HINDU POLITY

A CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF INDIA IN HINDU TIMES

[Two VOLUMES IN ONE]

B¥

K. P. JAYASWAL, M.A., (Oxon.),

· ·

Of Lincoln's Inn., Barrister-at-Law ;

A-lvocate, Patna High Court ; Sometime Honorary Scholar, Jesue College, Oxford ; 'University Uhinese Scholar, Oxford (1909) ; Tayors Professor of Law, Calculus (1917, 'Development of Law in Manu and Yšjiavalkya') ; Author of "An Introduction to Hindu Polity"; Editor of Chandelsvara's Räjaniti-Ratnäkara (Hindu Monarchy) ; Editor Journal of the Bihar and Oriesa Research Society; 40.

भग भगीवस्थाय राज्याव नगः।

CALCUTTA : BUTTERWORTH & Co. (ÎNDIA) LTD., 6, HASTINGS ST.

To the Memory of the Republican

VRISHNIS, KATHAS, VAIS'ÀLAS, AND S'ÀKYAS

who announced

PHILOSOPHIES OF FREEDOM

from .

DEVAS, DEATH, CRUELTY, AND CASTE.

मज्जेत्वयो दण्डनीती इतायां स्वें घर्मा: प्रचयेषुविष्ठद्याः । सर्वे धर्मायायमाचा इता: खः चावे त्यत्ते राजधर्मे पुराणे । सर्वे त्यागा राजधर्मेषु दृष्टा सर्वाः दीचा राजधर्मेषु युक्ता: । सर्वा विद्या राजधर्मेषु चोक्ताः सर्वे सोका राजधर्मे प्रविष्टा: ॥ म॰ भा॰ गा॰ 4३।३८।३८ ।

"When Politics becomes lifeless, the triple Veda sinks, all the *dharmas* [i.e., *the bases of civilization*] (howsoever) developed, completely decay. When traditional State-Ethics are departed from, all the bases of the divisions of individual life are shattered.

"In Politics are realised all the forms of renunciation, in Politics are united all the sacraments, in Politics are combined all knowledge: in Politics are centred all the Worlds."

-Mahā-Bhārata, Sānti-63-28-29.

PREFACE

'HINDU POLITY,' in two volumes (Parts)—the first on Vedic Assemblies and Republics, the second on Monarchy and Imperial Systems—is a sketch of subject and the constitutional life of the Hindus. The difficulty subject is great but its treatment has to be modest. The works of *purva-sūris* had long been hidden; the path opened by them had long been lost. It had to be re-searched. In 1911-13 a probable line was laid to dig and discover the Ancients' highway in the field of Polity. In these pages that line has been deepened and widened. And the way of the Fathers is in sight.

The author made a special study to find out what Pioneer Work constitutional progress, if any, Ancient Indians had achieved. In 1911 and 1912 some results of the study were published in the legal journal the Calcatta Weekly Notes and the Calcutta monthly the Modern Review. A connected paper was read to the II in d i L it erary Conference in 1912 and its translation published in the Modern Review, 1913, under the title 'An Introduction to Hindu Polity.'

Before the publication of the Introduction there had been no work in any modern language on the subject. The Introduction fulfilled its purpose. To-day the subject finds place in University teaching. And the author has had the satisfaction of seeing his results quoted and reiterated, with or without acknowledgement, almost every year; the subject has become popular; the truth has been recognized, accepted and adopted: it has rightly ceased to be his.¹

¹ Mr. B K. Sarkar, however, thinks otherwise—'But all the references in Jayaswal's 'studies, have been appropriated by subsequent writers.' (*Political Institutions*, etc., Leipsig, 1922, p. XVI). Can they not retort, 'ayam nijaş paro reti gamand laghuchetasJm¹?

Vincent Smith suggested to the author to treat the subject of Hindu republics in detail, and Preparation of the Present Work several friends insisted on having the Introduction in book-form About the same time. Sir As a tosh Mookerjee, President of the Council of Post Grad ateteaching, Calcutta, University, asked bim to prepare a curri ulum of ancient Indian History. Need at that time was bailly felt for a somewhat comprehensive book on ancient Hindu polity. The author towards the close of 1917, undertook to revise the Introduction with a view both to carry out the suggestion of Dr Smith and to supply the . want The pr sent work was the out come. In April, 1918, the revision was complete and the manuscript ready. The book was made over to Sir Asutosh Mookerjee who kindly took upon himself the publication of the work, placing it on the University syllabus.

When a few chapters had been in type the author was informed that scientific plagiarism Why delay in nublication was at work Then, the mannecript was stolen from Sir Asutosh, no other belonging out of the group from which the box of manuscript was missing was touched by the critical though secret admirer Sir Asutosh informed the police, with the result that a professor who claimed to have recovered the manuscript made is over to Sir Asutosh. After three days' confinement the book obtained liberation. Having no other copy of the book, the Calcutta University Press being too slow, and the desire to publish "original researches" in certain quarters in Calcutta being great, the author brought back the manuscript to Patua Engagement was then concluded to print the book at Allahabad In the meantime the book was cited by Sir Sankaran Nair from the manuscript in his Note to the Government of India's First Despatch on Constitutional Reforms (dated 5th, March, 1919), and chapters were printed in the Modern Review (Feb., 1920.) When the

vi

PREFACE

whole of Part I was in type the English section of the Press at Allahabad was sold away and the book once more came back home. Until this autumn, owing to the difficulties of getting a suitable press from a 'mofussil' town, and owing to professional duties, no fresh arrangement could be made for the publication of the work.

The lines laid down in the Introduction (1913) have The Present Work been closely followed in the present work. Except the chapter on Paura-Jāns pada there has been no addition to those broad lines. The whole work otherwise is only a commentary on the Introduction.

The book is presented in the form and substance just as it was completed in Ap ii, 1918, but for the amalgamation of the matter published by the author in the *Modern Review* in Aoril, 1920, on Paura Jänapada, and the addition of one passage § 27) on a datum from the *Abhidhāna-Rājendra* (1919), of the last line of the footnote at p 33, and of Appendices C and D. The date of the Kauțilija bure of Kauditya (ArthaŚāstra) has been retained ArthaŚāstra as originally given, although Dr Jolly has recently revived the controversy through his edition of the ArthaŚāstra On account of the importance of the subject the present writer has re-considered it here.³ He is unable to agree with Dr. Jolly's conclusions.

The author's thanks are due to his kind friends Dr A Banerji-Sastri and Dr. Suniti K. Chatterji for Acknowlegoments reading the proofs and v: luable suggestions, to Mr. H. Chakladar and Mr Bata K. Ghosh for verifying references, and Dr. Kälidäs Näg and Prof. Arun Sen for doing the index. His friend the late Mr H. Panday had helped him in thepreparation of the MS.

Putna Norr, 1994.

K P.J.

Bus Appendiz C: 'Additional Notes on Part I.'

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PART I

			-	PAGES
1. INTROD	UCTORY			1—8
	CHAPTER I	•		
Scop	e and Sources	X X #	344	38
§ 1.	Scope of the book			3
§ 2.	Sources	***	* * *	3
	Technical Literature		***	4
	Its early date	***	4±2-	4-5
§ 3.	Terms for Technical	Literat	ure	5
-	The Book on Politics	in th	e Mahā-	
	Bhārata : 400 B.C	-500 A	.C	56
	Works of the 4th and	5th C	Centuries	•
	A.C	•••		6
	Compilations by Hin	du La	wyers of	
	14th to 18th Centurie		-	6
	Politics in the Purāņa		and 7th	
	Centuries A.C.	-,		7
	Politics in the bool	ka of	Dharma	-
•	(Law) 400 BC500			7
84	Works of early Middle			78
••	Politico-ethical and p			
30.	books			8
			***	0
11. V E D 1 O	ASSEMBLIES	***	***	11—21
	CHAPTER II			
Sami	t i-The Sovereign Ass	embly	of Vedic	
	times	•••	•••	1116
§ 6.	· · · · ·		e whole	
•	People	* > +		11
§ 7.	Its functions	***	•••	11-12
	The King and Sam i	111 -	** ***	12

•

PAGES

	§§ 43-44. Buddhist Samgha borrowed	
	from Political Samgha	45-48
	§ 45. Recorded republics	48—49
•	§ 46. Their administration-procedure	49—51
¥	§§ 47-48 Lichchhavi constitution	51—52
	§§ 49-50. Lichchhavi safe-guards for	•
	liberty of the citizen	52—53
	§51. Federal Council of the	
	Lichchhavis and the Mallas	53-54
	§ 52. Its Composition	5455
	CHAPTER VII	
J	Republics in the Artha-Sastra	•
	(325-300 B.C.)	

§ 53.	'King'-consul Republics	57
Ū	Changes from Monarchy to Republic	5859
§ 54.	Mallas, Kurus, Kukuras	59
§ 55.	Nation-in-arms Republics	60
§ 56.	Kshudrakas and Mälavas	60 —61
	o di do di do l	· 61 ·
§§ 58-	59. Kshatriyas and Srepis	61-62

CHAPTER VIII

Hindu	Republi	cs in	Greek	•
	ers (C. 325			63—79

§ 60.	Megasthenes' Two	Divisions	
	of the Country-Monar	chical	
	and Republican	***	63
§ 61.	Kathaians	***	64—65
§ 62.	Un-named Republics		65
	Adrestai …		65
§ 63.	Saubhūti (or Saubhūt	a)	65-66

•••

	· PAGES
§ 64. A great Republic on the Beas	66—68
§§ 65-66. Kshudrakas and Mālavas and Šibis	68—70
§67. Āpišali, Pāņini and Patanjali on	
the above	70—72
§§ 68-69. Greek Writers on the above	72—72
§§ 70—71. Agra-Śreņis	73—73
§§ 72—73. Ambashthas	7374
§74. Kshatriyas	74
§75. Ossadioi	74—75
§76. Musicani	75—76
§76A. Brachmanoi	76—77
§ 77. Patala	77—78
§§ 78-79. States ambiguously described	78-79

CHAPTER IX.

.

	itutional Su lu Republics	-		
Wri	-	•••		81-88
§ 80-	-81. Democracy	•••	•••	81
§ 82.	Elected 'King' Presi	ident	•••	8182
§ 8 3.	Second Chamber	•••		82-83
§ 84.	Executive authority	• • •		83-84
§ 85.	Aristocratic democra	юу	•••	84-85
§ 86.	Large Parliaments		•••	85
§ 87.	Ilindu term for arist	ocratic cons	titu-	
-	tion			85-86
§ 88.	Culture in these, rep	ublics	•••	86—87
•	Influence of Polity o		•••	87-88

.

.

	8. Deliberations	 	• • •	Pades 12-13
	9. Non-political bus 10. Samiti an ins			13
	society	•••		13-14
α* φ 4 α μ τ	President of the			14
-	Constitution of 1			
Ş	11. Historical career	of the Sami	ti	15—16
	CHAPTE	R III		
The	Sabhā	•••	***	17—21
Ş	12. Sabhā ad	istinguished p	opular	
	body		•••	17-18
	Its resolutions	•••		18
Ş	13. Composition of	the Sabhā		18
Ş.	14. Judicial Function	on of the Sa	b b 📕	18—19
Ş	15. Sabhā alater	Rig-vedic Insti	tution	19—20
Ş	16. Vidatha a	nd Senā,		20
<u> </u>	17. Corposate tend	ency of Post-V	edic	
	life		***	20—21
III. Hindu	REPUBLICS: (a)	Rise and Prosp	ERIFY	25—138
	CHAPTE	R IV		
Ris	e of Hindu R	e p'u blice	and	
· H	indu Terms f	or Republ	ics	25— 32
Ş I	8. R-publics gene	erally post-Ve	dic	

	•	institutions		***	25-26
• • • •	§ 19.	Ancient terms for	Hindu rep	ablics	26
• • •	§ 20.	Significance of 'g	'aņa' –	***	26 — 27
	§ 21.	Samgha	•••	***	27-28
	§ 22.	Controversy on G	lana in Ei	ngland	29
· •	§ 23.	Panini on Gana		***	29
•	§ 2 1 .	The Jätakas da G	аца	***	2930

			PAGES
§ 25.	The Mahā Bhārata on Gaņa	•••	30
-	Hindu Law and Amara kosa	on	
	Gaņa	•••	3031
§ 26	The Avadānasataka on Gana		31
§ 27.	Jaina definition		31—32
§ 28.	Conclusion on Gana		3 2

CHAPTER V

33--44 Republics in Pāņini § 29. Pānnion Samgha 33 - 34... § 30. Castes in a Sameha 34 ... Kānjāvana on Samgha § 31. ... § 32. Ayudhaji in Samguas named in 35 Pānini ···· Interpretation of 'Ayudhajivin' 36---37 ... § 33. Description of Greek Writers 37 ... § 34. Identification of the VShIka land 38 § 35. Other republics in l'anini 39 The Andhaka-Vrishni Samgha 39-40 § 36. Constitutional Siguificance of § 37. 'Rājanya' 40-41 §§ 38-39 Federal Constitution of the Andhaka Vrishnis 41 - 42... § 40. Vrislini coin and Rajanya coins 42 § 41. State-arms of the republics ----42-44 -§ 42. Political N i k L y a s: A species of Sumgham 44 ...

CHAPTER VI

Republican Origin of Buddhist Samgha and Republics in Buddhist Literature (500-400 B.C.) 45-55

PAGES

•

	§§ 43-44. Buddhist Samgha borrowed	
	from Political Samgha	45-48
	§ 45. Recorded republics ··· ···	48-49
•	§ 46. Their administration-procedure	49-51
.4	§§ 47-48 Lichchhavi constitution	51-52
	§§ 49-50. Lichchhavi safe-guards for	•
	liberty of the citizen	5253
	§51. Federal Council of the	
	Lichchhavis and the Mallas	53-54
	§ 52. Its Composition ··· ···	54-55
	-	

CHAPTER VII

Republics in the Artha-Sastra				
-	-	57-62		
§ 53.	'King'-consul Republics	57		
-	Changes from Monarchy to Republic	58-59		
§ 54.	Mallas, Kurus, Kukuras	59		
§ 55.	Nation-in-arms Republics	60		
§ 56.	Kshudrakas and Mälavas	60-61		
§ 57.	Surāshtras	· 61		
§§ 58-	59. Kshatriyas and Srepis	61—62		
	CRAPTER VIII			

CHAPTER VIII

Hindu	Rep	ublic	ce in	Greek	
Writ	егв (C. 325	B.C.)	•••	63-79

§ 60.	Megasthenes' Two	Divisions	
	of the Country-Monar	chical	
	and Republican		63
§ 61.	Kathaians	***	64—65
§ 62.	Un-named Republics		65
	Adrestai …		65
§ 63.	Saubhūti (or Saubhūt	a)	65-66

K Ct. A great Danublia on the Depart	· PAGES
§ 64. A great Republic on the Beas	0008
§§65—66. Kshudrakas and Mālavas and Šibis	68—70
§ 67. Āpišali, Pāņini and Patanjali on	
the above	70—72
§§ 68-69. Greek Writers on the above	72—72
§§ 70—71. Agra-Śrenis	73—73
§§ 72—73. Ambashthas	7374
§74. Kshatriyas	74
§75. Ossadioi	74—75
§ 76. Musicani	75—76
§76A. Brachmanoi	76—77
§77. Patala	77—78
§§ 78-79. States ambiguously described	78—79

CHAPTER IX.

	itutional Sun lu Republics	-		
Wri		•••		81-88
§ 80—	-81. Democracy	•••		81
§ 82.	Elected 'King' Presi	dent	•••	81-82
§ 83.	Second Chamber	•••	•••	82-83
§ 84.	Executive authority		***	83-84
§ 85.	Aristocratic democra	cy	•••	84-85
§ 86.	Large Parliaments	***		85
§ 87.	Hindu term for ariste	ocratic cons	titu-	
-	tion	•••	•••	85-86
§ 88.	Culture in these, repu	ublics	•••	86-87
-	Influence of Polity o		•••	87-88

[PT. 1.

PAGES

٠

CHAPTER X

.

.

Technical Hind	lu Const	i-	
'tutions	***	•••	89—101
§ 90. Technical Const	itutions		89
§§ 91-92. Bhaujy		n	89-91
§93. Svārājya (91-92
§§ 94—95. Vairāj			92-94
§96. The Madras	and their Cap	ital	9 4 95
§§ 97-98. Rāshţri	ka Constitutio	ממ	95
•§99. Pettanika		•••	95—9 6
§100. Dvairājya			
§ 101. The Non-ru	ler State	***	97—100
§102. Ugra and R	ajanya forn	ns of	
government	•	•••	100
§ 103. Sacrament of	=		
in every con	nstitution	, •••	100-01

CHAPTER XI

Procedure of D Hindu Repu	-	4
§ 104. Buddbist S	amgha based on I	poli-
tical San	ngh a	103 - 04
§104 (a). Seats	•••	104
§ 105. Motion:	: Resolution	104-06
§ 106. Quorum	u: Whip 🕔	107
§ 107. Consequenc	e of disregarding	
procedui	re	··· 107-8
§108. Votes:	Votes of absentees	108-09
§109. Ballot.v	oting:	
procedui	re-ofmajority	-109 - 10
§110 PointPe Delega	вв Speeches ation Commit	

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PART I

		$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{S}}$
§ 111.	Principle of representation	112
§ 112.	Res Judicata	113
§ 113.	Procedure of Censure: Act of	
	Indemnity	113
§ 114.	Clerks of the House	113—14
§ 11 5 .	Historical significance of the terms	l
	and procedure ···	114—15
§ 116.	Jātakas and Referendum	115-17

CHAPTER XII

Franchise and Citizenship ... 119-22

§ 117.	Basis of Franchise	• •••	•••	119—20
§ 118.	Outsiders eligible	to Citizens	hip in	,
	republics	•••		120-21
§ 11 9.	Bhakti to	Arjuna	and	
	V ā sudeva	•••	•••	121—22

CHAPTER XIII

Judici	ial Administration and	
Laws	of Republics	123-24
	-	
§ 120.	Kulika Court ••• •••	123-24
§ 121.	Samaya, the laws of the Ganas	124
-		

CHAPTER XIV

Charac-teristics of Republics according to the Mahā-Bhārata 125—30

§§ 122—124. Characteristics of Ganas in the Mahā-Bhārata ... 125—30 Leagues: their dangers (p. 128) ٠

PAGES

Good points in Ganas (p. 129) Weak points in Ganas and how to avoid them. (pp. 129-30) Internal danger (p. 130) Equality in Ganas and its effect. (p. 130) Confederacy recommended (p. 130)

CHAPTER XV

Formation of New Republics 131-35

§ 125.	New Religi	ous Gaņa	.8		131
§ 126.	Historical	instance	s of	new	
	republic	3	• • •	• • •	131-32
§ 126 (a)	Artificial	Stage	*		132-33
	Republics	in—a pos	t-tribal p	eriod	•
	in Ind	ia	•••	***	133
§ 127.	Artificial	political	'tribes',	not	
•	ethnic tr	ibes	• • •	***	13334
§ 128.	Distinction	between	.tribal	and	
	artificial	organisa	tions		134-35

CHAPTER XVI

A Retro Rise	ospect	of the Po		13738
§ 128A.	Aitareya	Brāhmana to	Kautilya	137-38

PAGES

III.	HINDU	REPUBLICS:	(<i>b</i>)	Decline	
	and Di	sappearanc	е.	••••	141– 48

CHAPTER XVII

REPUBLICS UNDER THE MAURYAS

§129. Policy of Maury Imperialism toward	
Republics	
§130, Republics under Asoka .	. 142-43
§131. Meaning of 'aparānta',	143–44
§§ 132-33. 'Rāja-vishayas'.	144
§ 134. Constitution of the	
Nābhapańktis .	145
§135. Näbhaka	. 145
	. 146
	. 146-47
§137. Yavanas of Asoka .	1 40
	. 148
	. 148
§140. Yavanas, Kamboja	
Andhras and Pulinda	
in Manu and Mahi	
Bhārata	148

CHAPTER XVIII

	lics in Sun		
and la	ter	••• ••	. 149-61
§ 141.	Migration o	f the stronge	r
	republics to Ra	jputana	. 149
§ 142.	Yaudheyås	•••	. 149-50

HINDU POLITY	[PT. 1.
	PAGES
§143. Yaudheyas and Śālań-	
kāyanas ··· ··	150
§144. Yaudheya constitution	
from Monumental Records	151
§ 145. Their end	151
§ 145. Theirend	151-52
§147. Mālavas and Kshudrakas	152
§§ 148-49. Mālavas (contd.)	1523
§150. Šibis	153-51
§151. Arjunāyanas	154
§ 152. The meaning of republican	
migration	154—55
§§ 153-55. Rajputana repub-	•
lics in the Mahā-	
Bhārata 1	154—57
§156. Kukuras	157
§ 57. Vrishnis	157
§ 158. Foreign barbarians of the first	
century B. C. ····	158
§159. Decay of the older Punjab	
republics	158
§160. Rājanyas 1	158—59
§161. Mahārāja-Janapada …	159
§162—63. Vāmarathas and	
Šālankāyanas 1	
§164. Audumbaras 1	160-61

1

CHAPTER XIX

 bissppearance of the republics...
 163-65

 § 165.
 Guptas and republics...
 163

 § 166.
 Pushyamitras
 ...
 163-65

 - § 167.
 Exit
 ...
 165

PAGES

ш	П	I	N	Ð	U	R	E	P	U	B	L	1	С	s:	(c)	Their	System	
			a	nd	1	E t	h	n	0	1	0	g	У	•			169—189)

•

CHAPTER XX

¨.

.

•

Critic	ism on Hindu	re	publi-	
	ystems	•••	_	169-77
§ 168.	Moral assets		• •••	169—70
§ 169.	Principle of equali	ty.	Successful	
-	administration	•••	•••	170
§ 170.	Military System	•••	•••	170
§ 171.	Industrial system	***	***	170-71
§ 172.	The type of citizen	•••	•••	171
	Separation of power	rs	•••	171
§ 174.	Philosophical basis	•••	•••	171-72
§ 175.	Republican theories	•••		172
§ 176.	Individualism	•••	•••	173-74
	Social Contract	•••	•••	173-74
§ 177.	Longevity	•••		174
§ 178.	Weak points in Hi	ndu	Republics	175
§ 179-	-80. Mab ā- Bhārata o	on A	rājaka	
	states (p. 17	(5)		•
	Personal rival	ry an	d greed of	
	power in rej	publi	cs (p. 176)	175-77
§ 181.	Dissension leading	to the	e breaking-	
	up of Ganas	•••	•••	177

CHAPTER XXI

Ethnology of the Republics ... 179-89

§ 182. Question raised by Mr. Smith ... 179 § 183. Instance of Chumbi Government 179-80

				T UCO
§ 1 84	4. Criticism	•••		18081
§ 188	5. Bharbut and	Sānchī Scu	ilptures :	
_	Mr. Smith'	s error	•••	181-82
§ 186	6. Alleged Mongo	olian basis o	f Indian	
	population	in early	centurie	6
	A.C.	•••	***	182-83
§ 187	7. National	ity of	Lich-	
	c h h a v i	ß	***	183—8 4
§ 1 88	B. Mr. Smith's	vision of	-'close'	
	resemblance	e between		
	Lichchh	avi and	Tibetan	
	Criminal H	Procedures	•••	184—85
	Lichchhavi Cr	iminal Proc	edure	186
§ 189	. Derivation of	Liche	h h a v i	
•	from Lic	hchhu	**•	186-87
§ 190	Mallas			187
§ 191	. National	ity of St	ikyas	187
	. Obsolete custo			
v	amongst S	ākyas		187-88
193	Evidence of th			_
	-196. Evidence			
ə		ox literature		188-89
		-		

Appendix A

The Ma	h ā- Bhārata	on the	Andl	n a k a-	
V ŗ	ishņi-Sa	m gha	•••	•••	191-97
§ 197.	Text and Parvan The impor (p. 19]	, Ch. 81, tance of	(pp. 192	2—197)	

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF, PART I

-

A1	pendix B	-		PAGES
-			•	•
List of Ind discussed in Pa	ian Re rt I	opublic 	e 19	9—201
• .				
••• ••• •	ppendix C		· •	
Additional	Notes	on Part	. I	
<u>(</u> 1924)		•••	, e+ #,	203
Arthasastr	a (Kauțilîy	a)		
— Its aut	horship an	d date	•••	203
(i) Authorshi	р:			
• •	- arguments		2	03—05
(ii) Date:	-			
Argume	ants for the	third cent	ט דע	
A.C.			···· J	206
Works of the 4th-5t	-	с.	••••	
and the date of the				215
Pushkara			••••	216
14th.—18th. Cen. I)igests			216
Works in Vernacul	-			216
Village fined		••		216
Na sā Sabhā				216
Gana	•••			216
State-arms of the r	evublica			216
Decisions on rolls (-	recedents)		216
Ashta-kulaka				216
Lechchhai				217
Savati				217
Jauhar			•••	217
'Everyman in the	Community	7	-	
had franchise':		•		
Citizens vs. no	n-citizens	•••	•••	217

•

. . .

.

PAGES

-

			PAGES
Kauninda and Kanet		***	
Vāhīkan physique	•••		217
Madra country	•••	104	218
Salākā			218
Yaudheya Coin-legende	***	•••	211
Mālava Coins	•••	21	.8—19
Freedom in preference to hom	e	***	219
Sanakānīkas			212
Ethnology of the republicans	•••	7.00	219

_

· · ··

• • .

· · · ·

TTÍ

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PART II

-

	•		PAGES			
IV. HINDU MONARCHY	****		3190			
CHAPTER XXII						
Antiquity and Theory of	Origin	****	36			
§197. Rājan or king		••••	3			
§ 198. Antiquity of Hindu Mo	-	••••	3—4			
§ 199. Hindu. Theories on the	Origin of K	Ling-				
ship			4			
§ 200. Vedio theory: origi	in in wa	L T	4—5			
§ 201. Scientists' Theory of	Contract:	••••	4-5			
Theory of elective kingship	••••	••••	6			
CHAPTER XXIII						
Vedic King and his Elect	tion	••••	7—13			
§ 202. King's election and bia	s positio	n	7—9			
§ 203. Sole taker of taxes		****	9			
§ 204. 'King-makers' :	••••	••••	9—10			
election for life-time		****	1011			
§ 205. Deposition and re-electi	on		11			
§ 206. His duty		••••	12-13			
§ 207. Germs of later political	philosophy	••••	13			
CHAPTER XXIV	,					
Coronation Ceremony of and	Coronation Ceremony of Brāhmaņa Period:					
Its Constitutional Signi	ificance	••••	14-26			
§ 208. Fixed formulæ	••••	••••	14			
§209. Rājasūya, Vāja Sarvamedha	реуа 	and 	14—15			

xxiii

,

[PT. II.

PAGES

§ 210. 'he' the king-elect		15
§ 211. The Ratna-Havis		1620
§ 212. The Ratnins	****	?1—2 1
§ 213. Origin of Hindu Ministers	••••	, 21—22
§ 214. Approval of the Land	••••	22
§ 215. The idea underlying	****	22—23
§216. Abhishechanīyam or anoin	ting	
ceremonies	••••	23
§ 217. King as Protector of Law	• • • •	23
§ 218-19.Collection of waters	i	24 - 25
§ 220. Abhishèchana or anointing	••••	25
§ 221. Investiture and Annour) C C -	26
_ment	****	26

CHAPTER XXV

Coronation Ceremony of Brähmana Peri and	o d
Its constitutional Significance (contd.)	2741
§ 222. Coronation oath	27-28
§ 223. Ascent to the throne	28
§ 224. People as King's Protector	29
§ 225. Besprinkling by the Priest	29—30
§ 226. Brahmin and Taxation	3033
§§ 227-28. Vesting of Sovereignty	33 35
§ 229. Post-Abhisheka Ceremonies.	
Symbolism denoting Supremacy	
of Law	35
§§ 230-31. The Homage	3637
§ 232. The symbolic Game of Govern ment	3738
§ 233. Summary	3839
§ 234. Hereditary succession not yet	
established	3940

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PART II

§ 235. Ceremony for derosed king	Pages 40—41		
CHAPTER XXVI			
Coronation in Later Times	42-5		
§ 236. Leading Principle remains Vedic	42-44		
§ 237. Coronation Oath § 238. Discussion on Rājan and 'history'	4445		
of Coronation Oath in the Mahā-			
Bhārata § 239. Analysis of the Oath	46—48 48—49		
§ 240. Effect of Coronation Oath in actual	4049		
life	4950		
§ 241. Coronation Oath in Middle ages and later	51		
	01		
CHAPTER XXVI (A)			
Sacrament of Coronation and			
Theory of Divine Origin of King	5459		
§§ 244-245 Sacrament of Coronation Oath : Manu's theory of Divine Origin of	54		
King	5458		
§246. Theory of king's divine origin			
displaced	58—59		
CHAPTER XXVII			
The Jānapada or the Realm Assembly and			
The Paura or the Assembly of the Capital City: (600 B.C. to 600 A.C.)	6078		
§§ 247-48. The Samiti and Territorial Monarchies	6061		

•

.

	n
	PAGES
§§ 249-51. Rise of the Jänapada	
Assembly	62 - 68
§ 252. The Paura	6870
§§ 253-55. Municipal administration of the	
Paura	70-73
§ 256. The Paura administration of Pāțali- putra	74
§ 257. The 'City-magistrates' of Strabo	74 75
§ 258. 'Varga' and its meaning	7576
§ 259. Naigama or the City Guild and its	
connexion with Paura	7678
§ 260. 'Negama coins'	78
§ 261. Şignificance of Naigama	78
CHAPTER XXVIII	
Political Functions of the Janapada	
and the Paura	79108
§ 262. Coinage and Jānapada	79
§§ 263. Constitutional business which the	
Pau=~ da were supposed	
Pau-~ da were supposed to do	79
§ 264. Resolve on nomination of the Crown	
Prince	80-82
§ 265. Paura-Jānapada took part in Abhi-	
sheka as People's Representatives.	
They could prevent succession	82
§ 266. Paura-Jānapada and Depositions	82
267. Political Discussion in Paura-	
Jānspada	8485
§ 268. Appointment of Chief Mantrin and	
Paura-Jānapada	8
§ 269. Resolution on State Policy	85
§ 270A. Paura and Provincial Government:	86—87
§ 270B. Paura-Jänapada and Taxation	8889
2 TIME & GITTE DATE PART BUT TAVANON	0008

.

	PAGES
§ 271. Royal Speech to Paura-Jānapada	8992
§§ 272-3. Paura-Janapada and Anugrahas	
or Privileges	9394
§§ 274-75. King asks permission of the	
Naigama-Jānapada to undertake	
long sacrifice	9495
§ 276. Daily business of Paura- Jānapada	
with the King	95
§ 277. Aśoka's new Dharma and the Jana-	
pada	96
§ 278. Importance of Paura: Paura and	
executive work	9697
§ 279. King and Governor attend Paura-	
Jānapada	97
§ 280. Paura-Jānapada could make or mar	
government	9798
§ 281. Compensation bills of Jānapada to	
the Crown	98—99
§ 282. Constituency of Jānapada	99—101
283. Composition of Paura	10203
§ 283. Separate bodies making up Naigama	10405
§ 284. Basārh Scals and Naigama Officers	10506
§ 285. The 'laws' of Janapada and Paura	160—07
§ 286. The Samvid enactments of Janapada	10708
§ 287. Paura-Jānapada as Hindu Diet	108

CHAPTER XXIX

•

Opinion of Thi	nkers and	General	
Public Opinic	on		109—14
§ 288. Opinion of	Hermits and I	Recluses	109—111
§ 289-90. Opinion	of the learned	Brahmin	
§ 291-92. Public C	pinion in gener	al	11314

•

CHAPTER XXX

CHAPTER XXX	.
	Pages
Council of Ministers	115-136
§§ 292-93. Origin	11516
§ 294. Continuation of Vedic terms	116
§ 295. Council and King	117—119
§§ 295-97. Gifts by King to be rectified or	
rejected by Ministry	119-21
§§ 298-99. Number of the members of the	
Council	122-24
§ 300. Yuvarāja and Princes as Ministers	124-25
§ 302. Designation of Ministers	126-28
§ 302. Cabinet	128
§§ 303-5. An Inner Cabinet	128-30
§ 306. The 'Mantri-parishat' or Counc	il
of State and its Composition	130
§§ 307-08. Paura-Jänapada and 'Mantri-	
Parishad'	131—33
	133
§ 310. Three classes of Ministers	136
§ 311. Civil List and King's salary	135—36
CHAPTEB XXXI	•
Council of Ministers (Contd.)	137—151
§ 311A. Duty of Ministers	137
§ 312. Procedure of Business in the Ministry	
§ 313. King's 'incapacity' to criticize resolu-	
tion of the Cabinet	139
§§ 314-15. Resolution with king's fiat was the	
	14041
§ 316. Megasthenes on Ministry's jurisdic-	
tion	142-42
§ 317. Agreement between Bhäradväja and	
Megasthenes on Ministry's juris-	
	14243

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PART II

PAGES

§ 318.	Working of the constitution in Aśoka's		
	reign	14347	
§ 319.	Junior ministers or under-secretaries	147	
§ 320.	Transfers	147—48	
§ 321.	Caste representation in Ministry	148-49	
§ 322.	Official designations in Gupta times	14950	
§ 323.	Charters countersigned by Ministers	150—51	
§ 324.	Illustrations of the practice from		
	Ceylon		

CHAPTER XXXII

Law and Administration of Justice 152-160

§ 325.	King under the Law	152
§ 326.	Judiciary and Executive separate	152-53
§ 327,	Sabhā or composition of the jury	154—55
§ 328.	King-in-Council as Judge	155
§ 329.	Justice administered in King's name	155—56
§ 330.	Records kept	156
§ 331.	Proper Justice and fall in litigation	156
§ 332.	Sudatta vs. Prince Jeta	156—57
§ 332A	. Ministers of Law and Justice	158—59
§ 333.	Sabhā (Law Court) and its Position	160

CHAPTER XXXIII

Taxatic	ac	****	1	61—169
§§ 334-3	35 Fixed Taxes :		••••	161
	Legal effect	••••		16162
§ 336.	Taxes as King's wa	ges	••••	162—63
§ 337.	Divine theory of Ta	axation		163
§ 338.	Protection and Alle	egiance		16564
§ 339.	Canons of Taxation	1,		165—69

÷

HINDU POLITY

[PT. II.

CHAPTER XXXIV

•

	CHAPTER XXXIV	
		PAGES
Econor	mics in Government and the	ory of
Owne	ership in Land	170
§ 3 40.	Economic enemies	170
§ 341.	Economics in government	171
342.	Policy towards the mercantile element of population	171-72
\$ 343.	State Industries	173
v	Indirect taxation the key-note of the	
•••••		
	policy Mines	173-74
§ 345.	Hindu theory on proprietorship	
	in land	174
•	Colebrooke's discussion of Mīmāmsā	174-5
§ 346.	Conquest and land	176-77
§ 347.		
-	Mādhava	
§ 348.	The Bhattadīpikā on the Subject	178-9
§ 349.	The view of Law and Mimämsä in agreement with constitutional	
	-	· 180
	theory Jātaka on kingship	180
	Coronation rites and King's position	
§ 3 50.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3	Law' on the subject	
	Inscriptions and land ownership	180
\$ 351	Artha-Sāstra Commentary's Sloka	
2 001.	and the subvice commentary b Diuka	102

CHAPTER XXXV

Position of the Hindu King

§ 352.	Civil List	184
§ 353.	King master of no subject	184

•••••

			PAGES
§ 354.	King constitutionally a se	ervant	185
	-morally master	•••••	185
§ 355.	His utility	• ••••	18 6— 87

CHAPTER XXXVI

Charac	ter of Hindu Monarchy	••••	188—91
§ 356.	State a trust	•	188189
§ 357.	A Civil state	••••	189—90
§ 358.	Paramount position of Law	****	190
§ 359.	Conquest and sense of Justice	••••	19091
§ 360.	Why no inclination for foreign	conques	t 191
§ 361.	Longevity a result of Civil Pol	ity	191

CHAPTER XXXVII

Hindu Imperial Systems	195201
§ 362. Adhipatya and Sārvabhauma	19596
§ 363. Sāmrājya System	197—98
§ 364. Later history of Monarchical Im-	
perialism	19899
§ 365. Chakravartin	199200
Chakravartin System vs. Federal	
System and Adhipatya	200-01
§ 366. Centralization	200-01
§ 367. An Imperial (Gupta) System of	E
Compromise	. 200
§ 368. Constitution under the Second and	,
Third Empires	20001

CHAPTER XXXVIII

Revival of Hindu Polity	4 6 -	20506
§ 369. Period of darkness and disruption	46 0 0	20506

HINDU POLITY	•	[PT. II.
		PAGES
3 370. Hindu revival under Sivāji a	and	
the Sikhs		205
Causes of failure	****	20506
CHAPTER XXXIX		
Conclusion	49-4	209-10
§ 371. Longevity of Civil Polity-in In	dia,	
Babylon, and China	**1 *	2 09
§ 372. Test of a Polity	****	209
§ 373. Golden Age of Hindu Polity-in	the	
Future		209-10
§ 374. Reformation of the Hindu	****	210
§ 375. Hindu's Past History and-	-his	
Future		210

APPENDIX D

Additional Notes on Part II (1924) 211-12

.

Sresthin or President of the Town					211 '
Pratinidhi	4884	****		****	211
Council of	State, Repr	esentative	of	the	• :
Peop	ple,			****	211-12
Mahattarāķ ar	id Gupta Go	vernment		****	212

INDEX

Abbreviations

Errata

HINDU POLITY

Part I

VEDIC ASSEMBLIES

I INTRODUCTORY

CHAPTER I

Scope and Sources

§ 1. It is proposed to outline here certain chief features Scope of the book of H in d u Polity. The Hindu race has experimented in great and various systems of state and political machinery. We are not yet in a position to reconstruct a complete history of the constitutional development of the race Nevertheless some outstanding facts and the principles underlying them may be noticed with profit.

The topics to be discussed here' are :

- (1) the Sovereign Assembly of the Vedic times,
- (2) the Judicial Assembly of the Vedic times,
- (3) Hindu Republics (1000 B. C. to 600 A.C.),
- (4) Hindu Kingship (from the Vedic times to 600 A.C.),
- (5) the **Janapada** or Realm Diet, and the Paura Assembly of the Capital (600 B. C. 600 A. C.),
- (6) the Council of Ministers under Hindu Monarchy (1000 B. C.-600 A C.),
- (7) Judiciary under Hindu Monarchy (700 B.C.-600 A.C.),
- (8) Texation (1000 B. C.-600 A.C.),
- (9) The Hindu Imperial Systems (1000 B.C. 600 A.C.), and
- (10) Decay and Revival of Hindu constitutional traditions
 (650 A. C.—1650 A C).

§ 2. The sources of our information extend over the vast field of Hindu literature---Vedic, Classical and

Prakrita, and also the inscriptional and numis-

matic records of the country. We are fortunate in having

¹ In some cases, very briefly,

also a few technical treatises on Hindu Politics left to us in the original. They are, however, Technical literature mere remains of a considerable library, contributed to by a long series of political thinkers and statesmen of Hindu India. The Artha-Sastra of Kautilya' (300 B.C.), which may be called the Imperial Code of Governance of the Early Mauryas, is such a remnant. It is avowedly based on previous authorities. Such authorities. cited by name in Kautilya's Code, number eighteen or nineteen. There are some others mentioned elsewhere. For instance, the Maha-Bharata, which gives a brief history of Hindu Political Science," mentions, in addition, Gaura-Siras. The Asvalayana Grihya-Sutra mentions one more, Aditya." The catalogue of this large number of writers shows that politics had been studied for centuries before Kautilya's time, and had become a recognised subject when the Kalpa Satras were still being completed.4 If we allow an interval of even twenty years

Its early date. for each of these known authorities, we shall have to date the literature of Ilindu Politics as far back as circa 650 B. C. This date is corroborated by the

The name of the author should be 'the Kaujilya,' it being his gotra name (J B O. R S. II 80, also Sankarārya on Kāmandaka, 1. 6), but to avoid heaviness, the has been omitted here throughout.

⁸ S'äuti-Parvan, LVIII LIX. It is possible that Gaura-S'iras is later than Kaujilya. The point in favour of the antiquity of Gaura S iras is that he is placed in a group of accient writers. The S anti Parvan, in its present shape, is later than the Kämandakiya whose author it seems to know. See Ch cxxiii. See also § 3 below, p 5, n 6.

** Asveläyana Griliya Sütra, III. 12-16.

4 The Artha Säntra literature had existed before the carliest Dharma-ditras were compiled. See Apastamba Dh. S., II. 5. 10. 14. "цип gulfud unfuguund'i Haradatta "чемпорияны" у цип gulfud.......

¹ Mysore State's Bibliotheca Sunskrita, No. 37, erited by Mr. Shama Sastry, 1902. Translation by Mr. Shama Sastry, 1915, Mysore, is not quite satisfactory. The text in places is not beyond doubt : compare the quotations in the commentary on the Kämandakiya Niti--ära published by the Travancore Government which at times differ greatly from the published text. See also Dr. Borabji Tarapurwala's Notes on the Adhyak kaprachara (1914).

Jatakas, which are regarded as pre-Buddhan (i.e., anterior to 600 B.C.): they recognise Artha, that is, Artha-Sastra, as a chief science for the guidance of successful ministers.¹

The treatises on political theories and practical § 3. governance were originally called DANDA-Terms NITI or the 'Principles of Government" and ARTHA-SASTRA or the 'Code of Common-wealth. Kautilya defines the latter: "ARTHA is "human population, that is to say, territory with human popula-"tion. The Code of Artha (Common-wealth) is a code "dealing with the means (art, upāya) of acquisiton and "growth of that territory." Danda-Niti was the title adopted by Usanas," and Artha-Sastra, by Brihaspati," for their repective works which were very famous in Hindu Classical times. A book, rather an encyclopædia, under the title Danda-Nati, ascribed to Prajapati, is mentioned in the Maha Bharata. The subject is also called Raja Sastra' or the 'Code for the Rulers,' and Raja-Dharma or The Book on Poli- the 'Law for the Rulers.' Under the tics in the Mahalatter term, it has been treated in the Diarata 400 B C.-Santi-Parvan of the Mah&Bharata. 600 A U. The Maha-Bharata draws on materials generally old, but

* Santi Parvan Ivili, 77-78 (Kumbakonam (80-81).

⁸ सनुशाची इतिरघे: सनुश्वाती स्मिन्दिये:, सस्या: इविश्वा सामपासनीपाय: बाइटसर्थशास्त्रमिति । Bk. xv, p. 424 इति: here is explained by the following सनुश्वाती. It has therefore to be taken as इतिरांग्रेलस् (भावे किन्). *Palana* has the rease of growth. not mere maintenance. This is borne out by the description of DapdanIti given by Kautilya (1.4, p. 9): दख्डनीति: चनअखाभाषी, स्ट्यप्रिचयणे, पचित्रविषयंगी, etc.; and also by "चस्रस्थलाभी सक्षपश्विषय रचित्रविषयंगे फेल्प्यीन्यभ: !" Nitiväkyämpita, IL

- 4 Mudra-Rakshasa, I.
- Vāteyāyana, Kāma-Sūtra, I.
 - * Santi P , C. 59 (Bengal) (58, Kumb.) Cf. Kama S. I.
 - * S auti P., C. 58 (Bengal) (57, Kumb.)

¹ Cf. Fausboll, J II. 30, 74.

handled as late as the fifth century after Christ, with an earlier systematization, cir. 150 B.C.¹

Later, the terms Niti ('Policy' or 'Principles') and Works of the 4th and 5th centuries to have superseded the old words Artha and A.C. Danda. Kämandaka calls his metrical treatise a Nitisera. The book ascribed to Sukra, which, in its present shape is a revised edition of an earlier wellknown work, probably based on the ancient Usanas' Danda-Niti, is also called a Nitisara (Sukra-Nitisara).³ The PañchaTantra, which is a book on politics put into fables for the early education of princes and would-be statesmen, adopts the term Naya-Sastra to denote the literature.³

It is interesting to note that the study of Hindu Politics Compilations by was continued, like the study of Hindu Law, Hindu Lawyers of ith to 18th Cen. during Muhammadan times. I was acc. agreeably surprised to find that the famous lawyers, the Nibandhakāras Chandesvara, Mitra-Miśra and Nīlakaņtha, have compiled works on Hindu Politics. There is a Rāja-nīti-Ratnākara, and there is a Vīra-Mitrodaya Rāja-Nīti; similarly, there is also a. Mayākha, called Rāja-Nīti-Mayūkha. As to the value of

³ This work is quoted by law-commentators of the Middle Ages and later. I could not find the quotations in the text of the current SNS It must have been, therefore, revised about the 17th century. It, however, embodies mostly ancient doctrines.

* 'वर-जाल-वर्णम:' | Paficha-Tantra, Ch. 1.

⁴ An old copy of this is in the library of the well-known Sasskritist, Mr. Govinda Das of Benares The Viramitrodaya-Räjaniti has been published in the Chaukhamba

¹ For the date of the Sänti-Parvan see my Tagore Lectures, I. The authors who are treated as historical persons in Kautilya's Artha-S'astra have been taken to be divine and mythological in the S'anti P. The S'akas and the Tokharis are mentioned as having come under Hindu kings (Ch. $|xv\rangle$)—a fact of the early fifth century A.C. It should be also noticed that the political science book of the "Great Bishis" which had been current in the time of Kämandaka (viii. 23) disappeared when the S'anti Parvan was completed (c. 843, 52, Kumb.)

these latter-day works, they, of course, are products of the decadent period. They may be classed together the Politics iu with the political science portions of the Puranas, 6th and 7th centuries, A.C. Puränas The Nibandhakāras and the Puranas have no originality. The Puranas merely copy some chapters from some well-known authors, eg., the Agni-Purana borrows from an author called Pushkara.¹ The Hindu jurists of Muhammadan times, however, evince a strong desire for collecting materials, and the great value of consists in the extracts from their work authorities otherwise unknown. They which are are. moreover. witnesses to living traditions in ceremonial Politics in the books of Dharma(Law) matters. Better materials, next in value only 400 B C - 500 À. C to regular treatises, are to be found in the Aphorisms and Codes of Dharma, under the chapter styled the 'Laws for the King,' which represent the constitutional laws as defined by Dharma writers. § 4. We must not lose sight of another class of mediæval productions. The little book Brihaspati-Works of early Sütra, recently edited by Dr. F. W Thomas Middle Ages (Le Muséon), is one of them. It is a piece of

of Artha-Sāstra literature in Sātras. But in its present shape, it is a product of the Middle Ages, though portions therein are undoubtedly based on ancient materials It gives, as we shall see, some very valuable information. Similarly, the NIti-Vākyāmŗita by Somadeva who flourished in the tenth century A.C., is in Sūtras. It is an eclectic work

Sanskrit Series. Chandes'vara's RN.-Ratnäkara I am editing for the Bihar and Orissa. Research Society.

Before Chandesvara, two other digest-writers had composed Digests of Hindu Politics : one was Lakshmidhara, the well-known author of the law-digest Kalpatara, and the other was the author of the Kim a dhen u. These scholars compiled *Rajansti-Kalpa-tara* and *Rajansti-Kamadhana*, respectively. They have been quoted by Chandes'vara.

³ Cf. also Matsya, Cha. oexv-xxvii.

based generally on old authorities.¹ The satras are generally quotations which the Jaina author calls, not altogether without justification,' immortal essence' (*ampita*) of 'political maxims.'

§ 5. Ethico-political writings are kept out of our scope.

Political ethical and politicoreligious books Opinion, for instance, would be divided as to the true character of certain works in our vernaculars, like the Dāsa-Bodha ('Address

to the Enslaved') by the Teacher Rāma-Dāsa, the great text-book of the time of Šivajī Chhatrapati, and the Hindi books of Guru Govinda-Simha. Mystic and non-political interpretations would be given by religious enthusiasts to many views apparently political. It is therefore better to leave them out in our present studies.

¹ Somadeva quotes a Sütra from Manu, indicating that his was not the Suäyambhuva M., *i.e.*, the author of the Dharma S. In other words, he quotes from the Mänava Artha-Sästra :--

यदाइ वैवलती मनु:। अन्दवङ्भाजपुदानेन दनस्था अपि तपश्चिनी राजान समावयति। तसीव तद्वपात् यसान् गीपार्थते। इति : N. V. 6.

II VEDIC ASSEMBLIES

CHAPTER II

Samiti

The Sovereign Assembly of Vedic Times

§ 6. Going back to the oldest literature of the race, we Samiti represented find from the Vedas that national life and the whole people activities in the earliest times on record were expressed through popular assemblies and institutions. The greatest institution of this nature was the Samiti of our Vedic fore-fathers The word samiti (sam + iti) means 'meeting together,' *i.e.*, an assembly. The Samiti was the national assembly of the whole people or $V i \le a h$ (fau:);' for we find 'the whole people' or Samiti, in the alternative, electing and re-electing the Rajan (TET) or 'King.'' The whole people were supposed to be present in the Assembly.

§ 7. The functions of the Samiti may be gathered from Its functions different references. We have already noticed the most important business of the Samiti, to wit, electing the $R\bar{a}jan$.^a It could also re-elect a king who had been banished.^c They were thus a sovereign

¹ In Vedic times, Hindu Society was divided into Janas, tribes or nations e.g., Anus, Yadus, Kurus. But, at the same time, they were conscious of the fact that they all belonged to one common race, for all of them called themselves "*āryas*" WIVI: The people or the tribe were called *Visas* (func), from which the word *Vaisya* ('one of the people' — 'the commoner') is derived. On Vedic Society, consult Zimmer, Altindisches Leben. See also Macdonell and Keith, *Vedic Index*, sub *Ārya*, Janu, etc.

* विज्ञेला सर्वा वाञ्चल, Rig-Veda, x. 173.1. Atharva-Veda, vi. 87-1.

- भुवाये ते समिति: जल्पतानिइ , △V., vi. 88. 3.
- त्वा विभी इत्रता राज्याच AV., iii 4.2.
- Aiso A.V., iii, 3.4.5. Soo infra § 204.
- * Cf. गाबी समिति: बस्पते ; AV., v. 19. 15.
- * See infra, Chapter XXIII on Vedio Kingship.

b o dy from the constitutional point of view. In the Atharva-Veda, VI. 64, which is a prayer-hymn for union and concord, and also in the Rig Veda, (X 191. 3), we have a prayer for a 'c ommon Samiti' and 'common policy of State' (समानो मन्द्र: समिति: समानो), a 'common aim and a common mind' (समान वर्त सप चित्तमेवाम्).¹ This indicates that matters of state ('mantra') were discussed in the Samiti.

The king attended the Samiti, and it was thought necessary The King and Samiti that he should do so. The Rig-Veda has 'like a true king going to the Samiti' (TIMI = सत्य: समितोरियान:)." The inference is that it was the king's duty to attend the Samiti. and that if he did not attend it, he would be considered 'untrue,' the significance of which we shall see when we come to the ceremony of Vedic Coronation. The practice of the king presenting himself before the Samiti continued probably as long as the Samiti existed. The Chhāndogya Upanishad, one of the youngest Vedic works, relating the visit of Švetaketu Åruneya Gautama to the Samiti of the Pañchālas, mentions the King (Pravāhaņa Jaivala) as present in the Samiti."

§ 8. In deliberations, speakers were anxious to make Deliberations speeches agreeable to the assembled Samiti (ये संपासा: समितयस्तेषु भाद वरेस ते).* The s p e a k e r wanted to prove himself 'brilliant, not to be contradicted,' in the Samiti* To these deliberations would also refer the prayer in the Atharva-Veda, II, 27:

¹ "Same be their counsel, same their assembly, same their aim, in common their thought." Bloomfield, S B. E., xlii, 136,

* Chh. Up., V.3. Cf. Br. Ar. Up. vi. 2 See § 9 below.

^{*} BV., ix 92.6; Cf वयीवची: समस्मत राजान: उत्तिताविव, BV. x. 97.6.

⁴ AV., vii-12. 1 ; xii. 1. 56.

[&]quot;When he has arrived at the Samiti, he should murmur 'Superior (to my adversaries) I have come hither, brilliant, not to be contradicted ? "When we are fitting for a vedic text quoted in Päraskara-Gribya-Satra, III-18.4. See SBE, axis, p. 363.

"May the enemy not win the debate......Overcome the debate of those that debate against us, render them devoid of force!

"Overcome thou the debate of him that is hostile to us, O Indra ! Encourage us with thy might ! Render me superior in debate."

questions other than political were also That 89. occasionally discussed in the Samiti, is borne Non-political business of the Samiti out by the above-mentioned record about Svetaketu. Śvetaketu was a young man of great learning who, as the Chhändogya says,² claimed complete knowledge of the sacred and philosophic literature at the age of twenty-In the Chhändogya and Brihadāraņyaka Upanishads, four. we see the young man who had just completed his education, presenting himself before the Samiti, also called the Parishad of the Pafichalas (utgitini that and पद्मानानां परिषटमानगाम). The Kshatriya (Rajanya) king in the Assembly of the Pafichala nation, Pravahana Jaivali (or Jaivala), put to him five philosophic questions, none of which the bumptious young man (Kumāra) could answer, and "he had to go away with the remark of Jaivali: "How could "anybody who did not know these things say that he had been "educated?"³ Here we find the Samiti acting as a sort of national academy.

§10. It is noticeable that references to the Samiti in the Big Veda are to be found only in portions which are considered to be the latest. We may, therefore, conclude that the Samiti was

a product of the developed, not early, Vedic age The developed stage of debate, evidently a free right of discussion, the anxiety of the debater to win over the opinion of others, all point to a culture of considerable degree. In the Germanic folk-assembly, we have some nobleman speaking and the ordinary people present merely expressing

¹ S.B.E. XLII-137-8.

^{*} Chhändogya Up., VI (Prapeithaka), 1 Cf. Apastamba Dharma-Sütra I. 2, 5.6,

^{*} Chh. Up., v 3; Bri. Ar. Up. vi 2.

inarticulate approval, conveyed by noise of arms¹: the stage of debate is not yet known to them. It is therefore not accurate to compare the Samiti (as some European scholars have done) with the early folk-assemblies of Western Europe.

Another index of the developed stage of the Samiti is that President of the the Samiti, like the Sabhä (which we shall presently discuss) had its Pati or Isana, President. For instance, see the mantra cited in Pāraskara-Grihya-Sūtra, III. 13.4: 'the lord (Isāna) of this assembly is a man insuperable in his power.'³

It is clear, as observed above, that the whole people were considered to be present in the Samiti. But Constitution of the when, for instance. Svetaketu goes to the Samiti Samiti of the Pafichalas where philosophers and statesmen were sitting, it would be hardly probable that the whole nation, without any principle of representation, would be actually present. We already find in the Vedio period the principle of representation appreciated and variously acted upon: we have the Gramanior the 'leader' of the town or village, as a representative persona in the coronation ceremony;" representatives of trade also are seen at the ceremony. From a passage in the 'Hymn to the Land' of the Atharva-Veda, where the Samitis (XII. 1.56. ये संयामा समितय: ' 'the assembled Samitis') of the whole land are referred to, it seems that those who were assembled (samgrāmāh) were the 'villages together' (samgiāma). The village, as a collective unit, is well known: Saryāta Mānava 'wandered about ' with his grāma (Satapatha, IV. 1, 5, 2, 7).* In later times in

- * वे वामा बदरेख वा समा अधिमुम्धाम् ।
- वे संयामाः समिनयक्ते नु चार्च पर्दम वे ।

⁶ Cf. "In several passages, the word (grama) _{bocurs} with what appears to be the derivative seuse of 'body of mea." Macdonell and Keith, Vedic Index, I. 245.

¹ Tacitne, Moribus et Populis Germanice c. ii.

^{*} S. B. E., xxix. 362. Original: अम्या: पर्षेद रेजान: सङ्गा सुदृष्ट्री जन इति ।

^{*} See infra § 211.

in law-books, 'the village' fights out legal disputes; 'the village' is even fined. The Grāmanī was the key-stone of the villageconstitution. The village even took to themselves the name of their leader (Grāmanī). We have the 'village-together' meeting (samgrāma) 'desirous of agreement' in the Taittirtya Samhitā (संयामे संयत्ते समयतामः).² It seems that the village formed the basis of the constitution of the Samiti, if not originally, certainly, in later times.

The Samiti had a very long life. We find it in the 611 Vedic age itself regarded as eternal, called Historical career of 'a daughter of Prajāpati," the Creator. the Samiti It must have been, therefore, an ancient institution even then. Its continuous existence is attested by the Rig-Veda and the Atharva-Veda, and later, by the Chhāndogya Upanishad (800 or 700 B. C.) which nearly marks the end of the later Vedic period. The period covered by these records extends over several conturies. That the institution did not far outlive the later Vedic age and did not come down to the epoch which in its latest phase saw the rise of empires, is borne out by the Pāraskara-Grihya Sūtra (c 500 B. C) which treats the Samiti (called by the other name, Parishat, or rather Parshat)* evidently as a reminiscence (Par. G. III. 13. 4.) Ϊn Påraskara the ancient tradition of Samiti is merely applied to the Sabhā, as the opening sentence indicates (पद्यात: सभाषत्रेशनम). The Samiti disappears before the time of the Jātakas (600 B. C.) We have thus the age-history of the Samiti from the latter part of the millennium of the Rig-Veda

³ See Kasika on P., v. 3. 112, 'Eugni unwitui a afi çacmani'.

* ii, 1.8.4. Cf. आयॉथे सुद्रहिरेक्सल समय: । अपुनि: सन्धिरित्वन्धे। Bhatta Bhāskara Mifra on TS.

Inter-village gathering for war purposes gave the secondary meaning of 'war' to the expression somgrama.

• A. V., VII. 12.

* Parishat, lit. moans the 'great session.' The mesting of the Samiti came to denote the Samiti itself. The form parshat is also occasionally found (Cf. Baudhäyana, Dh. S., i. 1. 9.) down to about 700 B. C., a life extending certainly over a thousand years, and very likely longer. In the imperial epoch, we naturally do not find the Samiti, but we find another institution which, as we shall see (Ch xxvii), was a phœnix, arisen out of the ashes of the Samiti.

CHAPTER III

The Sabha

§ 12. There was another noteworthy constitutional organism in the Vedic Age and later. It was the distinguished popular body Sabhā. It is described as a sister of the Samiti, one of the two daughters of Prajāpati¹ This also was a popular body. Prayer for cooperation in the Sabhā shows that discord in the Sabhā assembly was as much disliked and dreaded as in the Samiti. The

¹ Atharva-Voda : 7, 12.

सुभा च मा समितिशावता मुजापते दु हितरौ संविदाने । यैना संगका जय मा च चित्रावाद वदानि पितरः स नेते दु ॥ र ॥ विग्न तै सभे नाम मुरिष्टा नाम वा चलि । ये ते च च सभासदने में सन्तु सवाचसः ॥ र ॥ एवामुद सुनाधीनानां वची विज्ञानना ददे । प्रमा: सर्वस्वा: सुंसदी मामिन्द भूगिने झख ॥ २ ॥ सरबी मून: परांगत् सरबद्दमिइ मेड वा । तदब जा का याम् सि मयि की रमता जन् ! ॥ ३

Translation :---

(1) "May the Samiti and the Sabhā, the two danghters of Prajāpati, concurrently aid me May he with whom I shall meet co-operate with me; may I, O Ye Fathers, speak agreeably to those assembled.

(2) "We know thy name, O Assembly : Narishtä verily is thy name. May all those that sit assembled in these utter speech in harmony with me.

(3) "Of them that are sitting together I take to myself the power and the understanding in this entire gathering, O Indra, render me successful."

(4) "If your mind has wandered to a distance, or has been enchained here or there, then do we turn it hither: May your mind take delight in me !"

[I have adopted the translation of the hymn as proposed in the Sacred Books of the East volume on the Atharva-Veda (zlii, 138), with the exception of the readering of of mariand which has been translated there as,⁴ mirth,⁴ and, alternatively, as 'most favourable to men' (p. 544).]

-3

Subha is called narishta which Sayana explains as a resolution of 'many' that cannot be broken or violated (नविष्टा: परितिता

Its remistions

परेरनभिसाम्या विषयः संभय यद्येवं वास्यं वदेवसाहि न परेरतिलक्माम चत: चनतिलक्मावाकालात् नरिष्टेति WIN (Hence from the inviolability the name is derived.' With reference to this name, the speaker wishes that 'all those that sit assembled in Thee, utter speech in harmony with me.' Free discussion was thus held in the Sabhā, and a resolution of the Sabhā was considered binding on all and inviolable. Evidently, the Sabha was almost as important as the Samiti.

\$ 13 It was certainly related to the Samiti, but its exact relationship is not deducible from the data available. Probably it was the standing and stationary body of selected men working under the authority of the Samiti. Sabha, means, lit., 'a body of men shining together." Those entitled to a seat therein were invested, so to say, with lustre. They are pointedly alluded to.² They were objects of special respect.^{*} The Sabhā had its president, called Sabhā-pati* It seems there were 'Elders' in the Sabhā. Composition of the We meet with Elders and 'Fathers' of Sabha councils in other ancient bodies holding executive authority (§ 43), Reference to the "Fathers" in the above-quoted hymn is probably to the Fathers or Elders in the Sabhā. a view which Sayana alternatively puts forward (? funt: पालवा:.....पिटमता वा हे सभासदी जनाः).

\$ 14. One function of the Sabhā is definitely clear. The Subhā acted as the national judicature. Judicial Function of The Sabha is called 'Trouble' and 'Vehethe Sabhä mence' in the Paraskara Griliya." As 'trouble' and 'vehemence'

4 See \$ 14, m. 2.

¹ CE. Jayarama : सुद्र धर्मेंच साम्रि भारति समा : Paraskara Gribya, iil 13 1.

^{*} AV. vii, 12 ; White Yajur, zvi. 28.

^{*} Cf. W. Yajur-Veda, xvi, 24 ; नम: समाम: समापतिमास (

[&]quot;" iii. 13. anceation for the section of the sectio

were in store there for the culprit, the Sabhā seems to have acquired those names, like the present day appellation "Crimnal" of our Criminal Courts. In the Purushamedha of the Sukla Yajur-Veda, Sabhā Chara, 'one going to the Sabhā,' is dedicated as a victim to Justice (धर्माग समाचरन, 30.6). Again, the Rig-Veda (X. 71 10) describes the friends of one who has come back successful from the Sabhā 'joyful' and 'delighted' and the man bimself as 'free from blame :'

भर्वं नन्दस्ति य्यमागतन समासाहेन सख्या सखाय: । किल्बिषस्प्रत्यितुषणिर्ध्ववामरं हितो भवति वार्जिनाय ॥

The White Y a j u r-Veda mentions also people repenting of the

The white I ajur-veda mentions also people repenting of the sine committed in the Sabh \bar{a}^{1}

The Jātakas preserve an old memorial verse, which says that the Sabhā which has no good people (सतो) is no Sabhā, that the people who do not speak out the Dhamma (justice) are not good people, that those who avoid personal sentiments and speak out justice are called the 'good people':

/ न सा सभा यत्य न संति सती न ते संतो ये न भगन्ति धंमं।

🥼 रागं च दोन'च पहाय मोहं धंम' भणन्ता च भवन्ति संतो ॥

§ 15. In Vedic literature the expression Sabhā is used in Sabhā a later Rig vedic institution a house, the gambling hall, and the royal court In our constitutional sense, there is only one late

($\pi \not\in \pi \$ $\pi \$

- सदयामे सहरेक समाभाश महित्यि।

यणा हो यहाँ यहेनेवझना वर्ष वहेक्स्याधि धर्म वि तस्वाव्यत्रनमसि ॥ XX. 17.

* Jataka, v. 509. The first half of the verse is given by Vyasa in his lawbook in the description of the legal Subha (Aparärka, Y., H. 4). Instead of santo ('the good') Vyasa has widding or Elders, which shows that probably the subha was originally composed of Elders. reference in the Big-Veda, viz., in X.71.10, noticed above. The rise of the Sabhā, therefore, is to be dated like that of the Samiti in the latest period of the Big-Veda. Its career is co-extensive with that of the Samiti. Even during royal and imperial centralisation, as we shall see, the judicial Sabhā of the king preserved traces of its popular origin and retained some important popular features in its administration of justice.

§ 16. The Samiti and Sabhā were not the only popular Fidaths and Send institutions of the Vedic times. The religious life was organised through the V i d a that a ssem bly,' which had existed even earlier' than the Samiti. It seems to have been the parent folk-assembly from which the Sabhā, Samiti and Senā differentiated, for we find the V i d a that associated with civil, military and religious functions (Roth).' The Senā or the Army, which was in early times the nation-in-arms, was regarded as a body by itself and evidently as a constitutional unit :

त समा च समितित सेना च

(AV., XV.9.2)

Much information about the Sepä is not yet available, and we are here more concerned with the civil aspect of our Hindu polity.

§ 17. In later times education was separately organised in Post-Vedic tendency Charagas, which were a sort of Vedic Faculties The Parishad or Convocation of learning had evidently separated from the

¹ AV. 1. 134. (Whitney translates by 'cospecil')

². RV. L 60. (where fire is called the flag (Ketu) of Vidatha. Zimmer (p. 177) thinks, probably not correctly, that it was a smaller body of the Samiti (M & K).

* विद्येल बीवि: धर्न रांबाना मुद्दि दशांदे, BV. 8. 58. 5; BV., 17. 1. 4., 3.26.5. See also M. & K., F J. on Vidatha.

• This and the reference in the Prithivi-Sükta (AV. zii. 1. 56) conclusively prove that the Subha was a distinct institution like the Scall and that it was not the building where the Samiti met, as taken by some scholars. general national *Parishad* or *Samiti*. Economic life, similarly, was crystallised in trade-guilds and guildsmerchant whose existence is traced in the Jätakas and Dharma-sütras. In post-Vedic times, national life thus expresses itself into various self-governing institutions, and, in doing so, it really carries forward the Vedic traditions of communal institutions. III HINDU REPUBLICS : (a) RISE AND PROSPERITY

CHAPTER IV

Rise of Hindu Republics

and

Hindu Terms for Republics

§18. Hindu Republics are another illustration of the communal self-governing habits of the Republics generally post Vedic age, referred to in our last pust-Vedic institutions The early Vedas know only paragraph. monarchy. Departure from this normal constitution was made in post-Vedic times, and, as Megasthenes also records the tradition, 'sovereignty (kingship) was 'dissolved and democratic governments set up' in various places.' The Mahā-Bhārata, similary, as we shall see in our discussion on Hindu Monsrchy, considers monarchy alone as the Vedic form of government. The hymns of the Rik and Atharvan, the view of the Mahā Bhārata and the tradition which Megasthenes heard in India in the fourth century B.C., all point to the fact that republican form of government in India came long after monarchy, and after the early Vedic age. It appears in the later Vedic literature: in the Rigvedic Brahmana the Aitareya, and in the Yajurveda and its Brāhmaņa the Taittiriya. The republican constitutions mentioned in these docu nents will be discussed, for the sake of convenience and clearness, after the better known cases of later history."

¹ Epitome of Megasthenes, Diod. II. 38; Mc Crindle, Megasthenes, pp. 38, 40.

^{*} Son Ch. X below.

The account of Hindu States of non-kingly forms of government presents a great chapter in the constitutional history of the race. We will therefore pay particular attention to it in these studies.

§ 19. Prof. Rhys Davids, in his Buddhist India, showed that republican form of government obtained Ancient terms for in the country of the Buddha and his Hindu republics neighbours. But it had not been pointed out that our literature preserves technical names for Hindu Republics. One of these terms which first attracted my attention was the word Gans. In the AcharThga Sütra of the Jaina branch of Hindu literature. I came across the terms Do-rajjāņi and Gaņa-rāyāņi (II 3.1.10)' which struck me as defining constitutions. Dorajjani were states ruled by two rulers; similarly, Gana-rayani would be states where Gansor 'numbers' ruled. In other places, I found the word gan a slone standing for a gan a-state. Further enquiry supplied evidence to confirm my belief that Gana denoted a republic and that its interpretation as then current ('tribe,' by Fleet and others ; 'corporation of tradesmen or workmen,' by Bühler) was wrong. I futher noticed that Samghawas another term in the same sense. Some of the data on which my conclusions were based were set forth in the original essay published under the title of the present work." Now I am in possession of new materials which throw further light on the significance of the two terms.

§ 20. It is necessary to ascertain what was exactly meant
Significance of 'gama' by g a n a. It means 'numbers': gama-rajya
will therefore mean the rule of 'numbers,'
'the rule by many' Here the Buddhist Canon comes to our

³ प्राधायि वा अपराधायि वा जुपरादायिया दीरकाचि वा वेरकाचि था विवहरत्वाचि या-See on the significance of these terms *infra* §§ 100-101. Gaps-rajya is given by Varaha-mihira also. Br. S. IV. 14.

^{*} The Modern Review, Calcutta, 1913.

assistance. The Buddha was asked as to how the number of the monks was to be made out i:-

"At that time the people asked the Bhikkhus who went about "for alms: 'How many Bhikkhus are there, Reverend Sirs?'

"The Bhikkhus replied, 'We do not know, friends !'

"The people were annoyed... They told this thing to the "Blessed Oue."

The Buddha prescribed that the brethren should be counted on the Uposatha day by the system employed in a gana or by collecting voting tickets:

"I prescribe, O Bhikkhus, that you count (the Bhikkhus) on "the day of Uposatha by the method of gapas (gapa-"maggens gapetum) or that you take the voting tickets (salākā)."

The Bhikkhus were to be counted in an assembly by the method of counting votes as done in a g a n a, or by the method of ballot-voting' where tickets were collected. In this connexiou, let us also take the term gana-paraka of the Pali Canon.⁴ The gana-paraka was an officer who saw whether the lawful quorum of the assembly was formed before it transacted official business. It literally means "the Completer of the G a n a." Gana thus was the assembly or parliament, so called because of the 'number' or 'numbering' of the members present. G a n a-r \bar{s} j va, consequently, denoted government by assembly or parliament. The secondary meaning of Gana came to be 'parliament' or 'senate,' and as republics were governed by them, gana came to mean a republic itself.

§ 21. Pānini, dealing with the formation of the word samaha, in III. 3. 86 (संत्रोजी गणप्रणंत्रयो: 1) says that the word

¹ Mahdwagga, II, 18 See Translation by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg in S.B.E., XIII, p 269.

^{*} See infra, Ch. XI, on Procedure of Deliberations.

[•] negerit unfungiatia, Mahdangga, 111, 6, 6; Cf. S.B.E., Vol. XIII, p. 807.

sampha (as against the regular samphāta,' derived from han, III 3. 76) is in the meaning of g a p a. When Papini has occasion to mention individual Samphas, he names communities which are known from monumental and other evidence to have been republican." In his time Sampha denoted Gana, and the religious Samgha does not appear to have come into prominence In fact, as we shall see, the latter was a copy of the political Samgha Known republican bodies are called by Kautilys Samphas." There is thus not much room to doubt that Samgha originally meant a republic The most ancient work of the Buddhist, the Pali Pitaks, itself confirms this. The Majjbima Nikāya (1. 4. 5. 35) uses the terms sampha and gana side by side, taking them to mean, without the fear of least confusion, the republics of the time of the Buddha: 'imesam pi hi bho Gotama samghānam, 'gapānam seyathidam Vajjinam Mallānam' "and "this is so, O Gotama, in respect of the Samghas, "Ganas, for instance, the Vajjis, Mallas." Thus sampha and also gana, which are used here as in Panini, synonymously, signified, par excellence, the republics. The republics were the samphas and the gamas at the time. Their secondary use, adoption by religious communities of the time, was just taking shape."

The term gana signified the form of government. Sangha, on the other hand, signified the state. As Patafijali says, Sangha is so called because it is one body, a unity (samhanana).⁴ As we shall see presently, the Sangha, as a unit, a body politic, had its 'arms' like a king or a corporation sggregate.

- ¹ तपत्रमंखयी: किस् । संघात: । Käsikä, p. 214 (Benares, 1898).
- * See below, e vii.
- * See the use of a a m g h I and g a p I in MN. 1. 4. 5, and also here 5 below 28, a.
- * संपन्न करा., on Papini, V. 1. 59; Kielhorn, II, p. 356 (2nd Ed.)
- See infra § 41.

§ 22. A wrong value to gana has been assigned in the Dictionary of Monier-Williams which has Controversy on Gaça in England misled many modern students of Sanskrit literature Monier-Williams translated the word by the English word tribe. This meaning was adopted by the late Dr. Fleet in his translation of the Gupta Inscriptions in connexion with the Malara-gana of those records. When my interpretation was published, Dr F. W Thomas, the foremost Indianist scholar in England, pointed out that the 'tribe' meaning was to be given up. And when Dr. Fleet insisted on the correctness of his rendering-'tribe'-Dr Thomas challenged him to show that meaning from Sanskrit literature. Dr. Fleet found no authority except that of modern English translations of the Raghuvaméa and the Mahā-Bhārata. Dr. Thomas drew attention to the fact. that the St. Petersburg Dictionary and some later Dictionaries never authorised and accepted the 'tribe' interpretation' Further data collected by me on the point leave no room for controversy.

§ 23. Pāṇini, as we have seen, equates gana with sampha. Paṇini on Gaṇa No one would say that the word sampha can in any way be connected with 'tribe' there. Again, new gaṇas were founded.² Would that mean that new 'tribes' were founded? Such a meaning would hardly deserve consideration

§ 24. The Jātakas, vols. I and II, have two passages The Jatakas on which help us considerably in appraising the Gava value of gana. They describe how families in Śrāvastī managed to entertain the Bhikkhus of the Buddha's Order. Some households combined into threes and fours, in some cases the whole quarter combined together, and jointly

¹ J. R. A. S. 1914, pp. 413, 1010; 1915, p. 533; 1916, p. 162

⁸ See infra, Ch. xv. See Samaññaphala Sutta (§§ 2-7); where Teachers, as founders of new schools, are called "Samphi cheva gani cha,"— Founder of Sampha and Founder of game.' This also displaces the 'tribe' theory.

provided for the feasts of the Bhikkhus, while in some cases 'many' pepople managed the entertainment by 'combining' according to the 'gana-binding' (gana-bandhana).' Here the real sense of gana becomes apparent: assembly or association. The word bandhana (the binding, Ger. 'bund') shows the artificial nature of the gana-organization, as opposed to the tribal and primitive.

§ 25. The best discussion on the subject is Ch. 107 of the Santi-Parvan of the Maha-Bharata which tells us in the clearest possible terms what gana was. The Maha-Bharata on Gana I give the whole chapter with translation in chapter XIV below. Ganas were noted, according to that, for their successful foreign policy, for their full treasury, for their ready army for their skill in war, for their good laws, for their discipline. Mantra or policy of state and the discussion of that policy by the large number of the gan a are alluded to. These characteristics, among others, cannot refer to a tribe as such or to a corporation of traders; they refer to gan a as an organization of assembly-government or republic. Its general meaning is assembly² and technical, assemblygovernment or a republic.

In the time of the legal commentators, ganas as Hindu Law and political institutions had long ceased to exist. Amara-kosa on Gana But the commentators never fell into the error of taking them as 'tribes.' They treat them as artifical associations or assemblies, eg, take the view cited by Dr. Jolly in his translation of Närada (S. B. E., xxxiii, p. 6, n.), "gana, an assembly of co-habitants." Dr. Jolly,

¹ Jätaka, I. 422 : Kadächi 119i-chattāri ekato hutvā, kadāchi gaņa-bandhanena, kadāchi vīthi-sabhāgena, kadāchi sakala-nagaram chhandakam samharitvā. Jātaka, II. 45. gaņa-bandhanena bahū ekato hutvā

^{*} In that meaning it is used also in the Divydvadana which calls the body of ministers the $ga \neq a$ of ministers, pp. 404, 429.

^{*} Cf. Jagannätha, "चादिबन्दी वयसंघारिसमुद्रविवचया," Jolly, Närada-Buriti (text),

in fact, translates gana in verse 7 of Nārada as assembly,' and ganārtham' as 'on behalf of Society.' Though it is not strictly the technical sense of Nārada, yet it is very near the original sense and it follows the spirit.

A mara an author of the early Gupta time (very probably, under Chandra Gupta Vikramāditya) explains in his lexicon the constitutional terms Rājaka and Rājanyaka as a gaņa of kings and a gaṇa of Kshatriyas ordinary rulers) respectively (... भय राजकम्। राजन्यक भ ट्यतिश्ववियाण्यां गणे कमात् । II. 8. xi. 3-4).

§26 In the Avadāna-Šataka, gaņa-rule is opposed The Avadānasataka to royal rule. Merchants from the Middle on Gapa Country of Northern India had gone to the Deccan in the time of the Buddha. When questioned by the King of the Deccan, 'Gentlemen Merchants, who is the king there (in Northern India)?,' they replied :

"Your Majesty, some countries are under gan as and some are under kings.""

Here the royal form of government is contrasted with the *gana*-form; these were the two divisions. And the only form of government which can be contra-distinguished from the royal is republican.

§ 27. A Jaina text in explaining gana says that with Jaina definition reference to human society gana is a group with the main characteristic of 'possessing a mind,' of being conscious. According to the text there is also an abuse of the term. The examples given of its right use are: 'the gana of the Mallas (a known republican community, as we shall

p. 163 n. Nilakantha in his *Vyavahāra-Muyākha* (Ch. on Samvid-vyatikrama), cijuates gaņa with Samgha.

¹ S. B. E., XXXIII, p. 849, verse 24.

⁸ Ed. Speyer, Petrograd, 1902, II., p. 103 "चच सञ्चर्द्रावचित्री दचिषापर्व गता: । त: राग्री महाकप्रियस अध्यतमुपनीतम् । राज्या छात्र भी वचित्र: कसल राजेति । वचित्र: कपयति । देव वैष्ट्रिया गयाधीना: वैचिद्राजाधीना इति ।" I am thankful to Mr. Ramaprasad Chanda for this roterence.

31

presently see') and 'the gana of the Pura,' i.e., the Pura assembly (see below, ch. xxviii, on the Paura). As an example of its abuse, the gana of Vasus (Vasu gods) is given by the commentator. Its non-social use is pointed out in music (bhāva-gaņa). Non-constitutional ganas, according to the commentary, lack mentality or purpose [in making up the group], eg, 'Vasugana' (the Vasu gods).³ In other words, the application of the word to a non-constitutional body is distingished. The constitutional gana is the real gana, and in the eye of the Jaina authority. it has a mind: it is an organised conscious body of men. like the political assembly of the Mallas or the assembly of the corporate Paura. It is a corporate assembly, as opposed to a mere multitude or chance collection.

28. This passage, along with the discourse in the Mahā-Bhārata on G a n a s, the Jātaka and the Avadāna references, and Pāṇini's equation of s a m g h a with g a n a leave no doubt as to the real significance of g a n a.

Now we may pass on from the terms to the republics themselves.

कुणावयणन्त्र जीचभर पीसन्नगीयार्थ ॥-Text quoted in the Jaina Präkrita Encyclopædia, Abhidhana-Rajendra (Rutlam, 1919), Vol: HI, p. 812, comments : छणित्रसभूषी यथा सङ्घनज:......पणित्तसभूषी यथा वसूगण: कुप्रवणने द्रव्यनची वधा घरवादिगण: । घरज: परिज्ञाजय: (p. 814).

Cf. on wiw Panini, IV. 247; and IV. 3. 96 where political loyalty is implied to be with mentality,' thought'; and see infra § 118 and ns.

32

¹ See ch. vii. below.

^{*} संचितादि समुद्दो सीमन्त्रि नची स महपूरादि।

CHAPTER V

Republics in Panini

§ 29. Pāņini ni gives most valuable information about Hindu Republics of his time which I take to Pāņini on Samgha be about 500 B.C.¹ He gives several rules about the formation of words connected with S a m g h a s. The large number of the rules impresses one with the importance which the contemporaries of Pāṇini attached to the existing republics. Like any other important, orthodox institution the Republics engaged the attention of the orthodox grammarians. Further, Pāṇini gives an indication as to the part of the country and area covered by the republics in his days.

With Pāņini, as we have seen above, the word S a m g h a is a technical term which denoted the *political Sampha*, or, as he calls it, the G a n a or Republic. He does not know the *religious Sampha*, which, as we shall presently see (§ 43), was a copy of the *political Sampha*. In the days of Pāṇini, Buddhist and Jaina Samphas either did not exist (and in that case Pāṇini's date would be about 600 B. C.), or they had not become important. It is to be noticed that Kātyāyana (cir. 400 B.C.)²

-5

¹ This date is based on political data in Pāņini which may to discussed in detail in a separate paper. Here, however, attention may be drawn to the fact that Pāņini knew the Order of Makkhali Wanderers (*mMaskarin*, VI. 1.154; M.V., p. 256, *Muskarm*'; see the remark of Patañjali on the word). The Makkhalis merged into the AjTvakas in the time of Makkhali Gosāla, contemporary of the Buddha, from whose time they acquired the latter designation. Anga had evidently ceased to be'a separate kingdom, while Kosala was still independent (IV.1.170-175). Again, Pāņini knew Sampha, as discussed above, only in the sense of a republic. The mention of the script of the *Yaranas* can now be easily explained, in view of their identification proposed here below with the Hellenic City State of Nysa, on the Kabul river, which had existed long before Alexander. See also Greek letters on Persian coins struck in India, Rapson, IC., pl. 1

^{*} J. BORS , L. pp., 82, 116.

found Sampha in the same technical sense as Pänini, for he gives no $v\bar{a}rttika$ to Pänini, HI. 3.86, in dissent. K a u t i l y a (cir. 300 B C) too has the same use but with the difference that he employs it in a general sense as well (pp 36, 49, 407), viz., that of a corporate association.

§ 30. Pāņini in V. 3. 114 to 117 gives taddhita rules with regard to the Samphas of the Vāhīka country. By those rules, the members of a particular Samgha, when mentioned, could be distinguished, whether they were Brahmins, Kshatriyas, or of castes other than those. For instance, take the well-known example of the Mālavas, called Malloi by Alexander's historians.¹ A non-Brahmin and a non-Kshatriya of the Mālava Samgha would be called Mālavyah; while a Kshatriya would be Mālavah, the plural in each case being Mālavāh.³ This points to the stage of a developed, the familiar, Hindu society, as opposed to a tribal stage.

§ 31. Kātyāyana on Namplia Kātyāyana on Namplia Kātyāyana on Namplia in making a derivative from the national designation of a Kshatriya will hold good only if the Kshatriya is not a member of a Samgha, for the rule is limited to the case of a mon archy.³

* भाग्रथजीविसंघाय् व्याङ्गडीवेच्य्राङ्मभराजनात् । ॥ । । १४। । १४।

Kasika...वाही ब्यु व आयुधभाविसघत्तराधनः प्रातिपदिकारक्राम्रव-वासवार्वजात्सार्थे अपूर् प्रत्ययी संवति । ताक्षचे तरिष्ठंषयहचन् । गल्नचे तु - व्ययहचसेव... ..चौट्रसा: चौट्र-ौ - चट्रकाः । मात्रव्याः । मात्रव्यो । मात्रवाः ... pp 455 456.

¹ The credit of identifying the Oxydrakai and Malloi of the Greek writers with the Kshudrakas and Malaras of the grammar belongs to Sir Rämakrishpa Gopäla Bhandarkar, who was the first to correct the mistaken identification put forward by scholars. They had taken the former to stand for sudras. See Ind Ant., Vol., 1, p. 23.

The results of the above discussion are that S a m g h a is contrasted with m on a r c h y, and that a Sampha or a Hindu republic had Brahmin members, Kshatriya members and other castes, *i.e.*, the personnel of the Samphas was not composed of one caste or tribe.

 $\bar{A}_{yudhajicin Samphas}$ § 32. Pāniai mentions the following usined in Papini Samyhas or Republics by name:—

- 1. the $V \mathbf{r} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}$,¹
- 2 the Dāmani ('and others'),²
- 3. the Trigartta-Shashtha² or the League to of the Six Trigarttas whose names are 8. given in the Kāsikā on the authority of an ancient verse as follows³:—
 - (a) the Kaundoparatha:
 - (b) the Dāņdaki:
 - (c) the Kaushtaki:
 - (d) the Jālamāni:
 - (e) the Brāhmagupta:
 - (f) the Janaki:
- 9. the Yaudheya, 'and others,' and

10. the Paréva, 'and others.' 4

षध वविषयइच विभवम् । इड सा भूत् । विदेष्ठी नाम ब्राधवसम्यापत्वं वैदेष्टि:

-Kielhorn, Vol. II, pp. 268-69.

े ! इकाई छाए ॥ une une the connexion of this Sutra is with the previous one, which is already quoted above.

- * दामन्यादिविगर्तवष्ठाण्डः ॥ ५॥ ११ ११ .
- ³ पाइस्तिनर्गवर्षात् कौछीपरबादान्डकी।

4

- कौटकिजीवमानिक साम्रायुष्तीऽवज्ञानकि: в p=456

4 पर्वादियोधियादिभामपञ्जी aunantee The Masika, after this Sutra, eave that with 117, the dyudhajiri-sampha description ends. By iv. 1. 178 (to be read with

Pānini calls these Samghas ā y u d h a-j i v i n s. Kautilya has in its place Sastropajtvins. Now, what is the meaning of this? The first impression will Interpretation of be to interpret it as 'those who live by Ayudhajtvin the profession of arms,' as I took it myself in my essay in the Modern Review. But this meaning is not maintainable for two reasons. The Artha Sastra contrasts the Sastropajīvin Samghas with Rājasabdopajivin Samghas, which means, according to Kautilya's further discussion (p. 377)¹ the Samghas whose rulers assume the 'title' (sabda) of rajan. We know from inscriptions, coins and literature that elected or appointed rulers in some Indian republics did assume that title.² Now, here 'upajīvin' cannot mean 'living by,' as a republic cannot live by the title rājā. The meaning which would suit both classes of Kautilya's republics is the other known meaning of the verb upajiv, 'to observe' e.g., in Manu, X. 74, where a Brahmin is asked to upajiv the six duties, which include making gifts." Upajivin in that sense explains that the Samghas called 'Sastropajivins' observed the practice of arms or military art, and that the Samghas called 'Râjasabdopajivins' observed the practice of assuming the title r a j ā n. In other words, their respective constitutions enjoined on the members to be skilled in military

- ¹ राजमन्दिसिरवरद्वमवचित्रं वा... AS. XIX, p. 377.
- * Cf. c. xviii, below ; also § 51.
- त्राहाचा त्रहायीनिम्ता दे स्वचर्षस्ववस्थिता: । ते सम्मगुपजीवेयु: पद्वक्षांचि यचाक्रमम् ॥ ०० ॥ अभ्यापममभ्यसर्ग स्वनं सालनं तद्या । दानं प्रतियङ्चेन पद्वक्षास्वराजसान: ॥ ०० ॥ Manu, X. Kullaka, उपजीवेनु:=चन्द्रिष्ठे यः

Sutra 168 supra) Pănini calls the Y a u d h e y a s a janapada, a nation or country, i.e., political community.

The Parsvas of Panini were in the Vähika country (See § 34 infra), and there were Brahmine and Rājanyas amongst them. The Parsvas are mentioned in the Vedas also. VI., I. pp. 504-5.

art in the former, and on the rulers or every privileged member to bear the title in the latter (§ 59).

§ 33. The Macedonian writers' describe many of these republics, two of which are the grammarians' 'military' (syudhajivin or sastropajivin) Samghas : the Kshudrakas and the Mālavas. Their territories and populations were large. Their states comprised several cities. They were very rich. Any idea of mercenary life is excluded by the Greek description. They were large so vereign states, with noted wealth, prosperity and civil organisation. Do these writers notice any characteristics which may correspond to the ayudhaisvin? They do; and their datum agrees with the interpretation which we have offered above. They say that these free communities were the most famous for their military skill.1 The Greek writers further describe one constitution the law of which made the citizens devote only limited attention to military matters, implying thereby that others did the opposite^{*} Up a j iv thus has a reference to their conventional or constitutional practice. The ayudhajsvins of Pānini are, to be taken as denoting those republics which considered military art as the vital principle of their constitution. That was the chief feature of their constitution in the eyes of their contemporaries, as the chief feature in the other class of republics was the law which allowed the elected president (or every member of a ruling council) to be called raja or 'king.'

¹ See below, Chapter viii, on Hindu Republics in Greek Writers.

^{*} See Strabo, zv. 34, on Mousikanos; § 51, below.

^{*} I take this opportunity to correct the interpretation which I put on the expression rajas'abdopajivins formerly. I thought that it referred to all the citizens of a republic being called rajans. But now I find that no such constitution existed. The republics mentioned in Buddhist documents, which we shall discuss presently, allowed the title only to the elected president, although the citizens in general are alluded to as rajans, in the sense that they composed the soverein body, and also that every one had the possibility of being elected Rajan

§34. Further, Pānini says that these republics were situated in the Vählka Country. Now. Indentification of the VAbtka-land what was the Vähika Country? It has been already realized that the people VShIkas mentioned in the Mahā-Bhārata were in the Punjab.¹ But the significance of the word Vahika has not yet been considered. I think the word Vahska means 'the country of the rivers,' and as such, the Vāhika-land would comprise the Sind velley and the Punjab. These are exactly the limits given in the Mahā-Bhārata : ('the 'Vahikas) that live in the valleys of the five rivers and in that 'of the Sindhu as the sixth." From the known history of some of the Vahika republics of the grammar, we can say that the Vähika land did include Sind. For instance, the Kshudrakas and the Mālavas were partially in Sind.⁴ The Kāsikā puts them amongst the illustrations of the Vāhika-Samghas." The Vahlka country was 'away from the Himalayas,' i. e., it did not include the mountain districts." [The Six Trigarttas were at the foot of the Himalayas in the Punjab, about Jammu or Kangra.]

¹ See Sylvain Lévi, Ind. Ant, vol. xxxv (1906), p. 18.

* From the verb ut to flow, Cf. aligni-a river.

* पद्यानां सिञ्चयतानां नदीनां येऽ तराजिता:। Karna-Parvan, zliv. 7. Någeta---Pradipoddyota on Pānini, एक प्राचा देवे, 1. 1. 75,

"जतदु दिपात्रेरावती वितसा अन्द्रमात्रेति पत्रनय:.सिन्धुः

षष्ठसमध्वदेशी बाडीब इति तदग्याकातार"।

The whole of the Punjab, according to the Mahä-Bhärata, was under one ruler, who was at Sākala, and the people had become beterodox. This indicates the time of Menander and later.

⁴ See also KarnaParvan, M-Bh., Ch., xl. 41, where the Madras and Sindhu-Sauviras are grouped together. Cf. V. Smith, J. R. A. S., 1903, 685.

⁶ वाहीबेपु व चायुवजीविर्वचसंहाचिर्न.....वीष्ठीहम्ब: । चौद्रम्ब: । साबम्ब:...pp 455-6

⁶ The Mahā-Bhārata describes the Vāhāka country away from the Himalayas (K. P. xliv. 6). Pāņmi also treats the mountaigeers as distinct (IV. 8. 91).

§ 35. Besides these martial republics, Pāņini gives us the Other republics in names of six other communities which from independent sources¹ are known to have been republican about that period. These are:—

- (1) the Madra:²
- (2) the Vriji:²
- (3) the Rājanya:³
- (4) the Andhaka-Vrishni:
- (5) the Mahārāja⁵ : and
- (6) the Bharga:⁶

Although Pānini had no occasion to cell them Samphas yet the rules show that he knew them as republican. As we shall have opportunity to discuss these states in sequence, it is not necessary to go into details here.

§ 36. Pāņini's Andhaka separately. They, in the Purāņas, are identical The Andhaka separately. They, in the Purāņas, are identical Wrishoi Samgha with the Sātvats. The Sātvats, according to the Aitareya Brāhmaņa, had the Bhaujya Constitution, and their rulers were called Bhojas.⁷ In the Mahā Bhārata, the Andhaka rulers are called Bhojas, and a subdivision of the

¹ See subsequent chapters for the account of the republics named here.

" मद्रहर्ज्यो: मन्। ७ ॥ २ । १९११

• राजन्यादिम्गी: तुज् ॥ ३ ॥ ९ ॥ ५६ ॥ See also the Gapapatha on this for names of some other communities which are known to be republican.

4 राजन्धवङ्वचमहन्देश्वकहत्विषु ॥ ६ ॥ २ ॥ २४ ॥

• सङ्गराजाइञ्छ स्वस्त स्व स्ट क्व Sce discussion below on the Mahārāja 'nation.' §§ 118, 128.

• म प्राच्यमगांदि-वीधंवादिम्ब: ॥ ॥ ॥ ॥ ॥ १॥ १०८ ॥

The Bhargas are called here 'Easterners.' According to the Mahâ-Bhārata (Sabhā-Parvan, XXX. 10, 14), they were between the Vatea territory and the Southern Mallas, who were not very far from the Videhas. Pāņini finds them a political community like the Yaudheyas, with whom he groups them (IV. 1. 168-78). The Buddhist records mention the Bhargas in the republican groups extending from Kosala and Kausāmbī eastwards, and place the Bhargas next to the Vateas (Buddhist India, p. 22; Jātaka, III, 157).

^{*} Aitareya Br**a**hinana, Bk. viii, 14.

٠

Yādavas itself is latterly called Bhojas.' The fact that the V r is h n is had no rājans in their constitution, is expressed in folklore and legend in that they had been cursed not to be crowned as kings. The Mābā-Bbārata, in Sabhā-Parvan (xxxvii 5), says that the Dasārnas (Vrishnis) were 'kingless.' That they were a Samgha, is attested by Kautilya who mentions the Vrishni Samgha as having come to grief by offending Dvaipāyana in olden days.' The Mahā-Bhārata gives an 'ancient' discourse on the Andhaka-Vrishni Samgha." That they had no republican 'rājan,' is proved by their coin which, in the scripts of the first century B.C., is struck in the name of their Gana.'

§ 37. The Vrishni coin has a peculiarity which distinguishes it from the coins of other republics. Coins of republics where there was no 'king'-consul, were struck in the name of the Gana, " e g, "Victory to the Gana of the Arjunāyanas," "Of the Mālava-Gana," "Victory of the Yaudheya-Gana." There is one exception to this in the case of one type of the Yaudheya coins which is struck both in the name of the Executive Council (*Mantra-dharas*) and the Gāna." The Vrishni coin resembles none of these varieties. It is struck in the name

Constitutional significance of 'Rajanya' of the Rājanya and Gana' of the Vrishnis: "Vrishni-rājañā-gaņasya." The constitutional significance of the word, Rājanya, has to be There is evidence to hold that in the case of the

ascertained.

* AS., 1.6, 3, p 11.

* See Appendix A, where the whole discourse has been translated.

⁴ Cunningham, Coins of Ancient India, p. 70, pl. IV; J.R.A.S., 1900, pp. 416, 420; 424 (Bapson).

⁵ Cunningham, Ibid. pp 77, 89, pl. VI, VII V. Smith, Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, Vol. I, pp. 166, 170.

⁴ Hærine, Proc. A. S. B., 1884, pp. 138-40.

On mantra-dharas, consult discussion below on ministers, under Monarchy, § 302.

⁷ Read ## instead of j#; cf. Kharoshihi r@janna (J.R.A.S., 1900, p. 416.)

¹ Sabhaparvan, Ch. xiv ; S'antiparran, Ch. lxxxi.

Vrishnis it had a definite constitutional meaning. Let us examine it.

§ 38 We gather from Pāņini that there were two Rājanyas amongst the Andhaka-Vrishņis.¹ He gives a special rule how to mention them (VI. 2. 34). The Kāsikā,² commenting on that says that the rule does not apply to the members of the Andhakas and Vrishņis as such, but to their Rājanyas only, the 'Rājanyas being leaders of families consecrated to rulership' The names of several of these 'd u a l' groups of Rulers are preserved in literature: the Rājanyas of the groups of Śin i and Vās u deva, Śvāphalka and Chaitraka are cited in the Kāsikā,² the Part'y (varga) of Akrūra and the Party of Vāsu deva are alluded to by Kātyāyana,⁴ Vās u deva and Ugrasena Babhrū are mentioned as leading their parties, in the Māhā-Bhārata (§ 197).

§ 39. Apparently, the Vrishni-Andhaka league had a joint federal constitution where executive power was vested in two *râjanyas*, with their respective vargas, representing each division; and this was probably the Rājanyaka of Amara.⁴ Kātyāyana mentioning 'the Party (Varga) of Akrūra' and the

¹ राजन्दरहरपन-दन्देश्वपत दि । २ = २३ ।

* Kisika, राजन्यवाचिनां वहुवचनारानां यो इन्दोऽश्वकवचिषु वर्त्तते तत यूर्वपदं पुरुतिसरं अवति । भाकश्कचेषका: (after Dikshita), ज्ञिनि-वासुदेवा: ।...चश्वकहच्चय एते न तु वाजन्या: । राजन्ययडच-निडाभिषिक्रवंश्वाणां चचियाचां यडचार्यम्। एते च नाभिषिक्रवंश्वा: !..... यष्टवचनयडचं किम्। संकर्षच-वासुदेनी ।....' pp. 546-7.

⁵ Kāsikā, p. 546. Chaitraka-rodhaka was probably the full name. The Kāsikā treats it as such ; Dikehita omits rodhaka as does the Kāsikā later on.

4 On Panini, IV. 2.104 :--- चल्नूरबन्य:। चल्नूरबर्गीच:। बासुदेववर्धीच: ।

On the word $\frac{1}{25}$, see discussion below, under JINAPADA, § 258. It really means an assembly or council. Brinaspati (Vivāda-Ratnākara, p. 569) calls gaņa, pūga (gnild) and similar bodies 'vargas.' Mitramigra explains a vargin body as a gapa (Viramitrodaya, p. 12); see also Nilakantha, Mayākha, I., on varga as a corporate body, and Pāņini (V. 1. 60), in the sense of assembly whose members were counted. A Senate or Council (gaņa) of Kahatriyas is called R A j a n y a k a. and a council of 'Kings,' R A j a k a, by Amara (II 8 4), § 25 above. See also below on A r A j a k a, § 101.

'Party of Väsudeva,' undoubtedly draws on old literature. Aktūra was an Andhaka leader; and it seems that at one time he was one of the two presidents of the Federal Council. This explains Krishna's saying in the Mahä-Bhärata' that he holds authority or *Aisvarya* to the extent of one half only (ardhabhoktá). In the tradition quoted in the Mahä-Bhärata, the party of Akrūra is bitterly complained against by Krishna. Probably it is to a constitution like that of the Andhaka-Vrishnis that the Jaina Sūtra refers as the rule of opposing parties.'

§ 40. Changing dual groups—Vāsudeva and Ugrasena, Akrūra and Vāsudeva, Šini and Vāsudeva—suggest that the Andhaka Rājanya and the Vrishni Rājanya were elected rulers. The coins were struck in the name of the Rājanya and in the name of the Gana, jointly. Other coins struck in the name of Rājanyas without the mention of the name of the states, have been found.⁶ In view of our interpretation of the term Rājanya, in all probability, they are republican coins.

§ 41. Pāņini implies by his rule IV. 3. 127 that a Sampha State arms of the had its a fik a and lakshana also has a means a mark, and lakshana also has a similar significance. I propose to identify this 'lakshana' of Pāņini with the 'lāńchhana' or heraldic crest of later Sanskrit. The lakshana was the permanent heraldic mark of a Sampha-state which they employed on their seals, and probably also on their coins and standards. The Arthasāstra of Kauțilya (II. 12, p. 84), in laying down rules for the manufacture of silver and copper coins, calls the Mint-Master 'Lākshana.' The Mint-Master ad hyaksha' or the 'Director of Lakshanas.' The Mint-Master appears to have acquired this official designation on account

¹ See Appendix A, § 197.

^{*} Achārānga Sūtra, II. 3. 10 : Viruddha-rājya

^{*} E.g., See Cunningham, Coins of Ancient India, p. 69, pl. 1V.

^{*} संधादसम्बद्धमञ्जिलालम् इड ३०६ १९०६ See Käsikā, p. 350, गार्गः संघ:। गार्गीइ:। गावे समयम्।

of the lakshana he stamped on the coins. Evidently this refers to a coinage when no name, far less the figure, of the ruler was struck. The lakshana, therefore, in Kautilya, is the 'royal' or 'state' mark. The anka, it seems to me, refers to symbols adopted by changing governments. An elected ruler or body of rulers adopted their own special anka which was given up when those officers went out of office. In Hindu-Law books we get has tān ka for signature.¹ Kālidāsa uses the term $g \circ t r 5 h k a$ in connection with a song, that is a signed song.³ The verb ank is used from the time of Kautilya⁸ (and evidently earlier) up to Kälidäsa, and even later, in the sense of 'marking with letters or figures.' We have the term Rājānka, in Kautilva, with which the bulls of the royal stable were marked or branded. A n k a thus signifies an individual mark. It may mean even the legend or the motto adopted by a ruler. Reference may be made to the legends. Mānānka and Guņānka (anka of King Māna, anka of King Guna) of Nepal coins, 425-450 A.C.^s This interpretation would explain the permanent and the changing symbols and legends on republican coins. In the case of earlier punchmarked coins without legends, a Samgha probably employed its special anka in initial letter-marks and otherwise, and its lakshana in the figure of an animal or river, town or the like. The animal most likely is the lakshana, and the legend, anka. The constitutional point is that the corporate

⁴ प्राड्दियाकादि प्रशाह मुद्रित राजमुद्रया। Vriddha Vasishtha quoted in the Viramitrodaya, p. 295 (ed. Jivananda).

» उन्सज्ञे वा मखिनवसने सौमा निधिय बीचा

मद्वी भाइ विर्चितपर्द गैयसुरगातुकामा ।

-Meghadúta, II. 85.

³ Arthasästra, 11, 29, p. 129.

* WIRIfed (S'akuntala).

* Lévi cited by Walsh, J.R.A.S. 1908, pp 678-79 ; Rapson, C.I., 32.

