ECONOMICS OF CONTRACT FARMING: A CASE OF WHITE ONION AND CHIP-GRADE POTATO CULTIVATION IN SELECTED DISTRICTS OF MAHARASHTRA

A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

IN

ECONOMICS

AT



GOKHALE INSTITUTE OF POLITICS AND ECONOMICS

By

Varun Miglani

Under Guidance of

Dr. Shrikant S. Kalamkar

GOKHALE INSTITUTE OF POLITICS AND ECONOMICS

2016

Economics of Contract Farming: A Case of White Onion and Chip-grade Potato Cultivation in Selected Districts of Maharashtra

| Number of Volumes | | Thesis (one) |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Name of the Author | • | Varun Miglani |
| Name of the Principal Supervisor | : | Dr. Shrikant S. Kalamkar |
| Degree | : | Doctorate of Philosophy (PhD) |
| Name of the University | : | Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics (Deemed to be a University), Pune 411004, Maharashtra, India |
| Year of Submission | : | 2016 |

Declaration by the Candidate

I, Varun Miglani, hereby declare that my thesis on the topic entitled, "Economics of Contract Farming: A Case of White Onion and Chip-grade Potato Cultivation in Selected Districts of Maharashtra", is submitted for the award of Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Economics to the Gokhale Institute of Politics And Economics, Pune 411004.

This thesis has not been submitted by me elsewhere for the award of any degree or diploma-part or full. The information gathered by me elsewhere for the thesis is original true and factual. Such material as has been obtained from other source has been duly acknowledged in the thesis.

Varun Miglani

Certificate (FORM 'A')

CERTIFIED that the work incorporated in the thesis entitled "Economics of Contract Farming: A Case of White Onion and Chipgrade Potato Cultivation in Selected Districts of Maharashtra", submitted by **Mr. Varun Miglani** was carried out by the candidate under my supervision. Such material as has been obtained from other sources has been duly acknowledged in the thesis.

> Dr. Shrikant S. Kalamkar (Research Guide)

Date: 11/01/2016 Place: VVN, Anand.

Acknowledgement

This thesis is result of the support of lot of people. First and foremost, I am grateful to principal guide Dr. Shrikant Kalamkar, who has been a constant support throughout the journey. Kalamkar Sir, was kind enough to pardon my mistakes and encouraged me to complete the work. I am also grateful my co-guide Dr. Deepak Shah for the crucial inputs and feedback at different junctures.

I also express my gratitude towards Prof. Rajas Parchure, Ph. D. coordinator, Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics (GIPE) for constant support and encouragement. I thank him, along with Registrars earlier Dr. R. Nagarajan and later Dr. R. Bhatikar for providing all the needed infrastructure during Ph. D. I also thank Internal Ph. D. Committee, participants and the faculty members of the Institute for their feedback at the various six-monthly work-in-progress seminars.

I also express my gratitude towards Dr. S. R. Asokan (IRMA, Anand) and Dr. Ajit Karnik (Former Prof. University of Mumbai) for their inputs at the time of proposal preparation, before I joined GIPE for PhD.

Special thanks to Dr. Sudha Narayanan who helped me in narrowing down and finalize my study objectives and design. Her valuable inputs on carrying out the field survey were also useful. I also thank her for sharing her Doctoral thesis and lot of research articles on the subject, which was very beneficial in making me exposed to the extensive literature and methodologies of the area. I have adopted the style and many sections from the farmers' schedule of her thesis.

I am also indebted to Dr. S. R. Asokan and Dr. Sudha Narayanan for being the external examiners to the thesis and providing the useful suggestions. I also express my gratitude towards Prof. Pradeep Apte for inputs in designing the questionnaire, Dr. Sanjeevani Mulay for inputs on sampling design, Prof. Lehman Fletcher for the inputs to a section of the draft of the thesis. I also thank Dr. Paul Winters (American university) for his suggestions on developing credit module in my questionnaire.

I also express gratitude towards Dr. Sangeeta Shroff, Dr. Jayanti Kajale, and Dr. Anjali Radkar at GIPE for their valuable feedback and encouragement from time to time. I also thank Prof. V. V. Somayajulu, Dr. S. Siddhanta, and Dr. D. Nandy for their encouragement.

