

REPORT OF AGRICULTURAL CENSUS 1980-81

MATIARASHTRA STATE

PART I & PART II

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

REPORT OF AGRICULTURAL CENSUS 1980-81

MAHARASHTRA STATE

PART I & PART II



DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PREFACE

Maharashtra along with other States in the Country, participated in the Agricultural Census 1980-81 sponsored by the Government of India. The previous Census was a Sample Census undertaken in 1976-77 as Government of India had desired to carry out an interim Sample Census to assess the changes in the structure of agricultural holdings, subsequent to the World Agricultural Census of 1970-71, due in part to vigorous implementation of the Land Ceiling Act. This is the Third Agricultural Census carried out by the Department of Agriculture and the second complete Agricultural Census, the first being held in 1970-71.

- 2. In the present Census data relating to the following essential items was collected:
 - (i) Number and size of operational holdings
 - (ii) Classification of the individual joint and institutional holdings
 - (iii) Tenancy and tenure
 - (iv) Land utilisation
 - (v) Source-wise irrigated area; and
 - (vi) Area under crops both under irrigation and unirrigated conditions.
- 3. In addition, data had also been collected on the number of farmers growing different crops, number of farmers having irrigation facilities according to source of irrigation, number of wells in use and those fitted with pumps, number of wells not in use etc. The major feature of Census of 1980-81 related to data being collected separately for scheduled castes and scheduled tribes. The Deputy Commissioner (Agricultural Census) was reponsible for the census work. He was assited by a small Agricultural Census Unit created in the Directorate of Agriculture, at Pune. As in the last census, processing of data on Computer was undertaken by the Agricultural Census Unit itself Technical guidance of the experts of Regional Computer Centre, Pune, was fully taken for the required modifications in the computer programmes prepared by the experts of the National Institute of Training in Industrial Engineering (NITIE) for processing of data in the last census.
- 4. The collection of basic data for the census was entrusted to the Talathis / Patwaris of the Revenue Department. At one stage, assistance had to be taken of the Gram Sevaks. Despite initial reservations, the Talathis bore the burden of the field work involving retabulation of the data in the basic village records, in the format prescribed for Agricultural Census. There was some delay on account of reservations, the talathis had. Assistance had been taken of various technical agencies at the district and divisions for the training of the field staff for providing technical guidance and supervison of the field work.
- 5. Results of the Agricultural Census for the State and districts are presented in this Report. The report is divided into two parts. The first part contains six chapters. The first three deal with the historical background, organisational arrangements, concepts and definitions. Chapter IV deals with the analysis of the Agricultural Census results and the conclusions therefrom. The fifth Chapter deals with the suggestion for future census and gives a summary of the findings. Part-II of this document contains statistical tables giving the summary of the census data under different tables under a uniform format for the State, Region and District according to 14 size classes of holdings.
- 6. The Census shows that in 1980-81, there were 68.62 lakh operational holdings in the State, with a total operated area of 213.62 lakh hectares. The average size of the holdings was 3.11 hectares. As compared to the census of 1976-77, the number of holdings in the current census has increased by about 10.96 lakhs or about 19 per cent. The operated area has increased by 2.57 lakhs *i.e.* a nominal increase of 1.2 per cent over the last census figures. There has been considerable reduction in the average size of holdings as revealed in the 1980-81

census compared to 3.66 hectares during the census of 1976-77. 1980-81 census brings out the preponderance of small size holdings *i.e.* (those below holdings size of 2 hectares). Almost 51 per cent (as against 47 per cent in the previous census) comes under the category of small holdings, with an area of only about 15 per cent of the total area in the State, (11 per cent in the last census). Of 68.62 lakh holdings enumerated in the census, 14.35 lakh or nearly 20 per cent had irrigation facilities. The net irrigated area being 14.36 lakh hectares or about 8 per cent of the net cropped area.

