

The Rt. Hon. Mr. E. S. MONTAGU
ON INDIAN AFFAIRS

WITH FOREWORD

BY

DR. SIR S. SUBRAMANYA AIYAR, K.C.I.E., LL.D.

GANESH & Co., MADRAS.

At the risk of incurring the anger of my critics, I would express once again my belief that there is a growing spirit of nationality in India, the direct product and construction of British rule :—*Budget Speech—1912.*

**The Rt. Hon. Mr. E. S. MONTAGU
ON INDIAN AFFAIRS**

PRINTED AT
THE MODERN PRINTING WORKS,
MOUNT ROAD, MADRAS.



The Rt. Hon. Mr. E. S. Montagu.

CONTENTS

	PAGE.
Foreword	... i to xii
The Indian Budget, 1910 1
" " 1911 66
" " 1912 143
" " 1913 204
The Indian Railways and Irrigation 256
The Condition of India 268
Indian High Courts Bill 282
The Indian Police 287
Liberalism and India 295
The Government of India Bill 313
Opium Traffic 345
Mr. Montagu's visit to India 354
The Land Problem in India and England 358
The Council of India Bill 389
Tribute to Sir K. G. Gupta 394
Report of The Mesopotamian Com- mission 397
Mr. Montagu's Future Policy 418
Index 423



Dr. Sir S. Subramania Aiyar.

FOREWORD.

On no Secretary of State for India has fallen till now so critical a responsibility or so fascinating a task as has at the present hour devolved upon Mr. E. S. Montagu. He is still on this side of forty, having been born in 1879. Of a virile stock, he represents the robust and self-reliant side of British character, which knows its mind, is conscious of what is expected in the highest interests of the nation and is prepared to face the real issues that call for a decisive attitude. Within a remarkably brief period of 11 years, Mr. Montagu has as a matter of course taken his place in the front rank of British Parliamentarians. Representing one of the most intellectual parts of the United Kingdom in the House of Commons, he has been, if I may say so, a wide-awake student of world's affairs, retaining a quickness of grasp and an instinctive liberalism of mind which we generally associate with purely intellectual pursuits. There is not much of romance in him as in the case of Mr. Churchill who is five years older than Mr. Montagu but is likely to continue for some years yet the favoured youth of Great Britain. Mr. Montagu is a man of facts, of details, of

routine even. One might say he would have succeeded as a watchmaker quite as well as a politician and yet he is one of those who never forget the mainsprings of human motive and action in handling statistical details and attending to the requirements of official technique. It is this readiness to enter into details without withdrawing himself from an incessant regard to the principles underlying them that must have constituted the secret of his Parliamentary success and made him so valuable a lieutenant to one of the greatest of Parliamentary chiefs, Mr. Asquith.

He entered Parliament as one of the representatives of Cambridgeshire when he was twenty-seven and at a time when the Liberalism of Great Britain, bursting open the Conservative embankment which was losing its cementing principles, filled the country with a great wave of democratic victory. It was just then also that Mr. John Morley, in his 68th year, staked his high reputation in accepting the untying of the tangled skein which Lord Curzon had made of Indian affairs during a Viceregal term of about 7 years of unhampered autocracy, barring the single incident with Lord Kitchener. Mr. Montagu as Parliamentary Secretary to Mr. Asquith awaited his opportunities of greater individual responsibility, as he was witnessing the rush of Liberal Parliamentary programme on the one hand, and the efforts Mr. Morley was

making in defending an amazing negation of the very rudimentary principles of Liberalism on the other. From 1906 to 1908, until he sought the immunity of the House of Lords, the saint of radicalism had to play the part of the apologist of executive despotism, without any convincing reason for his attitude, taking shelter in a Papal spirit of finality. He started in 1906 with the late Rt. Hon. John Ellis as his Under Secretary with a cloudless sky over him, the anti-partition agitation still hoping for immediate redress at his hands, and a phalanx of stalwart Liberals of unimpeachable Indian experience standing behind him in support of a policy of rescission. Mr. Ellis, only three years younger in age to Mr. Morley, belonged to the old generation of upright politicians of the Society of Friends and could not understand the strange feat of looking upon admitted blunders as settled facts. He was too old to be yoked to such a policy even in conjunction with an older man and that of the classic reputation of Mr. Morley. Had they pulled together from 1906 to the closing days of Mr. Ellis, the fate of India would have been cast in a different mould from the one that took form and shape between 1906 and 1911. However, the place resigned by Mr. Ellis had to be occupied by two other members of the House of Commons during the next two years Mr. Morley continued in the Commons. So long as Mr. Morley was in the Lower House,

as he was making himself answerable in the House of Commons to the direction of his chief.

From February 1910 to February 1914, until his appointment as Financial Secretary to the Treasury, he got into intimate touch with the affairs of India with a growing sense of confidence in regard to what India required in internal reforms and a purified system of political and administrative control in England. In reading his Parliamentary speeches between these years the critical Indian reader will have to bear in mind that from 1910 to the end of 1911 he had to stand by the declared policy of Lord Morley and that he had yet to acquire a close acquaintance with India as will justify the assumption of any individual responsibility in regard to the critical topics of the period. With the Royal visit to India during the closing fortnight of 1911 and the beginning of 1912, commenced a new era throwing into the oblivion of the past the egregious blunders of distrust and division which the amateur statecraft of Lord Curzon had produced in copious abundance. Mr. Montagu not satisfied with a knowledge of Indian questions from blue books and the wardens of the bureaucratic system in the India Office made up his mind to visit India on that historic occasion and to complete his equipment by a personal study on the spot of the main features of Indian Government. The task of Lord Crewe and Mr.

Montagu became easier from 1912 onward. In 1914 he found he could leave the Under Secretaryship without any disadvantage to India if his services were required elsewhere. In February he left it and in August broke out the War.

Lord Crewe had ample experience and insight, and as Lord Hardinge was in India, there was no need for anxiety of any kind and no need either to think of Mr. Montagu in connection with India. But the progress of the War necessitated the formation of a United Cabinet, representing a United Party without Parliamentary Opposition. The offices had to be redistributed in a way that the Cabinet might contain representatives of the Leading Parties. Earl Crewe and Mr. Roberts had to give place to Mr. Austen Chamberlain and Lord Islington respectively. Although it was a Coalition Cabinet, in the distribution of places India had to be allotted to a Conservative politician, rather, an office of the first rank had to be allotted to a leading member of the Conservative Party, and Mr. Austen Chamberlain became Secretary for India. Mr. Chamberlain's succession to the India Office was not a matter that could have made a vital difference from May 1914 to April 1916, because of Lord Hardinge's presence in India as Viceroy. The Master Charmer of the loyalty of Indians, England owes to Lord Hardinge what it owes only to three other of her greatest of Empire-

builders, Lord Clive, the Marquess of Wellesley, and Lord Canning. His departure from India little over an year ago threw Indian affairs into comparatively inexperienced hands and the control that should have belonged to them passed into the hands of the permanent Bureaucracy. The inevitable results of a policy of repression followed at a time of unprecedented political and national upheavals in Modern History. It is hard to say what would have been the fate of India if the Mesopotamian Failure had not come as a timely saviour of the situation to pass the control of India from Mr. Chamberlain who knew little of India, knew less of its budget of troubles in the immediate past, and knew least of all of the character and traditions and of the tenacious love of vested interests of the Indian Bureaucracy. But if that control had to pass from Mr. Chamberlain to somebody else as a matter of party consideration and not of personal fitness at a critical moment the change would have been of no use. Mr. Lloyd George deserves the gratitude of the Empire for passing it on into the hands of Mr. Montagu. Young as he may be considered to be, he has his feet on no slippery ground, having been equipped for his task by study, personal knowledge and the spirit of Lord Morley's "individual responsibility" which he has imbibed fully to the benefit of the vast millions of this country. The bombastic pretensions

of ex-pro Consuls which when pricked will disclose what sorry bubbles of misapprehension and ignorance they are will not intimidate him. Nor could the threats of a press, which has been habitually the pampered child of Indian authorities and the members of which are decorated at their recommendation, but none of whom have been brought under the operation of the punitive provisions of the common laws of the country in spite of gross slander in which some of them have indulged against the fountain head of governmental authority in India, ever thwart him from pursuing a policy of straight service to his fellow-subjects of the Crown in a country but for whose inclusion under the British flag, British Empire will be a healthy shrub, but not the magnificent banyan tree which can shelter all the component divisions of an army marching to victory. He cannot be diverted from his duty to such a land by a handful of frantic, ill-balanced and opprobrious critics.

Few can ever have the opportunity of national and imperial service that has fallen to him now. He has shewn by his mettle that he will not lag behind it. The speeches contained in this volume bear ample evidence of that mettle. Differences of view will arise as one goes through them. But they are differences that in no way detract from the estimate of the man as we have formed here. His latest

speech, delivered just before he put on the harness of the India Office, shews that the man has come with the hour. That speech is bound to take its place among those delivered on momentous occasions without expectation of what was to come but with a presentiment of the national duty that awaits an individual. Hardly had the echo of his words ceased to be heard, they almost seem to have recoiled on him to put him to a positive test.

Burke himself could not have impeached with greater directness or warmer conviction England's neglect of Indian Government. Burke's was a labour of love for an India that knew him not; his was a task for generations of Indians to whom his name was to become an invaluable heritage and a monument of British sense of justice. Had he not been animated by a sub-conscious responsibility for an India that was yet to come to know him he would have considered his gigantic task an ephemeral undertaking.

To-day, on the other hand, Mr. Montagu's responsibility to India is one of which not only he but his fellow-citizens in India as well are equally and fully conscious. To-day India repeats word for word Mr. Montagu's speech on the Mesopotamian muddle. To-day Indians know what Burke's contemporaries in India never, knew—how courageous British sincerity can brush aside the

meshes woven by sectional self-interest. Mr. Montagu has now become the hope of India, as Burke would have become, if Indians could then have followed his labours on their behalf.

Equally great and talented friends of India with Mr. Montagu England has produced. But they had no mission, such as Burke took upon himself or has now fallen to Mr. Montagu. In Mr. Montagu's case, unlike as in the case of Burke, it is coupled with power to accomplish it. Mr. Montagu would almost seem to have in fact a commission to effect what he unfolded in the course of that illuminating and masterly speech.

If even he should fail India after all, there can be no greater misfortune we can think of to England and India. So pessimistic a supposition we refuse to entertain after the magnificent proof he has given of his courage in handling the question of internments—for which there seems to be no god-father now as in the case of the Partition when it had to be annulled and Lord Curzon himself had to admit that conditions had changed. Mr. Montagu has now to make, if not the reputation of his lifetime, a great and memorable part of it. Aliens to him in race and religion, but one with him in devotion to British Sovereignty and to Imperial Solidarity, the educated classes of India, who after all is said and done are more to and in this country

than people who look upon it as a field for earning pay, profit and annuity, regard his coming to India as a part of the fulfilment of Providence for the good of the Empire. So sincere and spontaneous a faith, so solemn and sanctified an expectation will not go in vain if Mr. Montagu will prove true to his convictions as expressed in his own words. In this faith this volume of speeches so timely brought out by a leading and patriotic firm of publishers, is placed in the hands of the public with a Foreword the main object of which has been to present to the reader a very brief, fair and true though humble sketch of the gifted author's public career almost from its commencement as Parliamentary Secretary to Mr. Asquith. I should be wanting in duty if I did not add that this Foreword would have been impossible but for the hearty and able co-operation of my friend K. Vyasa Rao with his clear and up-to-date knowledge of Indian politics acquired not only by a diligent study here in India, but also in England during his stay there and through actual contact with British political life. His forthcoming work, *The Future Government of India*, to be published by Messrs. Macmillan & Co. will furnish an independent contribution to the solution of the great problems which will have to be grappled with in the immediate future by Mr. Montagu among others. There can be no doubt that Mr. Montagu has understood India aright; the

supreme question now is whether after cogent and courageous consideration he is not to act in the light of that understanding.

BEACH HOUSE,
MYLAPORE, MADARS. }
27th Sept. 1917.

S. SUBRAMANIAM.

SPEECHES

OF

THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

On the motion to go into Committee on the East India Revenue Accounts,

MR. MONTAGU said: This motion would not sound to a stranger to our proceedings as a highly controversial one, but the discussion which will arise upon it is rather inaccurately known as the Debate on the Indian Budget, and it gives the House an opportunity, somehow markedly inadequate—(hear, hear)—for a review of the whole circumstances of Indian Government and conditions. In the very large draft which I shall have to make upon the patience of hon. members I trust they will make all allowance for certain obvious disadvantages under which I labour. My noble friend, Lord Morley, has now been Secretary of State for five years. It was only during the first two of them that he was able to make his own annual statement in the House, and for the last two years and on this occasion the House has to listen to what I believe it will agree is a story of conspicuously successful administration from different

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

spokesmen, each one of whom—and I hope I shall not be guilty of any disrespect to my predecessors when I say it—has felt the almost insuperable difficulty of adequately representing not only a great administrator, but so gifted and individual a personality as Lord Morley of Blackburn. (Hear, hear.) Concerning my own predecessor (Master of Elibank) I can only say that I regret, and never more than at this moment, the fact that he has been translated from the India Office, within those gifts of lucidly expounding any case he has to defend, and has gone to another sphere of action.

FOREIGN AFFAIRS.

I do not think it is necessary for me to say much this year about the foreign affairs of India. The North-West Frontier has been in a peaceful and undisturbed condition during the year that has just closed. There have been a few small raids which are the ordinary features of frontier life. The Amir of Afghanistan has appointed Afghan representatives to the Joint Commission which has been appointed to consider with a view to settlement various boundary disputes and claims of many years' standing. The Commission met for the first time last month, and the attitude of the Afghan representative was such that I do not think it is too sanguine to expect that the Commission

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

will soon be able to arrive at a satisfactory settlement. On the North-East Frontier the chief events of the year have been the conclusion of a new treaty with the Bhutan and the flight of the Dalai Lama from Tibet. With regard to the treaty with Bhutan the effect is to give Great Britain control over the foreign relations of the State. It may be taken as an indication of the firm determination of His Majesty's Government in no circumstances to allow foreign interference in the frontier States of Nepaul, Sikkim, and Bhutan—a determination which I am glad to be able to say is fully shared by the rulers of those States themselves. The flight of the Dalai Lama from Lhasa was due to the despatch to that city of Chinese troops. Hon. members will find a complete account of the events in the Blue Book on Tibetan affairs which has just been presented to the House. His Majesty's Government have found nothing in them to necessitate a departure from their policy and the policy of their predecessors of non-interference in the internal affairs of Tibet, or, with the domestic relations between Tibet and China, but they have made it clear to China that they will require a strict conformity with the provisions of the Anglo-Tibetan Convention of 1904 and with the Anglo-Chinese Convention of 1906, and they have no reason to doubt the good faith of the assurances which have been received from the

Chinese Government. The reason for the despatch of troops to Lhasa was to maintain order in that city and at the trade marks.

THE AGRICULTURAL OUTLOOK.

Then, coming to internal affairs, I am not in the position of my predecessor, who described India in March, 1909, as still under the effects of famine and distress. The autumn rains of 1909 were eminently satisfactory, and the autumn harvest has been followed by an equally fine spring harvest. Almost all the crops have been exceptionally productive. The cotton crop gathered in the winter months of 1909 was one of the best on record. The estimated yield is 4,500,000 bales, being an increase of 22 per cent. on the yield of the previous year. The rice crop has been equally good. In the province of Bengal, where rice is the staple article of food, the yield is put at 78 per cent. better than that of the previous year, and 47 per cent. better than the average for the previous five years. The wheat crop of 1910 now coming into the market is one of the best of recent years. In 1908 the yield was 6,000,000 tons. In 1909 it was 7,600,000 tons. This year the final estimate is no less than 9,500,000 tons. The agricultural prosperity of India may thus be said to be completely re-established, and it immediately begins to have an effect on the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

increase of exports and of imports, and a diminution of prices of the commoner food grains. The export trade has increased from £100,000,000 sterling in 1908-9 to £123,000,000 sterling in 1909-10. Should wheat and seeds continue to be exported through the autumn and winter months to the extent anticipated, the export trade of 1910-11 will be on a very large scale indeed.

TRADE PROSPECTS.

Of course, the import trade has been slower to move because there was a great accumulation of stocks, and the slump of 1908 was so severe that recovery cannot be expected very quickly. In 1909-10 the imports fell from £86,000,000 to £82,000,000, but in the closing months of the year there was a considerable upward movement. The third sign of improvement, the fall in general prices, is in some degree of great importance to large portions of the population of India, particularly those who dwell in towns, and is the most gratifying sign of improvement, when we recollect that the common food grains are 20 per cent. cheaper now than they were a year ago. But, of course, it must not be forgotten that the agriculturists of India have benefited very largely by the increase in prices of what they have sold, while the land revenue and other taxes have remained stationary. Twenty years ago

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. B. S. MONTAGU.

it took 40lb. of wheat to pay the land revenue on an acre of land in the Punjab ; now it takes only 29lb., and meanwhile the average sale price has risen from 38 to 98 rupees in the Punjab. It is a much higher figure in the irrigated provinces.

PLAGUE AND MALARIA.

This picture that we have been able to sketch of a practically wholly agricultural community is a very satisfying one, but I have got something rather less optimistic to say upon two subjects which have always got to be mentioned in Debates on Indian affairs—they are the plague and the malaria. Last year my predecessor was able to say that the plague was decreasing, that it had shown decreasing virulence in 1908 and 1909. Experts thought that the worst had been seen of this disease before 1906, which had shown the biggest rate of disappearance of its great virulence. The mortality in that year dropped from 1,000,000 to 157,000. In 1907 it rose again to 1,200,000. In 1908 it decreased to 156,000, and in 1909 the mortality was only 175,000. But this year it has flared up once more, and to the end of June the mortality was 374,000, and, as in former years, the death-rate has been most severely felt in the United Provinces and the Punjab. It is a local disease in the sense that it seems always to recur in particular

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

provinces and in particular districts of particular provinces. But on the other hand scientific evidence all seems to show that it is unconnected with any peculiarities of local circumstances such as drains, and is wholly unconnected with the comparative wealth or poverty of the inhabitants. The extermination of rats and fleas, the prevention of their importation from an infected district to a district not infected seems to be now agreed as the essential way of tackling the disease. Inoculation, and the temporary evacuation of infected premises are used as subsidiary measures. Although the statistics are not hopeful, it is satisfactory to think that the population of India are getting more and more to realise the necessity for co-operating in the administration of laws for enforcing remedial measures and carrying on the continual war which the Government of India have undertaken against the ravages of the plague. But I may point out that in British India with a population of 230,000,000 the death rate annually is 8,000,000, so that in all the year the contribution which the plague makes to the death rate is a very small one. Malaria is far more important to the population of India at large, and it is very difficult to gauge accurately the ravages of this disease, because the death returns under the heading "Fever" in India are not very scientific; but, of course, in regions where malaria is active

the death-rate under the heading "Fevers" in British India shows its activity. In 1908, when malaria was very severe in Upper India, the death rate from fever rose from 4,500,000 to 5,424,000 or an increase of 900,000, which may roughly be set down to the ravages of this disease. The causes which bring about epidemics are obscure. They seem to be connected with excessive rainfall that floods country and increases the facilities for the breeding of the infecting mosquito. In October, 1909, a Committee was convened by the Viceroy at Simla, and the results of this Conference are such that when they are adopted we may hope for a very profitable and satisfactory effect. In the towns site improvements may be made that will have the effect of limiting the breeding places of the mosquito. I fear that we must still have resort to active measures such as the distribution of quinine, which has always been provided by means of plantation as widely and cheaply as possible, though since the Conference the production of quinine has been still more facilitated, and its distribution at a still cheaper price as widely as possible is being helped—and by grants in aid of various municipal bodies for drainage and improvement of sites, while at the same time remedies are being attempted in the towns where the malarial mosquito abounds and breeds.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

INDIA'S FINANCIAL POSITION.

So much for a general view of the material conditions of the people of India. Up to now I have dealt with matters affecting the condition of large masses of the population of India, but, as the subject of this Debate is, officially at least, the accounts and estimates of the Government of India, it is my duty to say something of the financial position of the Government of India in 1909-10 and 1910-11. I shall endeavour not to weary the House with an unnecessary display of figures. I have so much to say, and I recognise so clearly that the longer I take to say it the less time there is for members of the House to say what they want to say, that I propose to deal very briefly with the financial statement for the year. The Blue Books which have been laid before the House on the subject contain a full account of it, and for the first time this year they contain, in addition to the financial statement of the financial member of the Viceroy's Council, and the ordinary tabular statement, the very instructive debates in the Viceroy's enlarged Council, and I would recommend to all students of Indian affairs a perusal of these books. They will find them of exceptional and absorbing interest. At the beginning of the year 1910-11 the chief topic of interest is how far the results of the past year actually coincide with the Budget Estimate of March, 1909.

This Estimate shows a surplus of £230,000, while the revised Estimate shows a surplus of £289,000, and I am happy to say that later figures show the surplus as £526,000, so that the difference between this final figure and the £230,000 estimated for is not a very serious matter, having regard to the large amount of expenditure involved. But the resemblance is only superficial, and the discrepancies between the results of the year and the Budget Estimate are very large indeed. There was, as the Budget had anticipated, a great improvement in revenue as compared with the preceding year, but with the exception of opium, the improvement fell very short of what had been anticipated. Land revenue, taxation and commercial undertaking produced together £476,300 less than the Budget Estimate, and a deficit was only avoided for two reasons. First, expenditure on both Civil and Military work was kept well within the Budget Estimate. Having regard to the very great importance of economy in India, this is not only satisfactory in itself, but augurs very well for the future of the finances of the country. The second reason was that owing to the good results of the opium sales in the year, and the higher prices paid than was expected, opium produced £900,000 more than the Budget Estimate. The House will agree that this sum, exceptional as it

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

was, was rightly treated by the Government of India as a windfall, and a large portion of it was expended in making grants to those Local Governments whose finances had been depleted by the famine arrangements of three years ago. After making these grants to Local Governments they are able to show, as I was saying a surplus for the year 1909-10 of £ 526,400.

A COMPARISON WITH PAST YEARS.

As regards the present financial year, 1910-1911, new taxation is necessary for the first time in sixteen years. Since 1894-95 there has been no new taxation in India, while the relief granted to the taxpayer in land cesses in 1905-6 and the reduction of the Salt, Tax in 1903, and again in 1905 and again in 1907 and the reduction of the Income Tax have relieved the taxpayer and have cost the State no less than £4,500,000 a year. This year, in order to show a balance of £376,000, additional taxation to bring in £1,126,000 is being imposed. The main cause of this additional taxation is that while the revenue, owing to the remission of taxation under certain heads, has not expanded, there has been a very large increase in the expenditure under certain heads with which the revenue has not been able to keep pace. I will not make a comparison with the revenue of 1907-8, because that was a year of

famine, or of 1908-9, which was a year of exceptional depression in trade, or of the year 1909-10, in which there were abnormally high opium prices. The last normal year was 1906-7, and if I compare the Estimate for the year 1910-11 with that year, I find that while land revenue, stamps, Excise, and Customs have increased, railways, salt, Post Office, and irrigation have decreased by almost the same amount, so that if there was no increase in taxation the revenue would be very nearly the same as in 1906-7.

RAILWAYS AND SALT REVENUE.

Let me explain for one minute briefly, this question of decrease in revenue. First, as to railways. The gross receipts have increased by £ 3,000,000, but the working expenses and interest charges have increased by £ 4,750,000, leaving a net decrease of £ 1,750,000. These increases and expenses were fully explained by the Chairman of the Railway Board during the discussion in the Viceroy's Council. They are attributable partly to increases in wages and salaries, partly to improvements in facilities, and to a large expenditure in strengthening and doubling lines and improving and enlarging stations. Such expenditure is not immediately productive, but there is every reason to hope that, in course of time, its value will be very great. I am spared the necessity of developing

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

further the subject of railways, because a few months ago I was able to lay before the House, in introducing the Loans Act of this year, an account of the convenience and profit to India of this, which is one of the best examples of Socialistic undertakings which the world has to show. As regards salt, the loss of revenue is due to the reduction of the duty in 1907-8 from $1\frac{1}{2}$ rupees to 1 rupee per maund. If the reduction of the duty has caused the revenue to fall in the same proportion the loss would have been £1,365,000, but there has been a considerable increase in consumption in this necessary of life, reducing the loss to £967,000. Of the £481,000 loss under the heading of "Post Office, Telegraph, Mint and Exchange" there was a reduction in postal rates in 1907-8 which costs £208,000 a year.

THE GROWTH OF EXPENDITURE.

When I turn to the expenditure figures I find an increase for 1906-7 of £2,485,000. Nevertheless, I would point out that there is a decrease under the heading of "Military Services" of no less a sum than £463,900, although the figures for 1910-11 include the costs of the increase granted to the pay of the Native Army, £426,000. The chief cause of this economy is that the expenditure on Lord Kitchener's scheme for the improvement of

the Indian Army has been greatly reduced, owing to the completion of some measures, the modification of others, and the improvement of the international situation. As regards the increases, expenditure in the Education Service has increased by half a million, in the Medical Service by £300,000, in the Scientific and Agricultural Departments by £224,000, and in buildings and roads by £185,500. I do not think I need defend these increases. In addition to this, there has been an increase of £881,300 in the cost of the police force, in accordance with the recommendation of the Police Commission of 1903. There have been also increases in the pay of subordinate establishments employed on the collection of the land revenue and in other departments, necessitated in some cases by the general upward movement of prices and wages. There is one aspect of the growth of expenditure which I ought to mention, because it was referred to at some length in the financial statement of India—I mean the increased amount assigned to the Government of Eastern Bengal and Assam. The income assigned to the Province in 1906 was found to be inadequate for its needs; the Province was somewhat backward in educational facilities, in medical establishments, in means of communication, and so on, and the experience of the last four years has shown the necessity for increasing the funds available for its

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

development. The Government of India has, accordingly, made to it a grant of about £255,000 a year, with effect from 1910-11, and this is the charge which has to be met in this year's Budget.

OPIUM AND THE CHINESE AGREEMENT.

The Finance Member of the Viceroy's Council also laid special stress upon the prospective loss of revenue from opium, compared with 1908-9 and 1909-10. It is a fact well known to members in all parts of the House that new sources of revenue will have to be discovered to replace the opium revenue which is to be lost to India during the next ten years. Actual receipts for any particular year may vary, because the reduction in the output may lead to an increase in price, but the larger the receipts to any year the greater the loss that will be felt when the trade is ultimately stopped and that source of revenue disappears. During the five years 1901-5 the average total annually exported from India to countries beyond the seas was 67,000 chests, of which China took 51,000, and this amount the Government of India undertook, with effect from January 1, 1908, to reduce by 5,100 chests per year for three years. The Chinese Government on their part undertook to reduce progressively in the same way the production of opium in China. There are

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

no returns as to the amount of this production, but recent estimates put it at eight or ten times the amount of the Indian import. It was further agreed that if the Chinese would fulfil their share of the agreement, the Indian Government would continue to reduce their export by 5,100 chests annually for seven years more. The present year is the third year of the agreement. The Indian Government have limited the export of opium, and the Imperial Chinese Government on their part claim to have reduced production by more than three-tenths of the area formerly under poppy. Although this cannot be substantiated by statistics there is no reason to doubt that this is true. But the Foreign Office, before agreeing to the renewal of the agreement, have deputed Sir Alexander Hosie, lately, Consul-General at Tientsin, to make enquiry. The condition that statistical proof should be furnished has been waived, and the Chinese Government have been offered an extension of the existing agreement for another three years.

THE EFFECT ON INDIA.

As regards the average annual net revenue before the agreement with China it was £3,500,000 sterling. In 1908-9, the first year of the agreement, it rose to £4,645,000; in 1909-10 it was £4,432,000. This improvement, despite the reduction of export, is

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

due to higher prices obtained for Bengal opium, to the decrease on expenditure in Bengal, owing to reduced operations, and the fact that Pass Duties on Malwa opium have been received in advance on opium that will be exported up to the end of 1911. In 1910-11 there will be no receipts from Pass Duties, but a higher price has been estimated for Bengal opium, and the revenue budgeted for is £3,500,000 sterling. In 1911-12 receipts on account of duty on Malwa opium will not commence until January, 1912, and there will then be monthly sales from that date of the rights to export the fixed number of chests of Malwa opium. Assuming that Bengal opium will continue to fetch Rs. 1,750 a chest, a net revenue of about £3,000,000 a year may be hoped for in 1911-12 and 1912-13. It will thus be seen that the first half of the agreement with China will pass without injury to the Indian revenue, but the second half will be more serious. Now, the Secretary of State is receiving representations from members of this House urging the shortening of the ten years' period. (Hear, hear.) This period was proposed by the Chinese Government themselves, and the Chinese have suggested no alteration. I can only say that any alteration would lead to serious financial and administrative questions. I would urge members to be satisfied with the very satisfactory arrangement that has been made, and to

forbear to ask that an excessive strain should be placed either on the finances of India or on the temper of the opium cultivators, the taxpayers both in British Provinces and in Native States, and the relations of the Indian Governments with those of the Native States. It is generally known that the United States Government have issued an invitation to His Majesty's Government to take part in a proposed International Opium Conference to be held at the Hague, in order to give effect to the recommendations of the Shanghai Commission and to consider otherwise the opium question. His Majesty's Government, in examining in a friendly spirit the tentative programme which the United States Government have suggested, is inclined to think that it may require some revision before it can usefully serve as a basis for a conference, and that some preliminary understanding between the Powers as to the subjects to be discussed may be desirable. His Majesty's Government, for instance, could not agree to submit to discussion at the proposed conference the diplomatic relations subsisting between this country and China, and it may probably desire to know whether the Powers, accepting the principle of a conference, will assent to the Conference dealing fully with the cognate question of regulating the export of morphia and cocaine to the East, and will undertake to have the necessary

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

information collected if it is to arrive at a useful decision. However that may be, the fact remains that despite the prosperity of India, the increase in its expenditure on subjects such as I have mentioned, the condition of the revenue, owing to remission of taxes, the prospective loss of revenue from opium, account for the necessity for new taxation this year. To meet a deficit of £750,000 and to turn that deficit into a surplus of £376,000, the Government have proposed new taxation amounting to £1,126,000.

THE NEW TAXES.