Apart from academicians, I thank the officials, PepsiCo and JISL staff, also Mr. Hemant Gaur (Siddhivinayak Agro) and farmers across sample districts district who were kind enough, to share the valuable time and information about contract farming. The field survey could not have been without the support of farmers. I cannot forget the farm households who were kind to offer meals, which helped me survive the field staff. Similarly, I also thank the people who supported me in numerous ways during the field survey. I thank the family at Lamkani village (Dhule district) who gave me a room on rent for a fortnight, which saved a lot of my time commuting. Overall, field survey experience enriched me with an understanding of farmers' way of living.

I am short of words to express my gratitude towards my colleague and friend Ms. Deepika Chawla who was beside me throughout the Ph. D. journey. Her support and critical feedback throughout always encouraged me to work hard and ethically. Discussion with her on the subject helped me to know the missing links of my study, which later I have tried to improve on it. She has also facilitated my learning of important life and research skills, for which I am grateful

I also thank my other Ph. D. colleagues Mr. Sangram Panigrahi, Mrs. Shilpa Deo, and Ms. Medhavini Watve, for their cooperation. Special thanks to Mr. Bhupesh Chintamani, who as a Ph. D. colleague and roommate were helpful and supportive in many aspects. I also thank my other roommates' viz. Mr. Arvind Rithe and Mr. Hitesh Bhutani for all their support and encouragement. I also thank Mr. Anil Memane, Mr. S. Dete, Mr. Chandrakant Kolekar for inputs on the translation of questionnaire and suggestions for conducting a field survey.

I also express my gratitude towards Mrs. Anuja Chandrachud and Mrs. Vidya Kher of Ph.D. Section for their constant support and encouragement. Their advice on different matters was inspiring. I also thank Mr. Marathe for his cooperation and encouragement. Special thanks also to Ms. Manisha Shinde for her support, first as library staff and later at Ph. D. section at the time of submission were valuable.

.The one place in the institute where I continued visiting and enriched my knowledge is our GIPE's library (D. R. Gadgil Library). I admire the rich and historical collection of books and journal articles, which has facilitated my overall learning. I am grateful to the entire library staff for their continued support and cooperation. Special thanks to Dr. N. Shewale, Librarian and Dr. P. N. Rath, Deputy Librarian for all their support in facilitating access to resources of other libraries. I also thank Dr. M. Krishnan, formerly Asst. Librarian, who was helpful and supportive. Special thanks are also due to Mr. N. Choudhary who found books in numerous instances, when I could not. I also express my gratitude towards Dr. Vilas Jadhav, Mr. D. Pardeshi, Mrs. R. Kulkarni, Mrs. D. Inamdar and also library assistants' viz. Santosh, Aniket and Shilpa for their cooperation.

During my Ph. D. I have visited quite a few libraries viz. Jawaharlal Nehru Library (University of Mumbai), Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research (Mumbai) and National Institute of Research Development (Hyderabad). I thank the library staff of the above mentioned institues for the cooperation. I am thankful to Ph. D colleagues of other

institutes viz. Mr. Syam Prasad and Ms. D. Suganthi (IGIDR) and Mr. Nitin Ghag (Mumbai University) for their support and cooperation. I have also benefited from the feedback of the participants at the young-scholar seminar, 2014 at Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi.

My gratitude towards ICSSR for providing a doctoral fellowship. I thank the staff of accounts section at GIPE led by Mrs. Ashwini Joglekar for all the assistance in completing administrative formalities with regards to receiving ICSSR fellowship. I thank the entire IT staff at GIPE led by Mr. Pramod Joshi and his assistants Mr. Mahesh Pisal and Mr. Ganesh Ghule for their support and help rendered in numerous instances. I also thank Mr. S. N. Deshpande (Superintendent, GIPE) for the all the cooperation. I am also grateful to all the non-teaching staff at GIPE for all their cooperation and support throughout the course.

I express my gratitude the officials of Maharashtra State Agricultural Marketing Board, Pune and Mr. Praful Desai of Gujarat State Agricultural Marketing Board for sharing the information on rules and companies registered for contract farming of the state.

This thesis could not have been possible without the support and encouragement of my family [Mother (late), Dad, Bhaiya, Bhabhi, and grandmother]. I am grateful to them for everything, as there were days I could not be with them at the time of grave difficulties.

