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Prin. M. V. Donde, formerly President of All-India Primary Teachers' Association, Mayor of Bombay and M. L. C. writes :
M. V. Donde
"Tapodhan"
V. P. Road, Bandra Bombay 50

Dr. Pethe's Living and Working Conditions of Primary School Teachers is a masterly exposition of primary education in general and primary teachers in particular. The Chapters on "Economic Conditions" can be characterized as an invaluable contribution to the understanding of the living conditions of primary teachers. Dr. Pethe's study has been quite thorough. The facts and figures so purposefully collected and intelligently tabulated are revealing considerably. His presentation has been quite straight and simple. It does attract attention. Dr. Pethe has clearly grasped the fundamentals of the problem and has quite faithfully highlighted them in his investigation. The book needs to be widely read by students of the problem.

— M. V. Donde

## FOREWORD

The role of teacher in building up of our Nation is by now well appreciated. With a vast illiterate population the role, of primary teacher is in some measure more important. There has been in the last decade much improvement in the conditions of service, social status and recognition of merit of this profession, but it is good to analyse from time to time realities as can be evidenced only from factual data. In this respect the 'Socio-Economic Survey of Primary Teachers of Sholapur City' carried on by Dr. Pethe is certainly useful and is revealing.

As the author himself would be the first one to admit this data and the results flowing from it have obvious limitations in coming to any general conclusions. Firstly, the data relates to an urban area and secondly it pertains to period 1954-55 and one can say with some measure of confidence that the conditions of service, emoluments, etc. of the teachers have undergone change since then. Inspite of all these limitations this Survey has brought to light much interesting information about the normal run of the educational standards of the teachers themselves, their aptitude in taking to teaching profession and their interest in the social life of the society in which they work.

I would say in brief that the publication of the Survey does serve a very useful purpose.

Sachivalaya, Bombay 32
July 16, 1962
Y. B. Chavan

Chief-Mịnister

## PREFACE

The present study embodies the findings of an inquiry, made in 1954-55, of the primary teachers of Sholapur city. The findings, inspite of the area and period to which they refer, seem to have a good deal of general and contemporary relevance.

The living and working conditions, as revealed by the Survey, gave sufficient reasons for concern, even though the Government is trying to improve these conditions since Independence. If conditions are so appalling in a relatively developed city like Sholapur, one can well imagine what they might be in smaller towns and the rural areas. Moreover, even though the inquiry pertained to the year 1954-55, the conditions described are not much out of context for the present. For, there has not been any noteworthy change, especially in the real standards of living of teachers, since the time when this Survey was done. The rise in money incomes, due to revision of pay scales, has been more than offset by the rise in living costs. This perhaps reflects the inadequacy of governmental efforts, well-intentioned as they might be, in dealing with the economic problems of teachers.

In the preparation of this work, I received help from numerous quarters, which I am happy to acknowledge. First, I am very thankful to the Chairman, A. O. and other officials of the Municipal School Board, Sholapur, whose co-operation made the conduct of this investigation successful. I am greatly indebted to the Director of the Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics for the help rendered for the tabulation of the data. Prof. D. R. Gadgil and Prof. V. M. Dandekar kindly went through the manuscript. I am very grateful to them for their valuable comments. Ofcourse, I am alone responsible for the views expressed in this report and $I$ should also say that these views are quite independent of my position as a Member of the Research Staff of the Institute. Prof. B. A. Katikar assisted me in several respects, for which I am immensely thankful to him.

## (ii)

I am deeply indebted to Hon'ble Chief-Minister Mr. Yashwantrao Chavan for writing foreword to this report. I am also grateful to Prin. M. V. Donde for giving his reaction to this survey.

The author acknowledges his indebtedness to the University of Poona for the grant-in-aid received by him from the University towards the cost of publication of his book.

Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Poona 4.

Vasant P. Pethe
August 15, 1962

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

1.1 Since the attainment of independence in 1947, there has been a spurt of thinking and discussion on a number of social problems facing our country today. Attempts have been made to collect, on a scientific basis, fresh and up-to-date data on many aspects of social life, with a view to understanding our problems in their true perspective. The present report on an inquiry into the socio-economic conditions of primary teachers in Sholapur city is an attempt in a similar direction.
1.2. The main objectives which motivated the Survey were briefly as follows:
(i) to assess the economic and social conditions of the teachers, with emphasis on the levels of living,
(ii) to ascertain the educational and other attainments which mainly form the professional equipment of the teacher,
(iii) to know the nature and volume of work and the conditions of service in the school, and
(iv) to elicit the views of the teachers on some of the important problems prevailing at the present time in the field of primary education.
The survey was carried out by the author during November 1954 to January 1955.
1.3 At the time when the inquiry was launched, there were about 110 primary schools in the city and over 900 primary teachers working in them. ${ }^{1}$ As the first step in the inquiry, a

1. Vide Appendix II which gives decennial figures regarding the number of primary schools, teachers, students, etc. since 1885.
meeting of the head-masters of all the schools was convened and the nature and the objectives of the intended investigation were explained to them. They were requested to convey these objectives to individual primary teachers. Printed questionnaires along with an appeal for cooperation were distributed to all of the primary teachers of the city through the head-masters. (The questionnaire is reproduced in translation in Appendix I ). The teachers were instructed to return the filled-in questionnaires in closed envelopes provided to them, for the obvious reason that the facts stated by the teachers had to be treated as strictly confidential. A large number of questionnaires was collected through the head-masters; there were, however, some teachers who handed over their material personally to me. Of all the primary teachers of the city contacted in this way, 662 teachers (,i.e. about 74 per cent) responded and gave me the requisite information. ${ }^{1}$
2. A complete enumeration of teachers done through official channels indicated that there were 903 teachers in all the schools in 1954-55. The table given below would indicate that the sample returned in the survey was quite representative of the major groups of teachers.

TABLE 1.1: A classification of all primary teachers of Sholapur according to sex and type of school, and the corresponding classification of teachers returned in the sample.

|  | Total no. of teachers <br> Actual No. Percentage | Teachers in the sample <br> Actual No. |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal Schools : |  |  |  |  |
| Male teachers | 437 | 48.4 | 325 | 49.1 |
| Female teachers | 249 | 27.6 | 203 | 30.7 |
| Total | 686 | 76.0 | 528 | 79.8 |
| Private Schools : |  |  |  |  |
| Male teachers | 149 | 16.5 | 85 | 12.8 |
| Female teachers | 68 | 7.5 | 49 | 7.4 |
| Total | 217 | 24.0 | 134 | 20.2 |
| Grand Total | 903 | 100.0 | 662 | 100.0 |

1.4 The data collected in the course of the inquiry have been presented in the following few chapters. The succeeding Chapter II sets out background information regarding the general characteristics of the teachers, like age, sex, civil condition, size of family, total family income, etc. In Chapter III, the teacher is treated as a member of his profession and the relevant data regarding his educational attainments, training, experience, the nature and amount of work in the school, his relations with the superiors and other conditions of service have been presented and discussed. The next Chapter IV delineates the economic conditions of the primary teachers. The analysis of this Chapter is based mainly on figures pertaining to income, expenditure, saving and indebtedness of the teachers. Chapter $V$ goes a little deeper and attempts to appraise the quality of the economic life of the informants. The sixth Chapter focuses attention on the much debated issues in primary education and describes the : views held on these by the teachers under review. The concluding chapter brings together the main facts gathered in the survey in an attempt (i) to evaluate the impact of the economic and social conditions on the efficiency and attitudes of the teachers, and (ii) to throw light on the causes of the low standard of primary education.
1.5 The data of the suryey have been analysed generally with reference to the whole body of 662 teachers. In certain contexts, however, notable differences in conditions and attitudes were obseryed as between the various classes of teachers, e. g. as between the head-masters and the assistant teachers, between male and female teachers, between teachers of the Municipal schools and of private schools, etc. In such cases, data have been examined in a comparative way. The information regarding different classes of teachers, particularly of Municipal and private school teachers, revealed differences in emoluments and other conditions of service which merit serious consideration from those who think and work for improvement in the organisation of primary education.

## CHAPTER II

## PRIMARY TEACHERS : GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 This brief chapter is intended to give some preliminary information regarding the general characteristics (like teacherstatus, age, sex, income, etc.) of the teachers who responded to the inquiry. The information is given at the start so as to give a perspective and set the necessary background for analysis of the succeeding chapters.

## Teacher-Status and Type of School

2.2 Out of the total number of 662 teachers, 528 teachers were employed in the Municipal schools and 134 in private schools. Among the informants, 73 teachers were of the rank of head-masters. Male and female teachers giving information numbered 410 and 252 respectively. The requisite details regarding the teacher-status and the kind of school to which the male and female teachers belonged may be seen from figures in Table 2.1.

TABLE 2.1 : Distribution of the mals and female teachers according to teacher-status and the kind of school to which they belonged.

| Type of School | Teacher-Status | Males | Females | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Municipal | Head-master | 38 | 18 | 56 |
|  | Assistant-teacher | 287 | 185 | 472 |
|  | Total | 325 | 203 | 528 |
| Private | Head-master | 12 | 5 | 17 |
|  | Assistant-teacher | 73 | 44 | 117 |
|  | Total | 85 | 49 | 134 |
| Total | All teachers | 410 | 252 | 662 |

## Age, Sex and Marital Status

2.3 Table 2.2 presents a distribution of the teachers according to age, sex and civil condition. The figures indicated note-worthy differences between the male and female teachers in respect of age composition and civil status. Among the female informants, the proportion of teachers was relatively higher in the younger age groups ( nearly 70 per cent in the age group 16-35) than that among the male teachers (about 53 per eent in the same age group ). The proportion of the unmarried was also found to be higher among the lady teachers ( 22 per cent ) than among the male teachers ( 17 per cent ). Both of the above features may be perhaps indicative of the tendency on the part of female employees to take up a job as a stop-gap until marriage.

TABLE 2.2: A classification of leachers according age-group, sex and marilal status.

| Age- <br> Group | Un- <br> married | Males <br> Married <br> Widowed, <br> divorced, <br> etc. | Total <br> married | MarriedWid- <br> owed, <br> diverced, <br> etc. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16-20$ | 18 | 2 | - | 20 | 18 | 7 | - | 25 |
| $21-25$ | 33 | 34 | - | 67 | 21 | 25 | 1 | 47 |
| $26-30$ | 7 | 54 | - | 61 | 6 | 48 | 2 | 56 |
| $31-35$ | 1 | 67 | 1 | 69 | 2 | 39 | 4 | 45 |
| $36-40$ | 2 | 71 | - | 73 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 22 |
| $41-45$ | 2 | 55 | 2 | 59 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 23 |
| $46-50$ | - | 23 | - | 23 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 17 |
| $51-55$ | - | 15 | - | 15 | - | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| $56 \&$ above | 1 | 7 | - | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Not given | 4 | 11 | - | 15 | 2 | 4 | - | 6 |
| Total | 68 | 339 | 3 | 410 | 55 | 180 | 17 | 252 |

Size of Family
2.4 Table 2.3 gives a distribution of teachers according to the number of members in the family. The average size of the family of the primary teacher in Sholapur city was found to be of 6.51 persons per family. The family size ranged from the extremes of a uni-member family to a family consisting of as many as 30 members. It may be said that the teachers had, by and large, fairly big families. Nearly three-fourth of the teachers were found to have families of medium and large size.

TABLE 2.3: A distribution of primary teachers according to the number of members in the family.

| Family : <br> Size-classes | No. of <br> members | No. of <br> Teachers | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

2.5 In Table 2.4, information regarding the number of children born to the teachers and living at the time of inquiry is given. Being unmarried, the question was irrelevant in the case of 123 teachers. Of those who were married or widowed, about 15 per cent did not have a living child, 33 per cent had 1-2 children, 30 per cent had 3-4 children and the 'remaining had 5 or more than 5 children. The average number of living children was 2.89 per teacher.

