NATIONAL ROSTER OF SCIENTIFIC AND SPECIALIZED PERSONNEL

JUNE 1942
NATIONAL RESOURCES PLANNING BOARD

NATIONAL ROSTER

SCIENTIFIC AND SPECIALIZED PERSONNEL

JUNE 1942

REPORT OF THE

NATIONAL ROSTER OF SCIENTIFIC AND SPECIALIZED PERSONNEL

NATIONAL RESOURCES PLANNING BOARD

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE - - - - - - - - WASHINGTON, D. C.
For Sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C. - - - - - - - - - - Price 10 cents

NATIONAL RESOURCES PLANNING BOARD

Frederic A. Delano, Chairman

CHARLES E. MERRIAM

GEORGE F. YANTIS

ADVISORS

HENRY S. DENNISON

BEARDSLEY RUML

DIRECTOR

CHARLES W. ELIOT

ASSISTANT DIRECTORS

THOMAS C. BLAISDELL, Jr.

FRANK W. HERRING

RALPH J. WATKINS

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

HAROLD MERRILL

THE NATIONAL ROSTER OF SCIENTIFIC AND SPECIALIZED PERSONNEL

LEONARD CARMICHAEL
Director

- James C. O'Brien
Executive Officer

NATIONAL ROSTER OF SCIENTIFIC AND SPECIALIZED PERSONNEL

Contents

Foreword	Page IV
I. Organization	1
Basic Need for the National Roster	1
Historical Antecedents	
Establishment of the Roster	2
II. Operation	1 2 5 3
Objective	5
Method of Assemblying Information	5
The Alpha-Numeric System	5
Processing of Roster Questionnaires	15
Preparation and Use of Specific Codes	17
The Punch Card System	20
III. Use of Data	23
Certification Procedures	23
Placements from Roster Lists	25
The National Roster and the Civil Service Law	25
The Roster and Private Industry	26
. The Roster and Specialized Services	26
IV. Committee on Wartime Requirements for Specialized Personnel	28
Establishment of the Committee	28
Report of the Committee	28
Effective Utilization of Professional Manpower in the War	29
Summary of Findings	30
Results of the Committee's Recommendations	31
V. Implications of the Roster for National Personnel Planning in Connection	-
with Education and Vocational Guidance and Post War Planning	32
VI. Conclusions and Recommendations	34
Appendices	36
Appendix A	36
Appendix B	40
Appendix C	41
Appendix D	47

FOREWORD

The organization of the National Roster of Scientific and Specialized Personnel was a significant step in the development of wartime personnel policy in the U.S.A. Of all our scarce resources special training is the most important. Specialists can overcome almost any other known scarcity. They are the persons who maximize resources—raw materials, machines, and facilities, the energies of workers, the organization of power and transportation facilities, the tools of mathematics, the physical and social sciences, and the arts of management and administration.

The recognition of the need for a central clearinghouse not only for the names of specialists but also for the development of policies concerning the use of specialists took place in the summer of 1940. The National Resources Planning Board assumed an organizing responsibility and has retained an advisory role as the work of the Roster has grown and developed. However, the real success of the Roster has come from the recognition given to it by the many agencies of the Federal Government which use its lists and the wisdom of its operating personnel.

The service rendered by the Roster is hard to measure. But it is known that by November 28, 1942, 1,590 requests for specialized personnel had been received from Government agencies, war industry, and agriculture, and 136,593 names had been certified in response to these requests. There is no way of knowing how much valuable work has continued uninterrupted as a result of the way in which the Roster has functioned.

The work of the Committee on Wartime Requirements for Specialized Personnel of which Mr. Owen D. Young was Chairman during the winter of 1941-1942 further emphasized the problems which had to be faced if specialized personnel was to be adequately used under the heavy demands of wartime.

The inclusion of the Roster in the administration of the War Manpower Commission recognized the need for integrating the policies of the Roster with the general manpower policies of the Government. It was further recognized that the policies affecting this group should receive special consideration, as do other special groups, such as hard rock miners and certain agricultural employees.

The description of the Roster's work given here was prepared by Dr. Leonard Carmichael, James C. O'Brien, and the staff of the Roster, as a record of the organization and work of the Roster from its inception through June 1942. It is intended primarily for those who are concerned with the administration of this type of technical problem and those who are interested in the use of the wealth of information contained in the Roster's files for planning the use of specialized personnel.

The collaboration and continuing interest of the cooperating councils and many professional and scientific associations and societies has made the Roster a great cooperative enterprise. For this reason among others it has been an effective Government agency.

THOMAS C. BLAISDELL, Jr.,

Assistant Director.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A¹

Orientation

On July 28, 1941, final plans began to be formulated whereby the National Roster of Scientific and Specialized Personnel might make specific evaluations of the men of military age registered with the National Roster. This project was to be concerned with their most effective utilization in national war activities, either as civilians or in some branch of the service. Data were to be supplied both to the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System and to the War Department. Preliminary plans had already been worked out by Dr. Leonard Carmichael and Mr. James C. O'Brien, and at an earlier date they had conferred with Mr. Frederic A. Delano and Mr. Thomas C. Blaisdell, Jr., of the National Resources Planning Board; with Gen. Lewis B. Hershey and Lt. Col. Carlton S. Dargusch of the Selective Service System; and with Lt. Col. (then Maj.) C. B. Ferenbaugh of the War Department.