There were days when I was sad, thinking whether I would be able to complete a thesis. Then my friends, family members, faculty at GIPE, and colleagues encouraged me to tread on the path. I am sure; I have forgotten many people who would have helped me. Overall, I express my gratitude to all those who encouraged and helped me directly or indirectly in this journey.

| I able of Contents | Table | of | Contents |
|--------------------|-------|----|----------|
|--------------------|-------|----|----------|

| Decla | ration by the Candidate | iii |
|--------|--|------|
| Certif | ficate | iv |
| Ackn | owledgement | v |
| List o | f Tables | X |
| List o | f Acronyms | xiii |
| Chap | ter 1 - Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Contract farming | 4 |
| 1.3 | Statement of Problem | 6 |
| 1.4 | Research Questions | |
| 1.5 | Context and scope of the thesis | |
| 1.6 | Objectives of the thesis | |
| 1.7 | Significance of the thesis | |
| 1.8 | Limitations of the thesis | |
| 1.9 | Chapter Outline | 10 |
| Chap | ter 2 - Theoretical understanding of contract farming | 12 |
| 2.1 | Vertical Coordination: an Introduction | 12 |
| 2.2 | Types of vertical coordination mechanisms | 12 |
| 2.3 | Choice of vertical coordination mechanism: Transaction cost economics | |
| appro | oach | |
| 2.4 | Advantages and disadvantages of vertical coordination mechanisms: Indian | n |
| agric | ulture perspective | 20 |
| 2.5 | Nature and functioning of contracts | |
| 2.6 | Concluding remarks | |
| Chap | ter 3 - Literature review | 27 |
| 3.1. | Farmer inclusiveness aspects of CF | 27 |
| 3.2. | Economics aspects of contract crop cultivation | |
| 3.3. | Problems faced in contract farming | 47 |
| 3.4. | Gaps in literature | 50 |
| 3.5. | Concluding Remarks | 50 |
| Chap | ter 4 - Research Design and Methodology | 52 |
| 4.1. | Research Methods | 52 |
| 4.2. | Selection of region and crop for thesis | |
| 4.3. | Data Sources | |
| 4.4. | Sample Design | |
| 4.5. | Data analysis method | 61 |

| 4.6. | Concluding remarks | |
|--------|---|----------|
| Chapt | er 5 - Profile of study area, crops and contract farming sc | hemes.63 |
| 5.1. | Maharashtra | |
| 5.2. | Profile of selected districts | 67 |
| 5.3. | Onion contract farming | 72 |
| 5.4. | Chip-grade potato contract farming | 80 |
| 5.6 | Concluding remarks | |
| | apter 6 - Household characteristics and determinants of fa | |
| partic | ipation in contract farming | |
| 6.1 | Socioeconomic and demographic profile | |
| 6.2 | Farm Related Characteristics | |
| 6.3 | Determinants of contract farming participation - Logit regression | 112 |
| Chapt | er 7 - Participation aspects of contract farming | 125 |
| 7.1. | Different aspects of contract farming schemes | |
| 7.2. | Non-participation aspects of contract farming | 141 |
| 7.3. | Concluding remarks | 151 |
| Chapt | er 8 - Cost of cultivation and profitability of CF and NCF | 153 |
| 8.1. | Introduction | |
| 8.2. | Methodology | |
| 8.3. | Results and Discussion | 158 |
| 8.4. | Concluding Remarks | 169 |
| Chapt | er 9 - Conclusion | 171 |
| 9.1 | Summary of the findings | 171 |
| 9.2 | Suggestions for contracting firms | 175 |
| 9.3 | Policy suggestions | 175 |
| 9.4 | Future areas of research | 177 |
| 9.5 | Concluding remarks | 179 |
| Refere | ences | 180 |
| Apper | ndix A: List of firms undertaking contract farming | |
| Apper | ndix B: Farmer Schedule | 200 |

