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## From

R. B. PITT, Esquire,<br>Superintendent, Deccan Revenue Survey;

To
The COLLECTOR of SATA'RA.

## Deccan Survery Superintendent's Office, Pocna, 24th April 1894.

Sir,
I have the honor to submit for the consideration of Government proposals for the revision settlement of 117 Government villages which, together with 88 Inám villages (not dealt with in this report), now constitute the Pátan Táluka of your Collectorate.
2. My proposals also include certain portions of Government land included in 8 Inám villages of the same Trluka, and portions of land scattered over the Táluka formerly belonging to the old hill forts of Morgiri and Wasantgad. The latter fort, however, is situated in the Karád Taluka.
3. The following is a short history of the formation of the Táluka:-At the

Changes and persent constitution.
time of the original settlement the 117 Government and 88 Inám villages above mentioned formed portions of the Tárgaon and Karád Tálukas. In the year 1862, under the authority of Government Resolution No. 20636, dated 7th July 1862, a redistribution of the villages of the charges of the Mámlatdárs and Mahálkaris of the Sátára Collectorate was effected, by which 66 Government and 55 Inám villages from Tárgaon, and 37 Government and 9 Inám villages from Karád were made into a separate Táluka and named Pátan. In 1866-67 the Táluka was further augmented by the transfer of 12 more Government and 24 Inám villages from Tárgaon, and 2 Government villages from Karád, thus making up its present total of 117 Government and 88 Inám villages.

- Government Resolution No. 3109, dated 30th Augnst 1866.

4. The 88 Inám villages referred to above have no place in this report; yet, a short summary of their present condition with regard to survey operations seems necessary, and is given below.

In 26 villages the first survey settlement has been introduced, but the Inámdárs have not as yet applied for the revised rates although the term of their original lease has expired with that of the Government villages of the Taluka. 32 other villages have been measured and classed for the first time, but the owners have not asked for the introduction of the survey settlement. The remaining 30 villages have not yet been surveyed.
5. The settlements now in force in the 117 Government villages under report were made in the years and under the authority shown below:-

6. The revision measurement of the Táluka has been done on the partial evision measurement. system, sanctioned by Government for Sátára and as it has been described so often in previons reports, needs but little further comment. The principle has been to utilize the old measurements to the fullest extent possible, making only such new measurements as are required for the demarcation of all separately recorded khátas, the separate records of newly irrigated areas and the general corrections of boundaries, roads, \&c., up to date. The result of these operations is summarized in the subjoined statement:-

| OLD. |  | Remeasured have become <br> Confirmed <br> ... | New. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Numbers. | Acres, |  | Survey Numbers. | Acres. |
| 1,912 | 10,892 |  | 3,061 | 10,722 |
| 14,612 | 189,257 |  | 14,612 | 189,257 |
| 16,524 | 200,149 |  | 17,673 | 199,979 |

and the differences of area found in individual fields are tabulated below :-

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Villages. } \end{gathered}$ | Total old Numbers. | Numbers in which difperexce was |  |  |  |  |  | Included in Villagesites, Foresta, tc. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Numbers } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { remeasured. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within 1 per cent. | 2 to 5 per cent. | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { to } 10 \\ \text { per cent. } \end{gathered}$ | 11 to 15 per cent. | 16 to 20 per cent. | Over 20 per cent. |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 117 | 16,524 | 584 | 548 | 193 | 75 | 48 | 106 | 358 | 14,612 |

and, in the following statement are given the causes to which the more important differences are attributable:-

| Total old num. bers in which difference exceeded 15 per cent. | Numbers in which differenoe is attribetable to |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allavion or Diluvion. | Alterations in roads, | Mistakes in old work. | Other reasons. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 154 | 150 | 3 | 1 | .. $\cdot$. |

The excellent character of the old work is very evident from the above.
7. In Pátan, as in all the Sátára sub-divisions hitherto revised, the old Re-classification. classification has been accepted as the basis for the new settlement, and a graduated addition made to each field proportioned to its advantage of position. All old survey numbers, now re-divided, have had the old classification adjusted to them, and all lands brought under irrigation during the currency of the lease have been reclassed both for soil and water, old Patasthal being reclassed for water only. Patasthal irrigation has nearly doubled since the former settlement and has entailed a great deal of time and labour in the careful examination of each Bandhára, and in obtaining detailed information as to their respective capabilities and the areas irrigated. This will be referred to further on.
8. The subjoined statement shows in detail the extent to which actual re-classification has had to be resorted to :-

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Villages. } \end{gathered}$ | Total SurveyNumbers and Pot Numbers. | Total Acres. | Reglagsid. |  | Prrcentag. |  | Village sites, Ronds, te., not reclaged Acrea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Survey Numbers. | Acres. | Survey Numbers. | Acres, |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8. |
| 117 | 17,673 | 195,494 | 2,066 | 7,818 | 11.7 | $4 \cdot 0$ | 4,485 |

9. In the subjoined table the areas obtained by revision survey are contrasted with the old record under each head of cultipation :-


The difference in area between the two survey records is trifling, being 168 acres, or $0 \cdot 1$ per cent. only. The decrease under the head of dry-crop is accounted for by the increases under the irrigated heads, garden showing 2,213 acres, or 93' per cent., and rice 974 acres, or $18{ }^{\circ}$ per cent.
10. Pátan, the must south-western Taluka of the Sátára Collectorate, is

Positiou and physical features. very similar in its physical features to Javli and the western half of Sátara, immediately to the south of both which Tálukas it is situated. It is bounded on the east by the Karád Taluka, on the south by Válva and on the west principally by the Chiplún, and for a short distance by the Sangameshvar Tálukas of the Ratnagiri Collectorate. It has an extreme length, north to south, of 32 miles, and east to west an extreme breadth of 27 miles. The district may be described as hilly and undulating and divided into a series of valleys, chief of which is the Koina valley, forming the principal feature in the landscape with lofty Sahyádri range on the west separating the Taluka from the Konkan. The lesser valleys are the Morgiri in the south-west, the Tárli and Cháphal valleys in the north-east, and the Koleh valley, in the south-east. The three latter, together with the Koina valley, gradually open out eastwards into the plains of the Krishna and present much the same aspect and soil as in the west of Karad.
11. Pátan has an abundant supply of suface water. The chief river in the district is the Koina, which, entering from Javli in the north-east, flows nearly due south for some 12 miles to Helwák, whence abruptly turning eastwards, it continues its course past Patan through the centre of the Táluka eventually joining the Krishna, of which it is the principal feeder, at Karad. The tributaries of the Koina are the Kera, which rising in the north joins the Koina at Pátan, and the river Morna, which takes its rise in the south-west of the Táluka
and after flowing through the Morgiri Valley falls into the Koina at Chopdi, a few miles to the east of Pátan. Another river, the Tarli, a small feeder of the Krishna, rises in the north-west, some 12 miles above the village of Tárla, and flowing south-east joins the Krishna at Umbraj in Karád. A smaller river, the Vang, rising in the south, drains that portion of the district, and leaving the Táluka at Kádhna falls into the Koina in Karád. There are, besides the above, numerous streams, which though not flowing throughout the year are of extreme value, and much utilized for irrigation by means of Eatcha Bandháras. The Pátasthal irrigation in this district has, as will be noticed further on, nearly doubled since the first settlement.
12. With respect to the climate of Patan I can-

Climate-Appendix A,
Vide Appendix R. not do better than quote the opinions expressed by Major W. C. Anderson in the 4th paragraph of his letter No. 466, dated the 30th December 1862:-
Para 4:- "The valley of the Krishna, the lower part of the Koina and Koleh valleys consist for the most part of the finest alluvial black soil, and the annual rains are as certain and reliable as is well possible; as in Tárgaon and Sátara the fall of rain increases in severity as one proceeds to the west, and finally in the hill villages at the head of the Koleh valley and on the table-land at the top of the hills which bound this valley, the drycrop cultivation is, with the exception of very small patches here and there, confined to the hill grains, náchni, \&c., grown on land which is ancontinuously cultivated, being after cultivation for 2 or 3 years left fallow for from 3 to 6 years."

From Appendix A, which is a statement obtained from the Agricultural Department for seven years, namely,-from 1886 to 1892, it will be seen, that the average rainfall registered at Pátan amounts to $72^{\circ} 29^{\prime \prime}$; further, that it has been fairly distributed for the cultivation of Kharif and Rabi crops; the preponderance is, however, with the former, the proportionate fall being about $\frac{2}{3}$ rds for Kharif and $\frac{1}{3}$ rd for Rabi.
13. The following statement, which is a précis of Appendix B, shows the

Crops-Appendix $B$. different crops grown in the Táluka during the last five years and the proportionate area covered by each, the figures for which have been obtained from the Director of Land Records and Agriculture :-

|  | Percentage <br> of cultivated area, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cereals.-Coarse-grains $30 \cdot 4$, Jovári 15.0, rice $5 \cdot 8$, wheat $1 \cdot 2$, Bajri 1.0, \&cc. $2 \cdot 2 . .$. | 55.6 |
| Pulses.-Mug and Udid 3.8, gram 1.7, Tur 1.0, Math and Kulith 0.1, \&c. 0.5... | $7 \cdot 1$ |
| Fibres.-Hemp 0.1... ... | $0 \cdot 1$ |
| Oil-seeds.-Ground-nut 7.7, niger-seed 2.4, safflower-seed 0.2 ... | $10 \cdot 3$ |
| Orchard and garden cultivation.-Vegetables 0.2 ... | 0:2 |
| Hiscellaneous.-Sugar-cane 0.5, condiments and spices 0.3 ... | 0.8 |
| Total gross area cropped ... Deduct-Area twice cropped ... | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \cdot 1 \\ & 10 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Remainder-Net area cropped $\begin{array}{r}\text { Add-Fallow }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \cdot 9 \\ & 36 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | $100 \cdot 0$ |

The proportion of the crops grown, it will be seen, is $\frac{3}{4}$ the "Kharif" and $\frac{1}{4}$ th "Rabi". Under the head of Cereals, coarse grains show a percentage of 30'4; next comes "jovari" with 15.0 per cent., rice third, with 5.8 per cent., wheat fourth, with $1 \cdot 2$ per cent., fifth bajri with 1.0 per cent., and miscellaneous with $2 \cdot 2$ per cent. ; total $55 \cdot 6$. Rice, nagli, sava and varai are the staple food-grains of the people in the northern and western portions of the district; jovári and náchni in the south, and in the east principally jovári. Of pulses, mug and udid bear 3.8 per cent., gram 1.7 per cent., tur 1.0 , math and kulith 0.1 , and miscellaneous 0.5 per cent. Hemp is the only crop under fibres and beaps a
percentage of $0 \cdot 1$ ouly. Under the head of Oil-seeds "bluimug," or groundnut, shows a high percentage of 7.7 , niger-seed next with 2.4 per cent., and last, safflower 0.2 per cent. It is worthy of notice here, that of late years the cultivation of ground-nut has wonderfully increased in this Táluka and promises to extend considerably. Under the head of Orchard and Garden Cultivation vegetables show only 0.2 per cent. Sugarcane 0.5 per cent. and condiments and spices 0.3 per cent. are the only crops under the head of Miscellaneous. The fallow area $36 \cdot 1$ per cent. appears large, but is not more than the hilly district warrants.

Referring to column 11 of Appendix B, it will be seen that there are in all 3,548 acres under irrigation from water supplied from sources other than canals. According to the figures obtained from the Agricultural Department the average of the crops grown during the five years 1887-88 to 1891-92 are as follows:--

| Wheat |  | $\ldots$ | ... | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Acres. } \\ & 1,109 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rice ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 699 |
| Other cereals and pulses | ... | ... | ... | 544 |
| Sugar-cane ... | ... | ... | ... | 565 |
| Other food crops ... | ... | ... | ... | 334 |
| Non-food crops ... | ... | ... | ... | 643 |
| Fodder crops ... | ... | ... | ... |  |
| Total area irrigated <br> Deduct-Area cropped more than once |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,894 \\ 346 \end{array}$ |
| Balance-Net irrigated area |  |  |  | 3,548 |

Wheat covers about $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of the total area. Rice comes next, non-food crops third, and sugarcane fourth, bearing a proportion of about $\frac{1}{6}$ th.
14. Rice is grown to a more or less extent in almost every village of the Táluka and covers an area of some 6,513 acres, which is an increase of some 18.0 per cent. on the area cultivated at the former settlement. The crops raised, hovever, are principally of the poorer descriptions, such as dodka, mhásad, ousta, mánjarvel, jog, \&c., in the proportion of $\frac{3}{4}$ th to $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the better varieties, $i$. e., támsál, kálisál, \&c. Prices of the former vary from Rs. 55 to Rs. 60 per khandi of 1,280 seers, and of the latter from Rs. 80 to Rs. 85 per khandi.
15. There are only two made roads in the district under report. Both are in the charge of the Public Works Department Commanications. and are described below :-

1. The Karád-Kumbhárli Glaát Road.-This is a Provincial road, 30 miles in extent, metalled, culverted, and bridged. Starting from Karád it enters the district on the east at Viha, and touching Malharpeth, Pátan and Helwak passes on over the Kumbharrli Ghat on the western boundary to the large town and tidal port of Chiplun in the Konkan some 24 miles distant. This road existed at the time of the first survey, but it was not open for through traffic until 1864 after the settlement.
2. The Malhárpeth-Pandharpur Road.-This is a Local Fund road, 3 miles in extent only, metalled, culverted and bridged. It branches off from the abovementioned road near Malharpeth and joins the PoonaKolhápur road at Umbraj in Karád, passing on to Pandharpur through the Railway station of Masur on the Southern Marátha line.

* In addition to these made roads there are several other cleared tracks. Of these the following are repaired annually by the Local Funds:-

[^0]в 1664-2
(1). -The track from Yerád to Mála on the east vid Panchgani, branches of from the made road near Pátan and goes on to Morgiri up to which it is cleared for carts. Beyond it is a bullock track up to Mála, whence it descends down the Mala Glát to Sangameshvar in the Konkan.
(2).-Another track from Nadeh to Máldan, 10 miles in extent; it leaves the main road near Pátan, and is a cleared track for carts only, as far as Marli.
(3). Another track, also from Pátan to Tárla, through Vajroshi, 10 miles in extent, a cleared bullock track only. Tárla has direct communication with Sátára and is a good market.
The Koina river is not fordable during the monsoon, but there are two crossings on the first two mentioned tracks, one at Yerád and the other at Sángwad, at each of which ferry boats ply during the rainy season. The average annual amounts realized by these ferries for the last three years are Rs. 62 and Rs. 75 respectively.
16. Tolls are levied on the two undermentioned made roads, and are yearly auctioned. The following statement shows the sums annually realized tor the past three years. The decrease in the realizations is doubtless due to the opening of the Southern Marátha Railway above referred to :-

| Name of the made Rond. | Name of the Toll. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name of the } \\ & \text { Fillage } \\ & \text { withln the } \\ & \text { Iimits of which } \\ & \text { the Toll is } \\ & \text { sitcate. } \end{aligned}$ | Sums realized by farming out the Tolls in the years |  |  | Renaris. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1800. | 1891. | 1802. |  |
| 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text { Karid-Kumbharli } & \text { Ghat } \\ \text { Road } & . . & \ldots \end{array}$ | Kesh Pass Toll ... | Viha ... .. | 12,250. | 8,600 | 7,325 | Have no sub.ordinatetolis. |
|  | Koina Bridge Toll | Marul | 19,515 | 14,500 | 12,555 |  |
|  | Kumbharli Ghat Toll . | Dhankal ... | 18,630 | 14,500 | 12,330 |  |
| $\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \text { Malhápeth-Pandharpur } \\ \text { Boad } & \ldots & . . \\ . . . \end{array}\right\}$ | Urul Pass Toll... $\quad .$. | Urul ... .. | 4,530 | 3,000 | 4,001 |  |
|  |  | Total ... | 51,925 | 40,600 | 36,211 |  |

17. Considering its position, the hilly nature of the district and the somewhat backward condition of many of the villages at the first settlement, Patan must now be considered very well off as regards market towns, possessing no less than ten within its limits. Beyond I'arla perhaps none of them, however, are of much more than local importance, their weekly sales averaging far below Rs. 1,000 . The large town of Karád, with its adjacent railway station, situated within a few miles of its eastern boundary, absorbs a large proportion of the export trade of the Táluka; while on the west a fair share of district produce finds its way viá the KarádKumbharli Ghát road, and Mála Ghát track to the tidal ports of Chiplún and Sangameshvar in the Konkan, distant 24 and 16 miles, respectively, from the western boundary of Patan.
18. The chief export is 'bhuimug' or ground-nut. It is grown princiExports. pally in the eastern villages of the Taluka, extending westwards to within a radius of 5 miles round Patan. Its cultivation since the first settlement has wonderfully increased. It was then raised only as an irrigated crop over an area of some 570 acres; whereas now, stimulated by the great demand for its produce for exportatic to Furope, it is chiefly cultivated in the mál and jiráyet lands as a rain cror or /ing an
area of some 8,811 acres. Formerly, most of the produce four area of some 8,811 acres. Formerly, most of the produce four ,ay down
to the tidal port of Chiplún on the western coast viit the Kumbharli Gurt ; but since the opening of the Southern Maratha Railway, the bulk of it is cartod to Karad, where, within the last few years, in addition to several native brokers, Messrs. Ralli Brothers of Bumbay have established a depot for its purchaso and exportation via Bombay. Tue total annual amount realized by tha salu of the ground-nut in Pátan only, it is said, amounts to nearly one likh of rupees.

A large export trade is done also in firewood, which is carted to Karid and finds a ready sale, principally for the preparation of jagri from sugarcane. In addition, during the monsoon months, logs of timber and bamboos are made into rafts and floated down the Koina to a depôt at Karad. This wood is the property of private individuals in the western Ghat villages round about "Helwák" and "Morgiri." I am told that from this latter trade only, from Rs. 2,000 to Ris. 5,000 are annually realized.

