

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**BULLETIN OF THE WOMEN'S BUREAU, NO. 76**

**WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES  
AND LIMITED-PRICE CHAIN  
DEPARTMENT STORES**

[PUBLIC—No. 259—66TH CONGRESS]

[H. R. 18229]

An Act To establish in the Department of Labor a bureau to be known as the Women's Bureau

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,* That there shall be established in the Department of Labor, a bureau to be known as the Women's Bureau.

SEC. 2. That the said bureau shall be in charge of a director, a woman, to be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, who shall receive an annual compensation of \$5,000. It shall be the duty of said bureau to formulate standards and policies which shall promote the welfare of wage-earning women, improve their working conditions, increase their efficiency, and advance their opportunities for profitable employment. The said bureau shall have authority to investigate and report to the said department upon all matters pertaining to the welfare of women in industry. The director of said bureau may from time to time publish the results of these investigations in such a manner and to such extent as the Secretary of Labor may prescribe.

SEC. 3. That there shall be in said bureau an assistant director, to be appointed by the Secretary of Labor, who shall receive an annual compensation of \$3,500 and shall perform such duties as shall be prescribed by the director and approved by the Secretary of Labor.

SEC. 4. That there is hereby authorized to be employed by said bureau a chief clerk and such special agents, assistants, clerks, and other employees at such rates of compensation and in such numbers as Congress may from time to time provide by appropriations.


SEC. 5. That the Secretary of Labor is hereby directed to furnish sufficient quarters, office furniture, and equipment, for the work of this bureau.

SEC. 6. That this act shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approved, June 5, 1920.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR  
JAMES J. DAVIS, SECRETARY  
WOMEN'S BUREAU  
MARY ANDERSON, Director

Dhananjayrao Gadgil Library



GIFE-PUNE-038502

BULLETIN OF THE WOMEN'S BUREAU, NO. 76

**WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES  
AND LIMITED-PRICE CHAIN  
DEPARTMENT STORES**

By MARY ELIZABETH PIDGEON



SERVANTS OF INDIA SOCIETY'S  
BRANCH LIBRARY  
BOMBAY

UNITED STATES  
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE  
WASHINGTON : 1930

# CONTENTS

	Page
Letter of transmittal.....	v
Part I. Introduction.....	1
Scope and method of investigation.....	4
Summary of outstanding facts.....	6
II. Personal information.....	9
Nativity.....	9
Age.....	9
Living condition.....	9
Marital status.....	10
Time in the trade.....	11
Summary of personal data.....	11
III. Scheduled hours.....	13
Daily hours.....	13
Lunch period.....	13
Saturday hours.....	13
Weekly hours.....	14
Relation of hour schedules to hours legally permitted in States.....	15
Hours in different chains.....	16
Summary of hour data.....	18
IV. Earnings, 1920 to 1925.....	19
Week's earnings.....	19
Earnings and rates of pay.....	19
Proportions of women earning certain amounts.....	20
Earnings and time in the trade.....	20
Earnings in limited-price stores compared to those in other industries.....	21
Year's earnings.....	23
Summary of earnings, 1920 to 1925.....	24
V. Earnings in 1928.....	25
Week's earnings.....	25
Earnings and days worked.....	25
Earnings and rates of pay.....	27
Rates by size of town or city.....	30
Rates in various chains.....	31
Earnings in 1928 compared to those in earlier years.....	35
Summary of 1928 findings.....	37
Appendix.—General tables.....	39

## TEXT TABLES

Table 1. Scheduled daily and weekly hours, by chain or other class of store.....	16
2. Relation of daily and weekly hours to hours legally established, by chain or other class of store.....	17
3. Relation of median earnings in limited-price stores to those in other industries, by State.....	22
4. Medians of the weekly rates and of the week's earnings, by State or city—1928 figures.....	27
5. Extent to which actual earnings were less than lowest rate reported, by State or city—1928 figures.....	29
6. Highest rates and earnings, by State or city—1928 figures.....	29
7. Number of women in the various chains and the medians of their rates, by size of town or city and both including and excluding California—1928 figures.....	32
8. Proportions of women with rates in the various ranges, by chain and both including and excluding California—1928 figures.....	34
9. Median rates in Florida and in Ohio, by size of town or city and by chain—1928 figures.....	34

## APPENDIX TABLES

	Page
Table I. Age of women employees who supplied personal information, by State.....	39
II. Living condition of women employees who supplied personal information, by State.....	39
III. Marital status of women employees who supplied personal information, by State.....	40
IV. Time in the trade of women employees who supplied personal information, by State.....	41
V. Scheduled daily hours, by State.....	42
VI. Scheduled Saturday hours, by State.....	43
VII. Scheduled weekly hours, by State.....	44
VIII. Relation of scheduled daily, Saturday, and weekly hours to hours legally established, by State.....	45
IX. Weekly rates and actual week's earnings, by State and year.....	46
X. Median of the week's earnings, of the weekly rates, and of the year's earnings, by State and year.....	48
XI. Median of the week's earnings and time in the trade, by State and year.....	49
XII. Median earnings of all women and number of women receiving \$10 and over and \$15 and over, according to time in the trade, by State and year.....	50
XIII. Earnings of women who worked on six days, by State or city—1928 figures.....	51
XIV. Week's earnings, by State or city—1928 figures.....	52
XV. Weekly rates in the various chains, by size of town or city and both including and excluding California—1928 figures.....	54-55
XVI. Weekly rate, by size of town or city—1928 figures.....	56

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

---

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,  
WOMEN'S BUREAU,  
*Washington, August 14, 1929.*

SIR: I have the honor to submit the report of this bureau's study of women in 5-and-10-cent stores and limited-price chain department stores.

In 18 State surveys in the past nine years information has been secured on the earnings, hours, and personal history of several thousand girls and women employed in these industries, and this is brought together and analyzed in the present bulletin. Because of the differences in date of the various surveys, the material on earnings has been supplemented by figures for a week in the closing months of 1928, more than 6,000 women being reported upon.

The cooperation of the employers and of the workers in supplying the information is gratefully acknowledged.

The report has been written by Mary Elizabeth Pidgeon, assistant editor of the bureau.

Respectfully submitted.

MARY ANDERSON, *Director.*

HON. JAMES J. DAVIS,  
*Secretary of Labor.*

# WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES AND LIMITED-PRICE CHAIN DEPARTMENT STORES

## PART I

### INTRODUCTION

An outstanding development that has taken place during recent years in the field of retail merchandising has been the organization and growth of the chain store, which is directed in policy from a central office through district managers, purchases in large quantities, and sells over a wide area at comparatively low prices.

One of the oldest and best-known types of chain is the 5-and-10-cent store, which began its rise 50 years ago and which, unlike most other large chains, did not originate in a large city, such as New York or Chicago, where it would be subject to higher rents and other costs greater than in smaller cities.

The rapid growth in the sales of 10-cent chains, a growth that ordinarily outruns that in other lines of distribution, may be seen from figures published by the United States Department of Commerce. According to these, the increase in business from 1923 to 1924 was—

	Per cent
For wholesale trade.....	9.7
For department stores.....	4.0
For 5-and-10-cent chains.....	10.6

From 1924 to 1925 the increase was almost negligible in wholesale trade; sales in the department stores increased 6.7 per cent and sales in the 10-cent chains increased 16.7 per cent.<sup>1</sup>

From the same source may be obtained the figures of the monthly sales in four 10-cent chains up to 1923 and in five chains since that time and, in addition, index numbers that show the relation of the average monthly sales in each year to the average for 1919. The latter are comparable for the entire period, since allowance for the extra chain was made in the base beginning in 1923. A summary of the average monthly sales and of the index numbers relative to 1919 is as follows:<sup>2</sup>

	Average monthly sales	Index
1919.....	\$17,100	100
1920.....	20,491	120
1921.....	21,160	124
1922.....	23,875	140
1923.....	28,172	165
1924.....	31,574	185
1925.....	35,761	209
1926.....	39,112	229
1927.....	43,008	251

<sup>1</sup> U. S. Department of Commerce. Survey of Current Business, February, 1925, p. 9, and February, 1926, p. 9.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, February, 1928, Table 95, p. 118, and Table 96, p. 119.

The per cent of increase, in thousands of dollars of sales, may be followed from year to year from the same source and thus summarized:<sup>3</sup>

	Per cent
1920 to 1921-----	4.8
1921 to 1922-----	13.6
1922 to 1923-----	17.9
1923 to 1924-----	12.2
1924 to 1925-----	13.4
1925 to 1926-----	8.9
1926 to 1927-----	10.2
1927 to Nov. 1, 1928, cumulative monthly-----	7.7

It will be seen that even from the year of peak high prices, 1920, to that of heavy depression, 1921, there was an increase in sales of 4.8 per cent. That the chain store is less subject to fluctuations due to business depression than are independent establishments is common knowledge, since in hard times more people are likely to patronize the limited-price stores. In an investigation made by the New York State Department of Labor it was found that one of the chains included had declared a special dividend on common stock in addition to its regular dividend in February, 1921, when the country was suffering from heavy business depression.<sup>4</sup> In relation to the same period, a representative of a banking firm that has financed six large chains is quoted as having made this statement:

During the first half of 1921 the decrease in sales prices was so rapid that the problem was to take in enough for operating expenses \* \* \*.

The price declines in 5-and-10-cent stores have been nearly as drastic as in the grocery stores. By an increase in the rapidity of turnover, however, the 5-and-10-cent chains have been able to side-step losses which occurred to others during the price decline.<sup>5</sup>

In this connection the fact must not be overlooked that only one large chain was able to come through the war period and still maintain its 10-cent price-limit.

A sales increase marvelous in its proportions is testified to by the figures published in the golden-anniversary booklet of the pioneer chain. These indicate that for this chain, in the years 1920 to 1927, inclusive, the increase was 93.6 per cent and in the period since 1912 it was 350.4 per cent. The book cites the existence of over 2,100 stores of this chain, located in 1,500 cities in five countries of the world, and claims a sales volume for 1927 exceeding the receipts of each of five large railroad systems, of a well-known mail-order house, and of each of three great manufacturing corporations.<sup>6</sup>

The explanation of the continuous growth in the profits of these limited-price stores includes savings in overhead and rapid sales turnover. Overhead savings are effected by centralized purchasing and quantity buying; by paying cash, which enables taking advantage of discounts; by selling for cash, which eliminates bad sales and makes drastic reductions in clerical forces; and by abolishing the cost of delivery and much of the expense of advertising.

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*, March, 1922, p. 22; February, 1923, p. 40; February, 1924, p. 52; March, 1925, p. 44; March, 1926, p. 42; March, 1927, p. 51; March, 1928, p. 43; and December, 1928, p. 43.

<sup>4</sup> New York State. Department of Labor. *The Employment of Women in 5 and 10 Cent Stores, 1921*, p. 11.

<sup>5</sup> Hayward, Walter S., and White, Percival. *Chain Stores*. McGraw-Hill Book Co. (Inc.), 1922, p. 144.

<sup>6</sup> Welr, Catherine McNelis-Hugh, for F. W. Woolworth Co. *Fifty Years of Woolworth*. The Cuneo Press (Inc.), 1929.



One of the telling maxims of Frank W. Woolworth was, "Small profits on an article will become big if you sell enough of the article."<sup>7</sup> His stores exemplify this principle, and it has been stated of them that—

in one year they sold nearly 90,000 pounds of candy, enough to fill a train of freight cars 24 miles long. This was not inferior candy. But the enormous volume of sales enabled the manufacturer to make a profit on pure candy even at the low prices. Illustrating this point further, in one year Woolworth sold more than 9,000,000 yards of curtain material, 350,000 barrels of glassware, 20,000,000 pieces of enamelware—enough to load a freight train 7½ miles long. In 1918, the year of the influenza epidemic, they sold 54,000,000 handkerchiefs at the regular price limit.<sup>8</sup>

Many factors combine to produce these extensive sales, not the least of which is the choice of goods. It has been stated that over 90 per cent of the stock of such a store consists of everyday necessities.<sup>9</sup> These include such staple articles as sewing thread, elastic, tape, pencils, screws, bolts, and nuts; goods of well-known and advertised brands, which may be manufactured under contract, such as certain tooth pastes, soaps, and facial creams; articles especially made to draw trade, such as kitchenware, china, or other things, giving the impression of a great deal for the money and proving a continual fascination and marvel to the consumer unacquainted with sales methods.

Another element conducive to the large sales of the limited-price store is the selection of a strategic location, usually in a recognized shopping center and often near a large department store or other point of attraction for many people.

In addition to choice of location, other methods that the limited-price stores employ to attract the attention of possible buyers are in their general appearance, both external and internal. The stores usually are painted in brilliant colors and a similarity exists in those of the same chain in different cities. The windows are conspicuously dressed, the most telling location for different departments within the store is carefully studied, and goods are arranged on the counters in such a way as to meet the eye and thus to sell themselves.

All the factors just enumerated—type of goods, location, appearance, and manner of display—aid in producing the enormous sales of these well-known chains.

The 5-and-10-cent store, and later the limited-price department store, usually has had to contend with inefficient sales forces. The idea that the arrangement of goods was such that little skill in selling was required has, in the past, induced the payment of a low wage, with consequent lack of interest and high labor turnover. However, there are indications that this condition is improving in many chains. In the New York study already referred to<sup>10</sup> it was found that—

Selection of the girls for the job was governed by what type of girl could be obtained at the particular time she was needed for the lowest wage the market could stand. \* \* \*

A few progressive local managers were trying out schemes of their own, however, even though no direct stimulus came from the central office.

<sup>7</sup> Hayward, Walter S., and White, Percival. *Chain Stores*. McGraw-Hill Book Co. (Inc.), 1922, p. 144.

<sup>8</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 76.

<sup>9</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 106.

<sup>10</sup> New York State. Department of Labor. *The Employment of Women in 5 and 10 Cent Stores, 1921*, pp. 13, 14, 15.

In two of the eight chains studied in New York it was found that effort was being made to teach salesmanship, and this "showed results at least in the prevailing spirit in their stores." In one of these the type of salesgirl "was strikingly higher than in any of the other stores visited." Of this chain it was stated in addition that—

The frank and open desire of the central executives and of the local managers in this chain to talk over their problems and to secure ideas from other progressive establishments on hours, wages, and methods of employment was in striking contrast to the hidebound, overorganized spirit shown in some other instances.

#### SCOPE AND METHOD OF INVESTIGATION

In studies of industries in 18 States, all but one of which were surveyed in the years 1920 to 1925, inclusive, the Women's Bureau has included investigation of 5,282 women in 253 limited-price department stores. Most of these were 5-and-10-cent or 5-10-and-25-cent chain stores, but a few were independent establishments and a few sold goods up to 50 cents or a dollar. Definite information as to numbers of employees, hour schedules, wages, and working conditions was recorded by investigators from interviews with employers and managers, from time-book records of hours worked and amounts paid, and from personal inspection of the plants. In order that comparable material should be secured, records ordinarily were copied directly from pay rolls by the agents of the Women's Bureau. In every store included the agent took down the actual amount paid to each woman employed in a week that fell within the same current month or season for all firms studied in the same State. Every effort was made to insure that the week taken represented normal business conditions and contained no holidays and that no unusual circumstances had affected earnings or time worked. Employees were asked to furnish information as to nativity, age, living condition, marital status, and experience in the trade. In some cases this was supplemented by facts obtained from home visits. The States included, dates of surveys, and numbers of establishments and of women studied were as follows:

State	Year of survey	Number of—		State	Year of survey	Number of—	
		Estab-lish-ments	Women			Estab-lish-ments	Women
All States.....	1920-1925	253	5,282	Kentucky.....	1921	9	236
Alabama.....	1922	13	206	Maryland.....	1921	8	64
Arkansas.....	1922	11	163	Baltimore.....	1921	4	146
Delaware.....	1924	7	99	Mississippi.....	1924	14	194
Florida.....	1923	24	516	Missouri.....	1922	11	442
Georgia.....	1920 and	11	258	New Jersey.....	1922	13	309
Illinois.....	1921			Ohio.....	1922	16	420
Iowa.....	1924	20	546	Oklahoma.....	1924	20	341
Kansas.....	1920	9	301	Rhode Island.....	1920	6	213
	1920	35	287	South Carolina.....	1921	9	207
				Tennessee.....	1925	14	335

<sup>1</sup> See footnote on p. 5.

<sup>2</sup> Includes some stores selling goods at 25 cents to a dollar.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes Baltimore, which see.

The hours of work and the character of the personnel as regards nativity, age, marital and living condition, and experience in the trade are factors that ordinarily differ but little during a period of

years. Earnings, on the other hand, are likely to show a considerable variation from year to year, though the chain stores are less subject to severe financial fluctuations than are most other industries. The data on earnings in any one State are comparable as far as that State is concerned, but various surveys were made over a period extending from 1920 to 1925, inclusive.<sup>11</sup> For this reason the original data have been supplemented by securing a week's earnings in 1928. In most cases the week selected was in October. These figures were obtained for 6,061 women in 179 establishments in 18 States and 5 additional cities. Of the women reported, 3,387 were employed in the same States, and in most cases in the same cities, for which earnings had been taken at an earlier period, many identical establishments being included; the remaining 2,674 women were in States and cities not before surveyed by the bureau. In addition, earnings were ascertained for 1,776 women whose regular work was on Saturday only.

