

1948 FIELD RESULTS  
WITH CERTAIN  
RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS  
AS  
PLANT STIMULANTS

A PROGRESS REPORT OF  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
Agricultural Research Administration  
Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering

IN COOPERATION WITH

ARKANSAS AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
CORNELL UNIVERSITY AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
IPPI AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

NORTH CAROLINA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
NEW YORK (GENEVA) AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE  
WASHINGTON AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
WEST VIRGINIA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

Under Contract From

THE UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Washington, D. C.

May 1949

## Contents

	Page
PROGRESS REPORT 1948 . . . . .	1
Materials Used . . . . .	1
Experimental Design . . . . .	2
Method of Application of Materials . . . . .	3
Results . . . . .	3
Crop Data . . . . .	3
Corn . . . . .	4
Wheat . . . . .	7
Barley . . . . .	10
Oats . . . . .	11
Soybeans . . . . .	12
Beans . . . . .	14
Sugar beets . . . . .	16
Table beets . . . . .	18
Turnips . . . . .	19
Carrots . . . . .	21
Potatoes . . . . .	22
Sweetpotatoes . . . . .	25
Spinach . . . . .	28
Tomatoes . . . . .	30
Cotton . . . . .	38
Tobacco . . . . .	40
Peanuts . . . . .	41

## PROGRESS REPORT 1948

Since the discovery of radioactivity, claims have been made that plant growth is stimulated by radioactive substances. Many experiments have been conducted in this country and in Europe to measure the effects. Because the experiments have not been conducted under carefully controlled conditions with adequate replication, the results have been conflicting and inconclusive. In some experiments beneficial effects have been shown; in others no effects were observed, while in still others harmful effects were noted.

Following the development and use of the atomic bomb, interest in this matter has greatly increased. This interest was due in part at least to the reports from Japan that indicated greatly enhanced crop yields in the vicinity of the bombed areas due to radioactivity. In spite of the refutation of these claims by well-qualified scientists, interest in the use of radioactive materials to improve crop yields and quality has continued to mount. The present project was undertaken by the United States Department of Agriculture and a number of State experiment stations, under a contract from the Atomic Energy Commission, with the objective of determining whether radioactive material does indeed stimulate plant growth. One of the first aims was to ascertain whether the farmer or gardener could expect to get an increased crop yield by adding one of several naturally radioactive materials that either have been used in scientific experiments or that have been advocated by commercial interests.

This report gives the results of the field tests that were conducted during the 1948 crop season. Although these experiments cover only one

season, the range of soil types and climatic conditions covered make the experimental results rather reliable with respect to the materials tested and the method of application used.

### MATERIALS USED

Three radioactive materials were used in the field experiments. The commercial material Alphatron<sup>1</sup> was used at the rates of 5, 10, and 20 pounds per acre. This material is said by the manufacturer to have an alpha ray disintegration rate of 8 million per pound per second, largely from actinium. Dolomitic limestone is the carrier material and constitutes more than 99 percent of its weight. The second material that was used is radium. Dolomitic limestone was the carrier for this material also. As used, it contained 30 micrograms of radium per pound. The radium source was radium bromide. The third material used was uranyl nitrate. Radium and uranium were used in the experiments because of the fact that most of the results reported by previous workers were obtained with these elements. Since the radium and Alphatron materials are principally dolomite, this material was used on all check plots in an amount corresponding to the amount applied in the treatment getting the largest amount of Alphatron. By diluting other Alphatron, uranium, and radium treatments with dolomite all plots were brought to the same application of dolomite. The dolomite used on the checks was same lot as that used in the preparation of the Alphatron and radium materials.

<sup>1</sup> The Alphatron, radium, and dolomite materials used in these experiments, was furnished by the Canadian Radium and Uranium Corporation of New York. The promptness with which they made and shipped us these materials contributed very materially to the program.

## EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN<sup>2</sup>

One of the blocks of the experimental design used for all of the field experiments is given below.

ck	$U_2$	ck	$R_2$
$A_2$	ck	$A_1$	$A_4$

Symbol	Material	Rate used per acre
$A_1$	Alphatron	5 pounds
$A_2$	do	10 pounds
$A_4$	do	20 pounds
$R_2$	Radium	300 micrograms
$U_2$	Uranyl nitrate	13.4 pounds

The amounts of radium and uranium used in this experiment are calculated to give the same number of alpha-particle disintegrations per unit of experimental area as is given by the 10-pound rate of Alphatron.

The quarter blocks are randomized within the blocks and the pairs within the quarter blocks.

The tabulated data for each experiment include the pertinent information regarding it. It is to be noted that the least significant differences for

comparing  $A_2$ ,  $R_2$ , and uranium are in general less than for comparing  $A_1$  and  $A_4$  with the average of the checks. The reason for this is evident from the experimental design. As an example, the complete calculations involved in the analysis of variance of the corn experiment at Illinois are given below.

CORN - URBANA, ILL.  
(Bushels per acre)

$$\underline{C} = \frac{(10690.7)^2}{80} = 1,428,638.33$$

Total:	Whole plots:	Replications:
1,437,309.09	1,433,888.70	1,430,530.71
<u>-1,428,638.33</u>	<u>-1,428,638.33</u>	<u>-1,428,638.33</u>
8,670.76	5,250.37	1,892.38

Treatment pairs:

$$1,428,925.74 \quad \text{Error } (\underline{a}) = 3,070.58$$

$$\underline{-1,428,638.33}$$

$$287.41$$

Subplots:	Treatment in pairs:	Error $(\underline{b}) = 3,239.19$
8,670.76	1,429,106.94	
<u>-5,250.37</u>	<u>-1,428,638.33</u>	
3,420.39	468.61	
	<u>-287.41</u>	
	181.20	

<sup>2</sup> The experimental design and analyses of variance were handled through arrangements with the North Carolina Institute of Statistics, North Carolina State College, Raleigh.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

	Degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean squares
Whole plots . . . . .	39	5,250.37	----
Replications . . . . .	9	1,892.38	210.26
Treatment pairs . . . .	3	287.41	95.80
Error ( <u>a</u> ) . . . . .	27	3,070.58	113.72
Subplots . . . . .	40	3,420.39	----
Treatment in pairs . . .	4	181.20	45.30
Error ( <u>b</u> ) . . . . .	36	3,239.19	89.98
Total . . . . .	79	8,670.76	----

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{15} (E_a + E_b)} = \sqrt{13.58} = 3.69 \times (.05) 2.042 = 7.53 \\ (.01) 2.750 = 10.15$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{30} (E_a + 3E_b)} = \sqrt{12.79} = 3.58 \times (.05) 2.042 = 7.31 \\ (.01) 2.750 = 9.84$$

## METHOD OF APPLICATION OF MATERIALS

All radioactive materials were applied broadcast to the soil and then worked into ground by disking prior to seeding of the crop.

## RESULTS

No comment will be made with regard to the individual experiments. The general conclusion to be drawn from the data is that no effect of the radioactive materials was found, either beneficial or harmful. There are a few cases of differences that reach the 5-percent level of significance, but they are no more than would be expected from normal distributions. Further field work, greenhouse tests, and germination trials are being conducted

and will be reported when completed. It seemed desirable, however, to make public the results of this year's experiment at this time.

## CROP DATA

Data from field experiments with radioactive materials as plant stimulants carried on by the Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering in cooperation with the State agricultural experiment stations of Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Michigan, Mississippi, Montana, North Carolina, Ohio, New York, Washington, West Virginia, and the College of Agriculture, University of Georgia, are given in the following tabulations.

YIELD IN BUSHELS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	120.1	117.3	126.1	133.6	137.3	133.2	135.9	138.2
2	135.7	129.5	139.4	143.7	116.7	134.7	146.0	139.0
3	136.2	119.1	130.9	135.9	156.7	124.8	141.1	140.1
4	121.9	122.7	116.0	114.6	154.9	127.8	114.0	134.5
5	131.6	116.0	129.6	142.8	118.9	132.9	147.2	133.8
6	109.6	114.0	145.2	121.3	129.4	116.5	138.5	129.1
7	150.1	144.4	129.0	135.8	120.3	151.8	130.0	137.7
8	143.7	141.8	137.3	135.0	150.6	136.9	144.0	132.3
9	149.1	137.9	134.6	140.7	126.1	142.9	130.3	129.9
10	135.4	138.2	140.8	134.5	139.0	142.4	144.6	129.6
Mean yield	133.3	128.1	132.9	133.8	135.0	134.4	137.2	134.4

Average for 3 checks is 132.1.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.31.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	210.26
Treatment pairs	3	95.80
Error (a)	27	113.72
Treatment in pairs	4	45.30
Error (b)	36	89.98

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.53.

Corn-- Lakin, Mason County, W. Va.

Cooperating agency ... West Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station  
 Cooperators conducting experiment ..... D. R. Browning, Lakin, and G. G. Pohlman,  
 Morgantown

Soil type ..... Wheeling gravelly loam

Plot size ..... 20' x 24.5'

Harvest area ..... 13.3' x 17.5'

Planting date ..... May 12, 1948

Harvest date ..... October 2, 1948

Variety ..... U.S. 13

Fertilizer ..... 1,000 lb. per acre of 10-10-10

YIELD OF GRAIN, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	32.6	26.6	31.2	29.6	27.1	24.1	28.4	26.2
2	22.2	26.3	24.0	25.1	21.7	23.6	25.3	21.9
3	30.1	28.5	26.2	26.2	23.3	17.3	28.4	23.1
4	23.6	25.3	26.0	24.0	22.6	25.9	29.6	27.3
5	27.3	26.4	27.0	26.7	26.6	30.8	24.2	24.4
6	17.1	28.2	28.2	27.8	21.4	22.4	29.5	23.5
7	23.8	25.7	25.8	25.7	27.9	22.8	27.7	21.3
8	28.4	20.6	28.9	21.7	22.7	25.1	20.2	22.0
9	24.3	22.4	24.0	23.0	22.0	21.6	20.7	25.7
10	21.5	26.6	26.6	24.4	22.6	22.0	26.1	25.6
Mean yield-- Lb./plot	25.09	25.66	26.79	25.44	23.79	23.56	26.01	24.10
Bu./acre	65.0	66.5	69.4	65.9	61.6	61.0	67.4	62.4

Average for 3 checks is 24.89 lb. per plot, or 64.5 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 2.04 lb. per plot, or 5.3 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 2.04 lb. per plot, or 5.3 bu. per acre.

Corn - Lakin, W. Va. (Con't.)