TABLE 2.4 : Distribution.of teachers according ta the number of own living children.

| No. of own living children | No. of Teachers | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 77 | 14.29 |
| 1 | 78 | 14.47 |
| 2 | 95 | 17.62 |
| 3 | 86 | 15.96 |
| 4 | 69 | 12.80 |
| 5 | 46 | 8.52 |
| 6 | 31 | 5.75 |
| 7 | 20 | 3.71 |
| 8 | 9 | 1.67 |
| 9 | 6 | - |
| 10 and above | 22 | 1.13 |
| Not given | 539 | 4.08 |
| Total | 100.00 |  |

Note - The question was inapplicable in the case of $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ unmarried teacher s

## Total Family Income

2.6 Table 2.5 contains data regarding the total monthly income of the teachers. The income figures in the Table give a total of earnings of all the members of the family of the teacher as well as unearned income from assets, property, etc, held by the family. The average income of a teacherfrom all sources was found to be Rs. 116=57 per family and Rs. $17=91$ per capita per mensem. As the details regarding income, expenditure, savings and indebtedress have been studied at length in Chapters IV and V, no more comments on economic conditions of the teachers need be made at this point.

TABLE 2.5 : Distribution of teachers according total family income per month.

| Total family income (Rs.) | No. of Teachers | Per cent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 | 15 | 2.27 |
| $51-75$ | 87 | 13.14 |
| $76-100$ | 256 | 38.67 |
| $101-150$ | 181 | 27.34 |
| $151-200$ | 62 | 9.37 |
| $201-250$ | 14 | 4.53 |
| $251-300$ | 16 | 2.11 |
| Over 300 | 1 | 2.42 |
| Not given | 662 | 0.15 |
| Total |  | 100.00 |

## CHAPTER III*

## PROFESSIONAL ASPECTS:

## Qualifications, Experience <br> and Conditions of Service

3.1 In this chapter, the teacher is looked upon as a member of his profession and the relevant data have been examined accordingly. First, we may note the educational and other equipment of the teacher on which would largely depend his efficiency in giving instruction in the class. Later, the environment in which the teacher works and the general conditions of service are described.

Educational Qualifications
3.2 In Tables 3.1 (A-C), details regarding the educational attainments of the teachers are given. It will be seen.

TABLE 3.1 : Distribution of teachers according to education :
TABLE 3.1 (A) : School and college education.?

| Educational Standard | No. of teachers | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Primary school : |  |  |
| 1. Vernacular Final | 467 | 70.54 |
| II High school : |  |  |
| 2. Standards : 5-8 | 33 | 4.98 |
| 3. Standards : 9-11 | 146 | 22.05 |
| III College : |  |  |
| 4. Graduates and Under-graduates | 16 | 2.42 |
| Total | 662 | 100.00 |

that so far as formal education is concerned, a large majority of teachers (about 71 per cent) had not gone beyond the stage of primary education. A little more than a quarter .received somesecondary school education. The proportion of teachers receiving college education was almost negligible. A teacher is expected tohave undergone some training in the methods of education. About one fourth of the teachers did not answer the question in this respect-the majority being from the private schools, perhaps giving an indication that many of them were not trained. Of theteachers who gave the information, about 55 per cent had completed the second year and 40 per cent the first year in training. An inquiry was made as to whether the teacher has passed any standard: examinations conducted by semi-Government bodies or organisations like the Hindi or Marathi Sahitya Sabhas, or cultural institutions like Sangeet Vidyalayas, etc. Among the teachers. answering this question, about 40 per cent reported that they did. not take any of such examinations. Table 3.1 (c) gives the number of teachers who obtained certificates in different examinations. Relatively speaking, a large number of teachers seemed tobe interested in Hindi examinations and drawing.

TABLE 3.1 ( $B$ ) : Education in Training.

| Training | Municipal <br> teachers | Teachers of <br> aided schools | Total no. <br> of teachers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No training | 2 | - | 2 |
| Training : First year |  |  |  |
| Second year | 191 | 13 | 204 |
| Deem-trained |  |  |  |
| Kindergarten, S.T.C. | 8 | 30 | 274 |
| Not given | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| Total | 79 | 4 | 8 |

TABLE 3.1.(C) : Other Education.


Notes : 1. 208 teachers did not take any of the examinations listed above.
2. 129 teachers did not answer the question.

## Previous Occupation

3.3 Table 3.2 gives a classification of teachers according to the activities which they were engaged in, previous to their persent employment. Over half of the teachers ( 54 per cent) were receiving education. About 63 lady teachers were doing household work. Cnly about one third of the total number of teachers were found to have been gainfully employed. It is interesting to see the kind of professions from which these teachers were drawn. A large number of teachers (about 65 per cent) came from, what may be called, the lower types of professions and administrative jobs like those of priests, compounders, police, assistants in offices, etc. Those who were previously clerks or shop-assistants were 23 in number. About 18 per cent belonged to occupations which involved manual work, mostly at the unskilled or semi-skilled level. It may be said that the occupational change-over (from manual to teaching work) was of a vertical character, pariticularly in the case of these teachers.

TABLE 3.2: Distribution of teachers according to occupations in which they were engaged, previous to taking to the present profession

| Type S | S. No. | Previous Occupation | No. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Gainfully employed |  | Engaged in occupations involving manual work. | 38 |
|  |  | Business | 4 |
|  |  | Lower professions and administrative posts | 144 |
|  |  | Clerks and Shop assistants | 23 |
|  |  | Agriculture | 7 |
| Sub-total |  |  | 216 |
| II Unemployed, educands, etc. | ed, 6. | Unemployed | 11 |
|  | 7. | Receiving Education | 358 |
|  |  | Household-work | 63 |
|  | Sub-tot |  | 432 |
|  | Not giv |  | 14 |
| Grand-total |  |  | 662 |

Reasons for Joining the Teacher's Profession
3.4 Some ethical value is attached to the teaching profession; it is regarded as something more than a profit-making calling. Even though a teacher may fight for higher remuneration and betterment in conditions of service, still some degree of missionary zeal is expected of him. From this point of view, it is important to know whether the teachers were pulled or pushed to this profession. Table 3.3 indicates the reasons which led the informants to take up a teaching position. A little over half of the teachers said that they joined the profession out of liking and aptitude for it. In the case of nearly 40 per cent of teachers, the force of circumstances seemed to have driven them to accept a teacher's job. This might include such conditions as economic distress, absence of an earning hand in the family, lack of opportunities for further education, failure in getting a better job of one's choice, etc.

TABLE 3.3 : Male and female teachers classified according to reasons for joining the teaching profession.

| Reasons for joining the <br> teaching profession | Male <br> teachers | Female <br> teachers | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have liking for |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| the profession | 255 | 105 | 360 |
| Force of circumstances | 112 | 129 | 241 |
| Combination of both above | 29 | 11 | 40 |
| Not given | 14 | 7 | 21 |
| Total | 410 | 252 | 662 |

3.5 From the distribution of the male and female teachers, it would appear that the proportion of female teachers joining the profession through the force of circumstances was relatively much larger (about 51 per cent) than among the male teachers( 27 per cent ). Perhaps, this disparity has not something to do with the phenomenon of differential liking for occupations on the. part of the female but with the dire necessity of taking up any job that comes in her way to earn an income. Such a compelling need may arise, for instance, when a woman is widowed and the destitution of her own self, her childern or other dependent members of the family cannot be avoided unless she works and earns. Similarly, she may be forced to take up an employment, if the family cannot just go on, due to absence of a male earner or insufficient income of the earning member in the family. The relatively larger proportion of female teachers giving the force of circumstances as the cause for joining the teaching profession may, therefore, be taken to indicate the compelling necessity of getting into some employment rather than a differential dislike for the teaching profession as against other fields of employment.

## Experience in Present Employment

3.6 A distribution of teachers according to the year when. they joined the present service is given in Table 3.4, with a view to indicating the period of experience they had in the teaching line. ${ }^{1}$ It will be seen that over 10 per cent of the teachers were

1. There were some teachers who were previously teachers in other schools. and hence their experience would have been of a longer duration than what is indicated by the table; such cases were, however, exceptional.
fresh entrants in the line, having joined their position very recently (i.e. after 1953). Another 10 per cent had an experience of only about $1-3$ years. The number of teachers being in the present employment for a period ranging roughly between 5 to 20 years was in majority, accounting for about 56 per cent of the total. Nearly a quarter of the teachers were in service for more than 20 years. The different categories of the teachers revealed varying proportions of teachers having more or less experience. It could be seen from the figures that generally speaking, the male teachers the head-masters and the Municipal teachers had longer experience than the corresponding categories of the female teachers, assistant-teachers and those employed in the private schools. This is quite natural as one would expect.

TABLE 3.4: Distribution of teachers according to period of sertice in present employment.

| Year when joined <br> the present <br> service | Muni- <br> cipal <br> teach- <br> ers. | Aided- <br> school <br> teachers | Head- <br> mas- <br> ters | Assis- <br> tant <br> teach- <br> ers. | Male <br> tea- <br> chers | Female Total <br> teach- |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1915-25$ | 35 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 26 | 10 | 36 |
| $1926-30$ | 43 | 4 | 15 | 32 | 34 | 13 | 47 |
| $1931-35$ | 59 | 6 | 16 | 49 | 44 | 21 | 65 |
| $1936-40$ | 71 | 11 | 10 | 72 | 56 | 26 | 82 |
| $1941-45$ | 124 | 10 | 4 | 130 | 84 | 50 | 134 |
| $1946-50$ | 125 | 28 | 6 | 147 | 81 | 72 | 153 |
| $1951-52$ | 33 | 27 | 2 | 58 | 36 | 24 | 60 |
| After 1953 | 35 | 46 | - | 81 | 47 | 34 | 81 |
| Not given | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 73 | 589 | 410 | 252 | 662 |

## Personal Library, Special Interest in Different

Fields of Knowledge, etc.
3.7 The educational attainments, experience and liking for the vocation are perhaps the most direct and crucial factors which form the equipment of the teacher as a member of his professions. In addition to this, some side information on such matters as
hobbies, liking for specific fields of knowledge, books in personal library, etc. may give hints as to whether the teacher has larger interests which improve his abilities as a teacher. Lack of interest on the part of the teacher, however, should not be interpreted everytime as lack of innate inclination in him; it may as well indicate lack of sufficient money and time which may not permit the teacher to develop his interests.
3.8 Table 3.5 indicates the hobbies of the teachers. About 41 teachers said that they were not particularly interested in anything. A large number of teachers expressed interest in reading; writing and social work. The majority of the teachers said that they could not spare sufficient time and money for persuing their hobbies.

TABLE 3.5: The number of teachers having different interests.

|  | Hobbies | No. of teachers | Percent to total <br> no. of teachers |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Games | 174 | 26.28 |
| 2. | Reading | 354 | 53.47 |
| 3. | Writing. | 113 | 17.07 |
| 4. | Social work | 198 | 29.91 |
| 5. | Other hobbies | 162 | 24.47 |

Notes: (1) 59 teachers did not answer the question.
(2) 41 teachers said that they did not have any hobby.
(3) Only 116 teachers reported that they could spare money for the fulfilment of their interests.
(4) The figures in the table are not additive as one teacher might have more than one hobby.
3.9 In Table 3.6 is indicated the number of teachers having liking for the different fields of knowledge. About 10 per cent of the teachers appeared to be completely disinterested in any intellectual persuit. Among those who had an interest, Literature, Mathematics and History and Geography attracted a larger number than the other subjects.