The following summary shows the States and cities included and the number of establishments and of women whose earnings were ascertained in 1928:

State or city	Number of—		State or city	Number of—	
	Estab-lish-ments	Women		Estab-lish-ments	Women
All places.....	179	6,061	State—Continued:		
State:			Missouri.....	9	521
Alabama.....	6	144	New Jersey.....	4	161
Arkansas.....	6	114	Ohio.....	18	645
California.....	35	916	Oklahoma.....	11	347
Delaware.....	4	46	Rhode Island.....	2	73
Florida.....	24	516	South Carolina.....	4	100
Georgia.....	5	88	Tennessee.....	8	317
Kansas.....	13	205	City:		
Kentucky.....	2	65	Boston.....	3	376
Maryland.....	5	97	Chicago.....	2	223
Michigan.....	5	549	Indianapolis.....	2	84
Mississippi.....	3	45	Milwaukee.....	1	49
			New York.....	7	380

<sup>11</sup> In the case of Florida the State survey was made in 1928, but its data on hours and personal information have been tabulated with those of earlier surveys because, as described above, a difference in date ordinarily does not make such material noncomparable.

## SUMMARY OF OUTSTANDING FACTS

### Scope.

	Number
1. State surveys:	
States visited.....	18
Stores visited.....	253
Women employed in these stores.....	5,282
2. Earnings in 1928:	
States in which earnings were taken.....	18
Additional cities in which earnings were taken.....	5
Stores reporting.....	179
Women for whom earnings were reported.....	12 6,061

### The workers.

	Per cent
1. Nativity, reported by 2,946 women:	
American-born.....	96.7
Foreign-born.....	3.3
2. Age, reported by 3,086 women:	
Under 18 years.....	28.3
18 and under 20 years.....	28.3
20 and under 25 years.....	26.2
25 years and over.....	17.1
3. Living condition, reported by 3,047 women:	
Living with relatives.....	92.0
Living independently.....	8.0
4. Marital status, reported by 2,938 women:	
Single.....	82.1
Married.....	11.4
Widowed, separated, or divorced.....	6.5
5. Time in the trade, reported by 2,730 women:	
Under 1 year.....	41.2
1 and under 2 years.....	21.8
2 and under 3 years.....	14.4
3 and under 4 years.....	8.0
4 and under 5 years.....	4.9
5 and under 10 years.....	8.3
10 years and over.....	1.5

### Hours.

1. Daily hours, scheduled for 5,224 women:	
Under 8 hours.....	5.0
8 hours.....	32.5
Over 8 and under 9 hours.....	32.0
9 hours.....	30.6
2. Saturday hours, scheduled for 5,219 women:	
8 hours and under.....	3.9
Over 8 and under 9 hours.....	18.0
9 hours.....	19.9
Over 9 and under 10 hours.....	4.3
10 hours.....	24.1
Over 10 and under 11 hours.....	7.3
11 and under 12 hours.....	14.5
12 and under 12½ hours.....	7.9

<sup>12</sup> Excludes 1,776 women whose regular work was on Saturday only.

Hours—Continued.

3. Weekly hours, scheduled for 5,224 women:	Per cent
48 hours and under-----	5.9
Over 48 and under 54 hours-----	57.9
54 hours-----	11.8
Over 54 and including 55 hours-----	8.7
Over 55 and under 60 hours-----	15.8
4. Relation of hour schedules to hours legally permitted in the State:	Number
States that restricted daily hours-----	14
States restricting daily hours in which all women included had a daily schedule shorter than the legal maximum-----	10
States in which the legal maximum was less than 10 hours-----	1
States that restricted weekly hours-----	13
States restricting weekly hours in which all women included had a weekly schedule shorter than the legal maximum-----	7
States in which the legal maximum was less than 60 hours-----	3

Earnings.

1. Week's earnings in States studied from 1920 to 1925:	
States in which week's earnings were taken-----	14
States in which more than one-half of the women reported earned under \$9-----	4
States in which more than one-half of the women reported earned \$10 or over-----	3
2. Week's earnings and weekly rates in States studied from 1920 to 1925:	
States in which earnings and rates were taken-----	13
States in which the median of the earnings of the women included fell (by 1.1 per cent to 7.8 per cent) below the median of their rates-----	12
States in which more than one-half of the women reported had rates under \$9-----	2
States in which more than one-half of the women reported had rates of \$10 or over-----	5
States in which more than one-half of the women reported had rates of \$12 or over-----	2
3. Week's earnings and time in the trade in States studied from 1920 to 1925:	Per cent
Women who had been in the trade less than 1 year who received \$10 and over-----	24.4
Women who had been in the trade 5 and under 10 years who received \$10 and over-----	78.8
4. Earnings in limited-price stores compared to those in other industries:	
Per cent by which median of the earnings in limited-price stores fell below highest median in other industries in same State or city-----	32.4 to 53.7
Per cent by which median earnings in limited-price stores rose above lowest median in other industries in same State or city-----	1.1 to 20.9
States in which median earnings in limited-price stores were lower than those in any other industry in the State-----	Number 4
5. Year's earnings in States studied from 1920 to 1925:	
States in which year's earnings were taken-----	14
States in which the median of the earnings of the women reported was under \$500-----	4
States in which the median of the earnings of the women reported was over \$600-----	4

## WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES

## Earnings—Continued.

	Number
6. Week's earnings in 1928:	
States in which week's earnings were taken.....	18
Additional cities in which week's earnings were taken.....	5
Establishments reporting.....	179
Women for whom earnings were reported..... <sup>12</sup>	6,061
Median of the earnings of these women.....	\$12
Per cent of the women reported who earned under \$10.....	25.6
Per cent of the women reported who earned \$15 and over.....	29.8
States in which more than one-half of the women reported earned under \$10.....	7
States in which more than one-half of the women reported earned \$12 or over.....	6
Cities in which more than one-half of the women reported earned \$12 or over.....	5
States in which the median of the earnings of the women in- cluded fell (by 2.2 per cent to 10 per cent) below the median of their rates.....	4

<sup>12</sup> Excludes 1,776 women whose regular work was on Saturday only.

## PART II

### PERSONAL INFORMATION

Before considering scheduled hours and earnings in limited-price department stores it is of interest to know something of the personal history of the women involved, to ascertain whether most of them were American or foreign born, were young or old, lived at home or independently, were single or had been married, and had been in such stores for longer or shorter periods.

#### Nativity.

The proportion of foreign born among the 2,946 women reporting on nativity was very small, only 3.3 per cent. In five States—Arkansas, Georgia, Kansas, Mississippi, and Oklahoma—all those reporting were American born. In Delaware and in Kentucky only 1, in Alabama and in Tennessee only 2, and in Maryland and in South Carolina only 4 were reported as foreign born. The other States had the following numbers of foreign born: Ohio, 8; Florida, 9; Missouri, 10; Illinois, 16; New Jersey, 19; and Rhode Island, 20. A very few of those in each of five States were negro women, as follows: 9 in Alabama, 2 each in Arkansas and in Missouri, and 3 each in Kentucky and in Ohio.

#### Age.

The data on age reported by 3,086 women may be seen in Table I in the appendix. As was to be expected, the proportion of young women was large. Of the total number reporting age nearly 60 per cent were less than 20 years old and well over a fourth were under 18. Nearly 83 per cent were under 25 years of age, and of these nearly the same proportions were 16 and under 18, 18 and under 20, and 20 and under 25. More women were found in each of these groups than were 25 years and over.

In 12 States more than one-half and in 3 other States nearly one-half of the women reporting were under 20. The largest group of those reporting in each of the following 9 States was 16 and under 18 years: Alabama, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Missouri, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and South Carolina, the largest proportions being in Kansas, Maryland, and New Jersey—43 per cent in each case. In the States of Florida, Illinois, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Tennessee the largest group was 18 and under 20, the proportions at these ages ranging from 29.6 per cent in Illinois to 44.3 per cent in Oklahoma. In each of the following States the largest group was 20 and under 25: Arkansas, 40.7 per cent; Mississippi, 35.1 per cent; and Delaware, 34.5 per cent.

#### Living condition.

Living conditions of the women are reported in Appendix Table II. Of the 3,047 women reporting living condition only 8 per cent lived independently, that is, boarded and lodged with people to whom they

were not related. The remaining 92 per cent lived with relatives, a proportion that is not surprising, since so many of the women were girls under 20. Some of the women lived with parents, sisters, or other relatives and some were married. The data for one State showed the relationship of the women to those with whom they lived and in this case more than 85 per cent of the girls with relatives were daughters living at home.

In this connection attention should be drawn to a matter recognized by economists—that it is very poor social economy to proceed on the theory that the unmarried woman at home should be paid a low wage because she can live more cheaply at home. While it is true that the family life can effect some saving, the amount thereof often is greatly exaggerated. To the extent that the employed girl is unable to maintain herself entirely she becomes dependent upon her family, and thus contributes materially to any precarious financial condition existing within the family while actually spending her time and energy in work that should afford her a living. More than this, in the case of the girl receiving somewhat more adequate return for her labor it has been shown repeatedly that a large majority of those living at home must contribute to the support of others besides themselves if the family is to be maintained above the subsistence level.

It is interesting to compare the proportions of the workers in the limited-price stores who lived with relatives with the proportions of the women in the same States who were in general mercantile establishments and who lived with relatives, women who tend to be somewhat older in years than those in the limited-price stores. The Women's Bureau studies include 16,003 women in general mercantile establishments in the 17 States under consideration, and in every State a larger proportion of those in the limited-price stores than of those in general mercantile establishments lived with relatives, the proportions differing by more than 10 per cent in Maryland, Illinois, and Kansas, and by more than 9 per cent in Missouri and Delaware.<sup>1</sup>

Of the total number of women in general mercantile establishments 84.5 per cent lived with relatives; in three States more than 90 per cent were with relatives.

#### **Marital status.**

Of the 2,938 women who reported upon whether they were single or had been married, 82.1 per cent were single. Only 6.5 per cent were widowed, separated, or divorced. The largest proportions of single women were in New Jersey and in Kansas, in each of which more than 90 per cent were unmarried. Between 85 and 90 per cent were single in the States of Oklahoma, Delaware, Tennessee, Mississippi, Rhode Island, and Alabama, and between 80 and 85 per cent in Arkansas, Florida, and Maryland. Women who were or had been married predominated in no State, but the largest proportion was in Georgia, in which more than a third of those reporting had been married. In Ohio, Missouri, Kentucky, South Carolina, and Illinois approximately a fourth were or had been married. The marital status of the women reporting may be seen in Appendix Table III.

<sup>1</sup> In Alabama and Georgia women in all stores were included, those in 5-and-10-cent stores being thrown with others. There were 1,253 women included in these States.



**Time in the trade.**

The time 2,730 women reporting had worked in the trade appears in Appendix Table IV. Frequent change is indicated, since more than two-fifths had been in the trade for less than a year and nearly a fourth had worked for one and under two years. About a fourth of all the women reporting had been in the trade less than six months, and less than 10 per cent had worked for as much as five years.

In South Carolina and in Illinois the largest group of women, 33 and 29.5 per cent, respectively, had been in the trade for one and under two years. In every other State the largest group had worked for less than a year, and this group included more than half of the women reporting in Oklahoma, Maryland, and Kansas. In the last named, two women in three had been at work less than a year and practically half the women reporting had less than six months' experience. More than 30 per cent of the women included in Maryland and Kentucky, more than 25 per cent of those in Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Missouri, New Jersey, and Ohio, and more than 20 per cent of those in Arkansas, Mississippi, and Delaware had worked in these stores less than six months.

While such large proportions of the women studied had been in the trade for only a short time, in 3 States from 12 to 15 per cent and in 11 others from 5 to 10 per cent had been in the trade for 5 and under 10 years. In no State had more than two of the women studied been in the trade for as long as 15 years, and in only three States had as many as six women done such work for 10 years.

**SUMMARY OF PERSONAL DATA**

Of 2,946 women reporting nativity 96.7 per cent were American born. In five States none were foreign born, and in each of the five States in which negroes were found they were few in number.

Of 3,086 women reporting age 82.9 per cent were under 25, 56.6 per cent under 20, and 28.3 per cent under 18. In each of 12 States more than half of the women and in each of 3 States almost half were under 20.

Of 3,047 women reporting living condition 92 per cent were with relatives. Only 8 in every 100 women were living independently.

Of 2,938 women reporting marital status more than 8 in every 10 were single. In two States over 90 per cent and in six other States over 85 per cent were single. In one State over a third and in three States about a fourth were or had been married.

Of 2,730 women reporting time in the trade 41.2 per cent had been in their trade for less than a year and practically a fourth for less than six months. In 15 States the largest group had worked less than a year and in no State had one-half of the women reporting worked for as much as two years.

## PART III

### SCHEDULED HOURS

#### **Daily hours.**

The regular schedules of working hours were reported for 252 establishments, employing 5,224 women. Three-eighths of these women, in nearly a third of the establishments, had a day of 8 hours or less. A 9-hour day was scheduled for about 30 per cent of the women, employed in almost half of the stores included. The remaining women had a day of over 8 and under 9 hours.

There was considerable difference among the States in regard to the proportion of women who had a day of 8 hours or less. Appendix Table V shows by State the daily hours scheduled in the establishments and the numbers of women affected. All the women in Baltimore, 92.9 per cent of those in Delaware, over four-fifths of those in Ohio, about three-fourths of those in Illinois and Kentucky, and approximately two-thirds of those in Iowa, Maryland, New Jersey, and Rhode Island had a schedule of 8 hours or less. A schedule of over 8 hours applied to all women in the States of Arkansas, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, and South Carolina, and to the following respective proportions of those in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, and Kansas: 98.1, 91.9, 90.5, 84.2, and 63.1 per cent. In all other States less than one-half of the women had hour schedules so long.

#### **Lunch period.**

The time allowed for lunch and rest in the middle of the day was one hour for about 90 per cent both of the establishments and of the women studied. It was less than an hour in only 8 of the 218 establishments reported, running as short as 30 minutes in four of these, three of which were in Maryland and one in Oklahoma. The longest period was an hour and a half, allowed in two stores in Rhode Island. More than an hour was allowed by two other establishments in that State and by seven in other States. About 6 per cent of the women studied had less and 4 per cent had more than one hour for lunch.

#### **Saturday hours.**

As mercantile establishments have shown a tendency to shorten their hours and to introduce a shorter Saturday in the summer, a very real problem has been created in the management of the limited-price stores, for which Saturday is almost always the big trading day. While none of the women had a Monday-to-Friday schedule of over 9 hours, nearly 60 per cent of them, in about the same proportion of the establishments studied, had a schedule of more than 9 hours on Saturday. Table VI gives, by State, the Saturday hour schedules in the establishments studied and the number of women affected.

About a fourth, both of the establishments and of the women, had a schedule of 10 hours on Saturday; more than a fifth worked more than 10 but less than 12 hours. The women in 15 stores in four

States had a 12-hour day on Saturday and those in 8 establishments in two States a Saturday of over 12 and under 12½ hours.

As in the case of daily hours, there was considerable difference among the States in the proportions of women with a relatively long Saturday. In Ohio and Missouri, which showed a tendency to short daily hours for the larger groups, Saturday hours showed a similar tendency, and the same was true for the city of Baltimore. In Illinois, while considerable numbers of women had a Saturday of 10 hours, well over half had a shorter schedule. In Mississippi, Kentucky, and New Jersey most workers had a 10-hour Saturday. While the women in Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma generally had more than an 8-hour day, only one store reporting in these States had a Saturday of more than 9 hours. In three States in which many women had short daily hours—Delaware, Iowa, and Maryland—considerable numbers had long hours on Saturday. However, only one of the Baltimore stores reporting had a long Saturday. In Mississippi, while all had more than an 8-hour day and none had a Saturday shorter than 10 hours, a few had a schedule of more than 10 hours on Saturday. In Tennessee more than half the women had a Saturday longer than 10 hours. Daily hours were above the average and Saturday hours were very long for large groups in the States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, and South Carolina.

#### Weekly hours.

In any industry the total time worked during the week is perhaps of greater significance than is the length of the day. In the limited-price department store this is of especial importance, because the Saturday hours ordinarily are longer than those on other days and give insufficient time for recuperation from fatigue. In addition, most of the workers in these stores are quite young, and, while their recovery from fatigue may for the time being appear more rapid, the constant drain on their physical powers is, because of their youth, more likely to be communicated to the race.

Appendix Table VII shows the weekly hour schedules applying to the stores and to the women studied. Only about 3 per cent of the women included had a weekly schedule of 48 hours, the equivalent of 8 hours on 6 days of the week; another group of almost the same size had a week shorter than 48 hours. Approximately 70 per cent of the women had a week of more than 48 and including 54 hours, roughly the equivalent of a 9-hour day for 5½ or 6 days. For about a fourth of the women studied the weekly schedule was longer than 54 hours.