YIELD OF CORNSTALKS, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	20.4	16.4	15.8	20.4	12.9	15.9	13.6	16.8
2	18.7	14.9	15.0	14.9	13.8	15.4	15.2	13.6
3	18.1	15.4	15.8	16.3	14.2	10.7	15.9	17.8
4	13.4	13.7	15.0	14.0	13.9	14.1	15.4	15.7
5	15.7	13.6	12.0	10.3	14.9	15.2	13.3	15.6
6	12.9	14.8	19.8	16.2	15.1	15.6	19.0	16.5
7	16.2	18.3	18.2	18.3	14.1	12.2	17.8	14.7
8	18.1	14.9	16.1	14.8	18.3	16.4	17.2	15.5
9	18.2	16.6	13.0	15.0	15.0	14.9	11.8	15.3
10	15.5	14.4	13.4	17.6	16.4	17.0	12.9	19.9
Mean yield-- Lb./plot	16.72	15.30	15.41	15.78	14.86	14.74	15.21	16.14
Lb./acre	3,122	2,856	2,877	2,946	2,774	2,752	2,840	3,013

Average of 3 checks is 15.27 lb. per plot, or 2,850 lb. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.42 lb. per plot, or 265 lb. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.53 lb. per plot, or 286 lb. per acre.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Grain	Cornstalks
Replications	.9	19.01	6.66
Treatment pairs	3	20.73	5.26
Error (a)	27	7.08	5.34
Treatment in pairs	4	7.38	3.79
Error (b)	36	7.62	3.18

Corn-- State College, Oktibbeha County, Miss.

Cooperating agency ... Mississippi Agricultural Experiment Station  
 Cooperators conducting  
 experiment ..... U. S. Jones and C. D. Hoover  
 Soil type ..... Kauffman fine sandy loam  
 Plot size ..... 13.3' x 30'  
 Harvest area ..... 6.67' x 24' (160.08 sq. ft.)  
 Planting date ..... May 15, 1948  
 Harvest date ..... September 7, 1948  
 Variety ..... Laguna  
 Fertilizer ..... 1,000 lb. 6-8-8 at planting; 60 lb. of N  
                   from ammonium nitrate as a side-dressing

YIELD OF GRAIN, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	15.2	13.9	17.3	18.9	19.4	22.7	22.5	21.0
2	19.6	21.2	19.6	19.6	17.7	23.7	14.8	15.0
3	18.2	20.7	16.6	16.6	14.8	19.5	18.9	18.9
4	18.5	17.6	15.9	20.4	16.7	14.0	17.6	22.5
5	21.3	18.8	22.0	25.9	18.6	19.6	18.8	19.0
6	15.0	16.9	15.6	16.8	15.9	15.4	18.1	17.0
7	12.8	11.1	15.4	16.0	16.8	15.2	17.3	18.6
8	16.6	17.8	14.0	12.6	16.5	18.1	13.0	13.8
9	17.7	21.0	17.6	17.8	24.1	18.4	18.5	19.8
10	19.7	21.3	18.5	15.3	24.1	23.6	20.2	26.7
Mean yield- Lb.-plot	17.46	18.03	17.25	17.99	18.46	19.02	17.97	19.23
Bu./acre	66.0	68.1	65.2	68.0	69.8	71.9	67.9	72.7

Average of 3 checks is 18.35 lb. per plot, or 69.3 bu. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.74 lb. per plot, or 6.6 bu. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 2.02 lb. per plot, or 7.6 bu. per acre.

Corn - State College, Miss.

YIELD OF CORNSTALKS, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	22.9	21.5	24.5	25.5	25.8	27.1	24.0	24.0
2	26.7	30.7	26.0	28.4	24.5	31.5	24.0	21.9
3	25.2	25.3	22.0	25.2	28.3	28.0	20.3	26.6
4	25.5	24.2	23.5	25.8	23.8	24.5	21.8	24.9
5	26.8	27.2	26.5	37.7	24.9	26.3	24.1	24.1
6	21.7	21.8	22.0	21.8	19.0	17.5	23.7	21.0
7	17.0	18.7	24.4	23.0	21.4	19.6	24.7	25.2
8	22.4	24.3	17.1	19.8	20.0	22.9	18.8	18.0
9	22.5	26.0	18.0	22.9	27.0	23.2	22.1	24.3
10	24.3	23.6	25.0	21.3	33.5	28.4	24.4	30.0
Mean yield- lb./plot	23.5	24.3	22.9	25.1	24.8	24.9	22.8	24.0
Lb./acre	6,390	6,610	6,230	6,830	6,750	6,780	6,200	6,530

Average of 3 checks is 24.8 lb. per plot, or 6,740 lb. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.99 lb. per plot, or 541 lb. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 2.27 lb. per plot, or 620 lb. per acre.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Grain	Cornstalks
Replications	9	32.23	45.79
Treatment pairs	3	6.62	7.36
Error (a)	27	11.22	13.31
Treatments in pairs	4	3.47	8.97
Error (b)	36	3.51	5.10

## Wheat - Mandan, N. Dak.

## WHEAT

Wheat -- Mandan, Morton County, N. Dak.

Cooperating agency ..... United States Northern Great Plains  
Field StationCooperators conducting  
experiment..... E. J. George, R. W. Carpenter, and  
H. J. Haas

Soil type ..... Cheyenne fine sandy loam

Plot size ..... 7' x 12'

Harvest area ..... 5' x 10'

Planting date ..... April 28, 1948

Harvest date ..... July 30, 1948

Variety ..... Pilot 13

Fertilizer ..... Nitraprills, with 33.5 percent  
nitrogen, at rate of 17.5 lb. N  
per acre applied June 22, 1948,  
3 days before first heading

## YIELD IN POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	0.87	0.86	0.90	0.76	0.78	0.88	1.02	0.83
2	.82	.85	.86	.93	.84	.74	.97	.94
3	.94	.83	.69	.88	.65	.73	1.01	.75
4	.69	.65	.95	.76	.71	.84	.70	.76
5	.75	.78	.86	.85	.83	.76	.88	.82
6	.87	.96	.91	.92	.95	.98	.95	.72
7	.94	1.04	.99	.83	1.12	.95	.98	.98
8	1.05	.99	.85	.75	.83	.84	.80	.80
9	.86	.82	.78	.75	.76	.82	.75	.77
10	.81	.67	.75	.74	.64	.77	.83	.74
Mean yield--								
Lb./plot		.86	.84	.85	.82	.81	.83	.89
Bu./acre		12.5	12.2	12.3	11.9	11.8	12.1	12.9
								11.8

Average for 3 checks is 0.83 lb. per plot, or 12.1 bu. per acre.  
Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing  
treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.059 lb. per  
plot or 0.9 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing  
treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.063 lb. per plot,  
or 0.9 bu. per acre.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	0.0408
Treatment pairs	3	.0043
Error (a)	27	.0090
Treatments in pairs	4	.0101
Error (b)	36	.0053

Wheat-- Pullman, Whitman County, Wash.

Cooperating agency ..... Washington Agricultural Experiment Station  
 Cooperators conducting experiment ..... S. C. Vandecaveye and W. K. Kennedy  
 Soil type ..... Palouse silt loam  
 Plot size ..... 7' x 20'  
 Harvest area ..... 5' x 18'  
 Planting date ..... April 16, 1948  
 Harvest date ..... August 17, 1948  
 Variety ..... Marfed  
 Fertilizer ..... 20 lb. nitrogen as  $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$

SHEAF WEIGHT, GRAMS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	3.145	3.035	3.300	3.175	2.975	2.895	3.230	3.430
2	3.620	3.770	3.465	3.775	2.870	2.920	3.655	3.130
3	3.380	3.055	3.045	2.925	3.955	3.200	3.615	3.385
4	3.280	2.855	2.915	3.065	3.500	3.230	3.420	3.335
5	3.115	2.850	3.175	2.970	2.960	3.325	3.735	3.120
6	4.625	4.650	3.830	3.710	3.775	3.525	3.410	5.380
7	3.285	3.425	3.480	3.060	4.280	4.375	4.680	4.265
8	3.380	3.890	3.490	3.175	3.540	3.050	4.565	3.975
9	3.350	3.060	5.130	4.290	5.770	6.630	3.155	3.025
10	6.630	5.945	2.905	2.975	4.885	5.315	3.270	2.755
<b>Mean yield</b> 3,781.0 3,653.5 3,473.5 3,312.0 3,851.0 3,846.5 3,673.5 3,580.0								

Average of 3 checks is 3,604.0.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 476.9.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 619.1.

GRAIN, GRAMS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	1,292	1,296	1,388	1,345	1,209	1,333	1,430	1,458
2	1,518	1,537	1,387	1,539	1,211	1,190	1,508	1,270
3	1,387	1,256	1,283	1,229	1,701	1,303	1,458	1,403
4	1,365	1,218	1,211	1,190	1,498	1,369	1,470	1,469
5	1,357	1,222	1,406	1,250	1,256	1,432	1,577	1,320
6	1,902	1,904	1,621	1,639	1,580	1,529	1,369	2,234
7	1,444	1,500	1,589	1,353	1,787	1,792	1,904	1,784
8	1,777	1,584	1,520	1,400	1,575	1,345	1,828	1,470
9	1,482	1,308	1,973	1,737	2,169	2,494	1,371	1,261
10	2,407	2,050	1,164	1,174	1,850	1,975	1,320	1,119
<b>Mean yield--Grams/plot</b> 1,593.1 1,487.5 1,454.2 1,385.6 1,583.6 1,576.2 1,523.5 1,498.0								
<b>Bu./acre</b> 28.3 26.5 25.9 24.6 28.2 28.0 27.1 26.0								

Average of 3 checks is 1,483.1 grams per plot, or 26.4 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatment A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 166.6 grams per plot, or 3.0 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 207.3 grams per plot, or 3.7 bu. per acre.

## Wheat - Pullman, Wash.

GRAIN TEST WEIGHT, POUNDS PER BUSHEL								
Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	59.4	59.6	59.8	59.8	59.4	60.2	59.6	59.7
2	60.2	60.4	59.8	59.8	59.5	59.4	59.8	60.2
3	59.6	59.4	59.6	59.7	60.2	59.1	59.6	59.4
4	59.2	58.8	59.2	60.0	59.8	59.5	60.2	59.7
5	58.6	57.7	60.3	58.6	57.8	58.7	59.8	59.5
6	58.5	58.5	59.5	60.4	59.3	59.0	59.3	59.3
7	59.6	59.4	60.6	58.8	58.0	58.3	59.4	59.1
8	58.8	59.0	59.8	60.0	60.0	59.2	59.6	59.0
9	59.0	58.8	58.2	59.0	60.2	59.8	59.5	59.0
10	60.3	59.4	57.0	58.1	59.7	59.2	59.1	57.6
Mean weight	59.32	59.10	59.38	59.42	59.39	59.24	59.59	59.25

Average of 3 checks is 59.25.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.45.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.51.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares		
		Sheaf weight (grams/plot)	Grain weight (grams/plot)	Test weight (lb./bushel)
Replications	9	1,795,364	212,404	0.9833
Treatment pairs	3	737,931	92,619	.1800
Error (a)	27	1,248,425	131,781	.7370
Treatments in pairs	4	63,876	20,690	.2375
Error (b)	36	130,047	22,722	.2372

## Barley - Mandan, N. Dak.

## BARLEY

Barley--Mandan, Morton County, N. Dak.

