GOKHALE INSTITUTE MIMEOGRAPH SERIES NO. 28

EVALUATION STUDY OF MINOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES IN MAHARASHTRA STATE With Special Reference to Drought Prone Areas of Beed and Osmanabad Districts of Marathwada Region

C. S. GAJARAJAN

A Study Sponsored and Financed by National Bank for Agriculturre and Rural Development

GOKHALE INSTITUTE OF POLITICS AND ECONOMICS

PUNE - 411 004

SEPTEMBER 1988

GOKHALE INSTITUTE MIMEOGRAPH SERIES NO. 28

EVALUATION STUDY

 \mathbf{OF}

MINOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES

IN

MAHARASHTRA STATE

With Special Reference to Drought Prone Areas of Beed and Osmanabad Districts of Marathwada Region

C. S. GAJARAJAN

A Study Sponsored and Financed by National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development

GOKHALE INSTITUTE OF POLITICS AND ECONOMICS

PUNE 411 004

SEPTEMBER 1988

FOREWORD

Since 1984, the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development has been supporting studies relating to various aspects of rural credit and rural development being carried out at the Institute by financing the Research Cell for NABARD Studies of the Institute. The present study on "Evaluation of Minor Irrigation Schemes of Maharashtra State : With Special Reference to Drought Prone Areas of Beed and Osmanabad Districts of Marathwada Region", is the second of the studies completed by the Institute under this arrangement. Two other studies carried out by this Cell are in the final stages and are expected to be published shortly. We take this opportunity to express our thanks to NABARD for their generous support.

The present study was carried out by Dr. C.S. Gajarajan of the Institute. The study evaluates the performance of the scheme for extending loans for investment in wells and pumpsets, introduced by Maharashtra State Cooperative Land Development Bank in 1981, for which 95 per cent refinance was provided by ARDC/ NABARD. This has entailed an evaluation of the financial benefits accruing to the recipients of loans under the scheme and has, in the context of their experience, involved an examination of the problems of financing and implementation of minor irrigation projects in the State. The study has further narrowed its focus to understanding the problems of financing minor irrigation peculiar to hard rock zone of drought prone areas of Baed and Osmanabad districts of Marathwada region of Maharashtra State.

(i)

The study assumes significance as minor irrigation occupies an especially important place in the State's irrigational development and as large parts of Marathwada and some other regions in the State are prone to periodic droughts.

Apart from bringing out the salient features and profiles of the scheme and of the selected areas and farmers, the report has monitored the implementation of the scheme, especially investigating the problems of alarmingly large proportion of infructuous investment in the case of dug wells. As the initial survey for this study was carried out when the schemes were in pro-optimal stage and affected by drought, a supplementary survey was undertaken subsequently to cover the full developm nt stage of post-investment period. This has made it possible to evaluate the financial banefits of the schemes under the situations of drought as well as normal conditions. In addition to highlighting the constraints on the scheme, especially during the drought period, the study arrives at the significant conclusion that the schemes are financially viable not only under normal conditions but even after allowing for periodically recurring drought situations.

Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Pune-411 004

U.

Suptambor 26, 1988

V. S. Chitre Director

(ii)

PREFACE

The successful implementation of minor irrigation projects in Maharashtra assumes special importance, for the State does not have abundance of surface water resources. It is even more significant in certain parts of Marathwada region of the State. Although, the estimated potentiality of groundwater resource is vast and the State can exploit the same for years to come, the implementation of schemes, in drought prone semi-arid areas characterized by hard rock condition, is frought with peculiar problems. Beed and Osmanabad districts of Marathwada region belong to this peculiar agro-climatic The present study, based on empirical investigations ZOUin these two districts, has attempted to monitor and evaluate several schemes supported by MSCLDB credit under NABARD rafinance programme. Apart from the aspects covering salient features of the scheme, methodology, and the general profiles of the selected zone and beneficiary farmers, the study attempts to analyse facets of infructuous investment, financing of invistment and, avaluation of post-investment benefits including cash flow and financial rates of return under normal as w.ll as drought conditions.