- 7. As a result of the abolition of the Tenancy of Agricultural land, the percentage of lands taken on rent for cultivation have considerably decreased. The total number of farmers taking land on rental basis was only 0.5 per cent. The percentage of farmers who own some of the land and also leased in some of the land for cultivation accounted for only 1.4 per cent; *i.e.* over 98 per cent of the farmers own the land they till.
- 8. For the first time census of scheduled castes and scheduled tribes holdings have been taken out separately during 1980-81 census. Of a total holdings of 68.62 lakhs, the number of holdings held by members of scheduled castes was 4.67 lakhs or about 6.8 per cent and those of scheduled tribes 4.13 lakhs or about 6 per cent. The total area operated by schedule castes was 9.56 lakh hectares or about 4.5 per cent and those of schedule tribes 12.99 lakh hectares or about 6.1 per cent of the total operated area.
- 9. The report contains details of the above featuring for the State, Region and Districts. These results are of considerable utility not only to the Government department, but also to research workers, economists and those associated with agricultural planning and development. The results of the census will be of considerable help in policy formulation and assessment of the impact of different agricultural projects.
- 10. The conduct of census involved collection of statistical data for each parcel of land covering nearly 7 million land holdings in the State was a colossal task. The Talathis had been mostly entrusted with these responsibilities, did a good job despite some initial time lag. This is a commendable effort considering that this had to be done in addition to their normal duties. The senior Revenue Department officers viz. Secretary (Revenue), Commissioners, Collectors, Tahasildars, Revenue Inspectors, gave necessary support in getting the work done properly and I sincerely thank them. We would like to also thank the officers of the Rural Development Department in getting the census work completed. The officers and the staff of the Directorate of Economics and Statistics and those of the Statistical Wing of the Directorate of Agriculture rendered assistance in training of field staff and supervision of field work. I would like to express my sincere thanks to all these officers and field staff.
- 11. The Deputy Commissioner (Agricultural Census) was responsible for the Planning and organisation of the census. To begin with the post was held by Shri G.C. Shaligram, when this census programme was initiated. Shri D.T.Sawant held this post for about two years. From December, 1983 onwards, Shri B.C.Gogate held this post, and most of the work was completed during this time. Shri Khaire, Statistician has put in a great deal of effort in modification of the software and attending to the processing of data. I would like to place on record the excellent services rendered by these officers and the staff of the Agricultural Census Unit.
- 12. We are also grateful to the officers of the Government of India for guidance and help in the conduct of the Census.

(K. RAJAN)
Agricultural Census Commissioner
and Secretary to Government,
Agriculture and Co-operation Department,
Mantralaya, Bombay 400 032.

CONTENTS

PART I

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

Para No.							Page
1.1	Historical background		•••	•••	•••	•••	1
1.4	Participation of India in Ag	ricultural Ce	nsus	•••	•••	•••	2
1.6	Contents of Report		•••	•••	•••		2
1.7	Methodology		•••	•••	•••	•••	2
1.10	Organisation		•••	•••	•••	•••	3
1.12	Findings of the Census 197	0-71 and 197	76-77		•••	•••	4
1.13	Census of 1976-77		•••	•••	•••		5
1.15	Administrative divisions an	d districts	•••	•••		•••	6
1.16	Physical features	•	•••	•••	•••	•••	6
1.18	Crop Season	•	•••	***	•••		6
1.19	Agro-climatic zones	•	•••	•••	•••	•••	7
1.20	Population	•	•••	***	•••	•••	7
1.21	Land use	•	•••	•••	***	•••	7
1.22	Cropping pattern		•••	•••	***	•••	7
1.23	Irrigation		•••	***	•••	•••	10
			CHAPTER II				
		AGRICULT	URAL CENS	SUS 1980-81			
2.1	Need for the present census	•		•••	•••		11
2.2	Technical programe			•••	•••	•••	11
2.3	Input Survey		•••	•••	•••	•••	11
2.6	Methodology		•••	•••	•••		12
2.8	Organisation		•••	•••	•••	•••	12
2.14	Appointment of State Advi			•••	•••	•••	13
2.16	Preparatory work done price		•••	•••	•••	14	
2.17	Orientation meetings		•••	•••		•••	14
2.22	Schedules prescribed for co		sus data	***			14
2.23	Printing of Schedules			•••	•••	•••	15
2.24	Arrangements for processing	g of data					15
2.26	Training			•••			16
2.29	Dates of commencement ar	nd completion	***				16
		_					
	CONC		CHAPTER III	O DATA SOU	DCES		
		. TO DDIII	VIIIOND MINI	DAIN BOO	KCLS		
3.1	Concepts, Definitions and	Data Sources	•••	•••	•••		17
3.2	Operation holdings			•••	•••	•••	17
3.3		.,		***	•••		17
3.4	Agricultural Productiuon .			•••	•••	•••	17
3.5	Operated area .		•••	•••	•••	•••	17
3.8	Land owned and self opera	ited in	•••		***		17
3.9	Leased in .	••	•••	***	•••		18
3.10	Area otherwise operated .			•••			18
3.11	Operational Holder .	••	•••		•••	•••	18
3.12	Complete / Part Holding .	••		•••	•••	•••	19
3.13	Land Utilization .	••	•••		•••	•••	19
3.14	Net area sown .		•••		•••	•••	19