Cooperating agency ..... United States Northern Great Plains Field Station

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... E. J. George, R. W. Carpenter, and H. J. Haas

Soil type ..... Cheyenne fine sandy loam

Plot size ..... 7' x 12'

Harvest area ..... 5' x 10'

Planting date ..... April 28, 1948

Harvest date ..... July 28, 1948

Variety ..... Tregal

Fertilizer ..... Nitraprills, with 33.5 percent nitrogen, at rate of 17.5 lbs. N per acre applied June 22, 1948, 3 days before first heading.

## YIELD IN POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	1.13	1.30	1.11	1.10	1.01	1.15	1.10	0.97
2	1.13	1.39	1.08	1.06	1.13	1.08	1.11	1.18
3	.98	1.18	1.47	1.15	1.13	.99	1.25	1.26
4	1.25	1.35	1.36	1.01	1.08	1.10	1.06	1.08
5	1.16	1.15	1.20	1.14	1.04	1.10	1.31	1.27
6	1.19	1.17	1.15	.95	1.20	1.27	.85	.92
7	.88	.92	1.07	1.20	1.05	.87	.88	.90
8	1.01	1.19	.99	1.08	1.12	.80	1.21	.95
9	1.26	1.14	1.17	1.25	1.08	1.08	1.31	1.19
10	1.23	1.03	1.26	1.12	1.00	1.50	1.43	1.08
Mean yield -								
Lb./plot		1.12	1.18	1.19	1.11	1.08	1.09	1.15
Bu./acre		20.3	21.4	21.6	20.1	19.6	19.8	20.9

Average for 3 checks is 1.13 lb. per plot, or 20.5 bu. per acre. Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.0945 lb. per plot or 1.7 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.0980 lb. per plot or 1.8 bu. per acre.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	0.0432
Treatment pairs	3	.0170
Error (a)	27	.0197
Treatments in pairs	4	.0189
Error (b)	36	.0149

## Oats - Urbana, Ill.

## YIELD IN BUSHELS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	73.5	72.0	60.0	64.5	68.7	70.8	58.5	61.5
2	82.8	74.7	67.8	60.6	67.5	64.8	84.3	69.0
3	73.2	72.0	68.7	66.6	72.0	73.5	63.9	66.3
4	69.3	67.2	64.2	60.9	75.6	65.1	70.8	73.5
5	59.1	59.1	65.7	63.6	62.1	61.5	71.7	65.4
6	59.4	59.1	56.4	52.8	57.6	57.0	57.3	62.7
7	60.0	60.9	64.2	59.4	61.2	63.9	62.1	65.1
8	66.0	66.6	57.9	57.0	71.4	69.9	56.7	57.0
9	55.2	63.6	64.2	60.9	63.0	63.3	57.0	57.6
10	63.9	67.8	59.1	62.1	61.2	54.0	59.1	62.4
Mean yield	66.2	66.3	62.8	60.8	66.0	64.4	64.1	64.1

Average for 3 checks is 63.8.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 3.15.

## OATS

Oats-- Urbana, Champaign County, Ill.

Cooperating agency ..... Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... F. C. Bauer, A. L. Lang, and L.B. Miller

Soil type ..... Flanagan silt loam

Plot size ..... 13'4" x 40'

Harvest area ..... 5' x 20'

Planting date ..... April 17, 1948

Harvest dates ..... July 12, 13, 1948

Variety ..... Clinton

Fertilizer ..... Limestone, rock phosphate, potash; previous crop, soybeans

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	152.31
Treatment pairs	3	72.22
Error (a)	27	38.86
Treatments in pairs	4	8.31
Error (b)	36	10.75

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 3.72.

Soybeans - Urbana, Ill.

YIELD IN BUSHELS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	27.0	26.7	30.6	33.6	32.3	34.1	33.0	27.4
2	32.3	35.5	33.4	34.1	29.3	30.4	33.0	32.3
3	32.3	32.5	32.5	34.1	31.7	34.4	32.6	31.5
4	34.4	37.0	33.8	33.3	33.1	30.9	35.8	36.8
5	41.1	37.1	33.1	32.3	32.6	32.6	37.3	37.6
6	32.0	31.8	31.0	35.4	27.7	33.0	33.8	32.2
7	34.9	34.7	30.2	32.8	33.0	28.0	33.0	28.3
8	32.8	32.5	29.4	30.9	32.2	32.3	28.2	33.1
9	34.2	37.1	31.4	34.4	36.2	37.3	35.7	33.4
10	34.6	35.2	33.1	33.6	31.2	33.8	35.8	36.2
Mean yield	33.6	34.0	31.9	33.5	31.9	32.7	33.8	32.9

Average for 3 checks is 33.4.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.52.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	21.27
Treatment pairs	3	8.95
Error (a)	27	7.64
Treatments in pairs	4	5.26
Error (b)	36	3.00

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.72.

Soybeans--Brownstown, Fayette County, Ill.

Cooperating agency ..... Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station  
 Cooperators conducting experiment ..... F. C. Bauer and A. L. Lang, Urbana, and P. E. Johnson, Brownstown  
 Soil type ..... Cisne silt loam  
 Plot size ..... 13'4" x 40'  
 Harvest area ..... 6'8" x 40'  
 Planting date ..... May 29, 1948  
 Harvest date ..... September 23, 1948  
 Variety ..... Lincoln  
 Fertilizer ..... Limestone, rock phosphate, potash; previous crop, corn

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	21.25
Treatment pairs	3	11.67
Error (a)	27	4.84
Treatments in pairs	4	1.93
Error (b)	36	2.37

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments  $A_1$  or  $A_4$  with average of 3 checks is 1.42.

YIELD IN BUSHELS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	$A_2$	$CkA_2$	$R_2$	$CkR_2$	$U_2$	$CkU_2$	$A_4$	$A_1$
1	34.0	34.6	27.6	26.8	29.1	31.7	34.8	34.0
2	30.3	28.2	29.0	25.6	27.9	32.7	31.3	29.3
3	30.1	32.0	29.7	27.8	30.5	28.6	29.1	28.4
4	29.9	30.2	30.3	28.2	29.0	29.1	30.5	29.1
5	26.9	29.7	29.5	29.8	30.1	28.4	30.8	32.1
6	29.3	26.1	24.9	25.3	25.7	26.4	24.5	26.3
7	28.2	30.2	29.9	29.1	23.8	28.6	28.2	29.0
8	25.4	28.2	28.0	31.4	24.5	28.9	28.9	28.2
9	30.8	29.0	31.2	30.9	30.3	28.4	33.1	34.4
10	30.1	31.8	29.7	32.9	29.8	29.1	31.4	32.1
Mean yield	29.5	30.0	29.0	28.8	28.1	29.2	30.3	30.3

Average for 3 checks is 29.3

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments  $A_2$ ,  $R_2$ , or  $U_2$  with average of 3 checks is 1.29.

## White Beans - Akron, Mich.

## YIELD IN BUSHELS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	15.6	20.3	25.7	23.4	22.6	23.0	24.5	23.8
2	24.1	23.8	24.1	22.2	22.2	24.9	14.8	20.6
3	24.1	25.3	25.3	22.2	14.0	18.7	26.1	22.2
4	22.2	26.5	22.6	17.1	23.8	25.3	18.7	16.4
5	28.0	26.9	22.6	20.6	24.1	25.3	25.7	25.7
6	22.2	24.5	23.0	19.9	24.5	24.5	21.4	27.7
7	23.8	19.5	23.4	21.4	25.3	23.0	17.9	22.2
8	23.0	22.6	20.3	23.4	24.9	22.2	22.2	22.2
9	21.0	23.4	22.6	26.1	23.0	22.2	18.7	21.0
10	25.3	22.6	27.3	21.4	22.2	24.1	23.4	25.3
Mean yield	22.9	23.5	23.7	21.8	22.7	23.3	21.3	22.7

Average for 3 checks is 22.9.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.90.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	8.34
Treatment pairs	3	5.46
Error (a)	27	12.87
Treatments in pairs	4	7.96
Error (b)	36	4.32

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 2.19.

Beans--Prosser, Benton County, Wash.

Cooperating agencies .... Washington Agricultural Experiment Station  
and the Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils,  
and Agricultural Engineering

Cooperators conducting  
experiment ..... H. P. Singleton, F. G. Viets, and  
C. E. Nelson

Soil type ..... Fine sandy loam

Plot size ..... 8' x 25'

Harvest area ..... 4' x 21'

Planting date ..... May 12, 1948

Harvest date ..... September 14, 1948

Variety ..... Red Mexican, U. I. No. 34

Fertilizer ..... 80 lb. N, 40 lb. P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per acre

YIELD, POUNDS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	3,380	3,300	3,130	3,370	3,840	3,460	3,500	3,350
2	3,170	3,650	3,420	3,540	3,510	3,290	3,530	3,200
3	3,970	3,760	3,460	3,280	3,600	3,810	3,970	3,210
4	3,480	3,760	3,860	4,140	3,810	3,810	4,060	3,560
5	3,390	3,330	3,580	4,260	4,100	3,840	3,950	2,940
6	3,220	3,710	4,100	4,040	3,120	3,440	3,430	3,530
7	3,500	4,110	3,550	4,250	3,510	3,430	3,700	3,770
8	3,550	3,440	3,210	3,160	3,720	3,580	3,630	3,970
9	3,110	3,860	3,210	3,270	3,570	3,920	3,680	3,550
10	3,530	3,630	3,950	3,700	3,940	3,300	3,860	4,010
Mean yield- Lb./acre	3,430	3,655	3,547	3,701	3,672	3,588	3,731	3,509
Bu./acre	57.2	60.9	59.1	61.7	61.2	59.8	62.2	58.5

Average of 3 checks is 3,648 lb. per acre, or 60.8 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 204 lb. per acre, or 3.4 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>4</sub> or A<sub>1</sub> with average of 3 checks is 217 lb. per acre, or 3.6 bu. per acre.