The Kāšikā (p. 304) gives nānā as an example of anka. We know that some of the Kushāu coins here the lenged NANA. The Kāšikā is evidently referring to that legend.

character of the Sampha is emphasised by the corporate creat and symbols.¹

8 42. Again by Sútra III. 3. 42. Panini indicates a division of republics into two classes: (1) Where no Political Nikävas : 'upper-and-lower condition' exists, a species of Samgha and (2) where the condition does exist.' By the first we may understand a one-chamber constitution and by the second a double-chamber constitution. The word used by Panini for (1) is "ansuttarādharya." and he lays down the rule that a Sampha to which this characteristic attached, was designated Kāya or Nikāya, meaning one 'body.' In Pāli, N i k ā y a has got the same primary meaning, a 'fraternity' (Childers). The Buddhist fraternity was composed of only one house Apparently the term was borrowed by the Buddhists from political phraseology. Three political Nikāyas are named in grammatical literature : Sapindi-Nikaya, Maundi-Nikaya and Chikkali-Nikaya."

As we shall see now (§ 43), N i k 5 y a was not the only constitutional designation for the community borrowed by Buddhism. Sampha itself was borrowed.

^{*} Katyayana points out that Pāņini's IV 3 127 will apply to township called Ghashs also, $\sqrt[n]{444444} \sqrt[m]{44}$, (Bhattojt Dikshita). This leads us to the inference that townships or municipalities also had their corporate symbols or arms. Such arms have been recognized, e.g., in the Sohgaura plate. Fleet, J.R.A.S., 1907, p 529. Now their technical name, lakshapa, is diśclosed by the grammar.

^{*} संघे चानी गरावर्षे : १ : १ : २ : With this S. 111, 3.8 6 should be read. The latter refers to Sampha as Pāņini understood and defined it, a political sampha, a gupa.

^{*} See the previous Sutra III. 3. 41 : निवासचितिवरीरीपसमायानेचादिव च: इ

⁴ Kāgikā on Pāņini, VL 2. 94 (p. 559). Pāņini's rule is about the proper names of Nikāyas, संभाष निर्धानगयी: ।

CHAPTER VI

Republican Origin of Buddhist Samgha

and

Republics in Buddhlist Literature (500-400 B.C.)

§ 43. The Buddhist Bungha Buddhist Bangha Buddhist Bangha Buddhist Bangha Borrwed from Political Sangha the grew up amongst them. He called the community which he founded "Bhikkhu

Sampha," or 'the Republic of Bhikshus.' He, probably following his contemporary teachers, adopted the name as well as the constitution of the political Sampha in founding his religious Sampha; and this was a reason why his religion and monastic organisation lasted so long. From the words of the Buddha himself, as reported in the Päli sûtras,' the historical connection between the political and religious Sampha-constitutions can be demonstrated. When the 'Chancellor of Magadha,' deputed by the King of Magadha, wanted to know the opinion of the Buddha, on behalf of his master, as to the advisability of invading the V a j j is (the V r i j is of Pänini)—the L i c h c h h a v i s and V i d e h a s,² the Buddha addressed his reply (not to the Chancellor, but to his foremost disciple) in these words :—

"Have you heard, Ananda, that the Vajjians often "hold full and frequent assemblies."

To this, Ananda replied in the affirmative. The Buddha put such seven questions relating to the Constitution of the Vajjians, intended to be heard by the Chancellor. The

¹ Dighanikiya, Mahaparinibbana Suttanta Trans. adopted from Rhys Davids, Dialogues of the Buddha, Pt. II, pp. 79-85, Cf. S.B.E., Vol. XI, pp. 3-6.

^a For details regarding them, see below.

conjuring up an organisation to perpetuate the being of that religion.

§ 45. The republics which engage the attention of Buddhist Literature were the states amongst whom the Buddha arose and lived. They covered the land to the east of the kingdoms of Kosala and Kausāmbī and to the west of Anga, from the districts of Gorakhpur and Ballia to the district of Bhagalpur, to the north of Magadha and the south of the Himalayas. They were the States of :--

- (a) the Sākyas, with their capital at Kapilavastu in the district of Gorakhpur including their close neighbours
- (b) the Koliyas of Rāmagrāma;
- (c) the Lichchhavis, with their capital at Vaisālī, modern Basādh, in the district of Muzaffarpur;
- (d) the Videhas, with their capital at Mithilā (in the district of Darbhanga) [the last two were conjointly called the Vrijis or Vajjis'];
- (e) the Mallas, who covered a large area to the south of the Sākyas and the Vrijis, from the district of Gorakhpur to that of Patna, divided into two units, with their capitals at Kusinagara (Kusinārā) and at Pāvā;
- (f) 'the Moriyas of Pippalīvana;' and (g) 'the Bulis of Allakappa' who were minor communities' [they played no important part in the history of Buddhism; they were neighbours of the Mallas of Kušinagara but their exact territories are not known⁴]; and

¹ Mr. Panday tells me that the Thärüs call the Aryan population of Champaran 'Bajis.' [See now J. BORS, vol. vi. p. 261].

^{*} For (a) to (g). see Mahäparinibbana Buttanta, §§ VI. 21-27; Rhys Davids, Dialogues of the Buddha, pp. ii, 179-90.

^{*} Buddhist India, pp. 22-23 ; Jataka, III. 157.

(h) the Bhaggas (Bhargas) who were neighbours of the kingdom of the Vatsas of Kausāmbī.¹

Politically, the most important of the group were the Vrijis and the Mallas. The former are noticed both by Pāṇini and Kautilya. The Bhargas were next-door neighbours of the Vatsas to the east, both according to the Mahā-Bhārata and the Pāli records (ante § 35, n.). Their seat was evidently a hill fortress (Simsumāra Hill), somewhere in or about the district of Mirzapur. Pāṇini found them an independent Janapada or a political 'nation,' important enough to be placed at the head of the eastern communities as the Yaudheyas headed the Punjab list. It seems that in the latter days of the Buddha, they passed under the sway of their neighbour, the king of the Vatsas, whose son Bodhi was ruling over them, according to the Jātaka and the Vinaya.³ They were yet a separate unit.

The \$ **š** k y as were the community wherein the Buddha was born, being the son of the President of the \$ **š** k ya g a n a. They were under the suzerainty of the king of Kosala, and their independence was destroyed in the life-time of the Buddha by the king of Kosala Their council seems to have been composed of 500 members.⁴ The \$ kyas are said to have had a law that each citizen could have only one wife.⁴

§ 46 I cannot do better than quote here the description of the administration procedure of these republics as Their administration. typified by that of the Sakyan, so well procedure summed up by Rhys Pavids, the foremost authority on Buddhist literature. In view of the results of a special study of the republicar organisation, I differ from that great scholar when he calls them 'clans.' The

- * Rockhill, Life of the Builda, ch. II. pp. 14-15.
 - -7

¹ B. I., pp. 8, 9, 22,

^{*} J. 111. 157 ; V. 2. 127, 4, 199. W8. ; BI. p. 8.

^{*} See § 46, m.

- (1) "So long, Ananda, as the Vajjians hold full and frequent assemblies :
- (2) "So long as they meet together in concord and rise "in concord and carry out Vajjian business in "concord (Vajji-karanīyāni—Vajjian acts of state):
- (3) "So long as they enact nothing not already established, "abrogate nothing that has been already enacted and "act in accordance with the ancient institutions of the "V a j j i a n s, as established in former days:
- (4) "So long as they honour and esteem and revere and "support the V a j j i E l d e r s, and hold it a point "of duty to hearken to their words :
- (5) "So long as no women or girls belonging to them "are detained among them by force or abduction: "[*i.e.*, law and not force reigns]:
- (6) "So long as they honour and esteem and revere and "support the Vajjian Chaityas (sacred "monuments) [i.e., follow the religion established]:
- (7) "So long as the rightful protection, defence and "support shall be fully provided for the Arhants "a m o n g s t t h e m [*i.e.*, follow the established "practice and keep out Brahminic religious systems]:
- "So long may the Vajjians be expected not to decline but to prosper."

Hearing this, the Chancellor murmured 'the Vajjians 'cannot be overcome by the King of Magadha.' The only possible policy was to create disunion (m i t h u b h e d a).

As soon as the Chancellor had taken leave of the Buddha, the Teacher called a meeting of the Bhikshu-Samgha in the Hall, and addressed them :

"I will teach you, O Mendicants, the seven conditions of "the welfare (of a community)." And he repeated the very seven conditions (with necessary modifications) which were the features of the practice of the Vajjians and which were well-known, as testified to by Ananda:

- (1) "So long as the Bhikkhus meet together in full and "frequent assemblies:
- (2) "So long as they meet together in "concord and rise in concord and carry out in "concord the duties of the Order (Sampha):
- (3) "So long as the Bhikkhus shall establish nothing "that has not already been prescribed, and "a brogate nothing that has already been "established and act in accordance with the rules "of the Samgha now laid down:
- (4) "So long as the brethren honour and esteem and "revere and support the Elders, the Fathers "and the Leaders of the Sampha, and hold it a "point of duty to hearken to their words:
- (5) "So long as the brethren fall not under the influence "of that craving......:
- (6) "So long as the brethern delight in a life of solitude :
- (7) "So long as the brethren so train their minds:

"So long the Bhikkhus may not be expected to decline but to prosper."

§ 44. The history of the birth of the Buddbist Samgha is a history of the birth of the Monastic Order in the world. This history, therefore, of the birth of the religious brotherhood of the Buddha from the constitutional womb of the Indian Republic is of interest not only to this country, but to the world at large.

It was a case of borrowing, no doubt, but, at the same time, there was an original idea behind it which only a great mind could conceive. The originality consisted in transferring the constitution of a political corporation to religion, and conjuring up an organisation to perpetuate the being of that religion.

§ 45. The republics which engage the attention of Buddhist Literature were the states amongst whom the Buddha arose and lived. They covered the land to the east of the kingdoms of Kosala and Kausāmbī and to the west of Anga, from the districts of Gorakhpur and Ballia to the district of Bhagalpur, to the north of Magadha and the south of the Himalayas. They were the States of :---

- (a) the Sākyas, with their capital at Kapilavastu in the district of Gorakhpur including their close neighbours
- (b) the Koliyas of Rāmagrāma;
- (c) the Lichchhavis, with their capital at Vaisālī, modern Basādh, in the district of Muzaffarpur;
- (d) the Videhas, with their capital at Mithilä (in the district of Darbhanga) [the last two were conjointly called the Vrijis or Vajjis'];
- (e) the Mallas, who covered a large area to the south of the Sākyas and the Vrijis, from the district of Gorakhpur to that of Patna, divided into two units, with their capitals at Kusinagara (Kusinārā) and at Pāvā;
- (f) 'the Moriyas of Pippalivana;' and (g) 'the Bulis of Allakappa' who were minor communities' [they played no important part in the history of Buddhism; they were neighbours of the Mallas of Kusinagara but their exact territories are not known⁴]; and

¹ Mr. Pauday tells me that the Thärüs call the Aryan population of Champaran 'Bajis.' [See now J. BORS, vol. vi. p. 261].

^{*} For (a) to (g). see Mahāparinibbāna Buttanta, §§ VI. 21-27; Rhys Davids, Dialogues of the Buddha, pp. ii, 179-90.

^{*} Buddhist India, pp. 22-23 ; Jataka, III. 157.

(h) the Bhaggas (Bhargas) who were neighbours of the kingdom of the Vatsas of Kausāmbī.¹

Politically, the most important of the group were the Vrijis and the Mallas. The former are noticed both by Pāṇini and Kautilya. The Bhargas were next-door neighbours of the Vatsas to the east, both according to the Mahā-Bhārata and the Pāli records (ante § 35, n.). Their seat was evidently a hill fortress (Simsumāra Hill), somewhere in or about the district of Mirzapur. Pāṇini found them an independent Janapada or a political 'nation,' important enough to be placed at the head of the eastern communities as the Yaudheyas headed the Punjab list. It seems that in the latter days of the Buddha, they passed under the sway of their neighbour, the king of the Vatsas, whose son Bodhi was ruling over them, according to the Jātaka and the Vinaya.³ They were yet a separate unit.

The \$akyas were the community wherein the Buddha was born, being the son of the President of the \$akyagana. They were under the suzerainty of the king of Kosala, and their independence was destroyed in the life-time of the Buddha by the king of Kosala Their council seems to have been composed of 500 members.⁴ The \$akyas are said to have had a law that each citizen could have only one wife.⁴

§ 46 I cannot do better than quote here the description of the administration procedure of these republics as Their administrationprocedure summed up by Rhys Davids, the foremost authority on Buddhist literature. In view of the results of a special study of the republicar organisation, I differ from that great scholar when be calls them 'clans.' The

¹ B. I., pp. 8, 9, 22,

^{*} J. 111. 157 ; V. 2. 127, 4, 199-16. ; BI. p 8.

^{*} See § 46, m.

⁴ Rockhill, Life of the Butha, ch. II. pp. 14-15.

evidence does not warrant our calling them 'clans.' Indian republics of the seventh and sixth centuries B. C., as we shall see in sequence, had long passed the tribal stage of society. They were states, Ganas and Samghas, though many of them very likely had a national or tribal basis, as every state, ancient or modern, must have.

"The administration and judicial business" [says Prof. Rhys Davids'] "of the clan [correctly speaking, 'the state'] "was carried out in public assembly, at which young and old "were alike present, in their common Mote Hall (Santhägära)" "at Kapilavastu. It was at such a parliament, or palaver, that "King Pasenadi's proposition (B I., p. 11) was discussed. "When Ambattha goes to Kapilavastu on business, he goes to "the Mote Hall where the Sākiyas were then in session." And "it is to the Mote Hall of the Mallas that Ananda goes to "announce the death of the Buddha, they being then in session "there to consider that very matter."

"A single chief—how, and for what period chosen, we do "not know—was elected as office-holder, presiding over the "sessions, and, if no sessions were sitting, over the State. He "bore the title of *rāja*, which must have meant something like "the Roman consul, or the Greek archön. We hear no-"where of such a triumvirate as bore corresponding office "among the Licchavis, nor of such acts of kingly sovereignty "as are ascribed to the real kings mentioned above. But we

4 M. P. S., 6,23.

¹ B I., p 19.

^{* [}Skt. Samulagara, it, the House of Communal Law.]

"hear at one time ' that Bhaddiya, a young cousin of the "Buddha's was the rāja; and in another passage, Suddhodana, "the Buddha's father (who is elsewhere spoken of as a simple "citizen, Suddhodana Sākiyan), is called the rāja."

The Jātaka calls the Lichchhavi rulers 'g an a-§ 47 rulers' or republican rulers.² The Lichchhavi constitution of the Lichchhavis to which constitution Professor Rhys Davids has alluded is detailed in a later document, the Attha-katha." It mentions three highest officers, the President (R ā j ā), the Vice-President (U p a-R ā j ā) and the Generalissimo (Senāpati). An early authority (Jātaka, I, p. 504) adds a fourth officer: the Chancellor of the Exchequer (Bhandāgārika). There is no doubt that these were the four highest administrative officers and that they composed the cabinet or executive authority. The Jātaka says that the government was located in the city of Vaisālt which had a system of triple fortifications. The rule (rajjam) vested in the inhabitants (vasantānam), 7707 in number, all of whom were entitled to rule (rājūnam, 'kings'). They became Presidents (rājāno), Vice-Presidents Commanders-in-Chief (Upa-rājāno), (Senā-patino) and Chancellors of the Exchequer.⁴ What the Jātaka means to say is that the 7707 of the inhabitants, probably the foundation families, were the ruling class, that it is they who became (honti, 'become') the executive office-holders. The total population was much larger, divided in outer and inner citizens ('Vaisalians'), 1,68,000 in number.⁶ The rulers (ganarājās) underwent the ceremony of consecration by anointing.*

- * Jätaka, IV. 148. : 'Vesülinagare gaņa-rājakulānam abhiseka-pokkharaņim'
- ⁸ Turnour, Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, VII (1838), p. 993, et seq.

4 तख निवकार्थ रव्य बारेता वसंतान येव राजून सत्तसङ्ख्यानि सत्तसानि सत्त च [,] राजानो कोति तत्तका; ये व उपराजानी तत्तका सैनापतिनी तत्तका, तत्तका अंडागारिका. J., I. 504.

⁸ Mahāvastu, Trisakuntya J., ed. Senart, i, pp. 256, 271 [MV. and LV. being works of cir. 100 B.C., are not so old as the Päli canon, but their tradition is old.]

⁴ Vin., 2, 181,

§ 48. According to the Attha-kathā when the Vaisālians came to their House of Law (parliament), the tocsin used to be sounded at their House of Law¹. In the parliament of these rulers, they discussed not only matters political and military, but also agricultural and commercial.

A Buddhist book describes the Lechchhavi gana in session appointing a Mahattaka or a distinguished member to be the envoy, charging him to deliver a message on behalf of the Lechchhavis of Vaisālī, i.e., the gana transacted business on behalf of the whole people.³

A parody of their constitution is thus given in another Buddhist book : "amongst them (the Vaisālians) the rule of "having respect for the high, the middle ones, the oldest, the "elders is not observed; every one considers himself to be "the rājā, 'I am the rājā, I am the rājā.' No one becomes a "follower of another." Evidently in their councils every member had an equal right of speech and voting; and every one wanted to be the next president.'

§ 49. The President was also the highest judicial authority. There was a Judicial Minister who could be even an outsider, a paid officer.⁴ Liberty of the citizen was most jealously guarded. A citizen could not be held guilty unless he was considered so by the Senāpati, the Upa-rājā and the Rājā, separately and without dissent.

A careful record was kept of the decisions of the President on the 'rolls' ('Paveni-patthakān') in which the particulars of crime and punishment awarded to the citizens, found guilty, were entered. Preliminary enquiry into the case

¹ Turnour, J.A.S.B., VII., pp. 994-5.

^{*} MV., i. 254. বিয়াৰবাশা ভিচ্ছিৰীলা বখলন.

^{) *} Lalitavistars, ch. iii., बीच-सध्य-हद-वीडानुपाविता, प्रवेद एव समये वई राजा वई राजेति। न व बम्बचिष्ट्रियत्वमुपनच्छति ...

⁴ Turnour, ibid.

was held in the Court of the Justices (V i n i ch ch a y a-M a h $\mathbf{\tilde{s}}$ m $\mathbf{\tilde{s}}$ t t a s), who evidently were the regular court for civil causes and ordinary offences. The Court of Appeal was presided over by "V o h $\mathbf{\tilde{s}}$ r i k a s"—'Lawyer-Judges.' The H i g h C o u r t had its Judges called the S $\mathbf{\tilde{u}}$ t r a - d h a r a s or 'Doctors of Law.' There was yet a council of Final Appeal, called the C o u r t of the E i g h t : A s h t ak u l a k a (§ 50). Any of these successive Courts could pronounce a citizen innocent and acquit him.' And if all the Courts held him guilty, the matter was still subject to the decision of the members of the Executive Cabinet mentioned above.

§ 50. This description of criminal procedure of Atthakathā is in keeping with the general procedure of republican system of justice as preserved in Sanskrit literature. In the opinion of the author of the Mahā-Bhārata, criminal justice in a republic should be administered by experts through the President (निषद: पण्डिते: कार्य: चिप्रमेद प्रधानत:),² that the Elders of the Kula Court (=Kulaka) were not expected to connive at an offence. Bhrigu, enumerating different judicial authorities, implies that in the case of a gana the deciding body was called Kulika and also Kula.³ Kātyāyana uses Kula in the sense of a jury.⁴ The Ashta-Kulaka thus would signify a judicial council of eight members, and not, as heretofore interpreted, 'Representatives of eight clans.⁷⁶

§ 51. The Videhas and the Lichchhavis were, according to Buddhist documents, united in a league and they were together

Federal Council

called the Samvajjis (lit., 'the Vajjians together.' The two Vajji republics,

⁶ Buddhist India, p. 22.

¹ Turnowr, J.A.S.B., VII., pp. 993-4.

^{* *} Santi P., Ch. 107, 27. See below, § 129.

Viramitrodaya, p. 11. 800 quotation in chapter on Paura below, Ch. xxviii, § 255
 पणियुभि: सात् पतिपये: आखभूतैर्राधानन, quoted in V. M., p. 41.

^{*} Cf. Rhys Davids, Buddhiet India, p. 22; Turnour, J.A.S.B., VII., 993n.

however, were not confined to their own federation. The Lichchhavis once had formed a federation with their neighbours, the Mallas, according to a Jaina Sütra.¹ The confederacy existed in the year when the Mahavira died (c. 545° or 527 B.C.). The Federal Council was composed of eighteen members, nine 'Lechchhakis' and nine 'Mallakis'' The members of the Federal Council are designated 'Gana Rajas.' Probably it was to a federal council of this class that the technical term Rājaka of Amarasimha (§§25, 47) originally applied. These 'eighteen confederate kings' as Dr. Jacobi makes them, are placed by the Jaina Sütra, in the Kāśi-Kosala area. At the time of the death of the Mahsvira, the empire of Kosala was called the Kāsi-Kosala ' The Jaina Sūtra is much younger in date than the Pali Canon, and if the Jaina book has not made a mistake in its location. its description would imply that the Federal Council was in some sort of political alliance with the Kosalan monarchy, for there is no evidence of a Kosalan suzerainty. The republics were certainly on bad terms with Magadha, and Magadha was the deadly rival of Kosala: according to the Attha-kathā, the Vaisālians lost one great battle with Ajātasatru, Emperor of Magadha. The leagues naturally were formed to oppose the great powers between whom they were aitnated.

§ 52. The composition of the Federal Council shows that the federal states had equal votes, that the federation was based on terms of equality. The Mallas were not so great a political power as the Lichchhavis, yet in the Federal Council both had evidently equal voice.

¹ Kalpa-Sztra, 128.

^{*} J.BORS., I. 103.

^{* 8.}B.E., XXII, p. 266.

[&]quot; Cf. Kati-Kosals, Patanjali (Kielhorn), II. p. 280 (2nd ed.).

REPUBLICS IN BUDDHIST LITERATURE

٠

The Lichchhavis owing to their political importance and connection with the Buddha figure largely in Buddhist literature.¹ There are indications that the general description in the Mahā-Bhārata and elsewhere applied to them as much as to others. Their constitution was only a type, not an exception.

¹ See further, § 54.

CHAPTER VII

Republics in the Arthasastra (325-300 B.C.)

§ 53 The Arthassetra of Kautilva deals with the characteristics of Samghas and the King Consul Republics Imperial policy towards them.¹ They were still important, although a decline had set in owing to the rise of large monarchies and Alexander's invasion, the latter having discredited small sovereignties and emphasized the importance of large States (§ 64). Kautilya divides Samghas, as we have already seen, into two classes. One of them was the class whose Consuls bore the title of Raja or 'king.' As he contrasts them with the other class, he implies that the latter had no provision for this title and did not allow it. Such constitutions are known to have existed from the evidence of coins.² Amongst the former class whose rulers bore the title, Kautilya enumerates-

1

(1) the Lichchhivikas,
 (2) the Vrijikas,
 (3) the Mallakas,
 (4) the Madrakas,
 (5) the Kukuras,
 (6) the Kurus,
 (7) the Pāfichālas, and 'others.'

¹ Ch XI., 376-79.

8

^a See discussion below, ch. xvii., on the coins of the Räjanyas, the Yaudheyas, the Mälavas, the Ārjunāyanas, etc.

Changes from Monarchy to Republic

The Kāsikā, in connection with rule V. 3. 114 of Pānini, points out that Mallas are not subject to the rule, because they are not 'military.' 1 agreement . between There is therefore

Kautilya and grammatical literature with regard to the character of the Mallas. As to the Lichchhavis, we know from Buddhist sources' that they called their Consul 'the R & j &.' Apparently, Kautilya, by mentioning the Lichchhavis separately, means by the term V r i j is the V i d e h as only. Panini gives a special rule for the formation of Madraka and Vrijika, which forms we find in the Arthagastra. The Kurus, according to Buddhist records,⁴ had become weak as a kingdom, in the time of the Buddha. According to the Mahā Bhārata, the Purāņas and the earlier authorities," the Kurus had been in earlier times under monarchy. Their adoption of republican constitution must, therefore, be dated after the Buddha and before Kautilya. The Videhas also had been in early (Vedic) times a monarchy. In the time of the Buddha, however, the V i d e h a s had adopted republican constitution. The Videhas are treated as a republic by Patañjali also." The Panchalas in Buddhist books are found divided into two kingdoms. But Kautilya mentions them as a republic Patañjali also finds them a republic." The change in their constitution must have come after the death of the Buddha. The Uttara (Northern) Madras, according to the Aitareya Brahmana, originally had a constitution where the whole country, as opposed to a king, was solemnized as the sovereign? The other portion of the

¹ चायचत्रीवियद्वचं विस । मझा: I p 456.

² See above, § 47.

⁸ IV. 2. 131, मट्र∎ची: भन् ∎

⁴ Rhys Davids, Buddhist India, p. 27.

^{*} The Aitareya Brahmana, in giving illustrations of monarchical nations, cites the Kurus and the Panchalas, Ch. VIII, 14.

See above, § 31, x.

¹ Bk. VIII. जनपटा चनरकरद चत्ररमटा इति......नेऽमिषिभाषे at as See below. Ch. X

Madras, or the Madras proper, in the time of Kautilya, if not earlier, had the 'king'-consul constitution.

§ 54. The political history of the Lichchhavis is too well-known to be repeated here ' They were very powerful. They survived the Saisunāka and the Maurya empires and helped in building up the Gupta empire. They founded a curious constitution in Nepal which we shall have occasion to discuss later.

The Mallas do not live so long. They disappear in the time of the Mauryas, or a little later², as a republican community, though individual Malla families rise up now and then, in Tirhut and Nepal, up to the eleven centuries and even later." The modern representatives of the Mallas are the Malla caste in the districts of Gorakhpur and Azamgarh⁴, generally given to trade. It is a common phenomenon in the career of Indian republics that when the republicans lost their political power they still retained their commercial intelligence and turned into traders.⁶ The Panchāla survived the Mauryas, for they are mentioned by Patañjali. But the Kurus as a state succumbed. The Kukuras, according to the Mahā-Bhārata, were a member of the Andhaka-Vrishnileague. Some of the members of the league apparently observed the 'king'consul constitution, while others did not. The Kukuras are mentioned in inscriptions of Western India towards the close of the first century B.C.⁴

¹ As to their alleged foreign origin, the theories are hopelessly untenable : see discussion below, Ch. XXI.

They do not figure in Kātyāyana or Pataňjali.

^{*} See Lévi, Le Nepal, II. pp. 210-213.

⁴ Cf. H. Panday, J. BORS, 1920, pp. 262-65, on modern Mallas.

⁶ Other examples are the Khatris of Sind and the Punjab (the Xathroi of the Greeks), and the Arodas of the Punjab who very likely represent the ancient Arattas.

^{*} Ep. Ind., VIII, pp. 44, 60 ; see § 57, m. 7

Nation-in-arms Republica. § 55. The other class of Kauţilya's' republics is illustrated by-

- (1) the Kambhojas,
- (2) the Surāshţras,
- (3) the Kshatriyas,
- (4) the Srenis, and 'others.'

This class, by implication, had no king-consul. The other chief feature of their constitution was that they emphasized on their citizens the duty to acquire military skill. In other words, they had a citizen army. Each state was a n a t i o nin-a r m s. Conversely, the other class, where the 'k i n g'consul constitution obtained, probably had, like monarchs, a regular or hired (standing) army. The n a t i o nin-a r m s class, however, did not become purely military, for their constitution also required their citizens to devote attention to industry and agriculture (värttäsastropajivinab). Hence they were found rich as well as strong.

§ 56. The K s h u d r a k a s and the M ā l a v a s. who were the most prominent amongst these martial republics, are not mentioned by Kautilya. Probably they had already come under the imperial sway. The martial republics named in the Arthasāstra are headed by the K ā m b h o j a s. They were in eastern Afghanistan. They are mentioned in Asoka's inscriptions as a community next to the G a n d h ā r a s.³ According to Yāska, their mother-tongue was Sanskrit with certain bases which seem to have been imported from the Iranian neighbours.³ They are known to Pāņini. for he gives a rule⁴ for the derivative to denote their king. This would indicate that Pāṇini is referring to a monarchy. But the special rule

^{*} साम्रीज-सराष्ट्र-प्रपित-प्रेयादयी वार्णाप्रकीपनीविन: (AS., xi. 1.160, p. 876).

^{*} See below, ch. xvii.

^{*} II.i. 3, 4, इदतिवैतियमां चलीत्रेचेव आध्यते, खलीमा: चलवमीमा: वमनीवमीत्रा म चलव: चमनीयो अवति विकारमस्यार्थेषु भाषन्ते अव इति. Cf. the Permian root shudan, ' to go.' See J.R.A.S., 1911, 801.

⁴ IV. 1. 175.

and the exceptional form of the derivative raise a doubt as to whether the 'r \hat{s} j \hat{s} ' of the K \hat{s} m b o j a s was a monarch or a consul. In the time of Kautilya, their constitution certainly did not admit of even a titular 'king.' The B h o j a s, as we shall see hereafter, were a class of non-monarchical rulers. 'K a m b h o j a s would literally mean 'Inferior B h o j a s.' '

§ 57. The Surāshţras (Su-rāshţra, *lit.* 'good realm') were in Kathiawar. Their name still lives in modern Soraţha They seem to have survived the Mauryan imperialism, as they figure in the inscriptions of Bala Śrī (about 58 B.C.²) and in the Junagadh inscription of Rudradāman (2nd century A. C.²)

§ 58. The other two states—the K s h a t r i y a s' and the S r e n i s'—appear as neighbours in Sind in the records of the Macedonian writers.⁴ The K s h a t r i y a s are called by them X a t h r o i. European scholars have taken it as a caste denomination; that it is a proper name of a political body is now disclosed by the Artha Sāstra. Ptolemy also mentions the nation or community X a t h r o i. Various terms have been used by Classical writers to denote what seems to be A g r a S r e n i s or the F i r s t S r e n i s⁴ Apparently, the Srenis were sub-divided into internal units, and the one which came in contact with Alexander was that of the "F i r s t

• Ep. Ind. Vol. VIII p. 44. The dates is based on my own theory of chronology and identification of Vikrama with Gotamiputra Sätakarpi Sätavähana (J.B.O.R.S. I. 101; Brahmin Empire ('Express', Patna, 1914); Modern Review, 1914. The date assigned by other scholars to this inscription is over a century later.

Arrian, Bk. VI. ch. XV.

• See McCrindle, Ancient India, Its Invasion by Alexander the Great, p. 367. The forms are: Agalassi, Agesinae, Adensoni, Argesinae etc.

¹ Pāņini spells it as Kamboja, so does Yāska. But Yāska derives it from *bhaj* The Rāmāyaņa (I. 55. 2) and the Artha-Sāstra have Kāmboja and Kāmbhojs, respectively. The former indicates Iranian or Paisāchi influence.

^{*} Ep. Ind., Vol. VIII, p. 60.

Srenis." Such divisions seen to have existed among the Yaudheyae, whose coine, marked "2" and "3," have been found."

The Xathroi or the Kshatriyas seem to survive in the numerous caste called the *Sindht Khatris* (the Khattris of Sindh), a handsome race occupying the neighbourhood of the site indicated in the Greek writers as the territory of the Xathroi. The *Khattris* of the Punjab may also represent them.

§ 59. I may here point out that the translator of the Artha-Sastra has fallen into an error in translating the passage 'Kambhoja Surashtra-Kshatriya-Śreny-adayuh' 88 "the corporations of warriors (Kshatriya Sreni) of KEmbhoja "and Fursshtra and other countries " The rendering is against grammar : 'adayah,' 'and others,' excludes the possibility of a description coming in the group just preceding. If K a m b h o j a is a proper name, the rest, up to 'adayah,' must be proper names. The description really comes after the enumeration closed with 'Sdayah.' in vartta-sastropajivinah. Both in view of our identification and grammatical considerations, the translation is unacceptable. There is yet another error to be avoided, viz., taking 'Sreni' here to mean a guild. If vartta (industry and agriculture) refers to alleged guilds (Srenis), it ought to have been placed after, not before, sastra, as Sreni is the last, coming after Kshatriya, in the group.4

ĸ

¹ It is, however, not impossible that Agrasregi of Alexander has been abbreviated as Srepi by Kaujilya.

^{*} Cunningham, Coins of Ancient India, p. 78.

^{*} Shama Sastry, Kautilya's Artha-S'astra, p. 455.

⁴ Cf the expression Sastra-Värttäh used by Varäha Mibira (महान् सरबजुर-र सानपि सालीजीय-विरात-सरबग्धी:, Bri. S., v. 39) where the two characteristics have to be taken as applying to the same communities. See also §§ 32, 33 above.

BERVANTS OF INDIA SUCIETY'S BRANCH LIBEARY BOMBAY

CHAPTER VIII

Hindu Republics in Greek Writers (C. 325 B.C.)

§ 60. The historians of Alexander's campaign have described a number of states as 'free,' 'autonomous,' or 'independent,' by which they mean republican. McCrindle recognises the significance of the expression 'independent' but as he was ignorant of the fact of Indian republics, he thought that it referred to 'the Indian village system:' "Each of its rural units they (Greeks) took to be an independent republic." But the Greeks never mistook a village panchāyat for a republic; they treat the communities as states and not as village-units. They fought them, they negotiated treaties with them, they recorded details of their constitutions: the Greeks knew them too well to make a mistake of the sort suggested by McCrindle. Then, the Greeks may be trusted for their discrimination in matters constitutional. There cannot be any room for doubt or controversy if we see what Megasthenes, the Greek envoy at the court of Chandragupta Maurya has to say. He was not a passing raider like Alexander's companions but an observer of several years. He divides the country into two forms of government-monarchical and republican:---

✓ They...,report every thing to the king where the people 'have a king, and to the magistrates where 'the people are self-governed.'²

¹ Invasion of India by Alexander, p. 115, n.

[•] McCrindie, Megnathenes, Arr. XII. Cf. also: "The councillors of state...who "advise the king, or the magistrates of self-governed cities, in the management of public affairs..." Ibid., p. 212.

§ 61. The republican states noted by the Greek historians may be briefly surveyed here.

The Greek writers describe the Kathaians as one of the most powerful 'nations' of India. They were to the east of the Hydraotes or Ravi, in

the area now covered by the districts of Lahore and Amritsar. Their capital was Sankala. "The Kathaians themselves enjoyed the highest reputation for courage and skill in the art of war." They along with some other republican Indians had shortly before their battle with Alexander defeated both King Poros and the King of the Abhisāras. The K at h a i a n s are described to have formed what in Hindu tactics is called a 'sakaţa-vyūha' or the 'waggon-formation' against Alexander, which presented a great difficulty to his soldiers. They fought very bravely and did not submit, although they were tremendously outnumbered." Their men and women married by choice, and their women observed the practice of Satt. According to Strabo, amongst them "the handsomest man is chosen as king.""

The 'K a t h a i a n s' have been restored by some scholars into the Sanskrit 'Kshatriyas'.⁴ This restoration is untenable. First of all the forms of words which the Greek writers give are based on Sanskrit pronunciation, and K a t h a would be a Prakritic form, and, therefore, an exception. When the whole country (Punjab) used Sanskrit forms, it is unreasonable to take K a t h a i as based on a Präkrita form. Then, it is questionable whether even from the Präkrita of K s h a t r i y a we would get K a t h a i; we would get some word like *Khattiya*,

¹ See Arrian, Anabasis, V. 22, IIA., p. 115.

⁸ Arrian has a tendency to exaggerate the number of the Indians and their casualties, to glorify Alexander. His figures should be always compared with those given by Curtius and Diodorus.

^a Strabo, XV. 30. See McCrindle, Ansient India as described by Classical Writers, p. 38.

McCrindle, Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p. 347.

not Kathai. Again, we must not forget that for Kshatriya the very writers give Xathroi. Kathaia stands for the country of the Kathas and the Kathaioi for the Katha people, as already suggested by Dr Jolly.¹

§ 62. Before reaching the Kathaians, Alexander had Unmained republics already encountered several independent Indian nations or republics, on the banks of the Ravi (Arrian, V. 21).

A little away from the Ravi with their capital at what the Greeks called P i m p r a m a were the community spelt as A d r a i s tai, Adrestai, and A d r e s t a e. European scholars have proposed to identify them with the famous A r a t t a s. But philologically the equation is impossible. They may be identified with the A rishtas of Pānini (VI. 2 100) and the Gana-pātha (on P. IV. 2, 80).³

§63. Adjoining the territory of the Kathaians there was the state of the Sophytes which M. Saubhūti Sylvain Lévi has correctly identified with Saubhūti.⁴ But it is not clear whether its ruler was an elected 'king' or a regular monarch' It seems more probable that it was republican.⁶ It is found in area with republican republican а associations and characteristics. The Gana-pātha mentions Subhūta in the company of the people of Sankala.⁷ Sankala, as we have seen above, was the capital of the republic of the Kathas. The Saubhūti State extended up to the Salt Range.

- 4 Journal Asiatique, VIII. 15, p 237ff.
- Cf. §§ 77, 78.

¹ SBE., VII, p. 15 (Intro.). See below, §§ 63, 82, 176, on Kathas.

McCrindle, Invasion of India by Alexander the Great, p. 116, n.

^{*} भरिष्टगीषपूर्वे भ # 6 # * # too # which alludes to the Capital of the Arishtas.

[•] The coin of the State struck after Alexander's invasion with a Greek head, probably meant to be that of Alexander or his representative, is struck in the national name Sophytes. McCrindle, Alexander, TIA, 280; Rapson, I.C., 3, pl. I. 8.

⁷ Gapapatha on Panini, IV. 2, 75.

Diodorus (XV([, 91) says that the cities subject to the sway of the Sopeithes "were governed by laws in the highest "degree salutary" and "their political system was one to admire." "Beauty was held among them in the highest estimation." Further. "it follows that the inhabitants of these cities are "generally held in higher estimation than the rest of their "countrymen." As amongst the Kathaians so amongst the Saubhätis men and women selected their own consort without reference to dowry. They attached great importance to good looks. This practice was not peculiar to the constitution of the Kathas and the Saubhūtis only. We find the republican Vrishnis also giving great importance to handsome presence in a republican leader.¹ Amongst the Saubhūtis and the Kathas there was yet another reason for the observance of this rule. "In contracting marriage they do not seek an alliance "with high birth, but make their choice by the looks, for beauty in "the children is a quality highly appreciated," because in the Saubhūti and Katha States, public authority claimed the right to determine which of the babies born in the State were physically fit to be allowed to grow into citizens." We need hardly recall here that this was also enjoined by the Spartan constitution. This examination amongst the Kathas was made in the second month of the child (Strabo).

Two city-states are further mentioned as republics by Arrian (V. 24) but their names are not given.

§ 64. When Alexander reached the Hyphasis or Beas, he A great Republic on the Beas "exceedingly fertile and the inhabitants were good "a griculturists, brave in war and living under an

¹ Set § 197.7

⁸ "Here they do not acknowledge and rear children according to the will of parents "but as the officers entrusted with the medical inspection of infants may direct, for if "they have remarked anything deformed or defective in the limbs of the child they "arder it to be killed."—McCrindle, *Invasion of India by Alexander the Great*, p. 219. Cf. Dia (p. 280). See Strabo, XV. 30, for the law of the Kathaians, according to which the final order was pronounced by a magistrate.

"excellent system of internal government ; for the multitude was "governed by the aristocracy, who exercised their authority "with justice and moderation" (Arrian, Bk. V. 25)." The description tallies with Kautilya's Vārttāsastropajīvinah. They were prosperous by agriculture and kept themselves ready for war, fully depending on their own sword. It is unfortunate that the state has been left unnamed. Actual government vested in what the Greeks called an aristocracy. But their parliament consisted of five thousand representatives.² The find-spot of Yaudheya coins suggests that this unnamed state on the Beas was probably of the Yaudheyas. Each member of parliament supplied one elephant to the state These Indians according to Arrian (V. 25), had army. elephants in greater number and of superior size and courage. As Alexander himself put it, the Macedonians had been "wont to fight only against small numbers," "now "for the first time" they had to face really large armies. And they refused to move an inch forward amongst the nations whose very name, according to Alexander, filled his soldiers with terror.' There was this unnamed Republic which covered the land on the other side of the Beas. There was also the great army of the Nanda waiting to give reception to the Macedonian, but the immediate cause of alarm was the prospect of meeting the republic beyond the river. Alexander's army "now began to lose heart" and "they began to hold conferences" where people "positively asserted that they would follow no further." It was from the doors of the

¹ McCrindle, I. I. by Alexander, p. 121.

⁸ II.4, p. 224.

⁴ McCrindle, IIA, p. 226,

^{*} Arrian, V. 25. See McCrindle, I. I by AleJander, p. 121.

unnamed republic that the campaign of Alexander assumed the form of retreat.

The large number of the members of their council is comparable with the number of the Lichchhavi-gaps (§ 47).

§ 65. During this retreat Alexander came across a number

of republics. In fact, all the States he met Kehudrakas and on his way back, down the Indus and up Malavas, and Sibis to the Indian frontier in Baluchistan, were republican. The most powerful amongst them were the Kshudrakas and the Malavas. They are spelt by the Greeks as Oxydrakai and Malloi respectively. They were on the Hydaspes, by which the Greeks apparently mean the passage of the Jhelum after its unity with the Chenab. The two states formed one league." Arrian (VI. 4) says that they were the most numerous and . warlike of the Indian 'nations' in those parts. "Alexander "first reached the nation called the Malloi." Near the Malloi there were their republican friends called the S i b o i." whom the Jätakas and Patafijali know as the Sivis and the Saibvas! The Malloi are called a race of independent Indians (Arrian, VI 6); their cities, were along the Chenab and their capital was near the Ravi. It was in the siege of the capital or one of the cities of the Malloi that. Alexander nearly lost his life. . Owing to the unity of the league, the Greek writers could not decide whether Alexander's narrow escape relates to the city of the M alloi or to that of the Oxydrakai. The strength of the

¹ Cf. the Kshatriys-dvandva of the Käsikä on P. IV. 2. 45.

⁹ Cartius, IX. 4. There was no king amongst them, only the citizens filled the highest offices (Diodorus, XVII. 96).

^{*} J. VI. 480; Kielhorn, II.; 282. The Jätakas find them connected with-Sovira (IV. 401), i.e., they then occupied the same locality where the Greeks encountered them. In the age of the Jätakas they had been monarchical. For their republican coin, and subsequent migration, see § 150 below. Patafijali treats Sibi as the name of a country or state (vichaya).

army as given by Curtius, was 1,00,000. "The Macedonians "lost their heart at the prospect of meeting this army." "When "the Macedonians found that they had still on hand a fresh war "in which the most warlike nations in all India would be their "antagonists, they were struck with an unexpected terror, and "began again to upbraid the king in the language of sedition."¹ These Indians were regarded by the soldiers as "fierce nations" who would not let them proceed without drawing their blood. The fear of the Macedonians was well justified and is attested by the account of the personal calamity of Alexander and the lamentations which followed (IIA, pp. 241-2).

§ 66 The Greek writers with their palpable desire to magnify the glory of Alexander² would make us believe that the Kshudrakas and the Mälavas were crushed and annihilated by Alexander. But Patañjali discloses a different story. The former mentions the league as living, and one of them, the Kshudrakas, being victorious.⁸ The Macedonian writers themselves describe and admit their existing importance after the war. The two 'nations' sent "hundred ambassadors" who "all rode in chariots and were men of uncommon stature and "of a very dignified bearing. Their robes were of linen "embroidered with in-wrought gold and purple." "The gods, they "said, were the authors of their submission and not fear." They were proud of their "liberty which for so many "ages they had preserved inviolate." Alexander who was very vindictive against all those who had opposed

¹ Curtius, Bk. IX, Ch. IV ; McCrindle, I. I. by Alexander, p. 234.

^{* &}quot;Many fictions also have been recorded by historians concerning this accident, and "Fame, receiving them from the original inventors, has preserved them to our own day, nor "will she cease to transmit the falsehoods to one generation after another." Arrian, Bk. VI. Ch. 11).

[&]quot;Fame is never brought to a clearness in which facts can be seen as they are. They "are all magnified when she transmits them. Even our [Alexauder's] own glory, though "resting on a solid basis, is more indebted for its greatness to rumour than to reality." McCrivile, I I, by Alexander, p. 223.

³ एकाखिभि: भुद्रकेलिंतन्. Patañjali on P. V. 3, 52, Kielhorn, II, p. 412

him, in spite of the personal suffering to which he had been subjected by these opponents, treated the a m b as sadors with uncommon hospitality. "He "gave orders for the preparation of a splendid banquet to which "he invited the ambassadors." ... "Here a hundred couches "of gold had been placed at a small distance of each other, "and these were hung round with tapestry curtains which "glittered with gold and purple" (Curtiue, Bk. IX. Ch. 7)." Alexander entertained them with shows at which wine flowed. And the "ambassadors were dismissed to their several homes." (Ch. 8).' All this does not read like the description of a crushed enemy, but rather of one whose subordinate alliance was welcomed by Alexander after he had tested their valour. Alexander had not only to secure his rear in his retreat but had also to pacify and render confident the 'seditious' Macedonians.

§ 67. That they existed before the time of Kātyāyana as a league is proved by his vārttika and the comments of Patañjali on Pāņini Khaņdikādibhyašcha (IV.2. 45). The league did not exist in the time of Pāņini as he does not provide a form for their united army. Kātyāyana supplied this, and removed what he found in his time a deficiency. Their united names did exist in the Gaṇapātha as it was found by Patañjali, for Patañjali says, "Kshudraka-Mālava-šabdah Khaṇdikādishu pathyate," "in the Khaṇdikā group "Kshudraka-"Mālava' expression is read (found)." Patañjali quotes an old verse which holds that the Kshudraka-Mālava is not a gotra or tribal expression. It also gives a rule of Āpisali which the author of the verse considers applicable to the Kshudraka-Mālava but the rule itself does not know them.³

.

¹ McCrindle, I.I.A by Alexander, pp. 248-51.

⁹ Weber (HIL, p.222, a.) misunderstood the whole discussion and put the cart before the horse when he says that Apisali regarded them as united and that therefore his successor Päņini lived after the union i.e., after Alexander. But both Kätyäyana and Patañjali are supplying the very want which arises on account of Päņini's rule-a rule

\$68. Curtius says that to lead the united army a brave warrior of the Kshudrakas was selected, and that he was an experienced general (Bk. ix, Ch. 4).

It is important to notice the composition of the so-called a m b a s s a d o r s who had come to conclude peace with Alexander from the two republics. These were the leading m e n representing their cities and provinces. "From the

composed at a time when there was no such union. Apisali gives no rule for them and the grammarian who composed the verse quoted by Patañjali is applying a rule of Apisali which had no reference to the Kshudraka-Mālavas. The composer of the verse knew the *vārttika* of Kātyāyana. If this exceptional rule had been anticipated before Kātyāyana's time either by Apisali or any one else, Kātyāyana would not have claimed the correction as he does by his *vārttika*. The whole passage is quoted here for the convenience of those who may care to follow the discussion in the original. The net result is that the league of the Kshudraka-Mālavas did not exist in the time of Pāņini, though they themselves evidently existed, and that the league was a living institution in the time of Kātyāyana and that of Patañjali. The organization thus evidently outlived the Mauryan empire.

• स्विक्तादिभ्यय १४। २। ४५१

"चञ्चिडिरनुदात्तादीकोऽर्थं' इद्रकमाखवान्।''

भनदानाईरिव्येवाञ्सिक्ष: किमर्थ भुद्रकमासवग्रम्द: खस्डिकादिषु पव्यते । गोभाययी बुञ्ज्याप्तसः-दाधनार्थम् ।

"गीताइ अन च तट्टीवं।"

गीवाइन्सवतीख्याते न च चुद्रकमाखवग्रस्टी गीवम् । न च कीचसमुदायी सीवयइयेन स्टइते । तदाया । जनवदसमुदायी जनपदयइयेन न स्टइरते । चाश्चिकोसखीया इति वुञ्ज अवति ॥ तदकविधिमा प्राप्नीति । "तदनात्र स सर्वतः ॥ १ ॥"

परिगवितेषु बार्थेषु तदमविधिर्मं चेदं तव परिगव्छते ॥

"चापवं स्थात्तदनते "

एवं तर्डि जापयत्वाचार्यों भवतीइ तदनविधिरिति ॥

"तथाचापत्रखेविधि:।"

एवं च इत्यापित्रखेराचार्थस्य विधिरूपपद्वी अवति ।

धेनुरनजि कमुणादयति । धेनूनां समुद्दी धैनुकम् ।

चनजीति किमबैस्। चधेनूनां समूह चाधेनवस्।

"सेगायां नियमार्चे वा "

चवना नियमार्थोऽयसारमा:। चुट्रक्रमाचनइच्दाक्ते नायासेवः क्र सा भूत्। चौद्रकसाखवक्रमवदिति ॥

"समाराधेत वाज्युजा॥ २॥"

"Oxydrakai came the leading men of their cities and their "provincial governors" They were "entrusted with full powers "to conclude a treaty." The representatives of the Malloi are reported to have said that "they were attached more than "others to freedom and autonomy, and their freedom had been "preserved intact from the time of Dionysius"" (by whom the Greeks probably meant Balarāma).

§ 69. It may be noted that the good features and fine, tall physique of these free Indians are particularly noticed by the Macedonian writers. The point is important on the question of the ethnology of Indian republics which we shall consider later (Ch. xxi).

The Mälavas were apparently on the lower course of the Jhelum after its unity with the Chenab, while the Kshudrakas occupied the higher regions."

§ 70. Alexander met in the neighbourhood of the last The Agra-Srepis two republics the Agsinae, who had mustered an army, if we believe Diodorous, of 40,000 foot and 3,000 horse. "They barricaded their "narrow streets, fought with great vigour, so that Alexander "in pressing the attack lost a few Macedonians."

भववा भाषयत्वाभावः पूर्वीःपि उज्परमजं वाधत इति । जनु भोतः जीभावुज्न भ तद्गीभनिति । तदनविधिना प्राप्नति । जनुपोकं तदनात्र स धर्वत इति । भाषय स्यानद्वनले । एवं तर्षि भाषयत्वाभावीं मवतीइ तदनविधिरिति । कर्व पुनरतदुमवं इत् भाषयितं सवति भ तदनविधिः पूर्वेष उज्परमजं वाधत इति । उसवं भाष्यते ॥

अञ्त्रवर्ष चुद्रक्साववान्सेना संजायाम् ॥ १ ।

भज्मकरचे धुद्रवमाखवात्सेनार्रधावानितिवज्ञन्यम्। चौद्रकमाखदी सेना चत्। ह मा धुत्। चौद्रबमाखवकन-दति ॥

-Kielhorn, vol. II, pp. 280-1.

- ¹ Arrian, Bk. VI. Ch. 14 McCrindie, Alexander, p. 154.
- Ibid.
- * See the view of V. Smith on the location, J. B. A. S., 1903, p. 685.
- ⁴ On the identification of this name see ante § 58.
- * Diodorous, BK. XVII, Ch. 96. McCrindle, Alexander, p. 285.

According to Curtius when those brave fellows could not further resist the odds, they made, what we know now as Rājput Jauhar, of their wives and children into the flames by setting fire to their houses.¹

§71 European scholars have proposed their identification with the Arjunāyanas.² But this is unacceptable on philological grounds. The name is a composite of two words, A g r a and *Sreni*. And the main word is found in Kautilya in the list of republics which did not call their president Rājan and which were martial.^{*} There the form *Sreni* only appears; the member Agra shows that there were probably more than one unit in the S r e n i (§ 58).

§72. The next republic mentioned by the Greeks was A m b as b t h as Sambastai and Abastanoi.⁴ "They were a people "interior to none in India, either for numbers or for bravery." Their form of government was "democratic."⁵ Their army consisted of 60,000 foot, 6,000 cavalry and 500 chariots. "They had elected three generals renowned for "their valour and military skill."⁵

Alexander made peace with them having received fifty of the foremost citizens who had come as ambassadors "under "the belief that they would be treated with all proper courtesy." It is stated by Diodorus that the embassy was sent on the Ambashthas' having "adopted the advice of their elders "not to fight." This probably indicates that their democratic constitution allowed an Upper House, of Elders.

Curtius, Bk IX. Ch. 8., McCrindle, Alexander, p. 252.
 10

¹ Curtius, BK IX, Ch 4., McCrindle, Alexander, p 232

^{*} Ibid. p 367. At that time the Arjunāyana state does not appear to have existed. It is unknown up to Patañjali, and to the Mahā-Bhārata which notices all other republican peoples of the locality we have been dealing with (See Sabhaparran LII. 14-15).

³ See discussion above § 53.

^{*} Diod., XVII, 102, McCrindle, Alexander, 292.

§73. The A m b a s h t h a s as a political community are mentioned by Patañjali and the Mahā-Bhārata.¹ The Purāņas say that A m b a s h t h a of the House of Aila founded a dynasty in the Punjab.² The Purāņas in giving the early account know them as a monarchical people. The change in their constitution thus was from monarchy to republic like the change in that of the Śibie (§ 65 n.) The Purāņas say the same about the Y a u d h e y a s, that they too, along with the A m b a s h t h a s, were monarchical. But the later monumental history of the Yaudheyas is the history of a republican people. The Purāņic data therefore must refer to the origins ³

§74. The next "independent nation" was the X at hroi K thattriya. This restored in Sanskrit will be Kshattriya. As I have said above, they are identical with the republic which bore the proper name 'Kshattriya' as in the Artha-Sästra. Kautilya places them together with the Śreņis and here also we find the Śreņis as neighbours to the K shattri y a s. As already suggested, the Sindhi Khattri caste, seem to be their, modern representative. According to the division of Kautilya they did not have a king consul'

§ 75. The Ossadioi were also an 'independent Ossadioi nation' according to Arrian." No other writer mentions them. Their proposed identification with the Yaudheyas (by Cunningham)" is untenable on

* Pargiter, JR.A.S., 1914, p 277.

* The people who were to the south of the Ambashthas are called $\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{A} r \circ i$ hy the Greek writera. (McCrindle, *Alexander*, p. 293). These Sindhi people are identified by Lawson (*Ind. Alt.* II. 144, 177) with $\mathcal{S} \mathfrak{A} d r \circ \mathfrak{a}$. But the form brings it nearer the $\mathcal{S}' \circ \mathfrak{a} \circ \mathfrak{a} \circ \mathfrak{a}$ is [Saudräyanas] of the Gp. on P. IV. 2. 4.

4 AS'., XI., p. 376.

* Arrian, Bk VI. Ch. 15, McCrindle, Alexander, p. 156 ; Strabo, Bk XV. Ch. 34.

· Cunningham, A. S. R., Vol. XJV., p. 140.

¹ On Pāņini, IV. 1. 170; cf. Kāsikā, pp 292 3. Patafijali treats Ambashiha as the name of a country or state, and its inhabitants he calls Ambashihyas.

Sabhdparvan, Ch XXXII, Verses 7 9, where they are placed along with the Mälavas See also Pāņini VIII. 3. 97.

philological grounds. They seem to be, as suggested by V de Saint-Martin (McCrindle, Alexander, p. 156, n), identical with the V as \bar{s} t is of the Mahā-Bhārata (Sabhā, LH. 15) who appear there as neighbours of the Kshudrakas and the Mālavas and in a group commencing with the Ambashthas. Kātyāyana and Patañjali mention the 'country of the Vasātis' along with that of the Šibis (on P. IV. 2.52) The Gaṇapātha (on P. IV. 2 53) places them in the group commencing with the republican Rājanyas (§ 160)

§76 It is not certain whether the next state had a regal or popular constitution. Alexander's Musicani companions admired their constitution and laws. "They regard the excessive pursuit of any art, as war for instance and the like, as wickedness." (Strabo, XV. 34). The realm was reported to be the most opulent in India¹ The citizens took their meals in common, a practice also mentioned in the Atharva Veda.² They did not recognise the institution of slavery (Strabo, XV. 34). It is possible to recover the name of this free people from our literature. The identification, proposed by Lassen," with the Mushikas cannot The Mushikas were below the Sahya or the Vindhyas.4 stand. Mousikanos seems to stand for what we have in the Kāsikā (p. 313, on P. IV. 2. 80) as Muchukar pa to denote

* जायंसन्धितिनी मा वि योट संराषयंनः सपुरावरंतः । अन्यो अन्यसे वन्य वर्षन एतं सभीचानानः

संमनसक्षेवीमि ॥ ४ ॥ समानी प्रया सङ्ग्रीक्रभाग: समाने यीक्ने सङ्घी युनज्मि ।...... १। १०१४-- ६ ॥

"Following your leader, of (the same) mind, do ye not hold yourselves apart ! "Do ye come here, co-operating, going along the same wagon-pole, speaking agreeably "to one another ! I render you of the same aim, of the same mind

¹ McCrindle, Ancient India as described in Classical Literature, p. 41.

[&]quot;Identical shall be your drink, in common shall be your "share of food! Iyoke you together in the same traces.........."

⁻ Bloomfield, S. B. E. Vol. alii, p. 134.

⁸ McCrindle, II.A., p. 157 n

[•] Jayaawal, Hitthigumphi Inscription of the Emperor Kharavela, J. B. O. R. S., Vol. IV, p. 376.

the proper name of a country (Mauchukarnika). The spelling of the word is corrupt in the printed Ganapātha, but the Kāsikā reading is supported by Vardhamāna in his Gana-Ratna-Mahodadhi¹ (IV 285) who gives it on the authority of Sakatāngaja. Another reading seems to have been Muchikarna² (corrupt Suchikarna, G. R. M., p. 174).

[The neighbouring states of Sambos and the Presti (probably the Prasthalas of the Mahā-Bhārata³) are also described as kingdoms]

§ 76A. The city of 'the nation called Brachmanoi' (Arrian, VI. 16; Diodorus, XVII, CII), also Brachmanoi mentioned as the 'country of the Brachmans' (Dio. XVII. CIII) was next reduced by Alexander. This seems to have been identical with what Patafijali calls Brāhmanako nāma Janapadah (II. p. 298) 'the country (state) called Brähmanaka.' Janapada here is in the same sense as in Pänini and on the coins, i. e. a politically self-contained country or a state. The Greek idea of the identity of tribe and state is so strong that on account of the existence of a state the citizens are turned into a tribe as in the cases of all other independent cities and states in the Punjab and Sind, while Indian authorities, contemporary with the states in question, treat their names as derived from 'countries', e.g. Pāņini (IV I. 168-177). In other words, the Indian view is territorial, not tribal.

This little republic showed great spirit and patriotism, and was especially marked down for revenge by Alexander. 'These philosophers,' says Plutarch in the biography of Alexander (LIX), referring to the city of the Brahmins (McCrindle, *ILA*., p 306) 'gave him no less trouble than the

¹ Ed. by Bhimaseus, 1898 (Allababad), p. 174.

The Greeks were evidently rendering Mauchikarni. The change of ch into s is regular in their renderings.

^{*} Sabhāpartan, Ch. XIV.

'mercenaries, because they reviled the princes who declared for 'him and encouraged the free states to revolt from his 'authority. On this account he hanged many of them.'

§ 77. To the south of the above there was the state of Patala in the delta of the Indus. Before Patala Alexander arrived, the whole community had migrated to avoid submission. This preference for migration to submission was a settled practice amongst smaller Indian republics. The Vrishnis, according to the Jātakas and the Mahā Bhārata, left Mathurā and went to Dvārakā when pressed by Jarāsandha. The movements of the Sibis from the Punjab to Rajputana and of the Malavas from the Punjab to Malwa were probably results of similar circumstances. In the constitution of Patala their ruler was called $Moeres^{1}$ It is evidently identical with the derivative of Mura of the Ganapātha (P. IV. 1. 151), which Vardhamāna in his Gapa-Ratna-Mahodadhi (111. 209) takes ruler · **a**8 8 designation. Curtius treats him as a monarch, a confusion which seems to have been occasionally made by these writers. Diodorus, however, gives the constitution of the state in these words: "A city of great note with a political "constitution drawn on the same line as the Spartan; for "in this community the command in war vested in two "hereditary kings of two different houses while a "Council of Elders ruled the whole state with "paramount authority,"²

The Patala of the Macedonians has been identified with Haidarabad (Sind), whose ancient name is still remembered as *Potalpuri*³. It seems to be the **Pātana** of the Gaņapāţha (on P. IV. 1: 14) and the **Pātāna** prastha 'a Vāhīka town' of Patañjali (P. V. 2. 104).

¹ Cartias, Bk. IX, Ch. 8, McCrindle, Alexander, p. 256

^{*} McCrindle, Alexander, p. 296. Diodorus, Bk. xvii. Ch. civ.

^a McCriudle, Alexander, p. 356.

With Patala the chapter of Hindu states closes in the history of Alexander. There were some minor communities on the frontier of Baluchistan which it would not be accurate to describe as Indian.

§78. Some of the states treated ambiguously by the States ambiguously described Greeks were very likely Samphas. We have already seen a few of this class. The state of *P* hegelas is probably another of them.¹ The name has been taken by scholars to be identical with Sanskrit *B* hagala, in view of the Ganapätha's *B* hagala³ which comes along with the republican Traigartas. Alexander's *P* hegelas occupied the same region. Another such state was that of the *G* lausai or *G* laukanikoi³ (Arrian). who appear to be republican. They are identical with the *G* lauchukayanakas of the Kāsikā.⁴

The major portion of the Punjab and Sind which came under the survey of the Greek writers, shows only two or three monarchies, the important ones of which were the kingdoms of Poros and the Abhisāras. Otherwise the whole country was republican This is also evident from Plutarch's (LX) account about Poros.⁴ "Alexander then not only reinstated 'Poros in his kingdom with the title of Satrap, but added a 'large province to it, subduing the inhabitants whose form of. 'government was the republican.'"

§ 79. Alexander's raid and retreat did not cover the whole of the Punjab. There was still the valley of the Sutlej and

¹ McCrindle, Alexander, pp. 121. 221, 281.

⁶ On P., IV 2 80.

⁸ McCrindle, Alexander, p 111. Glaukanikoi according to Aristoboulos, G lausai, according to Ptolemy.

4 On P. IV. 3, 99.

• Not to be identified with Pauraea, but with the derivative of Pura of the Gasapdtha on Pänini IV. 1. 151 which is given in the list of the Punjab and Sind ruling designations. Consult also Vardhamäna (G. R. M.) on the word.

* McCrindle, Alexander, p. 308.

that of the Beas in the Vāhīka country to be traversed. The republics in those regions are to be recovered only from Indian literature. The Yaudheyas and the $\bar{A}rattas$ were in those regions, and so were also probably some of the republics mentioned in the Kāsikā which draws on ancient literature, e.g., the $\bar{S}ayandas$, the Gopālavas, the Kaundsbrisas (Kāsikā, P. V. 3. 114, p. 456).

CHAPTER IX

Constitutional Survey of the Hindu Republics in Greek Writers.

§ 80. In the above survey it would have been noticed that we have various constitutions. This shows that the constitutions were adjusted to the particular needs and circumstances of the people composing the states. We have for instance, the democracy of the Ambashthas. The democracy of the Ambashthas had a Second House composed of elected elders. They elected their generals also. Apparently every man in the community had direct franchise, the Greeks calling the constitution a democracy.

§ 81. Then we have the Kshudrakas and Mālavas who had no 'king' consul, as they sent 100 or 150 representatives to negotiate the treaty of peace. Evidently their constitution would not allow power to vest in one man or a small body of men. It is noteworthy that the two armies elected a common general.

§ 82. Passing to the constitution of the Kathaians Elected king, or the Kathas, we find an elected king. President In this state children were born to their parents as citizens first and individuals afterwards, the state deciding as to which of the prospective citizens were perfect in 'limbs and features' and which of them should be allowed to grow into manhood. (Diodorus, XCI). The Saubhat a constitution was similar. In these states, man was really a political animal. The individual existed for the state. To secure the life of the group, the individual sacrificed himself

11

and his sentiments as father and mother. Probably it was due to this law that the Katha philosophers glorified a child (Nachiketas), offered to Death by his father, as attaining immortality.

The constitution, like that of Patala, which provided for the election of a 'king' consul, was what Kautilya calls a Rājasā b d in Samgha, a republic which recognized the title of Rājan.' Such elected 'kings' also obtained amongst the Lichchhavis. The elected king was not necessarily the leader of the army. Amongst the Lichchhavis, the leadership of the army vested in another elected chief called Senāpati (General). An elected 'king' president was the feature of the Šākyan constitution as well.

§83 In the constitution of the Patalas the "council of elders" ruled. They had two so-called 'k ings,' hereditary in two families, for the purposes of command in war only. Hereditary 'royal' families in republican bodies are also mentioned in the Mahā-Bhārata.² The Patala 'kings' were responsible to the Council which in turn was probably elected by the whole community, it being a democracy. Here we have in the Patala constitution a mixture of aristocracy and democracy.

* Ch. XIV below.

¹ It was probably a constitution of this sort which prevailed amongst the $Ku \neq i \pi d a s$, a state whose coins were struck in the name of the king and the political community. Their king is always mentioned there as 'Amoghabhati', 'Of unfailing prosperity,' and the same appellation appears for centuries (150 B C. to 100 A G.). This was an official title, and not a personal name (as wrongly interpreted by numisuatists V. Smith CCIM, Vol I, pp. 161, 167). The leaders of the Gap a of the Kaulin das (spelt also as Kaugin da) are mentioned by V. Mihira. Br. S. IV. 24, (aligned any and the Markagdeya P., Kaulin deine; the Vishpu P., Kuling a and the Markagdeya P., Kauling a. Cunningham, CAL, 71. Their coins are found between Ambala and Sakaranpur. Their proposed identification with 'Kunets' [which ought to be Kanets] of the Simla hills (A.S.R., XIV, p. 126) is doubtful.

The ultimate political authority in all these cases rested with the Gana or Samgha.

§ 84. As to the executive authority in these republics, it was in some constitutions delegated to a Executive Second Chamber or the House of Elders. authority. while in others there are indications that it remained with the general Gana or Parliament. According to the Greek writers the Council of Elders at Patala had the supreme authority, and the Ambashthas listened to the advice of their Elders. The Mahā-Bhārata says that the chief difficulty in the Gana constitution is to keep a resolution of theirs secret, because their number is large. It is therefore urged that the matters of policy (mantra) should not be discussed by the whole Gana and that the state policy should remain in the hands of the Leaders.¹ One set of the coins of the Yaudheyas is struck in the name of the Mantradharas and the Gana, while other coins are struck simply in the name of the G an a. Mantradharas mean the council which is vested with the authority of mantra or policy. The officers called the chiefs or leaders of the Gana thus formed the Executive Body or the Cabinet. The Elders formed another body. They were like a Second House. They had varying powers according to individual constitutions. Amongst the Patalas; they were for all purposes the $G \circ v ern m ent$. In the Ambashtha Samgha they were not so. They were like the Vriddhas mentioned in the Mahā-Bhārata who advised on matters of mutual subordination and correct dealings, etc. (ch. xiv). The Vriddhas or Elders were not necessarily people old in age, though primarily age might have been the qualification. The Mahā-Bhārata has the expression 'Elders by knowledge,' i.e., by merit. Evidently one was elected an elder on merit.

¹ Ch. XIV, below.

The discussion in the Mahā-Bhārata implies that some Ganas or Parliaments did reserve in their own hands the executive policy and did not delegate it to their council or cabinet, as it says that the system is a weak point in Gana constitution. Probably nondelegation of executive power was more general a trait than delegation The Ambashthas and the Kshudraka-Mālavas seem to have had such constitutions. The democracies seem to have followed the non-delegation system. The government of the city-states in the Punjab is taken by the Greek writers to have been as a rule democratic. "At last after many generations had come "and gone, the sovereignty, it is said, was dissolved and "democratic governments were set up in "the cities." (Diodorous, III. 38.)" "Most of the cities 'adopted the democratic form of government, though some 'retained the kingly, until the invasion of the country by 'Alexander' (Ibid. 39).²

§ 85. The states wherein the Greeks found Executive Aristocratic Power held by a few families on hereditary principle, although the rulers were subject to a Gana, they have described as a ristocratic. In fact they were a mixed constitution which may be called for want of a better term, a ristocratic democracy. Take for example, the state on the other side of the Hupanis (Beas). This had a Gana or Parliament of 5,000 members. Yet it was called an aristocracy: "for the "multitude was governed by the aristocracy, who exercised "their authority with justice and moderation." It was according to the Greek view "an excellent system of internal government." The Gana of 5,000 was not a direct

¹ McCrindle, Megasthenes, p. 38.

² Ibid ; p. 40.

^{* 11}A., p. 121, Megasthenes, p. 67.

assembly, for only those were entitled to sit there who furnished the state with an elephant This was the qualification, and a seat in the Gana was based on qualification. The population was composed of 'good 'agriculturists, and men brave in war.' Every agriculturist and every fighter could not have afforded an elephant. But every agriculturist and fighter presumably must have been represented. Evidently the elephant men represented the 'no elephant' men To the class of this mixed type belonged also the Patala constitution. The hereditary 'kings' were under the complete control of the House of Elders. It was an aristocracy in form but democracy in spirit.

§ 86. The large number of the Gana or Parliament Large Parliaments of 5,000 noticed by the Greeks is not without parallel in Indian literature. The Jātakas describe Vaisālī, the capital of the Lichchhavis as having 7,707 kinglets (rājūkas). These rulers were composed of both rich and poor sorts who used to gather in the usual assembly in the House of Law on the call of tocsin. Probably all of them did not attend as they do not do in modern Parliaments.

§ 87. Hindu literature calls such aristocratic element of Hindu term for aristocratic constitution Gana, a Kula,¹ (literally, 'family'). The Mahā-Bhārata treats 'the Kulas of rājās' as belonging to the class of ganas. The Artha-Šāstra refers to the rāja-kulas 'ruling Kulas' or 'Kulas of rājās' as being of the nature of Samghas (Sangha-dharmins, p. 328.) The hereditary 'kings' of the Pātalas would come under this description of the Kula-Samgha. The Law-books always treat

^{*} Asahāya commenting ou Nārada, I. 7, defines kula as controlled or managed by a few people (कुलानि करिविषयुद्धपादकीतानि). On grihītāni compare the verb pragrak, 'to hold.'

Kulas as distinct from Ganas and both come therein side by side' Gana, therefore, pure and simple, had no hereditary principle and technically was of the nature of democracy. Often, there was a mixture of the two, and pure Kulas were rare. The distinction was in later times ignored.⁹ The Jainas who founded their religious Ganas also founded religious Kulas.⁹ This Kula however was a misnomer, as the founders were celebates and no hereditary principle could be followed. In pure Kula States 'supreme rulership' went by turns to the few families (Kulesu pach chekādhipach cham).⁴

§ 88. We have concluded this brief constitutional survey Culture in these of these Republics. But let us not part · republica with this chapter of our republican polity without a few words on their general culture. Philostratus in the Life of Appollonius of Tyana gives the information that the Sophoi of Alexander were the Uxydracae and that in the time of Appollonius (about 40 A. C.) they were regarded as 'rather dabblers in philosophy than philosophers.' It seems that the Kshudrakas in Alexander's time were noted for their philosophic wisdom and were called the wise Similarly the Kathas are famous in Indian (Sophai). literature for their Upanishadic philosophy and Vedic learning They were followers of the Krishna Yajurveda, their edition of that Veda, the Käthaka Samhitä, has come down to our times. In Patanjali's time, the Katha recension was the ruling authority, it was recited in every

¹ Firamitrodaya, quotations at pp 11 and 40.

* Kätyäyana ; कुलानो कि समुहन्तु बच: मंप्रकोणित:) (Viramitrodaya, p. 426.) "The assembly (or body corporate) of Kulas is called Gapa."

- See Pattavalis edited by Dr. Hoerale in Ind Ant., Vol. xz. a. 347.
 - Anguttara-Nikāya, 58. 1 (Vol. IFi, p. 76). See discussion infra § 91.

town as he says in his Mahābhāshya.¹ Their law-books? Kāthaka-dharma sūtra, was also well-known, and is: the believed to have been the basis of the Vishnu-smriti. Their name in Hindu literature will live as long as the Upanishads live and the Yajurveda lives. Likewise the philosophy of the Vrishni leader and that of his cousin Nemi are national beliefs to the present day. The Sākyas, although they ceased to exist by the fourth century B.C., had bequeathed the greatest religion to the world. Free constitutions seem to have given rise to free philosophies. Philosophy, politics and military training did not combine to develop a non-human type of humanity. The republics were noted for their love of music. Arrian (VI. 3) calls these Indians whom Alexander met, 'lovers of dance and song.' The musical propensities of the Vrishnis are a familiar feature in Sanskrit literature. The Harivamsa describes their ball and picnic (Chs. 146-7)³.

The Arthasästra (XI, p. 376) supposes disputation (kalaha), on Vidya and silpa ('science' and 'art') to be a wellknown weakness in the republics.

§ 89. It has been noticed as in the case of the Sikhs that belief and polity exercise a tremendous influence in moulding the physical features of man. The republican polity of this country is another illustration of this curious law of nature. The Greeks testify to the handsome looks and dignified bearing of the Kshudrakas and Mālavas, the Saubhūtas and the Kathas, The remarks of the Buddha who compared the handsome Lichchhavis to a company of gods' go to prove the same, Krishna in the Mahā-Bhārata is reported to have alluded to

¹ On P., IV. 3, 101.

^{*} McCrindle, I. I. by Alexander, p. 136. [Every nation regards the singing of overy foreign nation as 'wild.' It is true now; it was true 22 centuries ago.]

³ R. Mitra, Indo Aryans, Vol. 1, pp. 430-42.

⁴ "Let those of the Bhikkhus who have never seen the Tavatimsa gods, gaze "upon this company of the Lichchhavis, behold this company of the Lichchhavis, "compare this company of the Lichchhavis—even as the company of Tavatimea gods." Oldenberg and Rhys Davids, S. B. E., Vol. XI, β . 32.

the handsome presence of certain Vrishni leaders as a political asset.¹ It seems that the republicans consciously paid attention to physical culture This the Saubhūtas and the Kathas even enforced by the laws of their constitutions.³ The disappearance of that physique in the land of the Lichchhavis which excited the admiration of the Buddha, is parallel to the decline of physique in modern Hellas. Features also seem to be amenable to what Aristotle called the Queen of Sciences

¹ See appendix A.

⁹ See on the Madras, p. 93 n.

CHAPTER X

Technical Hindu Constitutions

(from c. 1000 B.C.)

§ 90. Gana and Kula were two main divisions of the Samgha states. Between them there were various technical classes of constitutions. Terms for them which have come down to us and their details, as far as I have been able to collect, I propose to set forth below. Let us take the oldest first.

§91. The Bhaujya constitution is noticed Bhaujya constitution by the Aitareya Brāhmaņa.¹ We get some light on this constitution from an unexpected quarter. The Pāli Canon² enumerates careers open to a Kulaputta. Amongst these, next to the king-consul, we have Ratthika and Pettanika. Ašoka in his inscriptions, equates Bhoja with Rathika or Rāshţrika.⁸ The commentary on the Afguttara Nikāya explains the Pettanika as being hereditary leadership (Sāpateyya), come down from

¹ Ait. Br. VIII, 14. द्रविषसा दिन्नि ये के च सलता राजानी भौज्यायेव तेऽभिषिच्यने । भौज-त्येगानभिषिकानाचचत...।

• यसा कस्त्रचि मडानाम, कुलपुत्तसा पश्चभका संविक्तनि, यदि वा रञ्ञी व्यक्तियसा मुश्वाभिसित्तसा, यदि वा रक्तिसा पेत्तनिकसा, यदि वा संभाय सेनापतिकसा. यदि वा भामगाभिषिकसा, यदि वा पूगगामधिकसा, ये वा पन कुलिस पत्रेकाधिपत्रं कारीलि । Arguttura Nikaya, Ft. III, p. 76.

³ Rock Series Proclamations V and XIII, गलाराणं रिस्टिकरं तेनिकानं दे वापि चंछे चपराता (firnar V); सीजपितिनिकेष, Shahbazgarhi XIII.

12

forefathers,¹ The Räshtrikas and Bhojakas or as opposed to Pettanikas apparently Bhojas meant non-hereditary leadership. Sāpateyyam ('togetherleadership') suggests that in each case there were more than one leader. The Maha-Bharata also in its list of different classes of rulers mentions Bhojs as a class (Santi. Ch. lxvii. Sl. 54).³ To this interpretation I am also led by Khāravela's inscription which describes the Rashtrikas and the Bhojakas with paraphernalia of sovereignty." Later inscriptions have Bhojas and MahaBhojas which signify higher and ordinary classes of leaders. Sovereignty rested in the Bhoja leaders. The constitution itself was called Bhaujya as in the Aitareya. It is note-worthy that the Bhojas, so-called after their rulers, appear in later literature as a sub-division of the Yādavas whose earlier history we find as a league of two republics, called the Andhaka-Vrishnie (§§ 36-40). Now according to the Aitareya the Satvats, which is the ancient name for the Yādavas, were one of the peoples who observed the Bhaujya constitution.

§ 92. That this constitution prevailed in Eastern India also is probable on account of the reference in the Päli Canon, which as a rule, does not deal with institutions of Western India.

Owing to their special constitution a people in Western India acquired the name B h o j a s. This is probably a case where a nation is formed on account of its political constitution. The Andhaka-Vrishnis were in the peninsula of Gujrat or Kathiawad. The name B h o j a or B h a u j y a still

¹ पितरादच सापनेव्यं, Asguttara III, Indices, p. 456; again शुत्तातुमुत्त' मुश्वति, commontary at p 300.

[.]

⁹ रात्रा भोत्री विराट सम्राट

^{*} Jayaawal, Hathigumpha Insefiption, J. B. O. R. S., III., p. 455.

survives in modern Bhuj, the capital of an Indian state (Cutch) in the Kathiawad Agency. Gujarat is one of the most ancient seats of Bhaujya; but it is likely that the Satvats had spread southwards, as the Aitareya places them in the south. If the author of the Aitareya was in the north of the Kuru land which he places in the Middle Country, he might locate Gujrat in the south.

§ 93. Svārāiva is taken to be a peculiar constitution by the Aitareya Brahmana prevailing in Sväräjya Western India.¹ The ruler or President was constitution called Scarat. It literally means "self-ruler." The Taittiriya Brāhmana in praising the Vājapeya royal consecration says that a wise man (Vidvan) sacrifices by the Vajapeya and he obtains Svārājya, which it explains as 'becoming the leader of equals.' He attains 'eldership' (jaishthya).² This little information shows that the Sva-rat ruler was taken from amongst equals, and was made President, and that the selection was based upon merit for Indra who is said to have first obtained the Sväraiya consecration (abhisheka) is described as having proved his merit. Evidently this refers to an election or selection to the presidentship amongst the members of a gan a or council It should be noted that the members of the gan a according to the Mahā-Bhārata were considered to be equals $(sadrisās sarve.)^3$ According to the Aitareya this form of government prevailed amongst the Nichyas and the Apächyas of Western India. The Nichyas would have occupied, as their name signifies, the low lands bordering near the mouths of the Indus, and the Apächyas, probably, the regions immediately

[े] पतन्तां प्रतीष्प दिन्नि ये वे च नीचानां राजामी येऽपाचानां स्ताराज्यायैव तेऽभिषिच्यले सराडित्ये-नानभिषिक्तानाचचत...Aitareya Brahmana, VIII, 14.

[•] व एषं विदान वाजपेर्यन बर्जति। गण्डति खाराज्यस्। अर्थ समानानां पर्यति ! तिष्ठं मेऽकी व्ये प्राय T. Brahmana, 1. 3. 2. 2.

^a See below §124.

above. In the time of the Yajur Veda, however, the Sväräjya form prevailed in Northern India.¹ Later reference than the Aitareya for this from of constitution has not yet been met with.

§ 94. The Aitareya Brāhmaņa describes the Vairājya as a national constitution of some of the Vairājya 'nations' of the North. The locality is further constitution defined by the words "by the side of the Himālayas." In the time of the Yajur Veda such constitution was followed in the South. This shows that this from of government was not confined only to the North but was adopted in widely different localities.³ I have rendered the term, taking it literally, as "the king-less constitution." According to the Aitareya the whole country or nation (Janapada) took the consecration of rulership. There is no doubt that this was a real democratic constitution. By way of example the Uttara-Madras and the Uttara-Kurus are given in the Aitareya as observing this constitution. The grammatical literature mentions the Madras defined according to dis or direction, which signifies that there were at least two Madras." The Madras were republican in the time of Panini and they were so up to the 4th century A. C. when the Guptas encountered them.⁴ It seems

* सराडस्दीची दिइसदतेने द्वा अधिपतव: etc., S'. Yajuroeda, XV. 13.

⁹ एतेन च हचेनेतेन त यजुवैताभिक आहतिमिविंशण्याव तआईतस्यामुदी था दिवि दे वे च परिच डिलवलं जनपदा अतरकुरमं उतरमद्रा इति वैराज्यायैक्तेऽभिविष्यले । विगडिन्येनानमिविमानाचचत...... Aitareya Brahmana, VIII, 14.

"विराहवि द्विचा दिव दाले देवा चार्षप्रतव: etu, Yojurreda, XV. 11.

⁵ Cf. "To this word two meanings can be given: 1) without king; 2) a very distinguished king. In this passage we must take it in the first meaning; for here are the Janapadik; i.e., people in opposition to the king mentioned as abhishikta i.e., anointed, whilst in all other passages of this chapter, we find instead of them, the vdjäsak or kings "M. Haug, Aitareya Bröhmana, Vol. II, p. 518, s.

4 Păpini IV 2. 108. सहेम्बोइन् (See also the previous Sütra, and VII, 3. .13 दिसोइनदानास, where the jan a padas of the North are treated.

* Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 8.

that the Uttara-Madras or the Higher Madras had a somewhat different constitution from that of the Lower Madras. Now, the Uttara-Kurus in later literature have passed into the region of fable and mythology, where they are noted for prosperity and life of enjoyment.¹ In the Aitareya Brāhmaņa, they are a historical people like the Madras. Apparently they, as a separate people, disappeared in later times and the story of their material prosperity transferred them to the region of folklore, a fate very common in this country where history has been often barbarised into mythology.²

".....a great centre of trade, a city that is called S a g a l a, situate in a delightful country, well watered and hilly, abounding in parks and gardens and groves and lakes and tanks, a paradise of rivers and mountains and woods. Wise architects have laid it out, and its people know of no oppression since all their enemies and adversaries have been put down. Brave is its defence, with many and various strong towers and ramparts, with superb gates and entrance archways; and with the royal citadel in its midst, white walled and deeply moated. Well laid out are its streets, squares, cross roads, and market places. Well displayed are the innumerable sorts of costly merchandise with which its shops are filled. It is richly adorned with hundreds of alms-halls of various kinds; and splendid with hundreds of thousands of magnificent mansions, which rise aloft like the mountain peaks of the Himalayas, Its streets are filled with elephants, horses, carriages, and foot-passengers, frequented by groups of handsome men and beautiful women, and crowded by men of all sorts and conditions, Brahmans, nobles, artificers, and servants. They resound with cries of welcome to the teachers of every creed, and the city is the resort of the leading men of each of the differing sects. Shops are there for the sale of Benares muslin, of Kutumbara stuffs, and of other clothes of various kinds ; and sweet odours are exhaled from the bazaars, where all sorts of flowers and perfumes are tastefully set out. Jewels are there in plenty, such as men's hearts desire, and guilds of traders in all sorts of finery display their goods in the bazaars that face all quarters of the sky. So full is the city of money, and of gold and silver ware, of copper and stone ware, that it is a very mine of dazzling treasures. And there is laid up there much store of property and corn and things of value in warehouses-foods and drinks of every sort, syrups and sweetmeats of every kind. In wealth it rivals Uttars-Kuru, and in glory it is as Alakanandā, the city of the gods."

• Cf Subhaparean, Ch. XXVIII. Also Jataka, Vol. V, p. 316; Vol. VI, p. 100 where Uttara-Kuru is still a historical country located in the Himalsyss.' § 95. Hindu commentators failing to recognise the significance of the word Vairājya ('kinglessness') have fallen into the error of rendering it as 'shining condition.' But there cannot be the slightest doubt as to the constitutional interpretation given here. Other terms of the same passage of the Aitareya do bear constitutional interpretation; and if any additional evidence were necessary, I would refer to the term as used by Kauțilya who treats it as a form of government and rejects it as a bad form.' He, like his contemporary Greek thinkers, held democracy in contempt. According to him

'no body feels in a Vairājya Government 'the feeling of "mine" (with regard to the 'state), the aim of political organism is 'rejected, any one can sell away (the 'country), no one feels responsible, or one 'becoming indifferent leaves the state.'

The Jaina Achārānga Sūtra' also mentions the Vairājya in giving different kinds of constitutions. And the Mahā-Bhārata' notices Virāj as one of the official titles of ruler.

§ 96 The 'capital' of the Madras is noted though not named by Pāṇini. We know from other sources' that it was Sākala which is supposed to be identical with modern Sialkot. If the identification is correct, the Sākala must have been originally the seat the Uttara Madras

In the 2nd cencury B. C., the capital was under Menander according to the Milinda Pañho. It seems that the Madras

- ⁸ Ayaranga Suttam, (Jacobi's edition) p 83. Verajjani etc.
- Mahabhārata, Karņaparran, Chg. XI., XLIV.

[•] वैराज्य' तु जीवत: परस्वाच्छिय "जैतन्त्रम" इति जनसान: कर्षयत्वप्रवाधवति ; पर्स्व वर्ग करोति विरक्ष' वा परियज्य प्रापयच्छतीति । -- Artha-S'astra, VIII. 2, p. 323 Mr. Shama Shastry's translation is höpelessly confused. He takes Vairajya to mean "foreign rule, which "comes into existence by seizing the country from its king still alive," p. 395.

then left their original home and migrated to lower regions where they were found still flourishing in the Gupta age.¹

§ 97. It is evident that the *Rāshţrikas* of the West, Rāshţrika who in Aśoka's inscriptions² are in the group of the Bhojas and Pitenikas, were a non-monarchical community. No king of theirs is noticed by Ašoka. Khāravela also mentions them in the plural, fighting him in league with the 'B h o j a k a s, and with paraphernalia of sovereignty.³ No doubt now remains as to their republican character. As pointed out above the Pāli Canon knows and names the *Rāshţrika* or *Raţţhika* class of rulers.⁴

According to the implication of the commentary, the $R \bar{a} s h tr i k a$ - $S \bar{a} p a ty a$ (Sāpateyyam) or 'board of leaders' were not hereditary.⁶ They were therefore elected. The mention in the Pāli authority goes to indicate that the Rāshtrika constitution was also very probably known to Eastern India. Like the Bhaujya this too gave a national name to the Rāshtrikas of the West. The country named S u-r $\bar{a} s h t r a$ of Western India probably owed its origin to a Rāshtrika constitution. In the Artha-Sāstra, the Su-rāshtras are a republic where no king-consul was allowed.⁶ The territorial appellations R $\bar{a} s h t r i k a$ and S u-s $\bar{a} s h t r a$ seem to have been derived from this republican constitution.

§ 99. The passage in the Pāli Canon noticed above places the Pettanika the Pettanika set by the side of the Rāshtrikas, and, as we have seen, the term denoted 'hereditary leaders.' Theirs appears to have been really a perverted form of the Rāshţrika, or rather the Bhoja, form

¹ Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 8,

^{*} See above § 91.

⁸ See ante p. 90, n. 3

Anguttra Nikaya, Vol. III. LVIII. 1. See above § 91 n. 2.

⁴ Anguttara Nikaya, Vol. iii, p. 456, where the Pettanika, as opposed to Ratthika, is hereditary.

Artha S'astra p. 376; See above \$\$ 55, 57.

of government, where rulers or leaders had managed to become hereditary. In the Aitareya Brāhmaņa itself, one class of Bhojas are distinguished from the other by the expression Bhoja pitaram (VIII. 12) or hereditary Bhoja (literally, who himself a Bhoja would also be the father of a Bhoja). The commentary to the Anguttara Nikāya has in one place¹ *b kuttānub kuttam b kumjati* (=Pettanika) which would signify a Bhoja Pettanika. The Pettanika oligarchy, or probably aristocracy, was prevalent in Western India as evidenced by Asoka's inscriptions. That it existed in Eastern India is probable, coming as it does in the Pāli passage. § 100. Kauțilya discusses the constitution called

Dvairdjya in connection with the Dvairäjya Vairājya. He characterises the Dvairājya, constitution "the rule of two," as a constitution of rivalry and mutual conflict leading to final destruction.* It should be noticed that the Achārānga Sūtra also refers to this constitution and treats it as distinct from the G a n a government This 'rule of two' was neither a monarchy nor an aristocrcy. It is a constitution peculiar to the history of India. Historical instances of this constitution are known to our literature and inscriptions. Avanti in some period of Hindu history was under this constitution, for the Mahā-Bhārata relates that Avanti was under Vinda and Anuvinda, two kings ruling jointly." The inscriptional instances have caused much confusion to Indian epigraphists and they found no solution of the riddle. In the 6th and 7th centuries of the Christian era, Nepal was under such a constitution. Simultaneous inscriptions of the kings of the Lichchhavi family and the Thäkuri family are found at Käthmändu. These are orders issued from two

* Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, App. "IV.

¹ Anguttatra Nikāya, Vol. III, Indices, p. 456.

^{*} हैराज्यवैराज्यती: हैराव्यमनीवपचर वानुराताओं घरघरसङ्घर्षेत्र या विम्राझति।

⁻Artha-S'detra, p. 323.

^a Sabhāparsan, Ch. 31 ; Ud. P. 165, etc.

places in the same capital, and the dates prove that the two dynasties were ruling simultaneously. Epigraphists not knowing the Dvairājya form of government could not see the real significance. They were therefore forced to suppose an imaginary divided jurisdiction. It is. however, excusable, as the idea of Dvairajya is so foreign to modern mind. Prima facie such a constitution is unthinkable and unworkable. Its working in India constitutes a unique constitutional experiment and success. The constitution in Nepal lasted for a long time. Hobbes' doctrine of indivisible sovereignty would not allow a foreign student to grasp the inscriptions of Nepal. But in India, where joint-family is a living doctrine it is explicable. Such a constitution was feasible only in a country where the juristic notion of the Mitāksharā family could develop into a practical principle. It seems that the legal principle of joint property and joint enjoyment was transferred to the region of politics and acted upon, whereby 'conflict,' 'rivalry' and 'annihilation' could be avoided for centuries. The Nepal families were not related by blood. It was only the constitution which made them joint twins in sovereignty. The references in the Artha-Sāstra and the Achārānga Sūtra indicate that the constitution was not a very rare thing in Hindu India.

§101. The Arājaka or 'n o n · r u l e r '' was an idea-The non-ruler listic constitution which came to be the object of derision of political writers of Hindu India. The ideal of this constitution was that Law was to be taken as the ruler and there should be no man-ruler. The basis of the state was considered to be a mutual agreement or social contract between the citizens. This was an extreme democracy almost Tolstoïan in ideal. The Mahā-Bhārata² from

¹ This technical Arājaka does not mean anarchy. For anarchy, Hindu politics uses a special torm, *māts ya-nydya*, e.g., AS', I.4, p. 9; Khālimpur copper plate (E.I., IV. 248), Manu, VII. 20.

² S'dutiparean, Ch. LIX : In the beginning of the Current Cycle there was no monarchy and no monarch, and there was no man appointed to executive government.

which the above description is taken, ridicules the constitution and says that the framers of this I e galstate found out their

In fact, there was no executive power. The rule of law and government of law was established. This government of law did not last for want of mutual confidence. Hence monarchy was introduced.

[In another place the same theory is expressed to this effect:

Subjects in the A r a j a k a state becoming anarchical formed resolutions in an assem bly and made laws for control of violence and crime. All the castes for the sake of confidence entered into a greement to live by the contract. But when they were not satisfied with the working of the system they complained to the Creator who recommended them a sovereign, and a king was elected.

This occurs in Chapter LXVII. Both traditions relate to the same theory.

नियतस्तं नरन्याच्च २२ वर्षमप्रेषत: । सथा राज्य समुत्पन्नमादी इतपुनेइमधन् ॥ नेव राज्यन्नराजासीच्च भ दखे। म दाखिड: । पर्व्यवेव प्रजा: सर्व्या रवनि च परस्परम् ॥ पात्त्यमानासचाऽन्यीव नरा न्नमेंच मारदा । देव' परनुपाजमुसतसान्ध्रीष्ठ चाविच्चत् ॥ देव' परनुपाजमुसतसान्ध्रीष्ठ चाविच्चत् ॥ ते नीष्ठव्यमापन्ना मनुजा मनुजवंत । प्रतिपत्तिविमीडाच वर्द्यस्वेवामनीनजन् ॥ नदार्या प्रतिपत्ती च नीष्ठवच्चा नराजदा । चीनस्य वयमापन्ना: सज्य भरतस्वत्रम् ॥

Ch. LIX. Sl. 13-17.

In Sloka 22, they approach Brahman who writes a book on government and recommends a king (passim).

Chapter LXVII,

मन्द्रसिव प्रचमते बद्राजानसिति कुतिः । वयैवेन्द्रस्तवा राजा संपूर्व्यो मृतिसिच्चता । । । वराजवा: प्रजा: सम्बां पूर्व्य किषिधदिति न: कृतम् । परसर मचवनो सस्वा दव खरी हल्लाम् । १० ॥ समेल तासतवकु: समवानिति न: कृतम् । बाब्यूरी दखपदवी वद्य सार्यारदारिष्ठ: ॥ १८ ॥ वद न: समर्थ सिन्धाच्चाव्या नसाहजा इति । विद्यासार्य्य सर्वेचा वर्च्यामावित्रेवत: । तासचा समव झला समयेगावर्तस्वदे । १८ ॥ संहितासार्य्या जन्मुरसुखार्या: पितामडम् । चगीवरा विन्द्रासी स्ववद्यीवर्य दिवा । १० ॥ mistake when the arrangement would work no more, with the result that nobody obeyed the law without sanction; when the law would not rule, the citizens of this form of government took to monarchy. I was inclined to regard this "non-ruler" (ardjaka) constitution as a mere fiction of Hindu politicians who, I thought, wanted to invent it as an argument against republican theories of s o c i a l c o n t r a c t a n d r u l e o f l a w. But the Jaina Sūtra compels me to take it as a constitutional experiment which had been tried more than once in this country. The Sūtra mentions the form as a living institution.¹ The group where this constitution occurs is composed of all real and historical forms of government. It gives :

(a) Non-ruler States,

(b) Gana-ruled States,

(c) Yuvarāja-ruled States,

(d) Two-ruled States,

(e) Vairājya States,

(f) 'Viruddha-rajjāņi' or States ruled by parties.

The (c) class evidently refers to a government like the one over which Khāravela presided before his coronation (Yovarajam pasāsitam). Legally such a period of rule was considered as interregnum. Government was probably in the hands of some council of regency, the sovereign being too young. The (f) class seems to refer to the rule of parties as in the case of the Andhaka-Vrishnis.

The Jaina Sūtra says that all these states are unsafe for a monk or a nun to go to, as they are suspicious, prone to suspect strange ascetics as political spies. We know from the

¹ Se bhikkhū vä 2 gämäņugāmam dūijjamāņe amtarā se arāyāņi vā gaņarāyāņi vā juvarāyāņi vā dorajjāņi vā, verajjāņi vā viruddharajjāņi vā, sati lādhe vihārā samtharamānehim jaņavashim, no vihāravattiyās pavajjejjā gamaņās, kevalī būyā: āyāņam eyam ; to ņam bālā : ayam teņs tam ceva jāva gamaņās, tato samjayām eva gīmāņugāmam dūijjejjā. Äyāramgasuttam (Ed. Jācobi) II. 3-1-10.

Artha-Sästra that spies generally took the garb of sectarian ascetics.

Very small states on the doctrinaire principle of 'no manruler state' could have existed. There must have been Hindu Mazzinis and Hindu Tolstois to found and work such glorious but well-nigh impossible constitutions.

§ 102. Another passage in the Jaina Sūtra¹ (II.1.2.2.) gives

three classes of rulers: U g r a (Ugya). U g r a and R ā j a ny a forms of government Bh o j a, and R ā j a n y a, (then follow the Kshatriyas, Ikshvākus, etc). The technical, constitutional value of R ā j a n y a we have already found

out. Bhoja also we know. Ugra we get from Vedic literature (§ 202 Pt. II., p. 8.)

Kerala (Malabar) is also called Ugra. Probably Kerala had the Ugra constitution Kerala is reputed to have had some republican form of government. The title Keralaputo in Asoka's inscriptions may indicate some special form of government. The Keralaputo was the ruler of Kerala, but not its king. Similarly was his neighbour Satiyaputo of Asoka's inscriptions. The Satvats were originally on the fringe of the Deccan, and it is likely that one of their branches went further down. With Satiyaputo as a Bhoja we can take Keralaputo as an Ugra, but the identification must remain tentative until further data be forthcoming.

§ 103. It should be borne in mind that in all these Sacrament of rulership essential in every constitution consecration was deemed essential. Without that there was no legal state. And why so? The rulers had to take the oath of good and honest government. The ceremony was so important that in democratic republics where the whole country was supposed to rule (Vairājya), the whole country took a b h i s h e k a

¹ उपाकुबादि वा मॅत्रिकुवादि वा राइद्रकुवादि वा खत्तित्रकुवादि वा दन्तानकुवादि...... *Åyaramganutan* (Bd. Jacobi).

consecration by a special ritual.¹ The Lichchhavis had their abhisheka (above p. 51, n. 2), and the Mallas had their fixed place where their rulers in taking office went through 'coronation,' '(*Mukuţa-bandhana*,' 'putting on the coronet,' MPS, 6.15) which pre-supposes abhisheka (§220 below) 'Un-a no in t e d' ruler is a term of contempt in Hindu politics. It is to denote an unlawful ruler. It is applied to foreign tribes in the Purāṇas '

¹ Aitureya Brahmana, VIII. 14.

* Vāyu Purāņa,-

भविष्यन्तीइ यवना धर्मतः कामतीऽर्थतः ।

नैव मद्भीभिषिक्तासे भविष्यनि गराधिपा: ।

See Pargiter's text, p 56.

CHAPTER XI

Procedure of Deliberation in Hindu Republics

§ 104. To come nearer these republics, to come nearer their breathing life, let us try to steal a peep into their deliberations. If the curtain of the past be too heavy to be lifted, let us be satisfied only with an indirect view.

We know from the Buddhist Sūtras and the references noticed above that matters of state were discussed in the assembly of our republics. There is one single direct reference to the technical aspect of these deliberations. That one reference is of highest importance, for it puts us on the right track. The capital of the $S\bar{a}kyas$ was besieged by the king of Kosala. It is recorded that while the question of surrender was being discussed, opinion was divided The $S\bar{a}kyas$ therefore decided to find out the opinion of the majority. And voting was done on the subject.

"So the king sent a messenger to the S'äkyas, saying, 'Sirs, although I have no 'fondness for you, yet I have no hatred against you. It is all over; so open your 'gatos quickly.' Then the S'äkyas said, 'Let us all assemble and 'deliberate whether we shall open the gates.' When they had assembled, some said, 'Open them,' others advised not doing so. Some said, 'As 'there are various opinions, we will find out the opinion of the 'majority,' So they set about voting on the subject." I

The voting resulted in favour of the proposed capitulation and the city capitulated. Now, where can we get greater details of this procedure of voting and majority? We have seen that the Buddhist Samgha was copied from the political Samgha. We have also seen that the example of counting

¹ Rockhill, The Life of the Buddha, pp. 118-9.

votes in the Gan a is directly cited by the Buddha as a guide. Therefore, if we can get at the procedure of either of the two, the political or the religious Samgha, we would be having a picture of the common type. The institutions were contemporary, and in ordinary course, technicalities of procedure of deliberations would be common to popular bodies. But in the case of the Buddhist Sampha its foundation is known and its borrowing from the political Samgha is clear. The procedure of the Buddhist Samgha would be nearer the procedure of the parent Samgha, the Republic. If we eliminate the religious modifications, we can restore the common type. For this I reproduce the procedure of the religious Sampha, the very rules of which show that the terms and proceedings had already become technical before they were introduced by the Great Teacher into his Brotherhood. It will give us the details of the procedure of voting and majority.

§ 104. All the members who had the right to be present, were present in the assembly on seats, placed under the direction of a special officer appointed for the purpose :

"Now at the time a Bhikkhu named Ajita, of ten "years' standing, was the reciter of the Pätimokkha "to the Samgha. Him did the Samgha appoint as seat-"regulator (*āsanapañňāpaka*, i.e., *āsanaprajāāpaka*) to the "Thera Bhikkhus."¹

§ 105. Deliberations were initiated Motion with a motion in these terms:

"Let the venerable Samgha hear me." "If the time seem "meet to the Samgha, let the Samgha do... "This is the motion (*fatti*, i e., *J fapti*, 'notice')." After this the mover moved the matter in the form of a

¹ Account of the Congress of Vesall, Chullavagga, XII, 2.7. (Vinaya, S. B. E., XX., 408).

resolution (Pratijñā) to be adopted by the Samgha. All those who approved of the resolution were asked to remain silent, and those who would not approve were required to speak. In some cases the resolution was repeated thrice and then, if the assembly remained silent, it was declared as carried, and the party affected was formally informed of the resolution. I give below some instances from the Vinaya Piţaka to illustrate the above description.

Here is a resolution moved at the instance of the Buddha himself:

"Let the venerable Samgha hear me. "This Bhikkhu Uväla being examined in the midst of the "Samgha with an offence, when he has denied it then confesses "it, when he has confessed it then denies it, makes counter. "charges, and speaks lies which he knows to be such. If "the time seem meet to the Samgha, let "the Samgha carry out the Tassa-pāpiyyasikā-"kamma against the Bhikkhu Uvāla This is the "motion.