Other minor exports consist of rice, surplus agricultural produce, hides, horns, \&c.
19. The imports are confined to the usual category of salt, cocoanuts, Imports. clothing, hardware, zinc, plates for roofing, kerosine oil, copper and brass utensils, spices and dried fish, \&c., from the coast.
20. There is no manufacture of any importance carried on within the district beyond some 36 oil-presses and 17 hand-
Manufactures. looms; of these latter, 12 produce coarse cotton fabrics and 5 turn out the ordinary country blankets (kamlis) ; these do not, however, pass out of the Táluka.
21. In the subjoined statements the main heads of the statistics of the 117 Government villages under report, as they existed at the time of the first settlement, are contrasted with the corresponding figures for the present day. The Statistics-Appendices D ,
E, F and J. old information is taken from the survey census for the year of settlement; the latter, as regards population, bas been extracted from the special census taken by the Revenue Department in 1892, and the figures for the remaining heads have been obtained from the Agricultural Department:-

| Description. | At first Settlement. | 1809. | Difference. | Percentagn. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Population <br> Cattle for agricultural and other pur- | 48,476 | 68,625 | 20,149 | +41.6 |
| poses | 12,116 | 16,051 | 3,935 | $+32 \cdot 4$ |
| Cows, buffaloes and young ... ... | 21,667 | 23,046 | 1,379 | +6.4 |
| Sheep and goats... ... | 4,953 | 8,350 | 3,397 | $+68.6$ |
| Carts ... ... ... | 169 | 1,055 | 886 | $+5243$ |
| Horses and ponies . ... ... | 457 | 439 | 18 | -3.9 |
| Wells and Budkis for irrigation ... | 161 | 312 | 151 | $+938$ |

22. The figures given in the abse statement clearly point to a very general and substantial increase in prosperity during the currency of the lease.
23. Population shows an increase of $41 \cdot 6$ per cent., and the density is now

Population.
199,979 acres $=392$ square miles. 'lotal population 68,625 , which gires 175 to the square mile. coolies, \&c.

175 to the square mile. From Appendix E, it will be seen that of the total population of the district 78.32 per cent. are agricultural, that is to say, having interest in land, or farm labourers, and 21.68 per cent. are non-agricultural, i.e. craftsmen, shop-keepers,
24. Cattle for agricultural and other purposes are 32.4 per cent. more

Cattle for agricultural and other purposes.

Total occupied area includ. ing Inám-

Acres.
113,502
40,974 Deduct $36 \cdot 1$ per 72 - cent. fallow.
72,528 Divide by 7,793 pairs of oxen, 1 pair to 9 acres of cultivated area.

Milch" cattle, sheep and goats, horses and ponies. numerous than at the first settlement. Cattle kept for agricultural purposes only now number 15,587. The calculation in the margin shows that this gives an average of one pair of oxen to 9 acres-a proportion more than ample considering the circumstances of the. district.
25. Milch cattle have increased by $6 \cdot 4$ per cent. and sheep and goats by 68.6 per cent., while on the other hand horses and ponies have decreased by 3.9 per cent.
26. With improved communications the number of carts show a very large increase, no less than 524.3 per cent.-a most satisfactory proof of the immense development of trade which has sprung up of late years.
27. It is also satisfactory to note the very substantial increase under this head, 93.8 per cent., no doubt principally owing to the liberal policy of Government under which all new wells are now exempt from garden assessment.
Wells and budkis for irrigation.
28. In the following statements the statistics, which have been shown in paragraph 21 for the 117 Government villages, are now recompiled under heads of the 5 groups into which it is proposed later on to divide the Taluka for revision settlement. These five groups will be understood from the accompanying map by their differ-
ent colourings :--



From the above group-tables it will be observed, that all parts of the Táluka have, more or less, progressed towards prosperity during the current lease, and that the figures differ only in proportion to the characteristics of the different parts.
29. The information under this head has, as usual, been obtained from the Educational Department and is summarized in

Appendix H. From this it appears that there are 16
Schools,-Appendix H. schools for boys, all kept up by Government, and are confined to the eastern half of the Táluka. The average attendance is 884 boys only. There are no schools for girls. The average proportion of the male population able to read or Vide.-Appendix D, Column 11. under instruction has risen from $2 \cdot 1$ to $3 \cdot 3$ per cent, since the first settlement.
30. Details regarding sub-tenures are given in Appendix I. It appears that 64.2 per cent. of the total fields are cultivated by
Sub-tenures.-Appendix I. the occupants themselves, or in partnership with others ; 19.3 per cent. is sub-let for money rents, and 13.5 per cent. for a share of the produce. 1.2 per cent. of the numbers is waste and 1.8 per cent. unfit for cultivation.
31. The appendices marginally noted contain information regarding the value of land, either by sales, leases or mortgages, and are abstracts of the statements supplied as usual by the Registration Department. The information is Value of land.-Appendices $K^{(1)}, K^{(2)}, \mathbf{L}, M^{(1)} \& M^{(2)}$. the Registration Department. The information is collated hap-hazard from the sub-registry records of the ive years endigg the land was involved being carefully excluded from the returns.
32. For ordinary sales, it appears that while the average assessment per Sales.-Appendices $K^{(1)}$ acre of the land sold was Rs. 1-14-1, the average and $K\left({ }^{(2)}\right.$. price realized was Rs. 67-9-4, or 36 times the Government assessment, the highest proportion met with being 400 times and the lowest 7 times; in 78 cases out of the 91 cases compiled, the price ranged within 100 times the Government assessment. Only 12 cases of sales by Court were found, and the average price realized was as much as 9 times the Government demand.
33. There were 94 cases of sub-leases and the area concerned was 388 Sub-lettings.-Appendix L. acres, averaging Rs. 1-12-0 per acre of assessment. the land appears therefore to have been sub-let on the average for 3 times the в 1664 - 3

Government assessment. The highest proportion attained was 30 times and the lowest only once the Government demand. In 66 cases only the rent ranged within 5 times the Government assessment.
34. Of simple mortgages there are 98 cases. The total area involved was 337 acres, assessed at Rs. 751, or Rs. 2-3-8 per acre,

Mortgages.-Appendices $M(1)$ and $M^{(2)}$. and the amount raised was Rs. 18,354, or 24 times the Government assessment. 98 cases of mortgages with possession represent 784 acres, mortgaged for Rs. 21,811 , or an average of Rs. 27-13-1 per acre, while the assessment averaged but Re. 0-15-5 per acre. The proportion of the mortgageable value therefore reaches 29 times the Gov-, ernment assessment.
35. More details may be found in the appendices above quoted, but it seems unnecessary to enlarge further on the subject, since the general inference is sufficiently apparent, that land bears a very high value in the Taluka nnder report. 1 beg herewith to quote the concluding remarks of the Inspector of Registration contained in his forwarding letter as they are brief and of interest:-

Paragriph 8.-"If under the ordinary: sales, we compare the figures with those of other Tálukas, the saleable value in Pátan is lower than in Tággaon, Vita (Khánápur), Satara and Jâvli, although it is higher than in Wái and Koregaon ander the sales effected by order of Court. The mortgageable value as per Statement C. in this Táluka is higher than in Mân, Khatáp; Koregaon, Vita (Khánápur), Tásgaon and Wái, bùt lower than in Sátára and Jávli. If we take Statement D. and similarly compare the figures, the mortgageable value stands higher here than in Mán, Khatâv and Tásgaon and lower than in the Tálukas of Koregaon, Vita (Khánápur), Waii, Satâra and Jávli. In cases of mortgages either with or without possession the value for which land is mortgaged is undoubtedly higher here than in Mán, Khatár and Tásgaon and lower than in Sátára and Jávli. The leaseable value in this Táluka stands on an equal level with that of Man, Khatar, Koregaon and Vita (Khánápur). It is higher than in Sátara and Jávil on one hand and lower than in Tásgaon and Waii on the other."
36. Appendix $N$ shows the average prices of the principal food-grains prevailing in the Táluka. The data prior to the year 1888-89 Prices. of
pendix $\mathrm{N}_{\text {: }}$. prodnce.-Ap-
have been obtained from the Mamlatdar's record and have been revised, in so much as, the prices are now noted in pounds of 39 Tolas, instead of seers of 80 Tolas, while the figures since the year mentioned have been furnished by the Agricultural Department. A précis of the Appendix is given below:-

| Period. | Lbs. of 39 Tolas per Rupee. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jowári. | Bajri. | Wheat. | Gram. | Rice. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 10 years preceding settlement, 1851-52 to | $58 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| First decade of lease, 1861-62 to 1870-71 .. | 434 | $38 \frac{1}{4}$ | 324 | 324 | ${ }_{33}$ |
| Percentages on 10 years before settlement. | $+35 \cdot 3$ | +57.5 | + 36.4 | +37.2 | $+35 \cdot 1$ |
| Second decade of lease, 1871-72 to 1880-81. | 323 $+32 \cdot 1$ | 324 +18.6 | 2914 | 221 | 284 |
| Percentages on first decade ${ }^{\text {Last }} 11$ years of lease, 1881-820 to 1891-92. | $+32 \cdot 1$ 35 | +18.6 359 | $+10 \cdot 3$ | +43.3 | +18.6 |
| Percentages on second decade ... | 35 -8.4 | 35 <br> -98 | 281 +3.5 | +16.91 | 291 |
| Percentages of last 11 years of the lease on the 10 years before settlement | -87 $+67 \cdot 1$ | -98 +68.5 | $+3 \cdot 5$ +55.8 | +16.9 +129.9 | $-3 \cdot 4$ +54.7 |

37. The average prices during the first decade of the survey settlement compared with the average prices during the 10 years preceding it show an increase under each head ranging from 35.3 to 57.5 per cent. The average
prices of the 2nd decade compared with those of the 1st still show an increase under each head ranging from 10.3 to 43.3 per cent. A similar comparison between the last 11 years of the lease and the 2nd decade exhibits a decrease in the average prices of rice, jovári and bajri of $3.4,6.4$ and 9.8 per cent., respectively; while, on the other hand, gram and wheat show an increase of 16.9 and 3.5 per cent. Contrasting, however, the average prices during the last 11 years of the lease with that of the 10 years. preceding the survey settlement, we find an increase under each head ranging from 54.7 in rice to 129.9 per cent. in gram, while wheat shows a rise of $55 \cdot 8$ per ceñt., jowári. $67 \cdot 1$ per cent., and bajri 68.5 per cent.
> 38. The revenue history of the 117 villages

> Revenue History.-Appendices $O^{(1)}$ and $O^{(2)}$. under report will be found in yearly detail in the appendices marginally noted.

During the 10 years preceding the survey settlement cultivation was 18,293
Appendix 0 ( ${ }^{(1)}$. acres and the full standard assessment Rs. 13,849, of which Rs. 3,131 were remitted, leaving Rs. 10,718 for collection. The average waste area was 401 acres. The year of the introduction of the survey rates of assessment shows the cultivated area to be 9,428 acres and assessment Rs. 7,542, of which Rs. 759 were remitted, leaving Rs. 6,783 for collection. The actual area found to be waste was 10,823 acres. . Cultivation and collections kept fairly steady until the year 1869-70, when, owing to 2,150 acres of waste having been brought under cultivation, the area rose to 18,840 acres and the assessment to Rs. 9,240 . This state was maintained until 1874-75 when cultivation began to decline and the waste area to increase, until the year 1885-86 when the area made over from the cultivated and waste lands to the Porest Department amounted to 6,111 acres, after which until the last year of the lease cultivation and collections were fairly steady. In the year 1891-92 the waste area was increased by 976 acres made over by the Forest Department. The only outstanding balance appears in the years 1876-77, 1884-85 and 1885-86. In the first named year Rs. 12 were eventually written off as irrecoverable owing to famine, Rs. 37 in the second named year owing to loss by fire, and in the third year Rs. 24 were written off on account of failure of crops. These facts show that the survey lease has proved beneficial to the people.

During the 10 years preceding the introduction of the survey settlement Appendix $0^{(2)}$. the average cultivation was 65,961 acres, the full standard assessment Rs. 71,905, remissions Rs. 13,661, and the amount for collection Rs. 58,244. The average waste area was 19,409 acres with outstanding balances during the first five years averaging during the 10 years under the old system Rs. 536. The year of the introduction of the survey rates of assessment the cultivated area was 55,653 acres, the assessment Rs. 50,681 , of which Rs. $5,105+$ Rs. $125=$ Rs. 5,230, were remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 45,451 , which was collected. The actual waste area was found to be 39,137 acres. The year following cultivation went up to 88,890 acres, assessment Rs. 58,589 , of which Rs. 10 only were remitted on account of poverty, \&c. From 1864-65 to 1889-90 cultivation and collections steadily declined, after which there was a steady rise during the last two years. The waste, it will be observed, went on increasing until the year 1885-86, when, 18,930 acres were given over to the Forest Department, of which 594 acres were taken from the cultivated area. In 1891-92 the cultivated and waste areas were increased by 2,450 acres received back from the Forest Department. In 1876-77 owing to the famine Rs. 181 were given as remissions and in 1878-79, 1880-81 and 1881-82, Rs. 24, Rs. 11 and Rs. 6 were remitted owing to poverty, and Rs. 39 in 1879-80 and Rs. 9 in 1881-82 were subsequently written off as irrecoverable. Beyond the figures noticed there was no outstanding balance. On the whole the survey lease has proved beneficial to the people.
39. The progress made during the expiring lease is clearly summarized in the following short statement, which shows at a glance the change that has taken place under the beads of cultivated and waste lands in the district under report:-


The assessment on cultivated land has increased by 12 per cent. and that on the waste has lessened by 13 per cent.
40. The following return, furnished by the Mámlatdar of the district, illustrates the ease with which the land revenue has been collected during the past three years:-

41. It must be admitted, I think, from the information and the statistics Summary. brought together in this report, that Pátan, now as a Táluka, has made, and is still making, a very steady rise towards prosperity. Population has greatly increased with a corresponding increase in all that indicates agricultural wealth. Facilities of communications have improved; the revenue has been regularly and easily collected. cultivation and collections have much increased and land bears a high value, and lastly agricultural produce now commands, roughly speaking, from 50 to 100 per cent. higher prices than at the time of the first settlement.
42. As stated in paragraph 5, the 117 villages now reported upon were Old rating: settled in two different years; 25 villages in 1861-62 and 92 villages in 1862-63, being divided into $6^{*}$

* Vide accompanying map showing in colors the old groaps. classes - or groups with maximum dry-crop rates ranging from Re, 3-0-0 to Re. 1-0-0. The number of villages coming under each rate is given below:-
Groups.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| I. |  |  |
| II. |  |  |
| villages rated at |  |  |
| III. -6 | do. | $\ldots$ |
| IV. -12 | do. | $\ldots$ |
| V. -25 | do. | $\ldots$ |
| VI. -62 | do. | $\ldots$ |
|  |  | $\ldots$ |


| Maximum Rates. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dry-crop. | Rice. |
| Rs. a. p. | Ra. a. p. |
| ... 3000 | 00 |
| . 2100 | 80 |
| 260 | 780 |
| 220 | $\left\{\begin{array}{llll}7 & 8 & 0\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}7 & 0\end{array}\right.$ |
| 1140 | 700 |
| 100 | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}6 & 8 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & \end{array}\right.$ |
| 10 | $\{50$ |
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Without discussing the merits or otherwise of the former grouping, I will simply point out, that as these villages belonged to two different Tálukas, the rates applied to them were doubtless based upon different considerations, After careful consideration, and from what I have been able to judge of the
climate, communications and bizárs, I would now propose to divide the Táluka into five instead of the former six groups, merely fitting into their natural places some of the villages, which, for reasons that cannot now be ascertained, were somerwhat irregularly placed in the grouping.
43. Arranged on the above lines the groups for revision settlement will be as below:-

Group I.—Maximum Dry-crop Rate Rs. 3-4-0, Rice Rs. 9-0-0.
14 Villages.-Seven of these villages to the east of the Taluka lie on either bank of the Koina river close to the high road, which traverses the district from Karád to Ohiplún. Three villages are a few miles south of the river and two to the north, while $t$ wo lie on the extreme north-east of the Taluka on either bank of the Tárli river adjoining the large market town of Tarla. The villages of this group enjoy a good climate and are well favoured both as regards bázars and (with the exception of the northeastern villages, Ríhuda and Nuna) road communications. Of these vil. lages, two were includsd in the former 1st group, maximum dry-crop rate
Rs. 3-0-0 and rice Rs. $9-0-0 ; 6$ in the old IInd group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. $2-10.0$ and rice Rs. $8-0-0$; 5 in the old IIIrd group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 2-6-0 and rice Rs. 7-8-0, and 1 in the old IVth group maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 2-2-0 and rice Rs, 7-8-0.

## Group II.—Maximum Dry-crop Rate Rs. 2-12-0, Rice Rs, 8-0-0.

17 Tillages.-Nine of these villages lie in a compact group on the southeast of the 'Ialuka. Two are scattered villages from two to eight miles north of the river Koina, while six are near the three thína-town of Pátan, three on the south and one on the north bank of the river Koina and two near Pátna. The climate of these villages is somewhat inferior to the 1st group and the villages are not so well.favoured as regards road communications, but on the other hand have the advantages of several bazars. Of these villages five were included in the old IIIrd group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 2-6-0 and rice Rs. 7-8-0; 6 in the old IVth group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 2-2-0 and rice Rs, 7-0-0 and 6 in the old Vth group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 1-14-0 and rice Rs. 7-0-0. .

Grotp III.-Maximum Diy-crop Rate Rs. 2-6.0, Rice Ré, 7-8-0.
24 Villages, -17 of these villages lie in a strip commencing from the extreme south running north to within a fer miles of the river Koina, while seven villages lie scattered within short distance of each other on the north: With respect to climate, road communications and bázars these yillages are inferior to the IInd group. Of these villages five were included in the old IVth group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 2-2:0 and rice Rs. 7-0-0, and 19 in the old Vth group, maximum dry-crop rate Rs. 1-14-0 and rice Rs. 7-0-0:

## Grour IV.-Maximum Dry-crop Rate Rs. 1-12-0, Rice Rs. 7-0.0.

16 Villages.-Hilly villages. Of these five lie scattered on the south; eight in a group, one on the south bank, and four on the north bank of the Koina, through which passes the high road from Karád to Cbiplún with three a few miles from the river bank. The remaining three are ar short distance apart on the north. These villages were included in the old VIth group, maximum dry-crop rate Re. 1-0-0 and rice rates Rs. 6-8-0 and Rs. 5-0-0.