Beans - Prosser, Wash.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares (lb./acre)
Replications	9	147,717
Treatment pairs	3	34,095
Error (a)	27	106,128
Treatments in pairs	4	163,351
Error (b)	36	63,532

YIELD IN TONS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	18.41	16.00	16.31	18.07	20.15	18.18	19.06	19.29
2	17.76	20.69	18.95	19.40	20.82	20.38	17.76	15.01
3	21.16	22.46	19.29	21.47	21.47	21.06	23.00	18.85
4	21.37	21.16	19.16	23.34	21.37	22.01	21.24	19.29
5	18.85	19.71	19.06	20.38	19.60	19.94	18.18	19.53
6	18.95	21.78	19.94	17.87	19.06	18.41	19.29	18.95
7	17.53	19.16	19.06	16.60	18.18	16.23	17.76	14.03
8	22.25	20.07	22.90	17.53	17.22	18.62	21.78	17.76
9	18.41	19.53	19.06	20.38	17.09	18.41	18.51	19.60
10	18.85	18.18	18.41	17.87	16.67	17.22	18.75	16.98
Mean yield	19.35	19.87	19.21	19.29	19.16	19.05	19.53	17.93

Average of 3 checks is 19.40.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.06.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.06.

## SUGAR BEETS

Sugar beets—Prosser, Benton County, Wash.

Cooperating agencies ... Washington Agricultural Experiment Station and Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... H. P. Singleton, F. G. Viets, and C. E. Nelson

Soil type ..... Fine sandy loam

Plot size ..... 8' x 25'

Harvest area ..... 4' x 21'

Planting date ..... April 19, 1948

Harvest dates ..... October 28 and 29, 1948

Variety ..... U. S. No. 22, 3d release

Fertilizer ..... 120 pounds N, 80 pounds P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> per acre

Sugar beets - Prosser, Wash.

SUCROSE PERCENTAGE ON FRESH-WEIGHT BASIS

Replication No. (A)	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	18.03	17.31	17.81	17.91	18.81	17.51	18.21	18.2
2	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11
3	18.51	18.21	18.51	18.41	17.81	18.21	18.01	17.7
4	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11
5	18.11	17.81	18.01	17.81	18.41	18.01	18.01	17.6
6	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11
7	18.51	17.81	18.01	18.01	18.11	18.11	18.01	18.0
8	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.11
9	18.01	17.51	18.21	18.01	18.01	17.81	18.21	18.2
10	18.11	18.01	18.41	18.31	18.11	18.11	18.11	18.2
Mean yield	18.19	18.07	17.97	18.10	18.23	17.92	17.96	18.03

Sugar beets - Prosser, Wash.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Tons per acre	Sucrose percentage
Replications	9	12.635	0.068
Treatment pairs	3	2.672	.070
Error (a)	27	1.919	.134
Treatments in pairs	4	3.578	.165
Error (b)	36	2.108	.102

Average of 3 checks is 18.03 percent.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.25 percent.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.26 percent.

Table Beets - Michigan

## TABLE BEETS

Table Beets-- Michigan Muck Experimental Farm,  
Clinton County, Mich.

Cooperating agency ..... Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting  
experiment ..... P. M. Harmer, J. F. Davis, and  
L. M. Turk, East Lansing

Soil type ..... Carlisle muck

Plot size ..... 10'8" x 24' (split between beets and  
spinach)

Harvest area..... 3 10'12" x 20'

Planting date ..... June 20, 1948

Harvest date ..... October 13, 1948

Variety ..... Detroit Dark Red

Fertilizer ..... 1,500 pounds per acre 0-10-20, contain-  
ing 5 percent copper sulfate and 24  
percent borax; 500 pounds per acre of  
salt

## YIELD IN TONS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CrR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	19.84	17.55	18.07	17.87	13.08	14.88	17.54	16.11
2	17.87	17.76	17.43	16.29	16.09	18.31	16.54	17.60
3	13.81	20.94	15.53	15.12	20.23	16.93	16.48	16.80
4	17.00	17.00	18.40	17.54	13.39	18.06	17.09	17.46
5	20.31	18.51	15.74	19.34	17.98	17.37	20.11	19.16
6	16.42	17.09	18.73	19.40	15.56	13.71	18.07	17.20
7	16.37	17.67	16.89	17.05	17.06	18.31	16.28	15.76
8	15.13	14.60	14.04	16.24	16.27	16.60	15.67	15.71
9	15.36	15.70	17.24	17.76	15.17	15.65	15.50	15.69
10	18.35	17.85	15.97	18.89	17.45	17.20	15.87	15.98
Mean yield	17.05	17.47	16.80	17.55	16.23	16.70	16.92	16.75

Average for 3 checks is 17.24.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing  
treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.09.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing  
treatments A<sub>1</sub>, or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.16.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	5.0291
Treatment pairs	3	2.6241
Error (a)	27	2.9652
Treatments in pairs	4	1.2333
Error (b)	36	1.8553

TOTAL WEIGHT OF TOPS AND ROOTS, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	107.4	100.7	108.6	100.1	109.1	105.4	84.1	81.7
2	83.0	85.7	87.5	68.5	124.9	99.3	96.9	60.1
3	86.4	96.6	89.0	86.0	103.0	--*	57.4	74.9
4	96.0	89.8	46.2	70.3	67.0	77.9	116.7	125.5
5	86.1	100.6	118.8	90.4	108.7	96.2	86.4	111.1
6	89.0	101.0	75.2	95.6	96.5	108.7	115.6	133.8
7	109.3	95.7	66.7	53.8	--*	66.3	91.8	64.8
8	103.7	128.2	98.4	112.0	123.4	137.8	125.0	100.5
9	55.8	67.7	66.6	107.2	61.2	58.9	58.2	84.6
10	92.6	127.9	108.8	108.0	108.3	69.2	84.3	68.5
Mean yield-- Lb./plot		90.93	99.39	86.58	89.19	97.41	91.70	91.64
Tons/acre		11.78	12.88	11.22	11.56	12.62	11.88	11.74

\* Not harvested because of poor stand.

Average of 3 checks is 93.43 lb. per plot, or 12.11 tons per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 12.62 lb. per plot, or 1.64 tons per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 14.29 lb. per plot, or 1.85 tons per acre.

## TURNIPS

Turnips-- Beltsville, Prince Georges County, Md.  
 Cooperating agency ..... Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering  
 Cooperators conducting experiment ..... Hans Jorgensen and V. R. Boswell  
 Soil type ..... Morgenac loamy sand  
 Plot size ..... 20' x 25'  
 Harvest area ..... 8' x 21'  
 Planting date ..... August 9, 1948  
 Harvest dates ..... October 15 and 18, 1948  
 Variety ..... Purple Top White Globe  
 Fertilizer ..... 900 lb. 5-10-5 and 100 lb. ammonium nitrate

## Turnips - Beltsville, Md.

WEIGHT OF ROOTS, POUNDS PER PLOT								
Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	61.0	56.7	61.2	58.5	59.8	59.8	50.8	49.6
2	47.2	56.6	55.3	43.0	68.7	56.3	54.0	36.3
3	52.3	59.0	57.9	54.4	64.0	--*	35.3	43.5
4	61.2	55.5	29.2	44.9	39.7	46.2	69.7	71.6
5	56.5	65.5	74.4	59.5	60.0	62.4	54.1	69.0
6	58.8	65.4	57.1	57.0	61.1	66.9	70.6	75.3
7	68.8	60.3	40.0	33.5	--*	40.2	52.8	41.2
8	65.8	82.0	64.7	72.0	82.5	83.9	73.9	62.5
9	33.5	42.0	42.5	63.0	37.5	34.8	34.3	53.5
10	55.8	72.2	61.3	62.2	62.0	40.0	49.3	41.0
Mean yield-- Lbs./plot	56.09	61.52	54.36	54.80	57.81	55.19	54.48	54.35
Tons/acre	7.27	7.97	7.05	7.10	7.49	7.15	7.06	7.03

\* Not harvested because of poor stand.

Average of 3 checks is 57.17 lb. per plot, or 7.41 tons per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 6.87 lb. per plot, or 0.89 tons per acre.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.88 lb. per plot, or 1.02 tons per acre.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Tops - roots	Roots
Replications	9	1,410.48	622.65
Treatment pairs	3	227.63	84.16
Error (a)	27	531.58	166.61
Treatments in pairs	4	140.22	45.70
Error (b)	1/34	201.57	56.60

1/ Two missing plots.

## Carrots - Geneva, N. Y.

## CARROTS

Carrots - Geneva, Ontario County, N. Y.

Cooperating agency ..... New York Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting  
experiment ..... M. T. Vittum

Soil type ..... Yates gravelly loam

Plot size ..... 16' x 24'

Harvest area ..... 8' x 20'

Planting date ..... June 10, 1948

Harvest dates ..... October 21 and 22, 1948

Variety ..... Red Cored Chantenay

Fertilizer ..... 1,100 pounds 5-10-10

## YIELD IN TONS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	9.94	11.54	13.07	10.99	12.52	9.26	12.32	12.80
2	13.48	15.18	11.50	14.30	13.54	12.76	15.59	14.02
3	13.34	11.33	12.16	10.14	9.15	11.84	12.80	11.54
4	13.07	13.88	12.93	15.52	13.95	14.36	14.70	13.66
5	10.35	11.43	11.88	10.94	9.77	13.24	11.98	11.50
6	12.80	13.17	11.91	14.02	13.27	12.80	12.08	10.82
7	12.86	13.79	13.88	13.51	12.66	12.88	14.70	12.29
8	14.09	15.72	16.10	13.65	16.51	14.19	16.68	15.91
9	12.80	13.85	15.48	14.07	13.52	15.25	15.28	12.63
10	13.92	14.91	13.92	14.19	13.82	16.71	15.35	17.59
Mean yield	12.66	13.48	13.28	13.13	12.87	13.33	14.15	13.22

Average for 3 checks is 13.31.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.841.Least significant difference for comparing A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with check is same as for A<sub>2</sub>, U<sub>2</sub>, or R<sub>2</sub>.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	16.602
Treatment pairs	3	1.610
Error (a)	27	.977
Treatments in pairs	4	2.206
Error (b)	36	1.534

Potatoes - Bozeman, Mont.

YIELD IN POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	160	156	170	180	148	166	162	157
2	164	165	173	173	176	166	178	178
3	181	166	176	168	180	165	163	178
4	150	158	170	173	168	164	162	160
5	164	161	156	150	156	174	168	176
6	178	170	186	178	180	186	182	188
7	184	170	202	178	186	184	184	176
8	188	178	156	164	182	178	192	162
9	162	158	176	158	166	158	182	173
10	149	163	157	174	155	138	170	160
Mean yield--								
Lb./plot	168.0	164.5	172.2	169.6	169.7	167.9	174.3	170.8
100 wt./acre	365.9	358.3	375.1	369.4	369.6	365.7	379.6	372.0

Average for 3 checks is 167.3 lb. per plot, or 364.4 100-weights per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 6.53 lb. per plot, or 14.2 100 weights per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 6.86 lb. per plot, or 14.9 100-weights per acre.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	553.67
Treatment pairs	3	148.33
Error (a)	27	100.30
Treatments in pairs	4	43.25
Error (b)	36	68.94

Potatoes-- Richford, Tioga Co., N. Y.

