

U. S. DEPARTMENT
BUREAU OF LABOR

ROYAL MEEKER, Con

Dhananjayrao Gadgil Library



GIPE-PUNE-044098

BULLETIN OF THE UNITED STATES
BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS } No. 247

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE EMPLOYMENT
MANAGERS' CONFERENCE, ROCHESTER,
N. Y., MAY 9, 10, AND 11, 1918



JANUARY, 1919

WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
1919

CONTENTS.

THURSDAY, MAY 9, 1918—MORNING SESSION.

TRAINING LABOR EXECUTIVES.

| | Page. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Opening remarks by the chairman, Ralph G. Wells, assistant chief employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del..... | 7 |
| Remarks: | |
| Roland B. Woodward, secretary, Rochester Chamber of Commerce, Rochester, N. Y..... | 7, 8 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ord. R. C., supervisor, Government courses, Washington, D. C..... | 8-10 |
| W. H. Winans, employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio..... | 10 |
| Training of factory health officers, by Dr. Kristine Mann, health supervisor, Industrial Service Section, Women's Branch, Ordnance Department, Washington, D. C..... | 11-15 |
| Discussion: | |
| Dale G. Steely, general superintendent, W. F. Schrafft & Sons (Inc.), Boston, Mass..... | 15 |
| Robert L. Wilson, assistant general superintendent, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa..... | 15, 16 |
| G. I. Sullivan, employment manager, Worthington Pump & Machinery Corporation, East Cambridge, Mass..... | 17 |
| Mr. Lang, of the Crown Motor Co., Muskegon, Mich..... | 18 |
| Government course for training employment managers, by Prof. Meyer Jacobstein, of the University of Rochester..... | 19-24 |
| Presentation of diplomas to graduates of War Emergency Course in Employment Management, University of Rochester..... | 25-27 |

THURSDAY, MAY 9, 1918—AFTERNOON SESSION.

LABOR RECRUITING AND KINDRED PROBLEMS.

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Absenteeism, by S. R. Rectanus, director of employment, The American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio..... | 28-35 |
| Standardization of occupations and rates of pay, by Walter D. Stearns, secretary, occupation and rate committee, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa..... | 36-42 |
| Cost of living studies as a basis for making wage rates, by Royal Meeker, United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C..... | 43-50 |
| Destructive labor recruiting, by William Blackman, director of labor, Shipping Board, Washington, D. C..... | 51-54 |
| Destructive labor recruiting, by Charles T. Clayton, assistant director general, United States Employment Service, Washington, D. C..... | 55-62 |
| The present labor situation, by Morris L. Cooke, of the Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C..... | 63-65 |

THURSDAY, MAY 9, 1918—EVENING SESSION.**DESTRUCTIVE LABOR RECRUITING.**

| General discussion: | Page. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Dr. Royal Meeker, United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C..... | 66-71, 74, 79, 82, 83 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ord. R. C., supervisor, Government courses, Washington, D. C..... | 71, 72 |
| Ethelbert Stewart, chief statistician, United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C..... | 72, 73 |
| Henry T. Noyes, treasurer, Art in Buttons (Inc.), Rochester, N. Y..... | 73 |
| Mr. Elliott, of Boston, Mass..... | 74, 75 |
| Peter J. Van Geyt, employment manager, Rochester, N. Y..... | 75 |
| F. W. Burrows, editor, National Industrial Conference Board, Boston, Mass..... | 75, 76 |
| H. E. Parker, employment manager, Fore River Plant, Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Quincy, Mass..... | 76 |
| Dudley R. Kennedy, industrial manager, American International Shipbuilding Corporation, Hog Island, Philadelphia, Pa..... | 76, 77 |
| Charles T. Clayton, assistant director general, United States Employment Service, Washington, D. C..... | 77, 79, 80, 81, 84, 85, 88 |
| Charles B. Barnes, director, Bureau of Employment, New York City..... | 78 |
| Robert L. Wilson, assistant general superintendent, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa..... | 73, 79 |
| Mark M. Jones, supervisor of personnel, Thos. A. Edison Industries, Orange, N. J..... | 80 |
| W. H. Winans, employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio..... | 80, 81 |
| L. Palmer, of Buffalo, N. Y..... | 82 |
| L. S. Tyler, vice president, Acme Wire Co., New Haven, Conn..... | 83 |
| P. W. Kinney, employment manager, Gleason Works, Rochester, N. Y.... | 83, 84 |
| S. P. Hall, employment manager, Morgan Engineering Co., Alliance, Ohio..... | 85 |
| Jacob Lightner, director, employment bureau, Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry, Harrisburg, Pa..... | 85, 86 |
| Alfred Thompson, employment manager, American Brake-Shoe & Foundry Co., Erie, Pa..... | 87, 88 |

FRIDAY, MAY 10, 1918—MORNING SESSION.**DILUTION AND SPECIAL TRAINING.**

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Management of foreign-born workmen, by Helen Bacon, director, Mayor's Americanization Committee of Cleveland, Ohio..... | 89-93 |
| Discussion: | |
| Dr. Winthrop Talbot, adviser in alien education, New York State Bureau of Industries and Immigration..... | 97-99 |
| Ralph G. Wells, assistant chief employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del..... | 99 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ord. R. C., supervisor, Government courses, Washington, D. C..... | 100 |
| Psychology of the Negro workingman, extemporaneous statement by Eugene Kinckle Jones, executive secretary, National League on Urban Conditions Among Negroes, New York City..... | 101-101 |
| Standards for woman employees, by Hugh Fullerton, service director, H. Black & Co., Cleveland, Ohio..... | 106-111 |

CONTENTS.

FRIDAY, MAY 10, 1918—AFTERNOON SESSION.**SELECTING WORKMEN.**

| | Page. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Classification of personnel in the Army, by Mark M. Jones, supervisor of personnel, Thos. A. Edison Industries, Orange, N. J..... | 112-118 |
| Women in industry, by H. E. Miles, chairman Section on Industrial Training for the War Emergency, Council of National Defense, Washington, D. C.. | 119-129 |
| Job analysis, by H. G. Kobick, superintendent of employment, Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago, Ill..... | 130, 131 |
| Job analysis, by P. J. Nilsen, of Arthur Young & Co., Chicago, Ill..... | 132-134 |
| The Rochester plan, by James T. Hutchings, of Rochester, N. Y..... | 135-137 |
| Discussion: | |
| Mr. Hutchings..... | 138 |
| W. H. Winans, employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio..... | 138, 139 |
| Charles B. Barnes, director, Bureau of Employment, New York City..... | 139, 140, 141 |
| A. A. Doucet, employment manager, Laclede-Christy Clay Products Co., St. Louis, Mo..... | 140 |
| Ralph G. Wells, assistant chief employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del..... | 141 |
| Herbert E. Herrod, president, Mahoning Valley Employers' Association, Youngstown, Ohio..... | 141 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ord. R. C., supervisor, Government courses, Washington, D. C..... | 141, 142 |
| Dr. Winthrop Talbot, adviser in alien education, Bureau of Industries and Immigration..... | 143, 145 |
| Meyer Bloomfield, head of Industrial Service Department, Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C..... | 144, 145 |

FRIDAY, MAY 10, 1918—EVENING SESSION—BANQUET.**A NATIONAL LABOR POLICY.**

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Outline of a national labor policy, by Ordway Tead, of the Bureau of Industrial Research, Washington, D. C..... | 148-155 |
| Problems of industrial management, by Meyer Bloomfield, head of Industrial Service Department, Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C.,.. | 156-159 |
| Labor program of the Department of Labor, by Hon. William B. Wilson, Secretary, United States Department of Labor, Washington, D. C..... | 160-171 |
| Educational aspect of the national labor policy, by Charles A. Prosser, of the Federal Board for Vocational Education, Washington, D. C..... | 172-177 |

SATURDAY, MAY 11, 1918—MORNING SESSION.**SOCIAL PROBLEMS OF THE INDIVIDUAL.**

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| General discussion: | |
| Dr. Royal Meeker, United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C..... | 179, 186 |
| Mr. Davis, of the Retail Dry Goods Stores' Association of New York.... | 178, 179 |
| L. S. Tyler, vice president, Acme Wire Co., New Haven, Conn..... | 180 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ord. R. C., supervisor, Government courses, Washington, D. C..... | 180, 193, 194 |
| W. H. Winans, employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio..... | 182, 185, 187, 188, 189, 191, 193 |
| Alexander Fleisher, superintendent, welfare division, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., New York City..... | 185, 186 |

| | Page |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| General discussion—Concluded. | |
| A. A. Doucet, employment manager, Laclede-Christy Clay Products Co., St. Louis, Mo..... | 189, 190 |
| Mr. Hewitt..... | 193 |
| Dr. E. B. Gowin, of the New York University School of Commerce, Accounts, and Finance, New York City..... | 193 |
| S. R. Rectanus, director of employment, The American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio..... | 193, 194 |
| Utilization of men past the prime of life, by Victor T. J. Gannon, manager, Employers' Association of Chicago, Chicago, Ill..... | 197-199 |
| Industrial restoration of disabled men a conservation of power, by Charles H. Winslow, of the Federal Board for Vocational Education, Washington, D.C.. | 200-202 |

SATURDAY, MAY 11, 1918—AFTERNOON SESSION.

SOCIAL PROBLEMS OF THE GROUP.

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| General discussion: | |
| Ralph G. Wells, assistant chief employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del..... | 203 |
| W. H. Winans, employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio..... | 203, 204, 205 |
| S. R. Rectanus, director of employment, The American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio..... | 205 |
| H. G. Kobick, superintendent of employment, Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago, Ill..... | 205 |
| Roy W. Kelly, director, Bureau of Vocational Guidance, Harvard Uni- versity, Cambridge, Mass..... | 206, 207, 208 |
| Wilson C. Maston, of the Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y..... | 208 |
| Dr. Royal Meeker, United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics, Wash- ington, D. C..... | 208, 209 |
| A. A. Doucet, employment manager, Laclede-Christy Clay Products Co., St. Louis, Mo..... | 209, 211 |
| Ethelbert Stewart, chief statistician, United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C..... | 209, 210 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ord. R. C., supervisor, Government courses, Wash- ington, D. C..... | 210 |
| C. M. Brading, superintendent of safety, Wisconsin Steel Co., Chicago, Ill. | 211, 212 |
| Maintenance of existing labor standards during the War, by Mrs. Clara M. Tead, supervisor, Women's Branch, Ordnance Department, Washington, D. C.... | 214-219 |
| Discussion: | |
| Mrs. Tead..... | 219, 220, 221 |
| Capt. Boyd Fisher..... | 220, 221, 223 |
| Mrs. Anne Hedges Talbot, of the New York State Education Depart- ment, Albany, N. Y..... | 222 |
| Robert L. Wilson, assistant general superintendent, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa..... | 222 |
| Adolph F. Seubert, assistant superintendent, National Malleable Castings Co., Toledo, Ohio..... | 222 |
| Appendix A.—Labor turnover schedules of the U. S. Bureau of Labor Sta- tistics..... | 224-227 |
| Appendix B.—Register of delegates at conference..... | 228-249 |

BULLETIN OF THE
U. S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

NO. 247.

WASHINGTON.

JANUARY, 1919.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE EMPLOYMENT MANAGERS' CONFERENCE,
ROCHESTER, N. Y., MAY 9, 10, AND 11, 1918.

THURSDAY, MAY 9—MORNING SESSION.

CHAIRMAN: RALPH G. WELLS, ASSISTANT CHIEF EMPLOYMENT MANAGER, U. S. DEPT. OF
LABOR, WASHINGTON, D. C.

TRAINING LABOR EXECUTIVES.

[The meeting was called to order at 10 o'clock a. m. by the chairman.]

The CHAIRMAN. Before we call the meeting to order I would suggest that we get acquainted. One of the greatest values of a conference of this nature is the acquaintanceship of the fellow who has the same problems that you have. I am going to suggest that you make yourself known to the men on both sides of you and in front of you. Get so well acquainted that you remember each other not only during the entire convention but for the coming year's time.

Before introducing the secretary of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, I want to say that there will be considerable informality about this conference and about our program. We want to get down to work and get some real value out of this discussion and out of meeting with each other. Figuratively speaking, we are here "with our coats off," and are going to get down and "dig in" for the next few days, so we can accomplish something and each man will go back with more than he has carried from any other conference.

I take pleasure in introducing as the first speaker on the program, Mr. Roland B. Woodward, secretary of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, whose hospitality we are enjoying.

ROLAND B. WOODWARD, secretary Rochester (N. Y.) Chamber of Commerce. I told Capt. Fisher that I would do what I thought was unnecessary—tell you in the name of the chamber of commerce and of the city of Rochester that you were welcome here in Rochester. I hope your conference will not only be pleasant but exceedingly

profitable. The country needs the most intelligent service on the part of men and women in your particular jobs. It needs not only expert knowledge but vision; and I am sure that your knowledge will be increased and your vision enlarged by this exchange of ideas and this conference together here in Rochester. We hope that you will find your stay a pleasant one, and if there is any service which can be rendered by the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, you have but to command it.

The CHAIRMAN. I am sure we all appreciate the hearty welcome that has been given us, and I am going to ask Capt. Boyd Fisher, who you all know is chairman of the program committee, to express to Mr. Woodward and the Rochester Chamber of Commerce our appreciation of their cordial hospitality; and also to explain to you the details of the program for the coming three days. It gives me great pleasure to introduce Capt. Fisher.

Capt. BOYD FISHER, Ord. R. C., Washington, D. C. There were both an announced reason and certain reserved reasons in my mind for persuading the national committee of the Employment Managers' Association to change their meeting from Cleveland on May 30 to Rochester on May 9. The announced reason was the desire to have the convention celebrate and criticize the first employment management course established at the University of Rochester as well as the first established under Government supervision. The reserved reasons included a knowledge of the ability of the chamber of commerce to house any convention and also the other excellent facilities of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, and the splendid organization which Mr. Woodward has assembled and which is competent to handle all arrangements of the largest convention that the town will hold. I have in mind, too, the industrial spirit of Rochester, and the atmosphere of this town, which, to my way of thinking, has so far got along best with the labor problem under the stress and strain of war. Rochester is the "top-notch" city in the handling of its labor problems to-day; and you can not be here three days without learning something of what it has accomplished. And so, on behalf of the convention, Mr. Woodward, we wish to thank the chamber of commerce for permitting us to meet here and to bring this fine body of people into contact with Rochester's labor problem and its solution.

In a sense this is a celebration. It is a celebration of what the University of Rochester has done for the Government in establishing the first course for employment managers, at the request of the Government; and it is a celebration, on the other hand, of governmental recognition of the professional status of the employment manager. The year has brought changes in the status of the em-

ployment people, men and women. Many of our problems have been rendered acute this year. You may recall it was at our last convention at Philadelphia a year ago that we were thrilled, and at the same time somewhat shocked, by the announcement at our banquet that the President of the United States had just read to the Congress a message calling the country to war. That was a call to our soldiers, and it was especially a call to our employment people. We have learned—we had learned before we entered the war—that this was an industrial war, a war of machines. We had long had the view that American industry was perhaps the most efficient industry in the world, not excepting Germany. We rather had that view, and we called upon it pretty strongly when we entered the war. Now, we submit, is the time to prove that we have that flexibility, that ability to standardize, that ability to change and to adapt ourselves and yet to work close to limits, that ability to train workers in new lines of work, which will enable American industry speedily to win the war. It is not idle boasting—we are not talking to anybody else, we are talking with our group, without any intention to boast—when we say that upon American employment managers rests the burden of a proper selection of the men who are to carry on American industry. Therefore the effort made at our first convention last year to get us together along new lines made us aware of the importance of the employment manager's job, and presented to us the problems that we are here to-day to discuss.

I am very happy that I was permitted by the Departments in Washington for which I am working, to serve as chairman of the program committee. I feared at first that it would seem a bit irregular, but I have been privileged to express the point of view somewhat of the different Departments which I am serving, in making up this program. I came near printing on the program this caution with regard to speakers, that you should remember that most of our speakers represent Government Departments and that they are at the call of their Departments and that we are likely to have more changes and dis-appointments with regard to speakers at this conference than usual. I don't recall that we had any last year, but we are likely to have several at this convention. I finally decided not to print it upon the program because I did not want to put a premium upon changes; I did not want to encourage changes on the part of officials, changes which I feel sure may not come. There are some changes, however, which are unavoidable. Dean Schneider can not possibly come, nor can Prof. Walter Dill Scott, head of the committee on classification and personnel. However, we purposely made the program full in order that we should have plenty of good material even in case of disappointment. I hope you will accept changes in good temper and will believe that no name has been put

upon the program without the acceptance of the person named—it is not a tentative program so far as acceptances are concerned—and that any changes made are the result of the exigencies of the Administration in Washington.

With regard to the “round table discussion” this evening, and with regard to the program generally, I may say that so far as I know this convention is absolutely free from any “cooked up” scheme. There are no resolutions drafted. There is no political scheme afoot. No one has tried to express in advance the temper of this convention; no one is organizing it into any purpose; no one is aiming at any office from this association; I am sure of that.

The CHAIRMAN. Before announcing the first speaker, we will attend to one or two technical details. As you know, at the last conference there was formed a National Committee of Employment Managers' Associations. That committee consisted of one representative from each of the then authorized associations, and I am going to introduce the Cleveland representative of that committee, Mr. Winans, to make an announcement regarding the meeting of the national committee; and also to make an announcement to representatives of other associations who are not yet members of the national committee. I take pleasure in introducing Mr. Winans, of Cleveland, Ohio.

W. H. WINANS, employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio. The national committee would like to have an informal meeting at the close of the afternoon session. The representatives of the New York, Rochester, Chicago, Newark, Cleveland, Philadelphia, and Boston Associations have all been appointed. Any official representatives of these associations are requested to meet with the officers immediately at the close of the afternoon session at Mr. Booth's office. Any representatives of other associations which have been formed since the last annual meeting—I understand that Buffalo and other cities have organized—who can attend that meeting for an informal discussion of business details that should come up at this time, we would like to have them present there also.

The CHAIRMAN. The subject of our afternoon's discussion, “Training labor executives,” includes within its scope the training of women for various work in the handling of female help. For the training in hygiene of women charged with the care of female help in factories, the Ordnance Department of the War Department has established a course for health officers in factories. Dr. Kristine Mann, of the Woman's Division of the Ordnance Department, will give us a detailed description of this course.

TRAINING OF FACTORY HEALTH OFFICERS.

BY DR. KRISTINE MANN, HEALTH SUPERVISOR, INDUSTRIAL SERVICE SECTION,
WOMEN'S BRANCH, ORDNANCE DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, D. C.

I do not pretend to understand the problems of factory or employment management on their financial or technical sides. My approach is from the human side. But when I say this I do not mean that I am an "uplifter." In my extensive contact with the industrial woman for a number of years, it has seemed to me that uplifting was really not what was needed.

Nevertheless, I do believe that if industry utilizes woman power in such a way as to cause physical deterioration, it has harmed rather than benefited the community, no matter what may be its record for economic productivity. The most obvious measuring rod of the success with which industry is using women to maintain or increase output will be the women's continued good physical condition.

Yet, although my approach has been from the angle of the physical well-being of the woman, I do not believe there would be any difficulty in showing precise money losses from ill health, and the only reason such losses have not been extensively tabulated in dollars and cents is because everybody admits them so freely. Absentee lists, bad timekeeping, and large turnover are all connected up with a factory's health problem, while well-kept hospital records show how much the petty illnesses of employees actually decrease output.

The trouble is that these losses are regarded as necessary. Many employers take the attitude that people (particularly women) simply are sick from time to time because that is the way they are made.

Our standards of productivity are defined at present by low standards of good health. If all industrial women maintained unbroken standards of good health and felt continuously well, our day, I venture to say, could be shortened to seven hours and output kept up.

There is then no use in emphasizing the cost of illness to a firm. I should rather stress the fact that 75 per cent of these illnesses, among women at least, might be eliminated if the conditions of life of the workers could be made more hygienic within and without the factory.

Of course, I admit that I am speaking without carefully tabulated statistics. When I first took charge of the hospital of an industrial

plant employing 2,000 men and women, I gathered figures to show these points.

I found I could practically prove from figures that there was a great deal of illness, and that about 75 per cent of this illness was due to unhygienic conditions of life.

But I could never prove by facts (and I don't believe it is susceptible of that kind of proof) that the money expended in improving hygienic conditions would, cent for cent, more than balance that lost by illness, and since a chain of evidence is as strong as its weakest link, I could not hope to carry my point by figures.

What is needed in this instance is not complete proof but common sense. How can it be expected that a young woman will work effectively and with unbroken time if she is undernourished; if she takes no interest in her own health, but lives on pickles and sweets; if she is kept at work for such long hours that she does not fully recuperate at night, but fatigue accumulates; if she sits or stands in the same position eight or nine hours a day and gets no exercise or play in the evening to counteract the effect of monotony of posture; and if she is admitted without physical examination and placed from the first in a job that is too heavy for her?

In order to increase the productivity of a factory by decreasing illness, the living conditions of the workers must be hygienic. This involves:

1. Hygienic factory conditions:

(a) Good ventilation, lighting, protection from the health hazards of fumes and poisons.

(b) Hours of labor within the capacity of the worker.

(c) Physical examination on entrance, not only to detect disease, but to estimate physical power and suitability to job.

(d) Good lunch rooms, adequate washrooms, etc.

(e) Suitable uniforms for the women.

(f) Skillful medical care in the case of illness.

2. Hygienic home conditions:

(a) Wages sufficiently large to enable the worker to maintain wholesome living conditions—good food and clothing, enough recreation, etc.

(b) Adequate transit and housing accommodations.

And on whom does the responsibility rest for maintaining these conditions? When examined closely, we see right away that the employer can not be made solely responsible. He can supply a lunch room; but the employee must choose his own menu. The employer can supply or help supply houses; but the employees' wives must run them. He can supply caps; but the employees must remember to wear them. The employee must in the nature of things be responsible for the amount of sleep he gets, the food he eats, the

recreation and exercises he takes. Of course, he can not regulate his life if his hours of work are too long, and if his wages are utterly inadequate. Long hours are bad, not so much on account of what they do to a man as on account of what they prevent him from doing for himself. The problem of health is then one of cooperation of management and employee in the interests of greater productivity.

The health problem could be scheduled and its responsibilities apportioned fairly easily, were it not for another difficulty. The medical profession does not know with sufficient surety just what constitutes a hygienic life for the average individual.

How can an employer know about safeguarding his women employees in the interests of productivity unless he knows authoritatively that, say, an eight-hour day produces more than a nine-hour day, with less strain to the woman. What constitutes good housing facilities? Should a factory have a rest room necessarily? And if so, how large should it be and how many couches should it contain per 100 girls? When should rest periods come? Or would exercise periods be better? To all these questions the medical profession has given no definite answers.

Considering the tremendous importance of the health of women in industry at the present time, and the physical readjustment these women must make before they are satisfactorily absorbed into the occupations of men—and considering that successful readjustment is practically dependent on their own intelligent cooperation, is it not most desirable that any factory employing women have from the very beginning a trained health officer (not necessarily a doctor) whose duties would be (1) to see that the hygienic conditions under which women must work and live are made as satisfactory as possible, and (2) to educate them to their personal responsibility in the matter of their health?

The British Ministry of Munitions passed a law to the effect that "lady superintendents"—as they called them—should be appointed in every factory employing women. This worked well in those factories which secured the right kind of a superintendent; but was resented by the employees in less fortunate factories. The lady superintendents were, for the most part, untrained and inexperienced, which accounted for their failure, in many instances, to fill the bill. England has more recently started courses for the training of her "lady superintendents," and what we need in this country right now is a school to train health officers, or woman supervisors. This type of executive is not only what war industries need, but what other industries will come to, the employment of a health officer who would know how to interest the industrial women in maintaining a high standard of health by wholesome ways of living—sensible clothing,

nourishing food, adequate exercise—and in general to establish an esprit de corps among the employees regarding health.

What would the course of training for the health officers involve? Primarily a knowledge of those things that pertain to the physical well-being of industrial women.

The woman supervisor should be able to advise the manager with regard to rest rooms, canteen, the physical condition of individual girls—not as respects disease, but as to probable physical power and efficiency—and should be able by keeping closely in touch with the worker, to observe early signs of undue fatigue and to suggest ways of obviating strain and conserving power, as by the maintaining of good posture. She should be able to advise the girl as to diet, recreation, exercise, thus supplementing the work of the doctor. She should be in close touch with the employment manager, advising him in the placing of girls in accordance with their physical capacity.

On the other hand she must know something of factory problems from the employer's side in order that close cooperation may be effected.

The ideal course would perhaps be the first two years of the medical college—that part of the work that pertains to normal body functioning—supplemented by a course in labor problems and practical work in a factory. Such a course would turn out real “industrial hygienists” capable of planning healthful conditions in factory and home.

But we can not wait two years for the graduates of such courses. We need trained women supervisors now. We, in the Women's Division of the Industrial Service Section of Ordnance, have, therefore, been planning an intensive course this summer at Mount Holyoke College. We do not mean to take inexperienced women or young college girls and train them completely. We mean to choose those whom we train from two groups of women:

1. Those who have already had successful experience as industrial supervisors or social workers, and supplement their practical experience by courses in physiology and hygiene—these courses centering about the question of health as it particularly affects the industrial woman, and

2. Graduates of physical education colleges of four or five years' standing, whose training should be supplemented by a study of labor problems and by practical field work in factories.

Our first course for health officers opens June 26 and will last eight weeks. The circulars will be out in a week or 10 days. We plan to certificate by August 25, 30 to 40 health officers trained to examine girls physically in order to estimate their capacity, to judge which girls could be put on heavy work, for instance, and having also knowledge of the effect of different types of diet, exercise, recre-

ation, and posture on the normal body, together with knowledge of the usual health hazards and ways of minimizing them.

The health officer should go into the factory uniformed and she must be in good health herself. She will be fitted to advise the employees in their individual problems, to organize gymnasium and drill classes if needed, to know housing opportunities, and to utilize town facilities in other ways. On the other hand she will be fitted to advise the manager wherever problems arise in connection with the substitution of women for men in industry. She will be a trained or professionalized supervisor of women.

The stimulating influence of a wholesome personality in a small community of girls can hardly be overestimated. Granted healthful conditions of work, the industrial woman, if her mind were once turned to the problem of increasing her own physical power, and her ambition aroused to be well, can do for herself more than can ever be done for her.

We desire to place our health officers in plants by September 12. Our effort is to turn women from other vocations into industrial plants, that they may, through the assistance they can offer in improving the conditions of women's lives, increase our woman power, without injuring the woman, and so help in America's present crisis.

DISCUSSION.

The CHAIRMAN. Gentlemen, I am going to ask you to ask questions. Now is the opportunity to bring out further points in regard to this plan.

Mr. STEELY, general superintendent, W. F. Schrafft & Sons (Inc.), Boston, Mass. What is the best uniform for woman workers?

Dr. MANN. I think that question has not been decided and can not be at present, because it must be decided from experience and from the character of the work. It will have to be worked out in some factories first perhaps and tried out before it can be standardized and unified for all factories.

Mr. STEELY. I had in mind the overalls for women.

Dr. MANN. I feel that the chief requisite of the uniform is that it should be hygienic, that it should save the woman from the hazards that she would have been subjected to if she wore her regular clothing. Personally I see no objection to the overall uniform, if that is the thing which best answers for the particular job that she is filling.

ROBERT L. WILSON, assistant general superintendent, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa. I was very much interested in Dr. Mann's paper. I would like to say that we have about 2,000 women. We have endeavored to obtain a

health officer who would perform the functions outlined, and who had the technical training. We have had several, all of whom seemed to fill the requirements so far as technique was concerned; but we have not yet been able to find one of the right personality. Women are very temperamental, and we have not been able to get a woman who could handle them. That feature, it seems to me, should take a very prominent place in the course of instruction for the health officers. I don't know how it can be done. However, I want to emphasize this particularly—the technical training is not the difficult point.

We are also trying to determine the proper garb for factory workers. I tried personally to have the women decide that, and they had a great many ideas, but I believe myself that the question can not be settled in any definite way, because it depends upon the character of the work. A great deal of our work, upon which we have used women for years, is such that I don't believe they need any special garb, and as the chance of accident is very slight, they can wear their ordinary clothes, but the present campaign to put women on machine tools and such work emphasizes and almost makes necessary some particular sort of garb. An overall of some sort is the thing for that sort of work, and the women seem to favor it. For some other kinds of work we have tried the "cover-all" apron which does very well. A great many points like this have not been settled, but I would like to ask Dr. Mann one point and that is as to whether, for most sorts of work that women are engaged in in factories and manufacturing establishments, she would recommend as very desirable or necessary a rest period between starting and stopping time; that is, in the middle of the morning and in the middle of the afternoon?

Dr. MANN. I would like to reply first to Mr. Wilson's comment on the problem of personality. Every person whom we train in this school of ours is to be personally interviewed. We are going to make no arrangement by letter. The women that we train this summer are going to be women who have already made some kind of success in the handling of girls. I admit the personal element is basic, fundamental, to what we give them on the technical side.

Then in regard to the rest period. The question of rest period is intimately bound up with the question of length of hours and time apportioned to luncheon, I fear. In general, I think that the 15-minute rest period in the middle of the morning has been found successful where tried. And it seems to me that in most processes it is advisable and it has given effective results. But that is one of the questions which has to be definitely worked out by the medical profession. There are no experiments, it seems to me, complete

enough to prove it. I think the only way is to try it out and see how it works; have some one in the factory who can observe the results.

G. L. SULLIVAN, employment manager, Worthington Pump & Machinery Corporation, East Cambridge, Mass. I would like to ask Dr. Mann with regard to the duties of health officers, particularly pertaining to the hygienic conditions. I would also like to ask Dr. Mann with regard to the instructions in gymnastics. What period of the day is best to divide.

Dr. MANN. My idea of a health officer is that she will have to adapt herself to widely varying conditions. If she is in a small factory, she can do more supervising than in a large factory. If she is in a factory the home conditions of whose employees are unsatisfactory, she would probably have to have under her a visiting nurse to visit the homes. The visiting would probably be done by some one else but under her supervision. That is, it would be a part of her responsibility, and she would have to approach it with the utmost tact, I grant.

I am a great believer in the right kind of exercise for industrial women. That is because I have had a good deal of experience with it. After a woman has been standing all day doing a monotonous job she must have exercise if she is not going to deteriorate. Of course, the best time for exercise is in the morning. If, however, that can not be arranged without completely upsetting the factory organization, and she is not too fatigued, I believe that exercise in the evening is better than no exercise at all. I think if a girl can take her evening recreation partly through gymnasium classes or dancing classes it may benefit her considerably. If she benefits by that evening work, and if it is impracticable to arrange for such exercise in the daytime, I believe that evening classes should be organized. But I think the ideal time is in the morning. Setting-up exercises before work would be very desirable, I think, for the majority of women.

DELEGATE. Does Dr. Mann have for her object in these recreation periods diversion or rest, entertainment or actual exercise of the body? I mean by that do women get as much recreation out of the dancing as they would out of physical instruction or physical movement?

Dr. MANN. That is another point on which I feel quite strongly. I think that we overestimate, perhaps, the necessity of actually lying down—rest. That is, with young people it seems to me that frequently exercise is what they need. If they were left free to play ball in the rest period or run and romp and dance, I think the ma-

majority of them would be better off for it. I think that depends on the age of the women. But for young women I believe that the rest period is better freely spent in exercise. At least, the opportunity should be given for the girl to take her choice.

[Answering of further questions was deferred until the session of the following morning, at which session the specific question of the introduction of women into war work was scheduled.

[It was moved and seconded that a committee be appointed to consider ways and means for publishing the proceedings of the convention immediately.

[Capt. Fisher suggested a change in the motion to the effect that the committee, when appointed, should include Mr. Ethelbert Stewart, of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, in order that the Bureau might properly be represented in any decision made.

[Mr. Stewart suggested that the Commissioner of Labor Statistics, Dr. Meeker, should be appointed in his place.

[The chairman appointed as the committee, Mr. Winans, of Cleveland, Ohio, chairman; Mr. Booth, of Rochester, N. Y.; Mr. Lang, of Muskegon, Mich.; and Dr. Meeker, of Washington, D. C.

[The committee made its report at the evening session.]

The CHAIRMAN. I would like to make a speech at this point, but inasmuch as I would like to be on friendly terms with you for the next few days perhaps I had better refrain; but it seems to me that the subject on which Prof. Jacobstein is going to speak is of importance because it not only shows the need for the work which he has been doing, but marks a very important stage in the development of employment management. It shows that the Government and large corporations are realizing strongly the importance of this work and of having general light thrown upon it. I am now going to introduce Prof. Meyer Jacobstein, of the University of Rochester, who has been conducting the first Government course on employment management.

GOVERNMENT COURSE FOR TRAINING EMPLOYMENT MANAGERS.

BY PROF. MEYER JACOBSTEIN, OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER.

As I am to talk about a war-emergency course, I suppose I ought to give a war-emergency speech, and that is exactly what I am going to do. I shall use an outline, however, very little time having been given me for elaborate preparation. But I do hope that if I do not cover comprehensively the subjects which you may have in your own mind you will ask questions regarding them; I will answer them to the best of my ability.

First, as to the origin of this course. From the outset every one in this country realized that the war must be won by labor, and that the problem had to be studied scientifically and sympathetically; and for that work all institutions had to be utilized. Now, one of the newest institutions in industry is the employment management institution, and it is a very promising one, for the discovery has been made that where it has been installed along scientific business lines it has never been abandoned. So that here was a new institution that the Government officially decided to study and extend and apply to industry as far as possible; and when people began to make demands for trained employment managers, officials at Washington realized the scarcity of good, experienced, trained employment or service men. The question then arose as to how to train and develop men so as to have a reserve corps of these trained and experienced men. Germany has been training her men for 50 years. We must do it in six weeks, and necessarily we can't do in six weeks what Germany has done in 50 years. Therefore the Government hit upon the training school or the training course, an intensive course which could be given to men who had business-trained minds, but who were not especially versed on particular problems of service and management. And so, with the cooperation of the several Government agencies, the War, Labor, and Navy Departments, all cooperating and acting through the Storage Committee of the War Industries Board, of which Mr. James Inglis is chairman, a course for training employment managers was established at the University of Rochester.

A word regarding the training-school idea. I have heard business men say, "What a foolish notion! The idea of trying to train a man in six weeks. It can't be done." We can't make men over in six weeks, and it would be folly to attempt to develop men in six weeks; the scope of such a course must necessarily be limited. A six weeks'

course necessarily involves many limitations. And yet, even though I went into it with many misgivings and doubts, these doubts gradually were dispelled as the course went on; and I am surprised that so much can be accomplished in six weeks' time. I can say that without egotism because the success of the course has been largely due to the lecturers and the character of the men in attendance and not the directors. The Government submitted to us a definite curriculum covering the following four divisions:

1. Employment department practice.
2. Labor economics.
3. Industrial organization.
4. Statistics.

We were also instructed as to the method of presenting the subject, namely through lectures, visits to factories, assigned readings, classroom discussions, and personal work. With the permission of the Government Departments we cut down on the amount of theoretical subjects in the original curriculum. The character of instruction and methods of this training school are making the American college and university more practical educational institutions.

Why was Rochester selected as the location for this first training school? Not because it is the most wonderful city in the world, which Rochesterians readily admit, but largely because we have here in Rochester some well-organized plants which the owners gave over to us as laboratories for our students. We have used them as laboratories to good effect. We also have a college in Rochester which was visionary enough to see the value of such a training course. The University of Rochester offered its services to the extent of bearing the expense in the operation of this first course. Here at Rochester we have been able to combine the college and the factory, and so Rochester was selected as the starting point. As you know, a course is now being given at Harvard University.

The Government agencies at Washington did not pick the students who entered this course. The Government merely offered the manufacturers of the United States a place where they could send a man to get training. The students were selected by the general managers or the employers because they gave promise of being able to assimilate ideas in this short time and to achieve some success in their particular line. There was no compulsion.

At first 20 men were allotted to us. But so many factories wanted to send men that we increased the number to 25. We are actually graduating 24, that number representing 8 from shipyards, 2 from arsenals, 1 from the Navy Department, 2 from the United States Employment Service, and 11 from private institutions. I shall not read the names of the firms represented, because as the students are

awarded their diplomas this morning you will learn their affiliations. As Capt. Fisher reads the names of the men he will doubtless read the names of the firms they represent.

These students are not students in the ordinary sense of the term. They are not boys; they are men. The average age of the students enrolled is 35. Two-thirds of the men are married. All are practical experienced men.

Some of the men told me that in the first two weeks of the course they lost from 5 to 15 pounds. I won't tell you how much I lost because I have not had the courage to weigh myself. But it is serious business for these men, because they are going back to prove their worth.

Now as to the course itself. First, as to what we are teaching. What is the content of the course? I can't tell you in six minutes all that it has taken six weeks to teach, but I would like to run over these subjects briefly.

The practical nature of the course is indicated by the subjects covered and our method of instruction. A list of these subjects is printed and will be distributed at the close of this session. We have been bringing the factory into the classroom. We have had lecturers from the various factories in the city and from out of town who are practical men, experts in their lines, to talk upon themes very practical in themselves. For instance, we were to discuss the subject of women in industry, a vital problem; Miss Mary B. Gilson, of the Joseph & Feiss Co., came here on two occasions and presented this subject. Miss Gilson is a practical woman, and we had a fine practical discussion on the problems incident to this subject. On the subject of safety organizations in industry we had three eminently practical men: Mr. Robertson of the Eastman Kodak Co., preeminently an organizer along safety lines; Mr. C. W. Price of the National Safety Council, and Capt. R. R. Ray, formerly of Dodge Bros. These three men gave us the benefit of their years of experience in this work. On the subject of medical examinations we called in Dr. Cadmus, who has had three years of experience in medical examination in a finely organized department in Rochester. On the question of interviewing the prospective employee we had talks by Mr. Kinney of the Gleason Works of Rochester and by Mr. Armstrong of the Bausch & Lomb Optical Co., who have interviewed hundreds of thousands of men. So I say we have brought the factory into the classroom.

In addition to this we have taken the classroom into the factory. Although we had only 35 days of instruction we put the men 4 full days in the factories. We placed them in the employment departments of factories, where they remained for 9 hours. They saw how these employment offices were operated in Rochester.

In addition to this we have had some intensive reading on the subjects discussed in the classroom. Our library consisted not of theoretical material written by theoretical men, but such literature as the proceedings of your last convention, and the conference proceedings at Boston, Indianapolis, and Philadelphia.

Perhaps I had better give you an idea of our course by reading a portion of the schedule. We carried this program for two weeks but dropped down a little because it was too severe.

WAR EMERGENCY COURSE IN EMPLOYMENT MANAGEMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER.

DAILY SCHEDULES.

Tuesday morning, April 16.

- 9.00 to 10.30—Lecture on Complaints and grievances, by Prof. Jacobstein.
 10.30 to 11.00—Relaxation.
 11.00 to 12.00—Reading on Complaints and grievances.

Tuesday afternoon, April 16.

- 1.30 to 2.30—Reading on Complaints and grievances.
 2.30 to 3.30—Lecture on A suggestion system, by Carl S. Hallauer of the Eastman Kodak Co.
 3.40 to 5.30—Gymnasium exercise.
 6.15 to 9.00—Biweekly meeting of Employment Managers and Service Group of Industrial Management Council of Rochester Chamber of Commerce.

Wednesday morning, April 17.

- 9.00 to 9.45—Review of previous day's work.
 9.45 to 10.00—Relaxation.
 10.00 to 12.00—Lecture on Women in industry, by Miss Mary B. Gilson, of the Joseph & Feiss Co.

Wednesday afternoon, April 17.

- 1.30 to 3.00—Reading on Athletics and social activities.
 3.00 to 4.00—Discussion on Athletics and social activities.
 4.00 to 5.00—Student conferences and assigned readings.
 5.00 to 6.00—Gymnasium exercise.
 7.30 to 9.30—Assigned reading and notebook work.

Thursday morning, April 18.

- 9.00 to 9.45—Review of previous day's work.
 9.45 to 10.00—Relaxation.
 10.00 to 12.00—Lecture on Safety organization, by J. A. Robertson, general manager of camera works, Eastman Kodak Co.

Each day we worked up the problems lectured upon or discussed. These men are practical men. They got together in little groups in

their hotels and if there was anything wrong with the practice or theory that we have given them they are going to see it and discard it. So they are able to sift out the material for themselves. We know they are men of judgment and able to do this. I regard that as one of the practical methods of instruction in this course, to stimulate the students so that when they go back to serve their respective firms they will discuss the problems with their colleagues.

We would have given more attention to the theory of labor statistics, labor organization, and industrial organization, but we have not had time to do it. And I want to say also that we were able to make our instructions practical by virtue of the invaluable aid and cooperation given us by the chamber of commerce. We have an employment managers' group, which is one of the subsidiary groups of what is known as the industrial management council of the chamber. This group meets every two weeks and at its meetings hears problems discussed and presented by practical men from here and elsewhere. So with the aid of the chamber of commerce, the cooperation of the Rochester firms, and practical literature, we have, we think, given a practical course here.

AIMS OF COURSE.

What are the aims of the course? What are we trying to do?

There has been so much publicity given to this course I must disillusion some of you who are here and some who are not here. Some have the idea that we have taken the men out of college and put them through a six weeks' course and then sent them out to manage some large manufacturing establishment. We are not trying to do this. We are not trying to train or develop business managers, but employment or service men, men who will spend their lives handling the labor problem, who will bear the same relation to labor as the financial man bears to finance, as the sales manager bears to the subject of sales and as the production manager bears to production problems. This is what we have attempted to do. We have a few men who have come to us with little experience, and by literature and lectures we have attempted to show those men the best practices. The experienced men we have tried to broaden. We have one man who has been in one industry, who has never been able to get away from his plant, and who has never read; we think we have broadened his vision. To both of these classes, experienced and inexperienced, we have tried to give the idea that the service manager has a bigger job than the business manager or the general manager has conceived of prior to this time. We have attempted to impress upon the prospective service and employment man that he has a big job, and that opportunity awaits him in industry, and we have attempted to do this

by pointing out to him the various opportunities for service—service to labor, to capital, and to the community. Our idea has been to give the practices as well as the principles. I make a distinction in my teaching between theory and principles. We have talked principles in this course, though we have not taught abstract theories. We have given some of the principles that underlie industrial management and factory and labor management, but the big thing that we have tried to “get over” with our men is to give them all a bigger vision of their job and the opportunity that lies before them.

You might ask, What is the job of the service man in industry? I can't tell you, in so many words, what we told them, but I will say this first by way of negation: We have not taught our service men that they are going to “bust” labor unions; and if there is any general manager who is going to put a man from our course into a job with the idea of eliminating trade-unions from industry he is mistaken. That is not our function. We are not propagandists for or against unions. If any general manager, furthermore, thinks he can put service managers into industry as a substitute for fair play and justice, he is mistaken if he takes any of the men from this course. We have taught them that labor and capital have duties and responsibilities and that the primary function and obligation of the service man is to study what these responsibilities and duties are and explain them to labor and capital and thus bring them together harmoniously. We have taught our service men to regard the laborer, as he has not always been regarded, as a human being with instincts that are essentially human, and with aspirations that are some times superhuman. If our service men have carried away this idea with them we are confident that they will do justice to the ideals that we have presented.

It is not for me to say if we have achieved these aims. But I ask you to get in touch with these men who are wearing the yellow ribbon. I ask you to take them aside and ask them confidentially what they have learned from this course. I want to tell you that I have seen some of the letters sent home to the managers; I have seen some of the replies. If you want to know whether we have accomplished anything practical, talk to their general managers.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to those who have helped make this course a success, first to the lecturers who have helped make the course practical, then to Capt. Fisher, and to the employment managers of Rochester who have taken each week three or four men into their offices at a time when they were swamped with work, and finally to the chamber of commerce. We hope that out of this emergency course will grow such interest among the big business interests of the country that we may continue this course in time of peace as well as in war.

PRESENTATION OF DIPLOMAS TO GRADUATES OF WAR EMERGENCY COURSE IN EMPLOYMENT MANAGEMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER.

[The presentation of diplomas to the students who had completed the employment managers' course at the University of Rochester then took place, Capt. Fisher presiding. Capt. Fisher read two letters, one from the Secretary of War and the other from Chairman Hurley, of the Emergency Fleet Corporation, congratulating President Rush Rhees, of the university, upon the successful completion of the course, Capt. Fisher stating that the letters had been given to him only that morning and that he had been unable to present them personally to Dr. Rhees and asking Prof. Jacobstein to do so.

The letters were as follows:]

WAR DEPARTMENT, *Washington, D. C.*

DR. RUSH RHEES,

President University of Rochester, Rochester, N. Y.

MY DEAR DR. RHEES: The graduation of the first group of students in the war emergency course in employment management at the University of Rochester is an occasion worthy of notice. It has a relation to our successful conduct of industry in war time which the national committee of employment managers' associations has been wise to recognize. I hope that the convention will go further than to celebrate, as it is doing, the completion of the first training course for employment managers, and that it will give helpful criticism and other assistance in enabling us to improve these courses as time goes on.

The University of Rochester in giving the first of these courses under Government supervision has shown an insight and vision which is in itself something of its own reward. I can not help feeling, however, that the Government owes you and your trustees a very definite acknowledgment of thanks for giving these first courses without cost either to the Government or to the students. I am informed also of the great amount of attention given by Mr. Henry T. Noyes to this matter, and the personal sacrifices made by Prof. Meyer Jacobstein in giving the course.

I wish you continued and increasing success.

Yours sincerely,

NEWTON D. BAKER,
Secretary of War.

UNITED STATES SHIPPING BOARD, *Washington, D. C.*

DR. RUSH RHEES,

President University of Rochester, Rochester, N. Y.

MY DEAR PRESIDENT RHEES: The Emergency Fleet Corporation is proud of having so large a representation in the first class graduating in the Government's war emergency course in employment management, given at the University of Rochester. I am glad that our shipyards, upon whose industrial

conditions so much depends, have seen the value of establishing employment departments and giving their employment men the benefit of the best thought in this important field of industrial endeavor.

The Emergency Fleet Corporation is especially grateful to the University of Rochester for establishing this first course and, at a time when it is meeting other burdens, that the university has undertaken to give the first two or three of these courses without cost to the Government or to the students. I hope that your courses continue to be successful, and I want to congratulate you, Prof. Jacobstein, and Mr. Noyes for the accomplishments to date.

Sincerely yours,

EDWARD N. HURLEY,
Chairman.

Capt. FISHER. The giving of these diplomas in the presence of this convention may seem a superfluous ceremony. It does not instruct you directly in anything that you came to Rochester to hear, but it does instruct you in something that you had to come to Rochester to appreciate. It tells visually and in action more than many a speech could tell in words. It is a visible sign that the profession of the employment manager has come to be recognized as a separate profession, somewhat similar to the mechanical engineers' profession, or the physician in industrial practice, or, at least, a trained nurse or the college professor. We have catapulted these men into the employment profession. Hitherto a general manager or superintendent has grabbed a paymaster or assistant in the cost-accounting office and said, "Here, hire some more men: we need them." But somehow or other within the last five years these men injected with so little ceremony into a place in industry and with so few instructions and with the slight help of the idealism of the best in management have themselves visualized what their jobs mean. As I told you when the course was opened, the chief job of the employment manager to date has been the saving of the soul of the general manager. And these men, and those whom you will find sitting beside you there, are men who have lifted themselves by their own boot straps by virtue of the demand for their particular service in industry. The opportunity was there, gentlemen, and you have risen to it. The idealism that has grown to surround the profession of the employment manager demands that he be put through an apprenticeship starting with six weeks—I hope it will be six years. However, I am not going to put that period as any measure of what the gentlemen taking this course will achieve, because, as you have reason to demand of the profession, the gentlemen in this course have realized that we are at war, have realized that there is a need of more trained men, and realized, furthermore, that there is need of their demonstrating an experiment in the training of the employment manager. And what they have done has been magnificent. Many of these men, for years out of any student course,

have been wrenched from a practical life full of activity and been seated at a school bench in a "little red schoolhouse" for 9 or 10 or 12 hours a day for 6 weeks; and all but the man who was compelled to leave for pressing business reasons on the first day of the course have stayed through and become enthusiastic employment men. I feel it is worthy of this convention that we recognize the first men trained in employment management, slight though the training may be.

[Capt. Fisher then made the presentation of diplomas to the graduates of the course, comprised of one representative of the following companies or departments:]

Barrett Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
 National Malleable Castings Co., Indianapolis, Ind.
 Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, N. Y.
 United States Employment Service, Department of Labor, Washington, D. C.
 Johnson Shipyard Corporation, Mariners' Harbor, S. I., N. Y.
 Frankford Arsenal, Philadelphia, Pa.
 E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.,¹ Wilmington, Del.
 Baltimore Dry Dock & Ship Building Co., Baltimore, Md.
 Packard Motor Car Co., Detroit, Mich.
 Pusey & Jones Co., Wilmington, Del.
 Brooklyn Navy Yard, Hull Division, Brooklyn, N. Y.
 Maryland Shipbuilding Corporation, Sollers, Md.
 Merchants Shipbuilding Corporation, Philadelphia, Pa.
 Passaic Cotton Mills, New Bedford, Mass.
 Hercules Powder Co., Wilmington, Del.
 Merchants Shipbuilding Corporation, Bristol, Pa.
 Henry Disston & Sons (Inc.), Tacony, Philadelphia, Pa.
 Mobile Shipbuilding Co., Mobile, Ala.
 General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.
 Morris & Co., Chicago, Ill.
 United States Employment Service, Department of Labor, Baltimore, Md.
 Central Employment Department, Rochester, N. Y.

The CHAIRMAN. This has been a most delightful and impressive occasion and I am sure we are all gratified in witnessing the presentation of diplomas to the men who, we all realize, are going to do a great deal to bring about better conditions in the plants and industries to which they are going.

[Session adjourned at 12.30 p. m.]

¹Two representatives.

THURSDAY, MAY 9—AFTERNOON SESSION.

CHAIRMAN: F. W. LOVEJOY, GENERAL MANAGER EASTMAN KODAK CO., ROCHESTER, N. Y.

LABOR RECRUITING AND KINDRED PROBLEMS.

[The meeting was called to order at 2 o'clock p. m. at the Rochester Chamber of Commerce by the chairman.]

The CHAIRMAN. It has fallen to my lot, and I consider it a great privilege and honor, to preside at this meeting, as I happen to be the chairman of the industrial management council of this chamber. The council has as one of its most important groups the employment and service group. This has existed for some years and is doing excellent work, and we feel sure that as the result of this very gratifying convention, and the very gratifying attendance at it the council will do very much better work for the employers of Rochester in the future. The employers of Rochester congratulate themselves on the opportunity that you have given them to entertain you here at the chamber at this time. We understand that it was your intention to go elsewhere, and we count it no credit to Rochester and to ourselves that you are here; the credit comes by way of Washington. It was the decision of the people in Washington to place at the University of Rochester the first national employment course instituted in this country, and we presume that is the reason why Rochester was selected at this time. Nevertheless, whatever the reason, we are very gratified that you should come here.

One of the most perplexing problems of the employer, and one which he finds most difficult to meet in a treatment of his labor difficulties is that of absenteeism. We are privileged this afternoon to be addressed on that subject by Mr. S. R. Rectanus, director of employment, American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio.

ABSENTEEISM.

BY S. R. RECTANUS, DIRECTOR OF EMPLOYMENT, THE AMERICAN ROLLING MILL CO., MIDDLETOWN, OHIO.

Absenteeism is such a comprehensive word that it seems best to define it in a general way and indicate the special phase of it to which I will confine my remarks.

Absenteeism is the antithesis of organization. If you have less men than you really need to do the work assigned to you for the winning of this war, you suffer from it. If your men are discharged,

or quit, you suffer from it. If your men for any reason are absent from their posts of duty, you suffer from it. If you can tell that a man is present only by seeing him and not by the result of his labor, you suffer from it.

When all men are absent you have no organization. When the men are all present, physically and mentally, every day, some planning, some directing, some executing, then organization is the word to use to describe the condition which results.

Absenteeism in all its various forms is Germany's chief ally on this continent. It is the "Old Man of the Sea" of every employment manager, the canker in the roots of our strength, the blight on the fruits of our labor.

Let me assume, however, that you have a going concern, producing material needful for the speedy, successful conclusion of the war; that your plant is consequently well designed and properly manned, equipped and managed in a way to secure good working conditions; that you have a moderate turnover and a reasonable supply of men for replacement. Still you find that the charts of progress and output show disconcerting variations from those desired. There is a spirit of uneasiness abroad, a restless tension in the air.

This gang is behind—because Jim, the regular leader, is not at work. That crew is slow because there are two new fellows on it. You see a handy man at a lathe because the operator is missing. A foreman is in a stew because his instructor had to be sent to another shop for the day to fill a temporary vacancy. When you go to the time office and check over clock cards, you find from 5 to 15 per cent of them not punched that day. To-morrow approximately the same number of cards is not touched, but they belong to different men.

Those are the men I want to consider, the men on the roll who work nearly every day, the men whose output is needed, who can work and should work, but who don't work; not the few who won't work, but the many who don't work.

Detailed statistics are frequently uninteresting, and I will not bore you with any now. Information which I have secured from a considerable number of necessary industries, quite widely distributed through the country, shows that every day about 10 per cent of the men who should be on duty are missing. When you consider that it takes 10 workmen to back up every soldier, you see that this army of slackers approximately equals the army of men we are to put on the battle line.

No accurate information as to the extent of the malady is available, nor do I think it is necessary at present. We all recognize its existence and are anxious to help eliminate it.

In order to suggest a remedy, it is important to find the cause of the trouble. All of the many causes which have been stated may be grouped under a few heads. Hardly any of them are reasons, and most of them are mighty poor excuses.

Accidents had been generally taken for granted until a few years ago. We all sympathize with the unfortunate individual when he is hurt, and with his family, if he has one, but if you think accidents are unavoidable I can only refer you to the National Safety Council and the splendid results they have been accomplishing.

Illness, in various forms, either of the workman or his family, also excites our sympathy, but the doctors now are finding that it is better to keep a man well than to cure him. If typhoid can be mastered and tuberculosis made to yield, why should we submit to any disease? Cures have depreciated, and an ounce of prevention is now worth several pounds of cure. If we are careful and moderate and properly advised we can avoid illness. I went to a doctor only this week and explained that I felt weary and draggy and tired. I thought my nerves were run down and needed a rest. After assisting him in a ritual designed to impress me with his wisdom, I was informed that if I did not let my fishing tackle alone I probably never would get well; what I really needed was about 10 hours a day with a sledge in the forge shop, but he let me off with an hour before dinner in pretending that seed potatoes were Germans and playing at burying them.

From the published reports it seems that the Department of Labor is now so organized that it will soon remove one of the principal causes of absenteeism. The work of the Federal and of the coordinated State free employment offices in controlling the distribution of idle men, finding work for them, and in placing men where they are most needed, together with all of the other functions which the Department must perform to accomplish these results, is reducing the number of men who lay off with or without assent to take a look at another job. We realize what an enormous and difficult task this Department has before it. We know how scarce are the trained men needed actually to execute the work which has been planned. We are gratified as well as amazed at the progress which has been made, but we would respectfully suggest that as soon as the service can be enlarged to make the plan possible all advertising for men by private enterprises be stopped, and this work be carried on altogether by the agencies of the Department of Labor.

The chief cause is much simpler, and consequently can be more easily and rapidly eliminated than any of these. The principal excuse that men give for laying off is that they do not feel like working. There is no question that wages have generally increased more rapidly during the past year than the cost of living. Men now have to work

only five days and a half and probably only five days a week to live as well as they did formerly on the earnings of six days. It is becoming popular not to work steadily. Popularity is the expression of a sentiment. Proper sentiment is just as easily created as improper sentiment. The solution of the biggest portion of the problem is well within our grasp. Make it the fashion to work effectively every day. Who now is working for money; who for individual reward? We have a cause to work for; a cause worthy of our finest, truest, sincerest effort and energy. None of us can do this thing alone, of course not. Who is selfish or stupid or thoughtless enough to want to do anything alone? All of us pulling together, knowing, understanding, realizing that we—all of us together—must win this war, we can accomplish our purpose.

How can we do it? We have to create so powerful a sentiment, have to make it so popular to be present, that any one who does not fall in line will be crushed. Coercion? Oh, no. Education, advertising, example, salesmanship—we have an idea to sell. We have to put that idea all the way over.

To sell the idea of maximum, continuous service as an idea is difficult. Most men, particularly the doers, want and need something more tangible than that. They have to see what they are working for. The goal must always be clearly visible. Then they must know why they are working. A sound logical reason is essential. If man—but boy grown to larger size he wants to know why. Management, superintendents, foremen, mechanics, helpers, laborers, all the way down the line must know and realize that we are all needed in fighting a common enemy. They must appreciate that a huge man power is needed across the water, that lives are being sacrificed 24 hours a day. Here behind we must have speed, output, enormous production and everyone must help. The problem is to make each single individual realize that it is his personal responsibility to do his level best all the time.

To that end he must be provided with steady work. As always, the brunt of the burden must fall on the planners. Of what avail is it to have a group of men keyed up to the fighting pitch? They are trying their best every day, observing the regulations of the Food Administration; saving money for investment in Government securities; aiding all administration policies to the best of their ability. For a time things move smoothly, production far exceeds their expectations, then without warning they are told that there is no work for them for a week, even for a day. How can anyone explain away fully the causes of the delay? How can anyone expect these men to retain their enthusiasm? Sometimes we say it is impossible to maintain the continuous flow of work on account of reasons beyond

our control. Grant that it is impossible, still it must be done. The line must be held.

A gang bonus, depending for its amount on the skill of the crew, is not only a splendid incentive toward steady attendance, but also a working example of the effectiveness of organization. Wherever the nature of the work will permit, small groups of men should be united to perform a definite task. They will soon know each other, accommodate themselves to each other, eliminate the slacker and be ready and anxious for competition with any other similar groups. So ready and anxious, indeed, that they must frequently be restrained to prevent them from doing injury to themselves through overexertion.

Some of you are probably familiar with the process of manufacturing iron and steel sheets. You know that bars are heated in furnaces and then rolled back and forth through heavy iron rolls. In spite of the water-cooled floors and furnace walls, cool air currents and boshes of cool water, the heat in the summer grows unbearable. The men are handling red-hot material with tongs. Behind them are the furnaces, before them the rolls so hot they are almost red. To endure the heat at all the men must live very regular lives. Their rest periods must be long, their food carefully selected and prepared. Any excess results in heat prostration, dissimilar to that brought on by exposure to the sun's rays in that it is usually accompanied by severe cramping. There are some plants who make no attempt to run steadily during the hot summer months. Some always close completely during July. But there is one plant that I know quite well which always runs to full capacity during the whole year. The men work in crews just as at the other plants. Each man has his carefully planned duties to perform, each is needed. All must work or all must stop. It is true that in the summer additional help is provided. There are a few more men than in the winter. Reserves are carried on light work which can always be abandoned temporarily. But the important thing is that the mill runs. The men have decided that they can and will do the job, and they do it. The plant medical staff has to be on the alert, the foreman must be careful, or some man will work beyond his strength. Sometimes the boys from the office have to strip off and help; occasionally the foreman or the superintendent takes a pair of tongs to tide over a rough spot, but the mill runs.

When the work is of such a nature that the men can not be grouped for the performance of a certain task, an individual attendance bonus may be offered. The most prevalent practice where men are paid weekly or four times a month, is to offer each man who is present every day of the pay period an additional proportion of his wages, frequently 10 per cent, as a reward. As far as I am

able to learn, this plan is securing only a partial success. The principal fallacy in the plan is that it involves no element of competition. If a laborer is earning \$24 a week, his 10 per cent attendance bonus amounts to \$2.40. To miss a day's work costs him \$4 plus the \$2.40. Now the incentive which will make him sacrifice \$6.40 for a day of leisure does not seem to be much stronger than the incentive which makes him give up \$4. Besides, many men see a splendid chance to beat the game if the pay periods are short. You know that most men take an almost childish delight in beating the game. Instead of laying off a single day every so often, he works steadily for a week and then lays off two days the next week to catch up. Just how he beats the game by losing money is beyond me, but many men seem to reason in that way. To lengthen the period for which the bonus is paid defeats the purpose, because the reward is so far off at the beginning of the period that it is a very vague something quite unattainable and consequently not worth working for.

The simple keeping of complete and accurate records of the men who are absent or tardy will help materially if the men know that the records are being kept. Most men are proud of a good record. If the question of steady attendance is sufficiently important to record it is also important to the man that no absences are recorded. That record is his reputation, and most men are careful of their reputation.

These records lend themselves to another use in helping combat the evil if they are published. The spirit of rivalry is keen and men, particularly in groups, are anxious and very quick to attempt to prove their superiority if they find that their excellence is recognized. We are told that within the past month the number of rivets driven per day was trebled in one of our eastern shipyards by the simple expedient of posting in a conspicuous place the records of the previous day's work accomplished by each gang. A trip to Washington or some such inexpensive, but appreciated, prize was offered to the winning crew. Men do not work best for money alone. Do not misunderstand me. I am conscientiously opposed to any form of reward which does not compensate the worker for the results he accomplishes. I am heartily opposed to any attempt to exploit any group by substituting a tawdry prize for their just wages. But don't overlook the fact that some of the world's greatest accomplishments have had as a reward a simple bit of ribbon for the buttonhole, or an inexpensive medal.

The results which can be obtained by properly induced competition between departments or squads are remarkable. In a plant employing 5,000 persons one department in which 500 men are engaged started an attendance campaign. The men were grouped in sections, and each week a report was published showing the total

number of men in each section, the total number of man days which were possible, the total number actually worked, and the percentage of actual attendance. In addition, the money value of these unworked days was shown. All of the foremen responded, and the results were, I believe, worth the effort. In January the attendance was 90 per cent, and the money value to the men of the hours lost was \$4,300. In March the attendance was 94 per cent, while the money value of the hours lost was only \$2,400. Those figures cover, of course, only a brief period and it still remains to be seen whether permanent good will be accomplished. A New England concern employing approximately the same number of men, but making an entirely different product, has been using a somewhat similar plan. I am reliably informed that their attendance for the last five months of 1917 averaged 97 per cent of the possible.

It is not fair to try to shift the burden of responsibility to the man. Remember that it is the job of the employment manager to sell this idea, and he can not expect to be successful if he tries to sell his product to an insolvent buyer, so that all the elements of proper employment enter into the problem—proper selection and proper placement of men from homes where conditions are good. A competent medical staff that keeps the men well, a ventilated and clearly lighted plant with guarded machinery, and an effective safety salesman are presupposed. The man must be well paid, well instructed, and well trained. He must receive the promotion he is entitled to and be transferred, if wrongly placed, either at the first selection or when he has developed or when working conditions have changed.

There are many angles from which a man can be approached. If he had the correct viewpoint he would not be absent willingly. Why not assume that the customer is right and sell him the correct viewpoint? Follow up the absentee while he is absent and talk it over. If you make this follow-up from the viewpoint of investigating the absence you will probably antagonize your client and minimize your success. Assume that the man would not willingly be absent. If he has not arranged for a furlough beforehand, assume that he needs your help and go to him to offer it.

Be careful, of course, not to oversell the idea. You can be too anxious to have the man on the job—like a business man I heard of who had great trouble in securing a messenger and who finally in desperation inserted the following advertisement in his local paper:

Boy wanted.
Young or old.
Either sex.

In a large organization the problem of securing complete enough information quickly enough to permit these visits is a serious one.

Means have been and can be devised to permit you to know before noon of each day the names of the men who were absent during the preceding 24 hours, where they live, and whether the foreman knows why they are absent.

The foreman is quite largely, almost entirely, the man upon whom the success of the undertaking depends. He knows the men, is with them daily. The foreman is the leader and sets the fashion. If your plan of organization is so functional that you have several foremen for each gang, then eliminate your discipline foreman and substitute in his place a moral foreman, an "esprit de corps" foreman. You can not possibly reach each workman personally, but you can reach each foreman or each general foreman.

You know that it essential for every man to work every day. You believe in it, you practice it, you convince others that it must be done. We can make the idea of "every man on the job every day" a popular idea. If you can interest a man sufficiently to get him on the property, you can interest him enough to get him on the job.

The CHAIRMAN. We are now to listen to a paper on another subject in which you are all tremendously interested. I know little has been done along the line of standardization and rates in Rochester. We are to hear about this from Walter D. Stearns of the Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co. of Pittsburgh.

STANDARDIZATION OF OCCUPATIONS AND RATES OF PAY.

BY WALTER D. STEARNS, SECRETARY, OCCUPATION AND RATE COMMITTEE, WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC & MANUFACTURING CO., EAST PITTSBURGH, PA.

It has been said time and again, but it should be repeated over and over: For the present our one job is to win the War. All energies should be used for this one purpose. Anything which does not in some way help win the War is out of place at this time.

We have read, but we are just beginning to realize what this War means, not only in the supreme sacrifice of our boys who have gone and are going "across," but in the immense amount of war supplies that is needed. We are just commencing to appreciate that the mines, the farms and the factories of America must be as well organized as our military forces in order to supply them with the necessities for winning the War.

Certain words have been used until we are all tired of them, but they embrace so much that is of vital importance that we must continue to use them. I refer to such words as organization, cooperation, efficiency and one of the newer ones, though by no means new, standardization.

Mr. Herbert T. Wade, in *Industrial Management* for April, makes a strong plea for more complete standardization either by the National Government or by the general cooperation of all interested. If this is not done manufacturers and consumers generally will be at the mercy of the large corporations who can establish and enforce their own standards, developed only in regard to their own large establishments and special methods and needs. Mr. Wade not only suggests more national standardization but urges especially international standardization.

We have done much in this country along the lines of standardization. It is not unusual to see complete factory buildings erected in from one to three months. A gang of 120 men can erect ten 5-room houses in a single day. We think nothing of being able to buy standard parts for our machinery. These things are possible because of standardization.

We have standardized buildings, materials, machines, methods, and products. These are of vast importance in winning the war, but of vital importance behind all of these is the man power of America. Why not standardize men? At first thought this does not sound

pleasant and may seem unreasonable, but as one studies the problem he realizes both the need and possibility of standardizing the man power of the country.

Our chief problem to-day is, after all, the efficient use of men. The Secretary of Labor, William B. Wilson, has said: "The available man power of the Nation serving as the industrial aim in warfare is not employed to its fullest capacity, nor is it in all cases wisely directed. The effective conduct of the War is suffering needlessly because of the decrease in efficiency due to labor unrest."

Perhaps it would be better to say not standardize men but standardize conditions having to do with the human element in manufacturing. Something has already been accomplished along this line through our State laws in regard to working hours, health protection, accident prevention, and workmen's compensation. Working conditions are more or less standard among the employees of the government and on our large railroads, but little has been done until very recently toward making any attempt at standardizing occupations or rates of pay.

The real labor problem to-day is not so much a shortage of men as the difficulty in keeping men with any one concern long enough for them to become trained and to absorb the spirit of their employer and feel that they are part of the organization.

It is only human and right for all of us to want to improve our own condition and we are always eager for the new, for the untried. There is the instinct in everyone to trade, to bargain. Much of the present abnormal labor turnover is doubtless due to these inborn tendencies which lead the workman to "shop for jobs"; and just at the present time the shopping is particularly good, for all concerns have much to offer both in opportunity and wages.

Anything that may be done to reduce this constant shifting of the workman from plant to plant is of especial importance now.

The workman doesn't know how his conditions in one plant compare with what they might be in another plant. In fact, the employer himself has no means of comparing his own conditions with those of other companies. It is very difficult for two industrial managers, even if their product be similar, to talk intelligently regarding occupations or rates of pay, because they do not have a common nomenclature. Different words are often used for the same occupation and what one manufacturer might consider a first-class operator would be a third-class operator for another concern. Even if standard names were used for standard jobs and each job were classified according to the skill required for that job, there would still exist the inconsistencies between plants regarding rates of pay. Part of this difference in rates of pay will be due to differences in local con-

ditions, but if there were a common language in which all could discuss these differences, many of them would be eliminated.

The work of standardizing occupations and rates naturally divides into four parts: Determining standard occupations; dividing all employees into classes based upon their relative value to the industry; determining what class of man is needed for each occupation; and determining rates of pay for the various classes.

1. In determining standard occupations all occupations used should be listed. These should be subdivided into separate occupations only so far as the work is distinctly different and requires different operations and a worker of different qualifications. These occupations should be carefully defined and given standard names:

2. Dividing all employees into classes based upon their relative value to the industry is the most difficult and at the same time the most important part of the work, and as far as is known has never been attempted before. The scheme is to place all employees in classes, grouped not at all according to occupation but according to the value of the service that the employee renders. The measure of this service, among other things, may be based on the degree of mechanical skill, supervising ability, initiative and judgment, physical strength and endurance, or willingness to do disagreeable work. Five divisions have been adopted for this classification and, in a very general way, what these divisions are intended to comprehend may be obtained from the following descriptions and examples:

Class A.—Leaders in charge of groups, experimental workers, and those on the highest-grade production work. Those possessing general knowledge of the manufacturing operations, methods, and materials used. Judgment, accuracy, and a high degree of skill and dependability are necessary. Examples of this class are engine lathe, planer, and boring-mill operators on very large work where great loss is incurred if mistakes are made, and instrument makers and toolmakers.

Class B.—Accurate, dependable workers with considerable ability and experience but without the expert knowledge and experience required of those in Class A. Generally, operators on accurate or heavy work which is usually repeated. Examples of this class are engine lathe operators, work not especially accurate but laborious, work on smaller lathes where responsibility is great, as on commutators and collectors after being assembled on shafts; planer operators on medium sized work, much repetition; and vertical boring-mill operators on large work, accurate, difficult work on small mills.

Class C.—Workmen who have become proficient on lines of work which are usually repeated, such as engine lathe operators on repetition work and on roughing shafts, planer operators on rough work

such as roughing stock for poles, and boring-mill operators on small repetition work.

Class D.—Workmen who can be brought in a short time to be efficient producers on lines of work which are usually repeated, such as employees learning to operate engine lathes, planers, and boring mills, and learning winding.

Class E.—Unskilled workmen with little, if any, previous training, men on work requiring a small degree of skill, accuracy, or knowledge, as unskilled workmen, like truckers, sweepers, material handlers, and machine helpers.

This broad classification is based upon the employee's relative value to the industry, regardless of his particular occupation. Each class may include a variety of occupations and many occupations may require employees from several classes. For example, we may have toolmakers, lathe hands, and boring-mill hands all in Class A. We may also have lathe hands in Classes B, C, and D.

A man may be of value not only for reasons inherent in himself but for reasons inherent in the occupation. The work may be especially dirty, hot, cold, unhealthful, or dangerous, thus giving employees for this occupation a classification higher than they would have from any personal qualifications.

3. The class of man needed should be determined from the nature of the work to be performed. For example, suppose the occupation to be that of engine lathe operator and the operation be rough-turning shafts. A Class C man would do this work satisfactorily. Suppose the occupation still be engine lathe operator, but the operation be taking the finishing out on shafts. It would take a Class B man to do this work satisfactorily.

4. The rates of pay for the highest class and for the lowest class are determined by the labor market in the special locality. Having determined these two limits it remains to distribute the rates among the various classes.

So much for the general scheme. Now for the specific problem as found in the East Pittsburgh works of the Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co. This company employs 20,000 operatives. Their product is a complete line of electrical apparatus. The plant is made up of 16 departments, each being practically a separate factory, with its own superintendent and foremen. All of these superintendents report to a general superintendent.

Because of the nature of its work this company uses practically all of the occupations common to the metal trades and many others that are peculiar to the electrical industry. Many of the departments have operations that are very similar and often exactly alike. If an employee in one department is paid more or less than an em-

ployee who renders exactly the same service in another department then there is much dissatisfaction and a decided tendency to shift from department to department.

Labor turnover and all other statistical data, to be of greatest value, should be kept by occupation.

In an attempt to overcome such difficulties an occupation and rate committee was appointed to study the situation and devise a method of classifying and standardizing occupations and rates of pay.

The occupations in each department were tabulated. It was found that different names were often used for the same occupation. These were all given a common name and after they were all brought into one list, still there remained more than 400 distinct occupations. These were finally grouped and condensed into a list of about 200, which was adopted as standard. Numbers and standard names were assigned to these occupations and care is taken to use this standard wording on all forms and records dealing with occupations.

If the work had gone no further than this it still would have been worth while, if for no other reason than to have all statistical records on the same basis, and for all superintendents to be able to talk over their problems on a common ground. With a well-organized employment department something of this sort is practically indispensable. Such a department also demands something in the way of an occupation analysis card and this again necessitates standardizing the occupations.

The next step was to determine the number of men in each occupation in each department and the class in which the men in each occupation should be placed. For example, a boring mill in one department might require a Class C man while a boring mill in another department might require a Class A man. Likewise one department might itself have several different kinds of engine lathe work which would require men from Classes B, C, and D.

After all the occupations in the departments were placed in their proper classes the prevailing wage scale was applied to these classes—that is, the highest wages were allowed for Class A and the lowest wages for Class E employees. Suppose, for example, our highest wage on a daywork basis at the time the scheme had been incorporated had been 50 cents an hour, and our lowest had been 15 cents an hour, then Class A employees might have been rated from 40 to 50 cents and Class E employees from 15 to 20 cents. A "key" sheet was made up showing the range of rates of pay for each class. This range in rates allows for normal increases, due to increased efficiency, long service, etc. The minimum rate authorized for a class is, in general, the maximum hiring rate. The higher rates are to be used only for the most skilled in that class. The higher-class occupations, requiring skill, experience, or special ability, should be

filled by promotion or transfer from another department wherever possible.

An occupation and rate book is made up for each superintendent. All occupations in the entire factory are given in this book in alphabetical order. The classes authorized for each occupation are given together with the various departments which are authorized to use that class of employee.

The occupation analysis cards are made out in triplicate; one for the foreman, one for the employment department, and one for the occupation and rate committee. Each foreman has only the cards for the occupations in his subdepartments. These cards show what classes he is expected to use for the operations he has.

If the occupation is properly classified in the first place, in general the classification should not be changed unless the nature of the work or operation is changed. If the rate allowed is not high enough to retain the employee in this occupation, then one of two things should be done. Either the man should be promoted to an occupation which requires a higher-class man or else there should be a flat raise in rates for all the classes. The chief advantage in using a "key" sheet to show the rates paid for the various classes is that only the class letter is used on all records, so any changes in the wage scale simply means issuing a new "key" sheet with the corresponding new rates.

It is the duty of the occupation and rate committee to establish new occupations and rates, approve changes in rates and investigate any unusual conditions that may be due to rates of pay.

The two fundamentals of this plan are: (1) The determination of standard occupations and the choice of specific names and definitions for these occupations; and (2) The classification of workers in accordance with their value to the industry, regardless of occupation.

The two ideals of this plan are: (1) Uniform rate of pay for uniform service, thus preventing the discontent that might arise due to nonuniform wages; (2) Maintenance of wages for each occupation within a certain range, and when an employee reaches the limit of that range, the facilitation of his promotion to an occupation of higher value.

This work has been growing at the Westinghouse plant for about two years and has proved so very satisfactory that it is being extended just as rapidly as possible to allied companies.

It seems logical to liken the departments in our company to the various companies in a given district. If all the companies in a district were to standardize their occupations and rates in some general way, as has been outlined, they might be able to get together on common ground and do much toward reducing labor turnover.

The only way to make this effective would be for all companies in the district to be working on the same fundamental scheme and using the same standards. This could be accomplished through a small district committee. Such a committee should consist of a man of very broad experience and familiar with manufacturing operations, and a statistician, who should devote their whole time to the work. In addition there should doubtless be two or three representative men to act in an advisory capacity.

This work might very profitably be taken up by the employers' associations or similar organizations.

This would help to solve the problem for an individual district but the labor problem is no longer one of individual districts. The ultimate aim should be such a standardization for the whole country.

Standardization of this general sort is surely coming for individual plants and for plants in a district: why should not these individual standardizations and district standardizations all be made to conform to a national standardization of occupations and rates? In other words, wouldn't the gains be sufficient and isn't this work important enough, to be taken up by the United States Department of Labor?

The CHAIRMAN. Every employer who has had to do—and no employer has not had to do—with the matter of wages in the past three years has felt the need of some yardstick by which he can measure the adequacy of the wages that he is paying. Very few if any communities have a local yardstick by which this can be measured, but we have a national yardstick which is given us by the Department of Labor. We have with us to-day the head of the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor who will tell us what the cost of living is and how it is determined; because those figures are the ones by which every employer should judge the adequacy of the wage he is paying. It is my great pleasure to introduce to you Dr. Royal Meeker, United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics.

COST OF LIVING STUDIES AS A BASIS FOR MAKING WAGE RATES.

V ROYAL MEEKER, UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER OF LABOR STATISTICS, WASHINGTON, D. C.

I did not have time to write down my extemporaneous speech. I just have some notes here, which I won't use. The trouble with a three-ring circus is that so much of interest always goes on in the two rings that you can't watch. The advantage of a program arranged as this is—maybe you don't think it is an advantage—is that you can listen to but one speaker at a time. The temptation to digress and to discuss the two subjects presented by the preceding speakers is very great. Especially would I like to discuss the question of standardization of occupational names and wages. But I am going to stick to the task that was assigned to me, and it is some task, believe me. I want to start out by saying that cost of living studies are fundamental. Most labor disputes center around the question of wages. A very large part of labor turnover centers around the question of wages also. The more accurate determination then of what has happened to the cost of living is absolutely fundamental. The statement has been made that there is no doubt that wages have increased within the past year more than the cost of living. That statement needs more verification. It needs a more solid foundation of facts. The working people are not fully satisfied with the statement that wages have advanced more rapidly than the cost of living. I may say that quite early in the game I recognized the fundamental character of the cost of living studies, and I have attempted to interest Congress in cost of living studies, thus far without marked success. The Bureau of Labor Statistics is at present carrying on cost of living studies at the request of the Labor Adjustment Board of the Emergency Fleet Corporation of the United States Shipping Board. I want to repeat that cost of living studies are fundamental. A very large proportion of our labor unrest, of the slowing up of output, is due to disputes as to the questions of increase in cost of living, of the relative rapidity of increase in cost of living as against wage advancement. It is fundamental that we settle those questions as accurately as possible. I am as fully aware as anyone in this room of the great difficulties confronting anyone who attempts to investigate the cost of living. What is a living wage? Have we any basis to start

from? We can with a considerable degree of accuracy find out by what percentage the different items of family expenditure have increased. But what do we know about the adequacy of the wage in 1913 or 1914, or any other particular year? What do we *know* about a living wage? But little has been done to standardize budget expenditures. More has been done to standardize food expenditures than any other item of expenditure. Probably the studies made by the Bureau of Labor Statistics are the best information available on that subject; at least, we are willing to accept them as the best that has been done along that line. Now, it is interesting to compare some of the results that we have found in our cost of living studies, beginning with the Philadelphia district. The families studied in that district numbered 512. They were workingmen's families; in our studies we took only families that consisted of husband and wife and at least one child at home not earning money. There was usually more than one child. We tried to get recognized standard families, with the emphasis on "standard." We wanted to get at something that was get-at-able. We took 512 families, mostly the families of shipyard workers. We did not confine ourselves absolutely to shipyard workers, but I think that in the Philadelphia district, more than 90 per cent of the families actually were families of shipyard workers. The workers were both skilled and unskilled; what proportion of skilled and unskilled I don't know. Do any of you know what a skilled worker is? If you do, I wish you would tell me. It has been proposed that in our classifications and descriptions of occupations we separate said occupations into highly skilled, skilled, and unskilled. If you can tell me what a highly skilled worker is, what a skilled worker is, and what an unskilled worker is, I would be very much obliged.

These 512 families taken together had an average expenditure in 1917 of \$1,398.83. Increased cost of living in 1917 over 1914, which was taken as the basal year because that was the year that the Wage Adjustment Board wanted us to take, was 43.81 per cent on all items. It is rather interesting to note how the expenditure for food has gone up, relative to the total expenditure. If the total income per family, \$1,399, is taken as 100 the expenditure for food amounts to 43.31 per cent, which is high for food. If you will compare this with budgets taken in normal times you will see it is considerably higher for food than in normal times. In the New York district, 608 families were chosen in the same way as in Philadelphia, most of them families of shipyard workers. The average expenditure of the families amounted to \$1,348.64. The percentage of expenditure for food was 45.01 per cent. I am skipping through these because I know that you are anxious to hear the speakers that are to follow.

Now, skipping over a few hundred miles of intervening territory we land in Beaumont, Tex., and we find the white labor there, taken in the same way, shipyard workers mostly, had \$1,284.27, average expenditure. Note how close the expenditure corresponds with that in the Philadelphia and New York districts; and note also how closely the items of expenditure correspond. Expenditure for food in Beaumont, Tex., made up 44.72 per cent of all expenditures. I think I neglected to state that the increase in 1917 over 1914 in the New York district for all expenditures was 44.68 per cent. The total increase, 1917 over 1914, Beaumont, Tex., was 43.44 per cent. There were only nine colored families obtainable in Beaumont, Tex. Thereby hangs a tale, but I won't tell it. The average expenditure of these colored families, all unskilled labor—no matter what they did they would be unskilled because they are colored—was \$932.97. Expenditure for food made up 52.08 per cent of all expenditure for these colored families. The increase in 1917 over 1914 was 48.79 per cent. In Houston, Tex., which is very close to Beaumont and to Orange, Tex., we took 91 white families, with an average income of \$1,255.88. Expenditure for food was 46.28 per cent. Increase, 1917 over 1914, was 44.89 per cent. No colored laborers in that district. In Orange, Tex., the average expenditure of 45 families taken was \$1,188.47. Expenditure for food made up 49.96 per cent. Increase, 1917 over 1914, was 48.26 per cent. In Tampa, Fla., the 51 white families had an average outlay of \$1,116.62. Expenditure for food was 44.67 per cent. Increase, 1917 over 1914, was 39.64 per cent. Twenty-eight colored families in the same district had an average expenditure of \$836.43. Food was 47.21 per cent of all expenses. The increase in cost of living was 38.67 per cent. That is for the colored families in the Tampa district.

Now, I admit that the results obtained in cost of living studies depend substantially upon the accuracy of the method pursued; and the only way that we will ever get accurate data upon which to make wage adjustments in conformity with the increased cost of living is through just such cost of living studies. The men in the Philadelphia district submitted evidence to the Labor Adjustment Board in which they claimed that the cost of living had advanced 132 per cent. They listed prices of things to show the correctness of their claim. The trouble was that they did not weight the different items of expenditure according to their importance in the family budget so that ginger snaps and nutmegs counted as much as beans and bread. The items of consumption must be weighted according to their respective importance in the family budget if we are to measure accurately changes in cost of living. Our results show an increase of less than 44 per cent in the Philadelphia district in 1917 as against 1914; and

substantially the same result is shown in the New York district. And it is most astonishing, to me at least, the degree to which other communities conform to what we found in Philadelphia and New York. The cost of living has increased with surprising uniformity throughout the country.

We have the food budget for a workingman's family standardized fairly well. We know how many calories are needed to support life and activity and approximately the kinds of food from which the required calories may be obtained.

You will no doubt be interested in standards in other lines of consumption besides food. Almost nothing has been done to determine what kind and amount of clothing is essential in order to enable a family to live on the far-famed American standard of living. The only thing so far as I know that has been done, the only attempt that has been made to standardize clothing expenditures, has been by the New York State Factory Commission, and that was confined to the clothing of women. We in our Washington study, the results of which have been published in the *Monthly Review of the Bureau*, have checked up the results obtained by the New York State Factory Investigating Commission. We find that for food—I am reverting to food—the adult man can not be fed for less than 30 cents per day; and that connotes a knowledge of food values and a scientific utilization of all the calories bound up in the kind of food products you buy in the market that very few housewives possess. I don't see how it is possible for any family to be fed on a basis of expenditure that provides less than 30 cents a day for every adult, and I will not stop to explain how we reduce all families to the adult male basis. We allow a certain percentage for a woman, 90 per cent, a smaller percentage for children under 16, and so on. Assuming families reduced to standard adult males, nothing has been done on clothing except as it applies to the clothing of women. The clothing of women was of especial importance because of the importance of the independent working woman in industry; not so numerous as men but of immensely greater importance than their numbers would indicate because of the effect of industry upon women and the effect of women upon industry. We determined from our Washington study that the independent working woman could not clothe herself according to the standards prevailing in Washington for less than \$125 per annum. When we go further down the line, taking up housing, nothing has been done. What is the standard house for the standard American family? We speak of the American standard of living. What is the American standard of living? We do not know. We know something about the standard requisites for food in the American family reduced to a unit basis. We know much less about what is requisite to clothe an American family according to the much men-

tioned American standard. When we come to housing, we know almost nothing as to the house that is adequate to enable an American family to live on this American standard. Should we have a room for every member of the family? Do we have a bathroom? Do we have more than one bathroom? Do we have stationary tubs? What do we have in our standard house? I do not know, and if I do not know, I know you don't. What about fuel and lighting? Again we are up in the air. What about rest and recreation? We are just beginning to understand that rest and recreation are just as much an essential part of the standard of living as are food and clothes and a house. We are only just beginning to learn what Dr. Mann has known for a long while, but unfortunately there are not enough Dr. Manns to go around. Not every employer or establishment is aware of the fact that rest and recreation are necessities. What about vacations? That is something different from rest and recreation. I, for one, don't believe in confining vacations to those who don't need them. I would like to see everybody have a vacation. And that means for the men and women who work with their hands, a vacation with pay. What is the minimum of vacation in order to enable a family to live according to American standards of living? We don't know. What is the minimum of medical treatment and of medicines that is absolutely requisite to the American family? We don't know. We get the items of expenditure, all these items of expenditure, in our budget studies but that does not give us what we want. I am convinced that very few, if any, laborers' families can expend enough for doctors, dentists, and medicines to meet the requirements of a minimum standard of health, under present conditions. What we want to know is the norm, what is requisite for maintaining a minimum standard of health and vigor. We do know that in these shipyard workers' families there is too little expenditure for the services of a physician. There is too little devoted to the expenditure for vacations, and for rest and recreation. We can see that from looking at the schedules. But what is the minimum we should exact of employers, or set up as a standard to be achieved? We don't know. It is up to us to find out, and we ought to be on the job to find out. What is the minimum of insurance that should be carried by the American family? What kinds of insurance should be carried? I can tell you some of the kinds that should not be carried. I can tell you that industrial insurance is the most expensive luxury that any American family can indulge in, and it must be put off the map and cheaper and adequate insurance substituted that will give to the family the assurance that it will not be driven below the poverty line by reason of the ill health, sickness, accident, or the death of the bread winner or winners of the family. How much reading matter is the minimum requirement of the American family? We know how little

was the expenditure for newspapers and books, but we don't know how much *should* be expended. And the miscellaneous items? We know very little about them. Those are some of the difficulties, some of the things we must do our best to find out about.

Briefly I must tell you what we are doing. First of all we get budgets from these families. The agents visit the families, talk with the housewife, go over the income and expenditures of the families. Some of the families have kept itemized accounts of the receipts and income of the family. Some have preserved the pay envelopes, so that all the agent has to do is to copy the amounts down. The income is obtained with fair accuracy in spite of the fact that there have been enormous increases in wages in many of the shipyards. The income account is obtained in that way. The expenditure account is gone over carefully, item by item. The different food items are listed, so that the agent will not overlook any item and the housewife estimates as accurately as possible what her expenditures have been for the past year; the same is done with clothing, both for males and females; and the same is done for house, fuel and light, furniture, furnishings, and miscellaneous items. In that way we get the quantity and cost of the family budget for 1917. Then in order to find out what has happened to the cost of the family budget during the four years covered by our study it is necessary to get itemized schedules from the different stores that deal in the different articles included in the family budget in each community surveyed. We are taking our monthly retail prices of foods as giving us the most accurate information obtainable as to changes in the cost of food. Agents visit the representative stores dealing in clothing for males, and for females, and fill out schedules for clothing. They visit the stores dealing in house-furnishing goods to fill out the schedules on furniture and furnishings. They visit the dry goods stores in order to get the prices of drygoods through the period covered by the survey. They visit the various stores that keep coal, kerosene, and wood, and so on for all the articles of importance in the family budget. They visit the real-estate office in order to get the rents in the localities studied. In that way we get the changes in prices of the different items of expenditure. Then we weight those different prices of the different groups of items by the percentage of expenditure for each of these different items. In that way we get, not a general average of prices, but a weighted average of prices which shows with very much greater accuracy what has happened to this thing which we call cost of living.