"Let the venerable Samgha hearme. This "Bhikkhu Uvāla (&c., as before). The Samgha carries out the "Tassa-pāpiyyasikā-kamma against Uvāla the "Bhikkhu. Whosoever of the venerable ones approves of the "Tassa-pāpiyyasikā-kamma being carried out against Uvāla "the Bhikkhu, let him keep silence. Whosoever "approves not thereof, let him speak.

"A second time I say the same thing. "This Bhikkhu Uvāla (&c., as before, down to) 'let him speak.'

"A third time I say the same thing (&c., as before, down to) 'let him speak.'

"The Tassa-pāpiyyasikā-kamma has "been carried out by the Samgha against

14

* 11

"Uvāla the Bhikkhu. Therefore is it "silent. Thus do I understand."

"Then the Samgha carried out the Tassa-pāpiyyasikā-"kamma against Uvāla the Bhikkhu. (4. 12. 4)."

The following is taken from the account of the Congress of R 5 jagriha, held after the death of the Buddha:

"Then the venerable Mahā-Kassapa laid the "resolution before the Samgha: 'Letthe "'venerable Samgha hearme. If the time "'seem meet to the Samgha, let the Samgha appoint "'that these five hundred Bhikkhus take up their residence "'during the rainy season at Rājagaha, to chant over together "'the Dhamma and the Vinaya, and that no other Bhikkhus go "'up to Rājagaha for the rainy season." This is the "resolution. Let the venerable Samgha "hear. The Samgha appoints accordingly. "Whosoever of the venerable ones approves thereof, let "him keep silence. Whosoever approves not thereof, "let him speak. The Samgha has appointed "accordingly. Therefore is it silent. "Thus do I understand.""

Again,

"And the venerable Mahā Kassapa laid the "resolution before the Samgha: 'If the "'time seem meet to the Samgha, I will "'question Upāli concerning the Vinaya'."

"And the venerable Upāli laid the resolution "before the Samgha: "Let the venerable "'Samgha hear me. If the time seems meet "'to the Samgha, I, when questioned by the venerable "'Maha-Kassara, will give reply.""

¹ Chullavagga, 4. 11. 2. Trans. by Oldenberg and Rhys Davids, Sacred Books of the East, XX, 29.

^{*} Chullavagga, 11. 1. 4.

^{*} Ibid , 11 L. 7.

§ 106. The rule of quorum was strictly observed. In guorum number of twenty formed the guorum to transact all kinds of formal acts.¹

If any business was transacted without the required number of members being present, the act was regarded as invalid and in operative:

"If an official act. O Bhikkhus, is performed unlawfully by "an incomplete congregation, it is no real "act and ought not to be performed.""

Ganaparaka or Whip The duty of gathering the minimum number of members was undertaken by one of the members³:

"Or, I will act as the securer of the number" "(at the next meeting)." Oldenberg and Rhys Davids have translated the passage (Sacred Books of the East, XIII, p 307) as follows:

"Or, I will help to complete the quorum."

The Ganapūraka was the 'whip' to the assembly for a particular sitting.

§ 107. The procedure of moving the *# atti* (*j # a pti*) Consequence of disregarding procedure moved thrice, it was called *m attic* h at uttha (the Four-*m* atti-procedure) Putting the resolution or pratij*m a* to the assembly was called Kammavāchā (Karmavāch). Now if the *m* atti was moved and no Pratij*m a* formally put, or if the resolution was proclaimed and no *m* atti had been moved, the act would be considered

-

चधको म स सिक्छ वे क्याक कांच कम्प्रांग च कर चौथं।

* Mahawagga, IIL 6.6 गणपूरको वा अविस्तानीति ।

A Mahāvagga, IX, 4. 1.

This correspondento Patañjali's Vinešikah Samghah, Mahā-bhāshya. V. 1. 2, II. p. 855 (ou V. 1. 59).

^{*} Vinsya, Mahdvagga, IX. 3. 2.

invalid. Similarly, an act requiring a flattichatuttha could not be lawful if the motion or the resolution was not moved for the prescribed number of times. Again, the order of the motion and resolution could not be subverted:

"If one performs, O Bhikkhus, a fiatti-dutīya act "with one fiatti and does not proclaim a kam mavāchā "such an act is unlawful. If one performs, O Bhikkhus, "a fiattidutīya act with two fiattis and "does not ploclaim a kam mavāchā..., with one "kam mavāchā, and does not propose a fiatti..., "with two kam mavāchās, and does not propose a fiatti, such an act is unlawful. If one performs, O Bhikkhu, a fiatti-chatuttha act with one "fiatti and does not proclaim a kam mavāchā, "such an act is unlawful. If one performs, O Bhikkhu, a fiatti-chatuttha act with one "fiatti and does not proclaim a kam mavāchā, "such an act is unlawful. If one performs, O Bhikkhus, a "fiatti-chatuttha act with two (etc.)¹......"

§ 108. Vote was called Chhanda. Chhanda literally means 'free,' and 'freedom' or 'independence.' It connotes that in voting, a member was expressing his free will and choice.

Votes of the members, who were entitled to be present at Votes of the meeting but who owing to some illness • or like disability could not attend, were scrupulously collected. An omission to do this vitiated the proceedings. But such votes were rejected if objected to by the assembled members. It was optional with the members to count them but they were expected to be collected usually :

"If, O Bhikkhus, at a *ñatti-dutīya* act not all the Bhikkhus, ".... entitled to vote are present, but if the *chhanda* "of those who have to declare their *chhanda* has not been "conveyed (to the assembly); and if the Bhikkhus present

¹ Vinaya Mahavagga, IX, 3, 47, 8. Trans. by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, S. B. E., Vol. XVII, p. 265 ff.

"protest, such an act is performed by an incomplete "congregation."

"If, O Bhikkhus, at a *nattidutiya* act as many Bhikkhus as "are entitled to vote, are present, but if the *chhanda* of those "who have to declare their *chhanda* has not been conveyed "(to the assembly), and if the Bhikkhus present protest, such "an act is performed by an incomplete congregation.""

§ 109. If the Sampha adopted a resolution unanimously, the question of voting did not arise; but if the Ballot-voting : a matter, entailed a division in the opinion procedure-ofmajority of the members, speeches were made and the Procedure-of Majority was observed. The opinion of the greater number (bahutara) decided the matter. The procedure is called Ye - bhuyyasikam in Pāli. The Sanskrit restoration would be Ye-bhūyasīyakam, 'Those (whowere) most-Procedure.' The voting was carried on with the help of voting-tickets which were coloured. The tickets were called Salākās or pins² and the voting was called the pin-taking (salākāgrahana). There was a Teller, Salākā-grāhaka--'taker of the pins,' appointed by the whole Samgha who explained the significance of the colours and took the vote either secretly or openly.

"A Bhikkhu who shall be possessed of five qualifications "shall be appointed as tak er of the voting-tickets, "one who does not walk in partiality, one who does not walk "in malice, one who does not walk in folly,...walk in fear, one "who knows what (votes) have been taken and what have not "been taken.

"And thus shall he be appointed.

"First the Bhikkhu is to be requested (whether he "will undertake the office). Then some able and

4.4

³ S. B. E. Vol. XVII, p. 266.

^{*} We gather from a Chinese record that these tickets were of wood.

×

"discreet Bhikkhu is to bring the matter before the Samgha saying:

"'Let the venerable Samgha hear me.

"'If the time seem meet to the Samgha, let the Samgha "'appoint a Bhikkhu of such and such a name as taker of the "'voting-tickets (etc.).....'

"By that Bhikkhu, the taker of voting tickets, are the "votes to be collected. And according as the "larger number" of the Bhikkhus who "are guided by the Dhamma shall speak, "so shall the case be decided."

"I enjoin upon you, Bhikkhus, three ways of taking votes, "in order to appease such Bhikkhus—the secret "method, $G \overline{a} l h a k a m$, the whispering "method, S a k a m m a - j a p p a k a m, and the open "method, V i v a f a k a m. And how, O Bhikkhus, is "the secret method of taking votes? The Bhikkhu who "is the teller of the votes is to make the voting-tickets of "different colours, and as each Bhikkhu comes up to him he "is to say to him thus: 'This is the ticket for "'the man of such an opinion, this the ticket "for the man of such an opinion. Take whichever you like' "When he has chosen (he is to add), 'Do not show it "'to any body.'"

§ 110. Sometime to escape many "pointless speeches" 'Peintless the right of deliberation on a matter was speeches' and delegated to an appointed Committee. committee who decided the question

¹ Chullavagga, IV, 9, 5, 8. B. E., XX, p. 25.

² The odd number (3) of the Sabhä (jury) who are required to sit with the Judge under Manu, VIII. 10, points to the rule of majority. It is clearly enjoined in the Artha-Sästra, with regard to the decision of land disputes by a jury of neighbours. 'Land disputes are to be decided by village-elders of the neighbourhood. If there be a 'division of opinion among them, the decision to prevail is of the honest majority':

चेत्रविवाई सामतानामहहा: सुर्वृ: । देवां इंधीमाने यत: वडव: इचवीरनुमता वा तती निवच्छे वु: इ

Compare also the jury of 7, 5 or 3 in the Sukra-miti, IV. 26.

* Chullavagga, IV, 14, 24; S. B. E., XX, p. 54; 26, p. 56.

amongst themselves and then communicated their decision to the Samgha. If the committee could not come to a decision, 'the custody of the case, 'remained in the hands of the Samgha' who decided it according to the Procedure-of-Majority.

"If, O Bhikkhus, whilst the case is being enquired into by "those Bhikkhus, pointless (anaggāni) speeches are brought "forth, and the sense of any single utterance is not clear, I "enjoin upon you, O Bhikkhus, to settle the case by "referring it (to a jury or commission)."

"And thus, O Bhikkhus, is he to be appointed. First, the "Bhikkhu should be asked (whether he be willing to undertake "the office). Then some discreet and able Bhikkhu should "address the Samgha thus:

"'May the venerable Samgha hear me. Whilst this case was "'being enquired into, pointless speeches were brought forth "'amongst us, and the sense of no single utterance was clear. ''If the time seem meet to the venerable Samgha, let it appoint "'Bhikkhus of such and such a name on a committee. This is "'the motion,' etc.²

"If those Bhikkhus, O Bhikkhus, are not able by the "committee to settle that case, those Bhikkhus O Bhikkhus, "ought to hand over the case to the Samgha, saying, 'We, Sirs, "'are not able by a committee to settle "'this case, let the Samgha settle it.'

"I enjoin upon you, O Bhikkhus, to settle such a case by "vote of the majority.""

The same principle operated when a matter was referred to a larger body :

"But if you, sirs, should not be able to do so, then will we ourselves retain the custody of the case."⁴

¹ Chullavagga, 4, IV. 19, (Ubbahika - Skt., Udvähika).

[■] Chullavagga, 4. IV. 20.

^{*} Chullaragga 5, IV, 24.

Chullavagya, 4. IV. 18.

Again :

"Then the Sampha met together with the intention "of enquiring into this legal question. But while they were "enquiring into it, both was much pointless speaking brought "forth and also the sense in no single speech was clear. Then "the venerable Revata laid a resolution before the Sampha:

".....'If it seems meet to the Samgha, let the Samgha " 'settle this legal question by referring (it to a jury).'

"And he chose four Bhikkhus of the East and four "Bhikkhus of the West.......'Let the venerable Samgha hear "me. During the enquiry into this matter there has been "much pointless talk among us. If it seem meet to the "Samgha, let the Samgha delegate four Bhikkhus of the East "and four Bhikkhus of the West to settle this question by "reference....The Samgha delegates four Bhikkhus of the "East and four Bbikkhus of the West to settle this question by "reference....The Samgha delegates four Bhikkhus of the "teast and four Bbikkhus of the West to settle this question by "teference. Whosoever of the venerable ones...approves not "thereof, let him speak. The delegation is made accordingly. "The Samgha approves thereof. Therefore is it silent. Thus "'do I understand'."

§111. A settlement by this procedure was called a "proceeding in presence" (Sammukha-vinaya). The delegates were regarded as lawfully representing the interests in the matter and therefore the parties were considered to be present in the discussion.

"If then, O Bhikkhus, those Bhikkhus are able on the Principle of "epresentstion" "Settled. And how is it settled? By the "Proceeding in Presence. And what therein is meant "by the Proceeding in Presence. The Dhamma is "represented, and the Vinaya is represented."²

¹ Chullavagga, XII. 2, 7. 8.

^{*} Ch. V., 4. 14. 21; S. B. E., XX, p. 52.

§ 112. If once a question was decided in accordance with any of the valid procedures of the assembly, it could not be re-opened¹ "Having been "once settled, it is settled for good."

§ 113. It appears from *Chullavagga*, IV. 14. 9, that a <u>Provedureso f</u> Censure Censure Censure, if he did not control himself in discussion and showed "contradiction, cantankerousness, "and similar misdemeanours in speech."

Re-opening a settled question was also regarded as an offence. "When a legal question, O Bhikkhus, has been thus "settled, if a disputant re-opens the question, such re-opening "is a $P \ a \ c \ h \ i \ t \ i \ y \ a$."

An act of an assembly, inadequately constituted, could not Act of Indennity be indemnified afterwards by a fuller assembly. A contrary opinion seems to have been entertained by some people. But the procedure of indemnity (anumatikappo) was altogether rejected by the followers of Buddhism.

§ 114. There used to be Clerks or Recorders of the House, Clerks of the who without ever quitting their seats took down minutes of the deliberations and resolutions. A Buddhist suttanta, Mahā-Govinda, to which we shall have to refer again, describes a meeting of the Gods in their Hall the S u d h a m m a S a b h ā ('the Hall of Good Law'). At the four angles just outside the rows of the celestial members of the assembly and in front of the demi-gods (spectators), four recorders, each with 'the title of 'the Great King' (Mahārāja), take their assigned seats. The four Kings became the receivers of the speeches and receivers of resolutions 'in respect of the matter,'

'for which the Tävatimea Gods having assembled and being seated in the Hall of Good Law, deliberated and took counsel together.'

¹ Chullaragga, IV, 94. 25.

'They the Four Lord Clerks of the House remaining the while in their places, not retiring' 1

The learned translator of the Digha Nikšya rightly saw in this that 'the Four Great kings were looked upon as 'Recorders of what had been said. They kept the minutes of 'the meeting.' 'Now as men attribute their own institutions to their Gods, it is a simple inference that the Indians in the days of the Buddha had such Clerks of the House in their parliaments or 'Mote Halls', as Prof. Rhys Davids has already pointed out. '

'Acts of indemnity' and other 'acts' and 'laws' passed must have been reduced into writing, and we know that elaborate record of judicial business was kept by the Lichchhavis. The large body of the republican ganas necessitated the presence of more than one clerk. The members of the assembly made speeches from their seats and the Clerks near the section 'took down the words.' Evidently the Clerks of the House were men of position.

§ 115. This view captured from the fleeting past, from Historical the distant sixth century before Christ, significance of the shows clear features of a highly developed stage, marked with technicality and formalism in language, with underlying concepts of legalism and constitutionalism of a most advanced type. This pre supposes a previous experience extending over centuries. The Jfiatpi, the Pratijfis, the Quorum. the Salākā, (ballot-voting),

¹ MG, Digha Nikāyā, XIX, § 14. Pali Text Society's edition, vol. II, pp. 220-25:

Yen' atthena devä Tävatimaä Sudhämmäyä sabhayam sannisinnä honti sannipatitä, tam attham chintayitvä tam attham mantayitvä vutta-vachanä pi tam chattäro Mahäräjä tasmin atthe honti, pachchanusittha-vachanä pi tam chattäro Maharäjä tasmim atthe honti sakesu äsaneen thitä avippakantä

^a Bhys Davida, Dialogues of the Buddha, Pt. II. (Sacred Books of the Buddhists Vol. III.), pp. 263-4, n.

* Ibid. 'There must have been such Recorders at the meetings in the Mote Hall.'

the Procedure of Majority, the Reference, are all mentioned by the Buddha without any definition, that is, as terms already current.

§ 116. The Jātakas, which go back in date to pre-Buddhan times, do not let any doubt linger Jātakas and Referendum as to the existence of the procedure of voting and its use in political matters before the birth of the Śākya Muni. In Jātaka I¹ (p. 399) the election of a king to the vacant throne of a city is described. All the ministers and the Nāgaras (the members of the capital assembly or the citizens of the capital) having agreed unanimously by a common voting (eka-chchhandähutvā) elected their new king. This was a Referendum of the whole city, and not the city assembly only, as the word for the City assembly in Pāli ia Negama (see ch. xxvii, below). Referendum (*chhandaka) of the whole city (Sakala-nagara) was known to early Buddhist literature on which the author of the commentary on the Jātakas drew. Chhanda. according to the Pali canon is voting, and the *c h h a n d a k a of the whole city in a city state would naturally mean a referendum. In any case, the reference to the election of king by a common voting is certainly pre-Buddhan in the text of the Jātaka. There is another passage in the Jātaka, Vol. II, pp. 352-3, which proves that the procedure of moving a resolution three times in political matters obtained before the time of the Buddha. The procedure is mentioned in a humourous fable; it, therefore, must have been well-known in actual life to be so mentioned. A bird is repeating a motion for the election of a rājā. evidently a republic an rājā; he has done so twice and the motion was opposed by another member of the assembly : 'Wait please!' The opposer of the motion

¹ Fausböll's cui.

begged leave to make his speech which was granted on condition that the speaker should state his reasons on the principles of political science and law (artha and dharma). The speaker gave his reasons and he carried his opposition. The opposition was on the well-known republican ground: that the proposed king' had not a pleasant presence Evidently, it is a mimicry of the republican principle of election on the ground (amongst others) of good looks and presence. But the procedure which comes out of the mimicry and ridicule establishes our thesis. It was republican first and became Buddhistic afterwards.

The Buddha only adopts the procedure-rules for particular kinds of cases arising in his organization. He bimself came from one of the republics and mostly lived amongst republican communities; he was perfectly familiar with their working system and adapted it to the benefit of his own Order. His ambition was to found a large state, an empire, of his religious system (dharma-chakra) but the organisation he created to realise his aim was communal, fit to establish and hold only a city-state of dharma and not a dharma-chakra. The limitation was the result of early associations. Born in a republic, where political and public spirit was more intense than in contemporary kingdoms, he combined in him the capacity, the enthusiasm and the ambition, not of a quiet recluse, but of a republican chief and of a conqueror.³ Unlike the normal Hindu ascetic he would hold property for his Samgha, he would hold meetings and pass resolutions and punish offenders.

¹ Mr. Owl.

⁸ In personal matters also, the Buddha showed a conservativeness acquired from his early associations. He was proud of his Ikshväku lineage even when a recluse. He told the Brahmin Krishulyana, who had insulted him as a S'akya, that he (Krishulyana) was descended from one of the slave girls of the Ikshväkus. 'If you do not give a 'clear reply (to my charge), then your head will split in pieces on the spot.' Ambatcha Sutta, Sutta 20, Rhys Davids, Diabogues, I, 114-116.

Throughout his spirival achievements, he is a republicar $\hat{S} \bar{a} k y a$, and his system is a constitutionalisec spiritual propaganda, a Propaganda of Conquest To achieve success in his spiritual designs, he had to perpetuate his republic of dharma (Dharma Samgha), and to perpetuate the republic of dharma, he adopted the constitution and the constitutiona procedure of the republic of politics. Kulas.¹ Kula or family was thus the basis of political right and power in aristocracies and in aristocratic democracies. But it could not have been the rule in what the Greeks called democracies. There everybody was equal, of course, by birth. Birth alone must have been the basis of franchise in constitutions like that of the Kathas and the Saubhütas where even the selection of the 'king' depended upon personal qualification and no one attached any regard to the family, and where the culture of the individual himself was the prime consideration of the State. We find in the Sākya Parliament both young and old assembled; in the Vrishni Samgha, father, son, and younger brother, (Krishna, Pradyumna, Gada) all possessing franchise (§ 197).

§ 118. Paņini gives rules to form words denoting the country

of origin of a man (IV. 3. 90),^s or his present habitation (IV. 3. 89),^s and also to denote *b* h a k t i which one bears to a particular country, tribe, ruler, or holders of *janapada* (republican rulers).⁴ One of the illustrations given by Patañjali is the community of *Glauchukāyanakas* whom we know to have been a political state from Macedonian writers.⁸ As they owe *bhakti* to Gluchukāyana, they are called the 'Glauchukāyanakas.' Kātyāyana modifying one of the rules of Pāņini introduces the republican illustrations of the Madras and Vrijis.⁴ One of Madra *bhakti* may be called

See above, p. 78.

.

6 On Papini IV. 8. 100, सर्वदचन प्रकृतिनिडांदावेन् ।) १ ।। तत्र महतव्यवेन् ।। ९ ।। Patafjali ; माही महिएस माही या अखिएस महद ध्लैद वया सात् वाच्यों अखिएस वाच्यों दा अखिएस इविच ध्लेद बया सात् । Mahabhamya, Vol. II, pp 314-15.

¹ Sant is eyen as: enfetita: | Viramitrodaya, p. 426.

^{* ------}

^{*} बोड्या निवासः। इ । १ । ६८ इ

Madraka and one of Vriji bhakti, Vrijika. Bhakti in these cases means constitutional allegiance.

Bhakti literally means 'sharing,' 'exclusion,' and secondarily 'attachment.' Separate rules to denote territorial and political bhakti over and above the rules to denote the country of origin or domicile of a man, suggest the idea of an artificial citizenship. By sharing Madra- or Vriji-bhakti one would be called Madrka or Vrijika. A Vrijika therefore might not have been a born Vriji, and a Madraka, a born Madra. It has to be noticed that Kautilya, in mentioning the king-consul republics, uses the form Vrijika and Madrka. The Jaina Sūtra also uses the forms Mallak[i] and Lechchhavi[ka]. The 'Vrijikas' included Vrijis and non-Vrijis owning a common Vriji allegiance, which might include people originally conquered by the Vrijis or people voluntarily amalgamated with the Vrijis. •

It is thus evident that the republics extended citizenship to outsiders. This will explain the enormous territorial and communal growth of the Mālavas and the Yaudheyas who covered vast expanses of land in later centuries.

[§119. Orientalists, both Indian and European, have based an argument on anticana environment anticana enviro Bhakli of Pānini. It has been argued that the Sūtra to Arjuna establishes the worship of Vāsudeva in and before the time of Pānini. But the context shows that no religious bhakti is meant. Pāņini uses the term in the sense of political or constitutional allegiance. Take for instance, the bhakti owed to the holders-of-janapadas in IV. 3. 100. These holders-ofjana padas were certainly not worshipped. Take again, the preceding Sūtra, IV. 3. 97, where bhakti to 'Mahārāja' is stated. Now nobody would contend that Mahārāja, either a man or a country, was worshipped. Then we have to take into consideration the previous Sūtras where place of origin (प्रसिजन) as opposed to domicile (निवास) in connexion with the highlands, Sindhu and

Takshaśilā and Salātura, etc., is discussed. There is not a trace of religious bhakti (devotion). Again, scholars have taken note of Vasud'eva while Arjuna who is placed along with Vāsudeva in the same Sūtra has been ignored. There is no evidence that Arjuna was deified. Bhakti to these two Kshatriyas is the political bhakti. The party loyal to Väsudeva and the party loyal to Arjuna must have been well-known in literature like the varga of Vāsudeva mentioned by Kātyāyana (Kielhorn, Vol. II., p. 295). Patañjali is perplexed as to why a separate Sutra angeansimilar an should have been given when there was a Sūtra coming (IV. 3. 99) which gave the same formation in case of allegiance to a Kshatriya (ruler)-name .: "गोपचति-याच्येभ्यो बहुर्ज वज् (8. १.८८) इत्येव सिहम्। न श्रास्ति विग्रेषो वासुदेव-भन्दाहनो वा वुको वा। तदेव रूप स एव खर:। रदं तर्षि प्रयोजनं वासुदेव-मन्दस पूर्वनिपातं बच्चामीति । भग्रवा नेवा चतियाच्या । संज्ञैवा तभभवतः ।" Patañjali here understands Pāņini's IV. 3. 98 to refer to bhakti owed to these two as Kshatriyas (rulers) and not as deithes. The cause of Patañjali's perplexity seems to be a confusion which arose by treating a Varttika of Katyayana, viz., nata ana wi बहुलं वज, as a Sutra of Panini. As a matter of fact गोतचात-याख्येभ्यो बहुन हु is given as a Varttika (No. 18) to Panini, IV. 2. 104 (Kielhorn, p. 296). The same rule could not be both a Vārttika of Kātyāyana and a Sūtra of Pānini. It has already come as a Vārttika, and by treating it as a Vārttika the sense becomes clear. Kätyäyana completes Pänini by giving a general rule on allegiance owed to well-known Kshattriya rulers].

CHAPTER XIII

Judicial Administration and Laws of Republics

§ 120. The Hindu law books recognise the laws of Kula States as well as those of Ganas¹. The Kula Court was presided over by Kulikas or aristocrats². In a mixed constitution of aristocracy and democracy we may find a Kulika Court. Such a court, as a matter of fact, we do find amongst the Vrijis, where there was a Board of Eight Kulikas to investigate into criminal cases.⁸ The law books prescribe that an appeal should lie from the Kula Court to the Gana-Court.⁶ We can understand this provision if we take into consideration a mixed constitution. There may be a Kulika-Court there, but then it would be subject to the higher jurisdiction of the Gana. The Kulikas in the Vriji constitution were subject to the superior jurisdiction of the heads of the Gana--General, Vice-King and 'King.' The Mahā-Bhārata says that it was the duty of the Kula - elders to take notice of criminal

offences and that justice should be administered through the **President**, that is, punishment was given in his name.¹ This seems to have been done amongst the Vrijis. There were industrial organisations in a Gana² as in a monarchy. These guilds ($p\bar{u}gas$) had some judicial powers. But their decisions were appealable to the Kula and Gana Courts.²

When the Ganas were conquered by, and became subject to, monarchs as in the days of the later law books (Nārada, Brihaspati and Kātyāyana) a decision of Gana was made subject to the appellate jurisdiction of the monarch or the royal Chief Justice.⁴

§ 121. That the Ganas had their own laws is evident from the provision of the Hindu law-books which, as has been pointed out above, recognise their separate existence. It is also proved by the testimony of the Greek writers who praise the laws of Indian republics. The Mahā-Bhārata also praises their good legal system. The Lichchhavis are recorded to have had a book of legal precedents.⁵

The laws of the Ganas are called by the law-books Samaya. Samaya, literally, means a decision or a resolution arrived at in an assembly (from $\forall \eta + \sqrt{1 - 1}$ meeting), that is, the laws of the G a n a s were passed in their meetings.

* Angustana Nikāya, Vol. III. p. 76. See obore § 117 * मुख्य विवयाध्वयाः श्रीक्रविचयवारियः : देवाववे गिविवस इतिहार गरीचरा ॥

वराग्य गायवज्य मावडाण्गरागरा ॥ विषाद में विभिः वार्ष' मुखेर्दद्र विषारितन् ।

क्षेषेत्र याविकार्य त्रवाद्याततिवृत्त में: ॥

जुवादिमोऽविवाः स्वासेमोऽजवोऽविवः इतः ।

खर्रेयामधिको रावा पन वये य निविधन् ॥

-Brihaspati in Viramitrodaya, p. 40. All these quotations refer to a time when gapes had become subject to monarchice.

⁴ See ns. at p. 123 and n.³ above.

¹ See Ch. XIV below.

⁶ Rhys Davids, Buddhiet Indie, p. 22. Such books were kept in monarchies also. See Jitaks, Vol. III, p. 292. OL J., Vol. V, p. 125

^{*} Närada and Brihaspati quoted in VM., pp. 423-425.

CHAPTER XIV

Characteristics of Republics according to the Maha-Bharata

§ 122. In Sānti-Parvan, Chapter 107 is devoted to the characteristics of the g a n a s. There are traces in the discussion which indicate that the characteristics given go back to fairly early times. It is nowhere contemplated that the g a n a s owed allegiance to monarchs, far less that they were conquered. This would relate to a period before the rise of empires.

§ 123. As the discussion is of great in portance, I give below the text and translation of the entire passage.¹ Former translations are hopelessly confused, the reason being that gan ashad ceased to exist long before the time of commentators, and their real significance was forgotten.

§ 124. The disquisition in the Mahā-Bhārata makes it clear that 'Gana' refers to the whole body politic, the entire Political Community, and, in the alternative, the Parliament, and not to the "governing body" only (a view to which Dr. Thomas inclines, J. R. A. S., 1915, p. 534). The governing body was composed of the Gana - mukhyas and Pradhāna (Chiefs and President) whose jurisdiction it was to conduct affairs of the community (verse 23).^a Resolutions of State were matters which remained in their hands (verse 24); they held meetings and discussed those Resolutions (25). They also saw to the administration of justice (27). Thus the executive was a distinct body inside the Gana.

It may also be noticed that the large number which composed the Gana is alluded to in verse 8; and the whole body is mentioned

⁴ Asiatio Society of Bengal ed. of the M.Bh., Santi-parean, Ch. 107.

^a Gana-mulkyas=Samgka-mulkyas, Artha-Sistra, p. 377 (X1-i).

in verse 24. It was impossible to keep matters of vital' policy secret on account of the large number of the Gana. This was in the opinion of the Mahā-Bhārata writer a great defect in the Gana constitution (8 and 24). It is thus apparent that a close body was not meant by 'Gana'. The Ganas generally formed confederacies (II to 15). Learning in the ganas is alluded to in verse 21.

> "Of the Characteristics of the Ganas." गवानां हत्तिमिच्छामि त्रोतं मतिमतां वर 1 6 यता गया: प्रवर्धन्ते न भियन्ते च भारत। परींच विजिगीवन्ते सुद्रदः प्रापनुवन्ति च ॥ 7 ॥ 🗸 सेटमूली विनाधीहि गणानासुवलचये। मन्त्रसंवरणं दुःखं बङ्गनामिति मे मतिः ॥ 8 ॥ एतदिच्छाम्यई त्रोतं निखिलेन परम्तप। यथा च ते न भिदोरंस्तइ में वद पार्थिव 1 9 ॥ ॥ भीष चवाच । गयानाच जुलानाच रात्रां भरतमत्तमं । वैरमन्दीपनावेती स्रोभामधी नराधिय ॥ 10 ॥ खोममेको दि द्वणते ततोऽमर्वमनन्तरम । ती चयव्ययर्धयन्नावन्योन्यच विनाशिनो ॥ 11 ॥ चारमन्त्रवसादानैः सामदानविमिदनैः। चयव्यवभवोपाये: कर्षयन्तीतरतरम् ॥ 12 ॥ ततादानेन मिद्यन्ते गषाः संघातवत्तयः । भिवा विमनसः सब्वें गच्छन्य रिवग्रं भयातु ॥ 18 ॥ भेदे गया विनम्बेडुर्भिवास्त मुत्रयाः परेः। वसात् र्यवातयोगेन प्रयतेरन् गचाः सदा ॥ 14 ॥ भर्षायैवाधिगम्यन्ते संघात-बच-पोद्यैः । बाद्याब मैंत्रों कुर्वन्ति, तेषु संचातवृत्तिषु ॥ 15 ॥ न्त्रानहदाः प्रथंसन्ति श्रत्युवन्तः परस्ररम् । विनिइत्तामिसन्धानाः रुखमेधन्ति सर्व्वगः ॥ 16 ॥

II 72 II :பகற்தத்ததை :பாங ரத்த நத்த । : हमाध्य हमिवनी : जिलन : ह कोम : इप्रती ॥ ३४॥ माध्र हा महामा वियसित महिला १९ । म लिनि मियाइम मिन्द्रि मिया संवीत च ध देव ॥ विकारित क्रमते विवय विवय विकार व गयसुख्य स्थाय साव गयहिंद मिथा। । म गया: सत्युया मन्त्रं क्यायेमहोक्त भारत ॥ 24 ॥ । एवंक-हरो।हराष हतिषय :सोटुक्रम 🗸 ॥ 82 । विष्ठोत हित किछम । 82 । 83 ॥ तसासानवित्याख, गणमुखाः प्रधानतः । ग 22 ॥ मलछतरम जाणन किछ महरोक्षयह । अन दियनो रेगेन : छाउँ छेम डिस मिलि ॥ 12 ॥ हे लोडगलव : १७७ मुडमुंच मुधाझास्त्रह 🕴 IIIIICAIC IILAICAICAIC IICAIC मानयला: सहा युन्नान् विवर्षेले गणा हुव ॥ 20 ॥ ॥ ११ ॥ :।एक तिवैम लिईक डिामाइम । सहाकती । ह हिहहीछहति हतिहहीकत्राह 🗸 ॥ ८१ ॥ :।मन्त्रणि किंग्रेष्ठवी किण्युप्रम अतितिवी । । रहे हाल हाल हो कि मुख्य की सुध का सुध ॥ 71 ॥ :।मलणिष लिईवनी जिछमतीय कृत्रवाय ध मिलेहार् व्यवहारांच स्वाप्यत्तच याचतः ।

नाशा च चहया: चब्द कुलेन चहयास्तया ॥ ३० ॥

॥ ९४ ॥ क्राह्मवास क्राप्तायां क्राप्तवात् ॥ २९ ॥

🗸 म मायोगेन बुहुखा वा ६ पहली म विनः ।

। मृष्टल-हमाउठ हुह किंग्रामनील फिल्लि

। तीलत नीलम कि कि कार धर मेलपाय

। मिल्रास खर्जिल गणभेदस कारतम् ।

и 82 и нин Гаций утиния бизуковии

LT

भेदाचेव प्रदानाम भिन्दानों रिपुभिर्गमाः ॥ 81 ॥ तस्मात् घडातमेबाइर्मणानां ग्रापं महत् ॥ 32 ॥

TRANSLATION.

[Yudhishthira said.] "(6) I (now) desire to hear, O Leader of the Wise, of the nature of the ganas : (7) How the ganas prosper and how they avoid (the policy of) Bheda [disunion employed by the enemy], O Bhārata, and (why it is,) they aspire to conquer enemies, and also gain allies. (8) Disunion, it seems to me, is the root-cause of their destruction. (Again their) difficulty is, in my opinion, how to keep the resolutions of state secret on account of (their) large number. (9) I am desirous of listening to an exhaustive discourse on this subject, O Oppressor of Enemies. Tell me also, O Prince, how they might avert disintegration."

Bhishma said: (10) "Greed and Jealousy, O King, are the two main provoking causes of enmity amongst the ganas, as well as amongst the Kulas of Rājās,' O Noblest of the Bhāratas. (11) One (of the gasas or kulas) yields to avarice, then follows jealousy, and the two Leagues : their dangen. entailing waste and decay lead to mutual destruction. (12) They oppress one another through spies, intrigues, and military force, by employing the policy of conciliation, subsidy and division, and by applying other means of decay, waste and danger. (13) The ganas which form a confederacy are divided by those means; divided they become indifferent (to the common cause) and ultimately through fear they pass under the control of the enemy. (14) Thus, divided, the ganas would surely perish : separated, they fall an easy prey to- the foe. Ganas, therefore, should always exert themselves through the system of confederacy.³

¹ Aristonnoice like those of Putals : 'for in this community the command in war is 'vested in two hereditary kings of two different houses, while a Council of Elders ruled 'the whole State with paramount authority.' (Diodorns); also Artha-States, p. 35 : gam as with a two for an in the states of the states

[•] Cf. Artha-Samo, page 376 :--- बरुपाबिसंइततादष्टवान् परिषां तावनुनुवान् मुचीत वावटा-नामान् । पितृवान् (road विनुवान्) मेददैवाम्यास् ।

(15) "Material prosperity is won by the prowess of the confederated army; outsiders also seek the alliance of confederate entities.

(16-17). "In good ganas, Elders-by-knowledge Good points in encourage mutual subordination; behaving with complete straight-forwardness (to one another), good ganas attain happiness all round. Good ganas prosper for they establish valid 'legal procedures according to the Sāstras, and they are fair to one another. (18) Good ganas prosper because they discipline their sons and brothers, (members,' along with the younger generation) and always train them, and they accept (only) those who have been well trained.

 \checkmark (19) "Ganas prosper all-sidedly as they always attend, O thou of mighty arms, to the working of the espionage service, to the matters of policy, and to the collection of revenue to the Exchequer. (20) Ganas prosper because they always pay due honour to (their) officers who are wise, heroic, enthusiastic and persevering in the execution of their duties. (2) Wealthy, heroic, versed in the Sāstras,^a and accomplished in the art of weapons, ganas help the help-less ones (-members) across miseries and calamities.

(22) "Ganas fall at once into the clutches of the enemy when driven by anger, division, absence of mutual confidence (lit. fear), military violence, tyranny, oppression and executions (amongst them).
(23) Hence the L e a d e r s - o f - t h e - g a n a s are to be obeyed through the P r e s i d e n t. O King, the jurisdiction to conduct the community should be mainly vested in them. (24) O Reducer of enemies, (the department of) intelligence and the s e c r e t

¹ In Indian guild and caste associations even to this day, members address each other 'b $r \circ l \wedge s r' l$, which denotes equality.

^a This is a clear reference to the learning and cultivation of thought amongst the g a a a, an information which we have gathered from other sources as well.

j of the resolutions of state should remain in the hands of the Presidents. It is not advisable that the whole body of the ganas should know those resolutions, O Bhārata. (25) The Leaders-of-the-ganas having assembled should adopt measures ensuring the welfare of the Ganas.

"The course is otherwise of a *Gana* which remains aloof from others, has severed itself (from the confederacy), or which is (in) strained (relations with others). (26) Wealth dwindles down and misfortune follows on account of their division and on their falling back on their individual resources. (27)

"Criminal justice should be administered promptly and by men learned (in law), through the President. If disputes arise in the Kulas and the Elders of the Kulas remain indifferent, (28) they destroy the race (gotra) and dissolve the Gana.

"The internal danger is to be guarded against, the externa' one is insignificant. (29) For the internal danger, O King, is destructive of the very foundations in no time. (30) When (the members of a *Gana*) cease to discuss amongst them on account of uncalled-for anger, on account of foolishness, or on account of natural avarice, there is the symptom of discomfiture.

✓ "There is universal equality by birth (in the Ganas) Equality in gauge and also there is equality by Kula.¹ (31) They ✓ and its effect cannot be broken up by prowess or cleverness, nor again by temptations of beauty.² They can be broken up by enemies through the policy of division and subsidy only.

(32) "The great safety of the *Gcnas* therefore is considered to lie in (the maintenance of) a confederacy only."

.4

¹ Kula-family of rajda, referred to above in verse 10, or, family as a unit, as opposed to individuals. The distinction is still maintained in our social phraseology ghar pickle and pages pickle, 'per household,' and 'per head' (lit. per turban-every male member). More likely it refers to equality amongst aristocrate, otherwise it would be illogical after the mention of the universal equality by birth.

^{*} बपट्रमेच.

CHAPTER XV

Formation of New Republics

§ 125. Confining our attention to the period of the sixth and fifth centuries B.C., we not only find the Bud-New Religious dhists but also the Jainas founding re'igious gapas corporations in the very terms of politics. The Jaina-sūtras show individuals founding new ganas and Kulas which sometimes take the name of the founder and sometimes that of the place, e.g., Godāsa. Gaņa founded by Godāsa, the Uttara Valissaha Gana, founded by Uttara and Valissaha conjointly, the U d d e h a G a n a founded by Rohana, Indrapūraka kula founded by Karmardhi.¹ the Likewise we know of schisms in the Buddhist Samgha, and we know also of the formation of new Samphas. The phenomenon of new foundations is not confined to the history of our religious 'republics.' The Mahā-Bhārata points out the great danger of disunion and schisms in republics. The authors of the Mahā-Bhārata were probably aware of such political breaches. The two Mallas^a and the two Madras were probably results of this propensity.

§ 126. Apart from disruptive instances, we have instances

df the formation of entirely new republics. Historical instances of new republics The Kurus and the Pañchālas who had been under monarchies according to Vedic literature^s and the Jātakas, adopt republican form of government

٠

¹ Hoemle Ind. Ant., XI. 246, XX. 347.

⁸ The lower Mallas are called Dakshina Mallas in the Sabhdparvan, (Ch. XXXI. 12) which places the higher Mallas next to Kosals (XXX. 3.)

^{*} See below § 198. Pt, II, p. 4.

[PT. I.

about the fifth or the fourth century B.C. As we have seen they are described as republics in the Artha-Sästra of Kautilya. Another instance, which has been already pointed out by Prof. Rhys Davids, is the change of constitution of the Videhas.¹ They had been formerly under monarchy according to Vedic literature and the Jätakas. Megasthenes states that republican form of government was thrice established and thrice changed into monarchy.² This tradition would have been current in one of the principal seats of monarchy of the Doab where ancient chronicles had been kept.

§ 126. We have, though a little later in age, yet certainly reflecting earlier established notions, republican

Artificial stage

coins struck either in the name of the G a n a,

or of the country, and in one instance," the gana being described as the Protector (trāta-sy). We have thus reached the artificial country or territorial nation and abstract government. We find the **Arjunāyanas** named after one man, the founder 'One of the family of Arjuna (Arjunāyana)." The national or the tribal basis had thus ceased to work fairly early, a basis which every ancient republic in sister races outside India was built upon and which also greatly operated in the establishment of the earliest republics in India as well, as implied by the references in the Mahā-Bhārata to the Vrishnis and probably to the word gotra in Chapter 107 of the Sānti-parvan.

The recognition of the system of naming a corporate association after the founder or president helps us in investigating the origins of our republics. The village corporation was named after the grāmaņī,⁵ Vedic charaņas were named after

- * Mc Crindle, Megasthenes, p. 203.
- * The Vrishui Coin noticed above.
- * See Op. on Păņini, IV. 2.53.

⁸ See above, p. 15, s.

¹ Buddhist India, p. 26.

founders, religious bodies took the names of their first organisers, and so did may of our republics.

As remarked (IV. 2. 53.) above, the constitution of the Vedic

Republican-a posttribal period in India period was monarchical. Megasthenes also, as we have seen, notes the Hindu tradition current in the fourth century B. C. that after monarchy

came the republican form. All these data indicate that the republican system is post-Vedicand artificial, that it is post-tribal and philosophic. Take also the names of technical constitutions: $Vair\bar{a}jya$, literally 'kingless-(system), $Sv\bar{a}r\bar{a}jya$, self-ruling (system),' Bhaujya, 'temporary rulership (system).' These names are not derived from tribes; it was not that the tribal names gave names to forms of government. The names of constitutions are artificial, philosophic. The tradition that there was first monarchy is supported by the Vedas, the tradition that monarchy was rejected and democratic forms of government set up is supported by the Aitareya Brāhmaņa.

§ 127. Again, in view of the above conclusion, take the Artificial political tradition of the Purāņas that Yaudheya and Madra, two cadets of a monarchical house tribes in the Middle Country, went out to the Punjab and founded states called after their names. This Puranic history is in full agreement with known facts : corporate institutions were named after founders. The Madras and the Yaudheyas thus were not tribes, but states and artificial, 'political tribes,' like the artificial, religious Sākya-putras. It was the state in these two cases which gave the name to the citizens, a name which was artificially tribal, or in modern phraseology a name which denoted Political Nationality as opposed to what we may call tribal nationality. In the light of this discussion, we can understand the remark of the ancient grammarian (probably Vyādi) quoted by Patañjali : 'Kshudraka - Mālavas are not gotra names,' i.e., family

^{1 6}es above p. 71, n.

names.) Like the Madras and Yaudheyas these were political nations, members of states called after two personal names. We have the additional evidence of Pāņini that martial states had men of all castes not one gotra or tribe. The A rāja ka d e m o c r a c y also, according to the Mahā-Bhārata, was not based on tribal but legal and communal basis. Many more of the republics had originated like the Yaudheya and Madra, Mālava and Kshudraka, under purely non-tribal conditions. The later states (Ch. XVIII) like Šālańkāyana, Ā rjunāyanaand Pushyamitra bearing personal names and names of recent origin further illustrate the practice.

§ 128. At the same time it cannot be asserted, as already stated, that no tribal element is to be found in any of the Hindu republics. Basis of every state has been in all ages and in all climes, to

a great degree ethnic—tribal or national. The real question is whether a state-organization is yet tribal—primitive, habitual and customary, or it is the outcome of intelligent thinking, of theories, of conscious experience and experiments. The stage when State is felt to be based on contract and the ruler is regarded to be a servant of the ruled and when political loyalty (§ 118—119) is even open to strangers, is a high water-mark of constitutional development. Voting and ballot-voting, motion, resolution and legislation, legalism and formalism in procedure of deliberation, are other indices of that stage.

Constitutions gave distinctive marks to individual political community and converted it, so to say, into artificial tribes. It, therefore, becomes very difficult to distinguish between real tribes and artificial tribes, the ethnic gotra and the political gotra. Probably the early Sātvats were originally a real tribe as the description of the Vrishnis and Andhakas in the Mahā-Bhārata would indicate. But the 'Country of Rājanya (Elected Ruler),' Rājanya-Janapada, was evidently a political tribe, a

political community, a creation of constitution. So was the 'Mahārāja-Janapada.' Janapada in such cases becomes a political unit, probably a city-state. The Rāshtrikas and Bhojas were, likewise, creations of constitution. The Kathas, on the other hand, might have been originally a tribe, [as Patañjali's expression Katha $j \bar{a} t \bar{i} y \bar{a} h$ may denote. $J \bar{a} t i$, however, may be a secondary character. Birth (Jāti) in a gana-state was deemed to confer equality in citizenship on a free man. Kathajātīyas and Katha-desīyas may thus signify only 'men born in the Katha country,' 'men of the Katha-country,' and the Katha-country and Katha-state might have been called after one Katha, the political founder. The other examples of Patañjali, go to support this view, viz., Karaka-jātīyas, Karaka-deśīyas, Sraughna-jātīyas, Sraughna-deśīyas. Srughna and Karaka were both place-names, not tribe names. Karaka was evidently derived from a river, e.g., in Pāras-kara (trans-Kara district). Jāti, here and in Pāņini, VI. 3. 41, very likely means 'birth', not caste or nation.] At the same time it is not incompatible with the advanced stage of constitution-making that a community which had been originally a tribal unit and still retained traces of its former organization, should adopt an advanced constitution. But to call all these republics tribal organizations, or 'tribal rebublics' would be unscientific. Every state in ancient Rome and Greece was 'tribal' in the last analysis, but no constitutional historian would think of calling the republics of Rome and Greece mere tribal organizations.

¹ On P. VI 3. 42; Kielhorn, III, p. 157,

CHAPTER XVII

Republics under the Mauryas

§ 129. The empire of the Mauryas included the repub-Policy of Maurya Imperialism towards Republics Republics Maurya included the republican areas. Greek writers say that Chandragupta won Arachosia and Aria from Seleucus.¹ Asoka in his inscriptions tells us that Antiochus

was his neighbour.² Antiochus held Syria and Persia. The Maurya empire thus extended up to Persia in the north-west. In the south it extended up to the Tamil country.⁹ What was the fate of the republics under this gigantic monarchical system ? To understand this, let us first examine the Maurya policy towards republics. Kautilya makes an excellent statement of that policy :—

'Acquisition (conquest) of a Samgha,' says Kautilya, 'is more 'desirable than an alliance of goodwill or military aid. Those which 'are united (in a league) should be treated with the policy of subsidy 'and peace, for they are invincible. Those which are not united 'should be conquered by army and disunion.' Then the policy of division is detailed ending with 'thus should the Monarch '(Ekarāja) behave towards the Samghas' etc.'

¹ See passages quoted by V. Smith, Early History of India, 3rd cd. pp. 149-151.

Rock Series II.

Proved by the site of the Miaski 'edict.'

³ सङ्घवाओ इद्धसितखाआगानुभनः । सङ्घाभिसंइतत्वादध्यान् परेवां ताननुगुयान् सुद्वौत सानदानास्वान् । विगुद्दान् (read विगुद्दान्) सिद्दखास्वान् । Artha-Sästra, p. 378. चनुगुवान् will be opposed to funguine । दिगुद्दान्) सिद्दखास्वान् । Artha-Sästra, p. 378. चनुगुवान् will be opposed to funguine ! दिगुद्द gives no satisfactory meaning. It can give the meaning league of two; but they will be Anugunas. Hence I have proposed the above correction. The further use of fungue in the same chapter, e.g., at p. 379 and the total a beence of fungue in the discussion support my proposal. Mr. Shama Shastry's rendering of चतुनुद्दान् as those 'favourably disposed' is far wide off the mark. AS., (Ch. XI.)

4 Details of the method of creating disunion are given in pp. 376-79 ending with सुक्रियेपसियराजी वर्षेत : eee also 'Rājā' in सक्ष्युद्धा तेषु भीनपूर्व राजा etc., p. 379, In other words, the Maurya policy was to allow honourable existence to those republics which were strong and united in leagues (for these were 'difficult to be conquered'). Those which were isolated were to be weakened by a policy of internal division and then reduced by force.

Evidently many of such isolated states had already come under the control of the Maurya empire when the Artha-Sästra was composed. The status of the confederate republics varied according to their strength. Some of them were treated on terms of equality, while others were subsidized and probably expected to render military assistance, for 'acquisition of army' from them is a condition contemplated as against pure alliance (framum). The result of this policy was that the stronger republics survived the Maurya imperialism, while the weaker ones succumbed. The Kshudraka, the Mälava, and the Vriji states which appear in Kätyäyana and Patañjali as sovereign states and in flourishing condition,¹ existed on account of leagues, and so did the Räshtrikas and Bhojakas who fight jointly against Khäravela in the time of Patañjali.²

§ 130. In the light of ascertained facts of the constitutional Republics under A * o k a history of the time of Kautilya and Alexander we can now understand the inscriptions of Asoka better on a point. We have to recognise the republican, character of many of the political communities mentioned by Asoka in his inscriptions.

In Rock series, section V, Asoka enumerates :---

- (1) the Yonas,
- (2) the Kambojas,
- (3) the Gandhäras,
- (4) the Rāshtrikas,
- (5) the Pitinikas,
- (6) and other aparantas (Girnar version).

¹ Mahàbhachya on Pinni IV. 2. 45; and on V. 3. 52.

^{*} Hathigumpha Inscription of the Emperor Kharavela, J. B. O. R.S., Vol. III, p. 465.

In the Kālsi edition, only 1 to 3 are named with the addition 'and other *aparāntas.*' In other words, Aśoka designates the whole¹ (1) to (5) to be 'Aparāntas'.

Against these in Rock Series XIII we have :---

- (1) the Yonas,
- (2) the Kambojas,
- (3) the Nābhaka and the Nābha-pańktis,
- (4) the Bhojas,
- (5) the Pitinikas,
- (6) the Andhras and the Pulindas:

Instead of aparānta they are predicated with 'here, a mongst the $r\bar{a}ja$ -vishayas.'² We know that 2, 4, and 5 had non-monarchical constitutions. Now, two questions present themselves. What constitutional position do they occupy in the system of Aśoka's empire? Are these three, the Kambojas, the Rāshṭrika-Bhojas and the Pitinikas, the only republican communities in the group? To answer these questions we have to examine the inscriptions critically.

§ 131. What is meant here by $a p a r \bar{a} n t a s$ and $r \bar{a} j a$ vishayas? Antas in Aśoka's proclamations mean 'neighbours' (neighbouring states); following that aparāntas can mean literally

two things: (1) 'the neighbours of the west,' or (2) 'the hinder neighbours,'--the states on the frontiers of Western India, or, states inside. If we take A parantas in its later sense, it may simply mean Western End or Frontier of the Country *i.e.* Western India. The Bhojas and Rāsh(rikas and presumably the Pitinikas, did belong to the country called Aparanta, or Western India. But by no license the Yonas and the Kambojas who were in Afghanistan, can be called *aparantas* in the sense of Westerners. That region is always called 'the North'

¹ TH TINFUUTE | (Girnar), Senart, J. R. A. S., 1900, p. 337.

in ancient Indian literature. Nor could the Gändhäras be called 'Westerners.' They were always counted in the North (*Udichi*, *Utlarāpatha*). We have therefore to give up the 'western' meaning.

Then, they in Section XIII are predicated with 'here' which means inside the limits of Mauryan Empire, as opposed to the *antas* outside, like Antiochus, Cholas, etc. The first meaning of a p a r ā n t a s being inadmissible, we must take the other one, 's t a t e s i n s i d e .' This corresponds and agrees with the 'here' of Section XIII: The two groups are identical in predication: 'inside' or 'inside neighbours.'

§ 132. Let us now examine the $r \bar{a} j a - v i s h a y a s$. Asoka always refers to his territories in the first person possessive. He speaks of 'my empire'. It will therefore be against his known usage to call his countries 'royal countries.' He would have said, if he meant it, 'my countries,' 'my vishayas' and not 'rāja-vishayas'. Further, there is no occasion to refer to his countries or provinces, as he has in the same sentence already said 'throughout my empire.' 'Rājavishayas' here is equivalent to the aparāntas of Section V. Rājavishayas should be interpreted as 'ruling (or 'sovereign) countries' (or districts), inside the empire. The 'inside neighbours' here equals the 'ruling vishayas'.

§133. The republics of this group, the 'ruling Vishayas' or the home-neighbours (a parāntas) of Aśoka were thus of the status which deserved the policy of peace or subsidy from the imperial Monarch. They were self-governing states within the imperial territorial limits. The list, of course, is not exhaustive, the emperor is mentioning only those 'ruling Vishayas' which had accepted Buddhist teachings. Apparently Aśoka was not so successful with the Rāshţrikas as with the Bhojas for he notes the latter in Section XIII amongst the places which were inclined to accept the Dharma teachings, while in Section V the Rāshţrikas are noted amongst those where Aśoka's missionaries were busy. § 134. The Gāndhāras had already separated before Alexander's time from Takshąśilā, its former Constitution of the Nābhapanktis Young Porus, a nephew to the great Porus, was

their king. But the question of the constitution of the Gāndhāras does not arise, although we have evidence of their being republican about 200 B.C.¹ In Section XIII of the Rock Proclamations in place of the Gāndhāras 'the $N \bar{a} b h a k a$ and the $N \bar{a} b h a - p a n k t i s$ ' have been substituted. These were either neighbours of the Gāndhāras or some sub-divisions thereof. The Nābhapanktis ('Nābha-lines') were like the Agraśrenis, and the Three Yaudheyas or Three Šālaņkāyanas, *i.e.*, a league of the Nābhas. In one edition of Aśoka's inscriptions they are called Nābhitina which may mean the Three - Nā bhas.

§ 135. Up to this time the N \bar{a} b h a k a has not been identified. I find the name in the Ganapatha on Panini IV. 1. 112 as $N a b h \bar{a} k a$. The rule before IV. 1. 112 deals with derivatives from republican names, and, that after, from river-names. The Ganapatha on Panini IV. 1. 112, gives an enumeration or group called 'Siva and others' which contains names of rishis and founders of families (e.g., Kakutstha, Kohada, etc.), royal dynasties (e.g., Haihaya), rivers (Gangā, Vipāśa) and a lot of obscure proper names. Along with Pitaka, Trikshāka, are given Nabhāka and Ūrna-Nābha. The Ūrņa-Nābhas appear also in the republican group of the Rajanyas, Arjunayanas etc.² $N\bar{a}bhaha$ was probably a national title derived from the Nā bha people. The "Nābha lines" (Nābha-panktis)^a which were evidently non-monarchical, were probably identical with the Urna-Nābhas, the Nābhas of the 'woollen' country. Gändhära was famous for its wool.

¹ The Gändhäras instead of a king have *Mukhyas* in the MBh., U. P., Ch. 167. Patanjali (P., IV. 2, 52), puts them along with the Vasätis and Šibis whom we know to be republican.

^{*} On Păņini IV. 2, 53.

^{*} Compare 'árcai' 'lines' or 'rows' and its interpretation in Ch. XXVII (Pt. II).

§135. What was the constitution of the Pulindas? They were a Dravidian people in the neighbourhood of the Rāshţrikas and the Bhojas. With the Dravidians, the normal constitution was monarchy. The Aitareya Brāhmaņa says that it was from the Asuras that the institution of monarchy was copied by the Hindus when they were at war with the former.¹ Were the Pulindas influenced by the Aryan example of their neighbours? Aśoka does not call any of the group a kingdom but a 'ruling country' or district. There is the definite evidence of the Kāśikā and the Bribat Samhitā that the Pulindas were a Samgha.²

§ 136. As to the Andhras, the problem is more difficult.

There is no corroborative evidence about them. Andhree Two generations before, in the time of Chandragupta, the Andhras were a great kingdom, second in power only to Magadha.^a But under Asoka we find them 'a ruling country' within the precincts of Asoka's empire. It seems that the Andhra power had been broken under the reign of Bindusāra, Aśoka's father, who is credited with having carried on the policy of his father, Chandragupta, in unifying India : 'between the eastern and western oceans' he is seid to have annexed sixteen Capitals.4 This must point to the land of the Southern Deccan, as the area above it had already been under Chandragupta. After victory, the Maurya politicians (Kautilya is said to have been alive) seem to have removed the royal family of the Andhras, and probably as a compromise allowed self-government under some Sampha constitution.

Six out of the eight rāja-vishayas are known to have been republican.⁶ Of the other two there is hardly any doubt

¹ See infra, Part II, pp. 4-5.

^{*} Kābilā on Pāņini, V. 3. 114, p. 456 ; Br8, V. 39, gfurgau.

^{*} See reference to Pling in V. Smith, Early History of India (3rd edition), p. 206.

⁴ Jayaswal, The Empire of Bindusära, J. B. O. R. S., Vol. II. p. 82.

^{*} For the Yavanas see below, # 137-140.

as to the constitution of the Pulindas. The remaining member of the group, the Andhra, therefore, gathers to itself the strongest presumption for having been a non-monarchical state in the circle of Aśoka's empire.

§ 137 The identification of the Yavanas of Aśoka is necessary. It might incidentally settle a great controversy. The Yonas of Aśoka, the rājavishaya Yonas, were within the limits of the Maurya empire. The grouping in Aśokan records is 'the Yonas and Kambojas', while in Manu it is 'the Kambojas and Yavanas.' There is no doubt that these Yavanas were contiguous to the Kambojas.

The Kambojas are identified with the people on the Kabul river (modern Kamboh). Now, who were these Yavanas? They were the neighbours of the Kabulis. They were, therefore, on or near the Kabul river. Further, they were 'self-ruling' according to Asoka, and according to Manu¹ they had lived long enough near the Aryan people to be regarded as occupying the position of a fallen caste though they had been a 'ruling people' (K s h atriya-jätayah). According to the Mahā-Bhārata they had ceased to be rulers and were living like Kambhojas and others under Hindu kings.⁸ All these descriptions refer to the same conditions. These Yayanas could not be referred to the town of Alexandria founded by Alexander in the Caucasus. That was never a self-governing unit, and the Macedonian element of invalid soldiers, who were anxious to leave the place, was too small and would have availed themselves of the death of Alexander to migrate. On the other hand we have positive evidence of the existence of a Greek community on the Kubhā or Kabul river who had been there for a long time before Alexander's invasion. It is strange that this fact has not been taken notice of. [It settles amongst other

3

¹ With the Sakas, i.e., the Sakas of Seistan. Manu, X. 44.

^{*} Šāntiparvan, LXV, 13-15.

things, the vexed chronological question raised on the mention of Yavanānī in Pāņini.]

§138. The Kabul-Yavanas had been organised in a city-state of the Indian republican type in the time of Alexander—a fact which comes in perfect harmony with the description of Aśoka's rāja-vishaya. These were probably Perso-Greeks—Greeks or Ionians who had moved and immigrated under the suzerainty of the Persian Empire. The name of their city, Nysa, is a strong evidence of their Persian connection. They had been Hinduised; Alexander's companions first regarded them as Indians. As stated by the Macedonian writers the Nysians claimed to have been Greek in origin. They knew their Greek gods and Greek mythology and tradition.¹

§ 139. Their state was organised as an aristocracy and their President was called the $A \ k \ o \ u \ b \ i$. This word seems to be connected with $Kubh\ddot{a}$, the Vedic name for the Kabul river. It means ['the Leader] of the People by the Kubhä' (A-Kaubhi). Alexander's companions were convinced of the ethnic claim of the Akoubhis and they passed ten days in Hellenic revels with them. Unless the Akoubhis had been Greek in origin they could not have been able to show such intimate familiarity with matters of Greek mythology as they did and could not have convinced the Macedonians of their claim of kinship.

§ 140. From the treatment of the Yavanas, the Kambojas, the Andhras, and the Pulindas in Manu and the Mahā-Bhārata, it appears that their republics soon lost their independence, and losing it they lost their social independence as well, and were absorbed into Brahmanism under a degraded caste-status—for the Hindu can visualise human organisations only in terms of caste. The result therefore was that these races were reduced into mere degraded castes living under Hindu rulers.

¹ Arrian, Bk. V. Chap L ; Arrian, Indiks, I. where Arrian without any doubt treats them as Greeks or Indo-Greeks.

CHAPTER XVIII

Republics in S'unga Times and later

§141. In the Sunga period we have some of the old republics that survived the Mauryan policy. These, as observed above, were mostly powerful leagues. There were, however, some individual republican states also in the Sunga period. Most of these, now known from their coins, appear to be new states. The majority of the older states do not come on the stage again; and the necessary conclusion is that they were obliterated during the Maurya imperialism. Their next destroyer was the foreign rule of the Northern Satraps who had their seat at Mathurā. The presence of these barbarians leads to a new phenomenon in the history of Hindu republics, namely, migration of the stronger republics to Rajputana.

§142. The Yaudheyas were one of the older class. Yaudheyaa They not only survive the Mauryan empire but also the Satraps and the Kushāns. There is evidence of their long life both in the coins struck by them and the inscriptions making adverse references to them. The atmosphere of the Second Century A.C. is full of their military glory. Rudradāman in the second century A.C. describes them as "rendered proud, as they "were, by having manifested their title of heroes among all Kshatri-"yas" and "who cannot be subjugated".¹

They appear in the inscription of Samudragupta^a amongst the group setting limit to the Gupta Empire (fourth century

¹ सरमवाविष्कृत-वीरमण्डजातीयां चालिधेवानां बीधेवानाम्- Ep. Ind., VIII, p. 44.

^{*} Floot, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 8: नेपाब-कह पुरादिप्रव्यतव्यतिभिक्तांबवाच्य नायन-को धेवसाट्रक...

A. C.) There is a unique inscription of theirs in an ornate script discovered in the State of Bharatpur¹ mentioning the elected president ('who has been made the leader,' Fleet) of the Yaudheya-gana. This is taken to belong to the Gupta period.

Their coins which extend from the Sunga period to the fourth century of the Christian era, are found in the Eastern Punjab and all over the country between the Sutlej and Jumna rivers. Two large finds have been discovered at Sonpat between Delhi and Karnāl.*

It seems that before the second century A.C. they had already moved towards Western Rajputana as it is there that Rudradäman encountered them, his own dominions including *Maru*. Evidently the Yaudheya territory was very large. They seem to have left their original seat in early Kushān times.

§143. On one type of their coins (Sunga period) they stamp the figure of a moving elephant and that of a bull. And the coin is struck in the name of the Yaudheyas 'Yaudheyānām,' 'Of the Yaudheyas.' On the second type they give the representation of the God Kārttikeya, the presiding deity of Heroism and War, and name him on the coin. In fact the coin itself is significantly dedicated to the Deity of Heroism. In other words, the figure is their La Liberte'. The third type is purely constitutional. It is struck in the name of the Yaudheya-gana or Yaudheya Parliament [or Republic] (Yaudheya-Ganasya jaya : 'Victory of the Yaudheya Gana'). The figure on it is a warrior with a spear in the pose of a dignified 'tri-bhanga'-representing the type of their citizensoldier. Some coins bear "dvi" (two) and some "tri" (three).* These probably refer to their three sections, like Patañjali's trika Sālankāyanas.4

¹ Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 251, he bore the title of Makaraja, Maka-Senapati.

^{*} सबबती सामिन जाइन्द्र स्व + V. Smith. Cat. of Coise, I. M., Vol. I. p. 181.

⁸ Ounningham, O. A. I., pp. 75-79; Cunningham, A.S.R., Vol. XIV, pp. 141-42.

Pataŭjali on Păņini V. I. 58.

The inscription of the Yaudheyas proves that they § 144. had an elected President. The order is issued Yaudheya conhim describing himself stitution from by 28 the monumental records President made by the gana of the Yaudheyas'¹. It is not clear whether the records found in the district of Hoshyarpur are impressions of official seals or coins. Of course, the coin and the seal would bear the same lakshanas---the lakshanas of the Samgha, The constitutional significance of the records is of great value. They are in the name of the Yaudheyas and their cabinet or executive committee. The latter are called 'mantrad h a r a s'-- 'those vested with the policy of state :' ('Yodheyānam Jaya Mantradharānam.')*

§ 145. The Yaudheyas are lost to history some time before Their and the seventh century. For Varāha-Mihira gives the traditional geography and has no living fact before him when he places them with the Gandhāras. The Johiyā Rajputs who are found on the banks of the Sutlej along the Bahawalpur Frontier have been identified as modern representatives of the ancient Yaudheyas.³ This identification appears to be sound both on philological and territorial grounds.

§ 146. The Madras who originally had their capital at Sākala and who gave their name to the country around Sākala, also migrated downwards and became a neighbour of the Yaudheyas. They too fought Samudra Gupta. Their further history is lost and they disappear like their friends. The Madras seem to have been too conservative

đ

¹ Bijayagadh stone incor. (C. Fleet, G. I., p. 252) सिदम्। यौधिय-मथ-पुरस्कृतस्य मधाराज-सधार्वनापतेः पु......माद्यभ-पुरोगं पाधितानं गरीरादिकुग्रखं प्रष्ट्या खिखस्यस्विरस्वा......

[&]quot;Success ! Of Mahārāja, mahā-senāpati....who has been made President [leader] by the "Yaudheys Parliament

[&]quot; (He) after wishing health to the Brahmin head-man and to the

[&]quot; Settlement writes : ' There is'

^{*} Proceedings A. S. B., 1884, pp. 138-40

Cunningham, A. S. R., Vol. XIV, p. 140. 20

§147. The Mälavas and the Kshudrakas re-appear in the Sunga times. Patañjali knows them Mälavas and Kehudrakas and mentions some victory of the Kshudrakas which they by themselves had won.¹ But in subsequent centuries they are no longer traceable. They were probably fully amalgamated with the Mālavas during their migration from the Punjab to eastern Rajputana. The Mālavas were in their new homes about 150-100 B.C., as evidenced by the earliest type of their coins found at Karkota Nāgar (Jaipur State). This was just the time of the inroad of the Parthian Sakas. The Mālavas seem to have migrated via Bhatinda (Patiala State) where they have left traces of their name (in 'Mālawāī' dialect extending from Ferozepore to Bhatinda, LSI., IX. i, p. 709.) Before 58 B.C. the Mālavas are found besieging the Uttamabhadras to the west of Ajmer which was relieved by the forces of Nahapāņa."

§148. In 58 B.C.⁴ Nahapāņa is defeated and killed by Gautamīputra who re-struck Nahapāņa's coins, and the Gaņa of the Mālavas counted the beginning of Krita from that date.⁴ Their Gaņa adopted the date to measure future time (Kāla-jñānāya⁴). The era was 'made authoritative by their use'⁷. The authority of that Era of Prowess (*Vikrama*) still reigns : we use it to this day. The Mālavas subsequently covered the whole of the vast area to the south of Nāgar, which permanently bears their name. They are mentioned in the list of Samudra Gupta's opponents along with other republicans, the Yaudheyas,

¹ Patañjali on Pâņini, V. 3. 52.

^{*} V. Smith, Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, Vol. L. p. 161.

^{*} Conningham, A. S. R. Vol. XIV. p. 150,

⁴ Epigraphia Indica, Vol VIII, p. 44; Jayaawal, Historical Position of Kalki, etc. 14. 1917, p. 151-2.

See references to Krite in Gupta Inscriptions having dates in Mälava years.

^{*} Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 154.

^{*} Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XIX p. 320 (तो भाषप-मणाणाते त्रमचे झत-रंग्रवे)

the Madras, the Ārjunāyanas, etc. In the Gupta period they retire into the Unknown. Their era was used by great sovereigns of Mālava from the fourth to the sixth centuries. This would not have been done if the Mālava-gaṇa had been still subsisting, for that would have denoted subordination of the sovereigns using the era. They certainly did not exist in the time of Varāha-Mihira (who drawing on older materials) places them near the Himalayas. Varāha-Mihira himself was living in Mālava, and to use so antiquated a datum shows that the real Mālavas had for some centuries ceased to exist. The Vishņu Purāṇa¹ gives their later habitation (Mewar-Jaipur) and gives it correctly.

§149. The coins of the Mālavas^a bear legends in Brāhmī: *Mālavānām Jaya* ('Victory of the Mālavas') or, '*Mālavā-Jaya*' ('the Mālava Victory'), '*Mālavahņa Jaya*' ('Victory of the Mālavas,' in Prākrita), and '*Mālava-gaņasya*' (Of-the 'Mālava-gaņa').

The story of the name still survives in the close community of the Brahmins called 'Mālavis'—now Sanskritised as *Mālavīya* —a fair and handsome people with marked intellectuality and sharpness of business intelligence. They do not marry outside their own community. They had a colony as far removed as the vicinity of Allahabad and are now found in towns near about.

§ 150. In the later Mālava area the Sibis also appear. The Sibis were associates of the Mālavas in the time

Sibis of Alexander as a very rude people, or at least, wearing very rude dress in war, on the authority of the Greek writers. They seem to have migrated with the Mālavas into Rajputana where at Nagarī near Chitor their coins are found. They are struck in the name of 'the Country (or Nation) of the Sibis of Madhyamikā' ('Majhimikāya Sibi Janapadasa'.)*

Vishen Purdea, W. & H., II. 133.

^a CCIM., pp. 170-4.

⁴ Cunningham, ASR., Vol. XIV, p. 148. Madhyamikä (known to Patañjali) was their Capital.

to take to the new system of issuing signed coins. They adhered to the old punch-marked : they have not left a single specimen of inscribed coins.

§147. The Mälavas and the Kshudrakas re-appear in the Sunga times. Patañjali knows them MALAYAS and Kshudrekas and mentions some victory of the Kshudrakas which they by themselves had won.¹ But in subsequent centuries they are no longer traceable. They were probably fully amalgamated with the Malavas during their migration from the Punjab to eastern Rajputana. The Malavas were in their new homes about 150-100 B.C., as evidenced by the earliest type of their coins found at Karkota Nagar (Jaipur State)^a. This was just the time of the inroad of the Parthian Sakas. The Mälavas seem to have migrated via Bhatinda (Patiala State) where they have left traces of their name (in 'Malawai' dialect extending from Ferozepore to Bhatinda, LSI., IX. i, p. 709.) Before 58 B.C. the Mālavas are found besieging the Uttamabhadras to the west of Ajmer which was relieved by the forces of Nahapāna.*

§148. In 58 B.C.⁴ Nahapāņa is defeated and killed by Gautamīputra who re-struck Nahapāņa's coins, and the Gaņa of the Mālavas counted the beginning of Krita from that date.⁴ Their Gaņa adopted the date to measure future time (Kāla-jāānāya⁴). The era was 'made authoritative by their use'⁴. The authority of that Era of Prowess (*Vikrama*) still reigns : we use it to this day. The Mālavas subsequently covered the whole of the vast area to the south of Nāgar, which permanently bears their name. They are mentioned in the list of Samudra Gupta's opponents along with other republicans, the Yaudheyas,

¹ Patažjali on Păņini, V. 3. 52.

^{*} V. Smith, Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Mussum, Calcutta, Vol. L. p. 161.

^{*} Cunningham, A. S. R. Vol. XIV. p. 150,

⁴ Epigraphia Indica, Val VIII, p. 44; Jayanwal, Historical Position of Kalki, etc. IA. 1917, p. 151-2.

^{*} See references to Krits in Gupts Inscriptions having dates in Mälava years,

^{*} Floot, Gupta Inscriptions, p. 154.

^{*} Spigraphia Indice, Vol. XIX p. 220 (2) ayuq-aqyutit and ma-duit)

the Madras, the Årjunāyanas, etc. In the Gupta period they retire into the Unknown. Their era was used by great sovereigns of Mālava from the fourth to the sixth centuries. This would not have been done if the Mālava-gaņa had been still subsisting, for that would have denoted subordination of the sovereigns using the era. They certainly did not exist in the time of Varāha-Mihira (who drawing on older materials) places them near the Himalayas. Varāha-Mihira himself was living in Mālava, and to use so antiquated a datum shows that the real Mālavas had for some centuries ceased to exist. The Vishņu Purāņa¹ gives their later habitation (Mewar-Jaipur) and gives it correctly.

§149. The coins of the Mālavas^a bear legends in Brāhmī: *Mālavānām Jaya* ('Victory of the Mālavas') or, '*Mālavā-Jaya*' ('the Mālava Victory'), '*Mālavahņa Jaya*' ('Victory of the Mālavas,' in Prākrita), and '*Mālava-gaņasya*' (Of-the 'Mālava-gaņa').

The story of the name still survives in the close community of the Brahmins called 'Mālavis'—now Sanskritised as *Mālavīya* —a fair and handsome people with marked intellectuality and sharpness of business intelligence. They do not marry outside their own community. They had a colony as far removed as the vicinity of Allahabad and are now found in towns near about.

§ 150. In the later Mālava area the Sibis also appear. The Sibis were associates of the Mālavas in the time

of Alexander as a very rude people, or at least, wearing very rude dress in war, on the authority of the Greek writers. They seem to have migrated with the Mālavas into Rajputana where at Nagarī near Chitor their coins are found. They are struck in the name of 'the Country (or Nation) of the Sibis of Madhyanikā' ('Majhimikāya Sibi Janapadasa'.)*

¹ Fishau Purdan, W. & H., H. 133.

[•] CCIM., pp. 170-4.

^{*} Cunningham, ASR., Vol. XIV, p. 146. . Madhyamikä (known to Patañjali) was their Capital.

No record of theirs of a period subsequent to the first century B.C. has yet been discovered.

§151. The Årjunäyanas as a people do not appear in Arjunäyanas But they are added to the Rājanya group of

the Ganapātha, palpably later as they come towards the end. The addition cannot be later than the time of Patañjali, for in 100 B.C. they are in Rajputana, away from the Rājanyas. There they figure with the Yaudheyas and others down to the time of Samudra Gupta. The Arjunāyanas seem to be thus a younger political community founded about the Sunga times (200 B.C.). by, as the name implies, Arjunāyana. Their coins are inscribed only in Brāhmī script, a fact excluding the idea of northern association about 100 B.C. They bear the legend 'Arjunāyanāna' 'Coin of the Ārjunāyanas' or 'Arjunayanana Jaya', ('Victory of the Ārjunāyana'.)³ They are associates and friends of the valiant Yaudheyas, Madrakas, and Mālavas in Rajputana with whom they migrated there.

§152. This migration from the fertile lands of The meaning of the Punjab to dreary Rajputana is the migration testimony to the love of liberty which these 'unconquerable' republicans bore 'more than others,' as one of them put it in the time of Alexander:⁴ They believed that the Yaudheya Country or the Mälava Country was wherever the Yaudheya or Mälava Gaņa lived and lived as of yore in freedom. They would sacrifice paternal homes and lands to preserve their political self and soul. They would go to deserts but live in democratic glory and rule in parliament. It is a settled principle of Hindu politics that freedom is more important than

³ It is for the first time found in the Gauaphias on Paniai IV. 1, 112.

^{*} See discussion in § 153 on the Mahä-Bhärsta republics of Rajputana.

^{*} V. Smith, Catalogue of the Coine in the Indian Museum, L p. 166; Bapeon, IC., Pl. III, 20.

⁴ McCrindle, Alexander, p. 154. 'For they were attached more than others 'to freedom and autonomy', in the address of the Mäisvas'

home and is to be preserved at the cost of the latter.¹ The republics seem to have fully acted upon this principle.

§ 153. In addition to the evidence of the coins and the Rajputans in the Maha-Bhdanta Rajputans is the evidence of the Coins and the inscriptions on the question of migration of these republics to Rajputana there is the evidence of the Mahā-Bhārata.

In the Sabhā-Parvan (Ch. XXXII), the Mālavas, the Šibis, and the Trigartas are placed in Rajputana (M a r u), while in another place (Ch. LII) they are in the Punjab. Evidently Chapter LII (describing the Rājasūya) is based on earlier authorities. There the Sibis, Trigartas, Yaudheyas, Rājanyas, and Madras are mentioned along with the people of Kaśmīra and Kekaya; and the Ambashthas are placed in the same group as the Kshudrakas and Malavas. In Chapter XXXII (in the Digvijaya) the Sibis, Trigartas and Mālavas are given along with the Daśārnas and Mādhyamakeyas. The Mādhyamakeyas were the people of the town Madhyamikā near Nagarī in the Udaipur state where Madhyanikā coins have been found in large numbers. Evidently Madhyamikā had not yet passed under the Sibis and was at the time the seat of a separate political community. In the route onwards the river Sarasvatī and the Matsya Country (Alwar) are mentioned. All these Ganas then were in Rajputana extending between Sind and the Vindhyas. It is noticeable that though the Sibis, Trigartas and Ambashthas do appear with the Mālavas in Rajputana, the Kshudrakas do not.

§ 154. The Mālavas in Chapter XXX, verse 8, are again mentioned with the Matsyas. The later data of the Mahā-Bhārata evidently relate to the state of affairs about 150 B.C., while the earlier ones refer to the period of Kautilya or earlier, for like the Artha-Sāstra it places the Kukuras along with the Madrakas, Yaudheyas and others. The republics were still in the Punjab according to Ch. LII.

In the data of 100 B. C. i.e., in Chapter XXXII, some

...

republics are mentioned in the Mahā-Bhārata which we have not met with in earlier literature. They are :---

(1) The Gapas of Utsavasanketas.

(2) The republic of the $S\bar{u}dras$ and that of the $Abh\bar{i}ras$ who are placed in the Indus valley.

The Südra republic is evidently the same whom Alexander met in Lower Sind and whom we have identified¹ with the Brahminical Saudras or Saudrāyaņas of the Ganapāțha. According to grammar it was based on the proper-name (of a man) Sūdra, not the caste name. The Five Karpatas and neighbours of the Utsavasanketas may have been republican although they are not described as such in the Mahā-Bhārata. Samudra Gupta^a places the Åbhīras next to the Mädrakas, and the Kharaparikas also in the same group, i.e., the group of non-monarchical communities. Probably these Kharaparikas or Kharaparas are identical with the Five Karpatas of the Mahä-Bhärata. The Utsava-Sanketas, were republican, probably founded by two men Utsava and Sanketa. We may, however, point out that s a n k e t a is a technical term denoting an act or resolution passed by a republic (ura: समयकिया)," and it is just possible that 's a n k e t a' here originally ' denoted a state 'founded' by a resolution of the Utsavas. The Utsava-sanketas are located in the Mahā-Bhārata near Pushkara or Ajmer. They do not seem to come down to the time of the Guptas. Not only they are not mentioned in the Gupta records, they are treated as a semi-mythological people of the Himalayas by the Gupta poet, Kālidāsa. This would

• V. M., p. 424.

¹ Pt. L p. 74. n. 3

⁸ The other non-monarchial communities in Samudra Gupta's inscription are (1) the P r d r j u a a a (2) the K d k a s (3) the Å b h i r a s (4) the K h a r a p a r i k as and (5) the S a a c k d a f k a s. Kantilya in laying down punishment for defaming a country (janapadopowidds, III 18) gives in illustration $P r \delta j j d p a k a$, along with Gåndhära. That is identical with (1) The (3) had been under monarche according to inscriptions; having been broken down is power they seem to have copied the constitution of their neighbours. The name of the (4) has been traced in a later inscription by R. Br. Hirs Lal, [EL, XII. 44]. Nothing more is known of (5). On 'Five' Kh., cf. § 162 below.

show that Utsava-sanketas had long ceased to exist. The Mahā-Bhārata in the same passage mentions 'very powerful town-rulers' on the banks of the Indus.¹ They evidently are the city republics on the Indus as they had existed in the time of Alexander.

§ 155. Considering the power and long career in their new homes, the period 150 B.C. to 350 A.C., may be still considered a living period of Hindu republican polity. It was the period of rise of the Rajputana republics.

At the same time that period was one of decay and decline of republican states in the Punjab and Western India. Parthian Sakas and the Sakas of Seistan who overran those parts, destroyed their independence and dissolved their states.

§ 156. The Kukuras whom the Artha-Sāstra mentions were lost in the dominions of Rudradāman. After 150 B.C. they live only in the placename which they bequeathed to the country they had lived in. The Pitinikas vanished probably in the Mauryan times without leaving behind a single trace.

The Surāshţras also were merged into common humanity about the 2nd Century A.C.

§157. The Vrishnis of classical glory fell under the Saka barbarian leaving behind a couple of coins to tell the story. The orthodox Brähmi and the republican legend, Vrishni-räjaññyä-ganasya trätasya ['of the Vrishni Rājanya (and) Gana—the Protector of the country'] yet remain. But at the same time the coin is obliged to bear the script of the invader, Kharoshthi, as well. The coin is marked with the state symbol the weapon chakra (discus), which was their symbol according to tradition as early as the time of Rājanya Krishna. The legend is in scripts of 100 B.C.¹

^{* 500} above. विअपूर्धायिता वे प दानपीसा सहायवाः ।

⁴ See above § 37, p, 40, Cunningham, Coins of Ancient India, p. 70, pl. 1V. 15, has mistaken the disc for a wheel, but the cutting edges and the projecting points on the rim are clear.

§158. It was the weakened condition of the country consequent on the Maurya policy of breaking up the republics that made the way easy for the foreign barbarians of the first century B.C. in Western India. From Sind up to the Maratha country they could easily settle down. There had been left no strong power to oppose them. But the case in other directions was not

exactly the same. The barbarians proceed up to Mathurā, but beyond there they are checked, both to the West and the South by the old republicans. The foreigners could not join hands across their two seats at Mathurā and Ujjain.

§159. The older republics in the Punjab, however, paid the price which nature decrees from freedom to force. The smaller Punjab republics under the Mauryas had become mere constitutions; they had lost their biological constitution and retained the one of political phraseology: they were no more Samghas, but mere Ganas: self-governments without states, states without power.

§ 160. Such had become the ancient Rājanyas who Rājanyas come on the scene a second time 200-100 B.C. to appear no more.

They struck their coins (200-100 B.C.) in the name of their country: "Rājanya-Janapadasa."

Numismatic scholars have taken this 'rājanya' as the wellknown equivalent for Kshatriya' (See V. Smith, *Catalogue of the Coins in the Indian Museum*, vol. I, p. 164). But this is a mistake. Pājanya is the proper name of a political people. It is clearly given as such by Pāņini, Kātyāyana and Patañjali and also by the Mahā-Bhārata. Their coins are still of the old class called kāmsika (bronze) by Pāņini, (V. 1. 25) *i.e.*, cast. Their coin which is die-struck bears legend in Kharoshthi and is closely allied to the North Satrap coins, bearing the same figure as the latter. This tells the final tale—the tale of their absorption in the Mathurā Satrapy. Their coins are found in the

158

¹ Cunningham, C. A. I., p. 69.

Hoshyarpur District and Mathurā. The former region seems to have been their home.

Their constitution emphasised the 'Janapada', according to which the whole Janapada was consecrated to rulership. It should be noticed that $P\bar{a}nini's$ rule about the $R\bar{a}janyas$ deals with ' $R\bar{a}janyas$ Janapada'. The $R\bar{a}janyas$ were, therefore, a democracy.

§161. Another old state which shared the fate of the MahäräjaJana- Räjanya-janapada was the 'Mahäräjapada janapda. Their coins originally bore legend in Brähmi 'Mahārāja-Janapadasa' ('Of the Mahārāja-Janapada') but latterly when they passed under the influence of the foreign rulers it was changed into Kharoshthī.¹

The state was old as it is mentioned by Pāṇini in a rule which contemplates a man owing loyalty to it. It is not certain as to what form of government they followed in the time of Pāṇini, but their system is clearly republican of the democratic type in the Sunga period as evidenced by their coins. Their coins have been found in the Punjab. The bull with the crescent on the reverse of their coin shows that they were Saiva.

§ 162. Like the Ārjunāyanas some new republican states were founded in the closing and weak period of the Mauryas. To this class belong the Vāmarathas of Kātyāyana and Patañjali,* and the Sālankāyanas of Patañjali.*

They are traced no further, nor are they found earlier. About the $S\bar{a} lan k\bar{a} yanas$ we are told by the K \bar{a} śik \bar{a} that they were in the V $\bar{a}h\bar{k}$ ka country, a statement which is supported by the Ganapatha which places them along with the R \bar{a} janyas and Audum baras.

They had the Sastropajivin constitution. Patañjali furnishes

¹ See Cunningham, C. A. I., p. 69 where he classes them erroneously under the Audumbars coins. Princep's plate referred to by Cunningham should also be consulted.

⁴ On P., IV. I. 151.

^{*} Patañjali on Păņini V. 1.58. (प्रथा: शाखदावना: Kādikā, p. 456.

PT L

the important detail that the Sälankäyanas were composed of three sections. This datum helps us in understanding the coins of the Yaudheyas. It would not be correct to say that they were composed of three tribes. The state was founded, as the name signifies, by one Sälankäyana, a descendant of Salanka which is not even an ancient family name. The three members of the Sälankäyana Samgha very likely represent an amalgamation of three small state-units.

§ 163. No history of the Vāmarathas is yet available. According to Patañjali the republic was noted for the scholarship of their learned men. They in this respect resemble the Kathas. They did not however seem to have any military glory like that of the Kathas. Nothing is known about their seat. To this class of new growth and early death must be referred the unnamed states of the Rājanya constitution whose coins are struck only in the name of their Rājanyas (Presidents) *e.g.*, *Rajanya-Mahamitasa* ('Of the Rājanya Mahā-mitra'). They bear legends both in Kharoshthī and Brāhmī and they are found in the hills.¹

§164. The Audumbaras are not known to the early Audumbaras in the Ganapātha in the Rājanya group of re-

publics." They are placed at the head of the Punjab republics in the earlier list of the Sabhā-Parvan of the Mahā-Bhārata (Chapter LII). Probably they also were republican. Their coins of the first century B.C. are found in Northern Punjab and they bear legend in Kharoshthī as well as in Brāhmī. Varāha-Mihira places them in the company of the Kapisthalas who are a twin associate of the Kathas in Patañjali. They were somewhere between Kangra and Ambala. A branch of theirs seems to have migrated to Cutch, as Pliny locates the Odomboeres there. Their coinage resembles that of the Årjunāyanas in style. Their

¹ Cunningham, C. A. I., p. 69.

^{*} On Plaini, IV. 2.53

coins show that the Audumbaras (if a repub'ic) had an elective king. They are struck in the name of the community and king, styled 'His Exalted Majesty' (e.g. Mahadevasa raña Dharaghoshasa | Odumbarisa). The coins bear the 'lakshana' of a tree, the representation of a building with tall columns and a slanting roof which may be their mote-hall or some other public building, and the figure of their standard which Cunningham mistook to be a Dharmachakra. Across the field Viśvamitra is written and a picture of the Rishi given. Probably Viśvāmitra was their national sage.¹

The Kharoshthi script indicates that about 100 B.C. they came under the influence of the Satraps like their neighbours of the Punjab, and were finally absorbed. No later records of theirs is found. Their branch in Cutch appears to have lived longer. They have left their descendants in the enjoyment of their name-the modern community of Gujarati Brahmins of the Audumbara caste.

*

¹ Rapson, IC, pl. III. 8. Cunningham, C. A. I., pp. 66-68; many of the coins grouped by him under Audumbara coins are not connected with the Audumbaras. Consult also the valuable note by him in A. S. R., Vol. XIV, pp. 135-6.

CHAPTER XIZ

Disappearance

§ 165. The Gupta power struck the republican system in Rajaputana. The Guptas, however, rose to imperial position by their alliance with the republican Lichchhavis¹ which had survived the Maurya and Sunga times and had grown highly powerful. They had outlived their ancient contemporaries in power and glory and remained the single and sole representative of ancient republicanism.

§166. A new republic was formed about this time on the ruins of the ancient ones in Rajputana. Pushysmitras This was founded by one Pushymitra, as the name signifies. The Puranas dealing with the Post-Andhra rulers of Vidiśā and thereabouts mention the rulers of a new capital Kānchanakā. The last Kānchanakā rulers, that is, those who flourished about 499 A.C., the closing date of the Puranas," were 'Pushya-mitras' and 'Patumitras', but the rulers before them are kings mentioned by name (e.g., king Vindhya-Sakti, king Sakyamān, etc.). While the other Puranas put down the Pushyamitras in the plural, the Bhagavata mentions the President Pushyamitra ('Pushyamitro'tha Rājanyah') i.e., it mentions the original founder. Some copies of the Vishnu Purana say that Pushyamitra, i. e. the President, was powerful and victorious ('सर्वेयचें बसवान

.

³ Their name is jointly inscribed with the name of the emperor Chandra Gupta I on the imperial coins.

^{*} Jayaswal, JBORS., III, 247.

जयो भविषति).¹ No dynastic figure is given to the Pushyamitras for the evident reason that they were republican.

The Pushyamitras 'developed great power and wealth's to such an extent that they dealt a blow at the imperial throne from which it could not fully recover. The defeat inflicted by them on the imperial forces under Kumära Gupta made the dynasty, to quote the language of his son Skanda Gupta, totter to its fall.* Evidently Kumära Gupta himself was killed.⁴ In the second war Skanda Gupta remained on the battlefield the whole night and slept on the bare ground. The next morning when the battle continued he succeeded in forcing a decision which entitled him, as the inscription says, to plant his foot on the royal footstool, i.e., became entitled to sovereignty.⁵ But it is not claimed that the Pushyamitras bent their head or became feudatory. It was therefore a military defeat at most, or rather if we take into consideration the site of the publication of this record of victory (Bhitari in Ghazipur District), it was the stemming back of the invading Pushyamitras. The subsequent history of Hindu India might have received a different turn had they reached Pāțaliputra and made it the seat of their republican government. We might have seen a vast republic, vaster than the country had yet seen. But the issue was decided otherwise. The Pushyamitras retired, but the glory of the Guptas never returned. The course of their weakness and decline consequent on the Pushyamitra wars could not be checked. There seems to be a strange fatality in the history of the Guptas. They rose to power with the help of a republic, they abolished the ancient

¹ For references to Paränis texts, see Pargiter, Paräna Texts, p. 51 and notes.

" वसुदित ग्व] बोमान् पुचलितान्..... Bhitari Stone pillar Inscription of Skanda Gupta, Fleet, G. I., pp. 53-54.

* Ibid. विषयिष्ठव्यययो···· 1. 11; विष्ठ्र वां वंत्रययों 1, 13; प्रयथितं त्रंत्रव् 4. 14.

* पिटरि दिवसुपे[ते] मंद

* See lines 12-13. *Ibid.* The translation of this passage by Floet is confused and does not reproduce the spirit of the text.

DISAPPEARANCE

republicanism, and they in turn were shaken off their foundations by a republic. The Pushyamitras having executed this historical revenge withdrew in the mystic past.

§ 167. With the end of the fifth century Republics disappear

Exit from Hindu India. The old Lichchhavis quit the political scene, a branch migrating into Nepal. The young Pushyamitras vanish in the air. The following century saw the final exit of Hindu constitutionalism from the stage of History. All that was good, come down from the age of Vedic Forefathers, all that progress which had been achieved since the composition of the first Rik, all that gave life to the mechanism of State, bade good-bye to the Land. Republicanism was the first to begin the Great Departure, to lead the dirge of political Nirvāṇa. We have understood only one verse of that epilogue—the praise of the sword of destruction which nature gives into the hand of the barbarian. But the other verses are yet a riddle. The real causes of that Departure which the epilogue should disclose remain undeciphered.

From 550 A.C. onwards Hindu history melts into brilliant biographies—isolated gems without a common string of national and communal life. We get men great in virtue or great in crime—we get Harsha and Saśāńka, Yaśodharman Kalki and Sańkarāchārya—but they are so high above the common level that they are only helplessly admired and sacredly respected.¹ The community ceases to breathe freedom. The causes of this decay must be internal which have yet to be investigated. The Hun invasion alone cannot explain it. The Huns were fully crushed within a century by successive dynasts. Yet the old life refused to return.

¹ E.g., see the biography of Harsha by Bāņa. Kalki is deified in his lifetime (*I.A.*, 1917, p. 145). Helpless admiration is an index of the great moral difference between the admirer and the admired,

III HINDU REPUBLICS : (0) THEIR SYSTEM AND ETHNOLOGY

CHAPTER XX

Criticism on Hindu republican systems

Before parting with the republics it is necessary to **§ 168**. pass some general criticism on their systems. Moral assets The laws and the administration of law in the republican states of India are unanimously praised by the Greek observers and their praise is confirmed by the Mahā-Bhārata. Some of them, at least, were careful to preserve precedents of decided cases in books. Even their sworn enemy Kautilya says that a republican chief in his state has the beneficial propensity of justice.¹ A high sense of justice was maintained. Without it no republic can last very long. Discipline was another virtue of theirs. Kautilya notices as a feature that the republican chief was a man accustomed to discipline.^a The Mahā-Bhārata points out, as we have seen, that discipline was maintained amongst members, both junior and senior, by responsible leaders. The leaders, further, made themselves and their actions popular.¹ Krishna in the Mahā-Bhārata is reported to mention his difficulties in conducting his federal council to his friend Nārada. The latter rebukes Krishna for his want of control of his speech in public discussions. He advises the Vrishni leader to cultivate the habit of bearing oratorical attacks with patience, and to sacrifice feeling of personal umbrage, to maintain the integrity of the Samgha."

- * दानों युग्र नगसि होत् Ibid.
- * वर्षेत्रिणानुवर्त्ते वः Ibid.
- See Appendix A.

н

¹ तज्वातुव्यव सञ्चेषु व्यावहणिहितः[मनः । Arthasistra, p. 379.

Likewise they were always ready to take the field. Bravery was a point of ambition and honour amongst the citizens.

§ 169. As the Mahä-Bhārata says, equality prevailed in Principle of the Gana. This was naturally necessary. The oquality more democratic an institution, the greater emphasis on equality must have been laid.

In addition to moral virtues the republics had administrative Successful virtues. They were specially successful, on *dministration the evidence of the Mahā-Bhārata, in their financial administration. Their treasury remained always full.

§170. A great reason of their political strength was that a republic was nation-in-arms. The whole Military system community was their army. They were a citizen army and therefore immeasurably superior to the hired levies of monarchies. And when they formed an offensive and defensive league they were regarded, as Kautilya says, 'invincible.' Hindu Republics were prone to form leagues. The league of the Six-Trigartas of the grammarians, the league of the Kshudraka-Mälavas, the league of the Videhaa and Lichchhavis, (the federated Vajjis of the Pāli Canon), the league of the Andhaka-Vrishnis are examples in point. According to the Mahā-Bhārata it was almost impossible for the enemy to crush federal republics. The Buddha as well gave his opinion to the Chancellor of Magadha that the federal Vajjis could not be conquered by the Magadha King.

§171. The wealth of the Hindu republic is a matter of adladastrial system miration both in home and foreign records. The Greeks noticed their riches and the Mahā-Bhārata also bears testimony to it. A citizen had ambition to be the leader of the trade association or of the guild merchant failing to be a political leader (§ 117). The art of peace and the art of war, discipline and perseverance, habits of ruling and being ruled, thought and action, home and state, went hand in hand. A highly practical and keen individual and citizen would have been the result of this life. With these virtues and this culture, there is no wonder that the Mahā-Bhārata says that their alliance was courted, and that they took delight in reducing their foes, and saw to their material prosperity. This is explained by the fact that their training and genius were not one-sided. They were not solely political animals. They were economic animals equally. Kautilya characterises them as martial and industrial in the same breath; they were forced to be industrial and martial by their laws. Attention paid to trade and agriculture kept their treasury and themselves rich.

§ 172. On the evidence of the Greek witnesses they were not only good soldiers maintaining a very high tradition of bra-

The type of eitizen very and skill in war, but also good a griculturists. The hand which wielded the sword successfully, was accustomed to use the scythe with equal facility. According to the Artha-Sāstra and Buddhist documents, they were both agricultural and industrial.

§173. Separation of powers and functions, which separation of powers and functions, which will be noticed in the data given above, for instance, command of the army and executive government amongst the Patalas, judiciary, military command, and executive authority amongst the Lichchhavis, similarly elective generalships in several states noticed by the Greeks, and complete absence of sacredotal element in the chiefs, denote a highly developed sagacity and a vast constitutional experience in the republics.

§ 174. Technical books which have come down to us are Philosophie basis of the monarchist school. If we recovered a book of the republican school, it would undoubtedly disclose the theories of state of Republican Polity. That there were such books is extremely probable. The chapters on Gaņa and Vrishņi Samgha in the Mahā-Bhārata indicate their past existence. So does the verse in Kauțilya which is evidently quoted from another work, as the verse is the only matter in the chapter which has republican point of view, the rest being all a monarchist look-out.¹ The discussion on the Arājaka state in the Mahā-Bhārata also shows familiarity with a written theory of state of the Arājaka constitution. All these data indirectly prove the existence of well-considered philosophic bases on which republican constitutions were founded. This basis explains to a great extent the variety which we have noticed in republican constitutions. They were not unconscious, instinctive institutions. There could not have been a lack of philosophic thinking in the country of Kapila and the Kathas who tackled much more abstruse problems of philosophy than state.

§175. The evidence of the Chatuś-Satikā of Åryadeva, Republican theories a fragmentary manuscript of which was discovered by Mahāmahopādhyāya Hara Prasad Shastri,^a establishes that the elected ruler in a Gaņa was regarded as a servant of the Gaṇa (gaṇa-dāsa). The same principle is declared by Krishṇa in the discussion cited in the Mahā-Bhārata. 'It is the servant's duty (dāsya) which 'I have to perform under the name of rulership (Aiśvarya-Vādena).'^a

§ 176. It seems that the Kathas and the Saubhūtas regarded Individualism the individual as mere part of the state. By himself he did not exist. Hence they claimed an absolute right over the child born to individuals. It is evident that other republics did not subscribe to this view. They, as evidenced by coins, take gana, the Government, as distinct from the community; the individual is not lost in the state. At the same time the unity between the two is so complete that the two are very nearly identical. The extreme case of individualism on the other hand, was the theory of the Arājaka state, the No-ruler-state.⁴ Govern-

Artha Sistra, p. 379.
Bes Appendix A.

¹ J. A. S. B., 1911, 431,

4 See § 101.

ment itself was regarded by the theorists of that class of state as an evil. No one was vested with executive power. Only law was to rule, and the only sanction they prescribed for one found guilty of crime was ostracism. The sovereignty of the individual was not to be delegated to any one man or a body of men. Of course, a state founded on such a basis, to be practical, must have been exceedingly small. Such states, however, did exist in Hindu India as noticed in the Jaina sūtra referred to above. The monarchist may very well exclaim: 'No Government is more miserable than the 'Arājaka Government.' If a powerful citizen obeys the (law) 'it is all well, but if he rebels, he can work total destruction.'

And the monarchists may very well pick up Social contract the Arājaka theory from the republicans to justify their own theory of monarchy. But they could not ignore the Arejaka theory of Social Contract as the primary basis of state. On the basis of a mutual contract amongst the citizens, according to the Arājaka democrats, the state was founded.* This, of course, was true of the Arājaka state. When the monarchists postulate a contract between the king and the people (to take office on condition to rule honestly and to receive taxes in return)⁴ they clearly say that this contract was resorted to when the contract of the Arājaka constitution failed in practical working. Here we find the monarchists really adopting the social contract theory originally postulated by the Arājakas. Probably a theory of social contract was common to all classes of republics. Its counter-part, applied to monarchy, was already known to Kautilya as an accepted

- 1 नहि राज पापतरमचि किविटराजकान्। Santi-Paroan, LXVI, 7. (Kumb.)
- * न चैन्समनुराइत समये कृषस्वभादेत्। च बवान् दि इ हपितः कुर्यात्रिः इप्रतिन्दि । Ibid. vomo 8.
- अमेल तालनपत्न: वनपानिति न: पुनम् । Ibid. vorso 18. विषावार्ष वर्षेव वर्षेव वर्षानासविधियतः ।
 - तालवा ममयद्वा समयेनाव्यस्थिरे । poid., verso 19.
- * See chapters XXIV, XXV, XXXVI, and XXXVII, on Monarchy, below.

truth.⁴ Its origin in India is very ancient, evidently the most ancient in the world.⁸ It is to be remembered that in its full exposition it was a republican theory. Such a theory was of immense value in its beneficial effect on the rulers in general.

§177. The best test of the success of a state system is the

Longevity length of life which it can secure for the state. The republican-system of India, as a class, proved very successful in securing longevity. As pointed out above the system is mainly post-Vedic. Taking then the age of the Aitareya Brähmana as our earliest landmark, the Satvat Bhojas had a life of about 1000 years. If the Uttara-Madras and Pānini's Madras were identical, they lived for about 1300 years, otherwise for 800 years. The Kshudrakas and Mālavas had long existed free before 326 B.C., as they told Alexander. The Mālavas continued on in Rajputana up to c. 300 A.C. They thus enjoyed free life for about 1,000 years. And so did the Yaudheyas. The Lichchhavi record covers a full millenium. The principles regulating the life of Hindu republics thus stood well the test of time.

¢

§ 178. But after all the Hindu republics, normally speaking Weak points were not large units. Although they were larger in many cases than the ancient republics of Europe, they were, except for the Mālavas and the Yaudheyas and a few others, miniatures in comparasion with the vast experiments of our own times, *e.g.*, the United States of America, France, and China.

This *smallness* was the very weakness of the polity. Nations and states which remain small, whatever their virtues, are not allowed to exist. Large states which developed greedy fangs, devoured up small sovereignties. The Mālavas and the Yaudheyas who survived mighty empires and great conquerors, were large territorial units. They must have extended their laws and rights like the Lichchhavis and Madras to the arena of their expansion.¹ They, on account of their largeness, avoided. the fate of their early contemporaries of smaller stature.

