GIPE-PUNE-141502

A GENERALISED COB-WEB MODEL OF PRICES LEADING TO EQUILIBRIUM IN A FINITE NUMBER OF PERIODS

Ъу

V. Mukerji and K. Mukerji**

(University of Calcutta and University of Birmingham).

Discussion Paner Spring A. No. 124

X:76:(B28) L1 141502

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Faculty of Commerce and Social Science

Dhananjayarao Gadgil Library

A GENERALISED COB-WEB MODEL OF PRICES LEADING TO EQUILIBRIUM IN A FINITE NUMBER OF PERIODS

Ъy

V. Mukerji and K. Mukerji**

(University of Calcutta and University of Birmingham).

Discussion Paper, Series A, No.134, October, 1971.

** This work was done in 1967-68 and submitted to the Indian Econometric Conference at Poona in 1967. 1. In the usual one-variate cob-web model the producer $\frac{b_{11}}{\lambda}$ sees his supply at time t (in the period t) on $\frac{b_{11}}{\lambda}$ knowledge of the price at which the quantity he produced in the earlier period was demanded in that period ignoring completely his knowledge of points on the demand curve, in the earlier periods. This is not only waste of information but may not also be very realistic.

.

2. If, on the other hand, the price expected by the farmer at time t is

 $P_{t}^{*} = \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{P_{t}}{P_{t}} + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{P_{t}}{P_{t}} \right)$ (1)

then the model is

. .

$$S_{t} = S(P_{t}^{*})$$

$$D_{t} = D(P_{t})$$
with
$$P_{t}^{*} = \frac{1}{t}(P_{t-1} + P_{t})$$
and
$$S_{t} = D_{t}$$
or simplifying.
$$D(P_{t}) = S(\frac{1}{t}(P_{t-1} + P_{t}))$$
(3)

... If linear demand and supply functions

$$D(P_{t}) = \alpha - bP_{t} b > 0 *)$$

 $S(P_{t}) = \alpha + \beta P_{t} \beta > 0 *)$
(3a)

and

then equation (3) becomes

* These restrictions are later dropped with certain modifications.

$$a - b P_{2} = \alpha + \beta \pm (P_{2} + - - P_{3})$$
 (4)

-2-

The equilibrium price level is given by

$$P^{+} = \frac{a - \alpha}{b + \beta}$$
(5)

If $P_t = P_t - P^*$ denotes the deviation of P_t from the quilibrium price, then equation (4) reduces to

$$b + P_{2} = -P(P_{2-1} + - - - + P_{3})$$
(6)

It can be proved by the method of induction or otherwise that the solution of (6) for given p_{c} is

$$P_{n} = \frac{12}{n! b'} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (rb - 13)$$
(7)

Hence it is evident that if

 $rb = \beta$ for any positive integer k: then $p_n = \omega$ for all $n \ge r$, or in other words equilibrium is reached at time. For this to happen it is necessary that $\beta \ge b$.

If $\beta = sb$, $s \neq$ a positive integer, then β_n does not become zero for any finite value of n. If |<< s < k+1then β_n changes sign till n < k, and then has the sign of for all $(-1)^{k+1}$ for all $n \ge k$.

Now
$$I_{n}^{2} = \frac{P_{0}}{P_{0}} \cdot \frac{\prod}{P_{0}} \cdot (rb - \beta)$$

= $P_{0} \cdot \frac{n-1}{P_{0}} \cdot (1 - \frac{1 + \beta}{P_{0}})$ (8)

For $1+\beta/b > 0$ this continued product is monotonically decreasing in magnitude for sufficiently large r and since $\sum \frac{1}{r}$ diverges to ∞ , tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty^{(2)}$ This is true so long as $\beta + b > 0$ or $\beta > -b$ provided b > 0. If $\beta + b < 0$ when b > 0, then P_n diverges to ∞

Hence provided $\beta + b_{>0}$, equilibrium is reached whataver the initial value of the price, or there is global stability of equilibrium. As stated earlier, if in particular $\beta = rb$ where r is a positive integer then the equilibrium is reached in r periods only.

