Survey on Infant and child Mortality. 1979

A Reliminary Report.

## Survey on Infant and Child Mortality, 1979

A Preliminary Report

SURVEY ON INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY, 1979 - A Preliminary Report EE R $R$ A $A$

Bageno. Reference
1 Para 1, line 11
7 Para 2.4.1; Iine 4
10 Statement: 11
under Rural; col.1, line 1 Uithin 2 kms
under Urban, col.1, line 1 फithin 2 Kms
under Total; col.1, line 1 Within 2 Kms
Footnote
15 Statement 21
20 Para 4.1.2, last line
$21^{\circ}$ Para 4.3.2, line 1
Para 4.7.4, line 2 fertility are
25 Statement 39, col. 1
29 Para 4:9.2, last but
one line
29 Para-4.9.4-1as-1ine
30 Para 4.9.5, 4th line from
-32-...-Paxa-5:2.3; line 4
36 Para 5:4.4, line 14
37 Statement 64, heading
37 Statement 64, under Gr.(iii) Primary \& : Above
38 Statement 65,1 ast col:
40 Para 6.1, last but 4th line
,40.. Para 6.1, 1ast line

## For

b :sed
literatets

Within 2 Kms
within 2 Kms Within 2 Kms Incluies within the village/block
617. religoins
succeding
age-fertility
fertility are

Literate \& above
order births 1oct -
30 Para 4.9.5, 4th line from voided:_
last
32-...-Para-5.2.3; line 4
36 Para 5:4.4, line 14
37. Statement 64, heading

37 Statement 64, under Gr.(iii)
38 Statement 65,1 ast col:
40 Para 6.1, last but 4th line
Sriple.
scheduled

## Read

base
literates
*)ithin 2 Kms

* Uithin 2 Kms
*Uthin 2 Kms
*Includes within
the village/block
All religions
succeeding
age-specific
fertility
fertility rates
are
Literate
order
Hevel -
avoided
pestil enée-

194
per 100,000
primary but below:

- $\therefore$ Matric

64
Triple
schedule

## wrysin $\because 8 A$

## Page roma aqdence.

6 2, mabe 16, Under UTian, Ane
 Manginfter col.7, linery


 Enodintssubue ading
64.



ar surdy
 fertility rate, col. 1 .
ossmodi
 pate, col.3, line 4.
71 -xnos for



 rate.
Age specific Jabr , thgesspecifier

 above A5q-f marital fertility age specific rate.


## 

 252.82 施
73 - isfrable 24(a), col.2elastimine
74 baj Tabisi $24(\mathrm{~b})$, Heading
74 Table 24(b), col. 29 1ine
74 小eblel 24 (b), col 1astatine qeple!24(b), col.1, lastaline!blank
74 Cosk Tabie 24(b), col.3, last inne



 76 rabte 26 , under RuRAC
 8)

3 reqgatie
 Qtitraer 7.
 eroinow bstrine 1. aroxiow эtsiox


77 Table 27, Footnote, code 2.


Table 27, Footnote,
gode No.11.

77 worme Table 27 Footnote, after -



 chakenpox yitysuabickenpox 36

514 $\qquad$ - $45 \cdots$ Ithm - 1050 , 4
 1 sif : Gif fiff tud tand troughy

 18.17 जא

 i
blank lor की ntameract

OFFICERS AND STAFF ASSOCIATED WITH THE INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY SURVEY, 1979

\author{
P. PADMANABHA <br> Registrar General, India \}

}

M. Holla<br>Deputy Registrar General (VS)<br>V. S. Swamy<br>Assistant Registrar General (VS)

S. K. Sinha

Senior Research Officer
Mahesh Chand
Research Officer

## Investigators

K. R. Narayana
V. Gangarajan
K. K. Sharma

Karan Singh
Anand Kumar

## Computors

R. K. Goel

Sunder Singh
Mahender Pal
Smt. Tarlochan Juss
V. K. Krishnan

Lakhmi Chand (L.D.C)

## Statistical Assistants

Smt. C. P. Dua
Km. Reba Barua
Smt. I. M. Puri

Reprography
V. K. Gulati
S. K. Sharma
S. P. Singh

## CONTENTS

## Pages

| Preface | ... | ix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHAPTER I-Introduction | .. | 1-4. |
| CHAPTER II-General Population Characteristics and Living Conditions | ..." | 5-11 |
| CHAPTER III-Socio-economic Characteristics of Children | ... | 12-.19 |
| CHAPTER IV-Fertility Trends and Differentials | ... | 20-21 |
| CHAPTER V-Infant and Child Mortality Levels and Differentials | ... | 32-39 |
| CHAPTER VI-Health \& Child Care | ... | 40-46 |
| APPENDIX 1-Detailed Tables | ... | 47-78 |
| APPENDIX II-Schedule | $\cdots$ | 79-84 |
| APPENDIX III-Crude Birth Rate and Infant Mortality for Selected Countries | - | 85-87 |

## LIST OF TABLES

Pages
1 Per cent distribution of population by broad age groups and by sex ..... 49
2. Per cent distribution of workers by occupation, 1978 ..... 49
-3 Per cent distribution of workers by occupation Census 1971 ..... 50
4 Participation rates by broad age groups, 1971 Census and Survey 1978 ..... 50
5 Per cent distribution of sample households by type of house and by per capita monthly household expenditure group 1978. .....  . 51
6 Per cent distribution of estimated population by type of house and by per capita monthly expenditure groups, 1978 ...
7 Per cent distribution of sample households by number of rooms occupied and by per capita monthly household expenditure groups, 1978 ..... 53
8 Per cent distribution of estimated population by number of rooms occu- pied and by per capita monthly household expenditure groups, 1978 ..... 54
9 Per cent distribution of sample households and estimated population by source of:drinking water, 1978 ..... 55
10 Per cent distribution of sample households by per capita monthly exepn- diture source of lighting 1978 ..... 5611 Per cent distribution of estimated population by per capita monthly$\frac{I}{8}$ by household expenditure group and source of lighting 197857
12 Per cent children attending school by single year age and sex, 1978 ..... 58
13 Per cent children attending school by single year age, sex and reli- gion $1978{ }^{\text {- }}$ ..... 59
14 Per cent children attending school by single year age, sex and scheduled -caste/tribe, 1978 ..... 60
15 Per cent distribution of workers among children (below 15 years) occu- pation, 1978 .....  61
16 Age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by religion, 1978 ..... 62
17 Age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by scheduled caste/tribe, 1978 .....  63
18 Age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by educational level of the women, 1978 .....  64
Pages
19 Age specific marital fertility rates by age at marriage, 1978 ..... 65
20 Age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by occupation, 1978 ..... 66
21 Age specific marital fertility rates by per capita monthly expenditure, 1978 ..... 67
22 (a) Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and reli- gion, 1978 ..... 67
(b) Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and by level of education, 1978 ..... 68
(c) Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and by per capita monthly expenditure, 1978 ..... 68
(d) Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and occupa- tion, 1978 ..... 69
(e) Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and age at marriage, 1978 ..... 69
(f) Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and by sche- duled caste/tribe, 1978 ..... 70
23 (a) Per cent child deaths among top ten causes of death classified by age and sex, 1978 ..... 71.
(b) Per cent child deaths among top ten causes of death classified by age and sex, 1978 ..... 72
24 (a) Cause specific death rates per 100,000 children by age and sex, 1978 ..... 74
(b) Cause specific death rates per 100,000 children by age and sex, 1978 ..... 74
25 Per cent distribution of children by age, sex and type of food, 1978 ..... 75
26 Per cent children by present age, sex and type of child care when mother goes to work 1978 ..... 76
27 Per cent children sick among the top ten sicknesses by age and sex 1978 ..... 77
28 Incidence rate of sickness per 100,000 children by age and sex, 1978 ..... 78

## PREFACE

Children constitute around forty per cent of the total population in India and this is true in most of the developing countries too. The needs of children have therefore to be given due consideration while formulating plans for socio-economic development in the country. The National Policy for children suggests a series of measures to provide adequate services to children both before and after birth and through the period of growth, to ensure their full physical, mental and social development. Statistics on children have thus become the basic requisites for such planning.

India has a long tradition of collection of statistics, but the current availability of statistics on children is however far from satisfactory. Very little information relating to children is available on several of the current issues such as infant and child mortality, and their differentials, child care and child health services. In view of the growing concern for social well being and improving the quality of life of the children, various types of statistics and sensitive indicators are in great demand.

The year 1979 was declared as the 'International Year of the Child' and a large number of activities and programmes promoting the welfare of the children were carried out. The office of the Registrar General, India conducted a comprehensive survey on Infant and Child Mortality to provide a benchmark information on various facets of children. The survey was conducted in
1979 in about 3700 units of the Sample Registration System (SRS) along with the regular SRS half-yearly survey. This report contains the results of the advance tabulation of a 25 per cent sub-sample of SRS households covering information on health and child care of children, fertility, mortality differentials and general population characteristics to which children belong.

SRS is a Centre-State venture and this survey would not have been possible without the active involvement of the various state implementing agencies for whom my thanks are due. I am also thankful to the Depart ment of Social Welfare for their co-operation and the UNICEF for financial assistance for carrying out the survey. I wish to place on record the hard work put in by the staff and officers of the State Directorates of the Census Operations, the Data Processing Division and the Analytical and Evaluation Unit of the Vital Statistics Division. I am also thankful to the Regional Computer Centre, Chandigarh for generating some of the tables well in time. In particular, I would like to thank Shri R.B. Lal, the then Deputy Registrar General, Shri V.S. Swamy, Assistant Registrar General (VS) and Shri S.K. Sinha, Senior Research Officer, who are associated with this project.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

1979 was declared as the International Year of the Child. Statistical data on various characteristics of the child in India exist on a limited scale; but one of the areas where data are lacking and are of vital interest and importance is that relating to infant and child mortality. Such data are required for the preparation of plan of action for mitigation of infant and child mortality and for schemes relating to the amelioration of the child in general. There is thus an urgent need to develop a wider and stronger data based on infant and child mortality. The traditional method of collecting such data is the civil registration which it is admitted, is deficient in content and coverage. Another source is the Sample Registration System (SRS) operated by the office of the Registrar General. India which furnishes reliable estimates of vital rates at the state and national level. The data generated by the system do not provide mortality differentials by various socio-economic variates. A special survey on infant and child mortality was therefore undertaken by the office of the Registrar General, India to provide not only the estimates of infant and child mortality but also to study the factors influencing them.

### 1.2. Objective of the survey

1.2.1 The main objective of the survey is to provide estimates of infant and child mortality and to study the pattern and differentials of mortality for various socio-economic levels. Another aspect of the study relates to the health and child care of the infants and children and also the fertility trends and differentials.
1.2.2 The term children includes all persons below 15 years. The socio-economic characteristics of children below 15 years are included. In the case of child mortality, children below 5 years have been considered for which data were collected. The information on health and child care of children refers to those who are in the age-group $0-6$ years.

### 1.3 Coverage

1.3.1 The survey was carried out in 2,338 rural sample units which consisted of either a whole village or a segment of a village if it.had a population of 2000 or more based on 1961 census. The urban sample units consisted of census blocks with a population of about 750. The number of urban blocks covered in the survey was 1328. The survey was not conducted in one village in Madhya Pradesh, seven villages in Maharashtra, thirty-six villages in West Bengal and 20 rural units and 6 urban units in the Ladakh area of Jammu \& Kashmir. The state-wise coverage of sample units, households and population is given in Statement 1.

### 1.4 Sample design

1.4.1 In the rural areas, a stratified single stage sample design was adopted, the first stage unit being a village or a segmented village. The stratification was done on the basis of natural divisions within a state further sub-stratified by population size classes. In a state there are three or four natural divisions and four population size classes. Selection of villages was done by simple random sample without replacement and all the households in the selected villages were included. In the urban areas, a two-stage stratified sample design was used. The first stage unit was a town and the second stage unit was a census block. The first and second stage units were selected by simple random sample without replacement.
1.4.2 In order to provide advance estimates at all-India level, a 25 per cent sub-sample of households within each sample units was selected both in the rural and urban areas. The households within each sample village/urban block were selected systematically with a random start. The allIndia estimates presented in this report are based on a 25 per cent sub-sample of SRS households.

## STATEMENT 1

Number of sample units canvassed, number of households and population


## STATEMENT 1-Concld.


${ }^{*}$ Figures relating to number of households and population have been estimated based on a 25 per cent sample of houscholds selected for advanco tabulation.

### 1.5 Schedule canvassed

1.5.1 The schedule consists of five sections. Section A relates to the identification and classificatory particulars of the sample unit. Information on availability of water supply and electric power supply, medical facilities and other infrastructure facilities like motorable road, post office, primary and secondary schools etc. was collected. The socio-economic characteristics of the household and facilities like source of lighting, source of drinking water, housing conditions etc. were also collected in Section B. The particulars of the usual resident members of the household were obtained in Section C. Section D deals with the particulars regarding births, infant and child deaths during the period 1973-78. The last Section E gives details of living children in the age group $0-6$ years in regard to health and child care. A copy of the schedule is given in Appendix I.

### 1.6 Reference period

1.6:1 Information on births and infant deaths was collected for each of the calendar periods 1973-78. In the case of child deaths, data were collected for children born during 1973 and onwards. The total children born alive and surviving to ever-married women, the particulars of the household members and related variables were collected as on 31st December, 1978.

### 1.7 Field operations

1.7.1 The schedule on infant and child mortality was canvassed by the computor-supervisors along with the SRS half-yearly survey for the period July-December, 1978. Due to the heavy workload involved the computor-supervisors from census wing of this department were also deployed for the survey. Each computor-supervisor was allotted on an average six to seven sample units so as to finish the field work within a period of four months. The computor-supervisors were
given intensive training on the concepts and method of filling in the schedule. Regional workshops were held before the start of the field work where officers from the states connected with the implementation of the survey were imparted training by the staff from the headquarters. Intensive supervision of the work of the computor-supervisors was also undertaken by officers from the state and headquarters.

### 1.8 Scratiny, coding and tabulation

1.8.1 All the filled-in schedules were thoroughly scrutinised at the state headquarters by the census staff for which detailed edit instructions were prepared. The data in edited and coded form were then transferred to punch cards and final tables were prepared on the computer. In order to prepare advance all-India estimates (based on a 25 per cent sub-sample of SRS households), priority in regard to scrutiny, coding and tabulation was given to the 25 per cent sub-sample of households. The second-stage of work related to 100 per cent tabulation of the data in respect of Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan and the North Eastern States/Union Territories. There after 100 per cent tabulation of the remaining states and union territories will be taken up and a report will be brought out at the state and national level. The infrastructure facilities discussed in the report are based on 100 per cent sample areas.

### 1.9 Estimation

1.9.1 The estimated population characteristics at the state level were worked out using unbiased method of estimation. The all-India estimates of population characteristics were then obtained by pooling the state estimates. The allIndia advance estimates presented in the report which are based on a 25 per cent sub-sample of SRS households are weighted with a multiplier four.

## CHAPTER II

## GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS AND LIVING CONDITIONS

### 2.1 Age composition

2.1.1 Particulars regarding age last birth day were collected for each person in the households.

The per cent distribution of estimated population by sex and broad age-groups is presented in Statement 2 , separately for rural and urban areas.

## STATEMENT 2

Per cent distribution of estimated population by broad age-groups and by sex, 1978

| Age-groups (in years) | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Femalo | Person | Male | Female | Persod |
| 0-14 | 39.55 | 38.62 | 39.10 | 35.74 | 37.04 | - 36.44 | 38.85 | 38.34 | 38.60 |
| 15-59 | 55.03 | 55.45 | 55.23 | 59.66 | 57.59 | 58.60 | 55.89 | 55.83 | 55.86 |
| $60+$ | 5.42 | 5.93 | 5.67 | 4.60 | 5.37 | 4.96 | 5.26 | 5.83 | 5.54 |

About 39 per cent of the rural population is in the age-group below 15 years. In the urban areas the children population below 15 years is lower than that of rural areas indicating lower fertility in the urban areas. 55 per cent of the rural population belongs to the working age-group 15- 59 years. In the urban areas a larger proportion of population is in the age-group $15-59$ years as compared to rural areas. Partly this may be due to migration to urban areas. Persons aged 60 years and over constitute 5 to 6 per cent of the population both in the rural and urban areas.
2.1.2 A comparison of the figures as obtained from 1971 census is presented in Table 1 (Appendix). There has been a decline in the population of children aged below 15 years indicating decline in fertility since 1971. The proportion in the working age-group 15-59 years has gone up as compared to 1971 census figures.

### 2.2 Religion

2.2.1 Information on religion and scheduled caste/tribe was collected for the head of the household only. The population by religion and by scheduled caste/tribe has been obtained on the assumption that the individual members of the household belong to the same religion or scheduled caste/tribe as that of the head of the household.
2.2.2 The per cent distribution of sample households and estimated population by religion is shown in Statement 3 separately for rural and urban areas. Hindus accounting for 86 per cent of the total population in the rural areas and 75 per cent in the urban areas form the major religious group in the country. Muslims, who account for 11 per cent of the total population, are the second largest religious group. The third place goes to Christians who account for 2.2 per cent of the total population.

STATEMENT 3
Per cent distribution of estimated population by religion

| Religion | Per cent households |  |  | Per cent population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Hindu | 79.1 | 74.5 | 77.8 | 86.1 | 75.4 | 84.1 |
| Muslim | 10.0 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 18.2 | 10.7 |
| Christian | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| Sikh | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Others | 1.5 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.2 |

2.2.3 In the 1971 census, information on religion was collected for each person enumerated. The figures as obtained from 1971 census are
given in Statement 4. The figures from the survey broadly agree with that of census.

## STȦTEMENT 4

Per cent distribution of population by religion, 1971 census and 1978

| $\cdots$ | Religion | Census 1971 | Survey 1978 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | Hindu | 82.7 | 84.1 |
| $\cdots$ | Muslim | 11.2 | 10.7 |
| $\cdots$ | Christian | 2.6 | 2.2 |
|  | Sikh | 1.9 | 1,8 |
|  | Others | 1.6 | 1.2 |

### 2.3 Schedaled caste/tribe

2.3.1 The statement below gives the per cent distribution of estimated population by scheduled caste/tribe. It is seen that the scheduled castes
constitute 15.7 per cent of the total rural population and 9.3 per cent of the urban population. Scheduled tribes account for 7.9 per cent of the total population and are concentrated more in the rural areas than in the urban areas.

## STATEMENT 5

Per cent distribution of estimated population by scheduled caste/tribe, 1978

| Scheduled caste/tribo | Per cent households |  |  | Per cent population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Scheduled caste | 13.5 | 9.1 | 12.2 | 15.7 | 9.3 |  |
| Scheduled tribo | 7.9 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 9.4 | 1.1 | 7.9 |
| Non-scheduled caste/tribe | 78.6 | 89.5 | 81.7 | 74.9 | 89.6 | 27.6 |

2.3.2 The 1971 census also collected information on scheduled caste/tribe. The figures from 1971 census are shown in Statement 6. These figures are comparable with the survey data.

## STATEMENT 6

Per cent distribution of population by scheduled caste/tribe 1971 Census and 1978
literate as against 30 per cent in 1971 census. This is partly attributable to the programme of primary education. The female literacy, rate is lagging behind relative to male literacy rate.

STATEMENT 7
Per cent literates to total population 1971
Census and 1978

| Source | Scheduled <br> caste | Scheduled <br> tribo | Non-scheduled <br> caste/tribe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1571 Census | 14.6 | 6.9 | 78.5 |
| 1978 Survey | 14.5 | 7.9 | 77.6 |

### 2.4 Literacy and level of education

2.4.1 A person is recorded as literate if he or she can both read and write with some understanding in any language. The crude literacy rate as measured by the number of literatets per 1000 population is shown in Statement 7 along with the figures for 1971 census. A comparison of the census figures indicates that there is considerable improvement in literacy. The increase in the male literacy rate in the rural areas is noteworthy. About 39 per cent of the total population is

| Source | Sex | Rural | Urban | To!al |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 | Male | 33.8 | 61.3 | 39.5 |
|  | Female | 13.2 | 42.1 | 18.7 |
|  | Person | 23.7 | 52.4 | 29.5 |
| 1978 | Male | 46.3 | 71.8 | 50.1 |
|  | Female | 20.9 | 52.9 | 26.7 |
|  | Person | 33.4 | 62.7 | 38.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

2.4.2 The per cent distribution of estimated population by level of education is shown in Statement 8. If levels of primary and above are considered to be literate of a recognised standard, about 25 per cent of the male population and 10 per cent of the female population belong to this group. The rural and urban differentials among males and females are also given.

## STATEMENT 8

Per cent distribution of estimated population by educational level, 1978


### 2.5 Occupation

2.5.1 The main economic activity of a person was ascertained with reference to his/her occupation. In the case of seasonal work like cultivation the activity that the person engaged in during the greater part of the year preceding the date of survey was recorded. In case of regular work such as industry, profession, service etc. the economic activity of the person was ascertained with reference to the activity in which the person was engaged during the last week preceding the survey.
2.5.2 The per cent distribution of estimated workers by occupation according to national classification of occupation (division level) is given in Table 2 (Appendix). About 78 per cent of the raral male workers and 86 per cent of the rural female workers belong to the category of farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers, and related workers (code 6). In the urban areas about 40 per cent of the male workers and 35 per cent of the female workers come under the group production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers (code 7, 8 \& 9).
2.5.3 The figures of workers by occupation obtained from 1971 census using the same concept of a worker are shown in Table 3 (Appendix). The workers in the industrial categories I \& II (Cultivators \& agricultural labourers) have been included in the occupation Division 6. It is seen that about 84 per cent of male workers in the rural areas and 90 per cent of rural female workers are in the category of farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers and related workers (code 6) as per 1971 census. The per cent rural workers in the Division Code 6 has come down while the figures in the Division codes $7,8 \& 9$ have shown increase in comparison to 1971 census. The per cent workers relating to merchants and shopkeepers, wholesale and retail trade (Division code 4) has shown an upward rise especially in the urban areas as compared to 1971 census. Broadly, the occopational distribution compares well with the 1971 census taking into consideration occupational mobility.
2.5.4 The participation rates by sex separately for rural and urban are shown in the Statement 9 below along with the figures for 1971 census.

## STATEMENT 9 <br> Participation rates, 1971 Census and 1978

| Source | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| 1971 Census | 53.47 | 13.10 | 33.82 | 48.82 | 6.61 | 29.33 | 52.51 | 11.87 | 32.93 |
| 1978 Survey | 54.63 | 19.00 | 37.34 | 49.98 | 8.03 | 29.86. | 53.78 | 17.02 | 35.98 |

The participation rates by broad age-groups are shown in Table 4 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas along with the 1971 census figures. The participation rates for the age-group 15-59 years compare well with that of census for males. In the case of females there is an increase in the participation rates in the rural areas.