§179. The Mahā-Bhārata says that Arājaka states could be easily conquered; that when an encounter took place between them and a strong foe, they broke like wood which does not know to bend.³ This was true of all democratic republics. Once conquered they ceased to exist as a community. Their life was so much bound up with their state that life proved only co-extensive with the state and no further.

In the time of Chandragupta, they were discredited by their not very successful opposition of Alexander. It is significant that young Porus, King or President of Gāndhāra, when faced

1

```
क्षत्रवंद स्वतंत राज्याची ववकत्तरः ।

क्रम्नद्रवन्धांच राड्रावि इतरोरांवि वा पुनः )

प्रम्नुदुवन्धांशपूष्टः स्व देनस्व सुमलितम् ।

---Maha-Bharata, Santi Parman, LXVI,6-7. (Kumli.)

Cf. बन्त्वाचे वनने दाद न तत्सन्नाक्षन्धांव । Ibid.,10.

तत्वाद्रांत्रेव कर्त्तन्यः स्वतं सुग्तनिष्ट्रना । Ibid., 12-

23 -

175
```

¹ The form Malavaka in the Mahābhāshya II, 296, points to that. Soe disonasion on ufm supra § 118. AŠ. gives Lickchhivika and Madraka; and Samudragupta, Mādraka.

with the foreign invaders, looked towards the imperial power of Magadha, and that Kautilya, a man of Taxila, should advocate their abolition in his Science of Commonwealth.

It appears that republics were liable to fall victims to intrigues. In aristocratic bodies personal rivalry and greed of power were marked out by statesmen like Kautilya, as the fit soil for sowing seeds of dissension. Varshakāra, a former Chancellor of Magadha, replied to the Buddha, who had said that the Vrijis could not be broken, 'they can only be broken by mutual dissension.' Sources of dissension were not limited to enemy intrigues. As in popular forms of government in all ages, bitter hostilities arose out of discussions in the public assembly. Krishna in the Mahä-Bhärata talking about his difficulties as leader of the republic says that his heart was roasted by terrible speeches. The Mahā-Bhārata (in Sānti-Parvan, on gapas in general) alludes to this sort of unpleasantness and the consequent cessation of discussion on public affairs which lead to final disruption of the whole body." The Buddhist tradition in describing the cause of the temporary discomfiture of the Lichchhavi body-politic against Ajātasatru, says that the Lichchhavis, owing to disagreement, had ceased to come to the Public Assembly when the tocsin sounded the call.^s Then in some cases, the politicians were divided in opposing parties. This feature of the difficulty is put very graphically in the complaint of Krishna. 'As 'regard Ahuka and Akrūra, if they are in one's favour, there is 'no greater misery for that man ; if they are not in one's favour, 'there is no greater misery for him either, I can not elect the 'party of the either. Between the two I am like a mother of 'two gamblers staking against each other who cannot wish 'for the victory of one and the defeat of the other.'s

Internal dissension is said in the Mahā-Bhārata to be the

¹ See Appendix A., and chapter XIV above.

^{*} J. A. S. B., 1838, 994-5;

^{*} Арр. А.

real danger to a republic. Fear from outside enemies as compare to that was 'nothing.'

§181. Dissensions led to breaking-up of ganas. By thi as pointed out above, we should understand that sometime schisms occurred and new states were formed. Thus small unit to start with, and a tendency to become smaller, jealous and rivalry amongst politicians, and license in public speakin seem to be the chief points of weakness of the Hindu Republican Polity.

13

BRANCH LIBRARY BOMBAY

CHAPTER XXI

Ethnology of the Republics

§ 182. Mr. Vincent Smith in a letter¹ raises the question of Question raised by Mr. Smith the ethnology of the ganas. As the matter is put in issue by an authority of the position of Mr. Smith, I think it incumbent upon me as the student of the ganas to discuss it.

Mr. Smith is of opinion that they were of Mongolian origin like the Tibetans. "I believe" [he writes] 'that as late as the 'early centuries A.C. the population of India was largely of Tibetan 'affinities, snub-nosed people—see the Bharhut and Sānchī sculp-"tures. The Lichchhavis certainly were such, and the customs 'of hill-men give the best clue to the working of the ganas. I "think both Buddha and Mahāvīra were essentially hill-men of 'semi-Mongolian type, even if they did adopt Magian features "into their teaching. You may, of course, quote me as you propose "to do."

§ 183. There are a few facts which are supposed to lend some strength to this view, and I should deal with them first. Some of the minor republics occupied valleys of the Himalayas. And in the

Chumbi valley "an old form of elective government," as brought to light by Mr. E. H. Walsh, prevails. Mr. Smith has referred to Mr. Walsh's descriptions in the Indian Antiquary (1906, p. 290)^a Once every three years, according to Mr. Walsh's description, the villagers assemble at a fixed meeting-place

³ Dated the 25th November, 1917.

⁸ But the paper of Mr. Walsh was not before him, and the account, in the Indian Antiquary may not be strictly accurate being based on a news-paper report.

and present to the two Kongdus, who act jointly representing the two divisions of the valley as heads of the local administration, a list of their elected headmen of the villages. From the list the Kongdus select the names of four persons whom they consider to be the most suitable to be the next Kongdus. Then they throw with three dice, in the name of each of the four persons they have selected, and the two who obtain the highest throw are chosen as the Kongdus for the coming term of three years. This ceremony takes place before an old stone altar sacred to the deity of the locality before which is placed the the insignia of the Kongdu's office. The newly banner elected Kongdus do not enter on office at once. This is done in the eleventh month when another ceremony takes place and an yak is sacrificed at the stone altar. The new Ko ngdus place their hands on the bleeding skin and take an oath on the sacrificed yak that they will administer justice even between their own son and the enemy. The Kongdus say t hat they do not hold their power from the Tibetan government but the local deity; that they originally got the banner from him and have always held their power from him. "The administration" says Mr. Walsh, "is thus theocratic as well as elective."

§ 184. Mr. Vincent Smith, in his note in the Indian Anti-Criticism quary, opines, "I have now come across an "observation which suggests that tribal cons-"titution like that of the Yaudheyas may have been of Tibetan "origin." Again, "for the purpose of illustrating ancient Indian "tribal constitutions this slight abstract of Mr. Walsh's paper "suffices, because no record exists which gives any details of such "constitutions." Nothing like proof on the identification of the Yaudheyas as Tibetans is suggested, and it is hard to see why the Tibetan illustration should serve to fill up the vacuum of Indian details. Because no detail of Indian constitutions was known in 1906, was the gap to be filled up from Tibet ? Now as the details are forthcoming, it will be admitted that there is not the slightest resemblance between the ceremony of the Chumbi valley which takes place in the eleventh month of the election of the Kongdus and the republican consecrations given in the Aitareya. Even the states which were near the Himalayas and which received the V airājy a conservation are poles apart from the Chumbi yak-sacrifice. The parliament or gana of the Yaudheyas, their Mantra-dharas, their elected President, have nothing corresponding to them in the theocratic government of the Chumbi valley.

§ 185. As to the sculptures, it has never been claimed on behalf of the gamas that the Sānchī and Bharhut monuments are the products of republican art. The question therefore, strictly speaking is irrelevant. I may, however, express a fear that probably Mr. Smith's error Mr. Smith's error

noses have been the pointed target of decay and iconoclasm. Further, many of the figures are meant to represent foreigners and barbarians and evil spirits, and their features have been studiedly made un-Hindu. A good example of this is the 'representation of Yakshas and Yakshinis which occur again and again. The Yaksha and Yakshini have been the theme of Indian mythology and folk-lore, poetry and romance, throughout literature. They are always connected with the Himalayas, and treated not only as foreign but also mischievous. Now, if the Himalayan people are represented with snub-nose, credit is due to the artist, but no credit can be given to ethnology which makes the sculptor identical with the sculptured, the virtue with the dreaded evil. The point becomes clearer if we take the life-size female statue discovered at Patna. The Bharhut Yakshini is ugly, heavy and repulsive, while the statue lately discovered is as perfectly Aryan as it could be, with the poetic tribhanga, a beautiful nose, small chin and the Aryan head.¹ This is the type which the Jātaka describes¹ as the statue of motherly woman which decorated

¹ JBORS., 1910, pp. 103 ; J. VI, 432.

royal courts and deceived people in darkness as a living figure. One is the representation of the home type and the other foreign. In Indian art there is a tendency to devote pointed attention to the foreign and queer, as the Hindus do to-day in their national pageants. The man which the Hindu saw every day, namely, one like himself, did not interest the artist and his public so much as the outlandish, the uncommon, the dwarf, the lionrider, the snake-man and the snake-woman the Yaksha, the Abyssinian, the mischievous alien slave. Incidently when the Sāñchī or Bharhut artist has come to deal with his own kings and queens, women and boys, ascetics and trees, the virtuous elephant, and the surgeon monkey, he has anticipated this ethnological controversy. I venture to challenge any one to show the snubnose or the high cheek bone or any outlandish element in those representations.¹

§186. With regard to the general suggestion as to the Mon-

Alleged Mongolian basis of India's population "as late as the early centuries A.C.," no amount of "it is possible"² or opining can satisfy me or one who reads in the Mahābhāshya that

My friend Mr. Panday has drawn my attention to Prof. G t ü n we del's conclusion on the subject which runs as follows :---

"The different peoples that lived side by side in India were distinguished from one another above all physically : contact with peoples of higher Asia in the time of Ašoka revealed new types, and thus we undoubtedly see an attempt, for instance, to represent foreign nations in the equestrian groups that adorn the Sādohī gateways.

"The great majority of the other reliefs at Sadchl present the Hindu type-a long head with full round face, large eyes and thick lips. At Bharhut the same type appears but it is somewhat harsher." Buddhist Ari is India., Trans. by Burgess, pp. 33-34.

* E. g., Ind. Ant., 1906, p. 290.

the Brahmin still had fair eyes and fair hair (int: fuger. wfunctu: on P., V. 1115) and who reads in the Gopatha Brāhmaņa that the Vaiśya was still Sukla or white and who reads in the Dharma-Sāstras that the Sūdra woman was still the 'black, beauty' of the land. The republics, as we have seen, had Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaiśyas and Sūdras. The Greeks saw them and admired their physique from their point of view. They could not have praised snub-noses. An ounce of evidence is weighter than tons of theories, be it ethnology or Indology.

§ 187. The Lichchhavis whose form of republican government was noticed by orientalists in the first in-

Nationality of Lichshhavis ment was noticed by orientalists in the first instance, attracted much attention and curiosity,

and gave rise to various speculations. Mr. Vincent Smith wrote a short paper on "Tibetan affinities of the Lichchhavis."¹ This paper is referred to in successive editions of Mr. V. Smith's History, and has been often taken by others as having established its thesis.

Mr. Smith bases his theory, firstly, on an alleged custom of exposure by the Lichchhavis of dead human bodies, a custom which he says also prevails in Tibet; and, secondly, on judicial procedure of the Lichchhavis which he thinks has a very close resemblance with the procedure followed in Tibet. An examination of the two data shows that the exposure of the dead as "the usage of the Lichchhavis of Vaiśālī" "in ancient times"^a has existence only in mistaken imagination, and further that there is not even a remote resemblance in the two judicial procedures.

Mr. Smith relies on a Chinese legend saying that the Buddha at Vaisali observed a cemetery under a clump of trees and had the cemetery described to him by Rishis: "In that place, the "corpses of men are exposed to be devoured by the birds; and "there also they collect and pile up the white bones of dead persons, "as you perceive; they burn corpses there also and preserve the

¹ Ind. Ant., 1903, pp. 233-35,

Barly History of India, 3rd ed. p. 155.

"bones in heaps. They hang dead bodies also from the trees; "there are others buried there, such as have been slain and put 'to death by their relatives, dreading lest they should come to "life again ; whilst others are left there upon the ground that they "may return, if possible, to their former homes."1 Now this, is the passage (I have quoted it in full) upon which the whole theory of the exposure of the dead is based and on the basis of which Mr. Smith has considered the Lichchhavis to have been of Tibetan origin. The passage is from a legend in China of about 1,000 years later than the time of the Buddha, and as such it is worthless as a piece of historical evidence for contemporary details. But the passage, however, as it stands, is innocent. To one acquainted with the standard dramas of Sanskrit literature and the social and religious customs of the orthodox Hindus as they have prevailed, the passage connotes differently, in its natural way. A typical Smafana, 'cremation ground,' is what is described. In some cases, as it is enjoined in the Dharma Sastra a dead body cannot be burnt but has to be either buried or exposed, or in the language of Manu, "to be thrown in the forest like a log of wood"s (and for that I hope no one including orientalists would dare to call the author of the Manava Code a Tibetan or Parsi). Dramas and fictions in Sanskrit are full of allusions to men being executed at the Smafana and people hanging themselves by a tree in the Smasan a grounds. The practice of laying the dead body in hope of recovery is observed even to-day.

§ 188. As to the alleged 'close resemblance' which according to Mr. Smith 'is impossible not to perceive' in the matter of the two criminal procedures, I quote in the footnote¹ the whole

¹ Bosi, Romontie Legend of Sakya Buddha, p. 159.

^{*} Mann. Ch. Y, verse 69.

¹ Turnour, the authority of Mr. Smith on the Lichshhavi procedure, says thus :

[&]quot;On this point, the At-flaksiks contains this note.

[&]quot;In aforetime, the Wajjian rulers on a person being brought and presented to them, thus obserged "this is a malefactor" without at once deciding "he is a malefactor," dispose

passage about the two procedures in Mr. Smith's own words. A mere comparison by both lawyers and laymen would show that it is impossible 'to perceive' the alleged 'resemblance.' I may here refer the reader to the interpretation of the Lichchhavi procedure given above and its comparison with the procedure

of him accordingly. They surrender him to the Winichchhiyamahāmattā (chief judicial officers). Having examined him, if they conceive "this man is not a culprit," they release him. If they decide "this is a malefactor" without awarding any penalty, they transfer him to the Wohārikā (learned in the customs or laws). They also having inve tigated the matter, discharge him, if he be innocent; but if he be guilty, there are certain (flicors called Suttadharā (maintainers of the suttan) to whom they transfer him. They also inquire into the matter and discharge him, if he be innocent; but if guilty, they transfer him to the Senāpats (chief minister), he again to the Uparājā (sub-hing; the Uparājā to the rājā. The rājā enquiring into the matter, if he be innocent, releases him, but if he be guilty, he causes the Pawenipatthakān (book of precedents or usage) to be propounded. There it is written, to him by whom such a crime is committed, such a punishment is awarded. The rājā having measured the culprit's offence by that standard, pronounces a suitable sentence. [JASB., 1838. I, 993-4].

On this Mr. V. Smith observes :

"The stages in this complicated procedure are eight in number, namely ;----

1 Arrest and production of prisoner before the 'rulers;"

2	Enquiry	by t	he W	'inichchhiya	mahāmattā.
---	---------	------	------	--------------	------------

3	Do.	do.	Wohārikā
-			

4 1	Do.	do.	Sulladharð ;
-----	-----	-----	--------------

5 Do. do. Atthakulakā;

6 Production before the Senāpati;

- 7 Do. do. Uparājā.
- 8 Final judgment by the *Raja*, who is bound to follow fixed written rules in awarding the penalty.

"The stages in the Tibetan procedure, as described by Babu Sarat Chandra Das, [Prov. ASB. 1894. p. 5] are exactly similar :---

1. The accused person is arrested, and sent to the lock-up;

- 2. He is watched, treated kindly, and mildly interrogated ;
- 3. He is subjected to a mild but minute interrogation called Jamis and his answers are noted down;
- 4. He is examined more strictly and whipped at intervals; this is called Tshan-dit
- 5. If he makes any kind of confession, true or false, he is subjected to further p olonged examination, repeated whippings, and cruel tortures of various kinds;
- 6. If the case is serious and the Government becomes a party, he is taken to the Kalons or Ministers' Court ;
- 7. This Court suggests to the Gyal-Ishab (Regent), which is the highest Court of

of the gana as mentioned in the Mahā-Bhārata.¹ The Lichchhavi procedure was based on rules which were normally common to ganas.

There are circumstances which when taken into consideration, leave no doubt as to the Hindu nation-Lichchhavi Criminal ality of the Lichchhavis. Both the Videhas procedure and the Lichchhavis had a common national designation 'the Vrijis.' In other words, they were two branches of the same nation. No one responsible will venture to suggest that the Videhas were also Tibetans. The very colonization of Northern Bihar by the Vedio Videhas is recorded.* If the Videhas were pure Hindus, a centre of Upanishad philosophy and orthodox theology, the Lichchhavis who were a ramification of the same nation could not have been barbarians. The Lichchhavis were at Vaisali. And the Purānas as we have seen connect the Vaišālas like the Videhas with a well known Aryan dynasty. They were not 'unanointed' rulers, a term applied by Hindu writers to barbarians settled in India. The Anguttara Nikāya contemplates the Lichchhavi rulers to be "anointed" rulers, i.e., abhishikta; like any other Kshattriya rulers. The Jātaka mentions the celebrated lake which was jealously guarded and in which the gans (republican) rulers obtained their Abhisheka." The Buddhist literature unanimously treats them as good Kshatriyas.

§ 189. Their name according to the rules of grammar is a derivative of the word Lichchhu, *i.e.*, the followers or descendants of Lichchhu which in Sanskrit would be Likshu. Liksha means a mark and Likshu is connected with it. The name might have originated on account of some feature-

¹ Šatapatha, 1. 4. 1. 10, ff.

* Ants p. 51 n.

the Country, that one of the three punishments mentioned is the decision may be approved ;

^{3.} The centence may be mitigated, commuted or revised by the Dalai Lama only. The Regent has no power to do more than polece one of the three punishments suggested by the Court of Ministers." V. Smith, Ind. Ant. 1905, p. 235.

¹ See above pp. 123-4, 130.

marks. The name Lakshmana is a similar instance. Lachchhu in Bihar and the Doab is a very common name to-day denoting the same thing. A man born with some prominent black or blue mark on his person easily acquires the name.

§ 190. The Mallas, neighbours of the Lichchhavis, are Mallas styled Vāsishthas in the Mahāparinibbāna Sutta,¹ and Vasishtha is a wellknown Aryan gotra name. The Mahāparinibbāna Sutta is not the work of crafty Brahmins of the type reputed to concoct Aryan geneologies for barbarian rulers.

§191. There is some discussion about the origin of the Nationality of Säkyas also. Now the testimony of the Sākyas Pāli authorities is unanimous that the Sākyas were a branch of the Aikshvākas. This is admitted by the adverse side, the Purāņas, which place the Buddha, his father and his son, in the I k s h v \bar{a} k u house. Contemporaries of the Buddha amongst whom was Ajāta-Satru, king of Magadha, claim him as a Kshatriya.^s As we have seen in the history of the formation of new republics and the Puranic.detail about the Yaudheyas and Madras, the state-community was called after the leader. We find the same origin of the Sākya Community given by the Buddha himself.⁴ That history of origin agrees with the ascertained history of similar republican foundations. That history therefore should be accepted--that a cadet of an Aikshvāka king founded the Sākyan republic christened after his name.

§ 192. The tradition of an ancient and obsolete custom of sister-marriage amongst the Säkyas had misled some scholars into theories of race-origins. Such ancient and once living custom or law is accepted by the Vedic literature to have existed in the orthodox race. Buddhist tradition also does not limit it to the Säkyas. It extends it to the Ikshväku royal dynasty

¹ Mahāparinibbāna Sutta, V. 19.

^{*} Mahaparinibbàna Sutta, V. 24.

Ambaliha Sutta, 16,

and the Ikshväkus were not new comers; they were never desacramented. They are as ancient as the Vedas. If the Ikshvākus were Aryan, their offshoot the Sākyas cannot be otherwise.

§193. The evidence of the Greeks who actually saw many Indian republicans is as decisive as Evidence of the Greeks. any other fact. They describe the handsome looks and tall stature of the Punjab and Sind republicans. Snub-nose of the Himalayan Mongoloid could have never been described as a handsome feature by the Greeks, who, I think, must be taken as good judges in these matters. Nor could the stature of the Himalayan be mistaken by the Greeks to be majestic. The very description proves perfect and pure Aryan type of the Hindu. All these republicans are expressly mentioned by them as Indian.

§194. The names of the republicans are another

and orthodox literature

internal evidence of their Hindu origin. Evidence of names The Kathaians or the Kathas are a Vedic people to whom the Katha recension of the Yajur-Veda and the Katha Upanishad

owed their origin. The Madras are not simply known to Vedic literature but are known as a home of orthodoxy where men like Svetaketu went for post-graduate studies in sacrifices. There is a definite history of the origin of the Yaudheyas and Madras as noticed above. The 'Kshatriyas' were par excellence Kshatriyas. The Vrishnis are not only Kshatriyas but sacred Kshatriyas, the Vedic Satvats Yadus. As to the names like the Årjunäyanas, and the Sālankāyanas, etc., the names are proof positive of orthodox origins. The rules of Pāņini stamp the formation with orthodoxy.

§195. Orthodoxy has, so to say, anticipated the controversy and has already set its seal on the orthodox origin of these republics. The Aitareya Brahmana describes the Vedic rituals with which the republican Madras,

the Satvats, the Kurus, and others were consecrated to political rule, and with which the constitutions B h a u j y a and the Svārājya in the west and south-west and Vairājya by the side of the Himalayas received sanction.

§ 196. Whatever the race-origins of a particular republican community, the system was Indian and orthodox Indian. It goes back to the time of the Aitareya Brāhmaņa and earlier. That democratic and republican states were experiments of the Hindus themselves, who at times had been regal and in turn republican, is further proved by what Megathenes saw and learnt in the country twenty-two centuries before (§ 18).

APPENDIX A

The Maha-Bharata on the Andhaka-Vrishni-Samgha

§ 197. The Sānti Parvan in its Ch. 81 records a discussion on the affairs of the Andhaka-Vrishni league. It is called an 'ancient history' although it is put in the mouth of Bhīshma, a contemporary. Krishna puts his difficulties as the Vrishni-leader before his friend Nārada, and the latter gives his solution. The discussion is important as showing:

- (a) that there were two political parties in the joint
 Samgha or Federal Parliament, each of which
 tried to gain the upper hand in political matters;
- (b) that there were sharp discussions in their parliament or council, and Krishna was attacked, and he attacked others in return, for Nārada rebukes him for not having a tactful tongue;
- (c) that at the time to which the history relates B a b h r u
 U g r a s e n a¹ and K r i s h n a were the elected
 Presidents;
- (d) that the republican leaders were mostly related to each other, and that Krishna was not equal to the influence or intrigue of his relatives. A huka on the side of the Vrishnis and Akrūra on the side of the Andhakas seem to have led the Federal Diet. [These two, according to the Sabhā-Parvan^{*}, had

191

^{*} अग्रेंगी गामान्यज्ञ: । Makabhashya, on P. IV. I. 114, Kielhorn, H. p. 114. * Oh. XIV, 33-34. 25

contracted a political marriage between their families.]

fPT 4

I give below the text and translation of the discussion.

মাৰ ভৰাৰ ॥

चत्राप्युदाइरन्तीमसितिहासं पुरातनम् ! संवाद वास्ट्रियस महर्षेर्नारदस र ॥ १ ॥

वानुदेव चवार ।

नासुद्रत् परमं सन्तं नारदाईति वेदितम् । पयस्कितो वाऽपि सुहत्यखितो वाप्यनालवान् ॥ १ ॥ च वे सौष्टदमास्राय किंचित्रकामि नारद। जम्ब नुदि च ते प्रेक संप्रको तिदिवड्रम ॥ ४ ॥ दासमेमार्यवादेन जातीनां वे करोग्यहम्। भर्षभोताः स्थि भोगानां वाग्द्बतानि च चमे ॥ ॥ ॥ पर्चीमन्त्रिकामी वा महनाति इदर्य सस । बाचा दुइन्नं देववें तमां दृइति नित्बदां। () बर्च सङ्घर्षेचे नित्वं सीकुमायें पुनर्गदे । क्पेष मत्तः प्रयुक्तः सोस्विषयोऽसिम् मारद् ॥ ७॥ पन्चे हिं समहासागा बजवन्ती:दुरासदा: । नित्वोत्वानेन संपन्ना नारदात्वतत्वचायः ॥ ६ ॥ यया न खुने वे स खादाख खा जल्मिव तत् ! इयोर्रनं प्रचरतीवुंचीच्येकतर न च ॥ ८ ॥ स्रातां यसाइकाजूरी किं नु दुःस्रतरं ततः । यस चापि न हो स्नातां वि नु दुःखतर्र ततः ॥ १० ॥ सोऽइं वितवसातिव इंयोरपि महासुने। नैवस जयसामंदे दिवीयस पराजयम् ॥ ११ ॥ ममैवं क्रियमानस नारदोमयदर्यनात । वज्रमईसि यच्हेरो चातीनामामनस्तवा ॥ १२ ॥

नारद छवाच ।

पावटी दिविधाः कृष्य वाद्याश्वाभ्यम्तराव ए । प्रादुर्भवन्ति वाण्ये य खन्नता यदि वाउन्यतः ॥ १३ ॥ वेयमाभ्यम्तरा तुभ्यमापत् छच्छा समर्मजा । प्रकृरभोजव्रभवा सर्वे द्येते तदम्वयाः ॥ १४ ॥ पर्यद्वेतो हिं कामादा वोरवीभव्यया उपि वा । पालना प्राप्तमेखयेमन्यत प्रतिपादितम् ॥ १४ ॥ कातमूक्तमिदानीं तत् ज्ञाति मन्द सडायवत् । न मक्यं पुनरादातुं वान्तमच मिव स्वयम् ॥ १६ ॥ वस्रूपवेनतो राज्यं नाप्तुं मक्यं कयंचन । ज्ञातिभेदभयात्कृणा स्वया पापि विभिवतः । १७ ॥ तच सिध्वेत्पृयत्नेन छत्वा कर्म सुटुष्करम् । सहाचयं व्ययो वा स्यादिनाशो वा पुनर्भवेत् ॥ १८ ॥ प्रनाययेन मस्त्रेष स्टुना इदयच्छिदा । जिद्रामुहर सर्वेषा परिसच्यानुम्हन्य प ॥ २० ॥

वासदेव चवाच । धनायसं सुने ग्रस्तं स्टु विद्यासई कथम् । बेनेवासुधरे जिन्नां परिसच्चानुसच्च च ॥ २० ॥

- नारद छवाच ! मक्याबदानं सततं तितिचाऽर्जवमार्दवम् । यधाईप्रतिपूजा च मक्समितदनायसम् ॥ २१ ॥ चातौनां वह्नुकामानां कटुकानि संघूनि च । गिरा त्वं इदयं वाचे ममयस्व मनांसि च ॥ २२ ॥ नामडापुद्द: कविचानात्मा नासडायवान् । महतौं धुरमादाय समुयाम्योरसा वहेत् ॥ २१ ॥ घर्व एव गुर्द भारमनड्रान्यइते समे । दुर्गे प्रतीत: भुगवो भारं वहति दुर्वंडम् ॥ २४ ॥

^{*} Another reading-11414141

HINDU POLITY

भेदाहिनाग्नः सङ्घानां सङ्घ सुख्योसि केग्रव । यया त्वां प्राप्य नोस्पोदुदर्श सङ्घरावा झुब ॥ २५ ॥ नान्धव हुहिचानिस्थां नान्धवेन्द्रियनिषडात् । नान्धव धनसम्यागदगुवः प्राप्ने ध्वतिष्ठते ॥ २६ ॥ धन्धं यग्रसमागुर्थं स्वयचोडावर्भ सदा । धार्व यग्रसमागुर्थं स्वयचोडावर्भ सदा । धार्वा व तदान्वे च व तेऽख्यविदितं प्रभी । याड्गुख्यस्व विधानेन याता यानविधी तथा ॥ २६ ॥ यादवाः कुकुरा भोजाः सर्वे चान्ध्वम्वद्याः । त्यायत्ता मडावाडो सोवा सोविध्वराष्ट दे ॥ २८ ॥

Bhishmasaid :--In this connexion (on relatives in politics) this old history is quoted—this dialogue between Visudevs and the great sage Nirada (2).

Vāsudeva said:

Nārada, matters of state-counsel of vital importance can neither be made known to one who is not a friend, nor to a friend who is not an expert, nor even to an expert if he is not of master mind (3).

As I find the right friendship in thee on which I can depend, I want to speak something to thee, Närada. In view of thy all-embracing intelligence, I want to put a question to thee, the happy one ! (4).

Rulership (Aiśvarya) it is called, but really it is slavery to relatives that I am performing. Although I am entitled to the half of executive powers, I have only got to suffer bitter speeches (5).

My heart between cruel words, O Divine Seer, is like the Arani (wood) which a man, desirous of producing fire, is twirling. It is consuming me every day (6).

(Although) Samkarshana is always noted for strength and Gada for princely qualities, and Pradyumna is even handsomer than me, still I am without a following, O Nārada.—(7) Other Andhakas and Vrishnis indeed are endowed with at prosperity and might and are redoubtable. They are, .rada, always full of [political] energy (utthāna)-(8).

For him, in whose favour they are, there is every thing. If they are not so, then one cannot exist.

As regards Å h u k a and Å k r ū r a, if they are in one's favour, there is no greater misery for that man. And if they are not in one's favour, there is no greater misery for him either. I cannot elect the party of the either.—(9-10).

I am between these two, Great Sage, like the mother of two gamblers (gambling against each other) who can neither desire the victory of the one nor the defeat of the other. -(11).

Now, Nārada, take into consideration my position and also the position of my relatives and tell me please what may be beneficial to both. I am distressed.—(12).

Nārada replied :

[In a republic] dangers are of two kinds, Krishna, the external ones and the internal ones, or, those which are created internally and those which arise from elsewhere.--(13).

It is the internal difficulty which is present in this case. It is the result of (the members') own acts. The following of $A k r \bar{u} r a$ the B h o j a, with all these families from (hope of) material gain, from caprice or from envy of bravery,¹ have transferred the political power [lit 'rulership'] obtained by them to some one else.—(14-15).

The a u th o r i ty which has taken root already and which is fortified with the title of relatives^a they can not take back like vomited food. They can in no way take back government from Babhru Ugrasena for fear of disunion with a relative. And you especially cannot (help them), O Krishna.—(16-17).

² Or, 'terrible speech' : See p. 193, foot-note.

^{*} Adopted from Pratep Chandra Boy's Translation.

And if that is even done (Ugrasena is driven out of a u thority i.e. presidentship), by doing a bad (illegal) act, there would be fear of serious loss, waste, and there may be even a

fear of destruction.-(18).

Use then a weapon which is not made of steel, which is very mild and yet capable of piercing all hearts, sharpening that weapon again and again, rectify the tongues of relatives.—(19)

Vāsudeva said: .

What am I to understand, O Sage, by that weapon which is not made of steel and is mild, and sharpening which I have to employ in rectifying their tongue.—(20).

Närada said:

The weapon which is not made of steel is this: Recognition of merit in others and honouring them, mildness, straight-forwardness, toleration, and constant entertainment according to your capacity.—(21).

Of relatives who are anxious to speak, words which are bitter and light you should not really mind and you should by your reply appease their mind, sentiments and tongue.—(22).

None but a great man, one of master mind and commanding a following can successfully exert himself in carrying on high political responsibility.---(23).

Every ox can bear upon him a heavy burden and carry it on plain ground. It is only a noble and experienced animal that can carry a difficult burden through a difficult path.--(24).

Destruction of samghas (republics) lies in disunion. You are the leader of them, O Kesava. As the republic has got you (as president at present), act in the manner that the samgha should not decline.—(25).

Nothing but tact and tolerance, nothing but control of one's personal tendencies, nothing but liberality, are the qualities which reside in the wise man for the successfulles dership of a republic.—(26).

Krishna, elevation of one's Party leads always to long

196

MAHA-BHARATA ON THE ANDHAKA-VRISHNI SAMGHA

life, glory and wealth. Act in a way that destruction may not befall the relatives.-(27).

There is nothing, O Lord, in the matter of Future Policy and Present Policy as well as in the Policy of Hostility and in the Art of Invasion, in employing Foreign Policy, which is not known to you.—(28)

[Prosperity of] all the Andhaka-Vrishnis, the Yādavas, Kukuras, and Bhojas—with their people and rulers of people,¹ depend upon you, O you of long arms.—(29).

¹ I & v & s & in the meaning of 'ruler' is a technical term, e. g., Makibhäshya, on P., VI. 1. 2., Kielhern, DI, p. 7, 'ईपुर पाद्याप्रवर्षि । पासाद्वासायानुष्या पानीयनां झागाइ' पासियों। माइप पानीयनामिति' । CL ibid., II. 365, See also Gautama-Dà. S., IX. 63; J., I. 510, 'issariya', 'sovereignty.'

APPENDIX B

List of Indian Republics discussed in Part I.

- 1 Agra-sreņi (Agsinae)
- 2 Ambhashtha (Ambastanoi, Sambastai)
- 3 Andhaka
- ·4 Andhra
- 5 Arațța, Arishța (Adrestai)
- 6 Audumbara
- 7 Avanti (Dvairājya)
- 8 Åbhīra
- 9 Ārjunāyana
- 10 Bhagala
- 11 Bharga
- 12 Bhoja
- 13 Brāhma guptā
- 14 Brāhmāņaka (janapada) (Brāchmanoi)
- 15 Buli
- 16 Chikkali Nikāya
- 17 Dakshin-Mallas
- 18 Dămani
- 19 Dāndaki
- 20 Gandhāra
- 21 Glauchukāyanaka (Glaukanikoi, Glausai)
- 22 Gopālava
- 23 Jälmani
- 24 Jänaki
- 25 Kāka
- 26 Kamboja
- 27 Karpata (Kharaparika)

26

- 28 Katha (Kathaians)
- 29 Keralaputa
- 30 Kaundibrisa
- 31 Kaundaparatha
- 32 Kaushtaki
- 33 Koliya
- 34 Ksbattriya (Kathroi)
- 35 Kshudraka (Oxydrakai)
- 36 Kukura
- 37 Kuninda
- 38 Kuru
- 39 Lichchhavi
- 40 Madra
- 41 Mahārāja (Janapada)
- 42 Mālava
- 43 Malla
- 44 Maundi Nikāya
- 45 Moriya
- 46 Muchukarna (Mousikani)
- 46 Näbhaka and Näbha Pańkti
- 47 Nepal dvairājya
- 48 Nysa (Akoubhi)
- 49 Paréva
- 50 Patala
- 51 Pänchäla
- 52 Piținika
- 53 Prārjana
- 54 Prasthala (Presti)
- .55 Palinda
- 56 Pushyamitra
- 57 Rajanya (Janapada)
- 58 Rāshtrika
- 59 Satvat
- 60 Sākya
- 61 Śālańkāyana

- 62 Sanakānīka
- 63 Satiyaputa
- 64 Sayanda
- 65 Sāpiņdi-nikāya
- 66 Saubhūti (°ta) (Sophytes)
- 67 Sibi (Janapada) (Siboi); [Mādhyamikeya]
- 68 Surāshtra
- 69 Sūdra (Sudrāyaņa-Sodrai)
- 70 Trigartas
- 71 Uttara-kuru
- 72 Uttara-madra
- 73 Utsava-Sanketa
- 74 Vasāti (Ossadioi)
- 75 Vāmaratha
- 76 Videha
- 77 Vriji
- 78 Vrika
- 79 Vrishni
- 80 Yaudheya
- 81 Yona

APPENDIX C

Additional Notes on Part 1 (1924).

P 4-ABTHASATRA (KAUTILIYA)-lis authorship and date.

Recently the controversy about the date of this work has revived. Dr. Jolly (Arthasästra of Kautilya, Lahore, 1928, Intro. pp. 1-47) has pronounced the work to be a piece of forgery of about the 3rd century A.C (pp. 43, 47): 'we might abide by the 3rd century as the probable date of the A.,' 'the real author was a theoretician' and Kautilya himself a 'fabulous minister' (p. 47).

(i) Authorship.

To take up the latter thesis first, Jolly's arguments are :

- (a) 'the traditional accounts of K. do not refer to K. in the capacity of a teacher or author of literary compositions,'
- (b) 'nor is his name ever mentioned by Megasthenes,'
- (*) 'nor does the latter writer's description of India bear out the theory that he was a contemporary of K.'
- (4) 'Patañjali in his Mahābhāshya refers to the Mauryas and to the sabhá of Chandragupta, he is silent about K.'
- (r) 'K. is a mere nickname denoting falsehood or hypocrysy, which could hardly have been devised by the renowned minister of Chandragupta himself.'
- (f) 'Nor does the character of the work stamp it the production of a statesman, filled as it is with pedantic classification and puerile distinctions, like all the Sastras composed by Pandits.'
- Jolly's Conclusion—" The real author" therefore "was a theoretician, no statesman but perhaps an official in a state of medium size" (p. 47). "The ascription of the work to K. or Chanakya was entirely due to the myths current regarding that fabulous minister who was looked upon as the master and creator of the art of polity and as the author of all the floating wisdom on the subject of Niti' (p. 47).

Argument (a) is contradicted by the latter portion of the conclusion, admitting that K. is traditionally regarded 'as the author' etc. Such tradition in literature does exist, e.g. Jaina-'Kodilliyam a false fistra' in the Nandi Sūtra cited by Dr Shāma Sastry, AS. (1909), Upodghāta, IX, and Sanskrit-Pañcha-tantra, Kamandaka, Dāņdin ('revered teacher'), Medhatithi, etc.

Argument (b) is disposed off by the simple answer : where is the book written by Megasthenes? Find that first, as we cannot build a hypothesis on a book not yet found.

Argument (c) evidently refers to a theory started on the supposition that K. knows no large empire but only a small kingdom, as he has the mandala or prakriti theory of neighbours and records the existence of republics and a policy towards them. The supposition is contradicted by fact : K. says that the Imperial 'tract (chabravarti-kehetra)' lies between the Himalayas and the Ocean, 92° thousand yojanas in the straight line (as the crow flies). It is hardly possible to imagine a state without neighbours, a policy towards neighbours will have to be postulated by any statesman however large his empire may be Then we know that there were a number of neighbours in the South who were reduced in the next reign-i.e., of Bindustra." When Chadragupta took the North-Western provinces from the Greeks, it does not follow that he took the land without its rulers, republics generally, who were existing under the system of Alexander. The Sangha-oritis lays down a policy towards the republics which it assumes to be under the king's sphere of influence, be they in (1) the Punjab (2) Afghanistan (Kamboja), (3) Western India, or (4) North Bihar. There were therein parties in favour of the suserain and parties against him (AS. He was to sow the seed of dissension, patronize some, instal or depose one of the leaders (p. 376). Now we know that in no other than the early Maurya time Afghanistan, the Punjab, Western India and North Bihar at one and the same time were under the sphere of one Indian king. The fact that K. hardly tolerates sub kings is one which is only compatible with the Maurya times. Under the Sungas the imperial policy changes ; it becomes largely fendal (see the local rajas in the inscriptions).

(d) Patafijali, it is true, does not refer to Kaufilya. But Jolly ought to have shown any sutra of Papini or a Värtika of Katyayana or a comment of Patafijali which could be the occasion of a mention

^{&#}x27; IX. 1, p. 338.

^{*} S'ankarärya's roading, ENS., L 39.

^{*} J. B. O. R. S., IL 81.

of Kauțilya. If Patanjali does not mention Bindusara, Asoka, Radhāgupta or the Buddha, is that a reason to hold that they did not live? Patanjali was not writing history.

- (e) Names are not devised by the bearers: they are given by parents. It is difficult to get rid of names, and no sensible man cares to change an awkard name, e.g., Sunahsepha, Pisuna, Fox. As it has been repeatedly pointed out, Kautilya was a gotra name coming down from generations. The forefather who bore the name Kutila or Kautili' is answerable to Dr. Jolly and not Kautilya Vishnugupta. Chānakya [the name derived from the father according to Hindu literature'] is mentioned in a painted inscription in the Bijayagadh cave (Mirzapur) in Gupta script of the 4th century A.C., a photograph of which has been brought by Rai Saheb M. Ghosh, Curator, Patna Museum. It reads Chānakya-roshah.
- (f) If all the Systras composed by Pandits have puerile distinctions and pedantic divisions, it is a literary fault of Kautilya's country; he could not escape the traditional mannerism in letters. The style of a certain European country is heavy and pedantically detailed in the eyes of other Europeans. That style, be the author a college professor or a statesman lecturing on statecraft, cannot be avoided by the native. To me, the details and theories of the AS, are such that no theoretical writer, i.e., the contemptible 'Pandit' could have written it. This is in fact admitted by Jolly when he says that the writer was probably an official knowing administration. The admission itself contradicts the 'Pandit' 'thoretician' theory. 'The A. deals with internal and external policy and may be designated as an ancient Imperial Gazetteer of India, as a manual of political economy and polity' (Jolly, 1-2). Again, 'the general tendency of the A. is thoroughly realistic and worldly' (Jolly p. 8). The onus on Dr. Jolly is very heavy to prove that the author is some one other than the named and acknowledged (e.g., by Sankarārya, Bana, Dandin, Kamandaka, and others) author of the work. Forgery is to be proved, not merely asserted, and proved by the party alleging it. It is for the reader to see if Dr. Jolly has discharged that onus. In my opinion he has not.

¹ J. B. O. R. S., 1L 97-80, n.

(ii) Date. *

Now let us take the theory of date.

One has to agree when Dr. Jolly, very rightly, says that there is a rare unity of plan and structure pervading the whole work (p. 5). The device beginning with contents and ending with the notes on the system of the work which unifies the whole work, and the cross references in the body of the work leave no doubt that the whole work is by one and the same author. There is also common ground when be shows that the book as we have it (I may add, but for faulty readings and copyist's mistakes) is the same which existed about 400 A. C. (pp. 8, 9, 12.) Thirty quotations in the Tautrakhysyika, and citations in later books prove it. Thus the question in controversy is reduced to this, when was the AS. written between 300 B. C. and 400 A. C. This limit is further narrowed down by Jolly's view, rightly held, that Vätsyäyana had before him the AS. while writing the S. and he takes the time of the KS., to be "the fourth cen. or so," and consequently places the AS. in the third century A. C. (pp. 29, 43).

Arguments for the third century A. C. Date.

Arguments of Jolly for the third century date are :

1. That both Kaujilya and Bhgen have a verse (an acta etc.) in common, and K. takes it as a quotation, hence be must have borrowed it from Bhgen whose date is 3rd cen A. C (p. 10).

2. That K. and Yajfavalkya agree in their laws; that K. therefore converts Y's. laws into sūtras (p. 17), and Y's. date is the same i.e. Srd cen. A. C. (p. 47).

3. That the Mahabhashya nowhere mentions the A.

4. That the Rajadharms in the Mahg-Bhārata and the Dharms Sūtras is in an embryonic condition as compared with the A.'s detailed provisions and advanced condition of life (p. 80).

5. That the A. knows the Puripas.

6. That one of the Kamasastra sections, the Vaisika, is mentioned by K. (p. 82).

7. That the A. knows technical terms of Sanskrit grammar and is acquainted with Ashadbysys (p. 32).

S. That astrology and divination are known to it and two planets are mentioned by name in it.

9. That it knows a book on metallurgy called sulladhatu sastra (on copper) (p. 33).

APPENDIX C

10. That it knows many technical treatises e.g. on mining, architecture, finance, jewels. alchemy, etc. " His work is the outcome of a long period of literary activity in the field of polity rather than the production of a creative genius—another reason for not fixing its age-limit too high" (p. 33).

11. That "the minister (R.) in the Mudrā-Rākshasa is probably a myth, why should not K. be mythical as well" (p. 84). The Greeks do not mention him. The rise of a new dynasty might have 'given a start to the invention of myths' (p. 84).

12. That K. refers to alchemy which was 'a late growth on the tree of Indian Science' (p. 34).

13. That K. mentions Surange which is from the Greek term Syrinx.

14. That the description of India by Megasthenes and the Asoka inscriptions do not show such an advanced stage as the A. (p. 81),

e.g, the A. knows state monopoly of mines, superintendents of mint, metal, mineralogy, chemical skill in producing coins, ornaments, etc., while Megasthenes refers to five metals only and Strabo says that Indians are inexperienced in the art of mining and smelting.

15. That the A. mentions written documents, while Megasthenes says that the Indians did not know writing.

16. That Mcgasthenes does not mention the premia on coins, taxes on gambling, liquors, road-cess etc. which the A. describes.

17. That the description of Megasthenes agreeing with the A. proves nothing as the A. agrees also with the Chinese pilgrims and Alberuni.

18. That Pajaliputra is nowhere mentioned (p. 43). Geographical horizon of the author indicates that the book was written in the South from where it has been discovered.

19. That the A. gives the opinion of K. by name: this comes under the definition of *spadesa* of the A. proving that K. could not bimself have written the work.

20. That the opinions of others cited are imaginary, the names being taken from the Maha-Bharata (pp. 31, 44).

Examination of Jolly's arguments :

1. The verse 'navam taravam' etc. is a memorial verse used in exhorting soldiers in war. Exhortation to soldiers is as old as history; the verse is based on a primitive belief which is reminded thereby—that the

-27

faithless soldiers will go to hell If we consider the following point, it will be evident that it is not possible for K. to have borrowed from Bhusa, but that he must have borrowed either from some other book or as he implies, with the must have borrowed either from some other book or as he implies, with the must have borrowed either from some other book or as he implies, with the must have borrowed either from some other book or as he implies, with the must have borrowed either from some other book or as he implies, with the must have borrowed either from some other book or as he implies, with the first is the second, reading after the first (X. S). Without the first it is incomplete. Bhusa has only the latter one How could it be said then that K. borrowed from Bhusa ?

2. It is perfectly true that there is a close agreement between Y. and K. in laws. I have discussed the point in my Tagore lectures. Here I shall take up only one datam which completely disposes of the question of priority, K. uses a term Yakia meaning an official, which occurs in Asoka's inscription as Isla: The latter term none could understand before the publication of the A. as the term in literature subsequent to A. has gone out of use altogether. Y. could not understand it as used in the A.: 'gu-us wigner' 'an official act of a non-official' (as pointed out by Dr. Shama Sastry in his first edition of the the A Intro., p. X.), and he uses yogya proper will alwaying, II 235) where K. gives Fakta, and avogys 'improper' where K has a-gukts This can only be explained on the hypothesis that Y. versified the laws of K and in places could not understand it. Jolly tries to meet this by saying that the demand for statement on oath being unauthorized was 'improper' and therefore the difference is purely nominal. But how could any one explain, even by straining, alital alitation 'an incapable man doing a work which should be done by a competent man' on the theory of 'nominal difference' ?

Then, K. uses verses at times; if he-was going to borrow from Y. why should be have turned verses into Sütras? The more rational process is to versify sütras, and Y followed that. There is no case made out for supposing a reverse process

As Y. is assigned to the 3rd cen. A. C., and *Fukte* has so long ceased to have the technical sense that a legal author could not understand it, the date of A. should be some centuries before 200-300 A. C.

3 Occasion for the mention of the A, is to be shown in the Mahābbashya before we can deduce anything from the silence Many Vedic works are not mentioned by Patafijali, for that reason no one can any that they did not exist. He was not writing a history of literature

4. The Dharma Sütras deal with Dharma laws, while the A. deals with artha principles and laws. The subject of the DhS, is not political science but Dharma law. The subject of politics being

APPENDIX C

principal in one and incidental in the other, no comparison can be instituted for the purposes of chronology. I would demur to characterize the M. Bh. Raja dharma as being in embryonic state; in the theory part it is more developed than the A. Then, every inferior writer does not change chronology. Some Tagore lectures after those of Dr. Jolly are far inferior; they for that reason could not be placed in a time earlier than his.

5. The oldest Dharma Sütra knows Purāņie literature. Āpastamba mentions the Bhavishya Purāņa (II. 24, 6, p. 98), and "Purāņa" again in 11. 9. 23, 3. The Bhavishya existed according to Pargiter's researches very early. *Purāņa* is mentioned as early as the Chhandogya Upanishad (II. 3).

6. The *Vaisika* book was written by Dattaka at Pataliputra before Vaisika was not written before 300 B C.

7 Knowledge of Panini does not go against the 300 B.C. date of K. (See ante, p. 33, n.). Also note the pre-Paninean use (Cf. Macdonell, HSL., p. 267 in the A. of nāma ākhyāta, upasarga and nipāta (11. 10). Iu other words, Panini's terms had not become established fully as in the time of Patafijali and later.

8. Divination is as old as the Atharva-Veda. The origin of astrology has been already shown to be Mesopotamian.¹ The Greeks and Hindus both borrowed from the same source. No argument of chronology can be based on the mention of two planets. The fact that the planets as they appear in Greek astrology and later Indian literature do not find place in the A. is an argument in favour of an early date for the A. Metallurgy, particularly knowledge of working copper, must have been known in a country where copper coins, silver coins, alloys in coins, 'white metal' (or nickel ? brought to Alexander in the Punjab), bronze, iron, glass, etc. have been worked for centuries before Alexander and Chandragupta, as proved by the positive evidence of finds (punch-marked coins, utensils at Pataliputra and other places). Recently Rai Sahib M. Ghosh has found cast iron in the Maurya strtaum at Päjaliputra Cast glass seals have been found with Maurya and pre-Maurya lettering by Dr. Spooner and the Rai Sahib at Pataliputra (JBORS, Sep 1924). Seven metals are known as early as the Yajurveda (Vaj S., XVIII. 13, XXIII. 37).

9-10. The argument is against the theory of fanciful quotations in the A S. If there was a long previous literary activity, the quotations are

u

¹ JBORS., 1919, p. 664; Ind. Ant., 1918 p. 112.

natural. If before the A., is, before Alexander, there were technical treatises, K. could not make every science begin after the Greek invasion. Why should the existence of treatises be a reason to fix the date too low and not "too high" (a term too ambiguous to meet) is not explained by the learned scholar.

11. It is not proved that 'Räkshass' is a myth. From unknown to unknown is not a logical step. Further, if one minister is mythical why all others be mythical ? If the Greeks do not mention him, it is K.'s ill-luck. Non-mention by the Greeks, though it may take away the distinguished character of a human being, cannot disprove the very existence of a man. Moreover, where have you got the complete writings of the Greeks (*i.e.*, Megasthenes) ? Bise of a new dynasty may give rise to myths, at the same time it may not, or may on one point and may not on others.

12. Dr. Jolly knows the Hindu theory that alchemy was born in India before the Christian era.1 In any case it is not yet proved where the so-called science first made its appearence. In connexion with alchemy in later Indian literature we have the mention of Constantinople (Hums); if we connect the AS with later alchemy we have to come down to Muhammadan times. Jolly therefore has to postulate a probable "Greco-Syriac" origin and to place that hypothetical origin in the 1st cen. A.C. But ene hypothesis cannot prove another. We must have a proven fact that alchemy is not original to India and that it was borrowed by India from a 'Greco-Syrias' original and from nowhere else. The very fact that we have alchemy before 300 A. C. in India shows that we have to give up the theory of Arabian origin and admit that there was an earlier stratum, either Indian or foreign. We cannot go further than that because nothing can be proved at this stage beyond that. Ruma and Barbers as found in later literature must refer to sucher and a subsequent importation.

13. Syrinx was employed in weges in India in Alexander's time. K. living both before and after Alexander's invasion can very well use the term. Besides, some Greeks had been living on the Indian frontier earlier and under the Persians in the Punjab, as Greek letters are found on Persian coins struck in India (see above, p. 147).

³ Alberuni (XVII) gives the name of Vyädi before the Christian era. Vyädi must have had predocessors.

APPENDIX C

14. Asoka's inscriptions do not undertake to give a gazetteer of the administrative system. Can any one attach the slightest importance to the Greek allegation that Hindus did not know smelting when we have coins, ornaments (e.g., the fine gold ring found at Pätaliputra) cast iron, cast glass seals etc. of the Maurya and pre-Maurya times? The Greeks themselves mention the fine vases carried in front of Chandragupta and gold vine in his palace. If Megasthenes mentions only five metals, his knowledge is 1 oor as in so many other instances (seven castes, writing, etc.). Seven metals are known to the Y. Veda alone. In the absence of his original book we cannot say what he actully said and of which part of India.

15. Megasthenes himself says that there were registers kept on the roads to find out distances and there were mile-stones. The Jatakas mention written tablets; seals, and inscriptions of Asoka prove writing to have existed and been largely employed in the Maurya times. Could writing suddenly appear with Alexander? Asoka two generations later publishing his inscriptions all over India (unless to be read by Greeks and explained to Indians !) and his father Bindusāra writing to the Greek king disprove the utterly untenable assertion.

16. Megasthenes' 'tax on articles sold' would include octroi and general taxes of the A. Besides, Megasthenes' work is not before us.

17. If agreement between Megasthenes and the A. proves nothing, how can disagreement prove anything ?

18. The non-mention of Pāțaliputra proves nil The trade route to the South for the sake of shell, diamond, gems, pearls, etc., was no doubt important. At the same time the A. mentions Kāšī, Nepal, Kukura, Lichchhavi, Malla, Kamboja, Kuru, Pānohāla, Surāshţra, Madra etc. His horizon is predominently Northern

So many MSS. have come out in the South. Did all those authors (Bhasa, etc.) belong to the South for that reason?

19. The A. being avowedly based on old works, and the Sūtra and Bhāshya being combined in one treatise, every sūtra having original opinion of the author necessarily became *apadesa* As Fleet has pointed out and almost every Hindu knows, it is a very ancient and even current practice to give the author's name in his composition in this country It appears odd to a foreigner, but it is commonplace in this country.

20. As Mahamahopadhyaya Gapapati Sastri has shown (A. S. II, intro.) quotations from Visalakeha and Brihaspati are extant in literature. I may add to them by pointing out quotations from Sukra (Usanas) and Brihaspati in the *Tika* to the Nîtivakyamrita published by Papdit NEthūrama Premin of Bombay, and from the Manava AS, pointed out above (p. 8).

In the face of these quotations nobody will be heard to any that the authorities in the A. are imaginary.

Jolly has rightly ignored such untenable views as for instance 'that the style of the A. is not archaic' and 'that geographical data prove a late date'.'

(iii) Now Arguments for the Fourth Con. B. C date.

There are pieces of evidence in the A. which are compatible only with the fourth century B. C. date.

(i) The use of *Fukta* which is only known to the Maurya times, and the 'geographical horizon' in laying down the policy towards the republics can only refer to the Maurya times. In the first century. B. C. and the 1st or 2nd century A. C. there was no power, no 'king' (for whom Kaujilya wrote the Samgha-Vritta policy) who had from Videha to Afghanistan under him.

(ii) Take again the term *Fugs*, meaning five years (II. 20). This is known to the Jyotisha Vedanga, and is not known to the laterature of the early centuries including the Manava Dharma Sastra.

(iii) Take also the datum that the rainy season started in SrZvaps (Srzvapa-proshthapadascha Varshā). i.e., in the author's time the rainy

¹ Mention of China is innocuous, for China which invariably somes along hwit Darods or other Himalayan countries in ancient Sanakrit literature clearly refers to 'Shina,' the Gulgit tribe still retaining, the word and having mulberry tree and silk industry. See the article in Ency Brit. on 'China,' and the identification by Sir George Grierson in LSL, X, Part 4, p. 5_1 m ['but I would suggest that in this (Manu X. 44) and 'similar passages, they are the great Shiq race, still surviving in Gilgit and the vicinity.'] It may be added that the Chinas were regarded to have been of Kahatriya origin. They spoke a language of Sanskrit base as shown by the AS, which may that the silks produced in China-country here the names kauseya and chinapatta. Neither bauseya nor patta (Skt pattra) is a Chinase word. All the members of the group where China occurs are Himalayan peoples. China alone cannot jump over thousands of miles away into China. In the same Chapter (XL ii) china-si fure or skins are mentioned as coming from Bälhava, which according to Bhattaevämin is a Himalayan country. Gilgit and Cashmere still produce both skins and silk.

Interchange between ch and ah (Shin = Chin) is common in Shin, e.g. push-puch.

Similarly, diakands of the AS. (p. 78) is misuaderstood as being connected with Alexandria on awrongphilology. Alexandria bocomes alasadds as in the Milinds P. A coral variety is called diakandaks in the AS. Any root-like thing is bands in Sanskrit. A coral-shoot will be called bands. Als means yellow (orpiment, orpiment-colour)---diskandaka="root-coral with yellow tings." season began in Sravana, unlike today when it begins in the middle of Ashadha Now, season fails back about one day and a half every century :----

"In consequence of this difference the beginning of Varsha, or the "rainy season, in the times of Alexander and Ašoka, would have "fallen just one month earlier than at present." ¹

iv) See also (AS., ibid) भाषाई मासि नष्टकायी मध्याक्री भवति. This could be written only in the North (Pataliputra) and not in the South.

(v) The political data taking us to the Maurya times, we have further materials to hold that the book could not have been written in the later Maurya times. The position of the Sakyas and Äjīvakas could not be low as in the A. (III. 20),^{*} they being grouped together with or as Sūdra monks. Under Asoka or his successors it was not likely that a law hostile to them would have been promulgated. Again, the sarcasm of Patañjali that the Mauryas were fond of money (gold), finds corroboration in the A. that the Maurya soverign did institute 'archas' or worships for making money.^{*} Now Asoka could not have done it, he was too conscientious and religious for that. His descendants were also religious. The datum of Patañjali and the A. thus should refer either to Chandragupta or to Bindusāra, and under both sovereigns K. served.

The heterodox Jaina and Buddhist and the orthodox Brahmin literatures assert that Kaujilya was the minister of Chandragupta. The Buddhist and the Jaina books treat him as having been a rascal, debasing coins and making money, making conquests and killing men, etc. The Purapas, on the other hand, show him to be an able minister. How could such contrary and human details be given to a person imaginary? His condemnation and awkward Gotra name are proof to my mind of his historical existence. If we look into the A. it answers both characterizations of K., the heterodox and orthodox. He would put down the heterodox, and the heterodox would find him a bad man.

(vi) If the Puranas turn out to be true in asserting the existence of Chandragupta, why should we not believe them about Kautilys? If K. existed, why should we not take the book to be his, especially when we find the last but one verse in the book, giving the authorship, to have been in the book before Kamandaka who paraphrases it in his introduction?

o,

¹ Cunningham, Indian Eras, p. 3.

^{*} Munich MS., Shama Sastry's trans., p. 251 m.

^{*} I. A., 1918, p. 51

[Jolly, (though without assigning any reason) also takes the Sloka to be contemporary with the composition of the book.¹]

(vii) If the book existed before VEtsyEyana, and KEmandaka ascribed it to K., the onus is on him who wants to ascribe the authorship to another to prove that and disprove the evidence of the A. itself corroborated as it is by Vat. and KEm., Dandin and Medhatithi, Paticha T. and Tantra-EkbyEikE.

(viii) There is motive for promulgating a Dharma Sastra accribing it to a Ribhi, but there is no such motive in accribing a material book to another. Besides, Kaujilya is not a Rishi. Earlier AS books had Rishis as authors. If a Pandit writing the book wanted to foster it on another, he would have ascribed it to some Rishi and a name unhated by a large section of the community (Buddhists and Jainas).

(ix) The Purspace seem to give a second name of Chandragupta as Narendra." There is evidence that not only the name of the author is in the book, but also the name of the king, 'Narendra,' whose monogram or mark 'Narendrägka' is directed in the A. to be put on arms (V. 5, p. 247; see also mark, 11. 10).

(x) Only the empire of the early Mauryas could take note of exports and imports from Mahavisi (AS. II. 11) (- Mahavisha of the Veda),⁶ the raisin wine myide's of Afghanistan (Arachosia), the weights and measures of the Sibi (II. 19) and Mekals and Magadha at the same time, of Uttarspatha and Dakebinapatha simultaneously, and provide punishment [from -Pataliputra] for defaming the Gandbara country (above p. 156, m.). And only a minister very highly placed could have all the fiscal and military information which are contained in the A. Punishments for turning women into nuns, and for monks leaving families unprovided for could be laid down (II !) only in early Maurya rule of the first two emperors. Raising a prince on the unmarried daughter of a king (while even Niyoga is condemned in the Sunga times, e.g., in the M. Dh. S.), knowledge of the epice different from the ones we have, are all indications of an early and pre-Sungan date.

⁵ The first S'loka giving the author's name was in the book before Dapdis who gives the identical measure of the book just preceding and says that the work was composed as an abridgement by Vishya-gupta for the Maurya, almost quotify the words of the A, as in the floka and the previous passage.

^{* 14., 1918,} p. 66,

^{*} M & K, V.J. 11, 142, 346.

P. 6-Works of the 4th-5th cen. A. C. and the date of the Kamandakiya.

Quotations in the Raja-N. Ratnzkara indicate that there was also a Naradîya Raja-niti. (See Introduction to the R N R, 1924, p. v.).

Nārada figures as a teacher of political wisdom in the Mahā-Bharata (Sabha Parvan), and he is not known to Kamandaka. The Naradīya thus probably came into existence before the sixth century and after Kamandaka.

Kämardaka cannot be put in the 8th cen. as done by Jolly and Winternitz (Jolly's A S., 46). It is earlier than the Mahg-Bhgrata for the reasons (1) that the latter knows it, (2) that the Artha-Sástra book of the Great Rishis which was lost when the M. Bh. (SP.) was written, had been used by Kam. (as pointed out above, p. 6, *), and (3) the general style (cf. the Narada-Smriti)' indicating Gupta times. (4) The known date of Bhavabhūti helps us further. Bhavabhūti as ably shown by MM. Ganapati Sastri (AS, II, Intro, p. 7) knew his work; not only he knew Kamandaka but his audience also must have known his work as a well-established authority to be able to follow and enjoy the character "Kamandaki" like the "Buddharakshita" and "Avalokita." Kamandaka must have published his work a few centuries before Bhavabhūti (first half of the 8th cen.). Theidatum of the M Bh. would place his lower limit in the fifth century A.C. Its earlier limit probably is the Tantrakhyāyika which does not know it. The interval between the AS. and Kámandaka is large, for not only several subjects and topics in the AS. have become in Kgm.'s time antiquated enough to be left out, a new group of authorities, unknown to the AS., had intervened.

There is a revival of the tradition of Chandragupta Maurya in Gupta times. Royal parents name their sons after him (thrice). Visákhadatta compares him with Vishņu in his play written under one of the Chandraguptas of the Gupta dynasty (I. A. 1913 p. 265). Chandraguptan laws in the Kauțiliya are closely reproduced in the Narada Smriti. Chandragupta's Artha-Sastra is versified and adapted in the Kamandakiya Nitisara. There is an ambition, partly realized, of founding a large empire from Pataliputra like that of Chandragupta Maurya. Kalidasa, a Gutpa poet, says that the country becomes *Rājanvait*, 'possessed of a just king', only on account of the Magadhan emperor (Raghuvaṃša).^{*}

³ See the monitary system of Närada (App. 56-60) which extends up to the Punjab and knows Dinära.

⁸ To say this he has even to commit an anachronism, making the Magadha monarchy which came into existence only with Vasu (J. B. O. R. S., 1) contemporary with Raghu.

P.-6.-Pushkara.

He figures also in the political science dialogue in the Vishaudbarmottars (II) Probably he is only an ideal person and no author.

P. 6. 14th-18th cen Digests.

To this class is to be added Vgchaspati Misra's Rajadharma (See Intro. to R N R., p. s.) The commentary on the Nitivakyamrita, (the date of which must be earlier than its MS. copied in the 1463, *ibid.*) may be included in the class. The commentator does not restrict himself to the orthodox Dharma Sastra view. He, trying to give all the original sources of Somadeva, really gives a small Digest of Artha-Sastra.

P. 8-Works in Vernaculars.

Lallu Lal wrote a Hindi book based on the Hitopodesa and the Panchatantra and called it 'Rajaniti.'

Page 15-Village fined.

See Vasishiba Dharma Sastra, III. 4-

बहताजनवीवाना वर्ष सेववराहिकाः ।

र्त बार्म दख्येदाजा चीरमजगदी हि छ: 1

P. 16- ज का समा।

It is given also by Närada (1, 18).

P. 26-Gana.

P. 42-State-arms of the Republics.

Lanch4 itself may be from LaksA with what is termed by Grierron as "spentaneous namisation" (JRAS, 1925, pp. 381 ff.).

For Anka see krita-Narendrankam fastravaranamayudhágaram pravefayet' in the A S., V. S, p. 247.

Page 52-Decisions on rolls (Book of Precedents).

The Jataka also knows such books of precedents. See J. 111. 292, wherein the law-court precedents are entered : "*vinicchage polikakam* lekkāpetrā. Probably Vasishiba also refers to precedents in XIX. 10

P. 53 - Ashra-kulaka.

See E1, XV, 136, the Ashpa-kula-adhikaranas as town corporation officials, and note in App. D, on Part II, pp. 105-6 below.

P. 51.- LECHCHHAI,

Riksha would also give both Lichchha and Likkha, but we get such forms for the Lichchhavis (cf. Jaina spelling Lekkhai) as point to likshu, louse, as the origin. Manu's Nichchhavi would be a local dialetical variation which is peculiar to Eastern India.

sav=Skt. Chyav, Avestan Syav.

P. 78.-Jauhar.

It is derived from either Jatu ghara (the Maha-Bharata 'Jatugriha,' 'the house of lac,' made to entrap and burn the Pandavas) as has been suggested, or preferrably from Jama-ghara 'House of Death.' Jauhar is spelt as Jama-hara in the 'Kauhada de Prabandha' (a work in Old Rájasthánī), p 94 (pointed out to me hy Dr. Suniti K. Chatterji.

P. 81-'Every man in the community had franchise' :- citizens versus non-citizens.

From a statement of Patafijeli it is clear that in a republic there used to be slaves and artizans to whom the peculiar forms which denoted the citizens of a particular republic did not apply—नेतरोपा दासे वा कर्मकरे वा (See above § S1, p. S5, m.). This indicates that the artizans as well as slaves were not franchized. The Mauchikarnas did not keep any slaves in their state (hence the legend in Megasthenes that there were no slaves in India).

P. 82 n.-Kauninda and Kanet.

Sir G. Grierson is also of the opinion that the Kanels should not be identified with the Kunindas (L S I. vol. ix, p. 6, n.). The form Kanet is correct which was personally ascertained by me at Sipri (Simla).

P. 87-Vahikan physique.

On the physique of the Vähikas we may note that the Maha-Bharata in abusing their heterodoxy (probably they had then become Mahāyānist Buddhists) quotes a parody of a song of the Vähikas which shows that their women were large-bodied and that mutton was their favourite food. "When shall I next aing the song of the Vähikas in this Säkala town, "when shall I next aing the song of the Vähikas in this Säkala town, "when shall I again, dressed in fine garments in the company of fair-"complexioned large-sized women eat much mutton, pork, beef and the "flesh of fowls, asses and camels? They who cat not mutton live in vain." "So do the inhabitants, drunk with wine, sing. How can religion be "found among such people ?"

At the time when the Kargaparean (Ch. xliv) was written, evidently they had become heterodox, probably Buddhists, as it says, 'the Vählkas 'who never perform sacrifices, whose religion has been destroyed,' 'they are 'without the Veda and without knowledge.' They are orthodox in the Satapatha Br. (1. 7. 3. 8, Grierson, LSI, 4π . 8), in the Upanishads . which describe Svetaketu going into the Punjab for religious tournament, and also evidently in P ini.

P. 93-Madra Country

In medieval Indian tradition the Punjab, especially the northern part, was always called Madradesa. Guru Govinda Simha in his "Vichitra Näjaka" says that he was brought from Patna, where he was born, to Madradesa or the Punjab.

P. 109-Salak :

'Pin' probably does not convey the full sense of 'Salaka' Cf. Aksha-Salaka, the Hindu dice. The Salaka was a small oblong piece which could come easily within the fist.

P. 150-Yandheya coin legends : Bhagavalo Svāmina[h] :

Brahmanya-devasya (C. C. I. M. 181-82, C. A. I. p. 78) seems to be the correct legend. Brahmanya is not the name of the Yaudheys king ' (Rapson, JRAS, 1903, 291), but the name of the god who in some coins is shown with six heads—Kärttikeys (as recognized by Rapson himself).

P. 158-MElava Coins.

The variety of coins bearing single names and generally no legend of the Malava Gapa found at the same place, are attributed to the Malavas (C. C. I. M., 165, 174-177). Probably they represent the power which superseded the Malavas. The names are so many puzzles-e.g., Maraja, Jamapaya, Paya, Magaja. They seem to be abbreviations-Maraja=Maké-rāja; Cf. 'Mabārāya' (p. 177). Jama and Yama appear again and again (pp. 174, 176, Jama-paya, then Paya only). Mapojaya, Mapaya and Magejz (pp. 175, 176) are probably Makā-(Makārāja) jaya, Mā. (Makā-rāja) Paya, and Ma. (Mabārāja) Gaja. Similarly, Magajata=Ma. (Mahā') Gaja (Gajata), Gajava=Gaja-pa; Ma go (read ga) java=Ma. Gajapa, Māpaka=Ma. Paka; Mā. (read ma) tapa = Ma. Sarpa; Magackka=Ma. Gackka; Majupa=Ma. Jupa (Yūpa) Bkapamyana (plate XX, 24) I read as Bkampdyana. Pp. 154-5 .- Freedom in preference to home,

Cf. Manu, VII, 212:

"Let a king, without hesitation, quit for his own sake even a country, "salubrious, fertile and causing an increase of cattle" (Bühler).

P. 158 n.—Sanakānīkas. A 'Mahārāja' Sanakānīka as a feudatory of Chandra Gupta II has left an inscription in the Udayagiri Vaishņava cave temple (Bhilsa, Gwalior) dated GS. 82 (401-2 A.C.). He is the son of a 'Mahārāja' and the grandson of a Mahārāja. GI., p. 25.

P. 183.-Ethnology of the republicans.

See R. Chanda, Indo-Aryan Races (Rajehabi, 1916), pp. 24, 25, 240, 241.

HINDU POLITY

Part II

MONARCHY AND IMPERIAL SYSTEMS

HINDU MONARCHY

IV

CHAPTER XXII

HINDU MONARCHY

Antiquity and Theory of Origin

§ 198. The word Råjan and its original Rät literally mean a ruler. It is connected with the Latin rex. But Hindu political theorists have given it a philosophic derivation. King is called Rājā Rajan or King because his duty is 'to please' (r a \tilde{n} j) the people by maintaining good government. This philosophic interpretation has been accepted as an axiom throughout Sanskrit literature. The kings also acquiesced in and accepted this constitutional interpretation of the term. Emperor Kharavela of Kalinga, who was a Jaina, says in his inscription (C. 165 B.C.) that he did please his subjects, 35 hundred thousand in number. In the Buddhist Canon the same theoretic definition is found : dammena pare ranjetiti kho, Väsettha, räjä.¹ Both orthodox and heterodox branches of the race had adopted it. It was a national interpretation and a national theory of constitution.

§ 198A. Megasthenes, as observed before^{*}, records the Hindu tradition current in his time that M o n a r c h y was Antiquicy of the earliest form of organised government in Hindu Monarchy India. This is supported by the R i g - V e d a where' Monarchy is the normal and the only form of government known. Monarchist writers, as we have seen, utilised this fact as an argument against non-kingly forms of constitution.³ After m o n a r c h y. Megasthenes was told, r e p u blican experiments were made. As the Aitareya Brahmana puts it, it was in 'the firmly

¹ Digha-Nubaya, Agyanina Suttanta, 21, vol. III, p. 48.

² Ibid., § 18, p. 25. See also MoCrindis, Megasthenes and Arrian, p. 200.

e * t a b l i * h e d M i d-l a n d' where m o n a r c h y held its own¹; that is, the change from Monarchy to republican form to which Megasthenes alludes, did not occur there. This M i d - l a n d was the land from Kurukshetra to PrayAga—the valleys of the Jumna and the Ganges, which became the seat of the "Aryan conquerors and Aryan monarchy. The Purāņic history also confirms this. Its ruling houses cover the M i d d l e C o u n t r y, going beyond only in one direction—the East. The constitution of the East ('P r A c h t') according to the Aitareya, was S A m r A j y a," which was a species of monarchy,—meaning, literally, 'a collection of monarchies,' i.e., a Federal Imperialism.*

§ 199. There are several theories known to Hindu literature on the origin of Hindu Kingship. A brief notice

Hindu Theories on the origin of K in g sh ip:

of those theories is necessary to understand the constitutional effect which they produced on practical administration.

§ 200. The Vedic theory is found in the Aitareya Brāhmaņa. It asserts that the Devas, i.e. their worship-

pers, the H i n d u s, originally had no king. In vedic theory, their struggle against the A s u r a s-when the D e v a s found that they were repeatedly defeated, they came to the conclusion that it was because

I Boo Astaropo Brittinana, VIII. 14. प्रतस्थां अध्यमायां प्रतिष्ठार्या दिचि वे के च कुरुपण्वासानां राजानः स-वयोगीनसर्था. राज्यायेव तेऽजिषिच्यन्ते । राजेत्येना-मजिषिकानाचत्रते ।

2 See below, Cb. XXXVII. [Nvidently at the time of the fitarrys Brishmann the North Bibar Ropublics had not come into existence.]

I Videka and Magadha. Jo z = k = (probably a royal style and not a proper same) king of the Videkas, according to Vedio literature (Satopatha Mr. zi. 5.3.5; see also grapping regarg, Bri. Up., iv. 1. 1.) and J = z = s = s = s = h = a, king of Magadha, according to the Purdman, were holders of the title S = m = 6 ; (N. Sh., See § 262). the Asuras had a king to lead them, they were successful. Therefore they decided to try the same experiment. And they agreed to elect a king ;

"The Devas and Asuras were fighting...the Asuras "defeated the Devas...The Devas said, 'It is on account of our "having no king that the Asuras defeat us. Let us elect "a king'. All consented."

If it has a historical reference it would refer to the tribal stage of the Aryans in India and it would suggest that the institution of kingship was borrowed from the Dravidians. Whatever the historical truth in the theory, the important point to note here is that kingship is contemplated to be elective in its origin.

§201. Political writers, however, had a theory of their own which is confined more to the abstract side of the question, They held that the first king was elected on Spientists* Theory of certain conditions or on a contract. Contrast and that the original contract was always enforced subsequently. • According to this view the election was necessitated for internal administration, the authority of law by itself, the people having refused to follow. This theory of contractual monarchy, which undoubtedly was a reflex of the express republican theory of contract," found support in Vedic hymns and songs of royal election, in rituals of royal consecration which were baséd on elective principles, and in the

- 1 Aitarnya Brohmana I. 14 देवासरा था पूचु स्रोकेषु समयतंततांस्ततोऽसरा काजपन्देवा धानु वग्नराजतया ने को जयंति राजानं करनामद्दा इति तथेति ॥
- 8 See the discussion in the Artha-Säztre, 1, 9, pp. 22-33; also that in the Ma &-Bhirata, § 338.
 - 3 Bee abore, 55 174-6.

Coronation oath which made the king swear that he should rule according to law.

These ceremonials were invariably observed even when succession to throne had become hereditary. In theory, according to these sacred ceremonies, the king, as we shall presently see, was always an elected officer holding office on conditions which he accepted in his Coronation oath. The contract theory of the politicians, as we shall see, was always believed in and accepted by both the ruler and the ruled.

Actual election at times did take place even in post-Vedic times. Megasthenes notes that after Svayambhu, Budha and Kratu, the succession was generally hereditary but that 'when a failure of heirs occurred in the royal house, the 'Indians elected their sovereign on the principle of merit'.'

The Jātakas² have stories of election of kings and even the fables ³ of the country made animals elect their king. They indicate that the theory of elective kingship was a national theory widely current. Let us now take the sacred hymns of royal election of the Vedas and the Vedic kingship.

- 1 No Orindia, Megasthenes and Arrian, p. 200.
- 2 The Jataka, Vol I, p. 839.
- 3 See Mahirasta (ed. Senart), vol. II.p. 70.

[PT. II

CHAPTER XXIII

Vedic King and his Election

§202. The king was elected by the people assembled in the Samiti. The people assembled are said to elect him to rulership unanimously. The Samiti appoints him. He is asked to hold the state. It is hoped that he would not fall from his office. He is expected to crush the enemies.

Here is a complete Song of Election.¹

मा त्याहापमन्तरभुभू बस्तिष्ठाविचाचलत् । विशस्त्वा सर्वा बाञ्छन्तु मा त्वद्राष्ट्रमधि म्रशत् ॥ १ इहेवैधि माप च्योष्टाः पर्वत इवाविचाचलत् । इन्द्रे देव भ्र वस्तिष्ठे इ राष्ट्रमु भारय ॥ २ इन्द्रे पतमदीधरदुभ्र व भ्रवेण इविषा । तस्मै सोमो अधि व्रवत्यं च ब्रह्मणस्पतिः ॥ ३ घु वा सौभू वा पृथिवो भू व विश्वमिदं जगत् । भू वा सः पर्वता इमे भू वो राजा विशामयम् ॥ १ भू व ते राजा बरुणो भू व देवो इंदर्पतिः । भू व ते राजा बरुणो भू व देवो इंदर्पतिः । भू व ते राजा बरुणो भू व देवो इंदर्पतिः । भू व ते राजा बरुणो भू व देवो इंदर्पतिः । भू व ते राजा बरुणो भू व देवो इंदर्पतिः ।

T Atharas. Veda, VI. 87-88. This hymn occurs also in Rig-Veds X. 178 with alight modification .

"Gladly you come among us; remain firmly without faltering; all the people want you; may you not fall off the State.

"Here be you firm like the mountain and may you not come down. Be you firm here like Indra; remain you here and hold the State.

"Indra has held it (the State) firm on account of the firm Havi offering ; for it Soma as well as the Brahmanaspati has said the same.

"Firm (as) the heaven, firm (as) the earth, firm (as) the universe, firm (as) the mountains, let this $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ of the people be firm.

"Let the State be held by you, be made firm by the rājā Varuņa, the God Brihaspati, Indra and also Agni.

"Vanquish you firmly, without falling, the enemies, and those behaving like enemies crush you under your feet. All the quarters unanimously honour you, and for firmness the assembly here creates (appoints) you".

Here is a passage employed at the re-election of a Rajawho had been apparently driven out ;—

स्वां विशेष वृणठां राज्याय त्यामिमाः प्रविशः पञ्च देवीः । बर्भन, राष्ट्रस्य कुङ्चि अयस्य तते। न उम्रो वि मजा वस्ति ॥

"The people elect you to rulership, the wide ' glorious "quarters elect you. Be seated on this high point in the body "of the state and from there vigorously 'distribute the natural

I The word USA may man either "wide" or "five". Here the former meaning is more appropriate because the people assembled could be only confined to the four quarters and not the sky. Quarter comes in Election Hymns as a figurative use for the people assembled.

² Or "like U g t & ruler" (t g t;) See \$ 102 above.

wealth." Kakud literally means the "hump" of the bull. The allusion is to the throne which is regarded as the highest place in the body-politic. The previous verse in the Mantra shows that the reference is to E k a r a t or monarch. § 203. According to the last verse of a hymn in the Rig-Veda corresponding to the song of election quoted above," he becomes the sole taker Sole taker of taxes from the people; he becomes of Taxes the king of the people. The 'sole taker' signifies that the regular tax, as a royal due, had already developed. No one else but the king alone was entitled to it. The king is asked to ascend a raised seat which is significantly described as the highest point of the body of state. It shows that the idea of state as organism is realised as early as the Vedic kingship.

§204. The new king after ascending the throne received from the assembled people and the 'kingmakers' who according to later authorities^a

Kingmakers were high functionaries or ministers, a symbolic armlet called Mani. It was made of Palāsa wood. These high functionaries were the Treasurer, the Commander of the Army, the GrāmanI or the leader of the township, and some others. They are called 'kings' and 'king-makers' by the newly elected king. The 'king-makers' thus appear to be communal or popular officers of state who were regarded as

1 Atharvaveda, III. 4. 2.

। । । १ अ. व ध्रुवेय इविगाभि सोमं सूर्यामसि। – – – – । । अयो त इन्द्रः केवलीवियो बल्डितककरत् ॥ १०. ४. 173. 6.

3 Brühmanas and Krishna Tajus.

4 Cf. Mahā Govinda Sultanta. 82, Digha N. II, 233, where the six great nobles of the siste are called the K in g - m a k e r s, Rāja-kattāro. rulers, amongst whom the king was the chief ruler. They are called latterly R at n i n s or those in whom the R at n a or M a n i is vested. For it was they who gave the king the symbolical jewel of royal authority. The king originally took the jewel of authority from a l l the folk present, including artizans and chariot-makers. This was the only symbolical ceremony which accompanied the Vedic election.

The king taking Parna or Mani says :---

ये घीवानो रचकाराः कर्मारा ये मनोविणः । उपस्तीन पूर्ण मह्य त्वं सर्वान रुप्वभितो जगान् ॥ भ ये राजानो राज्रस्तः स्ता प्रामुप्यरुव ये । उपस्तीन पूर्ण मह्य त्वं सर्वान् रुप्वभितो जनान् ॥ ७

"The skilful builders of chariots and the ingenious "workers of metal, the folk about me all, do thou, O "Parna, make my aids. The kings and king-makers, "the charioteers and leaders of hosts, the folk about me do "thou, O Parna, make my aids."

The king thus accepted his royal authoirty from the whole folk including equally the king-makers and the artisans.

The king was elected for his whole life. "Rule "here a mighty benevolent (king) up to tenth "decade of thy life." *

The throne was covered with the skin of a lion, tiger or leopard. This was done, as we shall see, even when the throne was made of precious materials. A particular symbolism was attached to the skin-cover. It was the emblem of provess.

1 Athermorie, 111. 5. 4-7 Translation adopted from Bloomfield S.S. R., 186, 114.

2 Atheres Fola, III. 6.7. ब्रुवनीसुव: बुनना बहेद

"Thyself a viger, do thou upon this tiger-skin "stride through the great regions. All the clans shall "wish for thee." When seated on the throne he was sprinkled with water.s

§205. At times he was degraded and Deposition and re-election ex-king was sometimes re-elected;

"The eagle shall bring hither from a distance him that "is fit to be called, wandering exiled in a strange land. The "Asvins shall prepare for thee a path, easy to travel! Do "ye, his kinsfolk, gather close about him.

"Thy opponents shall call thee. Thy friends have chosen thee."

Heissaid to have come to agreement with his electors.

"Come thou to the Visas (the people), for thou hast "agreed concordant with the electors." •

1. 1614.1V. 8. 4. ज्यान्नो प्रधि वैयान्ने विक्रमस्त्र दियो मुद्दीः। वियस्त्त्वा सर्वा बाज्यदन्तु...॥

الله الله الله الله المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية الدا عالية حمد الم المعالية الم (المعالية) is clear from the Srauta Sütras of the Atuhros Vela.

4. Ibid. III. 4.6. इन्द्र न्द्र मगुज्यारेः परेहि सं डाज्ञास्था बल्बीः सविदानः । स त्वाय-। महुत को स्वरूपे स देवाद वछत् स ड करपयाद् ्वितः ॥ §206. The king was expected to secure material His duty prosperity to the people.

"Fix thy mind upon the bestowal of wealth. Then "do thou, mighty, distribute wealth amongst us."

In this connexion it would be interesting to quote here a poem from the Atharva-Veda where the prosperity of the people is described. The song, evidently contemporary, praises the successful rule of King Parikshit of Kuru land:[±]

"Listen ye to the high praise of the king who rules "over all peoples. What may I bring to thee, curds, stirred "drink, or liquor ? (Thus) the wife asks her husband in the "kingdom of King Parikshit."

In other words, in the Kuru land the wife never thinks of offering such a poor drink as water to the thirsty husband. And the barley beverage when brought 'over-ran

1 164 III 4 4 प्रधा मनो पछरेयाव इत्यच्य सतो व इम्रो वि मंत्रा वस्त्वि # 8 8. # . V.I. XLIL p. 113.

s Ibid. XX. 127. (3), 7-10.

राहो विश्वज्ञनीनस्य यो देवोमत्यां सति। वैश्वानरस्य सुद्धतिमां श्टणोतां परिक्षितः ॥अ

कतरत्त स मा इराणि द्वधिमन्धं परिश्र तम् । जाया पति वि प्रच्छति राष्ट्रे राष्ट्र परिक्षितः॥ ध समीव स्वरुः म जिद्दीते यवः पण्वः परो विळम् । जनः स मद्रमेघते राष्ट्रे राष्ट्र परिक्षितः ॥ १०

Bon &. B. N., XLII. pp. 197-198.

[PT. II

the brim'. This shows "the people thrive merrily in the kingdom of King Parikshit".

§207. The election in the Vedic age appears fairly simple and business-like. But it has a latent philosophy behind it. The king is elected by the people; he is expected to fulfil certain duties; and is invested with certain privileges. He accepts his office from the people and the 'king-makers'. He was in agreement with his electors. He could be removed from his office and could be brought back from exile. The germs of the political philosophy of kingship are all to be found in these Vedic mantras.

The fact, if not the theory, was clear that the office of the king was a creation of the People and was held conditionally. Above him there was always the National Assembly, the Samiti which was, as we have seen above, the real sovereign.¹

¹ Cf. Macdonell, History of Sanskrit Literature, p. 158. The king (råjå) was often hereditary......His power was by no means absolute, being limited by the will of the people expressed in the tribal assembly (Samiti).

CHAPTER XXIV

Coronation Coremony of Brahmana Period and

Its Constitutional Significance

§208. In the age of Brahmana literature, coronation becomes elaborate, ritualistic and very technical. Special royal ceremonies were invented. But they all retain the same constitutional characteristics as we find in the true Vedio period. In fact they are developments of the same underlying ideas.

Sacred formulæ and rites for royal installation are formed and prescribed in this period. And they become prescribed once for all. Since that time every Fized sovereign crowned Hindu in India has Tormals observed for according to the them. orthodox view of both law and ritual, no one could attain kingship without them. The same ceremonies, in essentials, came . down and are prescribed by lawyers of the 17th century who wrote for Hindu kings in Muhammadan times...

\$209. In the Srutis there are three ceremonies for consecrating heads of society. There is the first and foremost. the $R \bar{a} j a s \bar{u} y a$ or the Inauguration of a Rejasüya, king, there is, secondly, the $\cdot \nabla \bar{a} j a p e y a$ Wijspeys and Bary -medha used for consecrating a king. or a high functionary as the royal priest, and thirdly, there is the Saroa-medha. 'a sacrifice for universal rule.'The Vajapeya probably did not partake of political nature in its origin, it being primarily designed to celebrate something like an Olympic victory.: It was, later on, adopted for royal and

¹ Bes Mitra Mifra, Firamitrodaya Rijaniti, pp 85-113.

² Of. Taittiriya Br. 1. 2. 2. 2.

See contra Eggeling, SBN XLI, 3. 24 (Introduction).

religious consecrations. The Sarvamedha' is an exceptional ceremony performed by emperors who are already consecrated to rulership. The ceremony proves the existence of the territorial ideal of a one-state India.² The normal ceremony of Coronation, however, is the Rājasūya.

राष्ठ एव राजस्यम् । राजा वे राजस्येनेष्ट्रा भवति…

"To the King doubtless belongs the Rājasūya, for by "offering the Rājasūya he becomes "king.""

We shall here mainly discuss the rituals of the latter and also of the Våjapeya. In fact they all have very many details in common, and they supplement each other. The Våjapeya came to be regarded as a preliminary to the Råjasūýa.

§210. The Rājasūya is comprised of three distinct parts; the first is a series of preliminary sacrifices, the second is the *Abhishechaniya*, 'the sprinkling' or 'the anointing', the third are a number of posta nointing ceremonies. Out of the three, the Abhishechaniya is the most important; and, perhaps, in practice the rites and formulæ of it alone were considered indispensable at normal coronations.

One of the first things which strike the student of the ceremony is the pronoun "he" by which the king-elect is studiously designated. It is only after the sprinkling stage that he is called 'king'; that is, only when the ceremony is complete, he becomes invested with the royal office and powers; before that he is an ordinary citizen.

¹ See Subspatha Br, XIII. 7.1

⁹ Of. Aitareya Br VIII. 18; Ponini, V 1. 41-12 on Sarva-bhauma.

⁸ Satapatha Brāhmaņa, V. 1. 1. 19.

§ 211. Among the preliminary offerings there are the eleven r a t n a - h a v i s (the 'jewel'-offerings) which 'he' has to make to the eleven R a t n i n s or the 'Jewel -holders' at their respective houses. The recipients of the Ratna-havis are :---'

- (1) Sen & n I (the commander of the army).
- (2) Purohita. (the court chaplain); 'Brahmin'. in the Taittiriya ritual.
- (3) The king-elect himself as representing the Kshatra or 'rule'; in the Taittirlya, 'R A janya' in the place of the king-elect.
- (4) Mahishi (the queen). The Queen had an official character in as much as she appeared with the king on the throne on certain official occasions. It seems, however, the underlying principle here is the sacred theory that without the wife no sacrament could be performed, the sacrificer by himself being only one-half of his whole spiritual body, the other half being the wife. On this principle in the V A j a p e y a, she ascends the throne together with the husband.

1 Satapatha Brähmana V 8, 1, Cf. also Taittiriya Br. 1, 7, 8, (Poens Ed. 1 pp. 308-310) and Taittiriya Sathhilā 1, 8 9. (Mysore Ed. J. pp. 146 49).

The text mays that the ratains are eleven (USIZE (GIF) But the havi is offered at twelve places. Mudently the offering at his own house is not counted (the school of Krishus Najurseds does not prescribe an offering at the king-elect's house) or the last two might have been treated as entitled to one offering ointly.

In the Rāja-sūya ritual of the Yajur-Veda no direction is given as to the future Queen's ascending the throne. But the Epics prove the practice of joint coronation.^{*}

As the formulas are already prescribed in the preliminary Vājapeya, they have not been repeated in the Rāja-sūya. The same prineiple of completing the spiritual self of the kingelect is extended by other schools of the Yajur-Veda who make the king-elect do worship also to his other wives of lower castes, Vāvātā and Parivrikti.³ In the Aśvamedha ceremony even the wife of the lower Śūdra caste (*Pālāgalā*) takes part.⁴

 (5) Sūta (the court-minstrel and chronicler). Probably in early times he combined in him some important office other than that of the chronicler. In the Mauryan

¹ Satapatha Br., V. S. 1. 10 : S.B.E. XLI. p. 32.

² Ramanana, Yudaha K., 129, 59, N. Bh. Santi, (Kum)89, 14. अपवेश्य सहात्सानं कृष्णां च 1

³ Cf. Satapatha, XIII. 6.2 5-8. परिषुष्ठा राष्ट्री संख्यसपरन्याः Bhatja i hägkura, Taittiriya S., (Mysore) III.p.148.

Salapatha X111, 5. 9. 8; Rāmāyaņa, Bāla, 14. 35.

Civil List of the Artha-Sästra (V. 3-91, p. 245), he is placed amongst minor officers (Paurāņika etc.) who got 1000 (silver paņas) a year. It seems that every provincial capital had its sūta, as Br. Up., IV. 4. 37, indicates. He is the later h is triographer whom Yuwan Chwang (Hiuen Tsang) found in the empire of Harsha Vardhana, whose duty it was to register 'good and evil events, with calamities and 'fortunate occurrences' in every province. That the record of each year was kept is evidenced by inscriptions of K hāravela and others.

- (6) Grāmaņi (the head of the Township or the village corporation). "Vaisya-Grāmaņi"' in the Maitrāyaņi edition of the Yajurveda.
 - (7) Kshattri (the Chamberlain).
 - (5) Sangrahitri (the master of the treasury). In later times he is called Sannidhätri (e.g. in the Artha-Sāstra)."
 - (9) Bhägadugha (the collector of revenue). In later times he is called Samāhartri (e.g. in the Artha-Sāstra). The expression literally means 'milcher of the share' (of the king one-sixth, etc.). This shows that the amount of taxation had alread become fixed.
- 1 Fee § \$12, m.

CORONATION CEREMONY

- (10) $A k = h \bar{a} v \bar{a} p a$ —Commentators have explained it as the controller of gambling. Gambling, being under state-control, brought in revenue; but the prominence of the department is rather strange and one is inclined to doubt the meaning of the commentators. Among the officers the one who comes after Sannidhātri and Samāhartri in the Artha-Sästra, corresponding to Nos. 8 and 9 of our list, is the Akshapatala or [the Department of] the. Accountant General. Thus the corresponding Akshāvāpa seems to be the officer in charge of State Accounts. The Gambling Officer will be quite out of place here. It seems that squares or Aksha were made on some board Adhidevana) by (Patala or the help of which accounts were in those days calculated. The Akshasalā Artha-Sāstra, p. 85) should also (he considered in this connexion. The Akshaśālā department took charge of gold and silver and the mint. Aksha in these technical offices has no connexion whatsoever with gambling.
 - (11) Govikartri (master of forests, literally, destroyer of beasts). He was evidently the officer described by Megasthenes amongst the 'Great officers of State' having

¹ Mc Orindio, Megasthenses p 86.

'charge also of the huntsmen' who cleared the land of wild beasts and fowls which devoured the seeds.'

(12) Pālāgala (the Courier). His uniform was a red turban and leathern quivers.* He was of the Sūdra caste.* In his place the Maitrāyaņī Samhitā* of the Yajurveda gives the Taksha and Rathakāra, carpenter and chariot-builder.

§212. The Ratnins are a development of the Vedic 'b e s t o w e r s of the $(p a l \bar{a} s a) m a n i'$. The latter The Ratning were the 'k in g-makers' (Congrammente ministers), the Sūta, the head of the village community, the builders of chariots and the skilful in metals, 'surrounded by the folk.'

Now the Ratnins tend to be high functionaries of the state. In the selection of the functionaries the principle of class and caste representation appears to have operated. The P u r o h i t a is studiously referred to as 'B r a h m i n' only, in the majority of Yajus Schools. He symbolises the Brahmin. The R \bar{a} j a n y a or the king-elect himself symbolises the Rajanya or Kshatriya class. The G r \bar{a} m a η I, called the "V a i \dot{s} y a - g r \bar{a} m a η I" in the MaiträyanI," a gramanI, or Township-President of the Vaisya caste represented the Vaisya class or the remnant of the original 'people', now the commoners'. The T a k s h a and R a t h a k \bar{a} r a correspond to the Veda's 'skilful workers in metals and builders of chariots'. Their place is supplied by the Palagala in the Sukla ritual; the class is

1. Mo Orindie, Megusthenes, p. 54.

2. The last two not in the Taittirly, ritual.

8 Cf. XIII.5. 2. 8.

4. M. S ii. 8. 5.

। । । । 5 सारतः सराकपासो परिपर्य ग्रामस्यो गुरू । अन्यत्व्यानी इत्योकसंद ३३, ६, ६, १७ - ९. ६.

20

replaced by caste. The Senān I, Purohita, Kshattā, Sangrah Itā, Bhāgadugha, Akshāvāpa and Gokartrā are the High ministers, the old Rājakrits, the king-makers. The High ministers were still called 'kingmakers' in the Rāmāyaņa (समेत्य राजकत्तारो मरत' वाक्यमञ्चयन, A. 79. 1., Com. राजकत्तार: मन्त्रिण:).

When society grew, it was not possible for the whole Folk to assemble, and adoption of the representative principle was natural. The most noticeable feature in the change is the express $r e c o g n i t i o n o f t h e S \overline{u} d r a as part of$ Society. From the constitutional point of view it is a greatchange. The conquered helot is now worshipped by theman who is going to become king. He is as much an integralpart of the polity as any one else. This recognition, as we shallsee, becomes more and more emphatic as time goes on.

§213. The offering to the 'jewel-holders' is explained by the set phrase in each case, 'for it is for him that he is 'thereby consecrated and him he makes his faithful follower.' He treats with $\Pi a v i$ the headman of the village corporation because 'he assuredly is one of his jewels and it is for him that 'he is thereby consecrated, etc.'

The reason for the respect due to the High Functionaries or Ministers should be noted. The Ratnin Ministers existed before the king came to the throne. They origin at Hindu Ministers had existed independently of him. They were in origin part of the Samiti, 'the Folk around me'-the Vedic 'kings' and 'king-makers'. In later history, ministers still retain these designations of popular times;

، प्राह् गयो गृहान् परेत्य मास्तम् समुकपालं पुरोडाच निर्वपति विघो बै मस्तो बैंग्यो बै प्राप्तयोस्तस्मान् मास्तो अवत्येतद्वाऽ इ.स्यकम् रवं बद्र प्रामयीस्तस्माऽ प्रवेतेन सूयते तम् स्वत्नपकमियां इस्ते - Satupatha, V. 31.6. they still retain the privileges of the rituatistic period—they are worshipped before every coronation. Likewise they retain pronounced traces of independence throughout their history (Chs. xxx, xxxi). The latter we can understand only with reference to this history of origin.

§ 214. The whole procedure symbolises the obtainment of the approval of the differentiated organs of government in his consecration to kingship. The seeking of approval does not rest here. Symbolic 'approval' (Anumati) of the Earth (Motherland) itself is requested and obtained. This is done before the estates of the Realm are approached.

"They then return (to the sacrificial ground) without "looking backward. -He now proceeds with the cake on eight "potsherds for Anumati. For A n u m a t i is this (Earth); and "whosoever knows to do that work which he intends to do, "for him indeed she approves (*anu-man*) thereof; hence it "is her he thereby pleases, thinking 'May. I be consecrated, "a p p r o v e d by that (genius of) approval !""--"

§ 215. The idea underlying is altogether human; there is no divinity about the person or the office of the sovereign.

"After the jewels he offers a pap to Soma and Radra." That the great gods should come after the secular officers was unpalatable to theologians, and they therefore give a fanciful explanation by introducing a myth that as offerings had been rendered to

. 1. Salopathe Brahmane, 7. 2 8.4, आयानुमस्या आहम्यासेन पुरोन्छायेन प्रवरतीयं वा आनुमसिः 'स वस्तन कर्म राक्नोति कर्तुं व विवकीर्वतीय थे हास्मे सनुनु सम्यते हरिमामेवैतत प्रीवास्यनपाञ्च मत्यानुमतः सूर्याऽइति । some unworthy of sacrifice, it was necessary to sacrifice to gods 'for enlightenment' (explation).¹

§ 216. The *Abhishechaniyam* or the Sprinkling Ceremony starts with sacrifices to a set of deities for instilling in the king-elect certain Abhishechavirtues necessary for his office. Savitā is prayed

for energy, the family fire for family virtues, Soma for capacity to protect forests, Brihaspati for eloquence, Indra for ruling capacity, Rudra for power to protect cattlewealth, Mitra for truth, and lastly Varuna for protection of law.

§ 217. Says the Satapatha Brāhmaņa: "Thereby "Varuņa the protector of the law makes him the protector of "the law, and that truly is a supreme state when one "is protector of the law, for whosever attains to the

Protector of Law "supreme state, to him, they come in c a u se s "of law."Here is a new theory of the monarchical days when the Brähmanas were written. The

sacred formula only contemplates the protection of the law as a necessary duty of the king, but the commentator takes it in the sense that one of the chief features of a 'full-fiedged' state must be that the law should be administered by the king or his officers ('for him they come in causes of law'). The old theory had been that the law of the community was administered by the community. The new theory was operating in actual life in the time of the Jätakas and it was fully extended in the imperial days of the Mauryas, when salaried judges not only dispensed royal justice but also administered royal laws.

1. Batapatha Bruhmana, V. S. S. 9. 1613. V. S. S. 9. दाथ बरुगाव धर्मपतये। बारमां यवमयं घरुं निर्वपति तुरेनं वरुम एव धर्मपतिर्धर्भस्य पति करोठि परमता वे सा को धर्मस्य पतिरसचो हि परम्तां मुद्दति

त 🔶 हि धर्मऽडपयुन्ति तस्माइत्र्याय धर्मपतये ॥

Ct. S. B E., XLI, p. 71.

§218. Waters are then collected from the sea and other reservoirs of the land, proclaiming in sacred formulas the Collection of name of the person for whose anointing they Woters were gathered. The waters are taken in each case with a poetic formula; "Self-ruling waters, ye are "bestowers of kingship, bestow ye kingship on. N. N."

In the description and details of the waters there is to be found a poetic finish to the symbolical constitutionalism. Waters are brought from the Sarasvati of historic memories, from the mighty rivers of the land, from the great Ocean. The sum total of these waters is yet to be contributed to by a humble pool of the country. The latter is invoked with the lofty address : "Pleasing ye are, Bestowers of kingship, bestow "ye kingship on. N. N." The comment on the sacred address of the Brāhmaņa is majestic and is reserved only for this insignificant reservoir; "He thereby makes the people "steady (the water of the pool being steady) and faithful to him." A common pool of the country over which he is going to rule is made a sacred source of his sovereign powers.

§ 219. The gods have been invoked to endow the potential king with ruling virtues 'for national rule', $j \bar{a} n a r \bar{a} j y \bar{a} y a$, 'for the ruling of the folk', yet the rivers of the land, the waters of India are prayed to as 'bestowers of state' to confer the actual status of kingship. Gods might give him virtues for 'national rule', but they could not give the kingship of the land; "it was the right of the waters in the land to do it. And they too only when combined from the highest to the lowest, could do it; hence the flattering

1 स्वराज स्व गड्रवा राष्ट्र मनुष्म इत, Ibid, V. S. S. S. इश्तेमोऽसि राष्ट्रवा राष्ट्र ममुष्म दे होति, Ibid. V. S. S. 6.

2 मान्धा स्व राष्ट द्रा राष्ट्र ममुप्म द्र्योति सामिरमि विन्वति... स्थावराम् वपकमबीं करोति... 1648. V. S. & 14. cf. Toittiring. Somerica, 1, 8. 11.

8. Of. Toittiriya Br. 1. 7. 6. 7.

address to a common country pool. An important conception is crystallised in this sacerdotal procedure. It is on the whole a crude symbolism but a symbolism enshrining a great idea for all ages.