This money is to be found by increasing the Customs Duties on imported liquors, to yield £135,000 with a corresponding excise on beer manufactured in India to yield £33,000; an increase in the duty on silver to yield £307,000; on petroleum to yield £105,000, and on tobacco to yield £420,000, with an increase, on Stamp Duties to yield £126,000. No increase, it will be seen, has been proposed on any necessary of life, and the easy expedient of once again increasing the Salt Tax or the land rates has been very properly avoided. There has been little discussion of the Liquor Duties an increase in which will have satisfactory results if it stops some of the import of cheap foreign spirits with their corrupting and demoralising effects on the natives in some parts of India. The duty on

silver has been seriously canvassed, and the debate thereon in the Council is one of the most valuable and instructive. The duty was formerly 5 per cent., but the increased duty is 16 per cent., or a rise from about $1\frac{1}{2}d.$ to $4d.$ per ounce. One incidental effect of the duty will be to raise the value in India of the large amounts of savings held by the Indians as silver. It was expected in some quarters that, in consequence of the imposition of the Indian duty the prices of silver outside India would fall, and this would involve a fall in Indian exchange on China. It was argued that, in consequence of this, the exportation of goods from India to China would become less profitable, while the Chinese producer, not being exposed to this same disadvantage, would gain. I will not go now into the question as to whether the trade of one country is permanently fostered, or that of another injured by the rise or fall in the rate of exchange; but these objections to a very good revenue-producing duty have been answered, and the question has become academic only because the prices of silver and the Indian exchange on China have risen since the imposition of the increased duty. The price has risen from 23 7-16d. per oz. to $25\frac{1}{8}d.$, and the China exchange has risen from Rs. 129 $\frac{1}{2}$ to Rs. 132 $\frac{3}{4}$ per \$100. The increased tax on petroleum is not likely to cause much comment. The import of petroleum is increasing.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

and rose in India from 83,000,000 gallons in 1904-5 to 90,000,000 gallons in 1908-9. There has been considerable objection to the new duties on tobacco. These were imposed for revenue purposes only. The amount of tobacco imported into India in 1908-9 was five and a half million pounds. If duty had been paid on this import at the rate now in force in the United Kingdom it would have produced £1,449,000, instead of £39,000. It was only reasonable that, when in need of revenue, an attempt should be made, as in other civilised countries, to obtain from this source a substantial amount. The new duties are less than half those now in force in the United Kingdom. In so far as they will stop or reduce the importation of inferior cigarettes into India, cigarettes which sell for $\frac{3}{4}d.$ per packet of ten, or even cheaper, and do something to check the growth of cigarette smoking, no one will be sorry. If they were protective they would defeat the object of the Secretary of State, and the Government of India, in raising revenue. I may add that the Indian tobacco which is alleged to compete with the imported article is of very poor quality. The natural conditions in India are hostile to good curing, for the climate is too dry, and the fermentative changes necessary do not take place. The average value of such unmanufactured tobacco as is produced in, and exported from, India, is shown

by the Trade Returns to be about $1\frac{3}{4}$ d. per lb. As I have so often said, their effect has been watched, and is being watched, with the greatest care, and the desirability and possibility of a corresponding excise will always be considered. I may say, before leaving finance, that the need for economy is obvious from what I have said. The Secretary of State is now considering what steps may be desirable in order to secure a more economic administration.

THE POLITICAL UNREST AND ITS GENESIS.

I have now done my best to enable the House to form some opinion of the material condition of the people of India. There remains the even more important task of examining the political condition of the Empire. I say it is more difficult, because we Western people, bred in the tradition of self-government, do not easily realise the complexities that involve the ruling power in India—(Hear, hear)—the diversities of interest through which the path of compromise must be found, the multifarious elements that must be welded into a large and steady policy. The conflicting claims of different classes may bulk largely at home, but underlying them there is, generally speaking, an essential unity of religion, of tradition, and, on the whole, of interests. In India are associated under a single-

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

rule varieties of races far wider than can be found in the whole of Europe, as many different religions as Europe contains sects of Christianity. Stages of civilisation range from the Hindu or Mahomedan Judge on the Bench of the High Court to the naked savage in the forest. Grafted on to this diverse population, numbering nearly 300,000,000, is a European element, numerically insignificant, less than 200,000 in all, a population in no sense resident in the country, but of an importance in the spheres of education, commerce, and administration wholly disproportionate to its numbers. The responsibility for the government of such a country rests ultimately on the people of Great Britain, and is exercised through the Secretary of State in his Council. The problem before us is to yoke a government, as complex and irresponsible to the peoples which it governs as the Government of India, to a democratic system in England which every year shows itself more determined to do its share in the government of this great dependency. The mechanism for performing this duty lies in this House. The views expressed in it on an infinite variety of subjects must be duly considered by the Secretary of State, who is, in effect, the servant of the House. To achieve this responsible task in the House requires dignity, reserve, and a sense of proportion which it is difficult to overrate. In the

last Parliament there was one who was accustomed to take a prominent part in Indian and Imperial affairs, who differed widely from me and my friends in his views, whose methods might well be taken as a model for such discussions as these. I should like to add a word expressive of my personal sense of loss on the death of Lord Percy, which has already been widely lamented.

THE LARGER AUDIENCE.

I fully realise that my words, and, indeed, the words of all who follow me, are not only likely, but certain, to be over-heard, and that our discussions are awaited thousands of miles away by people of little experience of political government, of growing political ambition, with inherent and acquired characteristics totally different from our own. Our words must be chosen not only for Englishmen accustomed to Parliamentary Debates, but for Englishmen impatient of Parliamentary Debate—not only for English audiences, but for Indian audiences. I know full well that recent changes in the Indian attitude are confined to a very small portion of the population. One must never lose sight of the remarkable fact that nine-tenths, or over 200,000,000 of the vast population of India are still uneducated and illiterate. All talk of unrest, of which one hears so much, is talk of that small fraction of a vast number

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

of the people which education has reached, and within this small fraction are to be found all those divergent forces which are classed together as political unrest. We must remember, however, that the amount of yeast necessary to leaven a loaf is very small; when the majority have no ideas or views the opinion of the educated minority is the most prominent fact in the situation. (Hear, hear.) How much earnest thought and hasty judgment centres on the word "unrest." (Hear, hear.) Of course there is unrest. It is used by some, adorned by instances of the inevitable friction of complex government, as a proof of the failure of the British occupation. It is used by others, ornamented with details of crime statistics, as evidence of the lack of strength of British rule, of the lack of firmness of a particular political party in this country, and it is, of course, used by that portion of the Press which considers only its own circulation for sensational purposes. (Cheers.) May I say how strange it seems to me that a progressive people like the English should be surprised at unrest! We welcome it in Persia, commend it enthusiastically in Turkey, patronise it in China and Japan, and are impatient of it in Egypt and India! Whatever was our object in touching the ancient civilisation of the Indian Empire, whatever was the reason for British occupation, it must be obvious that Eastern civilisa-

tion could not be brought into contact with Western without disturbing its serenity, without bringing new ideas into play, without infusing new ingredients without, in a word, causing unrest. And when we undertook the government of the country, when, further, we deliberately embarked on a policy of educating the peoples on Western lines, we caused the unrest because we wished to colour Indian ideals with Western aspirations.

THE REVOLT AGAINST AUTHORITY.

When we came into India we found that the characteristic of Indian thought was an excessive reverence for authority. The scholar was taught to accept the assurance of his spiritual teacher with unquestioning reverence: the duty of the subject was passive obedience to the rulers; the usages of society were invested with a divine sanction which it was blasphemy to question. To a people so blindly obedient to authority the teaching of European, and particularly of English thought was a revolution. English literature is saturated with the praise of liberty, and it inculcates the duty of private and independent judgment upon every man. We have always been taught, and we all believe that every man should judge for himself, and that no authority can relieve him of the obligation of deciding for himself the great issues of right and wrong. The Indian mind at first revolted at this doctrine. Then

one or two here and there were converted to it. They became eager missionaries of the new creed of private judgment and independence, and the consequence is that a new spirit is abroad wherever English education has spread, which questions all established beliefs and calls for orthodoxy, either political, social, economic, or religious, to produce its credentials. We are not concerned here, except in so far as they are important causes of political unrest, with either religious or social unrest. It is not necessary for me to do more than state the platitude that religious unrest produces among those who have experienced its political results. There can be no departure from religious orthodoxy without its being accompanied by its fierce reaction to orthodoxy. Side by side with the unrest produced directly by English example comes the indirect result of a religious revival. The activities of those who are questioning the teaching they have inherited call into action those who fiercely combat the new religious heterodoxies, abominate the Western example producing them, emphasise the fundamental and, they say, the unconquerable differences between the East and the West, and demand freedom from alien influences. These two counter forces—the reform movement and the survival that opposes it—involve not only those directly affected, but their parents, relations and friends, and cause political and social unrest.

THE WORK OF SOCIAL REFORM.

For an example of social unrest I would call the attention of the House to the social reformers who are devoting their attention to the education of women, the abolition of infant marriage, freedom of travel and sea voyage, and similar social work, with the far-reaching effects on the domestic sphere, and result in questioning the usages which claim divine sanction, and were hardly in olden times distinguishable from religion. Despite ostracism and sometimes boycott, pecuniary loss and moral obloquy, the efforts of the reformers are in a small degree bearing fruit. And just as religious reform produces religious revival, so social reform brings its counter movement. Those forming it recent interference with the old-established usage, disapprove of the reforms achieved and proposed, and hate the teaching which has produced them and those who gave the teaching. And then there is, of course, economic unrest—the necessary concomitant of an advance in the material well-being of the masses, indicative of impatience with the commodities of life which were once accepted as inevitable, of changes in industrial conditions of increasing wants and of quickened desires. There is a perceptible advance in the general well-being, but the start is from a very low point. The enlargement of the wants of people accustomed to an

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

extraordinary simple standard of living is bound to manifest itself in ways which are indicative of economic unrest. Viewed broadly, India may be said to be passing from the stage of society in which agricultural and domestic industries of the cottage order have predominated, in which each village has been an isolated community, and each individual attached to a particular spot and hereditary occupation, to the stage of organised over-seas commerce and capitalised industry. As yet the transition is visible only in a few exceptional districts, where factories or coal-mining have taken hold, and in the maritime cities through which the commerce of India to other countries pours. Indirectly, the whole continent is affected; the demand for labour for the industrial centres penetrates to the most secluded villages, raising the local wage rates, and increasing the farmer's wage bill. The demand of foreign countries for the food grains, the oil seeds, the cotton and the jute of India raises local prices, widens the cultivator's market, and changes the crops he grows. The competition of machine-made goods with hand-loom industry impoverishes the village weaver, or converts him into a mill hand and drives him into a town. Of these three movements—the religious movement, the social movement, and the economic movement—each produces its quota of political unrest, and the counter movements of

those who abominate the new teaching, resent the alteration of the time-honoured social customs, dislike any departure from orthodox religion, question the teaching that produces it, and also show resentment to those who teach it. All these things together make that curious, differently produced force in India which is known as political unrest.

THE HANDLING OF POLITICAL AGITATION.

It would be very surprising indeed if the religious and social reform movements, such as I have described, together with the opposition to them, the desire for economic trade, the tendency to preserve uneconomic and ancient industries, together with the spread of education and the growth of the Native Press, the fermentation of new ideas, stopped short of the political sphere. Of all forms of liberty England has always shown the most jealous solicitude for political liberty, and I think we can regard political unrest in India as being but the manifestation of a movement of Indian thought which has been inspired, directly or indirectly, by English ideals, to which the English and the Government of India themselves gave the first impetus. It is constantly being nourished by English education given in Government schools and colleges. In so far as this political unrest is confined to pressing the Government to popularise the government of the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

country, so far as the conditions of India will permit, I do not believe that anybody in this house will quarrel with it. You cannot give to the Indians Western education from carefully chosen and carefully selected teachers, trained either in Europe or in India; you cannot give to the Indians Western education either in Europe or in India and then turn round and refuse to those whom you have educated the right, the scope, or the opportunity to act and think as you have taught them to do. (Hear, hear.) If you do, it seems to me that you must cause another kind of unrest, more dangerous than any other, among those bitterly dissatisfied and disappointed with the results of their education, who use methods which have been taught them in Western countries to vent their disappointment. For this reason, it seems to me, if I may say so, that the condition of India at the moment is one which, handled well, contains the promise of a complete justification of British rule; handled ill, is bound to lead to chaos. (Hear, hear.) English thought may be responsible for the fundamental principle of revolt against authority, but it cannot be responsible for all the changes which that principle has undergone in its adaptation to Oriental environment. It would be absurd to suppose that old beliefs can be unseated and old usages altered without some element of danger. There have been recently in India mani-

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

festations of political unrest with which no one can sympathise, and with regard to which difference of opinion is not legitimate. There have been assassinations and conspiracies to murder; there have been incitements to murder; there have been attempts to create hatred against certain sections of His Majesty's subjects. If this pernicious unrest were allowed to spread it would result in widespread misery and anarchy—(Opposition cheers) it would produce a state of things in India which would be more inimical to progress than even the most stringent coercion. (Hear, hear.) It would spread chaos, from which society would seek refuge in a military dictatorship. For these reasons, if the Government was prevented from doing its duty in preventing this, it seems to me it would be a great step backwards, and a tragedy in history.

A STATEMENT OF POLICY.

The majority of the Indians themselves, as the House well knows, realise fully the danger, and will exert themselves to suppress those extremists who are jeopardising their position. I do not want to risk any assurance which the conditions do not justify, but I can say that within the last six months there has been a considerable revulsion in our favour. Horror at the assassinations and political

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

outrages, which are wholly repugnant to the true spirit of Hinduism; the strong line taken by the Government and the Rajas in regard to sedition; the general feeling that political agitation carried on by students and school-masters is doing infinite injury to the rising generation, and attempts that have been made in public and private life to promote more intimate relations between the different races—all these combined with the liberal policy pursued by the present Government in affording to Indians a wider entry into public life, have had their effect. But I would ask the House to consider what, in the face of these different spirits of unrest arising from the complex and contradictory causes that I have tried to show should be the root principle of government in India. The answer is easy to give, if difficult to act up to. True statesmanship, it seems to me, ought to be directed towards separating legitimate from illegitimate unrest. The permanent safeguard must be a systematic government, which realises the elements of good as well as the elements of danger, and which suppresses criminal extravagances with inflexible sternness. His Majesty's Government, acting upon this principle are determined to arm and to assist the Indian Government in its unflinching war against sedition and illegitimate manifestations of unrest, while it

shows an increasingly sympathetic and encouraging attitude towards legitimate aspirations.

THE PRESS LAWS.

I propose, if the House will permit me, to give the latest example of the two branches of policy which I have outlined. The latest example of the first part of the policy is the new Press Act. After full debate in new Council the measure has become law, and has been in force for some months—I believe already with beneficial effects. Its object may be said to have been to create a responsible instead of an irresponsible Press. In this country public opinion may usually be trusted to produce this effect; but in India, with its differences of race, of creed, and of caste, public opinion in this sense can hardly be said to exist. Therefore something is required in the manner provided by this Act, which I propose to examine in some detail, because I recognise frankly that it is an exceptional measure which the House is justified in demanding should be thoroughly examined, and because I believe that a large amount of the criticism which has been directed against it is due to a misapprehension of its provisions. May I assume that it is common ground that a certain section of the Indian Press has done incalculable mischief during the last two years? It was certainly

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

common ground in the Viceroy's Council when the Bill was under discussion. There was criticism of the remedy proposed by the Government, but nobody questioned the necessity for some remedy or the existence of the disease. I think it would be difficult to exaggerate the dangerous effect of seditious literature on the unformed and impressionable minds of students. I need not labour the point; it will be admitted by all who have a knowledge of Indian affairs, and terrible tragedies have brought it home to us. No one better realises than the Indian parents themselves, the gravity of the evil, or more earnestly seek to remedy it. I would ask permission to read to the House a leaflet which has already been disseminated in Bengal:—

Dear Readers,—We have made our appearance at this juncture as the situation is one of extreme importance. Do not be led away by false hopes and temporary conciliations. Let not any conciliatory measure of the Government pacify you and scare you away from your path. Sacrifice white blood unadulterated and pure to your gods on the altar of freedom; the bones of the martyrs are crying for vengeance, and you will be a traitor to your country if you do not adequately respond to the call. Whites, be they men, women or children, murder them indiscriminately, and you will not commit any sin.

but simply perform the highest Dharma. We shall appear again with more details. Adieu!

The leaflet was signed "Editor," and then follows a postscript. "The Editor will be extremely obliged to the readers if they translate this into all languages, and circulate it broadcast." That being an example of the sort of thing that is sometimes circulated among school boys in village schools, it is absolutely necessary that the Government should seek some weapon with which to try and prevent the dissemination of such nauseous stuff. Of course, the question presents itself. "Why not be satisfied with the existing law? You can punish sedition under the Penal Code and you can prevent sedition under the Criminal Procedure Code. Two years ago you passed a very stringent Press Act, which enabled you under certain circumstances to crush newspapers out of existence." To this the reply must be that, notwithstanding careful trial, the existing law cannot cope with the evil which the new law is designed to meet.

THE FAILURE OF PAST REPRESSION.

The policy of prosecution under the Penal Code has been given a thorough trial during the last three years; its result has been to make martyrs of misguided and insignificant youths; to advertise sedition, and to enhance the circulation of offending

newspapers. Its deterrent effect on the worst class of papers has been negligible. The preventive clause in the Criminal Procedure Code is not much good. It empowers a magistrate to call upon a printer or publisher to furnish security to be of good behaviour. This is easily evaded. The person bound over has only to cancel his registration as a publisher and to register a dummy publisher and the newspaper goes on all the same. The Act of 1908 has been successful in preventing the open advocacy of murder; but the Act only concerns itself with open incitements to violence. What we have now to deal with as well as that evil are methods which are just as dangerous even if less flagrant—inconstant misrepresentation, the imputation to the Government of malevolent motives, incitements to revolution under the guise of religious exhortation, implied justification of assassination by reference to revolutions in other countries. This preaching by innuendo has proved just as mischievous to the Oriental imagination as any direct incitement to murder which would have come under the Press Act of 1908. In these circumstances the Government determined to make an effort to create a sense of responsibility and to prevent rather than to punish. Let us see what the Act does. Instead of concerning itself with the individual, like the clause of the Criminal Procedure Code referred

to above, it transfers the security to the newspaper or the Press itself. No security is exacted from any registered newspaper which was existing when the Act was passed, unless it is guilty of publishing seditious matter. All new publications alike, so that it does not involve any invidious distinction, furnish security varying from £33 to £133, unless the magistrate thinks fit to grant an exemption, owing to the fact that, in his opinion, the funds of the newspaper are not sufficient to find the money necessary. In the event of a newspaper which has given security against the publication of seditious matter, publishing seditious matter, the security and all the copies of the offending issue may be declared forfeit; and a new and larger security demanded. On a subsequent offence, subject to appeal to the High Court, the Press itself, as well as the security, is forfeit.

THE NEW ACT DEFENDED.

Such are the main provisions of the Act. I would submit to the House that this Act really provides a far more humane procedure than the procedure by prosecution, which some members seem to prefer. Instead of putting the offender to the ignominy of prosecution and imprisonment, he is, on the first offence, merely warned in a friendly

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

manner. If he proceeds in his infringement of the law he does so with his eyes open. Even then he is only asked for a modest security, upon which he will be fined interest by the Government. Even after a further offence, if his security is forfeited, he has only to furnish a further security in order to have further chance of doing well. Nobody can represent this as drastic! It certainly would not prevent anarchy of which the Press is not the cause, but only the manifestation. We only hope that by this means we shall be able to check the contamination, by deliberate misrepresentation and inflammatory doctrine, of those who might otherwise be useful members of the community. The Press remains free to publish what it likes. Honest papers will not be affected by it. Those papers which have anything to fear from it have so abused the full measure of freedom, previously granted, that the continuation of their unfettered freedom will become impossible. The fear that the smaller concerns may be extinguished by their inability to find security has been met by the orders issued by the Government of India that in these cases the requirement should be waived, and no security should be taken. Personally, I am not impressed by the picture some have drawn of the nervous editor, not knowing whether he may have incurred the displeasure of a crouching

Government. The Act enumerates very definitely the sort of writing that constitutes an offence, and it expressly exempts from its purview the honest expression of disapproval of the Government action. May I quote to the House a remark of Sir Fitzjames Stephen, which was quoted in the debate in the Viceroy's Council? It runs:—"I do not believe that any man who sincerely wished not to excite disaffection ever wrote anything which any other honest man believed to be intended to excite disaffection." I believe there is nobody in this House who will not in his heart of hearts agree with that remark. I can only say that the Government of India have always kept prominently before them the necessity of avoiding at all costs, what might impair the right, which is not less valuable to the Government itself, of frank and honest criticism of Government measures and action. They have issued Administrative Orders with a view of securing uniformity of obligations, and with a view of avoiding, if possible, hardships. In the circular in which they issue instructions to refrain from demanding security in the case of papers whose resources cannot supply it, it is also stated, or laid down, that existing newspapers should be warned before demanding security, and that the security should be fixed at the minimum that may reasonably be expected to enforce obedience to the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

law. I should like to quote one paragraph of the recent Order, because I do not think you can find better evidence of the determination of the Government not to use this Act in any harsh or oppressive way :—

It is the earnest wish of the Governor-General in Council that the Act should be administered with careful discrimination between those newspapers and Presses which are generally well conducted and those which transgress from a deliberate intention to excite disaffection. No order of forfeiture should be passed without previous consultation with the Law Officers, and in coming to a decision due weight should be given to other articles published by the offending journal which indicate the nature and tendency of its writings.

THE APPROVAL OF INDIAN OPINION.

I am now going to ask the House's permission to quote an Indian paper on the way in which the Act is being administered. The editor of certain vernacular papers had been warned by the Deputy-Commissioner of Lahore against continuing to publish matter which might excite disaffection and cause a disturbance of the peace between the Hindu and Mahomedan populations. The "Tribune," a daily paper edited in English by an Indian gentleman, commented as follows :—

Where the authorities think it necessary to move, it is certainly wise and far-sighted to put in friendly counsel before taking action under the law. The fact that the Deputy-Commissioner of Lahore had demanded an undertaking in the first instance, is a clear and welcome indication that the authorities have no desire to work the law in a harsh or rigorous manner.

That is a welcome tribute with which I trust the House will agree. Let no one imagine that this Act has been thrust upon an unwilling India. If there is anyone who thinks that, I would beg him to study an account of the debate in the Viceroy's Council, which has been issued as a White Paper, and note the way in which speaker after speaker arose and acknowledged the lamentable necessity for such action. I believe that the Act, taken in conjunction with the Seditious Meetings Act, will complete the armour necessary, so far as one can foresee, for the repression of the campaign of calumny and of sedition. It will, at any rate, prevent that horrible form of sedition-mongering which consists in disseminating cruel mis-statements among young boys at school.

WHAT IS "SEDITION?"

May I ask the House to consider for one moment how difficult it is by quoting words to decide

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

what is and what is not seditious. Let me give an example. It is constantly said by seditious people that the English have caused malaria. There are apologists who say—and on one occasion I heard my hon. friend Mr. Ramsay MacDonald adopt this attitude—"But this is an interesting scientific fact. Canals are the breeding places of anopheles. The English build canals. It is a good wind that blows nobody ill; they, therefore, produce malaria. This statement, which is seditious in your opinion, is merely an attempt from the man who utters it to disseminate an interesting scientific result incontrovertible and remarkable." How harmless is the sentiment if this were all! But what sophistry all this is! When it is uttered with the deliberate attempt to make the ignorant believe that the British Government have introduced malaria deliberately, by building canals and even railroads to diminish the troublesome population, it ceases to be a scientific fact; it becomes a dangerous, libellous, and malignant calumny.

THE POLICE.

I will take again, as another example, the subject of the Indian police, and I will say as I have so often said in the House, that no one can deny the imperfections of this force. But you cannot produce a complete reform of a faulty force in a year, a decade-

or even fifty years. The improvement has been the most earnest attempt of the British Government—yes, and of the Indian people—during the last sixty years, during which the police have formed the subject of a series of Commissions of Enquiry, the last of which was appointed in 1902 by Lord Curzon. It recommended comprehensive reforms in all branches of the service, the annual cost of which was estimated at over £1,000,000 sterling. Its findings were adopted by Government Resolution, and effect has already been given to most of the proposals, and the work of reorganisation is still in progress. Let us consider for one moment the force with which the Report deals. The Civil Police in British India number 176,000 men, who have to deal with a population of nearly 232,000,000, scattered over 1,000,000 square miles. Let me give a typical district. In a district of Bengal there is a European superintendent of police, with the assistance of an Indian deputy-superintendent, who has to control nine inspectors, seventy-nine sub-inspectors, eighty-three head constables, and 778 constables. The area of the district is 5,186 square miles, the population is nearly 3,000,000, there are twenty-six police stations and twenty one outposts, some of them very difficult of access, and in 1908, 4,170 cases of serious crime to investigate. These statistics illustrate, far more

than any words of mine, the difficulties under which the police work is done in India, and when one reflects that educated Indians regard police duties with abhorrence, that to work for a "confession," as it is euphemistically termed, has been inherited from pre-British times as the best mode of procedure in a criminal trial, that little help is obtained from the people in bringing criminals to book, some faint idea of the difficulties will be realised. Having regard to all these circumstances, it is not surprising that isolated instances of abuse may sometimes be found. But by improving the police, by the vigorous prosecution of malefactors, by the expenditure of money, reorganisation must be gradually effected, and is going on with a determination which no honest man can doubt. Let me ask the House to compare some extracts which I have taken from the Commission on Torture in Madras in 1885 with the Report of the Curzon Commission of 1902. The Commission of 1855 quotes and endorses the words of an official witness:—

The so-called police of the Mofussil district is little better than a pollution. It is a terror to well-disposed and peaceable people none whatever to thieves and rogues, and if it were abolished in toto, property would not be a whit less secure.

The Commission of 1902 says :—

It is significant that a proposal to remove a police station from any neighbourhood is opposed by the people. They know that, on the whole, the police are for their protection.

The Commission on Torture in Madras in 1855 spoke of ' the universal and systematic practice of personal violence,' and said "it was still of enormous proportions, and imperatively calling for an immediate and effectual remedy." The Commission of 1902 wrote :—"Deliberate torture of suspected persons and other most flagrant abuses occur occasionally, but they are now rare." Again, I say, a marked improvement has been seen. Nevertheless, so keenly and rightly sensitive are the English people about reform in the police force that defects are quickly pointed out. To point out defects in the police force, if it is considered that they still require pointing out, and to suggest new remedies and palliatives which have not yet been discovered, if there be such, is useful work, demanding the sympathy¹ of all men, but to collect instances of abuse, many unproved, some proved to be false, to take quotations from their context and garble them, to represent as findings of a Commission what is merely report of popular opinion, to quote a statement of an interested party, as being "an account of what happened in the very words of the official

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

resolution," to say that the Indian Government has never prohibited torture, when it is punishable with seven years' penal servitude, to ignore any Government action to stop these abuses, and to represent the Government as ignorant or supine, callous, and tolerant of bad practices, I say, whether this be the work of a Hindu agitator or an ex-Member of Parliament, it is seditious, dangerous, and ought to be stopped.

INDIAN STUDENTS IN ENGLAND.

Turning now from these unpleasant subjects, I want to say that it is undoubtedly true that, hand in hand with any repressive measures designed to deal with manifestations or symptoms, the root causes must be dealt with too, and chief among these we must look for an improvement in the matter of education. The worst danger which threatens India is the lawlessness or disregard of authority which exists amongst students or schoolmasters. Now, I have described the political difficulties which exist to-day as largely the consequence of Western education. If there is a solution it is surely to be sought in some reconsideration of the system which caused it, both in India and England, even at the cost of other economies or new taxation and large expenditure from the revenues of India. Let me first deal with the position of the Indians who come to England

for purposes of study. The number now in England cannot be less than 1,000 they are far removed from the influence of their parents and guardians; they often arrive wholly friendless and ignorant of western customs. Their position is one of great difficulty and considerable danger, and they afford a problem urgently demanding solution. Last year my predecessor outlined the means by which we hoped to deal with the question, and the House will expect to hear what progress have been made. These measures fall under three heads, namely; (1) The appointment of Educational Adviser to Indian students at the India Office; (2) the appointment of an Advisory Committee; (3) the provision of a house for the National Indian Association and the Northbrook Society for the purpose of a joint clubhouse. The educational adviser, Mr. T.W. Arnold, was appointed in April, 1909. His duties are multifarious. He must be a store of information upon educational matters of every kind. He must advise students as to their residence if they do not become members of a residential university or college. He is a standing referee for educational institutions as to the qualifications of Indian applicants for admission. A doubt was entertained whether Indian students would be willing to avail themselves of the assistance of an official agency situated at the India Office. This doubt has been resolved in a most satisfactory

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

manner. The students come in very large numbers, and the immediate problem is to cope with the very large amount of work with which the educational adviser has to deal. In the last twelve months his personal interviews with Indian students have numbered upwards of 1,300. In addition to the work which was originally assigned to him, he has been entrusted by parents in India with the guardianship of their sons in no less than seventy cases. This entails closer supervision than is attempted in ordinary cases, and involves, among other duties, the care of their money. The Advisory Committee, appointed in May, 1909, consists of Lord Ampthill as chairman, six Indian gentlemen of standing, resident in this country, and two English members of the India Office, with correspondents in the various provinces in India. This Committee makes recommendations to the Secretary of State upon all questions referred to them regarding Indian students and holds receptions from time to time in the India Office of students recommended to them by the University Committees in India. The Committee, and especially the Chairman, have thrown themselves with ardour into their work, and have proved very useful to the Secretary of State. The Secretary of State has leased a house (No. 21) in Cromwell Road, facing the South Kensington Museum, to which the Northbrook Society and the National

Indian Association will shortly be transferred. The educational officer will also have his office in this building. Bedrooms will be reserved for the use of Indian students upon their first arrival in this country. Arrangements have been made for meeting students on their first arrival, and, instead of wandering about as at present in search of lodgings, they will be welcomed at the house in Cromwell Road, and given a bed and meals at once. Subsequently they will be given information about the many details which a stranger wants to know on arrival, and advice as to their studies, and they will be furnished, it is hoped, with introductions to English friends and see in fact that they are not friendless in London. The Northbrook Society will run a social club in the rooms assigned to it. Both the societies give receptions at regular intervals, to which Indian and English ladies and gentlemen are invited, and where opportunities of making acquaintance are frequent. The house will be opened, it is hoped, in August, and will be available for students who come to this country at the beginning of the next academic year. A good start has been made on the right lines. The Secretary of State intends to proceed vigorously on these lines and, as time goes on and opportunity offers, to enlarge the scope of organised effort. Let me add one word, addressed not so much to those within

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

these walls as to such audience as I may have outside them. Our efforts cannot bear real fruit unless we have the co-operation of those among whom the lives of Indian students are thrown. Many a friendless, sensitive lad looks back. I fear, on the period that he spent in England as one long spell of loneliness and unhappiness. Nothing that the India Office can do will remedy that. The remedy lies in the endeavours of those among whom their lives are spent to overcome insular reticence and prejudices, and to extend a real welcome which, if it is given in the spirit of true and frank comradeship, and not in patronising tolerance, will meet with warm-hearted reciprocation and will bear fruit of which the giver did not dream.

A MINISTER FOR EDUCATION.

Turning now to India, we must make the teaching more practical, encourage and extend technical instruction, for which there is a great demand, supervise and improve the hostels. The educational system now in existence has undoubtedly been successful in purifying the judicial service. It is capable of great extension in improving the moral tone of the country, spreading discipline and disseminating useful knowledge by means of well-paid and contented teachers. Now education is left to the Member in charge of the Home Department. He is overburdened with work as it is, and his

duties will be multiplied by the enlargement of the Council. Adequate consideration of educational questions touching the foundations of life in the many communities of India cannot be reasonably expected from a Department placed in such circumstances as these. A responsible Minister for Education has been an indispensable Member of a British Cabinet for some time, and there is no reason why the same necessity should not be just as strong in what I may call the Cabinet of the Government of India. Steps are needed to secure a coherent policy towards education, and to control the expenditure of the money allotted for this purpose. We have, therefore, decided to revive the sixth membership of the Council, dormant since the abolition of the Military Supply Department, and to appoint a member of Council for education. The head of an Education Department will be all the more likely to perform his work in a broad and comprehensive spirit if he is brought into living contact with the currents of Indian affairs, and this is most effectively secured by knowledge of the general deliberations on public business. It is no object of ours to take a step towards centralisation, but I would remind the House that the Decentralisation Commission have given their reasons for thinking that the general control of educational policy is within the legitimate sphere

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

of the Government of India, and does not hamper development in accordance with local needs and conditions. I may say that such a man, it is confidently hoped and believed, has been found, and His Majesty has approved the selection of Mr. Butler—a man who has been occupying up till now the position of Foreign Secretary to the Government of India. He will, I am sure, become the head of a Department which will ensure to India one of its greatest needs—a better and co-ordinated system of education.

THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. CLARK.

Whilst I am on the subject of the Viceroy's Council, I desire to put an end to public anxiety by announcing that Mr. W. H. Clark, of the Treasury and the Board of Trade has been appointed, and His Majesty has approved his appointment, as Member for Commerce and Industry. No one who knows his high attainments and conspicuous achievements in this country and in the East, and certainly no one among his friends, of whom I am glad to think he has many in this House, will question that he brings to a difficult and important task great qualifications which will be invaluable to the Government of India.

THE INDIAN COUNCILS ACT.

I pass now to deal with the other branch of the policy I have outlined, to give some account of the

latest contribution in the direction of meeting legitimate aspirations by saying something of the Indian Councils Act, the working of which has done much to improve the condition of affairs in India during the last six months. I think I may claim for the Indian Councils Act, the working of which has done much, as I have said, to improve the condition of affairs in India during the last six months, that it has been a great success. The House will expect me to make a few remarks, necessarily brief, on its working. It provided, it will be remembered, for a large increase in the number of the various legislative councils in India, introduced a true system of election, making its members more widely representative, and greatly widened their deliberative functions. At the same time, though they did not form part of the Act, it was decided to abolish for the future, in all councils, save that of the Governor-General the practice of maintaining a majority of official members. The Act also provided for the enlargement of the Executive Councils of the Governors of Madras and Bombay, and the establishment of an Executive Council to assist the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal. Our proposals were subjected to much criticism, both here and in another place, and although we met with no actual opposition in the Division Lobby—except on one point,

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

which was eventually settled by compromise—the right hon. gentleman the Leader of the Opposition deliberately disclaimed on behalf of his party any responsibility for the consequences that were likely to follow the passing of the Act. We are quite content to accept sole responsibility for the consequences, which so far—though it is early yet to speak—not only falsify the gloomy anticipations expressed in some quarters, but I might almost say actually surpass our expectations. The regulations that were necessary before the Act could come into operation were published on Nov. 15 last. No time was lost in holding the elections, and the new councils were able to meet early in the present year. Since then there has been no inconsiderable amount of legislation. In every council a budget has been discussed and passed, and full use has been made of the newly-granted right to move resolutions on matters of public importance. So although the time is short, the material for forming a judgment on the working of the Act is not wholly inadequate. There are two salient points in which particularly the fears of our more conservative critics have been falsified. The one is the admirable dignity and sense of responsibility displayed by the non-official members; the other is the conspicuous and gratifying success with which the official members, after the manner of old Parliamentary hands, have explained

and defended their policy in debate. Let me take one illustration—an excellent illustration, for it is drawn from a case in which the circumstances were such as to have strained the system to breaking point if it had possessed the defects that some saw in it. About a year ago, before the revised councils had come into existence, a Bill to amend the Calcutta Police Act was introduced into the Bengal Council. It was largely uncontroversial, but certain of its provisions which in the opinion of the Government were needed for the efficient discharge by the police of the duty of maintaining order, excited the liveliest disapproval from a certain class of Indian politicians, and a certain section of the Indian Press disapproval which found an echo in this country and within these walls. Even after its stringency had been modified in certain respects this opposition continued and the Lieutenant-Governor wisely decided not to pass the measure at once, but to reserve its final stages for the reformed Legislative Council.

THE "SPIRIT OF INDEPENDENCE."

Now, of all the revised Councils, Bengal has the largest unofficial majority, and, as everyone knows, what I may call, for want of a better term, the "spirit of independence" is more active in Bengal than anywhere else. We had therefore the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

interesting experiment of a Bill that had excited vehement protests as an encroachment on liberty being considered by a council with a large unofficial majority drawn from, politically, the most progressive province of India. What happened? The Bill became law after a reasonable and temperate debate. Only one amendment was put to the vote on a point which must, therefore, presumably be considered the most contentious in the Bill namely, the proposal to empower the Commissioner of Police to prohibit processions if likely to cause a breach of the peace. The amendment was lost by thirty-six votes to five, nineteen non-officials voting with the Government. I have dwelt upon this example because in it were present in a peculiar degree all the elements of danger that our critics apprehended and because a single actual instance is more illuminating than a profusion of generalities. Incidentally, I may observe how much stronger is the position of a Government when they rely on legislation passed in such a way than when their legislation bears the quasi-executive stamp of an official majority. As in legislation, so, in non-legislative discussions the debates have, on the whole been notable for moderation and reason. Such debates, especially the preliminary debates on the financial statement, have an educational value that must not be overlooked, in that they bring home to non-

official members the real difficulties of administration. Every question has been fully discussed; all opinions have been represented, and the Government has had ample opportunity for stating its views, explaining its motives, and bringing out the difficulties of a particular line of action. And in these discussions there has been no sharp line of cleavage between officials and non-officials; the old idea that non-officials must necessarily be in opposition seems to have disappeared. I would commend many of these debates—as, for instance, the debate on primary education in the Governor-General's Council on March 18—to the careful attention of students of Indian matters. The House is aware that in fulfilment of the other part of the Act of 1909—the part relating to Executive Councils—we have appointed Indian gentlemen to the Executive Councils of the Governors of Madras and Bombay. We have also sanctioned proposals for the establishment of an Executive Council for the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal; I hope an announcement will be made on this subject at a very early date. In effect, the Councils Act has resulted in producing excellent debates, creating opportunities for the ventilation of grievances and of public views, creating public opinion, permitting the Governors to explain themselves, giving to those interested in politics a better and a more productive field for their

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

persuasive powers than the rather more sterile debates in Congress.

DISAFFECTION AND REFORM.

I have now described not only the latest measure for dealing with disorder, the measure to create a responsible Press, but also the latest measure for an attempt to popularise the Councils Act. The material which I have now laid before the House will give the least imaginative member ample food for thought and profitable thought on the most difficult problems which the science of government has ever offered to students. I am fully conscious of the impossibility of presenting a true picture, and of the audacity that I have been guilty of in endeavouring to analyse nations and attempting to assign causes for their emotions. Let me frankly tell the House that I could never have found the courage to make these attempts or to occupy the attention of those who have survived so long, did I not find strength, courage, and inspiration in the supreme importance, overwhelming interest and great complexities of my subject. The dangers that beset the future of India are the sources of its possibilities. They can only be avoided by acknowledging and fostering the germs of progress, and they can only be really aided to a healthy growth by a war upon the internal evils in which

they are embedded. Let me only point out frankly some of the dangers that I think I see first here in this House. Do not, on the one hand, oppose all agitation for reform because you are led astray to confuse it with seditious agitation. Do not use your murderer as an excuse for your conservatism. And I use that term in no party sense. The hon. member behind me (Sir J. D. REESS) does not sit on the benches opposite—but nonetheless he is a Conservative. (loud laughter.) You cannot foster sedition more surely than by driving to it, or confusing with its advocates those who look to you with confidence for sympathy with their legitimate aspirations. You see clearly the seditious man and his seditious writings, and you are led to say: "This is Indian unrest: this House can have no sympathy with it. Let us put it from us, let us uproot it. vehemently. But when you put it from you, do not put away with it the man who is deserving of your respect and sympathy. And aided by this, and because of this, the other danger comes into being. Do not fear that you are lacking in sympathy with the true reformer because you refuse sympathy to the anarchist. Of course, nobody in this house really sympathises with anarchy. But because you are afraid that some reformer may be called an anarchist, because you fear that you will be accused of refusing to assist those who are

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

animated by some democratic ideals similar to your own, you are led sometimes to appear to throw a protecting cloak over the malefactor in order to proclaim aloud your sympathy with the reformer. To resist the efforts made to cope with the anarchist because you will not trust the Government of India to differentiate between the anarchist and the reformer; these divergent, contradictory, and equally dangerous tendencies would, either of them, if they prevailed, subvert order and dissipate the promise to be found in Indian affairs at the moment; and it is because of their existence that all parties in the House should help the Government in segregating violence and incitement to violence which mask, hinder, and might render impotent real efforts for reform. Remember, too, that every reform is irrevocable in India. Each reform opens out new activities, new spheres of thought, new views of life to those whom it affects. Each reform demands eventually, as its corollary, new and further reforms. These reflections ought to lead to ready acquiescence, on the one hand, in reforms that are justly demanded, tempered by the utmost caution, on the other hand, in taking steps irrevocable in themselves and inevitably leading to further steps.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE MACHINE.

What of those at the other end of the machine? I trust implicitly, from what I have seen of the

public-spirited men who administer India on the spot, that they are determined to meet the changing spirit of the time generously and sympathetically. Paper reforms are useless if given grudgingly and made the excuse for tightened reins in administrative action—punitive measures become as dangerous as the evils they are to cure if used indiscriminately for repression and not for punishment, to drive honest men to despair instead of sinners to repentance. But I am positive—and this House will, I hope, find evidence of this in the study of Indian affairs on all hands—that lessons and examples of the past and the high purpose and loyalty which are the cherished possessions of the Service I am discussing, ensure the avoidance of such obvious dangers as these. The ranks of the Civil Service are, however recruited yearly from our universities, and to those who are going to India to the responsible tasks they have chosen I am bold enough to say, mainly because I am fresh from the university and know vividly at what I am hinting, banish as quickly as you can the intolerance of boys and the prejudice of undergraduates, imbibe the traditions of the great Service you are joining, adapt them to modern demands, and go to administer a country in virtue and by the power of the sympathy you can implant in its people. Remember that the best intentions of the Government may be frustrated by the most junior

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

members of the Service, called upon, as they are, immediately to assume great responsibilities. I can conceive no more important career than the Indian Civil Service, and I would urge that it should be the object of all those who enter it to permit not even the most unfriendly examination to direct any deterioration in the Service. This is a suitable moment for taking so comprehensive a survey as I have wearied the House with this afternoon.

THE VICEROY.

Lord Minto, after a difficult reign, is returning to England, and I believe will receive, when he returns to this country, the gratitude which he has so richly earned from those upon whom the ultimate responsibility for Indian government rests. The relations of a Viceroy to the Secretary of State in Council are intimate and responsible. The Act of Parliament says : "That the Secretary of State in Council shall superintend, direct and control all acts, operations and concerns which in any way relate to or concern the Government or revenues of India, and all grants of salaries, gratuities and allowances, and all other payments and charges whatever out of or on the revenues of India." It will be seen how wide, how far-reaching, and how complete these powers are. The Secretary of State

is separated from this task by the sea, hampered by the delays of communication, checkmated by the lapse of time. The cable and the steamer alone render them possible, and for a successful administration of India the most liberal-minded, hard-working Secretary of State is helpless without a loyal, conscientious and statesmanlike Viceroy.

A FIVE YEARS' RECORD.

Lord Morley and his Council, working through the agency of Lord Minto, have accomplished much. Taxation has been lightened to the extent of millions of pounds; famine has been fought and frontiers have been protected with unparalleled success and speed. Factory conditions, general health, education, the efficiency of the police, have all been improved; the pay of the Native Army has been increased. Our relations with Native States have been improved and were never better. The rigidity of the State machine has been softened, while liberal measures of reform have opened to the educated classes of the Indian community a wider field for participation in the government of the country. This is a great record for five years, and contains many abiding results of a conspicuously successful administration of Indian affairs. I believe that men of all parties will be grateful that Lord

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

Morley remains to carry out the policy he has initiated, and the new Viceroy, Sir Charles Hardinge, goes to India amid the almost universal welcome of those who recognise his high attainments and great qualifications. I cannot do better than close by addressing to him with all respect the words that were addressed to his grandfather on a similar occasion by Sir Robert Peel, because I believe they embody now as short as it is possible to put them the essential needs of the continued success of English Government in India. The Prime Minister wrote in 1844:—

If you can keep peace, reduce expenses, extend commerce, and strengthen our hold on India by confidence in our justice and kindness and wisdom, you will be received here on your return with acclaims a thousand times louder, and a welcome infinitely more cordial, than if you had a dozen victories to boast of.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

On the motion to go into Committee of Ways and Means on the East India Revenue Accounts,

MR. MONTAGU said: There is a regrettable custom which, if not unbroken and unbreakable, is at any rate nearly always respected—that the representative of the India Office should thrust himself and his Department only once a year upon the attention of this House. And yet I am conscious that this year the House has been asked to listen to me twice in one week, and this at a time when the noise and excitement of party strife is at its height, and when ominous clouds are hanging low over Europe. But I make no apology, for India is, and India will remain, one of the first of England's responsibilities, as she is one of the first of England's glories. Her history and her future call for as much attention as we can give—and, indeed, far more than we can give—to the consideration of her problems. I have nothing personal to say save that I fear I have increased my own difficulties by

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1910.

the eagerness with which—like an explorer in a new country—I travelled so wide a field last year. I do not want, for obvious reasons, to repeat what I said then, and I hope that, in turning my attention to other subjects, I shall not be accused of avoiding anything of difficulty. Before I turn to business, may I pay the customary tribute—customary and sincere—to those who have taken part in this debate in former years, and who, since last year, have passed away? I allude to two of my predecessors. Mr. John Ellis was a respected Parliamentary veteran, who showed his interest in Indian affairs by devoting in my office the last years of his Parliamentary activity, almost the last years of his life. Mr. Buchanan, whose share in the passage of the Indian Councils Bill through this House, will, I hope, never be forgotten by India, won by his breadth of view, courtesy, and gentleness the respect and attention of all parties in the House at a time when Indian affairs were more controversial than at present.

THE CENSUS.

Last year, it will be remembered, I gave the House some figures—always poor things at the best by which to try to picture a country—to show the numbers of the peoples with which we had to deal. I can give them more accurately this year, because in India, as in this country, a census was taken last

spring. It extended to all the Provinces and feudatory States forming the Indian Empire—from the Shan States on the borders of Yunnan in the east to the deserts of Baluchistan in the west; from the snows of the Himalaya in the extreme north to Cape Comorin in the tropics. It embraced an area of $1\frac{3}{4}$ millions of square miles. Within nine days of the enumeration the Government of India were able to announce the provisional figures of the Provinces and Feudatory States and principal towns. The corresponding provisional figures in this country were not announced for seven weeks. This is a remarkable instance of most careful preliminary organization and attention to the minutest details. It would not have been possible without the willing co-operation of many voluntary workers belonging to all classes of society. Census-taking in India is not without its own peculiar difficulties. I am told, for instance, that on one occasion a certain tribe in Central India became firmly persuaded that the enumeration was preliminary to their being sold as slaves, and serious rioting or failure was threatened. The official in charge of the census operations, being a man of resource, realised that some plausible hypothesis was required to account for the enumeration; so he sought out one of the headmen and informed him that the tribe were quite under a misapprehension; that the real object of the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

enumeration was to decide a bet that had been made after supper between Queen Victoria and the Tsar of Russia as to whom had the greater number of subjects. Not only the Queen's reputation, but also her fortune, was at stake. All trace of trouble disappeared, and that tribe was enumerated to a man! (Laughter.) The total population of India is returned at 315 millions, against 294 millions in 1901. But part of the increase (1,731,000) is due to the inclusion of new areas. Allowing for this, the net increase in the ten years comes to 6.4 per cent. The rate of increase shown by the recent census in the United Kingdom was 9.06 per cent. Of the total population of 315 millions, 244 millions are included in British India and 71 millions in Native States.

THE FINANCIAL POSITION.

With these figures let me now turn to the real or ostensible purpose of my speech—the description of the Budget—the finances of India. It is here, as usual, that I propose to compress my subject as much as I can. Full information has already been given in the two Blue-books circulated to hon. members. It may be that some, at any rate, among us have looked at them, and it is certain that, anybody who wants to can do so; so I propose to

confine myself to a recapitulation of a few of the important facts and a brief explanation of certain features.

In March, 1910, the Government of India budgeted for a surplus of £376,000. At the end of the year they found an improvement of £5,448,400, but of this improvement £402,000 went automatically to Provincial Governments. Thus the amount by which the position of the Government of India was better than had been anticipated in March, 1910, was £5,046,400. Half this excess may, for the moment, be disregarded, because it arose from an exceptional and transient cause—the sensationally high price of opium. Apart from this there was a saving of £811,600 on expenditure, and an increase of £1,912,900 in the yield of heads of revenue other than opium. On the side of economy the most important feature was a saving of £358,000 in military expenditure, partly due to decline in prices. The improvement of £1,912,900 in the yield of heads of revenue other than opium was mainly the result of increased net receipts from Customs, and from commercial undertakings such as railways and canals; £494,300 occurs under Customs. I will only mention two items—silver, which showed an increase of £450,000, and tobacco, which showed a decrease of £ 225,467. When the former duty was being increased last year

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

a cautious estimate was naturally framed of its probable yield, since it was necessary to allow for the possibility of some dislocation of trade consequent on the increase. But, as a matter of fact, the importation of silver in 1910-11 showed only a very small falling off from the very high level of the preceding year, and the revenue gained accordingly. It may be added that the fear expressed during the discussions in 1910 that the increased duty might depress the price of silver outside India and thus cause some disturbance of international trade has not been realised. The London price of silver, just before the increase of the Indian duty was $23\frac{7}{16}d$ per ounce; the present price is $24\frac{3}{8}d$.

PROFIT AND LOSS.

The effect of the increased duties imposed on tobacco last year has not been so satisfactory. The duties were fixed at the rates that were thought likely to be most productive, and the Government of India hoped that they would bring in £420,000. They affected the trade to a much greater extent than was anticipated; in fact, imports during the year showed a reduction of 75 per cent. in quantity and nearly 50 per cent. in value. Railways accounted for £1,272,000 of the surplus, irrigation £91,000, and telegraphs £104,000. The

improvement in the profit of railways is the result of the increase in the gross traffic receipts—£674,500—and the decrease of working expenses, interests charges, and miscellaneous charges by £597,700. The share-holders, who are junior partners with the Government in some of the most important lines of railways, have benefited considerably by the improved traffic and cheaper working. The guaranteed companies receive as surplus profits, or net earnings, over £100,000 more than in the preceding year. In the period from June 1, 1910, to June 1, 1911, although Consols fell from $82\frac{1}{2}$ to $81\frac{1}{4}$ the general trend of the prices of the stock of the chief Indian railway companies was upward, sometimes as much as $6\frac{1}{2}$ points, as in the Bengal and North-Western and the Southern Punjab Railways. It will thus be seen that the better financial position of the Government is not the outcome of increased burdens on the people, but is the indirect result of favourable conditions by which the general population benefits much more directly, and in much fuller measure than the Government. The Government of India is not merely a Government. It is a vast commercial undertaking, sharing directly in the prosperity of its subjects, and directing many of their most profitable enterprises. How it came about that England—so distrustful of national or even municipal commercial enterprises—at a time when

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

I suppose, it was even more distrustful than it is now, gave to those who administered for it in India such wide commercial opportunities is a matter for speculation; but not only in railways and in canals, but even in agriculture—the chief industry of India—the Government is a large and active partner.

THE HARVEST AND THE TRADE RETURNS.

It is this situation which makes budgeting in India so difficult—the impossibility of predicting the conditions which may lead to large surpluses or great deficits. Empires may rise or fall, but the weather—here little more than a topic of banal conversation—is of paramount importance to the peoples and the Government of India. Of course the world's harvest is at the root of world trade, but in India failure of the harvest brings misery to millions, danger and difficulty to an overwhelming proportion of the population in her provinces, and deficits to her Government. Success of the harvest brings overflowing coffers to the Government and prosperity to the people. Last year I was able to tell the House that, after two years of severe drought, the abundant rains of 1909 had re-established the agricultural prosperity of India. The crops of 1909-10 were heavy, the prices satisfactory, and the export trade generally brisk. I am thankful to be able to say to-day that there has been no check to this prosperity. The monsoon rains of

1910 were sufficient, and the harvests reaped at the end of the year and in the recent spring have been normal or above normal. The prediction that I made last year of expanding trade has also been fulfilled. The exports of Indian merchandise in 1908-9 were £100,000,000; in 1909-10, £123,000,000 and in 1910-11, £137,000,000. (Cheers.) A rise of 37 per cent, in three years is a notable event, and imports of merchandise have increased, too, though to a much less extent. Thus, then, it is to this general prosperity of harvest and of trade that India owes its surplus. I turn now to the extraordinary improvement in the actual receipts from opium as compared with the Budget estimates. It is hardly necessary for me to assure the House that this is not the result of any deviation from the arrangements made with China in 1907. It is on the contrary, the result of strict adherence to that Agreement; for the restriction of supply, consequent upon the steady progress of the reduction of exports, has raised prices to an unexampled level. In 1908-9 the average price of a chest of opium sold in Calcutta for export was £92; in 1909-10, it was £107; and in 1910-11 it was £195. The consequence of this extraordinary rise was to give the Government of India last year £2,723,000 revenue from opium beyond what they expected, and this, added to the surplus with which I dealt just now, gave the total surplus of about £5,500,000.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

THE DISPOSAL OF THE SURPLUS.

The uses to which this surplus were put are fully explained in the Blue-books. It will be seen that a million pounds has been granted to local governments for expenditure on projects of permanent value for the development of education and sanitation—two crying needs of India, about which I shall have more to say later. Of this amount—£601,200 will be distributed between technical and industrial institutions, primary and secondary schools, colleges, hostels, girls' schools and European schools, and about £400,000 will be used for drainage and waterworks in towns. About £1,000,000 is granted for expenditure in the promotion of various administrative or municipal schemes; for instance, the City of Bombay Improvement Trust gets £333,300, and Eastern Bengal and Assam £183,600 for the reorganisation of the subordinate police; £1,000,000 has been retained by the Government of India as an addition to its working balance, and £2,000,000 has been set aside to be used towards the discharge of floating debt. Hon. members who read the report of the discussion on the Budget in the Viceroy's Legislative Council will find that the disposal of the surplus was received with general satisfaction. There was not, indeed, a tame unanimity of approval, because there is some feeling among the representatives of Indian opinion against.

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

the practice of devoting much money to the discharge of debt. In this House the opposite view is likely to be held and the Government may perhaps be thought to have infringed the strictest canons of finance in not using the whole realised surplus for the discharge of debt. But, inasmuch, as the non-productive debt amounted on March 31, 1911, to only £46,000,000 as against £71,000,000 ten years previously, so that, if the same rate of reduction were to continue, the non-productive debt would be extinguished in about 18 years, the Government of India may claim to have displayed on the whole a combination of produce and liberality in dealing with the surplus that good fortune placed at its disposal. It has entrenched its own financial position, discharged onerous liabilities, and has spent considerable sums on very deserving objects.

THE ESTIMATES FOR 1911-12.

I must now turn for a moment to the Budget estimate for 1911-12. Our estimates have been based on the expectation that harvests and trade will be great, and a surplus of £819,200 is anticipated. I trust that this expectation will be fulfilled, but, as the prospects of the harvests give rise to some anxiety in places I thought it desirable to obtain from the Government of India the latest informa-

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

tion on the subject. The following telegram was received from them yesterday (July 25):—"Prospects are generally good in greater part of Eastern Bengal and Assam, Bengal, Madras, and Burma. In the rest of India, including the dry zone of Burma, sowings appear, generally speaking, to have been normal, but crops have begun to wither, and if no rain falls during the next ten days or so, the autumn crops will be imperilled. The situation (more especially in North-Western Deccan, North Gujerat, Berar, and West of Central Provinces and in North-West India generally) causes some anxiety, but stocks are in most places considerable, and the condition of the population is reported good and prices show no abnormal movements." The only alteration of taxation that is provided for is in tobacco. The experience of last year seemed to indicate that a larger, or at any rate a more staple, revenue would be derived from a lower duty, and the rates have, accordingly, been reduced by one third.

THE EXPENDITURE ON THE DURBAR.

But although taxation has not been reduced, provision has been made for the cost of the Durbar and military review to be held at Delhi in December next, and for other incidents of the King's visit, without any extra-taxation. The latest estimate of

gross expenditure is £942,200 Imperial and £183,000 Provincial expenditure. Against this there will be a considerable set-off in the shape of receipts from the Durbar light railway, visitors' camps, and sales of plant and material. It may be of interest to add that the Government of India have made the most careful arrangement to secure that the accounts of the cost of the Royal visit, which will be prepared in due course, shall show the whole of the expenditure of every description. There are few questions of greater difficulty than that of the scale on which expenditure of this kind should be incurred when the taxpayers are poor, but when at the same time there is among them a very general desire that the celebration shall be on a worthy and adequate scale. In this instance the scale of expenditure was fixed after very careful consideration by the Government of India and the Secretary of State, and when the financial provision was brought to the notice of the Legislative Councils, both Provincial and Imperial, it was received by the Indian representatives with what the Viceroy, in his speech on March 27, described as "a tidal wave of enthusiasm." An Indian member of one of the Provincial Councils expressed an opinion on the expenditure by saying, "I wish it were more." I think we may assume that the decision of the Government represents fairly well the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

mean between the possible mistakes of extravagance on the one hand and on the other hand failure to give suitable expression to the feelings of a population deeply moved by a great and indeed unique occurrence.

A HISTORICAL RETROSPECT.

I say unique occurrence, but although his Majesty is not going to be crowned again at Delhi, it would not be unprecedented that a King of England should undergo two Coronation ceremonies. There are several instances, as the House no doubt knows. Richard I., who was crowned at Westminster in 1189, was crowned again at Winchester in 1194, much against his will, on his return from captivity in Germany after his ill-starred crusade. Henry III. had to be content with an initial Coronation at Gloucester, as the French were in occupation of London—without a crown, too, as the regalia had been lost with the rest of King John's baggage in the Wash—and it was not until four years later that a second ceremony was held in Westminster Abbey. But two centuries afterwards the tables were turned, when Henry VI. was crowned both in Westminster Abbey and in Notre Dame. The two Charleses were both crowned in England and in Scotland. Comparison between Scotland and England and India and England is a mark of the signal

growth of the British Empire. Nor is it unprecedented that Delhi should witness the Accession ceremony of an Emperor. That historic city has been the scene of many Accession festivals, though the ancient ceremonies present points of dissimilarity from those which will be witnessed next winter. We do not, for instance, think it necessary to conclude the festivities, as did Aurangzeb, by the public decapitation of 500 thieves, "thereby," as a local historian quaintly says, "terrorising the perverse." (Laughter.) The unique nature of the present occasion lies in the fact that India has never before had the opportunity of receiving in person and doing honour to her English Emperor and Empress.

AN HON. MEMBER: British. (Laughter.)

MR. MONTAGU: Her British Emperor and Empress.

THE DURBAR ARRANGEMENTS.

It may interest the House to hear a brief description of the ceremonies of which the Durbar will consist. Our aim is to make them as popular as possible, and to give every opportunity to the people of India of sharing in them. I am glad to be able to say that the outbreak of plague at Delhi,

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

which caused some anxiety, has now subsided, and we may hope that there is no danger of any such untoward incident as marred the Coronation of James I., when the plague was raging in London, and the people were forbidden to come to Westminster to see the pageant. On December 7 their Majesties will arrive at the bastion of Delhi Fort, where 150 Ruling Chiefs will be presented. Subsequently they will go in procession with British and Indian escorts round the Great Mosque and through all the principal streets of the town. On the Ridge they will be received by representatives of British India, between 3,000 and 4,000 in number. On the two following days the King will receive visits from the Chiefs, and will lay the foundation-stone of the all-India Memorial to King Edward in Delhi. On December 11 colours will be presented to British and Indian troops. The Durbar ceremony itself will take place on December 12. In order to make it as popular as possible accommodation will be provided for 50,000 spectators in addition to the 12,000 officially invited guests and the 20,000 troops in the great arena. Thus there will be space for about 100,000 persons to see the ceremony, and to see it well. On the following day in the morning, the King will receive the officers of the Native Army, and in the afternoon their Majesties will attend a garden party at the

Fort, where a huge popular fete will be held on the ground below the Fort, to which it is expected that about a million people will come to spend the day in the games and amusements that will be provided for them. It is anticipated that, following the custom of the Mogul Emperors, their Majesties will show themselves to the people from the bastion of the Fort. On the 14th there will be a review of unprecedented size, in which British and Indian troops, numbering over 90,000, will be present, and I may add that this will have been preceded by four days manœuvres on a scale never before found possible. Thus the advantage of practical training will be combined with the delights of brilliant display. On the next day, the 16th, their Majesties will depart in procession through the streets of Delhi, and this historic pageant will be over. (Cheers.) We, who have crowned and welcomed with great joy our King this year, will wish him "God-speed" as he sets sail on his Imperial mission, believing that he will receive a real and heartfelt welcome from all his peoples in India, not only because news of his popularity and single-purposed devotion to his Imperial duties will have reached their shores, but because they will see in his visit thus freshly crowned an earnest that the passage of time and growing knowledge has increased the desire, which has always animated the British

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

people, to help and serve their Indian fellow-subjects.
(Cheers.)

THE OPIUM REVENUE.

I must, however, get back to the subject of finance, because I want the House to look with me for a moment at the future beyond the year with whose finance we are at present concerned. We must now definitely face the total loss, sooner or later, of revenue derived from opium sold for export to China. As the House knows, a new agreement on this subject was concluded in May last between the United Kingdom and China. The Provisional Agreement of 1908, which arranged that the import of Indian opium and the production of Chinese opium should be progressively diminished, year by year until, in 1917, import and production will entirely cease, was confirmed. His Majesty's Government have, moreover, agreed that the export of opium from India to China, either over the whole country or province by province, shall cease whenever clear proof is given of the complete absence of production of native opium in China. They have also agreed that Indian opium shall not be conveyed into any province which can establish by clear evidence that it has effectively stopped the cultiva-

tion and the import of native opium. Some prophets say, with considerable reason, that in two years or less, we shall have to face a loss of the £3,000,000 approximately of net opium revenue which figures, in the Estimates for 1911-12. It is sufficient to state, as I have, the main terms of the agreement to make it clear that in furtherance of the policy of sympathetic support of reform in China and in recognition of the progress made there in reducing the production of native opium, the Indian Government have gone a long way towards the final extinction of their opium trade. (Hear, hear.) The Government of India will loyally and scrupulously carry out their share of the agreement, and I claim the sympathy and admiration of the House of Commons for all who are doing their share, as I believe because they have decided that opium growing and opium trading is an immoral and intolerable industry. First of all, there are the Chinese people, who are showing an almost inconceivable zeal in freeing themselves from the vice which has laid them so long helpless in chains. There are the Indian people, the taxpayers, who are willingly and cheerfully sacrificing in this humane interest a valuable source of revenue. (Cheers.) There are the opium growers in the Native States, and there are the Government of India and his Majesty's Government, who in 1906 found the opium trade

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

flourishing and unlimited and who have now succeeded in setting an end to this industry.