The proportions of women having reasonable schedules of weekly hours differed greatly among the States. All the women in Rhode Island, over 90 per cent of those in Baltimore, and more than three-fourths of those in Ohio had a week of less than 50 hours. In Ohio and in Baltimore no woman had a schedule in excess of 50 hours. Other States in which the schedule for all was 54 hours or less are Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, New Jersey, and Oklahoma. In Illinois and Kentucky between 70 and 80 per cent of the women had a week of 50 hours or less and in the former nearly 60 per cent had a schedule of less than 50 hours. In Iowa nearly 70 per cent had a schedule of less than 52 hours and nearly 60 per cent had one of less than 50. In Arkansas over nine-tenths of the women and in Okla-

homa about three-fourths had a schedule of 54 hours. From 60 to 100 per cent of those in Mississippi, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and Alabama had a week of more than 54 hours. In Mississippi over 90 per cent of the women had a schedule of 55 hours. In Florida more than 80 per cent, in South Carolina and in Alabama nearly 60 per cent, and in Georgia about 25 per cent of the women had a schedule of over 55 hours.

#### **Relation of hour schedules to hours legally permitted in States.**

In spite of the somewhat difficult adjustment between the needs of its business and the shifting hour standards, the limited-price department store in many cases appears to have given employees the advantage of hours shorter than those legally permitted, even in respect to the Saturday schedule. Appendix Table VIII shows the number of women with schedules shorter than the legal maximum of daily and of weekly hours in 14 States in which standards had been established by law.

Of the 3,988 women studied in these States 86.7 per cent had daily, 36.6 per cent had Saturday, and 70.6 per cent had weekly hours shorter than the legal maximum. A number of these States allowed a day of 10 hours or more, but no store had hours in excess of 9. Five States fixed a daily maximum of 9 hours and also a weekly limit, and of the 1,640 women reported in such States 67.6 per cent had a day, 62 per cent a week, shorter than the limit permitted. In the 9-hour States 33.5 per cent of the women had a Saturday shorter than the legal day, and this was true of 27.1 per cent of those in the 10-hour States. Thus, in 10 of the States under consideration the women in all the stores included had a daily-hour schedule shorter than that permitted by law. One of these, Ohio, had a legal limit of 9 hours, while seven fixed a 10-hour limit and two permitted a day of longer than 10 hours.

It has been noted that Saturday tends to be the longest workday in the stores. About half of the establishments studied in Ohio, and about three-fourths of the women, had a Saturday hour schedule shorter than the legal limit of 9 hours. Of the women in the 10-hour States none in Mississippi nor in New Jersey had less than 10 hours on Saturday; in one-half of the establishments in Illinois and Rhode Island the Saturday schedule was shorter than the legal limit; and a Saturday of less than 10 hours was scheduled for about nine-tenths of the Baltimore women, about a third of those in other Maryland towns, and about a tenth of those in Kentucky, and for a few women in Delaware. For the two States that allowed a day of more than 10 hours it will be seen that in Tennessee the Saturday schedule in about a third of the establishments was less than the 10½ hours allowed by law, affecting 43 per cent of the women studied, and in South Carolina somewhat more than a fourth of the women, in about half of the stores included, had a Saturday schedule of less than the 12 hours legally permitted.

When weekly hours are compared with the legal requirements in the various States it is found that many limited-price stores showed a tendency to be well ahead of the laws in the shortening of hours for their employees. In Ohio, where the legal maximum was 50 hours, 76.2 per cent of the women, found in slightly over one-half of the stores included, had a week of less than 50 hours. In the 54-hour

States all the women in all stores included in New Jersey and Rhode Island, 93.4 per cent of the women in Missouri, 68.2 per cent of those in Kansas, 24.9 per cent in Oklahoma, and 6.7 per cent in Arkansas had a schedule of less than the legal maximum, and in Kansas and Missouri well over one-half of the establishments studied had adopted a shorter week than the law permitted. In Delaware 55 hours was the limit fixed, but the women in all but one establishment, 99 per cent in all, had a shorter week. The legal standard was 57 hours in Tennessee, 60 hours in the States of Kentucky, Maryland, Mississippi, and South Carolina, but every store included in these States had fixed a shorter schedule. The States of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, and Illinois had no restriction on weekly hours in stores, although Illinois fixes a 10-hour day. A week of 54 hours or less was observed in all establishments in Iowa, in 17 of the 20 in Illinois, in 4 of the 13 in Alabama, in 2 of the 11 in Georgia, and in 2 of the 24 in Florida, and this affected respectively 100, 95.4, 38.3, 25.6, and 15.5 per cent of the women studied in these States. Both in Iowa and in Illinois nearly 60 per cent of the women had a week of less than 50 hours, although neither of these States fixes a legal limit to weekly hours and the 10-hour daily limit in Illinois would admit of a 70-hour week.

#### Hours in different chains.

An explicit hour policy to be applied to all its branches is not fixed by the management of the limited-price-department-store chain, but hours differ somewhat with the locality and with other conditions. In some States all the stores in one or more of the cities showed the same hour schedule no matter what chain they belonged to, while those in other parts of the State, although belonging to the same chains, had different hours. Some variation among the different chains in the shortening of hours may be indicated by the table following, which also includes the independent stores and a few in smaller chains.

TABLE 1.—Scheduled daily and weekly hours, by chain or other class of store

Chain or other class	Number of establishments	Establishments in which daily hours were—				Establishments in which weekly hours were—				
		Under 8	8	Over 8 and under 9	9	48 and under	Over 48 and under 50	50 and under 54	54 and under 55	55 and under 60
Chain I.....	119	7	30	27	55	7	13	42	24	34
Chain II.....	56	2	3	16	36	2	3	12	22	18
Chain III.....	25	2	18	6	1	2	0	13	1	10
Chain IV.....	19	-----	6	2	11	-----	2	6	2	-----
Chain V.....	6	-----	3	1	1	-----	1	3	1	-----
Smaller chains and independent stores.....	28	1	7	8	12	1	4	11	7	6

<sup>1</sup> Details aggregate more than total, because some firms appear in more than one hour group.

A daily schedule of 8 hours or less was the practice in more than 70 per cent of the stores in Chain III, in 60 per cent of those in V, in about 30 per cent of these in I and IV and the independent establishments, but in less than 10 per cent of those in Chain II. A day of 9 hours was the schedule in widely different degrees in the various chains,

64 per cent of the stores in Chain II having 9 hours, 58 per cent in IV, 46 per cent in I, 43 per cent in the independent stores, 20 per cent in Chain V, and only 4 per cent in III.

In weekly hours, also, the chains varied. The weekly schedule shows that less than 54 hours prevailed in 23 of 25 stores in Chain III, in 4 of 5 stores in Chain V, and in more than half the establishments in Chain I and the independent stores. A week of 55 hours and over was the schedule in more than a fourth of the establishments in Chain I, in nearly a third in II, in more than half in IV, in more than a fifth in smaller chains and independent stores, but in no establishment in Chains III and V.

A tendency in all chains to fix hours shorter than the maximum permitted by law is indicated in Table 2. In the establishments affected by legislation hours were shorter than the legal maximum in about 60 per cent of the stores in Chain II, in 70 and under 80 per cent of those in Chains I and V, and in 80 to 100 per cent of those in Chains III and IV. Both daily and weekly hours were shorter than the legal maximum in about three-fourths of the independent and smaller chain establishments affected by legislation.

TABLE 2.—Relation of daily and weekly hours to hours legally established, by chain or other class of store

Chain or other class	Number of establishments	Establishments for which daily hours were—			Establishments for which weekly hours were—		
		Not restricted by law	Restricted by law		Not restricted by law	Restricted by law	
			Number	Having hours shorter than legal maximum		Number	Having hours shorter than legal maximum
Chain I.....	110	24	95	74	39	180	57
Chain II.....	56	13	43	26	14	42	25
Chain III.....	25	4	21	20	8	17	14
Chain IV.....	19	10	9	9	10	9	8
Chain V.....	5	1	4	3	1	4	3
Smaller chains and independent stores..	28	5	23	17	5	23	17

<sup>1</sup> Excludes 15 establishments in Illinois, in which the law limits daily hours only.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes 1 establishment in Illinois.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes 4 establishments in Illinois.

As has been pointed out, most of the States in which these stores were located had a legal day of 10 hours or over; a few had no limit. In the five States that had a 9-hour day and a 50-hour or a 54-hour week were 68 stores belonging to Chains I and II. Of those in Chain I, somewhat less than half had a day shorter than the legal maximum and nearly three-fifths had a week shorter than was allowed by law. In Chain II, about two-fifths had both a shorter day and a shorter week than was permitted by law.

The foregoing discussion indicates that while there may have been some difference in the degree in which various chains were in advance of the legal standard in shortening their hours, all had introduced into many of their stores hour schedules shorter than the maximum permitted by law. This principle was applied even in the States in which legislation required hour schedules shorter than those per-

mitted in the majority of the States included, and in the State regulating daily hours but fixing no maximum for the week (Illinois) nearly 60 per cent of the women had a week of less than 50 hours.

#### SUMMARY OF HOUR DATA

Scheduled hours were reported for 5,224 women, of whom 37.4 per cent had a day of 8 hours or less and 30.6 per cent a day of 9 hours; the remainder had a day of over 8 and under 9 hours. The lunch period was one hour for about 90 per cent of the women reported. About a fourth of the women had a Saturday schedule of 10 hours, more than a fifth a schedule of over 10 and under 12 hours, and practically a twelfth a schedule of 12 to 12½ hours. The weekly schedule of about 70 per cent of the women was in the groups of over 48 and including 54 hours, and four-fifths of the remainder had hours longer than these.

In the States having legislation regulating hours 3,988 women were reported. The daily, weekly, and Saturday schedules were shorter than the legal maximum for, respectively, 86.7, 70.6, and 36.6 per cent of the women in these States. In five States in which the law restricted hours to 9 daily, with a weekly limit, 67.6 per cent of the women had daily, 62 per cent had weekly, and 33.5 per cent had Saturday hours shorter than the maximum permitted. The data show that all chains had introduced into many of their stores hour schedules shorter than those allowed by law.

PART IV  
EARNINGS, 1920 TO 1925

**Week's earnings.**

Week's earnings were ascertained for 3,444 women in States studied at some time from the second half of 1920 to early 1925, inclusive. The data for the stores in any one State are comparable, but figures for the various States can not be considered so, owing to differences in business conditions at the different dates and sometimes to other reasons as well.

Table X in the appendix gives the median of the earnings of the women studied in each State, with year and month. The median means that one-half of the women included earned more and one-half earned less than the figure given. The highest median—\$11.90 for 157 women in Rhode Island—was of earnings toward the end of 1920, just at the close of the postwar high-price period in Rhode Island and before the heaviest effects of the ensuing depression had been felt there. In September, 1922, when prices had again reached practically their 1919 level, 309 women in New Jersey had a median of \$11.30 and 420 women in Ohio had a median of \$10.55. In none of the other States was the median so high as \$10, although in seven of them practically all the women were full-time workers. In five States the medians were less than \$9. Three of these were based on earnings during the severe business depression in effect in these States in 1921 and early 1922. Mississippi's median was of earnings at the end of 1924 and practically all the women included were full-time workers. The remaining median, that of Kansas, was based on the earnings of workers nearly 30 per cent of whom were not on full time.

**Earnings and rates of pay.**

Obviously, the amount earned may differ from the rate of pay bargained for if overtime is worked or if time is lost through illness or other cause. During the 5-year period both earnings and rates were reported for 3,051 women. The extent to which the amounts actually earned by these women varied from their rates may be seen from Tables IX and X in the appendix. In every State but one the median of earnings was below that of rates, the variation ranging from 1.1 per cent in South Carolina to 7.8 per cent in Georgia.

The highest median of rates was that of \$12.30 in Rhode Island, where wages were secured at the end of 1920. In New Jersey, surveyed in September, 1922, when business recovery from depression was practically complete, the median for 281 women was \$12.25. The median of the actual earnings of the Rhode Island women fell 3.3 per cent below that of their rates, that of the New Jersey women 3.7 per cent below their rates. The lowest median of rates was \$8.20, that of 193 women in Mississippi, at the end of 1924, and the median



of their earnings was 2.4 per cent above this figure though below earnings in every other State except one studied early in 1922 while still suffering from the effects of depression.

#### **Proportions of women earning certain amounts.**

Even more telling than the medians of earnings discussed in the foregoing are the proportions of women who earned certain amounts or who had certain rates. The distribution of women at the various ranges of earnings and of rates in 13 States may be seen from Table IX in the appendix.

Of the 3,051 women included only 14 had rates of as much as \$20. These were distributed among 10 States, 2 of them being in each of the States of Kentucky, Missouri, New Jersey, and Rhode Island. Three of these women had rates as high as \$25. There were 38 women who had rates of \$18 or over. These included 2.5 per cent of those in New Jersey and in Rhode Island and 1 per cent or more of those in each of six other States. Altogether, 151 women had rates of \$15 or over. Neither Delaware nor Mississippi had any women earning so much, but in the other States the proportions ranged from less than 2 per cent in Tennessee, Alabama, and Oklahoma to 8 per cent in Ohio and New Jersey and 12.1 per cent in Rhode Island.

In eight States more than half of the women had rates less than \$10. There were 343 women with rates less than \$8 and 52 in nine States with rates below \$7. In the remaining five States more than half of the women included had rates of \$10 or over. These were in New Jersey, Rhode Island, Ohio, Georgia, and Missouri, in which respectively 92.9, 80.3, 71.4, 55.7, and 53.2 per cent of the women had such rates. In New Jersey 59.8 per cent and in Rhode Island 58.6 per cent of the women had rates of \$12 or over.

As is generally the case, larger numbers of women had low earnings than had low rates. In every State but two, in one of which the numbers were equal, more women earned under \$10 than had rates as low as this. The proportion having earnings of less than \$10 exceeded by more than 10 per cent the proportion having rates of less than \$10 in Georgia, New Jersey, Ohio, and Oklahoma.

Rates and earnings each less than \$10 applied to over 90 per cent of the women studied in Mississippi, to over 80 per cent of those in Alabama, and to over 70 per cent of those in South Carolina. Figures for the last two States were taken in a period of depression. Rates and earnings each \$10 or more applied in Ohio to over 60 per cent of the women included, in Rhode Island to over 70 per cent, and in New Jersey to over 80 per cent. Rates for nearly 60 per cent of the women and earnings for nearly 50 per cent were \$12 or above in New Jersey and Rhode Island, and both rates and earnings of over a third of the women in Ohio were \$12 or more.

Of all the women for whom rates and earnings were obtained just over one-half had rates of less than \$10, but about 57 per cent had earnings that fell below that figure.

#### **Earnings and time in the trade.**

It is of interest to know to what extent the women who had remained in the limited-price stores for a considerable time received higher wages than were paid to those who had worked for shorter periods. Earnings and time in the trade as reported for 2,065 women in 14 States are given in Table XI in the appendix.

In 11 States enough of the women included had worked for as much as two years to make possible a comparison of their medians with those of women having less experience. In nine of these there was a continuous increase in the medians with increased time in the trade. In three States a general increase up to 10 years of service was indicated. In four States the medians of the earnings of women who had been in the trade for one and under two years were 13 per cent or more above those of women who had worked less than a year.

It is of especial importance to consider the earnings of women who had been in the trade 5 and under 10 years in relation to those of women with experience of less than a year. The former represent the more stable workers and those who might be expected to receive the highest payments made, while the latter include the beginners. In Table XII in the appendix may be found data for such a comparison.

Of the 2,065 women for whom earnings and time in the trade were reported, 872, or about 42 per cent, received \$10 or over, and 69, or about 3 per cent, received \$15 or more. In no State did any woman who had been in the trade less than a year receive as much as \$15, and in two States no woman in this service group received as much as \$10. Of the remaining 824, in 12 States, who had been in the trade less than a year, about a fourth received as much as \$10; of these none received as much as \$15. Of the 165 who had been in the trade 5 and under 10 years more than three-fourths received at least \$10 and nearly a fifth received as much as \$15.

Of 28 women who had been in the trade for 10 years or longer more than half earned at least \$12 and a fourth earned as much as \$15. Eight earned less than \$10, the rate in some cases running down to \$9 or less.

In 12 of the 14 States for which earnings and time in the trade were reported the highest earnings in each case went to the women who had worked 5 and under 10 years, although in 4 of these States other women who had worked for shorter periods earned the same amounts. The largest sum paid for a week's work was \$25, earned by a woman in South Carolina in 1921; a woman in Kentucky in the same year received over \$24. Both of these workers had been in the trade 5 and under 10 years. The largest sum earned by any woman who had been in the trade for less than a year was \$14 and under \$15, and such an amount was received by five women in three different States in 1920 or in 1922.

