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## EXPENSES AND PROFITS OF LIMITED PRICE VARIETY STORES IN 1944

## CHAINS AND INDEPENDENTS

This report, by giving 1944 data for limited price variety chain stores, brings up to date a series of figures which has been prepared for 14 consecutive years. In addition to data for chains; for the second time figures for independent variety stores are also provided.

As in the past the study includes many tabulations of sales, margins, expenses, earnings, and supplementary data. While these detailed data are of special interest to retail executives who wish to compare the experience of their company with the average performance in the trade, the study also includes many generally interesting and useful facts about limited price variety stores.

Some of the highlights of the report, which was written by Elizabeth A. Burnham, Assistant Professor of Business Administration, are summarized below.

## Chain Stores

In the face of increasing scarcities of many types of merchandise, the 37 reporting variety chains expanded their sales in 1944 by approximately $6 \%$ over 1943. The total volume realized in 1944 by those firms in their 5,279 stores was $\$ 1.4$ billion, or more than $85 \%$ or the estimated total variety chain sales in thé United States.

The $6 \%$ sales growth compares with a $7.4 \%$ rise in total consumer expenditures and a $10 \%$ to $12 \%$ increase in department store sales. The evidence suggests that the somewhat more moderate sales growth in the variety field resulted partly from a "trading up" among the customers and partly from scarcities of low-end merchandise. For instance, sales of apparel, in relation to total sales, were less important than in 1943, while substantially better volume was attained in such lines as ready-to-wear accessories, stationery, games, books, and toys.

The change in sales emphasis to merchandise characteristically carrying high markons, and lowered shortages and markdowns, produced higher gross margin percentages in 1944 than in prior years. At the same time total dollar expense did not increase to the same degree as volume rose, and hence percentage costs declined. As a consequence total expense including interest averaged somewhat less than $28 \%$ of sales, and
net earnings after sundry income and deductions amounted to approximately $1 \mathrm{I} .5 \%$ of sales.

A large share, roughly two-thirds; of these earnings was paid out in state and federal taxes on income and excess profits, leaving $3.8 \%$ of sales as net earnings to cover dividend and surplus requirements. The comparable figure in 1943 was $3.92 \%$. The earnings after taxes amounted to $9.83 \%$ of net worth, a figure practically identical with the $9.8 \%$ reported by the National City Bank of New York for 1,327 leading manufacturing corporations in 1944.

Average dollar inventories per store were about $15 \%$ lower at the end of 1944 than at the end of 1943 . Declining stocks were a characteristic of the late summer and autumn months in 1944, and,in general, chains faced the heavy seasonal demands of December with inventories sufficient for about 45 days. The annual reports of several leading chains disclosed that the companies as a matter of policy were purchasing with caution substitute merchandise which might fail to sell as better civilian merchandise became available.

There was little net change in the number of stores operated between 1943 and 1944, and for the most part the operations were carried out in the same locations as in the earlier year. New information assembled on tenancy arrangements indicates that for 25 reporting chains, operating 4,897 stores, $10.0 \%$ of the store property was entirely owned by the chains while in more than $88 \%$ of the cases the stores were leased, usually from outside landlords. More than half the leases involved flat rental payments or flat rentals plus taxes or plus taxes and insurance. Many chains, however, particularly the large organizations, had percentage leases or modified percentage leases in some of their stores. Tenancy cost payments which always constitute an important part of the total operating costs of variety chains, in 1944 averaged for all reporting chains $6.73 \%$ of sales or almost one-fourth of the total operating expense including interest. It was exceeded only by payroll which amounted to $14.89 \%$ of net sales and by taxes on income and excess profits (not included in operating expense) which amounted to $7.65 \%$ of sales.

The medium-size chains tended to obtain greater sales increases from 1943 to 1944 than did the large chains. This situation quite possibly reflected differences in demand in various regions. The results of the national chains may have been influenced, for instance, by the relatively less favorable markets in the New. England and Middle Atlantic areas where many of their stores are located.

The study reveals some interesting contrasts between large and small chains. New data available for the first time in this report disclose that payments to executives in dollars averaged $\$ 35,000$ per executive in chains
with sales of more than $\$ 25,000,000$, while in firms with sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,000$ the corresponding figure was $\$ 15,000$ : The large chains, however, by virtue of their substantially greater sales, incurred lower percentage expenditure for administrative and general accounts than did the medium-size chains in 1944. The advantage of large volume appeared chiefly in the payroll item. Despite the fact that executive payments in dollars averaged higher, the additional volume secured was sufficient to result in total percentage payments to executives of about one-third the size of the corresponding costs for moderate-size chains.

## Independent Stores

The averages based on figures for 43 reporting independent variety stores shed light on the ability of independent management to capitalize on favorable business conditions. In these stores, primarily operated by partnerships or proprietorships, sales typically increased more than $18 \%$ from 1943 to 1944. The average sales per store in the latter year was $\$ 56,495$. About one-third of the sales was realized as gross margin, and final earnings before income taxes averaged more than II.5\% of net sales and between one-fourth and one-third of net worth.

About three-fourths of the reporting independents were members of voluntary chains. Examination of the data lor all the firms disclosed little significant variation between the results for members of voluntary chains, on the one hand, and the results for firms without such affiliations, on the other hand.

For 35 independent firms providing detailed balance shéets, net worth including real estate equity typically amounted, at the beginning of the year, to somewhat more than one-quarter of net sales for the year: Earnings during the year increased the proportion to more than one-third. Commonly a firm with annual sales of about $\$ 35,000$ began the year with an investment other than in real estate of about $\$ 10,000$, while a firm with sales of roughly $\$ 85,000$ began the year with an investment of $\$ 25,000$.

Reporting independent firms increased their inventory investment between the end of 1943 and the end of 1944, a situation contrary to that found for the variety chains.
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## FOREWORD

In the years of postwar adjustment ahead, the retail industries have a very vital part to play. If a high rate of employment is to be maintained in this country, the retail industries must distribute far greater quantities of merchandise than they have ever sold before. Competition will be keen, and high standards of efficiency in operation will be required. Under these circumstances a knowledge of past trends of expenses and standards for current comparison should be especially helpful.

In this bulletin are reported analyses of sales, margins, expense, and earnings data for limited price variety chains and independent variety stores in North America. This is the fourteenth consecutive year in which our research organization has analyzed the chain store data and the second year in which data on independent variety stores have been included. Thus these studies provide not only a statement of current results for purposes of comparison, but the studies also are building up a record of operations during a period of drastic change.

It has been possible to carry on this research only because of the interest and cooperation of the members of the Limited Price Variety Stores Association. The association also has provided funds essential for defraying the cost of the research. For this interest, cooperation, and support we are sincerely grateful.

The author of this bulletin, Professor Elizabeth A. Burnham, who has long been in charge of the cost research program of the Bureau of Business Research, also supervised the accounting phases of the work. For any conclusions and opinions expressed herein she assumes complete responsibility.

Melvin T. Copeland
Director of Research
Boston, Massachusetts
September, 1945
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| Items | 1944 |  | 1943 ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aggregate Dollar <br> Figures (in thousands) for 37 Chains Operating 5,279 Stores | 1944 <br> Net ${ }^{1944}$ - 100\% | ${ }^{1943}$ $=100 \%$ |
| The chief source of revenue for these chains, of course, was |  |  |  |
| Net Sales | \$1,354,213 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| A primary charge against this revenue, and the largest single expenditure, was represented by |  |  |  |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold $\qquad$ Which included the cost of merchandise purchased for resale delivered at the stores, less trade and cash discounts, plus or minus the amounts taken from, or added to, inventories during the year. | \$854,733 | 63.12\% | 63.68\% |
| Other costs which had to be met were those for operating the chains, as follows: |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Wages. $\qquad$ Comprising salaries, wages, and bonuses of all employees including executives, but excluding pensions and payroll taxes: | \$201,644 | 14.89\% | 15.00\% |
| Tenancy Costs. $\qquad$ Including rentals, taxes, and insurance paid on leased real estate; taxes, insurance, depreciation, repairs, and interest on owned real estate; and heat; | \$91,092 | 6.73\% | 7.09\% |
| Light, Water, and Power | \$9,751 | 0.72\% | 0.76\% |
| Depreciation on Fixtures and Equipment | \$7,934 | 0.59\% | 0.63\% |
| Supplies | \$9,287 | 0.68\% | 0.77\% |
| Taxes. Not including taxes on real estate, or on income, but comprising other taxes imposed by national, state, and local governments; | \$12,586 | 0.93\% | 1.04\% |
| All Other Expense (including interest) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . The charge for interest included interest paid on borrowed capital and, in addition, an imputed charge for interest at the rate of $6 \%$ on net worth except real estate, leaseholds, and goodwill. Interest at $6 \%$ on the real estate equity and mortgage interest were included in Tenancy Costs. Interest received was credited to the Interest account. | \$44,506 | 3.28\% | 3.31\% |
| Thus, for merchandise and chain operation combined, these firms experienced a |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of. | \$1,231,533 | 90.94\% | 92.28\% |
| After meeting these costs, there remained a |  |  |  |
| Net Profit of. $\qquad$ Which constituted the net profit from merchandising operations. | \$122,680 | 9.06\% | 7.72\% |
| The chains, however, received |  |  |  |
| Sundry or Other Revenue, Net $\qquad$ Including any profit or loss on real estate operations; the amount charged in expense for interest on net worth; dividends from manufacturing or foreign subsidiaries; and other miscellaneous revenue. | \$32,835 | 2.42\% | 2.43\% |
| And, therefore, |  |  |  |
| Total Net Business Profit Amounted to $\qquad$ This was the earnings of these firms before income taxes. | \$155,515 | 11.48\% | 10.15\% |
| Taxes on income and excess profits, after credits for debt reliremeni and postwar refunds, amounted to ${ }^{2}$ | . $\cdot$. | 7.65\% | 6.20\% |
| Thus leaving available for dividends, withdrawals, and surplus ${ }^{2}$ | $\cdots$ | 3.80\% | 3.92\% |

[^0]
# EXPENSES AND PROFITS OF LIMITED PRICE <br> VARIETY STORES IN 1944 

## CHAINS AND INDEPENDENTS

## SECTION I

GENERAL SUMMARY

## Variety Chains

r. In the face of increasing scarcities of many types of merchandise, 37 reporting variety chains increased their sales by approximately $6 \%$ in 1944 as compared with 1943. Since changes in the number of stores were negligible, there was a corresponding increase in the average sales per store.
2. The rate of gross margin for all reporting stores averaged $36.88 \%$ of sales, a rise from the 1943 figure of $36.32 \%$ for 43 chains. The upward movement probably reflected proportionately lower sales in lines of merchandise characteristically carrying low markons and relatively greater sales in lines of merchandise carrying high markons. Fragmentary data also suggest that combined shortages and markdowns were lower in 1944 than in 1943.
3. Rising sales were accompanied by substantially smaller increases in dollar costs; as a result, percentage costs for all items in Chart x were lower in 1944 than in 1943.
4. Average earnings before taxes amounted to almost $\$ 30,000$ per store for 37 reporting chains, or II. $48 \%$ of sales and $29.88 \%$ of net worth. Federal taxes on income and excess profits, and state taxes on income, took roughly two-thirds of these gains, leaving final earnings of $3.8 \%$ of sales to cover dividend and surplus requirements. This result compared with a figure of $3.92 \%$ for 1943 .
5. Average dollar inventories per store were about $55 \%$ lower at the end of 1944 than at the end of 1943. Declining stocks were a characteristic of the late summer and autumn months. In general, chains as of December 1 , had sufficient inventories for about 45 days.

[^1]Independent Variety Stores ${ }^{1}$
I. Profit and loss statements for 1944 were submitted by 43 independent variety store operators having a combined volume of $\$ 2,542,283$, or $\$ 56,495$ per store. These firms realized gross margins of more than $33 \%$ of sales on the average and earned in. $59 \%$ of net sales, or $27.49 \%$ of net worth prior to income tax payments. Since all except one firm were organized as proprietorships or partnerships, data on income taxes were not readily available.
2. Reporting independent stores increased their inventory investment between the end of 1943 and the end of 1944, a situation contrary to that found for variety chains.
3. Among the firms, those with sales per store in excess of $\$ 50,000$ had higher percentage margins and lower percentage operating expense than those with smaller stores.
4. For 35 firms providing detailed balance sheets, net worth excluding real estate equity typically amounted, at the beginning of the year, to somewhat more than one-quarter of net sales for the year. Earnings during the year increased the proportion to more than one-third. Typically a firm with annual sales of about $\$ 35,000$ began the year with an investment other than in real estate of about $\$ 10,000$, while a firm with sales of roughly $\$ 85,000$ began the year with an investment of $\$ 25,000$.
5. About three-fourths of the reporting independents were members of voluntary chains. The results for the firms with such affiliations showed little significant variation from those typical for firms which were not members of voluntary chains.

[^2]
## LONG-TERM TRENDS IN VARIETY CHAIN OPERATING RESULTS

## 1944 Sales 6\% Above 1943 Levels

Despite shortages of many types of civilian goods in the year 1944, total consumer expenditures increased from 90.9 billions of dollars in 1943 to 97.6 billions in $1944^{1}$, a rise of $7.4 \%$. At the same time, the total volume of retail trade as a whole increased $8.8 \%$ according to the Department of Commerce ${ }^{2}$; but there were pronounced variations in the degree of change for various segments of the retail field. Large variety chains, as shown by published annual statements for individual companies and by data made available to the Bureau, increased their aggregate sales by about $6 \%$ from 1943 to 1944. As is indicated in Chart 2, the augmented volume was achieved without any noticeable change in the number of stores, and bence sales per store likewise increased by about

[^3]$6 \%$. This rise brought the average sales per store to the highest level in any of the 21 years, 1924 through 1944. The $6 \%$ growth, however, was less than that of $10 \%$ to $12 \%$ typical for department stores throughout the nation. ${ }^{3}$ Again, as was the case in 1943, as compared with 1942, the independent department store volume rise surpassed that of the variety chains.

This situation to some degree reflected "trading up" of individuals with increased incomes (income payments to individuals rose ${ }^{1}$ by more than $20 \%$

[^4]Chart 2. Summary Statistics for 7 Variety Chains: 1924-1944
(Average for 1935-1939 = 100)


Nork: The data included in this summary are based on figures for the seven chains for which published data were available for the years prior to rgag. The identity of the firms difers somewhat from that of the seven chains for which statistics are given in Tables 5 and 6 , pages 8 and 9 .

Table 1. Sales by Merchandise Lines for 10 Identical Variety Chains: 1933-1944

| Items | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 | 1938 | 1939 | 1940 | 194x | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate Dollar Sales (in thousands): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel and Accessories. . . . . . . . . | \$86,433 | \$97,128 | \$99,83I | \$111,979 | \$112,709 | \$106,741 | \$113,049 | $\$ 119,630$ | $\$ 139,568$ |  |  |  |
| Dry Goods, Notions, and Domestics.............. | 50,165 | 62,257 | 63,690 | 69,744 | 72,334 | 68,396 | 70,203 | $63,878 \dagger$ | $74,45 \mathrm{I} \dagger$ | $92,835 \dagger$ | ro1,606 $\dagger$ | $\text { III,484 } \dagger$ |
| Hardware, Electrical Supplies, Crockery, Glassware, and Other Home Furnishings. | 47,332 | 54,809 | 57,598 | 62,157 | 60,790 | 57,494 | 59,809 | 73,237 $\dagger$ | $83,28 \mathrm{x} \dagger$ | 91,001 $\dagger$ | 82,105t | 79,753 $\dagger$ |
| Confectionery and Nuts. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 21,844 | 24,202 | 25,738 | 27,186 | 27,618 | 27,016 | 27,310 | 28,874 | 30,879 | 91,001 36,825 | 45,576 | 48,952 |
| Drugs and Toiletries. | 24,157 | 27,835 | 28,298 | 30,766 | 31,920 | 31,382 | 33,173 | 33,940 | 37,554 | 41,314 | 44,092 | 46,888 |
| Toys, Games, Books, and Stationery . . . . . . . . . . . . | 32,809 | 35,746 | 37,52I | 41,635 | 43,622 | 43,200 | 46,584 | 48,720 | 56,207 | 68,002 | 77,202 | 91,918 |
| Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, and Restaurants. . | 18,493 | 20,321 | 21,876 | 24,217 | 25,618 | 24,888 | 26,022 | 24,015 | 28,332 | 32,873 | 35,837 | 31,9188 |
| Jewelry. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 6,458 | 7,036 | 6,887 | 7,138 | 7,465 | 7,508 | 7,971 | 8,43x | 10,295 |  | II,450 |  |
| Other (including groceries) | 19,760 | 15,610 | 16,660 | 17,757 | 23,092 | 23,466 | $25,693$ | 34,559 $\dagger$ | 38,128† | 46,933 $\dagger$ | $43,888 \dagger$ | $33,944 \dagger$ |
| Total. | \$307,45x | \$344,944 | \$358,099 | \$392,579 | \$405,168 | \$390,091 | \$409,814 | \$435,284 | \$498,695 | \$599,574 | \$635,622 | \$672,34 |
| Index of Change in Aggregate Dollar Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel and Accessories. $\qquad$ <br> Dry Goods, Notions, and Domestics. | 100.00 | 112.37 | 115.50 | 129.56 | 130.40 | 123.50 | 130.79 | 138.41 | 161.48 | 206.44 | 224.30 | 244.45 |
| Dry Goods, Notions, and Domestics. . . . . . . . . . . . | 100.00 | 124.10 | 126.96 | 139.03 | 144.19 | 136.34 | 139.94 | ... | .... | . . . | . | . 4.45 |
| and Other Home Furnishings. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 100.00 | 115.80 | 121.69 | 131.32 | 128.43 | 121.47 | 126.36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confectionery and Nuts. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 100.00 | 110.79 | 117.83 | 124.45 | 126.43 | 123.68 | 125.02 | 132.18 | 141.36 | 168.58 | 208.64 | 224.10 |
| Drugs and Toiletries. | 100.00 | 115.23 | 117.14 | 127.36 | 132.14 | 129.91 | 137.32 | 140.50 | 155.46 | 171.02 | 182.52 | 194.10 |
| Toys, Games, Books, and Stationery ......... | 100.00 | 108.95 | 114.36 | 126.90 | 132.95 | 131.67 | 141.98 | 148.50 | 171.32 | 207.27 | 235.3 I | 280.16 |
| Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, and Restaurants | 100.00 | 109.89 | 118.30 | 130.95 | 138.53 | 134.58 | 140.71 | 129.86 | 153.20 | 177.76 | 193.79 | 193.58 |
| Jewelry. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 100.00 | 108.95 | 106.63 | 110.53 | 115.58 | 116.25. | 123.42 | 130.55 | 159.45 | 175.86 | 177.30 | 190.79 |
| Other (including groceries) | 100.00 | 79.00 | 84.31 | 89.87 | 116.87 | $118.75{ }^{\circ}$ | 130.03 | 1 | 59.41 | x. | 17.30 | 190.79 |
| Total. | 100.00 | 112.19 | 116.47 . | 127.69 | 131.78 | 126.88 | 133.29 | 14 x .58 | 162.20 | 195.01 | 206.74 | 218.68 |


from 1942 to 1943 and by more than $9 \%$ from 1943 to 1944). Quite probably many persons shifted their purchasing to department stores where a greater diversification of merchandise and a wider quality range were available. It is equally possible, however, that the growing shortages of low-end merchandise in 1944 acted as a brake on sales in the chain outlets in which these units of low-priced merchandise commonly had been sold. Furthermore, government restrictions existent until the passage of a new price control law effective June 30, 1944, precluded the addition of merchandise lines in higher price brackets than those offered by the chains in the 1942 base period. Hence substitutes at somewhat higher prices for disappearing low-end apparel, for fnstance, could not lawfully be offered by the chains. The fact that despite merchandise shortages sales increased to the extent of $6 \%$ is testimony to the ability of the chains' merchandising personnel in providing the requisite merchandise inventory.