Now, in this brief presentation that I have made, it may strike you that there is a large amount of estimate, and that a hundred per cent accuracy is unobtainable. We might as well acknowledge right now that the absolute does not exist; certainly not so far as cost of living

is concerned. But this is the only way that has been yet devised of getting anything at all accurate or usable in determining what percentage of advance should be made in wages in order to make the purchasing power of wages to-day what it was in 1914, let us say, before prices began to boom; and when we have got data that is usable, that will give us the knowledge to enable us to make those wage adjustments, we will have done the biggest thing we can do toward stabilizing labor. As for the importance of the other things—I have left out of my remarks reference to those valuable things which have been touched upon and will be touched upon by preceding and following speakers—but I repeat, the most important thing that can be done to-day is to determine with greater accuracy the advance in cost of living—not for the shipyards alone; we need to do it for every industry. We are just simply frittering around the edges. We have covered the shipbuilding centers of the Atlantic and Gulf coast. We are now on the Great Lakes and eventually we will have to go to the Pacific coast. If we don't go something will bust loose there. We must get a more accurate idea as to this thing that has been mentioned, the relative increase in the cost of living as over against the increase in wages. It must be done. Then, incidentally, if you will quit stealing each other's men, quit advertising in the newspapers, quit patronizing private employment agencies which are playing the devil with you, and rely on the public employment offices you will get laborers who will stick. Get behind the public employment offices, and if they don't fill the bill, why, get behind them all the more until they do fill the bill. When I was in Cleveland I proposed this modest program, and I was completely swept off my feet by the way in which my proposal was received. It was proposed there seriously that at once the Cleveland employers cease advertising for one month and get all of their help through the public employment office. That rather scared me, because I was afraid of what might happen. Don't expect miracles from the public employment service. Don't ask too much of it. Get behind the public employment office and support it, but don't go to the public employment office at once and say, "We want to get our help through you. This is our order. Fill it." They can't do it. The Cleveland office can not handle the work it now has. You must give it time to expand. You must enable the Federal and State Governments to put more funds at the disposal of their officers before you go to them with the demand: "Here are our various orders for labor. These are the kinds we want. So many hundred of this, that, and the other. Five hundred Class A mechanics," and so on. If you will get behind this thing with the determination that you are going to wipe the private employment offices off the map, put the newspapers

back where they belong, so far as advertising is concerned, and quit stealing from each other you can make it go.

Capt. FISHER. I told you that nothing was "cooked up" about this convention, especially with regard to organizing future meetings, and place for holding sessions, etc. I made an error in regard to the latter point. We are fairly committed to Cleveland for next year, as Cleveland was appointed for this convention and gave it up because they wanted to bring home to their own people the discussion of these problems. I hope there will be no milling or attempt to get the convention for next year by any other point. It belongs to Cleveland for next year. But the various local associations want to meet after this session to consider the next year's organization. You know how loosely we are organized, half a dozen local associations elect a delegate to a national committee of Employment Managers' Associations, and that committee has had no other responsibility than arranging this convention, and has in large part dodged that. Some people may feel that we ought to have a national membership association. So far there is no opinion about it, nothing "cooked up," but several associations want to get together immediately after this meeting.

The CHAIRMAN. I take pleasure in introducing Mr. William Blackman, formerly of the Department of Labor, now director of labor of the Emergency Fleet Corporation, who will address the convention upon the subject of destructive labor recruiting.

DESTRUCTIVE LABOR RECRUITING.

WILLIAM BLACKMAN, DIRECTOR OF LABOR, UNITED STATES SHIPPING BOARD,
EMERGENCY FLEET CORPORATION, WASHINGTON, D. C.

You will note by these two cards I hold in my hand that I am not going to make much of a speech. When I accepted Capt. Fisher's invitation to come up here, I told him that we were pretty busy in Washington, particularly in our end of the job, and that I would simply make a little talk. It has been stated by Dr. Meeker that he is making a particular study on the cost of living for the Wage Adjustment Board, connected with the Emergency Fleet Corporation. It might be interesting to you to know just how that board is constituted and what its functions are. About last August there was considerable grumbling among the employees of the shipyards, then a new industry in this country. All of the iron trades that were organized got together with the Navy Department, the War Department, and the Fleet Corporation and agreed that some tribunal should ascertain what the increased cost of living was upon which to base a percentage increase in pay. The federated trades, as I have stated, appointed one man through Mr. Gompers, president of the American Federation of Labor; the Emergency Fleet Corporation named one man; and the President of the United States a third. Those three act as a board to listen to grievances, gather data in regard to the cost of living, compare the different wage scales, and in that way set a wage scale for the shipyard workers. Before they started out on this work there was a firm on the Pacific coast—and I don't want to criticise that firm, for I live out in that country myself—raised the wage rate considerably over that prevailing in the vicinity, and boasted in the newspapers that they were making money and could pay these high rates. Immediately the union said: If this one firm can pay these rates of wages the other shipbuilders in the United States can pay the same rates. So, when the Wage Adjustment Board arrived on the Pacific coast it found itself "up against" that sort of thing. The wage board set a uniform wage for the entire Pacific coast for all the leading trades in the steel-ship yards, such as riveters, machinists, blacksmiths, and boiler makers, of \$5.25 per day of eight hours. Then a little later a bonus of 10 per cent was added for six continuous days' work in each week. On February 1 that rate was made permanent, making the

rate \$5.77½, or \$5.80. The board held hearings in the Delaware district, in the New York district, and Great Lakes district, and the entire South, and endeavored to make rates that would fit into the various communities, taking into consideration the cost of living, and possibly the difference in the cost of living in different communities. But after it was all gone over there was only a slight difference in the wages scales for the Atlantic and the Gulf coasts, including the Delaware River. So we have one scale for the Atlantic coast and the Gulf coast and one for the Pacific coast.

The subject given me is destructive labor recruiting. In the shipyards to-day there are somewhere in the neighborhood of 270,000 men at work, and when the yards are fully completed and running full force it will take 400,000 persons to carry out the program that is outlined. And to us employed in this work, giving our best efforts, humble as they may be, the building of ships is the all-important thing and I know it is to you, for I think every manufacturer here to-day, or his representative, is in some way doing something to help.

Now, what do we find with regard to the stealing of each other's men? While the Wage Adjustment Board was sitting in Washington trying to make a \$5 rate agreeable to the wood mechanic in Houston, and in Beaumont, Tex., and all through that country, some of my friends from Puget Sound were offering \$7 and free transportation to the "beautiful climate of Puget Sound." Now, you can imagine how a \$5 rate would make the men in Texas feel.

I am giving you this little explanation so that you may understand why the Shipping Board was really compelled to make an apparently high rate.

Again out in the West the Manufacturers' Association—composed of the owners of boiler shops, engine shops, and repair shops employing from 5,000 to 100,000 men—got together the other day and gave the molders and boiler makers a minimum rate of \$6.60 and a maximum rate of \$7.25. Now, there will be no danger but what all those shops will be fully manned. But shipyards are going to suffer. So far they are not suffering out there because labor has been recruited through the Labor Department's agency. So the high wages on the Pacific coast were largely due to this one firm that I spoke of in the beginning.

There are other firms who have increased wages by stealing the men from one another. One division of the War Department, doing some special work, went down into Florida and offered \$3.50 a day to the colored help, and succeeded in taking away two or three trainloads and closing up one or two sawmills. The sawmill is a very essential industry to the Emergency Fleet Corporation, which is, to use a vulgar expression, "pounding it over the back" every day for

lumber, attempting to get lumber for Atlantic coast firms without paying freight rates from the Pacific coast. Yet one department of the Government will go and deliberately do that. So I say that there is an abundance of proof that there should be one recruiting office and that this office should distribute the labor to the essential industries to-day in America. The Labor Department has the machinery. Mr. Clayton follows me, and will tell you all about it. They have that machinery, they have some money, and they can get more if you gentlemen will get behind and help them. And I want to say the first office was established in Seattle. The reports came in that all of the shipyards were using the office. They had plenty of men and the turnover had decreased very considerably since the men had all been coming through that central office. There are many things that the central office can do, my friends. Things have been said here to-day about the slacker. I shake hands with the man who said it. He told the truth. We have lots of them. There ought to be some way to make every man who works with his hand or his brain remember that we are in war, and the worst the world ever heard of, and that if he doesn't do his part, no matter in what walk of life, and do it well, I am fearful of the result. A central office will catch the slacker.

Pardon me for speaking personally. I have a boy 22 years old in the Aviation Corps. He writes his mother that his duty is flying over Paris at night, and he tells her: "I see by the papers that Mr. Hurley, of the Shipping Board, has a good organization. I can not knock the block off the Kaiser. I can shoot bombs from the air; but it depends on labor at home to win this war." I hope you will pardon me for mentioning that from my boy, but it is the sentiment of all those boys in the trenches; and unless we wake up to the fact that they must receive the proper protection, I have doubts about the result. Labor must do its duty. It must give the employer at least six days' work in every week, and I believe it will if the problem is put up to it in the proper way, and if you gentlemen will get behind this clearing house and make the clearing house meet the needs. Every firm and every shipyard in the community in which you live should stop its advertising, stop its own hiring of men, and put its own agents into this clearing house, and let it be one big clearing house.

I am going to close with one or two words more. I have come to the conclusion that the thing to do, particularly as regards the ship-building industry, which includes all manufacturers manufacturing essentials that go into these hulls, is to make a uniform wage rate, and make it high enough to meet the needs, as Dr. Meeker has stated in regard to the increased cost of living, and let it apply to those who are building the essentials. If they are hurt in any way by it let the Government pay the difference between the present wage rate and

whatever the new may be. Then say to the employees of this country, "For a like service you will get a like pay; and we desire you to work at least six days each week." And say to the employer, "If you dare raise your minimum and maximum wage rates above the standard we will take your contract away from you and paste it on the billboard of the country, and show that all you are doing is to give a high rate of wages to finish your own contract regardless of all the other builders in the United States." My friends we must look at this as a program covering the whole United States. So far as I am concerned, so far as the rest of my colleagues in the Emergency Fleet Corporation are concerned, we can't look at it in any other way. We have got our hearts set on a certain tonnage to meet the emergency, and in that way we believe we can get the tonnage.

I am here to answer any question. I am more than pleased to have had the privilege of saying these few words, and I hope and pray that this may be a beginning in making this splendid meeting of men and women further realize that we are in a crisis, and that this is the plan to meet it, and meet it as Americans always meet any crisis—in the right way.

Capt. FISHER. It is a great pleasure to introduce Mr. Charles T. Clayton, assistant director general of the Federal Employment Service.

DESTRUCTIVE LABOR RECRUITING.

BY CHARLES T. CLAYTON, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR GENERAL, UNITED STATES EMPLOYMENT SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D. C.

The war has brought new and strange demands upon the producers. It has made proper many things that in peace times men would have resisted. Upon a few things, which in ordinary times we did not heed, the war has thrown a blinding flood of new understanding and shown us that we can no longer tolerate them.

One of the most interesting of these new revelations is our sudden appreciation of the age-old existence of anarchy in the labor market. It is well that we recognize the fact. Nothing so evil and so extended was ever remedied by accident. Action is called for—informed action. Suppose we consider for a moment the problem as it is revealed by recent experience, and try by the test of examples to learn how, why, and how much this anarchy in the labor market affects our industrial power to wage war to-day. From our conclusions we may be able to infer the extent to which this anarchy will, if permitted to continue, be a handicap upon our industrial defense in the peace competition we must meet after we have cleaned out the Hohenzollern rats' nest and freed the world from the Hun.

A few weeks ago a skilled machinist came to his employer, a manufacturer of central New York, bearing a letter written by a Rochester manufacturer, offering the machinist a job in the Rochester plant, on war material. The letter, in glowing terms, told of the opportunities of Rochester. Skillfully, it referred to the patriotic value of the work being executed by the Rochester firm; and in conclusion it summoned the recipient to report to a named recruiting agent on a certain date, under pain of being reported a slacker and a traitor to our country. The machinist is a young married man, with a little home not paid for and a couple of toddling youngsters to cherish. He wanted to be loyal and his quandary was perplexing. So he consulted his boss, an old schoolfellow, who sent the letter to Washington, wrathfully demanding whether it was an order, in view of the fact that the man was already engaged full time upon equally important war work.

Not long ago a large corporation near Philadelphia inserted large advertisements in Ohio newspapers offering certain classes of workmen a little higher than the normal wage then prevalent in Ohio, and added, "and double time for Sundays and holidays; plenty of overtime all the time."

Hundreds of men left war manufacturing jobs in central and northern Ohio and paid their way to this plant. A very large number of them were of crafts not yet needed by the plant, although the advertisement had called for them. These men were turned away. They had thrown up jobs on war work. They had lost many days and wasted considerable sums upon travel and subsistence. Many of them were left destitute and hundreds drifted into near-by cities, broken in spirit and embittered against the Government and our country. For several weeks during the early spring the municipal authorities of these cities were compelled to feed and lodge large numbers of these broken men and there is reason to fear that many of them have become casual workers. Those who were actually hired found the promise of "plenty of overtime" was not kept, while the locally impossible housing conditions and living costs soon disgusted them and drove them out to seek more congenial, even if nominally less well-paid, employment.

An enterprising labor agent of a Government plant in the South, desiring to build up his organization, hired a brass band and sent it in a motor truck to visit points frequented by Negro farm-hands on Saturday nights. Torch lights, promises of a month's annual leave with pay and a little fervid oratory built up his needed force quite rapidly. It also shut down about 75 square miles of farms.

A trainload of workers came from a western point to a new War Department construction job on the seaboard. The Employment Service brought them. The War Department paid the bills. The job is vitally important and must be rushed to the limit. Like many other jobs now being done by the Government the lives of many of our men and the time when our full strength can be employed in the War depend in part upon it. But bright and early next morning the agent of a firm which has a Government contract and a plant a few miles away came over, offered the men 3 cents an hour advance, and took the whole trainload away.

A very enterprising labor agent in Tennessee showed his appreciation of the situation by sending, with a trainload of workmen dispatched to a Government contractor, a special agent, with instructions to deliver the men, take the contractor's receipt, and then bring them back to be shipped elsewhere for another commission.

Hundreds of other instances occur—some scandalous, some traitorous, and others merely humorous, like the case of the zealous but absent-minded young labor agent at Norfolk, who not long ago succeeded, by raising their wages, in hiring two men he met on the street, away from his own firm.

Now let us analyze these examples of "destructive" labor recruiting. What effect do such conditions have upon our industrial power

to wage war? And what effect may be expected upon our industrial powers after the war?

We note instances of appeals to workers on patriotic grounds, with offers of comfort and ease, with lure of higher wages and of bonuses. All these appeals are to the workers to do a perfectly lawful thing—quit one job and take another. All these appeals are based upon perfectly lawful grounds. Patriotism is all right. One may pay more wages or give premiums or vacations if he wishes. So why is this wrong?

Because no longer can the business man consider his own interests solely. The interest of all must be the concern of everyone. The domain of the individualist has lost another province; there can no longer be anarchy in the labor market because we are all working for one customer and that customer embodies our own rights to life, liberty, property, and the pursuit of happiness.

The wrong, then, is not a wrong per se but a wrong because of the results it brings. What are those results?

First, a terrible and terribly increasing waste of human time. Men lose time between jobs and lose again learning the duties and the methods of the new job.

Second, an enormous waste in spoiled material and great reduction in output. A concern in Connecticut, engaged in a most delicate piece of ordnance manufacture, makes one part costing about \$1,600 each; and the slightest error wastes the whole piece. New men usually spoil half a dozen before they learn. It costs that firm over \$10,000 to hire a new man; yet another concern recently tempted one of their men away by higher pay to do much less important, although war, work.

Third, a huge waste of public funds; for at last all these wastes find their way into the accounts and are paid for by the Government.

Fourth, a dreadful, disquieting labor unrest, a deep suspicion on the part of the workers of the honesty and good faith and essential loyalty of the employing contractors. This is the worst of all. Labor waste is serious; but delay, while bad, may not necessarily ruin us. Material and money waste is an economic crime, but not all crimes incur capital punishment. But when the wage earners of this country, because of their employers' blind insistence on anarchy instead of order, upon their own way, waste or not, and without any regard to the national interest, lose confidence in the good faith of the employers, our industrial power is not merely weakened, it is destroyed.

So we have a very serious condition, not mere theories, to discuss. And we need now, not recrimination, nor mere polite and meaning-

less resolutions, but real counsel, sound thinking, and decision upon a course of remedial action.

This is, perhaps, the body of men best fitted of all Americans to understand the problem and to propose a sound solution. I intend to offer some suggestions for your consideration. It is my earnest hope that you will later give them very serious study and debate.

There was a day when education was only for the rich; when the poor man's son went untaught and even the Bible was chained to the altar, a closed book because most men could not read. Society built the public school and to-day the key to opportunity is free to every American who wills to grasp and to use it aright.

There was a time when only the powerful had highways; when transportation and communication were a prerogative and the common man was a bond-serf, bearing a brass collar and thrall'd to a fixed estate. The spirit of liberty cut the gyves and threw away the collar long ago and now our roads are public property.

It is but lately that it cost as high as two dollars to send a letter within the boundaries of the United States, when the carriage of mail was unorganized and we had anarchy instead of postal service. Now the postal rate is three cents, and one cent of that is contributed to safeguarding liberty. The difference is because society has substituted order for anarchy in the postal communication.

In like manner society has organized markets for money—our great banking systems—and every kind of property has its well-devised market, except the first property of all, a man's right to sell his labor. So in proposing a remedy for the present intolerable conditions in war employment, I am also proposing a very fundamental and urgent reform—that society provide order instead of anarchy in the labor market.

1. This is a war matter, and war is not waged by individuals or by States of the Union. The Federal Government is the proper agency to organize the Nation's labor market, just as it conducts the Nation's postal service and its financing.

2. This is preparation for national unity and efficiency after the War. On every account it must be treated and handled broadly and considered in the interest of the whole country.

(a) So first we suggest that all firms engaged in war contracts agree that, as fast as the United States Employment Service prepares itself and advises them that it is ready to assume the responsibility, they will cease individual labor recruiting and take all their labor through the Employment Service.

(b) That common wage scales be agreed upon by competitive districts. It is not well to have such districts too small. Areas com-

parable to those within the employment districts, covering several States in each, are suggested. These wage scales should be agreed to by the employers, the workers, and the Government and be formulated with regard to the changing cost of living.

(c) There is not and will not be a shortage of man power. We have 42,000,000 wage earners, and the transfer to war industries now calls for about 10 per cent of that number. But there is a grave shortage of trained man power. Every factory should commence intensive training, first, of its leading men and foreman and then of the rank and file. Efficiency is a much-abused word, but it deserves application.

EMPLOYMENT MANAGER'S FUNCTIONS.

It will not be necessary to suggest to trained employment men that turning the job of recruiting labor over to the Government service will broaden, rather than limit, the opportunities of the employment manager. The field for your great profession lies within the plant and among its personnel.

Recent events have forced many employment men into the rôle of labor recruiters—wasted their time, which should be employed in developing, training, satisfying the personnel. The employment manager is the modern substitute for the ancient personal contact between the employer and his individual workers. To force such a man—the embodiment of the sympathy, the regardful wisdom, the conscience, the human relations of industry—into a competitive game of piracy in which every industry walks the plank is perverting the whole aim of the profession.

THE EMPLOYMENT SERVICE.

Much is to be done before the Government Employment Service will be efficient. It is now quite extensive and rapidly being spread. But it is in a state of flux and of construction. Since February, over 200 offices have been opened. The country has been apportioned into 13 employment districts and administrative superintendents appointed. In the 29 cities of over 200,000 population, main offices are being moved to central points and branch offices opened: 111 such branches are outlined and 36 actually open. An expansion of force from less than 150 to about 1,000 in three months and of output from 40,000 to 125,000 per month, can not be carried through without some blundering. Yet the Service is making good. Its personnel is afire with enthusiasm, and trying to keep step with the vision of service it sees. In another 60 days there will be some 350 offices; every city of 25,000 population and nearly 100 cities of less

than that size will have each an office. The Public Service Reserve, a branch of the Employment Service, now has 14,000 volunteer agents covering nearly every village in the land and coupled with the Service. Soon will begin the struggle to secure efficiency of operation.

May I tell you something of the Service's plans for promotion of efficiency? You may contrast them, if you will, against the private employment agency of anarchic experience.

1. Knowledge of the employer's needs is first in importance. The employment office is not merely an assembling, it is a sifting agency. When a wage earner is sent from a Government office to an employer the introduction card should mean that he has been examined and has demonstrated competent knowledge of the occupation for which there is a vacancy.

We project:

(a) A dictionary of occupational titles. This is now in course of preparation. Each occupation is being analyzed in terms of duties, and copies will be furnished each patron. With this in hand, certitude of the employer's wants will be easily attained.

(b) Specialization of examinations. Examiners in the offices are now required to confine themselves to a line of trades and regularly to visit plants to learn the duties of men in each occupation. You may help in this phase by inviting our local men to visit your plant and learn, first hand, both your labor needs and their own work.

(c) Reporting of supply and demand of labor is being adjusted as experience indicates, the purpose being that local supplies shall first be canvassed and then successively each more distant locality until every employer is supplied and every workman busy.

This, then, requires transportation of labor. Labor carried for the War or Navy Department, the Emergency Fleet Corporation, or the District of Columbia are transported upon Government transportation requisitions. Contractors doing war work must either place the money to defray this travel cost in the hands of the Service, or agree to see that it is refunded. Upon such an agreement being made, a fund for advancing transportation becomes available and the Service's officers will secure and bring in the workers asked for.

There is an enormous transfer of labor shortly to be carried out. Anarchy exists in the labor market. That is only another way of saying no means of labor exchange exists, for anarchy means absence of order. Individual efforts, praiseworthy enough from individual standpoints, are now criminally dangerous and must be merged into common effort because this is now a common problem.

This is a rough outline of the present need:

| | Number of workers. |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| War construction..... | 709, 134 |
| Army personnel, civilian..... | 185, 000 |
| Shipbuilding..... | 278, 125 |
| Housing..... | 30, 000 |
| Munitions..... | 878, 800 |
| Mines..... | 165, 916 |
| Railways, track and shops..... | 35, 000 |
| Farm labor..... | 1, 646, 931 |
| | 3, 928, 956 |

Such a demand, added to the Army and Navy displacement of nearly two millions, totals up 14 per cent of all wage earners and makes it evident that the Government must assume the responsibility and risk the occasional failure to secure full supplies of personnel for some war activities. The present turnover waste is probably 15 to 20 per cent of all productive output, maybe more. This must be cut, and soon.

The transfer of this labor can be had only by exercise of the Government's full powers. Manufacturers can not longer depend upon the lure of wage raising and other temporary expedients; and are playing themselves to a standstill. Industries not engaged in war production must now be called on to resign the necessary complements for the general good. But only the Government may do this. Which industries must give up, what kind of labor must be given and in what proportions, must be ascertained; and mistake may bring on national disaster. Guessing or biased judgment would, with such vital interests concerned, be criminal.

The simple and practical way is first to select the industries to be fostered and to aid them; and to discourage extension of industries evidently not necessary to war production.

Through the organization of a priority committee, to which all necessary information may be available, using the powers of the War Industries Board and the Capital Issues Committee and executing its decrees through the machinery of the Employment Service, this problem is going to be attacked and will be solved. The only question is how soon it will commence, and how heartily the thousands of manufacturers and millions of workers will cooperate in putting this essential program through.

Every man who hears me knows the situation. No one doubts that present conditions are intolerable and are being made worse by the zeal of individual efforts. In this time of national peril may I not appeal to you to sink any pride of opinion, sense of individual gain or advantage and do what our boys in the trenches and on the sea are doing—unite behind the Stars and Stripes!

Capt. FISHER. I observed in Detroit, when I was advising a number of managers of industry, some of the personal methods of the general managers, and I formulated this as one of the rules: The general manager speaks last. So a man who is really the official general manager of the United States Government is going to be our last speaker this afternoon. Mr. Morris L. Cooke began as a scientific management engineer, and became at a later time an adviser to colleges on the efficient conduct of the university training; then became director of public works at Philadelphia, where he made a remarkable record; and is now devoting his services to the Government. It is a pleasure to call upon Mr. Morris L. Cooke.

THE PRESENT LABOR SITUATION.

BY MORRIS L. COOKE, U. S. SHIPPING BOARD, EMERGENCY FLEET CORPORATION, WASHINGTON, D. C.

It seems a crime to prolong any program at this hour of the day. There are two or three things, however, that it may be worth while to pass over to you. With two months of day-to-day contact with Government problems in Washington I am led to believe that no amount of preparedness, as that word is ordinarily used, would ever have prepared us for meeting the great military problem that is before us. Obviously I don't mean to say that if we had had more foresight we would not have larger sources for the supply of guns, clothing, perhaps have provided ourselves with some plant facilities that we have found necessary, such as storehouses. But given all those things that our advocates of preparedness had in mind, we would still be pretty much in the same position as we are to-day with regard to this problem. We are a nation of individualists, and it is, I suppose, largely on that account that every time a new problem comes up in Washington we have to spend weeks, and in some instances months, in getting the desired cooperation on the part of those whose cooperation is necessary. I don't mean the situation in Washington is different than in any other city of the country; but after you have the desire, you still have the problem itself to carry out, you still have the problem to work out: and perhaps that is what makes some of you in the provinces away from Washington feel at times that the Government at Washington moves rather slowly.

Now, because employment management to me is a scheme of cooperation, it seems to me that this gathering here to-day, and the spirit that so obviously pervades it, is an omen of a better day. We have heard the cry for a national labor policy, both in Washington and outside of Washington. I go so far as to say that in the absence of a considerable number of industrial establishments having employment departments, such a national labor policy would be almost futile, because it seems to me that the employment department is about the only medium in American industry through which anything like an adequate national labor policy can be developed. For that reason I am going to suggest that the time is not far distant when the United States Chamber of Commerce, or some other agency, will list the larger industrial establishments in this country, and ask them the question, "Have you an employment department?" and social pres-

sure will be brought to bear on those that have not these departments, because they will have become a social menace.

Now, it is not enough in this situation for an employer to get freed from labor troubles; it seems to me his industrial house must be so ordered that he does not "get by" by accident, but that he "gets by" by design, by having planned for it, and applied the formula which the most general public opinion suggests is the one that should be used in order to prevent labor troubles.

Now, there are two things that stand out to me as important in this situation—one, the responsibility of the management; the other, the responsibility of the men who toil with their hands. As for the management, the matter of publicity with regard to the pay roll is of prime importance. At a time when in so many branches of industrial activity wages of the workers are made a matter altogether of public concern, such as in the railroad or in Government contract, where we have access to the wages paid, it does not seem to me that it is inopportune to suggest that we are pretty nearly at the point where every pay roll is more or less a matter of public concern. And as for preparing for it I personally urge every client of mine to carry quarterly, semiannual, and annual pay rolls and to attempt to get the workers in the habit of thinking in terms of income. Piece rates are futile. What you and I are interested in is what we earn in a given year; and the ups and downs of the work in an establishment should not be allowed to affect that. If you will do that, if you will get your employees to thinking in terms of income, then the fluctuation in work will not have serious effect, certainly not upon labor troubles. It is the next step, because obviously if your actual incomes are all right, and your people are only allowed to work two-thirds of the time, from an economic or national standpoint the situation is pretty much the same. And as leading up to that attitude toward the pay roll you should make out periodically, certain not less frequently than every three months, a list of those in your employ at the several rates of pay, and that should be submitted or should be inspected by somebody outside of your concern—the local chamber of commerce, or some Government agency in which you have confidence. But you need it; the employer needs a check; his own judgment is not sufficient upon this point. There should be a line drawn at a dangerously low rate of pay, and everybody who falls below that should be under constant surveillance, because people, whether one dozen or five, who are paid too low wages, are a demoralizing influence in any establishment. If, after this war is over, we have not learned the technique of paying high wages and getting returns for them, industrial America is doomed.

It seems to me that the great responsibility on the part of the men is the fact that, man for man, the output of our shipyards and

other factories working on munitions of war is still going down. I believe the forces are started that are going to send that output up. The fact that the output is going down is, of course, obviously not the fault of the workmen. The management has broken down. We must admit it. The variable supply of essentials or materials disappearing overnight in certain communities is a mark against those communities. The fact that towels for a ship are delivered before the keel is laid is the fault of the management.

Now, I believe that organized labor is going to discover quickly that production is labor's responsibility, and that in a democracy, no matter how desirable it may be to have schedule and planning and the control of output, the leadership, in the hands of the management, the "pep," the force, the driving force that makes for production, must be labor's responsibility. Nine out of ten men who have discussed the subject with me claim that if we introduce piece rates or set tasks where we have none now that would answer the problem. There is an argument to be made for both. But it seems to me that, in this crisis, when time is so essential, publicity, the good old tried friend of democracy, is the only thing that is going to answer the problem. We must in the first place after your job analysis, or whatever you choose to call it, have publicity with regard to the work that is to be done by any individual or group of individuals, and give the utmost publicity to the daily output of the individual; and allow shop pressure, social pressure, whatever you choose to call it, to bear in such a way that the slacker is driven out; that the man who wants to support his Government, as the great majority of our workers do, will realize what his stunt should be and will be aided by the management to do it.

Now, we are fortunate in having at Washington in the War Department, the Department of Labor, and the Navy Department organizations being developed that can cooperate with you; that can cooperate with anybody who cares to carry out any part of that program. It is going to be brought about through myriads of experiments being carried on in shops located all over the land, in many cases one group working absolutely without knowledge that another group is at work. But if we are going to learn to support the large and ultimately victorious Army in Europe it is absolutely necessary for us to increase the output of our shops, and that can not be done except as management on its part uses more intelligence in planning; and on the other hand organized labor, labor of all kinds, gets together and makes this matter of increasing production its responsibility.

[Session adjourned at 4 p. m.]

THURSDAY, MAY 9 — EVENING SESSION.

CHAIRMAN: RALPH G. WELLS, ASSISTANT EMPLOYMENT MANAGER, E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS & CO., WILMINGTON, DEL.

DISCUSSION: DESTRUCTIVE LABOR RECRUITING.

[The meeting was called to order at 8.30 p. m. by the chairman.]

[Mr. Winans, of Cleveland, representing the Committee on Printing of Proceedings, reported that owing to the lateness of the hour and the expense involved, the plan of getting out proceedings of the convention day by day had been abandoned. The committee reported, however, that probably some arrangements could be made to get out the report of the proceedings within a week, financial arrangements for such copies as were desired to be made by the individuals desiring them. The committee reported that the material would probably be available to the delegates in printed form between June 7 and 15, such printed report to be published by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.]

The CHAIRMAN. If there is no further business we will proceed to a discussion of that phase of the employment question which is affecting all of us.

A great many people are considerably aroused over the fact that there is a great deal of promiscuous recruiting being done in various parts of the country; that we are suffering from constant shifting of men from one section to another. I think that many of you feel that if the men would work the full six days, that if we could have every man working all of the time, instead of transferring from one position to another and losing anywhere from two or three days to ten days or two weeks, there would be much less danger of a scarcity of labor. Various questions have been asked on this subject, but I think that the best way to get at it will be to ask Dr. Meeker, of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, to give us the results of a recent investigation that he has made on the subject of turnover.

Dr. MEEKER. Here are the results that came in yesterday and the day before and the day before that. Here they are in rather an undigested condition. I can report, however, that to date we have received returns from 135 plants located in Cincinnati, 16 in Rochester, 31 in Chicago, 1 in Pittsburgh, 3 in Rhode Island, 9 in Baltimore, 2 in Dayton, Ohio, and 1 in Syracuse. This inquiry into labor turnover came about quite spontaneously. Capt. Fisher

came into my office and said he wanted me to speak on cost of living. I said I was interested in labor turnover. "All right," he said, "I appoint you chairman of the Committee on Labor Turnover, to report at the Rochester convention." That was a week or so before the conference date. I said, "All right, I will send out letters right away to all the employment managers' associations." I did so; these are the returns. It shows pretty quick work on your part. It shows that you are at least interested enough in this extremely important subject to keep some records. Let me repeat, "Some records." The more you study them the more you are inclined to emphasize the "some." This was not an investigation; this was just a tryout. The real investigation is yet to come. "Cheer up, the worst is yet to come."

I have here a schedule¹ I want to put into the melting pot. The bureau made a study of the turnover in 1915. I always feel of a contrite spirit when I mention this study. It was made in 1915, and nothing has yet been published. We got a lot of most valuable information, and believe me, the study was well worth making, even if we never publish a scrap of the facts we uncovered. We went out as missionaries in the great field of labor. I think nine out of ten employers that we approached at that time, even the better class of employers, the employers that were really awake to labor conditions and the necessity of dealing with labor intelligently, did not know a thing about labor turnover, and had to have it explained to them before they knew what we were talking about. We went out as missionaries. I don't mean to say all the awakening of interest in labor turnover which has occurred since 1915 was due to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Other things have happened besides what the Bureau of Labor Statistics has done; and labor shortage due to war conditions has put the employer right up against it, and he is obliged to take thought where in former years it was not necessary to take thought. You would have had your attention inevitably called to the importance of labor turnover even if we never had gone out and preached the gospel of keeping your records so that you might know what is happening to your labor force. But with all the labor shortage, through cutting off the supply, that unlimited supply that we have drawn upon in previous years, the foreign immigrant, in spite of all the shortage of labor, in spite of all the preaching of the gospel of keeping records of employment, our records of labor turnover are not satisfactory; we still are feeling around as to what really is labor turnover. How shall we compute the percentage of labor turnover? Shall we take into account only the leavings or shall we take into account only the hiring; or

¹ For revised schedule see Appendix A.

make a general average of both? Or what shall we do in computing labor turnover?

I wish that I had enough copies of this schedule to distribute, so that you could look over it and criticize it and advise me what kind of schedule I should substitute for this in making a fresh study of labor turnover. I don't know any better way of discussing this schedule than to refer to certain items that caused me a good deal of puzzlement, and ask you to advise me in regard to the inquiries on this schedule. The first one that I want to call your attention to is No. 7 on the schedule: "Labor Turnover, year ending May 15, 1918." I have determined to discard May 15 because all the employment managers I have conferred with say it is much better to have the year end with the month instead of in the middle of the month. I am willing to end where you tell me to. I don't want to ask you to give me anything you haven't got. I have frequently been required to accomplish the impossible, and been left free as to the method I might pursue in accomplishing the impossible. I am not going to do anything of that kind with you. I want to get what you have and everything you have, and get it in the most intelligible way.

Now, gentlemen, the labor problem is the big problem before the country to-day. There is but one industry in this country to-day that has any claim to being called a legitimate industry. There is but one business, but one science, but one art, and that is the business, the science, and the art of licking Germany. As I had occasion to say in a letter to the President some months ago, the labor problem is the heart of the whole situation. In fact the war itself is but a tangled mess of extremely complicated labor problems. This new industry of fighting the Germans face to face in the trenches is merely a new industry we have undertaken—an extrahazardous industry, we will admit. From the front line trenches back to the least of the essential industries the most important problem for you and for our statesmen to solve is the labor problem. There is no dispute on that. Then why not handle our labor problem in an intelligent manner? Why not get at the labor turnover, this thing which is cutting down production, which is injuring our industry and giving it cramps so that it ties it up in knots.

Labor turnover is injuring the individual workman by subjecting him to perfectly needless accident hazards, and needless illnesses, largely because of shifting from place to place. The worker who has to work at unusual occupations and under unusual conditions to which he is not accustomed is thereby subjected to new hazards of accident and new hazards of illness. Why not agree to handle the problem in an intelligent manner? Why not keep your records of employment on the man-hour basis? This is the basis I am insisting

upon in accident reporting. You must compute your accident statistics upon the basis of the man-hours worked by your establishment, because it makes a great difference whether a plant works 120 days or 365 days in the year, and whether eight hours or 12 hours constitute the working day. In order to make our accident statistics comparable, industry by industry and plant by plant, we must get the man-hours worked in each plant. Otherwise, the exposure to accident will not be the same for all plants and our statistics won't mean anything. For accident statistics it is absolutely essential to have the man-hours worked in your establishment. For employment statistics you need the same man-hour basis, but I shall feel that I have accomplished a great forward step if I can induce you to keep your employment records on the man-day basis. The man-day basis would be accurate enough for the present. We must agree, however, on a standard and this is just the convention to decide what is the proper standard upon which to compute the percentage of labor turnover. I leave that to you to chew upon. I hope you will have a good chew.

The next query that I want to call your attention to is "Query No. 9," which is entitled "Length of continuous employment of persons on the pay roll." That should be supplemented by a similar inquiry as to the length of service of those who leave, because we must have the length of life of the "dead ones" as well as the "live ones," so to speak.

The schedule calls for those who have been in continuous employment 7 days and less. Is it worth while going to that degree of refinement? The men in the Chicago employment group seemed to think that this was a query well worth making. Most records sent in don't go below one month. It seems to me you are losing the thing you want. You want to fix the floating casual labor, don't you? And you are doing your level best to increase the number and proportion of the casual laborers. You will go right on stimulating the growth of the casual labor until you get behind the public employment office; until you put the private agencies off the map; until you eliminate from the columns of the press these long and most attractive "help wanted" ads. I have often looked them over and wished I could lay down the burdens of my present job in order to undertake some of the seductive jobs you picture in the Sunday press. I would like to try it out sometime, anyhow.

I am of the opinion that we should refine as low as "seven days and under" in order to determine the degree to which labor turnover is a casual labor problem. I want to make this study as useful to you as possible. Why don't you applaud? That is the place for "applause." Unless I can do something to help you I will go back to Washington and take up something else. Unless this study of

labor turnover is going to be of assistance in cutting down labor turnover and solving your problem, it is not worth doing.

Of course similar queries should be answered in regard to those who leave. We want to know the length of service of the fellows who depart from the seductive jobs they have been induced to take through advertisements in the Sunday papers.

The thing that interests me more than anything else in these queries is the causes of labor turnover. That query was not included in the original schedule, but it must be included in the schedule in order to make this study worth while. When I speak of causes of labor turnover I don't mean "drunkenness," "unsatisfactory," "good of the service," "trouble maker," and the like. Anybody can answer the query in that way with his hands tied behind him, blindfolded. That does not tell anything at all. What I want to get at is something more fundamental than that; something concerning the policy of the establishment. Now, if we get these returns, we will get them as the Bureau of Labor Statistics always gets everything—under seal of confidence. We are not going to reveal the identity of firm "A," "B," "C." We want to publish the information without revealing the identity of the firm in order to do your souls good. That is my sole object in life: To do you and do you good.

I want to know if there is something the matter with your establishment that you can lay your finger on to account for a high labor turnover; or if you have a low labor turnover, how you have achieved it. It is more important to explain a low labor turnover than a high one—much more important in these days of accelerated turnover. As a matter of fact, I think we get much more good out of holding up the deeds of the righteous for admiration and imitation than holding up the deeds of the unrighteous as a horrible example. I want to hold up the optimistic side of labor turnover as much as possible.

I want you to explain, in answer to another question, just what you are doing about labor turnover, how you are dealing with it. I know some establishments that seem to be working under very adverse conditions, where one would naturally expect to find a large labor turnover, which actually have a labor turnover of only 30 or 40 per cent, even in these times of high labor turnover. How do they do it? What are they doing to hold their labor turnover down to 40 per cent, when the average for those who keep some sort of record is certainly above 100 per cent? Some employers keep no records of hirings and leavings. There is a reason. Either the labor turnover is so great that they don't want to be comforted by the cold tabulated statement of it, or it is so great that they have not clerical force enough to keep tabs on it.

Are you giving sufficient attention to the feeding of your employees, to their healthful recreation, to their housing, to the adequacy of means for getting to and from the plant, to industrial hygiene, including safeguards from accidents? All these things I have enumerated. The core of the labor problem is industrial hygiene, which includes everything that makes for the safe conduct of industry or business. It is just as unhealthful to fall into a ladle full of molten metal as it is to breathe nitrous acid fumes or get toxic jaundice from handling trinitrotoluol. No sharp line of distinction can be drawn between safety and hygiene. I should say that the most hygienic thing you can do for your employees is to pay them a living wage, if you can determine what a living wage is. I want you to search your hearts and answer frankly what you are doing to cut labor turnover; what further policy can be inaugurated to reduce it still more. We must reduce this waste in order to keep product turning out of our factories, so that we may bring the war to a successful issue. This brings you right up to the front door of the general manager. Is the general manager behind the employment department, or is he in front of it? Is he supporting you in your dealings with labor or is he obstructing you? What are the relations existing between the general manager and the employment manager? If you have no records I don't want them; if you have, I do want them in order to do you good. But as I see it, the most useful thing that can be done in this new survey of labor turnover is to select the typical, representative establishments having the best showing as to labor turnover, all conditions taken into consideration, and a few showing the largest labor turnover, and get at the true inwardness of the situation in both instances. That means sending agents to camp down in your offices until they do get at the true inwardness of the labor policy of your particular firm—the explanation of your extraordinarily high or low turnover. Does that meet with your approval? Is that going to help you?

I have made my complete statement. I am not going to say another word "though the heavens fall."

Capt. FISHER. Does Dr. Meeker want me to answer his questions with regard to admitting women to the courses on employment management, now?

Dr. MEEKER. Yes.

Capt. FISHER. The courses are open to women. The first course was strictly limited to pupils sent by manufacturers on war products. It happened the question did not come up, because no manufacturer certified a woman employment manager. At Boston it came up in this way: The management committee, feeling that all of the universities cooperating had taught only men to date, gave the first six

weeks only to men, because it was sufficiently a novelty to give a course at all; and they did not wish to combine with that the novelty of teaching women. Henceforth both in Boston and in Rochester the courses are open to women on the same conditions as to men, although we don't expect that many women will have as much experience as men.

A discussion is taking place in our committee as to a special course for women, say three months, in which we would give them something of an industrial background as well as intensive training on employment work, but that is an exceedingly delicate question, which shows we are giving extra consideration to the problem of women in the courses. But be assured that women are as welcome as men if they have had the proper industrial background. I have wanted several promising plant women to enter this course at Rochester, but unfortunately they had a different kind of training than the men and were not certified by the employers.

Several successful women directors at Washington agree with me that women must have shop contact before they can do as well as men. No discrimination is being practiced.

ETHELBERT STEWART, chief statistician U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C. In the description of the course which we had this forenoon the professor stated that the question of trade-unions, trade organizations, and statistics had been left out of the course as originally planned.

Now, I would like to ask this question: Isn't it true that most of the employment managers have that question of trade-unionism to deal with immediately and all the time, and that it is the most puzzling thing which you have to handle? I think the experience of every concern that has an employment manager or an employment office and favors the open shop or distinctly nonunion shop is that the friction from first to last is more or less upon the question of trade organization and trade-unions.

In listening to the professor, when he said he had left out all courses on trade-unions, I felt very much as I did some six weeks ago. We employed a girl clerk to help us temporarily in our statistical division. In an hour or so the man in charge of that division came in and asked me to transfer her to another division. I did so thinking perhaps the girl would show more aptitude somewhere else. Soon the head of that division came in and said: "You will have to take so-and-so into the chief clerk's office. I can't use her." I said to her, "It seems you can't do our work." She said, "No, I can't." I had a page of her work in front of me. I said, "Is there anything that we have in our line of work that you can do? Apparently you don't know how to multiply." She said, "No, I was sick the week they learned the multiplication table."

Now, if I mistake not, if your students were sick the week the trades-union situation and open-shop question were discussed they would not get very far on an employment job. And when you leave that subject out, aren't you leaving out the only way that a fellow can get a milepost?

Capt. FISHER. I believe Mr. Noyes can explain Mr. Jacobstein's statement.

HENRY T. NOYES, treasurer, Art in Buttons (Inc.), Rochester, N. Y. I am sorry that Prof. Jacobstein is not here to answer you himself, because I judge his remarks have been misconstrued. In explanation permit me to say this: Our courses to be given in connection with this work were outlined with a great deal of care. They were submitted to the university for guidance. A course on statistics might have properly taken two or three months of time. I am sure there was enough in the outline and enough importance attached to the points particularly to have justified that time. We felt that this was a war emergency course and limited to six weeks. There was a course given in industrial development; a course on the cooperative efforts on the part of labor, going back to the guilds of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. In giving the course we found it impossible to do all that we would like to do; and of necessity, this being a war emergency training course, we found it advisable to confine ourselves to the practical things so far as possible, and also to point out to the men what they might do at home. I am sure that the subject of statistics was handled in the classroom and the men that took the course allowed for that fact. We tried to handle it from the war standpoint and the practical standpoint. We emphasized the importance of statistics. I am sure that if there is one thing that the men have gained, it has been an idea of the importance of statistics in connection with labor turnover. We did not go into the different theories of statistics to the degree we might have; but if there is one thing the men have gained it has been to know where to look for information. We could not give it all to them. We could not give them everything in six weeks' time. We have had the advantage of having a man in the library who has been able to give the men the methods of searching for information which they can use in the future. I think by looking up the subject of statistics in the future they can gain more than we could give them in the classroom.

The same is true of the subject of labor organization and the history of the labor movement. We discussed these questions through all the lectures we gave, endeavoring in doing this not to take too positive a side on one subject but to present the various sides, so these men might use their own good judgment. I am sorry Prof. Jacobstein gave the wrong impression to anyone, particularly considering the fact that it was a six weeks' course.

The CHAIRMAN. I know that some of you will want to ask Dr. Meeker some questions. But Dr. Meeker has one or two additional points that he wishes to bring out.

Dr. MEEKER. I want to apologize for being obliged to go back on my word so soon. I forgot to make the main speech that I had to make to you. I want to say that as soon as we can get through with these returns of turnover that you have sent in and the other returns that will come in later, I will put them in shape and print them in the official proceedings of these meetings.

DELEGATE. I would like to ask the doctor a question: Is he in favor of abolishing the private employment offices throughout the country? Does he or the Government include in that category all the free employment offices conducted by the employers' associations or other organized bodies of that kind?

Dr. MEEKER. I would like to abolish all private employment offices conducted for profit. All other private employment offices would necessarily have to be brought under very rigid governmental supervision. Otherwise you are not going to have a central agency to handle employment. Great Britain entered this war with a tremendous advantage, or rather, we entered the war with a terrific handicap. Great Britain had a national system of employment offices. Without that national system of employment offices it would have been wholly impossible for Great Britain to put her army across the British Channel in time to block the invasion of France. The only thing that enabled her to command the force of men necessary in order to move the guns, ammunition, and the other impedimenta belonging to an army and to load the ships, was this national system of employment offices.

The Government appealed to the national employment offices; they drew the men from Leeds, from Sheffield, and from all points of the United Kingdom to the points where workmen were needed to load the guns, munitions, and foodstuffs required by the army. It is the only thing that saved the day and we have got to have as complete control of the labor market in this country if we are going to carry this war to a successful conclusion.

Now, the employment offices that are conducted not for profit may well be left in the business so long as they are an integral part of the national employment office system, and only under those conditions.

MR. ELLIOTT, of Boston, Mass. As a matter of efficiency in conducting these courses, I am interested in arriving at a definition for labor turnover. The Rochester course and the offices here may suggest a definition; the Emergency Fleet Corporation has suggested one; and nearly every magazine that deals with industrial management or

similar matters contains a definition for labor turnover. I move that we appoint a committee of five to confer with Dr. Meeker and report on Saturday morning concerning a tentative definition for labor turnover.

The CHAIRMAN. With the consent of those present the chair will take it for granted that it is the pleasure of the house to do so.

[The Chairman appointed Mr. Kelly as chairman of the committee, with power to appoint the other members of the committee.]

PETER J. VAN GEYT, employment manager, Rochester, N. Y. If it is not too presumptuous on my part, I would like to say one word more in regard to what we have had in the course. It was my good fortune to sit under Prof. Jacobstein for a year in a course on the study of labor problems. In substance we got as much during this six weeks' course on the history of the problem in England and this country as I got in this whole year. Not all the details, but the sum and substance of it. What Prof. Jacobstein meant this morning is that he did not give us all the things he would have liked to give, because the time was too short. I know that is true about statistics, because we did not get as much as he wanted to give us. But we got the information which will tell us where to go to get more information. The same thing is true of industrial management.

W. BURROWS, editor, National Industrial Conference Board, Boston, Mass. One thing that Dr. Meeker said brought up an experience of a little while ago when I was in England where I had been sent to study conditions just before the war. In Bradford and Leeds they were attempting to do what Dr. Meeker has described. There was a demand for 8,000 girls in those places and the governmental employment agency had been asked to supply the need. They could not do it. They had been working on it a good many weeks. The reason was obvious: The girls would not go; would not move; although they were nearly starving and needed every spare shilling, they could not be induced to cross the border line between Lancashire and Yorkshire. But the war removed all that and they were able to accomplish their end. To be sure they had the machinery; they could not have accomplished the result without the machinery; but they had to have the spirit.

One other point: The feeling that the English had one single central organization was quite as important in reducing the problem to a scientific basis as any other. In fact, the problem becomes scientific the instant it begins to center about one central agency, and the moment you have a central agency handling this thing, this labor problem, complicated as it is, difficult as it is, full of psychological elements as it is, becomes a scientific problem. The problem of human life and death became an actuarial problem the moment there

were combined insurance societies working on one principle to make it an actuarial problem. The labor problem will be a complicated one, impossible to reduce to a scientific average to-day, except as it is reviewed from a single standpoint for a single purpose by a uniform method. I hope that this war and the spirit from it will get into everybody's heart and urge him to do this in the right way and do it now.

H. E. PARKER, employment manager Fore River plant, Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Quincy, Mass. I would like to say a few words, since I come from a plant that has used the employment department of the United States—both the national employment service and the State employment service—for the past year. I will give you a few figures to back up my statement. The Fore River Shipbuilding Corporation, now the Bethlehem Co.'s Fore River plant, a year ago last April had in its employ over 4,000 men. The management decided that it was policy to discard all other means of recruiting labor and to use the United States and the State employment services entirely, which they did. They increased their force in one year to 14,500 employees in one plant. They built an entirely new plant, with an executive force in charge, and put 2,200 men in that. All this was done in one year's time, with the aid of the Government employment service. The entire recruiting of the laborers was handled through its officers. We used our representatives in its offices; all advertising was directed from its offices, supervised from its offices, and all applicants for employment at this plant were directed by the advertisements to go to these offices. I merely wish to say this in appreciation, as representing a plant which is acquainted with the results that have been achieved by the United States Employment Service.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there anyone here who does not believe in a centralized system of employment offices? Would anyone like to defend the continuance of the private agency? If you want discussion, here is one question you can discuss. I would like to ask whether it is the sentiment of those present that we would all be glad to be able to have all of our wants and needs met through the United States Employment Service? Is there anyone who dissents from that?

DUDLEY R. KENNEDY, industrial manager American International Shipbuilding Corporation, Hog Island, Philadelphia, Pa. I am coming up here on the platform to tackle the question that everybody seems to be afraid of. I have had at least 12 people say that they were not going to sell themselves to organized labor. Now we are in war time. We are here to talk "brass tacks," not theory, and I feel that I can bring this up, because we have at Hog Island put all our eggs in one basket with the Federal Service.

Having justified my coming up here to bring this question before this audience, I think we should have an expression upon it and a definite assurance that in this crisis, whatever our private views, this conference goes on record that if it does use the Service, it does not use it as a medium of unionizing men or concerns who don't want to be unionized. These things ought to be discussed here openly and frankly, without rancor and without prejudice; and if they are discussed here instead of being carried away and mumbled over at home, we will know where we stand, and know what we have to do; and now and here when we are together is the time to find out. Can we find out? Doctor, do you know?

Dr. MEEKER. I would like to ask if there is any attempt being made by the Service to unionize plants.

Mr. KENNEDY. Understand me. I am putting the question that a lot of people have put to me, and that they are afraid to ask themselves; and the question ought to be answered.

Mr. CLAYTON. That question is a fair one. I will give you a straight answer. The policy of the Service respecting that question is this: If a closed shop employing only union labor calls upon the United States Employment Service for labor, it will send to that shop the kind of labor that shop is taking—union labor, and nothing else. We have clients who have such contracts with the labor unions and we send them the kind of labor they have contracted for, and nobody else, because that is made by them a condition of employment. I see before me a good many men who represent open shops. They will testify that we send them without any discrimination at all and without any record whatever as to union or nonunion members the men they have asked to be supplied. That is our position and our policy; and that is all there is of it, so far as I can say.

Mr. KENNEDY. I brought the question up because I felt free to do it. As Mr. Clayton knows we have turned ourselves over to the tender mercies of his department. I believe that this department will do exactly as we say and I believe we are in a crisis where we have got to take a chance that they will do exactly as they say, in the spirit and not in the letter. I know how Mr. Clayton and Mr. Densmore feel, how the Secretary of Labor feels, because I have personally talked with them. But I wanted you to hear it, and I was afraid somebody would fail to ask the question.

Don't let us make this mistake. We are in a crisis. We are doing things we never would have dreamed of a year ago. We are building in size and with speed we would have considered impossible a year ago. Don't let the desire to reduce labor turnover retard the speed of Government work.

CHARLES B. BARNES, director, Employment Bureau of New York City. I want to give you a little history. We have had the State employment system in the State of New York for three years and in that time—we now have about 11 offices—have filled over 100,000 positions, and I don't believe we have ever had any trouble with labor in any way in all that time. We operate under a law which says that in case an order for employees comes from a plant in which there is a strike we are to post a notice to that effect in any of our offices. We are to give warning that we occupy a perfectly neutral position, and we have carried that out. Three or four times we learned after we had started work for a plant that there was trouble there and had to stop. But following this policy we have obtained the confidence and respect of both interests—we are in close touch with unions, and the fact that we have filled all these jobs will show that the employers have had some confidence in us.

I want to speak about something that I have heard mentioned here. There is in operation in Rochester to-day an employment office which is carried on under a system called the "Rochester plan." I understand that some mention was made of that plan in connection with the course carried on for six weeks. From the way it was mentioned it occurs to me that there might be thought to be some connection between the "Rochester plan" and this course, and I want to ask whether this body is in favor of the so-called "Rochester plan" of having an employment office entirely financed and run by employers?

The CHAIRMAN. Is there any comment on Mr. Barnes's question? I think perhaps it would be well to have some one here explain the "Rochester plan" to us. There are several questions regarding it here. I think then we can answer Mr. Barnes better.

MR. WILSON. I am a little bit afraid that there is room for misunderstanding as to what the question of opinion just made really means. I would like to ask Dr. Meeker and Mr. Clayton a question in regard to it. The concern with which I am connected has used very largely the State Employment Bureau; in fact, practically all of our recruiting is done through that office, but that does not in any sense mean that we do not have an employment department do our work at each place. We employ very largely applicants who appear there of their own volition. I would like to have it explained to us as to whether, when we say we are in favor of a central employment bureau, we mean to cease employing applicants at our own doors, for instance. I would like to have that explained.

There is one other point I want to ask Dr. Meeker. We all agree that what he is after is the right thing. I have within the last month written several letters suggesting the same thing exactly and making

recommendations to the various State and National authorities on it. Each reply I have had in regard to it states in one form or another that it is a very desirable thing, but they don't know how to do it. That is, they do not know how to do away with the employment agencies. There seems to be no legal way of doing away with them. Possibly we must put them out of business by not using them. Apparently there is no other way. The Government does not seem to have a way. I took that up with Mr. H. M. Hay, Secretary Daniels's Expert Aid, as he is called. He said that while it was a very desirable thing, it could not be done; and it could not be done by a proclamation because he was sure such a proclamation would not be made. If Dr. Meeker has any suggestions how to do it I would like to hear them.

DR. MEEKER. Use the other agencies.

MR. CLAYTON. Suppose I answer the first question by telling something about what they are doing in England. The British employment agencies are to-day used exclusively by a considerable majority of the business concerns of England. They have a metal sign with white letters on a blue ground, which states: "Labor at this factory is hired only through the Labor Exchange." It is optional with the manufacturer whether he uses that sign. It simply means that if he wishes to relieve himself of tramps, of men who go from agency to agency, and to send them all to the employment office, the employment exchange lets him have the sign and he tacks it on his wall. The applicants go down to the employment office and he gets them from there if he wants to. He does not have to do that. That is a question for him to determine. I think it is better if you do that way. The Deputy Minister of Munitions, a large employer of labor, told me when he was here this year that he had adopted that policy for his own business after much experience in other means, and he did not hire a single man, even at his gate, because he felt it better for his business to send them away to the labor exchange and get them from there when he wanted them. But he was not required to do that and we would not require you to do that. I do think you would find it much better for your business even now, as rapidly as the offices can be equipped in your vicinities, to turn over to them all you can, as an incentive, and take only those that come to you of themselves.

DELEGATE. Do you think that would apply for a concern a considerable distance from an employment center?

MR. CLAYTON. Probably not.

DELEGATE. I have in mind a place in California where there are five or six copper smelters. Probably there would not be an employment office in that district.

MR. CLAYTON. That is a local condition. Conditions will vary. I could not answer that.

MARK M. JONES, supervisor of personnel, Thos. A. Edison Industries, Orange, N. J. It might be timely to ask Mr. Clayton as to how the men were appointed from the employment offices.

MR. CLAYTON. The men in charge of our offices are called "examiners in charge." They don't differ from the examiners in the offices under them, though they are selected for their greater experience and are promoted from the ranks to the charge of the office. Formerly all men in the employment offices were appointed through civil service. Those were transferred. A few we got from the Immigration Service as civil-service men. It appeared then that we must have a large number of additional employees and we could not get them except by waiting for an examination. We asked the President if he would not give us the permission, through waiving the Civil Service rules, to get additional men. He did so. Under that arrangement we are not appointing men from the Civil Service. That does not mean they get into the service without examination, but the examination application which we make those men fill out before appointment is a pretty complete history of them and their experience, and when they get by that I feel fairly safe that they are competent men. I want life history and experience and references, and I look them up. That is the best we can do just now, when we are appointing men at the rate of 25 or 50 a day. Later on we will get back onto the Civil Service examination.

DELEGATE. I think it ought to be brought out that when Sir Stephenson Kent came to this country he was surprised at the industrial conditions here as compared with the capitalized United Kingdom. The labor of Great Britain is 90 per cent organized; in the United States it is less than 20 per cent organized. There is considerable doubt as to the fairness of the treatment which the free employment offices, operated by the manufacturers throughout the United States, would receive. I don't see that it would be disloyal to permit those offices to do their bit in furnishing employees to the firms connected with their associations. I understand that the Government would like to have control over these offices.

The CHAIRMAN. I think they merely want cooperation.

DELEGATE. There is a distinction between "cooperation" and "control."

MR. WINANS. I think that some of us are going to go home not altogether satisfied upon this question of the United States Employment Service. Unless conditions are vastly different from what they are in Cleveland, I think you will find it necessary to weed out a

large number of the men sent from the offices. We find many of the men sent out from there entirely unsuited for the positions they are intended to fill. I think Mr. Jones's questions are entirely to the point. The difficulty with regard to the Cleveland office is this: The office is situated on the fourth floor of the Federal building; the room is such that a good many men would not venture into it at all. The office is not open at the hours that the average employment men are putting in. It is often closed in the afternoon and not open at 7 o'clock in the morning. Under those conditions we are not going to get men in that way. I think this is the time and the place to say this.

We put in a requisition to the Federal and State office for men, and 50 per cent of the men that came to us were unavailable because physically unfit or inexperienced. The men had not been selected; they had simply been sent out. I think Mr. Jones's question and the suggestion raised by Mr. Wilson are very much in point.

Mr. CLAYTON. I think that is a fair and reasonable stricture to make, and I am glad that the objection was raised, because this is "in council," and this is the place to raise the objection. I tried this afternoon to bring home to you one request, and this gives me a chance to repeat that request. We are organizing offices so rapidly and appointing men so quickly that we are getting a lot of people who can't give us the service. Although the officeholders are better than in some other services, yet they are not what they ought to be. Although the salaries are not perhaps all that they should be, I will tell you that the men we have are fired with enthusiasm and learning their jobs very rapidly. That Cleveland office we have not taken up yet. Just now it is exactly as it was in the old days before we took the service over. We want you to help us and we want you to help us help you, and here is the way we want you to help us: Wherever there is an employment office, send for the man in charge of that office to come to your plant, invite him to come himself, and teach him and his men what you want. When you say, "Send 10 machinists," they can't tell what you want. We have machinery never known before this War. How can you expect these men to know the machines unless they see the machines? We on our part are going to do all we can to make these men efficient, in order to take from you the responsibility of hunting up labor. When that is done, we will have sifted the undesirable out and will bring to your gates for your examination those who seem to us probably satisfactory. We can't guarantee that the men we send will be satisfactory. So we are going to leave the final determination of those men to you. We are going to do the sifting and bring to you as nearly as we can the men you probably will want to have.

Mr. L. PALMER, of Buffalo, N. Y. I have been much interested in the question this evening. I had been in contact with the Federal Department of Labor and their division of employment. I come here from a State that Gen. Wood says must furnish 40 per cent of the vital munitions that are going to win this War. Within the last week the governor of that State has authorized the department that I represent to enter into an agreement with the Federal Department of Labor on a cooperative employment basis. I don't think there has been enough said about cooperation among the various States that already have employment agencies. We have in a number of States some very effective organizations. I don't know that our own is just what we would like. But, working with Mr. Clayton and Mr. Densmore, with the backing of the Secretary of Labor, and the governor of our State, we hope to be able to handle the employment problem of our State satisfactorily, not only to the employees but the employers. We are a balanced organization. We are a department of labor and a department of industry, and we maintain that we can not serve one without serving both. We have confidence in the organization with which we joined hands at Washington. We believe that you men who represent the employers and you who represent the employees will be well pleased when once this plan is put into active operation. We will have to try it out. Some have asked what are we going to do with the men who are rejected. I don't doubt that when you bring your laboring men in there in the way you are doing it now, you are rejecting as many, and perhaps more, than those who will come to you after being surveyed by the Federal employment agencies. I just want to bring out this point from a State that is trying to do her part to win this War.

Dr. MEEKER. Mr. Jones asked whether I wanted the Federal Government to take control of the employers' employment bureaus or departments. I don't want it. I think that the goal we will ultimately reach was very clearly stated by Mr. Clayton in answer to Mr. Wilson's question. Eventually you employers will come to the Federal-State employment offices to get all of your help. As I understand the situation in England, it is a little bit different from that indicated by Mr. Clayton. All of the Government establishments and the controlled establishments get all of their labor force through the employment service. And it practically amounts to this—and I have talked with Kent and Curran, and half a dozen other people from Great Britain—that the only way that an employer can get employees is through the national employment office. He can get material only through the priority board, and employees from the national employment office. It absolutely freezes out the nonessential industries and puts the priority industry in position to get materials and men. That is the ultimate result aimed at.

Of course, now, neither the Federal nor State system can handle the employment situation, and we have to make use not only of the employers' employment offices, but of the private employment offices. We have to put up with the infernal nuisances. But eventually I think the problem will solve itself. Why worry about it? Use your employment offices with discrimination and judgment. And if there is any complaint in Cleveland let the complainers bring the complaint up to Mr. Clayton. Clayton's business is to see that the service in Cleveland measures up to the standard. May I repeat my remark regarding the fright that I had in Cleveland? Mr. Fullerton boldly suggested that for one month the employers of Cleveland stop advertising and go straight to the employment office for help, and I cried for "help" at once because I was scared to death. I was afraid the employers of Cleveland would put an impossible strain upon the offices which would not only crack them, but smash them. And then the employers would say, "That is the Government office," and go back to stealing and the other things that all of you do. I want to get Clayton interested in dealing with the Cleveland situation, and I want to get him interested in every city, too, and improve the employment service until you are obliged to use the public offices because they give the best service available.

DELEGATE. If this convention went on record as indorsing a national employment organization as such, would that also involve a standardization of wages to be paid to employees coming from this organization?

The CHAIRMAN. It was not the chairman's intention to put the convention on record here. I simply put the question whether there were any who disagreed. I think the gentleman has brought up a point which we may well pass to, if you gentlemen are willing to remain. It seems to me we have a very vital problem here.

L. S. TYLER, vice president Acme Wire Co., New Haven, Conn. I would like to ask Mr. Clayton if it is the intention of the Federal bureau to give any information to the public as to the affiliation of the managers with the local board? The charge is freely made that the bureaus are in the hands of the union. Representing a small concern, as I do, we are absolutely tied up to what the larger concerns do in connection with these Federal employment bureaus. If they don't use it, we can't, simply because the labor is going to large munitions concerns where the high wages are being paid. If those concerns are not using the bureau and we are, we are going to get very few and undesirable workmen.

P. W. KINNEY, employment manager, Gleason Works, Rochester, N. Y. We feel that we have a very easy employment problem, chiefly due to our reputation with mechanics in the city of Rochester.

They feel that they will get as much money as with any other firm and fair treatment. We have been building up that reputation for a good many years. We have more applicants at our door than we can take care of. We have many men come to us who are recommended by their friends. We believe that they are the best applicants; they make the best help. Up to the present time we have had no necessity to call on such a bureau as the United States bureau. If we did have such a necessity we would be glad to call on them. But, owing to this policy that we have had for a great many years, we feel that it would be an error to limit the employment of men at our doors, or to limit the employment of these men who come to us recommended by their friends.

MR. CLAYTON. There are two questions. I wondered what was in the back of somebody's mind a while ago by a seemingly irrelevant question having a bearing upon appointment of employees in our service, whether they are appointed as labor-union men or not. There are some labor-union men in the service, some in the offices.

DELEGATE. Are you going to let the employers know that?

MR. CLAYTON. We don't think we should be required to give a bond or become indorsers of the affiliations of our people. We want people in the Service to give service. We don't ask them whether they belong to a union or not. Some of them do; some of them, I presume, do not. I remember some who were sent to me by the Bethlehem Steel Co.'s employment manager. I wanted some men of a particular type, and he said he had some. I don't know whether they are union men or not. What I want to know is whether they know their job. And they do. One came from the Brotherhood of Carpenters, a first-class man, doing good work; I don't know anything about his union affiliation. I wanted a man who knew carpenters, and he does; he is a first-class judge of carpenters. Whether a man is a union man or not is not the business of the Employment Service. The only place where that does count is where a man has a closed shop and asks us to get union men. Then we have to ask the question.

We have one office at Seattle which supplies only closed shops. I think nearly all the men in that office are also members of some union out there. Those men are put in conjointly by the Shipping Board and the Employment Service. The Shipping Board pays one-half of their salary, and that office supplies all the shipyards around Seattle. That office has to carry out that rule, because the yards themselves won't hire anybody but union men.

DELEGATE. Mr. Clayton has opened an office in South Chicago, situated in the second story, reached by a winding stairway, and at the rear of a hallway. Nobody knows it is there. And the class of

men sent from that office has been very inferior; we have been able to accept less than 10 per cent.

MR. CLAYTON. I did not even know it was there.

THE CHAIRMAN. It is a pretty large job to build quickly such a large organization in 48 States. It would appear that the thing to do is for the employers in each locality, if their Government employment office is not satisfactory there, to report such fact to the employment department, in order to give an opportunity to straighten things out.

S. P. HALL, employment manager, Morgan Engineering Co., Alliance, Ohio. I also have a complaint to make. I am very busy stealing 2,000 mechanics from the rest of you. I follow the lead of anything that develops in regard to getting mechanics. I started a campaign in Ohio. I received three or four letters from a certain company. When I was told to cease, I did. I wrote to the Cleveland office and my letter came back dead. I wrote to nearly every city within 500 miles of Alliance, Ohio. I received just one answer, and it took me a day to answer the questions. They wanted me to go back to 1917.

JACOB LIGHTNER, director employment bureau, Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry, Harrisburg, Pa. I have been listening with a great deal of interest all day long as to the causes of labor turnover; how the study of statistics is going to overcome it, and so many questions of like nature that I am afraid that you are all going to get tired, as I am. The cause of labor turnover lies with employers. A steals from B. Do you know that there is a trust among the paid employment agencies—a paid agency in one city, and another in another, and so on all over the State? Do you know that you are buying the same class of fellows that are passed from hand to hand? You do? Now, how are we to overcome that? Mine was the first experience in organizing the employment office of the State of Pennsylvania. It was the first office organized up to that time. No man who could get a position free thought he was anything but a charity patient. That is what the workmen thought. I recognized this when I went out to talk to employers about supplying them with labor. They said, "Mr. Lightner, it is all very well, but we don't want just cripples and consumptives." I don't blame them or you for being skeptical; and I don't think it is in the minds of the United States officials to deny you the right of having your own employment office to give to the men a second examination when the men of that service send a square peg down to your office to fill a round hole. It is up to you to make that peg round. You can't make a man tell the truth. Many men have come into my office. We have grown quite a little, and, with the cooperation of you employers, we are going to

grow more. And you don't need to have one bit of fear. A man comes into our office and tells me in answer to the question as to what kind of a mechanic he is, "a first-class carpenter." We have no way to disprove his statement. We can put that man through an examination. Suppose he is a good liar. He will say he fooled us. The time comes when he finds he has fooled himself. It takes time for all the people to "get wise" to that fact and play fair with us. And when they do come and start playing fair with us we are going to be in a position, with the aid of the National Government, to give you the kind of a man that your specifications call for. If it is for a union man that is what you are going to get. If yours is an open shop that is the kind of man you are going to get—what we can get and the best we can get.

Now, as to how to prevent labor turnover. You are the only ones through whom labor turnover can be stopped. Why are there so many calls to our offices? Why, they have run up into the millions in the whole United States. Why is it that Jones over here is asking for 2,000 men? It is because Bill Brown stole more than that away from him, and the pace agent hoodwinked him. Now, quit stealing and patronize these offices; and I will venture to say that in one year from now not an employer of the State of Pennsylvania will be compelled to ask for as many as he is asking for to-day. Neither will the turnover be so high, and you will get better results, and your firm, instead of spending five or eight or ten thousand dollars a month to keep your plant supplied will be spending only five where it is to-day spending a hundred dollars.

DELEGATE. We have been attempting to do some business with the employment agency at Youngstown. We asked them for boiler makers for a month. If I had waited on the State employment agency we would not have gotten them for a month. As far as the Pennsylvania State employment office is concerned I have had some experience. For your personal benefit I will tell you what I found. I went to Philadelphia for some bricklayers. I went to the employment agency in Philadelphia and told them what was required of these men. They immediately told me my wants would be supplied and requested me to call around at 2 o'clock. I went around at that time and found in the first place that there were ten times as many men as I wanted. Most of them were of the hack driver type, and never saw a brick during the year. They were dock hands, and their principal equipment to do this kind of work was a hatchet and a trowel. And you men know about how much a bricklayer can do with a hatchet and a trowel.

As to the hiring of labor through the United States Labor Department, I would like to know if we are required to accept those men on

their introduction from the Department of Labor. The reason I raise the question is this: Our chief product in Youngstown is steel. It is something like the Heinz district, there are 57 varieties, and a man who has had experience in labor work, which is very expensive through there, or technical work, that fellow has no idea of what is required of a man in a steel plant. There are certain classes that fit into certain jobs. There are certain others that won't do on other jobs. They are one hundred per cent efficient on some kind of jobs and no good on others. We are making munitions, and if we are compelled to take these laborers upon the examination of these labor bureaus, you can see how far we are going to get with our War if we are not allowed to pick our men. Must we accept these men, or can we pass on them?

The CHAIRMAN. I am going to suggest that you ask Mr. Clayton personally.

MR. ALFRED THOMPSON, employment manager, American Brake-Shoe & Foundry Co., Erie, Pa. A question that interests me has not been mentioned at all to-night and that is this: I have 7,000 men to get and I am going to get them somewhere. I have petitioned the National bureau of labor to supply that want. They have not done it. I have petitioned the State employment department and they have not done it; and I am going to go out and get them anywhere and from any firm not making munitions that I can find. The city of Rochester wrote me a nice letter and said I was recruiting labor here, and asked me to withdraw my men. Gen. Pierce wrote me to stop, and I said, "I am going to get these men if I possibly can." I will not hire a single man working on war work if I can help it. Every man I put on the road has positive instructions to hire no men working on war work. And your Symington Co. wrote me here that I was hiring men. And I stopped. But if any one of you men will not get back of this job the Government has given us to-day he is not a man. My grammar may be "bum" but my heart is in the right place.

I went to Washington in January and tendered my services. I have been a production manager for 30 years; have had charge of some of the best shops and put them into successful operation; and I am a successful manufacturer, if I do have to come here and say so myself. I am no novice. I have hired labor for 32 years; and I know how to get it, and I know how to take care of it, and I know how to fire it. I have a regular man's job. I have charge of housing and welfare work, and everything that pertains to the care of men and of everything that makes things pleasant for them, that goes into making a man's life worth while. I am sincerely interested in every man and woman on our pay roll.

Now, you are criticising me. I do not want to talk all night, but I want to tell you gentlemen that I am not a fit subject for criticism—not the way you are doing it. Up at Erie we know that we are in war. And we are going to “knock the block off” the Kaiser, and we are only going to do that when every man gets back of this game in the proper American way. I sent my boy to France, and he is in the trenches working there; and I am going to work 24 hours a day to make it possible for him to do the job he went over there to do. If any of you manufacturers in the city of Rochester have got a man on your pay roll that is not working on war work send him to me; I will use him; I will give him better wages than you. I want you to believe that what I say is true—that we will not hire a man who is on war work. And we feel that we have the privilege of hiring everyone who is not on war work.

Mr. CLAYTON. If my friend from Erie were not so hot I would say he made me think of a fresh cool breeze. I like the spirit, even if he has got it wrong, and he is wrong. He has one thing: Every man in the United States ought to be on war work, if he is not already there. Let me say that the American Brake-Shoe & Foundry Co. does not need to feel that it can't call on the Employment Service and get real employment service. The United States Employment Service does not expect to send men to employers and require them to take them. We send them to you and you hire them; and we want you to keep that up. I am going back to Washington and I will be there, or somewhere where I can be reached. When anything goes wrong with the Employment Service let me hear about it. We will get busy. We are in the business to organize the labor market for the United States of America, and we are going to do it. We have got to have a real organization to take care of labor, and we are going to “put that across.” But you must get behind this service, and that is what we want you to do.

[Session adjourned at 10.30 p. m.]

FRIDAY, MAY 10—MORNING SESSION.

**CHAIRMAN: EARL DEAN HOWARD, DIRECTOR OF LABOR, HART, SCHAFFNER & MARX,
CHICAGO, ILL.**

DILUTION AND SPECIAL TRAINING.

[The meeting was called to order at 9.30 a. m., Mr. Ralph G. Wells, temporary chairman.]

Mr. WELLS. I was going to suggest in regard to the continuance of the discussion last night that there are a number of other phases of that question which I think we should take up. I should think that instead of stating specific instances where firms have been doing destructive recruiting, or where firms have been securing the cooperation wanted, we should confine our discussion this afternoon to the question of general policy. I think we can more rapidly arrive at a conclusion which will be constructive by making our discussion deal with the general question of how we should handle advertising, the regulation of rates, and other similar questions which have an important bearing upon this recruiting of labor during this present war.

It gives me great pleasure to introduce as chairman of this session Earl Dean Howard, of Hart, Schaffner & Marx, Chicago.

Mr. HOWARD. I have to apologize for being late in arriving in the city on account of my train being late. Therefore, I am quite as much in the dark as to what is going to happen this morning as anyone here. I am told, however, that the first speaker is Miss Helen Bacon, executive secretary of the mayor's Americanization committee of Cleveland, whose topic will be "The management of foreign-born workmen."

MANAGEMENT OF FOREIGN-BORN WORKMEN.

**BY HELEN BACON, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, MAYOR'S AMERICANIZATION COMMITTEE,
OF CLEVELAND, OHIO.**

I had prepared what I thought would be the best sort of talk to give you this morning. but after listening to the very excellent addresses yesterday, I decided to change it and fit it more closely into the rest of the program. I noticed yesterday that even though the program was for employment managers, there was no attention paid to the foreign workman as a special problem. I feel that the

things that will apply to the American workman are not as effective with the foreign workman; that he needs special attention, now that so many of our American boys are leaving for the War. It is going to leave in our industry a shocking proportion of men who are foreign born. It is up to us to make these men just as American as we can.

Some one said to me yesterday, "Don't you think that now that there is no immigration we can catch up during this period?" I wonder how, after 20 years of comparative neglect, of letting the foreign born go their own way, we can expect them all of a sudden to change their point of view, and to react in a minute's time to the interest given them. It is impossible. There has been no apparent need for them up to the present time to learn the English language; they have had their own community. I wonder how many of you have made it a condition of employment that a man should learn to speak English. Now, we must convince them that we are sincere in our demand that they must understand and speak English if they are to become real Americans.

The average foreigner does not know our America. We have taken no pains to bring him into American social life, or even to acquaint him with ordinary American institutions. We have left him to his kind and practically excluded him from our society. There is a fine Polish lawyer in Cleveland. Instead of calling him an American citizen of Polish birth we say "A Polish lawyer"; and have set him apart from our American institutions. He is far superior, in his culture and ideas, to a good many Americans. I was interested in talking to a man who was a member of a league formed by the Committee on Public Information at Washington who has got many of these foreigners interested in America, and got them to take out their papers, and got them to consider America as a permanent place to live in. He said that foreigners have felt this attitude of aloofness on the part of Americans toward them so much that they have gone back into their settlement. As far as the mutual benefit societies, for instance, are concerned, instead of bringing the foreign born into the central organization they start a foreign branch; and the foreigner is kept with his own people from the time he leaves his country. I think we are to blame.

It would seem, as a matter of fact, that the factory is the only impersonal institution at the present time which has a natural contact with the foreigner in America. You often object to the foreign clergymen being all-powerful among his own people. But, with a few exceptions, these clergymen are the only people who have interested themselves in their people. We have only ourselves to blame for the fact that we do not mean any more to the foreigner than we do. Of course, there are exceptions. There is the occasional man

who, after he has finished his day's work, will go out and find a night school, but he is all American in spirit and will get along without our interest.

I wonder if any of you, in looking over the foreign people in your plant, have taken into consideration whether there are any divisions among them. Do you realize that the only people in the Central Powers who really have any voice at the present time are the Austrians, the Hungarians and the Germans? All the rest are as antagonistic to the Government of Germany and Austria as we are. Those oppressed people in Europe have been fighting that Government for centuries. If they understood our attitude, if they understood that they were making munitions in your plant to fight the power that they have been fighting all the centuries, what a response might be gotten from them. An experiment was tried at the Chillicothe, Ohio, camp for drafted men, where a third are foreigners, many unable to speak the English language. At the time our country declared war on Austria-Hungary these men, men of the subject races of Austria-Hungary, were declared alien enemies. At that time there were at that camp about 1,500 men who came from the different subject races of Austria-Hungary. Of that 1,500 there were 800 who had not taken out their papers. Men who knew the languages of these people told them the purposes and aims of this War. After the conference was over, 90 per cent of the 800 enlisted as volunteers in the Army, and most of the 10 per cent who did not have dependents and had not known that they could claim exemption on that score. I want to read a little selection from a letter. The letter was written in Slovenian by a man—just an ordinary laborer—who was drafted and sent down there. When the order was given that all of these men were to be relieved from service his brother sent him a suit of clothes to return to Cleveland in. This is a part of the letter which he wrote:

I received the civil clothes sent me from Cleveland, and at the same time a thought occurred to me, which never left me—that I should feel ashamed to leave the Army and go back to civil life. I am a Slovene myself and my father and grandfather never had any opportunities to fight for liberty. Indeed they fought for hundreds of years under the command of the Hapsburgs to continue slavery and tyranny. Dear brother, the suit of clothes you sent me I sold to-day to a man for \$30 who thinks less than I do.

I think that that is indicative of what we could get from our foreign-born people if we put ourselves out to get it.

We wanted to know, in our study of the Americanization problem in Cleveland, what other people were doing. Of course, many of the draft camps have foreign workmen in them who speak very little English. We sent to these camps letters asking them what they were doing along this line. Nearly all were teaching English, but only in the most perfunctory way. They were teaching the com-

mands of the officers. They should take up the explanation of the causes of our war, in foreign languages, if necessary. Absolutely everybody ought to know why we in America are at war. But you must remember that these people have not the sources of information we have, and that they do not know. A great many of these men did not know that conscription was something from which they could claim exemption. They assumed that it was the same as that in Europe. In many cases we have had to recall men because they had left their families, which made a bigger burden on the State than if the men were at home and somebody else had to take their places in the Army. It is said to be true that the Italian failure was due to the fact that Italian soldiers had not been informed by their Government what they were fighting for; and propaganda was given them by the Austrians through Italian papers. That destroyed their morale.

In some cases—bringing the subject back again to your factory—the placing of certain nationalities together might make a big complication in a department. If you have an Austrian as foreman over a gang of Slovenians, you are continuing the same feeling of antagonism which has existed for centuries. If you put a Slovenian over an Austrian, I venture to say that developments would be just as bad. I don't mean to say that you should know everything about all the different nationalities, but there are certain elemental things about each one that you should know if you want your shop to be a place of contentment for these people. Unless these things are taken into consideration, you are only considering our side of the problem and not theirs. That has been the trouble with most of the Americanization work throughout the country. We have said, "They must do things exactly as we do." But many of them have as good things to offer us as we have to offer them. Unless we show them that they can give equally with us, they are not going to be American. They are not going to take a real interest in our Government. Many of them are a little disillusioned. They have not gotten to know what America is. Hundreds of them now are preparing to leave. A lot of them have their transportation. Some of them are going to get back their holdings of land; some of them are going to find their relatives; some are going because they think if their country is freed it is going to be a paradise. They don't know the circumstances under which they will live. That is our responsibility. We have got to show them that this place is superior to that country, if we need them.

There is another element in the situation which you may not know. How many of you have men working for you as laborers who might be used in something much better if they understood our language? One of the inspectors in a Cleveland company had taken

an interest in a certain laborer and found that this man could read Horace in the original, and was perfectly marvelous in his enthusiasm for the classics. I don't mean to say that just because that man could read the classics and was a university graduate there was necessarily a place for him in that plant where he could use these advantages, but it seems to me that his knowledge of his people and his interpretation of and to the men of his nation in that company would be very valuable. I don't know anything of greater value to the men than an interpretation of what the industry was accomplishing. How many of you have possibilities like that?

There is another question—the question of advice. The foreigner has the same military and legal problems that you have, only intensified. If he gets into any legal difficulty he must usually depend upon a stranger, often upon a shyster. He is left entirely to their mercy, and develops a bitter feeling toward our country. There is one of the biggest fields for the employment department—to give these men accurate information on the draft and other war measures. Many of you have a company attorney who might do it. Others might be sent to a legal-aid bureau. There is some sort of place where each one can get a legal answer to his question. It is the doing of something definite for them, some definite thing for them outside of their factory life that wins these people. Rest assured that any information given will spread around your shop.

You can't expect these people with untrained minds—because most of them or a great many of them are illiterate—all of a sudden to acquire enough English to think in it, but you can teach them the conversation of your shop, the names of tools, and the names of the operations; you can teach them the conversation they will hear on the streets; how to get to certain places around the town. The general superintendent of one of our large industries in Cleveland said the greatest trouble he had had was when he had a rush order from one of the departments. They had to rush men into that department from the others. The men did not know what to do when given instructions, because they did not understand English, and they were blamed for their poor work. Those are things which come up very often, but which can be done away with by the teaching of English.

The men who will work all day long and go home and get dressed and find a night school do not have to be looked after. It is the men who do not have that ambition at the end of the day—and such men compose the vast majority of the people in your shop—who must be looked after. You must make it possible for them to get a knowledge of English. Organize a class in your factory and have them go in as they are. A lot of the men are diffident about going to school and sitting in the same seats that their children sat in during the day. There are all sorts of psychological reasons for

their not going to night school. You can make it a possible thing by having the school in the daytime. Most of the places in Cleveland have it at the end of the day, anywhere from 3 to 6 o'clock, twice a week. An hour is about as long as the men can be kept interested.

The question of incentive to make these people join the class is one that must be considered to get them interested, in the first place. There is one factory in Cleveland that makes it a condition of employment for the men who do not know English to learn enough to get along in the shop. A few of the factories supply the facilities, but the man stays over on his own time. There are a few plants which pay for the whole hour. But the most successful way seems to be a part-time arrangement; the factory pays for the first half hour and the man stays the other half on his own time. You can argue about the ethics of paying a man for learning English, but it is a practical measure you will have to consider, at least the work we have done indicates that you must provide some sort of an incentive until the man is convinced of its value.

One of the things that is quite necessary is to have teachers who are trained for this work. The ordinary teacher who teaches children during the daytime will not do. She must have some sort of special training. These people she is going to instruct are not children and can not be reached with childish things; their minds are adult, but their language is simple, and the teacher must be able to hold their interest.

There are some things that can supplement the teaching of English, and one of them is a good library, a plant library not run by a telephone operator, but taken care of by a person who understands the situation, who is interested in the people and can give them advice on books that will help them—books in their own language. There is no other thing that will draw them closer than to feel that you are sharing their hopes and aspirations. These people have slaved for centuries, they have fought to maintain their own nationality. They come over here because it is the one place where they can do it. Eventually America will assimilate them, but meanwhile the quickest way you can get to them is to have some appreciation for their struggle. It is marvelous what can be done if you speak their language. Their faces light up; there is a bond established that you don't get ordinarily.

Another thing that will help them is to get them interested in being in places where English is naturally spoken. See if you can't get them to go to an art museum. A lot of them know more about art than we do. They are perfectly able to enjoy the things they can find in such a place, if they can be made to realize that it is public, and that they can go to it. In this way they naturally are going to become acquainted with the customs of Americans. You can't expect

them to acquire the habit of speaking English merely by attending a class in English twice a week if they never hear it spoken during the week.

Yesterday afternoon Mr. Cooke made the remark that labor's responsibility was production especially during the War, but that production was falling off. I firmly believe that if these people could be made to understand very definitely what this production means, you would get their cooperation. It would do away with a great deal of friction, a deal of misunderstanding that exists at the present time. It seems to me it is up to us to consider whether that is not necessary now, and whether we still want them to stay with us after the War.

DISCUSSION.

The **CHAIRMAN**. As employment managers and directors of labor departments, I am sure we have all realized long since that what we need and what we want, what we must be looking out for at all times, is opportunity for contact with the workmen over whom we are placed, and the excellent paper of Miss Bacon has, I am sure, given us some ideas along that line. I might give a bit of our own experience in that direction, suggested by her statement. We have found that the liberty loan offers a splendid opportunity. We stumbled upon it. We really did not realize it at the time. We found our people lukewarm about the first liberty loan. We wanted to get subscriptions from our factory, but the response was not good at all. The people were indifferent. We did not know exactly what the reason was. We knew that a great number of them were inclined toward socialistic ideas, and at that time the socialists' idea was very much against war. But it was an interesting thing for us to study that, because, after all, that idea was something which interfered with control. There was not the proper understanding between the company and the people. The first thing we did was to try to discover some plan to get in subscriptions that might be more appealing than the ordinary plan offered. It is perhaps worth while to consider the question a moment, because we will have more liberty loans, and we have used our plan with success. It is an inexpensive scheme and we have already felt it has more than repaid us for any expense we have incurred. We gave them not only a long term in which to pay for the bond—50 weeks at a dollar a week for a \$50 bond—but also the privilege of borrowing against their subscriptions to the extent they had paid. Ours being a seasonal industry, that was necessary for a good many of them. We also offered them another advantage. That was, if any of the subscribers died before the bond was paid, we would turn over the bond fully paid to their representatives. It proved to be exceedingly popular, and I think it was one of the things which started the movement in the right direction. Then we hit upon this little device: We made addresses to small groups of our employees upon the virtues of thrift and the advantage of saving and pointed out the significance that a subscription to the loan would have. The main thing was that every bit of the transaction, whether it was for the postponed payment or cancellation of subscription or anything of the sort, had to go through the employment manager. This gave a contact with the people, and we found that from that time on the

interest was greater. In this last loan, in quite a number of shops, among several hundred people who were formerly against the war, there was a 100 per cent subscription. The contact which this plan has given us with these people and the chance to explain the war and what it means have been a great opportunity for us. And those things help in this American movement.

The remarks that Miss Bacon made will be discussed very briefly by Dr. Winthrop Talbot.

Dr. WINTHROP TALBOT, adviser in alien education, New York State Bureau of Industries and Immigration. Representing, as I do, the Bureau of Immigration of the State Industrial Commission, I wish to express the appreciation that must be felt not only by those who have to deal with the immigrant worker but by every citizen of New York State, of what has happened here in Rochester in the graduation of this class yesterday. Surely it means much to the citizens of this State and of all the States that those who have to deal with the employment of immigrants coming into this and other States shall be trained men. Allow me also to express my personal appreciation of what Miss Bacon has been saying.

We have in this country 60,000 manufacturing concerns, employing approximately 2,000,000 people of whom 1,600,000 are foreign born. Eight hundred thousand can not speak English, and 400,000 can not speak or write in their own language. I could take you to factories within 30 minutes' walk from Broadway, which employ 1,000 people, of whom 800 can not speak English and 600 can not read or write. I know a girl, 22 years old, born in a town on Long Island, who has never been to school; she can not read or write in any language; she does not know one word of English; and she has never ridden on a trolley car. A typical case is an Italian man, for 18 years employed in a factory carrying cans. If you ask him how many cans he is carrying he will tell you 4. He may be carrying 14 or 2, but he always says 4. He wants to assure you that he knows how to count. He can speak no English. It is very difficult to get an idea over to him in Italian. Such men can not read danger signs. They are themselves a source of danger to others. It has cost the city of Bayonne many thousands of dollars, and has cost the refineries of Bayonne nearly three-quarters of a million of dollars because they neglected their illiterate aliens.