#### **Earnings in limited-price stores compared to those in other industries.**

A study of the earnings of women in limited-price stores in comparison with those of women in other industries may be made from the data in Table 3.

TABLE 3.—Relation of median earnings in limited-price stores to those in other industries, by State

State	Number of women reported in limited-price stores	Median of the earnings in limited-price stores	Per cent by which median in limited-price stores—		Total industries studied and rank of limited-price stores as regards median earnings	
			Was below highest median for other industries studied	Was above lowest median for other industries studied	Number of industries studied	Rank of limited-price stores
Rhode Island.....	157	\$11.00	42.5	-----	9	9th.
New Jersey.....	309	11.30	50.9	8.4	31	29th.
Atlanta <sup>1</sup> .....	40	10.85	32.4	-----	6	6th.
Ohio.....	420	10.55	46.2	1.9	24	23d.
Florida <sup>2</sup> .....	516	10.05	44.5	7.5	8	7th.
Missouri.....	440	9.80	38.4	-----	15	15th.
Delaware.....	99	9.75	40.5	20.4	14	11th.
Arkansas.....	137	9.50	39.3	11.8	10	8th.
Oklahoma.....	340	9.45	46.6	-----	9	9th.
Georgia <sup>3</sup> .....	190	9.25	41.8	1.1	9	8th.
Tennessee.....	317	9.20	42.0	10.8	27	22d.
South Carolina.....	155	8.00	42.6	17.1	9	8th.
Kentucky.....	215	8.75	37.7	16.7	18	17th.
Mississippi.....	194	8.40	43.6	20.9	8	6th.
Kansas.....	237	8.10	53.7	-----	10	10th.
Alabama.....	194	8.05	53.5	17.6	10	7th.

<sup>1</sup> See also Georgia.

<sup>2</sup> Florida is included in this table of the comparison of industries, but earnings in Florida are discussed in general only in the section on earnings in 1928.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes Atlanta, which see.

Median earnings in limited-price stores fell below the highest median in other industries in the same locality by from 32 to nearly 54 per cent, according to State. These highest industries were general mercantile in 3 States and in Atlanta, printing and publishing in 3 States, cigars in 2, clothing in 3, and metal, electrical appliances, rubber, and meat packing in 1 State each.

In the 11 States in which the median for women in limited-price stores was not the lowest in the State it rose above the lowest by from about 1 to about 21 per cent. These lowest industries were candy in 2 States, some branch of textiles in 3, one of the wood industries in 2, one of the clothing industries in 2, and miscellaneous groups in the other 2 States.

The medians of women in limited-price stores were lower than those in any other industry in four States and in Atlanta and next to the lowest in five States. Only in three States were there more than two industries with medians below those in the limited-price stores, and in each of these well over half the industries in the State had higher medians.

Since every industry has problems peculiar to itself and in some cases inherent in the industry, comparisons can not always be exact, but there is no question that the standards of payment discussed in the foregoing are very low indeed in comparison with those in other industries, in whatever State studied and regardless of the degree of business prosperity at the time of study. In considering them attention must be paid to various factors that may explain the reason for such low standards, that may mitigate this condition in the lives of the workers, or that may point out possibilities for improvement.

In a discussion of the low wage scale it must be remembered that in the limited-price stores goods often of a high grade are sold at a very low price, and this in itself forms a considerable service to the community, provided that it can be done without taking the legitimate profits of the business out of the pay envelopes of the employees. The great majority of the girls working in these stores are young and inexperienced, and it is possible that in many cases they could find other employment with difficulty. Since it must contend with a high labor turnover and often with poor salesmanship, the management is not unlikely to consider the low wage a sufficient payment where so little sales ability and initiative is required. The managers of the single stores in the chain have a responsible job and often they are well paid for it, but women were not found in these positions. Where the heads of companies have been able to rise by their own initiative from small financial beginnings, their experiences have accustomed them to the strict personal and business economies that were necessary for their advance. Therefore, it is not unnatural for them to consider that their employees can live as cheaply to-day as they themselves did at the time of their start. These are factors particularly characteristic of this industry that may offer some explanation of the low wage paid.

On the other hand, attempts are being made to mitigate the low wage by the installing of a form of bonus plan or vacation system, and a very real pride is taken by some chains in the success of such plans. These systems supplement, in a small degree, the low earnings of some of the workers, and undoubtedly could be extended with profit to employees in health and to employers in the good will and renewed effort created.

The successful existence of limited-price stores is due in large part to the rapid turnover of enormous quantities of goods and to various economies of organization, the payment of a wage below that paid in most other industries being only a contributing factor. The financial reports of these chains usually show very high profits. This would indicate the possibility of paying a considerably better wage and of improving the selling standards within the business without raising the price of the goods. That at least one chain is making efforts in this direction is evidence of its practicability.

#### **Year's earnings.**

More significant than the earnings during a single week are those during a 12-month period, since the expenses of the worker continue throughout the year whether or not she receives normal payments every week. Medians of the year's earnings of women in 14 States may be seen in Table X in the appendix.

In every State but one the earnings for the 52-week period preceding the week for which current earnings were reported were taken for a representative number of employees in each establishment.<sup>1</sup> To be included the women must have worked during at least 44 of the 52 weeks. On the whole, the earnings of these women may be considered the best possible ones in the limited-price stores in the State and period in which taken, since they are the earnings of the steadier and

<sup>1</sup> A very few establishments that had been operating for less than a year were omitted. The exceptional State was Kansas, in which the figures taken were based on 50 or more weeks instead of a 52-week period and, consequently, are not entirely comparable with those in other States.

more responsible workers. The medians rose highest, \$613, \$622, and \$667, in Ohio, Missouri, and New Jersey, respectively. Since these States were studied just after an abnormal business period, a good deal of the time included in these figures was during the depression, but business fluctuations appear to influence a high or low wage in the limited-price department chain, and, in fact, in all chain stores, less than they do in many other industries. The medians in seven States ran from \$506 to \$604, and of these two were surveyed in 1920, two in 1921, two in 1924, and one in 1925. The States having the lowest medians of earnings for the 52-week period were Mississippi, Alabama, and Arkansas, and in these one-half of the women included received more, one-half less, than \$431, \$438, and \$496, respectively. The year for which earnings were taken in Alabama and Arkansas ended early in 1922 and thus included the depressed time of 1921; that for Mississippi closed at the end of 1924 and the figure, therefore, is based on earnings for that year.

#### SUMMARY OF EARNINGS, 1920 TO 1925

Week's earnings were ascertained for 3,444 women in 14 States studied from 1920 to 1925, inclusive. The highest median was \$11.90, and in 11 States the median was less than \$10. In one State earnings were above rates; in all others they fell below by from 1.1 to 7.8 per cent. In eight States more than half the women had rates of less than \$10; only 151 women had rates of \$15 or over. The median of the earnings ordinarily showed an increase with length of service, although in most cases the proportion of increase was not continuous in relation to the time worked. Of those who had been in the trade less than a year none received as much as \$15 and all but about a fourth were paid less than \$10. Of those in the trade 5 and under 10 years, nearly a fifth earned \$15 or more and more than three-fourths received at least \$10. The median of the year's earnings ranged from \$667 to \$431.

In 4 of 15 States the median of the week's earnings was lower than that in any other industry surveyed; in 5 it was next to the lowest and in the remaining 6 it was from 8 to 21 per cent above the lowest median for any industry.<sup>2</sup> In each State the median for women in limited-price stores fell from about 38 to about 54 per cent below the highest median in any other industry. It was 32.4 per cent below in the city of Atlanta.

---

<sup>2</sup> See Table 3 and footnote 2, p. 22.

## PART V

### EARNINGS IN 1928

#### Week's earnings.

While giving a true picture of the situation in any one place at the time taken, the earnings discussed in the foregoing section are not necessarily representative of present conditions. For this reason the earnings of women during a week in the last quarter of 1928 were secured for limited-price stores in 18 States and 5 additional cities.<sup>1</sup> These data, covering 6,061 women in 179 establishments, are shown in Table XIV in the appendix.

One-half of the women included received less than \$12, one-half received more, for the week's work. The medians differed considerably in the different States. The lowest was that for 97 women in five establishments in Maryland, and was \$8.80; over a third of these women earned less than \$8. The median was \$9 in the six States of Alabama, Georgia, Kansas, Mississippi, South Carolina, and Tennessee. The State having the highest median was California, and the figure was \$16, which is the minimum permitted by law for experienced workers. The median in Michigan was \$15, that in Kentucky was \$14, but in each of these States the stores included were located in the largest cities. Of the separate cities studied Boston had the lowest median, \$12, and this was based upon 376 women in three stores. In New York City the median for 380 women in seven stores was \$14. The highest was in Chicago and was \$18. While this was based upon but two stores, they were of different chains and employed 223 women, and the figures may be taken as representative.

In every locality but Georgia, Rhode Island, and South Carolina there were women whose earnings for this week were less than \$5—as many as 21 in one State and 14 to 16 in several others. At the other end of the scale, two saleswomen in Boston earned \$40, and a floor woman in Ohio \$45, three women in Chicago received \$35 or more, and three each in Michigan, Boston, and Chicago earned \$30 or more. These very low or very high figures, however, were exceptional. The highest amount earned in any other State was under \$30; in nine States it was under \$18, in four of these under \$15, in Georgia under \$14, and in Mississippi under \$12.

Of the women studied only 7 per cent earned as much as \$18, 70.2 per cent earned less than \$15, 44.1 per cent less than \$12, and 25.6 per cent less than \$10.

#### Earnings and days worked.

More than 80 per cent (81.8) of the women studied had worked the full week of 6 days, and more than 85 per cent had worked on 5½ or 6 days. While the median for all women reported was \$12, it was \$13

<sup>1</sup> Most of the data apply to a week in October, 1928, but in the case of a few establishments the figures are for a week early in 1929.

for those working 6 days. The following summary shows the days worked during the week and the medians of the women's earnings:

Number of days worked	Number of women reported	Median of the earnings	Number of days worked	Number of women reported	Median of the earnings
Total.....	6,036	\$12.00	3½.....	36	\$7.00
1.....	71	2.00	4.....	138	8.05
1½.....	13	3.00	4½.....	60	9.00
2.....	76	4.00	5.....	374	10.05
2½.....	14	4.65	5½.....	213	11.00
3.....	104	6.00	6.....	4,937	13.00

The foregoing shows a fairly regular progression of earnings with number of days worked. However, women who had worked on 4 or 5 days had a median slightly more than four or five times that for 1 day, and those who had worked on 6 days had a median six and a half times as great as that for the 1-day workers and considerably above that of the 5½-day workers. In effect, this puts the premium of an additional half-day's pay upon work during a week that lacks an afternoon of freedom.

For each State or city the proportion of women who had worked on 6 days and their respective earnings may be considered from Table XIII in the appendix. In Rhode Island 93.2 per cent of the women had worked on 6 days. In 4 other States over 85 per cent of them, in 8 States and 3 cities 80 to 85 per cent, and in 5 States and 2 cities less than 80 per cent had worked on 6 days. In States in which 6-day workers formed the largest proportions their medians were not necessarily closer to the medians of all workers than were those in States having smaller proportions of 6-day workers. In 11 States and 4 cities the median was the same for all women and for those working on 6 days; in 7 States and 1 city those working on 6 days had higher medians than had the total number of women, usually by the amount of \$1.

Since those who had worked on 6 days may be considered the steadiest and most responsible workers, the amounts they received may be taken as representative of the best actual earnings in a State or city. In each of 7 States the largest group of these 6-day workers earned less than \$10. The range in the proportions of women having such low earnings ran from 47.9 per cent in Kansas, through South Carolina, Tennessee, Georgia, Maryland, and Mississippi, to 85.8 per cent in Alabama. In 4 States—Oklahoma, Arkansas, Florida, and Rhode Island—the largest groups of 6-day workers earned \$10 and under \$12. In Florida the proportion was 42 per cent, and nearly as many earned less than \$10. In five States—Kentucky, Missouri, New Jersey, Ohio, and Delaware—the largest group of 6-day workers earned \$12 and under \$15. In Michigan 55.9 per cent and in California 77.6 per cent earned \$15 and under \$18. The State in which the largest proportion of the women who had worked on 6 days received \$18 or over was Michigan, and these formed 28.4 per cent of the total in that State, in which, it will be remembered, all the stores surveyed were in large cities.

Except a very few women in New York, none of the 6-day workers in the separate cities earned under \$10; none in Milwaukee and Indianapolis and only a few in the other cities received less than \$12. In Boston 81 per cent, and in all other cities but one the largest group, earned \$12 and under \$15. In Chicago 62.5 per cent received \$18 and over.

The heavy trading on Saturday in the limited-price stores and the consequent long hours on that day often make it necessary to employ extra help for Saturday only. Earnings were ascertained for 1,776 women whose regular work was on Saturday only. The largest group of these—23 per cent—earned \$1.50 for Saturday work, 18.9 per cent earned \$2, 11.6 per cent \$2.50, 9.5 per cent \$1.25, and 8.4 per cent—women who were in California, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, Ohio, and Chicago—received \$2.67 or more, 2 women in Chicago being paid as much as \$3.50. The remaining 28.6 per cent had earnings scattered in various ranges, 23 women in Maryland, Missouri, and Tennessee earning as little as \$1 each. No women in any of the 5 cities were paid less than \$1.75 for their Saturday's work, and most of these earned \$2 to \$2.50.

#### Earnings and rates of pay.

Both actual earnings and rates of pay were secured for 6,001 women. In the main, the rates fixed may be expected to correspond quite closely to the earnings that have been discussed for women who had worked on 6 days. The medians of both rates and earnings are shown by State or city in Table 4.

TABLE 4.—Medians of the weekly rates and of the week's earnings, by State or city—  
1928 figures

State or city	Number of women reported	Median of the—		Per cent by which the median of the earnings fell below that of the rates
		Weekly rates	Week's earnings	
All places.....	6,001	\$13.00	\$12.00	7.7
State:				
Alabama.....	144	9.00	9.00	.....
Arkansas.....	114	10.00	10.00	.....
California.....	916	16.00	16.00	.....
Delaware.....	46	11.00	11.00	.....
Florida.....	516	10.00	10.00	.....
Georgia.....	88	9.00	9.00	.....
Kansas.....	205	10.00	9.00	10.0
Kentucky.....	65	14.00	14.00	.....
Maryland.....	97	9.00	8.50	2.2
Michigan.....	649	16.00	15.00	6.3
Mississippi.....	45	9.00	9.00	.....
Missouri.....	521	13.00	13.00	.....
New Jersey.....	161	13.00	13.00	.....
Ohio.....	598	12.00	12.00	.....
Oklahoma.....	347	10.00	10.00	.....
Rhode Island.....	73	11.00	11.00	.....
South Carolina.....	100	10.00	9.00	10.0
Tennessee.....	317	9.00	9.00	.....
City:				
Boston.....	376	12.00	12.00	.....
Chicago.....	210	18.00	18.00	.....
Indianapolis.....	84	13.00	13.00	.....
Milwaukee.....	49	14.00	14.00	.....
New York.....	380	14.00	14.00	.....



## WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES

The median of the rates of all the women included was \$13, but the median of the earnings fell 7.7 per cent below this. Rates and earnings medians were the same in all but four cases, the exceptions being that earnings fell below rates by 10 per cent in Kansas and South Carolina, by 6.3 per cent in Michigan, and by 2.2 per cent in Maryland. The relative proportions of women having rates and earnings in the highest range and in ranges considerably lower may be seen from the following:

Range of payment	Women having—			
	Rates as specified		Earnings as specified	
	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent
Under \$8.....	101	1.7	487	8.1
Under \$10.....	1,053	17.5	1,518	25.3
Under \$15.....	3,942	65.7	4,203	70.0
\$20 and over.....	224	3.7	214	3.6

From the foregoing it appears that the proportion of women having rates of \$20 and over is similar to that of women having earnings in the same range—in both cases less than 4 per cent of the total. While 17.5 per cent of the women reported had rates below \$10, considerably more—25.3 per cent—had earnings as low as this.

The highest or lowest rates fixed for individual workers are of less importance than is the foregoing consideration of groups of women earning certain amounts, and they have little value as representing numbers of women; nevertheless, it is of interest to notice their indications as to the differences among States in earning possibilities for the lowest paid and for the exceptionally well paid. The entire range of the rates set ran from under \$6 to \$45. The lowest rate ranged from less than \$6 for a woman in Ohio (although only one other woman in that State had a rate below \$8) and from less than \$7 in Tennessee and Florida to \$11 and under \$12 in California and Kentucky of the States, and to \$12 and under \$13 in Indianapolis and \$13 and under \$14 in Milwaukee of the cities. The lowest rate was as much as \$10 in only four States; it was below \$10 in only one city, New York.

In seven States and two cities 10 per cent or more of all the women reported had actual earnings below the lowest rates fixed. Table 5 shows the lowest rate found in each State and city and the number and per cent of women who earned less than this.