Background data

The first step in setting up the project was to secure information from various sources as to actual needs, or possible needs, for technically trained men in the near future. Conferences were held with representatives of the Defense Unit of the Bureau of Labor Statistics; the Office of the Coordinator and Director of Training of the United States Civil Service Commission; the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System; both the Personnel Procedures Section of the Adjutant General's Office and the Personnel Division of the General Staff of the War Department: and the Shore Establishment Division of the Secretary's Office of the Navy Department. In addition, data were secured from the certification files of the National Roster concerning calls for technically trained individuals and also from the report of Mr. William E. Workmaster dated February 27, 1941.

As a result, it became apparent that there were certain fields represented in the National Roster where the demand for technically trained persons was not very great, but that in other fields individuals with technical skills were very much needed, so much so in fact that in certain areas there was either an actual or an anticipated shortage of experts. As an instance of the fields in which the demand for individuals in war work is not very great, botany and anatomy are con-

venient examples. This is not to say that botanists and anatomists are not important individuals in the field of science; it simply means that there are not many demands for individuals in botany or anatomy, and almost no indications of possible shortages of well-trained individuals in these fields. On the other hand, real needs exist for individuals in such fields as the following:

Mathematics.

Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering.

Aeronautical Engineering.

Chemical Engineering.

Civil Engineering.

Electrical Engineering.

Mechanical Engineering.

Mining and Metallurgical Engineering and Min-

eral Technology.

Accounting. Bacteriology.

Economics.

Psychology.

Statistics.

Physics and Astronomy.

Radio Engineering.

Personnel Administration.

The order of the above list does not indicate order of importance. In fact, one of the most important problems of the work has been that of "priorities," that is, the question of which of the above fields should be dealt with first.

In order for the activities to function smoothly, only one field of specialization could be circularized at a time. However, as will be apparent in a later portion of this report, it is possible to move quickly from one field of specialization to another by circularizing another field just as soon as one is finished, and in the meantime to begin the sorting and evaluating procedures on the previous fields. The plan, then, was to handle the cases in the fields listed above first of all, and then eventually to deal with every field of specialization coded in the National Roster.

Forms and Questionnaires

The next step involved the formulation of appropriate form letters and questionnaires. These were worked out, edited, and rewritten many times; and they were checked and approved by the officials of the National Roster of Scientific and Specialized Per-

¹ Prepared by Dr. STUART HENDERSON BRITT, special consultant of the Roster.

sonnel, and with representatives of the following agencies:

National Headquarters of the Selective Service System.

Personnel Procedures Section of the War Department.

Personnel Division of the General Staff of the War Department.

Secretary's Office of the Navy Department.

Division of Statistical Standards, Bureau of the Budget.

Copies of each form and questionnaire 2 may be listed as follows:

- (1) Form Letter 1, to be sent to every man registered with the National Roster who was born on or after July 1, 1913, and on or before July 1, 1920.
- (2) Green questionnaire to be sent with Form Letter 1, in order to secure the following information: The man's status with respect to Selective Service; a list of those individuals who have the most complete knowledge of his technical skills; the name and address of his employer, if any; and a statement of the exact nature of his present duties, especially insofar as they may relate to national defense.
- (3) A mimeographed form, sent with Form Letter 1 (1) and the green questionnaire (2). This mimeographed form is sent in order to prevent registrants from sending us information more than once.
- (4) Form Letter 2, to be sent to every man who returns the green questionnaire, except the following:

Reserve officers.

Any individuals now in active service with any branch of the Army or Navy.

Men classified as I-C (member of land or naval forces of the United States).

Men classified as IV-A (man who has completed service).

This form letter instructs the man to notify the National Roster if he is about to be inducted into the Army, and tells him briefly of the plan whereby information concerning his technical skills may be sent to the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System, or to the War Department, or to both.

- (5) Confidential letter of inquiry to the reference. In those instances in which an evaluation is to be made, this letter is sent to every individual listed on the green questionnaire as one who has knowledge of the man's technical skills.
- (6) Salmon-colored questionnaire, asking for confidential statements from the reference concerning the man. This questionnaire accompanies the Form Letter (5) to the reference.
- (7) Confidential letter of inquiry to the employer. In those instances in which an evaluation is to be made, this letter is sent to the employer, if any, listed by the man on his green questionnaire.

- (8) A yellow form for confidential statements of the employer. This accompanies the form letter to the employer (7).
- (9) A form for recommendation of an individual to the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System as one "who possesses certain special qualifications by reason of which he may now or later become a necessary man in civilian occupation." Instead of using the name of the National Roster, this form is set up on the letterhead of the Selective Service System, with the name of Lewis B. Hershey, Director, appearing at the bottom.
- (10) A statement to the War Department concerning any man whose probable date of induction into the Army is known. Information is sent to the Personnel Procedures Section of the War Department on this form, indicating the man's educational training, occupational experience, if any, plus other pertinent data concerning his technical skills and qualifications.

To clarify the statements made under (5) and (7) above—"In those instances in which an evaluation is made"—we are concerned primarily with investigations and evaluations of men in the following classifications:

Not yet classified by their Selective Service Local Board. Class I-A (available; fit for general military service). Class I-B (available; fit for only limited military service). Class II-A (deferred for limited time; necessary man). Class IV-E (conscientious objector available only for civilian work of national importance).

As indicated above (4), the questionnaires of men in Class I-C (member of land or naval forces of the United States) and Class IV-A (man who has completed service) are simply filed with the Roster questionnaire, and no further action is taken. For men in all other classes, Form Letter 2 is sent (4), but no evaluations are made.