### 2.6 Housing condition

2.6.1 A question was asked regarding the type of house according to the material of wall, the material of roof and the material of floor. These were classified as pucca or kutcha. The wall
or roof is considered as pucca if the material used is made of cement, concrete, burnt bricks, stone, cement-plastered, reeds and timber, tiles, or combination thereof. The wall or roof is considered kutcha if the material is made of bamboo, mud, unburnt bricks, grass leaves, thatch or combination thereof. The floor is considered pucca if the material used is cement, bricks, tiles, lime, wood or any combination thereof. The floor is kutcha if the material used is made of mud, stone, cowdung or any combination thereof. The following are thi combinations adopted for classification :

| Wall | Roof | Floor | Code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Kutcha | Kutcha | Kutcha |  |
| Kutcha | Pucca | Kutcha | 1 |
| Pucca | Kutcha | Kutcha | 2 |
| Pucca | Kutcha | Pucca | 3 |
| Pucca | Pucca | Kutcha | 4 |
| Pucca | Pucca | Pucca | 5 |
| Kutcha | Pucca | Pucca | 6 |
| Kutcha | Kutcha | Pucca | 7 |
|  |  |  | 8 |

2.6.2 The per cent distribution of sample households and estimated population by type of house cross-classified by per capita monthly expenditure of the household is shown in Tables 5 and 6 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas. In the rural areas about 50 per cent of the households live in houses having material of wall and roof as kutcha. About one-fourth of the households live in houses having material of wall and roof as pucca. The population covered in such kutcha and pucca houses in respect of the material of wall and roof only is over 50 per cent and 20 per cent respectively in the rural areas. In urban ${ }^{-}$ areas more than 50 per cent of the households covering more than 60 per cent of the total population live in pucca houses. Tne rural-urban differentials are noteworthy.
2.6.3 The terms pucca and kutcha used here are only for convenience. In certain parts of the country, depending on the climate and locally available construction material, a kutcha structure may be as good as a pucca structure.

### 2.7 Number of rooms occupied

2.7.1 The per cent distribution of the sample households and estimated population by number of living rooms occupied by the household classified by per capita monthly expenditure of the household is presented in Tables 7 and 8 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas. About twofifths of the rural households and over one-third of the urban households live in one room. Households having three or more rooms constitute about 25 per cent in the rural areas and 32 per cent in the urban areas.
2.7.2 In the rural areas, the population in households living in one room accounts for 30 per
cent of the total rural population while it is 34 per cent in the urban areas. 37 per cent of the rural population lives in households having three or more living rooms. In the urban areas this is 33 per cent: A majority of the population in the rural areas lives in kutcha houses. Thus the ruralurban differentials in regard to the number of rooms occupied by the households and the population covered are not comparable.

### 2.8 Source of drinking water

2.8.1 Information was gathered regarding the source of drinking water available to the household. The per cent distribution of sample households and estimated population covered by source of drinking water is shown in Table' 9 (Appendix). It is seen that 13 per cent of the rural households have tap as the source of drinking water and 14 per cent of the rural households is covered by hand-pump. The major source of drinking water in the rural households is the well which accounts for 61 per cent of the total rural households. In the urban areas 69 per cent of the households have tap as the source of drinking water. The population 'in the rural households having well as the major source of drinking water is 68 per cent of the total rural population. Tap, the major source of drinking water in the urban areas is enjoyed by 76 per cent of the total urban population.

### 2.9 Source of lighting

2.9.1 The type of lighting used in the households was recorded in the schedule as an indicator of the economic status of the household. About 18 per cent of the rural households have electric light. In the urban areas two-thirds of the households have this facility. A larger proportion of the households in the rural areas has lantern as the main source of lighting. In population terms, 12 per cent of the rural population and 68 per cent of the urban population have electric light while 60 per cent of the rural population uses lantern as the main source of lighting. The per cent distribution of households and estimated population by source of lighting and per capita monthly expenditure is shown in Tables 10 and 11 (Appendix).

### 2.10 Iofrastructure facilities

2.10.1 The availability of water supply and motorable road within the revenue limits of the village/urban area are important indicators of development of the area. A question was included in the schedule regarding the availability of water supply and motorable road in the village/urban block. The per cent distribution of villages/urban blocks and the estimated population covered is presented in Statement 10 by type of facilities. The availability of amenities in the sample unit does not ensure complete utilisation by all persons.

## STATEMENT 10

- Per cent distribution of villages/urban blocks and estimated population by type of facilities.

| Rural/ Urban/ Total | With/ Per cent sample units |  |  | Per cent population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | out | Water supply | Motorable road | Water supply | Motora- <br> ble road |
| Rural | With | 25.5 | 43.4 | 19.4 | 35.4 64.6 |
|  | Without | 74.5 | 56.6 | 80.6 | 64.6 68.7 |
| Urban | With Without | $\begin{aligned} & 88.5^{\prime} \\ & 11.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.0 \\ & 30.0 \end{aligned}$ | 90.0 10.0 | 68.7 31.3 |
| Total | With | 48.3 | 53.0 | 32.3 | 41.5 |
|  | Without | 51.7 | 47.0 | 67.7 | 58.5 |

It is seen that over one-fourth of the villages has water supply within their limits while in the urban areas about 90 per cent has this facility. In the rural areas the population covered with water supply is 20 per cent while in the urban areas this proportion is 90 per cent. Adequate transportation and communication facilities are basic needs for economic and social development. Over two-fifths of the villages has motorable road covering over one-third of the rural population. In the urban areas two-thirds of the population enjoy the facility of having a motorable road.
2.10.2 Nearness to school facilities, easy accessibility to bus stand, railway station, postoffices, medical facility are some of the aspects of social services on which data were gathered. The distance to the nearest available social facility was also collected wherever the facility is not available in the village/urban block. The per cent distribution of sample villages/urban blocks and the estimated population covered by distance to the nearest available social facility is presented in Statement 11 separately for rural and urban areas.

## STATEMENT 11

Per cent distribution of villages/urban blocks and estimated population by type of facility and distance

| Rural/ | Per cent villages/urban blocks-Social amenitios |  |  |  |  |  | Per cent population-Social amenities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total distance | Bus <br> stand | Railway station | Post office | Primary school | Higher secondary school | Medical facility | Bus stand | Railway station | Post office | Primary school | Higher secondary school | Medical facility |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Kms | 54.6 | 6.7 | 66.1 | 95.0 | 33.2 | 40.9 | 44.6 | 6.6 | 61.7 | 94.3 | 27.4 | 35.3 |
| 2-5 Kms | 21.7 | 9.7 | 23.2 | 3.6 | 31.8 | 23.7 | 26.2 | 19.4 | 27.0 | 4.3 | 33.4 | 24.9 |
| $5-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 14.3 | 16.1 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 20.3 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 19.0 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 24.1 | 23.7 |
| $10 \mathrm{Kms+}$ | 9.2 | 67.5 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 10.4 | 65.0 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 15.1 | 16.1 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Kms | 86.2 | 42.6 | 91.5 | 97.1 | 87.5 | 84.4 | 86.0 | 46.5 | 91.2 | 97.3 | 86.4 | 83.9 |
| 2-5 Kms | 10.8 | 28.0 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 10.3 | 30.2 | 6.3 | 1.7 | 11.3 | 13.6 |
| $5 \mathrm{Kms+}$ | 3.0 | 29.4 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 23.3 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Kms | 66.0 | 19.7 | 75.5 | 95.8 | 52.9 | 56.5 | 52.0 | 13.9 | 67.1 | 94.8 | 52.9 | 44.1 |
| 2.5 Kms | 17.9 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 3.0 | 24.1 | 19.8 | 23.3 | 13.2 | 23.2 | 3.8 | 24.1 | 22.8 |
| $5-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 9.8 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 16.9 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 13.1 | 19.7 |
| $10 \mathrm{Kms}+$ | 6.3 | 50.9 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 56.0 | 6.4 3.3 | 0.9 | 9.9 | 13.4 |

Includos within the villago/block.

Economic development of the villages/urban blocks partly depends on easy accessibility of the social services. More than 75 per cent of the villages has a bus stand within a distance of 5 kms covering 70 per cent of the rural population. 90 per cent of villages has a post office within 5 kms and the population covered is about 90 per cent of the total rural population. 95 per cent of the villages has a primary school within 2 kms covering about 95 per cent of the rural population. In the case of high/higher secondary school, only onethird of the villages has this facility available within 2 kms covering over one-fourth of the rural population. In the urban areas most of the facilities are available within easy reach of the people. Easy accessibilty of medical facilities is an important aspect for achieving better health care of the people. About two-thirds of the villages has medical facilities within 5 kms covering three-fifths of population.

### 2.11 Per capita monthly expenditure

2.11.1 An indicator of the level of living of the people is the per capita monthly expenditure. The proportion of the population by per capita monthly expenditure group is given in the Statement 12 separately for rural and urban areas. It is seen that 53 per cent of the rural population fall in the expenditure group Rs. 50 and below while
in the urban areas this proportion is 27 per cent. The population in the expenditure group Rs. 101 and over is 4 per cent in rural areas and 21 per cent in the urban areas. This brings out the rural-urban differentials in the level of living. Assuming a minimum per capita monthly expenditure of Rs. 50 in the rural areas and Rs. 60 in the urban areas as necessary for bare subsistence, the population below these limits are 53 per cent in the rural areas and 41 per cent in the urban areas.

STATEMENT 12
Per cent population by per capita monthly expenditure


## CHAPTER III

## SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN

### 3.1 Age composition

3.1.1 The composition of the population below 15 years by age and sex is analysed in this section. About 39 per cent of the total population consists of children below 15 years. Thus, two out of five persons in India are children below 15 years. The proportion of children below 15 years to total population by sex is given in Statement 13 along with figures from other sources.

STATEMENT 13
Per cent population below 15 years by sex

| Source |  |  | Per cent |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Male | Female | Person |
| - Census | 1951 | 38.22 | 38.63 | 38.40 |
| Census | 1961 | 40.57 | 41.63 | 41.03 |
| Census | 1971 | 42.31 | 42.19 | 42.02 |
| SRS | 1973 | 41.36 | 41.07 | 41.21 |
| SRS | 1976 | 39.52 | 39.66 | 39.58 |
| Survey | 1978 | 38.85 | 38.34 | 38.60 |

During the last two decades 1951-71, there was a great increase in the population resulting in greater proportion of children. Thus, between 1951 and 1971, the proportion of children below 15 years increased considerably. With the decline in fertility since 1971, the proportion of children below 15 years has shown a declining trend both in the case of males and females.
3.1.2 The rural-urban differentials in the population below 15 years by sex are described in the succeeding paras. The proportion of population below 15 years is more in the rural areas than in the urban areas for both males and females. To some extent this reflects the fertility differentials in the rural and urban areas. A comparison of figures available from census and SRS shows that there is a declining trend in the proportion of children below 15 years both in the rural and urban areas since 1971 indicating decline in fertility both in the rural and urban areas. The statement below gives the per cent population below 15 years by sex separately for rural and urban areas.

STATEMENT 14
Per cent population below 15 years by sex

| Source | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mule | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Census 1961 | 41.77 | 41.17 | 41.47 | 37.28 | 41.02 | 38.99 |
| Census 1971 | 42.99 | 42.52 | 42.76 | 37.53 | 40.77 | 39.02 |
| SRS 1973 | 42.25 | 41.61 | 41.93 | 37.78 | 38.77 | 38.26 |
| SRS 1976 | 40.89 | 39.85 | 40.29 | 35.85 | 37.15 | 36.46 |
| Survey 1978 | 39.56 | 38.62 | 39.10 | 35.74 | 37.64 | 36.36 |

The per cent distribution of children below 15 years by quinquennial age-groups is shown in Statement 15 separately for rural and urban areas. 35.per cent of the children below 15 years belong
to the age group 0-4 years. One-third of the total children is in the age-group 5-9 and 10-14 years.

## STATEMENT 15 <br> Per cent distribution of children below 15 years by age

| Age group | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| 0-4 | 35.14 | 35.22 | 35.18 | 33.40 | 34.05 | 33.72 | 34.85 | 35.01 | 34.93 |
| 5-9 | 32.20 | 32.65 | 32.42 | 33.79 | 33.57 | 33.68 | 32.47 | 32.81 | 32.63 |
| 10-14 | 32.66 | 32.13 | 32.40 | 32.81 | 32.38 | 32.60 | 32.68 | 32.18 | 32.44 |
| 0-14 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.03 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

### 3.2 Sex ratio

3.2.1 The sex ratio (males per 1000 females) of children below 15 years is shown in Statement 16 separately for rural and urban areas. The figures obtained from census and SRS are also
included in the statement. It is seen that there is an upward trend in the sex ratio of children below 15 years in the rural areas. A reverse trend is observed in the urban areas. The sex ratio of children below 15 years shows that there is preponderence of males.

## STATEMENT 16

Sex ratio of. children below 15 years

| Source | Rural | Urban | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census 1961 | 1053 |  | 1076 |
| Census 1971 | 1066 | 1073 | 1057 |
| SRS 1976 | 1073 | 1068 | 1067 |
| Survey 1978 | 1086 | 1047 | 1072 |
| $\cdot$ | $\because$ |  | 1079 |

### 3.3 Literacy and educational level

3.3.1 Information on literacy was collected for each individual in the household. The crude literacy rate among children below 15 years is presented in Statement 17 separately for rural and urban areas. The figures obtained from census are also given in the statement. It is seen that there is considerable increase in the literacy rate
both for males and females. Among male children 41.4 per cent are literate against 28.0 per cent recorded in 1971 census. Among female children the literacy rate is 29.4 against 17.8 per cent recorded in 1971 census. The rural-urban differentials are also noteworthy. In the rural areás among female children literacy is lagging behind as compared to urban areas.

STATEMENT 17
Per cent literates to total children below 15 years

| Source | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Malo | Femalo | Person | Male | Femalo | Person |
| Census 1961 | 16.2 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 24.5 | 20.0 | 22.4 | 17.6 | 9.3 | 13.6 |
| Census 1971. | 24.6 | 13.4 | 19.2 | 42.7 | 37.2 | 40.1 | 28.0 | 17.8 | 23.1 |
| Survey 1978 | 39.0 | 25.3 . | 32.4 | 53.5 | 48.6 | 51.1 | 41.4 | 29.4 | 35.6 |

3.3.2 Children below 5 years are treated as illiterates. The effective literacy rates among
children in the age-group 5-14 years are shown in Statement 18 separately for rural and urban areas.

STATEMENT 18
Per cent literates to total children in the age-group 5-14 years

| Source | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Pemale | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Census 1961 | 33.4 | 14.6 | 24.4 | 59.4 | 47.8 | 53.9 | 38.0 | 20.3 | 29.5 |
| Census 1971 | 37.4 | 20.8 | 29.5 | 63.4 | 56.1 | 59.6 | 42.3 | 29.5 | 35.2 |
| Survoy 1978 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 49.2 | 78.6 | 72.1 | 75.5 | 62.5 | 44.5 | 53.8 |

.. There has been considerable increase in the literacy rate both among male and female children. Female literacy is lagging bshind in the ru:al areas as compared to urban areas.
3.3.3 The proportion of children in the agegroup 5-14 by educational level is shown in Statement 19. It is seen that illiterates among children in the age-group 5-14 constitute 46 per cent. Of the children in the age-group 5-14 years 50 per
cent are illiterate in the rural areas and 25 per cent in the urban areas. Illiteracy among female children 5-14 is more in the rural areas. Sex differentials in the two categories of educational level, namely, literate but below primary and primary and above but below matric is more pronounced in the rural areas than in the urban areas. Literacy among female children in the agegroup 5-14 years is lagging behind in the rural areas.

## STATEMENT 19

Per cent distribution of children in age-group 5-14 by educational level

| Rural/ <br> Urban/ <br> Total | Male/ <br> Female/ <br> Person | Iliterates | Literates but below primary | Primary \& above but below matric | Matric \& above | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | Male | 40.85 | 45.85 | 13.19 | 0.11 |  |
|  | Female | 61.52 | 30.98 | 7.45 | 0.11 0.05 |  |
|  | Person | 50.75 | 38.72 | 10.45 | 0.08 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.00 \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Urban | Male <br> Femalo <br> Person | 21.36 | 52.67 | 25.56 | 0.41 |  |
|  |  | 27.86 | 48.98 | 22.76 | 0.41 0.40 |  |
| - |  | 24.52 | 50.88 | 24.20 | 0.40 0.40 | 100.00 100.00 |
| Total | Male <br> Female <br> Person | 37.48 | 47.03 | 15.33 |  |  |
|  |  | 55.55 | 34.17 | 10.16 | 16 |  |
|  |  | 46.16 | 40.85 | 10.16 12.85 | 0.12 | $100.00$ |

### 3.4 Sehool going children

3.4.1 A question was asked in the schedule regarding "attending school" in the case of
children in the age-group 4-14 years. The statement on next page gives the per cent children attending school by broad age-groups separately for rural and urban areas.

STATEMENT 20
Per cent children attending school by age

| Age-group | Rursi |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Peason | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| 5-9 | 52.03 | 36.83 | 44.69 | 76.09 | 70.88 | 73.55 | 56.27 | 42.91 | 49.81 |
| 10-14 | 63.75 | 36.20 | 50.65 | 83.19 | 73.93 | 78.70 | 67.05 | 42.83 | 55.50 |
| 5-14 | 57.93 | 36.51 | 47.67 | 79.59 | 72.38 | 76.08 | 61.68 | 42.87 | 52.64 |

It is seen that about 50 per cent of the children aged 5-9 years and about 56 par cent in the age-group 10-14 years are attending school. Among male children in the age-group 5-14 years, 62 per cent of the total male childien is attending school. In the case of female children, the percentage is only 43. The proportion of children of both sexes attending school is more in the urban areas than in the rural areas. The proportion of female children attending school in the rural areas is considerably lower than that of urban areas. The per cent children attending school by single year age is shown in Table 12 (Appendix).

### 3.5 Religion

3.5.1 Statement 21 presents per cent children below 15 years to total population by religion. The highest proportion of children below 15 years is recorded among Muslims and the lowest among Sikhs both in rural and urban areas. Children below 15 years constitute 42 per cent of the total Muslim population and 35 per cent among the Sikhs.

STATEMENT 21
Per cent children below 15 years by religion

| Religion | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Hindu | 39.32 | 38.40 | 38.87 | 34.81 | 36.07 | 35.41 | 38.57 | 38.02 | 38.31 |
| Muslim | 42.40 | 41.73 | 42.07 | 39.95 | 41-62 | 40.76 | 41.63 | 41.69 | 41.66 |
| Christian | 38.43 | 37.84 | 38.13 | 34.14 | 34.30 | 34.22 | 37.58 | 37,12 | 37.36 |
| Sixh | 37.70 | 35.22 | 36.54 | 33.44 | 33.05 | 33.25 | 37.02 | 34.86 | 36.01 |
| All religoins | 39.56 | 38.62 | 39.10 | 35.74 | 37.04 | 36.36 | 38.85 | 38.34 | 38.60 |

3.5.2 The per cent distribution of the population below 15 years by religion is shown in Statement 22. About 83.4 per cent of the children below 15 years is Hindu, while 11.5 per cent is Muslim. The proportion of children among Hindus in the rural areas is more than that in
urban areas, for both sexes. Among Muslims the proportion in the urban area is nearly double that in the rural areas. For the remaining religious. groups the proportion of children is below 2 per cent.

STATEMENT 22
Per cent distribution of children below 15 years by religion

| Religion | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Hındu | 85.5 | 85.6 | 85.6 | 73.5 | 72.9 | 73.2 | $83.5$ | 83.4 | 83.4 |
| M uslim | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 20.3 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Christian | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Sikh | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Others | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| All religions | 100.0 | $100.0$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

3.5.3 The differential in regard to the proportion of children in the age-group 5-14 years attending school by religion is examined in the following paragraph. Among Hindus, 61.5 par cent of male children and 41.8 per cent of female children are attending school whereas the percentages among Muslims are 57.9 and 40.2 respectively. The highest proportion of children attending school is among Christians. Rural-urban differential exists, the urban proportion being more than
that of rural for each religion. Among Hindus and Muslims, the proportion of female children attending school is considerably lower than that of male children especially in the rural areas. Female children among Hindus and Muslims in the rural areas still seem to be in a disadvantageous position in regard to school education probably due to social customs. The Statement 23 presents the per cent children by quinquennial age-groups attending school by religion.

STATEMENT 23
$\mathcal{S e r}_{\text {Per cent children in the age-group 5-14 attending school by religion }}$

| Agegroup | Religion | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Fernale | Person |
| 5-9 | Hindu | 54.2 | 36.2 | 44.3 | 78.4 | 73.8 | 76.2 | 55.9 | 42.0 | 49.2 |
|  | Muslim | 48.2 | 31.5 | 39.3 | 66.9 | 56.8 | 62.0 | 52.9 | 38.6 | 46.4 |
|  | Christian | 72.1 | 61.3 | 65.4 | 93.9 | 83.5 | 88.6 | 74.6 | 65.7 | 70.0 |
|  | Sikh | 70.4 | 64.8 | 66.1 | 95.8 | 84.0 | 90.6 | 71.4 | 67.4 | 69.5 |
| 10-14 | Hindu | 63.7 | 35.2 | 50.1 | 85.3 | 76.5 | 81.0 | 67.0 | 41.6 | 54.9 |
|  | Muslim | 59.1 | 32.0 | 46.1 | 72.8 | 60.2 | 66.6 | 63.2 | 40.9 | 52.4 |
|  | Christian | 71.6 | 68.1 | 69.9 | '89.9 | 87.4 | 88.7 | 75.1 | 71.8 | 75.5 |
|  | Sikh | 72.8 | 61.0 | 67.8 | 85.9 | 84.6 | 85.3 | 74.6 | 64.7 | 70.3 |
| 5-14 | Hindu | 57.8 | 35.7 | 47.2 | 81.8 | 71.1 | 78.6 | 61.5 | 41.8 | 52.0 |
|  | Muslim | 52.6 | 31.7 | . 42.6 | . 68.1 | 58.5 | - 63.4 | 57.9 | 40.2 | 49.3 |
|  | Christian | 70.6 | 64.6 | 67.7 | 90.7 | 85.4 | 88.0 | 74.8 | -68.7 | 71.8 |
|  | Sikh | 71.7 | 62.9 | 67.0 | 86.2 | 84.3 | 85.3 | 73.1 | 66.0 | 69.9 |

- The per cent children 5-14 by single year age and by religion is given in Table-13 (Appendix).