· · · · · ·

3. In the usual cob-web model with the supply and demand functions given by (3a), the price behaviour around the equilibrium value P^* is given by

$$P_{t} = \left(-\frac{B}{5}\right)^{t} B \qquad (9)$$

Hence when $\beta > b > c$ in the cob-web process, P_t oscillates infinitely as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If $0 \le \beta \le b$ the cob-web process for P_t oscillates but tends to the equilibrium value. If $-b < \beta \le 0 \le b$ then the cob-web process tends to the equilibrium value monotonically. when the behaviour of the cob-web process is compared with the behaviour of the process considered in section 2, referred to in this paper as the $\lim_{p \to \infty} (unweighted mean price expectation)$ process we get the following results:

Values of	Limiting behaviour of		
o,1	""Dob-web" phocess Pt	umpe process	
іэ<-ь	diverges to 🔊 monotonically.	diverges to CO or to CO monotonically.	
-6<8<0	converges to D monotonically.	converges to O monotonically	
os Bsb	oscillates but converges to zero.	do⊷ <u>π</u> .e	
B>b r a positivi integar, B:	oscillates infinitely ve } ~ do ~ rb}	reaches equilibrium at time r	

Notice the UD peprocess is a better stabilising process than the cobradb process in that it converges to equilibrium more often than the latter. One would also expect that for values of $\frac{\beta}{b}$ for which both the processes converge the UM pe process converges faster than the converb process. This, however, surprisingly does not happen as the last section shows.

Let P_{nc} denote the value of p_n for the cob-web process and P_{nu} the value of P_n for the M mpe process. Both the processes converge view, $\lfloor \frac{3}{b} \rfloor < \rfloor$. We consider the limiting behaviour

of
$$|P_{nu}/P_{nc}|$$
 for $|P_{1b}| < 1$.
 $\frac{|P_{nu}|}{|P_{nc}|} = |\frac{1}{n!} \frac{|P_{1c}|}{|P_{20}|} (1 - \frac{P_{20}}{P_{20}})| = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{|P_{1c}|}{|P_{20}|} = \frac{1}{P_{20}} \frac{|P_{20}|}{|P_{20}|} =$

The sequence U_n diverges when $|\frac{3}{5}|<|$ for. $U_{n+1}/U_n \rightarrow |\frac{5}{3}| > 1$, $a \le n \rightarrow \infty$ $\frac{5}{5}$ Hence when the cob-web process converges it converges

faster than the umpe process. In other words when the supply reaction coefficient is smaller in magnitude than the demand reaction coefficient Markovian memory (memory of only the previous instant) is a greater stabilizer than the non-Ma kovian memory (memory of all the previous instants up to the initial instant).

5. . If instead of using an unweighted mean price one uses a weighted mean price for the expectation of the price for the supplies and in particular with geometrically decreasing weights $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}^{2}, \lambda^{3}$ etc. then equation (6) becomes · · · · ·

$$bp_{t} + \beta \left(\frac{p_{t-1} + \lambda p_{t-2} + \dots + p_{e} \lambda^{t-1}}{1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^{t-1}} \right) = 0 \quad 0 < \lambda \leq 1$$
(11)
or
$$b(1 - \lambda) p_{t} + \beta(1 - \lambda) \left(p_{t-1} + \lambda p_{t-2} + \dots + p_{e} \lambda^{t-1} \right) = 0$$
When
$$\lambda = 0 \quad \text{we get the cob-web model and when/we get the}$$

0

process. It can again be shown by the method of umpe induction or otherwise that the solution of equation is given by

$$P_{n} = P_{0} \frac{\frac{n-1}{1}}{r_{0}} \left\{ \frac{\lambda(1+\lambda+\lambda^{(-1)}) - \frac{13}{1}}{1+\lambda+1} \right\}$$
(12)

for $r_{=0}$ the factor in brackets is $-\frac{3}{5}$. (12) can be simplified and expressed in the form:

• • *

$$P_{n} = P_{0} \frac{h}{r_{\pm 1}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi}{(-\lambda)^{r}}\right) \qquad (13)$$
where $\chi = \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{b}\right)(-\lambda) > 0$ when $1 + \frac{\beta}{b} > 0$
since $(-\lambda > 0)$

To investigate the convergence of the continued product in (13), _ consider

$$\frac{|\hat{P}_{n+1}|}{\hat{P}_{n}} = \left(\left(1 - \frac{\chi}{(-\lambda^{n+1})} \right) \right) \longrightarrow |1-\chi_{1}|$$
and $\longrightarrow 1$ for $\lambda = 1$, which has
already been considered.
The case of $\lambda = 0$ has also been considered.

For
$$0 \le \lambda < 1$$
 (i) \int_{h}^{h} diverges (monotonically) when $\lambda < 0$
that is when $1 + \frac{\beta}{b} < 0$ or $\frac{\beta}{b} < -1$
Note for $\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} > \frac{\beta}{b}$, \int_{h}^{h} has the same sign for
sufficiently large h .
(ii) p_{h} diverges oscillatingly when $\chi > 0$ bit $1 - \lambda < -1$
or $h > 2$.
that is $(1 + \frac{13}{b})(1 - \lambda) > 2$.

or
$$\frac{13}{5} > \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$$
 (15)

(iii) Pn converges to () when x>0 but 1-x>-1 or $\beta/b > -1$ but $< \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ it converges oscillatingly and when

When $l_{\overline{b}}^3 > \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ $l_{\overline{b}}^3/l_{\overline{b}} < \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ it converges monotonically.