TABLE : 3.6 : The number of teachers indicating liking and interest in different fields of knowledge.

|  | Subjects | No. of teachers | Percentage to the <br> total no. of teachers |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 1. | Literature | 215 | 32.48 |
| 2. | Natural Sciences | 32 | 4.83 |
| 3. | Mathematics | 169 | 25.53 |
| 4. | Social Sciences | 16 | 2.42 |
| 5. | Philosophy \& Psychology | 13 | 1.96 |
| 6. | History and Geography | 163 | 24.62 |
| 7. | Religion | 9 | 1.36 |
| 8. | Drawing | 40 | 6.04 |
| 9. | Miscellaneous subjects | 48 | 7.25 |
|  | No liking for any subject | 67 | 10.12 |
|  | Not given | 137 | 20.69 |

3.10 In Tables 3.7 (A-B), a classification of teachers according to the number and money-value of books in their personal library is given. Out of 662 teachers, 564 teachers (i. e. 86 per cent ) did not have a single book of their own. And most of those who had some books with them had about $10-50$ books each. The total money value of the books ranged between Rs. 10 and Rs. 100 each in the majority of cases. Possibly, the teachers did not have either (a) an inclination or (b) financial capacity to develop a personal library. This apathy or incapacity was found to be a little more marked among the female teachers than among. the male teachers, and among teachers employed in private schools as against the Municipal teachers, (the percentages of teachers not having a siogle book with them being about 91, 81, 90 and 84 in the respective groups.)
TABLE 3.7 : (A): Distribution of teachers acconding to number of books in their personal library.

| No. of books | No. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 15 |
| $11-25$ | 40 |
| $25-50$ | 23 |
| $51-75$ | 5 |
| $76-100$ | 7 |
| More than 100 | 6 |
| Unspecified | 2 |
| Total | 98 |

TABLE 3.7 ( B ): Distribution of teachers according to the total money value of the books in their personal library.

| Total money value of books |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Rs.) | No. of teachers |
| $1-10$ | 3 |
| $11-25$ | 26 |
| $26-50$ | 24 |
| $51-100$ | 29 |
| $101-200$ | 7 |
| $201-350$ | 6 |
| More than 350 | 1 |
| Not given | 98 |
| Total |  |

## Interest in Social Work

3.11 Some idea regarding the extent to which the primary teachers had contact with the outside social life and activity can be had from information regarding the social work done by the teachers. The relevant data are given in tables 3.8-A and B. Out of the total number of teachers about 58 per cent reported that they were engaged in some sort of social work. The Municipal teachers appeared to be more interested in social work than the private school teachers, the percentage of teachers doing social work being 62 among the former and 44 among the latter. A large proportion of the teachers (about 72 percent) seemed to be taken up by slum-clearance work. The teachers normally devoted an hour or so per day for social activity. Amongst the teachers who did not do any social work, over half said that they did not find sufficient time and leisure for the purpose. About quarter of 'the teachers attributed their non-participation to lack of proper guidance and leadership in the field of social work.

TABLE 3.8 : Information on social work done by teachers.
TABLE 3.8 (A): Kinds of social work in which the teachers were engaged.

| Type of social work | Municipal <br> teachers <br> (percentage) | Teachers <br> in aided schools <br> (percentage) | Total no. <br> of teachers <br> (percentage) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Adult education | 57.4 | 44.1 | 55.3 |
| 2. Slum clearance | 74.2 | 61.0 | 72.2 |
| 3. Miscellaneous | 15.7 | 18.6 | 17.1 |
| 4. Unspecified | 3.4 | 5.0 | 3.9 |
| Total no. of teachers |  |  |  |
| doing social work | 326 | 59 | 385 |

Note: The percentages are not additive.

TABLE 3.8 ( B ): Reasons for not doing social work.

|  | Reasons | Teachers <br> (percentage) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1. No inclination <br> 2. <br> Lack of sufficient <br> time and leisure <br> 3.Absence of proper <br> guidance and leadership <br> Miscellancous 14.1 <br> 4. 55.9$\quad$Total no. of teachers not <br> doing any social work | 24.2 |

Work in the School
3.12 As noted at the beginning, the efficiency of teachers is dependent on (a) personal factors (like educational attainments, experience, etc.) and (b) institutional factors (like nature and amount of work, number of students and classes taught and other conditions of service). Some of the former category of factors have been discussed in the above paragraphs. We may now turn
to the latter class of factors, which represent, one may say, important links in a proper and well-knit organisation of education.
3.13 Table 3.9 indicates the variety of work which the teachers have to do in addition to teaching. It involved administrative work, management, conduct and supervision of games, organisation of meetings, functions and ceremonies, etc. Of the teachers giving information on this point, about 16 per cent did not do anything aside from teaching, while 27 per cent were required to do all type of work. Games and administrative work appeared to be important items in school work which engaged comparatively a large number of teachers.

TABLE 3.9 : No. of teachers doing different kinds of work in addition to teuching.


Note: Individual figures are not additive, as one teacher may do more than one type of work.
3.14 In Table 3.10, teachers are classified according to the number of hours for which they worked in the school (including teaching work). On an average, a teacher was found to work for 6.3 hours a day. A head-master had to put in a larger amount of work ( 7.0 hours ), and perhaps naturally, than an assistant teacher ( 6.1 hours). Taking into account all the teachers giving information, it was found that the largest proportion of teachers, about 66 per cent, worked for 6-7 hours. Teachers working for more than 8 hours were nearly 10 per cent.

TABLE 3.10: Teachers classified according to the number of hours of work (including teaching work) in the school.

| Hours of work <br> ( per day ) | Head Masters | Assistant <br> Teachers | Total no. <br> of teachers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $4-5$ | 5 | 137 | 142 |
| $6-7$ | 49 | 364 | 413 |
| 8-9 | 15 | 42 | 57 |
| More than 10 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Blank | - | 40 | 40 |
| Total | 73 | 589 | 662 |

Number of Classes and Students Taught at a Time
3.15 Table 3.11 sets out information regarding the number of classes and of students taught at a time by the teacher. About 13 per cent of the teachers had to teach and manage two classes simultaneously. About 55 per cent of the teachers taught less than 40 students at a time, 35 per cent taught 41-60 students and the remaining 10 per cent more than 60 students. In respect of the number of classes and students taught, there did not appear to be much difference as between the Municipal and the private schools.
TABLE 3.11: Classification of teachers according to (1) the number of classes and (2) number of students taught, at a time.

|  |  | Teachers in Municipal schools | Teachers in Aided schools | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of classes taught at a time. | One class | 441 | 114 | 555 |
|  | More than one class | 66 | 15 | 81 |
|  | Not given | 21 | 5 | 26 |
|  | Total | 528 | 134 | 662 |
| No. of students taught at a time. | Less than 40 | 313 | 51 | 364 |
|  | 41-60 | 168 | 65 | 233 |
|  | 61-80 | 33 | 11 | 44 |
|  | More than 80 | $8$ | - | 8 |
|  | Not given | $6$ | 7 | 13 |
|  | Total | 528 | 134 | 662 |

## Tenure of Service

3.16 Table 3.12 indicates that the number of teachers who were not yet permanent in service at the time of inquiry. The temporary teachers formed about 31 per cent of the total. The proportion of temporary teachers was higher in the private schools ( 39 .per cent) than in the Municipal schools ( 27 per cent).

TABLE 3.12 : Tenure of service of teachers.

| School | No. of temporary <br> teachers | Percentage to total <br> no. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal 153 28.98 <br> Private   | 52 | 38.80 |
| Total | 205 | 30.97 |

## Provision of Pension or Provident Fund

3.17 In Table 3.13, the respondents are classified according to whether they enjoyed the facility of pension or provident fund schemes. Among all the teachers, over one third were not under either the pension or provident scheme. This corresponds broadly to the proportion of temporary teachers who, because of their temporary status, might not be entitled to these benefits.

TABLE 3.13 : Distribution of different groups of teachers according to provision of pension or provident fund.

| Facility of pension or provident fund | Municipal teachers | Aided school teachers | Head Masters | Assistant teachers | Total no. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pension | 17 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 21 |
| Provident fund | 320 | 35 | 48 | 307 | 355 |
| Nothing | 149 | 87 | 6 | 230 | 236 |
| Both | 16 | - | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| Not given | 26 | 8 | 2 | 32 | 34 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 73 | 589 | 662 |

3.18 Differential conditions were obvious as between the head-masters and the assistant-teachers and particularly amongst Municipal teachers on the one hand and teachers of the privately owned schools on the other. Thus, while the proportion of teachers enjoying neither of the facility was 28 per cent in the Municipal schools, it was as high as 65 per cent in the private schools. Teachers contributing to the provident fund were 61 per cent and 26 per cent in the two categories of schools respectively. Possibly, a larger proportion of temporary teachers in the private schools may account for this divergence. As between the headmasters and assistant-teachers, one can see that the former are mostly permanent, enjoy a higher status, are in service for a longer duration and hence are seen, in large proportion, to enjoy the facility of pension or provident fund than the assistant teachers.

## Relations with Superiors

3.19 A good deal of efficiency in any field of activity depends on the relationship between the employee and his superiors. This is more so in education, for education is an essay in team work. Table 3.14 gives a classification of teachers according to whether their relations with the superiors were of a good, bad or formal nature. About 53 per cent of teachers reported that they were on good terms with the superiors and 44 per cent indicated a formal relationship.

TABLE 3.14 : Relationship with the superiors.

| Relationship | Municipal school <br> teachers | Private school <br> teachers | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Good | 257 | 91 | 348 |
| Formal | 256 | 37 | 293 |
| Bad | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Not given | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 662 |

## CHAPTER IV

## ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (I) :

Income, Expenditure and Indebtedness

## Nature of Data


#### Abstract

4.1 In the present and the succeeding Chapters, we may attempt to evaluate broadly the economic conditions and levels of living of the primary teachers under consideration. Before doing so, it is necessary to say a word about the degree of the reliability of the data. It is a matter of usual experience in socio-economic investigations that there is a tendency on the part of the informants to under-estimate their income. Generally speaking, income from earnings is more or less correctly reported. But the income received in the family-pool from earnings of other employed members in the family as well as income from property and assets or other sources (like tuitions in the case of teachers) is either concealed or grossly under-reported. On the other hand, the informants tend to give inflated figures regarding expenditure and borrowing. On some occasions, one may come across cases where expenditure far exceeds the income and yet the family does not report any indebtedness. As a result, the data may suffer from internal inconsistencies in addition to bias in reporting. It may be said that the data collected in this survey suffered as much from the usual inaccuracies in reporting as would any kind of a similar investigation. As the questionnaires were filled in by the teachers themselves, no attempt was made to set right the shortcomings of the income and other returns. There was no valid way of correcting the inaccuracies either. The data given below therefore represent the information as returned by the respondents. Inspite of the above limitations, one may be sure that the data could serve a useful purpose of giving a broad picture of the


pattern of income, expenditure, savings and indebtedness as found among the class of the primary teachers. As there is no reason why the shortcomings of the data should be found in larger or smaller degree in particular groups of teachers, inter-group comparisons in respect of economic conditions can be made with all validity.
4.2 This chapter presents the aggregative data regarding income, expenditure and other related items. In the next chapter, some important qualitative aspects of the economic conditions of the teachers are studied.
4.3 It may be supposed that the earnings, expenses, etc. of the teachers are likely to differ according to sex, rank and the type of school they are working in. With a view to examining these differential conditions, the data have been studied with reference to three kinds of teacher-groups, viz. (1) male and female teachers (sex-criterion of classification), (2) head-masters and assistant teachers (rank criterion) and (3) Municipal teachers and teachers employed in the private schools (type of school criterion).

## Income

4.4 In Chapter I, the distribution of teachers according to total income of the family from all sources was noted. Here, we may examine a few details. Table 4.1 gives a classification of the different groups of teachers according to total family income. As expected, the figures in the Table indicated marked differences in the total amount of income enjoyed by the various classes of teachers. Relatively speaking, the groups of the assistant teachers and those employed in private schools represented an income position that was worse than their counterparts, viz. head-masters and the Municipal teachers, respectively. It was only in the private schools that there were teachers whose total income was even less than Rs. 50 p m . The proportion of teachers getting less than Rs. 100 was about 52 per cent amon: Municipal teachers, whereas it was 64 per cent among the private school teachers. As between the headmasters and the assistant teachers, most of the former group enjoved an income of over Rs. 100, while the proportion of assistant teachers getting less than Rs. 100 was nearly 60 per cent
4.5 It was interesting to find that the female teachers showed proportions higher than the male teachers at the polar portions of the income scale. This is perhaps due to the contrasting situations that might obtain in the case of the female earners. Some females may be obliged to earn because of lack of male earning members, economic distress or widowhood, in which case they are likely to be represented at the lower end in the total incomeladder. On the other hand, some females, otherwise well-off, may take up a job because they feel like serving somewhere until marriage or just for pleasure, and thus push up the income of the family in the upward direction.