§220. The Abhishechana is twofold, the first part is the sprinkling of waters by what may be described as different estates of the realm, the second is the theological and Abhisheobanu anointing on the head by the priest just before the king-elect ascends the throne (āsandI). A tiger 'skin is spread in front of the Mitrā-Varuņa's hearth and the king-elect steps upon it. Four men, one after another sprinkle him-a Brahmin, a kinsman of the king-elect, a Rājanya, and a Vaiśya which literally means 'one of the people'.1 The Sūdra is absent and the kinsman seems to be a tautology. The latter is not found in the corresponding Taittiriya ritual (Taitt. Br., I. 7. 8.) where the Priest as Brahmin, Rājanya, Vaišya, and lastly, J a n y a, do the besprinkling. The last one, Janya, stands for the Sūdra in the sense of a man of the 'hostile' tribe as in the Aitareva Brahmana, VIII. 26., and as originally he was. In later times the Śūdra always appears.

The king-elect then puts on a silk underwear, a mantle and a turban or diadem. ^a Our Satapatha Brāhmaņa does not approve of the dressing, and there is that artistic touch in the reason given which was common to the Hindus and the Greeks. "For the "limbs being his natural vestments "they deprive him of his native bodily "form"."

ື**ນ**

^{1.} Sat. Br., V. 8. 5. 11-14.

^{2.} Ushnisha is taken by some to be turban and by others diadem. The Rāmāyaņa has diadem (kiei/a), Yuddha K., 128, 64.

^{8.} Satapathii Brühmana, V. 8–5, 23.

§ 221. Then follows the Investiture, and Announcement. The priest gives him a strong bow with three arrows with the formula "Protect Investiture and Announcement while the king-elect is standing on the ground over the tiger-skin an Announcement is made.—the Åvid formulæ are called out: 1

"Informed are ye Men! Informed is the "house-lord Agni! Informed is the far-famed Indra! "Informed are Mitra and Varuna, the upholders of "the vow! Informed is Püshan (the lord of wealth)! "Informed are Heaven and Earth, all beneficial! "Informed is Aditi, of great shelter!"

The author of the Satapatha Brāhmaņa points out that the announcements are symbolical ^{*}; Agni symbolising the Brāhmaņas; Indra, the nobility; Pūshan the world of cattle and so on. In any case, the king-elect is expressly and firstly announced to men, whatever be the real import of the other $\bar{a}vids$. The $\bar{a}vids$ are made to obtain $p \in r$ m is s i o n or a p p r o v a l for the consecration, says the Satapatha $\frac{1}{2}$ and equal; 'and a p p r o v e d by them he is consecrated'.'

1. Vajasaneyi Samhita, X. 9 :---

अ विमध्यां भाविलो अग्निगृ हरतिरावित हन्त्रोष्ट्रहम्भा भावित्ते मित्राकर्त्वो रत्युतावा-विक्तः पूर्वा विग्ववेदा आविलो धावांप्रस्थिवी विग्वयम्भुवाबाविजादितिस्त्यमा ॥

2. Sat. Br , V. 2. 5. 21-37.

CHAPTER XXV

Coronation Ceremony of the Brahmana Period and

its Constitutional Significance (continued)

§ 222. After the Âvit-proclamation follows the Indra-ceremony of Sacred Abhisheka. (Satapatha Br., V. 3. 5. 2.) - The king-elect is unanimously Coronation regarded to have taken a vow (dhritavrata) before he is seated on the throne.¹ The vow, promise, or oath is again alluded to in the Taittirlya Br., (I. 7. 10, 1-6,) satya-sava "of true sacrifice", $s a t y a - d h a r m \bar{a}$ "of true (or faithful) conduct", satyān rite Varuņa h, "Varuņa in truth (or oath) and falsehood authority is. (or faithlessness)", satya-rājā "true king". To what engagement do these repeated expressions allude? The vow or engagement is not cited here. But, it is given in the very Indra ceremony in the Aitareya Brahmana. Evidently that was universally adopted, as the testimony of later books and practice proves. It is therefore simply alluded to and not repeated in other Brahmanas. The vow which the king-elect took, or, to use modern phraseology, the Coronation Oath, as given in the Aitareya Brāhmaņa is in these terms : •

1 निषसाद धतवतः Vajamneyi Saikhua X, 27; Toittiriya Saikhua, I. 8–16; Taittiriya Br., I. 7, 10–2; Aitareya Biahmana, VIII–18.

2 Aiturey Brahmana, VIII 15. [एतेनेन्द्रे वा सहाभिषेकेख इत्रियं शापयित्वा भभिषिञ्चेत् स म्र्यात् सह अदया] वाज्य रात्रीमजायेहं याज्य प्रतास्मि तदुभयमन्तरेग्रेष्टापूर्त्तं मे स्रोकं इक्तमायुः प्रजां पूज्जीया यदि ते प्र् होयमिति। ["Let the Kshatriya be sworn through this Great "Coronation of the Indra ritual. He is to repeat "with faith:] 'Between the night I am "born and the night I die, whatever "good I might have done, my heaven, my "life and my progeny may I be "deprived of, if I oppress[injure] you'"!

The business-like and contractual nature of the oath is noteworthy. There is no reference to any divine agency in the oath. It is purely human. It is humanly solemn. According to the Aitareya Brāhmaņa the oath was common to all constitutions. It was administered to the Ruler (Kshatriya) whatever the form of polity, whether he was desirous of being consecrated to Sāmrājya, Bhaujya, Svārājya, Vairājya, Pārameshthya, Rājya, Māhārājya, Âdhipatya, or Sārva-bhauma (Monarchy.)' As we shall deal with the history and effect of the Coronation-Oath presently we may pass on to the remaining ceremonials and their meaning.

§223. After the Announcement he is asked to ascend the wooden throne * (Å-sand1) spread upon with furs, generally with tiger-skin. The formulae for the occasion are four, and the four estates are asked thereby to protect the king-elect "as the precious treasure".

 स व इच्छेरेवंकित्वत्रियमय सर्वा जितीर्वयेताय सर्वाल्लों कान्त्रिय्तेताय मर्वेषां राजां अहामतिष्ठां परमतां गच्छेत साम्राज्य मौज्य स्वाराज्य वेराज्य पारमेष्ट्र/ राज्य माहाराज्यमाधि-परवमय समंतपर्यांगी स्वात्सार्वमौमः सार्वायुष प्राऽन्सादापरार्धात्प्रथिव्यै समुद्रपर्यन्ताया यद्य-राहिति तमेतेयेन्द्र` य महासियेकेय कत्रितं द्यापयित्वाऽनिचिवेत् ॥ Анक्ष्य, VIII, 15

² The w n o d o n t h r o n o was adhered to for coronation even when througs of ivery and gold were in general see. See Maho Bharste, (Kimbakonam) Santi Person, XXXIX.

§224. The point of greatest constitutional import is that the king is to be protected by the four estates of the realm. Protected by the people (in his office) he Protector is to carry on the administration. This principle was one of the accepted axioms of Hindu politics : rāsh(reņa rājā ryasane parirakshyastathā bhavet.¹ "Ascend thou the East.....may the spring season, the "priesthood protect thee (aratu arag), that precious treasure. "Ascend thou the South.....may the Kshatra protect thee, that "precious treasure. Ascend thou the West.....may the Vis "protect thee, that precious treasure. Ascend thou the North..."...may the P h a l a " protect thee, that precious "treasure".

He is said to 'ascend the quarters'. It means that his installation is all-sided.

§225. Just before he ascends, he steps upon a goldplate; and through a gold plate perforated with a hundred or nine holes the waters are by the Priest sprinkled over his head by the priest, with the following sacred text."

भोमस्य त्वा द्यू से नाभिविश्चाम्यग्नेर्झा तसा सूर्यस्य वर्चसा इन्द्रस्येन्द्र्यिण । स्रुताणां स्रुत्रपतिर्ध्यतिदि्धून् पाहि ॥ २ ॥

2, 4, 13-14. Though of wood ('Lhodira', cutechs) it was elaborate, as Brähmana descriptions show. The design of the throne of the Bharatas is famous in the rituals.

- 1 MBh Santi P, Ch. 180, 32, (Kumbakonam)
- 2 Evidently standing for the Sudra.

3 These texts occur in the Fäjasancyl Saishitä (Sukla Fajarreda). Chapter IX, ver. 40. and Chapter X vv., 17 and 18. These two Chapters (IX and X)of the Sambits give mantras for goyal consecration from which different coromonials have been evolved in the Brähmanas. हमं देवा अस्पक्ष ७ सुवद म महते झुताय महते ज्येध्राय महते जानराज्यायेन्द्रस्येन्द्रियाय ॥ हम ममुष्य पुत्रममुष्ये पुत्रमस्यै विश प्र वो टमी राजा सोमोऽस्माकं ब्राह्मणानाकं राजा ॥

"With Soma's glory I sprinkle thee! with Agni's "glow! with Surya's splendour! with Indra's energy! be "thou the sovereign protector of the ruling powers!

"Make him, O gods, to be unrivalled for great ruler-"s hip, for great superiority, for great n a tional rule, "for Indra's energy make him, the son of (the man) "X. X. and (of the woman) Y. Y, and of the people "Z. Z. This man, O ye People! is your "king, he is Soma, king of us Brāhmaņas!"

'People' (fag) according to Åpastamba, Baudhäyana and Kātyāyana (Śrauta Sūtras quoted by Sāyaņa) means 'N a tion', e.g. the 'B h a r a t a s', the 'K u r u s', the 'P a ñ c h ā l a s'. Kātyāyana explains 'the People' by the word Jāti. He speculates that territory was not (originally) fixed (अनवस्थिता 'fluctuating'), hence ris (the people) was used. In place of 'the People!' the Taittiriya Samhitā (I. 8, 10) of the Krishņa Yajurveda gives 'O Bharatas' [पत्र वो भरता राजा] which shows that the explanation of the Śrauta-sūtra-kāras is correct. The Yajurveda or the Veda of Rituals (formulæ) was evidently composed in the land of the Bharata monarchy (Delhi-Agra).

§ 226. Soma is the life-giver of the vegetable king-

dom or Vanuspatis.¹ Owing to the connexion of the Brahmins with the sacrificial Soma the deity Soma was Brahmin and **Taxation** considered to be their special deity. Here the king is consecrated as king of the whole people including the Brahmins, and the priest expresses this by calling him Soma.² The sacerdotalist author of the Satapatha, however, gives a questionable explanation of the closing sentence in the Vedic text above quoted. He says that it means that Soma and not the king was the king of the Brahmins. This is inconsistent with the existence of the indicative 'this,' 'esha' in the text, the naming of the people or nation and the homage when the Brahmin resigns his privilege in the person of the king.^{*} The Satapatha marks the last stage of the Brahmana period and it seems that the Priest-Brahmins about that time began to assert a claim of freedom from taxes. The Satapatha explains that the meaning of the exception is that the king is to receive his sustenance from all others except the Brahmin.* In the Aitareya Brāhmaņa, however, the Brahmin is fully subordinate to the king', and so he appears to be in the Jātakas. The Vājasaneyi-Brahmana Upanishad which belongs to the school of the Satapatha places the Brahmin under the king. (तस्मात क्षत्रात्परं नास्ति तसाहाह्यणः क्षतियमधस्तपास्ते राजसूये'Hence there is none above 'the Ruler, hence Brahmin sits under Kshatriya in Rājasūya'

¹ Väjusaneyi Samhitä, Ch. IX. v. 89.

² See below under 'Homage' where the king is called a Brahmin made mighty through the strength of the whole people. Cf. the address to the king by the pricet "Brahmin thou art! Savitar thou art! Varuna thou art (etc)" in Vdjasaney? Samhita, X. 28, with the 'Soma' here,

³ Satepathe Brokingen, V. 4. 2.3., सदस्मा 5 इद र् स्वमार्थ करोति बाह्यसमेवापोदरति तल्माद्वाद्यसी गावः सोमराजा दि भवति ।

⁴ Aitaireya Biähmana, VII. 20.

(IV. II.). The Taittiriya school does not accept the interpretation of the Satapatha. Bhatta Bhāskara explains the Vedic text as denoting that as a Brahmin must never be without a king, he is supposed to be under Soma for the period before a king is consecrated, and after the king is consecrated, the king becomes his king also (अस्माकं आक्षणानां सोमो राजा, अपुना अब चेति । सर्वदा सरजका वर्ष स्वामिश्राय:). Taittiriya Veda, Mysore, III. pp. 157-8). The Aitareya implies that he becomes the Protector of Brahmins and Protector of Law (VIII. 12).

The claim of the Satapatha author is limited to a freedom from taxation in favour of the Brahmin. V as is h t h a in his Dharma-Sāstra (1. 45),¹ on the authority of the comment of the Satapatha, deduces the rule that a Brahmin should not be taxed, and gives a further reason that he pays his taxes by allowing on e-s ix t h o f h is g o o d d e e d s to the king ! (1. 44). It seems that originally there was a difference of opinion between the Dharma school and the Artha school on the question of exemption claimed for the Vedic Brahmin The politicians did not admit the claim. The M & n a v a A r t h a-S \bar{a} s t r a (a work of authority referred to in the Mahā-Bhārata also, but not yet discoverd) is quoted by S o m ad e v a in his NItivākyāmrita (C. VII.) which says that even those practising austerities in the forest and living by gleaning corn from the fields pay one-sixth of it to the king. It

। 1 राजातुधर्मेबातुवासत्यइ धनस्य हरेत्र । ४२ ।

"The king when ruling lawfully should take one-sixth of wealth." प्राथम माइरवात # 82 # "Except from the Brahmin". इष्टाप्तरण तु, पटांश सजतीति द # 88 # "For "he divides with him one-sixth share of his virtuous deeds indeed" जाहावा) बेदसावर will माहावा चापद डद्राति सम्माद्वादावा वास: ! सोमोअल्य राजा अवतीति द # 82 # "The Brahmin coriches the Veda, the Brahmin rescaes from selamity; hence the "Brahmin is not to be taxed. 'Some becomes his king' (Satepatha) indeed." is the (share) of him who protects them (उडडपड्सागप्रदानेन वनस्था अपि तपत्विनो राज्ञानं सम्भावयन्ति : तस्यैव तद्भ्यात् यस्तान् गोपायति इति॥) Final settlement seems to be that the Priest-Brahmin alone was exempted. The Mahā-Bhārata' (Sānti, lxxvi. 5) makes Brahmins who are not Vedic priests liable to taxation. Manu's Dharma Code also limits the exemption to the Vedic priest, Srotriya (VII. 133).³

The treatment of the passage from the coronation ceremonial by lawyers like Vasishtha proves that the constitutional bearing of the ceremonials and formulæ was evident to the ancient Hindus. They were regarded as basis of constitutional law by code-writers.

§227. After three steps he ascends the wooden throne Vesting of • and he is addressed as in the Vājapeya with Sovernignty these constitutional sentences taken from the Samhitā:

र्यं ते राट्।…यन्तासि यमनो भ्रुवोऽसि धरुणः। इन्ये त्वा क्षीमाय त्वा रय्ये त्वा पोषाय त्वा॥ 3

(1) "To thee this State is given; (2) "thou art the director and regulator, thou "art steadfast and bearer (of this state or responsibility) "(3) to thee (this State is given) for a griculture, for "well-being, for prosperity, for develop-"ment". After the first sentence is pronounced, he is made "to sit down.

। आग्रोत्रियाः सर्व पुते सर्वे चानाहिताग्नवः। सान्सर्वान् धार्मिको राजा बलि वि हि च कारगत् ॥ M. Bh.S., S., 76, 5.

- : जिसमाशोऽज्याददीत न राजा भोजियात्म्यस्य । .M. VIL 133.
- Satapatha, V. 2, 1, 25.

Е

The theological interpreter emphasises that it is by virtue of the above formula that sovereignty vests in the man. "By that he is endowed with royal "a ut hority". To thee this State is given' is the most sacred text uttered at the Hindu coronation. It bore such a mighty solemn consequence as the vesting of sovereignty in one man. The terse comment of the author of the Brāhmana is immensely important in the history of the institution of Hindu Kingship. It is this sacred act of delivering the trust that kingship depended upon, and not on any other principle such as that of succession, or inheritance.

The purpose for which 'the State is given' is defined, 'for culture, well-being, prosperity, development' and is generally summed up in the expression: 'for the weal'; as the Commentator explains (साध्ये स्वा:). It is not a gift; it is a trust, and a trust made sacred by the most sacred rites.

The conception armoured in sacredness is wholly human. The son of X X and Y Y is made the king of the people Z. Z. He is not the son or lieutenant of any God. Nor is he appointed by any superhuman spirit. He is appointed by man, anointed by man. Gods are invoked to aid him, just as they are invoked in any other undertaking. But they do not confer the State. That is done by the human act expressed in the words—'To thee the State is given^{*}.

§ 228. These sentences are taken from mantra 22, Chapter IX of the Samhitā. The original mantra begins with salutations to the "M ot her Land" [जमो मात्र प्रायस्य जमो मात्र प्रायस्या…] and she is pointed out to the King-elect as the State or Sovereignty. The modern editions of the Salapatha give the

.

6

¹ Ibid.

words **साधवे स्वा** after **पोवाय स्वा** with an intervening **g**त. The Samhilā shows that these words are not part of the sacred text. They must have been used by the author of the *Satapatha* as explanatory.

§229. Now we come to comparatively unimportant and less rigid post-Abhisheka ceremonies.

The "vow-holder" steps down from the throne and puts on shoes of boar-skin, ' and takes a symbolical short Post-Abhisheka Commonies. Bynikolism denoting Supremary of Law. Was composed.

The King comes back immediately to the throne which he again ascends while the priest recites : 'Sit thee on 'the pleasant soft-seated throne !'³ Then follows an exceedingly queer procedure. The king's person is silently touched on the back with a rod which is the symbolic sceptre of justice, ' conveying by the action the view of the sacred common law that the king was not above but under the law. ' The interpretation given of this procedure is an amusing piece of euphemism. The commentator says that it is done to carry the king's person beyond 'judicial destruction' (danda-radha) !

- 1 Satapatha Brähmaya V. 4. 8, 19,
- 2 Bid., V. 4, 4, 23 etc.
- 8 Ibid., V. 4. 4. 4.

4 16.d., V. 4. 7. आयेन प्रहतस्तूच्यामिव दगुइ में न्ति । त दग्रई में न्तो दग्रदवधमति-

मधन्ति तल्माङ्ग्रा प्रक्यो मुदेनं द्वउपधमतिमुबन्ति ॥

8 Cf. Mann, VII.

§230. Amongst the post-abhisheka ceremonies, the homage and its symbolical acknowledgment are most important both from the ritualistic and constitutional points of view. The set formulae with fixed epithets and adjectives and their universal and uniform occurrence in the Sruti literature indicate sacerdotal rigidity and the consequent importance of the function.

§231. The King seated on the throne is surrounded by the Ratnins sitting below, by Brahmins as an estate of the realm, by Brahmins as priests, by nobles, by the Grāmani and others. The homage to the King is first paid by the Brahmins both as estate and as priest in the council of the Ratnins. The homage of the estates is preceded by the homage of the King to the Prithivi, the Earth, or the Land :--

पृथिवि मातमा मा हिर् सीमां 5 महं त्याम् ।

"Mother Prithiv, injure me not, "nor I thee."

"This is performed, says the interpreter, 'lest She "should shake him off.""

In the prologue of the function to the address 'O, Brāhmaņa' by the king—the reply comes forth interrupting the king ': 'Thou art Brāhmana, thou art Varuņa 'of true power.' 'Thou art' Brāhmana, mighty

1 Satepatha Brähmanne, V. 4 3. 20. मेर्ब नाषपूच्यीत. According to the author of the Satepatha the constry and the king antered into friendly relations for a mother does not injure her son, nor does a son, injure his mother,' जादि साता पुत्र 4. दिवस्टि ज

INT HIGH M Regelling, S. B E., Vol. ILI., p. 142.

2 Vajamery Settista, X. 28. Taittiefye, Br., I. 7. 10.

'through the strength of the whole People (Viš) I' Five times, five individual Brahmins and priests, the king tries to address by the privileged designation, and in all cases the title of privilege is, so to say, resigned in the sovereign's favour,¹ and the sovereign and the popular representative character of the king ('through the strength of the people') is pointed out.

"A Brāhmaņa or a priest then offers the sacrifi-"cial sword" to the king, the increaser of the public prosperity." The sword thus received, he passes on as symbol of authority to all the state of ficers and the village headmen. And he demands their co-operation by quoting gracefully the very words of fealty used by the Brahmin - *Rule for me therewith*', (tena me radhya). It has a double meaning 'serve me therewith', (tena me radhya)." In the latter case the second meaning is intended. The command for co-operation is even directed to the Sajāta, an individual member of the nation^{*}.

§232. The new king does not stop here. To impress that the administration, like a game of dice, is not possible by a single man, he asks the *Ratains* to a symboli-Game of cal game of dice. The bet is a cow, brought for Game of the occasion by an ordinary member of the

...

¹ Evidently the idea in that the Brahmin may not now be addressed by his privileged designation of superiority. The superiority which is given to the king by the whole action including the Brahmin makes the Hindu king legally and constitutionally superior to all observes and context.

² Satapatha Br., V. 4, 4, 15.

^{8.} Bod., V. 4. 4. 14. Let "the much worker, better worker, more worker",

^{4.} A physic in which the suther of the Sateparts. (V. 4. 4, 13 to 19) lands himself by not realising the pur-

⁵ Receiving costly presents in homage and making generous gifts in return which abnormally developed in later times and which Muhammadan mounrohs continued, is not known to the rituals oven in symbols.

^{6 15(2),} V. 4 4, 20-25.

community. Thus in this great g a me of g overnment which the king and his ministers were going to play, there was laid that sacred bet. The bet was the wealth of the most humble member of the community. It was willingly and graciously offered by the humble citizen. It was placed in their trust by a Sajāta, 'one born together' with the players, or, as Sāyaņa explains, 'one of equal birth', i.e., one of the Nation. There is a constitutionalism put here in physical symbols; there is pathos intermingled with duty. The abstract has been thickly clad in the concrete.

§ 233. Now the chief features of the ceremonies comprised in 'Hindu Coronation' are before the reader. In

Summary modern language they may be summed up and expressed for the sake of clearness in a few sentences ;---

(a) Hindu kingship was a human institution.

(b) Hindu kingship was elective;¹ the electorate being the whole People.

(c) Hindu kingship wasa contractual engagement.

(d) Hindu kingship wasan office of State, which had to work in co-operation with other offices of State.

(e) Hindu kingship was a trust, the

1 A son of the king-aloot once plays a little part in a minor ritual. Satupatha, V. 4. 2. 8. But it is not found in corresponding a pince in the Krishna Yajut ritual.

38

trust being the tending of the country to prosperity and growth.

(f) Hindu kingship is expressly not arbitrary.

(g) Hindu kingship was not above the law but under it.

(h) Hindu kingship was primarily national and secondarily territorial.²

This constitutional conception is not undeserving of our philosophic forefathers. The Hindu race did not care solely for the world-after. Here, in one instance, we see the Hindu, of flesh and blood, and of sinews and muscles. It is surely not the despicable picture which represents them as an unholy assemblage of spiritual imbeciles, born to 'bow before the blast and plunge in thought again.'

§231. The Brāhmaņas do not recognise such a thing as hereditary succession. Each king must be consecrated as such and no reference is made to the previous successions in the rituals. This was due to the elective origin of the Vedic kingship. In fact, established, as in theory, Hindu kingship had not yet become hereditary in the time of the Brāhmaņas. The in c e p t i o n

1 Soon after-in the Upanishadic period-a new duty is placed on royal administration. The prosperity of the subjects should be not only material, but also moral. When five great theologians went to Afvapati, king of Kekaya, he said with satisfaction --

न मे स्तेनो जनपदे न कद्यों न मचपः । इ नाहिताफ़ि नविद्वास स्वेरी स्वेरियी कुतः ॥

In my kingdom there is no thief, no coward, no drunkard, no man without the encrificial fire set up in his house, no one uneducated, no adulterer, "much less an adulterous" (Chhändogya Up, V 11.7.) Here we have the beginning of that theory which becomes an axiom in later times, that political rule of the king is responsible for the usual condition of the people and that he is responsible for good and bad times.

2 See 'King of the people Z.Z.' and the Collection of the Waters and the Homege to the Land,

hereditary principle, however, of the is. discernible. According to the opinion do one school, if the coronation was desired for the life-time of the kingelect, only the first syllable of the Vyāhrili-'Bhūh' q., was to be pronounced, if it was for two generations, 'bhurbhuvah' (are) and if for three generations, bhurbhuvah' Svah (برباع: सः) the complete formula was to be repeated.⁴ This was the opinion of one school of ritualista as indicated in the Aitareya Br. A historical reference to this theory is found in the inscription of Khāravela where coronation for one generation is mentioned,* which naturally implies that coronation for generations more than one was possible. The tendency to hereditary kingship is corroborated further by the occurrence of rājā nam rāja-pitar a m ('king and father of a king') in the Aitareya Br. VIII. 12, while no such adjective to republican Scaraj or Viraj is added. But the rituals as originally designed were for each generation, and the one generation consecrated became the rule in practice for all ages to come, even when kingship became hereditary.

§ 235. Before we leave this period we have to take note of the sacred ceremony indicating the fact of deposition. Books xix to xxi of the Ceremony for deposed king Sukla Yajurveda prescribe formulæ of the Sautrāmaņi sacrifice which a dethroned monarch performed. The Taittiriya Brāhmaņa

1. Aitareya Brāhmaņa, VIII., 7.

मुरिति व इच्छे दिममेव प्रत्यसम्पादित्यव व इच्छेद्र हिपुरुव सम्बद्धात्र व इच्छे लिपुरुवं वा ऽप्रतिमं वा भूर्भुवः क्वरिति ।

CE also 'rfijfnars rfjapitaram' in V(II, 12.

1. J.B.O.R.S. 111, 41.

of the Krishna Yajurveda similarly recommends the Sautrāmanī to a deposed monarch. Deposition thus in this period is as much a recognised practice as in the early Vedic times. Its existence in later times is thus sanctioned by previous history.

Taittilrya Brahmana, I. 4. 2. See also Sayana on it (Å nandairama ed. I, p. 179).

CHAPTER XXVI

Coronation in Later Times

§ 236. In later times all the constitutional principles upon which the ritualistic coronation was based we find being acted upon, with modifica-Leading Principle tions in details to suit changed and changing remains Vedie circumstances. According to the Mahā-Bhārata, Yudhishthira 'worshipped' his Ministers before his coronation.¹ Here ministers stand for the Vedio Ratnins. At the proposed coronation of Rāma as King-assistant (Yuvarāja)-as described in the Rāmāyana," according to the practice and ideas current in the days of its composition³-we find the Janapada and the Pauras present in place of the Grāmani and Sajātas and the guild of merchants and traders in place of the Vedic 'rathakāras' and 'karmāras.' In the Mahā-Bhārata at royal coronation the of Yudhishthira we see the Brahmins, the owners of the land, the Vaisyas and all the respectable

- 1 'Wwwater Haniter' Sabha-Parson, Ch. XIII 4, 26. 29
 - 2 Ramoyoya, Dk II, Ch. XIV, v. 82,

बद्तिप्टत रामस्य समयमजिवेषणम् । यौरजामपदास्यापि मैममण्ड इठाण्डाझः ॥

3 "The cumulative evidence of the above arguments makes it difficult to avoid the conclusion that the kernel of the *Römöysyn* was composed before 508 B.C., while the more recent portions were probably not added till the second century B.C. and later." Macdonell, *Samskrit Literature*, p. 309 The view is in agreement with Jacobi's analysis (Das Römöysyn).

6 See below Obspiers XXVII and XXVIII.

Südras invited.' In the Ramayana' the Brahmins, Ministers, Knights (Kshatriyas) and members of guilds (which had all castes in them) sprinkle the king with waters brought from the and seas rivers. A new element introduced of is the representation womanhood: unmarried girls also take part in the be-sprinkling. In the Mahā-Bhārata all the representatives of the subjects led by Dhaumya and Krishna consecrate Yudhishthira.* The emperor receives presents and makes gifts of honour. According to NIlakantha (Nilimayūkha) the four chief ministers (मुख्याबात्यचतुष्टयम्), Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaisya and Sūdra by caste, consecrated the new king. Then the leaders (Mukhyāb) of each Varna and of the castes lower still (gigigalargealsa) consecrated him with holy waters, नानातीर्थसमुद्रवः). Then followed Acclamation by the twice-born (द्विजकोलाहलेन च). The king next sat amongst ministers and representatives of the people. Citizens of the Capital, merchants, traders, leaders of the bazar (प्रोधवरान) and others who were introduced by the gentleman-usher to the king (प्रतिहार: प्रयोगेत). A procession through the streets of the capital concluded the ceremony." According to the Brahma-Purāna, quoted in the Viramitrodaya-Rājaniti-prakāša

1 Sabhā-Parcas XXXIII, 41, 42. Kumbakonam ed., C. XXXVII,

चामन्त्रयध्वं राष्ट्रेषु बाह्ययान्सूमिपानय ।

विराग्य मान्यान्शुद्रांग्य स्थांगानयतेति आ॥

2 Yuddhak5mla, 128.63 (Bombay) स्ट्रिविनिम्नाइरवीः पर्व कम्पाभिर्मन्त्रिभिस्तया। योथैरचैवाभ्यविज्यंस्ते संप्रहष्टीः सनै गमैः ॥

8 Santi, C. XLL.

4 Bruares, 1880, pp. 2.3, तसो अन्नासनगतं to बन्नामात्योऽभिवेषयेत् ।

5 The king's nohutsha' diadem, had five arease (likis); that of the Queen, three, of the Yuvarsja, three; and of the Seuspati, one. Ibid. p. 4.

(p. 46) the king after the ceremony went round the capital on an elephant, re-entered the palace, and offered worship or honour to all the leaders of the Paura (aquita gf alay a gc qui a an algorithm and a solution of the Paura (aquitant particular and a solution ceremony as laid down in the Atharvanaparisishta, the king after the ceremony allowed audience to Brahmins, and saluted the wives of the leaders of the subjects, Associations or Guilds, whereupon they gave him blessings.

This procedure is really the same in essentials as we find in the Brähmana period, with an extension of the principle of representation. We find the Elders of Pañchāla *i.e.*, the members of an association similar to or identical with the Paura and Jänapada of Pañchāla, doing the *Abhisheka* of the new king of Kānyakubja in the time of Dharma Pāla, as recorded in his Khālimpur copper plate.

§ 237. Similarly the Coronation Oath now called Pratijñä, was administered. In the Coronation Mahā-Bhārata, it is given in terms which correspond to the oath given in Aitareya Brāhmaņa.

The oath is called by the Mahā-Bhārata a Sruti, which denotes that the oath was based on Vedic text. As the Aitareya enjoins that the oath should be repeated 'with faith' (saha-traddhayā), so here it had to be pronounced with out any mental reservation:

1. Cited by Mitra-Misra in V. M. R. P. 114.

ततला वर्षन देव जाहावाणां जूपेय त ।

भे बीत्रकृतिमुख्यानां स्त्रीजनं च नमस्करेत 👔

धाशिषस्ते हि दाक्वलित......

२ 'इण्यत्वन्धासहत्वोत् तवनवमयस्याभिवेकोर्झभ्मो इत्तः जीकान्यहन्तः' Бр Ind., IV. p. 248. 6ee below, Ch. XXVII.

COBONATION IN LATER TIMES

प्रतिद्वाञ्चाभिरोद्दल मनसा कर्म्मणा गिरा । पालयिष्याम्यदं भौमं ब्रह्म इत्येच चासछत् ॥ यश्चात धर्म्मा नीत्युक्तो दएडनीतिष्यपाभयः । तमशङ्कः करिष्यामि स्वयशो न कदाचन ॥ 4

"Mount on the Pratijñā (take the oath)" "from your heart (without any mental reservation), "in fact and by word of mouth;

(a) "I will see to the growth of the "Country, regarding it as God Himself "and (this) ever and always;

(b) "Whatever law there is here and "whatever is dictated by Ethics and "whatever is not opposed to politics I "will act according to, unhesitatingly. "And I will never be arbitrary"."

To the royal oath the people pronounced 'A m e n', (QUANG). The most remarkable feature about the Hindu Coronation Oath is still retained; there is not insunique a trace of superstitious or mystic element in it.

It is in this respect in unique contrast with the royal oaths of other countries.*

1 Sunti-Parvan (Calcutta) lix. 106, 107. Kumbakonam edition, lviii. 115, 116. The reading in the Southern recension is utility and the second of aligning of Bengal it has greated which does not give a satisfactory meaning.

2 Mount or ascend (on the Pratijns) is with reference to the symbolic ascent to the shrone and to all the quarters. P r a t i j n f literally means acknowledgment', 'affirmation' or 'vow'.

8 The original word is any i.e. "all that belongs to the country."

4 The original word is **(appy)**. In the Ailaneya **equipty** form of monarchy is mentioned. The Mahā-Bhā-ata here shows that it denoted a u tooracy and that it stood discredited in the country.

5 Cf. article on Oath in the Enc. Britt. (Eleventh Edition).

••

§ 238. "O Rājan! of the Bharata race! how this "title Rājā as at present understood (signifying 'monarch') evolved : please tell me that Grandfather [] Discussion on Rajan and "history" of Having hands and arms and neck like others; Coronation subject to pain and pleasure like others; having Oath in the Mabs-Bbarata intelligence and faculties like others ; subject to "pain and _leasure like others ; having back, face and stomach "like others ; having similar albumen, bone and marrow; "similar in flesh and sinews ; similar in inhaling and exhaling "breath ; in body and life similar to others ; equally subject to "birth and death; an equal in all the attributes "which men possess-how should he, one man, "rule over men of uncommon intelligence and herces ? How "should he alone rule the whole country full of brave, and "heroic Hindus ? Again, although he is protect-"ing, yet he seeks the satisfaction and "pleasure of the community. At the "same time, the whole community is "pleased by his, the one man's, pleasure. "and when the one man is in distress all "become distressed. This is a settled principle. "I want to hear on this from you, O Chief of the Bharatas. "Please expound to me the subject with underlying principles "exhaustively, O you the Great Expounder. The reason of "this, O Leader of the people ! could not be insignificant, for "to this one man the whole creation looks for guidance as if "he were a God."1

This was the question put by Yudhishthira which elicited from Bhishma a history of kingship and of the Coronation Oath.

1 Eanti-Parson (Calcutta) LIX 5-18 (Kanshakonan LVIII 5-8), ब एव राजन्राजेति जम्ब्र्यारति भारत । कममेष समुस्पत्रफान्मे क हि फिलमह 8 % 8

The 'not-insignificant' reason was explained by Bhishma with a professed historical account of the institution of Hindu monarchy. "There was no monachy and no monarch." he related, in early times, and that then the people protected one another by law. As they thus lived, they found in time that mutual co-operation was not sufficiently powerful and 1 s w itself began to suffer. These men in consultation with Gods decided to elect a monarch. The gods gave them Virājas who however refused to be king. His three successors followed as 'Protectors' (Rakshayitās), the fourth one 'built an empire and became arbitrary.' Evidently they had not taken any oaths, coming, as it is said, from gods to men. The fifth Protector of divine origin, called V e n a proved to be quite 'unlawful' to the people, and he was deposed and executed. Thereupon the men (the wise)' elected a man called Prithu, a

> तुत्स्पायिभुजपीवस्तुल्यबुद्धीन्द्रियात्मकः । दुत्स्यतुःसद्धस्यात्मा च तुल्यप्रष्टमुखोदरः ॥ ६ ॥ तुल्पशुक्रास्थिमज्जा च तुल्यप्रायार्थाते रवान् ॥ ७ ॥ सिंश्वासोच्छ्वासतुरूयम्च तुल्यप्रायार्थाते रवान् ॥ ७ ॥ समानजन्मसरयाः समः सर्वेर्गु योर्नृ याम् । विधिष्टबुद्दीन्धूरांग्च क्यमेकोऽधितिष्ठति ॥ ८ ॥ दत्तत्त्वपि च सोक्ट्य प्रसादमभिवाण्डति ॥ ८ ॥ एकत्वचु प्रसादेन इत्लो लोकः प्रसीदति । व्यक्ति बाकुलः सर्वे अवसीति विजिष्चयः ॥ १० ॥ प्रतिच्छाम्यद्दं भोतुं त्यसो दि भरतर्थम । इत्ला तन्मे प्रयातस्य प्रमू हि वदतां वरा ॥ ११ ॥ नैतत्स्कारबमत्यस्य भविष्यति विद्यापते । बदेकस्मिन्ज्यसर्स्वं देवयधाति सत्वतिम् ॥ १९ ॥

' I fishin. In this description there is a tendency to appropriate the credit of destroyin the perudo-historical tyrant, to Brahma-philosophers and Richie. There are clear

47

descendant of Vena. He promised faithfulness' and the above oaths were administered to him. He ruled successfully in accordance with law and his undertaking. The people were pleased with him and he obtained the title Rājā ('Pleaser.')

§239. Such is a pseudo-historical theory devised to explain the Hindu Coronation Oaths by political writers. The germs of the theory go back to the \$atapathaBrāhmaņa³ which says that Prithu Vainya was the first

traces of a subsequent brahmanisation of the theory in certain places. All the parties, it is mid in the beginning of the obapter, went to the Greator for advice on the appointment and election of a king. Why in subsequent procedure, then, should the Richie alone figure prominently ? The reply may be given that Rishie were from, and represented, all the three Aryan veryas. Yet there is no doubt as to a leaning towards Brahmin prominence. In the same book combined action by all the vargas in a similar matter is discussed. The explanation of the fact that the Mahs-Bharata, the Ramsyana and the Menava Dharma S'astra bear very strong marks of an overstatement of Brahmin claim, attributable to the same period and to almost the same pens and kauds, is found in the political history of the 2nd Century B.C. At that time a great Brahmin(P a ch y a m i t r a) actually accended the throne of India and brought about a mighty religious and social revolution as against the previous political and religious systems. When the Brahmin ruler crushed the Greek power and saved Hindu civilization, the claims could be made with some justification, and in view of the great success and popularity of the new regime, could become current with greater case than they would have been otherwise. Both the epice clearly state that they were revised (. g., Sti Wight (SHI) Ramayana Bk. VI. Ch. 123, 105 and 110) and Mahs. Bharats (Bk.I) Their attacks on Huddhism and the political data which in the case of the R&msysys exclusively and in the case of the Mahs-Bharata mostly, belong to the 2nd Century B C. prove that revision to have been made in the early S'unga period. Overstatement of Brahmin claim in them therefore should not mislead us. It can be fortunately corrected in the light of inscriptions, the Jatakas and other Pali works and bucks like the Artha-8'4 stra, the Dharma Sütras, and the records left by foreign observers.

1 बन्मी अक्नो बर्श नित कार्यमर्थसमन्दितम् ।

त्तर्द वः करिप्यामि मात्र कार्यां विवारसा ॥

"Whatever you gentlemen tell me, proper for me to do in accordance with the Science of Politics, I will do for you without any objection." MBA, Santi, LIX, 102.

3 रणिततारण प्रजास्तवां तेन राजेति राज्यते । Ibid, veres 128.

3 Sets path a $B + \bar{a} + m a + a$, V. 2.5. 4. Vainya is known even to the *Rig-ouls* VIII. 9. 10. In the *Fik* he appears to be a *Rishi* and a historical person. II, 1.12, 15 } anointed king of the Hindus. The theory implies that the Oath originated with kingship, that it was as old as kingship itself. An analysis of the oaths discloses the following position of the

Hindu king.

1. That the trust in his hand—the tending ('I will see to the growth,' ' $p\bar{a}layishy\bar{a}mi$ ') of the country—is the foremost solemn obligation of the sovereign.

2. That the country put under his care is to be regarded by him as nothing less than God,¹ which implies sincerity, respect and a we. The relation is far from being patriarchal, theocratic or aristocratic.

3. That he is expressly not to be arbitrary. He is bound by the law, is brought under the law. He undertook to act according to the law established. He was further bound by the rules of political science. These two were to regulate his actions in internal administration and foreign relations. And he undertook never to disregard them.

§240. Nations of antiquity and nations of our own times have devised Coronation Oaths for their kings. But none more forcibly brings to the notice of the new EffectofCoronation Oath inactuallife king the all-p owerful, the all-sacred position of the Country he is going torule. To offend against that country was to offend against God Himself. Having once uttered this oath it was impossible to forget it. If a Hindu monarch failed to keep his coronation oath he would be a-s at y a-pratijña (aqacaadaa a) and a-s at y a-s and ha (aqacaadaa a), 'false in his vow,' and he

1. The subjects are called God (Vislopu) in an Abhisheka text quoted by Chaudeévara (RNR_{∞} ch. XVI):

भाषारभ्य न मे राज्य राजाओं रज्जनु प्रजाः । इति सबं प्रजाविष्णुं साज़ियां भाषुपेन्सुहुः॥

would forfeit his title to remain on the throne. That the Coronation Oath was not an empty formality is evidenced by the fact that kings at times said with pride that they were true to their oaths. The hinduised R, u d r a d a m a n was anxious to declare in his inscription that he was s, a t y apratijña (सत्यप्रतिज्ञ), that he never levied taxes which were not lawful. The charge of breaking the oath was at times constructively extended.) If ... e monarch failed to maintain the integrity of the state he was considered guilty of breaking his vow. Brihadratha Maurya who was weak as ruler and during whose reigh the Greeks made a second attempt at conquering India, was removed from the throne, and was called (2.9.by Bana) woak in kooping his Pratijna (Pratijna-durbala). The king having taken the oath to act according to the law as established, if he acted unlawfully and committed a crime he would be considered to have broken faith and his action would be illegal, for which the people who had installed him would remove him. The Jatakas", tradition, literature and history furnish illustrations. In the Maha Bharata the plea for the deposition and execution of the tyrant Vena was that he was unlawful v id h a r m a); the formal deposition of NA g a-D as a k a of Magadha and his punishment was due to his parricide.' King P & I a k a of the Mrichchhakatika was deposed because he had incarcerated Aryaka without the latter having committed any crime.

Epig # ap bis I n die a. VIII. pp. 48. 144. 11 See V. BhaAnd. Pl Late die Long and an attanti gait fangentennung 1 a d time in grat gan: and fog an 1 33 1011 ME al tantagen al d tain affart 1 H HERU AE-dau 1 1 tain affart 1 H HERU AE-dau 1 12 tain affart 1 H HERU AE-dau

- 10 G

drew upon the old traditions of his firm boundy." . . .

§241. The oath was deemed to be the essential sacrament down to later Muhammdan times. The ceremonials of coronation as then prevalent are not the same in Cornation Oathin Middle all details as in the Brahmanas. The coronation a g e s and later procession has developed out of the simple chariot drive. The king, as we have seen, holds a Sabhā where the leaders of the community are introduced to him. The modifications are many and considerable. But the Coronation Oath is the oath of the Aitareya Brāhmaņa, and Hindu lawyers' did register it inspite of their mediæval ideals of kingship. Inspite of vicissitudes in fortune, inspite of contact with contrary doctrines, the Hindu race did not forget the coronation oath devised by their Vedic forefathers. Thanks to sacerdotalism, the oath has been preserved for history and posterity.

§242. Kingship had become hereditary.^{*} Yet the theory that Hindu kingship is elective was never forgotten. This was due to, I think two factors. On Coroustion and Elective failure of the lines, as Megasthenes* has Theory in later recorded, elections had been actually taking times place; and the observance of the coronation ceremonials and rituals kept the theory ever green. Even in Moslem times when Sivaji was installed as King, 'Chhatrapati,' the ceremony took the form of election. The theory was a living force as late as the time of the Pāla kings of Bengal. G o p ā l a claims the benefit of the principle of election in his inscription. He says that the people joined his hand with sovereignty and put an end to anarchy⁴. In earlier times the theory was, of course, current in the

2 Ramayana, Ayodhya K., 21, 32 ; 6, 16,

राज्यं गृहाया भरत पिनृदेतामहं अूबम्, 79, 5 ; 79, 7.

¹ Réjaulti Viramitrodaya, p. 52 (Benares 1916). See below, Chapters XXVIII and XXIX.

⁸ Arrian, Indsta. VIII.

would forfeit his title to remain on the throne. That the Coronation Oath was not an empty formality is evidenced by the fact that kings at times said with pride that they were true to their oaths. The hinduised R, u d r a d a m a n was anxious to declare in his inscription that he was saty apratijña (सत्यप्रतिष), that he never levied taxes which were not lawful. The charge of breaking the oath was at times constructively extended. If -e monarch failed to maintain the integrity of the state he was considered guilty of breaking his vow. Brihadratha Maurya who was weak as ruler and during whose reigh the Greeks made a second attempt at conquering India, was removed from the throne, and was called (ë.g.by Bāna) weak in keeping his Pratijna (Pratijnā-durbala). The king having taken the bath to act according to the law, as established, it he acted unlawfully and committed a crime he would be considered to have broken faith and his action would be illegal, for which the people who had installed him would remove him. The Jatakas", tradition, literature and history furnish illustrations. In the Maha Bharata the plea for the deposition and execution of the tyrant Vena was that he was unlawful v i d h a r m a) ; the formal deposition of N a g a-D a s a k a of Magadha and his punishment was due to his parricide. King P & I a k a of the Mrichchhakatika was deposed because he had incarcerated A r'y a k a without the latter having committed any crime. 1 (and a service of the second and the hereit, pp: 48 144, if the factor is the B. B. Sop N. B. A. B. P. LEVICE I HEREIT CLEAR IN THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. OF THE PARTY OF THE PA मार्गितारं इतीरं विलोप्तारमनायकष् । संव राजकलि इन्युः प्रजाः सम्रद्धा निर्ध सम् ॥ ३३ I him in set it is ार्ट किता मार्ग आहे वा रजितित्युक्त्वा यो म रजात मूमिया । स सहत्व निहन्तव्यः ग्वेव सोन्मांतांतरः ॥ ३६ 5 8 B. g. Jataha, vol L. p. 398.

الايوف الممتح فوقافها ال

§241. The oath was deemed to be the essential sacrament down to later Muhammdan times. The ceremonials of coronation as then prevalent are not the same in Cornation Osthin Middle all details as in the Brähmanas. The coronation a g e s and later procession has developed out of the simple chariot drive. The king, as we have seen, holds a Sabhā where the leaders of the community are introduced to him. The modifications are many and considerable. But the Coronation Oath is the oath of the Aitareya Brahmana, and Hindu lawyers' did register it inspite of their mediæval ideals of kingship. Inspite of vicissitudes in fortune, inspite of contact with contrary doctrines, the Hindu race did not forget the coronation oath devised by their Vedic forefathers. Thanks to secondotalism, the oath has been preserved for history and posterity.

§242. Kingship had become hereditary." Yet the theory that Hindu kingship is elective was never forgotten. This was due to, I think two factors. On Coronation and Floctive failure of the lines, as Megasthenes" has Theory in later recorded, elections had been actually taking Limes place; and the observance of the coronation ceremonials and rituals kept the theory ever green. Even in Moslem times when Sivaji was installed as King, 'Chhatrapati,' the ceremony took the form of election. The theory was a living force as late as the time of the Pāla kings of Bengal. G o p & l a claims the benefit of the principle of election in his inscription. He says that the people joined his hand with sovereignty and put an end to anarchy*. In earlier times the theory was, of course, current in the

2 التسنيمية، Ayolhya K., 31, 32 ; 6, 16. واعد علي معرف معرف المراجع المرا

- 3 Arrivo, Indita. VIII
- मारस्यल्यायगुपोहित्ं प्रकृतिभिर्सदम्या करं दाहितः ॥ Sp. Ind., Vol. IV, 248.

¹ Bajautti Viramitrodaya, p. 52 (Benares 1916), See belaw, Chapters XXVIII and XXIX.

mouth of sovereigns and peoples. In the second century of the Christian era Rudradāman states in his inscription that he had been' *'elected* by all the Varnas to kingship.'

§243. By the inscription of the Emperor Khāravels it is evident that Hindu Coronation could not take place before

the completion of the twenty-Age for Coronation
the completion of the King-elect. One branch of the Jaina literature asserts that Vikrama was crowned in his 25th year. This was the age when ordinarily a Hindu (e.g. Svetaketu) was supposed to have completed his academic career in the period of Upanishads. The existence of the constitutional law for coronation at the age of twenty-five which the inscription of Khāravela points out, is confirmed by the Brihaspati-Sūtra, I. 89, paācha-viņšativarsham yāvat kruļā-vidyām vyasanāt kuryāt ata uttaram arthārjanam^{*}, which agrees almost literally with the record of Khāravela.

We have historical examples of the fact that the coronation laws were strictly complied with. Even A so ka's family who had accepted heterodox philosophies could not interfere with the orthodox and sacred coronation laws.³ He was not crowned for four years after his what we to-day call succession. Evidently he had not completed his twenty-fourth year as in the case of Khäravela. The uncrowned period of his reign in the eye of the Hindu law was a period not to be recognised. If we keep this in view we can understand the provision in Vasish tha's

¹ सर्ववर्षेरस्मिगस्य रच्यार्थं पतित्वे दुतेन ! Ep. Ind. Vol. VIII, p. 48.

² Arthörjanss engagement in commonwealth or political life."

⁸ His grand-son Dafaratha mentions his own abkiskeks in his inscriptions.

Dharma-Sūtra that interest on loan was not to be calculated for the period between the death of the sovereign and the coronation of the new king.' The legal years were counted only by regnal years (Rājavarsha).² Hence also the Purāņas do not count the pre-coronation years of Asoka's reign while they include it in the total for the dynasty.³ This also shows that a king to be a legal sovereign must receive his royal consecration. The Purānas call foreign barbarians of the 6th century naivamurdhubhishiktas-tc, 'unconsecrated heads', i.e., 'usurpers.' Unless one accepted responsibility by the a solemn engagement he was not lawfully entitled to govern. The legalism about coronation was so strong that Kālidāsa in drafting Pushyamitra's letter to Agnimitra takes care not to describe Pushyamitra as king. The preparations for coronation by Rāja-Sūya were still in progress, coronation had not yet taken place. He was therefore not king de jure.⁸

राजा तु सृतभावेन द्रव्यवुद्धि विनागयेत् । उनाराजाभिषेकेया द्रव्यमूलं च वर्धते ॥

- ---Vācishtha Dharma-Sūtra, II.49.
- Jayaswal, J. B. O. R. S., Vol. I. (1915) p. 93; Vol. III. 438.
 V. Smith, Early History of India (8rd Edition), p. 197.
- 4 Vaya Puraņa, Pargiter, PT., p. 56.
- 5 Malarikāgnimitra,

The absence of the royal title in the letter gave occasion to much controversy amongst scholars. The constitutional explanation given above might be the real solution. Kälidäsa assumes that he was not yet crowned.

CHAPTER XXVIA

Sacrament of Coronation Oath

and

Theory of Divine Origin of King

244. With the actual observance of the sacrament of Coronation Oath, it was impossible for a theory of origin

other than human to take root in Hindu Politics. Even a usurper, as long as he was a Hindu, had to undergo the sacrament of coronation and when he actually took the oath,

his old title of force and conquest disappeared. In the second century A. C., when Hindu society had already undergone a great social change as is evinced by the law-books and controversies of the time,' even foreigners sought to legalise their position by the sacred, constitutional ceremonies. Rudradāman based his claim on election and his responsibility on the Coronation Oath. No room for a theory like divine origin existed.

This was demonstrated when an attempt at establishing a theory which was the nearest Hindu approach to the divine theory of kingship miserably failed. The Divine Origin Mānava Dharma-Šāstra which of King Was written under the revolutionary so in tra-fibe Raahmin Pushysmitra preached that the king should not be despised because

1 E. g. The Fajrassichi of Asvaghosha :

गोत्रत्राहाख्सारम्ब त्राहाखीनां सूदपर्यन्तमनिगमनदर्शनात् । अती जातित्राझची म अवति । इह हि कैवर्त-रजक-करहाल-कुलेप्वपि त्राहाखाः सन्ति...एकवर्षों, त्रास्ति चातुर्वदर्यम् ॥ १००.

Baulhäyana condemns the Punjab as a place of mixed vargas. In the period of the Cranishads the Punjab was the home of orthodoxy. Baulhäyana's condemnation would refer to the period of the rise of Buddhism in the Punjab—Asoka to Monander.

2 His caste is discussed by me in the Brahmin Empire' (1912) a revised edition of which is published in JBORS .257---955. See Pinini IV.6. 117 on Sugge; Patadj # i VI.2. 150 on brühmunge-röjus; and Täränatha p.81 on Brahmanon Komig'Publication. For exametion between the Mänava-tharma-fästra and Pash yam itra see 209

CORONATION IN LATER TIMES . #1 24

heawas only a man; he was a deity in human form." For this theory the author found no direct support in 'earlier literature'. .He uses the theory of politicians, which we have already noticed (§101), that when the people were dissatisfied with the A a f a k a system they consulted the C'F'e a to'r who recommended is king. He leaves out the theory about the election of Manu (Vaivasvata) and takes apparently the story of Venne. (He says, God created king to save the people from Arajaka: "But the ignores the further tradition of the. depositivon of Vena of divine brigin because he ruled 'u h l'a wof ulla y! The Manava Code twists the import. of the cordination ritin a l'invoking the help of gods to the elected king in his new career. "The Code says that these rods come into the person of the king and he becomes a gleat Deity. "The king is not to be de s p I's ed. I think the lites of such a theory was suggested by some discussion as the one in the 'A'r th'a - Sastra." 'A man in the pay of the Government was made to say ?? add it because of bluer

Tagory Lectures on Manu and Ysjfavalkya I. In the time of the Manava' outo the Parthlairs' were neighbours of India but the country of which Mathurs was, expital was yet orthodox ; the country of the M Leia h o h his was still beyond India proper. This indicates the period about 150 B. C.

1 Manava Dharma-áāstraVII.8. वालोऽपि माधमन्तव्यो मनुष्य इति भूमिपः Jani & stade er - 1

महती देवता हो जा नरकपेश तिहति !! लगा के से का का मिल

11. 8, भाराजके हि सोकेऽस्सिन्सर्वती विद्र ते भयातु । रजार्थसस्य सर्वस्य राजानमस्जलप्रभुः ॥ - Ibid. VII. 8,

9. In this connection see also the text of the VSjassneyt Samhita X 16 17.28 along with corresponding Brahmana pussages, 1 K PT PT 7: 5

4. 25id., V11.7. AN MARY FOR THE STREET BAS

सोऽग्निर्भवति षायुश्व सोऽर्कः सोमः स धर्मराट्र।

स कुवेरः स वरुगुः स महेन्द्रः प्रभावतः ॥ ्र्र् तानवसम्ब-मानाःदैवोऽपि द्राः स्प्रगति । तत्मात्रानानो नावमन्तव्याः इति जत्रज्ञान्यतिपेधयेत् ।

ខ្មែរមកក្នុងស្ថិត ស្ថិត ស្ថិត

'The king's office is that of Indra and Yama, "visible inflictor of punishment and bestower of reward. On "those who despise them even divine punishment descends. "Hence they are not to be *despised*."

This is urged by the official spy in defence of the new king and was intended to support him, in reply to those who cited the social contract theory of kingship.' If there had been a theory of divine origin already current, it would have been cited at once. But no divine origin of king is preached in the passage of the Artha-Såstra nor is any absolutism preached there. The divine punishment mentioned in the hired speech refers to the consequence of sin which in every case is supposed to be visited with divine punishment; and treason was always, regarded as a sin. The Government spy is not advancing any theory of absolutism. He is only drawing attention to the position of the king as such, resembling that of Indra and Yama, and to the sin which would be caused if the people went against the king. The author of the Manava Code made his king a Divinity itself, to despise which was to be punished with powers of absolutism. And he preached perfect absolutism."

56

¹ Bes above § 176, s.

This he had to do as he had to support an abnormal state of affairs opposed to law and tradition viz, political rule by Brahmin.¹

§ 245. The theory of the Mānava was never approved or adopted by a single subsequent law-book. By constitutional writers the very theory was converted into a divine theory of the servitude of the king to the subject^{*}; that the king was a mere servant or slave of the people and that he was made so by the Creator. Even in the Mānava itself, either when it was revised and put in its present form, or originally in its desire to justify the removal of the Mauryas, the theory was superseded by another theory which was inserted immediately below it :--

"The Lord created his own son and made him" "Law for the protection of the entire living world : it was "endowed with Brahmā's own vigour as Law's Administration "(Danda)."

"Law's Administration is the real "king, it is the ruling authority (danda, i.e., executive "authority in polity), it is the surety for the population."

"The king who properly employs "it, prospers, but if he be selfish, ab-"normal and deceitful, Danda destroys "him." Danda is of great lustre, it cannot be

1 Jayaswal, Tagore Law Lectures on Manuand Yajdavalkya, II. 2 Soe Che, XXXV. XXXVI, below. 8 Maasse Dhorme-Satre, VII. 14. सदर्थ सर्वभूतानो गोप्तार धर्ममात्मजम् । महातेजोमयं द्यडमस्उत्तपूर्वमोग्धरः ॥ 4 Ibid. VII. 17. स राजा पुरुषो द्यहा स नेता धासिता च सः । वनुर्धामाभमार्था च धर्म्मस्य प्रतिभूः स्पटतः ॥ 5 Ibid. VII. 27. त राजा प्रयायन्सम्यक्तिवर्गेधाभिवर्द्धते । कामात्मा विषमः सुद्रो द्यहेनेव निद्दन्यते ॥ H - 57

"held by despots. It strikes down the "king who swerves from law, together with "his relatives."

Thus the king is again brought under law; he is reduced to his human and contractual status. A higher origin to law was attributed. The king was aggregate of only portions of several gods, but Law - and - Sanctionwas produced by Brahmä himself and it was his own son. He came to rule over the king as over the whole world. It was the real sovereign and not the king. In fact the Mänava as a code went back to the old position:

"Only a king who is honest and true to his coronation "Oath and follows the Sāstras, and rules with colleagues "(ministers) could wield the Danda, not one who is despotic, "greedy, stupid, and who rules personally.""

He was not only expected to be true to his 'undertaking,' his contract, i.e., his Coronation Oath, it was further enjoined on him that he should work with colleagues and should not rule personally. We shall see its significance when we survey the constitutional position of the H in d u M in istry.

§ 246. Divine theory of kingly origin and kingly right could have found soil in Hindu India if there had been no live interest and constitutional jealousy in the people to check such pernicious claims and notions. The

1 2514., 28.

इरडो हि समइत्तेजो कुर्परस्वाकृतात्मभिः । धर्माद्विपस्ति इन्ति १पमेव सवान्यवम् ॥

2 Ibid., 30-51.

सोऽसहायेन मुरेन हरूवेनाकृतसुदिना । न सक्यो ज्यायतो नेनु' सक्तन विषयेषु च ॥ ३० शुचिना सत्यसन्धेन वर्धासाजानुसारिता । द्वरः प्रस्थितु' सकः इसहायेन धीमता ॥ ३१

3 On Satys-Sandhs as referring to 'Oath' cf. Arths Sastre, p. 312. Here it refers to the 'Oath', i. e. the oath of coronation.

Hindu theory of kingship was not permitted to degenerate into a divine imposture and profane autocracy. Jugglery in the divine name of the Creator was not possible for the race never allowed Hindu King the the 8.9 craft of the Priest to be united in the office of The reason why the sceptre of Hindu the Ruler. sovereign never became the wand of magician, was that the matter of constitutional powers of the king, in fact, lay beyond the province of the ritualist and the priest. It lay in the hands of those 'through whose strength' the king had become 'mighty' or vested with the power. It lay in their Samiti in the early period. In later times it lay in the equally important machinery-the P a u r a-a n d-Janapada.

CHAPTER XXVII

The Janapada or the Realm Assembly and The Paura or the Assembly of the Capital City:

(600 B. C. to 600 A. C.)

§ 247. Just about the time of the rise of large monarchies we find developed a popular institution of great constitutional importance. The period succeeding the Vedic, from the Mahā-Bhārata War down to the end of the Brihadrathas (700 B. C.)¹ —is characterised by states which were co-exten-

sive with their respective nations and lands inhabited by them. We may call that period, the epoch of National States and National Monarchies. The Bharatas," and Paüchälas," for instance, had their own national kings; and so had the Aikshväkas* Videhas: the nation called (Aita. Br. to Patañjali) had their own king. Earlier 600 B. C. we find a tendency in than Indian states to develop what we may call non-national, territorial Monarchies. The national basis begins to give way to a propensity for encroachment by one national unit upon others, and of amalgamation. Large states arise which are no more national but merely territorial units. We find for instance the old Aikshväka-

¹ Jayaewal, J. B. O. R. S., Vol. IV, pp. 16, 35; 252.

² Ct. Taittiriye Saddits of Pajureda, Q. al attal eral, 1.8. 10.

⁸ Exchanderesysten Upenished, VJ. 2.

⁶ Aite. Br., VII. 18, 16; Patafijali on Papini IV, 2, 104,

janapada transforming itself into $K \bar{a} \delta i - K o \delta a l a$, ' and the M a g a d h a - s t a t e comprised of the territories of Magadha and Anga." The process develops very rapidly between 550 B. C. and 300 B. C. The ground for this had already been prepared philosophically. The Buddha, though a born republican, was ambitious to found a one-state empire of his religion." The Aitareya Brāhmaņa had preached for an empire extending up to the sea. The Jātakas are full of the ideal of an All-India Empire (Sa k a l a - J a m b u d ī p ee k a - r a j j a m.

§ 248. In the period of large monarchies or empires, c o u n t r y became more important than the n a t i o n (*c i & e s* or *j a n a*). In fact, the term *j a n a p a d a*, which literally and originally meant 't h e s e a t o f t h e n a t i o n' and which had been secondarily employed as denoting the nation itself, lost its old significance, and came to mean what we call to-day c o u n t r y^s without reference to the racial elements inhabiting it. In the period of large monarchies we never hear of the S a m i t i. This, of course, is natural. The basis of the Samiti was the national unit, and the national unit now ceased to be a factor in matters constitutional.

1 Jains Sütra, 'Achārānga', see above. PT. I. p. 54.

Buddhint India, pp. 24-25; Janarosabha Sutta quoted by Oklenberg, Buddha (Eng. trans.) p. 407. f. n. See **Statistica** as one unit in the Gepatha Brühmana, II, 9.

2 Buddhest India, p. 24; třepatha Brāhmaņa, II, 9.

н

3 Probably not so much religion as philosophy. The difference between the two, as
 Megnethenes describes, was very slight. Aśoka certainly made it a religion and a world-religion.
 4 See below, Ch. XXXVIII on Hindu Imperial Systems.

5 See Artha-Satra, p. 45, and footnote at p. 46, Goqq देश: 1

6 The Jätakas do not know anything about the S a m i t i. There were numerous occasions to mention it if the Samiti did actually exist. The D h a r m a S \ddot{u} t r a s also do not give any direction, writing on the duties of king, as to his relation with the Samiti. Nor does the **B** a h 6-B h 6 x a t a recollect it.

61

§ 249. We, however, hear of another institution which probably was an incarnation of the old Samiti under Bise of the changed circumstances.

The division of a kingdom in the period Janapada Assembly . between 600 B. C. and 600 A. C. is made into "the capital' and "the country"." The former is designated Pura^{*} or Nagara^{*} (the City) and occasionally Durga: (the Fort), and the latter is called Janapada, with synonyms in *Bāshtra* and *Deta*. The expression $J\bar{a}$ n a p a d a, a derivative from janapada, we find occurring in the Pāli Canon, the Rāmāyaņa, the Mahā-Bhārata and other books, and in inscriptions. In our day, it has been taken to mean an inhabitant of janapada. Its use as a technical term has been missed. This is due to the fact that the term is generally found in the plural e.g. jānapadāh (annuqu:) which has been translated as 'the people of the Janapada.' Modern writers have further made the mistake of regarding Janapada as a province which is against all ancient authority. It really means the whole area of a kingdom, minus the capital constitutionally." The technical significance of the Jānapada as a collective institution has now been established by Khāravela'a inscription of c. 165 B. C. • Médiæval commentators not knowing that there was a collective institution Jänapada, 'corrected' the singular form into the plural Janapadah. A.

- 3 Cf. Arthe-Sates, p. 16 f. n. Ant travial 1
- 4 Cf. the modern gark ('fort') to denote the seat of the ruler ; also German solles.
- 5 Artha-Sartra, pp., 45-6, n.
- 6 Jayaswal, J. B. O. R. S. (1917) JH. p. 438.

¹ The Jätakas and Päli Canon have Janapada and Nigama. Nigama as will be seen. is constitutionally identical with Nagara. The Artha Sästra has Janapada and Durga : the Ramayana, Nagara (also Durga.) and Janapada (को सरस्यास्थर द्वा रामी राजा स्वित्यति) 11. 79. 18.

² पुरे मुक्यकारम् । Viramitrodaya, p. 11.

very good example of this is verse 54 (ch. XIV) of the Ayodhyā-kānda of the Rāmāyaņa.¹ King Daśaratha is sought to be intimated: "The Paura, the Jânapada, and "the Naigama are present respectfully waiting for Rāma's "consecration (as Crown-Prince)". The verb upatishthati ('is waiting') is in the singular and this requires the subjects in each case joined on by cha ('and', 'as well as') to be in the singular. But in the text only the Naigama (corporate association of guild-merchants of the capital) is kept in the singular and the word Jānapada has been altered into a plural nominative and plural instrumental.² The instrumental form is resorted to for a forced grammatical justification ('the Jānapadas with the Naigama'). The correct reading, in the nominative singular, Jānapadašcha, is still found in some MSS. But it is rejected by modern editors as incorrect.³

§250. The plural $j\bar{a}napad\bar{a}h$ may equally denote 'the members of the $j\bar{a}napada$ -institution' as well as 'the people of janapada.' The plural form does not exclude the institutional significance. That there was such a body can be established if we find the term used in the singular, not in the sense of one man but in the collective sense, or if we find the plural $j\bar{a}napad\bar{a}h$ in a collective sense. We have instances of both these uses. Moreover, we have evidence of the fact that $j\bar{a}napadas$ as bodies corporate had their own laws and those laws were recognised by the Dharma-Sästras.

अपतिष्ठति शामस्य समयमभिषेच्वमम् । पौरजामपदारचापि नैगमश्र कृताञ्जलिः ॥ 11. 14. 54.

Gerindardia in his comment on the variant sufficient wys: sais of safetile graneuring and a second second

3 पीरजामपदाश्वापि मैगमैश्व इलाज्जलिः or इतात्मनिः, variant reading in MSS. consulted in Kumlakonam admon.

See the critical edition of the Ramayana by Messra. Krishnächärya & Vyäsächärya.
 J. p. 68 (MS. ⁶(2³³), which is really a valuable edition.

There is the unquestionable evidence afforded by the Hāthigumphā inscription of Khāravela, which says that the king granted privileges to the $J\bar{a} n a p a d a$ (in the singular, $J\bar{a}napadam$). The evidence of the Rāmāyaņa referred to above is equally important. The $J\bar{a}napada$ was waiting for the consecration of the King-Assistant. They, the $J\bar{a}n a p a d a s$, according to the Rāmāyaṇa, had already come to a unanimous decision in a joint conference with the Pauras and others on the question of this proposed consecration. The resolution was : 'we desire this consecration'.'

In the Mānava-Dharma-śāstra, * the laws of caste $(J\bar{a}ti)$, of $J\bar{a}napada$, and guild (ireni) are recognized. It is undoubted that the other

1 Ramayana, Avodhya Kanda, ch. II., vs. 20-22,

समेत्य ते मन्त्रयित्वा समतांगतवद्भाः ।

उत्पुरंच मनसा ज्ञात्वा बुद्धं द्रशर्थं मृपम् ॥

स रामं थुशराजानमभिविष्ठ्वण्ड पार्थित । इच्छामो हि महाबाहुं रघुत्रीरं महाबलम् ॥

See also Dafaratha's speech in reply :

कर्य नु मबि धर्मेब प्रथिवीमनुपालति ।

भवन्तो द्रषु मिन्द्रन्ति युवराजं महाबलम् ॥

2 Manu, VIIL 41,

जातिवानपदाल्यम्मॉञ्ज बीचर्म्भारच धर्मवित् । समीत्य कुसचर्म्भारच स्वधर्म्म प्रतिपादयेत् ॥

3 Šroņi literally means 'to ws'. Evidently the members sat in rows and this feature gave the name to the corporate body. Probably S'ren i originally was a general term to signify all those bodies which transacted their business in their 'scasion' or by meanably system. The Mahá-Bhārata in okler passages gives Śrepibaddhāh rājānsh of 'rulere organized in rows', e.g., Sabhā-Parvas XIV. 6. (Kumbakonum Ed.),

राजानः में सिक्दात्र तयान्ये कृत्रिया शुनि ।

These may refer to republican rulers or so a military organization, the Arths. Sature having Srepi as a military division. Is havbooks, general literature, and inscriptions. Sarai has acquired the technical meaning of guild.

two institutions of this group were corporate institutions. The Code of Yājňavalkya mentions jānapadas, gaņas, šreņis and jātis (castes) as units who "also must be compelled to follow their own daws". Mandlik with the true insight of the lawyer leaves the word janapadāh untranslated and treats it as a technical term like the gana and s r c n i. These two smriti passages similarly mention another institution K u l a. We have already seen that there was a Kula form of Government. To find out the identity of K u l a, let us take parallel passages on the point from the Artha-Śāstra. In the chapter dealing with samaya² or resolutions of corporate institutions (p. 173) Kautilya mentions the samaya of Desa-samgha, Jāti-samgha, and Kulasamgha; i.e., of the country-corporate-association, of caste-corporate-association and the corporate association of a Kula. The Kula-samgha as we have seen³ is a technical term of Hindu politics. It means a constitution where Kula or family rules, i. e., an aristocratic or oligarchic state. Again at page 407 Desia-samgha, Grāmasamgha and Jāti-samgha are mentioned. The

1 Yaybava/kya L. 360 and 861, .

ब्यवहारान्स्वयं पृण्येत्सभ्यंः परिवृतोऽन्वहम् । कुलानि जातोः श्रे ग्रीण्च गगाञ्जानपदानपि ॥ ३६० स्वधमांचलितान्राजा विनीय स्थापयेत्पथि । पामश्रे गिगगानाञ्च सङ्घेतः समयक्रिया ॥

Britispart quoted in Unamitrada ya, p. 425. See below.

🤉 रेशजालिङ्ख्लमंघानां समयस्थानपाकर्म ।

3. See § 87 above.

I

65

Mānava-dharma-šāstra deals with the 'breakers of samayas' (resolutions or laws of corporate assemblies) and mentions the Grāma-samgha and the Deśa-samgha which are paraphrased again as Grāma-samūha, Jāti-samūha, etc. Deśa, of the Jānapada association is also found in Brihaspati • where the laws of guild-merchant and the laws of Deśa are referred to together. In another verse • the resolutions of the 't own' and of the 'c ountry' (Deśa), 'not being opposed to the laws of the king' are provided for. Manu (VIII. 41), instead of J & t i - & a mgha gives Jāti only, and instead of De&a-samgha, mentions Jānapada. In VIII. 46, in the place of Jānapada, De&a is substituted. By Deśa in such passages the

1 Manu VIII. 218-221, ·

...

भात ऊर्द प्रवच्यामि भर्म्म समयमेदिनाम् ॥ १८ यो पामदेशसङ्घानां इत्या सत्येन संविदम् । विसंवदेश्वरो सोमाच राष्ट्राद्विप्रवासयेत् ॥ ११

एवं दर्यहविधि कुर्यादान्मिकः प्रथिवीपतिः । धामजातिसमूहेषु समयव्यमिचारिष्ठाम् ॥ २१

२ देशच्चित्यालुमानेन नैगमालुमतेन था । क्रियते निर्व्यस्तत्र व्यवहारस्तु वाध्यते ॥

-Quoted in Viramitrodays, p. 120.

3 प्रामो देशत्र बत्दुवांत्सत्यसेक्यं परस्परम् । राजाविरोचिवम्मार्थं संक्तिपत्र बद्गित तत् ॥ -Bribaspati quoted in Firometrodaya, p. 189. See also YajBavalkya; निजवन्मांविरोचेन पुस्तु सामयिको भवेतु । स्रोऽपि बत्नेन संरह्यो चम्मो राज्ह्हात्र वः ॥

66

association Desa sampha or the Jānapada is obviously meant. Similarly, when a document registered by the Deśaadhyaksha is termed by Vyāsa the law-giver a Jānapada document, the adhyaksha of Deśa is the President of the Deśa assembly or the Jānapada.¹ The above data prove that the Jānapada of Manu and Yājňavlkya and tho Dośaca cocho ha of Manu and Kantilva are identical. The corporate association Jānapada or Deśa-Samgha as the name signifies, was a body for the whole country (except, as we shall presently see, the capital).

§ 251. The Jānapada yet has another synonym in Rāshtra, which is found in later works. In the Dašakumāra-charita (Ch. 3), the president of the Jānapada is called Janapada - mahattar.a^{*} (Lord High Fresident). Further on, the same person is called the Rāshtra-mukhya or the Leader of the Realm (Assembly).

In a manuscript of Mitramiśra's unpublished commentary on Yājňavalkya³ I find, in connection with the

1 Apararka (Y., II. 92) quotes the verses of Vyäsa on the subject dealing with documentary evidence :-

द्वित्रिलिपिश्चः स्वकृतेन स्वलेक्येन युक्तिभिः । कुर्यादि सद्वग्नं लेख्यं तस्माज़ जानपदं ग्रुभम् ॥ देशाध्यज्ञादिना लेख्यं यत्र जानपदं कृतम् ॥

Vyite was danger of successful denial in the case of a document written even in the hand-writing of the executant, for a cunning man may write several hands. A Jänapada document therefore, done, i.e., registered by the Defa-president or others (i.e., his officers as in the case of government registration by the officer of the king. Vishns, VII. 3, 'UNINGER' REGUMANTERIZE' REGUMENT'S a construction of the king. Vishns, VII. 3, 'UNINGER' REGUMANTERIZE' REGUMENT'S a construction of the king. Was a good proof (see § 269).

2. (Y. Rāmāyaņa Bk. II. santo 83, v. 15. मामगोपसहत्तराः | The Rama commentary has सामे घोपे च वर्त्तमाना सहतराः ; Govindaraja, संहतराः प्रधानभूताः | [Ghosha according to Patafijali and Kätyäyana was a small township with corporate arms and soal. Pt. I, p. 44,n].

3 Firamitrodays on Fijnaralhys kindly lent to me by Mr. Govindadaes of Benarcs.

subject of relief which could not be granted or suits which could not be entertained (a n ā d e y a-v y a v a h ā r a), that a suitor who was hostile to the P a u r a, i. e., the City Assembly of the Capital (see below), or to the R ā s h t r a was not to be granted relief. The authority quoted is that of Brihaspati. A similar verse is given in the V i r a m i t r o d a y a (Vvavahāra) at page 14, where instead of P a u r u, the reading is P u r a, capital. The expressions Pura and Rāshtra are explained by Mitra-Miśra as P a u r a-J ā n a p a d a. Rā s h t r a here thus stands for the Jānapada-body as it does in the Daśakumāra-charita.

§ 252. Before dealing with the functions of the Janapada it would be convenient to notice the corporate association of the Capital. The C a p it a l A s s e m b l y is a twin sister of the Janapada in constitutional matters. The two are almost always mentioned together, and sometimes one stands for both.

Paura does not relate to all the towns in the kingdom as it has been translated by both Indians and Europeans. Earlier Hindu writers understood by the technical Pura and Nagara, 'the' Capital.' Paura as a corporate body is mentioned in the singular like Jānapada in the inscription of Khāravela (C. 165 B. C.)' who granted privileges to the Paura. In the Corporate sense it is clearly mentioned again in the Divyāvadān a where Kunāla is supposed to have entered the Paura (used in singular, that js, the Paura assembly). Tishyarakshitā addressed her forged letter according to the Divyāvadāna to the Pauras, i.e., an organised body.* The

ś

¹ J.B.O.R.S. III. 42.

² Dirgiradasa, p.410

author of the VIramitrodaya definitely states that the Paura, which occurs along with corporate bodies in the law-books, was 'the body of the citizens of the capital.'

Pura meant, the Capital (§ 253). Samūha is. - 21 well-known constitutional term in Hindu Law. Kātyāyana, for instance, defines p \bar{u} g a, which according to the accepted interpretation means a 'guild', as of merchants and others." the 'samūha Brihaspati, the lawyer, describes bodies already known to us to have been assembly-ruled organisations,³ e. g., gana, samgha, samühastha pūga, – as or 'hodies incorporated'.' vargas (§258) – Mitra-misra quotes a text based upon Bhrigu which calls grāma, paura, gaņa, and śreņi, 'vargins' i.e. what Brihaspati has as 'samūhastha vargas'⁵ [grāma here is not the village but the Village-Association as Chandesvara the lawyer of Mithilä defines : Grāmo grāma - vāsi samühah, p. 179]. Chandeśvara explains samühasthāb by 'militāh', 'combined.' Kātyāyana speaks of separate laws of the sam \bar{u} has.⁷ Samūha which ordinarily means a collection has, thus, a technical, constitutional sense-an organized body.

ा पौरः पुरवासिनां सणूहः । D.W. p. 11.

2 समूद्धः वश्विजाद्वीनां पूगः संप्रकीत्तितः 1 ' Cheel by Chandesvara, Virada R., p. 669' 8 That is, the sussidies of the Jamas or Buddhists' आईतसौगतानां तु समूहः सङ्घ उच्यते । 5 जिन्द्रज्यका in Vit. 669.

गयापापगडपगाण्च माताण्च भेगायस्तथा।

समूहस्थाग्व ये चान्ये वर्गाख्यास्ते बहस्पतिः ॥ Ibid.

- 5 प्रामपौरगयाश्र ग्रावजवतुर्विभाष्त्र वर्रिग्याः T.W. (Vyavahāna), p. 11.
- 0 178-858. (सम्हरूथा मिलिताः).

4

••

; समुहानां तु यो धर्मस्तेन धर्मेंग्र ते सदा । Ibid. 180.

Valiesvalkya provides for panishing those who act contrary to the decision of the submata well-wisners. 26ad, p. 179.

Kaiyayana provides for a dispute between Samülia and its leader, VR., 184.

Amara and Kātya, lexicographers, in giving the meanings of Prakriti say that the term means amongst others, the Pauras, i.e., 'the Associations (Śreņayaḥ) of the Pauras'.'

In the Rāmāyaņa, the Paura-Jānapada body is appealed to by Bharata when Rāma refuses to go back to Ayodhyā:---

"What do you order his Highness"." The body approves of the argument of Rāma, and in reply Bharata speaks, addressing them :---

'Hear, please, you my assemblies'. The assembly character thus was prominent.

§253. The Paura was a communal association in which was vested the municipal administration of the Capital.⁴

Municipal administration of the Paura Apart from its municipal work it exercised great constitutional powers. Let us first take the Municipal administration of the Paura

ा अभगत्यात्रवापि पौरारव संझिः प्रकृतवः क्यृताः । Katya, quoted by Kahimavamin on Amara, II. 8. 18 : राज्याङ्गानि प्रकृतवः पौरार्था अध्ययोऽपि व । Trivandram Banakrit Series, no. 51, p. 66.

- ² जासीनस्त्वेव सरतः पौरजानपर्व जगम् । उवाच सर्वतः प्रदेव किमार्व आनवासप . B. A K., 111. 19.
- ⁸ यृश्वन्तु मे परिषदः सन्त्रिषः शृहुयुस्तया । 1618., 94.

4 CL. Heigleigeitsten antennet und fill de serve und serve and get for bie capital, and unter for ordinary town. Phylat and Patafiali und Patafiali and unter for ordinary town. CL. Pagini VII. 8. 14 and Kassika on that; also VI. 2. 100; Patafiali, on the use of unter for town, unterfer unte

It was presided over by a leading citizen generally a merchant or a banker. The Hindu Mayor was called Sreshthin or the President. According to the Rāmāyaņa, the Paura as well as the Jānapada consisted of two sections, the Inner and the Outer bodies. The Inner must have been the executive council which sat permanently. We bear often of the Paura and the Nagara Vriddhas, or the Elders of the Paura. On the analogy of other popular institutions of the country we can say that the Paura - Vriddhas constituted a Council of Eldérs which was probably identical with the Inner body of the Rāmāyaṇa. An exception is made in the Dharma-Sūtras to the general rule of etiquette in the case of a Paura ex-member of the Sûdra caste who is entitled

See also Artha-Süstra, p. 46 f.n. जगर राजधानी. The commentator commenting on the magarikuh in VSusySyana's Käma-Sütra (Bk. II. ch. 5) says :

मागरिका इति पाटलिपुत्रिकाः ।

On Durga as equivalant of Pura cf. Nărada—संरक्षेत्रस्य राजा दुर्गे जनपदे तथा, Viramitrodaya, p. 425. झार् in Afoka's inscriptions means a provincial capital as well. Mana, vil. 29, divides the kingdom into द्वर्ग and राष्ट्र :

ततो दुग थ राष्ट्रं च लोकञ्च सचराचरम् । For हुर्ग and पुर as capital, see Manu VII. 70 : भन्वदुर्ग महीदुर्गमब्दुर्ग वार्त्तमेव वर । वृतुर्ग गिरिदुर्ग वा समाभित्य वसेल्पुरम् ॥ च्यार्थसते जनः सर्वो राष्ट्रे पुरवरे तथा । जाभ्यन्तररच बाह्यस्व पौरजानपदो जनः ॥ (45)

t

"The whole nation in the Räshtra. as well as in the best of capitals praises him, "likewise the *Paura-Jänapada* body—both the Inner and the Outer—praise him." It should be noticed that the Paura-Jänapada is taken as distinct from the people in the realmand the capital. The two bodies Inner and Outer are mentioned in the Maha-Bhärata also. Not below the on taxtion. For jans in the collective sense, see its use in Ašoka's inser. Pillar series VII. **Stat Stated -**5 the body of the men in the Dharma Service (Department). to special respect even from a Brahmin.¹ This shows that the Paura had a real popular basis representing even the lowest interest.

§254. The Paura had a R e g is trar and a document given by him was regarded as a superior kind of evidence.⁸. The Registrar's document was the chief of the laukika lekhyas or popular documents as opposed to rājakīya or government documents. This shows that Paura was not a body appointed by the king.

The non-political functions of the Paura which are mentioned in the law books are these :

(a) Administration of Estates: They were authorised by the king to administer, along with government officers, property left by a deceased person² (Vasishtha XVI. 20).

1 Gantama Dharma Sutra (Santra) VI. 9.11.

A Brahmin who ordinarily is never expected to do any honour to a \tilde{Sudra} has to get up when a \tilde{Sudra} who is an ex-member of the Paura came though he be below eighty. Further, Sutra 15 lays down an exception with regard to effuette between Patras. Even if the difference in age were of ten years fellow-Pauras were to treat each other as if born on the same day (14-15).

म् त्विकृष्वधुरपिनृज्यमानुसानां तु सवीयसां प्रत्युत्थानं नाभिवाधाः ॥ ६ ॥ तथान्यः पूर्वः पौरोऽशीतिकावरः शूद्रोऽप्यपत्यसमेन ॥ १० ॥ भवरोऽप्यार्थः गूद्रे स ॥ ११ ॥ माम चास्य वर्जयेतु ॥ १२ ॥ भो भवन्निति वयस्यः समानेऽहनि जातः ॥ १४ ॥ दश्वर्षजुद्धः पौरः ॥ १४ ॥

1 Vatishtha, edition by Fuchrer, p 84.

चिरकं नाम झिसितं प्रराबीः पौरलेसकैः ।

Also V is h p u S., VII 3. (7. the survival in Bengal family title P u r a-K & y a s t h a. 8 Vasishtha Dharma Sutra (Satra) XVI. 19-20.

प्रहीबाद्रच्याखि राजगामीनि मवन्ति॥१९॥ ततोऽन्यया राजा मन्त्रिमिः सद्द मागरैश्व कार्य्याखि कुर्यात् ॥२०॥ तयानावदरिदाखां संस्कारो यजनक्रिया । Brihannahi, Viramitrodaya, p. 125, बालद्रक्यं थामवृद्धा वर्धयेयुराज्यवद्वारप्रायबात् देखद्रव्यक्त्व । Kiders of the

to wash ip should increase property of minors till they attain capacity-at-law. They should do the same with regard to the property of gods."-Artha Satra. p. 18. (b) Works which contributed to the material strength of the citizens (called Paushtika: works) were done by them, and likewise,

(c) Works which ensured the peace of the city $(\pm a n t \pm k a)$, 'i.e., policing the town. These two classes of work are qualified as being either 'ordinary', 'extra-ordinary', or 'discretionary'.

(d) Judicial work, which must have been limited to the matter of municipal administration. Criminal authority proper, i. e., in cases of the Sāhasa³ (Violence) class, is expressly excepted from the jurisdiction of the Paura Court. According to an authority quoted by Mitra Miśra, probably Bhrigu, as well as others, the Paura Court was an institution recognised by the king.

(e) Charge of sacred and public places. The Paura, like any township, looked after temples and other sacred places of the Capital. They did repairs to those buildings. The buildings named are sabhā, prapā (place for distribution of water), tatāka (public baths), ārāma (rest-houses), and devagriha (temples).⁴

r	नित्य नैमित्तिकं काम्य ग्रान्तिकं पौष्टिकं सथा ।
	पौराणा कर्म्स कुर्य्यु स्ते संदिग्धे निर्ग्यय तथा ॥
	-Brinspati in the Viramitrodaya, p. 424.
	चाटचौरभये वाधाः सर्वसाधारगाः स्मृताः ।
	तन्नोपरामनं कार्ट्यं संदेंनेंकेन केनचित् ॥ ^{Jbid}
2	Nee last note, संदिग्धे निर्णय तथा ।
	اس प्रामपौरगत्वान्ने रायरचातुर्विद्यश्च वर्गिणः ।
	ंकुसानि कुलिकाम्बैव नियुक्ता तृपतिस्तथा ॥
	Viramitrodaya p. 11.
3	साइसन्यायवर्जानि कुर्युः कार्य्याणि ते नृषाम् ।
	-Brihaspati in the Firamitrodaya, p. 40.
4	धर्मकार्ण्यमपि संभूव कार्यमित्युक्तं तेनैव
	सभाग्रपादेवगृहतटाकारामसंस्कृतिः ॥
	-Brihaspati in the Firamitrodaya p. 425.
Åräm	a meant both a 'rest-house' and a 'park-garden.'
J	•
v	

 $\S256$. I propose to identify the description of the municipal government noticed by Megasthenes at Pātaliputra, with the Paura organisation Pauta adof Hindu India. Strabo 1 after giving the ministration of Pstaliputra description of Pātaliputra describes its administration. The most important point to mark in that is the phrase 'the City Magistrates' which in the mouth of a Greek will signify popular officers and not officers appointed by the king. The royal officer, Governor of the City, the 'Nāgaraka', as described in the Artha-Sāstra was distinct. These 'city magistrates' had six boards of five members each who looked after

(a) industrial matters of the city,

(b) for e i g n e r s in the city on whose death they a d m i n i s t e r e d their properties (forwarded them to their relatives).*

(c) registration of births and deaths in the city,

(d) trade and commerce and manufactures of the city and collection of municipal duty on the sale of articles. "Such are the functions which these bodies "separately discharged. In their collective "capacity they have charge both of their special "departments and also of matters affecting the general interests, "as the keeping of public buildings in repairs, "regulation of prices, the care of markets, harbours and "temples."

1 Strate, Bk. XV. 50 (4-10). Compare the "magistrates of self-governed cities" as opposed to royal officers in Arriss, XII.

It should be noticed that the Pauras administered estates in co-operation with the ministry, according to Vasishtha. (XVI. 27).

² A scholar who does not take so much notice of Hindu authorities as he draws on analogies and comparisons has misunderstood this Paura jurisdiction of the Maurya capital as a consular department hornowed from Persis !

§257. The 'city magistrates' of Strabo are the Paura-mukhyas or the Paura-vriddhas. The boards of five and the full board of the thirty disclose the same arrangement as the quorums of three, five. ten, twenty and upwards in the Parishads of Law, the Buddhist Samgha, and the panchaka, dasaka and viņša ka samghas of Patanjali, ¹ Brihaspati also enjoins committees of five in corporate associations." In the Buddhist Sampha certain matters could be discussed by small quorums. But matters of greater importance could be discussed and decided by quorums of twenty and upwards only.⁹ In the description of the Paura of Pātaliputra we see that the council decides matters of general interest by the bigger quorum. This collective council of the 'city magistrates' corresponds to what the Rāmāyana calls the Inner Body of the Paura. The Outer, the general body, must have been composed of a fairly large number, when the Inner alone had thirty members.

§258. Grāma or Township is called a vargin as seen above. Varga means an assembly or quorum. In that sense Pāņini also uses it (V. 1. 60., [See Kāšikā on it : पडचकी वर्ग: दशको वर्ग:]. Other corporate associations are called Vargins (those who worked by the Varga or assembly system). In a law text (Bhrigu) quoted by Mitra Mišra (Viramitrodaya p. 11) Paura and grāma as well as gana are called vargins [Cf. Kātyāyana quoted by Nilakantha :

1 Patañjali on Pāņini V. 1. 58 an i 59.

उ ही ग्रयः पष्ट्य या कार्य्याः समूहहित्ववादिनः । कर्त्तव्यं वचन' तेर्पा ग्रामध्ये यािगयादिभिः ॥

- Viramitrodaya p. 427.

3 Maharagga, IX. 4. 1. पञ्च संघा। चतुवग्गो भिक्खुसंघो पञ्चवग्गो भिक्खुसंघो दसवग्गो भिक्खुसंघो बीसतिवग्गो भिक्खुसंघो छतिरेकवीसतिवग्गो भिक्छुसंघो। ^{600 also} IX. 8. 5. etc.

73

लिङ्गिनः अंणिपूगाश्च वणिग्जातास्तयापरे। समूहस्थाश्च वे चान्ये वर्गास्तानव्रवीच्र.गुः ॥]

The vargas of Vāsudeva and Akrūra are mentioned in the Mahābhāshya, 1V. 2. 2. Varga in the corporate sense is employed by Gautama in his Dharma Sāştra, Chapter XI, Sūtras 20-21:

देशजातिकुलधर्माश्चाम्रायैरविरुद्धाः प्रमाणम् । कवक-वणिक-पशुपाल-कुसीदि-कारवः स्वे स्वे वर्गे ॥

"The laws of the cultivators, merchants, cattle-breeders, "bankers and artizans should be authority in their own "corporations." It should be noted that cultivators had their own unions in the days of Gautama.

§ 259. The Paura, according to the Artha-Sästra (p. 89), had gold coins minted at the royal mint. This might have been a constitutional function as exercising check on the royal minting of improper coins or it might have been a purely economic function. Very likely it was the latter.¹

The Pura or capital had the Association of the City Merchants which was called the Naigama." This name was exclusively employed to the Guild of the City Merchants. It is wrong to take it, as it has been done up to this time, as a general term for Guild Merchants.

1. Up to meent decades the castom of getting coins minical by merchants was current in this country,

2. नेगमा: परिवर्षित्र:, Mitra Misra, Firamitradaya, p. 120 ; falso सराराधि इरवर्तितानि निरामवर्षित्रां स्थानानि Praises Fyükorgan Sütres Fyükhyüne quoted by Blama Blastry, Artha Sädre p. 46 f. n. The lawgivers put saig mu in the list of corporate balles. c. r.,

पापधर-नगम-भ बि-पूरा-बात गवादिष. Narada quaned in VB., p. 180 ;

श्री श-नेगम-पाष्यह-गणानामप्ययं विधिः, Yöjimvalkya (Ibid.) p. 170.

Pādanda here means the Buddhist and Jaina religious associations, their gapas and a min ha

The general term is S r e n i as well as $P \bar{u} g a$, the difference between the two being not very clear.¹ Now it appears that originally the Naigama of the capital was the mother of the Paura Association. The Paura grew out or around Naigama (§ 261). In the Jātakas and Pāli Canon the Naigama (Negama) stands for Paura.^{*} Modern translators have translated it by 'town'. It really refers to 'the town' or Capital. Hindu commentators on law books equate Naigama with Paura.³ In the Pāli books Naigama comes with Janapada as in Sanskrit books Paura comes with Jānapada. The connection between the City guild of merchants and the City Corporation was so intimate that both came to be regarded as identical. This is the reason why the mercantile interest is predominant in the Panra. The Rāmāyana mentions the Naigama always with the P a u r a and treats them as connected though distinct.⁵ The Naigama had its assembly hall and offices a b h ā, where it held its meetings as the Paura-Jānapadas held theirs in their sabhas and on their squares." We find a donor, a noblem u, recording at the Nigama-Sabhā his with certain guilds, srepis, of the town investments Goverdhana, the interest to go to certain charities in perpetuity. M. Senart tran-lates the passage in question thus-"all this has been proclaimed (and) registered at

2 Jaraka. Vol. 1 p. 149. सब्बे नेगमजानपदे ; Kutadanta Sutta, Dighanikaya, para. 12, नेगमा च एव जानपदा च ते भवं राजा चामन्तयतं ।

5 Ramā popa, Y. K. 127, 16,

•

11

गुग्राः समुदितान् इप्टा पौराः पाग्रदुस्तांस्तदा ।

••• ••• •

कथय न्त स्म सम्भूय चत्वरेषु सभास च ॥

- Mahā-Bhārata quoted by Mitra Misra in V.NP., p. 40.

I Unskilled actizant were combined as Vrātas. See Pataňjali on Pāņini V. 2. 21.

⁸ Chandesvam, 178 pp. 177, 180, नगमा: पौरा:, नेगम: पौरसमुह: 1

⁴ Cf. Srighthin who is always a rich northant. See below on the composition of the Paura.

"the town's hall; at the record office, according to custom"." Naigama thus was connected with, and probably over, the Srepis or guilds of the City.

§ 260. The Paura being so pronouncedly mercantile, their getting coins minted at the royal mint we can take as an economic measure. The 'N e g a m a coin s' which are interpreted as coins struck by guilds, are, I think, to be interpreted as coins struck at the capital by the state for the association of the City Merchants or the Paura.^a And the coins bearing the name of chief towns, e.g. Ujeniya,^a can be thus explained as being Paura coins.⁴

§261. The literal significance of Nigama, from which Naigama is derived, is in accordance with Panini, III. 3. 119, 'the place (or house) whereinto people resort.' It would have been the meeting-place or the bourse in the Capital where merchants and tradesmen in the Capital met. The body of the people associated with the Nigama, the bourse or the guildhall, were called Naigama.

1 Nasik cave inscription, E. J., VIII, 82. Text :---

"गोवर्धन-वायवाद के बिद्य कोसीकनिकावे २००० वृत्ति पडिकात...एत व सर्व जादित निगम-

ENTER FARME Windows With the Starting and Bearing'.

g CL Arthe-latra p. 89, सोवसिंक: बीरजानपंदानी कृत्यत्वक मावेशनिमिः बार्थत ।

8 Oanningham, A. S. R., Vol XIV., p. 148.

4 The Dejake coin bearing the word $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ (Cumulogham, Coins of Ascient India, p. 64, pl. III) would signify that the name of the capital was Dejake. See also the kran coin (4. S. H. V., XIV, p. 148; C. A. J. pp. 99-102).

CHAPTER XXVIII

Political Functions

of

The Janapada and the Paura

§ 262. The Jānapada appears to have been concerned with matters mainly constitutional and political. All the references to their work are to such business, with one or two exceptions, e. g., that they got gold coins minted by the royal mint-master.¹ This seems to have been a business of economic nature. Apparently they had to judge as to the number of coins necessary in the country for the purposes of exchange, and probably they exercised some sort of supervision as to weight and purity of the coins, as debasement of coinage by

government is found recorded once or twice as a matter of public complaint.

§ 263. In all constitutional matters we find the Paura always appearing with Jānapada. The Paura had thus a double character, as a local self-administration of the capital and a constitutional assembly. The Constitutionsl latter function they sometimes discharged, as bumines. we shall see, by themselves, which the Pauraespecially in Jumpada were provincial capitals. Matters of importance were supposed to do discussed and decided in a joint parliament of the two bodies, the Jänapada and the Paura. Their unity then is so complete that the two bodies are regarded as one and referred to as one in the singular. The unity was effected owing to the fact that Janapada had its meeting place and office at the capital itself.*

¹ Artha-Sästra, II, 14; 32.

² See reference in the Mrichchhakatika discussed below § 280, and other references indicating their location at capital.

§ 261. Let us take examples of the business they used to transact. The Pauras and the Jānapadas meet together along with Brahmins. and other leaders of the nation to resolve upon the appointment of a Yuvarāja or king-assistant.¹ They after their deliberations ask the king to consecrate the prince whom, they say, "w e w an t."²

l Rāmāyaņa, Ayodhyā Kāņda, II. 19-92,

नाहाया जनसुरूपात्र पौरजानपदैः सह । समेत्य मन्त्र्यित्वा तु समलांगतनुद्धयः ॥ द्वन्त्र मनसा ज्ञात्वा वृद्धं द्वार्थं नृपम् ।

स रामं युवराजानममिषिण्यच्य पार्थि ॥ इच्छामो हि महाबाहुं रथुवीरं महाबलम् ।

2 Ibid, 26-51.

ते समूचुर्महात्मानं पौरजानपदैः सह । बहनो वृष कल्याया गुयाः पुत्रस्य सन्ति ते ।

थदा व्रजति संपामं प्रामार्थे नगरस्य वा । गत्वा सौमित्रिसहितो नाविजित्य निवर्णते ॥ पौरान्स्वजनवन्तित्यं कुग्रल परिष्टच्द्रति ।

उत्सवेषु च सर्वेषु पितेव परितुष्यति । प्रजापालनतस्वज्ञो न रागोपहतेन्द्रियः । न्नारंसते जनः सर्वो राष्ट्रे पुरवरे तथा । न्नास्यन्तश्व बाह्यस्य पौरजानपदो जनः ॥ (Komb.)

For Jana in the collective sense of a body, compare Afoka's and usugat (Pillar series VII).

The age of the present Rámáyana should be studied in Jacobi's critical paper on Bámáyana (Dãs Rāmāyana). It seems that the original edition was composed about 500 B.C., and the revision took place circa 200 B.O. (JBORS, 1V. 234).

*****80

• The king is somewhat surprised and asks : "As you desire, "the Räghava prince to become protector, a doubt has arisen. "in my mind which please remove." O you They resolve on Nomination "rulers (Rājānah, 'kings'), although I am Prince "ruling this country in accordance with law, "yet how is it you gentlemen want to see my son appointed as "king-assistant, with high powers?", The spokesmen, with the members of the Paura-Jānapada give their reasons. They say that Rāma was the best of the Ikshvākus on merit ; that he was born before Bharata ; that he was brave; that he always enquired after the well-being of the Pauras; that he took a leading part in the festivities; that he knew the principles of government, etc.; that the country desired him as its lord; and in fine, that not only the people of the kingdom and the capital, but also the Paura-Janapada, both their Inner and Outer bodies, admire the Prince. The king is satisfied with the proposal that they desired to have his eldest son in the office of the Yuvarāja. When the king promises that the desire would be carried out, his reply is acclaimed.¹ And then he makes a speech by which he gives directions to carry out the resolution. This being done, "the Pauras who had advised

1 Bid., Cunto III, veraes 2-5,

चहोऽस्मि परमप्रीतः प्रभावश्वातुलो मम। यन्मे ज्येष्ठ प्रियं पुत्रं यौवराज्यस्थमिच्छय ॥

भोवराज्याय रामस्य सर्वमेवोपकल्प्यताम् । राज्ञस्तूपरते बाक्ये जनघोषो मद्दानभूत् ॥ धर्नस्तस्मिन्प्रयान्ते च जनघोषे नराधिपः ॥

...

К

"the king, departed being greatly satisfied."" Here it is evident that the expression "Pauras' stands for both the Pauras and the Jänapadas.

§265. The Paura-Jānapada as one body again wait to take part in the Abhisheka (consecration)

They take part in A b h i s h e k a as P e o p l e's Representatives. They can p r e v e n t B n c c e s s i e n

ceremony." Although the whole body was taken to be present, only the Chiefs or 'Presidents' of the sections were in fact present in person." We find only the Vriddhas of the

whole of P a n c h a l a country taking part in the ceremony at Kānyakubja, as Dharma-Pāla's copper-plate records⁴. It is to the ladies of the Chiefs of the Srenis that the king offers salutation after the coronation overemony.⁴

In other royal ceremonies also, the Aldermen of the Paura Paura-Vriddhas, take part."

Likewise the Paura-Jānapadas could interfere with succession and prevent an undesirable prince from coming to the throne.¹

1	Ibid., verm 49,
	ते चापि पौरा वृपतेर्वचातच्यु त्या क्या साममिवेष्टमाञ्च ।
	गरेम्ब्रमामम्त्रा गृहाबि गरवा देवानसमान क रमिप्रइंडाः ॥
	Ibid., IV. 1,
	गतेष्मध घूपी भूषः पौरेषु सह मन्त्रिमिः ।
	मन्त्र पिरचा स्तारवक्ते निरचयज्ञः स निम्चनम् ॥
Ż	ibid. Canto XIV; verse 52,
	उपतिहति शामस्य समग्रमभिषेवनम् ।
	धीरजानपदारचायि नैगमम इलान्जलिः ॥
8	Ibil, verse 40,
	पौरजानपदन्ने हाः नैगमात्र गन्नीः सह ।
4	<i>BL</i> , IV., p. 346.
5	V. M. R, 114.
6	Ibid. p. 417. In Dera-ya. न्यू. सतीर्वासायम्बान्स परिष् बपुरम्प्रदे ।
7	M. Bh., Ud. Ch. 149, 22-23

revolution § 266. The enacted in the Mrichchhakatika throws light on another aspect Depositions of the constitutional power of the Paura-Janapada. The reigning king is deposed 'because of bad administration of law, of which the president "of the commercial union had been a victim." The brother 'of the deposed king who 'established confidence' among the Pauras^{*} obtained sovereignty. The messenger comes to the Janapada-Samavāya, 'corporate association of the Janapada' with the news of the revolution,⁸ who are shortly after addressed as the Pauras and called upon to punish Samsthanaka. According to the M a h ā-V a m s a the Ceylonese chronicle, the Paura in India could depose and banish the king for illegal acts, and they, mindful of the good of all, could choose another in his place outside the dynasty, by deciding upon it in their meeting." Here again the Pauras apparently stand for both the Pauras and Janapadas. In the Daśa-kumāra-charita^s the Pauras and the Jānapadas are said to be friendly to the brothers of the king, it is therefore feared by the speaker that they are bound to succeed the king if the latter dies.