At the outset, I must express my sincere gratitude to NABARD for providing me an opportunity to carry out this study. I am also thankful to the concerned officers of Economic Analysis and Publication Division of NABARD for their valuable suggestions on the earlier draft which enabled

(iii)

me to conduct a supplementary survey to make the present study more comprehensive and meaningful. In particular, I am grateful to Dr. M.V. Gadgil, Mr. C. Ramalingam, Dr. H.P.Singh, Mr. R.G. Shaligram and Dr. B.N. Kulkarni who have been very helpful to me throughout.

I am thankful to my colleagues at the Institute for their encouragement at various stages of the study. In perticular, I am grateful to Prof. V.S. Chitre, the Director, for his unstinted support. My thanks are due to Shri S.N. Gadam for his invaluable suggestions at all stages. Amongst the others deserving my gratitude, mention may be made of Shri Bhaskar Mujumdar, Shri Dilip Mane, Shri S.B. Kate and Shri V.G. Kasbe for their valuable assistance in the project work.

Also acknowladged are the valuable cooperation and facilities r ceived from the officials of Maharashtra State Cooperative Land Development Bank at various levels and the sample farmers in Beed and Osmanabad districts.

C.S. GAJARAJAN

Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Funa-411 004

Saptambar 1988

CONTENTS

		CONTENTS		
			۵	•
			•	Pago
FOREWORD			•••	(i)
PREFACE			•••	(iii)
LIST OF	TABLES			(vii)
SUMMARY	AND CON	CLUSIONS	• • •	1
<u>Chapt</u> r				
J	INTROD	UCTION		13
	1.1	Importance of Minor Irrigation	• • •	13
	1.2	Irrigation Profile of the Region	•••	13
	1.3	Groundwater Potential	• • •	15
	1.4	General Features of the Selected Districts	• • •	16
II	SALIEN	T FEATURES OF THE SCHEME	• • •	31
	2.1	General Proposal	•••	31
	2.2	Institutional Arrangement	• • •	34
III	OBJECT	IVES, METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLE FRAME	• • •	39
	3.1	Main Objectives	• • •	39
	3.2	Methodology and Coverage	• • •	40
	3.3	Sample Framework	• • •	43
	3.4	Limitations of the Study	•••	45
	3.5	Supplementary Survey	•••	50
IV	SOCIO- Househ	ECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE SELECTED OLDS	•••	51
	4.1	Social Background	• • •	51
	4.2	Size of Operational Holdings	• • •	54
-	4.3	Occupational Pattern	•••	58
	4.4	Pattern of Income Levels	•••	61

(v)

<u>Chapter</u>			*	Page
V	SOME A	SPECTS OF INFRUCTUOUS INVESTMENT	•••	67
VI	ASPECT	S OF INVESTMENT AND FINANCE	• • •	79
	6.1	Opinions and Experience		79
	6.2	Dug Well and Lifting Device	•••	84
	6.3	Cost of Investment and Adequacy o Loan	f	87
VII	ASSESS	MENT OF POST-INVESTMENT BENEFITS	• • • •	96
	7.1	Area Under Irrigation	•••	96
	7.2	Intensity of Irrigation	•••	100
	7.3	Intensity of Cropping	• • •	103
	7.4	Changes in the Cropping Pattern	• • •	105
	7.5	Deviation from the Recommended Cr Pattern	opping	111
	7.6	Post-investment Benefits	• • •	113
	7.7	Financial Returns on Investment	• • •	120
APPENDIX	-I TI	ME LAG IN LOANING OPERATION	•••	127

(vi)

.

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
1.1	Sourcewise Net Area Irrigated in Maharashtra State	14
1.2	Percentage Distribution of Gross Cropped Area Under Main Crops in Beed and Osmanabad Districts During the Year 1978-79	22
1.3	Percentage Distribution of Gross Irrigated Area Under Principal Crops	23
1-4	Talukawise Details of Area Irrigated in Osmanabad District During the Year 1978-79	25
1-B	Talukawise Details of Area Irrigated in Beed District During the Year 1978-79	26
1-0	Area Under Different Crops in Each District in 1978-79	27
1-D	Irrigated Area Under Different Crops in Beed and Osmanabad Districts	29
2.1	Districtwise Physical and Financial Programme Recommended for Sanction of NABARD	38
3.1	Typewise Loans Sanctioned and Works Completed in the Districts of Beed and Osmanabad	42
3.2	Typewise Distribution of Projects Completed and Cases Selected for the Survey	46
3.3	Sub-branchwise Distribution of Number of Selected Households According to Type of Loan and Control Farmers in Beed District	48
3.4	Sub-branchwise Distribution of Number of Selected Households According to Type of Loan and Control Farmers in Osmanabad District	49
4.1	Distribution of Sample Loance Households According to Main Caste and Caste Groups	52
4.2	Average Size of Household, Literacy Proportion and Average Size of Earners Per Household According to the Categories of Selected Households	53
4.3	Distribution of Selected Households According to Broad Size Groups of Operational Holdings	55