### 3.6 Scheduled caste/tribe

3.6.1 Information on scheduled caste/tribe was collected in respect of the head of the house-
hold only. The per cent children below 15 years by scheduled caste/scheduled tribe is given in the following statement. Among scheduled castes and scheduled tribes, children below 15 years constitute about 40 : per cent of the population of the scheduled caste and scheduled tribe.

STATEMENT 24
Per cent children below 15 years to total children by scheduled caste/tribe

| Caste | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Malc | Female | Person |
| Schoduled caste | 40.1 | 38.8 | 39.5 | 38.9 | 40.0 | 39.4 | 39.9 | 39.0 | 39.5 |
| Scheduled tribe | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 38.8 | 40.1 | 39.4 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 |
| Non-Scheduled caste/tribe | 39.4 | 38.4 | 38.9 | 35.4 | 36.7 | 36.0 | 38.6 | 38.1 | 38.3 |
| All classes | 39.6 | 38.6 | 39.1 | 35.7 | 37.0 | 36.4 | $\because^{38.8}$ | 38.3 | 38.6 |

3.6.2 The per cent distribution of children below 15 years by scheduled caste/tribe is presented in Statement 25. About 15 per cent of the children below 15 years belongs to scheduled
caste and 8 per cent scheduled tribe. In regard to scheduled caste/tribe, the rural-urban differential exists, the proportion of children in the rural areas is more than that of urban areas.

STATEMENT 25
Per cent distribution of children below 15 years by Scheduled casteltribe

| Caste | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Scheduled caste | 16.02 | 15.56 | 15.80 | 10.06 | 10.08 | 10.06 | 15.01 | 14.61 | 14.82 |
| Scheduled tribe | 9.23 | 10.02 | 9.61 | 1.17 | 1.25 | 1.22 | 7.87 | 8.49 | 8.17 |
| Non-scheduled caste/tribe | 74.75 | 74.42 | 74.59 | 88.77 | 88.72 | 88.72 | 77.12 | 76.90 | 77.01 |
| All classes | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100. 0 |

3.6.3 The proportion of children in the agegroup 5-14 attending school among scheduled caste and scheduled tribe is an indicator of the progress of education among these groups and is shown in Statement 26 separately for rural and urban areas. In the age-group 5-14, among scheduled caste/tribe, only one-third of the children is attending school. In the case of non-sche-
duled caste/tribe, the proportion attending school is about three-fifths. Especially in the rural areas the proportion of children attending school among scheduled caste/tribe is comparatively low as compared to urban areas. The sex differential among scheduled caste/tribe in the rural areas is more pronounced, the female proportion being half as that of males.

STATEMENT 26
Per.cent children 5-14 years attending school by scheduled caste/tribe

| Age-group | p Casto | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | Scheduled caste | 39.53 | 25.60 | 33.12 | 62.96 | 53.37 | 57.84 | 42.22 | 28.94 | 36.07 |
|  | Scheduled tribe | 37.95 | 22.83 | 30.13 | 52.52 | 57.68 | 55.72 | 38.37 | 23.82 | 30.84 |
|  | Non-scheduled caste/tribe | e 56.47 | 40.99 | 48.99 | 77.86 | 73.14 | 75.57 | 60.81 | 47.58 | 54.41 |
| 10-14 | Scheduled caste | 48.69 | 21.65 | 36.13 | 71.90 | 52.55 | 62.49 | 51.26 | 25.34 | 39.16 |
|  | Scheduled tribe | 48.31 | 22.20 | 35.65 | 66.74 | 43.12 | 55.79 | 48.77 | 22.69 | 36.13 |
|  | Non-scheduled caste/tribe | c 69.00 | 41.08 | 55.70 | 84.64 | 76.64 | 80.76 | 72.09 | 48.30 | 60.71 |
| 5-14 | Scheduled caste | \% 44.06 | 23.59 | 34.62 | 67.28 | 52.46 | 60.10 | 46.67 | 27.15 | 37.60 |
|  | Scheduled tribo | 43.43 | 22.52 | 32.96 | 60.35 | 51.17 | 55.75 | 43.87 | 23.26 | 33.55 |
|  | Non-scheduled caste/tribe | 62.76 | 41.03 | 52.34 | 81.21 | 74.87 | 78.12 | 66.45 | 23.26 47.94 | 33.55 57.54 |

The proportion of children $5-14$ by single year age and by scheduled caste/scheduled tribe is given in Table 14 (Appendix).

### 3.7 Workers among children

3.7.1 The extent of child labour and the occupational pattern are described here. The participation rates among children below 15 years is presented in Statement 27 separately for rural and urban areas. Among children, 4 per cent in the rural areas and 1.6 per cent in the urban areas are workers. In the rural areas 4.6 per cent
of male children and 3.5 per cent of female children are workers. In the urban areas workers among female children account for one per cent as against two per cent in the case of males. A comparison of the figures as recorded in 1971 census indicates that the participation rate has fallen by about one per cent. With the increase in the literacy rate and educational opportunities, it is probable that the proportion of child labour is likely to fall. Among male children in the rural areas, the reduction in the per cent child labour is significant.

STATEMENT 27
Participation rates among children

| Source |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| Census | 1971 | 7.52 | 2.96 | 5.31 | 2.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Survey | 1978 | 4.55 | 3.48 | 4.04 | 2.11 |  |  | 6.64 | 2.57 | 4.67 |
|  |  |  |  | 4.04 | 2.11 | 0.99 | 1.56 | 4.13 | 3.05 | 3.61 |

3.7.2 The occupational distribution of workers among children below 15 years is shown in Table 15 (Appendix). Of the workers among children, 78 per cent is engaged in occupations as farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers and related workers (Division 6). The occupation division 7, 8 \& 9 (Production and related workers, trans-
port equipment oparators and labourers) accounts for 13 per cent. A comparison with occupation pattern of 1971 census indicates a shift from the primary sector to the secondary sector. But still the major proportion of workers among children is in the primary sector.
3.8 Composition of children below 15 years by per capita monthly household expenditure
3.8.1 An important indicator of the level of living is the proportion of children in relation to
per capita monthly household expenditure. The per cent children below 15 years classified by per capita expenditure groups is shown in the Statement below separately for rural and urban areas.

STATEMENT 28
Per cent children below 15 years by per capita monthly expenditure groups

|  | Per cent children below 15 years |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per capita expendi- <br> ture group (Rs.) | Rural | Urban | Total |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.10 | 2.81 | 3.85 |
| $31-40$ | 8.16 | 3.02 | 7.23 |
| $41-50$ | 9.69 | 5.39 | 8.90 |
| $51-60$ | 7.10 | 5.35 | 6.78 |
| $61-70$ | 4.52 | 5.06 | 4.63 |
| $71-80$ | 2.48 | 4.25 | 2.80 |
| $81-90$ | 1.30 | 2.89 | 1.59 |
| $91-100$ | 0.73 | 2.10 | 0.97 |
| $101-150$ | 0.89 | 3.90 | 1.44 |
| $151+$ | 0.16 | 1.59 | 0.41 |
| All groups | 39.10 | 36.36 | 38.60 |

In the rural areas 55 per cent of the total children below 15 years is in the expenditure group below Rs. 51. Only three per cent of the children belongs to the expenditure group Rs. 101 and above. In the urban areas 45 per cent of the population in the age group below 15 years is in the expenditure group below Rs. 61 and 15 per cent in the higher expenditure group

Rs. 101 and above. Assuming a minimum per capita monthly household expenditure of Rs. 50 in the rural areas and Rs. 60 in the urban areas foi meeting the bare necessities of life, it is seen that about 55 per cent of the children below 15 years in the rural areas and 45 per cent in the urban areas fall below the subsistence level.

## CHAPTER IV

## FERTILITY TRENDS AND DIFFERENTIALS

4.1.1 Fertility is one of the main components of population growth. The last two decades have been periods of rapid growth of population as a result of a steep decline in mortality. In the present context of economic and social planning, there is an increasing need for a detailed analysis of the fertility pattern and differentials by socio-economic variables. With the acceptance of family welfare as a means to regulate the growth of population it has become all the more necessary to focus attention on fertility.
4.1.2 Most of the studies on fertility in the past were fragmentary in nature and were restricted to local areas and as such did not provide firm estimates at the state and national level. Information on both current and historical fertility started to be collected on a nation-wide scale in the National Sample Surveys (NSS) in 1951. During 1958-68, population surveys became an integral part of the annual rounds but were discontinued. A comprehensive survey on population, fertility, family planning and mortality was introduced in the 28th Round of NSS (1973-74) to be repeated every five years. Another important source which provides fertility measures on a current and continuous basis is the sample registration system (SRS) initiated by the Office of the Registrar General, India with the objective of providing reliable estimates of the vital rates at the state and national level. A country wide fertility survey was conducted in 1972 to provide fertility differentials by socio-economic groups. The survey has yielded valuable information on fertility differentials. The survey on infant. and child mortality also provides fertility differentials by socio-economic factors. These are analysed in the succeding paragraphs.

### 4.2 Crude birth rate

4.2.1 The crude birth rate provides a measure of fertility. The birth rates as derived from censuses and SRS are presented in Statement
29. The birth rate in the beginning of the century was 50 per 1000 population. The present level of the birth rate as revealed by the suriey is 32.5 pr 1000 population for 1978 . There has tren :a strop decline in fertility since the beginnite of the century. The massive family welfare programume launched during mid-sixties and intensitiod durill: mid-seventies must have contributed towants his reduction in fertility.

STATEMENT 29
Crude birth rate, India, 1901-永

| Source | Period | Birth rate (per $10(x) 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Census | 1901-11 | 40,2 |
|  | 1911-21 | 464 |
|  | 1921-31 | 45.: |
|  | 1931-41 | 4.2 |
| . | 1941-51 | 30.0 |
|  | 1951-61 | 41.7 |
|  | 1961-71 | 41.1 |
| *SRS | 1970 | 30.5 |
|  | 1971 | 30.0 |
|  | 1972 | 30.0 |
|  | 1973 | 3.4.4 |
|  | 1974 | 34.5 |
| . | 1975 | 35.2 |
|  | 1976 | 3.4 .1 |
|  | 1977 | 32.0 |
|  | 1978 | 3,3.2 |
| *Survey | 1978** | 3:3 |

[^0]4.2.2 The rural-urban differentials the level of fertility are shown in Statement 3. The figures presented here are based on SRS dalit
for the period 1970-78 using a three-years moving average. It is seen that there is a declining trend in the birth rate both in the rural and urban areas
since 1970. The birth rate in the urban areas is lower than that in rural areas.
_ STATEMENT 30
Crude birth rate, 1970-78

| Period | Rural | Urban | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1970-72$ | 38.7 | 30.2 | 37.2 |
| $1971-73$ | 37.7 | 30.0 | 36.3 |
| $1972-74$ | 36.7 | 29.4 | 35.3 |
| $1973-75$ | 36.2 | 28.6 | 34.8 |
| $1974-76$ | 36.1 | 28.4 | 34.4 |
| $1975-77$ | 35.6 | 28.5 | 34.2 |
| $1976-78$ | 34.9 | 27.5 | 33.3 |

### 4.3 Other fertility measures

4.3.1 Some of the refined measures of fertility are the general fertility, total fertility and
gross. reproduction rates. These indicators are shown in the statement below along with figures from Fertility Survey (1972) and SRS (1976).

## STATEMENT 31

Fertility indicators

| indicators |  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1972 | 1976 | 1978 | 1972 | 1976 | 1978 |
| General fertility rate (GFR) |  | 174 | 157 | 137 | 134 | 117 | 104 |
| Total fertility (TF) |  | 5.8 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| Gross reproduction rate (GRR) | - | 2.75 | 2.45 | 2.24 | 2.07 | 1.73 | 1.59 |

All these measures of fertility indicate that there is a decline in fertility since 1972 both in the rural and urban areas.
4.3.2 The age-fertility curve is of paramount importance in determining the pattern of child bearing. The shape of the fertility curve is influenced by many factors some of which are; (i) age at marriage; (ii) mean interval between marriage and each successive births;(iii) the extent to which birth control is practised; (iv) incidence of sterility among women; (v) proportion of
married women in different age groups. In countries where fertility is uncontrolled, the frequency of child bearing is spread over the entire reproductive period. The older women in the reproductive age group also contribute a sizeable proportion of fertility. The age specific fertility rates indicate a consistent decline in fertility in each of the reproductive age groups as compared to 1972 and 1976. In the age group 30 and above there is reduction in fertility as compared to 1972.

STATEMENT 32
Age specific fertility rate 1972, 1976 \& 1978

| Age group | Rural |  | * | Usban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1972 | 1976 | 1978 | 1972 | 1976 | 1978 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 15-19 | 97.5 | 83.0 | 72.7 | 52.2 | 64.6 | 41.9 |
| 20-24 | 273.5 | 260.2 | 237.7 | 220.6 | 213.7 | 192.1 |
| 25-29 | 283.4 | 250.8 | 240.7 | 247.3 | 197.5 | 190.5 |
| 30-34 | 227.2 | 190.9 | 167.9 | 173.4 | 133.9 | 133.4 |
| 35-39 | 151.2 | 126.3 | 115.0 | 108.2 | 73.6 | 70.0 |
| 40-44 | 82.7 | 58.9 | 52.3 | 43.3 | 28.9 | 24.1 |
| 45-49 | 23.7 | 17.3 | 24.9 | 13.0 | 8.3 | 6.8 |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.4 Cumulative per c̀ent fertility

4.4.1 The cumulative per cent fertility is defined as the ratio of the age-specific fertility rate to total fertility multiplied by 100 . The cumulative per cent fertility is presented in Statement 33 separately for rural and urban areas. For purposes of comparison, the figures as obtained from the Fertility Survey (1972) are also presented. About 57 per cent of fertility was contributed by women below 30 years in the rural areas in 1972 whereas in 1978 the corresponding proportion is about 61
per cent. There is thus a slight shift in the fertility pattern. A larger proportion of births take place to women in the younger age group, thereby reducing higher order births. The pattern of fertility remains the same as observed in SRS for 1976. The rural-urban differential is noteworthy. In the urban areas a larger proportion of births occurs to women in the younger age group as compared to rural areas. This in effect produces reduction in the higher order births as compared to rural areas.

STATEMENT 33
Cumulative per cent fertility, 19721976 \& 1978

|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | 1972 | 1976 | 1978 | 1972 | 1976 | 1978 |
| 15-19 | 8.54 | 8.78 | 7.98 | $6.06{ }^{-}$ | 8.96 | 6.36 |
| 20-24 | 32.40 | 35.20 | 34.06 | 31.70 | 38.62 | 35.52 |
| 25-29 | 56.97 | 60.31 | 60.47 | 60.61 | 66.03 | 64.45 |
| 30-34 | 76.87 | 79.57 | 78.89 | 80.89 | 84.62 | 84.70 |
| 35-39 | 89.90 | 92.31 | 91.51 | 93.47 | 94.44 | 95.32 |
| 40-44 | 97.21 | 98.25 | 97.27 | 98.60 | 98.85 | 98.97 |
| 45-49 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

### 4.5 Marital fertility rates

4.5.1 Another measure of fertility is the marital fertility rates. The general marital fertility rates (GMFR) and the total marital fertility
(TMF) are presented in Statement 34. The figures as obtained from the Fertility Survey (1972) are also given. It is seen that marital fertility rates have declined as compared to 1972 both in the rural and urban areas.

STATEMENT 34
Marital fertility rates, 1972 \& 1978

| Indicators | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1972 | 1978 | 1972 | 1978 |
| GMFR | 190.8 6.8 | 175.9 5.6 | 172.9 6.0 | 147.9 |

4.5.2 The age-specific.marital fertility rates show that there is decline in fertility in each of the reproductive age groups as compared to 1972. The decline in fertility in the age group 30 years and above is well marked in the rural areas. It indicates that there is reduction in the higher order births as compared to 1972.

STATEMENT 35
Age specific marital fertility rates, 1972 \& 1978

| Age groups | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1972 | 1978 | 1972 |  | 1978 |
| 15-19 | 211.5 | 181.1 | 220.6 |  | 192.2 |
| 20-24 | 312.9 | 287.6 | 312.6 |  | 283.2 |
| 25-29 | 302.8 | 255.8 | 284.3 |  | 214.0 |
| 30-34 | 248.8 | 177.6 | 201.2 |  | 141.9 |
| 35-39 | 170.1 | 124.4 | 123.7 |  | 76.4 |
| 40-44 | 94.5 | 60.3 | 52.2 |  | 28.1 |
| 45-49 | 32.4 | 31.4 | 15.5 |  | 8.7 |

### 4.6 Birth order

4.6.1 The per cent distribution of births by order of births is a useful indicator for determining the pattern of family building habits. Statement 36 presents the per cent births by order of births. It is seen that in the rural areas over one-fifth of the total births is accounted by first order births. About three-fifths of the total births relates to third and
below birth order. In the urban areas one-fourth of the total births is first birth order. A comparison with the figures obtained from fertility survey shows that there is considerable reduction in the higher order births both in the rural and urban areas in 1978. The pattern of reproduction is such that a . greater proportion of births occur to younger women having the third birth order and below,both in the rural and urban areas as compared to 1972.

STATEMENT 36
Distribution of live births by order of births

| Year | Rural/ Urban | Order of brith |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 or more | All |
| 1972 | Rural | 19.85 | 17.44 |  | 15.70 | 13.77 | 11.24 | 22.00 | 100.00 |
| 1978 | Rural | 23.46 | 20.94 |  | 17.15 | 13.65 | 9.65 | 15.24 | 100.00 |
| 1972 | Urban | 21.60 | 18.22 |  | 16.19 | 14.45 | 9.52 | 20.02 | 100.00 |
| 1978 | Urban | 25.31 | 23.53 | - | 17.83 | 12.38 | 7.68 | 13.27 | 100.00 |

### 4.7 Fertility differentials religion

4.7.1 The crude birth rate (CBR) and other fertility indicators by religion are shown in the statement below. It is observed that Muslims
have the highest fertility and the lowest is recorded among Christians both in the rural and urban areas. Fertiity in the urban areas is rower than! that of rural areas for each religious group.

STATEMENT 37
Fertility indicators by religion, 1978

| Religion | Rural/Urban | CBR | GFR | GMFR | TF | TMF | GRR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hindu | Rural | 32.8 | 134.6 |  | 171.1 | 4.46 | 5.42 |
|  | Urban | 26.3 | 99.3 | 140.8 | 3.09 | 4.47 | 2.64 |
|  | Rural | 35.2 | 149.5 | 191.5 | 5.03 | 6.06 | 2.13 |
|  | Urban | 30.3 | 121.4 | 147.7 | 3.94 | 5.39 | 2.89 |
|  | Rural | 26.7 | 104.1 | 157.7 | 3.50 | 5.39 | 2.55 |
| Sikh | Urban | 24.6 | 66.0 | 107.4 | 2.09 | 4.81 | 2.45 |
|  | Rural | 28.0 | 117.9 | 174.9 | 3.80 | 5.79 | 2.24 |
|  | Urban | 26.8 | 99.4 | 152.7 | 3.10 | 5.43 | 2.62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.46. |  |

4.7.2 The age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by religion is given in Table 16 (Appendix). It is seen that fertility among Muslims in the higher age group 30 and above is more than among other religious group, indicating thereby a larger proportion of higher order births both in the rural and urban areas. As compared to other religious group, Christians have a smaller proportion of higher order births indicating thereby lower fertility to women aged 30 years and over.

## Scheduled caste/tribe

4.7.3 The fertility differentials by scheduled
caste/tribe are examined here. The crude birth rate, the general and marital fertility indicators are given in the statement below. The birth rate among scheduled caste is more than that among scheduled tribe in the rural areas. In the urban areas the crude birth rate among scheduled tribes slightly exceeds that of scheduled caste. As regards other indicators of fertility, among scheduled tribes fertility is lower than that among scheduled caste in the rural areas. There appears to be no significant difference in the level of fertility between scheduled castes and scheduled tribes in the urban areas.

STATEMENT 38
Fertility indicator by scheduled casteltribe

| Caste | Rural/Urban | CBR | GFR | GMFR | TF | TMF | GRR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scheduled Caste | Rural | 33.8 | 141.2 | 173.1 | 4.67 | 5.49 | 2.65 |
|  | Urban | 32.3 | 125.0 | 166.7 | 4.02 | 5.15 | 2.49 |
| Scheduled Tribe | Rural | $32.1-$ | 125.7 | 166.8 | 4.12 | 5.42 | 2.58 |
|  | Urban | 32.9 | 116.4 | 162.2 | 3.66 | 5.42 | 2.82 |
| Non -Scheduled | Rural | 32.8 | 134.1 | 172.7 | 4.46 | 5.46 | 2.65 |
| Caste/Tribe | Urban | 26.8 | 99.4 | 143.1 | 3.11 | 4.54 | 2.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.7.4 The age specific fertility and marital fertility are shown in Table 17 (Appendix). There appears to be no major change in the pattern of fertility among scheduled castes and scheduled tribes.

## Literacy level of woman

4.7.5 One of the factors influencing fertility is the level of education. The general marital fertility rate, the total fertility and marital
fertility rate are given in the statement below. With the increase in the level of education, the fertility indicators show a declining trend. Thus the level of education appears to influence fertility. The general marital fertility rate is influenced by the age distribution of married wome $n$ by level of education and does not exhbit any firm trend. The standardised general fertility rate by level of education eliminates the variation due to age distribution.

STATEMENT 39
Fertility indicator by level of education

| Level of education | Rural/Urban | GFR | GMFR | TF | TMF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | Rural | 140.8 | 170.1 | 4.73 | 5.54 |
|  | Urban | 121.9 | 149.3 | 4.15 | 4.49 |
| Literate but below | Rural | 118.0 | 173.8 | 3.39 | 4.95 |
| Primary | Urban | 109.8 | 142.6 | 3.13 | 4.49 |
| Primary but below | Rural | 103.4 | 206.6 | 3.35 | 4.92 |
| Matric | Urban | 83.8 |  | 144.1 | 3.61 |
| Matric \& above | Rural | 109.7 | 183.0 | 3.50 | 4.9 |
|  | Urban | 73.8 | 140.4 | 3.20 | 3.75 |
| Literate \& above | Rural | 109.7 | 183.0 | 142.6 | 2.60 |
|  | Urban | 89.2 |  |  | 4.96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

4.7.6 The age specific fertility and marital frrtility rates by level of education is shown in Table 18 (Appendix). The fertility pattern indicates that in the case of illiterates, a greater proportion of fertility is contributed by older women in the age group 30 years and over. This indicates that with the increase in the level of education, higher order births get reduced especially in the urban areas.

## Age at marriage

4.7.7 Information on age at effective marriage of the woman was collected in the survey. The general marital fertility rate and total marital fertility were computed by age at marriage. These indicators are presented in Statement 40 . The general marital fertility is affected by the age distribution of married women and as such does not indicate any firm trend.