Group V.—Maximum Dry-crop Rate Rs. 1-2-0, Rice Rs. 6-8-0.
46 Villages.-Very Hilly, 45 lying on the south-west, and west, including the village of Vátula. Included in the old VIth group, maximum dry-crop rate Re. 1-0-0 and rice rates Rs, 6-8-0 and Rs. 5-0-0.
44. With respect to Tisáli and Kumri lands, of which there are in all 72,075 acres, namely,-570 acres in Group I, 5,763 acres in Group II, 18,899 acres in Group III, 11,714 acres in Group IV and 35,129 acres in Group V. I would beg to remark, that Tisúli B 1664-4
lands are brought under cultivation once after three years, while Kumri lands are cultivated once in eight or ten years. The grain grown is of the poorest description, and, because the lands require a fallow after a stated number of years, a difference has been made in the scale of classification, the highest value of which for Tisali is five annas, and for Kumri three annas, and the maximum rate has. always been lower than the ordinary jirait rate and applied to all Tisáli and Kumri lands in whatever group they may be situated. I have lately had the opportunity of discussing the suhject of the rating of such lands with the Survey and Settlement Commissioner and I incline to his opinion that some differentiation should be made in the maximum rate of the different groups in which such lands are situated, as it is but natural to infer, that Tisali and Kumri lands situated in villages on or near the ghatts, as regards value, realize far less than in the villages situated near high roads or bázars or within easy access to them. Until this matter has been carefully considered and a scheme for its settlement adopted; I would beg to propose, according to the practice hitherto followed, a maximum rate of Rs. 1-1-0 for all Tisali and Kumri lands, which can, if necessary, be corrected after the final disposal of the question, the rayats being informed at jamábandi that the Tisáli and Kumri lands will hereafter be settled.

It would in my opinion be far preferable to do away altogether with the separate classification and rating of Tisali and Kumri lands, and to class all such lands as ordinary jirait, as has been done by the Deccan Survey for the ghat bordering Talukas of Junnar, Khed, Mával and the Mulshi Petha of the Haveli Taluka, as well as in the Akola Talluka of the Ahmednagar Collectorate. Although Tisáli and Kumri lands are only cultivated after years of certain intervals, yet the rayats keep the lands in occupancy for the produce of grass.
45. Under directions from the Survey and Settlement Commissioner con-

Scale Jantries, - veyed in paragraph 2 of his circular letter No. $\frac{\text { s }}{2000}$, dated the $\frac{\theta}{11}$ th December 1893, I beg here to state that the scale jantries adopted at the first survey settlement for the Pátan Táluka were Re.1-0-0 for Tisáli and Kumri lands, and Re. 1-0-0, Rs. 1-14-0, Res. 2-2-0, Rs. 2-6-0 Rs. 2-10.0 and Rs. 3-0-0 for dry-crop lands.

At revision survey the scale jantries used are-Rs. 1-1-0 for Tisáli and Kummi, and Rs. 1-2-0, Rs. 1-12-0, Rs. 2-6-0, Rs. 2-12 0 and Rs. 3-4-0 for dry-crop lands. Among the scale jantries used at revision survey the only one of the frst survey is for Rs. 2-6-0, while those for the remaining rates are scales applied to similar lands and under similar circumstances in other Tálukas.
46. By the record of the existing survey there are in all 5,539 acres of

Rice lands. rice land in the 117 villages under report. Of.this area 3,718 acres were Government land, assessed at Rs. 14,226, or Rs. 3-13-3 per acre. By revision survey there are now in all 6,513 acres, of which the Government portion is 4,539 acres bearing a proposed assessment of Rs. 18,028 or Rs. 3-15-7 per acre. The division of this land into old and new rice is shown below :-


The new rice land, which is of average good quality and in situations com. manding much natural moisture, has been assessed in proportion to its water adrantages; but, in all cases within the highest rate for dry-crop land. The maximum rates proposed for old rice land in each of the five new groups have been given above (paragraph 43) and result, as shown, in an average of Rs. 4-5-1
per acre :per acre:-
47. By the original survey there were altogether 2,371 acres of garden Garden lands. land, of which the area belonging to Government was 1,737 acres, assessed at Rs. 8,036, which gives an average rate of Rs. 4-10-0 per acre. By the revised survey the total garden area amounts to 4,584 acres, of which 3,342 are Government land bearing an assessment of Rs. 14,246, or an average of Rs. 4-4-2 per acre. The area is divided below:-


Each Páthastal number has been rated by myself according to the individual circumstances of each garden. This has been a work entailing much time and labour, and it is entirely owing to this that the submission of this report has been somewhat delayed.
48. As regards the assessment of lands under wells, the orders of Govern-

Motasthal. ment have been strictly adhered to. No additional assessment has been placed on lands irrigated from wells sunk within the period of the lease, while land watered from old wells has been assessed within the highest dry-crop rate.
49. Out of the 117 villages under report Pátasthal irrigation is carried on in 75 villages. According to the first settlement the Government Pátasthal area was 1,590 acres rated for water cess only at Rs. 4,337, or an average of Rs. 2-11-8 per acre. By revision survey it totals 2,893 acres, assessed in like manner at Rs. 7,167 , or an average of Rs. 2-7-8 per acre. Taking into consideration the ample supply of water, and the description of crops grown in the district, it would have been only fair, I think, to have imposed a much higher maximum combined soil and water rate, say, of Rs. 12 ; but bearing in mind the views expressed by Government in their Resolution No. 3205, dated 4th April 1892, limiting the increase for Patasthal, I find, that an 8 -Rupee maximum rate is all that can be applied to the first. three. groups, a 7 -Rupee rate for the fourth and a 6 -Rupee rate for the fifth group. The result shows that although the Pátasthal area has nearly doubled since the first settlement, yet the proposed average rate for this land, for water cess only, is within the old rate by Re. 0-4-0.
50. The estimated total results of the new rates now proposed are shown in Appendix Q, of which the subjoined table is an abstract for each of the new groups:-


In this table, which includes the water cess portion of the assessment on the new Patasthal lands, the proportion of increase is 27.2 per cent., and is within
the sanctioned limit of 33.0 per cent., and in the following statement, from which the water assessment on the new Pátasthal is excluded, the increase on soil alone amounts to 23.0 per cent. :-

|  | Group. |  |  | Saximutn Dry-crop rato. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Villagese } \end{array}\right\|$ | Br 7onmbl Subitiy, |  | Bt Rifision Sutivet. |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per- } \\ \text { oentage } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Govern- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { Oect. } \\ \text { pied } \\ \text { Iand. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Government Oceupied Land. |  | Government Occupied Land. |  | Government Un. oceupied Land. |  | Total. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Acres. | A bsess. ment. | Acres. | Asscge ment. | Acres. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Assegs- } \\ & \text { mont. } \end{aligned}$ | Acres. | Asress. ment. |  |
|  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | Ro, 2, p. |  |  | Re, |  |  |  | R. |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  | $3{ }^{3} 40$ | 14 | 18,700 | 26.086 | 15,757 | 33,834 | 34 | 22 | 15,791 | 38,856 | 29.7 |
| II... |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2180 | 17 | 17,687 | 15,015 | 17,743 | 18,899 | 159 | 99 | 17,002 | 18,198 | 23.9 |
| III | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{lll}2 & 6 & 0 \\ 1 & 12 & 0\end{array}$ | 24 16 | 23,951 | 15,170 $\mathbf{3 , 9 4}$ | 24,002 11.094 | 17.049 4.749 | 388 365 | ${ }_{76}^{119}$ | 24,300 12,359 | 17,168 | 12.4 |
| V... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 112 120 120 |  | 24,128 | 8,780 | 24,189 | 7,696 | 6,309 | 1,188 | 30,497 | 8,684 | $20 \cdot 4$ $13 \cdot 4$ |
|  |  | Total |  |  | 117 | 98,466 | 67,004 | 93,684 | 82,220 | 7,255 | 1,504. | 100,930 | 83,730 | 22.7 |

51. Details for each village under all heads will be found in Appendix Q. It will be seen from a reference to this statement, that the only village, in which the enhancement of demand exceeds the allowed limit of 66 per cent., is Dhorosi, No. 56 on the list. This, however, is due to the new water cess imposed on account of the conversion of dry-crop lands into Patasthal. When this has been deducted, the percentage increase is reduced to $44 \cdot 4$, thus falling well within the prescribed limit.
52. The total results of the original and proposed revision settlements are given below under the usual heads :-

| Description. | By formiz Sidryt. |  | by Revision Etbigy, |  | $\underset{\text { Quit-rent. }}{\text { Juti or }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area. | Assesisment. | Area. | Assessment. |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | Acres. | Rs. | Acres. | Rs. | Rs. |
| Government occupied land Do. unoccupied land | 93,456 7,235 | 67,004 1 | 93,684 | 85,056 | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}7,235 \\ 19,950 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,507 24,027 | 7,255 19,886 | 1,504 30,886 | $\ddot{9703}$ |
| Government unassessed, unarable. | 79,508 | 21,027 | 79,156 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Total ... | 2,00,149 | 92,538 | 1,99,981 | 1,17,446 | 9,703 |

53. On Government occupied land of all descriptions the average assessment per acre by the original settlement was Re. 0-11-6; by the proposed revision rates it will be Re. 0-14-6 : the average increase per acre will therefore amount to. Re. 0-3-0 per acre.
54. In conclusion $I$ have the honour to suggest, that the rates now pro-

Government Resolution No. 3541, dated 4th May 1885.
Government Resolution No. 2981, dated 20th April 1886.
the resolutions marginally noted.
posed, if sanctioned by Government, may be guaranteed for the usual period of 30 years, and that all cases of individual increase over 25 per cent. be gradually imposed in the usual way as authorized by Government in

I have the honour to be,

- Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

> R. B. PITगי',

Superintendent, Deccan Revenue Survey.

## APPENDIX A.



APPENDIX B.
Details of Cultivation and Crops.


## APPENDIX C.

Statement showing the Villages in which the principal weekly Bazaars are held.


APPENDIX D.
Detail of Population.


The upper line of $\mathfrak{f}$ gures is that of the previous settlement.

## APPENDIX E.

Detail of Population according to Occupation by porcentages.


APPENDIX F.
Statement showing the Agricultural Stock.


The ufper line of bgures is that of the previous settrement

## APPENDIX G.

Statement of Shops, Looms, Temples, \&c., and averago Births, Deaths, and Vaccination.


## APPENDIX H.

Statement of Schools together with their average Attendance.

|  | District. | Gofxemitit Schools. |  |  |  | Mmidetal scmools. |  |  |  | Private Schools. |  | Rrmaris. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Boye. |  | Girla, |  | Boys. |  | Girls, |  | Boys. |  |  |
|  |  | Number of Schools. | Average attendance | Number of 8chools. | Avernge attend. suce. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Schools. } \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta$ verare attendance. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ Schools. | Average attendance. | Number of Schools. | Average stend. ance. |  |
| 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 117 | Pátan Táluka of the Sutara Collector ate <br> ... ... | 10 | 884 | ** | * ${ }^{\prime}$ | "' | $\cdots$ | ** | " | $\cdots$ | ** |  |

APPENDIX I.
Statement showing the Proportion of Government Occupied and Inam Lands cultivated by Occupants and Sub-tenants.


APPENDIX J
Statement showing Wells, Budkis, Tanks and other Sources of Waler-supply.


## APPENDIX K ${ }^{(1)}$.

Showing ordinary Sales.

| Class of cases according to the number of times the survey assessment for which land is aold. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { ofase. } \\ \text { cose } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total acres } \\ & \text { sold. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { assessment. }}{\text { Total }}$ | Average rate of asgessment per acre | Total sum for which sold. | Average rate per acre for which sold. | Average number of times the survey for which sold. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Rs. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. | Rs. a. p. |  |
| Class I ... 1 to 25 times. | 24 | 157 | 322 | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 10\end{array}$ | 5,432 | $\begin{array}{llll}34 & 9 & 7\end{array}$ | 17 |
| " II ... 26 to 50 , ... | 21 | 68 | 145 | 221 | 4,975 | 7317 | 34 |
| ", III ... 51 to 100 ", ... | 33 | 93 | 132 | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 6 & 9\end{array}$ | 8,768 | 94.46 | 66 |
| ", IV ... 101 to 200 | 8 | 5 | 7 | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 6 & 5\end{array}$ | 1,315 | 26300 | 188 |
| ", V ... 201 to 400 ", | 5 | 2 | 5. | 2880 | 1,475 | 73780 | 295 |
| Total ... | 91 | 325 | 611 | 1141 | 21,965 | $\begin{array}{lll}67 & 9 & 4\end{array}$ | 36 |

## APPENDIX K ${ }^{(2)}$.

Showing sales under Court Decree in 117 Government Villages of the Pátan Táluka of the Sátára Collectorate.

| Class of cases according to the number of times the survey assessment for which land is sold. | Number of cases. | Total acres sold. | $\underset{\text { assessment. }}{\text { Total }}$ | Average rate of assessment per acre. | Totaí sum for which sold. | Average rate per acre for which sold. | Average number of times the survey assessment for which sold. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 - | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Rs. | Rs. a. p. | Rs. | Rs. a. p. |  |
| Class I .es. 1 to 10 times. | . 7 | 33 | 71 | $2 \cdot 25$ | 161 | 414.1 | 2 |
| ,, II $\ldots 11$ to 20 "... | 2 | 65 | 33 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 8 & 1\end{array}$ | 554 | $8 \quad 8 \quad 4$ | 17 |
| ", III ... 21 to 40 ", ... | 2 | 4 | 6 | 180 | 161 | $40 \quad 40$ | 27 |
| , IV ... 41 to 122 ",... | 1 | 7 | 1 | 023 | 84 | 1200 | 84 |
| Total | 12 | 109 | 111 | 104 | 960 | 81211 | 9 |

APPENDIX L.
Showing Sub-lettings.


## APPENDIX M ${ }^{(1)}$.

Showing Simple Mlortgages.


APPENDIX $\mathbf{M}^{(2)}$.
Showing Mortgages with possession.


[^1]
## APPENDIX N.

Statement showing the prices prevalent.


## APPENDIX $0^{(1)}$.

Revenue Statement for 25 Government Villages, situated in the Patan Tilthia of the Sillára Collectorate, into which the Survey Settlement was introduced in 1S61-6i.
[The years above the black line are those antecedent to the Survey Settlement.]


## APPENDIX $0^{(2)}$.

Revenue Statement for 92 Government Villagrs, situated in the Pátan Táluka of the Sútára Collectorate, into which the Surrey Settlenent uas introduced in 1S69-63.
[The years above the black line are those antecedent to the Survey Settlement.]


APPENDIXQ.

R $1064-8+$

APPENDIX
General Statement referred to in paragraphs 50 and 51 of the Deccan Revenue Villages, situated in the Pátan


Q．
Survey Superintendent＇s Report No． 521 of the 24 th April 1894，for 117 Govermucmt Táluka of the Sítíra Collectorate．