ECONOMIES IN ADMINISTRATION.

Towards meeting the possible loss of the three millions from this source there is the estimated surplus for this year of £800,000 ; but there is also the non-recurring item of £1,000,000 for the King's visit. There is therefore a margin of nearly £2,000,000 of surplus revenue in the present year. It is not over-sanguine, I think, to hope that each future year may be expected to give a modest addition to the revenue of the Government, because although it is difficult and undesirable to obtain sudden increases of revenue in India, there is nevertheless a steady upward movement due to the spread of cultivation, the growth of railway and irrigation systems and the general development of the country. I am not forgetting that it is possible that a portion of the natural growth of revenue may be required to meet increased expenditure, especially on objects such as improved education and sanitation, which are commended by public opinion in India and in England, but there is also the possibility of economy in other branches of expenditure. I quote the promise which was made last January in the debate on this subject in Calcutta, when the Finance Member said that all the members of the

Government of India will, during the current year, subject the expenditure for which they are individually responsible to close scrutiny with a view to effecting all possible economy.

THE REDUCTION OF MILITARY EXPENDITURE.

I have every reason to believe that this promise is being fulfilled. (Hear, hear.) It has, indeed, given rise to rumours, founded on what information, obtained from where, I do not know. It is said that we propose to cut down the military forces in India. Well, what if we did? Is it suggested that when we are reviewing the expenditure in other departments we should except the military department? If there were no Army in India no one would suggest that the Army should be made anything but large enough and only large enough, for the needs of the situation, but simply because the Army was devised and organised at other times it is seriously suggested that no modification should be made, and that, even though you are searching for economy in every department, you should not be allowed to question your military expenditure. I can assure hon. members that the Government does not share this illogical view, but that nothing is, or will be, contemplated that will impair the efficiency of our Army for defending and guarding the peace of our Empire. (Hear, hear.) However this may be, the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

question whether the loss of opium revenue will involve fresh taxation cannot be definitely answered. The present financial strength of the Government of India, the growth of its resources and the growth or restriction of its expenditure are all factors that have to be considered and re-considered as the financial plans for each successive year are made.

FRONTIER POLITICS.

I now reach that portion of my statement which by tradition is devoted to a more general discussion of the political conditions of India. I hope I shall not be thought to fail in my duty if I say very little about political affairs this year. I dealt with them very fully last year, and in politics the year has been uneventful. That is all to the good. The North-West Frontier has been singularly free from disturbance. There have, of course, been raids and there will continue to be raids so long as an increasing population with predatory instincts presses more and more heavily upon the soil. The appointment of a special officer to take charge of our relations with the Waziris has undoubtedly been successful so far, and it is hoped that the recent Joint Commission of British and Afghan officials which disposed of an accumulation of cases of border crime will check frontier raids, especially

if the Afghan authorities are firm in carrying out their agreement not to permit outlaws to reside within 50 miles of the frontier. The North-East Frontier, on the other hand, was the scene of a deliberate open attack by Abors on a small British party, in which Mr. Noel Williamson, Assistant Political Officer at Sadiya, lost his life. The outrage is one for which his Majesty's Government are taking steps to inflict punishment at the earliest possible moment. Mr. Williamson was a young and energetic officer who had done good service on the frontier, and to whom the Government of India are indebted for much valuable information about peoples whose confidence it is notoriously difficult to win. The House, I am sure, will wish to join the Government in an expression of regret at the loss of so valuable a life. (Cheers.) In the internal sphere of the political department an interesting event was the constitution of the State of Benares under the suzerainty of his Majesty the King-Emperor. This involves no change in the Constitutional theories of the Government of India, nor does it betoken any new policy in regard to such cessions in future.

POLITICAL CRIME.

Political crime has, I am sorry to say, shown

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

its head once or twice. As long as there are men who lurk safely in the background to suggest these crimes—(cheers);—as long as there are tools, often half-witted and generally immature, to commit them under the, impression that they are performing deeds of heroism, so long, I am afraid, occasional outrages of this sort may occur. (Hear, hear.) Do not think I am minimising their horror. I can imagine nothing more tragic than that a devoted servant of the Government should have a career of utility to India cut short in this way. I should like to take this opportunity of expressing the deep regret that his Majesty's Government and the Government of India feel at the deplorable murder of Mr. Ashe and to tender the profound sympathy of all concerned with the relatives of this promising officer. But, horrible and deplorable as these crimes are in their individual aspect, it is a very common mistake, and a very great mistake, to attach too much importance to isolated occurrences of this sort as indices of the political situation, or to make them the text for long jeremiads in the most exalted journalese. (Laughter and cheers.) With all respect to the admonition of an army of friendly critics, I adhere to everything that I said last year as to the progressive improvement of the general situation, though I shall probably again be told that my optimism is unjustifiable.

A WORD TO THE PESSIMIST.

I want to protest here against the ill-informed and unthinking pessimism of which we hear a good deal, accompanied by vague and unsubstantiated criticism of the present Government for being in some mysterious way responsible for the state of affairs which the critics regard with alarm. I wish that the people who talk like this would take pains to substantiate their views with something more than bare and vague assertions of general alarm. What do they mean, these prophets of woe, who shake their heads and say: "We do not like the news from India; India is in a dangerous state," adding something, as a rule, about a Radical Government? (Laughter.) They write it to their friends, they print it in the newspapers, they whisper it over the fireside. What do they mean? Why, all that they mean, so I venture to assert, is that the Indian problem is a difficult one, and a complicated one, becoming, as the country develops and its people are educated, increasingly difficult and increasingly complicated. There is no need to tell that to us who are concerned with the administration of India. It is all the more reason why we should face the future bravely and thoughtfully; all the more reason why we should avoid a mournful pessimism which begets the atmosphere of distrust in which it

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

thrives. Whatever hysterics may be indulged in by armchair critics in the Press, the House may rest assured that the Indian Courts will not be deflected one jot from that adherence to strict justice which has won them the respect of all sections of the community, nor the Executive Government from exercising clemency where clemency will serve the best interests of the country. (Cheers.) The policy of Lord Crewe and Lord Hardinge is the policy of Lord Morley and Lord Minto—immoveable determination to punish fitly anarchy and crime, with strict sympathy for orderly progressive demand with the peoples that they govern. (Hear, hear.) Indeed, this is no new principle of Indian government, for the policy of the Great Mogul was two centuries ago thus described by Manucci: "Liberality and generosity are necessary to a prince; but, if not accompanied by justice and sufficient vigour, they are useless; rather do they serve to the perverse as occasion for greater insolence."

A CHANGING INDIA.

I do not want to be dogmatic, but India is changing fast—as fast as, if not faster than, the West, and our views must keep pace with the change. India has been given peace, unity, and an Occidental education, and they have combined to

produce a new spirit. It is our duty to watch that movement, and to lead it, so far as it may be led from without, into right channels. When a change is produced in the political organisation of a great Empire it must not be regarded as the result of an inspiration of a philosophic Secretary of State creating a new condition of things out of a placid sea, anxious to modify the realm over which he presides in accordance with his whim, his fancy, or even his settled conviction. Political change in any country, I take it, results from causes very different from this. It must originate from within, not from without. Social conditions, slowly developing, stir public opinion and public demand, which move unformed and uncertain at first, gathering strength and shape later, and it is the duty of those in charge of the machine of government to lead them into the channels of altered policy by means of statutes, Orders in Council, and so forth. These paper documents are the manifestation of the development of the country. They do not, of themselves, thrust the country either backwards or forwards. They only mark, as I understand it, and so help its movement forward or backward with a success which depends upon the equipment and wisdom of those in whom the control is vested. That is where true statesmanship lies—to watch the manifold and complex currents, to diagnose aright the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

signs of the times, to await the moment, and, when the moment comes, to step in and mould into proper shape aspirations and demands which are feeling and groping for expression.

LORD MORLEY'S WORK

It is for this that the name of the great statesman who has recently left the India Office will be remembered in Indian history. Lord Morley, with a keen and liberal understanding of Indian men and affairs, has set such a seal upon Indian progress as can fall to the lot of few Secretaries of State. The appointment of John Morley to the India Office stirred great hopes in India. He had the good fortune to find in Lord Minto one whose share in the events of the last five years has obtained for him the affection and gratitude of India. (Hear, hear.) The hopes were amply fulfilled. Liberal and generous reform, coupled with unflinching repression of crime, successfully met a situation that might well have broken the reputation of a lesser man. He has put off his armour amid the universal regret of the whole of India, and, if I may take this opportunity of saying so on their behalf, to the regret of all who worked under his leadership. (Hear, hear.) By Lord Morley's reform scheme I claim that we have successfully marked the political development of India as it is at the moment and

have provided a channel along which India's political history may run, I hope, contentedly and steadily for many years to come. May I say again what I said last year, that it is the opinion of all concerned in the government of India that this scheme has been a complete success, and that the standard of work in the new Legislative Councils is worthy of the highest praise. (Hear, hear.)

THE POLITICAL FUTURE.

And it is because of this that, when I ask myself the question, "What of the future?" I am compelled to say frankly that a country cannot develop by political agitation alone. I say, as one who profoundly sympathises with progressive opinion in India, that political agitation must not be allowed to outstrip development in other directions. Genuine political agitation must be spontaneous; it must be the inevitable result of causes working within a nation, not fictitious importation from outside. It is not enough to admire and envy Western political institutions. They cannot be imported ready made; they must be acquired as the fitting expression of indigenous social conditions. If India desires—I use this conditional because I know there are some in India who would retrace their steps and abandon Western influence, and go back to autocracy and Oriental despotism—

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

but if she desires, as I believe the majority of educated Indians desire, to attain to Western political institutions, it must be by Western social development. The Indian educated faction with democratic leanings is a tiny faction. It must remove, if needs be by years of work, this inevitable rejoinder to its demands, not by clamour or by political agitation, but by work, however patient, along the lines I am about to indicate. It cannot be removed in any other way. The measures taken two years ago afford ample provision for the expression of public opinion, and for the more effective control by Indians over the government of their country. The time is not ripe for any further modification of the system of government and so I say to India, with all respect:—
“Work out your political destiny so far as you may under your existing Constitution find out its best possibilities, and improve, if you will, its machinery; but, for the moment, turn your attention more directly to other problems which make a far more urgent call upon your energies. The Government is ready to play her part, but, without you, the Government can do nothing. Indians must turn their attention to organising an industrial population which can reap the agricultural and industrial wealth of the country, and attain a higher level of education and a higher standard of living.

INDIA'S INDUSTRIAL PROGRESS.

I must apologise most humbly for detaining the House so long, but I have a message to deliver on the part of the Government. India has developed from a series of isolated, self-supported village communities, where the main occupation was agriculture, carried on to feed the community, where payments were made wholly in produce, and where such industry as there was, was mainly hereditary, and the products were distributed among the inhabitants of the village. Justice, law and order were enforced by the village itself, often by hereditary officials. An idyllic picture, perhaps, marred only by the important consideration that such an India was wholly at the mercy of climatic conditions. Drought or tempest meant starvation and sometimes disappearance. In the famines of olden times, far, far older than the British occupation, millions died of hunger, just as thousands died in France in the seventeenth century. What has altered all this? The same cause which altered similar conditions in England, in France in Germany, in almost every European country—with this distinction, that what European countries acquired by centuries of evolution has been imported into India by zealous workers, profiting by the history of their own country. The huge development of railways in India is the work of

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

little more than a score of years. The first metalled roads were laid about fifty years ago. By these means of communication, with the post and the telegraph, the isolation of village communities has been broken down, money has been introduced as a means of exchange, competition has come in and national and even international trade has been developed. India's manufacturers compete with the manufacturers of the rest of the world, and require, as they do, the latest developments of science and technical knowledge. Her agriculturists till the soil no longer merely to provide themselves with food, but to sell perhaps at the other end of the world, the products of their labour. Enterprise has been facilitated; prices have been raised and equalised. Famine no longer means starvation. Thanks to modern means of communication and to the greater security given by the irrigation system that the British Government has so largely developed, in times of scarcity in these days the number of deaths directly attributable to lack of food is insignificant. But there are signs of a further development which also has its analogy in the industrial history of the West. The Inter-dependence of all branches of industry, the concentration of labour in factories under expert management, the stricter division of labour, the use of mechanical power and the employment of large amounts of

capital are symptoms of this revolution. It is just what happened in this country when our great woollen and cotton industries were developed from the isolated hand-weavers. This period in a country's history brings with it many possibilities of evil unknown to a more archaic society, but it brings also possibilities of wealth and greatness. I hope the House will not pause to deplore the risks of evil, for, if the industrial revolution has begun, nothing can stop it. You might just as well try to stop the incoming tide with your outstretched hands. Our task is rather to guard against the evils that our Western experience enables us to foresee.

A SERIES OF SIGNIFICANT FIGURES.

I do not want to be accused of seeing in India an industrial revolution that does not exist, and so I may be permitted to read a very few figures. Twenty years ago there were 126 cotton mills, employing 112,000 hands; there are now 232 mills, employing 236,000. In the same time the number of jute mills has exactly doubled, and the persons employed in them increased from 61,000 to 192,000. Altogether there are now about 2,500 factories of all kinds worked by mechanical power, employing nearly a million persons. The tea industry gives employment to 600,000 persons, and exports annually 250 million pounds of tea,

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

valued at nearly £8,000,000, an increase in ten years of nearly £2,000,000. As regards mineral production, the chief mineral worked is coal. The annual output, which has more than doubled in the last eight years, is 12,000,000 tons, and the industry employs about 130,000 persons. Petroleum also has developed very rapidly. The output is now 176,000,000 gallons, which is quadruple that of ten years ago. Manganese ore is also a new and considerable mining industry. As yet there is no steel-making plant in India, but much is expected from Messrs. Tata Brothers' undertaking, which is nearing completion. If we may add the employes on the railways, who number some half a million, to the numbers employed in factories, tea estates, and mining, the total comes to about 2½ million persons. As regards the growth of capitalisation, there are 2,156 companies registered in India with a nominal capital of £70,000,000, and a paid-up capital of £40,000,000. These figures have been doubled in ten years. There are also many companies registered abroad which carry on business exclusively in India, mainly in tea-growing, jute mills cotton mills, and rice mills. These companies (omitting railway companies) have a share capital of 30,000,000 besides debentures. Again, the banking capital of India has increased in ten years from £ 20,000,000 to £ 43,000,000.

Deposits have risen from £20,000,000 to £43,000,000. This, of course, means so much increase in the capital available for financing commercial and industrial operations. If further proof were needed of this industrial revolution, it can be found in the fact that, although four-fifths of the exports of India consist of raw materials and foodstuffs, and four-fifths of the imports consist of manufactured goods, these proportions are being modified as time goes on. Raw material imports have increased at a more rapid rate than manufactured imports, whilst the rise in the exports of manufactured goods is more than twice as great as the rise in the exports of raw material. These are my evidences of the industrial revolution, and, in order to avoid the evils with which it is attended, India has need of the assistance of the best and wisest of her sons. I am very hopeful that this evolution will not be confined to agricultural India. What is required in the industrial part of the scheme in India is the application of modern methods and modern science to Indian industry. We want to see a stream of educated young men entering industrial careers, and leaving alone the overstocked professions of the Bar and the public service. (Hear, hear.) May I quote an Indian economist, Mr. Sarkar, who says:—The supreme need of do-day is managers of firms, pioneers, and entrepreneurs.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

"The highest intellect of the nation should be educated for industries, for, remember, the highest intellects are serving the industries in Europe, and capital and business experience are closely associated with brain power there." And again :—"Our recent industrial awakening has created a sudden demand for business managers. Experienced men of this class are not available in sufficient numbers, and so our new ventures are run by amateur managers, such as lawyers, retired public servants, and so forth who, with the best intentions, are unfit to take the place of the trained businessmen. For this reason many of our new joint stock companies have failed." That is the want in India, technical education and people willing to profit by it. (Hear, hear.)

THE NEW AGRICULTURAL WORLD.

I hope that the industrial development of India will not be confined strictly to industries; I hope this development will also extend to the new agricultural world which has been formed by the comparatively recent destruction of the isolation of the village. Division of labour has been introduced, the export of produce is growing, and the shares of the landlord, the Government and the labourer are now being paid more and more by the cultivator in money. Government has modified, in the interests of the cultivator, the system of revenue

assessment which it inherited from its predecessors, and which represents its partnership in the agricultural industry. Government has also been sedulous to protect tenants from the exactions of landlords. Its methods of controlling landlords who exercised their ingenuity in adding to fixed rents cesses for fictitious services would, I fear, shock many Conservatives in this country, and whet the appetite of the most advanced agricultural reformers. (Laughter.) In Bengal the Tenancy Law provides that every cultivator who has held any land in a village for 12 years acquires a right of occupancy, and is protected from arbitrary eviction and from arbitrary enhancement of rent. (Hear, hear.) He has got fixity of tenure and fair rent. (Hear, hear.) In Madras the cultivator is virtually a peasant proprietor, paying a judicial rent for the enjoyment of his land. (Hear, hear). But the cultivator has two things always against him; he is dependent on the seasons, and he is naturally improvident. He will spend, for instance, the equivalent of several years' income on a single marriage festivity. He must, therefore, turn to the money-lender, and, once in his clutches, he is never free. This is not unique in India. The tale is just the same as the tale in Ireland, in Germany, and in France, and 140 per cent. and 280 per cent. are not uncommon rates of interest. The whole of the surplus produce goes to the money-lender as

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

payment of interest. As for the payment of principal, that is nearly always impossible. Indian agriculture is going to be saved, as I believe by the Raiffeisen system—a boon from the West, which is taking hold in India.

THE CO-OPERATIVE MOVEMENT.

I want to say something of the co-operative movement, because I believe that even England may have much to learn from India here. You cannot apply capital to agriculture in the same way that you can apply it to industry, for you cannot take your raw material, the land, and lump it together into a factory. The size of an economic holding can never be greater or smaller than the local conditions of market of soil, of climate make possible. Though aggregation is the essence of the manufacturing industry, and isolation is the essence of the agricultural industry, the principle of capitalisation governs both, but in agriculture resource must be had to co-operation. The law under which the societies are incorporated was passed in 1904, and some time elapsed after its enactment before the principles of co-operation could be made intelligible to the people by the Government officials to whom the work of organisation was entrusted. The principles were borrowed from Europe were unfamiliar to the people,

and required a certain amount of intelligence as well as willingness to make trial of a new idea. The initiative had to come from without; and the Government gave it by means of officers and funds. The officers' zeal and interest have repeatedly been acknowledged, but funds have been supplied sparingly, in order to make the movement from the outset a genuine one. (Hear, hear.) Imperfectly though the figures reflect the progress, they are remarkable. In three years the number of societies has increased from 1,357 to 3,498. The number of members has increased from 150,000 to 231,000; the working capital has risen from £300,000 to £800,000. It is a fair assumption that each member represents a family, and that the co-operative movement has beneficially affected no less than a million people. Of course the banks vary in detail in the different provinces, but perhaps in Bengal, where there is no share capital and no dividend, and all societies are organised on the strictest principles of unlimited liability, and members of the society pledge their joint credit, we get the most perfect application of the Raiffeisen principle.

AN ENCOURAGING PICTURE.

It is from the accounts of the movement given by the provincial officers (and of the 28 officials at

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

the last Conference of Registrars 20 were Indians) that one realises the capacity of the Indian rural population to respond to a beneficent idea and their latent powers to work for the common good. The initiative in the first instance had to come from the Government and its officers, but a registrar and one assistant and two or three inspectors in a province of 20,000,000 or 40,000,000 people could do nothing unless they could count on the assistance of honorary helpers. This has been forthcoming. Men of education and public spirit, animated solely by enthusiasm for the movement have set themselves to learn the principle of co-operative credit societies, and in their several neighbourhoods have become organisers and honorary managers of banks. Even greater enthusiasm is to be found in the villages among poor and homely men of little education. It has been found, not by any means in every village, or equally in all parts of India, but to an extent which was not anticipated. In a poor village a credit bank was started with a capital of 20 rupees. It has now a working capital—chiefly deposits—of more than £3,000. The bank has also a scholarship fund to send the sons of poorer members to a continuation school, and an arbitration committee for settling local disputes. I have another example of a committee managing a credit bank, which, by denying membership to a man of bad character until

he had shown proof of his reform, made a good citizen out of a bad one. We read also of buried bags of rupees, crusted with mould, being produced and deposited in the bank. It seems as if we were in this way beginning to tap the hoarded wealth of India. Several societies have bought agricultural machines, and some are occupying their spare time and capital in opening shops and doing trade in cattle and wood. Others, again, aim at land improvement, repayment of old debts, and the improvement of the backward tenant, and even at the establishment of night and vernacular schools. In several districts the village societies have resorted to arbitration in village disputes, and in one or two cases they have taken up the question of village sanitation. One can almost see the beginning of the revival of old village communities. (Hear, hear.) But there is also another note struck in most of these reports. While villagers have shown a wonderful capacity for combination and concerted action, and while enthusiastic workers of position and intelligence have here and there been enlisted in the cause there is complaint of the apathy of the natural leaders of the Indian community and their apparent failure to realise the immense importance of the movement. There is no doubt that the field wants many more workers, and, I hope, it will not ask in vain.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

THE PROBLEM OF EDUCATION.

There is, then, growing in India this great two-sided organisation of industrial and agricultural life. I do not think it can grow healthily far unless serious attention is given to one or two important matters to which I now want to draw attention. The first is education—general and industrial. I regret that I am not in a position to say much in detail on this subject, all the more because I see that my hon. friend Sir Albert Spicer has a motion on the subject on the paper. The department constituted last year to take charge of education has been hard at work elaborating a policy, and I hope that the result of their labours will shortly be made public. We have to deal with 16 million boys of school-going age, the bulk of them widely scattered over an agricultural population. There is no general demand at present for education among the people, who have borne their illiteracy very cheerfully. This is no reason, of course, that there should be any relaxation in our efforts to spread education among them. But while it is the obvious duty of the Government to provide better buildings, better equipment, a better curriculum, and better teaching staffs, there is a duty, on the other hand, for Indian educational reformers to create a willingness to allow children to be educated, a willingness to help, to teach, and, be it said, a willingness to help.

pay the taxes or the fees (I do not know say which) by which alone large educational schemes can be financed. By this means only can we bring into the pale the 80 per cent. of children who, I am sorry to say, are now growing up without any education at all. As for technical instruction, much is being done by the provision both of institutions and of technical scholarships, a full description of which can be found in the last quinquennial report on education in India—Command Paper 4,365 of 1909. What is required there is, as I have said, to invite young men who have achieved a good primary education to choose these advantages rather than to crowd still further the entrance to the Bar or the public service through the universities. (Hear, hear.)

THE NEED FOR A HIGHER STANDARD OF LIVING.

With education will come, I hope, a higher standard of living for the people and some reduction in the terrible wastage of human life. The present standard of living is deplorably low. Ignorance of sanitary or medical principles is practically universal. The birth-rate is extremely high, judged by the birth-rate of Western Europe. The death-rate and notably the death-rate of children, is also, judged by European experience, appallingly high. The death-rate in the United Provinces and the Punjab in 1908, when malaria was very prevalent,

exceeded 50 per 1,000. The English death-rate is only 16 per 1,000. The sickness, disease, and mortality which horrify students of Indian society are, from one point of view, the consequences of a very low standard of living, though from another point of view they are the rude restrictions placed by Nature on a population which continually multiplies up to the limit of bare subsistence. Now at present only 10 per cent. of the Indian people live in towns. The effect of the reorganisation of industry upon capitalistic lines will be to modify this. The concentration of people from the countryside into large towns is bound to occur. The figures of the recent census have not yet been published in sufficient detail to enable a definite judgment to be formed as to how far this process has already taken place, but the tendency is undoubted. The population of Calcutta, for instance, has increased by 10 per cent. in the last ten years, that of Bombay by 25 per cent., that of Karachi by 36 per cent. and that of Rangoon by 18 per cent. This will not be without its good effects. The consequent increase of wealth will provide means wherewith to ameliorate the poverty which at present impedes the progress of India in so many directions. Again, the multiplication of industries will relieve the pressure on the land which now drives down the profits of agriculture, and will thus mitigate the severity of those recurring

calamities which follow upon the failure of the harvest, for it has long been recognised that the encouragement of diversity of occupation is the only radical cure for famine. Moreover, in the concentrated population of the towns all those civilising and educational movements which are summarised in the word "progress" find their centre. Technical instruction in special trades and occupations is impossible in sparsely populated districts.

THE EVILS OF TOWN LIFE.

But, on the other hand, there is danger that all the evils of town life—the overcrowding, the destitution, and all the squalid misery of mean streets with which we are too familiar—should be reproduced in India, and be even harder to bear than here on account of the suffocating heat. Already we hear of overcrowding and insanitary tenements in the operatives' quarters in Bombay. Mr. Dunn, late Chairman of the Bombay City Improvement Trust, in a paper of February 17, 1910, says:—The rooms or 'chals' less than 10 ft. square are separated from one another by partitions of wood or split bamboos plastered with mud. There is no ceiling, only the sloping low roof, which is of rough round rafters and a single thickness of country tiles. The walls and roof are black with smoke and dirt of

many years, the rooms are filled with choking smoke from the wood fires and naked lamps, and there is no exit for this except through the rough doors. The only openings are the doors leading from the rooms on to narrow verandahs, no ventilation, darkness, and a choking atmosphere, and a family of five or six persons, with perhaps a lodger or two. Refuse of all kinds is disposed of by the simple expedient of throwing it outside beyond the verandah, and the condition of the surroundings of the 'chal' may be left to the imagination. Of course, a situation such as that demands activity from the Government. In Bombay a City Improvement Trust has been working for the last ten years with inadequate means. The Government of India have now given, as I have said, £333,000 to it, and proposals are being considered for providing the trust with a larger income from local sources. A similar trust is now about to be created in Calcutta. In Rangoon, again, land reclamation on a large scale is being undertaken. Elsewhere much attention is being paid to the subject; but the most urgent need is the education of the masses in the principles of hygiene. There is a limitless field indeed for private enterprises here. Tolerable though archaic habits and practices may be in the open country, when transferred to the crowded towns they become insupportable. At the Bombay Medical Congress in

1909 a Parsi doctor read a painfully interesting paper on "Unhygienic Bombay." He said: "A large portion of the insanitary conditions prevailing in and outside the dwellings of the poorer classes is directly due to some peculiar and perverse habits of the people themselves, through ingrained prejudice and stupidity, through want of personal cleanliness and through ignorance of personal hygiene. They form a painful picture of a stolid and unconscious ignorance, associated with great poverty such as can rarely be seen in the poorest civilised town of the West." The picture is repeated with variations in all the great towns of India.

THE RAVAGES OF PLAGUE.

If there were less ignorance and perversity, plague would never find in the country the lodgment that it has. It is an established fact that persons living under proper sanitary conditions are virtually exempt from the disease. Plague does not attack the gaol population or the Native Army; it attacks the ordinary civil population, because they live in houses which are not rat-proof, because they treat the rat almost as a domestic animal, because large numbers of them refuse to trap or kill it, and because they will not adopt the sanitary precautions which are pressed upon them. In plague we have examples from our own history.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

England has suffered many times, the most severe epidemic being that in the middle of the 14th century known as the "black death" which came from the Levant through Europe. A contemporary writer, quoted in Dr. Simpson's book on plague, says:—"At first it carried off almost all the inhabitants of the seaports in Dorset, and then those living inland, and from there it raged so dreadfully through Devon and Somerset, as far as Bristol, that the men of Gloucester refused those of Bristol entrance to their country, everyone thinking that the breath of those who lived among people who died of plague was infectious. But at last it attacked Gloucester—yea, and Oxford and London, and finally the whole of England, so violently that scarcely one in ten of either sex was left alive." Outbreaks of plague continued to occur occasionally throughout the next three centuries—notably in London in 1665, when nearly 70,000 persons perished. Towards the end of the 17th century it rapidly disappeared from the whole of Western Europe. Plague has now been present in India for 15 years, and the appalling total of nearly 7,500,000 deaths from it has been recorded. Of this the Punjab accounts for nearly two and a half million deaths—almost a third of the total. The tale of deaths in the last ten years represents 11 per cent. of the population of that province. When

I think of the sensation that was caused in this country a short time ago by what was by comparison a minor outbreak in Manchuria, resulting in only 50,000 deaths, I fear that people in this country do not realise the awful ravages that this scourge is daily making among the Indian people.

THE REMEDIES.

Scientific research has established that it is conveyed by rat fleas to human beings. The two effective remedies are inoculation and house evacuation. Professor Haffkin has discovered a vaccine by which comparative, though not absolute, immunity can be temporarily secured. But by an unhappy accident at Mulkowal several villagers died of tetanus after inoculation. Inoculation in India has never recovered from this disaster. It is hated by the people and avoided by them except when the disease is in their midst. House evacuation is easier in villages than in towns. Administrative arrangements by which plague is now fought include the provision of special plague medical officers and subordinates and they and the district staff are on the look-out for the occurrence of plague, and when it occurs, they visit the locality, offer inoculation, give assistance to persons to vacate their houses, advice rat destruction, and so on. To the prevention of plague

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

there would seem to be no royal road. The case is one in which lavish expenditure of money is not called for and would be useless. But the Provincial Governments have spent, and are spending, a good deal. The United Provinces have expended some £600,000 up to date. The Punjab Government is spending about £40,000 a year. The improvement of the general sanitary conditions under which the population lives is more and more clearly seen to be essential, and to improve them the local Governments are devoting all the money they can spare. They have been helped to do so by the grants for sanitation made by the Government of India. The scientific difficulties are enhanced by the difficulty of overcoming prejudice and ignorance, habit and apathy. In some districts there is actually religious objection to rat-killing and inoculation. No better work can be done for India than to offer example and instruction in principles of life that appear to us elementary, and to strive to exercise the foes of progress—superstition and resistance to prophylactics.

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT AND SANITATION.

There are, I am glad to say, signs that the sanitary conscience is beginning to awake among the people. But it is not enough to point out evils to the Government, to urge the Government to do

something, and to say that more money is required. Of course more money is required. More money is required for every item in India's programme of development, and we allocate to each item with as lavish a hand as we can consistently with the other requirements. It is no use to urge proposals requiring the immediate expenditure of money without any regard to ways and means, when there is so much to be done by private exhortation, by example, and by devotion to the problems of local self-government. Municipal work in India, as elsewhere, is proving an admirable training ground in public affairs, and the better municipal corporations, such as that of Bombay, have carried through large drainage and water projects with help and stimulus from the Government. What is now wanted is to obtain support from the Press and the Community for municipal effort and a public opinion which can be relied upon to control and appreciate the responsibilities of municipal institutions.

THE DANGER OF CAPITALISATION.

I must mention one more danger that the industrial revolution involves. The development of capitalisation is sure to bring forward in India, as everywhere, certain men who, in the hurry to grow rich, will take advantage of the necessities of the poor and the want of organisation among the Indian

labourers. These are the men, be it said, who would reap the advantage of a protectionist tariff. They would work their hands long hours for insufficient wages, exploit women's and children's labour, and reproduce, as far as the law will permit them, the horrors of the English factory system at the beginning of the last century. A Factory Act was passed last year, after a long and exhaustive inquiry by a Committee and a Commission, giving increased protection to a worker and greater inspecting and controlling powers to the Government. But the Government cannot advance beyond that Indian public opinion which, at the best, is only in its infancy. The leaders of Indian opinion must set their faces against the degradation of labour, and they need to be specially vigilant, because India's working classes, besides being themselves unorganised, are not directly represented on the Legislative Councils, whose Indian members come almost exclusively from the landlord and capitalist classes. This is not due to any defect in the law, but to the condition of Indian Society. Labour, long accustomed to silent drudgery, has not yet found a voice, and it will probably be long before it makes itself heard in the Legislative Councils. All the greater reason that public-spirited Indians should take care that these unrepresented interests are carefully considered and the conditions of labour improved.

