

## REPORT OF THE DISTRICT REVENUE ADMINISTRATION ENQUIRY COMMITTEE

**VOLUME II—THE APPENDICES** 

PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT
GOVERNMENT PRESS
MADRAS
1985

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix.	Subject.	PAGES
хрренціх. І	Terms of Reference of the Committee	1
II	Statistical Questionnaire	2
III	Questionnaires for Officers	6
IV	List of Associations and persons from whom Memoranda were received.	16
<b>v</b>	Statement showing the number of disciplinary cases against village officers disposed of by Revenue Divisional Officers during the years 1951 to 1953, the number of cases taken up on appeal and the percentage of reversal in appeal.	18
VI	Revenue business return figures of Collectors', Divisional and Taluk offices.	20
VII	Statement showing the total number of clerks and graduates among them allotted to the districts by the Madras Public Services Commission.	28
VIII	Statement showing the number of Deputy Tahsildars' posts, both permanent and temporary; in the districts on the eve of and after the introduction of the Scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive.	31
IX	Statement showing the proportion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks for each district and also for the State as a whole.	32
X	Statement showing the number of candidates who appeared and the number that passed and the percentage of failures in the Revenue Test, Parts I, II and III.	33
XI	Statement showing the average size, population and beriz of firkas in the different districts.	33
XII	Statement showing the number of regular and Estate Revenue Inspectors working in the districts at present and the number that may roughly be required when the National Extension Service Scheme is introduced.	34
IIIX	Statem: nt showing the Deputy Tahsildars' charges abolished in the d str ets as a result of Separation of Judiciary from the Executive.	35
XIV	Figures of allotment and expenditure under the Minor Irrigation Works and Rural Water-supply Scheme and of allotments under the Land Improvement Loans and other loans for the State.	. <b>36</b>
xv	Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices	38
XVI	List of Taluk offices in which one post of typist has been created by conversion of a permanent post of lower division clerk.	68
XVII	Figures of correspondence in Divisional offices,	69
XVIII	Particulars of staff and section heads in the Correspondence branch of Collectors' offices.	74
XIX	Statement showing the statistics of work done by the Taluk Surveyors for the three years ending with 30th June 1954, i.e., for the three fashis from 1361 to 1363.	86
XX	Statement of missing stones for renewal and subdivisions for check-measurement as on 1st April 1942 and on 1st April 1954 furnished by the Deputy Director of Survey.	98
IXX	Forms which should be restored to their pre-war size	98
XXII	Calculations of costs	101
	D.R.A.E.C., VOL.I I-A	

# REPORT OF THE DISTRICT REVENUE ADMINISTRATION ENQUIRY COMMITTEE

#### VOLUME II—THE APPENDICES.

#### APPENDIX I.

(Vide paragraph 1 of the Report.)

TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE COMMITTEE.

(G.O. Ms. No. 1876, dated 10th July 1954.)

The following are the terms of reference of the Committee appointed in the Government Order cited:—

(1) A thorough review of the existing set-up in Collector's office with special reference to devising ways and means of providing such measures of relief to the District Collector as to enable him to function effectively as the head of the district responsible for the entire field of developmental activity under his charge;

(2) how best to strengthen and tone up the efficiency of Taluk offices which form the pivot of Revenue administration, and also to make the Divisional Officer an effective link between the Tahsildar on the one hand and the District Collector on the other, both in the field of

Revenue Administration and also developmental work;

(3) to go into the question of recruitment, training, periodical refresher courses, etc., of the field staff of the department so as to enable them to function effectively not only as Revenue officials but also

as competent Development officers;

- (4) to make such other recommendations calculated to improve the efficiency of Revenue administration in the offices of the Collector, Revenue Divisional Officer and Tahsildar with particular reference to the prevention of delays, the various defects in their working, and the specific points requiring attention as mentioned in the Agenda prepared at the Collector's Conference (held in June 1954), viz.—
  - (a) empowering Personal Assistants with greater statutory

powers so as to give real relief to Collectors.

(b) the revision of the District Office Manual so as to improve the speed and quality of correspondence,

(c) the holding of periodical refresher courses for officers and

subordinate staff of the Revenue department,

(d) the retention of the special election staff in Taluk, Divisional and Collectors' offices as a permanent measure,

(e) improving the procedure of maintaining the personal files of upper division clerks, division head clerks and taluk head accountants by Collectors,

- (f) proposals for strengthening the offices of the Revenue department to overcome post-war inefficiency in District administration,
- (g) proposals for transferring the work of survey records and maintenance from the Survey department to the Revenue department,

(h) the sanction of the posts of Typists for Taluk offices,

(i) introduction of more detailed training for Revenue Inspectors both in regular items of revenue work as well as in survey and land records maintenance work which could be entrusted to them,

(j) the appointment of Headquarters Deputy Tahsildars for

heavy taluks,

- (k) the upgrading to the Deputy Tahsildar's grade of the posts of Taluk Head Accountants and Head Clerks of Revenue Divisional offices, and
- (l) the upgrading of the post of Magisterial Head Clerk in the Collector's office to that of a Deputy Tahsildar;
- (5) to make specific proposals for the employment and absorption of Revenue subordinates affected by the introduction of the Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive in the districts; and

(6) to make proposals to bring the zamindari areas taken over by Government in line with the regular District administration.

#### APPENDIX II.

#### (Vide paragraph 2 of the Report.)

#### STATISTICAL QUESTIONNAIRE.

#### General.

I. (a) What is the basis on which vacancies in the Madras Ministerial Service in the Revenue offices of your district are calculated? Does the present calculation provide for a 'leave' reserve?

(b) Please furnish figures relating to

- (i) vacancies reported each year from 1939 onwards to the Madras Public Service Commission,
  - (ii) the allotment made by the Commission each year,

(iii) number actually appointed each year, and

(iv) number of emergency appointments made each year.

Note.—Figures in respect of clerks and typists may be separately given.

- II. During the last five years, when was the first appointment of each Madras Public Service Commission candidate made and to which office?
- III. For the last five years, please furnish the history of service of each Madras Public Service Commission candidate showing the dates of first appointment, office to which appointed, initial period of appointment, and in case he had been ousted, date of reappointment, office to which reappointed, period of service in each office and so on.

#### Revenue Inspectors.

- IV. (a) Is the number of qualified hands to be sent out for survey training for subsequent appointment as Revenue Inspectors adequate?
  - (b) Please furnish information in respect of(i) the number of firkas as they stand now,
    - (ii) the number of villages in each firka,

(iii) population of each village,

(iv) beriz of each village,

(v) distance of the farthest village from the firka headquarters,

and (vi) principles, if any, on which the size of firkas was fixed.

(c) Going by the principles laid down for the National Extension Service and if Revenue Inspectors are to be Village Development Officers, what will be the number of firkas as against the present one for each district?

NOTE.—The principles referred to above have been laid down in paragraph 20 of the Memorandum of Instructions for National Extension Service in Tanjore district. This pamphlet has been sent to all Collectors with G.O. Ms. No. 1629, Agriculture, dated 15th May 1954.

(d) With reference to the fortnightly diaries submitted by Revenue Inspectors, please indicate the average number of currents dealt with by each Revenue Inspector per month during the last three years.

Note.—B. Memoranda and all miscellaneous papers dealt with by Revenue Inspectors should be taken into account so that the volume of work may be properly assessed.

#### Lower Division Clerks and Upper Division Clerks.

- V. (a) What is the proportion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks in the different offices under the Collector?
  - (b) What are the principles on which the proportion was fixed?
- (c) Will you suggest any variation of the proportion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks?

#### Deputy Tahsildars.

- VI. Please furnish information in respect of
  - (i) permanent posts of Deputy Tahsildars,
- (ii) number of posts of Deputy Tahsildars on special duty (each category to be specified) on the eve of the introduction of the scheme of Separation of the Executive from the Judiciary,
  - (iii) the present position in respect of (i) and (ii) above, and
- (iv) what will be the number of Deputy Tahsildars if in implementing the National Extension Service Scheme for the State Deputy Tahsildars were appointed to give relief to Tahsildars.

Note.—The scale of appointment of Deputy Tahsildars for National Extension Service blocks has been indicated in paragraph 19 of the pamphlet containing instructions regarding National Extension Service Scheme in Tanjore district. A copy of the pamphlet has been sent to all Collectors with G.O. Ms. No. 1629, Agriculture, dated 15 May 1954.

#### Tahsildars.

VII. Please furnish information in respect of

(i) Number of permanent posts of Tahsildars,
 (ii) Number of posts of the Tahsildars on special duty (each category to be specified) on the eve of the introduction of the scheme of Separation of the Executive from the Judiciary,

(iii) Figures as in (i) and (ii) above after the introduction of the

Scheme.

(iv) Figures as in (i) and (ii) above at present.

(v) Figures as they would be if in implementing the National Extension Service Scheme, taluks were to be reduced in size and Tahsildars appointed or if additional Tahsildars are to be appointed for the existing taluks instead of Deputy Tahsildars being appointed to assist Tahsildars.

#### Taluk Offices.

- VIII. (a) For each Taluk office, please indicate
- (i) The number of permanent posts of clerks, lower division and upper division separately for regular work and the number of temporary additions, if any, for regular work, in the year before the Tottenham System was introduced,
- (ii) additions or retrenchments made from time to time in respect of posts relating to regular work, and
  - (iii) the present position.

Note.—Posts created temporarily for special duties or ad hoc additions, as for example, for loans work under the Takkavi Manual, are to be excluded. But for the last three years figures in respect of all establishment including temporary posts and men on special duty should be given.

- (iv) Is there provision for a typist in the Taluk office? Is the present provision adequate? Please give figures such as average number of pages typed every day.
- (b) For each Taluk office, please furnish information in respect of—
- (i) total number of papers for disposal, number disposed of, and number pending for over six months and one year in the year preceding the introduction of the Tottenham System, and
- (ii) figures as required in (i) above as per business returns year by year after the introduction of the Tottenham System.

Note.—(1) Figures relating to clerks on special or temporary duties may be excluded. But for the last three years the figures in respect of all clerks including those on special or temporary duties should be given.

- (2) Not only papers entered in personal registers but also in special registers such as Lease Registers, registers relating to transfer of registry, etc., should be taken into account so that the volume of work may be properly assessed.
- (c) (i) Have taluk boundaries undergone any changes in recent times?
- (ii) What are the principles adopted for fixing the size of taluks?
- (d) (i) For each taluk as it stands now, please furnish information in respect of (a) number of villages, (b) total population, (c) total heriz.
- (ii) Please furnish information as in (i) above in respect of the year before the introduction of the Tottenham System.
- (iii) Please furnish information as in (i) above in respect of the year before the introduction of the scheme of Separation of the Executive from the Judiciary.

#### Divisional Offices.

1X. (a) Please furnish the number of divisions and taluks included in each division on the eve of introduction of the scheme of Separation of Executive from Judiciary and the number after the introduction of the Scheme.

(b) For each division, please give figures in respect of-

(i) number of villages,

- (ii) total population, and
- (iii) total beriz as the Division stands now and figures for corresponding areas before the introduction of the scheme of separation.
- (c) For each Divisional Office, please furnish figures in respect of (a) number of clerks, lower division and upper division and section heads now working and corresponding number for the corresponding area before separation of the Executive from the Judiciary.

Note.—Figures should be given separately for magisterial and non-magisterial work. In either case only posts relating to regular work should be taken into account, temporary additions in respect of special work being excluded.

(d) For each division as it now stands (corresponding areas to be taken in respect of the years before the separation of the Executive from the Judiciary) and taking only the regular work (excluding temporary and special duties), please furnish separately for magisterial and non-magisterial work business return figures just before the introduction of the Tottenham System and for every year after the introduction of the Tottenham System.

Note.—The additions or retrenchments made in respect of the staff for regular work during those years may be indicated.

#### Collector's Office.

X. (a) Please furnish figures relating to establishment (section heads, upper division clerks and lower division clerks) as it stood at the time of the introduction of the Tottenham System, additions or retrenchments made from time to time and the present position.

NOTE.—Number of posts for regular duties and special work may be separately shown.

- (b) Please furnish information in respect of the number of posts (other than the Treasury Deputy Collector) sanctioned in the nature of Personal Assistants to the Collector from time to time and the object of each addition.
- (c) Please indicate the present position in respect of Personal Assistants and the division of duties between the Collector and his Personal Assistants.
- (d) For the Collector's office, please furnish business return figures in the year before the introduction of the Tottenham System and for every year after that.

Note.—Besides totals, separate figures in respect of temporary or special work may be given.

(e) Please furnish figures in respect of (a) number of divisions, (b) taluks, (c) villages, (d) total population and (e) total beriz.

Note.—Totals as well as separate figures in respect of Estates taken over may be given.

XI. Please furnish two skeleton maps, one showing divisions and taluks before the scheme of separation of the Executive and Judiciary and the other showing divisions and taluks after the introduction of the scheme.

Note.—In the latter map, please show the areas under Community Projects and Development Blocks under the National Extension Service.

#### APPENDIX III.

(Vide paragraph 4 of the Report.)

#### QUESTIONNAIRES FOR OFFICERS.

A. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR COLLECTORS.

#### Personal Work of the Collector.

- 1. Generally speaking do you think that you have more work than you can attend to? If your work is to be compressed into a fair day's work, what items would you suggest to be taken away from you? What would you consider to be a fair day's work?
- 2. In your view is the minimum touring now prescribed too high or too low? How many days in a month are you generally on tour?
- 3. How many days in a month do you generally halt in camp? In your opinion are night halts conducive to better disposal of work in camps?
- 4. Do you think that any variation in the proportion of halts and marches now fixed is necessary? If so, what proportion would you suggest?
- 5. Can any of your items of work in camp be attended to by your Personal Assistants? Will it be helpful in any way if your Personal Assistant is also made a Touring Officer?
- 6. How many hours on the average do you have to spend on (1) files, (2) hearing of cases, (3) petitions from parties and pleaders and (4) other interviews?
- 7. In what respects is this pattern changed for your work in camp?
- 8. Which of these items do you attend to at your residence and which at the office? Have you laid down any particular hours for items (2) to (4) against question 6?

Do you have to work on holidays? If so, please give suggestions as to how this can be avoided or minimized?

- 9. (a) Do you think it reasonable or not to restrict interviews to prescribed hours?
- (b) Do you get people to interview on holidays? Do you think it will be desirable to impose any restriction in regard to interviews on holidays?
- 10. What portion of your time is taken up by having to attend meetings of various committees constituted by the Government?
- 11. Would you suggest that the Personal Assistant could be asked to attend some of the meetings in your place? Have you any suggestions to make in regard to reduction of the number of meetings to be attended to by the Collector?
  - 12. How many files do you get per day on the average?
- 13. In case you consider that your file work is heavy, are there any other items of work which can be delegated to your Personal Assistants?

- 14. Under the existing scheme of delegations, have you made any arrangements to ensure that even on the subjects delegated, important files come to you for orders, on other files the cases are dealt with on right lines and generally you are posted with developments and trends? If not, do you consider that such arrangements would be necessary?
- 15. (a) What items of work have you to attend to in respect of Development activities?
  - (b) How many hours' work do these give-
    - (i) at headquarters,
    - (ii) in camp?
- (c) How much more work do you anticipate when the National Extension Service Scheme is fully extended to the district?

#### Set-up of District Administration.

- 16. Speaking generally, do you feel that the staff in your office is adequate? Are there sections or seats which are having heavy work, while there are others which have lighter work? Will it be possible to improve matters by redistribution of work among the existing staff? If not, roughly what addition would be required? What further addition roughly would be required when the National Extension Service Scheme is fully extended to the district?
- 17. Is the co-operation you are getting from various District Officers of the several departments felt to be adequate? Have you any suggestions to make in order to improve such co-operation?
- 18. How long have you been Collector in this district? Do you feel that Collectors are changed too frequently? In the context of the necessity for continuity of policy regarding the developmental activities, what minimum period would you suggest that the Collector should be kept in a district, to secure full efficiency in regard to developmental matters?
- 19. Are district heads of several departments dealing with developmental activities, kept in any one place for sufficiently long to ensure efficient administration? What period would you suggest that a district head of a Development department should be kept on in the same district?

#### Revenue Divisional Officers and Tahsildars.

- 20. Have you any suggestions to make in regard to the following matters relating to Revenue Divisional Officers?—
- (i) Is there scope for entrusting them with more powers so as to reduce the work of the Collector?
- (ii) Is there scope for reducing the work of Revenue Divisional Officers by entrusting some of their work to Tahsildars? Do you think Revenue Divisional Officers are being transferred frequently?
- (iii) What, in your opinion, is the minimum time that an officer should be kept on as Revenue Divisional Officer in the same division in the interests of efficiency?
- (iv) What are your views on the question of abolishing Revenue Divisional Officers?

- 21. Is the size of this district at present too large to admit of efficient Revenue administration? How would the position be when the Estate areas get completely merged in the regular administration and when the National Extension Service Scheme is extended to the whole district?
- 22. A suggestion has been made that all Personal Assistants should be abolished and the number of Revenue Divisional Officers should be increased to include the existing Personal Assistants. Do you think it is a feasible suggestion?
- 23. It has been suggested that Tahsildars should be made Gazetted Officers and entrusted with all the statutory powers which the Revenue Divisional Officers are now exercising. Do you think it is feasible? What are your views on this point?
- 24. Do you consider that Revenue Divisional Officers and Tahsildars are overworked? What practical steps would you suggest for reducing their work to a normal level?
- 25. Have you any practical suggestions for improving the efficiency and quality of work in Revenue Divisional offices and Taluk offices?
- 26. What portion of the time of the Revenue Divisional Officer and the Tahsildar is spent on development work?
- 27. Can they be entrusted with more items of development work without detriment to their current duties?
- 28. Whether and how the divisions would require readjustment with reference to (1) the merger of estate areas in the regular administration and (2) the extension of the National Extension Service Scheme throughout the district?
- 29. Similarly whether and how the taluks and firkas would require readjustment with reference to those two factors?

#### Training.

- 30. Do you consider that there has been a falling off in the quality of work done by the clerks in the various offices? If so, what in your opinion are the reasons?
- 31. Do you consider that a preliminary training whether theoretical or practical or both is necessary for clerks before they enter regularly upon their duties?
- If you consider training is necessary, please indicate briefly the subjects in which such training should be given and when and by whom such training should be given.
- 32. Do you consider that Revenue Inspectors of the present day are inefficient? In that case, what practical steps would you suggest to rectify matters?
- 33. What is your opinion on the proposal to employ Revenue Inspectors as village level workers under the National Extension Service Scheme? What training would they require for the purpose?
- 34. What is your opinion on the proposal that village level workers separately recruited and trained under the National Extension Service

Scheme may work as Firka Revenue Inspectors also and be eligible in due course for higher posts in the Revenue department?

- 35. Do you think that any special training is necessary for Revenue subordinates like Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars to enable them to attend satisfactorily to development work?
- 36. Do you consider that a periodical refresher course is necessary for any category of revenue subordinates? If so, please give concrete suggestions for the purpose?
- 37. Do you think the state of preservation of survey marks and the connected matters are worse now than was the case when the work was being attended to by the Revenue Inspectors? Would you be in favour of reversion to the old system?
- 39. Do you think the time is ripe for integration of taken-over zamin areas with regular ryotwari areas, revising firka, taluk and division boundaries to the extent necessary?
- 39. Would you suggest any modification in regard to the mode of conducting jamabandi?
- 40. Do you consider that delays are increasing in the various offices? If so, what in your opinion, are the reasons and what remedial measures would you suggest? Have you any suggestions to avoid such delays?
- 41. Have you any suggestions to make regarding maintenance of personal files?
- 42. Would you suggest any fundamental amendment to the District Office Manual so as to expedite correspondence and avoid delay?

#### B. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICERS.

- 1. Generally speaking, do you think you have more work than you can attend to? If your work is to be compressed into a fair day's work what items would you suggest to be taken away from you? What would you consider to be a fair day's work?
- 2. In your view, is the minimum touring now prescribed too high or too low? How many days in a month are you generally on tour?
- 3. How many days in a month do you generally halt in comps? In your opinion, are night halts conducive to better disposal of work in camps?
- 4. Do you think that any variation in the proportion of halts and marches now fixed is necessary? If so, what proportion would you suggest?
  - 5. How many hours on the average do you have to spend on-
- (i) files, (ii) hearing of cases, (iii) petitions from parties and pleaders, and (iv) other interviews?

Which of these items do you attend to at your residence and which at the office? Have you laid down any particular hours for items (ii) to (iv)?

- 6. In what respects is this pattern changed for your work in camp?
- 7. Do you have to work on holidays? If so, please give suggestions as to how this can be avoided or minimized?
  - 8. Do you get people to interview on holidays?
- 9. Do you think it will be desirable to impose any restrictions in regard to interviews on holidays?
  - 10. How many files do you get per day?
- 11. In case you consider that your file work is heavy, are there any items of work in respect of which powers can be delegated to the Tahsildars? What are the items in respect of which Tahsildars can be allowed to correspond directly with the Collector?

#### Office Establishment.

- 12. Speaking generally, do you feel that the staff in your office is adequate? Are there seats which are having heavy work while there are others which have lighter work? Will it be possible to improve matters by redistribution of work among the existing staff? If not, roughly what addition will be required? What further addition would roughly be required when the National Extension Service Scheme is extended to your division?
- 13. Will you support the proposal that the post of Divisional Office Head Clerk should be upgraded to that of Deputy Tahsildar? What advantages do you expect if the post is upgraded?
- 14. Are you getting sufficient co-operation from various officers of Development departments at the Division level? Have you any suggestions to make in order to improve such co-operation?
  - 15. How long have you been in this division?
- 16. Do you feel that Divisional Officers are being changed frequently?
- 17. What in your opinion is the minimum time that an officer should be kept on as Revenue Divisional Officer in the same division in the interests of efficiency?
- 18. Is the size of your division at present too large to admit of efficiency in Revenue administration?
- 19. How will the position be when the estate areas get completely merged in the regular administration and when the National Extension Service Scheme is extended to your Division?
- 20. If you consider the division to be too large, please indicate how the size of the division can be readjusted.
- 21. What portion of your time is spent on Development work? Can you take on more items of Development work without detriment to your current duties?
- 22. Do you consider that there has been a falling off in the quality of the work done by the clerks? If so, what in your opinion are the reasons?
- 23. Do you consider that a preliminary training, whether theoretical or practical, is necessary for clerks before they enter regularly on their duties? If you consider training is necessary, please indicate briefly the subjects in which such training should be given and when and by whom such training should be given.

- 24. Do you consider that Revenue Inspectors of the present day are inefficient? In that case what practical steps would you suggest to rectify matters?
- 25. What is your opinion on the proposal to employ Revenue Inspectors as village level workers under the National Extension Service Scheme? What training would they require for the purpose?
- 26. What is your opinion on the proposal that village level workers separately recruited and trained under the National Extension Scheme may work as Firka Revenue Inspectors also, and be eligible in due course for higher posts in the Revenue department?
- 27. Do you think that any special training is necessary for subordinates like Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars to enable them to attend satisfactorily to Development work?
- 28. Do you consider that a periodical refresher course is necessary for any category of Revenue subordinates? If so, please give concrete suggestions for the purpose.
- 29. Do you think that the state of preservation of survey marks and the connected matters are worse now than was the case when the work was being attended to by the Revenue Inspectors? Would you be in favour of reversion to the old system?
- 30. Would you suggest any modifications in the present mode of conducting jamabandi?
- 31. Do you consider that delays are increasing in the various offices? If so, what in your opinion are the reasons, and what remedial measures would you suggest?
- 32. How much of your time is taken up by inspection of subordinate offices and by jamabandi? Is the work in your office dislocated by these items of work? If so, what methods would you suggest to avoid this dislocation? Are you in favour of appointing a separate staff of subordinates to help you to conduct jamabandi and office inspections?
- 33. Do you own a car? If so, do you have any difficulty in reaching interior villages? Do you think the supply of a jeep to every Revenue Divisional Officer will enable work to be done more effectively? How many days a month would you require a jeep?

#### C. QUESTIONNAIRE FOR TAHSILDARS.

- 1. Generally speaking, do you think you have more work than you can attend to? If your work is to be compressed into a fair day's work, what items would you suggest to be taken away from you? What would you consider to be a fair day's work?
- 2. In your view, is the minimum touring now prescribed too high or too low? How many days in a month are you generally on tour?
- 3. How many days in a month do you generally halt in camps? In your opinion, are night halts conducive to better disposal of work in camps?
- 4. How many hours on the average do you have to spend on (i) files, (ii) hearing petitions from parties and pleaders, and (iii) other interviews? Which of these items do you attend to at your residence and which at the office? Have you laid down any particular hours for items (ii) and (iii)?

- 5. In what respects is this pattern changed for your work in camp?
- 6. Do you have to work on holidays? If so, please give suggestions as to how this can be avoided or minimized?
  - 7. Do you get people to interview on holidays?
- 8. Do you think it will be desirable to impose any restrictions on interviews on holidays?
  - 9. How many files do you get per day?
- 10. Have you a Headquarters Deputy Tahsildar? What are the items of work delegated to him? Under the existing scheme of delegations, have you made any arrangements to ensure that even on the subjects delegated, important files come to you for orders, on the other files the cases are dealt with on right lines, and generally you are posted with developments and trends? If not, do you consider that such arrangement would be necessary?

#### Office Establishment.

- 11. Speaking generally, do you feel that the staff in your office is adequate? Are there seats which are having heavy work while there are others which have lighter work? Will it be possible to improve matters by redistribution of work among the existing staff? If not, roughly what addition would be required? What further additions roughly would be required when the National Extension Service Scheme is extended to your taluk?
- 12. What are your views on the proposals that the posts of Taluk Head Accountants should be upgraded to that of a Deputy Tahsildar? What advantages do you expect if the post is upgraded?
  - 13. How long have you been in the taluk?
  - 14. Do you feel that Tahsildars are being changed frequently?
- 15. What in your opinion is the minimum time that an officer should be kept on as Tahsildar in the same taluk in the interests of efficiency?
- 16. Is the size of your taluk at present too large to admit of efficiency in Revenue administration?
- 17. How will the position be when the estate areas get completely merged in the regular administration and when the National Extension Service Scheme is extended to your taluk?
- 18. If you consider the taluk to be large, please indicate how the size of the taluk can be readjusted?
- 19. What portion of your time is spent on Development work? Can you take on more items of Development work without detriment to your current duties?
- 20. Do you consider that there has been a falling off in the quality of the work done by the clerks? If so, what in your opinion are the reasons?
- 21. Do you consider that a preliminary training, whether practical or theoretical, is necessary for clerks before they enter regularly on their duties? If you consider training is necessary, please indicate the subjects in which such training should be given and when and by whom such training should be given?
- 22. Do you consider that any of the firkas in your taluk is too large? If so, please indicate how their size can be readjusted?

- 23. Do you consider that Revenue Inspectors of the present day are inefficient? In that case, what practical steps would you suggest to rectify matters?
- 24. What is your opinion on the proposal to employ Revenue Inspectors as village level workers under the National Extension Service Schemes? What training would they require for the purpose?
- 25. What is your opinion on the proposal that village level workers separately recruited and trained under the National Extension Service Scheme may work as Firka Revenue Inspectors also and be eligible in due course for higher posts in the Revenue department?
- 26. Do you think that the state of preservation of survey marks and the connected matters are worse now than was the case when the work was being attended to by the Revenue Inspectors? Are you in favour of reversion to the old system?
- 27. Would you suggest any modifications in the present mode of conducting jamabandi?

28. Do you consider that delays are increasing in the various offices? If so, what in your opinion are the reasons and what remedial measures

would you suggest?

- 29. Do you think that the zamindari areas can be integrated at present with the ryotwari areas, and administered by the regular Tahsildars, reducing, if need be, the size of a Tahsildar's charge? What are the practical difficulties, if any, that will be met with?
- 30. Do you think that there is any transport difficulty for you or for the Revenue Inspectors in reaching interior villages? Will the supply of a jeep materially improve administrative efficiency?

How many days a month will you require a jeep, in case one can be

made available?

### D. QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE DISTRICT OFFICE MANUAL FOR COLLECTORS.

#### 1. Paragraph 2 of the District Office Manual—

Are the hours of the attendance from 11 a.m. to 5 p.m. (with 15 minutes interval between 2 and 3 p.m. for tiffin) strictly adhered to in practice? If not, what variation would you suggest so as to bring the rule in conformity with the practice? Would you be in favour of office hours being changed and increased, say, from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. to bring hours of work in line with those prevailing in business concerns?

#### 2. Paragraph 5 of the District Office Manual—

Are the prohibitions (i) that clerks should not take papers to their houses to work there and (ii) against working on Sundays and holidays, adhered to in practice? What are your views on these points?

#### 3. Paragraph 12-

Does the Sarishtadar make himself responsible in regard to (i) general supervision over the whole office, (ii) keeping in touch with correspondence in all sections, and (iii) watching for delays and reminders from the Board and Government. If not what modifications in the rule would you suggest?

#### 4. Paragraphs 14 and 16-

Is the distribution register being maintained in your office? Or has it been dispensed with as per the discretion given in the last

sentence of paragraph 14. How many papers per year are entered in the distribution register in practice? Would you suggest any modifications in regard to criteria for entering papers in the distribution registers? Was there any instance where the distribution number was used as a reference number.

Vide paragraph 18.

5. Paragraph 20 (ii), note (i) and paragraph 21 (iii), note-

Is it not better to bring forward pending cases of the previous year on the first July each year, instead of the first April, as that would involve less work and as the business returns have to be prepared only for each half year?

6. Paragraph 27—

What tapals do you see personally besides Board and Government tapals? Do you think you are getting too many demi-official reminders? What are the special steps if any taken to deal with demi-official reminders? Do you think it desirable to lay down that the head of the office must personally deal with urgent and important references received in tapals either with or without the help of the concerned subject clerks?

7. Paragraph 29-

Is the system of marking papers 'F' and 'F-1' observed in practice? How many papers are marked with these letters every year on the average? Have you any suggestion to improve this system?

8. Paragraph 30-

Do you think there should be the system of giving numbers to all papers (including periodicals, etc.), in order to know the volume of work handled by each clerk? Would you suggest separate distribution registers for the purpose for each section or each clerk?

9. Paragraph 31 read with note to paragraph 63—

What are the papers which are dealt with as "X.N. Dis."? Do you think the system of "X.N." and "X.L." disposals should be given up and if so, what are the reasons?

10. Paragraphs 36 and 40—

Is it found possible to adhere to the instructions regarding blue fly leaf for current files, yellow fly leaf for note files and use of note file papers? If not, what are the reasons?

11. Paragraph 54—

Are roneo forms being used for any class of papers in regard to office notes or drafts? Is there scope for extension of using roneo forms in order to minimize the work all round?

12. Paragraph 57-

Are reasons given in the order when petitions are rejected? Is it not desirable to give reasons?

13. Paragraph 63-

Do you think the present periods of retention of 'L' and 'D' disposals suitable for present day conditions? Would you be in favour of retaining 'L' disposals for three years and 'D' disposals for 30 years.

14. Paragraph 63 read with paragraph 107—
Instead of fixing different periods of retention for different kinds of periodicals, etc., is it not desirable to have only three different periods of retention for all varieties of records, viz., 3 years, 30 years and

permanent? Do you think personal registers should be retained only for 5 years as at present when periodical registers have to be kept for 10 years?

15. Paragraph 70—

Is the consolidated periodical register of your office printed? Do you think there is scope for reducing the number of periodicals in all offices?

16. Paragraph 76-

Are there cases where fair copies are written or compared by subject clerks?

17. Paragraphs 85 (i) and 86-

Is the system of filing Government Orders and Board's Proceedings working well? Do you think a standard list of files should be prescribed for all districts for subordinate offices by the Board?

18. Paragraph 89 (iii)-

Is the system of maintaining general record files for various classes of papers working well?

19. Paragraphs 100 and 101-

Are you following the "Roneo number-alpha system of filing"? Do you think the instructions in these paragraphs require modification?

20. Paragraphs 110 and 111-

Is the system of maintaining index slips properly followed in practice? If printed index forms are supplied, will there be improvement?