It is vitally important to get hold of a means of sharing thought; this is fundamental to every one of the problems which you gentlemen have to deal with. For the past 10 years it has been my business to establish service departments in many large organizations, and I know some of the difficulties you gentlemen are up against, because I have been up against them myself.

One of the main difficulties, I think you will agree, is the difficulty of getting an idea over to somebody else, whether it be your general manager or whether it be an alien workman. You have a better chance, perhaps, with the general manager than you have with the alien workman, but I can assure you that it is no small job in either case.

If you have not a common language, as is the case with alien workmen, you are in a dangerous condition. Out of the 13,000,000 aliens, who have come to this country from 1900 to 1914, we have over 3,000,000 who can not read or write; 75,000 in the State of Connecticut; 500,000 in the State of New York; between 350,000 and 450,000 in Pennsylvania. If you will send to the Superintendent of Documents at Washington, D. C., for Bulletin No. 35, 1916, of the Bureau of Education, you will find the data with regard to adult illiteracy and the prevention of it.

The first thing to do is to devise ways and means of getting the American language over to the illiterates. If you will send for Bulletin 39 of the Bureau of Education, series of 1917, you will find listed some 400 good text books for teaching the American language to aliens.

Now comes the question of getting down to actual methods. You will find that in the progressive establishments—and they are increasing very rapidly—they are having the largest success in teaching the English language to non-English speaking people, on the factory's time.

The evening school does not reach the adult. In New York City, in 1914, there were some 10,000 adults registered in evening schools. Less than 5 per cent were illiterate aliens. In other words, we were reaching at that time only one-third of 1 per cent of all the illiterates in New York through the evening schools.

There are practical methods of reaching the illiterate such as can be carried out in your factories effectively. Non-English speaking illiterates can be taught to understand, speak, read, and write 500 American words and phrases in 10 weeks by 1 hour's instruction, 5 days a week, on factory time, at a cost not exceeding 20 cents an hour per pupil. This is not a welfare proposition. It is plain dollars and cents. In this State we are paying nearly \$40,000 a day for accidents which have occurred to illiterates. In New York State 377,000 persons applied for compensation for accidents in 1917. Of these 70 per cent required the services of an interpreter. Is it the illiteracy that causes accidents? I can only say it seems reasonable to suppose that if a man can not read a danger sign he is in more danger than a person who can read a danger sign. It is a purely economical proposition, in my judgment. We can not look at it in any other way. We have worked this out for the last four years. We

know what we are talking about. We have worked out the methods, and if you gentlemen are interested in the details I shall be delighted to meet with anyone at the close of this meeting to give you any details that lie in my power.

In closing I want to say that it seems to me, as an American and as one deeply interested in that sharing of thought which is absolutely fundamental to democracy, that we must have a common speech. You can bring about unity in the Nation through teaching the American language to your workers. You can lend your assistance to unify the Nation. Is it not your patriotic duty to take an interest in getting hold of the means to do it?

The CHAIRMAN. The proposal of Dr. Talbot to meet with anyone who is particularly interested in the ideas which he has given raises a suggestion which may be of some value. I have not consulted with the officers of this convention, but I venture to say that they will agree with me, and I presume that most of the speakers will agree with me, when I say that those speakers who have presented some concrete ideas which are of interest to any particular group here might be glad to organize a little round-table discussion, of an informal character, with the speaker who has first discussed the subject as chairman.

As you all know, conventions are valuable to those who attend quite as much, perhaps more, on account of the informal contacts they get with those in the same occupation and having the same problems and interests, as on account of the formal meetings; and, inasmuch as this convention is so large and well attended, it has a disadvantage in that the discussion during the meeting must necessarily be more formal. We can compensate for that by having small groups informally organized, and those who are interested in a particular subject can apply to the speaker who has presented that subject, and I am sure the speakers will all be very glad to organize these discussions.

Mr. WELLS. I want to announce the committee that was appointed as the result of the motion last night; that is, the committee to study and report upon some methods of computing turnover:

- Chairman.* Roy W. Kelly, of Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
 E. H. Fish, of the Norton Co., Worcester, Mass.
 Wilson C. Maston, of the Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.
 W. R. Kitson, of the Solvay Process Co., Detroit, Mich.
 Hugh Fullerton, of H. Black & Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
 S. R. Rectanus, of the American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio.
 Roy S. Hubbell, of the Bowman Hotel Corporation, New York City.
 Hon. Royal Meeker, United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics.

The CHAIRMAN. In order not to break the continuity of the subject too abruptly we will interject here another talk along the lines

of the last two papers. This talk will have a special reference to the Negro in industry. I present Mr. Eugene Kinkle Jones, who is national secretary of the National League on Urban Conditions Among Negroes.

Capt. FISHER. I feel it is a little unfair to Mr. Jones to drag him from the train, after coming here on a sudden telegraphic call, to address a convention he had not heard of, following speakers he has not heard, and not knowing who are to follow him; and with your permission I will make an address to the speaker, instead of to the audience, for two minutes.

This, Mr. Jones, is a convention of the employment managers of the country. They have to do with the management of labor in factories. The national committee of Employment Managers' Association, representing delegates from a number of local groups, have called this convention, the second national conference on the problem of the employment manager in industry. We have had discussions so far upon destructive labor recruiting and similar topics. The question now is man power for the war. You, as secretary of the National League on Urban Conditions Among Negroes, know as well as we do that we have robbed the farms of the South of Negro labor and have introduced hundreds of thousands of southern Negroes into our factory organization for work hitherto unfamiliar to them. They are meeting conditions to which they were not accustomed, and are working under bosses not acquainted with their psychology. So when the delegates of these local groups met together in Philadelphia some time ago it was decided that we should have some one tell us something about the psychology of the southern Negro worker whom we have put into our factories in the North. Mr. Winans, of Cleveland, said that he had found that not precisely the same methods which he had been using in dealing with hired labor could be applied to the southern Negro not accustomed to factory work. Some of his foremen wished to use force, and he was reluctant to permit that; and yet he did not know how to get the maximum production and steady attendance in the factory and the proper spirit between the white and Negro workers without some such method. And he said if we could get some one at that convention who could tell us how to resort to Negro labor without resorting to driving methods it would be a great favor to all who have occasion to hire Negro labor. I made several attempts to get such a speaker I attempted to get the supervisor of the Negro schools of Virginia and Mr. Scott, Negro adviser of the War Department; I attempted to get Prof. Haines, the colored man who is advising the Labor Department; and at the last moment Prof. Tyson, of Pittsburgh, telegraphed for you. It is a great favor that you have done us in coming at such a call to make an extemporaneous statement.

PSYCHOLOGY OF THE NEGRO WORKINGMAN.

EUGENE KINCKLE JONES, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY THE NATIONAL LEAGUE ON
URBAN CONDITIONS AMONG NEGROES, NEW YORK CITY.

I consider this opportunity a privilege, inasmuch as the problem of getting the greatest man power to become most efficient at this particular time in the world's emergency, and in our Nation's emergency, is one which is as close to the heart of the Negroes of America—for they are good, full-blooded Americans just as you are—as it is to you. Speaking of the migration of the Negro prompts me to say a word about the point brought out by Capt. Fisher relative to the farms in the South that have been robbed of Negro labor. You know that some economists say that labor is a commodity, and the supply and demand of labor is what determines its course. Now, Negro psychology is the same as white psychology. Give them better school facilities, so that their children can be better educated and trained, and help all you can to reduce the lynchings and burning and torturing of Negroes that has been allowed to go on without proper judicial action for years, and you will find them respond as the white race responds. Some of these things I speak of have crept into some sections of the North or where southern influence has had its effect, but mostly they are in the South.

In regard to holding these men after you get them. Let us assume that you have obtained competent, efficient men for unskilled labor. How are you going to hold them? How are you going to make them more efficient? I read an article in a newspaper the other day—the *Evening Journal of New York*—in which it was said that the competition by employers of labor in the market for skilled labor can be greatly reduced if opportunities for advancement in each plant can be assured the men through the establishment of a school or training center in each large establishment, where unskilled men can become skilled workers, rather than bidding for the skilled labor in another plant, and thus raising wages and increasing labor turnover for each plant. In the past the only way that colored men were assured advancement along their particular trade was to become efficient as skilled workmen. They then centered their minds upon certain plants scattered throughout the country where Negroes have been given special opportunities for becoming skilled, and then they left the plant where they had been employed and went where they could be employed as skilled workmen. Every employer who anticipates employing Negroes ought to make it a part of his program to give these

Negroes the opportunity to become skilled workmen if they are competent.

Let me explain some of the vices that Negroes are supposed to be addicted to. One statement is made that the Negro works until he makes enough to live for a while, and then quits until he is out of money. He works three days and quits for the other four days of the week. You know as well as I do that if you increase a man's wants his standards of living will rise; and if you take married men with families and see that they are provided with decent houses, which you can arrange, or if the community gives them an opportunity for decent housing, you will find that they will need more than they usually earn in three days to keep them. Select your men through an expert who knows human beings—not Negro psychology; because the psychology of the Negro is the same as the psychology of other human beings. If a Negro has had no opportunity for education or training; if he is illiterate, if he has been kept down, his psychology is the same as the psychology of some of the South European races. If he has the same opportunity he will behave in exactly the same way, unless we enlarge his opportunity. No psychologist can tell you what to do for him, because there is nothing that can be done for him unless he is given an opportunity and training and instruction. Every job that has been assigned the Negro in which he has been given an opportunity in this country has been filled properly and efficiently by him. If some of you were asked the question whether you would trust yourself on a train operated by a Negro engineer you would hesitate to reply. Yet it is more difficult to drive a motor car than an engine, because an engine will stay on the track if you give it half a chance. And yet Negroes are not given a chance to become engineers but are employed very generally as chauffeurs. I was in Detroit the other day, and I tell you the first time I rode on a trolley car operated by a Negro motorman I hesitated myself, simply because I never saw a Negro motorman on a trolley car before. And when the conductor came to ask me for my fare I did not know whether to give it to him or not. I was afraid I would have to pay a second fare. I know that 75 years ago the discussion was not whether or not the Negro could acquire a college education or not; it was whether he could acquire any knowledge at all. And if you had asked some one in 1850 whether a Negro could become a college graduate the reply would have been "No."

A lot of colored people in the South don't believe Negroes have any capacity at all, simply because this thought has been drilled into them. I might tell a story that used to be told by Booker T. Washington. Four boys, English, German, French, and Negro were telling what they were going to be. The English boy said, "I am going to be a statesman. My father was a brilliant man and I shall be a states-

ment." The German boy said, "I am going to be a great soldier." The French boy said, "I am going to be a scientist." When the Negro boy was asked what he was going to be he said, "I am going to be nuthin'." "Why is that?" "Well, my father he is nuthin'. He only works one or two days a week. And mother is always saying to him, 'You ain't never going to be nuthin',' and that is what I am going to be." So it is with the Negro in this country. If you tell the Negro he is going to be unskilled and incompetent, that there is no opportunity to go higher, because he can't be a skilled working man, he is going to believe that himself.

We often say the Negro is not dependable; that we can't count on him; that he will not stick to his job, and will come late; that he will leave early if he gets the chance, and will draw full pay. How can we say a person is not dependable when we have not put our trust in him? The Negro does not think he has the confidence of the white people; they don't believe in him. The Negro, on the other hand, believes he is being robbed at every point. I am not saying that this is the proper spirit for the Negro to have. The proper spirit is the spirit taught by our Father in Heaven. But, unfortunately, human beings in large numbers do not have that kind of "psychology," and the Negroes feel as though they are being underpaid, overworked, and that they are not being dealt with fairly. The Negro has a very sunny disposition. He is happy at his work; he sings at his work. Some of our white bosses do not like people to sing while they are working. They say they get less work done. I don't believe it. I think Negroes are trying to get rid of some of their jovial spirit in an innocent way.

I had an experience down at the Bush Terminal in Brooklyn, where we had a colored labor adviser appointed to try to reduce the labor turnover of the colored people working there. There were some cars to be loaded. They allowed 15 minutes to load a car, and they allowed four or five men for each car. The cars came up, were loaded, and passed on to be made up into trains. Before this man went there the foreman could discharge a colored man on the spot. All he had to do was to say, "You are discharged." And he had to leave. There were numerous such occasions, I assure you. But when this colored man came to take charge of the work, one of the first provisions was that no colored man should be discharged until he, the adviser, was consulted. A few days after he had come on the job a foreman came up to him and said, "Six or seven of your men are around the corner there, laughing and playing craps, or something. I am going to discharge them, but I want you to know it." Collins, the labor adviser, saw the existing state of affairs, and at first he said to himself, "I had better say 'all right; I give up. You had better discharge them.'" But he took second

thought. "Men," he said to them, "why do you throw me down like this? I am here to help you, and you are loafing and stealing away the time that you are paid for. You ought to be working, not fooling away this time." The men started to laugh. He saw there was something that he did not understand. "Here comes the car," they said, "we will show you." They all grabbed their picks and shovels and filled a car in half the time allowed for the job, and then they started skylarking again for the seven or eight minutes they had left. At once Collins saw the situation. Those men had not been given the proper supervision. The time was reduced one half, or the number of men reduced one half, and from that time on Collins had no more trouble in dealing with that kind of question.

To sum up in a few words, let me say this. First, in handling Negro labor be sure that you select the proper type of men. Second, be sure that the men are given an opportunity for advancement. Let them feel they have a chance, and I am willing to trust the future to Providence. In the third place, let your attitude toward these men be as fair as that of the American Federation of Labor and other unions that are entreating Negroes to join them. Fourth, remember that Negroes are American citizens, who have contributed their part to the success of every war we have fought since the Revolutionary War, men who are contributing their all to-day and will continue to do so, and that they deserve a man's chance in a country which is taking its part in the great conflict to establish democracy throughout the world.

The CHAIRMAN. I am sure we all realize the appropriateness of Capt. Fisher's introduction to the last speaker, and we have all enjoyed Mr. Jones's excellent statement of his case. We must all of us as employment managers be interested in any man or movement which will help us to elevate standards, and help men to become adjusted to the environment, and the movement which Mr. Jones represents is distinctly and peculiarly one of that kind.

Capt. FISHER. I have just met with the delegates from the different employment managers' associations, who formed the national committee of Employment Managers' Association. We told you yesterday that nothing was "cooked up." But something is now "cooking," and you may sample the mess this afternoon at 3 o'clock. Last year we decided against the formation of a National Employment Managers' Association with individual membership, because the time did not seem ripe. The national committee will place before you this afternoon at 3 o'clock the question as to whether the time is now ripe. There were certain advantages in the continuance of a national association as at present—not organized, with the local groups managing the affairs. Then there are advantages in an individual mem-

bership organization, which gets the man in a small town who can't belong to an association. I would not have you rush to conclusions until you have heard the arguments on both sides as to what should be done. But if the Employment Managers' Association, or whatever we like to call ourselves, wishes to get behind the National Government, the Department of Labor and the War Department in the conduct of labor problems, we need to formulate something definite; and we are not going to permit this large body of intelligent people who have primary contact with the labor problem to disperse without giving them some chance to express their point of view. Washington and the people who have the programs for labor in charge will listen to us if we put our point of view up to them properly. And if we can sift the various points of view of you men here and get a sound basis upon which our association may stand, we will get something across to Washington that will count. Let us try to get our meeting this afternoon at 1.30 started promptly so that without cutting our speakers short we will get through our speaking session at 3 o'clock and then get to business.

The CHAIRMAN. We have with us to-day a representative of the very successful and harmonious application of the idea of close contact with employees, Mr. Hugh Fullerton, of H. Black & Co., of Cleveland, who has been engaged in this work for five years and who has approached the problem of employment management from that standpoint.

STANDARDS FOR WOMAN EMPLOYEES.

BY HUGH FULLERTON, SERVICE DIRECTOR, H. BLACK & CO., CLEVELAND, OHIO.

We may well begin any discussion of the subject assigned to me, "Standards for woman employees," by asking the question, "What is the fuss about?" Why are we worried? Aren't we talking of equality? Aren't we talking of equal suffrage? Aren't women in increasing number entering industry on a plane equal with men? Why is there any talk of different standards for women? Why is it necessary to have a different standard? Is it a return of the much over-worked age of chivalry, or is it because industry is changing? I am going to pat you and myself on the back for a moment. You and I are bringing to industry a new social conscience. We are beginning to understand that industry has two fundamental jobs: The first is to make the product, whether it be reapers, tires, or clothing, or automobiles, with the best labor possible, as cheaply as possible, and give as good value for the merchandise as possible; but there is a secondary function of industry and industry is getting the vision. We are incidentally making citizens. Women have a larger social value to the community than men; and I can be perfectly frank with this audience and say that a woman who is weakened by industry, a woman who is a potential or perhaps a prospective mother, is a larger social menace than a man so weakened. And so we are beginning to see that we must throw around women in industry some unusual precaution, in order that the race and the community may be benefited. It is industry's contribution to the welfare of the Nation.

I have attempted to divide the standards for women into four divisions: Standards of employment, standards of work, standards of health and sanitation, and standard of wages. It sounds like a long list, but it will not take me long.

First, let me discuss standards of employment. I want to stand sponsor for the statement, and I want to emphasize it, that the man having a large number of women in his plant needs the assistance of a woman interviewer. We talked a little bit yesterday about getting the right personality, and that is a big point. In the employment department the woman who interviews the women there should be made to measure to this standard of personality: She should be a woman who could easily get married, but somehow hasn't. She is the kind of woman who has the sympathy and the tact with a group of women who come to her and so impress those women with

her sincerity of purpose as to get from them the kind of information that is of value; and a man can not ask a woman questions that are necessary for an employment manager and a production foreman to know. Especially do these woman employees need some kind of a medical investigation. We must be particularly anxious not to put women on jobs they can not do. We put upon our nurse the responsibility of seeing every woman who comes into our shop within the week, to see if there is any reason why she should not fill the position she is filling. That ought to be done certainly by a woman nurse.

Another point I want to emphasize is our opinion, and I am giving the organization's opinion, that no woman under 18 should enter industry. I think that is the public opinion as well, because practically all of the State laws throw certain limitations around the work of women under 18, and the public seems to express that sentiment through its laws. Until a woman passes through certain physical changes, entrance into industry is a dangerous experiment. One thing, a technical matter of employment that counts a lot with a woman, is introduction to the job. Do you men remember the first job you ever had? At 15 I went into a tool shop as a tool boy. I was employed by the timekeeper, who took me into the middle of the shop; then went out and left me to be introduced to my job, and the men looked me over as a curiosity—the new kid. I don't know whether I am particularly affected by the unfriendliness of people, but we have found it valuable never to do that sort of thing, but to take that girl from the employment office, introduce her to the superintendent and foreman, and as you walk with her through the shop explain to her some of the unusual things happening in any shop, unusual noises, and just a bit of the type of work she is to do. Introduce her to the girl next to her. Then appoint as a sort of monitor some one in the section in which that girl is to work to take her out to the lunch room, show her the wash room, and the coat and hat rack; in short, to make that girl feel that she is a part of the organization from the minute she arrives. We have felt that the success or the failure and happiness which a person has in any job comes very largely from the first hour's experience.

I want to talk a little bit about the standards of work. Take the important question whether women should be supervised by foremen or forewomen. There are points for both. Our experience does not indicate that foremen are more efficient in that work. I don't suppose there is an employment manager here who has not had the most distressing experience of hearing some story about the relations between a foreman and a girl in his section. Sometimes those stories are true; sometimes they are not. But it points out a tremendous danger in permitting foremen to supervise women.

The advantage that the foreman can take, if he be so minded, is great; and it seems to me that if it is possible to select from your women the type of woman that can successfully manage women, it is safety itself that a woman be put in charge of women. If I were putting a group of women into a shop where men had been employed, I would not scatter the women throughout the shop among the men. I would decide in my own mind what operations of the shop and what parts of the work women could do, and then I would segregate and separate that operation, if possible, in a separate room, and I would put the women there all together without the men. It seems to me that if you scatter women among men in a shop that you bring on them the displeasure of the men, who immediately believe that women are taking their places; and the women themselves are uncomfortable. Put them in separate departments, and, if possible, in separate workrooms.

Now, with regard to the question of hours for women. There are no standard hour laws. State laws are in chaos. Perhaps 48 hours is a sufficient work time. I am going to tell you of an experiment that I thought I would not tell about, until it was sufficiently tried, but I find my speech does not have enough point, so I am going to tell you what we are going to do in our shop. You folks are talking about the labor market, wondering where you are going to get additional help. There is one field of search that we have not yet touched, and that is the half-day woman, the woman who is either just married or who has very few home duties, who does not care to take all day to go to a shop and work, but can go to a mechanical job for a half day. We are putting half-time women on machine operation where one woman takes up the work of another woman and follows it through. The first shift is from 7 until 12.15. At 12 o'clock another shift comes on, and the new worker stands behind the chair of the old worker to get familiar with the immediate job, and then sits down at 12.15 and works until 5 o'clock. We are going to get 10-hour runs on our machines with 5-hour help.

We must allow for the woman not being able to do extra heavy work. A woman should not be required to lift more than 25 pounds. That is the thing you and I must watch in our shops. We must watch also that only women of particular types have standing jobs. Whenever you find out what kind of work women can do, be sure to find out whether it is a sitting job. If it is a standing job be sure that the women you pick are carefully supervised by your woman nurse, to see that the standing strain on a woman is not more than she can bear. Certain jobs in our factory are standing jobs filled by women, but they are supervised by our nurse to see that no injury is done. I am talking a little bit about standards of health and sanitation. Of course, we need separate toilet and wash rooms;

we don't need to talk about that. We need the kind of health service in a factory employing women that will take care of minor ailments. I want to have you carry away some figures in your head. Fifty-six per cent, roughly one-half, of the folks in our factory are women. Of the people we treat in our clinic 76 per cent are women, showing that women need the care of a nurse more frequently than men. The nurse in our factory is not merely binding up the wounded and broken, because our industry has little hazard. She is in charge of our health education department, and she talks to every woman as a woman can talk to a woman. She is doing a tremendous work in health education. Women seem to suffer fatigue from the monotonous operations more acutely than do men. In the early spring we started an established rest period in the morning. We were not just sure how it would take; so we began it by degrees. Between 9 and 9.30 our women may leave their machines and go to the lunchroom. Lunch will be served; coffee, milk, and crackers. Fifty per cent of the factory employees take advantage of the opportunity; of these 80 per cent are women. It is going to result in our establishing a 15-minute rest period in the morning and afternoon. We find that the girls who go to the lunch room come back to their job more efficient for the remaining hours of the morning. The labor laws of England now in force as a result of the conditions there, show that women should not be exposed to excessive heat or cold; that the temperature must be an average, and must be maintained, if women are to be employed successfully without injury to their health. We must raise the question of exposure in our shops. I am not going to expect much mercy when I talk about the style question; the women are wearing shoes that are not fitted for work. Some of them are made out of paper, and on a particularly rainy morning a girl will come with shoes not in repair and her feet will be wet. We have a supply of dry shoes and stockings, and we are sure that in this way many colds are prevented, and it is good business. Although we are not doing this in a business spirit, it is good business to keep your help on the job. The lunch room is necessary especially for women, a place where they may sit in pleasant surroundings; and it should be in the building.

With regard to the standard of wages I must consider that subject briefly. The cry to-day is "equal wages for equal work." I am not going to deny publicly that women are worth as much in industry as men; I am going to question some things, and hand them out to you to mull over. If you establish a piece rate in your shop, make it the same for women as for men. But consider a minute the value of a woman on a straight week wage, taking the place of a man. There are some drawbacks to the same week wages for women. First, is the length of service. We find that 48 per cent of the men in our

shop have been with us five years and over. We find that 24 per cent of the women, just half of the proportion among the men, have been there five years and over. A woman, particularly a young woman, takes the job as an interlude until she may be exposed to matrimony. That is, the accumulated value that that woman has to the employer is lessened because of the knowledge that the woman has necessarily a shorter life at work than a man. The average life of women in industry is less than five years. Take the social significance of it. Average up your women and men, and you will find that the men have a larger financial responsibility. Without arguing the point, the majority of the men are married and have financial responsibilities. Of course, we have widows, but that is the unusual case. Men need a larger financial return than do women in the majority of cases. If a man be inclined to employ women under 18 or women at all, he is necessarily restricted by law to shorter hours. In case of a peak load in any department it is easier to work that department overtime if men are employed in the department than if women alone are employed, and it does upset the arrangement of the factory, especially if overtime is to be taken into consideration. As much as possible we must struggle along without working overtime; but it is sometimes necessary for certain departments to work overtime, and if that can't be done by women, their value to the employer is somewhat lessened on that account. A curious thing, we find—I am interested to know if this experience is borne out elsewhere—that the absence record among women is double that among men. It is hard to tabulate figures on reasons for absence; but we find women stay home to keep house—for no other cause apparently; they simply do not want to come to work. We do not find that so much among men. We find that women are less adaptable than men. There are only a limited number of jobs we ought to put them on. Women get a sort of an affection for the chair in which they sit, or the girl next to them; and when you transfer them from one department to another, they weep. But to a man all we have to say is, "Bill, we are stuck. Can you help us out?" But you must argue the whole case with a woman and tell her she is coming back tomorrow to sit next to Mary. I am telling these things to suggest to you, not to deny that women are worth as much as men, but to suggest to you that women may not be so valuable on a week-wage principle as men. As I mulled over this speech last night, I said I must work up to some flight of oratory as I finish. A man ought to do that in giving a speech. I want to say just this, as a mere man, as a man who for two years was a common laborer in the lumber mills of Colorado, and apprentice in a tool shop, janitor of a public museum, that as to standards of workrooms and standards of work what I have given you applies, for the greater part, to men as well as to women. You know we heard a lot yesterday about the

slacker, about the fellow that works one day, and then goes for another job; in Cleveland we call him the "industrial tourist." We heard a lot about that fellow, but let me say to you that industry is responsible for the industrial slacker. I defy any of you successfully to find, as a principle of anthropology, that man is a migratory animal by nature. We have fostered that spirit and that sort of thing, and you and I are going to judge the efficiency of our work by the reduction of the "industrial tourists." We are expecting something from workmen we don't give ourselves. How many of you men and women from the organizations represented here give a month's notice or a day's notice to your employees when you fire them? Yet we stand and kick because a man leaves without giving notice and goes somewhere else, when we ourselves don't give him any notice. We ought never to fire a man without three days' notice, and we ought to pay him the wages for the time of the notice. We must emphasize that when we employ him. A girl called me up not long ago and said, "I have been offered a job to which I want to go; but you have been so decent over there, and you emphasized the fact that you would not fire me without three days' notice. But I am afraid that if I don't get to my new work on Monday I will lose that job." She appreciated the good will of H. Black & Co. Quit your foolish advertising. Don't care whether you are alone in the city or not. Quit it, because you are bidding against one another, and you are taking men from the east end of the town to the west, because of your adroitly worded advertisement. Put your emphasis on your employment standard. Don't say to me or to anybody else that you have not been able to convince your general manager that standards in your shop are wise. That is your job, and when we say that it is difficult, it is true, but that hard-headed president or general manager can see the good business of keeping people and cutting down labor turnover. I wish somebody would say again and again, "Keep the help you have got; you would have less turnover." Establish certain standards of labor; standards that we are beginning to establish, standards for women that we should have; and standards for men. Sell that idea. That is your job and mine. Five years from now we will not be talking about the "industrial tourist." We will begin to talk about human efficiency, in terms of incentive to good health, and incentive for clean living; and the "industrial tourist" and turnover of labor will be greatly reduced.

The CHAIRMAN. We have been fortunate this morning in our program. In fact, we have not time to finish it. Miss Obenauer, of the Industrial Service Section of the Signal Corps, and Mr. Carpenter, of the Recording and Computing Machine Co., of Dayton, Ohio, have been obliged to decline the invitation to come here.

[Session adjourned at 12 noon.]

FRIDAY, MAY 10—AFTERNOON SESSION.

CHAIRMAN: CAPT. BOYD FISHER, ORD. R. C., SUPERVISOR, GOVERNMENT COURSES,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

SELECTING WORKMEN.

[The session was called to order at 1.30 p. m. by the chairman.]

The CHAIRMAN. We will come to order, please, gentlemen. Mr. Kelly has not appeared upon the scene yet, so I think we better get under way, and I will be glad to turn the meeting over to him on his arrival. We will start the afternoon program by listening to Mr. Mark M. Jones, of the Thos. A. Edison Industries, on "Classification of personnel in the Army."

CLASSIFICATION OF PERSONNEL IN THE ARMY.

BY MARK M. JONES, SUPERVISOR OF PERSONNEL, THOMAS A. EDISON INDUSTRIES,
ORANGE, N. J.

In the absence of Dr. Scott, the gentleman who was to have appeared before you in this connection, I want to tell you something about the largest single job in history in the selection and placing of men.

The advance of national standardization has been very much accelerated by the War. War standardization has been heard of a great deal. While as a Nation we have frowned upon great concentration of capital and great concentration of power, it now seems clear that as any one thing increases so the methods for controlling it increase at the same time.

The relations between functions in industry must be very carefully analyzed, standardized, and made available; that is, the concentrated results must be made available in some form that is recorded for the benefit of all individuals in the organization. There is a great deal of knowledge in the world; that is, there are a few new things. There is, however, a tremendous amount of information that has not yet been reduced to paper, especially information with regard to the selection of workers.

I started out by referring to the magnitude of the job of the Committee on Classification of Personnel in the Army. That committee

was a civilian organization appointed last summer by the Secretary of War to consider the formulation and adoption of a plan for selecting officers for the Army. They developed a system the fundamentals of which were devised by Prof. Walter Dill Scott, who was made the director of the committee. The duties of the committee increased so rapidly that it ultimately expanded into a tremendous organization.

Congress declared the policy of the Nation to be selective service; in other words, every man should be given an opportunity to use his own individual talents to the best advantage, not only in civil life but in the Army. As soon as the selective-service act became effective the problem of carrying into effect the policy of Congress faced the Administration, and this committee was designated by The Adjutant General to solve that problem for the Army. The committee consisted of 11 members; I believe a number of the members were professors in colleges and universities, and several were employment managers or personnel executives in large institutions. The committee faced not quite such a serious problem as we have here because it did not have to go out and "dig up" the men from whom to select; fortunately that was not a consideration entering into the work. It was simply a matter of sifting those who came to the Army, sifting them by various methods until they finally were put into the right place. Selection after all is a matter of sifting, and you may use various instrumentalities for that purpose to assist you in fitting the right sort of a scheme to your own organization.

Before the members of the committee could start sifting they had to have an inventory of qualifications of the men who came to them; they therefore used the idea of an application blank for military purposes and developed a classification card for the Army. The classification card provides space for the man's name; his occupation; just what the man did—for instance, a man might say that he was a machinist, but you would find upon further questioning that he had simply operated some little machine on a production operation and that he was no more a machinist than he was an administrative specialist; how good he was at his job, i. e., expert, journeyman, or apprentice; the name of the firm; that of the department or branch; the address of the firm; the man's vocational assignment with the Army; his military education; the record of trade tests; whether or not the man is married; his race, age, height, and weight; and a list of important occupations, those in which he has had some experience being marked with a single check, those in which he is an expert with a double check. The reverse side of the card shows the man's education, language spoken, military experience, place of birth, citizenship, the local board from which he came, his signature, and provides a space for records. A number is placed

opposite each occupation and across the top of the card. That number is also recorded. Tabs of different color are used to indicate different degrees of expertness—a green tab originally meant an expert and an orange tab a novice. A black tab covers the man who speaks French and German or who has had previous military experience, or has other important qualifications you would want to know if you were selecting men. Thus, by the use of these devices you can definitely link up the whole file with the qualifications of the man represented by the card. In other words, you look down the file and over 22 you find the tabs covering chauffeurs, and of course it is apparent to any of you that that expedites picking out a man.

This may be an old story to some of you, but if your company is after men, these facts apply to your business and these are your problems, notwithstanding the difference in size between your company and the Army.

Since it soon became apparent that this was a war of specialists, it became necessary not only to make this machinery effective but to make it reach the highest possible degree of efficiency. When the system was started it was used in connection with the men who were drawn under the selective-service act, later it was extended to cover the National Guard and Regular Army, and now all the military forces of the Nation are covered—not only the enlisted men but the officers also.

At the time the system was started I represented the committee at Camp Upton, Long Island. We conducted what I think was one of the largest employment offices ever known; we had 372 people in the employment office alone, and handled 3,000 men a day, assigning them to the right branch of the Army and getting them on the pay roll. Our problem was simply that of bringing the job and the man together, and the handicap was determining the value of the man. The man would state that he was an expert and you simply had to take his word for it. Of course, the weekly earnings that he received in his previous position gave you some index to his skill. There was not sufficient time to take that matter up or get in touch with the previous employers; but it was apparent that something further must be done, and we saw we had to have a national job analysis, so far as the Army was concerned. We then had to formulate the standard trade specifications and occupational index of the Army. I find that it is an idea that will probably be carried out still further by the Department of Labor as it was referred to by their representative in his remarks.

The trade specifications are simply military specifications. They represent the ideas of those men in the Army who are responsible for results, as to just what qualifications their men should have. It is nothing more or less than a job analysis that covers a wide expanse

of territory; it sets up a common language throughout the Army; it puts the same name on the same job everywhere in the Army, and presents an opportunity for requisitioning men on a catalogue basis. The specifications have been drawn up to carry out that idea. We have a book arranged so that the occupation is stated on the side and the symbol number appears over it, and the code number to the right. Each paragraph also has a number. The book shows the duties, qualifications, and substitute occupations, and is just as brief a description as is possible of the military needs in that connection. I do not think it is ideal or that the descriptions are all that they will ultimately be, but I think it represents an important step forward. This work has, I believe, been taken up by the Department of Labor and will be extended on an industrial basis. What the Army has done is not new; it has just been done in a different way and for a different purpose from anything done before.

Some one asks, "Can a copy of that book be obtained?" I do not think so, but the idea will be useful to you. So far as individual concerns are concerned, I think the value of this would rest in the outline of a method which they may work out in a similar way in their business. Not everything in it would interest the average employment man. A great many of you have a job analysis, and if you do not have it, you ought to.

As soon as you have the job analysis you have the first working tool of the interviews; if you know what job you have to fill, then you can start out and find the man to fill it. The size of the requisition has some bearing. You are fortunate in not being in the position of the Army, because officers receive requisitions from 1 to 10,000 men. That requires pretty fine sifting, and you then appreciate the great value of a tab system.

When you come to fitting the man to the job you run into a rather unknown quantity, because we have been depending upon the general experience of men who as interviewers concentrated their previous mechanical experience at that point in the interest of the organization. You usually only cover four general needs: (1) The personal desire of the man, (2) his personality, (3) his trade knowledge, and (4) his skill. Of course, the importance of each will depend entirely upon the job; they will have to be balanced to get the result you are seeking. To work that out on a uniform basis it is necessary to have a measuring instrument of some kind. The judgment of the interviewer has been the measuring instrument. In the Army it was found that experienced interviewers were not available, so it was found necessary to devise a system that could be used by men of ordinary training. Naturally, you will not go in your own plant as far as the Army has had to go, but some of these measuring instruments may be applied in a particularly useful way during these times when

experienced interviewers are not available. You can put a tool of this sort in the hands of a man of higher intelligence and, of course, get much better results than if you left him without any other tool than his own judgment.

For military purposes a small handbook entitled "Aids to Interviewers" was developed. It contains a set of questions covering 120 occupations of first importance to the Army. The questions are designed to show in which of three general groups—apprentice, journeyman, and journeyman expert—a man should be placed. The questions are not yet perfect. They represent, however, a distinct advance over the methods used by interviewers prior to this time, and I think the presentation of the Army experience in this connection will be helpful to you. The questions will be useful at the start particularly. When you put a new interviewer on the job he will have a reinforcement that will do much to improve the results, and after awhile he will not need to refer to the questions, because he will hire men only for a limited number of jobs. They are most useful, because you have to put new men on and you may have to have a substitute do the interviewing in the absence of the man in charge.

The important consideration in formulating material of this sort is the manner of stating the question. It seems, for instance, that a man should not be asked the question, "Can you operate both a hand-screw machine and an automatic-screw machine?" Is it not far better to state the question thus: "What kind of machines have you operated?" In other words, do not state a question that gives the candidate the answer. Personality depends upon the position and is something we might not attempt to measure from a trade standpoint. We have proceeded further on the question of checking trade knowledge and at the present time are working on a system of combining oral questions and photographs as a step further than this. The idea is to have photographs of all the tools of the trade and on a separate sheet a number for each tool and a statement of what the tool is and how it is used. Foremen who have used this method claim to be able to check about 90 per cent as to the candidate's ability when he appears for examination. The photograph method should, of course, be used in connection with the oral questions and the two will work in together excellently.

If I were in the average industry and thought something like this would be helpful I would get trade catalogues of a number of concerns, cut out pictures of tools and machines, mount them on cards, and place them in the hands of the men in the employment office. They would thus have something that will often serve them in a most useful way.

The problem of the interviewer is to measure the applicant's fitness for the job, and the more nearly the tests in the employment office can be made to conform to requirements of the job the more successful the examination will be. I show you a photograph of the tools of the sheet-metal worker. Here are the tools of a blacksmith. Here are the tools of a wire-rope splicer or lineman. Here we have the lathe, the various tools around it, numbered for identification by the man who says he is a machinist. We have an automatic-screw machine with cutting tools fitting it. You can vary that and put a number of machines in one photograph. You may go a step further and have in your employment office a photograph of a man working on a machine, and if your job is located a great distance from the office you might find it helpful to take the job to the office instead of taking the applicant to the job; it will save time and you can get excellent results. Photographs can be supplemented still further by blue prints. You can have a wiring diagram in your employment office, or you can have a pipe layout; and you can carry the idea just as far as the needs of the situation may demand.

When an interviewer gets through with the question of trade knowledge the sole remaining question is that of skill; that is, as to how expert the man is who knows all these things about a job, and whether he has been primed by some one and is there simply answering, parrot like, questions that he has already been informed on. The only way finally to check that is by measuring his skill, and, of course, in the average industry that is determined by the performance test the man is given on the first day or first week or first month. In the Army we go just as far as possible definitely to determine the ability of a man so that he may not be sent out to do something he can not do. It is more important in the Army than in an industry to have this knowledge. The ultimate scheme for measuring skill in the Army seems to be to have, at various points where large military forces are concentrated, testing laboratories, equipped with the machinery and tools with which to give the tests that will determine the man's skill. Just how far one should go naturally depends upon the predominating occupations in the territory from which that point draws its men.

How these tests can be adapted to the average industry is a question. Some of you have tools in your offices now, and the man has to demonstrate what that tool is and how to use it. It is very seldom we go further than that, but it is possible to carry it further any time when you are warranted in sifting fine. In these days it is a pretty hard job to find the men to sift at all.

The line of development of "Aids to Interviewers" will depend upon the class of positions to be filled. This work for the Army

simply indicates that the problem in setting up machinery for selection is to make available to the interviewer the information you already have. As I see it, the idea of "Aids to Interviewers" can be developed on a pretty broad basis. These "aids" will help in setting the standards for trade education in occupations that have been covered.

If you can use any of these ideas, I place them before you for whatever they are worth.

The CHAIRMAN. We will be glad to hear from Mr. Miles of the Council of National Defense.

WOMEN IN INDUSTRY.

BY H. E. MILES, CHAIRMAN, SECTION ON INDUSTRIAL TRAINING FOR THE WAR EMERGENCY, COUNCIL OF NATIONAL DEFENSE, WASHINGTON, D. C.¹

I am asked to speak on "Women in industry."

This is a very interesting subject at this time, but a year hence it will be almost commonplace.

My real interest is in intensified war production, in saving the lives of allies at the front, and advancing the day of victory by the more perfect use of our factories.

This larger view and the study of foreign experience justifies the statement that fundamentally production in the machine trades is not a matter of sex, but only of skill and numbers. That we have thought in terms of sex betrays our passing ignorance.

This does not mean that we are to hurry women into our factories. On the contrary, the cares of civilization have been divided between the sexes. Men, by common judgment, have been the fighters and the economic producers, and will continue so. But we must regard womankind with a new appreciation, with a thorough-going realization that women are able to do almost anything that men are now doing in our factories except where brute strength is required.

Says Mr. Kellaway, Secretary to the British Ministry of Munitions:

No limit exists to-day to the capacity of women in the engineering [i. e., mechanical] and chemical industries, and the adaptability of the manufacturers seems to be equally great.

Says Mr. Ben H. Morgan, specialist in industrial training, of the British Ministry of Munitions:

By the process of dilution, we have been able to place in munition works about 950,000 women to do work from the heaviest laboring unskilled operation to the highest grade of toolroom nonrepetition work. * * * After a few months' workshop experience, women are to-day building the greater part of one of the best high-speed engines in the country, each woman setting her own tools and work, and able to machine any piece of work that the tool she is on will take. * * * Turning and finishing test piece in various metals to a five thousandth; making tools and gauges of all kinds to fine limits; all varieties of bench fitting to drawings and marking-off work of every description. * * * Women are doing magnificent work both as regards accuracy and output.

¹ Since this address was made the Government has established at Washington, D. C., the Training and Dilution Service, with Mr. Chas. T. Clayton as director and Mr. Miles as chief of training.

Why are women doing these things in England, France, and Italy? Not because naturally desirous, but because—

Had the women of Britain been unable or unwilling to step into the vacant places, the war, first lost in the workshop, would have been finally lost in the field.

In her hour of greatest need, Britain has called to her daughters. She has not called in vain. By their industry, their efforts, and their heroic sacrifices the women of Britain [and France and Italy] have saved their country and have saved the world.

There is ample assurance that these women will withdraw from the factories as happily as the soldiers will withdraw from the trenches with the coming victory. Many women will be almost as unwilling to continue their factory work—many of them are delicately reared—as the men to continue in their present occupation of killing.

Meantime, the factories of England and France are operated mostly by men past the draft age, by the physically unfit, and, in very great measure, by women. Of these three classes, if any excel in accomplishment, it is the women, not in the least because they are women, but because they are young, strong, open-minded, and desperately in earnest.

The wonder is that production is not less but far greater per operative than in peace times.

Sex has no more to do with mechanics than eating has.

This being so, are not those employment managers who do not know this and do not have this in mind in case of necessity, a possible hurt to their companies and to the cause of victory, because they are unprepared, upon occasion, to use exhaustless forces immediately at hand?

Such manifest qualifications of these general statements as that of the greater muscular strength of men and the finer touch and sensitiveness of women's hands, etc., I leave you to make.

Let no one assume from the facts stated, however, that women may properly be rushed into our factories.

Our situation as yet only remotely compares with England's. England had to use women.

We must prove the need as we go along; and no man not now in essential war work may shirk a factory job on the ground that "some woman can do it."

Women are to supplement men, not to supplant them.

The section on Industrial Training, of which the speaker is chairman, is composed of equal numbers of representatives of organized labor, of leading employers, and of experts in industrial training.

It is the judgment of each of these groups and the pronouncement of the section that our first duty is to train every unemployed wage

earner for an essential trade and preferably for a job of corresponding value to the one he leaves, and to give preference to the men now in the factories before bringing in either men or women from outside industry.

Also, the representatives of labor and employers must go hand in hand in this work. Said a great member of an English labor commission, "You can not go faster than labor can see the way."

We are all fighting as brothers in this war. Every employer owes it to the cause and to himself, not only to deal fairly with labor and not to use the present situation for temporary advantage, but to make his position so clear in this respect that none can misunderstand him.

Few realize the strain that is coming upon industry in the next few months. We should seriously consider an army of 5,000,000 men as probable by 1919. After debate, Congress struck even that number out as a limit.

After the additional millions have joined the colors, we must produce not less but very much more in our factories than now.

Authorities who should know say there is a shortage now of 250,000 common laborers and as much more of skilled laborers.

It is estimated that from 600,000 to 800,000 must be brought into our factories by January 1, and taught to perform skilled operations. This is an estimate only, but it is by authorities who can judge as well as any.

You, as employment managers, have the task of getting them. You can not continue to gamble on faces at the employment gate; you can not continue to advertise promiscuously; to "scout;" to spend from \$20 to \$50, as some of you do, for each new person of whatever ability, whom you get upon your pay rolls.

The old order has passed away. We must develop now methods as scientific and intensive as we have ever developed in other fields of production, and by these new methods we must make the skill that will make the product. We must act upon the proven experience of nations that have been longer in the war and accept that experience at full value, with only such modifications as may become necessary.

The foreign experience is a written book. England and France first "mussed along" as we have done. Then they resorted to their trade schools and found, as we will, that those schools had to be re-equipped and the school instructors replaced almost entirely by factory foremen and factory mechanics with production experience and minds free from the burden of "related instruction," and all else not necessary to instant accomplishment.

England is using 60 trade schools to decided advantage in this way, but they give her only 1,700 new workers per month, and most of those for the simpler operations.

She has also five instructional factories that accommodate 800 persons in courses of about six weeks.

We already have in the United States a few schools doing war work of the highest order and examples of what dozens of schools should be that are now as dead to industrial service as if they were in China.

Instances of these splendid schools are the Worcester Trade School, which will cooperate with a group of Worcester factories through the summer; Pratt Institute, of Brooklyn, which is training for high factory service two or more shifts daily of men from the nonessential trades and others; the State Trade School, of Bridgeport, which is training men to be high-grade machinists on special operations; the Boardman Apprentice School, of New Haven, and the Cass Technical School, of Detroit. The director of the last-named school, Mr. Allen, says:

We have taken high-grade machinists in Detroit who have been in the shops for a couple of years and were familiar with the use of drawings, decimal equivalents, etc., and made tool-room machine operators doing work of considerable variety, each on a single type machine, almost immediately. In three or four months, by continuing to watch and instruct such a man, he has been able to run almost any machine and do on it almost any work laid out by the toolmaker.

Great as is the service of the schools in England, and great as it will sometime be in the United States, it is necessarily slight as compared with the total need.

Remember that there were only 488,000 mechanics in the United States in 1910 according to the census of that year, and that many of these must be in France for maintenance and repair work; then remember that 600,000 more than we now have must be brought into the factories by about January 1 and made skilled.

It was such a situation as this that caused the employers of France and England to see a new obligation resting upon themselves, collateral with the contract obligation to deliver the product contracted for. The necessary skilled help was not in existence. The schools were inadequate. Each factory had to train the skilled operatives to make the product.

Training rooms were established in many factories and the success exceeded expectations.

Soon the British Government made the establishment of these training rooms a part of the contract obligation.

The French Ministry of Munitions appointed a commission to investigate and recommend, and upon the findings of this commission required every factory in France employing 300 workers or more to establish a training room, preferably near the tool room, for its employees.

It was no excuse for a factory to say that it had plenty of skilled help. In that case, much of its help would be taken away to other factories that were in need. Smaller factories were excused from training, as they should be in this country, only in case they arranged with a public school for the training of their help.

So many great factories in America are now putting in these training rooms as to make it no longer experimental. It is already a moral obligation upon every employer and we need not be surprised if it is soon required here as abroad.

One hundred and fifty factories in this country will spend many millions in training their workers. These are only some of the first to begin. One is spending at the rate of \$457,000 annually. Another includes in its annual budget \$750,000 for training departments in two of its plants. Several will equal these. Others will meet their requirements most moderately.

This outlay seems large but it is virtually no expense at all, because the training rooms are production departments, as later explained.

Contrast this expense incurred for a good return in production and the stoppage of labor turnover with that of a factory which has spent \$750,000 in the last six months in getting 15,000 new workers on its books, two-thirds of whom have since quit, leaving many machines still idle and not a few broken, and with no adequate repair force to put them in order.

The instructors in these training rooms are simply skilled men taken from the operating force—not foremen, who can ill be spared. Often an operator can teach better than a foreman. The instructor must have the teacher's instinct, he must be able to tell what he knows and be patient and sympathetic. He must be of excellent character and able to deal with women when they are to be trained.

It has been surprisingly easy to find and develop instructors in our plants. We have found, working at machines, men from technical secondary schools and colleges and men who have been teachers. Any man selected should be watched carefully until proven fit. He should immediately visit successful training rooms and begin by using their experience.

A training room may well be called a "human tool room" where the human instruments of production are made especially fit and happy at their tasks.

Among the principal functions of a training room are these:

1. The "upgrading" of present workers. It is an industrial and social blunder to go outside habitually for new workers for superior places. In some of our factories the whole spirit has been strengthened, the output increased, and the wastage diminished by a policy of promoting wherever possible and using the training room as a means of advancement from any place to the next higher.

We need high-grade mechanics. Says an able president of a machinists' union in a great city:

I used to fasten engine cylinders to the floor and rig up apparatus overhead with which I rebored the cylinders, measuring them with ordinary calipers, and I did a good job. Men of this sort can be trained for high-grade tool-room work in short order.

So, men are being upgraded through training rooms by intensive, scientific instruction in production on the job they are to fill. The results are in happy contrast to the old way of simply pushing a man from one machine to another.

To illustrate: A man hired as an all-round toolmaker was set to boring a 75-mm. cannon. He called to the job boss, "Come and give me some pointers." Said the boss, "Weren't you hired as an all-round toolmaker?" "Yes." "Then, d—— you, bore that or get out." He got out, and later explained that he is an all-round toolmaker, but had never seen a modern cannon and did not propose to spoil the first one. He could have been trained in a single day or less, without cost to continued high production. As it was, the company lost a good and conscientious worker, and also \$50 spent in securing him.

Five years ago one of our expert directors of training visiting the Krupp works in Essen was told that that company let no new man go directly into the shop. It tried him out in the training room and then placed him just right.

2. Men from nonessential industries, the new "work or fight" men, and others are being trained with such dispatch and success as surprises the factories that have these "vestibule" schools. I have given you some instances. They can be multiplied indefinitely. A butcher was quickly trained to be a good bench hand and is now an instructor at the age of 55. A masseur from a Turkish bath quickly mastered a No. 3 Cincinnati horizontal milling machine. A carpenter, aged 61, took a longer time, but acquired very general ability. A laundry shirt ironer after three days operated a screw machine and produced 25 per cent more than had been estimated by the makers of the machine. At the end of a week, he took the machine to pieces to get acquainted with its entire mechanism. A plumber quickly became a milling-machine operator. Also, a wagon driver at the end of a week could read the blueprints of his work, and operate a milling machine.

Women.—As regards women, the training room is almost a necessity.

We are treating women like children. We are giving them tasks that boys could do, and "fool-proof" jobs. But their intelligence is naturally as good as men's and we must not forget that an unusually high class of women are offering their services, women corre-

sponding to the venturesome, courageous, far-seeing men who first volunteered.

Mr. J. J. Pierson, dilution officer of the British Ministry of Munitions, London district, says:

You can train a woman for the tool room in three weeks. If you can't do it in three weeks, you can't do it at all. You have simply gotten the wrong woman. Pick out a long-fingered, sensitive, intelligent woman, who is doing especially well on a simpler task and upgrade her in this way.

Do not misunderstand him. He is a great production man and knows from extensive experience. He also knows that it takes many years to make an all-round toolmaker. In England, however, it is not permitted to use such a toolmaker except on work that only he can do. All the simpler processes in the tool room are segregated and given to newly trained operators, each operator is quickly taught to operate a single machine with precision and accuracy. Thus quantity of production is secured equal to that of the all-round man because the latter is relieved of simple work that would take him as long a time as the new trainee.

Some shops are disorganized with poor spirit and confusion. In some there is opposition and prejudice regarding women. Many women will not go directly into factories. Many tell us that they came because there was special provision for training. When the time comes for women, begin with the ages 20 to 35, and preferably with women having relatives at the front or recommended by your best men. Insist upon a war spirit; require production from the first, averaging up with the factory, with 100 per cent accuracy, no wastage. Women, by the way, refuse to waste anything. Boys are careless. Keep these three daily checks on the training room:

(a) Number of trainees passed into the shop daily. If this were the only check, they would be sent in too rapidly and not sufficiently trained.

(b) Cost of training per operative. If this were the only check, good workers would be kept too long in the training room.

(c) One hundred per cent Government inspection. No wastage.

Follow up the trainees in the factory for two or three weeks to make sure that each feels at home and is doing as well as expected.

Objections to the training room.—Some employers say their factories are so crowded they have no space for a training room. This is impossible. The machines take the same space in the training room that they would take in the factory. Some say they can not spare the machines from production, but they produce as much in the training room as they average in the factory and soon greatly increase production throughout the factory except in rare instances where factories are still perfectly organized with the best prewar rate of production and accuracy. In one factory, eight weeks after

the training room was started, 10,000 old operatives speeded up materially. Where the factory morale is low, the training room seems almost necessary for the development of a war spirit.

It was probably with the latter condition in mind that Mr. Pierson said that an increase of 25 per cent in production could be depended upon. We know of much greater increases, and we know of no increases in a few cases under exceptionally successful managements.

Cost.—One factory is spending at the rate of \$30,000 annually in its training room, but this is only \$3 per trainee. As its entire force of 10,000 workers speeded up in consequence and as each trainee is above the average shop worker in production, and as the new workers must be trained somehow, in any event, I leave you to figure the cost.

In one factory about 3,000 persons are employed monthly, and half that number quit. In this factory less than 4 per cent of all who have entered through its four months old training room have since quit. Figure the cost.

In another factory I would estimate the gross daily cost of training at from \$50 to \$100, and the reduction in wastage at nearer \$5,000.

Consider your own expense as employment managers, your inability to man your shops adequately, the old "hire-and-fire" estimate of \$50 to \$150 cost per man for "breaking him in," and against these expenses put from \$3 to \$10 as a probable cost, net, per trainee.

Wages.—Pay good wages in the training room. It makes little difference to you how much, for the period is short. Of course, you will pay women according to their production and service, the same as men. That is now everywhere agreed.

Welfare work.—This is now understood. Women especially must be amply provided for. Woman is the more delicate instrument and, like the Kentucky thoroughbred, if I may make the comparison, she needs grooming; and good care pays.

The sex problem.—There is none if you are wise. From the first instant provide against it. Let "Mind your business" be the order to every operative. I like best a Philadelphia factory where many women of a splendid type are under the supervision of one chosen from themselves as assistant to the superintendent. This assistant knows what it is to work at a machine all day; she knows factory life. She is high minded, quiet, and well balanced. Under her direction no woman recognizes any man except the one in charge. The men like this. There are no implications and no complications, no glances, no smirking. Not even does a passing clerk or friend stop to gossip.

Half our troubles in this matter come from suspicion and curiosity. Kill these, set the highest standard, and the shop tone is better for the presence of women. Trifle or neglect and you will get your due.

Not a panacea.—The training room is not a miracle worker, neither is it needed for instruction on the simplest processes. As heretofore, the ordinary drill press, the turning of a shell, and many fool-proof operations of great accuracy can be taught on the machine in a properly organized factory. Every factory, however, needs operatives for the finer processes, and the higher the process the more the need. For all but the simpler processes the training room is necessary in this crisis. Those who have these training rooms already see that, like their tool rooms, they have come to stay.

I have shown you, as I have talked, many pictures in substantiation of my statements. You have seen women rather quickly taught to do things that in peace times we thought that only a few men could do. You have seen how and why England is winning the War in her factories. Will you win it in ours?

You have seen men from the nonessential trades performing the highest sort of service in war production. Will you help them perform such service in your own communities?

Training must be made a community matter as it is in Worcester, Buffalo, and Detroit, and is coming to be elsewhere. Factories must do differently in different communities, and each must plan with the others in the common interest.

Above everything labor men must be consulted, for they are making great concessions here as in England, and they must know, as trustees of a great interest, what is proposed and what is done.

I am asked to answer the following questions:

1. What adjustments are made necessary by the introduction of women into employment?

Answer. (a) A woman assistant to the superintendent or "shop mother," with no power to give orders, but with full power to consult, hear troubles, and advise, and mighty keen to detect and prevent sex influence.

(b) Bloomerette costumes (khaki) and low-heeled shoes; rest rooms; doctor or nurse, etc. The doctor will be called upon less than you expect if the welfare conditions are right, for a great many women thrive on factory work of the right sort.

(c) Good, hot, midday lunch at cost; 10 minutes' rest mid morning and mid afternoon, and either an eight-hour day, or nine hours' maximum, with Saturday afternoon off. We are speaking now not of the basic day, but of the actual limitations in hours of physical exertion under any conditions.

(d) Seats with backs to use occasionally or usually.

(e) Ages, preferably 20 to 35, serious minded, recommended by the best men employees, preferably "with relatives at the front"—a war spirit.

2. What steps should an employment manager take in getting the employer to use women in his plant?

Answer. (a) Before taking any steps, make certain that male wage earners can not reasonably be secured and that this is evident to the working people and others. Then get the literature of the Section on Industrial Training for the employer, including weekly bulletins on new developments.

(b) Pry the employer's mind loose from tradition and inertia—some job. Turn him from the inclination to cry for assistance and to the determination to help himself as far as he can—to try, even if not cocksure.

(c) Get him, or a constructive, determined, production man from the factory, to visit two or three of the present best training rooms, particularly one in a shop very like his own.

(d) Make a joint and community matter of all this. Some shops should not use women at all, because the work is unusually dirty, or excessively heavy, etc.; others in the community can, and must in some cities, as the situation becomes acute, both for their own production and as a relief to those who can not.

3. To what extent do the principles involved in training women apply to the training of unskilled men to do skilled work?

Answer: Forget sex. Training is training, for man or woman—brains and hands in either case, and woman's hands commonly the more subtle.

4. Won't industry be flooded after the war by the great numbers trained for temporary war production?

Answer: From a deep religious sense or otherwise I dislike considering conditions after the war. I am absolutely confident that the man or nation who submits to the highest known obligation will be protected somehow in his lesser interests. There is but one supreme obligation now—racial salvation through the winning of the war. Those who are able to win the war will be able at its conclusion to settle other matters.

I would train women, so far as it is fair, on war specialties, like time fuses, light shells, rifles, and other things never to be made again, at least in our generation.

To that extent, these new workers would then be taught only to make war materials, and the only utterly nonessential occupation in the world a short time hence will be the making of munitions.

The English labor unions prefer that women rather than boys shall be brought into the factories, because boys will stay and women not. The wage-earning period of a working woman's life averages $5\frac{1}{2}$ years.

Furthermore, there will be millions less of able-bodied men at the end of the War than at its beginning. There will be an untold

amount of reconstruction in the devastated countries and of replenishment everywhere else, for all the people in all the warring countries are doing without many things, are wearing out old utensils, clothes, etc. If, therefore, some of the newly taught people stay in the factories we need not expect an oversupply of workers. We have only to continue in the present spirit to get better wages, better working conditions, and a general betterment applied in all present endeavors in the field of industry.

This is certain: For the first time woman is put where she belongs, in terms of her individual aptitude, not limited by the mere conventions and traditions of sex. Nothing can be better for the race, and we need not trouble now over details of adjustment.

In conclusion and to repeat, don't train women or men from outside industry if there are wage-earning men idle who can be trained to do the work. One heretofore idle should never be allowed to crowd out a deserving worker, possibly one on whose income others than himself depend.

JOB ANALYSIS.

BY H. G. KOENIG, SUPERINTENDENT OF EMPLOYMENT, COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.,
CHICAGO, ILL.

Yesterday Mr. Stearns, of the Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., of East Pittsburgh, spoke extensively on job analysis with respect especially to machinery and lathes. He told us what the Pittsburgh company had done in the matter of organizing their shop help into classes A, B, C., etc. The company I am with in Chicago felt the need, more particularly, of classifying its various occupations. We felt that our work was more or less specialized, though we have a large number of trades and occupations that are in some ways very common. In the organization we have approximately 5,000 employees. Several years ago we started out to find out accurately what every man was doing, so we sent a form, a written form, to every man in the organization. We asked him to tell us just what he did and to what foreman or subforeman or head of department he reported. These various forms were all assembled and classified, and like occupations were then assembled. We found we had about 450 different occupations.

When we got these blanks together we found that there was a considerable amount of correspondence. We then took these various classifications and got them in shape. I have a number of them, and will read them to show what our people really do. You can see that the job of finishing of poles is that of an ordinary day laborer. We have a number of employees doing this kind of work and felt they comprised a specific class. The duties of another class involved responsibility, some familiarity with special conditions, and some previous experience, but did not involve the exercise of systematic judgment. I could go on and specify 50 or 60 cases like these. We classified the positions in each department. They were given to the head of each department so that he would know what he had in his department. We then found that the job was only 50 per cent completed, and we are now "hooking up" the kind of man who is best fitted for that job. This is a big task, because there is great difficulty in obtaining definite information as to what occupation a man will fit. We are getting along fairly well and hope later to have the entire shop classified, so as to know what is what in each department. The system classifies every man in the organization in the specific department, and as changes in the organization come along we have a form prepared. Then the employment department renews such record

and if we think it is proper we change our records and establish a new job in our shop. Almost always it is possible for us to go right to the man on the job. I say to him, "I want you to tell me just what you do every day." I ask him to keep this form with him for two or three days and tell him I will be back in a week or two and want that he should make a card record of what he is doing. Then I collect the records. That is our system. I have treated it from the point of view of its practical application in our company.

The CHAIRMAN. The general subject of job analysis will also be discussed by Mr. P. J. Nilsen, of Arthur Young & Co., Chicago, Ill.

JOB ANALYSIS.

BY P. J. NILSEN, OF ARTHUR YOUNG & CO., CHICAGO, ILL.

Whenever I think of job analysis I am reminded of the expression "square pegs in round holes." You are all familiar with this expression. One of the speakers last night used it and it is a favorite with writers on vocational guidance. Of course, the holes referred to are the different positions that go to make an organization. If the organization is represented by a chart, these holes might be represented by circles or rectangles of various dimensions depending upon the importance or the kind of position. The pegs, then, are the incumbents of these positions, or the persons all the way down the line from president to messenger boy, who fill, or try to fill, these holes. Now the number and kind of positions, or holes if you please, are authorized and provided by the management and are fixed unless changed by the management in a more or less formal and definite way. These holes exist whether they are filled or vacant. But the number and kind of pegs to go into these holes vary considerably and depend upon conditions not easily controlled. It is the function of the employment department to keep these holes filled with pegs that fit and that will stay "put" a reasonable length of time.

But you say, "What has this got to do with job analysis"? Simply this: If the employment department is going to select pegs that fit and will stay put (perhaps by the use of the trade tests that Mr. Jones has told us about) it must know the dimensions and other characteristics of the holes that are to be filled, that is the employment department must know the duties, the responsibilities, the compensation, and the possibilities for each of the positions in the organization before it can set up its specifications for the kind of persons that should be secured to fill them. In other words, job analysis is the first step in preparing specifications for hiring.

There are several sources from which the information for job analysis may be secured and each source should be fully utilized, even though this may in cases mean some duplication of information.

First and foremost there is the original plan or intention of the management that assigns certain responsibilities and duties to the position. Then there is the established procedure or schedule of operations (if the concern is far enough along to have established its procedure). This may often be found in the form of rules,

regulations, standards, and other routine guides. Finally there is the information that may be collected through actual examination into the work being performed by the incumbent of the position. In securing information from employees to be checked by their superiors and to be matched against the data independently secured by the management we have used what we call a duty sheet.

These duty sheets, or questionnaires as they might be called, contain a series of questions on the duties of the positions analyzed and are sent to the incumbent of each position. It will be found that the usefulness of the answers received will depend upon the thought and care taken in selecting the questions. If the jobs analyzed occur in a machine shop, the questions should be so framed that they may be answered by "Yes" or "No," by entering a check mark or by inserting a few figures or words. If, on the other hand, a clerical position is being analyzed more latitude may be allowed, for clerks, as a rule, are more able to put their thoughts on paper than are mechanics.

There are many benefits, both direct and indirect, which should result from a thorough-going job analysis. I will mention only a few which to my mind are the most important.

1. The employment department secures a systematic and accurate record of the duties, responsibilities, compensation, and possibilities for each position.

2. Lines of authority and duties, which before were perhaps vague and uncertain, are definitely established.

3. Wage and salary schedules are more completely standardized.

4. Blind-alley jobs are discovered and regular lines of promotion are established.

5. The preparation of the duty sheets forces the employees to think seriously about their jobs and this leads to intelligent interest on the part of the workers.

Perhaps an illustration of the job analysis and the job specifications might be of interest. I have chosen for my illustration a job about which we all know a little rather than one about which a few of us might know a great deal. The job is that of meter tester with an electric company. These testers come into our houses to test the meters and no doubt you have watched them at work. A job analysis sheet for this position would be about as follows:

JOB ANALYSIS.

Official classification title:

Departmental division:

Duties of the position:

Plan in line of authority:

Position of the next higher grade in the established line of promotion:

Standard schedule of compensation :

- Starting rate.
- Next advance.
- Maximum rate.

The job specifications based on this analysis and used by the employment department would be something like this:

JOB SPECIFICATIONS.

Official title:

Departmental division:

Duties of the position:

Place in line of authority:

Position of the next higher grade in the established line of promotion:

Limited schedule of compensation:

A. Personal data:

1. Physical characteristics:

Preferred age _____, Height _____, Weight _____, Nationality _____, Appearance _____, Bearing _____, Hands _____, Eyesight _____.

2. General character:

Honesty, integrity, responsibility, etc., loyalty.

3. Mental characteristics:

B. Training and experience:

1. Education (academic training).
2. Experience (practical training).

C. Knowledge and skill:

1. Knowledge of electrical circuits.
2. Knowledge of measuring instruments and meters.
3. Manual of skill and dexterity in using small tools and in repairing meters.

In closing let me say a word about the use of these job specifications. They really form the basis for the examination to which we put the candidate. But we must admit that we are not very far advanced in this work of examining employees. In the civil service and other Government employment and in many public bodies the technique of mental examinations and practical tests have been brought to a high state of perfection.

The civil service commission in Chicago has a motto containing words spoken by Fire Marshal Horden shortly before his death in the stockyards fire:

"We spend large sums of money selecting horses, why not spend some on selecting men?"

The CHAIRMAN. I will now ask Mr. James T. Hutchings, of this city, to tell us about the Rochester plan.

THE ROCHESTER PLAN.

BY JAMES T. HUTCHINGS, OF ROCHESTER, N. Y.

I think many of you have heard or read about the Rochester plan in a great many places. It has been outlined in a number of magazines. I gave a short account of it before the National Chamber of Commerce in Chicago. Since then I was asked to describe it in a general way before the shipbuilders in New York. There is nothing new in our so-called Rochester plan. All there is to it is a question of cooperation among the various manufacturers, having in mind at this time the winning of the war. The problem was put up to us last August by Maj. (now Col.) James, of the Ordnance Department. Guns were to be built and two shell plants were to be equipped in Rochester. Since August they have added a shell and a fuse plant to manufacture fuses for the two shell plants. He put it up to us to see that when these plants were occupied no time should be lost in supplying the tools and that the guns should be produced in the least possible time and the shells made as fast as possible. It was to carry out this idea that a number of the Rochester manufacturers associated themselves together to do what they could to carry out that program. They thought that if the workers were to be paid and the work was to be done and done systematically, it would require an organization and there would be expenses. To meet these expenses it was decided to assess each manufacturer in proportion to the number of men in his working force, and since the greatest demand would be for skilled labor, to make the assessment on the basis of the number of skilled workmen in the employ of each manufacturer. In connection with this proposition a central employment department was organized primarily to take care of the help in these war industries. As the buildings progressed tools were brought in. Up to about the first of March we were able to obtain the employees required by the manufacturers without drawing from our other factories to any extent. The first move was to get from the various manufacturers of the association a list of the applications they had from men desiring positions, with the qualifications of the men. These applications were classified. The various employment managers were asked to send to the central bureau any men they did not need in their work. We also advertised in the surrounding cities and brought in a considerable number from the neighborhood. I under-

stand there has been considerable discussion about this. We felt that the taking of help from one city to another is a mistake. I think that the Government is trying to place the work with the men instead of moving the men to the work. That is going to be true, except in the assembling of ships. They must be assembled at the water front. The problem is to use to the best advantage the available help in our committees.

We can not expect to win this War—and that is the only thing we think about to-day, and that is why this meeting is as full as it is, I believe, because we are here to win this War—we can not expect to win this War, having everything we had before the War started. We can not expect to have every comfort, we can not expect to have every luxury. We can not expect to have every necessity or what we thought was a necessity prior to the starting of this War and our going into it. It can not be said that we were not lazy in this country prior to the War. What were we doing? We were manufacturing and producing the things that we all wanted and could pay for. We were not equipped to send away a million and a half men. Now they are taking off 5,000,000 men. To do that and to plan for a million and a half or 5,000,000 men we must forego some of those things. It is going to be necessary for us to see to it that the men are well fed and clothed if we want to win as quickly as we can. I feel very strongly that we have got to bring that about, and if we do bring it about it is going to be by cooperation on the part of employers and employees in the placing of men where they are needed. If that has been effected thus far, let us do every other thing that can possibly be done. Let us carry on business as usual and turn over to the Government everything that we can get that can make it possible to win this War. We have arrived at the point of greatest difficulty in handling our local situation. I want to say right now that a Rochester plan or a Syracuse plan or a Buffalo plan will not necessarily work in Harrisburg or Pittsburgh or Philadelphia.

Up to July I had no cooperation with my employees. You can not adopt a plan in any one place by making it similar to that in any other place. You can adopt this plan of cooperation. We brought in from the outside about 5,000 people and put them into these industries. We now have between five and seven thousand, and they will have to be taken care of when the situation becomes normal again. A large part of these are not highly skilled men. They are skilled in a particular process, but not in any particular line. Our problem is very similar to the shipbuilders. They have been severely criticized for not having brought out more ships and we have spent a tremendous amount of money, but when you recognize that we are building 30 ships where we formerly built 1 and when

you recognize that that means 29 to 1 in every skilled position. While there were plenty of hands there were only 1 to every 30 that were needed to do this work. This has been done through teaching and training men for these various skilled occupations. They have had to use this ~~one~~ man to teach 29 more. That is the problem we are up against now—to take those men who are not organized to bring the War to a successful issue and show them how to do it. It is a question of cooperation, of getting the most work out of what help we have. If we can only stay in the War 10 years, I think we can win the War by producing material.

DISCUSSION.

DELEGATE. What steps are taken to control floating labor?

Mr. HUTCHINGS. It is a very hard matter to control floating labor when advertisements are appearing for 400,000 men required by the shipbuilders, and in all these advertisements which we see in the newspapers every day young men who cover their families when they put on their hats are going to move whenever the spirit moves them. I do not know of any way, and haven't heard of any, to hold them. That is the ultimate problem we have—to control the men in that situation. This question is a hard one and it always was a question. Men like to see the country. We must catch a man as soon as he comes to our country and get him to work as soon as we can. I think our statistics show there are very few men that are not working, except when on the road.

DELEGATE. How do you prevent competition between plants, prevent men going from one plant to another?

Mr. HUTCHINGS. That is a question of cooperation.

DELEGATE. I would like to ask if the Rochester plan is merely the organization of the employers and employees, and if the forming of a central bureau was not a duplication of method, and why you did not choose the Federal or State bureau to work with?

Mr. HUTCHINGS. We have used the very steps advocated by the Federal and States Employment Bureau, but we have gone somewhat farther than that. I do not know that the Federal bureau takes up the question of employers not entering into competition with the Government in getting these workers. We have used the State Employment Bureau in many instances to great advantage.

DELEGATE. You said there was an expense in forming a central bureau. Why go to that expense?

Mr. HUTCHINGS. Each of the factories has its own employment organization. They will not take an applicant until they have gone through their list, in many cases because they do not want to bother. We are in a situation to fill the jobs.

Mr. WINANS. The reporter advised us that copies of the proceedings in mimeograph form will be available within the week with R. C. Bovit, Chamber of Commerce Building. If you will leave your orders with him, they will be mailed at \$5 a copy. A summary of

the proceedings will be printed in the *Monthly Review of the Bureau of Labor Statistics* in the June issue, probably between June 7 and June 15.¹ A special bulletin of the Bureau of Labor Statistics containing the details will be issued during the summer. This is the best arrangement the committee has been able to make under the circumstances.

MR. BARNES. I want to speak of the Rochester plan, because I feel how easily it could be applied in other cities. We were talking just now about machinery and about cooperation. The Rochester plan simply means the central organization of manufacturers and the recruitment of labor under one head to effect maximum output of war supplies. We all listened to the address last night and I believe we are all in favor of building up a central employment system. Whether you believe it or not it has got to come; we have got to have some sort of system through which we can work the country's labor supply as a whole. Therefore, if we are going to go into the War and produce the best advantage by the help of employees, both men and women, then we must have a country-wide employment system. At the present time we have what I believe is considered to be a fairly successful system considering the amount of money allowed by our legislature—that is, considering what our product is.

If we have the Rochester plan, we have each city sitting by itself and saying we will keep our men in our city and take whatever we can from other places. You are talking about competition and how inadvisable it is and how wrong. You all realize that any say that within the city limits it is possible to steal. To my mind the Rochester plan simply opens an opportunity to steal from another city. We are willing to turn over all our machinery for the benefit of this city and every other city in the State of New York. If they had used the same money and put it into the machinery of the State system, they would have had more effective work done. They say they have used us. That is true. They have. We have been willing and anxious to be used and would have been willing to have them place as many people as they have employed in their so-called central bureau and to give them full power, provided they knew the difference between a lathe hand and a farmer. That is what ought to be done. I am going to speak frankly. In maintaining this Rochester plan, they have rented a building right alongside of what we built up to save the farmer. We need farmers. I believe that although you represent factories you realize that unless we get seed into the ground now we will Hooverize next winter because nature requires it. For that reason I have, through the Food Commission, got the power to call for 30 men to appoint food specialists, people who might be used in farm

¹ See *Monthly Review* for June, pp. 168-177.

work. I am also doing some work to help in the shipyards. I know how necessary it is that all the shipyards get the proper number of men. This season we consider it is better for men to go on the farm. What I do want to say is that if we are going to get results, we must have one piece of machinery. The best thing would be to have a single system that will cover the whole country. The State systems are going to do that. In order to do that they are not to cover such little places as are considered in the Rochester plan.

DELEGATE. For three and one-half years I was the labor commissioner of the State of Ohio, conducting the public employment offices in that State. Besides that I had the supervision of about 143 private employment offices in the State of Ohio. I am to-day connected with a motor company, of Cleveland, in welfare and employment work. As such, I have been in the employment offices in the city of Cleveland and picked the men to go to work. I am not the only one who has been looking for recruits. There are others from Cleveland doing likewise. We have in various locations as many as 200 men employed in the public employment office in Cleveland. The point I intend to make is this: You may establish as many employment offices as you like, but that does not put the men to work. That is my experience. The public employment offices in England were held up last night to show how successful they were. In England they are 15 years ahead of us in America. It is only five minutes since a speaker said that the Legislature of New York had not seen the necessity of aiding the employment office of the State. So it is with the funds that have been allowed the National Employment Office, I believe. The arch-enemy we are fighting to-day is Germany. Germany has prepared for the War and has skilled men and women between 18 and 55. If the work in a factory is not productive, they compel John Schmidt to go to a factory that is productive or go to jail. By conscripting everyone that will not work you will eliminate all these troubles.

A. K. DOUCET, employment manager, Laclede-Christy Clay Products Co., St. Louis, Mo. I want to talk about the Rochester plan. The advantage of the Rochester plan as now managed is this: When you get a man into your employ you do not classify him once, but five, six, seven, or eight times. When you want a man, or 10 or 20 men, you can go to the files and get them. I had occasion to try this out and found this to be so. As to the State employment bureaus, they are as good in New York State as anywhere. From St. Louis in 10 months they shipped out 5,000 men. Here is an employment office that confines its work to getting men and keeping them in Rochester. I think if you men will think over this plan, you will go home and think it is the best possible plan.

DELEGATE. I would like to ask Mr. Barnes what plan he has to keep the men in one place?

Mr. BARNES. The plan we have for stabilizing them is that we ask that no advertising be done in the particular city in which the office is located. We refuse to send out of town any workers of the kind for which we know there are orders in that city. That is the only method of stabilizing you can have. For instance, we kept Syracuse from having any orders until Syracuse lost over 3,000 men. That was only about four months ago. We let some of those men go out, but we advised with the plants whether or not we should send out certain men. As soon as trained men began to be assembled in Syracuse, we began to let the orders go, and our office is letting any orders go to any other cities. I am saying that if you have any sort of a plan that you call the Rochester plan it is better to have one plan than two. I only ask that you work it under one management. We are trying to get the best way of dealing with labor. No distinctions are drawn.

Mr. WELLS. The committee having the matter under consideration recommends that a national association of employment managers be organized at this convention. You have heard the recommendation of the committee. The question is open for discussion. The committee would like to know whether you will authorize it to proceed and prepare a definite plan for the organization of such an association and report at the meeting to-morrow morning. That question is now open for discussion.

HERBERT E. HERROD, president Mahoning Valley Employers' Association, Youngstown, Ohio. May the committee formulate a plan of admitting both employment managers and individual employment managers where no central association exists? It seems to me from experience that it is necessary in order to obtain the greatest good from an organization of this kind. I have watched with a great deal of interest the growth of sentiment and whether or not we can agree with all that has been done here; it is a method by which an expression of the sentiment from all over the country can be heard. I think the committee should design such a plan or a composite plan.

The CHAIRMAN. The committee submitted this plan in order that it might be recognized.

Capt. FISHER. There is no doubt about the need of a National association. We recognized such a need last year. As the development in employment work up to that time had mainly dealt with local troubles, it was considered advisable to make a start at a National association. I should like to see such an association formed for several reasons. I should like to see the employment manager able to receive help from the employment office, in order that what action he

takes may be authentic and authorized by employment managers. As other departments of the Government are assigning men, I should like the employment managers' association, if one exists, to assign a man to work with the committee when that committee brings in a plan before the convention. Then, if it is necessary to cooperate with the Federal Government, the association ought to be able to assign some person representing our point of view to work in conjunction with the Federal bureau. I think it is necessary that men who are on the job should have their opinion heard in the National Government, that an association be organized for that purpose. I should like to ask if the committee has formulated any plan of representation in this proposed organization. Is it going to be a matter of representation as we have it here? Is it going to be open to everybody who may happen to be an employment agent or a representative of a State employment association such as we have in a number of States? I think the committee must tell us something of what their plan is going to be, rather than ask us to organize an association without much consideration.

The CHAIRMAN. I might say that the committee considered this plan and prefer to hear the discussion on the floor. It is proposed that we have a National association composed entirely of managers of firms. I think the committee agreed that it should be composed of individual employment managers and also of managers who might attend this convention. One plan was that there should be a National association composed of membership by firms. Another plan was that the present membership by local associations should be continued and that there should be added to that membership all firms who would contribute certain sums of money. There was a slight difference of opinion as to whether after the association was formed the membership should be by firms or by local organizations.

It is moved that we accept the report of the committee and proceed to election.

DELEGATE. Before we adopt this I would like to hear some one ask the right to direct any gigantic movement of this kind. I have not heard, and I do not think any delegate has or any of the members have heard, anybody say who has the authentic right. I want it recommended by some one close to the Secretary of Labor.

DELEGATE. Has anyone more right than one of the managers themselves? I represent one of the managers of Pittsburgh. We are trying to start a movement, if it meets with the approval of the people here present. If it does, we propose to get the managers representing the various associations of the country together and present a report to-morrow morning. Personally, I think it does meet with your approval.

The CHAIRMAN. It has been moved and seconded that we proceed to the formation of a national association of employment managers. The Chair will state that when that motion is carried definite instructions will be given to the committee or to some other committee to formulate a plan.

[The ayes have it. Motion carried.]

Dr. TALBOT. In 1910 I had the pleasure of establishing a great many service departments. At that time we were interested in welfare, and the service department I had was new. I will follow the history of that move since that time. Firm after firm has become convinced of the advantage of that service. In 1912 I published a list of 200 firms that had service departments. Since that time the number has been steadily growing and I venture to say that we now have in this country 20,000 firms that have service departments, and this is no small matter. It has been a matter of State education since 1910. This meeting augurs to me the widest and biggest movement toward industrial democracy in this country and real democracy, American democracy, of any that has been organized. I would suggest that we make our membership just as democratic as possible, and, in view of the fact that the matter has been gone into in the utmost details in the experience of the National Safety Council, I think it would be well for the committee to take into consideration the organization of the National Safety Council, which Mr. Palmer will recall was started in a small way. At the second meeting in Pittsburgh there was only a group of men around a table. If this organization is going to do a large amount of detailed work, there must be money to do it with. We have to have a large membership, and that the dues may not be so excessive as to keep out members that organization should have perhaps fifty or a hundred thousand. Therefore, I would recommend procuring sufficient funds, as the National Safety Council has done.

DELEGATE. I make the motion that the national committee of employment managers be authorized to take into consideration the membership of local employment associations and of members of the State association.

[Motion seconded by Mr. Gowin, of New York. Motion carried.]

The CHAIRMAN. I think there should be a discussion as to whether or not opportunity should be given for members in localities not having any association. It has been moved that the individual employment managers comprise the membership of the national association.

[There was no second to the motion.]

DELEGATE. The term "firm" has come up so frequently that I want to ask if the managers are going to fall back on the firms for funds?

The **CHAIRMAN.** It means that if the individual changes his position or is promoted he is a member of the association, because it is making him more valuable for the work of the concern. I believe the majority of the concerns have no hesitation in taking the costs of the membership as part of the legitimate expenses.

DELEGATE. Do you gentlemen who represent firms want to represent your firms or by members individually?

The **CHAIRMAN.** I will take the liberty of saying that the national committee would like to meet immediately after the banquet.

The suggestion has been made that the membership be made up of managers, and then that it would be a question of accepting individuals, whether members or not.

MEYER BLOOMFIELD, head of Industrial Service Department, Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C. I want to say one or two words which may be of some use in the plan of the national association. It is certainly very gratifying that a great many visitors here present are delegates who do not happen to be members of local organizations. There is not the slightest doubt that they are as welcome as the members of associations, because, as the meetings are conducted, I suppose everybody stands on the same footing.

I would like to point out how a national association may vastly promote the growth of local associations and strengthen the already existing association. I urge upon you gentlemen to consider very seriously one of two lines of policy because what you decide to-day or to-morrow will determine the fate of the local association. In my judgment the great value of a National Association is in its representing the force and the strength of the existing and new labor associations. If it becomes something else to the detriment, to the weakness, or to the obliteration of the labor associations within a year or two, we shall have the following situation: The National Association, so called, will be fighting for its existence as a National body with its own system of plants and its own internal affairs, and local associations will have to decide ultimately to abolish their identity or to be replaced by a new local body or plan some countermove to keep themselves alive. I think the ultimate determination will be the extermination of the local associations for this reason. We have a new situation with a new version of the labor problem which must be solved locally. The local association is on the job all the time. The National Association will have one annual meeting and jubilee and the directors leave here appointing a committee. Many of the members of the employment managers' association will come from a

widely scattered area. The largest contribution will come from the associations on the job. Also, as to those who have no opportunity to belong to associations, I would suggest that the committee that is planning this work make provision to include the membership of those who are too far away from existing societies. We want their brains and counsel. If there are gentlemen who will be in sympathy with it, we want them to join the association, to change this if they do not like it. It is their duty to join those associations. The National Association should represent chiefly the combination membership of those associations with the additional strength of individuals. I want to caution you against adopting any resolution that will weaken or undermine the strength of the existing societies, and I might say the National Safety Council offered its services to the Government a year ago, which services were accepted. I suggest that the committee will find it of value to get in conference with the chairman of the National Safety Council.

Dr. TALBOT. I would like to call to the attention of Mr. Bloomfield the experience of the American Medical Association, the existence of its own membership and its representing the growth of local associations.

[Session adjourned.]

* 77920°—19—10

FRIDAY, MAY 10—EVENING SESSION—BANQUET.

CHAIRMAN: HENRY T. NOYES, TREASURER, ART IN BUTTONS (INC.), ROCHESTER, N. Y.

A NATIONAL LABOR POLICY.

The CHAIRMAN. This day is a day memorable to you who are interested in employment management. It is a day memorable in history from the standpoint of several nations which are now engaged in the greatest conflict of all times. On May 10, years since Charles the First signed the act that created a perpetual Parliament for England; on May 10, in the early history of our own country, Ethan Allen captured Fort Ticonderoga and struck one of the blows which laid the foundation for this democracy in which we live; on this day the Franco-Prussian War in 1871 came to an end by imposing upon France an indemnity of one billion dollars and taking from that country—for a while—the Provinces of Alsace and Lorraine.

This has been in many ways a remarkable convention. I feel that all of you who have been here have been impressed with the spirit, the earnestness of purpose, and the character of those who have taken part in these meetings. The attendance at the convention has impressed not one, but all of you, with the fact that we who are here realize these are war times and that we are in earnest in our desire to serve and do what we are told to do. This convention has significance from two standpoints; and I want to emphasize them to you. It is of significance not merely from the standpoint of war service but of the future. Out of this tremendous world upheaval in which we as a nation are now taking part, what is to come?

I question whether any of us really grasps the significance and the import of the forces that are at work. One of the oldest and most experienced and ablest of the statesmen of Japan, who is viewing this world conflict from the other side of the globe, says that this conflict marks the death of European civilization. Is it true? What is before us? This is sure. We are not going back to the time and conditions of the past. We are going to meet at best new orders and new conditions. It is wise indeed that we here firmly resolve to devote ourselves and our best energy to winning the war, to dedicate ourselves in the presence of each other to that end and to pledge ourselves, ere this convention draws to an end, that we will stand united till the day of victory.

Nevertheless, in the particular actions that we may be called upon to perform in order to win this war it will be necessary that we be governed by policy that looks far into the future and that we consider all the lessons, the struggles, the suffering, the successes, and the failures of the past. To guide us wisely now it is essential that we have the highest talents of statesmanship. We who are particularly interested in the problems of labor are met to-night to consider a national labor policy and to consider the various phases that we weave ourselves about that policy.

Many of you lost a very warm friend in the death of Robert Valentine, one of the most noble of gentlemen and one of the highest of idealists. We are privileged to have with us his associate, a man who not only belongs to the firm of which Mr. Valentine was a member, but who has rendered service in other lines as a professor on employment in Columbia University, and as one of the editors of the New Republic. I take great pleasure in introducing to you to-night Prof. Ordway Tead, who will outline to you some thoughts that he has on the subject of a national labor policy at the present time.

OUTLINE OF A NATIONAL LABOR POLICY.

BY ORDWAY TEAD, BUREAU OF INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH, WASHINGTON, D. C.

There has been in vogue in New York this winter the parlor game of "Telling the Government how to run the war." The chief qualification for playing that game at after-dinner parties has been a complete ignorance as to what the Government is doing in the war. With us who are administering the Government labor policy in particular factories, this is no after-dinner proposition. It seems to me that if we are going to discuss the elements of a prospective labor policy for the country we can do no better than familiarize ourselves with the situation as it stands at the moment, because I think most of us who have been at Washington since we entered the War and who have been watching developments constantly feel somewhat reassured that a national labor policy of some clearness and definiteness and of some effectiveness has already been formulated; I would like to take a moment to trace the way in which and the success with which we have met the situation to date.

It is astonishing to realize the extent to which the Federal Government has been able either directly or indirectly to come to working agreements with the trades-unions of our country. I wonder if you realize the extent to which that has already taken place. The cantonments were all built on a basis of a memorandum between Secretary Baker and Mr. Gompers which called for the preservation of union standards at that work. The longshoremen who load the ships for Europe and the seamen who sail the ships are operating under collective agreements with the Government. The ships are all being built under an agreement between eight of the International unions and the Federal Government. All the leather work of the Government is being done under a collective agreement. The fuel for our industries and ships is being mined under similar agreements. The major part of the railroad employees are organized and operating under collective agreements. Since the investigative work in the West done by the commission so notably headed by our chief guest of the evening, the President's Mediation Commission, there have been set up agreements in Arizona, St. Paul in the Northwest, and in California. The victory of the workers that took place in the packing industry was accomplished through an investigation, the machinery for which was set in motion by Secretary Wilson's

commission, and now the great packing industry of the country is operating under virtually union standards.

And finally we have had a bringing together of all the threads, a national embodiment of our whole policy, the creation of the so-called Taft-Walsh board, equally representative of the employers and the organized labor of the country. It is the task of the board to decide the merits of all disputes for the handling and adjustment of which there is no other provision made. How many workers these agreements represent it is hard to say, but it is wide of the mark to think that the Government in respect to the basic industries has not mapped out and is not operating under a policy upon which it intended to proceed.

The several items upon which there has been a certain agreement running through these several documents and negotiations are worthy of note. In the first place, they all embody the principle that all grievances—all matters upon which there is a difference of opinion between workers and the management—shall be considered by joint bodies representing both workers and management. Almost all of them have embodied the important principle that minimum wages should be paid in some correct relation to fluctuation in prices and the cost of living. Almost without exception—there are some exceptions—the important industries are operating under a basic eight-hour day. The right to organize has been recognized, and the other principles, drawn up under direction of the Taft-Walsh board, the details of which have been so recently in the papers, are already familiar to you.