List of Tables

| Table 1.1: Chronology of Contract Farming in India | 5 |
|---|-------|
| Table 2.1: Advantages and disadvantages of procurement options | 21 |
| Table 4.1: Number of JISL's onion CF for Rabi season 2001-02 to 2011-12 | 57 |
| Table 4.2: Population and sample of onion households of selected villages | |
| Table 4.3: CGP CF Population district and taluka wise | 59 |
| Table 4.4: Population and sample of CGP households of selected villages | 59 |
| Table 5.1: Maharashtra - Socio-economic characteristics | |
| Table 5.2: Number of holdings, operated area and average size of holdings as per siz | e |
| groups, 2010-11 | 67 |
| Table 5.3: Average yields of major crops (Triennium Ending 2011-12) | 67 |
| Table 5.4: Selected indicators of survey districts (2011) | 69 |
| Table 5.5: Survey districts agricultural profile | 70 |
| Table 5.6: Details of Frito-Lay (I) CGP contract farming in Maharashtra | 82 |
| Table 5.7: MR conversion seed rebate chart, 2011-12 kharif season | 88 |
| Table 6.1 Gender, Social Group, and Occupational Profile of CGP Farmers | 90 |
| Table 6.2: Gender, Social Group and Occupational Profile of Onion Farmers | 91 |
| Table 6.3: Mean values of Household Size and dependency ratio | 92 |
| Table 6.4: Age and Education Profile of Farmers | 93 |
| Table 6.5: Percentage of Farmers Owning Particular Type of Agricultural Assets | 96 |
| Table 6.6: Value of Physical Farm Assets and Livestock of sample growers | 97 |
| Table 6.7: Farming household: credit constrained or unconstrained | 99 |
| Table 6.8: Distance of farm to paved road | 100 |
| Table 6.9: Land ownership pattern | 101 |
| Table 6.10: Size of the operational holdings of CGP farmers | 102 |
| Table 6.11: Size of the Operational Holdings of Onion Farmers | 102 |
| Table 6.12: Preference of contracts based on holding size | 103 |
| Table 6.13: Average percentage of irrigated land to operation landholding | 103 |
| Table 6.14: Selected indicators of land-use pattern of holdings of CGP farmers | 104 |
| Table 6.15: Mann-Whitney Test statistics for selected indicators of land use pattern, | CGP |
| | 104 |
| Table 6.16: Descriptive statistics of selected indicators of land-use pattern, onion | 105 |
| Table 6.17: Mann-Whitney Test statistics for selected indicators of land use pattern, | onion |
| | 105 |
| Table 6.18: Farming and Crop Experience, CGP | 107 |
| Table 6.19: Farming and crop experience, CGP (Outflow Table) | 107 |
| Table 6.20: Farming and Crop Experience (years) of Onion Farmers | 109 |
| Table 6.21: Farming and crop experience, Onion (Outflow Table) | 109 |
| Table 6.22: Mann-Whitney test statistics for farm and onion crop experience | 109 |
| Table 6.23: Percentage distribution of overall acreage of GCA, CGP farmers | 110 |
| Table 6.24: Average CGP acreage (acres) district wise | 111 |
| Table 6.25: Percentage share of CGP acreage out of kharif area and GCA, CGP | 111 |
| Table 6.26: Percentage share of jowar acreage out of Rabi area and GCA, CGP | 111 |

| Table 6.27: Percentage distribution of overall acreage, Onion farmers | 112 |
|---|------|
| Table 6.28: Average onion acreage (acres) season-wise, 2011-12 | |
| Table 6.29: Description of explanatory variables of participation model | |
| Table 6.30: Preliminary CGP participation model (Logit) | 118 |
| Table 6.31: Final CGP participation model (Logit) | |
| Table 6.32: Preliminary onion participation model (Logit) | 120 |
| Table 6.33: Final onion participation model (Logit) | |
| Table 7.1: Age and schooling profile of early adopters and followers, CGP CFAs | 127 |
| Table 7.2: Age and schooling profile of early adopters and followers, onion CFAs | 128 |
| Table 7.3: Farm and crop experience profile of early adopters and followers, CGP C | |
| Table 7.4: Farm and crop experience profile of early adopters and followers, onion (| CFAs |
| Table 7.5: Operational halding pottern of early adopters and fallowers of CEAs | |
| Table 7.5: Operational holding pattern of early adopters and followers of CFAs | |
| Table 7.6: Summary statistics of household characteristics of early adopters and foll of CGP CFAs | |
| Table 7.7: Summary statistics of household characteristics of early adopters and foll | |
| of onion CFAs | |
| Table 7.8: First contact with the firm | |
| Table 7.9: Reasons for joining CGP Contract Farming | |
| Table 7.10: Reasons for joining onion contract farming | |
| Table 7.11: Benefits perceived of PepsiCo CGP contract farming | |
| Table 7.12: Benefits perceived of JISL onion contract farming | |
| Table 7.13: Problems faced by CGP CF | |
| Table 7.14: Problems faced by onion CF | |
| Table 7.15: Suggestions of CGP CF | |
| Table 7.16: Suggestions for onion CF | |
| Table 7.17: Reasons for exiting CF, CGP | |
| Table 7.18: Reasons for exiting CF, Onion | |
| Table 7.19: Why did CGP NNCF never grew under contract | |
| Table 7.20: Why did onion NNCF never grew under contract | |
| Table 8.1: Type of irrigation used for contract crop cultivation (%) | |
| Table 8.2: Seed Variety grown by farmer | |
| Table 8.3: From where did NCF CGP growers purchased seeds from? | |
| Table 8.4: Purchase terms for buying seeds, CGP NCF | |
| Table 8.5: Weighted average production, marketing costs and net returns, CGP (Rs./ | |
| | |
| Table 8.6: Weighted average production, marketing costs and net returns, onion (Rs | |
| | |
| Table 8.7: Unweighted average costs and net returns for CF, ACF, and NCF | |
| Table 8.8: Weighted average cost of cultivation and returns (cost concepts) | |
| Table A.1: Partial List of Companies which have adopted contract farming | |