## Sales by Merchandise Groups

Table 1 , page 3 , traces the sales by broad merchandise groups for 10 chains from 1933 to 1944, while Table 2, below, provides somewhat more detailed data for 16 chains for the period 1940 through 1944. Study of these averages clearly shows that from 1940 on, transactions in ready-towear accessories and apparel contributed largely to the growth in sales in the chains. The volume of business in this merchandise rose $45 \%$ over the r94x level, a rise, however, which was clearly less than the increase of $87.5 \%$ experienced by women's apparel and accessories stores ${ }^{1}$ in the corresponding

[^5]period. The proportionate part of the total chain volume in ready-to-wear accessories and apparel was $27.5 \%$ in 1940 and $31.4 \%$ in 1944 for the 10 , and $21.5 \%$ increasing to $24.6 \%$ for the 16 . It should be stressed that a large share, probably twothirds, of the volume in such lines in 1944 was accounted for in the sale of ready-to-wear accessories, a slightly larger proportion than in 1940. Women's, misses', and juniors' ready-to-wear sales declined from more than one-fifth of the total accessory and apparel business in. 1943 to only about one-sixth in 1944, probably as a result of scarcities of low-end merchandise.

Dramatic changes in volumé occurred for other lines of merchandise, which, however, were not responsible for such a large share of the volume as were accessories and apparel. Outstanding among these items were toys, games, books, and stationery, sales, of which increased substantially and consistently after 1940: Stationery sales, reflecting war mail requirements, by 1944 had attained - a level $81 \%$ higher than in 1940, $13 \%$ above the previous record high in 1943, and $31 \%$ above the level for $1942^{2}$. Sales of toys, games, and books were $78 \%$ higher than in 1940 and $20 \%$ above the 1943 peak. To some extent, of course, the increases reflect the sale of pocket-size paper-bound books and other popular price volumes introduced into these stores. Together, sales in these lines, toys, games, books, and stationery, constituted over $13 \%$ of the aggregate sales of the reporting chains in 1944, or more than $2 \%$ of sales more than was characteristic in 1940. On the other hand, sales of that staple de-

[^6]Table 2. Sales by Merchandise Lines for 16 Identical Variety Chains: 1940-1944

| Items | Aggregate Dollar Sales (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Index of Change in Aggregate Dollar Sales (1940 $=100$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 | 1947 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 |
| 'Apparel and Accessories | \$184,114 | \$214,760 | \$271,327 | \$291,692 | \$311,097 | 116.65 | 147.37 | 158.43 | 168.97 |
| Dry Goods and Domestics | 64,657 | 73,103 | 92,904 | 103,891 | 107,929 | 113.06 | 143.69 | 160.68 | 166.93 |
| Notions and Smallwares......... | 67,963 | 77,654 | 88,830 | 90,906 | 99,376 | 114.26 | 130.70 | 133.76 | 146.22 |
| Hardware, Paint, Electrical Supplies, and Appliances. | 75,532 | 85,209 | 92,072 | 71,643 | 68,639 | 112.8x | 121.90 | 94.85 | 90.87 |
| Home Furnishings . . . . . . . . . . . . | 71,126 | 81,816 | 89,528 | 85,982 | 86,365 | 125.03 | 125.87 | 120.89 | 121.43 |
| Confectionery and Nuts ......... | ${ }_{61,201}$ | ${ }^{64,496}$ | 76,02x | 89,995 | 97,022 | 105.38 | 124.2I | 147.05 | 158.53 |
| Drugs and Toiletries ............ | 82,134 | 89,878 | 97,279 | 103,079 | r10,221 | 109.43 | 128.44 | 125.50 | 134.20 |
| Toys, Games, and Books......... | 43,669 | 51,279 | 60,494 | 64,596 | 77,671 | 117.43 | 138.53 | 147.93 | 177.86 |
| Stationery..................... | 66,26x | 76,415 | 91,586 | 106,026 | 120,274 | 115.32 | 138.22 | 160.0r | 184. 52 |
| Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, and Restaurants. | 58,339 | 67,741 | 78,701 | 88,580 | 89,929 | 116.12 |  | 151.84 | 154.15 |
| Jewelry. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 17,858 | 21,778 | 23,047 | 24,327 | 24,907 | 121.95 | 129.06 | 136.22 | 139.47 |
| Other (including groceries) . . . . . . | 62,642 | 68,596 | 77,444 | 77,578 | 69,205 | 109.50 | 123.63 | 123.84 | 150. 47 |
| Total. | \$855,49 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$972,725 | \$1,139,233 | \$1,198,295 | \$1,262,635 | 113.70 | 133.17 | 140.07 | 147.59 |

partment of variety chains, drugs and toiletries, increased only moderately, being less than $40 \%$ above the 1940 level in 1944, and contributing almost $\mathrm{r} \%$ less to total volume in 1944 than in 1940. Dollar sales of home furnishings, amounting to approximately $7 \%$ of sales, were only slightly above the 1943 volume and only $2 \mathrm{I} \%$ above the 1940 level, while sales of hardware, paint, and electrical supplies dropped below the low level of 1943 to a point almost 10\% under that common in 1940. Volume in these hard goods accounted for roughly only $51 / 2 \%$ of the total sales in 1944 in contrast to more than $81 / 2 \%$ in 1940 .

As pointed out in earlier reports, the shift in merchandise emphasis was associated with a lifting of price limits which permitted a wider diversification of merchandise. Had this policy not been fairly generally adopted during the late r930's and early 1940 's, the chains undoubtedly would have experienced serious sales declines when the war emergency resulted in drastic restrictions in the amounts of merchandise available for sale at extremely low unit prices.

## Trends in Operating Results: 1929, 1931-1944

A realization of these changes in the sales structure is basic to the understanding of the trends in operating statistics for the past few years. Tables 3 and 4, pages 6 and 7, present results for 15 chains which have submitted data for 1929 and for 193I and all consecutive years thereafter. The first of these two tables provides data in terms of dollars per store, while the second gives figures as percentages of sales.

The first line of Table 3 shows vividly the change in dollar sales per store. The $\$ 303,484$ average for 1944, the highest in the 15 years covered by the data, not only is well above the prewar level of $\$ 200,789$ in 1940, but also is markedly above the $\$ 227,578$ experienced in 1929.

The sharp rise in sales per store in 1941, associated with the lifting of price limits ${ }^{1}$ in that year, was accompanied by an advance in the rate of gross margin from $35.2 \%$ to $36.7 \%$ (see Table 4, page 7). Here two or three factors may have influenced the margin figure. The new lines introduced may have carried longer markons, and the ready market for merchandise may have resulted in a decrease in retail reductions. Furthermore, a rising retail price level at that time may have been reflected in the in-

[^7]creased percentage margins. After 194 I the margin rose slightly in 1942 to decline fractionally in 1943. In 1944, however, it once more rose to the highest level in the period, $37.6 \%$ as compared with $36.9 \%$ in 1943 and $36.7 \%$ in 1941. Inasmuch as the retail price level of general merchandise has been kept at a fairly constant level during the war years through the use of ceiling prices, it seems clear that the rise in the overall margin percentage for variety chains in 1944 must have resulted chiefly from a reduction ${ }^{2}$ in combined shortages and markdowns and a change in the kinds of merchandise sold in the variety chains. Data on combined shortages and markdowns given by 7 of the $i 5$ firms disclose a decrease from 1943 to 1944 in the percentage of net sales for such reductions in 5 cases and an increase in 2 cases. The depletion of stocks of low-end merchandise, carrying low rates of markon, and the new emphasis necessarily placed on other lines of merchandise, characteristically retailed at a longer markon, undoubtedly contributed to the situation. It is interesting to note in this connection that among the lines in which sales increases were pronounced were stationery, a high margin line, and notions, apparel, and accessories, some of which probably had high margins. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

While the percentage margin climbed for the 15 chains summarized in Tables 3 and 4, the percentage of total expense including interest ${ }^{4}$ fell to the lowest level since 1929, $27.7 \%$ of sales. Hence the net operating profit rose to a new high of nearly ro\% of sales. The net business profit after other income and deductions but before income and excess profits taxes amounted to $12.3 \%$ of sales, or more than $30 \%$ of the average net worth, by all odds the highest return in the 15 years. A large share of these earnings (more than $7 \mathrm{I} \%$ ) went to the state and Federal tax authorities in the form of income and excess profits taxes. Such levies climbed from $7.0 \%$ of net sales in 1943 to $8.7 \%$ in 1944, leaving final earnings after taxes of $3.6 \%$ in 1944 compared with $3.8 \%$ in 1943 . The increase in taxes reflects not only higher earnings but also a higher effective excess profits tax rate as a result of revisions in the Internal Revenue Code (as amended

[^8]Table 3. Operating Results per Store for 15 Identical Variety Chains: 1929, 1931-1944

| Items | 1099 | 1238 | 932 | 1933 | 034 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 | 2038 | 3039 | 1940 | 194x | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Sales per Store. | \$227,578 | \$173,482 | \$149,063 | \$153,607 | \$170,362 | \$175,077 | \$888,819 | \$192,412 | \$182,352 | \$190,544 | \$200,789 | \$229,479 | \$266,43I | \$285,386 | \$303,484 |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage) Gross Margin | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \$ 53,198 \\ 74,380 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 127,647 \\ 55,835 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 0 2}, 139 \\ 46,924 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 9,920 \\ 59,689 \end{gathered}$ | \$r10,811 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \$ 114,760 \\ 60,317 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12,725 \\ 66,086 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,809 \\ 67,603 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ \mathrm{n} 19,338 \\ 63,014 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,473 \\ 67,071 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{3} 30,05 \mathrm{I} \\ 70,738 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \mathbf{\$ 1} 25,3,36 \\ 84,143 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 67,344 \\ 99,087 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 180,158 \\ 105,228 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 189,367 \\ \text { II4,117 } \end{array}$ |
| Salaries and | 465 | 22,701 | \$19,389 | \$21,284 | \$24,418 | \$24,758 | \$26,587 | \$28,320 | \$27,067 | \$28,086 | \$29,536 | $\$ 34,01 \mathrm{II}$ | \$40,054 18,53 | $\$ 43,664$ | \$45,578 |
| Light, Water, a |  |  |  | 15,790 | 15,929 | 15,952 |  | 16 | 17,143 | 2, | 2,12 | 2,191 | 2,163 | 2,076 | 18,798 2,068 |
| Depreciation of Fixtures and Equip |  |  |  | 1,625 | 1,570 |  | 1,457 | 1,501 | 1,579 | 1,600 | x,606 | 1,686 | 1,756 | x,755 | 1,676 |
| Supplies, | 3,687 | 2,523 | 1,929 | 2,072 | 2,284 | 2,463 | 2,557 | 2,678 | 1,938 | 1,944 | 2,088 | 2,431 | 2,557 | 2,506 | 2,382 |
| Advertising. | 480 | 424 | 463 | 436 | 478 | 541 | 513 | 690 | 698 | 743 | 904 | 875 | 1,037 | 907 | 947 |
| Insurance (except on real estate)..... | 819 |  | 650 | 630 | 710 | 730 | 688 | 731 | 718 | 743 | 783 | 796 | 913 | 892 | 86\% |
| Taxes (except on real estate or income): Sales. Other. | \} 665 | \} 624 | \} 637 | 387 680 | 593 791 | 327 887 | 1,144 | $\begin{array}{r}282 \\ 1,775 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}282 \\ 2,074 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}286 \\ 2,001 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}28 \mathrm{I} \\ 2,028 \\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | 257 $\mathbf{2 , 2 0 0}$ | 191 2,415 | $\begin{array}{r} 165 \\ 2,464 \end{array}$ | 123 2,438 |
| Miscellaneo | 2,491 | 1,790 | r,671 | 1,422 | 1,387 | 1,436 | 2,062 | 2,116 | 2,223 | 2,401 | 2,570 | 3,206 | 4,037 | 4,317 | 5,175 |
| Total Expense before Interes Total Interest. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 57,688_{3} \\ 3,198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 48,410 \\ 2,6 \times 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,312 \\ 2,4 \times 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 46,037 \\ 2,644 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 49,942 \\ 2,748 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,297 \\ 2,820 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 53,740 \\ 2,813 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 66,844 \\ 2,847 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{2,922 \\ 25,765}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 57,373 \\ 2,953 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 59,735 \\ 3,092 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 65,769 \\ 3,364 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 73,660 \\ 3,638 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 77,396 \\ 3,982 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 80,046 \\ 3,935 \end{array}$ |
| Total Expense including | ,88 | \$51,023 | \$46,7 | \$48,6 | \$52,600 | \$53,117 | \$56,553 | \$59,69x | \$58,687 | \$60,326 | \$62,827 | \$69,133 | \$77,298 | \$81,378 | \$83,981 |
| Net Proitr. | \$13,499 | , 81 | \$198 | 66,00 | \$6,86 | 7,20 | 9,53 | 7,912 | \$4,327 | \$6,745 | \$7,911 | \$15,010 | \$21,789 | \$23,850 | \$30,136 |
| Net Profit from Real Estate Operation. ........ Interest on Net Worth (except on real estate, |  |  |  | \$2,130 | \$2,027 | , 0 | \$2,5 | \$2,975 | \$2,83x | \$2,858 | 2 | \$2,77 | \$2,84 | \$2,894 | \$2,980 |
| leaseholds, and goodwill).. |  |  |  |  |  | 2,889 | 2,819 | 2,840 | 2,884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Revenue, Net |  |  |  | L. 12 | 167 | 412 | 207 | , | 247 | 362 | 36 | $350$ | L. 14 | L. 110 | 67 |
| Total Net Other Income | 6,095 | \$4,96r | \$4,820 | \$4,546 | \$5,007 | \$5,333 | \$5,593 | \$6,027 | \$5,962 | \$6,174 | \$6,365 | \$6,472 | \$6,325 | \$6,855 | \$7,204 |
| Net Gain before Income Taxes. Net Tares on Income and Excess Probits ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | \$19,594 | \$9,773 | \$5,018 | \$10,552 | \$11,868 | \$12,533 | \$15,126 | \$13,939 | \$10,289 | \$ 2, 2,919 2,509 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,276 \\ 3,957 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,482 \\ 10,375 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,1144 \\ \mathbf{1 8 , 1 8 1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,705 \\ 20,071 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 37,340 \\ 26,657 \end{array}$ |
| Net Gans after Income Taxe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,526 | \$10,453 | \$11,309 | \$10,199 | \$10,910 | \$r0,999 |

[^9]Table 4. Operating Results for 15 Identical Variety Chains: 1929, 1931-1944
(Percentages Computed from the Aggregate Dollar Figures: Combined Net Sales $=100 \%$, except where noted)

| Items | 1929 | 1938 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 2936 | 1937 | 1938 | 1939 | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate Number of Stores. | 1,579 | 2,085 | 2,185 | 2,188 | 2,217 | 2,26r | 2,303 | 2,34I | 2,374 | 2,395 | 2,405 | 2,420 | 2,430 | 2,418 | 2,403 |
| Aggregate Net Sales (in millions). | \$359 | \$362 | \$326 | \$336 | \$378 | \$396 | \$435 | \$450 | \$433 | \$456 | \$483 | \$555 | \$647 | \$690 | \$729 |
| Average Net Sales per Chain (in millions) | \$24 | \$24 | \$22 | ${ }^{\mathbf{\$ 2 2}}$ | \$25t | \$26 | \$29 | \$30 | \$29 | \$30 | \$32 | \$37 | \$43 | \$46 | \$49 |
| Average Sales per Store (in thousands). . . . . . . . | \$228 | \$173 | \$149 | \$154 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | \$170才 | \$175 | \$r89 | \$192 | \$182 | \$191 | \$201 | \$229 | \$266 | \$285 | \$303 |
| Index of Change (1932 $=100$ ): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Stores per Chain. | 72.3 | 95.4 | 100.0 | 100.1 | 101. 5 | 103.5 | 105.4 | 107.1 | 108.7 | 109.6 | 110.1 | 110.8 | 1 II .2 | 110.7 | 110.0 |
| Net Sales per Chain. | 110.3 | III.1 | 100.0 | 103.3 | 115.9 | 121.5 | 133.5 | 138.3 | 132.9 122.3 | 140.1 127.8 | 148.3 134.7 | 170.5 154.0 | 188.8 178.7 | 211.9 198.5 | 223.9 203.6 |
| Average Sales per Store | 152.7 | 116.4 | 100.0 | 103.1 | 114.3 | 117.5 | 126.7 | 129.1 | 122.3 | 127.8 | 134.7 | 154.0 | 178.7 | 191.5 | 203.6 |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage). . . . . . . . . . . . . Gross Margin. | $67.3 \%$ 32.7 | $67.8 \%$ 32.2 | $68.5 \%$ 31.5 | $64.4 \%$ 35.6 | $65.0 \%$ 35.0 | $65.6 \%$ 34.4 | $65.0 \%$ 35.0 | $64.9 \%$ 35.1 | $65.4 \%$ 34.6 | $64.8 \%$ 35.2 | $64.8 \%$ 35.2 | $63.3 \%$ 36.7 | $62.8 \%$ 37.2 | $63.1 \%$ 36.9 | $62.4 \%$ 37.6 |
| Salaries and Wages | 13.0\% | 13.1\% | 13.0\% | 13.9\% | 14.3\% | 14.2\% | 14.1\% | $14.7 \%$ | 14.9\% | 14.7\% | $14.7 \%$ | 14.8\% | 15.0\% | 15.3\% | 15.0\% |
| Tenancy Costs. |  |  |  | 10.3 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| Light, Water, and Power | 8.8 | 11.4 | 313.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | I.I | 1. | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Depreciation of Fixtures and Equip |  |  |  | I.I | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Supplies. | 1. 6 | . 5 | r. 3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.I | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Advertising. | 0.2 | 0. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Insurance (except on real estate). | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Taxes (except on real estate or income): Sales. |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Other. . . . . | $\} 0.3$ | $\} 0.4$ | \} 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1. | I.I | 1. | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Miscellaneous Expense | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | I.I | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5. | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Total Expense before Interest | 25.4\% | 27.9\% | 29.7\% | 30.0\% | $29.3 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | 28.5\% | $29.5 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | 29.8\% | 28.6\% | $27.7 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | 26.4\% |
| Total Interest. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Total Expenge including Interes | 26.8\% | 29.4\% | 31.3\% | 31.7\% | 30.9\% | 30.3\% | 30.0\% | 31.0\% | 32.2\% | 31.7\% | 31.3\% | 30.1\% | 29.0\% | 28.5\% | 27.7\% |
| Net Proftr | 5.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.2\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% | 4.1\% | 5.0\% | 4.1\% | 2.4\% | 3.5\% | 3.9\% | 6.6\% | 8.2\% | 8.4\% | 9.9\% |
| Net Profit from Real Estate Operations. . . . . . |  |  |  | 1.4\% | 1. $2 \%$ | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.2\% | 1. $5 \%$ | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Interest on Net Worth (except on real estate, leaseholds, and goodwill) |  |  |  | 1.7 | $\underline{ } 9$ | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Other Revenue, Net. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  | L. 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | L. O.I | 0. | 0.0 |
| Total Net Other Income | $2.7 \%$ | 2.8\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 3.3\% | 3.2\% | 2.8\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Net Gann before Income Taxes: Percentage of Net Sales. . . . . Percentage of Net Worth. . . . | $8.6 \%$ $20.7 \ddagger$ | $5.6 \%$ 10.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \% \\ & 5.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \% \\ 11.6 \end{gathered}$ | $7.0 \%$ $12.5 \ddagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \% \\ 12.87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.0 \% \\ 15.07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \% \\ 13.97 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.6 \% \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \% \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ | $7.1 \%$ 13.0 | $\begin{gathered} 9.4 \% \\ 19.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \% \\ & 24.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \% \\ & 25.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.3 \% \\ & 30.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Net Taxes on Income and Excess Profits ${ }^{1}$ |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . . $\cdot$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 1.3\% | 1.9\% | 4.5\% | 6.8\% | 7.0\% | 8.7\% |
| Net Gann after Income Taxes ${ }^{1}$. |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 5.5\% | 5.2\% | 4.9\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% |
| Rate of Stock-turn (times a year) Based on $\mathrm{Be}-$ ginning and Ending Inventories. | 5.2 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.8 | $4 \cdot 3$ | 4.2 | $4 \cdot 4$ | 5.0 |

The statement of one firm did not cover a full fiscal year. This average is adjusted to refiect the sales for the entire period. not based on the reports of all the chains in the group.
 deducted from taxes for 2942 , 1943, and 1944 .
by the Revenue Act of 1943). In terms of percentages, the earnings after taxes were the lowest in the six years, 1939 through 1944, for which data are available.

From the dollar standpoint, on the other hand, the final earnings after taxes were slightly above the earnings for four of the five preceding years, being exceeded only by the earnings in 194I.

The net income tax levy on chains of $\$ 26,657$ per store for 1944 represents the highest recorded assessment by the Federal Government on variety chain earnings. From the standpoint of the Government, the collection of these income taxes probably was as economically and easily accomplished as the securing of any tax of such proportions could be, a fact to be considered, of course, in this war period of abnormal Government requirements. As to the impact of the tax, it is difficult to estimate to what extent it was a hidden sales tax affecting the masses of low-income consumers who patronize variety chains and to what degree it was an impost on the stockholders. It can only be said that the two groups shared the burden.