Operating Procedures

The actual machinery for operations may be briefly described as follows:

After due consideration of possible occupational needs and after consultation with other representatives of the National Roster, an order is sent the machine room for the complete tabulation of all men within the field chosen who were born on or after July 1, 1913, and on or before July 1, 1920. The tabulations of the machine room show name, address, what check lists have been filled out, and the principal fields of specialization within the scope of the check lists. The following are then sent in one envelope to every individual listed on the machine tabulation: Form Letter 1 (1), the green questionnaire (2), the mimeographed sheet (3), and a return envelope. On each green questionnaire is stamped the field number and the log number of the man; and the return envelope is stamped with

²Copies of these forms and questionnaires may be inspected at the offices of the Roster or the National Resources Planning Board where they are on file.

his field number preceded by a "7" (used as a symbol on all mail to indicate that it should be routed to this office). The envelopes are counted before being mailed so that there is a record of the number of men circularized in each field.

As soon as the green questionnaires are returned by the individuals, they are sorted according to field of specialization. They are then sorted into three groups within the field of specialization:

- 1. Those cases where no action is necessary because the man is connected with some branch of the service. (Compare (4) above.)
- 2. Those cases where an evaluation is necessary because the man is in Class II-B, III-A, or IV (with the exception of IV-E).
- 3. Those cases where an evaluation is necessary because the man is not yet classified, or is in I-A, I-B, II-A, or IV-E.

In the first instance—men now connected with some branch of the service—the green form is sent to our files to be combined with the Roster questionnaire, and no further action is taken. In both the second and third groups every individual receives Form Letter 2. In addition, for every individual in the third group, the form letters and questionnaires are sent to his references, and also the form letter and questionnaire to his employer, if any.

Each step in this process is marked in the appropriate space at the top of the green questionnaire with an accompanying date. In all instances where the man falls in the second group, the green questionnaire is returned to the Roster files as soon as Form Letter 2 has been sent. However, in the case of the men in the third group the green questionnaire is sent to the file room with instructions to combine the green questionnaire with the Roster questionnaire and to return both to the Roster office for further evaluation.

As the salmon-colored forms from the references and the yellow forms from the employer come in, they are also combined with the Roster questionnaire and the green questionnaire, ready for evaluation. In every instance where a man indicates, either on his green questionnaire or by telegram or letter, that he is about to be inducted into the Army in the very near future; that is, within the very next few weeks—a special evaluation is immediately begun of his case. In certain instances information is sent to the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System on Form 9; and in all cases where a man is likely to be inducted soon, appropriate information concerning him is sent to the Personnel Procedures Section of the Adjutant General's

Office of the War Department on Form 10. A weekly check is made of all cases ready for evaluation so that, in those instances where the probable time of a man's induction is approaching, a special evaluation may be made of his case. The rest of the questionnaires and other information concerning the men in each field are placed to one side to await evaluation of experts in that particular field.

Evaluations

For each field of specialization represented in the Roster, a letter is sent to the President and to the Secretary of the national society (or societies) in that field several weeks before our green questionnaires go out to men of military age in that field. Copies of the type of letter to these societies are attached herewith as exhibits (11) and (12). In these letters the President and Secretary of the particular society are informed of the general plan; and are asked to name experts in their field who are within easy traveling distance of Washington, D. C., are capable of judging the competence of other men, are over 28 years of age, and would probably be willing to meet in Washington with other experts in their field to evaluate the papers of the men of military age. After nominations have been received, a selection is made of at least three individuals, and they are asked if they would be willing to meet together in Washington, D. C., as a consultative committee (exhibit 13). No compensation is given, but travel and subsistence expenses are paid. Just as soon as the number of questionnaires in a particular field has decreased to a point where only a few are coming in a day, the three members of the evaluating committee are invited to meet together in our offices at the National Roster. Here the entire project is explained to them in all its details, both as to the aspects which concern Selective Service and those which relate to the War Department; and available data as to needs or shortages in their fields are

The committee then begins its evaluation. Available to them are the following for each man: His Roster questionnaire, one or more check lists, his green questionnaire, and as many questionnaires of references and employer (if any) as have been returned. The evaluators are asked to divide the cases into two groups:

- 1. Those in which the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System should be notified that this man "possesses certain special qualifications by reason of which he may now or later become a necessary man in civilian occupation."
- 2. Those in which no such recommendation should be sent to Selective Service.

Following these judgments of the evaluators, Form 9 is sent to the National Headquarters of the Selective

There are also special cases of undeliverable envelopes, and of men erroneously listed as in the age limits stated above.

Service System for all men in the first group. In both groups, Form 10 is filled out and sent to the Adjutant General's Office of the War Department in any instance where a man's probable date of induction is indicated. Information is not sent to the War Department in those cases where probable induction date is unknown. but registrants have been instructed in Form Letter 2 to notify the National Roster of Scientific and Specialized Personnel if they are about to be inducted, and at that time we can write the War Department concerning their technical training and skills.

As soon as recommendations have been sent to the War Department and to the Selective Service System, the Roster questionnaire and all the other data are combined and returned to the permanent files of the Roster.

Illustrative of the above procedures, approximately 810 green questionnaires were sent out to male mathematicians of military age late in September. At the meeting of the 3 expert mathematicians in the middle of October, sets of papers on 324 men were presented to them for evaluation. Of these, 168 were selected by them as papers of individuals who should have information sent to Selective Service concerning their special qualifications, and the remaining 156 as papers of men about whom there should not be any recommendation to Selective Service.

Information for Selective Service and for the War Department

Concerning the Roster's recommendations to Selective Service, six copies are made in each case of Form 9. Three of these copies are retained in the Roster files: One kept in the "Appropriate Action Taken File" of this office, one filed with all other Selective Service forms of the same sort, and one returned to the permanent files of the Roster with the questionnaire. For the three copies which go to the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System, one copy is retained in their files, and two are sent to the appropriate State Director of Selective Service, who in turn retains one copy in his files and sends the other along to the appropriate Local Board. However, the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System has reserved the right to withhold action on any case deemed advisable, although their office is supposed to notify the Roster in such instances.