STATEMENT 40
Fertility indicators by age at marriage

| Age at marriage | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GMFR | TMF | GMFR | TMF |
| Below 18 | 170.1 | 5.45 | 144.8 | 4.82 |
| 18-20 | 175.6 | 5.10 | 154.1 | 4.08 |
| 21-23 | 193.3 | 5.02 | 163.1 | 3.66 |
| 24 \& over | 154.0 | 3.36 | 122.5 | 2.28 |

4.7.8 The age specific marital fertility rates by age at marriage is given in Table 19 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas. In the case of age at marriage below 18 years, there is a sizeable proportion of fertility in the age group 15-19. The contribution of fertility arising from the age group $15-19$ gets reduced with the increase in the age at marriage. The pattern of fertility curve changes with the increase in the age at marriage.

## Occupation of woman

4.7.9 The fertility differential by occupation of the woman is examined in this para. The occupations considered are : related workers

```
Division 5 -Service workers
```

Division 5 -Service workers
Division 6 -Farmers, hunters, loggers and
Division 6 -Farmers, hunters, loggers and
related workers

```
                                related workers
```

> Division $7,8 \& 9-$ Production and related workers; transport equipment operators and labourers.

Other occupations are not considered due to small sample size. The general fertility and marital fertility rates, the total fertility and marital fertility are given in the statement below. In the case of rural areas, fertility among women engaged in agriculture is more than that of those in other occupational groups. In the urban areas total marital fertility among service workers is more than that of those in other groups. Fertility among workers and non-workers indicates higher fertility among non-workers both in the rural and urban areas.

STATEMENT 41
Fertility indicators by occupation, 1978

| Division Code | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GFR | G MFR | TF | TMF | GFR | GMFR | TF | TMF |
| 5 | 79.1 | 125.3 | 2.79 | 3.93 | 71.4 | 130.1 | 2.64 | 5.10 |
| 6 | 117.4 | $152 \cdot 3$ | 4.01 | 5.03 | 82.3 | 118.8 | 3.07 | 4.23 |
| 7,8\&9 | 90.3 | 90.3 | 2.98 | 4.32 | 73.0 | 120.3 | 2.49 | 4.35 |
| All workers | 1128 | 149.6 | 3.84 | 4.92 | 69.6 | 113.4 | 2.33 | 4.20 |
| Non-workers | 143.6 | 181.3 | 4.75 | 5.68 | 106.4 | 149.5 | 3.32 | 4.65 |

4.7.10 The age specific general fertility and marital fertility rates by occupation of the woman are shown in Table 20 (Appendix). In the case of rural areas, fertility among non-workers in the older age group 30 years and over is more than that of workers indicating a greater proportion of higher order births among non-workers.

Per capita monthly expenditure
4.7.1 The relation between fertility and economic level measured by the per capita monthly expenditure is brought out in the statement below. The crude birth rate and the marital ferility indicate that there is reduction in fertility with the increase in the per capita monthly expenditure.

## STATEMENT 42

Fertility indicators by per capita monthly expenditure, 1978

| Expenditure group (Rs.) | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CBR | GMFR | TMF | CBR | GMFR | TMF |
| Below 50 | 36.8 | 194.3 | 6.18 | 33.0 | 191.9 | 5.97 |
| 51-100 | 29.0 | 150.1 | 4.77 | 27.4 | 149.3 | 4.65 |
| 101 \& abovo | 19.2 | 101,4 | 3.43 | 17.0 | 81.9 | 2.70 |

4.7.12 The age specific marital fertility rates by broad per capita monthly expenditure groups are given in Table 21 (Appendix). It is seen that the pattern of fertility in the higher expenditure group is such that higher order births are reduced os compered to lowe exponditure group both in the rural and urban areas. Thus, with the increase in the per capita monthly expenditure, fertility apparently gets reduced.

## Source of lighting

4.7.13 The crude birth rate by source of lighting is shown in the following statement ${ }^{\text {. }}$ separately for rural and uiban areas. This reveals that the birth rate is the lowest among the population availing of electric light both in the rural and urban areas. The use of electric light as the main source of lighting is an indicator of economic development. Thus, with the rise in the level of living, there is decline in the level of fertility.

## STATEMENT <br> 43

Crude birth rate by source of lighting, 1978

| Source of lighting | Rural | Urban | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric light |  |  |  |
| Oil Lamp | 27.8 | 24.8 | 26.1 |
| Lamp/lantern with chimney | 33.9 | 30.8 | 33.7 |
|  | 33.2 | 31.3 | 33.0 |

## inîrastructure facilities

4.7.14 The availability of social amenities is an important factor contributing to the economic and social development of the area. Thus the availability and easy accessibility of facilities like
water supply, transport and communication facilities, education and medical facilities are some of the basic needs of society. Fertility, measured by crude birth rate, in relation to the availability of such facilities is presented in the statement below.

## STATEMENT 44 <br> Crude birth rate by availability of social facilities

| Facilities | With |  |  | Without |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| Water supply | 29.6 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 33.7 | 28.7 | 33.5 |
| Bus stand | 30.8 | 24.4 | 29.8 | 33.7 | 28.2 | 32.6 |
| Railway station | 29.2 | 22.4 | 26.8 | 32.9 | 27.6 | 32.0 |
| High/Hr. Sec. School | 29.7 | 26.5 | 29.1 | 33.4 | 27.5 | 32.3 |
| Post Office | 31.9 | 26.9 | 31.4 | 33.5 | 27.4 | 32.1 |
| Medical facilities | 29.9 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 34.1 | 27.4 | 33.1 |

4.7.15 The statement supports the view that the birth rate is higher in areas where there are no basic facilities. The higher level of fertility in areas where social basic amenities are not eas:ly available is only an indicator of the backwardness
of the area. An attempt has also been made to study the effects of fertiity measured by crude birth rate by distance to the nearest available amenitics in Statement 45.

SATTEMENT 45
Crude birth rate by distance to the nearest available facility

| Facilities | Rural/Urban/ Total | Distance |  | . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Below 2 Kms | $2 \mathrm{Kms}-5 \mathrm{Kms}$ | $5 \mathrm{Kmk}-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | $10 \mathrm{Kms}+$ |
| Motorable road | Rural | 31.2 | 34.9 | 34.3 | 35.9. |
|  | Urban | 27.4 | 25.6 | 23.7 | ... |
|  | Total | 30.1 | 34.7 | 34.7 | ... |
| Higher Secondary/ | Rural | 29.9 | 32.1 | 35.8 | 35.3 |
| High School | Urban | 27.3 | 27.4 | 29.1 | 28.3 |
|  | Total | 28.8 | 31.8 | 35.8 | 35.1 |
| Medical facilities | Rural | 30.7 | 34.0 | 34.4 | 33.7 |
|  | Urban | 27.4 | 27.3 | 24.4 | 30.2 |
|  | Total | 29.5 | 33.3 | 34.3 | 33.7 |

4.7.16 The availability of motorable road is an index of economic progress. In the rural areas where the availability of motorable road is within 2 kms , the birth rate is 31.2 against 34.3 in areas where the facility exists within $5-10 \mathrm{kms}$. In the case of educational and medical facilities, the farther the areas are situated away from these facilities, the greater the birth rate in the rural areas. In the urban areas, as observed earlier, most of the facilities are available within 2 kms radius.

### 4.8 Type of medical attention at birth

4.8.1 The type of medical attention received
at birth is an important aspect which has a bearing on the level of mortality. The per cent distribution of live births by type of medical attention is presented in the Statement 46. In the rural areas, over 75 per cent of births is attended only by untrained medical practitioners and others while in the urban areas over one-third of the births do not receive any medical attention by trained practitioners. Thus, in the rural areas most of the births are domiciliary in nature and there is no medical attention of any description. A comparison with SRS figures for 1971 and 1976 is also presented.

STATEMENT 46
Per cent births by type of medical attention at birth

| Type of medical attention | Source |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SRS 1971 |  | SRS 1976 |  | Survey 1978 |  |
|  | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urb3n |
| Medical institutions | 7.8 | 32.2 | 10.7 | 38.7 | 15.6 | 46.9 |
| Trained medical practitioners | 11.3 | 25.5 | 12.1 | 24.8 | 15.6 8.1 | 46.9 |
| Untrained practitioners | 53.1 | 30.9 | 53.6 | 25.5 | 8.1 58.8 | 15.2 |
| Others | 27.8 | 12.4 | 23.4 | 10.9 | 58.8 17.5 | 25.0 12.9 |

Statement 47 presents per cent by type of medical attention at birth and by per capita monthly expenditure. In the urban areas a larger
proporition of births in the expenditure group Rs. 101 and over is attended by trained medical practitioners.

STATEMENT 47
Per cent births by type of medical attention at birth and by broad per capita monthly expenditure

| Type of medical attention | Per capita monthly expenditure (Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | 101 and over | All classes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $101+$ | All classes |
| Medical institutions | 14.24 | 1.33 | 15.57 | 36.94 | 10.01 | 46.95 |
| Trained medical practitioners | 7.64 | 0.45 | 8.09 | 13.42 | 1.79 | 15.21 |
| Untrained practitioners | 57.48 | 1.32 | 58.81 | 23.65 | 1.34 | 24.79 |
| Others | 16.78 | 0.75 | 17.53 | 10.80 | 2.05 | 12.85 |
| All classes | 96.14 | 3.86 | 100.00 | 84.81 | 15.19 | 100.00 |

### 4.9 Birth order by socio-economic variables

4.9.1 The per cent distribution of live births by order of births and by socio-economic variables has been examined. The characteristics considered are religion, level of education of the woman, per capita monthly expenditure of the household, occupation, present age and age at marriage of the woman and for scheduled caste/tribe. These are presented in Table 22 (Appendix). Some of the broad findings are indicated here.
4.9.2 In the rural areas among Hindus, the per cent births accounted by the first three birth orders is about 62 while among Muslims the percentage is 55 . Thus among Muslims a greater proportion of higher order births takes place as compared to Hindus. The pattern of child bearing among Sikhs indicates that a greater proportion of births occur to women having third or below birth order indicating reduction in higher order births as compared to other religious groups. A similar pattern is more or less observed in the urban areas. The proportion of births of higher order births among Muslims is greater than among other religious groups.
4.9.3 The level of education has a direct relationship with the pattern of child bearing. In the rural areas among illiterates, the proportion of births is the larg st in the higher order birth 4 and over. The least is recorded among those having an education level of primary and above. Thus, higher order births are reduced with the increase in the level of education. The pattern presented for urban areas is similar to that of rutal areas.
4.9.4 The per cent distribution of births by order of birth and by broad per capita monthly expenditure of the household shows that there is considerable reduction in higher order births with the increase in the per capita expenditure both in the rural and urban areas. Thus, the economic status of the household judged by per capita monthly expenditure is an important factor, which influences fertility. By raising the standard of living, the local of fertility gets reduced.
4.9.5 The per cent births by birth order and broad age groups of woman is indicated in Statement 48. It is seen that over two-fifths of the total births is accounted for by women below 25
years in the rural areas and about 45 per cent in the urban areas. Women 30 years and over contribute about one-third of the total births in the rural areas of which about 90 per cent is higher order births of fourth and over. In the urban areas about one-fourth of the total births is accounted by women aged 30 years and over of
which about 80 per cent is higher order births of $4^{1}$ and over. Reduction in fertility is achieved only if births to women 30 years and over having a birth order of four and over are voided. It would also be: necessary to analyse the number of surviving: children these women have. This study has not. been attempted here.

## STATEMENT 48

Per cent live births by birth order and broad age-groups

| Age groups | Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ | All |
|  | RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 25 | 20.33 | 14.06 | 6.25 | 1.75 | 0.38 | 0.16 | 42.94 |
| 25-29 | 2.38 | 5.17 | 7.42 | 5.94 | 2,79 | 1.42 | 25.12 |
| 30 \& over | 0.76 | 1.70 | 3.48 | 5.96 | 6.38 | 13.67 | 31.94 |
| All ages | 23.45 | 20.94 | 17.16 | 13.65 | 9.56 | 15.34 | 100.00 |
|  | URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 25 | 20.36 | 14.84 | 6.61 | 1.67 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 44.15 |
| 25-29 | 4.24 | 6.69 | 7.91 | 6.02 | 2.89 | 1.75 | 29.50 |
| 30 \& over | 0.72 | 2.00 | 3.31 | 4.68 | 4.42 | 11.22 | 26.35 |
| All ages | 25.31 | 23.53 | 17.83 | 12.37 | 7.68 | 13.27 | 100.00 |

4.9.6 The per cent distribution of births by occupation of women indicates that in the occupational group (Division 6), namely, agriculture, the proportion of higher order births is higher than that of Division 7, $8 \& 9$ in the rural areas. A similar pattern is seen in the urban areas. The proportion of higher order births among workers is more than that among non-workers both in the rural and urban areas.
4.9.7 The per cent distribution of births by birth order among scheduled castes and scheduled tribes reveals that there is no appreciable difference in the proportion of higher order births in the rural areas. The larger proportion of births of order $3 \&$ below among scheduled tribes in the urban areas as compared to scheduled castes may be due to the fact that the scheduled tribes might not have been represented in the sample on account of the small proportion of such tribes living in the urban areas.
4.9.8 Another factor which influences fertility is the age at marriage. In the rural areas over
two-fifths of the total births relates to women: having a birth order of $4 \&$ above and age at marriage below 18 years. The proportion of higher order births of $4 \&$ over to women having agc at marriage below 18 years is about one-fourth. Thus with the increase in the age at marriage, the higher order births are reduced. Age at marriage has an influence in curtailing fertility. In the urban areas also a similar pattern is observed. There is considerable reduction in fertility when the age at marriage is increased to 21 years \& over.

### 4.10 Standardised general marital fertility

4.10.1 The general marital fertility indicators by socio-economic variables analysed in the earliert section are standardised with respect to a standard; population in order to even out the differences in regard to age structure. The all-India female; population in the age group $15-49$ has been taken as the standard population. The standardised general marital fertility rate by socio-economic factors are given in Statement 49.
STATEMENT 49 Standardised general marital fertility indicators, 1978

|  | Indicators <br> 1 | Rural 2 | Urban <br> 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) | Religion |  |  |
|  | Jindu | 169.6 | 140.8 |
|  | Muslim | 187.3 | 170,7 |
|  | Christian | 167.0 | 127.5 |
|  | Sikh | 181.0 | 163.9 |
| (ii) | Scheduled Caste/Tribe |  |  |
|  | Scheduled Caste | 170.8 | 163.4 |
|  | Scheduled Tribe | 167.4 | 164.3 |
|  | Non-Scheduled Caste/Tribe | 171.2 | 143.0 |
| (iii) | Education |  |  |
|  | Iliterate | 173.0 | 157.8 |
|  | Literate but below Primary | 155.2 | 142.7 |
|  | Primary but below Matric | 158.0 | 129.4 |
|  | Matric \& above | 141.4 | 117.9 |
| (iv) | Per capita monthly expenditure (Rs.) |  |  |
|  | 50 \& below | 192.0 | 187.0 |
|  | 51-100 | 150.4 | 148.9 |
|  | 101 and over | 107.1 | 87.6 |
| (v) | Age at marriage |  |  |
|  | Below 18 years | 170.9 | 150.0 |
|  | 18-20 | 162.2 | 139.7 |
|  | 21-23 | 159.5 | 132.3 |
|  | 24 and over | 104.8 | 80.8 |

The following observations emerge from the above statement. Muslims have higher fertility than other religious groups. The level of education is a factor in reducing fertility. Thus fertility declines with the increase in the level of education. The per capita monthly expenditure which reflects
the level of living has a direct influence on fertility. With the rise in the level of living judged by the increase in the per capita monthly expenditure, fertility gets reduced. The age at marriage has also an impact on fertility.

## CHAPTER V

## INFANT AND CHILD MORTALITY LEVELS AND DIFFERENTIALS

5.1.1 The conventional method of obtaining data on mortality is through the Civil Registration System which is still partly deficient in content and coverage. The National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO) had collected data on mortality in its different rounds during 1958-68 and also in the 28th Round during 1973-74. The Sample Registration System (SRS) provides mortality statistics on a current and continuous basis. It does not provide mortality estimates by socio-economic variables which influence mortality. No attempt has been made in the past to collect mortality data in relation to socio-economic variables which have an influence on the level of mortality. An attempt was therefore made to obtain mortality differentials by socio-economic groups through the survey on Infant and Child Mortality. The results obtained from the survey are presented in this chapter.

### 5.2 Levels of infant mortality

5.2.1 The level of infant mortality is often considered as an index of the health conditions and level of living of the people. It is broken into two components, namely, neo-natal mortality and postnatal mortality. The former relates to deaths within the first four weeks after birth. The level of neonatal mortality serves as an approximate index of that component of infant mortality which is attributable to endogenous factors while the level of postnatal mortality serves as an index of exogenous infant mortality. Infant mortality in the later months of the first year is amenable to environmental and medical controls than the mortality that occurs shortly after birth.
5.2.2 The trend in the level of infant mortality from the turn of century is presented in Statement 50 which provides data from various $s$ ources.

STATEMENT 50
Infant mortality rates, 1911-78

| Period | Infant mortality |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1911-1915$ | 204 |  |
| $1916-1920$ | 219 | year average) |
| $1921-1925$ | 174 | $"$ |
| $1926-1930$ | 178 | $"$ |
| $1931-1935$ | 174 | $"$ |
| $1936-1940$ | 161 | $"$ |
| $1941-1945$ | 161 | $"$ |
| $1946-1950$ | 134 | $"$ |
| $1951-1961$ | 146 (Acturial report) |  |
| $1961-1971$ | 129 | $"$ |
| 1970 | 129 | S.R.S. |
| 1971 | 129 | $"$ |
| 1972 | 139 | $"$ |
| 1973 | 134 | $"$ |
| 1974 | 126 | $"$ |
| 1975 | 140 | $"$ |
| 1976 | 129 | $"$ |
| 1977 | 129 | (Survey) |
| 1978 | 125 | $"$ |

The rates for the periods 1911-15 to 1941-45 are worked out by Kingsley Davis in 'Population; of India \& Pakistan'; for the period 1946-1950 by S. Chandrasekhar in 'Infant Mortality in India? 1900-1950'; for the period 1951-61 and 1961-71 by; Census Actuary; from 1970-76 from SRS which excludes Bihar and West Bengal.

Figures for 1977 and 1978 are from the Surved: which excludes Bihar and West Bengal. The figures for 1978 excluded events netted by enumerator if the SRS but missed by computor-supervisor if the survey.
5.2.3 The infant mortality that was prevailins, at the beginning of the century was over 200 pel 1000 live births which was abnormally high Famines, pestilance and diseases had becr ravaging the country frequently in the earlief
decades of the century. Over the decades, there has been considerable reduction in the level of infant mortality. The rapid advancement in medical science and improvement in the sanitary and public health conditions have brought down infant mortality. However, general mortality has declined faster than infant mortality. This is explained by the fact that while the general mortality has responded to the community health measures such as control of infectious and parasitic diseases, the reduction in infant mortality is largely linked with the availability of medical facilities to the expectant mothers in the rural areas in the ante-natal period and the extent of institutionalisation of births.
5.2.4 The rural-urban differentials in infant mortality are presented in Statement 51. The figures as obtained from SRS for the period 197077 are also given. Infant moitality in the rural areas is more than that in the urban areas where most of the health facilities are easily available. There has been no significant decline in the level of infant mortality during $19 / 0-78$ in the rural areas.

STATEMENT 51
Infant mortality, 1970-78

| Year | Rural | Urban | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 136 | 90 | 129 |
| 1971 | 138 | 82 | 129 |
| 1972 | 150 | 85 | 139 |
| 1973 | 143 | 89 | 134 |
| 1974 | 136 | 74 | 126 |
| 1975 | 151 | 84 | 140 |
| 1976 | 139 | 80 | 129 |
| 1977 | 142 | 67 | 129 |
| 1978 | 136 | 70 | 125 |

The figures for 1970-76 are obtained from SRS. Figures for 1977 and 1978 are from the survey. The figures for 1978 exclude events netted by enumerato:s in the SRS but missed by computorsupervisors in the Survey.
5.2.5 Infant mortality by sex is a key factor indicating the social customs and parental care given to infants of both sexes. The statement below gives infant mortality by sex from SRS as well as from the Survey.

STATEMENT 52
Infant mortality by sex

| Year | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Personis | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons |
| 1972 | 141 | 161 | 150 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 132 | 148 | 139 |
| 1973 | 141 | 144 | 143 | 88 | 90 | 89 | 132 | 135 | 134 |
| 1976 | 133 | 146 | 139 | 78 | 82 | 80 | 124 | 135 | 129 |
| 1977 | 134 | 149 | 142 | 69 | 65 | 67 | $\because 124$ | 134 | 129 |
| 1978 | 130 | 142 | 136 | 69 | 71 | 70 | ${ }^{\prime} 20$ | 131 | 125 |

It is seen that mortality among female infants is more than that among male infants, especially in the rural areas. A question can be asked whether the preference for a male child results in female infants not receiving as much care and nourishment as bestowed on the male infants. In
the case of uïban areas, the sex differential is not significant.
5.2.6 The components of infant mortality are presented in Statement 53.

STATEMENT 53
Neo-natal and post-natal mortality

| Year | Rural |  |  | Urb3n |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Neo-natal | Pust naxal | Infant mortality | Neo-natal | Post-natal | Infant mortality |
|  | 80 | 56 | 136 | 49 | 41 | 90 |
| 1970 | 80 | 53 | 13 | 47 | 35 | 82 |
| 1971 | 85 | 53 | 150 | 46 | 39 | 85 |
| 1972 | 80 | 70 | 15 | 49 | 31 | 80 |
| 1976 | 83 | 56 | 139 | 49 | 31 | - 67 |
| 1977 | 84 | 58 | 142 | 36 | 31 | 6 |
| 1978 | 76 | 60 | 136 | 42 | 28 | 70 |

In the rural areas the neo-natal mortality far exceeds the post-natal mortality. Neo-natal mortality in the rural areas is nearly double that in urban areas. The absence of proper medical care especially in the ante-natal period to the expectant mothers and the inadequate maternal and child care in the rural areas might be contributory factors for this high neo-natal mortality.

Post-natal mortality is also high in the rural areas as compared to urban areas.