| by revision suryers． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Averag．Ancestment of life Landper Acre． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\sharp}{\ddot{E}}$ |  | $$ | Govihniext oceuriyd laxd． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unowripierwaste． |  |  |  |  |  |
| O | $\frac{\dot{n}}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | Dry－crop． |  | Gaiden． |  | Rice． |  | Total． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 总 㝵 学 |  |  | 萢 |  | 苞 |  | 蓲 | 葛 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathscr{B}} \\ & \dot{E} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 案 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 官 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 茠 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23. | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 35 | 30 |
| Rs．a．p． | Acres． | Acres． |  | Ris． |  | Rs． |  | Rs． |  | Rs． | Rs． | Its． |  | Rs． | Rw，n．p． | 12s，n．p． |  |  |
| 340 | 1，77t | 525 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l}1,357 \\ \cdots\end{array}\right\|$ | 3，722 $\ldots$. | $\} 93$ | 325 | 5 | 16 | 1，457 | 4，063 | 78 | 4，141 | 2 | 3 | 3 3 2 | 9136 | $20 \cdot 3$ |  |
| 340 | 118 | 1 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}117 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 380 | \}... | ．．． | 1 | 2 | 118 | 352 | $\cdots$ | 3S2 | $\cdots$ | ＊＊ | 200 | $3 \quad 310$ | $20 \cdot 1$ |  |
| 340 | 1，893 | 500 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1,212 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 3,278 $\ldots$ | ¢ 105 | 136 | 36 | 1.11 | 1，353 | 3，655 | 26 | 3，5S］ | ．．． | $\cdots$ | 3148 | 2104 | $10 \cdot 6$ |  |
| 340 | 1，659 | 439 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cdots 832 \\ . .\end{array}\right.$ | 1,229 $\ldots$ | \} 225 | 464 | 3 | 10 | 1，060 | 1，703 | 661 | 2，301 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 3 5 4 | 238 | 560 |  |
| 340 | 692 | 242 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}372 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 377 | \} 20 | 65 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 39 | 442 | 33 | 475 | ．．． | $\cdots$ | ．．． | 135 | 893 |  |
| 3 40 | 1，447 | 1，36S | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}932 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 1,097 $\ldots$ | \} 221 | 592 | 16 | 63 | 1，169 | 1，652 | 466 | 2，148 | $\underline{20}$ | 13 | 3100 | 11211 | 465 |  |
| 340 | 763 | 509 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cdots \\ { }^{46} \\ \cdots\end{array}\right.$ | 1，313 | f． 46 | 136 | 4 | 10 | 510 | 1，459 | 32 | 1，491， | ．．． | $\cdots$ | 280 | 2110 | $38 \cdot 3$ |  |
| 340 | 79 | 2 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cdots \\ \cdots 3 \\ \cdots\end{array}\right.$ | 234 | \}... |  |  | $\cdots$ | 73 | 231 | ．．． | 234 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{llll}3 & 3 & 3\end{array}$ | $33^{\circ} 0$ |  |
| 340 | 1， 58 | 910 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\dddot{1}, 199 \\ 59\end{array}\right.$ | 3,362 12 | $\} \cdot 161$ | 507 | 22 | 100 | 1，441 | 3，986 | 274 | 4，260 | ．．． | ＇． | 4124 | 2154 | 33.6 |  |
| 340 | 349 | 7 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}142 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 400 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 4 | 147 | 417 | ．$\cdot$ | 417 | $\cdots$ | ．． | 400 | 2136 | 2.41 |  |
| 340 | 1，543 | 273 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\cdots \\ 797 \\ \\ \hline 69\end{array}\right.$ | 1,438 11 | \} sj | 263 | 53 | 240 | 1，000 | 1，952 | 75 | 2，027 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 488 | 200 | 31\％ |  |
| 340 | 1，567 | 437 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}3,161 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 1，939 | 143 | 101 | 9 | 33 | 1，213 | 2，073 | 50 | 2，123 | ．．． | $\cdots$ | 3108 | 1120 | 15．1 |  |
| 340 | ］，62 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 344 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cdots \\ 887 \\ 3 \geq 8\end{array}\right.$ | 1,740 $\mathbf{5 0}$ | \} 130 | 315 | 85 | 352 | 1，430 | 9，466 | 277 | 2，713 | ．．． | $\ldots$ | 423 | 11.48 | $44 \cdot 2$ |  |
| 340 | 1，432 | 574 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}924 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 995 | \} 237 | 663 | 11 | 55 | 1，172 | 1，713 | 626 | 2，339 | 2 | 3 | 500 | 1 jF 11 | $38 \cdot 1$ |  |
| 340 | 747 | 243 | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | － 802 | \} 91 | 211 | 14 | 53 | 478 | 1，071 | 172 | 1，24：3 | ．．． | $\ldots$ | 3127 | 297 | 40.7 |  |
| 340 | 1，11S | 622 | $\left\{{ }^{757}{ }^{3}\right.$ | 1，111 | \} 111 | 309 | 11 | 49 | 879 | 1，469 | 234 | 1，703 | ．．． | $\ldots$ | 473 | 1150 | $41 \cdot 5$ |  |
| 340 | 141 | 6 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cdots \\ \cdots 21 \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$ | 798 | ） 32 | 20 | 3 | 13 | 136 | 237 | 21 | 268 | ．．． | ． | 454 | 1144 | $33 \cdot 0$ |  |
| 340 | 1，912 | 493 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}\dddot{7,} 213 \\ 81\end{array}\right.$ | 1,209 21 | \} 169 | 387 | 85 | 337 | 1，548 | 1，95t | $3 \geq 2$ | 2，976 | 4 | 3 | 3155 | 376 | $\underline{C} \cdot 6$ |  |
| 340 | 91 | 1 | \｛ 90， | －37 |  | $\ldots$ | 1 | 7 | 91 | 244 | $\cdots$ | 2.45 | $\cdots$ | －• | 700 | 21011 | $27 \cdot 7$ |  |
| 340 | 51 | 2 | $\begin{cases} \\ & 47\end{cases}$ | 153 | \} 2 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 51 | 1675 | $\cdots$ | 167 | ．．． | $\cdots$ | 580 | 345 | $40 \cdot 2$ |  |
| 340 | 39 | $\cdots$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\cdots \\ \cdots 2 \\ \cdots\end{array}\right.$ | $\cdots 71$ | 17 | 19 | ．．． | $\cdots$ | 30 | 90 | 25 | 115 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ＊＊ | 2150 | 237 |  |
| $\cdots$ | 20，756 | 7，493 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}13,065 \\ 570\end{array}\right.$ | 25,915 105 | \},760 | 4，538 | 362 | 3，501 | 15，75\％ | 31，300 | 3，372 | 34，731 | 34 | $\because 2$ | 42 | $2 \begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & 3\end{array}$ | $33 \cdot 1$ |  |
| 2120 | 4，533 | 1，458 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2,020 \\ \hline, 923\end{array}\right.$ | 2，056 | $\} 132$ | 272 | 80 | 288 | 4，175 | 3，031 | $27 \%$ | 3，304 | 40 | 16 | 3 ！ | 0127 | 107 |  |
| 2120 | 1，027 | 451 | ［ 732 | 789 | ） 68 | 140 | 13 | 46 | 813 | 975 | 3\％ | 1，110 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 3 \＄ 7 | 1510 | 27：3 |  |
| 2120 | 1，162 | 637 | $\cdots \mathrm{nc}$ | 765 | $)_{1} 17$ | 38 | 16 | 47 | 8Sä | S50 | ：7 | 875 | 10 | 25 | $\because 150$ | 102 | 2：\％ |  |
|  |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1,239\end{array}\right.$ | 1，449 | $\text { i) } 80$ | 217 | 53 | 198 | 1，375 | 1，86． | 193 | 2,067 |  | ．．． | 3119 | 1711 | 20.6 |  |
| 2120 | 1，617 |  | $\{\ldots$ |  | $\{8 \overline{0}$ | 217 | 53 | 198 | 1，3\％ | 1，601 | 108 |  | 10 | ， | － 0 |  |  |  |
| 2120 | 1，534 | 567 | ［ $\begin{array}{r}1,002 \\ 60\end{array}$ | 3，481 | 66 | 143 | 38 | 133 | 1，166 | 1，771 | 16．5 | 1，936 | 10 | 3 | $3: 0$ | $110 \quad 5$ | 30．9 |  |
| 2130 | 620 | 352 |  | 416 | 18 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 50． | 472 | 10 | 488 | 1 | 3 | $3 \times 0$ | 9148 | 301 |  |
|  | 979 |  | \｛ $\cdots \quad 51$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5 \\ 1 & 5\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 718 | 353 | 93 | 476 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 0107 | 19.0 |  |
| 2120 | 979 | 771 | \｛ 54t | 107 | \} 54 | 74 | 31 | 120 | 718 | 35 | 8 | 4.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | － 3.10 i | $11 \pm 9$ |  |  |
| 2120 | 104 | 1 | \｛ 79， | 91 | \} 13 | 22 | 11 | 40 | 99 | 153 | 25 | 178 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $3 \cdot 10$ 2 | $11 \pm 9$ | 20.3 |  |
| 2120 | 1，822 | 960 | $\left\{\begin{aligned} & \cdots \\ & 401 \\ & 407\end{aligned}\right.$ | 325 91 | \} 75 | 121 | 05 | 234 | 938 | 771 | 275 | 1，046 | 1 | 1 | $4 \pm 1$ | 1110 | \％1\％ |  |
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Q-continued.
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Q-continued.
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|  |  |  | Name of Vilinge． | by former survey． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | govasiugit Occupimd hasd． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dryecrop． |  | Garden． |  | Rice． |  | Total． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 螕 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿷匚⿱艹⿹勹巳寸 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ⿷匚⿳山一⿹勹巳刂 } \\ \text { 4i } \end{gathered}$ |  | 堅 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | Ra．a，p． | Acres， | Acres． |  | Rs． |  | Re． |  | Rs． |  | Rs． | Rs． | Rs． |
| ¢ |  | 106 | Govára ．．．．．． | 100 | 475 | 1，025 | 146 | 31 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 8 | 23 | 154 | 54 | $\cdots$ | 54 |
|  |  | 107 | Kolna ．．．．．． | 100 | 685 | 485 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | ．．． | 14 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
|  |  | 108 | Náv ．．．．．． | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | 438 | 647 | 70 | 17 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 5 | 11 | 75 | 28 | ．．． | 28 |
| Targaon |  | 109 | Vághna ．．．．．． | $1 \begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ | 259 | 1，103 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ．．． | $\cdots$ | ．． | ．．． | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | 110 | Patherpunj ．．． | 100 | 242 | 1，689 | 35 | 9 | ．．． | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ．．． | 35 | 9 | ．．． | 9 |
|  |  | 111 | Dhokavaa．．．．．． | 100 | 384 | 49 | ．．＇ | ．．． | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ．．． | ．．． | $\cdots$ | ．．＊ | ．．． | ．．． |
|  | ？ | 112 | Valavne ．．．．．． | 100 | 65 | 655 | 25 | ． 4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ．．． | ．．． | 25 | 4 | ．．． | 4 |
|  | 号 | 113 | Satar ．．．．．． | 100 | 482 | 1，708 | 156 | 34 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 25 | 57 | 181 | 91 | ．．． | 91 |
|  |  | 114 | Támhina ．．．．．． | 100 | 1，137 | 246 | 1，04］ | 245 | 7 | 4 | 26 | 84 | 1，074 | 333 | 19 | 352 |
| Kardd．．．$\{$ |  | 115 | Kárala ．．．．．． | 1000 | 802 | 892 | 567 | 131 | 12 | 7 | 38 | 129 | 617 | 267 | 33 | 300 |
|  |  | 116 | Paneri ．．．．． | 100 | 1，760 | 817 | 1，200 | 265 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 39 | 1，308 | 307 | 10 | 317 |
| 1 |  | 117 | Palsi ．．．．．． | 100 | 1，361 | 923 | 1，212 | 277 | $\cdots$ | ．．． | － 39 | 129 | 1，251 | 406 | －． | 406 |
|  |  | 46 | Total of the 5 th Group ．．． | ．．． | 36，733 | 44，022 | 23，382 | 4，526 | 74 | 47 | 672 | 2，035 | 24，128 | 6，608 | 181 | 6，789 |
|  |  | 117 | Grand Total ．．． |  | 120，641 | 79，508 | 88，001 | 44，742 | 1，737 | 3，702 | 3，718 | 14，226 | 93，456 | 62，670 | ，344 | 67，004 |

Q－concluded．

| by Revision euryev． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\dot{\delta}}{\underset{\sim}{x}}$ |  | 彦 | Goviriment Ocourtad Lamd． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 骨 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | 珯品 | 发 | Dryeerop． |  | Garden． |  | nico． |  | Totant． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 蓾 |  | 异 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \dot{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 霜 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 23 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| Re．a．p． | Acres． | Acres． |  | Rs． |  | lis |  | Re． |  | Ra． | Rs． | Rs． |  | Rs． | Lis．n．p． | lis．n．p． |  |
| 120 | 475 | 1，026 | $\left\{{ }^{\prime \cdots} 145\right.$ | 32 | \} ... | $\ldots$ | 0 | 24 | 351 | 56 | ．．． | 56 | 280 | 47 | 2108 | 030 | 3.7 |
| 120 | 684 | 486 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 9\end{array}\right.$ |  | \} 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 645 | 310 | 100 | 0211 | $42 \cdot 9$ |
| 120 | 436 | 648 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 67\end{array}\right.$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | $\} \ldots$ | ．．． | 7 |  | 75 | 36 |  | 36 | 335 | 69 | 2130 | 0 ¢ 1 | $28 \cdot 6$ |
| 120 | 259 | 1，103 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ．．．} \\ . . .\end{array}\right.$ | ．．． | \} ... | ．＇ | ．．． | ．．． | ．． |  |  |  | 291 | 34 |  | 020 |  |
| 120 | 244 | 1，703 | $\left\{\begin{array}{lll} & & 30\end{array}\right.$ | ${ }^{\cdot}{ }_{6}$ | \} 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 34 | 9 | ．．． | 9 | 207 | 36 | 100 | 030 | ．．． |
| 120 | 384 | 48 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { … } \\ \hline . .\end{array}\right.$ | $\cdots$ | \}... |  |  | ．． | ．．． |  | ．．． | ．．． | 379 | 62 | ．．． | 027 | ．．． |
| 120 | 65 | 651 | $\begin{cases}\cdots & 25\end{cases}$ | ${ }^{-}{ }_{5}$ | \}... | $\ldots$ | ．．． |  | 25 | 5 | ．．． | 5 | 32 | 0 | ．．． | 031 | 26.0 |
| 120 | 474 | 1，716 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 152\end{array}\right.$ | 1 31 | \}... |  | 27 | 85 | 181 | 117 | ．．． | 117 | 280 | 75 | 321 | 007 | $28 \cdot 1 \%$ |
| 120 | 1，137 | 246 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}1,025\end{array}\right\}$ | 250 | \} 9 | 6 | 32 | 121 | 1，075 | 381 | 24 | 405 | － | $\cdots$ | 3120 | 060 | 16．1 |
| 120 | 795 | 895 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}17 \\ 539\end{array}\right.$ | 8 119 | $\} 14$ | 9 | 48 | 181 | 618 | 317 | 39 | 3516 | 120 | 31 | 3124 | 085 | $18 \%$ |
| 120 | 1，754 | 824 | \｛ $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 1,279\end{array}$ | 11 3 256 | \} 10 | 6 | 15 | 51 | 1，309 | 316 | 21 | 337 | 383 | 77 | 305 | 0311 | c 3 |
| 120 | 1，362 | 920 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1,45 \\ 1,186\end{array}\right.$ | 24 257 | \} 3 | 2 | 46 | 173 | 1，280 | 456 | 5 | 461 | ．．． | ．．． | 3122 | 050 | $13 \cdot 5$ |
| －• | 36，781 | 43，805 | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}193 \\ 23,016\end{array}\right.$ | 89 4,522 | $\{126$ | 78 | 853 | 2，826 | 24，188 | 7，515 | 200 | 7，805 | 6，309 | 1，188 | 3 5 | 049 | 16.0 |
| $\cdots$ | 120，825 | 79，156｜ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}29,320 \\ 56,483\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,188 \\ & 11,594 \end{aligned}$ | \} 3,342 | 7，079 | 4，539 | 13，02s | 93，684 | 77，889 | ，107 | 85，050， | 7，205 | 1，504 | 3 it 7 | 0130 | 26.9 |

refers to dry－crop lande and the lower to Tigali and Kumri．

## APPENDIX R.

No. 466 or 1862.

## From

Major W. C. ANDERSON,<br>Superintendent, Revenue Survey, Southern Marátha Country;

To

## F. S. CHAPMAN, Esquire, <br> Collector of Sátára.

> Superintendent's Office, Bellikeri, North Kanara, 30th December 1862.

## Sir,

I hare the honour to forward the following report on the revised rates of assessment which I would propose for the entire old Karád Táluka of the Sátára Collectorate, containing 88 Government villages and parts of villages and the remaining portion of the late Helvál Mahálkari's Division of the Tárgaon Táluka containing 53 villages.
2. Great changes have very recently been made in the distribution of the villages of the charges of the Mámlatdárs and Mahálkaris of the Sátára Collectorate, but it is in every way more convenient to deal with these in reporting upon them in their old divisions instead of as parts of the different tálukas into which they have been recé , hy redistributed:
3. The Karád Táluka is very similar to that of Tárgaon and Sátára, immediately to the south of the former of whioh it is situated. It is bounded on the east by the line of eastern Ghâts which divide it from the Khánapur Táluka and which form the eastern boundary of the valley of the Krishna. At the town of Karád is the confluence of the Krishna and its almost equal tributary the Koina. The táluka consists of part of the valley of the river Krishna, the main direction of which is north and south, the lower part of the valley of the Koina, and also the entire valley called the Koleh valley, of a minor tributary of the Koina right up to the interior range of the western Ghats from which two great spurs diverge closing in this last valley, which spurs extend to within a very few miles of the Krishna.
4. The valley of the Krishna, the lower part of the Koina and Koleh valleys consist for the most part of the finest alluvial black soil, and the annual rains are as certain and reliable as is well possible; as in Tárgaon and Sátara the fall of rain increases in severity as one proceeds to the west, and finally in the hill villages at the head of the Koleh valley and on the table-land at the top of the hills which bound this valley, the dry-crop cultivation is, with the exception of very small patches here and there, confined to the hill grains, náchni, \&c., grown on land which is uncontinnously cultivated, being after cultivation for 2 or 3 years left fallow for from 3 to 6 years.
5. With the exception of the Koleh valley the communications of the district with markets are exceedingly good. The great road from Belgaum and Kolhápur to Sátára runs through the valley of the Krishna. The road from the districts to the eastward to Chiplun by the Kumbarla Gbat passes through the town of Karad and onwards through the valley of the Koina. Karad itself is a very large market and place of considerable trade, and there are other markets of minor importance at several places in the district.
6. Dry-crop cultivation flourishes in the central part of the district. On approaching towards the Ghats the rains become too heary for the most successful prosecution of this species of cultivation,
7. There is much bágait in nearly all the villages, amounting to a total area of acres 4,684 of Government land. Sugarcane, tobacco and other valuable crops are extensively grown. The ground-nut is also grown to a great extent, stimulated by the great demand which has of late years risen for this produce for exportation to Europe.
8. There are also acres 1,911 of Government Turi or rice land in the district, principally in the western villages. Rice with after-crops of wheat, or pulse, and occasional crops of sugarcane in the better land form the staple produce of this land.
9. For the disposal of every description of exportable produce, the excellent road to the tidal port of Chiplún, distant about 55 miles from Karad by the Kumbarla Ghat, offers every facility, while the two great lines of road which traverse the district cause a great
demand for every kind of fodder.
10. The condition of the people appears to be, on the whole, good; it has greatly improved during the last fer years owing to the high prices which have provailed and the opening of the Kumbarla Ghát. In some villages debt to a considerable amount is roported to exist. The fields in the valleys appear to be exceedingly well aud carefully cultivated. In the upper part of the Koleh valley and in the hill viliages the condition of the people is decidedly inferior to that of those in the valleys of the Krishna and Koina, from the remoteness of communications and the accidents of situations inseparable from hill villagos.
11. The following statement shows the area of land occupied, the permanent roductions or Láni Tota, the remissions from porerty, failure of crops, \&c., for evory year sinco the accession of British rule. The areas are derived from a conversion of the bighas of the former or Adam's survey into acres:-

|  | Year. |  | Occupied Area. | Láoni Tota or Permanent reductions. | Remissions. | Collections. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Acres. | Rs. | Rs. | R.s. |  |
| 1847-48 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 71,790 | 21,920 | 15,714 | 1,70,900 |  |
| 1848-49 | ... | ... | 71,9:30 | 23,734 | 12,593 | $1,64,212$ $1,62,462$ | , |
| 1849-50 | ... | ... | 71,879 | 24,063 | 14,602 5,580 | $1,62,462$ 1,70880 |  |
| $1850-51$ $1851-52$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 73,852 73,796 | 24,276 $24,9+3$ | 5,586 19,509 | 1,70,880 | $\}$ A verage 1,50,050 |
| 1852-53 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 73,615 | 25,103 | 27,197 | 1,47,120 | \} Averago 1,63,140 |
| 1853-54 | ... | ... | 72,074 | 24,149 | 14,672 | 1,54,713 | , |
| 1854-55 | ... | ... | 71,793 | 24,64] | 19,562 | 1,50,726 |  |
| 1855-56 | ... | ... | 72,789 | 24,684 | 7,689 | 1,70,021 |  |
| 1856 -57 | $\cdots$ | ... | 74,249 | 24,911 | 9,122 | 1,73,797 |  |
| 1857-58 | .. | ... | 74,717 | 24,900 | 5,639 | 1,77,471 | $J$ |
| 1858-59 | ... | ... | 77,944 | 24,885 | 2,057 | 1,82,731 |  |
| 1859-60 | ... |  | 78,337 | 25,107 | 2,694 | 1,82,688 |  |
| 1860-61 | $\ldots$ | ... | 78,363 | 24,995 | 507 | 1,85,813 |  |
| 1861-62 | ... | ... | 78,257 | 24,852 | 254 | 1,85,762 |  |
|  |  |  |  | General A | rage | 1,69,032 |  |