In connection with the data sent to the Adjutant General's Office of the War Department concerning the technical training of a man who probably will be inducted, the Adjutant General's Office notifies Roster officials very promptly in each individual case as to exactly what action, if any, has been taken. Most frequently this means the Roster is sent a carbon copy of the letter from the Adjutant General's Office to the

Commanding Officer of the Corps Area in which the man is likely to be inducted. In other instances, special letters are received from the Adjutant General's Office concerning the disposal of the case, indicating whether the man is believed to have sufficient technical competence to be of special value to the Army in his field of specialization.

Obviously there is no guarantee that can be given in advance that the information supplied by the National Roster either to Selective Service or to the War Department will result in any special privilege. As for Selective Service, each Local Board has the right to deal with its own cases. As for the Army, the special technical skills of any inductee may be needed by the Army at the time of his induction, or there may be personality factors or other elements which result in his assignment to duties other than those relating to his field of specialization. However, the data supplied by the National Roster have, in general, been of direct assistance to both of the above agencies with respect to classification problems; and we have every reason to believe that the information supplied by the Roster is of very practical use to them.

Individual Cases

In every instance in which a man registered with the National Roster communicates with the Roster. either by letter or by telegram, concerning his possible induction into the Army, he is advised that an evaluation is being made. It is also indicated that, regardless of his eventual classification by his Selective Service Local Board, appropriate data concerning his technical training or qualifications has been or will be sent to the War Department. In general, it has seemed advisable not to tell him directly that the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System either will or will not be advised concerning his case, although there are some exceptions to this general policy. Also, a great deal of correspondence is involved with references, or other interested persons, such as employers and representatives of various scientific societies.

This office is also handling a large number of letters daily from individuals not yet registered with the National Roster but who are of military age and, apparently, because they have heard of our project with relation to Selective Service, now wish to receive a copy of the green questionnaire. In all such cases, the individual is properly registered with the National Roster at the same time that information is secured concerning his status under Selective Service. In addition, in every instance in which a technically trained man of military age informs the Roster that he is about to be inducted into the Army, all appropriate papers are immediately sent him and the process of

evaluation begun just as soon as possible. Even though the field of specialization has not as yet been reached in mailing, it seems essential that each individual case of this sort should receive attention. As the project becomes more widely publicized, the number of such individual cases is increasing. Together with the cases in fields already reached where the date for induction is very soon, this means that a rather large number of special cases must be handled quickly. So far as possible, this is done with the aid of expert evaluators in the field represented; but where the time is so short that this is not feasible, the evaluation is made by members of the executive staff of the National Roster.

This matter is in part related to the information now presented to registrants at Selective Service Local Boards throughout the country. As a direct result of an information bulletin prepared in this office of the Roster, a Memorandum was sent out by the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System to all State Directors on September 29, 1941, in which the purpose and functions of the Roster are described and the suggestion is made that scientifically and technically trained men register with the Roster. This Memorandum instructs all Local Boards to post it on their bulletin boards (exhibit 14). A supplementary Memorandum (exhibit 15) was sent out by the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System on October 17, 1941; this Memorandum refers to the earlier one, and deals specifically with the form sent out on individual cases (exhibit 9).

Men in the Service

In addition to the phases of work dealing with potential inductees, certain aspects of the project relate directly to men already connected with some branch of the service. In this connection, there are three types of problems which are dealt with directly.

First of all, in every instance in which a man who is registered with the National Roster writes with respect to his present assignment to duties, appropriate information concerning him is sent to the Adjutant General's Office in the case of the War Department,

and to the Shore Establishment Division of the Secretary's Office in the case of the Navy Department. The man is also advised by the Roster that information concerning him is being sent to the War or Navy Department, as the case may be; and that department later notifies the Roster what action, if any, has been taken in the case. In certain instances this office then writes to the man again, passing on to him information supplied by the War Department or Navy Department.

In many instances, however, on the basis of available information, it seems expedient not to write the War Department or Navy Department concerning the man; and in such cases he is advised to take up his problem himself through appropriate military channels. In no instance is the National Roster concerned with classification problems in either the Army or Navy unless the man himself writes for advice or assistance. This is mentioned specifically because there have been cases where parents, rather than the men themselves, have written to the National Roster asking for assistance in securing a change of duties of their sons.

In the second place, although the general plan has been to take no action in the case of reserve officers, there have been one or two instances where an employer of a reserve officer has asked the Roster's advice because of the apparent need for the reserve officer as a civilian in work relating to national defense. Since this office is directly concerned with the most effective utilization of men in the national defense program, these cases have been taken up directly with various divisions of the War Department and the Navy Department.

In the third place, contacts are now being made with the Reemployment Division of the National Headquarters of the Selective Service System. Here the attempt will be made to see that men released from the Army will be informed of the purpose and functions of the National Roster of Scientific and Specialized Personnel. It is hoped that the scientifically and technically trained men who are not already registered with the National Roster will thus be informed of its existence, and will be encouraged to register with the Roster in their fields of specialization.

APPENDIX B

The Office of Scientific Personnel has made extensive use of the facilities of the National Roster of Scientific and Specialized Personnel, and has depended in large measure on its files to secure information concerning the men best qualified to give important scientific aid to the governmental agencies cooperating in the war program. Working in closs cooperation with the Roster, the Office of Scientific Personnel has selected and secured over 600 men for commissions in the Army

and Navy, in addition to locating men for work in the National Defense Research Committee laboratories and other defense agencies employing scientists.