TABLE 5.—Extent to which actual earnings were less than lowest rate reported, by State or city—1928 figures

Amount at or above which all rates fell	State or city	Women whose earnings were less than lowest rate reported	
		Number	Per cent
\$5.....	Ohio.....	12	2.0
\$6.....	Tennessee.....	16	16.6
	Florida.....	22	4.3
\$7.....	Alabama.....	15	10.4
	Arkansas.....	11	9.6
	Delaware.....	3	6.5
	Kansas.....	21	10.2
	Maryland.....	13	13.4
	Mississippi.....	4	8.9
	Missouri.....	30	5.8
\$8.....	Georgia.....	5	5.7
	Oklahoma.....	36	10.4
	South Carolina.....	9	9.0
\$9.....	New York City.....	27	7.1
\$10.....	Michigan.....	28	5.1
	New Jersey.....	23	14.3
	Boston.....	37	9.8
	Chicago.....	6	2.9
\$11.....	California.....	61	6.7
	Kentucky.....	7	10.8
\$12.....	Indianapolis.....	11	13.1
\$13.....	Milwaukee.....	11	24.4

<sup>1</sup>In Rhode Island no earnings fell below the lowest rate, which was \$7.

At the other end of the scale, in every State but one and in every city, the highest earnings found equaled but did not exceed the highest rate. In Kansas the highest rate was under \$18, in Delaware and Oklahoma under \$17, in Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina under \$16, in Maryland and Arkansas under \$15, and in Mississippi under \$12. In every other State some women had rates of \$20 or over; in five States and four cities some had rates of \$25 or over. Table 6 shows the numbers of women having rates and earnings of \$20 or over and the highest ranges of rates and earnings found.

TABLE 6.—Highest rates and earnings, by State or city—1928 figures

State or city	Number of women reported	Women having rates and earnings of—		Highest rates and earnings above \$25
		\$20 or over	\$25 or over	
State:				
California.....	916	11.....	4.....	Rate and earnings, \$27.50 for 1 woman, \$26.50 for 1.
Florida.....	516	2.....		
Kentucky.....	65	2.....		
Michigan.....	549	Rate 66.....	Rate 10.....	1 woman had a rate of \$33, earnings of \$34.73; 1 a rate of \$30, earnings of \$30.63.
		Earnings 63.....	Earnings 9.....	
Missouri.....	521	Rate 19.....	4.....	
		Earnings 16.....		
New Jersey.....	161	Rate 8.....	2.....	Rate and earnings, \$26 for 1 for lady and for 1 woman selling music.
		Earnings 7.....		Rate and earnings, \$45 for 1 floor-woman, who also did selling.
Ohio.....	598	13.....	Rate 3.....	
			Earnings 5.....	
Rhode Island.....	73	1.....		
Tennessee.....	317	1.....		
City:				
Boston.....	376	7.....	6.....	Rate and earnings for 2 saleswomen, \$40; for 3, \$30.
Chicago.....	210	Rate 81.....	Rate 20.....	7 floor women had rates and earnings ranging from \$28 to \$39; the highest for any saleswoman was \$27.
		Earnings 78.....	Earnings 21.....	
Milwaukee.....	49	1.....		
New York.....	380	9.....	1.....	

**Rates by size of town or city.**

Up to this point the discussion has taken account of the differences in payment in the various States or large cities and the differences between rates and earnings, but there has been no general consideration of the variations in rate according to size of town or city. The data are arranged in such a manner in Table XVI in the appendix. The medians in this table, which show wide variations in the rates fixed for women working in places of different sizes, are as follows:

Size of town or city	Number of—		Median of the rates
	Stores	Women	
All places.....	179	6,001	\$13.00
Under 10,000.....	27	332	9.50
10,000 and under 25,000.....	52	771	9.50
25,000 and under 50,000.....	22	580	10.00
50,000 and under 100,000.....	26	944	10.00
100,000 and under 500,000.....	27	1,314	13.00
500,000 and under 1,000,000.....	16	1,470	15.00
1,000,000 and over.....	9	590	15.00

This summary shows that the median of the rates was the same for women in towns having fewer than 10,000 inhabitants as in those having 10,000 and under 25,000. It was a little more than 5 per cent higher for women in places of 25,000 and under 50,000, and was the same here as in places of 50,000 and under 100,000. In cities of 100,000 and under 500,000 the median rose above that of the class just below by 30 per cent, and in those of 500,000 and over it rose above the class below by 15 per cent. In the largest cities the median of the rates was 57.9 per cent higher than in towns of under 25,000.

In towns of less than 25,000 population the highest rate was under \$19, in those of from 25,000 to 500,000 the highest rate was \$27.50, and in the largest cities a few women had higher rates. The proportions of women having rates of \$15 or over, according to size of place, were as follows:

Size of town or city	Per cent of women with rates of \$15 or over
Under 25,000.....	18.9
25,000 and under 100,000.....	21.0
100,000 and under 500,000.....	27.0
500,000 and under 1,000,000.....	50.0
1,000,000 and over.....	63.1

The foregoing summary shows quite clearly that much larger proportions of women had rates above \$15 in the larger cities than in the smaller towns. While the proportion advanced somewhat with each successive group of the population classification, the greatest increase came between the class 100,000 and under 500,000 and the class 500,000 and under 1,000,000.

The proportion of women having rates of \$20 or over was nearly 10 per cent greater in cities of 1,000,000 and over than in cities of

500,000 but under 1,000,000. However, there was much less difference than this between the cities last mentioned and those of 100,000 and under 500,000.

In connection with these differences in rate of payment it should be remembered that the cost of living ordinarily is much higher in the larger places.

#### **Rates in various chains.**

In addition to differences in locality and in size of city a factor that may affect payments is the possibility of variation in the standards of the employing chains. A basis for the indication of such variation may be found in Table XV in the appendix, which shows by size of place the rates of the women in each of five chains. Many of the women reported in Chains I and II were in California, and this State differs from every other included in having a legal provision for a minimum wage. Because of this, rates in California are, from a reason aside from the standards of payment that may exist elsewhere in these two chains, higher than in other States, except for some instances in the very large cities. In Table XV, therefore, figures both including and omitting the women who worked in California are given.

Table 7, taken from the appendix table cited, shows the number and the median rates of the women in the various chains, by size of place and both including and excluding California.

TABLE 7.—Number of women in the various chains and the medians of their rates, by size of town or city and both including and excluding California—1928 figures

Size of town or city	In all States reported										In States exclusive of California			
	Chain I		Chain II		Chain III		Chain IV		Chain V		Chain I		Chain II	
	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates
All places.....	956	\$14.00	1,835	\$10.00	2,083	\$14.50	506	\$11.00	329	\$12.00	500	\$12.00	1,460	\$10.00
Under 10,000.....	114	9.00	94	12.00			107	9.00			89	9.00	47	9.00
10,000 and under 25,000.....	85	18.00	555	9.00	44	10.50	53	10.00			9	( <sup>1</sup> )	513	9.00
25,000 and under 50,000.....	192	13.00	198	9.00	31	10.00	86	10.00			107	11.00	127	9.00
50,000 and under 100,000.....	150	16.00	612	10.00	16	13.00	37	9.00			44	9.00	496	10.00
100,000 and under 500,000.....	155	12.00	199	10.00	899	13.00					23	11.00	136	12.00
500,000 and under 1,000,000.....	230	16.00	86	16.00	893	15.00					157	12.00	116	14.00
1,000,000 and over.....			143	15.00	200	18.00	223	14.00	24	14.00			143	15.00

<sup>1</sup>Not computed, owing to small number involved.

The chains having the highest rates reported, according to size of city, were as follows:

Size of town or city	Chain having highest median rate—	
	In all States reported	In States exclusive of California
All places.....	III	III
Under 10,000 <sup>1</sup> .....	II	(9)
10,000 and under 25,000 <sup>2</sup> .....	I	(9)
25,000 and under 50,000.....	I	Y
50,000 and under 100,000.....	I	III
100,000 and under 500,000 <sup>3</sup> .....	III	III
500,000 and under 1,000,000 <sup>4</sup> .....	I and II	III
1,000,000 and over <sup>7</sup> .....	III	III

<sup>1</sup> Includes no stores of Chains III and V.

<sup>2</sup> The median rate in towns under 10,000 was the same in all chains.

<sup>3</sup> Includes no stores of Chain V.

<sup>4</sup> The indication is that Chain I had the highest rates, but the median was not computed, owing to the small number involved.

<sup>5</sup> Includes no stores of Chain IV.

<sup>6</sup> Includes no stores of Chain IV and, in California, none of Chain II.

<sup>7</sup> Includes no stores of Chain I.

The foregoing shows that, in general, with the exclusion of the California figures, Chain III had the best median rates wherever this chain was found, the only exception being the cities in the second and third groups. In the smallest towns included in the study no stores of Chain III were found, and the median rates were alike in the other chains located in these places. When the California figures are included the definite influence of the minimum wage appears and the median rates in Chains I and II in cities of certain sizes rise to a point above the rate in Chain III—a chain that has no stores in California.

Omitting the California figures, the size of city in which each chain paid best was as follows:

Chain number	Size of city in which median rate was—	
	Highest	Next to highest
I.....	500,000 and under 1,000,000.....	(9)
II.....	1,000,000 and over.....	50,000 and under 100,000 and 100,000 and under 500,000.
III.....	do.....	500,000 and under 1,000,000.
IV.....	do.....	10,000 and under 25,000 and 25,000 and under 50,000.
V.....	do.....	500,000 and under 1,000,000.

<sup>1</sup> The indication was that cities of 10,000 and under 25,000 had the rate next to the highest, but the median was not computed, owing to the small number involved.

Since no stores of Chain I were included in the largest towns, the foregoing shows that in every chain the highest rates prevailed in the largest cities in which the chain in question was found; the rates next to the highest were in the city next in size in Chains III and V, but were in the smaller towns in Chains II and IV.

TABLE 8.—Proportions of women with rates in the various ranges, by chain and both including and excluding California—1928 figures

Chain number	Chains I and II in all States reported					In States exclusive of California				
	Number of women	Per cent of women who had weekly rates of—				Number of women	Per cent of women who had weekly rates of—			
		Under \$10	\$10 and under \$15	\$15 and over	\$20 and over		Under \$10	\$10 and under \$15	\$15 and over	\$20 and over
I.....	956	11.6	42.5	45.9	1.7	500	22.2	63.0	14.8	1.4
II.....	1,885	34.3	42.4	23.2	.2	1,460	44.3	48.9	6.8	.2
III.....						2,088	.1	50.1	49.7	9.2
IV.....						506	28.9	55.5	15.8	1.2
V.....						329	10.6	81.2	8.2	1.5

<sup>1</sup> Of these women, 9.6 per cent were in Chicago, and a number in that city were highly paid, some being floor women. If the Chicago rates be excluded from this chain, 44.3 per cent of the remaining women had rates of \$15 or over and 55.7 per cent had rates of \$10 and under \$15; the median for all women in the chain would then be \$14.

The proportions of women in the various chains who had rates falling in the different ranges are shown in Table 8. From this it will be seen that, considering the group from which California figures are excluded, practically half the women in Chain III had rates of \$15 or over, while the corresponding figure was 15 or 16 per cent in Chains I and IV and 7 or 8 per cent in Chains II and V. The largest proportion of women with rates below \$10 was in Chain II. Chain III thus appears to rise rather considerably above the other four and Chain II to pay rather below the remaining three. With the omission of the Chicago women having high rates in Chain III, the proportion having rates of \$15 or over still was 28.5 per cent higher than in the chain having the next high proportion with rates of \$15 or over—Chain IV.

To estimate somewhat more definitely the influence upon rates of size of city or of employer's policies, a more detailed study may be made of different chains and of towns of different size in certain States the data from which lend themselves to such a study. In each of five States studied over 500 women were included. In two of these, Florida and Ohio, the women studied were in cities of four or more classifications as regards size and in stores in four chains. These States, therefore, furnish a basis for the somewhat more detailed study of the possible effect of size of city or of difference in chain upon the standard of rates of payment to the women employed.

TABLE 9.—Median rates in Florida and in Ohio, by size of town or city and by chain—1928 figures

## FLORIDA

Size of town or city	Chain I		Chain II		Chain IV		Chain V	
	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates
All places.....	172	\$9.00	111	\$10.00	184	\$10.00	49	\$11.00
Under 10,000.....	89	9.00			78	9.00		
10,000 and under 25,000.....			40	11.00	17	9.00		
25,000 and under 50,000.....	52	10.00	26	8.00	52	11.00		
50,000 and under 100,000.....	31	9.00	45	10.50	37	9.00	49	11.00

TABLE 9.—Median rates in Florida and in Ohio, by size of town or city and by chain—1928 figures—Continued

## OHIO

Size of town or city	Chain I		Chain III		Chain IV		Chain V	
	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates	Number of women	Median of the rates
All places.....	328	\$12.00	214	\$13.00	17	\$9.00	26	\$10.25
10,000 and under 25,000.....	9	12.75	-----	-----	17	9.00	-----	-----
25,000 and under 50,000.....	55	11.00	31	10.00	-----	-----	26	10.25
50,000 and under 100,000.....	13	11.00	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
100,000 and under 500,000.....	136	12.00	183	13.00	-----	-----	-----	-----
500,000 and under 1,000,000.....	115	14.00	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

According to Table 9, no chain in Florida showed regular progression of payment according to size of city. The highest median, \$11, was found in three chains, Nos. II, IV, and V, in each case in a city of a different size. The lowest, \$8, was in Chain II; the same chain paid a rate more than a third higher than this in a small town. Chain IV had a low rate in cities of three sizes, Chain I in cities of two sizes.

In the State as a whole 41.3 per cent of the women had rates below \$10. In Chains I, II, and IV the respective proportions having rates of less than \$10 were 58.7 per cent, 30.6 per cent, and 42.4 per cent. No women in Chain V had earnings below \$10, but this chain was found only in cities of the largest class in the State. In cities of the largest size Chain II likewise had no women with rates below \$10, and Chains I and IV had, respectively, 83.9 per cent and 16.8 per cent with such rates. In cities next smaller in size these low rates applied to no women in Chain IV, to 23 per cent in Chain I, and to most of those in Chain II.

Only 5.2 per cent of the women reported in Ohio had rates below \$10. The lowest median rate was in Chain IV. Chain I usually had rates high as compared to those of other chains in cities of the same size. No women in Chain IV and very few in Chain V had rates of \$15 or over, though in Chain III 17.5 per cent and in Chain I 21.6 per cent had such rates.

In cities of 25,000 and under 50,000 in Ohio no women in Chain III and very few in Chains I and V had rates of as much as \$15. In cities of 100,000 and under 500,000, 14.7 per cent of those in Chain I and 26.2 per cent of those in Chain III had rates of \$15 or more.

From the foregoing consideration of conditions in two States having considerable numbers of women reported from several chains in cities of several different sizes, no generalizations can be made as to the effect on rates of size of city or of difference in chain. This does not mean, however, that there are no indications of the effect of such factors within any one State.

#### Earnings in 1928 compared to those in earlier years.

The earlier studies were taken in 1920 to 1925, inclusive, a period of great business fluctuation. The number of women studied in any one year was too small to warrant comparison with the data for 1928, except in the case of 1922, a year following great depression and conditions within certain months of which could not be taken as representative of normal times. In 1928 it was not always possible to secure data for the same establishments or even the same cities as



those surveyed in earlier years; in some cases additional cities were taken to give a more accurate picture for 1928. Owing to these and other factors (see footnote 2, Appendix Table XIV), it is not possible to make adequate general comparisons of the 1928 figures with those secured earlier.

An interesting condition is found in South Carolina, where the median of earnings in 1928 was 10 per cent below that of rates, though in 1921, a year of depression, earnings and rates were practically the same. In 1928 the median rate was about 11 per cent higher than the 1921 figure. In this State the stores in Chain II, taken in the same three cities in 1921 and in 1928, show the following proportions of the women included as having rates and earnings in the groups specified:

Year	Per cent of women having—					
	Under \$10 as—		\$10 and under \$15 as—		\$15 or over as—	
	Rate	Earnings	Rate	Earnings	Rate	Earnings
1921.....	54.5	56.3	36.4	33.3	9.1	10.4
1928.....	31.1	37.7	68.9	62.3	.....	.....

Of the women working in Chain II in these cities nearly 20 per cent more in 1921 than in 1928 had earnings below \$10 and over 30 per cent more in 1921 than in 1928 had rates so low; but while some had rates and earnings of \$15 or over in 1921—ranging as high as \$25 for one woman—none in 1928 had either rates or earnings of as much as \$15. Thus, while the proportion of women paid at the lowest rates was smaller in 1928 than in 1921, no woman in 1928 was paid so high as were about 10 per cent of the women in 1921, a year of depression.

The greatest difference between the median rate for an early year and that for 1928 occurred in Kentucky, another State that was studied in the depressed year of 1921. The stores surveyed in 1928 were in two large cities, and Chain III was studied in these cities in both years. For this chain the figures were as follows:

Year	Number of women	Median of the rates
1921.....	74	\$10
1928.....	65	14

In 1928 the median in this chain for stores located in these two cities was 40 per cent above the 1921 figure. Nearly a fourth of the women had rates and actual earnings of \$16 or over in 1928 and only three had rates so high in 1921.