## Operating Expenses

The decrease in percentage cost in 1944 did not result from important reductions in dollar cost. As shown in Table 3, total dollar costs per store climbed in 1944 to the highest point in the 15 -year period. This increase developed chiefly from a $4 \%$ rise in the dollar payments for salaries and wages and a $20 \%$ jump in miscellaneous expense, which amounted in dollars to $\$ 1,914$ and $\$ 858$ per store, respectively. Minor increases in dollar expenditures for tenancy and advertising were more than offset by decreases in all the other accounts.

The $4 \%$ change in payroll cost per store was reasonable in the face of the larger $6 \%$ increase in the value of the merchandise sold. The abrupt rise in the miscellaneous account may have reflected greater outlays for travel, for professional services, such as auditing, for contributions and donations, and for pension plans.

The fact that the advantages of lowered percentage costs came wholly from increased sales should be realized. In periods of rising sales volume, falling percentage costs often conceal serious increases in dollar outlay. In the year 1944, however, the total dollar costs per store rose by only $3.1 \%$ over 1943, a more moderate year-to-year increase than had been characteristic in the four preceding years when volume increases also had been more marked. It seems clear that expenses have been carefully controlled during the period of expanding sales.

## Trend Data for Medium-Size and Large Chains: 1935-1944

For a number of years separate trend figures for moderate-size and large chains have been published. Data given in Table 6, page 9, bring these series up to date. Here again the upward movement of the margin percentage in 1944 is clear for both groups. Total expense, including interest, however, as a percentage of sales, rose slightly in I944 for the middle-size chains, while it declined substantially for the large chains. As a consequence of these trends, percentage earnings before taxes in 1944 were higher for the large than for the mediumsize chains. In both groups the rate of stock-turn became more rapid as merchandise shortages cut into the chain inventories.

Table 5, below, presents figures for the two chief assets of seven large chains. As is shown by data in the first and third columns, there was a marked drop ( $18 \%$ ) in inventory investment from the end of 1943 to the end of 1944.

Table 5. Selected Balance Sheet Items ${ }^{1}$ of 7 Identical Variety Chains with Net Sales of $\$ 10,000,000$ to $\$ 150,000,000 \dagger$ : 1935-1944

| Year | Average Dollar Amounts per Store |  | Inder of Change in Average Dollar Amounks per Store (1035 $=100$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inventory | Plant and Equipment ${ }^{2}$ | Inventory | Plant and Equipments |
| 1935 | \$24,337 | \$5x,283 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1936 | 25,611 | 52,347 | 105.2 | 102.1 |
| 1937 | 25,834 | 55,125 | 106.2 | 107.5 |
| 1938 | 23,693 | 55,404 | 97.4 | 108.0 |
| 1939 | 27,446 | 55,766 | 122.8 ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | 108.7 |
| 1940 | 31,257 | 56,417 | 128.4 | 110.0 |
| 1945 | 43,758 | 56,940 | 179.8 | III.O |
| 1942 | 45,312 | - | 186.2 | * |
| 1943 | 47,061 | * | $193.4{ }^{\text {, }}$ | - |
| 1944 | 38,540 | * | 158.4 | * |

* Dats not available for entire seven firms. For the six firms giving the data in 1942, 1943, and 1944, the investment per store in plant end equipment was $\$ 64,682$ in 1942, 562,345 in 1943 , and $\$ 59,327$ in 1944 as compared with $\$ 57,196$ in 1935 and $\$ 6_{4}, 583$ in 1041 . Thus the index for the six chains would be 512.9 in 1941, 113.1 in $1942,108.8$ in 1943 , and 103.7 in 1944 .
\$The chains were classified eccording to their net sales volume in 1935 . end of year. ${ }^{2}$ Lexs amortization or reserve for depreciation.


## Inventory and Stock-Sales Ratios

Table 7, page 10, based on figures for a larger group of firms, not necessarily identical over the period, gives monthly inventory figures. A decrease in inventories similar to that shown in Table 5 (more than $16 \%$ ) appears between the December, 1943, and December, 1944, figure. As of December, 1944, dollar inventories were at the lowest level since July, 194r. In view of the fact that sales per

Table 6: Operating Results of Variety Chains with Net Sales of $\$ 500,000$ or More: 1935-1944
(Percentages Computed from the Aggregate Dollar Figures, Combined Net Sales $=100 \%$ )

| Group and Items | 1235 | ${ }^{293}$ | 1937 | ${ }^{2938}$ | 2939 | 1940 | 2941 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 Identical Chains with Net Sales of $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 10,000,000 \dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate Number of Stores. | 352 | 377 | 394 | 407 | 416 | 424 | 437 | 439 | 439 | 442 |
| Average Sales per Store (in thousands) | \$67 | \$71 | \$73 | \$69 | \$72 | \$80 | S9r | \$106 | \$115 | \$121 |
| Gross Margin. | 34.0\% | 34.5\% | 35.1\% | 35.0\% | 35.7\% | 35.4\% | 36.8\% | 37.4\% | 37.6\% | 38.1\% |
| Salaries and Wages. <br> Tenancy Costs and Tight Water and Pow. | 15.9\% | 15.8\% | ${ }^{16.3 \%}$ | ${ }_{16.7}^{16.7}$ | 16.8\% | 16.3\% | 16.8\% | 16.8\% | 17.3\% | 17.3\% |
| Depreciation of Fistures and Equipment ... | x. | 1. <br> 1.8 | 1.0 1.0 | x.2 | ¢ | 7.9 | ${ }_{1.1}^{7.1}$ | 6.5 <br> 1. <br> 0 | 5.9 0.9 | 5.7 0.8 |
| Supplies. | r. 0 | 1.0 | 1. 1 | x. 0 | I. 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Taxes. | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | I. I | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Other Expense. | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ \mathrm{r} .9 \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ | . 2 |
| Total Expense before Interest Total Interest. | ${ }_{\text {29.6\% }}^{1.7}$ | ${ }_{\text {29. }}^{1.4} \mathrm{t}$ |  | $\substack{3 \mathrm{II.2} \mathrm{\%} \\ \mathrm{I} .5}$ | $\overline{\substack{30.6 \% \\ 1.5}}$ | $\underset{\substack{29.6 \% \\ 1.3}}{ }$ | $\overline{\substack{29.0 \% \\ 1.3}}$ | $28.2 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.7 \% \\ 1.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\substack{27.7 \% \\ 1.3}}$ |
| Total Expense including Interest Net Proptr. <br> Total Net Other Income | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{3x} .3 \% \\ & 2.7 \% \\ & \times .6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \% \\ & 4.0 \% \\ & \mathrm{x} .5 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{3 \mathrm{I} .3 \% \\ 3.8 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\substack{32.7 \% \\ 2.3 \%}}$ $2.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.1 \% \\ & 3.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.9 \% \\ 4.5 \% \end{array}$ 官 | $\underset{\substack{30.3 \% \\ 6.5 \%}}{ }$ | 29.4\% 8. 1.8 1.8 | $\xrightarrow{28.9 \%} \begin{gathered}8.7 \% \\ 1.7\end{gathered}$ | 29.0\% $\substack{1 . \% \\ 1.9}$ |
| Net Gain before Income Taxes': Percentage of Net Sales. Percentage of Net Worth. . | 4.3\% | 5.5\% | 5;7\% | ${ }_{13.0}^{4.4 \%}$ | ${ }_{16.4}^{5 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \% \\ 20.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.4 \% \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \% \\ 35.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \% \\ & 36.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IT. } 0 \% \\ & 36.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rate of Stock-turn (times a year) Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories....... | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4. 1 | 4.4 |

$7 \ddagger$ Identical Chains with Net Sales
of $\$ 10,000,000$ to $\$ 150,000,000 \dagger$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Aggregate Number of Stores. \& 1,777 \& 1,815 \& x,847 \& x,872 \& 1,886 \& x,892 \& 1,906 \& 1,917 \& 1,91x \& 1,904 \\
\hline Average Sales per Store (in thousands). \& \$175 \& \$r91 \& \$194 \& \$185 \& \$195 \& \$207 \& \$239 \& \$282 \& \$308 \& \$326 \\
\hline Gross Margin \& 32.7\% \& 33.4\% \& 33:4\% \& 32.9\% \& 33.5\% \& 33.6\% \& 35.5\% \& 35.8\% \(\ddagger\) \& 35.4\% \& 36.5\% \(\ddagger\) \\
\hline Salaries and Wages \& 13.5\% \& 13.5\% \& 14.1\% \& 14.2\% \& 14.1\% \& 14.1\% \& 14.4\% \& 14.4\% \(\ddagger\) \& 14.8\% \(\ddagger\) \& 14.5\% \(\ddagger\) \\
\hline Tenancy Costs and Light, Water, and Power \& 9.1 \& 9.1 \& 9.7 \& 9.8 \& 9.6 \& 9.2 \& 8.3 \& 7.27 \& \(6.8 \pm\) \& \(6.4 \pm\) \\
\hline Depreciation of Fixtures and Equipment ... \& 0.8 \& 0.8 \& 0.8 \& 0.9 \& 0.9 \& 0.8 \& 0.8 \& \(0.7 \ddagger\) \& \(0.6 \pm\) \& \(0.6 \ddagger\) \\
\hline Supplies. \& 1.0 \& 1.0 \& 1.0 \& ェ. 0 \& 0.9 \& 1.0 \& 1. \& \(1.0 \ddagger\) \& \(0.9 \ddagger\) \& \(0.8 \ddagger\) \\
\hline Taxes. \& 0.6 \& 0.7 \& 1.0 \& 1.2 \& I.I \& 1. \& 1.0 \& \(\underline{1.0 \ddagger}\) \& \(0.9 \ddagger\) \& \(0.8 \ddagger\) \\
\hline Other Expense \& 2.2 \& 2.2 \& 2.4 \& 2.2 \& 2.3 \& 2.4 \& 2.2 \& \(2.4 \ddagger\) \& \(2.4 \ddagger\) \& \(2.6 \ddagger\) \\
\hline Total Expense before Interest
Total Interest. . . . . . . . \& \({ }_{\text {27. }}^{27.2 \%}\) \& 27.3\%
1.5 \& \begin{tabular}{c} 
28.4\% \\
1.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{\text {29, }}^{29} 5\) \& \(28.9 \%\)
1.5 \& \(28.6 \%\)
1.5 \& \({ }_{\text {27.7\% }}^{1.4}\) \& \(26.7 \%\)
1.3 \(\ddagger \ddagger\) \& \(26.4 \%\)
1.3 \(\ddagger \ddagger\) \& \[
\begin{gathered}
25.7 \% \ddagger \\
1.3 \ddagger
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Total Expense including Interes
Net Proprit. \& 28.8\%
\(3.9 \%\) \& \(\begin{array}{r}\text { 28.8\% } \\ 4.6 \% \\ \hline 2.8\end{array}\) \& 29.9\%
\(3.5 \%\) \& \(30.9 \%\)
\(2.0 \%\) \& \(30.4 \%\)
\(3.1 \%\) \& \(30.1 \%\)
\(3.5 \%\) \& 29.1\%
6.4\% \& \(28.0 \%\)
\(7.8 \%\)

¢ \& $27.7 \%$
$7.7 \% \ddagger$

+ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
27.0 \% \\
9.5 \% \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline Total Net Other Income \& 3.6
2.6 \& 2.8.8 \& $3.5 \%$
3.0 \& $2.0 \%$
3.0 \& 3.15
2.9 \& $3.5 \%$
2.8 \& 6.4\%

2.6 \& 7.80\% \& | $7.7 \%$ |
| :--- |
| 2.45 | \& 9.5\%

$2.4 \ddagger$ <br>
\hline Net Gain before Income Taxes: Percentage of Net Sales. Percentage of Net Worth. \& ${ }_{\text {14.5 }}^{6.5}$ \& 7.4\%
16.9 \& 6.5\% \& 5.0\%

10.5 \& ${ }_{\text {13.3 }}^{6.0 \%}$ \& 6.3\% \& ${ }_{22.6}^{9.0 \%}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10.2 \% \\
& 28.3 \ddagger
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10.1 \% \ddagger \\
& 28.8 \ddagger
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11.9 \% \ddagger \\
& 35.0 \ddagger
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline Net Taxes on Income and Profits \& 0.9\% \& 1.6\% \& 1.3\% \& 1.0\% \& 1.1\% \& 1.8\% \& 4.5\% \& 6.7\% $\ddagger$ \& 6.6\% $\ddagger$ \& 8.6\% $\ddagger$ <br>
\hline Net Gann after Income Taxes (percentage of net sales) \& 5.6\% \& 5.8\% \& 5.2\% \& 4.0\% \& 4.9\% \& 4.5\% \& 4.5\% \& 3.5\% ${ }^{+}$ \& 3.5\% + \& 3.3\% $\ddagger$ <br>
\hline Rate of Stock-turn (times a year) Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories. \& 5.1 \& 5.1 \& 5.0 \& 5.0 \& 5.1 \& 4.7 \& 4.1 \& 4.7 $\ddagger$ \& $4.3 \ddagger$ \& $5.0 \ddagger$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^10]Table 7. Average End-of-Month Retail Inventory per Store: 1934-1944

| Month | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 | 1938 | 1939 | 1940 | 1943 | 1942 | 3943 | 1944 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Chains | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 2 I |
| January ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | \$29,143 | \$34,532 | \$34,451 | \$36,427 | \$35,657 | \$32,367 | \$36,542 | \$39,349 | \$58,804 | \$57,141 | \$60,537 |
| February | 35,539 | 35,382 | 35,314 | 38,865 | 36,694 | 34,953 | 39,579 | 41,532 | 62,469 68,593 | 55,833 58,733 | 62,080 65,469 |
| March. | 37,220 | 38,280 | 37,274 | 41,586 | 39,482 | 38,900 | 41,03I | 44,468 | 68,593 | 58,733 | 65,469 |
| April. | 40,199 | 38,532 | 37,494 | 44,180 | 38,55x | 38,326 | 42,807 | 45,096 | 71,000 | 60,965 | 65,067 |
| May. | 40,296 | 38,395 | 36,980 | 43,592 | 38,306 | 37,483 | 41,828 | 44,049 | 70,377 | 61,437 | 64,684 |
| June. | 38,528 | 37,219 | 36,445 | 42,840 | 37,015 | 36,874 | 38,974 | 44,616 | 71,23I | 62,177 | 63,866 |
| July. | 31,758 | 36,044 | 36,355 | 4x,57x | 35,066 | 36,627 | 33,866 | 47,204 | 73,13I | 63,800 | 64,407 |
| August | 37,052 | 36,509 | 40,002 | 43,538 | 36,322 | 39,686 | 40,306 | 49,083 | 72,902 | 65,861 | 64,018 |
| September | 38,816 | 40,003 | 42,714 | 46,814 | 39,200 | 41,891 | 44,916 | 55,103 | 75,408 | 69,032 | 62,679 |
| October | 41,009 | 43,978 | 46,324 | 50,122 | 42,747 | 46,076 | 49,747 | 62,074 | 76,914 | 70,988 | 63,9x7 |
| November | 42,946 | 45,593 | 48,06I | 50,930 | 45,6x6 | 48,579 | 52,153 | 64,882 | 75,406 | 71,253 | 63,230 |
| December | 33,377 | 31,688 | 35,783 | 35,085 | 30,995 | 33,72I | 37,380 | 52,651 | 56,847 | 57,936 | 48,338 |

${ }^{1}$ Inventory per store for January, 1945, averaged $\$ \mathbf{5 2 , 8 8 6}$ for the 20 firms reporting such data, as compared with $\$ 49,115$ for the same firms for December, r944-
store in 1944 tended to be more than $30 \%$ higher than in the year 1941, the ratio of stock to sales reached a new low, as shown in Table 8, page ir. The monthly figures disclose that, following the unusually high ratios of inventories to sales in 1942, when chains definitely were building up emergency stocks, the ratios fell to an approximately normal level in 1943 .and early 1944. Beginning with August, 1944, however, the ratios coasted sharply downward until in December there was typically less than 45 days' stock on hand.

This situation stemmed directly from the difficulty of procuring desirable merchandise. Apparently a real effort was made by merchandising executives not to overbuy on substitute articles which would prove generally unacceptable to consumers or which would fail to move if better merchandise should become available. In this regard it is interesting to review some of the statements in annual printed reports of the leading chains. The W. T. Grant Company, for example, a firm which has no upper price limit, included this statement, "The end-of-year inventory was considerably lower than had been planned. There is a very real shortage of desirable merchandise. It is the company policy to keep stocks of war substitute goods at a minimum." A $15 \%$ drop in inventories for the F. W. Woolworth Co., another firm which has abandoned price limits, is commented on in the annual report for that firm, as follows: "The merchandise inventoried is of good quality, and we do not anticipate any material inventory losses during the transition period to normal conditions. It is the policy of the Company at this time to keep stocks as low as possible, consistent with anticipated business, so that the Company will be in a position to take advantage of better values and improved items of merchandise as soon as they become available."

The S. H. Kress Co., which has maintained a dollar upper limit, commented on a $33 \%$ reduction in inventory as resulting from "the increasing difficulty in obtaining desirable merchandise".

Comparison of the Kress experience with that for Woolworth and Grant suggests that the chains with fixed upper price limits may have experienced greater reductions in inventory than those without fixed limits. Partial corroboration of this is found in an article on inventories which appeared in the September, 1944, edition of Chain Store Age ${ }^{1}$. R. A. Sprouse, President of Sprouse-Reitz Co., Inc., writes of the situation in his 180 -store chain as follows: "Of course, the biggest decline [in inventories] has been on 5 - and ro-cent items. This lack has been offset to a great extent through the addition of higher priced lines - merchandise such as giftwares and pictures."

The two dominant problems encountered by variety chains, in common with other retailers during the war period, have been merchandise scarcities and employee turnover. The first of these has been touched on in the preceding discussion, while the latter has been given no consideration.

Failure to mention the employment problem earlier resulted from a lack of basic statistical data. The Bureau for the past two years has omitted the assembling of material on the average number of part-time and full-time employees, for example, in recognition of the fact that, though the information would be valuable, it would be too timeconsuming for individual reporting chain executives to prepare in a period of acute shortages of office personnel. That the employment problem has been serious both in stores and offices is indicated by the numerous articles in trade journals on

[^11]Table 8. Stock-Sales Ratios: 1934-1944

| Month | Retio of Retain Inventory for End of PrecedingMonth to Seles for Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1934 | 1935. | 1936 | 1937 | 1938 | 1939 | 1940 | 3948 | 1942 | 2943 | 1944 |
| Number of Chains | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 21 |
| January ${ }^{1}$ | $3 \cdot 3 \mathrm{x}$ | 3.29 | 3.30 | - 3.43 | 3.47 | 3.06 | 3.22 | 3.31 | 3.54 | 3.25 | 3.29 |
| February | 3.30 | 3.34 | 3.26 | 3.26 | 3.46 | 3.15 | 3.31 | 3.31 | 3.86 | 3.10 | 3.25 |
| March. | 2.54 | 3.09 | 3.08 | 2.62 | 3.7Y | 2.87 | 2.77 | 3.00 | 3.43 | 2.75 | 2.89 |
| April. .................... | 3.10 | 2.92 | 2.71 | 3.16 | 2.76 | 2.77 | 3.23 | 2.75 | 3.51 | 2.54 | 2.80 |
| May.................... | 2.93 | 3.10 | 2.69 | 2.90 | 3.03 | 2.75 | 2.97 | 2.69 | $3 \cdot 55$ | 2.78 | 2.74 |
| June.................... | 2.97 | 3.04 | 2.56 | 2.92 | 2.91 | 2.74 | 2.93 | 2.87 | 3.46 | 2.75 | 2.87 |
| July...........i.......... | 3.36 | 3.15 | 2.73 | 2.95 | 2.96 | 2.89 | 2.95 | 2.96 | 3.78 | 2.91 | 2.98 |
| August. | 2.95 | 2.89 | 2.72 | 3.10 | 2.81 | 2.86 | 2.68 | 2.84 | 3.56 | 3.05 | 2.80 |
| September.:.............. | 2.98 | 3.45 | 2.94 | 3.06 | 2.74 | 2.86 | 2.96 | 3.02 | 3.46 | 3.00 | 2.6x |
| October. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2.85 | 2.95 | 2.74 | 3.02 | 2.63 | 2.88 | 2.86 | 3.10 | 3.10 | 2.82 | 2.42 |
| November | 3.08 | 3.13 | 3.23 | 3.43 | 2.99 | 3.08 | 3.03 | 3.39 | 3.26 | 2.82 | 2.31 |
| December................ | 1.69 | 1.75 | 1.65 | 1.75 | 1.52 | $\mathbf{1 . 6 0}$ | 1.66 | 1.80 | 1.8r | ¢. 75 | 1.40 |

${ }^{1}$ The ratio of stocke for December 31, 1944, to seles for January, 3045, was 2.52 for 20 firms.
the necessity for personnel training programs, and by the many cartoons appearing in Chain Store Age and Syndicate Store Merchandiser highlighting the lack of competent trained help.

