

## SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH COUNCIL

The Social Science Research Council is an incorporated body representing the following groups of scholars in the social sciences:

AMERICAN ANTHROPOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION.  
AMERICAN ECONOMIC ASSOCIATION.  
AMERICAN HISTORICAL ASSOCIATION.  
AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION.  
AMERICAN  
AMERICAN  
AMERICAN

36538

Research in Agri.

The purposes of the  
in the social sciences  
through grants  
operation with a  
a wide variety of

ment of research  
fic investigations,  
mel, through co-  
rk, and through

# Research in Agricultural Land Tenure

---

## -Scope and Method-

---

Prepared under the direction of  
The Advisory Committee on Social  
and Economic Research in  
Agriculture

JOHN D. BLACK, Editor  
GEORGE S. WEHRWEIN, Executive Secretary  
of Special Advisory Committee on  
Agricultural Land Tenure

SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH COUNCIL  
230 Park Avenue  
New York City  
April, 1933

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report represents the joint effort of a number of the experienced research workers in its field in the United States. A special Advisory Committee, designated by the Advisory Committee on Social and Economic Research in Agriculture, drew up the general outline for the report, made suggestions as to persons to help with various parts of it, read and criticized the manuscript, and finally approved it for publication. The Executive Secretary assisted the Chairman of the Special Advisory Committee in revising the manuscripts submitted, fitting them together and filling in the gaps. The name of the principal contributor will be indicated in parenthesis at the beginning of each section of the report.

*Advisory Committee on Social and Economic  
Research in Agriculture.*

JOHN D. BLACK, CHAIRMAN, Harvard University.

S. T. DANA, University of Michigan.

LEWIS C. GRAY, Bureau of Agricultural Economics.

FRANK H. KNIGHT, University of Chicago.

J. H. KOLB, University of Wisconsin.

R. E. MARSH, U. S. Forest Service.

EDWIN G. NOURSE, Brookings Institution.

DWIGHT SANDERSON, Cornell University.

H. R. TOLLEY, University of California.

M. L. WILSON, Montana State College.

*Special Advisory Committee for this Report.*

A. G. BLACK, Iowa State College.

JOHN D. BLACK, CHAIRMAN, Harvard University.

A. E. CANCE, Massachusetts State College.

L. P. GABBARD, Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas.

W. E. GRIMES, Kansas State College.

O. M. JOHNSON, Bureau of Agricultural Economics.

J. T. SANDERS, Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College.

GEORGE S. WEHRWEIN, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, University of Wisconsin.

## USES OF THIS REPORT

This report is No. 20 in a series on "Scope and Method" of research in the various sub-fields of agricultural economics and rural sociology. This series of reports is part of a program of assistance to research in these fields, upon which the Advisory Committee on Social and Economic Research in Agriculture set forth in 1925 under instruction from the Social Science Research Council. Each report will undertake to outline the research of some sub-field of agricultural economics or rural sociology and then discuss the problems in methodology that are peculiar to it. The outline of any sub-field will be in large part in terms of a listing and discussion of specific research projects that have been undertaken or might be undertaken. Hence the discussion of methodology will be largely in terms of specific projects.

It is expected that these reports will be useful in the following ways: First, directors of experiment stations and chiefs of divisions of agricultural economics and of rural sociology in land-grant institutions in the United States, and persons similarly responsible for direction of the research in agricultural economics and rural sociology of other types of institutions, will find the outlines of the various sub-fields of much help in laying out continuing programs of research for their institutions. Second, individual research workers, graduate students looking for thesis subjects, and teachers conducting research seminars, as well as the agencies above named, will find the listing and discussions of projects helpful in choosing suitable research projects. Third, the descriptions of research already done will save research workers from duplicating other work and help them to find a way of building upon it successfully. Fourth, the discussion of the nature of a project and the qualitative background will reveal the body of the subject-matter which needs to be mastered for its intelligent prosecution, and the difficulties involved, and thus save many research workers from launching forth upon projects for which they have not yet qualified themselves, or which may be too difficult for all but the chosen few. Fifth, the analysis of methodology will furnish a basis for a more intelligent decision as to the procedures and methods which will lead to valid and usable results. Sixth, the discussion of methodology will also suggest helpful devices in technique and details of procedure that might not otherwise be brought to the attention of the research workers. Seventh, the discussions of both scope and methods will make clear to those organizing research in any field the contributions which workers on different subjects have to offer to it, and point the way to the form of collaboration needed.

## LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT

The parts of this report contributed by each of the following are indicated at the beginning of each section. Those interested are urged to correspond directly with the contributor with respect to any phase or detail of his discussion.