### 5.3 Child mortality

5.3.1 The age specific death rate in the age group $0-4$ is a key indicator of general mortality. The age specific death rates as obtained from SRS and the survey are given in the statement below :

## STATEMENT 54

Age specific death rate 0-4 years

| Year | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons |
| 1970 | 55.1 | 61.0 | 58.1 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 50.5 | 55.3 | 52.9 |
| 1971 | 53.2 | 59.3 | 56.2 | 31.1 | 33.3 | 32.3 | 49.2 | 54.8 | 51.9 |
| 1973 | 53.1 | 60.8 | 56.8 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 31.4 | 48.9 | 56.0 | 52.3 |
| 1976 | 54.2 | 55.9 | 55.2 | 29.0 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 49.6 | 51.9 | 51.0 |
| . 1978 | 53.9 | 54.5 | 54.2 | 30.1 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 49.9 | 50.4 | 50.1 |

It is observed that child mortality among females is more than that among males. Child mortality in the rural areas is higher than that in urban areas indicating the need for increased facilities by way of additional hospitals, trained nurses and doctors through an integrated health, maternal and child welfare programmes.
5.3.2 An attempt was made to compile data from past records regarding the risk of dying at various ages among children born out of a given birth cohort. This presentation suffers from the limitation that all the child deaths have not been covered for past cohorts; yet the data indicate that female infants are at a disadvantage as compared to male infants.

STATEMENT 55
Risk of dying at various ages from an initial birth cohort of 1000 live births


### 5.4 Infant mortality differentials religion

5.4.1 The infant mortality rates for the two major religious groups Hindus and Muslims are presented in the statement below separately for rural and urban areas. For Christians and Sikhs the figures are not shown due to inadequate sample size. It is seen that among Hindus the infant mortality is more than that among Muslims in the rural areas. A reverse picture is seen in the urban areas. Unlike fertility, Muslims have a lower infant mortality than Hindus.

STATEMENT 56
Infant mortality by religion, 1978

| Religion | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hindu | 136 | 70 |
| Muslim | 108 | 79 |

## Scheduled caste/tribe

5.4.2 The differentials in regard to scheduled caste/tribe are shown in Statement 57. The infant mortality among scheduled tribes in the urban areas is not given due to small sample size.

Further, the sample may not be representative in giving estimates of infant mortality in the urban areas since the scheduled tribe population in the urban areas is small. In the rural areas the infant mortality among scheduled castes is more than that among scheduled tribes.

STATEMENT 57
Infant mortality Scheduled castes/tribes, 1978

| Caste/Tribe | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled Caste | 159 | 90 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 113 | - |

## Education of women

5.4.3 Another factor which may have an influence on infant mortality is the level of education of women. In the rural areas among illiterates the infant mortality rate is 132 while among primary and above the infant mortality is only 64. A similar pattern is observed in the urban areas. Thus, with the increase in the level of education of women there is reduction in infant mortiality.

## STATEMENT 58

Infant mortality by level of education of women, 1978

| Educational level of women | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 132 | 81 |
| Literate but below primary | 105 | 59 |
| Primary and above | 64 | 49 |
| Literates | 90 | 53 |

## Occupation of women

5.4.4 The effect of the occupation of the woman on infant mortality has bsen investigated here. The infant mortality by occupation of women is shown in Statement 59. The occupations considered are :
Division 6 : Farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers and related workers.

Division 7, 8 \& 9 : Production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers.

In the rural areas, among workers engaged in Division Code 6, the infant moriality is 127 while among those in Division Code $7,8 \& 9$ the infant mortality is 184 . Thus among workers engaged in production the infant mortality is higher than that among workers in agriculture. Agricultural work being mostly seasonal it is likely that workers engaged in agriculture may bestow more attention on their infants as compared to production workers. The infant mortality rates among workers and non-workers are also shown in Statement 59. In the rural areas, among workers, the infant mortality is more than that among non-workers. In the urban areas there is no significant difference among workers engaged in agriculture and production. Among workers, and non-workers, the same pattern is observed.

## STATEMENT 59

Infant moratlity by occupation of the women, 1978

| Occupation | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Division 6 |  |  |
| Divivion 7, $8 \& 9$ | 127 | 119 |
| Workers | 194 | 121 |
| Non-workers | 130 | 98 |
|  | 123 | 63 |

## Age at marriage

5.4.5 The influence of the age at marriage on infant mortality is an important factor which has been studied here. The infant mortality by ags at marriage is given Statement 60 separalely for rural and urban areas. In the rural areas for women married below 18 years, the infant mortality is 141 as against 85 recorded for women married at țhe age oî 21 years and over. There is thus a distinct advantage in raising the age at marriage when not only fertility but also infant mortality get reduced to a considerable extent. The same pattern is observed in the urban areas also.

STATEMENT 60
Infant mortality by age at marriage, 1978

| Aşe at marriage | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdot$ |  |
| Below 18 years | 141 | 78 |
| 18-20 years | 112 | 66 |
| 21 years \& over | 85 | 46 |

## Source of lighting

5.4.6 An attempt has been made to relate infant mortality with the source of lighting used by the household which is itself indicative of the level of living. In the rural areas among the section of the population having electric light as the main source of lighting, the infant mortality is 87 and is the lowest. Among those who use oil lamp as the main source of lighting the infant moitality is 163 . The above analysis indicates that among the poorer section of the population as indicated by the source of lighting, the infant mortality is very high. A similar pattenn is seen in the urban areas also.

## STATEMENT 61

Infant mortality by source of lighting, 1978

| Source of lighting | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Electric light | 87 | 56 |
| Oil lamp | 163 | 99 |
| Lamp or lantern | 119 | 86 |
| with chimney |  |  |

## Source of drinking water

5.4.7 The source of drinking water is an important variable which influences infant mortality. The infant mortality by source of drinking water is shown in Statement 62. In the rural areas the infant mortality is lowest among that section of population who uses tap as the main source of drinking water. Infant mortality is very high among that section of population using well, as the main source of drinking water. The above analysis reveals that reduction in infant mortality is linked with the availability of tap water to the bulk of the population. In the urban areas also among the population using well or pond/tank/ river as main source of drinking water infant mortality is very lizh.

## STATEMENT 62

Infant mortality by sci:rce of drinking water, 1978

| Source of drinking water | Rural | Urban |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tap | 103 | 63 |
| Hand pump | 105 | 71 |
| Well | 137 | 93 |
| Pond/Tank/River | .105 | 91 |

## Infrastracture facilities

5.4.8 The infant mortality in relation to the social amenities is examined here. The avail-
ability of water supply, motorable road etc. are important factors which influence infant mortality. The easy accessibility of social amenities is an index of the development of the area and is reflected in the level of infant mortality.

STATEMENT 63
Infant mortality by availability of social amenities, 1978

|  | With |  | Without |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rural | Urban |
|  | Rural | Urban |  |  |
| Water supply | 108 | 66 | 134 | 94 |
| Motorabie road | 110 | 62 | 139 | 82 |
| Bus stand | 105 | 51 | 138 | 73 |
| Railway station | 56 | 65 | 131 | 69 |
| Primary School | 126 | 65 | 145 | 71 |
| Medical facilities | 102 | 57 | 136 | 79 |

The above statement reveals that with the availability of basic amenities contributing to the progress of the areas, the infant mortality gets reduced.

### 5.5 Child mortality differentials

5.5.1 In this section an analysis has been made to study the child mortality differentials by socio-economic groups. The statement below gives child deaths aged 1-5 years per 100,000 total poulation by socio-economic groups.

STATEMENT 64
Child deaths 1-5 years per 1000,000 population, 1978


The preceding statement reveals that child mortality (1-5 years) per 100,000 population among Muslims is more than among Hindus both for rural and urban areas. In the rural areas among scheduled castes, child mortality is higher than any scheduled tribe. In the urban areas, scheduled tribes have the highest child mortality possibly due to their low representation in the sample. Education has an influence on child mortality. With the increase in the level of education, child mortality falls. It may be noted that child mortality is higher among workers engaged in agriculture as compared to that among production workers. Child mortality among nonworkers is more than that of workers both in the
rural and urban areas. It is scen that child deaths per 100,000 population is more in areas where there is no water supply or medical facilities or no motorable road. These facilities are indicative of the economic and social progress of the area.

### 5.6 Infant mortality by parity of woman

5.6.1 In this section an attempt has been made to study the level of infant mortality in relation to the parity of the woman. It is generally held that infant mortality is higher among women of higher parity. This has been examined here. Infant mortality expressed as an index. of the first parity is given in the statement below for various groups.

## STATEMENT 65

Infant mortality expressed as an index of first parity for various groups, 1978

| Groups | Parity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3. | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Educational level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mliterate | 100 | 84 | 75 | 83 | 97 | 105 |
| Literate but below primary | 100 | 88 | 81 | 97 | 107 | 112 |
| Primary \& above | 100 | 89 | 83 | 96 | 145 | 206 |
| Literate | 100 | 88 | 86 | 102 | 134 | 147 |
| Present age of woman |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 25 years | $100$ | 82 117 | 72 | 108 | 108 | 87 |
| 25 years and over | $100$ | 117 | 111 | 121 | 151 | 167 |
| Age at marriage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 18 years | 100 | 84 | 74 | 111 | 101 | 105 |
| 18-20 years | 100 | 83 | 74 | 111 | 119 | 158 |

It is interesting to note that in all the groups considered excepting in the case of women aged 25 years \& over, the infant mortality after the first parity declines and then rises among the higher order parities. In the case of women below 25 years, the infant mortality of a parity $6+$ is lower than the first parity since all women in this agegroup would not have completed their fertility. Among women aged 25 years \& over, the infant mortality increases with parity. The statement reveals that the pattern is such that infant mortality among first parity is higher than the next few parities and later increases with higher order parity.

### 5.7 Type of medical attention at death

5.7.1 The type of medical attention received at death in the case of infants and children in the
age group 1-5 years is shown in Statement 66. separately for rural and urban areas. It is seen that among infants about 58 per cent of the infant dearhs is not attended by trained medical practitioners in the rural areas while in the urban areas the percentage is 35 . Among child deaths in the age group $1-5$ years, the percentages of child deaths not attended by trained medical practitioners in the rural and urban areas are 43 and 23 respectively. Thus, the proportion of infant and child deaths not attended by trained medical practitioners is more in the rural areas. As compared to infant deaths, in the case of child deaths $1-5$ years, a greater proportion of child deaths receive medical attention in institutions. The reduction in infant and child mortality is to a great extent dependent on the availability and accessibility of infrastructure facilities especially in the rural areas.

STATEMENT 66
Per cent distribution of infant and child deaths by type of attention at death, 1978

| Type of medical attention | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  | 1.5 |  |
|  | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban |
| Medical institutions | 29.59 | 53.60 | 42.49 | 62.45 |
| Trained medical practitioners | 12.37 | 11.60 | 14.19 | 14.87 |
| Untrained medical Practitioners | 20.89 | 7.40 | 21.52 | 3.35 |
| Others | 37.15 | 27.40 | 21.80 | 19.33 |

### 5.8 Cause of death among infants and children

5.8.1 Information on cause of death was collected for children $0-5$ years. It may be mentioned here that the reporting of cause of death was entirely left to the respondent and no probing questions were asked. The enumerator was given a list of causes of death among children. The per cent child deaths by the top 10 causes of deaths classified by age and sex is given in Table 23 (Appendix). In the rural areas. among infants below one year, the major cause of death is tetanus which accounts for 15.2 per cent of the total infant deaths. The second major cause of infant deaths is pre-maturity. Among children one year old, the major cause of death is pneumonia. The second major killer of children aged one year is typhoid. The proportion of deaths among female
children is more than that among male children in this category. The major cause of death among children aged 5 years is jaundice followed by typhoid. In the urban areas among infants the major cause of death is tetanus followed by dysentery. The proportion of infant deaths in the urban areas in these two cases is lower than that in the rural areas. In the case of children aged $1-3$ years the major cause of death is pneumonia. For children aged 4 and 5 years, the reporting of causes of death is low and these are not included in the table.
5.8.2 The cause specific death rates among children are given in Table 24 (Appendix). A similar pattern as indicated in the previous section is seen in regard to cause specific death rates also.

## CHAPTER VI

## HEATLH AND CHILD CARE

6.1 In this chapter an attempt has been made to study the utilisation of immunisation facilities, the pattern of food in-take and morbidity among children in the age group 0-6 years. One of the basic criteria to assess the health conditions of children is to determine the extent of utilisation of the immunisation programmes for children in the age group $0-6$ years. Information was gathered on each child aged 6 years or below regarding the type of immunisation that the child was given,

This included immunisation against smallpox and the use of BCG, Sriple vaccine and polio vaccine. If the child was given more than one type of immunisation, multiple entires were made in the scheduled.

### 6.2 Immunisation

6.2.1 The per cent children immunised by age and sex is shown in the following statement separately for rural and urban areas.

## STATEMENT 67

Per cent children immunised by age and sex, 1978


It is seen that about 50 per cent of the children below one year of both sexes is immunised in the rural areas. In the urban areas about three-fourths of the infants is immunised. The per cent immunised increases with age and rural-urban differentials diminishes with age.
6.2.2 The per cent children immunised by type of immunisation and by sex is presented in Statement 68. In the rural areas among male infants about 50 per cent of the infants is immunised for smallpox while in the urban areas about two-thirds is immunised for smallpox. The percentage of children vaccinated against smallpox
increases with age. In the case of children aged 6 years the proportion vaccinated for smallpox is smaller perhaps due to memory lapse in reporting. A similar pattern is seen in the case of female infants also. The most common type of immunisation among children is for smallpox. Sex-wise differential is not significant. The proportion of children immunised for each type of immunisation in the urban areas is more than that in the rural areas, both for males and females. There appears to be greater awareness and utilisation of immunisation programme in the urban areas as compared to rural areas especially in regard to BCG, triple vaccine and polio vaccine.

STATEMENT 68
Per cent children immunised by type of immunisation and present age, 1978

| Age | Sex | Smallpox | BCG | Triple vaccine | Polio vaccine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 1 year | Male | 46.2 | 12.8 | 4.1 | 1.0 |
|  | Female | 42.3 | 12.7 | 4.2 | 1.0 |
| 1 year | Male | 69.5 | 20.2 | -6.7 | 2.0 |
|  | Female | 67.1 | 20.4 | 6.6 | 1.8 |
| 2 years | Male | 80.3 | 24.4 | 8.3 | 2.3 |
|  | Female | 79.8 | 23.5 | 7.5 | 2.1 |
| 3 years | Male | 84.3 | 24.9 | 8.0 | 1.9 |
|  | Female | - 83.7 | 25.2 | 7.7 | 2.3 |
| 4 years | Male | 85.7 | 25.4 | 8.6 | 2.4 |
|  | Female | 85.6 | 24.5 | 7.4 | 2.4 |
| 5 years | Male | 87.3 | 25.9 | 8.5 | 2.1 |
|  | Femalo | 87.1 | 25.5 | 7.8 | 2.3 |
| 6 years | Male | 71.5 | 18.3 | 6.3 | 1.8 |
| . | Fomale | 70.5 | 17.3 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 1 year | Male | 67.0 | 40.4 | 25.5 | 10.7 |
|  | Female | 68.7 | 39.5 | 23.1 | 10.6 |
| 1 year | Male | 85.2 | 53.4 | 35.5 | 18.5 |
|  | Female | 85.9 | 54.5 | 36.1 | 18.6 |
| 2 years | Male | 89.5 | 56.4 | 39.1 | 20.618.1 |
|  | Female | 88.1 | 55.1 | 35.1 |  |
| 3 years | Males | 90.6 | 57.5 | 39.0 | 21.6 |
|  | Femalo | 90.5 | 55.1 | 35.8 | 17.8 |
| 4 years | Male | 90.1 | 57.3 | 38.3 | 20.8 |
|  | Female | 91.3 | 57.3 | 39.4 | 20.0 |
| 5 years | Male | 88.9 | 54.4 | 37.0 | 20.0 |
|  | Female | 88.9 | 55.0 | 37.2 |  |
| 6 years | Male | 82.3 | 49.9 | 32.8 | 16.1 |
|  | Female | 80.9 | 48.8 | 32.8 | 16.2 |

6.2.3 The per cent distribution of immunised
sented in Statement 69 for rural and urban areas. children by age and type ofimmunisation is pre-

## STATEMENT 69

Per cent distribution of immunised children by age and type of immunisation, 1978


Immunisation against smallpox is most common among children both in the rural and urban areas. Among children immunised in the rural areas more than 90 per cent of the children is immunised against smallpox. Sex-wise differential is not significant. Among children immunised aged 6 years, the proportion immunis-
ed against smallpox is smaller than that among other ages possibly due to memory lapse in reporting. Among children immunised in rural areas about 30 per cent of the children is vaccinated for BCG, 10 per cent for triple vaccine and 3 per cent for polio vaccine. In the urban areas, the proportion of children immunised is more
than that of rural areas under each type of immunisation. There is greater awareness and utilisation of the immunisation programme in the urban areas as compared to rural areas, in regard to BCG, tripple vaccine and polio vaccine.

### 6.3 Food intake

6.3.1 A question was included in the schedule regarding the type of food the child was normally given. This included items like milk, wheat, rice,-millets, eggs and others. No quantitative measurement of these items was attempted to provide information on various nutritional aspects. The data have thus limited value. The per cent distribution of children by age and type of food is given in Table 25 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas. The proportion of children who take milk decreases with age. In the case of infants, about 82 per cent of children is fed with milk (other than breast milk) in the rural areas. For children aged one year the type of food normally given is milk supplemented by rice. The common type of food given to the larger proportion of children in the age group 2-6 years includes wheat and rice. In the age group 3-6 years about 25 per cent of children is given millets. The intake
of meat products like, eggs, meat, fish etc. among children is the least. In the urban areas the proportion of children who were given milk is more than that in the rural areas for each age. Thus, about 85 per cent of children below one year in the urban areas is fed by milk, (other than breast fed) as against 82 per cent recorded in the rural areas.

From age one onwards, there is a sharp reduction in the proportion of children fed by milk in the rural areas as compared to urban areas. The proportion of children who were given wheat, rice and animal protein in the urban areas is uniformly more than that in the rural areas at each age. The proportion of children given millets in the urban areas is lower than that in the rural areas. The dietry in take of food among children in the rural and urban areas differs.

## '6.4 Physical disability

6.4.1 Information was collected regarding handicapped children. This included totally blind; totally dumb and totally crippled. The distribution of handicapped children per 100,000 children under each age is given in Statement 70 separately for rural and urban areas.

## STATEMENT 70

Handicapped children per 100,000 children of each sex by age, 1978

| Age | Sex | Rural |  |  |  | Urban |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Totally blind | Totally dumb | Totally crippled | All categories | Totally blind | Totally dumb | Totally crippled | All categories |
| Below 1 year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Male | ... | 16 | 4 | 20 | ... | ... | ** | . ... |
|  | Female | 8 | 36 | 4 | 48 | .. | 15 | ... | 15 |
| 1 year | Male | 25 | 18 | 25 | 68 | ** | 43 | -•• | 43 |
|  | Femalo | 4 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 54 | .* | . 149 | 203 |
| 2 yreas | Male | 5 | 73 | 15 | 93 | 36 | ..- | 35 | 71 |
|  | Female | ... | 6 | 16 | 22 | -.. | ... | 140 | 140 |
| 3 years | Male | ... | 4 | 37 | 41 | 32 | 29 | 118 | 179 |
|  | Female | 3 | 9 | 15 | 27 | ... | 48 | 37 | 85 |
| 4-6 years | Male | ... | 48 | 62 | 110 | ... | 48 | 37 | 85 |
|  | Female | 3 | 26 | 15 | 44 | 14 | 61 | 94 | 169 |
| 0-6 years | Male | 4 | 36 | 37 | 77 | 12 | 28 . | 21 | 61 |
|  | Female | 4 | 19 | 13 | 36 | 18 | 32 | 99 | 149 |

6.4.2 In the rural areas, it is seen that among every 100,000 male childrea in the age group 0-6 years, there are 77 totally physically handicapped male children as against 36 female children. Also, in the case of totally dumb and totally crippled, male children exceed female children. It is likely that reporting of female children in the rural areas may be influenced by social customs with the result that female handicapped children might not have been reported. In each of the age 1-6 the total handicapped children among males is more than that among female children. In the case of infants, however, a reverse tendency is observed, the incidence among females bzing more than that among males. In a society where male births are preferred and valued highly, the reporting among male infants may not bz spontaneous as in the case of female infants. It is therefore reasonable to presume that reporting oi such children is made when they grow older and medical treatment is of no further utility to reduce or rectify disability. This is corrobrated by the fact that in the urban areas, there is no reporting of handicapped male infants while female infants are reported. In the urban areas for every 100,000 children in the age group 0-6 there are 61 male handicapped children and 149 female handicapped children. The incidence rate is more among females than males. This is contrary to the phenomenon observed in the rural areas. The wide disparity between sexes as compared to a reversal tendency in the rural areas supports the view that there has been incomplete reporting of female handicapped children in the rural areas. In the urban areas in each of the age $1-6$ years female handicapped children predominate. In the urban areas, the most common type of disability is totally crippled.

### 6.5 Child care

6.5.1 Information was collected regarding the type of child care the child had when the mother went to work. The per cent distribution of children by age and sex and type of child care is given in Table 26 (Appendix). In the rural areas, 65 per cent of male infants and 66 per cent of female infants are looked after by the mother herself. The percentage of children looked after by
the mother herself decreases with the age of the child for both sexes. There is no significant difference between sexes. Only less than 5 per cent of the children is reported as not being looked after by anyone in the age group below 3 years. In the urban areas 63 per cent of male infants and 59 per cent oî female infants are looked aîter by the mother herself. The proportion looked after by the mother herself in the case of infants is smaller in the urban areas as compared to rural areas. It is interesting to note that the proportion of children looked after by servants is more in the urban areas than in the rural areas.

### 6.6 Morbidity

6.6.1 Details of sikness of children $0-6$ years were collected. The reference period was 14 days prior to the date of the survey. It may be emphasised here that the reporting of sickness of children was entirely left to the respondent and no probing questions were asked. The enumerators were given a list of sicknesses. It must be stated that enquiries of such nature may not yield reliable data of sicknesses unless the enumerator has some basic knowledge of the symptoms and conditions of the sicknesses. It would be more advantageous if such enquiries are conducted by para-medical personnel who have knowledge in indentfiying sicknesses.
6.6.2 The percent children sick by the top ten sicknesses classified by age and sex is presented in Table 27 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas. The top ten sicknesses account for 63 per cent of the children who were sick belonging to the age group $0-6$ years both in the rural and urban areas. There is no sex differential both in the rural and urban areas in regard to the reporting of sicknesses. In the rural areas for infants the major sickness is reported as "septicemia and pyaemia" which accounts for 12 per cent among male infants and 15 per cent among female infants. The second important sickness is 'other diseases of the respiratory system'. It is interesting to note that both in the rural and urban areas, the pattern of major sicknesses recorded are almost similar in each of the age 0-6 years. The per cent sickness in each age ranges from 60 to 68 both in the rural and urban areas. Sex differentials do not seem to be significant.
6.6.3 The incidence rate of sickness per 100,000 children in each age group by sex for the top ten sicknesses is shown in Table 28 (Appendix) separately for rural and urban areas. The highest incidence rate among infants in the rural areas is for the sickness (septicemia and pyaemia) followed by other diseases of the respiratory system. The same pattern of sickness as described in section 6.6 .2 is seen both in the rural and urban areas. It is seen that only a small fraction of total children is exposed to sickness.