It is regrettable that employment material is not
available for discussion. All chain executives, however, are conscious of the current situation. They also must realize that a big and challenging problem awaits them in the rebuilding of their staffs to assist in the large postwar distribution job which lies ahead.

## SECTION III

## OPERATING RESULTS FOR VȦRIETY CHAINS IN 1944

The figures presented in Section $r$ indicate the general trend in variety chain operating results. For a more detailed analysis of the experience in 1944, Tables io through 22 provide averages for a greater number of accounts based on a larger group of reporting firms.

## Data for All Reporting Chains

The basic material used consisted of statements submitted by 37 firms operating a total of 5,279 stores. As is shown in Table 10, page I3, the aggregate sales volume realized in these stores was well over a billion and a third dollars. The stores, which varied in size, were located in many different places, more than $70 \%$ of them being stituated in cities of less than roo,000 population. The average sales volume per store, derived by dividing the total net sales by the number of stores, was over $\$ 250,000$. This figure, however, is influenced upward by the existence of several very large stores. Information in Table 9, below, based on the reports of 30 firms, indicates that somewhat more than $40 \%$ of the stores had sales of less than $\$ 150,000$ and about $65 \%$ had volumes under $\$ 250,000$. The firms typically increased their sales per store from 1943 to 1944 by between $5 \%$ and $6 \%$ of sales.

## Operating Results

In Table io income and expense data are given for the 37 variety chains. The first column provides a summary of the aggregate dollars reported, while the second column presents the relationship of the various dollar figures to the total net sales. These figures, then, show what was covered by the consumers' dollars spent in variety chains. Merchandise costs absorbed more than 63 cents out of each
dollar, salaries and wages nearly 15 cents, and total expense including this payroll, not quite 28 cents.

Because average percentages based on aggregate dollar figures are influenced by the experience of large volume firms, another set of percentage figures giving equal weight to the experience of large and small chains is furnished in the third column. The median data in this column were derived by arranging the individual percentages reported by the 37 firms for the various items in order from the lowest figure to the highest, as shown for selected accounts in Table II, page 14. The middle figure in each such series was used as the median. The data in Table ir indicate, as might well be expected, considerable variation in the experience of the individual firms. The percentages of gross margin, for instance, ranged from an extreme low of $27.12 \%$ to a high of $41.90 \%$; and, if the first quarter and last quarter of the reported percentages were cut off, thus eliminating extremely high or low items, the remaining half of the data differed within only $4 \%$ of sales from $35.30 \%$ to $39.03 \%$. In the last two columns of Table io, similar limits of the middle half of the items in the series for each account are provided.

The median figures, which are not influenced by the performance of a few unusually large firms, probably are more typical of the individual chains than are the averages based on dollar aggregates. Comparison of the average percentages based on aggregates with the medians substantiates findings of earlier years. The payroll percentage based on aggregates is lower than the median, reflecting the more effective use of personnel by the large volume firms. On the other hand, in the case of tenancy

Table 9. Distribution of Stores Operated by 30 Variety Chains Classified According to Sales per Store: 1944
(Aggregate Figures)

| Annual Net Sales per Store | Number of Chains Operating Stores of the Size Indicated | Stores Operated for the Entire Fiscal Year |  | Net Sales in Stores Operated for the Entire Fiscal Year |  | Average Sales per Store |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percentage of Total Number of Storea | Dollars | Percentage of Total Net Sales |  |
| Less than \$30,000. | 8 | 41 | 1.31\% | \$1,050,492 | 0.12\% | \$25,622 |
| \$30,000-75,000. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 29 | 447 | 14.30 | 24,315,227 | 2.77 | 54,396 |
| \$75,000-150,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 27 | 787 | 25.19 | 88,018,63I | 10.01 | III,841 |
| \$150,000-250,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 22 | 753 | 24.10 | 146,830,118 | 16.70 | 194,994 |
| \$250,000-500,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 14 | 685 | 21.92 | 238,872,584 | 27.18 | 348,719 |
| \$500,000-1,000,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 9 | 299 | 9.57 | 201,971,97x | 22.98 | 675,492 |
| \$1,000,000-2,000,000 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8 | 96 | 3.07 | 130,686,256 | 14.87 | 1,361,315 |
| \$2,000,000 or More. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5 | 17 | 0.54 | 47,170,492 | 5.37 . | 2,774,735 |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | - 30 | 3,125 | 100.00\% | \$878,915,771 | 100.00\% | \$281,253 |

Table 10. Operating Results for 37 Variety Chains: 1944
(Net Sales $=\mathbf{r 0 0 \%}$, except where noted)


* Data not available.
${ }_{1}$ All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total.
Data on stores operated continuously for 24 consecutive months were provided by 27 of the 37 chains.
${ }^{3}$ The figures for this item were based on the reports of the $3^{6}$ chains giving balance sheet data.
${ }^{4}$ The figures for this item were based on the reports of 20 chains representing $90.0 \%$ of the sales volume. Since the data for income taxes and earnings after tarea are based on a reduced sample, exact arithmetical relationships cannot be expected between these percentages and those for net gain before taxes basod on information from 37 reports.

The fagures for this item were based on the reports of the ar chains giving monthly data.

- Information concerniog the location of some of the stores was not avilable.

Table 11. Operating Percentages for 37 Variety Chains: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$; medians in bold type)
Nore: The percentages in each column are arranged in order of size and hence the percentages in each horizontal row are not figures for the same firm.

| Gross Margin ${ }^{1}$ | Total Expense including Interest ${ }^{1}$ | Net Profit ${ }^{1}$ | Net Gain ${ }^{1}$ before Income Taxes | Salaries and Wages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.12\% | 21.15\% | Loss 0.01\% | 1.97\% | 10.57\% |
| 31.23 | 21.16 | Profit 1.44 | 3.44 | II. 54 |
| 31.91 | 22.40 | $3 \cdot 71$ | 4.48 | II. 94 |
| 32.70 | 22.95 | 4.32 | $5 \cdot 73$ | 13.42 |
| 33.65 | 23.90 | 4.84 | 7.09 | 13.85 |
| 34.40 | 24.03 | 5.96 | 7.28 | 13.98 |
| 34.68 | 24.39 | 6.39 | 7.67 | 14.20 |
| 35.05 | 24.44 | 7.06 | 8.27 | 14.41 |
| 35.29 | 24.72 | 7.26 | 8.73 | 14.52 |
| 35-30 | 24.85 | 7.41 | 8.96 | 14.65 |
| 35.33 | 25.17 | 7.54 | 9.32 | 14.93 |
| 35.66 | 25.47 | 7.64 | 9.42 | 14.95 |
| 36.16 | 26.22 | 7.65 | 9.60 | 15.15 |
| 36.26 | 26.99 | 7.70 | 9.73 | 15.33 |
| 36.63 | 27.34 | 8.06 | 10.01 | 15.62 |
| 36.74 | 27.48 | 8.50 | 10.14 | 15.70 |
| 36.99 | 27.59 | 8.68 | 10.83 | 15.81 |
| 37.14 | 27.75 | 8.83 | 11. 22 | 15.99 |
| 37.36 | 27.92 | - 9.07 | 11.4I | 16.06 |
| 37.39 | 27.95 | 9.51 | 11.48 | 16.37 |
| 37.66 | 28.52 | 9.75 | II. 72 | 16.68 |
| 37.75 | 28.84 | - 9.76 | II. 74 | 17.22 |
| 38.09 | 29.22 | 9.96 | 11.80 | 17.56 |
| 38.13 | 29.23 | 10.07 | 11.81 | 17.78 |
| 38.29 | 29.85 | 10.38 | 11.95 | 17.79 |
| 38.59 | 29.87 | 10.52 | 12.07 | 17.82 |
| 38.80 | 30.01 | 10.94 | 12.74 | 18.56 |
| 39.03 | 30.18 | 10.97 | 13.28 | 18.61 |
| 39.87 | 31.02 | 11.56 | 13.68 | 18.79 |
| 40.17 - | 31.58 | 15.89 | 13.85 | 19.12 |
| 40.20 | 35.86 | 12.13 | 14.03 | 19.48 |
| 40.26 | 32.52 | 12.29 | 14.09 | 19.86 |
| 40.36 | 32.62 | 12.49 | 14.63 | 20.19 |
| 40.67 | 33.45 | 13.57 | 14.95 | 22.03 |
| 40.78 | 33.66 | 13.74 | 16.06 | 22.32 |
| 4 4 .74 | 33.86 | 15.13 | 16.51 | 22.38 |
| 4 x .90 | 35.03 | 17.46 | 19.71 | 23.62 |
| $37.36 \%$ Median | $27.92 \%$ Median | 9.07\% Median | $11.41 \%$ Median | $16.06 \%$ Median |
| Median | Median | Median | Median | Median |

${ }^{1}$ One figure included in the array for gross mar gin and one for net gain has been adjusted so as to be more nearly comparable with the data reported by the been adjusted so as to be more nearly comparable with the data reported by the
other firms. Two figures included in the arrays for Total Expense and Net other firms. Two figures included
costs, the average based on aggregates exceeds the median percentage showing the effect of the high rentals paid by large chains in their urban locations.

Another account in which the medians differ pronouncedly from the aggregates is that of net gain in relation to net worth. The median figures exceed those based on aggregates for earnings both before and after income taxes. The difference probably reflects the larger investment relative to sales volume of the large chains. Marked differences do not appear between the medians and averages based on aggregates for net gain as a percentage of sales.

On the aggregate basis net gain after taxes averaged $9.38 \%$ of net worth. The corresponding
figure for 1943 was $9.78 \%$. Both of these percentages compare closely with the averages of $9.8 \%$ and $9.9 \%$ for the same two years published for leading manufacturing corporations by 'the Na tional City Bank of New York. ${ }^{1}$
New Data on Real Estate Arrangements
Since the tenancy cost account is one for which significant cost is incurred by variety chains, it seemed desirable to secure further information on the real estate arrangements. Twenty-five chains, operating 4,897 stores, reported on the extent of ownership of store property. In only ro\% of the stores was the property entirely owned by the chains and in $1.5 \%$ owned in part by the firms. More than $88 \%$ of the stores were leased either from outsiders or through subsidiary realty companies, the latter method being used by approximately $2 \%$ of the stores. It is clear then that the leasing of stores from outside landlords was the common practice.

Inquiry into the types of leases brought information from 23 of the 25 chains. More than half the store leases provided for flat dollar payments. A few leases, less than $2 \%$, involved special arrangements such as flat dollar amounts plus taxes or plus taxes and insurance. In the remainder of the cases some form of percentage lease was employed, about half such leases being on a straight percentage of sales basis, with the balance combinations of flat dollar amounts and percentage terms. The straight percentage leases and those involving both dollar amounts and percentages of sales were used chiefly by the organizations operating large numbers of stores. No chain, however, had percentage leases or modifications of such leases in all its stores.

## Sales by Merchandise Lines

Table 12 presents a classification of sales by merchandise lines for 18 chains which secured $93.5 \%$ of the total volume represented in this study. As was the case in 1943, sales of ready-to-wear accessories and apparel were of primary significance. The median figures indicate that the sales of such accessories were relatively more important than in 1943, while volume in women's, misses', and juniors' ready-to-wear was somewhat lower in 1944 than in 1943. The latter trend, no doubt, resulted from scarcities of low-end merchandise.

Comparison of the medians and the averages based on aggregates again discloses differences which suggest that some of the large volume chains did not put as much emphasis on ready-to-wear

[^12]Table 12. Sales by Merchandise Lines for 18 Variety Chains: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$ )

| Merchandise Lines | Medians ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Aggregates ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women's, Misses', and Juniors' Ready-to-Wear. | 5.20\% | * |
| Ready-to-Wear Accessories. | 20.80 | , |
| Men's and Boys' Clothing and Furnishings. | $5.23 \dagger$ | * |
| Total Apparel and Accessories..... | 30.66 | 24.67\% |
| Notions and Smallwares. | 7.42 | 7.87 |
| Dry Goods and Domestics | 6.77 | 8.54 |
| Drugs and Toiletries. | 8.08 | 8.73 |
| Hardware, Paint, Etc.. | 4.00 |  |
| Electrical Supplies and Appliances | 1.47 | ) 5.44 |
| Home Furnishings | 7.08 | 6.83 |
| Stationery..... | 8.11 | 9.52 |
| Toys, Games, and | 6.24 | 6.16 |
| Jewelry | 1.87 | 1.97 |
| Confectionery and Nuts | 8.51 | 7.67 |
| Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, and Restaurants. | 4.11 | 7.12 |
| Miscellaneous (including groceries) | 5.07 | 5.48 |
| Total. | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |

* Data not available.
$\dagger$ Usable data for this item were given on less than $75 \%$ of the reports.
${ }^{1}$ All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal too.
${ }^{2}$ The total net sales used in arriving at these figures amounted to $\$ 1,265,657$,403, or approximately $93.5 \%$ of the total volume of sales represented in this study.
accessory and apparel lines as did the rest of the reporting firms. The median figure for sales of total accessories and apparel was $30.66 \%$ contrasted to a figure of $24.67 \%$ based on total dollars. On the other hand, the aggregate figures indicate for the large chains more extensive sales in soda fountains, luncheonettes, and restaurants, departments not so frequently operated by small chains. Furthermore, the figures for large chains, which influenced the aggregates disclosed somewhat greater proportionate volume in notions and smallwares, dry goods and domestics, drugs and toiletries, and stationery.


## Operating Results for Small, Medium, and Large Volume Chains

As already indicated by differences in the medians and the averages based on aggregates for all reporting chains, the results for large and small chains had significant differences. This finding, also characteristic in prior years, led in former studies to the preparation of special data for chains in different size groups. The program used in earlier surveys has been extended to this study, so that chain executives may have for comparison average figures based on the experience of companies similar to their own. Tables 13 through 23 , therefore, recognize some measure of size. For the most part
the value of these tables is for individual chain comparisons. Comment on the figures will therefore be restricted to pointing out a few important tendencies or characteristics displayed by the data.
The first of the tables, Number 13, page 16, presents detailed operating averages for four groups of chains classified according to sales per chain. The volume limits are comparable with those used in the r943 study. The identity of the firms in the fourth group, with sales of $\$ 25,000,000$ to $\$ 250,000,000$, is the same as the identity of the corresponding group for 1943; there were differences, however, in the representation in the other three groups, the greatest change having taken place in the membership in the group of firms with sales of less than $\$ 500,000$. There was a decline from 1943 in the number of small chains submitting data. It must be stressed that the averages for the first two volume groups are based on small numbers of reports and may not be so representative of chains of those sizes as the figures for the third and fourth volume groups are for the larger firms.

## Greatest Sales Increases in Medium-Size Chains

A glance at the third line of the table shows that firms in the second group - those with total sales of $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$ - appeared to achieve greater sales increases per store from 1943 to 1944 than did the larger chains. This tendency, of course, may reflect regional advantages peculiar to the areas in which these moderate-size chains were situated. Monthly sales comparisons prepared by Chain Store Age have disclosed substantially better sales comparisons for 1944 relative to 1943 for local or regional chains in some sections ${ }^{1}$ of the country than was characteristic of the national chains. According to those comparisons, in to out of 12 months the performance of the chains of the South West, Pacific Coast, and Mountain zones was better than that of the national chains. In 9 out of 12 months the same was true for regional chains operating in the East Central states, while

[^13]Table 13. Operating Results for 36 Variety Chains Classified According to Volume of Sales: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$, except where noted)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Median² Figures} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Aggregate Figures} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Percentages Computed from the Figures of Each Chain Taken Individually} \& \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Percentages Computed from the Combined Dollar Figures of the Chains in Each Sales Volume Group ,} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Net Sales Volume .(in thousands)} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{- \(\begin{gathered}\text { Net Sales Volume } \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{gathered}\)} \\
\hline \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Less than } \\
\& \$ 500 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 500 \\
\& 2,000
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 2,000 \\
\& 25,000
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 25,000 \\
\& 250,000
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Less than } \\
\$ 500
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 500 \\
\& 2,000
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 2,000- \\
\& 25,000
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 25,000- \\
\& \mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of Chains. \\
Average Sales per Store. \(\qquad\) \\
Index of Change (1944/1943): \\
Number of Stores per Chain. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \\
Net Sales per Chain . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \\
Average Sales per Store. \\
. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \\
Net Sales in Identical Stores \({ }^{2}\). \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& 6 \& 7 \& 15 \& 8 \& 6 \& - 7 \& 15 \& 8 \\
\hline \& \$52,723 \& \$87,83 \& \$102,854 \& \$354,860 \& \$48,307 \& \$75,208 \& \$97,782 \& \$352,682 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 100.00 \& 100.00 \& 100.00 \& 99.48 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 100.43 \& 108.05 \& 107.37 \& 105.51 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 95.76 \& 108.46 \& 106.23 \& 106.24 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& - \& 108.05 \& 106.28 \& 105.45 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage) Gross Margn. \& \(66.31 \%\)
33.69 \& \(62.64 \%\)
37.36 \& \(6 \mathrm{r} .71 \%\)
38.29 \& \(61.88 \%\)
38.12 \& \(65.76 \%\)
34.24 \& \(62.82 \%\)
37.18 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 61.44 \% \\
\& 38.56
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 62.40 \% \\
\& 37.60
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Salaries and Wages. \\
Tenancy Costs. \\
Light, Water, and Power. \\
Depreciation of Fixtures and Equipment \\
Supplies. \\
Advertising. \\
Insurance (except on real estate) \\
Taxes (except on real estate or income): \\
Sales. \\
Other. \\
Miscellaneous Expense.
\end{tabular}} \& 13.64\% \& 18.56\% \& 17.56\% \& \(15.04 \%\) \& 16.75\% \& 17.57\% \& 17.33\% \& 14.88\% \\
\hline \& 2.75 \& 2.88 \& 3.93 \& 6.18 \& 2.72 \& 3.02 \& 17.33\%
4.52 \& 6.29 \\
\hline \& 0.50 \& 0.63 \& 0.65 \& 0.72 \& 0.57 \& 0.70 \& 0.70 \& 0.71 \\
\hline \& 0.51 \& 0.51 \& 0.6 x \& 0.55 \& 0.48 \& 0.50 \& 0.79 \& 0.54 \\
\hline \& 0.35 \& 0.68 \& 0.80 \& 0.83 \& 0.69 \& 0.82 \& 0.78 \& 0.82 \\
\hline \& 0.22 \& 0.20 \& 0.16 \& 0.10 \& 0.23 \& 0.13 \& 0.16 \& 0.29 \\
\hline \& 0.57 \& 0.33 \& 0.49 \& 0.33 \& 0.62 \& 0.33 \& 0.46 \& 0.30 \\
\hline \& . 00 \& 0.00 \& . 01 \& 0.03 \& 0.0 \& 0.01 \& - 0.01 \& 0.04 \\
\hline \& 1.09 \& 1.01 \& 1.06 \& 0.80 \& 1.23 \& 0.99 \& 0.99 \& 0.79 \\
\hline \& 1.60 \& 2.97 \& \(x .32\) \& 1.85 \& 1.76 \& 2.30 \& I. 54 \& 1.77 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total Expense before Interest. Total Interest} \& 20.63\% \& 28.79\% \& 26.78\% \& 27.17\% \& \[
25.01 \%
\] \& 26.37\% \& 27.28\% \& 26.43\% \\
\hline \& 1. 56 \& 1.22 \& 1. 28 \& 1.17 \& \[
1.58
\] \& I.21 \& 1.28 \& I. 27 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Toral Expense including Interest. \\
Net Profit \\
Net Profit from Real Estate Operations. Interest on Net Worth (except on real estate, leaseholds, and goodwill). \\
Other Revenue, Net.
\end{tabular}} \& 22.68\% \& 30.01\% \& 27.75\% \& 28.24\% \& 26.59\% \& 27.58\% \& 28.56\% \& 27.70\% \\
\hline \& 7.6r\% \& 8.50\% \& 9.51\% \& 10.02\% \& 7.65\% \& 9.60\% \& 10.00\% \& 9.90\% \\
\hline \& 0.09\% \& . \(0.06 \%\) \& 0.08\% \& 0.60\% \& 0.09\% \& 0.12\% \& 0.26\% \& 0.95\% \\
\hline \& 1. 37 \& 1.17 \& 1.18 \& 1.20 \& 1.48 \& 1.16 \& 1.27 \& 1.32 \\
\hline \& \& 0.05 \& 0.20 \& 0.03 \& 0.02 \& 0.10 \& 0.27 \& 0.14 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total Net Other Income. \(\qquad\) \\
Net Gain before Income Taxes: \\
Percentage of Net Sales. \\
Percentage of Net Worth \({ }^{3}\) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& 1.43\% \& 1. \(39 \%\) \& I. \(54 \%\) \& 2.15\% \& 1. \(59 \%\) \& 1.38\% \& 1.74\% \& 2.41\% \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 2.38\% \& 1.74\% \& 2.41\% \\
\hline \& 9.45\% \& 9.73 \& 11.41\% \& 11.8r\% \& 9.24\% \& 10.98\% \& 11.74\% \& 12.31\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Provision for Taxes on •Income and Excess Profitst. . \\
Total Excess Profits Tax Credits
\end{tabular}} \& \& \& 50.09 \& 37.72 \& 35.18 \& 54.88 \& 3. \& 31.68 \\
\hline \& \& * \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& * \& * \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 8.20 \% \\
\& 0.74
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 9.33 \% \\
\& 0.73
\end{aligned}
\] \& \& \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 9.48 \% \\
\& 0.73
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \& * \& 5.96\% \& 7.90\% \& 8.55\% \& * \& \& \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
NET Gans after Income Taxes: \\
Percentage of Net Sales \({ }^{4}\). \(\qquad\) \\
Percentage of Net Worth \({ }^{4}\).
\end{tabular} \& * \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 3.53 \% \\
\& 19.40
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2.97 \% \\
\& \mathbf{1 3 . 0 5}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 8.55 \% \\
\& 3.59 \% \\
\& 9.92
\end{aligned}
\] \& * \& \(6.83 \%\)