21. Paragraph 131-

How often are the personal registers of each clerk checked and by whom? Have you got any practical suggestions for making the check more effective?

22. Paragraphs 133 and 134—

Have you any practical suggestions for avoiding delays in regard to outgoing and incoming periodicals?

23. Paragraph 136-

Instead of the system of reminders, would you be in favour of every officer being required to report not less than once in a month on every pending paper to the Party or Officer concerned, as to the stage at which the matter stands?

24. Paragraph 136-A—

Have you any suggesstions for amplifying the form of Revenue Business Statement (Appendix F) with a view to convey a complete picture of the heaviness of the work in the office and whether any particular clerk is overworked?

25. Paragraph 139-A-

Are adverse remarks in the personal files, in so far as remediable defects are concerned, communicated invariably to the concerned persons? Have you any practical suggestions in regard to this matter?

26. Paragraph 152-

Have you any practical suggestions for the improved and up to date maintenance of stock files? Do you think there is scope for avoiding stock files altogether or at least minimizing their scope? Is it desirable that the Board should prescribe a standard list of stock files on the more common and important subjects in order to secure uniformity.

General-

- 27. Do you think it would be conducive to efficiency if Revenue Department Gazette was published say, once a month on the lines of the Police Gazette, wherein important Government Orders, Board's Proceedings, High Court Rulings, etc., of interest to the Revenue Department could be incorporated?
- 28. Do you think it would conduce to efficiency and ultimate economy in stationery, etc., if greater discretion was allowed to Collectors to print forms, circulars, etc., locally in urgent cases?
- 29. Do you think it desirable to give special training to the Record Keepers of Revenue Department Offices in the interests of efficiency and better up-keep of record rooms?
- 30. Do you think it would conduce to efficiency if Government Orders and Board's Proceedings not incorporated in the departmental Codes, Manuals and Standing Orders, were compiled in book form and issued periodically with a view to enable officers to know the up to date position on any matter, and also with a view to do away with the necessity for the stock files for earlier periods?
- 31. Do you think there should be a more frequent periodical revision and re-issue of departmental Standing Orders, Codes and Manuals and also a more liberal supply of these to Government Offices? Is it desirable to allow Government servants to purchase these books at concessional rates in order to induce every member of the establishment to buy a copy for himself and thereby make himself conversant with the rules?
- 32. Are indents of stationery, forms, etc., personally checked by the head of the offices, and are these indents fully complied with? How do you watch the matter and what action do you take to secure full compliance of the indents?
- 33. Do you think it would lead to efficiency if there was a drive to make Government offices look smarter, e.g., by growing a garden and if so, what practical steps would you suggest?

#### APPENDIX IV.

#### (Vide paragraph 7 of the Report.)

LIST OF ASSOCIATIONS AND PERSONS FROM WHOM MEMORANDA WERE RECEIVED.

#### I. Associations.

- 1 General Secretary, Non-Gazetted Government Officers' Association, 31, Neeliveerasami Chetti street, Triplicane, Madras.
- \*2 General Secretary, the Madras Ministerial Service Association (Central), Madurai.
  - 3 General Secretary, the Palghat Taluk Kisan Panchayat, Kalpathy Post Office, Palghat, Malabar.
  - 4 Honorary Secretary, the Sivaganga Welfare Committee, Ramanathapuram district.
  - 5 President, Non-Gazetted Officers' Association, South Arcot.
- 6 Secretary, District Village Officers' Conference, North Arcot,
- \*7 Secretary, Non-Gazetted Officers' Association, Mudukulathur, Ramanathapuram.

#### LIST OF ASSOCIATIONS AND PERSONS FROM WHOM MEMORANDA WERE RECEIVED-cont.

II. Persons.

8 Sri M. P. Kini, B.A., B.L., Vakil, Sunny Seat, Pentlandpeta, Mangalore, South Kanara.

9 Sri S. Kulandaivelu Pillai, Mirasdar, Vellala Street, Manapparai,

Tiruchirappalli.

10 Sri R. Mahalinga Ayyar, M.A., Advocate, Madurai.

- 11 Manager, Kannivadi Bank, Limited, North Car Street, Dindigul, Madurai.
- \*12 Sri M. Manikkam, Secretary, Karnam's Association, Vadukacheri Post, Tanjore district.

13 Sri Muthu Chidambaram, Revenue Department Pensoiner, 25/5, Ramaswami Chetti Street Colony, Kitchipalayam, Salem town.

14 Sri S. Nagaraja Maniagar, M.A., Member, A.I.C.C., Kaveri-

patnam, Salem district.
15 Sri R. G. Nallakuttalam Pillai, Editor, The Country Herald', Rajapalayam, Ramanathapuram district.

16 Sri P. Narayanan Nair, B.A., c/o P. Chandra Sekharan Nair, Teacher, The Rajah's High School, Nileshwar Post Office, South Kanara.

\*17 Sri G. Natarajan, B.A., B.L., Member, Indian Central Tobacco Committee, Palladam, Coimbatore.

18 Sri G. Ramakrishnaiah, Landlord, Varadarajapuram, Ambattur, Chingleput.

\*19 Sri Ramanatha Ayyar, B.A., M.L., Honorary Secretary, Bar Asso-

ciation, Chingleput. 20 Sri R. V. Ramaswamy, B.A., B.L., Advocate, 3, Rajagopalachariar Road, Extension, Salem.

21 Sri K. Ramaswamy Naidu, 1506, Kappiliar Street, Periakulam, Madurai.

22 Sri V. Ramaswamy Naidu, Kappiliar Street, Keelavadagarai, Periakulam, Madurai.

23 Sri K. Ramavarma Raja, I.A.S. (Retd.), Kuttipuram, Malabar.

24 Sri G. N. Ranganathan, Krishna Vihar, Vani Vilasa Mohalla P.O., Mysore.

25 Sri M. Rangaswamy, Member, Sarvodaya Samaj (Wardha), Hozur, Salem.

26 Sri A. Ratnasabapathy, Advocate, Pattukkottai, Tanjore.

27 Sri K. Sasisekhara Iyer, Manager, Kannivadi Zamindari, Dindigul, Madurai.

\*28 Sri S. O. Sethuraman, Agent, Indo-Commercial Bank, Limited, Tanjore.

29 Sri M. Shiva Prasada Rao, Ujri Post and village, South Kanara.

30 Sri A. Srinivasa Ayyar; Pundarika: Tank North Street, Nagapattinam, Tanjore.

31 Sri R. Srinivasan, Secretary, Villupuram Taluk Village Officers' Association, 109, Napalayam Street, Villupuram, South Arcot.

\*32 Sri T. S. Subramania Mudaliar, President, Non-Gazetted Officers' Association, Karur, Tiruchirappalli.

33 Sri K. Subramaniam, Sirkali, Tanjore.

34 Sri P. Subramaniam, Room No. 4, Mangammal Chatram, Madurai.

35 Sri S. Tirukkaivel, B.A., Head Clerk, Divisional Office, Ariyalur, Tiruchirappalll.

36 Sri H. Vasudeva Singh, 71, Poonamallee High Road, Arumbakkam, Madras.

N.B.—Asterisks indicate the Associations and persons given a hearing by the Committee.

## APPENDIX V. (Vide paragraph 16 of the Report.)

Statement showing the number of disciplinary cases against Village Officers disposed of by Revenue Divisional Officers during the years 1951 to 1953, the number of cases taken up on appeal and the percentage of reversal in appeal.

District.		ciplina agains officers of by I Divis	or of dis- ry cases. t village disposed devenue sional icers.	Number which came up in appeal or revision.	Percentage of cases that went up in appeal.	Number in which both finding and punishment were confirmed.	Number of which finding was accepted but punishment was modified.	Number in which) finding was reversed.	Percentage of reversal in appeal.
(1)		•	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Magras				No Revenue	Divisional Off	icers.	,		
Chingleput	•	1951 1952 1953	109 105 165	37 36 16	33 34 9	23 30 7	5 3 8	9 3 1	24 8 6
North Arcot	• 94	1951 1952 1953	436 412 355	50 26 39	11 6 10	24 9 20	7 4 12	4 3 5	8 12 13
South Arcot	•	1951 1952 1953	130 279 351	45 68 84	34 24 23	25 36 50	16 27 29	4 5 5	9 7 6
Tanjore	• ••	1951 1952 1953	644 297 154	31 61 43	4 20 28	27 34 28	3 17 13	1 10 2	3 16 5
Tiruchirappalli .	• 1	1951 1952 1953	290 259 276	35 29. 59.	11 11 21	12 6 28	5 7 21	18* 16* 10*	51 55 17

	Madurai		• •	1951	171	27	15	11	9	7 •	26
				1952	177	, 23	13	8		i .	24
	•			1953	103	32	13 31	4	14 : 8	18 *	56
					.2	1	1		,	,	
	Ramanathan	ouram		1951	<b>3</b> 05	86	28	37	41	. <b>8</b> .	9
				1952	277	49:	17	20	$\tilde{12}$	17	35
				1953	182	52	28	23	7	22	42
ب								,	•		42
ᅜ	Tirunelveli			1951	171	23	13	13	3	7	30
Þ	·			1952	210	31	15	16	5	10	30
in				1953	181	42	23	22	10	10	32
				2000	101	74	2,0		10	10	24
E.C.	Salem			1951	<b>257</b>	52	20	27	11	•	
	Daion	• •		1952	130	42	32	15	11	14	27
≤				1953	170			. 19	17	10	24
TOT.				1903	170	44	25	26	10	8	18
	Coimbatore			1951	74	11	15	` <b>~</b>	3		_
Ħ	Compagnio	••		1952	136	14	3	<b>.</b>	3	. <b>1</b>	9
				1953	126	25			• 7	7	• •
2				1803	120	25)	20	11	7	. 7	28
	The Nilgiris					No Paranu	e Divisional Off	laana			
	THE MIRE	••	••			MO TABABIT	e Divisionar On	icers.			
	Malabar		••	1951	63	9	14	9		,	
	Dialabai	••	••	1952	37	13	35	å	• 5	• •	::
				1953	66	9	13	4	9	2	15
				1803	D <b>e</b>	Ą	13	4	5	4.	••
	South Kanas	ra.		1951	37	3	3	2		1	33
				1952	55	ğ	14	<b>~</b>	3	•	
				1953	89	13	14	7	3 0	<u>.</u>	13
				1000	00	1,3	Υ·#	4	3	ь	38

Numbers include cases set aside and remanded for fresh enquiry.

#### APPENDIX VI-A.

#### (Vide paragraph 18 of the report.)

Revenue business return figures of Collectors' offices.

					20	occorrace.	Ougun	000 700	will jug	w, 00 V	, 000.00	,,,,,,	Theore .						
Name o	Name of the district.				Numbe	of curr disposal		Num	ber dispo	sed of.	;	Balance.		Pendi	ng for ove	er six	Pendir	g for ove	ar one
					1951,	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.
	(1)				(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	[(16)
Medras	••	••	••	. I	16,625 15,785	16,811 15,590	18,992 17,490	13,685 12,395	13,460 12,581	12,826 14,983	2,940 3,390	3,351 3,009	1,166 2,507	532 571	700 507	412 827	829 896	554 602	409 217
Chingleput	••	••	••	Ĭ	18,645 17,415	15,672 14,913	15,890 14,431	16,650 15,456	14,075 13,165	14,046 12,864	1,995 1,959	1,597 1,748	1,844 1,567	811 876	845 284	195 211	260 285	341 321	258 188
North Arcot	••	••	••	I	17,666 16,805	17,186 18,018	17,335 16,937	15,366 14,257	14,358 15,424	15,187 14,741	2,300 2,548	2,828 2,594	2,148 2,196	843 475	539 452	409 857	843 295	519 589	424 852
South Arcot	••	••	••	I	26,364 22,203	22,176 19,603	20,178 17,799	24,342 20,172	19,985 17,649	18,274 15,958	2,022 2,031	2,191 1,954	1,904 1,841	254 319	400 823	278 252	66 83	127 194	224 194
Tanjore	••	••	••	I	19,887 19,126	20,487 20,423	25,046 25,071	18,476 17,458	19,112 18,880	23,677 24,004	1,411 1,668	1,375 1,543	1,369 1,067	135 205	172 131	127 70	25 49	51 36	21 21
Tiruchirappalli	••	••	••	II	18,255 17,887	17,618 17,094	19,018 19,919	16,262 16,373	16,233 15,840	17,386 18,470	1,993 1,514	1,385 1,254	1,627 1,449	839 266	276 213	241 178	260 245	288 191	201 132
Madurai	••	•••	••	r. ·	17,618 20,651	17,853 18,051	19,845 20,130	15,165 18,219	15,121 15,932	17,151 16,492	2,453 2,432	2,732 2,119	2,694 3,638	840 882	414 272	815 601	227 236	315 166	145 138
Ramanathapura	m	••	••	I	15,721 17,118	15,237 15,532	17,201 17,016	12,683 14,456	12,643 13,201	14,436 14,105	3,038 2,662	2,594 2,331	2,765 2,911	738 570	87 <b>7</b> 352	424 449	200 486	-506 411	42 <sub>3</sub> 44 <sub>6</sub>
Tirunelvell	**	•• ,	••	I II	15,497 16,771	15,221 14,891	13,366 14,221	12,894 15,747	13,902 13,714	11,313 12,309	2,603 1,024	1,819 1,177	2,053 1,912	464 575	125 319	255 440	280 877	87 163	136 195
Salem	••	••	••	11	18,270 22,329	19,016 20,431	20,024 19,105	15,191 17,850	14,726 16,945	17,419 17,424	8,079 4,479	4,290 8,486	2,605 1,681	430 1,083	1,086 628	403 656	161 454	517 789	484 705
Coimbatore	••	••.	••	1	18,439 16,967	16,877 16,948	19,639 26,539	15,844 14,054	12,284 13,196	16,131 23,312	3,095 2,913	4,093 8,752	8,508 8,227	453 546	67 <b>7</b> 678	479 477	248 294	490 639	443 878
The Nilgiris	••	••	••	ц	9,434 9,428	8,850 10,883	8,156 8,442	8,003 8,558	7,304 9,410	7.138 7,529	1,431 870	1,046 973	1,018 913	200 118	166 139	138 127	125 94	98 141	144 144
Malabar	••	••.	••	ı I	19,228 16,935	17,949 18,579	23,461 21,818	17,825 14,994	15,912 16,454	21,434 19,840	1,903 1,941	2,037 2,125	2,027 1,978	841 867	859 819	810 326	180 226	288 261	204 185
South Kanara	••	••	••	I	19,696 18,702	18,777 16,726	18,062 15,460	17,910 17,049	16,954 14,732	16,204 14,280	1,786 1,653	1,823 1,994	1,858 1,180	188 208	201 821	249 147	88 102	108 103	133 86

Figures taken from Collectors' answers to the questionnaire and from Board's Reviews on Revenue business returns.

II = Second half-year.

I = First half-year.

#### (Vide paragraph 18 of the report.)

Revenue business return figures of Divisional offices.

District and name of division.				ber of our or disposa		Num	ber dispos	sed of.	. В	alance.	:	Pend	ing for ov months.	rer six	Pending	for over	one		
District and	пяше	Or GIV			1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1958.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.
Chingleput-	(1)	••	•	••	(2)	(3)	<b>(4</b> )	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(Q)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(18)	(14)	15)	(16)
Chingleput Chingleput	•••	٠٠	••	ı.I	8,769 8,951	4,196 5,578	4,571 5,005	3,164 3,093	3,245 4,887	3,895 4,820	605 858	951 691	676 685	•• .	••	••.	••.	••.	••.
Tiruvallur	••••	••	••	ıı	4,216 8,802	4,378 8,090	4,598 4,081	3,515 3,359	4,040 2,729	4,836 3,795	701 443	338 362	262 286	••	••	••	••	••	••
North Arcot— Tiruvannamala Tiruppattur * Ranipet *	i •	••	::	*:	12,003 11,656 11,724	11,572 10,507 12,383	11,621 10,090 11,473	11,556 11,029 11,070	11,160 10,218 11,804	11,364 9,788 10,976	447 627 654	412 289 579	257 302 497	86 118 61	50 47 121	43 86 82	26 50 83	47 51 66	16 42 40
South Arcot-	••																		
Tindivanam	٠٠.,	•• .	••	$\overset{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{u}}.$	6,496	6,549	6,047	7,830 5,944	7,032 · 6,096	7,120 5,604	498 552	576 453	งช <b>ษ</b> <del>44</del> 8	••	••	••.	••	•••	••.
Tirukkoyilur	••	•• .		. n	9,182 7,455	10,927 8,392	12,973 8,103	7,841 6,714	10,084 7,840	12, <b>332</b> 7,500	1,341 741	843 552	641 603	•	••	::	,	•	
Chidambaram Tanjore—	··	••	••	п	6,646 7,070	8,288 7,482	8,968 <b>6,</b> 805	5,997 6,001	7,776 6,993	8,519 6,878	649 1,069	512 489	449 427	8 a	••	••	**	••	1 d
Kumbakonam	فو		`••	п Т	4,903 4,611	4,551 5,652	5,725 4,679	4,575 4,321	4,210 5,171	5,817 4,457	328 290	841 481	408 222			••	••	••	••
May	••	••	••	I	4,361 8,786	5,225 4,872	5,022 8,935	4,237 3,550	4,993 4,646	4,818 8,775	124 186	23 <b>2</b> 226	204 160	• • · · ·	•••		••	•••	, . : 2
Pattukkottal	••	••	••	I	4,452 4,545	5,508 5,828	<b>5,6</b> 50 <b>6,89</b> 8	4,274 4.398	5,384 5,167	5,573 6,320	178 147	124 161	7 <b>7</b> 78	10		::	::	••	) • ) •,
Mannargudi	••	••	••	ij	4,280 <b>3,</b> 947	4,636 4,954	6,077 4,751	4,061 8,714	4,496 4,790	5,897 4,627	219 233	200 164	180 124	••	;:	::	**	::	**
Nagapattinam	••	••	••	ij	4,440 8,709	8,907 8,806	4,642 4,619				87 79	187 165	166 282	••	••	••	**	••	) • ) •
						1	= First	half-reas	1	* Furnish	ed only y	early figt	ires.	п	- Secon	d half-ye	ar.		

I = First half-year,

APPENDICES

#### (Vide paragraph 18 of the report)—cont.

#### Revenue business return figures of Divisional offices—cont.

	N	nmber of cu		Numb	er dispos	ed of.	1	Balance.			g for over	r six	Pendi	ng for ove	er one
District and name of division.	195	1. 1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1958.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.
(1)	(2	(8)	(4)	(5)	(6)	.(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16) .
Tiruchirappalli— Tiruchirappalli	7,		10,057	7,112	8,047	9,047	595	1,007	1,010	••	••	 ••	••	••	•
Karuf	I 7.4		6,599 5,618	7,051 6,215	6,270 6,711	6,337 5,232	440 414	244 839	262 886	64 85	55 15	42 84	36 45	17 12	15 15
Musiri	I 4,	5,127 88 4,880	6,292 4,991	4,145	4,811 4,542	5,814 4,628	443	316 838	478 . 863	46	40 45	84 46	 16	18 21	83 85
Ariyalur		36 4,762 376 5,815	4,934 6,210	5,097 4,892	4,474 5,578	4,485 5,716	239 284	288 242	449 494		:::	:::	:	· · ·	::
Pudukkottai	I 7,	772 <b>6,68</b> 7		7,018 6,160	5,907 6,827	7,717 6.119	754 802	780 783	1,114 758	33 63	79 64	116 65	17	23 21	82 26
Madurai Madurai	I 5	684 5,096 406 6,271	6,147 4,751	5,332 4,009	4,644 5,768	5,650 4,080	852 897	446 508	497 671	:	• • •		:		•
Usilampatti •	10,	9,618	12,096	9,990	9,214	11,514	401	899	582	10	••40	88	**53	17	'11
Dindigul •	15,	192 18,044	12,049	14,694	12,501	11,261	498	543	788	::··	;;	<b>::</b>	•••		; <b>;.</b>
Bamanathapuram—															
Ramanathapuram		268 <b>3,7</b> 8' 840 <b>8,</b> 931		8,841 8,441	8,419 8,557	8,561 8,147	427 899	868 882	815 288	*	••	•	::		::
Sivakasi		104 4,838 340 5,72		6,774 6,068	4,570 5,514	5,255 5,976	830 277	268 207	260 278	, .		::	••	4.6	••
Devakkottai	I 4	536 4,53 810 4,23		4,257 4,625	4,418 4,054	8,857 8,754	279 185	113 183	157 °	••	••	••	••	••	••
Tirunelveli— Cheranmahadevi •	9	,052 9,20 877 11,141 794 7,16	12,094	8,418 9,063 7,017	8,327 10,431 6,144	8,580 11,505 6,481	634 814 777	877 710 1,020	778 589 775	••	••	•••	••	••	••

Salem— Hosur • Salem • Namakkai •	••	••	•	••	12,140 12,254 14,086	16,701 16,181 14,010	22,789 18,265 21,403	10,746 11,082 12,833	14,902 14,928 12,140	20,960 17,088 20,218	1,894 1,172 1,758	1,799 1,253 1,870	1,829 1,177 1,185	••	••	••	••	100	500 000 000
Colmbatore—Pollachi * Gobichettipala Erode *	yam •		••	••	11,867 11,548	12,163 11,444	11,662 11,699 11,533	11,378 11,053	11,886 11,000	10,974 11,265 11,250	489 495	277	688 434 283	••	••		••	•••	••
Malabar— Tellicherry	••		••	n I	5,143 <b>4,</b> 837	4,596 <b>5,116</b>	5,068 5,814	4,721 4,107	4,021 4,631	4,666 4,908	422 730	575 485	897 406	95 116	94 41	35 56	37 58	79 69	89 21
Kozhikode	••	••	••	Ï	8,773 4,058	5,211 3,954	4,351 5,098	8,207 8,218	4,673 8,508	8,993 4,799	466 840	538 <b>44</b> 6	858 294	77 78	77 71	60 43	77 72	78 77	64 50
Palghat .	••	••	5 E	Ï.	4,043 3,821	4,448 5,108	4,740 4,914	3,621 8,113	3,948 <b>4,</b> 660	4,814 4,529	422 708	500 448	426 885	93 98	104 61	75 47	99 113	76 85	89 68
Malappuram •	••	••	••	••	6,418	8,217	8,768	6,169	8,027	8,626	249	190	142	86	5	10	85	10	7
South Kanara-				. •															
Coondapur	••	•••	••	ï	4,817 8,885	4,638 6,622	5,339 6,163	4,183 8,703	4,533 6,186	5,249 5,96 <b>4</b>	134 182	105 436	199	• •	• •	1	••	••	••
Puthur •				••	<b>5,</b> 85 <b>3</b>	7,258	10,149	5,783	6,984	9.880	130	274	269	12	16	17	9	11	5

\* Furnished only yearly figures.

I = First half-year.

II = Second half-year.

APPENDICES

#### (Vide paragraph 18 of the Report.)

#### Revenue business return figures of Taluk offices.

										•	•	•						
Name of district as	Name of district and taluk.				ber of cur r disposa		Num	ber dispo	sed of	1	Balance.			ng for ove	er six	Pendir	g for ove	r one
				1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.	1951.	1952.	1953.
(1)				(2)	(8)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
Madras— Taluk Office, North I Taluk Office, North I Taluk Office, South I Taluk Office, South I	Π΄	••	••	9,101 9,646 8,959 7,617	10,077 11,607 7,389 7,175	10,880 10,435 6,334 8,027	7,164 7,649 7,764 6,186	8,168 9,455 6,088 6,032	8,986 8,704 5,405 6,484	1,937 1,997 1,195 1,431	1,909 2,152 1,301 1,143	1,894 1,731 929 1,543	817 855 202 272	271 281 290 199	546 700 144 143	82 167 96 139	322 315 125 109	195 158 100 136
Chingleput—Chingleput Madurantakam Kancheepuram Saidapet Tiruvallur Sriperumbudur Ponneri	•••	••	••	15,730 13,410 20,536 21,245 17,296 10,786 16,918	15,153 13,601 15,597 15,746 14,347 12,777 13,044	15,367 14,029 18,230 15,676 15,395 11,477 13,325	13,878 10,836 18,395 18,284 13,381 9,477 12,556	12,105 11,876 13,878 12,976 11,337 11,547 9,855	11,723 10,573 17,803 13,978 12,236 10,306 10,858	1,852 2,574 2,141 2,961 8,915 1,309 4,862	3,048 1,725 1,719 2,770 3,010 1,230 3,189	8,644 8,456 927 1,698 8,159 1,171 2,467	62 143 203 842 432 245 733	868 166 196 419 897 156 856	270 594 107 193 559 93 189	52 42 147 161 214 117 503	167 70 44 186 182 56 266	183 892 43 116 156 68 118
North Arcot Arni Arkonam Chengam Cheyyar Gudiyattam Polur Tiruvannamalai Tiruppattur Vellore Wallajah Wandiwash	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			3,587 19,119 87,702 13,885 26,116 22,162 21,072 13,641 24,928 25,446 24,717	4,803 18,516 20,459 24,010 24,781 18,707 26,851 13,740 22,398 24,394 22,014	6,033 27,843 35,119 24,909 28,270 18,555 23,283 17,846 29,860 21,928	3,297 15,480 80,858 12,109 19,876 20,686 19,303 11,933 12,473 20,507 22,478	4,161 15,630 18,317 22,047 20,377 17,663 25,627 12,685 20,651 21,785 20,553	4,225 24,181 83,784 23,035 26,277 16,286 20,217 16,239 27,672 22,069 19,597	290 8,639 6,844 1,776 6,240 1,769 1,769 2,455 4,939 1,739	639 2,886 2,142 1,963 4,404 1,044 1,055 1,747 2,609 1,461	1,808 8,662 1,335 1,874 1,993 2,289 3,066 1,607 1,807 1,791 2,831	25 400 196 162 243 115 247 218 231 463 297	79 407 202 164 815 128 218 187 186 267 261	282 516 203 147 179 106 168 400 190 234	28 853 281 161 296 839 235 181 581 245	92 447 203 119 173 142 68 99 131 149	87 533 185 75 169 168 286 95 177 124 63
South Arcot— Villupuram Kallakurichi I Kallakurichi II Cuddalore I Cuddalore II Chidambaram Vriddhachalam	••	••	••	22,986 11,355 18,265 8,803 10,532 24,835 24,331	23,592 16,289 16,665 11,241 11,412 27,208 21,256	24,759 18,373 10,038 10,503 80,019 20,554	20,091 9,181 11,494 7,475 9,309 20,275 19,937	20,342 13,790 13,773 9,999 8,623 23,481 17,597	20,732 15,794 8,173 8,779 26,235 17,183	2,895 2,174 6,771 1,328 1,223 4,560 4,394	3,250 2,499 2,892 1,242 2,789 8,727 3,659	4,027 2,579 1,865 1,724 3,784 8,871	842 1,932 167 146 265 937	529 1,015 184 295 278 232 628	582 824 206 201 703	97 600 105 71 160 718	195 566 288 77 186 89 269	277  141 152 84 418

APPENDICE
8
. ·

Tirukkoyilur Gingee	23,06	<b>5 20,553 20,0</b> 0	\$ 21,373 18,762	19,039 17,259	18,045 15.085	1,692	1,514	1,061	190 219	129 274	181 169	66 172	77 252	44 169	
Tindivanam I	15,52 17,14		12,630	13,324 7,632	11,603 9,720	2,892 5,118	1,931 4,60 <b>3</b>	1,880 <b>3</b> ,141	496 1.825	406 546	242 280	57 92	245 155	156	
	17,14	. 12,200 12,00	12,020	7,002	<b>0,</b> 120	0,110	4,000	0,141	1,020	540	200	74	155	156	
Tanjore	22,37	6 21,999 23,18		19,312	20,540	2,645	2,687	2,643	75	47	92	29	89	26	
Papanasam Kumbakonam	11,78 15,64	1 13,971 15,72	3 14,602	11,614 12,970	15,933 14,314	1,039	1,153 1,001	960 1,414	57 42	<b>62</b> 80	17 103	11 12	20 8	7 5	
Mayuram Sirkali	19,88 11.20			20,868 11,868	20,937 11,243	2,420 898	2,466 746	1,414 619	82 14	68 10	47 10	18	14 2	8 1	
Nannilam Nagapattinam	11,31 8,99	4 15,177 17,67	2 10,207 8,744	14,757 18,568	17,220 15,284	1,107 253	420 806	452 614	2 15	_6 29	14 52	*		18	
Tiruturaipundi	19,85 12,51	1 19,936 18,76	18,242	18,35 <b>5</b> 11.752	17,882 7,702	1,609 1,294	1,581 1.303	883 795	98 133	174 61	75 872	24 44	88 29	29	
Mannargudi Pattukkottai	17,36	15,205 18,68	16,343	14,571	17,818	1,026	634	863	80	8	9	- 9	1	88 5	
Arantangi	7,710	8 11,791 9,87	6,817	11,543	9,880	899	248	295	105	5	18	14	•	• •,	
Tiruchirappalli— Kulittalai	18.64	<b>5 22,862 20,2</b> 0	3 15.595	20,440	18.304	8.050	2.422	1,904	811	121	161	88	75	139	≥
Manapparal sub-taluk I		. 1.470 1.86		1,880	1,197		90	169	20 20	<b>7</b>	28	••	2	8	APPENDICES
Manapparai sub-taluk II Karur	1,20 24,33	<b>4 2</b> 6,945 <b>2</b> 7,84	19,515	1,63 <b>4</b> 20,97 <b>7</b>	1,446 22,353	165 4,819	139 5,968	105 <b>5,</b> 49 <b>6</b>	144	12 218	9 249	6 143	8 155	.7 69	
Musiri Deputy Tahsildar, Turaiyur	21,47			22,061 <b>-</b> 10.026	20,260 10.789	2,364 221	1,730 241	1,833 1,698	156 84	166 156	227 50	112 82	146. 72	107 57	וַל
Lalgudi	28,66	8 27,608 34,36	7 23,015	18,961 29,210	26,595 86,844	5,653 2,984	8,647 8,842	7,772 8,723	849 98	336 121	861 231	114 47	219 117	174 193	엹
Jayankondan	27,69	4 27,112 83,17	5 21,490	19,646	20,543	6.204	7,466	6.632	833 80	194	842	190	178	201	6
Deputy Tahsildar, Ariyalur Tiruchirappalii	14,889 18,24	4 17,423 23,02	3	11,324	13,529	8,293	5,851	8,954	• •	80	68	8	43	83	
Kulathur Alangudi I	19,72			19,456	21,211	1,621	6,025	4,812	84	59	63	22	27	53	
Alangudi II	•• .	E 194		• •	5,155 2,568			\$96 210	• •	••	25 14		••	iò	
Pudukkoddai (D.T.) II	26,63	2.004 2.90		1,795 <b>2</b> 0,93 <b>6</b>	2,734 20,111	8.508	209 <b>6.405</b>	171 5,849	102	16 891	11 210	88	49	1 2 40	
irumayam	** 20,03	2 21,012 20,50	J 20,120	20,830	20,111		0,200	0,038	102	081	210	,		40	
Madurai— Periyakulam	17,78	1 17.921 18.76	5 16,197	14.948	15.541	1.584	2,973	8.224	194	200	814	40	71	79	
Usilampatti (D.T.)	10.31	4 8,195 6,98	4 9,862	7,651	6.158	952 1,670	544 1,668	. 831 2,245	101 262	22 193	23 295	51 99	18 188	15 185	
Uthamapalayam (D.T.)	12,38	1 10,687 10,85	3 10,728	14,308 9,035	16,285 8,482	1,653	1,652	1,871	62	160	162	58	24	46	
Tirumangalam I Tirumangalam II	8,00		2 7,008	6,609 7,262	5,982 6,568	993	1,362 1,167	976 1,404	141	135 81	76 89	24	87 <b>43</b>	29 27	
Madurai (D.T.) I Madurai (D.T.) II	2,14 1,87	4 1.10	. 2,070 Q 1,15 <b>6</b>	1,957	868	78 <b>2</b> 18	539	237	. <b>2</b> . <b>4</b>	6	ż	4 2	••	'i	
Nilakkottal I	••	. 8,928		2,630 18,743	13.951	1,698	1,298 1,587	2.025	192	167 157	287	120	79 132	155	
Kodaikanai (D.T.)	8,7	50 7,706 7,7	6,198	7,384	7,076	. 557	322 968	709	86	63	62	69	57	72	<b>19</b>
Pam	10,85	54 9,588 11,3	8 8,993	8,620	10,039	1,861	908	1,299	••	••	••	••	••	••	

#### APPENDIX VI-C-cont.

(Vide paragraph 18 of the Report)—cont.