1 See Trial of Charudatte', translated in O. W. N., zvi, p. ii.

सार्थवाहविनगदत्तत्व नप्ता सागरदत्तत्व सनयः । 🗛 🕮 (छाया) पौरानु समामात्य ।

अ Meichchhakutiku, Act X. Soe also पौरा: वावादेश। कि ग्रिमित्र पादकी जीवावीश्वदि। which shows that the Pauras were present at the place where Charadatta and Vasantasens were standing and where the Jamapuda samaraya was.

4 Of. Mahavashsa, IV. 5-6.

5 Chapter III.

8

षानुजाः धुनः स्रतिबहवः तैरपि घटन्ते पौरजानपदाः ।

§ 267. There is a sample of discussion in assemblies of the Paura and the t h e Janapada given in the Artha-Sastra. Spies of the king charged to gauge the political views of the Political Political Discussion in Pauras and the Janapadas about the king, would Paura-Jānapada approach (1) the Tirtha-Sabha-Sala-Samaväya or the Sectional sub-assembly of the Paura in charge of the sacred places and public buildings; (2) the Puga-Samavaya or the sub-assembly in charge of trades and manufactures; and (3) the Jan a-Sam av äy a or the Popular Assembly, that is, what the Mrichchhakatika calls the Janapada-Samavaya. By approaching these assemblies, sectional or permanent councils, the spies ascertained the prevailing feeling of the Panra and the Janapada. The spies would broach the subject, for example, in these words :

"We hear that the king is possessed of all the necessary "merits. But we do not see those merits, for the man "is troubling the Pauras and the Jānapadas (by de-"mands for) army and taxes." 1

In the discussion if the members defend and praise the king, they were reminded of the Hindu theory of the original contract between the King and the People, the very origin and basis of Kingship:

"Well, (is it not so ? that) the Subjects went to Manu "son of Vivasvat, when anarchy prevailed and troubled "them. They settled his share in taxes---one-sixth of "the crops, [and] one-tenth of merchandise, in cash. That

For the interpretation of ETERTER compare TET is Bk. XIII, Ob. V ; 176 (p. 407).

[PT. II

¹ Artha Sastra, Bk. 1. Oh. XIII 9,

गुरपुरुषप्रबिधिः कृतमहामात्यापसर्पः पौरजानपदागपसप्येत् ।

सत्रिष्टी इन्द्रिनस्तीर्थसभाशासापूगजनसमवायेषु विवादं कुर्युः सर्वगुवसम्पत्रण्यार्थ राजा भूषते । ज चास्य कवित् गुव्दो हरवते वः योरजानपदान् दरहकराज्यां पोडवसीति ।

"much is the wage of kings for ensuring pros-"perity".

§ 268. The king according to the Mahā-Bhārata had to invest only that minister with the jurisdiction of mantra or state policy and government Appointment (danda), that is, the powers of the Premier Mantrin and (mantrin), who'has legally earned the confidence of the Paura-Janapada." Resolutions on state policy having been discussed by the cabinet of ministers with the king, had to be submitted to the Räshtra. that is, the Janapada, for their opinion (lit., to show दशपेत्), through the RashtrIya or the Resolution President of the Rāshtra or on State Policy Jānapada.³ This was necessary especially because grant of extraordinary taxes, as we shall presently see, was in their hands.

§ 269. The tenure of ministers depended, to a considerable extent, on the good-will and confidence of the Paura-Jānapada. The minister Chakra-pālita who was the provincial governor of Skanda Gupta in the Western presidency, records in the public inscription that he gained the confidence of the people and the Nāgaras

For the interpretation of bhrite of, its meaning in the Mitäkshara (Vijhaneśvara).

- 2 Mahā-Bhārata (Kumb.), Šānti-Parvan, LXXXIII. 45-6,
 - सस्मे मन्त्रः प्रयोक्तम्यो दयडमाधित्सता नृप् ।
 - पौरजानपदा यस्मिन्दिखासं धर्मसो गताः ॥

8 *Ibid.*, LXXXV, 11-12,

भटानां मन्त्रियां मध्ये मन्त्रं राजोपधारयेत्. । ततः संप्रं वयेद्राष्ट्रं राष्ट्रीयाय च दर्शयेत ॥

१ तन येऽनुप्रशंसेयुः तानितरस्तं च प्रतिषेधयेत् । मात्स्यन्यायाभिभूताः प्रजा मनु वंबस्वतं राजानं चक्रिरे । धान्यपद्वभागं पर्ययदर्शभागं हिरययं चास्य भागधेयं प्रकल्पयामासः । तेन भूता राजानः प्रजानां योगज्जेमवद्दाः तेषां किलियपमदयढकरा हरन्ति । 26id. (p. 23).

by his rule in a short time and that he 'coaxed and pleased the Paura-vargas' or the association of the Pauras.³ Finally he prays, "May the Capital prosper and be loyal to the Paura!"³ 11.

§ 270. In empires there were presidency, capitals. There seems to have been an independent Paura body in such

Paura and Provincial Government a capital. The Paura alone in such cases are mentioned. There was no separate Janapada body there and it seems that the latter still sat at

the imperial capital représenting the whole country. The Pauras were prone to take offence at the Ministry's behaviour. The Pauras alone of Takshaśilā, the capital of the North (Uttarāpatha) in the time of Asoka, are related to have become "hostile". Prince Kunāla was sent by his father, King Asoka, to pacify them. The Paura coming forward told the prince in their address of welcome: "We are not hostile to Your Highness (the viceroy) "nor are we hostile to King Asoka, but (we are so to) the "rascally Ministers who have come and "who are rude to us ("insult us").³¹⁷

We find from A so k a's inscriptions that the emperor

1 विश्रम्भमक्पेन धशाम योऽस्मिन्कालेन सोकेष्ठ स नागरेष्ठ । यो सालयामास च पौरवर्गान...।

-Junagedh Inscription of 457.8 A. Of Floot, O. I I. (G1.), Val. 111, p. 60 The reading of Floot separating of Trum and and and the ingenerationally impossible.

3 Ibid., p. 61 नगरमपि च मुवाह दिमल्पीरज्ञ्हन् \$;

3 Divyācadāsa, pp 407-8

राज्ञोऽयोकस्योत्तरापये तत्त्वचित्तानगरं विरुद्धम् । अत्या च राजा स्वयमेषाभिप्रस्थितः । ततोऽमात्येरमिहितः । देव कुमारः प्रेथ्यतां सं संनामयिव्यति । अयं राजा कुनासमाह्य कथयति । वत्स कुनास गमिष्यसि तत्त्वचित्तानगरं संनामयितुर्म् । कुनास ठवाच ः परं देव गमिष्यामि भनुपूर्वेश्व तत्त्वचित्तामनुप्राप्तः । अत्या व तत्त्वचित्तापौरा अर्थत्रिकानि योजनानि मुर्ग्वायोमां नगरवोमां च कृत्या पूर्वकुम्मेः प्रत्युद्गताः । वत्त्वचित्तापौरा अर्थत्रिकानि योजनानि मुर्ग्वायोमां नगरवोमां च कृत्या पूर्वकुम्मेः प्रत्युद्गताः ।

56

made an order that the Ministers at Takshasilā were to go out of office every three years, and new ministers to be sent instead.¹ From other provincial capitals the ministers were changed every five years; but an exception was made in the case of the Government at Takshasilā and that

Agitation of the Paura of Taxila case of the Government at Takshasilā and that at Ujjayini. The same records which mention

this, namely, the Kalinga Inscriptions called 'Special Edicts' by epigraphists, say that the King insisted on the rule of transfers so that the "city body" (n a g a r a-j a n a = Paura) might not be suddenly excited and suddenly put to trouble (N a g a l a - j a n a s a a k a s m ā p a l i b o d h e v a a k a s m ā p a l i k i l e s e v a n o s i y ā t i). This evidently refers to a sudden excitement of the Pauras as in the case of the Takshasilā agitation described in the D i v yā v a dā n a.

Unfortunately we are not in possession of the details of these constitutional 'insults' which entitled the Pauras to become hostile and justify their disloyalty. In any case, the Pauras were such keen politicians that they would distinguish disloyalty to the Ministers from loyalty to the Crown.

अस्वा तत्त्रशिलापौरा रत्नपूर्णघटादिकान् । गृह्य प्रत्युज्जगामाग्रु बहमान्या वृपात्मजस् ॥

प्रत्युहम्म इताञ्जलित्वार । न वर्य कुमारस्य विरुद्धा न राज्ञोऽयोकस्यापि तु हुटात्मानोऽमात्या शागत्यास्माकमपमान कुत्रन्ति,। यावत्कुनालो महता सन्मानेन तज्ञशिलां प्रवेशितः ।

1 मगलजनस आकस्मा पलिबोधे व आकस्मा पलिकिलेसे व नो सिया ति एताये च आठाये इब धमते पंचछ पंचछ वसेछ नित्तामयिसामि ए आललसे आचंड...सलिनालम्भे होसति एतं आठं जानितु तथा कलंति आथ मम आनुसथी ति उजेनिते थि चु कुमाले एतायेन आठाये नित्तामयिस... हेविसे मेव वर्ग नो च आतिकामयिसति तिंनि वसानि हेमेव सलसिलाते पि आदा आ...ते महा-माता नित्तमिसति etc. Dhouli edition, lines 0-25.

1 have discussed the significance of the inscription in J. B. O. R. S., Vol. V, (1915) p. 36,

§ 270A. The Paura-Janapada are repeatedly mentioned in connexion with taxation. Taxes were fixed by common law. But the king often had the necessity and Taxation occasion to apply for an extra-ordinary taxation. Such taxes assumed the form of 'pranaya', 'out ofaffection 'gifts', or a forced benevolence-tax, and the like.1 It is evident that proposals for such taxation were first submitted to the Paura-Janapada. According to the Arth & - Sastra the king had "to beg of the Paura-Jānapada" these taxes." We have already noticed the discussion of grievance in the Paura sub-assemblies and the Jānapada sub-assembly about the oppression from the king's taxes. A ruler of a subjugated country, according to Kautilya, ran the risk of causing wrath of the Paura-Jānapada, and his consequent fall, by raising money and army to be supplied to his suzerain.³

Disaffection might follow a Regent's threat to realize a war-tax. Kautilya's agents, says the Artha-Sāstra, taking service under the Regent, while the enemy king was out with his army in the field, would secretly tell the Paura-Jānapadas, as friends, that the Regent had ordered the government to demand taxes the moment the king returned. And when the Pauras held ageneral meeting to give their votes on the subject the leaders were to be done away with at night secretly, and the rumour circulated by the agents "this is done because they were

8 Ibid. Bk. XIII, Ch. 5 ; 176,

कोधदबहदालमबरूत्राप्त बदुपकुल्तांत्रः पौरजानपदाम्कोपयेत् । कुपितैस्तरेनं धातयेत् प्रकृतिमिल्पक्र ष्टमपनयेत् ।

¹ Jaysswal, Ind. Ant, 1918, p. 50.

² Artha-Sastra, Bk. V. ch. 2; 90,

षुतेन प्रदेशेन राजा पौरजानपदान् मिहता ।

opposing the Regent's proposal."¹ This was expected to cause dissension and weakness in the enemy country.

Rudradāman, as he says in his inscription, proposed to his ministers the restoration of the great water works of the Mauryas, the Sudarśana lake, which proposal was rejected by his Council of Ministers. Thereupon Rudradāman did the repairs from 'his own private purse'. In doing so, he says that he did not trouble the 'Paura-Jānapada jana or body" with a demand for benevolences for the purpose.³ Just before, he has already said that he realized taxes only so much as was rightfully allowed (by Hindu Law)³.

The Sudarsana lake was a huge irrigation work. The onpital being situated on a hill, the people who were most benefited by it were the Janapada people. It would be probably inexplicable why the king should have troubled the Tauras unless we accepted that the Paura-Jānapada together had to sanction the demand.

§271. A sample of an address from the

1 Ibid. Bk. XII, Ch. 9; 183,

दुर्गेषु चास्य शून्यपालासन्नास्सत्रिणः पौरजानपदेषु मैत्रीनिमित्तमावेदयेयुः । शून्य-पालेनोक्ता योधाक्ष भाधिकरणास्थाक्ष कृच्छागतो राजा जीवन्नागमिष्यति, न वा प्रसद्य वित्त-मार्जयध्वममित्रांक्ष इत इति । बहुलोभूते तील्णाः पौराक्षिणास्वाहारणेयुः मुख्यांक्षाभिद्दन्युः एव क्रियन्ते ये शून्यपालस्य न शुश्रू बन्ते इति । शून्यपालस्थानेषु च सगोधितानि शस्त्रवित्तवन्धनान्यु-स्त्रजेयुः । ततस्सत्रिणः शून्यपालो घात्तयति विलोपयति च इत्यावेदयेयुः ।

In the above text ageing a should be compared with संबहुल in Jataka, II. 45, and a agein in the Majjhima Nikuya-Gopaka Moggalluna Sutta where संबहुल denotes holding a meeting to decide a matter by the vote of majority.

2 Epigraphia Indica, Vol VIII, p. 44,

भ्रयोडयित्वा करविष्टिप्रस्य पक्रियाभिः पौरजानपदं जनं स्वस्मात्कोश्रा (न्) महता धनौचेन भनतिमहता च कालेनसेतुंकारितम् ।.....ग्रास्मिक्यों महाज्ञत्रपस्य मतिसचिव कर्मसचिवेरमात्यगुस्ममुद्युक्तरेप्यतिमहत्त्वाद्वे दुरुपानुत्साहविमुखमतिभिः प्रयाल्यातारंभं eto

L

throne begging extra taxes from the

Koyal Speech to the Paura-Jáuspada

Paura-Jänapada is given in the Mahā-Bhārata. I quoted the speech in 1912 but its constitutional character could not be realized before Kharavela's inscription disclosed the corporate

Paura and Jānapada. The passage just before that speech is most important, for it shows the methods to which the Crown resorted, to obtain the grants from the Paura-Janapida. The method of securing a majority in the assembly of the Janapida is given, and royal dishonesty in defeating the Jampada is divulged. The very method, at the same time, proves the legal power and authority of the Paura-Janapada.

. "To provide for a future distress, kings" [according to our Mahā-Bhārata authority] "raise and keep by funds. "All the Paura-Janapadas (i. e., all the members) those in "session (samsrita), as well as those taking ease "(u p ā ś r i t a), *i.e.*, every one of them should be shown (royal) "sympathy, even those who are not rich. Dissension should be "created in the Outer (B & h y a) body of theirs and then the "M i d d l e body to be well (or comfortably) won over (bribed, "entertained'). The king thus acting, the People will not be "excited and disaffected whether they feel (the burden) easy "or heavy. Then, before money demand is made, the king going "to them and addressing by a speech should point out to the

1 Santi-Parcan Ch. LXXXVII. 23-25 (Kumbakonam). भापदर्य च निचयान्।जानो हि विच्निते। राष्ट्रम्य कोशमूत स्वारकोशो वेग्मगतस्तव्या त यौरजानपदान्सवौन्संग्रितोपान्नितांस्तवा । यथागच्य नुकम्पेत सर्वान्वन्दधनानपि ॥ बाइ जर्न मेद्यित्वा भोग्डव्यो मध्यमः छसम् । एवं मास्य प्रकृत्यन्ति जनाः समितदुःसिताः 🛚

"Räshtra (Jännpada) the danger to his country (e, g) as "follows:]

"Here a danger has arisen. A large enemy army! "They forebode our end just as the prospect of coming on fruit "to the bamboo". My enemies with the help of d as y us "(foreign barbarians)⁸ want to harm the kingdom,—an "attempt which, of course, will prove to be their self-destruc-

1 Ibid, 25-84,

प्रागेव त धनादानसनुभाष्य ततः पुनः । सन्निपत्य स्वविषये भयं राष्ट्रे प्रदर्शयेत् ॥ २६ इयमापत्समृत्पन्ना परचक्रभयं महत । चपि चान्ताय करपन्ते वेगोरिव फलागमाः॥ २७ भारयों में समुत्याय बहुभिदंस्युभिः सह । इदमात्मवधायेव राष्ट्रमिच्छन्ति बाधितम् ॥ २८ अस्यामापति घोरायां संप्राप्ते दाच्यो भये। परित्राणाय भवतः प्रार्थयिष्ये धनानि वः ॥ २६ प्रतिदास्ये च भवतां सर्व चाइ भयन्नये । नारयः प्रतिदास्यन्ति बद्धरेयर्बलादितः ॥ ३० क्लत्रमादितः कृत्वा सर्वं वो विनशेदिति। शरीरपुत्रदारार्थमर्थसञ्चय इच्यते ॥ ३१ नन्दासि वः प्रभावेखं पुत्राणामिव चोदये। यथाग्रत्युपगृहामि राष्ट्रस्थापीडया च वः ॥ ३२ आपरस्वेव निवोदव्यं भवद्भिः संगतीरह । न वः प्रियतरं कार्य्य धनं कल्यांचिदापदि ॥ ३३ इति वाचा मध्रया म्लद्त्याया सोपचारया। स्वरम्मीनभ्यवस्त्रेग्रोगमाधाय कालवित ॥ ३४

2 The prospect is dreaded by the owner of the bamboo clump is our villegestas it means the drying up of the whole stock. The bamboo 'fruis' is in appearance like paddy.

3 Dasys is a technical term both in Manu (x. 45) and the (Mahū-Bhārata. Sānti-P LXV, 13-17) denoting foreign tribes.

"tion. In this serious difficulty and in the nearness of this "grim danger, I beg of you money, gentlemen, for your safety. "When the crisis is over I will repay, gentlemen, in full. The "enemies will not return what they, if they can, carry away by "force from here. From family down to every thing you possess "might be destroyed by them. Money is desired only for the "sake of person, children and wife. I delight in your "prosperity as in the prosperity of my sons. I shall receive "what you can spare, without causing pain to the realm and to "you. In crises, the honourable assembly (utilize tind:) "should bear the burden. You should not value money very "much in a crisis."

"With such sweet, bland speeches making salutations "and showing courtesy (sopachāro), kings presented their "money domands" (dhanādāna).

Every Paura and every Jänapada (that is, every member) was to be humoured by personal attention of the king before the time for the speech and demand arrived.¹ We are already familiar with the Outer body of the Paúra-Jänapadas. In the Rämäyana, as we have seen, the same term occurs. But what is meant by the Middle body? They here stand for 'the Inner body'. They were to be "used" and "given wages" for their dishonourable conduct. They were won over to favour the king's proposal.

It should be noted that the language addressed to the Paura-Jānapada is very polite; the pronoun is, bhavat, 'your honourable self', bhavad bhih sangataih 'your honourable Assembly.' ?

¹ Ibid. 26.

² That voting prevailed in the Jännpada and Paura can be gathered from the procedure of contemporary popular institutions which we have already noticed. It is implied here in our text by the directions about creating breach in the Outer body and about winning over the Middle body. c

§272. The Paura-Jänapada demanded and obtained anugrahasor 'privileges'. Khāravela in his inscription says that he granted numerous anugrahas in a and Anograhas particular year to the Paura and to the or Privileges Janapada. According to Kautilya the Paura-Jānapada (leaders) of an enemy country should be advised by secret agents 'to demand an ugralias from the king' when there bo famine, thefts, and raids by the Atavis (buffers of wild tribes). This is to be read along with Yājñavalkya II., 36 which enjoins that the king must pay "to the Jānapada" (in the singular) compensation for loss caused by thieves (see also § 281). The Paura-Jānapada should couple their demand, according to Kautilya, with the threat of migration to the enemy's country in case the demand was not allowed. *

§273. That the demands for anugrahas were mostly of economic character, is shown by the direction of Kautilya:³ that only those anugrahas and parihāras (fiscal concessions) should be granted which would lead to the strength of the Exchequer and those which weaken it were to be avoided for 'with a small treasury the king oppresses the Paura-Jānapadas.'

1 Yajhavalkya, H. 36,

देयं चौर हतं द्रव्यं राज्ञा जानवदाय तु ।

ष्यददद्धि समाप्नोति किल्बिचं यस्य तस्य तत् ॥

This verse of Yajdavatkya corresponds to Manu, VIII. 40. See Medhstithi's explanation.

Cf. प्रत्याहर्तु मणकस्तु धनं चौरेहर्त यदि। स्वकोशार्साद्ध देवं स्पादशकेन महीश्रुता॥

-Denipāyana in the Mitaksharā,

8 Actha Sastra, Bk. XIII, Ch. 1 ; 171. (p. 304),

हुभित्तस्तेनाटव्युपघातेषु च पौरजानपदानुत्साहयन्तः सत्रिणो म् शुः राजानमनुग्रहं याचामहे निरनुग्रहाः परत्र गच्छाम इति ।

3 Artha Sastra, Bk. II ; Chapter I ; 19 (p.47),

भनुपद्दपरिहारौ चैभ्यः कोशरृद्धिकरौ दद्यातु । कोशोपपातिकौ वजयेत् । इत्यकोशो हि राजा पौरजानपद्दानेव यसते । He recommends pari hāra in famines, and counts the crection of irrigation works as a case where a n u g r a h a ought to be granted. Asoka in his Pillar Proclamations says that the Rājūkas or ruling ministers, made independent by him, were to make a n u g r a h a s to the Jānapada body (§318). Rudradāmau calls his restoration of the irrigation lake,—Sudaršana—an a n u g r a h a in favour of the Paura-Jānapadas.

5274. The Buddhist books similarly testify to the constitutional practice of the king's approaching

King asks permission of Naigama-Janapada to undertake long sacrifice to yal speech on that occasion is characteristically polite. The form of demand was this :

"I intend to offer a great sacrifice. Let the gentle-"men ('Venerable Ones,' Rhys Davids) give their s a n c t i o n "to what will be to me for weal and welfare."

If the Paura-Jānapada bodies gave their a n u m a t i ('sanction') the king was to perform the sacrifice and the country had to pay a tax for that.

निवेशसमकालं वथागत ई था परिद्वारं दवात् । निदृत्तपरिद्वारात् पितेवानुगृहीयात् । खाकर कर्मान्तद्रव्यद्दस्तिकन्त्र बर्श्वद्रव्यप्रवारान्दारिस्थलप्यप्यप्यपत्वप तर्नानं च निवेशयेत् । सहोदक-माहाबोदर्कं वा छेतुं धन्यपेत् । भ्रन्थेवां या वल्लतां मूमिमार्गह्रज्ञोपकरद्दानुग्रहं कुर्यात् ।

2 Fp. Ind. Vol. VIII. p. 47,

धुनःसेतुबन्धनेराम्याद हाहामूताछ प्रजास इष्टाचिष्टाने पौरजानपर्यज्ञानुग्रहार्थ पार्थि-वेत अप-

8 Rhys Davids, Dijka Nibiya, -- Kaladzala Satta § 11. Dislogues of the Buddha. Vol. 11. p. 178.

4 Digha Nikūys, — Kūļadanta Butta § 12,

इच्डामई भो महावण्ड बजिदु' चानुजानम्दु में भोम्तो व मम चरुछ दीवरच' हिताय छत्तावाति।

¹ Ibid .---

§ 275. Thus the Paura-Jānapada were approached and begged by the king to grant extra-ordinary taxes; and the Paura-Jānapada demanded and obtained anugrahas or economic privileges from the king. It is not certain but it is very likely that in raising his large armies the king utilised the machinery of the Paura-Jānapada. Two references in the Artha-Sāstra, noticed above, where taxes are coupled with the danda (army) or raising of army, suggest this possibility.

§ 276. That the Paura-Jānapada had not business of merely occasional or adventitious nature, is proved by the

fact that the Arthasāstra marks out one Daily business of Faura-Janapada with the King Daily, therefore, matters went up from them to the king. These must have been of an economic and financial nature, and if they had to raise levies for the imperial army, as it seems very probable, the business must have included military matters as well. The daily business before the king suggests a busy time for at least the Inner body or the permanent Samavāya of the Paura-Jānapada.

1 Artha-Sastea, Bk. VIII, Ch. 19; 16 (p. 87).

द्वितीये पौरजानपदानां कार्याणि पथ्येत् ।

. Cf. Maha Bhireta, Santi-Parvan, Ob. 40, 19.

पौरजानपदानां व थानि कार्यायि नित्यश्वः । राजानं समनुज्ञाप्य तानि कार्यायि घर्मतः ॥

He recommends pari hāra in famines, and counts the crection of irrigation works as a case where a n u graha ought to be granted. Asoka in his Pillar Proclamations says that the Rājūkas or ruling ministers, made independent by him, were to make a n u grahas to the Jānapada body (§318). Rudradāman calls his restoration of the irrigation lake,—Sudaršana—an a n u graha in favour of the Paura-Jānapadas. *

§274. The Buddhist books similarly testify to the constitutional practice of the king's approaching

King asks permission of Naigama-Janapada to undertake long sacrifice when he intended to undertake a big sacrifice. The royal speech on that occasion is characteristically polite. The form of demand was this:

"I intend to offer a great sacrifice. Let the gentle-"men ('Venerable Ones,' Rhys Davids) give their s a n c t i o n "to what will be to me for weal and welfare."

If the Paura-Jānapada bodies gave their a n u m a t i ('sanction') the king was to perform the sacrifice and the country had to pay a tax for that.

निवेशसमकासं वधागतः वा परिहारं दशात् । निद्वसपरिहारान् पितेवानुगृशीयात् । धाकर कर्मान्ततुव्यइस्तिक्नन् वत्रबिङ्पधप्रवारान्त्रारिस्थलपथपयवपत्रगानि अ निवेशयेत् । सहोदक माहायोदकं या सेतुं बन्धयेत् । भ्रन्येवां या बन्नतां भूमिमार्गद्वडोपकरबानुधदं कुर्यात् ।

2 Fp. Ind. Vol. VIII. p. 45,

पुनःसेतुबन्धनराग्याद हाहामूताख प्रजास इहाघिडाने पौरजानपद्वनानुप्रहार्थ पार्थि-वेन अप-

* Rhyn Davidn, Dijhn Nitiya, - Kaladınta Satta § 11. Dislogues of the Buddha. Vol. II., p. 175.

4 Digha Nikaye, - Küledante Sutte § 12,

इच्डामई भी महायण्ड वजितु आनुजानन्तु में भोन्तों व मय आस्त दीवरच हिताय छत्तावाति।

¹ Ibid .--

§ 275. Thus the Paura-Jānapada were approached and begged by the king to grant extra-ordinary taxes; and the Paura-Jānapada demanded and obtained anugrahas or economic privileges from the king. It is not certain but it is very likely that in raising his large armies the king utilised the machinery of the Paura-Jānapada. Two references in the Artha-Śāstra, noticed above, where taxes are coupled with the danda (army) or raising of army, suggest this possibility.

§ 276. That the Paura-Jānapada had not business of merely occasional or adventitious nature, is proved by the

fact that the Arthasāstra marks out one Duily business of table to be devoted to the business of the Paura-Jānapadas.¹ Daily, therefore, matters went up from them to the king. These must have been of an economic and financial nature, and if they had to raise levies for the imperial army, as it seems very probable, the business must have included military matters as well. The daily business before the king suggests a busy time for at least the Inner body or the permanent Samavāya of the Paura-Jānapada.

1 Aitho-Sadra. Bk. VIII. Ch. 19; 16 (p. 87). द्वितीये पौरजानपदानां कार्याया पश्येत् ।

. Gf. Mikā Bhūrata, Sānti Parran, Ob. 40, 19.

पौरनामण्दानां ध यानि कार्याखा नित्यशः । राजानं समनुज्ञाप्य तानि कार्याखा धर्मतः ॥

§ 277. The above matters were not the only concern of the Paura-Jānapadas. We find Asoka, after his pilgrimage to Bodh Gaya, discussing his new Dharma with the Jānapada Afoka's new b o d y.¹ Asoka proposed to impose a new system Daarma and on the community and to do away with the old Janapada orthodox one. He had to feel his way in proceeding with his intended revolution. He sought countenance from the Paura-Jānapada and proclaimed to the public that he had been having the honour of meeting the Jānapada (darsana) and discussing the Dharma with them. They were thus a machinery not only for the restricted purposes of taxation and economic advancement but for all vital interests of the country.

§ 278. We find the **Paura receiving** communication from the sovereign to execute measures of moment Importance of which properly belonged to the jurisdiction of Paura : the the executive government or Danda. Tish y a-Paura and Executive work rakshitā, queen of Asoka, sent the letter which she forged under the name of the Emperor and sealed it with his ivory seal, to the Paura of Takshasila. The story as related in the Divyävadäna may or may not be correct. But the story would not have been detailed in this way if the procedure of sending a royal communication of the nature the missive is said to have embodied, had been unknown at the time the Divyāvadāna was compiled.

1 Rock Series VIII (Girnar),

शाहास्यमम्पानं दससे च दाने च थैरानं दससे च हिरंस्पटिविधानो क जानगदस च जन्म दपनं चमानुसन्दी च चमपरिपुच्छा च...

See below on the mention of the Jänapuda in Piller Series IV (Hindu Ministry).

The Paura were asked to inflict punishment on the viceregal prince who had been denounced in the letter as a traitor to the dynasty.' The Pauras in the Mrichchhakatika are asked by the people to execute the real culprit Samsthänaka who had been treated as innocent by the law-court. The 'Pauras' here probably stands for Paura-Jänapada as they are mentioned after the Janapadasamaväya.

§ 279. The prince-viceroy was supposed to go to their assembly. The passage in the Mahā-Bhārata implies King and Governor that the king himself attended the Paurastanapata Jānapada assembly. Asoka received them with great respect.

§ 280. The political philosopher Vāmadeva quoted in the Mahā-Bhārata sums up the importance of the

Paura and Jānapada by saying that the Paura-Paura-Jānapada could make or mar the

could make or mar government. Janapada could make or mar the government. If they were satisfied "the "business of the realm would be done by

"them: if they were not satisfied they would make government "impossible, for they became opposers. The king had therefore "to keep them attached by his conduct and by not causing "annoyance to them"."

राजा द्वाशोको बलवान् प्रचगुड चालापयत् तत्तशिलाजनं दि । उद्धार्यतां लोचनमस्य धत्रोमोर्ट्यस्य वंशस्य कलक्नु एषः ॥

- Divyāvadāna, p. 410.

The use of the word jand should be noticed here and should be compared with जामपद जल in Afoka's inscription and पौरजानपदी खन: in the Rämäyapa. The sense is of a collective body.

2 पायामि कुनालं...पौर प्रविष्टः | Divyāvadāna, p. 410.

5 Naha-Bharata (Kumbakonam). Santi Pacean, XCIV, 16,

पौरजामपदा बस्य स्वनुरक्ता धापीडिताः ।

राष्ट्रकर्मकरा होते राष्ट्रस्य च विरोधिनः ॥

[The context and grammar show that verse 16 which ought to precede immediately verse 18 has been detatched and put in its present position. Verse 17 really reads with verse 15.]

As the Paura administered relief to the poor and helpless in the capital, the Jānapada did the same in their jurisdiction. It appears from the view of Vāmadeva that when the Jānapada and the Paura withdrew from the duty of poor relief the government of the king was in trouble. They could make the government impossible in so many ways: this may be inferred from their various functions which we have noticed. To them, the trouble caused by the non-performance of poor relief is evidently, to be added as a factor of importance. If the Paura-Jānapadas, says Vāmadeva, remain kind to beings, having money and grain (for the purpose), the throne will remain firmly rooted.³

§ 281. Another method by which the Paura-Jānapada made the government of a misbehaving king difficult was

Compensation bills of Jänspads to the Crown

that the offended Paura and Jānapada would make out a bill and present it to the king to make good all the losses sustained in the kingdom by thefts, dacoities, and the like lawlessness.

This strange[•] procedure is sanctioned even by the Codes of Hindu law. We can understand it only if we bear in mind the Hindu, theory of taxation. Taxes were paid to the king as his wages, and the wages were wages for protection (see § 338 below). The corollary was that if protection which meant both internal and external, was not

- ा तथानाथदरिहाचां संस्कारो वजनक्रिया । अ. Boo IL p. 72, स.
- पौरजामपदा सस्य सूतेष्ठ च हथालयः । सचना चाम्यवन्तरच इडम्लः स पार्थिवः ॥

-Mahā-Bhörats (Kumbakonam) Šanti-Partan, XCIV, 18.

On the question of the wealth in the hands of the Paura and Jénapada bodies it abould be noticed that corporate bodies not only held money and property but sould even legally borrow money as is evidenced by the laws of Bribaspati and KStySyana (Viramitrodaya, p. 432).

\$ Mr. Govinda Das writes, "Iven up to very recent times, I understand that in the Rajput States thefts had to be made good by the king's treasury."

.

rendered fully, deductions from the wages of the employee would be made by the employer. The refund bills were presented, according to Yājňavalkya, by the Jānapada, as it is to them, he enjoins on the king, to pay the crown compensation.¹ The passage in the Artha-Sāstra which says that the spies were to prompt the Pauras and Jānapadas to ask for concessions if the frontier parbarians committed raids, also indicates the practice of demanding compensations.

Krishna Dvaipāyana lays down "when the king has "failed to recover the property taken away by thieves it should "be made good from his own purse (Svakosāt) by the impotent "holder-of-the-country." Svakoša in the inscription of Rudradāman was the private purse as opposed to the public treasury. This being the sense of Dvaipāyana, the compensation realized (according to the corresponding law of Yājňavalkya) by the Janapada amounted to a personal fine on the king.*

§ 282. From the evidence of the Mahā-Bhārata, we gather that the members of the Janapada as well as of

the Paura, were generally rich people. Constituency of And those who were not rich, were not poor the Janapada either.

'The reference in the Daśakumāra-charita^s which represents the king making an illegal request to the president

देवं चौरहतं द्रव्यं राज्ञा जानपदाय तु । श्वदुद्धि समाप्नोति किल्बिच यस्य तस्य तत ॥ -Yajñavalkya II. 36. Compare other passages quoted below. 2 . k. XIII. Oh. 2; 171 (p. 894). प्रत्याहर्चुं मशकस्तु धनं चौरेंई तं यदि। 3 स्वकोधार्साढ देव स्यादयक्तेन महीमृता॥ Quoted in the Mitakahara on Y. II, 86. A Mann VILL 40. दात्तव्य सर्वच्चेच्यो राज्य चोरेंह तं धनम् । According to Nandana it means that the king should make good to all the vary the loss sustained from thi eto. The great commentator Medhātithi as well gives the same mon.

5 Datahumäracharita, Ch. er III.

of the Jänapada for the oppression of a particular Grāmaņi or the head of the village assembly, associates a Jānapada member with the village unit. The Janapada, according to the Artha-Sāstra, was composed of villages and towns.¹ It is permissible to assume that the Jānapada had similarly its constituents in village corporations and townships of the country.

The Grāmaņī was generally a rich man, a Vaišya according to a Vedic reference and a Kshatriya according to the Pāli Canon. The members returned to the Jānapada were very likely men from the Grāmanī class.

The Pāli Sūtra (Kūtadanta of the Dīgha Nikāya)⁴ which is regarded as almost contemporary in age with the Buddha's time, probably furnishes details of the composition of the Naigama or Paura and the Jānapada. The king invites the K shatriyas who were 'Negama' or 'Jānapada' for the time-being (anuyuttā negamā cheva jānapadā cha) in the king's country (Rañño janapada cha) in the king's country (Rañño janapade), likewise those Negamas and Jānapada who were officers and councillors (of the Paura and Jānapada), and, Negama and Jānapada Brahmins who possessed 'larger class of houses', and finally, gahapati Negamas and Jānapadas who were of the class of nechayikas. The gahapati class was composed of ordinary citizens —Vaišyas and Šūdras, freemen cultivating their land or

वे मोतो रच्यो जगपदे सत्तिया ग्रान्य नाम चिव जानपदा च......ये मोतो (cic.) अमचा पारिसज्जा नेताय नेमानपदा चवे भोतो (cic.) बाह्यसमहासाझा नेगमा चेव

¹ Artha-fastra, Bk. II. Chapter 1 ; 19.

² Maitrīyaņi Samhitā, L. 6, 5 ; IV. 3, 8.

⁸ See above 1., p. 89, m.

⁴ Digha Nikaya-Kutadanta Sutta, 12 et seq.,

following their trade, 'the lords of their households.' Neohayika probably denoted the richer class of the Grihapati members as opposed to the Mahā-Bhārata's svalpadhanas, the 'small-wealth' members, of the Paura and Jānapada. This shows that the Paura and the Jānapada had almost all the classes of the population. The poor but highly intellectual class of **Brahmins** probably was not there as the qualification required was based on property of some value. The class of Brahmins whom I have described elsewhere as the aristocracy-in-poverty, i.e., those who lived up to the ideal laid down in the Upanishads and the Dharma Sūtras, would not be included in bodies where property qualification was the law. If we keep this point in view we can understand why Brahmins as a class are montioned in the Rāmāyana separately, as joining the conference of the Paura-Jānapada to discuss the question of the nomination of Yuvarāja by them.¹ The character of the Janapada, as representing the whole country, is quite clear. They are called the Räshtra or the kingdom itself and the Desa or the Country itself. The Pauras were a fairly large body and presumably the Janapadas were larger in number.

§ 283. We have a clear picture of the Paura composition. The description left by Megasthenes,³ of the city-

> नाहाया जनमुल्याश्व पौरजानपदः सह ॥ समेत्य ते मन्तूयितं समतांगतहुद्धयः ।

1

-Rāmāyaņa, Ayodhyā Kāņda, Chapter II. 19-20 (Kumb.). 2 - Bee § \$36.

TT II

The composition

of the Paura

magistrates or the Executive bodies of the Paura of Pātaliputra read in the light of the working system of corporate assemblies of the country, shows that the Paura was divided into several

sub-assemblies representing different interests of the Capital. The Paura was a sort of mother association of different bodies. Patanjali, who uses the word Sampha in the general sense of a corporate assembly. Lot limited, as by Pāņini and also probably by Kātyāyana, to the political Sampha, mentions as we have seen. Samphas of 5. of 10, and of 20 men.¹ It may be remembered that Kautilya also employs the word Samgha in the general sense' like Patañjali, although the technical sense of Pānini is not unknown to either. The significance becomes clear when we refer to the Mahāvagga (IX. 4. 1.) which lays down that a Samgha may have a quorum of 5,10,20 or upwards. The Panchika Samgha, therefore, of Patanjali, is the quorum of 5. The boards of 5 members each of Megasthenes were these Panchika Samghas. If the boards of 5 each were the Samghas of 5, then they would represent independent bodies, and their joint meeting would be a meeting of the mother association. This interpretation is supported by the fact that the Paura is regarded to have more than one Mukhya or Śreshtha, chief or president, and

1 See footnotes under 4 257.

2 Artha-Sädra, Bk. III. Ch. 14; 66 (p. 185); तेन सङ्घता क्याक्याताः । Bk. II. Ch. I ; 19 (p. 48), सजातातून्य; सष्ट्र: Bk. III, Ch. III ; 62, (p. 178) देववातिइस-सङ्गगाम् ।

3 Ramayana, Ayodhyakanda, Ch. XV. V. 2. 29941 à faritien a ; Ch. XIV, V. 40, बीरजानपदमें हा ।

Megasthenes mentions more than one "City Magistrate." 1 In the Mudrarakshasa' when Chandanadasa is called by the Chancellor Chāņakya (Kautilya), he is shown great respect and asked whether the people were loyal to the new king. Chandanadāsa thereupon speaks for the whole country; but he is only the president of the Jewellers' Association (Manikāra-śreshthī). In the Dasakumāracharita, out of the two Paura-Mukhyas one is the president of merchants dealing with foreign trade only.³ In the Artha Sāstra where spies are sent to the Paura-Jānapadas to sound their political mind, they go to the "associations" or samavāyas (in the plural) of the Tirthas, of the Sabhā-Sālas, of the Pūga and of the People. * These Samavāyas except the last one are evidently identical with the Boards of Megasthenes (as pointed out above) which looked after public buildings and temples, after manufactured articles, after trade and commerce. We have noticed the datum of Gautama which proves that there were Sūdra members also.⁵ They were probably returned by the Jāti-Samghas or the caste assemblies or they might be representing some guild of artisans. The Püga committee must have been mainly composed of the representatives of trade and commerce, apparently middle-class substantial men. The Paura was thus composed on the basis of different interests in the capital.

^{1 800 § 256.}

² Act I, For the date of the Mudis-Räkshama (-1. 08 420 A.D.) see Jayaswal, Indian Antiquery, 1915, p. 265; 1917, p. 265.

⁸ Datatumärgeharim Onapter III.

⁴ Archa-Sastra, Bk. I, Ch. 18 ; 9 (p. 22).

⁵ See II., p. 72, s.

٠.

§283. The Rämäyaņa gives some details of separate bodies which made up Naigama, probably about 500 B.C. As the the Paura-Janapada (with the Naigama) appear, taking leading part in the nomination of Rāma as Yuvarāja, so the Paura, Naigama, or Jānapada or probably all of them figure on subsequent occasions when the question of succession to the throne presents itself. In VI (Yuddha) 127. 4, when Rāma is returning to Ayodhyā, the srepimukhyas and the 'Ganas' or 'Members of the Parliament' (probably the Janapada) go out to receive him. In verse 16 they are around Bharata along with the Ministers and are mentioned as the Śrenimukhyas and the Naigamas, The Naigamas consecrate Rāma as representatives of Vaisya and Sudra elements of the population (C. 128, 62). When Bharata is called from his maternal home on the death of Dasaratha, the Srepis sanction Bharata's proposed succession which is intimated to him (Ayodhyā, C. 79, 4). The "Rāma-Commentary" here explains "S r e n a y a h" as "P a u r ā h" and Govinda-räja as "n a i g a m ā ḥ." Probably 'Sreņayah' (Srenis) has been used in the primary sense, like the gapas of VI. 127, denoting 'the assemblies', i.e., both the Paura and Janapada, Again when Bharata goes to bring back Ramafrom exile or hermitage, the "favourites of the gapa" go with him along with the same associates, the ministers etc. (81. 12). These favourites or elected rulers of the gamas (83. 10) are referred to, a little further, in connexion with the people of the City,¹ as the Naigamas and 'those who think together' (sam-matâye) in the company of 'all the ministers.' Immediately following (verse 12 et. seq.) are actained the different bodies or classes of trades and

1 Nagaribae, evidently Pauras.

arts who evidently made up the Naigama, e.g., jewellers, ivory-workers, stucco-workers, goldsmiths, wood-carvers, spice merchants and so forth.¹ They are rounded up with (verse 15) 'Presidents of townships and villages' (grāma-ghosha-mahattarāh), which the 'Bāma commentary' explains as "the Presidents for the time being." As the naigana is detailed by its various trades and arts, the Janapada ('those who think together') is detailed by its component elements--the village and township Presidents. Both these main bodies issue forth from the Capital. The representative assembly of the villages and townships of the realm, as observed above, had their head-quarters at the Capital. But the Naigama which was similarly at the Capital was the general representative body of different trade-guilds and guilds-merchant of the Capital only, as the commentators imply and the equivalent Paura proves.

§ 284. This conclusion derived from literature is confirmed by certain seals lately discovered at Basärh the ruined site of Vaiśāli. These seals remain learned puzzles in the pages of the reports of the excavation, without the data from literature we have noticed. They become intelligible in the light of the evidence adduced above. One seal bears the legend Sreshthi Nigamasya while another reads Sreshthi-Sārthavāha-Kulika-nigama; again another, Kulika-Harih or Prathama-Kulika-Harih.' The seals ending with nigama are the seals of the mother association of Nigama or Paura. Kulika was a judge of the Paura as we have already seen'; Prathama-Kulika would be thus the first judge of the Paura Court. 'Sreshthin,' 'president,' was evidently

¹ Consult Govindarāja on the technical names of trades.

⁸ याने चीथे च बर्तमाना जड्तरा, | Govindarāja explains mahattarāh as pradkānabhitaḥ, 'made presidents.'

⁸ A. S. R. 1913-14, pp. 139, 140 and 153, seals Nos. 282 B. 320 A, 318 A, and 277 A. For discussion on the scale see page 124 st seq.

^{*} See above §§ 49-50, 120 (I. pp. 123-4).

the General President. The seal of the 'Sreshthi Sārthavāha Kulika nigama' legend represented the different sections or Samavāyas of the Nigama through their three chiefs. The separate seals related to the separate entities, the corporations sole, e.g., the judicial seal of the Kulika judge.

§285. The laws of Paura, alluded to in the general term Grama or Township, The laws' of the Jänapada and Paura and the laws of Janapada, as we have seen in the last chapter, are recognised in the Codes of Hindu Law. They were really the resolutions of these bodies. They had the force of law. The law courts enforced them against offending members. The resolutions regulated primarily the conduct of the corporate bodies and their business inter se. They were called Samaya, 'law or resolution a greed upon in an assembly' (sam + ay). These Samayas are called in Manu and Yājňavalkya¹ 'Dharmas' or Laws. We may recall here that according to Apastamba, the oldest writer on Dharma yet discovered, all laws originated in Samayas.*

* Manu, Chapter VIII., 219-22,

चत ऊर्घो प्रवत्थानि धर्मे समयमेदिनाम् ॥ मो यामदेवस्वानां झला स्वयेन संविदम् । विसंवदेवरी सोमार्च राष्ट्राविष्ठवास्वयेत् ॥ नियरक्ष दापयेथेनं सनस्थनिषारिषम् ।

बामनातिसमुडेषु समरम्भिषारियाम् ।

Yajfiavalkya, Sameid-Vyatikrama prakaraya, Bk. II., ver. 186,

नित्रधर्माविरीधेन बसु सामविकी भवेत् ।

चीऽपि वदेन चंरच्यी,वर्मी राजह्रतव व: :

For definition of Samays in other codes see § 121, I. p. 124.

* Apastamba, L. 1. 1, अयात: सामसापारिवालमांग्लाकासान; इ १

धमंध्रसम्बः प्रमाधम् । १

नेदार 🛚 १

Another class of their resolutions was called Sthiti (lit. 'fixed,' 'immutable,') or Desasthiti¹ (the sthiti of the 'country' or 'country-assembly') which were enforceable against every body. The Sthiti was probably the same as the class of their enactments called S a m v i d, 'agreement' or 'laws by agreement.' The Samvids² were passed by the Jānapada and they were recorded on a roll (Samvitpatra). They were enacted with the formality of the members taking some special oath. They were binding on the whole kingdom'. There is clear evidence of the fact that some-times Samvids were a gainst the interest of the king as some authors of the Codes lay down the exception that those Samvids only shall be enforced by the Law Courts which are not opposed to the king.³ The Samayas also were put on a roll.⁴

These Samaya (Samaya-Kriyā) and Samvid enactments were what we call at present 'Statutes.' They were not leges which were embodied in the Hindu Common Law. They were administrative statutes of fiscal and political nature.

§ 237. It is significant that the Samvid class of acts are mentioned in connection only with the Realm Assembly or the Jānapada and the Township Assembly. Guilds and corquered Ganas (republics) and similar bodies could not enact Samvids The Samvid acts thus were the most important of the Paura-Jānapada enactments. Probably

1 Firamitrodaya, p. 120,

देशस्यित्यानुमानेन नैगमानुमतेन वा। क्रियते निर्णयसन स्ववडारसा नाध्यते ।

-Brihaspati.

* वानी देशव बग्कुर्यात्मयत्तेवां परस्परम् ।

राजाऽविरोधिधर्मांचे संवित्यव' वदन्ति तत् ॥

-Brihaspati in Viramitrodaya, p. 189. unit - 'legal and political rules.'

⁵ See the above note. Also, निजधनांविरीधेन बस्तु सामयिकी सवेत् of Yäjfiavalkya.

* वर्षेतव्रिखितं पते भर्मां सा समयक्रिया ।

VM., p. 426.

through them it was made known to the country to do a thing, e.g., to give a particular extra tax, or to desist from doing a thing.

§ 287. To sum up. We had an organism or a twin organism, the Paura-Janapada, which could Patris Janapada as Hindu Diet depose the king, who nominated successor to the throne, whose kindly feelings towards a member of the royal family indicated his chance of succession, whose president was apprized by the king of the policy of state decided upon in the council of ministers, who were approached and begged by the king in all humility for a new tax, whose confidence in a minister was regarded an essential qualification for his appointment as chancellor, who were consulted and referred to with profound respect by a king aspiring to introduce a new religion, who demanded and got industrial, commercial and financial privileges for the country, whose wrath meant ruin to provincial governors, who were coated and flattered in public proclamations, who could enact Statutes even hostile to the king, is fine, whe could make possible or impossible the administration of the king-an organism with these constitutional attributes was an institution which we will be justified in calling the Hindu Diet.

The Paura-Jänapada were a powerful check on royal authority. At the same time there were also other influences which kept royal responsibility alive and active.

CHAPTER XXIX

Opinion of Thinkers and General Public Opinion

§ 288. To the constitutional check exercised by the Paura-Jānapada we must add the great influence of thinkers and wise men.

The hermits and recluse thinkers living outside society, in the 'forest,' were a political Hermits and Recluses factor in Hindu life. The hermitage was representative of the whole Aryan Society. At the same time it was a repository of past experience in social and political matters and a seat of clear and impartial thinking. The retirements for the people in the third stage were marked out in close neighbourhood of the capital and other towns.¹ Hindu hermits. though in retirement, were not absolutely out of touch with the community and the world of politics. They with their wisdom and impartiality could take a correct view of a difficulty in administration and could a d v i s e the king thereon, without reserve or fear.

Then there was the floating wisdom of the yet older generation which could speak to the erring with the authority which no temporal power could command. They spoke in the name of morality and they were heard. It was

¹ Artha-S'detra, Bk. II, Ch. 2, (p. 49)-

प्रदिष्टाभयस्थावरजज्ञमानि च झाझवेश्वी झझसीमारस्थानि तपीवनानि च, तपस्तिश्वी नीचपराचि प्र

The Tapovanas were named after the seven original gotras. The Buddha went to one of such Airaman after leaving his home. The diraman named after gotra-rishis in the Ramsyana were also such institutions, not that the original gotratishis were supposed to be still living.

"God, the supreme king, is never the author, of insolent wrong, but is the creator of light, of peace, of life, of water, of the body of man, and of souls and these he receives when death sets them free being in no way subject to evil desire. He alone is the god of my homage, who abhors slaughter and instigates no wars. But Alexander is not god, since he must taste of death, and how can such as he be the world's master, who has not yet reached the further shore of the river Tiberoboas, and has not yet seated himself on a throne of universal dominion f....... If his present dominions are not capacious enough for his desire, let him cross the Ganges river, and he will find a region able to sustain men if the country on our side be too narrow to hold him. Know this, however, that what Alexander offers me, and the gift be promises, are all things to me utterly useless The earth supplies me with everything, even as a mother her child with milk Should Alexander cut off my head, he cannot also destroy my soul. My head alone, now silent, will remain, but the soul will go away to its master, leaving the body like a torn garment upon the earth whence also it was taken. I then, becoming spirit, shall ascend to my god..... he is judge of all proud wrong-doing; for the groans of the oppressed become the punishments of the oppressors. Let Alexander, then, terrify with these threats those who wish for gold and for wealth and who dread death, for against us these weapons are both alike powerless, since the Bragmanes (-Brahmanas) neither love gold nor fear death."

No wonder that the Greeks should say that 'Dandamis...though old and naked, was the only antagonist in whom he, the conqueror of many nations, had found more than his match.'

The reader need hardly be reminded that the ascetic was quoting the Upanishada. His description of the Brahmin who neither wished for gold nor dreaded death is relevant on what we say below (§ 290).

¹ Cf. McCrindle, Megasthenes, pp. 124-126 :---

of them executed. One of them when asked why he urged the leader of a particular state to oppose Alexander, replied because he 'wished him to live with honour or die with honour' (Plutarch LXIV). Another Sanyāsin is related by Greek writers to have given Alexander a lesson in politics by comparing Alexander's empire to a piece of dry hide without a centre of gravity, one edge rising up rebelliously while Alexander stood on the other. The old Dandin (Dandamis) of Taxila, when called upon by Onesikratês to present himself before Alexander, son of Zeus, master of the world, under the threat 'but if you refuse (he) will cut off your head,' 'complacently smiled' and replied that he was as much son of Zeus as Alexander, that he was quite content with India which supported him like a mother; and sarcastically indicated that the people on the Ganges (the army of Nanda) would convince Alexander that he was not yet the master of the world.¹ In the Artha-Sāstra the king is told that bad government offends ascetics and recluses.² The Mahā-Bhārata in its book on politics enjoins upon the king to inform hermits of the affairs of state and to take counsel from one whose experience was large and whose original family was distinguished and who was now selfless.⁸

§289. The tradition came down through the whole course The learned of Hindu history. It was so strong that in Brahmin the period of Hindu revival it played once more a great role. Guru Rāmadāsa was

* Artha-S'astra, Bk. I, Ch. 4; 1 (p. 9.)

दुष्प्रचीत: (दण्ड:) कामकीधाभ्यामज्ञानाद्दानप्रख्यरिव्रालकानपि कीपयति ।

• Maha.Bharata (Kumbakanam Ed). Stanti-Parran, Ch. LXXXVI, vs. 26-28.

आकान सर्वेकार्यांचि तापरी राष्ट्रमेव च।

निवेदयैगायबेन तिष्ठे गावच सर्वदा ॥

सर्वांधवागिने राजा कुछे जातं बहुव्तम्।

पूचरीत् ताहमं इहा.....

¹ See m., p. 110 above.

as great a guide to Sivājī as any Nārada to an ancient predecessor of the latter.

§ 290. With the class of men in hermitage and posthermitage stages of life, we should count the Vrittastha Brahmin, Nobody can pretend to understand Hindu history without realizing the true social value of the teaching, studying, thinking and sacrificing Brahmin. With a culture of intellact ever developing, from generation to generation, he had grown into a leviathan of brain. That leviathan would have eaten up Hindu Society and burst up himself, but for the self-denying ordinance of poverty. He would engage not, in what would bring him wealth. The little wealth he required for his sustenance, he would beg of the Society he had undertaken to serve. He thus became the true aristocrat of Hindu Society, with the differentia peculiar to him in the whole world: that he was the aristocrat-plus-poverty. By the vow of poverty he secured for him an imperiabable intellectual existence rooted in independence of spirit and consciousness of virtuous superiority. The race in which he grew stood loyally by him, nourishing and maintaining that levisthan of brain and virtue.

The Brahmin of poverty living inside society and state, and out-side Paura and Jänapada, with his little home and his fire-altars, was probably more mindful of current politics than any one else. In the Jätakas, the Brahmin versed equally in Dharma and Artha, Sacred Knowledge and Science of Politics, is a constant figure. Vasishths and Vāmadeva in the national epics typify the figures who would turn up at the court and advise the king and point out weaknesses in his administration. It is they that lead the Paura-Jänapada deputation in the Bämäyana to the king to announce the national decision about the appointment of Yuvaräja. And the king addresses them and the Paura-Jänapadas as 'Bulers' ('Kings'). The Brihaspati and

K a u t i l y a class was not merely concerned with systematizing theories of state; they made the politics of their country an object of their immediate concern. Kautilya was a Srotriya or Vedic Brahmin.¹ At the same time Alexander's incoming and the stupid administration of the upstart (Nava) Nanda concerned him more than his Vedic studies. He thought it necessary to overhaul the existing system. The povertyaristocrat emphasised again and again that State was a life on which depended social, individual and spiritual happiness. He reminded the people again and again that the bases of civilization of the Race are rooted in polity, that the Sword which protects the people is the womb of civilization.³ The Brahmin idealised and idolised country of the Aryas as much politically as the religiously.*

§ 291. The opinion of organised bodies and of ascetics and hermits and Vrittastha Brahmins apart, the administration

³ भाषक इति विद्धात: योलिय: सर्वधर्मवित । Upodghata, Telang's Mudra-Rakshasa, p. 44.

रीन बाख' च मन्दराज गता च भू:। जमर्वेणीबुतान्वाद्य तेन बास्त्रजिदे क्षतम् ॥ — Artha-S'dstra, (p. 429).

² Mahā-Bhārata, (Kumbakonam Ed.) S'anti-Purvan, ch. CLXIV, vs. 66-69 :

परि धर्मस गीप्तारं ददौ सत्कृत्य विचवे ॥

विश्वर्मरोचये प्रादानारीचिर्भागेषाय तम् ।

मइविंग्धी ददी खड़मध्यों नासवाय च ॥

महेन्दी सीकपालेग्यी सीकपालाम्तु पुत्रका।

मनवे स्यंप्रभाव दटु: खड्ड' सुविसरम् ।

छचु चैन तथा पाखं मातृंपाचा लमीवरः ।

असिना धर्मगर्भेच पाखयख प्रजा इति ।

* See, for instance, the interpretation of Aryavarta which Medhätithi gives on Manu, II. 22-

भार्थ वर्तने तप पुन; पुनदावस्थाकम्पाकम्पाति न विरं तप सेष्ठाः, स्थातारी अवन्ति etc.

had to take into consideration the opinion of the general Pablic Opinion public as well. That there was a real public opinion in the country, is proved by the passage in the Śanti Parvan of the Maha-Bhārata,¹ LXXXIX. 15-16:

"The king should make secret and trusted agents travel "through the kingdom for ascertaining whether his "conduct of the previous day has, or has not met "with the approbation of the subjects.

"'Ascertain whether my conduct is or is not approved, "'what action of mine in the country is "'agreeable and what reputation do I have in "'the realm.'"

The King's policy and conduct were criticised in the country and the king was anxious to know those criticisms. The ideal is forcefully, though crudely, set forth in the national epic, the Rāmāyaņa, in the alleged reason as to why Rāma parted with his queen. Though personally convinced of her innocence, he separated himself from her in response to the public will.

§292. In the Brihaspati Sütra the king is asked to give up the smallest undertaking if there is popular clamour against it." Even the right thing should not be done if the People raises voiceagainst it."

> * चतीत दिवसे इत्त' प्रवेशनि न वा युन; । नुष्ठ वादैरनुमते: प्रविमीमनुसारयेत् इ 'जानीत यदि में इत्त' प्रवंशन्ति न वा युन; । कचिट्रीचेत्रान्दद कचिट्राइ दि में वथ; ह (Kumb).

* Brihaspati Sätra (Ed. F. W. Thomas) :

I. 95. जनवीचे सति इदबर्म न कुर्यात्।

* 1bid., 1. 4. धर्ममपि धी कविक्षष्ट' म कुर्यात् ।

CHAPTER XXX

Council of Ministers

Was the Hindu king a personal ruler? Тο § 292. answer this let us examine the position of Origin the Hindu Council of Ministers. To appreciate the constitutional position of the Council of Ministers it is necessary to recall the previous history of the Council. The Hindu Council of Ministers was a body and an organism which had differentiated and branched off from the old National Assembly of Vedic times. In the Atharva-Veda, as we have already noticed, the rājakrits are a part of the 'folk around' the king who invest him with sovereignty.' The rajakrits or 'king-makers' appear later as Ratnin High Functionaries (Commander in chief, Treasurer, etc.) whom the king-elect worships before his consecration.² In worshipping the Ratnins, he does honour to them both as officers of the state and as representatives of the society. Their approval like the approval of the remaining representatives of the Community was solicited before the election of the would-be king. In other words, they are officers but as part of the community, and not as creatures of the crown. This origin is further borne out by the technical expression applied to denote their collective body.

§ 293. The Council of Ministers is called the Parishad, in the Artha-Sästra⁸ and Parisā in the Jātakas,⁴ the

¹ See above § 204.

^{*} See above § 212.

Artha-S'astra, Bk. I. Ch. XV.

⁴ Vol. VI, pp. 405 and 481. . .

Mahāvastu¹ and Asoka's inscriptions.^{*} It does not interchange (until late) with other words of similar meaning. Now the *Parishad* was another name for the National Assembly of the Vedic Hindus. In the Brihadāraņyaka Upanishad as already pointed out, the *Samiti* is called *Parishad*.^{*} The Council of Ministers, thus differentiated from the Samiti-Parishad, inherited the same name like the Parishad of Law. With that name they likewise inherited and retained the popular tradition and sense of responsibility.

§ 294. They never lost their Vedic prestige even in the Use of Vedic most powerful days of the Hindu monarch. They had been *rājakņits* or 'king-makers' and 'king gs' (rulers) under popular constitution and they remained 'king-makers' and 'kings' in the Pāli Sūtras, and in the national epic. The Pāli Canon employs 'king-makers' as a synonym for ministers.⁴ The Rāmāyaņa⁴ in describing the ministers who put their resolution before Bharata, calls them 'king-makers.' In the Prātimoksha Sūtra the High Ministers were called 'kings.'⁴ Asoka calls his High Ministers 'reinsholders' [of the state] *i.e.* 'ruling ministers.'⁷

§295. It is a law and and principle of Hindu constitution Council and King the approval and co-operation of the Council of Ministers. The law-sūtras,

¹ Mahdvastu, Vol. II, pp. 419, 442.

• Dighaniksya-Mahägovinda Suttanta § 32, 'rajakattaro.'-

• Ayodhya-Kanda, Ch. LXXIX. 1. গ্রনি ব্যলমাধী লবে ব্যলসমূৰণ ; Commentary আ quoted in the Kumbakonam Ed. ব্যলমাং: = ননিব: ।

• राजानी नाम पढव्या राजा परिसराजा मकविवराजा पंतरभीनिना पम्बरका महामधा देवा यन देवसेन्व' प्रनुसार्सत एवे राजानी नाम, quoted by Childers, PD., p. 397.

[†] Rajūka in Rock Series III; and Pillar Series IV, where the Rajūka ministers are entrusted with complete powers of government. (Daņļa.). See Jayaswal, J. B. O. R. S., Vol. IV, p. 41. See also above Pt. IL p. 18 m.

^{*} Rock Series III and VI.

^{*} See above § 9, Pt. I. p. 13.

the law-books, and the political treatises are all unanimous on the point. Manu calls a king foolish who would attempt to carry on the administration by himself. He regards such a king as unfit.¹ He lays down that the king must have 'colleagues,' *i.e.*, ministers; and that in their midst and along with them he has to consider ordinary and extraordinary matters of state,² even ordinary business ought not to be doné by one man, not to speak of the conduct of a kingdom.⁸ $Y \bar{s} j \bar{n} a v a l k y a$ is of the same opinion⁴ and so are the other law-givers. K $\bar{s} t y \bar{s} y a n a$ ordains that the king should not decide even a law-suit by himself and that he should do it along with the council.⁶ Even Kautilya, the greatest advocate of monarchy, has to say that matters of state should be discussed by the council

¹ See above § 245 ; Manu, VII, 30-31.

• VII, 54-57.

* Manu, VII. 30-31, 55-56,

स्रोऽसडायैन मूढेन सुत्रेनाज्ञतवुदिना । न ग्रको न्यायती नेतु' सकेन विषयेषु च ॥ ६० म्रचिना सत्यसन्धे न वयाग्रास्तानुसारिणा । दण्ड: प्रचयितु' ग्रक्त: सुसडायेन चीमता ॥ ६१ चपि यरसकर कर्म तदय्येवेन दुफारम् । विग्रेवतोऽसडायेन किसु राज्य' सडीदयम् ॥ ४५ तै: सार्थ' चिक्तयेत्रियं सामान्थ' सन्धिवियडम् । स्थानं समुदयं गुन्नि सन्ध्रप्रमनानि च ॥ ४६

4 Yajfiavalkya, Bk. I, S11,

ते: सार्थ चिनायेद्रांच्य' etc.

* Vira-Mitrodaya, p. 14,

सप्राक्षित्राकः सामान्धः सत्राक्रवपुरोडितः । सरामः में भक्ती राजा सर्वे तिष्ठति धर्मतः ।

of ministers and whatever the majority decides the king should carry out. It should be noted that this rule is enjoined even when there is a body of mantrins or eabinet separate from the Mantri-:Parishad. The Artha-Sästra says:

"When there is an extraordinary matter the Mantrins "and the Mantri-Parishad should be called together "and informed. In the meeting whatever the majority "decide to be done, should be done (by the king)."¹

It is remarkable that the king is not given even the power of vetoing. The Kautiliya in emphasising the importance of the Parishad says that Indra was called 'thousand-eyed,' although he had only two eyes, because he had thousand wise members in bis Mantra-Parishad or Council of State who were regarded as his eyes."

The Sukranītisāra, which in matters of first principles follows tradition faithfully, prescribes :

"Without the mantrins matters of state "should never be considered by the king "alone, be he an expert in all the sciences "and versed in policy. A wise king must "always follow the opinion of the members "of the council--of Adhikārins or Ministers "with portfolios, of the President (Sabhya, § 309) "and Subjects (Prakritis, § 304). He must never "follow his own opinion. When the "sovereign becomes independent (of his council) he plans

1 Artha-S'astra, Bk. L. Chap. 15; 11 (p. 29),

আলোষিই ৰাৰ সনিৰী সনিদ্যখিৎখাতুৰ সুবান্। বৰ বহুবিচা: কাৰ্যটিভিতৰ থা মুবুজনুকুৰান্।

See Jayaswal, Ind. Ant. 1913, p. 289.

* Artha-S'astra, Bk. I. Ch. 15 ; 11 (p. 29.) :

स्ट्रसहि जनपरिषहरीयां बहव'। दयहः। तवारिम' राजं इष्टवायमाष्टः।

"for ruin. In time he loses the state and loses the "subjects."

The king, according to Manu (VII. 57), should consult the ministers separately and then 'all of them together'-i.e., as Medhātithi explains, in the council. This is exactly, almost verbally, what Kautilya lays down.' By thus discussing, the king was to derive benefit. The eleverest of the minister, who should be a Brahmin, was to be completely depended upon by the king, and entrusted with the execution of all the resolutions.' All the business thus was to be left for execution in the hands of a Prime (M-inister or Chancellor.

The Brihaspati Sūtra says that even 'a rightful thing (*dharma*) the king should do only on the advice of the wise.' ⁴ That is, for even a lawful action in administration the king must have the authority of expert ministers.

§296. In this connection we must also notice the very Gifts by King important constitutional law laid to be restified or rejected by down by the Dharma School of Ministry law-givers that it was not competent for the king to make gifts

1 SNS. IL, 2-4 :-

.

सर्ववियास कुमलो रूपी द्यपि समन्त्रवित्।

मनिभिम्तु विना मन्त्रं मैकीऽर्ध' चिनयीत् कचित् ॥ १

सभ्याधिकारि-प्रकृति-सभासत्सुमते स्थित: ।

सर्वदा स्थान्ट्या प्राजा समते न कदाचन # १

प्रभु: सातन्त्रभाषत्री धनर्षायैव कल्पते ।

भिन्नराही भवेकवी भिन्नमकृतिरैव च ॥ 8

⁸ तानेकेकम: प्रचीन् समसाय ∎ AS., p § 8.

तेषां संस्तिप्रायम्पलभ्य प्रचक् १षक्।

समलानां च चार्येषु विदध्याहितमात्मन: # Manu, VII. 57.

* Manu, VII. 58-59.

* धर्ममपि जीवविक्षष्ट' न कुर्वात् । वरीति चेदायासे न बुद्धिनडिः । Br. Satra, I. 4-5.

even to Brahmins if the ministers "opposed" the gifts. The law is as ancient as the time of Apastamba.¹ (circa 400 B.C.).

With the history of the origin of Hindu Ministry and these laws of the constitution before us we can understand how the Ministry under Chancellor Radha-Gupta refused to make further gifts to the Buddhist Brotherhood on the order of the Emperor Asoka.³ Otherwise we would have fallen into the cheap wisdom of regarding the whole story as a 'myth' and a 'Buddhist fabrication'.

Afoka in his Rock Series inscriptions section VI says that when he has passed an order with regard to a gift or a proclamation, should a discussion arise in the Parishad (Council of Ministers) and they (the ministers) shelve it, he should be informed of it—if there was a division of opinion with regard to his proposal in the Parishad or a total rejection, he should at once be informed of it.⁶ That shows that the ministers had been for some time opposing the rulings of the Emperor.

§297. Rudradāman was similarly opposed by his Ministers with regard to his proposal to repair the Sudarsana

बनागामनुपरोधेन थेव' विग्रेड इट्राझवेभी व्याईनननीहीवानसिमयति । २११०१९६११

For Bhritys in the sense of minister see Artha-Sastra, p. 320 "stageligativeungage", and the reference to the Divy2vadina below.

⁹ Divyāvadāna, p. 430. st. seq. I regard the detail of the first gift of Asoka as given in the Divyāvadāna to be substantially true, for it was in the nature of a Viscojii Sarvamedha-(ज्य त्रेंग्य) fee which a Sārvabhauma Emperor which As'oka was, was expected to make, as the Satapatha Brahmana describes (§ 209). The ruler gave away all that belonged to him except the land, *i.s.*, the State 'as Mimāmat says (See § 345). In other words, he gave away the surplus revenue which he had in his treasury. To an occasional gift like this the ministers would not have objected as that was the right of the Emperor to make. But a repeated procedure of this nature would be objectionable as the Ministers of Asoka found it to be.

• IA., 1913, p. 242.

¹ Apastambs, II. 10. 26. 1 :---

Lake. Opinion of Rudradāman's Ministers was against the king's proposal for repairing Sudarsana water-works. They refused to pay for the repairs and the king had to pay from his private purse.¹ Fortunately for Indian history the evidence of Rudradāman's inscription is as clear as any could be. It proves that the constitutional laws were not mere pious wishes, but they were as real as ordinary, municipal laws of the lawbooks. Thanks to the Buddhist works which have preserved the great constitutional datum on the reign of Asoka in their pathetic lament that the Emperor of the whole of India was deprived of his sovereign authority by the ministers of state.² The Gāthā² quoted by the Divyāvadāna is more ancient

¹ See § 270 above, EI., VIII., 44 (insc. lines 16-17).

* Divyāvadāna, p. 430. Asoka anxious to complete his intended gift of money to the Kukkujārāma monastery says, 'Rādhagupta, I do not mind the loss of money, of government of authority,'

राजाह। राधगुप्त, नाई द्रव्यविनायं न राज्यनायनं न चाम्रयवियीगं जीचालि।

तकान्य समये कुनाखस्य सम्पदिनामपुत्री युवराज्ये प्रवत्त ते। तस्यामात्वेरशिद्धिम्। कुमार, वधीको राजा कास्रकावावस्त्रायी, इदम् च द्रव्यं कुर्कुढारामं प्रेथते, कीश्ववसिनच राजानी, विवारयि-तस्थः। यावन् कुमारिय भाष्णगरिकः प्रतिषिद्धः।

"At that particular time Kunāla's son, Sampadi, was filling the post of Yuvarāja. He was told by the Ministers 'Your Royal Highness, King Asoka is temporarily in his position but he is sending away the money to the Kurkutārāma. Kings' strength lies in treasury. He is to be checked ' The Prince prohibited the Treasurer." [For the position of Yuvarāja as a High Minister, and resolutions going up to him from other members of the Cabinet, see below § 312].

चय राजाशोक: संविग्नीऽमात्वान् पौरांच संनिपात्व कथयति। क: साम्प्रतं १थिव्यानीवर:। ततीऽमात्व छत्वायासनाद देन राजाशीकसेनास्रखि प्रचन्यीवाच। (p. 431) देव: पृथिव्यानीवर:। चय राजात्रीक: साम्दुरिंगनयन वहनीऽमात्वानुवाच—

दाचिष्णादतृतं हि किं कथयथ अष्टाधिराज्या वयम् ।

"Now King Asoka, very much agitated in mind, called a meeting of the Ministers and the Pauras. He asked..... Who at present is the Sovereign of the Country'? On that the Prime Minister rising from his seat approached the place where King Asoka was

ź.

than the compilation of the Divyāvadāna, and the former could not have been composed many centuries after the event. The monks were to gain nothing by an invention of such a story which threw discredit on a great personage of their religious history. They would not have invented a story which would have been a bad precedent in case other monarchs wanting to imitate the munificence of the Maurya Emperor.

§ 298. The numerical strength of the Ministry varied from time to time. Brihaspati in his book on Number of the members of the politics quoted by Kautilya gave the number Council and the Cabinet of the council members to be sixteen. The Artha Sastra of the Manavas laid down 'that the Council of Ministers should be composed of t w e l v e ministers': mantriparishadam. dvädasamätyäm¹ kurvīteti Mānavāh. Another old authority. Usanas, enjoined in his time twenty, while Kautilya would not have any rigid number." Earlier Councils were larger, as one is mentioned in the Maha-Bharata of thirty two members (§ 321). There has been a tendency towards a smaller body.

§ 299. We shall revert again to the Mantri-Parishad, and to the powers of the Ministers as a body. Let us now

and saluting him respectfully spoke, 'His (=Your) Majesty is the Sovereign of the Country.' Then King Agoka with tears trickling down his face answered the Ministers :

वानग्री नरेन्द्रीओं भन्नीकी सौधेनुबार ।

जन्दीपेयरीमूला जातीर्दानचवेषर:

भने: समुनिपतिरयहताधिकारी दाने प्रयश्वति किसामसवार्वमितन् ।

"The liberal king Asoka, the best of the Mauryaa, who was the Emperor of India, became the sovereign of a half-apple [on his plate]. That King now deprived of authority by the Ministers, gave away in charity the half-apple."

¹ Kaujilya, Artha-S'astra, Bk. I, Ch. 15; 11 (p. 29). Read ^aamätyäm instead of ^aamätyäz as printed in the AS.; it qualifies mantriparishadam

Ibid.

note the official designations of different ministers. The number of the Ministry or Cabinet is recommended by Manu (VII. 54) to be seven or eight. The number eight had become nearly fixed when the Sukranīti was written, and on its tradition the AshtaPradhāna, or the Ministry of Eight, of Sivāji, was founded. The eight ministers according to 'some' authorities referred to in the Sukranīti are the following:—¹

- (1) The Sumantra or Minister of Finance.
- (2) The Panditāmātya or Minister of Law.
- (3) The Mantrin or Home Minister.
- (4) The Pradhāna or President of Council.
- (5) The Sachiva or Minister of War.
- (6) The Amātya or Minister of Revenue and Agriculture.
- (7) The Prādvivāka or Minister of Justice and Chief Justice.
- (8) The Pratinidhi ('Representative:' See below). According to another view two other ministers—
- [(9) The Purchita or Minister of Religion,
- (10) The Dūta or Minister of Diplomacy,³ should also have seats in the Council]. The exact character

1 S'ukranitisara, II. 71-72.

षण्डप्रहातिभियुं ही इप: कैविल्युतः खदा 🛙

सुमनः पश्चिती मन्त्री प्रधानः सचिवसमा 👫

भसात्य: प्राड्विवा वय तथा प्रतिनिधिः जूत: ।

* S'ukranitisara, II. 84-87,

सर्वदर्धी प्रधानस्तु सेनावित् सचिवस्तया 🛙

मन्त्री तु नौतिकुम्रवः पव्छितो चर्मं तत्ववित् ।

् खीकमालनगत्रसु प्राडिवाक: चुत: सदा ॥

र्दयकालमविश्वाता अभाग्य इति कच्चते । ...

भारस्वयविज्ञाता समय: स भ बौतिंत: :

इतितकार्षटाधः युतिमान्देयकाखवित् ।

बादगुवामवविदामी बीतभीद्रंत दयते ।

123

of the *Pratinidhi* is not clear. He is evidently very important being given precedence over the President of the Council and the Mantrin. He was 'to press upon the King the 'business which must be done whether favourable or 'unfavourable.' He is certainly not the 'representative' of the king. He might have been the representative of the Paura-JEnapadas in the Cabinet or that of the Council in dealing with the King. He is certainly very, probably the most, important.

§ 300. The Yuvarāja is not a member of the Cabinet Yuvarāja and Princes as Minister. He was generally a prince of the blood: uncle, brother, nephew, son, an adopted

> चडितचापि वत्कासँ स्व: कर्त्तुं' सदीचितम् । चकर्तुं यद्वितमपि राज्ञः प्रतिनिधिः स्वरा । कीव्यत्कारयत्कुय्याह कुर्य्याह मनीवयित् । सर्वं वा सदिनासर्वं कार्यजातच सॉरक्च । सर्वेवां राजझत्वेषु प्रधानकादिचिनयित् ।

> > et sog up to SL 106.

Cf. Sivaji's Ashta-Pradhana, "The Civil organization of the District was, of course, subordinate to the anthorities at head-quarters; two of whom-the Pant Amatya and the Pant Sachiv, had respectively the charge of what in our time would be called the office of Finance Minister and the General Accountant and Auditor. The district accounts had to be sent to these officers, and were there collated together. and irregularities detected and punished. These officers had power to depute men on their establishments to supervise the working of the district officers. The Pant Amatya and the Sachiv were, next to the Peshod, the highest civil officers, and they had, besides these revenue duties, military commands. They were both important members of the Board of Administration, called the Ashta Pradhan or Cabinet of eight heads of departments. The Peshind was Prime Minister, next to the King, and was at the head of both the civil and military administrations, and sat first on the right hand below the throne. The Sendpati was in charge of the military administration, and sat first on the left side. Amatya and Sachie ant next to the Peshed. while the Mantri sat part below the Sackie, and was in charge of the King's private affairs The Sumant was Foreign Scoretary, and set below the Sendpati on the left. Next came Pandit-rao, who had charge of the ecclesiastical department, and below him on the left side ast the "Chief Justice, [Nyayadhika.]" Ranade, Rise of Maratha Power, pp. 125-6.

son, or a grandson.¹ Like other Ministers he was a Sabāya or 'Colleague' of the king. The Yuvarāja had his seal, and the set formula with which he signed. Under Asoka, on the authority of the Divyāvadāna,² Samprati, a grandson, was the Yuvarāja, while the son, Kunāla was the Presidency Governor at Takshasilā (the capital of the Northern Presidency).

A Prince royal in office was regarded as an officer. Bhatta Bhāskara calls him Kumāra-adhyaksha ['Prince Officer in charge of Department' who held the 'reins' of government.³ In Asoka's inscriptions despatches to Presidency Governments are addressed to the 'Prince' and High Ministers, (Kumära and Mahāmātras), the latter being called a V a r g a or $Council^4$ Evidently it is such a Kumāra, whom Bhatta Bhāskara, rather his authority, called niyanta or 'one who leads' 'by reins' (rajjubhih). The Buddhist books' make Asoka governor one time at Takshasilā and at another at Ujjain (the capital of the Western Presidency) Maurya Princes of the blood royal governed with their Councils in the South⁶; while the conquered province of Kalinga was governed only by a Council of Mahāmātras. It is significant that Despatches from the Central Government, copies of which are given in the inscriptions, are never addressed to the prince by name; they are impersonal. The Princes, like the Mahāmātras (whom we shall presently discuss) were probably transferred as the two vicerovalties held by Asoka indicate. In that case non-personal documents would be quite in form.

1 S'ukranitisdra, II. 15,

समानिष्ठ' पिष्टच्चं वानुजं वायजसंघवम् पुष' पुषीक्वतं दत्त' सीवराज्ये ऽभिषेचयेतः ॥ कमादभावे दौषिण' स्वप्नियं वा नियोजयेतः ।

- * See above, II. p. 18, n.
- See 'Separate edicts' of Orissa ; J BORS., IV, p. 36.
- Divyāvadāna, p. 372 ; Mahāvamsa, V. 46.
- See Jaugada and Dhauli 'Separate' Rock-Inscriptions and Siddhapura Inscription.

^{*} Diryāvadāna, p. 430, See above II, p. 121, n.

§ 301. The official designations of the Ministers for Designations different portfolios varied from time to time. of Ministers The Manava Dharma-Sästra uses the word Sachiva, lit., 'helper,' 'colleague,' as a general term for Ministers' as against the Amätya (lit., 'those remaining together') of the Artha-Sästra. In the Rämäyana Amätya occurs in the general sense, while Sachivas are distinguished from the Mantrins."

The Chief Minister is called *Mantrin* [lit. 'Adviser'], *ie*, the Mantrin, in the Artha-Sästra, whose position is the first amongst the Ministers. Next to him comes in the Artha-Sästra the *Purohita*, then the Senāpati and after the Senāpati comes the Yuvaräja.'

The Mānava calls the Prime Minister simply A m & t y a, that is, he was the Amātya In him was vested the administration or daņda.⁴ He, as especially required by the Mānava (VII. 58; XII. 100.), was to be a Brahmin. In earlier times, in the Pāli Canon, the Prime Minister, e. g., of $A j \ddot{a} t a \dot{s} a t r u$, is styled A g r a- $M a h \ddot{a} m \ddot{a} t r a$ 'the Fore most M in is ter.' In the Divyāvadāna, the Chief Minister of Asoka [Rādhagupta] is called the A m & t y a. He is evidently the *Mantrin* in the Śukra-nīti. In the Gupta period he is probably called the Mahā-daņda-nāyaka. (§ 322).

The Mānava Code does not specifically mention the Purohita. But he is very likely included in the 'seven or eight' Ministers of Manu. This Minister bears the same designation (Purohita or Purodhas, *lit*, 'Leader') throughout, but his functions varied with a tendency to gradual extension. In the Jātakas and Dharma Sūtras' he is expected to be versed

¹ Manu, VII, 54

^{*} Yuddhakända, 130 17-20 (Kumbakonam), Govindaräja

^{*} Artha-S'astra, Bk. V. Ch. 2; 91 (p. 245).

⁴ Manu, VIL 65.

Jataka, Vol., L. p. 437 ; Jataka, vol., II. p. 30 ; Apastamba Dh. S., II. 5. 10, 13-14.

both in sacred law and politics. Apastamba¹ expects him to judge cases where *Prāyaschitta* or penance was to be inflicted. He was also to try Brahmins on behalf of the king. The Artha-Sāstra² requires him to be learned in the Veda and its *Angas*, in astrology, and in politics, and that he should also know the Atharvan rites, which were to be performed in cases of national calamities to satisfy the common people. The Sukra-Nīti³ demands a knowledge of the military science and art as well in the Purohita.

The Mānava styles the Minister of Diplomacy as Dāta,⁴ who had jurisdiction with regard to peace and war relations with foreign powers and "who broke up alliances." The Rāmāyaņa (II. 100.35) knows him by that title and so does the Sukra Niti. But subsequently he is called Sāndhi-vigrahika, in inscriptions of the Gupta period, in Brihaspati's law and later. It is curious that this Minister is not found in the list of the Artha-Šāstra. Probably the Mantrin combined that office in himself. The office was very important in Maurya days.

In the Mānava Code the king is his own Finance Minister.⁵ He himself holds charge of the Finance. He is not directly named in Manu but his subordinates are mentioned under the designation which the Artha-Sāstra gives to him—Samāhartri. In the Artha-Sāstra there is an allied portfolio, of the Sannidhātri (§ 211). Later on the two portfolios coalesce into one. In the Sukranīti the Minister of Finance is Sumantra. Govinda-rāja (§ 309) gives another designation, artha-sañchaya-krit.

The Senāpati is evidently the Minister of War. He is very important in the government of

- · Sukra-Nitisara, II. 80. गीतिमाझाझव्यकादिकुमखन्तु पुरीकित:
- Manu, VII, 65-66. इते सन्धिवपर्यंथी। इत एव डि सम्पत्ती भिनन्धेव च संइतान्।
- Ibid., चपती कोग्रराष्ट्रे च-(65).

¹ Apastamba, Dharma-Sūtra, II. 5. 10, 13-14, etc.

^{*} Archa-Sästra, Bk. I Ch. 8; 5 (p. 15).

Chandragupta, coming third in precedence, taking his place above the Yuvarāja. In the Šukranīti he is called 'Sachiva'. Probably the Senāpati was both the military leader in the field and the military member in the Council as is suggested in the Rāmāyaņa, II, 100. 31. But in the time of Kauțilya the two offices were distinct (§ 309) and they remained distinct in later times. In the Śukra-nīti he is a civilian officer, as the eight ministers were transferred from one portfolio to the other and they all occupy equal rank (§ 320).

§ 302. The above five ministers, with the Yuvarāja in addition, made up the real governing body. The Yuvarāja is a post Vedic development while all others had origin in the Ratnins, except probably Dūta whose function might have been performed by the Sūta as he appears to be rather important in early days.¹ The Yuvarāja, it should be marked, occupies the fourth place in the Government of Chandragupta. Then and later he is not the President of the Cabinet or the Council as there are other officers in those posts; evidently no portfolio is given to him. The Mahā-Bhārata (XII. 83, 12.) calls the cabinet a gapa and so does the Śukra-nīti (§ 312). The Mahā-Bhārata says that the king should not be partial to any one member of the gapa.

§ 303. There was a tendency to form a smaller body An Iamer inside the cabinet. The members of this, what we may call the Inner body, were three or four, according to the Artha-Sästra (p. 28.). It was with them that the king constantly conferred ('Harda'). These ministers are called the Mantrins (Harda'). These ministers are called the Mantrins (Harda') in the Artha-Sästra and the Rämäyana and the Mahä-Bhärata. In this character the 'mantrins' mean 'those vested with mantra or the policy of state,' as is evident from the expressions mantradharas in the Rämäyana' and mantra-grähas in the Mahä-Bhärata.'

¹ In the Mahä-Bhärata, Safijaya becomes Minister of Finance. XIII, 42.

Ayodaya, Canto 100, 16.

^{*} XII. 83. 50.

The members of 'the mantra-holding' (mantra-grāha) or 'the holders of the reins' of state (II, p 18 n.) body were to be, according to the Mahā-Bhārata. 'at least three' and preferably five.' Kautilya prescribes it to be 'three or four' (p. 28). The original view probably was that such holder-of-mantra should be only one as recognised by the king. This was the view of that severe theorist, Kanika Bhāradvāja² (Artha-Šāstra, p. 27), and it seems to have been the view of the Manava Dharma Code (VII. 58). Visäläksha condemned the system of one-minister-cabinet (Artha-Sāstra, p. 27), and the Rāmāyana does the same, according to which (II 100 18) it should "neither be of one nor too many." The number three and upwards became fixed, as evidenced by the Mahā-Bhārata and the guotations in Nitivakyamrita.³ The uneven number found preference for the same reason as given by Mitra Misra in the case of uneven jury: सङ्गावैषम्यन्त भयोऽल्पविरोधे भूयसां स्थात 'uneven number is to provide, against difference in opinion, for a majority.

§ 304. Asoka's $r \bar{a} j \bar{u} k a$ ministers (§ 318) who had the fullest authority to rule over the $p r a j \bar{a}$ (subjects) and to grant them anugrahas, and in whose hands the king left the prajā as a mother leaves her child in the hands of a known nurse (Pillar Proclamation IV), and who were declared supreme in the matters of d a n d a (administration) and $a b h i h \bar{a} r a$ (declaring hostilities), seem to be identical with the mantra-dharas or mantra-grāhas. Rājāka signifies the 'ruling minister,' literally it denotes 'the holder

* We ought to recover his work. He is quoted as late as by Govindarāja.

एको मन्त्री न कर्त्तव्य: । एकी निरव्यडवरति मुद्धति च कार्यक्रकी हे । धावपि मन्त्रियों न कत्त्रंच्यी, तो सडती चरनी अचयनी राडीती च विनाग्र शतः । चयः पत्त या मन्त्रियः कार्याः । Ch X.

^{1 1}bid., 47, 52, 20-22.

^{*} Viramitrodays, p. 35

of the reins [of Government]' like Bhatta-Bhāskara's rajjubhir niyantā and that Mantra grāhas of the Mahā-Bhārata With regard to the use of the word rājā applied to them we should notice that the Prātimokha sūtra, quoted by Childers in his Pali Dictionary under rājā, says that the high ministers (mahā-mātras) were called rājās. The number of Asoka's Rājūkas was certainly more than one, they being generally referred to in the plural.

§ 305 In the history of the Cabinet we have a growth in number, and a change—from the authority of one to that of several. The rule of one was always found incompatible with the tradition and the whole social system of the race.

§ 306 Apart from the cabinet of the Mantra-dharas who had the real executive authority, there Composition of the "Mantrahave already seen. W88. 88 we the parishad" or Mantra-parishad or the Mantri-parishad. Council of State The Mantri parishad was not solely composed of the To the meetings of this body, the Mantrins Mantrins. 'the holders of Mantra' ministers - were called or according to the Kautiliya The Parishad was composed of (1) these Mantradharas (Inner cabinet) (2) other cabinet ministers who held portfolios, (3) ministers without portfolios, and (4) others. The number was generally large, as the numbers 32 of the Mahā-Bhārata, 20 and 16 of other authorities, and Kautilya's example of Indra's large council show. It thus exceeded the number of the ministers of the cabinet.

§ 307. We have no definite information as to who composed the class (4). The Council summoned by Asoka on the ministers refusing to carry out his orders of gifts, consisted of the Pauras (Ch. XXVIII), and the Amstyss. It seems from other pieces of evidence that the Council had some seats assigned in it to the leaders of the Paura and Jānapada. The Mahā-Bhārata (Sānti, ch. 85) and the Sukranīti (II. 3.)¹ suggest that. The opinion which the king was bound to follow according to the Sukra-nīti (II. 3), was of (a) the S a b h y a, (b) the Ad h i k ā r i n s, and (c) the P r a k r i t i s, as sabhāsads or members sitting in the Council. The Sabhya according to the authority quoted by Govindarāja (§ 309) was the President of the Council or the Mantra-Parishad of Kautilya. Adhikārins were the heads of Adhikaraņas or Departments, *i. e.*, the Ministers. The remaining one Prakritis must necessarily signify the People or Subjects (p. 70), meaning thereby their representatives—the chiefs of the Paura and Jānapada (§ 265). In the Rāmāyaņa [A., chs. 81 (12), 82 (1, 4)] the People's representatives and the Ministers meet and hold a Sabhā called the 'ruling (pragrahā) Sabhā' to consider an atyāyika ('extra-ordinary,' cf. AŚ., p. 29) business.

The Mahā-Bhārata² where it describes the S a b h \bar{a} (XII. 83. verses 1-2) counts these classes :

- The Sahāyas by whom it means the 'Ministers (Amātyas) colleagues (Sahāyas)' or the High Ministers with portolios (verses 3-4).
- (2) The Parichchada amātyas who should be very learned, of high birth, natives of the country, deep, wise and loyal. As the name ('Robes') denotes, they were probably ceremonial ministers and dignitaries who had grown out of the king's house-hold. One of them was the Dauvārika or Lord Mayor of the Palace occupying a very high position (§ 309). They had their Adhikaranas or Departments (§ 309). Out of the above the king chose his 'Mantrins' (7-8) who are referred to in the Šūkranīti verse, (II. 2) preceding

¹ सभाधिकारि-प्रतति-सभासत्सु मते खित: । स्व'दा स्थान् प: प्राज्ञ: खमते न कदाचन ॥ (SNS.)

^{*} सभासद: सहाधाय तुइदय विद्यापते। परिष्कदासधाऽमात्वा: कौट्य: स्व; पितामइ (MBh.)

the one quoted above. The subject of Mantrins continues for the rest of the chapter in the Mahā-Bhārata and with a small digression is taken up again in Ch. 85, where the list of 32 ministers is given. Out of them the king is to choose 8 ministers as Mantrins or the cabinet Whatever policy they decided upon is to be submitted to the Rāshţra and the President of the Rāshţra, *i.s.*, the Jānapada, for opinion.

(3) The Rāshţra. This new element corresponds to the Prakriti of the Šukra nīti.¹

The Realm ('Rāshţra') of the Mahā-Bhārata and the People ('Prakŗiti') of the Śukranīti are thus identical with the Paura in the council called by Ašoka and the Prakriti-sabhāshade (A. 82, 4, 17) of the Rāmāyaņa.

It thus seems that the *Parishat* had not only the popular trace in its Vedic name, but a real popular element in it. Although it was now associated with the designation of the "*Mantra*—" or *Mantrin*—, it carried on in some degree the Vedic tradition of the folk assembly.

§ 308. It is better to render the Mantri-Parishad as Council of State rather than the Council of Ministers. The form Mantra-Parishat, 'Council of State Deli-. beration' which Kautilya applies to the Mantri-parishad of Indra, should be noted in this connexion. Probably the *Mantri-parishad* there signifies the Mantra-Parishad. The tradition of a large Parishad, e.g. of 1000 members, is preserved both in Kautilya, and in the Rāmāyaņa (where it stands

¹ [It probably corresponds also to the subrid class of Sabhasads mentioned in the opening verse of Chapter 83, along with the Sahaya and Parichchada classes. Why the representatives of the realm should be called "friends" is not very clear. The political writers have a classification by which they divide the natural friends and natural enemies of kings. Probably the Realm representatives were considered as the king's 'friends,' for unlike an ambitious relative, they were naturally interested in upholding the king's cause.]

rather discredited, II. 100). Probably this is a reminiscence of the Vedic Parishad.

§ 309. There was an old grouping called 'the Eighteen "Tirthas" Tirthas' The Rāmāyaņa knows it (II. 100. 36). The Artha-Sāstra of Kautilya mentions

it and refers to the *tarthas* as Mahā-Amātyas (pp. 21, 22). They were heads of departments of both superior and inferior classes They contained two offices of the royal household as well. The grouping was old and was fast becoming obsolete The Mahā-Bhārata Joes not seem to mention it in its book on politics.

Tīrtha s are defined in a quotation given by Somadeva Sūri¹ as bodies of legal officers and officers in charge of executive works. It seems certain that Tīrtha meant the holder of a department, as all the Tīrthas mentioned in the Artha Šāstra are in charge of departments. *Tīrtha* literally means 'a ford to pass through' *ie* a passage. Ministers and heads of departments acquired this name probaly because orders passed through them to their respective departments. The Tīrtha classification throws light on the significance of the technical officers. They were :--²

- (1) The Mantrin.
- (9) The Purchita
- (3) The Senapati, the Minister for the Army (see Nayaka, below No. 11).
- (4) The Yuvaraja.
- (5) The Dauvarika or the Lord Mayor of the Palace.
- (6) The A n t a r v a η s i k a or the Lord Chamberlain.
- (7) The Prasāstri, evidently the Chief Prasāstri, as there were more than one such officers. According to the enumeration of Govindarāja, he was the minister in charge of Prisons.

¹ Nitivakyam ita, Chapter II. धर्मसम्वाधिन: कार्यसम्वाधिनस पुरुषा: तीर्थम् ।

^a Artha-S'dutra, Bk I. Ch. 12; 8 (pp. 20-21). Cf. also Bk. V., ch. 2; 91 (p. 245).

- (8) The Samahartri or the Minister of Revenue.
- (9) The Sannidhatri or the Minister of Treasury.
- (10) The *Pradeshtri* whose functions are not clearly known.
- (11) The $N \bar{a} y a k a$ or the Generalissimo.
- (12) The Paura or the Governor of the Capital.
- (13) Vyāvahārika (lit., 'Judge') or the Chief Justice, according to Govindarāja.
- (14) The Karmantika or the Officer in charge of Mines and Manufactories.
- (15) The Mantri-Parishat-adhyaksha or the President of the Council, Sabhya according to Govindarāja.
- (16) The Danda-pala or the Officer in charge of the maintenance of the Army.
- (17) The Durgapāla or the Officer in charge of Home Defences.
- (18) The Antapāla or Rāshţrāntapāla, i.e., the Officer in charge of Frontiers (Artha-Sāstra, p. 245)

This list makes it clear that Senāpati here is not the military Commander-in-Chief but the Minister of War. The military leader was the Nāyaka. The Lord Chief Justice is called the J u d g e instead of the Prādvivāka of later times The President of the Mantri-Parishad is the Pradhāna of the Sukranīti. He received an allowance from the Civil List (Artha-Šāstra, p. 245). Govindarāja commenting on the "the 18 Tīrthas," Rāmāyaņa, II. 100. 36, quotes from an unnamed work on Niti-sāstra and gives a few different designations in later terminology In the place of Prasāstri which is obscure in the pages of the Artha-Šāstra, he gives Kārāgāra-adhikrit which elucidates the former. It should be translated as Inspector General of Prisons (lit., 'Corrector of

Convicts.').' Against the tirthas nos. 8 and 9 of the Artha-Sāstra, Govinda-rāja gives Artha sanchaya-krit or the Chancellor of the Exchequer. The Pradeshtri who in the Civil List (Artha-Sāstra, p. 245) does not occupy a place amongst the Amātyas, appears as Kārya-niyojaka in Govinda-rāja and is explained as the Despatcher or Director of Royal Orders (राजाजाया: वडि: प्रचारकत्ती). Instead of Vyāvahārika Govinda-rāja has the younger term Prādvivāka. [the Pāli Canon knows only Vohārika]. The Nāyaka who appears in the Artha-Sastra as the Highest Military Commander is represented by Senā-nāyaka and the Paura by Nagarādhyaksha. The Mantri-Parishat-adhyaksha corresponds to Sabhya (whom Govindarăja incorrectly connects with the building Sabhā). authority has a new officer Dharmadhyaksha Govindarāja's who is, I think, identical with Pandita amatya of the Sukra-nīti. The order in Govindarāja is slightly altered after no. 8 of our Artha Sāstra list.

§ 310. The Ministers were devided in three classes Three olsesses according to the Pāli Canon, the Rāmāyaņa of ministers and the Sukranīti. In the Rāmāyaņa they are called the Superior, the Intermediaries and the Inferior. The Sukranīti has the same division².

§ 311. The Artha Sästra divides the eighteen tirthas Civil List and into three classes in the Civil List kings salary. which fixes the salaries of the permanent officers from the King down to the Historiographer and the Ministerial Establishments. The King's salary according to A pastam ba should not exceed that of the "Amätyas and the Gurus (religious Preceptors')"^{*} This provision

¹ The interprotations given by Mr. Shama Sastry are mostly wide off the mark. See his Trans., p. 23.

^{*} Ayodhyākānda, c. 100. 25-26. मुख, मध्यम, जधन। Sukranitisāra ch. II. 109-110.

^{*} Apastamba, Dharmastitra. II. 9. 25. 10,

গুৰুলনাৰ্যাৰ নানিজীৰ বৃ।

becomes clear in the light of the Artha-Sāstra Civil List. Kauţilya says that the King is to get three times the salary of the officers of his equal acquirement (samāna-vidya).¹ We may regard the Prime-Minister and the Senāpati as Samānavidya to the king. The religious chiefs who are placed at the head of the first class of the Civil List are *Ritvik* and *Achārya*. These two with the Purohita are the *Gurus* of Apastamba. The salaries of these three put together, or the three highest ministers namely, Mantrin, Senāpati and Yuvarāja of Kauţilya's list, should not be exceeded, in the language of Apastamba, by that of the king. In other words, the two provisions are identical. Now the salary of the 'Gurus' and 'Amātyas' was 48,000-(silver) paņas a year each.³ The same salary is allowed to the mother of the King and the consecrated Queen.

The second class of ministers in which occur ministers numbered 5 to 9 of our list were given 24,000 a year. The third class carried the salary of 12,000 a year. The members in this class are those numbered 11 to 18 in our above list. In this class are placed the Kumäres and their mothers

¹ Artha-S'detra, Bk. V. ch. 3 ; 91 (p. 246),

समानविधेम्धसिन्दर्वतेनी राजा।

^{*} The king's salary has been completely missed in the translation of the Artha-S' detra by Mr. Shama Sastry.

CHAPTER XXXI

Council of Ministers (contd.)

Government

§311. The duty of the Ministry is summed up in these Duty of Ministry terms: "If the State, the People, "the Strength, the Exchequer, and lastly "Proper Monarchism (su-nripatvam) do not grow, "or the Enemy is not broken through the "policy of the ministry; the ministers do "not justify their existence (lit., 'of what use are "they'?)."¹

In connection with 'Proper Monarchism' I would quote the principle from the same authority which is embodied in the preceding verses. "The Monarch is not con-"trolled, therefore ministers have to be." "For," says the Nīti in the next verse, "if the king could "not be kept in check by ministers, is national "prosperity possible by such ministers?" In the latter case they would cease to be real ministers and would be no better than ornaments.² The Su-nripatva or 'proper monarchy,' therefore, is a 'controlled monarchy'.