Dr. Henry A. Barton and Dr. J. C. Morris, chairman and director respectively of the Office of Scientific Personnel, have been appointed to serve as consultants to the National Roster. In this capacity they have been of particular assistance in the fields of physics and radio engineering, in which there are acute shortages.

The Office of Scientific Personnel has cooperated with the Roster in securing lists of names to be included in the fields of physics and astronomy. It is at present 'handling the recircularization of men trained in these fields for the Roster, and is checking the list of those presently enrolled with the Roster in an effort to make it as accurate, complete, and up to date as possible.

The Roster has called on the Office of Scientific Personnel for suggestions in connection with the working out of suitable procedures by which to inform the local Selective Service Boards of the importance of the civilian duties of a number of men registered in the Roster, and to inform the Army of special qualifications of men chosen for Selective Service. In this connection the Office of Scientific Personnel is assisting Dr. Britt of the National Roster in making recommendations con-

cerning all physicists and radio engineers subject to call for Selective Service.

Where the need for men has been particularly acute, the Office of Scientific Personnel has augmented the information contained in the standard questionnaire by obtaining confidential evaluations of the ability and personal characteristics of the men registered in such fields. This has been done with respect to acoustics, electronics, radio engineering, and optical instruments, and will be extended to other fields as the need arises.

The Office of Scientific Personnel is presently conducting for the Roster a circularization of the physics departments of the American universities and colleges to determine the availability of all physicists expected to secure degrees during 1942.

APPENDIX C

STATISTICAL SURVEY OF THE LEARNED WORLD

Number of Persons Engaged in Scientific and Professional Occupations Arranged by Countries
WESTERN HEMISPHERE

TABLE 1 .- Fields 1 to 14

	1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8 -	9	10	22	12	18	14
Field No.	Account- ing_	Agricul- tural sci- ences, n. e. c.		Animal sciences except veteri- nary science	Anthro- pology	Archi- tecture	Astron- omy	Bacteri- ology (includes path- ology)	Botany	Chemis- try	Dentis- try	Econom- ics	Ento mology	Porestry
North America: United States British Honduras			I			122,000	1630	¥2,300	\$1,300	\$60,000 7	477, 213 4	14, 436	12, 300	*4, 800
Canada	*********	1,300 67				1, 298 11	900			3,318	177 4,037 55			810
Cuba Dominican Republic Guatemala Hatti	38	5	3		0	443 12 150		1	4	13	1, 050 148 85	9		i
Honduras Mexico Nicaragua	972	46		25	74	3			1		96 900 45	5		
Panama Puerto Rico Salvador	992	15 6		3	4	- 83 61 2				12 375	. 143 120			
Bouth America: Argentina Bolivia		209			20 2	13		1, 100		505 4	4, 100 50			
Brazil Chile Colombia	3,000	2, 190 10 79		2	14 5 .9	50 500 183			10	100 75 40	10,000 800 650 300	15	_	5
Ecuador Paraguay Peru		30	 		1 27					18	65 450 725			
Uruguay Venezuola		21		72 8	4						135	20		7

Footnotes: See "Sources of Data," below.

WESTERN HEMISPHERE—Continued

Table 2.—Fields 15 to 28

,	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	- 27	. 28
Field No.	Aero- nautical engi- neering	Auto- motive engi- neering	Chemi- cal engi- neering	Civil engi- neering	Electri- cal engi- neering	Heating, ventilat- ing, and reisiger- ating engi- neering	Mechan- ical engi- neering	Mining and metal- lurgical engi- neering	Marine and naval archi- tectural engi- neering	Radio engi- neering	Safety engi- neering	Testing materials	Traffic and transit	Other, or all branches of engi- neering
North America: United States British Honduras	74,000	² 6, 500	23, 400	102,000	1 57, 800 5	*3, 300	¹ 54, 300 6	³ 15, 000	3 1, 500	2, 570	³ 1, 114	\$2,000	*316	
Canada Cuba	*	l		7, 524	3, 937		2,859	2, 478	1,000		100			375
Dominican Republic				75 89 55	17 2		8 21 2	18						17 210 280
PanamaPuerto Rico				576	56		313							283
Argentina Bolivia				1, 542										84 33
Chile Peru			80	- 100 185	150 25		300· 25	400 35	2	- '	********			83

Footnotes: See "Sources of Data," below.

TABLE 3.—Fields 29 to 42

	29	30	31	82	83 -	84	35	88	87	28	- 39	40 、	41.	42
Field No.	Genetics	Geology and geo- physics	Geogra- phy	History and po- litical science	Horti- culture (includ- ingagron- omy and plant path- ology)	Jour- nalism	Lew	Land'scape archi- tecture	Library science	Mathe- matics	Medi- cine	Medi- cal special- ties	Meteor- ology	Nursing
North America:												,		
United States	*1,020	34,770	₹755				1 160, 600 ¹		129,600		175, 300	*19,400	_,	.,
British Honduras						l	448	l		l	22 372			
Canada					417	3, 343			374		10,021			
Costa Rica											170 3, 100	450		
Dominican Republic		4	18	12	ì 29	96	523		19	15	418	100		
Gnatemala											500			
Haiti Honduras						22	250				225 190			
Mexico.			l	*	L		902				10,000			77
Nicaragua			İ		. 4						240			
Panama.		4			58		275				, 175			
Puerto Rico		*******			31	101					583			
Salvador											_ 200			
South America:			<u> </u>		248	136	5, 584	<u> </u>	1, 265		11,900	1 160		ŀ
Boliva					4		176				180			
Brazil				l		<u>-</u>		1	L		25,000	220	-	
Chile		20	8		75	75	2,500		15	8	2,061			;
ColombiaEcuador														
Paraguay						<u> </u>		I			600 150			
Peru					101] 850		l		1.200			
Uruguay	4	1			120]					1 500	80		
Venezuela	l	l	l	l	28	l		1	1		1,200	. ~		

Footnotes: See "Sources of Data," below.