Revenue business return figures of Taluk offices—cont.

Name of district and taluk.	••		of currents	Num	per dispos	ed of.		Balance.		Pen	ling over months.	six ;	Pendi	ng over o year,	one .
(1)	::	4	52, 1953. (3) (4)	1951. (5)	1952. (6)	1953. (7)	1951. (8)	1952. (9)	1953. (10)	1951. (11)	1952. (12)	1958, (13)	1951. (14)	1952. (15)	1953. (16)
Ramanathapuram— Srivilliputtur Sattur Aruppukottal Sivaganga Tiruppattur Tiruvadanal Ramanathapuram Paramakudi Mudukulathur		19,400 15, 8,118 8, 5,408 6, 7,620 12, 9,920 8, 9,259 7, 9,696 8	,717 20,632 ,872 17,248 ,714 9,212 ,110 11,030 ,440 10,603 ,968 -7,010 ,529 6,363 ,428 7,700 ,822 17,664	18,969 16,116 7,510 8,271 7,171 9,490 8,259 8,516 17,802	10,909 13,148 7,724 3,276 12,180 8,556 6,587 7,821 17,701	17,299 13,186 8,218 8,273 10,357 6,301 6,015 7,116 17,401	1,442 8,284 608 2,137 449 430 1,000 1,180	1,808 2,724 990 2,834 260 412 942 607 121	8,840 4,062 994 7,757 246 709 848 584 263	159 210 94 82 22 164 109	165 181 138 64 80 91 73	881 859 47 40 25 273 60 47	72 87. 45 47. 11 91 43 24	65 80 19 28 4 58 27	838 74 ,9 84 ,6 92 37 50 25
Tirunelveli— Tirunelveli Sankarankoli Tenkasi Ambasamudram Nanguneri Tiruchendur Srivaikuntam— Koilpatti		15,760 16 13,243 13 15,600 14 15,332 15 15,206 15 15,580 15	,560 80,118 ,618 21,779 ,678 13,142 ,768 15,916 ,257 12,992 ,321 12,512 ,741 16,088 ,025 14,025	21,247 11,750 10,271 13,743 9,939 12,490 14,216 10,871	20,926 12,764 11,165 14,011 12,816 13,017 14,021 10,871	27,325 15,846 11,453 15,067 10,747 40,428 13,451 10,371	3,687 4,010 2,972 1,857 5,893 2,716 1,864 8,654	1,634 8,854 2,513 757 2,941 2,304 1,720 8,654	2,793 5,933 1,689 849 2,245 2,089 2,637 3,654				0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	*** *** *** *** ***	
Salem— Attur Dharmapuri Hosur Yercaud Omalur Harur Namakkal Rasipuram taluk Salem Tiruchengode Krishnagiri	**************************************	17,620 17 80,380 29 26,190 25 21,845 28 15,407 20 16,489 16 10,694 10	,001 87,180 7,302 16,834 9,510 68,861 5,164 5,887 9,256 16,537 1,730 21,185 5,634 15,293 1,730 23,576 0,528 7,277 0,074 20,206	16,350 21,925 23,645 20,026 13,272 13,318 8,859	28,704 15,853 23,243 4,917 22,343 23,930 18,066 13,561 22,262 9,053 16,205	82,726 15,600 55,550 14,271 20,640 19,242 13,832 21,918 6,189 17,315	8,064 1,270 8,455 2,545 1,819 2,135 2,581 2,835 2,487	2,297 1,419 6,267 2,913 4,595 2,664 2,138 1,717 1,475 8,869	4,459 1,234 13,302 867 2,266 1,452 1,943 1,461 1,657 1,088 2,890	552 114 204 388 161 297 253 191 497	408 121 195 35 501 101 893 214 226 210 843	1,019 170 277 32 534 162 834 175 852 211	351 56 157 260 127 140 353 250 402	428 109 108 483 102 847 479 256 298 897	669 135 185 87 849 80 251 841 257 217
Colmbatore— Avanashi Bhavani Colmbatore Dharapuram	•	15,754 18 13,616 11	5,694 14,800 8,607 18,635 1,854 14,062 0,627 24,227	14,044	12,757 11,848 8,729 18,121	12,000 15,632 7,785 22,555	6,064 1,710 2,880 1,082	2,937 1,759 8,125 2,506	2,800 \$,003 6,277 1,672	185 81 157 109	808 88 299 199	855 167 872 243	71 79 292 54	151 90 402 88	185 78 487 202

Erode Gobichettipalalyan Kollegal Pollachi Tiruppur Udamalpet	 	••	 	20,723 15,523 21,005 13,210 14,382 8,326	20,904 17,130 20,426 12,737 12,695 6,892	28,640 21,024 82,220 13,157 16,039 9,631	15,930 10,114 13,180 7,278	16,452 10,763 11,049 5,932	23,464 18,931 9,639 15,284 8,454	4,793 Q 8,096 1,202 1,048	4,452  1,974 1,646 960	5,176 2,098 6,518 755 1,177	.436 .568 c <sup>125</sup>	455 263 199	456 893 827 195	388 . 188 . 76	. 545  148 84	480 876 171 140
Gudalur Man	• .	••	 •••	7,382 8,243 10,356	10,815 8,155 9,753		6,462 6,731 9,753	9,252 7,230 8,860	10,721 8,258 8,388	920 1,512 603	1,063 925 893	772 966 672	160 203 102	108 185 .81	66, 98 168	63 114 44	51 77 88	85 74 40
T/ attaway	•	  		14,590 16,454 13,803 19,628 16,469 10,342 12,\$92 16,935 16,647	22,779 16,279 13,285 20,132 14,413 11,537 12,817 17,896 20,271	21,499 15,168 14,041 20,167 15,778 12,094 12,790 16,403 19,878	13,625 15,732 9,930 18,791 15,509 9,646 \$1,579 15,098 15,825	19,579 14,609 10,866 19,229 13,972 9,997 11,790 16,787 16,669	16,211 14,101 12,849 19,467 15,568 10,444 10,394 15,833 16,475	965-722 8,873-8-837-960-9 696-813-6 1,837-1,322	8,200 1,670 2,919 903 441 1,540 1,027 1,109 8,602	5,288 1,067 2,192 700 210 1,650 2,396 1,070 8,403	146 230 1888 71 195 98 9 134	360 201 158 89 28 241 29 806	1,006 149 49 123 68 144 95 251	103 59 43 22 144 42 349 82	257 46 146 82 88 139 144 234	838 59 69 135 65 144 163 3866
Coondapoor II Udipl II Udipl II Karkal Mangalore Puthur Kasaragod Hoedrug I	(i)		***	6,935 6,790 8,651 8,279 9,322 21,365 25,892 25,029 8,168 7,466	4,627 7,146; 9,113 9,869 10,141 23,112 26,984 25,696	7,067 6,939 8,851 8,840 13,205 22,855 26,848 25,524 8,145 8,273	21,092 14,775 5,688 4,596	4,143. 6,849 6,889 (7,480 7,928 18,099 -22,310 -15,175	5,724 5,459 6,669 6,402) 9,841 17,746 22,087 14,350 5,606 5,227	1,177 1,595 ( 1,953 2,070 () 1,905 4,965 4,800 (10,254 2,480 2,870	484 1,297 2,224 1,889 2,213 5,013 4,674 10,521	1,843 1,480 2,182 2,488 8,364 5,109 4,761 11,174 2,539 8,046	269 250 281 (884 829 661 1,674 8,181 592 745	51 248 428 219) 440 1,001 1,884 2,137	253 259 517 412 412 1,089 1,089 1,954 843 477	229 805 252 892 892 881 1,017 2,589 415 446	21 252 463 404 631 686 1,418 3,930	236 225 898 604 766 988 1,781 4,143 428 907
					ť	=First h	ali-year.				II = Sec	ond half-y	rear.					

#### APPENDIX VII.

#### (Vide paragraph 26 of the report.)

Statement showing the total number of clerks and graduates among them allotted to the districts by the Madras Public Service Commission, Madras.

					1944.				1945.			1946.		1947.			
Serial number and district.			Bumber clerks	uates of	tage of nates	number of clerks bed.  r of makes bed.  sage of makes of clerks bed.  number clerks bed.  r of ustes.		tage of nates ted.	number clerks	tage of uster bed.							
•	•	••	::	••	Total Bu of	Number graduate allotted.	Percentage graduates	Total ni of allotted.	Number graduate allotted.	Percentage graduate allotted.	Total of allot	Number graduate allotted.	Percenta gradua allotte	Total nu of allotted.	Number graduate allotted	Percents gradu	
• •	(1)		••	•	(2)	(8)	(4)	(ŝ)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	
1 Madras	• •	• 1	• •	• •	88	7	8	47	4	4	86	ģ	. 6	62	8	13	
2 Chingleput	••	••	••	••	88	••	••	••	••	••	••	••		62	8	5	
8 North Arcot		• •	• • •	• • •	,		Not ave	ailable.			54	1	2	56	2	4	
4 South Arcot	•	• •	•••	•••	\$1	4	•	48	4	8	45	4	ŏ	45	1	2	
5 Tanjore	•	• •	• •	• •	;	Not available.	•	- 19	4	5	••	••	• •	105	2	2	
6 Tiruchirappalli	• • •	• •	••	• •		Not available.	٠	68	Ğ.	. 9	115	11	10	49	10	20	
7 Madurai	••	• •	.:	• •						Not fu	rnished.	•					
8 Ramanathapur	am,	••	••.	••.	45	7	16	23	8	13	22	5	23	82	2	6	
9 Tirunelveli	• ; .	• • •	• • •	••.	22	13	59	Ź	. 2	<b>2</b> 8	12	2	17	17	. 6	85	
10 Balem	••	••	••	••	86	8	8	107	2	2	49	1	2	24	8	13	
11 Coimbatore	•	• • •	• • .	• • •	46	8	7	••	••	••	55	6	11	27	, 5	19	
12 The Nilgiris	•.•.	••	• • .	• • •	8	:1	12	.14	•.•	••	2	••		2	••	••	
18 Malabar		•	• • •	••.	22	1	5	36	2	6	. 48	••	••	66	••	••	
14 South Kanara	••	••	••	••	10	1	10	20	••	••	80	••	••	26	••	••	

4
-
<b>P</b>
==
~
-
${f \cdot}$
_
$\mathbf{c}$
_
■
LO.
_

						1948.			1949.			1950.			1951.		
Serial nur	nber a	nd dist	trict.		Total number of clerks	Number of graduates allotted.	Percentage of graduates allotted.	Total number of clerks	umber of graduates allotted,	Percentage of graduates allotted.	Total number of clerks allotted.	Number of graduates allotted.	Ferontage of graduates allotted.	Total number of clerks allocted.	Number of graduates allotted.	Percentage of graduates allotted.	
		••	••		ရှိ (14)	Ž (15)	된 <b>교육</b> (16)	(17)	· 岩	문 <sup>즉</sup> (19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	
1 Madras	••			••	95	9	10	88	. 9	10.	55	5	9	40	2	5	
2 Chingleput	·: <b>.</b>	·: <b>.</b>	•••	••	••	••	••	13	••	••	<b>25</b> ·	••	••	25	••	••	
8 North Arcot			,4.4		57	•	. 16	56	. 2	4	40	8	<b>,</b> 8	40	••	••	
4 South Arcot	·: <b>.</b>	••	·	••	77	13	17	40	. 8	18	50	2		84	8	•	
5 Tanjore	 .••					••	••	49	4	8	••	••	••	127	. 6	5	
6 Tiruchirappalli	•••	·: <b>.</b>	·: <b>.</b>	••	70	16	23	26	1	4.5	64	7	11	66	8	12	
7 Madurai	••	••	••	••	68	17	10	19	5	<b>ź</b> 6	29	4	14	20	••	••	
8 Ramanathapure	ım	••	••	••	48	4	8	56	6	11	112	8	7	41	4	10	
9 Tirunelveli	••	: Ç.;:::	••	••	18	2	15	40	6	15	61	11.	18	4	••	••	
10 Salem	••	•••	••	••	58	£	14	84	7	ı 🖁	164	8	v <b>iš</b>	96	5	5	
11 Coimbatore	••	••	••	••	47	1	2	49	8	•	79	6	8	78	2	4	
2 The Nilgiris	••	. ••	••	••	17	1	Ģ	20	▼ ▼,	<b>5.</b>	12	2	17	. 8	••	••	
3 Malabar	••	••	••	••	47	· 1 ·	2	80	••	••	18	••	••	95	4	4	
14 South Kanara	••	**	••	••	85	2	2	<b>51</b> -		9.0	84	••	• • •	42	1	2	

•	ď	••	••	••	ž	ۍA	PPEŅDIX	VII-	-cont.	8?	••	••	<b>ś</b> 2	1	ε
Staten	rent	shor	 wing	 the tota	l number of clerk	s and	graduates a	 mong	themallo	tted to th	 e distric	 is by the .	Madras	Public	
•	•	••	•••	••	ı Se	rvice	Commission	ı, Ma	dras—co	nt. 13	2	17	0	••	••
		••	••	•	1952.		•		1953		e	2	19	54.	

	••	••	••	• •		<b>1952.</b>	ថិ		.1953.		e	1954.	
••	••	••	••	••	clerks	8	o e	number clerks	8	8	nber lerks	<i>i</i> te	` `-
Serial num	ber an	d distri	ct.	••	fer g	uster ted.	tage ted.	par fed.	red.	tage ted.	ing.	red. Bed.	ed:
		••	••	••	Total nu of allotted.	Number : 1 graduate allotted,	Percentage graduates allotted.	Total nu of c allotted.	Number :: graduates allotted.	Percentage graduates allotted.	Total number of 'clerks	Allotted, Number	Percentage graduate allotted;
		••	••	••	(26)	( <del>2</del> 7)	(28)	(29)	(06)	(31)	(32)		
1 Madras	.:	••		::	ارز	73.	••	7	71	14	<i>ii</i>	·	
2 Chingleput.	•••	***		. • • •	53.	4	8	103	3 <b>2</b>	2		Not furnished.	9
8 North Arcot	••	••	••	••	••	••	· ••	25	2	8	14	1	7
46 South Arcot		•••	•••	•••	11	13		••	13	••	22	••	3
5 Tanjore		•4.	٠.	٠	83	<sub>3</sub> 6 ·	18	60	70.0		169	8 .	. 5.
6 Tiruchirappalli	••	••	••	• •	11	<b>´2</b>	18	22	•	••	50	2	4
7 Madurai			<i>::</i>		40	••.	••	18	<sub>1</sub>	6	85		•
8 Ramanathapur	am,			٠		5°°	•• .	••	zn.	••	64	8	5
9 Tirunelveli	••	••	••	• •		:**3	••	15	σå	20	24	2	β.
10 Salem	••	••	••	••	23	•••	••	• •	**	••	51	2	4
11 Colmbatore	••	••	••		89	7	18	18	,,	••	69	18	19
12 The Nilgiris	7,72C	2			12	2	17	ź	, <b>† 4</b> .	••	85	· · · 2	á
13 Malabar	••	••	••	••	61	1	2	20		••	<b>i.</b> .	Not furnished.	er er
14 South Kanara	••	••	••	••	() ()	Not furnished,	<b>-</b>	10 <sup>7</sup> ,	1		52		·

Position as it would

#### APPENDIX VIII.

(Vide paragraph 38 of the Report.)

Statement showing the number of Deputy Tahsildars' posts, both permanent and temporary, in the districts on the eve of and after the introduction of the scheme of Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive.

Number of posts of Deputy Tahsildars.

			tion of t	of separa- he Judi- ry.	- As 8t ]	present.	be if the Commit- tee's recommenda- tions are accepted.		
Distric	t.		Perma- nent.	Tempo-	Perma- nent.	Tempo-	Perma- nent. ‡	Tempo-	
± <b>(1)</b>		C	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6) <sub>(1)</sub>	(7)	
Madras •	••	•••	4	16	4	16	5	16	
Chingleput	••	••	9	40	1	23	10	16	
North Arcot	••	:.	14	25	2	19	13	18	
South Arcof	••	<i>i</i> .	15	42	5	20	14	19	
Tanjore	••	••	20	31	.9	<b>31</b>	.22	26	
Tiruchirappaili	••	••	17	22	6†	211	18§	19	
Madurai	••	:.	12	20	( ); <b>4†</b>	201	13	17	
Ramanathapuram	••	÷.	8	87	5	27	12	26	
Tirunelveli		••	15	21	74	25	14	19	
Salem		••	19	24	,5	85	16	29	
Coimbatore		:	13	38	8	49	13	46	
The Nilgiria		j.	8	ìo	8	9	è	1 9	
Malabar		••	19	49	8	48	. 23	:41:	
South Kanara		••	10	21	2	23	11	.18	
		:				<del></del>	<u> </u>		
	Tota	i≨i.	178	406	61	866	190	819	
					-			·	

<sup>•</sup> Madras is not affected by the separation of the Judiciary from the Executive.

† These figures represent the posts of Deputy Tahsildars likely to be retained after the Introduction if the separation of the Judiciary from the Executive on 1st January 1955 as reported by the Collectors.

<sup>‡</sup> In calculating the number of permanent posts, it has been assumed the posts of Headquarters Deputy Tahsildars, Dependent Deputy Tahsildars and additional section heads for Collectors' Offices now proposed by the Committee will be retained on a permanent basis as also similar posts now being continued on a temporary basis.

<sup>§</sup> The figure is based on the assumption that Manapparai sub-taluk will be retained as proposed by the Committee.

Il The figure is based on the assumption that Yedasandur and Madural sub-taluks will be retained as proposed by the Committee.

#### APPENDIX IX.

#### (Vide paragraph 39 of the Report.)

Statement showing the proportion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks for each district and also for the State as a whole.

		Num	ber of pe	rmanent posts.	Existing propor-	Number of posts of lower division required to be		
	Serial number and district.	Upper ole		Lower division clerks including Revenue Ins- pector's posts.	tion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks.	upper division to make the proportion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks		
	(1)		(2)	(3)	(5)	as 1:3. (6)		
1	Medras	• •	10	50	1:5	5		
2	Chingleput	• •	27	143	1:5	16		
3	North Arcot	•,•.	32	138	1.; 4	. 11		
4	South Arcot		34	173	1:5	18		
5	Tanjore	••	49	252	1:5	26		
6	Ţiruchirappalli	•,•,	42	228	1.; 5	26		
7	Madurai	••	37	189	1:5	20		
8	Ramanathapuram	••	14	111	1:8	17		
9	Tirunelveli	••	42	160	1;4	9		
10	Salem	• •	32	200	1:6	<b>26</b> .		
11	Coimbatore	••	41	186	1:5	16		
12	The Nilgiris	• •	11	37	1;3	1		
13	Malabar	••	34	245	1:7	36		
14	South Kanara	••	21	126	1:6	16		
	Total		426	<b>2,238</b>	1:5	243		

N.B.—The Government have recently in G.O. Ms. No. 2023, Public (Elections), dated 23rd October 1954, ordered the permanent retention of certain posts of upper division cierks for elections. These posts may also be taken into account in fixing the number of posts to be upgraded in each district.

#### APPENDIX X.

#### (Vide paragraph 54 of the Report.)

Statement showing the number of candidates who appeared and the number that passed and the percentage of failures in the Revenue Test, Parts I, II and III.

			The Revenue Test.													
			Part I.			Part II.		P	Part III.							
Year.	Number appeared.		Number passed.	Percentage: of failures.	Number appeared.	Number passed.	Percentage of failures.	Number sppeared.	Number passed.	Percentage of failures.						
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)						
June 1948		1,194	99	91.7	756	832	<i>5</i> 7	546	195	65						
December 1948		1,410	98	93.1	1,069	127	89	752	289	62						
June 1949		1,274	105	91.8	1,231	,389	69	663	219	69						
December 1949		1,358	521	61.7	1,265	263	40	865	620	29						
June 1950		1,328	78	94.2	1,396	545	61	919	841	63						
December 1950	٠.	1,430	160	88.9	1,394	575	59	986	431	57						
June 1951		1,478	840	77	1,831	528	61	1,007	850	66						
December 1951	'	1,647	109	93.4	1,560	515	67	1,810	278	79						
June 1952	••	1,508	96	93.7	1,293	421	68	1,228	858	79						
December 1952		1,437	195	86.5	1,093	368	67	1,051	823	70						
June 1953		1,560	303	81	1,076	494	55	1,089	325	71						
December 1953	٠.	866	163	82	524	215	59	580	176	66						
June 1954	• •	755	91	88	428	219	49	478	138	72						

#### APPENDIX XI.

#### (Vide paragraph 58 of the Report.)

Statement showing the average size, population and beriz of firkas in the different districts.

Name o	f dist	trict.	Ţ	Number firkas.		Average population of a firka.	Average number of villages in a firka.	Average beriz of a firka.	Average number of papers per month.
(	(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Madras				9	6Q. MILES. 5·44	157,340	55	RS. 82,447	871
Chingleput			••,	40	77.28	46,340	57	86,090	130
North Arcot		••		58	88-11	53,946	89	1,15,603	149
South Arcot				54	77.93	51,236	43	1,33,200	197
Tanjore	٠.			66	56.86	45,897	43	2,13,014	184
Tiruchirappalli		••		62	88.79	47,482	25	99,079	218
Madurai	٠.	,		48	102-29	45,745	24	70,957	138
Ramanathapura	m*			26	185.84	80,020	194	1,80,800	150
Tirunelveli				47	91.90	53,516	23	97,683	97
Salem	• •	••	• •	55	135.95	59,154	28	89,652	143
Coimbatore			• •	53	132-13	62,148	21	98,433	132
The Nilgiris	• •		••	9	109-11	84,636	6	29,601	109
Malabar			••	46	126.13	103,442	17	1,11,675	179
South Kanara	• •	••	••	29	138-69	60,309	28	1,31,475	91
Malabar	••	••	••	46	126-13	103,442	17	1,11,675	179

<sup>•</sup> Mainly estate areas, for which there is a separate set of Revenue Inspectors also.

D.R.A.E.C., VOL. II-3

#### APPENDIX XII.

#### (Vide paragraph 61 of the Report.)

Statement showing the number of regular and Estate Revenue Inspectors working in the districts at present and the number that may roughly be required when the National Extension Service Scheme is introduced.

	Name of distri	c <b>t.</b>	3	Number of regular Revenue Inspectors.	Number of Estate Revenue Inspectors.	Number of Revenue Inspectors that may be roughly required when the National Extension Service scheme is introduced.
	(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)
1 1	Madras	••	••	9 ·	1	t
2	Chingleput .	••	••	40	20	152
3	North Arcot	• •	• •	53	11	122
4	South Arcot	••		54	3	108
5 '	Tanjore	••	••	66	11	160
6 '	Tiruchirappalli	••		62	13	150
7	Madurai	••		48	17	118
<b>'8</b> :	Ramanathapura	ım.	••	26	88	160
<b>.</b> 9 '	Tirunelveli	••		47	14	108
10	Salem	••	••	55	27	165
11	Coimbatore	••	••	53	2	106
12	The Nilgiris			_9	••	18
13	Malabar		••	46	• • •	92
14	South Kanara	••		29	••	58

<sup>\*</sup>We have generally adopted the figures as given by the Collectors except in a few cases where they were modified in the light of our discussions.

<sup>†</sup> The National Extension Service Scheme does not apply to Madras.

## APPENDIX XIII.

### (Vide paragraph 72 of the Report.)

Statement showing the Deputy Tahsildars' charges abolished in the districts as a result of Separation of Judiciary from the Executive.

			<i>r</i> · · · ·
District.	Deputy Tahsildars' charges abolished with date of abolition.	Taluk to which the abolished charge was attached.	Date of restoration of sub-taluk if restored.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1 Madras	••••	••••	••••
2 Chingleput	Uttiramerur, 2 Oct. 1949.	Kancheepuram	Restored from 1 July 1954.
8 North Arcot	Vaniambadi, 2 Oct. 1949.	Tiruppattur	Not restored.
4 South Arcot	Vanur, 15 Aug. 1950	Tindivanam	Restored from 1 Apr. 1953:
	Porto Novo, 15 Aug. 1950.	Chidambaram	Not restored.
5 Tanjore	Nidamangalam, 1 July 1954.	Mannargudi	Do.
6 Tiruchirappalli	••••	****	••••
7 Madurai	****	••••	••••
8 Ramanathapuram.	Virudunagar, 15 Aug. 1950.	Sattur	Not restored.
9 Tirunelveli	Sathankulam, 1 Jan. 1950.	Tiruchendur	Restored from 1 Apr. 1953.
	Palayamkottai, 1 Jan. 1950.	Tirunelveli	Not restored.
10 Salem	Uttankarai, 1 May 1951.	Krishnagiri	Restored from Aug. 1953.
	Paramathi, 1 May 1951.	Namakkai	Not restored.
	Yercaud, 1 May 1951	Salem	Restored in 1952.
11 Coimbatore	Mettupalayam, 1 May 1951.	Avanashi	Not restored.
	Perundurai, 1 May 1951.	Erode	Do.
	Sathiamangalam, 1 May 1951.	Gobichettipalayam	Restored from 20 Feb; 1954.
12 The Nilgiris	****	••••	****
13 Malabar	Kuthuparamba, 1 July 1954.	Kottayam	Not restored.
	Vayittiri, 1 July 1954	Wynaad	Do.
	Tirurangadi, 1 July 1954.	Ernad	Do.
	Kunnamangalam, 1 July 1954.	Kozhikode	Do.
14 South Kanara	Bantwal, 1 July 1954 Beltangadi, 1 July 1954.	Amalgamated and cor Beltangadi taluk.	astituted into the new

#### APPENDIX XIV.

(Vide paragraph 83 of the Report.)

#### SECTION I.

Figures of allotment and expenditure under the Minor Irrigation Works.

		Years.			Allotment in lakhs.	Expenditu in lakhs	
					æs.	RS.	
1946–47		• •			12-21	11.73	1
1947-48	٠	• •			15.88	15.59	1
1948-49		• •	••		30.56	29.94	i
1949-50		• •			35.78	34.92	Composite State.
195051					61.03	55.28	Composito mate.
1951-52		• •		• •	59.53	53.85	1 .
1952-53			• •	• •	80.30	76.27	1
1953-54	••	••	••	••	32.16	31.30	Residuary State.

Section II.

Allotments under the Land Improvement Loans and other loans for the State as a whole for the years 1949 to 1954.

Particulars.			Residuary State		
raiticulais.	1949-50.	1950-51.	1951-52.	1952-53.	1953-54.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
	(IN Lakhs.)	(IN LAKHS.)	(IN LAKHS.)	(IN LAKRS.)	(in Lakhs.
Land Improvement Loans and Agriculturists Loans Acts.	94-21	257·23 ·	201-19	300-00	90.60
Special rules for pumping installations.	2.2	4.52	5.93	9.00	5.24
Special rules for agricul- tural implements.	0.37	0.58	1.56	0.75	0-13

### SECTION III.

Statement showing the number of works and the expenditure incurred under the Rural Water-supply Scheme.

		Year	B.		Number of works.	Expenditure in lakhs.	
						RS.	
1947-48				••	1,622	26.45	<b>1</b>
1948-49		••	• •	• •	1,982	<b>34·10</b>	Ì
1949-50	•••			,.	2,442	51.65	Composite State.
1950-51	• • •			• •	2,870	64.88	1 .
1951-52		• •	• •	• •	3,730	76.36	j
1952-53					1.326	41.47	3
	• •	• •	••		1,330	42.91	Residuary State.
1953-54	• •	• •	••	••	-	40.00	
1954-55	• •	• •	• •	• •	. ••	¥0 00 ,	,

37

APPENDICES

APPENDIX XV.

APPENDIX XV.

## (Vide paragraph 108 of the Report.)

### Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices.