### 6.7 Type of medical attention

6.7.1 Another aspect for which information was collected relates to the type of medical attention received during sickness. The per cent distribution of children by type of medical attention received when sick and by broad per capita monthly expenditure is shown in the statement below. The distribution was arrived at by considering the total spans of sickness of the children during the reference period of last two weeks. It is observed that 99 per cent of the
sickness reported and medically attended comes from the higher expenditure group Rs. 101 and above. Thus, almost there is no reporting of sickness in lower expenditure group. It is likely that most of the people of the lower expenditure group may not have the right perception about sickness unless the child is confined to bed. It demonstrates how difficult it is to collect data on sickness among children. In the urban areas 95 per cent of the sickness reported and medically attended is from the expenditure group Rs. 101 \& above. Thus, both in the rural and urban areas, the reporting of sickness among children is far from complete. It is seen that 72 per cent of the sicknesses is attended by medical institutions and only 14 per cent by untrained practitioners in the rural areas. A larger proportion of sicknesses is attended by medical institutions in the urban areas as compared to rural areas. Thus most of the sicknesses in the urban areas are attended by medical institutions since most of the children come from higher per capita monthly household expenditure group.

## STATEMENT 71

## Per cent distribution of children 0-6 years by type of medical attention received when sick and by per capita monthly household expenditure, 1978

| Type of attention | Per capita monthly consumer expenditure (Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | 101 \& over | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Classes } \end{gathered}$ | Below $100$ | 101 \& over | $\underset{\text { Classes }}{\text { All }}$ | Below 100 | 101 \& over | Classes |
| Medical Institution | 0.92 | 70.37 | 71.29 | 4.27 | 79.84 | 84.11 | 1.98 | 73.40 | 75.38 |
| Trained practitioners | 0.13 | 14.60 | 14.73 | 0.41 | 12.63 | 13.04 | 0.23 | 13.96 | 14.19 |
| Untrained practitioners | 0.13 | 13.95 | 13.98 | 0.06 | 2.79 | 2.85 | 0.04 | 10.39 | 10.43 |
| All dlasses | 1.08 | 98.92 | 100.00 | 4.74 | 95.26 | 100.00 | 2.25 | 97.75 | 100.00 |

6.7.2 The percentage distribution of number of children sick classified by type of medical attention received and by present age of children is presented in Statement 72. It is seen that in the rural areas 75 per cent of the children sick below one year is attended by medical institutions. The per cent chi!dren sick attended by institutions decreases with age of the child. Thus, about 70 per cent of the children sick is attended by
medical institutions in the age-group 4 to 6 years compared to 73 per cent for children aged one year. It appears that more attention is given to the children below 1 year who are sick than grown up children. In the case of urban areas 84 per cent of children sick is attended by medical institutions. In the case of other ages it varies from 80 to 87 per cent. Only 3 per cent of the children sick is attended by untrained medical
practitioners in the urban areas where in the rural areas it ranges from 11 per cent to 18 per cent for children in the age group 0-6 years. Thus in the urban areas most of the children sick are
attended by medical institutions or trained medical practitioners irrespective of the age of the children.

## STATEMENT 72

Per cent distribution of children 0-6 years by type of medical attention received when sick and by present age of the children, 1978

| Type of medical attention | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below 1 year | 1 year | 2 years | 3 years | 4 years to 6 years | All classes |
|  | RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical institutions | 74.74 | 73.21 | 72.90 | 66.07 | 69.75 | 71.29 |
| Trained medical practitioner | 13.82 | 15.52 | 13.13 | 15.72 | 15.17 | 14.73 |
| Untrained medical practitioner | 11.47 | 11.27 | 13.97 | 18.21 | 15.08 | 13.9S |
|  |  | URBAN |  |  | - | , |
| Medical institutions | 83.90 | 87.09 | 79.87 | 83.18 | 85.48 | 84.11 |
| Trained medical practitioner | 13.28 | 9.96 | 17.49 | 14.49 | 11.40 | 13.04 |
| Untrained medical practitioner | 2.82 | 2.95 | 2.64 | 2.23 | 2.12 | 2.85 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical institutions | 77.85 | 77.15 | 75.25 | 70.80 | 75.01 | 75.38 |
| Trained medical practitioner | 13.64 | 13.94 | 14.60 | 15.38 | 13.91 | 14.19 |
| Untrained medical practitionor | 8.51 | 8.91 | 10.15 | 13.82 | 11.08 | 10.43 |

## APPENDIX <br> DETAILED TABLES

## TABLE 1

## Per cent distribution of population by broad age group and by sex

| Sex | Year | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-14 |  |  | 15-59 |  |  | 60 \& above |  |  | All ages |  |  |
|  |  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urbpa | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Male | 1971 Census | 42.59 | 37.53 | 41.86. | 50.75 | 57.74 | 52.20 | 6.26 | 4.73 | 5.94 | 100.00 | 100.00 |  |
|  | 1976 SRS | 40.89 | 35.85 | 39.52 | 54.16 | 59.56 | 55.73 | 4.95 | 4.61 | 4.85 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 1100.00 |
|  | 1978 Survey | 39.55 | 35.74 | 38.85 | 55.03 | 59.66 | 55.89 | 5.42 | 4.60 | 5.26 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Female | 1971 Census | 42.52 | 40.77 | 42.19 | 51.31 | 53.97 | 51.82 | 6.17 | 5.26 | 5.99 | 100.00 | 100.r. | 100.00 |
|  | 1976 SRS | 39.85 | 37.15 | 39.66 | 54.44 | 57.55 | 55.01 | 5.71 | 5.30 | 5.53 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 10000 |
|  | 1978 Survey | 38.62 | 37.04 | 38.34 | 55.45 | 57.59. | 55.83 | 5.93 | 5.37 | 5.83 | 100.60 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Person | 1971 Census | 42.76 | 39.03 | 42.02 | 51.03 | 56.60 | 52.01 | 6.21 | 4.97 | 5.97 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
|  | 1976 SRS | 40.29 | 36.46 | 39.58 | 54.50 | 58.60 | 55.18 | 5.21 | 4.94 | 5.24 | 100. 0 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
|  | 1978 Survey | 39.10 | 36.44 | 38.60 | 55.23 | 58.60 . | 55.86 | 5.67 | 4.96 | 5.54 | 1 CO 00 | 100.00 | 10.000 |

## TABLE 2

Per cent distribution of workers by occupation, 1978

| Occupation ${ }^{\circ}$ | Total workers |  |  | Male workers |  |  | Female workers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Division code) | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Tota |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| All Workers | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.60 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 , | 100.00 |
| 0 \& 1 | 1.74 | 7.46 | 2.61 | 1.93 | 6.49 | 2.71 | 1.16 | 14.04 | 2.26 |
| 2 | 0.19 | 2.02 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 2.24 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 0.64 | 0.14 |
| 3 | 1.38 | 11.93 | 2.98 | 1.78 | 12.82 | 3.67 | 0.18 | 5.90 | 0.67 |
| 4 | 3.06 | 19.57 | 5.56 | 3.72 | 21.36 | 6.73 | 1.10 | 7.48 | 1.64 |
| 5 | 2.77 | 9.06 | 3.72 | 2.70 | 8.12 | 3.63 | 2.98 | 15.36 | 404 |
| 6 | 79.53 | 10.91 | 69.14 | 77.57 | 9.32 | 65.89 | 85.51 | 21.68 | 80.06 |
| 7,8\&9 | 11.24 | 38.70 | 15.39 | 11.99 | 39.30 | 16.66 | 8.94 | 34.59 | 11.12 |
| X | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.31 | $\begin{array}{r}0.07 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |

## Occupation codes

0 \& 1 ~Professional, technical \& related workers.
2-Administrative, executive \& managerial workers.
3 - Clerical and related workers.
4-Sales workers.
5-S.rvice workers.

TABLE 3
Per cent distribution of workers by eccupation, census 1971

| Occupation (Division Code) | Total workers |  |  | Male workers |  |  | Female workers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total | Rural | Urban | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| All Workers | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 0 \& 1 | 1.77 | 7.27 | 2.75 | 1.90 | 6.19 | 2.72 | 1.22 | 16.65 | 2.86 |
| 2 | 0.57 | 2.61 | 0.94 | 0.70 | 2.87 | 1.12 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.08 |
| 3 | 1.07 | 11.45 | 2.91 | 1.28 | 12.15 | 3.37 | 0.12 | 5.37 | 0.68 |
| 4 | 1.96 | 14.40 | 4.17 | 2.22 | 15.32 | 4.74 | 0.86 | 6.50 | 1.45 |
| 5 | 1.88 | 10.05 | 3.33 | 1,94 | 9.39 | 3.37 | 1.64 | 15.68 | 3.13 |
| 6 | 84.94 | 12.86 | 72.15 | 83,84 | 11.59 | 69.95 | 89.66 | 23.81 | 82.66 |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 7.63 | 39.74 | 13.32 | 7.93 | 40.77 | 14.25 | 6.32 | 30.85 | 8.93 |
| X | 0.18 | 1.62 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 1.72 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.77 | 0.21 |

TABLE 4
Participation rates by broad age groups, 1971 Census \& 1978 Survey.

| Age Group | Year | Rural |  |  | Urban |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 0-14 | 1971 <br> Census | 7.52 | 2.96 | 5.31 | 2.76 | 0.82 | 1.82 | 6.64 | 2.57 | 4.67 |
|  | 1978 Survey | 4.55 | 3.48 | 4.04 | 2.11 | 0.99 | 1.56 | 4.13 | 3.05 | 3.61 |
| 15-49 | 1971 <br> Census | 88.58 | 21.85 | 55.71 | $77.16^{*}$ | 10.84 | 47.56 | 85.90 | 19.62 | 53.92 |
|  | 1978 Survey | 87.46 | 29.52 | 59.18 | 77.46 | 12.17 | 46.62 | 85,47 | 26.24 | 56.74 |
| 15-59 | 1971 Census | 89.46 | 21.73 | 56.30 | 78.24 | 11.01 | 48.32 | 86.89 | 19.60 | 54.59 |
|  | 1978 <br> Survey | 88.56 | 29.84 | 59.95 | 78.51 | 12.53 | 47.45 | 86.58 | 26.61 | 57.56 |
| 60 \& above | 1971 Consus | 77.26 | 11.30 | 45.38 | 55.35 | 6.42 | 31.49 | 73.65 | 10.48 | 43.07 |
|  | 1978 <br> Survey | 75.66 | 18.78 | 46.77 | 51.77 | 8.32 | 29.23 | 71.83 | 17.04 | 43.91 |
| All Ages | 1971 Census | 53.47 | 13.10 | 33.82 | 48.82 | 6.61 | 29.33 | 52.51 | 11.87 | 32.93 |
|  | 1978 <br> Survey | 54.63 | 19.00 | 37.34 | 49.98 | 8.03 | 29.86 | 53.78 | 17.02 | 35.98 |

TABLE 5
Per cent distribution of sample households by type of house and by per capita monthly household expenditure groups, 1978

| . Per capita monthly expenditure (Rupees) | Type of house (Code) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $7$ | 8 | All classes |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | ' 10 |
|  | RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 3.85 | 0.96 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.27 | 0.32 | ... | 0.01 | 5.93 |
| 31-40 | 7.38 | 2.41 | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 12.58 |
| 41-50 | 10.76 | 4.09 | 1.32 | 0.45 | 1.75 | 2.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 20.50 |
| 51-75 | 17.77 | 5.80 | 2.51 | 0.98 | 4.37 | 4.93 | 0.07 | 0.10 | $36.53_{\text {f }}$. |
| 76-100 | 6.28 | 1.73 | 1.15 | 0.51 | 1.91 | 2.92 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 14.58 |
| 101-150 | 2.80 | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.90 | 1.95 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 7.23 . |
| 151 \& over | 0.68 | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.01 | -..7 | 2.65 |
| All classes | 49.53 | 15.88 | 7.03 | 2.74 | 10.30 | 14.07 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 100.00 |
|  | URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 0.99 | 0,43 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 2.71 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 4.92 |
| 31-40 | 1.62 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 1.29 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 4.51 9.45 |
| 41-50 | 2.92 | 0.70 | 0.90 | 0.69 | 0.99 | 3.13 1232 | 0.08 0.18 | 0.04 0.15 | 28.63 |
| 51-75 | 6.80 | 2.04 | 2.33 | 2.19 | 2.62 1.57 | 12.32 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 21.40 |
| 76-100 | 3.62 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.66 | 0.97 | 12.63 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 18.25 |
| 101-150 | 1.89 | 0.82 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0.97 0.35 | 10.75 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 12.84 |
| 151 \& over | 0.65 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.40 6.57 | 0.35 7.14 | 10.75 54.68 | 0.63 | 0.42 | 100.00 |
| All classes | 18.49 | 6.03 | 6.04 | 6.57 | 7,14 | 54.68 | 0.63 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 3.05 | 0.82 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 5.65 |
|  | 5.77 |  | 0.69 | 0.27 | 0.67 | 1.02 | 002 | 0.03 | 10.31 |
| $31-40$ | 5.77 | 1.84 3.15 | 0.69 1.20 | 0.52 | 1.54 | 2.34 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 17.41 |
| 41-50 | 8.56 | 3.15 | 1.20 2.46 | 0.52 1.32 | 388 | 7.00 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 34.32 |
| 51-75 | 14.70 | 4.75 1.60 | 2.46 1.18 | 0.83 | 1.82 | 5.43 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 16.49 |
| 76-100 | 5.53 | 1.60 0.69 | 1.18 0.63 | 0.83 0.52 | 0.93 | 4.94 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 10.32 5.50 |
| 101-150 | 2.54 | 0.69 | 0.63 0.20 | 0.52 0.23 | 0.33 | 3.73 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 5.50 |
| 151 \& over | 0.68 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 9.11 | 25.44 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 100.00 |
| All 'classes | 40.84 | 13.12 | 6.74 | 3,81 | 9.4 | 25.44 |  |  |  |

Note: Codes for type of houso are as follows :-
$1: K(W)+K(R)+K(F)$
$2: \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{W})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R})+\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{F})$
$3: P(W)+K(R)+K(F)$ Where, W : Wall; R:Roof; F: Floor; K: Kutcha; and P: Pucca.
$4: \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})+\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{R})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F})$
$5: \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R})+\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{F})$
$6: P(W)+P(R)+P(F)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
7 & : K(W)+P(R)+P(F) \\
8 & : K(W)+K(R)+P(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 6
Per cent distribution of estimated population by type of house and by per capita monthly expenditure groups, 1978

| Per capita monthly expenditure (Rupees) | Type of house (Code) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | All classes |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|  | RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 5.76 | 1.87 | 0.66 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 9.33 |
| 31-40 | 11.27 | 3.65 | 1.51 | 0.26 | 1.31 | 1.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 19.13 |
| 41-50 | 12.49 | 4.95 | 1.77 | 0.49 | 2.21 | 1.95 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 23.94 |
| 51-75 | 16.58 | 6.00 | 2.49 | 1.12 | 4.25 | 3.91 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 34.46 |
| 76-100 | 3.75 | 1.18 | 0.74 | 0.52 | 1.31 | 1.75 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 9.29 |
| 101-150 | 1.17 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.43 | 0.88 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 3.13 |
| 151 \& over | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.72 |
| All classes | 51.20 | 17.94 | 7.53 | 2.64 | 10.13 . | 10.26 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 100.00 |
|  | URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 122 | 0.60 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 4.46 | 014 | $0.04{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.51 |
| 31-40 | 2.14 | 0.47 | 0.71 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 2.31 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 7.04 |
| $41-50$ | 3.65 | 0.74 | 1.28 | 1.08 | 1.47 | 4.49 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 12.83 |
| 51-75 | 6.01 | 1.74 | 2.56 | 2.82 | 3.21 | 16.01 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 32.63 |
| 76-100 | 2.11 | 0.70 | 0.97 | 1.67 | 1.35 | 12.45 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 19.34 |
| 101-150 | 0.75 | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 10.87 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 13.59 |
| 151 \& over | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 6.46 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 7.06 |
| All classes | 16.06 | - 4.57 | 6.25 | 7.29 | 7.91 | 57.05 | 0.58 | 0.29 | 100.00 |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 4.93 | 1.63 | 0.59 | 0.14 | 0.52 | 1.14 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |
| 31-40 | 9.60 | 3.07 | 1.37 | 0.32 | 1.20 | 1.32 | 0.04 0.02 | 0.04 0.02 | 9.00 16.92 |
| 41-50 | 10.87 | 4.19 | 1.68 | 0.60 | 2.08 | 2.41 | 0.04 | 0.02 0.05 | 16.92 21.92 |
| 51-75 | 14.65 | 5.22 | 2.51 | 1.43 | 4.06 | 2.41 6.12 | 0.04 0.08 | 0.05 0.06 | 21.92 34.13 |
| 76-100 | 3.45 | 1.09 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 1.32 | 6.12 3.70 | 0.08 0.03 | 0.06 0.02 | 34.13 11.12 |
| 101-150 | 1.09 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 1.32 0.45 | 3.70 2.69 | 0.03 0.02 | 0.02 0.01 | 11.12 5.04 |
| 151 \& over | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 2.69 1.41 | 0.02 0.00 | 0.01 0.00 | 5.04 1.87 |
| All classes | 44.78 | 15.50 | 7.30 | 3.48 | 9.73 | 18.80 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 1.87 100.00 |

Note :-Codes for type of house are as follows :-

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1: K(W)+K(R)+K(F) & 4: P(W)+K(R)+P(F) & 7: K(W)+P(R)+P(F) \\
2: K(W)+P(R)+K(F) & 5: P(W)+P(R)+K(F) & 8: K(W)+K(R)+P(F) \\
3: P(W)+K(R)+K(F) & 6: P(W)+P(R)+P(F) &
\end{array}
$$

Whore, W:Wall; R : Roof; F: Floor; K : Kutcha; and P : Pucca

TABLE 7
Per cent distribution of sample households by number of rooms occupied and by per capita monthly household expenditure groups, 1978


|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 30 \& below | 2.53 | 1.94 |
| $31-40$ | 5.06 | 4.42 |
| $41-50$ | 8.27 | 7.24 |
| $51-75$ | 13.68 | 12.72 |
| $76-100$ | 5.64 | 4.66 |
| $101-150$ | 2.82 | 2.13 |
| $151 \&$ over | 0.97 | 0.71 |
| All classes | 38.97 | 33.82 |

## RURAL

| 0.88 | 0.58 | 5.93 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.86 | 1.24 | 12.58 |
| 2.94 | 2.05 | 20.50 |
| 5.66 | 4.47 | 36.53 |
| 2.22 | 2.06 | 14.58 |
| 1.09 | 1.19 | 7.23 |
| 0.38 | 0.59 | 2.65 |
| 15.03 | 12.18 | 100.00 |

URBAN

| 1.39 | 0.51 | 0.36 | 4.92 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.58 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 4.51 |
| 3.21 | 1.31 | 1.04 | 9.45 |
| 9.85 | 4.30 | 3.93 | 28.63 |
| 7.24 | 3.00 | 3.14 | 21.40 |
| 6.15 | 2.56 | 2.87 | 18.25 |
| 406 | 2.20 | 2.35 | 12.84 |
| 33.48 | 14.56 | 14.15 | 100.00 |

TOTAL

|  |  | 1.78 | 0.78 | 0.52 | 5.65 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 \& below | 2.57 | 3.63 | 1.53 | 1.02 | 10.31 |
| $31-40$ | 4.14 | 6.12 | 2.48 | 1.77 | 17.41 |
| $41-50$ | 7.04 | 11.92 | 5.28 | 4.32 | 34.32 |
| $51-75$ | 12.80 | 5.38 | 2.45 | 2.36 | 16.49 |
| $76-100$ | 6.30 | 3.26 | 1.50 | 1.66 | 10.32 |
| $101-150$ | 3.90 | 1.65 | 0.88 | 1.08 | 5.50 |
| $151 \&$ over | 33.69 | 14.90 | 12.73 | 100.00 |  |
| All classes | 38.65 |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE 8

Per cent distribution of estimated population by number of rooms occupied and by per capita monthly household expenditure groups, 1978

| Per capita monthly <br> expenditure <br> R upees) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TABLE 9
Per cent distribution of sample households and estimated population by source of drinking water, 1978


TABLE 10
Per cent distribution of sample households by per capita monthly expenditure and source of lighting, 1978

| Per capita monthly expenditure (Rupees) | Source of Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electric light | Oil lamp | Lantern | Others | Not stated | All classes |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 0.40 | 1.32 | 3.92 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 5.93 |
| 31-40 | 1.06 | 3.69 | 7.42 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 12.58 |
| 41-50 | 2.48 | 5.46 | 12.04 | 0.43 | 0.09 | 20.50 |
| 51-75 | 6.38 | 8.01 | 21.27 | 0.78 | 0.09 | 36.53 |
| 76-100 | 4.01 | 2.59 | 7.61 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 14.58 |
| 101-150 | 2.67 | 1.26 | 3.19 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 7.23 |
| 151 \& over | 1.36 | 0.40 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 2.65 |
| All classes | 18.36 | 22.73 | 56.31 | 2.28 | 0.32 | $100.00{ }^{\circ}$ |

## URBAN

| 30 \& below | 2.02 | 0.61 | 2.23 | 0.06 | $0.00^{\circ}$ | 4.92 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $31-40$ | 1.56 | 0.93 | 1.95 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 4.51 |
| $41-50$ | 3.98 | 1.63 | 3.75 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 9.45 |
| $51-75$ | 16.49 | 3.46 | 8.45 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 28.63 |
| $76-100$ | 15.39 | 1.53 | 4.34 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 21.40 |
| $101-150$ | 11.76 | 0.94 | 2.31 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 18.25 |
| 151 \& over | 66.10 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 12.84 |
| All classes |  | 9.44 | 23.69 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 100.00 |

TOTAL

| $30 \&$ below | 0.85 | 1.12 | 3.45 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 5.65 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $31-40$ | 1.20 | 2.92 | 5.88 | 0.27 | 0.04 | -10.31 |  |
| $41-50$ | 2.90 | 4.38 | 9.72 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 17.41 |  |
| $51-75$ | 9.22 | 6.73 | 17.68 | 0.62 | 0.07 | 34.32 |  |
| $76-100$ | 7.20 | 2.30 | 6.69 | 0.29 | . | 0.01 | 16.49 |
| $101-150$ | 6.09 | 1.17 | 2.94 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 10.32 |  |
| $151 \&$ over | 4.28 | 0.38 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 5.50 |  |
| All classes | 31.73 | 19.01 | 47.17 | 1.85 |  | 0.24 | 100.00 |