The significant fact about the Government labor policy, so far as I have outlined it, is that in these industries, with two or three comparatively insignificant exceptions, there have been no cessations of work since the undertaking of these joint arrangements. Instances will come to your mind at once, instances where there have been such cessations—Norfolk, Bethlehem, Bridgeport. In all of the cases which you will call to mind I think it will be found that they are cases in which the several policies that I have been noting are not and have not been enforced. There had not been in those cases a contract for joint negotiations. Unfortunately—and those who are close to affairs in Washington will agree with me—unfortunately within the War Department itself it has not seemed advisable as yet to set up complete joint representative machinery for the settlement of grievances, similar to that machinery which there has been formed in respect to the longshoremen, the sailors, and the shipbuilders. So that these three instances—and others might be mentioned of work that is being done for the War Department—are cessations which have taken place because the Government labor policy has not yet become operative.

So important, however, has been this shipbuilding agreement which I have mentioned, that it is not amiss to understand what gains have already been made in the adjustments made under it. This has an interest quite outside simply shipbuilding circles. You realize, of course, that to-day there are over 240,000 workers in the shipyards, which are scattered geographically, so that working standards set in these yards have their effect in communities adjoining. After all, when we consider the labor standards and policy pursued in the shipyards, we are considering not simply shipyard work, but the standards which the Government has set, and which by virtue of its example, are having to be adopted in other industries in near-by localities.

What has the Shipbuilding Labor Adjustment Board done? It has now adjusted and given out awards respecting the conditions of labor in all the shipyard districts of the country, so that we can pass in review the policies which these awards represent. The most important and the most far-reaching of these policies is this: There are now in the shipyards of the country only two wage scales, one on the Pacific coast, and the other applying in the Atlantic, Gulf, and Great Lakes districts. An astonishing degree of uniformity of scales has been set in force; and for reasons of reducing incentive for any movement of labor between shipyards. These wage standards have been set on the basis of the cost of living. Just now the rest of us—those of us who are interested not only in shipbuilding but in the War Department contracts, in the Quartermaster's Division Ordnance Department, and Aircraft, and those who are interested in the Navy Yard—are interested to understand how all these Government departments feel that they are going to hold the help that they have without that uniformity and equality of scale which the shipyards have established. We know that the rates set up in the shipyards are in many instances higher than rates already being paid in certain of the other contract shops. That is one of the matters upon which our policy has not yet come to a complete clarity. We have not completely sized up the situation. But the shipyards have the men and are offering inducements to them. The only question is the work incentive and some sort of uniform scale for the other departments. Shipyard agreements have all said that a maximum of 60 hours a week with not more than 12 hours a day is a desirable standard of working hours, the idea being to keep efficiency as high as possible. Each one of the shipyard awards has specified a careful procedure under which grievances are to be carried through committees, coming, if necessary, to the board itself. The awards have set minimum requirements of physical working conditions and sanitary equipment that must be provided. And finally they have done one important thing, they have said that in those yards where piece rates

are in vogue there shall be no cutting of the piece rate during the War; and have required that a notice to that effect shall be posted in a convenient and accessible place in all yards.

All this is a very remarkable achievement. It is a remarkable achievement to have accomplished a definition of the policy upon so many important questions. Whether we all agree that these are desirable policies is another question, but the fact remains they have been adopted and those standards are operating for some 250,000 men, which number will have increased to nearly 500,000 men by the end of the summer; so that this is not to be ignored. These labor standards are something that you and I have to reckon with whether we agree with them or not.

In the carrying out of these awards there have been certain discrepancies noted which it is not amiss to consider, because it seems to me that they point in the direction of the problems we have been considering at this convention, and they point to those features upon which there has not yet come complete clarity in our national policy. One of the burning questions brought up at the adjustment board's hearings has been the question: Who is to determine and how is it to be determined who the skilled men are among those applying for employment? So far as craftsmen are concerned there have been two classifications made—that is, calling them first and second class mechanics. When a man applies to the yard, who is to determine whether he is first class, second class, or a helper, or a laborer? That is one of the questions which the board has not yet answered. Who is to decide—who is to make the effort of getting the union men and the men with whom the unions are in touch, who are admittedly skilled, from the nonessential industries in the Middle West into the war industries? Who is to see to getting these men into essential industries near the coast? The Department of Labor has told me officially that, in the past, refusals of employment have sometimes confronted such men when they have been brought to the coast. What is going to be done to effect the most proper and the most rapid transfer of skilled men to the right places in essential industries in the East?

Another problem which will require the consideration not only of ourselves, but of union officials, and upon which the board will have to pass soon, I believe, is the question of the differentials in the rates of crafts. The Shipbuilding Labor Adjustment Board took the position that in cities where, for example, for various reasons, the building trades were strong and had high rates in comparison with the rates paid in the metal trade, it would preserve the existing differentials. It now develops that some of the less-favored unions are coming to the board and asking for increases that will make their rates the equivalent of the higher rates in their districts.

The situation where the machinists, the boiler makers, and the plumbers get different wage scales will, I believe, eventually call for definite action and agreements on a national scale between the employers, the Government, and the union officials as to differentials, that will preserve on a national scale the proper relation between the rates at the different trades. For example, boiler maker in relation to machinist, and toolmaker in relation to coppersmith.

A further question that we in this convention have already considered, upon which it is going to be important for the board to make a decision is this: At what rate and on what conditions are the men in training going to be introduced into factories and yards? It may be that we will partially train so many men that after the War we will have an extraordinary supply of semiskilled and skilled mechanics for whom there will be no place. What is to be our policy for those so trained after the War? How will we safeguard the opportunities for employment for skilled people both now and after the War?

And a final problem that has not been met in the shipbuilding situation, and certainly, therefore, has not been met in less organized industries, is the securing of the active, positive, constructive interest of the unions in shipbuilding efficiency as such. The fact is that we have not yet called a national conference largely representative of organized labor to draw out the utmost interest and skill, in order to pool that interest and skill and to learn the best possible working methods and tricks of each trade from the people in each yard who have them for use in every shipyard. We have not yet called in the national labor leaders in the shipyard unions and said, "You have expressed your willingness to help in securing a supply of skilled men and have been showing what is the right way. How is production being hampered; are methods or ways affecting routing of work and various other questions of practice and policy being introduced that will get results? What help can you give in the criticism of production methods? And then what help can you give us in assuring that your men are living up to the promises we have had with regard to a full day's work, more apprentices, etc.?"

Because, after all, we are not going to get interest, we are not going to get cooperation, we are not going to get maximum utilization of energy until we get some sense of responsibility in the minds of the people whom until now we have called in in a half-hearted way, called in and asked to help in the shipbuilding program without realizing how that help is to be best secured.

The thing we need is not to go back on our policy; the thing we need is to go ahead with our policy. We have a national labor policy, a policy of joint representation, a policy of conference on these problems, and the only trouble with the policy is that we have

not applied it enough. The basis of our policy, and the basis of a successful future policy, is the inviting into and the requiring of joint conference and joint consideration and joint decision of workers and management alike on our problems of selection, on our problems of training, on the problems which will come up for consideration after the War, the problems of getting our crippled men back into industry, the problems of getting the maximum of efficiency out of our equipment and out of our workers. Upon all these questions the only way in which the maximum of interest is to come is by that joint participation in control.

Now, I am confident, and am sorry, that some of you are probably feeling that this development will not be in the direction of wisdom; that it will be a policy of knuckling under, of simply accepting the inevitable. I assure you it is not that at all. The situation and the demand for a new policy are dictated not by any demand to knuckle under to anybody. Our policy is dictated by a far more fundamental demand, the universal demand of human nature to express itself with maximum effect and to the best advantage. To-day if we know anything we know this, that human nature does not express itself with maximum effect and to the best advantage unless it concentrates its energies under conditions where it is asked to assume responsibility and where it has some guaranty that in so doing it is not endangering its whole position.

It seems to me, therefore, that the basis of a successful national policy is to continue as we have been going, and to secure as rapidly as possible its extension into other fields. And so I say that our national labor policy is one that requires consideration by workers and management alike of the question of training, of the question of selection of workers, of the question of definitions of skill, of the question of uniformity of wage rates, one that brings them into common council and decides them on a common basis; because after all we have not only to consider the practical question of best mechanical methods but the equally practical question of the way in which human nature works best.

But we are pursuing this policy also for another reason equally far-reaching, equally fundamental to consider at this hour. We are doing it because it is thus that we foster responsibility, arouse intelligent interest, and create the sense of participation in control, which is the very essence of democracy. If we are fighting for democracy in Germany we are fighting for democracy in industry no less. We have had a strange notion all these years that democracy was confined in its expression to the ballot. That is not so. Democracy, if it means anything, in its application not only to industry but to all human activity, means two things: A spiritual change and an institutional change. It means that we are going to treat people

as an end in themselves, something to be worked for, and something to develop as individuals, as personalities. And it means that our institutions in politics and industry must be adjusted and reorganized to make possible that participation of individuals in affairs, which constitutes real democratic government, which makes real development of personality possible.

The only way to go on and fight our War in a big way, in a way that meets the demands of the future, is to fight it on the basis of this representative control in industry.

And, finally, the point to be remembered about our democratic government and our democratic future is this: Democratic government and democratic control are not to be exerted by people who are dumped into the middle of industrial control all at once. Responsibility is to be learned, acquired, and intelligently used only by people who have had the chance to exercise responsibility. People do not have that chance in industry until they secure it; and they do not secure it until there is representation of the workers in the local and national government of industry.

Our policy is in the right direction. Let us go on with it. Let us carry it through to the end, and we will win the War all along the line, from the outermost trench from the frontiers of freedom to the humblest workbench; on this basis of assuring the worker that he is going to be actually a partner in industry, not only a partner in it during the War, but in that era of democratic expansion which is inevitably coming after the War.

The CHAIRMAN. This convention is of deep significance to the men who are here, in that it has tended to stimulate the recognition as a profession of the task and work of an employment manager. This convention marks the completion of the first course in employment management given in our university. Most of the people present are here in the capacity of employment or service managers. Their standing is being recognized more and more and the hope and aim and aspiration of those engaged in that work is that they may come in time to be considered as essential a part of industry as the mechanical engineer or the chemist, or the highly technical men who are called in to advise and assist in the direction of industry. These employment managers are to become the industrial counselors, the industrial engineers of industry. That fact, of course, means a great deal to all of you who are here in this convention. It is with particular pleasure that we have with us to-night a man who foresaw this, a man who has contributed much to the end we are now attaining. Tonight you have heard groups from various cities expressing themselves in one way and another. A great many of those organizations

came into being in the last six or seven years as the result of the activities of the man I am about to introduce to you.

From the industrial standpoint one fact has impressed me more since the War began than any other, and that was the recent launching of the steel vessel of 5,700 tons, the *Tuckahoe*, which was launched from a New Jersey shipyard 27 days after her keel was laid, that vessel which they promised to deliver in 15 days from the date of her launching. We have come to realize the necessity of ships and that we will have to bridge the Atlantic with a line of ships to win the War. We have been long in getting under way; but that fact brought home to us a knowledge and pride in the fact that we were beginning to adjust our industrial condition to the accomplishment of this end. And in the bringing about of those conditions which have made possible such an achievement as the building of that ship, Mr. Meyer Bloomfield has had an important part. Mr. Bloomfield is the head of the industrial service section of the Emergency Fleet Corporation, and it is with great pride and pleasure that I introduce him to you tonight.

PROBLEMS OF INDUSTRIAL MANAGEMENT.

BY MEYER BLOOMFIELD, HEAD OF INDUSTRIAL SERVICE DEPARTMENT, EMERGENCY FLEET CORPORATION, WASHINGTON, D. C.

The past 24 hours have been eventful in the history of employment management. A new profession has come into being. The inspiring graduation exercise of yesterday and the spirit of this convention have sealed the fact. The American people especially owe a debt of gratitude to Mr. Noyes, to the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, and to the University of Rochester, if for no other contribution than that of turning out nine men among the number to go back to the shipyards as employment managers. We shall soon have 18 men who have finished these courses at Rochester and at Harvard. They will have work enough to do. In 1916 there were something like 36 shipyards with less than 65,000 workers. Last October when we began to keep the shipyard records there were something like 100 shipyards with 100,000 employees. There are to-day 152 shipyards with 280,000 employees, and by next September we shall probably have 400,000 workers.

Now, this is "some" problem in human management. The rapid inflow of so many men, with the effect of necessarily lower efficiency and necessarily large labor turnover, means—if we are to have the bridge of ships across the Atlantic, if we are to maintain that thin line from here to the western front, if we are to supply this vital need, a need which touches the very life of our war program—that we must have more employment managers who are trained. So Rochester has made a very significant contribution to the most necessary part of America's war program just now.

It is well, while we take satisfaction in the birth of a new profession, to pause for a few moments for some rather sobering reflection. If this be a new profession indeed, as we believe it is and must be, because men who work in the twentieth century will demand as a right that they shall be supervised and aided by trained minds and humane spirit, if we have a new profession it follows as a matter of course that this new profession will repeat the history of the ups and downs of the old professions. And what is that history? It is one of gradually increasing standards of performance on the part of the practitioner. It is the history of divisions into schools of thought and practice; and finally it is a history of gradual subjection to

public and social scrutiny, if not control. Now, that is what you must look forward to in your profession as employment managers. As much as any existing profession, and perhaps more than any profession, the handling of men is, in legal phraseology, "affected with" a public interest. The employment of men, the supervision of the industrial bargain, has become a matter for legislation, for social supervision. This convention, and all that it symbolizes, represents infinite advance over conditions 10 years ago, when there were no employment managers' association, no employment managers' convention, no modern handling of men, and no public pronouncement, so far as I am aware, of the fact that selecting a man to do a piece of work called for high moral and mental qualities.

Now, it is a long step in advance that men from important places of employment should gather to demonstrate the fact that we have here a serious business calling for straight thinking and high purpose. If 10 years have done this what will you be thinking of, and what will others be thinking of in this field 10 years from now? For this reason I want to propound two or three questions. I won't attempt to answer them; I don't think I know how to answer them. I do know that these questions are going to confront you, and that you will have to take a position with respect to answers to them. This profession will not stand still during the years that you will be busy perfecting your technique, your apparatus, your relationship to the management and to the men. The fact that you stand in a unique mediating capacity, in the capacity of interpreter between management and men will pledge you to make the effort to find an answer to questions such as these. If you are a common denominator between management and men will it always be the case that the management alone shall pay your salary? I am not pretending that I know the answer, but I do know that if there is any life, any dynamic spark in this profession, some such question will arise in the upward development of your practice. If your service is of as great importance and value to the masses of workers as it is to the management, is it too fanciful an idea to suggest that perhaps the workers themselves through some form or another may choose to look upon you or some representative of your profession as one of their allies and associates quite as they have looked upon the lawyer or the physician or any other specialist whose services are open to all who seek that service?

If employment is a semipublic or public function essentially, what is to be the public's and the Government's ultimate relation with the men who follow the principles and the technique of this very vital and industrial service?

Now, these are just a few of the problems which will face the growing movement. They will call for insight, for vision, for a

freedom from prejudice and tradition quite as much as these qualities have been called for in your establishment of a new profession. The capacity for your growth, and of the growth of this movement will be fostered by the response, the absence of resistance to fundamental tendencies, and by the ready and cheerful openness of mind to face the utmost challenge of your position and of your philosophy at any moment.

These are some of the problems. I had no thought of any solution. But they are to be thought of by men who are growing in a growing profession. With that growth of the spirit of progress kept alive, whatever the ultimate challenge, whatever the ultimate demand, if you are true to the vision, you will make the contribution which this movement is making more and more to industrial peace, to industrial prosperity, and to industrial justice. It is my feeling that to-night, that for the past 10 years, we have laid only the corner stone of a great industrial structure, the final aspect of which the future alone will disclose. We need to pray only that the spirit of workmanship and the spirit of progress may guide us in laying the other stones and rearing the structure to come.

The CHAIRMAN. I had hoped that to-night we could clearly see the tremendous significance to us, individually and as a nation, of the decisions that we are making at this time in connection with our labor and industrial policies. Not only do we have to make these decisions in order to win this War, but in the very making of them at this time we are determining our future and, perhaps, the future of all countries. We are going to face either the death of civilization or the creation of a new social order, and the acts of this moment are those that are going to determine that future. Are we to come through with a social and political reconstruction, or otherwise? Is it true that society is to be reconstructed as a result of our efforts through this War, and the necessary efforts that we have to make in order that industry may be devoted to winning the War?

We were told early in the conflict that victory would rest with the nations that had the greatest industrial resources. That fact has not yet come home to us in America, as it will during the coming 12 months. The conditions of the past, when we might have an army in the field and yet carry on "business as usual," can not prevail in this War. The essential of this War is that nations shall be organized to the last unit for the purpose of the War; that everyone, man and woman, shall be organized to devote 100 per cent of their efforts to the common purpose. This is not a War merely involving the use of troops. It is a question of guns, of munitions, of airplanes, and of ships; and, more than that, it is a War of the morale of the troops, scarcely less important than the morale of those who are assembled in industry. Last fall, following the disaster, the temporary disaster,

to the troops of Italy along the line of the Osonzo, what was the danger to Europe? Was it that we questioned the morale of the troops of France or of England or of the other countries of the allies? No. In spite of the insidious propaganda of the Hun there was no question as to the morale of those who were at home. The morale of the working people and of the nations at home is every whit as vital as the morale of the troops in the field, and that is what we are concerned with, what we are facing, what we are compelled to determine. We can feel to-day that many of the steps necessary to the winning of the War have been undertaken. We have no question as to our supplies of munitions. They will be abundant. We feel now that the gun program is well under way, and it is no longer a question of worry. The shipbuilding program is a matter of almost certain accomplishment. The next news will be that the airplane program is in force beyond any question of doubt. But we are coming to one, the biggest question of all, to determine the labor machinery and policy necessary to carry on all these activities and to support life and existence at the same time. That is what we are facing. And to-day the Department of Labor and the ministers of labor are the men on whom we must place a great deal of responsibility, and the men who will determine a great deal for us all. Look back in the history of the War for a moment. The significance of the Department of Labor to this country may be emphasized when we think of Lloyd George's work in the Asquith ministry, because, in a sense, Lloyd George, through those days, was the minister of labor for England.

We are very fortunate in having to-day with us the Secretary of Labor of these United States of America. He is with us at a time when our national policies regarding labor are whipping themselves into final shape; when we are bringing about the final union of all the resources of this country that will mean victory for the Allies beyond question of doubt; and he is here with us to-night to tell us of the program of the Department of Labor.

I take great pleasure in introducing to you the Secretary of Labor, the Hon. William B. Wilson.

LABOR PROGRAM OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

BY HON. WILLIAM B. WILSON, SECRETARY, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

When the Continental Congress adopted the Declaration of Independence a new principle of Government was proclaimed to the world. It said, "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just power from the consent of the governed." Out of that declaration has grown the most perfect democracy that has ever existed on the face of the earth. It is not absolutely perfect, no one has ever claimed absolute perfection for it. It is a human institution and partakes of human imperfections. But for the first time in the history of the world a government was instituted that gave to the great mass of the people the opportunity of working out their own destiny in their own way. That government has been threatened from abroad. The institutions built up under it have been menaced by an autocrat, and if I were to confine to a single sentence my conception of the greatest need of our country at the present time, I would say that our greatest need is the spirit of self-sacrifice for the common good—sacrifice of our pride, sacrifice of our prejudices, sacrifice of our physical comforts, sacrifice of our lives, if need be—in order that the institutions handed down to us from our fathers, through which we have been working out our destiny in our way, may be handed down to our children to enable them to work out their destiny in their way, unhampered by the mailed fist of the German autocrat or any other tyrant on earth. We have entered this War for the purpose of maintaining those institutions, and there can be no other issue than the winning of the War; and we must not allow ourselves to be carried away by our preconceived notions or our prejudices into a position that will in any manner interfere with our winning the War.

In all of the great conflicts that have occurred in the past the methods of conducting war have been such that it was frequently possible, in fact generally possible, for an invading army to maintain itself upon the country through which it was fighting, drawing only upon the energies of a comparatively small number of people at home for the purpose of supplying them with munition. That

condition of warfare has been entirely changed and to-day it is estimated that it requires the mental and physical energy of from 6 to 10 people at home to maintain one soldier at the front. And because of the great necessity for mental and physical energy at home in the production of material a labor program has become an absolute necessity for the coordination of our activities in production. The Government has been devoting its energies toward the building up of a labor program. There have been those who have been impatient because progress has not been made more rapidly than has yet been demonstrated. Those who take that position fail to realize that we have not been fighting under an autocracy; we have been fighting under a democracy. And you can not take the mind of the American employer or the mind of the American workingman and mold it as freely and easily as the artist molds his clay. They think for themselves, and to me it is one of the gratifying things, one of the things that has demonstrated that we have been making progress in the years gone by, that no single individual has been able to take the American mind and mold it to suit his own fancies. Our Americans think for themselves, and it has required negotiation, it has required the presentation of the needs of the country, it has required the presentation of facts in logical sequence, to convince the American employer and the American workingman, when previously they have felt their interests diverged. But in this great crisis, no matter what their individual interests may be, no matter what their individual influence may be, the interest of the country at large is paramount to the individual opinion or the individual prejudice.

When we entered the War the first thing that became necessary for us to do was to convince the American wageworkers of the great interest they had in the issues of the War. Subtly all over our country there had been conveyed the idea, there had been promulgated the thought that this was a capitalists' war, entered into for the purpose of enabling the capitalists of our country to exploit the workers to a greater extent than they had ever been able to before. You heard that in this community, and in every community in the United States it was persistently presented, thrust upon the minds of the workers. We organized in the Department of Labor a systematic campaign against that and some of the other propoganda that was being put forth by our enemy. We pointed out to the workers that if the purpose of the Government had been to advance the interests of capitalists of our country and enable them to gain greater profit, we never would have engaged in the War. Prior to our entrance into the conflict we were supplying vast amounts of material of every kind and character to the belligerents

of Europe. Our manufacturers were securing the highest possible price for materials they were supplying, and there was no disposition, and would have been no disposition on the part of the Government or on the part of any considerable portion of our people, to interfere with the profits that were secured out of the needs of other Governments with which we were not at that time allied. But the moment we engaged in the conflict ourselves the situation changed. One of the first powers placed in the hands of the President of the United States after the declaration of war was the power to regulate prices of certain commodities, and that power is being extended. In every measure considered for the regulation of prices there was carefully excluded from them any reference to the establishment of a maximum rate for laborers. And that was not all. If the purpose had been to enable capitalists to profiteer then there would have been no increases in the tax on profits, nor on incomes, upon those having great earnings, nor would there have been an excess-profit tax. The Department of Labor has been carrying that message to the workers from one end of the country to the other, and demonstrating to them that this is not a capitalists' war, but that this is a war of the people of the United States for the preservation of their institutions against the aggressions of autocrats in Europe.

Among the other subtle things represented through certain organizations that were in close touch with the Bolsheviki element in Russia was the idea that every man is entitled to the full social value that his labor produces; and if they had ended with that kind of a declaration we might all very well have subscribed to it. I don't know of anyone that I have come in contact with who takes exception to the declaration that every man is entitled to the full social value of what his labor produces. We may differ as to how we are going to determine what the full social value is, but the opinion is almost universal that every man is entitled to the full social value of what his labor produces. But having laid that down as the basis of their statement, then they carried their reasoning further, and they said, "The only way by which you can secure the full social value of your labor is to have the workers own all of the means of production and distribution collectively." Now, that may be a solution of the problem. I don't know. It would certainly be a great experiment at this time. But they go still further with their philosophy and say that the way to get the collective ownership of the means of production and distribution is to destroy the profits accruing from the property, that property's only value comes from the profits that are accruing from it; and if you destroy the profit, the value of the property is destroyed, and when that is destroyed then the workers can take it over and operate it collectively for their own use and benefit. Then the next step in their reasoning is that the

way to destroy the profits is to reduce production. That if you reduce production the profits must of necessity be eliminated, and therefore you should reduce production by any process—by striking on the job, as they say out in the western country—that is, reducing the amount of production as far as you possibly can reduce it and retain your job. It is what the English call “stint”—putting sand in the bearings, breaking machines, where possible, driving spikes into logs and into fruit trees, or any other process that will result in additional cost to the employer or a reduction in the amount of production. That is one of the philosophies that has been taught by the Industrial Workers of the World, particularly in our western country; and part of the labor program of the Department of Labor has been to combat that kind of a propaganda. And I may say to you that it is not a difficult thing to combat it when dealing with men of ordinary intelligence. Most of our people not only are able to read, but have read some; and all we have had to do in that connection has been to carry their memory back in history to the period before we began our modern industrial development, when everything was done by hand, when the amount of production per individual was less than anything that would occur from any system of sabotage they might introduce now; and in those days there were still profits for the employer. The property still was valuable, but the result was a lower standard of living for those who toiled. And if these people succeeded in carrying their philosophy into execution, if they succeeded in reducing the amount of production, instead of eliminating the profits of the employer, they would be reducing the standard of living of the wage earners of our country. Consequently the wage earners are more interested in combating a false philosophy of that kind than any other class of our people.

This, then, was the first step that had to be taken. We had to bring our people to a correct attitude of mind toward industrial questions, to bring them to a realization of the fact that even in normal times, if we had no war, labor and capital have a mutual interest, not an identical interest—mark the distinction—labor and capital have a mutual interest, not an identical interest, in securing the largest possible production with a given amount of labor, having due regard for the health, the safety, and the opportunity for recreation and improvement of the workers themselves. If we produce nothing, there can be nothing to divide. If we produce a large amount there is just that much more to divide. The interests of the employer and employee diverge only when it comes to the distribution of that which has been produced; and if they are wise, instead of resorting to strikes and lockouts and thereby limiting production, they will sit down around the council table and work

the problem out on as nearly correct a mathematical basis as the circumstances surrounding the industry will permit.

If that be true in normal times, then how much more true it is during a period of war. We are spending as a government billions of dollars annually for munitions. The other countries of the world are spending billions of dollars annually for munitions. And those billions of dollars represent expenditures for supplies for our Army and Navy. That means the labor of millions of men in the production of material that is to be destroyed without giving any physical return to mankind for it. What we hope to get out of it is the spiritual return of the maintenance and the betterment of our institutions. With that tremendous expenditure of material, with the tremendous expenditure of the energy necessary to produce that material, it means that but a small number of people can be utilized in the production of those things that are necessary for our everyday life. If we can not have the maximum of production then on the part of those engaged in the production of those things, the time will come more speedily, and it will come ultimately in any event if we continue long in this War, when we will be compelled to reduce our standard of living. And again, the wage workers are interested in maintaining the highest possible standard of efficiency during the period of the War. We have been meeting that problem, and I think those of you who are observers of the trend of events realize that we have been meeting with a great measure of success in wiping out the effects of that kind of a propaganda on the part of our enemy. Having provided the methods for meeting the propaganda of the enemy, it then became necessary for us to turn our attention toward the adjustment of labor disputes. There has never been a time since the close of the Civil War, at least since our great industrial development began, when we have been free from industrial disputes. We had them in one part of the country or another at all times. Some industries have gone on for almost a generation without having labor disputes; then they had them. Others have had them more frequently. But we have had our labor disputes with us at all times since the conclusion of the Civil War. Those labor disputes have created prejudices on the part of the employer and on the part of the worker. It was necessary that these prejudices be eliminated as far as possible, in order to reduce our labor disputes to a minimum. The first step we took in that direction was to endeavor to create a labor adjustment board that would be representative of employers and of employees, and then have it written into all of the contracts that the awards of the adjustment board would be binding upon the contractor and that the workmen who accepted the work from the contractor would be also bound by the agreement to accept the award of the adjustment board.

The plan fell through because of some minor contentions in connection with it. Other adjustment boards had come into existence in the War Department, Navy Department, in the Shipping Board, and in the transportation systems of the country. But it became apparent to those handling the adjustment problems in the Department of Labor and in the other departments that there should be a centralized direction, that there should be a common policy emanating from a common center. The Shipbuilding Labor Adjustment Board would render a decision establishing certain rates, and certain conditions of employment for the shipbuilding industry. No sooner was that rate established and those conditions brought into existence than the workmen in other industries adjacent immediately set up claims for similar wages and similar conditions. What was true with regard to the Shipbuilding Labor Adjustment Board was true in regard to the cantonment adjustment and other adjustment boards. As a result of this the President ultimately came to the conclusion that a general labor policy should be worked out and placed the working out of that policy in the hands of the Department of Labor. That was last January. We have been working upon that policy since that time and out of the work that we have been doing has grown the establishment of what has come to be known as the Taft-Walsh Adjustment Board. That board was brought into existence by inviting the Industrial Conference Board, a board composed of 20,000 manufacturers and commercial associations, to name five representative manufacturers, and by inviting the American Federation of Labor to name five representatives of labor. Each of these groups of five named an additional member, the manufacturers naming Mr. Taft and the workers naming Mr. Frank P. Walsh. That group of men came together and worked out an adjustment plan, a method of labor adjustment that should be imposed only in the event of the workmen and their employers being unable to come to a mutually satisfactory agreement. We named as the adjustment board the same board that worked out the plan and that board is now actively engaged in adjustment problems at Washington. We hope to be able to take another step toward perfecting the efficiency of the board, and that is to adopt the suggestion offered a year ago of having written into the contract a requirement that the employer shall abide by the award of the board, as shall also the workmen accepting employment, in every case of dispute where employer and employes are unable to come to a mutual understanding. But frequently even that will not work a complete solution of the problem, because we will still be confronted with the question of one set of wages being paid in one place and another set of wages being paid in another adjacent to it, and the uneasiness and unrest that result from two separate wage rates for the same kind of labor existing in immediate contrast with each other. We

may be the sanitary or hygienic surroundings or lack of them, or any one of a hundred other reasons—and his going off the job represents the individualistic strike. It means loss every time it occurs, and in the aggregate, with millions upon millions leaving their jobs during the period of the year, there is more, much more, loss to our country and our industrial production due to the individualistic strike, represented in turnover, than to all the trades-union and spontaneous strikes that occur during the year. And it is one of the problems that we are turning our attention to.

May I say at this point that I want to congratulate those here who have graduated into the employment-management service? That is the one great reason why there is need for employment managers. We have been paying attention to the development of our chemistry; we have been paying attention to the development of our mechanical engineering; we have been paying attention to our method of planning, routing, and so on; we have been paying attention to all of these elements of industry that deal with the inanimate, and we have absolutely lost sight of that most important element of them all—the living, thinking, sentient human being, without whom there can be no industry and no machines. And the development of employment management is for the purpose of making studies of man as the individual and man in the mass; and those of you who have given even a slight consideration to the subject matter know that the two studies are quite different. Man as an individual and man in the mass are two entirely separate psychologies and need separate treatment. We have needed, by virtue of the great centralization of our war industries, to develop methods of mobilizing labor. We have established machinery for that purpose for the movement of labor from those places where there is a surplus to those places where there is a shortage. To do that it has been necessary for us to overcome some of the prejudices that have existed against the Department from the time it was created. There were those who believed when the Department of Labor was created, and who still believe, that it is a department of organized labor. I have no hesitancy in saying to you, as the head of that Department, the only head that it has thus far had, that I have been and that I am now a trade-unionist. Just as every great manufacturer in the country believes in organization, so, too, have I in the line that I have had to follow believed in organization, and I have been a trade-unionist. But the Department of Labor has not been a department of organized labor, but a Department of Labor, as its name indicates. You who are employers of labor may have prejudices against union labor. You who are members of organized labor may have prejudices against the so-called “open shop.” You who are nonunion men may have prejudices against these “closed shops,” so called; but so far as the Department of Labor is concerned

it can have no prejudices in the matter. The manufacturer who has prejudices against organized labor is a citizen of the United States, and as a citizen of the United States the Department of Labor can deal with him. The trades-unionist who is prejudiced against the open shop is a citizen of the United States, and as a citizen of the United States the Department of Labor can deal with him; the non-unionist who has prejudices against the closed shop is a citizen of the United States, and as a citizen of the United States the Department of Labor can deal with him. In handling the labor dispute existing in the copper regions of the West we found that prejudice existing—the employers' prejudice against dealing with the union and the union's prejudice against the open shop. We did not take the employer by the nape of the neck and the trades-unionist by the nape of the neck and bump their heads together and say, "Here, you must agree." What we said was this, "If you, Mr. Operator, feel that you can not deal with this representative of the union, have you any objection to dealing with the United States Government?" And they said, "No." And we said to the union representatives, "Have you any objection to dealing with the United States Government?" And they said, "No." Then we said to the manufacturer, or the operator, "Will you make a contract with us, as representative of the United States Government, along certain lines?" And they said they would. And we said to the union men, "Will you make a contract with us, as representative of the Government, along certain lines?" And they said they would. They did not contract with each other, yet they contracted with the representatives of the United States Government. The strike was settled, and copper is now being produced. And the same policy was pursued with regard to the packing industry. They did not contract with each other, but each of them contracted with the United States Government.

Now, in the same spirit, we have been approaching the subject of furnishing supplies of labor. It has been very natural that the productive departments of the Government should be anxious to secure an ample supply of labor, and, that being anxious, they should go into the common pool of labor and seek to secure all that they needed, irrespective of its effect upon others. They felt that the responsibility of making good rested upon them, and, this responsibility resting upon them, that it was their duty to go into the common supply of labor and get all that they needed by any methods that were available to them. The great manufacturers in our country have been in exactly the same position. They have contracted with our Government to deliver certain material within a certain time, and upon them has rested the responsibility of delivering these goods; and they have felt that they must go into this common pool of labor and

get all that they needed for their supply. The result has been a competition that is deplorable. Let me illustrate. The War Department's needs, the needs of other departments as well, required the bringing of bricklayers from some place in the United States where there was a surplus into the cities of Norfolk, Washington, and Baltimore. The Department of Labor having established offices and getting its report daily of the condition of the labor market, went into the market wherever there was a surplus of building laborers, and we brought a supply of bricklayers into those three cities. And then immediately following our bringing the labor from the Middle West into these cities there came into the cities I have mentioned agents of a corporation doing some building for the Government, recruiting bricklayers to go into the employ of a southern company and work for the Government down there. We have taken metal workers from the Middle West, where we found a surplus, out to the Pacific coast to engage in shipbuilding operations, only to have agents of corporations having contracts with the Government step in, take the very workmen we had brought from the Middle West, and bring them back as far east as Milwaukee to put them to work on Government work there. With that kind of competition there can be no proper labor program carried out, and we are rapidly remedying that kind of a situation. There is a sympathetic consideration of the problem going on among representatives of the War Department, the Navy Department, the Shipping Board, and the Department of Labor. I don't think it is going to be very long now before there will be written in the contract a provision that the contractor on the part of the Government shall not go out, independent of other agencies, advertising for labor or sending out his private agents to secure it; but that when labor is secured for the private employer doing work for the Government or for the Government doing its own work, it shall be secured through one central agency having a sufficient number of efficient branches in all parts of the United States.

With the going into war we have been taking, as I have said, large numbers of our workers and putting them into the trenches or into the camps. We have been mobilizing other large numbers into industrial activities in which they have not heretofore been engaged, and there has been a feeling all over the country that there is a necessity here, or soon will be a necessity, for drawing upon the women of our country to assist in our industrial pursuits to a very much larger extent than they have done heretofore. That time may come; it is not yet here. One of the fallacies that we have had has been that whatever Great Britain has done successfully it is necessary for us to do. In other words, we purpose to do whatever England does. And it makes a decided difference, because we have a different

situation here from the situation existing in England. There they have a much denser population. They have a much smaller country; they have very much different customs from our customs here. Some eight years ago I was over in England, and I was looking into some of the industrial establishments at that time; and I found women working out in the pitheads dumping coal out of the mine cars onto cleaning tables. I found women working at the cleaning tables themselves. I found women working in the brickyards, wheeling bricks away from the molds, and piling them in the kilns, and then, while the kilns were still hot after the bricks had been burned, removing them and wheeling them out into the yard. In the neighborhood of Sheffield, England, I saw women with forges and anvils in their kitchens, so that they might utilize their spare time in making chains. We have never had that kind of a condition in the United States, and if I have my way, unless it comes to an absolutely last emergency, necessary for the defeat of the Kaiser, we never will have that condition in this country. And yet it may be necessary to utilize the labor of our womenfolk, and if we are to utilize the labor of our womenfolk, then we should have an agency established that will give an intelligent study to the subject, so that we can make a proper selection of the kinds of industry that our women are going to engage in, because our women are the mothers of the future generation: our women have the burden of rearing the population upon which our future civilization depends; and we need an organization, composed principally of women, that will give intelligent study to the matter from a personal knowledge of the physical energy and the physical weakness of women to aid us in utilizing the labor of women to the greatest advantage and with the least detriment to their physical and mental development.

There are a good many phases to the program we are working out, but I will not take time now to go into any further detailed description of the general program we are endeavoring to carry out in the United States. We are endeavoring to carry out a unified program that recognizes every legitimate element in the United States which is engaged in our industry—the employer and the employee, the employer who recognizes union labor, the employer who does not recognize union labor, the workman who is a union workman, and the workman who is not a union worker. We have about 35,000,000 of our people who are engaged in gainful occupations. Of that number there are approximately 13,000,000 who are engaged in occupations that lend themselves to trade-unionism. Of the 13,000,000 there are about 4,000,000 who are members of unions, and about 9,000,000 who are not members of unions. Of the 9,000,000 there are many, to my own personal knowledge, who believe in unions. We need the labor of all of these people; and we need every energy that

the Labor Department can put forth by which we can secure the highest standard of efficiency. The great spirit of cooperation will be put forth to the end that our Army will be supplied with all that it needs and we will be able to spare more men to increase our fighting forces at the front.

The CHAIRMAN. We have upon the program for to-night one other speaker who comes to us with a knowledge and a training that makes the message he has to give us of very great importance. The next address will deal with the educational aspect of our national labor policy. I take great pleasure in introducing to you Mr. C. A. Prosser, of the Federal Board for Vocational Education.

EDUCATIONAL ASPECT OF THE NATIONAL LABOR POLICY.

BY CHARLES A. PROSSER, OF THE FEDERAL BOARD FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

I have watched with great interest during the last few years the efforts made in organizing the Employment Managers' Association. The association is rising now into the dignity of a profession, because of increasing numbers, because of the solidarity of action and the spirit of cooperation, because of the development of at least a beginning of the ethics of conduct in relation to each other, particularly where managers are associated in local communities, and because, as in the case of all other professions, you are now establishing training schools for the training of employment managers and have begun out of these local associations to organize this National Employment Managers' Association.

Now, the employment manager, in my opinion, is, both from the standpoint of the operation of the plant and from the standpoint of the problem we are sure to have presented to us at the conclusion of this War, to be a figure of ever-increasing importance. The time will come, in my opinion, when no man will be hired in the shop without the action of the employment management, and when no man will be discharged from the shop without consultation with the employment management. I believe the two will go together, that the time will come when the man who has secured the worker will pass in review upon the trouble that may have led for the request for his discharge, when he will be allowed the opportunity to adjust that man to some other department of the shop, and when the writ of discharge will not be written until the last possible effort has been made to adjust that man to some employment within the shop at which he can work successfully and efficiently.

Now, I take it, Mr. Employment Manager, that any successful scheme of hiring, training, knowing, and firing men, has within it all of these factors: There must at the outset be an intelligent, successful scheme of selecting the man. There must be arranged some proper method of adjusting the new workman efficiently and sympathetically to the demands of the first job. There must be some system established by which, after training, he may be given an opportunity to realize all there is in him for this and all the other jobs in the line in which he is capable. There will be the necessity of adopting any method by which the result of continued training and

earnest effort will be recognized in better ways and by better positions than it has been in the past; and along with that a system of evaluating the man in terms of wage satisfactory to the workmen themselves. And, beyond that, opening up wide before the man a vista of hope for the future; and a necessity for cooperation within the plant which will earn for the firm the loyalty of the men from the outset.

Now, it may not be possible for the employment manager to be the chief figure in all of that work, but certainly he must be connected in some way with every one of these steps. We can not confine him to hiring the men and let him have nothing to do with all the other steps of conservation within the plant without cutting him off at the point where always he must rest under the burden and mistakes of errors that follow. If he tries to hire he finds the man prejudiced because of the reputation of the shop. If he hires the man, he should hire him with some sort of understanding of the career and processes of treatment which he will go through in the shop. So it seems to me that the employment manager is vital in every one of these steps. But the one I want to talk about is the step of training—training a man on the job. I want to call your attention to this situation very briefly.

I don't know how many men are in training in the United States, though there must be more than half a million being trained in the corporation schools and under special schemes established by manufacturers here and there throughout the country, under the special schemes set up by the Army for training its own warriors and by the Navy for its employees, by the shipyards for their employees, and by the Federal Board for the training of conscripted men in evening classes back home to take positions in mechanical and technical processes in the Army; and coupled with these, in the constantly increasing number of evening and part-time classes and day classes throughout this country. When the report of the Federal commission was written the statement was made that at that time there was less industrial and trade training being carried on in the United States than in the city of Munich alone in Germany. That can not be said to-day. Now, this is the point: We are doing those things to-day because we are pinched, because we are threatened, because we are facing an emergency. I have repeatedly said in public that I did not believe the American manufacturer would "get down to brass tacks" with regard to the problem of industry and trade education so as to give it something else besides mere surface attention unless he was pinched in his plans and realized that the men he was securing were not adaptable to the new conditions; until he found our system of high specialization of labor to minute processes would put him in a position, at a time of great crisis, of not being able to

do his work, because he did not have the men, and because in the years preceding he had killed the goose that laid the golden egg. It is enough to make one weep to know the kind of young men between the ages of 21 and 31 who have gone to War, not because of their physical manhood, their courage, or their willingness to die, but because of their lack of mechanical and technical knowledge of things that every boy in Germany had when he went to the front. This is a War of mechanism and we are not ready, partly, because of our failure to train our own people in the years past. And if we don't learn the lesson now, when will we learn it?

Now, you employment managers are going to face just exactly that problem in the shop where you select men. What are you going to do about it? Establish your own schools. I know of a Federal fund that is available and I want to tell you one or two things about it. The National Government passed the Smith-Hayes Act a year ago. Under that act \$500,000 was given for the establishment of schools for industrial and trade education by a special method of apportionment that became operative in the year beginning July 1, 1917. By the method of apportionment provided in this law \$500,000 becomes available for instruction in trades and industries, such sum of \$500,000 to be increased at the rate of \$250,000 each year until 1925, when a further increase of \$500,000 becomes available for the two succeeding years, after which the annual appropriation for such purpose shall be \$3,000,000. That money is to be spent for the salaries of teachers of trades and industrial work to meet the necessities of the country; and every dollar of it must be matched by another dollar spent by the State or local community, or both of them together. So you see that the fund will amount to a total of \$6,000,000 in 1924 and 1925. That fund is distributed among the States in the proportion of their urban population, meaning by that those people who live in cities and towns of more than 2,000 inhabitants. The result is that the great industrial States have the money, and the agricultural and small States have not; that being compensated for by the larger amount which they have used for agricultural education, a similar amount being given for agricultural education.

Now, the law goes on to provide that one-third of the money—that is, \$160,000—must be spent for part-time education, or not spent at all. Now, the trouble is that in this time of war the program of compulsory part-time education, which I believe is coming, because I believe that this Government, to save itself, will ultimately undertake the conservation of every boy who works, until the age of 21, rising from 16, 17, and 18, the only limit being what period is required to save him for himself and for the country. That can not be done at the present time, so we are back on the voluntary basis,

largely dependent upon the mercy, the intelligence, the foresight, and the vision of the employer as to whether or not he wants to make now the investment that will come back to him in deferred payments in the future, because of the training he is going to give to his young people at the present time. That money is not being spent by the State in any great amount, partly, I believe, because many employers, many employment managers, do not know of its existence. I want to call your attention to this thing for one reason because capital and labor, employers and trades-unions, can and are getting together on at least four things. The first is compensation; the second is safety regulation; the third is part-time or evening-school instruction for men who are already employed in shops; and the fourth is the vocational rehabilitation of the disabled soldier, restoring him when he comes back from the War, so far as training will, to take up and follow regular industrial employment. You can get that sort of support in your community. Are you interested? Do you want some of that money spent in connection with your plant? Let me tell you some of the things you can do to get it:

First, see the superintendent of schools in the community in which your plant is located and call his attention to the fact that the school system in the community and the educational fund owe just as much obligation to the young fellow who has gone out into industry as to the boy and girl who are still in school, and to men who have been injured in war service, and obtain the appropriation to match this Federal appropriation for carrying on this work.

And, next, a schoolmaster can not do this job. The first thing we want to do is to bring into this work the practical man along this line and put him in charge of the "part-time" education work.

And the third thing is that the thing to be done shall be done by the men experienced in the trades and industries, not manual training teachers, but men who can apply the mathematics and drawing and the trades science to the requirements of that trade.

Next, go to your employer and call his attention to the fact that we are going to have a labor shortage of the right kind of people; and tell him that if he wants new leaders with breadth of training and adaptability he must lay his foundations deep at the present time. If he says it is difficult tell him "Yes, but you can measure this difficulty whereas you can't measure the tremendous difficulty and losses that arise from your failure to have constantly at hand an adequate and capable labor supply for doing your work." If he refers you to the foreman of the department, who says, "We can't do it, because it will make some machines idle, because it will cost for power," tell him, "You can't figure that insensate thing you lose in poor leadership and workmanship, and lack of hope and vision on the part of those young chaps in your plant." There is not an employment man-

ager in this room who can not use that part-time educational fund in his factory. Now, if he wants it, let him go for it in the same way he goes for any other concession under the city government. And the other idea is that when the smoke of all this War is cleared away we will find this world turned almost upside down. I know this is the age of the common man; I know that, after all, the outstanding characteristic of modern democracy is the push upward of the common man from the bottom. He is going to be heard more in industry than ever before; and that brings us at once to the difficulty between the organized worker and his employer and the unorganized worker and his employer; and the employment manager will, I believe, be the center of that problem, and he may find himself compelled to face the question whether he is a timesaver for his employer or a constructive agent in democracy, trying to save it by bringing these people together not upon the basis of the employers' or employees' interests but in the best interests of all. Victor Hugo has it when he says: "The workman would be a leader of fraternity and equality, and there would be green branches upon the threshold." That means we all are hewers of wood and drawers of water in a profession of untold opportunities. I would hate to pass out into the beyond before that conflict is over without being able to see some of the problems that will arise, and some of the solutions and some of the new dreams that are to be dreamed. When you men as managers think about these pioneer days and the early struggle to bring forward the idea that there was such a position, and that it was altogether worth while, you might whisper to yourself some of the words from Kipling's poem on the *The Palace*, which runs about like this:

When I was a King and a Mason, a Master proven and skilled,
I cleared me ground for a Palace such as a King should build.
I decreed and dug down to my levels. Presently, under the silt,
I came on the wreck of a Palace such as a King had built.

There was no worth in the fashion, there was no wit in the plan—
Hither and thither, aimless, the ruined footings ran—
Masonry, brute, mishandled, but graven on every stone:
"After me cometh a Builder. Tell him, I, too, have known."

Swift to my use in my trenches, where my well-planned groundworks grew,
I tumbled his quoins and his ashlar, and cut and reset them anew.
Lime I milled of his marbles; burned it, slaked it, and spread;
Taking and leaving at pleasure the gift of the humble dead.

Yet I despised not nor gloried; yet, as we wrenched them apart,
I read in the razed foundations the heart of that builder's heart.
As he had risen and pleaded, so did I understand
The form of the dream he had followed in the face of the thing he had planned.

When I was a King and a Mason—in the open noon of my pride,
They sent me a Word from the Darkness—they whispered and called me aside.
They said: "The end is forbidden." They said: "Thy use is fulfilled.
"Thy Palace shall stand as that other's—the spoil of a King who shall build."

I called my men from my trenches, my quarries, my wharves, and my shears.
All I had wrought I abandoned to the faith of the faithless years.
Only I cut on the timbers—only I carved on the stone:
After me cometh a Builder. Tell him, I, too, have known!

[Session adjourned.]

SATURDAY, MAY 11—MORNING SESSION.

CHAIRMAN: RALPH G. WELLS, ASSISTANT CHIEF EMPLOYMENT MANAGER, E. I. DU
PONT DE NEMOURS & CO., WILMINGTON, DEL.

SOCIAL PROBLEMS OF THE INDIVIDUAL.

[The meeting was called to order at the Powers Hotel at 10 a. m. by the chairman.]

[The chairman announced for Dr. Meeker that copies of the proceedings, when printed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, would be mailed to every one registered at the convention, and that the June MONTHLY REVIEW containing an account of the conference would also be sent. It was stated that the proceedings would include everything except unnecessary and irrelevant remarks, which would be omitted for the sake of condensation.]

[The chairman then introduced Mr. Davis, of the New York Retail Dry Goods Stores' Association.]

Mr. DAVIS of the Retail Dry Goods Stores' Association of New York. I have attended a great many other conventions of an entirely different description. It seems to me that in view of the fact, as I understand it, this organization is more or less in its infancy—if I am wrong, correct me—it would be a good thing if to the names that are added in here there was added the names of the concerns, they represent, the names of concerns that have thought it worth while to send their men to attend meetings of this kind. And I would like to suggest that it would be a very good plan for the commissioner, or whoever is responsible for this Bulletin, to provide in or on a separate sheet the list of the names of the delegates present at this convention, together with the names of the concerns they represent. Some of these we might like to get in touch with whose addresses we might have difficulty in finding.

One other suggestion. I don't want to be misunderstood about this. The other day a showing of hands was requested of those men interested in mercantile lines. I believe there were two people, myself on the main floor, and some one in the balcony; we had a discussion later. I realize that the organization is in its infancy, and can not be expected to do everything at once, but would it not be a wise thing in considering the arrangements for another year to attempt to get some

larger representation from branches other than purely factory, and so-called industrial lines? You see what I am driving at. I do know that we have our own problem and I believe some provision could be made for such an organization as The Retail Dry Goods Stores' Association of New York, the City National Bank of New York, the Guaranty Trust Co., and other institutions of a similar character. They all have their problem, and are doing splendid work.

The **CHAIRMAN**. I think those are two valuable suggestions. I don't know whether Dr. Meeker would like to print that list of names, but I would make this suggestion: That with the permission of those present the chair will order that the new executive committee arrange to have printed and distributed a list of those who have registered at this convention. We will need it if this organization goes through. It would serve, as you all know, as an excellent inclosure to go with the letter. It might be put in the **JUNE MONTHLY REVIEW**. Dr. Meeker, we would be very glad to have you publish that also, if it would not crowd the proceedings too much.

DR. MEEKER. Six hundred names is a mere bagatelle; I wish there were more. One point I want to present to the conference. I want to be sure that there is no misunderstanding about this. I think that I am not stating any state secret when I say that this organization, or whatever it is—this thing in process of becoming an organization, let me say—has always side-stepped when it came to a question of publishing the names of the firms represented. I never could quite understand that. I did not see what there was to be side-stepped, myself; but I want you to be perfectly sure that you want the list of firms and representatives published. I am very willing to make the attempt to publish it in the **JUNE MONTHLY REVIEW**. But if you are going to send out this list, I don't see that it will be of any particular value to do that, but in the published proceedings decidedly the list of delegates and the firms represented should be included as an essential part of the proceedings.

[It was moved and seconded that the names of the delegates and the firms they represented be published in the proceedings. (See Appendix B.) In the discussion that followed the statement was made that in the Boston association a list of the delegates and the firms represented had been of great value in stimulating interest in the association, because the majority of firms, when they sent representatives to conventions or a meeting of this character, wanted to be sure that they were going to meet other people who had the same problem.]

{The motion was carried.}

The **CHAIRMAN**. The suggestion of the gentleman regarding a division at the next conference for commercial and mercantile

establishments is a very good one. The lack of such a division has been one of the weaknesses of some of our local associations. I have heard the criticism before from department store and bank men that they came to our meetings and all they heard was a discussion of problems relating to industry and production. I will pass the suggestion on to the new committee, and ask that in arranging for the convention next year a definite meeting early in the convention be arranged for those who are interested in problems of that sort.

MR. TYLER. May I suggest that manufacturers, especially those employing large clerical forces, are in a way interested in the same problem? Most of our discussions here concern the man in the shop. That is a part of the problem which the commercial man is trying to get information on. Would it be wise to broaden the scope of this convention and make it include salesmanship and commercial interests as well as industrial interests?

THE CHAIRMAN. Can we take care of it by passing on to the committee the matter of providing for group meetings? Let us have a motion which will cover the entire field and insure that the program committee realize that next year we want to go not only into the problems of industry and mercantile establishments, but into those of any other institutions: The clerical force, the sales force, and perhaps the problems of contractor, which are quite different from the problems of industry. The turnover of contracting firms is much heavier, and they have a much different problem from those operating along industrial lines.

[The motion suggested was made, seconded, and carried.]

CAPT. FISHER. Maj. Mock, of the Surgeon General's Office, who is to talk on rehabilitation of disabled soldiers, has a series of motion pictures which he is showing in connection with this topic, and arrangements have been made for the exhibition of these pictures at the Strand Theater, opposite the chamber of commerce, beginning at 11 o'clock.

[It was moved, seconded, and carried that the session adjourn at quarter to eleven. It was suggested by Capt. Fisher that the delegates should reconvene at 12 o'clock and work on until 1.30 p. m.]

THE CHAIRMAN. We will now have the report of the committee on organization, and then if there is essential discussion on it, it may be necessary to postpone the discussion in order to complete the organization before we adjourn. I think it will be necessary to get through with this, but we don't want to railroad it through. I am going to read it through and then ask you to act upon it in the usual way. The vote of the committee was that the national association should be informed along the following lines:

OUTLINE OF ORGANIZATION.

I. NAME.

The name of this organization shall be the National Association of Employment Managers.

II. OBJECTS.

The objects of this association shall be:

1. To promote and foster interest in and study of employment problems throughout the country.
2. To stimulate the growth of local employment managers' associations in industrial and commercial centers.
3. To encourage the installation and development of functionalized employment departments in public and private establishments.
4. To act as a clearing house for better methods for handling problems of employment.
5. To work with governmental agencies to bring about closer cooperation concerning employment problems.

III. MEMBERSHIP.

Membership of this organization shall consist of the following classes:

A. *Group members.*—Local employment managers' associations approved by the executive committee and conforming to the constitution and by-laws, who shall appoint a member as their representative in this association.

B. *Sustaining members.*—Employers who may designate an executive in charge of employment as their representative in this association and who are approved by the executive committee.

C. *Associate members.*—Individuals interested in the object of this association who are not connected with employers eligible for membership under Class B.

IV. DUES.

A. *Group membership.*—The yearly dues shall be fixed by the executive committee of this association after consultation with group members.

B. *Sustaining membership.*—Yearly dues shall be \$100.

C. *Associate membership.*—Yearly dues shall be \$10.

V. OFFICERS.

1. There shall be an executive committee elected at the annual convention made up of six members chosen from and by the group members and three chosen from and by the official representatives of the sustaining members, to serve for the period of one year or until their successors have qualified.

2. The executive committee shall choose a president, vice president, secretary, and treasurer from among its own number.

3. The executive committee for the first year shall be chosen from and by the members of the organization committee submitting this report. The executive committee so elected shall complete the constitution and by-laws to conform with this outline of organization.

4. The officers shall perform all duties usually pertaining to such offices.

Mr. WINANS, chairman of the committee on organization. This provision [as to dues] was worded in this manner because it was felt that no one here had the authority to commit their local association to higher or other dues than those which now exist, and it will be a matter which will be adjusted by the local committee in connection with local groups.

The CHAIRMAN. That, gentlemen, is in substance the decision of the committee. The suggestion is, you understand, that if this meets with your approval, that the present organization committee will proceed at once to the carrying out of the outlines of this constitution, and the preparation of a constitution and by-laws which conform to this; and, of course, will provide means whereby that constitution can be amended so that if there should be anything not satisfactory it can be changed at the next convention.

The Chair awaits discussion or a motion as to our action.

[The question was asked whether a person who was an assistant of an employment manager would be eligible to associate membership, since, according to the outline of organization, it would seem that such a person would not be so eligible.]

The CHAIRMAN. He would not be. It would be possible for him to be a member of the local association. The intention of this is to encourage and foster, as far as possible, the growth of local associations, because there is where the person gets contact with other employment managers. But that assistant would have a perfect right to attend conventions.

[At this point in the discussion the convention adjourned to the Strand Theater, where Maj. Harry E. Mock, of the U. S. Surgeon General's office, gave an illustrated address, and Charles H. Winslow, of the Federal Board for Vocational Education, spoke. To avoid disturbing the continuity of the discussion on the organization of the proposed National Association Mr. Winslow's speech is given at the end of the proceedings of this session (see pp. —). Maj. Mock's address is omitted, as it was not possible to obtain the manuscript. The meeting reconvened in the Powers Hotel at 12 o'clock.]

The CHAIRMAN. Is it the wish of the convention that we proceed with the discussion of the articles of organization as read this morning, or shall we have the report of the committee on turnover? The articles of organization should be completed in time for the organization committee to report again this afternoon, and if it is agreeable to the convention I would like to suggest that we ought to make some headway on the articles of organization. The organization committee that met last night consisted of the following members of

the national committee, which is the only official organization existing:

ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE.

Dr. E. B. Gowin, of the New York University School of Commerce, Accounts, and Finance, New York City.

Ralph G. Wells, of the E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.

Elmer F. Harris, of the Mesta Machine Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

E. H. Fish, of the Norton Co., Worcester, Mass.

H. G. Kobick, of the Commonwealth Edison Co., Chicago, Ill.

W. H. Winaus, of the National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Raymond C. Booth, of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, Rochester, N. Y.

Mark M. Jones, of Thomas A. Edison (Inc.), West Orange, N. J.

G. P. Berner, of the National Aniline & Chemical Co. (Inc.), Buffalo, N. Y.

Carl J. Parker, of the Maryland Shipbuilding Corporation, Baltimore, Md.

George B. Merriam, of Brown-Lipe-Chapin Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

C. W. Storke, of the Employers' Association, Auburn, N. Y.

W. R. Kitson, of the Solvay Process Co., Detroit, Mich.

T. L. Weed, of the Stanley Works, New Britain, Conn.

George H. Stone, of the Locomobile Co. of America, Bridgeport, Conn.

S. R. Rectanus, of the American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio.

W. A. Grieves, of the Jeffrey Manufacturing Co., Columbus, Ohio.

Mrs. Jane C. Williams, of the Plimpton Press, Norwood, Mass.

Arthur F. Jones, of the Jersey City Chamber of Commerce, Jersey City, N. J.

Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ordnance Reserve Corps, Washington, D. C.

A. A. Doucet, of the Laclede-Christy Clay Products Co., St. Louis, Mo.

P. T. Johnson, Seattle, Wash.

I might explain that this plan was necessarily a compromise in some ways. We had this proposition: None of the delegates here were authorized to commit their association to contribute a definite sum of money for membership. It was the feeling of that committee that this organization should now formulate a definite plan, and should have a constitution with which it could do constructive work—which means the providing of a permanent staff to do the work. And, for that reason, it was felt that finances were necessary; but, in assigning the dues for the local associations, we left that open for the executive committee to decide upon as it says: "The yearly dues shall be fixed by the executive committee of this association after consultation with group members," because it seemed inadvisable to set any fixed method of doing that until the local associations could be consulted and their official sanction secured for some definite plan of assessment. With that explanation, I would like to throw the matter open for discussion.

The statement was made, with regard to the provision for annual dues of \$100 for "sustaining membership," "that it was assumed that there were a number of firms which would be glad to contribute because of their interest in the work."

The CHAIRMAN. If the points of this outline, with such other suggestions as may be offered, meet with the approval of the convention, it will be necessary to formulate a definite document to serve as a constitution and by-laws, which can be changed in any convention. I may say it is not the desire of the old committee to perpetuate itself in any way; but it was decided at the meeting last night that that was an existing organization through which we could easily work, and there are several members of the old committee who will not be members of the national committee this year. So that I don't think there should be any fear that the national committee is trying to perpetuate itself.

[In the discussion on the outline of organization the question was asked with regard to section B of the article on membership, providing for individual membership, whether the persons designated in that provision are to be members of a local association or not; that is, it leaves it open in the outline of organization that any firm can come in as a sustaining member whether a member of a local association or not.]

The CHAIRMAN. It was felt that probably the local association would be a member. The matter was left open because we felt that there were a great many firms in local associations who were sufficiently loyal to be willing to contribute \$100.

[It was suggested that a provision be made that firms may send any number of representatives to the annual convention, provided the privilege of voting be restricted to the official representative.]

The CHAIRMAN. I suggest that this convention recommend that there be a provision in the by-laws which will permit "sustaining" firms to send as many additional representatives to the convention as they wish, but who are not to vote on matters of business or on election of officers.

[The motion was made, seconded, and carried.]

The CHAIRMAN. That provision will then be inserted. Is there further suggestion?

DELEGATE. If I understand the first clause correctly, it spoke of employment managers, but made no reference to representatives with experience in employment departments who might like to join the association.

The CHAIRMAN. You mean under Group "B;" a sustaining firm?

DELEGATE. It was worded "an executive in charge of employment." We thought that would meet the condition, because, if they don't have an Employment Manager, there is probably some one there who is in

charge of whatever employment managing they may be doing. Why not have it a representative?

The **CHAIRMAN**. Because it was felt we wanted the man directly in charge. The general manager would be an executive in charge; so would the vice-president of the company, or the president. We did not interpret this as meaning that it applied only to the fellow doing the actual hiring, but some executive under whose supervision employment work was being done. Does that explain?

DELEGATE. Yes, sir.

Mr. WINANS. It would perhaps be better to pass on this by sections.

[It was so moved and seconded. The motion was carried.]

[The first section was moved for adoption. The motion was seconded, and carried.]

[Section II was moved for adoption. The motion was seconded.]

DELEGATE. I would like to suggest three or four word changes in that. We are interested in more than employment problems. We are particularly interested in human management problems.

The **CHAIRMAN**. Shall we say, "the study of problems of human management"? Or, may we say, in "the study of employment, personnel, and human relations problems"?

DELEGATE. Let me suggest "industrial relations."

The **CHAIRMAN**. We thought "industrial" was too broad.

[The motion was made, seconded, and carried that the first clause of the outline of organization be changed to read "in the study of employment, personnel, and human relations problems."]

DELEGATE. In regard to No. 3, "the installation and development of functionalized employment departments," we might disagree upon the meaning of "functionalized employment departments." Does that include the fire brigade? Should we use the terminology as in the first clause?

The **CHAIRMAN**. Suppose we say "employment and service departments."

[It was so moved, seconded, and carried.]

ALEXANDER FLEISHER, superintendent Welfare Division, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., New York City. I hate to quibble this way, but on account of the organization of my company, Section III B automatically rules out some members of the company who might otherwise be eligible to membership in this association. My company has a committee of a board of directors, which is in charge of all health and service problems, so the only person eligible would

be the chairman of that committee. So, I, myself, am not eligible for membership.

DELEGATE. Who will be the person to determine what are "better methods for handling problems of employment"? I think we might improve the word "better."

The CHAIRMAN. The point is well taken. Is there any other word?

Dr. MEEKER. What is the object of the association if it is not to "better" management of labor problems?

[It was moved and seconded that the word "better" be changed. On being put, the motion was lost.]

The CHAIRMAN. We will leave the word "better" there. Let us have a vote on the entire "object."

[It was moved, seconded, and carried that Section II, with the exception of the changes noted, be adopted.]

[Clause "A," "group members," of Section III, was moved for adoption, seconded, and carried.]

[Clause "B" of Section III as to "sustaining members" was moved for adoption, seconded, and carried.]

[Clause "C" of Section III as to "associate members" was moved for adoption, seconded, and carried.]

[It was moved, seconded, and carried that a provision be added to Clause A, of the section on membership, that the local associations may send as many of their members to attend the convention as they may desire, but that there shall not be given any additional vote on business matters.]

DELEGATE. Is there any provision made for the man having no local association available, and whose firm does not choose to become a member of the association as a firm? If he wants to, can the individual be a member?

The CHAIRMAN. That matter was discussed. The feeling was that if we provided any means whereby the individual connected with a firm could come in on a different basis from that which his firm could come in on, there would be firms which would take advantage of that. It was also felt that the purpose of this convention was to encourage local associations, and that the dues of the local associations were so small that anyone could belong. I know that in New England and New York there are men who travel a hundred miles or more to attend meetings of these associations. And, furthermore, by not having a provision for these individuals it would stimulate them to form a group in their own locality.

DELEGATE. Take a plant we have in southeastern Kentucky.

The CHAIRMAN. What is your nearest local organization?

DELEGATE. We are the only firm there.

Mr. WINANS. May not this be suggested? So far as I know there is nothing to designate the size of a local association. I might say there is no intention to exclude anyone from the convention.

DELEGATE. What about persons who do not wish to become associated with a local association? Some firms do not join any local association.

The CHAIRMAN. Under the provisions, as read, any firm, whether or not a member of a local association, may become a sustaining member. Any firm, under the action we have taken this morning, is allowed to select one official representative, but may send as many as it wishes; but such additional delegates can not vote.

DELEGATE. Suppose a man who is in charge of employment is not member of this association.

The CHAIRMAN. There is no provision whereby a man could be taken care of unless he himself might want to pay the \$100. This is a point we ought to decide. There are a number of concerns who have not yet seen the light.

DELEGATE. If you are following the policy of allowing men to come here and take part in the discussion, whether or not they are members, that will cover the point whether their firms belong or not.

The CHAIRMAN. There has never been any suggestion that anyone should be excluded from the convention. The sustaining membership practically carries with it no other privilege than representation on the executive committee and the privilege of paying \$100.

DELEGATE. There is no objection to a firm's paying the \$100 and appointing an individual, who would go in as an individual, even though that firm does not desire to take out an associate membership?

The CHAIRMAN. I don't think so. Those things can usually be fixed. I know a number of local associations that have had to meet that. I would suggest that the executive committee, in drawing up the constitution, without changing the definite policy in any way, so word it that it will be understood that the privileges do not include the voting privilege.

DELEGATE. I want to make a remark about the membership. As I understand it, the associations are allowed to send one representative. There is nothing said about the size of the association. I was wondering if it was necessary—I am not proposing it—to give any different weight as to the vote of a representative. One representative might represent 70,000 workmen, another one 500. Is there any difference in the weight of the vote of these delegates?

The CHAIRMAN. That matter was discussed in committee and it was decided that what we wanted was the viewpoint of the localities,

but that if we gave voting power according to the number of employees, certain large centers would dominate the situation, and that it was of greater value to have an expression representative of the entire country rather than of a few large industrial groups.

DELEGATE. That is the point I want to bring out.

The **CHAIRMAN.** The section for consideration is now Section IV, dues; group membership. As explained before, we felt no one had sufficient definite authority to pledge a group to a larger sum; and it was better that the delegates have a chance to consult with their group, and that the matter be taken up with the executive committee.

[It was moved that Section IV, relating to dues, and the three clauses thereunder be amended by striking out the three clauses and substituting for each clause the provision that the dues of this organization shall be fixed by the executive committee of the association after a consultation with group members.]

The **CHAIRMAN.** Before that question is put, I would like to ask, do we go back to our firms and local associations with a clearer idea of what the job before us is? Now this outline of organization indicates what we really have in mind, and if the thing is left to the executive committee it is up to them to spread the information, to get the report back on an intelligent basis. That would need some work; but starting out on this basis you will really get quicker results. Is there further discussion?

DELEGATE. That raises the point of the whole thing. What is the machinery, what are the actual operations in fulfillment of the purpose of this organization, and what are the dues going to forward? Now, as to the purpose of the organization, you can conduct a clearing house that is actual or potential; and in your consideration of all these purposes, you can have machinery or not. On the other hand, it seems to me that when you begin to talk about dues, you must define more accurately what it is all about, what the thing is to accomplish.

Mr. WINANS. As we looked the situation over it appeared that a very capable man should be secured as executive secretary, who should be put on the job as early as possible, probably at Washington, to confer with Government officials, and work with the departments there, to give to us in our local groups a real, established national association. Next year we may be able to get it done by the annual convention. It was hoped by those who were talking over the matter last night that that may be possible during the next year. You will have to have a man, office help, traveling expense account, printing, bills to be paid, and that sort of thing. The committee figured roughly that \$20,000, but probably not much less than that,

would cover the expenses. The committee, so far as I know, does not even know whether such a man can be found. The whole thing must be worked out, if this convention decides to put an executive committee on the job with these purposes to work out.

DELEGATE. What does that fellow do?

Mr. WINANS. I confess I don't know; but we will talk about it anyway. The job he will have to do at the outset, of course, is to find out what the local associations want; what the employment men in localities without an association want; what should be done; talk to the people in Washington to find out what they want; try to help put over a national labor policy and work out a national association which will help to gain that result. That is all I can say. But it seems to me it will take money to do it; I don't know how it can be done without a man's traveling expenses, and that means money.

Mr. DOUCET. I suggest that Wells tell us something about the duties of a managing secretary of an association. He is more familiar with them than any of us.

The CHAIRMAN. I can only repeat the things said last night. As I understand it, the intention of this organization is to form a more compact group through which we can work for the various purposes. It is realized that in a convention of this sort where nothing has been "cooked up" previously it is impossible to provide in the time allotted a complete and accurate constitution and by-laws. If this thing had been worked out by someone two or three weeks in advance, we might have come here with some plan that could be rail-roaded through without much difficulty. But, we waited until the meeting yesterday to decide why such an association is wanted, and though we utilized the only time available, which was after adjournment last night, we realized that the only thing we could do was to provide the machinery with which the work could be started. In view of this situation we will have to trust a great deal to the work of the executive committee during the next year, chiefly, because we did not want to do anything without considering it. We wanted the executive committee to consult with local groups.

Now, as to some of the duties that a secretary of such an organization might perform: First and foremost, of course, he would have to plan his organization, and secure the cooperation of the local associations. He would have to get in touch with them and find out their views, and what they desire. Some of the things would be the establishing of a clearing house through which we could exchange ideas on employment problems more regularly. There would be consultation with members on employment work, particularly with newer firms, not as an expert, but merely telling them where they could go to get information which is necessary, which will answer their ques-

tions. I know that has been done in a number of associations. If an older member who already had a department established were to raise the question as to how he could handle his absenteeism, the secretary would not attempt, of course, to tell him how he could handle the problem, but he could say, "Why, Mr. Rectanus, down in Middletown, Ohio, has been studying that problem. Get in touch with him, and I think he can help you." There will be a number of activities of that sort. At the present time the Government is also calling on the employment managers for assistance in developing the work at various plants that are being handled under the Government. I know at present that the shipping trade would like to secure the services of men to assist in some of the shipyards. Now, it is barely possible that it is not necessary for them to take a man away from his job permanently, but perhaps it could be arranged that some good man could be released for three months. There are some situations in the Ordnance Department and other places where I don't doubt that a secretary in touch with the situation throughout the country would be of great benefit to the different departments doing actual work. Those are some of the things suggested and talked over last night; and it was felt that if we were going to do anything, we ought to provide a good man and give him the necessary paraphernalia to do a good job. The idea was that this should be done not hastily, but through an executive committee, which would have an opportunity to consult with the local group, and would cut its budget according to the amount of money that it seemed possible to raise.

MR. DOUCET. I would not like to be understood as being unfriendly to the question, but some sort of talk is necessary to "sell" the thing to our firms. So it is worth while to go light on the \$100 proposition, because if you need a budget of \$20,000, it would seem quite evident that 200 firms could be gotten to give \$100, and you would have your \$20,000. It would seem really quite necessary to get up a preparatory interest; it would seem to be a grave question whether you could get your financial backing. So that throws the thing back to the motion to leave the determination of the actual amount of the dues in the hands of the executive committee.

THE CHAIRMAN. I don't know that there is any further explanation needed on that point. That was the idea of the committee.

DELEGATE. I don't know to whom the national association would be responsible. There might be firms who would give the \$100, or various groups. To whom is the committee to be responsible in the end?

THE CHAIRMAN. As provided in the outline, six members of the committee would be responsible to the local association; three only

would be responsible to the sustaining firm. That seems to carry the control with the local body.

DELEGATE. Would the gentleman suggest where we can get funds to start the association, if we don't set some figure?

The CHAIRMAN. If you will permit me, I don't think he is questioning the desirability of raising it; he is simply suggesting that the executive committee should take the matter into consideration.

DELEGATE. How are you going to go ahead without some standard to go ahead on? We can't go ahead without dues coming in from these people. How are you going to get them into the membership unless we set some sort of standard?

The CHAIRMAN. Suppose we were to suggest "such sum, not to exceed \$100, as the executive committee may decide upon," changing the wording on group B to read, "The yearly dues shall be fixed by the executive committee of this association, after consultation with group members, at a sum not exceeding \$100 a year."

In regard to group C, can't we leave those dues at \$10? Then the situation is this: The yearly dues for the local association are to be decided by the executive committee after consultation with the local group. The yearly dues of the sustaining members are to be set by the executive committee at not to exceed \$100 a year; yearly dues for associate members will be \$10 a year.

[The motion was made and carried.]

The CHAIRMAN. The next thing is the "officers." Section V, 1, "There shall be an executive committee elected at the annual convention, made up of six members chosen from and by the group members, and three chosen from and by the official representatives of the sustaining members, to serve for the period of one year, or until their successors have qualified."

[The clause was adopted.]

The CHAIRMAN. "2. The executive committee shall choose a president, vice president, secretary and treasurer from among its own number." A suggestion was made to change that a little, and we might as well have it right now.

Mr. WINANS. The suggestion is that this section be changed to read, "The executive committee shall make nomination for a president, vice president, secretary and treasurer, to be submitted, along with nominations which may be made from the floor, to the official delegates of the convention, to be elected for a term of one year or until their successors qualify"; the effect being to take the actual election of officers out of the executive committee and put it on the floor.

The CHAIRMAN. The question then is, By which method you want your officers elected. There are two plans. Under the first, the executive committee elect from their own number itself; under the second the executive committee bring in nominations which are voted upon together with other nominations made on the floor. Is there any discussion on those two points, or can we decide which one? Will it be satisfactory if I ask all in favor of 1 so to indicate, and then take a corresponding vote on 2?

DELEGATE. Does the executive committee comprise officers?

The CHAIRMAN. Yes.

DELEGATE. I don't think it would be a democratic proposition to have the officers and the executive committee nominate themselves for a successive election. It would seem to me that the more democratic way would be to have the entire convention appoint a nominating committee, and have that committee submit the names of officers and executive committee to the convention, and have the election made by the convention rather than by the executive committee.

The CHAIRMAN. I might say that the members of the executive committee will be selected by representatives of different organizations from over the country; six will be chosen by the group members, three by the individual members who will meet before the convention and select their three delegates. Those men should be chosen with much care, and they should be chosen with a feeling that these nine men will elect those from their number qualified to act as officers, and it would save a lot of unnecessary work. If left for the next meeting of the executive committee, it saves a lot of work when they come to convention.

DELEGATE. I think we may copy the successful method of corporations, that is, of course, to elect a board of directors, who, in turn, elect officers. This conduces to unity of responsibility and power. There will never be any question as to whether it is the directors or the officers who are responsible for the success or failure, and the directors will have real power and the officers real authority.

DELEGATE. I still submit that the democratic way of electing officers is on a convention floor. I had the privilege during the year of attending a good many national association conventions, and I can't recall any convention the members of which are not given the privilege of electing their officers from the floor of the convention. The gentleman who just spoke to us said that it was a fine thing to have the responsibility and the power given to the executive committee. I think the power, at least, might be given, and the privilege given to the general convention.

Mr. HEWITT. I believe very strongly that the executive committee should be the one to select the officers. I would like to make one amendment. This question of the secretary is a very serious one, and I can see very readily how out of our six members appointed by our group men, on account of pressure in their own plants, not one of these six may be able to assume a great big job of secretary, and I think it ought to be made possible to select a person outside of this group.

The CHAIRMAN. I am going to take the liberty, with the consent of our members, of accepting the amendment on that point.

Dr. E. B. GOWIN, of the New York University School of Commerce, Accounts, and Finance, New York City. This would be the executive secretary, not the secretary?

The CHAIRMAN. The executive secretary. This would be the clerk of the corporation; I accept that correction. But there would be an executive secretary hired in addition to the corporation secretary.

Mr. GOWIN. If that is the case, I withdraw. The executive secretary is the man I am after.

Mr. WINANS. Won't it clear the situation if this second section simply be changed to read, "The president shall appoint a nominating committee" without saying who shall constitute it, "who shall present nominations to be acted upon on the floor of the convention." Is not that all we want, and won't that accomplish the result?

Mr. RECTANUS. The main difficulty seems to be that which arose last night in the discussion. Everybody wants to avoid the possibility of a self-perpetuating, governing body. If you have the president appoint his own executive committee you have an opportunity for such a possibility arising; but, under the plan as proposed here, the executive committee will change every year, because there are more local bodies than there are representatives on the executive committee; and they are all going to have a crack at it. Change your executive committee every year, and consequently your officers every year, and you won't have a self-perpetuating body.

The CHAIRMAN. To simplify matters, let us take an expression of opinion. Are you ready for the question; for the definite question? Plan 1—

Capt. FISHER. Before you make a division, may I point to the definition, to the distinction, between the two plans? If you make a distinction between the way that the officers for this tentative first year shall be chosen, and the permanent method of electing officers,

I am quite sure you can reach a better agreement. What are you going to do? I suggest that you have your election of nomination from the floor and nominations by your organizing committee as Mr. Winans suggested, and that you provide in your permanent method some such thing as you have here in your written instrument. Don't you think that distinction is worth making? There is some fear in the minds of people that this organized committee will step in and do things for the convention which a properly elected board of executives would not do.

DELEGATE. Why not use this plan only for the first year?

The CHAIRMAN. It will be understood that if it seems desirable, whatever plan is decided upon can be changed at the next convention.

Mr. RECTANUS. I move that the organization committee, immediately after lunch, present nominations for the first year's executive committee, to be added to by names from the floor; that an executive committee of nine be elected for the first year, which shall choose its own officers.

[The motion after being seconded was amended to the effect that nominations be made on the plan outlined in clause 1 of Section V, and was carried.]

DELEGATE. We must have an organization of local members in order to get that.

The CHAIRMAN. I think it is the sentiment of the convention that the organization shall make that selection this time.

DELEGATE. The organization shall select these men?

The CHAIRMAN. Yes.

DELEGATE. But we must go back to the permanent form of the constitution. There are no sustaining members at this time. There are group members but no sustaining members. How can you select the officers in that manner?

The CHAIRMAN. I will say for the organization committee that the sense of the convention is that this organization committee should forget technicalities, and give us results. Is that what you want?

[The question was taken on the permanent plan for the instruction of the executive committee and drawing up the constitution.]

[Upon the vote as to the method of selecting officers Plan 1, that of selection by the executive committee, was adopted.]

[The question was then taken on the third clause of Section V.]

The CHAIRMAN. This has just been taken care of, and I suggest we move to strike that out.

[It was so moved, seconded, and carried.]

[The fourth clause of Section V, "the officers shall perform all duties usually pertaining to such offices," was then placed before the convention for action and was carried.]

The CHAIRMAN. Before we adjourn I want to ask whether there are any further suggestions that you want to submit to the organization committee? If so, can we have them in the form of a motion?

[It was suggested that the committee provide a letter ballot for the association, for the purpose of simplifying matters for the convention proper by deciding questions during the year.]

[Further suggestion was made that no provision be made as to whom the officers shall engage.]

The CHAIRMAN. There are several technical details which should be put in: The right to call meetings, amendment of the constitution, and a number of things which must be provided in every workable form of organization.

[The constitution as finally adopted by the convention was as follows:]

CONSTITUTION.

I. NAME.

The name of this organization shall be the **National Association of Employment Managers.**

II. OBJECTS.

The objects of this association shall be:

1. To promote and foster interest in and study of employment and personnel problems throughout the country.
2. To stimulate the growth of local employment managers' associations in industrial and commercial centers.
3. To encourage the installation and development of employment and service departments in public and private establishments.
4. To act as a clearing house for better methods for handling problems of employment.
5. To work with governmental agencies to bring about closer cooperation concerning employment problems.

III. MEMBERSHIP.

Membership of this organization shall consist of the following classes:

A. Group members.—Local employment managers' associations approved by the executive committee and conforming to the constitution and by-laws who shall appoint a member as their delegate in this association and may send as many representatives as they choose to the annual convention.

B. Sustaining members.—Employers who may designate an executive in charge of employment as their delegate in this association, and who are approved by the executive committee, may send as many representatives to the annual convention as they desire.

C. *Association members.*—Individuals interested in the objects of this association who are not connected with employers eligible for membership under class B.

IV. DUES.

A. *Group membership.*—The yearly dues shall be fixed by the executive committee of this association after consultation with group members.

B. *Sustaining membership.*—Yearly dues shall be fixed by the executive committee and shall not exceed \$100 per annum.

C. *Associate membership.*—Yearly dues shall be \$10.

V. OFFICERS.

1. There shall be an executive committee elected at the annual convention made up of six members chosen from and by the group members, and three chosen from and by the official delegates of the sustaining members, to serve for the period of one year, or until their successors have qualified. Meetings of the executive committee may be called by the president and shall be called by him on the request of any three members of the committee.

2. The executive committee shall choose a president, vice president, secretary, and treasurer from among its own number.

3. The officers shall perform all duties usually pertaining to such offices.

VI. MEETINGS.

There shall be an annual convention of the association at a place chosen by the majority vote of the voting members present at the annual convention and at a time to be determined by the executive committee.

VII. MAIL BALLOT.

The executive committee shall have authority to submit by mail ballot to the voting members of the association any questions requiring action of the members as a whole which may arise between annual conventions.

VIII. AMENDMENTS.

Amendments to this constitution may be made by two-thirds vote of the voting members present at the annual convention.

[The chairman then introduced Victor T. J. Gannon of Chicago, Ill., who gave the following address:]

Thirty-four men whom I placed at moderate salaries a few months ago frequently come back to hire some of the other boys. We have striven to establish a sort of common ground for the employer and the unfortunates who want only a chance.

We have never offered a married man a job paying less than \$15 per week, and we always endeavor to secure the highest rate for the applicant with wife and children. No man should live more than 40 minutes' travel from his work.

It is just as important that an applicant be pleased with the work and satisfied that he can live on the salary offered, before sending him out, as it is that the employer be sent a man who is carefully preselected according to the job analysis furnished us.

Not one cent was ever charged to either applicant or employer. The per capita placement cost, covering all expense, was 89 cents. The funds were contributed by corporations and public-spirited citizens.

The application blanks we use are simple. The same can not be said of blanks in common use by nearly all of the employers who boast of modern methods. What we want to know of an applicant is his name, address, physical condition, age, conjugal condition, number of dependents, the names of his last three employers, and the exact duration of service given to each.

Duration of former service is one of the greatest factors in securing a proper insight into an individual's abilities. If a man has been employed by one concern for 2 years, and another for 1½ years, and a third for one year, or two or three years, I argue that the man had some qualification which pleased those three employers, and rarely has my diagnosis been in error. References are passé. I have known many an employer to write letters "To whom it may concern," strongly commending a former employee, and yet when talking over the telephone to a prospective employer give that same individual an entirely different "character."

Someone has said, "Human nature is the same the world over." That is not the truth. I have met over 30,000 people with human natures widely divergent, and am meeting them every day. Some people are impossible. In this classification the vastly greater number are employers. If employers were obliged to do the things they ask the wage earners to do there would be less labor unrest. It has been no child's play to educate the employer along the lines of his own individual limitations. Let such be the employment managers' work to-day, to-morrow, and for all time. The mind of the employee is ready to be taught the employer's side, and the step necessary to-day is the fostering of the employer's interest in the individual employee. The employer has never told the employee of the various costs which, combined, eat the heart out of the selling price. What

does the employee know of overhead expense, raw-material figures, production costs, advertising budgets, sales outlay, and transportation tax? What does the employee know of the difficulties of commercial financing? Nothing; yet he will be found to be as keen in an analysis of such matters as the employer, and oftentimes more so.

The employer, in filling his plant with young men, does not figure on migration, a glaring fault of the younger man. Nine out of ten employers do not take into serious account the cost of hiring and firing.

Generally speaking, when a man has reached 50 he should have established himself financially and socially. Some of the reasons why our registrants have reached the late forties without a competency are extravagance, the common and neglected ills of humankind, marital troubles, inability to keep abreast of the times, lack of vocational guidance, and a timid heart. Greatest of all is the last named. Parents unwittingly break a son's spirit, and he carries through life a curse he can not cast. Teachers often chide the learning mind and the shadow grows, clouding the future. Employers' general cussedness and error of judgment of mentality have stifled employees' ambition, which, if given scope, would have enriched both employer and employee.

The war, damnable as it is, is surely a cleansing fire. It has made junk of old ideas, and has proved that the old men of Chicago have come back to stay back.

INDUSTRIAL RESTORATION OF DISABLED MEN A CONSERVATION OF POWER.

BY CHARLES H. WINSLOW, OF THE FEDERAL BOARD FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

The Federal Board for Vocational Education has been engaged in a careful preliminary study of the problem of reeducation for our returned soldiers and sailors who have incurred handicaps by reason of such service. These studies have been printed as Senate Documents 166 and 167, and are available for distribution on application to members of the Senate.

In these studies the problem was divided into two portions, the first being that which had to do with the training of teachers for occupational therapy, and the second takes up the entire subject and discusses rehabilitation vocationally as a national problem. The position is taken that, since the United States Government withdrew from industry two or three million men, it was the duty of the Government to replace them in industry, with due regard for the capabilities of the individuals and to neutralize such handicaps as have been suffered by these men in their patriotic service.

It is not by any means taken to be a philanthropic proposition; it is entirely governmental and national in its scope. Eliminating absolutely the sentimental and humanitarian aspect of the question, the facts all point irrevocably to this work as a part of the grim business of war, the first constructive step after so much destruction. It is a salvaging of precious material of which the foundations of this Nation are a part—its incomparable manhood.

There are a number of reasons why these disabled men should be given such education as will enable them to overcome the disability their patriotic service incurred for them and achieve independence and a comfortable living, despite the handicap of maimed members or wrecked constitution.

Vocational reeducation will reestablish the disabled soldier or sailor as an independent, self-respecting economic unit. Any other policy inevitably will induce economic dependency with its inherent moral and social evils. For these men and for the community also, moral and social, as well as economic, well-being is in a large measure at stake.

It is to be noted in this connection that the age of the Army—21 to 31—is such that the men are young enough to be susceptible of training, and that the benefits of such training will accrue during a period equivalent to the normal expectation of life for men in early manhood. Recognizing the value of vocational training, some of the men were taking such training when drafted.

Experience has demonstrated that disabled men while under hospital treatment naturally tend in many instances to fall into a state of chronic dependence, characterized by loss of ambition. The difficulty of lifting them out of this well-recognized phase increases rapidly during the period immediately following convalescence. Initiation of vocational training at the earliest possible moment and persistent, systematic development of this training after convalescence will avoid this danger of vocational degeneration.

Once the men have fallen into this state of chronic dependence or have drifted back into industry without training they can not easily be industrially recovered.

Without the protection of vocational reeducation, together with systematic reestablishment in wage-earning employment, the handicapped man will drift about in industry, an unskilled laborer, and a subject for exploitation by the unscrupulous and the recipient of pittance wages.

The policy of vocational rehabilitation is one of conservation. Disabled men skilled in specific trades will be, so far as possible, reestablished in those trades by vocational reeducation. Without such training valuable acquired trade experience will in many cases be lost, and the ranks of skilled labor will be to that extent depleted. Incidentally, the drifting of handicapped men in any considerable number into unskilled employments will occasion demoralization and impair wage standards.

It should be borne in mind that the disabled men are, in many cases, specially trained and skilled. If allowed, through lack of such reeducation as they require, to sink into the ranks of the unskilled, their places in the Nation's scheme of economic productivity can not be filled. No supply of skilled men is now, or will be after the War, available from other countries, since every country at war is experiencing, and will continue to experience in the years following the War, a great scarcity of skilled labor.

Vocational reeducation of men disabled for military service is, therefore, a means not only of conserving trade skill but of conserving it in a time of national emergency and of preventing in some degree the scarcity of skilled labor that is certain to develop as the War progresses. The nation which does not conserve the vocational skill of its trained workers will, to that extent, weaken its recuperative and competitive power and to that extent will consequently fail to achieve the immediate national rehabilitation of its industrial, commercial, and agricultural power.

The return to civil employment of large numbers of men under the abnormal conditions of the period of demobilization will occasion far-reaching economic disturbance and maladjustment of labor supply to demand, unless that return is made under some compre-

hensive scheme of administration. Vocational reeducation will provide one means of so directing the return of men into civil employments as to occasion the least possible disturbance, and will go far to avoid impairment of established standards of living.

In individual cases, undoubtedly, new vocational capacities will be developed in handicapped men by systematic vocational reeducation. In many cases the selection of wage-earning employments has been originally accidental and without due regard to natural aptitude. Vocational rehabilitation by training for new employments for which the men have natural aptitude may develop entirely new vocational capacities, making the men even more efficient producers than they were before receiving their injuries.

The industrial restoration of men has been found a very important feature of their physical restoration. The first aim of the doctors is to inspire in the mind of the man a belief in his own ability to live and be useful. Once the man himself is convinced that it is within his power to recover and become self-supporting, half the battle of the doctors is won.