(iv) When
$$1-x = -1$$
 or $x = 2$
or $\beta/b = \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$
 $\left| \frac{P_{n+1}}{P_n} \right| = \frac{1+\lambda^n}{1-\lambda^n}$
and $P_{n1} = \prod (1+2\lambda_{(1-\lambda^n)}^n)$ and P_n alternates in sign.
 $\sum \lambda_{(1-\lambda^n)}^n$ converges
Hence P_n converges to G non 2 cro limit

bytoscillates finitely.

Thus in this case the convergence or divergence when $\frac{13}{5} > -1$. The larger the λ (<1) λ depends on the value of the larger the range of convergence and the smaller the λ the smaller the range of convergence. The range of convergence common to all the three processes is the range given by $-1 < \frac{3}{5} < 1$. When $\frac{13}{b} = \lambda (1 + \lambda + - \lambda^{r})$ the wimps process reaches equilibrium in steps.

6. To compare the speed of convergence of the wmpe umpe process with that of the cob-web and the process in their common range of convergence we again compare the relevant p'_n 's.

The absolute of $p_n's$ for the wm pe process (in the numerator) and the cob-web process is given by $|u_n| = \left|\frac{b}{\beta}\right|^n \prod_{r=1}^n (1-\frac{x}{1-\lambda r})$ Hence $\begin{pmatrix} u_{n+1} \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} = \left|\frac{b}{\beta}\right| \left|1 - \frac{x}{1-\lambda n+1}\right|$ $\longrightarrow \left|\frac{b}{\beta}(1-x_0)\right| \quad a \le n \to \infty$ $= \left|(-1+\lambda + \frac{\lambda b}{\beta})\right|$ $= \left|\frac{\lambda b}{\beta} - (1-\lambda)\right|$ If $\lambda \left(\frac{b}{\beta} + 1\right) - 1 > 0$ or $\lambda \left(\frac{b}{\beta} + 1\right) > 1$ $u_n \to \infty$ or converges to ∞ according as $\lambda \left(\frac{b}{\beta} + 1\right) \geq 2$ If $\lambda \left(\frac{b}{\beta} < 1\right)$ then $u_n \to \infty$ or

converges to , according as

 $\lambda \left(\frac{b}{3} + 1\right) \leq 0.$ When both b and $\beta > 0$ and $\beta < 1.$ $\left(0r\frac{b}{3} > 1\right)$ $\lambda \left(\frac{b}{3} + 1\right) > 0$

Hence u_h converges to \odot or diverges to ∞ according as $\lambda\left(\frac{b}{i^3}+i\right) \leq 2$.

or
$$\frac{b}{\beta} \leq \frac{2-\lambda}{\lambda}$$

or $\frac{b}{b} > \frac{\lambda}{2-\lambda}$

If b > 0 and $\beta < 0$ and $\beta > -1$ or $\frac{b}{i^3} < -1$ $\lambda (b; \beta) > 0$; hence the same results as above hold. In this case however since $\frac{b}{1^3} < -1$ $\frac{b}{3} \neq 2 - \lambda$ Hence u_n converges to zero. Thus we get when $\frac{b}{\beta} < 2 - \lambda$, λ process converges to equilibrium faster than the cob-web process and when $\frac{b}{\beta} > 2 - \lambda$

the converse holds.

7. When the ratio u_n stands for the absolute ratio of the $p_n's$ for the wmpe process in the numerator and the umpe process,

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_{n} &= \underline{\prod} \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{b}\right) \left(1 - \lambda\right) \\ \underline{1 - 1 + \frac{\beta}{b}} \\ 1 &= 1 + \frac{\beta}{b} \\ \end{array} \right| \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$
Hence
$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow 1 - \chi \quad \alpha \leq n \Rightarrow ds \\
\mathbf{w}^{\text{here}} \quad \chi = \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{b}\right) \left(1 - \lambda\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence as before if $\frac{\beta}{b} < \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ the process u_n converges to zero and if $\frac{\beta}{b} > \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ the process diverges, $\frac{\beta}{b} < \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ is the common range of convergence for the umpe and the wmpe processon and hence in this range the wmpe process converges faster than the umpe process. Further since $\lambda_{1-\lambda} < 1+\lambda$ we have from the previous result and the present result, when $\beta < \lambda_{1-\lambda}$ the cob-web process converges to equilibrium than the umpe process and the umpe process converges faster than the wmpe process. When $\lambda_{2-\lambda} < \frac{\beta}{b} < \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ the umpe process converges faster than the cob-web process and the wmpe process converges faster than the cob-web process.