12. Up to $1854-55$ forms one period. The occupied area as shown by the accounts never exceeded acres 73,852 , the amount opposite the year $1850-51$ from that year to 1854-55 the annual falling off was steady, notwithstanding the very heavy annunl remissions. The average annual collections amounted to Rs. $1,59,650$. The assessment ovidently pressed very heavily during this period. In 1855.56 a fresh period begins. During this period the occupied area steadily increased. The revenue management was evidontly nuch stricter. The remissions which had been Rs. 19,562 in $1854-55$ were but Rs. 70,689 in 1855-56, and were farther reduced each year with the exception of 1856-57. Throughout the Collectorate a much stricter system of revenue management began to take effect in 1855-56, but about this time the general rise in prices began to be universally felt, as regards this táluka in particular during 1855-56 and 1856-57 there was a large expenditure on public works, both the Kumbarla Ghát road and the cleared road from Sátára to Kolhápur boing under construction during this period, affording full employment to the labouring classes. Eventually the opening of the Kumbarla Ghat in 1858-59 farther benefitted the district. Thus notwithstanding an increased strictness in revenue management, the average prosperity of the district exbibits an annual progressive increase in the year following 1855-56. The occupied area increased and the revenue increased in a still greater proportion. I should not perhaps be wrong in attributing some portion of the evident improvement in the district to the great reduction in the remissions of the last few years antecedent to 1855-56 and the consequent curtailment of opportunities for defrauding the rayats by the minor native officials, unavoidable under a system of heary remissions.
13. In 1858-59 the measurement of the district commenced, and in accordance with what it appears always happens, there was a great increase in the occupied area. Land hitherto unoccupied on acconnt of excessive assessment is taken up, and the assessment, be it what it may, readily paid as a fine to secure possession before the settlement. From the very small increase in the permanent reductions it is evident that nearly all the land newly occupied was taken up on the standard assessment without any "Laoni Tota" being accorded. During the last four years the remissions were cut down as low as possible, being last year at the nominal amount of Rs. 254.
14. But though the district has greatly improved of late years on the general average, the great inequalities of the old assessment have, beyond all doubt, pressed heavily, both in the cases of entire villages and individuals. The actual amount of this pressure it is not easy to form any precise estimate of, as it was greatly modified by a practice which was introduced in the Raja's time, of not allowing a rayat to cultivate Inam land unless he paid an excessive assessment for his Government land-an indirect way in fact of raising an assessment on the Inám. This practice is stated to have prevailed in many villages and
may be supposed to have more or less existed in most of the villages of the district. The lands of the 88 Government villages of the district are nearly one-third Inam, and besides these lands there are 34 entire Inam villages in the district. The rayat must cultivate somewhere to gain a livelihood and the Inámdár must sublet his lands on the best terms he can or draw no return from them. The Government as the largest land-holder in most of the villages had the first pull at the purse of the bulk of the rayats, the Inámdár was obliged to be content with what he could get after the demands of the Government had been made good. Thus the realization of a larger revenue, nominally on the Government lands only, than they could actually of themselves pay, became practicable.
15. I propose to adopt for this táluka a grouping of villages and rates of assessment the same as those adopted for the adjacent taluka of Targaon, the main bulk of which was settled last season, which again are very similar to the rates of the Sátára Táluka, also settled last year, and differ little from those of Wái, settled in the preceding year. It is here that in the last two years prices have greatly advanced, but that advance can hardly be considered as permanent and not liable to retrogression, and, therefore, I think it expedient to adhere to the standard of assessment which has been successfully introduced into those districts so similarly situated.
16. The following is the grouping of villages and the maximum dry-crop, turi and bagáit rates I propose to adopt:-

| Class. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Villages. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Dry-crop maximum. | Turi and Bagait. maximum. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. a. p. | Re. a. p. |
| 1 | 41 | 3 0 0 | 900 |
| 2 | 12 | 2100 | 800 |
| 3 | 11 | 260 | 780 |
| 4 | 8 | 220 | $7{ }^{7} 00$ |
| 5 | 8 | 1140 | 700 |
| 6 | Hill vil- | 100 | 500 |

17. The 1st class contains the villages in the valley of the Krishna and the lower part of the Koina and Koleh valleys near their confluences with the Krishna, having a moderate and certain sapply of rain and advantageously situated as regards access to high roads. The 2nd, 3rd and 4th classes comprise the villages proceeding farther and farther to the westward and in less accessible situations in both valleys; in the 2nd class are included the villages to the eastward under and among the spurs of the Ghats to the east of the valley of the Krishna whose situation is inferior to that of those of the 1st class. The 5th class includes the villages at the head of the Koleh valley, and the 6th class the hill villages on the slopes and tops of the Ghats enclosing that valley.
18. The maximum bagait and turi rates I have taken as similar. The bágait is both "Mothasthal," or irrigated by water raised from wells, and "Páthasthal," or irrigated by means of water led by means of a water-course from a dam on a stream. Or both Mothasthal and Pathasthal, the path ranning for a part of the season only and water being then supplied from a well. Rs. 5 per acre I have adopted as the highest rate for purely

- well bagfit in the 1st class; this rate decreasing for the lower classes, in correspondence
- with the maximum bagait rate, which is, of course, a purely Pathasthal rate. As regards the turi there is of course very little indeed of any which would approach the maximam rate. This could only occur in the case of the most superior soil with a supply of water equal to that of the best bagatit, that is, perennial, and in which sugarcane is capable of being grown.

19. The following table shows the estimated result of the new assessment in comparison with the collections on the old rates last year :-

| Clasg. | Number of Villages. | Collections Inst year on Govornment occupied Land. | Burvey Asmearment of Land occupied last year. | Survey Assessment of Government unoccupied Arable Waste. | Survey Kamila or Asseasment of Government Occupicd and Unoccapied Land. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123456 |  | Ra. | Re. | Rs. | Re. |
|  | 41 | 1,24,324 | 1,08,326 | 5,576 | 1,13,902 |
|  | 12 | 25,926 | 26,856 | 1,695 | 1,28,551 |
|  | 11 | 18,422 | 14,778 | 514 | 15,292 |
|  | 8 | 6,886 | 5,062 | 351 | 15,292 |
|  | 8 | 8,037 | 7,697 | 275 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,413 \\ & 7.972 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 8 | 2,167 | 2,578 | 253 | 2,831 |
|  | 88 | 1,85,762 | 1,65,297 | 8,664 | 1,73,961 |

20. The 1st class comprises the villages in the centre of the valleys, where the present assessment ranges highest, and where the practice of putting a very high assossment on the Government land of holders of inam land was most generally prevalent. In some of these villages the average old assessment on the whole dry-crop lands of the village exceeds Rs. 4-8-0 per acre and an average of Rs. 4 per acre is an exceedingly common monte on the bágait; again an average of upwards of Re. 12 per acre is met with and Rs. 8-0.0 is a common average rate.
21. In the 2nd class the old assessment is very variable, being in some villages very high and in some equally low, so that the general result showe a small inorense. In the 3rd class, high assessment prevailed; most of these villages are high up tho Koleh valley and distant from good communications, their old assessment appears to be based on protty much the same standard as those in the centre of the valley of the Krishna, however much the two situations may have been on an equality at the time the ancient assessmont was fixed, their circumstances are widely different now.
22. The 4th and 5th classes comprise the villages farther and farther up the Koleh valley; and the 6th class the hill villages. Re. 1 is taken as the maximum assessment of dry-crop land, but only the little land which is continuously culturable can attain to near this rate. In pure hill lands which are cultivated for 2 or perhaps 3 years and then left fallow for 5 or 6 years or more, the assessment will range from 2 to 3 annas por acre only.
23. The general result of the whole proposed settlement will be a reduction from the collections of last year, Re. $1,85,762$ to Rs. $1,65,297$, land bearing assessment Rs. 8,664 is at present waste. Supposing that all this is taken up, the gross realizations cannot oxceed Rs. $1,73,961$. But if the excessive realizations of the last four years are excluded from the calculation, the proposed settlement will not appear so unfavourably in a financial point of view as will appear from the following:-

|  |  | Re. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Àverage realizations from | 1847.48 | to 1854-55...1,59,650 |
| Do. do. | 1847-48 | , 1857-58...1,63,140 |
| Do. do. | 1817 | , 1861-62...1,69,032 |
| Survey Kamal on occupied and waste.. |  | ... ...1,73,961 |
| Do. Assessment of land now occupied only |  | pied only ...1,65,297 |

24. Thus though there is a present loss of Rs. 20,465 on the collections of last year, there is a probable increase on the total realizations of all past years, and it must be remembered that a considerable portion of the increased revenue of the last four years is due to the approach of the survey settlement. The less would not have been so great had the summary settlement not confirmed all Inámdérs their holdings according to existing limits, and thus prevented the bringing under assessment encroachments on Government lands. I have little doubt that as much as Rs. 3,000 a year would have resulted from the assessment of encroachments had it been still practicable,
25. I now come to the remaining 53 villages of the Helwak Mahalkari's Division of the Tárgaon Taluka, the bulk of which were settled last year. I reported on this taluka fully, in letter No. 131 of the 6 th April last, the rates proposed in which received the sanction of Government by letter No. 1841 of the 8th May last, so my remarks on these remaining villages may be brief. These villages, the greater part of which are hill villages on the Ghats, were not settled last year with the main bulk of the taluka, in consequence of the classification not being completed in time.
26. These 53 villages are entirely situated in the valley of the Koina river or on the hills bounding it on either side. They join on to the portion of the valley of the Koina comprised in the Karad Taluka and previously described. The lower part of the valley after the sudden bend of the river from a southerly to an easterly course at Helvák is traversed by the Karad and Chiplun road, so that the villages near this great line of rond have great advantages as regards communications with large markets. The villages on the upper part of the valley and on the hills are much less favourably situated.
27. As regards the condition of the people the remarks at paragraph 10 regarding the Karad Taluka apply equally here. The people at the lower part of the valley being on the whole pretty well off, those of the hill villages in the state of poverty which appears to be the normal condition of the inhabitants of such tracts. There are 1,171 acres of Government rice land and 190 acres of Government bagait in the 53 villages in question. The main bulk of the cultivation in the greater part of the villages consist of hill lands uncontinuously cultivated.
28. The following statement shows the rocorded occupied area, the permanent reductions on the standard assessment, the remissions and the collections for each year since the accession of British rule.

| Year. |  |  | Occupied area. | Líoni Tota or permanent reductions. | Remissions. | Collections. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Acres. | Rs. | Rs. | Rs. |
| 1847-48 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 32,364 | 3,400 | 1,123 | 11,001 |
| 1848-49 | ... | ... | 32,303 | 3,945 | 636 | 10,912 |
| 1849-50 | -* | .-. | 32,300 | 3,437 | 1,580 | 10,372 |
| 1850-51 | - | - | 32,177 | 3,685 | 916 | 10,598 |
| 185I-52 | ... | ... | 32,125 | 4,179 | 85 | 10,876 |
| 1852-53 | . | " | 32,180 | 4,204 | 289 | 10,683 |
| 1853-54 | $\ldots$ | ... | 32,180 | 4,170 | 4,019 | 7,021 |
| 1854-55 | ... | ... | 31,679 | 4,163 | 54 | 10,791 |
| 1855-56 | ... | *- | 31,492 | 4,369 | 28 | 10,566 |
| 1856-57 | - | - | 31,679 | 4,164 | ...' | 10,626 |
| 1857-58 |  | *** | 31,704 | 4,154 | 4 | 10,709 |
| 1858-59 | $\cdots$ | - | 31,770 | 4,153 | ...... | 10,742 |
| 1859-60 | ... | . | 31,801 | 4,118 | ...... | 10,803 |
| 1860-61 | *** | ... | 31,833 | 4,113 | 17 | 10,844 |
| 1861-62 | ... | ... | 31,674 | 4,063 | ...... | 10,777 |
| Average of 15 years... |  |  | . ${ }^{*}$ | ... | ..... | 10,488 |

29. The fluctuations in the items of the above statement, it will be observed, are very small indeed, which is due to nearly all these villages being very near the Gháts where a lump assessment prevailed, the internal distribution being left to the arrangements of the villagers themselves. The collections have actually decreased a trifle of late years, notwithstanding the great improvement in the circamstances of the people of very many of the villages, which they fully admit has resulted from the opening of the Kumbarla Ghát and the road leading to it.
30. The rates applied last year, to the villages of this táluka then settled, will prove perfectly applicable to these remaining villages, which according to their position will fall into the following classes of the rates given at para: 9 of my letter No. 131 of the 26th April last, on the settlement of the balk of the táluka as follows:-

| Class, | No. of Villages. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maximum Dry. } \\ & \text { crop rate. } \end{aligned}$ | Bagait and Turi maximum rate. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rs. a. p. | Re. a. p. |
| $4 \cdots$... | 2 | 220 | 700 |
| 8 ... | 12 | 1140 | 700 |
| 6 Hill villsges. | 7 | 100 | 700 |
| 7 ) | 32 | 100 | 500 |

31. Classes 4 and 5 correspond to classes 4 and 5 of the 9 th paragraph of my letter above alluded and these villages are intermixed with those settled last year in those clasees. Classes 6 and 7 are the villages close to the Gháts in which almost all the dry-crop cultivation is uncontinuous. Class 6 comprises the villages close to the Kumbarla Ghat road about Helvák which have a deeided advantage over the other hill villages. I have, however, only adopted one rate for the hill lands, in accordance with what was proposed by the Revenue Commissioner and sanctioned by Government with reference to the hill lands of the Sátára and Járli Tálukas last year.
32. The result of the imposition of the above rates, in comparison with the collection of last year, is as follows:-

33. Thero is thus an increaso of Rs. 3,074 on the collections of last year; and the collections of last year, as will be seen from tho general statemont at paragraph 28 , diffur littlo from those of any of the last $\mathbf{1 5}$ years. Till the last five years theso villages wore separated by. an interval of many miles from any great cart track, and had ouly communication with markets by bullock tracks. Prices moreover throaghout the country were very, difforent even five years ago from what they have been doring the last two years. Compared with the rates introduced elsewhere the rates proposed for these 53 villages are modorate onough; and I have no doubt of their proving suitable notwithstanding their involving some increaso of assessment. In former times this was one of the most inaccossible parts of tho whole Collectorate, there was no external demand for produce, and tho assossment was natarally on a very low standard. Where one rupee of assessment was then paid, Rs, 3 could doubtloss. be paid now with greater ease.
34. I would propose to reserve to Government the power of adding a water rato to ${ }^{\circ}$ existing assessment in case of works constracted by Government and of imposing a school cess of $\frac{1}{2}$ anna in the rupee as was sanctioned for districts previously-settled.
35. I have some proposals to make regarding the improvement of communications, in the districts above reported upon, but I will reserve these for anotiner roport.
36. I have still to report on the Válva Táluka, which I propose to settlo this yoar, bub the classification is only now being completed and the papers are not yet mado up.
37.     - I shall be obliged by your forwarding this report with as little delay as possiblo to the Revenue Commissioner, S. D.

I have the honomr, \&e.,
W. C. ANDERSON. Superintendent, Revenuo Survey, S. M. C.

No. 125 ов 1863.
Revenue Department.

## From

The REVENUE COMMISSIONER, S. D.;
To
The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT.
Dhárwár Districts, Cump
Kagnelli, 20th, January 1863.
Sirn,
1 have the honour to sabmit the accompanying report from Major W. C. Anderson, Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Assessment,S. M. C., No. 466, dated 30 th ultimo, proposing revised rates of assessment for the entire old division of the Karad Talluka of the Sátára Collectorate, comprising 88 Government villages and parts of villages, and also for the remaining portion of the late Helvak Mahalkari's Division of the Tárgaon Táluka consisting of 53 villages.
2. This report was received by me from the Collector of Sátára, Mr. Chapman, to whom it is addressed, and who in his trasmitting memorandum (No. 53, dated the 12 th instant, gives an abstract of it as follows:-
"In paragraphs 1 to 11 the physical features of the Karád Táluka are described and are shown to be very similar to those of the Tárgaon Taluka reported on last year. Major Anderson consequently proposed that the same rates should be introduced, and these were sanctioned by Government in their Resolution No. 1841, dated 8th May last.
" 3 rd. The average collections for the last fifteen years are shown to have been Rs. $1,69,032$, and those for last year amounted to Rs. $1,85,762$.
"The total survey assessment on both occupied and nnoccupied land is Rs. $1,78,961$, so that supposing the whole area to be under cultivation Government will, as compared with last year's revenue, lose Rs. 11,801 per annum by the new rates.
"At present land assessed at Rs. 8,664 is uncultivated, bat this will probably be immediately taken up.
"4th. The instructions contained in Government Resolation No. 1843 of the 8th May last sanctioning the rates for the Sátara Táluka have been carried out.
"One rupce has been fixed as the uniform maximum dry-crop rate in hill villages.

- 1664-12
" 5 th. The rates for the 53 villages of the Tárgaon Táluka have, as has been stated, already received the sanction of Government.


3. I see no reason for objecting to the rates of assessment proposed by Major Anderson for the several villages of the two divisions of the districts now reported on by him. They are as regards the Karád Táluka the same as were proposed and sanctioned last year for the Tárgaon Táluka, and as regards the late Helvák Mahálkari's Division, the same as were sanctioned for the interlying and adjacent villages of the Tárgaon Táluka of which they form a part.
4. I beg, therefore, to recommend that the proposed rates receive the sanction of Government with the reservations in respect to additional water rates and the usual school cess of $\frac{1}{2}$ an anna in the rupee recommended in Major Anderson's 34th paragraph; I should, however, have preferred a larger margin than a half anna cess to provide for local impruvements generally.
5. With reference to what is stated in Major Anderson's 35th paragraph, Mr. Chapman states that he " hopes to be able to visit the district in company with that officer this season, and avail himself of Major Anderson's knowledge and experience when submitting a plan for opening a commanication through the Koina valley."

On my receipt of these proposals I shall do myself the honour of laying them before Government with any remarks that may be called for.

I have the honour, \&c., W. HART, Revenue Commissioner, S. D.

Revenue Survey and Assessment.
No. 693.

## Revende Department. <br> Bombay Castle, 4th March 1863.

Letter from the Revenue Commissioner, S. D., No. 125, dated 20th January 1863-Submitting a report by the Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Assessment, Southern Maratha Country, proposing revised rates of assessment for the entire old division of the Karid Taluka of the Sátára Collectorate, comprising 88 Government villages and parts of villages, and also for the remsining portion of the late Helvák Mahalkari's division of the Targaon Táluka, consisting of 53 villages.
Resolution.-As the rates proposed are for districts which are reported to be in their physical character very similar to those of districts into which new rates were introduced last year, and which rates were very carefully considered by the Revenue Commissioner and Government before being sanctioned, the present proposals call for no special observations or remarks, and are approved and sanctioned by His Excellency the Governor in Council.
A. D. ROBERTSON, Secretary to Government.
To
The Revenue Commissioner, S. D.