A man lying in a hospital minus a leg and an arm, or blind, tends toward taking a hopeless view of life. Before he entered the military service he may have been engaged in an occupation such as that of an iron molder, which occupied all of his limbs and senses. He knows that he is incapacitated for this work; completely shaken physically, his mind affected in greater or less degree by his awful experience of battle, he sees little ahead but misery and death. He does not feel able to help himself.

First, the doctor or the teacher seeks to inspire in this man a hope of future life. This hope itself is a wonderful restorative, superior to any skill of the physician. When from the depths of black despair the man climbs mentally into the light and sees a future of usefulness, of independence, and his value as a citizen enhanced, half the battle for that man has been won. Then his mentality is guided, and his remaining capabilities are given the first, kindergarten, steps in occupations, to inculcate the habit of work and concentration and to get his mind off his troubles. This, as his confidence returns, is graduated and he progresses toward the point where he takes up the real work of the real occupation for which he is to be reeducated and in which he is determined to "make good" as a future avocation, and which he will undoubtedly "make good" in and slip back into the ranks, an honored citizen who bears evidence of his high patriotism—a man who gave gladly for his country, and who asks no special consideration for it, but only that he may come back into the ranks of the "Soldiers of Reconstruction" and help obliterate the scars of war.

SATURDAY, MAY 11—AFTERNOON SESSION.

CHAIRMAN: DR. E. B. GOWIN, OF THE NEW YORK UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF COMMERCE
ACCOUNTS AND FINANCE, NEW YORK CITY.

SOCIAL PROBLEMS OF THE GROUP.

[The meeting was called to order at 2.45 p. m. by Mr. Wells, acting as chairman.]

Mr. WELLS (acting chairman). The first business is the report of the committee. I think that it might be well for some one to move that the articles of organization as adopted section by section be adopted as a whole.

[It was so moved, seconded, and carried.]

Mr. WELLS (acting chairman). The committee has met and submits the following names for nomination for members of the Executive Committee:

Ralph G. Wells, Wilmington, Del.,
W. H. Winans, Cleveland, Ohio,
H. G. Kobick, Chicago, Ill.,
Raymond C. Booth, Rochester, N. Y.,
E. H. Fish, Worcester, Mass.,
Elmer F. Harris, Pittsburgh, Pa.,
Representing local associations;
Mrs. Jane C. Williams, Norwood, Mass.,
S. R. Rectanus, Middletown, Ohio,
Capt. Boyd Fisher, Washington, D. C.,
Members at large.

Gentlemen, that is the report of the Committee on Nominations, and it is up for your action. Has any one any comment or remark?

[It was moved and seconded that the report be adopted.]

[The question was then on the motion that the report of the Organization Committee be accepted, and that the men nominated be elected to serve as members of the Executive Committee for the coming year. Motion carried.]

Mr. WINANS. I move that this convention go on record as recommending to the local employment managers' associations that women employment managers be included in their membership, or be admitted to membership.

[Motion seconded.]

DELEGATE. How about the assistant employment managers?

MR. WINANS. Those suggestions can be incorporated in a motion to be admitted upon the same basis as men.

THE ACTING CHAIRMAN. The associations, as I understand, would be very glad to have the cooperation and the membership of the women actually engaged in employment work; but there is a tendency all along the line to employ women for service work. Suppose we put it that way: "Women engaged in employment or service work."

[Motion carried.]

THE ACTING CHAIRMAN. I might say there are two or three other resolutions being prepared which will be presented before the close of the conference. I think there are one or two courtesy resolutions that we should adopt.

Is there any other matter of business that you wish to bring up before we proceed to the report of the committee on turnover?

DELEGATE. I would like to ask whether it would be well to limit the word "service" to "industrial" service? I think the intention was not to open the way to women engaged in outside philanthropic work; the word "service" alone would make it too broad.

THE ACTING CHAIRMAN. I think that is a good suggestion. Suppose we say "serving as executives in employment and personnel departments."

DELEGATE. These are recommendations to the local associations.

THE ACTING CHAIRMAN. Yes.

[The recommendation was then changed to read: "Women executives actually engaged in employment and personnel work."]

[An amendment to this suggestion was offered, making the original motion broader in its scope and recommending that the "local associations take in women on the same basis as men."]

[The amendment to the original motion was accepted.]

DELEGATE. The original resolution reads "local," but not "State." Would that also apply to the State employment bureau?

THE ACTING CHAIRMAN. The question of the policy of the local association with regard to the men would hinge on this new wording entirely. Women would be admitted to the local associations on the same basis that men are.

DELEGATE. Would that apply to "State"? Do the State bureaus come under the head of local associations? In Rochester and Albany they have State employment bureaus which are doing simply local work.

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. That is a question for the local Rochester association to decide. If they accept the men from the State bureau they would then accept the women from the State bureau.

DELEGATE. Are they going to accept the men?

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. That is a question for the decision of the local association.

DELEGATE. I think the State association should be recognized before the local bureau.

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. You appreciate, of course, that we can't take time to go into the rules of the local association beyond recommending this one point. If we would take up the question and specify, and attempt to change the regulations of local associations, we would then get into an endless discussion, because it is a problem that has to be handled locally.

DELEGATE. Would that come under clause 1?

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. This is with regard to the national association. We are not talking about national associations, we are talking about local managers' employment associations; as far as the State is concerned, they come in as members of the national association by payment of \$10.

[The action of the convention was then on the original resolution of Mr. Winans with regard to the admission of women as members of local associations, as amended.]

[The resolution was carried.]

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. Are there any further instructions?

MR. WINANS. Would it not be well for you to call a meeting of the executive committee in order that we can decide on some further steps?

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. As chairman of this convention, I will, then, call a meeting of the newly elected executive committee to meet here immediately following the adjournment of this convention.

MR. RECTANUS. There is one more thing that is important, and I would like to put it to the convention now, if I may. I move that the executive committee be instructed to employ an executive secretary and such staff as may be necessary. I think that the executive committee would like to ask specific instructions from this convention.

The **ACTING CHAIRMAN**. May I make an addition to that, "not to exceed such funds as are definitely in sight"?

MR. KOBICK. If we get that kind of an executive secretary there is not much in view for a good man.

[The motion was seconded, and, on being put, was carried.]
[It was moved, seconded, and carried that all names and addresses of a business connection be printed in the report.]

The ACTING CHAIRMAN. You all know of Dr. Gowin's work in New York City, in connection with the executives' club and in New York University. Dr. Gowin is scheduled to act as chairman and I am going to ask him to take charge now and introduce the committee that is studying turnover.

Dr. E. B. GOWIN, of the New York University School of Commerce Accounts and Finance, New York City. We appointed a committee some little time ago to take up an old problem, that of turnover. The committee intimates that it is ready to report. I understand Mr. Kelly, of Harvard University, is going to present the report. Mr. Kelly, of the vocation bureau of Harvard University.

ROY W. KELLY, director, Bureau of Vocational Guidance, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass. I wish it understood at the outset that I do not purpose to answer detailed questions regarding this proposed standard method of computing turnover. After wrestling with 21 managers sent to me at Harvard to learn something about employment work, I found out that many of them knew more about that job than I did; and I am determined not to be stumped this afternoon with questions of a technical nature. I am going to leave to practical members of the committee the answering of such technical questions as you will probably ask. The committee has considered the various methods of computing labor turnover, and has likewise considered the methods which were in use by the nine men called into the committee. The committee took the liberty of inviting in more men, in order to have an adequate representation from managers with regard to the problem of computing the percentage of turnover. Our committee thinks that the principal reason for any computation of turnover is that we may procure the reduction of that which is computed. In other words, it does not do much good to put down a figure which represents the amount of the loss, unless we further analyze the problem. Our committee has not gone so far as to say how you are going to analyze the reasons for turnover. I can say for myself, I think, that it is absolutely essential to have a separate report to deal with absenteeism. Our formula for computing turnover does not take into account absenteeism. It seems to me essential that some separate report be made on that subject. An account should be given of the reasons for it, and in the same way some account ought to be taken of the reasons for turnover. I think that one other matter ought to be made clear to you and that is this, the report does not represent a "high-brow" effort; it represents the consensus of opinion of men who have been figuring on turnover for a good

many years. In some of the discussion the other day it was pretty clear that reports were being received at Washington which indicated that the absence of any standard was creating a considerable amount of confusion as to what actually constituted a reasonable amount of turnover. There are still a great many firms who obtain the percentage of turnover by taking the number of people on the pay roll at the beginning of the year and the number at the end of the year and dividing by 2 in order to get a basis. That, of course, although common practice, is an absurd method.

Having found what the denominator of our fraction should be, the next problem with which we are confronted is to determine what the numerator ought to be. I think it unnecessary for me to enter into detailed discussion of the reasons for arriving at the conclusions we are giving you. I am going to read a paragraph which we have set at the head of this paper which will give you the examples which we set down to illustrate our methods.

A good many people state that their turnover for a week is 1 per cent or less. It seemed to us that if we arrived at a standard method of computing turnover, and then let everybody state his turnover for a certain length of time in terms of a yearly percentage, there would not be confusion on that point. Compute for a week, and say the percentage for last week was "so and so," and this week it is better. If we multiply that percentage by 52 we get the yearly rate, based on that week.

I think it is quite significant that we should propose this standard method immediately after we have completed our arrangements for a national association of employment managers. The profession of teaching is an old profession, but only within the last four or five years have teachers been able to set up a yardstick by which we can say that boys and girls of 9 years of age in the schools of the city of Rochester compare more or less favorably with the boys and girls in the ninth year of age in Boston, Cleveland, and so on. We have developed tests such as the Curtis standard test, the Holmes reading test, the Thorndyke writing scale, which have come into common use within the last four or five years, and by which we are able to say with a reasonable degree of certainty that our children are up to the standard or not.

It seems to me that in this standardized method of computing labor turnover you have a mere beginning. It is highly desirable for this association to set up standards of hygiene and health for workers which will affect the health, effectiveness, and contentment of your workers, and the success of your own employment department. I am glad to be able to submit in behalf of this committee the beginning in that kind of work.

DELEGATE. If that report is open to discussion, in the second paragraph, I note hirings are equal to the total. We are considering exits.

MR. KELLY. I refuse to discuss the technical aspects of this question until the other members of the committee have been heard. I am sure there are managers of long experience on that committee who can discuss it better than I can. If they refuse I will meet the emergency. It is up to the committee.

WILSON C. MASTON, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y. The members of the committee decided that it is up to Dr. Meeker to answer all questions. This gentleman wants a question answered.

DR. MEEKER. I am not attending a school on employment management, Mr. Chairman, but I am learning. I knew I did not know very much about turnover before I came here. I have learned that the gentlemen I have met here don't know any more about it than I do. I came here with the idea—I am not sure whether I have it now or not—that the object to be sought for in computing your percentage of labor turnover is to get at the net hirings. I suppose that if the committee had had more time it would have turned out a better piece of work. I think it would have started out by defining labor turnover. The thing I am principally interested in, as I have said, is to get all to agree to use as the denominator of your fraction the man-days worked by your establishment. If you have not the man-days worked, then there is something the matter with your establishment, and there is a job for an employment manager and a full size, thoroughly vigorous adult employment manager in your establishment.

We have all agreed that that is the proper denominator. Now, the question is brought up: Have we got the right term for the numerator of the fraction? I am not sure about that. Others can speak with much more experience; I will not say knowledge. I would like to hear from all who have divergent opinions upon this. I have been converted several times in several different ways; and I am a little bit uncertain. Now take this from me on the level: Don't attempt to make this formula for computing your percentage of labor turnover a universal panacea, and don't attempt to find the absolute. There ain't no such animal, as the Irishman said after looking at the giraffe for 15 minutes. But I do hope that in this conference we can formulate a definition of labor turnover that will be adopted by all. You can do it. There are here approximately 250 men, which means there will be about 250 definitions of labor turnover ready at hand now. Let us have just one of them. Let us agree upon that. Then we can agree upon the proper method of

computing the percentage labor turnover. There is the point, and a very good point, made by the gentleman who is waiting for me to sit down. What does it cost an establishment when an employee leaves, voluntarily or involuntarily? It costs money every time a man is separated from his job. I have been looking at it from the other side, the education of the man to fill the job. You shake your heads. I am well aware that every man in your establishments represents an investment. Thank Heaven the employers of the country are beginning to consider their employees almost as intelligently as they are considering their machines. When we treat our employees as well as we treat our mules, then we will be on the road to the millenium.

MR. DOUGER. That did not answer my question. I figure that you may hire a thousand men and not lose one, and you have no turnover. According to this method of computing turnover, you have.

DR. MEEKER. How do you make that out? You are absolutely wrong. You have no numerator to start with. Mr. Stewart of the bureau has a story about how to juggle statistics: first, get your statistics. Now if you want to juggle with your labor turnover, first get it. Labor turnover is something positive; it is not this formula; you can't evolve labor turnover from this formula unless you have labor turnover. If you have not lost any men you have not any labor turnover.

MR. STEWART. I would like to say two or three words on this point. When we first started out on labor turnover we attempted to get the number of jobs in the factory. We were told we could not get it. Now you tell me you can get the aggregate of your force for us. Divide that by the number of days you run, and that is your one-man days, and that is your number of jobs.

Now, then, the turnover is the number of men you must hire to fill your number of jobs. Your replacements in your plant are your turnover. As regards net hirings, say your concern had a thousand jobs—a thousand one-man day's work to begin with, but the number of jobs was decreasing; in that case you would be hiring a great number of men as replacements, and yet because the number of jobs was going down so fast, your net hirings would be less than nothing. In other words, on a net hiring basis, on a decreasing force you do not show your turnover, and unless your turnover means replacement, it does not mean anything. Now, if you are on an increasing basis, if there are new jobs and new men, it will cost you as much to break in those new men to the new job as it does to break in new men to an old job. So that is not turnover; that is starting industry in the

sense of calling one job an industry, and I am not satisfied that you are going to get what you want on a basis of net turnover, with the shift of jobs in the plant. But if you will keep this in mind: That your average one-man day is the number of jobs, and the number of men you must employ to fill those jobs is your turnover.

Now, the Bureau of Labor Statistics wants to know the number of jobs and the number of men who have quit, and the number of men hired, and the bureau will do the rest.

Capt. FISHER. I promised a lady I would present a point I don't understand. Miss Huey says she feels dissatisfied with example 1, in which, after you get your average number of men and the working force by counting up your employees each day, say 1,050 people, you proceed to take the number of employees at the end of the week as the basis of getting your net hiring. She says the number of people on the pay roll at the end of the week (Saturday) is likely to be very much lower than on Friday, especially if you pay Friday night. The number on your pay roll Saturday is less than Friday night; therefore, if you use that as your subtrahend in this formula for hiring you will get a smaller number of net hirings than you really have had. What you should use is the average of your weekly force. One thousand forty is the figure you could use there fairly, and therefore on that account your percentage of turnover would be higher. She feels that the number of employees on the pay roll Saturday night is misleading because that is not the typical work force; that you should use the average for the week and subtract it from the average of your previous week as a basis for getting your net hirings.

DELEGATE. What is straight net hirings? I know of cases where we have had people that filled the same job three or four times a week.

Capt. FISHER. I disagree with that. I don't think that a man who is actually given work, and has made "scrap" of a job at a machine and has left can really be counted in turnover. The object in finding turnover is to find the cost.

DELEGATE. It is the loss of men that you are interested in, and not the hiring of men. And it is loss of men and not the addition of men that we ought to figure as labor turnover.

DELEGATE. If you have lost your men you have your turnover. The discharge of employees at the actual completion of a job does not constitute turnover, but "lay off." In other words, if you build a house you employ carpenters, and the various kinds of workmen necessary to build that house. You have no men quit you while you are actually doing the work, and while you need them; but you let

Then a couple of days later that man comes back, and in the meantime his job has been filled. But even with its being filled we still can use another man, so he is reinstated or rehired, goes right back in the same department, and has the same job or on a similar job under the same foreman.

Now, if you take your figures for that, or for the period you set, you don't know whether your man is coming back; and so you can't say he has left. Next month he comes back, goes through the employment office, is rehired, yet he is not a new man. How would you consider that as affecting turnover? If you deduct the number of rehiring from the number leaving, in figuring the number that actually remain with the company, what length of time do you allow a man to be off without counting him dismissed?

DELEGATE. Can't we eliminate all refinements in the discussion of this proposition, and come to a decision as to whether or not the exits or the hirings are going to be the foundation? I move that it be the sense of the meeting that the "exit" of employees is the foundation of labor turnover.

[The motion was seconded. During the extended discussion that followed, a suggested amendment that "exits" be limited to "the loss of employees, except those discharged or laid off for permanent reduction of the number employed," was rejected.]

[The convention finally adopted the original motion that turnover figure be confined to "exits" without qualifying phrase.]

THE CHAIRMAN. We would be remiss if we were to leave without paying our respects to the host. I think that we are also greatly indebted to Capt. Fisher for the work he has done in arranging this program. Every person on the committee was very much absorbed in other work, and Boyd Fisher practically did all of the work on the securing of speakers. All we did was to meet with him one night and decide in a general way what the program should be and give him permission to get the speakers. I think you will agree that he did an excellent work. I don't know how much you have enjoyed this conference, but I personally have enjoyed the convention very much, and I trust you have all had as good a time as I have had. There has been more inspiration, more good fellowship, and more solid work done in a friendly and whole-hearted manner than it has been my pleasure to experience in any other convention that I have attended. And I think we are greatly indebted to the city of Rochester and to the chamber of commerce and to the University of Rochester for the cordiality with which they have received us, and for the excellence of the arrangements that have been made. And, therefore, I know you will join with me in presenting this resolution which, with your permission, I will read into the records myself:

Resolved, That the Association of Employment Managers in convention assembled extend to the United States Government its whole-hearted support to the Government in the important work of stabilizing employment, bringing up the efficiency of workers, and making for a better understanding and more harmonious relations between employers and employees, and this association offers its cooperation to achieve those results; and, further,

Resolved, That we extend to the Rochester Chamber of Commerce our cordial appreciation and hearty thanks for the helpful cooperation and generous hospitality in making the arrangements for the second annual convention of this association; and that we express our sincere thanks to Mr. Raymond C. Booth, the secretary of the Employment Management Group of the Industrial Management Council of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce for the support that he has given us; and be it further

Resolved, That this association extend to Capt. Boyd Fisher its sincere thanks for his efforts and his work in securing the speakers for this most interesting convention.

[The adoption of the resolutions was moved, seconded, and carried.]

The CHAIRMAN. We now pass to the last address to be delivered before the association, on maintenance of labor standards during the war, to be presented by a woman. I trust she will look at it from a woman's point of view. We commenced with an address concerning standards for women, and we end that way, which means we have the ladies with us first and last. The subject will be presented by Mrs. Ordway Tead.

MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING LABOR STANDARDS DURING THE WAR.

BY MRS. CLARA M. TEAD, SUPERVISOR, WOMEN'S BRANCH, ORDNANCE DEPARTMENT,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

As Dr. Meeker has just said, the Government has called upon the essential industries of the country for vastly increased production; at the same time, the Government has enunciated the policy that labor standards must be vigorously maintained during the war. It is the particular effort of the Industrial Service Section of the Ordnance Department to interpret these two facts and their relation to each other in terms of actual practical results in the plants working on ordnance contracts.

I am going to limit myself to a brief discussion of the work of the women's branch of the Industrial Service Section of the Ordnance Department in relation to the maintenance of labor standards for women. First, what is the women's branch? second, what does it do, what is its function? third, what is our working platform? fourth, what are some of the standards, and what do they involve when applied? In order to define the women's branch I must define the relations of the Industrial Service Section to the office of the Chief of Ordnance. The office of the Chief of Ordnance has five divisions: Production, procurement, supply, inspection, and finance. The organization of the office of the Chief of Ordnance is divided into three bureaus, and one of them is the Control Bureau. The Industrial Service Section is one of the sections of the Control Bureau; and the women's branch is one of the branches in the Industrial Service Section.¹

What does the women's branch do; what is its function? The women's branch is charged with the responsibility of advising plants which employ women and which are working on ordnance contracts, about all sorts of problems relating to the employment of women. These plants may be grouped under three heads: Government arsenals, plants working on a cost-plus basis, and plants with straight bid contracts. The branch acts as advisor for ordnance plants employing women. We advise as to how production may be increased and maintained over a period of time by the establishment of the right sort of working conditions.

¹ Since this address was delivered the Industrial Service Section of the Ordnance Department has been made part of the Production Division.

I am going to emphasize four points in our working platform. Maximum production over a period of time can be attained and maintained only by providing the right sort of working conditions. British experience gives graphic illustrations of this. Second, maintenance of existing local standards in war crises and under war conditions is sometimes insufficient to insure the health and the productive capacity of the workers. In certain instances, additional safeguards must be created and adopted in order to meet a particular need. I will illustrate that later. Third, it is our belief that women should not be used to replace men on men's work unless it is necessary. If a shortage of male labor arises and we are advised that men can not be supplied for the work, then the situation must be carefully analyzed and the work on which it is proposed to use women must be studied and analyzed into its various factors before the women are put on the job. After we have the factors and the various elements involved in doing that job determined and defined, then we must find out under what conditions women can best do that job. In other words, analyze the work, and then, on the basis of the analysis establish your conditions. Let me state clearly that we believe that women should never be introduced on men's work to lower rates or break strikes. The fourth point in our working platform is this, that the maintenance of standards reduces itself as a practical matter to handling the labor problem in a plant in the most effective way possible; and the way to do this, as you know, is by having a special department in the management which is charged with the responsibility of handling all the problems relating to the selection, training, and maintenance of the working force in each establishment. It is my belief that where women are employed in any significant number, on shop work, a woman should be in this department, which may be called the employment management department, or the service department. In this woman should be centered the responsibility of maintaining the right sort of standards for women, and the responsibility of seeing that each woman is placed at the work she can best do over a period of time.

What are some of these standards and what do they involve when actually applied? These standards may be grouped under four heads:

1. Wages.
2. Hours.
3. Adjustments that may be necessary in conditions of work.
4. Health and sanitary provisions.

Mr. Fullerton, in his discussion yesterday of standards for women in industry, brought up the question, in regard to wages, of whether a woman should have the same weekly wage as a man on the same kind of work. Mr. Fullerton was, of course, speaking of the gar-

ment trade, primarily known as a woman's trade, and I am not going to enter into a discussion of the rate of wages for women in trades in which they have always been employed. The thing I am interested in at the present moment is whether women are to be paid the same wages as men when doing men's work, and put in definitely on work previously done by men. The Government has been explicit on this point, stating in General Orders, No. 13, issued by the Chief of Ordnance last October and addressed to commanders of arsenals and manufacturers working on ordnance contract, the policy that when women are used to replace men they shall be paid the same wage for the same work. Equal pay for equal work is the slogan. The Quartermaster General issued a pamphlet, addressed to commandants and to manufacturing concerns with Quartermaster's contracts, containing suggestions as to maintenance of labor standards. This also included the equal pay for equal work policy. The Taft-Walsh board has taken the same stand; and the packers' agreement, which is now in operation, maintains this policy of equal pay for equal work.

Now, in all of these instances the policy is not the most significant thing, although it is very significant. It is the application and working out of that policy in the individual plant that is really the significant thing. And in order to apply this policy of equal pay for equal work—and I realize it is a very controversial question, because I never go into a plant where the question is not brought up—we must consider several things:

First, when a woman is put in on a man's work, does it necessitate the reorganization of the way that work is done; and if it does mean adaptation in method of work, how much do these adaptations cost in terms of the unit cost of production? In England they have had some very unfortunate experiences, because some of the manufacturers in trying to apply this policy made slight changes in the way the work was done, and therefore called it different work. They thereby complied literally with the word of the law, but broke the spirit of it by paying the women lower rates, simply because a minor adjustment had been made. I fancy from my interviews with manufacturers on ordnance contracts, that the same thing will be tried here. One reason that it may be tried is that we do not always stop to think what it means to have a policy of "equal pay for equal work," and what it means to apply it. For example, if you pay 10 women working on inspection of small gun parts, which has always been done in a given plant by men, it may be necessary to have one or possibly two men laborers who will move boxes of inspected parts about the room, and the manufacturer will say: "These women are not doing the same work as the men, because they do not lift the boxes; I have to employ one or two men to keep this

group of women busy. Therefore, they are not doing the same work as men; and, therefore, it is justifiable, even in the light of the national policy, to pay them a lower rate." That leads to a very important point, which is this: When you take such a step as described in my illustration, you simply functionalize your process further. Now we know from industrial experience where scientific management has been introduced that increased functionalizing of process does not necessarily mean a less output, a lower output, a higher unit cost of production. But on the other hand, from studies that have been made, and from the experience of employers who have introduced scientific management into their shops, functionalization means increased output per individual, and a lower unit cost of production. And it is on the basis of the daily or weekly output of the individual and the unit cost of production that the standard wage should be set.

Now all this means in application that when you put women on men's work, you should compare the output per individual for women with the output per individual for men. In many plants where women are being put on inspection work, special assembling, etc., the women are showing an output which exceeds that of the men, and in some instances where the women have been on such work for six months the increased output continues. We must study these things, and we must find out which kinds of work women can do most effectively in comparison with men.

Then comes the matter of hours. The policy stated in General Orders, No. 13, which is significant, and a résumé of all the other policies concerning hours, is this: That existing standards must be rigidly maintained, and even where the law permits a woman to work 9 or 10 hours, every effort should be made to reduce the hours of women to 8. However, we are in a war emergency, when goods must be got out and individuals must necessarily make some concessions, and must face varying conditions in order to meet the emergency. As regards night work for women, we know from experiments that night work for women means high unit cost of production, largely because of the increased supervision that is necessary, and because night work for women seems to lower hourly production. The time may come when it is necessary to use women on night work even though they do not produce the same as on day work. When that time comes each situation must be analyzed as a special situation. The fact of a bona fide emergency must first be established. When it is found that we must have women on night work, specific conditions must be established, providing for supervision, for proper feeding, and for proper transportation facilities, which are especially important for night workers.

In regard to adjustments which may be necessary in the conditions of work when women are introduced on men's work, certain

machinery which is supposed to be safe for men may not be safe for women. An occupation which may be safe for a man with close-clipped hair may have a real hazard for a woman with long hair which may be easily loosened. Machines may need to have extra guards. All these things must be considered by employers who are putting women on machine work. Then there is the matter not only of having the machine safe but of making the women as safe as possible while at work at the machine. That reduces itself to appropriate shop clothes. Crêpe de chine waists are not the proper kind of garb for shop work. When a woman handles explosive powder it is dangerous to have her wear her street clothes at work. The way to get around that is to have a practical uniform, good looking enough so that the women will be glad to wear it, and wear it with enthusiasm, and at the same time adapted to the particular kind of work. The method of adopting the uniform is important. We have had reports of cases where firms put the women into uniforms, and the next day many of the women left. That has happened in several instances. One method of adopting a uniform and getting it into use in the shop was used with success at a Government arsenal. A committee of girls was called, and the girls decided that they would draw up a design for a uniform, which they did, and had a sample made. The uniform which they first designed had ruffles around the ankles, but the girls felt they had participated in planning their own uniform. Then we had a designer and manufacturer of men's shop clothes come to the arsenal and give them practical suggestions, the safety engineer of the arsenal made practical suggestions from his knowledge of machine hazards, and the Women's Branch helped them in every way it could. The result was a practical uniform which, I believe, is adapted to both machine and powder work. An arsenal is, in some respects, a cross section of the munitions industry, and if we can standardize this uniform, and if other plants that are working on ordnance can use it, we can get the uniform cheaper, which is an important factor. I have a picture here which may interest you. The furnishing of the proper kind of shop clothes to women, either on machinery or handling explosive powders, is an important matter. We will send this photograph to you if you write for it. Another point which should be noted with regard to uniforms is that, in order to get the maximum value out of a uniform, it must be laundered regularly. That is the only way you can establish a common standard of cleanliness and that esprit de corps which is one of the beneficial results of using uniforms for women in shops.

I went to visit one of the largest shell-loading plants in this country. They are proposing to use women in the loading of amatol shells. They adopted a uniform with three pockets on the outside,

which happened to be supplied by the same manufacturer who worked out our arsenal design, which shows you can't always depend upon the manufacturer. For it is fatal for women on powder work to have open pockets on their suits; powder gets in the pockets. Some powder when dried will start to burn. I know of one case where a girl had been working with inflammable powders, in her ordinary street clothes. Some one rubbed against her going home in the street car and she burst into flames. Get a uniform adapted to the particular work on which women are being employed.

In summarizing, the following points are to me the most important:

First, the value of a national policy of maintaining standards depends on the application of that policy in each shop.

Second, the intelligent application of such a policy must be worked out in each plant by a department manager to administer labor problems.

Third, where women are employed it is necessary to have a woman in that department.

In conclusion, I want to say that although many women are now in war industries, the number is not significant in comparison with the vast number of women in war industries in England. The number is being daily increased, however. Women are being introduced, and are engaged on work which they have never done before, and which involves new responsibilities. And if women must go into industry in the great industrial army behind the lines, let us see to it that they have a chance to make their contribution in the most effective way.

DELEGATE. There is one point that I know employers are interested in. They are interested in having some one who will take charge of all this work in the factory that is done by women. I have myself been fortunate in securing as assistant employment manager a matronly woman with considerable experience. She has had rather exceptional success perhaps in handling the women in our factory. What I want, and what our manufacturers want, is some woman to come in and "put across" these various standards. How can we get that woman?

Mrs. TEAD. I am very much concerned as to where we are to get the women to do this work. I have referred that question to Capt. Fisher, who, as representative of the Ordnance Department, has charge of the employment courses, being given to train people to do this work in the Ordnance Department; and Capt. Fisher is formulating plans for the training of such women. Dr. Mann mentioned the courses for health officers, which is not the same thing

but which will give us a small number of women to do health work in plants. Capt. Fisher is at present working on this proposition.

DELEGATE. Capt. Fisher the other day seemed to be a little lukewarm about women in the courses. Could we have a special course?

Capt. FISHER. I will say that that question is being debated and being strenuously opposed by the head of Mrs. Tead's department.

We can not admit women to the courses unless they have the same qualifications as men. It is a big experiment to have a six weeks' course, and to admit women without sufficient industrial background to these courses would be further to trifle with what is already an experiment. It is out of the question to get any results out of a six weeks' course if the standards of the course are lowered.

Please don't mistake my reticence for lukewarmness. I can not commit my superiors, and it would be to reveal too much disagreement with them, perhaps, or a disagreement that does not exist, for me to say there was strong opposition before they had taken action. I think it is quite possible we shall have a special course for women. That is our next problem to deal with. I hope you will not consider us lukewarm about the matter. We are in favor of increasing the number of women used as employment managers as far as possible, but we do not wish to endanger the value of these special courses by any hasty action. To accuse us of lukewarmness in the matter is to accuse us of something which is decidedly not our feeling. We are anxious to train women for jobs as well as men. There is a need of increasing the numbers of women employment managers, and we are going to stimulate the demand for them; but so far we have not had any application from any woman with sufficient industrial background who was desired by a manager in a war-contract plant, no woman equipped with sufficient industrial experience for our first two courses. And our first problem as teachers, so to speak, is to see to the qualifications of our entrants.

DELEGATE. I want to tell Capt. Fisher that he has brought out an important point. A large number of employers want to put this across and they would like to have the women. Would it be worth while to push this point with regard to a course for women?

Mrs. TEAD. I have been very much interested in this part of the discussion. I have been asked by a great number of college women how they could get the experience that would fit them for this work. With rare exceptions, the woman who has had from four to five years' experience can not handle this kind of a job. She is not the type of woman wanted. There would have to be some arrangement to get the woman who has the right background, even though she has not the shop experience. At present we are facing the problem of untrained women being placed in positions of great responsibility. For

instance, in one plant there is a woman who has been a librarian and who has had a few weeks' experience in a social settlement. With that as the extent of her experience, she is determining conditions of work for hundreds of woman workers employed on hazardous work! In another plant the woman in charge of the women's work has always been the private secretary to the head of the company. Until recently she had never been through the shops, because in that plant, although half of the force is made up of women, it was thought not to be the proper thing for a woman in the office to go through the shop, "for fear of being insulted." That girl, an able woman in her line, is now determining the conditions of the work under which we must get production of an absolutely essential product in ordnance!

DELEGATE. I have got to the point where I don't care how they are trained or who trains them, as long as we get women with some knowledge of women's work in a factory. It is not simply a matter of trying to forecast the need.

Capt. FISHER. I am quite sure that we should not lower the standards of our courses now established. They will be maintained at as high a standard as we are able to maintain a six weeks' course. And if we find that women will be admitted into industry in such capacities in order to meet the immediate need, we may have a three months' course. That will give us three months of training. Only for such an important field we take large risks with that, and, so far, we are meeting a certain amount of resistance from the head of Mrs. Tead's department. I don't know what will satisfy the demand being made. I know one thing that will satisfy the demand: To throw down the bars in this six weeks' course; and that we can not do. I think perhaps we shall be able to devise a separate course, and I shall propose such a course to our committee. I am for it, myself; but I am ready to be guided by the point of view of the wise men at the head of the committee. Dr. E. M. Hopkins, president of Dartmouth College; Mr. Tully; Mr. Clayton, of the Department of Labor; and Mr. Howe, of the Navy Department, are some of the members; and I don't want to commit them before they have made a decision; but they have discussed the matter informally and are ready to take a formal decision on a course for women—a three months' course—which will give them an industrial background at the same time. I think perhaps that will meet your emergency need.

DELEGATE. I would like to ask Mrs. Tead regarding equal pay for equal work, when the question of overtime comes in. If a woman can produce as much as a man, say, in operating machine tools, suppose it is necessary to work her longer than the regular day, it may not be desirable to ask her to work overtime. Is it not a fact that a man might produce more because of his ability to work overtime? Is not that something in favor of a man's receiving higher pay?

Mrs. TEAD. That question brings up the matter of the relative flexibility of women as a working group as compared with that of men, and I don't think I want to go on record, as a Government official, as to just what allowance should be made for that less flexibility as regards overtime.

Mrs. ANNE HEDGES TALBOT, of the New York State Education Department, Albany, N. Y. I would like to express a woman's point of view with regard to the kind of work that women may do in this war emergency. Would it not be possible for employment managers to take those who have not the good fortune to be in industrial work, but for whose assistance they may have need, into some of these courses? Don't give them the certificate if they have not the necessary entrance requirements. Let them go out without the certificate. Some English colleges give certificates for attendance. They don't give diplomas, but they give the training. Let these women who take the courses prove they are equal to the work.

The CHAIRMAN. Now, we have an interesting question facing the employment manager himself. Will anyone tell us his point of view regarding the entrance of women into this line of work and the method of teaching her the job?

Mr. WILSON. That is the way we do it. Take the right kind of persons, intellectually, physically, and morally, and train them; put them in the shop. But it is a little difficult to keep them in the shop long enough to learn. It seems to me that these Government agencies might do something to recruit young women; possibly ask the manufacturer to take a few into their shops; possibly let them spend a little time working in the shop. I would be glad to take a few of these, and I think very many manufacturers would be glad to do so. If we did not use them, we would not lose anything by it.

Mr. ADOLPH F. SEUBERT, assistant superintendent, National Malleable Castings Co., Toledo, Ohio. We encourage our girls in the office to go through the shops and note the operations in the shop. We don't discourage it; we encourage it; and take the stenographers and cost accountants through in groups of from three to five. And I don't believe any manager would make a mistake if he were to pick out a few girls and take them through to view the various operations. I believe that by so doing he could find the girl he wanted for his assistant. Then he could recommend that girl to Capt. Fisher.

[At this point Dr. Gowin resigned the chair to Mr. Wells, and the discussion closed.]

Mr. WELLS. I think the time has come when it is necessary for us to stop. I am going to ask whether there is anything that occurs that we should do before we close?

Capt. FISHER. The members of our executive committee are—

Elmer F. Harris, Mesta Machine Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

Mrs. Jane C. Williams, Plimpton Press, Norwood, Mass.

S. R. Rectanus, American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio.

Capt. Boyd Fisher, Ordnance R. C., Washington, D. C.

In order that we may get prompt action on the matters which you have discussed here concerning organization, etc., this executive committee has elected as officers for the ensuing year the following:

President.—Ralph G. Wells, of the E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.

Vice president.—E. H. Fish, of the Norton Co., Worcester, Mass.

Secretary.—Raymond C. Booth, of the Rochester Chamber of Commerce, Rochester, N. Y.

Treasurer.—W. H. Winans, of the National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

That committee will at some early time select an executive secretary.

Mr. WELLS. I think we must stop.

[Convention adjourned.]

APPENDIX A.—LABOR TURNOVER SCHEDULES OF THE UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

In the investigation of labor turnover in the United States the two schedules presented in the following pages are being used: B. L. S. 160 and B. L. S. 161. Schedule B. L. S. 160 is being used in the more extensive study of a large number of establishments whose records are such that they are able to furnish only a limited amount of information, while schedule B. L. S. 161 is to be used for an intensive study of a limited number of establishments that keep their records in more detailed form. The establishments selected for the intensive study may have either an especially favorable condition of labor turnover and may be able to present methods and plans for reducing the turnover, or, on the other hand, they may be establishments that show a very high percentage of turnover and may have no well-defined plans of handling the situation. The two schedules follow:

B. L.—S. 160

Agent.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS,

WASHINGTON.

LABOR TURNOVER.

1. Locality----- 2. State-----
3. Firm-----
4. Industry or business-----
5. Character of goods produced-----
6. Name and title of person furnishing information-----
7. Average number working during the first week of the period covered-----
8. Labor turnover, year ending pay period nearest June 1, 1918:

| Number hired during year. | | Average number of one-man days worked during year. | | Separations. | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------|----|--------------|----|-----------|----|---------------------------|----|-------|----|--------|----|
| | | | | Discharged. | | Laid off. | | Entered military service. | | Quit. | | Total. | |
| M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. | M. | F. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

9. Average number of male and female employees on force report for one week:

| Average number for week ending nearest June 1, 1917. | | | Average number for week ending nearest June 1, 1918 | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Male. | Female. | Total. | Male. | Female. | Total. |
| | | | | | |

10. Who has the power to hire?.....

To discharge?.....

11. May discharges be appealed from?.....

If so, to whom?.....

12. Length of continuous employment of employees on the pay roll during the year ending June 1, 1918:

| Period of employment. | Employees on the pay roll June 1, 1918. | | | Employees separated from service during year ending June 1, 1918. | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | Male. | Female. | Total. | Male. | Female. | Total. |
| One week or less..... | | | | | | |
| Over 1 week to 2 weeks..... | | | | | | |
| Over 2 weeks to 1 month..... | | | | | | |
| Over 1 month to 3 months..... | | | | | | |
| Over 3 months to 6 months..... | | | | | | |
| Over 6 months to 1 year..... | | | | | | |
| Over 1 year to 2 years..... | | | | | | |
| Over 2 years to 3 years..... | | | | | | |
| Over 3 years to 5 years..... | | | | | | |
| Over 5 years..... | | | | | | |
| Total..... | | | | | | |

13. In what occupations is the labor turnover greatest?.....

Give reasons in each case.....

14. In what occupations is the labor turnover least?.....

Give reasons in each case.....

15. How do you define "absenteeism"?.....

16. How do you define "laid off"?.....

17. What distinction do you make between "laid off" and "discharged"?.....

13. Proportion of male and female employees on weekly force report for one week:

| 1 Occupation. | 2 Average number for week ending nearest June 1, 1917. | | | 3 Average number for week ending nearest June 1, 1918. | | | 4 Percentage of increase or decrease. | |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| | Male. | Female. | Total. | Male. | Female. | Total. | Male. | Female. |
| | | | | | | | | |

- 14. In what occupations is the labor turnover greatest?.....
Give reasons in each case.....
- 15. In what occupations is the labor turnover least?.....
Give reasons in each case.....
- 16. How do you define "absenteeism"?.....
- 17. How do you define "laid off"?.....
- 18. What distinction do you make between "laid off" and "discharged"?

APPENDIX B.—REGISTER OF DELEGATES AT CONFERENCE.

A.

- Abert, C. F., Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Park, Rochester, N. Y.
Adams, A. L., employment manager, U. S. Envelope Co., Worcester Mass.
Adams, C. W., employment and service manager, Bridgeford Machine Tool Works, Brighton, N. Y.
Agge, Franklin, manager of works, Republic Metalware Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
Agnew, H. D., employment manager, Western Electric Co., Hawthorne Station, Chicago, Ill.
Ahara, E. H., manager, Dodge Manufacturing Co., Mishawaka, Ind.
Albro, Mrs. W. L., Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.
Albro, Wm. L., engineer, Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.
Alden, R. H., employment manager, Denby Motor Truck Co., Detroit, Mich.
Aldrich, H. J., employment manager, Spencer Kellogg & Sons (Inc.), Buffalo, N. Y.
Alford, L. P., editor, *Industrial Management Magazine*, 6 East Thirty-ninth Street, New York City.
Allan, A. Maude, assistant employment manager, Hickey Freeman Co., 1155 Clinton Avenue North, Rochester, N. Y.
Allen, Chas. T., manager employment department, Roessler Hasslacher Chemical Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.
Allen, C. W., Quartermaster Corps representative, 1028 Sixteenth Street NW., Washington, D. C.
Allen, Franklin S., Barron G. Collier (Inc.), New York City.
Allen, Herbert W., the Allen Studios, 156 East Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.
Allen, L. B., employment assistant, Solvay Process Co., Detroit, Mich.
Allen, Luther E., assistant works manager, Goodman Manufacturing Co., 4834 South Halstead Street, Chicago, Ill.
Almy, C. E., employment manager, Columbian Rope Co., Auburn, N. Y.
Armstrong, E. E., employment manager, Bausch & Lomb Optical Co., Rochester, N. Y.
Arnold, D. D., labor supervisor, Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Beloit, Wis.
Arnold, B. H., General Electric Co., Erie, Pa.
Artzberger, A. L., shop manager, H. K. Porter Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Asbrand, H. W., employment manager, Eastman Kodak Co., Camera Works, Rochester, N. Y.
Ash, William C., principal, Philadelphia Trades School, 828 Wynnewood Road, West Philadelphia, Pa.
Axtell, E. E., secretary and manager, Masonic Employment Bureau, Buffalo, N. Y.

B.

- Bacon, Helen, director, mayor's Americanization Committee of Cleveland, 226 City Hall, Cleveland, Ohio.
Baer, A. K., general manager, Strouse Baer Co., Baltimore, Md.

- Baker, W. E., employment manager, Dayton Engineering Laboratories, Dayton, Ohio.
- Barker, Jas. F., president, Mechanics Institute, Rochester, N. Y.
- Barnes, Charles B., director, Bureau of Employment, New York City.
- Barrows, Willard P., manager, Emerson Co., 2015 Land Title Building, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Bartlett, B., employment manager, Glenn L. Martin Co., 16720 St. Clair Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Batsford, A. H., employment manager, Utica Steam & Mohawk Valley Cotton Mills, Utica, N. Y.
- Battis, Joseph T. W., employment manager, Standard Woven Fabric Co., Walpole, Mass.
- Baynum, F. H., manager of employment, Wellman-Seaver-Morgan Co., 7000 Central Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Beard, C. R., employment manager, Sears, Roebuck & Co., Chicago, Ill.
- Belcher, Geo. M., employment manager, W. H. McElwain Co., Manchester, N. H.
- Bellows, W. S., general manager, Walden-Worcester (Inc.), Worcester, Mass.
- Bemis, Wm. C., employment manager, Wright Wire Co., Worcester, Mass.
- Beres, A. F., employment supervisor, American Brass Co., 448 Military Road, Buffalo, N. Y.
- Berner, G. P., labor supervisor, National Aniline & Chemical Co. (Inc.), Buffalo, N. Y.
- Bertram, J., superintendent, Rochester Spectacle Manufacturing Co., 242 Andrews Street, Rochester, N. Y.
- Berwick, Clara W., Berwick & Smith, Norwood, Mass.
- Birchall, Geo. W., employment manager, Willys-Overland Co., Toledo, Ohio.
- Bitner, L. S., employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Hope-well Works, City Point, Va.
- Bixby, A. S., manager, National Malleable Castings Co., Indianapolis, Ind.
- Black, Corwin, manager real estate, American Express Co., 65 Broadway, New York City.
- Blackman, William, director of labor, Shipping Board, Washington, D. C.
- Blighton, B. C., employment manager, American Car & Foundry Co., Depew, N. Y.
- Bloomfield, Meyer, head of industrial-service department, Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C.
- Bockstedt, E. B., employment superintendent, Washburn-Crosby Co., Michigan and Ganson Streets, Buffalo, N. Y.
- Boger, Dr. C. F., employment and medical director, Continental Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.
- Booth, Raymond C., secretary employment managers' group, Rochester Chamber of Commerce, Rochester, N. Y.
- Boughter, F. J., employment manager, Electric Auto Lite Co., Toledo, Ohio.
- Boulton, G. C., factory employment manager, Larkin Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
- Boulware, A. M., manager civic and industrial department, Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Boyd, Joseph B. D., employment manager, Carborundum Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
- Brading, C. M., superintendent of safety, Wisconsin Steel Co., Chicago, Ill.
- Brady, E. L., employment and welfare manager, Ferro Machine & Foundry Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
- Brasher, Phillip, employment manager, Braden Copper Co., Chile Exploration Co., 120 Broadway, New York City.

Breed, Howard, factory manager, Crane & Breed Manufacturing Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Breeze, A. B., vice president, Cincinnati Ball Crank Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Brooks, Chas. S., assistant, industrial department, Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C.

Brown, F. H., sales manager, Davis Machine Tool Co., 305 St. Paul Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Brown, F. W., employment manager, Lincoln Motor Co., Detroit, Mich.

Brown, H. W., employment manager, National India Rubber Co., Bristol, R. I.

Brundage, Edward, employment manager, Columbia Graphophone Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Bryant, P. F., employment manager, Department of Labor, Washington, D. C.

Burnett, A. V., employment manager, Butterworth-Judson Corporation, Newark, N. J.

Burnham, R. F., employment supervisor, Hercules Powder Co., Kenvil, N. J.

Burrows, F. W., editor, National Industrial Conference Board, 15 Beacon Street, Boston, Mass.

Burton, E. R., engineer, industrial-relations staff, Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Bush, S. L., assistant treasurer, Chemical Paper Manufacturing Co., Holyoke, Mass.

C.

Cameron, W. H., general manager, National Safety Council, 208 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill.

Campbell, J. Clyde, employment manager, Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Youngstown, Ohio.

Capels, E. H., employment department, Halcomb Steel Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

Carman, E. S., secretary and chief engineer, Cleveland Osborn Manufacturing Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Carpenter, A. V. W., employment manager, Linderman Machine Co., Muskegon, Mich.

Carr, William L., supervisor of labor, Wright Wire Co., Worcester, Mass.

Carson, Chas. M., industrial manager, Cadillac Motor Car Co., Detroit, Mich.

Carson, W. R., assistant chief engineer, Grasselli Chemical Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Cartil, E. L., assistant superintendent, The American Brass Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Case, E. B., employment manager, New Departure Manufacturing Co., Bristol, Conn.

Causeman, Jos. J., employment manager, C. Kenyon Co., Brooklyn, N. Y.

Cetti, Carl, head of service department, Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation (Ltd.), Elizabeth, N. J.

Chadsey, Mildred, Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio.

Chance, Albert, superintendent of factory, S. S. White Dental Manufacturing Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Chandler, H. R., auditor, Washington Steel & Ordnance Co., Washington, D. C.

Chapman, E. D., employment manager, R. R. Donnelley & Sons Co., 731 Plymouth Place, Chicago, Ill.

Chesney, J. A., employment manager, General Electric Co., Pittsfield, Mass.

Chiesa, M. Joseph, Department of Labor, Hotel Gordon, Sixteenth Street NW, Washington, D. C.

Clark, J. F., Rochester Button Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Clark, Montague A., employment supervisor, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Arlington, N. J.

Clarke, Chas. K., superintendent of employment, Smith & Wesson, Springfield, Mass.

Clayton, C. A., manager employment bureau, Alan Wood Iron & Steel Co., Conshohocken, Pa.

Clayton, Charles T., assistant director general of employment, United States Employment Service, Department of Labor, Washington, D. C.

Clearwater, Geo. W., superintendent labor and safety, Halcomb Steel Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

Clemens, W. T., superintendent, State Employment Bureau, Syracuse, N. Y.

Coburn, John R., employment manager, Corona Typewriter Co., Groton, N. Y.

Cochrane, C. J., supervisor of labor, American Car & Foundry Co., Detroit, Mich.

Coleman, Paul, recording secretary, Employment Managers' Association, Pittsburgh, Pa.

Coleman, Robert F., employment manager, Pierce Arrow Motor Car Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Collins, Chas. W., General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.

Colnon, R. T., welfare director, The Standard Parts Co., Perfection Spring Division, Cleveland, Ohio.

Cone, Reine J., nurse, Taylor Instrument Cos., Rochester, N. Y.

Conley, William, employment department, International Arms & Fuze Co., P. O. Box 1846, New York City.

Consler, R. E., employment manager, Art in Buttons (Inc.), Rochester, N. Y.

Conway, T. E., employment manager, Ingersoll-Rand Co., Phillipsburg, N. J.

Cooke, Morris L., Emergency Fleet Corporation, 1319 F Street NW., Washington, D. C.

Cooke, R. O., treasurer, Jos. Bancroft & Sons Co., Wilmington, Del.

Cooper, Walter G., secretary, Atlanta Chamber of Commerce, Atlanta, Ga.

Corning, H. L., superintendent, Solvay Process Co., Detroit, Mich.

Cornist, C., employment supervisor, Pratt & Letchworth Co., 189 Tonawanda Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Costello, H. F., employment manager, Halcomb Steel Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

Courtright, Mrs. Jocelyn, employment department, Nordyke & Marmon Co., Indianapolis, Ind.

Cousins, Thos., superintendent, Passaic Cotton Mills, Passaic, N. J.

Croal, Madge, employment manager, Bastian Bros. Co., 69 Mount Hope Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Cross, Maurice F., welfare superintendent, Grasselli Chemical Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Crossley, J. H., superintendent, Pass & Seymour (Inc.), Solvay, N. Y.

Crouch, F. M., cashier, Eastman Kodak Co., 343 State Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Crowell, Edith H., woman's welfare department, T. A. Gillespie Co., Morgan, N. J.

Cullen, C. P., safety manager, Gould Coupler Co., Depew, N. Y.

Culver, Chester M., general manager, Employers' Association of Detroit, Detroit, Mich.

Currie, V. R., head of safety-efficiency work, The Texas Co., Houston, Tex.

Curtell, L., office manager, American Can Co., Boston, Mass.

Curtis, G. H., employment manager, Semet-Solvay Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

D.

Dahlgren, C. J., chief clerk, National Malleable Castings Co., 2610 West Twenty-fifth Place, Chicago, Ill.

Darrow, M. S., manager, The Barber Asphalt Paving Co., Madison, Ill.

Davidson Jas. L., secretary and treasurer, Alabama Coal Operators' Association, Birmingham, Ala.

Davidson, Margaret, secretary to director, Women's Educational and Industrial Union, 264 Boylston Street, Boston, Mass.

Davis, Albert S., superintendent of employment, National Cloak and Suit Co., 207 West Twenty-fourth Street, New York City.

Davis, Franklin L., assistant general shop superintendent, Northwest Steel Co., Portland, Oreg.

Davis, Ruth M., employment and welfare agent, Aluminum Castings Co., 1095 Niagara Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Davoran, M. F., employment manager, Crane & Breed Manufacturing Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Day, Carl, assistant industrial supervisor, Spanish River Pulp & Paper Mills (Ltd.), Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.

Day, Paul W., welfare manager, Pennsylvania Seaboard Steel Corporation, Philadelphia, Pa.

Dean, Harriet M., assistant superintendent, State Employment Bureau, 387 East Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.

De Mocher, R. C., employment manager, National Brass Manufacturing Co., 193 Mill Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Dickenscher, A. A., employment manager, Buffalo Foundry & Machine Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Dickson, Robert J., industrial manager, Spanish River Pulp & Paper Mills (Ltd.), Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.

Dillon, Anthony F., employment manager, Barrett Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Doerr, Josef, employment manager, Leeds & Northrup Co., 4901 Stenton Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.

Donning, W. H., employment manager, Bridgeport Brass Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Dooley, R. C., manager labor department, The Standard Parts Co., Hickox Building, Cleveland, Ohio.

Doolittle, Capt. F. H., employment officer, Watertown Arsenal, Watertown, Mass.

Doran, H. F., employment manager, Saco-Lowell Shops, Lowell, Mass.

Doucet, A. A., employment manager, Laclede-Christy Clay Products Co., St. Louis, Mo.

Dow, George C., superintendent, Sikes Chair Co., 500 Clinton Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Downey, Maurice, superintendent, Whitcomb Blaisdell Machine Tool Co., 134 Gold Street, Worcester, Mass.

Downing, George, employment manager, W. M. Lowney Co., Boston, Mass.

Dryden, E. A., employment manager, Packard Motor Car Co., Detroit, Mich.

Duffy, George A., efficiency engineer, Stein-Bloch Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Dunn, W., superintendent welfare and labor, Republic Rubber Co., Youngstown, Ohio.

Dutcher, George S., employment manager, H. H. Franklin Manufacturing Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

APPENDIX B.

E.

Edholm, C. L., executive secretary, National Americanization Commission, 25 West Thirty-ninth Street, New York City.

Edwards, R. W., employment manager, The American Tool Works Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Edge, Karl F., employment manager, L. Bamberger & Co., Newark, N. J.

Ellerd, H. G., law department, Armour & Co., Union Stockyards, Chicago, Ill.

Elliott, Walter V., employment manager, American Tube & Stamping Steel Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Ellithorpe, John W., assistant employment manager, Pierce Arrow Motor Car Co., 1695 Elmwood Avenue, Buffalo, N. Y.

Embler, H. W., superintendent, Coe Stapley Manufacturing Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Emmet, Boris, special agent United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C.

Erickson, John E., employment supervisor, Norton Co., Worcester, Mass.

Esch, C. W., employment manager, Continental Can Co., 4606 Grand Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

Evans, F. C., employment manager, American Ship Building Co., Lorain Plant, Lorain, Ohio.

F.

Farnham, Dwight T., industrial engineer, Third National Bank Building, St. Louis, Mo.

Farra, Howard L., A. B. Kirschbaum & Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Farrell, J. E., chief of employment bureau, Republic Iron & Steel Co., Youngstown, Ohio.

Fennell, D. D., manager, Belmont Packing & Rubber Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Fischer, F. W., general superintendent, Liquid Carbonic Co., Chicago, Ill.

Fish, E. H., employment manager, Norton Co., Worcester, Mass.

Fisher, Capt. Boyd, Ord. R. C., supervisor Government courses, 606 Council of National Defense, Washington, D. C.

Fisher, F. W., employment and safety manager, Rochester Railway & Light Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Fitch, John A., industrial editor, Survey Magazine, 112 East Nineteenth Street, New York City.

Fitterer, J. W., chief clerk, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Parlin, N. J.

FitzGerald, Edward, employment manager, Bastian Bros. Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Fleisher, Alexander, supervisor welfare division, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., 1 Madison Avenue, New York City.

Fleming, R. Bruce, supervisor employment and welfare, Burroughs Adding Machine Co., Detroit, Mich.

Ford, Dr. C. E., director of medicine, General Chemical Co., 25 Broad Street, New York City.

Forster, H. W., general manager, Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Fosdick, F., president, Fitchburg Steam Engine Co., Fitchburg, Mass.

Fosdick, A. H., assistant superintendent of labor, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.

Foster, R. T., employment manager, Springfield Aircraft Corporation, Springfield, Mass.

Fouhy, C. E., employment manager, Curtiss Aeroplane & Motor Corporation, Buffalo, N. Y.

Fowler, R. L., plant manager, Barber Asphalt Paving Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.

Fox, W. R., proprietor, Fox Machine Co., Jackson, Mich.

Frankel, Emil, special agent, United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C.

Freiburger, Adam, general superintendent, Lackawanna Bridge Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Fry, Albert, assistant employment manager, New York Shipbuilding Co., Camden, N. J.

Fullerton, Hugh, service director, H. Black & Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Fulton, Paul L., employment manager, Standard Underground Cable Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.

G.

Gaeger, E. P., manager, service department, International Correspondence Schools, Scranton, Pa.

Gallagher, Rachel S., women's employment, State Employment Office, City Hall, Room No. 108, Cleveland, Ohio.

Gannon, Victor, manager, Employers' Association of Chicago, 139 North Clark Street, Chicago, Ill.

Gara, T. J., employment manager, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, McCormick Twine Mill, Chicago, Ill.

Gaylord, Gladys, service secretary, Clinton Wire Cloth Co., Clinton, Mass.

Geck, A. A., superintendent, Colburn Machine Tool Co., Franklin, Pa.

Gibbs, Raymond B., secretary, Lockport Board of Commerce, Lockport, N. Y.

Gilchrist, L. J., industrial manager, Morgan & Wright, Detroit, Mich.

Gill, J. S., secretary, manufacturers' bureau, Lockport Board of Commerce, Lockport, N. Y.

Gillie, Robert B., employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Haskell, N. J.

Gilliland, W. D., supervisor, welfare and employment, Selby Shoe Co., Portsmouth, Ohio.

Gleim, F., employment and welfare manager, Detroit Pressed Steel Co., Detroit, Mich.

Goldstein, Isadore, secretary, war emergency courses, University of Rochester, Rochester, N. Y.

Goodman, William, assistant to vice president, Worthington Pump & Machine Corporation, 115 Broadway, New York City.

Gorbett, F. J., employment manager, Chandler Motor Car Co., East One hundred and thirty-first Street, New York City.

Gould, Ernest, industrial engineer, Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co., Youngstown, Ohio.

Gould, Morris, employment manager, Electric Cable Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Gowin, E. B., New York University School of Commerce, Accounts, and Finance, Washington Square East, New York City.

Graef, Max, employment manager, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, 1734 Fullerton Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

Graeff, Paul D., purchasing agent, Coatesville Boiler Works, Coatesville, Pa.

Grant, David J., employment manager, Campbell, Wyant & Cannon Foundry Co., Muskegon, Mich.

Green, C. L., director, employment department, Consolidation Coal Co., 212 Watson Building, Fairmount, W. Va.

Greene, T. C., employment manager, Graton & Knight Manufacturing Co., Worcester, Mass.

Gressle, E. W., employment and welfare superintendent, Warner & Swasey, 5801 Carnegie Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.

Grieves, W. A., assistant secretary, The Jeffrey Manufacturing Co., Columbus, Ohio.

Griffith, P. Jeannette, director, research department, Duffy Powers Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Groves, J. T., The Texas Co., 17 Battery Place, New York City.

Grzella, Paul M., employment manager, Cutter Desk Co., 14 Churchill Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Gunn, Miss M. L., secretary, Rochester Machine Industries, 601 Wilder Building, Rochester, N. Y.

Gurley, Franklin C., production engineer, National Aniline & Chemical Co. (Inc.), Marcus Hook, Pa.

H.

Hackett, Allen F., employment manager, Rochester Button Co., 300 State Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Hall, Robert, treasurer, American District Steam Co., North Tonawanda, N. Y.

Hall, S. P., employment manager, Morgan Engineering Co., Alliance, Ohio.

Hallin, L. C. E., employment manager, State free employment office, 8 Kneeland Street, Boston, Mass.

Halliwell, T. D., jr., superintendent, Barrett Co., Thirty-sixth and Gray Streets, Philadelphia, Pa.

Halpin, W., employment manager, Eastman Kodak Co., Premo Works, Rochester, N. Y.

Hanley, Miss E. R., supervisor juvenile department, State employment bureau, 219 Franklin Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Hanlon, John C., employment manager, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, Osborne Twine Mill, Auburn, N. Y.

Hanrahan, D., manager social service, Wilson & Co., Union Stock Yards, Chicago, Ill.

Harris, Elmer F., superintendent of labor and safety, Mesta Machine Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.

Haugh, A. T., employment manager, King Sewing Machine Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Hayden, A. P., employment manager, National Carbon Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.

Haylett, H. H., director employment and welfare, Benjamin Electric Manufacturing Co., Chicago, Ill.

Hazleton, R. T., works manager, Cincinnati Milling Machine Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Hebble, C. R., executive secretary, Cincinnati Chamber of Commerce, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Helmsoth, L. F., employment manager, Felt & Tarrant Manufacturing Co., 1717 North Paulina Street, Chicago, Ill.

Hellenschmidt, Miss R., employment manager, Michaels-Stern & Co., 317 Child Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Helton, W. R., manager employment department, elevated railroads, Chicago, Ill.

Hemphill, James, employment agent, Carnegie Steel Co., Duquesne, Pa.

Henderson, W. L., production department, American International Shipbuilding Corporation, Hog Island, Pa.

Hennessy, M. M., employment manager, Corn Products Refining Co., Argo, Ill.

Herrod, Herbert E., president, Mahoning Valley Employers' Association, 716 Stambaugh Building, Youngstown, Ohio.

- Herzberg, G., supervisor of labor, General Electric Co., Harrison, N. J.
- Hess, H. L., general manager, Hess Steel Corporation, Baltimore, Md.
- Hickman, R. W., jr., employment secretary, Y. M. C. A., Philadelphia, Pa.
- Hicks, Robert J., assistant superintendent, State public employment bureau, 120 St. Paul Street, Rochester, N. Y.
- Hicks, T. K., manager, Manufacturers' Employment Bureau, Rockford, Ill.
- Hill, Walter C., vice president, Retail Credit Co., Healey Building, Atlanta, Ga.
- Hine, Charlotte, manager of welfare, Hudson Motor Car Co., Detroit, Mich.
- Hirth, Miss E. P., chief, information department, Intercollegiate Bureau of Occupations, 19 West Forty-fourth Street, New York City.
- Hoadley, Horace G., treasurer, Waterbury Tool Co., Waterbury, Conn.
- Hodges, T. V., safety engineer, Semet-Solvay Co., Syracuse, N. Y.
- Hoffmenter, Roy L., employment chief, Bethlehem Steel Co., Bethlehem, Pa.
- Hogan, B. J., superintendent of safety and employment, Brier Hill Steel Co., Youngstown, Ohio.
- Hogg, Leon C., employment manager, American La France Fire Engine Co., Elmira, N. Y.
- Holdcraft, Charles A., employment manager, Welsbach Co., Gloucester, N. J.
- Holland, Joseph A., supervisor of employment, American Sugar Refining Co., 90 West Street, New York City.
- Hood, M. E., employment manager, Chester Ship Cambridge Co., Chester, Pa.
- Hoskins, Jean, Clinton Wire Cloth Co., Clinton, Mass.
- House, Norman R., employment agent, Semet-Solvay Co., Syracuse, N. Y.
- Houze, J. O., employment manager, National Malleable Castings Co., Indianapolis, Ind.
- Howard, Earl D., director of labor, Hart, Schaffner & Marx, Chicago, Ill.
- Howes, G. W., general superintendent, Philo D. Beckwith Est. (Inc.), Dowagiac, Mich.
- Hubbell, N. D., industrial engineer, National Carbon Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
- Hubbell, Roy S., manager, Bowman Hotel Corporation, 405 Lexington Avenue, New York City.
- Huey, Katherine, supervisor of instruction, Central District Telephone Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
- Hughes, E. J., manager sales training department, B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron, Ohio.
- Hulverson, G. R., employment manager, Burroughs Adding Machine Co., Detroit, Mich.
- Hummell, W. H., superintendent, Grant Motor Car Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Hurter, C. E., division superintendent, Dennison Manufacturing Co., Framingham, Mass.
- Husband, A. A., superintendent women's department, State Employment Bureau, 387 East Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.
- Hyndman, George B., employment bureau, Newburgh Chamber of Commerce, Newburgh, N. Y.

I.

- Immell, R. W., safety inspector, Baltimore Copper Smelting & Rolling Co., Baltimore, Md.
- Ingersoll, George B., assistant general manager, Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Beloit, Wis.

J.

Jackson, A. C., works manager, Miller Lock Co., 4523 Tacony Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Jackson, Florence, director appointment bureau, Women's Educational and Industrial Union, 264 Boylston Street, Boston, Mass.

Jackson, Newton, assistant district production manager, Emergency Fleet Corporation, 826 Weightman Building, Philadelphia, Pa.

Jansen, H. H., secretary and treasurer, Tri-City Manufacturers' Association, Moline, Ill.

Jefferts, S. E., employment manager, Pennsylvania Railroad Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Johnson, A. E., United Press Association, World Building, New York City.

Johnson, C. G., employment manager, Quaker City Rubber Co., Wissinoming, Philadelphia, Pa.

Johnson, W. F., employment manager, Taylor Instrument Cos., Rochester, N. Y.

Johnston, Paul L., cost engineer, Barrett Co., 17 Battery Place, New York City.

Jones, Aldwyth C., employment manager, Halcomb Steel Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

Jones, Arthur F., employment and welfare manager, Jersey City Chamber of Commerce, Jersey City, N. J.

Jones, A. H., superintendent, American Wood Working Machinery Co., 591 Lyell Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Jones, Eugene Kinckle, executive secretary, National League on Urban Conditions among Negroes, 2303 Seventh Avenue, New York City.

Jones, Ernest W. J., employment supervisor, Crosby Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Jones, F. W., superintendent, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, Auburn, N. Y.

Jones, Mark M., supervisor of personnel, Thomas A. Edison Industries, Orange, N. J.

Jones, W. B., manager, Rochester Spectacle Manufacturing Co., 242 Andrews Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Jones, William H., superintendent, Crosby Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Judson, L. R., employment manager, Timken Detroit Axle Co., Detroit, Mich.

Junkin, J. L., safety director, La Belle Iron Works, Steubenville, Ohio.

K.

Kauffman, T. J., treasurer, Square D. Co., 1400 Rivard Street, Detroit, Mich.

Kaulbach, George C., employment department, Watervliet Arsenal, West Troy, N. Y.

Keith, Clare M., employment manager, Advance Rumely Co., Battle Creek, Mich.

Kelth, James P., office manager, George E. Kelth Co., Campello, Mass.

Keith, Roger, assistant treasurer, Brockton Webbing Co., Brockton, Mass.

Keller, L. M., assistant employment manager, Rochester Railway & Light Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Kelley, William D., general superintendent of meters, Consolidated Gas Co., of New York, 130 East Fifteenth Street, New York City.

Kelly, Roy W., director, Bureau of Vocational Guidance, Harvard University, 37 Concord Avenue, Cambridge, Mass.

Kelly, S. T., supervisor service department, Union Carbide Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.

- Kendall, Harry B., superintendent, M. W. Kellogg Co., Jersey City, N. J.
- Kennedy, A. T., employment manager, Forbensen Axle Co., 1115 East One hundred and fifty-second Street, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Kennedy, Dudley R., industrial manager, American International Ship-building Corporation, Hog Island, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Kersburg, H. E. V., employment manager, R. H. Macy & Co., New York City.
- Kershaw, S. H., assistant supervisor engineer, Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Kershner, I. U., special clerk, Pennsylvania Railroad Co., 351 Broad Street Station, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Kibby, W. J., head of department of personnel development, C. E. Knoeppel & Co., 101 Park Avenue, New York City.
- Kilborn, Geo. W., employment manager, Wilson & Co., Union Stockyards, Chicago, Ill.
- Kimball, Edw. A., secretary, Georgia Manufacturers' Association, 604 Chamber of Commerce Building, Atlanta, Ga.
- Kimber, W. M. C., manager, Leeds & Northrup, 4901 Stenton Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.
- King, Edith Shatto, manager, National Social Workers' League, 180 E. st Twenty-second Street, New York City.
- Kinney, P. W., employment manager, Gleason Works, 1000 University Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.
- Kirk, William F., special agent, U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C.
- Kitson, W. R., superintendent of labor and safety, Solvay Process Co., Detroit, Mich.
- Kline, A. L., employment manager, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, McCormick Works, Chicago, Ill.
- Kobick, H. G., superintendent of employment, Commonwealth Edison Co., 72 West Adams Street, Chicago, Ill.
- Koch, Edw. H., superintendent, Republic Metalware Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
- Koenig, H. J., employment manager, Armour & Co., Chicago, Ill.
- Kolb, Chas. J., employment manager, Pierce-Arrow Motor Car Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
- Kraemer, E. H., employment manager, Dayton Metal Products Co., Dayton, Ohio.
- Kreglow, W. M., employment manager, New Jersey Zinc Co., Palmerton, Pa.
- Kutz, Frank E., assistant to manager, Ingersoll-Rand Co., Phillipsburg, N. J.

L

- Lackey, Robert A., vice president, Payson Manufacturing Co., 2920 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill.
- Lamberton, H. H., superintendent of personnel, Champlain Silk Mills, Whitehall, N. Y.
- Lane, Nathan, jr., auditor, O. Kenyon Co., Brooklyn, N. Y.
- Lange, F. W., employment manager, Grant Motor Car Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Lavery, Teresa, industrial nurse, Rochester Spectacle Manufacturing Co., 242 Andrews Street, Rochester, N. Y.
- Lawrence, Chas. M., manager, Thomas G. Plant Co., Boston, Mass.
- Leavenworth, H. T., general manager, Bridgeport Testing Laboratories, Bridgeport, Conn.
- Leavenworth, John H., department head, Wallace & Sons Manufacturing Co., Wallingford, Conn.

- Lewis, C. J., employment manager, Barcalo Manufacturing Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
 Lewis, L. R., employ., Taylor Instrument Cos., Rochester, N. Y.
 Lewis, R., employment manager, Pfaudler Co., Lincoln Park, Rochester, N. Y.
 Lewis, Rachel, employment manager, Lewis Manufacturing Co., Walpole, Mass.
 Libbey, L. W., employment selector, William Filene's Sons Co., Boston, Mass.
 Libby, H. I., Saco-Lowell Shops, Biddeford, Me.
 Lightner, Jacob, director, employment bureau, Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry, State Capitol, Harrisburg, Pa.
 Lingemann, E. F., supervisor of labor, Hudson Motor Car Co., Detroit, Mich.
 Little, Jas., employment manager, Westinghouse Air Brake Co., Wilmerding, Pa.
 Lorent, Theo., assistant superintendent, M. H. Birge & Sons Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
 Lott, M. R., manager personnel department, Sperry Gyroscope Co., Brooklyn, N. Y.
 Lovejoy, F. W., general manager, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.
 Lunt, H. S., employment manager, Gallaudet Aircraft Corporation, East Greenwich, B. I.
 Luther, W. L., manager service department, The Cleveland Metal Products Co., 7609 Platte Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.
 Lyle, J. D., employment manager, National Malleable Castings Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

M.

- McCarthy, Elizabeth, labor department, Dunn & McCarthy, Auburn, N. Y.
 McCauless, C., employment manager, Potomac Shipbuilding Co., Quantico, Va.
 McCure, Charles E., assistant manager, Standard Underground Cable Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.
 McHugh, Edw. P., E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.
 McKenny, J. H., assistant superintendent, Premo Works, Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N. Y.
 McLaughlin, A. L., employment manager, Jones & Laughlin Steel Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
 McLaughlin, C. R., employment and welfare manager, Dayton-Wright Airplane Co., Dayton, Ohio.
 McNamara, Mrs. William E., field secretary, National Civic Federation, 20 Ashburton Place, Boston, Mass.
 McParland, J. T., general superintendent, Ross Gear & Tool Co., Lafayette, Ind.
 MacArthur, Mrs. L. B., welfare department, Armour & Co., Chicago, Ill.
 MacArthur, W. S., office manager, Armour & Co., Chicago, Ill.
 MacBain, J. T., assistant superintendent, Union Carbide Co., Niagara Falls, N. Y.
 Macerod, B. C., superintendent's private secretary, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, McCormick Works, Chicago, Ill.
 Mackay, F. T., employment manager, Timken Roller Bearing Co., Canton, Ohio.
 MacLennan, J. F., assistant to president, Vermont Farm Machine Co., Bellows Falls, Vt.
 Madsen, H. T., director of employment, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., Essington Works, Essington, Pa.
 Maguire, James H., assistant superintendent, Saco-Lowell Shops, Lowell, Mass.

Magunder, H., secretary, Johnson Shipyard Corporation, Main Harbor, New York City.

Mahoney, Miss Florence M., manager, State Employment Bureau, Buffalo, N. Y.

Mallett, H. K., employment manager, Crane Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Malpas, C. O., supervisor of labor, Sherwin-Williams Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Mann, Dr. Kristine, supervisor, Industrial Service Section, Women's Branch, Ordnance Department, Continental Hotel, Washington, D. C.

Marsh, D. C., employment manager, Gas Defense Plant, Long Island City.

Marsh, Frank M., superintendent of employment, Walworth Manufacturing Co., Boston, Mass.

Marsh, R. J., department supervisor, Wallace & Sons Manufacturing Co., Wallingford, Conn.

Martin, G. P., employment manager, E. B. Badger & Sons Co., 73 Pitts Street, Boston, Mass.

Mason, Charles O., employment manager, Frankford Arsenal, Philadelphia, Pa.

Mason, H., manager of employment and instruction, Pennsylvania and New Jersey Shipbuilding Cos., Gloucester, N. J.

Mason, Samuel R., secretary, Manufacturers' & Wholesale Merchants' Board, Cleveland, Ohio.

Mason, William B., employment manager, National Aniline & Chemical Co. (Inc.), Marcus Hook, Pa.

Meador, John R., manager labor and service department, Brighton Mills, Passaic, N. J.

Meech, S. D., employment manager, Yawman & Erbe Manufacturing Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Meeker, Royal, Commissioner United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C.

Melaas, Esther, employment manager, National Knitting Co., 905 Clinton Street, Milwaukee, Wis.

Merriam, George B., manager of labor, Brown-Lipe-Chapin Co., Syracuse, N. Y.

Messerschmitt, George, superintendent, Rochester Folding Box Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Metcalf, H. C., professor of economics, Tufts College, Tufts College, Mass.

Miley, Charles T., superintendent of labor, Carpenter Steel Co., Reading, Pa.

Miller, Paul A., employment manager, Worthington Pump & Machine Corporation, Hazleton, Pa.

Mook, M. B., service manager, Hydraulic Pressed Steel Co., 3180 East Sixty-first Street, Cleveland, Ohio.

Moon, C. W., employment manager, R. K. Le Blond Machine Tool Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Moon, W. B., employment and welfare manager, Signal Corps, United States Army, Dayton, Ohio.

Moore, Horace E., assistant superintendent, S. L. Allen & Co., Fifth Street and Glenwood Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.

Moore, John C., employment department, Henry Disston & Sons (Inc.), Tacony, Philadelphia, Pa.

Morgan, H. J., assistant to labor manager, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., Akron, Ohio.

Morris, Le Roy, chief draftsman, American La France Fire Engine Co., Elmira, N. Y.

Morris, Miss Mary L., service manager, Connecticut Mills Co., Danielson, Conn.

- Morrison, Stuart, assistant employment manager, Emergency Fleet Corporation, Washington, D. C.
- Muhlhauser, A., employment manager, Baltimore Dry Dock & Ship Building Co., Baltimore, Md.
- Muhlhall, Walter F., assistant to vice president, Tacony Ordnance Corporation, Tacony, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Mull, I. E., assistant employment manager, Packard Motor Car Co., Detroit, Mich.
- Mullen, E. A., employment supervisor, A. P. Smith Manufacturing Co., East Orange, N. J.
- Munro, D. Elmer, assistant superintendent, Auburn, N. Y.

N.

- Nageff, W. H., supervisor of labor, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, Auburn, N. Y.
- Names, J. K., branch manager, Underwood Typewriter Co., New York City.
- Naylor, A. H., Atlas Crucible Steel Co., Dunkirk, N. Y.
- Naylor, J. R., director of employment, Heppenstall Forge & Knife Co., Pittsburgh, Pa.
- Neal, George F., employment manager, Todd Protectograph Co., Rochester, N. Y.
- Neale, Margaretta, superintendent Woman's Division, United States Department of Labor, 22 East Twenty-second Street, New York City.
- Needles, I. G., sales employment manager, B. F. Goodrich Co., Akron, Ohio.
- Neese, F. G., employment superintendent, Pusey & Jones Co., Wilmington, Del.
- Nelson, N. C., employment superintendent, Armour & Co., Chicago, Ill.
- Newcomb, Charles L., manager, Dean Works, Worthington Pump & Machinery Corporation, Holyoke, Mass.
- Newcomb, F. W., 120 Fuller Street, Brookline, Mass.
- Newman, R. H., employment manager, Liberty Ordnance Co., Bridgeport, Conn.
- Nicholls, J. H., better service department, Halcomb Steel Co., Syracuse, N. Y.
- Nickerson, T. K., American Writing Paper Co., Holyoke, Mass.
- Nilsen, P. J., Arthur Young & Co., 1315 Monadnock Block, Chicago, Ill.
- Nock, Arthur B., employment manager, Electric Controller & Manufacturing Co., 2698 East Seventy-ninth Street, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Noftzger, F. C., employment manager, Pollak Steel Co., Chicago, Ill.
- Noyes, Henry T., treasurer, Art in Buttons (Inc.), Rochester, N. Y.

O.

- O'Blenese, H. M., Carnegie Steel Co., 1027 Carnegie Building, Pittsburgh, Pa.
- O'Brien, John J., assistant shop superintendent, United States Navy Department, Navy Yards, New York City.
- O'Brien, R. A., assistant employment manager, Bridgeford Machine Tool Works, Rochester, N. Y.
- Odencrantz, Louis C., superintendent, New York State Public Employment Bureau, 312 Jay Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.
- Oesterling, T. J., assistant works manager, Herman Pneumatic Machine Co., Zelenople, Pa.
- O'Neill, J. T., Eastman Kodak Co., Hawk Eye Works, Rochester, N. Y.

- Orr, J. K., ex-president, Atlanta Chamber of Commerce, Atlanta, Ga.
 Osborne, Louis A., manager library sales, International Text Book Co., Scranton, Pa.
 Oswald, Jacob, works manager, Fels & Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
 Otte, O. W., jr., employment manager, The Domestic Engineering Co., Dayton, Ohio.
 Owens, Wm. J., assistant superintendent, McIntosh & Seymore Corporation, Auburn, N. Y.

P.

- Palne, Wm. J., employment manager, International Arms & Fuze Co., Bloomfield, N. J.
 Palmer, Lew R., commissioner of labor and industry, Harrisburg, Pa.
 Palmer, V. M., engineer of industrial economy, Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Park, Rochester, N. Y.
 Park, L. L., superintendent of welfare, American Locomotive Co., Schenectady, N. Y.
 Parker, C. J., employment manager, Maryland Shipbuilding Corporation, Baltimore, Md.
 Parker, H. E., employment manager, Fore River Plant, Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Quincy, Mass.
 Parkinson, Royal, employment manager, American Optical Co., Southbridge, Mass.
 Patterson, J., welfare director, Hydraulic Pressed Steel Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
 Perkins, A. W., employment manager, Revere Rubber Co., Providence, R. I.
 Pettibone, W. B., factory manager, Willard Storage Battery Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
 Phelps, R. N., employment manager, Eli Lilly & Co., Indianapolis, Ind.
 Pickrel, P. C., employment manager, Symington-Anderson Co., 1044 University Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.
 Pipping, Henry H., employment manager, Slatersville Finishing Co., Slatersville, R. I.
 Place, W. B., employment manager, David Lupton's Sons Co., Philadelphia, Pa.
 Platt, Robert E., employment manager, Scovill Manufacturing Co., Waterbury, Conn.
 Playdon, James, jr., assistant employment manager, Worthington Pump & Machinery Corporation, Holyoke, Mass.
 Plumer, E. G., employment manager, Emerson Brantingham Co., Rockford, Ill.
 Poole, W. W., secretary, Rice-Lorin Co., Muskegon, Mich.
 Powers, D. W., service supervisor, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Carneys Point, N. J.
 Pratt, E. S., employment manager, The Celluloid Co., Newark, N. J.
 Price, Miss E. V., employment and service manager, Narrow Fabric Corporation, Reading, Pa.
 Price, John T., employment superintendent, Worthington Pump & Machine Corporation, Elmwood Place, Cincinnati, Ohio.
 Primmer, E. F., employment manager, National Cash Register Co., Dayton, Ohio.
 Puffer, H. E., employment manager, Larkin Co., Buffalo, N. Y.
 Purdy, Wm. B., employment manager, The Atlantic Refining Co., Philadelphia, Pa.

Purseff, L. F., assistant employment manager, Merchant Ship Building Corporation, Philadelphia, Pa.

Pyle, Edwin L., employment manager, Heppenstall Forge Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Q.

Quaife, T. R., production manager, Bastian Bros. Co., Mt. Hope Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Quinby, Dr. R. S., service manager, Hood Rubber Co., Watertown, Mass.

R.

Radcliff, Wm. J., employment manager, Tacony Ordnance Corporation, Tacony, Philadelphia, Pa.

Ramage, E. C., employment agent, Carnegie Steel Co., E. T. Works, Braddock, Pa.

Rapp, Perry, superintendent Rosenberg Bros.' Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Ray, Louis A., superintendent of employment, Carnegie Steel Co., New Castle, Pa.

Ray, Capt. R. R., district supervising officer, Industrial Service Section, 82 St. Paul Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Rectanus, S. R., director of employment, The American Rolling Mill Co., Middletown, Ohio.

Reed, Edith, welfare department, Notaseme Hosiery Co., Oxford and Masher Streets, Philadelphia, Pa.

Rensenhouse, R. A., employment manager, Fairbanks, Morse & Co., Three Rivers, Mich.

Reulbach, Edward M., assistant employment manager, Submarine Boat Corporation, Port Newark, N. J.

Rice, Edward E., supervisor, North American Insurance Co., 31 Liberty Street, New York City.

Rice, W. E., employment manager, White Motor Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Richards, F. C., employment manager, Lackawanna Bridge Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Riddell, W. A., superintendent Trades and Labor Branch, Department of Public Works, Ontario Government, 15 Queen Park, Toronto, Ontario.

Rieder, N. M., Ordnance Department, industrial service section, Frankford Arsenal, Philadelphia, Pa.

Riemenschneider, R. R., personnel department, Canton Sheet Steel Co., Canton, Ohio.

Rietschlin, O. R., service manager, Aberthaw Construction Co., 27 School Street, Boston, Mass.

Rissberger, A. C., manager Central Employment Department, 1044 University Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Ritter, May F., employment manager, E. Sutro & Son, Thompson and Clearfield Streets, Philadelphia, Pa.

Rivers, Nelson F., employment manager, Kelly Springfield Tire Co., 711 Northland Avenue, Buffalo, N. Y.

Roberts, Mrs. A., visiting nurse, Taylor Instrument Cos., Rochester, N. Y.

Robinson, A. L., consulting engineer, The Barber Asphalt Paving Co., 1900 Land Title Building, Philadelphia, Pa.

Robinson, Burr A., manager industrial relations department, United States Rubber Co., New Haven, Conn.

Robinson, H. L., manager employment department, Crompton & Knowles Loom Works, Worcester, Mass.

Robinson, Hugh M., employment manager, Bullard Machine Tool Co., Bridgeport, Conn.

Rogers, Ethel, superintendent vocational department, State Employment Bureau, 387 East Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Rogers, Mrs. L. Tarbell, woman farm-labor specialist, State Food Commission, Binghamton, N. Y.

Rolle, Sidney, cashier, United States Metals Refining Co., Chrome, N. J.

Ross, Arthur W., manager labor and service, Westinghouse Lamp Co., Bloomfield, N. J.

Ross, Raymond J., assistant employment manager, Remington Arms-Union Metallic Cartridge Co., Ilion, N. Y.

Rossiter, Leo B., employment manager, North East Electric Co., 348 Whitney Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Rothenberg, J. A., employment manager, The Standard Parts Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Rudd, J. H., employment manager, Remington Arms-Union Metallic Cartridge Co., Ilion, N. Y.

Ryerson, Carl, division superintendent, Willys-Overland Co., Toledo, Ohio.

S.

Salter, W. G., supervisor of labor, International Harvester Co. of New Jersey, 1734 Fullerton Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

Sanders, Edward W., assistant purchasing agent, Passaic Cotton Mills, New Bedford, Mass.

Sandmann, H., superintendent Spencer Lens Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Saposs, D. J., New York State Industrial Commission, Capitol, Albany, N. Y.

Saul, Harvey, superintendent labor and welfare, Taylor Wharton Iron & Steel Co., Easton, Pa.

Sawyer, W. S., employment manager, The Willys Morrow Co., Elmira, N. Y.

Schaap, Michael, director of personnel, L. Bamberger & Co., Newark, N. J.

Schaffner, Joseph H., Hart, Schaffner & Marx, Chicago, Ill.

Scheele, Charles A., assistant treasurer, Buffalo Wire Works Co., 498 Terrace Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Schell, Erwin H., assistant professor, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.

Schiele, Carl George, general foreman, Cincinnati Milling Machine Co., Oakley, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Schneider, A. J., employment manager, The Cincinnati Planer Co., Oakley, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Schoenbeck, Gertrude, employment section, H. Black & Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Schwartz, H. A., National Malleable Castings Co., Indianapolis, Ind.

Schwarz, E. N., employment manager, Buffalo Forge Co., 490 Broadway, Buffalo, N. Y.

Schwebemeyer, R. G., employment manager, Hyatt Roller Bearing Co., Newark, N. J.

Schwenzer, Frank G., manufacturing superintendent, Ericsson Manufacturing Co., 1100 Military Road, Buffalo, N. Y.

Schwind, E. S., employment manager, Tidewater Oil Co., Bayonne, N. J.

Sciutto, Frank, assistant employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Haskell, N. J.

Scott, J. A., employment and welfare manager, Cleveland Osborn Manufacturing Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Scott, Tom J., superintendent, Alvey-Ferguson Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Scrimgeour, B., general manager, Gas Engine & Power Co., Morris Heights, New York City.

Scripture, La Vinnia, sales department, Taylor Instrument Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Seams, Jesse, business manager, American Smelting & Refining Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.

Searle, W. A., employment manager, Niles-Bement-Pond Co., Plainfield, N. J.

Searles, Rose L., service supervisor, Eastern Manufacturing Co., Bangor, Me.

Seaton, S. B., superintendent, International Tag Co., 652 West Lake Street, Chicago, Ill.

Seller, J. T., manager industrial relations, Greenfield Tap & Die Corporation, Greenfield, Mass.

Semor, Thomas K., employment manager, The Steel Products Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Seubert, Adolph F., assistant superintendent, National Malleable Castings Co., Toledo, Ohio.

Shaw, G. E., employment manager, Dennison Manufacturing Co., Framingham, Mass.

Shearer, James, special work, Industrial Works, Bay City, Mich.

Shedd, C. A., superintendent, Walden-Worcester (Inc.), Worcester, Mass.

Shepard, Jesse C., employment manager, Shepard Electric Crane & Hoist Co., Montour Falls, N. Y.

Sheuring, Phoebe, forewoman, Hickey Freeman Co., 1155 Clinton Avenue North, Rochester, N. Y.

Shimp, F. A., assistant employment supervisor, Hercules Powder Co., Wilmington, Del.

Sigsbee, R. A., employment manager, Emerson Co., 30 Church Street, New York City.

Simkins, J. W., superintendent of employment, Merchant Ship Building Corporation, Bristol, Pa.

Simmons, Helen A., labor department, Dunn & McCarthy, 41 Washington Street, Auburn, N. Y.

Simonds, A. T., president, Simonds Manufacturing Co., Fitchburg, Mass.

Smith, George F., employment bureau, Henry Disston & Sons (Inc.), Philadelphia, Pa.

Smith, H. B., employment manager, United States Cartridge Co., Lowell, Mass.

Smith, John M., assistant superintendent, H. Black & Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Snyder, C., general superintendent, Hinkley Motors Corporation, Detroit, Mich.

Soults, T., superintendent, Sill Stove Works, 524 Oak Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Sparrow, F. S., employment manager, Hood Rubber Co., Watertown, Mass.

Sparrow, John, employment manager, Cleveland Welding & Manufacturing Co., West One hundred and seventeenth Street and Berea Road, Cleveland, Ohio.

Squire, Samuel H., president, Milwaukee Electric Crane & Manufacturing Co., Milwaukee, Wis.

Stafford, J. P., welfare manager, Swift & Co., Chicago, Ill.

Stamm, F. H., assistant to general superintendent, Sears, Roebuck & Co., Chicago, Ill.

Stamm, W. R., manager welfare department, Sears, Roebuck & Co., Chicago, Ill.

Stanley, Geo. J., district manager, Aluminum Co. of America, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Stearns, Walter D., Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa.

Steely, Dale G., general superintendent, W. F. Schrafft & Sons (Inc.), 160 Washington Street North, Boston, Mass.

Stephenson, H., industrial engineer, Eastman Kodak Co., Kodak Park, Rochester, N. Y.

Stewart, Ethelbert, chief statistician, United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C.

Stewart, H. L., employment manager, The Columbia Axle Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Stewart, W. J., assistant to superintendent, By-Products Coke Corporation, South Chicago, Ill.

Stigbert, A. O., assistant superintendent, Stromberg-Carlson Telephone Co., 1050 University Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Stone, Geo. H., employment manager, Locomobile Co. of America, Bridgeport, Conn.

Storke, C. W., secretary, Employers' Association, Auburn, N. Y.

Stowell, E. A., general employment manager, Manning, Maxwell & Moore (Inc.), 119 West Fortleth Street, New York City.

Sullivan, G. L., employment manager, Worthington Pump & Machinery Corporation, Blake & Knowles Works, East Cambridge, Mass.