TABLE 4.1 : Teaehers af the three major categories classified according to. total family income per mensem.

| Total family income per mensem (Rs.) | Category of teachers by : <br> Type of <br> the School |  |  |  | : Sex |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Municipal teachers | Private teachers | HeadMasters | Assistant teachers | Male teach ers | Female teachers | Total no. of teachers |
| Less than 50 | - | 15 | 1 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 15 |
| 51-75 | 45 | 43 | 2 | 86 | 46 | 42 | 88 |
| 76-100 | 228 | 28 | 7 | 249 | 180 | 76 | 256 |
| 101-150 | 153 | 28 | 36 | 145 | 126 | 55 | 181 |
| 151-200 | 52 | 10 | 13 | 49 | 25 | 37 | 62 |
| 201-250 | 24 | 6 | 7 | 23 | 13 | 17 | 30 |
| 251-300 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| More than 300 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 73 | 589 | 410 | 252 | 662 |

## Salary

4.6 Normally, the total family income is a function of the income earned from employment by the principal earning member of the family. For, it is generally found that the earning of other members and income from property, etc. are either non-existent or negligible. It is necessary, therefore, to examine data regarding the salary earned by the different groups of teachers. One may
expect that differences in the total family income enjoyed by the teachers would largely represent the varying amounts of salary received by them.
4.7 In Table 4.2, a classification of teachers according to their salary per month is given. The broad differential picture presented by the salary figures does correspond to the differential. picture given by the total family income figures. The conditions in the private schools appeared to be most disheartening as compared to those in the Municipal schools. About 42 per cent of the private school teachers received a salary of less than Rs. 50. The cases of teachers in private schools getting more than Rs. 75 were very rare, whereas most of the Municipal teachers enjoyed a salary of more than Rs. 75. The headmasters received higher salaries than the assistant teachers. About a quarter each of the head-masters received a salary of Rs. 75-100 and Rs. 100-125. Those getting Rs. 126-150. were about 37 per cent. Among the assistant teachers, the largest single concentration of teachers accounting for 58 per cent was in the salary class of Rs. 76-100. About 28 per cent received Rs. $51-75 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. :The comparison of the proportions of male and female teachers as between the salary ranges showed slightly better picture for the former.

TABLE 4.2: A classification of teachers according to salary (includingl. A. ) per mon/h.

| Gross <br> salary <br> (Rs.) | Munici- <br> pal tea- <br> chers | Private <br> school <br> teachers |  | Head- <br> masters | Assis- <br> tant <br> teachers | Male <br> teach- <br> ers | Female <br> teachers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total no. <br> of teach- <br> ers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rs. 50 | - | 56 | 1 | 55 | 35 | 21 | 56 |
| 51-75 | 71 | 64 | 7 | 128 | 68 | 67 | 135 |
| $76-100$ | 349 | 10 | 17 | 342 | 220 | 139 | 359 |
| $101-125$ | 78 | 3 | 20 | 61 | 62 | 19 | 81 |
| $126-150$ | 30 | - | 27 | 3 | 24 | 6 | 30 |
| Not g:ven | - | 1 | 1 | - | .1 | - | 1 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 73 | 589 | 410 | 252 | 662 |

4.8 The problem of the differential salaries as between the Municipal teachers and private school teachers has to be viewed differently from that between the head-masters and the assistant teachers. The latter phenomenon is natural and desirable, as it arises out of differences in qualifications, seniority, experience, nature of duties, etc. The low payment of salaries in the private schools, however, is to be viewed seriously. It may indicate a number of institutional shortcomings (like weak financial position ) as well as institutional evils (like exploitation and -coercion by owners, etc. ). It is said that in the case of private schools, there is, not rarely, marked difference between the amount entered in the salary sheet and the amount actually handed over to the helpless teachers.

## Income From Tuitions

4.9 One of the advantages of a teacher's profession is said to be the substantial amount of income which he can get from tuitions. It has to be admitted that the query regarding income from tuitions has not been satisfactorily answered. The data do not seem to be reliable. The figures, however, are given here for whatever they are worth. Only about 12 per cent of the teachers said that they engaged themselves in the activity of giving tuition. Comparatively, the percentages of teachers giving tuition were higher among the male teachers (17), head-masters (16) and the private school teachers ( 28 ) than among the corresponding groups ( 6,12 and 9 ). Table 4.3 (A) classifies teachers according to income from tuitions. The average amount of income from tuitions was Rs. 12 per reporting teacher and about Rs. $1=50$ per teacher. ${ }^{1}$ In Table 4.3 (B), the teachers giving tuitions are grouped according to their salary. It was found that the proportions of -teachers engaging in this type of activity was highest among teachers getting a salary of less than Rs. 50 .

1. Hereafter, the average " per reporting teacher " refers to the number of teachers reporting and specifying a given item of information and the average " per teacher" refers to all the 662 teachers.

TABLE 4.3 : Income from tuition.
TABLE 4.3 (A): Teachers giving tuitions classified according to income from tuitions received by them.

| Income from tuitions <br> per month (Rs.) | No. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | 19 |
| $6-10$ | 28 |
| $11-25$ | 30 |
| $26-50$ | 5 |
| Total | 82 |

TABLE 4.3 (B): Teachers giving tuitions classified according to their salary.

| Salary per <br> month <br> (Rs.) | No. of Teachers <br> giving tuitions <br> (i) | Total no. of <br> teachers <br> (ii) | Percentage of <br> (i) to (ii) <br> (iii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 | 15 | 56 | 26.8 |
| $51-75$ | 21 | 135 | 15.6 |
| $76-100$ | 26 | 359 | 7.2 |
| $101-125$ | 17 | 81 | 21.1 |
| 126-150 | 3 | 30 | 10.0 |
| Blank | - | 1 | - |
| Total | 82 | 662 | 12.5 |

Income of Other Earners
4.10 The salary and income from tuitions represented the income earned by the teacher himself. Tables 4.4 : A-B give information regarding the income earned by other members of the family. About 18 per cent of the teachers did not give information on this score. In the case of about 46 per cent of the teachers, no member in the family other than the informant was reported to be gainfully employed and earning an income. In Table 4.4 : A, a classification of teachers reporting income of other earners is given according to the amount of such income.

A large number of teachers did not specify the amount of income received in this way. Among teachers who specified income, a teacher was found to report on an average a monthly income of about Rs. 72 from other earning members in the family. From Table 4.4 : B which gives a classification of teachers under reference by their salary, it will be seen that the proportions of teachers reporting income of other earners were generally higher among teachers" getting lower salaries. This is natural, for low earnings of the teacher may induce other members of his family to work and earn.

TABLE 4.4 : Income of other earning $n$ embers.
TABLE 4.4 (A): Distribution of teachers according to income of other earning members in the family.

| Income of other earners <br> per month (Rs.) | Total no. of teachers <br> reporting such income |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 | 26 |
| $51-75$ | 44 |
| $76-100$ | 21 |
| $101-125$ | 18 |
| $126 \cdot 150$ | 3 |
| $15 i-175$ | 5 |
| $176-200$ | 4 |
| More than 200 | 7 |
| Unspecified | 115 |
| Total | 243 |

TABLE 4.4 (B) : Teachers reporting income of other earners classified . according to their (teachers') salary.

| Teacher's salary. <br> per month <br> (Rs.) | No. of teachers <br> Feporting income <br> from other earners | Total no. of <br> teachers | Proportion of <br> (i) to (ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in percentage) |  |  |  |
| (iii) |  |  |  |

## Unearned Income

4.11 Table 4.5 indicates the income received by the teacher's family from property, assets and other kinds of sources of unearned income. About 17 per cent of the teachers did not answer the relevant question. Of the remaining, about 73 per cent said that they did not receive any unearned income. Among the teachers reporting and specifying unearned income, a teacher received on an average about Rs. 23 per month. The average per teacher came to about Rs. $5=63$.

TABLE 4.5 : Distribution of teachers according to unearned income.

| Unearned income per month (Rs.) | No. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-5$ | 1 |
| $6-10$ | 30 |
| $11-20$ | 35 |
| $21-25$ | 14 |
| $26-30$ | 9 |
| $31-40$ | 9 |
| $41-50$ | 17 |
| $51-75$ | 3 |
| $75-100$ | 9 |
| Not given | 12 |
|  |  |

## Family Expenditure

4.12 Having considered the income aspect, we may turn to a study of the other side of the picture, viz. expenditure. The details regarding the various channels of expenditure have been studied in full in the succeeding chapter; here, we may note only the over-all levels of expenditure incurred by the teacher's family. As already noted at the beginning, in interpreting the expenditure data, one has to be aware of the fact that there is likely to be some over-estimation in reporting.
4.13 Table 4.6 presents a distribution of the various groups of teachers according to total family expenditure. The family of the teacher was found to be spending on an average a sum of Rs. 105 per month. The differences in the levels of money spent
were obvious as between the head-masters and assistant teachers as well as between the Municipal and the private school teachers. For instance, while nearly 40 per cent of teachers in the private schools spent less than Rs. 75, the corresponding percentage was about only half as that among the Municipal teachers. Perhaps, the expenditure differentials just mirrored the income differentials as noted earlier.

TABLE 4.6 : A distribution of teachers according to total family expenditure.

| Total family expenditure per month (Rs.) | Municipal teachers. | Private school teachers | Head Masters | Assis- <br> tant <br> teach- <br> ers | Male teach ers | Female teachers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 30 | 16 | 15 | 31 |
| 51-75 | 96 | 37 | 5 | 128 | 80 | 53 | 133 |
| 76-100 | 179 | 38 | 15 | 202 | 141 | 76 | 217 |
| 101-125 | 99 | 20 | 17 | 102 | 88 | 31 | 119 |
| 126-150 | 55 | 14 | 15 | 54 | 48 | 21 | 69 |
| 151-200 | 50 | 3 | 14 | 39 | 24 | 29 | 53 |
| More than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 23 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 26 |
| Not given | 11 | 3 | - | 14 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 73 | 589 | 410 | 252 | 662 |

## Saving

4.14 Savings and indebtedness are the positive and negative aspects of the income-expenditure relationship. While the reporting of savings may tend to under-estimation, figures of debts arelikely to be inflated. As regards the information on savings, it was found that-among all the teachers, only about 12 per cent saved some amount of money, more or less regularly every month. Tables 4.7 : A-B give the data on savings. From Table 4.7 : A, it becomes clear that the proportions of teachers reporting savings were, by and large, higher among those enjoying higher levels of family income which represent, among other things like size of family, financial commitments and liabilities, habits, etc., possibly the most important factor determining the possibilities
of savings. Table 4.7 : B gives information on the amount of money saved. A teacher reporting saving, saved on an average about Rs. 12=20 per month. The average per teacher amounted to Rs. $1=44$. A large proportion of teachers seemed to have channelised their savings in insurance and balances with the Post Office ( Table 4.7 C).

TABLE 4.7 : Savings.
TABLE 4.7 (A) : Percentage of teachers reporting saving among teachers of different income classes.

| Family income <br> per month (Rs.) | No. of teachers <br> reporting savings <br> (i) | Total no. of <br> teachers <br> (ii) | Percentage of <br> (i) to (ii) <br> (iii) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 | - | 15 | - |
| $51-100$ | 26 | 344 | 7.56 |
| $101-150$ | 26 | 181 | 14.36 |
| $151-200$ | 13 | 62 | 20.47 |
| $201-250$ | 4 | 30 | 13.33 |
| $251-300$ | 3 | 14 | 21.43 |
| More than 300 | 6 | 16 | 37.50 |
| Total | 78 | 662 | 11.78 |

TABLE : 4.7 ( B$):$ Distribution of teachers reporting saving according to the amount saved per month.

| Amount of savings per month (Rs.) | No. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 | 26 |
| $6-10$ | 16 |
| $11-15$ | 7 |
| $16-20$ | 10 |
| $21-30$ | 8 |
| $31-40$ | 3 |
| $41-50$ | 2 |
| Unspecified | 6 |
| Total | 78 |

TABLE 4.7 ( C) : Teachers classified according to types af savings.

| Savings-type | No. of teachers |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Post Office | 30 |
| 2. Bank balances | 15 |
| 3. Insurance | 35 |
| 4. Government shares | 14 |
| 5. Gold etc. | 2 |
| 6. Miscellaneous | 10 |
| 7. Unspecified | 7 |

Note : Figures are not additive, for a teacher may save in more than one way.