$4.53 \%$
19.75 \& $7.77 \%$
$3.33 \%$

11.89 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.75 \% \\
& 3.56 \% \\
& 9.16
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rate of Stock-turn (times a year): Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories. Based on Monthly Inventoriest. . . . . . . . . . . . .} \& \& \& . 3.05 \& \& \& $$
19.75
$$ \& \& <br>

\hline \& 3.79 \& 5.60 \& 4.47
3.68 \& 4.95 \& 3.54 \& 5.86 \& 4.20 \& 5.03 <br>
\hline Total Markdowns and Shortages. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& \& \& \& 4.88
r.68\% \& \& \& 3.38 \& 4.88 <br>
\hline Freight, Express, Postage, and Truckage...... \& - \& I. $54 \%$ \& 1.76\% \& 1.68\% \& \& * \& * \& 1.59\% <br>

\hline | Distribution of Stores among Cities with |
| :--- |
| Populations of ${ }^{8}$ Less than 10,000 | \& \& \& \& 1.42\% \& \& \& \& 1.59\% <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& 60.71\% \& 86.21\% \& 68.92\% \& 16.87\% <br>
\hline 25,000-100,000 \& \& \& \& . \& 3.57 \& 5.17 \& 14.58 \& 25.07 <br>
\hline 100,000-500,000 \& \& \& \& . \& 17.86 \& 1.72 \& 5.99 \& 29.72 <br>
\hline 500,000-1,000,000. \& \& \& \& \& 17.86 \& 6.90 \& 6.10 \& 12.93 <br>
\hline x,000,000 or More . . . . . . . . \& \& \& \& . $\cdot$. \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 2.60 \& 5.98 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 0.00 \& $0 . \infty$ \& 1.8r \& 9.43 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## - Data not available.

${ }_{1}^{1}$ All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total.
${ }^{3}$ Dhata on stores operated continuously for 24 consecutive months were provided by 5 chains in the second group. $\rho$ in the third, and by all chains in the fourth group. In the third and fourth groups providing balance sheet data. were based on the reports of the 6 chains in the firsit group, 6 chains in the second group, and all chains -The figures for this item were based on data for 5 chai
${ }^{4}$ The figures for this item were based on data for 5 chains in the second group, 12 chains in the third group, and all chains in the fourth group.

- Date on store locations were provided by 6 firms in the recond group.

Table 14. Store Expense, General Overhead Expense, and Total Expense for 18 Variety Chains Classified According to Volume of Sales: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$, except where noted)

in 8 out of 12 months the same was true for chains operating in the South East and West Central zones. On the other hand, firms in the Mid-Atlantic area did not have as great sales increases as the national chains in 9 out of 12 months, while New England operators had less satisfactory sales changes in 8 out of the 12 months.

## Size of City a Factor

Material presented at the foot of Table 13 indicates that chains reporting in the first two volume groups had no outlets in cities with populations in excess of 500,000 and commonly had a majority of their stores in cities with populations of less than 10,000. Firms with total sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,000$ had a few units in cities with populations of more than 500,000 , while firms with sales of more than $\$ 25,000,000$ had more than $15 \%$ of their stores so located. These large chains had slightly less than $\mathbf{4 2} \%$ of their stores in cities of less than 25,000.

The effect of the size of city is shown not only in the high average sales per store figures of the larger chains but also in the percentage of sales incurred for tenancy costs. The largest chains with more urban locations paid more than $6 \%$ of sales for this item, whereas the small chains paid in the neighborhood of $3 \%$ of sales.
Low Percentage Payroll and Administrative Costs for Large Chains

An advantage associated with large volume per chain and per store was evident, as it has been in other years, in the low percentage cost for salaries and wages incurred by chains with sales of more than $\$ 25,00,000$.

Further light is thrown on the payroll advantage of the largest chains by the data provided in Table 14, page 17. Here it is clearly shown by the medians that the salaries of store managers were substantially lower in percentage of sales for firms with sales above $\$ 25,000,000$ than they were for chains with sales from $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,000$. This lower percentage resulted despite the fact that dollar payments to store managers were almost twice as high in the case of the larger chains. The sales per store, however, were more than 3.5 times as great, so that the percentage cost was less. A substantial economy, more than $1 \%$ of sales, was also shown in the total administrative and general payroll of the very large chains as compared with the group with lower sales volumes.

New data collected for 1944 indicate that the chains with sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25, \infty 0,000$ commonly employed three executives at an average compensation ${ }^{1}$ per executive of about $\$ 15,000$. Total payments to these executives amounted commonly to $0.9 \%$ of net sales, a figure closely
agreeing with the median for salaries of officers and chief executives in Table 14. For the chains with sales of more than $\$ 25,000,000$, five executives usually were employed at an average compensation per person of approximately $\$ 35,000$. Since the sales volume per chain was far greater for these firms than for firms in the preceding group, the executive payments typically amounted to $0.24 \%$ of sales, a figure somewhat below the median of $0.31 \%$ given in Table 14.
Small percentage savings in many of the administrative and general accounts other than payroll contributed to a total administrative and general expense which was in the neighborhood of $5.5 \%$ of sales lower for the group of large chains than for the group with sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,000$. This advantage more than compensated for higher store expenses so that total operating expense before interest, as shown in Table 14, was lower for the very large chains than for those in the preceding volume group.

It should be noted that costs incurred for warehouse operation are included as administrative and general expenditures. Firms with sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,000$ reported rather extensive warehousing. Of 13 firms answering a question on such activity, 12 indicated that they had a central warehouse or warehouses, while only one had no warehouse. Typically $35 \%$ of the goods sold by the nine of these 13 firms reporting the material passed through their warehouses in 1944. In the case of the largest chains, 7 of the 8 had warehouses but only $4.4 \%$ of the goods sold typically passed through these warehouses.
Drop in 1944 Expense Ratio for Large Chains Resulted from Lowered Store Costs

Comparison of the 1944 average percentages for the largest chains with those furnished for the same group of firms for $1943^{2}$ indicates a decline of $0.9 \%$ of sales in total store expense from the 1943 level but a rise of slightly more than $0.1 \%$ of sales in the total administrative and general expense. This increase came primarily in the miscellaneous account and to a lesser extent in salaries and wages, perhaps reflecting, in the latter case, the difficulty of keeping trained help in offices and warehouses and, in the former, increased travel costs, pension

[^14]plan expenditures, and heavier contributions and donations to such organizations as Community Chests and the Red Cross.

## Delailed Tax Datä for Large Chains

An analysis of total tax expense, other than taxes on real estate, is offered in Table 15, below, for the two groups of chains with sales of more than $\$ 2,000,000$. Since this table required more detailed information than was reported by all the chains, it is based on the statements of only 15 firms.

Notable among the tax expenditures were payments of more than $0.4 \%$ of sales for social security levies, nearly nine-tenths of such expense being chargeable against store operations. There was a close similarity between the social security percentage costs for the two size groups, despite the fact that the largest chains had lower total payroll percentage costs. This result doubtless arises from the fact that the payroll economies of the large chains came in the more effective use of their store managers, and executives, most of whom would in
either size group receive salaries in excess of \$3,000 a year, the limit above which social security assessments are not made.

Taxes on property other than real estate, mainly merchandise inventories, were higher in percentage of sales for the moderate-size than for the large chains, a result associated with the slower rate of stock-turn and greater warehousing activity of the smaller firms.

Details on income tax provisions indicated that the state income tax payments were the smallest part of such expense, while the bulk of the total tax provision was for the Federal tax on excess profits. This provision amounted to about $6.5 \%$ of sales for the medium-size firms and $7.5 \%$ for the large organizations included in the special analysis. Postwar refunds or debt retirement credits resulted in a decrease in the total tax provision which amounted to $10 \%$ of the levy on excess profits. Even with this credit, however, income taxes amounted to over $7 \%$ of sales for the medium-size firms and over

Table 15. Breakdown of Tax Expense for 15 Variety Chains ${ }^{1}$ Classified According to Volume of Sales: 1944 (Median Figures:s ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Net Sales $=100 \%$ )


[^15]Table 16. Average End-of-Month Retail Inventory per Store for 19 Variety Chains Classified According to Volume of Sales: 1944

| Month | Net Sales Volume ${ }^{1}$ (in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000 \\ & 25,0 \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000- \\ & 250,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of Chains. | II | 8 |
| December, 1943. | \$25,342 | \$67,552 |
| January, 1944. | 26,362 | 70,270 |
| February | 27,093 | 72,077 |
| March. | 28,854 | 75,943 |
| April. | 29,433 | 75,264 |
| May. | 29,947 | 74,626 |
| June. | 30,422 | 73,450 |
| July. | 30,045 | 74,243 |
| August. | 29,747 | 73,813 |
| September. | 29,360 | 72,187 |
| October. | 29,928 | 73,631 |
| November | 30,073 | 72,730 |
| December. | 21,799 | 56,282 |
| January, r945.. | 23,006 $\dagger$ | 60,851 |

$\dagger$ Based on data for ro chains. The December, 1944, average for the same ro firms was \$22,251.
Only one chain in each of the two groups of firms with net sales of less than $\$ 2,000,000$ for the fiscal year 1944 reported data on monthly inventories; hence, it was not possible to establish averages for the small stores.
$8 \%$ for the large chains. All the income assessments for state and Federal taxes averaged larger as a percentage of sales for the firms with sales of more than $\$ 25,000,000$ than for the chains with lower volume, reflecting the higher dollar earnings for the large companies.

## Inventories and Stock-Sales Ratios

Monthly inventory figures and stock-sales ratios for the two groups of chains with sales of more than $\$ 2,000,000$ are presented in Tables 16 and 17 given above. Comparison of these data with corresponding figures published for $1943^{1}$ indicate that firms of both sizes were affected by merchandise scarcities toward the end of 1944. There is a suggestion in the averages that the moderate-size chains may have experienced declining inventories relative to sales as early as May and June, whereas for the large chains the downward movement appeared somewhat later, or at the end of the summer.

## Operating Results Classified According to Number of Stores

Size of chain can be measured in terms of the number of stores operated as well as in terms of total volume. This second method of classification has been employed in Table 18, page 21, which provides averages for four different groups. The

[^16]Table 17. Stock-Sales Ratios ${ }^{1}$ for 19 Variety Chains Classified According to Volume of Sales: 1944

| Month | Net Sales Volume (in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000- \\ & 25,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000- \\ & 250,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of Chains. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | II | 8 |
| January, x944. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4.64 | 3.19 |
| February. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4.27 | 3.17 |
| March . | .4.15 | 2.80 |
| April. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.82 | 2.72 |
| May. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.65 | 2.67 |
| June. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4.00 | 2.78 |
| July. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4.15 | 2.88 |
| August. | 3.96 | 2.71 |
| September.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.85 | 2.52 |
| October. | 3.62 | 2.33 |
| November. | 3.33 | 2.23 |
| December. | I.91 | 1. 36 |
| January, r945. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3.78 | 2.43 |

1 Ratio of retail inventory for end of preceding month to sales for month. $\$$ Only one chain in each of the two proups of firms with net sales of less than $\$ 2,000,000$ in the fiscal year r944 reportod data on monthly sales and inventories; hence, it was not possible to establish stock-sales ratios for the small chains.
limits of these groups are the same as those used in the 1943 report. ${ }^{2}$ Not only is this true but the firms represented in each of the last two groups, those with 50 to 150 stores and 150 to 750 stores, are identical with those included in the groups for 1943. Furthermore, figures for 12 of the 13 firms with 10 to 50 stores in 1944 were used in the averages for the corresponding group in 1943. Thus comparisons of the year-to-year changes based on the averages for these groups is justifiable.

The gross margin percentages for all chains with Io or more stores averaged higher in 1944 than in 1943, a finding consistent with that for the chains studied in the trend section. In addition to the explanation there given of changes in sales emphasis to lines with characteristically higher percentage markons, another cause is suggested by the somewhat meagre information on total shortages and markdowns. For the five large firms reporting the material in both years, shortages and markdowns combined fell from $2.94 \%$ of sales typical in 1943 to $\mathrm{r} .60 \%$ in 1944. If this tendency was typical of all chains, the diminishing retail reductions alone would have been sufficient to explain the upward movement in gross margin.

The highest total expense percentages were recorded by chains with between 50 and 150 stores and by chains with less than io stores. In the case of both these groups, the percentage figures for 1944 exceeded those typical for 1943. The increases

[^17]Table 18. Operating Results for 36 Variety Chains Classified According to Number of Stores: 1944 (Net Sales $={ }^{-} 100 \%$, except where noted)

| Items | Median ${ }^{\text { Figures }}$ |  |  |  | Agstegate Figures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages Computed from the Figures of Each Chain Taken Individually |  |  |  | Percentages Computed from the Combined Dollar Figures of the Chains in Each Number-ofStores Group |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Stores |  |  |  | Number of Stores |  |  |  |
|  | Less than Io Stores | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10-50 } \\ & \text { Stores } \end{aligned}$ | $50-150$ Stores | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 150-750 \\ \text { Stores } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Less than 10 Stores | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ro-50 } \\ & \text { Stores } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { So-150 } \\ & \text { Stores } \end{aligned}$ | (150-750 |
| Number of Chains. <br> Average Sales per Store. | $\begin{aligned} & 195,627 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \$ 82,675 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 7 \\ \$ 111,062 \end{array}$ | $\$ 3 \stackrel{7}{\$ 3}, 091$ | $\underset{\$ 71,124}{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \$ 95,293 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 209,716$ | $\stackrel{7}{\$ 325,657}$ |
| Index of Change ( $1944 / 1943$ ): <br> Number of Stores per Chain. <br> Net Sales per Chain. . <br> Average Sales per Store. <br> Net Sales in Identical Stores ${ }^{2}$ | 100.00 103.64 103.77 103.64 | 100.00 108.86 106.84 106.93 | 99.15 104.13 105.99 105.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.00 \\ & 105.90 \\ & 106.57 \\ & 105.54 \end{aligned}$ | $\ldots \ldots$ $\ldots .$. $\ldots$. | $\cdots \cdots$ $\cdots \cdots$ $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ $\ldots .$. $\ldots .$. $\ldots$ | . $\cdot$ |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage) <br> Gross Margin | $65.32 \%$ 34.68 | 62.61\% 37.39 | 60.97\% 39.03 | $61.41 \%$ 38.59 | $64.52 \%$ 35.48 | $\begin{aligned} & 62.32 \% \\ & 37.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.86 \% \\ & 38.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 2 . 3 9 \%} \\ & \mathbf{3 7 . 6 I} \end{aligned}$ |
| Salaries and Wages. | 18.56\% | 16.68\% | 17.78\% | 14.93\% | 18.25\% | 17.12\% | 16.57\% | 14.75\% |
| Tenancy Costs. | 3.11 | 3.06 | 5.90 | 6.01 | 3.74 | 3.61 | . 6.27 | 6.17 |
| Light, Water, and Power | 0. 52 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.58 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.70 |
| Depreciation of Fixtures and Equip | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.55 | 0. 56 | 0.63 | 0.71 | 0.53 |
| Supplies... | 0.38 0.20 | 0.77 0.19 | 0.83 0.07 | 0.80 0.15 | 0.90 0.21 | 0.90 0.24 | 0.95 0.06 | 0.79 0.32 |
| Insurance (except on real estate). | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.30 |
| Taxes (except on real estate or income) Sales. <br> Other. | 0.00 1.18 | 0.00 1.05 | 0.05 0.92 | 0.03 0.84 | ${ }_{1}^{0.02 \dagger}{ }^{1.16}$ | 0.07 1.02 | 0.03 0.78 | 0.04 0.81 |
| Miscellaneous Expense | 1.84 | 1.58 | 1.75 | 1.40 | 2.32 | 1.54 | 2.52 | 1.67 |
| Total Expense before Interes Total Interest. | $28.69 \%$ 1.63 | $\underset{\text { 25.91\% }}{\text { 2 }}$ ( 25 | $\underset{\substack{28.27 \% \\ 1.20}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{27.36 \% \\ 1.28}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.32 \% \\ x .5 x \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.36 \% \\ \text { r. } 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { I.II }}{\substack{29.00 \%}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.02 \% \% \\ 1.30 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Expense including Interest | 29.87\% | 27.48\% | 29.22\% | 28.52\% | 29.83\% | 27.66\% | 30.11\% | 27.32\% |
| Net Prorit. | 6.39\% | 9.76\% | 8.68\% | 10.97\% | 5.65\% | 10.02\% | 8.03\% | 10.29\% |
| Net Profit from Real Estate Operations. | 0.06\% | 0.10\% | 0.21\% | 0.54\% | . $0.05 \%$ | 0.14\% | 0.44\% | 0.99\% |
| Interest on Net Worth (except on real estate, leaseholds, and goodwill). | 1.32 | $\underline{1.18}$ | 1.17 | 1.24 0.02 | $\stackrel{1.39}{0.04 t}$ | 1.25 0.42 | 1.08 0.88 | 1.36 0.00 |
| Other Revenue, Net. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.0r | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.02 | $0.04 \ddagger$ |  | 0.88 | 0.00 |
| Total Net Other Income. | 1.38\% | 1.55\% | x.68\% | 1.85\% | 1.40\% | 1.81\% | 2.40\% | 2.35\% |
| Net Gans before Income Taxes: <br> Percentage of Net Sales. Percentage of Net Worth ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ | ${ }_{34.97 \%}$ | $11.72 \%$ 50.41 | 10.14\% 37.77 | $12.74 \%$ 4 I .13 | 7.05\% 29.75 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.83 \% \\ & 51.58 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.43 \% \\ & 39.66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 2 . 6 4 \%} \\ & 3 \mathrm{I} .2 \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ |
| Provision for Taxes on Incomeand Excess Profits ${ }^{4}$ <br> Total Excess Profits Tax Credits |  | * |  | $\begin{gathered} 10.21 \% \\ 0.77 \end{gathered}$ | * | * | * |  |
| Net Taxes on Income and Excess Profits ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.49\% | 7.89\% | 7.02\% | 9.33\% | * | 7.81\% | 7.04\% | 9.00\% |
| Net Gans after Income Taxes: <br> Percentage of Net Salest. <br> Percentage of Net Worth ${ }^{4}$. | $\underset{17.13}{3.57 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.33 \% \\ & 13.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.72 \% \\ & 10.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.45 \% \\ & 10.67 \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & 3.32 \% \\ & 14.93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.09 \% \\ & 11.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.64 \% \\ & 8.99 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rate of Stock-turn (times a year): Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories. . Based on Monthly Inventories ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3:77 | 4.47 3.60 | 5.55 4.62 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.88 \\ & 4.74 \end{aligned}$ | 4:13. | 4.36 3.46 | 4.8I 4.51 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.00 \\ & 4.83 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Markdowns and Shortages. . . . . . . . . . . | * | * | * | 1.60\% | * | * | * | * |
| Freight, Express, Postage, and Truckage. . . . . | 1.87\% | 1.73\% | I. $59 \%$ | 1.52\% | * | * | * | * |
| Distribution of Stores among Cities with Populations of: ${ }^{\text {L }}$ Less than 10,000. . . . |  |  |  |  | 64.45\% | 72.09\% | 41.09\% | 23.77\% |
| 10,000-25,000.... |  |  |  |  | 4.44 | 15.03 | 13.90 | 25.32 |
| 25,000-100,000. |  |  |  |  | iI.II | .4.91 | 18.43 | 26.73 |
| 100,000-500,000. . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 20.00 0.00 | 4.60 3.37 | 11.63 3.62 | 11.57 5.60 |
| 500,000-1,000,000. 1,000,000 or More | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.00 0.00 | 3.37 0.00 | 3.62 18.33 |  |

[^18]in the percentage cost for the chains with from 50 to 150 stores came chiefly as a result of a rise of $0.5 \%$ or more of sales in the expense incurred for the miscellaneous account. A corresponding but considerably smaller rise was shown in the same item for chains with more than 150 stores. In general, in chains with 50 or more stores the percentage outlay for other items tended to decrease or remain the same between 1943 and 1944.