Yoans.				Number of		·	Nun				#anash					
	Years,			papers entered in Distri- bution Register.	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	No. 8.	No. 8-A.	No. 10-A. Water Rate.	B Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register.	Loan Register.	Process Register.	Forest Offence Report Register.
	(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Madras distr	rict—															
Madras N 1951 1952	orth Re	inge—,		12,544 12,533	••	••	2,349 2,753	••	• • •	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
1953	• •	• •	• •	13,681	··.	••	2,954	• •		• •	• •	• •	• • •	• •	••	• •
Madras Se 1951 1952 1953	••	•••		11,103 9,024 8,767	••	••	2,752 2,558 2,291	••	••	••		••	••	••	••	· ::
Chingleput																
Kancheep 1951 1952 1953	ouram t	aluk—	••	6,211 8,852 8,170	27 5 7	1 ::	7,315 5,467 5,122	20 8 25	••`	138 89 40	••	1,089 2,840 4,385	••	1,722 2,338 699	27 324	••
Saidapet	taluk-	i		_			•		,							
1951 1952, 1953	••	••	••	17,087 10,391 8,624	7 11 12	2 2 2	3,454 3,531 3,301	20 25 30	••	152 162 159	••	1,300 1,400 1,500	••	708 275 364	1,261 771 643	30 31 29
Madurant	takam t	aluk														
1951 1952 1953	••	••	••	5,196 6,428 6,559	6 4	1 2	5,506 5,089 4,577	7 8	••	137 101 69	••	1,474 1,965 1,437	• •	2,991 1,412 1,149	200 784	••
		• •	••	0,000	• •	• •	±,011	• •		00	• • •	-, -, -	• •	1,140	104	• •

Chinglep	ut taluk															
1951			4.4	7,210	4		4,290	15	••	78	• •	1,500		917	471	28
1952			٠.	7,234	3	• •	<b>3,652</b>	٠9		105		1,620	• • •	467	61	45
1953				7,185	5	• •	3,777	10		53		1,736	• • •	741	••	57
Tiruvallu	ır taluk-	_		-			•	•				-,	••	•	••	٠.
1951				7,558	20	2	3,671	9		37		1,705	62	169	137	51
1952			4.4	6,362	49	• •	2,542	3	ì	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,504	72	631	28	90
1953		•••		7,970	3		1,886	ıĭ	ī	97	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,740	84	105	1,097	25
Sriperum	hudur t	aluk	. ''	.,	_	• •	2,000		•	••	• •	1,110	04	105	1,087	20
1951				6,748	8		2,985	4		77		979		681	9.074	10
1952		• •	• •	10,234		• • •	3,788	.8	• •	70	• •		• •		3,274	10
		• •		8,918		_	2,513	. 2	• •	122	• •	1,134	• •	531	4,759	15
1953	. 11-	• •	• •	0,010	••	••	2,013	· <b>Z</b>	• •	122	• •	1,087	• •	124	5,698	6
Ponneri t		•		8,209	10		3.414	•		40						
1951	• •	• •	• •	5,209		••		• •	• •	46	• •	1,324	126	4,107	128	• •
1952	• •	• •	• •	5,472	7	••	2,836	• ::	• •	44	• •	1,239	114	4,068	213	• •
1953	• •	• •	••	5,488	<b>3</b> 9	• •	3,177	19	• •	69		1.877	135	1,356	279	• •
North Arco		:t														
Vellore to	aluk		• •												•	
1951				8,660	173	• •	<b>3,4</b> 05	· 9.	• •	50	256	6,712		2,349	517	٠
1952				9,875	109	1	3,562	3		81	294	6,939		2,553	166	•••
1953			::	13,928	146		3,074	19	• •	92	368	5,862		1,193	429	•••
Tiruppat	tur talu	k		•								-,	• • •	2,200	140	••
1951	••			11,207	152	3	2,188	3	• •	126	259	3,368		910	140	
1952	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	••	11,856	38	••	1,778	3	••	57	24	3,573	••	804	201	• •
1953		•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10,558	23	• • •	1,676	14	••	55	931	4,479	•••	755	292	• •
	• •		••	10,000		•••	2,010,	••	••	00	991	2,210	••	100	404	• •
Gudiyatt	am talu	k		0.000	001			_								
1951	•,	• •	• •	9,269	291	• •	5,539	9	• •	271	• •	13,704		3,740	644	• •
1952	• •	• •	• •	11,848	345	••	5,243	8	• •	198	• •	11,821	• •	2,485	1,161	••
<b>1953</b>	• •		• •	10,136	343	. • •	5,572	24	• •	135	• •	13,121	• •	1,845	1,080	• •
Wallajah	taluk-	-														
1951	• •		••	8,693	30	6	6,534	4	••			6,837	88	1,317	364	49
1952	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••	7.124 -	22	• •	4.902	Ē	•••	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7,641	104	2,045	. 90	56
1953	••	• • •	••	8,865	47	• • •	4,030	6				6,194	69	912	1,034	60
Arkonam			••	0,000	••	••	2,000	•	••	• •	••	0,104	UB	814	1,002	UU
1951				9.315	109	6	7,137	5	154		1,294	3,685	78	1.422	218	335
	• •	• •	. ••	7,379	174	7	4,905	_	143	••			69			
1952	• •	• •	••	7,049	164	é	5,002	22			952	4,763		1,953	195	123
1953	• •	• •	• •	1,049	104	U	0,002	22	159	••	1,230	2,969	93	940	1,251	143

APPENDICES

				,		•		• •	• . •	• •		Average n per da	umber Ly per	of papers clerk.
	Year.			F Line Register and Sketch Petitions.	No. 5 Account (Bemission).	House- site Register.	Educational concession certificates to students.	Number of periodicals in terms of currents.	Miscel- laneous items.	Total.	Number of clerks attending.	For each of the three years 1951, 1952 and 1953.		Average of the three years 51 to 1953.
				(15)	(16)	(17)	(18) `	(19	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Madras dis														
Madras l	North R	ange						• •	• •.			*		• -
1951		•••		· 238	••	:	• • •	364	5,503	20,998	14 · •	5.5	J	
1952	• •	• •		271	••			468	6,675	22,700	14	6	}	5⋅8
1953		• • •	÷ •	161	• •	••	••	624	5,723	23,143	14 · ·	, <b>6</b>	J	
Madras 8	South F	lange—						• •			_ • •	_	_	
1951	• •	• •	• •		••	• •	, ••	624	• •	14,479	11 ·	5	1	• •
1952		• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	••	624	• •	12,206	11	4	}	4.3
1953	•••	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• • •	624	• •	11,682	11	4	J	
Chingleput	district							• •		•				
O2222-B											- ·			
Kanchee	puram	taluk-									•			
1951	·	• •					• •	2,378	• • • •	18,928	8	8.5	٦	
1952					• •		• •	1.790		21,390	8	10	}	9.2
1953		• •	••	• •	• • •	• •	• •	1,794	••	20,567	8	9	J.	
Saidapet	t tabile										• •			
1951							2,540	3,275		29,836	Q	13.5	•	
1952	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	2,675	3,426	••	22,700	0	10	- (	7.5
1953	• • •	••	• •	• •	••	• •	2,760	3,420 3,652	• •	21,076	8 8 8	9.5	ſ	1.0
2000		••	••	••	••	• •	2,700	0,002	• •	-2,010	9	<b>Q</b> -0	3	
Madura	ntakan '	taluk—		=										
1951		• •		•	• •	• •		1,568		17,086	9.	7	)	
1952		• •		• •	••	• •	••	1,412		16,421	، و	7	}	7
1953		• •		• •	••		••	1,308	• •	15,883	8	7	J	-
													_	

Ļ
Ť
н
z
◩
3
H
Ø

Chinglep	ut taluk	<del></del>							• •						• •
1951			• •				••		996	• •	15,509	7	8 7	1	•
. 1952	• •	••	• •	••	• •				<b>996</b>	••	14,192	7		}	7.7
1953		••	••	••	••	• •			996	• •	14,560	7	8	- 1	
Tiruvallu			••	••		••	•				,	•	•	•	
1951									2,284	••	15,705	10	6	٦.	
	• •	• •	••	• •	• •	••		••	2,284		13,647	10	5	·	5.7
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	••	••	••		2,284	• •	15,303	10	6	ſ	0.1
1953	. 😲 .	.::	••	••	• •	• •	••	• •	2,202	••	10,000	10	U	J	
Sriperum	budur t		-					• •	0.645		17 411	-	•	•	
<b>1951</b>	• •		••	. ••	• •	• •	• •	٠.	2,645	**	17,411	7	9	ì	30.
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		2,645	• •	23,186	7	12	` }	10.7
1953	• •		• •	• •	••		• •		2,645		21,115	7	11	J	•
Ponneri t	aluk—									•					
1951			• •		••	4			3,145	• •	20,513	7	11	1	
1952	••	••	• •	• •	••	4			3,121		17,158	7	9 8	}	9.3
1953	•••	••	••	••	• •	20		٠.	3,121		15,580	7	8	- 1	
, 1000	••	••	••	• •	• •				-,					-	
North Arco	t distri	ct—													
Vellore to	aiuk—					227			3,167		25,525	10	9	`	
1951	• •		• •	• •	• •	9 <b>6</b>	• •			• •	26,874	10	10	- 1	9.7
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		• •	•	3,195	• •				7	8.1
<b>1953</b>	• •	• •	. • •	• •	• •	203	• • •	•	3,195	••	28,509	10	10	J	
Tiruppat	tur talu	ık— ´													
1951			5		1	••		. *	2,502		20,858	8	9.5	1	
1952	• •	••	••	••	• •		• • •	ŧ	2,502	••	20,836	8	9.5	Ţ	9.7
1953	• •	••	• :	••	• •	• •			2,502	••	21,285	8	10	ſ	• • •
		.1.	• •	••	••	••	• •		2,002	••	21,200	•	10	,	
Gudiyatt	am tan	ık—							4,080		37,547	9	15	•	
1951	• •	• •	••	• •	••	••	• •			• •		9	15 15	- (	14.8
1952	• •	••	• •	• •	••	••	• •		4,160	••	37,269	a		7	14.9
1953	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	• •	••		<b>3,</b> 900	424	36,156	9	14.5	,	
Wallajah	taluk-	_													
1951					378	100	٠		2,898	189	27,487	9	11	7	
1952	• •	••	••	••	378	35			2,791	197	25,391	9	10	Ţ	10.3
1953	\• •	• •	••	• •	378	59	••		2,901	511	25,066	ğ	10	- [	•
Arkonam		• •	••	••	910	<i></i>	••		2,001	V11 ·	20,000	•		,	
		<b>-</b> ·			105				2,065		25,948	8	12	•	
1951	• •	••	••	• •	125	• •	••.		4,000	••	<b>4</b> ∂,8 <b>4</b> 0		10	Į	10.7
1952		••	• •	••	99	• •	• • •		2,070	• •	22,832	8	10	7	10.1
1953	• •	• •	• •	• •	103	••	• •		2,078	••	21,209	8	10	J	

## APPENDIX XV—cont.

Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

				Number of			Numbe	or of cases	dealt with	in Special I	Registers.					<b>.</b>
Yes	ir.		••	papers entered in Distri- bution Register	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	. No. 8.	No. 8-A.	No. 10-A Water Rate.	B. Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register.	Loans Register.	Process Register.	Forest Offence Report Register.
(1	<del>)</del>	• •		(2) · ·	(8)	(4)	(5)* -	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
North Arcot di Cheyyar talu		-cont.	• • •		•		• •				, ••		:			
1951 .		::	1.1	8,310	132	::	5.060	11		68		7.057	•• •	897	2,651	
1050			: :	7,603	84		6,164	7		<b>52</b>	::	6,231		972	2,892	.••
1952 . 1953 :		::	::	7,697	12	• •	6,216	9	• •,	50	.: :	5,377	••	3,802	3,691	••
Arni taluk—		• •	• •.	7,007	14	• •	0,210	0	• •	.00	• •	0,311	• •	0,004	9,091	• •
1051				7,961			104			6		125	_		65	
1050		••	• •	7,106	• ••	• •	3	• •	• •	4	* *	148	• •	706	75	. • •
1050	•	• • •	• •	6,220	• • •	• •	126		• •	288	• •	190	• •,	898	120	. • •
Tiruvannam	oloj te	dad.	• •	0,220	••	• •	120	3	• •	200	\$ \$	180	••	080	120	• •
1051				6,915	917	3	8,864	3		170	290	7,722		3,580	179	62
1050	•	• •	• •	6,510	1395	1	4,399	3	• •	90	256		• •			63
	•	• •	• •						• •			7,481	• •	2,726	176	28
	•	••	••	8,922	. 545	3	5,146	10	• •	126	370	8,496	•• :	2,055	743	75
Polur taluk-	-		•	0.000	٠ ٠٠٠		4.000	10			• •	0.140				•
	•		••	<b>6,3</b> 96.	105	••	4,963	10•	11	61	••	8,148	••	1,753	75 .	••
	•	• •	• •	6,528	49	2	5,190	. 8	17	52	• •	8,169	••	857	179	••
		• •		6,641	. 145	<b>57</b>	4,408	15	15	43	••	8,464	• • •	1,006	1,918	• •
Chengam ta	luk—		• •			• •		_								
				7,869			3,516	8	• •	80	••	11,880	80	877	455	202
	• *	• •	• •	5,860	509	• •	2,937	• •	• •	43	• •	12,321	82	537	644	66
		• •		6,600	644	•• ••	3,464	2	• •	64		12,400	81	1,147	251	51
$\mathbf{Wandiwash}$	taluk												*		1	
	• • •	•••		7,404		••	5,763	3	• •	135	258	5,970	72	1,442	503	866
				6,947	80	• •	6,823	5	• •	82	488	6,176	82	1,231	3,116	291
	• • •			7,771	. 265	3	5,679	8		115	472	7,035	93	852	3,381	167
South Arcot d	istrict								•						•	
Tindivanam	taluk	<b></b> 2														
1951	• •		٠.	13,239	35	• •	11,182	7	••	-		3,108	142	907	654	••
1952				11,736	18	••	7,331	4	••	31	• •	2,902	121	1,041	768	••
1953	• •			9,689	10	3	7,180	11	• •	48	••	2,292	152	729	1,430	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Villupur		K—- ·	• •														
1951	• •	4.1	• •	12,490	39	• •	6,030	14	• •	81	216	3,631	147	1,540	1,473	67	
1952	• •	• •	• •	10,791	17	• •	6,725	3	• •	75	219	4,527	160	308	2,114	83	
1953	• • •		•••	9,868	21		7,531	· <b>5</b>	• •	36	$^{\cdots}$ 224	4,048	171	197	2,841	91	
Gingee to	aluk		• •					• •			• •						
1951	••			11,299	44		3.914	16		80		3,104		1,347	4.900	• •	
1952	• •			12,124	17	1	3,958	19	••	24	•••	3,250	••	1,005	6,400		
1953			••'	10,586	28	ī	3,743	21.		-9		3,351		795	3,900		
Chidamb			•••	20,000	20	-	0,120		••	•	• •	0,001	• •	100	0,000	••	
1951		-		18.065	49	9	5,370	23		49		2,835		165	132	30	
	• • •	• •	• • •			-			• •		• •		• •	236			
1952	. • • • •	• •	• •	20,005	4	1	5,564	20	• •	98		2,879	. ••		159	35	
<b>1</b> 95 <b>3</b>		٠.٠	• •	17,718	6	4	6,633	13	• •	41	••	2,384	••	192	117	25	
	•		• •	• •				• •			• •				4		
Cuddalor	e taluk	—	• •	••													
1951				14,068	59		5,143	16		59		1,747	93	2,895	1,234		
1952				11,574	66		4,127	12		<b>53</b>	••	1,363	130	3,084	1.346		
1953			′	13,502	37		4,769	18	••	22		1,436	104	3,666	1,431	• •	▶
		٠.		,		• •	_,	7.7	••			-,	:		1	• •	Ē
Tirukko	vilue tal	111b													•		APPENDICES
1951	ynur oar			11,864	34	12	5,926	24		470		3,042	. 39	318	1,218	28	Ž
1952		• •		13,200	49	-9	6,762	32	• • .	482	• •	3,039	42	302	2,019	37	Þ
	• •	• •	•			7			• •		. ••		52			71	- 5
1953		::	• •	9,983	96	7	6,375	17	• •	480	• •	3,011	52	309	2,860	71	闰
Vriddhad	chalam	taluk-	-			_											Ø
1951			. :	9,411	7	1	4,585	2	• • .	21	• •	2,792	• •	725	1,014	132	
1952				11,779	- 1	2	4,867	· <b>2</b>	• •	21	• •	2,820	• •	650	718	44	
195 <b>3</b>			::	9,402	108	1	6,397	. 7		54		3,738		376	294	61	
Kallaku	richi tal	uk—		Ť			•										
1951	• '•,			10,960	514	23	9.328	24	••	95	232	3,046	162	1.055	31	840	
1952			••	13,766	315	20	8,615	: 15		70	212	6,783	196	1,366	••	818	
1953		••		12,200	198	19	<b>5,6</b> 55	7	,	48	196	5,704	180	100	76	912	
Tanjore di		• •	• •	12,200	130	10	0,000	• •	••	40	130	0,102	100	100		• · ·	
							-							:			
Tanjore	taiuk—			00 00								0.044	~~10	0.08	31		
1951	• •	• •	• •	28,034	26	• •	3,723	101	• •	94	665	3,844	*510	883		• •	
1952		• •	• •	27,512	6	• •	<b>3,6</b> 80	81	• •	55	838	3,922	559	704	45		
1953	<b>:</b> .	• •	• •	31,268	10		4,149	35	• •	54	792	4,340	377	760	807.	• •	
Kumbak	onam to	aluk—		•	•		•						1		** C	-	
1951				11,350			2,049	32	••	. 51	1,730	1,282	401	18	45		
1952	• • •	••		10,440	2		1,786	27		55	1,730	1.268	668	35	40	• •	
1953	•••			13,215			3,086	29		47	1,730	1,328	474	75	41	• • •	<u>چ</u>
2000	••	••	••	20,210	• •	••	0,000	40	•.		2,.00	-,040				• •	w

APPENDICES

Average number of papers

Figures of correspondence in Taluk Offices—cont.

per day per clerk. 'F' Line Register For each Educa-Average Housetional Number of Miscel-Number of the of the No. 5 periodicals Total. of clerks Year. and Account site concession laneous three three Register. certifiin terms of items. attending. sketch (Remission). years veare 1951.1952 1951 to 1953. petitions. currents. students. and 1953. (21) (15)(16)(17)(18) (19)(20)(22)(28)(24)North Arcot district-cont. Chevvar taluk-1951 2,907 27,093 13 ٠. . . . . . . 1952 3,002 27,007 13 7.5 7.7 . . . . • • ٠. ٠. • • 1953 3,017 29,871 13 . . . . Arni taluk-3.301 1951 11.562 . . . . • • • • . . . . 5.7 1952 3,275 11.317 8 5 ٠. ٠. . . • • 1953 3,126 10,971 7 . . ٠. ٠. • • Tiruvannamalai taluk-1951 135 2,922 134 28.837 12 ٠. 1952 115 2,862 112 22,920 12 7 8.2 . . . . . . 1953 125 2,880 89 27,877 12 8.5 . . . . . . . . Polur taluk-1951 2,175 23.697 12 • • . . . . . . . . 1952 23,420 6.5 6.8 :. 2,369 13 . . . . . . . . . . 1953 13 2,409 25,121 . . . . ٠. . . ٠. . . Chengam taluk-19**5**1 54 3.057 28,336 12 8.5 • • ٠. . . 1952 53 3,015 26,067 12 8.8 8 . . . . ٠. . . . . 1953 43 3,263 28,010 10 10 . . . . • • Wandiwash taluk-1951 19 38 3,185 25,685 10 • • . . . . 1952 22 35 3,185 28,563 11.5 11.2 9 . . . . . . 29,163 1953 15 115 3,192 9 • • South Arcot district-Tindivanam taluk-1951. 296 33,456 3,886 11 11 . . 9.78 . . ٠. • • 1952 476 3,834 28,262 11 9 9.3 • • • • • • • • 1953 135 25,561 3,882 11 0.0

• •

•10

<b>A</b>	
ч	ł
7	
Ε	ŀ
2	
ĭ	ŀ
9	1
5	
•	•

Villupure	ım telu	k										•	_
1951			* *	89	• •		<b>2,</b> 58 <b>4</b>	4,694	34	33,129	8	15	] -
1952			• •	••	• •		2,643	4,836	47	32,548	8	15	<b>15.3</b>
1953	• •		• •		• •		2,965	4,732	2,087	34,827	8	16	J
Gingee ta	luk												_
1951			• •		• •	• •		8,926,		33,630	10	12	)
1952	• •		• •				• •	9,118		35,916	10	13	} 12·5
1953				••				8,831	• •	31,265	9	12.5	J
Chidamb	aram t	aluk						•					_
1951				45			• •	5,695		32,467	11	11	)
1952				29	••		• •	5,620		34,650	11	11.5	} 11.2
1953				62	• •		• •	5,420		32,615	11	11	J ′
Cuddalor								-					_
1951					80			4,493	146	30,033	9	12	3
	• •	• •	••	••.	64	• •	• •	4,516	147	26,482	ğ	iĩ	<b>11.7</b>
1952	• •	• •	••	••	65	• •	• •	4,539	146	29,735	9	12	ſ
1953			• •	••	00	• •	••	4,000	140	20,100	. •	12	,
Tirukkoy							₹.	4,820		27,795	13	8 .	٦
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	••	• •		3,900	••	29,873	13	8.5	<b>}</b> 8
1952	• •	• •	• •	••	••	• •	• •	3,861	••	27,122	13	7.5	ſ
1953	••	• •	• •	••	••	••	• •	3,001	• •	21,122	10	1.0	,
Vriddhac	halam	taluk-										_	_
1951				119		• •		4,068	• •	22,877	10	8 9	]
1952	. • •	••	• •	<b>54</b>	• •	• •	• •	4,052	• •	25,010	10	9	} 8⋅7
<b>1953</b>			• •	51	• •		• •	4,171		24,660	10	9	j
Kallakur	ichi tal	uk—											_
1951					• •			7,332		33,642	12	10	) .
1952					• •	••	•• •	7,332	••	` <b>39,</b> 508	12	12	} 10⋅7
1953	• •			• •	• •	• •	• •	7,332		32,627	12	10	J
Tanjore dis	taint .												
•													
Tanjore t	aluk—	•						0.004	1 003	40 110	12	19	1
1951		• •	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	3,324	1,881	43,116	12	13 13	13.7
1952	• •	• •	• •		• •	•:	• •	3,670	1,695	42,767	12	13 15	13.1
1953		• •	• •		• •	1	. • •	3,984	2,953	49,330	12	15	J
Kumbak	onam t	aluk						•					_
1951	••	• •		26	• •	••	• •	4.946	27	21,957	10	8_	]
1952	••	••	• •	37	• •			4,946	11,865	<b>32,</b> 899	10	12	<b>ኑ 10</b>
1953		• ••	••	51	••	••	• •	4,946	2,803	27,825	10	10	j
1000	- •	. •	- •		-			• •	•	-			-

APPENDICES

				Number of			Numi	er of ca	ses dealt y	vith i	a Specia	ıl Re	egisters.					******
	Yea			papers entered in Distri- bution Register.	No. 4.	'No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	No. 8.	N	o. 8-A.	` 1	o. 10-A, Water B Rate.	. Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register.	Loan Register.	Process Register.	Forest Offence Report Register.
	(1)			(2)	(8)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)		(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14
Tanjore dist	rictc	ont.	•	. •	•													
Papanasa			•	. •	:													
1951		••		11,738	••	٠	1,455		46			72		1,355		410	169	
1952			••	12,767			1,713		26	• • •		78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,492		562		• • •
1953			••	16,863		• •	1,912		12			67	• • •	1,601	• • •	1,234		
Mannargu	di talu	k—		·			.,							,		,		
1951	• •			12,039	81	1	4,679		36	36	:	80	1,200	4,394	1,230	1,200	175	
1952				12,366	41	2			12	12		54	1,200	4,099	1,068	1,930	127	
1953	• •	• •	•	13,121	1	3	4,227		16	16	;	32	1,200	4,687	1,437	2,232	288	
Tiruturai	pundi t	aluk—	•															
1951	• •	• •	• • •	10,475	••		2,613		65			95	1,220	3,094				
1952		• •	• •	10,169	• •	2			<b>3</b> 5			40	1,303	2,699		<b>3</b> 59		• •
1953	. ••		• •	13,604	• •	7	1,955	•	16	••		46	1,311	2,992		8,766	414	
Nagapatt	mam t	aluk					h 000		·				- 000				:	•
1951	• •	• •	• •	11,612	59	• •	2,096		14	• •		35	1,386	1,374	607			• •
1952 1953	• •	• •	• •	10,186	4	• •	1943		9	• •		47	1,002	1,291	735			• •
Pattukko	***	/ /  1	* *	12,840	•• .	• •	1,912	•	6	• •		35	1,161	1,508	<b>3</b> 96	4,036	217	• •
1951				12,977	115		<b>2</b> 400	•	78			77	1,606	1,596		0.000	70	
1952		4.4		0 554	5		1 40		68	• •		42	861	1,064	• •			
1953	• •		• • •	10,664	16	••	3 049		39	• •		93	815	1,217	• •	1,000	28 123	• •
Arantang			••	10,002	10.	••	1,010	,	Jo	• •		00	0.0	1,411	• •	1,902	123	••
1951	,, ,,,			7.081	6	. 7	679	1	5			35	2,100	473	63	76	107	
1952	• • •	• • •	• • •	8,226	9	34			9	• •		43	3,341	440				••
1953		• 6		9,875	7				4	• • •		54	3,206	469	411			<b>* *</b> ,
Mayuran					•				-	• •				200		. 1,220	. 1.0	• •
<b>1</b> 951		• 4	• 6	19.889	2	. 2	2,31	7	40			52		2,532	890	46	338	
1952	• •	••		22,834			O HO		35			47		3,199	1,284			• •
1953		• •		22,351	5		9 70	0	22			15	• •	3,054				• • •

Sirkali taluk—  1951	**	8,662 11,004 8,979	••.	••	1,952 1,926 2,167	740 740 740	••	13 14 13	••	1,125 1,338 1,570	••	31 27 22	80 78 82	••	
1951 1952	• •	11,314 15,177	15 3	••	2,530 2,740	17 50	••	76 41	1,800 1,800	5,482 4,200	583 1,039	67 111	13	••	
1953 ,.	* *	17,672	1	• •	2.872	22	• •	23	1,800	5,408	640	145	68	••	
Tiruchirappalli district—	• •							-					•		
Tiruchirappalli taluk—	• •				- 400	0.4		100		# F00		107	323		
1951 1952	• •	10,144 8,85 <b>3</b>	51 180	••	3,423 3,151	24 32	• •	129 136	••	7,568 8,123	••	137	263	••	
1000	• ;	11,021	89	'n	3,151 3,605	32 38	• •	158	• •	12,075	• •	196	203 298	• •	
Karur taluk—	::	11,041	09		.5,003	30	• •	100	••	12,015	••	100	200	••	
1951		8,976	42		56	9		19		9,967	266	6	٠	202	
1952		11,837	17	••	62	3	••	7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8,065	336	308	••	131	▶-
1953 ::		10,871	i	••	85	5	• •	18		6,535	250	737		190	PH
Kulittalai taluk	::	,				_				.,					APPENDICES
1951	::	9,466	218		2,577	7		23	81	5,541	198	81	47	126	Z
1904 ., .,	• •	12,256	202	2	3,103	11		27	69	7,768	233	214	36	276	띭
1953	::	11,989	246	2	2,946	9		50	40	5,684	303	555	69	143	엹
Musiri taluk∸	• •	• • •			•										ζά
1951		6,518	389	73	<b>4,3</b> 58	7		96		5,567		191	359	• •	
1952:	::	6,490	303	73	4,030	9		50		8,334	• •	64	599		
1953 ::	::	7,240	136	55	4,856	2	• •	46		5,242		206	1,273	• •	
Lalgudi taluk—	••	• •													
1951		7.397	74		4.897	3	3	14	<b>13,6</b> 08	10,271		96	252	57	
1952		5,871	11		6,084	4	4	45		9,540		62	390	71	
1953	٠.	8,354	8		7,240	11	11	15	14,816	11,817		86	431	16	
Perambalur taluk—									•						
1951		8,949	279	2	5,643	2		33		13,815	٠	576	332	2,832	
1952		9,376	316·	2	7,315	2		15		15,108	·	347	198	3,454	
1953		12,061	590	• •	8,615	5		9		20,118		507	805	2,164"	
Alangudi taluk—															
1951	٠.						Not	furnishe	d.	,					
1952	••	6,367	152	4	6,008	2	1,50	37		2,512	355	1,240	480	90	_
1953	•••	13,134	192	9	7,294	$ar{f 2}$		46		2,972	290	1,124	647	110	47
	• •	,		•	-,	-	• •		• •	_,0.2		-,			-4

Average number of papers

APPENDIX XV—cont.

Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

												per da	y per (	clerk.
	Year.			F Line Register and Sketch Petitions.	No. 5 Account (Remission).	House- site Register.	Educa- tional concession certifi- cates to students.	Number of periodicals in terms of currents.	Miscel- laneous items.	Total.	Number of clerks attending.	For each of the three years 1951, 1952 and 1953.	1	Average of the three years 951 to 1953.
				(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Tanjore dis	trict—	-cont.												
Papanasa	am talı	ık—								00.100		_	_	
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	4,891	• •	20,136	12	6	1	
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	٠.		4,891	• •	21,704	12	6∙5	⊁	<b>6</b> ⋅8
1953	• •		• •					4,891		26,76 <b>3</b>	12	8	J	
Mannarg	udi tal	uk							•				-	
1951	• •				• •			3,396	• •	28,547	10	10	1	
1952								3,396		28,543	10	10	}	10.3
1953								3,396		30,656	10	11	ı	
Tirutura	ipundi	taluk-	_							•			,	
1951	•							5,418		23,256	11	8	)	
1952		• •		• •	••			5,334	• • •	22,746	11	7.5	}	8.8
1953		• •		••	••			5,144		34,255	11	11	- 1	7.
Nagapat	tinam	taluk—		• •		• •	• •	·,		• -,			,	
1951	• •	••						3,358		20.932	10	7.5	7	
1952	• • •	• • •			•••	••	•••	3,718		19,212	10	7	٠Ļ	8
1953	• • •	••	• • •				• • •	_3,891	•••	26,002	iŏ	9.5	ſ	U
Pattukk			••	• •	• •	• •	••	٠,٥٥٠	• •	20,002	10	0.0	,	
1951								3,492		23,802	12	7	`	
1952		••	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	3,541	•••	21,165	12		Ł	6.3
1953	• •	••	• •	••	• •	••	• •	3,572		20,444	12	6 6	۲	0.9
Arantan	oi tolu	lr	••	••	• •	••	• •	3,014	• •	20,112	12	U	J	
1951	-							20,990	3	31,625	10	11.5	`	
1952	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		80			11.5	- 1	100
1952 195 <b>3</b>	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	20,542	163	34,163	10	12	7	12.3
	 	- • •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	21,099	103	37,333	10	- <b>13·5</b>	J	
Mayura	m reini	<u> </u>						4 500	7 075	90 503				
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	6,598	7,075	39,781	10	14	)	
1952	810	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	6,647	8,691	46,232	10	17	}	15.8
1953	0.50	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	6,872	7,913	45,467	10	16.5	Ī	

סי
H
H
17
$\boldsymbol{\forall}$
H
a
ㅂ
ζΩ

	Sirkali ta 1951			••	• •		••	••	3,866	••	16.469	7	9	٦.		
	1952		• •		••	••	••	••	3,866	••	18,993	7	10	ι	9.3	
	1952	• •	••	••					3,866		17,439	7	9	٢	A. 2	
•		••	• •	••	••	• •	••	••	0,000	••	11,200	4	ช	נ		
	Nannilan	n taluk												_		
À	1951	• •	• •	• •	50	• •	12	• •	4,100	59	26,118	11	8.5	)		
눥	1952	• •	• •	• •	40	• •	10	••	4,500	30	29,749	11	10 11	}	9.8	
<b>.</b>	1953			• •	41	••	388	• •	4,986	27	34,093	11	11	j		
Ė	Tiruchirapp	111 Air	strict_	_										-		
d.r.a.e.c.,																
	Tiruchira		taiuk-						3,189		24,958	7.1	•	_		
7	1951	• •	***	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		• •		11	8	i		
VOL.	1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	3,189	• •	24,063	11	8 10		8.7	
	1953	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	3,189	• •	30,670	11	10	J		
Ļ	Karur tal	luk—							0.550	0 505	04.055			_		
1	1951		• •	• •	• •	••	157	• •	2,579	2,587	24,857	11	8	1		
4	1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	. 96	• •	2,499	1,280	24,641	11	8	≻	8	F
	1953	• •	• •		• •		19	• •	3,094	3,306	25,111	11	8	J		면
	Kulittala	ri taluk	<u>:</u>					::						-		9
	1951			• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	3,182	• •	21,547	9	8.5	}		₽
	1952			• • `	• •	• •			4,258	• •	28,455	9	11.5	}	10.3	Ö
	1953			• • •	• •	• •	••	• •	4,756	• •	26,792	9	11	j		APPENDICES
	Musiri tal	luk												_		(J2
	1951							• •	3,434		20,992	9	8.5	7		
	1952	• •			• •		·	• •	3,434	• •	25,386	9	10 9	}	12.9	
	1953					• •	• •	••	3,434		22,490	9	9	Ì		
	Lalgudi t	aluk—							•					_		
	1951	• •			• •		74	••	3,246	152	40,144	8	18	7		
	1952	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	••	• •		59	••	3,465	308	39,626	8	18	}	19	
	1953	• • •	• • •	•••	••	••	101	••	3,568	158	46,632	8	21	i		
	Perambal								-,			,	 •	,		
	1951				467		409	* 4	5,214		38,554	9	15.5	7		
	1952	• • •	• •		372	• •	442	• •	5,214		42,161	9	17	}	17.5	
	1953	• • •	• •	• •	332	••	390	••	5,214	••	50,810	9	20	Ì		
	Alangudi	taluk-									•			•		
	1951	• •							(Not fu	rnished).						
	1952	••	• •		70 <b>27</b>	••	••	• •	2,708		20,025	10	7	12	0.0	
	1953				0.17		••	•	3,267	• •	29,114	10	10.5	١	8.8	49

### APPENDIX XV—cont.

Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

				Number of	·		Nur	nber of case	es dealt wi	th in Specia	l Registers.					
	Year.			papers entered in Distri- bution Register.	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	No. 8.	No. 8-A.	No. 10-A, Water rate.	B. Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register.	Loan Register.	Process Register.	Forest Offence Report Register.
	(1			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Tiruchirap	palli di	strict—	-cont.													
Kolathur	r taluk-	<del>-</del>		. =								0.04			005	104
1951	• •	• •	• •	8,762	196	• •	1,799	3	• •	53	• • •	8,041	191	1,407	935	184
1952 1953	• •	• •	• •	9,087	37	• •	4,956	• •	• •	. 5	• •	8,162	340	1,938	878	$\begin{array}{c} 162 \\ 31 \end{array}$
Tirumay.		-1-	• •	8,909	18	• •	2,456	• •	• •	17	• •	7,978	268	1,434	1,302	31
11rumay. 1951		<u> 1K—</u> .		11.808	170	2	5,806	11		21	96	6,805	1 600		160	93
1952	• •	• •	• •	11,808	240	_		6	• •	21 27	68		1,628	168	190	62
1952	• •	• •	• •	10,781	240 94	5	6,536	13	• •	27 25		9,059	1,685	61	627	29
Udayarp	olowow	. talule	• •	10,761	94	Ð	3,254	13	• •	20	5,046	7,358	1,118	01	021	29
1951	•			7,033	120		2,807	7		30		11,735		222	862	2
1952	• •	• •	• •	6,988	57	• •	4,798	2	• •	38	• •	8,991	• •	222 297	251	18
1953	• •	• •	• •	8,125	122	• •	6,792	10	• •	19	• •	9,635	• •	1,212	141	36
1000	••	• •	• •	6,125	122	• •	0,192	10	• •	19	• • •	9,000	• •	1,212	141	30
Madurai di																
Dindigul	l taluk-															
1951				16,050	12	1	3,503	3		41		1,779	364	235	37	29
1952	• •			14,370	65	1	4,270	5		49		1,247	190	479	53	12
195 <b>3</b>				15,954	76	1	3,918	7		72		2,150	173	854	7	16
Palni tal	uk—															
1951	• •			9,790	27		2,098	1	•••	36		941	136	185	35	
1952				9,334	34	• •	2,121	2		18		819	131	6,522	33	
1953				9,314	21	• •	2,301	6		29	• •	1,168	83	1,490	31	
Nilakkot	ttai talı	ık												•		
1951	• •	• •		6,351	25		2,056	2		44	••	1,202	• •	170	79	
1952	• •		• •	7,069	50	• •	4,186	3		32		1,546		108	279	
<b>1953</b>		· • •		7,962	6	• •	<b>3,</b> 999	• •		50	• •	2,536		1,556	321	• •

	Kodaika	nal talu	k—														
	1951	• •			5.537	242		764			15		1,391		15	•	
	1952				4,404	64		696		• • •	13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2,040	• •	18	• •	23
	1953				4,578	21		846	••	• •	8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,886	• •	20	• •	16
	Periyaku	lam tal	uk—		,					• •	•	• • •	2,000	• •	20	• •	17
н	1951				9,331	78	2	2,230	29		128	46	3,661	136	153	54	
ũ	1952				8,203	54	1	2,591	43	• •	97	70	3,167	111	380	82	• •
	1953				8,646	357	1	2,572	19		74	126	4,590	107	1,390	82 82	• •
D.R.A.E.C.,	Tiruman	galam t	aluk-	_	-			•			• -		, _,,		1,000	04	• •
Ħ	1951	٠			11,458	33	2	3,927	24		88	840	906		3,471	1.375	
Ω	1952				9,455	66	1	5,521	12		134	936	840	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3,674	2,468	• •
	1953				9,045	89	2	4,220	20	• •	83	1,032	928	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2,041	3,472	• •
VOL.	Madurai	taluk-	-		•			•				-,		•••	_,011	0,412	• •
Ħ	1951				17,333	92	109	3,001	15		210	720	1.890	479	955	15	
	1952		• •		15,976	311	105	2,730	10		189	820	1,563	416	1,022	ii	• •
Ħ.	1953	• •		• •	18,530	248	120	3,500	22		134	784	1,593	432	1,854	7	• •
	Melur tal	luk-											•		-,00-	•	• •
44	1951		. • •		12,310	223		4,788	• •		30	570	2.628	180	3.915	••	•••
•	1952	• • •		• • •	11.580	120	•••	5,341	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••	51	650	2,467	182	<b>4.611</b>	80 48	110
	1953	• • •	• • •	• • •	12,433	114	•••	5,540	•••	••	51	731	2,908	152	4.538	48 73	130
					,		••	0,010	••	••	0.2	.01	2,000	102	4,000	13	82
	Ramanath		aistric	30													
	Sattur te				10 570	0.4		0.700	10			= 40					
	$1951 \\ 1952$	• •	• •	• •	19,578	34 47	• •	2,723	12	• •	17	740	899	153	410	• •	• •
		• •	• •	• •	12,455	9	• •	1,431	10	• • .	16	390	811	141	250	<b>\•</b> •	
	1953	• •	• •	• •	9,621	9	• •	4,253	22	• •	18	990	1,596	108	205	• •	• •
	Srivillipu	ittur ta	luk—														
	1951	• •		• •	9,354	31	• • .	4,198	19	• •	43	• •	1,073	204	368	86	32
	1952		• •		9,822	21	• •	1,488	18	• •	28		1,113	212	984	308	35
	1953	• •		• •	16,540	9	• •	6,050	20	• •	36		1,185	225	202	213	34
	Aruppuk	kottai t	taluk-	_													
	1951				8,118		2	315	2		5		127	٠	125	350	50
	1952				8,714	• •	2	325	10	• • •	4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	492	1,230	75
	1953				9,212	ì	••	286	27	•••	30	• • •	165	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	749	2,100	105
	Ramana	hanura	m talı	12	-							• • •		••	. 10	2,100	100
	1951	mapura	mir cont	<u></u>	9,259	I.		67	1		: 1		23		90		
	1952		• •		<b>7.</b> 529	8	• •	63	10	• • •	12	• •	71 ·	• •	33 12	• •	• •
	1953	••	• •	• •	6,363	9	••	31	11	• •	16	• •	46	• •		940	• •
	1000	••	••	• •	0,000	9	• •	0.1	11	• •	10	••	40	• •	121	340	• •

Average number of papers

APPENDIX XV-cont.

### Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

Year.   Register   Remission   Register   Register													per da	y per	clerk.
Tiruchirappalli district—cont.   Kolathur taluk—		Year.			Register and Sketch	Account	site	tional concession certifi- cates to	periodicals in terms of	laneous	Total.	of clerks	of the three years 1951, 1952	19	of the three years
Kolathur taluk—  1951					(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Kolathur taluk—  1951	Tiruchirann	alli dist	trict—	cont.											
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kolathur	taluk-	-												
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									2.564	118	24,253	13	7	1	
1963	1952		-										8	Ļ	7.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1953													ſ	
1951			k—			• •	• • •	•.•	_,		,		•		
1953	1951				29		2		9.683	311	36,625	10	13	7	
1953							2							Ĺ	13.7
Udayarpalayam taluk—  1951							4						14	ſ	-0 .
1951					00	••	•		0,000		00,201			٠ ر	
1952		•							2.932	115	25.865	11	8.5	)	
1953	1952	•												'ر	0.5
Madurai district— Dindigul taluk—  1951	1953												ňı	٦٢ :	
1951        32        3,924        26,010       9       10.5			• •	••	••	• •	• •	• •	0,		00,000	-0	**	J.	
1951        32        3,924        26,010       9       10.5	Dindigul	taluk-	•	5											
1952					32				3.924		26.010	9	10.5	`	
1953 30									3.924			9		l	10.5
Palni taluk—  1961												ğ		ſ	. 200
1951	Palni talı		• •		•	• •	••	••	0,021	• •	,	J	**	J	
1952								750	5.415	92	19.506	7	TÒ	1	
1953 896 5,496 84 20,914 7 11 \int \text{Nilakkottai taluk—} \\ 1951 \cdot \cd													13	L	11.3
Nilakkottai taluk— 1951									5 498		20.914		11	ſ	11.0
1951 3,897 13,826 11 4.5 ]		tai talu	k	•••	••	••	••	553	0,100	0.	,	•	11	J	
	1951								3 897		13.826	11	4.5	١.	
	1952		• • •	• • •					3,897	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	17,170	ii	6	l	5.8
1953 4,470 20,900 11 7									4 470		20,900			7	0.0

A
.70
м
Ħ
z
H
$\mathbf{H}$
a
Ħ
Ø

1951	nal talu	• • •	••	••	• •		• •		2,960		10,947	4	10	1	
1952	• •		• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	2,960	• •	10,211	4	Ď	Ţ	9.3
1953	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	2,960	• •	10,336	4	9	ſ	• •
Periakula	ım talu	ık—												-	
1951			• •	• •	• •			• •	5,001	• •	20,849	7	11	1	
1952			• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	3,977	• •	18,776	7	10	<b>,</b>	10.3
1953			• •	• •	• •		• •	••	3,574	• •	21,484	7	11	- j	
Tiruman	galam t	taluk—	•											-	
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	50		• •	• •	2,592	• •	24,766	9	10	)	
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	392		• •	• •	2,592	• •	26,091	11	8.5	}	9
1953	• •	• •	• •	• •	50		• •	• •	2,592		23,574	10	8.5	J	
Madurai	taluk-	-													
1951		• •			25			1,700	10,164	250	36,958	13	10	٦.	
1952			• •	• • •	<b>2</b> 59			2,000	10,164	283	35,859	13	10	,	10.3
1953	• •			• •	128		• •	2,000	10,164	211	39,727	13	11	)	
Melur tal	uk—							-	•					•	
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		46		<b>2,</b> 535	• •	27,415	9	11	7	
<b>1952</b>	• •		• •	• •			20	•	2,520	• •	27,720	9	11	}	11.3
1953	• .•	••	• •	• •	• • •		35	• •	2,130	• •	28,787	9	12	J	
amanatha	puram	distric	:t												
Sattur ta	-														
1951								• •	3,842	150	28,558	10	10	<b>1</b>	
1952	• • •	•••	•••	• • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3,914	175	19,640	10	7	l	8.3
1953			•••	•••	• • •	•	• • •	•••	4,003	200	21,025	10	8	(	00
Srivillipu			•••		• • •		••	••	2,000	400	21,020	10	•	~	
1951	• •		••				5		5,773	340	21,526	13	6	1	
1952	• •		• •		• •		5	••	5,773	360	20,167	13	ě	Ļ	6.8
1953	• •	••	• •	••	• •		16	•••	5,776	380	30,686	13	8.5	Í	
Aruppuk	kottai	toluk	_						.,		,			_	
1951									4,000		13,094	4	12	`	
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		••	••	4,500	<i>:</i> •	15,490	4	14	(	,14
	• •	• •	••	••	••		• •	••	5,000	•• .	17,675	4	16	۲	114
	. ••			••	• •		•••	••	. 0,000	• •	21,010	<b>T</b>	10	ر	
1953	hannra										30 /==	_	_	_	
1953 Ramanat	_								<b>4</b> 090		13 475	7	7	ז	
1953	hapura	••	• •	••	• •		••	••	4,090 4,110	••	13,475 11,815	7 7	7 6	Į	6.3

# APPENDIX XV—cont. Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

				Number of		,		er of cases	loalt with	in Special R	ericters					
	Year.			papers entered in Distri- bution Register.	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	No. 8.	No. 8-A.	No. 10-A, Water Rate.	B. Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register.	Loan Register.	Process Register.	Forest Offence Report Register.
	(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Ramanatha		distric	t-co		<b>\-</b> /	•	• •	• • •								
Paramaki				•••											•	
1951 1952 1953	••	•••	••	12,254 11,096 8,984	••	••	22,300 21,000 23,244	•	••	• •	••	37 71 74	• •	340 165 170	264 300 250	••
Mudukula 1951 1952 1953	thur t	aluk—		10,195 8,505 12,009	••	••	1 7 3	 6 4	••	10	••	112  2	••	405 581 1,495	676 652 684	••
Sivagange 1951 1952 1953	taluk	•••	••	5,408 6,802 11,030	••	••	• •	::	••	3 5 5	••	• •	•••	1,350 2,650 3,200	5,208 5,305 5,410	••
Tiruppatt	ur talu	ık—	••	•	••	••		••	••	_	••	• •	••	3,200	0,410	• •
1951 1952 1953	::		••	7,620 12,440 10,603	••	••	••	28 7	••	11 30 6	••	••	••	250 85 <b>6</b>	720	••
Tiruvada: 1951 1952 195 <b>3</b>	nai talı	uk— 	••	9,920 8,968 7,010	  5	••	10 4 30	 5 25	 5 25		••	58 38 50	••	900 710 • 480	1,200 2,000 1,800	• •
Tirunelveli	district	t—		,												
Ambasan			_													_
1951 1952 1953	••	••	••	11,561 11,893 10,992	130 36 3	 2	6,070 4,469 4,081	24 44 17	••	17 <b>6</b> 187 121	95 110 102	1,278 1,783 3,208	332 389 508	98 52 100	25 36 82	7 44 45

Nangune	ri taluk	<b>—</b>															
1951			• •	10,765	5	• •	5,604	7		227		1,225	••	108	70		
1952				10,015	1	• •	5,450	9	••	159		913	• •	512	ii	••	
1953			• •	10,944	5		2,490	10	• •	209		996		812	24	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Tenkasi t				•			-,					000	• •			••	
1951				11,821	42		3,091	8	4	130		1,465	210	28	55	28	
1952		• •		12,315	44	• •	3,126	9	2	132	••	1,418	156	14	50	35	
1953		•••	• •	12,517	9	••	4,101	10	3	133	•••	1,060	208	68	33	43	
Srivaikur	otam ta		` • •	,	•	••	1,101	-0	v	100	••	2,000	200	00	00	43	
1951				17,507			3,844	22		132		2,024		1,317	106	10	
1951	• •	• •	••	16,266	••	••	4,050	10	••	120	• •	2,398	• •	87	192	12	
	• •	. • •	• •		3	• •		9	• •	109	• •		• •				
1953	• •	• •	• •	20,188	3	••	4,431	9	• •	109	• •	2,965	• •	280	488	12	
Tiruchene	dur tal	uk															
1951	••			7,716		1	6,237	1		725	• •	1,475		41	<b>2</b> 55		
1952				7,175		2	6,820	24		512		1,619		23	219	• •	_
1953	• • •	• •		7,110	3	••	4,085	16	• • •	353	• • •	1,754	••	156	299	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>≥</b>
Koilpatti				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	• •	-,000		• • •	000	• •	-,	•••	200	-00	••	7
1951				9,480	6		2,987	7		52		474	111	1.580	112	47	APPENDICES
1952	••	••		9,710	_		2,127	i	5	39		418	148	1,676	156	30	Z
1952	• •	• •	• •	11,929	i	••	2,058	5	ĭ	58	• •	516	165	479	425	40	묘
1809	.1: 4 . 1 1		• •	11,520	-	••	2,000	J		99	• •	914	100	413	420	40	<u>G</u>
Tirunelve				12,891	36		E 00E	74		100		1 000	498	129	79	70	83
1951	• •	• •	• •			• ;	5,895		• •	196	. • •	1,633				10	-
<b>1952</b>	• •	• •	• •	11,202	35	1	<b>5,6</b> 01	20	• •	167	• •	2,004	321	85	24	-6	
1953	• •	• •	• •	12,604	1	1	6,472	13	• •	159	• •	2,824	314	1,401	26	1	
Sankaran	ıkoil ta	luk <b>⊸</b>															
1951			• •	10,870	25	• •	4,010	10	• •	44		1,224	279	549	90	25	
1952			• •	12,191	• •	• •	5,178	8	• •	39		788	297	1,691	42	40	
1953	• •	• •	• •	11,902	• •	••	7,043	2	••	43	••	625	241	3,188	33	49	
Salem distr	rict—																
Hosur ta	lmb																
1951				12,257	27	6	1,079	10	97	9		9,330	142	168	312	34	
1951	• •	• •	• •	11,135	56	-	927	13	97	26	••	5,644	147	212	335	66	
	• •	• •	• •	12,186	23	3	1,228	10	97 97	26 16	• •	6,927	120	186	343	13	
1953			• •	12,100	23	ð	1,220	10	97	10	• •	0,947	140	100	949	13	
Krishnag	ırı talu	к <del></del>		11.070	7.4	•	0.040	•		0.7		4 115	0.1	750	005	7.45	
1951	• •	• •	••	11,979	14	1	3,043	.9	• •	21	• •	4,115	81	150	287	147	
1952	• •	• •	••	11,256	164	6	2,402	19	••	31	• •	12,103	141	812	501	263	P77 ·
1953			• •	12,498	114	6	2,814	24		29		4,596	110	283	543	216	OT.

Average number of papers

												per da	y per cl	erk.
	Year.			F Line Register and Sketch Petitions.	No. 5 Account (Remissiou).	House- Site Register.	tional concession certifi- cates to students.	Number of periodicals in terms of currents.	Miscel- laneous items.	Total.	Number of clerks attending.	For each of the three years, 1951, 1952 and 1953.	3	Average of the three years, 1 to 1953.
				(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Ramanatha	puram	distri	ct—cont											
Paramaki	idi talu	ık—									_		•	
1951							• • •	9,910	• •	45,105	7	23	ı	00.0
1952	• •		• •				• •	9,370		42,002	7	22	`	22.3
1953	• • •					• •	• •	9,480	• •	42,202	. 7	<b>22</b>	J	
Mudukula													_	
1951								7,090	• •	18,479	5 5	13	)	
1952	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••	• • •				7,090		16,851	5	12	}	13.3
1953	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	••			7,090		21,315	5	15	J	
Sivagange			••	• •	• -			•					_	
1951	··					••		5,596	• •	17,565	4	16	1	
1952		• •		• • •				5,642	• •	20,494	4	18.5	}	19.2
1953	• •	• •		• • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •	5,745		25,390	4	23	• ]	
Tiruppati	 +1:-		••	••	• • •	, ••		•		•			_	
117appan 1951					••			3.387		11,018	5	8	)	
1952	• •	• •	• • •	• •		•••	••	4,263		17,011	5	12	}	10.5
1953	• •	• •	••	. • •	•• .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		3,662		15,854	5	11.5	1	
Tiruvada		.1-	• •	• •	• •	• •	••	. 0,000		•			•	
1951								4,898	••	16,986	6	10	7	
1951 1952	• •	• •	• •	••	• •		•••	4,860		16,595	6	10	ļ	10
1952 1953		• •		••	• •	• •		4,727	••	14,177	5	10	1	
Tirunelveli	3:		• • •	• •	••	••	• •	2,		,	•		,	
			1_											
Ambasan	uyaram	ı taiu	K	96		152		3,989	984	25,017	9	10	٦	
1951	• •	• •			• •	125	••	4,032	987	24,196	9	10	Ų	10
1952	••.	• •	• •	109	••	70	• •	4,433	953	24,804	ğ	10	ſ	
<b>1</b> 95 <b>3</b>	••	• •	••	87	••	70	• •	3,300	200	~ ±,00 <del> ±</del>	•	10	,	

	ri taluk	<del>-</del>													
1951			• •	134	• •	34	••	5,251	• •	23,430	9	9.5	٦.		
1952				93		54	• •	5,010	••	22,227	ğ	9	l	9.5	
1953	•••	• •	••	111	•••	20	•	9,400		25,021	9		7	A.O	
Tenkasi t			••		• •	20	• •	0,200	• • •	20,021	y	10	J		
1951				62		0.0		4 5 4 4	21	97 700	_	_	_		
	• •	• •	• •		• •	33	• •	4,544	71	21,592	9	9	ו		
1952	• •	• •	• •	<b>54</b>	• •	48	• •	4,849	40	22,292	9	9	}	9	
1953		• •	• •	67	• •	16	•	4,056	18	22,342	9	9	1	-	
Srivaikun	ıtam ta	luk-						•		•	-	٣,			
1951				174		••		7,970	803	33,909	17	7	•		
1952				182			••	8,050	910		17		ı		
1953	• •	• •	••		• •	• •	• •	0,000		32,277	17	7	` }	<b>7</b> ·5	
	• •	• •	• •	148	• •	• •	• •	8,378	1,095	38,106	16	8.5	J		
Tirucheno		uk—										1			
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	<b>6,456</b>		22,906	12	7	1		
1952	• •		• •				• •	6,624		23,018	12	7	Ţ	6.7	
1953		• •	••	••	• •		• •	6,672	••	20,448	12	Ġ	- {	٠.	h-
Koilpatti						• •	• •	٠,٠.٠	••	20,120	~~	. •	J		¥
1951				15				3,588	509	10 000		•	_		APPENDICES
1952	• •	• •	• •		• •	• •	• •			18,968	11	6	1		본
		• •	• •	16	• •	• •	• •	3,615	540	18,481	11	6	}	6.3	3
1953	• •	• •	• •	2	• •	• •	• •	3,932	595	20,206	11	7	J		₽
Tirunelve	eli taluk	ζ						**		-			-		Q
1951				69	• •	15		3,092	342	24,959	11	8	٦.		턵
1952	•••		•••	84	• • •	19	•••	3,340	414	23,323	ii	8	l l	8.3	ш
1953				78		46		9 975		20,020 07 020	11	0	7	9.9	
	•••	• •	• •	10	••	40	• •	3,275	624	27,839	11	9	J		
Sankaran	koil tal	luk—													
1951	••			53	••	14	• •	3,428		20,621	8	a	1		
1952	•••	• • •		47		9		3,428		.23,758	8	9 11	- (	10.7	
1953			••	58	• •	18	• •	9.400	• •	.40;100	9	11	7	10.4	
	• •	• •	• •	00	• •	19	• •	3,428	• •	26,630	8	12	J		
alem distri	ict	•													
Hosur tal	luk—														
1951				58	97			3,006	22	26,654	11 '	0	٦.		
1952		• •	••		01	. • •		0,000		20,004	11	9	- 1		
	• •	• •	• •	19	97	• •	• •	3,006	4	21,784	11	7.	⊁	8	
<b>1953</b>	• •	• •	• •	52	97	• •	• •	3,006	2	24,309	11	8	J		
Krishnag	iri talu	k—											_		
1951	• •		••		• •	. 3		7,321		27,171	16	6	7		
1952	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	15		6,970	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	34,683	14	6 9	· ·	7.5	-
1904		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••			18				97115	13		r	1.0	57
1952 195 <b>3</b>				• •	• •	10	• •	5,864	• •	27,115	LO	7.0			~

# APPENDIX XV—cont. Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

				Number	- v	ares of	correst	onuen	CE 110	1 ai	uk ojji	ices-	-com	J.				
				of papers			Num	be <b>r of ca</b>	ses deal	t with	in Speci	al Reg	isters.					
	Year.			entered in Distri- bution Register.	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	No.	8.	No. 8-A.	W	. 10-A, ater tate.	B. Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register.	Loan Register.	Process Register.	Forest Offence Report Register.
Calam diata	(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7	')	(8)		(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Salem distr	ricu-co	nt. 1-							•				<b>\-</b> /	(/	(,	<b>\</b> /	(10)	(11)
Dharma <sub>l</sub> 1951	-			70.000														
1952	• •	• •	• •	10,993	<b>52</b>	• •	2,838		11			83		4,786	121	177	372	• •
1952	• •	• •	• •	10,717	30	• •	2,571		8			28		4 0 77 3	99	261	591	•••
	.11-	• •	• •	12,030	14	• •	3,091		10			19		4 411	128	259	302	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Salem ta	ııuk								•								202	••
1951	• •	• •	• •	12,557	101	2	3,365		30			51		9,328	102	219	155	331
1952	• •	•••	• •	11,151	105	1	3,071		35			25		0.483	134		178	120
1953	::	• •	• •	11,568	137	1	3,210		37			20	•	0 011	64		190	124
Omalur	taluk—	•					•					_		0,011	0.	200	100	124
1951	• •	• •	• •	14,038	52	1	2,304		21			51				395	900	116
1952	• •	• •	• •	14,834	309	2	1,845		25			53	• • •	70.004	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	303	1,985	103
1953	• •	• •	• •	14,915	24	2	2,088		19	• • •		31	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11 400		111	2,010	103 57
Harur ta	luk—						•			• •			••	11,100	• •	111	2,010	97
1951	• •	• •		9,936	27	8	2,065		2			11		11,655	•	235	726	3.40
1952	• •	• •	• •-	10,886	20	5	2,126		5	• • •		16	• •	10 004	• •	327		140
1953	• •	• •		<b>15,</b> 565	40	1	2,045		ĭ			12	• •	70.010	• •		1,010	150
Namakk	al taluk	<b>└</b> ─		•	- +	_	-,0-0		•				• •	10,210	• •	366	898	69
1951	• •	• •		13,629	· 63		2,123		13			63	80		9 4 4 1	314	40=	
1952		• •		12,819	69	•••	2,263		8			47	49		3,441		405	•••
1953	• •	• •	٠.	11,538	70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2,486		• •	• •		40	50		3,947	344	395	190
Rasipur	taluk-	-		•		• •	_,100		• •	• •		10	00	••	6,247	525	551	275
1951				9,092	106		1,315		19			25	41	4.000	040			
1952		• •		7,700	48	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1,500		9	• •		51	53	-,	243	300	150	122
1953	• •		• •	7,410	193	3	1,853		10	• •		59	102	-,	150	779	246	98
Tirucher	igode ta	ıluk		.,	-00	•	1,000		10	• •	,	บฮ	102	5,080	137	401	211	141
1951	•••			16,965	2		1,772					11		0.000	40.			
1952			• •	16,080	3	• •	1,613		• •	• •		26	• •	2,382	404	• •	37	• •
1953			••	9,607	3	• •	1,010		• •	• •		20 32	• •	2,937	. 320	• •	41	• •
			• •	0,001	J	• •	1,412		• •	• •	•	04	• •	2,503	186		39	

Attur tal 1951 1952	luk— 	••		8,657 10,319	863 477	5 9	4,135 3,821	10 16	••	271 177	124 129	18,570 16,535	228 256	196 1,021	872 819	155 67	
1953	••	••	•••	12,384	836	7	4,045	24	•••	131	138	19,323	188	259	843	128	
Coimbatore	distric	t		•			-	•				-					
Pollachi	taluk—	•															
1951	• •	• •	• •	10,695	• •		1,042	9		67	• •	1,445	• •	180	200	37	
1952			• •	11,329	• •		1,554	7		16	• •	1,983	• •	180	200	45	
1953			• •	13,033	• •	• •	1,662	7		9		2,188	• •	180	200	60	
Coimbate	ore talu	k—		-													
1951			• •	22,837	• •	• •	2,258	9	• •	5 <b>8</b>	• •	3,638	444	162	288	21	
1952	• •			18,555	• •		2,539	17	• •	71	• •	3,416	436	124	164	42	
1953			• •	23,527	1	• •	2,368	22		50	• •	4,580	385	75	<b>2</b> 9 <b>6</b>	73	
Udamalp	et talu	k		-			-					-					
1951	••			8,278	25	• •	1,514	2		167	• •	1,473	• •	908	37		
1952	• •			5,999	• •		1,162	1		44	• •	1,239	• •	1,034	29		<b>⊳</b> .
195 <b>3</b> ·				8,228	••	• •	1,538	1		79		1,486	• •	402	3		H
Erode ta	lulz			•			•					-					APPENLICES
1951				. 11,897	14	2	6,401			375		3,852	241		11		Z
1951	• •			13,060	11	•••	5,885	• •	• •	299	• •	3,25 <b>6</b>	346	626	10	••	Ħ
1952	• •	• •	••	24,189			6,076	165	• •	359	••	3,425	370	731	60	• •	2
	• •	••	• •	24,103	• •	• •	0,010	100	• •	909	••	0,420	910	101	UU	• •	<b>2</b>
Dharapu	ram ta	luk—															
1951	• •	• •	• •	5,950	15	••	2,971	40	• •	84	• •	1,533	• •	257		• •	
1952			• •	5,937	••		3,024	27	• •	67	• •	1,486	• •	• •	• •		
1953			• •	6,573	••	• •	3,437	72	• •	92	•••	1,726	• •	• •	• •		
Tiruppur	· taluk-	-		•							-						
1951	• •	• •	• •	7,700	373		4,125	• •		132	٠,٠	1,637	• •	5,284	422	• •	
1952				8,012	259	• •	3,337	5		80	.'.	1,961		3,791	<b>275</b>		
1953		• •		11,237	189		3,656	3		85	• •	2,498	• •	1,778	432	• •	
Gobichet		am tal	uk	•			7							•			
1951	• • • •	• •		12,649	27		4,002	279		206	150	5,287	392	218	69	67	
1952	••	••	• •	10,652	29	• • •	4,815	181	• •	188	161	5,360	398	94	74	4	
1953		• •	• •	14,410	11	3	2,819	168	• •	191	165	5,406	285	192	573	5	
Avanashi				-,		-	-,					- •					
1951	• • •			7,619	10	2	3,800	2		48		1,293		121	40		
1952	• • •	• • •		8,867	ĩš	ī	4.700	8	•	35	• • •	1,987	• •	308	30	• •	_
1953	• • •	••	•••	10,520	16	. <u>-</u>	4,820	3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	59	• • •	1,871	•••	414	50	••	59

•

Average number of papers

APPENDICES

### APPENDIX XV—cont.

Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

							•					per day	y per (	elerk.
	Year.			F' Line Register and Sketch petitions.	No. 5 Account (Remission).	House Site Register.	Educa- tional concession certifi- cates to students.	Number of periodicals in terms of currents.	Miscel- laneous items.	Total.	Number of clerks attending.	For each of the three years 1951, 1952 and 1953.		Average of the three years 51 to 1953.
<b>a.</b>				(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	` (21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Salem distr														
Dharmar	puri tal	uk								0 = 000		_	_	
1951	• •	• •	••	• •	· • •	130	• •		126	25,890	12	8	٦.	
1952	• •	••	• •	• •	• •	8		6,112	63	25,359	12	8	}	8· <b>3</b>
1953		• •	•••	• •	• •	9	• •	5,826	116	26,215	11	9	J	
Salem ta	luk													
1951	• •	• •	• •	86				4,024	• •	36,351	12	11	)	
1952	• •	• •		79				5,595		30,142	. 12	9	}	9.7
1953		·		59				3,992		28,563	12	9	J	
Omalur 1	taluk	•								` .				
1951		• •	• •				• •			22,292	9	9	1	
<b>1952</b>								5,783		. 35,576	9	14.5	}	12.8
1953		• •	• •					6,315		37,062	. 9	15	]	
Harur te	aluk—							Ţ.					•	
1951							• •	7,236		32,041	9.	13	3	
1952		`						7 014	• •	35,225	9	14	ļ	14
195 <b>3</b>								0 600		37,895	9	15	1	- <del>-</del>
Namakk	cal talul	k—						,		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		•	
1951			• •	25	••			5,312		25,468	10	9	3	
1952	•••		• •	14			• •	E 200	••	25,445	10	ğ	Į	9.3
1953				11	••		• •	E 940		27,141	10	10	ſ	• •
Rasipur					. ••	, ,		2,0		,			,	
1951	• •			46	••	113		7,773		24,011	4	22	<b>1</b>	
1952	• •	• •	• •	35		10		0.990	••	24,497	$ar{4}$	22	l	22
1953	• • •	•••	•••	33		63		0 419	••	24,109	4	$\frac{22}{22}$	ſ	
Tirucher			•••	-	••		• •	0,110	• •	-1,100	•		•	
1951				••		53		7,026	••	28,652	9	11.5	•	
1952						89	• •	0 760		27,871	Š	ii	ŧ	10.2
1953	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	56	• •	E 000	• • •	19,728	9	8	ſ	10.4
2000	. ••	• •	• •	• •	• •	50	• •	0,000	••	10,120	ð	0	J	

Attur ta	luk													
1951			••	42	• •	• •	• •	5,361	• •	39.489	13	11	1	
1952				62		• •		5,383		39,091	14	10	Ų	11
1953	•••	• •		79	••	••	••	4,936	• • •	44,321	13	12	ſ	•••
				•••	• • •	• •	• • •	2,000	• • •	11,022	40		,	
Coimbator	e distri	c <b>t</b>												
Pollachi	taluk-	_						•						
1951			• •	361	••	25		4,351	••	18,412	7	9.5	<b>)</b> .	
1952			••	373	••	60		4,406		20,153	7	10	Ţ	10.3
1953	• • •	••	••	385	••	100		4,364	•••	22,188	7	11.5	(	
Coimbat			••	000	••	200	••	±,00±	••	22,100	•	11.0	•	
1951					~	172		4,508	72	34,467	9	14	_	
	• •	• •	•,•	• •	••	94	• •						- 1	10.0
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		• •	4,508	72	30,038	9	12	- }	13.5
1953	* • •	• •	• •	• •	• •	164	••	4,508	72	36,121	9	14.5	J	
Udumal	pet tal	ık—	,											
1951				• •	• •			3,300		15,704	12	5	1	
1952				•			•••	3,300	•••	12,808	12	4	l	4.7
1953	• •	• •	••	• •	••	••	••	3,300		15,037	12	5	ſ	T. 1
	11.	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		3,300	• •	15,037	14	b	j	
Erode te	aiuk—									00.011	••		_	
1951	• •		• •	• •	• •	••	• •	6,828	• •	29,611	10	11	- 1	
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	7,049	• •	30,452	10	11	· }	12.3
<b>1953</b>	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	• •	• •	6,942		42,317	10	15	J	
Dharapt	irom to	luk						-					_	
1951			• •			••		5.845		16,695	10	6	`	
1952	• •	• •		• •	• •			6,063	• •	16,604	10	6	ŧ	6.2
	• •	• •	. ••	• •	• •	• •	• •	0,000	• •			6.2	7	0.2
1953		• •	• • •	• •	• •	• •	• •	6,074	• •	17,974	10	0.9	J	
Tiruppu	r taluk-	_										_	_	
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		• •	5,845	• •	25,518	10	9	1	
1952		• •	• •	• •	• •	• •		6,063	• •	23,783	10	9	}	$9 \cdot 2$
1953			• •	• •	• •	• •		6,074		25,952	10 .	9.5	J	
Gobiche	44:1	4-1	1.					•		-			•	
Gobiche				0=		70		0.005		90 875	^	7.9	•	
1951	• •	• •	• •	25	• •	79	••	9,225	••	32,675	. 9	13	Ų	13.3
1952	• •	• •	• •	25	••	32	• •	9,473	• •	31,396	9	13	- }	13.3
1953		• •	• •	32	• •	140	• •	9,665	<b>.</b> •	34,065	9	14	J	
Avanash	ni taluk	_				4				•			_	
1951				• •	2.1	• •	. • •	5,370	• •	18,305	11	6	7	
1952	• •			••	• •	••	••	5,458	• •	21,409	11	7	}	7
1953	••	••	••	••	••	::	• •	5,542	••	23,295	11	8	ı	