WESTERN HEMISPHERE—Continued

TABLE 4.—Fields 43 to 54

•	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Field No.	Nutri- tion	Personnel adminis- tration	Phar- macy	Physics	Physi- ology	Psychol- ogy	Social Welfare	Social science n. e. c.	Statis- tics	Veteri- nary science	Zoology (biology, if aster- iaked)	Miscel- laneous
North America: United States British West Indies.	400		4 106, 000	² 6, 800	1650	*3, 400	131,000		44,800	112,000	*2, 300	
Canada Costa Rica		_	4,000 212	982	209		1, 177		87	1,016		
Cuba Dominican Republic		_	2, 500 218	<u>i</u>	6		1	4	22			
Guatemala Haiti		.	400 96						12	ă		
Honduras Mexico		-	10,000				4		8 23	8		*******
Nicaragua.			150 154						13			
Puerto Rico			250 150						5	26		*******
Bouth America: Argentina			10,000		300				3.6	871		
Bolivia		_	6, 150						14 45	*******		
Colombia		10	1,850 1,000	4	8	8	40	5	25 42	40	10	
Ecuador			500 100						18 9	12	*******	
Poru		_	800 1,000						18	7	909	
Venezuela		_	1,000			*******	, ,		15	2	7	

Footnotes: See "Sources of Data," below.

EASTERN HEMISPHERE

TABLE 5.—Fields 1 to 14

•	,1	.2	. 8	• 4	5-	- 6	7	8	9	10	n	12	18	24
Field No.	Accounting	Agricul- tural sci- ences, n. e. c.	Anat- omy	Animal sciences except veteri- nary science	Anthro- pology	Archi- tecture	Astron- omy	Bacteri- ology (includes path- ology)	Botany	Chemis- try	Dentis- try	Econom- ics	Ento- mology	Forestry
Europe: Belgium Bulgaria Denmark Eire					14 51 41	1,000 500 1,200 560	500		72 318	200 145	15	676	227 52 125	
France. Germany	13, 944	10,050	319		157 320 720 5 70	8, 000 36, 000 16, 637 725. 6, 000	1,000		900	950 14,000 15,000 568 300	12, 120 14, 722	5, 000 432	400 700	5,000 1,350
LatviaNorwayRomaniaSoviet RussiaSweden		21, 700			53 17 112	97 110 344 5,000 525			78	305 550	320 1,061 131	382	250	2, 148 5, 600
Switzerland					51 35 4	1,500 181 90 8				830	1, 188 353 42			
China Duich East Indies. Indie Japan /Thailand					35 16 400	220	910			4, 332	133 4, 022 17, 984			
Australia Egypt Union of South Africa	4, 211				24 9 82	1, 855 207 730				1,987	3, 139			

EASTERN HEMISPHERE-Continued

TABLE 6.-Fields 15 to 28

					19	20	21	22	. 23	24	25		27	
Field No.	Aero- nautical engi- neering	Auto- motive engi- neering	Chemi- cal engi- neering	Civil engi- nearing	Electri- cal engi- neering	Heating, centilating, and efrigerating engineering	Mechan- ical engi- neering	Mining and metal- lurgical engi- neering	Marine and naval archi- tectural engi- neering	Radio engi- neering	Safety engi- neering	Testing materials	Traffic and transit	Other, or all branches of engi- neering
rope:									•					
Bulcaria		*******		580										220
Fire		00J .	5, 796	5, 272	30, 723	1,500						-,		
Gr.at Britain			878	11,548	17, 058	1,000	12, 295	3, 050						
Hungary												[2,000
Italy					820									
Norway														270 3, 150
Romania														106
Soviet Russia														35,000
Switzerland														1,000
Turkey	.							l	l	l	l	I		95
Yugoslavia	.		1	432	}]	154				}			1,500
ia, Africa, etc.:	1	18	l .			l	47	l	_ ا					
British Malaya				43				22	•		<i>-</i>			119
CeylonIndia						1								19 12,500
Japan			7, 628											21, 390
Thailand														21,090
Australia				1,882										740

TABLE 7.-Fields 29 to 42

• ′	29	30	81	32	83	34	85	36	87	38	.39	40	41	42
Field No.	Genetics	Geology and geo- physics	Geogra- phy	History and po- litical science	Horti- culture (includ- ing agron- omy and plant path- ology)	Jour- nalism	Law	Land- scape archi- tecture	Library science	Mathe- matics	Medi cine	Medi- cal special- ties	Meteor- ology	Nursin
urope: Belgium		294 65	800	,			376			200		. 644		
Bulgaria Denmark Eire		850				786	1,750		259	117	330 800 1,953	674		5, 53
GermanyGreat Britain	113	1, 450 1, 370	1,000 6,500					<i>-</i>		1, 250	51, 067 38, 304	5, 573 3, 132		286, 00
HungaryItalyLatvia			<u> </u>] 407	1, 475	892			158	- 16, 972 1 073	353		
Norway							2, 315				120,000	l	20	l
Sweden					l	300	9, 496				3,500 10,900			
Yugoslavia							218							
CeylonChina			400			-3					1 1 202			360 1, 510
India Japan Thailand				000			28				89, 068 52, 792	8, 388	582	56, 590
Australia Egypt Union of South Africa		74				3, 522	2,030		1,003	*	1 4.769	175	49	19, 730 5, 57