Sutton, F. Cherrle, assistant superintendent, State Employment Bureau, 120 West Jefferson Street, Syracuse, N. Y.

Swallow, W. C., employment manager, Amoskeag Manufacturing Co., Manchester, N. H.

Switzer, E. T., general superintendent, Aberfoyle Manufacturing Co., Chester, Pa.

T.

Talbot, Mrs. Anne Hedges, New York State Department of Education, Albany, N. Y.

Talbot, Winthrop M. D., adviser in alien education, Bureau of Industries and Immigration.

Taylor, H. C., superintendent, New York State Bureau of Employment, 122 St. Paul Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Taylor, J. E., employment manager, Mobile Shipbuilding Co., Mobile, Ala.

Taylor, T. V., assistant superintendent, Buckeye Steel Castings Co., Columbus, Ohio.

Taylor, W. J., employment manager, American Can Co., New York City.

Tead, Mrs. Clara M., supervisor, Ordnance Department, Women's Branch, Seventh and D Streets, Washington, D. C.

Tead, Ordway, Bureau of Industrial Research, Washington, D. C.

Teele, W. R., publicity engineer, General Electric Co., Seventh and Willow Streets, Philadelphia, Pa.

Thomas, L. I., managing editor, *Factory Magazine*, A. W. Shaw Co., 5 North Wabash Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

Thompson, Alfred, employment manager, American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., Erie, Pa.

Thompson, L. W., employment manager, Wagner Electric Manufacturing Co., St. Louis, Mo.

Thompson, Ralph E., Gillette Safety Razor Co., Boston, Mass.

Thomsen, May, assistant employment manager, Joseph & Felss Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Tolsted, Elmer B., supervising engineer, Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Torrey, Arthur M., secretary, Employers' Association of North Jersey, 45 Academy Street, Newark, N. J.

Townsend, S. Paul, secretary, Boston Employment Managers' Association, 201 Devonshire Street, Boston, Mass.

Trevaskiss, E. F., employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Parlin, N. J.

Trimble, H. A., assistant superintendent, Bowen Product Corporations, 7 Canal Street, Auburn, N. Y.

Truesdell, Marion, Independence Inspection Bureau, 137 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Tuck, Nicholas, superintendent, Rosenberg Bros. Co., Rochester, N. Y.

Tulloch, Donald, secretary, Worcester Branch, National Metal Trades Association, Worcester, Mass.

Tulloch, Donald, jr., secretary, National Metal Trades Association, Boston, Mass.

Turner, G. B., employment manager, Hartford Rubber Works, Hartford, Conn.

Tuttle, Harvey N., employment manager, Pollak Steel Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.

Tyler, L. S., vice president, Acme Wire Co., New Haven, Conn.

Tyson, Francis, professor of economics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa.

V.

Vall, R. W., employment manager, American Ship Building Co., Buffalo, Dry Dock Co., 301 Ganson Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Vander Pyl, John C., employment manager, American Hard Rubber Co., College Point, N. Y.

Van Geyt, Peter J., employment manager, 110 Augustine Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Van Riper, T. C., superintendent, Morris & Co., Union Stock Yards, Chicago, Ill.

Van Sickem, E. G., assistant superintendent, Jacob Dold Packing Co., Buffalo, N. Y.

Van Valkenburgh, R. M., assistant general employment manager American Ship Building Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Varnum, Earl F., employment manager, Commonwealth Steel Co., Granite City, Ill.

Very, Edward M., manager, Lockwood, Greene & Co., Boston, Mass.

Vickeys, W. H., employment manager, Bartlett, Hayward & Co., Baltimore, Md.

W.

Wade, John F., works manager, Bristol Brass Co., Bristol, Conn.

Wagner, R. J., employment manager, Kilbourne & Jacobs Manufacturing Co., Columbus, Ohio.

Walker, Clara G., assistant supervisor, State Employment-Vocational Department, 387 East Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Walter, H. H., assistant general superintendent, International Nickel Co., Bayonne, N. J.

Walton, E. C., jr., assistant superintendent, Ault & Wiborg Co., 432 New Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Warner, Ruth, employment manager, International Magazine Co., 119 West Fortieth Street, New York City.

Way, Edward A., employment manager, Aberfoyle Manufacturing Co., Chester, Pa.

Wayne, B. P., employment manager, General Railway Signal Co., Lincoln Park, Rochester, N. Y.

Weakly, F. E., employment manager, Montgomery Ward & Co., Chicago, Ill.

Weed, T. L., employment manager, Stanley Works, New Britain, Conn.

Weitz, A. P., superintendent, National Brass Manufacturing Co., 193 Mill Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Wells, Ralph G., assistant chief employment manager, E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, Del.

Wells, W. S., assistant employment manager, Newburgh Shipyards (Inc.), Newburgh, N. Y.

Wemple, J. H., industrial service department, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.

West, Chas. H., employment manager, West Bros., Syracuse, N. Y.

Westerman, Miss S. A., office manager, *Farm Journal*, 230 South Seventh Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Westphal, Elmer, employment manager, Ericsson Manufacturing Co., 1100 Military Road, Buffalo, N. Y.

White, H. H., employment manager, Harrison Radiator Corporation, Lockport, N. Y.

White, L. M., assistant manager, Roessler & Hasslacher Chemical Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.

Wilcox, John M., employment manager, American Ship Building Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Will, Philip, vice president, Sill Stove Works, 524 Oak Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Wille, Henry, employment supervisor, American Locomotive Co., Dunkirk, N. Y.

Willey, C. E., vice president, Jas. Clark, jr., Electric Co., Louisville, Ky.

Williams, Mrs. Jane C., personnel manager, Plimpton Press, Norwood, Mass.

Williams, Whiting, director of personnel, Hydraulic Press Steel Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Williamson, D. V., production manager, Detroit Gear & Machine Co., 127 Franklin Street, Detroit, Mich.

Willingham, W. B., secretary and treasurer, Willingham Tift Co., Atlanta, Ga.

Willis, L. J., employment manager, Rochester Stamping Co., 12 Saratoga Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Wilson, G. K., employment manager, Devie & Co., Moline, Ill.

Wilson, H. R., superintendent of employment, American Smelting & Refining Co., Perth Amboy, N. J.

Wilson, Ralph B., chairman of faculty, Boston University, 525 Boylston Street, Boston, Mass.

Wilson, Robert L., assistant general superintendent, Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., East Pittsburgh, Pa.

Winans, W. H., employment manager, National Carbon Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

Windsor, B. G., superintendent of labor, Continental Can Co., Twenty-second and Halsted Streets, Chicago, Ill.

Windsor, M. V., employment manager, Vogt Manufacturing & Coach Lace Co., 408 St. Paul Street, Rochester, N. Y.

APPENDIX B.

Winslow, H. C., employment manager, New England Structural Co., 110 State Street, Boston, Mass.

Witte, F. W., employment director, Emerson Co., 30 Church Street, New York City.

Woerner, Otto, superintendent, Edwin J. Schoettle Co., 533 North Eleventh Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Wolf, Dale, director employment and service, Miller Lock Co., 4523 Tacoma Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Wolf, W. R., assistant manager, Central Employment Department, 1044 University Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Wood, R. T., employment manager, Standard Tool Co., 6900 Central Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.

Woodelton, Geo. O., employment manager, Thomas-Morse Aircraft Corporation, Ithaca, N. Y.

Woolford, Cator, president, Retail Credit Co., Atlanta, Ga.

Worthey, C. F., employment manager, Gray & Davis (Inc.), Boston, Mass.

Wright G. S., employment manager, Vacuum Oil Co., 926 Exchange Street, Rochester, N. Y.

Wright, H. A., sales manager, The Hindey Machine Co., Torrington, Conn.

Wynn, Elmer E., labor manager, D. Goff & Sons, Pawtucket, R. I.

Y.

Yeager, H. M., assistant superintendent, Fedders Manufacturing Co. (Inc.), 57 Tonawanda Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Young, A. H., director, American Museum of Safety, 18 West Twenty-fourth Street, New York City.

Young, H. G., employment manager, Cole Motor Car Co., Indianapolis, Ind.

Z.

Zabel, Wm., engineer of production, Union Switch & Signal Co., Swissvale, Pa.

Zeller, Wm. J., employment manager, Duestor Gear Co. (Inc.), Syracuse, N. Y.

Zimmer, V. A., superintendent, New York State Public Employment Bureau, Buffalo, N. Y.

ADDITIONAL COPIES
OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE PROCURED FROM
THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

AT
20 CENTS PER COPY



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

ROYAL MEEKER, Commissioner

BULLETIN OF THE UNITED STATES } } No. 241
BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS }

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES
IN THE UNITED STATES

BY JOHN G. HERNDON, Jr.



JULY, 1918

WASHINGTON
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
1918

CONTENTS.

| | Page. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Introduction..... | 5-14 |
| Development of the public employment office idea..... | 7, 8 |
| Object and scope of the study..... | 8, 9 |
| Discontinued public employment offices..... | 9-11 |
| Methods used in the study..... | 11, 12 |
| Classification of public employment offices..... | 12, 13 |
| Extent of the movement for public employment offices..... | 13, 14 |
| State and city public employment offices..... | 14-47 |
| Dates of establishment..... | 14-16 |
| Suitableness of location of offices..... | 16-18 |
| Equipment..... | 18-22 |
| Personnel and manner of appointment..... | 23-25 |
| Office hours..... | 25-29 |
| Appropriations and expenditures..... | 29-31 |
| Fees..... | 31 |
| Methods used to secure applicants and places for applicants..... | 31-36 |
| Preferences in placements..... | 36, 37 |
| Efforts to ascertain moral conditions at places of employment..... | 37, 38 |
| Policy with reference to industrial disturbances..... | 38, 39 |
| Seasonal and temporary placements..... | 40, 41 |
| Frequency of reports..... | 41 |
| Contents of reports..... | 42-44 |
| Relation between public and private offices..... | 44-47 |
| General employment offices..... | 48-52 |
| Legislative enactments..... | 48, 49 |
| The zone system..... | 49, 50 |
| Services rendered..... | 51, 52 |
| State or public or semipublic employment offices..... | 52-64 |
| Offices engaged primarily in other work..... | 53, 54 |
| Offices privately operated for the general public but not under govern- mental control..... | 54, 55 |
| State university agencies..... | 55-57 |
| Offices maintained by chambers of commerce..... | 57, 58 |
| Noncommercial agencies supervised by some public employment bureau..... | 58-60 |
| Vocational guidance bureaus..... | 60-64 |
| Conclusion..... | 64-72 |
| Need of uniform system of records, reports, and definitions of terms..... | 64, 65 |
| Recommendations of committee on standardization..... | 65-70 |
| Cooperation between Federal and other public employment offices..... | 70-72 |
| Index tables..... | 73-100 |

BULLETIN OF THE U. S. BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS.

NO. 241.

WASHINGTON.

JULY, 1918.

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

INTRODUCTION.

The growing interest in the development of public employment offices, together with the rapid increase in their number, suggested to the United States Commissioner of Labor Statistics the appropriateness and timeliness of a study of their methods and practices. The American Association of Public Employment Offices, at its annual meeting in Detroit, in June, 1915, requested that the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics make an investigation of all employment offices, both public and private. An Employment Conference, called by Hon. William B. Wilson, Secretary of Labor, met in San Francisco, August 2 to 6, 1915, to discuss employment matters. This body desired that a study be made of employment offices in the United States, more particularly of the private agencies. The Bureau of Labor Statistics began a study of public employment offices in the spring of 1915. Many interruptions have interfered with the progress of the investigation and the writing of the report. An investigation of private employment agencies throughout the country was wholly out of the question because of the expense. Besides, the several investigations of these private offices already made have revealed all their characteristics.

One of the influences making for the rapid growth in the number and importance of public employment offices has been the flagrant evils connected with these private employment agencies. Of even greater importance in this development, however, is the growing sense that unemployment is a matter of deep concern to the public. The "right to work," contended for by the workers, can never be anything more than an abstract theory so long as the information as to the "manless job" and the "jobless man" is carefully guarded as the private property of private employment agencies, acting on the well-known principle of "charging all the traffic will bear." The worker's "right to work" implies a responsibility on the part of the public for the lack of work and involves the duty of the

public to supply the worker with all possible information about work to be obtained, and in the last analysis to furnish work to those who diligently seek it. One's sense of justice is offended at the thought of a willing and industrious worker being obliged to pay for the privilege of applying for a job. If the private employment agencies had been conducted with ordinary honesty and efficiency, the striving for a greater degree of justice to the worker would not have been able to make any headway against the accepted doctrine of individualism, which assumes that privately conducted businesses are always preferable to publicly conducted businesses. The irregularities and abuses of the private employment agencies, however, became too notorious to be overlooked.

The charges usually preferred against private employment agencies concern the fees exacted, the practices in referring applicants to jobs, and the places where the employment agencies are frequently located. Fees for registration were, and still are, charged by many private employment agencies, although these agencies make no effort to render any service in return for the fee. If the registered applicant makes a complaint, he is asked to pay an extra fee on the promise of getting first consideration. The fees charged are oftentimes exorbitant. "Fee splitting" is frequently practiced, part of the fee charged to the worker being paid over by the private employment agent to the employer or foreman. This practice is closely akin to job selling by foremen and superintendents.¹ Under this system the foreman or superintendent will hire a workman only on condition that the workman pay him a sum of money for the job. Both "fee splitting" and "job selling" result in short-term employment and frequent discharges, for each time a job is filled a new fee is "split" or a fresh price exacted. The resulting wastage from accelerated labor turnover, from extortionate and multiplied fees, from demoralization of workers, from unemployment and irregularity of employment, is incalculably great. Another complaint made against the private employment agencies is of carelessness or malicious chicanery in sending applicants unwarned to jobs where strikes are on, to jobs wholly unsuitable or beyond the capacity of the worker, to disreputable jobs, or to jobs that do not exist. This often means sending men and women considerable distances, often beyond the State lines, and leaving them stranded, to shift as best they can.

Many private agencies are located in disreputable slum sections, in saloons or other objectionable quarters, thus casting a stigma upon unemployment, coupling it with drunkenness, debauchery, and crimes, and putting placement work on the same footing with all charitable efforts to save the fallen, feed the hungry, clothe the naked, and pro-

¹ See Monthly Review of U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics for October, 1916, "Job selling in industrial establishments in Ohio," pp. 1 to 5.

vide coal for the cold and crutches for the crippled. Most unemployment has no connection whatever with any fault of the worker. Unemployment and irregular employment occur largely because of highly developed, highly specialized, and rapidly changing industries, coupled with a labor market almost completely unorganized or disorganized. More and more, intelligent people are coming to recognize that the industrial system is responsible in a large degree for unemployment, but whoever is responsible, the demoralizing consequences resulting from unemployment are so far-reaching that the State must set itself seriously to the task of prevention and cure. Prevention must come through regularizing seasonal and fluctuating industries, cutting down the turnover of labor, and undertaking public construction work during periods of depression. The cure proposed is the public employment office.

Even more important than the growing realization of the evils found in many private agencies is the development of the sense of public responsibility for unemployment. This is seen in the importance attached to this social ill by those planning conferences on the social problems of to-day. Labor officials, especially during the past few years, have been devoting an increasing amount of time to the problem of unemployment.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICE IDEA.

Quite similar to the development of the sense of public responsibility in matters of elementary and high school education and rural delivery has been the development of the feeling toward public employment offices.

When, in 1890, Ohio established 5 public employment offices, there was but little general demand therefor. Organized labor favored these offices, but there was no interest on the part of employers for their creation or maintenance.

As late as 1909, Edward T. Devine, professor of social economy, of Columbia University, in writing on *The Desirability of Establishing an Employment Bureau in New York*,¹ said:

I am of the opinion that the establishment of an employment bureau substantially on the lines indicated in Mr. Schiff's memorandum is desirable, that the need for such a bureau is very great, that it is not met by other existing agencies, and cannot be met by other plans more effectively or economically than by that proposed. The only serious modification which I would recommend is that a fee should be charged to employees, rather than to employers, unless it is found practicable and advisable to charge a fee to both. * * * If employers were charged and not employees, my fear would be that the tendency of the bureau would be to serve the interests of employers rather than those of employees. It is of course our desire that it should serve both, and primarily the community.

¹ Pp. 29, 30.

During the past seven or eight years there has been a wonderful development of public employment offices, in number, personnel and appropriations. Along with this increase has come the abolition of fees in all of them, except the office at Boise City, Idaho, which is mentioned on page 31.

OBJECT AND SCOPE OF THE STUDY.

The object of this study is to present a picture of the growth and the present importance of public employment offices in the United States. No attempt is made to cover private employment agencies. Facts are given for the various State and city offices studied, as to the following particulars:

(1) Date of establishment; (2) suitability of location; (3) equipment; (4) personnel and manner of appointment; (5) office hours; (6) appropriations; (7) methods used to secure applicants and places for applicants; (8) preferences in placements; (9) inquiries made of employers as to (a) moral conditions at places of employment; (b) industrial disputes at places of employment; and (c) whether seasonal and temporary jobs; (10) records kept and reports made; and (11) relation to private agencies.

Although this study treats primarily only of offices now in existence, brief mention is made of certain other offices not now operating which have had some influence in the development of public employment work, together with some account of the causes for their discontinuance. In gathering data for this bulletin all of the public employment offices in the United States known to be in operation at the present time were studied. In addition to these, various other employment agencies not strictly public were visited. These latter include offices opened to meet pressing temporary needs either of employers or of employees, and university employment offices.

While a few of the offices included in the enumeration on pages 12 and 13 are maintained only for certain types of workers and employers of certain sorts of labor, most of them are, in the fullest sense, public employment offices, where, theoretically at least, any person may apply for help or employment and have consideration given his request.

Some foreign labor exchanges are more or less actively concerned with such movements as vocational education, the granting of working certificates to school children, unemployment insurance, etc. Any mention of these or related subjects in the report is merely incidental to the general subject under consideration. There is no discussion of offices supported wholly by merchants' and manufacturers' associations. There is no mention of the general employment activities of the Y. M. C. A., Y. W. C. A., and similar institutions, nor

of any noncommercial agency not reporting to or supervised by some public employment office.

DISCONTINUED PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

A few public employment offices established in certain cities either by action of the municipal authorities or of the State legislature have been discontinued. A résumé of the New York free public employment offices, which were established by law May 28, 1896, and which continued in operation until 1906, is given in Bulletin No. 63 of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.¹

The act providing for the free public employment offices in New York became a law May 28, 1896, and was repealed in 1906. The chief cause for the failure of the offices provided for by this law was inadequate financial support. A study of the situation may afford some light on similar problems elsewhere.

The control of the private agency has been the chief objective in New York, for the especial reason that the helplessness of immigrants has made New York City a most favorable field for the development of the worst types of private agencies. As far back as 1888, before Ohio began the agitation for free employment offices, the New York assembly enacted a law requiring, or permitting municipalities to require, of private agencies a license, a bond, a return of transportation expenses incurred by the applicant under misrepresentation, a copy of the law to be printed on the back of the receipt, and that the street address of the place of business should appear in the license. A comparison of this with the act of April 27, 1904, shows that the same lines have been followed in the later act as were laid down in the earlier one, with two additional features, a register to be kept by the agency and the creation of the office of commissioner of licenses charged with the enforcement of this law. Thus the history of New York's attempt to control these private agencies may be epitomized as, first, an attempt by means of direct legislation, which failed; second, an attempt by indirect means—i. e., State competition—which also failed; third, a return to the earlier method, supplemented by provision for administration and financial support for the same. This is now on trial, with fair prospects of becoming a permanent success.

Judged in the light of what it has persistently attempted to do—namely, to control the private agencies—New York's legislative experience corroborates rather than contradicts that of other States. The first attempt failed because no special provision was made for its enforcement. The second attempt undoubtedly would have failed for the same reason, just as it had done in other States under like conditions, even if the appropriation had been adequate.

In Illinois the enforcement of the law is delegated to the free employment office, together with something like adequate financial support. Whatever may have been the motives for the course pursued in New York, whether a consideration for the interests of private agencies, a disbelief in the possibilities of the Illinois method, or a hostility to the public employment office, the sole object aimed at in the act of April 27, 1904, was improved administration. Both New York and Illinois have succeeded to a considerable degree, but the success of Illinois includes also the establishment of the free employment system which has other purposes to serve than merely to control private agencies. Thus it is evident that New York's rejection of her free employment system can not be

¹ Pp. 53 to 55.

taken as an indictment of such system in general, however much it may have been quoted to that effect. The cost to the city of New York of the office of commissioner of licenses from May, 1904, to September, 1905, inclusive, was: Amount expended, \$26,695.85; outstanding liabilities, \$500; total, \$27,195.85. The cost to the State of Illinois for maintaining the free public employment offices of Chicago for the year 1905 was \$25,755.

It is worth while in this connection, though aside from the main purpose, to mention a movement among the better class of private agencies which deserves the widest imitation. This movement, under the name of "The Employment Agents' Society," was incorporated under the laws of the State of New York in January, 1906. Its purposes as stated in the certificate of incorporation are—

To cooperate with the duly constituted authorities charged with the enforcement of all laws relating to employment agencies, to the unemployed, and to wageworkers in general, to effect a union of all reputable persons interested in or engaged in the employment agency business, to bring about a better acquaintance among employment agents in the State, to investigate frauds alleged to have been committed by employment agents in this State, and to aid in bringing to justice those agents who practice dishonesty; to procure the enactment of laws necessary to the welfare of the unemployed, the employers, and employment agents.

Such an association can lend the most valuable assistance in the enforcement of the law and at the same time secure to itself the confidence of the public.

The State commissioner of labor of New York in 1905 "secured the volunteer assistance" of a commission of five men interested in charitable work to investigate and report upon the condition of the free employment office. On July 24 this commission reported the following conclusions:

1. That the bureau is in effect an intelligence office for women domestic servants.
2. That the sum appropriated for the maintenance of the bureau (\$5,000) is entirely inadequate to conduct a bureau which might have an effect upon the labor situation in the State in general.
3. That the energy represented by the expenditure of \$5,000 annually, or any larger sum, will at this time produce the best results by dealing with the problem of factory inspection and child labor.
4. That, for the reasons set forth above, the free employment bureau should be discontinued at the end of the present fiscal year.

These conclusions, manifestly, are purely local in their application, and do not affect the general proposition for or against the State employment office.

In this connection the experience of Maryland is significant. The following statement is taken from the Twenty-fourth Annual Report, Bureau of Statistics and Information, Maryland, 1915:

EFFORTS TO ESTABLISH A LABOR EXCHANGE.

Although the bureau's organization and resources were under heavy burden to meet the administrative demands of the child labor and factory inspection laws, yet an effort was made during a period of excessive unemployment to establish a labor exchange. An office was opened at the corner of Guilford Avenue and Lexington Street [Baltimore] in June of 1915. An employment expert, Mr. W. B. Leiserson, was engaged to advise the bureau as to the best methods of bringing the jobless man into touch with the manless job. Two of

the regular inspectors were assigned to the work of registering applications and getting into touch with employers.

By the time the office had been opened 125 days two situations developed, either one of which would have rendered unadvisable the continuation of the experiment. In the first place, the increasing demand for labor from munition and general war supply factories all over the country reduced unemployment in the city to a minimum. In the second place, the brief experience proved that the office was not equipped with an organization equal to the work of building up a labor exchange. The work called for the continued services of a managing expert. In view of these facts the recommendation of Mr. Leiserson to abandon the project was accepted, the exchange was closed and the inspectors reassigned to regular service work.

During 1914 several cities of Montana and Idaho established employment offices, but these offices have ceased to exist.

In January, 1915, the city government of Kansas City, Mo., established an employment office under its department of public welfare. The quarters were cramped and badly situated, being on the fourth floor of a building. No placement work for men was attempted after January, 1916, and at the end of June of that year all the work of the office was discontinued. All applicants were thereafter told to apply at the headquarters of the State-Federal office. For the fiscal year, which ended April 30, 1916, the expenses were as follows: Salaries to the two employees of the office for the period during which they served, \$1,800; rent, \$135; advertising, printing, and postage, \$83; all other expenses, \$249.

Changes in the number and location of public employment offices are occurring so rapidly that it is extremely difficult to report accurately on even such a matter as discontinued offices, for since the writing of the text of this bulletin the Berkeley, Cal., and Fall River, Mass., offices have ceased operations, because of the failure of the city council in the former case, and the State legislature in the latter, to appropriate therefor. The attorney general of Illinois, moreover, recently rendered an opinion that the city of Chicago can not legally maintain an employment office, and that office has since been discontinued.

METHODS USED IN THE STUDY.

In the collection of the information upon which this report is based, three methods were used. In nearly every instance personal visits to the offices were made, the operations of the offices studied, and schedules filled out by an agent of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. A few offices were opened, however, after the other offices in the neighborhood had been visited. Schedules for these were furnished by mail and supplemented by correspondence to clarify any questions not properly or fully answered. To many of the offices in the East and Middle West more than one visit was made in order to

verify statements of various changes made and to learn the conditions of operation at different seasons of the year.

Statistical tables of recent placement work were made by the agent visiting those offices which had no up-to-date reports. In other cases statistical tables prepared by the public employment office itself were transmitted with its schedules. In addition to these data, the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics has been publishing in its Monthly Review for the past two years reports of the activities of public employment offices all over the country. Annual reports have been issued by many offices since they were scheduled. In most such cases the statistics contained therein have been used to supplement those already obtained.

CLASSIFICATION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

The following table shows the location and control of the 96 public employment offices in the United States studied in detail for this report. This list includes all general public employment offices maintained by cities and States, with or without cooperation with each other or the Federal Government. (There is county cooperation in four cases.) It omits all exclusively Federal offices and those of the types described under the next topic, "Extent of the movement for public employment offices in the United States."

TABLE 1.—LOCATION AND CONTROL OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT BUREAUS IN THE UNITED STATES.

| State and city. | Control. | State and city. | Control. |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| <i>California.</i> | | <i>Indiana.</i> | |
| Berkeley..... | Municipal. | Evansville..... | State. |
| Los Angeles..... | Municipal-State. | Fort Wayne..... | Do. |
| Oakland..... | State. | Indianapolis..... | Do. |
| Sacramento..... | Municipal. | South Bend..... | Do. |
| Do..... | State. | Terre Haute..... | Do. |
| San Francisco..... | Do. | <i>Iowa.</i> | |
| <i>Colorado.</i> | | Des Moines..... | State. |
| Colorado Springs..... | State. | <i>Kansas.</i> | |
| Denver..... | County-Municipal. | Topka..... | State. |
| Do..... | State. | <i>Kentucky.</i> | |
| Do..... | Do. | Louisville..... | Municipal-private. |
| Pueblo..... | Do. | Do..... | State. |
| <i>Connecticut.</i> | | <i>Massachusetts.</i> | |
| Bridgeport..... | State. | Boston..... | State. |
| Hartford..... | Do. | Fall River..... | Do. |
| New Haven..... | Do. | Springfield..... | Do. |
| Norwich..... | Do. | Worcester..... | Do. |
| Waterbury..... | Do. | <i>Michigan.</i> | |
| <i>Idaho.</i> | | Battle Creek..... | State. |
| Boise..... | Municipal. | Bay City..... | Do. |
| <i>Illinois.</i> | | Detroit..... | Do. |
| Chicago..... | Municipal. | Flint..... | Do. |
| Do..... | State. | Grand Rapids..... | Do. |
| East St. Louis..... | Do. | Jackson..... | Do. |
| Peoria..... | Do. | Kalamazoo..... | Do. |
| Rock Island-Moline..... | Do. | Lansing..... | Do. |
| Rockford..... | Do. | | |
| Springfield..... | Do. | | |

INTRODUCTION.

TABLE 1.—LOCATION AND CONTROL OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT BUREAUS IN THE UNITED STATES—Concluded.

| State and city. | Control. | State and city. | Control. |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Michigan—Con.</i> | | <i>Oklahoma.</i> | |
| Muskegon..... | State. | Enid..... | State. |
| Saginaw..... | Do. | Muskogee..... | Do. |
| <i>Minnesota.</i> | | Oklahoma..... | Do. |
| Duluth..... | State. | Tulsa..... | Do. |
| Minneapolis..... | Do. | <i>Oregon.</i> | |
| St. Paul..... | Do. | Portland..... | Municipal. |
| <i>Missouri.</i> | | <i>Pennsylvania.</i> | |
| Kansas City..... | State-Federal. | Altoona..... | State. |
| St. Joseph..... | State. | Harrisburg..... | Do. |
| Do..... | County-Municipal. | Johnstown..... | Do. |
| St. Louis..... | State-Federal. | Philadelphia..... | Do. |
| <i>Montana.</i> | | Pittsburgh..... | Do. |
| Butte..... | Municipal. | <i>Rhode Island.</i> | |
| <i>Nebraska.</i> | | Providence..... | State. |
| Lincoln..... | Municipal. | <i>Texas.</i> | |
| Do..... | State. | Dallas..... | Municipal. |
| Omaha..... | Federal - State - County - Municipal. | Fort Worth..... | Do. |
| <i>New Jersey.</i> | | <i>Virginia.</i> | |
| Jersey City..... | Federal-State-Municipal. | Richmond..... | Municipal. |
| Newark..... | Do. | <i>Washington.</i> | |
| <i>New York.</i> | | Bellingham..... | Federal-Municipal. |
| Albany..... | State. | Everett..... | Municipal. |
| Brooklyn..... | Do. | Seattle..... | Do. |
| Buffalo..... | Do. | Spokane..... | Do. |
| New York..... | Municipal. | Takoma..... | Federal-Municipal. |
| Rochester..... | State. | <i>Wisconsin.</i> | |
| Syracuse..... | Do. | La Crosse..... | State-city. |
| <i>Ohio.</i> | | Milwaukee..... | State-county-city. |
| Akron..... | State-Municipal. | Oshkosh..... | State-city. |
| Cincinnati..... | Do. | Superior..... | Do. |
| Cleveland..... | Do. | | |
| Columbus..... | Do. | | |
| Dayton..... | Do. | | |
| Toledo..... | Do. | | |
| Youngstown..... | Do. | | |

From this list it is seen that the controlling authority is the city in 15 offices; the county and city in 2; the State in 60; the State and city in 11; and the State, county, and city in 1; while in 7 cases the Federal Government shares in the work done in the offices studied, in two places cooperating with the State and city wherein located, in two cases with the State alone, in two others with the city only, and in one with the State, county, and city. Of the municipal offices, one is financed in part by private contributions from citizens interested in its welfare.

EXTENT OF THE MOVEMENT FOR PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

In addition to the public employment offices enumerated in Table 1, there are others of which note should be taken. These include six classes, as follows:

(1) Offices engaged primarily in other work but to some extent also in employment activities, such as is true of the bureau of marketing, office of the commissioner of commerce, industry and agriculture, Columbia, S. C., described on page 53.

(2) Offices privately operated for the general public but not under any form of governmental control, as the Atlanta, Ga., public employment office, described on pages 54 and 55.

(3) State university agencies, such as those maintained by the universities of Minnesota, Nebraska, and Wisconsin, described on pages 55 to 57.

(4) Chambers of commerce employment offices, such as are found at Madison, Wis., and Dubois, Pa., described on pages 57 and 58.

(5) Noncommercial agencies reporting to and supervised by some public employment office, such as the numerous agencies cooperating with the New York City (Municipal) and Brooklyn, N. Y. (State) bureaus, described on pages 58 to 60.

(6) Vocational guidance bureaus, such as are found in Chicago and Philadelphia, discussed on pages 60 to 64.

STATE AND CITY PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

DATES OF ESTABLISHMENT.

The first American public employment offices were established at Cleveland, Cincinnati, Columbus, Dayton, and Toledo, Ohio, by act of the State legislature, April 28, 1890. There had been some agitation for the establishment of such offices during the preceding three years, but the attention of the legislature was not focused on this subject until "the Municipal Congress of Cincinnati, an organization composed of all the trade and labor unions in that city, started an agitation in favor of 'Free public employment offices' being established by the State government in all of the large cities of the State."¹ After the establishment of these five offices, no other public employment offices were established for four years. During this time, however, considerable attention was being given to the work of these offices.²

In 1894 the city of Seattle established the first public municipal employment office in this country, and in the next year the State of Michigan opened in Detroit a public employment office. In 1899 the first Wisconsin public employment office was opened in the city of Superior; and in the same year the State of Illinois opened North, South, and West Side offices in Chicago. The following year the

¹ Fourteenth Annual Report of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (Ohio), 1890, p. 20.

² *Idem*; also Seventeenth Annual Report of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (Ohio), 1893, pp. 14 and 15; Eighteenth Annual Report of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (Ohio), 1894, p. 874.

State of Missouri provided for such employment offices in St. Louis, Kansas City, and St. Joseph. At the close of the last century, therefore, there were 12 public labor exchanges maintained separately or conjointly by States or cities.

During 1901 offices were opened in Milwaukee, Wis., Peoria, Ill., and Topeka, Kans., in the Middle West, while Connecticut established public employment offices in Bridgeport, Hartford, New Haven, Norwich, and Waterbury, the first offices of this sort to be opened in the East. The next employment office established in the East was the Boston office, opened October 24, 1906, under the control of the Bureau of Statistics of Massachusetts. In the meanwhile offices had been opened in Sacramento, Cal., and Butte, Mont. (1902); La Crosse and Oshkosh, Wis. (1903); Tacoma, Wash. (1904); and Spokane, Wash. (1905).

The panic of 1907 aroused the public attention to the fact of extensive unemployment. One of the noticeable results was the establishment that year of 7 new public employment offices, and of 9 more in 1908. Before the thoughts of legislators had entirely turned away from the conditions of 1907 and 1908, provision was made in 1909 for four additional public employment offices.

In 1907 there were created State offices at Fall River and Springfield, Mass.; East St. Louis, Ill.; Kalamazoo and Saginaw, Mich., and Minneapolis, Minn., and the municipal office at Portland, Oreg. In 1908, State offices were established at Denver, Pueblo, and Colorado Springs, Colo.; Grand Rapids and Jackson, Mich.; Duluth and St. Paul, Minn.; Oklahoma City, Okla., and Providence, R. I.; and in 1909 at Springfield, Ill.; Indianapolis, Ind.; Muskogee, Okla.; and a municipal agency at Newark, N. J.

At the end of 1909 there were twice as many public employment offices as had been in existence in 1903—just as 1903 had seen a doubling of the number of offices since 1900.

In 1910, the Oklahoma Labor Department opened a third State office at Enid. In 1911, Indiana created offices at Evansville, Fort Wayne, South Bend, and Terre Haute, while in 1912 a municipal employment agency was opened in Everett, Wash., and a State office in Louisville, Ky.

During the business depression which began in 1913 and continued through 1914 and the early part of 1915, the number of public employment offices increased greatly. Exclusive of the Federal offices established during the latter part of that period, there were created during these three years 29 offices, as follows:

During 1913, Berkeley, Cal.; Denver, Colo.; Rockford and Rock Island-Moline, Ill., and Worcester, Mass. During 1914, Los Angeles, Cal.; St. Joseph, Mo.; New York, N. Y.; Dallas and Fort Worth, Tex., and Bellingham, Wash. During 1915, Boise, Idaho; Chicago,

Ill.: Des Moines, Iowa; Louisville, Ky.; Flint, Mich., and Lincoln, Nebr. (State and municipal); Albany, Brooklyn, Buffalo, Rochester and Syracuse, N. Y.; Akron and Youngstown, Ohio; Tulsa, Okla.; Philadelphia, Harrisburg, and Johnstown, Pa., and Richmond, Va.

In 1916 eleven other offices came into existence: Jersey City, N. J.; Altoona and Pittsburg, Pa.; Sacramento, San Francisco, and Oakland, Cal.; Battle Creek, Bay City, Lansing, and Muskegon, Mich.; and Omaha, Nebr.

The grand total thus attained was 96—exactly one-half of which have been created since 1910. The increase in the number of American public employment offices is shown in the table following:

TABLE 2.—NUMBER OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES ESTABLISHED IN THE UNITED STATES, BY YEAR GROUPS, 1890 TO 1916.

| Year group. | New offices established. | |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | Number. | Yearly average. |
| 1890..... | 5 | 5.0 |
| 1891-1895..... | 2 | .4 |
| 1896-1900..... | 5 | 1.0 |
| 1901-1905..... | 14 | 2.8 |
| 1906-1910..... | 23 | 4.6 |
| 1911-1915..... | 36 | 7.2 |
| 1916..... | 11 | 11.0 |

The chief significance of this table is that it shows that ever since 1890 more public employment offices have been established in each five-year group than in the preceding one, increasing from a yearly average of 0.4 during 1891-1895 to 7.2 from 1911 to 1915.

SUITABLENESS OF LOCATION OF OFFICES.

Nearly all public employment offices are located in the business sections of their respective cities. The offices at Topeka, Kans., and Lincoln, Nebr., located in the State Capitol Building, and that at Des Moines, Iowa, in a building adjacent to the State capitol, are among the exceptions to this rule.

A clear-cut division between the wholesale, retail, and manufacturing districts of many cities is impossible. In the smaller cities in which public employment offices are maintained this is especially true. In the larger cities a more nearly accurate statement may be made. For example, the New York municipal bureau is in one of the wholesale districts of the city, while the Brooklyn office is in the heart of the retail section of that borough. The Philadelphia bureau is on the outskirts of the main retail district, while the Pittsburg office is located within that city's wholesale district. In

Cleveland the offices are in the new city hall on the Lake front, near the center of the retail business section, and not far from the wholesale section. In Detroit the State employment agency is within the wholesale district. The main State employment office in Chicago is within "The Loop," while the unskilled-labor branch is situated in a wholesale district not far from the union station.

Of course a public employment office should be located within easy access of a considerable part of the local labor supply, and, so far as possible, in a representative business section of the city. Too often these factors count for but little in the actual selection. Altogether too frequently locations are determined by the meagerness of the appropriation for maintenance. In many instances the office is located in a State, county, or city building, wholly without regard to the suitability of such a situation, merely because little or no rent is charged. One office is located in the county courthouse because the nominal rent charged is the amount which the janitor demands as an additional payment on account of his increased duties. Another office is in the city hall, in an inside room, difficult to reach, and so small and ill ventilated that the atmosphere is always bad. This room has to be used because the appropriations are inadequate to pay for more suitable quarters. In one State the authorized expenditure for each employment office created by the legislature is \$250 per annum for equipment, rent, heat, light, telephone, and postage. One public employment office in another State is located in a basement room of the city hall, a building which is approximately a mile and a half from the business center of the city. This undesirable location is due wholly to the fact that the city charges no rent for this room and the legislature has appropriated no money to be used as rent for quarters for this office. So long as such a policy of penuriousness is practiced by legislatures, the public employment offices can never do really worth while work. The employment officers who suffer from the legislation of lawmakers, whose idea of a public employment office is an office which costs the taxpayers nothing, will continue to receive blame that properly belongs to the legislatures which create the offices and then starve them.

It must not be assumed, however, that all locations in public buildings are unfortunate. On the contrary, in many cases they are quite satisfactory, as for example, the present quarters of the Cleveland office, the New York municipal office, the La Crosse, Wis., office, and certain others. The equipment is not sufficient in some of these offices, but unquestionably the location is quite as satisfactory as could be reasonably expected.

Quite as important as geographical location with reference to workers' families (labor supply) and business houses (labor demand)

is location with reference to the street level. One public employment office is located on the thirteenth floor of an office building; one is located on the eighth floor; and one on the sixth floor of a business building. By way of contrast, a great many offices are situated in basements, this condition being true of eight public agencies visited. Less than half of the total number of public employment offices are located on the ground floor.

EQUIPMENT.

The matter of equipment is of vital importance to the successful conduct of an employment office. In the study of public employment offices covered by this report a very wide difference was found in the character and extent of their equipment. The ideal office would be one well located, both with reference to its nearness and its easy accessibility to persons desiring work. It should be on the first or ground floor, if possible. The quarters should be large enough to avoid crowding, with separate rooms for unskilled laborers, skilled male applicants, women and juveniles. There should be private offices where the superintendent or other officers can have personal conversations, when desirable, with applicants. Light and ventilation should be good, and toilet accommodations sufficient and sanitary. Desks and filing cases should be provided, to enable those in charge to do their work efficiently and to keep their records so they will be easily and readily accessible. It goes without saying that telephones, typewriters, and other accessories should be provided.

In contrast to the above, some offices were found to be poorly situated, in dark basements or on floors several stories above street level, without regard to location, in some cases reached by dark hallways, the rooms small, poorly lighted, ill ventilated, with no provision for privacy of conversation, and with but few or none of the modern office accessories which make for efficient work and the keeping of adequate records. The possession or absence of desirable quarters, furniture, and equipment probably depends largely upon the amount of appropriations available and the ideas of the superintendent or other responsible person as to what constitutes good equipment.

Of the 96 offices studied in detail, separate waiting rooms for male and female applicants are provided in exactly half this number. Separate waiting rooms for juvenile applicants were found in only two offices. The superintendents of 36 of these public employment bureaus have offices which are more or less private, which may be used for consultation with employers seeking help or with individual applicants having special matters to bring to the attention of the superintendent.

The following descriptions of the equipment of a few of the offices visited will give a general idea of the differences in the equipment of some of the best and some of the worst equipped offices:

Office No. 1 is one of the best equipped offices visited. Separate rooms of sufficient size, all well kept and thoroughly ventilated, are provided for unskilled laborers (male), skilled applicants (male), juveniles (male), and all women workers. The superintendents of the various departments and the general superintendent all have private offices and individual telephones. The public building in the basement of which this office is situated was but recently constructed. Its corridors are wide and well lighted. Many toilets are provided and all are sanitary. It is in connection with the unskilled male applicants that the chief drawback of this office appears. The waiting room provided for their use, while not small in comparison with the space provided in offices in many other cities, is so limited that in busy times applicants are frequently uncomfortably crowded. There are but few benches provided for these men. For purposes both of office efficiency and ventilation there is a thrice-a-day regular clearing out hour when no applications for unskilled work are received. The location of the office is only moderately good from the standpoint of the nearness of the labor supply. Furthermore, its proximity to the city's water front is of itself a handicap in cold weather, for it is necessary for those who seek employment—if they arrive before 7 a. m.—to huddle together outside the office, often shivering on account of the intense cold, until the doors are opened.

Office No. 2 was originally one very large room with two separate entrances. For the purposes of this agency partition walls were erected to create a separate waiting room for women. A second set of partitions made an office room for the superintendent. In this room, which is extremely crowded, are desks for five employees, including the telephone switchboard operator. The women's department is attractive, with chairs and several desks for the use of applicants in making out their applications. There are two divisions in the female department, one for mercantile, industrial, and professional help, and the other for domestic, hotel, and restaurant help. In both the women's and men's departments reading matter, consisting of newspapers, magazines, and general material concerning trade opportunities, is provided. Although the men's department looks very uninviting, it serves its purpose well. At four desks in a row well up toward the front of the room clerks have charge of the following four classes of workers: (1) Juveniles, (2) tradesmen and professionals, (3) farm hands and domestics, and (4) laborers. Iron railings separate the groups and materially assist in the maintenance of order. Toilets are provided for men patrons of the office.

Office No. 3 consists of three separate parts, one for general and unskilled laborers, another for boys and men, exclusive of unskilled laborers, and another for girls and women. The equipment, which is especially noteworthy, includes the following: Several large blackboards on which all opportunities for employment are listed; signs bearing the inscription "This is not a charitable institution but a public service maintained by the State"; a mimeograph machine which is put to important uses each day; a private telephone exchange system with ten incoming trunk lines connecting all parts of the office; two file cases of suitable size and construction for their respective uses—card records and correspondence; five first-class typewriters; time recording clocks used by all employees of the agency; and name signs on the desks of each officer. The fact that the amount paid out in rent alone in 1916-17 was nearly \$7,000 indicates something of the elaborateness of the "plant." Moreover, the annual expenditure for the maintenance and equipment of this office is approximately \$5,000.

But even this office has many drawbacks and handicaps which were discussed in a special report of an investigation of this agency made at the request of its superintendent by an inspector of the State board of health. The following unfavorable comments are taken directly therefrom:

MAIN OFFICE.

Light reaches the back and front parts of the office satisfactorily enough, but in the central part where several clerks work the light is so weak even on bright days that artificial lighting is essential.

No means are provided for ventilation except through five small transoms, each about 5 feet by 1½ feet in area. These transoms are swung at the center and cannot be opened so as to make available the full opening. On quiet days there is practically no movement of air through the room, though on windy days considerable change of air takes place, causing objectionable and injurious draughts. When the wind is from the west much of the air that enters through the transoms is the exhaust air from a neighboring restaurant kitchen with all its accompanying odors of cooking.

The office space occupies the center of the room and is surrounded by a low railing. Instead of this railing there should be partitions similar to those used in banks and express companies, with windows cut therein through which conversations between employees of the bureau and applicants for employment should take place.

The offices are exceedingly difficult to keep clean and sanitary because of the wooden floor, plastered walls, and poor furniture, especially the benches occupied by applicants.

There are no public toilets for men and the existing men employees' toilet has wooden floors and plastered walls.

There is a genuine need for three new typewriters, two cloakrooms, modern desks for the general superintendent and the chief clerk, instead of the antiquated ones which they now have.

STATE AND CITY PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

FEMALE DEPARTMENT.

Here the only means of securing ventilation is the opening of a door in the rear and windows in the front. This on quiet days produces no effective change of air, and on windy days causes draughts.

The room is a most unprepossessing place in general appearance, having on one side a white plastered wall, more or less dirty, and on the other, a white-washed brick wall. The ceiling shows the bare wood of the floor construction of the succeeding story.

The window lighting is wholly inadequate, especially in the rear part, which receives practically no daylight. The artificial lighting system is, however, satisfactory.

A suitable comfort room for women seeking employment is the greatest need of the department. The women employees of the office certainly need a room to which they may retire at noon and for rest.

GENERAL LABOR DEPARTMENT.

The lighting, which is entirely from the front through plate glass windows, is wholly inadequate and reaches scarcely one-third of the distance from the rear of the office even on bright days.

The lack of ventilation in this room last summer became so bad that a 24-inch fan was installed at the back of the room, which draws the air from the room through two 16-inch belts, and discharges it into an alleyway in the rear. Thus a great improvement has already been made, but further gains along these lines are essential.

This room is more unprepossessing looking than the others. Furthermore, recent adjustments of grades in the neighborhood of the railroad station have caused the floor of this room now to be one or two feet below the sidewalk level. This condition materially increases the amount of dust that enters the room in dry weather, much to the discomfort of all occupants.

Since the State inspector's report was made a new double position telephone switchboard has been installed and placed in a different location from the old one, which gives about 160 additional feet of floor space. Several new telephone terminals and trunk lines have been added, which provide much better telephone service, and better lighting facilities have been provided. The room for the unskilled labor office has been rearranged and iron railings added, which has greatly increased the space utilized by the applicants. On the whole the changes and improvements have added greatly to the efficiency of the office.

Office No. 4 is located below the level of the street in the basement of a public building and is reached by following a long, dark, winding hallway. Years ago there was painted on the windows of the office the legend—"Free Employment Office." The words are now scarcely distinguishable. The light admitted through the two windows is inadequate even on bright days, while during the winter months the office is wholly dependent on artificial light. The equipment consists of two tables, a desk, one telephone, a few chairs, and a railing to indicate a separation of the superintendent's office from

the waiting room. In these quarters there is no possible privacy of conversation.

Office No. 5 is a half story underground in a building which years ago was used as a county courthouse. There appear outside the office announcements in Slovak and one other Slavic language, as well as in English, that here is the "—— Free Employment Agency." The only waiting room for men is a space approximately 14 feet by 7 feet without any conveniences and into which no light comes. There is no passageway from this room into the office of the clerk in charge of placements. Men apply for jobs through a kind of "bank" window. Naturally, this dark, unwholesome, smelly hole is a real menace to health. It receives a general cleaning only once in two weeks. This includes, however, a fumigation by the use of formaldehyde and other deodorants. A coal stove located in the superintendent's office (which adjoins that of the clerk above mentioned) is intended to heat both rooms and is successful in making the air more vitiated. There is no separate waiting room for women.

Office No. 6 is situated very near police headquarters in the basement of the city hall. The quarters are two tiny rooms, illy kept, badly ventilated, poorly lighted, and thoroughly unsanitary. Practically the only equipment is contained in the superintendent's office. Into this room are crowded four employees in a space sufficient for not more than two.

Office No. 7 has one entrance for all persons coming to it to secure help or employment. A low railing in the small waiting room separates the male and female applicants. There are two telephones provided, one belonging to each of the two companies serving the city. The floor space is very limited and the ceiling very low. A sufficient number of chairs is provided for the normal number of applicants. Ventilation is very poor and the employees frequently suffer from headache. One oversight by this office is the omission of the name of the bureau from the classified subscribers in the telephone directory. A stranger seeking to make use of the office would have considerable difficulty in learning its telephone number or location unless he happened to know the exact name under which it is listed in the general alphabetical arrangement of subscribers.

All of the offices have telephone service, varying from a single receiver to a double switchboard; yet the woeful lack of uniformity as to the name by which these public employment offices are locally known precludes the possibility of their rendering their maximum service, especially to newcomers. In the smaller cities this is not an important factor, but in metropolitan centers it constitutes a serious defect of the offices.

PERSONNEL AND MANNER OF APPOINTMENT.

There are 34 public employment offices which have but one employee each. In seven of these offices the employees give but a part of their time to employment matters. In one office the clerk in charge devotes on an average less than one hour a day to this sort of work. The rest of her day in the office is given over to her duties as stenographer in the State department of labor.

The other extreme is found in an office which has a general superintendent, a chief clerk, 3 department superintendents, 3 assistant department superintendents, 6 business solicitors, 5 general clerks, 4 department clerks, 3 file clerks, 1 interpreter, 4 stenographers, 2 telephone switchboard operators, 2 policemen (office paid), 1 messenger, and 2 janitors.

Between these limits come the greater number of public employment offices. A majority of these are run on the laissez-faire principle, the attitude of the superintendent being that the function of a public employment office is to "match" applications for help with applications for employment—not to "seek" business but to accept such as comes to it. Along this line it is worthy to note that only six offices have employees whose primary function is the solicitation of new business. Yet it must not be implied from the foregoing statement that none of the other bureaus solicit cooperation from employers and employees who have never before patronized the office; for many of them do. Not only do they do that but, dependent upon the personal initiative and interest of the superintendent, they attempt to find positions for applicants who seek work in lines for which no applications for workers have yet been received, or to secure applicants to fill calls already received.

In 4 of the 15 States which conduct two or more employment offices, all the appointees are men. In none of these four States is this action taken because of any legal requirements. Probably the determining factor to a considerable extent is politics. In none of these States are the employment office employees appointed as a result of civil-service examinations.

The table following shows how many offices have one employee, how many have two, and so on, and how many of these employees are appointed in accordance with civil-service laws:

TABLE 3.—NUMBER OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES HAVING EACH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, AND NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES UNDER CIVIL SERVICE.

| Number of employees per office. | Number of offices. | Number of employees. | | | Number of employees per office. | Number of offices. | Number of employees. | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| | | Under civil service. | Not under civil service. | Total. | | | Under civil service. | Not under civil service. | Total. |
| 1 employee..... | 9 | 9 | | 9 | 6 employees..... | 5 | 30 | | 30 |
| | 25 | | 25 | 25 | | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| | | | | | | 1 | | 6 | 6 |
| Total..... | 34 | 9 | 25 | 34 | Total..... | 7 | 32 | 10 | 42 |
| 2 employees..... | 9 | 18 | | 18 | 8 employees..... | 1 | | 8 | 8 |
| | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 employees..... | 1 | 9 | | 9 |
| | 9 | | 18 | 18 | 10 employees..... | 1 | 10 | | 10 |
| Total..... | 21 | 21 | 21 | 42 | 11 employees..... | 1 | 11 | | 11 |
| 3 employees..... | 6 | 18 | | 18 | 14 employees..... | 1 | 14 | | 14 |
| | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 16 employees..... | 1 | | 16 | 16 |
| | 3 | | 9 | 9 | 18 employees..... | 1 | 18 | | 18 |
| Total..... | 10 | 19 | 11 | 30 | 19 employees..... | 1 | 19 | | 19 |
| 4 employees..... | 4 | 16 | | 16 | 21 employees..... | 1 | 15 | 6 | 21 |
| 5 employees..... | 4 | 20 | | 20 | 38 employees..... | 1 | 28 | 10 | 38 |
| | 6 | 18 | 12 | 30 | All offices..... | 43 | 192 | | 192 |
| Total..... | 10 | 35 | 12 | 50 | | 13 | 67 | 37 | 104 |
| | | | | | | 40 | | 82 | 82 |
| | | | | | Total..... | 96 | 259 | 119 | 378 |

In those offices some of whose employees are, and some are not, appointed under civil-service regulations it is almost invariably true that the superintendents or persons in charge are not civil-service appointees. In other words, the principle of selection according to a merit basis is recognized as fitting for clerks, stenographers, typists, messengers, etc., but not for those in positions of responsibility.

The mere mention of the number of employees chosen under civil-service principles tends to exaggerate the true condition concerning their selection. For example, in one State a certain political party was in power for many years, but at an election a few years ago there was a change of control. Immediately the legislature enacted a law providing that the governor might waive the civil-service regulations on recommendation from the head of any department. Thereupon the State commissioner of labor, under whose direction the public employment offices are conducted, recommended that the rules be waived. This was done. The governor then appointed men of his own party to take the places of his political opponents who had been holding these positions. This change was made regardless of whether the work of these employment offices had been carried on satisfactorily or not. In one office, however, a man of the governor's own party who had been employed many years before but who had

since lost his eyesight was retained. After the new appointees had qualified they were "blanketed" into the civil service.

In another State whenever there is a change of political control all the officials of all the State public employment offices tender their resignations to the governor or the State labor commissioner, despite the fact that they are supposed to be appointed in accordance with the civil-service law.

In another State in which appointments to positions in all the employment offices come under the civil-service law it was found that in one city of over 100,000 population four out of five employees in one office were related to each other.

The fact that a person has passed a civil-service examination does not necessarily prove that he is the most competent person to fill a given position, but such an examination, based on the requirements of the service to be rendered, is a test of his education and general intelligence and his qualifications for the job, and it is fairly presumable that he would be better qualified than one who has undergone no test, but is selected on account of his political affiliations. Further, the civil-service regulations have a tendency to make employment permanent, and the knowledge gained by years of experience in the peculiar work of an employment office can be counted on to render a person a much more efficient and valuable worker than an inexperienced political appointee.

The distribution of authorized appropriations and the limited salaries allowed to employees of certain offices are factors entering into the selection of the best qualified persons for the various positions open. It is usually not the fault of an appointing officer that sometimes men and women of little or no training in the work expected of them are chosen. Too often the official in charge of appointments is helpless to do otherwise than as he does. The wonder is not that better employees are not chosen but that those appointed are as capable as they are in the management and other work of public employment offices.

In those offices which have separate departments for males and females it is generally true that a man, usually called the superintendent, is in charge of the male division, while his assistant is a woman and in charge of the female division. Yet there are exceptions to this general rule. In two offices of one State which is well advanced in public employment activities there is a general superintendent in each case in nominal charge of the office, but all placement work for both men and women is left to two assistants.

OFFICE HOURS.

The character of the labor with which an office must chiefly deal should determine the hour when it should be opened in the morn-

ing. Uniformity is therefore not necessary or even desirable, but a definite relation should exist between the local needs and the hours observed. It is manifestly unimportant whether the employees of an office which chiefly supplies help, and receives calls for help, on the "mail order" basis, report for duty at 8, 8.30, or 9 a. m. On the other hand, an office whose greatest opportunity for service lies in furnishing factory hands ought to open sufficiently early to enable the persons seeking employment through its instrumentality to report for work the same day and receive a full day's assignment. The adoption of such a policy may mean that complete readjustment must be made of the hours during which offices are to be kept open.

Furthermore, the fact that the unskilled labor branch of a public employment office opens at 7 a. m. is not by any means a sufficient reason why the mechanical and industrial or the clerical and professional branches ought to be opened at the same time.

The following table shows how many of the offices studied open at the hours designated:

TABLE 4.—NUMBER OF OFFICES WHICH OPEN AT EACH SPECIFIED HOUR.

| Hour for opening. | Number of offices. |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 7.00 a. m. | 15 |
| 7.30 a. m. | 10 |
| 8.00 a. m. | 52 |
| 8.30 a. m. | 10 |
| 9.00 a. m. | 9 |

While the closing hour of an employment office is not so important as the hour of opening, it is desirable that the office should remain open throughout the ordinary business hours.

The table which follows shows the closing hours of these employment offices, also the number closing at each hour:

TABLE 5.—NUMBER OF OFFICES WHICH CLOSE AT EACH SPECIFIED HOUR.

| Hour for closing. | Number of offices. |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| 11.30 a. m. | 4 |
| 3.30 p. m. | 1 |
| 4.00 p. m. | 20 |
| 4.30 p. m. | 4 |
| 5.00 p. m. | 61 |
| 5.30 p. m. | 3 |
| 6.00 p. m. | 3 |

The next table shows the number of hours per day, Monday to Friday inclusive, that the various offices remain open, and the number of offices which are open each specified number of hours:

TABLE G.—NUMBER OF OFFICES WHICH ARE OPEN EACH SPECIFIED NUMBER OF HOURS.

| Hours open daily, Monday to Friday, inclusive. | Number of offices. |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 4 hours..... | 4 |
| 7 hours..... | 14 |
| 7½ hours..... | 4 |
| 7¾ hours..... | 1 |
| 8 hours..... | 24 |
| 8½ hours..... | 2 |
| 9 hours..... | 33 |
| 9½ hours..... | 2 |
| 10 hours..... | 10 |
| 10½ hours..... | 1 |
| 11 hours..... | 1 |

Four of the Michigan offices open at 7.30 a. m. and close at 11.30 a. m. daily. During these hours they are probably enabled to transact more business than during any other four hours of the day. The question naturally arising is, "Why are they not open in the afternoons?" The answer is that they would be if the legislature had made an appropriation large enough to maintain them as they ought to be maintained and equipped. The latest annual appropriation for the Michigan Department of Labor was \$45,000, from which all expenses and the salaries of employees and officials, except the salaries of the commissioner and his two deputies, had to be paid. In view of the fact that all the work in connection with factory inspection, licensing of private employment agencies, inspection of mines and quarries, and conciliation and arbitration, in addition to conducting the public employment offices, had to be paid for out of that sum the inadequacy of the appropriation is easily discernible. It is deserving of note that despite the handicaps 10 offices were established, 4 of which, however, could remain open only four hours a day.

One of the Illinois State bureaus when visited was opened by the janitor at 6 a. m. to allow applicants to come inside and wait, instead of remaining in the street until 7 a. m., when the superintendent reported for duty. At the time when data for this report were secured no other public employment office was making any attempt to open before 7 a. m. The usefulness of these offices would be increased if they could be opened before rather than after 7 a. m. There are some exceptions to this statement, as in the case of offices like most of those found in the grain States, whose primary function is supplying information concerning labor conditions rather than engaging principally in actual placement work.

A most intensive investigation by State labor officials into employment needs would show whether public employment offices might profitably close earlier in the day than they now do. From an examination of afternoon conditions in many of the offices visited it is

believed that special hours within which to file applications should be set aside for persons seeking various sorts of work, the time saved being used in more thorough examinations of applications for help and employment in the interest of better placements. The information gained would also enable the office to close earlier. The special gain from an earlier closing is that an earlier opening is made possible, which is most desirable in all offices in industrial centers.

There are many variations from the hours here shown. For example, the two Denver State bureaus open half an hour later and close half an hour earlier during the winter months. Nearly all the offices (except those regularly closed Saturday afternoons) close earlier on Saturday in the summer months than at other seasons of the year. The harvest season causes many irregularities in the observance of hours. These include earlier openings, later closings, discontinuance of Saturday half-holidays, and in one State a full day's work on Sundays. The most extreme variation is found in the closing of the Fall River, Mass., office¹ for the entire month of August of each year.

Recently there has been a rather general attempt among the larger offices to set aside definite hours each day for registering applicants for specific classes of positions, but the office at Akron, Ohio, is the only one of the smaller offices known to have done likewise.

Notable examples of the idea of the division of the day into hourly groups according to the sort of work sought are found in Boston, Brooklyn, and Cleveland. In the Chicago State office it is well understood that juveniles seeking positions must apply before noon. Almost all offices, large and small, recognize the fact that unskilled labor positions are chiefly available early in the morning and that relatively few such placements are possible after noon.

As illustrative of a special hourly classification, reference is made here to page 19, Ninth Annual Report of the State Free Employment Offices, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, for the year ending November 30, 1915, where, in connection with the women's unskilled department, the following remarks are made:

The other important branch in this department is the housework girl division, to which during the past year the hours of from 1 p. m. to 3.30 p. m. have been devoted, the period from 1 p. m. to 2.15 p. m. being set apart for housekeepers, matrons, and housework women over 30 years of age. Owing to the depression in business a year and a half ago, it was found that the department was crowded from 9 to 12 and 1 to 4 with practically the same women, while hundreds were unable to come in. In order to make it practicable it was decided to divide the time the office was open for business into hours for various kinds of work. Accordingly it was arranged as follows:

¹ This office was discontinued early in 1917.

Washing, cleaning, scrub women, 9 to 10 a. m.; kitchen and restaurant, 10 to 11 a. m.; cooks, 10.30 a. m. to 12 m.; hotel workers, 11 a. m. to 12 m.; housework, 1 p. m. to close of business.

The change has been of great benefit to the employers and the women in search of work, as each hour sees new faces for the specified work in that hour. This has been particularly noticed in the housework division. The applicants are of better grade than formerly, especially in the younger element, who has previously declined to come and wait in overcrowded rooms; and although the demand is at present larger than the supply, we are now able to please employers with suitable girls.

The same idea of classification is carried out in detail for nearly all sorts of work in both the male and female departments in the Cleveland office.

APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES.

It has been impossible to secure complete financial data for all of the 96 State and municipal offices included in this part of this report. Various reasons may be given for this fact. First, there was the question of authority—some superintendents saying that they were without authority to reveal expenditures; second, in some cases there were private agreements between States and cities; and third, no additional appropriations were made by some municipalities and States to maintain public employment offices, persons already on their pay rolls being assigned to the task of conducting this new work.

In spite of these handicaps fairly accurate figures have been obtained from 92 offices as to expenditures for superintendence and salaries of employees, and from 93 offices as to the last annual rental paid. Whenever an office was opened for less than a full year the annual rate at which its employees and rent were paid has been used instead of the actual expenditure for the time included in the report. Therefore the figures shown in General Table A are to that extent comparable, for in each case they represent a full year's expenditure. The only difference consists in the fact that figures for the same year were not available in all cases. The latest figures have been used in each case.

Superintendence includes different items in different reports. The cost of general supervision of State offices has, in some State reports, been prorated to the accounts of the various agencies and has been included in the totals reported for superintendence. When, however, it has been possible to separate supervision charges from salaries paid employees, this has been done, and a statement of salaries paid only to the employees of the public employment offices has been used. Most reports do not include statements of expenses of supervision.

Furthermore, some offices with two employees consider one as a superintendent, the other as a clerk, while others regard both as superintendents, one of the male department, the other of the female, or perhaps one is considered as superintendent and the other as assistant superintendent. For the purpose of proper comparison the table that follows shows the range of salaries paid to the one person at the head of each agency. In some offices the one person employed is not technically regarded as the superintendent or manager or chief official of the office by the local authorities under whom he serves. For the purpose of tabulation, however, in these cases such a person has been considered as superintendent, although technically he is subordinate to some such person as a municipal director of public welfare.

TABLE 7.—NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT OFFICES PAYING EACH CLASSIFIED AMOUNT TO THE SUPERINTENDENT OR OTHER OFFICIAL IN CHARGE.

| Classified salaries paid to chief official. | Number of offices. | Classified salaries paid to chief official. | Number of offices. |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Less than \$500..... | 8 | \$1,701 to \$2,000..... | 12 |
| \$500 to \$1,000..... | 12 | \$2,100 to \$3,000..... | 3 |
| \$1,001 to \$1,200..... | 29 | Not reported..... | 7 |
| \$1,201 to \$1,400..... | 3 | | |
| \$1,401 to \$1,700..... | 22 | Total..... | 96 |

In order to use the data furnished concerning salaries paid other employees there has been subtracted from the total annual pay roll the amount received by superintendents. The resulting figures are shown by salary groups in Table 8:

TABLE 8.—NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT OFFICES EXPENDING EACH CLASSIFIED AMOUNT FOR SALARIES OTHER THAN SUPERINTENDENTS, DURING ONE YEAR.

| Classified amounts expended for clerical help. | Number of offices. | Classified amounts expended for clerical help. | Number of offices. |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Less than \$500..... | 8 | \$5,001 to \$10,000..... | 3 |
| \$501 to \$750..... | 6 | \$10,001 to \$12,000..... | 2 |
| \$751 to \$1,000..... | 10 | \$12,001 to \$18,000..... | 3 |
| \$1,001 to \$1,500..... | 7 | Over \$25,000..... | 1 |
| \$1,501 to \$2,000..... | 3 | Not reported..... | 26 |
| \$2,001 to \$3,000..... | 6 | | |
| \$3,001 to \$4,000..... | 11 | Total..... | 96 |
| \$4,001 to \$5,000..... | 4 | | |

In the tabulation of rents paid, as shown in Table 9, the expression "rent free" has been used in case the office is not charged with any expenditure for rent. In many cases the fact that rent is free is due to a spirit of cooperation between municipalities and counties and the State, although there may be no definite agreement between them to allow the maintenance of a public employment office in the city hall, county courthouse, or other public building.

TABLE 9.—NUMBER OF EMPLOYMENT OFFICES THAT EXPENDED EACH CLASSIFIED AMOUNT FOR RENT DURING ONE YEAR.

| Classified amounts paid for rent. | Number of offices. | Classified amounts paid for rent. | Number of offices. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Less than \$200..... | 7 | \$1,101 to \$1,200..... | 4 |
| \$201 to \$300..... | 7 | \$1,500..... | 2 |
| \$301 to \$400..... | 4 | \$1,701 to \$2,000..... | 4 |
| \$401 to \$500..... | 6 | Over \$2,100..... | 4 |
| \$501 to \$600..... | 5 | Rent free..... | 49 |
| \$650..... | 1 | Not reported..... | 3 |
| \$701 to \$800..... | 2 | | |
| \$801 to \$900..... | 3 | Total..... | 96 |
| \$1,001 to \$1,100..... | 2 | | |

FEES.

The only public employment office which now charges any fees (and these it limits very materially) is at Boise City, Idaho. Chapter 169 of the Acts of the Idaho Legislature for 1915, section 6, provides that:

A fee of one dollar (\$1.00) shall be charged by any municipal employment office for each position secured for any applicant without the limits of the municipality in which such employment office is situated, and a fee of fifty cents (50¢) shall be charged for each position secured by any applicant within the limits of the municipality in which such agency is situated.

No fee is charged employers. In practice not all fees have been collected. Those unable to pay at the time they were sent out to positions have not been required to pay in all cases. For the past 18 months the plan has been adopted of arranging with the employer for the collection of such fees and also for transportation advanced in deserving cases. When this is done, the applicant signs a statement of willingness to have such deduction made from wages.

From May, 1915, to January, 1916, inclusive, fees amounting to \$164.50 were collected, of which \$11.50 was returned to applicants.

METHODS USED TO SECURE APPLICANTS AND PLACES FOR APPLICANTS.

Visits to the various employment offices and inquiries addressed to superintendents disclosed the fact that in many instances little or no effort is made to secure work for applicants or workers for prospective employers. The policy pursued is often one of waiting, Micawber like, for something to turn up.

The extent of publicity attempted by one office consists in having a wagon driven about the city twice each week, on the sides of which are signs giving the address of the office and requesting the public to use it. In other instances an occasional advertisement in a newspaper, or a sign reading "—— Free Employment Office Open ——," is the extent of the effort made to render the employment

office an effective help to persons wanting work and to employers wanting workers.

In contrast to this sort of lackadaisical, slipshod "publicity campaign" mention is made of a method followed by the Los Angeles office, which has purchased two automobiles for use by two of its regular employees whose duties consist solely in soliciting new business. They drive about the surrounding counties advertising the office, telling of its purposes, and asking the cooperation of all employers and persons seeking work. This office, by no means content with this method, effective as it is, follows many others to make its work more effective. For example, there are constantly appearing in the southern California newspapers stories of the work it is performing. Its officials frequently address business organizations, civic associations, and women's clubs on the subject of the need of increased publicity concerning the work of the office.

An employment office in one of our largest cities has secured an agreement for free advertising with two of the important city dailies. A conservative estimate of the price that would be charged a private enterprise for the same amount of advertising is \$40,000 a year. In addition there is hearty cooperation on the part of other newspapers in the city in the matter of news items. The public, through these means, is informed of any matter of especial interest concerning the work of the employment office. There is, moreover, regular advertising, free of charge to the office, in certain foreign-language publications. At specified seasons of the year there are news items and advertisements in weekly newspapers or monthly magazines devoted to definite topics, such as agriculture, engineering, mechanics, etc. Each day this office receives from each of the other public employment offices of the State a list of the positions which it has been unable to fill. Thereupon this office prepares a mimeographed list of all such positions, together with the vacant ones at its own headquarters, and mails these lists to approximately 200 philanthropic and civic associations and to each of the other employment offices of the State. There is genuine cooperation between this office and the Federal employment office, located in the same city, both with regard to placing applicants and to securing applicants for vacant positions. Furthermore, this office employs three persons, two men and one woman, as solicitors, whose business is to canvass as many employers as possible each day, informing them of the facilities of the office and urging them to call upon it for such help as they may need.

A public employment office located in a relatively small city of the Middle West has a superintendent who makes it a part of his regular business to visit at least once each week every employer within his district. He keeps on file in his office a classified list of employers,

showing not only the sort of work in which they are engaged but also in detail the number of men and women they employ, according to occupations. Whenever an applicant for employment goes into his office and specifies that he is able to do work of a certain sort for which no call has been received, the superintendent immediately telephones to first one and then another of the employers who are listed as employing labor of the sort which the applicant states he can do and continues to call them until either the list is exhausted or he has placed the applicant.

A public employment office located in a city having a very large foreign population justly prides itself upon the fact that members of its office force have a speaking knowledge of 18 distinct European languages. As a result foreigners coming to this office are soon enabled to make their wants known to at least one member of the bureau's staff, thereby making the securing of employment easier.

In one large eastern city the superintendent of the public employment office has several times availed himself of the opportunity presented to write editorials for the Journal of the Chamber of Commerce of that city, setting forth rather completely facts concerning the office in which large employers of labor would be interested. As a result of this method of publicity the placements by the office have steadily increased during the last year and a half.

Another means of enlisting the cooperation of at least a part of the public was adopted by one office, which secured the publication by the local board of education of a pamphlet urging school children not to leave school until it was absolutely necessary or at least until they had definitely learned how to do well some one thing in the line which they expected to follow as a life vocation.

A few novel features of the placement work of the Kansas City office on behalf of women are worth mentioning. Signs are placed in laundries, factories, and department stores urging girls not to leave their employment until they have at least talked the matter over with the superintendent of the women's department of the Kansas City Federal-State Labor Exchange. Cards are distributed to railroad station matrons to be given to girls seeking employment in Kansas City. In addition, certain women's organizations and commercial bureaus cooperate for the placement of girls and women in office positions.

Several of the superintendents of the larger employment offices have decided to take an active part in calling the attention of various civic organizations to the work of the offices. As a result of this decision they have made addresses not only to members of these organizations, but also to manufacturers and mercantile associations, women's clubs, and parent-teachers' associations. Too much emphasis can not

be placed upon the part that newspapers have taken in bringing to the attention of the public the specific needs of both employers and employees. The press has made it clear in the majority of cases that the work done by the office in no sense partakes of the nature of charity, but that it is engaged in by the public for the public good.

All those offices which are located in what are known as the grain States, extending from Texas on the south to Montana, North Dakota, and Minnesota on the north, have organized an association of labor officials, the purpose of which is to learn definitely, in advance, of the agricultural needs of each of the communities concerned. As illustrative of what this association attempts to do, the following letter, sent out from the division of free employment of the Department of Labor and Industry of the State of Kansas, in June, 1916, is given:

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND INDUSTRY,
DIVISION OF FREE EMPLOYMENT,
Topeka, Kans., June 5, 1916.

Kansas will begin harvesting another large wheat crop within a few days. Reports to this department indicate that 45,000 men will be needed in the harvest fields. Information to this office indicates that \$2.50 a day will be paid harvest hands in most of the counties of the wheat belt, with higher wages to stackers. Threshing will absorb a large per cent of the men who come for the harvest. Others will be directed to points farther north as the season advances.

Copies of this bulletin may be secured by applying to the State Free Employment Bureau at Topeka or its agents at Wichita and Hutchinson; to C. L. Green, of the United States Bureau of Immigration at Kansas City, Mo.; the State Free Employment Bureaus at Kansas City and St. Joseph, Mo.; or to W. G. Ashton, commissioner of labor, at Oklahoma City, Okla. Men seeking employment in the Kansas wheat harvest may depend on the direction of any of the above agencies.

Most of the counties can use Germans. Trego, Rawlins, Rooks, Pawnee, Grove, Edwards, Ellsworth, Cloud, and Mitchell counties can use Russian, Bohemian, Swede, Austrian, and Scandinavian speaking people.

This department can not advance transportation to harvest hands, nor do we know of any other agency that will do so. Men must pay their own railroad fare. However, they should not pay fees to private employment agencies. The public agencies will direct them to employment and will make no charge for their services.

The following table gives the number of men needed in each county, as shown by reports to this department, stations where needed, names of persons to whom men may go direct, probable wages, and date at which wheat cutting is now expected to begin in each county. Harvest work is heavy and calls for strong, able-bodied men.

Yours, truly,

Director State Free Employment Bureau.

This letter appears on the first page of a four-page pamphlet. On pages 2, 3, and 4 there are listed by counties the number of men, teams, and cooks needed, with probable wage paid to each class and

the probable date for the harvest to begin in each county, together with the names of those agencies at which, or officials of whom, inquiry should be made concerning the securing of positions.

On the 1st day of May, 1916, a letter was addressed to well-known representative farmers or grange representatives in each county which contained, among other questions, the following:

What is the acreage of wheat in your vicinity which at this time seems likely to be harvested this year?

Is wheat suffering from lack of moisture or from the presence of insect pests of any kind?

How will this year's crop compare with the yield of last year if present conditions continue?

Is farm labor in your county plentiful or scarce?

On the 26th day of May a second letter was sent to the people to whom the first letter was addressed, which included the following questions:

Is the acreage of wheat in your county which will be harvested greater than last year?

Has wheat been injured in any way since May 1?

How does present condition compare with condition at same time last year?

How many outside hands do you estimate are needed in your section of the county?

How many in the county?

At what other points in county beside your town are men needed?

To whom should men be directed at the various points in your county?

How many extra men with teams are needed in your county? Wages?

How many women cooks are needed in your county? Wages?

Can you use non-English speaking foreign labor in your section?

If so, what nationality?

Can you use negro labor in your section?

What time do you now expect harvest to begin in your section?

What will be the average wages paid to men?

What, if any, arrangements have been made locally to distribute harvest help?

Will there be work for extra men in your section before harvest begins?

What efforts are being made locally to bring men into your section?

This letter is similar to letters prepared by other members of the association mentioned above and is mailed to all of the owners of the large agricultural holdings, to all grange and farmers' unions, to county clerks and such other persons as are familiar with the agricultural needs of their communities. Six weeks after the sending of the letter of May 1, referred to above, the director of the employment bureau sent a follow-up letter calling attention to the indications which pointed to a shortage of men to begin the harvesting of wheat. The third paragraph in this letter is a quotation from a statement made by Mr. C. L. Green, United States inspector general in charge of employment and distribution at Kansas City, Mo., which reads as follows:

I believe that the farmers will have to increase the wages offered to attract the men from the East. There are great numbers of men offering their services if transportation is advanced. I know that this can not be arranged, but I believe that some of the farmers would profit by coming here or to Topeka and taking the men out with them, deducting the transportation from the pay of the men. This would be risky, I know, but I can see no other way at present for them to get the men needed.

The domestic problem is as difficult for employment offices as for housewives to solve. During the past two years almost every employment office has reported that it has found itself unable to furnish housemaids and general houseworkers in anything like the number desired. Two rather unusual cases of inability of employment offices to secure help of this sort may be cited. An employment office in a large city of the Middle West was unable to fill any of the five requests which it had on hand at one time, each for a maid who would receive \$8 a week, all her meals, and a room with a private bath, for working for a family of two persons. In another city the rate to be paid was stated as \$10 a week in a family of three persons. In none of these cases was the family laundry to be done by the maid requested; yet the employment office could not fill any of the requests for help.

PREFERENCES IN PLACEMENTS.

Whenever an employment office decides on a policy of referring persons with certain qualifications to positions for which others are equally well fitted there arises the question of a "preference in placement." This term is used to refer to the choice which must be made among applicants for work who are assumed to be of equal ability. When superintendents of offices were asked concerning their decisions of whom to send to specific jobs widely variant answers were made. A large number replied that they observe no basis for preference on account of their belief that no two people are equally qualified for any given position. Against this opinion, however, is the attitude of a large number of superintendents, some of whom state that priority of application is the governing factor; while others hold that the need of the applicant determines which of two applicants shall be sent to a particular position. It is probably true that availability is the determinant in most cases. According to the city ordinances governing certain offices, residents of the city are to be given preference over nonresidents. In other offices married men are preferred to single ones. One office reported that applicants with recommendations and those studying in trades extension schools were the first persons referred to positions. Another stated that the applicant who lived nearest to the job was referred to it, assuming, of course, an ability to do the work required.

It is believed, however, that although there are such various answers to this question given by the superintendents, the diversity in practice is not as great as is indicated. The idea of performing acts of charity has disappeared from nearly all employment offices. Instead there is the definite conception of the rendering of a public service. In some cities, in the matter of the placement of the poorer grade of applicants there has been hearty cooperation between employment offices and charitable institutions. In addition to this there has usually been cooperation between the Young Men's Christian Association, Young Women's Christian Association, and the Young Men's Hebrew Association and the public employment offices in places where the associations mentioned are actively engaged in any sort of placement work.

EFFORTS TO ASCERTAIN MORAL CONDITIONS AT PLACES OF EMPLOYMENT.

It was difficult to get definite information as to just what employment offices do in the way of ascertaining what the moral conditions are in and about places to which applicants for work are sent, but generally the following principles seem to be observed:

First. That no girl or woman should be referred to any place of known disrepute or suspected of being maintained for immoral purposes, or where she would be likely to be subject to immoral influences.

Second. That no office, however large its present force, is capable of investigating thoroughly every request for female help, and that the superintendent must use his or her best judgment to determine what investigations should be made.

Third. That many offices refuse to refer young women to employment in places where no other women are employed.

Fourth. But few offices take cognizance of the morality or immorality of the surroundings of places of employment for boys.

The most complete system of inquiry along all of these lines is found perhaps in the Cleveland (Ohio) State-city office. In this office the placement clerks, both male and female, are required to make a thorough study of the prevailing standards of living and of morals in each of the various sections of the city. In the juvenile department care is taken to protect youths from being referred to work in vicious and immoral neighborhoods. In the female departments a special investigator is employed who is constantly assisted by volunteer workers whose duty it is to examine into the nature of the employment and the surroundings in which the employee would be required to work. A special examination is made in all cases except where the employment office has previously satisfied itself that the moral and industrial conditions of the employment would not be

detrimental to the welfare of the applicant who might be referred thereto. One of the most interesting methods of studying conditions concerned with the employment of women is in vogue in the Kansas City (Mo.) State-Federal labor exchange. There an investigation is made not only of questionable calls for help but also of all the "blind ads" appearing in the city's newspapers. The newspapers cooperate heartily with the women's department of the employment office in this matter of the suppression of improper advertising.

POLICY WITH REFERENCE TO INDUSTRIAL DISTURBANCES.

The policy of employment offices varies as to sending applicants to positions affected by strike or lockout. Fifty-one offices state that they inform applicants of the conditions and allow them to decide for themselves whether or not they desire employment under the circumstances; 40 refuse to send anyone to such positions; 1 reports that it makes no inquiry into these matters and would refer an applicant to such a position without any mention of the labor trouble existing at the plant; and 4 offices state that the question has never arisen and no policy has been adopted.

These differences in policy and practice in regard to strikes and lockouts are the results of sharp differences of opinion as to what the proper functions of a public employment office are. The majority of the offices base their policy upon the theory that an employment office supported by the public is under obligation to serve the public impartially. Therefore whoever applies for work is entitled to receive all available information pertaining to his needs. It is held that the employer has a right to ask prospective employees as to their race, religion, and attitude toward trade-unions, because these are essential matters. The worker seeking a job, on the other hand, is entitled to know the kind of place offered him, the conditions of employment, and whether or not a trade dispute is in progress. It is the business of the public employment office to furnish all pertinent facts, leaving the applicant to decide whether he will accept or reject the employment offered when he has all information in his possession.

A large number of offices, however, are governed by a different idea of the proper policy to be followed. These offices hold that the existence of a strike or lockout does not indicate any labor shortage in the plant or locality affected. They accordingly ignore all applications from employers whose plants are involved in strikes or lockouts, and give out no information to job seekers about the jobs left vacant by striking or locked-out employees.

One office holds to the theory that the function of a public employment office is merely to introduce the applicant for work to the employer who needs workers, and that, having done this, the responsi-

bility of the office ceases. This office does an insignificant amount of placement work, having filled only 441 positions during a recent 12 months.

The opponents of the majority practice say that giving out information as to all vacancies, including those due to strikes or lockouts, enables unscrupulous employers to use public employment offices as strike-breaking agencies, which thus will become centers for recruiting casual laborers and undesirable job seekers who are willing to accept any wages or conditions for a short time, and by so doing defeat the attempt of the regular employees to secure adequate wages and conditions.

In reply it is asserted that those who hold the view that positions made vacant by strikes or lockouts do not constitute a demand for labor are really prejudging the labor dispute in favor of the workers without hearing the evidence. Strikes and lockouts may and do frequently occur, it is alleged, because of unreasonable demands by the workers as to wages, hours, conditions, and recognition of the union. To refuse to employ, under such conditions, the services of the public employment offices, which they are taxed to support, would be gross injustice.

Whenever an employer reports the existence of a strike or lockout at his mill, factory, or shop, some offices accept his statement without investigation, while at other offices a thorough study is made to ascertain the facts. If these are found to agree with what the employer has said the order is accepted. Before an applicant is referred to such a position, however, he is informed in detail of all that the investigator has learned. This step is taken in order that he may be fully aware of existing conditions. The applicant is then given an introduction card across which is stamped in red, "Strike on at this place" or "Lockout at this place."

Experience has taught a number of offices that sometimes a person referred to a job at a place where a labor dispute is on, after receiving such an introduction card as mentioned, will go to the employer and tell him that he was sent by the public employment office. He may subsequently return to the office and complain that he was sent as a strike breaker against his will. Such incidents are said to be so frequent as to be classed as usual. In order to reduce such disagreeable experiences to a minimum and to have evidence that the applicant was fully informed of the labor trouble at the place of employment before he left the employment office, some offices have adopted the plan of having the applicant sign a register stating that he has been advised that a strike or lockout exists at the place to which he is accepting an introduction card.

SEASONAL AND TEMPORARY PLACEMENTS.

No public employment office has as yet engaged in any very definite work toward a reduction of labor turnover in the community which it serves. There have been a few more or less sporadic attempts to lessen the hardship of winter unemployment by urging city councils and others in authority to provide for street and road improvements during winter months. But other than this nothing has been very definitely attempted. Most of the cities which have public employment offices, and many which have not, have from time to time organized committees on unemployment whose primary purpose has been to secure at least part-time work for as many employees as possible, favoring for this work those persons who would otherwise be liable to become public charges or would suffer most because of unemployment.

The employment offices at Milwaukee and Superior, Wis., in cooperation with the industrial commission of that State, have made some attempt to reduce unemployment due to certain seasonal activities, such as ice harvesting, berry picking, summer farm work, logging, and lumber cutting. A careful consideration of these very different occupations reveals the fact that their seasonal character is such that the same person, if capable, might be employed throughout the year with scarcely any lay-off because of a lack of available work. Similarly, the commissioner of labor of Oklahoma called the attention of the superintendents of public employment offices of that State to the possibility of giving employment throughout the entire year to persons engaged in seasonal work of very different sorts. He urged that this possibility be brought to the attention of the harvest hands, cotton pickers, and others who are in the habit, when one job is over, of failing to try to secure other employment until the next season for the same sort of work rolls around.

During the winter of 1914-15, the city of Richmond, Va., authorized the expenditure of \$120,000 for public works, streets, etc.—work which had to be done, but which, because then done, lessened to a considerable degree the extent of unemployment at that time. Growing out of a realization of the gravity of such a problem an ordinance by the city council was approved December 18, 1914, “to provide for the establishment and maintenance of an employment office by the aid of which unemployed persons may secure employment.”

The city of Hartford, Conn., during the winter of 1914 engaged in a sort of work similar to that undertaken by Richmond, though to a very much less extent. A brief statement of this work is as follows:

An appropriation of \$9,000 was voted by the city council to be expended in making certain public improvements in the grading and laying out of parks,

Mr. _____, superintendent of parks, being authorized to disburse it. In addition to this municipal appropriation, about \$650 was donated by private individuals to be used as a fund for lending money for short periods to needy workmen. A small rate of interest was charged in order that there might be no smack of charity. As this money was repaid it was lent again to others. No security except the recipient's good character was demanded, and practically all of this fund was eventually repaid.

Approximately 600 men were furnished employment during the winter. No distinction was made between married and single men, but applicants were required to have lived in the city for at least six months and to have been employed a reasonable period during that time.

This restriction was found necessary to prevent the influx of outsiders and to eliminate undesirables. The mere statement of applicants that they lived in the city was accepted as prima facie evidence, but each case was quietly investigated and in case of misrepresentation the man was discharged. Men were engaged in two shifts, morning and afternoon, of four hours each, and were paid at the regular municipal rate of 25 cents an hour, or \$1 a day.

As a result of 40 years' experience in conducting public works the superintendent knew quite accurately how much men in each occupation should normally do. Only 60 per cent of this normal amount was required of these men. If they were unable to do this amount of work they were dropped, the superintendent holding that such cases were properly the concern of charitable institutions.

Nothing was done merely to create employment. The work performed would have had to be done on the parks and highways, even if no serious condition of unemployment existed. The existence of this condition only hastened the work. The superintendent stated that very little efficiency was lost and that there was no loss to the city financially. His office acted as an employment bureau along two lines. In the first place, gangs of men were furnished to various employers for contract jobs, the rate of wages being the city rate of 25 cents an hour. Since care was taken not to act as an agency to supply cheap labor, the labor unions were willing to cooperate. In the second place, this office secured for about 60 of the most efficient workers permanent employment with various employers of Hartford.

FREQUENCY OF REPORTS.

Until the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics began the regular publication in its Monthly Review of the "Work of State and municipal public employment offices" there was a considerable variation in the frequency with which these bureaus were accustomed to make reports. Now there is a rather general uniformity, for all but 4 of the 96 offices make monthly reports.

Most of the offices which make monthly reports also prepare daily or weekly statements. Those offices which keep daily records include the State offices of California, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Ohio, and Wisconsin, and the municipal offices of Chicago, Louisville, St. Joseph, New York, Dallas, and Tacoma. Those offices rendering weekly as well as monthly reports are the State offices of Indiana (except Indianapolis), Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island.

CONTENTS OF REPORTS.

These reports contain statements of the number of applications from employers, of persons applied for, of employers from whom applications were received, of persons applying for employment either as new registrations or renewals, of offers of positions, of positions offered, of persons referred to positions, and of positions reported filled. No report contains all these items, though several do have as many as six. The United States Bureau of Labor Statistics has been endeavoring, during the past year and a half, to bring about a closer approach to uniformity of data reported, and while its efforts have not been completely successful, nevertheless many of the offices have shown a willingness to conform to some practicable standard.

The following directions will enable the reader to understand more clearly what is generally accepted as the information that should be reported under each of the headings above mentioned:

(1) *The number of applications from employers* should be arrived at by counting separately each application for help received at the office which is not a repetition of a request already made, whether or not from an employer who has applied before for help. Care should be taken to note that the information desired is the number of applications—not the number of employers, and not the number of persons sought or positions vacant.

(2) *The number of persons applied for* should be the total number of positions which employers ask the employment office to find applicants to fill.

(3) *The number of employers from whom applications were received* should be the number of different individuals or firms who apply for workers. The total number of employers for a year is obtained by eliminating all duplications for the year; that is, although an employer makes several requests for workers during the year, he is counted only once. The purpose of this total is to measure the extent to which different employers have been using the office.

(4) *The number of persons applying for employment* should be arrived at by counting the separate applications of different persons for the period desired.

(a) *New registrations* should include all persons who have not registered for employment at the office within a given definite period of time. Such a period of time should be agreed upon by all public employment offices so that uniformity may result.

(b) *Renewals* should represent second or subsequent applications for employment.

(5) *The number of offers of positions* should be arrived at by counting separately each "reference to a position" and totaling all the references.