If $13 > 1+\lambda$ the wmpe and cob-web process do not converge to equilibrium but the umpe process converges with the exception that if 3 = r where r is a positive integer the umpe process converges in finite time r.

and the second states in

· ·

8. In the multi-market case in period enalysis the counterparts of the equations for the cob-web process with linear demand and supply functions after simplification are

$$BP_{t} + CP_{t-1} = 0$$
where $P_{t} = P_{t} - P^{*}$
and $D_{t} = (X - B)P_{t}$
and $S_{t} = (T + C)P_{t}^{*}$
(19)

with
$$P_{t}^{*} = P_{t-1}$$

and $P^{*} = (B + C)^{-1}(A - C)$.

 P_{\pm} , P_{\pm}^{\star} and P^{\star} are the price vector at time \pm , the expected price-vector at time \pm , and the equilibrium price-vector respectively. The solution of (19) is given by

$$P_{t} = (-B^{-1}C)^{t}P_{0}$$
 (20)

If the latent roots of \mathcal{B}' are less than one in magnitude then $\mathcal{P}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ or the process converges to equilibrium. If the latent root with the largest magnitude is greater than 1 in magnitude then the process diverges and if it is equal to one in magnitude then in general it oscillates about the equilibrium value. For if \mathcal{L} and Λ are the latent vector and latent root matrices of |3'|

and

then

$$P_{+} = L^{-} (-\Lambda)^{+} L P_{\circ}$$
⁽²¹⁾

 $\partial \not \vdash \Lambda \Lambda < < \Box$, then $\rho_{\downarrow} > 0$ and if $\Lambda \Lambda > \Box$ the process d'_{\downarrow} verges. If $\Lambda \Lambda < \Box$, the process oscillates finitely about the equilibrium. If in particular $\beta'C$ is positive definite which follows when β and ζ are both positive-definite, $\Lambda \gamma c$ and is real and hence the condition for convergence becomes the matrix $\Lambda - \Box$ or $\beta'C - \Box$ must be negative definite the conditions for which can easily be written down. For the umpe process, equations (19) are replaced by

$$B_{P_{t}} + \frac{1}{t}C(P_{0} + P_{1} + P_{t-1}) = 0 \quad (22)$$

$$D_{t} = \alpha - B_{P_{t}}$$

$$S_{t} = \Gamma + CP_{t}^{*}$$

$$P_{t}^{*} = \frac{1}{t}(P_{0} + P_{1} + \cdots + P_{t-1})$$

$$P_{t} = P_{t} - P^{*}$$

and

L

۰.

.

· . . .

1. A. S.

· .

It can again be proved by the method of induction or otherwise that the solution of (22) is given by

where

$$P_{n} = A_{n} P_{0} \qquad (23)$$
where

$$A_{n} = \frac{1}{n_{1}} \left(\prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (r \prod - \overline{B}^{-1} C) - \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (T - \overline{I} + \overline{B}^{-1} C) \right) \qquad (24)$$
It follows that

$$A_{n+1} = A_{n} \left(\prod - \overline{I} + \overline{B}^{-1} C \right) \qquad (24)$$
Let

$$B_{n} = \overline{L}^{-1} A_{n} L = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod - \overline{I} + \overline{A} \right) \qquad (24)$$
which is a diagonal matrix with

$$b_{s_{1}n} = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod - \overline{I} + \overline{A} \right) \qquad (25)$$
Hence when the real part of it $1 + \lambda_{s} > 0$ for all s

or
$$B_n \rightarrow 0$$
 $as n \rightarrow \infty$

and hence $P_n \rightarrow \circ$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Hence if M = -(I + B'(J)) is Routhian then

Pn -> o as n -> a

The necessary and sufficient conditions for M to be Routhian are that a positive definite matrix S can be found such that SB is quasi negative definite.