Cooperating agency..... Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... Ora Smith and H. C. Thompson, Ithaca, and Clark Seed Farm, Richford

Soil type ..... Lordstown silt loam

Plot size ..... 11.7' x 40'

Harvest area ..... 5.8' x 37'

Planting date ..... May 22, 1948

Harvest date ..... October 6, 1948

Variety ..... Katahdin

Fertilizer ..... 5-10-10, 2,700 lb. per acre

TOTAL YIELD IN POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	116.0	131.0	135.0	169.0	121.0	155.0	119.0	124.5
2	103.0	139.5	133.5	139.0	162.0	129.5	142.0	143.0
3	164.0	175.0	152.0	107.0	134.0	142.0	144.5	106.5
4	146.5	127.5	114.0	149.0	104.0	174.0	97.0	161.5
5	179.5	168.0	149.0	147.5	170.5	156.0	155.0	130.5
6	141.0	147.0	153.5	160.5	126.0	171.5	160.0	107.0
7	155.0	85.0	133.5	141.0	148.5	136.5	129.0	156.0
8	184.5	175.0	156.5	172.5	139.5	118.0	135.0	158.0
9	73.0	147.5	113.5	129.0	135.0	116.0	165.5	102.5
10	159.5	167.0	145.5	163.0	148.0	133.5	114.0	163.5
Mean yield--Lb./plot	142.20	146.25	138.60	147.75	138.85	143.20	136.10	135.30
100-wt./acre	287.0	295.1	279.7	298.2	280.2	289.0	274.6	273.0

Average of 3 checks is 145.73 lb. per plot, or 294.1 100-wt. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 17.69 lb. per plot, or 35.70 100-wt. per acre.

Least significant difference for comparing A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with mean of checks is same as for A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub>.

Potatoes - Richford, N. Y.

YIELD OF U. S. NO. 1, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	113.0	128.5	133.0	164.5	118.0	150.5	114.5	120.5
2	99.0	137.0	129.0	137.0	158.0	126.5	138.5	138.0
3	161.5	173.0	150.0	104.5	129.5	140.0	141.0	105.0
4	143.0	124.0	110.0	146.5	103.0	171.0	94.0	160.5
5	177.5	165.5	146.5	145.5	168.5	153.0	152.0	127.5
6	140.0	144.5	150.5	158.5	123.0	170.0	157.5	104.5
7	152.5	82.5	130.5	137.0	143.5	134.5	125.0	152.5
8	181.0	171.5	154.5	170.5	138.0	117.0	132.0	155.0
9	71.5	145.0	109.5	127.5	130.5	113.0	163.0	99.5
10	157.5	165.0	141.5	161.5	146.5	131.5	112.0	160.5
Mean yield--Lb./plot	139.65	143.65	135.50	145.30	135.85	140.70	132.95	132.35
100-wt./acre	281.8	289.9	273.4	293.2	274.1	283.9	268.3	267.1

Average of 3 checks is 143.22 lb. per plot, or 289.0 100-wt. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 17.61 lb. per plot, or 35.54 100-wt. per acre.

Least significant difference for comparing A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with mean of checks is same as for A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub>.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Total yield	U. S. No. 1
Replications	9	908	959
Treatment pairs	3	288	317
Error (a)	27	383	370
Treatments in pairs	4	150	170
Error (b)	36	615	612

Potatoes - Elba, N. Y.

Cooperating agency ..... Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... G. J. Raleigh, Antonio Mercado, Joe Antognini, and H. C. Thompson, Ithaca, and L. R. Gillard Farm, Elba

Soil type ..... Elba muck  
 Plot size ..... 11.3' x 33.9'  
 Harvest area ..... 5.7' x 30.9'  
 Planting date ..... April 30, 1948  
 Harvest date ..... October 7, 1948  
 Variety ..... Chippewa  
 Fertilizer ..... 1,800 pounds 3-9-15

TOTAL YIELD IN POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	133.4	134.3	145.8	137.2	163.8	146.9	171.2	158.9
2	122.0	118.1	127.3	116.7	124.1	139.1	122.6	135.3
3	98.3	129.8	143.6	124.2	114.3	138.7	131.2	119.8
4	139.8	135.6	137.2	148.7	137.5	154.2	160.9	134.8
5	124.6	120.0	145.9	137.2	133.4	121.4	122.9	134.0
6	98.0	125.4	138.1	127.6	115.2	134.0	126.6	114.6
7	123.6	155.6	129.8	129.0	143.6	117.2	134.2	125.5
8	126.6	132.3	139.1	122.8	137.9	126.0	118.9	140.9
9	127.2	134.4	141.4	134.6	126.9	124.9	119.4	111.5
10	129.6	124.4	143.6	158.6	138.0	146.9	138.2	140.8
Mean yield Lb./plot	122.3	131.0	139.2	133.7	133.5	134.9	134.6	131.6
100-wt./acre	304.5	328.7	346.6	332.9	332.4	335.9	335.2	327.7

Average for 3 checks is 133.2 lb. per plot or 331.2 100-weight per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.90 lb. per plot, or 19.67 100-wt. per acre.

Least significance for A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> same as A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub>.

Potatoes - Elba, N. Y.

YIELD OF U. S. NO. 1, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	132.5	133.1	145.1	136.1	162.5	145.8	170.2	157.2
2	118.9	116.9	125.1	115.9	121.2	138.1	120.5	134.6
3	96.2	128.7	142.6	122.9	113.6	136.8	130.1	118.1
4	139.1	133.8	136.7	147.7	136.8	153.8	160.2	133.8
5	121.6	117.8	143.7	135.6	132.3	120.2	121.6	131.8
6	96.2	123.1	137.4	125.2	114.0	132.2	125.1	112.4
7	121.9	153.9	128.8	127.6	141.9	116.5	133.1	124.9
8	124.9	130.2	137.8	121.6	136.9	124.3	117.1	140.9
9	126.1	133.6	139.2	134.1	126.4	123.8	117.3	109.6
10	128.9	123.4	142.1	158.1	137.3	146.2	136.6	139.6
Mean yield-- Lb./plot	120.6	129.4	137.8	132.5	133.3	133.8	133.2	130.3
100-wt./acre	300.3	322.2	343.1	329.9	331.9	333.2	331.7	324.4

Average for 3 checks is 131.9 lb. per plot, or 328.4 100-wt. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.94 lb. per plot, or 19.77 100-wt. per acre.

Least significant difference for A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> same as A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub>.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Total yield	U. S. No. 1
Replications	9	613	648
Treatment pairs	3	352	382
Error (a)	27	110	109
Treatments in pairs	4	146	146
Error (b)	36	111	113

**TOTAL YIELD, POUNDS PER PLOT**

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	53.2	75.5	53.9	44.8	63.9	61.9	57.1	83.6
2	73.7	71.1	65.7	56.3	47.1	72.2	68.4	67.1
3	76.2	75.0	76.0	76.2	70.9	55.6	68.4	71.2
4	72.8	71.1	63.9	77.1	73.2	72.3	78.2	83.0
5	80.2	80.0	83.2	75.5	73.0	74.8	94.5	84.0
6	78.2	82.0	90.5	79.7	82.4	82.7	76.4	76.9
7	62.7	72.1	66.4	67.6	82.9	69.0	68.1	68.2
8	65.1	72.1	45.5	61.2	64.0	54.8	44.6	54.1
9	77.3	59.4	62.5	60.3	74.8	70.8	73.8	71.7
10	53.3	68.0	63.4	64.0	67.0	71.6	75.3	73.3
<hr/>								
Mean yield--								
Lb./plot		69.27	72.63	67.10	65.26	69.92	68.56	70.48
								73.31
Bu./acre		182.9	191.7	177.1	174.9	184.6	181.0	186.1
								193.5

Average of 3 checks is 69.15 lb. per plot, or 182.6 bu. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 5.73 lb. per plot, or 15.1 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 5.95 lb. per plot, or 15.7 bu. per acre.

## SWEETPOTATOES

Sweetpotatoes - Hope, Hempstead County, Ark.

Cooperating agency ..... Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... J. R. Cooper, Roy Lambert, Fayetteville, and Cecil Bittle, Hope

Soil type ..... Ruston fine sandy loam

Plot size ..... 12' x 50'

Harvest area ..... 6' x 50'

Planting date ..... April 27, 1948

Harvest date ..... September 14, 1948

Variety ..... Porto Rico

Fertilizer ..... 500 pounds 5-10-5

Sweetpotatoes - Hope, Ark.

YIELD OF U. S. NO. 1, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	17.0	22.5	12.4	6.4	18.9	15.0	11.3	22.5
2	21.6	22.8	16.3	13.5	5.9	17.0	9.2	17.7
3	20.2	20.9	16.2	14.6	20.5	11.4	18.0	16.9
4	21.7	11.5	12.5	19.0	16.4	21.2	16.9	20.4
5	18.3	11.2	23.8	9.6	9.6	11.4	18.1	25.4
6	13.7	13.9	23.2	19.9	15.7	11.5	16.0	23.0
7	10.2	19.0	16.5	16.7	12.9	15.7	9.6	12.0
8	20.7	19.9	11.0	18.0	14.0	13.8	9.4	10.4
9	31.3	18.1	23.2	22.4	24.6	20.2	25.0	21.6
10	15.8	22.5	16.5	15.7	24.0	19.0	20.7	17.8
Mean yield- Lb./plot	19.05	18.23	17.16	15.28	15.75	15.62	15.42	18.77
Bu./acre	50.3	48.1	45.3	40.3	41.6	41.2	40.7	49.6

Average of 3 checks is 16.38 lb. per plot, or 43.2 bu. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 3.32 lb. per plot, or 8.8 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 3.39 lb. per plot, or 8.9 bu. per acre.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Total yield	U. S. No. 1
Replications	9	450.30	57.62
Treatment pairs	3	104.75	33.36
Error (a)	27	72.35	22.84
Treatments in pairs	4	27.32	19.31
Error (b)	36	53.93	18.65

Sweetpotatoes - Fayetteville, Washington County, Ark.