EASTERN HEMISPHERE—Continued

TABLE 8-Fields 43 to 54

•	43	44	45	46	- 47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54
Field No.	Nutri- tion	Personnel adminis- tration	Phar- macy	Physics	Physi- ology	Psychol- ogy-	Bocial Welfare	Social science n. s. c.	Statis- ties	Veteri- nary science	Zoology (biology, if aster- isked)	Miscel
urope: Belgium Denuark			200	160		49					*150 *230	
Eire Germany Great Britain Hungary			18, 220	1,500	480	750 70	13, 014 7, 248			507 6, 307 2, 264	450 •1, 172	11,9 71,7 11,0
Italy			156								78 •100	
Soviet Russia			900						190	17, 000 550		
Turkey Yugoslavia Sia, Africa, etc.: British Malaya			1,500 26								*30	
Cevion				218	112				·····			
Japan Theiland Australia			2,793				136			2, 100 277	538	
Egypt. Union of South Africa			879 1,440							867		

Sources of Data

NORTH AMERICA

United States:

- ¹ Census, 1930.
- ² Membership of professional society, from National Research Council Bull. 101, 1937.
 - ⁸ Data from National Roster files, 1941.
 - ⁴ Miscellaneous official sources.

British Honduras: West Indies Yearbook 1939, for legal and medical fields; Census of 1931 for engineering.

British West Indies: West Indies Yearbook, 1939. Canada: Census of Commonwealth, 1931; society membership from National Research Council Bull. 101, 1937.

Costa Rica: See "Fields" below.

Cuba: Minerva, 1937, for engineers; "Fields" below for others.

Dominican Republic: Data from U. S. diplomatic representative, 1941; see also "Fields" below.

Guatemala: Census of Capital, 1938; see also "Fields" below.

Haiti: See "Fields" below.

Honduras: Data from U. S. diplomatic representative, 1941; also from Census of 1935.

Mexico: Minerva, 1937, for engineers and architects; see also "Fields" below.

Nicaragua: See "Fields" below.

NORTH AMERICA—Continued.

Panama: Census, 1930, for other than "Fields"

Puerto Rico: Medical Directory, 1940, for doctors: all others, Census, 1935.

Salvador: See "Fields" below.

SOUTH AMERICA

Argentina: Data from U.S. diplomatic representative, 1941; Minerva, 1937, for society memberships; and "Fields" below.

Bolivia: Anuario Kraft and "Fields" below.

Brazil: See "Fields" below.

Chile: Data from U. S. diplomatic representative, 1941; see also "Fields" below.

Colombia: See "Fields" below. Ecuador: See "Fields" below.

Paraguay: See "Fields" below.

Peru: Green Guide, 1938-39 for fields other than those listed in "Fields" below.

Uruguay: Minerva, 1937, for other than "Fields" below.

Venezuela: See "Fields" below.

EUROPE

Belgium: Minerva, 1937, and Index Generalis, 1939. for society memberships.

Bulgaria: Minerva, 1937, for society memberships. Denmark: Minerva, 1937 for society memberships; also "Fields" below.

Roentgenology.
Tropical medicine.
Home economics.
Archeology.
Ceramics.

EUROPE—Continued.

Eire: Census, 1936.

France: Minerva, 1937; Index Generalis, 1939.

Germany: Census, 1933; Minerva, 1937; Index Generalis, 1939.

Great Britain: Census, 1930; Minerva, 1937, Brit. Med. Directory, 1940; Dentists' Reg. of United Kingdom; Kalendar, Royal Inst. Brit. Architects.

Hungary: Minerva, 1937.

Italy: Census, 1936.

Latvia: Statistical Annual, 1937.

Norway: Census, 1930; Minerva, 1937. Romania: Romanian Annuary, 1931–32; Bucharest

Annuary, 1937.

Soviet Russia: Data from Embassy of U. S. S. R.; "Planned Economy," 1939, No. 7; and other sources.

Sweden: Minerva, 1937.

Switzerland: Census, 1930.

Turkey: Census, 1935; Turkish Commerce Year-book, 1931.

Yugoslavia: Statistical Annual, 1938-39, for medical and dental fields; Commercial Directory, 1934-35; and Annuaire Oriental, 1932, for other fields.

Asia, Africa, Oceania

British Malaya: Singapore and Malayan Directory, 1939.

Ceylon: Ferguson's Ceylon Directory, 1940.

China: Interior Dept. Yearbook, 1936, for physicians; Minerva, 1937, for all others, except anthropologists.

ASIA, AFRICA, OCEANIA—Continued.

Dutch East Indies: State Yearbook, 1938, for all fields.

India: Census of India, 1931; see also "Fields" below.

Japan: Jap. Dept. Comm. Yearbook, 1934, for engineering fields; Jap. Labor Yearbook, 1933, for medical and related fields; Minerva, 1937, for other fields.

Thailand: Stat. Yearbook, 1937; Directory for Bangkok and Siam for legal field.

Australia: Census of Commonwealth, 1933; see also "Fields" below.

Egypt: Statistical Annuary, 1937-38, for medical and related fields; see also "Fields" below.

Union of South Africa: Official Yearbook, 1939; see also "Fields" below.

Fields

Statisticians: Survey, Inter-American Statistical In-stitute, 1940, for all American republics.

Anthropologists: International Directory of Anthropologists, 1940, for nearly all countries.

Architects: Fifteenth International Congress of Architects, 1939, except for British Empire; for Great Britain and possessions, Kalendar, Royal Institute of British Architects, 1938-39.

