causes of a deficiency being found in the number of chests, an account of which had been given to Captain Elliot; how supplied by Dent & Co., Inglis 332-342—Much opium was delivered to Captain Elliot which had been previously sold, Jardine 1469-1472—Much opium was surrendered which was on the coast, not even at Lintin, but beyond all doubt out of the reach of the Chinese, Jardine -Although Captain Elliot was told that part of the opium of which an account was given Lin might have been sold since the last advices, he made no deduction in his return to the commissioner, and was afterwards obliged to purchase more to supply the deficiency, *Jardine* 1819. 1836–1841—Witness's firm gave up to Captain Elliot opium in ships out at sea far beyond Chinese jurisdiction, Matheson 2049-2053 -Some opium belonging to witness's house had been sold after the return given in to Captain Elliot, and was made good, Matheson 2062-2068.

See also Certificates of Surrender. Compensation from the Chinese. Dent & Co. Indemnity. Powers of Captain Elliot. Prices of Opium, &c.

Sysee Silver. The edicts of the viceroy against the opium trade were caused by the export of Sysee treasure, Daniell 1094—The discovery that bullion was leaving the country induced the emperor to act upon a different principle than that which he had hitherto adopted, Jardine 1457—The export of Sysee silver was recognised by the chief supercargo of the East India Company, Jardine 1476—1479—The export of Sysee silver has in some years considerably overhaloused the importation of dollars into China Report in some years considerably overbalanced the importation of dollars into China, Report 8th July 1830; App. p. 157.

· T.

Tariff of China. The merchants have never been able to obtain a copy of the Chinese tariff; additional charges are put on in Canton by the merchants and by the authorities without the sanction of the emperor. Matheson, 2256-2262.

Teas. Captain Elliot's expressions adverted to when he stated that there would be little time for shipping teas after the surrender of the opium. Thacker, 852-860.

Thacker, Captain John. (Analysis of his Evidence.)—Invested money in opium at Bombay to take to China, 780-783—Price of Malwa opium the chest, 784, 785—It was surrendered by Captain Elliot to the commissioner, 786—There was no opium in Canton EE3

Thacker, Captain John. (Analysis of his Evidence)—continued.

Canton at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival, 787-790—Before his arrival witness that the number of the community expected that the canton at the time of Captain Elliot's arrival, 707-799— Defore his arrival, witness had given up his opium to Mr. Johnston, 793— The community expected that the commissioner was coming to remove obstructions to the trade, until they observed he Chinese servants removing their things from the factory, 794-798—It was the unanimous opinion of the merchants (with the exception of Mr. Inglis) that Mr. Dent should not go into the city, 799-804— Witness, had placed his opium in the custody of Mr. Johnston for safety; correspondence relative thereto, 805-821.

Captain Elliot's communication on his arrival, 822-825— He said nothing of the currender of the opium 826, 827—His notice came out on the 27th; witness's letter

surrender of the opium, 826, 827—His notice came out on the 27th; witness's letter on surrendering his opium, 828-834—The servants had not left, and the provisions were not stopped till after Captain Elliot's arrival, 835-837—Witness would not have surrendered his opium except upon a promise of payment, 838-841—He did not apprehend his life to be in danger, although the Chinese might have let the mob in upon the factory, 842-851—Captain Elliot's expressions adverted to when he stated that there would be little time for shipping teas after the surrender of the opium, 852-860 He held out to witness a positive expectation of payment as soon as he arrived in land, 863, 864—No one made any objection to giving up his opium, 865-867. England, 863, 864-

[Second Examination.]—Exact statement of the cost of witness's opium until it was delivered to Captain Elliot, 868-870—General rate of insurance for opium and other goods lying at sea in China, 871-879—When witness's opium reached Canton it was not saleable, as the trade had been stopped by the viceroy in anticipation of the governor's arrival, 880-888—Witness, on his way to China, anticipating troubles, sold part of his opium at Singapore for 450 dollars a chest, 889-892—He believes the Chinese would not have proceeded to extremities in forcing the surrender of the opium 802-807 not have proceeded to extremities in forcing the surrender of the opium, 893-897—
Witness heard of no remonstrance against Captain Elliot's requisition, 898-905—Perfect reliance upon Captain Elliot's engagement to pay, illustrated, 906-916—Witness fect reliance upon Captain Elliot's engagement to pay, illustrated, 906-916—Witness had a strong apprehension that he might be obliged to surrender his opium to the Chinese when he gave it in charge to Mr. Johnston, 917-937—The superintendent had a right 10 protect British subjects at Canton from having their property in the outer

seas extorted from them by violence, 938-946. 962-977. 981-990.