India may derive one advantage from the fact that her industrial revolution has been so long delayed. She may profit by the abundant mistakes that we made in this country if she takes advantage of our experience, and with a wise forethought, closes the door to industrial abuses before they have grown strong; and, in that case, she may look back upon her industrial revolution without the shame and regret with which we are forced to contemplate some of the features of our own. (Hear, hear.)

CASTE PRINCIPLES AND PROGRESS.

I have spoken of industrial and agricultural organisation and their subsidiary problems of education, sanitation, and a higher standard of living. There remains another subject on which I wish to touch in pointing out to Indians the objects towards which, as it seems to me, their activities should at present be directed. It is a subject of great delicacy; but I feel obliged to draw attention to it on account of its great importance and the intimate connexion of one aspect of it, at any rate, with certain of the topics that I have been discussing. Nothing could be further from my intention than to say anything that might possibly be construed as offensive to the beliefs and usages of any religion. Every religion has forms and ceremonies which it is difficult for

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

those outside its pale to appreciate and to understand. Even less would I have it thought that I desire to weaken the wonderful religious inspiration of the Indian peoples. If the House will forgive a personal allusion, I was brought up in a denomination which attaches great importance to quasi-religious ceremonial institutions and derives spiritual inspiration from them, and I should be the last to question the religious usages and semi-religious usages which are dear to our Indian fellow-subjects. But I wish to suggest to the leaders of Hindu thought that they might, if they thought fit, look carefully into certain of their institutions and consider whether they are compatible with modern social conditions and modern industrial progress. Of the 220,000,000 of the Hindu population 53,000,000 form what are known as the depressed classes, who are regarded by the higher castes as untouchable. There are 9,000,000 girl wives between the ages of one and 15, of whom 2,500,000 are under 11, and there are 440,000 girl-widows forbidden to re-marry. It is the first point that I wish to emphasise, because it is here in particular that I cannot help feeling that Hindu social conditions hamper to some extent modern development, both industrial and political. The way in which caste principles affect industrial development is this. English industrial history in all its

branches shows how supremely important is the possibility of infusing fresh blood from the labouring classes into the ranks of the captains of industry. In India this is impossible under present conditions. Social distinctions are rigid and permanent; many occupations are still almost purely hereditary, and there is no fluidity. Even supposing—as I hope will be the case that young men of education and capacity take to industrial careers, and supposing that the shyness of Indian capital is at length overcome, still the conditions that I have mentioned must inevitably hamper and retard India's industrial progress. In the region of politics the matter came into prominence two years ago in rather a curious way. During consideration of the question of securing for Mahomedans adequate representation on the new councils, the point came up of the numerical proportion borne by Hindus and Mahomedans in the community. The Mahomedans asserted that the Hindus had no right to count, as Hindus, persons whom no self-respecting Hindu would touch or come near. It is undoubtedly a difficult point, and there are now signs of a movement among leaders of Hinduism towards taking an interest in the condition of these classes, and devising measures to bridge the gulf between them and the twice-born. It is this that has emboldened me to say what I have said on the subject. I would

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

not have presumed to do so, had it not been for the fact that there is evidently a growing feeling amongst prominent members of the community that all is not well with their social organisation. Let me quote to the House the words of the well-known leader, Mr. Gokhale. He said: "If, after fifty years of University education conducted on Western ideas, the essence of which is the equality and dignity of man, the condition of the depressed classes is practically the same as it was half a century ago, it is a very great reproach to them. There is no greater blot upon us to-day than the condition in which we have allowed 53,000,000 of our fellow-beings to continue." One word more before I leave the subject. If the Hindu community think it possible and desirable—and it is for them alone to say—to effect changes in these matters, the movement must be a spontaneous one and must be effected by the community itself. Government may not—cannot help. I mention this because in a recent debate on the subject in the Bombay Council there were signs of an inclination to turn to the Government for assistance. If the House will forgive me another quotation I should like just to read the wise words with which Sir George Clarke concluded the debate: "The fact is that the Government cannot force the pace in regard to social matters. We must leave

them to the growing feeling among the Indian peoples themselves; and if politics remain in abeyance for a time, it is possible, and I think probable, that social reforms will force themselves to the front. That we must leave to the people of India. I do feel that if a real sentiment of Nationalism spreads throughout India, as I think it will, the time will come when the Mahars, in common with all other classes, will be treated as brothers."

HINDUS, MAHOMEDANS AND THE NATIONAL
SENTIMENT.

But brotherhood within the Hindu community is not enough. India needs more than that. Real national feeling cannot be produced while in the same province, village, town, or street you have Indians learning the national ideal and Indians denying their part or share in the history of the land in which they live. Provincial distinctions do not permanently matter. Racial distinctions do not offer a lasting obstacle to confederation and mutual share in the commonweal. But religious segregations which produce fierce, exclusive patriotism seem more obdurate and more hostile to amicable and united action. In India Hinduism teaches a fierce love of India itself, the motherland which is so wonderful as to be an example of love of country to the whole world, the love

of country produced by worship of God. But Mahomedanism produces and teaches a patriotism equally remarkable a sort of extra-territorial patriotism—if I may strain the words to describe it—a love of religion which seems almost to laugh at distance and material neighbourhood, and breathes loyalty and sympathy and fellow-feeling from one Mahomedan to another. The one is spiritual, the other is spiritual—and more. How can one preach tolerance in this atmosphere? How can one say to the Mohomedan? “You need abandon no jot of your fervour if you add to it principles of less exalted and more Western desire to help and to share the destiny of the country in which you live”? And how can one say to the Hindu: “Your religious susceptibilities really should not be outraged by rites performed by people who do not share your religion, even if you would regard them as wrong if they were performed by Hindus”? This trite advice is ineffectual. These are not mere denominations; they are nations—the one bound together terrestrially and spiritually, the other spiritually only. Now of course it would be criminal to foster this difficult antagonism, but not to recognise its existence is to be blind to facts in a way which must enhance the evil. I cannot see how this state of affairs can do other than retard and indeed prevent the growth of national feeling

and the development of India in the way I have tentatively suggested, and I would appeal to all Indians—and I include in those people of every inspiration, race, creed and colour—to unite and join hands for their country's good. I need assure no intelligent critic that the Government would be the first to welcome and to help the co-operation which we all desire. (Hear, hear.)

THE CASE RE-STATED.

I have now, I hope, so far as the Indians are concerned, made good my case. It is as good as I can make it if I forbear to produce, from considerations of time, all the evidence on which it rests. Let me now re-state it. The opinion most familiarly, but not originally, stated by Mr. Kipling that the "East is East and the West is West, and never the two shall meet," is contradicted by the fact that India is now, with our aid, rapidly passing, in a compressed form, through our own social and industrial development, with all its advantages and some of its evils. She has, however, still a very long way to go and many hard problems to tackle if she desires to acquire as an outcome of her conditions the same political institutions, and there is no other way in which she can, or ought, to acquire them.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

PARLIAMENT AND INDIA.

Will the House forgive me if I now, in conclusion, address myself directly to members of this House and say a word about the theory of Indian government? I hope I shall not be thought overpresumptuous if I try to explain what I conceive to be the functions of the British Parliament with regard to our Indian dependency. The importance of the subject cannot be over-estimated. It affects us all, collectively and individually, India is woven, as it were into the very fabric of our being. In a never-failing stream many of the best of our men and women give themselves and the best of their lives ungrudgingly to the service of India. Their names are honoured and remembered, whether by small groups of our fellow-subjects or by our whole Indian Empire and beyond. (Hear, hear.) These men are inspired by an Imperial patriotism which, I am thankful to say, shows no sign of failing, and which will, I hope, be diffused among the people whom they govern. This is no strange thing, this unceasing flow of workers drawn by the magnet of the East. However burdensome and unattractive Indian problems may seem from the outside, I can testify that even the shortest experience of them makes them lastingly absorbing, interesting and important. I can well understand

how it is that men who have fought on behalf of India until they are worn out put on their armour again and enter public controversy ; how they even go back to the country in which their life's work has been spent, because of the intimate and lasting effect that India has upon their minds and thoughts. Thus it comes about that almost every street, mean or rich, has some one living in it who has worked itself, or whose relations have worked, or are working, in India. No better index of a nation's activity is to be found than the front sheet of a newspaper. Every birth, marriage and obituary column has its item of Indian interest. India is part and parcel of the normal existence of our nation. Is it not proper, then, that the House of Commons should ask itself what are its duties towards this question which affects so nearly the life of the nation and the lives of its people? (Hear, hear.)

INCREASING IMPORTANCE AND INADEQUATE
KNOWLEDGE.

I realise well that I shall probably read tomorrow that I have been guilty of the enormity of lecturing the House of Commons. But I cannot refrain from speaking out what I feel, for I am convinced that Indian problems will become more

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911

important, more insistent, more vital as the years go on, and I see so clearly the danger that we shall incur if they present themselves to a House of Commons inadequately equipped to grapple with them. It is only a matter of time for questions of supreme importance in connexion with our Indian Empire to come through the outer Lobby into the inner Lobby and knock irresistibly at the door of this Chamber. Are we prepared to meet them? Have we the knowledge, the sympathy, the breadth of view, that they demand for a satisfactory and statesmanlike solution? How many members of this House are able to say that they are in a position to discuss with knowledge and decide with wisdom the great problems of India—the problem of education both in India and in England, of commercial and industrial development, of military defence, of political concession, of the eradication of political crime? On how many of these questions can hon. members honestly say that they are fitted to form any views at all? Indeed when I think how this House is harassed and overburdened by its innumerable domestic responsibilities, which I hope it will not always be persistently unwilling to delegate, I am bound to admit that there is lacking that first requisite for the efficient discharge of our Imperial duties—time for study and mature consideration. But apart from this, when I ask myself the question, What is the present

attitude of this House towards Indian questions? I am bound to answer frankly that the salient characteristic of that attitude appears to me to be—speaking of the House as a whole—something approaching apathy. And as regards those hon. members who take most active interest in Indian affairs, may I say that I should be very sorry to see this interest represented by two parties concerning themselves chiefly with points of administrative detail, the one thinking it necessary to espouse the cause of the governed by attacking the Government, the other constituting itself the champion of the official. The tendency to assume an antagonism between the interests of the Indian and the interests of the official is one which I cannot too strongly deprecate—it is the negation of all we have done, are doing, and hope to do for India. We are there to cooperate with the peoples of the country in working out her destinies side by side, with the same object, the same mission, the same goal. (Hear, hear.)

THE THEORY OF GOVERNMENT BY PRESTIGE.

Time was, no doubt, when it was a most important function of this house to see that the theory of government by prestige was not carried to excessive lengths in India. In the extreme form of government by prestige those who administer the country are, I take it, answerable only to their

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

official superiors, and no claim for redress by one of the ruled against one of the rulers can be admitted as a right. If, for instance, a member of the ruling race inflicts an injury upon a member of the governed race, no question will arise of punishing the former to redress the wrong of the latter. The only consideration will be whether prestige will be more impaired by punishing the offender, and so admitting imperfection in the governing caste, or by not punishing him, and so condoning a failure of that protection of the governed which is essential to efficient government. This illustrates, as I understand the matter, the prestige theory pressed to its logical conclusion. I do not say that it was ever so pressed in India. It has always been tempered by British character, British opinion and the British Parliament. Whatever reliance upon prestige there was in our government of India is now giving place to reliance upon even-handed justice and strong, orderly and equitable administration. But a great deal of nonsense is talked still—so it seems to me—about prestige. Call it, if you will, a useful asset in our relations with the wild tribes of the frontier, but let us hear no more about it as a factor in the relations between the British Government and the educated Indian public. Do not misunderstand me—and this I say especially to those who may do me the honour of criticising outside these walls what I

am now saying. I mean by "prestige" the theory of government that I have just described—the theory that produces irresponsibility and arrogance. I do not, of course, mean that reputation for firm and dignified administration which no government can afford to disregard. This reputation can only be acquired by deeds and temper, not by appeal to the blessed word "prestige." I think it necessary to make this explanation, for I have learned by experience how a single word carelessly used may be construed by sedulous critics as the enunciation of a new theory of government.

DELEGATION AND RESPONSIBILITY.

It is, of course, a truism that in Parliament, acting through its servant, the Secretary of State, is vested the supreme control over the Government of India. It is no less a truism that it is the duty of Parliament to control that Government in the interests of the governed just as it is the duty of Parliament to control the Government of the day at home in the interests of the people of these islands. This House in its relations to India has primarily to perform for that country the functions proper to an elected Assembly in a self-governing country. That, I say, is its primary function. But that is not all. It is characteristic

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

of British statesmanship that it has not been content with so narrow a view of Imperial responsibilities. The course of the relations between the House of Commons and the people of India has taken, and must take, the form of a gradual delegation, little by little, from itself to the people of India, of the power to criticise and control their Government. You have given India that rule of law which is so peculiarly British and cherished by Britons; you have given elected councils for deliberative and legislative purposes; you have admitted Indians to high administrative and judicial office. And, in so far as you do these things, you derogate from your own direct powers. You bestow upon the people of India a portion of your functions; you must, therefore, cease to try to exercise those functions, and devote yourself solely to the exercise of the duties that you have definitely retained for your own. Permit me to say that I see signs that this most important point is not always sufficiently realised. The more you give to India the less you should exercise your own power; the less that India has the more you are called upon by virtue of your heritage to exercise your own control. The sum is constant; addition on the one side means subtraction from the other. There are then, these two problems always before this House. The one is how much of your powers of control to delegate

to the people of India, the other is how most wisely to exercise the powers of control that you retain. It is not only that the powers that you have delegated are of no use to those on whom you have bestowed them unless they are entrusted with them unhampered ; it is not only that the more you have delegated powers of control the more important are such powers as you retain, demanding more and more study and thought. You must also remember the position of the British official in India. You cannot allow him to be crushed beneath a responsibility to Indian opinion, now becoming articulate and organized, to which he has now to justify himself in open debate, added to an undiminished responsibility to British public opinion, unwilling, in fact, to surrender the functions that it has professed, through its Parliament, to delegate. Let the Indian official work out his position in the new order of things, where justification by works and in-council must take the place of justification by reputation. I have every confidence in the result.

ANTICIPATING THE CRITICS.

In conclusion, I accept the blame which I am fully conscious of deserving for the fact that I have wearied the house. The subject cannot weary anyone. But I am painfully conscious that anybody

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

who deals with it and makes it unattractive only does harm to the cause he espouses. My aim and object is this: I want people to think of India. There is enough to think of. I have spoken with a full sense of responsibility, knowing the fulness of the critics' wrath. I think I have anticipated all the criticisms that I shall be called upon to meet outside these walls. There are those who hate the extinction of poetry, of lethargy, of the pictures of the bizarre, which they assert is inseparable from progress, from competition, from industrial development. There are the cynics who forgetful of the history of their own country, would stop with their pens the revolution of the globe, and deny opportunity to a world force which is beginning to penetrate and stir in the country of which I speak. There are the pessimists who spend a useless life, mourning a past which can never return, and dreading a future which is bound to come. Then there are those who, filled with antediluvian imperialism, cannot see beyond domination and subjection, beyond governor and governed, who hate the word "progress" and will accuse me of encouraging unrest. I bow submissively in anticipation. I believe there is nothing dangerous in what I have said. I have pointed a long path, a path perhaps of centuries, for Englishmen and Indians to travel together. I ask the

minority in India to bring along it—for there is room for all—by education in the widest sense, by organisation, and by precept, all those who would be good citizens of their country. And, when at intervals this well-ordered throng show to us that they have made social and political advance to another stage, and demand from us, in the name of the responsibility we have accepted, that they should be allowed still further to share that responsibility with us. I hope we shall be ready to answer with knowledge and with prudence. In this labour all parties and all interested, wherever they may be, may rest assured of the sympathy and assistance of the Government. (Cheers.)

REPLY TO THE DEBATE.

Mr. Montagu, by the leave of the House, dealt with some of the points raised during the discussion, and after thanking the members for the kindness with which they had received his statement, turned to the speech of Lord Ronaldshay, who had (he said) made an interesting and well-argued appeal in favour of Tariff Reform for India. After the invitation he had given to the House to discuss the industrial development of India, it did not need much power of prophecy to realise that the first hon. member to address the House from the benches.

opposite would prescribe for India their favourite homœopathic medicine for everything. Without detaining the House with argument in favour of Free Trade, he would only say that the Government had no intention of departing from the Free Trade system in India, and as opportunity offered they would bring the fiscal system in India more into accord with what they believed to be the only sound economic doctrine. (Hear, hear.) Indian industries were developing, but to hope to develop them by a protective tariff would be to hopelessly expose India to some of the worst evils of Western capitalisation, the concentration of wealth in a few hands, the tyranny of capital over labour, and the oppression of the working classes and consumers. If the noble lord thought that with a preferential tariff for India they would rope India into the scheme which would always be associated with the name of Mr. Joseph Chamberlain, he would ask the House to remember that that was a scheme for binding together the Empire, by which its advocates usually meant our great self-governing Dominions. If anybody still believed in that policy as applied to our Indian Empire they would find that the case for it was absolutely demolished by Lord Curzon, when Viceroy, in a despatch which he earnestly hoped his lordship had not forgotten. That despatch, together with the arguments laid

before the Conference by Sir James Mackay, would be found in a command paper. Those arguments had never been answered.

LORD MINTO AND "INDIA'S RIGHT TO
PROTECTION."

It was sometimes said that educated opinion in India was in favour of Tariff Reform and should be given what it wanted. It was true that a large number of Indian publicists believed in Protection, but not all of them. Mr. Gokhale was by no means an unqualified advocate of it; and Mr. Bhupendranath Basu, another well-known Indian publicist, had declared that the people, who did not belong to the capitalist class did not want it, and that protective duties would benefit a small class while the millions of India would suffer. (Hear, hear.) It was impossible to abrogate part of our own responsibility in India. As long as it remained our responsibility to govern India politically it would continue to be our duty to govern her fiscally. To give over our responsibility for dictating her fiscal policy while keeping responsibility for her political government would be to embark upon a most disastrous experiment. He wished to call the noble lord's attention to a speech which Lord Minto took the first opportunity to make when he became an ex-Viceroy. He hoped some early opportunity would

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1911.

be taken of making what was meant clear. It was bad enough to keep English voters waiting, whilst drawing pictures of agricultural or manufacturing prosperity without exactly defining the tariff by which this was to be brought about. But there were other people here who could draw the other picture. In talking to Indians it was almost criminal not to put before them exactly what we meant. The policy of the Government was Free Trade. Was the policy of the Unionists simply to rope India into a preferential system in order to twist her trade within the British Empire, or did they mean that one of the planks of their policy was to remove the cotton duties as at present applied to Lancashire? The noble lord had given his views. He hoped he would not be thought impertinent if he said that his interesting speech could well have been delayed for a few minutes until the House had had the views of some Leader of the Conservative Party speaking with all the weight which attached to a seat on the Front Bench. The question he had asked had awakened great interest in India, and many people were awaiting an answer to it.

A BATCH OF CRITICISMS.

He had been accused by Mr. Keir Hardie of Swadeshism. Swadeshi struck him as being the

only rational form of Tariff Reform, each man deciding for himself whether he would buy imported goods or not. He did not, therefore, complain of the label. But there was not, he believed, a jot of foundation for the hon. member's assertion that anybody who delivered in India the speech which he had delivered that afternoon would find himself in prison. If there were any doubt, he wished Mr. Keir Hardie would give him particulars and he would do his very best to secure their immediate release. It was perfectly true that agriculture in India would remain for very many years its principal industry. There were 191,000,000 people engaged directly or indirectly on agriculture. However much industry developed the agricultural side would always remain profitable. His hope for India was that the two sides would develop together, neither the one nor the other being particularly prominent. With regard to plague the hon. member had airily waived aside the theory and scientific diagnosis of the cause, and so had Mr. O'Grady. It was the belief of both that poverty, a low standard of living and low resisting power, were the causes. So they were. They were the causes which made anybody prone to any disease, but the most recent and careful scientific research had shown that the bacillus found a home in the rat, and on the death of the rat was conveyed to human beings, who,

however, if they were in a good resisting state, might remain unaffected. It was the duty of the Government and Indians to work together to improve sanitation and to urge the advantages of inoculation. Comment had been made that he had left out of his speech many things to which he ought to have referred. He had warned them of that almost as soon as he began. The things he had left out were things to which he referred last year. The Press Act was one. It was in existence last year, and he then gave such a defence of it as he believed at the time and now believed to be necessary. The Seditious Meetings Act, about which there had been complaints, had been amended, and did not at present apply to any district.

Mr. WEDGWOOD: Does the hon. gentleman mean that at present meetings can be held without anyone asking any authority to do so?

Mr. MONTAGU: Before the Act can be applied the district has to be proclaimed.

Mr. WEDGWOOD: Can meetings be held in districts which are not proclaimed?

Mr. MONTAGU: There are other regulations for maintaining law and order, of course, this is under the common law and not statute law. The hon. member, in the amendment he moved last year, complained of the resort to the Press Law, and among the measures to which he referred was the Seditious Meetings Act.

Mr. KEIR HARDIE: Is the hon. gentleman aware that two meetings were proclaimed which were called for the purpose of

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

considering means for providing education for the lower classes?
Can he explain why?

Mr. MONTAGU replied that on the facts which the hon. gentleman gave him, he could not. If the hon. member would supply him with more information, he would investigate the matter. He repeated that the Seditious Meetings Act was not now in force in India. The appeal had been made that the King's visit to India should be celebrated by an amnesty of political prisoners, and several hon. members had made various suggestions for a boon or gift from his Majesty on that occasion. It would not be right for him to make any pronouncement. He could only assure hon. members that all the suggestions would be brought to the notice of the Secretary of State on the conclusion of this debate. Mention had been made of political deportees. There were no political offenders in prison under the regulation of 1818. People were in prison now, but they were not political prisoners, and nearly all not British subjects, who had been deported from their own countries as the result of war. He did not understand whether the demand was made that they should be released, or whether it was supposed that under the regulation of 1818 there were still prisoners. A few years ago there were still political offenders in prison. They had all been released.

THE ARTICLE IN THE "PIONEER."

Attention had been drawn to an article in the *Pioneer*. Mr. O'Grady had asked why, if the Press Law applied to Indian newspapers, it did not apply to Anglo-Indian newspapers. Mr. Wedgwood had added that no Act such as the Press Law could be administered fairly when the power was given as it was in India. He differed from both. He believed that the Press Act was being administered fairly and squarely and to the very best of their ability by men whose chief attribute was their scrupulous fairness. With regard to the particular article referred to he need hardly say that it was his own personal opinion, as it was the opinion of everybody who had read it, that it was a disgusting piece of writing calculated to do an infinite amount of harm. Whether it did or did not come within the meaning of the Press Act was a matter for the legal officers of the Government of India to decide. He could only say that the attention of the Government of India had been called to the matter. But he would remind the hon. members that they were the first to protest when the Government of India embarked on a political prosecution and failed to get a conviction. And that applied equally to the Press and to other things. Colonel Yate had asked why the Indian Marine should not police the Persian Gulf and so set free the Royal Navy for its proper duties. The

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

Indian Marine was not a fighting force at all, and any idea of having a separate naval force for India was abandoned in 1862. In conclusion, he said the discussion had been more hopeful in tone than any Indian debate he could remember, and he congratulated the House and their Indian fellow-countrymen upon the result of their deliberations.

THE INDIAN BUDGET--1912.

On the motion to go into Committee on the East India Revenue accounts.

Mr. Montagu said :—I am more than ordinarily impressed by the difficulty of diverting the attention of this House from important domestic concerns to the affairs of India, but I hope to be able to announce to the House a policy of such importance that I trust the hon. members will pardon the large draft I shall have to make upon their patience. I do not intend to deal more than a minute upon foreign affairs because the House has kept itself informed of events on the North-West Frontier and in Tibet. The expeditions to the Abor, Mishmi, and Mari countries have returned to India having successfully accomplished what they set out to do. If the geographic and scientific results of these expeditions have been somewhat disappointing, the incalculably adverse climatic conditions must be borne in mind. All that it is necessary for me to say about them is that the thanks of the House and all interested are due to General Bower and the other gallant officers and men who conducted the

expeditions, and our sympathies will go out to those who lose their lives in the service of their country. (Hear, hear.)

THE KING'S VISIT.

Of course, the outstanding feature of the past year in India was the visit of his Majesty and the Queen Empress. I do not propose to attempt what others have done adequately before me, to paint to this House the glowing success of their visit, and to try and describe the warmth of the welcome which awaited them from their Indian subjects. I ventured last year to prophesy the welcome which his Majesty would receive in these words. I said: "His visit would receive a real and heartfelt welcome from all his peoples, not only because news of his popularity and devotion to his Imperial duties will have reached their shores but because they will see in his visit an earnest that the passage of time and growing knowledge had increased the desire which has always animated the British people to help and serve their Indian fellow-subjects." I quote these words because they describe the welcome which his Majesty received, a welcome enhanced by his own personality and the personality of her Majesty, a welcome which was echoed from end to end of the Indian Empire.

THE SPIRIT OF NATIONALITY.

At the risk of incurring the anger of my critics, I would express once again my belief that there is a growing spirit of nationality in India, the direct product and construction of British rule. The Brahmin from Bombay speaks Mahratta, the Brahmin from Bengal speaks Bengali, and despite their community of religious belief they are separated by an incapacity to understand one another's language, but they come to discuss the affairs of the nation which is growing under British rule in the language of the British people. (Hear, hear.) There is growing up in India a caste of educated Indians which includes among its numbers members of all castes from all parts of India, discussing the affairs of the nation in English. It is small wonder that the educated people of India should welcome the British Kings as the representatives of the unity which is Britain's gift to them. Above and beyond these there were the nine-tenths of the people of India who are still illiterate and uneducated, who welcomed our King because of the peace and tranquillity and the growing prosperity produced by those who govern India in his name. There is an old doctrine that we govern India by the sword. Without questioning the fundamental truth of this I want to assert that it is because we also govern

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

India by the consent of those who know, and by the cheerful acquiescence of those who do not realise all that it means, that his Majesty's welcome was so wide and real as it was. (Cheers.)

THE REMOVAL OF THE CAPITAL.

I do not want to tread upon the more debatable ground of the results of his Majesty's visit. The House of Lords has had its say, and the House of Commons has also had its say. I have stated my case, the case for the removal of the Government of India from a provincial centre, and the case for what we conceive to be a more statesmanlike partition of Bengal; and although I fully recognise the importance of the grave misgivings felt by those interested in commerce in Calcutta, I am bound to adhere to the opinion that I have expressed in this House, that the changes are popular everywhere else, that they have produced satisfaction and tranquillity, and that there is reason to hope and believe that the adverse and isolated, though important, misgivings of the commercial community at Calcutta will prove to be ill-founded.

THE FINANCIAL POSITION OF INDIA.

I pass to that part of my speech which no representative of the India Office, however careless of precedent, could afford to omit, what is, indeed,

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

the real basis for this motion—a very short review, and I will make it as short as I can, of the financial position of the Empire. We have to consider two years—1911-12—in review, and, so far as we can, 1912-13 in prospect. The estimates for 1911-12 were framed on the hypothesis of normal harvests, good steady progress in trade, and a satisfactory export season. The net revenue, imperial and provincial, was estimated at £52,141,700, and the net expenditure chargeable to the revenues of the year, after allowing for the amount estimated to be met from the balances of provincial Governments, was estimated at £ 51,322,500, which would have left a balance of £819,200. I think the House will agree that it is highly satisfactory to be able to report that the general economic conditions were far more favourable than was anticipated. Budget framers, taxpayers, politicians, and journalists all cast their eyes towards the monsoon, which is the vital element in Indian prosperity. I should like to give the history of this particular monsoon, because it may be taken to show the extreme difficulty of Budget-making in India, and the caution with which deductions should be made from earlier rains. The monsoon began in June normally, but during July and August rain practically ceased over the whole of India. The young crops sown during the first fallacious burst were destroyed by dry, westerly

winds, fodder failed for the cattle, and the price of all grains rose rapidly to famine level. On August 25 the Punjab Government reported to the Government of India that the failure of the rains had up to date been greater than had ever been experienced in the history of that province; everything portended as grave and as extensive a drought as any recorded in the history of India. We were, I am informed, without 24 hours of one of the greatest calamities we had ever known. Then in the last week of August the monsoon currents freshened and copious rains fell in most parts of India and continued in unusual strength throughout September, but the north of the Bombay Presidency, and parts of the native States of Baroda, Kathiawar, and Central India were not reached by the later rains, and in those districts, except where irrigation—which I think is the most beneficent triumph of British rule in India—(cheers)—saved the situation, the autumn and winter crops failed and positively disappeared. Relief works were started in the famine districts, and in the latter part of May of this year 100,000 people were employed on the relief works.

THE VOLUME OF TRADE.

These favourable conditions showed themselves in an expansion of the volume of trade. Imports.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

and exports reached a record. I have some remarkable figures to read to the House. The imports of merchandise were of the value of £92,000,000, an increase of 7 per cent.; exports of merchandise were £151,000,000, an increase of 8 per cent.; and the net imports of treasure were £28,000,000, an increase of 32 per cent. To give a better idea of the general expansion of Indian trade the House will, if it compares the figures for 1911-12 with those of 1901-2, find an increase of imports of 70 per cent., an increase in exports of 83 per cent., and an increase in imports of treasure of 285 per cent. The favourable trade conditions were responsible for the fact that the financial results of the year were considerably more favourable than had been expected in the Budget estimate. Railways showed an increase in the gross receipts of £33,150,000, or an excess of £1,720,000 over the estimate. This was partly due to the great expansion of trade and partly due to the Durbar traffic in December. The net profit on the year's working was, therefore, the record sum of £3,204,000, an excess over the Budget estimate of £1,250,000. The local Governments who are mainly responsible for Excise administration have lately raised their fees and duties in order to discourage the use of stimulants and of drugs, and therefore on this account the revenue of 1911-12 was expected to

show only a very moderate increase, but good harvests and good trade led to an expansion, and the net revenue was £416,300 over the estimates. The Customs revenue benefited in a similar way. There was an increase of £308,000 in the Custom revenue as a whole, the only item showing a decrease being sugar and tobacco. Under the heading of irrigation there was an increase of £320,000.

THE OPIUM TRADE.