To illustrate: At the X Co. and the W Co. there is one vacancy each. The employment office has been asked to find suitable employees. An applicant, A, is referred to the position at the X Co., but does not get it. He is then referred to the position at the W Co., and is employed. B is referred to the X Co., but is not chosen, while C, who is referred to the X Co., is employed. In other words, the employment office has made four offers of positions ("references to positions") to three persons to fill two positions.

An employment office itself, of course, does not offer any position. The term "reference to position," when properly understood, is quite as satisfactory, but in the minds of some people there is liable to be considerable confusion if that expression is used, because "reference to position" is so often understood to mean a written recommendation presented to an employer by an applicant for work. The phrase "offers of positions" is therefore used to signify the total number of chances offered to applicants to secure employment.

(6) *The number of positions offered* differs from the number of offers of positions, in that it is the number of actual positions to be filled, regardless of the number of times they may have been offered to different applicants. As shown in the illustration given above, four "offers of positions" were made, while there were but two "positions offered."

(7) *The number of persons referred to positions* should include all persons who have been referred to positions during the period covered by the report.

(8) *The number of positions reported filled* should include only positions for which definite information has been received that they have been filled.

In the General Tables (pp. 73 to 100) are shown the work of public employment offices. Because of a lack of a definite standard in many cases, and entirely different viewpoints of the meaning of certain terms used, comparison of data from different offices should be made with caution. The chief difficulty, however, consists in the great variation in the kinds of service rendered by different offices.

The placements of some offices are practically limited to laborers, casual day workers, and other unskilled workers, while the work of other offices includes a large proportion of skilled workers in various industries. The business of some offices, owing to their location, is restricted very largely to placements in a single industry. For instance, the placements in Youngstown, Ohio, and Pittsburgh, Pa.,

are largely in iron and steel manufacturing establishments, while in Detroit, Mich., they are largely in automobile factories. Likewise, the offices located in the great agricultural centers are almost exclusively devoted to placing farm laborers and workers in domestic-service lines.

RELATION BETWEEN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE OFFICES.

No official relationship exists between public and private employment offices except that they often report to the same State officer. It is true that there is one public employment office which is authorized to cooperate with private agencies by the terms of the municipal ordinance creating it, but the idea which the ordinance intended to convey was that permission was granted for cooperation with private noncommercial offices rather than with agencies conducted for profit.

In the following-named States private and State public employment offices are under the control of the State labor commissioner or other official, whatever his title, whose duties correspond to those usually performed by a labor commissioner: California, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin. In Cleveland, Ohio, by the terms of the city charter, the general superintendent of the public employment office is *ex officio* city commissioner of employment, on whom is placed responsibility for the inspection of private agencies.

State and municipal authorities share responsibility for the control and licensing of private agencies in Colorado, Minnesota, and Oregon. In Virginia and Texas the labor commissioner is responsible for the control of private agencies, but has no official connection with the public offices. Fort Worth, Tex., however, partially regulates private agencies through its common council.

Municipal authorities have charge of private employment bureaus in Kentucky, Massachusetts, Montana, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island.

Two States have enacted legislation against private agencies—Idaho¹ and Washington. The latter does not forbid the charging of fees to employers, but it does legislate against private employment offices which charge fees to applicants for work. A copy of the act follows:

AN ACT to prohibit the collection of fees for the securing of employment or furnishing information leading thereto and fixing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it enacted by the people of the State of Washington:

SECTION 1. The welfare of the State of Washington depends on the welfare of its workers and demands that they be protected from conditions that result in their being liable to imposition and extortion.

The State of Washington therefore exercising herein its police and sovereign power declares that the system of collecting fees from the workers for furnish-

¹ Chapter 169, Acts of Idaho Legislature for 1915.

STATE AND CITY PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

ing them with employment, or with information leading thereto, results frequently in their becoming the victims of imposition and extortion and is therefore detrimental to the welfare of the State.

SEC. 2. It shall be unlawful for any employment agent, his representative, or any other person to demand or receive either directly or indirectly from any person seeking employment, or from any person on his or her behalf, any remuneration or fee whatsoever for furnishing him or her with employment or with information leading thereto.

SEC. 3. For each and every violation of any of the provisions of this act the penalty shall be a fine or [of] not more than one hundred dollars and imprisonment for not more than thirty days.

Passed by vote of the people at the general election, November 3, 1914.

Proclamation signed by the governor, December 3, 1914.

Private agencies continued to operate after the signing of this law, however, for in the same report in which the law is quoted there is an enumeration of private agencies, many of them operating in violation of the law.¹

The table which follows shows the number of private employment agencies, according to the latest available figures, in each city in which there is a public employment office and the office or officer having supervision of them:

TABLE 10.—NUMBER AND SUPERVISION OF PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES IN CITIES HAVING PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

| State and city. | Private agencies. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| | Number. | Supervised by— |
| <i>California.</i> | | |
| Berkeley..... | 1 | State labor commissioner. |
| Los Angeles..... | 51 | Do. |
| Oakland..... | 13 | Do. |
| Sacramento..... | 15 | Do. |
| San Francisco..... | 54 | Do. |
| <i>Colorado.</i> | | |
| Colorado Springs..... | 5 | State bureau of labor statistics and city. |
| Denver..... | 25 | Do. |
| Pueblo..... | 4 | Do. |
| <i>Connecticut.</i> | | |
| Bridgport..... | 8 | State labor commissioner. |
| Hartford..... | 11 | Do. |
| New Haven..... | 11 | Do. |
| Norwich..... | | |
| Waterbury..... | 2 | Do. |
| <i>Idaho.</i> | | |
| Boise City..... | | |
| <i>Illinois.</i> | | |
| Chicago..... | 320 | Director, department of labor. |
| East St. Louis..... | | |
| Peoria..... | | |
| Rockford..... | | |
| Rock Island-Moline..... | | |
| Springfield..... | | |

¹ See Tenth Biennial Report of the Bureau of Labor, Statistics, and Factory Inspection, State of Washington, pp. 134-136.

46 PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

TABLE 10.—NUMBER AND SUPERVISION OF PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES IN CITIES HAVING PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

| State and city. | Private agencies. | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| | Number. | Supervised by— |
| <i>Indiana.</i> | | |
| Evansville..... | 1 | Chief, bureau of statistics. |
| Fort Wayne..... | 8 | Do. |
| Indianapolis..... | 2 | Do. |
| South Bend..... | (¹) | Do. |
| Terre Haute..... | | Do. |
| <i>Iowa.</i> | | |
| Des Moines..... | 9 | State commissioner of labor statistics. |
| <i>Kansas.</i> | | |
| Topeka..... | 2 | State labor commissioner. |
| <i>Kentucky.</i> | | |
| Louisville..... | 2 | President, city sinking fund. |
| <i>Massachusetts.</i> | | |
| Boston..... | 110 | License board. |
| Fall River..... | 1 | Mayor and aldermen. |
| Springfield..... | 24 | Do. |
| Worcester..... | 15 | Mayor. |
| <i>Michigan.</i> | | |
| Battle Creek..... | 1 | Commissioner of labor. |
| Bay City..... | 2 | Do. |
| Detroit..... | 32 | Do. |
| Flint..... | | Do. |
| Grand Rapids..... | 6 | Do. |
| Jackson..... | | Do. |
| Kalamazoo..... | 3 | Do. |
| Lansing..... | | Do. |
| Muskegon..... | | Do. |
| Saginaw..... | 4 | Do. |
| <i>Minnesota.</i> | | |
| Duluth..... | 2 | State labor commissioner and city council. |
| Minneapolis..... | 48 | Do. |
| St. Paul..... | 15 | Do. |
| <i>Missouri.</i> | | |
| Kansas City..... | 30 | Commissioner of labor statistics. |
| St. Joseph..... | 2 | Do. |
| St. Louis..... | 23 | Do. |
| <i>Montana.</i> | | |
| Butte..... | 7 | Mayor. |
| <i>Nebraska.</i> | | |
| Lincoln..... | 2 | Deputy commissioner of labor. |
| Omaha..... | 25 | Mayor. |
| <i>New Jersey.</i> | | |
| Jersey City..... | 18 | City clerk. |
| Newark..... | 33 | City license inspector. |
| <i>New York.</i> | | |
| Albany..... | 5 | City police department. |
| Buffalo..... | 26 | City license clerk. |
| Greater New York..... | 700 | City department of licenses. |
| Rochester..... | 7 | City license clerk. |
| Syracuse..... | 6 | City commissioner of employment agencies. |

¹ Not reported.

STATE AND CITY PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

TABLE 10.—NUMBER AND SUPERVISION OF PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES IN CITIES HAVING PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Concluded.

| State and city. | Private agencies. | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Number. | Supervised by— |
| <i>Ohio.</i> | | |
| Akron..... | 1 | State industrial commission. |
| Cincinnati..... | 10 | Do. |
| Cleveland..... | 19 | State industrial commission and city commissioner of employment. |
| Columbus..... | 2 | State industrial commission. |
| Dayton..... | 2 | Do. |
| Toledo..... | 4 | Do. |
| Youngstown..... | 1 | Do. |
| <i>Oklahoma.</i> | | |
| Enid..... | | |
| Muskogee..... | 2 | State commissioner of labor. |
| Oklahoma City..... | 3 | Do. |
| Tulsa..... | 2 | Do. |
| <i>Oregon.</i> | | |
| Portland..... | 16 | City commissioner of public affairs. |
| <i>Pennsylvania.</i> | | |
| Altoona..... | 1 | Department of labor and industry. |
| Harrisburg..... | | |
| Johnstown..... | | |
| Philadelphia..... | 206 | Do. |
| Pittsburgh..... | 50 | Do. |
| <i>Rhode Island.</i> | | |
| Providence..... | 8 | Board of aldermen. |
| <i>Texas.</i> | | |
| Dallas..... | 7 | State bureau of labor statistics. |
| Fort Worth..... | 6 | State bureau of labor statistics and city council. |
| <i>Virginia.</i> | | |
| Richmond..... | (1) | Commissioner of labor statistics. |
| <i>Washington.*</i> | | |
| Bellingham..... | | |
| Everett..... | | |
| Seattle..... | | |
| Spokane..... | | |
| Tacoma..... | | |
| <i>Wisconsin.</i> | | |
| La Crosse..... | | |
| Milwaukee..... | 11 | State industrial commission. |
| Oshkosh..... | | |
| Superior..... | 2 | Do. |

* Not reported.

* At the time these data were collected this State had a law (since declared unconstitutional) which prohibited employment agencies charging fees to employees. There were at that time some private concerns acting in a quasi employment agency capacity.

FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.**LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENTS.**

Federal employment work was begun in 1907, chiefly in New York, at the Ellis Island Immigration Station, under authority conferred upon the Bureau of Immigration and Naturalization (now two separate bureaus) by the terms of the immigration act of February 20, 1907, which created and defined the duties of a Division of Information. There seems to have been no contemplation by Congress that a national employment service was thereby to be established.

The pertinent paragraph reads as follows:

It shall be the duty of said division to promote a beneficial distribution of aliens admitted into the United States among the several States and Territories desiring immigration. Correspondence shall be had with the proper officials of the States and Territories, and said division shall gather from all available sources useful information regarding the resources, products, and physical characteristics of each State and Territory, and shall publish such information in different languages and distribute the publications among all admitted aliens who may ask for such information at the immigrant stations of the United States and to such other persons as may desire the same.

Realizing that certain changes in the work of the Division of Information were desirable, a conference of representatives of labor was held in the office of the Secretary of Commerce and Labor, February 10 and 11, 1909, at which plans were discussed for enlarging the scope of the placement work. But no definite results followed. In fact, it was four years later before any further progress was made. The advanced step consisted in the passage of an act creating the Department of Labor, whose purpose shall be "to foster, promote, and develop the welfare of the wage earners of the United States, to improve their working conditions and to advance their opportunities for profitable employment." This last phrase, "to advance their opportunities for profitable employment," immediately became the legislative authority for what has now become a Federal employment system.

The immigration act of February 5, 1917, contains no essential change from the act of February 20, 1907, so far as employment work is concerned, for in neither is any direct reference made thereto. When the European War broke out in August, 1914, there resulted a tremendous decrease in immigration to the United States. Moreover, there was at that time an unusually large amount of unemployment in the United States. Cognizant of these conditions the Commissioner General of Immigration decided to utilize the Immigration Service to secure "for aliens and other persons" such information as it was possible to obtain concerning actual jobs which they could fill. The work contemplated by him was a nation-wide information system concerning employment opportunities. Plans to put such a system

into effect were soon consummated. These consisted primarily of two parts, the first that the Departments of Labor and Agriculture and the Post Office Department would cooperate in the promotion of this new service, and the second that Continental United States should be divided into employment zones.

THE ZONE SYSTEM.

There were originally 16 of these zones. Variations in their boundaries and in their number have constantly occurred. In charge of the headquarters of each zone there was originally an immigration inspector. Now the chief officer of many zones is styled superintendent of employment.

On May 1, 1917, a change in the zones was begun which, when completed, will mean that every State will be a separate zone and that some States will have more than one district. But neither a State's size nor its employment needs determines whether there shall be one or more districts in a State, or the number of branch offices. For example, Missouri comprises two districts, and Pennsylvania one. Texas has three districts and nine branch offices, while New York State has a single branch office at Buffalo. Washington State has more branches (13 in all) than there are main headquarters in all of the States along the Atlantic Ocean; while California, with two districts, has more employment branch offices than there are Federal employment headquarters in all the States drained by the Mississippi River.

The following list shows the organization in effect on July 31, 1917, including zones, headquarters, and subbranches, where such exist:

TABLE 11.—ZONES, HEADQUARTERS, AND SUBBRANCHES OF UNITED STATES EMPLOYMENT SERVICE.

| Zone. | Headquarters. | Subbranches. |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Maine..... | Portland..... | |
| New Hampshire..... | | |
| Vermont..... | | |
| Massachusetts..... | Boston..... | New Bedford. |
| Rhode Island..... | Providence..... | |
| Connecticut..... | | |
| New York..... | New York..... | Buffalo. |
| New Jersey..... | Newark..... | Jersey City. |
| | | Orange. |
| Pennsylvania..... | Philadelphia..... | Pittsburgh. |
| Delaware..... | Wilmington..... | |
| Maryland..... | Baltimore..... | |
| District of Columbia..... | Washington..... | |
| Virginia..... | Norfolk..... | |
| West Virginia..... | | |
| North Carolina..... | | |
| South Carolina..... | Charleston..... | |
| Georgia..... | Savannah..... | |
| Florida..... | Jacksonville..... | Miami. |
| Alabama..... | Mobile..... | |

TABLE 11.—ZONES, HEADQUARTERS, AND SUBBRANCHES OF UNITED STATES EMPLOYMENT SERVICE—Concluded.

| Zone. | Headquarters. | Subbranches. |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mississippi..... | Gulfport..... | |
| Louisiana..... | New Orleans..... | |
| Tennessee..... | Memphis..... | |
| Arkansas..... | Little Rock..... | |
| Texas: | | |
| Southern district..... | Galveston..... | Big Spring. Brownsville. Laredo. Eagle Pass. San Antonio. Del Rio. San Angelo. |
| Western district..... | El Paso..... | Amarillo. Houston. Albuquerque. Tucumcari. Deming. |
| Northern district..... | Fort Worth..... | |
| New Mexico..... | Sante Fe..... | |
| Ohio..... | Cleveland..... | |
| Kentucky..... | | |
| Indiana..... | Indianapolis..... | |
| Illinois..... | Chicago..... | |
| Michigan..... | Detroit..... | Sault Ste. Marie. |
| Wisconsin..... | Madison..... | |
| Minnesota..... | Minneapolis..... | |
| North Dakota..... | | |
| South Dakota..... | | |
| Iowa..... | | |
| Missouri: | | |
| Eastern district..... | St. Louis..... | |
| Western district..... | Kansas City..... | |
| Nebraska..... | Omaha..... | Lincoln. |
| Kansas..... | | |
| Oklahoma..... | | |
| Colorado..... | Denver..... | |
| Utah..... | Salt Lake City..... | |
| Wyoming..... | | |
| Montana..... | Helena..... | |
| Idaho..... | Moscow..... | |
| Washington..... | Seattle..... | Spokane. Walla Walla. Tacoma. Aberdeen. Everett. Bellingham. North Yakima. Friday Harbor. Nooksack. Lynden. Custer. Port Townsend. Port Angeles. Astoria. |
| Oregon..... | Portland..... | |
| California: | | |
| Northern district..... | San Francisco..... | Sacramento. Fresno. Eureka. Monterey. San Diego. Santa Ana. Santa Barbara. San Luis Obispo. Bakersfield. San Bernardino. Calxico. Indio. |
| Southern district..... | Los Angeles..... | |
| Nevada..... | Reno..... | |
| Arizona..... | Phoenix..... | Tucson. Douglas. Naco. Nogales. Phoenix. Yuma. |

SERVICES RENDERED.

The foregoing statements concerning the organization of the zone system does not reflect discredit on those in charge. The nominal existence of offices where employment aid is obtainable free of charge to the public is indicative of the development which the immigration officials favor and would carry into practice if the funds were available and authority granted. Then every immigration station would be a link in a system of labor exchanges similar to that of Great Britain. The services would then be truly national instead of being limited chiefly to agricultural and domestic placements.

At present the emphasis in the rendering of service seems to lie in the securing of cooperative arrangements with State officials, in newspaper publicity of what is being attempted, and in affiliation of women's clubs with the Federal Employment Service. The difficulties under which the employment activities are conducted seriously affect their efficiency. For example, first, the public has not yet realized that the work of the Division of Information is not limited to aliens. From the fact that the placement work is done by officials of the Immigration Bureau and all references to it are mentioned in connection with the activities of that bureau, the public naturally is liable to get the impression that the work is conducted in the interest of aliens. Second, most of the headquarters and subbranches are in charge of persons who have had little or no experience of any sort in placement work. They are regular immigration inspectors attending to work of this sort when free from their other duties, or, if full time employees, they have been detailed temporarily for this service. Third, it is felt throughout the Immigration Service itself that employment work is merely incidental and that with the return of immigration such as this country had before the War, the employees now on the work of the Division of Information will be reassigned to regular immigration work.

In view of these difficulties, whatever advance has been made during the past few years is all the more remarkable. Cooperation between the Division of Information and the Post Office Department has been real. All postmasters are supplied with application forms which, when filled out by either an employer seeking help or a person seeking work, may be mailed free of charge to any immigration station. Likewise, the Department of Agriculture, through its county field agents, is rendering valuable assistance in the distribution of information concerning work conditions and farmers' needs to various Federal employment bureaus.

In Table 12 statistics are shown concerning the work done by the Federal Employment Service since its inception to November, 1917.

It is impracticable to explain definitely the meaning of each set of figures, since instructions have been changed many times in those years as to the manner of reporting and as to what should be reported. The chief significance that should be attached to these figures is that the trend has been toward a very decided expansion of business, which means the rendering of greater public service.

TABLE 12.—OPERATIONS OF THE DIVISION OF INFORMATION, BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION, MAY, 1915, TO NOVEMBER, 1917.

| Year and month. | Number of applications for help. | Number of persons applied for. | Number of applicants for place. | Number referred to employment. | Number actually employed. |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1915. | | | | | |
| May..... | 638 | 3,826 | 12,132 | 3,752 | 3,465 |
| June..... | 1,249 | 3,001 | 14,530 | 5,131 | 4,646 |
| July..... | 1,160 | 8,665 | 18,061 | 6,360 | 6,035 |
| August..... | 1,279 | 7,931 | 17,827 | 7,321 | 6,757 |
| September..... | 1,201 | 4,551 | 13,334 | 6,671 | 5,405 |
| October..... | 1,104 | 5,423 | 12,215 | 5,460 | 5,006 |
| November..... | 847 | 4,650 | 11,908 | 4,459 | 4,146 |
| December..... | 698 | 3,588 | 11,902 | 2,622 | 2,170 |
| Total..... | 8,176 | 42,235 | 111,909 | 40,776 | 37,660 |
| 1916. | | | | | |
| January..... | 933 | 5,063 | 15,015 | 4,300 | 3,419 |
| February..... | 1,423 | 6,413 | 14,257 | 5,036 | 4,185 |
| March..... | 3,443 | 10,209 | 19,484 | 8,113 | 7,030 |
| April..... | 3,805 | 12,104 | 13,498 | 8,843 | 7,653 |
| May..... | 4,918 | 21,326 | 17,014 | 12,938 | 11,453 |
| June..... | 4,826 | 17,402 | 18,824 | 13,839 | 11,960 |
| July..... | 5,488 | 23,657 | 24,058 | 17,608 | 16,309 |
| August..... | 6,420 | 26,791 | 23,720 | 18,062 | 16,313 |
| September..... | 8,312 | 27,185 | 26,276 | 19,643 | 17,169 |
| October..... | 10,552 | 27,985 | 28,504 | 21,789 | 19,044 |
| November ¹ | 12,515 | 25,095 | 27,318 | 24,618 | 18,622 |
| December..... | 9,784 | 21,533 | 26,805 | 21,139 | 16,597 |
| Total..... | 72,419 | 225,663 | 255,373 | 175,928 | 149,954 |
| 1917. | | | | | |
| January..... | 13,687 | 27,466 | 32,951 | 26,382 | 19,733 |
| February..... | 12,473 | 28,482 | 29,701 | 23,537 | 18,367 |
| March..... | 21,367 | 36,950 | 33,933 | 35,452 | 27,271 |
| April..... | 22,664 | 42,074 | 39,247 | 37,451 | 28,745 |
| May..... | 22,004 | 46,125 | 48,099 | 41,301 | 32,061 |
| June..... | 20,449 | 51,718 | 43,145 | 40,078 | 32,530 |
| July ¹ | 19,710 | 64,408 | 50,866 | 46,239 | 38,113 |
| August ² | 22,742 | 81,350 | 65,000 | 57,247 | 46,859 |
| September..... | 24,842 | 84,226 | 57,031 | 56,552 | 46,596 |
| October..... | 21,890 | 83,920 | 69,031 | 62,104 | 51,093 |
| November..... | 18,454 | 69,658 | 61,475 | 47,499 | 39,563 |
| Total (11 months)..... | 225,282 | 616,377 | 530,479 | 473,842 | 380,921 |

¹ Inclusive of activities in cooperation with State and municipal employment offices in the State of New York.

² Data incomplete.

OTHER PUBLIC AND SEMIPUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

In this part of this report there is included brief mention of six other types of public semipublic employment offices found in the United States. These types may be summarized as follows:

First, public offices primarily engaged in other work but also partaking of the nature of employment offices;

Second, employment offices privately operated for the benefit of the general public but under no form of governmental control;

Third, State university employment agencies;

Fourth, chambers of commerce employment offices;

Fifth, noncommercial agencies reporting to and supervised by some public employment office; and

Sixth, vocational guidance bureaus.

No attempt is here made to describe in detail all the offices of any of the types mentioned but simply to present a description of the scope of the work attempted by agencies of the groups enumerated above. The offices which are discussed are merely illustrative of others which engage in similar work. Citation is made to particular offices, not because of any known superiority but because the Bureau of Labor Statistics has definite data concerning them.

OFFICES ENGAGED PRIMARILY IN OTHER WORK.

There appears on pages 58 to 63, inclusive, of the Monthly Review of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics for January, 1917, a résumé of the work performed by South Carolina's bureau of marketing, in the Department of Agriculture, Commerce, and Industries, whose headquarters are at Columbia, S. C. To this the reader is referred for a more detailed account of the work done there. In connection with its employment activities the chief interest lies in the fact that while not primarily engaged in the work of a labor agency the bureau has nevertheless actually become one. It accepts requests for help and for employment, tries to render the maximum of satisfaction to both employers and persons seeking employment, and encourages the organization of boys' and girls' canning clubs and all similar enterprises.

The work begun by South Carolina has been copied in large measure and expanded by Idaho, which for over two years has had a separate department of farm markets with a director in charge. That official has referred in his second annual report, page 21, to the work of an employment office for farm help already created. There seems to be quite hearty cooperation between the various farmers' associations and the department of farm markets, according to the report above referred to, which also mentions a State-wide farmers' conference at Boise in February, 1916, and another planned for February, 1917. The direct references to employment work follow:

The division of the farm markets law, providing for a free employment bureau for farm help in the State, has been kept in active operation and has rendered a valuable service, bringing together approximately 1,000 men and jobs throughout the State, including in numerous instances the bringing in of new settlers from distant States. This feature of the department's work needs to be encouraged through more help in the office and more adequate funds for promoting the use of the employment service.

The employment work, however, can never serve the farmers of north Idaho as they are entitled to be served until funds are provided for the placing of a deputy permanently in north Idaho to handle not only the employment matters in the north but also the detail work in the various marketing problems peculiar to that section.

South Dakota has commenced to give its attention to similar work. No definite organization similar to that found in South Carolina or Idaho has yet been consummated, but such a step, it is understood, is soon to be taken.

OFFICES PRIVATELY OPERATED FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC BUT NOT UNDER GOVERNMENTAL CONTROL

Early in 1915 the Emergency Employment Association for Women was opened in Atlanta, Ga. After two months' successful employment work by it had demonstrated the need for a public employment bureau in Atlanta, the clearing house for employment was established on May 1, 1915. The funds for the maintenance of this office came from a private source. The business of the office was conducted by the manager under the direction of a board of directors consisting of five prominent Atlanta women.

The clearing house was a placement and not a relief office. It was in no sense a charitable organization, as an applicant referred to a position was sent because of his qualifications and his fitness to fill the position. The office was opened regularly for the transaction of business from 9 a. m. to 4 p. m., Monday to Friday, inclusive, and from 9 a. m. to 1 p. m. on Saturdays throughout the year. The forms used were quite similar to those used by other public employment offices which have been organized on a business basis. No fee was charged either to employer or employee.

Employers' orders were received by letter, by telephone, or in the office by personal inquiry. The order cards called for detailed information in regard to the position open and the kind of worker wanted.

An application for employment was accepted only from residents of Atlanta. Applicants were requested to apply personally at the clearing house, fill out an application blank and sign it. Every applicant was personally interviewed, and so far as possible placed in his regular vocation. A renewal was made each month until the applicant was employed.

An introduction card was given to each applicant sent to a position. This was filled out by the employer and mailed to the clearing house, showing whether or not the applicant was employed. A large majority of placements were permanent, a fact due largely to the attempt to place men in positions similar to those for which they were by experience qualified.

On account of the growth of business it became necessary on October 25, 1915, to employ an office assistant. A letter from the manager dated April 15, 1916, reads in part as follows: "We are enlarging our bureau and working to a definite object. Our next department, to be opened within several months, is a vocational guidance bureau, with a trained vocational council in charge. At that time we will add five men to our board of directors, all of whom will be active in the work." A vocational guidance department was opened about August 1, 1916, to work in close cooperation with the schools and colleges of Atlanta.

The following table is a summary of the work done by this agency from its establishment to April 30, 1916:

TABLE 13.—PERSONS APPLYING FOR POSITIONS, POSITIONS OFFERED, AND POSITIONS FILLED IN THE ATLANTA CLEARING HOUSE FOR EMPLOYMENT IN ONE YEAR, BY MONTHS.

| Month. | Number of persons applying for positions. | | | Positions offered. | Persons referred to positions. | Positions filled. |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Male. | Female. | Total. | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | |
| May..... | 20 | 68 | 88 | 62 | 77 | 51 |
| June..... | 14 | 80 | 94 | 50 | 102 | 68 |
| July..... | 48 | 57 | 105 | 38 | 118 | 67 |
| August..... | 88 | 66 | 154 | 76 | 139 | 71 |
| September..... | 125 | 93 | 218 | 97 | 126 | 108 |
| October..... | 100 | 68 | 168 | 94 | 152 | 122 |
| November..... | 93 | 64 | 157 | 95 | 112 | 161 |
| December..... | 75 | 52 | 127 | 120 | 123 | 177 |
| 1916. | | | | | | |
| January..... | 152 | 116 | 268 | 173 | 255 | 149 |
| February..... | 144 | 98 | 242 | 92 | 175 | 61 |
| March..... | 124 | 90 | 214 | 112 | 125 | 79 |
| April..... | 196 | 111 | 307 | 115 | 205 | 104 |
| Total..... | 1,179 | 963 | 2,142 | 1,469 | 1,709 | 1,218 |

¹ Including 345 positions not reported under any specific month.

The General Assembly of Georgia in 1917 passed a law authorizing the establishment of State free employment bureaus, to be under the direction of the commissioner of labor. As the establishment of such a bureau at Atlanta was contemplated, the clearing house for employment, above described, was discontinued, and its records and equipment were turned over to the department of commerce and labor.

STATE UNIVERSITY AGENCIES.

Many colleges and universities have recognized the desirability of establishing a center where the employment needs of their students might be ascertained and some plans taken to meet them. With this in mind the first step taken as a means toward the handling of

this problem was to make the secretary of the college (or university) Young Men's Christian Association responsible for securing work for students seeking it. Such a disposition of this matter is necessarily inadequate since a university Young Men's Christian Association secretary usually has so many other matters with which to deal that he can give but little, if any, of his time to employment activities.

A step toward a solution of the problem has been taken by the University of Nebraska, whose regents have appointed an agent of student activities to devote a part of his time to employment work for male students. The University of Wisconsin has gone still further in looking after the employment needs of its students, by placing the work to be done on a more nearly business basis. A filing case, application blanks for help and employment, and a more liberal appropriation for the work necessary to be done are indicative of the advance referred to. The best of the State university employment offices studied is found at Minneapolis, Minn. The advance made by the University of Minnesota beyond the work of the University of Wisconsin is in the provision for the salary of a manager of the employment office, the greater stenographic and clerical assistance allowed him, and the publication by the university of pamphlets concerning its employment work.

The table following shows the amount of work accomplished by this office in one year:

TABLE 14.—NUMBER OF POSITIONS FILLED BY THE EMPLOYMENT OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA IN ONE YEAR AND AMOUNT EARNED BY STUDENTS IN THOSE POSITIONS, BY OCCUPATIONS.

| Occupation. | Number of positions. | Amount earned. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Permanent positions: | | |
| Waiters..... | 132 | \$12,090.00 |
| Janitors and furnace men..... | 28 | 2,718.00 |
| Clerical and office help..... | 29 | 4,616.00 |
| Stenographers..... | 15 | 2,061.00 |
| Clerks, store..... | 26 | 2,160.00 |
| Salesmen and solicitors..... | 5 | 262.00 |
| Teachers..... | 5 | 496.00 |
| Chauffeurs..... | 3 | 141.00 |
| Expressmen..... | 9 | 840.00 |
| Other positions..... | 13 | 842.00 |
| Total..... | 265 | 26,226.00 |
| Temporary positions: | | |
| Odd jobs..... | 356 | 462.30 |
| Musicians..... | 324 | 972.00 |
| Typists..... | 72 | 504.00 |
| Clerical and office help..... | 34 | 316.00 |
| Draftsmen..... | 18 | 140.50 |
| Tutors..... | 9 | 84.00 |
| Mechanics..... | 5 | 102.00 |
| Other positions..... | 50 | 1,076.75 |
| Total..... | 868 | 3,657.55 |

TABLE 14.—NUMBER OF POSITIONS FILLED BY THE EMPLOYMENT OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA IN ONE YEAR AND AMOUNT EARNED BY STUDENTS IN THOSE POSITIONS, BY OCCUPATIONS—Concluded.

| Occupation. | Number of positions. | Amount earned. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Summer work: | | |
| Salesmen and solicitors..... | 52 | 13,715.00 |
| Clerical and office help..... | 10 | 1,261.00 |
| Scientific work..... | 4 | 430.00 |
| Stenographers..... | 4 | 530.00 |
| Laborers..... | 61 | 1,771.00 |
| Managers..... | 5 | 920.00 |
| Draftsmen..... | 5 | 622.44 |
| Other positions..... | 79 | 290.35 |
| Total..... | 220 | 19,539.79 |
| Grand total..... | 1,353 | 49,423.34 |

¹ For continuous period of time during the college year.

² Value of board allowed students for acting as waiters, estimated at \$1 per week.

OFFICES MAINTAINED BY CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics has no definite information as to the number of employment offices maintained by chambers of commerce. It is receiving constantly through news press-clipping bureaus accounts of the creation of new employment agencies conducted by these commercial organizations on behalf of manufacturers throughout the more important cities of the country.

In order to show, therefore, the nature of the work done and the kind of offices maintained, reference is made to the Madison (Wis.) and Dubois (Pa.) chamber of commerce employment agencies. Early in 1916 the chamber of commerce of Madison, Wis., decided to establish an employment office. It secured a manager and an assistant, obtained from the Industrial Commission of Wisconsin a supply of forms used by the State agencies, and agreed to report to that commission information similar to that furnished by the regularly established State offices. The office itself is well located in the Chamber of Commerce Building on the first floor in a room of ample size, which is bright and attractive. It is open regularly for the transaction of business throughout the forenoon of each day and for one or two hours each afternoon from Monday to Friday, inclusive.

In Pennsylvania the State director of employment has used his good offices and influence to urge the establishment of employment bureaus by local chambers of commerce.

Particular reference is made to the work done by the Dubois bureau because the superintendent of that agency has submitted to the director of employment of the State of Pennsylvania a report of the number of applications for help and employment received and filled, the number of persons referred to employment, and other data similar

to that obtained from the regularly established State employment offices.

In some cities these offices are conducted solely for the benefit of the members of the chamber of commerce with little regard for the needs of the individual applicants for employment. This, however, is not generally true, and more and more offices are taking a broader view of the employment problem. Reference should be made here to the very commendable action taken by the Minneapolis Commercial and Civic Association at an employment managers' conference in January, 1916. A plan was presented for the establishment of an employment office to be well financed by the manufacturers of Minneapolis and St. Paul. At that time the Minnesota Department of State was planning to establish some sort of cooperative scheme with the Bureau of Immigration in the administration of public employment offices in that State. The Commercial and Civic Association believed that there should not be established a private enterprise of the sort contemplated, if in reality it would cause a duplication of employment activities in the two cities. At least until the State's plans were definitely worked out, it was held, such action ought not to be taken.

NONCOMMERCIAL AGENCIES SUPERVISED BY SOME PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICE.

There has been a rather general realization on the part of the managers or superintendents of some of the employment offices in the largest American cities that there is a great need of centralization of information concerning opportunities for work and the availability of labor. With this idea in mind they have sought in a large number of cases to secure not only nominal but actual cooperation on the part of these agencies. The most extensive studies along these lines have been made by a committee of persons interested in employment matters, the chairman of which is the superintendent of the New York City municipal bureau. This committee sought to ascertain the number of agencies which would be willing to cooperate with the public employment office, the type of records that they keep, the classes of employees and employers with whom they deal, and other information valuable to placement work. It was very largely successful in obtaining the data it desired, reports being furnished from time to time to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The Brooklyn State office has likewise engaged in similar cooperative attempts with success. Brief descriptions of certain of these agencies are given below.

The New York City employment bureau has opened branches or taken over existing semipublic employment offices in four different

sections of the city. All of them are in connection with some charitable or philanthropic organization, which bears the greater part of the expense. One was operating as an employment office prior to the establishment of the city bureau. The other three began their activities as employment offices after the establishment of the city bureau, and from the start were run as a part of that bureau. Since these semipublic offices had the same end in view as the city bureau they agreed they might better be associated with the latter and so avoid a duplication of work. The office now called the Yorkville Branch was taken over July 19, 1915. It is located in the settlement house conducted by an organization known as the East Side House, at 540 East Seventy-sixth Street. It furnished the "plant" complete and also the workers. The city pays for the telephone service, postage, the forms used, and supervision. There are two women who do the work of the office. They handle female help almost exclusively, most of which is for domestic work. Any orders they receive for male help, or for female help of a kind which they can not supply, are forwarded to the main office of the bureau.

The second branch of this office, called the Greenpoint Branch, was opened on September 13, 1915, at 85 Java Street, Brooklyn. It is operated in conjunction with the Greenpoint Neighborhood House and is in its building. There is no expense to the city for the plant, but the telephone, postage, forms, and a man to run it are furnished by the city. The man in charge is a clerk transferred from the main office. He is paid by the city, and has no regular assistants. All classes of help are handled here.

The third or Chelsea branch was established January 1, 1916. This is an old employment office that had been run for some time by the Hudson Guild. It was simply taken over by the city with practically no change in its conduct and with little expense to the city. It is located at 436 West Twenty-seventh Street in the Hudson Guild House. It has two regularly employed female clerks, one paid by the Hudson Guild, the other paid by the city. In addition to these there are several volunteer workers who come in from time to time and assist in the work. This branch places principally female day workers, and to a less extent housemaids and other domestics, but no male help at all. The city furnishes the supervision, the services of a clerk, the forms, and the postage. The telephone is paid for by the Hudson Guild.

The fourth and last branch was established on March 1, 1916, at 12 West Eleventh Street. It is known as the Greenwich Branch. Practically all the expense of running this branch is borne by the Church of the Ascension. The church furnishes the services of a woman worker and the entire equipment except the forms and post-

age. This branch handles all classes of help, but principally female domestic workers.

All the forms used by the city bureaus are used by these branches, and they keep the same records. Reports are made weekly to the central office.

It is not intended that the preceding statement of the work done in Greater New York should be understood to include all of the cooperation between noncommercial agencies and public employment offices. Likewise it should not be understood that in other cities there is lacking the same form and spirit of cooperation. Indeed, the plan worked out by the former acting superintendent of the Philadelphia State Employment Bureau is worthy of more than passing notice. He secured an agreement on the part of the following-named officers to report to his office the statistics concerning applications for help and employment, the number of persons referred to positions, and the positions filled: Two Young Women's Christian Association bureaus; the Personal Service Bureau, dealing only with women; the Municipal Court Bureau, dealing with men and women; the Juvenile Workers' Bureau, dealing with boys and girls; the Episcopal Placement Bureau, dealing with men and women; the Armstrong Association for Negro Help; the German's Society Bureau for men and women; and the Vocational Guidance Bureau of the Board of Compulsory Education for work with boys and girls.

Along similar lines other cities have engaged more or less in the same forms of cooperation.

VOCATIONAL GUIDANCE BUREAUS.

CHICAGO VOCATIONAL GUIDANCE BUREAU.

In Chicago, in the spring of 1911, there was established under the auspices of the board of education a vocational guidance bureau, at the head of which was a trained worker in civic and social work. Since March, 1913, the bureau has been located in the Jones School at the corner of Harrison Street and Plymouth Court.

A brief history of the "joint committee for vocational supervision" of placement work in connection with children is given in an undated pamphlet published by the bureau. A part of what is there found reads as follows:

The Bureau of Vocational Supervision was established in 1911 by the joint committee organized by the Chicago Woman's Club, the Woman's City Club, and the Association of Collegiate Alumnae. The committee grew rapidly, and at the end of the year numbered more than 200 individual members and delegates from 20 clubs. At present the membership consists of 239 members and representatives from 31 clubs.

In November, 1913, the executive board was enlarged to include the vocational committee of the City Club, the Chicago Association of Commerce, the Chicago Woman's Aid, and representatives of industry.

In 1911-12 up to May 15 there was but one full-time worker employed by the committee. At the latter date another worker was added. During 1913-14 the staff has numbered four full-time workers assisted by volunteers. The salaries of two workers have been paid by the joint committee; the third by the Chicago Woman's Aid, and the fourth by the Chicago Association of Commerce.

In March, 1913, after two years of experimental work, the board of education took over the bureau to the extent of supplying an office in the Jones School, with clerical assistance and telephone service. Until the board of education grants an appropriation for a vocational bureau, however, the responsibilities for the salaries of two of the workers is placed upon the joint committee.

On February 2, 1916, the board of education adopted a recommendation made by its committee on school management that it conduct a bureau of vocational guidance under the jurisdiction of the superintendent of schools, provided with a supervisor and such assistants as may be deemed necessary.

The present personnel of the bureau consists of a director, three vocational advisers, and one stenographer. The stenographer is appointed through competitive civil-service examination; the other persons, without civil-service examination, have appointments of indefinite tenure from the board of education. The total amount available for the use of this bureau is approximately \$7,000 per annum, exclusive of certain private contributions.

On Saturdays the office closes at noon, while on other weekdays it is open from 8.30 a. m. to 5 p. m.

When an application for help is received which is found to offer no possibility of advancement for the boy or girl who might be sent to fill it, or if the opportunity for employment is not the kind of work or trade that will help the child's chance for a future, the application is referred to the juvenile department of the Illinois Free Employment Bureau. There is no sort of cooperation between this office and any commercial bureau.

More children learn of this bureau as a result of being directed to it by their school-teachers than in any other way. One function of the bureau is to make a careful study of the various industries in which children between the ages of 14 and 16 might be employed in order to ascertain the conditions of employment therein, the rates of wages paid, the hours of labor, and the prospects for promotion. Such investigations are made by personal visits of the vocational assistants and special students in the various schools of civics and philanthropy located in Chicago. Those employers who conduct their business so that children employed by them have chances for worth-while development are asked to cooperate with the bureau.

The practice pursued in referring applicants to positions is to send that child best fitted for the particular work, preference being given to the child whose family's need is greatest, other considerations being equal. There is a very complete system of inquiry as to the place of employment and the character of work to be performed before anyone is sent. All of the matters noted on the employer's application are subjects of inquiry. The bureau does not send any child to a place where a strike or lockout is in progress.

On the reverse side of the introduction card given the child is found the following statement: .

To the employer:

If you decide to employ the bearer, we ask as a favor that you will not discharge him without notifying the bureau in advance, thus giving us a chance to remedy the difficulty or to find another position for him.

We ask those whom we place not to leave a position without notifying the bureau.

Our object is to place permanently and well those boys and girls who are leaving school, and we ask your hearty cooperation.

Very truly, yours,

Verification of the securing of the position is made later by telephone call, or occasionally by a personal visit. The record of all employees sent to one employer is kept on a kind of ledger card. If by the end of a month a child has not been placed, a form letter, in which the child is called by his or her first name and which reads as follows, is sent to him or her:

MY DEAR TOM (OR ELIZABETH):

You applied at this bureau for employment a short time ago, and I should like to know whether you have secured work or not. If you are working at present, I should be glad if you would write and tell me the name of the firm, the kind of work you are doing, and how much you are earning. If you are not working, won't you come in to my office in the Jones School some morning between 9 and 12 so that I can keep you on my waiting list?

Yours, sincerely,

Director.

The bureau is particularly active during the school-vacation periods to secure jobs for the army of juvenile workers. An especial effort was made to prevent the children from leaving school during a period of general industrial depression by having the principals of the schools impress on them the improbability of their receiving work. Instead of looking after the need of the children, positions during that season were sought for worthy fathers. Principals were urged to withhold working certificates during that period. Scholarships to trade schools were secured for as great a number of young persons as possible, in order that they might be learning a trade which they could profitably enter when better times should come.

VOCATIONAL GUIDANCE DEPARTMENT OF THE BUREAU OF COMPULSORY
EDUCATION OF PHILADELPHIA.

Under the laws of Pennsylvania which provide for the regulation of the employment of all juveniles, the director of the State employment bureau of the department of labor and industry is permitted to enter into an agreement to work through or in cooperation with the school authorities of any city for the establishment and maintenance of this important work. As part of the agreement with the State authorities, the bureau of compulsory education in Philadelphia deals exclusively with minors under 18 years of age seeking placement or advice in regard to employment.

The most pressing problem before the employment division of the bureau has been the development of a system for the issuance of employment certificates. During his first six months in office the time and attention of the employment supervisor, therefore, was devoted largely to work of that nature. During the first half of 1916 approximately 1,000 children from the public elementary and higher schools, as well as from the parochial and private schools of the city, applied to the bureau for placement or advice in regard to employment. These minors ranged from 14 to 18 years of age.

The children who applied for employment were taken personally in charge by the employment supervisor or his assistant and given full information in regard to the occupations for which they seemed best fitted by aptitude and training. Many of those who appeared to be especially bright or evidently in need of additional training were induced to return to school, while others who were fairly well equipped for employment were placed in positions in establishments throughout the city. More than 700 children of this group voluntarily reported back to the bureau that they had been accepted by the employers to whom they had been referred. In many instances employment after school and during vacation was provided to supplement the family income and to enable the parents to keep their children in school, and in this particular the employment division has been an almost indispensable aid in the enforcement of the compulsory school attendance law.

Since effective work in the placement of children can be done only through cordial cooperation with employers, a portion of the employment supervisor's time, especially during the early period of the year, was spent in visiting the most important industrial and commercial establishments in the city, and in this way the bureau was brought into personal touch with members of firms, superintendents, employment managers, and others directly interested in the employment of children.

It should be understood that time has not permitted the work of giving advice and counsel to children in regard to employment to

progress beyond the elementary stage. However, it is hoped that this important phase of the bureau's work will, in the future, be further developed so that parents and teachers can be furnished with information in regard to the character and scope of the industries of Philadelphia, the compensation offered, and opportunities for advancement, and with plain and intelligent directions as to how and when children in their care can best be introduced into these fields of activity, in order to become, eventually, useful and contented workers in the industrial and commercial establishments of the city.

CONCLUSION.

NEED OF UNIFORM SYSTEM OF RECORDS, REPORTS, AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS.

The examination of the plans pursued by the various employment offices and the methods adopted for keeping the records of the work done by them disclosed an utter lack of uniformity. While several of the offices used blank forms apparently calling for practically the same information, there were no generally accepted definitions or interpretations of the various terms used on them. Also there was but little uniformity of method or practice in recording the information. In some offices every person who comes in to apply for a job is registered; in others only those are registered for whom positions are available at that time. Some offices renew the application of a person each day he comes to the employment office; others make no records whatever of renewals; while others renew them every 30 or 60 days. Some offices report as positions filled all persons sent out to positions; others report only those whom they ascertain to have been actually hired. Some record as an employer any one of the several superintendents or division managers of a corporation who applies for workers; others regard the corporation as the employer and make but one entry even though several different officials of the corporation may have applied for help in their several departments. These and many other differences in methods of keeping records were found, so that no fair comparison could be made of reports compiled by the various offices.

With the idea of bringing order out of chaos, the American Association of Public Employment Offices at its 1916 meeting in Buffalo, N. Y., appointed a committee on standardization to present at the next annual meeting of the association recommendations concerning standards to be observed by public employment offices.

Four sessions of the committee have been held. The first meeting was in New York City, January 23 and 24, 1917; the second in Cleveland, March 12 and 13, 1917; the third in Chicago, June 6 and 7,

CONCLUSION.

1917; and the fourth in Milwaukee, September 19, 1917. There follows a summary of the resolutions adopted at those conferences and copies of blank forms adopted.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF COMMITTEE ON STANDARDIZATION.

Resolved, That when any public employment office receives an order calling for more than two workers, the employer shall be asked to give definitely the actual number of places he has open. The employer's statement of the number of places he has open shall be set down as his demand for employees.

Resolved, That when all efforts fail to ascertain from an employer the number of positions he has open, the number of persons sent to him for positions on any one day shall be taken as the number of persons called for by him on that day and such number shall be entered each day as his demand for employees.

Resolved, That every public employment office should register each applicant who applies at the desk or by mail for work, for the first time, and that sufficient clerical force and office facilities to register all such applicants should be provided for each office.

If for any reason an office is unable to register all applicants for employment its reports, annual or other, shall state what classes have not been registered and the reasons necessitating the omission of such classes.

Resolved, That as soon as possible the several public employment offices in their annual reports make all statistical tabulations cover the calendar year.

Resolved, That there be adopted a system of eliminating the application cards from the files at the end of some period to be subsequently decided upon.

Resolved, That at the close of each calendar year the cards of all applicants for positions who have not renewed their applications or been referred to positions during the two full calendar years preceding shall be eliminated permanently from the files. If an eliminated card is consulted for any purpose it shall in no case be restored to the files or used in lieu of a new application card, and any applicant whose card has been eliminated shall, if again making application, be treated as a new applicant and registered accordingly on a new card.

Illustration.

Suppose it is decided to begin the elimination of the cards from the files on January 1, 1918. Elimination should be made only of the cards of those applicants who have had no dealings with the bureau either by original registration, renewal, or reference to a position for at least two full calendar years preceding January 1, 1918. Suppose Mr. A registered January 2, 1916, and has since had no dealings with the office. His card should not be eliminated because his registration has not run two full calendar years preceding January 1, 1918, the date of eliminating cards from the files. His card should not be eliminated until the next day of elimination comes, namely, January 1, 1919. The resolution as adopted provides for the elimination of the cards of applicants who have had no dealings with the employment office for a period of at least two full calendar years and less than three full calendar years. What is true of new registrations is equally true of renewals. Any applicant who either registers, renews his registration, or is sent out to employment subsequent to January 1, 1916, should have his card retained in the files until January 1, 1919. That means that on January 1, 1918, registration and renewal cards will be eliminated running back to and including January 2, 1915.

Resolved, That applications should be renewed and renewals recorded every day that a registered applicant for employment appears at an employment bureau. Bureaus may permit renewals by mail or telephone.

Resolved, That on the first of each month all applications which were made prior to the fifteenth day of the preceding month shall be placed in the inactive file, unless in the meantime they have been renewed.

Resolved, That at the close of each calendar year the cards of all employers who have not renewed their applications during the two full calendar years preceding shall be eliminated permanently from the files. If an eliminated card is consulted for any purpose, it shall in no case be restored to the files or used in lieu of a new order card, and an employer whose card has been eliminated shall, if again making application, be treated as a new employer and registered accordingly on a new card.

Resolved, That statistics be tabulated showing the number of persons who have secured one position and the number of persons who have secured more than one position through the employment bureau during the calendar year.

Resolved, That a record be kept of the number of offers of positions made through each employment bureau, and that the term "offer of position" be understood to refer to an individual offer to one person.

Resolved, That a position shall be considered secured only when the employment bureau has direct evidence that a person sent to it has been actually employed and that such evidence shall be in the form of a record that the information was received by telephone or inquiry from the office, or voluntarily from the employer, or by mail, or at the office personally by either the employer or employee.

Resolved, That the active files shall contain only employers' or employees' record cards and verification cards of places filled which have been used within one month.

Resolved, That the inactive files shall contain only employers' and employees' record cards and verification cards of places filled which have not been used within one month, but which have been used within the two preceding calendar years.

Resolved, That the dead files shall contain only employers' and employees' record cards and verification cards of positions filled which have been in disuse for two previous calendar years but which may have to be preserved as public records.

Resolved, That data for males and females be clearly distinguished on the records and in reports.

Resolved, That registrations and placements of minors under 19 be reported separately, and that the minimum age in this group be stated.

Resolved, That bureaus should distinguish in their records and reports between temporary and steady positions. All data should be reported by occupation, but, in addition, the total number of placements for each sex should be divided into three general groups, as follows: (1) Temporary, one day; (2) temporary, other (two days or less than one month); (3) steady (one month or more). The duration of the position in each case is to be determined from the facts secured in connection with making the placements.

FORM 2.

[Front of card.]

| APPLICATION FOR WORK. | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| No. | | | |
| Name: | | | Date: |
| Address: | | | Telephone: |
| Occupation: | | Wages wanted: | Willing to work out of town: |
| Also willing to work as | | Wages wanted: | |
| Age: | Color: | Married. Single. Widowed. | Number of dependents: |
| Birthplace: | Citizen of U. S.: | Speak English. Read English. | Renewals: |
| LAST EMPLOYMENT. | | | |
| Where: | | | |
| Occupation: | | | |
| Time employed: | | | |
| Wages: | | | |
| Reasons for leaving: | | | |
| How long unemployed: | | | |
| Remarks: | | | |

INTRODUCTION CARD.

[Front.]

FREE EMPLOYMENT OFFICE.

(City), 1917.

To

.....

.....

This will introduce.....

as an applicant for the position of..... at.....

(occupation) (wages)

.....Supt.

Employer please fill out space below and return card by mail.

I have.....hired.....for the

position of.....and he went to work.....1917.

Name of employer.....

Address.....

[Back.]

POST CARD.

[1-cent stamp.]

FREE EMPLOYMENT OFFICE

.....

.....

.....

It was the opinion of the committee that these three cards were the minimum number needed to record all necessary information.

COOPERATION BETWEEN FEDERAL AND OTHER PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

The work of municipal employment offices is naturally more or less limited to the needs of the cities in which such offices are located. In the same way the scope of the work of most State offices has been to supply State needs. There was for a long time no plan for a na-

tionalization of the labor market. The words "bureau," "office," and "agency" were the only ones used to describe the establishment engaging in placement activities. There was no idea of the labor "exchange," such as predominates in Europe. With the entry of the Federal Government into the employment field, however, a readjustment of ideas along these lines began. The Federal employment offices, in their endeavor to serve the Nation, first sought cooperation with the State and municipal offices informally and later by direct agreements. With only an occasional exception there has been a rather definite belief that these cooperative arrangements have not produced the most satisfactory results. The question of authority among different officials appointed as representatives of different governmental units has been one that has greatly hampered the work that might otherwise have been done. A second difficulty has been the duplication of work believed to be necessary in the submission of reports to State and Federal and sometimes municipal officials. Another handicap has been the uncertainty as to the length of time Federal employees would be kept at one place, without assignment for at least part-time immigration duties.

Cognizant of these matters the conference of employment office officials and those having the interest of public employment offices at heart, which met in Chicago June 6 and 7, 1917, after a careful consideration of many plans for cooperation between the United States and the several States in employment matters, decided upon the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas the necessity for a National Bureau of Employment Offices has been long recognized, and

Whereas the present war emergency further emphasizes the need of such a bureau: Therefore be it

Resolved, That the American Association of Public Employment Offices recommends to Congress the immediate establishment of a National Bureau of Employment Offices under the United States Department of Labor, as follows:

1. The National Bureau of Employment Offices shall aid and assist the several State systems already in existence and encourage and aid the establishment of such systems in other States under the following conditions:

(a) That each State shall adopt such record system, methods of work, and form of reporting as shall be approved by the National Bureau.

(b) That each State shall report as an entire State to such place and at such times as shall be approved by the National Bureau.

(c) That the National Bureau shall furnish trained and experienced agents, whose duty it shall be to aid in organizing systems in States where no system has already been established; in the establishment of new offices in States now having such systems; and in increasing the efficiency of offices previously established. Said agents shall make such written reports concerning any office as may be required by the National Bureau upon its own initiative or at the request of the State director of employment offices. Copies of all such reports shall be furnished the State director. All questions involving individual offices shall be taken up with the State director.

(d) That the National Government shall contribute to every State working under the system approved by the National Bureau one dollar for every dollar appropriated and expended by said State for employment office work, including such amounts as may be contributed by any political subdivision cooperating with the State and a reasonable allowance for rental or other service. The amount contributed by the National Bureau shall be used for employment office work under the supervision of the State director of employment offices.

2. The National Bureau of Employment Offices shall establish clearing houses in such groups of States as shall be deemed necessary for the efficient exchange of information and the proper distribution of labor.

3. The National Bureau of Employment Offices shall have an advisory committee consisting of the director of the National Bureau and the State directors of employment offices. The director of the National Bureau shall be ex officio chairman of the advisory committee. Said committee shall meet at least twice a year, traveling and other necessary expenses incident thereto being borne by the National Government.

4. The National Bureau of Employment Offices shall be given authority to license, supervise, and regulate all private employment agencies doing an interstate business.

Since the adoption of the resolution a bill embodying all of its features has been introduced in both Houses of Congress providing for the establishment of a Federal employment service. No legislation in this form has resulted, but the Department of Labor, acting under its general powers "to foster, promote, and develop the welfare of wage earners of the United States, to improve their working conditions, and to advance their opportunities for profitable employment,"¹ has undertaken to cooperate with State and other agencies in securing a distribution of labor and the supply of local needs quite in line with the spirit of the resolution above reproduced. Also, traveling examiners are employed by this department to look after the economical placement of workers according to the requirements of industry and the aptitude of the employee, such action being authorized by orders of the Secretary of Labor.

¹ Sec. 1, ch. 141, Acts of 1912-13. See also sec. 30, ch. 29, Acts of 1916-17: It shall be the duty of said division [of Information in the Department of Labor] to promote a beneficial distribution of aliens admitted into the United States among the several States and Territories desiring immigration.

GENERAL TABLES.

TABLE A.—YEAR OF ESTABLISHMENT, CONTROL, PERSONNEL, SUPERVISION, AND EXPENDITURES OF STATE AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

| State and city. | Year established. | Control of bureau. | Regular employees, exclusive of janitor. | | Superintendent appointed by— | Expenditures for 1 year. | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | Total. | Number under civil service. | | Year ending— | Superintendence. | Other help, exclusive of janitor. | Rent. ¹ |
| <i>California.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Berkeley..... | 1915 | Municipal..... | 1 | | Mayor and council..... | June 30, 1915 | \$1,080.00 | | \$300.00 |
| 2. Sacramento..... | 1902 | do..... | 1 | | City commissioners..... | Dec. 31, 1916 | 1,238.76 | | 210.00 |
| 3. Los Angeles..... | 1914 | State-Municipal..... | 19 | 19 | State labor commissioner and municipal industrial commission. | June 30, 1917 | 1,980.00 | \$15,416.00 | 2,497.84 |
| 4. Oakland..... | 1916 | State..... | 2 | 2 | State labor commissioner..... | do..... | 1,797.38 | 1,404.34 | 830.00 |
| 5. Sacramento..... | 1916 | do..... | 3 | 3 | do..... | do..... | 2,097.38 | 2,180.92 | 480.00 |
| 6. San Francisco..... | 1918 | do..... | 11 | 11 | do..... | do..... | 3,127.50 | 7,978.12 | 2,160.00 |
| <i>Colorado.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Colorado Springs..... | 1908 | do..... | 2 | 2 | do..... | Nov. 30, 1916 | 2,200.00 | | 306.00 |
| 8. Denver, No. 1..... | 1908 | do..... | 2 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 2,200.00 | | 480.00 |
| 9. Denver, No. 2..... | 1908 | do..... | 2 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 2,200.00 | | 540.00 |
| 10. Pueblo..... | 1908 | do..... | 2 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 2,200.00 | | 720.00 |
| 11. Denver..... | 1913 | County-Municipal..... | 1 | 1 | Mayor..... | Dec. 31, 1916 | * 3,800.00 | | Free. |
| <i>Connecticut.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Bridgeport..... | 1901 | State..... | 2 | 1 | State labor commissioner..... | Sept. 20, 1916 | 1,200.00 | 240.00 | 300.00 |
| 13. Hartford..... | 1901 | do..... | 1 | 1 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 35.00 | 360.00 |
| 14. New Haven..... | 1901 | do..... | 1 | 1 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 46.00 | 480.00 |
| 15. Norwich..... | 1901 | do..... | 1 | 1 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 33.00 | 250.00 |
| 16. Waterbury..... | 1901 | do..... | 1 | 1 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 46.00 | 316.00 |
| <i>Idaho.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Boise..... | 1915 | Municipal..... | 1 | | Mayor..... | Feb. 28, 1917 | 1,200.00 | | Free. |
| <i>Illinois.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 18. Chicago..... | 1915 | do..... | 9 | 9 | Director, department of public welfare..... | Dec. 31, 1915 | 4,500.00 | 9,840.00 | 1,800.00 |
| 19. Chicago..... | 1899 | State..... | 38 | 28 | Governor..... | Sept. 30, 1916 | 11,400.00 | 15,500.00 | 3,879.96 |
| 20. East St. Louis..... | 1907 | do..... | 5 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 3,700.00 | 1,320.00 | 1,200.00 |
| 21. Peoria..... | 1901 | do..... | 5 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 3,700.00 | 2,090.00 | 900.00 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------|------------------------|----|----|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| 22. Rockford..... | 1913 | do..... | 5 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 3,700.00 | 1,120.00 | 600.00 |
| 23. Rock Island-Moline..... | 1913 | do..... | 5 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 3,700.00 | 1,320.00 | 1,157.00 |
| 24. Springfield..... | 1909 | do..... | 5 | 2 | do..... | do..... | 3,700.00 | 1,320.00 | 600.00 |
| <i>Indiana.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 25. Evansville..... | 1911 | do..... | 2 | | Chief, bureau of statistics..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 800.00 | Free. |
| 26. Fort Wayne..... | 1911 | do..... | 2 | | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 800.00 | 120.00 |
| 27. Indianapolis..... | 1909 | do..... | 2 | | do..... | do..... | 1,500.00 | 1,500.00 | Free. |
| 28. South Bend..... | 1911 | do..... | 2 | | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 800.00 | Do. |
| 29. Terre Haute..... | 1911 | do..... | 2 | | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 800.00 | Do. |
| <i>Iowa.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 30. Des Moines..... | 1915 | do..... | 1 | | State commissioner of labor statistics..... | June 30, 1917 | 1,200.00 | | Do. |
| <i>Kansas.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 31. Topeka..... | 1901 | do..... | 1 | 1 | State labor commissioner..... | June 30, 1916 | 1,000.00 | | Do. |
| <i>Kentucky.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 32. Louisville..... | 1912 | do..... | 2 | | Commissioner of agriculture and labor..... | Dec. 31, 1916 | 1,200.00 | 600.00 | 396.00 |
| 33. Louisville..... | 1915 | Municipal-private..... | 2 | | Mayor's committee on unemployment..... | Sept. 30, 1916 | 960.00 | 584.70 | Free. |
| <i>Massachusetts.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 34. Boston..... | 1908 | State..... | 14 | 14 | Director, bureau of statistics..... | Nov. 30, 1916 | 1,800.00 | \$ 12,841.65 | 4,200.00 |
| 35. Fall River..... | 1907 | do..... | 1 | 1 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | \$ 88.00 | 540.00 |
| 36. Springfield..... | 1907 | do..... | 6 | 6 | do..... | do..... | 1,500.00 | \$ 3,883.46 | 1,020.00 |
| 37. Worcester..... | 1913 | do..... | 5 | 5 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | \$ 2,926.44 | 1,200.00 |
| <i>Michigan.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 38. Battle Creek..... | 1916 | do..... | 1 | | Commissioner of labor..... | do. ⁴ | 500.00 | | 120.00 |
| 39. Bay City..... | 1916 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do. ⁴ | 500.00 | | Free. |
| 40. Detroit..... | 1895 | do..... | 3 | | do..... | do..... | 3,300.00 | | 900.00 |
| 41. Flint..... | 1915 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do..... | 1,000.00 | | Free. |
| 42. Grand Rapids..... | 1903 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do..... | 1,000.00 | | 480.00 |
| 43. Jackson..... | 1908 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do..... | 1,000.00 | | 215.00 |
| 44. Kalamazoo..... | 1907 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do..... | 1,000.00 | | 240.00 |
| 45. Lansing..... | 1916 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do. ⁴ | 500.00 | | Free. |
| 46. Muskegon..... | 1916 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do..... | 666.00 | | Do. |
| 47. Saginaw..... | 1907 | do..... | 1 | | do..... | do..... | 1,000.00 | | Do. |
| <i>Minnesota.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 48. Duluth..... | 1908 | do..... | 2 | 2 | do..... | June 30, 1916 | 1,200.00 | \$ 1,041.79 | 720.00 |
| 49. Minneapolis..... | 1907 | do..... | 4 | 4 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 3,102.99 | Free. |
| 50. St. Paul..... | 1908 | do..... | 3 | 3 | do..... | do..... | 1,200.00 | 1,056.59 | Do. |

¹ Reported "Free" when quarters are furnished by city or county in which situated, or in State building.
² Total appropriation for lodging house and employment bureau. Expenditure not separated.

³ Includes salaries of janitors.
⁴ For 11 months.

TABLE A. OF ESTABLISHMENT, CONTROL, PERSONNEL, SUPERVISION, AND EXPENDITURES OF STATE AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Concluded.

| State and city. | Year established. | Control of bureau. | Regular employees, exclusive of janitor. | | Superintendent appointed by— | Expenditures for 1 year. | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | Total. | Number under civil service. | | Year ending— | Superintendence. | Other help, exclusive of janitor. | Rent. ¹ |
| Missouri. | | | | | | | | | |
| 81. Kansas City..... | 1900 | State-Federal..... | 6 | 2 | Governor and U. S. Commissioner General of Immigration. | Dec. 31, 1916 | \$4,500.00 | \$2,820.00 | \$1,500.00 |
| 82. St. Louis..... | 1900 |do..... | 3 | 1 |do..... |do..... | 1,200.00 | 2,100.00 | (²) |
| 83. St. Joseph..... | 1900 | State..... | 1 | | Governor..... |do..... | 1,080.00 | | 360.00 |
| 84. St. Joseph..... | 1914 | County-Municipal..... | 1 | | Social welfare board..... | Mar. 31, 1916 | 660.00 | | Free. |
| Montana. | | | | | | | | | |
| 85. Butte..... | 1902 | Municipal..... | 1 | | City council..... | Apr. 30, 1915 | 1,500.00 | | Do. |
| Nebraska. | | | | | | | | | |
| 86. Lincoln..... | 1915 | State..... | 1 | | Deputy commissioner of labor..... | (³) | (³) | (³) | Do. |
| 87. Lincoln..... | 1915 | Municipal..... | 1 | | Mayor..... | (³) | (³) | (³) | Do. |
| 88. Omaha..... | 1916 | Federal-State-County-Municipal. | 5 | 2 | Superintendent, board of public welfare and U. S. Commissioner General of Immigration. | (³) | \$1,740.00 | \$3,720.00 | Do. |
| New Jersey. | | | | | | | | | |
| 89. Jersey City..... | 1916 | Municipal-State-Federal..... | 3 | 3 | State commissioner of labor and U. S. Commissioner General of Immigration. | Dec. 31, 1916 | 1,400.00 | 1,800.00 | Do. |
| 90. Newark..... | 1909 |do..... | 6 | 6 |do..... |do..... | 1,800.00 | 4,850.00 | Do. |
| New York. | | | | | | | | | |
| 91. New York..... | 1914 | Municipal..... | 21 | 15 | Commissioner of licenses..... |do..... | 3,000.00 | 17,340.00 | Do. |
| 92. Albany..... | 1915 | State..... | 4 | 4 | Director of public employment bureaus..... | Sept. 30, 1916 | 2,900.00 | 1,380.00 | Do. |
| 93. Brooklyn..... | 1915 |do..... | 10 | 10 |do..... |do..... | 4,000.00 | 8,380.00 | 1,741.66 |
| 94. Buffalo..... | 1915 |do..... | 5 | 5 |do..... |do..... | 2,650.00 | 2,200.00 | 1,500.00 |
| 95. Rochester..... | 1915 |do..... | 6 | 6 |do..... |do..... | 2,900.00 | 2,820.00 | 1,170.00 |
| 96. Syracuse..... | 1915 |do..... | 6 | 6 |do..... |do..... | 2,862.50 | 3,287.50 | 1,060.00 |
| Ohio. | | | | | | | | | |
| 97. Akron..... | 1915 | State-Municipal..... | 2 | 2 | State industrial commission..... | July 30, 1916 | 1,500.00 | 147.62 | 175.00 |
| 98. Cincinnati..... | 1890 |do..... | 4 | 4 |do..... |do..... | 3,150.00 | 1,920.00 | Free. |
| 99. Cleveland..... | 1890 |do..... | 18 | 18 |do..... |do..... | 4,800.00 | 3,057.44 | Do. |
| 100. Columbus..... | 1890 |do..... | 3 | 3 |do..... |do..... | 1,850.00 | 1,440.00 | 420.00 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------------------------|----|---|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------|--|
| 71. Dayton..... | 1890 | do. | 3 | 3 | do. | do. | 1,500.00 | 900.00 | Free. | |
| 72. Toledo..... | 1890 | do. | 5 | 5 | do. | do. | 1,500.00 | 1,269.05 | 420.00 | |
| 73. Youngstown..... | 1915 | do. | 2 | 2 | do. | do. | 1,500.00 | 540.00 | Free. | |
| <i>Oklahoma.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74. Enid..... | 1910 | State | 1 | | State commissioner of labor | Dec. 31, 1916 | 900.00 | | 150.00 | |
| 75. Muskogee..... | 1909 | do. | 1 | | do. | do. | 900.00 | | 144.00 | |
| 76. Oklahoma..... | 1908 | do. | 1 | | do. | do. | 1,200.00 | | 180.00 | |
| 77. Tulsa..... | 1915 | do. | 2 | | do. | July 1, 1916 | 900.00 | 600.00 | 120.00 | |
| <i>Oregon.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78. Portland..... | 1907 | Municipal | 4 | 4 | Commissioner of public affairs | Nov. 30, 1916 | 1,800.00 | 3,000.00 | 2,000.00 | |
| <i>Pennsylvania.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 79. Altoona..... | 1916 | State | 1 | | Commissioner of labor and industry | Sept. 30, 1916 | (^a) | | Free. | |
| 80. Harrisburg..... | 1915 | do. | 8 | | do. | do. | (^a) | (^a) | Do. | |
| 81. Johnstown..... | 1915 | do. | 1 | | do. | do. | 800.00 | | Do. | |
| 82. Philadelphia..... | 1915 | do. | 16 | | do. | do. | (^a) | (^a) | Do. | |
| 83. Pittsburgh..... | 1916 | do. | 6 | | do. | do. | (^a) | (^a) | Do. | |
| <i>Rhode Island.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 84. Providence..... | 1908 | do. | 3 | | Commissioner of industrial statistics | Dec. 31, 1916 | 1,500.00 | 1,140.00 | 650.00 | |
| <i>Texas.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 85. Dallas..... | 1914 | Municipal | 2 | | Director of public welfare | Apr. 30, 1917 | 900.00 | 720.00 | Free. | |
| 86. Fort Worth..... | 1914 | do. | 1 | | City commissioners | Dec. 31, 1916 | 1,800.00 | | Do. | |
| <i>Virginia.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87. Richmond..... | 1915 | do. | 3 | | Commissioners of the public employment bureau | do. | 1,500.00 | 1,500.00 | Do. | |
| <i>Washington.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88. Bellingham..... | 1914 | Federal-Municipal | 2 | 1 | City council | do. | 1,114.85 | | Do. | |
| 89. Everett..... | 1912 | Municipal | 1 | | Commissioner of public works | Dec. 31, 1915 | 900.00 | | Do. | |
| 90. Seattle..... | 1894 | do. | 5 | 5 | City labor commissioner | Nov. 30, 1916 | 1,200.00 | 4,286.30 | Do. | |
| 91. Spokane..... | 1905 | do. | 2 | 1 | City council | Dec. 31, 1915 | 2,131.45 | | Do. | |
| 92. Tacoma..... | 1904 | Federal-Municipal | 3 | 3 | Commissioner of public safety | Dec. 31, 1916 | 2,700.00 | 600.00 | 600.00 | |
| <i>Wisconsin.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 93. La Crosse..... | 1903 | State | 1 | 1 | State industrial commission | June 30, 1917 | 720.00 | | Free. | |
| 94. Milwaukee..... | 1901 | State-County-Municipal | 6 | 6 | do. | do. | 2,845.00 | 2,539.00 | 1,718.50 | |
| 95. Oshkosh..... | 1903 | State | 1 | 1 | do. | June 30, 1915 | 741.00 | | Free. | |
| 96. Superior..... | 1899 | State-Municipal | 2 | 2 | do. | do. | 1,200.00 | 720.00 | Do. | |

¹ Reported "free" when quarters are furnished by city or county in which located, or in State building.

² Exclusive of salaries paid Federal employees temporarily assigned to bureau.

³ Not reported.

⁴ Averages one hour a day on employment work.

⁵ Estimate for year of operation on cooperative basis.

⁶ No superintendent—workmen's compensation referee acts in that capacity.

⁷ Including 8 volunteer workers during greater part of year.

TABLE B.—HOURS OPEN, WAITING ROOMS PROVIDED, REGULATIONS RELATIVE TO SENDING WORKERS TO POSITIONS AND FREQUENCY OF REPORTS, IN STATE AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

| State and city. | Hours open. | | Are separate waiting rooms provided for— | | | For what kind of positions are applicants required to give references? | Are applicants asked whether they belong to a labor union? | Are applicants sent to places affected by strikes or lockouts? | How frequently are reports made? |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Monday to Friday. | Saturday. | Women seeking employment? | Ju-ve-niles? | Em-ploy-ers? | | | | |
| <i>California.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Berkeley..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 5..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Monthly. |
| 2. Sacramento..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 3. Los Angeles..... | 7 to 5 ¹ | 7 to 4 ¹ | Yes.. | Yes.. | Yes.. | Higher grade mechanics, office and domestic positions. | Not unless employer specifies. | do..... | Daily. |
| 4. Oakland..... | do..... | 7 to 5..... | Yes.. | No.. | Yes.. | do..... | do..... | do..... | Do. |
| 5. Sacramento..... | do..... | do..... | Yes.. | No.. | Yes.. | do..... | do..... | do..... | Do. |
| 6. San Francisco..... | 7 to 5:30..... | 7 to 5:30..... | Yes.. | No.. | Yes.. | do..... | do..... | do..... | Do. |
| <i>Colorado.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Colorado Springs..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 5..... | Yes.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | No..... | Monthly. |
| 8. Denver, No. 1..... | 8:30 to 4:30 ² | 8:30 to 4:30 ² | Yes.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 9. Denver, No. 2..... | do..... | do..... | Yes.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 10. Pueblo..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 5..... | Yes.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 11. Denver..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Annually. |
| <i>Connecticut.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Bridgeport..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 4..... | 8 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Monthly. |
| 13. Hartford..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 14. New Haven..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 15. Norwich..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | Yes..... | Do. |
| 16. Waterbury..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | Question has not arisen | Do. |
| <i>Idaho.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 17. Boise..... | 8 to 6..... | 8 to 6..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | When required by employer. | No..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Monthly. |

| Illinois. | | | Yes. | No. | Yes. | All except labor positions, but no application rejected if the applicant refuses to give references. | No. | No. | Daily. |
|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------|-----|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | Not unless employer specifies. | No. | Do. |
| 18. Chicago | 8 to 5 | 8 to 12 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 19. Chicago | 7 to 6 | 7 to 4 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 20. East St. Louis | 8 to 5 | 8 to 12 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 21. Peoria | do. | do. | Yes. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 22. Rockford | do. | 8 to 12.30 | Yes. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 23. Rock Island-Moline | do. | 8 to 12 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 24. Springfield | do. | do. | Yes. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| Indiana. | | | Yes. | No. | Yes. | None. | No. | No. | Weekly. |
| | | | No. | No. | No. | | When required by employer. | Not unless employer specifies. | No. |
| 25. Evansville | 9 to 5 | 9 to 5 ¹ | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 26. Ft. Wayne | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 ¹ | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 27. Indianapolis | do. | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 ² | No. | No. | No. | Occasionally | do. | No. | Quarterly. |
| 28. South Bend | do. | 8 to 12 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | None. | do. | No. | Weekly. |
| 29. Terre Haute | do. | do. | No. | No. | No. | When required by employer. | do. | Inform applicants of conditions. | Do. |
| Iowa. | | | No. | No. | No. | Positions of responsibility. | Yes. | No. | Monthly. |
| | | | No. | No. | No. | | When required by employer. | Not unless employer specifies. | Question has not arisen |
| 30. Des Moines | 8.30 to 5 | 8.30 to 12 | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| Kansas. | | | No. | No. | No. | When required by employer. | Not unless employer specifies. | Question has not arisen | Do. |
| | | | No. | No. | No. | | do. | do. | Inform applicants of conditions. |
| 31. Topeka | 8.30 to 12; 1.30 to 5 | 8.30 to 12; 1.30 to 4 | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| Kentucky. | | | No. | No. | No. | All except labor positions. | Not unless employer specifies. | do. | Daily. |
| | | | No. | No. | No. | | do. | do. | do. |
| 32. Louisville | 8 to 5 | 8 to 1 | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 33. Louisville | 7.30 to 5.30 | 7.30 to 5.30 | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| Massachusetts. | | | Yes. | No. | Yes. | None. | Asked, but no required. | do. | Do. |
| | | | No. | No. | No. | | do. | do. | do. |
| 34. Boston | 9 to 5 | 9 to 12 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 35. Fall River | 9 to 12; 1 to 5 ⁴ | 9 to 12 ⁴ | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 36. Springfield | 8 to 5 | 8 to 12 | Yes. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 37. Worcester | do. | do. | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| Michigan. | | | No. | No. | No. | do. | No. | No. | Monthly. |
| | | | No. | No. | No. | | do. | No. | No. |
| 38. Battle Creek | 7.30 to 11.30 | 7.30 to 11.30 | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 39. Bay City | 7.30 to 11.30 ¹ | 7.30 to 11.30 ¹ | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |
| 40. Detroit | 7.30 to 4 | 7.30 to 12 | Yes. | No. | Yes. | | | | Do. |
| 41. Flint | 7.30 to 12; 1 to 4 | 7.30 to 12 ¹ | No. | No. | No. | | | | Do. |

¹ For men's industrial division; women's household division and commercial division 8 to 4, except on Saturdays 8 to 12.
² Winter, 9 to 4.
³ July and August, 9 to 12.
⁴ May to October, inclusive, 8 to 12.

⁵ June to October, inclusive, 8 to 12.
⁶ Closed during August.
⁷ 1 to 4 during farm-hand season.

TABLE B.—HOURS OPEN, WAITING ROOMS PROVIDED, REGULATIONS RELATIVE TO SENDING WORKERS TO POSITIONS AND FREQUENCY OF REPORTS, IN STATE AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

| State and city. | Hours open. | | Are separate waiting rooms provided for— | | | For what kind of positions are applicants required to give reference? | Are applicants asked whether they belong to a labor union? | Are applicants sent to places affected by strikes or lockouts? | How frequently are reports made? |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Monday to Friday. | Saturday. | Women seeking employment? | Juveniles? | Employers? | | | | |
| <i>Michigan—Concluded.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 42. Grand Rapids..... | 7.30 to 12; 1 to 4..... | 7.30 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | No..... | Monthly. |
| 43. Jackson..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 4..... | 8 to 12..... | Yes. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 44. Kalamazoo..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 45. Lansing..... | 7.30 to 11.30..... | 7.30 to 11.30..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | When required by employer. | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 46. Muskegon..... | do..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 47. Saginaw..... | 7.30 to 3.30..... | 7.30 to 12 ¹ | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| <i>Minnesota.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 48. Duluth..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 12 ¹ | Yes. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Do. |
| 49. Minneapolis..... | do..... | do. ¹ | Yes. | No.. | Yes. | do..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 50. St. Paul..... | do..... | do. ¹ | Yes. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| <i>Missouri.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 51. Kansas City..... | do..... | 8 to 4..... | Yes. | No.. | Yes ¹ | do..... | Not unless employer specifies. | No..... | Do. |
| 52. St. Louis..... | do..... | 8 to 12..... | Yes ¹ | No.. | Yes. | Men in skilled trades; all women. | No..... | No..... | Do. |
| 53. St. Joseph..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 ¹ | do..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | Yes..... | No..... | Do. |
| 54. St. Joseph..... | 8 to 6..... | 8 to 6..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | Asked for in all cases but not required. | No..... | Question has not arisen | Daily. |
| <i>Montana.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 55. Butte..... | 9 to 12; 1 to 5..... | 9 to 12; 1 to 2..... | Yes. | No.. | No.. | When required by employer. | No..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Monthly. |
| <i>Nebraska.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 56. Lincoln..... | 8.30 to 12; 1.30 to 5..... | 8.30 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | No..... | Never. |
| 57. Lincoln..... | do..... | 8.30 to 12; 1.30 to 5 | No.. | No.. | Yes. | When required by employer. | Yes..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Annually. |
| 58. Omaha..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | Asked for in all cases but not required. | Yes..... | do..... | Weekly. |

| <i>New Jersey.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----|------------------|-----|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--|
| 59. Jersey City | 9 to 4 | 9 to 12 | No. | No. | No. | None | No. | No. | Monthly. | |
| 60. Newark | 8.30 to 4 | 8.30 to 12 | Yes | No. | Yes | do | Not unless requested by employer. | No. | Do. | |
| <i>New York.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61. New York | 8 to 5 ⁶ | 8 to 12 | Yes | No. | Yes | Asked for in all cases but not required. | Optional | Inform applicants of conditions. | Daily. | |
| 62. Albany | 9 to 5 | 9 to 12 | Yes | No. | Yes | do | do | do | Do. | |
| 63. Brooklyn | 8 to 5 | 8 to 12 | Yes | No. | Yes | do | do | do | Do. | |
| 64. Buffalo | do | do | Yes | No. | Yes | do | do | do | Do. | |
| 66. Rochester | do | do | Yes | No. | Yes | do | do | do | Do. | |
| 65. Syracuse | 9 to 5 | 9 to 1 | Yes | No. | Yes | do | do | do | Do. | |
| <i>Ohio.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67. Akron | 7 to 4 | 7 to 12 | Yes | No. | No. | In special cases for men | Not unless requested by employer. | do | Do. | |
| 68. Cincinnati | 7 to 5 | do | Yes | No. | Yes | In special cases for men; all women. | No. | do | Do. | |
| 69. Cleveland | do | do | Yes | Yes ⁷ | Yes | In special cases | Not unless requested by employer. | do | Do. | |
| 70. Columbus | 7 to 4 | do | No. | No. | Yes | Skilled and general house-workers. | do | do | Do. | |
| 71. Dayton | do | do | Yes | No. | Yes | Skilled and in special cases | do | do | Do. | |
| 72. Toledo | do | do | Yes | No. | Yes | All | do | do | Do. | |
| 73. Youngstown | do | do | Yes | No. | Yes | In special cases | do | do | Do. | |
| <i>Oklahoma.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74. Enid | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 ⁸ | 8 to 12 | Yes | No. | No. | All except unskilled | do | do | Do. | |
| 75. Muskogee | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 | No. | No. | No. | do | do | do | Do. | |
| 76. Oklahoma | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 ⁹ | 8 to 12 | Yes | No. | No. | do | do | do | Do. | |
| 77. Tulsa | do | do | Yes | No. | No. | do | do | do | Do. | |
| <i>Oregon.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78. Portland | 7 to 5 | 7 to 12 | Yes | No. | Yes | When requested by employers. | No. | do | Monthly | |
| <i>Pennsylvania.</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 79. Philadelphia | do | do | Yes | No. | Yes | All except common labor. | Not unless employer specifies. | No. | Weekly. | |
| 80. Altoona | 8.30 to 4.30 | 8.30 to 12 | No. | No. | No. | do | do | No. | Do. | |
| 81. Harrisburg | 8 to 5 ¹⁰ | 8 to 12 | No. | No. | Yes | do | do | No. | Do. | |
| 82. Johnstown | 8 to 12; 1 to 4 | do | No. | No. | No. | do | do | No. | Do. | |
| 83. Pittsburgh | 9 to 5 | 9 to 12 | No. | No. | Yes | do | do | No. | Do. | |

¹ Open until 3.30 p. m. during farm-hand season.

² Open at 7.30 for 5 months.

³ For employers of women only.

⁴ Practically no waiting room for men.

⁵ Open 7 to 5.30 during spring months.

⁶ 9 to 4 during summer.

⁷ Girls only.

⁸ Opens at 7 spring and summer closes at 6 during summer.

⁹ During harvest season, 7.30 to 12; 1 to 5.30.

¹⁰ 9 to 5 during winter.

TABLE B.—HOURS OPEN, WAITING ROOMS PROVIDED, REGULATIONS RELATIVE TO SENDING WORKERS TO POSITIONS AND FREQUENCY OF REPORTS, IN STATE AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Concluded.

| State and city. | Hours open. | | Are separate waiting rooms provided for— | | | For what kind of positions are applicants required to give references? | Are applicants asked whether they belong to a labor union? | Are applicants sent to places affected by strikes or lockouts? | How frequently are reports made? |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Monday to Friday. | Saturday. | Women seeking employment? | Juveniles? | Employers? | | | | |
| <i>Rhode Island.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 84. Providence..... | 9 to 5..... | 9 to 12..... | Yes. | No.. | Yes. | When requested by employer. | No..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Monthly. |
| <i>Texas.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 85. Dallas..... | 7.30 to 5..... | 7.30 to 5..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | All..... | Optional..... | do..... | Daily. |
| 86. Fort Worth..... | 8 to 12; 1.15 to 5..... | 8 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | When requested by employer. | No..... | Question has not arisen | Monthly. |
| <i>Virginia.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 87. Richmond..... | 8 to 4..... | do..... | No.. | No.. | Yes. | All except unskilled..... | Yes..... | Inform applicants of conditions. | Do. |
| <i>Washington.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 88. Bellingham..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 5..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 5..... | No.. | No.. | Yes. | When requested by employer. | No..... | do..... | Daily. |
| 89. Everett..... | 7 to 12; 1 to 4..... | 7 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | do..... | Monthly. |
| 90. Seattle..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 5..... | Yes. | No.. | Yes. | When requested by employer. | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 91. Spokane..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 5..... | 8 to 12; 1 to 5 ¹ | Yes. | No.. | Yes. | do..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 92. Tacoma..... | 8 to 4.30 ² | 7 to 4.30 ² | Yes. | No.. | No.. | do..... | No..... | do..... | Daily. |
| <i>Wisconsin.</i> | | | | | | | | | |
| 93. LaCrosse..... | 8.30 to 12; 1.30 to 5..... | 8.30 to 12..... | Yes. | No.. | Yes. | None..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 94. Milwaukee..... | 7 to 5..... | 7 to 12..... | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. | When requested by employer. | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 95. Oshkosh..... | 8.30 to 12; 1.30 to 5.30..... | 8.30 to 12..... | No.. | No.. | No.. | None..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |
| 96. Superior..... | 8 to 5..... | 8 to 12..... | Yes. | No.. | Yes. | None..... | No..... | do..... | Do. |

¹ During summer, 8 to 12.

² Opens at 7.30 during winter.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES.

CALIFORNIA (2 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Berkeley. | | | | | | Sacramento. | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | Applica-tions from employ-ers. | Per-sons asked for by employ-ers. | Persons apply-ing for work. | | Offers of posi-tions. | Posi-tions filled. | Applica-tions from employ-ers. | Per-sons asked for by employ-ers. | Per-sons apply-ing for work. | Offers of posi-tions. | Posi-tions filled. |
| | | | New regis-trations. | Renew-als. | | | | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January.... | 100 | 112 | 174 | 747 | (1) | (1) | 113 | 171 | 173 | (1) | (1) |
| February.... | 103 | 120 | 184 | 653 | (1) | (1) | 100 | 147 | 96 | (1) | (1) |
| March..... | 271 | 306 | 197 | 705 | (1) | (1) | 170 | 313 | 113 | (1) | (1) |
| April..... | 191 | 222 | 134 | 703 | (1) | (1) | 218 | 240 | 84 | (1) | (1) |
| May..... | 151 | 159 | 100 | 455 | (1) | (1) | 150 | 255 | 60 | (1) | (1) |
| June..... | 191 | 223 | 89 | 448 | (1) | (1) | 190 | 310 | 84 | (1) | (1) |
| July..... | 177 | 228 | 131 | 491 | (1) | (1) | 150 | 340 | 100 | (1) | (1) |
| August..... | 158 | 171 | 78 | 416 | (1) | (1) | 144 | 392 | 101 | (1) | (1) |
| September.. | 139 | 166 | 85 | 445 | (1) | (1) | 171 | 342 | 78 | (1) | (1) |
| October..... | 158 | 177 | 74 | 442 | (1) | (1) | 208 | 416 | 72 | (1) | (1) |
| November.. | 129 | 147 | 108 | 482 | (1) | (1) | 167 | 335 | 87 | (1) | (1) |
| December.. | 144 | 156 | 149 | 511 | (1) | (1) | 169 | 336 | 75 | (1) | (1) |
| Total. | 1,912 | 2,187 | 1,503 | 6,498 | (1) | (1) | 1,950 | 3,597 | 1,123 | (1) | (1) |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January.... | 118 | 131 | 182 | 617 | (1) | (1) | 133 | 267 | 70 | (1) | (1) |
| February.... | 142 | 150 | 189 | 533 | (1) | (1) | 175 | 234 | 55 | (1) | (1) |
| March..... | 240 | 259 | 104 | 554 | (1) | (1) | 182 | 312 | 82 | (1) | (1) |
| April..... | 205 | 218 | 61 | 307 | (1) | (1) | 217 | 355 | 56 | (1) | (1) |
| May..... | 168 | 183 | 101 | 304 | (1) | (1) | 205 | 349 | 86 | (1) | (1) |
| June..... | 203 | 224 | 76 | 428 | (1) | (1) | 201 | 845 | 55 | (1) | (1) |
| July..... | 217 | 235 | 59 | 312 | (1) | (1) | 191 | 379 | 63 | (1) | (1) |
| August..... | 256 | 276 | 87 | 356 | (1) | (1) | 204 | 391 | 51 | (1) | (1) |
| September.. | 187 | 207 | 57 | 287 | (1) | (1) | 166 | 332 | 67 | (1) | (1) |
| October..... | 237 | 257 | 62 | 28 | (1) | (1) | 168 | 336 | 65 | (1) | (1) |
| November.. | 243 | 261 | 94 | 415 | (1) | (1) | 126 | 253 | 60 | (1) | (1) |
| December.. | 188 | 205 | 91 | 449 | (1) | (1) | 180 | 251 | 78 | (1) | (1) |
| Total. | 2,404 | 2,606 | 1,163 | 4,590 | (1) | (1) | 2,148 | 3,804 | 788 | (1) | (1) |

¹ Figures same as under "Persons asked for by employers."