Chains with 150 or more stores had the highest net gain before taxes as a percentage of net sales and also paid the highest percentage income taxes, typically. $9 \%$ or more of sales.

## Operating Results Classified According to Average Sales per Store

During the past nine years, as indicated in Chart 2, page 2, changes in total variety chain volume have come about chiefly through fluctuations in the sales per store. Variations in the number of stores operated per chain have been negligible.
Growth in Sales Common for Stores of All Sizes
The rise in sales per store typical in the variety chain trade from 1943 to 1944 was experienced by stores of all sizes. This fact is clearly shown by the figures given in Table 19, below, for 25 chains. For these firms there was a minor decline, less than I\% in the total number of stores operated during the 12 months of each year. The figure declined from 3,034 operated during the entire year 1943 to 3,023 open during the entire year 1944. In contrast to this small decrease, there were declines of more than $10 \%$ in the two groups of stores with sales of less than $\$ 75,000$ and a decrease of about $8 \%$ in the number of stores with sales of $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 150,000$. Offsetting the drop in the number of small stores were increases in the number of larger stores - increases ranging from slightly more than $4 \%$ for stores with sales of $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$ to over $20 \%$ in the number of stores securing volumes in excess of $\$ 2,000,000$. Apparently there was an upward shifting of the stores throughout the volume range.

## The Sales-per-Store Groups

Partly because of this sales trend and partly because there was a decrease in the number of reports filed by chains of small stores, there were only two chains reporting for 1944 which had average annual sales per store in that year of less than $\$ 50,000$. For that reason, it has been necessary to omit averages for chains of very small stores. Table 20, page 23, therefore, presents averages for four rather than for the five sales-per-store groups for which corresponding averages were given for 1943 .

Typically the chains represented in the first three groups were regional or local chains. The median number of stores operated was 8 in the first group, 23 in the second, and 39 in the third. In contrast, none of the chains with average sales per store of $\$ 250,000$ or more had fewer than 100 stores and the median was somewhat more than 200 stores.

The third group of chains, those with sales of $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 250,000$ per store, however, was not a particularly homogeneous group of chains. In it there were three chains with more than 100 stores and two with less than io stores. Two of the large ones had stores in cities with population over 1,000,000 and also, along with some of the lesser size chains, had units in cities of 500,000 to $1,000,-$ $\infty$. Thus, although more than half the stores covered in the group were in small cities, more than $5 \%$ were in cities of over 500,000 , a fact which is reflected in relatively high tenancy costs for the group. In using the averages given in Table 20, allowance should be made for the mixed character of this third group.

## Use of the Averages Limited

Since large chains clearly can operate small stores and some small chains can operate reasonably large stores, a classification based on average sales per store cannot be clear-cut and the value of the figures based on such a classification is restricted to accounts in which volume per store is the significant factor. Such analysis, furthermore, is rendered difficult because volume per store is controlled by

Table 19. Distribution of Stores Operated by 25 Variety Chains According to Sales per Store: 1943-1944

| Annual Net Sales | Number of Chains |  | Number of Stores Operated for Entire Fiscal Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & 1044 \text { to } \\ & 1943 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1943 | 1944 | 1943 | 1944 |  |
| Less than \$30,000. | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| \$30,000-75,000.. | 22 | 23 | 455 | 393 | 87.14 |
| \$75,000-150,000. | 22 | 23 | . 822 | 757 | 92.09 |
| \$150,000-250,000. | 18 | 19 | 705 | 745 | 105.67 |
| \$ $250,000-500,000 .$. | 13 | 14 | 643 | 685 | 106.53 |
| \$500,000-1,000,000.. | 8 | 8 | 272 | 299 | 109.93 |
| \$1,000,000-2,000,000 . . . . . . . | 8 | 8 | 92 | 96 | 104.35 |
| \$2,000,000 or More. | 4 | 5 | 14 | 17 | 121.43 |
| Total..... | 25 | 25 | 3,034 | 3,023 | 99.64\% |

Table 20. Selected Operating Results for $3^{1}$ Variety Chains Classified According to Average Sales per Store: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$, except where noted)

| Items | Median ${ }^{\text {Figures }}$ |  |  |  | Aggregate Figures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages Computed from the Firures of Each Chain Taken Individually |  |  |  | Percentages Computed from the Combined Dollar Figures of the Chains in Each Sales-per-Store Group |  |  |  |
|  | Sales per Store |  |  |  | Sales per Store |  |  |  |
|  | $550$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 75- \\ & 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{sron} \\ 250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 350 \\ \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 750$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 75- \\ & 100 \\ & \hline 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 250$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 250 \\ \text { or More } \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of Chains ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ <br> Average Sales per Store (in thousands) <br> Gross Margin $\qquad$ <br> Salaries and Wages: <br> Store Managers. <br> Total Salespeople and All Other Store. Total Store Salaries and Wages (subtotal). Total Administrative and General. | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \$ 59 \\ 36.26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \$ 82 \\ 37.90 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \$ 134 \\ \mathbf{3 8 . 2 9 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \$ 357 \\ 37.66 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \$ 60 \\ 38.36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \$ 82 \\ \mathbf{3 8 . 8 9 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \$ 145 \\ 38.19 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \$ 372 \\ 37.54 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & * \\ & \mathbf{*} \\ & \mathbf{1 7 . 5 6 \%} \end{aligned}$ | $\pm 7.52 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.92 \% \\ 10.13 \\ 13.05 \\ 2.75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { II.77\% } \\ \text { Ir.68 } \\ \text { r2.93 } \\ 2.03 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 12.85 \% \\ 1.97 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Salaries and Wages (subtotal) |  |  | 16.37 | . 14.93 | 19.67\% | 17.77\% | 16.32\% | (14.82) |
| Tenancy Costs: <br> Store. <br> Administrative and General |  | * | * |  | * | * | * | $\begin{aligned} & 6.16 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Tenancy Costs (subtotal)............... | 2.88 | 2.71 | 4.39 | 6.25 | 3.70 | 2.95 | 5.29 | (6.30) |
| Light, Water, and Power. | 0.65 | 0.72 | -0. 59 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.75 |
| Depreciation of Fixtures and Equipm | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.54 |
| Taxes: Sales. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.14 | $0.01 \dagger$ | 0.04 |
| Other. | 1.02 | 1. $\mathbf{\infty}$ | 1.07 | 0.75 | $\underline{1.09}$ | $\underline{ } 1.04$ | 0.98 | 0.78 |
| All Other Expense | 3.22 | 2.79 | 3.41 | 3.46 | 2.94 | 2.92 | 3.27 | 3.17 |
| Total Expense before Interest | 28.47\% | 28.34\% | $27.70 \%$ | 26.97\% | 28.74\% | 26.29\% | 27.23\% | 26.36\% |
| Total Store Expense. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | 21.94\% | 23.90\% |  | * |  | 23.10\% |
| Total Administrative and General Expense.... |  |  | 4.12 | 3.13 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expense before Interest | 28.47\% | 26.34\% | 27.70\% | 26.97\% | 28.74\% | 26.29\% | 27.23\% | 26.36\% |
| Total Interest | 1.3 | 1.28 | 1.20 | 1.18 | x. 34 | 1.38 | 1.2I | 1.27 |
| Total Expense including | 29.85\% | 27.60\% | 28.84\% | 27.95\% | 30.08\% | 27.67\% | 28.44\% | 27.63\% |
| Net Proyts | 7.54\% | 10.07\% | 8.50\% | 10.07\% | 8.28\% | 11.22\% | 9.75\% | $\begin{aligned} & 9.91 \% \\ & 2.43 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Net Other Incom | 1. 39 | 1.85 | x. 54 | 2.25 | 1. 26 | $\underline{8.89}$ | 1.84 |  |
|  |  | 11.84\% |  | 11.80\% | 9.54\% |  | 11.59\% | $\begin{aligned} & 12.34 \% \\ & 3 \mathrm{x} .12 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of Net Sales. Percentage of Net Worth ${ }^{4}$.... | 8.96\% 47.66. | $\underset{59.15}{\text { I1.84\% }}$ | 46:68 ${ }^{10.14 \%}$ | 11.8.31 | ${ }_{43.30}$ | $52.98$ | $43.17$ |  |
| Net Taxes on Income and Excess Profits ${ }^{5}$. | 6.30\% | 8.04\% | 7.52\% | 8.74\% | 6.24\% | 8.01\% | 6.47\% | 8.77\% |
| 鲴 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Gann after Income Taxes: Percentage of Net Sales ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.93 \% \\ \times 4.88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.45 \% \\ 15.58 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{ }{3.11 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.73 \% \\ & 9.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.14 \% \\ & 14.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{14.41}{3.43 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.93 \% \\ 12.89 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57 \% \\ & 8.99 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of Net Sales ${ }^{5}$ Percentage of Net Worth ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of Stock-turn (times a year): <br> Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories. . | 3.80 | 4;46 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 50 \\ & 3 \cdot 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.02 \\ & 5.02 \end{aligned}$ | 4:34 | 4;42 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.63 \\ & 3.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.05 \\ & 4.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories.. Based on Monthly Inventories ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution of Stores among Cities with | $\ldots$ | ..... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\underset{8.27}{76.03 \%}$ | $\underset{6.66}{90.26 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.77 \% \\ & 26.76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.01 \% \\ & 23.52 \end{aligned}$ |
| Population of ${ }^{7}$ Less than ro,000 . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000-25,000. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 4.13 | I. 54I. 54 | $\begin{array}{r}13.24 \\ 6.48 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 30.76 13.88 <br> 6.50 <br> 10.33 |
| 25,000-100,000. |  |  |  |  | 11. 57 |  |  |  |
| 100,000-500,000. |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.45 |  |
| 1500,000-1,000,000 |  |  |  | ... | $0 . \infty$ | 0.00 | 2.30 |  |

[^19]the size of the potential customer group which in turn depends on the population of the shopping area. And, as has been noted, dense urban populations lead to high dollar rental charges. Thus the higher dollar rental costs found in large city operation more than counteracted the economy which might have been expected from the effective use of space in large volume stores; percentage tenancy costs were high for stores with sales of $\$ 250,000$ or more.

A definite advantage of large store volume is displayed, however ${ }_{g}$ by the percentage costs for total salaries and wages which were progressively lower
from group to group. Detailed median figüres available only for chains with sales per store in excess of \$100,000 clearly show that the payments to storemanagers were substantially less percentagewise in stores with sales above $\$ 250,000$ than in the preceding group. Furthermore, since the largest sales-per-store group also consisted entirely of chains of more than ioo stores, the total volume in the last group averaged high, making possible a saving in administrative salaries, and consequently in total administrative and general costs.

The lowest costs were experienced by the chains realizing sales of $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 100,000$ per store.

Table 21.. Operating Results for 19 Variety Chains for Regular Merchandise Departments: 1944 Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, or Restaurants Either Not Operated or Excluded (Net Sales in Regular Merchandise Departments $=100 \%$, except where noted)


[^20]Over $90 \%$ of the stores of the eight chains in this group were located in cities of less than io,000. The favorable sales volume achieved in such small shopping centers together with the low dollar rental charges typical of such locations resulted in very low percentage tenancy charges, an advantage which more than offset the relatively high percentage payroll costs which are generally characteristic of small store operation. Percentage figures for net gain before income taxes, therefore, were high for this group, both in relation to net sales and net worth.

The lowest returns on net worth both before and after taxes were reported by the large chains for which sales per store averaged more than $\$ 250,000$ in 1944. Evidently the capital and surplus position of these firms was heavier in relation to sales than was that of the smaller chains. This relatively greater net worth may have reflected a better earnings record over a period of years, a more conservative dividend policy, and a larger investment in the glamour-type store operated in metropolitan sites. From the stock-turn ratios there is little indication of large investments in merchandise.

## Operating Figures Excluding Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, and Restaurants

The discussion of the 1944 sales by merchandise lines indicated that soda fountains, luncheonettes, and restaurants contributed substantially to variety chain sales, particularly in the large chains. Since the margins and expenses of such service departments differ considerably from those for regular merchandise departments, an effort has been made in the annual surveys for the last five years to segregate the results for soda fountains, luncheonettes, and restaurants and publish averages which would reflect the operations in regular merchandise departments only.

Table 21, page 24, presents medians and averages based on aggregates for the regular merchandise business for two groups of chains, firms with less than 50 stores and firms with 50 to 750 stores. It is noteworthy that eight of the ten chains in the first group had no soda fountains, luncheonettes, or restaurants, hence little adjustment of the total chain profit and loss and expense data was required in this group. In the case of the larger chains, soda fountains, luncheonettes, or restaurants were operated in some of the stores of all the nine chains. The averages indicate that such departments were provided in more than one-fourth of the stores operated by this group, presumably chiefly in outlets in cities of more than 100,000 population.

The adjustment for the operations of these service departments, consisted of deducting sales,
merchandise cost, gross margin, and store expenses for the departments from the operating statements for the individual chains. Since no allocation of administrative or interest charges was attempted, the expenses in the large chain group may be somewhat overstated.

As has been the case in preceding years, the elimination of the high-margin service departments resulted in reducing the average gross margin percentage for the chains. The average gross margin for regular merchandise departments actually was somewhat lower for the large than for the small chains - a different situation than was displayed by the data in Table 18 giving total chain results classified by number of stores.

## Data Compared with Results for Small Department Stores

Some interest may attach to comparing the figures for regular merchandise departments in variety chains with corresponding data for small department stores of similar size. The department store figures cited in Table 22, below, are taken from the Harvard report for that trade for 1944.

Table 22. Operating Results for Regular Merchandise Departments in 19 Variety Chains Compared with Operating Results for 59 Department Store Firms: 1944

| Items | $\begin{gathered} \text { Variety Chains } \\ \text { (Regular Merchandise } \\ \text { Departments) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Department Storest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medians |  | Common Figures |  |
|  | Less than 50 Stores | Stores | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sales } \\ \text { less han } \\ \$ 150,0 \infty \end{gathered}$ | Sales of \$300,000500,000 |
| Typical Sales per Store... | \$81,520 | \$328,093 | \$100,000 | \$400,000 |
| Gross Margin. . . . . . . . . | 36.62\% | 36.30\% | 33.5\% | 36.0\% |
| Salaries and Wages. | 17.39\% | 14.22\% | 13.9\% | 14.3\% |
| Tenancy Costs.......... | 3.34 | 6.15 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| Supplies............... | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.6 | 0.75 |
| Total Expense including Interest $\qquad$ | 28.67\% | 27.45\% | 22.3\% | 24.6\% |
| Net Profit. | 7.62\% | 9.52\% | 11.2\% | 12.4\% |
| Stock-turn (times a year) Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories.... | 4.46 | 4.93 | 4.2 | 4.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Source: Harvard Business School, Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. I22, Operating Results of Deparmens and Specially Stores in r944, by Malcolm P. McNair, pp. 11 and 13 .

The small variety chains operated more than $80 \%$ of their stores in cities of less than 25,000 population. This compares with a figure of $75 \%$ of the smallest department stores in cities of less than 30,000 . It seems quite clear that these two groups of small chains and small department stores were
competitors, the chains typically securing about four-fifths of the volume per store typical of the department stores. It is noteworthy that the percentage expense typically incurred by the small chains was about $6 \%$ of sales higher than that incurred by the smallest department stores, and that the gross margins to cover the costs were only about $3 \%$ of sales higher. As a consequence, the net operating profit of the chains was not as great as that of their competitors. The higher chain cost was chiefly in the payroll item and the tenancy cost account. The former higher cost may have reflected both smaller unit transactions per salesperson and greater administrative cost for the chains, while the latter probably reflected not only lower total sales but also higher dollar rentals.

The larger chains had $75 \%$ of their stores in cities of less than 100,000 , whereas $75 \%$ of the larger department stores considered were situated in cities of less than 67,000 . The typical population figure in each case was in the neighborhood of 25,000 . It should be emphasized, however, that the percentages for the chains are based on consolidated statements covering all stores, and hence are influenced by the results of the large volume metropolitan stores.

With these qualifications it is interesting to note that the gross margin levels for the competing stores were very similar, a $36.3 \%$ median for the large chains and a $36.0 \%$ common figure for the department stores. Payroll costs were closely similar at $14.22 \%$ and $14.3 \%$ of net sales, respectively. Supply costs were about the same at $0.8 \%$ and $0.75 \%$. The tenancy cost figure undoubtedly shows the effect of the high rental locations characteristically selected by the chains and the relatively low unit sales achieved as well as the distortion due to large city operations. Chiefly because of the high rental cost, the chains incurred higher total expense and realized lower operating profits than did department stores with sales per store of $\$ 300,000$ to $\$ 500,000$.

The rates of stock-turn for both types of enterprise corresponded closely.

## Stock-Sales Ratios

In Table 23 stock-sales ratios are given for regular
merchandise departments for seven small chains and the nine large firms. These figures show the characteristic higher ratios for smaller firms already shown in Table 17 based on total chain results: Again the fall in the ratio started in August, 1944, and continued throughout the calendar year.

For the student of trends, attention is called to the fact that the nine large chains are the same firms for which corresponding data were made available in 1941, 1942, and 1943, in bulletins for those years. The 1.42 ratio of regular merchandise stocks at the beginning of December to December, 1944 sales was the lowest in the four years for which figures have been published. It is reasonable to assume that the relatively smaller increase in regular merchandise sales per store, typically $6.77 \%$ in 1944 over 1943, as compared with $7.25 \%$ for the same nine chains in 1943 over 1942, may have resulted from restricted merchandise stocks in the fall and pre-Christmas season of 1944.

Table 23. Stock-Sales Ratios ${ }^{1}$ for 17 Variety Chains for Regular Merchandise Departments: 1944
Soda Fountains, Luncheonettes, or Restaurants Either Not Operated or Excluded

| Month | Number of Stores |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 50 Storei |  |
| Number of Chains. | 7 | 9 |
| January, 1944 | 3.88 | 3.41 |
| February.. | 3.95 | 3.46 |
| March. | 3.88 | 2.98 |
| April. | 3.77 | 2.86 |
| May. . | 3.60 | 2.79 |
| June.. | 4.12 | 2.86 |
| July.... | 4.23 | 3.09 |
| August... | 4.11 | 2.94 |
| September. | 3.85 | 2.71 |
| October. | 3.66 | 2.49 |
| November. | 3.55 | 2.37 |
| December. | 1.88 | 1.42 |
| January, 1945 | $3.65 \dagger$ | 2.39 |

$\dagger$ This ratio is based on data for 6 chains.
Ratio of retail inventory for end of preceding month to sales for the month. Norz: All the 9 chains in the second group and 6 of the 7 chains in the first group were identical with those included in the corresponding classifications shown in Table 19, Bulletin No. 120, Expenses and Profts of Limited Price Variety Stores in 1943.