In Tennessee rates were ascertained for exactly the same number of women (317) and in the same cities in 1925 and 1928. The median of the rates was in the same range in the two years, and in each year only one woman earned as much as \$20. The following gives the proportions of women having rates in the lowest ranges in the two years for which figures were obtained in Tennessee,

Amount	Percent of women who had rates specified in—		Per cent of women who had earnings specified in 1928
	1925	1928	
Under \$10.....	63.1	57.1	61.2
Under \$12.....	93.1	92.1	92.7

The foregoing shows that in this State the proportions of women with rates below \$10 and below \$12 were smaller in 1928 than in 1925.

#### SUMMARY OF 1928 FINDINGS

##### Median of the earnings.

In 1928 the median of the week's earnings of 6,061 women studied in 179 stores in 18 States and 5 additional cities was \$12. The medians for the various States ranged from \$8.80 in Maryland to \$16 in California. In every locality but Georgia, Rhode Island, and South Carolina some women earned less than \$5. The highest earnings in a State ranged from less than \$12 in Mississippi to \$45 in Ohio.

##### Earnings of 6-day workers.

More than 80 per cent of the women studied in 1928 had worked on 6 days during the week; the highest proportion in any one State was 93.2 per cent, the figure for Rhode Island. In seven of the States studied considerable proportions of the 6-day workers earned less than \$10, these per cents ranging from 47.9 in Kansas to 85.8 in Alabama. In the large cities studied no women received so little as this, except a very few in New York. In four States the largest groups of 6-day workers earned \$10 and under \$12, in five States \$12 and under \$15, and in two States \$15 and under \$18. In four cities the largest groups earned \$12 and under \$15, and in one \$18 and over.

##### Earnings of Saturday workers.

In addition to the 6,061 women who form the basis for the 1928 study 1,776 women were scheduled who worked only on Saturday. Their earnings for this day ranged from \$1, received by some women in Maryland, Missouri, and Tennessee, to \$3.50 received by two women in Chicago.

##### Median rates of pay.

The median of the weekly rates for all women reported in 1928 was \$13, 7.7 per cent above the median of their earnings. In 14 States and 5 cities the median of the rates was the same as that of the earnings; it was above that of the earnings in 4 States, in 2 of these by as much as 10 per cent. The lowest rates ranged from \$5 and under \$6 in Ohio to \$11 and under \$12 in California and Kentucky; in cities, from less than \$10 in New York to as high as \$13 in Milwaukee. In every State but one and in every city some women earned less than the lowest rates, the proportions of the total ranging from 2 per cent in Ohio to 24.4 per cent in Milwaukee. No woman had earnings in excess of the highest rate fixed.

**Rates of pay in relation to size of town or city.**

While much larger proportions of the women in the larger cities than of those in the smaller towns had high rates, and while the women in each group of cities showed some advance above those in the group of cities next smaller in size in the proportion having the higher rates, the greatest increase came between the classes of 100,000 and under 500,000 and those of 500,000 and under 1,000,000 population. The median of the rates for cities in the classes up to 100,000 varied by no more than 6 per cent, in those over that figure by about 15 per cent, but between the class containing cities of 50,000 and under 100,000 and that containing cities of 100,000 and under 500,000 there was a difference of 30 per cent. It must be remembered that living costs usually are higher in the larger than in the smaller cities.

**Standards of rates of pay in different chains.**

From the figures available there appeared to be some variation in the standards of the rates paid by different chains, those in Chain II showing some tendency to be low and those in Chain III to be high.

**Earnings in 1928 compared to those in earlier years.**

In most cases such factors as the differences in identity of establishments, of chains, or of cities, or the difficulty of measuring business fluctuations in the different years of study, made it impossible to give accurate comparisons, on any wide scale, of earnings in 1928 with those in earlier years.

Of 13 States for which it is possible to make the comparison 10 had rates higher in 1928 than at the earlier survey.

Three somewhat small and scattered cases offer definite bases for comparisons of 1928 with earlier years. In one State in which an identical chain was studied in the same three cities in 1921, a year of depression, and in 1928, smaller proportions of the women studied in 1928 than of those studied in 1921 had very low rates and earnings, but no women in 1928 had earnings so high as had over 10 per cent of the women in 1921. In another State in which an identical chain was studied in the same two cities in 1921 and in 1928 the median rate in 1928 was 40 per cent above that in 1921, and in 1928 nearly a fourth of the women had both rates and earnings at a figure attained by only three of the women studied in 1921. In the State in which the same number of women (317) were studied in identical cities in 1925 and in 1928 the median of the rates showed little change; fewer women in 1928 than in 1925, by about 9 per cent, had rates below \$10.

These rather fragmentary and scattered cases in which valid comparisons are possible indicate some decrease in the proportions of women paid at the lowest rates, but give no positive indication of any general increase in the groups having rates or earnings in the highest ranges.

## APPENDIX.—GENERAL TABLES

TABLE I.—Age of women employees who supplied personal information, by State

State	Number of women reporting	Number of women whose age was—								
		Under 16 years	16 and under 18 years	18 and under 20 years	20 and under 25 years	25 and under 30 years	30 and under 40 years	40 and under 50 years	50 and under 60 years	60 years and over
All States.....	3,086	31	843	874	809	250	174	77	17	5
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	1.0	27.3	28.3	26.2	8.3	5.6	2.5	0.6	0.2
Alabama.....	135	38	35	32	13	15	1	1	1	1
Arkansas.....	113	18	30	40	11	7	1	1	1	1
Delaware.....	84	20	21	29	0	1	1	1	1	1
Florida.....	337	76	109	102	27	16	0	1	1	1
Georgia.....	150	7	37	30	32	14	14	14	1	1
Illinois.....	233	41	69	67	28	16	8	3	1	1
Kansas.....	286	11	123	70	49	17	9	7	1	1
Kentucky.....	152	40	34	39	15	21	3	1	1	1
Maryland.....	149	5	64	28	22	17	8	5	1	1
Mississippi.....	114	24	32	40	12	2	4	1	1	1
Missouri.....	260	90	63	56	25	14	7	2	1	3
New Jersey.....	149	04	43	31	7	2	1	1	1	1
Ohio.....	244	43	01	64	18	10	8	1	1	1
Oklahoma.....	201	25	89	67	10	7	3	1	1	1
Rhode Island.....	112	8	40	24	9	7	1	1	1	1
South Carolina.....	106	37	20	20	6	5	4	5	1	1
Tennessee.....	261	57	80	82	21	11	4	1	1	1

TABLE II.—Living condition of women employees who supplied personal information, by State

State	Number of women reporting	Number of women who were—		Per cent of women living with relatives
		Living with relatives	Living independently	
All States.....	3,047	2,803	244	92.0
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	92.0	8.0	92.0
Alabama.....	134	119	15	88.8
Arkansas.....	112	100	12	89.3
Delaware.....	84	83	1	97.6
Florida.....	333	304	29	91.3
Georgia.....	133	118	15	88.7
Illinois.....	236	220	16	93.2
Kansas.....	286	267	19	93.4
Kentucky.....	150	143	7	95.3
Maryland.....	149	144	5	96.6
Mississippi.....	115	99	16	86.1
Missouri.....	260	238	22	91.5
New Jersey.....	153	149	4	97.4
Ohio.....	201	187	14	93.0
Oklahoma.....	204	185	19	90.7
Rhode Island.....	121	119	2	98.3
South Carolina.....	106	96	10	90.6
Tennessee.....	264	232	32	87.9

## WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES

TABLE III.—*Marital status of women employees who supplied personal information, by State*

State	Number of women reporting	Number of women who were—			Per cent of women who were single
		Single	Married	Widowed, separated, or divorced	
All States.....	2,938	2,411	335	192	2,411
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	82.1	11.4	6.5	82.1
Alabama.....	131	112	10	9	85.5
Arkansas.....	111	94	9	8	84.7
Delaware.....	84	74	6	4	88.1
Florida.....	325	262	44	19	80.6
Georgia.....	132	87	28	17	65.9
Illinois.....	231	178	27	26	77.1
Kansas.....	285	259	18	8	90.9
Kentucky.....	150	113	21	16	75.3
Maryland.....	144	116	21	7	80.6
Mississippi.....	110	96	9	5	87.3
Missouri.....	256	190	47	19	74.2
New Jersey.....	118	109	7	2	92.4
Ohio.....	193	142	31	20	73.6
Oklahoma.....	109	179	13	7	89.9
Rhode Island.....	112	97	10	5	86.6
South Carolina.....	100	77	15	8	77.0
Tennessee.....	257	226	19	12	87.9

TABLE IV.—Time in the trade of women employees who supplied personal information, by State

State	Number of women reporting	Number of women who had been in the trade—									
		Under 1 year			1 and under 2 years	2 and under 3 years	3 and under 4 years	4 and under 5 years	5 and under 10 years	10 and under 15 years	15 years and over
		Total	Under 6 months	6 months and under 1 year							
All States.....	2,730	1,124	668	456	595	392	218	133	227	26	15
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	41.2	24.5	16.7	21.8	14.4	8.0	4.9	8.3	1.0	0.5
Alabama.....	125	50	20	30	27	25	10	4	9		
Arkansas.....	109	37	25	12	27	19	13	3	7	1	2
Delaware.....	71	26	15	11	15	12	2	10	6		
Florida.....	320	96	60	36	70	57	33	29	29	5	1
Georgia.....	133	39	18	21	36	23	15	9	9	1	1
Illinois.....	193	53	18	35	57	26	22	8	24	2	1
Kansas.....	237	158	117	41	32	17	12	5	11	1	1
Kentucky.....	139	58	42	16	30	19	8	7	11	4	2
Maryland.....	137	71	45	26	29	14	8	5	8	1	1
Mississippi.....	103	45	23	22	25	12	8	7	5	1	
Missouri.....	255	115	70	45	39	40	24	7	24	4	2
New Jersey.....	143	58	39	19	28	25	10	9	10	2	1
Ohio.....	179	74	46	28	33	24	14	8	26		
Oklahoma.....	182	104	53	51	42	13	10	3	8	1	1
Rhode Island.....	123	49	35	14	20	18	10	7	16	1	2
South Carolina.....	103	28	18	10	34	18	6	8	8	1	
Tennessee.....	178	63	24	39	51	30	13	4	16	1	

## WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES

TABLE V.—Scheduled daily hours, by State

State	Number reported		Number of establishments and number of women whose daily hours were—							
	Es- tab- lish- ments	Women	Under 8		8		Over 8 and under 9		9	
			Es- tab- lish- ments	Women	Es- tab- lish- ments	Women	Es- tab- lish- ments	Women	Es- tab- lish- ments	Women
All States.....	1 252	5,224	12	259	65	1,697	60	1,670	116	1,598
Per cent distribution.....		100.0		5.0		32.5		32.0		30.6
Alabama.....	1 13	206	1	4			3	79	10	123
Arkansas.....	11	163					1	9	10	154
Delaware.....	7	99			4	62			3	7
Florida.....	24	516			1	40	2	47	21	420
Georgia.....	11	258			1	21	6	171	4	66
Illinois.....	20	540	2	38	7	364	7	100	4	35
Iowa.....	9	256			5	176	4	80		
Kansas.....	134	274	2	16	10	85	7	84	15	89
Kentucky.....	9	236			5	185	2	30	2	21
Maryland.....	8	64	1	10	5	32	1	14	1	8
Baltimore.....	4	145	1	63	3	82				
Mississippi.....	14	194							14	194
Missouri.....	11	442					8	413	3	29
New Jersey.....	13	309	1	48	7	149	5	112		
Ohio.....	15	420			14	345	1	75		
Oklahoma.....	20	341					2	81	18	260
Rhode Island.....	6	213	4	80	1	64	1	69		
South Carolina.....	9	207					3	83	6	124
Tennessee.....	14	335			2	53	7	214	6	68

<sup>1</sup> Details aggregate more than total, because some firms appear in more than 1 hour group.

<sup>2</sup> Includes an establishment with 1 woman working 11 hours on 2 days in the week.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes 1 firm not reporting daily hours.

<sup>4</sup> Excludes Baltimore, which see.

TABLE VI.—Scheduled Saturday hours, by State

State	Number reported		Number of establishments and number of women whose Saturday hours were—																					
			Under 8		8		Over 8 and under 9		9		Over 9 and under 10		10		Over 10 and under 11		11		Over 11 and under 12		12		Over 12 and under 12½	
	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women
All States.....	1 251	5, 219	2	16	6	188	23	941	70	1, 038	14	224	61	1, 259	18	381	12	262	27	497	15	262	8	151
Per cent distribution.....		100. 0		0. 3		3. 6		18. 0		19. 9		4. 3		24. 1		7. 3		5. 0		9. 5		5. 0		2. 9
Alabama.....	13	206													1	24	2	44	8	122	2	16		
Arkansas.....	11	163			1	1	1	1	10	161														
Delaware.....	7	99					1	1	1	1	1	5			4	92								
Florida.....	24	516											1	49			3	69	9	226	7	90	4	62
Georgia.....	11	258											1	21			2	59	2	48	2	41	4	89
Illinois.....	20	546			1	98	1	168	3	37			5	64	10	179								
Iowa.....	9	256			1	54	2	74	1	18							1	12	1	46	4	52		
Kansas.....	33	269	2	16	2	8	2	46	25	195									1	4				
Kentucky.....	9	236											2	24	7	212								
Maryland <sup>1</sup> .....	8	64											3	21	2	20	1	3	1	12		1	8	
Baltimore.....	4	145						3	132						1	13								
Mississippi.....	14	194												12	178		2	16						
Missouri.....	11	442					2	127	9	315														
New Jersey.....	13	309												13	309									
Ohio.....	15	420					7	305	1	15				7	100									
Oklahoma.....	20	341			1	27	2	18	20	296														
Rhode Island.....	6	213					1	69					2	89	3	55								
South Carolina.....	9	207																						
Tennessee.....	14	335											5	144	9	191		1	7	3	62	5	148	

<sup>1</sup> Details aggregate more than total, because some establishments appear in more than 1 hour group.  
<sup>2</sup> Excludes 2 establishments not reporting Saturday hours.  
<sup>3</sup> Excludes Baltimore, which sea.



TABLE VII.—Scheduled weekly hours, by State

State	Number reported		Number of establishments and number of women whose weekly hours were—																						
			Under 48		48		Over 48 and under 50		50		Over 50 and under 52		52		Over 52 and under 54		54		Over 54 and under 55		55		Over 55 and under 60		
	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	Establishments	Women	
All States.....	1 252	5,224	8	146	4	162	32	1,023	22	522	30	763	4	79	30	637	49	614	8	172	18	280	50	826	
Per cent distribution.....		100.0		2.8		3.1		19.6		10.0		14.6		1.5		12.2		11.8		3.3		5.4		15.8	
Alabama.....	1 13	206	1	4											2	52	1	27					10	123	
Arkansas.....	11	163									1	9			1	2	9	152						1	
Delaware.....	7	99									4	92			1	1							1	1	
Florida.....	24	516							1	49							1	31					21	420	
Georgia.....	11	258					1	21							1	45			1	18			4	66	
Illinois.....	20	546	1	17	1	98	3	202	4	85	2	23	1	14	4	72	1	10	1	6	2	62			
Iowa.....	19	256	1	1	1	54	2	92			2	30			3	73	1	6			2	19			
Kansas.....	34	274	2	16	2	10	6	58			8	91			2	12	14	87							
Kentucky.....	9	236					2	24	3	161					2	30					2	21			
Maryland.....	8	64					3	21	1	6	2	15			1	14							1	8	
Baltimore.....	4	145	1	63			2	69	1	13															
Mississippi.....	14	194																				12	178	2	16
Missouri.....	11	442									7	405			1	8	3	29							
New Jersey.....	13	309					1	48	4	84	2	51	3	65	3	61									
Ohio.....	15	420					8	320	7	100															
Oklahoma.....	20	341													3	85	18	256							
Rhode Island.....	6	213	2	45			4	168																	
South Carolina.....	9	207													1	16	1	16	1	51			6	124	
Tennessee.....	14	335							1	24	2	47			6	166			1	30			6	68	

<sup>1</sup> Details aggregate more than total, because some establishments appear in more than 1 hour group.

<sup>2</sup> This woman worked part time—34 hours weekly, 5 hours daily. Other women in this firm worked 54 hours.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes 1 firm not reporting weekly hours.

<sup>4</sup> Excludes Baltimore, which see.