- LOIS B. BACON, Assistant to the Director of the Project, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- JOHN D. BLACK, Professor, Department of Economics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts; Director for the Social Science Research Council of the Project on Scope and Method of Research in Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology.
- A. E. CANCE, Head of Department of Agricultural Economics, Massachusetts State College, Amherst, Massachusetts.
- H. C. M. CASE, Head of Department of Farm Organization and Management, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.
- W. E. GRIMES, Head of Department of Agricultural Economics, Kansas State Agricultural College, Manhattan, Kansas.
- W. A. HARTMAN, Senior Agricultural Economist in Land Reclamation, Sale and Settlement, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Washington, D. C.
- E. C. JOHNSON, Associate Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of Minnesota, University Farm, St. Paul, Minnesota.
- O. M. JOHNSON, Senior Agricultural Economist in Land Tenure, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Washington, D. C.
- M. M. KELSO, Research Assistant Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
- V. P. LEE, Head Professor of Marketing and Finance, Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas, College Station, Texas.
- DAVID ROZMAN, Assistant Research Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Massachusetts State College, Amherst, Massachusetts.
- J. T. SANDERS, Head of Department of Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, Stillwater, Oklahoma.
- HOWARD A. TURNER, Assistant Agricultural Economist in Land Tenure, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Washington, D. C.
- C. F. WEHRWEIN, Assistant in Agricultural Economics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
- GEORGE S. WEHRWEIN, Professor of Agricultural Economics and Land Tenure, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
- C. C. ZIMMERMAN, Associate Professor of Sociology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
The Field of Research (G. S. Wehrwein).....	1
Summary of Research (G. S. Wehrwein).....	6
Federal Census Data on Land Tenure (Kelso).....	9
Analysis by Projects.....	17
Project 1. Analysis of Available Data on Tenancy vs. Ownership for a Type-of-farming Region (Kelso).....	18
Project 2. Distribution of Leasing Systems in a State or Region (Black and Bacon).....	24
Project 3. The Agricultural Land Tenure of an Area or Region Using the Data already Available (Black).....	26
Project 4. The Division of Income between Landlord and Tenant (Black).....	26
Project 5. Relation of Land Tenure to Quality of Farming (E. C. Johnson).....	39
Project 6. The Landlord Function—A Conceptual Analysis (G. S. Wehrwein).....	46
Project 7. The Landlord Function—An Area Study (Case).....	47
Project 8. The Best Leasing System for an Area (Turner).....	50
Project 9. Tenure History of an Area (O. M. Johnson).....	54
Project 10. The Agricultural Ladder (C. F. Wehrwein).....	55
Project 11. Progress Records of Farm Families (Sanders).....	62
Project 12. Landlord-tenant Attitudes (Zimmerman).....	65
Project 13. Tenure Significance of Innovations in Farm Organizations (Grimes).....	67
Project 14. A Study of a Tenure Group (G. S. Wehrwein and Kelso).....	69
Project 15. The Relations between Farm Real Estate Values and Land Tenure (Listed).....	70
Project 16. Analysis of an Important Factor Affecting Land Tenure—Inheritance as an Example (G. S. Wehrwein).....	71
Project 17. Comparison of Living of Tenure Groups (Turner).....	73
Project 18. The Tenure Aspects of Small Farms (Rozman and Cance).....	75
Project 19. Tenure Aspects of Part-time Farming.....	79
Project 20. Incomes of Tenure Groups (Black).....	79
Project 21. Tenure and Related Aspects in a Marginal Area (Listed).....	81
Project 22. Credit to Tenants for Purchase of Farms (Listed).....	81
Project 23. Rate of Payment of Farm Mortgages (Lee).....	81
Project 24. Relation of Land Tenure and Land Utilization (Listed).....	82
Project 25. Tenure Developments during Agricultural Depression (G. S. Wehrwein and Kelso).....	83
Project 26. Relation between Land Tenure and Participation in Rural Organizations (Listed).....	83
Project 27. Tenure as a Factor in Politics (Listed).....	83
Project 28. History of Public Land Policies (Listed).....	84
Project 29. Relation of Land Policy to Land Tenure (G. S. Wehrwein and Kelso).....	84
Project 30. Development of Tenures on the American Frontier (Listed).....	84
Project 31. Land Title Registration (G. S. Wehrwein).....	85
Project 32. Reservation of Mineral Rights (Hartman).....	85
Project 33. Homestead Exemption Laws—Tenure Aspects (Lee).....	86
Project 34. Alien Land Tenures in the United States (Listed).....	86
Project 35. Land Tenures of the American Indians (Listed).....	86
Project 36. History of Land Tenure of a Region or Tenure Group (Listed).....	86
Project 37. Tenure Aspects of Current Land Problems (G. S. Wehrwein and Kelso).....	87
Project 38. Rights of the Common Public with Respect to Privately Owned Land.....	88
Project 39. Rights of Governments with Respect to Privately Owned Land.....	88
Project 40. Legal Aspects of Forms of Tenancy.....	89
Project 41. Water Rights.....	89

## PUBLICATIONS IN THE SCOPE AND METHOD SERIES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Pages</i>	<i>Price</i>
1	Research in Public Finance in Relation to Agriculture	174	\$ .75
2	Research in Agricultural Land Utilization	201	.75
3	Research in Agricultural Credit	158	.75
4	Research in Rural Population	149	.70
5	Research in Rural Social Work	106	.60
7	Research in Marketing of Farm Products	221	1.00
8	Research in Transportation in Relation to Agriculture	94	.75
12	Research in Rural Organization	160	.75
13	Research in Farm Management	322	1.00
14	Research in Agricultural Insurance	63	.60
20	Research in Agricultural Land Tenure	89	.70

In ordering, make checks in favor of

John D. Black, Director  
 472 Widener Library  
 Harvard University  
 Cambridge, Massachusetts.

## PUBLICATIONS IN TRANSLATIONS SERIES

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Price</i>
1	Selections from Sering's <i>Agrarkrisen und Agrazolle</i>	\$ .75

In ordering, make checks in favor of

Mary G. Lacy  
 Bureau of Agricultural Economics  
 U. S. D. A.  
 Washington, D. C.