List of Figures

| Figure 2.1 Types of Vertical Coordination Arrangements | 13 |
|---|-----|
| Figure 4.1: Sampling design for onion | 58 |
| Figure 4.2: CGP sampling design | 59 |
| Figure 5.1: Map of Agro climatic zones of Maharashtra | 66 |
| Figure 5.2: Study area | 68 |
| Figure 5.3: Agro-climatic zones of Pune district | 71 |
| Figure 5.4: Farmer using improvised farm equipment for sowing onion seeds | 78 |
| Figure 5.5. PepsiCo banner of seed and output price 2012-13, Pune | |
| Figure 6.1: Farming household: Credit constrained or unconstrained | 99 |
| Figure 7.1: Motivation to join contract farming | 135 |
| Figure 7.2: Reasons for exiting contract farming | 146 |
| Figure 7.3: Reasons for non-participation in CF by NNCF | 150 |
| Figure 8.1: CACP Cost Concepts | 154 |

List of Acronyms

| ACF | Attritioned contract farmer |
|----------|--|
| AP | Andhra Pradesh |
| ATE | Average treatment effect |
| ATL | Atlantic |
| BL | Bullock labour |
| C2M | Modified Cost |
| CACP | Commission for Agricultural Costs and Prices |
| CCFH | Credit constrained farming household |
| CF | Contract farmer |
| CFAs | Contract farming arrangements |
| CUFH | Credit unconstrained farming household |
| FYM | Farm yard manure |
| GAP | Good Agricultural Practices |
| GCA | Gross cropped area |
| GIA | Gross irrigated area |
| GoI | Government of India |
| GoM | Government of Maharashtra |
| ha | Hectares |
| HL | Hired labour |
| H-L test | Hosmer and Lemeshow test |
| HLL | Hindustan Lever Ltd. |
| IFPRI | International Food Policy Research Institute |
| JISL | Jain Irrigations Systems Pvt. Ltd. |
| JNU | Jawaharlal Nehru University |
| K-S | Kolmogorov–Smirnov |
| LDCs | Least developed countries |
| max | Maximum |
| Mdn | Median |
| MGP | Minimum guaranteed price |
| min | Minimum |
| MIS | Micro-irrigation systems |
| ML | Machine Labour |
| MOFPI | Ministry of Food processing Industries |
| MSAMB | Maharashtra State Agricultural Marketing Board |
| MT | Metric tons |
| MTID | Markets, Trade and Institutions Division |
| NCF | Non-contract farmer |
| NNCF | Never contract farmer |
| NSA | Net sown area |
| OBC | Other Backward Class |
| р | p-value |

| PPS | Population Probability to Size |
|---------|--|
| PSM | Propensity score matching |
| r | Effect size |
| R&D | Research and Development |
| SD | Standard deviation |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for Social Science |
| ST | Scheduled tribe |
| t | t-statistic |
| T.E. | Triennium Ending |
| ТСЕ | Transaction cost economics |
| TN | Tamil Nadu |
| TSS | Total Soluble Solids |
| U | Mann-whitney statistic |
| US | United Status |
| VIF | Variance inflation factor |
| YASHADA | Yashwantrao Chavan Academy of Development Administration |
| Ζ | A data point expressed in standard deviation units |