APPENDICES

### APPENDIX XV—cont.

## Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

Year.   full color					Number of			Numl	oer of ca	ses dealt v	vith i	n Special	Registers.					
Bhavani taluk		Year.			in Distri- bution	No. 4.	No. 5.	No. 6.	No. 7.	No. 8.	N	o. 8-A.	Water	B. Memo.	Appli- cation			Report
Bhavani taluk—  1951		(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-cont.															
1953   10,399   8		• •	••	• •														
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			• •				$\frac{2}{1}$											
1951			• •	• •	10,399	0	1	2,099		94	• •	100	) 98	9 0,734	288	90	39	109
1952					6.324	287	1	1.556		3		1:	3 1	8 10.557	112	206	100	15
1953					5,660		ī											
The Nilgiris district—  Coonoor taluk—  1951 6,097						540									112	302		
1951	The Nilgiris	district-	-		-			•						•				
1952 6,110 2 1,442 5 28 249 210 130 543		aluk—																
1953		••	• •	• •			• •				• •							• •
Ootacamund taluk—  1951		••	• •	• •		2	• •				• •							
1951				• •	6,262	• •	• •	1,810	,	В	• •	3	,	. 397	419	155	593	••
1952 12,133			<u></u>		0.716	90	1 19	0.714		0.77		10		000	404	1 004		
1953 12,216 2 3,602 30 86 2,220 738 1,708 643		-									••		Δ.	1 099				• •
Gudalur taluk—  1951 6,011 1																		
1951 6,011 1 32 10 6 6,307 35 12			••	••	12,210	2	. ••	0,002	,	30	• •	G		. 2,220	190	1,100	043	••
1952 4,716 1					6 011	1		29	)	10			R	6 307		25	19	
1953 6,030 18 42 12 7 3,002 43 42 Malabar district—  Chirakkal taluk—  1951 21,818 2 5,235 298 548 11 1,014 20 3,046																		-
Malabar district— Chirakkal taluk—  1951		•			6,030								7					
Chirakkal taluk—  1951 21,818 2 5,235 298 548 11 1,014 20 3,046  1952 23,246 6 4 8.903 650 897 216 1,080 28 3,388			••	••	0,000	10	••	74	•	12	••		• •	. 0,002	• •	40	44	••
1951 21,818 2 5,235 298 548 11 1,014 20 3,046 1952 23,246 6 4 8.903 650 897 216 1,080 28 3,388																		
1952 23,246 6 4 8.903 650 897 216 1,080 28 3,388			1.4		21.818	2		5.235		298		54	R.	. 11	1.014	20	3 048	
** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **		-																
		••	••	•••	21,640	••	-	6,694			• • •						3,749	••

Kottayar	m taluk-	_												_			
1951	• •	• •	••	14,032	18	• •	10,624	699	• •	860	• •	27	• •	25	6,730	• •	
1952	• • •	• •	• •	12,665	20	• •	12,732	762	• •	691	• •	17	• •	18	3,895	• •	
1953	••		• •	17,149	7	• •	8,367	<b>364</b>	• •	1,024	• •	55	• •	27	3,601	• •	
Kurumbi	ranad ta	luk															
1951		• •	• •	19,105	• •	• •	2,469	171	• •	136	• •	20	1,487	24	2,769	• •	
1952	• •		• •	17,372	• •	• •	1,579	318	• •	245	• •	85	1,107	24	2,942	• •	
1953	• •	• •	• •	20.198	• •	• •	1,898	598	• •	349	• •	93	1,259	19	<b>3,</b> 05 <b>4</b>		
Wynaad	4 a lunio																
1951				14,929	912		1,492	150		210		1.311	190	85	703		
1951 1952	• •	• •	•	15,560	463	• •	878	98	• •	392	••	93	164	116	770	••	
	• •	• •		18,900	139	• •	1,178	183	• •	351	• •	202	210	,	912	• •	
1953	• •	• •	• •	10,800	139	• •	1,170	109	• •	991	••	202	210	•••	912	••	
Ernad ta	luk—																
1951				12,342	3	• •	3,628	312		133		20	476	252	5,493		▶
1952				13,870	1	••	4,115	374		238		29	451	595	6,037		P
1953				12,052	1	• •	2,948	230		222		61	303	843	4,786		Ħ
Walluvar		k—		•			•	_							-		APPENDICES
1951				14,207	2		4,972	843-		790		16	366	684	5,544		Ħ
1952	•••		•••	14,456	1		5,072	777		1,008		104	396	603	7,176		G
1953		••	• • •	15,904	$ar{2}$	••	6,100	1.692	• •	1,140	• •	216	336	660	11,013		8
Palghat (		••	• •	,	_		-,	-,		•					•		-
1951	•••			15.512	2	1	1,817	451		432		39	496	290	1,009		
1952	•••	••		22,161	<u> 1</u>		3,311	391	• •	289		34	326	542	1,113		
1953	• • •	• •		18,244	3	1	2,060	471		320		75	371	1,641	1,823		
Ponnani		•••	• •	_0,	•	_	_,					•			•		
1951	•••			19,411			1,273	405		256		. 30	755	95	1,931		
1952	• • •	••	••	19,353	••	ì	1,272	675	••	293	• •	. 63	1,031	735	2,986		
1953	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	17,504	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1,374	737	•••	319	• • •	185	577	477	5,264		
Kozhiko			••	11,001	••	••	2,012	•••	••		• • •				-,		
1951				19,554	. 3		5,878	475	• •	1,157		118		16	3,149		
1952	• •	• •	• •	16,733	3	• •	4,707	911	• • •	1,062	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	297		80	2,829	••	
1952	• •	• •	• •	20,836	_	• •	4.188	1,353		848	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	241	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		4,684	•••	
South Kana	ana diata		• •	20,030	••	• •	4,100	1,000	••	010	••		••	• • •	1,001	• • •	
Udipi tal		100															
1951				9,833	1,043		1,720	27		160		72	926	22	674	90	
1951	••	• •	• •	10,787	813	3	2,011	<b>65</b>	••	221	• •	204	817	19	793	76	
1952 <b>19</b> 53	• •	• •	• •		770	3	1.623	81	• •	179	••	207	792	21	567	97	63
1993	• •	- •	• •	10,428	770	3	1,023	01	• •	119	• •	201	102	-1		• • •	73

APPENDIX XV-cont.

### Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

					1 iyurca	oj corres	ponaence	in Luiun	ojj 1008—-00	Д.		Average n per da		
	Year.			Register and Sketch Petitions.	No. 5 Account (Remission).	House- Site Register.	Educational concession certificates to students.	Number of periodicals in terms of currents.	Miscel- laneous Items.	Total.	Number of clerks attending.	For each of the three years 1951, 1952 and 1953.	19	Average of the three years 951 to 1953.
Coimbatore	distric	t-con	ı.	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Bhavani	taluk-													
1951	• •			• • •	• •	89		4,523	• •	22,064	7	11.5	)	
1952		• •			••	38		4,357		18,999	7		}	11.5
<b>1953</b>			• •		••,	65		4,284	• •	24,911	7	1Q 13	_	
Kollegal :	taluk—	•			•								•	
1951	• •	• •	• •	9		119	<b>3</b> 50		200	<b>23,993</b>		9	}	
1952	• •	• •	• •	10	• •	113	475		200	25,470		9	}	10.2
<b>1</b> 95 <b>3</b>	• •	• •	• •	25	• •	108	580	5,409	250	34,245	10	12.5	j	
The Nilgirie	distric	:t						•					_	
Cooncor							•							
1951						94		3,242	75	11,961	3	14	1	
1952		• •			••	66		3,278	184	12,247	3	15	}	15
1953	• •	• •				43		3,326	216	13,257	3	16	- [	
Ootacam	und tal	luk								-		•	•	
1951	• •	••	• •	• •	• •		• •	2,462	188	18,274	7	9.5	•	
1952	• •	• •		• •	••		• •	2,172	328	21,473	8	10	}	10.2
1953	: :	• •	• •		• •	••		2,235	456	23,936	8	11	J	
Gudalur	taluk—	-											•	
1951	• •	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	,••	3,596	• •	16,010	3	19	7	
1952	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	••		• •	13,658	3	16 17	}	17.3
1953		• •	• •		• •	••	<b>′••</b>	<b>3,6</b> 05	••	13,701	3	17	j	
Malabar die Chirakka														
Chirakka 1951			•	100				0.000		40.050		^=	_	
1951 1952	• •	••	• •	182	• •	• •	••	9,896	• •	42,070	6	25	}	
1952 1953		• •	••	234 172	••	• •	• •	10,019	• •	48,671	6,	29 27	}	27
1800	• •	• •	• •	172	•••	• •	• •	10,090	• •	44,720	6	27	J	

	APPENDICES
<b>.</b>	CES

	Kottayar	n taluk	<del></del>												
	1951		20		••	••	• •	• •	- 6,450	••	39,465	<b>'4</b>	-0.0		
	1952				••	••	•••		· 6,473		37,27 <b>3</b>	4	36	1	- · -
	1953				••			••	6,498	• •		4	34	}	34.7
	Kurumbr			•••	••	••	••	••	0,230	• •	37,092	4	34	j	
	1951	•••			80				9,242	734	36,237	•		_	
Ð	1952	•••		• •	112	••	••	••	· 9,210	689		6	22	1	
뛁	1953			••	136	• •	ŧ •	••	0 553		33,683	6	20	}	. 21.7
d.r.a.e.c.,	Wynaad	tolule		••	100	• •	• •	. • •	• 9,553	<b>486</b>	37,643	6	23	J	
-	1951			• •	168				* · ·		02.00-	_		7	
-	1952	• •	• •	• •		••	••	••	7,013	159	27,331	7	14	<b>ो</b>	
<u>.</u>			••	••	126	• •	••	• •	7,214	147	26,021	7	13.5	}	14.2
	1953	• • `	• •	• •	151	• •	• •	••	. 7,256	-111	<b>29,593</b>	7	15	1	
VOL.	Ernad tal	luk	• •	• •					• •					•	
Ě	1951	• •	4.4	••	180	• •	• •	• •	- 5,017	63	27,919	7	14	``	
	1952	• •	••	• •	170	• •	••	• •	5,138	143	31,161	7	16	Ţ	14.7
-11	1953	• •	• •	• •	138	••	• •	• •	5,515	97	27,196	7	14	1	
- 1	Walluvar	ad talı	ık	• •					• •		•			,	
Ċī	1951	• •		**	154	• •		• •	10,353	386	38,317	5	28	٦.	
	1952	•' •'		**	162	•• '	• •		10,387	557	40,699	5	29	·	30.7
	1953		••	• •	168	• •	• •	• •	10,436	363	48,030	5	35	ጉ	90.1
	Palghat t	-1-1-							50,500	000	20,000	•	50	J	
	1951	• •		• •	100				**			:			
		**	• •	**	138	• •	• •	• •	15,590	2,168	37,945	8	-17	1	
	1952	**	• •	•••	78	• •	• •	• •	14,558	1,549	<b>44,353</b>	8	20	· }	18.7
	<b>1953</b>	• •	• •	• •	91	• •	• •	• •	15,018	972	41,090	8	19	1	
	Ponnani i	taluk—	• **											•	
	1951	••	•-•		244	••	••		10,119	982	35,441	9	14	`	
	1952		• •	•	259	••			10,371	917	·37,957	ŏ	15	- 1	14
	1953	•••	•••	••	210		••	• •	10,683	620	35,950	9	14·5	7	14.5
				••	210	• • •	••	••	10,000	020	30,500	ð	14.0	J	
	Kozhikod	le taluk	<del>-</del>							*					
	1951	• •	••	••	• •	••	• •	••	6,861	. +9	37,211	7	19	)	
	1952	• •	••	• •	• •	11		• •	· <b>7,730</b>		<b>34,</b> 352 ·	7	17.5	<b>,</b>	19
	<b>1953</b>	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	7,631	• •	39,781	7	21		
									~					•	
1	South Kans	ıra dist	rict—												
	Udipi tal	uk													
	1951			••	112	• •	5		3,706	580	18,965	9	0	•	
	1952	• • •			96		••	••	3,966	489	20,360	. 8	0	- {	***
	1953		••	••	84	• •	. • •	••		297		9	8 8 8	7	10.9
	1000	••	••	••	0#	• •	• 2	72	4,074	297	19,223	y	8	j	

APPENDICES

Figures of correspondence in Taluk offices—cont.

	Number of	Number of cases dealt with in Special Registers.										Forest	
Year.	papers entered· in Distri- bution Register.	No. 4.	No. 5.	No.6.	No. 7	No. 8.	No. 8-A.	No. 10-A Water Rate.	B. Memo.	Copy Appli- cation Register	Loans Register. r.	Process Register.	Offence Report Register.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
South Kanara district—conf.				. • .									
Coondapoor taluk-													
1951 1952 1953	10,536 11,232 11,099	531 519 851	ï	1,054 1,172 1,094	17. 21. 43		103 70 104	••	63 67 174	• •	10 22 26	205 553 246	85 116 129
Karkal taluk-										,			
1951 1952 1953	7,383 7,995 8,863	970 766 1,262	** 3 5	824 694 963	35 <sup>.</sup> 51 <sup>.</sup> 71	••	275 198 237	••	125 124 164	57 <b>7</b> 582 <b>6</b> 99	23 48 27	357 421 369	233 136 214
Kasaragod taluk-				• •	_				* *	•			
1951 1952 1953	11,148 10,601 10,734	4,235 4,208 4,286	1 5 6	3,724 3,928 4,110	50. 66 90	• •	215 284 280	••	280 393 422	913 932 1,080	27 24 21	768 615 643	••
Puttur taluk—				•		٠			•				
1951 1952 1953	10,881 10,175 11,020	2,059 1,574 2,738	2 	914 1,226 1,053	27 42 38	• •	444 324 243	• • •.• • •	138 344 216	571 558 722	20 21 12	250 349 275	207 180 73
Mangalore taluk—				• •									
1951 1952 1953	21,365 · 22,112 · 22,855 · ·	1,720 2,497 1,791	2 38 29	2,617 2,447 2,549	85 68 143	••	521 794 845	••	366 447 520	• •	26 22 14	1,672 1,374 547	5 11 9

Average number of papers

												per day	per cl	erk.	
D.B.		Year.			Register and Sketch Petitions.	No. 5 Account (Remission).	House- site Register.	Educa- tional concession certifi- cates to students.	Number of periodicals in terms of currents	Miscel- laneous Items.	Total.	Number of clerks attending.	For each of the three years . 1951, 1952 and 1953.		Average of the three years 51 to 1953.
A.E.C.,					(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19/	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)		(24)
Ħ	Coondapo	or talu	ık—			-									
Ģ	1951	••	• •	• •	33	• •	• •	• • •	5,460	• •	18,097	7	9 `	٦.	
	1952	••	• • •	••	34	• •	• •		5.357		19,164	7		l	9.3
₽OŢ.	1953	• •	• •	••.	17	••	• •	••	5,532	••	19,315	8	10 9	ſ	• •
ř	Karkal te	duk—							4.7		•	6		•	
Ħ	1951	•••	••		31	• •	13		3,394	416	14,656	7	8	•	
7	1952				37		17		3,431	428	14,931	ż		ı	8.3
1	1953	••	••	••	30	• •	14	• •	<b>3,</b> 595	327	16,840	8	8 9	7	Ó.9
Ċī A		••	••	••	•	. ••		••	0,000	021	10,040	0	•	3	
	Kasarago	od talul	<b>-</b>			•									
	1951	• •	• •	• •	••	• •	• •	<u>.</u>	7,645		29,006	13	. 8	)	
	1952	• •	• •	• •	• •		• •	٠.	7,890	• •	28,946	13	8	}	.7.7
	1953	• •	••	• •			• •	• •	8,059	• •	29,731	15	8 8 7	J	
	Puttur te	duk				•							,		
	1951	•••	••	••		••		••	4,080	1,353	20,946	9	8.5	1	
	1952	•••		••		•	••	•••	4.120	1,545	20,458	ğ	8·5 8	3	8.5
	1953		• •				•		4,220	1,400	22,010	9	ğ.		00
		••		••	••	. • •	••	••	2,220	2,200	22,010		•	,	
	Mangalor	re taluk	<u></u>												
	1951	• •	• •'	• •	95	• •	• •	• •	4,375	977	33,826	11	11	1	
	1952	• 7 3	• •	• •	96	<b>.</b> .	• •	• •	4,389	1,490	35,785	11	12	}	1 <u>1</u> .3
	1953	•• '	• •	• •	101	••	• •	• •	4,409	759	34,571	11	11	J.	-

Note.—(1) In calculating the number of clerks for correspondence, the record-keeper, the typist and nagadi (treasury) clerk have been excluded.

(2) The number of papers per clerk per day has been worked out on the assumption that there are 23 working days in the month.

#### APPENDIX XVI.

## (Vide paragraph 117 of the Report.)

List of Taluk offices in which one post of typist has been created by conversion of a permanent post of lower division clerk.

		Di	strict.			Taluk.
1 Chingleput	••	••	••	••		1 Saidapet. 2 Sriperumbudur. 3 Tiruvellore. 4 Ponneri. 5 Chingleput. 6 Kancheepuram. 7 Maduranthakam.
2 South Arcot	••	••	••	••	•	1 Cuddalore. 2 Villupuram. 3 Vridhachalam. 4 Tirukkoyilur. 5 Kallakurichi. 6 Tindivanam. 7 Chidambaram. 8 Gingee.
3 Tiruchirappalli	.**	\$-\$-	· = • •	••		<ol> <li>Tiruchirappalli.</li> <li>Udayarpalayam.</li> <li>Perambalur.</li> <li>Lalgudi.</li> <li>Karur.</li> <li>Musiri.</li> <li>Kulittalai.</li> </ol>
4 Ramanathapuram	•	••	••	•••	••	<ol> <li>Tiruppattur.</li> <li>Sivaganga.</li> <li>Szivilliputtur.</li> </ol>
5 Malabar	••	••	• •	••	••	1 Palghat. 2 Walluvanad. 3 Kurumbranad
6 South Kanara.		•••		· ••	: •.•.	<ol> <li>Mangalore.</li> <li>Udipi.</li> <li>Coondapoor.</li> <li>Karkal.</li> <li>Puttur.</li> <li>Kasargod.</li> </ol>

Note.—For the two Taluk offices in Madras there are sanctioned posts of typists, one in each Taluk office. In the Taluk office, Ootacamund, and the independent sub-taluk at Hosdrug, a post of typist each has been sanctioned on a temporary basis.

APPENDIX XVII.

## (Vide paregraph 145 of the Report.) Figures of correspondence in Divisional offices.

District and name of division.			) (ar		Number of papers shown in the Distribution Register.	Number of periodicals in terms of papers.	Total.	Number of clerks excluding Head Clerk.	Average number of papers per day per clerk,	number of papers per clerk per day for three years.
(1)			(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Chingleput district—				• •			A A = m*			
Chingleput			1951		7,230	1,403	8,633	4	8 7	7.3
OmmBrof -	•		1952	::	8,495	1,403	9,898	6	6 8 7	<b>&gt;</b>
			1050	::	9,099	1,403	10,502	5	8	١,
Tiruvellore			30-3	• •	10,927	715	11,642	6.		ľ
Tiruvellore	* *	• •	1050	••	9,802	865	10,667	4	10	9.3
•			1050	• •	10,072	1,741	11,813	4	11	}
North Arcot district-	<b>_</b>							_		
Tirupattur	• • •	• •	1951	•	13,716	1;100	14,816	8	9	
II upatour	• • •		1000	• •	14,227	1,110	15,337	6	9	9⋅3
			3050	• •	14,765	1,231	15,996	6	10	
Daninak		::	10-1	•	12,665	949	13,614	5	10	١.
Ranipet	••	••	1050	• •	14,998	1.008	16,006	5	12	11.3
			1050	• •.	15,545	1,402	16,947	5	12	l
m: :			1051	•.•.	19,659	1,525	21,184	4	19 5	i.
Tiruvannamalai	• •	• •	1050	•.•.	15,260	1,451	16,711	4	15	16.6
			1050	•.•.		1,340	17,245	<b>ā</b> .	16	
			1953	•,•,	15,905	1,040	11,220	-		,,
South Arcot district-	-		3023		10.050	1,610	17,683	6	11	1
Tindivanam	• •	• •		• •	16,073		19,663		12	12
				• •	18,053	1,610		6 6	13	ſ <b>*</b> *
				• •	19,672	1,562	21,234	ų ,	i7 5	
Chidambaram	• •	• •		• •	17,138	1,896	19,034	4	21	20
			1952	• •	21,718	1,936	23,654	4	21	20
			1050	• •	22,207	1,953	24,160	4	22	!
Tirukkoyılur			1951	• •	19,157	1,603	20,760	5	15	
In delicy in the	- •	•		• • •	23,378	1,603	. 24,981	5	18	} 17·6
			1953	• •	25.377	1.603	26,980	5	20	J

APPENDICES

### APPENDIX XVII—cont.

Figures of correspondence in Divisional offices—cont.

				•	• •						
District and name of division.			Yea		Number of papers shown in the Distribution Register.	Number of periodicals in terms of papers.	Total	Number of clerks excluding Head Clerk.	Average number of papers per day per clerk.	Average number of papers per clerk per day for three years.	
(1)			(2	2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Tanjore district-								Ċ.	ν.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Kumbakoanm	• •		1951		10,846	2,267	13,113	•			
	- •	• • •	1952	.*.*	13,493	2,154	15,647	4	12		
			1953	.•.•	12,713	2,161		4	14	13	
Mannargudi			1951	.•.•	10,736		14,874	4	13 J		
	••	• •	1952	.•.•		3,595	14,331	4	ୀ3 ୍ରୀ		
			1953	• •	13,719	3,702	17,421	4	16	10	
Nagapattinam				• •	14,378	3,741	18,119	.4	16 J		
z regapatement	• •	• •	1951	.*.*	9,151	3,019	12,170	4	ារ ។		Þ
			1952		8,505	3,087	11,592	4	11	12	7
Pattukkottai			1953		12,814	3,103	15,287	<b>.4</b>	14		þ
Tanukkonai	• •	• •	1951	• •	9,636	2,690	12,326	<b>4</b> 5	ี ∙ี9 -∫		,5
			1952		14,256	3,293	17,549	5	13	11.3	5
36			1953	• •	14,095	2,486	16,581	`อี	12	****	
Mayuram	::	::	1951	• •	9,533	1,805	11,338	Ä	io		ļ.
• •	• • •	••	1952	-	15,331	1,846	17,177	₫.	16	13.6	
			1953	• •	14,349	1,902	16,251	4		13.0	
Tiruchirappalli distr	rict—				,0-0	-,002	10,201	, 3	ز 15		
Tiruchirappalli			1951		0.000				i		
+ Com toppatit	• •	• •	1951	• •	8,022	1,925	9,947	4	9 1		
				• •	9,636	2,221	11,857	4	11	- 10.3	
Karur			1953	•••	11,153	1,519	12,672	4	īī		
Tracur	• • •		1951	• •	16,253	3,308	19,561	5	<u> </u>		
			1952	. • •	18,208	3,247	21,455	5	16 }	- 15	
36			1953	• •	16,714	3,310	20,024	Ř.	15 f	10	
Musiri	i.		1951	• •	11,232	2,100	13,332	Ă	12 <b>1</b>		
			1952	• •	10.481	2,100	12,581	7	11 }	10	
			1953		15,222	2,278	17,500	*		13	
Ariyalur	• •		1951	• •	10,708	1,890	(12,598	3	16 }		
			1952	••	12,806	2,216	15,022		15		
			1953	••	15,975			.3	18	18.3	
				••	-0,010	2,281	18,256	3	22		

Pudukkottai	i	••	••	1951 1952	• •	22,462 24,488	295 294	<b>22,757</b> <b>24,782</b>	12 12	7.5	7.83	
		• •	• •	1953	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27,723	1,670	29,393	12	9 6	7.8.	
Madurai distri	ct—				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_,,	-,	:				
Dindigul	• •	• •	• • •	1951	• •	14,975	3,741	18,716	5	14 7		
				1952	4.4	12,829	3,749	16,578	5	12	13.0	
				195 <b>3</b>	••	13,694	3,760	17,454	Ę	13		
Usilampatti	4.5	• •	••	1951	• •	15,457	1,422	16,879	4	15		
•			-	1952	• •	14,505	2,172	16,677	4	15	16.0	
	•			1953		17,475	2,447	19,922	4	18	-00	
Madurai	••			1951	<i>:</i> :	11,471	1,279	12,750	4	11.5		
				1952	::	11,380	1,279	12,659	4	11.5	12-0	
		• •	• •	195 <b>3</b>	::	12,909	1,279	14,188	4	13	•••	
Ramanathapu		trict-	-					•				
Ramanatha	ouram	• •		1951	11	11,393	502	11,895	5	9 7		
		. •	• • •	1952	• •	10,961	520	11,481	5	-8 }	9.6	
				1953	3.2	13,281	548	13,829	4	12	• • •	₽
Devakottai	• •	: :	11	1951	; ;	15,132	L539	16,671	Ë	<u>īō</u> 숙		¥
का राजनात् ।		••	• •	1952	• • •	15,154	1,539	16,693	ď	iŏ .	10	년
				1953	13	14,078	2,485	16,563	Ğ	io {	••	1
Sivakasi				1951	11	13,508	1,771	15,279	8	ii s		APPENDICES
				1952	11	12,760	1,771	14,531	Ř	10.5	10.8	뎙
	• •	••	••	1953	• •	13,360	1,771	15,131	Ř	ii .	200	Õ
Tirunelveli dis	trict—			1	••	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		20,203	,			
Cheranmaha	devi .			1951		13,496	1.602	15,098	Ė	ii š		
				1952	• •	13,711	1,612	15,323	š	iī ·}	10.8	
				1953	• •	12,799	1,638	14,437	5	10.5		
Tuticorin				1951	••	13,663	1,514	15,177	6	- š š		
				1952	••	13,702	1,514	15,216	ě		10	
				1953	••	17,776	1,514	19,290	Ğ	12	-0	
Tirunelveli				1951	••	10,660	3,004	13,664		1ã Y		
	• •	• •	• •	1952	••	9,314	3,082	12,396	3 3	15 <b>)</b>	15.3	
				1953	••	9,689	2,936	12,625	Š	15	10.0	
Salem district-	_			-000	••	0,000	2,000	12,020	Ū	, J		
				7067		00.000	2000	GO 007		•		
Namakkal	••	• •	• •	1951	• •	20,203	2,082	22,285	4	20 J		
				1952	• •	21,219	2,133	23,352	6	14 }	17.3	~
				1953	••	<b>27,</b> 588	<b>2,320</b>	29,908	6	ز 18		==

Figures of correspondence in Divisional offices—cont.

District and			nd name of division. Year.		Distribution in terms of Begister. papers.		Total.	Number of clerks excluding Head Clerk.	Average number of papers per day per clerk.	Average number of papers per clerk per day for three years.		
	(1)			(	2)	(3)	( <del>4)</del>	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
Salem district-	com#											
Salem				1951		1= 007	4 700	10 100	• 6	_ ~		
Duloin	.* *	•••	• ••		* *	15,087	4,723	19,180	10	7	_	
				1952	• •	19,705	5,542	25,247	10	<b>.</b> 19	9	
Hosur				1953	* *	23,282	6,194	29,476	10	11 )		
TIOBUL	• •	• •	••	1951		16,035	2,395	18,430	6	ii 1		
				1952	••	20,810	2,228	23,038	6	14	- 14-6	P.
Colmitation 1				1953	• •	28,551	3,052	31,583	6	19		H
Coimbatore di	strict	•					•			. 7		APPENDICES
Pollachi	• •	* *		1951	• •	13,957	2,502	1 <b>6,4</b> 59	6	10 T		Ä
				<b>1952</b>	• •	13,252	2,541	15,793	7	- 8	- 8·3	Ħ
				1953	• •	14,329	2,628	16,957	9	7	• -	
$\mathbf{Erode}$	• •		• •	1951	• •	14,909	2,043	16,952	4	15 <b>أ</b>		ζά
				1952	• •	16,400	1,983	18,383	ā.	16.5	- 16	
				1953		<b>16,</b> 589	2,021	18,610	4	17		
Gobichettip	alayam	• •		1951	•	16,717	5,020	21,737	Ē.	ii 1		
	-			1952		13,566	5,020	18,586	5	13.5	15	
				1953	••	17,288	5,020	22,308	5	6 6	. 10	
Malabar distri	ct—					-1,200	0,020	22,000	•	ږ ه		
Tellicherry				1951		00.740	0.000		•			
Lomonory	**	**	• •			26,543	2,600	29,143	6	18 J		
				1952	• •	25,921	2,769	28,690	6	17 \$	17.3	
Molomanom				1953	• •	25,994	2,638	28,632	Ģ	17		
Malapuram	,,	**	• •	1951	• •	13,115	4,669	17,784	4	16 5		
				1952	• •	12,659	5,252	17,911	4	16	- 16	
20.1.1.1				1953	• •	12,862	5,345	18,207	4	16.5		
<b>P</b> alghat	• •	• •	• •	1951	• •	12,201	5,444	17,645	4	16 7		
				1952	• •	15,624	5 <b>.</b> 07 <b>6</b>	20,700	4	19	17:6	
	• •	••	• •	1953	• •	14,404	5,037	19,441	. 🕹	îš ∫	200	

Fort Cochin .				1951 1952 1953 1951 1952 1953	••	15,029 16,680 18,046 6,571 5,093 5,873	5,706 5,728 5,964 2,932 3,011 2,985	20,735 22,408 24,010 9,503 8,104 8,858	6 6 4 4	$ \begin{array}{c} 12.5 \\ 13.5 \\ 14.5 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{array} $	13.5
South Kanara d	18 <b>tri</b> (	t			•						
Coondapoor	•	••	•••	1951 1952 1953	••	10,403 12,351 12,641	2,712 2,740 2,837	13,115 15,091 15,478	3 3 3	16 18 }	17-6
Puttur .	•	••	••	1951 1952 195 <b>3</b>	••	10,152 11,533 11,870	1,771 1,709 1,531	11,923 13,242 13,401	4 4 3	$ \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 12 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix} $	13

#### APPENDIX XVIII.

# (Vide paragraph 189 of the Report.)

# Particulars of staff and section heads in the Correspondence branch of Collectors' offices.

# 1 - # 15	ad Huzur Sarishtadar, Huzur	Brief description of subjects dealt with		Brief description of subjects dealt with		upper division clerks.				r of ision	Number	
Head Clerk, Addit	Head Clerk, Additional Huzur Head Clerk, Magisterial Huzur Head Clerk, etc.		(such as Magisterial Civil Supplies Estates, etc.).			Permanent.	Temporary.	Permanent.	Temporary.	Permanent,	Temporary.	
	(1)	. (2)				(8)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
		Madr	AS.							·	,	
General Revenue	Huzur Sarishtadar	General Branch	• • •	••	••	4	5	10*	5	1	4	
Branch.	Huzur Head Clerk	Stamp section	••	••	• •	3		3	••	••	• •	
Special and Miscellaneous Branches.	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (2).	Civil Supplies	••	••	••	••	13	••	9	••	3	
		Textile Section	•	••	; • •	2	• •	1	••	••	••	
	••	CHINGLEPUT	•									
General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Permanent) (Tahsildar's cadre).	Loans. Land I ment and Co	ctions- Revens Ilectio	⊸Irriga ue Ass n—Gov	tion ess- em-	3	4'	••	<sup>3</sup> 4	••	••	
	·• ··	ment suits E water dispute.	states	Aboli	tion	(This exclu		o clerks or ent and or			. 110,	

<sup>\*</sup> Two posts upgraded as upper division clerk temporarily.