## Indebtedness

4.15 In Tables 4.8 A-C, information regarding the indebtedness of teachers is given. Among 662 teachers, 500 teachers ( 80.4 per cent) . were found to be in debt at the time of inquiry. Contrary to expectation, the percentages of indebted teachers were higher among the head-masters ( 80.8 ), male teachers ( 83.9) and the Municipal school employees (77.5) than among their counterpart groups ( $74.9,61.9$ and 67.9 ). On inquiry, it was gathered that the needy Municipal school teachers could get loans from co-operative society without much elaborate procedures; this may partially explain their higher proportion, compared to private school teachers. Table 4.8 : A presents a distribution of indebted teachers according to total family income. Broadly speaking, the proportions of indebted teachers were higher, as one would expect, in lower income classes than in the higher ones. In Table $4.8: B$, the indebted teachers are classified according to the total amount of debt outstanding until the time of inquiry. About 70 per cent of the teachers had a debt ranging between Rs. 250 and Rs. 1500 . The median amount of debt incurred was Rs. 823 per reporting teacher and Rs. 623 per teacher. From Table 4.8 : C, it will be seen that nearly one fifth of the teachers had not repaid any amount of the debt incurred so far. About 59 per cent paid less than half and only 22 per cent paid more than half of the total debt. Table 4.8 : D gives figures regarding the money borrowed by teachers during one
year preceding the time of inquiry. Here also, the percentages of teachers borrowing money were found to be higher among the head-masters ( 60 ), male teachers (70) and Municipal teachers ( 60 ) than among the corresponding groups ( 58,40 and 52 reppectively ). On'an average, the debt incurred during the year of inquiry was about Rs. 300 per reporting teacher and Rs. 175 per teacher. Table 4.8-E sets out the percentages of teachers according to the circumstances which led them to borrow money from time to time. The doctor's bill, religious ceremonies and expenditure on education appeared to be important factors resulting in indebtedness. $\dot{A}$ few teachers were found to enter into fresh borrowing in order to repay old debts. As could be seen from Table $4.8:$ : F , a large number of Municipal teachers borrowed from the co-operative crodit society, whereas among the private school teachers, those borrowing from private individuals. were relatively prominent.

TABLE 4.8 : Indebtedness.
TABLE 4.8 ( A ) : A diotribution of icachers reporting indebledness according $t o$ total family inconse.

| Total famity <br> income per month <br> (Rs. ) | No. of teachers <br> reporting <br> indebtedness <br> (i) | Total no. <br> of <br> teachers <br> (ii) | Percentage <br> of <br> (i) to (ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 50 | 12 | 15 | 80.0 |
| $51-75$ | 59 | 88 | 67.0 |
| $76-100$ | 206 | 256 | 80.6 |
| $101-150$ | 150 | 181 | 80.7 |
| $151-200$ | 37 | 62 | 59.7 |
| $201-250$ | 21 | 30 | 70.0 |
| $251-300$ | 8 | 14 | 57.1 |
| More than 300 | 7 | 16 | 43.8 |
| Total | 500 | $6 \in 2$ | 75.6 |

TABLE 4.8 (B) : Indebted teachers classified according to dhe amount of debt outstanding upto 'alate:



| Percentage. of debt repaid | Municipal teachers | Percen <br> Aided school teachers | ntage of Head masters | teachers <br> Assistant teachers. | reportin <br> Male. <br> teach- <br> ers | g. repaym Female teachers | neat <br> Total no of teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nil | 18.58 | 21.98 | 11.86 | 20.18 | 20.06. | 17.31 | 20 |
| Upto 10" | 10.51 | 3.30 | 18.64 | 7.94 | 9.59 | 8.33 | 9.20 |
| 11-20: | 18.58 | 25.27 | 20.34 | 19.73 | 22.97 | 1282 | 19.80 |
| 21-30 | 8.56 | 12.09 | 6.78 | 9.52 | 9.01 | 962 | - 9.20 |
| 31-40 | 11.25 | 10.99 | 10.17 | 11.34 | 10.17 | 13,46 | 11.20 |
| 41-50 | 9.54 | 8.78 | 8.48 | ,9.52 | 8.43 | 11.54 | 9.40 |
| 51-80. | 15.16 | 14.29 | 15.25 | 14.97 | 13.66 | 17,95 | 15.00 |
| 81-100 | 7.82 | 3.20 | 8.48 | 6.80 | 6.11 | 8.97 | 7.00 |
| Total no. of teachers | 409 | 91 | 59 | $441$ | 344 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 . \\ 156 \end{array}$ | 500 |

TABLE 4.8 (D) : Amaunt of debe incurred during one year preceding the time of inquiry.

| Amount of debt incurred (Rs.) | Municipal teachers | Aided school teachers | Perce <br> Head <br> mas- <br> ters | entage of Assistant teachers | feachers Male teachers | Female teachers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upto Rs. 100 | 16.09 | 22.86 | 11.36 | 18.08 | 18.25 | 14.71 | 17.31 |
| 101-200 | 17.03 | 34.29 | 15.91 | 20.70 | 19.65 | 21.57 | 20.16 |
| 201-300 | 11.67 | 15.71 | 4.55 | 13.41 | 13.33 | 9.80 | 12.40 |
| 301-500 | 23.98 | 18.57 | 36.36 | 21.28 | 23.86 | 20.59 - | 23.00 |
| 501-1000 | 28.39 | 2.86 | 22.73 | . 23.91 | 21.05 | 31.37 | 23.77 |
| Above 1000 | 2.84 | 5.71 | 9.09 | 2.62 | ; 3.86 | 1.96 | 3.36 |
| Total no. of teachers | 317 | 70 | 44 | - $343^{\circ}$ | - 285 | 102 | 387 |

TABLE 4.8 (E): Reasons for which debt was incurred by the teaclers from time to time until the time of inquiry.

| Reasons | Percentage of teachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Municipal teachers | Aided school teachers | Head masters |  | Male teachers | Female teachers | Total |
| 3. Sickness | 54.8 | 59.3 | 55.9 | 55.6 | 54.7 | 57.7 | 55.6 |
| 2. Religious reasons | 44.7 | 44.0 | 50.8 | 43.8 | 48.5 | 35.9 | 44.6 |
| 3. Education | 32.5 | 44.0 | 32.2 | 34.9 | 32.6 | 39.1 | 34.6 |
| 4. Domestic difficulties | 21.0 | 25.3 | 15.3 | 22.7 | 24.1 | 16.7 | 21.8 |
| 5. Debt repayment | 17.1 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 13.4 | 15.4 | 10.9 | 14.0 |
| 6. Pregna- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ncy | 5.4 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 4.6 |
| 7. Miscellaneous | 11.7 | 22.0 | 18.6 | $6 \quad 12.9$ | 140 | 12.8 | 13.6 |
| 8. Unspecified | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 |
| Total no. of teachers | - $400^{\circ}$ | 91 | 59 | 441 | 34 | 156 | 500 |

TABLE: 4.8 (F): The agencies from which the tcachers borrowed money-


Note: Figures in Tables 4.8 : E and F are not additive.

## CHAPTER V

## ECONOMIC CONDITIONS (II)

## The Levels of Living

5.1 In the previous chapter, we noted the factors which determine the economic life of the teacher's family; in this chapter, an attempt is made to see how it is actually determined. Data regarding income, expenditure and indebtedness gave us largely an aggregative picture of the teacher's conditions. We may now look into some details and assess the levels of living of the primary teachers under review.
5.2 An idea regarding the level of living of a family can be had by noting how much and what proportion of income is spent by the family for, what are generally known as, the necessities, comforts and luxuries. Broadly speaking, the levels of living are said to be low, if incomes are small and if consequently higher proportions of income have to be channelized towards the consusmption of the necessities of jife. In the following, the various aspects pertaining to the tevels of living of the teachers are studied more or less according to the decreasing order of priority of the various items in consumption and living.

Expenditure on Necessities
5.3 Table 5.1 gives the number of teachers classified according to the percentage of total income spent by their family on the necessitites of life. These data are very crucial. for they serve as a mirror to the low levels of economic life of the primary teachers. The necessities are taken here to
include food, clothing and shelter. From the Table, it was: found that nearly 30 per cent of the teachers spent more than 80 per cent of their income on basic necessities. About half of the teachers spent between 60 and 80 per cent. As. between the different groups of teachers, the head-masters and' the Municipal teachers appeared to give a better, or to put it more aptly, less worse, picture thañ the corresponding groups of assistant-teachers and the private school-teachers. Male and female teachers were latgely on the par.

TABLE 5.1 : Percentage distribution of teachers according to the percentage of total incame spent on the necessities of life.

| Percentage T of income spent on necessaries per menth | Teachers <br> in Muni- <br> cipal schools | Teachers in aided schools | Head Masters | Assistant .teachers | Male teachers | Female teachers | Total no. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11-20 | - | 0.75 | - | 0.17 | 0.24 | - | 0.15 |
| 21-30 | 0.38 | 0.75 | 2.74 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.45 |
| 31-40 | 2.27 | - | 6.85 | 1.19 | 2.20 | 1.19 | 1.81 |
| 41-. 50 | 4.55 | 2.24 | 1.37 | 4.41 | 3.66 | 4.76 | 4.08 |
| 51-60 | 11.93 | 7.46 | 17.80 | 10.19 | 11.71 | 9.92 | 11.03 |
| 61-70 | 20.08 | 20.15 | 28.77 | 19.02 | 20.24 | 19.84 | 20.09 |
| 71-80 | 30.11 | 32.09 | 24.66 | 31.24 | 32.44 | 27.38 | 30.51 |
| 81-90 | 23.30 | 26.87 | 13.70 | 25.30 | 25.12 | 22.22 | 24.02 |
| 91-100 | 5.30 | 7.46 | 4.11 | 5.94 | 3.41 | 9.52 | 5.74 |
| Information not available | 2.08 | 2.24 | - | 2.38 | 0.45 | 4.76 | 2.11 |
| Total no. of teachers | 528 | 134 | 73 | 589 | 410 | 252 | 662 |

## Housing

5.4 Tables 5.2-5.5 present data regarding the housing conditions of the informants. In Table 5.2, the teachers are classified according to the number of rooms in their house.

On an average, a teacher's house contained 2.05 rooms per family or 0.31 rooms per member in the family. As between the different groups of teachers, the conditions regarding the number of rooms were... rather worse among the assistant teachers, male. teachers $\cdot$ and private $\cdot$ school teachers . than amongst the coiunterpart groups. Table 5.3 gives a classification of teachers according to the number of rooms and family size. Generally speaking, the number of rooms in the house was higher in the case of a family with larger size, but the increase in the average number was not proportionate to increase in the number of members in the family. Thus, the average number of rooms was $1.6,1.8,2.1$ and 2.7 in the case of teachers with small, medium, large and very large size of..family, respectively. Table 5.4 classifies the teachers according to the number of rooms and total income. It was found that the teachers with higher income generally had a house with larger number of rooms. Thus, the teachers with an income. of less than Rs. 150 had , on an average, 1.9 rooms, whereas those getting over Rs. 150 had 2.9 rooms.

TABLE 5.2: Percentage distribution of teachers of different groups according to the mumber of rooms in the house.