No. 4644 op 1894.
From
R. E. CANDY, Esquire,

Collector of Sitaira ;
To

## The SURVEY COMMISSIONER and DIRECTOR of LAND RECORDS and AGRICULTURE,

 Bombay. Camp Mahäbaleshvar, $\frac{\text { Soth }}{31 \text { st }}$ May 1894.Sir,
I have the honour to forward for the orders of Government the revision survey settlement report for the Pátan Táluka of the Satuśra District submitted by Mr. Pitt, Superintendent of the Deccan Revenue Survey.
2. This report deals with the settlement of 117 Government villages and also certain portions of Government land included in 8 inám villages comprised within what is now called the Pátan Táluka, and which was so constituted in 1862.
3. Mr. Pitt has given, I consider, a correct, full and interesting account of the position, physical features and climate of the Patan Taluka, and he has shown by the figures tabulated in his 21st para. that since 1862 there has been a very general and substantial increase in prosperity.

Patan is well off as regards its main roads, its market towns, its rivers, its rainfall, and its proximity to the sea port town of Chiplun on the west and the railway station of Karád on the east.

All circumstances combined show that the material condition of the taluka is greatly improved during the past 30 years and justify the resolution to enhance the land assessment.
4. The most important duty which falls to me in reviewing this report is to express my opinion whether I regard the grouping of villages as correct and the imposition of the rates as equitable. The present classification is as follows:-

|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Number of } \\ \text { villages. }}}{ }$ | Maximum dry.crop. | Rice. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rs. |  |
| II ... | $\cdots$ | ... 17 | $2 \cdot 12$ | 80 |
| III ... | , | ... 24 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 78 |
| IV ... |  | ... ${ }^{16}$ .48 | ${ }_{1.2}$ | 7 6 8 |

Mr. Pitt seems to have carried out this grouping of villages very carefully, and I have no reasons to object to the grouping or to the rates proposed.
5. As regards the tisáli and kumri lands, I am of opinion that their separate classification and rating should be done away with, and that all such lands should be classed as ordinary jiráyat.
6. The figures relating to garden lands are interesting and show that whereas the area belonging to Government was 1,737 acres assessed at Rs. 8,036 , the present area is 3,342 acres assessed at Rs. 14,246 . The average assessment per acre has fallen from Rs. 4-10-0 to Rs. 4-4-2.
7. In like manner the Government patasthal area was 1,590 acres rated for water cess only at Rs. 4,337, whereas now it is 2,893 acres rated at Rs. 7,167. The average assessment per acre has fallen from Rs. 2-11-8 to Rs. 2-7-8.
8. The settlement appears to be moderate and equitable, and I recommend that the rates may be approved and guaranteed for the usual period of 30 years.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
R. E. CANDY,

Collector of Sátára.

- No. S-1650 or 1894.


## From

E. C. oZANNE, Esquire,<br>Survey Commissioner and Director, Land Records and Agriculture;

To

# The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, Revenue Department. 

Foona, 9th July 1894.
Sir,
I have the honour to submit proposals for a revision of rates in the Patan taluka of Sátara, prepared by Mr. Pitt and concurred in by the Collector.
2. The enhancement proposed by Mr. Pitt is 23 per cent. excluding the charge on new pátasthal irrigation-an enhancement more than justified by the course of prices, improvements in communications and general rise in the value of agricultural profits; but I fully agree with Mr. Pitt that for a táluka like Patan, which, except in favoured portions, is still undoubtedly backward, the enhancement should be chiefly confined to those parts which have made a marked advance during the expired lease.
3. A short summary of the facts which justify enhancernent must be given. The rise in priees shown by Appendix $\mathbf{N}$ and analysed in paragraph 36 has indoubtedly taken place, and this is natural, because at the date of the old settlement improved communications had not had time to show their effect on prices and the era of high prices which opened with the American War had not begun. Communications have been considerably improved. The great road from Karád to Chiplún was not open for through trafic till the current lease had been granted. During the lease the important railway feeder from Malhárpeth to the Masur Station of the Southern Marátha Railway has been constructed. This road must affect the grouping of some of the villages. The Local Fund Board has in recent years improved the bullock tracks mentioned by Mr. Pitt, but the greatest improvement of all is the West Deccan Railway. One of the most remarkable results of this railway has been the large extension of the earth-nut for export. 'Though the toll receipts (Mr. Pitt's para. 16) indicate that the railway has somewhat diverted the traffic along the Karád-Chiplún road, the great increase of carts (para. 21) shows clearly that improved communications have added largely to the advantages of the talluka.
4. There are several important roads in contemplation which may be enumerated:-
(a) A road from Helvak along the Koyna Valley to Mahábaleshvar.
(b) A road from Pátan vid Morgiri, Pänchgani and Mala to Sangameshvar.
(c) A road to the head of the Vang Valley from Karad running nearly due west and opening out this portion of the Pátan Táluka.
Of these roads the last only is at all likely to be constructed during the currency of the coming lease. Its survey has been made, but no prospective benefit to the táluka can be taken into account at the present time. There are rumours that the Southern Marátha Railway Company propose to run a railway from Karád to Chiplún, but this again is an improvement which cannot be considered now.

It must be at once insisted on that, though communications have been improved, the improvement affects only the villages near or through which the roads pass, because the talluka is so broken up by hill ranges. The registration statistics point to a great rise in the value of land, but the wide range of the figures for sales, leases, \&c., again indicates that progress has been far more marked in favoured villages than in the taluka as a whole. The general statistics of material resources given in paragraph 28 also point to greater progress in the open portions than in the hill, villages of the lower groups.
5. The grouping of the villages was at the first settlement made in instalments. It may be accepted generally, but modifications are necessary
eitber by reason of improved communications or by the elimination of pateut irregularities in the old grouping. Mr. Pitt has inadequately explained the grouping. I am however able to deal with this question with much oonfidence, because I visited a large portion of the tilluka in Maroh last in oompany with Mr. Lord, Assistant Oollector, whose local knowledge was remarkably thorough. The following synopsis of the grouping is a necessary preliminary to my comments:-

| Group. | Ond Groups. |  |  | Group. | Netv Groupa, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Villages. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Old raten, |  |  |  | Proposed rates. |  | Increase por cont. | Increnso por oent. oxcludiog now patasthal. |
|  |  | Dry-crop. | Rico. |  |  | Dry-crop, | Rico. |  |  |
|  |  | Rs. a. | Rs, a. |  |  | Rs. 4. | Rs. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| I | 2 | 8.0 | 9 | 1 ... | 14 | $3 \cdot 4$ | 9 | 32.9 | 29.4 |
| II | 6 | $2 \cdot 10$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | 5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV | 1 | 2.2 | $7 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | 5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 8$ | II ... | 17 | $2 \cdot 12$ | 8 | 31.5 | 26.4 |
| IV | 6 | $2 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| V | 6 | 1-14 | $7 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV | 5 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 7.0 | III •... | 24 | 2.6 | 7.8 | $17 \cdot 2$ | 12.7 |
| V | 19 | $1 \cdot 14$ | $7 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI | 1 | 1.0 | 6.8 | IV ... | 16 | $1 \cdot 12$ | 7 | $30 \cdot 7$ | $21 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 15 | 1.0 | $5 \cdot 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI | 6 | 10 | 6.8 | V . ... | 46 | 1-2 | 6.8 | 10. | 14.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total . | 27.2 | 23.0 |

Group 1.-No explanation is required for the amalgamation of old groups I and II. Of the villages of old group III now included in group I, Majgaon and Ural are much benefitted by the new Malhárpeth-Masur road. Adul and Nada were and are on the high road and ought to have been in old group II. They are certainly better situated in every way than Sangvad and Babula which were in that group. Marul cannot be placed in a lower group than Bahula. One village, Marli, raised from group IV has now a cart-road via Sangvad to the main road.

Group II.-This group should, as proposed, contain the remainder of old group III, that is, the villages in the Vang valley, which are not cut off by hills from access to the Karad market.- To this group, six villages of old group IV are added. Four of these adjoin the villages just referred to. Vajrosi is close to the market of Tarla in the north, and Clomsa, but for the inaccessible situation of the greater part of its lands, would have been placed with its neighbour Ural in the first group. The remaining villages of this proposed group belonged to old group V. Thongh all lie further west than Pátan, two of them (Ker and Katawdi) have easy access to Patan ; a third, Yerad, is on the main road, and the rest are only separated from this road by the river, the crossing of which is not difficult in the fair season. I think that these villages are rightly placed abope those of group III, for though the rainfall, which increases as one goes west, is very heavy and unsuitable to the best dry crops, earthnut, both as an irrigated and unirrigated crop has extended to them and they have the full advantages of easy communications.

Group III.-Group III contains the remainder of old group V and five villages of old group IV. These five villages were over rated at the first settlement. They are unfavourably situated with difficult access to markets and cannot be placed on a par with the villages of the same old group which have been included in group II.

As to groups IV and V, the only change recommended is the elimination and separate grouping of those hill villages which have a decided advantage over the rest. This elimination was partly effected at the old settlement in that seven
villages about Helvak (see para. 31 of Major Anderson's report of 1862) were rated at Rs. 6-8 for rice. Of these Mr. Pitt has put only one Rásati (No. 70, Appendix Q) into new group IV, but the other six should certainly be similarly included. These are Nos. $86,91,93,93,100$ and 106. They lie on the main road and secure special prices for their produce, including grass and firewood, to the numerous cart-men who halt at Helvak. The other 15 proposed for inclusion in group IV will be subjected to a somewhat large enhancement from Rs. 5 to Rs, 7 in their rice maximum as well as to an enhancement in the dry-crop rate. For this reason somewhat detailed justification is required. No 56 , Dhorosi, is quite close to Tarla and No 57, Sadavaghapur, though on a spur, has easy communication with Pátan and Tarla. Nos. 61 to 65 adjoin villages of group III. Nos. 66 to 68 and 71 lie on the main road. Nos. 58 to 60 and 69 are slightly inferior in position but adjoin villages of either group II or III. As regards Nos. 66-68 it is a question whether they should not be moved to group III at least. I do not make such a proposal because they have very much high-lying land and a very heavy rainfall,

It must be remarked as regards the seven villages shown in the synopsis above as being rated at Rs 6.8 for rice, that a rate of Rs. 7 was proposed and sanctioned at the old settlement, but the assessment was actually based on a rate of Rs. 6:8, a point which should have been noticed in Mr. Pitt's report:
6. Reverting again to the synopsis of groups shown above, and examining the more detailed information shown in Appendix $Q$, it will be seen that the enhancements in groups and in individual villages vary much more irregularly than would be the natural result of the proposed modifications in rates. Part of this irregularity is due to the assessment of new pátasthal, but even if this cause of irregularity is eliminated, much still remains which is almost wholly due to the special rating of all lands which have been classed under the "Tisali Kumri" rules, cursorily referred to in Mr. Pitt's 44th paragraph.

I have already reported to Government (see correspondence quoted in Government Resolution No. 4216, dated 11th May 1894), insisting on the necessity for re-consideration of the method adopted at the old settlement and confirmed at the revision of the Sátára tálukas already revised; which contain much hill land with a heavy rainfall; with respect to the land classed as tisali or kumri. Tisali and kumri would both be included in what is termed "varkas" in the Konkan, tisali most nearly corresponding to " bhatle varkas." It may be described as land which is cultivated with short fallows ivith náchini from seedlings raised in a ráb seed-bed; while kumri is poorer land which was formerly, and is still to a small extent, cultivated by kumri with long fallows, of as much even as 10 years, followed at most by two years of cropping. The fallow allowed the scrub to grow and, when it was grown, it was cut and burnt in situ and a crop raised on the spot followed by another crop the year after. No doubt the first crop after the fallow was a very remunerative one, but kumri cultivation cannot survive long with an increasing population. Already in the hill villages of Patan, which are inám, it has almost entirely disappeared and rab cultivation of náchni has perforce taken its place. This is rapidly beooming the case in the Government villages. At the time of the first settlement the hill villagers kumried wherever they found a suitable spot, but at that settlement Major Anderson confined them to set areas by measuring large numbers, patches of which might be kumried in rotation. Forest conservation, taken up by no means too early, together with increased population, must cause kumri to disappear of itself in a very few years, and even if the forests were abandoued, kumri cultivation would at no distant date make the hills as bare as they are in the Inam villages, where Inámdars have been tempted to trench on their capital by allowing a denudation of the
tree-covered area.
7. In view of the fact that the Survey Department is closing its operations, I take it to be my duty to place on permanent record in the Settlement Reports as opportunity arises important factors in settlement which hitherto have been kept out of reports. It is not always with the object of taking the orders of Government that these factors are recorded, for naturally Government will in future as it has in the past leave the details of land valuation to the experience
of the officers specially trained, but it would have been better if of the officers specially trained, but it would have been better if more explicit in-
formation had been recorded in the nld settlement reports, as in the earlier revision proposals, to enable the Government to understand the effect of the orders which are solicited.

In this report, therefore, I shall deal somewhat fully with three important factors which largely modify the effect of the sanction accorded to the proposals put forward. These are-
(1). 'l'he special " tisali kumri" rating.
(2). The hill class, or the "dongar class" as it is termed.
(3). The jantries for assessment.
8. The special classification for hill villages was instituted at the original survey of the Wáa Tálukaw it was modified as Jávli and Sátíra were taken up and again when what is now the Pátan Táluka was brought under the survey settlement. After that it was largely used in the field operations in the hill villages of the Kolhapur State, and was then for the first time brought on the printed rules of the Southern Maratha Country Survey, and thus became known as the "Kolhápur Hill Rules." The now Deccan Survey comprises what were the Southern Marátha Country and the Poona-Násik Surveys. The amalgamation took place in the year 1886, but prior to that date (1877) the abolition of one of the Survey Commissionerships brought the whole of the Deccan and Konkan under the administration of Colonel Anderson, who was the originator of most of the peculiar practices of the old Southern Maratha Country Survey. Of Colonel Anderson's wonderful skill as a land valuator it is not for me to com"ment, yet I am constrained to say that the jealousy with which he kept the details" of settlement in his own hands has made it a most difficult task to even the successors in immediate charge of the technical administration of the surveys to grasp the object and bearing of the standing departmental rules for classification and settlement.

## 9. The Kolhápur Hill Rules were framed to meet the special conditions of

 hill tracts. The raiufall is very heavy, too heavy for the successful cultivation of the better cereals. Cultivation of dry-crop land, as well as of rice, is most profitable when the seedlings are raised on a seed-bed prepared under the now well known "ráb" system. Dry-crop was also largely kumried, but kunuri is after all only wasteful ráb, and destined to die out with increased population. The value of dry-crop was small and its classification on the strict rules of the "Joint Report" would have been too costly. Moreover these rules were largely inapplicable. It would clearly have been an error to class hill slopes by dividing the fields into compartments and digging to ascertain the average depth. The depth varies so suddenly and so irregularly that, to get at an average, the number of compartments would necessarily have been enormous, altogether out of proportion to the importance of the question of depth of soil in such land. Dry; crop land was then divided into "khushki" (the Kánarese for dry-crop), "jiráyat" (the corresponding Maráthi equivalent), "tisali" and "kumri." These very nearly correspond to the divisions of the Konkan Survey, viz. "rábi" and "varkas." Khushki corresponds to " bandhi," and jirayat to " malkhandi rabi," and tisáli and kumri to "bhatle" and ordinary varkas respectively. As in the case of varkas, so in that of tisali kumri the depth was largely judged by eye, and the classification made more with reference to slope and position than to depth. Khushki, like rabi, was classed under the Joint Rules scale up to 16 annas, but the classification of jiráyat was limited to 10 or 11 annas as in the case of malkhandi rabi. Tisáli was not classed above 6 annas as in the case of bhatle varkas, and kumri was limited to 3 annas, while the limitation of ordinary varkas was 4 annas.10. The classification scale varied in different tílukas and was not fixed till 1861 thus:-

|  |  | Wai. | Járli. | Pâtag. | Remarks, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jiráyat 1st.Class |  | 11 | 10 | 11 | The Javli scale was applied to the |
| 2nd Class | ... | 9 | 8 | 9 | Sátara Táluka also. In 1861 |
| ", 3rd Class | ... | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | the scale was modified for the |
| 4th Class | ... |  |  | 6 | Targaon settlement. Targaon |
| Tisáli 1st Class | ... | 6 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | is now Patan in great measure. |
| 2nd Class | . $\cdot$ | 4.2 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | This scale was made Hinal and |
| Kumri 1st Class | ... | 3 | 3 | 3 | calledthe "Kolnapur Hill Kulen |
| 2nd Class | ... | 2 | 2 | 2 | scale. |

The Hill Rules were intended to apply to villages at the heads of valleys, and on the slopes and plateaux of hills, in which owing to excessive rainfall only such hill grains as náchni, sawa and wari are grown. In villages partly on the hills and partly in the plain they were applied to the hilly portions, the lowlying lands being classed under the Joint Rules scale. In doubtful cases the. hill lands were classed under both scales, the Superintendent deciding which should be used in assessment.
11. It seems expedient to place on record the manner in which the rules. were worked. Jiráyat was regularly classed by digging, but land was put into first class tisali, if the depth was apparently not less than $\frac{1}{2}$ cubit, and the surface level, or if slightly unlevel, provided with small embankments to ensure gradual accretion and prevent erusion. Tisáli, second class, took in land of the same depth with a sufficiently level surface to allow easy ploughing. It was essential that no land capable of annual cultivation should be classed below the grade of jirayat.