## SECTION IV

## RESULTS FOR INDEPENDENT VARIETY STORES

The study of operating results for independent variety stores, initiated in the bulletin for 1943 , has been extended to cover the year 1944. For the latter year a total of 43 usable statements was received by the Bureau, a considerable increase over the 28 reports available for study for 1943 . The aggregate sales volume for the 43 reporting firms was $\$ 2,542,283$ for 1944, the average sales per store was $\$ 56,495$, and the extreme range in sales per store was from $\$ 17,000$ to $\$ 131,000$. The sales volume of these independents thus was somewhat similar to that in the units of small variety chains. The stores usually were located in cities of less than 4,000 population and were widely scattered throughout the country in 21 different states. Areas not represented included the South East, the Rocky Mountain sections, and the densely populated states in the New England and the Mid-Atlantic areas.

Thirty-nine of the firms specified their form of organization. By far the largest number, 26, were proprietorships. Partnerships were operated in 12 cases and only one firm was incorporated. Thirtytwo of the 43 firms reported membership in voluntary chains, while II stated that they had no such affiliation.
Thirty-eight of the 43 firms gave information concerning price policy. Of the 38,23 (considerably more than half) indicated that they had no price limits, 8 that they used a $\$ 5$ limit, 5 that they used a \$ limit, and 2 that they used mainly a \$ limit with some exceptions.

## Data for All Reporting Independents

Table 24, page 28, presents operating data fọr all the 43 reporting firms. In the left-hand column are provided average figures for the several operating items prepared from the, aggregates of the dollars reported by all the firms combined. Aggregate net sales was used as the basis in computing the percentages. Since such averages tend to reflect the performance of the stores with the largest sales volumes, another set of figures was derived through the preparation of individual percentage figures for each of the 43 firms. The 43 percentages for each item were then arrayed separately in order from the lowest to the highest. The middle, or "median", item in each series was selected as representative of the typical experience of the firms, regardless of size. These median figures are given in the second column of the table.

The lowest quarter and the highest quarter of each series were then cut off and the limits of the remaining middle half of the array are given in the last two columns of the table. These latter figures are useful to executives of individual firms in measuring their own results against the average. Variations from the average which fall within the reported range, of course, are not so significant as those falling below or above the listed range.

An interesting feature of the table is the indication of sales change from 1943 to 1944. The median figure discloses a typical volume increase of slightly more than $18 \%$ above the level for the prior year. Furthermore it was not unusual for the firms to have increases up to $26 \%$. Three-quarters of the stores experienced at least a $9 \%$ increase in volume, or $3 \%$ more than the typical $6 \%$ increase recorded by variety chains.
Figures compiled by the Department of Commerce for various types of retail trade indicate an increase of $4.9 \%$ for the variety stores as a whole. Furthermore, a second index of rural sales of general merchandise reveals an upward trend of only $4.5 \%{ }^{1}$. It seems probable, therefore, that the group of firms reporting to the Bureau may well represent independent variety stores in which the management is superior to that found in the majority of such stores. As such, perhaps the averages for the 43 firms should not be regarded as typical of all variety stores but rather as an indication of what can be achieved by competent management in favorable circumstances.

According to the averages in Table 24, about two-thirds of each sales dollar was spent for merchandise, leaving one-third to defray operating expenses and provide a profit. Operating expenses, including a fair charge for salaries of proprietors or partners, as well as a charge for interest on the investment, ${ }^{2}$ amounted to less than one-quarter of the sales dollar, leaving about one-eleventh, or $\mathbf{9 . 1 r} \%$, as net profit. The final net gain after sundry income and deductions amounted to more than $15 \%$ of net sales or close to $30 \%$ of the average net worth. Since all but one of the reporting firms were partnerships or proprietorships, no data on Federal income taxes were available. Clearly, however, the taxes on these earnings would have absorbed a substantial portion of the total gains.

[^21]Table 24. Operating Results for 43 Firms Operating Independent Variety Stores: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$, except where noted)

| Items |  | Medinn ${ }^{\text {and }}$ Range Figures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages Computed from the Combined Dollar Figuresof the 43 Firms of the 43 Firms | Percentages Computed from the Figures for Each Firm Taken Individually |  |  |
|  |  | Median ${ }^{\text { }}$ Figures | One-half the Reported Figures, Centered on the Median, Lay between the Limits Listed Below |  |
| Aggregate Number of Stores. | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \$ 2,542,283 \\ \$ 56,495 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \% \\ \$ 47,383 \end{gathered}$ | \$31,033 | $\$ 77,453$ |
| Aggregate Net Sales. . . . |  |  |  |  |
| Average Sales per Store. |  |  |  |  |
| Change in Sales (1944/1943) | .... | 118.13 | 109.75 | 126.13 |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage) | $\begin{aligned} & 66.68 \% \\ & 33.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.42 \% \text {. } \\ & 33.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.73 \% \\ & 31.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.86 \% \\ & 35.27 \end{aligned}$ |
| Gross Margin. |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and Wages: <br> Executive. <br> Other. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.36 \% \\ & 8.76 \\ & \text { (14.12) } \end{aligned}$ | 5.98\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4.06 \% \\ & 5.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.54 \% \\ & 9.44 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Salaries and Wages (subtotal) |  |  |  | 15.63 , |
| Tenancy Costs (including Heat) | 2.740.52 |  |  | 3.750.71 |
| Light, Water, and Power. . ${ }_{\text {a }}$........... |  | 0.51 | 0.37 |  |
| Depreciation of Fixtures and Equipment | $0.63$ | 0.58 |  |  |
| Supplies... | 0.740.55 | 0.37 |  |  |
| Advertising . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.52 \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 0.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Insurance (except on real estate)....... | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.26 | 0.51 |
| Sales. <br> Other $\qquad$ | 0.731.62 | 0.000.641.58 | 0.000.471.08 | 0.00 0.83 |
| Miscellaneous Expense |  |  |  | 2.47 |
| Total Expense before Interest. | $\begin{gathered} 22.02 \% \\ 2.199 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.25 \% \\ 1.99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.18 \% \\ 1.63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.47 \% \\ 2.85 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Interest ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Torar Expense including Interest. | 24.21\% | 24.54\% | 22.33\% | 25.93\% |
| Net Proitr. | 9.11\% | 9.20\% | 5.64\% | x1.56\% |
| Net Other Income (including interest on Net Worth).............. | 2.48 | 1.95 | 1.50 | 2.90 |
| Net Gann before Income Taxes: | $\begin{aligned} & 11.59 \% \\ & 27.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1x.92\% } \\ & 31.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.76 \% \\ \times 7.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.06 \% \\ & 4 x .96 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percentage of Net Sales.. |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of Net Worth. |  |  |  |  |
| Rate of Stock-turn (times a year) Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories. | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.27 | 4.48 |
| Discounts and Allowances Received.... | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r. } .0 \% \% \dagger \\ & \mathrm{x} .86 \dagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.91 \% \dagger \\ & x .42 \dagger \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{x.31} \mathrm{\%} \\ & 2.14 \mathrm{f} \end{aligned}$ |
| Freight, Express, Postage, and Truckage. |  |  |  |  |
| Population of City ${ }^{3}$. |  | 3,070 | 1,804 | 6,632 |
| Distribution of Stores among Cities with Populations of:3 | 342331 | $\ldots$$\cdots$$\cdots$$\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$$\ldots$$\cdots$$\ldots$$\cdots$$\cdots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 10,000-25,000... |  |  |  |  |
| 25,000-100,000. . |  |  |  |  |
| $100,000-500,000 . .$. |  |  |  |  |
| 500,000-1,000,000. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

* Data not available. $\dagger$ Usable figures for this item were given on less than $75 \%$ of the reports.
${ }^{2}$ Total interest includes any interest paid on borrowed capital plus an imputed charge for innerest at $6 \%$ on net worth other than real estate. The latter interest is credited beck under Net Other Income so that the final net gain is not affected by the inclusion of such interest as expense.
${ }_{3}$ In the case of reports covering two stores, only the location of the principal one was indicated.

The most important of the expense items was the salary and wage account which required somewhat more than $14 \%$ of sales. About two-fifths of this cost, typically about $\$ 3,000$ for a store with sales of $\$ 56,00$, was for payments to proprietors or partners, with the remainder, or roughly $\$ 5,000$,
being paid to employees. Tenancy costs, comprising rent actually paid, heat, and all expenses, such as taxes, insurance, depreciation, interest, and repairs on owned or leased real estate used in the business amounted, on the average, to $2.74 \%$ of sales. In terms of dollars, this amounted to some-
what more than $\$ \mathrm{I}, 500$ per store, or about $\$ \mathrm{I} 30$ per month.

Only one additional account other than interest (discussed below) required more than $1 \%$ of sales. This was the miscellaneous item which absorbed about $\mathrm{r} .6 \%$ of sales. In this account were included expenses incurred for traveling, repairs (other than on real estate), legal or auditing services, franchise expense in the case of members of voluntary chains, and all other unlisted expenses. Since almost threefourths of the firms were members of voluntary chains, the franchise cost contributed heavily to this account.

Special comment is necessary at this point concerning the interest account which on the average amounted to about $2 \%$ of sales. It has long been the practice of the Bureau in its cost surveys to include a charge for the use of owned capital as well as the out-of-pocket expense incurred asinterest on borrowed funds. Theoretically use of owned capital involves expense since such resources if invested in outside enterprise should be expected to yield a fair return. . The usual provision was made, therefore, to charge interest at $6 \%$ on net worth and combine this with any interest actually paid on borrowed capital. Any interest and dividends actually received on investments were then offset against the total interest charge and the net amount included as expense.

Study of the 43 reports received revealed that little outside borrowing was engaged in by these variety stores; 23 firms reported no interest expense, and the outlay by the remaining 20 averaged only $0.25 \%$ of sales. The net worth position, doubtless reflecting high earnings, was strong (see Table 28, page 33); hence charges on the average net worth were substantial. Yet income reported from investments was negligible. Survey of the individual financial statements suggests that a substantial part of the current assets was kept in the form of cash on hand and in banks. In a number of instances, however, investments were reported. The absence of dividend receipts suggests that these investments may have been in Government bonds, such as the Series $E$ and $F$, which yield no annual interest payments but accumulate interest until maturity at the average rate of $2.9 \%$ and $2.53 \%$, respectively. The interest on net worth, therefore, may well be overstated at least to the extent of a $2.5 \%$ interest charge on such Government bonds as the firms possess. Since specific information on the investments of the various firms is not available, it has proved impracticable to adjust the interest figures to a more realistic basis. A further qualification derives from the fact that all but one of the statements were for partnerships and proprietor-
ships. It is doubtful whether the net worth reflected full withdrawals or income tax payments by proprietors and partners. Since the interest account is subject to these criticisms, the emphasis in this section will be placed on the items, total expense before interest and final net gain, rather than on the items, total expense including interest and net profit.

## Large Stores Had More Favorable Results than Did the Small Stores

Tables 25 and 26, below, present operating data for independent variety stores with sales of less than $\$ 50,000$ as separate from those with sales of $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 150,000$. None had sales above $\$ 150,000$ in 1944. The number of firms in these two categories was about equal; figures for 22 firms were included in the first group and for 21 in the second.

The advantage of better volume in the second group was clearly shown by the expense percentages. Both the median and the aggregate figures disclosed lower percentage outlays for the larger stores for total salaries and wages, as well as all other items except advertising. The chief savings in percentages of sales were in payroll, tenancy costs, and miscellaneous, the three largest items in the budget.

Table 25. Per Store Operating Results for 43 Independent Variety Stores Classified According to Volume of Sales per Store: 1944
(Based on Aggregate Figures)

| Item | Sales per Store. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 50,0 \infty 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \mathbf{1 5 0 , 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of Reports. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 22 | 2 I |
| Average Sales per Store. . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$32,050 | \$82,040 |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage) Gross Margin. | \$21,030 10,420 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 54,430 \\ 27,610 \end{array}$ |
| Salaries and Wages: |  |  |
| Executive. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$2,440 | \$3,640 |
| Other.............................. | 2,270 | 7,740 |
| Total Salaries and Wages (subtotal).... | (4,710) | (11,380) |
| Tenancy Costs (including heat). . . . . . . | 1,080 | 2,040 |
| Light, Water, and Power. . . . . . . . . . . . | 210 | 385 |
| Depreciation of Fixtures and Equipment | 230 | 485 |
| Supplies. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 260 | 580 |
| Advertising. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 125 | 510 |
| Insurance (except on real estate)....... | 145 | 280 |
| Taxes (except on real estate or income): Sales. Other | 250 | 580 |
| Miscellaneous | 620 | 1,220 |
| Total Expense before Interest. . . . . . . . . | \$7,630 | \$ 7 7,460 |
| Net Gain before Income Taxes. . . . . . . | \$2,840 | \$10,430 |

Table 26. Operating Results for 43 Firms Operating Independent Variety Stores Classified According to Volume of Sales per Store: 1944
(Net Sales $=10 \%$, except where noted)

| Items | Median ${ }^{\text {Figures }}$ |  | Aggregate Figures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentages Computed from the Figures of Each Firm Taken Individually |  | Percentages Computed from the Combined Dollar Fisures of the Firms in Each Sales-per-Store Group |  |
|  | Sales per Store |  | Sales per Store |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } \\ & \$ 50,0 \infty 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \mathbf{1 5 0 , 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \\ \$ \$ 0,0 \infty \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & 150,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of Reports. | 22 | 21 | 22 | 2 x |
| Number of Stores. | 23 | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| Number of Members of Voluntary Chains. | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| Average Sales per Store. | \$30,722 | \$81,930 | \$32,050 | \$82,040 |
| Change in Sales (1944/1943). | 119.51 | 155.64 | $\ldots$ | .... |
| Net Cost of Merchandise Sold (including freight, express, postage, and truckage) | 67.04\% | 66.10\% | 67.49\% | 66.34\% |
|  |  | 33.9 | 3.51 |  |
| Salaries and Wages: <br> Executive. <br> Other. <br> Total Salaries and Wages (subtotal) <br> Tenancy Costs (including Heat) <br> Light, Water, and Power <br> Depreciation of Fixtures and Equipment. <br> Supplies. <br> Advertising <br> Insurance (except on real estate) <br> Taxes (except on real estate or income): Sales. <br> Other. <br> Miscellaneous Expense |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.30\% | 4.40\% | $7.62 \%$ | 4.43\% |
|  | 7.13 | 9.42 | 7.08 |  |
|  | 14.53 | 14.14 | (14.70) | ( $\times 3.87$ ) |
|  | 3.14 | 2.20 | 3.36 | 2.49 |
|  | 0.55 | 0.47 , | 0.65 | 0.47 |
|  | 0.66 | 0.52 | 0.72 | 0.59 |
|  | 0.79 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 0.71 |
|  | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.62 |
|  | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.34 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |
|  | 0.67 | 0.64 | \} 0.78 | \} 0.7 I |
|  | 1.87 | 1.35 | 1.94 | 1.49 |
| Total Expense before Interest Total Interest. | 23.84\% | 20.96\% | 23.81\% | 2x.29\% |
|  | 1.96 | 1.99 | 2.31 | 2.15 |
| Total Expense including Interest. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 25.45\% | 23.05\% | 26.12\% | 23.44\% |
| Net Proftr. <br> Net Other Income (including Interest on Net Worth) | 6.38\% | 10.32\% | 6.39\% | 10.22\% |
|  | 2.04 | $x .87$ | 2.47 | 2.49 |
| Net Gain before Income Taxes: <br> Percentage of Net Sales. <br> Percentage of Net Worth | 8.81\% |  | 8.86\% |  |
|  | 2 L .45 | $33.97$ | 20.93 | $30.18$ |
| Rate of Stock-turn (times a year) Based on Beginning and Ending Inventories. | 3.38 | 4.30 | 3.34 | 4.06 |
|  | 1,930 | 5,10\% | .... | .... |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots$ | .... | 20 | 14 |
| 10,000-25,000. . |  | .... | - | 2 |
| 25,000-100,000. | ... |  | 0 | 3 |
| 100,000-500,000. |  |  | 2 | $\underline{1}$ |
| $500,000-1,000,000$ $1,000,000$ or More |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |
| 1,00,00 or More |  |  |  |  |

IAll the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total.
${ }^{2}$ In the case of reports covering two stores, only the location of the principal one was indicated.

On a dollar-per-store basis (as shown in the preceding tables), the actual expenses were higher for all accounts in the large stores; but in relation to sales, the reverse was true, with the one exception noted above. A breakdown of the salary and wage account shows that the total executive salaries (that is, the amounts estimated as fair compensation by the proprietors and partners) averaged
about $\$ 2,400$ per store for the small stores and about $\$ 3,600$ for the larger stores. Despite the higher dollar level for the large stores, the percentage cost was more than $3.00 \%$ of sales below the expense incurred in the smaller units, $4.43 \%$ as compared with $7.62 \%$. All other salaries, reflecting a greater staff of employees, were substantially higher in dollars and not quite $21 / 2 \%$ of sales higher in the
case of the large stores. .The net result, as indicated earlier, was lower total salary and wage percentage cost for the large stores.

The stores with sales over $\$ 50,000$ also secured higher percentage margins so that earnings in relation both to sales and net worth were substantially greater than those secured by the small stores. The larger firms also enjoyed a somewhat more rapid
stock-turn rate, more than 4.0 turns a year contrasted to between 3.0 and 3.5 .

## New Data for Members of Voluntary Chains

Averages for 1944 have also been prepared for 32 members of voluntary chains distinct from II independent stores without such affiliation. The data, presented in Table 27, below, reveal no significant

Table 27. Operating Results for 32 Independent Variety Store Firms, Members of Voluntary Chains, Compared with 11 Independent Variety Store Firms Without Such Affiliation: 1944
(Net Sales $=100 \%$, except where noted)


[^22]differences in size of store. From the expense standpoint, there was a tendency for tenancy costs and light, water, and power to be somewhat higher for the II firms, perhaps reflecting the situation in the larger cities in which 4 of the stores were located. On the other hand, salaries and wages tended to be lower for the 11 than for the 32 firms, as did miscellaneous expense. The latter item, of course, was about $1 \%$ of sales lower since the stores did not incur the franchise cost which was charged by the sponsoring wholesaler in the case of the members of voluntary chains.

There was little difference in the gross margin median percentage figures. The percentages based on aggregates, however, disclosed that the ir firms as a group realized a higher margin rate than did the members of voluntary chains. Too much emphasis should not be placed on this difference in the averages based on aggregates since these averages give weight to the firms with large sales volume. Inasmuch as the total expense before interest was somewhat lower for the in stores, their earnings before taxes were superior in percentage of sales.
In relation to net worth, however, the earnings of the members of voluntary chains averaged substantially higher than those for the other stores. As shown in Table 28, page 33, the net worth including real estate equity tended to be lower relative to sales for members of voluntary chains than for the other firms. This tendency is more vividly shown by the averages based on aggregates than by the median data. To some degree this lower net worth reflects a smaller investment in inventory for the voluntary chain group, a characteristic also shown more clearly by the data based on aggregates than by the median data. The lower inventory position is further revealed in the more rapid rates of merchandise turn experienced by the chain members. The rate, somewhat more than 4 turns a year, was almost one turn higher than that for the other stores. These figures suggest that an advantage attached to having a centralized and definite source of supply may be a more effective flow of stock requiring less capital investment. Since the figures for the two groups are based on rather small numbers of stores operating in a period of extremely active consumer buying, and great merchandise scarcities, however, definite conclusions on the matter of merchandise investment cannot be drawn at present.

## Selected Financial Data

Table 28, to which reference has already been made, provides averages for a few selected balance sheet figures for the beginning and end of the year

1944 in relation to sales for the year. The data are presented in turn for all reporting firms, firms classified by size, and firms classified according to their policy in regard to affiliation with voluntary chains. In each group, one fact stands out clearly. Inventory, total assets, and net worth were higher at the end than at the beginning of the year. This finding, in so far as inventory is concerned, differs from that for variety chains and independent department stores ${ }^{1}$ for which a decline in inventories was the usual experience between the beginning and end of the year.

Since the reporting firms had varying real estate investments ( 5 firms owned the real estate used, 4 had investments in improvements to leased real estate, 9 owned only real estate not used in the business, and 17 had no real estate assets), it appeared desirable to publish, in addition to the total net worth figures, averages for net worth exclusive of real estate equity. Such figures more fairly indicate the investment for merchandising activities than do those for the total net worth. Therefore, net worth figures are provided with and without real estate equity included. For the various groups considered, the net worth (excluding real estate) as of the beginning of the year amounted on a median basis to between $25 \%$ and $30 \%$ of net sales for the year. By the end of the year, the corresponding figure was roughly $5 \%$ to $7 \%$ of sales higher in most of the groups and almost $10 \%$ higher in the case of the firms which were not members of voluntary chains. This upward movement, of course, resulted from the earnings achieqved by the firms during 1944.