	Huzur Head Clerk (Permanent), Deputy Tahsildar's cadre.	Assignment of Government lands; Acquisition Alienation—Probibition; Budget of expenditure forests; Municipality and other Local Boards; Record.	1	2	4	3 '	••	••	
	Additional Hzuzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar's cadre).	Burma Evacuee; Season report— Miscellaneous Control Orders; Stamp Refunds, etc. Offices Block Development and National Extension Scheme.	Ė	••	3	4	3		
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (Upper Division scale).	Magisterial; Gun Licence; Pass- port Cinema; Petroleum, etc.	1	••	3	••	••	••	
Special and Miscellane- ous Branches.	District Surveyor	Land Records	••	••	1	••	••	••	_
		North Arcot.							A
General Revenue	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	Correspondence, general revenue	3	3	3	2	3	6	
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Subjects (Estates Abolition and Food Production).	1*	1*	• •	••	••	•,•,	5
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Correspondence, general revenue subjects.	1	<b>6</b>	6	2	••	••	
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (Upper Division Clerk).	Magisterial	<b>2</b>		(3)	<b>3</b>	**	•	
Special and Miscellane-	District Surveyor	Land Records	1,	• •	• 🙉	. •:•	• •	• <b>•</b> •	
ous Branches.	District Welfare Officer (Tah- silder).	Harijan Welfare	• • •	1	• •	6	• •	• •	
	Civil Supplies Tahsildar	Civil Supplies	. • • •	} <b>1</b>		3	••	. 4,4	
	Textile Control Officer (Deputy Tabsildar).	Textile Branch	1	• • • •	2	••	••	••	

<sup>\*</sup> On Rs. 110.

## APPENDIX XVIII-cont.

Particulars of staff and section		heads in the Correspondence branch	of Colle	ectors' o	cont.			
		• • • •	Number upper o		Numbe lower d		Nu 	mber of typists.
Head Clerk, Addit	ead, Huzur Sherishtadar, Huzur Lional Huzur Head Clerk, uzur Head Clerk, etc.	Brief description of subjects dealt with (such as Magisterial, Civil Supplies, Estates, etc.)	Permanent:	Temporary:	Permanent.	Tempostry.	Permanent.	Temporary:
	(1)	(2)	(3)	,EI (4)	(5)	(g) E4	(7)	(8)
		South Arcot.				ž.	••	
General Revenue 2 Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar	General correspondence relating to public servants (appointment, leave and punishments, suits, land revenue, Jamabandi, Irrigation (Minor and Major), Village Officers and Office Routine, etc.	public servants (appointment, 1 Second Clerk on Rs. 1 seven and punishments, suits, land evenue, Jamabandi, Irrigation Minor and Major), Village Officers					
	Huzur Head Clerk	Loans Abkari Rural Water- supply, Buget Records, Local Boards Market Committee Assignment, etc.			<b>7</b> 1		••	••
	Additional Huzur Head Clerk.	Estates Food Production, Local Development Works, Alienation, Evacuees Fair copy and Despatch Community Projects, and N.E.S.	1	. 5	\$	.3	•2	.3
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk	Magisterial	• •	••	2	• •		••
Special and Miscel- laneous Branches,	District Surveyor	Land Records	• 1	••		and Rev	 enue	Drafts-
	District Welfare Officer Textile Control Officer	Harijan Welfare Works	••	1 4 1 H	ead Acco	7	••	::

General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	Establishment, Village Officers, Land Revenue, Jamabandi, Statis- tics, Office Inspection, General and Tanjore Tenants and Pannai- yal Protection Act.	<b>6</b> .	2	1	1*	••	••
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar),	Land Acquisition, Loans Prohibition, Pauper Suit, Alienation, Supervision of Records.	2†	1	4†	2	••	••
	Additional Huzur Head	Assignment, Minor Irrigation,	2‡	3	7‡	• •	2	8
	Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar), permanent post.	ments, Local Boards, Budget, Hindu Religious Endowments	••	• •	j	• •	••	••
		Board, Miscellaneous Accounts, Elections, and Supervision of Fair-copying Section.	••	€	• •	ħ	••	7
	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (National Extension Service (temporary, Deputy		••	4	••	,	•• ••	• •
	Tahsildar grade).	•• ••	• •	5	• •	j.	• •	
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (Divisional Head Clerk) (permanent Upper Divi- sion Clerk).	Magisterial	••	1	2	3	••	••
Special and Miscellane- ous Branches,	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (Civil Supplies), temporary post—Deputy Tahsildar's grade.	Civil Supplies, Food Production and Evacuees.	•••	4	1.	<b>2</b> ,	••.	••

APPENDICES

<sup>\*</sup> Temporary Lower Division Clerk—fixed scale of Rs. 80.
† Includes one Upper Division Clerk and two Lower Division Clerks working in the Records.
† Includes one Upper Division Clerk acting as Faircopying Superintendent, who has no correspondence work and one Lower Division Clerk working as new case clerk without any correspondence work.

#### APPENDIX XVIII-cont.

Particulars of staff and section heads in the Correspondence branch of Collectors' offices—cont.

fStatus of the section he	ead, Huzur Sherishtadar, Huzur	Brief description of subjects dealt with	Number of upper division clerks.	Number of lower division clerks.	Number of typists.	
Head Clerk, Addi Magisterial Huz	tional Huzur Head Clerk, ur Head Clerk, etc.	(such as Magisterial, Civil Supplies, Estates, etc.).	Permanent Temporary.	Permanent. Temporary.	Permanent,	· Composition A.
	(i)	(2)	(3) (4)	(5) (6)	(7) (8	
		TANJORE—cont.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
Special and Miscellane- ous Branches—cont.	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (Estates) (temporary Deputy Tahsildar).	Estates	2	3	•• •	APPENDICES
		Textile Control	2	2	••	DICE.
	District Welfare Officer (Revenue Divisional Officer) (tmeporary).	Harijan Welfare	. 4	3	••	1
	District Surveyor (permanent).	Survey and Land Records	••,	1	••	•
	Accounts Officer (Tahsildar) (temporary).	Civil Supplies (Procurement Accounts).	1	4	••	1
		TIRUCHIRAPPALLI.				
General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	except Military and Political sufferers, Agriculture, Encroach- ment, houses-sites assessment—	One selection grade 2 5 Upper Division Clerks.	, Rs. 110. 4 3	:	1

	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Prohibition, Cotton, Cess Fund, Central Excise, Alienation, land acquisition—relinquishment of railway B class lands, etc.	1	2	4	4 1*	••	••
	Huzur Head Clerk II (Deputy Tahsildar.)	Municipalities and local boards, fire accident, leprosy relief, T.B. Sanatorium, local boards and Municipal encroachments except Tiruchirappalli Municipality, pauper suit, endowments, discretionary grants, etc.	1	3	5	4	2	5
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (Selec- tion Grade), Rs. 110,	Law and Order and other magisterial work.	1	••	3	2	••	••
Special and Miscellaneous Branches.	Civil Supplies Tahsildar	Food Production, State Trading Scheme and Civil Supplies.	••	3	••	••	••	••
		MADURAI.						
General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar)	Revenue Establishment, Periyar irrigation suits, major and minor irrigation, Survey and Land	2	O	6 eléction gr ne perma ne tempo	${f nent~L.R}$	.D. .D.	••
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Land acquisition, alienation, elec- tions, census, pauper suits, pay	3	1 One select	8 ion grade	11 (perman	(1) 4 ent).	6
	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahaildar).	bills and fixation of pay, etc.  Local Development Scheme, N.E.S.,  Minor Irrigation—Prohibition, fire accidents, Central Excise, political pensions, etc.	<b>'T</b> '5	3_ vo tempor		4 draught	smen.	
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (selection grade), Rs. 110.	Magisterial	1	 	3	1		::
Special and Miscellaneous Branches.	Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar cadre).	Assignment of lands, local boards, political sufferers and assignment proposals.		7 3	2. 63	••	***	••

# APPENDIX XVIII—cont.

# Particulars of staff and section heads in the Correspondence branch of Collectors' offices—cont.

			Number of upper div clerfis	ision -	Number of lower divisions clerks	sion.	Number of typists.	!	
Status of the section hea Head Clerk, Additi Magisterial Hu	d, Huzur Sarishtadar, Huzur onal Huzur Head Clerk, sur Head Clerk, etc.	Brief description of subjects dealt with (such as Magisterial Civil Supplies Estates, etc.).							
			Permanent.	Tempo-	Permanent.	Tempo- rary.	Permanent.	Tempo rary.	
	<b>(1)</b>	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	<b>≯</b> :
		MADURAI-cont.							PPI
Special and Miscel- laneous Branches— cont.	Head Clerk (Settlement of Estates) (Deputy Tahsildar cadre).	Settlement of Estates, E.P. Act	••	3	1	3	••	••	PENDICES
com.	Head Clerk (Civil Supplies)	Civil Supplies	••	4	••	••	••	• •	ES
	(Deputy Tahsildar cadre). Textile Control Officer (Deputy Tahsildar).	y Textile	••	2	••	4	. • •	••	
	District Welfare Officer (Tahsildar).	Harijan Welfare	••	1	••	3	••	• •	
		RAMANATHAPURAM.							
General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	ments, etc.	gn- 3+1*	3	2	2	•	• •	
		Fair-copying section	. 1		2	3	3	5	
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Land acquisition, elections, alien tion, encroachments in panchay boards and municipal limits.		3	4	2	••	••	
	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Loans under L.I.L. and A.L. Act N.E.S., R.W.S., Well subside wells, Harijan welfare,		4	1	8	••	••	

	Magisterial Branch'	Magisterial Head Clerk	Correspondence relating to magisterial and other matters.	1	••	1	. 2	••	••
	Special and Miscellaneous Branches.	District Surveyor (Tahsildar).	Revenue Records and Registration, Survey, etc.	••	••	1	••	••	••
Þ		Head Clerk (Zamin Abolition) (Deputy Tahsildar cadre).	Estates	••	<b>,7</b>	•.•	1	• •	••
R.A	Special and Miscel- laneous Branches.	Head Clerk (Civil Supplies) (Tahsildar).	Civil Supplies	• •	.4	••	6	••	••
D.R.A.E.C.,	Tanto as Distriction	Textile Control Officer (Deputy Tahsildar).	Textile Branch	••	••	.• •	2	••	••
VOL.			TIRUNELVELI.						
)L. 11—6	General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tah- sildar).	Village officers, appeals, suits, jama- bandi, major irrigation, water rate appeals, income tax collection, season report, etc. (including V. H.C. on 110 and the F.C.S. who is a section head).	5	2	6	7†	2‡	4
		Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Acquisition, war medals, local boards prohibition roads, minor irrigation, irrigation tanks, assignment, records.	2	2	5	4	••	••
	Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (Upper Division Clerk).	Law and Order, Cinematograph Act, arms and ammunition licences, etc.	•••	••	1	2	••	• •
	Special and Miscella- neous Branches.	Civil Supplies Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Rice mills, settlement of wholesalers accounts, etc.	••	1	••	4	••	• •
		Estates Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar) (Temporary).	Estates abolition, rent reduction and correspondence relating to estates.	••	2	••	2	••	••
		District Welfare Officer (Deputy Collector's grade).	Harijan uplift	••	2	••	2	••	••
		Textile Control Officer (Deputy Tahsildar).	Textile branch	••	• •.	••	. 2	• •	••
		-				-			

<sup>Huzur second clerk on Rs. 110.
Including five fair copy comparers.
One upper divison typist who works as Camp Clerk to the Collector.</sup> 

# Particulars of staff and section heads in the Correspondence tranch of Collectors' offices—cont.

Status of the section head, Huzur Sarishtadar, Huzur		Brief description of subjects dealt with	Number of upper division clerks,		Number of lower division elerks.		Numb typh	
Head Clerk, Addit	donal Huzur Head Clerk, ur Head Clerk, etc.	(such as Magister.⊈l Civil Supplies Estates, etc.).						
				Tempo- rary.	Permanent.	Tempo-	Permanent.	Tempo rary.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	[(8)
		SALEM.						
General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	Establishment-Suits, Village Officers subsidy loans, National Extension Scheme and also Head Ministerial Officer.	(Personal		3	2	. 2	1
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Record, Acquisition, Prohibition, Alienation, Land Revenue, Demand, Collection and Balance, Budget control.	(one on 1	2 10).	8	1	••	••
4	Additional Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Assignment, Encroachment, Loans, Mines, Forests, Local Boards and Election.		2	5	1	••	1
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk (Upper Division Clerk).	Magisterial branch	••	••	,1	3	<b></b>	• •
Special and Miscel- laneous Branches.	District Surveyor Estates Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Estates	••	• <b>2</b>	.1	3	•••	ï
	Huzur Head Clerk (Civil Supplies) Deputy Tahsildar (temporary).	Civil Supplies	••	5	• •	2	•••	1

THE	NILGIRIS.
-----	-----------

<sup>^</sup> 3

2

General Revenue Huzur Sarishtadar .. .. Village Officers, Public Services,
Forests, Assignments, National
Extension Scheme, Food Production, Land Revenue, Water
Diversion, Office procedure.

Textile Control Officer Textiles (Deputy Tahsildar) (Tempo-

rary).

1

1

• •

• •

••

# APPENDIX XVIII—cont.

# Particulars of staff and section heads in the Correspondence branch of Collectors' offices—cont.

			Number of upper division clerks.	Number e lower divi clerks.	sion	Numbe typisi	
mean Clerk, Add	nead, Huzur Sherishtadar, Huzur tional Huzur Head Clerk, uzur Head Clerk, etc.	Brief description of subjects dealt with (such as Magisterial Civil Supplies Estates, etc.)	rmanent.	Tempo rary Permanent.	Temporary.	Permanent.	remporary.
	 <b>(1)</b>	(2)	<b>&amp;</b> . (3)	H H (4) (5)	Ĕ (6)	. pi (7)	(8)
		THE NILGIRIS—cont.					
General Revenue Branch—cont.	Huzur Head Clerk	Local Boards, Leases, Prohibition, Survey Record Room, and Fair copying and despatch.	2	2 3	4	3	1
Magisterial Branch	Magisterial Head Clerk	Magisterial	1	••	2	••	• •
		MALABAR.					
General Revenue Branch.	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	Land Revenue, Jamabandi, Takkavi Loans, Village, Officers, Suits, disposals of Government Lands, political pensions, Rent Reduction Act, Fair copying section.	5	8	7	4	
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	Land Acquisition, Malabar Tenancy Act and other Acts, special funds, Court of Wards, etc.	1	7 2	3		1
	Superintendent (W) (Deputy- Tahsildar).	Elections, Administration of Evacuee Property Act, etc.	* *	3 1	4		
	Additional Head Clerk (Deputy Tahaildar).	All Developmental Schemes	::	6 1	2		

Magisterial Branch	Huzur Head Clerk (Permanent), Upper Division post temporarily upgraded to Head Clerk of Divisional Office.	Magisterial branch	. 1.	••	3	2		
Special and Miscella- neous Branches.	District Surveyor	Survey and Land Accounts	• •.	• •	1	••	••	
10000	District Welfare Officers	Harijan Welfare	••	1		3		;1
		South Kanara.						
General Revenue	Huzur Sarishtadar (Tahsildar).	Appeal, Public Services, appointment, promotions suits,	4	2	3	2 ,	••	••
Branch.		Prohibition, Jamabandi, Community Projects, etc.			One on a	election	grade Rs.	110.
	Huzur Head Clerk (Deputy Tahsildar).	•	1	2	. 4	••	••	• •
	Additional Huzur Head Clerk.	Rent Control-Land Acquisition (with- in Mangalore Municipality).	• •	1	••	1	••	••
Magisterial	Magisterial Head Clerk (Upper Division Clerk).	Magisterial	1	. • •	2	1	••	.••
Special and Miscella- reous Branches.	District Surveyor	Survey and Land Records	••	••	••	1	••	••
	Reception Deputy Tahsildar	Civil Supplies, National Extension Scheme and Rural Water-supply Works.	••	1	• •	3	••	••
	District Welfare Officer (Tahsildar).	Harijan Welfare		1,	• •	2	• •	**
	Textile Control Officer (Deputy Tahsildar).	Textile branch	••	1	. • •	1	• • •	••
	Civil Supplies Tahsildar	Food Production and Irrigation and Civil Supplies,	••	2	* *	3	• •	1*

<sup>\*</sup> Civil Supplies typist attends to fair copies of other sections.

## APPENDIX XIX

# (Vide paragraph 258 of the Report.)

Statement showing the statistics of work done by the Taluk Surveyors for the three years ending with 30th June 1954, i.s., for the three faslis from 1361 to 1363.

Name of Taluk,	Fasli.	Number of villages within the jurisdiction of the Taluk Surveyor.	villages not visited	Number of stones in his jurisdiction requiring renewal.	Number renewed.	of column (5) to column (6).	Number of subdivisions to be chek- measured.	Number of subdivisions actually chek- measured.	subdivisions sul	umber of bdivisions ctually napped.
(1)	(2)	(8)	(4)	(5)	(8)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
			CHINGLE	PUT DISTRI	o <b>t.</b>		. •		••	• •
Kancheepuram	1361 1362 1363	336 336 336	19 23 17	4,606 3,653 8,680	1,476 503 930	. 32 13 25	.593 423 318	536 406 236	536 433 270	509 399 190
Chingleput	1361 1362 1363	312 312 312	50 49 42	1,200 1,307 1,331	502 403 500	40 31 39	712 819 601	712 819 601	712 801 312	712 618 289
Madurantakam	1361 1362 1363	417 417 417	114 147 134	1,750 1,667 2,320	942 584 786.	54 36 35	151 107 80	142 104 55	9 3 26	137 1-99 32
Saidapet	1361 1362 1363	163 163 163	25 38 59	1,420 1,322 2,649	173 273 230	12 20 8	170 225 381	156 208 283	11 4 26	145 204 257
Sriperumbudur	1361 1362 1363	249 249 249	• •	3,200 4,500 5,600	640 1,200 1,800	20 26 31	116 198 280	85 118 238	47 21··	47 2]
Tiruvahur	1361 1362 1363	404 404 404	* • • • •	580 772 997	280 420 560		380 401 339	354 399 339	354·· 399 339	354 399 260
Ponneri	1361 1362 1363	213 213 213	••	842 1,185 1,621	62 115 480	8 9 29	324 631 -287	238 480 216	238 480 216	165 430 1 <b>9</b> 1

# APPENDICES

						Noi	втн Авсот D	ISTRICT,					
Vellore	••	••	**	1361 1362 136 <b>3</b>	158 158 158		1,169 1,197 1,086	679 542 605	58 45 56	211 415 453	201 415 447	10	. <b>1</b>
Polur	::	::	::	1361 1362 136 <b>3</b>	180 180 180	72 96 84	257,339 257,339 25 <b>7,33</b> 9	1,602 2,314 1,488	6 9 6	455 235 316	455 207 312	584 249 336	542 225 164
Tiruvannamala		•••	••	1361 1362 1363	214 214 214	••	1,446 1,446 1,286	900 890 500	57 56 38	431 427 435	429 425 388	678 763 495	672 740 370
Chengam	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	184 184 184	31	1,379 1,247 1,006	1,167 1,141 913	85 91 91	367 318 493	339 301 421	367 318 493	339 301 493
Wandiwash	••	••		1361 1362 1363	207 207 207	14	720 2,196 2,196	413 1,473 1,347	57 67 60	610 298 609	587 288 602	682 448 631	599 350 602
Cheyyar	••	•••	••	1361 1362 1363	222 222 222	72 31 114	2,862 3,313 4,820	1,098 1,379 1,205	36 41 25	400 185 251	400 185 251	400 185 251	400 185 251
Wallajah	••	 •••	••	1361 1362 1363	182 182 182	39 40 51	965 517 1,615	440 102 1,105	46 20 69	308 243 222	255 223 212	7.4.5 1.4.5 1.4.6	\$ 10 0.65 6.85
Arkonam	Ø×.		••	1361 1362 1363	143 143 143	38 30 22	1,464 1,335 704	1,217 1,114 644	82 83 90	174 333 115	174 302 101	219 359 156	175 221 141
Gudiyattam	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	187 187- 187	64 90 76	1,191 1,706 1,804	980 1,325 1,388	82 77 77	498 527 290	455 488 123	455 488 123	435 484 121
Tirupattų				1361 1362 1363	204 204 204	3 20 17	906 849 1,139	741 554 921	82 65 69	256 478 328	209 438 318	256 478 328	206 228 264

Statement showing the statistics of work done by the Taluk Surveyors for the three years ending with 30th June 1954, i.e., for the three faslis from 1361 to 1363—cont.

					.,,								
Name	of taluk	•		Fasli.	Number of villages within the jurisdiction of the Taluk Surveyor,	Number of villages not visited even once during the year.	Number of stores in his jurisdiction requiring renewal.	Number renewed.	Percentage of column (6) to column (5).	Number of subdivisions to be check- measured.	Number of subdivisions actually check- measured.	Number of subdivisions to be mapped.	Number of subdivisions actually mapped.
	(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
						South	ARCOT DIS	TRICT.			_		
Cuddalore	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	242 242 242	154 115 135	12,975 13,135 12,406	1,205 3,251 1,565	9·3 24·8 12·8	25 14 7	· 25 · 14 · 7	328 162 214	328 162 214
Chidambaram	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	343 343 343	191 215 148	7,965 7,944 9,538	1,215 1,636 2,05 <b>6</b>	15·3 20·6 21·6	65 257 57	65 262 51	263 618 253	216 618 198
Vridhachalam	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	296 296 296	153 181 142	6,214 6,084 4,015	130 2,069 857	3 33 22	214 130 92	84 38 46	214 130 92	84 38 46
Tirukkoyilur	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	353 353 853	169 193 174	4,984 3,880 2,220	1,104 1,660 1,099	25 43 49	422 330 198	92 132 189	422 330 198	92 132 189
Kallakurchi	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	277 277 277	127 132 144	6,890 5,109 4,257	1,781 852 1,940	26 16 46	143 96 62	47 34 62	143 96 62	47 34 62
Ginges	• ••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	245 245 245	123 141 139	1,310 2,012 2,218	615 1,185 1,089	46·9 58·9 49·1	240 129 154	234 71 127	357 219 210	239 136 168
Tindivanam	• •	֥	••	1361 1362 1363	305 305 <b>3</b> 05	110 96 126	1,320 1,734 1,401	129 538 169	9·8 31 12	93 234 69	70 187 50	66 180 50	60 180 42
Villupyram	**	•	••	1361 1362 1363	233 233 233	141 108 58	9,810 9,497 8,409	958 1,521 1,104	9·7 16 13	18 59 326	18 55 20 <b>3</b>	52 23 6	• •

					TANJO	DISTRIC	T.					
Tanjore			1361 1362 1363	165 165 165	15 20 10	6,095 4,795 3,495	1,300 1,300 1,100	<b>43</b> 55 <b>63</b>	350 250 210	250 175 155	250 230 210	170 160 155
Papanasam	** **	• ••	1361 1362 1363	152 152 152	• •	8,370 7,740 9,058	2,145 1,127 3,584	26 15 39	781 426 476	758 374 463	781 426 476	758 374 463
Kumbakonam	** **	• ••	1361 1362 1363	188 188 188	18 24 29	5,677 4,413 3,881	1,907 2,287 1,649	35 52, 42	- <b>67</b> 80 <b>75</b>	67 80 75	67 80 75	67 80 56
Mayuram		• ••	1361 1362 1363	183 183 183	26 30 24	6,705 6,065 5,235	1,509 1,762 1,595	22 29 25·5	48 50 100	48 50 100	48 50 100	48 50 100
Sirkali	,, ,,	• ••	1361 1362 1363	83 83 83	; 9 10 . 9	4,543 3,419 3,618	1,599 1,100 1,210	35 32 33	15 12 16	15 12 16	17 14 18	17 14 13
Nannilam	** **	, ,,	1361 1362 1363	229 229 229	45 99 59	4,087 4,636 3,619	1,739 2,991 1,258	42 64 33	137 137 88	137 137 88	137 137 88	119 128 88
Nagapattinam		* **	1361 1362 1363	210 210 210	132 110 136	1,978 2,688 3,183	415 847 706	21 31.5 22	435 158 164	435 159 164	435 159 164	435 159 164
Tiruturaipundi	:: ::	:::	1361 1362 1363	157 157 157	2 3	5,007 3,601 3,521	2,344 1,221 1,251	48 34 35	156 81 121	156 69 84	389 368 285	370 259 199
Pattukkotţai	••	••	1361 1362 1363	353 353 353	59 72 39	12,227 7,867 6,476	273 1,289 1,775	2 16 27	848 1,952 1,227	794 1,945 1,151	848 1,952 1,221	743 1,875 1,032
Mannargudi	• • • • • • •	••	1361 1362 1363	146 146 146	32 27 15	5,141 6,190 4,271	1,179 1,029 702	23 17 17	579 275 173	579 275 173	579 275 173	579 275 173
Arantangi	••	• • •	1361 1362 1363	66 66 66	••	558 689 2,067	374 401 904	65 58 44	5 371	4 370	5 371	4 370
Oratha nad(new	ly formed t	aluk).	1000	••	•	2,001	`••		•••	•••	•••	•••

Statement showing the statistics of work done by the Taluk Surveyors for the three years ending with 30th June 1954. i.e., for the three faslis from 1361 to 1363—cont.

ĽN	ame of 6	aluk.	• •	••	Fasli. ju	villages within the irlsdiction of the Taluk Surveyors.	Number of villages not visited even once during the year.	Number of stores in his juris- diction requiring, renewal.	Number renewed.	Percentage of column (6) to column (5).	Number of subdivisions to be chek- measured.	Number of subdivisions actually chek- measured.	Number of subdivisions to be mapped.	Number of subdivisions actually mapped.
	(1), .		• •	••	(2)	(3)	(4)	. (5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	<b>(9)</b>	(10)	(11)
							TIRUCHIR	APPALLI DI	STRICT.					
Tiruchirappa	lli ::	;	::	::	1361 1362 1363	86 86 86		12,418 11,806 11,612	1,416 1,149 2,027	11.4 -9.7 17.4	492	523 382 306	767 717 705	392 345 <b>3</b> 97
Lalgudi .	•. (1		••	11	1361 1362 1363	126 126 126	- 51 19 23	9,823 9,309 9,216	837 655 3,291	~8·6 7 36		81 116 131	-81 116 131	81 116 101
Musiri .	• 41		44	11	1361 1362 1363	147 147 147	63 86 43	3,414 2,659 2,599	1,134 529 908	33 20 34·9	135 -79	118 77 96	75 77 28	65 39 28
Kulittalai .		ı	••	••	1361 1362 1363	129 129 129	52 39 74	2,237 2,218 1,749	729 784 - 488	32·6 35·2 27·9	50 51	44 51 28	95 88 98	89 88 90
Karur .	i	•	••	••	1361 1362 1363	108 108 108	15 15 13	2,434 2,688 3,204	1,485 1,615 1,870	61 60 58.	48 30 135	48 22 115	161 143 243	48 37 149
Perambalur	. ••	•	••	••	1361 1362 1363	112 112 112	6 7 7	1,426 1,304 1,797	894 821 1,189	62·7 62·9 66·2	80	105 80 45	105 80 45	102 80 8
Udayarpalay			••	••	1361 1362 1363	234 234 234	16 92 113	2,894 3,122 2,470	1,252 1,169 1,072	43·2 47·6 43·4		101 153 147	101 153 147	101 153 83
Pudukkottai	Divisio	<b>д—</b>							<b>y</b> = 1 -1		•	***		· <del>z.</del> =
Alangudi Kulattur	• (		• •	••	Not furnished 1363	d. 150	102	34,872	3,980	11	68	••	473	405

	Tirumayam	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	152 152 152	† • • •	202,399 199,217 195,803	3,182 3,414 1,162	1·6 1·7 0·6	235 308 300	235 193 129	235 308 300	235 193 129	
н	,	••	••	••			MADU	RAI DISTRIC	r.						
×	Madurai		••	••	1361	257	54	3,108	194	6	1,019	919	919	919	
Þ		•			1362	257	128	4,976	362	7	7,351	1,201	1,351	1,201	
D.R.A.E.C.,	!	••	••	••	1363	257	24	5,878	612	10	1,297	1,197	1,297	1,197	
		••	••	••	1361	120	69	1,472	635	43	133	133	133	133	
⋖		••	••	••	1362	120	51	671	411	61	174	174	174	174	
VOL.					1363	120	72	588	<b>354</b>	60	107	107	107	107	
T	Dindigul		• •	••	1361	155	••	3,219	677	21	210	210	210	210	
j		-			1362	155	•••	3,155	535	<b>16</b>	434	412	412	368	₽
Ò		• •	••	₽. ♥	1363	155		2,803	559	19	488	462	462	294	APPENDICES
	Kodaikanal		••	••	1361	15	••	1,265	256	20	12	12	12	19	
					1362	15	**	1,034	452	44	11	îĩ	11	11	Ħ
		••		•••	1363	15	• •	1,082	604	56	5	5	5	12 11 5	C H
	Nilakkottai		••	••	1361	123	••	3,274	834	26	442	244	175	er 27	ÇA
	24//04/10000011	::		::	1363	123	•	2,660	415	16	384	365	305	77 257	
					1363	123	5	2,201	238	42	576	515	515	450	
	Melur		8.0	- Octo	1361	110		6,544	890	13	70	55	55	55	
					1362	110	• •	6,881	1,645	24	99	84	99	5 <b>4</b>	
			•	•	1363	110		5,505	1,650	29	171	157	157	139	
	Periyakulam	••	••	420	1361	90	• •	30,872	483	2	757	757	757	75 <b>7</b>	
	-				1362	<b>'90</b>	• •	30,533	1,209	4	443	443	443	443	
					1363	90	••	30,431	894	2	321	283	321	283	
	Tirumangalam	• •	• • •	·	1361	156	2	2,240	1,242	55	82	82	82	82	
	· ·				1362	156	5	<b>2,3</b> 80	1,440	61	148	148	148	148	
					1363	156	••	2,580	1,692	60	85	76	85	76	8

APPENDICES

			of taluk.	••	••	Fasii.	Number of villages within the jurisdiction of the Taluk Surveyors.	Number of villages not visited even once during the year.  (4)	Number of stones in his juris- diction requiring renewal. (5)	Number renewed.	Percentage of column (6) to column (5).	Number of subdivisions to be chek- measured.	Number of subdivisions actually chek- measured.	Number of subdivisions to be mapped.	Number of subdivisions actually mapped.
								Ramanath	APURAM DI	STRICT.					,
S	attur	••	• •	::	<del></del>	1361 1362 1363	.69 69	:. :.	2,280 2,054 1,829	1,032 1,294 784	46 63 43	253 227 258	235 213 254	312 213 225	168 41 100
A	ruppukk	ottai	-	••	-	1361 1362 1363	8 8 8	;. ::	42i	218	52	56	i5	i.5	15
S	rivilliput	tur	•••		-	1361 1362 1363	57 57 57	••	2,313 2,192 1,995	975 688 442	42 31 22	104 205 151	39 192 129	6 92 266	112 160
								TIRITNEI	LVELI DISTR	TOT-					
T	irunelvel	i	-	600	<del></del>	1361 1362 1363	136 136 136	25 25 25	3,449 3,446 4,054	1,075 923 1,045	30 23 25	246 330 415	211 305 382	114 330 415	57 305 382
B	ankarank	coil	••	-	-	1361 1362 1363	54 54 54	816 816	2,274 1,931 1,753	983 1,015 1,178	43 53 67	264 73 167	264 73 165	264 73 165	264 73 165
7	'enkasi		-	-	-	1361 1362 1363	77 77 77	26 26 33	1,467 1,548 1,676	101 1,080 1,085	6·8 69·7 64·7	139 363 335	139 363 335	139 363 335	139 363 335
£	<b>I</b> mbasam	udram		••	-	1361 1362 1363	93 93 93	is	4,117 3,171 2,795	1,396 692 205	33·9 21·8 7·3	398	321 348 125	333 398 251	239 348 125

	Nanguneri	••	<b>-</b>	-	-	1361 1362 1363	84 84 84	3 5 4	2,317 2,854 2,656	581 1,043 825	25 37 31	1,173 693 1,357	812 384 675	914 452 823	846 284 715	
D.R.	Tiruchendu	ı <b>r</b>	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	74 74 74	26 26 26	921 962 <b>6</b> 41	323 402 377	35 42 59	724 512 353	693 499 341	693 499 341	361 381 367	
R.A.E.C.,	Srivaikunt	am	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	86 86 86	22 15 19	1,385 2,764 2,386	1,339 768 308	72 28 13	247 606 409	247 599 351	247 606 409	247 589 351	
., VOL.	Koilpatti	••	<i>::</i>	::	<i>::</i>	1361 1362 1363	58 58 58	8 8 ••	1,37 <b>6</b> 2,093 1,628	468 1,319 547	34 63 34	99 205 354	76 158 340	82	79	
7							·	SALEM	DISTRICT.				•			<b>►</b>
-8A	Attur	••	••	• •	••	1361 1362 1363	126 126 126	32 30 34	915 <b>6</b> 28 1,222	363 462 623	40 74 52	423 67 128	408 53 122	523 183 280	393 25 44	APPENDICES
	Dharmapur	ri		••	••	1361 1362 1363	102 102 102	••	869 1,334 990	755 1,12 <b>6</b> 751	86·5 83 75·85	172 66 74	158 66 74	172 84 74	138 66 74	DICES
	Harur		••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	62 62 62	5 6 3	630 420 198	600 400 187	95 95 95	6 11 8	6 11 8	6 11 8	6 11 8	
	Hosur	••	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	97 97 97	4 8	1,076 774 637	736 662 493	68 85 77	64 55 71	64 51 66	64 55 71	51 66	
	Krishnagiri	- 10	5. <sup>0. 0</sup> . 1. 4	• • •	-	1361 1362 1363	112 112 112	14 15	1,305 897 1,305	260 68 397	20 7·5 30	231 368 648	208 131 563	299 325 466	99 62 373 5	
	Namakkal	••	<b>e</b> ro	-		1361 1362 1363	98 98 98	••	1,346 1,462 1,567	1,096 1,057 1,121	81: 72 72	59 45 31	57 45 28	57 45 28	57 45 28	93

#### APPENDIX XIX-cont.

Statement showing the statistics of work done by the Taluk Surveyors for the three years ending with 30th June 1954, i.e., for the three fashis from 1361 to 1363—cont.