Kumri first class, was land even perhaps often deeper than $\frac{1}{2}$ cubit, but of a sloping surface, or intermingled with boulders which make ploughing difficult, while the lowest grade (kumri second class) was land which could not be worked by the plough or which was evidently of a smaller depth, even if fairly level; than $\frac{1}{2}$ cubit. Land was eliminated as "kharáb" and unassessed, which was too steep to allow of even hand hoeing, or too shallow. to allow grass to grow or covered with boulders and large stones. Digging in tisali was obligatory, but very partial, while kumri was wholly classed by eye.
12. Government is aware that in the Sátára District the old classification has been confirmed at revision survey. This is important when the variations in the tisâli kumri scales of valuation are borne in mind. I may at once dispose of Mr. Pitt's somewhat reckless suggestion that the Hill Rules classificatiom should be cancelled and the land re-classed under the rules which prevailed in the Poona-Násik Survey. That would mean a re-doing of the old field work, when it is certain that, whatever complications in settlement the Hill Rules: entail, they were most admirably adapted to meet the conditions of the tracts: to which they were applied. The Poona Nasik Survey was aided in getting over the diffeulty of hill land classification by the addition of a tenth class valued at 1 anna to the Joint Rules scale.
13. Turning now to the manner in which lands classed as kumri tisáli under the Kollápur Hill Rules were dealt with in assessment, I find that invariably and without exception one rate of I rupee was taken as the maximum rate. This does not quite mean, as might be presumed, that the classification annas were taken as the assessment, for the jantri plan came in which caused modifications to be referred to later. If the Hill Rules had been applied only to remote and inaccessible hill villages, this uniformity of rating might be considered reasonable; but not only were the rules, but the special rate also, applied to villages abutting on the most fertile highly assessed portions of a táluka. In other words, in a village of the first class where a maximum rate as high as Rs. $3 \frac{1}{2}$ was applied to "khushki", the tisali kumri maximum of Re. 1 was applied to the hilly portions: of the same village. This same maximum of Re. 1 was also affixed to the very remotest and most inaccessible villages, such as to those of the Koyna Valley for instance. I urge that this action is opposed to the principles of the Bombay Revenue Survey, and moreover, that it has the effect of greatly over-assessing. inaccessible hill villages, far from roads and markets, in comparison with those which have advantages of communication in a marked degree. This anomalous. practice of the original survey which has hitherto been followed at revision, beans: an extraordinary resemblance to the "usual" rabi and varkas rates of Captain Francis, which have been so fully discussed in recent Konkan settlement reports. I must notice also that in the original and revision settlement reports Government have not been fully and clearly informed on the matter. A perusal of paragraph 25 of Captain Anderson's report on Wái (Sátára Revision Compilatioa, page 45) apparently shows that the special tisali kumri rate was applied only to the bill villages, but as a fact, I find that it was applied to all lands classed as tisali or kumri in whatever group situated, and that a good deal of land was so classed even in the first group of Wai forinstance rated at the original survey at ls . 3 , and at the revision survey at Rs. 3-8-0. Similarly in paragraph 41 of his revision report on

Wai, Mr. Fletcher after giving reasons why the ordinary dry-orop masimum of the hill villages of Western Wai was only slightly increased, added that he proposed to raise the tisali kumri maximum from Re. 1 to Rs. 1-1-0. A perusal of this paragraph again conveys the meaning that the special maximum was only applied to the hill villages of the groups noted in the margin of that paragraph. But is a fact as at original settlement so at revision, the tisali kumri maximum was applied throughout the taluka on all lands classed as tistili kumri. I was on leave when the Wái report was submitted, and when I submitted the proposals for Jávli and Sátara, I was still under the impression that the special maximum had only been applied to the hill villages of the lower groups. As to Waii nothing can be done, because the rates have been introduced, but in Jivli and Sítíri I have, with the approval of Government, declared that the rates as regards tisili and kumri are provisional, pending orders of Government on a full knowledge of the facts.
14. The question will naturally arise whether the low value of tisili and kumri land and of jirayat, also in hill villages, would or would not be over-estimated and the land over-assessed if the ordinary dry-crop maximum is made to govern all the dry-crop of the village. On this point I will quote from a recent report by Mr. Turnbull :-

[^2]In this reasoning I concur, and, to support it, call attention to a noteworthy fact that in 15 villages of Javli the tisili land was rated under the dry-crop, maximum, the kumri alone being rated at the "usual" Re. 1 rate. In this táluka again, both khuskki and jiráyat in all the groups have been rated under the dry-crop maximum. T'his shows, as Mr. T'urubull remarks, that in some instances at least the classification scale was held to have differentiated the value of tisáli and ordinary dry-crop.
15. But even if it is held that the classification differentiation is not adequate, and if for this reason at original survey a special maximum for tisali kumri was required, the introduction, at revision survey, at Mr. Fletcher's instance of the "dongar class," would have prevented any over-assessment of poor hill lands and obviated all necessity for double rates. The hill or dongar class was elaborated during the prosecution of the Kolhapur Survey in 1876 by Colonel Anderson. The information on record as to the object of this special measure is very meagre, but the following aim of the dongar class may be gleaned :-
"Hill lands under the kurari tisali rules wonld be classed precisely at the anme valuation whether situated on the tops or spurs of hill rangss or at a lorrer elevation or on the plain; where ss undoubtedly the agricultural value of such lands differs considorably. It was ordered then that the classers should nffix the dongar class with respect to each field of dry-crop. The first dongar class applied to the bottom lands, the second dongar class to fields ou minor spurs at no great elevation, and the third dongar class to elavated spurs of hills or to fields on the tops of the main ranges."

The use in assessment of the dongar class was as follows:-Fields classed at the first dongar class were rated under the maximum of the group; those classed as second dongar class at the maximum of the next lower group, and those at the third dongar olass at the maximum of the still lower group. So far, the dongar class has had no effect on tisáli kumri lands, inasnuch as the tisáli kunri maximum has been the same in all the groups. It has practically affected only khushki and jiráyat. In 1891 Mr . Fletcher obtaiued sanction to apply the dongar class to the Satára Tálakas at revision settlement. In the classification records all fields have this dongar class assigned, including fislds
classed as tisali or kumri. The assignment of the dongar class was made as a part of the revision classification, for the inauguration of this class was of a later date than the original classification.

It was, be it carefully noted, only applicable to hill villages and only to drycrop lands.
16. I think that the dongar class combined with the special tisali kumri classification takes away all necessity for making any difference in the maximum dry-crop rate of any group. It most fully meets any difficulty of communication which the cultivators of land on spurs and kills can have, and makes adequate allowance for the heavier rainfall on such lands, as well as for the thimness of the depth of soil. But as stated in paragraph 7 above there is a third factor, that of the use of jantries, which has a marked effect on the assessment as finally calculated. I append Appendix (1), the jantri used at original as well as at revision settlement for the Wái, Jávli and Sátára Tálukas, and which would have been used for all tisali kumri land in Pátan if the proposals made had been submitted for sanction unmodified. First the strange fact is apparent that, whereas the sanctioned tisali kumri maximum was Re, 1 at original settlement and Rs. 1-1 at revision, the jantri was precisely the same. But this is a fact which may be put into other words, viz., that though the sanctioned maximum was Re. 1 at original survey the actual rate applied was Rs. 1-2, and that this latter rate was applied also at revision though the sanctioned maxinum was Rs. 1-1. The proposed increase on tisali kumri is thus wholly nominal as far as the maximum rate is concerned,

In this appendix I have added a full explanation of the construction and use of the jantri and have also compared it with other jantries (Appendix 2) made use of at original aud revision settlement in the hill tálukas of Sátára. I have confined the comparison to low grades of rating, where the ordinary drycrop rate was the same or nearly the same as the special tisáli kumri rate. I cannot recommend any of these jantries for further use and in the absence of the necessity for any sliding scale in these lowly assessed tracts think that the jantri to be used for the lowest groups should be made out strictly at a Rs. 1-2.0 maximum from the highest to the lowest values. This will not alter the additions for nearness to and allowances for distance from the village site, which may and should be made according to present practice.
17. There is still one factor in the assessment which must be noticed, that is, the increase imposed on fields in consideration of their facility for receiving drainage from higher lands. This factor, originated in 1885 by Mr. Fletcher, bas been styled the "general position class". It was largely made use of in Kbán. desh tálukas and in the plain tálukas of Sátára. The scale under which it was applied is as below :-

Scale.


This scale has been applied to all tracts subjected to the general position class except the Mán Táluka of Sátára where a slightly different scale was used. First class position was only applied to flat level bottom lands receiving plentiful drainage from higher levels. Second position class was applied to lands slightly more elevated or further up the valley, but still receiving benefit from surface drainage. Third position class covered lands without benefit of surface drainage but level in surface and so capable of retaining the rainfall. Fourth position class included all lands without surface drainage and also all lands which from sloping surface cannot retain the rain which falls on them. To this last
class no addition was made. The application of these rules to hilly traots is, in my opinion, of more than doubtful expediency, and I have approved of Mr . Turnbull's action in ordering that the increases which have been made by the classers on account of general position in any land classed as kumuri tissuli or jiráyat should be cancelled in the Pátan Taluka. But such land has received as much addition as the rules allow in Waii, Jávii and Sátéra, though the scale shows that the rules cannot apply at all to kumri land, and that their effect on tisáli is very small. Still, as the position class to some extent ruus counter to the dongar class, it would have been better if they had not been applied where the dongar class was applied.
18. I now come to the important question of rating, and as I have already stated, do not support the principle hitherto adopted with respect to a different maximum for difterent classes of dry crop. If, however, I were to acoept the dry crop rates proposed by Mr. Pitt and make them apply not only to ordinary dry crop, but to tisali and kumri also, the assessment in the lower groups would. be raised above a justifiable figure.
19. I append an abridged form of Appendis Q showing the modifications in rating which, I think, may be reasonably made in this taluka. As regards Group I, no change has been made in the rates proposed except that the dry crop rate is made to govern also tisáli and kumri lands. This group is hardly affected. In 3 villages, Nos. 10, 12 and 14, where tisali kumri land is found, the percentage enhancement is somewhat increased. The 2nd group I have been obliged to sub-divide by placing the 6 villages which belonged to old group V into a subgroup at Re. 2-6 for dry crop including tisali kumri. As may be supposed the enhancements are somewhat increased even with the application of the dongar class in those villages where the area of tisáli and kumri is proportionately large. Group III has been now rated at Re. 1-14-0 for dry crop instead of at Rs. 2-6-0. This will entail a lowering of maximum rate in the 5 villages which belonged to old group IV at Rs. 2.2-0. But I have already stated that these villages were over-rated before and the decrease of maximum rate is not real, for the maximum rate entered by Mr. Pitt was only applied to the dry crop land of this. group. Column 21 of Mr. Pitt's Appendix $Q$ shows that four-fifths of the area is tisili kumri, to which he proposed to apply a maximum of only Re. 1-1-0. Where in certain villages the enhancement is considerably increased over the figures shown in Mr. Pitt's statement, the increase is due to his abnormally low rate for tisbli kumri. As a whcle the enhancement on the group is practically unaltered. In group IV I have included, as stated above, the 6 villages of which the old rice rate was Rs. 6-8.0 and which Mr. Pitt inadvertently placed in his 5th group. For the sake of clearly showing the effect of the changes made I give a synopsis. of the groups and rates which I propose for sanction :-

Synopsis of Groups and Rates.

| OLd Grotps |  |  |  |  | New Orout. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miv, of } \\ \text { villagea. } \end{gathered}$ | Old Rates, |  |  | - No. of Groupand Villagea. |  | Proposed Rates. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inerrmas } \\ & \text { ler cuile. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | Dry crop. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kumiri } \\ & \text { Tis.i. } \end{aligned}$ | Rice. |  |  | $\underset{\text { nry }}{\substack{\text { cryp }}}$ | Rise. | Patasthal. |  |  |
|  |  | Rs, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Re. a. | Rs. a. |  |  | R8. a. | Pis.a. | Ris. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ru. 2. |  |
| II $\ldots$... $\quad .$. | 2 | $3 \cdot 0$ $2 \cdot 10$ | 1.0 1.0 | 90 8.0 |  | 14 |  | 9.0 | 8'0 | 33.0 | 30.5 |
| III ... | 5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.0 | 7.8 | \} | 14 | 3: |  |  |  |  |
| IV ... ... | 1 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III ... ... | 5 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 1.0 | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | f II | 11 | 212 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 34.1 | $20 \cdot 1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | III | 6 | $2 \cdot 6$ | 8.0 | $8 \cdot 3$ | 40.3 | $34 \cdot 6$ |
| V.... | 6 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 1.0 | 7.J | III ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV |  | $2 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 3$ | $7 \%$ | iv ... | 24 | 1,14 | 78 | 8.0 | $16^{\prime} 8$ | 11.4 |
| V ... ... | 19 | $1 \cdot 14$ | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI ... | 7 | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 1$ |  | ) v ... | 22 | 1.8 | 70 | 71) | $20 \cdot 7$ | 20.5 |
|  | 15 | 1*0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI ... | 40 | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | VI | 40 | $1 \cdot 2$ | 6.8 | 6. 5 | 16.0 | 14.3 |
| Total | 117 | ... | '.* | $\cdots$ | Total .- | 117 | ... | -. | ... | 28.4 | 23.9 |

ธ 1664-15

Explanation.-There is only one maximum for all dry crop, including tisáli and kumri, in each proposed group. The percentage in the last column is found by excluding, not all the water-rate on pátasthal new and old, but the new assessment on patasthal now assessed for the first time. It is stated in the old reports that the patasthal maximum was the same as the rice maximum. This statement cannot now be verified. But it is approximately true, for the jantri used made allowances for low grades of dry-crop rating practically equivalent in effect to a lower maximum for soil and water combined.

If these proposals are compared with those submitted by Mr. Pitt, it will be seen that the nett result on the whole taluka and in each group is very similar. The chief difference lies in the villages of my group III, where excluding new partasthal the percentage enhancement is $34^{\circ} 6$ per cent. I think the amendments may be accepted without change. Not only is the anomaly of the treatment of tisáli kumri eliminated, but the burden of enhancement is retained on the villages where there is justification for placing it.
20. I shall now be obliged to make amended proposals for Jávli and Sátára, with the same object as was in view in amending the proposals for Pátan. But I await instructions, which, I trust, will be issued in the orders on Pátan.

I have, \&c.,
E. C. OZANNE,

Survey Commissioner and Director, Land Records and Agriculture.
Through the Commissioner, C. D.

Statement referred to in Paragraph 19 of the Survey Commissioner and Diroctor, Land Records and Agriculture's remarks on the Patan Revision Setllement Report, No. S-1650, dated 9th July 1894.




Note.-The percentages in bracketa shown against the total of each groap are calcalated on the original and reviston asgesmente exclusive of the nasenment on new patasthai.
E. C. OZANNE,

Survey Commissioner, and Director,
Land Records and Agriculture.

## APPENDIX (1).

Jantri of Re. 1 used in calculating the Assessment of Rumri and Tisáli Lands in Jávli, Karád, Tárgaon, Pátan and Válva at Original Survey. Also used at revision of Wai and Saitara for maximum rate Rs. 1-1-0. Also at revision of Jávli with the corrections as shown in block figures.




## Explanations.

1. This table was primarily framed for the calculation of the assessment from the recorded bhág annas in "tisali and kumri" land on a sanctioned maximum rate of one rupee in the hill tálukas named of the Sátúra District.
2. It is not only a ready reckoner for such calculation, but it takes into count the allowance for distance from village site (paragraphs 56 and 69 of the Survey and Settlement Manual).
3. Column 1 shows the bhag annas or "average value," and the other columns the assessment or such bhág annas, with addition for proximity to, or allowance for distance from, the village site.
4. For this purpose the villages are arranged into 4 classes, chiefly on consideration of population, but also with reference to proximity to, or possession of, a market, and similar facts at the discretion of the Superintendent.

Note.-The heading of column 1; "class I", does not refer to the entries in the perpendicular column below, but to the horizontal column to the right. The figures in these horizontal columns opposite the "classes" show "distance in miles or fractions of a mile from village site". The figures in the perpendicular columns below the " distance" grades give the assessment to be placed on each feld "according to its average classification" (column I) and "distance from village.

Thus: A field of which the bhág annas are 9 annas will be assessed at 11 a .6 p . if in a village of Class I and within 1 mile from the village site, at 9 as, if over $3 \frac{1}{6}$ and within 4 miles, and of the same class, and so on.
5. The thick perpendicular line divides "additions" from "allowances." The first perpendicular column to the right of this line, however, makes no addition or allowance, and is the assessment on the proportionate bhág annas, i. e., the assessment on fields in villages of Classes I to III is exactly proportionate to the bhág annas when the distance from village site is over 14 and under 2 miles, but in villages of Class IV. when it is over $\frac{n^{-}}{4}$ and under 2 miles.

Note.-The blank columns mean that the first figure on the right in the horizontal column applies to the blank columns in the same line to the left.
6. Nominally this "jantri" is a jantri for a maximum of one rupee, but really for one of Re. 1-2-0, as the first figure to the right of the thick line opposite the 16 annas " average value" shows.
7. As the highest value for tisali is 6 annas in the scale applied to the particular tálukas for which this jantri was framed, and the lowest value for kumri 2 annas, the figures above 6 annas and below 2 annas are redundant for tisali kumri. The higher valuations are entered for use for the assessment of lands classed above 6 annas as "jiráyat," and for the assessment of lands classed even above 11 annas (the maximum classification valuation of jiráyat), as irrigated jirdyat, i. e., jiráyat to facilities for irrigation. The table was used for "jiráyat" as well as for "tisáli" and "kumri" in the named tálukas.

Note.-It was not used for rice land for no such maximum as 1 Re. or Re. 1-2-0 conld be applied to rice and because by the tradition of the Southern Mahratta Country Survey no allowance for distance from village was given in rice or garden land.
8. This jantri was used both at the Original Survey for a maximum of Re. 1 and at the Revision Survey for a maximum of Rs. 1-1-0, but it was modified for the revision rating of Jávli and after tálukas in the lower grades, the importint grades as regards tisáli and kumri, as shown in the duplicate figures in the first and second columns after the thick line, for average values below 5) annas. These modifications, as is apparent, tend towards a lower assessment for values under 4 annas and a higher assessmeat for those above $3 \frac{1}{2}$ annas and below 5 annas.