The most important item which contributed to the surplus of the year was opium. The reduction of the exports has been proceeding at a very considerable pace since the agreement with China which came into force in 1908. In that year the total exports amounted to 61,900 chests, of which 48,000 went to China. In 1912 the exports to China are limited to 21,680 chests, and to the rest of the world 13,200 chests. Of course, this restriction of the exports affects the price and makes it very difficult to forecast from year to year the exact price which it will fetch in the market. Last year the situation was complicated by a new factor, because the Government of India had adopted a system of certificated chests for export to China in response to the wishes of the Chinese Government. It was impossible, therefore, to foretell the price of certificated or uncertificated opium. It is not

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

surprising, therefore, to find that, owing to the poor yield of the season's crops, the expenditure was £444,000 less than the estimate and the receipts £1,624,000 more than the estimate, so that the net receipts were better than the estimate by rather more than £2,000,000. The most important decrease in the year was land revenue. Owing to the scarcity in the North of Bombay and the lateness of the monsoon in the United Provinces and the Punjab, remissions and suspensions of the land revenue were granted, and there was a net decrease of £696,000 in the land revenue as a whole. Thus the net revenue amounted to £56,209,000, a surplus over the estimate of a little more than four millions sterling.

EXPENDITURE AND SURPLUS.

When I turn to the net expenditure I find there was a decrease in the estimated expenditure of £780,000. There was, further more, a decrease in interest charges of £316,500. This was mainly accidental, and was owing to the fact that the large amounts received on loans granted from the Secretary of State's balances helped to decrease the amount payable for interest. I will draw the attention of the House to a decrease in the expenditure on education of a little over £250,000. It is not a real decrease, because £100,000 of the grant

which was to have been spent on education was spent on educational buildings, and therefore appears under the head of "Civil Works" instead of that of "Education." There is also a certain decrease owing to the fact that the large grant which would have enabled the total outlay to exceed that of the previous year by £430,000 were not fully spent by the Department. If I add the excise revenue of £4,067,700 to the savings of £780,000 in Imperial and provincial expenditure, it will be found that the Budget for the year showed a surplus of £4,848,000. Out of this sum the Provincial Governments receive automatically a certain proportion of the revenue raised in their province. £540,000 of the surplus went thus to the local Governments; £782,000 went to provide suitable opening balances for the new Provinces of Bengal, Behar and Orissa and Assam; £322,000 went to pay the two weeks' gratuity to the lower-paid provincial employees, which was promised as a Durbar grant. This reduced the surplus to £3,960,000. In dealing with this sum we have, of course, to remember the causes which contributed to the great excess over the Budget estimate. The opium revenue, so far as it is derived from exports to China, will probably in greater part disappear during the next five years. The railway revenue has yielded a very exceptional return, but

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

must always be regarded as a fluctuating source of income. I think, therefore, that it is right to treat the surplus as the outcome of financial conditions which cannot be relied upon to recur, and to apply it to non-recurring purposes. £867,000 was given to the Provincial Government for sanitation, research in hygiene, improvements in communications and improvements in agriculture. The remainder of the surplus of a little over £3,000,000 went to the reduction of debt. At the close of the year there was in existence £11,166,300 of temporary debt—India bills, India bonds and debenture bonds—for which the general liability was assumed by the Secretary of State when he purchased the railways or terminated the contracts of companies. Provision has been made to pay off during 1912-13 out of the large balances in hand, including the surplus I have just mentioned, the £4,500,000 worth of Indian bills outstanding and the £1,977,600 of bonds which mature during the year. So there will thus be left out of this large temporary debt only a little over £4,500,000. I do not think I need stop to labour the general theoretical advantages of reducing so large an amount of debt, but, of course, the more India can free herself in prosperous times from floating debt in London the better she is in a position to call on the London market in times of difficulty.

THE FUTURE.

Now I turn to the future. The Indian revenue for 1912-13 is estimated at £53,442,400. The net expenditure is estimated at £51,964,000, and the surplus is estimated therefore at £1,478,300. The latest telegram we have received from India concerning the monsoon gives a summary that the present conditions and prospects are almost universally good, but the House will not be surprised, after what I have said, to hear the warning that a continuance of such prospects depends very largely on favourable late rains. The receipts in the new Estimate under most of the chief heads of revenue, such as forests, salt, stamps, Excise and Customs, railways and irrigation, are taken at a somewhat higher figure than in the Budget of last year to allow for normal expansion. The estimate of the price of opium, having regard to the difficulty of forecasting the course of this exceptionally speculative commodity, is the same as in the Budget for last year, with allowances made for a reduction in the quantity sold. Under the head of general administration there is a reduction compared with last year of £673,200, which was expended last year in the Civil expenditure on the Royal visit to India, and there is a similar reduction in the military services of £307,000. The largest increase in next year's Budget is that of £760,000 for education.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

(Hear, hear.) For 1911-12 the amount provided was £2,094,000. In 1912-13 the amount is £2,855,000. There is also an increase of £400,000 on medical services, £333,000 is allocated to water-works and drainage schemes, and £80,000 for medical research, including the equipment of research laboratories and the establishment of a tropical school of medicine. Of course, the House will see that the surplus for which we have budgetted is abnormally large. In a normal year the natural course would be to use at least a part of the surplus for the reduction of taxation or, perhaps, for increasing administrative expenses. But in this year neither of those courses was possible. The revenue derived from the sale of opium to China will shortly disappear, both because it is a source of revenue which I think neither India nor Great Britain desires to continue to have—(hear, hear)—and partly because of our international agreements. The surplus, therefore, is going to be retained in order to reduce the amount to be borrowed on capital expenditure on railways, irrigation works, and the building of the new Delhi.

THE NEW DELHI.

I want now to make a short diversion and say something about the new city of Delhi. The site which has been recommended by the Expert Com-

mittee, which has returned to this country, lies to the south-west of the modern city of Delhi between the Kutab Road and the Araveli Ridge. The area stands high, commands a wide prospect which includes the existing city of Delhi, and the ground is virgin soil because the man-worn sites of the early occupation lie, I understand, nearer the river and due south of Delhi. The drainage problem is simplified by the ample fall of the ground towards the river, and although no plan for the laying out of the city has as yet been finally decided upon, I think it is safe to say that the present intention is that a belt of park not less than a thousand yards in width should intervene between the walls of old Delhi and the new capital, and that this park will probably be extended to envelop the entire site at the eastern boundary, where will lie probably the bazar and the quarters of the English and Indian Government servants. The distance from the new Government House to the Jama Musjid will be about three miles to the south-west, and between the two will lie the Government offices for the administration of the old and the new city of Delhi. The military cantonments will be to the west of the Araveli Ridge, where I understand there is much available and suitable land. I have only to add that at the earliest possible moment the report of the Committee and the plans will be exhibited in the tea-room. On the site I have describ-

ed it is hoped there will grow up in the heart of India on the site of what I think may be described as its most ancient capital, at its most convenient railway centre, the enduring British seat of government, firmly planted, I believe, in the affections of those for whom it labours.

THE QUESTION OF COST.

The estimated cost of the new capital is put at £4,000,000. The Government scheduled under the Land Acquisition Act a very large area round Delhi, so that they are able to acquire the land they want at the price it was worth before the Durbar announcement. The buildings which will be a public charge are the Viceroy's residence, the Government offices, a place of meeting for the Imperial Legislative Council, and offices for the municipal administration and the cantonments. If residences for other individuals are constructed in the first instance at the cost of public revenue, a rent will be charged to the occupants. The architects for the various Government enterprises have not yet been chosen, but efforts will be made by competition to obtain a wide field of selection. I am afraid I cannot give at present any revised estimate. Lord Hardinge, in his speech to the Council on March 25, expressed considerable confidence that the estimate would be found to be sufficient. I can only say this provisional

estimate has been framed after considering the cost of lighting, road-making, drainage, and comparing it with the similar cost for places like Bombay, Calcutta and Madras, and making allowance for the fact that there will be little or no clearing. We do not intend to build streets of private dwellings and shops, but we intend to allow other people to build private dwellings and shops in harmony with the general plan; and, although, of course, nothing definite can be said, I really do not anticipate that this new Delhi will, in the long run, prove to be a very serious burden upon the finances of the country.

FINDING THE MONEY.

How are we going to find this money? When Government offices and buildings are required in India the usual practice is to find them out of current revenue; but, in view of the magnitude of the Delhi scheme, it is proposed to adopt a different method of providing the money, and in this case to treat the outlay as capital expenditure and to meet it partly from loans and partly from revenue surpluses as they may arise. I think it is the same principle which is now adopted in this country, as a rule, whenever public buildings are to be built. If new taxation were going to be imposed for the purpose of producing a surplus for use in Delhi, or if a remission was going to be refused because we

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

wanted to provide a surplus, or if money was going to be withheld from administrative needs because of this plan, there would be very much weight in the objection which has been raised in India. But there is no idea of creating a surplus in any of these ways. New taxation is not introduced in India except to meet a deficit or a prospective deficit in current revenue, and the fact that the expenditure on Delhi is to be treated as capital expenditure will prevent it from contributing towards a deficit in current revenue, and there is no intention or prospect that the building of Delhi will prevent a remission of taxation, because the probability is that it will be built in a time when revenue from Chinese opium is disappearing and when no prudent man in India and no Government of India would ever recommend the remission of taxation which it would be certain to have to re-impose at the end of the time. Undoubtedly the expenditure on Delhi, so far as it is met from surpluses, will lessen the amount available for objects which are paid for from revenue generally. But it is equally true, in view of the limited amount which can be borrowed in any given year, that, if we met it from loans entirely, it would lessen the amount which could be spent on equally important work in connexion with such subjects as railways and irrigation. To meet the whole expenditure from loans would involve the possibility of so res-

tricting the expenditure on these latter objects as to diminish India's prosperity in time of plenty and her security against suffering in bad seasons. Therefore, I contend the task before the Government, when once it had come to the conclusion that the change of capital was a measure of such importance as to justify the expenditure involved, was to survey the field of administration as a whole and adopt a financial scheme which seemed likely to be the least onerous to the interests concerned. We believe that the plan we have adopted of using a variety of resource, instead of relying upon one, is the plan best calculated to achieve this object. The vindication of the decision will have to be looked for in the way in which it is carried into effect year by year while the expenditure on the new buildings is in progress. The Government of India will have to submit each year to the criticism of the Legislative Council and of Parliament as to the way in which it co-ordinates the claims of Delhi with the other claims on its resources. I do not think that, having regard to its commitments and its pledges, it is likely to allow the claims of Delhi to obscure its other responsibilities or to impede their fulfilment.

A NEW CHAPTER IN INDIAN HISTORY.

I want now to ask the House to listen to a few more general statements. Two years ago I discus-

sed generally the political position of India and what I conceived to be the lines on which it could best be governed; and last year I dealt at some length with the social conditions and development of the country, and tried to explain how political development must be contingent upon social development. The three contentions which I tried to establish last year and the year before were, first, that it is possible to distinguish and segregate legitimate aspirations for advancement from sedition; secondly, that political institutions cannot be imported advantageously from one country to another unless they are the resultant of similar social organisations, and that it is towards improved social conditions rather than change of political institutions that our attention and the attention of Indians should be turned; and, thirdly, that there are striking analogies in the history of India under British rule and the history of a European country, although this chapter of the history of India has been shorter, because it is governed and created by men who have inherited the results of European and British development. I want to resist the temptation of going over that ground again. I cannot help thinking that with the passage of the Reform Act of 1909 a chapter of Indian history was closed and a new chapter was opened. I do not believe that India has yet discovered what possibili-

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

ties there are without alteration of statute, without any new political demand, in the great reforms which will be for ever associated in the history of India with the name of Lord Morley. (Cheers.)

THE INDIAN STUDENT IN LONDON.

I want this year to devote my attention to the one problem which I believe underlies all other problems in India, which I think is the keystone of progress and the keystone of the development of social conditions, and of, eventually, the improvement of political conditions—namely, education. It has two branches—education in this country and education in India. Those interested in India must never lose sight of the increasing army of those who come over to England and benefit by our educational facilities, and who present a very serious problem. The facilities which we offer here are often purchased at an exorbitant price, and I think it is difficult for Indians to estimate them at their real value. It may well be that the solution of some of the difficulties presented by them may be found by providing better facilities for education in India itself. If this were done—if the Indian doctor, the Indian barrister, the Indian aspirant to an unprejudiced share in the government of his own country were to obtain an adequate training in his own country, I venture to say that many a

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

parent would be saved anxiety and worry, many an Indian would be saved bitterness and disappointment, and perhaps the financial disaster attendant upon a journey to England. But whilst they are over here, in search of what the heart of the Empire can give them, it is our duty and part of our responsibility for the good government of India to welcome and to help our Indian fellow-subjects to the best of our ability.

THE ENGLISHMAN'S DUTY.

Let me say first of all how difficult it is to interest men and women in this country in Indian problems. Is it too much to hope that when the problems come to their very door they will respond to the invitation which in all humility I make to them to show some hospitality to our Indian fellow-subjects? All men and women who show this hospitality to our Indian visitors are doing an Imperial work of the utmost value to the Empire. Nothing could be more valuable than for Englishmen and women in particular, to afford opportunities to Indians of learning something of English homes. I do not want to go into details, but I want to assure the House that I have had ample and lasting proof of the serious consequences of allowing Indian students to believe that the majority of the women with whom they come

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

most easily in contact in the lonely lives they lead in lodging-houses are typical of English womanhood. May I say a word to undergraduates in our great Universities? A responsibility of an exceptional kind falls upon them. Amongst those who go to our Universities, both Indian and British, are the future administrators of India, and if we allow our Indian visitors to be segregated, isolated, or rudely treated, we are sowing seed which will sprout and fruit long after we have repented of the carelessness which helped its germination.

THE ORGANISATION AT THE INDIA OFFICE.

I want to say something more now of the efforts the India Office are making to ensure that those who come to this country are looked after. It is not the first time the House has been asked to consider this question. The Master of Elibank, who preceded me at the India Office, explained to the House in 1909 the measures which had been taken. The scheme has now been in existence for three and a half years. So great a measure of success has been achieved that the Secretary of State feels himself justified in making a considerable extension and development. I should like to give the House an idea of the work which Mr. Arnold, the head of the organisation, and his staff

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

have been called upon to perform. In the first place, a bureau of information has been created which provides information upon educational matters to Indian parents and students, keeps for students a record of suitable lodging-houses and of families that are ready to receive them, furnishes them with references and certificates required by institutions which they wish to enter, serves as an intermediary between the Universities and other academic bodies in cases where their regulations impose unintentional hardship on students from India or do not harmonise with the system enforced in Indian Universities and colleges, issues a handbook of information relating to academic and technical education, the condition of life, the cost of living in different centres of the United Kingdom to which Indian parents may wish to send their sons, and finally assists Indian students in this country with advice on matters social, financial and educational, and undertakes at the express wish of Indian parents the guardianship of their sons, sending to them from time to time periodical reports as to their progress and conduct. It is now calculated that this bureau is in contact with 1,062 Indian students, or about 62 per cent. of the total number in this country. As regards those of whom Mr. Arnold has undertaken the guardianship, let me give the figures:—In June

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

1910, there were 27; in March, 1911, 91; and in February last, 137. Between April, 1909, and June, 1910, the amount of remittance received on behalf of these students was about £5,000; between July 1, 1910 and June 1, 1911, the amount was over £18,000. The educational adviser works in conjunction with the Board of Education in finding suitable courses of instruction for technical students, and in regard to engineering he is assisted by an expert adviser in Mr. Champion.

MR. MALLET'S APPOINTMENT.

I wish to say that the scheme inaugurated in 1909 has fully justified its institution, and, secondly, that it has grown far beyond the control of its original organisation. Mr. Arnold, to whose zeal, energy, and devotion I gladly take this opportunity of paying public tribute, has with his assistants worked nobly to grapple with an ever-increasing rush of work. That a reorganisation is necessary is, I think, a justification of their work, for it is only by tactful management and the taking of infinite pains that the natural repugnance of students to placing themselves under control could be overcome and that the number to be dealt with has therefore increased. The first step which we have taken is to increase substantially the very insufficient salary upon which Mr. Arnold and his assistants

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

are doing their work. Then a Secretary for Indian students has been appointed at the India Office at a salary of £1,000 a year. (Opposition cries of "Oh!") As the House knows, we have been fortunate enough to secure the services of Mr. Mallet.

Mr. PEEL: Is there a pension?

Mr. MONTAGU: There is a pension after ten years' service if he is invalided; and if he is not invalided when he retires at the age of 60 he gets a pension of one-eighteenth of his salary for each year of service, together with a bonus of one-thirtieth of his salary for each year of service.

Mr. PEEL: Is there any examination?

Mr. MONTAGU: No; there is no examination of any sort or kind. The position is not an easy one to fill. What is required is largely a knowledge of the conduct of a public office. I have not the slightest hesitation in saying after the very short experience and opportunity we have had of judging Mr. Mallet's work that it shows us to the full how glad we should be to welcome him as a colleague in this new and difficult work he has undertaken.

Mr. PEEL: Is a knowledge of any Indian language required?

Mr. MONTAGU: No. Mr. Mallet is to be a link between the Secretary of State and the various organisations in India on the one hand, and in this country on the other hand, which have been formed and are being formed for this important work.

Mr. KEIR HARDIE: Was there no Indian available?

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

Mr. MONTAGU: No, for the very important reason that we desired to appoint one with knowledge of the working of an office. It was considered that the best appointment that could be made was from Great Britain and not from India.

Captain FABER: Has he any knowledge of India?

Mr. MONTAGU: So far as I am aware, not. Mr. Arnold will in future confine his attention to students in London. There are something like 800 of these at present, and the number will probably be increased. If he is to carry on the work with the same personal attention as he has done in the past, we want to limit his activities to the guardianship and care of Indian students in London. Mr. Mallet will organise and keep in touch with similar organisations to that in Cromwell Road and which are being founded with the same object and on the same lines as those which have been so successful in London. In no University town at present is there any real satisfactory organisation for looking after Indian students. We want at Oxford, Cambridge, the Scottish Universities and the provincial centres, where Indians congregate for study similar machinery to combat the sense of homelessness. Our hope is that each University which enrolls Indian students may be willing to appoint an officer who will make it his duty to know and to help all the Indian students there, to give them information and assistance, and even to act as

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

guardians. We believe that will be of great value to the University and of great value to the Empire. The Secretary of State is, of course, willing under the new scheme to assist financially such efforts. Mr. Mallet, will be in close relation to those local advisers and will help them in every way to organise their work and to induce others to co-operate with them and to assist them in communication with India. Communications are being carried on with the General Medical Council for more satisfactory regulations for Indian students who wish to study for the medical profession. Mr. Mallet will play an important part in this scheme. I wish to take this opportunity of expressing publicly the thanks of the Secretary of State for the courtesy and consideration with which these bodies have met his suggestions. I may add that there is no intention of abolishing the position of Indian assistant at Cromwell Road which was formerly held by Dr. Ray, and the selection of a successor to Dr. Ray is now under consideration. I come to another branch of this subject. Indian students in recent years have come over to this country for industrial and technical study. A few of them—about ten every year—come at the cost of the Indian Government. Others are sent by patriotic societies, and others come at their own expense. Some doubt was expressed as to the value of the training they get, and the Secre-

tary of State has appointed a committee to enquire into the matter, under the chairmanship of Sir Theodore Morison. The committee has not yet reported, but I understand all the members agree as to the importance of practical training. The university or technological school can teach science and its application to industry, but it cannot make a man an engineer, a tanner, or a manufacturer. He can only learn the industry by practical experience in a business concern which is run for profit, and I am afraid that Indian students find some difficulty in getting the practical experience which they need in a concern run for profit as a complement to their theoretical knowledge. Our Colleges and Universities are open to them on the same terms as to Englishmen, but in some industries at least they meet with great reluctance to admit them. This is a state of things which fills me with concern. India is going to develop great industries and her young men are going to learn how to direct them. It is not a development which we should want to prevent or could prevent if we wanted. If Indian students cannot learn from manufacturers here they will go to foreign countries for the purpose, and on their return to India they will send orders for machinery and equipment to those countries. That seems to me a matter of such great importance that I invite the attention to it of

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

members of the House who are interested in great industrial concerns.

MR. MACCALLUM SCOTT: Has the Government considered the adoption of the practice of the Japanese Government when giving out contracts of stipulating for a certain number of apprentices being employed?

MR. MONTAGU: I do not think that I can say anything about that, beyond that I am sure that it is one of the things which the Committee will consider. They have not yet made their Report. Then the question intrudes itself: Why do so many Indian students come to this country? And the explanation is largely to be found in the fact that we have not provided comparable facilities in their own country, and therefore compel them to come over, at whatever cost, to obtain the fullest opportunities for useful careers.

THE EDUCATIONAL PROBLEM.

And so I come to another important aspect of the question—the question of improved education in India. It is not an easy subject. In this country the bulk of the population is in large towns, where it is possible to equip schools which can always be supplied with a full contingent of pupils who can be trained with efficiency and economy of effort. But in India over 90 per cent. of the population live in villages, and most of them are very

small villages indeed. It is almost impossible to select a figure in connexion with this subject in India which is not almost startling. There are over 600,000 villages with less than 1,000 inhabitants, and these villages include more than half the total population. This distribution makes it enormously costly to bring educational facilities within the reach of every child of school-going age. In addition to this there is the distrust of parents, some of whom wish merely to train their children as retail petty traders, and consider that the primary school curriculum is superfluous. Some parents among the present population are unable to see that schooling does any good, while it certainly withdraws the children from helping to look after the cattle. The school committees who manage the public schools have been described in one province as "varying between enthusiasm, toleration and hostility." Sometimes we have the Western idea that schooling will raise the village boy above his station or make him unwilling to accept the old rate of wages. Much of the education given up to the present has been of an unpractical nature. The boy was for a few hours a day taken mentally out of the world in which he passed his life and taught by rote what were to him utterly useless facts, such as the names of British possessions in Africa. If you do not know whether Africa is a hundred or a

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

hundred thousand miles away from your village it is not of much interest to you to learn the exact political status of Sierra Leone. But, of course, it is much less troublesome to all parties concerned to teach a boy to learn by heart what a "cape" or a "bay" is than to go with him to the nearest stream or lake and show him in miniature exactly what the objects are. Then caste presents some difficulty. I do not want to overrate it, because I believe that among the castes and classes who can read freely caste prejudices bulk less largely than in the West. The description of a school in a Hindu village often reminds me of the description of a Scottish village school in the eighteenth century where the sons of the laird and the ploughman sat side by side and thought no harm of it. But when we reach the gulf which separates the higher castes from the depressed castes, whose touch is regarded as pollution, we find ourselves in very deep waters indeed, and the question of the depressed classes constitutes one of the very serious difficulties in the way of universal primary education.

THE SCARCITY OF TEACHERS.

There are very great difficulties also in connection with the supply of properly trained teachers. The market value of a primary school-

master, if he is technically qualified, but untrained—that is if he has certificates but has not passed through a normal school—may be as low as eight rupees per month. The average wage of a primary schoolmaster in 1907 was £6 13s. 4d. a year. The supply of qualified teachers for vernacular schools, even with increase of pay, is scanty at present. Any man who knows English is reluctant to become a purely vernacular teacher and prefers the Provincial Civil Service, which he finds far more lucrative than the Education Department. And when one reflects upon the enormous share taken by women teachers in this country and America in education in primary schools one realises the difficulty of getting sufficient teachers in a country where women teachers cannot be employed except for female education. Then, again, there is the question of inadequate buildings. We do not want elaborate buildings and furniture in schools in India, but in the case of schools under private management, which are three-fourths of the total number, it is the custom for classes to be held in verandahs lent for the purpose, or in the master's own dwelling house, or in any other place that can be obtained. I mention these difficulties only that the House may realise the magnitude of the task before us, but I do not think that the difficulties afford any excuse for apathy or indifference. On the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

contrary, they should only serve as an incentive to greater activity.

THE POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT.

The only question we have to decide now is the direction this activity should take. The House will have heard of the proposals associated with the name of that eminent Indian educationist, Mr. Gokhale, who has introduced a Bill for what I may describe shortly as free compulsory primary education on a permissive basis. What I mean by that is that the education is to be free and compulsory where under certain conditions the local authority choose to apply it. He estimates that the cost of his proposal will ultimately be about $3\frac{1}{2}$ million pounds. We are inclined to believe that that is a sanguine estimate. I hope Mr. Gokhale and those who sympathise with him will never misunderstand me when I urge a quality always irksome to self-sacrificing reformers like himself—the quality of patience. He thinks that primary education as it exists at present in India is sufficiently valuable to force it on the whole school-going population of India as early as possible. We do not. Universal and free education must come in India, as it has come in all other countries, but the time is not yet. I am confident that the Government of India has a policy dictated for the

present by the same hopes and aims as the hopes and aims of Mr. Gokhale's Bill which will produce for the moment a better result. We have no hostility towards the principles which inspire his Bill. We and he together are working for the same end, the breaking down of illiteracy in India. No one who knows anything about the matter can deny that his energy and his speeches have helped us to create the public opinion, without which our activity would be useless, but we believe that the greatest expansion of education can be secured not by making it free or compulsory at the present moment but by the improvement and the multiplication of the schools. In the Bombay Presidency it is roughly calculated that there are 100,000 children whose parents would willingly send them to school to-day if there were schools to send them to. And the same story is told about other provinces, where it has been demonstrated that the surest way of increasing the school attendance is to increase the number of schools. And with regard to compulsion, the case is even stronger. Compulsion really can only be worked where education is popular, and where, therefore, the need of putting compulsion into force would not show itself to the very large bulk of the population. There is not much use in applying it to resentful districts.

There is not much to be hoped from compulsion unless it is largely effective, and how much unrest and disturbance a really effective measure for making primary education compulsory would create it is not difficult to imagine. In the Native State of Baroda, where education has been made compulsory, the fines for non-attendance amount to 60,000 rupees per year. This figure gives an incidence per head of the population which is double the incidence of the fees charged in elementary schools in India. Yet what is the result? The percentage of literacy among the males in Baroda after five years of free and compulsory education is 17·5. In the adjacent British district of Broach, where education is neither free nor compulsory, the percentage of literacy is 27·4. I should like to read to the House the language of a leading Indian chief, the Raja of Rajpipla, a State in the Bombay Presidency. He is a progressive Chief, who takes a keen interest in his State, and has done much to advance education in it. He used only recently the following words :—“ Make primary education as free as you choose : add as many further inducements as you can but do not make it compulsory. In the case of the most advanced classes it is absolutely unnecessary, and would serve only to create irritation. In the case of the poor ‘ backward classes,’ it would inflict harm where good was meant,

would subject them to great harassment, would be positively cruel and unjust, and would be deeply though silently resented as such."

What is our alternative plan? We have already, I would point out, made a considerable step in the direction of free primary education. Primary schools for girls generally charge no fees. Primary education for boys is free in certain provinces. No fees are charged in the monastery schools of Burma. The sons of agriculturists in the Punjab and in certain districts of the United Provinces pay no fees. Primary education has been made free in the frontier provinces of Assam, Baluchistan and the North-West Frontier. There are arrangements in other provinces giving primary education without charge to backward sections of the community, with the result that from one-fifth to a third of the boys already receive free education. Let me tell the House something of the progress made in the last ten years. In 1901 Lord Curzon dealt with the subject with characteristic candour. He declared that "he could not be satisfied with a state of things in which four villages out of five are without a school, and three boys out of four grow up without education, and one girl in forty only attended school." During the last ten years there has been an increase of 22 per cent. in the number of schools and 44 per cent. in the number of scholars, and to-day

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

there are 4,500,000 boys and 866,000 girls receiving primary education in 120,000 schools. During the last four years there has been an increase of about 240,000 boys per annum attending school, but, while 15 per cent. of the population is of school-going age, of that population only 4 per cent. of the boys and 7 per cent. of the girls are at school. The educational grant of £330,000 a year announced at the Delhi Darbar is to be spent mainly on primary education and is but a prelude to a much more extensive programme. The programme which we hope to work up to in time is as follows:—We desire to increase the total number of primary schools by 90,000 or 75 per cent., and to double the school-going population. The cost of the new schools will be £25 each per year, and they will be placed in villages and other centres of population which are at present without schools. We are going to improve the existing schools, which now only cost about £10 a year; the cost of these will probably have to be doubled.

Lord RONALDSHAY: In what period?

Mr. MONTAGU: I cannot give the period. As I am going on to say, it must take some considerable time. But this is the programme which we propose at once to set ourselves to work on. We want to improve the teaching given in the schools, and

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

make it practical, popular and instructive, and for that purpose we have got to improve the teaching.

Sir J.D. REES : Up to what sort of grant would you work?

Mr. MONTAGU : The additional expenditure this year is £750,000. I cannot give figures for a longer period.

Mr. WYNDHAM : Is the balance of £1,000,000 of increased expenditure for education going to higher education?

Mr. MONTAGU : It includes both higher and primary education.

Mr. WYNDHAM : And is that comprised in the million?

Mr. MONTAGU : No; three quarters of a million is the amount this year, both for higher education and primary education. As I said, we must make the education attractive, and therefore we want a larger supply of better-equipped teachers. We hope to lay down the rule that they shall have passed at least the upper primary school standard, that there shall be at least one teacher to every 50 scholars, that the pay shall begin at at least 12 rupees a month, and that there shall be better prospects for teachers by grading and instituting a provident fund or pension system. These two items of improvement and extension will involve a very large expenditure, and the recurring expense of these schools will be by no means the only charge on the Indian Treasury. There must be heavy initial expenditure for buildings and equipment. More serious and more costly will be the training of the teachers which the schools will absorb. I

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

want to ask the House to remember that a considerable space of time must elapse before these hopes can be realised. The financial problems which these educational ideas involve are obvious to every one. What is not so clearly obvious is that, even if the money were now in hand, it could not immediately be spent. The Government of India is satisfied that, at the present moment, an increased salary would not bring forth any considerable increase of competent teachers. Trained men do not exist in sufficient numbers for the existing schools, and therefore the only way in which the problem can be dealt with is to call them into existence.

HIGHER EDUCATION.

Let us turn our attention for a moment to higher education. I want, if I may, to draw the attention of the House to the importance of this subject. May I venture on an analogy between the conditions of India to-day and of Europe in the Middle Ages? I do not want to press the parallel beyond this point, that we have a series of large countries, each with its own vernacular speech (or, perhaps, more than one vernacular), brought into an intellectual commonwealth by the use for purposes of higher education of a language which is not a native vernacular. Any Englishman, Frenchman

or German who proceeded in higher studies in the Middle Ages learnt to write, and to speak, Latin, the language of law, of science, and of politics. In India, to-day, the man who would serve the State in the higher departments, of law, or science, or politics must learn English. Of course the parallel breaks down at this point, because English is not to India, as Latin was to Europe, the language of religion. It is, as Latin was not, the language of business and international commerce. Further, English is a living tongue, whereas Latin was not then a live one. Possibly my comparison may seem fanciful, but I make it for this reason. Very few of us, I think, stop to consider what it really means when we find Indian gentlemen taking high honours at their English university, passing competitive examinations in this country, or making admirable speeches in the Legislative Councils. I would like to ask how many Oxford or Cambridge graduates capable of turning English literature into the most excellent Latin prose, how many cultured Englishmen who can read German with ease, would be prepared to learn higher mathematics and write mathematical or scientific theses in German, or to sit down in an examination room and answer questions on Indian history in Latin? How many of us would be prepared to conduct our debates in this Chamber in any foreign language which we were supposed to have

learned when we left school? That is precisely the achievement of many Indian gentlemen to-day. When we admit and deplore the manifest shortcomings of Indian secondary education, we forget that each of the pupils whom we so often hear of as being prepared by a process of cramming, has not only had to acquire the English language, which differs fundamentally from his own in structure, in spirit and in syntax, but has got to acquire all the other advanced knowledge through the medium of English. I think it is too often forgotten that this sort of thing is very typical in India, the sort of thing described by one member in a recent speech in the Viceroy's Council, a speech which, in point of form, might well serve as a model to many of us here, and in which he said: "That he received the elements of education sitting on the floor of the primary school, confronting a wooden board, covered with red powder, and with a piece of stick with which to write vernacular letters."