TABLE 5.3 : Clasoification of teachers acconding to family oize and the number of rooms in the house.

| No. of rooms | No. of teachers having family size : : . |  |  |  | Total no. of. teächers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ (1-3 \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers ) } \end{gathered}$ | Medium (4-6) | Large $(7-9)$ | Very large $\because(10$, and above) |  |
| 1 | 41 | 99 | 46 | 14 | 200 |
| 2 | 26 | 133 | 99 | 27. | 285 |
| 3 | 13 | 39 | 27 | 20 | 99. |
| 4 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 19 | 40 |
| 5 and above | ve | 2 | 3 | 9 | 15 |
| Not given | 6 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 23 |
| Total | 89 | 288 | 193 | 92 | 662 |

TABLE 5.4 : Distribution of teachers of different income classes according to the number of rooms in the house.

| Total family income (Rs: per month ) | 1 | 2 | No. of rooms |  | 5 and more | Not given | Total no. <br> of teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Less than 50 | 6 | 6 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 15 |
| 51-75 | 47 | 22 | 9 | 3 | - | 7 | 88 |
| 76-100 | 99 | 120 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 256 |
| 101-150 | 40 | 97 | 28 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 181 |
| 151-200 | 4 | 22 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 62 |
| 201-250 | 3 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 30 |
| 251-300 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | - | 14 |
| More than 300 | - | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | - | 16 |
| Total no. of teachers | 200 | 285 | 99 | 40 | 15 | 23 | 662 |

## Housing Amenities

5.5 In Table 5.5, the percentages of teachers enjoying the various housing amesities like separate tap, latrine, bath, etc. are given. About 30 per cent of the teachers did not have the luck of any of the amenities listed in the Table. Only 4 per cent reported that their houses were equipped with all the amenities. About 44 per cent of the teachers had houses with tiled floor and about 20-25 per cent each had a separate kitchen, tap and bath. The amenity of separate latrine was reported only by 17 per cent of the teachers.
TABLE 5.5 : The number of teachers enjoying the different housing amenitics.

| Amenities | No. of <br> teachers | Percentage to <br> total no. of teachers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scparate tap | 153 | 23.11 |
| Separate latrine | 114 | 17.22 |
| Scparate Kitchen | 167 | 25.23 |
| Separate bath-room | 145 | 21.90 |
| Tiled floor | 293 | 44.26 |
| All of the above amenities | 26 | 3.93 |
| None of the above amenities | 199 | 30.06 |
| Not given | 7 | 1.06 |

5.6 From Table 5.6 which gives details regarding the furniture possessed by the teachers, it will be seen that nearly half of the teachers did not possess even one of the usual items like chair, table, etc. Comparatively speaking, the headmasters, lady teachers and teachers in the Municipal schools showed a better position than the corresponding groups.
TABLE 56 : Percentage of teachers having furniture to total number in each group.

| Furniture items | Munici- <br> pal teachers | Aided school teachers | Head Masters | Assis. tant teachers | Male teachers | Female teachers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chair | 34.09 | 28.36 | 49.32 | 30.90 | 26.83 | 42.86 | 32.93 |
| Table | 21.02 | 17.91 | 30.14 | 19.19 | 11.46 | 34.92 | 20.39 |
| Cupboard | 2595 | 28.36 | 34.25 | 25.47 | 19.51 | 37.70 | 26.44 |
| Sufa | 0.57 | - | - | 0.51 | 0.24 | 0.79 | 0.45 |
| Cot | 26.33 | 24.63 | 32.83 | 25.13 | 19.51 | 36.51 | 25.98 |
| None of the above | 43.86 | 52.24 | 32.83 | 51.61 | 55.61 | 39.68 | 49.55 |

## Consumption of Efficiency Goods

ヶ. 5.7. Generally speaking, the proportionate distribution of income on the higher things of life like efficiency; goods, quality clothing, education, travel, recreation, 'etc. is higher amongst the rich than amongst the poor. Low proportion of expenditure on such items therefore can be taken as a sure sign of poverty and low standard of living.i: In Table $5.7^{\circ}$ is given a classification of teachers according to income and expenditüre on efficiency goods like milk, ghee, vegetables;' fruit, etc. Over 60 per cent of the teachers spent less than Rs. 25 for such consumption. On an average, a teacher spent Rs. 23=80 per month for the whole family or Rs. 3=66 per head in the family. This is not a very happy sum, for even if the whole amount were spent for milk at the most favourable rate, a family of about 6-7 persons could purchase just oneseer of milk a day, without any other kinds of efficiency goods. whatsoever. Broadly speaking, the proportions of teachers. spending more on efficiency goods increased with the increase: in income.

TABLE 5.7: Teachers classified according to total family income and monthly expenditure on efficiency goods.


Total no. of

| teachers | 7 | 16 | 65 | 94 | 121 | 105 | 123 | 89 | 31 | 11 | 662 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Quality Clothing:
5.8 :The teachers "were asked whèther and when theymade themselves silk or woollen dothes. Tables 5.8 : A-B: give the relevant data. It was found that about 64 per cent. of the informants had stiched themselves silk or woollen. clothes never in life time. On examining the details regarding: the different groups of teachers, it appeared that the proportion of such teachers were comparatively larger among the assistant teachers, male teachers and those employed in the private: schools in comparison with the corresponding groups. Table $5.8^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ indicated that nearly 90 per cent of the teachers did not make themselves clothes of superior quality during theyear of inquiry. Nearly two-thirds of those who made purchases spent less than Rs. 50 for such clothing. The averageamount of money spent on quality clothing during the year of inquiry was about Rs. 39 per reporting teacher (among: those who made the purchases.) and Rs. 4 per teacher.

TABLE 5.8 : Expenditure on quality clothing.
TABLE 5.8 (A): Teachers classified according to the time uthen silk orr uoollen clothes were made.

| No. of years since when silk or woollen clothes were made | Total no. of teachers | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did not stich such clothes any time in life | 421 | 63.60 |
| 2-5 years | 61 | 9.21 |
| 6-10 | 60 | 9.06 |
| - 11-15 | 30 | 4.53 |
| 16-20 | 10 | 1.51 |
| 21-25 | 6 | . 0.91 |
| 26-30 | 1 | 0.15 |
| Not given | 73 | 11.03 |
| Total . | 662 | 100.00 |

TABLE 5.8 (B) : Distribution of teachers according to the amouni e. money spent during the year of inquiry on the purchase of silk and wollen clothes.

| Amount spent during <br> the year of inquiry <br> (Rs. ) | Total no, of <br> teachers | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Expenditure on Education

5.9 Tables 5.9 : A-B give (i) the number of members receiving education in the teacher's family at the time of inquiry, and (ii) the amount of money spent per month for their education, respectively. .Only in the case of about 12 per cent of teachers, nobody was receiving education. Among those who reported educands; there were, on an average, about 2.31 educands in the family. The teachers who specified the amout of expenditure on education spent on an average Rs. $6=80$ per mönth. Abouit 150 teachèrs recorded that they had to discontinue the schooling of some members due to financial incapacity.

TABLE 5.9: Education of family members.
TABLE 5.9 (A) : Distribution of teachers according to the number of family. members receiving education at the time of inquiry.

| No. of educands <br> in the family | No. of teachers | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None receiving education | 78 | 11.78 |
| 1 | 184 | 27.79 |
| 2 | 167 | 25.23 |
| 3 | 89 | 13.44 |
| 4 | 51 | 7.70 |
| 5 | 22 | 3.32 |
| 6 | 12 | 1.81 |
| 7 | 4 | 0.60 |
| 8 | 1 | 0.15 |
| More than 9 | 2 | 0.30 |
| No. Unspecified | 15 | 2.27 |
| Not given | 37 | 5.59 |
| Total | 662 | 100.00 |

TABLE 59(B): Expenditure on the education of educand members in the family.

| Expenditure on education | No. of teachers |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | 229 |
| +-10 | 79 |
| 11-15 | 27 |
| 1-20 | 14 |
| More than 20 | 29 |
| No expenditure | 9 |
| Not given | 10) |
| Total | 547 |

## Conclusion

5.10. The .above facts regarding the pattern of expenditure on the part of the primary teachers revealed quite poor levels of living. amongst them.

## CHAPTER VI

## VIEWS OF TEACHERS ON PROBLEMS IN PRIMARY EDUCATION

6.1 In this Chapter, we may deal with some of the pressing and much-debated problems in the field of primary education. This has been done.chiefly in the context of the views held by the teachers on the various issues facing primary education today. It needs no exaggeration to say that it is vital to know the opinions and attitudes of a body of persons who represent perhaps the closest and the most important link in the rationale of the functioning and organisation of primary education.

Problems in Organisation :
The Shift System
6.2 We may begin by noting a few important problems in the organisation of primary education. One of the vexing problems of today appears to be the increasing rush of pupils secking admission on the one hand, whereas acute shortage of accommodation in the school on the otber. The result is that many a school has to work double shift...:The shift system, however, is not without its evils. About 83 per cent of the teachers indicated disapproval of the shift system. The double shift of school working, according to many teachers, led to heavier load of work for the teachers and adversely affected the studies in the class. (Table 6.1)

TABLE 6.1 : Percentages of teachers indicating eviis of the shift system.


Note :- The individual percentages in this and similar tables in the following are not additive, because one teacher may state more than one. items in giving opinion.

## Subject-wise Teaching

6.3 The views of the teachers regarding the principleof subject-wise teaching were elicited. A large majority of teachers ( 66 per cent ) were found to be in favour of the system of specialisation in the teaching of specific subjects (Table 6.2 ).

TABLE 6.2: Views on subject-wise teaching.

| Opinion regarding |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subject-wise teaching |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of teachers among } \\ \text { Head } \\ \text { masters }\end{array}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Assistant } \\ \text { teachers }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |$]$

## Basic Education

6.4 Table 6.3 contains the opinions of the teachers regarding the interest shown by the students in basic education ${ }^{1}$ and the steps that may be taken to enhance the liking for the subject. About 58 per cent of the teachers stated that the student-community did not evince interest in basic education. If basic education is to be retained, it should be introduced, according to some teachers, in higher standards.A few teachers opined that the subject of basic education should be taught only to those who showed some aptitude for it.
TABLE 6.3 : Opinions regarding the decices by which interest in basic education could be increased.


Note: Figures of No. 2 : A-C are not additive.

1. Basic education was first introduced in June 1949 in about 17 schools of the Municipality. - Sholapur Municipality Centenary Volume, 1953, p. 242.

## Inefficiency of Teachers

6.5 Having studied certain problems in the functioning and organisation of primary education, let us deal with a few problems relating to the teachers and the students.
6.6 The teacher of today is said to be inefficient. The teachers who agreed with this general belief were asked as to what, in their opinion, contributed to this inefficiency. Table 6.4 gives opinions of the head-masters and assistant teachers and of the teachers in the Municipal and private schools in this respect. Unwholesome atmosphere in the school figured as a prominent reason which might be hampering the initiative, enthusiasm and the spirit of team work amongst the teachers. Undue load of work and financial stresses and strains also appeared to affect the capabilities of the teachers in the efficient discharge of their duties.

TABLE 6.4 : Percentage of teaohers indicating the causes of inefficiency.


## Causes of Low Social Status of Teachers

6.7 The moral code of society regards the teaching profession as a noble one. The teacher, however, rarely-if ever-enjoys respect and esteem in the community. This is particularly true in the case of the primary teacher. About 71 per cent of the informants stated that the primary teacher has no status in society (Table 6.5). The main reason for this was, in the opinion of the teachers, their poverty and low economic status. A few teachers put the blame at the door of the teachers themselves, their inefficiency and lack of participation in social work and activities.

TABLE 6.5: Views on the causes of low status of the primary teacher in society.


Note : Items A to E of No. 2 are not additive.

## Quality of Students

6.8 One of the most perturbing issues concerning the student population at present is the growing indiscipline and negligence of studies amongst them. Table 6.6 embodies the views of the teachers on this much talked of problem. It was worthy of note that only 15 out of the 662 teachers said that there was nothing wrong with the students. The problem of indisc , s, therefore, to be taken seriously and treated as a real problem needing some solution. Many of the teachers regarded indifference and carelessness, absence of studious nature, lack of regard for the elders and indiscipline as the major psycho-social maladies from which the student population suffered today.

