

# DYARCHY IN PRACTICE

BY

A. APPADORAI, M.A., PH.D.

LOYOLA COLLEGE, MADRAS

MEMBER, INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

WITH A FOREWORD BY

PROF. A. B. KEITH, D.C.L., D.LITT., F.B.A.

LONGMANS, GREEN AND CO., LTD.

39, PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON, E. C. 4

MADRAS, BOMBAY, CALCUTTA

NEW YORK AND TORONTO

1937

### First Published 1937

All rights reserved

PRINTED IN INDIA AT G. S. PRESS, MADRAS.

TO THE MEMORY OF M. A. CANDETH

#### **FOREWORD**

Only the progress of events under the new constitution of the provinces of India will enable the making of a just estimate of the value to India of the system of dvarchy. Those of us who criticised the scheme as suggested by Mr. Montagu and Lord Chelmsford, on the score that its safeguards must prevent the effective evolution of ministerial responsibility even within the sphere assigned to ministers, are probably inclined to hold that events have justified our doubts. But it is essential to remember that the idea of relaxing control of Indian affairs was strange to the House of Commons in 1919, and it may well be that nothing more revolutionary than the Act of 1919 could have received acceptance. Certainly in England at that time the prevailing feeling in political circles was not that too little was being conceded, but that grave risks were involved in the extent of the grant.

In any case the history of dyarchy presents the highest interest for all students of politics and history. The materials are abundant and indeed almost embarrassing in their copiousness. To master the evidence and to make effective use of it is a task demanding not merely great industry, but soundness of judgment and the power to discriminate between essentials and minor details. Dr. Appadorai in this study shows these qualities in a marked degree. He has included all that is necessary for a full understanding of the genesis of the system and of its operation. His judgments are sober and well balanced, and it is improbable that anything

#### DYARCHY IN PRACTICE

viii .

can be adduced seriously to affect his summing up of the merits and demerits of the system. The University of Madras deserves warm congratulations on so successful a study in the difficult field of contemporary history by a former Research Fellow in its Department of Indian History.

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, A. BERRIEDALE KEITH. 14-11-36.

#### PREFACE

Every new scheme of government is a valuable addition to the political experience of mankind. Dyarchy is a novel experiment in government on a large scale. It was introduced in the Indian provinces in 1921. The system has been at work for well-nigh sixteen years, and is now passing into history. This Essay is an attempt to study the working of dyarchy and estimate its value as a political system. The writer hopes that it may be of some use to students of government and in particular of Indian constitutional history.

The material on which the study is based falls into two well-defined classes. We have, first, the theoretical discussions beginning with 'The Duke Memorandum' which led up to the Government of India Act, 1919. These discussions enable the student to trace the genesis of the system and explain its theoretical foundations. Secondly, there are the records of the practical working of dyarchy in the several provinces—the memoranda of provincial governments, the proceedings of legislative councils and the evidence given ministers and Executive Councillors before the Reforms Enquiry Committee (1924) and the Indian Statutory Commission (1927). These documents give us some insight into the many complex problems which arose in the working of dyarchy—the relations between the Reserved Half and the Transferred Half of government, the place of joint deliberation and a joint purse, the attitude of the legislature to the two sides of government and the position of the Governor in the constitution.

A list of the relevant documents is given in the appendix.

The writer desires to express his gratitude to Prof. K. A. Nilakanta Sastri, M.A., and Dr. P. S. Lokanathan, M.A., D.Sc., (London), of the University of Madras for willing help rendered in the preparation and publication of the thesis. Prof. Sastri not only suggested the subject of the thesis, but helped in the elucidation of various points that arose in the course of the work and helped in arranging for its publication. Dr. Lokanathan likewise was always ready to discuss the points referred to him; he also kindly read the proofs and offered valuable suggestions.

The writer is deeply grateful to Prof. Arthur Berriedale Keith, D.C.L., D.LITT., F.B.A., who so kindly read the manuscript and wrote the Foreword.

Madras, 31-3-1937.