(viii)

Table No.		Page
4.4	Holdingwise Distribution of Sample Borrowing House- holds According to Type of Loan	57
4.5	The Average Size of Operational Holding (in Acres) of the Selected Households According to the Sample Category	59
4.6	Distribution of Entire Sample According to Number of Occupations Pursued by the Household	60
4.7	Distribution of Reporting Earners Engaged in Subsi- diary Occupations According to Districts and Categories of Sample	61
4.8	Distribution of Sample Farmers According to Size of Household Incomes	63
4.9	Incomewise Distribution of Entire Sample Households According to Categories	63
4.10	Incomewise Distribution of Beneficiary and Incom- plate Project Borrowers According to Items of Loans	65
5.1	Distribution of Cases of Infructuous Investment (Incomplete) in the Selected Villages According to Main Reasons	71
6.1	Standard Size of Wells Prescribed and Deviation from the Standard by Sample Dug Wells	86
6.2	Distribution of Wells According to Type of Water Lifting Device	88
6.3	Details of Average Cost of Investment and the Extent of Average Loan Financing (New Dugwell Only)	89
6.4	Details of Average Cost of Investment and the Extent of Average Loan Financing (Renovation of Old Well)	90
6.5	Details of Average Cost of Investment and the Extent of Average Loan Financing (New Well Plus Pumpset)	91
6.6	Details of Average Cost of Investment and the Extent of Average Loan Financing (Renovation of Old Well Plus Pumpset)	92
6.7	Details of Average Cost of Investment and the Extent of Average Loan Financing (Only Pumpset)	93
7.1	Changes in the Area Under Irrigated Between Pre- investment and Post-investment Periods	98

Table Page No. 7.2 Net Increase in the Average Irrigated Area Per Beneficiary Farmer in the Post-Investment Period .. .99 Seasonwise Particulars of Average Level of Water 7.3 Before and After Operation of Wells and Average Recuperation Time (1983-84) 101 Cropping Intensity of the Irrigated Areas of the 7.4 Beneficiary Farmers 104 7.5 Seasonwise Cropped Area During Pre-Investment and 106 . Post-Investment Years 7.6 Percentage Distribution of Cropwise Area in Pre-Investment and Post-Investment Years 109 Holdingwise Pattern of Incremental Farm Employment 7.7 in the Post-investment Period 115 7.8 Per Acre Average Net Income and Incremental Income from Benefited Area Over Unirrigated Areas of Loanse-Farmers and Control Farmers 118 7.9 Cash Flow Statement and Financial Rate of Return on Investment in Dugwell with Pumpset Composite Scheme Under Normal Condition 122 Cash Flow Statement and Financial Rate of Return 7.10 on Investment in Dugwell with Pumpset Composite Schame Under Recurring Drought Condition 123 7.11 Cash Flow Statement and Financial Rate of Return on Investment in Electric Pumpset Only Scheme Under 124 Normal Condition . . . 7.12 Cash Flow Statement and Financial Rate of Return on Investment in Electric Pumpset Only Scheme Under 125 Recurring Drought Condition APPENDIX TABLES à-1 Percentage Distribution of Beneficiary Farmers Opting for Wells and Composite Loans According to 128 Time Lag in Loaning Operation

A-2 Percentage Distribution of Beneficiary Farmers According to Total Time Lag from Date of Sanction to Final Instalment ... 129

A-3 Distribution of 'Only Pumpset' Cases According to Time Lag from Date of Application to Disposal of the Instalment ... 130

(ix)