Cooperating Agency ..... Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station  
 Cooperators conducting experiment ..... J. R. Cooper and Roy Lambert  
 Soil type ..... Newtonia silt loam  
 Plot size ..... 12' x 40'  
 Harvest area ..... 6' x 40'  
 Planting date ..... May 19, 1948  
 Harvest date ..... October 22, 1948  
 Variety ..... Golden Belle  
 Fertilizer ..... 500 pounds 4-12-4

TOTAL YIELD, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	77.5	69.6	47.4	41.1	76.1	83.3	41.7	51.1
2	55.1	23.1	41.6	64.5	53.6	48.7	75.4	71.4
3	51.3	43.9	63.8	52.8	61.9	82.0	63.5	47.4
4	81.7	111.7	83.2	70.9	119.3	105.0	87.3	44.8
5	80.5	87.4	95.2	100.5	98.1	118.1	101.3	100.4
6	101.4	93.5	76.6	82.5	160.1	101.7	111.7	113.0
7	136.7	94.4	107.0	144.9	95.8	78.9	83.1	81.8
8	87.9	85.4	126.1	75.1	79.7	90.1	100.9	90.4
9	73.5	95.8	82.8	80.5	80.9	71.3	77.7	88.7
10	107.9	61.9	90.6	96.2	76.5	105.6	97.4	84.5
Mean yield- Lb./plot	85.35	76.67	81.43	80.90	90.20	88.47	84.00	77.35
Bu./acre	282	253	269	267	298	292	277	255

Average of 3 checks is 82.01 lb. per plot, or 271 bu. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 13.0 lb. per plot, or 43 bu. per acre.  
 Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 14.0 lb. per plot, or 46 bu. per acre.

Sweetpotatoes - Fayetteville, Ark.

YIELD OF U. S. NO. 1, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	23.8	14.3	10.3	9.6	26.2	35.3	7.1	6.5
2	8.6	1.6	13.1	16.6	12.6	7.2	20.1	23.3
3	8.3	6.9	18.9	19.0	19.2	19.5	12.7	13.2
4	18.4	31.4	29.7	23.9	44.5	41.7	28.6	10.5
5	28.9	27.3	33.2	32.8	35.5	46.7	38.2	37.6
6	28.3	27.3	25.6	27.9	71.5	39.9	42.0	42.5
7	63.1	35.6	42.0	58.0	31.0	30.8	21.8	22.5
8	28.6	22.2	61.8	27.8	21.6	20.8	38.7	25.6
9	19.5	17.2	29.9	27.0	17.1	15.0	29.3	36.7
10	44.8	16.0	26.9	32.8	17.7	29.8	12.3	10.6
Mean yield--								
Lb./plot	27.23	19.98	29.14	27.54	29.69	28.67	25.08	22.90
Bu./acre	90	66	96	91	98	95	83	76

Average of 3 checks is 25.40 lb. per plot, or 92.2 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.4 lb. per plot, or 24.0 bu. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 8.5 lb. per plot, or 28.6 bu. per acre.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		Total yield	U.S. No. 1
Replications	9	2,824.8	711.46
Treatment pairs	3	352.4	167.02
Error (a)	27	443.3	194.83
Treatments in pairs	4	153.5	76.14
Error (b)	36	259.8	66.77

YIELD IN TONS PER ACRE, FIRST HARVEST

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	2.61	2.03	2.43	2.42	2.64	2.32	2.03	2.03
2	1.90	2.51	2.43	1.26	2.46	2.39	2.36	1.91
3	2.44	2.17	2.06	2.23	2.60	2.21	2.01	2.44
4	2.60	2.01	1.86	3.13	1.83	2.31	2.13	2.01
5	1.87	1.58	1.84	1.10	1.63	1.75	1.34	1.11
6	2.49	2.36	1.53	2.49	2.72	2.21	2.42	2.30
7	2.69	3.08	2.01	3.08	2.13	2.67	2.28	1.54
8	2.46	2.93	3.97	3.56	2.41	2.68	2.04	1.86
9	2.62	2.76	2.39	1.70	2.03	2.58	2.38	1.88
10	1.47	2.19	2.61	1.68	1.45	1.84	1.56	1.95
Mean yield	2.32	2.36	2.31	2.27	2.19	2.30	2.05	1.90

Average for 3 checks is 2.31.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.3090.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.3143.

## SPINACH

Spinach-- Michigan Muck Experimental Farm, Clinton County, Mich.

Cooperating agency .... Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... P. M. Harmer, J. F. Davis, and L. M. Turk, East Lansing

Soil type ..... Carlisle muck

Plot size ..... 10'8" x 24' (split between beets and spinach)

Harvest area ..... 5 1/3' x 20'

Planting dates ..... June 18 and August 10, 1948

Harvest dates ..... July 27 and October 7, 1948

Variety ..... Long Standing Bloomsdale

Fertilizer ..... 1,500 pounds per acre 0-10-20, containing 5 percent copper sulfate and 2½ percent borax

## Spinach - Michigan

## YIELD IN TONS PER ACRE, SECOND HARVEST

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	5.75	5.27	6.01	5.75	5.51	5.76	5.23	5.76
2	3.90	3.34	4.47	4.58	4.22	3.95	3.62	2.32
3	3.67	3.42	5.00	3.72	5.09	3.46	4.12	4.42
4	4.69	4.44	4.86	3.52	4.52	5.92	4.04	4.78
5	5.14	4.55	3.96	4.16	3.99	4.55	4.31	4.52
6	3.92	3.92	4.14	4.14	4.83	6.13	4.51	4.58
7	4.14	4.19	3.61	4.04	4.37	3.15	3.94	3.85
8	4.25	4.78	3.12	3.25	2.84	4.01	3.53	3.27
9	2.72	2.95	3.53	4.22	3.10	2.05	2.67	3.14
10	4.05	4.13	4.57	3.65	4.06	3.85	2.36	4.17
Mean yield	4.22	4.10	4.33	4.10	4.25	4.28	3.83	4.08

Average for 3 checks is 4.16.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.4388.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.4633.

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	
		1st harvest	2d harvest
Replications	9	0.8588	3.8588
Treatment pairs	3	.5145	.3701
Error (a)	27	.1900	.4646
Treatments in pairs	4	.0486	.1600
Error (b)	36	.1656	.3076

## POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT--6 PLANTS--JULY 22--AUG. 6

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	1.7	5.0	9.2	9.3	4.1	5.7	5.4	7.5
2	6.9	4.1	5.4	3.3	8.4	2.4	4.4	5.6
3	4.1	4.5	4.0	3.8	3.5	5.4	1.5	2.0
4	4.8	7.9	9.7	5.4	6.0	5.7	8.1	4.3
5	5.2	5.5	1.9	3.3	4.7	6.5	5.2	6.6
6	3.6	5.9	3.9	5.6	3.1	4.7	7.7	5.7
7	5.2	5.7	5.2	9.1	4.4	6.7	4.2	11.0
8	5.8	6.7	5.0	6.6	7.9	0.0	5.1	0.9
9	5.7	7.3	6.0	6.0	2.9	9.0	6.8	5.6
10	8.5	7.7	6.4	4.6	10.9	6.5	8.1	4.0
Mean	5.15	6.03	5.67	5.70	5.59	5.26	5.65	5.32

Average of 3 checks is 5.66.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.63.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.59.

## Tomatoes - Lexington, Ky.

## POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT--6 PLANTS--JULY 22-AUG. 26

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	13.1	22.6	37.1	24.2	25.6	26.4	24.6	21.5
2	24.0	25.3	17.5	15.5	25.7	21.5	20.8	25.5
3	21.6	23.1	24.2	23.3	20.1	21.1	16.4	16.9
4	25.6	34.2	31.6	28.1	25.2	28.4	25.3	26.9
5	27.2	23.5	19.0	22.9	17.0	28.5	30.5	27.2
6	20.0	33.5	22.8	30.1	13.5	18.9	19.2	25.1
7	23.0	22.8	33.0	19.3	12.7	20.7	24.8	33.7
8	20.6	16.4	16.3	23.6	26.6	11.1	23.5	11.3
9	28.7	24.3	29.9	23.9	15.7	19.5	22.2	23.4
10	31.0	29.9	27.2	20.6	38.5	28.5	32.1	24.5
Mean	23.48	25.56	25.86	23.15	22.06	22.46	23.94	23.60

Average of 3 checks is 23.72.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 3.90.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 4.04.

## Tomatoes - Lexington, Ky.

## POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT--6 PLANTS--JULY 22-SEPT. 17

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	64.1	84.6	92.0	71.0	76.5	86.2	73.6	77.8
2	40.7	60.7	48.3	46.8	48.4	54.5	46.1	58.5
3	55.6	65.9	79.8	65.0	37.9	39.6	38.1	35.1
4	73.8	82.3	86.5	74.4	53.6	66.2	57.8	61.2
5	37.9	39.0	41.7	38.3	46.2	63.5	61.6	45.1
6	91.6	90.5	91.1	73.2	73.3	69.5	50.3	82.1
7	85.5	69.5	57.5	65.9	43.8	75.5	74.1	67.0
8	53.5	64.2	66.5	76.1	70.4	51.0	65.8	49.6
9	79.5	93.6	91.9	79.5	75.0	59.2	60.6	54.1
10	86.3	61.5	52.2	63.6	73.8	67.4	78.2	70.6
Mean	66.85	71.18	70.75	65.38	59.89	63.26	60.62	60.11

Average of 3 checks is 66.61.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 8.29.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 8.90.

## Tomatoes - Lexington, Ky.

## NUMBER OF FRUITS HARVESTED PER PLOT--6 PLANTS--JULY 22-AUG. 6

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	6	19	26	25	13	16	17	27
2	21	12	16	10	27	8	13	16
3	15	13	11	11	13	19	4	7
4	15	23	30	16	20	16	23	15
5	17	16	7	10	16	20	16	22
6	9	20	13	18	10	14	24	18
7	16	18	17	28	12	19	12	33
8	17	22	17	20	19	0	16	3
9	19	19	17	19	9	26	20	17
10	24	22	22	14	33	20	46	12
Mean	15.9	18.4	17.6	17.1	17.2	15.8	18.1	17.0

Average of 3 checks is 17.1.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 5.42.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 5.06.

## Tomatoes - Lexington, Ky.

## NUMBER OF FRUITS HARVESTED PER PLOT--6 PLANTS--JULY 22-AUG. 26

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	49	80	110	78	85	85	80	74
2	84	96	57	55	93	88	91	92
3	71	72	78	77	83	82	59	63
4	91	118	105	95	93	104	80	77
5	115	91	78	85	65	93	110	106
6	60	119	87	69	42	61	61	78
7	74	75	67	115	55	66	74	111
8	63	52	52	77	82	36	80	34
9	95	70	91	82	47	59	67	80
10	103	96	106	66	146	97	141	77
Mean	80.5	86.9	83.1	79.9	79.1	77.1	84.3	79.2

Average of 3 checks is 81.3.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 14.45.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 14.54.

Tomatoes - Lexington, Ky.