					•	.0., 50, 0.00	un oo jaco			0 00110.				•
	N	ame of t	alųk.	••	Fasli.	Number of villages within the jurisdiction of the Taluk Surveyors.	Number of villages not visited even once during the year.	Number of stones in his juris- diction requiring renewal.	Number renewed.	Percentage of column (6) to column (5).	Number of subdivisions to be check- measured.	Number of subdivisions actually check- measured.	Number of subdivisions to be mapped.	Number of subdivi- sions actually mapped.
		(1)			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
					*		SALEM D	STRICT—con	t.					•
Omalur	••	_	-	-	1361	125		545	25	5	72	56	29	1
		_	_	77	1362	125	••	1,301	736	57	128	92	92	45
					1363	125	••	1,009	663	66	160	156	31	24
Rasipuran	n	••			1361	51	••	219	12	5.48	95	56	56	1
•					1362	51	••	997	882	88.5	132	90	145	$11\overline{2}$
					1363	51	••.	2,359	2,074	87.9	401	391	401	391
Salem				••	1361	74	••	1,265	1,211	95.9	199	133	178	94
		• •	•••	••	1362	74	••	1,879	1,424	75.7	162	144	208	169
					1363	74	••	1,147	795	69.3	83	71	110	61
Tirucheng	ode		••	••	1361	88	• •	2,270	765	27-6	49	44	125	55
	,	• •	•••	••	1362	88	•••	2,563	2,156	84.12	96	81	151	62
					1363	88	••	2,908	2,677	92	280	276	280	276
Yercaud					1361	67	••	• •	• •	••	••	• •	••	
		- •	• • •	• •	1362	67	••	106	54	50	42	51	42	41
					1363	67	• •	30	••	100	8	2	8	2
							Соімват	ORE DISTRIC	or.					
Gobichett	ipalay	am	•••		1361	154	(Not	2,815	415	15	42	30	25	25
	- •				1362	154	furnished.)	3,112	385	12	51	51	39	39
					1363	154	3	2,437	395	16	34	24	24	24
Kollegal	••	••		••	1361	89	. 2	1,897	1,339	71	35	35	35	35
_				-	1362	89	3	1,748	1,469	8 <b>4</b>	92	92	92	92
		••	• •	• •	1363	89	4	1,765	1,148	65	220	185	185	185

Bhavani			<b>-</b>	1361 1362 1363	57 57 57	~~ ~	3,511 2,749 2,578	1,475 1,705 1,208	42 62 47	37 17 4	29 17 4	39 35 15	29 24 3	
Avanashi	-	-	-	1361 1362 1363	74 74 74	• • •	2,104 1,414 1,663	808 604 1,052	38 43 63	254 509 329	232 423 273	267 468 327	222 414 127	
Coimbatore	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	72 72 72	1 7	<b>2</b> ,561 <b>3</b> ,229 <b>3</b> ,426	640 775 1,450	25 24 42	163 293 313	163 277 304	163 277 304	163 277 304	
Pollachi	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	103 103 103	22 6 17	1,914 2,723 2,774	459 1,211 1,168	24 44 42	336 162 190	334 162 190	336 162 190	<b>334</b> 162 190	
Udamalpet	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	87 87 87	••	2,018 1,874 1,624	906 1,014 1,104	45 54 68	76 94 105	76 94 95	76 94 105	76 94 95	APP.
Palladam	•• ,	<b>e</b> : <b>e</b>	••	1361 1362 1363	100 100 100	10 8 15	754 811 1,199	289 639 937	38 79 78	288 224 266	264 196 257	288 224 266	264 196 257	APPENDICES
Dharapuram	••	••	••	1361 1362 136 <b>3</b>	86 86 86	43 39 15	1,219 1,320 2,203	217 252 304	18 19 14	216 708 87 <b>6</b>	180 242 549	180 242 549	174 228 340	6
Erode	••	. • •	••	1361 1362 1363	210 210 210	112 105 112	10,204 9,308 7,959	781 2,549 2,035	80 27 26	51 89 177	41 81 167	41 81 167	41 81 167	
						N	ilgiris Distr	ICT.		•				
Cooncor	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	23 23 23	Nil. Nil. Nil.	12,578 11,622 12,821	1,193 662 3,728	9.5 5·6 29	36 57 42	24 33 37	42 44 42	33 23 19	
Gudalur	••	••.	••	1361 1362 136 <b>3</b>	12 12 12	Nil. Nil. Nil.	2,463 2,095 3,208	1,257 1,420 595	51 68 19	14 7 2	13 7 2	2 4 17	3	95

APPENDICES

	ne of tal	luk.		Fasli.	Number of villages within the jurisdiction of the Taluk Surveyors.	Number of villages not visited even once during the year. (4)	Number of stones in his juris- diction requiring renewal.	Number renewed.	Percentage of column (6) to column (5).	Number of subdivisions to be check-measured.	Number of subdivisions actually check-measured.	Number of subdivi- sions to be mapped. (10)	Number of subdivisions actually mapped.
				• • •	•	NILGIRIS	DISTRICT-	cont.		- •			
Ootacamund	••	••	6:0	1361 1362 1363	19 19 19	Nil. Nil. Nil.	1,846 1,222 1,189	624 997 386	37 103 51	52 327 484	45 66 463	69 333 628	56 93 310
						MALAB	AR DISTRIC	r.					
Ponnani	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	121 121 121	••	4,674 3,381 5,428	4,214 862 577	89 25 11	1,083 796 1,095	808 618 969	1,045 1,674 1,335	277 610 594
Palghat	::	::	::	1361 1362 1363	113 113 113	••	2,683 2,519 2,770	413 468 483	15 19 17	574 674 1,174	264 461 591	1,146 1,493 2,395	821 703 1,280
Kurumbranad	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	104 104 104	••	1,491 1,866 1,352	628 1,038 253	42 55·5 19	641 565	* 392 254	392 254	392 354
Walluvanad	• •	••	••	1361 1362 1363	118 118 118	49 23 24	2,852 3,313 3,378	373 359 734	13 11 22	1,458 1,849 1,645	549 1,443 820	586 760 756	554 674 736
Wynaad	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	26 26 26		529 53 <b>4</b> 785	463 320 432	88 59 55	336 547 341	227 353 289	308 575 404	64 407 361
Kozhikode	••	••	••	1361 1362 1363	.71 71 .71	••	2,077 1,332 1,254	1,006 671 753	48 50 60	858 1,096 989	858 1,096 905	858 1,096 989	858 1,09 <b>6</b> 905

Kottayam 🕳	<b>-</b>	-	•	1361 1362 1363	49 49 49	••	541 723 723	436 504 491	81 70 69	849 823 880	704 670 780	849 823 880	704 670 780
						SOUTH KA	NARA DISTE	ICT.					
Coondapoor	-	-	••	1361 1362 1363	100 100 100	22 11 7	576 777 451	222 510 341	39 66 75	59 <b>3</b> 295 451	577 278 341	656 581 775	396 265 537
Udipi		616	••	1361 1362 1363	115 115 115	••	954 962 1,016	283 592 668	30 62 66	1,410 2,565 2,019	1,269 2,294 1,739	1,791 2,338 3,080	659 666 1,047
Karkal	••.	8.00	,••	1361 1362 1363	105 105 105	6 5	912 987 1,071	309 351 194	32 31 17	2,729 2,560 2,539	1,925 2,003 1,988	1,795 1,945 2,122	1,475 1,358 1,408
Mangalore	••	•:•	••	1361 1362 1363	175 175 175	15 27 17	1,218 1,222 1,120	180 131 48	15 10 5	1,626 1,820 2,398	1,017 1,243 1,011	1,626 1,820 2,399	934 1,199 802
Puttur	<b>e</b> ••	<b>010</b>	••	1361 1362 1363	184 184 184	• •	665 819 447	334 574 143	50 67 30	841 2,193 954	696 1,788 -448	535 714 548	535 714 241
Kasaragod	-	-	-	1361 1362 1363	83 83 83	14 15 14	462 1,097 724	196 465 248	42 42 34	995 1,689 1,859	797 1,135 1,434	1,052 459 936	302 312 753
flosdrug	-	-	-	1361 1362 1363	31 31 31	••	181 261 441	88 143 164	49 55 37	208 283 195	130 235 145	154 136 112	91 57 58

<sup>\*</sup> The particulars are not available as the relevant statements are reported to be not forthcoming.

#### APPENDIX XX.

#### (Vide paragraph 260 of the Report.)

Statement of missing stones for renewal and subdivisions for check-measurement as on 1st April 1942 and on 1st April 1954 furnished by the Deputy Director of Survey.

- <b>- 1</b> •	Distri	int.	•		Missing for rea	stones newal.	Subdivisions for check measurements.		
	DBW				As on 1st April 1942.	As on 1st April 1954.	As on 1st April 1942.	As on 1st April 1954.	
Chingleput		• •	••		22,919	14,757	2,372	260	
North Arcot			••		17,406	4,740	970	286	
South Arcot	• •				48,345	31,186	2,423	202	
Salem	• •				10,476	3,225	494	233	
Coimbatore	• •	• •	• •	• •	69,896	19,928	307	269	
Tiruchirappalli				• •	52,256	21,790	3,045	190	
Tanjore		• •			69,187	33,027	694	947	
Madurai			• •		76,699	49,777*	168	486	
Ramanathapur	am	• •	• •		7,218	3,339	81	63*	
Tirunelveli	• •				42,901	12,798	813	1,067	
Nilgiris					37,269	11,071		179	
Malabar		• •	• •		22,301	19,022	5,080	3,810	
South Kanara	• •	• •	••	• •	6,885	5,596	267	1,611*	
					483,758	230,256	16,714	9,603	

Figures for 1st April 1953 adopted as the figures for 1st April 1954 have not been received.

#### APPENDIX XXI.

(Vide paragraph 336 of the Report.)

FORMS WHICH SHOULD BE RESTORED TO THEIR PRE-WAR SIZE.

#### A. Village Accounts Forms.

Revenue form Reasons in brief for urging the restoration of the forms to Serial number and brief description. or common form, their old size. This is the monthly register of 1 Village Account No. 1. R.F. III-A-3 cultivation to be submitted by karnams before the 25th of every month. A number of forms will be required by each karnam and there will be no saving of paper by the use of small sized forms. This is an abstract showing the 2 Village Account No. 1-A. R.F. III-G-5 extent cultivated under different crops and their estimated outturn and has to be submitted by the karnams every month. As entries have to be made in respect of a number of crops on each page, the form should be restored to its previous size. .. This is a statement showing charges 3 Village Account No. 6. . C.F. 180 for water not included in the taram assessment and is an important cash account. Hun-

dreds of entries will have to be made by each karnam every month particularly in districts where new areas have been brought under irrigation. As the printed heading alone takes up one-third of the space, restoration of the form to its

old size is urged.

#### APPENDIX XXI-cont.

FORMS WHICH SHOULD BE RESTORED TO THEIR PRE-WAR SIZE-cont.

#### A. Village Accounts Forms-cont.

Serial number and brief description. Revenue form or common form. Reasons in brief for urging the restoration of the forms to their old size.

- 4 Village Account No. R.F. III-A-9 2-D.
- This is a statement showing the area irrigated under certain sources. In the present form the printed headings alone occupy more than half the space. Particulars of only one source can be entered in the form, whereas in many villages, there will be about a dozen sources. The present size is inconvenient for filing purposes also. Hence it is essential to restore the form
- 5 Village Account No. R.F. III-A-10 ...
- to its old size.

  This statement exhibits particulars of ayacut and changes therein. Numerous entries have to be made in this statement. The present space between different items is quite insufficient to enable entries of figures to be made clearly. Hence the need for increasing of the size of the form.
- 6 Village Account No. R.F. III-A-37 .. 10-A.
- This is a statement showing the names of deceased pattadars and the individuals in whose names pattas have to be issued. The karnam may have to report quite a few cases every month and the spaces provided in the new forms for the village officers to offer their recommendations and for the Tahsildar to pass his orders are wholly inadequate.
- 7 Karnam's progress R.F. XVII-50 ... report of changes in enjoyment of lands.
- This is a monthly report from these karnams showing the number of cases of occupancy verified by him and checked by the Revenue Inspector and Deputy Tahsildar or Tahsildar. There is very little space in the present forms for filling in the particulars against the several questions. Filing of these small forms in Taluk Offices is difficult.
- 8 Village Account No. 12. R.F. III-A-3
- This is the abstract statement of settlement for the whole village. This is an important account which has to be passed by the Jamabandi Officer, the main purpose of the jamabandi being to settle the demand for the village. The printed headings take up nearly three quarters of the entire space available and it is difficult to enter figures, always running to four digits, in the very small columns provided.

#### APPENDIX XXI-cont.

#### FORMS WHICH SHOULD BE RESTORED TO THEIR PRE-WAR SIZE—cont.

#### A. Village Accounts Forms—cont.

Serial number and brief description. Revenue form or common form. Reasons in brief for urging the restoration of the forms to their old size.

- 9 Village Account No. 14. R.F. III-A-33
- This again is an important account showing as it does the abstract of daily collection from each individual. It is very difficult to enter figures with two or three digits in the narrow columns provided. The form should be restored to its old size.
- 10 Village Account No. R.F. III-A-36 ...
- This is a statement showing overpayment made by pattadars and will be required frequently for reference when claims for refund or adjustment of excess payments are made. Only about 15 entries can be made on both the pages of the existing forms, whereas there will be a very large number of case of excess payment in every village.
- 11 Village Account No. 15. R.F. III-A-37 ...
- This is the remittance list sent by the headman with each remittance of kist. The space provided in the existing forms for entering details of the currency notes, coins, etc., is not adequate. Further it is difficult to enter figures with four digits in the narrow columns.
- 12 B. Memorandum .. R.F. III-B-28
- .. R.F. III-B-28 .. This is a memorandum sent up by the karnam in respect of unauthorized occupation of unauthorized occupation of Government lands. There is no space in the existing forms for drawing an eye sketch of the encroachment though are karnams expected to furnish such sketches. There is not enough space also for the Revenue Inspectors offer their remarks and the to record their Tabsildars orders with reasons.
- 13 Forms of inspection of C.F. 359 .. A stones.
- .. In the old forms entries could be made for five years and there was therefore no need to write up a fresh register every year. Hence the old form should be restored.
- 14 Forms of inspection of C.F. 360 .. B stones.

Do.

- 15 Village Statistical Register.
- R.F. III-A-54 ..
- This is a permanent register showing at a glance important statistical information about the village. The entries tend to become crowded and illegible in view of the small size of the forms. Hence restoration to old size is necessary.

#### APPENDIX XXI-cont.

FORMS WHICH SHOULD BE RESTORED TO THEIR PRE-WAR SIZE—cont.

#### B. Forms in use in Taluk offices ..

Serial number and brief description.	Revenue form or common form.	Reasons in brief for urging the restoration of the forms to their old size.
1 Chalans	T. & A-1	It is very difficult to enter figures with more than three digits in the narrow columns provided.  The space for rendering the amount in words is not also adequate.
2 Receipts and Disburse- ment Journal (Treasury Form III).	R.F. XXXII-5	It is difficult to enter figures with more than two digits in the narrow columns provided. Important Treasury records should not be in such octavo form, as they will easily get lost or misplaced.
3 Return No. 1—Section III—Area under different crop and their estimated outturn.		It is difficult to enter clearly the figures in respect of as many as 9 or 10 crops in each column, within the small space provided, without rendering the whole return clumsy. Hence the necessity for increasing the size of the form.
4 Return No. 20—Fore- cast—Report of area cultivated.	R.F. IV-21 (b)	The printed headings themselves take up nearly three-quarter of the space in the form. Hence the need for increasing the size of the form.

#### APPENDIX XXII.

#### Calculation of costs.

(Vide paragraph 395 of the Report.)

# A. Average Cost of Posts.

Post.	Scale of pay.	Formula for average cost.		verage cost.	Dearness allowance on average cost,	. Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Deputy Collectors	300-50/2-	300+(2/3-12/90)×	= 51	3 5 <b>4</b>	89 12 0	603 1 0
Tahsildars	200-10-300	$200+(2/3-5/90) \times$	= 261	2 0	51 11 O	<b>312 13 0</b>
Deputy Tahsildars	150-5-200	100. 150+(2/3—5/90) × 50.	= 180	9 0	39 8 0	220 1 0
*Taluk Head Account- ant.	115—5—140	115+2×25	= 133	12 0	31 8 0	165 4 Q
Upper Division Clerk	80—3—95—5 —110.	$80 + \frac{2}{3} - 3/60) \times 30$	= 101	0 0	25 11 0	126 11 9-
Lower Division Clerk		45+(2-15/60)	= 67	8 0	24 0 0	918,0,
Typist		$45 + (\frac{1}{2} - 15/60) \times 45$ .	= .67 10	8.0	24 0 0-	101 8 0-
Attender	24-1-32-1/	224+( <del>1</del> -9/60)×11	= 30	9 . 0	19 0 0	49 0 0
Peon Jeep Driver	-35. 18-1-25 35-1-40	$18 + (2/3 - 2/90) \times 7$ $35 + (2/3 \times 5)$	= 22 = 38		19 0 0 19 0 0	41 8 0 57 5 0

<sup>\*</sup> This is also the scale proposed by the Committee for Head Clerks of Divisional offices and of Taluk Offices in charge of Deputy Tahsildars, Magisterial Head Clerks and Superintendent, Fair Copying Section of Collector's offices:

#### APPENDIX XXII-cont.

#### B. COST OF INDIVIDUAL ITEMS.

1. Cost of supplying numbering machines to offices.

(Vide paragraph 29	1 of the Report.)
--------------------	-------------------

Collectors' offices	 $5 \times 14$	70
Revenue Divisional Officers' offices (including Cochin)	 1×40	40
Taluk offices (excluding Cochin)	 1×114	114
Cost of 224 machines at approximately Rs. 30 each	 Rs. 6.720	

2. Appointment of Headquarters Deputy Tahsildars and officers in the grade of Divisional Office Head Clerks for taluks manned by Deputy Vahsildars.

# (Vide paragraphs 93 and 119 of the Report.)

Number of taluks in charge of Tahsildars that should be given Headquarters Deputy Tahsildars.	67 (excluding taluks which already have Headquarters Deputy Tahsildars).
Number of taluks in charge of Deputy Tahsildars that should be given an officer in the grade of Divisional Office Head Clerk. Cost of 67 Deputy Tahsildars at Rs. 180-9-0 plus Rs. 39-8-0 as	8 RS. <b>A.</b> P.

Total cost .. 1,92,794 4 0

#### 3. Restoration of Divisions.

#### (Vide paragraphs 128 et seq. of the Report.)

Number of divisions proposed to be revived	• •	• •	• •	5
Basic staff of a Divisional Office—				
One Revenue Divisional Officer.				
One Head Clerk.				
One upper division clerk.				
Three lower division clerks.				
One typist.				
Four peons.				
				RS. A.

			RS.	A.	P.
Average pay of Revenue Divisional Officer	• •		513	5	4
Dearness allowance at 171 per cent	••	••	89	12	0
			603	1	4
				or	
			603	1	0
One Head Clerk—Average pay plus dearness allow	ance		165	3	0
One upper division clerk—Average pay plus dearner		ance.	136	11	0
Three lower division clerks-Average pay plu		mess			
allowance (Rs. $91-8-0\times3$ )			274	8	0
One typist-Average pay plus dearness allowance			101	8	0
Four peons—Rs. 41-8-0 each	••	••	166	0	0
Total cost of one Divisional Office	••		1,446	15	<u> </u>
Total cost of five Divisional offices	• •	• •	1,446		0 x 8
	• •		= 7,234		0
Total cost of five Divisional offices for one	zear		7,234		0×12
20002 002 02 240 2511220202020202020			86,816		0
Total cost on account of restoration of five division	73 ··	• •	86,816	4	0

#### APPENDIX XXII-cont.

#### B. COST OF INDIVIDUAL ITEMS-cont.

#### 4. Restoration of sub-taluks.

#### (Vide paragraphs 74 and 96 of the Report.)

Nidamangalam, Palayamkottai, Vayittiri, Kunnamangalam, Tirurangadi sub-taluks are proposed to be revived.

the property of the second sec							
		*			RS.	· A.	P.
Average pay of Deputy Tahsildar	• •	• •		• •	180	9	0
Dearness allowance on average pay			• • .	• •	39	. 8	0
Fixed Travelling Allowance	••	• •		**	33	12	0
				•	253	13	0
Average pay of three lower division of	elerks—	Rs. 91-	$-8-0 \times 3$		274		Ŏ
Average pay of three peons	• •	••	••	• •	124	8	Ŏ
Total cost of a Deputy Tahsildar's O	ffice per	month		••	652	13	0
Total cost of a Deputy Tahsildar's Of	ffice per	vear			7.833	12	Ō.
Cost of restoration of five offices		•			39,168	12	0 or
					39,169		0

#### 5. Additional clerks for Taluk offices.

#### (Vide paragraph 114 of the Report.)

One upper division and two lower division clerks for 74 Taluk offices. One upper division and one lower division clerks for 11 Taluk offices. One upper division post alone for 10 Taluk offices.

Total-95 upper division posts and 159 lower division posts.

						AS.	بھ	r.
95 × Rs. 126-11-0 × 12			• •	••	• •	1,44,423		
$159 \times \text{Rs. } 91-8-0 \times 12$	•• • •	• ••	. ••	**	••	1,74,582	0	_0 
						3,19,005	12	0
Cost of 95 upper division Rs. 126-11-0 × 95 × 1		Rs. 126-	-		<u> </u>	1,44,423	12	<del>-</del>
Cost of 159 lower division			-		<b>-</b> `	<b>-</b>		_
Rs. $91-8-0 \times 159 \times 12$		• • •			• •	1,74,582		
N.B.—The Office of the								en*

N.B.—The Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Fort Cochin, has not been treated as a Taluk Office, though the Revenue Divisional Officer's Office also functions as a Taluk Office.

# 6. Conversion of a post of lower division clerk into that of a typist for Taluk offices.

## (Vide paragraph 117 of the Report.)

Cost of converting one post of lower division clerk as post of a typist in Taluk offices (excluding Taluk offices in which a post has already been converted)—

72 Taluk offices—special pay at Rs. 10 per month—72 × 120 = Rs. 8,640.

# 7. Cost of Record Attender for Taluk offices.

(Vide paragraph 118 of the Report.)

Good for and delials. Assessed most Do. 20.0.0. December allows.			r.
Cost for one taluk—Average pay Rs. 30-9-0—Dearness allow-	49	a	٥
ance on average pay Rs. 19	20	•	٠
Rs. 49-9-0 × 12 × 114 6	7,801	4	0

#### APPENDIX XXII—cont.

#### B. Cost of Individual Items—cont.

8. Increase in the proportion of upper division clerks to lower division clerks. (Vide paragraph 39 of the Report.)

A. P. Difference between the cost inclusive of dearness allowance between lower division and upper division scales for 243 posts at Rs. 35-3-0 1.02,607 (Please see also Appendix IX).

Note.—The additional cost on this account will be reduced by about 50 per cent if the posts of election upper division clerks (recently ordered to be made permanent) are allowed for.

# 9. Additional clerks for Divisional offices.

(Vide paragraph 150 of the Report.)

RS. Sanction of an additional lower division clerk for 36 Divisional  $^{\circ}$  offices at Rs. 91-8-0 each per month—36  $\times$  91-8-0  $\times$  12 ... 39,528

10. Increase in the fixed travelling allowance of Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars in charge of taluks.

(Vide paragraph 340 of the Report.)

Increase in fixed travelling allowance of Tahsildars— Increase for one post—Rs. 75 minus Rs. 49-2-0 or Rs. 25-14-0 per month. Increase for 104 posts of Tahsildars—Rs. 25-14-0 × 12 × 104 = Rs. 32,292-0-0 (approximate).

Increase of fixed travelling allowance of Deputy Tahsildars in charge of taluks-Increase for one post—Rs. 75 minus Rs. 33-12-0 or Rs. 41-4-0 per month. Increase for 8 posts—Rs.  $41-4-0 \times 12 \times 8$  = Rs. 3,960.

RS. Additional cost on account of the increase in fixed travelling 32,292 allowance. 3,960

> 36,252 Total (approximate).

À. P.

0

0 0

N.B.—The Committee's recommendation does not apply to the Tahsildars in the district of Madras, as they are in receipt of only "conveyance allowance" and not " fixed travelling allowance."

# 11. Training of clerks.

# (Vide paragraph 43 of the Report.)

Number of clerks allotted to districts each year-1947 573 1948 682٠. 6211949 1950 738 716 1951 . . 594 1952 and 1953 635 1954

Total for 8 years ... 4,559 570 Average

Additional cost of 570 lower division clerks for the period of training of 3 months-570 at Rs. 45 plus Rs. 22 dearness allowance Rs. 1,14,570.

N.B.—As the clerks under training are supernumeraries, only the minimum pay of lower division elerk has been taken into account.

#### APPENDIX XXII-cont.

#### B. Cost of Individual Items-cont.

12. Training of Revenue Inspectors.

(Vide paragraph 56 of the report.)

RS.

A Tahsildar will be in charge of the training-

Minimum pay of Tahsildar Dearness allowance		200 per month. 43 do.			
		243 do.			

Pay of 14 Tahsildars for a period of fortnight—Rs. 243 × 7 = Rs. 1,701.

N.B.—As the overall period of training of Revenue Isnpector will remain the same as at present, no additional expenditure on pay, allowance, etc., of Revenue Inspectors will be necessary.

#### 13. Additional Section Heads for Collectors' offices.

(Vide paragraph 207 of the Report.)

One additional Section Head in the grade of a Deputy Tahsildar for all except four Collectors' offices—

Cost of 10 posts at Rs. 220-1-0: 10 × Rs. 220-1-0 × 12=Rs. 26,407-8-0.

#### 14. Time-scale for Head Clerks of Divisional offices.

(Vide paragraph 152 of the Report.)

Upgrading the posts of Head Clerks of Revenue Divisional Officers' office (including that of Fort Cochin) to the scale of Rs. 115—5—140—

40 posts—Difference in pay (inclusive of dearness allowance) between the new scale and the present fixed pay—at Rs. 28-4-0 per month—40 x Rs. 28-4-0 x Rs. 12 = 13,560.

# 15. Upgrading the post of Magisterial Head Clerk of Collectors' offices.

(Vide paragraph 214 of the Report.)

Upgrading of posts of 8 Magisterial Head Clerks in the Upper division cadre and 4 Magisterial Head Clerks on fixed pay of Rs. 110 to the scale of Rs. 115—5—140.

					RS.	A.	P.
$9 \times \text{Rs. } 38-9-0 \times 12$							
$4 \times \text{Rs.} 28 - 4 - 0 \times 12$	• • •	• •	• •	• •	1,356	0	0
			Total	• •	5,520	12	0

N.B.—There is no Magisterial Head Clerk in the office of the Collector of Madras.

# 16. Upgrading the post of Superintendent, Fair-copying Section, Collectors' offices.

(Vide paragraph 213 of the Report.)

Upgrading the post of Superintendent, Fair Copying Section, to the scale of Rs. 115—5—140—

13 posts—Difference between the upper division scale and the scale proposed. Additional cost— $14 \times Rs$ .  $38 \times 12$  ... Rs. 6,478.

#### APPENDIX XXII-cont.

#### B. Cost of Individual Items-cont.

17. Special pay for Revenue Inspectors.

(Vide paragraph 57 of the Report.)

Number of Revenue Inspectors in districts and cost of special pay at Rs. 5 per month-

Number of Revenue Inspectors-597.

Special pay for 597 Revenue Inspectors at Rs. 60 each per annum (excluding Revenue Inspectors on special duties) = Rs. 35,820.

#### 18. Cost of supply of jeeps for divisions.

(Vide paragraph 341 of the Report.)

M					RS.	A.	P.
Non-recurring— Cost of 39 jeeps at Rs. 14,000	••	••	••	••	5,46,000	0	0
Recurring							_
Maintenance charge of 39 jeeps month	per ye	ar at ]		per 	1,40,400	0	0
Drivers at Rs. 38-5-0 plus Rs. 19	per me	onth fo	r 39 dri	vers	• -		
per year '	· • •	• •	• •	• •	26,822	4	0
		-	Total	1	1,67,222	4	0

N.B.—The supply of a jeep to Fert Cochin is not proposed.

# 19. Increased mileage for Revenue Divisional Officers.

# (Vide paragraph 342 of the Report.)

Total number of Divisions (excluding Fort Cochin)	39
Number of I.A.S. Divisions	19
Number of Divisions that may normally be expected to be held by Deputy Collectors	20
Average annual travelling allowance of Revenue Divisional Officers	Rs. 4,000 (approximate).

Mileage, subject to the restrictions laid down in the Madras Travelling Allowance Rules, may be assumed to represent 50 per cent of the total travelling allowance.

The present mileage of a Revenue Divisional Officer is, therefore, Rs. 2,000 per month.

Increase in travelling allowance on account of the increase in the mileage from 6 annas to 9 annas-Rs. 1,000 per annum.

For 20 Revenue Divisional Officers, the additional expenditure on mileage will be roughly-Rs. 20,000 per annum.