



**REPORT OF
THE COMMITTEE FOR
REVIEW AND STRENGTHENING OF THE
CENTRAL AND STATE EVALUATION ORGANISATIONS**

**PROGRAMME EVALUATION ORGANISATION
PLANNING COMMISSION
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
NEW DELHI
1980**



R. Dubhashi
Additional Secretary,
Ministry of Agriculture
and Chairman,
Committee for Review and
Strengthening of the Central
and State Evaluation
Organisations.

D. O. No. PEO/10-7/78-TE
PROGRAMME EVALUATION ORGANISATION
(PLANNING COMMISSION)

कार्यक्रम मूल्यांकन संगठन
(योजना आयोग)
नई दिल्ली।

April 21, 1980

Dear Dr. Swaminathan,

The Government of India set up on 23rd June, 1978 a Committee for Review and Strengthening of the Central and State Evaluation Organisations. The Committee finalised its Report in its meeting held on 4th March, 1980. I have great pleasure in submitting the Report of the Committee.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd.-

(P. R. DUBHASHI)

Dr. M. S. Swaminathan,
Deputy Chairman,
Planning Commission,
NEW DELHI.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGES
I INTRODUCTION	1-3
Need for Evaluation— Strengthening of Evaluation Machinery — Constitution of the Committee — Terms of Reference — Composition of the Committee — Meetings Held — Sub-Committee of the Main Committee — Acknowledgements.	
II EVALUATION	4-5
Concept of Evaluation — Independence of the Programme Evaluation Organisation	
III FUNCTIONS AND ACTIVITIES	6-12
1. Programme Evaluation Organisation :	
Objectives and Functions — Internal Reorganisation Committee — Scope and Coverage— Expansion of Scope — Evaluation of Plan Programmes — Quick Evaluation Studies — Selection of Programmes for Evaluation — Evaluation Methodology — Review of Evaluation Studies Undertaken — Training in Evaluation — Committee for Training in Evaluation.	
2. State Evaluation Organisations :	
Working Group on Evaluation — Areas of Evaluation — Scope of Evaluation — State Evaluation Committees — Review of Work — Follow-up Action — Training Facilities — Coordination Between Evaluation Agencies.	
IV PERSPECTIVE	13-18
Independence of Evaluation — Scope and Coverage of Evaluation Studies — Quick Evaluation Studies — Multi-dimensional Approach — Methods of Evaluation — Training Facilities — Follow-up Action — Coordination Between Evaluation Agencies — Reaching out — Expanding Horizons.	
V SUGGESTED SET UP FOR EVALUATION	19-28
1. Programme Evaluation Organisation :	
Existing Set up — Increased Functions — Organisational Strengthening at the Headquarters — Strengthening of the Field Set up — Library Facility — Administrative Set up— Financial Implications.	
2. State Evaluation Organisations :	
Review of Evaluation Arrangements — Recommended Set up — Pattern of Assistance	
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	29-35
MAPS	
I Existing Regional and Project Evaluation Offices	(iii)
II Proposed Regional and Project Evaluation Offices	(facing 25)
APPENDIX	
I Notification of the setting up of the committee	39-40
II Notification of the nomination of the new chairman of the committee	41
III Reports brought out by the PEO	42-44
IV State evaluation committees — their composition, functions and frequency of meetings.	45-48
V Number of reports/studies completed by different state evaluation organisations, theme-wise.	49
VI Arrangement for follow-up of the findings of evaluation studies in States.	50-51
VII Sector-wise outlays as a percentage of the total outlay made in the first five Five Year Plans.	52
VIII Proposed organisational set up of the P.E.O.	53
IX Staff strength of the existing and proposed technical officers/officials at the headquarters and in the field in the PEO AND Financial implication of the additional technical posts	54
X Evaluation machinery in the States and Union Territories.	55
XI Staff strength of evaluation organisations in States and Union Territories.	56
XII Financial allocation and expenditure of state evaluation organisations under plan and non-plan budgets for the years 1977-78 and 1978-79	57