NUMBER OF FRUITS HARVESTED PER PLOT--6 PLANTS--JULY 22--SEPT. 17

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CKU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	412	463	454	432	462	473	373	421
2	212	367	300	312	232	336	325	362
3	342	400	449	407	266	251	229	212
4	424	468	480	414	313	386	319	332
5	230	229	267	228	339	394	358	293
6	490	421	469	367	373	352	289	426
7	469	375	349	362	281	409	392	336
8	399	359	333	406	356	326	388	319
9	447	428	436	469	403	351	323	337
10	473	4390	1319	374	409	391	489	478
Mean	389.8	390.0	385.6	377.1	343.4	366.9	348.5	351.6

Average of 3 checks is 378.00.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 41.04.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 46.56.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares					
		Pounds per plot, 7/22 to -			Fruits per plot, 7/22 to -		
		8/6	8/26	9/17	8/6	8/26	9/17
Replications	9	8.91	76.96	1,052	98.12	1,363	19,019
Treatment pairs	3	.26	22.55	390	8.15	108	7,601
Error (a)	27	4.08	33.24	183	34.24	397	5,666
Treatment in pairs	4	1.24	14.93	74	16.09	102	793
Error (b)	36	4.97	25.45	102	57.79	364	2,110

TOMATOES - BELTSVILLE, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD.

Cooperating agency .....	Bureau of Plant Industry, Soils, and Agricultural Engineering
Cooperators conducting experiment .....	W. S. Porte and V. R. Boswell
Soil type .....	Morganac loamy sand
Plot size .....	20' x 25'
Harvest area .....	20' x 20' and 10' x 20'
Planting date .....	May 18, 1948
Harvest dates .....	As indicated
Variety .....	Marglobe
Fertilizer .....	900 pounds 5-10-5

POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT, JULY 23 TO AUG. 6

(Inner and Outer Rows Not Separated)

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CKU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	3.1	3.2	5.2	4.1	7.5	5.5	10.2	3.5
2	2.9	2.9	4.8	1.1	4.0	4.0	6.3	6.8
3	3.4	2.6	4.1	6.2	3.3	5.9	6.0	5.3
4	1.5	2.6	3.7	2.0	3.8	2.0	3.6	1.4
5	2.8	2.6	4.0	6.5	4.7	4.6	6.4	4.1
6	3.0	2.7	1.6	1.1	1.0	1.3	.8	.3
7	1.9	2.7	4.7	2.7	3.1	1.9	1.2	1.0
8	1.0	2.7	.4	2.8	1.9	1.8	3.5	.7
9	1.1	.7	.6	1.2	1.2	.6	1.3	1.7
10	2.3	2.2	2.3	1.7	0	2.5	3.1	2.1
Mean yield	2.30	2.49	3.14	2.94	3.05	3.01	4.24	2.69

Average of 3 checks is 2.81.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.96.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.00.

## POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT, AUG. 14-24

Replication No.	Treatments															
	A <sub>2</sub>		CkA <sub>2</sub>		R <sub>2</sub>		CkR <sub>2</sub>		U <sub>2</sub>		CkU <sub>2</sub>		A <sub>4</sub>		A <sub>1</sub>	
	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.
1	23.5	17.3	19.2	17.5	32.5	28.4	30.7	24.5	35.5	33.3	28.8*	26.8*	20.0	18.5	30.0	27.0
2	18.5	19.5	32.0	35.0	35.0	32.1	37.6	34.0	28.0	28.6	28.8	26.8	26.3	34.0	33.8	31.0
3	27.2	20.8	27.4	35.8	27.0	27.0	21.6	14.7	32.3	18.0	29.7	29.0	32.0	28.5	25.2	23.1
4	27.0	25.9	27.4	27.2	20.7	26.5	29.7	29.5	27.5	27.1	32.0	36.5	17.1	30.7	15.3	17.5
5	22.7	19.5	12.6	19.0	29.4	30.3	25.5	26.4	23.3	25.5	20.9	19.0	24.8	22.3	19.6	26.5
6	19.3	17.9	18.0	18.1	16.1	17.4	17.0	10.3	17.2	28.1	21.4	18.5	14.9	18.0	14.8	13.6
7	16.5	11.1	13.8	12.0	38.0	39.0	17.2	27.0	28.8	31.5	24.9	26.4	16.0	14.6	11.5	10.0
8	20.1	24.4	36.0	28.5	13.5	18.8	21.9	27.9	17.5	16.0	14.3	16.9	26.1	23.0	31.9	19.8
9	12.3	13.6	16.8	15.0	10.0	5.5	9.3	11.0	15.9	13.3	16.4	16.4	13.5	12.3	15.5	14.8
10	12.0	13.3	23.0	23.8	22.0	30.8	15.5	26.6	16.1	10.2	20.6	17.6	44.0	27.0	23.0	34.5
Mean yield	38.24		45.81		50.00		45.79		47.37		47.17		46.36		43.84	

\* These two figures include the harvest of August 14 in which the inner and outer rows for this plot were not separated. The total yield of 15.7 lb. was split equally between the inner and outer rows.

Average of 3 checks is 46.26.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.82.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 8.96.

## POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT, AUG. 14-SEPT. 7

Replication No.	Treatments																	
	A <sub>2</sub>		CkA <sub>2</sub>		R <sub>2</sub>		CkR <sub>2</sub>		U <sub>2</sub>		CkU <sub>2</sub>		A <sub>4</sub>		A <sub>1</sub>			
	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.
1	52.0	36.3	34.7	41.5	67.5	55.4	58.7	57.0	84.0	60.3	53.3	50.3	39.5	36.0	52.0	51.0		
2	65.0	63.5	66.5	68.5	57.5	69.6	61.1	74.5	61.0	65.6	68.3	68.3	68.3	66.5	60.8	64.0		
3	72.2	55.8	77.9	82.3	52.5	56.0	66.1	47.2	60.3	41.0	59.2	60.0	56.5	47.0	54.2	54.6		
4	73.0	59.9	62.4	52.2	67.7	60.0	61.7	63.2	47.5	60.1	57.0	60.5	54.1	68.7	41.8	64.0		
5	70.7	65.5	38.1	51.6	67.9	60.8	53.5	61.4	49.8	50.5	48.4	49.0	71.3	66.8	56.6	70.0		
6	49.3	56.4	63.5	52.6	46.6	44.9	39.5	39.8	70.7	73.1	60.9	65.0	51.4	55.5	46.3	54.1		
7	39.5	37.1	31.8	34.0	79.0	87.6	62.2	75.5	55.8	56.5	69.9	68.4	44.5	32.6	41.0	34.0		
8	93.1	105.4	107.0	90.0	62.5	53.8	49.9	64.4	62.5	54.0	41.8	52.4	92.6	98.0	85.9	91.8		
9	40.8	41.6	42.3	36.0	19.5	13.8	41.3	36.0	47.4	53.3	48.9	48.4	50.5	56.3	51.5	54.8		
10	45.5	41.3	56.0	57.8	77.0	70.3	54.5	73.6	49.6	47.7	57.1	47.1	81.0	72.5	67.0	74.0		
Mean yield	116.39	114.67		116.99		114.11		115.07		113.42		120.96		116.94				

Average of 3 checks is 114.07.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 16.54.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 20.93.

## POUNDS HARVESTED PER PLOT, AUG. 14-SEPT. 24

Replication No.	Treatments															
	A <sub>2</sub>		CkA <sub>2</sub>		R <sub>2</sub>		CkR <sub>2</sub>		U <sub>2</sub>		CkU <sub>2</sub>		A <sub>4</sub>		A <sub>1</sub>	
	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.	In.	Out.
1	84.5	68.5	64.7	73.5	106.3	86.9	84.2	78.0	114.0	92.6	80.8	70.9	71.8	63.0	79.2	82.0
2	102.0	92.8	103.0	96.1	83.5	96.4	96.4	105.5	90.5	89.8	101.8	103.6	105.1	92.0	92.3	95.0
3	105.2	81.8	114.9	109.3	82.0	83.0	89.9	69.4	86.8	62.5	83.2	92.0	82.0	79.0	87.2	96.3
4	100.0	77.3	85.6	73.7	87.2	78.5	95.7	83.4	62.5	78.6	73.0	73.7	81.1	94.7	66.8	88.5
5	100.7	91.0	66.6	83.6	96.4	99.8	85.5	87.9	86.8	89.5	76.4	78.5	106.3	101.8	79.6	94.5
6	83.3	90.4	92.0	84.1	69.6	76.4	60.0	61.8	92.7	105.6	99.4	91.0	84.4	81.5	61.3	66.6
7	71.0	60.6	60.3	66.5	113.5	121.5	95.7	110.5	75.8	81.0	118.4	101.4	65.5	52.6	61.5	57.5
8	138.1	153.9	149.5	128.5	101.0	92.8	71.4	86.4	99.0	84.5	65.8	78.9	125.6	131.6	125.9	136.3
9	60.3	57.1	57.3	58.0	38.0	31.8	64.3	57.0	76.9	79.3	72.9	69.9	78.0	83.8	85.5	84.3
10	60.2	58.3	77.5	78.3	105.0	100.3	78.0	104.1	68.6	71.2	77.1	68.6	103.5	103.0	89.5	100.5
Mean yield	173.7		172.3		175.0		166.5		168.8		167.7		178.6		173.0	

Average of 3 checks is 168.8.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 21.34.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 26.39.

## Tomatoes - Beltsville

TOTAL YIELD, POUNDS PER PLOT  
(4 rows - 20 plants)

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	156	141	198	166	214	157	145	165
2	198	202	185	203	184	209	203	194
3	190	227	150	169	165	153	181	167
4	179	162	169	181	145	149	179	157
5	194	153	200	180	181	159	214	178
6	177	179	148	123	199	192	167	128
7	134	130	241	209	160	222	119	120
8	293	281	194	161	185	146	261	263
9	118	116	70	122	157	143	163	171
10	121	156	208	184	140	148	210	192
Mean yields- Lb./plot	176.0	174.9	178.2	169.4	171.8	170.6	182.8	175.7
Tons/acre	9.58	9.52	9.70	9.22	9.35	9.29	9.95	9.56

## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares				
		July 23 to Aug. 6	Aug. 14 to Aug. 24	Aug. 14 to Sept. 7	Aug. 14 to Sept. 24	Total yield
Replications	9	17.83	367.99	1,207.42	2,266.09	4,483.67
Treatment pairs	3	3.89	70.28	40.62	103.67	227.67
Error (a)	27	2.09	107.95	694.69	1,050.71	2,209.07
Treatments in pairs	4	3.10	50.88	18.84	66.51	163.00
Error (b)	36	1.49	36.52	93.36	191.22	378.19

Average of 3 checks is 171.6 lb. per plot, or 9.34 tons per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 21.56 lb. per plot, or 1.17 tons per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 26.81 lb. per plot, or 1.46 tons per acre.