The minister is the $R\bar{a}ja$ - $r\bar{a}shhira$ - $bhrit^3$ or 'the bearer of the responsibility of the king and the state.' The king was consequently bound, as observed already, to follow the dictates of the Council, otherwise in the eye of the constitutional law he

Sukra-nilisina, 11 83,
राज्य प्रत्रा वर्ष कोवः सुप्रयत्वं न वर्षितन् । यथावनोऽरिनावलैर्मविभिः निं प्रयोधनन् ।)
Ibid., 81, 82 रोधनं न ववेत्तव्याद्रावचे खुः सुमन्विषः ॥ न विभिति द्यो विख्योः खाल्ति राज्यवद्देनन् । यवात्तद्वारवस्त्राचेः जिमी सुव्यास्त्रघा हि ते ॥
Ibid., 11, 74. R. would cease to be the king.¹ As the Mahā-Bhārata put it, he was always under the control of others (Ministers).³

§ 312. We have seen that extraordinary business was decided, according to the Arthasastra, in a full meeting Procedure of business in the ministry of the Council. This implies that ordinary business went through only ministerial offices. That would have required written notes. There is evidence that written notes as a matter of fact were used. Asoka in his inscriptions speaks of his oral orders' which implies that the orders were generally written orders. The Arthaśāstra also says that the ministers who were not in attendance wrote notes for the King.4 We have not yet discovered any document which passed through the offices of the ministers. There is, however, on the point a very valuable piece of detail furnished by the Sukraniti. The detail evidently belongs to the early centuries of the Christian era as the official designation $D\bar{u}ta$, which is superseded in later (Gupts) times by Sandhi-Vigrahika, indicates. It is highly important from the constitutional point of view. The procedure it depicts of a matter going through the offices and then reaching the king and becoming a resolution of the ministry, is as follows:

Without a written document no business of state was done. A matter was e n d o r s e d first by the Home Minister, the Lord Chief Justice, the Minister of Law, and the Minister of Diplomacy with the fix e d style 'This is not opposed to us', i.e., their departments had no objection. The Minister of Revenue and Agriculture endorsed with the remark 'The note is all right,' the Minister of Finance 'Well considered'; then the President of the Council inscribed in his own hand 'Really proper'. Next, the Pratinidhi wrote 'Fit to be accepted', the Yuvarāja following, with

¹ Quotation in Nilioukyamila, X--- w waal vin al a fatalifages and i

^{*} Santi, (Kumb.) ob. 225, 139-140, प्रतय: चहा राजा ..सचि-दिवहवोत्रे च मृती राग्र: सतवाता...मचे चानाव्य गरिने कुतरूस सतवाता ॥

^{*} Book Series VI. इ पि भा बिकि सुमाने मानपनालि इस दायने या मानने मा etc. (Kalsi).

^{*} Arthaiana, Bk. I. ch. 15 ; 11 (p. 29), अभावम बाद वपर्वप्रदेश समाग्रे ।

'Should be accepted' in his own hand. The Ecclesiastical Minister endorsed 'This is agreeable to me'. Every minister affixed his s e al at the end of his note. Finally the K in g wrote 'Accepted' and set his s e a l. He was supposed to be unable to go through the document carefully and the Yuvarāja or some one else was to make this endorsement for him which was shown to him. After this first stage was over, the minute was s i g n e d b y a ll th e ministers as the Council (gana) and s e a le d with the seal of the council. Finally it was once more presented to the king who 'without delay' wrote 'Seen' as he had not the 'c a p a city' to criticise it.¹

§ 313. The incapacity referred to here was the constitional incapacity. We have already seen that $\underset{\text{resolutions of the cabinet}}{\text{King's, 'inca-}}$ the king had no option to veto a measure decided by the majority of the council (Arthasāstra). In an ordinary matter for which

the king did not call a general Council and which went through the Ministry only, when it had been discussed and finally signed and sealed as a resolution by the Ministry as Council it really became a resolution of the Council and the King was truly, as the Sukranīti says, *akshama* or incàpable of criticising it. The first submission to the king from the ministers in their individual capacity seems to be an opportunity given to the king to discuss the matter and to make his suggestions.

1 Sukranitisāra, 11. 362-369,

शिखानुपूर्वे नुवीदि इडा खेख्ये विचायें च ॥ मन्त्री च प्राड्विपावच पण्डिती इतरं घवः । साविदद खेख्यमिदं खिखेषुः प्रवर्ग लिमे ॥ १५१ चमात्यः साध खिखनमस्य तत्राम्विचिदयम् । स्यग्विचारितमिति समन्मो विखिचततः ॥ १६३ सन्दे वधार्थमिति च प्रधानस् खिविद्ययम् । पद्दीकर्त्तुं वीग्यमिति ततः प्रतिनिधिर्खितम् ॥ १८१ घद्दीकर्त्तुं वीग्यमिति च युवर्णाजी विखित्युवम् । देख्यं स्वाभिन्दां च वेद्यालां कुपु रेव चि । चदीक्रतमिति विधिमप्रदयेच ततो द्याः ॥ १६७

139

§314. The document became the resolution of the state with the fiat of the king. And in the eye of the constitutional law that document became 'the king'. To

quote the language of the Sukranīti: "The docu-"ment signed and sealed by the king is the "king and not the king himself." The officers could not obey any unwritten 'order' of the king. For the signed and sealed order of the king, which as a matter of fact was an order of the Council, being the real king, any one who obeyed an actual order of the king in flesh and blood was regarded in the eye of the constitutional law as obeying an outsider, or, in the language of the Sukranīti, a 'thief'^a obeying an outsider or 'thief':

"A king or an officer who orders or does a Oral 'o dorn' "business of State without a "lekhya (official document) are both thieves 'at all times".

§ 315. As a written *lekhya* became really the order of the Ministry on account of the routine, a king who wanted his personal orders to be observed must take recourse to or a l commands and requests. And when an oral command was issued, according to the constitution implied here, the officers had to deal with the command of a thief

> कार्यांत्राप्साकुमताखश्वयुष्टु' न प्रकारे । युवरावादिभिर्वेष्ट्रं तदनेन च दर्णितम् ॥ १८८ समुद्रं विविधिर्दु स्वे अवित्रकासतः । राजा हटनिति निविद् द्राष्ट् वय्यद्व्यैनायनः ॥ १८८

The set endorsements are all in Sanskrit. This implies that the procedure belongs to the period of the Sanskrit revival which is now to be dated in the light of the history of the Sunga revolution between 150 B.C. and 100 \triangle C. (JBORS., IV. pp. 257-65).

. The Divyëvadëna (pp. 404 and 429) also uses the word gaps to denote the cabinet or council of ministers (amétys-gaps).

¹ Šukranitisāra, II. 292,

इप्रेचिन्हितं विश्वं इपराज्य स्वी २०४।

* Ibid. : 291,

भवेप्समाजाप्रति प्रविद्धं बत्बरीति वः । राज्यसमुगी भोरी की सम्बर्गती तदा ॥

~140

in law, and to the anointed king in flesh and blood trouble was a certain consequence. We have, thanks to the inscriptions of Aśoka, an immortal evidence of this trouble. Aśoka issued orders regarding his proclamations and sermons (Savakam) and gifts (dapakam), and the 'Parisā' (Council) discussed the 'orders' and 'shelved' them. The angry monarch orders that he should be informed when his oral orders are rejected.¹

§316. The essence of the constitution as sketched in the Megasthenes on Ministry's jurisdiction trative functions were vested in the Council.³

The account of India left by Megasthenes are to be gleaned from fragments. The fragments as we find them indicate that the actual government did vest in the Cabinet or Council, that the Council was very much respected, and that it had a high character and tradition of wisdom behind it. It deliberated on public affairs and it 'chose' and appointed governors, chiefs of provinces, deputy governors, superintendents (or 'adhyakshas') of treasury, generals of the army and admirals of the navy, and high officers to superintend a griculture.

(a) "The seventh caste consists of the Councillors and "Assessors--of those who deliberate on public affairs. It is "the smallest class looking to number, but the most respected, on "account of the high character and wisdom of its members."³

(b) "The seventh class consists of the Councillors and Assessors "of the king. To them belong the highest posts of "Government, the tribunals of justice, and the general "administration of public affairs.""

(c) "In point of numbers this is a small class, but it is "distinguished by superior wisdom and justice, and

¹ IA., 1913, p. 282.

^a This is supported by the M. Bk., cited in § 311.

^{*} Epitome of Megasthenes, Diodorus, II. 41 ; Mo Crindle, Megasthenes, p. 43.

^{*} Strabo, XV. 48, Me Crindle, Megasthenes, p. 85.

"hence enjoys the prerogative of choosing governors, "chiefs of provinces, deputy. governors, superintendents of the "treasury, generals of the army, admirals of the navy, controllers "and commissioners who superintend agriculture."¹

§ 317. This description of the constitutional powers has Agreement between Bhāradvāja and Megaethenee dvāja, a famous authority on Hindu Politics quoted both in the Mahā-Bhārata and in Kauțilya's Artha-Sāstra, sums up the jurisdiction of ministers in these words:

"Between degeneration of the king and the degeneration "of the ministers, that of the ministers is more serious. "(1) Deliberation on the policy of state (mantra), "(2) realization of the result of that policy, "(3) execution of business, (4) the business "concerning Income and Expenditure, (5) army "and (6) its leading, (7) providing against "enemy and wild tribes (afavi), (8) maintenance "of government, (9) providing against (national) "degeneration, (10) protection of the princes and "their, consecration to offices are vested in the "Ministers."^a

(1) Policy or mantra of Bhāradvāja corresponds to the 'deliberation on public affairs' of Megasthenes, (2), (3) and (8) of Bhāradvāja correspond to the 'general administration of public affairs' of Megasthenes, (5), (6) and (7) to 'choosing generals, and admirals' of Megasthenes, (11) to Megasthenes' 'choosing governors, chiefs of provinces', and (4) to 'choosing superintendents of the treasury' and controllers of agriculture.

¹ Arrian, XII ; Ma Crindle, Magasthenes, p. 212.

⁸ আদনসমন্দৰ নাগৰা সময়ৰ গগৈ হাৰ গলা গৰা গৰা গৰা হয়। ব্যাহমৰ নাগৰা হয়। ব্যাহমৰ নাগৰা হয়। ব্যাহমৰ নাগৰা হয়। মাহমৰ কাৰ্য আলি নাগৰা হয়। মাহমৰ কাৰ্য আলি নাগৰা হয়। মাহমৰ কাৰ্য আলি নাগৰা হয়। মাহমৰ কাৰ্য কাৰ্য কাৰ্য কাৰ্য কৰা নাগৰা কৰা নাগৰা কৰা নাগৰা নাগৰা নাগৰ কৰা কৰা নাগৰা হয়। মাহমৰ কৰা নাগৰা হয়। মাহমৰ কৰা নাগৰা মাহমৰ কৰা নাগৰা কৰা নাগৰা নাগৰা নাগৰা নাগৰা নাগৰা নাগৰ মাহমৰ কৰা নাগৰা নাগৰা

The 'Assessors' are either the 'Tirthas' or the junior ministers (§§ 309-10), while councillors are the members of the Mantri-Council.

Thus the jurisdiction of the Ministry or Council is known. The constitutional law noticed above is borne out by that defined jurisdiction.

§318. Under such a constitution if a king had despotic Working of the constitution in Asoka's tendencies the result would be a revolution : either the king would be made to mend his roign method or the constitution would be subverted and the ministers (council) put in prison or assigned to the executioner. But the ministers had the Paura and the Janapada behind them and the law and tradition of the country to support them¹. Hindu institutions did not easily change, and constitutional laws once established and made sacred by the Sāstras could not be deviated from with impunity. We have the recorded instance of the pious despotism developed by Asoka, and what was the result? Was the Ministry overthrown and the constitutional laws set at naught? Or was the despot deprived, if not of his throne, of his sovereignty? There is the combined evidence of Asoka's inscription and the Divyavadana, both of which are adverse statements against interest and therefore entitled to perfect credence.

As the inscription referred to is one of the most important documents of the constitutional history of Hindu India I propose to give it here in extenso, omitting the last lines which are not relevant. It has remained a puzzle and an object of whimsical treatment to the translators of Aśoka's inscriptions, who never thought for once that there could be anything else but religious matter in the 'Edicts' of Aśoka. If no violence is done to the natural sense of the words the meaning is clear. Early interpreters (to whom India must be thankful for the first interpretations of Aśoka's proclamations) have grasped the letter,

³ On the power of the people to depose a king and set up another in his place see M. BA., *Liva.*, IV, 8-11.

not the spirit, of the Proclamation¹ under discussion, viz., that Asoka made the officers called $R \bar{a} j \bar{u} k a s$ 'independence in dent.' But the circumstances under which the independence in question was granted, and its nature, have been missed. The text is as follows:

> देवानं पिये पियदसि साज ईवं भाषा सडुवीसतिवस भभिसितेन में इयं पंमसिवि सिखाविता सजूका मे बङ्घ यानसतसंहरीस जनसि आयता तेर्स ये प्रसिदाले वा दंडे वा भवपतिचे में कटे कि ति सजुका भल्लव भभीता कंमानि पवतयेवू जनस जानपदसा दितसुर्खं उपदछेवू षनुगडिनेवु चा चुखौयन दुखीयनम् कानिसंति धंमयुतेन च वियोवदिसंति जनं जानपदं किंति हिटतं च पाखतं च पासाधयेवू ति सजुका पि सर्धति पटिषसिटवे मं प्रजिसानि पि में चंदनानि पटिचलिसंति ते पि चकानि वियोवदिसंति येन मं समुका वर्धति पासाधयितवे पद्या हि पर्ज वियताचे धातिये निसिजित भलये होति वियतधाति घहति में यजं सर्वं प्रसिद्धदवति ईवं ममा खजूका कटा जानपदस हितसुखाये येन गते भगीता चलव वंतं चविमना वमानि प्रवतयेवृति एतेन मे सनुवान भमोहाचे व दंडे वा चतपतिये कटे रहितविये हि एसा किति वियोशालसमता च सिंध दंडसमता चा चव रते वि च मे चावृति

It means:

"'Devānāmpriya [His Fortunate Majesty] King Priyadarśin [another name of Aśoka] thus says: ['thus says'—a style in royal documents to denote '*Proclamations*' Artha-Sāstra, p. 71]:—

"In the year twenty-six (elapsed) of my coronation this document of law was caused by me to be recorded [or 'dictated by me']:

¹ Pillar Proplamations IV, (Dolbi-Sivalak) ; .cf. Divyäv., p. 430.

⁴ The south is :

पंचनपत्राने सुनिडानं सी वितरंडानं परवचानं तिनि दिवयानि में जीने दिने मातिका व कानि निन्दपत्रिते की निवाये वार्ज मासंधे व निन्दपत्रिये दानं दाइति पावतिचं चपपाचे म महति इसा दि में देवे निम्नुपति पि बावति पाचरां पाखाययेषु कि जनस म नडति विविधे पंजयमे खबले दामर्डविमानेति। Mathin remion, #1., IL.253.

"The $R\bar{a}j\bar{u}kas^{1}$ of mine have jurisdiction "over the People who are numerous (hundreds of thou-"sands). Those $R\bar{a}j\bar{u}kas$ who are either over [the departments] "of A b h i h \bar{a} ra [War] or Danda [Home Administration] "are made [declared] by me to be Protectors by themselves "['of sovereign jurisdiction," $\bar{a}tmapatiye$]. Why so? So "that the R $\bar{a}j\bar{u}kas$ without agitation and with "peace of mind [*lit.*, without apprehension] may "carry on the business, may render themselves "agreeable and satisfactory to the Body J $\bar{a}napada$ and "may grant them an ugrah as.

"They will know the satisfied as well as those who are in "difficulty and distress. They will also get the Body "Jānapada advised by the Ecclesiastical Service. Thereby they "(the Rājūkas) may secure this world and the world beyond.

"And the Rājūkas disregard (laghanti=langhanti) my "proclamations, while my (own) subordinate officers "[purushas, Cf. Artha-Sāstra, p. 245] will promulgate my opinion "and orders [chhandam anāni: Cf. āṇam, Jātaka 1.398]. "And they [Rājūkas] will advise the Provinces [Chakāni]^a which "wish to serve the Rājūkas, not me.^a Therefore "let me indeed, consign the (Child) Subject [Pajam, a pun on the "word] to the viyatā Nurse [a pun on the word, 'anxious' 'exerting' "with open arms', and 'separating herself', *i.e.*, from me]. The "a n x i ous Nurse be comes tranquil; she wants to "defend well my Prājā [pun on sukham palihatave, 'comfortably "to snatch away my Child'].

"In this manner my Rājūkas have acted for "the satisfaction and good of the Jānapada.

³ See § 804.

² Bühler, Che kins, in the sense of 'some ones.' The corrected reading is indicated by Prof. Rāmāvatāra Šarmā, (*Piyadarši-prašastaya*b, p. 33).

[•] वेभ में समूच स्थान पानापांगतने। (Mathia), Former reading: येन में समूचा etc. The last word has been taken as lojukt. The Mathia plate (E.I., II p. 250) suggests an enuseera. Without the enuseers the meaning would be slightly changed: " and they will advise the provinces, they the Réjūkas; who do-net wish to serve me,"

"So that they may with peace of mind, with-"out agitation and without any feeling of "hostility (avimanā) carry on business, I do "make hereby my Rājūkas independent in War "and Peace administrations.

"This indeed I desire, viz.: that the sameness of civil and "criminal justice should remain. And though fallen from "position (avaïte=ava-rita¹) my prayer^a is that (etc.).."

The rule for the maintenance of which the emperor prays is about allowing religious service to prisoners under sentence of death. It is significant that the king now 'prays' and does not 'command' as in other documents. In the next regnal year, probably a few months after signing this document, the king composed a retrospect of his reign up to the year of signing the Rājūka decree. Evidently he then regarded the period of his rule as a past chapter and as distinct from the period of mere reign thereafter.

The passages in the Divyāvadāna we have already seen. They clearly say that the Ministers including the Yuvarāja deprived the Maurya Emperor of his authority.

The Jānapada, as distinct from the Prajā and loka 'the people' (as in Pillar proclamations IV and VII), are mentioned, and it was for their benefit that the Rājūkas wanted independence. The Jānapada evidently supported the ministers. The Buddhist monks might well cry at the fate that deprived the Emperor of India of his aisvaryya or sovereign authority. But they do not, as they could not, call the Ministers sinful for that. The Emperor buwed to the authority of the laws of his country. The politicians under the leadership of the polite but firm Rādha-gupta^o and the Pauras according to the Divyāvadāna, listened to the sarcasms of the Emperor—a feature in the Emperor's language even in the

¹ Of. the same formation in the Vajasenyi Samhith. Bühler, in atter disregald of philology, renders as 'even so far' (goes my order)!

^{*} Avritti in this same coours in the Vedie as well as later literature, Monier-William, Dict., 1893, p. 156, 3-ori.

^{*} Probably a descendant of Vishus-gupta (Rautilys).

inscription—and they let the otherwise great Emperor continue in the enjoyment of his throne and title and preach his 'sublime nonsense.' But the political writers do not seem to have let the license of monkish professions pass unnoticed. 'The dharma 'of the king indeed is the suppression of the evil and the 'rearing-up of the good and not the shaving of the head '[becoming a Buddhist monk] or the growing of matted hair.'¹

§ 319. Before we close this brief survey of Hindu Junior minis- Ministry let us note a few more details tors or underabout their composition. Each minister had two junior ministers or Under-Secretaries.⁹ The chief of the three was distinguished by the title Mahā-mātra, 'Of great measure'.

In the inscriptions of the Gupta period the same offices are distinguished by Mahā-and Kumāra-, e.g. Daņdanāyaka, Mahā-Daņdanāyaka, and Daņdanāyaka-kumārāmātya. The simple Daņdanāyaka would be one of the two junior ministers to the MahāDaņdanāyaka, and the Kumārāmātya—(the 'youngminister)' Daņdanāyaka would be the juniormost. The second minister might have been called Mahā-Kumārāmātya *i.e.*, the senior under-secretary. This interpretation may be also considered with reference to various designations of the classes in the inscriptions of the Gupta times where Mahā-Pradhāna, Mahā-Ṣāndhi-vigrahika, Mahā-Daņda-nāyaka, etc., figure.^{*}

§ 320. The ministers were transferred from one portfolio to another.⁴ The transfers were made every three years, or after every five,

* गन्तो हि दुर्हानवडः विषटदि स्वनंध धर्नों म पुनः यिरासुखने कटाधारण या।---Quatation in Nilivakyamrita, ch. V.

* Sukraniliatra, II. 109—10,

एक चिन्न पिन। हे सु पुरुषा को सदा।

िनियुष्ठीत माधतमं मुख्यमेवां तु तेषु मे ।।

दी दर्बनी तु मल्कार्ये

* Soo Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Vol. III. Passim. Cf. SNS., II. 111-13.

Sukranitisära, II. 107-13,

परिवर्णं बगे भोतान् भगादनोःत्नकर्मति ।

seven or ten years.¹ For "authority should "not be given in one's hand for a long "time. A capable minister should be put "in charge of another work [department], and "a new competent man should step into his shoes." The rules of three-yearly transfer and of five-yearly transfer are referred to as 'dharma' or law by A so k a in his inscriptions at Dhauli and Jaugada (Separate Proclamations). The whole Council (varga) of Ministers (mahāmātras) went out or rather were 'made to go out', to quote the language of the Emperor, every three or five years. The process is officially called anusamyana, that is, 'regular departure' which may be compared with the word anugata in the above quotation from the Sukraniti and with anusamyäntu in the Rāmāyaņa.* In the Rāmāyaņa it comes in connection with the 'going out' of guards to the passes on the route which Bharata was shortly to use.

§ 321. As in other matters, e.g. in the coronation, so in the Caste represented. Exercise of the executive power each of the four divisions of the Hindu society was represented. The coronation details given by NIIakantha and Mitra Misra show that ministers were taken from all the varnas up to the last days of Hindu régime. The Mahä-Bhärata gives a list of thirty-seven. Ministers recruited on the principle of representation from each varna: Four Brahmins, eight Kshatriyas, twenty-one

	माविश्वार्र स्थित स्थायसेवसे वदा हुएः ।
	• * • • •
	चयः बार्वचर्न इट्रा कार्वेऽचे व निवीजवेत् ।
	रत्यार्वे इप्रच पाने स्वदातुबंद चयु ।
· Ibid., 110,	•
• 11. 79. 13 ;	Konow, A S I, 1913-14, p. 113;
	यने बन्दामई हुने रानी रावा प्रतिपदि। १९
	हिरादी प्रिसिशिः पन्ताः समापि निषमाचि च ।
	रचिषवानुसंवानु यवि दुवैविचारबाः ।।
Tak the ma	rds go out (after the silpine) who know the passes on the way."

Vaiśyas and three $S \bar{u} d r a s$, and finally on e $S \bar{u} t a$ who was of the mixed caste. The remarkable point is that the largest class (Vaiśya) had the largest number in the Ministry. The $S \bar{u} d r a$ and the Brahmin are almost equally divided. The real ministry, as it says, was composed of eight members only.¹

§ 322. The designations of the ministers in Gupta times changed. We have already seen the Sāndhi-Official designstions in Gupta times vigrahika superseding the old term Düta. evidently necessary to avoid confusion and This was distinguish the Minister of Diplomacy from the Ambassador. We do not find Mantrin used in the inscriptions of the period. Here again a desire to use an unambiguous expression seems to have operated. For, the minister Dandanāyaka or rather $Mah\bar{a}$ - $Dandan\bar{a}yaka$ appears to have taken its place. In Manu (XI. 100), Dandanetritva is distinguished from Senāpatya and means the leadership of the administration, which in view of Manu's definition of Amātya's jurisdiction (amātye daņda āyattah-Manu, VII. 56) means the authority of the Prime-Minister. Mahā-Dandanāyaka, therefore, 'vested with the leadership of danda (or administration)', would signify the minister in charge of administration or the Prime-Minister. This appears to be the more natural interpretation as against the one given by Fleet *i.e.*, 'the leader of the forces' (C.I.I., III. p. 16. n.), for the ministers who bear that title in the inscriptions were civilian officers as proved by their other titles. Further, in that period the military minister seems to be designated Balādhikrit (Ibid., p. 210) and Mahā-Balādhikrit (p. 109).

The rule of transfernoticed above is illustrated by the occurrence of the ministerial designations in the documents of the period. Harishena, who, as the great inscription of Samudra-Gupta says (Fleet, C.I.I., III. 10), was closely associated with the Emperor and who is described to have gained inspiration for his Kāvya from that association, was Mahā-Dandanāyaka.

¹ MBA., Kumb. Šasti, ob. 85, 7-11.

He had been a Junior Minister of Diplomacy before. But at the time the Kāvya of Harisheņa was actually inscribed he was no more Mahā-Daņdanāyaka. At that time the office was held by another learned gentleman, Tila-Bhattaka. Past ministers were allowed the courtesy of the employment of their late official designations in official documents, e.g., the father of Harisheņa who had been Mahā-Daņdanāyaka in former times is mentioned with that designation in Samudra Gupta's record.

§ 323. Charters of grant made by kings in the Gupta period Chartens countersigned by one of the ministers, the Sandhi-vigrahika. According to Brihaspati ministers a document of gift should obtain the endorsement jnatammaya or "noted by me" from the office of the Sändhi-vigrahika. Brihaspati's law code was a work of the period and this provision is of importance. It shows that the charters which bear the name of that minister or his office were really noted by his department. This procedure throws light on the constitutional position of the ministers in that period. Even a humble gift had to be sanctioned by the ministry and it was sanctioned on their behalf by the Sändhi-vigrahika who apparently had to consider whether the gift was right from the point of view of the foreign department. Donees might be outsiders come in the kingdom. They might be enemy spies; the foreign office, therefore, was the first authority to sanction or to reject the gift which would be passed as a matter of course by the other members of the government. The charters bear the countersignature of the officer or his assistant who passed it last. He is called D ü t a k a or 'despatcher'. The copper plate grant made by king Hastin^s in the year corresponding to 510 A.C. is first sanctioned by Mahä-.Sandhi-vigrahika Vidhudatta and finally passed by the senior minister of army, Mahābalā-

² Quoted by Franirodaya, p. 192, जार्व गरीत् विखिर्य दर्गिवदद्विद्ववैः ।

^{*} C.I.I., III. 108.

d h i k r i t, Nāgasimha, who signs as the D \bar{u} t a k a. Another grant made by a contemporary of Hastin¹ is signed by a man who has no official title; it is not countersigned by any minister and it is recorded to have been made on the oral order of the ruler. The charter has no $d\bar{u}taka$ either. It is evident that the grant did not pass through the Council as there was no written order of the ruler. It might have been made from the private lands of the donor.

§ 324. It is a known fact that Ceylon had numerous institustrations tions in common with India. In fact both had Illus of the practice from Coylon practically the same civilization, and from that point of view Ceylon was a part of India. A Ceylonese friend of mine has often told me that the history of India can never be complete without the history of Ceylon. It must be admitted that it is true. Here we have an illustration. In India while we lost our institutions under stress from outside and decay from within, they lived longer in the island girt by the great sea-moat. As late as the middle of the 10th century A.C. the orders issued by the king are orders of the Supreme Council or His Majesty-in-Council (Sabhā). All the members of the Council sign the document. See for instance the record of king Abhāsalamevan edited by my friend Mr. Wickramasinghe in Epigraphia Zeylanica, Volume II, p. 1, where the whole council conjointly makes the gift, the grant of privilege:

"Whereas it was decreed by His Majesty-in-Council, we, "all of us, namely, Manitila, Kiliyem and Gangulhusu Aga-"boyim . . . and Kavasilangä Gavayim have conjointly with "due inaugural ceremonies (*abhishekadi*) granted (the following "immunities to the village Itnarugama in the district of . . . "(p. 5).

¹ Ibid., p. 115,

SERVAN INDIA SOCIETY'S BRANLM LIBRARY BOMBAY

CHAPTER XXXII

Law and Administration of Justice

§ 325. Apart from the operation of the Coronation-Oath, the checks and limitations imposed by the King under the Paura-Jānapada and the Council, there was the all-powerful Law, the Common Law of the Hindus, which is declared again and again to be a bove the king and as the king of kings¹. In Manu the king is made liable to be fined.^{*} His powers and obligations are defined in the law-sütras and law-books as part and parcel of the law (in chapters on Constitutional Law, the Rajadharma or 'Laws for Kings'). Even in the palmiest days of Hindu Monarchy, neither in the Manava-Dharmafastra nor in the Artha-fastra, was the king placed above the law. He could make new laws according to the Artha-Sästra, according to Manu he could not do so; but when he could make laws he passed only regulatory laws' and not laws substantive or laws making him arbitrary.

The judges in Persia under Cambyses "found a law that the "Persian king might do whatever he pleased."⁴ But such a finding was impossible to be come to by Hindu judges and lawyers; so much so that even the author of the Artha-Sästra tells his prince that destruction befalls an arbitrary king⁶.

§ 326. The administration of justice under Hindu monarchy Judiotary and remained always separate from the executive, Executive. and generally independent in form and ever independent in spirit. The reason for this

^{*} See the quotation in the Vyseasing Derpass.

^{* &#}x27;Where common man would be fined one Kärskäpans the king shall be fined one-"thousand; that is the cettled law.' VIII. \$36,

कार्यात्रयः सर्वदृष्ण्या वनान्यः त्राहवी जनः ।

[্]ষর হান্য মইছব্যা রক্ষনিরি যাবো।

^{*} Arthalders, Bk. 1, ch. \$; \$ (p. 11).

^{*} Rawlinson, Herodolus, II, p. 468.

was that it was the lawyers who were appointed Judges, and lawyers as a rule were from amongst the Brahmins. It was in the classical period (1,000 B.C.-500 B.C.) while the Hindu king was putting or had put on a new garb, that the Brahmin transformed himself from the humble position of the 'Repeater of Songs' ('Brāhmaņa') into a political estate. The priest-Brahmin became distinct from the Brahmin of politics and ordinary life. The two divisions are clear in the Satapatha Brāhmaņa. At the coronation ceremony, as we have seen, after the consecration the priest as such does homage to the king, and the Brahmin as an estate of the Society does homage along with the Kshatriyas and others. The class which was midway between the priestly and the non-priestly Brahmins was of the Mahāśāla Brahmins (§ 282), the Brahmins of study and action. They mainly devoted their energies to sciences like *dharma*, law, politics and cognate subjects. In the Jātakas we have the Purchita politician and Brahmin ministers as embodiments of political wisdom and moral rectitude. To this class belonged the judges. Under the common law the culprit who had committed a crime was to be punished by the king. But under d h a r m a law he was also to be punished for the sin implied in the crime.¹ The latter jurisdiction was relegated to the Brahmin. Not only that he was an expert in the matter. but also as Brahmin culprits were to be dealt with they were to be judged by one who was their equal and who could have no hesitation in punishing them in matters of dharma delinquency. The Brahmin for the dharma administration was thus absolutely necessary. We find this jurisdiction being exercised by the Purohita in the Jātakas. He at the same time heard and decided, sitting along with other officers (probably non-Brahmins), cases of secular law. Law proper and law ecclesiastical in administration tended to unite into one and unite in the hand of the Brahmin judge. And the Brahmin was fairly above the influence of the king.

¹ [This is discussed in my Tagore Lectures, X.]

§ 327. Then the law court bore the ancient Vedic name, the Sabba Sabhā. As the Council of Ministers retained the traces of their independent origin so did the Sabhā. The judges were always helped by the community in the administration of justice. They made up the Sabhā and were, to quote a modern word, the jury of the court.

Their number was odd, to provide for voting (§ 109, I, p. 110, n.); and it was enjoined on them to speak according to law. A jury which kept its mouth shut or spoke what is not *Dharma*, was considered immoral.¹

In the court-scene of the Mrichchhakatika, which I regard as the product of the 3rd century A.C., the jury is mentioned.^a The function of the jury we find defined in "the Sukra-Nīti as well as in Brihaspati and Nārada^a; it deserves notice. There the jury is to be composed of 7, 5, or 3^a, and they are defined as "the examiners of the cause," while the judge, their president, is the "speaker" and the king as carrying out the punishment. In the Mrichchhakatika the judge says, "We are authority in deciding the guilt or otherwise. The

⁴ Nårada, Intro. III. 18 (na sõ sabhõ yaira na santi vriddhõ vriddhõ na is ye na vadanti dharmam).

'Either the Judicial Assembly must not be entered at all, or a fair opinion delivered. That man who, either stands mute or delivers an opinion contrary to justice is sinner'. Nårada, Intro. III, 10 (Jolly).

Mrichakakatika, Act IX.

चित्रावज्ञनिमधमनिवर्षितं ।

* Śukrazitiedra, IV. 5, 26—27,

चीववेदप्रधर्मप्राः धप्र पच प्रवीधि नाः वतीपविष्टा विता कुः जा वत्रशहत्री दमाः। . जीवारी वचित्रजन कर्त्तवाः सुविषचयाः।।

See also ibid., 14, 17,

वदा दिमी व विद्याच्छात् अपिर्व इव बोलयेत् । वर्ष्य वा पर्नत्राज्य युदं धने व जर्वत् ॥ राजा निर्वाजितवाचे समाः वर्षांषु जातिषु ।

वसायाणी तृप: भाषा सवा: भाषेप्रीभया: 1- Ibid., 40; Brihaspati in Firamirodaya, p. 42. Cf. Närada, Intro. II. 45. "One condomned by the Judges shall be punished "by the king according to law." "Justice is said to depend upon the Jury" Närada, Intro. III. 6. 'rest is in the hands of the king'¹. It was the jury's separate province (karma proktam prithak prithak: Brihaspati) to consider the truth or otherwise of the cause brought before the court. Thus even when justice was dispensed by royal judges there was a safeguard against the leanings of the judge.

§ 328. We have already seen that the king by himself was not allowed to hear 'cases.² He heard cases King-in-Counoil as Judge sitting in his Council which included the Chief Justice. These were cases which went on appeal to him as the highest court of appeal^a. This is indicated by the authorities quoted below, and still more clearly by the case decided by King Yaśaskara noted in the Rājatarangiņī (Ch. VI). The appellant had lost his case in all the lower courts and now he appealed from the judgment of the Justices to King Yaśaskara. He heard it in Council along with the judges of the capital who had already heard the matter. The practice of the king hearing original cases must have been given up very early as there is scanty evidence showing that it was ever done in post-Vedic times.

As the king could not govern by himself personally, so he was not allowed to administer justice, as pointed out above, by himself. This was not only the opinion of the code-writers but also the opinion of the constitutional lawyers who prohibit the king to decide causes by himself.⁴

Šukranitisāra, IV. 5. 5-6,

धर्ममासानुसारेच जोधधीभविवर्णितः ।

सत्राष्ट्रियावाः सामात्वः समाद्यवपुरोडितः ।

धनाडितनतिः पश्चेषुवडारागनुवामात् ।।

লীৰঃ বফ্লীৰ জাযাঁজি বাহিলীঃ সুত্তবাহতা।

रइसि व दृषः प्राज्ञः सभायेव बदाचन ॥

¹ बार्य चाहदत्ता लिर्वय वर्ष प्रसायम् । येवे तु राजा । Aot IX.

^{*} Nåradu, Intro. I. 35 'माडियाबसते स्थित:'; Brihaspati, I. 24., 'हस्वमासलने स्थित:' (Sourisi Chandrika)

Nărada, Intro. I. 7; Brihaspati, I. 29; Yājňavalkya, II. 30.

§ 329. In theory the king always presided Justice administered over the court, whether he was present in king's name there or not.' The decree given under the seal of the court was called a document given by the king. When a man was summoned to attend the court it was supposed that the king called him. The language of the law-books invariably employs the word 'king' as doing all matters of legal execution, and the commentators explain that the 'king' there means only the officer.

§ 330. Records of cases decided were kept. Such records Records kept are mentioned as early as the Jätakas. *Vinischaya-pustaka* is mentioned in vol. III, p. 292. Even laws of procedure of a monarchy inscribed on gold tablets are referred to in vol. V, p. 125. That the records were kept in the time of the law books is evidenced by themselves.³

§ 331. According to the ideal which prevailed in the time Proper Justice of the Jätakas right administration of justice and fall in littigation resulted in a fall of litigation.^{*} Of course the same result would follow equally from an unjust administration. There is however no allusion to such a state of affairs. Constitutionally the latter was impossible in view of the legal sanction.⁴.

§ 332. An incidental reference to actual administration of ^{Sudette} justice is found in the Päli Canon. It throws ^{Prince Jete} a flood of light on the purity of justice, disclosing a real rule of law.

In the Vinaya Piţaka, Chullavagga, VI. 4. 9, the case of the Anātha-Piņdika versus Jeta, the Prince Royal, which was decided by the Court of Srāvastī, the then capital of Oudh, is related to show the great devotion of the Anātha-Piņdika to the Sākya Teacher and not to record any extraordinary judicial decision. Sudatta, who was generally called the Anātha-Piņdika ("Orphans'

¹ Viramitrodaya, pp. 39-42 ; Manu, VIIL 1, 19.

^{* #.} g., ses Vasiskika, p. 58.

Játaks, TL p. 2.

⁴ Manu, VII. 28., Brihaspati, IL 28; of the revolution related in the Mrichchkalmfika.

co-parcener"), on account of his kindness to orphans, was an ordinary citizen—a grihapati—a leading and rich merchant; Jeta was one of the princes of the blood. The latter had a garden "not too far from the town and not too near, convenient for going "and coming . . . well-fitted for a retired life." The liberal Anātha-Piņdika thought of buying this garden for the use of the Buddha whom he had invited from Rājagriha. He went to the Prince Jeta and said to him, "Your Highness, let me have "your garden to make an Ārāma (rest-house) on it." "It is not, "O gentleman, for sale, unless it is laid over with crores (of "money pieces)." "I take, Your Highness, the garden (at this "price)."

"No, gentleman, the garden has not been taken." "Then "they asked the lords of justice whether the garden was bought "(lit., taken) or not. And the lords decided thus: 'Your Highness "fixed the price and the garden has been taken'."¹

On obtaining the decree while the Anātha-Piņdika had a part of the garden covered with gold coins, the rest was relinquished by the Prince without further payment.

Here we have a Prince and a private citizen submitting their case to the law court and the court deciding against a Royal Prince, and the Prince accepting that decision,—all as a matter of course. The case attracted attention not for the principle of offer and acceptance, not as illustrating the independence

उपसडमिता केतं कुमारं एतइ चवोचः देखि से चयपुत्त जयान चारानं कातुन् ति । चर्दयो गइपति चारानी अपि कोटिस्चरेना ति । वडितो चयपुत्त चारानी ति । न नइपति गडितो चारानी ति । वडिती न गडितो ति बोडारिकै मडामत्ते पुष्टिंसु । नडानता एदम् चार्डसु वती तया चयपुत्त चग्वो कती गडितो चारानी ति ।

The above has been translated in the Sacred Books of the East, XX. pp. 187-188, by Messre. Rhys Davids and Oldenberg as follows :---"He went to Jeta the Kumära, and "said to him, 'Sir, let me have your garden to make an Arama on it.' 'It is not, sir, for "sale, even for (a sum so great that the pieces of money would be sufficient to cover it if "they were) laid side by side.' I take, sir, the garden at the price.' 'No, O householder, "there was no bargain meant. Then they asked the lords of justice whether a 'bargain of "sale had been made or not. And the lords decided thus: 'The Arama is taken, sir, at "the price which you fixed.'"

¹ Text-Ch. VI, 4, 9,

of the judges, but as observed above, on account of the devotion of the charitable citizen to the Teacher. The legal procedure is described as a mere occurrence of ordinary life. Hence the names of the Hindu Gascoignes have not been mentioned; they in the eyes of their contemporaries, did nothing extraordinary in giving that decision.

§ 332. The Prādvivāka acted in two official capacities. Ministers of He was the First ('Foremost') Judge and he was Justice the Minister of Justice. We shall note below his functions as the Minister of Justice in comparison with the functions of the Pandita Minister who was the Minister of law.

It is remarkable that the Minister of Justice and the Minister of Law took precedence over several of their civil colleagues. The premier position in the Council was occupied by the *Pratinidhi*. The *Pradhāna*, who was the President of the Council, came next. After them came the Minister of War or Sachiva, and the Foreign Minister or *Mantrin*, who were responsible for peace and war. Next in precedence were the Minister of Law and the Minister of Justice.

Firstly, as the Chief Justice, the *Prādvivāka* (lit., the First Judge) presided over the Supreme Court in the capital of the kingdom. Next, as the Minister of Justice he prescribed the law of procedure after ascertaining the opinion of the majority of a jury on the subject and then "advising" the King accordingly. It is thus described in the *Sukranīti*:

'The Prādvivāka along with the members of the jury sitting 'in a meeting may ascertain by majority of opinion the proce-'dure laws, instituted by himself and those come down: where 'human proof—by witnesses, documents, past and adverse 'enjoyment—was to be employed, and in which cases divine proof '(oaths, ordeals) was to prevail, where interpretation was to 'be allowed, where a matter was to be proved by direct 'evidence (प्रत्यच), where inference (भनुमान) and analogy '(भनुमान) were to 'be resorted to, where opinion of the

'community and where jurisprudence should be followed; 'and the Prādvivāka then (lit, 'having considered and ascertained 'these') shall always advise the King.'1

The Minister of Law, on the other hand, who is elsewhere called the Dharmādhikārin, is called the Pandita (the Learned Minister) in the Sukranīti, and his duties are thus defined :

'The Pandita, having considered what ancient and present 'laws are at present followed by the community, which of them 'are approved in the codes and which laws now offend against 'jurisprudence, and which of them are opposed to the communi-'ty and jurisprudence, shall recommend to the King laws which 'secure happiness both here and hereafter.'

This affords a glimpse into the Hindu method of legal reform. Hindu Law was normally considered traditional and as such could not, in theory, be altered by direct or avowed changes introduced by the State. The law was, however, occasionally altered by direct legislation^a and more generally by interpretation, and also by new treatises fathered on ancient names, e.g., the Nārada Smriti. Over and above these there was the agency of the two law ministers. The ministers rejected such laws as having regard to the circumstances of the community and public weal were deemed undesirable to be put into operation. They also took into consideration the popular view with regard to the current laws. This method of legal pruning and regard for the popular opinion resulted in the modification of laws and in effect acted as new legislation. It may not be unlikely that the different treatises on Hindu Law differing from each other

> * शाचिभिर्खिवितेभौगेन्द्रसेभे तैथ माउवान् । खेनीपादितत्म् माप्त-व्यवहारान् विचिन्य व ॥ दिव्यसंसाधनादापि केंदु कि साधन परम्। युश्चिमलवानुनामोवमानैखीववाखतः ॥ बङ्ग अन्यतसंसिद्धान् विनिषित्व सभास्थितः । असभ्यः प्राड्विकाकस्त द्यं संवीधयेत् सदा ॥ Sukraniti, II. 96-98.

See App. D.

* वर्त्तमानाय प्राचीना धर्णाः के कीकर्धविताः ।

159

. **[PT.** IL

and modifying earlier laws might, after all, have been the works of Ministers of Law.

§ 333. The most pronounced feature of Hindu Polity was the

dominating position of Law 8 = 5 = = throughout history. It was so when the law was administered by the communal Sabhā and it remained so when the law was administered by the royal Sabhā. The Sabhā had not the same history as the 'Court.' It was not an outcome of the King's household but of the Vedic folk-assembly. History was against a possibility of the Sabhā becoming the foot-stool of the throne. When it became the king's right and duty to maintain the administration of justice, he exercised it in accordance with the condition laid down and accepted through the Coronation Oath. He had to administer scrupulously the law of the country. Then, there was the Brahmin agency which always balanced and counterpoised the estate of sword and the estate of wealth. When undue interference was feared, it was laid down that the king must abide by the opinion of the Prādvivāka.1

वाजी द वे चमुद्दिरा विदय्यनों च वैऽधना ॥ बोकत्राखविददाः वे पब्जितजान् विविन्द च । रुपं संवोवधेत् तेव परवे च सुव्रम्देः ॥

Sukraniti, II. 99, 100

¹ प्राङ्गिक् शिक्ष (स्वत:-- Nárada ; ses above Pt. II, p. 125. For details on the administration of justice the reader is referred to the author's Tagore Law Lectures.

160

CHAPTER XXXIII

Taxation

§ 334. The Hindu theory of taxation is of immense importance from the constitutional point of view. Taxes had been fixed by Law and the scales had been embodied in the Sacred Common Law. The consequence was that whatever the form of government, the matter of taxation was not an object of the ruler's caprice. No friction could therefore legally arise between the Crown and the People on the question of taxation. The main source of friction and of oppression was thus guarded against.

That the constitutional law of taxation was a living law regulating life, is borne out by pieces of histori-Legal effect cal evidence. For instance, in the inscription of Queen Balas r i of the Sātavāhana family, it is proclaimed that her son levied taxes in accordance with the sacred law. Other inscriptions point to the same conclusion.¹ Literature has some curious instances proving the inviolability of the taxes fixed by the Chandragupta the Great had to raise money, law. presumably for his intended war with Seleukos. He and his great Chancellor Kautilya were at their wit's end to collect a sufficient amount of money; the legal taxes were not productive enough for the purpose. They had to, as is evident from the Artha-Sästra, take recourse to odd methods. which demonstrate the majesty of the law on the one hand and the inconvenience of a rigid legal revenue on the other. Chandragupta asked his people to give

U

¹ Archaeological Survey Report of Western India, Vol. IV, p. 108;

Ep. Ind., Vol. VIII, p. 60, असीप् जितवर विनियीन करव-1, 5; p 44. line 14.

Cf. also "That avariations king, who foolishly oppresses his subjects by levying taxes not "sanotioned by the Sästras, is said to wrong his own self."—Makd-Bhàrata, Sànti, LXXI, 15.

TY (PT. IL

and modifying earlier laws might; after all, have been the works of Ministers of Law.

§ 333. The most pronounced feature of Hindu Polity was the

dominating position of Law Sabhā throughout history. It was so when the law was administered by the communal Sabhā and it remained so when the law was administered by the royal Sabhā. The Sabhā had not the same history as the 'Court.' It was not an outcome of the King's household but of the Vedic folk-assem bly. History was against a possibility of the Sabhä becoming the foot-stool of the throne. When it became the king's right and duty to maintain the administration of justice, he exercised it in accordance with the condition laid down and accepted through the Coronation Oath. He had to administer scrupulously the law of the country. Then, there was the Brahmin agency which always balanced and counterpoised the estate of sword and the estate of wealth. When undue interference was feared, it was laid down that the king must abide by the opinion of the Prādvivāka.1

মাজী থু ৰী ভনুছিলো বিৰক্ষৰী প ৰী খেলা ॥ ৰাৰমাজাবিৰৱাঃ ৰী মজিয়জাল বিধিন্দ প। ৰখ ভঁৰাখৰান ত্ৰীৰ মন্দ্ৰী ভ স্তুৰানহৈ ॥

Sukraniti, H. 99, 100

1 Highward fun:- Narada; and above Pt. II, p. 125. For details on the administration of justice the reader is referred to the author's Tagore Law Loctures.

160

CHAPTER XXXIII

Taxation

§ 334. The Hindu theory of taxation is of immense importance from the constitutional point of view. Taxes had been fixed by Law and the scales had been embodied in the Sacred Common Law. The consequence was that whatever the form of government, the matter of taxation was not an object of the ruler's caprice. No friction could therefore legally arise between the Crown and the People on the question of taxation. The main source of friction and of oppression was thus guarded against.

That the constitutional law of taxation was a living law regulating life, is borne out by pieces of histori-Legal effect cal evidence. For instance, in the inscription of Queen Balas r 1 of the Satavahana family, it is proclaimed that her son levied cases in accordance with the sacred law. Other inscriptions point to the same conclusion.¹ Literature has some curious instances proving the inviolability of the taxes fixed by the Chandragupta the Great had to raise money. law. presumably for his intended war with Seleukos. He and his great Chancellor Kautilya were at their wit's end to collect a sufficient amount of money; the legal taxes were not productive enough for the purpose. They had to, as is evident from the Artha-Sāstra, take recourse to odd methods. which demonstrate the majesty of the law on the one hand and the inconvenience of a rigid legal revenue on the other. Chandragupta asked his people to give

¹ Archneological Survey Report of Western India, Vol. IV, p. 106;

Kp. Ind., Vol. VIII, p. 60, uniquant fefetie are-1, 5; p 44. line 14.

Cf. also "That avariations king, who foolishly oppresses his subjects by levying taxes not "sanctioned by the Sästras, is said to wrong his own self."-Makd-Bharata, Sánti, LXXI, 15.

him money as a 'token of affection' (*Pranaya*). He also raised money from temples.¹ Patañjali writing under the reign of Pushymitra while commenting on Pāņini, V. 3. 99., humorously remarked that the Mauryas who wanted gold raised it by instituting images of gods for worship.² In the Jaina tradition, Chāņakya is alleged to have issued eight hundred million debased silver coins called kārshāpaņas to fill the treasury. All these facts indicate a very great urgency, and at the same time a scrupulous respect for the letter of the law.

§ 335. The revenue raised by taxes was under the control of the Council of Ministers who were also vested with the power of collecting the revenue. As early as the fourth century B. C., as we find from Megasthenes (§ 316), the department of the Exchequer was under the Ministry, whose history does not begin there but goes back to the Vedic Ratnins and the Ratnin Treasurer. The evidence of Bhāradvāja (§ 317) is also decisive and goes back beyond the fourth century B.C. The Ministry collected revenue and disbursed expenditure according to him.

§ 336. Apart from the question of amount and collection Taxes as king's wages regarded in Hindu politics as wages of the king for the service of administration:

> ৰভিষ্ঠ ন স্কলন ইন্টনাধাবহাৰিনালু। মাজালীবন ভিন্ধ বা ইয়নৰ খনাসলমু।

"The one-sixth Bali tax, import and export duties, fines "and forfeitures collected from offenders—gathered in accordance "with the Sästras (law and constitution), as your wages "(vetanena), shall constitute your revenue.""

Nārada also ordains :

"Both the customary receipts of a king and what is called "the sixth of the produce of the soil, form the royal revenue, the "reward for the protection of his subjects".

Arthadders, pp. 241-2.
 Jayaswal, IA., 1918, p. 51.
 MBh., Sāndi P., LXXI, 10.
 Nārada, XVIII. 48 (Jolly).

The theory is as ancient as the Artha-Sāstra of Kauțilya (300 B.C.), or we should say, it is anterior to 300 B.C. for it is quoted in the Artha-Sāstra. The taxes were regarded as the wages of the king, the wages which were fixed according to the theory mentioned above (§ 267) by the original contract between the first King and the People. The broker to that contract, according to the theory, was the Creator himself. It was He who recommended Manu to the People for election.

§ 337. The wage-theory was further developed by political Divise theory scientists and turned into what we may call a divine theory of taxation. I cannot do better than quote that theory in the language of the scientist himself:

खभागसत्या दाखत्वे प्रजानां च रूपः छतः । ब्रह्मचा स्वामिरूपसु पाचनार्थं डि सर्वदा ॥

"God has made the king, though master in "form, the servant of the People, getting his "wages (sustenance) in taxes for the purpose of "continuous protection and growth."¹

In other words, the Master-Servant of the People has his wage or maintenance fixed by divine authority: he was not to take more, for he was not entitled to more. The Subject, really the Master, was bound to "protect" the king, as promised by the priest on his behalf at the coronation (§ 224) by giving him his lawful maintenance, his share (Svabhāga).

Here we have the arguments (§ 244) of the Mānava-dharma-Sāstra turned to yield a divine origin of king's servitude. Diamond cuts diamond. In the land of Uśanas and Bhāradvāja, a theory ascribing divine personality to kingship—a potential licence for autocracy—could never be tolerated. It was opposed to the trend of past history. Hence to cut 'Manu' the teacher of men, the Hindu sought out 'Sukra' the Teacher of Gods.

§ 338. The theory that the taxes were wages for protection

¹ Subranitiedra, I. 188.

was so ingrained in the constitution that even partial failure of protection was deemed to entitle the subject Protection and to claim refund of wages in proportion allegiance to the loss. And those claims, as we have seen, had to be allowed either in the shape of concessions or actual payment (§ 281). The subject thought that the servant-king was not fulfilling his obligation. He thought that the compact was not fully kept and he threatened, as the Artha-Sāstra says (XIII. 1, p. 394), to migrate to the enemy country. In other words, the subject threatened to transfer allegiance. The Mahā-Bhārata says the same thing when it sanctions the abandonment of that king who fails to protect.⁴ He is like a ship which leaks, that is, dangerous to remain with; and he is like the barber who wishes to go to the forest, evidently to become an ascetic. The barber has left his clientele and master, and broken his contract of service. He is fit to be given up and another barber is to be appointed to the household. Similarly the king who failed in his duty was worthless, fit to be given up. The relation between the king and the subject is proved to have ended by the very fact of the former's incapacity. The tie of allegiance is deemed dissolved the moment the king failed to fulfil his duty of protection, and the subject was free to employ

> राजान प्रवर्ग विन्देन् ततो आवी' वतो भनम् । ३१
> वाचितछन जनुमा ग्रोणी पेनायुदाइती । राजपलेषु राजिन्द्र ताविडे कमणाः न्यू छ ।। ३१ पडेतान् पुरुषो अद्याद्वियां नावनिवार्षदे । पप्रवसारनापायमगपीताल्वलिकम् ।। ३३ परणितार्र राजान जाया जा प्रिवगदिनीम् । वामकार्म च वोपार्थ मनकार्म च जापितम् ।। ३५ XII, 87 (==86 Kumbakonam), u cited here is the author of a work on politice called ar

The Manu cited here is the author of a work on politics called evidently Rajadharms. The work which was probably of a school is quoted by Kautilys as the Manavar.

TAXATION

another servant-master instead. This was a natural corollary to the theory of taxation and the king's legal position.

§ 339. The canons of taxation settled by constitutional Canons of taxawriters agree with the telos for which Hindu state was created, namely, 'for prosperity, land-culture, wealth and well-being' (§ 227).

The main-stay of revenue was the king's fixed bhāga or the 'share' of produce of agriculture. His 'share' in merchandise sold in the market was 'one-tenth' or so according to circumstances¹. There were however some other sources of revenue which are at present called excise and customs (sulka). In the regulation of these the king had a somewhat free hand. The later law-books attempted even to regulate these by fixed laws. Yet they could not exhaust the list and a greedy or needy sovereign could find some loop-hole. The Nandas are accused to have taxed hides or furs. Evidently these articles had not been taxed before. There was a vast trade in skins or furs between the Magadha Empire and the Himalayan countries as the Artha-Sästra proves.* These imports when taxed by the predecessors of Chandragupta gave rise to accusations of greed. Evidently it was with reference to such occasions and opportunities and the realization of the bhaga in general that canons of taxaion were evolved and settled.

The general principles of Hindu taxation are:

- (1) In taxation the king should not by greediness destroy
 - 'his own foundations as well as those of others.'
- (2) Subjects may be taxed in a way that they may remain strong to bear future burdens and, if necessary, heavier ones. "If the calf is permitted to suck "it grows strong, O Bhārata, and can bear (heavy weight) and pain." The king should milch taxes

 ⁶ Cf. Manu, VII. 130-132; Gautama, X. 24-27; Vasishtha, XIX 26-27; Äpastamba,
 ¹⁶ 10, 26, 9; Vishņu, III. 22-25; Baudhāyana, 1. 10. 18. 1.
 ¹⁷ XI. 2.
 ¹⁸ Mahā-Bhārata, XII. 87, 18;

नीषित्यावामानी सूचे परिवी पापि दखवा ।

keeping the above principle in view. Over-milching is to weaken the calf and consequently harms the milcher himself.¹

- (3) It is not the heavily-taxed realm which executes great deeds but the moderately taxed one whose ruler not sacrificing the power of defence, manages administration economically.^a The subjects oppose that king who is extravagant in administration ('eating too much').^a
- (4) The great principle emphasised is that taxation should be such that it may not be felt by the subject. The ruler should act like a bee which ... collects honey without causing pain to the plant.⁴
- (5) In raising taxes higher it should be done 'little by little when the realm's prosperity is increasing'. The process must be mild so that the realm might not turn restive.*

On collection of taxes:

(6) Taxes should be levied "in proper place", in

1 Ibid., 87. 20-21 :

वस्तीपमें न दोन्धव्यं राष्ट्रमचीचतुदिना । सतो वस्तो जातवसः पीडां सडति मारस ॥ म कर्म कुरते वस्तो चत्रं दुग्यो दुधिडिर । राष्ट्रमधतिदुग्धं डि म कर्म कुरते मडन् ॥

* Ibid., 41. 22 :

बी राष्ट्रमनुरुष्ठाति परिरण्डम् सर्व हपः । संजादमुपजीवन्छ समते सुमइन्छवम् ॥

• Ibid., 87. 19 :

গ্রহিখন্যি ঘরিজ্ঞার্য রাঙ্গালনবিজ্ঞাবৈদন্।

"Kating" (klad) is a technical term for taxation even in the Brähmans period

* MBh, XII o. 88. 4 :

े मधुदी इं दुईद्राइ' अमरा दब पादपम्।

Ibid., C. 88. 7-8 :

भक्तेनास देवैन वर्षमान प्रदापवेत् । वदो सूबसदो सूवः सनइडिं बमापरेत् । सनदत्तिर्व दमानि प्रवडार विवर्षवेत् । बहुपूर्व प्रबद्वे न पात्रान्ववद्वारवेत् ॥ proper time" and "in proper form" They should never be realised by a painful mode—

"milch the cow but do not bore the udders."

On taxing industries:

- (7) 'Taxes should not be levied without determining the outturn and the amount of labour necessary for its production's It has to be borne in mind that without proper incentive no body would engage in industry⁴. 'What profit (result of production) would keep the producer to the production and produce benefit to the king as well' should be the ruling consideration in deciding upon the amount of taxes to be levied on industries.⁵
- (8) In productions of art—materials used, cost incurred, maintenance of the artist required for producing the article, and the condition of the artist have to be taken into account.⁶

1 Ibid., O. 38, 12., न वाखाने ना वाकाबे करकिमो निपासमेत्। খাগুদুন্দ্ৰীৰ ভালনি ৰমাৰাজ ৰমাৰিখি ।। C. 88. 4 वत्यापेची दुझेव बनाव न विमुद्देत् ॥ # Ibid., C. 87, 16; M. N. Dutt's translation. फर्ब बर्म व रंप्रेच्य ततः वर्षे प्रवल्पयेत् ।] * Ibid. ; यसं समें च निर्देत न च वित्रंप्रकते हे ।। * Manu, VII, 129; बबा यथेन पुज्बे व राजा बक्तां च बमैचान । तवा वैत्त्व पयी राष्ट्रे बल्बवेत सततं बरान ॥ बदा राजा च बक्तां च सातां बर्भाव भाविनी । चंवेत्य य तथा राजा प्रवेवाः शततं घराः ॥ * M Bb. XTL 37 : * Ibid., 14. जयत्रि दामहत्ति च जिल्द च प्रे स्य चासकत् । विस्त प्रति करामेथे प्रित्मिनः प्रति कारवेत् ॥

In taxing imports:

- (9) 'Sale (price realised), purchase (capital employed), distance travelled, cost of importing, and the total cost, also the risks incurred by the merchant should be fully considered'¹
- (10) "Imports harmful to the state" and "luxuries" ("fruitless") are be discouraged by taxation."
 - (11) Beneficial imports should be made free of import duties^a
 - (12) Those articles which are rare in the country, and those which would be seed for future production should be allowed in, free.⁴
- (13) Certain commodities should not be exported, while their imports are to be encouraged by not being taxed at all. They were, for instance:
 - (a) we apons and armours,
 - (b) metals,
 - (c) military vehicles,
 - (d) rare things,
 - (e) grains, and
 - (f) cattle."
 - (14) Principle of excise or countervailing duty was resorted to in certain cases.

Foreign favourites and private manufactures in wines and liquors were taxed on the principle

Ibid., 13=Manu, VII. 127 ;

- विश्वयं स्वतम्पानं मर्श्वं च वपुरिव्ययम् ।
- बोनचेमं च बंग्नेच्य बविक्स कारवेत् करान् ॥

Artha-Sastra, II, 21; (p. 112),

- 🚽 राष्ट्र गोणावरं माण्डिण्डिण्डादप्तवं व वत्।
- गडोववारशुष्टुस्वं दुर्वादीमं तु दुर्वनम् ॥

_ *** *** * Ibid.

भे अस्त-वर्स-कवय-कोइ-रव-रव भाग-पर्गाननधमननिर्वाक्षम् etc., Artha-Sisten, IL 21; 89 (p. 111)

of 'compensation' with reference to the state manufactures.¹

E conomic considerations are everywhere prominent. Productive power is not to be hampered; profit and not capital is to be taxed; articles which lead to new industries are to be encouraged; exports which cause "artificial" prosperity by driving up prices are to be discouraged; no special protection is granted to ordinary industries; taxes should be gradual, and with reference to capacity, and not in an obnoxious form.

V

चरात्रपण्डाः पद्य च मतं इन्द्रं दगुः । सुरकानदकारिष्ट-अधुफवास्त्रास्त्रवीचूनां च । चड्डद विक्तयं व्यास्त्री द्वाला मानडिरप्छयो: । वया वैधरचं कुर्घाटुचितं चानुवर्तयन् ।।

¹ Ibid , 11, 25 : (p. 121),

CHAPTER XXXIV

Economics in Government and Theory of Ownership in Land

§ 340. Measures are enjoined in the chapters on taxation for the suppression of certain classes of persons who are regarded as economic enemies. Public women, gambling establish-

Economic nomice nomice nomice nomice nomice ments and gamblers, theatrical, and similar parasites were to be controlled,¹ beggars and burglers to be eradicated,² and usurers to be discouraged.³ Agriculturalists were especially to be protected from the parasites.⁴

Monks and Monasteries were regarded as another economic evil.

"No wanderers other than men who have reached the hermit "stage, no (sampha) corporate community—[i.e., the Buddhist "Samgha and the like,] other than that of the Sajātas [village "people], no union formed on mutual compact other than those "of merchants, shall be allowed to establish themselves in the "country. Nor shall there be Halls [religious], rest-houses and "Vihāras" (Artha-Sāstra)." Men leaving society and becoming monks before they had provided for their family were similarly treated. They were arrested and punished. Only those who had passed the age of manhood were allowed to become monks under the orthodox administration."

* Ibid., 17; 24.

• MBh., XII. 88, 26.

प • गटनवेन-वादन-वाद द- गन् औ वन-मुत्री खवा वा म कर्मविद्यं मुद्रु: Arthe-Sarten, IL I, (p. 48).

⁵ वानेम्ग्रादयः प्रवितमानः संवातादयः सङ्खामुखावसादयव्यभवानुवयो दा मास अवपद-सुपनिवेषेत व च ववारामविद्यापार्थः सावाद्यः II. I, p. 48. Compare the Bajdia in coronation coromonics, § 232.

• पुपदारमतविविधास इस तदः पूर्वेखाए अहदा: ; दिनवं च प्रताशमय: (also those who made women name were punished with the highest oriminal amercement).

पश्चम्। शा बेदावा प्रमेखान् । चन्या निवमेत । 48., p. 48.

Law Sützas also by down restrictions on leaving one's home.

¹ MBL, XII. 88, 14-17.

§341. In the art of government the first lesson taught to the ruler was that on economics ^{Economics in} depend government and freedom of the country.

(a) "क्रवि पाग्रपाक्ये वणिच्या च वार्ता।....

तया खपचं परपर्च च वगीकरोति कोग्रदण्डाभ्याम्"।

AŚ., p. 3.

Again,

(b) "चर्यानयौँ वार्तायाम्"
 (c) "वार्तया धार्यते सर्वम्"
 वार्ता वे सोकसंचया "
 (d) "वार्ता वे सोक संचया "

(a) "Agriculture, cattle-culture, and commerce and trade "comprise the [Science $(vidy\tilde{a})$ of] Värtä. Success in that "leads through Exchequer and military power to the control of "one's own state as well as one's enemies'."

(b) पर्यानयों पार्तायाम् (Artha-Sāstra) (II, p. 7). "In Economics lies politics as well as the reverse of politics."

(c) चार्तया धार्यते सर्वम् (Mahā-Bhārata, Vana Parvan I. 50): "By economics the whole [body politic] is held."

(d) चाता वे सोकसंत्रया (Kāmandaka, IV. 27): "Vārtā is the shelter of society."

Therefore Rulers had to give their best thoughts to Economics. To maintain an economic government was part of their duty. In fact it came first in the division of their duty; and this position is quite in conformity with the coronation declaration:

'This state to thee (is given) for agriculture, for well-being, for prosperity, for growth' (§ 227).

TAXATION

The word 'palana' which is employed in classical literature to denote the duty of the king combines the two elements: 'seeing to the growth' and 'protection in general.' The second is a corollary from the first which alone is found in the Vedic formula. Hence policy was directed to keep up and develop the $V\bar{a}rt\bar{a}$ or National Economy.

§ 342. To secure the economic prosperity of the country Policy towards the the mercantile class were treated mercantile element of population with particular attention and solicitude.

- Again, "the king should always honour those who have earned wealth. He should give them feasts, drinks, and robes of honour. In every realm the wealthy form an estate (*anga*—limb)"⁹
- * वयससुपयोक्रम् पर्व गोनिषु सारत । प्रसादवन्ति राष्ट्र' च व्यवहार इपि तथा ॥ १८ तखाडोनिषु वये व प्रीति इवीधिषच्या दयायायप्रसच्च करान् र्वत्रचन्युप्र्न् ॥ १८

.

ৰশ্পক: বহৰ্ম দিবিৰুগলবি ব্ৰথিচিং। --- MBh., XII, 87. 29-40.

* *15id.*, 68. 29-30,

🗸 - धनिनः पूचवेदिन' पानाखादनमोजनैः 🖡 🖕 चङ्गरेरवाइट्राम्' धनिनी गाव धारते।

ECONOMICS IN GOVERNMENT

÷

§ 343 The State at times worked large industries They were worked by State departments. Both the Artha-Sästra and the Mänava-dharma-Sästra have departments of $\overline{A} k a r a$ and $k a r m \overline{a} n t a$, mining and manufacturies. From them the State gained first-hand industrial experience for its economic administration, and at the same time the income of the State was greatly enhanced. It saved the statesmen, partially at any rate, from the necessity of 'begging' 'affection' tax from the people for war preparations and cognate purposes.

§344. Hindu politicians disliked direct Indirect taxation the taxation. They had practically no direct tax in their system except the key note of the policy produce-tax. Even the produce-tax in its last analysis was an indirect tax. Import duties which were exclusively 'indirect' in their incidence were the next great tax after the produce tax. Export duties were few and they were more of administrative than revenue levies. Generally those articles which were not encouraged to go out were taxed as exports, The next great source of revenue was the mining industry. The State under Chandragupta and earlier generally nationalized the industry. But in the Manava Code (VIII. 39) . mining is open to the public. The tax Migee demanded, however, is still heavy. A tax of 50°/, is laid down, on the principle that mine is like treasure trove which belongs as much to the State as to the person who finds it. A tax for protection, as in any other case, is deemed but lawful, for the king is the protector of both the upper and sub-soil (adhi-pati, 'bhumeradhipatir hi sah.' Manu, VIII. 39). Medhātithi in explaining the law of the Mänava Code says that although no one knows what is there in the land and the government has to do very little guarding there yet as there is a likelihood of the whole land being taken away by a strong enemy,

the king is entitled to his 'share' for this constructive protection.¹

§345. This leads us on to the important Hindu theory on the proprietorship in land, Hindu theory on proprietorship in land which is connected with taxation. It is not so connected in Hindu politics in the slightest degree but in the controversy of the present day raised by Indianist politicians and scholars Some of these writers have confidently asserted that property in the soil, according to the Hindu view, always vested in the Hindu sovereign. The fact on the other hand is that this is exactly the reverse of the Hindu theory on the subject. The writers unconsciously have read their own feudal law into Hindu jurisprodence. Nothing is so distant from Hindu law as this theory. Any one who is conversant with the general tendency of the principles of Hindu law in constitutional matters would not believe his eyes even if he be given a Sloka in support of the feudal theory. Numerous instances of gifts and sales of land by private individuals can be given from the earliest literature. Law books give provisions for sale of land and for acquirement of proprietory right (svamya) by prescription. Inscriptions' proving to the hilt private property in the soil are extant Above all it is expressly and emphatically declared that the king has no property in the soil and this is declared in no less an authority than the very logic of Hindu law, MImamsa I give below the discussion from Colebrooke's essay on Minamal

"A question of considerable interest, as involving the "important one concerning property in the "soil in India, is discussed in the sixth lecture.

^a E.g., I. A., 1910, p. 199.

^{*} Bühler (S. B. E., X.X.V., p. 260, n.). gives a mutilated passage of Medhatithi and makes him my what he does not mean. The real portion is left out. Wathfustifia aufu funt forman antiseguman unaflatairegant aufu an euranyst: simel antise euran utani unafation antise i

"At certain sacrifices such as that which is called Viswajit, "the votary for whose benefit the ceremony is performed is "enjoined to bestow all his property on the officiating "priests. It is asked whether a paramount sovereign shall "give all the land, including pasture ground, highways "and the sites of lakes and ponds? an universal monarch the "whole earth? and a subordinate prince the entire province "over which he rules ? To that question the answer is : The "monarch has not property in the earth, nor the subordinate "prince in the land. By conquest kingly power is obtained, "and property in house and field which belonged to the enemy. "The maxim of the law, that 'the king is the lord of all "excepting sacerdotal wealth,' concerns his authority for "correction of the wicked and protection of the good. His "kingly power is for government of the realm and extirpation "of wrongs; and for that purpose be receives taxes from "husbandmen, and levies fines from offenders. But right of "property is not thereby vested in him; else he would have "property in house and land appertaining to the subjects "abiding in his dominious The earth is not the king's, but "is common to all beings enjoying the fruit of their own labour. "It belongs, says Jaimini, to all alike;" "therefore, although a gift of a piece of ground to an "individual does take place, the whole land cannot be given "by a monarch, nor a province by a subordinate prince, but "house and field acquired by purchase and similar means, "are liable to gift" *

^{*} The text referred to by Colebrooke's authority is स स्मि: स्यान् सन्धविष्ठरत्वान् 6.7.3.

The previous discussion is as to what a man legally gives when he gives 'all his' (\vec{n}) . The literal meaning of this aphorism is 'Land [of a country] is not transferred [by a king], for it equally belongs to all '

[•] Mis. Essays, I., pp. 320-321. The comment of Sabara who is the greatest authority on Minäinsä agrees with the discussion summarised above by Colebrooks. S'abara on Jaimini, 6. 7. 3, has :--

The very discussion of MImEmsE proves, for it presupposes, the existence of private property in land. Such private property was deemed inviolable. All possible pretensions by the Crown to such right was denied in the clearest possible terms. And this was quite in consonance with the spirit of Hindu Law which held even the gods subject to law and prescribed punishment for the sovereign, if he was arbitrary.

§ 346. The Hindu lawyer Nilakantha carries the Conquest and land • discussion further and examines the right of the conqueror in these words:

एवं चवियादेर्जयादिरिति तु युक्तम् ॥ जयेऽपि जितस्य यत ग्राइफेत-द्रव्यादी सलमामोत्तत्रेव जेतुरम्युत्पदाते ॥ जितस्य करग्राहितायां तु जेतुरपि सेव न सल्वम् । भतएव सार्वभीमेन सम्पूर्णा प्रव्यो माण्डलिकेन च मण्डसं म देयमित्युक्तं घष्ठे ॥ सम्पूर्णप्रव्योमण्डलस्य तत्तदुग्रामचेतादी स्रत्वं तु तत्तक्रीमिकादोनामेव राज्ञां तु करप्रइणमात्रम् ॥ भतएवेदानीन्तनपारिमाविया चेत्रदानादी न भूदानसिहिः किन्तुइत्तिकष्यनमात्रमेव ॥ भौमिकेथाः क्रौते तु ग्राइवेत्रादी स्रत्वमायस्येव ॥

'Similarly conquest and the other (modes) are (available) "for a ruler (kshatriya) and the others. On conquest "the ownership of the conqueror arises "only in respect of the houses, lands, and "personalty, etc., of the ruler conquered. "Where the latter had a right to taking

चनैव बर्मदाने संत्रयः। विं मूमि देवा न इति : का पुन भूंमिः चपासिनेता। वदतम्ध्यारम इत्या नर इचिती-गोववं न चेनमाचं मतिका वा। तत किं प्राप्तन्। चनिगेषाइ वा प्रमुखक्वम्भे न हि तक स्वष्ट वर्गते प्रस्तते च मानसेन म्यापारिच स्तता निर्वेच वितृम् । इति । एवं प्राप्ते वृत्तः न वृत्तिंदेवा इति । कुतः । चेत्राचान् इंग्रितारो मनुव्धा टक्कमे न क्रन्यस्य प्राचनौगेषवन्द्र इति । जाइ व इदानों कार्यनौन: स तर्हि ग्रनः । कुतः । वावता मीनिन सार्य्यनी मे क्रन्यस्य प्राचनौगेषवन्द्र इति । जाइ व इदानों कार्यनौन: स तर्हि ग्रनः । कुतः । वावता मीनिन सार्य्यनौनो भूमेरीटी तावता चनीति न तत्व कविदिदेवः सार्वमौन देखा ले तदचिवं वन् चसी प्राच्या स्वभू तानां प्रोप्ताने रचवेन निविष्टस्य बसचित् सावस इंटी न सूने: तविदिष्टाच वे जनुष्या: तैरवंत् सर्वप्राण्डनाम् धारचनिक्रम्धाहि वन् मुसिकृतं तवेत्रितं गति न कसिहित्रेचः । तवाला न मूमिर्देवा । "taxes, the conqueror acquires that "inuch right, and no ownership. Hence 'by "'an emperor the whole country and by a provincial ruler the "'province is not a deya (a 'subject of gift')' is laid down in "Book VI (of the P. Mīmāmsa) Proprietory right in the whole "land with regard to villages and lands etc., lies in their "respective land-lords etc. The king's right is "limited to the collection of tax there-"from. Therefore what is technically called at present 'gift "of land' etc. (by the king) does not mean giving away of "land, but a mere creation of allowance. If house, land "etc., are bought from the owner (by the "king) proprietorship indeed can arise." 1

§ 347. The Prime Minister Mādhava whose Mādhava name in legal literature occupies the next place after Vijñāneśvara, is entitled to profound respect in what he may have to say on questions of Hindu-law. He discusses the very point in these words :

> देया न वा मङाभूमिः खत्वाद्राजा ददातु ताम्। पालनस्येव राज्यत्वाय खभूर्दीयते न सा ॥

यदा सार्वभीमो राजा विम्बजिदादी सर्वस्वं ददाति तदा गोपयराजमार्ग-जलाग्रयायन्विता महाभूमिस्तेन दातव्या। कुतः भूमेस्तदौयधनत्वात् राजा सर्इस्टे हे ब्राह्मणवर्जीमति स्मृते:। इति प्राप्ते—

त्रूम: । दुष्टग्रिचाग्रिष्टपरिपालनाभ्यां राच्च ईग्रिटत्वं च्यूत्यभिष्रेतमिति न राच्चो भूगिर्धनम् । किन्तु तस्यां भूमौ खकर्भफलं भुज्जानानां सर्वेषां प्राणिनां साधारणं धनम् । भतोऽसाधारणस्य भूखण्डस्य सत्यपि दानं मण्डाभूमेदानं नास्ति ॥

"Mahā-bhāmi (the Great Land, Public Land, cf. below 'non-"public'): is it or not an object of gift? 'King may give it away, "for he possesses it.' Land is not his property

¹ Vyarakira-mayakha [Däya Nirpaya].

^{*} Nydyamala by Mädhavächärya (Anandäsrama Sanskrit Serien', p. 358.

"because sovereignty is for protection "and growth only. Therefore it is not "given."

"Doubt may arise in case a Sarvabhauma King (Emperor) "in Visvajit and others 'gives away all he possesses.' In that "case (is) the Great Land which contains public roads, ways for "cattle, seats of water etc. to be given away? For in land "there is his wealth owing to the text of Smriti—'King may " 'wish for (the wealth of) all excepting Brahmins.'

"The reply is that the purport of the Smriti "is that King's sovereignty is for correcting "the wicked and fostering the good. "Hence land is not king's wealth. On the other hand "in that land (state land) there is the common wealth "of all living beings to enjoy the fruit of their labour. "Therefore although there can be gift of a piece of "non-public (Asādhārana) land there can be no gift of "the Great Land."

§ 348. The commentary *Bhattadīpikā* which is an accepted authority in Mimāmsā has the following exposition on the subject:

सार्वभौमस्वापि न तस्वां स्वतम्। नयस्वापि च मुवुस्वामिकधनग्ध्इचित्रदि विषय एव स्वतोत्पादकत्वात्। महाप्रवित्यां तु राज्यमात्राधिकारस्वैव जयेन. सम्पादनात् राज्य हि स्वविषयपरिपालनकण्टकोडारणरूपं तकिमित्तर्वं च तस्व कर्षकेभ्यः करादानं दरस्वेभ्यच दण्डादानं इत्येतावन्द्रात्नुम्। न त्वेतावता तस्वां स्रत्वम्। * * * परिकयादित्तव्यं ग्रङ्चेतादिवं तु देयमेव ॥

"Even a Sarvabhauma sovereign has no proprietory right in "it (Mahā prithivī = Great Land). For even conquest "produces proprietory right only in the "personal property, house, fields, etc. of "the enemy. In the Mahāprithivī (Great Land) "however, as the right is only of

¹ Bhattadipika on Parsanimamed Dariana (Mysore Edition), Vol. II. p. 317.

Kātyāyana, the lawyer, deals with the subject in these words:---

"When the king is called the *svāmin* (master) of the land and in no case of any other wealth, he only becomes entitled to receive the one-sixth share of the produce from it, not [that he is master] in any other way. The master-ship which is connected with him is due to the habitation thereof by living beings and is the one-sixth share arising-from their acts whether good or bad."

Mitramiéra commenting on this says :---

"Its meaning is [this]: king is called the Svāmin of land, not of other wealth connected with land. 'Not in any other way' is [laid down] as there is want of master-ship in land. 'Living beings' are those having life; 'habitation thereof' is habitation of the land'; master-ship that is mastership of the king. Hence he can only receive one sixth from their acts"

भूखामी तु चूती राजा नाखद्रश्वःस सर्वं दः । तत्पखर्स्त चि वड्ः भागं प्राष्ट्रवाद्रात्यघेव तु ॥ सूतानां तद्विवासित्वात् स्तामित्वं तेन कौत्तितम् । तत्कियावसिवड्मार्गं प्रभापभनिमित्तकम् ॥ इति ।

चयावं:। राजा, शुण्: स्थामी चुन:। चयद्रव्यस, भूमिसच्दइव्यस, व स्थामी। चयजा, भूभिसाम्थाभावे। भूतानां, प्राचिनाम्। तत्रिवासित्वात्, भूनिवां सत्यात्।. सामित्वं, राच इति द्वेव:। इत्यतः सन्तियावतिवद्भावं प्राप्न वात् । VMR, p. 271.

§ 349. This is the traditional view of the law; this is the view of Mināmeā whose verdict in Hindu The view of law and Minim-å in law is final. This is in complete agreement agreement with constitutional theory with the view of the constitutional writers who have decided that the king is a mere servant getting his wages in taxes. The servant cannot claim the very property as his, to guard which he is appointed and for guarding which he gets his salary. This unanimous view of law and constitution on king's position was not confined to books. It was the common and accepted opinion in the country-so common and accepted that it had become current coin even in the domain of folk-lore. The Jataka, which Jätaka we shall quote verbatim below in the next chapter, says [and there it is the speech of a king], that authority is limited the king's to magisterial duty, that he had nothing more than that, and that he is not the lord of the kingdom. It is further corroborated b▼ the coronation ceremonial which is the very basis of Hindu Kingship and which I have already analysed, for the and coronation rites reader. There is not even an atom of suggestion in that chain of formulae and symbolisms to imagine kingly proprietorship in the land of the realm. The idea is altogether foreign to the whole system.

Gupta title-deeds inscribed on copper-plates and registered at the District Officer's office, whose seals they bear, clearly prove private ownership. In certain cases the king got only the ith 'share'' as in any other sale (e.g., of moveables).

¹ I. A., 1910, pp 199-204 (Plates B. and C.). Plate A is a conveyance by the Municipal Corporation of a piece of land in town. The vendors are the president and the assembly (called Prakritis just as in Amara, § 252, p. 70 above). In this case the Emperor received "the legal it blare" (*dharma-shai bhaga*, plate A., I. A., 1910, p. 195). -

§ 350. In the face of all this we have in the repeated Indianists' editions of popular text books like Mr. Presentation of the 'Native Law on the subject vincent Smith's Early History of India confidently stated :--

"The native law of India has always recognised agricultural "land as being crown property."

"The native law of India" as laid down by its own lawyers of unquestioned and unquestionable authority is the other way. It may be the native law of any other land : it is certainly not the native law of India. It is not fair that a popular text-book should embody such a prejudiced and unwarrantable view dogmatically asserted, and asserted without taking the slightest notice of competent discussions on the subject. Wilks in his History of Mysore published as early as 1869 has exhaustively dealt with the subject on materials which were accessible to him¹ and which are accessible to Mr. Vincent Smith. Wilks shows that no foundation exists for attributing the feudal theory to Hindu Law. In the 'Introduction to Hindu Polity' the constitutional view of Hindu literature was pointed out. Professors Macdonell and Keith who cannot be accused of over-liberal sympathy to matters of Indian history have had to admit in their Vedic Index' on a survey of all the arguments and materials for the feudal theory that-

"the evidence is however inadequate "to prove what is sought; that the Greek "observers are contradictory on the point; that the evidence "adduced from the Vedic literature, and the Manava "Dharma-Sastra and the Mahā-Bhārata does not prove the "theory; that the evidence so far as it goes of other Aryan "peoples does not support the theory of original kingly "ownership. Such ownership did not exist so far as can be

³ Vol. I, Chapter V. pp. 65-138.

Vol. II, pp. 214-15.

"seen in Anglo-Saxon times, nor in Homeric Greece, nor "at Rome." The learned authors, however coolly pass by Jaimini, totally ignoring him, although they go to South Africa to find analogy for Vedic India !"

§ 351. While in the second edition (p. 129) no authority was suggested by Mr. Vincent Smith, in the Artha-S'ästra Commentary's S'loka last edition (1914, p. 131n) from the translation of the Artha-Sastra, Bk. II. Ch. 24 (p. 144), he has quoted a passage given by the translator 'These who are 'well-versed in the Sastras admit that the king is the owner 'of both land and water, and that the people can exercise 'their right of ownership over all other things excepting these 'two.' The passage purports to be the translation of a "couplet of far-reaching political significance" quoted by a commentator of the Artha-Sāstra. I have obtained a copy of the manuscript of the commentary which is at the Oriental Government Library, Madras, made under the supervision of the Librarian, through the kind agency of Prof. Krishna Swami Aiyangar. The original couplet reads as follows :---

राजा भूमे: पतिईष्ट: शास्त्रप्रे स्टकस्व 'च। ताभ्यामन्यत् यद्रव्यं तत् साम्यं कुटुस्विनाम् ॥

A mere reading of this śloka will satisfy those who are familiar with Hindu law that the translation is not what the Śloka says. The natural, unforced meaning of the śloka is :---"The king is the protector (*pati*); according to the "opinion of the learned in the Śāstras, of the *bhāmi* (land) "and water. Excepting these two whatever property there "may be, his family members have sameness of right therein."

Macdonell and Keith, Vedic Index, Vol 11, pp. 214-15. The authors also say is not denied that gradually the king came to be vaguely conceived—as the "English king still is—as lord of all the land in a proprietorial sense." The authority for this statement is nil, except 'as the English king still is' which is the basis, the original sin, of the theory. The relic of the theory still lingers vaguely.

¹⁸²

In effect it is the theory of Mīmāmsā and, the law and constitution retold. It is retold in connexion with the rights of the family of a ruler. A kingdom is not partible, because according to the Śāstras it is not the property of the king. As the Śāstrakāras have said, bhāmi 'with seats of water' upon "it belongs to the king, only in as much as he has to protect it and no more." He is only its Protector. Hence of his family members (kutumbinām) there is no copercenary therein. As protector he takes taxes, and as he is the protector of the bhāmi 'with seats of water' on it, he is entitled to derive taxes both from land and water. His family members have nothing to do with these taxes or with the sources of these taxes

To attribute the sense of the translation cited to the sloka is little short of sacrilege. And to attribute the sense to the commentator of the Artha-Sāstra is to attribute madness to a Hindu writer of Hindu times. Any one in whose veins ran the culture and tradition of "the Sāstras" could never mean in sound mind what has been fathered on the author of the Śloka.¹

¹ His name and date are not known.

CHAPTER XXXV

Position of the Hindu King

§ 352. To recapitulate the position of the Hindu king.

The king had his civil list defined which was called his 'salary' and which had to be fixed with reference to the income of the State and the position of the Monarch and Country.¹ The whole revenue was not his salary. Salaries of the Queen and junior queens, of Queen Dowager and Princes and other members of the royal family were also fixed.

§ 353 He had no jurisdiction over individual subjects. King mester He was called master, but it was settled law of no subject which people knew like the daily appearance of the sun that he was master of no subject except a criminal. The Jātaka which does not deal in philosophy or ideals but facts and every-day life, puts it in these words in reply to the demand of a beautiful wife who was desirous of absolute mastery over subjects:

"My good lady, to me the inhabitants of the "whole realm are no bodies; I am not their "master (*i.e.*, they are their own masters). I am "master only of those who offend against the "ruler's (laws) and do what is unlawful. For this reason, I

¹ Artha-S'astra, Bk. V. ch. 2 ; 91 (p. 245)-

दुर्गजनपदद्वका अत्यवर्मसमुदयवादन स्वापधेत्। कार्यसावनसहन वा अत्यवालेन व्ररीशमवेषेत। न धर्माची पीडवित्। N. B.--The term bhrity's includes the king.

समःनविद्येमस्तिगुणवे वनी राजा। (p. 246).

"an unable to give you mastery and rule over the whole "realm."

§ 354. With this defined position, shackled with the King constitutionally checks and limitations we have noticed, & servant subjugated under the great constitutional power of the Paura-Janapada, with a conscience trained to be prone to listen to the public voice, his position really was that of the Servant of State, or rather as our forefathers put it mercilessly, of a drudging 'slave' (dāsya). The epic exhibits as an ideal that a king should even give up his dear wife if asked to do so by his subjects : a popular and somewhat crude way of expressing the king's position, but all the same enshrining the radical demand of Hindu constitution from its king to sink his individuality into his office. With such principles the king was virtually a constitutional slave. Even the Hindu Hobbes, the great monarchist Kautilya, would not allow the king to have personal likes or dislikes. "A "king has no personal likes: It is the "likes of the subjects (that should be followed "by him)." *

This lofty sense of sacrifice made the Morally master constitutional slave into the moral master: that 'one man who rules over numerous wise and brave men.' He was born, as says the Mahā-Bhārata, for the sake of others, 'like the horse or the goat.' Hindu kingship is the highest

¹ The Jataka, Vol. I, p. 398 :

भई मर्त्त सकलरहवासिना न किथि डोलि नाई तैथा सामिको ये पन राजान कोपिता चक्रतव्य' करोलि तिसम्जेवाई सामिको ति इमिना कारखेन न सङ्गा तुद्धं सकलरहे इम्सरियंच वाक्य दानु ति ।

The king had evidently a palace jurisdiction of superior nature (Vasam = 'full control)' "for the sake of convenience."

^a Artha-S'dstra, Bk. I, ch. 19; 16 (p. 39)-

🎽 🛛 भत्रासुखे सुम्बं राज्ञ: भजानाथ क्रिते कितन्।

नाकाधियं फिते राधः प्रणानां तु मिथं फितमु 8

ideal of sacrifice on the part of the individual whose privilege it was to be the king of the Hindus.

§ 355. His utility was very great. He saw to the transfer of ministers and ministry and was the co-ordinating spirit in government. Propelled by his high sense of sacrifice, high traditions and high position, morally he stood far higher than the ministers and rulers-ministers. If people found the actual governors bad, he still remained the centre of hope and reform and avoided disruption.¹ Ministers came and went, but the king remained. Even when he was powerless, he was (as Kauşilya puts it) the S y m b o l of S ta te.² He was the standard of sovereignty to rally loyalty and hold the realm together. He was, in the words of Sukra, the root of the tree of State:

"King is the root of the Tree of State; the Ministry "is its trunk, the military chiefs are branches, the army are the "leaves of the tree and the Subjects are its flowers, "prosperity of the Country its fruits, and the whole Country "the final seed.""

But for him the ministry would have tended to become an oligarchy, and the fruits and seeds for future fruits would have fallen in their hands and been misappropriated.

¹ Ibid., Bk. VIII, ch. 1; 127 (p. 320)-

 শ্বিদুৰ্ঘটি ব্ৰহ্মনগ্ৰন্থ গুৰুৰদ্বল নক বিৰ্বাগনীয়াৰ্যী দলৰ গাঁল কৰ্ত্তি প্ৰত্যি প্ৰতিষ্ঠ বাল্য বিৰ্বাগন্থৰ বিৰাজ কৰ্ত্তি।

* Ibid., Bk. V. ch. 6 ; 95, (p. 254)-

चनमाचीऽवम्

• Subranitisara, V. 12,

ব্যাহার্যন দ্ববির্দুর জনাবসনিব::

बाबा सेनाविपा: सेना: पहना: जुसुनानि च ।

प्रवा: क्लानि सूमाना नीवे मूमि: प्रकलिया :

186

Looking at his utility and at his life of superb sacrifice¹ the Hindu world well put its verdict in the mouth of Bhīshma, the representative of Hindu royalty in Hindu literature :

सर्वं धर्मपरं चातुं लोकत्रेष्ठं सनातनम्।

'Of all *dharmas* (duties) rulership is the highest in society, for all times.'

* Maha-Bharata, S'anti-Parvan, ch. LXIII. 29-

.

. . . .

খাদ্মযোগ: सर्वं भूतातुकचा
 चोकचार्ग पालनं मीचयस ।
 विवधानां सीचर्य पीडितानां
 चार्च धर्मे विद्यते पार्थिवानाम् ॥

CHAPTER XXXVI

Character of the Hindu Monarchy

§ 356. It would be evident from the above discussion of State a Trust Minismsä, from the theory of taxation, from the coronation oath and other points noticed above that the State under Monarchy in the eyes of the Hinduwisa Trust. The object of the trust is clearly stated in the Sruti text which had to be repeated at every Coronation: "This State to Thee (is giren)—Thou art the "director, regulator, firm bearer (of this responsibility)—for "(the good of) agriculture, for well being, for prosperity, for growth "(of the people), (that is) for success "1

The Trust, the State, thus created was for the prosperity of the People. It is this underlying principle which has been expressed in later literature in so many forms, culminating in the fixed maxim that the king is the servant of the people getting his wages If the object of the trust is not fulfilled, the trustee is "to be shunned like a leaky ship on the Sea."

The telos of the Hindu monarchical state brings us to the great distinguishing feature of that state. The end of the state was to secure peace and prosperity of the people.

* The White Yajurveda, IX, 22-

-B. T. H. Griffith's translation.

* Mahā-Bhārata, Stanti P. LVII, 43.

[&]quot;This is thy Sevranty. Thou art the ruler, thou art controller, thou art firm and steadfast.

[&]quot;Thee for land-culture, thee for peace and quiet, thee for wealth, thes for increase of our substance."

Sacerdotal duties were never imposed upon the king. He was never a priest even in the Vedic age. By prosperity was meant, of course, the immediate material prosperity: the state was instituted for *land-culture*, *wealth*, etc That prosperity, which was secured by a correct administration and justice, was regarded to bring about moral prosperity in its train as a corollary.

§357. Again, the Hindu Monarchical State was essentially a civil state. A civil State Standing armies appear as early as the 6th century B. C. and probably had existed before it for some centuries. At times very large armies, three quarters of a million strong, were maintained.¹ But the State never lapsed into a military polity. The governors of the provinces were civil officers. All the known orders in inscriptions are addressed to oivil functionaries The Commander-in-Chief and all other military chiefs were appointed by the Council of State where the Commander-in Chief had no place. We do not find the army making and unmaking kings All the traditions of depositions,-e.g., that of Naga-Daršaka, of Palaka or of the early Vena, relate dethronements brought about by the Citizens of the Capital and other constituents of the civil population, not by the army. The king among his several titles -Narapati (Protector of the People), Bhapati (Protector of the Country) Bhattaraka (Lord), Great King and others-has not got an epithet indicating an official military character, although his personal heroism is often extolled. He as the head of the executive was undoubtedly the head of the army, and in practice he very often led the army and fought, but that was a personal matter. There is no theory which gives him a military halo. He was not the generalissimo of the army of inherent right; that office was quite distinct from that of kingship from Vedic times (§ 211).

^{*} Eg., in the time of Chaudragupta Maurya.

Likewise, war was to be avoided as far as it was possible, and especially so, for conquest. This was more or less a settled principle of Hindu politics.¹ Militarism as a feature is everywhere absent.

§ 358. On the other hand the most pronounced feature of the Hindu monarchy, as we have seen, was the paramount position of law in the polity. We have also noticed the prominent reference to law in the coronation oath of the Mah**E**. Bhārata. The civil character of the Hindu monarchy is reflected in the stress laid on law.

§ 359. Laws of war and conquest were incorporated into Conquest and sense the Civil Law as one of its limbs. So of Justice much so that the question of conquest was often discussed from the point of view of municipal law, the standard being the standard of the morality of law. If a state was conquered its government was to be re-entrusted into the hands of the old ruling house. This was what the Mānava Dharma-Sāstra' laid down after an experience of a nearly all-India, one-king empire, extending 'from sea to sea.' from Madras to the Hindu Kush It was based on the analogy of the legal theory of 'legitimacy.' It was not a mere theory which was once preached as a pious opinion and then forgotten. It was largely followed from the 4th to the 10th century A. C. In the Allahabad pillar inscription of the great conqueror Samudra Gupta of the Imperial Gupta dynasty we find the same principle acted upon. Kälidäss records the same practice. The earliest Mahammadan writer bears witness to it. "The wars they wage with the neighbouring princes are "not usually undertaken with a view to possess themselves with "the adjoining dominions......When a prince makes himself "master of some kingdom, he confers the government upon "some person of the royal family," (851 A.C.-Account of the

² Manu, VII, 199 ; Mahā-Bhārata, 69, 23 : "Brihaspati has laid down that a wise king should always avoid war for acquisition of territory."

³ Manu, VII. 202. Other law-Codes re-iterate the same principle.

merchant Sulaiman recorded by Abu Zaid, trans. (1718) by the Abbé Renaudot). In the age of Hindu Rationalis.n which formed the palmiest days of Hindu history, the theory existed in the form noticed by the Greek writers with regard to Hindu attitude to foreign politics. Arrian drawing upon Megastheness records in his Indika (IX):

"Sense of justice, they (Hindus) say, prevented any Indian "King from attompting conquest beyond the limits of India."

§ 360. Only such a theory would explain the great fact that although Chandragupta's was "the mightiest throne then existing inthe world." (Rhys Davids) and so it remained under his two successors, and that although the Maurya emperors found their next-door neighbour, the Seleucid empire, weak and crumbling, yet no inclination was exhibited to go beyond the Hindu Kush, the natural frontier of the India of those days.

§ 361. To this civil and legal character of Hindu Polity, Longevity a result the sociological historian, I venture to think, of Civil Polity would mainly ascribe the extraordinary longevity of the Hindu State (§ 371), and the absence of a deadly conflict between the People and the Crown. V HINDU IMPERIAL SYSTEMS

CHAPTER XXXVII

Imperial Systems

§ 362. The Aitareya Brāhmaņa places after the republican
A dhipatya and group of states the monarchical group which consists of these varieties:
(1) Monarchy,¹ (2) Great (or High) Monarchy (Mahā rā/ya); (3) Over-Lordship (Adhipatya), and (4) Pan-Country Sovereignty¹ (Sārvabhauma).

The High Monarchy is not defined. But the word maha-(mahat) connotes relativity. e.g., superiority between States of same kind. Māhā-rājya probably meant a large the monarchy, larger than its neighbours, with certain constitutional features at present not known. Adhipatya in its technical sense evidently signifies an over-lordship embracing protected states; the Brähmana after the mention of the Adhipatya has "May I be (sovereign) enveloping neighbours "* Adhipatya thus seems to mean an imperial suzerainty or 'over-protection' evstem in which (A d h i p a t y a) on states outside its frontiers was exercised by the dominant state. Khāravela who had taken the consecration

^{*} The Riya is qualified with parameshinya, apparently to distinguish it as the rajya, the rajya superior, i.e. monarchy " सॉर्पा पादा मेटा मरिडा परमता नक बस्, It is also possible that Parameshinya was some sort of distinct monarchical constitution (cf पानान पादपितर परमेडिन पारमेडान, Aitareya Br. VIII. 12). I remember having seen a passage in the Maha-Bharata where some king is styled Parameshinia. The rare Sedtaity a (VIII. 12) if technical, was probably a reminiscence of the descredited 'autocracy' which the oath in the Maha-Bharata specifically excludes from Hindu kingship.

^{*} VIII. 15-

बाबान्ध' श्रीम्वं साराज्य' बेराज्य' पारमेठ'। राज्य' माहाराज्यमाधियत्वमधं समनापर्यायी स्वान

^{*} Ibid., समन पर्यायी साम्

of Maharajya, was described obviously in consequence of his conquests and rajasūya, as Adhipati and Chakravartin,1 The wish to be a Sarva bhau mais expressed to become "(the sole) monarch of the land up to its (natural) frontiers, up to the sea, over all human beings."? This is a variety of large monarchy which is based on territory as opposed to nationality (e.g., Jānarājya of the Šatapatha Brāhmaņa). It however claims the whole area (sarva-bhūmi) within natural boundaries, the country with "natural frontiers." The "natural frontiers" notion is expressed by Kautilya in his chaturanta sovereignty ('an empire up to the four limits')' and he defines it to be the imperial field as lying between Cape Comorin and the Himalayas, ie, the whole of India.⁴ The ideal of one-king empire extending up to the seas probably arose in Magadha from where the field for conquest lay open up to the Bay of Bengal. Its non-Aryan population, unlike the Aryan Janas or nations of the Doab, was no moral barrier to the Hindu imperialist.

We have thus two main systems : one is the Suzerainty or *Adhipatya* system and the other is the Pan-Country Monarchy or the Sārvabhauma.⁶ The latter system was extended and applied even to the Aryan India by the kings of Magadha which shocked the principle of *Janarājya*. Hindu historiáns denounced the "one-king," "one-umbrella" sovereignty of Mahā-Padma established over the ashes of the houses of Vedic antiquity⁶ § 363).

¹ Jayaswal, J. B O R S, 111. 434, 459; IV. 376, 896.

* Aitareya Br., VIII. 18-

सार्व नीन: सर्वायुव आत्वादाधरावांत् प्रावची सनुद्रपर्वनाचा रवराट्

* Artha-S'astra, 111. 1. 58, p. 159.

4 Ibid., IX. 1, p. 338.

* The Sdreabhauma does not relate to the 'whole Earth.' Bee bhumi - country (§ 351); Prithioi-country. 'deta,' according to Artha- astra, p. 338.

* Soo Purdan Test (Pargiter), P. 25. Jayaswal, J. BORS, I. 111.

- § 363. At the same time there was the system called the Sāmrājya. This was older than the Sāmrājya System $S\bar{a}rva$ -bhauma and also probably the $\bar{A}dhi$ patya. This is the favourite of the Vedic literature. It is significant that the Aitareya detaches it from the monarchical systems and treats it as distinct. What is still surprising is that the Brahmana places it at the head of the list of nonmonarchial systems. We can understand the reason if we analyse the system. Sam rajya indicates a "collection" of states" under one acknowledged super-state. Ĩn modern phraseology it was a Federal Imperial system. The federal character distinguished it from the 'one-king' system. According to the Aitareya Brahmana the rulers in the Prachi (East) took the consecration of Sämräjya, i.e., the Prächi or Magadha had become the imperial seat. The Sukla Yajurveda knows the system as prevalent in another part of India (West.xv.12) Prāchī was under the house of Jarāsandha, called in history after his ancestor Brihadratha. The Mahā-Bhārata says that the position of Samrät was acquired by Jarāsandha. Jarāsandha was the President or Samrät of the Federal Organisation and Sidupala, king of the Chedis, was the common generalissimo. In this detail we detect an inter-state basis of originally free nature In the first book of the Mahā-Bhārata we actually find a free election of an emperor by a collection of kings and his consecration to that position.¹ The datum of the Sabhā-Parvan also implies that the system had been introduced by the rulers for self.protection,^{*} but that Jarāsandha had abused it and reduced other sovereigns to slavery.

In view of our interpretation we can easily imagine how a small king like Janaka of Videha had obtained the position of

Subha-Parpan, Ch. XIX.

^{*} Cf. Adi-Parran, Ch. 100,7.

Samrāt.¹ Any outstanding personality could be elected leader of the organisation. It seems that owing to the character of the ' organisation the Aitareya classed it with the popular constitutions.

§ 364. The system which won the day in the post-Bribadratha* period Was the strvm Later history bhauma. The system began to take of Monarobical Imperialism shape about 700 B.C. when the national states began to give way (§ 247). The old dynastics. come down from Vedic times, began to dwindle. In the next century, large, non-national monarchies greatly developed. There were about three of these One, but not yet the foremost, had its seat in Magadha, another in Kossla and the third Competition follows between the three and in Avantī." Magadha finally wina under Nanda-Vardhana.4 A permanent Sarvabhauma is set up about 150 B.O. A century later, the old traditional houses are finally wiped off the tablet of history by the Sadra Emperor of Magadha (§ 362). Literally a 'one-umbrella' empire covering the whole of Northern India except the Punjab was erected: Hindu historians marked it as the beginning of a New Period.

In 600-450 B.C. people began, as it were, to question "Why should the old dynasties be allowed to live on ?" And the two first cases of usurpation were enacted—in AvantI and in ' Magadha A political thinker actually formulated a theory of usurpation against degenerate houses." The old

³ Cf. Bhäradväja, quoted and refuted by Kautilya in Artha- ästra, V. S. 95, pp. 253-4 Kautilya points out the immorality, the fact that the ministers do really rule, and above all the great danger of punishment by the people.

BhEradväja was a ruthless writer. Kaņika was his personal name. He preached, according to the Mabä-Bhärata, in Western India, to a Sauvīra king. Govinde-rāja, Rāmāyaņa A.K., 100, 39, calls his a 'crooked policy.'

¹ See ante (II.) p. 4, # 3.

^{*} C. 700 B.C. Jayaswal, J. B OR S, IV. p. 26.

^{*} First under the Vilihoiras and then the Pradyolas.

⁴ Jayaswal, J. BORS, 187, 107.

dynasties seem to have outlived themselves and ceased to perform their function.