Medical Professions: Data compiled by Pan American Sanitary Bureau, 1941, for all American nations, for fields of medicine, dentistry, and pharmacy.

Agricultural Scientists: Data from 1940-41 survey of American republics by Division of Agricultural Cooperation of Pan American Union.

APPENDIX D

National roster of scientific and specialized personnel, distribution by professional field, sex and extent of education, Sept. 1, 1941

			N	Tale					Fen	nale	١		<u> </u>		Com	blned		
Field of specialization	Doc- torate	Mas- ter	Bach- elor	4 years col- lege, no de- gree	Others	Total	Doctor of philos- ophy	Mas- ter	Bach- elor	4 years col- lege, no de- greo	Others	Total	Doctor of philos- ophy	Mas-	Bach- elor	4 years col- lege, no de- gree	Others	Tota
anguages enetics oology hysiology ootany sacteriology, immunology, and	2, 403 391 1, 379 504 613	1, 109 136 897 40 183	1, 310 74 562 9 65	107 11 28 1 2	477 59 281	5, 406 671 3, 147 554 868	382 44 121 50 128	498 28 78 10 64	288 8 35 4 14	9 2	89 2 13	1, 266 84 247 64 208	2, 785 435 1, 500 554 741	1, 607 164 978 50 247	1, 598 82 597 13 79	116 13 28 1	506 01 294	8, 6 7, 8, 3, 6 1, 0
pathology natomy Tropical medicine Themistry Physics Authematics Peology cturial science Peology dorology dorology divil engineering Marine engineering Marine engineering Addio engineering tadio engineering Pesting material engineering Petrical engineering lectrical engineering dechanical engineering dechanical engineering utomotive engineering utomotive engineering eronautical Anaagement engineering leating and wentilating engineering leating and metallurgical engineering	2, 420 1, 732 505 9 17 135 4 9 2 107 69 331 226 114 2	271 21 27 6, 937 1, 576 1, 467 982 93 6 1, 616 76 41 31 347 201 593 1, 336 1, 055 1, 055 215 328 303 1,58	282 12 18 18, 345 1, 220 678 1, 972 267 13 16 5, 814 313 366 125 5, 644 1, 903 5, 394 4, 495 69 1, 047 1, 627 1, 125 861	17 1, 201 80 26 147 8 2 2 291 51 59 8 73 35 137 218 287 9 9 9 9 138 95	40 3 4 3, 347 209 38 4777 99 26 657 1, 355 269 584 100 702 236 31, 476 1, 203 1, 476 1, 141 988 1, 104 693	1, 862 476 285 36, 780 6, 565 3, 541 4, 483 476 675 9, 211 1, 059 2, 132 1, 105 3, 280 8, 377 7, 427 2, 550 3, 134 2, 728 1, 815	132 45 9 395 87 170 27 11 1 1 1 2 3	78 4 4 103 371 38 3 3 100 2 2 3	87 1 748 55 202 24 11 11 2 3 12 8 5	1 1 1 1	16 147 9 6 7 1 2 1 1 2 2 3 4 2 2 3 3	321 500 13 1, 672 256 754 96 18 13 1 6 16 16 16 11 3 4 3 3 2 5	1, 384 484 2, 484 2, 507 1, 502 932 9 17 1355 4 4 9 2 2 108 70 331 228 117 228 117 248 55 103	349 25 30 7, 378 1, 679 1, 838 1, 920 96 6 1, 616 76 41 31 348 211 595 1, 339 1, 055 1, 339 2215 328 309 159	369 13 18 19, 003 1, 335 880 1, 996 278 13 36 5, 825 313 366 125 905 5, 402 4, 600 91, 047 1, 627 1, 136 851	25 1, 242 82 31 147 8 2 2 201 51 50 8 73 36 138 219 288 9 9 90 139	56 3 3, 394 218 444 484 100 603 1, 357 200 685 100 704 2317 317 317 317 317 317 317 317 317 317	2, 16 38, 47 5, 83 4, 20 4, 57 1, 00 9, 77 1, 00 2, 11 1, 12 3, 21 8, 31 7, 43 7, 43 7, 43 7, 43 7, 43 8, 31 7, 43 8, 31 7, 43 8, 31 8, 31
Alming and metallurgical engineering	234 1,885 284 53 535	854 806 39 629 119 1,068 868 37 529 135 245 241 822 982	2, 729 398 175 123 69 348 894 5 433 73 95 504 635 2, 267 5, 867	154 17 35 6 14 17 81 25 8 3 43 21 71	611 104 392 9 21 4 496 4 103 41 17 148 67 416 258	4, 678 2, 367 642 2, 290 457 3, 366 2, 623 99 1, 625 466 783 966 2, 538 3, 871 7, 211	5 61 434 47 235 64 17 60 22 49 3 3	2 61 3 384 28 299 482 73 86 36 56 70	4 27 1 58 26 65 284 34 84 25 38 146	19 4	1 1 1 1 11 10 6 5 15 6 37 2 2 2	12 150 6 878 112 615 961 130 239 98 150 265	335 1,103 1 1,957 281 2,120 348 70 595 231 472 33 1,020 360	856 867 42 1,013 147 1,360 110 616 171 301 311 839 982 684	2, 733 425 176 181 95 413 1, 178 39 517 98 133 650 042 2, 272 5, 887	154 17 36 7 14 17 100 29 8 4 52 21 71	612 105 393 10 32 64 608 10 108 53 185	4, 66 2, 5 6, 8, 14 3, 93 3, 56 22 1, 86 93 1, 22 2, 56 3, 87
·			64, 114		18, 743	142,845	2,628	3, 343	2, 353	109	448			31, 454	66, 467	3, 891		151,