Cotton - Winterville, Ga.

**COTTON**

Cotton-- Athens (Winterville), Clark County, Ga.

Cooperating agency ..... University of Georgia, College of Agriculture

Cooperators conducting experiment ..... Matthias Stelly and W. O. Collins, Athens.

Soil type ..... Durham sandy loam

Plot size ..... 12.7' x 39.7'

Harvest area ..... 6.3' x 30'

Planting date ..... April 29, 1948

Harvest dates ..... September 14, 24, and October 21, 1948

Variety ..... Empire Wilt Resistant

Fertilizer ..... 600 pounds 6-8-6; 200 pounds sodium nitrate

YIELD OF SEED COTTON POUNDS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	--	2,113	2,054	1,943	2,088	2,275	2,230	2,345
2	2,308	2,093	2,390	2,183	2,058	2,076	2,236	2,286
3	2,149	2,131	2,140	2,138	2,194	2,202	2,246	2,434
4	2,153	2,358	2,023	1,883	2,210	2,014	2,176	2,214
5	1,703	1,720	2,131	2,237	2,311	2,040	--	1,714
6	1,525	1,492	1,889	1,873	2,231	2,066	2,038	1,624
7	1,918	2,033	1,795	2,044	2,485	2,212	1,957	2,145
8	1,870	2,204	1,332	1,580	1,822	1,761	2,227	2,235
9	--	2,463	2,144	2,236	1,962	1,337	1,993	1,852
10	2,315	2,120	1,867	1,829	2,110	2,086	1,502	1,396
Mean yield	2,001.1	2,072.7	1,976.5	1,994.6	2,147.1	2,006.9	2,061.3	2,024.5

Average for 3 checks is 2,024.7.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 156.9.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>4</sub> or A<sub>1</sub> with average of 3 checks is 192.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	144,766
Treatment pairs	3	28,493
Error (a)	27	109,770
Treatments in pairs	4	33,081
Error (b)	33	22,463

Cotton--Athens (Whitehall), Clark County, Ga.

Cooperating agency ..... University of Georgia, College of Agriculture  
 Cooperators conducting experiment ..... Matthias Stelly and W. O. Collins, Athens  
 Soil type ..... Cecil sandy clay loam  
 Plot size ..... 12.7' x 39.7'  
 Harvest area ..... 6.3' x 30'  
 Planting date ..... June 3, 1948  
 Harvest dates ..... October 26 and December 7, 1948  
 Variety ..... Cokers 100 Wilt Resistant  
 Fertilizer ..... 600 pounds 6-8-6; 200 pounds sodium nitrate

YIELD OF SEED COTTON, POUNDS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	1,078	926	636	655	1,595	1,507	541	597
2	1,531	1,427	583	683	1,144	1,310	691	849
3	1,238	1,008	1,130	787	1,178	1,251	1,384	1,592
4	1,270	1,282	1,132	1,128	1,499	1,584	1,030	866
5	1,408	1,224	1,408	887	1,090	1,357	1,395	1,587
6	1,163	1,388	1,431	1,320	1,030	1,500	1,153	1,309
7	1,140	1,409	1,099	1,035	904	1,364	1,392	1,327
8	1,155	1,221	1,130	1,297	1,337	1,316	1,274	1,138
9	1,056	835	1,164	1,216	1,088	1,016	1,245	1,090
10	1,363	1,430	1,211	1,386	1,148	937	520	1,225
Mean yield	1,240	1,215	1,092	1,039	1,201	1,314	1,062	1,158

Average of 3 checks is 1,189.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 161.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 195.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	104,316
Treatment pairs	3	168,928
Error (a)	27	111,198
Treatments in pairs	4	31,638
Error (b)	36	25,424

WEIGHT OF CURED LEAF, POUNDS PER PLOT

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CKU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>
1	15.41	15.34	14.97	16.03	15.84	14.47	14.72	14.90
2	16.27	15.53	15.41	15.90	15.09	14.72	15.34	15.03
3	16.59	15.59	16.27	13.34	16.47	15.59	15.34	14.97
4	15.41	15.65	14.03	14.47	15.21	15.15	13.09	13.53
5	14.59	13.65	13.84	14.41	14.72	13.65	13.72	14.03
6	13.90	14.34	14.21	13.15	14.09	13.72	14.90	12.90
7	12.65	14.27	14.90	14.65	13.47	13.90	14.34	15.41
8	15.15	13.72	15.90	14.34	14.78	12.97	14.41	14.53
9	15.27	15.03	15.34	14.59	15.15	15.53	14.59	15.90
10	15.47	14.90	13.72	14.15	14.78	15.72	14.90	14.15
Mean yield-- Lb./plot	15.07	14.80	14.86	14.50	14.96	14.54	14.53	14.53
Lb./acre	1,459	1,433	1,438	1,404	1,448	1,407	1,407	1,407

Average of 3 checks is 14.61 lb. per plot, or 1,414 lb. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.534 lb. per plot, or 51 lb. per acre.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 0.549 lb. per plot, or 53 lb. per acre.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares
Replications	9	2.6705
Treatment pairs	3	.5563
Error (a)	27	.5939
Treatments in pairs	4	.4673
Error (b)	36	.4915

**YIELD OF HAY, POUNDS PER ACRE**

**PEANUTS**

Peanuts-- Shotwell Experiment Station, Raleigh,  
Wake County, N. C.

Cooperating agency ..... North Carolina Agricultural Experiment  
Station

Operators conducting  
experiment ..... W. L. Nelson, C. A. Carlson, and  
W. E. Colwell

Soil type ..... Durham sandy loam

Plot size ..... 8' x 30'

Harvest area ..... 4' x 28'

Planting date ..... May 4, 1948

Harvest dates ..... September 25 to October 8, 1948

Variety ..... Virginia Bunch

Fertilizer ..... 500 pounds 0-12-12 and 600 pounds  
gypsum

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	4,184	4,631	5,452	5,077	4,638	5,257	4,674	5,243
2	4,955	3,306	4,710	4,393	4,768	4,084	5,128	5,229
3	4,415	4,033	4,487	5,020	4,631	4,732	3,666	4,134
4	4,977	6,244	5,092	5,149	5,913	5,661	4,386	3,500
5	4,048	3,939	3,853	4,184	3,738	3,320	5,416	4,336
6	4,364	3,154	4,696	4,451	6,539	4,170	4,451	4,206
7	3,911	3,745	5,344	3,875	4,357	3,810	5,243	4,040
8	5,380	5,654	4,364	3,968	4,905	3,774	4,422	5,322
9	5,056	4,415	4,991	4,977	4,379	5,906	4,753	4,364
10	4,969	5,574	7,123	3,435	5,841	5,409	5,128	5,668
Mean yield	4,625.9	4,469.5	5,011.2	4,452.9	4,952.9	4,612.3	4,726.7	4,604.1

Average of 3 checks is 4,511.6.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 524.4.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 531.5.

## Peanuts - Raleigh, N. C.

## YIELD OF NUTS ADJUSTED TO 60 PERCENT SHELLING, POUNDS PER ACRE

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	3,007	3,128	2,718	3,834	2,552	2,961	3,441	2,697
2	3,012	2,485	3,251	3,033	2,948	2,992	3,963	3,256
3	2,707	2,892	3,184	2,585	2,947	3,147	2,715	2,662
4	3,454	3,005	2,868	2,949	3,033	3,226	3,387	2,866
5	2,568	2,692	2,467	2,948	2,066	2,090	2,485	2,283
6	2,849	2,312	2,550	3,022	2,798	2,870	2,678	2,888
7	2,581	2,491	2,721	2,576	2,429	2,529	2,043	2,665
8	2,265	1,839	3,021	2,432	2,831	2,216	2,635	2,433
9	2,465	2,529	2,692	2,608	2,823	2,574	2,755	2,198
10	2,542	3,166	2,992	2,476	2,862	2,529	2,870	3,355
Mean yield	2,745.0	2,653.9	2,846.4	2,846.3	2,728.9	2,713.4	2,897.2	2,730.3

Average of 3 checks is 2,737.9.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 231.7.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 229.8.

## Peanuts - Raleigh, N. C.

## SHELLING PERCENTAGE, LARGE AND MEDIUM NUTS

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	68.25	68.35	67.05	69.58	64.40	65.13	67.48	65.63
2	69.35	67.08	65.25	69.25	66.00	68.80	65.90	63.75
3	65.75	63.68	67.28	66.38	66.88	65.80	66.53	65.50
4	68.45	66.35	67.45	65.13	66.75	65.13	65.48	66.50
5	66.15	65.88	66.50	67.58	65.88	65.48	65.63	63.08
6	68.43	66.75	63.75	64.00	65.78	64.50	66.13	65.88
7	64.28	64.80	67.50	65.25	64.30	64.18	66.13	67.25
8	65.75	61.78	63.35	67.10	65.83	66.35	64.95	63.78
9	63.88	61.53	64.75	63.13	67.60	62.95	65.75	63.38
10	65.08	60.25	61.00	66.35	65.85	64.00	61.68	61.78
Mean	66.54	64.65	65.39	66.38	65.93	65.23	65.57	64.65

Average of 3 checks is 65.42.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.15.Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 1.21.

Peanuts - Raleigh, N. C.

OVEN-DRY WEIGHT OF 30 PLANTS AT 6 WEEKS  
(thinned June 16, grams per plot)

Replication No.	Treatments							
	A <sub>2</sub>	CkA <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	CkR <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	CkU <sub>2</sub>	A <sub>4</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>
1	92	75	78	78	89	76	83	76
2	97	94	121	117	111	89	95	105
3	82	79	66	75	93	68	81	76
4	92	111	100	92	99	105	87	110
5	67	78	63	84	77	63	59	86
6	89	80	102	91	103	105	108	91
7	70	80	79	73	83	89	70	63
8	88	100	85	98	76	102	106	102
9	95	71	73	69	70	71	72	73
10	99	86	85	103	106	98	112	103
Mean weight	87.1	85.4	85.2	88.0	90.7	86.6	87.3	88.5

Average of 3 checks is 86.7.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, or U<sub>2</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.31.

Least significant difference at 5-percent level for comparing treatments A<sub>1</sub> or A<sub>4</sub> with average of 3 checks is 7.31.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Variants	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares			
		Yield of hay	Yield of nuts	Shelling percent	Dry weight
Replications	9	1,240.385	553.318	11.315	1,201.89
Treatment pairs	3	206.238	100.713	2.041	25.00
Error (a)	27	533.294	90.745	3.242	88.26
Treatments in pairs	4	583.968	45.494	7.338	36.25
Error (b)	36	475.289	97.275	2.047	97.17