TABLE 6.6 : Fiews on the quality and attitudes of students.

| Shortcomings among students | Percentage of teachers among |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Municipal school teachers | Aided school teachers | Head <br> masters | Assistant teachers | Total |
| 1. Lack of studiousness | 28.60 | 29.85 | 28.77 | 28.86 | 28.85 |
| 2. Indiscipline | 22.92 | 32.84 | 17.81 | 25.81 | 24.92 |
| 3. Indifference \& carelessness | 31.35 | 41.04 | 32.88 | 33.28 | 33.23 |
| 4. Lack of respect for the elders | 24.05 | 36.57 | 23.29 | 26.99 | 26.59 |
| 5. Miscellaneous | 9.09 | 8.96 | 15.07 | 8.32 | 9.06 |
| 6. All of the above | 35.23 | 20.90 | 35.62 | 31.92 | 32.33 |
| 7. Unspecified | 14.02 | 16.42 | 16.44 | 14.26 | 14.50 |

'6.9 Table 6.7 records the teachers' views as to who is responsible for this sorry state of affairs. Is the psycho-socia deterioration among the students to be traced to the changing attitudes and habits of the students themselves ? Or, is it the inertia and lack of attention on the part of guardians and teachers, or the unwholesome currents in social behaviour and ethics at large that is to be blamed ? A large proportion of teachers held responsible the guardians and the society for the unsatisfactory situation. One would hardly expect that the teacher would blame his own tribe, but there were quite a few teachers who candidly blamed the teachers, which shows that the teachers cannot just escape the responsibility.

TABLE 6.7: Opinion as to who is responsible for the shortcomings of students.

| Opinion as to <br> who is responsible | Munici- <br> pal <br> school | Percentages of teachers among <br> school <br> teachers | teachers <br> Head <br> mas- <br> ters | Assis- <br> tant <br> teachers | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Students | 37.12 | 26.87 | 32.88 | 35.31 | 35.05 |
| 2. Guardians | 72.73 | $7>.10$ | 78.08 | 73.51 | 74.02 |
| 3. Teachers | 17.99 | 34.33 | 23.29 | 21.05 | 21.30 |
| 4. Society | 58.71 | 56.72 | 58.90 | 58.23 | 52.27 |
| 5. All of the above | 6.25 | 10.45 | 5.48 | 7.30 | 7.10 |
| 6. Unspecified | 4.17 | 2.24 | 2.74 | 3.90 | 3.78 |

## Quality of Primary Education

6. 10 The qualitative level of education imparted in the school would seem to reflect the sum-total of merits or evils existing in the functioning and organisation of education, the ability or inefficiency of teachers and the receptivity or indifference of the students. From Table 6.8, it would be seen that very few teachers (about 9 per cent) regarded the standard of primary education to be satisfactory. About 36 per cent regarded it as very low, and 49 per cent said that it was at the medium level.

TABLE $6.8: A$ distribution of teachers acgording to their opinion regarding. the quality of primary education.

| Opinion on the standard of primary education | $\begin{gathered} \text { Munici- } \\ \text { pal } \\ \text { school } . \\ \text { teachers } \end{gathered}$ | Aided school teachers | Head <br> mas- <br> ters | Assistant teachers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low standard | 201 | . 37 | $\therefore 35$ | . 203 | 238 |
| Medium | $\because 254$ | 72 | : 30 | 296 | 326 |
| Good. 5 | $\because 43$ | 18 | 5 | 1. 56 | 61 |
| Not given - | 30 | $\therefore 7$ | 3 | - 34 | 37 |
| Total | 528 | 134 | 73 | . 589 | 662 |

## CHAPTER VII

## CONCLUSIONS

Inefficiency and Poverty among Teachers and Causes of Low Standard of Education

## Introduction

7.1 In the foregoing pages, information regarding the various problems relating to (i) teachers and (ii) primary education has been presented. In this chapter, we may take an over-all view and bring together the more significant facts as well as the opinions of teachers (which could be verified as to their validity with reference to the data gathered in the inquiry) in an explanation of the two issues which were important in this inquiry. These issues were : (i) what is the state of professional efficiency and of the economic life of the primary teacher, and why it is so, and (ii) what factors explain, on the basis of the information gathered, the present low standards of primary education? To these major tasks, we may now turn.

## Lack of Efficiency

7.2 The professional efficiency of the teachers, as of any individual, would depend on a number of diverse factors. Efficiency is as much a psycho-physical phenomenon as the professional-technical and the socio-economic. The psychophysical fitness would naturally depend on the extent to which the teacher could consume efficiency goods and provide sufficient time, energy and money for bettering his own self in the wider sense.
7.3 Many a teacher agreed with the general belief that the primary teacher of today was not as efficient in the
discharge of his duties as he ought to be. Inefficiency among teachers may result from :
(A ) Low educational attainments
(B) Unsatisfactory conditions of service
(C) Poverty and economic distress

The extent to which these factors might have hampered efficiency may be :verified from the facts gathered in the survey. The Psychological Disinclination
7.4 The main psychological hurdle at the very start, apparently unimportant but in effect very crucial, seemed to be that quite a few teachers joined the teaching profession, inspite of their liking and aptitude to the contrary. This disinclination is bound to remain at the back and produce a cynical and frustrated soul. And one cannot really understand how this soul could be expected to guide, enliven and inspire the blossoming student community. It is, however, to be noted that teachers could not be blamed for this. For all of it is not their own fault. It is the attitude of the society towards the teaching profession which also moulds individual attitudes. It may be recalled that nearly three fourth of the teachers felt that their profession did not enjoy a status in society it deserved. ${ }^{1}$ Another factor which perhaps deters able persons from becoming teachers is the economic distress which goes with that profession.
Lack of Incentives
7.5 The teacher's efficiency may get improved, if they could accomplish higher educational attainments. We have observed earlier that many of the teachers had not even entered the portals of high school education. Similarly, the number of teachers seeking intellectual advancement through outside examinations or private persuits with the help of personal library, etc. was not encouraging. It is possible that they could not get out of the rut of routine school-work and domestic worries. Perhaps, the conditions of service in the school did not offer them sufficient incentives for additional attainments. So far as the amount of work is concerned, one may argue that the complaint regarding over-work in the

[^0]|school is nothing but a militant slogan on the part of the teachers and the interested parties. One may agree with this. But even then, it is necessary that the volume of work should be matched by the amount of remuneration. From this point of view, the conditions in the proprietary schools need a good deal of reformation. It is also necessary that one teacher should teach only one class and only a manageable number of students at a time. Some improvement in the genaral conditions of service is also overdue, particularly in the aided schools. Many teachers were temporary, even though they were found to have put in quite a sufficient period of time in service (cf. Tables 3.4 and 3.12 ). Similarly, provision of pension or provident fund benefits would create interest in service and would serve as some kind of insurance after retirement.

## Low Levels of Living

7.6 Probably, the most important factor conditioning the teacher's attitudes and efficiency is his economic conditions. Even if the errors of over-or under-reporting are allowed for, the general picture of the levels of living of the primary teachers as revealed by our data did not seem to be in any way satisfactory. This was particularly so in respect of the teachers working in the private schools.
7.7 The teacher's family was found to have been worseoff compared to an average family in the city of Sholapur. The average family income (derived from all sources) of the teacher was about Rs. 1398=84 per annum (Rs. 214=92 per capita) as against the average of Rs. $1503=00$ (Rs. 264=95 per capita) for the whole city. ${ }^{1}$ The average number of members constituting a teacher's family was larger (6.51) than the average for the city ( 5.61 persons).' Thus relatively speaking, the expenditure liabilities of the teacher were a great deal higher than of an average family. The very fact that the majority of teachers spent a large proportion of

[^1]their income towards 'the purchase of the basic necessities of life was indicative of their low stardard of living: For efficiency goods, it would be remembered, a teacher cou d spend only Rs. $3=66$ per month per capita for the family. When a large slice of the cake is swallowed up by bare necessities, little could remain for the joys of a higher life, like good housing, quality clothing, travel, recreation, etc. Some significant facts in this respect have already been noted in Chapter V'and need not be repeated. It was rather distressing to find that a number of teachers could not even make both ends meet. The worse part of the story was that the sword of indebtedness hanged over many a head. The redeeming feature, however, had been that the Municipal teachers could borrow from the Co-operative Society and were thus saved from the rope of the money-lender.

## Poverty

7.8 With a view to knowing exactly the standard of living of: all the primary teachers of Sholapur City, data on total income and the members of the family were gathered from all the primary teachers of the city in the course of a complete enumeration of teachers carried out through official channels. On the basis of these data, income of the teacher's family was related to its consumption requirements. The expenditure liability was calculated by reducing all the members of the family of different ages and sex to adult male equivalents. The scale used for the purpose was as follows ${ }^{1}$ :-

| Adult Male (over 14) | $: 1.000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Female (over 14 ) | $: 0.875$ |
| Child : 5-14 years | $: 0.625$ |
| Child : below 5 years | $: 0.375$ |

The division of the total income by the number of adult male equivalents in the family (which may be termed as income per adult male unit) served as an index of the standard of living enjoyed by the family. The levels of living of the majority of families in this country are very low. Hence,

1. Ibid, pp. 258-59; also The Nutritive Value of Indian Foods and the Planning of Satisfactory Diets, Health Bulletin, No. 23, 3rd Edition, 1941, Indian Research Fund Association, p. 3.
with a view to making different levels of the low standard of living precise, we may fix, what may be called, the Poverty, Destitution and Starvation Lines. ${ }^{1}$ The poverty line showing the minium level of subsistence was based along the procedures which the Bombay Textile Labour Inquiry Committee ( 1937-40) adopted for fixing the 'living wage-standard '. ${ }^{2}$ Conditions of poverty, destitution and starvation faced by a family in Sholapur (1954-55) were indicated when the family received an income per adult unit of less than Rs. 645, Rs. 275 and Rs. 150 per annum, respectively.
7.9 Table 7.1 presents a distribution of the total number of 903 teachers of the city according to the income per adult. unit of their families at the three levels of the low standards. of living. It will be seen that only about 6 per cent of the teachers' families for which information was availableenjoyed a standard of living higher than the basic minimum. About 93.76 per cent of the families were below the Poverty Line, 49.65 per cent below the Destitution Line and 8.12 percent below Starvation Line. ${ }^{8}$ As between the Municipal and private school teachers, there was not much difference in the proportions of families below the Poverty Line ( about 94 per cent each ). In respect of Destitution and Starvation Lines, however, the position of the private school teachers was decidedly worse. The proportions of families facing destitution: and starvation were 60.00 per cent and 13.85 per cent respectively in the case of private school teachers, as against 46.56 per cent and 6.41 per cent amongst the Municipal teachers.
2. Poverty is indicated when a family can just purchase "t the minimum necessaries for the maintenance of merely physical efficiency " and nothing more in the nature of efficiency goods, comforts or luxuries. (Cf. B. Seebohm Rowntree, Poverty : A Study of Town Life, 1922, p. 117.) Conditions of destitution obtain when a family can afford to consume not even the necessary minimum. It can satisfy only the food needs of the family. A family may be said to face conditions of starvation when it is unable to meet even the food requirements.
Report of the Bombay Textile Labour Inquiry Committee ( $1937-i 0$ ), Vol. II : Final Report, 1941, p. 78.
The corresponding percentages as returned by the Sample Survey of Families (1955) were 92.94, 53.70 and 1264 - Sholapur Survey, cit pp. 264-65.

Thus, on the whole, most of the teachers' families could be said to enjoy not even the minimum standard of living. Almost five in every ten families were living in destitution and one in every ten had to undergo conditions of starvation. At such low levels of survival and living, there is no reason why the professional efficiency and attitudes of the teachers should not be hampered to a large extent.

TABLE 7.1: A distribution of the teachers according to family income per adult unit indicating the levels of poverty, destitution and starvation.

| Income per adult <br> unit per annum <br> (Rs. ) | Municipal <br> teachers | Teachers of <br> proprietary schools | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 150 | 42 | 27 | 69 |
| $151-275$ | 263 | 90 | 353 |
| $276-645$ | 307 | 68 | 375 |
| Over 645 | 43 | 10 | 53 |
| Not given | 31 | 22 | 53 |
| Total | 686 | 217 | 903 |

Causes of Low Standard
of Primary Education. ${ }^{1}$
7.10 We may now turn to the other issue. It is seriously held that the standards of education have gone down all round-from primary school stage to the university stage. Very few teachers in our survey expressed satisfaction over the present state of primary education. Some of the factors which might have led to the poor levels of education may be explained with the help of the information gathered in the inquiry.

1. Vide : " Low Standard of Primary Education " by Dr. V. P. Pethe, The Economic Weekly, Bombay, Vol. XII. No. 40, Oct. 1,1960, pp. 1478-79.