§ 365. This type of Hindu Imperialism was also known as "Chakravartin-system" It has reference Chakzavartin. to 'the arena where the imperial wheel moved unobstructed' The basic idea again is territory. In the place of the old 'up-to-ocean' limit it substituted a new definition-from Cape Comorin to Kashmir.¹ The Chakravartin ideal had been in the air certainly as early as 570 BC. and presumably earlier. The Buddha took it from the phraseology of politics and proclaimed the foundation of the Empire of his Religion (Dharma Chakra). 'Conquest', 'Conquest,' 'nothing but Conquest,' and to create on eness by that Conquest, was the breath of the eastern Hindus in 600-500 B. C. The Buddha claimed to be a Chakravartin-emperor and the Mahāvīra, another great teacher, claimed to be the Conqueror (Jina) of his time. As in the Moghul period the air was surcharged with the idea of founding Badshahis both in religion and in politics, so some two thousand years before people thought nothing but of unifying the continent by conquest in religion and in politics.

The idea of unity was the only element therein which would find approval of the historian. Apart from that element, there was nothing in the system to commend itself to the experience of the country. The system, meant development of a tremendous power, but it was a power attained under intoxication The after-effect was sinking into exhaustion. It never became an approved system. The law books and political thinkers went back to the ideals of the Federal

³ Artha-Slistra, p. 836 :— देश: पुषिषी । तथा फिमयकमुद्राजरमुदीचीन श्रोजन-सडय-घरिषाच मतिर्वेष चल्रवर्तिचेत्रम् 'Country is the whole land (i.e., India). In it from the Himalaya up to the Ocean-straight north, 1000 yojanas in measure, is the Chakravartin-arena.'

System and the Adhipatys. They preached that individual states had a right to live.¹

§ 366. The great feature of the Magadha imperialism was its centralization. Justice had become royal, even law tended to be royal.³ The village came under the royal officer. All ships were owned and let by the state. Virtues alone did not come under the focus of the Crown, also vices were brought under the imperial vigilance. Prostitutes were placed under a royal department, gambling was centralised in government buildings or buildings licensed by government, hotels and wine shops were put under an imperial department. Mines were monopolised or, to quote the old phrase, brought under one outlet (ekamukha). Some of these measures were beneficial, others injurious.

Centralisation was against the genius of the race. The Buddha founded his empire, but the machinery of that empire was self-government, and it flourished. On the other hand under the Magadhan machinery, the soul of the country was chained round the imperial standard, and it failed.

§ 367. The system which was tried next was a compro-An imperial system of compromise mise. The Gupta system tolerated a few substates under it, but in reality it was neither a Federalism nor the inferior type, the pure Adhipatya. In essentials it remained a large monarchy. A real federalism with something like equal treatment to other states remained to be revived.

§ 368. For our purposes of constitutional study the second^{*} and the third^{*} empires are but mere monarchies. The power

- * Artha-Sastra, p. 150. पर्यंत अवडारत परिष राजमायनम् (
- * Of the Guptas.
- ⁴ That under Harsha, the Maukharis, and others.

¹ Vishpu, III. 47-58 राजा परपुरानाती तु तब छन्कुचीनमझिविचेत्। व राजकुचमुच्छिन्दात्. See also Manu, VII. 202.

of constitutional bodies under them must have varied to some extent according to the periods of peace and war. In periods of war and stress they must have become somewhat weak and in periods of peace they would have grown powerful. The popular forms, at any rate, still lived.

CHAPTER XXXVIII

Revival of Hinda Polity

§ 369. The period after 700 A. C. is a period of darkness and disruption. Popular institutions decayed and Hindu traditions dwindled. The causes, as observed above, are yet to be investigated.

§ 370. But when there was a Hindu revival in the time of Śivāji and the Sikhs, the Sikhs as a polity failed. They failed because they could not connect themselves with the Past. They followed a system which prevailed around them and established a polity of one-man's rule ; Guru Govinda wanted to remedy it but the attempt brought about 'no man's rule.' It was the "Padshahi," the Moghul form, in success and in defeat. in rise and in fall. But the movement in the Maratha country had a different history. There they looked into past history and drew up a constitution and founded a polity on materials that were easily available to them but the materials which connected them with the Past. They consulted the Maha-Bhārata and the Sukranīti and found that king should reign but not rule, that government should be vested in a Ministry of eight ministers. And they founded the Ashtapradhāna.¹ They searched out technical terms from political literature of the country and drew up a Rajakośa or Book of State Terms. Yet the system tried was only one portion of Hindu polity, one half of the whole body. They had the Cause of failure Parishad but they had not the Paura-Jānapada. To their great credit it must however be said

³ See ante (II), p. 124, n.

that in modern times they were the first to realise that one man's rule was not allowed by the wisdom and experience , of their forefathers, that it was foreign to the genius of their Sāstras. Their limitations were the limitations of darkness and ignorance about the constitutional history of the country, a darkness which we have not yet fully removed three centuries after.

VII CONCLUSION

CHAPTER XXXIX

Conclusion

§ 371. This is a brief survey, in fact too brief a survey, of a polity which had a free career of at least thirty centuries of history'—a career longer than that of all the polities known to history. Babylon might have lived a few centuries longer, but unfortunately Babylon is no more. Against this we have India still existing, and in this respect China—another civil polity is her only parallel.

§ 372. The test of a polity is its capacity to live and develop, and its contribution to the culture and happiness of humanity. Hindu polity judged by this test will come out very successfully.

§ 373. The constitutional progress made by the Hindu has probably not been equalled, much less surpassed by any polity of antiquity. The great privilege of the Hindu at the same time is that he is not yet a fossil; he is still living with a determination which a great historian (Duncker) has characterised as a tenacity which bends but does not break. The Golden Age of his polity lies not in the Past but in the Future. His modern history begins with the 17th century when Vaishnavism preached the equality of all men, when the Sūdra, the helot, of the ancient Hindu, preached shoulder to shoulder with the Brahmin (who welcomed and encourged it), when the God of the Hindu was for the first time worshipped with hymns composed by a Muhammadan³, when Rāmadāsa declared that

¹ Coins have been found which Sir Alexander Cunningham, the most competent critic on Hindu numiematics, has placed about 1000 BC. The Puranas and Khäravela's fuscription (JBORS, 111, pp. 436-7) indicate the date of the Mahä-Bhärata to have been a. 1425 BC. Megasthenes, 310 B.C., found the Hindus counting 154 kings from the sarliest times to Chandragupta.

^{*} Rass khan's Savaiyas have been chanted since then at the evening service in Vaishpava temples. Compare with this the view of Ghalib who would bury the Hindu at Kälä and cremate the Muhammadan at Benares.

man is free and he cannot be subjected by force¹, and when the Brahmin accepted the leadership of the Südra in attempting to found a State.

§ 374. The Reformation of the Hindu has come. But a force which is greater still is also coming. This is the pagan thought, the European man-hood. What a coincidence that the race which evolved the greatest constitutional principles in antiquity should be placed to day in contact with the greatest constitutional polity of modern times. The contact is electrifying: it can either kill or rejuvenate the Race.³ Probabilities, however, are, as the historian thought,⁴ for the latter, and a Hindu would naturally hope for the latter.

§ 375. Constitutional or social advancement is not a monopoly of any particular race. I am not a believer in the cheap wisdom which preaches that political greatness is inherent in some peoples. It is a superstition which is as baseless as the Spanish superstition of the Blue Blood. There is no such thing as Blue Blood in political and constitutional evolution. Political and constitutional progress is a creation of circumstances and human forces. And even if such Blue Blood be a reality it is certainly present in the veins of the . Hindu.

"With this (tenacity) they (the Hindus) have retained a costly possession, that "inclination towards the highest intellectual attainments which runs through their whole " history. This treasure is still vigorous in the hearts of the best Indians, and appears " the more certainly to promise a brighter future."—Duncker, History of Antiquity, (1852-57) IV. ch. 10.

^{*} गरदेव वा सावीन। सबसा न हे परावीन : Basa-bodha, 1. 10. 25.

^a There is much unthinking and unreasonable mortification at times witnessed at the thought of being "conquered." But conquest is only a mode of receiving new thoughts and new life. What great modern community was not conquered ? The primitive state of England would have continued much longer but for the advent of the Danes and the Normans. Germany and Italy would have remained a European Rajputans or a Katbiawad but for the French and Austrian domination. India would have become another Siam, Ceylon or Korea but for the Muhammadan incoming.

Similarly in the Government of the State it is very probable that the process was repeated.

Pp. 104-105.- Makailaráh.

-

See IA., X,213 and EL, XV, p. 136 on Makatlaras. In the Damodarpur plate (EL, XV, 86) the Makatlara and otherAshta-kula-adhikarayas deal with settlement of land and report to the Governor. Cp. this with *ibid.*, p. 133, where the same function is performed by the President (Sreshtkin), Kulika, Registrar, etc., along with the Government District Officer. The Mahattara is there reporting from some interior town. INDEX ABBREVIATIONS ERRATA

INDEX TO PART I

A	PAGES
PAGES	Alliance Vide mitra labha 142
Abhijana (place of origin) 121	Ambashthas 73.74,75,84
Abhisáras 64,78	-Abastanoi } 73
Abhisheka 51,91,100,101,	– Sambastai 🕽 👘 75
187, 186	their government democratic
Achishikta (anointed rulers) 186	73,74,81
Abhisheka	elected their generals 81
-Of the Lichchhavis 101	listened to the advice of
-Of the Whole country 100	their elders, the latter did
Achitta 324	not constitute the government 83
Adhyakshaprachāra 4»	Ambassadors of the Kshudraka
Adrestai=Arishtas of Panini 65	Mālava confideracy
Agga-Kulika 124	hundred in number 69
Agra Srenis 61, 145	
Aikshvákas a branch of Sákyas 187	hospitality 70
Aisvarya 42,192,194	with full powers to
Ajita-satru 54,176,177,187	conclude treaty 27
Ajita 104	foremost citizens as - 72,73
Arodas 598	Amoghabhūti (of unfailing
Ajmer 152	prosperity) 82
Akonbhis-the president of	Amara 31
the aristocratic government	Amrita 8
of Nysa 143	Anuvinda 96
Akrūm 41,176,191,192,193,195	Andhaka Vrishni 39,40,41,42,
Alexander 33,34,61,63,64,65,	59,90,99,138,191,192,
66,67,68,69,84,138	194,197
142,144,175	Andhrasconquered by
Alexander's invasion -	Bindusara but allowed self-
-rise of large monarchies 57	government under some
Alberani 210#	Samgha constitution 146

PAGES	PAGES				
Andhras-presumption for their	Aristotle 88				
being a non-monarchial state 147	Arjana 121				
Anga 33π	Artha 5,6,116,127				
Anka (mark) 42,43	Asabaya (commentator on				
Anumati-kappo (procedure of	Nārada) 85				
indemnity) 1)8	Ascetics as spies 99,100				
Anantiarádharya 44	Ashta-kulaka 58				
Antiochus 141	Afoka 141,142,144,146,147				
Antas (neighbouring states) 143	Assembly 27,30,51,41,50,114,124				
Anus 11	Assembly of the Capital 8				
Apáchyas (governed by equals) 91	Association				
Aparántas 142,143,144 Appeal (judicial) 123	Allhakulaka—Arthakulaka 185				
Appeal (judicial) 123	Ajjka-Katká-eriminal				
Arajaka or non-ruler state 41,	procedure 53				
97,98,100,134	Audumbars coins 181				
—extreme democracy Tolstoëan	Audumbaras 159,160,161				
in ideal 97	Act of indemnity reduced to				
-ite legal and communal basis 184	writing 114				
-had probably a written	Abhiras 159s.				
theory of state 172	Addna 126				
-regarded government as	Aditya 4				
an evil 173	Ahuka 176,191,192,195				
-their theory of social contract	Ajīvakas 85				
borrowed by monarchists 173	Ananda 45,48,47,50				
-could be easily conquered 178	Apisali 70,71				
-their life coextensive only	Ārjunāyana 184, 145,153				
with the state 173					
•	A younger political com-				
Arkanie 48					
Ardhabhoktá 42	Sunga times-the character-				
Aristocracy-character of	istics of their coins : 154,159,				
its government) 67	160, 188				
Aristocratic constitution-	Aryas 11				
Hindu terms for it 85	Army- regular and hired 60				
Aristocratic-democracy : states	Asanapaññópaka (seat-				
where executive power held	regulator) 104				
by a few hereditary families	Ayudhajici 84,35,86,87,88,58				
but subject to a Gana 84,128,180	Andhaka 134,192,195				
21	218				

PAGES	PAGES
Andhras 143,146	Black beauty (Südra woman
Agsinae - Agra Srepis 61,72,73,145	described as) 183
	Bloomfield 17 <i>n</i>
B	Board of eightKulikas—investi-
Bahawalpur 157	gating into criminal cases
Bahulara-(greater number) 109	subject to the superior juris-
Bala (army) 126	diction of the heads of the
Вара 1 65 и	Gaņa 123
Bápa 1 65n Ballot voting 27,109,114,134	Book of legal precedents-
Beas (Gr. Hupanis) 66,67n	among the Lichchhavis 124
Bhabru-one of the elected	-meaning, of - 121, 122, 125
presidents of the Andhaka	Brachmanoi-Bráhmanako
Vrishņi 191,193,195	náma Janapadah 76
Bhakti (constitutional	Bráhmagupta 35
allegiance) 120	Bráhmaņa 31,36
Bhaudagárika 51	Brahmanya Deva (Kárttikeya
Bhandarkar, Ramkrishna Gopal 34	or the God of war) 150
Bharga 39	Brahmin Empire 61
Bharhut sculptures 179,181	Buddha 55,87,114,115,116,138,179
Bhatinda 152	198,194,195,197
Вланјув 39	
Bhanjya constitution 89,90, 133	C
surviving in modern Bhuj	Cabinet 51,53,83,84
(Kathiawad) 91,100	Capitulation of the city 108
Bháva-Ga#a 32	Caste 35
Bhaya 126,127	Chaitraka 41
Baeda 126,127,128	
Bhikahus 47,87,105,106,108,	Chancellor 45,46
109,110	Chancellor of the Exchequer 51
Bhikshu-Samgha 46	
Bhlema 191,194	Chára 126,127
Bhoja 96	Charazas
Bhojakas 90,95,184,142	Charanas (Vedic) 132
Bhojapitaram-(hereditary	Chhandaka vide Referendum 115
Bkoja) = Ratthika or Ráchtrika	Chikkali-Nikáya 44
89,90,96	Chumbi Valley 179
Bhojas 39,40,60,95,141,144,	Citizen—his liberty jealously
—inferior 61	guarded 52

PAGES PAGES						
Citizens (inner and outer)	. 51	Council of Final Appeal 53				
Citizenship 1	19,170	Council of Ministers 8,80				
City republics on the Indus	. 157	Council of Regency 99				
City states-mentioned		CouncilsEqual right of				
as republics by Arrian 66,	84,116	speech and voting 52				
Clerks of the House (Lord cler	ke)	Country (artificial) 132				
-taking minutes of resolutio	ns	Court of Appeal 85				
and deliberations	. 113	Oourt of the Eight 58				
-called Mahárájas	. 118	Court of Justice 58				
Chhada vide Vote	. 108	Criminal justice 180				
Chanda, Ramaprasad	. 81#	Criminal procedure (of the				
Chandra gupta (Maurys) 1	41,146	Lichchhavis) 384,185				
Congress-hall	. 50	-wrong comparision between				
Congress of Rajagriba	. 106	the Tibetan and the				
	. 104#	Lichchhavis instituted and the				
Coins 85,40,42,43,57,62		so called resemblance between				
6	5,67,68	the two refuted 184,185,186				
Common policy of State	. 12	Criminal procedure (Tibetan)				
Common samiti	. 21	Its stages described 185				
Communal-house of Law	. 50	Confederacies (of the Gapas)				
Community-Welfare. (Sever	<u>n</u>	126,128,129,130				
conditions of)	. 46,47	Criminal procedure 53				
Constitution	. 87	Canningham 40n, 62n elecq				
-Bhaujya	. 90					
-Pettanikas	. 95					
-Gaparájya	. 99	D				
-Dvairájya	. 96	Dalas lama 189				
-Rashtrika	95, 96	Danda 127				
-Svárájys	91	Dandi 6				
-Vairájya	92	Dándaki 85				
Viruddba-rajjáni	. 99	Danda-Niti 5				
-Yauvarájya	. 99	Dána 126				
Constitutional Allegiance vid	le .	Dámani 85				
Bhakti	120	Daiárnae 40, 155				
Constitution (change of)	182	Dása-Bhodha 8				
Coronation	34	Degraded castes — Under				
Corporation 2	8,80,84	Hindu rulers 148				
Council of Elders 7	7,82,83	Deliberations 12,80				
	•*					

PAGES	PAGES
Delegation committee (ubbahika)	Elected King-among the
110,111,118	Kathas 81
composition of 112	among the Patalas 82
Democracy-of (1)the Ambasthas,	-among the Kunindas 82
(ii) the Kshudrakas and	Emp ⁱ re: Gupta 59
Málavas 81	Empire: Maurya 59
(iii) of Patala 82	Empire : Saisunáka 59
(iv) of the Punjab 84	Envoy 52
(v) Ullara-Kurus 92	Era of Prowess. (Vibrama
(vi) Uttara-Madras 92	Era) 152
Dhamma 106,112,116	Equality by Kula and
Dharma (Law) 7	-by birth, universal 130
Dharma-chakra—a religious	Espionage 129
empire as opposed to a city	Exchequer 129
state of Dharma 116	Executive authority-dele-
Dharma-sútras 21	gated to a second chamber 83
Diodorus 66,81,84	Executive Council 40,53,83
Disunion (bheda) 128	Executive power 41
Distance methods of	Exposure of dead bodies
creating 141	(among the Lichchhavis)- 183
Division (constitutional sense) 109	—theory of Tibetian origin
Doctors of Law 53	based upon its existence
Dordjjúni 26	among the Lichchhavis
Double Chamber Constitution 44	eriticized 183,184
Dual groups	
Dual sovereignty-in Avanti 96	-
—in Nepal 96,97	F
Dvairájya (rule of two) 96, 97, 99	Federal Council 42,53,54
	Federal states-equal votes 54
E	Fleet Dr 29
E .	Foreign policy 30
Ekardja (monarch) 141,84	Franchise 81
Eldera 18,19,83	- in the Aristocratic republics
Elders (of Kulas) 130	vested in the family 119
Elected general among the	-in the democracies 119
Ambasihas 81	—in the individual 120
-among the Lichchhavis 82	extended to outsiders 121
Elected rulers 42,43	Free right of discussion 13

PAGES	Pagus				
G	Ganas, when conquered by				
Gada-(A leader of the Vrishnis,	monarchs legal decision made				
brother of Krishna) 120,192,194	subject to the appellate juris-				
Odmagdmanika (president of	diction of the monarch or the				
the township) 119	royal chief justice, 124, their				
Gana-refers to the whole body	character 125—130				
politic and in the alternative	Gani 28,29				
to Parliament 125	Gándháras 60,142,144,145				
-defects of their constitution 128	-have a mukhya instead of a				
-executive body consisted of	king 145				
the chief and president 125	-their country famous for				
Gana-bandhana 80	wood 145				
Gapa Court 123,124	-classed with Vasitis and				
Gana 26,27,28,29,30,81,82,40,	Sibis 145,175				
42,50,83,81,85,86,87,89,	Gaura-Siras 4				
91,86, 99, 104, 114, 119,	Gautamīputra 152				
122,123,126,128,129,180.	Generalissimo (Senápati) \$1,52				
181,159,177,179,181	Glauchukáyana =				
-definition of 31	Glauchukáyanakas 120				
Gapamukhyas (the chief of the	Godāta (gaņa) 181				
Gaņas) —	Gotamtputra Sátakarpi Sátava-				
Gana-mukhya 127	haan 61				
Ganapüraka (assembly whip) 27,107	Gotra (mace) 122,180,182,183,				
Gapa Rájás 54	-ethnic and political 181,187				
Gana rájós 51	Goirákta 43				
Gaps rajya (Government by	Government (abstract) 28,183				
parliament) 26, 27	Gróma 14				
Gaņa - ráyáni 26	Grámani, 14, 15, 182				
Ganarikam 81	Grámanikah (town rulers) 157#				
Ganas (religious) 86,131	Great Rishis, 6				
Gaņa-state 119	Greek letters 83				
Gapas governing body of the-	Grierson 212#, 214				
-its functions (i) Conduct	Grünwedel (Prof.) 182,182#				
the affairs of the community, his opinions on the foreign					
125 (ii) held meetings and	types in Bharhut and Sánchi				
discussed Resolutions (iii)	sculptures quoted 182				
125, saw to the administra-	Gaild 41				
tion of justice 125	Gulkakam (Secret method) 100				

	PAGES	i de la construcción de la constru	PAGES
Gunanka	43	Imperial Code of Governance	e 4
Guru Govinda Sinha	8	Imperialism (federal)	187
Gyal-tshab (Regent of Tibe	t) 185 .	Imperial Systems	3
		Incomplete congregation-c	3 D-
п		not transact official acts	107
Hailaya (royal dynasty)	145	Indian guild and caste as	880-
**	87	ciations	129
17 L -		—equality in	129, 130
Hastákka	165	Indrapüraka (kula)	131
Heraldic mark		Inhabitants (Vasantánam)	51
Hereditary leadership	95,96	Inscription : Kharvela	90,95,99
Hereditary Kings		Inscription : Rudradáman	81
		Inscriptions : Asoka 60,	89,
High Court Hindu Constitutions-tech		95	i, 96, 100
cal terms for various clas		Inscriptions : Gupta	92,95
of constitutions	0.2	Intelligence (department)	129
Hindu Constitutional tradit		Internal danger (in gaņas)	180
decay and revival	8	Interregnum	99
Hindu Kingship		Inviolability	18
Hindu Law books		Itána 🗤	14
	24 #,ï\$1 86	Iśvara-(iuler-a techn	ical
Hindu Lawyers		term) —	197s.
Hindu Politics	-		
Hindu Republio-Ancient	4 ,6,7	T	
	26	J	
Ilindu republicsa retrosp		Jaina Sūtras on forms	oĒ
Tillar ichaones - a Terros	137-138	government	99, 121
Hindu Republice 3, 25, 28,	1	Jaipur State —	- 152
-Procedure of deliberation		Jaishthya (eldership)	91
Hoshyarpur —		Jamti (Mild and min	ute
	- 151	interrogation in the Tibe	tan
House of Law Huna invasion —	52,83 - 165	Judicial procedure)	— 185 m
Hyphasis		Janamejaya	137
••• •••	66	Janopada	••• 49
I		Janapada (mahárája)	185
_		Janapada (Rijanya)	184
Ikshváku-(Kshattriya dyn	-	Janas	11#
Ikshvákus	100	Jankar	78

PAGRS	PAGSS
Jálamáni 85	Kaptsthalas 160
Jayaráma 19n, 19n	Karaka-deglyas 185
Jánski 35	Karaka-játīyas 135
Jānapada 1, 84, 88, 41, 92, 120,	Karkota Nágar 152
121	Karmardhi (founder of a kula) 181
Játi (birth not caste) 119	Karpatas 156
-(a secondary character) 185	Kashmīras 155
Johiyá Rajputs - (Vide	Katha 120,134,108,160,188
Yaudheya) 151	Katha-deślyze 135
Joint federal constitution 41	Katha-Jatiyas 185
Jolly \$0,85,203etaceq	Katha philosopher 82,88
Judicial administration 123	Kathians 64
Judicial Assembly 8	-Kathas
Judicial business—elaborate	one of the most powerful
records kept 114	'nations' of India 4,85
Judicial minister 52	
Judiciary 8	— their social customs 66
Jury 53, 110	
(ubbahiks) udváhik vide	weaklings among children 66,81
delegation committee 110, 111	election of their 'King' 81
Jury (sabhá) odd number	Kaundoparatha 85
enjoined 110	Kákas 156
-of neighbours deciding land	Kalidaea-and the Uteave-
dispute 110	Sanketas
-Decision of honest majority	Kámandaka
to prevail in case of division 110	Kámadhenu 7
	Kisi-Kosala 54
K	Kohada (founder of a family) 145
Kalaha (disputation) 87	Kakutstha (founder of a
Kalki 167	family) 145
Kalpataru ?	Kámbojas-Identified with the
Kalons (Ministers' Court in	people on the Kabul river
Tibet) 185,186#	(modern Kaboh). 142,147
Kammaváchá [placing the	Kanshtaki 35
resolution text before the	Kausambi 89,48
assembly] 107,108	Káya 44
Kambhojas-inferior Bhojas	Kekayas 155
60,61,62,142	Kersla (called Ugrs) 100

PAGES	PAGES			
Keralaputo 100	Kehudhrakas mentioned by			
Kharaparas=Kharaparikas 156n	Pátañjali but afterwards never			
-probably Karpatas of Mahá-	mentioned, probably fully			
Bhárata 156	amalgamated with the Malavas			
Khattris 59	during their migration 152,			
Khattris-of Sindh 62	155,170,174			
	Kula (elders) 53,85,89,123,126,			
Kháravela 142	127,128			
King-consul 81,89,95	Kula (family)-as the basis of			
Kings 11	franchise 119,120			
-election disputed in a fable	Kula (in the sense of a court)			
on grounds of unpleasant	-presided over by Kulakas 122,124			
presence 116	Kula (states) 86,119			
-two hereditary 129	Kulaputta (cadet of a family)			
Kongdus (joint heads of local	careers open to 89,119			
administration of the two	Kula of Rájás 85			
divisions in the Chumbi	Kulaka 53			
Vailey) 180	Kula-samgha 85,128			
Kosales 33,39,48,49,54,103	Elders 130			
Krishna-elected president of	Kulas, religious 86			
the Andhaka-Vrishni, states	Kulika (aristocrats) 123			
his difficulties in conducting	Kukuras 57,39,155157,194,197			
the federal council 42,87,120	Kupindas=Kaulindas=Kaun			
169,176 pp. 191,197	inds = Kulindrine - their			
Krishnayana 116	coins are struck in the name			
Krita 152	of the King and the political			
Kehattriyas 84,60 61,74,100,122	community 82			
Kshudraka-Málavas	Kurus * 11,57,58,59,131,187			
	Kushan coins 43			
Maurya Empire 71				
	-			
sent citizens to negotiate	L			
peace 81	Lachchu — (a corruption of			
	Lakshmana in Bihar and Doab) 187			
——their handsome looks 87,	Lakshana 42			
183,184,188	Lakshuna-Adhyaksha 42			
Kshudhrakas 34,35.37,38,60,	Lakshmana 187			
68,69,70,71,72,75,81,84,86,142	Lakshmidhara 7			
	•			

PAGBS	PAGES			
Lánchhana 42.43,41	Magistrates of self governed cities 63			
Laws for Kinge 7	-pronouncing final orders re			
Laws of republics 123	weaklings among the children			
Lawyer-Judges 53	of Katha tribe 66			
Leaders of gapas 129,130	Mahábhoja 90,107			
Lechchakis 54	· · · · ·			
Legal procedures 129 (Vysvahára)				
Legislation 184				
Levi, Sylvain	1=0			
Lechchhavika-owning allegi-				
ances to the Lechchhavi cons-	Mahárájá (title of the recorders			
titution 121	of the House 1(3,114,120,121			
Lichchhavis 45,48,50,53,54,55,57,	Makattaka (distinguished			
58,59,68,82,85,114,124				
-their handsome looks 87,				
96,163,165,170,171,174,	Makkbalis 83			
175,176,179,183,184,185	Málava-gaņa 29			
-a ramification of the Videhas 185	Málavah 184,85,87,88,60,68,			
-the derivation of the word	69, 70, 71. 72, 74, 75, 77, 81,			
from Sanskrit root discussed 186	84,154,155,170,174			
Life of Appollonius of Tyana 86	Malavaka			
Lord Clerks-(recorders of the	M4lavas-their modern discendants			
House) 113,114	84,57,87,151,152,158			
	Málavyah 34			
M	Malawai.dialect 159			
Madhyadeša 137	Mallakas 57,58,121			
Mádhyamakeyas 155	Mallakis 54			
Madhyamiká 153	Malias 48,49,50,54,58,59			
Madraka (One of Madra bhakti) 121	two 151,187			
Madrakas 57,58,59,154,155,	Malloi 84,69			
. 156,175	Mánáňka			
Madras 39,88,92,120,131,	Mánava Artha-Sóstra 8			
133,134,138,151,155,174,188	Manira 12,80,88,126,127			
-their migration into Raj-	Mantradhara 40,89,151,181			
putana 151	(those vested with the policy of state)			
Magian features (in the	Mantra-guptis 127			

-

.

PAGES				
Manu 8,110,123	N			
• •	Nabháka 145			
men of all castes) 134	Nachiketas 82			
-of Eastern Rajputana 151	Nahapána 152			
Matsya 7,155	Nanda army 67			
Máteya Nyáya (anarchy) 97	Narishtá 17n., 18			
Maiters of state 12	Nation (territorial) 132, post-			
Maundi-nikáya 44	Vedic, artificial and philosophic			
Municipalities 44	stage 133			
Maurya Empire - extending	National assembly 11			
up to Persia 140	National judicature 18			
Megasthenes 25,63,84,132,133,189	Nationality (political) 133			
-divides the country into two	Nationality (tribal) 183			
forms of government 68	Natti-chatuttha (The four			
Menauder 88,95	natti procedure) - motions			
Military Art	moved thrice-the rules re			
Mint-Master 42	them 107,108			
Minutes of the meeting 113,114	Natti dutiya (the one natti			
Mongoliau origin of Hindu	procedure, (rules re motions			
republics (the theory of) 179	moved once 108,102,109			
based on the snubnoses in	Natti-Jnapii Vide Motion 104			
Bhárhut and Sánohi sculp-	Naya 6			
tures, and the customs of the	Nábhakas 183,145			
Himalayan bill-men 179,	Nabha-panktis 143,145			
refuted pp. 180-189	Nábhitino (the three Nábhas) 145			
Mitra-labba (alliance) 148	Nágar 152			
-to allow the strong to live	Nágaras-(citizens of the capital			
and the weak to be reduced 142	or the members of the capital			
Mixed constitution 123	Assembly) 11,5			
Monarchical nations 58	Náná 43			
Monarchy	Nárada the Sage to whom			
Mote-hall 50,114	Krishņa relates his political			
Motion 104,105,107,114,134	troubles169, pp. 191-917			
(fistti or Jüspti)	Negama (City-Assembly) 115			
Musicani-Muchukarna 75	Nemi 87			
-Mousikanos 37	Nepal 59			
Mukuja-dandkana coronation				
of the Mallas 101	Nibandhakára 6, 7			
	•			

[PT, 1.

•

	E	AGES			1	Pages
Nikáyas .	• • • • • • • • •	44	-analogy	with Sp	artan cons-	
Nivísa (domicile)	121	titution	* ***	**	77
Nilskantha		6	-two he	-	÷ .	
Nichyas		91	command			••
Nt/i	•	6	Pafichilas	12,14	,81,57, 58,	
Non-delegation S	System among			•	- 51	9, 187
the democracies		84	Pancha Ta	intra	435	6
Non monarchical	l communities		Panday (N	dr.)	**7	172
in the in	cription of		Pankli			145
Samudragupta	• .	156*	Pargiter	*=•	***	7 4 #
N7		33	Parikshit		**1	187
			Parishad (Parishat)	18,15	20,21
	•		Pártra		241	85
	0		Patjávalle	• •••		88
Odomboares		160	Pati	***		14
Oligarchy of the		104	Patiala ste	ite	. ***	152
*	•	96	Paura	•••		8,82
One-Chamber co	eee **********************************	44	Pachittiya	(reopen	ing of a	
		, 104	settled	question	liable to	•
Opinion of the m	• •		ceusure)		• •••	115
Opposition to a r		, 116	Pálana		***	5
	··· ·· ···	74	Páņini	92.94 1	20,121,122,	. •
Ostracism (amo	-	170	_ - <i>i</i> ,	•-jj-	182,18	
Arijakas)		. 173	Páras kara	(district)	-	185
Oxydrakai - Ksh		70.00	Pátána-pr	•		
	V0,	72,86	Pátimokki			104
			Pitinikas		142,14	
	P	₹.	Persian Co	_		88
Parliament 2	-	- 1	Pettanika		,119,142,10	
rarnament z		174	Philostrate		,, ,	
		, 114 7,180	Pitentikas			
Parliament (fede		191	Pitentikas			95,96
Parliament-ma	tters discussed i	in 62	Pointle ss	speeches	(anaggam)	
Parthian Sakas		152		-	t 110, 11	1,112
Party		41,42	-	-	r or com-	
Palala	77,85,12	-	mission	· · · ·	•), 111
-preference for		-	Political	Authority	- resting	
submission		. 77		-	Samgha	82
					•	

.

PAGES	PAGES
Political loyalty, open to	-settlement of pointless
otrangers 134	speech by the delegation
Politico-religious books 8	committeer 112
Popular assemblies 11	Prováhana Jaivala (or Jaivali) 12,13
Political spies 99, 100	Ptolemy 61
Poros 64,78,175	Pulindas—a dravidian people
Pradhána 125	but republican 143, 146
Pradhána 127	Punch-marked coins 43
Pradyumana 120, 192	Punjab \$8,59
Projápati 5,15	Pushkara 7
Pratijña (resolution) 105	Pushyamitra republic
Práchi 137	-founded by one Pusbyamitra
Prarjunas 158n	-developed great power and
(identical with Prájjūņaka) 158n	wealth, inflicted a defeat on
President 53	the imperial forces under
-giving punishment in his	Kumaragupta, — harassed
own name 124	Skanda-gupta who crushed
-his election or selection	them 163, 164, 165
87,91,129,130,	Pushyamitras (republican
163, 180	people) 163,164,165
Presidents of the Liebchhavis	Pushyamitra 134
highest judicial authority 52	Púga 41,124
-his decisions carefully	Págagámanika (president of
recorded 52	the iudustrial guilds) 119
-termed as Rájá 51	
Presti - Prasthalas 76	
Principles of Government 133	Q
Principle of representation 145	Queen of Sciences 88
Procedure of Censure against	Quorum 27, 107, 114
misdomeanours in speech 113	-number of twenty for all
Procedure of indemnity (ann-	formal acts 107
mati kappa)	
rejected by followers of	D .
Buddhism 118	R
Procedure of majority 109,	Race (gotra) 180
110, 111, 115	Rapson 83n. 43,85,etseq.
Proceeding in presence (Sam-	Rathapàla 1237
mukha Finaya) 112	Rațikika 89,95

	PAGES	PAGIS
Rája-Dharma	5	Republican in the name of gaps 131
Rajagriba	106	-in the name of the country 182
Rájaka	81,41,51	-With gapas as Protector
Báiakalas	85`	(Irdtasya) 18\$
Raja-election and re-election	io n 11	-in Rejputane ; recorded in
-President of republic. \	/ide	Mabá-Bhárata 155
President	185	-new historical instances 131, 132
Rájanya form of governme	nt 86,	Republican States 7,64,82
39,40,41'5	7,184,154	-Aad the Gaptas 168,164
Rájanyas -proper name o	f s	-administration procedure 49
	100,158	-bravery 170
-a democracy	159,160	-dharma of and
-whole Janapada consecret	ted	-dharma samgha 117
	159	-enumerated :
Rájanyaka …	81	Kolyas,
Rája Subdin republic wi	nich	Kaundibrisse
recognized the title of Raj		Mallas
Réjatabéopajivin	36	Moriyas,
Rája-Sástra	5	Liochavis,
Rája viskays (self ruling	dis	Sokyan
• • • •	143,144	Fidehan,
-Six out of eight republic	an 146	-Bulie, Bhaggas 48,49
Rájánta	48	-formation of new 131-185
Rijūkas (Kinglets)	85	Gopálavas
Ráma-Dàsa	8	-in Artha Sástra 57-82
Ráshtrika 89,90,95,96,1	19,	—in Buddhist literature 45
13	4;147,141	-ia Greek writers 63
Ráshtrika-Sápatya (board	of	—in Kasika
leaden not hereditary)		-intellectual and physical 86-88
Bealm Diet		-king consul 57, 59, 60
Referendum (Chhandaka)	-	-Maurya policy towards 141
the whole city to elect a h		-mostly obliterated during
to a vacant throne	115	the imperialism 149
Republic 28, 30, 33,	36,	nstion-in-srms 60
	116, 125	-noted by Greek historians \$4
-national or tribal basis	152	Pápini 83-41
Republican coins:	48	-principle of equality pre-
-dissepsions	178, 177	vailed among them 170
	•	-

PAGES	Рловя
-their system of justice 53	-their re-appearance in
-the Málavas (Malavis) their	Sunga times, their migration
modern descendants 153	-their longevity 174
the Maurya policy of	-the legends on their coins 153,142
breaking up of 1:8	-under Aśoka 142,143
-their administrative virtues 170	-under the Mauryas 141,148
their cultures	-their status varied according
their disappearance 163-165	to their strength 142
-their love of liberty and	Republic of politics 117
migrations 154,155	-turned into trade guilds 59
their moral assets : a high	Representatives 14
sense of justice, discipline,	Res Judicata 113
respect for popular feeling 169	Resolution procedure 115
-their systems estimated ;	Resolution
р. р. 159 177	-before the sampha 105
-their weak points : many of	18,116, 114, 134
these were small, devoured by	-moved at the instance of
large states and ceased to exist	the Buddha 105
when conquered 175	-party affected formally
	informed of 105
their disappearance in the	-repeated thrice assembly
end of the fifth cent	remaining silent, was declared
-were a nation at arms prone	carried 105
to form leagues 170	Revenue (collection of) 129
	Rokays (founder of a gans) 131
-65,46,67,68	Rolls (paveni-potthakán) 52
-with a career of years 138, 1000	Rudradáman 149,150
Republican Rule (rajjam) 51	Rule of Law 97,98,99
Republican system	Ruler, a servant of the ruled 134
post-Vedio and artificial,	Rulers : their classification 100
	—(i) Ugra, (ii) Bhoja
Republics (Hindu Republics)	(iii) Râjanya 100
their ethnology 179—189	Rüpakamma (designer) 182
the theory of their	
Mongolian origin criticized	
-in Sunga times and later 149	S
-their final disappearance in	Sabda (title)
the Gupta period 153	Sabhá 14,15 ; 17-81

..

	PAGES		PAGES
Sabha a popular body	17	Samiti	11-16
-its composition	18	-functions	11
	89	its President	14
-its resolution	18	-constitution	14
Sabhá-chara	19		5,16,17,18
Sabhá pali	18	Samkarehapa (A leader	
Sacrament essential in every		opposition to krishna)	192,194
constitution	100	Samsthagára	50
Sagala-Madra capital		Samudragupta —	
described	99,94	Sammuka Finaya—vide	pro-
Sachitta	52	ceeding in presence	***
S ajáta	119	Samrsiya	187
Sakaja—Vyńha	64	Samvajjis	58
Sakanna jappakam (whispering		Sanakánikas	156a.
method)	110	Sánchi Sculptures	179,181
Sakas	6	Sankala	84,65
Saláká vide Voting tickets		Sankaráchárya	165
Saláka Gráhaka (collector of		Sanketa-resolution passe	d by
votes) his five qualifications-	109	a republic	156
Salaka Grákana (Collection of	:	Sanskrit-Iranian bases	60
votes)	190	Santhagára	50
Samayas (the laws of gagas)	124	Santo	19
Sambos	76	Sápindi Nikaya	44
Samgha Constitution-politi-	• '	Sarasvati	155
	3,104	Saryáta Mánava	14
Samgha 26,27,28,29,32,33,84,		Satánka	. 165
\$5,89,42,48,44,50, 78,93, 105,		Sati-practised by the	
106,110,111,112,116,119,141	:	Kathaians	64
142,1	51,	Satiyaputo	100
Samgkadharmine	85	Saudráyanas	156
Samgha elders of, fathers of,		Sálankáyana 134,145,150,	
leaders of	47		160,188
Samgha political	83	Sákala	38
Samgha religious 28,33,10	3,104	Saláinta	122
Samgåi 28,99,158,169,191	. ·	Sakya gaņa-members,	old
•	1,196	and young	50
Samgrámáh	14	Sákya muni	115
Samkanana		Sákya parliament	120
		· •	• • • • •

	P .	AG ES		P.	AGES
Sákyas 48,49,50,87,103,1	16,		•	5	8,89
11	7,120	,188	Sini	•••	41
-their nationality describ	ed	187	Sister marriage (the custom	of)	
8úm4	• • •	126	does not prove alien orig	en 🗌	
Sdpaleyyam = Pettanika	89,9	0,95	of the Sákyas	187,	,188
Saphalka	•••	41	Sivaji-Chhatrapati		8
Sástra Vártlah		62	Sodrai – Saudras		74n
Sástropajirin	•••	86	Sohgaura plate	***	44
Sátvat Bhojas	•••	174	Somadeva	•••	7
Sátvats 89,90,91,100,1	184,		Sophoi	•••	86
	188	,189	Sophytes – Saubhūti 6	5,66,	,120
-Constitution	•••	159	Sopeithes	•••	66
Schisms (in Buddhist samg	;ha)	181	-their Social customs	•••	66
Scope and sources (of Hi	ndu		-the intense political charac	ter	
Polity)		88	of the individual	•••	81
Sculpture	•••	181	their handsome looks	•••	87
Seals		42	Sorațha	•••	61
Seats in the assembly	•••	104	Sovereign Assembly		1,11
Second House - composed	d of		Sovereign states	•••	87
elected elders	8	1,83	Sovereign-Whole country	•••	58
Seleucus		141	Sovira	•••	68
Send		20	Spartan constitution	•••	66
Senápati (General)	••	185	Spies	•••	128
Senato	•••	41	Sr a nghna-desiyas		135
Senart 72,82*,	, 179	elseq	Branghna-jálīyas	•••	185
Sibis	•••	155	-	60,7	8,74
Siboi=Sibis 68	1,74,7	5,77	Standards	•••	42
-Saibyas	•••	68		•••	28
-Sivia		68	State based on contract	• • •	184
-their migration - thei	ir				43
coius		158	States-ambiguously describ	ed	75
Signature	•••	43	Phegelas — ? Bhagala	•••	
Sikhs	•••	87	Glausai or Glaukanikoi	•••	
Silpa (art)		87	States bearing personal name	8	184
Smatdna (crematorium)	•••	184	State-secret	•	128
Smith, Vincent	•••	148		•••	66
Sindhu		121	Sudhamma Sabhá (The Hall	of	
Sindhu Sauviras	•••	38	good law)	•••	118
•			•		

		P	1085		P	1483
Sudras	••	81	156	_		
Sakra	***	- 87	6	T		
Sukra nīti		1	10#	Tacitus		14#
Sungas +		60,61,	,148	Takshatil	121	145
Suráshtra-Tl	ne country (owing		Tamil country		141
its name t			1	Tarapurwala, Sorabji	141	4 n
constitution	**		95	Taxation		\$
Śuráshtras		***	157	Tassa-pápiyyasiká-kamma	105	,106
Sútra-dharas			5 3 ·	Távatimsa	87,	
Suttadhara =	Sutradhar	·a	185	Technical literature	***	4
Sttras	•••		7,8	Thákuri family	***	98
Sráyambhuva	Manu	• • •	8	Theocratic government (of	the	
Svárájya		,92,193	,139	Chumbi valley)		181
- -			- • 1	Thomas, F. W		29
-elected pres	ident		91	Tibetan affinities of	the	
Svetaketu	12,	, 18, 14	, 188	Lichchhav's		
System, rep				-paper on by Mr. V. Sm	ith :	183
criticisms					igin	
-their mat	erial pros	perity			180	-181
•====	· ·	-	171	Tibetan government		180
	good ag	ricul-		Tibetans		179
			171	Tirbut	***	59
-separation				Titles		86
functionsam				Tokharis		** 6
sagacity and				Town-rolers		157.
tional experi			171	Township		41
absence of	sacerdota	l ele-		Trade-guilds		21
ment in the	r chiefs	+ = +	171	Transition from monarchy	r to	
-philosophic	basis of	the		republic		74
-states			1,17€	Tribe and state : Greek	baa	
their elected	ruler a serv	ant of		Indian points of view	***	76
the state		• # •	172	Tribes 11#,26,	29, 3	0, 35
extreme form	n of individ	nalism		Trigartias	15	5,170
existed in	some, in	others		Trigartta Shashtha	8	5, 88
individuals	existed for	r the		Triksháka		145
state, and in		-		<i>Tekan-di</i> (Tibetan Jud	licial	
state and th	e individual	were		procedure)	1	815 n .
identical		172	178	Turnour (author)	521	,58m
•		.•	٠			

Ľ	٨	ļ

	PAGES)	PAGES
\mathbf{v}		Varga	41,122
Uddeh a (gaṇa)	131	Vargin	141
Udichis (Northerners	144	VaráhaMihira	62,157
Ugra	100	Varshakúra	176
Ugrasena-one of the elec		Vasa	187
presidents of the Andha		Vasátis	75
Vrishoi	191,198	Vásudeva (Krishna)	192,194
Ugrasena	195,197	· ·	2,120,121
Ugrasena Babhru	41	Vasu gana	82
Un-anointed ruler	. 101	Vatsas	89,49
-an unlawful ruler-a te			98,78,159
of contempt in Hindu polit		Váhyáh	126
		Vájapeya	91
-spplied to foreign tribes the Purápas			159,160
	100	Várllá sustropajíninah	60,67
Upáli		Vásishthas, Mallas styled a	-
Uparája (vice-president-		Vedic Assemblies	9-16
republic)	185	- Coronation	12
Upajto	86,87	- Kingship	11
<i>Upáya</i>	5	=rituals used in the con	
Upper House of Elders	73	eration to political rule	
Urna-Nábhas	145	the republicans	188-189
Ušanas	5		: 126
Usinara	187		
Usana s Davda-Niti	6	Vice president (Uparájá) Vidatha	
Utsava-Sanketas	156,157		-
Uttamabhadras	152	Videhas 89,48,15,48,53	
Uttara Kuru 92,93		7717 / 1011 - 1	132,170,
Uttara Madras 58,92,9	• •	Fidyá (Science)	87
Uttarápatha (northern regio		Vikrama	··· 61
Uttara-Valissaha (gana)	181	Village constitution	14,15
Uvála (Bhikkhu	105, 108	Village panchayat	63
		Vinaya 105, 106, 107, 1	
v		- 	112, 112
		Vinda	98
	54,85,186	Vinichehaya Mahámáttas	53
Vaišya	11	Firuddha-rajjáni (states m	led
Vairájya (Kingless constitu	-	by parties) ····	99
92, 94, 99, 100, 133,	181, 189	Firuddha rájya 📖	42

1	PAGES	1	PAGES
Firdj—	94	Winickikiya mak4matta—Vin	uie
President of vairajya republics	94	chaya mahámáira	- 185m
Vitah	11	Whitney	20 =
Višvámitra	161	Winternitz	214
Vivatakam (open method of			
vote taking)	110	x	
Vohárikas	53	Xathroi	59,61,74
Fohdriká=Vyávyahárika	185		
Vote-(Chhands) 103,104	4,108,		
10	09115	Y Y	
-Connoting free will and choic	e 108	Yadus	11,188
-Of absentees 10	8,109	Yak sacrifice	180,181
Means of taking	110	Yaksha —	181,182
Vyádi (grammarian)	183	Yakshiqi —	- 181
Vote taking. Open method		Yasodharman	- 165
(Vivatakam)	110	Yauvarájya	99
Secret method (Gulhakam)	110	Yukta	197
Whispering method	•	Yádavas 40,90	,194,197
(Saka ^{çç} a-jappakam)	110	Yaudheya 85,86,89,40,49,5	57,
Voting	184	61,67,74,78,83,183,184,	,145,149
Vyása	19#	-their constitution : had	LO.
Voting tickets (Saláká) 27,10	9,110	elected president; had an en	(0 -
-Made of wood	109	outive committee; their coi	0\$
Vriddhas (elders) 19,57,	58,83	and seals struck in the nam	ne
Vrijika (One of Vriji Bhakta)	120	of Yaudheyas and their cabin	et 151
Vrishnis 40,41,66,77,87,120	0,132,	-survived the Maury	211
134,157,169,188,19	1,195	empire, the Satrape, t	he
Vriji-Vajjis \$9,45,4	49,58,	Kushanas-their milita	ry
186,120,121,123,12	4,188	glory in Second Centu	ry
Vrika	85,58	A. C testified by Rudra	-
Vyishsi Samgka	120	dáman,-by Samudra Gup	ta 149
Vyavahéra	127	-their elected president 15	
		their coins found all over t	he
W		country between the Sati	lej
	184n	and the Jumna: their pre-	11 -
Weber	70 4	ding deity or state-laksha	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9,180	Kárttikeya, the god of her	
Weber and Apisali	70	ism and war	150
• 236			

PAGES	Pages
-their next destroyer: for	were self-ruling-organised as
eign rule of the northern	a city state of the Indian
Satraps leading to the immi-	republican type in the time
gration of the stronger re-	of Alexander, probably Perso-
publics to Rajputana-151,	Greeks. Hinduised Greeks
155,174,181,188	-regarded as Indians by
-their disappearance from	A lexander's companions.
history sometime before the	-their state organised as aris-
tenth century A. C.—the	tocracy—their president called
Johiya Rajputs their modern	Akoubhis. 147-148
descendants. 151,152	Yavanáni (Script of Yavana) — 146
Yaudheya Iana (Yaudheya	Ye—bhuyyasikam=The opi-
Parliament) 150	nion of the greater number 109
Yaudheya coins-Three classes of 150	=Ye-bhuyasiyakam
Yavanas 83,146,147	Yonas 142
Ašoka's Yavanas contiguous to the Kámbojas.Greek colony	Z
on or near the Kabul river,	Zimmer 11#, 20#

•

INDEX TO PART II.

Pages	Pages
A .	Anusamyāna 148
Abhishechana 25	(See Transfer)
Abhishechanīya (Sprinkling)	Anāthapiņģika (See Sudatta)
15, 2 3	156, 157
Abhisheka 27, 44	Arbitrary Rule 45 <i>n</i> , 46, 47
Abu Zaid 190	Aristocracy 65
Acclamation on coronation 43	Aristocracy-in-poverty 101, 112
Accountant-General 19	Army, maintenance of 134
Adhikaraņas 131	Arrian (author of Indika) 190
Adhikārins 118, 131	Arthasāstra, commentary on
Administration, city, 70	182, 183
-of estates of deceased	Artizans 10, 42
persons 72	Asoka 18n, 52
—by king and the city 72	—and his new Dharma 96 121,
Age for coronation 52	125, 120, 132
Agni-Mitra 53	
Aikshväkas 60	•—and constituion 144, 145, 146
their country 61	Asvamedha (Horse-sacrifice) 17
Ajātasatru 110, 126	Ashtapradhāna 123, 124n, 202
Akshapatala, 19	Assessors 141, 143
Akshāvāpa 19, 21	Asuras 4-5
Akshasālā 19	Atyāyika 131
Alexander 110, 111	Avanti 196
Allegiance and protection 164	Adhipatya 28, 193
Ākara 173	Aryaka 50
Amätya 123, 126	AsandI (throne) 25, 28
Anga 6r	Avid (Announcement of
Antaravamsika 133	king's election) 26
Antapāla 134	Åsramas 109
Anugrahas and Paura-Jana-	B
pada 93, 94, 145	-
Anumati (Sanction by Paura-	Babylon 206
Jānapada) 22,94	Balasri (Queen) 161

.

[PT. 11.

Pages	Pages
Balādhikrit 149, 150	social value of the teach-
Balarāma 72	ing, studying, thinking
Bāņa, 5	and sacrificing Brahmin 114
• •	a leviathan of brain II2
Barbarians, and corona-	his self-denying ordi-
tion 53	nance of poverty 112
—legalise their constitu-	-and politics 113 -and administration of law 153
tional position 54	
Barley-beverage-offered	Mahāsāla— 153 Brihadrathas (Dynasty) 60, 195
by wife, under king	Brihaspati (law-
Parlkshit 12	yer), 69, 75, 127, 154
Beasts, destroyer of 19	(politician) IT2, 122
Benares 206#	Sūtra and public opinion 114
Bengal, Bay of 194	
Bharatas 291, 30, 60	Bodh-Gayā 96
Bha#adīpikā 178	Buddha 110, 197
Bhatta-Bhāskara 17 <i>n</i> , 18 <i>n</i> , 125,	Budha 6
Board for Accountant 19	
Bhaujya 28	C C
Bhäga 165 Bhäga durcha (Callactor of	Capital 62-107, 68
Bhāgadugha (Collector of , Revenue) 18, 21	-administration and boards 74
Bhāradvāja (Kaņika) 129	-Judicial authority of the
and power of ministry 142;163;	City 73
196	-Public work in 73
Bhishma 46, 186-	-its public buildings and
Bhrigu, (lawyer) 69	their charge 73
Bhritya 120n	-Paura-mukhyas of 75
Brahmin 25	Caste, leaders of 43
and claim of exemption	Chakrapālita (Privincial
from taxation 31-33; 36	-and Paura 86
-and King 36	Governor of Skanda- gupta) 85
political rule by 57	Chamberlain 18, 133
-and Jānapada 100	Chandanadāsa, President
-the class poor but highly	of the Jewellers 103
intellectual 101	Chandesavra 69
vrittastha— 112	Charitra 78

Pages	Pages
Chariot-makers 10, 20	
Chāņakya 103	27, 28
Chief Justice 123	—human ideas underlying 22
Citizens of the Capital (See	—itshistory 46
Pauras), 43	oath in Mahā-Bhārata45-45
Civil List 18, 135, 184	-womanhood at 43
Commander of the army 9, 16	-oath and Brhadratha
Communal officers 9	Maurya 50
Compensation bills against	-oath in Muhammadan
Crown 98	times ; 52
Paura-Jānapada 98	Corporation 65
Coins, Naigama (Negama) 75	—of cultivators 6
debased silver 162	-of merchants, cattle
—Dojaka 78n	breeders, bankers,
—Eran 78n	artisans 75
Janapada 79	Country-as God in the eyes
-Paura 78	of the king 45; 61
	Creator,—and Constitution '55
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-as constitutional broker
	between Manu and men 163
-republican 2B, 40	_
-republican 2B, 40 Consecration-royal 5	D
-republican 2B, 40 Consecration-royal 5 of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145
-republican 2B, 40 Consecration-royal 5 of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 in Muhammadan times 51	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111
 -republican 2B, 40 Consecration-royal 5 -of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 -in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149
 -republican 2B, 40 Consecration-royal 5 -of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 - in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 Principles of, in coronation 	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149
republican 2B, 40 Consecrationroyal 5 of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 Principles of, in coronation 42	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134
—republican 2B, 40 Consecration—royal 5 —of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 —in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 —Principles of, in coronation 42 —under Asoka 143, 144,	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63
republican 2B, 40 Consecrationroyal 5 of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 Principles of, in coronation 42 under Asoka 143, 144, 145, 146	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 52 <i>n</i>
republican2B, 40Consecrationroyal5ofSivajiChhatrapati51in Muhammadan times51Constitution of the East4Principles of, in coronation42under Asoka143, 144,145, 146Contract (theory of social)5,56	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 2067
republican 2B, 40 Consecrationroyal 5 of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 Principles of, in coronation 42 under Asoka 143, 144, 145, 146 Contract (theory of social) 5,56 between king and people 84	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 2067 Dauvārika 131, 133,
republican2B, 40Consecrationroyal5ofSivajiChhatrapati51in Muhammadan times51Constitution of the East4Principles of, in coronation42under Asoka143, 144,145, 146Contract (theory of social)5,56between king and people84Coronation ceremony	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 2067 Dauvārika 131, 133, Departments 131
—republican 2B, 40 Consecration—royal 5 —of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 — in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 —Principles of, in coronation 42 —under Asoka 143, 144, 145, 146 Contract (theory of social) 5,56 —between king and people 84 Coronation ceremony 14 —and age for 52	D Danda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Dandanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 2068 Dauvārika 131, 133, Departments 131 of mining and manufac-
republican2B, 40Consecrationroyal5ofSivajiChatrapati51in Muhammadan times51Constitution of the East4Principles of, in coronationunder Asoka143, 144,145, 146Contract (theory of social)5,56between king and people84Coronation ceremony14and age forand age for6	D Daņda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Daņdanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 52n Dāsabodha 131 Dauvārika 131, 133, Departments 131 of mining and manufac- tories 173
republican2B, 40Consecrationroyal5ofSivajiChhatrapati51in Muhammadan times51Constitution of the East4Principles of, in coronationunder Asoka143, 144,145, 146Contract (theory of social)5,56between king and people84Coronation ceremonyand age foranalysis of49	D Danda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Dandanāyaka 147, 149 Dandapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 131 Dasaratha 131, 133, Departments 131 of mining and manufac- tories 173 Deposition and
republican2B, 40Consecrationroyal5ofSivajiChatrapati51in Muhammadan times51Constitution of the East4Principles of, in coronationunder Asoka143, 144,145, 146Contract (theory of social)5,56between king and people84Coronation ceremony14and age forand age for6	D Danda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Dandanāyaka 147, 149 Daņdapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 131 Dāsabodha 131, 133, Departments 131 of mining and manufac- tories 173 Deposition and re-instatement 11, 40, 50, 55
republican 2B, 40 Consecrationroyal 5 of Sivaji Chhatrapati 51 in Muhammadan times 51 Constitution of the East 4 Principles of, in coronation 42 under Asoka 143, 144, 145, 146 Contract (theory of social) 5,56 between king and people 84 Coronation ceremony 14 and age for 52 Coronation oath 6 analysis of 49 and foreign barbarians, 53 160	D Danda, 57, 129, 145 Dandamis 110n, 111 Dandanāyaka 147, 149 Dandapāla 134 Durgapāla 134 Dasaratha (Aikshvāka) 63 Dasaratha (Maurya) 527 Dāsabodha 131 Dasaratha 131, 133, Departments 131 of mining and manufac- tories 173 Deposition and

•

Pages	Pages
Samgha 65, 66, 67	—of Rudradāman 📖 52
	Electors II
—sthiti 107	Emperor 15
Dharma Sālā 44, 82	-chakravartin 197
Dharmādhyaksha 13	Empire 15, 28, 61
Dharmādhikārin 159	one-umbrella 196
Diet (Parliament) 108	up-to-occan 197
Divine Origin of kingship	
Theory of 56, 58	Endorsements 138, 139
Doab 194	Excise 165
Duncker 207, 208n	-duties 168
Durga 62	Exports
Duties, import 168	duties on 168
Dūta 123, 127, 138	policy towards— 168
Dūtaka 150	F
-meaning of (administra-	- ,
tion), 149	Federal Imperialism 195
Ē	-Generalissimo 195
—	Feudal theory 181
Economic,—policy172-3	Fiscal policy 165-172, 173-74
—enemies 170	Folk 10, 11, 21, 24
Economics 172-3	Forest, Master of 19
-and government 171	• G •
Ekarāt 9	Gambling officer 19
Elders 44	
of Pāñchāla 44	
—their wives — 44	
-of the council of Town- ship	Ganges, 4 Gascoignes (Hindu) 158
Election, royal 5,6,7	
song of	-on etiquette between Brah-
-for life-time 10#	min and Südra Pauras 72
-for a longer period 40	Generalissimo (see Comman-
ritual of, followed even in	der-in-chief)
Moslem times 51	Gentlemen-usher 43
tradition of, kept on for	Ghalib 206
failure of lines 51	God 206
-of Pāla king 51	Gokartrā 21

	Pa	ages	Pag	ges
Gopāla (Pāla King)	••••	51	Hermits, and Politics 1	09
Govardhana	****	77	Himālayas 1	94
Government			Hindu Monarchy—	
game of —	32	7-38	antiquity	3
central—			Megasthenes on—	3
despatches from-	••••	125	-Rigveda on	3
of Chandragupta	128	; 1 37	Aitareya Br. on—	3
on conquest	••••	179	Hindukush (India's natural	
Govikartri		19		90
Govindarāja (commentat	or)	104,	Historiographer 18, 1	
•	, 127	, 135	History—recording of events	18
Govinda Simha (Guru)		202	past— 202, 2	
Grāma (village)-Sangha	65.	66;	Holder of reins (ministers)	8n
• • •), 70r	1	I	
Grāmani 9, 18, 2	0, 42,	, 100	Imperial systems of Hindus	
Vaisya		18	·	193
Greeks		50	—neio 1 Imperialism, Philosophic	94
Guilds ·			grounds for	61
-their presence at r	oyal			195
ceremonies	44	; 64	· · · ·	.95 197
merchant		66		198
of the city merchants	••••	76	Imports, duties 168 1	-
Gupta period	••••	127		:68
—ministerial designa-		1	Tu alice Autor is a	73
tions	••••	149	-	.75
		180	J	
	 of	100	Jamhudniga (India), vi	
and government districts	01		Empire in entire,—	6 1
Samudra		1 89	Jana (Body) 7	11
Gurus		135	Janaka 4n, 1	195
G ymnosophists		110	Janapada-mahattara	67
-			—samavāya	83
H			Janas (nations), Aryan-, and	
Harishe na		1 49	Non-Aryans	194
Harsha (Emperor)		I	Janya	25
Havik		21	Jarāsandha 4, n,	195
Hastin (king)	••••	150	Jāti-Sangha 65,	, 66
, ,,		-	• • •	

• Pages	Pages
Jānapada (Assembly) 42, 44, 62	Kārmāntika 134
-and Asoka 96	Kāsi-Kosala 61
-and fresh tax 94	Kātya (lexicographer) 70
-and Prime-Minister 8	Kātyāyana (lawyer) 69
discussion on- 62, 63, 67	102, 117, 179
inner and outer bodies of-	Khālimpur Copper-plate 44
president of — 99, 100	Khāravela3.
represented the whole	King—Vedic ; speech by—
country 101, 145	hearing of causes by 155
Jānarājya 24, 194	his "incapacity" 139
Jeta (Prince) 156, 57	his seal 140
Judges—in Persia 15	his share 165
Brahmins 153	his utility 186
in Mrichchbakatika 154	Jātaka on 180, 184
his province 155	Justice disposed in the name-
-and Prince Jeta 157	of 156
Judiciary 154, 153	to Paura-Jānapada for 98
Jumna 4	extra taxes90-91
Jury 155	-and protection 124
Justice, Chief 123	-Hindu-, whether a personal
-and fall in litigation 156	rulerand justice 155
case of Sudatta vs. Jeta 156	-as master-servant 163
57 d	-who fails to protect 164
-king hearing appeals 155	-constitutionally slave,
hearing of causes by king 155	morally master, 185
—on Justice 158, 159, 120	-deciding law-suits 117
K	-foolish and unfit 117
Kaba 206n	gifts-by— 10
Kakud 9	12
Kaņika (Bhāradvāja) 129	-when a thief 140
Karmānta 173	ruler 105
Kashmir 197	-and Brahmin 36
Kautilya 113, 129	-Announcement (of election) 26
(Chancellor) 161	-as Protector of Law 23
Kālidāsa and Pushyamitra's	to follow law 44
letter 53, 189	—his salary 135
Kāņyakubja 44, 82	Investiture of — 26
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·

.

Pages	-	Pages
King (See Rājan),	Kurukshetra	4, 12
duty 12	Kurus	30
his engagement not to be	-	Ū
arbitrary 44	L	
his natural vestments 25	Land, proprietorship in	174-83
his official dress 25, 35, 43n	Law,	183
homage to the elected— 36	anādeya-vyavahāra	68
—national	—and Brahmin	153
representative character	-and Coronation	52
of— 37	and king	35
symbolic beating of-by law 35	—and taxes	1б1
to be protected by the	Composition of new bo	oks
People	of—	159
vesting of sovereignty in o	constitutional	153
and purpose of -1 33, 34	Dharma—	153
King-elect 15, 16	—for kings	152
-approval of by Mother	-King of kings	152
Earth 22	king under—	57
King-makers 9, 21, 115, 116	minister of—	158, 59
Kingsnip (Hindu),—basis	new	159
and theories of 38-39	of Jāti, Jānapada, Sr	eņi 64
crigin of 4	Paura Court and	73
Kosala 110, 196	—reform in	159
Kratu6	reform in procedure—	159
Krishna 43	rule of	57
Krishņa-Dvaipāyana 99	Legitimacy theory of—	189
Kshatriya 20, 43	Lekhya, popular—	72
Kshattā 21	rājakīya	72, 140
Kshattri (chamberlain) 18	Leopard's skin	IO
Kshirasvāmī 70 n	Lichchhavis	110
Kshudrakas 72	Loan, interest on, and le	gal
Kukkutārāma (monastery) 121	years	53
Kula 65	M	
Kulika 105		· •
Kumāra 18n		t, 30 42 n
Kumārādhyaksha 125	Macdonell and Keith	181
Kumārāmātya 147		194, 196
Kuņāla 68, 1218, 125	Mahā— 147,	149, 193

[PT. II.

	Pages	P	ages
—Dandanāyaka	126, 147	its theory of king's servi-	
	177	-	7-58
—prithivI	178	-written under the revolu-	
Mahā-Bhārata war	60	tionary regime	54
—its date	206#	Medhātithi, his political	
Mahāmātras	125, 148	definition of Aryāvarta 113#;	119
Адта	126, 148	on mines	173
Mahāpadma	194	Megasthenes-monarchy in	
Mahattaras	105		-619
Mahāvīra	197	-on failure of royal lines	51
Mahishi	16, 42	—on Pātaliputra 74, 101,	102,
Mani (armlet)	9, 20	-	103
Majority	118, 129	on jurisdiction of Ministry	141
Mantra (Policy of State)	142	Midland and monarchy	4
Mantradharas 128,		Mīmāmsā 174,	187
	129, 130	Mines	173
Mantrin 123, 124, 126,	127, 133	tax on	
Manu	<i>c</i> .	Ministers 9, 21	
Mantri-parishad	122	-and gift by kings 119,	
-and Paura-Janapada	130	-called "kings"	116
Mantra-parishad (Cou		council of, their number	122
of State) 118,	122, 132	of Finance, of Law, Home,	
Mathurā	55#	War, Revenue, Agricul- ture, Justice, Religion,	# 1
Maurya	17, 23	Diplomacy	223
-Brihadratha	50		126
emperor, opposed by mi	nis-	designations of	126
ters	122	for the Army'	133
princes	125	-of Diplomacy	-33 127
	71	-of Finance	127
-of the palace	131		127
Mādhavāchārya on prop	-	-of mines and manufac-	
torship in land,	177-178	tories	134
	28, 193	-of Revenue 133,	134
Mānava Dharma Sāstr		*	
age of	55*	-of treasury	134
its theory of divine ki ship	55-57		
		-duty of 158,	
	; 3/	• •	-

.

Page	es		P	ages
	22	N		
9	21	Nagara 62	, 68,	70n
Rudradāman's—, refuse to		Nagala-jana (city-body		•
pay for Sudarsa repairs 12	21	under Asoka)		87
	43	Naigama	6	3.77
three classes of 13	35	-and capital	••••	105
junior and senior 14	47	—and fresh tax		94
Ministry 13	37	its separate bodies	••••	104
and Asoka 144, 145, 14	46	think together		104
-and caste representa-		Nanda		113
tion 148, 14	19	-and taxation		165
-appoints Governor and		(nava) the upstart	••••	113
other high officers 14	ţI	-Vardhana	••••	196
Bhāradvāja and power of— 14	12	Nation	****	61
—appoints princes, collects	Ì	Nāgadasaka (King of Mag	adha	1)
and spends revenue, main-			50,	188
tains government, 14	2	Nārada	IIO,	112
Chandragupta and— 12	28	Nāradas	••••	110
its duty 13	37	Nāyaka	133,	134
its jurisdiction 14	μĮ	376		151
its procedure 13	38	-	••••	101
Mint 1	۲ 9	Neg ama— co in	••••	78
•	79	-members for the tir	ne	
-	25	-		100
Moghul—Bādshahi 197, 20	2	Neighbours	4 +41	193
	9	Nigama-sabhā	****	77
	9	Nilakantha, on proprietor		
Monarchism, proper 13	37	ship in land, or conquest	••••	176
Monarchy, Great— 19		Nuns	••••	170
	50	,		
	50	0		
limited (controlled) 137, 19	3	Officers, great, of State	****	19
Monasteries 17		State	••••	3 7
Monks 17	I	Oligarchy	••••	65
Motherland 34, 3		Olympic Victory	****	14
Muhammadan 20			138,	140
Muhammadan kings 37	7 11	Qverlordship	••••	193

•

[PT. II.

	•	P	ages		Pages
	P		7	Parliament, Joint,	of Paura-
•			200	Jānapada	79-80
Pagan thought			1	Peshwā	124%
	****), 20	Paura-Jānapada,	
Pan-country so	overeignty	****	193	ed as kings	81
Parņa		****	10	-and Anugrahas	i 93
Paricchada		91.2¢	131	and bribery by	king 92
Parīkshit (kin	g of Kur	u-		-and compensat	-
land)	****	****	12	members of	99 ; 124
-Peoples' pr	osperit y			-and Council of	State 130
under	****	;**;	13	—and grant of ex	ttra taxes . 90
Parishad	6# 75	115,	120	—and Khāravela	i 90
Parisā	****	115	, 141	-and Mantri-Pa	rishad 130
Pariv <i>r</i> ikti	**=>	****	17	their chiefs	131 ; 202
Parthians	****		55#	-and poor-relief	
Paura		****	63	-and raising of	
of Takshasilä		****	68	money	
		8	6, 87	-and Rudradan	
-and rascall	y ministers			pairs of the Su water-works	
-and Samst			97	-and their daily	
committees o	ł		102	with king	
Court		****	73	inner and outer h	
executive po			,	-and Taxation	
of Takshas			96	-make or mar g	
functions	4.123	7		Pālaka	
general meet		-		Pāramesh/hya	
inner and out				Pālāgala	
Registrar of-			72	Pāshanda	
insult to-			-	Pataliputra, its	
laws of	****	****	106	tion	74
-Mukhyas a	and commi	t-		city magistrates	-
tees	6-3- 4-9		75	Nāgaraka of	
presidents of-	-aldermen	of.—	82	their six boards	
Tishyarakshi			96	Political Philosoph	
Pauranic			18	Polity	
Paura, Govern			134	"Introduction to	0 Hindu 181
Pauras			-34 2,44	47 41 / I	
		Ť	· • •	• •	

	-	
	Pages	Pages
greatest constitutional—		Paņditāmātya 123, 159
of antiquity (India)	207	Pañchālas 30, 60 82
greatest modern cons-		Procession on Coronation 43
titutional-(Britain)	207	Pushyamitra (Brahmin
Hindu-its longevity and	L I	King)48, 53
civil character	190, 206	—and Mānava Dharmasāstra 54,
Poor-relief	98	70#
Public opinion	113, 114	Q
Portfelio	131	
Post-Abhisheka ceremonie		Queen 16
Prāchī	4, 194,	position of
Pradhāna	123	R
D . 1 171	134	Rasa-khān 206 <i>n</i>
Prādvivāka	123, 158	Rascals,royal 47
	196	ministers 86
Prajā 145.		Ratna-hevis 16
Prakriti (as associations)	•	Ratnins 10, 20, 36, 115
	131, 132	Rädhagupta 120, 121#, 126,
Des statut	, 133	146
	123, 124	Rājadharma 152
Pratijñā (Coronation Oath	- · · ·	Rājakosa 202
The second states	127	Rājanya 20
Pāga	69, 77	Rājya 28, 193
	119, 126	Rājā or Rājan (king) 13n
Prithu	47	definitions-philosophic,
Prince-Minister	18#	constitutional, orthodox
Proclamations	142	and heterodox, 3.48
Progress, constitutional	206	Rājūkas 18 1 , 129
Proprietorship, in land	174-183	-assume full powers against
Protection, reward for,		Asoka 145, 146
taxes	162	Rājasūya 14, 17, 31, 53
and allegiance	164	Raja-rāshtra-bhrit 137
Priest, and kingship	59	Rāma 63; 132
Pura	62, 68	-and Paura-Jānapada70, 81
Purohita 16, 20, 21,	123, 133	—and public opinion 114
-and Dharma law	153	Rāmadāsa 206
Peace, and war	127	Rāmadāsa (Guru) III

-

	P	ages	•	Pages
Rāshtra (Assembly)	****	.85	S S	~
Rāshtrāntapāla		134	Sabhā,	
Rāshtra	6	2,67	composition of-	154
-mukhya	4452	67		151
Rāshtriya, or Presiden	t of	•		•
Rāshtra	****		1 5	131
Rāt	****	3	(law court)	154, 160
Recluse thinkers	44.4	109	Sabhāsad	'İ3I
Record of cases	****	157	Sabhya	118, 131
Re-election	••••	II	Sachiva	126
Reformation, of the Hir	idus	207	Sajāta	37, 42
Registrar, of the Paura		72	Sahāya	125, 131
Registration, of docume	nts	72	Salaries,-of minis	ters and
Representative .	123,	124	officers	135, 136
Republican theory	****	5	of the king	135, 136
Republics-of North Bil	137	4,#.	Samāhartri	18, 127, 134
Revenue	****	8 ړ.	Samaya	65, 66, 106
rigidly legal	****	161	Samavāya	83
-and Council of Mini	sters	162	Tirtha-, Sälä-, Sat	hā-, Pura 84
Revival (Hindu)		III	Janapada-, Jana	84-103
Hindu-, its golden age	· · · · ·	206	Samgrahitri	18, 21
revival of Hindu—	• ••••	202	Samiti (National A	ssembly)
Sikh—		202	• 7, 13, 1	31, 60, 61, 116
Rex	****	3	Samprati	121 <i>n</i> , 125
Rishis	****	47*	Samrāt	47, 195
Ritvik	••••	I35	Samsthänaka	97
Rudradāman	F744	50	Samvid (enactment)	•
-elected by all varnas		52	Safigha, Desa-, Jātl	-, Kūla-,
—repairs Sudarsana wa			Grāma-,	65,
works with his own mor	-	89	Pañchika	, IO2
-his repairs and Paura	i-Jāna	-	Samūha,	66, 69
pada	****	89	Sannidhātri (Master	of Trea-
realizes legal taxes	89;	1	sury)	18, 127, 134
—his ministers refuse t		E	Sarvamedha	I4, 120#
for Sudarsana repairs		l	Savara	·, 175#
-his ministers	****	120	Sākala	···· 70%
Rulership, highest duty	****	186	Sākyas	110

		Pa	ages	• •	Pages
Sāmrājy a (Fed	leral Impe		Ĩ	Sudatta, and Anātl	
ism)	••••	4, 28,	195	**** ****	156, 157
Sāndhi-Vigrah	u ka	127,	138	Sudarsana, water-v	vorks, re-
Sārthavāha		••••	105	pairs of	89;121
Sātavāhana			161	Sūdra,	21, 25, 43
Sārva-bhauma	L	28,	193	-and constitution	n 21
Seleucid empir	re		190	emperor	196 ; 206
Seleukos	••••	••••	161	member of Paura	71
Senāpati	126	, 127,	133	Sukra	163, 186
Senānī		16	5, 2I	Sumantra (Ministe	er of,
Senart		••••	77	Finance)	123
Sikh polity	••••		202	Sulaiman (the r	nerchant-
Sisupāla	,.	••••	195	author)	190
Sivāji	112	, 123,	202	Sulka	165
Smith, V.A.,	180	, 181,	182	Sūta (Court-minist	rel) 17
on 'native	law' of Ir		_	Svabhāga	163
	••••	180,	181	Svakosa (King's	Private
Soina	••••	••••	31	purse), of Rudrad	āman and
Somadeva-sür	-	••••	133	Dvaipāyana	99
Sovereignty,		and		Svārājy a	28, 40
one-umbrella		****	194	Svāvasya or Au	tocracy
Sprinkling (at	hishechan	a)	29	arbitrary rule) 4	5n, 46, 47, 193n
Srāvasti		••••	157	Svetaketu	52
Sreņi		64, 65	5, 77	Svayambhū	· 6
-mukhyas		••••	104	Sword, sacrificial	37, 113
Sreshthin (Pr	esident of			Ŧ	2
City)	****	7I,	105	Т	• • •
State	****	••••	-	Takshasilā ,	, I2 5
Sthiti	••••	••••	107	Tapovanas	109
Succession-				Taxation	32, 33
State, Tree of		••••	186	Pranaya	
-and mater				-and mercantile	
prosperity		****	188	-and Paura-Jāna	-
a trust	••••	****	187	canons of	165
Hindu-, civil		****	188	customs,	165
telos of Hind			187	divine theory of—	
—terms		202 ;	200	excise	165

	I	ages		Pages
extra-, and Paura-Jan	apad	a 90	Asoka's orders for	145
fresh-,-and Naigama	and		every three, five, to	en years 147,
Jānapada		94	•	148
indirect, policy of-,		173	of ministers	· 147
legal-, by Rudradāman		89	of officers	125
principles of		165	Treasurer	****
1		166	Tree of State	186
Sulka—	****	165		
Taxes		9, 18	U	
-and Brahmin	****	31	Ujjain	125
-as king's wages for	pro-	-	Under-secretaries	147
tection	-	; 162	Uttarāpatha	**** 86
collection of-		166	Usanas (politician)	122, 163
in proper place		166	Usurpation, theory	of 196
in proper time and form		167	Usurpers	54
-fixed by law	****	161	-and sacrament o	f corona-
		165	tion	54
on imports	• • • • •	168		
on industries		167	ν 💙 .	
—on mines	****	173	Vaishnavism	206
on produce	165	, 173	Vairājya	28, 40
Throne	-	5, 28	Vaisali seals of	105
Tiger	****	I0	Varga (body)	*75
—skin		28	of Vāsudeva and Al	crūra 75; 125;
Treasury	****	18	·	148
Tirthas		133	Vasishtha, on admir	nistration
Tirtha-Sabhā-Sālā-Samav	vāya	84	of estates	72;122
Tishyarakshitā	****	68	Vājapey a	14, 16, 17
-her letter to the •	****	7	Välmiki	48
Pauras of	**#8	II	Vāmadeva (politica	J writer)
Takshasilā	****	96	••••	97;98, 112
Township		9, 18	Vārtā	171, 172
leader of	****	9	Vāvātā	17
President of-	***2	105	Village (corporation) 18, 37
Trade, and commerce,	re-		Vedic kingship	6-I3
presentatives of-	v- 24	103	Vena	47, 48
Transfer,	18		—the tyrant	50; 55, 188

Vītihotras	Pages 196	· Pages Wines, foreign 168
Vice-roys	125	Wisdom, floating 109
—their transfer	125	Women,— at Coronation 43; 44
Videha	4 n	
Videhas (nation)	60	Y
Vikrama	52	Yasaskara (king of Kashmir) 155
Vis (people)	11, 37, 61	Years, legal nad regnal 53
Visvajit	120#	Yudhishthira 43, 64
Vrāta	77#	Yuvarāja (Crown Prince or
Vyavahärika	134	King-Assistant) 42, 63, 81
Vyāsa (lawyer)	67	abhisheka of, 81; 101, 112, 124,
•		125, 126, 133
W		
War, and Peace,	127, 129, 145,	Z
Wickramasinghe	151	Zeus 111
Wilks	181	Zeylanica, Epigraphia 151

Account of the Merchant Sulaiman Recorded by Abu Zaid.	Translated by Abbé Renaudot.
A., Artha-Ś s ətra A.Ś , AŚ., Kauțilīya,	The Artha-Śāstra of Kautilya, ed. by R. Shama Sastry, 1909, Mysore, Govt. Oriental Library Series, Bib. Sanskrita no. 37.
:	Its translation by Dr. Shama Sastry, Bangalore, 1915, Same series, no. 37, Part II.
Abhidh āna-Rā jend ra	Jaina Prakrita Kosha Ed. Rutlam, 1919.
Adhyaksha-prachāra, notes on	Notes on that section of AS. by Dr. I. J. S. Taraporewala
Aggañña 8. Aggañña Suttanta,	See Dīgha Nikāya.
Agni-Parāņa ····	Bengal Recension.
Ait. Br., Aitareya., Aitareya Br. Aitareya- Brāhmaņa,	Änand äsrama e d.
Alberuņi	Author of Alberuni's India.
Alberaņi's India	By Alberuni : Trans. by Sachau in two volumes, (Eng. Trans.) Trübner, 1888.

•

٠

.

Altindisches Leben	By Zimmer, Berlin, 1879,
Amara-kosha	By Amarasimba, Ed. in Trivandrum Sanskrit Series Nos, XXXVIII, XLIII, LI, LII, by T. Ganapati Sästrī with the commentary Tīkāsarvasva of Vandyaghatīya Sarvānanda.
Amara-simha	Author of the Amarakosha.
Ambațțha Sutta	See D. N.; translation of, in Dialogues of the Buddha, Vol. I.
Ambattha Suttanta	See D. N. Translation in Dialogues of the Buddhs Pt. I.
Anabasis	By Arrian.
G. P.	Gana-Pāţha of Pāņini.
Ancient India as described by Classical Writers, or, Ancient India as described in Classical Literature	By Mc Crindle.
Anguttara Nikāya …	Ed. by the Pali Text Society.
Anus. P. Anusäsana Parvan,	See M. Bh.
Aprārka	Commentator on Yājňavalkya-Smriti. Ed. by Hari Nārāyana Apte, 1903.
A. S. R. Árcheological Survey Report	By Cunningham,

Arrian	Author of Anabasis and Indika. See Magasthenes and IIA.
Asahāya	Commentator of Nārada Smriti.
Asvaghosha	Author of Vajrasūchī Ed by Weber in Indische Studien.
Δ, V.	Ed. by Whitney
Atharva veda	
Aţţhakathā	Commentary on the Tripitaka, by Buddhaghosa; extracts from, translated, in J. A. S. B. VII (1838.)
Avadāna-Šataka	Ed. by Speyer, in two volumes Petrograd, 1902.
Ayodhy ā K. Ayodhy ā Kāņda	Rāmāyaņa.
Āchārāńga Sutta- or Āyārańga Sutta	 (a) Ed. by Jacobi, 1882. (b) Ed. Asiatic Society of Bengal, when not otherwise specified.
Āpastambīya Dharma-Sūtra, Āpastamba Dh, S.	Ed. Georg Bühler, Bombay Sanskrit Series no. XLIV. (with Haradatta's commentary).
Asvalāyana Grihya- Sūtra	Ed. Anandāśrama Series, Poona.
Aryadeva	Author of Chatuś-śatikā ; fragments of, in J. A. S. B. 1911.
Bāla. Bāla Kāņda,	See Rāmāyaņa.
Bālama-Bhațțī	'Commentary on Mitāksharā,' Chow- khambhā Series.
Bāņa	Author of Harsha-charita.

257

.

Baudh š yana Dh. S. Baudhšyana Dharma- Sūtra	Ānandāsrama Ed. in the Smriti- Samuchchaya.
Bbāţţadîpikā	Commentary on the Pürva-Mimämeä Darsana by Khandadeva. Ed. by Mahādeva Sastri and L. Srinivāsā- chārya, Mysore, 1911.
Bhāgavata. Bhāgavata Purāņa	Bengal Recension.
Bhațțojī Dikshita	Author of Siddhänta Kaumudi.
Bloomfield	Translator of the hymns of A. V. in S. B. E. Vol. XLII.
Br. Ar. Up. Brihadāraņya- kopanishad	Nirņaya Sāgara Edition.
Brihaspati	Translated by Jolly in SBE. vol. XXXIII.
Brihaspati Sūtra	Ed. by Dr. F. W. Thomas (Le Muséon) pp. 131-166)
B‡ S ;—Brihat Sambitā	By Varāhamihira, ed. by Kern, Asiatic Society.
Buddhische Kunst in Indien	By Grünwedel ; ···
Buddha	By Oldenberg.
B. L-Buddhist India	By Rhys Davids.
C C I M. Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Museum	By Vincent A. Smith

Chandesvara	•••	Author of R N R. and V R.
Chāņaky a .	•••	Same as the author of AS., ('the son of Chanaka).
Chatus-satikā	•••	By Āryadeva, Ed. Haraprasāda Shāstri (ASB)
Chullavagga .		Vinaya Texts, Vol. II. Translation of, by Oldenberg and Rhys Davids, in SBE. Vols, XVII and XX,
Childers	•••	Author of the Pali-English Dictionary
C A I. Coins of Ancient India		By Cunningham, London, 1891.
Corpus Inscriptionu Indicorum III, C. I. I. III.	m	By Fleet for the Corpus Inscriptionum.
Cunningham	•••	Author of C A I. and A. S. R. Report of the Archeological Survey of Western India, Indian Eras
Curtius .	•••	See I. I. A., Megasthenes.
Chh. Up., Chhāndogya Up Chhāndogya- Upanishad).	Nirnaya-Sāgara Edition.
Daņdin	••• '	Author of the Dasakumāra Charita
Dasakum s racharita	-	By Dandin; Ed. with various readings by Nārāyana Bāl Krishna Godbole, (eighth Ed.) Bombay, 1917
Das Rāmāyaņa	•••	By Dr. H. Jacobi.
		259

HINDU POLITY

Dāsabodha	By Rämadāsa; Poona Ed.
Dialogues of the Buddha	By Rhys Davids, Sacred Books of the Buddhists, Series, 2 Parts.
D N. : Dīgba-Nikāya	Pd. by the Päli Text Society, (in three volumes).
Diodorus	See I. I. A, Megasthenes
Divyāvadāna	Ed. by Cowell and Neil, Cambridge.
Early History of India	By Vincent A. Smith.
Enc. Britt. Eucyclopedia Brittanica	Eleventh Ed.
Ep. Ind., EI, Epigraphia Indica,	Epigraphia Indica.
Epigraphia Zeylanica	Ed. by Wickramasinghe (Archeological Survey, Ceylon).
Epitome of Megasthenes,	By Diodorus. See Megasthenes.
Fleet	Author of Gupta Inscriptions.
Gautama.	Author of Gautama Dh. S.
Gautama Dh. Ś. Gautama Dhārma- Śāstra	Ed. by Stenzler.
Geschichte des Tāranātha.	Trans. by Scheifner.
Grierson	Author of Linguistic Survey of India.
Goptha Brāhmaņa	Ed. Bibliotheca Indica.

,	
Govinda Das	On the Rājput practice.
G. P. Gupta Inscriptions,	Edited by Fleet.
Govindrāja ···	Commentator on Rāmāyaņa.
G. R. M. Gaṇa-Ratna- Mahodadhi	By Vardhamāna; Ed. by Bhīmasena, Allahabad, 1898.
Grünwedel	Author of Buddhische Kunst in Indien, translated into English by Burgess.
Harsha-charita	By Bāņa
Haradatta	Commentator of Apastamba Dh. S.
Herodotus	By Rawlinson.
llistory of Antiquity.	In four volumes by Prof. Max Duncker ; translation of, by Abbot.
History of Mysore …	By Wilks; 2nd. Ed. 1869.
IIIL. History of Indian Literature	By Weber; translated by Zacharae. (Trübner)
Nistory of Sankşit Literature, Sanskşit Literature.	By Macdonell, 1899.
Ind. Ant., I. A. Indian Antiquary,	
I C. :—Indian Coins	By Prof. Rapson.
Indika	By Arrian.

•

•	HINDU POLITY
Indische Alterthums- kunde, Ind. Alt.	By Lassen.
Indo-Aryans	By Räjendra Lall Mitra.
Indo-Aryan Races	Ramāprasād Chanda.
J.—Jātakas	Ed. by Fausbjoll, Kopenhagen, in six volumes.
Journal Asiatique	*****
J. A. S. B. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal,	-(Calcutta).
J.B.O.R S, JBORS. J. BORS. Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society	Patna, 1915 to 1924.
J. R. A. S. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society	London.
Jaimini	Author of the Nimāmsā Darsanam.
Jolly, J	 (a) Ed. A S. Funjab Sanskrit Series, no. 4. Vol 1. Lahore, 1923. (b) Ed. Nārada-Smriti. (Bib. Ind.) (c) Translation of (b) in S B E. Vol VII.
K. Kauțilya	Kauțilya, author of the AŚ., full name- Vishņugupta Kauțilya See AŚ.

Kalpa Sūtra	See Traslation of, by Jacobi, in SBE, Vol. XIII.
K P. Karņa Parva	See M. Bh.
Katha Upanishad 🚥	Bom. Ed.
Kālidāsa	Author of Raghuvaiméa, Śakuntalā and Mālavikāgnimitra.
Kāmandaka ····	Author of KNS.
Kāmandakīya, Kāmandakīya Nīti- sāra, K N S.	The Nītisāra of Kāmandaka with the commentary Jayamangala of Šankarārya, ed. by T. Ganapati Sāstrī, Trivandrum, 1912; Trivandrum Sanskrit Series, no. XIV.
Kāma-Sūtra Kāma S., K S.	By Vātssāyana Ed. (a) Nirņayasāgara (b) Chaukhāmbā Sanskrit Series, Benares.
Kesika	By Paņdits Vāmana and Jayāditya, Ed. by Bāla Šāstrī, Benares, 1898
Kātyšyana	Quoted by Chandesvara, Mitra-Misra and Nīlakaņtha.
Kātyāyana	Author of the Värttikas.
Krishņa Dvaīpāyana	Quoted in Mitāksharā.
Krishna Yajurveda	Mysore edition.
Kāthaka Samhitā	Kāthaka Recension of TS.
Kullūka	Author of a commentary on Mānava- dharma Šūtra
	263

263

n.

HINDU POLITY

L V. Lalita vistara	Bib. Ind. Asiatic Society Ed.
Lassen	Author of Indische Alterthumskunde.
Lévi	Author of Le Nepal-in two volumes.
Life of Appollonius	By Philostratus.
Life of the Buddha	By Rockhill (Trübner).
L S I. Linguistic Survey of India,	By Grierson.
M. and K. Macdonell and Keith	Authors of the Vedic Index.
Mahā-Bhārata —M. Bh.	Bengal Recension (when not specified otherwise), Kumbakonum (when so specified) Edited by T. R. Vyāsāchārya, 1908.
M.G. Mahā-Govinda Sutta	See D. N. Vol. II.
Macdonell	Author of the History of the Sanskrit Literature and the joint author of V. I.
M. P. S., Mahāparinibbāna Suttanta	See D. N. Translation in SBE Vol. XI. and Dialogues of the Buddha, pt. II.
Mahāvagga	Ed. by Oldenberg; Translation of, by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg in S. B. E. Vols. XIII. and XVII.
Mahā-Bhāshya. Kielhorn.	By Patañjali, Ed. by Kielhorn. 264

M N. Majjhima Nikāya,	Ed. by the Pali Text Society.		
M V, Mahāvastu …	Ed. by Sénart in three volumes.		
Mādhava	Author of Nyāyamālā.		
M ā rkaņdoya P. M ā rkaņdoya Purāņa	Bengal Edition.		
M. Manu Dh Ś ; Mānava ; Mānava, Dharma- Šāstra,	Ed. by (a) J. Jolly (b) Ed. by Māṇḍalik.		
Mahāvamsa	Ed. by Geiger.		
Mālavikāgnimitra	By Kālidāsa.		
Maitrāyaņī, M S. Maītrāyaņī Samhitā,	Ed. by Schröder.		
Mc Criudle	Author of I I A, Ptolemy, Ancient India as described in Classical Literature and Megasthenes.		
Mit š kshar š	By Vijāšneśvara		
Megasthenes Ancient India as described by Megasthenes	Mc Crindle.		
Miliuda-Patho	Translated by Rhys Davids in SBE. Vols XXXV and XXXVI.		
Mitra-Miśra	Author of the Commentary Vīrami- trodaya, on Yājñavalkyasmriti (unpublished) a manuscript of in the possession of Mr. Govindadāsa of Benares, author of V M R S, V M.		

•

Mīmāņsā Darsanam, P Mimansā	By Jaimīni. with the Commentary of Sabarasvāmī, (Bib. Ind.)
Mrichchhakațika	By Śudraka; Ed, by Kāsīnātha Pāņā- urang Parab, with the commentary of Prithvīdhara, Bombay, 1900.
Modern Review	Montbly, Calcutta
Monier-Williams	Author of the 'Sanskrit English Dictionary.'
Moribus et Populis Germaniae.	By Tacitus
Mudrā-Rākshasa	by Viśākha-datta, Ed. by K. T. Telang, Bombay.
Nāgeśa	Author of the Pradipodyota on Mabā-Bhāshya By Nāgesa (Asiatic Society) Calcutta.
Nārada	Translated by Jolly in S. B. E. vol. XXXI. II Quotations in Digest
Nämalingänusäsana	Amarakosha.
Nārada Smīti (text.)	Ed. by Jolly with passages from the commentary of Asahāya (Asiatic Society) Calcutta.
Nepal	By Lévi
Nirukta	By Yāska.

•

Nilakaņ ţ ha	•••	Author of the Vyavahāra-Mayūkha Ed. by Mandlik with English Tran- slation, 1880.
Nītivāky B mrita	••••	 By Somadeva (a) Ed. by Gopālanārāyaņajanata, Bombay. (b) Ed. by Nāthurāma Premī, Bombay, 1923.
Nyäyamälä	•••	By Mādhavāchārya, Ed. Ānandāsrama Series.
Oldenberg	•••	Author of Buddha. Translated into English by William Hoey.
Oldenberg	•••	Editor of Vin.
Pañcha Tantra	•••	Calcutta Ed.
Patanjali	•••	Author of the Mahā-Bhāshya.
Pargiter .	•••	Author of the Purāņa Text, and articles in JRAS.
P. E. Dictionary, Päli-English Dictionary		Childers.
P ā ņini	•••	Author of Sanskrit Grammar.
Pāraskara Grihya- Sūtra.		Hathwa ed. with the commentaries of Karka, Jayarāma, etc, Lazarus, Benares.
Pradīpaddyota	•••	See Nāgeśa.
Philostratus	•••	Author of Life of Appollonius.

Proc. A. S. B. Procedure of Asiatic Society of Bengal,	•••••		
Prašna-Vyākaraņa- Sūtra-Vyākhjāna,	Quoted by Shamashastry in A S. p. 46 F. N.		
Pratāp Chandra Ray	Translator of M. Bh.		
Priyadarsi- Presastayah	By Rāmāvatāra Šarmā, Patna, 1915.		
P. T.—Puraņa Texts of the Dynasties of the Kali Age. or Purāņa Texts or Pargiter's Texts,	By Pargiter, Oxford, 1913.		
Raghu-Vamsa	By Kalidasa		
Ranade	Author of Rise of the Maratha Power.		
R N. R N R., Ratnākara Rēja-Nīti Ratnā- kara,	By Chandesvara, Ed., by K. P. Jayaswal, Bihar and Orissa Research Society, 1924.		
Rapson	Author of I. C Ed. Grundriss Sons,		
Rāja-Nīti- Mayūkha	By Nilakaņţha, Kāśi-Samskrita- Yantrālaya, 1880.		
R. Mitra. Rajendra Lala Mitra,	Author of Indo-Aryans.		
· ·	268		

Rāma	•••	Commentator on Rämäyaņa.
Rāmāyaņa	•••	The Rāmāyana of Vālmīki with the commentary (Tilaka) of Rāma, ed. (a) by Kāsīnāth Pāņḍurang Parab Bombay; 1888. (b) by Messrs Krishnāchārya and Vyāsāchārya
Rämadāsa Report of the Archacological Survey of Indi		Author of Dāsabodha. Cunningham and others
Rbys Davids	•••	Author of B. I. and Dialogues of the Buddha
Rise of the Mara Power.	tha	By Ranade
Rock Series and P clamations.	r0-	See Ep. Ind. Vol. II.
Rockhill	•••	Author of the Life of Buddha,
Romantic Legeud Sākya Buddha		By Beal (Trübner.)
Roth	•••	Joint Author of St. Petersburg Dictionary
R. V. :Rig-veda	•••	Ed. by Max Müller (with the commentary of Sāyana)
Śakuntalā	•••	By Kālidāsa.
Śańkarārya	•••	The commentator on KNS.

269

.

•

м

Šat. Br., Šatapatha, Šatapatha Br. Šatapatha Br ā hmaņa,	Asiatic Society ed., the Translation of in S B E Series.
Sabara	Commentator on Jaimini. See Mīmāmsā Darsanam.
Ś., Śānti. Śānti P., Ś P., Śāntiparvan	See M. Bh.
S N S. Sukra-Nîti-Sāra,	Ed. by Jīvānanda Vidyāsāgara Bhaţţāchāryya, 1890
Strabo	See Ancient India as described in Classical Literature, Megasthenes.
Sabhāparvan, S P.	See M. Bh.
Sāmaññaphala Suita	See Digha Nikāya Vol 1.
S B E. Sacred Books of the East	Ed. Max Müller, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
Saint Petersburg Dictionary, St. Petersberg Dictionary.	By Roth and Böhtlingk.
Sanskrit Grammar of Pāņini, Pāņini, P.	Asbțādhyāyī By Pāņini.
Siddhänta Kaumudi	By Bhattoji Dikshita.

Tacitus	Author of Moribus et Populis Germaniae
Tagore Lectures	By K. P. Jayaswal.
Taitt, Br , T. Brāhmaņa, Taittirīya Br. Taittirīya- Brāhmaņa,	Mysore ed. with the Commentary of Bhațța Bhāskara.
T. S. Taittirīya Samhita	Mysore ed. with the commentatory of Bhatta Bhāskara Miśra.
Ud. P. Udyoga Parvan	See M Bb.
Vajrasūcbī	By Asvaghosha.
Vāmadeva	Quoted in M. Bh.
V. Mihira. Varāhamihira,	Author of Br. S.
Vardhamãoa	Author of Gana-Ratua-Mahodadhi ; Ed. by Bhīmasena, Allahahad, 1898.
Vasishth Dh. Ś, Dharm-Śāstra.	Ed. by Fuebrer.
V ā jasaneyi-Samhit ā	Ed. Bombay.
Värttikas	On Pāņini, by Kātyāyana.
V. :Vätsyäyana	Author of Kāma S.
Vāyu P. Vāyu Purāņa, P.T.	Bengal Recension: See Pargiter's Text.

1			
V. I. Vedic Index	By Arthur Anthony Macdonell and Arthur Berriodale Keith, London, 1912		
Vijñānesvara …	Author of Mitāksharā, Bombay Ed.		
Vinaya, Vin. Vinaya Texts	Ed. by Oldenberg.		
V. Smith, Vincent A. Smith.	Author of C. E. I. M. and Early History of India.		
Vishņu Pur ā ņa, Vishņu P.	Bengal Edition.		
Vishņu Purāņa	Translation of, by Wilson and Hall.		
V. M. Vīra-Mitrodaya,	By Mitra Misra, Ed. by Jīvānanda Vidyāsāgara Bhattachāryya, 1875.		
VMRN. Vīra-Mitrodaya,	By Mitra Misra; Ed. Chaukhambs Sanskrit Series, 1916.		
Vyavabāra- Mayūkba	By Nilakantha Ed. by Mandali.		
Vyāsa	Quoted by Apararka.		
Walsh	On Kongdu administration in I A. 1906.		
Weber	Author of H.IL		
White Yajur-Veda, . W. Yajur Veda White yajurveda,	Mādbyandina Šākhā, Nirņaya Sāgara ed.		

•

Yājñavalkya. Yājñavalkya		(a) See Aparārka. (b) Ed. by Mandalik together with English translation
Sarțiti,		 (c) Ed. by Wāsudev Laxman Shāstrī Pansīkar with the com- mentary Mitāksharā of Vijñānesvara (2nd). Bombay 1918.
Yāska	•••	Author of Nirukta.
Yuddha K, Yuddha,		See Rāmāyaņa.

•

Yuddha Käņda,

•

Zimmer ... Author of Altindisches Leben.

ERRATA

PART I

Page	Line	Read	for
	15—20	213, 214	211, 212
18	32	24	28
- 19	3	"Criminal"	"Crimnal"
20	31	II	17
25	13	Similarly	similary
26	21	further	futher
27	34	p. 307	p. 807
3 0	2	people	pe <i>p</i> ople
31	29	Mayūkha	M u $y \overline{u} kha$
32	2 8	IV. 2. 47	IV. 247
3 3	22	be	to
	25	I. p. 256	p. 256
35	26	कम <u>्प</u> ि '°	कस् <mark>म</mark> ं°
40	33	Hoernle	Hoerlne
41	24	े वचन	बचन
	29	K a sik a	Kāśka
59	12	eleventh contury	eleven centuries
62	32	काम्यो अं <u>)</u>	काम्बोज <u>ी</u> °
71	35	ैरसः:	°रग <u>भ:</u>
83	12	theirs	their
95	13	§ 98. According	According
104	18	§ 104 (a)	§ 104
111	32-35	IV. 4.,	4. IV,
		IV. 5.	5. IV
115	28	humerous	humourous
124	30	धर्म.	भम्
132	11	§ 126 (a)	§ 126

Page	Line	Read	fo r
146	1	§ 135 (a)	§ 135
	33.	Pliny	Pling
150	32	vol. I. p. 165, p. 181	vol f. p. 181
175	33	(Kumb.)	(Kumil)
176	4	§ 180. It	It.
197	12	ण गासाद् <u>य</u> ⁰	चासाट,गा°
	13	न। ग्रा या	माद्यग
199	13	Brāhma-gupta	Brābma guptā
200	26	Piți	ti°
203	3	Artha Sastra	Arthas Stra
204	5	Dandin -	Dāņģin
—	15	9.	92
205	2	R ā dha°	Radha°
209	13	There	Their
211	39	Taka	Tīkā
212	21	with	hwit
214	2 1	Pāța°	Pata°
215	14	°Pati	°Pats
216	12	°Padesa	°Podesa
	25	Spon°	°Spen
		-PART]I	、
11	16	* Vises *	Visas
36	30	°ney <i>î</i>	°aeyi
47	10	°rajas	°rējas
47	33	°ying	yi
55	head-	Divine Origin of	Coronation in
	ing	king	Later Times
5 7	34	्थते .	्रिते
60	21 4	* 26 -3 5	16-28
61	25	II	I-2*
_ 0	~26		` 8
62	- 28	े स	मे
		•	۶