	ara Io.	•					
3	.15	Current fallow	•••	•••	•••	•••	
	.16	Fallow other than current	fallow	•••			•••
	.18	Permanent pastures and o			•••	•••	•••
	.19	Land under Miscellaneou		•••		•••	•••
	.20	Culturable waste	s acc crops		***	***	•••
	.21	Net avilable for cultivation	···	••	***	•••	•••
	.22	Forests	<i>/</i> 11	•••	•••	•••	•••
			··· veol was	•••	•••	***	•••
	.23	Area under non- agricultu		•••	•••	•••	•••
	.24	Land not covered by the	Census	•••	•••	•••	•••
	.25	Source of Data			•••	•••	•••
	.26	Criteria adopted for deter	mining an Op	erational holdi	ng .	•••	•••
	.35	Joint Holding	•••	•••	••	•••	•••
	.36	Joint holdings with shares		•••	•••	•••	•••
3.	.37	Procedure adopted for lis	ting of Operti-	onal holdings	•••	•••	***
: 3.	.41	Complete and Incomplete	(or part) hold	dings	•••	•••	•••
3.	.44	Posting of census data in	final census	returns		•••	
	.46	Units for reporting area s		•••		•••	
	.47	Field work			•••		
	.49	Supervision of the census	onerations			•••	•••
	.53	Scrutiny of returns	, oporations	•••	•••	•••	•••
	.53 .54		the field west	 k and stans tak	on to remove	 tham	•••
		Technical deficiencies in	me neid won	k and steps tak	ten to remove	mem	***
3.	.56	Despatch of returns	•••	***	•••	•••	***
				CHAPTER IV	V		
			ANALYSI	S OF CENSU			
		•					
4.	1	Introduction					
4.		Presentation of Results	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
4.			···	•••	•••	•••	•••
		Contents of the Census ta		•••	••	•••	•••
	.11	Coverage of Agricultural		•••	•••	•••	•••
	.12	Comparability of the resu	ilts of the two	censuses	•••	•••	•••
	.15	Discussion of results	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
4.	.16	Section I - Coverage	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
4.	.17	An Overview	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
4.	.19	Number of crop growers	•••	•••	•••	•••	***
4.	.20	Size distribution of numb		s and operated	area in the St	ate in	
		the two censuses		_			
1	.24			***	•••	•••	•••
		Section II - Districtwise t	findings	•••			•••
		Section II - Districtwise i		•••	***	•••	***
4.	.25	Number of operational ho	oldings and ar	ea operated		···	
4. 4.	.25 .28	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings	oldings and ar	•••	***	•••	***
4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32	Number of operational he Average size of holdings Joint holdings	oldings and ar	ea operated		···	
4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol	oldings and ar	ea operated		···	
4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy	oldings and ar	 ea operated 			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33 .34	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol	oldings and ar dings	 rea operated 			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy	oldings and ar dings 	 ea operated 			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33 .34	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation	oldings and	ea operated			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33 .34 .38 .43	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are	oldings and ar dings	ea operated the State			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	25 28 32 33 34 38 43 44	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation	oldings and ar dings	ea operated the State			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	25 28 32 33 34 38 .43 .44 .48	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold	oldings and ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ar	ea operated the State s			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	25 28 32 33 34 .38 .43 .44 .48 .50	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation	oldings and ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ar	ea operated the State s			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	.25 .28 .32 .33 .34 .38 .43 .44 .48 .50 .54	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation Number of holdings irrigation	oldings and ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ar	ea operated the State s source			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	25 28 32 33 34 38 .43 .44 .48 .50 .54 .57	Number of operational ho Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation Number of holdings irrigation by wells	oldings and ar dings ea irrigated in in the district ings ated by each s	ea operated the State s			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	25 28 32 33 34 38 43 44 48 50 54 57 58	Number of operational he Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation Number of holdings irrigation by wells Estimated number of wel	oldings and ar dings ea irrigated in in the district ings ated by each s	ea operated the State s source			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4	.25 .28 .32 .33 .34 .38 .43 .44 .48 .50 .54 .57 .58 .60	Number of operational he Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation Number of holdings irrigation by wells Estimated number of wel Irrigation by canals	oldings and ar dings ea irrigated in in the district ings ated by each s	ea operated the State s ssource			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4	25 28 32 33 34 38 43 44 48 50 54 57 58	Number of operational he Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation Number of holdings irrigation by wells Estimated number of wel	oldings and ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ar	ea operated the State s ssource			
4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4	.25 .28 .32 .33 .34 .38 .43 .44 .48 .50 .54 .57 .58 .60	Number of operational he Average size of holdings Joint holdings Proportion of smaller hol Tenure and Tenancy Land use Irrigation Irrigated holdings and are Distribution of Irrigation Number of irrigated hold Sources of Irrigation Number of holdings irrigation by wells Estimated number of wel Irrigation by canals	oldings and ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ard ar	the State s source			