TABLE VIII.—Relation of scheduled daily, Saturday, and weekly hours to hours legally established, by State

State	Number reported		Daily and Saturday hours						Weekly hours				
			Maximum daily hours permitted by law	Number having hours shorter than maximum permitted by law					Maximum hours permitted by law	Number having hours shorter than maximum permitted by law			
	Estab-lish-ments	Women		Daily hours		Saturday hours		Estab-lish-ments		Women			
				Estab-lish-ments	Number	Per cent	Estab-lish-ments			Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent
All States.....	195	3,988		149	3,456	86.7	54	1,401	36.6	124	2,817	70.6	
Total in States fixing 9 hours and a weekly limit.....	91	1,640		45	1,108	67.6	21	549	33.6	41	1,016	62.0	
Total in States fixing 10 hours and a weekly limit.....	61	1,260		61	1,260	100.0	14	342	27.1	60	1,259	99.9	
Arkansas.....	11	163	9	1	9	5.5	2	2	1.2	54	2	11	6.7
Delaware.....	7	99	10	7	99	100.0	3	7	7.1	55	6	98	99.0
Illinois.....	20	546	10	20	546	100.0	10	367	67.2				
Kansas.....	34	274	9	19	185	67.5	17	170	26.0	54	20	187	68.2
Kentucky.....	9	236	10	9	236	100.0	2	24	10.2	60	9	236	100.0
Maryland.....	8	64	10	8	64	100.0	3	21	32.8	60	8	64	100.0
Baltimore.....	4	145	10	4	145	100.0	3	132	91.0	60	4	145	100.0
Mississippi.....	14	194	10	14	194	100.0				60	14	194	100.0
Missouri.....	11	442	9	8	413	93.4	2	127	28.7	54	8	413	93.4
New Jersey.....	13	309	10	13	309	100.0				54	13	309	100.0
Ohio.....	15	420	9	15	420	100.0	7	305	72.6	50	8	320	76.2
Oklahoma.....	20	341	9	2	81	23.8	3	45	13.2	54	3	85	24.9
Rhode Island.....	6	213	10	6	213	100.0	3	158	74.2	54	6	213	100.0
South Carolina.....	9	207	12	9	207	100.0	4	59	28.5	60	9	207	100.0
Tennessee.....	14	335	10½	14	335	100.0	5	144	43.0	57	14	335	100.0

<sup>1</sup> Total for Saturday hours, 1,635, owing to variation in Kansas. Per cent having shorter Saturday hours based on 1,635.

<sup>2</sup> Saturday hours reported for 33 establishments, employing 269 women.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes Baltimore, which see



Amount	Number of women for whom amount specified was weekly rate and number for whom it was week's earnings—Contd.											
	New Jersey, 1922		Ohio, 1922		Oklahoma, 1924		Rhode Island, 1920		South Carolina, 1921		Tennessee, 1925	
	Weekly rate	Week's earnings	Weekly rate	Week's earnings	Weekly rate	Week's earnings	Weekly rate	Week's earnings	Weekly rate	Week's earnings	Weekly rate	Week's earnings
Number of women reported.....	281	281	350	350	340	340	157	157	154	154	317	317
Median for all women.....	\$12.25	\$11.80	\$10.80	\$10.55	\$9.90	\$9.45	\$12.30	\$11.90	\$9.00	\$8.90	\$9.55	\$9.20
Per cent of women receiving—												
\$10 and over.....	92.9	81.9	71.4	60.9	46.5	36.2	80.3	73.2	29.9	29.9	36.9	30.9
\$12 and over.....	59.8	48.8	37.7	33.1	9.7	7.4	58.6	49.0	9.7	9.7	6.9	6.0
\$15 and over.....	8.2	7.1	8.0	7.4	1.8	1.5	12.1	9.6	4.5	4.5	1.3	.9
\$18 and over.....	2.5	2.5	1.7	1.7	.9	.9	2.6	1.9	1.3	1.3	.3	.3
Under \$4.....		7		10		15		2		1		12
\$4 and under \$5.....		2		6		4				3		7
\$5 and under \$6.....		3		7		12		1		3		11
\$6 and under \$7.....		4		16		9		2	1	8		11
\$7 and under \$8.....	2	5	1 <sup>1</sup>	7	18	26	14	16	23	20	20	18
\$8 and under \$9.....	2	10	35	28	64	62	12	15	53	46	84	85
\$9 and under \$10.....	16	20	64	63	100	89	3	4	31	27	91	75
\$10 and under \$11.....	77	77	92	71	113	87	25	24	21	21	79	65
\$11 and under \$12.....	16	16	26	26	12	11	9	14	10	10	16	14
\$12 and under \$13.....	104	78	72	62	19	12	46	37	7	7	10	8
\$13 and under \$14.....	28	25	18	15	3	3	19	18			4	4
\$14 and under \$15.....	13	14	14	12	5	5	8	7			1	4
\$15 and under \$16.....	12	11	12	10	2	1	8	6	1	3	3	2
\$16 and under \$17.....	3	1	8	8	1	1	5	4	1	1		
\$17 and under \$18.....	1	1	2	2			2	2	1	1		
\$18 and under \$19.....	4	5	6	5	2	2	2	1	1	1		
\$19 and under \$20.....	1	1										
\$20 and under \$21.....	1				1	1	1	1			1	1
\$21 and under \$22.....	1	1										
\$22 and under \$23.....	1						1					
\$23 and under \$24.....								1				
\$24 and under \$25.....												
\$25 and under \$30.....			1	1					1	1		

<sup>1</sup> This woman had a rate of \$15 a week and earned a \$10 commission.

TABLE X.—Median of the week's earnings, of the weekly rates, and of the year's earnings, by State and year

State and year	Week's earnings			Week's earnings and weekly rates				Year's earnings <sup>1</sup>	
	Month <sup>2</sup>	Number of women	Median	Number of women	Median of the rates	Median of the earnings	Per cent by which earnings fell below rates	Number of women	Median
1920									
Kansas <sup>3</sup> .....	July-August.....	237	\$8. 10	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )	126	<sup>1</sup> \$460
Rhode Island.....	September-October.....	157	11. 90	157	\$12. 30	\$11. 90	3. 4	21	604
1920 and 1921									
Georgia.....	( <sup>4</sup> ).....	230	9. 40	230	10. 20	9. 40	7. 8	78	510
1921									
Kentucky.....	October-November.....	215	8. 75	215	8. 95	8. 75	2. 2	23	565
South Carolina.....	do.....	155	8. 90	154	9. 00	8. 90	1. 1	21	506
1922									
Alabama.....	February.....	194	8. 05	190	8. 45	8. 10	4. 1	36	438
Arkansas.....	do.....	137	9. 50	137	9. 75	9. 50	2. 6	19	496
Missouri.....	April.....	440	9. 80	410	10. 15	9. 95	2. 0	66	622
New Jersey.....	September.....	309	11. 30	281	12. 25	11. 80	3. 7	34	667
Ohio.....	do.....	420	10. 55	350	10. 80	10. 55	2. 3	81	613
1924									
Delaware.....	September.....	99	9. 75	77	9. 75	9. 45	3. 0	19	525
Oklahoma.....	April.....	340	9. 45	340	9. 90	9. 45	4. 5	59	510
Mississippi.....	December.....	194	8. 40	193	8. 20	8. 40	2. 4	34	431
1925									
Tennessee.....	February.....	317	9. 20	317	9. 55	9. 20	3. 7	38	510

<sup>1</sup> Year's earnings were secured from 52-week pay-roll records for all States except Kansas. In Kansas, records were for 50 or more weeks.

<sup>2</sup> Month or other period including the week in which earnings were taken in most of the establishments visited.

<sup>3</sup> No rates were reported for Kansas. There were 170 full-time workers and the median of their earnings was \$9.15.

<sup>4</sup> Irregular.

<sup>5</sup> In this case earnings exceeded rates by the per cent reported.

TABLE XI.—Median of the week's earnings and time in the trade, by State and year

State and year	All women reported		Women who had been in the trade—															
			Under 1 year		1 and under 2 years		2 and under 3 years		3 and under 4 years		4 and under 5 years		5 and under 10 years		10 and under 15 years		15 years and over	
	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an	Num-ber	Medi-an
Alabama, 1922.....	125	\$8. 25	50	\$7. 55	27	\$8. 30	25	\$8. 55	10	(1)	4	(1)	9	(1)				
Arkansas, 1922.....	104	9. 55	37	8. 45	26	9. 80	18	10. 20	12	(1)	3	(1)	7	(1)				
Delaware, 1924.....	71	10. 10	26	9. 15	15	10. 05	12	(1)	2	(1)	10	(1)	6	(1)			1	(1)
Georgia, 1920 and 1921.....	133	9. 95	38	9. 25	26	9. 20	23	10. 50	16	\$10. 65	9	\$10. 75	9	\$11. 75	1	(1)	1	(1)
Kansas, 1920.....	237	8. 10	158	7. 85	32	8. 35	17	8. 50	12	(1)	5	(1)	11	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)
Kentucky, 1921.....	139	9. 00	59	8. 45	30	8. 80	19	9. 90	8	(1)	7	(1)	10	(1)	4	(1)	2	(1)
Mississippi, 1924.....	103	8. 55	45	8. 20	25	8. 50	12	(1)	8	(1)	7	(1)	5	(1)	1	(1)		
Missouri, 1922.....	255	10. 20	115	9. 55	39	10. 30	40	10. 90	24	12. 25	7	(1)	24	12. 50	4	(1)	2	(1)
New Jersey, 1922.....	143	12. 05	58	10. 80	28	12. 20	25	12. 25	10	(1)	9	(1)	10	(1)	2	(1)	.1	(1)
Ohio, 1922.....	179	10. 45	74	10. 10	33	10. 30	24	10. 70	14	(1)	8	(1)	28	12. 00				
Oklahoma, 1924.....	182	9. 45	104	8. 90	42	10. 10	13	(1)	10	(1)	3	(1)	8	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)
Rhode Island, 1920.....	113	12. 20	42	10. 55	19	12. 55	18	12. 00	8	(1)	7	(1)	16	15. 00	1	(1)	2	(1)
South Carolina, 1921.....	103	9. 00	28	8. 25	34	8. 75	18	9. 75	6	(1)	8	(1)	8	(1)	1	(1)		
Tennessee, 1925.....	178	9. 40	63	8. 65	51	9. 25	30	9. 65	13	(1)	4	(1)	16	11. 00	1	(1)		

<sup>1</sup> Not computed, owing to small number involved.

TABLE XII.—Median earnings of all women and number of women receiving \$10 and over and \$15 and over, according to time in the trade, by State and year

State and year	Median earnings	Number of women reporting	Number of women receiving—		Number of women who had been in the trade—					
			\$10 and over	\$15 and over	Under 1 year			5 and under 10 years		
					Total	Number receiving—		Total	Number receiving—	
						\$10 and over	\$15 and over		\$10 and over	\$15 and over
Alabama, 1922.....	\$8.25	125	21	1	50	1	-----	9	5	1
Arkansas, 1922.....	9.55	104	40	2	37	5	-----	7	6	1
Delaware, 1924.....	10.10	71	37	-----	26	7	-----	6	5	-----
Georgia, 1920 and 1921.....	9.95	133	65	7	38	10	-----	9	7	1
Kansas, 1920.....	8.10	237	20	1	158	5	-----	11	4	1
Kentucky, 1921.....	9.00	139	46	7	59	7	-----	10	9	2
Mississippi, 1924.....	8.55	103	13	-----	45	-----	5	1	-----	-----
Missouri, 1922.....	10.20	255	139	15	115	37	-----	24	20	7
New Jersey, 1922.....	12.05	143	121	11	58	44	-----	10	10	4
Ohio, 1922.....	10.45	179	114	3	74	40	-----	26	21	3
Oklahoma, 1924.....	9.45	182	65	2	104	19	-----	8	7	1
Rhode Island, 1920.....	12.20	113	94	13	42	29	-----	16	15	3
South Carolina, 1921.....	9.00	103	31	7	28	-----	8	7	2	-----
Tennessee, 1925.....	9.40	178	66	-----	63	15	-----	16	13	-----

TABLE XIII.—Earnings of women who worked on six days, by State or city—1928 figures

State or city	All women reported		Women who worked on 6 days			Per cent of women working on 6 days who earned—				
	Number	Median of the earnings	Number	Per cent of total	Median of the earnings	Under \$10	\$10 and under \$12	\$12 and under \$15	\$15 and under \$18	\$18 and over
All places.....	6,036	\$12.00	4,937	81.8	\$13.00	17.9	18.1	28.7	27.0	8.3
<b>State:</b>										
Alabama.....	144	9.00	120	83.3	9.00	85.8	10.8	2.5	0.8	.....
Arkansas.....	114	10.00	96	84.2	10.00	33.3	45.8	20.8	.....	.....
California.....	916	16.00	753	82.2	16.00	.....	.....	17.1	77.6	5.3
Delaware.....	46	11.00	39	84.8	12.00	15.4	33.3	35.9	15.4	.....
Florida.....	513	10.00	443	86.4	10.00	41.8	42.0	13.5	1.8	.9
Georgia.....	88	9.00	77	87.5	9.00	62.3	33.8	3.9	.....	.....
Kansas.....	205	9.00	165	80.5	10.00	47.9	41.8	8.5	1.8	.....
Kentucky.....	65	14.00	57	87.7	14.00	.....	3.5	54.4	29.8	12.3
Maryland.....	97	8.80	76	78.4	9.00	63.2	31.6	5.3	.....	.....
Michigan.....	549	15.00	447	81.4	16.00	.....	.4	15.2	55.9	28.4
Mississippi.....	45	9.00	36	80.0	9.00	77.8	22.2	.....	.....	.....
Missouri.....	521	13.00	410	78.7	14.00	7.8	8.8	52.2	24.6	6.6
New Jersey.....	161	13.00	127	78.9	13.00	.....	24.4	50.4	15.7	9.4
Ohio.....	623	12.00	498	79.9	12.50	6.0	24.9	47.0	17.7	4.4
Oklahoma.....	347	10.00	267	76.9	10.00	34.1	56.2	7.1	2.6	.....
Rhode Island.....	73	11.00	68	93.2	11.00	13.2	41.2	33.8	7.4	4.4
South Carolina.....	100	9.00	89	89.0	10.00	49.4	36.0	13.5	1.1	.....
Tennessee.....	317	9.00	268	84.5	9.00	54.5	36.9	7.5	.7	.4
<b>City:</b>										
Boston.....	376	12.00	311	82.7	12.00	.....	.3	81.0	12.5	6.1
Chicago.....	223	18.00	184	82.5	19.00	.....	.5	3.3	33.7	62.5
Indianapolis.....	84	13.00	70	83.3	13.00	.....	.....	68.6	24.3	7.1
Milwaukee.....	49	14.00	39	79.6	14.00	.....	.....	69.2	28.2	2.6
New York.....	380	14.00	297	78.2	14.00	.3	1.0	51.2	38.0	9.4





Week's earnings	Number of women with earnings as specified in—											
	Missouri	New Jersey	Ohio	Oklahoma	Rhode Island	South Carolina	Tennessee	Boston	Chicago	Indianapolis	Milwaukee	New York
Number of establishments.....	9	4	18	11	2	4	8	3	2	2	1	7
Number of women reported.....	521	161	645	347	73	100	317	376	223	84	49	380
Median of the earnings <sup>1</sup> .....	\$13.00	\$13.00	\$12.00	\$10.00	\$11.00	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$12.00	\$18.00	\$13.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
Per cent of women receiving—												
\$10 and over.....	81.6	85.7	81.6	51.3	82.2	47.0	38.8	90.2	97.3	91.7	89.8	90.5
\$15 and over.....	25.5	21.1	17.7	2.0	11.0	1.0	.9	15.4	84.3	27.4	24.5	37.1
Under \$5.....	21	14	16	7			15	9	1	1	4	15
\$5 and under \$6.....	3	2	6	11			1		4		1	2
\$6 and under \$7.....	6	2	7	9		3	15	9		1		4
\$7 and under \$8.....	9	2	15	9	4		14	0				3
\$8 and under \$9.....	16	2	21	51	5	16	44	11	1	1		3
\$9 and under \$10.....	41	1	54	82	4	28	105	2		3		9
\$10 and under \$11.....	36	29	98	110	19	22	90	22	4	1		15
\$11 and under \$12.....	30	9	72	41	10	12	10	2	3	3	2	15
\$12 and under \$13.....	61	10	116	12	13	4	8	172	8	24	1	15
\$13 and under \$14.....	67	47	60	8	4	3	6	50	6	14	11	83
\$14 and under \$15.....	98	9	66		6	5	6	35	8	12	15	75
\$15 and under \$16.....	48	9	51	3	1	1	1	22	22	8	9	70
\$16 and under \$17.....	48	7	31	4	2			12	29	3	1	28
\$17 and under \$18.....	8	5	10		2		1	5	17	6	1	15
\$18 and under \$19.....	9	4	6		1			9	18	2		14
\$19 and under \$20.....	4	2	3		1			3	22	1		5
\$20 and under \$21.....	8	2	5				1		15			5
\$21 and under \$22.....	2	2							15			1
\$22 and under \$23.....	1		1					1	12			1
\$23 and under \$24.....	1	1			1				14			1
\$24 and under \$25.....									1	1	1	
\$25 and under \$30.....	4	2	4					1	15	2		1
\$30 and under \$35.....								3	3			
\$35 and under \$40.....									3			
\$40 and over.....			1					2	3			

<sup>1</sup> Excludes 1,776 women whose regular work was on Saturday only.