A. A.

## **CONTENTS**

	P.	AGE
Foreword		vii
Preface		ix
PART I		
THE INTRODUCTION OF DYARCH	īV	
	11	
CHAPTER I.		
THE BACKGROUND	• •	3
(1) The Term 'Dyarchy'		3
(2) Origin and Development of The Idea		9
(3) Alternative Schemes		20
(4) Hopes and Fears		30
(5) Final Adoption	• •	35
CHAPTER II.		
THE ESSENTIALS OF DYARCHY		37
(1) The Basic Principle		37
(2) The Transfer of Subjects		38
(3) Dualism in the Executive		42
(4) Mutual Co-operation		50
(5) Progress by Stages		52
(6) Checks and Balances		54
CHAPTER III.		
Some Considerations	• •	56
(1) Social Foundations		56
(2) Communalism	• •	57
(3) Financial Stringency		60

	Page	
(4) The Political Factor	• •	-
(5) A Bird's-eye View	• •	69
PART II		
THE WORKING OF DYARCHY		
CHAPTER IV.		
THE RESERVED HALF	• •	77
(1) The Governor		77
(2) The Reserved Side of Government		78
(3) Attitude of the Legislature		80
(4) How the Executive Reacts		95
(5) Some Conclusions	••	112
CHAPTER V.		
THE RESERVED HALF VIS-A-VIS THE TRANSFERRI	<b>2</b> D	
HALF	••	117
(1) Points of Contact	••	117
(2) Joint Deliberation		127
(3) In The Legislature	• •	151
CHAPTER VI.		
FINANCE UNDER DYARCHY	• •	161
(1) Joint Purse vs. Separate Purse		161
(2) Financial Arrangements		173
(3) The Practice		183
CHAPTER VII.		
THE TRANSFERRED SIDE OF GOVERNMENT	• •	204
(1) Appointment of Ministers	••	204
(2) Joint Responsibility	• •	208

CONTENTS	xiii
<ul><li>(3) Governor vis-a-vis Ministers</li><li>(4) The Services</li></ul>	PAGE 223 240
CHAPTER VIII.	
ATTITUDE OF THE LEGISLATURE TOWARDS THE FERRED HALF	258
(1) Two Factors	258 266
(2) Parties (3) General Attitude	283
(4) Enforcing Responsibility	294
(5) Wrecking the Constitution	305
(6) Temporary Administration	315
(7) The Council and the Governor	318
CHAPTER IX.	
CONTROL FROM ABOVE	320
(1) The General Principle	320
(2) Reserved Subjects	321
(3) Transferred Subjects	334
PART III	
SOME CONCLUSIONS	
CHAPTER X	
An Estimate	347
(1) Introductory	347
(2) Defects	349
(3) Training in Responsibility	365
(4) Achievements	375

## xiv DYARCHY IN PRACTICE

	F	AGE
CHAPTER XI		
THE LESSON OF EXPERIENCE	• •	377
Appendices		
A. Statutory Provisions Relating to Dyard	hy	
in the Government of India Act, 1919	5000	385
B. List of Transferred Subjects	••	391
Notes and References	• •	399
BIBLIOGRAPHY	••	419
INDEX		427

#### INDEX

standing committees in, 97 Abdur Rahim, Sir, 214 the legislature and ministers Adjournment motions, 82 Aristotle, 229 in, 286 working of the Constitution Asquith, 273 in, 310-8 Assam, joint deliberation in, Certification, 44, 107, 109 Chakravarti, Mr., 214, 222 133-4 Balfour, Lord, 56 balances, Checks and see Basu, the Hon. Babu Bhupendvarchy Chelmsford, Lord, 11, 15 dranath, 3, 15 Chintamani, Mr., 214, 232 Bengaldeadlocks in, 67 Civil disobedience, 67-8 Diwant in, 4-5 Committee on Division of Funcjoint deliberation in, 135-42 tions, the, 39, 41, 329 joint responsibility in, 214-5 Communalism, 57-60 · reduction of grants in, 83-6 Congress-League scheme, the standing committees in, 97 25 working of the Constitution Council Secretaries, 293-4 in, 310-8 Cromer, the Earl of, 7, 113 Bihar-Curtis, Mr. Lionel, 3, 6, 9, 13, 15, 17, 166, 209, 379 joint deliberation in, 135-42 the Reserved Half and the Curzon, Lord, 349 legislature in, 81 Bombay-Das, Mr. C. R., 66, 269, 307 attitude of the legislature to Democracy, 229 the Reserved Half in. Devolution rules, 130-1. 88-90 211, 237 parties in, 267 Division of subjects, 38-42. standing committees in, 97 Duke Memorandum, the, 14-5 attitude of the legislature to Duke, Sir William, 13, 14 the Reserved Half in. Dyarchy-88-90 a bird's-eye view of, 69, 73 joint deliberation in, 133-4 achievements of, 375-6 parties in, 267 adoption of, 35-6 Butler, Sir Harcourt, 101 basic principle, 37-8 checks and balances, 54-5 Carmichael, Lord, 103-4, 248 communalism and, 57-60 Central Provinces, thecontrol from above, 320-44 deadlocks in, 67 defects of, 349-75