# APPENDIX (2). <br> Comparison of the different Jantries used at Original and Revision Setllement of Sátára Hill Tálukas. 

| Bhag Annas. | No. 1. | No. 2. | No. 3. | No. 4. | No. 5. | Remares. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 16.0 | $18 \cdot 0$ | 18.0 | $17 \cdot 6$ | $19 \cdot 0$ | Of these scales No. 1 is the oldest. It was |
| $15 \frac{1}{2}$ | $15 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 6$ | $17 \cdot 0$ | $18 \cdot 6$ | applied at original settlement to Wai, for |
| 15 | $15 \cdot 0$ | $17 \cdot 0$ | $17 \cdot 0$ | 16.6 | $18 \cdot 0$ | jiráyat as well as tisali kumri th.roughout |
| 1412 | 14.6 | $16 \cdot 6$ | $16 \cdot 6$ | 16.0 | $17 \cdot 6$ | the taluka, for maximum of Re. 1. |
| 14 | 14,0 | $16 \cdot 0$ | $16 \cdot 0$ | 15.6 | $17 \cdot 0$ | No. 2 was framed for original settlement |
| 13 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 6$ | $15 \cdot 6$ | 15.0 | $16 \cdot 6$ | in Jáli, for tisáli kumri, rated at Re. 1, |
| 13 | $13 \cdot 0$ | $15 \cdot 0$ | 15.0 | 14.6 | 16.0 | but applied only in Karád, Pátan and |
| 121 | $12 \cdot 6$ | 14.0 | $14 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 0$ | $15 \cdot 6$ | Válva. It was used unchanged at re- |
| 12 | $12 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 0$ | $13 \cdot 6$ | 15.0 | vision survey for tisali kumri rated at |
| 112 | $11 \cdot 6$ | 13.0 | $13 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | $14 \cdot 6$ | Re. 1-1-0 in Wái and Sátára, and with |
| 11 | 110 | $12 \cdot 6$ | $12 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | 14.0 | some modifications for Javli tisáli kumri |
| 101 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 10.6 | 12.0 | $12 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 6$ | $13 \cdot 0$ | at revision survey rated at Re. 1-1-0. |
| 10 | $9 \cdot 6$ | $11 \cdot 6$ | 11.0 | $10 \cdot 0$ | $12 \cdot 0$ | No. 3 was used in Wái for jiráyat rated |
| $9 \frac{1}{2}$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $11 \cdot 0$ | $10 \cdot 0$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | 11.0 | at Re. 1-1-0 both at original snd revision |
| 9 | $8 \cdot 6$ | 10.6 | $9 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | 10.8 | surveg. |
| $8 \frac{1}{2}$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | 9.6 | $9 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | 10.0 | No. 4 was used at original settlement for |
| 8 | $7 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 0$ | Jávli and Targaon hill villages at Re. 1 |
| $7 \frac{1}{2}$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 6$ | for jiráyat as well as tisáli kumri. |
| 7 | $6 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 0$ | No. 5 was used at revision settlement for |
| $6 \frac{1}{2}$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 0$ | 6.6 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $7 \cdot 6$ | jiráyat rated at Re. 1-2-0 in Wái and Jávli. |
| 6 | $5 \cdot 6$ | 6.6 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 6.6 | None of the scales were used for khushki. |
| $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | Jirayat was only classed up to 10 or 11 |
| 5 | $4 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | annas (report para. 10), and the use of |
| $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | the higher values was designed for sach |
| 4 | 3.6 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | land when it was found irrigated. |
| $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | The comparisons are instituted between |
| 3 | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 6$ | the different scales only with reference to |
| $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | the 1st column after the broad line (see |
| 2 | $2 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | explanation as to jantri in Appendix 1). |
| 17 | 1.6 | 2.0 | $1 \cdot 6$ | 1.6 | 16 | The jantri in that appendix is No. 2, |
| 1 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | $1 \cdot 0$ | which was slightly changed for Jávli as above stated. |

Comments on the above comparison.
No. 1 jantri assesses the land in proportion to the bhág annas, except for values over $3 \frac{1}{2}$ annas and below 10 annas. For these intermediate values, which governed nearly all the jiráyat and most of the tisáli, the valuation actually assigned really means that the land was assessed at a maximum of from 14 to 15 annas, and not of Re. 1. As the kumri was not a recipient of the reduction, it would appear that its classification made full allowance for its quality.

In No. 2 jantri the detailed valuations correspond with a maximum rate of Re. 1-2-0 even in the tisali kumri grades. It represents a rate of Re. 1-4-0 in the grade of 2 nd class kumri. On the other hand for 4 -anna soil it is a Re. 1 rate.

No. 3 jantri is at least based on a Re. 1-2-0 rate for soils above 8 annas but for soils classed at 8 annas and below, it is a simple 1 Re. jantri, the classification annas being also the actual assessment. There is no evidence that this scale was used for tisáli or kumri lands. It may be described as a sliding scale where the increment over the assessment calculated strictly according to the bhág annas and the rate of Re. 1-1-0 for the better soils was counterbalanced by corresponding reduction in the lower grades.

No. 4 jantri is similar, but the reductions were less in the lower jiráyat grades, though similar in the tisáli kumri values, for in Jávli the highest classification for tisali was $4 \frac{1}{2}$ annas. On the other hand the increment was less in the higher grades of jiráyat.

No. 5 though nominally a Re. 1-2-0 jantri was really a jantri for Re. 1-3-0 to $1-4-0$ in the better soils, while in the lower grades the range is from Re. 1 to Re. 1-2-0.

General conclusions.- It cannot be said that a uniform principle governed the framing of these tables, nor that the differences made were suggested by diversities of conditions, which they sought to meet in the different tálukas.

# Revenoe Dipartarent. 

Poona, 24th July 1594.

## Forwarded.

2. The proposals made by Mr. Pitt have been closely reviewed by the Survey and Settlement Commissioner; and there is very little to add to his remarks. The only point which requires special notice is the method of assessing tisáli and kumri lands, regarding which the Surrey and Sottlement Commissioner promised to submit a further report (see Government Resolution No. 4216 of 11th May 1894). This further report apparently takes the form of the comments made by Mr. Ozanne in paragraphs 6 to 18 of the present review.
3. The crucial point with respect to these tisali and loumri lands seems to be whether they are so essentially different from ordinary dry-crop lands as to warrant the imposition in their case of a different maximum rate, as in the case of rice and garden lands. The Commissioner, in charge Central Divison, has considered the arguments put forward by Mr. Ozanne, and he accepts his conclusion that, when the difference between ordinary dry-crop and inferior lands can be allowed for, and is, in fact, allowed for, in the operations of the classing staff, there is no reason whatever for adopting, in the case of inferior lands, a maximum rate different from that adopted for ordinary dry-crop lands.
4. The difference between ordinary dry-crop lands and inferior dry-crop lands appears to lie in the fact that, while the former can be cultivated annually, the latter can be cultivated only after allowing the fields rest for a certain period. The inferior lands, therefore, labour under certain disadvantages; and these, it seems, are fully estimated and allowed for by the application of the dongar class and also of the special tisali-loumri class. Such being the case, it is obvious that the imposition of a special maximum rate-the same for all groups-could only have the effect of treating lands situated in different groups as if no difference existed between them in point of climate, position with respect to markets, and facilities of communication.
5. The view that the provision of a special maximum rate for the assessment of inferior dry-crop lands is unnecessary, is further supported by the fact that no such rate has been imposed by the Deccan Revenue Survey, under which all dry-crop lands, whether jirayat, tisáli or leumri, are assessed at one uniform rate. And the proposal made by Mr. Ozanne amounts in fact to the adoption of the method followed by the Deccan Survey, with this difference,that, while in the Deccan Survey the valuation of inferior dry-crop lands is mado by a further lowering of the scale, such valuation under the Southern Maritha Country Survey is to be made by the application of the dongar and the special tisáli-kumri classes.
6. Attention is also invited to Government Resolution No. 3499, dated 21 st June 1875 (quoted at page 137 of Nairne's Hand-book, Third Edition), to which no reference is made by Mr. Ozanne, but which seems to bear on the question at issue.
7. The main point being conceded, the modifications in the groups and maximum rates, summarised and explained in paragraph 19 of the Survey and Settlement Commissioner's review, follow as a matter of course. And the Commissioner, in charge Central Division, recommends Mr. Ozanne's proposals in their entirety for the approval and sanction of Goverament.

> J. F. FLEET,
> Commissioner, in charge Central Division.

Revenue Survey and Assessment: Revision settlement of the Patan Taluka of the Sátára District.

No. 1069.

- Revenue Department.

Bombay Castle, 8th February 1895.
Memorandum from the Commissioner, ©. D., No. R.-3n22, datell 24 th July 189t-Sub.


miting, with his remarks, the papers, noted in the margio, conliming proposals for the revision sethement of 117 Government villages of the Patna Taluka of the Sátácn District. Submitting one No. s. - 2490 , datell 19 ith idem, from the Survey Commissioner num Director of Land Records and Agriculture, together with a letter No. 8722, datod 11th iden, trom the Collector of Sátíra, who forwarils petitions of objecrion received from 39 villages iu the Pátan Táluka of the Sfúra Collectorate againgt the reviṣion survey rates proposell for that talukn.

Resolution:-These papers contain proposals for the revision survey settlement of 1 17 Govermment villages and Governuient lands situated in 8 inain villages of the Pátan Táluka of the Sátára District, 25 of which were settled iu 1861-62 and the remainder in $186.2-63$. The excellence of the original measuring. work has enabled the surveyors to dispense with rembasurement to a great extont, - only 1,912 out of a total of 16,524 inubers being examined afresh. The old classification has also been largely adopted, revision operations being mainly confined to an examination of the land for the addition of a position class based on its inherent advantages with regard to moisture, and to the classing of lands newly brought under irrigation for soil and water, and of old patasthal land for soil only. The most noteworthy feature brought to light by the survey is an increase of 18 per cent. in the area of rice land, and of 93 per cent. in that of garden land:

2: The Survey Superintendent has given a full account of the condition of the taluka and of the progress it has made during the curiency of the settlements, which have just expired. Population has increased by 41.6 per cent., cattle for agricultural and other purposes by $32-4$ per cent., wells and.badkis tor irrigation by 93.8 per cent., and carts by $5 \geqslant 4 \cdot 3$ per cent: Agricultural produce commands.prices which are, roughly speaking, from 50 to $1 \because 0$ per cent. higher than those prevailing during the ten years immodiately preceding the introduction of the lease:. Comniunications-as the striking increase in the number of carts testifies-have beeu improved by the opening of the important KaradChiplun road passing through the middle of the taluka, by the construction of several country roads and tracks in various directions, and more especinlly by the opening of the Southern Maratha Railway, which skirts the eastern border of the táluka, and affirds an easy means of transport. for the produce of the villages in the neighbourhood. The revenue history of the táluka during the lease has been good; there has been a marked iucrease in the area under cultivation, remissions have been practically unknown, and the land revenue has been collected with a minimum of pressure. No doubt, as remarked by the Survey Commissioner, the improvement in the: economic condition of thio people is more marked in the easterin paits of the taluka, which are more open : and fertile aud better situated with regard to communications than in the hilly country on the west ; but the comparative figures given by the Survey Superintendent in paragiaph 28 of his report show that the villages in the latter tract have participated to a very considerable degree in the general inprovement, and that the increments proposed, which have been carefully graduated with regard to advantages of climate, rainfall, and position, are fully. justified.
3. Under the first settleazent the villages of the taluka were divided into 6 groups, with naxima varying from Kis. 3 to Ke. 1 for dry-crop and from lis. 9 to Rs. 5 for rice, but the grouping was effected by instalments, and as the villages were then distributed among two different tallukas, it is not quite certain that the rates applied to them. were based on altogether similar considera.
tions. The Survey Superiatendent has therefore recast the grouping and divided the villages into five classes, graduated strictly according to the natural advantages which they possess, .The groups are-


These proposals which involve an increase, excluding new pátasthal, of 23 per cent. have received the approval of the Collector of the district. The Survey Commissioner, however, considers that Mr. Pitt's proposals require modification in two important particulars, and his remarks need careful consideration.
4. The first point is the exclusion from Group IV of the 6 marginally noted

## Helvák. Gokul.



Govára:
Dhankal. villages situated near the Kumbharli. together with a seventh, Rásáti, tḥough placed in the sixth or lowest group, were differentially rated at Rs. 7 per acre for rice crop (which in practice was reduced to Rs. 6-8-0) owing to their proximity to the main Kumbhárli Ghát road; while in his present proposals the Superintendent has included Rásáti in his IVth Group, the rice rate for whieh is Rs. 7, but has placed the remaining 6 villages in Group V, the rice rate of which is Re. 6-8-0. .The Survey Conmissioner considers* that, in view of the advantages which their proximity to the main road confers on these villages, they should all be included in Group IV.
5. The second point to which the Survey Commissioner takes exception is the treatment proposed for tisáli and kumri lands, which cover a large area in this táluka. These terms, it must be noted, are applied to soils of poor quality which only yield crops of coarse grain once in a certain number of years, the period of fallow in the case of tisali being usually three and in the case of kumri ten years, and which are found in villages at the heads of valleys or on the slopes and plateaux of hills, classed at the original settlement under special rules, known in recent years as the Kolhápur Hill Rules. These rules were framed with the double object of facilitating, aud of keeping down, the classification, the main difference between the method followed and the results obtaitred under them, as opposed to the ordinary juint rules, being that under the latter all drycrop is regularly classed up to a naximum of 16 annas, while under the former classification is done to a great extent by eye and in a rough and ready way, and is limited to a maximum of 11 annas, 5 annas and 3 annas for jiráyat, tisáli and kumri, respectively. As a further precaution against the over-assessment of these tisali-and kumri lands, a special maximum rate of Re. 1, applicable throughout the táluka without regard to grouping, was sanctioned at the - origiual settlement, theoretically for them only. In practice, bowever, it appears to have been applied to all dry-crop lands in villages or parts of villages classed under the Hill Rules. In the proposils now submitted the Survey Superintendent recommends that this plan should be continued for the present, merely substituting a rate of lis. 1-1-0 for the former nominal rate of Re. 1. He adds, however, that as it ignores the large variations in the value of these lands which. their" proximity to or distance from bigh roads and markets necessarily eutails, it should be considered provisional, and that the final settlement of the toatter: should be reserved until' some more satisfactury method of assessment can be devised.
$\ddot{6}$. It is to this provisional arrangement that Mr. Ozanne objects. He considers that the practice of assessing at one maximum rate lands situated in inaccessible hill villages and in-villages in tolerable proxivity to roads and markets is entirely opposed to the principles of the Bombay Kevenue Survey, and in the absence of strong reason to the contrary should not be allowed to continue. He accordingly discusses the question whether the rejection of the uniform maximum rate and the application under certain restrictions to these lands of the ordinary dry-crop group maxima, graded aceording to advantages of position and accessibility, would recessarily result in an undue
enhancement of the assessment. After a full consideration of the matter he comes to the conclusion that, if care is tiken to retain the special tisili kumri classification, to moderate the assessment by the application of the dongar class and to avoid assigning any position class increutent-tor facilities of retention of rainfall, the danger of over-assessment will be entirely removed. The dongar class, he explains, is worked as follows: lands are divided juto three classes according as they are situated on the plains, on spurs and slopes at no great elevation on on elevated spurs and tops of main ranges; those in the first class are , rated at the maximum of the group, in the second class at the maximum of the next lower group, in the third at the maximum of the still lower group. In the revision survey of this. táluka the dongar class has been assigned in the classification records to all dry-crop lands in lill villages, and there will be consequently no difficulty in applying it. With the check on over-assessment afforded by the dongar class and the retentiou of the special Hill Rule classification, he is of opinion that tisáli kumiri lands may be generally assessed under the ordinary dry-crop maxima.
7. To make this change of system suitable to this taluka, however, he is of opinion that some further modifications of the grouping proposed by Mr. Pitt are required; and with that object he renioves trom Group II the 6 villages included in it, which under the old survey were in Group $V$, and places then in a group by themselves with a drycerop rate of lis. $2=6-0$ instend of Ris. 2-12.0. He then takes for his Group IV Mr. Pitt's Group Ao. III, preserving the sanie rice rate, Rs. $7-8$-0, but reducing the diry-crop rate from Rs. 2-600 to Rs. 1-14-0. As a consequence of this change the maximum rate in five villages is reduced below that in force at the uriginal survey, namely, Rs. 2-2-0. With regard to this, liowever, he remarks that these villages were over-rated in the original survey, and that, in view of the increased area to which the maximum rates will now apply, the reduction is not of great consequence. To the 16 villages in Mr. Pitt's IV Class he adds the 6 villages near the Kumblárli Ghát, which were subject to a differential rice rate of Rs. 6-8-0 under the original survey, and lowers the dry-crop maximum. from !is. $11-12-0$ to Rs. 1-8-0. For bis VI Group he preserves the lowest rate fixed by Mr. Pitt, Rs. 1-2-0.
8. The re-arrangement above noted eutails the extension of Mr. Fitt's pátasthal maximum of lis. 8 to new Group IV, which chiefly consists of villiges included in Mr. Pitt's Group III, the rates of lis. 7 and Rs. 6 remaining applicable to the two lowest groups as before. The net result so far as patasthal is concerned is a reduction in the average water cess on tho total area tu, lis. \%.7.x as compared with Rs . 2-11-8 under the original survey.
9. The following table contrasts the grouping and assessment as sanctionel under the orivinal survey and as proiosed by the Survey Superintendent and Survey Commissioner respectively : 一.



One effect. of Mr. Ozanue's proposals will be to raise the euhancement, excluding pátasthal assessment, in Group III slightly above the limit of 33 per ceint. This group, however, contains ouly 6 villages, and in none of them is the village limit of 66 per cent, likely to be exceeded.
10. Mrs. Ozanne's views are supported by the Commissioner. After very careful examrination of the facts and arguments on which they. are based, His Excellency the Governor in Council sees no reason to question their soundness or the practical expediency of giving effect to them, and is pleased to sanction the settlement accordingly with the modifications he recommends. The petitions of objection which have been received have been duly considered in connection witi the conclusion above arrived at.
11. The rates should be guaranteed for the usual period of 30 years, subject to the usual concessions in cases where the increase in the assessment on individual survey numbers exceeds 25 per cent.

- 12. The farther report promised by Mr: Ozaune ou the amended proposals for the Jávli and Sátára Tálụkás will be awạited,:


## G. S. CURTIS,

Under Secretary to dovermulent.
19
The Comimissioner, C. D.,

- The Collector of Sátára (with the petitions.of. objection',
The Survey Comwissioner and Director of Land. Records and Agriculture, -

With an intimation that the papers are being printed in the form of a Seiedecition frou the Record of Gorernmeut . and that, when it is ready,
The Accountant General, conpies of
to them,
The Public. Works Department of the Secretariat.
The Government of India, India. By letter.


[^0]:    *Footnote.-A new forest road is, I am told, under consideration from Karid through the western portion of the Koleh valley to Salve, a rillage a fer miles to the south of Patan, It has not, however, been commenced yet.

[^1]:    B 1664-7

[^2]:    "I am unable to explain why different maximum rates wore considered necessary for kumri tisali and ordinary dry-crop, when, as you say, the scale of clussification should havo differentiated the value of soils annually cultivable, and those not so cultivable. In the case of ordinury mál lands (that is, in tracts under the old Poona-Násik Survey) which do not differ materially from kumri tisáli except perhaps in requiring a year or two less fallowing and which are classed at the same classification values, this differentiation has boon deemeil to have taken place. I do not think that the classification scale for kumri tisali lands as compared with, that for ordinary dry-crop soils is high. Soil classed as first class tisili would be comparatively as good in quality as ordinary dry-crop classed betwoen the 6 th and 7th classes (Joint Rules) and first and second class kumri would certainly be as good in quality, if not better, than ordinary mál lands classed as 8th and 9th class."