We propose in secondary education to extend our model schools where required, and not to replace private or aided schools, but to co-operate with them and set an example of standard. Only graduates will be employed as teachers. It is hoped to establish a graded service with salaries of from 40 to 400 rupees a month. We want to establish a school course complete in itself, with a curriculum

comparable to a school course on the modern side of an English public school, giving manual training and science teaching. There is to be an increased grant to privately-managed schools, and we want to provide proper hostel accommodation.

I come now to the Universities. Mr. Balfour posed the difficulty of the Indian University system with, if I may say so, admirable lucidity to the Congress of Universities. The words he used were these:—"How are you going to diminish the shock which the sudden invasion of a wholly alien learning must have on the cultured society of the East? A catastrophic change in the environment of an organism is sure to inflict great injury upon the organism, perhaps destroy it altogether. In the East we are compelled to be catastrophic. It is impossible to graft by a gradual process in the East what we have got by a gradual process in the West." And so we have the complaint that our Indian University teaching has undermined religions, has weakened the restraint of ancient customs, and has destroyed that reverence for authority which was one of the attributes of Indian character. How can we combat these things? We believe that the dangers of catastrophic change can be mitigated by adopting in India that part of the English system of education which has, so far as the Universities are con-

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

cerned, proved most successful in moulding character. Character is not trained by lectures or taught by text books. It forms but a small part of the work in the class-rooms. But it has arisen, as it were, accidentally, as a by-product of our residential schools and old Universities. Young men in their association together evolve certain rules of conduct which they impress on each other, and which we speak of as the tone or tradition of the school or college. There is evidence to show that in residential colleges in India, traditions comparable to those in our own public schools spring into existence and stamp their indelible impression upon the young men who go there. The formative influence of the residential college can be stimulated by the presence of English masters and professors who have been trained in the same system in their own country, and who know how much can be done by example and how little by homily. It is this side of University education which we propose to develop in India. We have allotted large grants for building hostels and boarding houses attached to colleges. We are finding money for libraries in connexion with the colleges, we desire to develop existing Universities by the creation of chairs in different branches of post-graduate research, and we propose to increase the aid to private colleges. The Universities of India

have hitherto been of a federal or affiliating type. At their first inception they were little more than boards constituted for the purpose of holding examinations, and for these examinations students were prepared at a great number of institutions scattered over a wide area. As the Universities were only examining boards they could only recognise merit shown in the examinations. The training of character and other valuable by-products of collegiate life could not be recognised or encouraged. Universities of this type came into existence in England in the last century, but after a short experience the type has been generally condemned, and the recent tendency has been for the federal University to be dissolved and for the constituent colleges to become independent Universities. It is upon such lines that the Government of India is directing the construction of the Indian Universities. The first step was taken in 1904, when the area within which each University could exercise the power of affiliation was demarcated. The next step will be to reduce the area over which each University exercises jurisdiction; but where a college is adequately staffed and equipped, and where it has shown a capacity to attract to itself students from a distance, that college will be elevated to the dignity of a University and will be given the power of conferring degrees upon the students who have been trained within its walls.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

Such Universities will be local and residential in the fullest sense of the term. They will, it is hoped, develop traditions of their own and become centres of learning. The Government of India have expressed a wish to create a University of this type in Dacca, and correspondence is passing between the Government of India and the Secretary of State upon giving a similar status to the college at Aligarh. It is probable that Universities of a similar type will shortly follow at Benares and Rangoon. (Hear, hear.)

THE DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION.

Then, of course, there must be side by side with this extension of liberal University education an increase of technical education. Technical education is to be developed. A technological institute at Cawnpore has been sanctioned in accordance with the recommendations of Sir John Hewett, who has done so much in the cause of technical education in India. I may say, generally, that technical education is to be advanced all over India. (Hear, hear.) This must serve as a summary of the educational efforts which the Government of India is making in all directions. I have attempted to show that we are extending our educational facilities in that country. We are making a courageous and sustained effort to break down illiteracy in primary

education. We are leading the way towards the recognition of a higher standard of efficiency in secondary education by the establishment of model Government schools. We are spending large sums upon the provision of well-equipped hostels attached both to schools and to colleges and promoting the growth of a healthy residential system. We are trying to mitigate the evils of wholesale examination by the contraction of the area over which each University enjoys jurisdiction, and to establish a new type of University which may develop into a genuine home of learning. (Hear, hear.) At the same time, we are developing industrial and technological education. I say confidently that that is a record of which any Government may be proud and a programme to which the House can confidently look forward. (Hear, hear.) If the educational ideal which we have in mind is realised we will have laid the foundation of a national system of education by a network of really valuable schools, colleges and Universities, so that facilities will be opened to Indians to qualify themselves in their own country for the highest positions in every walk in life.

OPPORTUNITY FOR INDIANS.

The problem before us when we have educated Indians is to give them the fullest opportunity in

the government of their own country to exercise advantages which they have acquired by training and by education. How are we going to remove avoidable disabilities under which Indians labour while promoting the efficiency of the public services generally? Those who desire reform in the Indian service will welcome the appointment by his Majesty of a Royal Commission of which the House has heard. There are many questions a solution of which is confidently asserted by some to be as confidently refuted by others, and which will never be properly solved until we have an authoritative pronouncement on them. I want to justify the appointment of this Royal Commission, but I want most carefully, in what follows, to avoid the expression of any opinion lest it might be considered to be opinion of the Government, upon whose behalf I speak this afternoon. Sir Charles Aitchison's Public Service Commission reported at the end of 1887, and final orders were published on its recommendation in 1891. Accepting, as I do, the supposition that those orders were the best possible orders that could have been passed at that time, he would be a bold man who would say, having regard to the development of India during the past twenty years, that there is now no necessity for any development of the system which owes its results to Sir Charles Aitchison's Commission. Many points remain, and some directly result

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

from the orders which were based upon that Commissioner's report which have given rise (it is not an exaggeration to say) to grave discontent inside and outside the services concerned.

First of all there is the Indian Civil Service. A competitive examination at the moment lays the way open for a choice between the home and the Indian Civil Service, and those who choose the Indian Civil Service have a year's probation at home before they go out to do the varied administrative, executive and judicial work, the success of which is, I think, the marvel of the whole world and a source of continued pride to the people of Great Britain. (Hear, hear.)

THE KEYNOTE OF BRITISH RULE.

The innate power of well-ordered administration and prompt, decisive action which seem to me to be the characteristic of the British race, and perhaps of no other, will never fail. But more than that is wanted—humanity, capacity to deal with men, statesmanship, and above all, that quality which is increasingly wanted as the keynote of British rule in India; sympathy. (Hear, hear.) The Indians with whom the young Indian civil servant comes into contact will be better educated, with a wider knowledge of other countries and of the world, as the years go by. As we improve our

system of education, and as we increase the capacity for the expression of popular opinion, and as Indians come over to this country, not only Government students, not only Indian princes, but zemindars and merchants, and travel in Europe, learning of England at its best and at its worst, it becomes all the more important that we should not risk any deterioration of our service, but that we should give to India, as we have undoubtedly done in the past, the very best material we can. It is obvious that to open both the home and Indian Civil Services to one examination gives us a wider choice, because it gives to the candidate a choice of profession when he passes the examination, but it will be for the Commission to consider how far nowadays it results in our getting only the leavings of the home Civil Service and how far, further, an examination which can admittedly be passed mainly by cramming is the best possible way of securing our Indian Civil servants. I do not know, and it would be improper for me to express an opinion, but this is for the Commission to consider, and there are many other questions which suggest themselves. Is the year's probation long enough? Is it spent to the best possible advantage under our present system? Do we get our young men at an age when they are too old to adapt themselves to the life they have

to lead, or, on the contrary, are they too young for the responsibilities which they have to bear? Ought not the training they receive to be supplemented by more intimate knowledge of our legal procedure in this country? Might not certain difficulties of our Indian judicial system be overcome by some such means as these?

Sir J. D. REES: Will this Commission deal with the manner in which Barrister Judges are appointed to the public service?

Mr. MONTAGU ignored the interruption and continued:—

THE POSITION OF INDIANS IN THE SERVICES.

Then again there is the position of Indians in the Civil Service. The door of the Indian Civil Service is at present only to be found in this country, and this is one of the reasons why Indians come over here. It has been suggested that the examination for the Indian Civil Service should be held here and simultaneously in India, or that, if another process is adopted for selecting civil servants, that the same process should be gone through in India as is gone through here. It has been answered that it would be impossible under such a system to ensure the same status and the same standard in India as we require here. And when the Service has been recruited is the door to promotion open as widely as possible to men of all races in the best possible way? Are

the rules of pay and of pensions suitable or incapable of improvement? Is it right that Indians should not subscribe to the family fund? Then there is the Indian Medical Service, which is only recruited in this country. Is the training which is possible for Indians in their own country of such value as to warrant us opening the door to the Indian Medical Service in India? Does the existence of an Indian Medical Service prevent the growth of an independent medical profession? Would it be right to open the doors of the Indian Civil Service and of the Indian Medical Service to subjects of feudatory States? All these problems present themselves again and again to those who have to do with administration in India.

We come then to the other Services. Roughly and generally, the Imperial Service is recruited in England and the Provincial Service is recruited in India. The Imperial Service has preserved for it the higher superior appointments, and the Provincial Service fills the higher subordinate appointments, while the lower Imperial appointments are filled partly from the Provincial Service and partly from the Imperial Service. The pay, leave and pension rules in each service have been fixed by a consideration of what is necessary to secure Europeans to serve away from their own country, and by what is necessary to secure Indians to serve in

their own country. The result is that the branch which is essentially European has better pay, better prospects, and more responsibility than the branch which is essentially Indian.

THE NECESSITY FOR THE EUROPEAN ELEMENT.

It does not necessarily by any means follow that these principles are wrong—that is for the Commission to decide. It is necessary to have a European element in almost all of the services. European officers must be given pay and prospects sufficient to induce them to join these services, and when good men have been trained and have been induced to join they must be placed in positions of responsibility adequate to their merits. It has been said, and again I express no opinion, that this has been achieved in a way which causes just discontent among Indians, that it is not achieved in the most appropriate way, and that our present system excludes desirable men and involves avoidable race distinction. In these Services where the Imperial branch is recruited by nomination, although Indians are not declared to be ineligible, although in one, the Public Works Department, provision has been made for giving a certain proportion of the appointments every year to India, the result of the system is that in almost all the Services Indians are shut out

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

from the more important and highly-paid posts. In the Education Service by recruiting the Imperial Service only in this country, only two Indians have been appointed in the last 15 years. In the Public Works Department, Lord Morley decided that 10 per cent. of the appointments should, if possible, be given to Indians each year. The result was that certain Indians were appointed to the Imperial Service who had failed to get into the Provincial Service. So the system results in either keeping Indians out of the higher branches of the service or appointing them with qualifications inferior to those required for the lower branch. And if the principle of maintaining appointments in this country only for Europeans is abandoned, it imposes a course of education in England on Indians who wish to attain high office in their own country. In all these services there is the question of pay, pension, leave, the present conditions of which will be familiar to students' of the subject, but which I dare not ask the House to listen to in detail now. Every service has its grievance. There is the Police, Forests, the Telegraphs, the Survey and the Education Service, the examination of which is all the more necessary having regard to the development of education which is going on. I do not want to give an enumeration which might be held to be exhaustive and I do

not want to suggest to the House that the services can be dealt with piecemeal. It is the question of principle we have to decide first, and the principle must be adjusted before the details can be settled.

THE NEW ROYAL COMMISSION.

The terms of reference to the Commission are as follows:—To examine and report on the following matters in connection with the Indian Civil Service and other Civil Services, Imperial and Provincial:—

1. The methods of recruitment and the system of training and probation. 2. The conditions of service, salary, leave and pension. 3. Such limitations as still exist in the employment of non-Europeans, and the working of the existing system of division of services into Imperial and Provincial; and generally to consider the requirements of the public service, and to recommend such changes as may seem expedient.

The members of the Commission are:—Chairman, Lord Islington, the present Governor of New Zealand; the Earl of Ronaldshay, M.P.; Sir Murray Hammick, of the Indian Civil Service, now acting as Governor of Madras; Sir Theodore Morison, member of the Council of India; Sir Valentine Chirol; Mr. F. G. Sly, member of the Indian Civil Service and Commissioner of Berar; Mr. Mahadeo Bhaskar Chaulbal, member of the Governor of Bombay's Executive Council; Mr. Gopal Krishna Gokhale,

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

member of the Viceroy's Legislative Council; Mr. W. C. Madge, member of the Viceroy's Legislative Council; Mr. Abdur Rahim, Judge of the Madras High Court; Mr. Ramsay MacDonald M.P.; and Mr. H. A. L. Fisher, Fellow and Tutor of New College, Oxford. (Cheers.) It only remains for me to ask the House to wish these gentlemen, who are so patriotically devoting themselves to a very difficult, arduous and lengthened investigation, all good fortune in their work. I am confident that the result of their deliberations must be of enormous importance to India and will lead to the improvement of the country. (Cheers.)

“EAST AND WEST”

Perhaps the House will permit me, in conclusion, to explain in a few general words what I think is to be drawn from what is happening in India. I have often said before, and I say now, that I can see nothing dangerous in the condition of India at all. Its revenue and its trade are expanding; it is being better equipped year by year to withstand the calamities of weather and of disease; its people are being better trained to play the part of citizens. We have given public opinion expression adequate to the present development of the nation. But, as I said last year, and I appeal now, India must be regarded more than ever as a progressive country,

and two warnings are necessary. The first is that you cannot now, even if you would, embark on a policy of reaction. The mighty mass in India is moving in response to our own stimulus, and to try and force it back into a condition of sleep, which would now be an unwilling sleep, and could only be achieved, if it could be achieved, by repression, would be calamitous blunder. The second warning which, in all humility, I would give is that, seeing that India is never the same to-day as it was yesterday, and will never be the same to-morrow as it is to-day, the man who relies on out-of-date knowledge, the man who expresses a confident opinion about India, based on knowledge however intimate, or on work however admirable, but a few years out of date, who prefaces his every remark with the words "indicus olim" is a man whose advice must not be accepted without question. (Laughter and Hear, hear.) If we are to do our duty by the enormous responsibility which we have undertaken we must move forward, however cautiously, accepting the results of our own acts and inspirations, keeping ourselves informed as intimately as we possibly can of the modern and changing aspects of the problem with which we have to deal. Nobody can possibly foretell what will be the eventual characteristic of the population we shall form in India; the India

which must be a heritage, not only of its Asiatic population alone, but also of that small handful of Europeans who have unified it giving it its trend, brought to it its traditions and its ideals, and which must be reckoned in its destinies. There is a trite quotation so often made that I hardly like to quote it now, that "East is East and West is West." Nobody wants to deny it: no living man would have it otherwise. But, as a great Bengali writer has laid it down, the East and West must meet "at the altar of humanity." And then they are meeting, not with clash or discord, but in harmony and amity. There need be no enmity to competition; the forces are not mutually destructive; they are mutually complementary. The quietism of the East is meeting the restless spirit of the West. Each has learnt much and has to learn much from the religion, the art and the philosophy of the other. The asceticism of the Oriental, the simplicity of his daily life and the modesty of his bodily needs are meeting with the love of material advancement, the striving after progress, the craving for the concrete and the love of realism which comes from the West. If I may use rather ornate language, the golden thread of Oriental idealism is being woven into the rather drab web of our scheme of life, and our science of government, which we have laboriously inherited and are handing down, is being

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

offered to the Oriental to teach him the road to progress. In other words, in India, East and West together, uniting and co-operating, are building, let us hope successfully, a lasting temple on their joint ideals. (Cheers.)

REPLY TO THE DEBATE.

Mr. MONTAGU, replying by the indulgence of the House, assured hon. members that the various points they had raised would receive attention. The decrease of £502,500 in the Army Estimates of India this year, to which Mr. Wyndham had alluded, was not due to any policy of retrenchment which was likely to jeopardise the defences of that Empire. An important feature of the decrease was the absence of the Durbar expenditure, which amounted to £373,000. It must also be borne in mind that a great portion of the expenses of the Abor and Mishmi Expeditions did not appear this year, but last year, on the estimates. The economies achieved were due to the very rigorous attention paid to the very minor details of expenditure. He had, however, forgotten, when speaking of the new Royal Commission, to mention that although it had got to enquire into very important services, those services were not represented on the body. It would be impossible to appoint a businesslike Commission if all the services to be enquired into

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

were represented on it, and the system which it was hoped the Commission would adopt was to co-opt, to sit with the body, one or two representatives of the Imperial and provincial branches of each service. They would represent their case, marshal the evidence, and present the case, but of course would have no word in the drawing up and signing of the final report. With regard to railway extension, there had been since the report of Sir James Mackay's Committee, such a depreciation in all gilt-edged securities that it was not always easy to raise large loans for expenditure even on such desirable objects as railways, and they had had, therefore, to modify their demands upon the London market in this respect. It was always difficult to decide as to expenditure upon the improvement of the existing railways, or upon new lines. Some advocated increasing the rolling stock and improving the lines and the stations of the existing lines. Others maintained that every available penny ought to be spent upon extension. The policy adopted by the Government was a compromise between the two. Mr. Ramsay MacDonald had raised the question of an independent audit. Theoretically that was an excellent thing, and it had so happened that by the last mail proposals had been made by the Government of India for alterations and additions to

the Audit Office in India, and the whole subject was now about to be re-considered. As to Tibet, our activities there were given by the Anglo-Russian Convention, and came within the sphere of the Foreign Secretary. On the subject of the projected Persian Railway, it was perfectly true, certain parts of the route ran through India, and therefore it would not be surprising if the Government of India were to see objection to that part of the line being constructed by an international group, and to consider that, if built at all, it should be built by India. The question of the gold standard reserve had been raised by Colonel Yate. New orders had been issued, the result of which would be that, whereas now the whole 17 millions was in securities, excepting one million which was in cash on short notice, the Government were going to allow the sum to increase until it reached the amount of 25 millions sterling. There would ultimately be a reserve of 25 millions, of which five millions would be in gold. In regard to the very large balances of the Government of India, he recognised it was a matter for comment that there was at the end of the year a balance in hand of £18,320,000. The balance of the Secretary of State in England was only part of the whole amount standing to the credit of the Indian Government. Last year the balance was exceptionally large owing to overesti-

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1912.

mating and under-spending in certain departments, and also to the great volume of trade done between England and India. The only object which the Secretary of State had in view was the facilitating of trade, which would be brought practically to a standstill if these bills were not issued. That was the explanation of a well-known economic and financial practice, and he hoped hon. members opposite would disabuse themselves of the idea that these balances were kept in England simply to oblige the money market. It was an indispensable factor of British Indian trade, and it would be difficult to imagine how that trade could be carried on without it.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

On the motion to go into Committee on the
East India Revenue Accounts

Mr. MONTAGU said:—

This is the fourth time that it has fallen to my lot to move that you do leave the chair in order that the East Indian Revenue Accounts for the year may be reported to the House. I can assure the House that as the years go by I approach this task with more and more diffidence. I am afraid that the temper of the House with regard to Indian matters has not altered very materially since Mr. Gladstone, in 1834, wrote a letter to his father on a speech which he had made on the University Bill. He said:—

“The House heard me with the utmost kindness, but they had been listening previously to an Indian discussion in which very few people took any interest, and the change of subject was no doubt felt as relief.”

VISIT TO INDIA.

Since I last stood at this box for this purpose, I have had the advantage of a prolonged journey in India. I make no apology for that tour, though I

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

do most sincerely apologise to the House for any inconvenience that my absence may have caused. After all, no one questions the wisdom of the first Lord of the Admiralty in journeying to see the ships under his charge, or of the Secretary of State for War in meeting and talking to soldiers, or of the President of the Local Government Board in inspecting work-houses, or of the Home Secretary in going to look at the prisons. I am convinced that I did right, when I had been longer in my office than any of my predecessors, with the exception of three or four, in going to see something of the country and of the people with whose welfare I was concerned. I promised the House that I shall not weary them this afternoon with an account of the opinions which I formed in India. I am here only to express the views of the Government which I represent.

I have the opportunity from day to day in my office of bringing to bear upon my daily work the information given to me in India, and it was not for the purpose of making speeches, but for the purpose of helping me in my share of the administration that I went out. I can only say that it would be almost impossible for me to forget the cordial assistance given by British and Indian officials and non-officials alike in my eager desire to find out what we could do to help them, and I shall endeavour to

prove my gratitude by helping to bring about, as time goes on, some of the many schemes of reform which were advocated to me abroad. I am certain that the majority of those whom I had the honour and pleasure of meeting were glad, at all events, to get an opportunity of meeting face to face and talking to an inmate of that very vague and indefinite authority which so often is the instrument of alterations in the conditions under which they live—the India Office.

When I mention the India Office, I want to say a word to the House about the changes which we contemplate in the organisation of the Office. I need only say a very few words, because a week ago my Noble Friend explained in another place exactly what was in his mind. To lay certain possible anxieties to rest, I want to say at once that there is not now, nor, so far as I am aware, has there ever been, any intention to abolish the Council of India. It is not even proposed to curtail any of their powers. And in order to lay to rest another rumour that has been circulated, I want to say emphatically that whatever be the exact final shape of the scheme, one unalterable factor in it is the presence of two Indian members on the Council. The whole scheme is one of domestic reform such as might be accomplished by any other Minister by a stroke of the pen without consulting anybody. But in the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

case of the India Office the minutest detail of which is statutorily prescribed, it will be necessary to come to Parliament for a Statute. We have a dual aim : to speed up and to simplify the slow and complicated procedure of the office, and to make the expert advice which the Secretary of State derives from his Council more up to date. Any body who is sufficiently interested will have read my Noble Friend's speech in another place, and it will not be necessary for me to go into details, but I do not think that there is anybody familiar with the procedure of the India Office who will deny—I cannot do better than use the words my Noble Friend quoted—that it is “intolerably cumbrous and dilatory.” With regard to the other part of the scheme, it is possible, under existing Statute, that a member of the Council may by the end of this time have been twelve years out of India. We propose to reduce that period, so far as possible, to about seven years. This may not appear very important to people here, but it is very keenly awaited in India. When, at the end of my tour, I read, in one of the leading Indian newspapers, an article commenting on my visit to India, an appeal to me to go home and do all I could to bear on the alteration of the Council, in order to bring about these results, so that the opinions it expressed and the advice it gave might be more up to date and more in accordance with

recent developments, it gave me great satisfaction to think that we had been considering such schemes for two years, and that they were very nearly ripe for announcement.

Leaving the India Office and coming to India itself, I propose this year, with the permission of this House, to introduce an innovation which I cannot but think will be welcome to those Hon. Members who, by their presence this afternoon, show their interest in India. I do so with some trepidation, because I am fully aware of the years of unbroken precedents behind me, and I do so by way of experiment. As the House is well aware, the financial statement made by the Financial Member of the Government of India, together with the debates on it in the Viceroy's Legislative Council, has already been circulated to the House in the form of a Blue Book, and this Blue Book has been supplemented by a White Paper containing what is known as the Under-Secretary of State's "Explanatory Memorandum." It has been usual for the Minister responsible for India in this House to superimpose upon this explanation a further explanation, amounting to nothing more than a copious analysis of the White Paper. This has occupied the first half of the Budget Speech of the year. The second part has been devoted to questions of general administration. When one

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

considers that this Debate is, in ordinary circumstances, the only opportunity in the year for the discussion of Indian affairs, and that only one night is given to it, I really think that no apology will be needed from me if I rely on the Explanatory Memorandum and say very little about finance this year. I should like to devote that portion of the valuable time of the House which I desire to usurp to the discussion of matters of general public interest in the administration which have not before been discussed.

These are the salient features of the Budget. There was last year, due mainly to the very large railway receipts and the high prices obtained for opium, a surplus of not less than nearly £8,000,000 over the Budget Estimate. This surplus is to be spent mainly on Grants to provincial Governments for education and sanitation and, with the surplus estimated for in the Budget, on the reduction and avoidance of debt. For this year, 1913-14, it has been considered prudent to estimate the railway receipts at a slightly less sum than last year, but the remarkable feature of the year is that this is the first Budget in which no receipts can be expected from the Indo-Chinese opium traffic. May I remind the House of what I said two years ago on this subject—in 1911? My words then were:—

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

" We must now definitely face the total loss, sooner or later, of revenue derived from opium sold for export to China... (but) the question whether the loss of opium revenue will involve fresh taxation is one which I hope no one will decide too hastily. The present financial strength of the Government of India, the growth of its resources and the growth of restriction of its expenditure, are all factors that have to be considered as the plans for each financial year are made."

My doubts whether the loss of the Chinese opium revenue would lead to the necessity for new taxation were, I believe, considered to be the index of a characterically too optimistic frame of mind, but in Indian matters, and on Indian finance especially, optimistic views have a way being justified by the event. In the present year the chief feature in the Budget Estimates is that, although the Estimate anticipated from the opium revenue is only £306,000 or £4,250,000 less than last year, yet without any increase of taxation, without any abandonment of necessary or desirable expenditure, and with, indeed, a very large provision for the two objects which the Government of India recognise as having a first claim on their resources, namely, the improvement of education and the spread of sanitation, we are estimating for a surplus of nearly £1,500,000.

This position is mainly due to one factor—the improvement in the earnings of the railways. For the last two generations successive Secretaries of State and Governments of India have used the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

resources and the credit of India to build up a railway system which has always been closely associated with the State, and has become more closely associated with it during the last generation. They have met with difficulties and discouragements of various kinds. In the early years there was a large annual loss which had to be made good from revenue. In later years, such has been the growth in the world of the demand for capital, there has been difficulty in obtaining the necessary capital, but they have persevered in spite of all, and the Budget of 1913-14, thanks to the growth of the railway revenue, enables them to make good a loss of £4,000,000 out of a total net revenue of less than £60,000, a rich reward for the work of many years. I think this story may be taken as a symptom of the marvellous possibilities of our Indian Empire, and as a lesson that bold Government enterprise in the direction of helping and exploiting her resources by developing her railways, or her irrigation works, or her wonderful forests, will lead to large national profit.

EDUCATION.

I wish to say a word next about education, a subject which always interests members of this House, at the Delhi Durbar, in December, 1911, it was announced that:—

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

"The Government of India has resolved to acknowledge the predominant claim of educational advancement on the resources of the Indian Empire,"

and that it was

"their firm intention to add to the Grant (made at the time of the Durbar) further Grants in future years on a generous scale."

In accordance with this declaration, last year and this year, a non-recurring Grant of £2,500,000 and a recurring Grant of £695,000 a year have been made for this purpose. The non-recurring Grant will be spent on capital requirements for schools (elementary and technical), colleges, and universities including the new universities which it is hoped to establish at Aligarh, Dacca, Patna and Rangoon. The recurring Grant will be spent on such matters as scholarships and stipends, educational Grants to local bodies, and the strengthening and improving of the inspection and teaching staff. It is perhaps worth while, in order to show the progress of educational outlay by the Government of India and provincial Governments, to compare the provision this year with the outlay of the three preceding years:—

In 1910-11 the actual net outlay was £1,662,607.

In 1911-12 it was £1,815,579.

In 1912-13 it was £2,370,600.

In 1913-14 the provision is £3,847,200.

An increase in three years of about 130 per cent.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

SANITATION.

The service which has the next strongest claim after education on the resources of the Government is Sanitation. This year and last year recurring Grants of £261,000 and non-recurring Grants of nearly £1,500,000 have been made, some of which may be used for research, but the bulk of which are intended for schemes of urban sanitation. Anyone familiar with the horrible slums in such cities as Bombay, and the marvellous effect on health of such work as is carried out by the Bombay Improvement Trust, will welcome this additional expenditure. In order that the House may have comparable figures to those which I have given for education as regards sanitation, I may say that the Budget Estimate of expenditure for sanitation under this head comes this year to nearly £2,000,000, showing an increase of 112 per cent. over the expenditure of three years ago. I am precluded from dealing with many things in the financial world which I should like to say something about, because we are now engaged, with the assistance of a strongly manned Royal Commission, under the presidency of the right Hon. Gentleman the Member for East Worcestershire (Mr. Austen Chamberlain), in exploring the system of finance with a view to seeing if a system which has not been revised for many years, and which has been partly inherited

from our predecessors, the old East India Trading Company, cannot be improved. Although it is one of the matters which is being investigated, there is one fact I wish to mention. From time to time proposals have been put forward, and have, I think, in theory, at any rate, found acceptance both here and in India for the establishment of a State bank. Such a bank would relieve the India Office of a very large amount of the commercial and financial work which it now does, and would, perhaps, find a solution of many of the difficulties which our critics have from time to time pointed out. The Secretary of State is of opinion that the time has now come for the re-consideration of the proposal for the establishment of a bank which would act as custodian for a large part of the Government balances, manage the paper currency, and take part in the sale of drafts on India for meeting the Secretary of State's requirements. The subject has been discussed in a Memorandum prepared by the Assistant Under-Secretary of the India Office (Mr. Abrahams), and the Secretary of State, without committing himself in any way upon the subject, has directed that Mr. Abrahams should present his Memorandum for the consideration of the Royal Commission, and he will welcome the consideration of it by the Royal Commission, as he thinks it clearly comes within its terms of reference.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

NICHOLSON COMMITTEE.

To leave finance and to come to the question of general administration, I should like to say one word about the Army, which is a subject which will play a part in the Budgets of the future. As the House is aware, a Committee has been sitting which has explored our military defences under the distinguished presidency of Field-Marshal Lord Nicholson. This Committee has reported to the Viceroy. I need hardly say that the report is a confidential document, comparable to the Reports on similar subjects drawn up by Sub-Committees of the Committee of Imperial Defence. It cannot be published, although I believe that this confidential document will lead to improvements in our Army of which the House may from time to time be interested to hear. But in order to dispose of hopes on the one hand and fears on the other, I want to state one general conclusion—that the expert Committee has proved that, although we may possibly get a better Army for the same money we are now spending, although we can possibly improve our defences without any extra expense, there is, I fear, no chance of any reduction in expenditure on either the British Army in India or the Indian Army. The most interesting new feature in the Army expenditure for this year is the amount set aside for the formation of a Central Flying School. At first sight, one would

be inclined to suppose that in a country where the conditions of wind and weather can, as a rule, be anticipated with certainty some time beforehand, the difficulties of flying would be much less than they are in this country. But I am informed by experts that the extremes of heat and cold, the variations of temperature, and the differences of radiation over cultivated and desert areas give rise to new difficulties. The type of machine best suited for India has yet to be ascertained, and, in order to avoid any unnecessary risks to our flying officers, we must discover to what extent heat and moisture, and especially the combination of the two, may affect the materials which have been found most useful in the manufacture of aeroplanes in this country. We, therefore, propose to start the Flying School on a very modest basis, and to confine the work in the first instance to experiments and not to include the tuition of beginners. It is intended to begin with four officers, all of whom are in possession of pilot certificates. They will be provided with six aeroplanes for experimental purposes. The school will be situated at Sitapur in the United Provinces, where there is a large number of Government buildings, which are now unoccupied, which were formerly British Infantry barracks, but which, I am told, are very suitable for our purpose. The total Estimate for this year is about £20,000.