## TABLE 11

Per cent distribution of estimated population by per capita monthly household expenditure groups and - source of lighting, 1978 :

| Per capita monthly expenditure (Rupees) | Source of lighting |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electric light | Oil lamp | Lantern | Others | Not slated | All Classes |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 \& below | 0.44 | 2.67 | 5.85 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 9.33 |
| 31-40 | 1.06 | 6.19 | 11.37 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 19.13 |
| 41-50 | 2.05 | 7.08 | 14.35 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 23.94 |
| 51-75 | 4.47 | 8.21 | 21.17 | 0.49 | 0.12 | 34.46 |
| 76-100 | 2.14 | 1.69 | 5.28 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 9.29 |
| 101150 | 1.05 | 0.48 | 1.58 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 3.14 |
| 151 \& over | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.71 |
| All classes | 11.55 | 26.39 | 59.88 | - 1.90 | 0.28 | 100.00 |

URBAN

| $30 \&$ below |  | 3.33 | 0.75 | 3.35 | 0.07 | 0,01 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $31-40$ | 2.94 | 1.22 | 2.82 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 7.51 |
| 4150 | 6.23 | 1.90 | 4.60 | 0.10 | 0,00 | 7.04 |
| $51-75$ | 21.18 | 2.89 | 8.36 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 12.83 |
| 761 1CO | 15.30 | 0.81 | .3 .13 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 32.63 |
| $101-150$ | 12.07 | 6.78 | 0.30 | 1.14 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| $151 \&$ over | 67.83 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 13.59 |
| All classes |  | 7.93 | 23.58 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 7.06 |

TOTAL

|  |  | 5.39 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 9.00 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $30 \&$ below | 0.98 | 2.32 | 5.28 | 9.81 | 0.39 | 0.04 |
| $31-40$ | 1.40 | 6.14 | 12.57 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 16.92 |
| $41-50$ | 2.81 | 7.24 | 18.83 | 043 | 0.04 | 34.92 |
| 5175 | 7.52 | 1.53 | 4.89 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 11.12 |
| $76-100$ | 4.54 | 0.45 | 1.50 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 5.04 |
| $101-150$ | 3.05 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1.87 |
| $151 \&$ over | 1.52 | 23.03 | 53.25 | 1.66 | 024 | 100.00 |
| All classes | 21.82 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 12
Per cent children atteading school by single year age and Sex, 1978

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 1 | 2 | 3. | 4 | 5 |
| 4 | 10.97 | 7.85 | 26.27 | 23.75 |
| 5 | 28.41 | 22.24 | 51.71 | 49.31 |
| 6 | 42.50 | 31.37 | 71.75 | 67.75 |
| 7 | 55.90 | 40.26 | 83.55 | 76.32 |
| 8 | 63.82 | 43.93 | 86.05 | 80.55 |
| 9 | 67.20 | 44.64 | 87.79 | 80.09 |
| 10 | 68.74 | 43.29 | 86.96 | 80.26 |
| 11 | 66.94 | 40.83 | 86.43 | 77.25 |
| 12 | 65.33 | 37.45 | 84.15 | 73.93 |
| 13 | 61.69 | 31.58 | 81.33 | 72.28 |
| 14 | 55.52 | 27.02 | 77.25 | 65.94 |

TABLE 13
Per cent children attending sch ool by single year age, sex and religion, 1978

| $\underset{\text { (years) }}{\text { Age }}$ | MaLE |  |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hindu | Muslim | Christian | Sikh | All classes | Hiadu | Muslim | Christian | Sikh | All classes |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|  | RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 11.14 | 8.18 | 13.59 | 15.19 | 10.97 | 7.70 | 7.07 | 17.14 | 9.89 | 7.85 |
| 5 | 27.98 | 25.69 | 50.57 | 41.97 | 28.41 | 21.01 | 22.72 | 43.10 | 42.13 | 22.24 |
| 6 | 41.83 | 37.62 | 69.89 | 56.23 | 42.50 | 30.84 | 26.83 | 52.79 | 59.84 | 31.37 |
| 7 | 56.23 | 46.35 | 71.22 | 75.01 | 55.90 | 39.87 | 32.98 | 66.61 | 75.62 | 40.26 |
| 8 | 63.72 | 57.71 | 80.28 | 74.41 | 63.82 | 43.50 | 35.67 | 68.91 | 71.68 | 43.93 |
| 9 | 51.82 | 46.51 | 69.67 | 67.18 | 67.20 | 43.74 | 38.16 | 72.46 | 73.11 | 44.64 |
| 10 | 68.70 | 64.04 | 75.60 | 82.99 | 68.74 | 42.48 | 37.60 | 70.52 | 70.20 | 43.29 |
| 11 | 67.30 | 59.81 | 75.68 | 74.72 | 66.94 | 39.75 | 36.92 | 72.56 | 68.36 | 40.83 |
| 12 | 65.16 | 63.16 | 68.61 | 72.74 | 65.33 | 36.57 | 35.15 | 66.05 | 54.63 | 37.45 |
| 13 | 61.95 | 54.69 | 67.92 | 68.65 | 61.69 | 30.48 | 26.71 | 64.19 | 60.92 | 31.58 |
| 14 | 58.18 | 52.33 | 70.35 | 64.69 | 55.52 | 25.76 | 22.08 | 67.49 | 50.60 | 27.02 |

URBAN

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 28.53 | 16.09 | 17.71 | 43.33 | 26.27 | 25.35 | 14.95 | $24.81^{-}$ | 43.39 | 23.75 |
| 5 | 54.72 | 37.87 | 75.78 | 58.54 | 51.71 | 52.50 | 40.86 | 63.85 | 61.97 | 49.31 |
| 6 | 74.46 | 58.13 | 89.73 | 82.70 | 71.75 | 70.97 | 53.66 | 74.05 | 84.15. | 67.75 |
| 7 | 85.39 | 73.20 | 93.00 | 91.63 | 83.55 | 79.13 | 60.02 | 96.25 | 90.42 | 76.32 |
| 8 | 87.21 | 78.06 | 98.49 | 97.28 | 86.05 | 82.23 | 70.72 | 88.84 | 9203 | 80.55 |
| 7 | 90,01 | 75.90 | 98.84 | 92.80 | 87.79 | 83.67 | 65.88 | 94.54 | 89.59 | 80.09 |
| 10 | 89.03 | 76.57 | 95.23 | 91.65 | 86.96 | 81.88 | 71.17 | 87.58 | 87.53 | 80.26 |
| 11 | 88.65 | 76.50 | 89.99 | 91.55 | 86.43 | 80.20 | 62.20 | 97.23 | 86.76 | 77.25 |
| 12 | 86.56 | 72.29 | 92.68 | 83.97 | 84.15 | 76.40 | 61.00 | 91.10 | 90.73 | 73.93 |
| 13 | 82.60 | 73.30 | 94.71 | 78.84 | 81.33 | 75.56 | 57.03 | 77.08 | 78.72 | 72.28 |
| 14 | 79.56 | 66.06 | 78.73 | 82.82 | 77.25 | 68.69 | 49.37 | 83.04 | 80.80 | 65.94 |

## TABLE 14

Per cent children attending school by single year age, sex and scheduled caste/tribe, 1978

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ags } \\ \text { (years) } \end{gathered}$ | Scheduled caste |  | Scheduled tribe |  | Non-scheduled caste/tribe |  | All classes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Femals |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6^{\circ}$ | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|  | RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 7.80 | 5.23 | 8.39 | 6.95 | 11.88 | 8.53 | 10.97 | 7.85 |
| 5 | 18.81 | 12.61 | 22.23 | 15.01 | 31.18 | 25.23 | 28.41 | 22.24 |
| 6 | 30.55 | 22.58 | 23.27 | 18.08 | 47.84 | $34.76{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 42.50 | 31.37 |
| 7 | 40.89 | 28.29 | 39.53 | 23.28 | 60.96 | 44.65 | 55.90 | 40.26 |
| -8 | 52.70 | 30.63 | 46.44 | 28.24 | 68.39 | 48.84 | 63.82 | 43.93 |
| 9 | 54.15 | 32.62 | 52.53 | 27.94 | 71.84 | 49.59 | 67.20 | 44.64 |
| 10 | 54.86 | 28.22 | 53.90 | 26.93 | 73.44 | 48.91 | 68.75 | 43.29 |
| 11 | 53.90 | 22.82 | 50.34 | 25.23 | 71.88 | 46.33 | 66.94 | 40.83 |
| 12 | 50.56 | 23.73 | 51.35 | 19.05 | 70.58 | 42.87 | 65.33 | 37.45 |
| 13 | 44.41 | 17.34 | 50.04 | 22.07 | 66.60 | 35.81 | 61.69 | 31.58 |
| 14 | 38.95 | 15.31 | 36.34 | 17.38 | 61.93 | 30.64 | 55.52 | 27.02 |

URBAN

| 4 | 19.83 | 16.97 | 11.63 | 14.37 | 27.14 | 24.85 | 26.27 | 23.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 38.64 | 28.83 | 31.64 | 32.90 | 53.69 | 51.82 | 51.71 | 49.31 |
| 6 | 57.33 | 48.47 | 61.53 | 48.98 | 73.44 | 70.21 | 71.75 | 67.75 |
| 7 | 71.69 | 61.75 | 45.53 | 63.91 | 85.23 | 78.28 | 83.55 | 76.32 |
| 8 | 71.08 | 63.16 | 60.76 | 54.16 | 87.97 | 82.77 | 86.05 | 80.55 |
| 9 | 79.64 | 59.95 | 72.74 | 77.54 | 88.91 | 82.23 | 87.79 | 80.09 |
| 10 | 78.55 | 64.56 | 61.3 J | 48.55 | 88.07 | 82.50 | 86.96 | 80.26 |
| 11 | 77.01 | 57.53 | 69.87 | 37.08 | 87.69 | 80.01 | 86.43 | 77.25 |
| 12 | 76.27 | 50.74 | 85.00 | 48.60 | 85.01 | 76.65 | 84.15 | 73.93 |
| 13 | 63.58 | 48.95 | 72.42 | 42.08 | 83.42 | 75.41 | 81.33 | 72.28 |
| 14 | 64.49 | 38.61 | 49.41 | 39.55 | 79.14 | 68.82 | 77.25 | 65.94 |

TABLE 15
Per cent distribution of workers among children (below 15 years) by occupation, 1978

| Occupation <br> Division <br> code | RURAL |  |  | URBAN |  |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Person | Male | Female | Person | Male | Femalo | Person |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9$ | $10$ |
| All workers | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 0 \& 1 | 0.46 | 0.93 | 0.65 | 0.97 | 9.36 | 3.58 | 0.50 | 1.41 | 0.87 |
| 2 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 0.44 | 0.74 | 1.07 | 0.85 | 0.19 | 0.87 | 0.47 |
| 3 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 1.04 | 0.42 | 0.85 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.17 |
| 4 | 1.78 | 0.62 | 1.30 | 17.68 | 4.16 | 13.48 | 3.16 | 0.83 | 2.21 |
| 5 | 4.38 | 4.21 | 4.31 | 13.42 | 24.43 | 16.84 | 5.16 | 5.36 | 5.24 |
| 6 | 81.97 | 83.66 | 82.67 | 15.45 | 18.36 | 16.35 | 76.23 | 79.94 | 77.74 |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 10.99 | 9.66 | 10.44 | 50.38 | 42.20 | 47.83 | 14.39 | 11.50 | 13.22 |
| X | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.08, |

0\& 1 : Professional, technical \& related workers
2 : Administrative, executive \& managerial workers.
3 : Clerical and related workers.
4 : Sales workers.
5 : Service workers.
6 : Farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers and related workers.
7,8 \& 9 : Production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers.
X : Workers not classified by occupation.

TABLE 16
Age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by religion, 1978

| Religion | Age Group |  |  |  | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 75.36 | 234.12 | 230.50 | 164.39 | 114.60 | 50.74 | 23.20 |
| Muslim | 77.15 | 230.47 | 243.29 | 211.78 | 133.40 | 73.28 | 36.20 |
| Christian | 43.52 | 145.19 | 226.16 | 145.32 | 95.29 | 37.02 | 6.79 |
| Sikh | 27.40 | 186.54 | 205.81 | 170.10 | 106.53 | 33.04 | 30.60 |
| Age specific marital fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 178.44 | 276.01 | 243.44 | 173.83 | 124.80 | 58.60 | 29.42 |
| Muslim | 191.16 | 269.88 | 255.73 | 222.32 | 142.86 | 83.61 | 46.22 |
| Christian | 247.50 | 248.99 | 268.14 | 159.25 | 104.81 | 41.46 | 8.37 |
| Sikh | 251.22 | 326.74 | 228.23 | 174.64 | 109.42 | 35.00 | 33.02 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 42.73 | 189.67 | 184.06 | 111.91 | 62.66 | 21.49 | 6.33 |
| Muslim | 45.11 | 194.94 | 212.40 | 179.56 | 107.28 | 40.25 | 8.85 |
| Christian | 38.66 | 121.52 | 117.81 | 58.98 | 58.81 | 15.47 | 6.16 |
| Sikh | 18.49 | 155.07 | 222.48 | 145.16 | 49.63 | 26.00 | 4.59 |
| Age specific marital fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 187.68 | 279.25 | 206.62 | 119.22 | 68.35 | 25.3 | 8.21 |
| Muslim | 193.36 | 276.21 | 241.37 | 189.34 | 118.44 | 47.11 | 11.41 |
| Caristian | 410.96 | 236.85 | 155.72 | 66.37 | 65.23 | 18.85 | 7.75 |
| Sikh | 307.49 | 291.18 | 251.24 | 153.03 | 50.33 | 28.13 | 4.98 |

TABLE 17 -
Age specific fertility and marital fertility rates by scheduled caste/tribe, 1978
Scheduled Caste/Tribe $\quad$ Age Group

RURAL

Age specific marital fertility rate

| Scheduled Caste | 84.91 | 224.34 | 246.39 | 161.63 | -133.90 | 58.92 | 24.19. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled Tribe 91.27 211.89 220.45 158.05 | 68.90 | 3813 | 4.40 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Scheduled <br> Caste/Tribo | 68.80 | 232.88 | 228.29 | 170.24 | 113.89 | 52.51 | 25.82. |

Age specific fertility rate

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled Caste | 172.16 | 253.76 | 257.46 | 169.64 | 145.13 | 68.30 | 30.63 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 255.61 | 263.96 | 238.28 | 167.88 | 188.85 | 43.30 | 5.61 |
| Non-Scheduled <br> Caste/Tribe | 172.86 | 281.25 | 241.95 | 180.21 | 123.28 | 60.50 | 32.67 |

## URBAN

Age specific fertility rate


Age specific marital fertility rate

| Scheduled Caste | 181.12 | 309.22 | 229.85 | 145.32 | 97.05 | 51.81 | 15.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scheduled Tribe | 271.76 | 294.82 | 219.98 | 129.69 | 140.01 | 27.74 | $\cdots$ |
| Non-Scheduled Caste/Tribe | 190.04 | 275.01 | 209.06 | 127.64 | 72.90 | 26.23 | 7.83 |

TABLE 18
Age-specific fertility and marital fertility rates by educational level of the women, 1978

| Educational | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wumen | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific fertility rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iliterate | 90.99 | 243.93 | 237.36 | 175.93 | 119.88 | 54.67 | 23.55 |
| Literate but below primary | 52.13 | 222.69 | 119.40 | 127.76 | 98.90 | 29.74 | 27.65 |
| Primary | 26.83 | 180.22 | 252.45 | 130.07 | 63.57 | 15.80 | 1.36 |
| Matric and above | 26.36 | 88.86 | 143.29 | 94.18 | 46.64 | 51.11 | 26.13 |
| Literates | 39.59 | 191.30 | 206.38 | 126.18 | 87.73 | 27.67 | 21.20 |
| Age specific marital fertility rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 180.11 | 272.23 | 247.48 | 18559 | 129.91 | 62.86 | 29.92 |
| Literate but below primary | 178.92 | 286.13 | 207.67 | 135.73 | 106.86 | 35.04 | 39.25 |
| Prinary | 181.02 | 291.60 | 284.23 | 138.48 | 69.19 | 17.86 | 1.64 |
| Matric and above | 228.41 | 249.25 | 201.64 | 111.47 | 47.94 | 56.84 | 30.11 |
| ${ }^{*}$ Literates | 181.36 | 285.43 | 231.44 | 135.03 | 94.92 | 32.47 | 31.93 |
| .. Age specific fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 80.59 | 236.72 | 216.71 | 159.05 | 92.99 | 35.84 | 7.87 |
| Literate but below Primary | 59.65 | 231.49 | 191.94 | 117.16 | 64.02 | 13.46 | 7.37 |
| Primary | 23.94 | 191.29 | 165.39. | 97.31 | 36.27 | 5.95 | 2.69 |
| Matric and above | 14.69 | 102.22 | 153.75 | 57.57 | 26.67 | 9.13 | 0.24 |
| Literares | $29.86{ }^{\prime}$ | 167.09 | 169.56 | 93.04 | 46.18 | 10.12 | 4.73 |
| URBAN . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific marital fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 117.42 | 275.57 | 231.55 | 168.33 | 103.33 | 42.64 | 10.66 |
| Literate but below primary | 203.99 | 285.56 | 205.74 | 124.30 | 6903 | 15.35 |  |
| 2 Primary | 199.87 | 290.05 | 187.05 | 102.07 | 38.42 | 6.82 | 3.13 |
| Matric and above | 184.62 | 252.82 | 202.35 | 63.81 | 29.03 | 10.10 | 0.29 |
| $\because$ Literates | 201.62 | 280.70 | 198.05 | 99.53 | 49.58 | 11.50 | 5.71 |

TABLE 19

## Age specific marital fertility rates ty age at marriage, 1978

| Age at marriage (years) | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 18 | 190.39 | 296.11 | 237.57 | 169.10 | 115.64 | 55.36 | 26.45 |
| 18-20 | 85.22 | 250.63 | 251.94 | 188.81 | 142.69 | 66.67 | 32.94 |
| 21-23 | ... | 208.44 | 267.10 | 217.88 | 161.55 | 71.44 | 77.43. |
| 24 \& over | ... | 4.83 | 239.12 | 200.89 | 128.88 | 81.83 | 15.43 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 18 | 211.19 | 286.55 | 196.05 | 126.72 | 72.75 | 29.35 | 10.03 |
| 18-20 | 71.64 | 279.08 | 216.26 | 133.42 | 84.04 | 25.94 | 6.53 |
| 21-23 | ..* | 240.06 | 246.28 | 135.94 | 68.00 | 32.73 | 8.26 |
| 24 \& over | ... | ... | 227.96 | 122.71 | 74.90 | 29.24 | 0.52 |

TABLE 20
Age specific fertility \& marital fertility rates by occupation, 1978

| Occupation of women (Division Code) | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 17.26 | 166.08 | 136.12 | 162.69 | 55.31 | 20.19 | ... |  |
| 6 | 76.89 | 215.53 | 212.21 | 138.47 | 103.66 | 44.05 | 11.97 |  |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 44.22 | 171.86 | 182.35 | 80.95 | 79.36 | 25.58 | 10.62 |  |
| Total workers | 71.24 | 207.85 | 204.18 | 133.45 | 98.90 | 41.19 | 11.43 |  |
| Nos-workers | 74.28 | 237.32 | 240.75 | 183.91 | 124.73 | 58.11 | 30.33 |  |
| Age specifie marital fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 66.30 | 258.25 | 166.09 | 197.56 | 70.82 | 26.69 | ... |  |
| 6 | 172.40 | 259.43 | 229.33 | 151.68 | 120.46 | 55,83 | 17.40 |  |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 130.58 | 258.21 | 225.14 | 93.33 | 103.88 | 35.57 | 17.22 |  |
| Total workcrs | 166.65 | 258.88 | 225.67 | 147.65 | 116.47 | 52.79 | 16.85 |  |
| Non-workers | 184.84 | 280.53 | 250.85 | 190.39 | 129.50 | 63.25 | 35.69 |  |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age specific fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 50.54 | 133.04 | 130.35 | 121.94 | 56.63 | 28.16 | 7.97 |  |
| 6 | 61.68 | 182.48 | 141.30 | 126.61 | 74.41 | 23.75 | 3.65 |  |
| - 7,8\&9 | 46.39 | 130.46 | 134.09 | 86.71 | 57.48 | 30.72 | 3.65 12.17 |  |
| Total workers | 49.28 | 126.90 | 116.26 | 93.36 | 51.38 | 22.14 | 12.17 7.09 |  |
| Non-workers | 194.47 | 196.95 | 126.32 | 73.02 | 24.81 | 22.14 6.48 | 7.09 0.85 |  |
| Age specific marital fertility rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 234.82 | 314.96 | 159.42 | 146.71 | 88.84 | 58.36 | 17.60 |  |
| 6 | 167.02 | 248.61 | 174.12 | 155.92 | 90.46 | 3.76 | 17.60 |  |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 205.47 | 242.95 | 169.11 | 106.32 | 76.78 | 3.76 | 6.11 |  |
| Total workers | 192.29 | 250.39 | 163.17 | 116.43 | 68.78 | 48.28 | 21.44 |  |
| Non-workers | 189.49 | 280.77 | 216.38 | 131.12 |  | 35.44 | 12.94 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 131.12 | 77.09 | 27.41 | 7.88 |  |

Division codes are as follows :-
5-Service workers
6-Farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers \& related workers.
7,8 \& 9 -Production and related workers, transport equipment operators \& labourers.