Witness was preparing to barter his opium for beetle-nut and pepper at the Malay Islands, 947-961—The Chinese would have had a right to seize the opium if they had been able, but had no right to touch the persons of parties not proved to be engaged in smuggling transactions, 992-1000—How far the merchants at Canton could have been proved to be taking part in the opium trade, 1001-1006—Notoriety of the transactions of the trade; publication of the names of the consignees of opium ships in

the weekly price-currents, 1007-1012.

Everybody was imprisoned, and it may be thence inferred that the proof of dealing in opium had nothing to do with their confinement, 1013, 1014——It is not justifiable to seize persons on account of the general notoriety of their smuggling; they must be caught in the fact, or there must be grounds for a specific charge, 1015, 1023——If the Chinese had attempted to seize the opium in the ships, they would have been resisted, 1024—1031——Object of preparing for the defence of the ships, 1032–1037——Declalation of Captain Elliot, that the Chinese should not have a single chest of opium, three days before the proposal was made for surrendering it, 1038-1047.

It was the unanimous opinion, not only of the partners in firms engaged in the opium trade, but also of the foreigners generally, that Mr. Dent should not go into the city, 1050-1056—No one doubted but that the representative of the Crown had authority to make the demand for the delivery of the opium, and to enter into an engagement for indemnity, 1057-1072—Witness under such circumstances preferred delivering his opium to Captain Elliott, to the uncertainty of disposing of it in any other way, after the offer of indemnity had been made, 1073-1083.

Thom, Mr. Was invited, with Mr. Fearon, to go into the city on the Monday, but they were prevented by Captain Elliot, Inglis 149.

Turkey Opium. Quantity consumed in China; it has been chiefly imported by Americans; it is sometimes chewed, Jardine 1730-1733.

Turner, Mr. See Dent, Mr.

V

Viceroy of Canten. His boats carried on the smuggling trade between Lintin and Canton for 18 months till near the time of Lin's arrival, Jardine 1517-1534—His boats were gradually put down, and inferior mandarins carried on the trade, Jardine 1549-Notoriety of the fact of the viceroy's boats being used; he is said to have made 13,000 taels on each boat per month, part of which went as presents to the imperial treasury, Jardine 1579-1589.

Š

Report, 1840-continued.

Violence. Captain Elliot and the merchants thought Commissioner Lin was prepared to go any lengths, and this opinion has been confirmed by experience; they dreaded violence, Inglis 270-278—The younger residents were most alarmed, but, as it appears, justly, Inglis 291-297—From what has since occurred, it seems that they were in real danger and jeopardy, Daniell 1277-1293—Witness never saw violence used by the Chinese government towards Europeans, but occasionally by the mob, or by a soldier on duty, Jardine 1358, 1359—There was no danger to life or property when Lin's edict was issued; if there had been more resistance his measures would have been less severe, Jardine 1360-1362—The lives of the foreigners were not in danger; Captain Elliot was the most likely to lose his life, Matheson 2345, 2346.

See also Duress of the Foreign Community.

117

Water. The foreigners had springs within the factory, but the water was not good, and they usually supplied themselves from a spring outside; from this they were cut off, Inglis 282-286—The supply of water was cut off, but most of the residents had sufficient in their houses for immediate use, Daniell 1123-1137—The water in the factory wells was not wholesome, but was used merely for washing, Daniell 1143, 1144.

Y.

Yuen, Governor. He had desisted from measures for the suppression of the opium trade when the East India Company were preparing to co-operate with him; his retirement from the council in 1838 was supposed to be the signal for violence against foreigners, Inglis 688, 689—When he ordered the vessels away from Whampoa, the Hong merchants stated that the trade might still be carried on quietly, Jardine 1593-1595.