difficult to work, 364-5

42-9

dualism in the Executive,

joint deliberation in, 133-4

joint responsibility in, 215

reduction of grants in, 83-6

essentials of 37-5 forms of, 20-9 friction under, 363-4 hopes and fears, 30-5 introduction of, 1-73 lesson of experience. the. 377-82 meaning of the term, 2-8 mutual co-operation, 50-2 origin and development of the idea, 9-20 progress by stages, 52-4 responsibility under, 265-75 suspension and revocation of transfer, 317-8 transfer of subjects, 38-42 working of, the, 75-344 wrecking the constitution. 305 - 15see also finance parties Electorate, 276-9, 373-5 Executive Council, theappointment of, 78 meetings of, 45-6, 78-9 ministers and, 152-60 tenure of office of, 78 see also Reserved Half

Feetham, Mr., 41 Feroz Khan Noon, Mr., 221 Finance financial arrangements, 173financial stringency, 60-5 funds for the Reserved Half, Joint Financial Secretary. 201 - 3joint purse vs. separate purse, 161-73 Meston settlement, the, 62-4, 334 working of joint budget, the, 183-8 see also Finance department | Hardinge, Lord, 11

Finance department, the a reserved one, 198-9 spending department. 199-200 constitutional position 175-81 examination of schemes of expenditure, 190-6 functions of, 176-81 ministers' relations with. 188-201 part of the Reserved Half, 181-3

Gandhi, Mahatma, 66, 67, 68, 307 Ghuznavi, Mr. A. K., 214 Goschen, Lord, 365 Governor, theattitude to joint responsibility, 215-21 a unifying agency, 50-1, 123-5 powers in respect of reserved subjects, 43-4 powers in respect of transferred subjects, 44-6 sources of his strength. 235-40 special powers of, 107-12 the legislature and, 43-5, 318-9 vis-a-vis ministers, 223-40 see also legislature Reserved Half Services Governor-General, 324, 329-32 Governor-General in Council see reserved subjects transferred subjects Government of India Act of 1919, clauses relating to dyarchy, 385-90 Governor in Council see Reserved Half

Indian Civil Service, the, 241, 247, 253
Indian Statutory Commission, the, 63, 67, 71, 334
Innes, Sir C., 249
Instrument of Instructions to the Governor, the, 45, 46, 50, 130, 156, 227, 229-30, 232, 236, 245, 299-300.
Instrument of Instructions to the Governor-General, the, 327
Irwin, Lord, 360
Islington, Lord, 29, 128

Jagat Narain, Pandit, 214 Jallianwala Bagh, the, 65 Joint Address, the, 16-7 Joint deliberationmerits of, 149-51 pitfalls, 142-8 scope of, 130-2 texts bearing on, 127-9 theory of, 51-2 the practice of local Governments, 132-42 Joint responsibility, see ministers Joint Select Committee of 1919. the, 49, 54, 85, 99, 108, 129, 151, 158, 172, 205, 207-8, 210, 225-7, 232, 261, 300, 312, 323 Jones Law, the. 8 Justice party, the, 272

Keith, Prof., 304 Kelkar, Mr., 220 Kerr, Sir John, 234 Khilafat movement, the, 66, 307

Layton, 184
Lee Commission, see Services
Legislature, the—
and the Governor, 318-9
attitude of, to ministers,
155-60, 283-303
attitude to taxation, 287-8

attitude to the Reserved Half. 80-95 criticism by, 288-9 enforces responsibility, 294-303 motions no-confidence in. 295-6, 297-303 provision of funds for reserved subjects, 83-8 reduction of grants, 83-8 sense of responsibility of, 370-3 standing committees of, 96-8 the Services and, 255 see also nominated members Lytton, Lord, 137, 350