Dana							Page
Para							Ü
No.							4.4
4.68	Irrigation by lift	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	44
4.70	Cropping pattern	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	44
4.73	Cropping pattern in the	districts	•••	•••	•••	•••	46
4.82	Fodder crop	•••	•••	•••	•••		47
4.83	Irrigated area under crop	S	•••	•••	•••	•••	47
4.84	Analysis of Census data	according to si	ze of holdings	•••	•••	•••	47
4.85	Proportion of number of	small size hold	lings	•••	•••	•••	18
4.89.	Proportion of big holding		•••	••	•••	•••	19
4.91	Joint holdings	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	50
4.94	Tenancy	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	51
4.97	Land use	***	•••	•••	•••	•••	52
4.98	Irrigation	•••	***	•••	•••	•••	52
4.100	Irrigation by canals	•••	***	•••	•••	•••	53
4.101	Irrigation by wells	•••	***	•••	•••		53
4.102	Irrigation by tanks	•••	•••	***	•••	•••	54
4.104	Area under lift irrigation	١	•••	•••	•••	•••	55
4.105	Relative importance of d		of irrigation	•••	***	•••	55
4.112	Crop pattern according t			•••	•••	•••	57
4.118	Jowar	•••		•••	•••	•••	58
4.119	Rice	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	58
4.120	Nagli	•••	***	•••	•••	•••	59
4.121	Fodder crop	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	59
4.122	Bajri		•••	•••	•••	•••	59
4.123	Wheat	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	59
4.124	Cotton	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	.59
4.126	Groundnut	•••	•••	•••	•••		60
4.127	Comparison of official s				•••	•••	60
7.12/	important crops	musics will b			•••		
	important crops	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•
			CHAPTER V				
	SUCCEST	TONS FOR F		SUSES BASEI	ONTHE		
		ERIENCE OF					
	L/AX	ERGENCE OF	THE DAGE	TIRED CEN	·		
5.1	Introduction						63
5.2	Need for legislation on	 Agricultural Ce	nene	•••	•••	•••	63
5.3	Suggestions for streamli		nous	•••	•••		63
5.4	Need for co-ordination a		le.	•••	•••	***	64
5.5	Advance Planning	at different leve		•••	•••	•••	64
5.6	Further analysis of cens	 ue data	•••	•••	•••	•••	64
5.0	Turuici anarysis or cons	us data	•••	•••	•••	•••	٠.
			CHAPTER V	ī			
	A COTOUT	URAL CENSI			ED CACTES		
	AGRICULI		ULED TRIBE				
		AND SCHED	ULED INIBE	S HOLDING	3	*	
6.1	Introduction	•••	•••	•••	•••		65
6.2	Scheduled Castes Holdi		•••	•••	•••	•••	65
6.3	Scheduled Tribes Holding	ngs	•••	•••	•••	•••	65
		4	APPENDIX -	I			
A					1001		47
Annexi		twise distributi	• •			•••	67
Annexi		f Maharashtra S					69
Annexi		le bar diagram					71
	class	based on Agricu	altural Censuse:	s 1970-71, 197	6-77 and 1980	-81	

Para No.				Page
Annexure IV	•••	Multiple bar diagram showing area operated by size clas based on Agricultural Censuses 1970-71, 1976-77 and 1	s 980-81	73
Annexure V	•••	Multiple bar diagram showing percentage individual and	joint	75
Annexure VI	•••	Pie diagram showing percentage area under different lane	i uses	77
Annexure VII	•••	Multiple bar diagram showing percentage operational hol by irrigation status	ding	79
Annexure VIII	•••	Pie diagram showing percentage area irrigated by differe	nt sources	81
Annexure IX	•••	Multiple bar diagram showing total area and irrigated are under principal crops	ea	83
Annexure X	•••	Multiple bar diagram showing percentage operational hol and areas operated by size class	dings	85
		APPENDIX - II		