<sup>2</sup> Unlike wage studies covering a variety of industries, considerable numbers of women in the present survey had exactly the same earnings and were paid in even dollars. For this reason the usual statistical formula for locating the median has not been used, as in each case it would have resulted in uneven dollars-and-cents figures not true of actual conditions. The median given here is the amount received by the middle woman or women in the group, one-half earning more and one-half less.

TABLE XV.—Weekly rates in the various chains, by size of town or

Weekly rate	Chain I <sup>1</sup>							Chain II <sup>1</sup>		
	Number of women in places of—							Number of women in places of—		
	All classes	Under 10,000	10,000 and under 25,000	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	500,000 and over	All classes	Under 10,000	10,000 and under 25,000
Number of establishments.....	36	9	7	8	5	4	3	69	7	35
Number of women reported.....	956	114	85	192	130	155	260	1,885	94	555
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	11.9	8.9	20.1	13.6	16.2	29.3	100.0	5.0	29.4
Median of the rates.....	\$14.00	\$9.00	\$16.00	\$13.00	\$16.00	\$12.00	\$16.00	\$10.00	\$12.00	\$9.00
\$5 and under \$6.....								1		1
\$6 and under \$7.....								43	4	29
\$7 and under \$8.....	6			5			1			
\$8 and under \$9.....	37	32		5				143	10	99
\$9 and under \$10.....	68	31		11	20			460	14	214
\$10 and under \$11.....	94	20	3	32	3	25	11	424	12	77
\$11 and under \$12.....	43	4		16	7	13	3	134	4	54
\$12 and under \$13.....	145	1	13	22	11	52	46	108	8	22
\$13 and under \$14.....	64	6	1	16	1	20	20	61	2	10
\$14 and under \$15.....	60		2	8	10	8	32	73	3	7
\$15 and under \$16.....	37		1	4	2	10	20	66	1	3
\$16 and under \$17.....	328	16	62	58	61	19	112	330	36	36
\$17 and under \$18.....	33		1	8	4	2	18	19		2
\$18 and under \$19.....	19	4	2	2	1	2	3	19		1
\$19 and under \$20.....	6			1	1	1				
\$20 and under \$21.....	5					2		2		
\$21 and under \$22.....	1					1				
\$22 and under \$23.....	3			2		1				
\$23 and under \$24.....								1		
\$24 and under \$25.....	1							1		
\$25 and under \$30.....	5			2	1		2	1		
\$30 and under \$35.....										
\$35 and under \$40.....										
\$40 and over.....	1							1		

Weekly rate	Chain IV						Chain V					
	Number of women in places of—						Number of women in places of—					
	All classes	Under 10,000	10,000 and under 25,000	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	1,000,000 and over	All classes	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	500,000 and under 1,000,000	1,000,000 and over
Number of establishments.....	20	9	3	3	2	3	0	1	3	1	2	2
Number of women reported.....	506	107	53	86	37	223	329	26	99	23	157	24
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	21.1	10.5	17.0	7.3	44.1	100.0	7.9	30.1	7.0	47.7	7.3
Median of the rates.....	\$11.00	\$9.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$0.00	\$14.00	\$12.00	\$10.00	\$11.00	\$11.00	\$12.00	\$14.00
\$5 and under \$6.....							1	1				
\$6 and under \$7.....	1											
\$7 and under \$8.....	29	19		10			1			1		
\$8 and under \$9.....	34	26		8			1					
\$9 and under \$10.....	82	29	18	14	21		32	10	10			3
\$10 and under \$11.....	75	15	23	26	11		38	3	25	9		1
\$11 and under \$12.....	44	9	8	21	3	3	26	2	18	6		
\$12 and under \$13.....	15	7	3	3		2	140	0	11	4	121	4
\$13 and under \$14.....	92	1	1	3	1	80	40	1	7		30	2
\$14 and under \$15.....	55	1		1		53	17		6	1	2	9
\$15 and under \$16.....	29					29	13	1	6	1	1	4
\$16 and under \$17.....	10					10	3		2			1
\$17 and under \$18.....	12					12	2		2			
\$18 and under \$19.....	11					11	4	2	1		1	
\$19 and under \$20.....	5					5						
\$20 and under \$21.....	4					4						
\$21 and under \$22.....	1					1	2		1			
\$22 and under \$23.....	1					1						
\$23 and under \$24.....												
\$24 and under \$25.....							1		1			
\$25 and under \$30.....											1	
\$30 and under \$35.....												
\$35 and under \$40.....												
\$40 and over.....							1				1	

<sup>1</sup> In interpreting figures relating to Chain I and Chain II it must be remembered that, except in cities of in other States because of the legal provision for a minimum wage. See last two sections of table.

city and both including and excluding California—1928 figures <sup>1</sup>

Chain II—Continued						Chain III					
Number of women in places of—Contd.						Number of women in places of—					
25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	500,000 and under 1,000,000	1,000,000 and over	All classes	10,000 and under 25,000	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	500,000 and under 1,000,000	1,000,000 and over
6	13	3	2	3	31	4	2	1	16	7	1
196	612	199	86	143	2,068	44	31	16	899	898	200
10.4	32.5	10.6	4.6	7.6	100.0	2.1	1.5	0.8	43.1	43.0	9.6
\$9.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$16.00	\$15.00	\$14.50	\$10.50	\$10.00	\$13.00	\$13.00	\$15.00	\$18.00
10	8	50	103	10	4	3	1	55	3	28	
26	120	64	71	30	103	21	24	48	8	33	
62	261	5	348	61	255	9	2	206	133	18	
10	65	10	265	15	353	8	4	179	73	6	
6	52	7	348	30	255	1	2	171	90	3	
8	14	11	114	6	265	2	2	106	212	18	
10	3	4	101	3	348	2	2	56	136	33	
6	1	60	114	6	227	2	2	30	66	18	
54	81	4	55	3	114	2	2	13	62	24	
3	6	3	58	1	101	2	4	4	35	26	
1	1	1	28	1	55	1	15	22	21	21	
			36		58		2	14	12	12	
			16		28		2	14	19	19	
			12		36		2	6	6	6	
			30		16		2	7	3	3	
			10		12		2	10	14	14	
			2		30		2	6	4	4	
			1		10		1	6	2	2	

Chain I, exclusive of California							Chain II, exclusive of California						
Number of women in places of—							Number of women in places of—						
All classes	Under 10,000	10,000 and under 25,000	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	500,000 and over	All classes	Under 10,000	10,000 and under 25,000	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	1,000,000 and over
18	6	1	5	2	3	1	56	4	32	4	11	2	3
500	89	9	107	44	136	116	1,460	47	513	127	496	134	143
100.0	17.8	1.8	21.4	8.8	27.2	23.0	100.0	3.2	35.1	8.7	34.0	9.2	9.8
\$12.00	\$9.00	(?)	\$11.00	\$9.00	\$12.00	\$14.00	\$10.00	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$15.00
0	32	5	26	11	11	43	1	1	10	8	50	64	
37	31	11	32	25	11	143	4	10	20	26	120	50	
68	20	3	32	13	3	460	14	214	62	120	261	64	
94	4	10	17	7	22	424	12	77	10	6	65	5	
43	1	1	12	1	18	133	3	54	6	23	4	19	
97	1	1	2	2	20	48	1	18	2	14	6	36	
53	1	1	2	2	20	61	2	10	10	1	4	61	
28	1	1	2	2	14	48	6	3	1	1	1	16	
30	1	1	2	2	10	66	1	3	3	1	1	6	
19	1	1	2	2	7	19	1	1	1	1	1	3	
5	1	1	1	1	4	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	
4	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	
3	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	
2	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

<sup>1</sup> 1,000,000 and over, many of the women included were in California, a State in which rates were higher than  
<sup>2</sup> Not computed, owing to small number involved.

## WOMEN IN 5-AND-10-CENT STORES

TABLE XVI.—Weekly rate, by size of town or city—1928 figures

Weekly rate	All places	Number of women with rate as specified in places of—						
		Under 10,000	10,000 and under 25,000	25,000 and under 50,000	50,000 and under 100,000	100,000 and under 500,000	500,000 and under 1,000,000	1,000,000 and over
Number of establishments.....	179	27	52	22	26	27	16	9
Number of women reported.....	6,001	332	771	580	944	1,314	1,470	590
Per cent distribution.....	100.0	5.5	12.8	9.7	15.7	21.9	24.5	9.8
Median of the rates.....	\$13.00	\$9.50	\$9.50	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$13.00	\$15.00	\$15.00
\$5 and under \$6.....	1			1				
\$6 and under \$7.....	2		1		1			
\$7 and under \$8.....	98	23	44	28		2	1	
\$8 and under \$9.....	271	68	105	60	38			
\$9 and under \$10.....	681	76	238	112	190	50	12	3
\$10 and under \$11.....	767	50	125	98	301	156	36	1
\$11 and under \$12.....	325	17	72	50	97	73	13	8
\$12 and under \$13.....	796	22	47	44	83	279	315	6
\$13 and under \$14.....	525	11	14	30	32	207	124	107
\$14 and under \$15.....	476	4	11	18	21	192	132	98
\$15 and under \$16.....	497	2	5	6	11	118	233	122
\$16 and under \$17.....	936	55	103	112	146	143	312	65
\$17 and under \$18.....	180		3	11	6	36	88	30
\$18 and under \$19.....	156	4	3	5	11	18	77	38
\$19 and under \$20.....	66			1	1	5	38	21
\$20 and under \$21.....	72				4	17	25	26
\$21 and under \$22.....	30					3	14	13
\$22 and under \$23.....	40			2		4	14	20
\$23 and under \$24.....	17				1	3	6	7
\$24 and under \$25.....	13					2	8	3
\$25 and under \$30.....	37			2	1	6	13	15
\$30 and under \$35.....	10						6	4
\$35 and under \$40.....	2							2
\$40 and over.....	3						3	

## PUBLICATIONS OF THE WOMEN'S BUREAU

[Any of these bulletins still available will be sent free of charge upon request]

- No. 1. Proposed Employment of Women During the War in the Industries of Niagara Falls, N. Y. 16 pp. 1918.
- No. 2. Labor Laws for Women in Industry in Indiana. 29 pp. 1919.
- No. 3. Standards for the Employment of Women in Industry. 8 pp. Third ed., 1921.
- No. 4. Wages of Candy Makers in Philadelphia in 1919. 46 pp. 1919.
- \*No. 5. The Eight-Hour Day in Federal and State Legislation. 19 pp. 1919.
- No. 6. The Employment of Women in Hazardous Industries in the United States. 8 pp. 1921.
- No. 7. Night-Work Laws in the United States. (1919.) 4 pp. 1920.
- \*No. 8. Women in the Government Service. 37 pp. 1920.
- \*No. 9. Home Work in Bridgeport, Conn. 35 pp. 1920.
- \*No. 10. Hours and Conditions of Work for Women in Industry in Virginia. 32 pp. 1920.
- No. 11. Women Street Car Conductors and Ticket Agents. 90 pp. 1921.
- \*No. 12. The New Position of Women in American Industry. 158 pp. 1920.
- No. 13. Industrial Opportunities and Training for Women and Girls. 48 pp. 1921.
- \*No. 14. A Physiological Basis for the Shorter Working Day for Women. 20 pp. 1921.
- No. 15. Some Effects of Legislation Limiting Hours of Work for Women. 26 pp. 1921.
- No. 16. (See Bulletin 63.)
- No. 17. Women's Wages in Kansas. 104 pp. 1921.
- No. 18. Health Problems of Women in Industry. 11 pp. 1921.
- No. 19. Iowa Women in Industry. 73 pp. 1922.
- \*No. 20. Negro Women in Industry. 65 pp. 1922.
- No. 21. Women in Rhode Island Industries. 73 pp. 1922.
- \*No. 22. Women in Georgia Industries. 89 pp. 1922.
- No. 23. The Family Status of Breadwinning Women. 43 pp. 1922.
- No. 24. Women in Maryland Industries. 96 pp. 1922.
- No. 25. Women in the Candy Industry in Chicago and St. Louis. 72 pp. 1923.
- No. 26. Women in Arkansas Industries. 86 pp. 1923.
- No. 27. The Occupational Progress of Women. 37 pp. 1922.
- No. 28. Women's Contributions in the Field of Invention. 51 pp. 1923.
- No. 29. Women in Kentucky Industries. 114 pp. 1923.
- No. 30. The Share of Wage-Earning Women in Family Support. 170 pp. 1923.
- No. 31. What Industry Means to Women Workers. 10 pp. 1923.
- No. 32. Women in South Carolina Industries. 128 pp. 1923.
- No. 33. Proceedings of the Women's Industrial Conference. 190 pp. 1923.
- No. 34. Women in Alabama Industries. 86 pp. 1924.
- No. 35. Women in Missouri Industries. 127 pp. 1924.
- No. 36. Radio Talks on Women in Industry. 34 pp. 1924.
- No. 37. Women in New Jersey Industries. 99 pp. 1924.
- No. 38. Married Women in Industry. 8 pp. 1924.
- No. 39. Domestic Workers and Their Employment Relations. 87 pp. 1924.
- No. 40. (See Bulletin 63.)
- No. 41. Family Status of Breadwinning Women in Four Selected Cities. 145 pp. 1925.
- No. 42. List of References on Minimum Wage for Women in the United States and Canada. 42 pp. 1925.
- No. 43. Standard and Scheduled Hours of Work for Women in Industry. 68 pp. 1925.
- No. 44. Women in Ohio Industries. 137 pp. 1925.
- No. 45. Home Environment and Employment Opportunities of Women in Coal-Mine Workers' Families. 61 pp. 1925.
- No. 46. Facts about Working Women—A Graphic Presentation Based on Census Statistics. 64 pp. 1925.
- No. 47. Women in the Fruit-Growing and Canning Industries in the State of Washington. 223 pp. 1926.

- \*No. 48. Women in Oklahoma Industries. 118 pp. 1926.
  - No. 49. Women Workers and Family Support. 10 pp. 1925.
  - No. 50. Effects of Applied Research upon the Employment Opportunities of American Women. 54 pp. 1926.
  - \*No. 51. Women in Illinois Industries. 108 pp. 1926.
  - No. 52. Lost Time and Labor Turnover in Cotton Mills. 203 pp. 1926.
  - No. 53. The Status of Women in the Government Service in 1925. 103 pp. 1926.
  - No. 54. Changing Jobs. 12 pp. 1926.
  - No. 55. Women in Mississippi Industries. 89 pp. 1926.
  - No. 56. Women in Tennessee Industries. 120 pp. 1927.
  - No. 57. Women Workers and Industrial Poisons. 5 pp. 1926.
  - No. 58. Women in Delaware Industries. 156 pp. 1927.
  - No. 59. Short Talks About Working Women. 24 pp. 1927.
  - No. 60. Industrial Accidents to Women in New Jersey, Ohio, and Wisconsin. 316 pp. 1927.
  - No. 61. The Development of Minimum-Wage Laws in the United States, 1912 to 1927. 635 pp. 1928. Price, 90 cents.
  - No. 62. Women's Employment in Vegetable Canning in Delaware. 47 pp. 1927.
  - No. 63. State Laws Affecting Working Women. 51 pp. 1927. (Revision of Bulletins 16 and 40.)
  - No. 64. The Employment of Women at Night. 86 pp. 1928.
  - \*No. 65. The Effects of Labor Legislation on the Employment Opportunities of Women. 498 pp. 1928.
  - No. 66. History of Labor Legislation for Women in Three States; Chronological Development of Labor Legislation for Women in the United States. 288 pp. 1929.
  - No. 67. Women Workers in Flint, Mich. 80 pp. 1928.
  - No. 68. Summary: The Effects of Labor Legislation on the Employment Opportunities of Women. (Reprint of Chapter II of Bulletin 65.) 22 pp. 1928.
  - No. 69. Causes of Absence for Men and for Women in Four Cotton Mills. 24 pp. 1929.
  - No. 70. Negro Women in Industry in 15 States. 74 pp. 1929.
  - No. 71. Selected References on the Health of Women in Industry. 8 pp. 1929.
  - No. 72. Conditions of Work in Spin Rooms. 41 pp. 1929.
  - No. 73. Variations in Employment Trends of Women and Men. (In press.)
  - No. 74. The Immigrant Woman and Her Job. 175 pp. 1929.
  - No. 75. What the Wage-Earning Woman Contributes to Family Support. 20 pp. 1929.
  - No. 76. Women in 5-and-10-Cent Stores and Limited-Price Chain Department Stores. 59 pp. 1929.
  - No. 77. A Study of Two Groups of Denver Married Women Applying for Jobs. 10 pp. 1929.
- Annual reports of the Director, 1919\*, 1920\*, 1921\*, 1922, 1923, 1924\*, 1925, 1926, 1927\*, 1928, 1929.

---

\*Supply exhausted.