## TABLE 21

Age specific marital fertility rates by per capita monthly expenditure, 1978


TABLE 22 (a)
Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and religion, 1978

| Religion | Order of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 \& more | All |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | . 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 23.85 | 21.37 | 17.39 | 13.73 | 9.35 | 14.31 | 100.00 |
| Muslim | 21.26 | 18.65 | 15.26 | 13.14 | 11.16 | 20.53 | 100.00 |
| Christian | 22.95 | 21.81 | 16.78 | 13.58 | 8.90 | 15.98 | 100.00 |
| Sikh | 25.68 | 21.68 | 18.03 | 13.48 | 7.47 | 13.66 | 100.00 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 26.75 | 24.25 | 18.07 | 12.37 | 7.22 | 11.34 | 100.00 100.00 |
| Muslim | 18.83 | 19.96 | 17.29 | 12.55 | 9.94 | 21.43 | 100.00 |
| Christian | 33.76 | 30.57 | 10.19 | 9.55 | 6.37 | 9.56 |  |
| Sikh | 32.40 | 24.02 | 16.76 | 12.29 | 4.47 | 10.06 | 100.00 |
| total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.54 | 22.05 | 17.55 | 13.41 | 8.85 | 13.60 | 100.00 |
| Muslim | 20.42 | 19.10 | 15.95 | 12.94 | 10.75 | 20.84 | 100.00 |
| Christian | 24.59 | 23.14 | 15.78 | 12.97 | 8.52 | 15.00 | 100.00 |
| Sikh | 27.34 | 22.25 | 17.72 | 13.19 | 6.73 | 12.77 | 100.00 |

TABLE 22 (b)
Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and by level of education, 1978


TABLE 22 (c)
Per cent distribation of live births by order of birth and by per capita monthly expnediture, 1978


TABLE 22 (d)
Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and occupation, 1978

| Occupation of women (Division Code) | Order of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 \& more | All |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 21.47 | - 19.59 | 17.25 | 13.81 | 10.53 | 17.35 | 100.00 |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 22.60 | . 22.91 | 16.25 | 12.54 | 10.53 9.91 | 15.79 | 100.00 100.00 |
| All workers | 22.02 | 20.07 | 17.08 | 13.59 | 10.32 | 16.92 | 100.00 100.00 |
| Non-workers | 23.94 | 21.23 | 17.19. | 13.68 | 10.32 9.29 | 14.67 | 100.00 100.00 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 12.40 | 14.88 | 17.35 | 17.35 | 11.57 | 26.45 | 100.00 |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 21.59 | 16.74 | 16.74 | 13.66 | 10.13 | 21.14 | 100.00 |
| All workers | 20.99 | 20.51 | 17.65 | 12.72 | 9.06 | 19.07 . | 100.00 |
| Non-workers | 25.72 | 23.82 | 17.84 | 12.34 | 7.56 | 12.72 | 100.00 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 21.24 | 19.47 | 17.25 | 13.90 | 10.56 | 17.58 | 100.00 |
| 7, 8 \& 9 | 22.34. | 21.30 | 16.38 | 12.83 | 9.97 | 17.18 | 100.00 |
| All workers | 21.92 | 20.11 | $17 \cdot 14$ | 13.50 | 10.19 | 17.14 | 100.00 |
| Non-workers | 24.45 | 21.97 | 17.38 | 13.29 | 8.80 | 14.11 | 100.00 |

Note: $\quad 6$ - Farmers, fishermen, hunters, loggers and related workers.
7, $8 \& 9$ - Production and related workers, transport equipment operators and labourers.

TABLE 22 (e)
Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and age at marriage, 1978

| Age at marriage | Order of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 \& moro | All |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | . 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 18. | 21.54 | 19.99 | 17.08 | 14.14 | 10.12 | 17.13 | 100.00 |
| 18-20 | 27.40 | 22.46 | 17.98 | 13.06 | 8.52 | 10.58 | 100.00 |
| 21 \& over | 33.64 | 26.94 | 16.12 | 10.06 | 6.24 | 7.00 | 100.00 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 18 | 19.60 | 21.45 | 18.51 | 13.71 | 9.34 | 17.39 | 100.00 |
| 18-20 | 32.85 | 26.93 | - 18.46 | 10.47 | 5.30 | 5.99 | 100.00 |
| 21 \& over | 42.99 | 28.97 | 13.40 | 8.52 | 2.80 | 3.32 | 100.00 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 18 | 21.10 | 20.32 | 17.40 | 14.05 | 9.95 | 17.18 | 100.00 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| 18-20 | 28.90 | 23.70 | 18.11 | 12.34 | 7.63 | 9.32 | 100,00 |
| 218 over | 37.03 | 27.68 | 15.13 | 9.50 | 4.99 | 5.67 | 100.00 |

TABLE 22 (f)
Per cent distribution of live births by order of birth and by scheduled caste/tribe, 1978

| Scheduled Caste/ Scheduled Tribe | Order of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5. | 6 \& more | All |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| RURAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled Casto | 23.15 | 20.10 | 16.47 | 14,02 | 10.15 | 16.11 | 100.00 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 23.85 | 21.25 | 16.44 | 12.44 | 10.06 | 15.96 | 100.00 |
| Non-Schoduled Caste/Tribe | 23.59 | 21.15 | 17.30 | 13.67 | 9.37 | 14.92 | 100.00 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled Caste | 23.09 | 20.30 | 18.45 | 13.11 | 8.35 | 16.70 | 100.00 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 27.73 | 26.05 | 10.08 | 10.93 | 7.56 | 17.65 | 100.00 |
| Non-Schoduled Casto/Tribe | 25.70 | 23.85 | 17.83 | 12.32 | 7.57 | 12.73 | 100.00 |
| total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled Casto | 23.14 | 20.14 | 16.88 | 13.83 | 9.78 | 16.23 | 100.00 |
| Scheduled Tribe | 24.08 | 21.54 | 16.06 | 12.35 | 9.91 | 16.06 | 100.00 |
| Non-Scheduled Caste/Tribe | 24.16 | 21.89 | 17.45 | 13.30 | 8.87 | 14.33 | 100.00 |

TABLE 23 (a)
Per cent child deaths among top ten causes of death classified by age \& sex, 1978


| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RURAL . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 15.2 | 9 | 10.1 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 7 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 11.0 | 3 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 3 | 6.9 | 11.7 | 9.7 | 12 | 18.1 | 16.2 | 17.0 |
| 17 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 3 | 3.1 | 14.3 | 9.9 | 3 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 2 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 19 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 5 | 6.4 | 22.2 | 14.8 |
| 9 | 5.3 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 7 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 19 | 6.5 | 11.5 | 9.5 | 9 | 7.2 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 2 | 3.0 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 1 | 16.0 | 6.9 | 11.1 |
| 7 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 2 | 13.1 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 9 | 7.0 | 10.5 | 9.2 | 7 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 12 | 12.0 | 3.4 | 7.1 | 9 | 4.1 | 9.4 | 6.9 |
| 2 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 19 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 2 | 4.5 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 10 | 8.1 | 2.9 | 5.3 | 1 | 3.5 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 16 | 2.0 | 8.9 | 5.7 |
| 1 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 1 | 3.3 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 11 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 12 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 9 | 7.5 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 4 | 0.8 | 9.1 | 5.3 |
| 3 | 3.1 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 4 | 1.2 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 7 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 7 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 5.1 |
| 10 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 12 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 4 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 11 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 15 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 19 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 3.9 |
| 19 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 10 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 15 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 14 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 10 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2 | 5.6 | ... | 2.6 |
| 4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 15 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 10 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 16 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 14 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 14 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 2.1 |

## Code :

1. Malaria
2. Dysentery
3. Infantile liver
4. Prematurity
5. Influenza
6. Bronchitis
7. Jaundice
8. Congenital mal-
9. Typhoid
10. Pneumonia
11. Gastro-enteritis
12. Food poisoning
13. Other disorder's of
14. Measles
formation
15. Tetanus
16. Diarrhoea
17. Diptheria
18. Accidents and injuries.

TABLE 23 (b)
Per cent child deaths among top ten causes of death classified by age \& sex, 1978

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cause } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { death } \\ & \text { (Code) } \end{aligned}$ | Below 1 year |  |  | Causeofdeath(Code) | 1 year |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cause } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { death } \\ & \text { (Code) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cause } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { death } \\ & \text { (Code) } \end{aligned}$ | 3 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male Fe | male B | Person |  | Male | Female P | Person |  | Male | Female | Person |  | Male | Female | Person |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16. |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 11.1 | 9 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 9 | 5.9 | 13.4 | 10.6 | 9 | 7.4 | 22.4 | 16.5 |
| 15 | 8.5 | . 4.6 | 6.6 | 3 | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 19 | ... | 15.0 | 9.4 | 2 | $7.4{ }^{\bullet}$ | 16.9 | 13.2 |
| 7 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 2 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 7 | ... | 12.4 | 7.8 | 3 | 11.5 | 7.5 | 9.1 |
| 11 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 19 | ... | 10.1 | 5.9 | 2 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 6.8 | 14 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 7.3 |
| 2 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 7 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 3 | 10.5 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 1 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 6.8 |
| 19 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 11 | 12.6 | ... | 5.2 | 1 | 10.2 | ... | 3.8 | 7 | ... | 11.2 | 6.8 |
| 18 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 8 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 15 | 8.7 | ... | 3.2 | 5 | ... | 6.9 | 4.2 |
| 4 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 1 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 10 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 8 | ... | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 15 | 4.9 | $\cdots$ | 2.1 | 4 | ... | 4.3 | 2.7 | 20 | ... | 3.1 | 1.9 |
| 1 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 12 | 4.7 | ... | 2.0 | 14 | ... | 3.8 | 2.4 | 4 | ... | 0.8 | 0.5 |

Code :

1. Màlarià
2. Dysentery
3. Infantile liver
4. Prematurity
5. Influenza
6. Bronchitis
7. Jaundice
8. Congenital malformation
9. Typhoid
10. Pneumönia
11. Measles
12. Diarrhoca
13. Gastro-enteritis
14. Food Poisoning
15. Other disorders of
16. Tetanus
17. Diptheria

## TABLE 24 (a)

Cause specific death rates per 100,000 children by age \& Sex, 1978 .


## 74

TABLE 24 (b)
Cause specific dath rates par 103,93) chill rea by age and sex, 1978

|  | Below 1 year |  |  | Caus of Death(code) | 1 year |  | Cause |  | 2 years |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cause } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ofeath } \\ \text { (code) } \end{gathered}$ | 3 years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male Female Person |  |  |  | Male | Female Person (code) |  |  | Male Female Person |  |  |  | Male Female Person |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14. | 15 | 16 |
| URBAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 10.21 | 649 | 849 | 9 | 205 | 286 | 246 | 9 | 42 | 165 | 109 | 9 | 47 | 208 | 127 |
| 15 | 649 | 343 | 501 | 3 | 118 | 164 | 141 | 19 | ... | 184 | 96 | 2 | 47 | 156 | 101 |
| 7 | 327 | 493 | 408 | 2 | 118 | 114 | 116 | 7 | ... | 152 | 80 | 3 | 72 | 69 | 70 |
| 11 | 365 | 309 | 338 | 19 | ... | 192 | 96 | 2 | 80 | 51 | 70 | 14 | 58 | 57 | 56. |
| 2 | 262 | 360 | 309 | 7 | 109 | 63 | 86 | 3 | 75 | 46 | 64 | 1 | 82 | 27 | 53 |
| 19 | 210 | 366 | 286 | 11 | 170 | ... | 85 | 1 | 73 | ... | 39 | 7. | ... | 103 | 52 |
| 18 | 329 | 231 | 281 | 8 | 44 | 104 | 74 | 15 | 62 | ... | 34 | 5 | ... | 64 | 32 |
| 4 | 293 | 225 | 260 | 1 | 98 | 48 | 73 | 10 | 31 | 25 | 30. | 8 | ... | 32 | 16 |
| 3 | 221 | 281 | 250 | 15 | 67 | ... | 33 | 4 | ... | 53 | 28 | 20 | $\cdots$ | 29 | 15 |
|  | 308 | 514. | 180 | 12 | 64 | ... | 32 | 14 | ... | 47 | 25 | 4 | ... | 8 | 4 |

TABLE 25
Per cent distribution of children by age, sex and type of food, 1978


## TABLE 26

Per cent children by present age, sex, and type of child care when mother goes to work


TABLE 27
Per cent children sick among the top ten sicknesses classificd by age and sex, 1978


## TABLE 28

Incidence rate of sickness per $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ children by age \& sex, 1978


## APPENDIX II SCHEDULE

## INPANT AND CHILD MORTALITY SURVEY 1979

## Section A : Identification and Classificatory Particulars of the Sample Unit



1. State
2. District
3. Taluk/Police Station/Anchal/Block
4. Sample Unit Code
5. Rural/Urban : Rural-1. Urban-2
6. Whether water supply available in the sample unit : if yes-1. no-2.
7. Whether electric power supply available in the sample unit : if yes-1. No-2.
8. Distance to the nearest motorable road : in the sample unit-1, less than $2 \mathrm{kms} .-2,2$ to $5 \mathrm{kms} .-3$. 5 kms . to 10 kms . -4 . and ten kms. above- 5 .
9. Type of approach road from sample unit to motorable road : pucca-1, kutcha-2.
10. Distance to the nearest bus stand: in the sample unit- 1 , less than $2 \mathrm{kms},-2,2$ to $5 \mathrm{kms},-3,5 \mathrm{kms}$, to $10 \mathrm{kms},-4, \quad 10 \mathrm{kms}$, and over-5.
11. Distance to the nearest railway station : in the sample unit-1. less than $2 \mathrm{kms}-\mathbf{- 2}$. 2 to $5 \mathrm{kms} .-3$. 5 to $10 \mathrm{kms} .-4.10 \mathrm{kms}$, and over-5.
12. (a) Distance to the nearest primary school : in the sample unit-1, less than $2 \mathrm{kms},-2$. 2 to $5 \mathrm{kms},-3,5$ to $10 \mathrm{kms},-4,10 \mathrm{kms}$, and over-5.
(b) Distance to the nearest high school/higher secondary school: in the sample unit-1. below 2 kms . -2.2 to $5 \mathrm{kms},-3$. 5 to $10 \mathrm{kms},-4.10 \mathrm{kms}$, and over-5.
13. Distance to the nearest post offlce : in the sample unit-1, less than $2 \mathrm{kms},-2,2$ to $5 \mathrm{kms},-3$, 5 to $10 \mathrm{kms},-4.10 \mathrm{kms}$, and over-5.
14. General mode of transport : Rail-1. Motor vehicles-2, Cycle/Rickshaw-3, Bullock carts/ horse carts-4. None-5. othors-6.
15. Whether any medical facility available in the sample unit : yes-1. no-2,
(a) if yes:
(i) Allopathic hospital/dispensary/clinic
(ii) Homeopathic dispensary/clinic
(iii) Ayurvedic dispensary/clinic
(iv) Unani dispensary/clinic1
if no:
(i) Distance to the nearest hospital/dispensary/clinic : below $2 \mathrm{kms}-1.2$ to $5 \mathrm{kms}-2$. 5 kms to $10 \mathrm{kms}-3.10 \mathrm{kms}$ and over-4.
(ii) Whethor the hospital/dispensary/clinic connected by pucca/kutcha road. pucca-1. kutchs-2.

## Section : B Identification and Classificatory particulars of the household



| Sl. <br> No. | Name | Relationship to head | Nucleus family link | Sex |  | Merital status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For Children } \\ & 0-14 \\ & \text { attending } \\ & \text { school } \\ & \text { yes-1, } \\ & \text { no-2, } \end{aligned}$ | Educational level* | Occupation | For all ever-married women only |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Age at marriage | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marri- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { dura- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Tot Chil bor aliv | $\begin{aligned} & \text { al } \\ & \text { ldren } \\ & \mathbf{n} \\ & \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$ | Total childre survi ving |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M | F | T | M F | T |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | $12 \quad 13$ | 14 | 15 | 16.17 | 18 |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
*Illiterate-1, Literate but below primary-2, Primary or above but below matriculation-3, Matriculation or above but not graduate-4, Graduate and above-5.

Section : D Details of births, infant deaths and child deaths during 1973-78

|  |  | 1973 |  |  | 1974 |  |  | 1975 |  |  | 1976 |  |  | 1977 |  |  | 1978 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI. No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Item } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |


|  | DETAILS OF BIRTHS |  |  | - |  | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sl. No. of women in Sec. C............... |  | -0.0.0.0.0.0.0. |  |  | . |
| 2 | Time of birth of child .................. |  |  | --.......- | -...................... | ........... |
| 3 | Birth order of the child.................. |  | .0.0.0.0.0.0.* | $\bullet$ | ......................... | -........-........... |
| 4 | Sex *..................- |  | ** | .0.0.s.0................. | -..0.0.0. .t.0 |  |
| 5 | Type of medical attention |  |  |  | - | . |
|  | at birth $\quad$.................. | -0000.0.0.0.0.. | .0.0.0.0........ | ... | - | -..................... |
| 6 | If no attention, reason ................... | .. | ........0......... | .... | -600.0.0.0.0.00.0.0. |  |
| 7 | Was the birth registered.................. | ...0.0.0.0.0.0.0 | -...8............. |  | ........................ | .a.................... |
|  | Yes-1, No-2 ................... | ..0.0............. | -0.0.0.0.0.***** | -..7.0 - | -** | .0.0.0........ ...... |
| 8 | If no, reason ................... | ** | ................... |  | ................0.0....0. |  |
|  | DETAILS OF DEATHS |  |  | - |  |  |
| 9 | Sl, No, of women in Sec.C............... |  | . | -1.0.0.0.0.............. | ........................ | -6.0.0.0.-....... |
| 10 | Time of death of the child .............. | ..........0......** | .** • ............ |  | ........................ |  |
| 11 | Sex -................... | ......0.0.........* | -0.0.0.0.0....... |  |  |  |
| 12 | Age of death ................... | ................ | . | ...1.0.0.0.0.8.......... |  |  |
| 13 | Time of birth of the child............... | -.................* | *-0.0.0.......... | -0...................... |  | .................... |
| 14 | Type of medical attention, |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | at death ................... | . | -................. |  |  | . |
| 15 | If no attention, reason .................. | -................** | . |  |  |  |
| 16 | Cause of death ......... ...... | - | . |  |  |  |
| 17 | Was the death registered ?................ | ...8.............** | -..0.0.0.0.....** |  |  |  |
|  | Yes-1, No-2 .................. | -0.0***..........* | - | .................................... |  |  |
| 18 | If no, reason ...................* | -...0.0.0.0......** | .............. |  |  |  |

## Section E.: Details of living children (0-6 years as on 31-12-1978) :



1. . Sl. No. of child in section
2. (a) Whether the child was immunised : Yes-1. No-2. If yes : Small pox-1 ...... ...... BCG-2
Triple Vaccine-3
Polio Vaccine-4
-..... -.....
3. Who looks after the child when mother goes to work, mother herself-1 Grand parents-2, Other person in the house-3. Servant-4. Other persons not related to the household-5. none-6 $\qquad$
4. The type of the food the child is mostly given.
5. milk-1

| -0.*** | ***** | ******** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| **.... | **...0 | . . ***** |
| ***** | -.**** | -**** . |
| ***** | *...... | ******* |
| ***** | ***** | ******* |
| ....... | ****** | ****** |

$\qquad$ -•*e.e....
2. wheat-2
3. rice-3...
4. millets-4
5. Other non-vegetarian food-5
*-*...
*******
5. - (a) Whether the child is physically handicapped.

Yes-1. No-2
If yes, totally blind-1

totally dumb-2.
totally disabled-3
(Both arms or both legs or all four limbs crippled)
6. (a) Whotber the child was sick during the reference period (two weeks prior to the date of survey) : Yes-1. No-2.
(i) If yes, type of illness,
(1)
(2)
$\qquad$
(3) .........................................................
(4) $\qquad$


| -0000.00 | *08****** | ******** | ******** | ******* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ******** | ******* | ******** | ******* | ******** |
| -0****** | ******** | -0****** | -80.0.0.0. | ******** |
| ******* | ******* | ******** | -******* | ****** |

(ii) Duration of illness.
(1)
(2)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(3)
(4)
$\qquad$ .0.e.e...e.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


| ******* | ******* | ******* | ******* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -*.....** | ******** | ******** | ******** |
| ******** | -9***** | ******** | -90****** |
| *.....t.e. | ****** | -**** |  |

(iii) Type of medical attention.
(1)
(2)
(3)
$\qquad$
(4) $\qquad$
(iv) Reasons for no attention.
(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## APPENDIX III

Crude Birth Rate \& Infant Mortality For Selected Countries

## CRUDE BIRTH RATE \& INFANT MORTALITY FOR SELECTED COUNTRIES

| $\therefore$ Name of the country |  | Year | Birth rate | Year | Infant mortality rato |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| AFRICA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algeria |  | 1969-71 | 50 | 1969-71 | 142 |
| Egypt |  | 1976 | 39 | 1974 | 108 |
| Gambia |  | 1973 | 49-50 | 1973 | 217 |
| Ghana |  | 1970 | 48 | 1970 | 115 |
| Kenya | - | 1967 | 50 | 1969 | 119 |
| Losotho |  | 1971 | 37 | 1971 | 114 |
| Mauritius |  | 1976 | 26 | 1976 | 40 |
| Mozambique |  | 1970 | 42-48 | 1970 | 148 |
| Rwanda |  | 1970 | 48-50 | 1970 | 127 |
| Seychelles |  | 1976 | 27 | 1976 | 35 |
| Tanzania | - | 1973 | 45-49 | 1973 | 120-130 |
| AMERICA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bolivia |  | 1976 | 46 | 1976 | 157 |
| Chile |  | 1975 | 24 | 1975 | - 61 |
| Columbia |  | 1975-76 | 34 | 1973 | 98 |
| Venezuela |  | 1976 | 36 | 1965-70 | 45 |
| U.S.A. |  | 1976 | 15 | 1976 | 15 |
| ASIA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Afghanistan |  | 1972-73 | 50-53 | 1972-73 | 217-235 |
| Bangla Desh . |  | 1974 | 48 | 1969-74 | 153 |
| Hong Kong |  | 1976 | 18 | 1976 | 114 |
| India* |  | 1978 | 33 | 1978 | 125 |
| Indonesia |  | 1971 | 42 | 1971 | 126 |
| Iran |  | 1973-76 | 42 | 1973-76 | 104 |
| Japar |  | 1975 | 16 | 1975 | 10 |
| Jordan |  | 1961 | 48 | 1972 | 97 |
| Korea |  | 1975 | 26 | 1970 | 47 |
| EUROPE, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway |  | 1976 | 13 | 1976 | 10 |
| Spain |  | 1976 | 18 | 1676 | 16 |
| Sweden |  | 1976 | 12 | 1976 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| United Kingdom |  | 1976 | 12 | 1976 | 14 |
| SOUTH-PACIFIC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australia |  | 1976 | 16 | 1975 | 14 |
| Fiji Island |  | 1976 | 29 | 1974 | 41 16 |
| New Zealand |  | 1976 | 18 | 1976 | 16 |

Source :- World Population 1977 Recent Demographic Estimates for the Countries and regions of the World, U.S. Bureau of Census.

* Obtained from the survey.


[^0]:    *Excludes Bihar and West Bengal.
    **Differs from SRS on account of (i) the populatsut woil relates to the end of 1978 instead of mid-yoar inymixisu(ii) excludes events netted by enumerator in tho $S K S$ N winad by computor-supervisor in this survoy.