² Estimated.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

COLORADO (4 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | Offers of positions. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | Offers of positions. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | Offers of positions. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | Colorado Springs. | | | Denver, No. 1. | | | Denver, No. 2. | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 231 | 299 | 233 | 199 | 304 | 174 | 491 | 1,222 | 474 |
| February..... | 211 | 269 | 207 | 50 | 94 | 54 | 107 | 215 | 107 |
| March..... | 322 | 400 | 304 | 104 | 129 | 164 | 217 | 436 | 217 |
| April..... | 500 | 520 | 464 | 209 | 606 | 186 | 227 | 420 | 190 |
| May..... | 579 | 641 | 570 | 234 | 496 | 202 | 258 | 461 | 231 |
| June..... | 578 | 588 | 542 | 242 | 507 | 196 | 248 | 348 | 197 |
| July..... | 906 | 907 | 810 | 228 | 436 | 213 | 205 | 302 | 164 |
| August..... | 870 | 942 | 840 | 248 | 474 | 233 | 148 | 230 | 148 |
| September..... | 857 | 919 | 803 | 90 | 481 | 302 | 254 | 342 | 212 |
| October..... | 729 | 785 | 679 | 72 | 531 | 361 | 396 | 505 | 333 |
| November..... | 516 | 642 | 490 | 229 | 339 | 219 | 136 | 427 | 113 |
| December..... | 541 | 621 | 504 | 301 | 307 | 185 | 179 | 454 | 163 |
| Total..... | 6,840 | 7,533 | 6,446 | 2,206 | 4,704 | 2,489 | 2,866 | 5,362 | 2,549 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 456 | | 399 | 138 | | 100 | 120 | | 103 |
| February..... | 348 | 590 | 337 | 145 | 186 | 95 | 159 | 277 | 159 |
| March..... | 562 | 681 | 509 | 196 | 214 | 146 | 260 | 446 | 233 |
| April..... | 617 | 718 | 556 | 297 | 257 | 185 | 316 | 376 | 267 |
| May..... | 724 | 869 | 668 | 292 | 351 | 236 | 339 | 437 | 284 |
| June..... | 964 | 906 | 800 | 422 | 415 | 344 | 446 | 493 | 374 |
| July..... | 1,242 | 1,025 | 858 | 374 | 355 | 339 | 270 | 346 | 249 |
| August..... | 1,479 | 1,227 | 1,104 | 446 | 409 | 371 | 722 | 584 | 453 |
| September..... | 656 | 610 | 582 | 612 | 410 | 385 | 462 | 370 | 263 |
| October..... | 693 | 682 | 565 | 588 | 404 | 378 | 468 | 374 | 286 |
| November..... | 549 | 613 | 449 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| December..... | 457 | 392 | 371 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | 200 | 124 |
| Total..... | 8,737 | 8,313 | 7,198 | 3,510 | 3,001 | 2,579 | 3,562 | 3,903 | 2,795 |
| Pueblo. | | | Total. | | | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 245 | 725 | 245 | 1,166 | 2,550 | 1,126 | | | |
| February..... | 566 | 1,065 | 566 | 934 | 1,643 | 934 | | | |
| March..... | 51 | 99 | 49 | 694 | 1,064 | 734 | | | |
| April..... | 72 | 137 | 72 | 1,008 | 1,653 | 912 | | | |
| May..... | 125 | 159 | 125 | 1,196 | 1,757 | 1,128 | | | |
| June..... | 209 | 225 | 207 | 1,277 | 1,668 | 1,142 | | | |
| July..... | 232 | 246 | 232 | 1,571 | 1,891 | 1,419 | | | |
| August..... | 257 | 286 | 257 | 1,523 | 1,932 | 1,478 | | | |
| September..... | 260 | 205 | 203 | 1,461 | 1,947 | 1,520 | | | |
| October..... | 223 | 192 | 168 | 1,420 | 2,013 | 1,561 | | | |
| November..... | 215 | 221 | 213 | 1,096 | 1,629 | 1,035 | | | |
| December..... | 178 | 228 | 149 | 1,199 | 1,610 | 1,001 | | | |
| Total..... | 2,633 | 3,788 | 2,506 | 14,545 | 21,387 | 13,990 | | | |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 117 | | 112 | 831 | | 714 | | | |
| February..... | 156 | 187 | 149 | 809 | 1,240 | 740 | | | |
| March..... | 243 | 253 | 223 | 1,251 | 1,594 | 1,111 | | | |
| April..... | 485 | 394 | 353 | 1,715 | 1,745 | 1,361 | | | |
| May..... | 539 | 475 | 433 | 1,894 | 2,132 | 1,621 | | | |
| June..... | 1,088 | 701 | 669 | 2,920 | 2,515 | 2,187 | | | |
| July..... | 502 | 533 | 497 | 2,388 | 2,259 | 1,943 | | | |
| August..... | 491 | 590 | 482 | 3,138 | 2,810 | 2,410 | | | |
| September..... | 983 | 944 | 862 | 2,713 | 2,334 | 2,092 | | | |
| October..... | 717 | 672 | 617 | 2,466 | 2,132 | 1,846 | | | |
| November..... | 441 | 475 | 435 | 990 | 1,088 | 884 | | | |
| December..... | 390 | 408 | 366 | 847 | 1,000 | 861 | | | |
| Total..... | 6,152 | 5,632 | 5,198 | 21,961 | 20,849 | 17,770 | | | |

¹ Data not available.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.
CONNECTICUT (5 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | Positions filled. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | Positions filled. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | Positions filled. |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Bridgeport. | | | Hartford. | | | New Haven. | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 168 | 276 | 150 | 170 | 349 | 146 | 129 | 309 | 101 |
| February..... | 169 | 283 | 155 | 181 | 372 | 162 | 146 | 321 | 119 |
| March..... | 233 | 343 | 207 | 210 | 368 | 166 | 180 | 347 | 133 |
| April..... | 321 | 420 | 292 | 230 | 478 | 200 | 256 | 367 | 201 |
| May..... | 406 | 609 | 355 | 257 | 484 | 235 | 263 | 405 | 205 |
| June..... | 396 | 555 | 346 | 331 | 607 | 255 | 365 | 469 | 259 |
| July..... | 308 | 498 | 271 | 342 | 619 | 265 | 315 | 418 | 225 |
| August..... | 343 | 515 | 303 | 509 | 887 | 389 | 310 | 353 | 231 |
| September..... | 448 | 584 | 417 | 644 | 908 | 508 | 478 | 631 | 357 |
| October..... | 427 | 557 | 369 | 724 | 945 | 550 | 365 | 433 | 264 |
| November..... | 466 | 685 | 430 | 495 | 735 | 360 | 426 | 436 | 316 |
| December..... | 760 | 954 | 679 | 466 | 673 | 347 | 428 | 364 | 302 |
| Total..... | 4,445 | 6,279 | 3,974 | 4,559 | 7,425 | 3,583 | 3,661 | 4,853 | 2,718 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 652 | 798 | 570 | 480 | 768 | 380 | 444 | 484 | 312 |
| February..... | 383 | 536 | 335 | 550 | 804 | 400 | 451 | 560 | 325 |
| March..... | 541 | 699 | 482 | 562 | 842 | 427 | 510 | 695 | 403 |
| April..... | 741 | 891 | 674 | 830 | 988 | 546 | 665 | 665 | 436 |
| May..... | 767 | 863 | 642 | 967 | 1,270 | 725 | 924 | 963 | 722 |
| June..... | 936 | 1,189 | 818 | 674 | 972 | 497 | 854 | 956 | 673 |
| July..... | 978 | 1,190 | 875 | 776 | 1,027 | 553 | 741 | 907 | 601 |
| August..... | 938 | 1,166 | 845 | 778 | 918 | 485 | 852 | 1,033 | 664 |
| September..... | 973 | 1,118 | 852 | 941 | 1,232 | 725 | 918 | 1,079 | 765 |
| October..... | 822 | 1,070 | 739 | 1,272 | 1,576 | 947 | 1,072 | 1,226 | 868 |
| November..... | 820 | 1,004 | 706 | 1,189 | 1,453 | 961 | 977 | 1,197 | 803 |
| December..... | 771 | 890 | 682 | 778 | 982 | 651 | 733 | 1,035 | 623 |
| Total..... | 9,322 | 11,414 | 8,220 | 9,797 | 12,732 | 7,357 | 9,041 | 10,800 | 7,200 |
| Norwich. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 31 | 61 | 25 | 139 | 183 | 103 | 637 | 1,178 | 527 |
| February..... | 32 | 59 | 27 | 123 | 223 | 93 | 651 | 1,258 | 556 |
| March..... | 40 | 60 | 32 | 175 | 319 | 143 | 838 | 1,437 | 681 |
| April..... | 38 | 56 | 31 | 158 | 239 | 127 | 1,003 | 1,560 | 854 |
| May..... | 40 | 59 | 35 | 172 | 209 | 133 | 1,138 | 1,706 | 963 |
| June..... | 38 | 63 | 32 | 167 | 292 | 145 | 1,297 | 1,986 | 1,037 |
| July..... | 28 | 27 | 19 | 156 | 332 | 127 | 1,149 | 1,894 | 907 |
| August..... | 54 | 86 | 50 | 287 | 248 | 144 | 1,503 | 2,089 | 1,117 |
| September..... | 119 | 142 | 105 | 214 | 369 | 154 | 1,903 | 2,634 | 1,541 |
| October..... | 144 | 178 | 130 | 233 | 317 | 172 | 1,893 | 2,430 | 1,485 |
| November..... | 130 | 153 | 122 | 182 | 248 | 124 | 1,699 | 2,257 | 1,352 |
| December..... | 180 | 241 | 171 | 183 | 189 | 110 | 2,017 | 2,421 | 1,609 |
| Total..... | 874 | 1,185 | 779 | 2,189 | 3,168 | 1,775 | 15,728 | 22,910 | 12,621 |
| Waterbury. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 190 | 268 | 178 | 174 | 278 | 111 | 1,940 | 2,596 | 1,551 |
| February..... | 161 | 204 | 156 | 179 | 228 | 92 | 1,724 | 2,332 | 1,368 |
| March..... | 213 | 283 | 201 | 202 | 231 | 110 | 2,028 | 2,750 | 1,625 |
| April..... | 273 | 335 | 259 | 204 | 307 | 158 | 2,613 | 3,186 | 2,673 |
| May..... | 274 | 303 | 261 | 202 | 222 | 138 | 3,134 | 3,621 | 2,488 |
| June..... | 200 | 225 | 195 | 182 | 172 | 85 | 2,846 | 3,514 | 2,278 |
| July..... | 230 | 248 | 224 | 156 | 159 | 109 | 2,881 | 3,531 | 2,362 |
| August..... | 148 | 174 | 144 | 157 | 174 | 112 | 2,873 | 3,365 | 2,250 |
| September..... | 217 | 235 | 202 | 207 | 205 | 146 | 3,256 | 3,869 | 2,690 |
| October..... | 180 | 200 | 170 | 154 | 168 | 111 | 3,500 | 4,240 | 2,835 |
| November..... | 178 | 196 | 152 | 162 | 167 | 117 | 3,326 | 4,017 | 2,739 |
| December..... | 158 | 182 | 151 | 126 | 162 | 84 | 2,566 | 3,251 | 2,196 |
| Total..... | 2,422 | 2,853 | 2,293 | 2,105 | 2,473 | 1,383 | 32,687 | 40,272 | 26,453 |

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

ILLINOIS: Chicago (1 bureau).

| Year and month. | Applica- tions from employers. | Persons asked for by employ- ers. | Persons applying for work. | Offers of posi- tions. | Positions filled. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1916. | | | | | |
| January..... | 20 | 97 | (¹) | 97 | 67 |
| February..... | 31 | 725 | 600 | 725 | 485 |
| March..... | 67 | 1,624 | 350 | 1,624 | 912 |
| April..... | 58 | 2,030 | 500 | 2,030 | 778 |
| May..... | 51 | 930 | 450 | 930 | 428 |
| June..... | 33 | 615 | 300 | 615 | 165 |
| July..... | 47 | 704 | 706 | 704 | 135 |
| August..... | 25 | 912 | 904 | 912 | 331 |
| September..... | 18 | 580 | 900 | 580 | 232 |
| October..... | 23 | 333 | 200 | 333 | 149 |
| November..... | 15 | 244 | 200 | 244 | 113 |
| December..... | 28 | 285 | 100 | 285 | 74 |
| Total..... | 416 | 9,079 | 5,210 | 9,079 | 3,869 |

(1) Not reported.

IOWA: Des Moines (1 bureau).

| Year and month. | Applica- tions from employers. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | New regis- trations. | Renewals. | | |
| July, 1915, to June 30, 1916..... | 454 | 931 | 1,535 | 104 | 650 | 402 |
| 1916. | | | | | | |
| July..... | 34 | 105 | 54 | 12 | 40 | 11 |
| August..... | 31 | 436 | 120 | 13 | 105 | 70 |
| September..... | 36 | 220 | 114 | 37 | 123 | 93 |
| October..... | 60 | 317 | 136 | 19 | 138 | 69 |
| November..... | 30 | 145 | 148 | 44 | 157 | 111 |
| December..... | 20 | 95 | 114 | 27 | 101 | 76 |
| 1917. | | | | | | |
| January..... | 28 | 58 | 87 | 25 | 49 | 24 |
| February..... | 70 | 123 | 97 | 19 | 103 | 25 |

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.
KANSAS: Topeka (1 bureau).

| Month. | 1915 | | | | | 1916 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Ap-plica-tions from em-ploy-ers. | Per-sons asked for by em-ploy-ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions | Posi-tions filled. | Ap-plica-tions from em-ploy-ers. | Per-sons asked for by em-ploy-ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Posi-tions filled. |
| | | | New regis-trations. | Re-new-als. | | | | | New regis-trations. | Re-new-als. | | |
| January..... | 15 | 15 | 60 | 5 | 20 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 48 | | 12 | 3 |
| February..... | 6 | 10 | 53 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 18 | 26 | 108 | | 30 | 17 |
| March..... | 10 | 10 | 58 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 21 | 21 | 86 | 6 | 16 | 16 |
| April..... | 20 | 25 | 60 | 6 | 32 | 17 | 38 | 51 | 114 | 1 | 64 | 43 |
| May..... | 33 | 46 | 60 | 7 | 40 | 28 | 101 | 120 | 132 | 9 | 135 | 111 |
| June..... | 28 | 34 | 95 | 10 | 50 | 28 | 31 | 61 | 126 | 4 | 80 | 59 |
| July..... | 41 | 49 | 50 | 6 | 50 | 45 | 60 | 72 | 90 | | 79 | 61 |
| August..... | 16 | 30 | 45 | | 27 | 17 | 21 | 57 | 68 | | 51 | 36 |
| September..... | 15 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 18 | 13 | 32 | 35 | 35 | 3 | 36 | 27 |
| October..... | 12 | 73 | 41 | 12 | 33 | 27 | 19 | 118 | 73 | | 60 | 59 |
| November..... | 41 | 54 | 67 | 15 | 60 | 50 | 63 | 70 | 98 | 6 | 76 | 64 |
| December..... | 72 | 72 | 132 | 14 | 72 | 65 | 10 | 14 | 30 | 3 | 18 | 12 |
| Total ¹ | 307 | 434 | 733 | 92 | 420 | 315 | 422 | 653 | 1,008 | 32 | 657 | 513 |

¹ Figures do not include thousands who applied for harvest work.

KENTUCKY: Louisville (1 bureau).

| Month. | 1915 | | | | 1916 | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Persons asked for by em-ploy-ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Posi-tions filled. | Persons asked for by em-ploy-ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Posi-tions filled. |
| | | New regis-trations. | Re-new-als. | | | | New regis-trations. | Re-new-als. | | |
| January..... | | | | | | 164 | 460 | 825 | 149 | 109 |
| February..... | | | | | | 112 | 317 | 802 | 114 | 62 |
| March..... | ¹ 406 | ¹ 1,830 | ¹ 3,125 | ¹ 278 | ¹ 200 | 174 | 331 | 713 | 183 | 88 |
| April..... | 92 | 322 | 862 | 93 | 61 | 285 | 405 | 735 | 213 | 121 |
| May..... | 129 | 393 | 767 | 104 | 65 | 479 | 381 | 717 | 329 | 177 |
| June..... | 102 | 405 | 753 | 85 | 51 | 304 | 553 | 722 | 385 | 191 |
| July..... | 109 | 459 | 993 | 63 | 38 | 285 | 360 | 617 | 282 | 145 |
| August..... | 140 | 492 | 1,124 | 140 | 86 | 389 | 350 | 590 | 280 | 115 |
| September..... | 132 | 595 | 1,057 | 186 | 109 | 290 | 373 | 595 | 243 | 109 |
| October..... | 128 | 496 | 1,022 | 122 | 78 | 285 | 332 | 705 | 290 | 128 |
| November..... | 123 | 484 | 1,158 | 137 | 75 | 238 | 323 | 677 | 258 | 93 |
| December..... | 129 | 347 | 1,186 | 109 | 60 | 254 | 354 | 757 | 266 | 137 |
| Total..... | 1,490 | 5,823 | 12,047 | 1,317 | 823 | 3,259 | 4,539 | 8,455 | 2,992 | 1,503 |

¹ Data are for February and March.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.
MASSACHUSETTS (4 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Boston. | | | | Fall River. | | | | Springfield. | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 701 | 809 | 1,332 | 583 | 78 | 78 | 75 | 67 | 251 | 277 | 353 | 209 |
| February..... | 785 | 953 | 1,750 | 836 | 93 | 93 | 79 | 75 | 302 | 318 | 374 | 243 |
| March..... | 1,179 | 1,359 | 2,367 | 1,134 | 120 | 132 | 114 | 100 | 688 | 724 | 882 | 547 |
| April..... | 1,327 | 1,534 | 2,646 | 1,276 | 107 | 113 | 99 | 88 | 598 | 622 | 773 | 496 |
| May..... | 1,412 | 1,585 | 2,749 | 1,289 | 115 | 118 | 118 | 108 | 527 | 573 | 741 | 483 |
| June..... | 1,341 | 1,597 | 2,783 | 1,350 | 127 | 132 | 120 | 106 | 558 | 635 | 859 | 484 |
| July..... | 1,218 | 1,361 | 2,333 | 1,084 | 75 | 83 | 81 | 66 | 430 | 529 | 683 | 441 |
| August..... | 1,356 | 1,580 | 2,757 | 1,225 | | | | | 659 | 931 | 1,156 | 718 |
| September..... | 1,910 | 2,275 | 3,706 | 1,663 | 91 | 93 | 78 | 67 | 809 | 1,052 | 1,391 | 884 |
| October..... | 1,683 | 2,116 | 3,443 | 1,675 | 102 | 107 | 98 | 85 | 609 | 791 | 993 | 670 |
| November..... | 1,533 | 1,859 | 3,274 | 1,553 | 101 | 104 | 100 | 91 | 583 | 788 | 1,003 | 700 |
| December..... | 1,402 | 1,695 | 2,672 | 1,267 | 107 | 111 | 94 | 83 | 620 | 786 | 869 | 624 |
| Total..... | 15,847 | 18,714 | 31,821 | 15,035 | 1,116 | 1,164 | 1,059 | 936 | 6,633 | 8,026 | 10,077 | 6,473 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 1,560 | 1,832 | 3,128 | 1,430 | 135 | 143 | 134 | 111 | 592 | 821 | 1,099 | 683 |
| February..... | 1,462 | 1,782 | 2,914 | 1,366 | 126 | 148 | 134 | 117 | 578 | 765 | 958 | 637 |
| March..... | 1,984 | 2,341 | 3,955 | 1,701 | 127 | 143 | 133 | 110 | 796 | 962 | 1,133 | 727 |
| April..... | 2,561 | 2,870 | 3,724 | 1,743 | 147 | 151 | 142 | 129 | 1,036 | 1,256 | 1,460 | 913 |
| May..... | 2,999 | 3,455 | 4,819 | 2,184 | 211 | 237 | 200 | 179 | 1,248 | 1,537 | 1,781 | 1,128 |
| June..... | 2,347 | 2,663 | 3,997 | 1,845 | 138 | 172 | 146 | 114 | 983 | 1,233 | 1,601 | 931 |
| July..... | 2,065 | 2,309 | 3,052 | 1,396 | 113 | 115 | 106 | 101 | 990 | 1,282 | 1,598 | 981 |
| August..... | 2,280 | 2,561 | 3,617 | 1,645 | | | | | 1,185 | 1,788 | 2,137 | 1,224 |
| September..... | 2,543 | 2,783 | 3,519 | 1,450 | 136 | 146 | 116 | 107 | 1,182 | 1,601 | 1,824 | 1,135 |
| October..... | 2,497 | 2,728 | 3,721 | 1,593 | 179 | 188 | 155 | 149 | 1,067 | 1,500 | 1,673 | 1,117 |
| November..... | 1,891 | 2,153 | 3,349 | 1,509 | 156 | 158 | 143 | 132 | 983 | 1,193 | 1,484 | 899 |
| December..... | 1,430 | 1,649 | 2,499 | 1,117 | 137 | 139 | 130 | 114 | 862 | 1,187 | 1,255 | 861 |
| Total..... | 25,619 | 29,126 | 42,333 | 18,970 | 1,605 | 1,740 | 1,539 | 1,363 | 11,462 | 15,127 | 18,004 | 11,176 |
| Worcester. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 226 | 304 | 516 | 233 | 1,256 | 1,498 | 2,279 | 1,192 | | | | |
| February..... | 265 | 408 | 584 | 306 | 1,445 | 1,732 | 2,796 | 1,460 | | | | |
| March..... | 477 | 562 | 834 | 379 | 2,464 | 2,768 | 4,197 | 2,160 | | | | |
| April..... | 604 | 717 | 1,009 | 487 | 2,634 | 2,996 | 4,527 | 2,347 | | | | |
| May..... | 607 | 719 | 983 | 501 | 2,661 | 2,966 | 4,591 | 2,360 | | | | |
| June..... | 535 | 613 | 937 | 478 | 2,561 | 2,977 | 4,699 | 2,418 | | | | |
| July..... | 457 | 608 | 843 | 390 | 2,190 | 2,581 | 3,940 | 1,981 | | | | |
| August..... | 509 | 626 | 903 | 450 | 2,515 | 3,137 | 4,816 | 2,388 | | | | |
| September..... | 752 | 929 | 1,150 | 655 | 3,562 | 4,349 | 6,325 | 3,269 | | | | |
| October..... | 643 | 804 | 1,041 | 529 | 3,037 | 3,818 | 5,575 | 2,959 | | | | |
| November..... | 591 | 727 | 1,049 | 502 | 2,818 | 3,478 | 5,428 | 2,846 | | | | |
| December..... | 594 | 720 | 983 | 515 | 2,723 | 3,312 | 4,618 | 2,489 | | | | |
| Total..... | 6,260 | 7,737 | 10,832 | 5,425 | 29,856 | 35,641 | 53,789 | 27,869 | | | | |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 793 | 1,041 | 1,386 | 692 | 3,080 | 3,837 | 5,747 | 2,916 | | | | |
| February..... | 734 | 943 | 1,182 | 563 | 2,900 | 3,638 | 5,188 | 2,683 | | | | |
| March..... | 958 | 1,191 | 1,483 | 735 | 3,853 | 4,637 | 6,704 | 3,273 | | | | |
| April..... | 1,247 | 1,710 | 1,553 | 779 | 4,991 | 5,989 | 8,879 | 3,554 | | | | |
| May..... | 1,219 | 1,580 | 1,612 | 823 | 5,677 | 6,809 | 8,411 | 4,314 | | | | |
| June..... | 1,098 | 1,408 | 1,410 | 735 | 4,566 | 5,476 | 7,154 | 3,625 | | | | |
| July..... | 975 | 1,246 | 1,210 | 639 | 4,143 | 4,952 | 5,966 | 3,117 | | | | |
| August..... | 1,077 | 1,337 | 1,385 | 731 | 4,542 | 5,096 | 7,139 | 3,690 | | | | |
| September..... | 1,064 | 1,419 | 1,443 | 744 | 4,925 | 5,949 | 6,902 | 3,436 | | | | |
| October..... | 1,005 | 1,301 | 1,407 | 713 | 4,748 | 5,717 | 6,956 | 3,572 | | | | |
| November..... | 976 | 1,253 | 1,451 | 711 | 3,976 | 4,760 | 6,467 | 3,242 | | | | |
| December..... | 829 | 1,127 | 1,242 | 657 | 3,258 | 4,102 | 5,127 | 2,689 | | | | |
| Total..... | 11,973 | 15,559 | 16,764 | 8,522 | 50,659 | 61,552 | 78,640 | 40,031 | | | | |

GENERAL TABLES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued
MICHIGAN (10 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Positions filled. | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Battle Creek. | Bay City. | Detroit. | Flint. | Grand Rapids. | Jack-son. | Kala-mazoo. | Lan-sing. | Mus-kegon. | Sagi-naw. | Total. |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | | | 704 | | 217 | 349 | 175 | | | 635 | 1,980 |
| February..... | | | 981 | | 257 | 270 | 150 | | | 413 | 2,071 |
| March..... | | | 2,064 | | 368 | 382 | 250 | | | 355 | 3,419 |
| April..... | | | 2,841 | | 662 | 494 | 318 | | | 787 | 5,102 |
| May..... | | | 2,125 | 79 | 607 | 543 | 310 | | | 925 | 4,589 |
| June..... | | | 1,681 | 294 | 631 | 438 | 332 | | | 835 | 4,211 |
| July..... | | | 1,496 | 351 | 589 | 415 | 391 | | | 695 | 3,937 |
| August..... | | | 2,033 | 361 | 588 | 565 | 377 | | | 665 | 4,589 |
| September..... | | | 3,620 | 398 | 867 | 564 | 398 | | | 761 | 6,608 |
| October..... | | | 4,608 | 548 | 1,138 | 786 | 453 | | | 990 | 8,523 |
| November..... | | | 3,907 | 509 | 844 | 606 | 372 | | | 771 | 7,009 |
| December..... | | | 2,772 | 424 | 632 | 483 | 286 | | | 603 | 5,200 |
| Total..... | | | 28,832 | 2,964 | 7,400 | 5,895 | 3,812 | | | 8,335 | 57,238 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 160 | | 2,832 | 455 | 686 | 461 | 362 | 142 | 199 | 572 | 5,769 |
| February..... | 155 | 24 | 3,596 | 564 | 647 | 585 | 266 | 135 | 237 | 577 | 6,785 |
| March..... | 161 | 80 | 5,189 | 559 | 813 | 712 | 406 | 241 | 192 | 640 | 8,993 |
| April..... | 193 | 100 | 5,717 | 708 | 1,065 | 834 | 451 | 258 | 178 | 741 | 10,245 |
| May..... | 198 | 90 | 6,520 | 835 | 1,160 | 942 | 430 | 194 | 225 | 868 | 11,462 |
| June..... | 108 | 137 | 4,815 | 798 | 955 | 706 | 416 | 325 | 252 | 814 | 9,326 |
| July..... | 126 | 109 | 5,151 | 918 | 994 | 793 | 464 | 197 | 204 | 861 | 9,817 |
| August..... | 171 | 98 | 4,315 | 961 | 855 | 798 | 479 | 228 | 234 | 942 | 9,079 |
| September..... | 135 | 81 | 4,680 | 778 | 852 | 749 | 490 | 217 | 201 | 741 | 8,924 |
| October..... | 143 | 84 | 5,992 | 771 | 872 | 794 | 415 | 246 | 205 | 790 | 10,312 |
| November..... | 93 | 171 | 5,452 | 709 | 731 | 687 | 393 | 153 | 173 | 714 | 9,276 |
| December..... | 104 | 123 | 3,175 | 748 | 565 | 486 | 243 | 66 | 106 | 552 | 6,168 |
| Total..... | 1,747 | 1,097 | 57,434 | 8,804 | 10,195 | 8,545 | 4,814 | 2,402 | 2,306 | 8,812 | 106,156 |

¹ Office opened Jan. 15, 1916.

MINNESOTA (3 bureaus).

| Month. | Positions filled. | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 1915 | | | 1916 | | |
| | Duluth. | Minne-apolis. | St. Paul. | Duluth. | Minne-apolis. | St. Paul. |
| January..... | 453 | 903 | 415 | 772 | 1,041 | 806 |
| February..... | 389 | 876 | 409 | 485 | 1,266 | 715 |
| March..... | 408 | 1,156 | 571 | 650 | 1,251 | 708 |
| April..... | 686 | 2,406 | 1,235 | 976 | 2,245 | 1,394 |
| May..... | 715 | 1,691 | 913 | 1,937 | 3,102 | 1,966 |
| June..... | 760 | 1,417 | 719 | 1,636 | 2,040 | 1,292 |
| July..... | 800 | 1,509 | 833 | 1,203 | 2,099 | 1,351 |
| August..... | 879 | 1,980 | 1,158 | 1,493 | 2,307 | 1,609 |
| September..... | 1,029 | 2,369 | 1,183 | 1,241 | 2,060 | 1,246 |
| October..... | 1,162 | 2,625 | 1,492 | 1,379 | 2,573 | 1,586 |
| November..... | 1,247 | 1,920 | 1,175 | 1,181 | 1,951 | 1,118 |
| December..... | 618 | 1,211 | 756 | 1,105 | 1,951 | 1,118 |
| Total..... | 9,146 | 20,063 | 10,864 | 14,063 | 23,886 | 14,999 |

90 PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

MONTANA: Butte (1 bureau).

| Month. | 1915 | | | | 1916 | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Applica- tions from em- ployers. | Persons apply- ing for work. | Persons referred to posi- tions. | Positions filled. | Applica- tions from em- ployers. | Persons apply- ing for work. | Persons referred to posi- tions. | Positions filled. |
| January..... | 124 | 195 | 153 | 120 | 407 | 518 | 380 | 350 |
| February..... | 156 | 315 | 280 | 154 | 367 | 626 | 530 | 338 |
| March..... | 136 | 359 | 146 | 130 | 383 | 660 | 395 | 357 |
| April..... | 200 | 220 | 220 | 494 | 404 | 548 | 194 | 371 |
| May..... | 231 | 283 | 360 | 203 | 466 | 510 | 481 | 391 |
| June..... | 293 | 400 | 509 | 241 | 466 | 350 | 481 | 550 |
| July..... | 242 | 500 | 495 | 272 | 300 | 450 | 460 | 350 |
| August..... | 437 | 700 | 470 | 429 | 500 | 650 | 490 | 460 |
| September..... | 250 | 513 | 300 | 282 | 300 | 560 | 340 | 298 |
| October..... | 558 | 550 | 560 | 345 | 606 | 640 | 400 | 314 |
| November..... | 499 | 300 | 380 | 360 | 300 | 380 | 400 | 390 |
| December..... | 405 | 685 | 405 | 330 | 373 | 485 | 385 | 283 |
| Total..... | 3,521 | 5,020 | 4,278 | 3,360 | 4,872 | 6,377 | 4,936 | 4,537 |

NEW YORK (5 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Applica- tions from em- ployers. | Persons asked for by em- ployers. | Persons apply- ing for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Applica- tions from em- ployers. | Persons asked for by em- ployers. | Persons apply- ing for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | New regis- tra- tions. | Re- new- als. | | | | | New regis- tra- tions. | Re- new- als. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Albany. | | | | | | | | | | | | | Brooklyn. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Year beginning Apr. 1, 1915.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April..... | 14 | 19 | 125 | | 11 | 4 | 482 | 775 | 1,714 | 705 | 909 | 559 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| May..... | 136 | 190 | 697 | | 203 | 78 | 372 | 626 | 1,715 | 752 | 860 | 404 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June..... | 216 | 253 | 765 | 6 | 424 | 171 | 456 | 804 | 2,129 | 813 | 1,178 | 486 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| July..... | 217 | 363 | 780 | 165 | 479 | 299 | 394 | 566 | 1,610 | 594 | 903 | 418 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| August..... | 220 | 329 | 664 | 164 | 451 | 228 | 479 | 778 | 1,450 | 658 | 1,097 | 494 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| September..... | 246 | 336 | 605 | 198 | 477 | 258 | 663 | 1,149 | 1,935 | 669 | 1,449 | 691 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| October..... | 282 | 355 | 666 | 220 | 579 | 265 | 774 | 1,356 | 1,668 | 593 | 1,739 | 723 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| November..... | 273 | 374 | 681 | 253 | 647 | 287 | 707 | 1,254 | 1,420 | 552 | 1,452 | 635 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December..... | 278 | 416 | 547 | 229 | 571 | 312 | 782 | 1,410 | 1,337 | 502 | 1,506 | 787 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 294 | 422 | 612 | 280 | 614 | 304 | 886 | 1,489 | 1,330 | 564 | 1,683 | 828 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| February..... | 260 | 311 | 394 | 265 | 446 | 208 | 642 | 1,563 | 1,447 | 428 | 1,659 | 902 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| March..... | 370 | 538 | 539 | 367 | 630 | 333 | 1,352 | 2,186 | 1,619 | 500 | 2,129 | 1,142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total..... | 2,806 | 3,906 | 7,075 | 2,147 | 5,532 | 2,747 | 8,239 | 13,956 | 19,574 | 7,330 | 16,564 | 8,069 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Year beginning Apr. 1, 1916.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April..... | 507 | 649 | 464 | 250 | 638 | 312 | 1,422 | 2,126 | 1,456 | 349 | 2,093 | 1,164 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| May..... | 607 | 812 | 539 | 261 | 755 | 404 | 1,581 | 2,585 | 2,002 | 502 | 2,663 | 1,580 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| June..... | 526 | 692 | 491 | 202 | 660 | 373 | 1,467 | 2,140 | 1,756 | 411 | 2,276 | 1,375 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| July..... | 545 | 770 | 452 | 176 | 651 | 384 | 1,287 | 1,863 | 1,166 | 475 | 1,984 | 1,085 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| August..... | 533 | 764 | 487 | 171 | 696 | 407 | 1,311 | 1,890 | 1,116 | 548 | 2,110 | 1,230 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| September..... | 597 | 864 | 536 | 277 | 790 | 435 | 1,335 | 1,911 | 1,081 | 504 | 2,025 | 1,185 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| October..... | 570 | 838 | 600 | 272 | 939 | 516 | 1,757 | 2,495 | 1,325 | 698 | 2,694 | 1,601 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| November..... | 511 | 687 | 551 | 278 | 816 | 449 | 1,722 | 2,388 | 1,181 | 577 | 2,522 | 1,477 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| December..... | 413 | 565 | 415 | 285 | 734 | 424 | 1,381 | 1,895 | 946 | 507 | 2,049 | 1,296 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 472 | 793 | 521 | 334 | 823 | 468 | 1,763 | 2,565 | 1,436 | 671 | 2,725 | 1,624 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| February..... | 407 | 501 | 415 | 326 | 645 | 368 | 1,355 | 1,728 | 968 | 579 | 1,806 | 1,174 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| March..... | 596 | 743 | 480 | 340 | 786 | 405 | 1,538 | 2,061 | 1,256 | 688 | 2,271 | 1,401 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total..... | 6,284 | 8,678 | 6,951 | 3,172 | 8,923 | 4,945 | 17,919 | 25,647 | 16,689 | 6,409 | 27,218 | 16,192 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

GENERAL TABLES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.
NEW YORK (5 bureaus)—Concluded.

| Year and month. | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Persons ap- plying for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Persons ap- plying for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | New regis- tra- tions. | Re- new- als. | | | | | New regis- tra- tions. | Re- new- als. | | |
| | Buffalo. | | | | | | Rochester. | | | | | |
| <i>Year beginning Apr. 1, 1916.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April..... | 238 | 465 | 1,175 | 113 | 469 | 171 | 508 | 576 | 905 | | 664 | 275 |
| May..... | 288 | 359 | 1,325 | 111 | 468 | 248 | 377 | 572 | 823 | 142 | 532 | 254 |
| June..... | 317 | 467 | 1,399 | 123 | 542 | 346 | 441 | 676 | 1,076 | 136 | 707 | 329 |
| July..... | 364 | 529 | 1,304 | 261 | 608 | 398 | 461 | 745 | 889 | 128 | 964 | 440 |
| August..... | 447 | 621 | 1,009 | 342 | 646 | 422 | 550 | 817 | 837 | 148 | 844 | 503 |
| September..... | 531 | 757 | 754 | 192 | 778 | 569 | 822 | 1,806 | 1,093 | 164 | 1,371 | 918 |
| October..... | 756 | 756 | 682 | 193 | 784 | 508 | 832 | 1,477 | 816 | 72 | 1,373 | 936 |
| November..... | 478 | 710 | 686 | 264 | 826 | 503 | 649 | 986 | 626 | 128 | 932 | 551 |
| December..... | 29 | 710 | 679 | 219 | 836 | 539 | 562 | 894 | 644 | 269 | 989 | 604 |
| January..... | 568 | 778 | 754 | 333 | 829 | 531 | 622 | 954 | 692 | 282 | 990 | 541 |
| February..... | 540 | 779 | 646 | 357 | 855 | 520 | 644 | 959 | 619 | 331 | 1,005 | 439 |
| March..... | 672 | 893 | 635 | 304 | 899 | 602 | 985 | 1,488 | 809 | 285 | 1,440 | 818 |
| Total..... | 5,223 | 7,844 | 11,048 | 2,812 | 8,540 | 5,357 | 7,453 | 11,950 | 9,829 | 2,135 | 11,811 | 6,608 |
| <i>Year beginning Apr. 1, 1916.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April..... | 909 | 1,152 | 466 | 251 | 1,029 | 673 | 1,204 | 1,814 | 808 | 280 | 1,473 | 751 |
| May..... | 973 | 1,215 | 474 | 223 | 1,148 | 758 | 1,373 | 2,073 | 819 | 274 | 1,587 | 806 |
| June..... | 1,026 | 1,869 | 1,105 | 122 | 1,514 | 1,139 | 1,118 | 1,740 | 900 | 231 | 1,511 | 820 |
| July..... | 836 | 1,564 | 917 | 61 | 1,402 | 1,052 | 1,079 | 1,860 | 717 | 114 | 1,335 | 663 |
| August..... | 960 | 1,757 | 1,120 | 67 | 1,659 | 1,222 | 1,113 | 1,602 | 794 | 317 | 1,556 | 873 |
| September..... | 982 | 1,966 | 1,261 | 72 | 1,831 | 1,421 | 1,058 | 1,681 | 890 | 343 | 1,550 | 980 |
| October..... | 1,067 | 2,118 | 1,605 | 104 | 2,118 | 2,134 | 1,608 | 2,262 | 945 | 343 | 1,896 | 1,183 |
| November..... | 981 | 2,345 | 1,599 | 125 | 2,096 | 1,472 | 1,295 | 1,747 | 792 | 335 | 1,617 | 922 |
| December..... | 923 | 1,922 | 1,469 | 89 | 1,882 | 1,428 | 1,062 | 1,744 | 815 | 373 | 1,548 | 870 |
| January..... | 983 | 1,779 | 1,478 | 98 | 1,934 | 1,390 | 1,250 | 1,828 | 936 | 720 | 1,658 | 912 |
| February..... | 815 | 1,795 | 1,284 | 165 | 1,571 | 1,131 | 1,078 | 1,582 | 709 | 363 | 1,397 | 793 |
| March..... | 1,276 | 1,801 | 1,332 | 142 | 1,874 | 1,391 | 1,739 | 2,381 | 917 | 633 | 1,942 | 1,037 |
| Total..... | 11,731 | 21,283 | 14,103 | 1,519 | 20,058 | 15,211 | 14,977 | 22,314 | 10,042 | 4,326 | 19,070 | 10,640 |
| | Syracuse. | | | | | | Total. | | | | | |
| <i>Year beginning Apr. 1, 1916.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April..... | 556 | 749 | 952 | 72 | 750 | 373 | 1,748 | 2,604 | 4,871 | 890 | 2,803 | 1,382 |
| May..... | 532 | 717 | 963 | 111 | 780 | 389 | 1,705 | 2,464 | 5,523 | 1,116 | 2,843 | 1,373 |
| June..... | 582 | 989 | 1,215 | 140 | 1,065 | 532 | 2,012 | 3,189 | 6,584 | 1,218 | 3,916 | 1,894 |
| July..... | 561 | 845 | 1,108 | 77 | 944 | 540 | 1,997 | 3,048 | 5,691 | 1,225 | 3,898 | 2,095 |
| August..... | 648 | 768 | 1,053 | 90 | 915 | 523 | 2,244 | 3,313 | 5,013 | 1,402 | 3,953 | 2,170 |
| September..... | 553 | 740 | 841 | 108 | 843 | 594 | 2,815 | 4,788 | 5,228 | 1,331 | 4,918 | 3,030 |
| October..... | 621 | 964 | 831 | 65 | 969 | 671 | 3,265 | 4,903 | 4,663 | 1,143 | 5,444 | 3,103 |
| November..... | 428 | 664 | 647 | 151 | 793 | 573 | 2,535 | 3,988 | 4,060 | 1,348 | 4,650 | 2,549 |
| December..... | 443 | 572 | 501 | 147 | 696 | 442 | 2,044 | 4,002 | 3,708 | 1,366 | 4,498 | 2,684 |
| January..... | 467 | 594 | 569 | 155 | 685 | 478 | 2,837 | 4,237 | 4,157 | 1,614 | 4,701 | 2,682 |
| February..... | 477 | 624 | 493 | 123 | 621 | 460 | 2,863 | 4,236 | 3,599 | 1,554 | 4,586 | 2,529 |
| March..... | 657 | 836 | 576 | 107 | 839 | 592 | 4,036 | 5,941 | 4,178 | 1,563 | 5,937 | 3,487 |
| Total..... | 6,425 | 9,062 | 9,749 | 1,346 | 9,700 | 6,167 | 30,151 | 46,718 | 57,275 | 15,770 | 62,147 | 28,948 |
| <i>Year beginning Apr. 1, 1916.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| April..... | 893 | 1,093 | 599 | 102 | 996 | 716 | 4,935 | 6,834 | 8,793 | 1,232 | 6,229 | 3,616 |
| May..... | 1,087 | 1,299 | 640 | 131 | 1,193 | 931 | 5,621 | 7,984 | 4,474 | 1,391 | 7,346 | 4,479 |
| June..... | 775 | 914 | 513 | 44 | 826 | 619 | 4,912 | 7,355 | 4,765 | 1,010 | 6,787 | 4,326 |
| July..... | 554 | 703 | 399 | 56 | 616 | 501 | 4,301 | 6,750 | 3,651 | 852 | 5,988 | 3,685 |
| August..... | 783 | 905 | 514 | 119 | 834 | 647 | 4,700 | 6,918 | 4,031 | 1,222 | 6,855 | 4,379 |
| September..... | 1,038 | 1,420 | 713 | 145 | 1,146 | 734 | 5,010 | 7,842 | 4,484 | 1,341 | 7,342 | 4,755 |
| October..... | 926 | 1,293 | 579 | 123 | 1,029 | 790 | 5,928 | 9,006 | 5,054 | 1,440 | 8,676 | 6,224 |
| November..... | 818 | 1,206 | 693 | 133 | 1,152 | 905 | 5,327 | 8,373 | 4,816 | 1,448 | 8,203 | 5,225 |
| December..... | 789 | 1,206 | 672 | 96 | 1,065 | 745 | 4,568 | 7,332 | 4,307 | 1,350 | 7,278 | 4,763 |
| January..... | 953 | 1,341 | 893 | 227 | 1,204 | 818 | 5,421 | 8,306 | 5,264 | 2,050 | 8,244 | 5,242 |
| February..... | 870 | 1,260 | 727 | 260 | 1,172 | 766 | 4,525 | 6,866 | 4,103 | 1,693 | 6,591 | 4,232 |
| March..... | 1,369 | 1,899 | 891 | 320 | 1,636 | 1,091 | 6,518 | 8,885 | 4,876 | 2,123 | 8,509 | 5,325 |
| Total..... | 10,855 | 14,539 | 7,833 | 1,756 | 12,869 | 9,263 | 61,766 | 92,461 | 53,618 | 17,182 | 83,148 | 50,261 |

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

NEW YORK CITY (1 bureau).

| Month. | 1915 | | | | | 1916 | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Appli- cations from employ- ers. | Persons asked for by employ- ers. | New regis- tra- tions. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Appli- cations from employ- ers. | Persons asked for by employ- ers. | New regis- tra- tions. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
| January..... | 367 | 527 | 6,012 | 1,030 | 404 | 1,510 | 1,592 | 1,999 | 2,234 | 1,283 |
| February..... | 300 | 648 | 3,059 | 1,214 | 536 | 1,467 | 1,639 | 1,805 | 2,139 | 1,356 |
| March..... | 360 | 1,168 | 2,645 | 1,233 | 402 | 2,279 | 2,502 | 2,316 | 2,761 | 1,926 |
| April..... | 317 | 379 | 1,861 | 717 | 299 | 2,248 | 2,509 | 2,094 | 2,988 | 1,871 |
| May..... | 288 | 358 | 1,678 | 709 | 287 | 2,562 | 2,985 | 2,228 | 3,843 | 2,373 |
| June..... | 303 | 465 | 2,046 | 829 | 422 | 2,335 | 2,666 | 2,332 | 3,367 | 2,176 |
| July..... | 273 | 331 | 2,457 | 741 | 255 | 1,941 | 2,157 | 2,188 | 3,048 | 1,800 |
| August..... | 406 | 553 | 1,756 | 1,035 | 440 | 2,188 | 2,476 | 2,491 | 3,729 | 1,965 |
| September..... | 700 | 944 | 1,996 | 1,665 | 715 | 2,167 | 2,530 | 2,163 | 3,486 | 2,053 |
| October..... | 830 | 977 | 2,509 | 1,864 | 744 | 2,977 | 3,304 | 2,528 | 4,311 | 2,138 |
| November..... | 751 | 915 | 2,172 | 1,229 | 915 | 2,820 | 3,166 | 2,269 | 4,197 | 2,546 |
| December..... | 757 | 903 | 1,676 | 1,188 | 737 | 2,375 | 2,750 | 1,856 | 3,558 | 2,340 |
| Total..... | 5,652 | 8,168 | 29,867 | 13,454 | 6,156 | 26,872 | 30,376 | 26,269 | 39,661 | 23,847 |

NEW YORK CITY: Operations, by industry, 1916 (1 bureau).

| | Agricul- ture. | Build- ing trades. | Domes- tic and per- sonal service. | Manu- factur- ing and mechani- cal indus- tries. | Profes- sional services. | Trade and trans- porta- tion. | All others. | Total. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Applications from employers..... | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | 26,872 |
| Persons applied for by employers: | | | | | | | | |
| Males..... | 204 | 626 | 2,035 | 2,249 | 9 | 4,650 | 3,653 | 13,326 |
| Females..... | | | 14,014 | 750 | 11 | 2,154 | 21 | 16,950 |
| Total..... | 204 | 626 | 16,049 | 2,999 | 20 | 6,704 | 3,674 | 30,276 |
| New registrations: | | | | | | | | |
| Males..... | 267 | 1,029 | 2,356 | 3,422 | 99 | 5,982 | 4,199 | 17,354 |
| Females..... | | | 3,730 | 589 | 61 | 4,510 | 25 | 8,915 |
| Total..... | 267 | 1,029 | 6,086 | 4,011 | 160 | 10,492 | 4,224 | 26,269 |
| Offers of positions to— | | | | | | | | |
| Males..... | 274 | 1,190 | 3,288 | 3,835 | 8 | 6,861 | 5,835 | 21,291 |
| Females..... | | | 13,764 | 1,026 | 16 | 3,528 | 36 | 18,370 |
| Total..... | 274 | 1,190 | 17,052 | 4,861 | 24 | 10,389 | 5,871 | 39,661 |
| Positions reported filled by— | | | | | | | | |
| Males..... | 153 | 633 | 1,616 | 1,934 | 6 | 3,256 | 2,813 | 10,411 |
| Females..... | | | 10,921 | 626 | 7 | 1,859 | 23 | 13,436 |
| Total..... | 153 | 633 | 12,537 | 2,560 | 13 | 5,115 | 2,836 | 23,847 |

1 Not reported.

GENERAL TABLES.

93

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

OHIO (7 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | New registrations. | Re-novels. | | | | New registrations. | Re-novels. | | |
| Akron. | | | | | | Cincinnati. | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | | | | | | 3,347 | 3,739 | 9,532 | 3,315 | 3,101 |
| February..... | | | | | | 2,724 | 2,070 | 8,449 | 2,818 | 2,433 |
| March..... | | | | | | 1,009 | 1,357 | 6,676 | 1,066 | 761 |
| April..... | 813 | 1,359 | 2,548 | 748 | 593 | 942 | 1,531 | 4,762 | 1,116 | 712 |
| May..... | 616 | 948 | 1,871 | 677 | 495 | 858 | 1,888 | 5,170 | 977 | 693 |
| June..... | 594 | 1,084 | 1,596 | 641 | 440 | 714 | 1,836 | 4,702 | 849 | 591 |
| July..... | 753 | 1,167 | 1,777 | 759 | 541 | 938 | 1,583 | 4,043 | 1,146 | 779 |
| August..... | 1,460 | 1,282 | 2,130 | 1,363 | 1,119 | 1,297 | 2,146 | 3,812 | 1,570 | 1,154 |
| September..... | 1,803 | 1,388 | 1,797 | 1,619 | 1,314 | 1,487 | 2,106 | 3,296 | 1,589 | 1,339 |
| October..... | 1,658 | 1,001 | 1,491 | 1,452 | 1,216 | 1,346 | 2,130 | 3,645 | 1,407 | 1,154 |
| November..... | 1,569 | 1,134 | 2,079 | 1,488 | 1,156 | 1,303 | 1,678 | 3,504 | 1,444 | 1,138 |
| December..... | 1,179 | 836 | 2,268 | 1,100 | 890 | 1,112 | 1,704 | 3,476 | 1,041 | 780 |
| Total..... | 10,445 | 10,199 | 17,537 | 9,877 | 7,764 | 17,077 | 23,798 | 61,067 | 18,338 | 14,640 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 1,481 | 949 | 1,767 | 1,276 | 938 | 1,289 | 1,797 | 4,500 | 1,262 | 887 |
| February..... | 1,309 | 619 | 1,662 | 1,149 | 880 | 1,288 | 1,614 | 4,488 | 1,274 | 776 |
| March..... | 1,789 | 586 | 1,445 | 1,360 | 1,110 | 2,286 | 1,790 | 4,130 | 2,020 | 1,356 |
| April..... | 1,905 | 556 | 1,226 | 1,384 | 1,141 | 2,410 | 1,695 | 2,933 | 2,061 | 1,312 |
| May..... | 2,174 | 708 | 1,435 | 1,670 | 1,391 | 2,238 | 1,483 | 2,687 | 1,997 | 1,273 |
| June..... | 1,923 | 789 | 1,640 | 1,690 | 1,383 | 1,660 | 1,257 | 2,707 | 1,515 | 923 |
| July..... | 1,951 | 627 | 1,314 | 1,573 | 1,314 | 1,564 | 1,221 | 2,509 | 1,387 | 932 |
| August..... | 1,764 | 756 | 1,298 | 1,623 | 1,405 | 1,672 | 1,424 | 2,882 | 1,665 | 989 |
| September..... | 1,853 | 772 | 1,269 | 1,574 | 1,359 | 1,840 | 1,317 | 2,434 | 1,743 | 952 |
| October..... | 2,063 | 780 | 1,401 | 1,629 | 1,382 | 1,819 | 1,342 | 2,382 | 1,983 | 1,078 |
| November..... | 2,305 | 906 | 1,621 | 1,783 | 1,491 | 1,722 | 1,612 | 2,247 | 1,980 | 1,277 |
| December..... | 1,674 | 717 | 1,636 | 1,411 | 1,184 | 1,621 | 1,676 | 2,589 | 1,670 | 1,118 |
| Total..... | 22,191 | 8,765 | 17,714 | 18,122 | 14,981 | 21,409 | 18,228 | 36,488 | 20,557 | 12,873 |
| Cleveland. | | | | | | Columbus. | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 4,549 | 8,587 | 12,064 | 4,536 | 3,922 | 738 | 1,249 | 3,854 | 680 | 614 |
| February..... | 4,398 | 3,241 | 12,179 | 4,428 | 4,090 | 1,703 | 993 | 4,604 | 1,724 | 1,425 |
| March..... | 3,832 | 3,265 | 12,711 | 3,715 | 3,273 | 1,947 | 758 | 4,695 | 1,989 | 1,751 |
| April..... | 4,477 | 2,347 | 10,840 | 4,182 | 3,626 | 1,649 | 744 | 3,474 | 1,544 | 1,384 |
| May..... | 3,631 | 2,431 | 6,337 | 3,405 | 2,950 | 1,201 | 739 | 3,393 | 1,153 | 1,045 |
| June..... | 3,523 | 2,888 | 6,696 | 3,321 | 2,608 | 1,099 | 867 | 2,975 | 1,076 | 941 |
| July..... | 3,752 | 3,128 | 6,505 | 3,659 | 2,897 | 1,144 | 801 | 2,616 | 1,127 | 1,011 |
| August..... | 4,449 | 2,958 | 7,816 | 4,097 | 3,421 | 1,401 | 833 | 2,485 | 1,366 | 1,198 |
| September..... | 6,986 | 2,964 | 6,311 | 5,165 | 4,373 | 1,951 | 977 | 2,354 | 1,900 | 1,673 |
| October..... | 7,161 | 2,448 | 5,990 | 5,144 | 4,311 | 2,050 | 911 | 2,073 | 1,978 | 1,708 |
| November..... | 6,127 | 3,129 | 6,905 | 4,857 | 4,138 | 2,004 | 1,148 | 2,424 | 1,941 | 1,551 |
| December..... | 5,231 | 2,448 | 6,569 | 3,940 | 3,277 | 1,476 | 802 | 2,697 | 1,447 | 1,227 |
| Total..... | 58,116 | 39,834 | 101,923 | 50,449 | 42,886 | 18,363 | 10,822 | 37,644 | 17,925 | 15,528 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 5,493 | 2,026 | 8,28 | 4,202 | 3,339 | 1,457 | 743 | 2,629 | 1,371 | 1,117 |
| February..... | 6,267 | 2,006 | 8,166 | 4,485 | 3,484 | 1,474 | 777 | 2,506 | 1,465 | 1,129 |
| March..... | 7,209 | 1,984 | 7,819 | 5,151 | 4,377 | 2,223 | 900 | 2,601 | 2,128 | 1,694 |
| April..... | 9,258 | 2,208 | 7,079 | 6,651 | 5,511 | 2,819 | 745 | 2,297 | 2,437 | 2,011 |
| May..... | 10,904 | 2,940 | 8,262 | 8,462 | 7,158 | 2,956 | 727 | 2,447 | 2,670 | 2,122 |
| June..... | 9,095 | 3,132 | 8,023 | 7,588 | 6,128 | 2,358 | 701 | 2,139 | 2,053 | 1,661 |
| July..... | 7,297 | 2,809 | 6,661 | 6,335 | 5,202 | 2,826 | 892 | 1,892 | 2,227 | 1,827 |
| August..... | 8,321 | 2,769 | 7,296 | 7,181 | 5,858 | 2,565 | 813 | 2,238 | 2,320 | 1,919 |
| September..... | 7,928 | 2,344 | 6,744 | 6,694 | 5,374 | 2,612 | 924 | 2,050 | 2,155 | 1,839 |
| October..... | 8,184 | 2,961 | 7,571 | 7,232 | 5,969 | 2,673 | 896 | 2,590 | 2,290 | 1,872 |
| November..... | 6,791 | 2,665 | 7,249 | 6,259 | 5,104 | 2,275 | 724 | 2,446 | 2,114 | 1,763 |
| December..... | 6,815 | 2,306 | 6,840 | 5,780 | 4,536 | 1,854 | 578 | 2,170 | 1,769 | 1,516 |
| Total..... | 93,562 | 30,150 | 89,538 | 76,020 | 62,040 | 28,092 | 9,420 | 28,004 | 24,999 | 20,410 |

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

OHIO (7 bureaus)—Concluded.

| Year and month. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | New registrations. | Re-nials. | | | | New registrations. | Re-nials. | | |
| Dayton. | | | | | | Toledo. | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 460 | 501 | 1,887 | 406 | 329 | 374 | 832 | 748 | 359 | 336 |
| February..... | 414 | 399 | 1,678 | 395 | 311 | 619 | 1,507 | 2,150 | 691 | 549 |
| March..... | 525 | 465 | 1,908 | 548 | 396 | 771 | 1,115 | 2,749 | 709 | 672 |
| April..... | 728 | 716 | 1,963 | 683 | 603 | 1,050 | 1,237 | 2,605 | 939 | 898 |
| May..... | 649 | 917 | 2,374 | 619 | 504 | 1,414 | 1,029 | 1,969 | 983 | 968 |
| June..... | 619 | 836 | 2,015 | 600 | 529 | 1,380 | 1,469 | 2,651 | 1,271 | 1,261 |
| July..... | 577 | 718 | 1,710 | 541 | 507 | 2,205 | 2,214 | 3,802 | 1,878 | 1,793 |
| August..... | 761 | 805 | 1,663 | 615 | 598 | 1,987 | 1,582 | 2,093 | 1,825 | 1,698 |
| September..... | 1,189 | 921 | 1,286 | 984 | 925 | 4,001 | 2,797 | 1,202 | 3,360 | 3,068 |
| October..... | 1,182 | 960 | 1,302 | 1,093 | 972 | 3,951 | 1,898 | 2,185 | 2,921 | 2,685 |
| November..... | 1,073 | 927 | 1,460 | 985 | 902 | 2,621 | 1,753 | 2,042 | 2,409 | 2,217 |
| December..... | 946 | 721 | 1,352 | 823 | 754 | 1,887 | 1,402 | 2,849 | 1,871 | 1,652 |
| Total..... | 9,123 | 8,886 | 20,598 | 8,291 | 7,330 | 22,260 | 18,835 | 27,665 | 19,116 | 17,797 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 992 | 825 | 1,422 | 779 | 730 | 1,786 | 1,252 | 2,350 | 1,602 | 1,199 |
| February..... | 959 | 684 | 1,209 | 769 | 719 | 2,559 | 1,029 | 2,291 | 2,076 | 1,544 |
| March..... | 1,235 | 518 | 1,214 | 1,013 | 845 | 3,008 | 1,227 | 2,267 | 2,414 | 1,999 |
| April..... | 1,258 | 717 | 1,195 | 1,041 | 893 | 3,764 | 1,103 | 2,118 | 2,516 | 2,176 |
| May..... | 1,595 | 702 | 1,110 | 1,298 | 1,144 | 4,532 | 1,423 | 2,442 | 2,840 | 2,443 |
| June..... | 1,104 | 692 | 1,068 | 955 | 838 | 3,366 | 1,370 | 1,934 | 2,414 | 2,075 |
| July..... | 1,069 | 677 | 1,032 | 914 | 817 | 5,118 | 1,380 | 1,747 | 2,438 | 2,068 |
| August..... | 1,288 | 771 | 1,120 | 1,041 | 946 | 4,484 | 1,401 | 2,162 | 2,676 | 2,267 |
| September..... | 1,182 | 670 | 1,006 | 908 | 822 | 4,338 | 1,362 | 1,754 | 2,431 | 2,016 |
| October..... | 1,138 | 640 | 905 | 910 | 813 | 4,898 | 1,762 | 2,570 | 3,093 | 2,640 |
| November..... | 1,013 | 753 | 991 | 914 | 814 | 3,197 | 1,542 | 2,491 | 2,567 | 2,192 |
| December..... | 914 | 677 | 1,069 | 849 | 751 | 1,990 | 1,286 | 2,354 | 1,804 | 1,486 |
| Total..... | 13,747 | 8,326 | 13,341 | 11,391 | 10,130 | 43,040 | 16,143 | 26,480 | 28,871 | 24,105 |
| Youngstown. | | | | | | Total. | | | | |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | | | | | | 9,468 | 14,908 | 28,085 | 9,295 | 8,302 |
| February..... | | | | | | 9,858 | 8,210 | 30,060 | 9,956 | 8,908 |
| March..... | | | | | | 8,084 | 6,990 | 28,739 | 8,027 | 6,853 |
| April..... | 573 | 1,070 | 1,695 | 552 | 477 | 10,232 | 9,004 | 27,987 | 9,764 | 8,293 |
| May..... | 555 | 651 | 1,348 | 596 | 493 | 8,924 | 8,603 | 22,482 | 8,410 | 7,153 |
| June..... | 599 | 641 | 906 | 639 | 496 | 8,528 | 9,621 | 21,541 | 8,397 | 6,868 |
| July..... | 777 | 684 | 772 | 680 | 606 | 10,146 | 10,295 | 21,225 | 9,790 | 8,134 |
| August..... | 635 | 728 | 773 | 654 | 594 | 11,990 | 10,334 | 20,772 | 11,490 | 9,782 |
| September..... | 1,107 | 878 | 927 | 1,061 | 863 | 18,524 | 12,031 | 17,173 | 15,708 | 13,555 |
| October..... | 1,446 | 929 | 1,028 | 1,004 | 881 | 18,794 | 10,277 | 17,714 | 14,999 | 12,927 |
| November..... | 1,433 | 812 | 1,154 | 1,315 | 1,048 | 16,130 | 10,581 | 20,168 | 14,439 | 12,150 |
| December..... | 1,375 | 771 | 1,358 | 1,043 | 815 | 13,206 | 8,684 | 20,599 | 11,265 | 9,345 |
| Total..... | 8,500 | 7,164 | 9,991 | 7,544 | 6,275 | 143,884 | 119,538 | 276,445 | 131,540 | 112,220 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 1,073 | 663 | 1,041 | 984 | 756 | 13,571 | 8,255 | 21,537 | 11,476 | 8,906 |
| February..... | 973 | 720 | 960 | 972 | 765 | 14,829 | 7,449 | 21,282 | 12,110 | 9,297 |
| March..... | 1,115 | 816 | 1,191 | 1,179 | 908 | 18,865 | 7,821 | 20,667 | 15,256 | 12,146 |
| April..... | 1,267 | 648 | 918 | 1,112 | 974 | 22,681 | 7,672 | 17,766 | 17,202 | 14,018 |
| May..... | 1,356 | 715 | 1,240 | 1,341 | 1,221 | 25,755 | 8,698 | 19,623 | 20,278 | 16,755 |
| June..... | 1,279 | 711 | 1,208 | 1,201 | 1,044 | 20,785 | 8,652 | 18,718 | 17,416 | 14,050 |
| July..... | 1,240 | 685 | 1,034 | 1,183 | 1,051 | 21,065 | 8,297 | 16,189 | 16,057 | 13,211 |
| August..... | 1,507 | 761 | 1,145 | 1,360 | 1,127 | 21,601 | 8,695 | 18,141 | 17,866 | 14,511 |
| September..... | 1,185 | 584 | 1,052 | 1,141 | 957 | 20,938 | 7,973 | 16,309 | 16,646 | 13,319 |
| October..... | 1,198 | 625 | 1,089 | 1,123 | 971 | 21,973 | 9,006 | 18,508 | 18,260 | 14,725 |
| November..... | 1,160 | 612 | 1,030 | 1,104 | 944 | 18,463 | 8,314 | 18,075 | 16,721 | 13,585 |
| December..... | 1,005 | 601 | 740 | 968 | 843 | 15,873 | 7,841 | 17,398 | 14,251 | 11,434 |
| Total..... | 14,358 | 8,141 | 12,648 | 13,659 | 11,561 | 236,399 | 99,173 | 224,213 | 193,619 | 156,100 |

GENERAL TABLES.

95

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

OKLAHOMA (4 bureaus).

| Month. | Positions filled. | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|----------------|------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 1915 | | | | | 1916 | | | | |
| | Enid. | Mus- kogee. | Okla- homa City. | Tulsa. | Total. | Enid. | Mus- kogee. | Okla- homa City. | Tulsa. | Total. |
| January..... | 39 | 91 | 38 | | 168 | 58 | 212 | 158 | 261 | 689 |
| February..... | 57 | 64 | 56 | | 177 | 64 | 167 | 166 | 211 | 608 |
| March..... | 51 | 60 | 211 | | 322 | 237 | 150 | 220 | 320 | 927 |
| April..... | 83 | 74 | 144 | | 301 | 99 | 170 | 247 | 246 | 762 |
| May..... | 92 | 90 | 154 | | 336 | 123 | 156 | 293 | 565 | 1,137 |
| June..... | 37 | 154 | 203 | | 394 | 804 | 262 | 769 | 928 | 2,763 |
| July..... | 168 | 149 | 325 | 102 | 744 | 272 | 178 | 436 | 767 | 1,653 |
| August..... | 192 | 121 | 336 | 140 | 789 | 100 | 191 | 443 | 693 | 1,427 |
| September..... | 238 | 122 | 287 | 209 | 856 | 109 | 153 | 451 | 658 | 1,371 |
| October..... | 97 | 158 | 348 | 222 | 825 | 156 | 231 | 592 | 809 | 1,788 |
| November..... | 110 | 187 | 257 | 298 | 852 | 79 | 224 | 428 | 772 | 1,503 |
| December..... | 68 | 143 | 196 | 209 | 616 | 78 | 211 | 299 | 667 | 1,245 |
| Total..... | 1,232 | 1,413 | 2,555 | 1,180 | 6,380 | 2,179 | 2,305 | 4,492 | 6,897 | 15,873 |

PENNSYLVANIA (5 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Persons asked for by em- ployers. | Persons apply- ing for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Persons asked for by em- ployers. | Persons apply- ing for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | New registra- tions. | Re- newals. | | | | New registra- tions. | Re- newals. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Altoona. | | | | Harrisburg. | | | | |
| November and De- cember, 1915..... | | | | | | 1,728 | 321 | | 283 | 233 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | | | | | | 372 | 188 | 21 | 137 | 64 |
| February..... | | | | | | 131 | 176 | 11 | 304 | 228 |
| March..... | | | | | | 320 | 316 | 118 | 336 | 221 |
| April..... | 213 | 103 | | 67 | 53 | 436 | 146 | 46 | 286 | 246 |
| May..... | 233 | 154 | | 91 | 89 | 1,635 | 375 | 22 | 249 | 238 |
| June..... | 172 | 59 | | 37 | 37 | 999 | 425 | 64 | 447 | 440 |
| July..... | 238 | 47 | | 37 | 32 | 465 | 159 | 72 | 191 | 181 |
| August..... | 537 | 54 | | 24 | 24 | 795 | 230 | 112 | 207 | 189 |
| September..... | 52 | 62 | 1 | 35 | 28 | 356 | 216 | 94 | 226 | 176 |
| October..... | 67 | 16 | 6 | 26 | 25 | 437 | 240 | 77 | 222 | 192 |
| November..... | 83 | 30 | 2 | 21 | 21 | 494 | 300 | 101 | 302 | 255 |
| December..... | 142 | 47 | 6 | 79 | 69 | 254 | 238 | 79 | 198 | 169 |
| Total..... | 1,737 | 572 | 15 | 417 | 378 | 6,694 | 3,009 | 817 | 3,105 | 2,598 |
| 1917. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 248 | 93 | 26 | 101 | 97 | 1,123 | 330 | 137 | 313 | 268 |
| February..... | 229 | 35 | 33 | 64 | 50 | 376 | 207 | 95 | 268 | 223 |

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

PENNSYLVANIA (5 bureaus)—Concluded.

| Year and month. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. | Persons asked for by employers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | New registrations. | Re-newals. | | | | New registrations. | Re-newals. | | |
| | Johnstown. | | | | | Philadelphia. | | | | |
| November and December, 1915..... | 129 | 226 | | 121 | 74 | 1,390 | 2,843 | | 559 | 423 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 40 | 102 | 2 | 68 | 33 | 481 | 1,277 | 190 | 466 | 267 |
| February..... | 53 | 59 | | 44 | 31 | 777 | 442 | 314 | 446 | 311 |
| March..... | 264 | 82 | 17 | 66 | 42 | 647 | 761 | 350 | 589 | 391 |
| April..... | 308 | 117 | 79 | 101 | 44 | 438 | 709 | 119 | 366 | 243 |
| May..... | 246 | 75 | 7 | 55 | 42 | 698 | 889 | 243 | 541 | 309 |
| June..... | 204 | 54 | 10 | 57 | 46 | 454 | 719 | 257 | 536 | 290 |
| July..... | 226 | 41 | 15 | 49 | 45 | 591 | 787 | 199 | 551 | 507 |
| August..... | 185 | 74 | 16 | 67 | 54 | 797 | 850 | 481 | 820 | 713 |
| September..... | 178 | 57 | 14 | 63 | 47 | 1,154 | 635 | 815 | 1,123 | 996 |
| October..... | 180 | 50 | 10 | 55 | 46 | 1,186 | 806 | 511 | 1,121 | 946 |
| November..... | 352 | 71 | 23 | 80 | 67 | 1,327 | 933 | 792 | 1,393 | 1,153 |
| December..... | 214 | 71 | 24 | 81 | 70 | 881 | 600 | 539 | 958 | 774 |
| Total..... | 2,448 | 853 | 217 | 786 | 567 | 9,439 | 9,208 | 4,810 | 8,910 | 6,900 |
| 1917. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 171 | 66 | 19 | 73 | 59 | 1,838 | 1,040 | 368 | 1,655 | 1,439 |
| February..... | 177 | 74 | 25 | 78 | 64 | 1,173 | 726 | 310 | 1,132 | 953 |
| | Pittsburgh. | | | | | Total. | | | | |
| November and December, 1915..... | | | | | | 247 | 3,490 | | 663 | 730 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | | | | | | 893 | 1,567 | 213 | 671 | 384 |
| February..... | | | | | | 961 | 677 | 325 | 794 | 570 |
| March..... | 2,959 | 1,170 | 37 | 708 | 668 | 4,190 | 2,329 | 522 | 1,699 | 1,322 |
| April..... | 1,488 | 612 | 11 | 485 | 455 | 2,881 | 1,687 | 255 | 1,315 | 1,041 |
| May..... | 1,114 | 727 | 138 | 477 | 436 | 3,926 | 2,220 | 410 | 1,413 | 1,114 |
| June..... | 1,516 | 1,163 | 99 | 873 | 806 | 3,349 | 2,420 | 430 | 1,950 | 1,619 |
| July..... | 2,233 | 749 | 168 | 562 | 512 | 3,753 | 1,783 | 454 | 1,390 | 1,277 |
| August..... | 1,373 | 738 | 180 | 585 | 529 | 3,687 | 1,746 | 789 | 1,703 | 1,508 |
| September..... | 765 | 692 | 137 | 528 | 483 | 2,509 | 1,662 | 1,061 | 1,975 | 1,730 |
| October..... | 789 | 700 | 143 | 544 | 479 | 2,659 | 1,812 | 747 | 1,968 | 1,688 |
| November..... | 1,239 | 741 | 200 | 754 | 703 | 3,495 | 2,075 | 1,118 | 2,550 | 2,199 |
| December..... | 1,052 | 513 | 206 | 542 | 508 | 2,543 | 1,469 | 854 | 1,858 | 1,590 |
| Total..... | 14,528 | 7,805 | 1,319 | 6,068 | 5,579 | 34,846 | 21,447 | 7,178 | 19,286 | 16,022 |
| 1917. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 883 | 710 | 235 | 687 | 633 | 4,263 | 2,239 | 1,385 | 2,829 | 2,495 |
| February..... | 606 | 536 | 214 | 459 | 499 | 2,560 | 1,578 | 977 | 1,991 | 1,780 |

GENERAL TABLES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

RHODE ISLAND: Providence (1 bureau).

| Month. | 1915 | | | | | | 1916 | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Appli- cations from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
| | | | New regis- trations. | Re- new- als. | | | | | New regis- trations. | Re- new- als. | | |
| January..... | 313 | 498 | 1,704 | 184 | (1) | (1) | 209 | 274 | 163 | 116 | (1) | (1) |
| February..... | 180 | 870 | 1,080 | 130 | (1) | (1) | 210 | 249 | 129 | 124 | (1) | (1) |
| March..... | 396 | 691 | 578 | 132 | (1) | (1) | 258 | 268 | 196 | 150 | (1) | (1) |
| April..... | 525 | 594 | 404 | 134 | (1) | (1) | 359 | 456 | 294 | 283 | (1) | (1) |
| May..... | 456 | 624 | 292 | 198 | (1) | (1) | 407 | 491 | 337 | 206 | (1) | (1) |
| June..... | 346 | 520 | 271 | 194 | (1) | (1) | 311 | 359 | 246 | 236 | (1) | (1) |
| July..... | 267 | 317 | 232 | 98 | (1) | (1) | 321 | 311 | 194 | 118 | (1) | (1) |
| August..... | 302 | 375 | 204 | 159 | (1) | (1) | 269 | 324 | 136 | 248 | (1) | (1) |
| September..... | 324 | 383 | 209 | 160 | (1) | (1) | 274 | 313 | 153 | 203 | (1) | (1) |
| October..... | 264 | 334 | 240 | 117 | (1) | (1) | 258 | 279 | 141 | 95 | (1) | (1) |
| November..... | 210 | 245 | 169 | 35 | (1) | (1) | 177 | 208 | 30 | 13 | (1) | (1) |
| December..... | 181 | 209 | 360 | 26 | (1) | (1) | 120 | 129 | 51 | 34 | (1) | (1) |
| Total..... | 3,764 | 5,660 | 5,743 | 1,563 | (1) | (1) | 3,171 | 3,661 | 2,070 | 1,826 | (1) | (1) |

¹ Figures same as under "Persons asked for by employers."

TEXAS: Fort Worth (1 bureau).

| Month | 1915 | | | | | | 1916 | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Applica- tions from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Appli- cations from em- ploy- ers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ploy- ers. | Persons applying for work. | | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
| | | | New regis- trations. | Re- new- als. | | | | | New regis- trations. | Re- new- als. | | |
| January..... | 102 | 161 | 1,340 | (1) | 160 | 145 | 106 | 158 | (1) | (1) | 160 | 155 |
| February..... | 55 | 71 | 752 | (1) | 79 | 68 | 100 | 152 | 211 | 106 | 158 | 149 |
| March..... | 103 | 138 | 1,088 | (1) | 146 | 133 | 160 | 217 | 239 | 63 | 201 | 186 |
| April..... | 74 | 89 | 844 | (1) | 94 | 85 | 82 | 115 | 127 | 63 | 93 | 88 |
| May..... | 86 | 103 | 876 | (1) | 112 | 99 | 156 | 333 | 264 | 69 | 217 | 210 |
| June..... | 123 | 522 | 974 | (1) | 654 | 406 | 177 | 637 | 372 | 55 | 341 | 332 |
| July..... | 80 | 259 | 506 | (1) | 275 | 199 | 121 | 373 | 330 | 47 | 315 | 307 |
| August..... | 115 | 201 | 422 | (1) | 220 | 189 | 187 | 616 | 475 | 27 | 381 | 363 |
| September..... | 173 | 580 | 1,311 | (1) | 740 | 405 | 227 | 834 | 442 | 27 | 427 | 400 |
| October..... | 132 | 287 | 566 | (1) | 305 | 269 | 149 | 417 | (1) | (1) | 299 | 283 |
| November..... | 218 | 251 | 552 | (1) | 266 | 236 | 124 | 284 | 219 | 26 | 196 | 190 |
| December..... | 129 | 167 | 2,288 | (1) | 162 | 151 | 225 | 326 | 645 | 27 | 209 | 203 |
| Total..... | 1,390 | 2,819 | 11,519 | (1) | 3,213 | 2,385 | 1,814 | 4,462 | 3,324 | 510 | 2,997 | 2,865 |

¹ Not reported.

98 PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

VIRGINIA: Richmond (1 bureau).

| Month. | 1915 | | | | | 1916 | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | Applica-tions from em-ploy-ers. | Persons asked for by em-ploy-ers. | New regi-strations. | Offers of posi-tions. | Posi-tions filled. | Applica-tions from em-ploy-ers. | Persons asked for by em-ploy-ers. | New regi-strations. | Offers of posi-tions. | Posi-tions filled. |
| January..... | | | | | | 228 | 364 | 686 | 393 | 157 |
| February..... | 89 | 392 | 899 | 325 | 179 | 227 | 306 | 519 | 343 | 120 |
| March..... | 118 | 505 | 851 | 454 | 333 | 237 | 380 | 555 | 489 | 171 |
| April..... | 113 | 240 | 673 | 296 | 254 | 198 | 359 | 572 | 387 | 159 |
| May..... | 113 | 227 | 665 | 316 | 184 | 234 | 499 | 764 | 581 | 381 |
| June..... | 136 | 237 | 659 | 238 | 166 | 210 | 542 | 851 | 729 | 320 |
| July..... | 146 | 254 | 650 | 269 | 168 | 288 | 691 | 711 | 795 | 396 |
| August..... | 218 | 355 | 626 | 367 | 202 | 263 | 547 | 564 | 658 | 280 |
| September..... | 288 | 558 | 615 | 393 | 181 | 293 | 485 | 463 | 655 | 231 |
| October..... | 253 | 514 | 671 | 468 | 181 | 270 | 403 | 434 | 635 | 225 |
| November..... | 204 | 352 | 571 | 354 | 166 | 283 | 433 | 365 | 524 | 214 |
| December..... | 231 | 643 | 542 | 362 | 175 | 223 | 335 | 241 | 403 | 192 |
| Total..... | 1,909 | 4,277 | 7,222 | 3,842 | 2,179 | 2,954 | 5,344 | 6,725 | 6,892 | 2,846 |

| Year and month. | Classification of positions filled. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total (white and colored). | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-----|----------|-----|-------------------|-------------|----|----------|----------|----|-------------------|-------------|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| | White. | | | | | | | | Colored. | | | | | | | |
| | Pro-fes-sions, male. | Clerks. | | Skilled. | | Un-skilled, male. | Domes-tics. | | Total. | Skilled. | | Un-skilled, male. | Domes-tics. | | | Total. |
| M. | | F. | M. | F. | M. | | F. | M. | | F. | M. | | F. | | | |
| 1915 ¹ | 2 | 115 | 103 | 761 | 81 | 461 | 12 | 25 | 1,560 | 44 | 2 | 294 | 73 | 206 | 619 | 2,179 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | | 16 | 8 | 28 | 39 | 13 | | 1 | 105 | 4 | | 22 | 5 | 21 | 52 | 157 |
| February..... | 1 | 18 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 71 | 3 | | 12 | 13 | 21 | 49 | 120 |
| March..... | | 13 | 3 | 36 | 14 | 18 | | 3 | 87 | 7 | | 44 | 13 | 20 | 84 | 171 |
| April..... | 1 | 34 | 6 | 25 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 99 | 3 | | 31 | 11 | 15 | 60 | 169 |
| May..... | | 15 | 14 | 65 | 21 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 143 | 4 | | 211 | 5 | 18 | 238 | 381 |
| June..... | | 18 | 12 | 77 | 10 | 23 | | | 140 | 8 | | 139 | 12 | 21 | 180 | 320 |
| July..... | | 15 | 18 | 88 | 25 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 162 | 11 | 2 | 177 | 23 | 21 | 234 | 396 |
| August..... | | 14 | 12 | 80 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 129 | 8 | | 74 | 39 | 30 | 151 | 280 |
| September..... | | 17 | 16 | 73 | 3 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 140 | 9 | | 20 | 34 | 28 | 91 | 231 |
| October..... | | 27 | 11 | 63 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 132 | 4 | | 35 | 26 | 28 | 93 | 225 |
| November..... | | 26 | 7 | 37 | 8 | 43 | 3 | 9 | 133 | 2 | | 26 | 25 | 27 | 81 | 214 |
| December..... | | 30 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 45 | | 6 | 107 | | | 34 | 25 | 26 | 85 | 192 |
| Total..... | 2 | 243 | 121 | 610 | 154 | 265 | 16 | 37 | 1,448 | 64 | 2 | 825 | 231 | 276 | 1,398 | 2,846 |

¹ February to December, inclusive.

93703133
20-1008

GENERAL TABLES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Continued.

WASHINGTON (4 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Everett: Positions filled. | Seattle. | | | | Spokane. | | | | Tacoma. | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | Applications from employers. | Persons asked for by employers. | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. | Applications from employers. | Persons asked for by employers. | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. | Applications from employers. | Persons asked for by employers. | Offers of positions. | Positions filled. |
| 1915. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 76 | | | | | | 635 | 593 | 232 | 308 | 318 | 308 | |
| February..... | 54 | | | | | | 745 | 570 | 234 | 283 | 289 | 283 | |
| March..... | (¹) | | | | | | 1,011 | 804 | 342 | 445 | 451 | 445 | |
| April..... | 172 | | | | | | 885 | 803 | 176 | 228 | 229 | 228 | |
| May..... | (¹) | | | | | | 732 | 662 | 261 | 395 | 399 | 395 | |
| June..... | 148 | | | | | | 718 | 674 | 236 | 390 | 397 | 390 | |
| July..... | 212 | 2,950 | 3,198 | 3,403 | 2,936 | | 933 | 863 | 294 | 606 | 626 | 606 | |
| August..... | 173 | 2,083 | 2,532 | 2,609 | 2,238 | | 1,028 | 944 | 353 | 539 | 547 | 539 | |
| September..... | 199 | 2,433 | 2,766 | 3,120 | 2,640 | | 1,071 | 935 | 334 | 605 | 625 | 605 | |
| October..... | 231 | 1,914 | 2,332 | 2,472 | 2,121 | | 964 | 891 | 344 | 476 | 486 | 476 | |
| November..... | 118 | 1,615 | 1,992 | 2,195 | 1,836 | | 841 | 744 | 229 | 312 | 320 | 312 | |
| December..... | 191 | 1,432 | 2,043 | 2,124 | 1,858 | | (¹) | (¹) | 256 | 330 | 334 | 330 | |
| Total..... | 1,484 | 12,427 | 14,863 | 15,923 | 13,629 | | 9,563 | 8,483 | 3,291 | 4,917 | 5,021 | 4,917 | |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 170 | 680 | 1,348 | 1,348 | 680 | 436 | 709 | 695 | 689 | 193 | 275 | 275 | 273 |
| February..... | 498 | 1,251 | 3,253 | 3,246 | 1,244 | 750 | 1,185 | 1,131 | 1,131 | 275 | 463 | 475 | 463 |
| March..... | 282 | 972 | 2,900 | 2,870 | 965 | 960 | 1,250 | 1,194 | 1,194 | 162 | 465 | 465 | 465 |
| April..... | 408 | 2,598 | 4,993 | 5,126 | 4,589 | 2,460 | 2,460 | 2,154 | 2,154 | 501 | 1,003 | 919 | 901 |
| May..... | 463 | 2,368 | 4,957 | 5,767 | 4,894 | 2,260 | 3,175 | 2,895 | 2,893 | 517 | 1,239 | 1,078 | 1,089 |
| June..... | 402 | 3,201 | 5,922 | 5,904 | 5,417 | 1,890 | 2,862 | 2,430 | 2,426 | 327 | 1,080 | 1,080 | 1,080 |
| July..... | 438 | 3,347 | 6,471 | 6,497 | 6,009 | 2,229 | 4,610 | 4,075 | 3,929 | 530 | 2,529 | 1,088 | 1,065 |
| August..... | 508 | 3,909 | 7,359 | 7,033 | 6,586 | 2,760 | 4,231 | 3,710 | 3,609 | 442 | 1,150 | 1,028 | 1,018 |
| September..... | 459 | 4,106 | 7,433 | 6,996 | 6,519 | 2,690 | 3,572 | 3,882 | 3,822 | 686 | 1,333 | 1,267 | 1,252 |
| October..... | 518 | 3,561 | 6,646 | 6,593 | 6,203 | 2,932 | 4,275 | 3,986 | 3,935 | 580 | 1,418 | 1,418 | 1,401 |
| November..... | 281 | 2,495 | 4,269 | 4,320 | 3,948 | 2,025 | 2,614 | 2,510 | 2,442 | 407 | 894 | 1,059 | 1,040 |
| December..... | 267 | 2,253 | 3,324 | 3,295 | 3,010 | 1,610 | 1,875 | 1,856 | 1,856 | 427 | 1,222 | 1,204 | 1,176 |
| Total..... | 4,692 | 31,041 | 58,875 | 58,995 | 50,064 | 23,002 | 32,798 | 30,518 | 30,080 | 5,047 | 13,071 | 11,356 | 11,223 |

¹ Not reported.

100 PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES.

TABLE C.—OPERATIONS OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICES—Concluded.

WISCONSIN (4 bureaus).

| Year and month. | Applica- tions from em- ployers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ployers. | Per- sons applying for work. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. | Applica- tions from em- ployers. | Per- sons asked for by em- ployers. | Per- sons applying for work. | Offers of posi- tions. | Posi- tions filled. |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | La Crosse. | | | | | Milwaukee. | | | | |
| May 1, 1915, to Apr. 30, 1916..... | 1,468 | 3,635 | 2,330 | 2,138 | 1,094 | 14,268 | 33,469 | 33,060 | 31,526 | 22,787 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| May..... | 250 | 295 | 291 | 277 | 180 | 2,439 | 4,269 | 3,193 | 3,335 | 2,666 |
| June..... | 171 | 242 | 273 | 202 | 138 | 2,016 | 3,528 | 2,718 | 2,903 | 1,868 |
| July..... | 175 | 293 | 262 | 198 | 72 | 1,998 | 3,775 | 2,697 | 2,627 | 2,009 |
| August..... | 154 | 253 | 231 | 179 | 114 | 2,030 | 3,594 | 3,018 | 3,253 | 2,598 |
| September..... | 149 | 219 | 179 | 152 | 74 | 1,880 | 3,770 | 2,892 | 3,169 | 2,289 |
| October..... | 223 | 137 | 224 | 179 | 98 | 2,162 | 4,246 | 3,679 | 3,901 | 2,941 |
| November..... | 159 | 115 | 231 | 145 | 89 | 3,547 | 1,600 | 3,297 | 3,450 | 2,625 |
| December..... | 87 | 125 | 204 | 84 | 74 | 1,300 | 2,968 | 2,829 | 2,913 | 2,211 |
| 1917. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 210 | 117 | 221 | 141 | 63 | 3,735 | 1,628 | 3,224 | 3,373 | 2,591 |
| February..... | 84 | 136 | 199 | 100 | 64 | 1,322 | 2,102 | 1,957 | 1,977 | 1,536 |
| | Oshkosh. | | | | | Superior. | | | | |
| May 1, 1915, to Apr. 30, 1916..... | 1,870 | 3,599 | 2,390 | 2,111 | 1,506 | 4,236 | 8,062 | 6,600 | 6,963 | 6,000 |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| May..... | 237 | 314 | 253 | 212 | 178 | 565 | 1,627 | 1,485 | 1,535 | 1,000 |
| June..... | 136 | 164 | 199 | 136 | 94 | 442 | 1,363 | 1,161 | 1,216 | 800 |
| July..... | 164 | 305 | 181 | 152 | 123 | 439 | 1,331 | 907 | 988 | 670 |
| August..... | 145 | 204 | 163 | 125 | 88 | 494 | 1,125 | 1,080 | 1,094 | 663 |
| September..... | 165 | 249 | 157 | 151 | 90 | 391 | 1,116 | 943 | 926 | 604 |
| October..... | 316 | 235 | 280 | 244 | 191 | 1,114 | 388 | 850 | 937 | 657 |
| November..... | 116 | 106 | 204 | 106 | 86 | 816 | 296 | 640 | 688 | 519 |
| December..... | 109 | 127 | 191 | 103 | 80 | 249 | 928 | 546 | 542 | 300 |
| 1917. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 189 | 126 | 178 | 113 | 80 | 666 | 269 | 609 | 682 | 300 |
| February..... | 86 | 136 | 157 | 93 | 51 | 209 | 465 | 379 | 416 | 300 |
| | Total. | | | | | | | | | |
| May 1, 1915, to Apr. 30, 1916..... | 21,812 | 48,765 | 44,389 | 42,757 | 31,948 | | | | | |
| 1916. | | | | | | | | | | |
| May..... | 3,491 | 6,605 | 5,222 | 5,359 | 3,961 | | | | | |
| June..... | 2,765 | 5,297 | 4,351 | 4,457 | 2,988 | | | | | |
| July..... | 2,776 | 5,701 | 4,017 | 3,965 | 2,878 | | | | | |
| August..... | 2,823 | 5,176 | 4,492 | 4,651 | 3,468 | | | | | |
| September..... | 2,685 | 5,354 | 4,171 | 4,398 | 3,057 | | | | | |
| October..... | 3,515 | 5,006 | 5,033 | 5,261 | 3,887 | | | | | |
| November..... | 4,638 | 2,117 | 4,372 | 4,369 | 3,320 | | | | | |
| December..... | 1,745 | 4,148 | 3,770 | 3,642 | 2,803 | | | | | |
| 1917. | | | | | | | | | | |
| January..... | 4,800 | 2,140 | 4,232 | 4,300 | 3,122 | | | | | |
| February..... | 1,701 | 2,839 | 2,692 | 2,586 | 2,043 | | | | | |

ADDITIONAL COPIES
OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE PROCURED FROM
THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

AT
10 CENTS PER COPY
△