

Contents

List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	v
Acronyms	viii
Acknowledgement	ix
Chapter I	
Introduction	1
1.1- Introduction	1
1.2- Literature Review	10
1.2.1- Traditional Finance	12
1.2.2- Adjustment – Cost Approach	14
1.2.3- Irreversibility Approach	16
1.2.4- Covariance Approach	22
1.2.5- Adjustment-Cost or Irreversibility?	24
1.2.6- Empirical Studies	26
Chapter II	
A Review of Economy of Iran	28
2.1- Economy Of Iran	28
2.2- Uncertainties and Economy of Iran	35
Chapter III	
Theory	38

Chapter IV	
Methodology, Estimation and Analysis	56
4.1- Data And Data Preparation Process	56
4.2- Methodology	63
4.3- Uncertainty About Macroeconomic Variables	65
4.3.1- Unit Root Test	65
4.3.2- Poolability	65
4.3.3- Outliers	67
4.3.4- Fixed Effects	68
4.3.5- Estimator Selection Strategy	69
4.3.6- Multicollinearity	75
4.3.7- Estimation	76
4.4- Uncertainty about Socio-Political Institutions and conflicts	77
4.5- Uncertainty about The Quality of Public Governance	81
4.6- Outcomes Analysis	83
Chapter V	
Conclusion	98
5.1- Summary	98
5.2- Empirical Findings	103
5.3- Policy implications	105
Appendices	
Appendix A	108
Appendix B	113

Appendix C	124
Bibliography	140

List of Tables

Table 1.1- Comparison of GDP per capita and private investment rates among selected countries.	3
Table 1.2- Real and nominal interest rates for India and China.	6
Table 2.1- Comparison of socio-economical indices in Iran.	28
Table C1- List of MA processes.	124
Table C2- List of variables.	125
Table C3- Summary statistics of data.	126
Table C4- Pairwise correlation of independent variables in macroeconomic panel.	127
Table C5- Pairwise correlation among socio-political institutions and conflicts panel.	127
Table C6- Pairwise correlation of governance quality variables.	127
Table C7- List of the countries.	128
Table C8- Results for Maddala and Wu unit root test.	129
Table C9- Results for cross validation.	130
Table C10- Results for macroeconomic uncertainty panel estimation.	131
Table C11- Results for socio-political institutions and conflicts.	132
Table C12- Outcome for quality of governance uncertainty.	133
Table C13- Outcomes of VIF test for macroeconomics uncertainty panel (without uncertainty of real interest rate).	134
Table C14- Outcomes of VIF test for macroeconomics uncertainty panel (without uncertainty of inflation).	135

Table C15- Outcomes of VIF test for panel <i>a</i> , socio-political uncertainty and conflicts.	136
Table C16- Outcomes of VIF test for panel <i>b</i> , socio-political uncertainty and conflicts.	137
Table C17- Outcomes of VIF test for panel <i>c</i> , socio-political uncertainty and conflicts.	138
Table C18- Outcomes of VIF test for quality of governance.	139

List of Figures

Figure 2.1-GDP per capita of Iran from 1974 to 2000 (U.S. \$ constant prices of year 2000).	29
Figure 2.2-Private investment rate in Iran and India since 1971 to 2000.	30
Figure 2.3-Fluctuations of inflation, nominal and real interest rates since 1988 to 2000 in Iran.	31
Figure 2.4-Fluctuations of nominal interest rate and rate of private investment.	32
Figure 2.5-Comparision between fluctuations of real interest rate and rate of private investment in Iran.	33
Figure 2.6-Variation of inflation and the rate of private investment in Iran.	33
Figure 2.7-The price of crude oil (\$ U.S.) and the rate of private investment (% GDP).	34
Figure3.1	46
Figure3.2	47
Figure3.3	48
Figure3.4	51

Figure3.5	51
Figure3.6	54
Figure3.7	54
Figure B1- Uncertainty about credit to private sector vs private investment rate.	113
Figure B2- Uncertainty about exchange rate distortion vs private investment rate.	114
Figure B3- Uncertainty about growth vs private investment rate.	114
Figure B4- Uncertainty about real interest rate vs private investment rate.	115
Figure B5- Uncertainty about trade vs private investment rate.	115
Figure B6- Uncertainty about terms of trade vs private investment rate.	116
Figure B7- Uncertainty about inflation vs private investment rate.	116
Figure B8- Inequality vs private investment rate.	117
Figure B9- Democracy vs private investment rate.	117
Figure B10- War vs private investment rate.	118
Figure B11- civil war vs private investment rate.	118
Figure B12- Revolution vs private investment rate.	119
Figure B13- Constitutional change vs private investment rate.	119
Figure B14- Coups va private investment rate.	120
Figure B15- Riots vs private investment rate.	120
Figure B16- Strikes vs private investment rate.	121
Figure B17- Purges vs private investment rate.	121
Figure B18- Assassination vs private investment rate.	122
Figure B19- Box plot of macroeconomic uncertainty panel.	122
Figure B20- Box plot of socio-political institutions and conflicts panel.	123

Figure B21- Box plot of the panel of the quality of governance.

123

Acronyms

AR	Auto Regressive
ARDL	Auto Regressive Distributed Lag
CAPM	Capital Asset Pricing Model
COW	Correlates of War
DL	Distributed Lag
EHII	Estimated Household Income Inequality Dataset
FEVD	Fixed Effects Vector Decomposition
FGLS	Feasible Generalized Least Square
ICPSR	Inter-University Consortium of Political and Social Research at the University of Michigan
LDV	Lagged Dependent Variable
LSDV	Least Square Dummy Variable
MA	Moving Average
OLS	Ordinary Least Square
OPEC	Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
PCSE	Panel Corrected Standard Errors
SSE	Sum of Squares of Errors
TSCS	Time Series-Cross Section
UNIDO	United Nations Industrial Development Organization
UTIP	University of Texas Inequality Project
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor

Acknowledgements

This thesis is the end of my long journey in obtaining my degree in Economics. However, adventurous expeditions for discovery of new fields will never be finished. I have not traveled in a vacuum in this journey. There are some people who made this journey easier and more intellectually satisfying with words of encouragement and by offering different places to look to expand my ideas and analyses.

First, very special thanks to my supervisor Dr. Siddhartha Mitra. He gave me the confidence and support in the beginning of my Ph.D program in Economics when I was groping to find my way. His insight, knowledge and enormous grasp of Economics and Econometrics inspired me. He patiently spared his time and went through several drafts of this work, challenged analytical and logical weakness in it and provided constructive suggestions, which definitely improved my knowledge of the subject and analyses.

I would like to thank my family, on whose constant encouragement and love I have relied throughout my time at the Institute. I am grateful to my parents for their continuous support and my wife for her endurance. Their unflinching courage and conviction is constantly inspiring me, and I hope to continue, in my own way, toward the future. I have no words to express what and how much I owe them.

I would also like to gratefully acknowledge the support of some very special individuals. They helped me immensely by giving me encouragement, friendship and by

their valuable comments. They mirrored back my ideas so I heard them aloud, an important process in shaping this thesis: Prof. Rajas K. Parchure, Dr. Smriti Mukherjee, Kambiz Ahmadi and Saeed Sepehrvand.

I express my gratitude to the research staff, library staff and computer section staff for all the assistance provided to me during my work.

Finally I have to sincerely thank Keyvan Aghayani and Vahid Meithami. Without their help I could not have joined the Institute.