In analyzing these net worth data, it should be remembered that with one exception the 35 firms, represented were partnerships or proprietorships. The net worth reported in many cases may not have reflected owners' withdrawals of funds or income tax payments. Hence the figures may be overstated. Furthermore, since the period studied was one of large sales increases and high earnings for the stores, it would be unwise to consider the ratios of net worth to sales as standards. They should be regarded as suggestive rather than as definitive. With this reservation it can be pointed out that the firms began the year with a net worth exclusive of real estate equity amounting to about $30 \%$ of the sales volume or in the neighborhood of $\$ 10,000$ for a business of about $\$ 35,000$ of sales, or $\$ 25,000$ for a store with an annual volume of approximately $\$ 85,000$.

[^23]Table 28. Selected Financial Data for 35 Independent Variety Store Firms ${ }^{1}$ : 1944

| Group | Item | Median Fisures |  | Aggregate Figures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percentages Computed from the Figures of Each Firm Taken Individuaily |  | Percentages Computed from the Combined Dollar Figures of the Firms in Each Group |  |
|  |  | Berinning <br> of Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { of Year } \end{gathered}$ | Beginning <br> of Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { End } \\ \text { of Year } \end{gathered}$ |
| All <br> - Reporting Firms | Inventory. <br> Total Assets. <br> Net Worth (including real estate equity). <br> Net Worth (excluding real estate equity). | $\begin{aligned} & 15.56 \% \\ & 32.69 \\ & 29.08 \\ & 27.10 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.57 \% \\ & -36.84 \\ & -36.23 \\ & 35.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.22 \% \\ & 4 \mathrm{I} .97 \\ & 38.42 \\ & 29.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.73 \% \\ & 48.84 \\ & 45.5 I \\ & 35.84 \end{aligned}$ |
| Firms with Net Sales per Store of Less than \$50,000 | Inventory. <br> Total Assets. $\qquad$ <br> Net Worth (including real estate equity) <br> Net Worth (excluding real estate equity). . | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x 8 . 9 9 \%} \\ & 33.74 \\ & 32.74 \\ & 29.08 \end{aligned}$ | $19.81 \%$ 39.84 38.23 36.23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20.36 \% \\ & 48.16 \\ & 44.20 \\ & 36.50 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\$ 50,000-$ $150,000$ | Inventory. $\qquad$ <br> Total Assets. $\qquad$ <br> Net Worth (including real estate equity) <br> Net Worth (excluding real estate equity) | $\begin{aligned} & 15.21 \% \\ & 31.34 \\ & 26.84 \\ & 26.84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.16 \% \\ & 36.3 \mathrm{I} \\ & 33.73 \\ & 33.73 \end{aligned} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.48 \% \\ & 41.7 x \\ & 38.69 \\ & 30.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.00 \% \\ & 49.03 \\ & 45.87 \\ & 35.65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Members of Voluntary Chains | Inventory <br> Total Assets. <br> Net Worth (including real estate equity). <br> Net Worth (excluding real estate equity)... |  | 17.29\% 35.94 30.90 <br> 30.32 | $15.50 \%$ 37.32 34.08 28.33 | 16.83\% <br> 43.78 <br> 40.61 <br> 33.26 |
| Not Members of Volunary Chains | Inventory. <br> Total Assets. <br> Net Worth (including real estate equity) <br> Net Worth (excluding real estate equity).. | $\begin{aligned} & 16.71 \% \\ & 37.36 \\ & 29.34 \\ & 29.34 \end{aligned} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.80 \% \\ & 5 I .52 \\ & 43.16 \\ & 38.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I 8.87 \% \\ & 59.10 \\ & 54.39 \\ & 35.95 \end{aligned}$ | $21.07 \%$ <br> 67.49 <br> 63.54 <br> $45 \cdot 3^{2}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sufficiently complete balance sheet data (for both the beginning and end of 1944) for use in preparing these figures were given by only 35 variety store firms.

## APPENDIX

Base of Percentages. All percentages in this bulletin, unless otherwise indicated, are based on net sales as $100 \%$.

Gross Margin. Gross margin is the amount remaining after the deduction of net cost of goods sold from net sales. Net cost of goods sold is billed or invoice cost of goods sold, less discounts taken and allowances received, plus transportation charges, and plus proper charges for merchandise depreciation and stock shortages.

Transportation Charges. In this account are included all transportation charges on merchandise shipped either directly to individual stores or to chain warehouses. Any transportation cost incurred by the chains for transferring merchandise from warehouses to stores or between stores likewise is included in this item. The transportation charges are considered as a part of the cost of merchandise.

Salaries and Wages. The salary and wage classification embraces all items of payroll expense both in stores and in the case of chains in the central organizations, including the compensation of chief executives. For proprietorships or partnerships fair salaries have been included for executives. One change in the definition of the account made for the 1936 study and continued in following years should be noted: pensions, formerly included in salaries and wages, are now considered as miscellaneous expense.

Tenancy Costs. Tenancy costs comprise all expenses on property used in the business. They therefore cover, in the case of leased property, not only rentals paid but other payments made in lieu of rent, such as taxes, insurance, repairs, and amortization of leaseholds. Charges on owned real estate included in this account comprise taxes, insurance, repairs, and depreciation on owned real estate, plus a fair charge for interest on equity in land, buildings, and improvements, as well as interest actually paid on mortgages. The definition of the tenancy cost account for 1944 differs in two respects from that for 1929, 1931, and 1932, but is the same as that obtaining in the years 1933 through 1943. Charges for amortization of major improvements on leased property, formerly combined with charges for depreciation on fixtures and equipment, have been allocated to the tenancy cost item. Also, since many of the firms lease stores for which heat is provided by the landiords, the cost of heat has been included with other real estate charges in order to assure comparability.

Interest. In order to obtain comparability be-
tween businesses using different methods of financing, interest at the rate of $6 \%$ on the average net worth exclusive of real estate, leaseholds, and goodwill is considered as an expense, as well as interest actually paid other than mortgage interest. Interest computed on real estate equity and mortgage interest are considered as tenancy expense. From the sum of the actual interest payments and the interest on owned capital is deducted the amount of interest and dividends received.

Total Expense including Interest. Total expense including interest is the complete cost of doing business, comprising, in addition to the usual outlays, salaries of executives, proprietors, and partners; rental charges for owned real estate; and interest on owned capital.

Net Profil. The above procedure with respect to interest leads to a narrow definition of net profit as a theoretically residual sum over and above a customary interest return on invested capital.

Net Other Income. Net other income has three component parts: profit or loss from real estate operations; interest on net worth other than real estate; and other revenue, net. In the first of these are included net profit or loss on owned real estate not used in the business, interest previously charged as expense on the investment in owned real estate used in the business, profit or loss on real estate which has been sublet, and profit or loss of any subsidiary real estate holding companies. Under interest on net worth is credited back the interest at $6 \%$ on the average net worth, excluding real estate, previously included as an operating expense in arriving at the net profit on merchandising operations. Miscellaneous revenue, including such receipts as dividends from manufacturing and/or foreign subsidiaries and income from weighing machines and telephones, is considered as sundry revenue, net. Commissions or net profits from leased or concession departments were included here by several chains.

Net Gain before Income Taxes. To arrive at the final net gain or net business profit, net other income is added to the net profit. Therefore the net gain figure, while not affording, from a statistical standpoint, so valid an interchain comparison as the net profit figure, may be taken as roughly approximate to net business profit in the commonly understood sense. „Net gain is expressed both as a percentage of net sales and as a percentage of the average net worth. The use of the average net worth as a base for this figure introduces the com-
plication of differing policies in regard to real estate. For a chain which, either directly or through a subsidiary real estate corporation, owns many of the stores operated, the total average net worth is large in proportion to the net sales volume; and as a result the rate of return on invested capital is low as compared with that for a chain owning little or no real estate.
Taxes on Income and Excess Profits. Taxes on income and excess profits have been considered as deductions from net gain. In this tax figure a deduction has been made for the $10 \%$ credit whether used currently for debt reduction or set up as a postwar rebate.

Taxes (except on real estate or income). This tax account includes all tax expense except real estate taxes; included under tenancy costs, and federal and state taxes on net income. Where state or municipal taxes on sales or gross income are not collected directly from customers but are absorbed by the firm as expense, such cost is included under sales taxes, a subdivision of the tax account. Other tax expense includes special chain taxes, licenses, taxes on equipment and merchandise inventory, corporate taxes, the federal capital stock tax, payments for unemployment and old age taxes made in compliance with the Social Security Act, and other taxes not specifically mentioned elsewhere.

Rate of Stock-turn. The rate of stock-turn, or rapidity of merchandise turnover, is calculated by dividing the cost of merchandise sold by the average inventory at cost. For chain enterprises the average inventory includes merchandise both in stores and in warehouses. Stock-turn figures of two types have been computed: the first rate, available for all firms, is based on the average of the beginning and ending inventories; and the second rate, available for part of the chains only, is based on the average of 12 monthly inventories.

Leased or Concession Departments. Twelve of the 37 chains indicated that leased departments or concessions were operated in some of their stores in 1944. Volume in such departments usually was small in relation to total sales, and the firms were requested to exclude the revenue from total sales and consider the commissions received under net other income.
For a few chains, however, leased department or concession sales amounted to $3.0 \%$ or more of the total volume. In such cases adjustments were made to insure comparability of the operating percentages. Two alternate methods were suggested
to the firms as acceptable bases for the adjustments. The first method was to exclude the leased department sales from total sales, estimate the indirect expenses incurred by the chain in behalf of the departments, and exclude these charges from expense; the difference between commissions and the estimated indirect expenses was to be considered under other revenue. The second method was to include the leased department sales in chain sales and add to chain operating expenses estimates of the expenses incurred directly by the leased or concession departments. An amount corresponding to these direct expenses was then added to the commissions and included in the gross margin with the difference between leased department sales and this figure charged to merchandise cost.

Methods. The statements used in the study were carefully examined for comparability and completeness of data. Whenever questions arose concerning the omission of or the interpretation of material, letters were written to the individual firms requesting additional information. Usually the necessary material was forthcoming. In other cases when it seemed probable that the omission of a figure by a firm was equivalent to a zero entry, zero figures were used in arriving at the averages presented. When it was evident that one reported expense figure covered two or more accounts, adjustments were made to allocate the amount to the proper accounts. In still other instances where material was not reported, the averages were based on a reduced sample; usually medians only are presented in this latter case, and asterisks in the tables indicate the lack of averages for such items.
Aggregates and Averages. Some of the figures included in this report are averages based on aggregate dollar figures. Such aggregate figures manifestly are weighted according to sales volume. These average figures based on dollar aggregates obviously do not afford a good year-to-year comparison unless only identical firms are used, since the averages are substantially affected by the omission or addition of one or two large firms.

Median Figures. Many of the other data presented in this report consist of median figures. These figures are based on percentages computed for each firm in the group individually. Such figures, therefore, give equal weight to each firm, irrespective of sales volume and number of stores. The median is the middle figure in an array of percentages listed in order from the smallest to the largest.
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[^24]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for 1943 are based on angregate dollar figures for 43 chains with net saies of $81,284,635,033$ and operating 5,201 stores.
    2 Income and excess profits tax data were reported by 29 and 30 chains, respectively, in 1944 and 1943 . The total sales of these chains represented approximately $0 \%$ of the volume reported in each year by all reporting firms. Since the averages for taxes on income and excess profits and net gain after auch taxes are based on a amalict number of reports and alightly lower dollar sales than the other date in the chart, the figures will not necessarily add exactly to net gain before taxes.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The average figures for reporting independent variety stores may not be representative of all such stores in the country. The .

[^2]:    evidence suggests instead that the figures indicate the experience of competent management in favorable circumstances.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ U. S. Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, Survey of Current Business, February, 1945, page 5.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid, page 22.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ In 1944 the 372 department store companies reporting to the Bureau increased their net sales by $\mathbf{1 2 . 3} \%$ over 1943 sales (Harvard Business School, Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. 122, Operating Results of Department and Specially Stores in 1944, by Malcolm P. McNair, page 3). The Federal Reserve Index climbed $11 \%$ (as revealed by statistics published currently in the Sursey of Current Business, page S-8, and in the December, 1944, issue, page 17) and the Department of Commerce figures for department stores including mail-order houses showed a $10 \%$ rise. (This last result was based on data obtained directly from the Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce.)

[^5]:    ${ }^{-}{ }^{2}$ Based on figures compiled by the Department of Commerce and obtained directly from the Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ In 1942 sales of sationery in 20 reporting variety chains accounted for virtually $50 \%$ of the entire noncommercial sales of stationery and writing supplies in the United States. (Harvard Business School, Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. 120, Expenses and Profils of Limited Price Variety Stores in 1943, by Edward C. Bursk, page 22.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ In 1941, 6 out of 27 chains which had reported $\$ \mathrm{I}$ limits for 1940 raised their upper brackets to $\$ 5$ (Harvard Business School, Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. 1r6, Expenses and Profits of Limited Price Variely Chains in 1941, by Elizabeth A. Burnham, page 2).

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ For further comments on the matter of shortages and markdowns, see page 20.
    ${ }^{3}$ Average figures for gross margins by lines have not been reported for variety chains. Data published by the Controllers' Congress of the National Retail Dry Goods Association for departments within department stores indicate that relatively high margins are typical in those stores for laces, trimmings and ribbons, millinery, handkerchiefs, neckwear, and stationery.
    -The Bureau includes as operating expense an interest figure of $6 \%$ on the net worth. This expense is offset by a corresponding credit under other income so that the final figure for net gain before taxes is not affected by the inclusion of the interest charge.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures for this item are based on date for 13 firms having $99.5 \%$ of the total sales volume of the 15 chains in each of the years 2939 through $\mathbf{5 9 4 4}$. Excess profits tax credits (postwar refund and debt retirement credit) have been deducted from tuxes for 1942 , 1943, and 1944

[^10]:    * Data not available. 'See the paragraph on methods, Appendix page 35 .
    $\dagger$ The chains were classified according to their net sales volume in 1035 .
    $\ddagger$ For the years 1942, 1943, and 1944, one of the seven chains did not submit dats to the Bureau. Information on number of stores, sales, earnings, and income taxes, however, was available from published sources. The sales-per-store and average-number-of-stores figures, thereiore, are for the seven chains. The margin, expense, and profit data are the averages for six chains. The net gain before income taxes for the sir firms, as indicated above, amounted to $10.2 \%$ of sales and $28.3 \%$ of net worth for 1942, toi. $\%$ of sales and $28.8 \%$ of net worth for 1943 , and $11.9 \%$ of net sales and $35.0 \%$ of net worth for 1944 . For the seven, the corresponding figures were $10.2 \%$ and $28.9 \%$ for 1942, $10.3 \%$ and $30.3 \%$ for 1943 , and $11.8 \%$ and $35.9 \%$ for 1944 . For both 1942 and 1943 , net gain after taxes remained $3.5 \%$ atter the inclusion of the data for the seventh firm, and, for 1944, the gaip after taxes remained $3.3 \%$ when data for the seventh firm were included. Study of the margin and expense data for the six and seven chains separately for the years $x 935-104 \mathrm{I}$ indicated that omission! of data for $\mathbf{x 9 4 2}$, 1943 , and 1944 for the seventh chain would not invalidate year-to-year comparisons.

    Income tax data were reported by too few chains in this group to make possible the preparation of averages.
    : Excess profits tax credits (postwar refund and debt retirement credit) have been deducted from taxes for 1942, 1943, and 1944.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chain Slore Age, Administration Edition, "Maintaining Balanced Stocks Far from Markets." September, 1944, page 20.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ The percentages for net income after taxes to net worth, presented in the August, 1945, monthly letter of the bank, are based not on average net worth, but on net worth as of the beginning of the period. For 1944 the figure represents the results of 1,327 companies.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The eight regions recognized by Chain Slore Age were as listed below:
    New England: Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island.
    Mid-Allantic: New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland, Delaware.
    South East: Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, North Carolina, Tennessee, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi.
    East Central: Ohio, Indiana, Ilinois, Michigan, Wisconsin.
    West Central: Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri, Kansas, Colorado.
    South West: Arkansas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Texas, New Mexico, Arizona.
    Mountain: Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Utah, Nevada.
    Pacific: Washington, Oregon, California.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Corporations were asked to indicate the total amount paid to all executive officers (as reported in Schedule F of Form 1120 for Federal Income taxes), as well as the number of such officers. Partnerships and proprietorships were requested to report fair charges for the services of active owners. These data were provided by 9 firms with sales of $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,00$, and by 5 firms with sales over $\$ 25,000,000$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Harvard Business School, Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. 120, Expenses and Profits of Limited Price Variety Stores in 1943, by Edward C. Bursk, Table 8, page 13.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not all reporting chains provided the details necessary for this table. Moreover, figures reported by Canadian firms were omitted
    All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total.

[^16]:    1Ibid, Tables II and I2, page 17.

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid, Table 6, page 9.

[^18]:    ${ }^{*}$ Data not available. $\begin{aligned} & \text { † Collections in excess of payments. } \\ & \text { All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total. }\end{aligned}$
    ${ }^{1}$ Alt the medians were set independently; thereiore the sum of the ind Datores operated continuously for 24 consecutive months were provided by 6 chains in the first group, 8 chains in the second group, and 6 chnins in each of the third and fourth groups.
    ${ }^{2}$ The figures for net gain before income taxes as a percentage of net worth for firms in the first group were based on the reports of the 8 chains which provided
    complete balance sheet data. group. The figures for thin item were based on monthly data reported by 8 firms in the second group, 5 firms in the third group, and all firms in the fourth group.

    - Data on store locations were provided by all firms in the first group, by 22 firms in the second group, and by all firms in the two larger groupa.

[^19]:    * Data not available. $\dagger$ Collections in excess of payments. . 1 In preparing this table, statements for four reporting
    and two with average sales per store of less than $\$ 50,000$.
    : All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individusl items does not necessarily equal the total. $\$ 7,00$ and four of the chains with sales
    - Separate data for store and administrative costs were given by only three of the chains whth sales per siven for chains in the first two groups. Only six chains in the of $\$ 75,000$ to $\$$ roo,oco; consequently, no separate percentage figures for store or administrative costs are given on a very limited sample. All the chains in the last group third group provided this functional breakdown of expense; hence the median gived detailed data by functions.
    provided detailed data, by functions.
    The figure for this item for the chnins in the third group was based on the reports of the 10 firms giving complete balance sheet data.
    and group. figures for this item were based on data for 6 chains in the arst
    last group.
    The figures for this item were based on monthly data reported by 7 chains in the third group and all chains in the last group.
    Data on store locations were provided by 6 firms in the first group and by all firms in the other three groups.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total.
    ${ }^{3}$ With two exceptions, none of the chains in the first group operated soda fountains, luncheonettes, or restaurants. All the chains in the second group operated such departments in one or more of their stores.
    ${ }^{3}$ In deducting figures for soda fountains, luncheonettes, or restaurants from the consolidated figures for chains operating such departments, no allocation of administrative expeose or intereat to these departments was possible; consequently, the expense items here given are overstated by the amounts of such indirect charges. - The figures for stock-turn based on monthly inventories are based on data for 7 firms in the small store group.

    Nore: All the 9 chains in the second group and 7 of the 10 chaids in the first group were identical, with those inciuded in the corresponding classifications shown in Table 18, Bulletin No. 120, Expensas and Profits of Limited Price Variety Siores in 1943.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ These indexes were prepared from monthly data published currently in the Survey of Current Business, pp. S-7 and S-9.
    ${ }^{2}$ See page 29 for a discussion of the interest item.

[^22]:    1 All the medians were set independently; therefore the sum of the individual items does not necessarily equal the total.
    In the case of reports covering two stores, only the location of the principal one was indicated.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Harvard Business School, Bureau of Business Research, Bulletin No. 122, Operating Resulls of Deparlment and Specially Stores in 1944, by Malcolm P. McNair, p. 28.

[^24]:    FTo firma furminbing figurea for the department otore studies and to mermbers of the National Retail Dry Goode Ascociation, the list price of BulletiaNo. 122 is $\$ 1.00$.

    For a complete list of resciarch publications, write to the Division of Research, Harvard Businose School, Soldiera Fiold, Boston 63, Massachusetts. Unless otherwise indicated, orders should be addressed to the Division. Whenever possible the remittance should accompany the order. Cheoks should be made payable to Harvard University.