## Basic Factors

7.11 Broadly speaking, low quality of education may result from three basic factors:-

1. There may be some serious defects in the functioning and organisational set-up of education.
2. The teaching staff may be inefficient.
3. The students may be indifferent, misguided and irresponsible.
It may be that the roots of all these are to be found in the political and social organisation of the community. In the following, however, we will only take into account the data collected in the course of the investigation, with reference to the above three factors.
7.12 It appeared from our data that there were four important defects in the present organisation of primary. education :
4. Shift system of school working
5. Bad organisation of basic education
6. Lack of specialisation among teachers
7. Excessive pressure of work on teachers

We have already noted that over 80 per cent of the teachers disapproved of the double shift working. In order to provide education to an increasing number of pupils, either the number of schools has to increase or existing schools have to work double shift. If the latter course is adopted, the difficulty may be partially solved, but then in doing so, new problems crop up. For example, the teachers are required to work more and there is often wastage of time and energy. This adversely affects the quality of instruction. A number of teachers said that the present system of one person teaching almost all the subjects to one class should be replaced by some specialisation in the subject taught. A teacher could then devote more time and pay greater attention to studying the subject of his choice and thus improve the quality of instruction. From the point of view of the students, the monotony of learning from the same teacher all the day long would be broken and would engender their active participation in learning. As regards basic education, many of the teachers
appeared to be dissatisfied with the present organisation. Some suggested that it should be imparted to only those who indicated special liking and aptitude for it. Others felt that basic education should be introduced at the higher standards. There was much ground to believe that the teachers were put to high pressure of work. There were many instances both in the Municipal and aided schools, of teachers taking more than one class and classes containing more than 40 students at a time. ${ }^{1}$ Education is not a commercial proposition which can be carried on a mass scale. Individual attention forms the core of good education. If even in a relatively well developed city like Sholapur, over-crowding in classes is not rare; one can well imagine conditions in smaller towns and villages.
7.13 If on the side of the teacher, there is inefficiency, on the side of the students, there is lack of receptivity. Regarding the inefficiency of teachers and its possible causes, much has been said in the above.. Regarding the general quality and the attitudes of the students, we have seen that a very large majority : of teachers .expressed dissatisfaction. Only 15 out of 662 , teachers, it may be recalled; said that there was nothing wrong with the students. Others pointed out indifference, carelessness, indiscipline, lack of regard for the elders, etc., as the main defects of the student community. These are really very serious symptoms.' Perhaps, the guardians did not care to pay attention to the studies of their wards at home and to their. activities and behaviour outside. General developments regarding lack of discipline, apathy, restlessness etc. in social behaviour might also be said to be moulding the student attitudes.
Conclusion
7.14 The above review of the data gathered in the course of the Survey underlines the vital need of introducing some

1. "Under the Bombay Primary Education Rules, 1949, the number of pupils to be managed by a teacher is restricted to 40 , although this limit is often exceeded in practice... the average number of pupils per teacher in cities... is sometimes more than 40 , leading to overcrowding." -Annual Administration Report ( 1957-58), Department of Education, Govt. of Bombay, 1961, p. 58.
reforms in the organisation of primary education. One thing is certain-that all is not right with the present state of affairs. First, it appears that some improvements in the organisation, like small classes, subject specialisation, increase in staff wherever schools are working double shift, etc. should be effected as early as possible. Secondly, there seems to be little likelihood of able men entering this profession or of any improvement in the efficiency of teachers, unless the pay scales are radically upgraded, ${ }^{1}$ greater security-particularly in the proprietary schools-is afforded and the general service
2. The problem of the pay-scales of teachers-whether primary or second-ary-has to be viewed at both the absolute and relative levels. The absolute level of salaries should be such that the teachers are not compelled to fight for a survival by looking for additional gainful work such as tuitions, etc. The relative level should be such that the teachers enjoy a socio-economic status at least comparable to persons of equal qualifications in other professions. Generally speaking, the teachers have not been given a fair deal from both of these points of view.

The pay-scales of the teachers have been revised four times since 1939 : in 1941, 1946, 1947 and 1958.
The present scales are as follows -

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Untrained teachets } & : \text { Rs. } 40 \text { fixed } \\
\text { Junior Trained } & : 50-1^{1 / 2}-65 \text { E. B. } 2^{1} / 2- \\
& 70 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{G} .-2^{1 / 2-90} \\
& \\
\text { Senior Trained } & 56-1^{1} / 2-65 \text { E. B. } 2^{1 / 2}- \\
& 70 \text { S. G. } 2^{1 / 2}-100
\end{array}
$$

## (Sources: 1. A Review of Education in Bombay State, 1855-1955, Govt. of Bombay, 1958, pp. 109-110. <br> 2. Annual Administration Reports. Education Dept, Govt. of Bombay.)

The revision of pay scales has been done chiefly under pressures of the rising cost of living since the war. This, however, has not been commensurate with the rate at which the consumer price index increased during the last two decades. Moreover, the absolute levels of salaries with reference to which grades have been revised were already so low that to say that the teachers' salaries have increased by a certain proportion has perhaps little meaning. It is interesting to observe that the pay scale of the lowest in the cadre among the clerks in state service is better than that of the senior-most grade of the primary teachers, though both are equally qualified. As observed in the World Survey of Education (UNESCO), " Scales of pay (of teachers in India) are lower than those obtaining for other professions " p. 336 ).
conditions are improved. The opinions of teachers regarding the student indiscipline and indifference deserve very serious thought of educationists and all the enlightened members of our society, as they bring home the fact that education after all is not solely the concern of the teacher or of the Government Department of Education; parents and society at large must also play their role in improving the standards and quality of education.

## APPENDIX I

## THE QUESTIONNAIRE

In the following is given the questionnaire which was used in the inquiry.

## A Survey of Primary <br> Teachers of Sholapur City

(Socio-Economic Conditions and Professional Aspects),
N. B. 1. The answers to the questions should be as clear and completer as possible.
2. The teacher should not write his name anywhere in the questionnaire.

## I General Information

1. Type of school in which you are working : Municipal / Private

2 Sex 3. Present Age
4. Marital Status
5. Height and weight.
6. Members in the family : (A) Total No. (B) No. of earning members (C) No. of educands (amount of money spent on education).

## II Professional Aspects

1. Teacher status : Head-master / Assistant-teacher
2. Tenure : Permanent / Temporary
3. Education : (A) Formal (School and College)
(B) Training in education
(C) Examinations conducted by Govt. bodies or 'by other institutions (like Hindi Sahitya Sabhas etc.)
4. Year when you joined the present service
5. Previous occupation
6. Why did you join the teaching profession?: out of liking/force o E circumstances/other reasons
7. Work in the echool :
(A) No. of hours per day
(B) Details regarding the work (e.g. administraitorn supervision, etc.)
(C) No. of classes and students taught at a time.
8. Do you enjoy the facility of Provident Fund or pension?
9. Personal Library :
(A) How many books do you have in your personal library?
(B) What is the total money value of these books?
10. Interests and hobbies :
(A) In which of the fields of knowledge you feel specially interested? ( e. g. Psychology, Economics, Religion, etc:-)
(B) What are your hobbies?
(C) Can you spare sufficient money and time to persue your interests ?
11. Social work : (A) Kind of work done, if any
(B) Time spent for the work

## III Economic Conditions : (A) <br> The Overall Budget

(Note : Give monthly figures )
4. Totalrmonthly income of the family : (i) Salary (ii) D. A. (iii) Local allowances (iv.) Income from tuitions (v) Income of other earning members of the family (vi) Income from land, house or other assets ( vii) other income
-2. Monthly expenditure : (i) Food-grains and grocery (ii) Clothing ( iii) House-rent (iv) Medicine (v) Education (vi) Travel (vii) Recreation ( viii) other items
3. Savings: $\quad t\left(A_{i}\right)$ How much do you save per month?
(B) Type of savings (e. g. balances with bank, Post Office, shares, etc.)
-4. Indebtedness :
(A) Total outstanding debt upto date of inquiry
(B) Causes of borrowing (e. g. sickness, marriage, etc.)
(C) 'The creditor from whom money was borrowed (e. g. bank, money-lender, etc.)
( $(\mathrm{D})$ iAmount of debt repaid so for
(E.) Debt incurred during the last year

> "IV iEconomic Conditions: (B)
> EThe Quality of Economic Life
7. How much do you spend (per month) on efficiency goods? Expenditure on : (i) milk (ii) ghee (iii) vegetable (iv) fruit, etc.
2. Quality clothing:

- (A.) How much did you spend on silk or woollen clothes during the last year ?
(B) If not, how many years back you made yourself such clothes?

3. Housing :
(A) No. of rooms
(B) Amenities enjoyed (e. g. separate bath, kitchen, etc.)
4. Furniture : How many of the following items you possess?
(i) chair (ii) table ( iii) cupboard (iv) $\cot$ ( $v$ ) sofa
5. Do you have the following? (i) wrist-watch (ii) pen (iii) radio ( iv ) bi-cycle / motor-cycle
6. Travel :
(A) Have you visited places outside of the Bombay State ? Specify.
(B) Have you visited the following: (i) Bijpur (ii) Ajantha caves (iii) Girsappa falls (iv) the Taj (v) Kashmir (vi) Cities: Bombay, Hyderabad, Bangalore, Delhi,Ca!cutta.

## V Views on the Problems in Primary Education

1. What is your opinion regarding the present standard of primary education?
2. Primary teacher of today is said to be inefficient. If you agree, what are the reasons for this?
3. What measures do you suggest for improving the professionar efficiency of the teachers?
4. State your opinion ( giving reasons) regarding the dosirability o otherwise of the following :
(A) The shift-system of school working
(B) Subject specialisation
(C) Basic education
5. Quality of students :
(A) Do you agree with the view that the quality of students is deteriorating ?
(B) If so, what is wrong with the student community?
(C) Who, in your opinion, is responsible for this?
(D) What measures do you suggest for improving the situation?
6. How are your relations with the superiors?
7. Social status of teachers :
(A) Do you think that the teacher enjoys esteem in socicty ?
(B) If not, why?
(C) What measures do you suggest to raise the social status of the teacher which is due to him?
Note: In the blank space provided below, the teacher may give any information which, in his view, is important and should be noted.

## APPENDIX II

## SOME HISTORICAL DATA

In the following Table are given the decennial figures ( since 1885) regarding the number of schools. teachers, students and the expenditureincurred by the Municipality on primary education.

TABLE II. 1 : Decennial information regarding the expansion of primary education in Sholapur since 1885.

| Year | No. of schools <br> Munici- <br> pal |  |  | No. of <br> Munici- <br> pal <br> teachers | No. of students in <br> Munici- <br> pal <br> schools | Private <br> schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1885 | 10 | 41 | 35 | 963 | Expenditure on <br> primary educa- <br> tion (in thou- <br> sands of Rs. $)$ |  |
| 1895 | 10 | 47 | 55 | 1572 | 2349 | 7.6 |
| 1905 | 17 | - | 79 | 2019 | - | 10.2 |
| 1915 | 22 | - | 137 | 2519 | - | 16.8 |
| 1925 | 33 | - | 188 | 5103 | 3806 | 10.8 |
| 1935 | 50 | 27 | 300 | 10301 | 4020 | 106.6 |
| 1945 | 61 | 52 | 479 | 14406 | 8364 | 415.1 |
| 1953 | 73 | 45 | 704 | 22235 | 8962 | 1070.5 |

Source : Sholapur Municipality Centenary Volume, 1953, p.247.
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## CORRIGENDA

| Page | Line | Incorrect | Correct |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 17 | 87 |  | 88 |
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[^0]:    1. "The social status of teachers (in India) at present is low, owing to the generally low standard of living."-World Survey of Education, UNESCO, 1955, p. 338.
[^1]:    1. This was found in the course of a sample survey of the demographiceconortic conditions of the city, conducted by me in 1955. Vide Ph. D thesis entitled "Fertility and Attitudes towards Family Planning in Sholapur City (1955) - A Survey," By V. P. Pethe, University of Poona., p. 137.
    Ibid, P. 117-18.