If in particular $(3^{-1}C)$ is positive definite then

 $\frac{\Gamma + \Lambda > > 0}{p_n \to 0}$

and hence the process converges provided $I + \Lambda$ and hence

 $\underline{T} + \underline{B} \cdot \underline{C}$ is positive definite, the conditions for which can be easily written down. A comparison of this result with the earlier result for the cob-web process shows that the range of convergence for the umpe process is very much greater than for the cob-web process is very much greater than the range of convergence. In order to compare the speed of convergence of the two processes when the γ converge we consider the matrix ratio of the \underline{B}_{η} 's for the two processes.

$$U_{n} = \left[\left(-\Lambda \right)^{-n} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(\underline{\Gamma} - \underline{\Gamma} + \Lambda \right) \right]$$
(26)

with the p'_ns for the umpe process in the numerator. Each term in the diagonal can be treated separately. When $\bigwedge < < 1$ the two processes converges and $''_n$ diverges which implies that the umpe process converges to equilibrium more slowly than the cob-web process. 8. For the multi-market * Supmpe process with linear demand and supply functions we similarly have

$$\mathcal{B}_{p_n} + \frac{c}{(+\lambda + +\lambda^{n-1})} \left(\frac{p_{n-1} + \lambda p_{n-2}}{p_{n-2}} + \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{p_0} \right)$$
(27)

 λ is a scolar.

The solution of (27), it can be proved by the method of induction is given by

$$P_{n} = \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left\{ I - \left(\frac{I + B^{-1}(c)(1 - \lambda)}{(1 - \lambda^{r})} \right)_{0}^{r} (28) \right\}$$
Let
$$A_{n} = \frac{h}{1} \left\{ I - \left(I + \frac{B^{-1}(c)(1 - \lambda)}{(1 - \lambda^{r})} \right\}$$
Then if $L^{-1}B^{-1}(L = \overline{\Lambda})$,
where
$$L$$
and
$$\Lambda$$
are as in the previous section latent
vector and latent roots of
$$B^{-1}C$$

Let

where

 $B_n = LA_n L = \prod_{r=1}^{l} \left(\underline{T} - (\underline{\Gamma} + \Lambda) (\underline{I} - \lambda) \right)$ $(1 - \lambda^r) \quad (29)$ As before each of the elements in the diagonal can be treated separately. If the largest latent root of $\vec{B} \subset is \subset \frac{i+\lambda}{i-\lambda}$ then each of the elements in \vec{B}_n tends to zero. If the largest of the elements of 13 C is $> \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ then one or more of the elements in $B_n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence in the latter case $A_n \rightarrow \infty$. It is evident that the speed of convergence the swmpeprocess can be compared with the speed of convergence of the umpe and the cob-web processes and the results in the one market case can easily be generalised

to the multimarket case by comparing the behaviour of the largest elements of β_n in all the three cases.

SWMPE. *stands for scolar weighted mean price expectation process.

Again the process converges to equilibrium in finite time r mly if \vec{B}' is diagonal and equal to $T \wedge (1+\lambda+1+\lambda)$ where ris a positive integer. For the umperprocess $\lambda = 1$ and this condition reduces to B'C=rI.

If the reaction coefficients instead of being in the form λ I , 0 < λ < fare given by the matrix μ where K is positive definite with all its latent roots less than 1 in magnitude equation (27) is replaced by

$$B_{P_n} + C \left(\underline{I} + K + \cdot + 1 < \frac{h^{-1}}{2} + \frac{h^{-1}}{2} + K_{P_{n-1}} + K_{P_{n-2}} + \frac{h^{-1}}{2} \right) = 0$$
(30)

The solution of (30) it can be verified is given by

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

--15-

If all the latent roots of $|\langle -(I-|\langle \rangle)BC$ are less than one in magnitude then $|A_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and if one or more of the latent roots are greater than one in magnitude then $|A_h| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence all this applies to p_n . Ifall the latent roots of $|\langle -(I-|\langle \rangle)BC$ are less than or equal to 1 and one organize

are = 1 then IAN -> afinite matrix.

. . . .

If $B^{-1}C = M_{r-1} < converges$ to equilibrium in time r.

The speed of convergence of this process can be compared with that of the multi-market cob-web and umpeand the scolarweighted processes.

It should be noticed that when $|\langle =0 \rangle$, the above process reduces to the multi-market cob-web process. When $|\langle = \pm \rangle$ it reduces to the umperprocess. When $|\langle = \lambda \pm \rangle$ it reduces to the sumperprocess. The multimarket models reduce to the single market model when the models reduce to the single market model when the models reduce to the single market model 9. The generalisations of the above models to the continuous case and the mpe (limited mean price expectation) processes will be considered in another paper. Limited mean price expectation processes stands for processes of the above type when

.

 $P_t^* = \sum_{r=1}^k P_{t-r} w_r / z_k w_r$

where is fixed.

References:-

1.	R.G.D. Allen	-	Mathematical Economics
2.	Barnard and Child	- .	Higher Algebra
3.	Barnard and Child	-	Advanced Algebra
4.	Ferrar	-	Algebra.

Kolhapur. September 11, 1967.

-17-