Madrascouncil secretaries in, 293-4 joint deliberation in, 135-42 joint responsibility in, 214 the legislature and ministers in, 286 Marr. Mr., 192 Marris, Sir William, 138, 147 Maynard, Sir John, 143 Meston settlement see finance Meston, Sir James, 27 Meyer, Sir William, 3, 209, 248 Ministersappointed Executive 83 Councillors, 240 appointment of, 43 attitude to reserved subjects, 151-60 joint responsibility of, 208-22 legal responsibility of, 303-5motions no confidence against, 297-303 number of, the, 206 refusal of salary of, 312-5 responsibility of, 294-305\_ 365-70 salary of, 206-8 status of, 206-8 tenure of office, 43

the Reserved Half and, 97-8,

117-60

the Services and, 249-55 see also Executive Council Governor Transferred Half Minto-Morley reforms, the. 10 Misra, Mr., 215 Mommsen, 3 Montagu-Chelmsford Report. the, 17-20, 99, 161, 204, 209, 225-7, 260, 337, 338, Montagu, Mr., 16, 17, 52, 88, 128, 132, 338 Morley, Lord, 249 Motilal Nehru, Pandit, 307

273Nation-building departments. 95, 291 motions, see No-confidence legislature Nominated membersimportance of, the, 258-60 non-official, 98, 99-100, 103-5, 263-4

Nationalist Unionist party, the,

number of, 98 official, 98-103, 260-3 position in regard to transferred subjects, 259-65 Non-co-operation, 66, 307-8

Panagal, the Raja of, 140 Partiesabsence of organized parties, 281 - 3communal, 272-3 dyarchy and, 275-80 importance of, 266 in Bombay, 267 in Burma, 267 in the Punjab, 267 organization of, 267-9, 272-80 party loyalty, 274-5 political, 272-3 Swarajya party, the, 66-7, 69-70, 240, 269, 269-71, 305-15

Philippines, the, 7-8 Pitt's India Act, 5 Plural control, 126 Provincial Audit Resolution. 323 Provincial States, the plan of, 22 - 5Puniabattitude of legislature to the Reserved Half in, 88-90 joint deliberation in, 133-4 joint responsibility in, 214 parties in, 267

Questions, 82-3

Rahimtoola, Sir Ibrahim, 25 Reappropriation, 178, 197-8, 199 Reddy, Mr. C. R., 411 Reduction of salary, 295-6 Rees, Sir John, 351 Reforms Enquiry Committee, the, 71, 138, 184, 200, 202, 218, 221, 228, 232, 295, 313

Reserved Half, theappointment of, 43 control by the Government of India over, 320-34 control by the Secretary of State over, 320-34 Governor, the, 77-9 meets the opposition of the legislature, 95-112 tenure of office, 43 legislature and. Transferred vis-a-vis the Half, 117-60 joint deliberation also legislature

Reserved subjects allocation of funds for, 188 control by the Governor-General in Council, 46-8, 320-34 control by the Secretary of State, 46-8, 320-34

Resolutions, 82

Responsible government, 59, 366
Restoration of grants, 44-5, 107-8, 109-11
Rieu, Sir Louis, 93
Ronaldshay, the Earl of, 84, 137
Rosebery, 273-4
Round Table Conference, the first, 67
Rowlatt Act, the, 307
Rules of executive business, 121-5, 217-9, 246

Secretary of State, thesee reserved subjects transferred subjects Secretary of State in Council, the right of appeal of the Services to, 245 Selborne, the Earl of, 38 Services, the-All-India, 241 Governor and, 236, 245-6 Commission's recommendations, 241-3 overlapping of, 255-7 problems of, under dyarchy, 240-1 protection of the rights of. 339, 341-2 rights of, the, 241-7 see also legislature ministers Sinha, Sir Satvendra (later Lord), 11, 22, 304 Sly, Sir Frank, 167 Standing Committees, see legislature Supplementary questions, 83 Surendranath Banerjea, 221

Swarajya party, see parties

Thirlwall, 3 Times, the, 304 Transferred Halfappointment of ministers. 204-6 control by the Government of India, 334-44 control by the Secretary of State, 334-55 the legislature and, 44-5, 258-319 see also joint deliberation ministers Reserved Half transferred subjects Transferred subjectsallocation of funds for, 188 control by the Governor-General in Council, 46-8, 334-44 control by the Secretary of

315-7 Triarchy, 29

United Bihar party, the, 273
United Provinces, the—
attitude of legislature to the
Reserved Half, 88-90
joint deliberation in, 135-40
joint responsibility in, 214
parties in, 266-7

State, 46-8, 334-44

temporary administration of,

list of, 39-40, 391-7

Veto, 107, 318-9

Wheeler, Sir Henry, 298 Wrecking the constitution, 305-15

Yate, Colonel, 3, 9