Turning to foreign affairs, I have very little to say. Last year was free from any serious disturbance on the North-West Frontier, though there was no intermission of minor raids, chiefly due to the presence of outlaws in the Afghan Border Districts of Khost. In March, 1912, the Mullah Powindah made a deliberate and almost successful attempt to embroil the Mashuds against the Government, and for some time it looked as if drastic military action would be necessary. Fortunately, a demonstration of force was sufficient to rally the friendly tribes to our side, fines were levied and paid, and order restored. Save for a disturbance this year in the Tochi, which might have been serious but fortunately remained isolated, these were the only two incidents on the North-West Frontier. The rapidity with which they were dealt with is proof that Sir George Keppel and his officers have not only been successful in keeping the troubled borderland tranquil, but in making great educational progress on the North-West Frontier. On the North-East Frontier complete peace has reigned. Various survey parties which visited the tribal country were very well received, and arrangements are being made for the tribes to visit the plains for commercial purposes and to do so unhindered. As regards Tibet, I need not say anything here this afternoon, because my Noble Friend Lord Morley made a statement upon the subject last week in

another place. At the present moment the Government of India have invited the Tibetan and Chinese Governments to send representatives to Simla to confer on the subject of Tibet's future relations to China. At this conference the protagonists will be the Chinese and Tibetan delegates, for we desire, if possible, that they should settle their differences between themselves. His Majesty's Government have no interest whatever in the internal affairs of Tibet. All that we desire is to preserve peaceful relations between neighbouring States and to see that order is maintained on the Indian Frontier from Kashmir to Burma. These are very important interests, and His Majesty's Government cannot permit them to be endangered, directly or indirectly, by the Chinese. They are, therefore, not only concerned in bringing about a settlement between China and Tibet, but are bound to see that that settlement secures that there will be no repetition of the events of the last five years. I may mention that the Russian Government have been fully apprised of the action and intentions of His Majesty's Government, and have expressed their goodwill.

The only other foreign matter with which I need deal is to say that the Central Indian Horse, which went in 1911 to Shiraz, has been withdrawn. The Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs has instructed the Consul-General at Bushire to convey to

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

Colonel Douglas and the regiment under his command his sincere congratulations that their most arduous duties in Persia have been brought to a conclusion. The tact and self-restraint which has been displayed by all ranks under trying conditions for the past one and a half years have been highly appreciated. I am sure the House would wish to endorse this tribute to men who have worked for some time in very trying circumstances. The Foreign Department of the Government of India not only deals with Foreign Affairs, such as those to which I have referred, but, what I think is nowadays an anomaly, with the affairs of Native States. We are not often concerned in this House with the affairs of Native States, though the territories which are described under that name and their rulers loom large in Indian affairs to-day, and will loom larger as time goes on. They are not merely places to be visited by tourists who wish to see interesting places and old buildings, to study ancient customs, or to indulge in sport. Those who visit them can gain many an opportunity of political speculation and instruction by observing their widely diverging political, racial and social conditions. However marked is the influence of Western education in India generally, nowhere is it more markedly to be seen than in the Native States, where the rulers of the present generation vie with one another

in improving the condition of their administration and their reputation for efficient Government. Consequently, in the last twenty years, there has been a great development in all the affairs of the States—in finance and administration, in railways, irrigation and education—and this advance brings with it the necessity for modernising our methods of dealing with the affairs of the Native States, where we are concerned with them. I need hardly say that in the majority of cases in their internal affairs we do not interfere.

NATIVE STATES.

At the present time the links in the official chain between the Native States and the Viceroy are the Resident or political Agent—in Rajputana and Central India, the Agent to the Governor-General; then the Deputy-Secretary in the Foreign Department, who deals with internal affairs, then the Foreign Secretary and then the Viceroy. The Foreign Secretary is already overburdened with work. He has to deal with an increasingly delicate sphere of operations all along the Indian borders. It is quite impossible for any one man at the same time to cope satisfactorily with the affairs of the Native States. The Government of India have, therefore, now proposed, and their proposal is being considered by the Secretary of State, that a separate

Secretary should be appointed for the affairs of Native States. He will bear the title of political Secretary, he will have all the rights and privileges of a Secretary to the Government of India, and he will have in his Department a branch of the present Foreign Office to deal with internal affairs. The change can be brought about at very little cost and will, I am quite sure, be acceptable to the Chiefs, as tending to the quicker discharge of business and to a more thorough and more personal representation of their problems to the Viceroy. In addition, too, the Conferences which are to be held from time to time at Delhi or Simla, to which ruling princes will be invited will give them opportunities of meeting one another and of discussing alterations of custom, of practice, or of rule. That will be a very valuable procedure. There was a Conference held at Delhi this year on education in the Native States, and the success which attended that Conference augurs well for the future.

Coming to British India, I know that is very difficult to make a choice of the subjects which those Hon. Members who are interested in India will agree with me, are ripening, but I have tried, without any attempt to avoid anything of difficulty to choose the three things which I think are most pressing. I need only say that if the House will be good enough to allow me

to reply at the end of the discussion, I shall be only too glad to give any information on any other subjects that I can. The first subject with which I wish to deal is that concerned with the relations between the religions and races of India. The second, is the problem connected with the maintenance of law and order, and, third, those service questions with which the Public Services Commission is now dealing. I said something about the relations between the Mussulman and Hindu some years ago. I think it is possible to say something more to-day, because it is difficult for Indian national ideals to take any intelligible or any satisfactory form so long as the great Mussulman community stands apart from the rest of the Indian population. I am confident of the future. I believe that the Indian peoples of all races know full well to-day that the desire and the intention of the Government communicated to all its officers and understood by them, is that there should be complete harmony between all the races there. The maxim *divide et impera*—one of the most dangerous maxims—has no place in our textbook of statesmanship. I can state emphatically that if the leaders of the Mussulman and Hindu communities could meet and settle amongst themselves some of the questions which from time to time arise out of and foster differences of opinion and of tradition they would find ready co-operation from

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

the Government. I found in India that one of the outstanding causes of trouble between the Mussulmans and the Hindus was the problem of special representation for the Mussulmans on legislative and municipal bodies. Another was the difficulty of obtaining for the relatively backward Mussulman youth full share of Government employment. On the first question, I believe, it is recognised by all parties that the Government is committed to the principle of special representation. If the Hindu community who understand this and the Mahomedans were to accede to the request of the Hindus for special representation too, I believe, by agreement between the parties, we could arrive at a basis for the modification of the present rules to suit them both, but the Government has to await that agreement before any move can be made. However, the divergence between these two people is very marked. Hinduism is self-contained, and so far as events outside India attract their attention at all, it is due to an ordinary interest in the politics of the world, consequent upon the spread of education and the improvement in means of communication. So while the mutual relations of Europe and Asia are interesting to the Hindu generally, the Indian Mussulmans, members of a religious community which for generations have exercised a marked effect upon the politics of the three Continents, are naturally

interested in the welfare and importance of Islam as a whole, and despite the neutrality of this country, despite our refusal to take part in these affairs, I think this House will sympathise with the fact that the Mussulmans of India have been, and must be, deeply stirred by misfortunes which have come to their co-religionists in Persia, in North Africa and in the Balkans.

Amid these misfortunes educated Mussulmans are I think, keenly conscious that there was a time when Islam was not only abreast of the general culture of the rest of Europe, but, through its scholars and men of science, took a leading part in the development and learning in Europe. They contrast the conditions of Morocco to-day with the history of the Moors in Spain. They remember that under Akbar and his immediate successors they were not only prominent in politics, but led the Eastern world for a brilliant period in arms, in letters, in art and in architecture. I think the Indian Mussulmans realise that they have, as a whole, too long neglected the educational opportunities that the British Government wish to offer as freely to them as to the Hindus, with the result that in those spheres of public employment, the doors of which are opened by Western education, they have not attained a position proportional either to their achievements in the past or to the numbers at present. They see some of their

eminent men in high places. There is a Mussulman who is a member of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council; another sits upon the Council of the Secretary of State for India; a third is legal member of the Viceroy's Council, and many of them occupy important judicial and administrative positions. These examples are indications, if indications were needed, that there is no sort or kind of discrimination against their creed or their race. The Mussulmans themselves have only to utilise the opportunities that already exist, and there has been considerable progress in the last ten years. During that time the number of Mussulmans at the elementary schools has increased by 50 per cent. and during the last few years the number of Mahomedan students in higher institutions has increased by 80 per cent. The scheme for raising the Mussulman Anglo-Oriental College at Aligarh to the status of an independent university has been delayed, among other reasons, by the generous contributions which have been given to the Red Crescent fund in Turkey. The Government of India has recently called the attention of the local Governments to the necessity for increased facilities for Mahomedan education in more modest ways. A community that has once lagged behind in education has more difficulty than in almost any other sphere in making up leeway. All educated Indians must recognise that it would

be disastrous to India if divisions of the population, due to religious or historical causes, were to coincide permanently with a difference of intellectual level, and if 57,000,000 of people who include the rulers of great States, land-holders, merchants, some of the most vigorous and martial elements in the Indian Empire, were to remain outside the forces which are moulding the India of the future. I think we may be sure that such arrangements as local Governments can make for the encouragement of the Mussulman pupils by scholarships and by special courses, will be welcomed by the best elements in all the other communities.

DACCA UNIVERSITY PROPOSAL.

As regards higher education, I should like to call attention to the scheme for the proposed new University at Dacca, which has been framed by a Committee. We have not yet received any definite proposals from the Government of India. There are certain points which require consideration, but the presentment of this scheme opens a new chapter in higher education in India. Existing Indian Universities have been formed on the model of the London University although the Indian Universities Act of 1904 has, in measure, modified this conception. The Universities of Calcutta and Bombay are, it is true, now developing

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

post graduate teaching ; but the old Indian University is an examining body affiliating remote colleges which they control to a certain extent, but do not teach. The new University at Dacca will have eleven constituent colleges, all at Dacca, all residential, and it will be somewhat similar to the Old Universities of Oxford and Cambridge in this country. That is the novel and important point of scheme. It is to serve as a model for Indian Universities in the future. The University at Aligarh and the University at Dacca will consist of one or more colleges, all local, in which the pupils will reside, and in which it is hoped that we shall obtain something like the best features of English University life. I mention Dacca in connection with Mahomedan education not because it is to be a Mahomedan University, but because it is situated in the centre of a rather backward Mahomedan community, and therefore will offer to the Mussulmans the best opportunity of university education that they have yet had.

I should like to say a word about the other education progress of the Government. They have issued this year a resolution which declares their policy and makes announcements something on the lines of those which I was privileged to make this time last year. It clears up some misconceptions. We intend to rely, as we have relied in the past, on

private enterprise for secondary education. It is difficult to exaggerate the debt that we owe to private enterprise in teaching in India. One can see on all hands the marvellous work done by the missionaries. I am not now talking about any efforts at conversion. I am talking of the real educational work which they achieve in virtue of the inspiration which they derive from their religion. Mr. Tyndall Biscoe's school in Srinagar has done marvellous work for Kashmir. The Anglo-Vedic Arya-Samaj School at Lahore is another example of private enterprise and in a sense the Brahma Samaj is a missionary body. The Christian College at Madras, the Oxford and Cambridge Hostel at Allahabad, St. Xaviers College at Bombay, and the Salvation Army work among the criminal tribes—all this private education is of a kind which, assisted by Government inspection, recognition and control, by the very energy and influence of their teachers, has accomplished wonderful work in the development of India and everything in India, but particularly education, depends upon the personality and human influence in enlivening and interesting the peoples. I think we are alive, too, to the importance of making education in India something different from the process merely of teaching Indians enough English to enable them to obtain, or fail to obtain, a B.A. degree. The Resolution which I am referring to

THE INDIAN BUDGET--1913.

draws attention to three matters in which education in the past has been imperfect, the formation of character, sound hygiene in the schools and colleges, and the improvement of the teaching and study of Oriental languages. The first Grant of the old East India Trading Company of 1813 was chiefly for the encouragement of literature. I am afraid we have lagged rather behind since then, but the project for establishing a central Oriental Institution in India and an Oriental College here in London, will remove from us the reproach that we have lagged behind Germany and France in our treatment of Oriental learning. The Resolution concluded with an appeal for the co-operation of the Indian people. We cannot have education in the true sense from without. Millions of apt pupils engrossed in codes and schemes drawn up by Europeans will not suffice of themselves to make an educated people.

I come to the second of my subjects, the question of law and order. I think it may generally be said that peace reigns in India. The legislative Councils with their opportunities for discussion, the great progress that has been made during the last few years, the evidence that we are considering all outstanding questions, these have their effect, but I cannot paint a rosy picture without saying a word about certain disquieting features. I am bound to

express the view that all is not well with Bengal. The elaborate rules and the diverging procedure in all the provinces which have for their object the fixing of rent or revenue due by land-holders to the Government or from tenants to the Zemindars or land-lords, are absorbingly interesting to any student of Indian agriculture. I am not sure that they are not in some cases perhaps over-elaborate and over-irksome, but no one can study them without being impressed by the fact of the relentless efforts with which land records, unequalled in the world, are kept, and by the help of these records justice and equity between the States and the land-holder on the one hand, and between the land-holder and his tenants on the other are meted out. This elaborate system of rent and revenue administration has incidental advantages in bringing together the rulers and the ruled. It gives infinite opportunity for knowledge of the condition of the peasant, and occasion upon which to foster village life and agricultural co-operation—which, as I have described before to the House, is making such wonderful strides in India—and for understanding and appreciating the character and the habits of the people.

LAND SETTLEMENT SYSTEM.

In Bengal, the permanent land settlement and the absence of continuous land records have together

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

resulted incidentally in one tremendous disadvantage that these opportunities for close relationship between the people and the administration have been limited, with the result of estrangement and a reliance, not on the revenue officer, but on the police for the link between the people and the Executive. The problem in Bengal is, then, to devise some remedy for this state of affairs by perfecting the machinery of local Government, and on the other hand, improving the police. All these matters are engaging the attention of the Government, and I have only stated them because it will enable the House of Commons to realise the sort of problem with which we have to deal. The House hears from time to time about dacoity in Bengal. In the year 1912 there were fourteen cases of dacoity, or attempted dacoity, by armed gangs in Eastern Bengal in the quest of money or of weapons, and in December a large quantity of arms and ammunition was discovered in a house in Dacca, in which also were found many articles of jewellery looted on some of these occasions. The peculiar feature about these crimes is that they have nearly always been brought home to a class which, outside Bengal, is very law-abiding—the young men of the more or less educated middle class, sons of respectable parents. There are not many of them—an infinitesimally small number when thinking of the

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

population of India, but gangs of a dozen or fifteen young men of respectable parents cannot engage in these exercises without attracting the notice of their neighbours. A head constable was murdered in the streets of Dacca last December by three young men armed with revolvers who were seen by many passersby. We must rely in our effort to correct these things upon the co-operation of the people. But it must be remembered that in Eastern Bengal the communications consist almost entirely of waterways, and crimes of violence are difficult to guard against and hard to detect. An enormous area of country, full of small isolated villages, intersected with rivers and courses must always offer an easy field to daring criminals and present great obstacles to the police.

MEASURES TO DEAL WITH DACOITY.

There was a remarkable case in 1908, when about thirty young Bengalis were able to travel for many miles with the loot obtained by robbery in broad day-light, meeting no police and encountering little resistance from villagers, though they murdered four men, and that led to an investigation of the position. It was then found that the average of police stations, excluding outposts, was one to every 400 square miles. It is all very well to talk about the co-operation of the people, but you cannot

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

expect villagers to travel great distances, leaving their agricultural pursuits and leaving their homes and women unprotected, in order to go and help the police. The situation is being faced, the police are being strengthened and reorganised, and a system of river patrols is being established. The first step is necessarily to cope with existing crime. The larger problem is to prevent the recruiting of criminals in the future. So far as prevention goes, the Bengal Government are engaged in a comprehensive and carefully devised scheme, including, besides the measures I have described, a reorganisation of the village chaukidars and police. But the permanent problem is the cure of the conditions which made these crimes possible, and here we are face to face with economic and educational problems of great complexity. The development of the industrial resources of the province, the improvement of education on lines which will enable young men to earn a living in practical pursuits, instead of turning out educational failures who find themselves divorced from the humble callings which their fathers followed, endowed with just enough book learning to make them bad politicians, yet far too little to enable them to live by any liberal profession—these are the real problems of the future in Bengal, and their solution must be at best, slow.

CHARACTER AND SERVICE OF POLICE.

In the meantime it is plainly the duty of the State to protect the law-abiding, to give confidence to the timid, and to deal so energetically with crimes of violence that public confidence may be restored in the ability of the Government to give protection to a population which has no natural sympathy with crime, but which has too often found that the dacoit can strike harder and quicker than the Government. One necessary step is to improve the police. The attention of the House is from time to time called, quite justifiably, to cases in which Indian constables have abused their powers. I only want to pause for a moment before saying a word on this well-worn theme, to regret that no members of that force, except its few bad characters, are ever heard of by the public in this country and I should like to draw attention to the splendid material we have in the English officers and those under their charge. I have been looking at the most recent rewards and I wish to tell the House of some of them. I find that three recipients of the King's Police Medal risked their lives to save helpless people from drowning, while five awards were made to two superior officers and three constables on the occasion of a fire and explosion in the laboratory of the Delhi Fort. Twenty-five live shells were known to be in

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

the burning building when a superintendent and three constables mounted an adjoining wall, and for two hours played the hose on the fire, until their comrades succeeded in getting into the building and removing the shells. I find that a Calcutta constable unarmed, captured an armed burglar after he had just killed another constable. A Punjab constable, who had saved two women from drowning at the risk of his life, came to the rescue of a comrade felled to the ground by four criminals. Two constables in the United Provinces attacked a band of twenty armed robbers, wounding and capturing one, and putting the rest to flight. A sub-inspector in Madras, unarmed saved a magistrate from an angry mob during a religious disturbance. A European inspector in Behar saved two Indian women from a burning house at the risk of his life. I have taken these from different provinces, and all from the one year's record, because I wish the House to realise what good material we have in the Indian police. I hope that the recital of such cases may raise a desire on the part of some of my fellow Members, who are laudably anxious to eradicate torture and practices of that kind from the Indian police, to encourage merit by seeking information also as to the other side of the shield.

In Bengal, within three years, no less than five Indian police officers have been murdered by political assassins, and one has been severely wounded. We punish severely any constable whom we can detect in abuse of his power. Facts are notified by way of warning to all members of the force. We must to complete the process, say a word of recognition and sympathy for the members of the force who have lost their lives in the fearless performance of their duty, and amid difficulties which I think are not always sufficiently appreciated by the House. May I add that, although we propose to relax no effort in improving the condition of the police and their character, we cannot see our way to doing what some Members of this House would have us to do—abolishing a record of confessions prior to trial. We have two duties, one is to avoid and to prevent torture, as I believe we are increasingly successful in doing, but we are not justified in hampering ourselves against the other side of our duty—the punishment of crime and the protection of law-abiding citizens—by action which, as the House will see when the papers are published, is opposed by all the local Governments, and nearly every Court of law throughout the country. I have said before, and I say again, that the prohibition of confessions would not prevent the risk of ill-treatment

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

of accused persons by constables. It would not prevent the ill-treatment of witnesses in hopes of discovering clues of stolen property. However, we can, I think, perfect our precautions to ensure that confessions are really voluntary and carefully recorded.

I should like to read to the House some of the measures which the Government of India propose to adopt. These proposals are still under the consideration of the Secretary of State, and I am able to say that he will be only too glad of the co-operation of any Hon. Member of this House in suggesting further reforms for consideration by the Government of India. The police are to be forbidden to interrogate accused, if remanded, without the permission of the Magistrate. Instructions will be given that a remand of a confessing prisoner to police custody should only be granted if the police could show good and satisfactory grounds, and only by magistrates who have first-class or second-class powers under the Criminal Procedure Code. Where the object of the remand is verification of prisoner's statement, he is to be remanded to the charge of the magistrate, and the remand should be as short as possible. When a prisoner has been produced to make a confession, and has declined to do so, he is in no circumstances to be

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

remanded to police custody. The recording of confessions is to be limited to special divisional magistrates and magistrates of the first class, or, if especially empowered, of the second-class. An effort will be made not to record a confession without the orders of the District Superintendent of Police, or until the accused has had some hours out of police custody. The police are not to be present when confession is recorded, and ordinarily a confession shall be recorded in open Court, and during Court hours, and a magistrate recording a confession shall endeavour to ascertain the exact circumstances in which confession was made, and shall record on the Record the statement of the grounds on which he believes the confession genuine, and the precautions taken to remove accused from the custody of the police.

MR. MACCALLUM SCOTT:—The Hon. Gentleman used some words which I do not quite understand. Will he kindly explain what is meant by the words “remanded to make a confession.”

Mr. MONTAGU:—I am very sorry if I did not make the statement quite clear. I did not say, “remanded to make a confession.” What I said was: “When a prisoner has been produced to make a confession, and has declined to do so, he is in no circumstances to be remanded to police custody.”

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

MR. MACCALLUM Scott: May I ask what is meant by "produced to make confession?"

MR. MONTAGU: When he is produced in Court for the purpose of making a confession, and he declines to do it, he is not to go back to the custody of the police who produced him. I wish to say one word about the Delhi outrage. A bomb was thrown in daylight, the Viceroy was severely wounded, and two men were killed. The assassin got clear away and has not yet been caught. That is the story, and I want to say how it was possible for such a plot to be matured without any inkling of it reaching the authorities, why the actual attempt was not frustrated, and how it is that the criminals have not been detected. If there is an active organization, however small in number, however abhorrent to the general sense of the people, an organization including men competent to manufacture effective bombs, and men willing to take the risk of throwing them, and if that organization is in the hands of men who can keep their secrets and confine their knowledge of particular plots to a very narrow circle, then carefully thought-out plans could be prepared and no Government in the world can guard against them, except by such a network of surveillance and of espionage as would be absolutely intolerable. Even so, history has not shown that Governments who were ready to subordinate their main

business to a policy of intense suspicion have thereby succeeded in preventing political murder, and State occasions which draw immense crowds may draw, too, persons secretly armed with explosives and ready to use them. There are certain precautions which are not only possible, but which it is the clear duty of the police or authorities to take. They include careful arrangements for the regulations of traffic, the presence of troops and police, a knowledge of the occupants of houses along the route; and the ascertaining whether strangers of known bad character have come to the place. The judgment of the Government of India, after the most careful inquiry, is that there was no failure on the part of the local authorities or the police to carry out these duties. There was no reason whatever to suspect that such a crime would occur, or that the arrangements made to guard against crime were not thoroughly adequate. Lord Hardinge said in the moving speech with which, while still suffering from his wounds, he opened the first Session of the Legislative Council in Delhi, one of the most moving occasions at which I was ever privileged to be present.

“In my desire for kindly intercourse with the people and accessibility to them, I have always discouraged excessive precautions, and I trust myself and Lady Hardinge more to the care of the people than to that of the police.”

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

I think we owe to this fact, and to the splendid courage with which the Viceroy and Lady Hardinge acted throughout—(cheers)—the magnificent display of sympathy with them and the abhorrence with which the crime was treated throughout India. Had His Excellency desired, further precautions would have been taken. When a procession moves through a city of flat-topped houses, it is possible by posting men practically to garrison the roofs, but this would not prevent the throwing of a bomb. There are assassins who will kill even with the certain knowledge that they cannot escape. The building from which the bomb was thrown is really a collection of houses built round a courtyard, a warren of passages and staircases with over a dozen means of access to the adjoining buildings and streets, and so the assassin got clear away. The fact that the assassin got away does not mean that the police have been idle and that there is no hope of ultimately bringing him to justice.

“INDIA ABHORS THE CRIME.”

But this crime is not an outcome of a wide national movement. The fact that a lot of irreconcilables, enemies of authority, can effect political murder is not confined to India. There have been times and countries in which the deliberate opinion of the people was opposed to

the Government and in which political murder is the extreme manifestation of a sentiment which, in its milder form, the mass of the people shares. In such cases as the detection of a political crime is, as a rule, not difficult, for the existence of conspiracies is no secret to the people at large. In those circumstances a particular crime can be detected and punished without affecting the general situation. A situation of this kind differs radically from the present situation in India. A spontaneous expression of horror came from all classes and all creeds from one end of India to the other wholly apart from any difference of political opinion. The splendid thanksgivings for the recovery of the Viceroy constitute one of the most striking things in the history of our Indian Empire. A closer association of leading Indians in the Government of the country has precluded all possibility that an attempt on the life of the Viceroy, the President of the enlarged Legislative Council, in which speeches of sympathy and dismay of such striking eloquence and sincerity were made, can be the act of a politician nationalist.

India abhors the crime, and I think Indians have reflected sadly that its occurrence casts an unmerited strain upon the reputation of their country. Lord Hardinge declared at once that he would pursue unfalteringly the policy which he had

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

followed hitherto. There is no question of withdrawing from innocent millions the measure which we have thought it right to take, merely because in India, as in a dozen other countries, terrorists have committed a crime which could, by no possible means, have brought one single national aspiration nearer fulfilment. (Cheers.) The good name of India has suffered very unjustly, and the position of our Indian fellow-subjects in other parts of the Empire, difficult enough already in many ways, has not been made easier by the Delhi bomb. The outrage provoked a genuine outburst of indignation from severe critics of our Government as well as from those who are more generally in sympathy with us. I want to draw attention to the words of one Indian member of the Council in a recent debate, who said :—

“ I fully share the feeling of shame, but I ask myself, ' Have I been able to help the Government or those responsible for the administration of the country to get rid of these people? Though these outrages are committed against my own countrymen, my kith and kin, what have I done? That is the real thing.' ”

This question, I think, shows a feeling of personal responsibility which is new, behind a feeling of loyalty which is not new and this feeling of responsibility is one of the greatest needs, as it is one of the most hopeful signs, in the India of today.

PUBLIC SERVICES COMMISSION.

I come to my last subject, the Royal Commission which is now sitting. I think that I can describe the year of which I have been speaking as the year of deliberation. It has marked out, as it were, a halt after a period of advance. The last March, the march of the Morley-Minto Report, covered a vast tract of unconquered and valuable territory, and we are now halting to consolidate our recent conquest while reconnoitring parties are being sent out to spy out the land that lies before us. To two of our pioneers I have already referred, the Royal Commission presided over by the right Hon. Gentleman, the member for East Worcestershire, and the Military Committee which has sat under Field Marshal Lord Nicholson. The third is the Public Services Commission, Lord Islington's Commission, now sitting in London, and soon to go back again to India, where it has already sat during the last cold weather. The Commission has conducted its inquiry under conditions of great difficulty. It has been subjected to misunderstanding, based on imperfect reports of its proceeding and often to slander. I want to say that the Government appreciates the determination and assiduity with which it is pursuing its labour, and the Government is confident that when its Report issues we shall have the

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

basis of many desirable alterations in our system, the material for another march forward. I do not want to say one word which would prejudge its conclusions, but I do want to say that we cannot go on governing India with a dissatisfied public service, and there is evidence that the recruiting sergeant is hampered by the evil reports which are brought home from India at this moment.

At the risk of once again stating a platitude I will say that unless you can get the best men, selected by the most suitable tests, animated by the highest traditions, proceeding—this is the important point—to India confident of their choice of a permanent career and of the good-will of and fair treatment by the British people in whose name they are going to administer you will lose, and you will deserve to lose, the hold of the British people upon the affection of the Indian people. In saying that I am not referring for one moment to those few, very few, Civil servants who regret the good old days when they were sent out to govern the people, who were content to be governed, and lament the fact that they have now to co-operate with the people and the Government of India. With all respect and all recognition for their services in the past, we do not want those men in India. After all, what did we go to India for? If the people of India have not made any progress under

British rule, if the problems of the Government are still to-day what they were a hundred years ago or in the days of Lord Clive, then I think we have failed in our justification. Nor do we want to listen for one moment to those men who tell us that they do not like the educated Indian, and that the educated Indian does not like us. If the educated Indian has faults or shortcomings, different from or greater than the faults of the educated Englishman, these faults are the faults of the education which we have given them.

CIVIL SERVICE.

Even if it can be said against us that there are some educated Indians who do not like us, do not sympathise with us, do not believe in our motives, I think that there is no necessity to be dismayed. Our part, difficult and worthy, is to bring the educated Indian on to our side, and to go on helping him in order that he may help us, or to ask him to help us in order that we may go on helping him. The problem of India is not a problem of material advance of increasing prosperity. It is not a problem of new schools and university buildings. It is not a problem of new hospitals and Government Houses. It is a problem of Government and of co-operation, of giving to the Indian increasing opportunity in the country which is his own, and

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

increasing assistance in the development of his capacity for local Government and administration. No, the grievances, as I understand, in the Indian Civil Service, to which I desire to call attention are three: The first is want of pay. The Indian Civil Service claim that their pay has not been revised as has the pay of people in private employment, to keep the pace with the enormous increase in the cost of living in India. The standard of life, the slowness of promotion, and the lateness of life at which they are recruited are all questions of the utmost importance, and if an under-paid service is an unsatisfactory service, the Royal Commission have got a worthy task to perform in a thorough investigation of this grievance in order that they may recommend pay which shall be adequate to the altered conditions and pensions proportionate to the services rendered.

Sir J. D. REES: Is the Hon. Gentleman referring to any general complaint by Indian Civil servants or a complaint by the Punjab, the United Provinces and the Central Provinces? Is he referring to something specific and local?

Mr. MONTAGU: Of course, I know that there is a particular grievance from the Punjab and the United Province owing to the block in promotion, and we have taken some steps, not wholly satisfactory perhaps, but which will, not—if I may use the expression—queer the pitch of the Royal Commission, for temporarily dealing with these places. But

I was taking a general view that the cost of living had increased, and that the pay had not. The next grievance of the Indian Civil Service is the growing complexity of the system under which they live. Half the faults which are found from time to time with the Indian Civil Service are mainly attributable to their overwork. Every year sees an increase in the inflexible rules laid down for the guidance of all grades of officers. Every year, therefore, decreases the responsibility of officers which makes their task less agreeable, and who devote more of their time to reports. I have heard of an officer who said that when he joined the Service a small volume of rules was sufficient to guide him when he went into camp; now he has to pack a portmanteau with codes and regulations. At the risk of repeating what I have said before in this House, I cannot pass by this subject without saying that one of the cures for this is devolution. We must seek to find indigenous voluntary agencies to conduct a large amount of our detailed work. We are always inclined to thrust upon India, in the light of our own experience in this country, laws and regulations comparable to those which have been found satisfactory to us. In this country, when laws are passed, we hand them over in the main to our voluntary agencies—our county councils, our municipal councils and our rural district councils—

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

to carry out, but in India every such enactment and every such resolution must at present mean work for the officials. Even if there be some loss of efficiency, even if a district board be worse run, a municipal body be less capable, we ought to find the indigenous agency in India which will alone ensure our progress being real and complete.

How can this be done? I hope the House will forgive me for saying that there is this problem. How can a district officer entrust details of his work to voluntary assistance if the local Government is always asking him detailed questions on matters for which he ought to be responsible? How can the local Government forbear worrying each district officer if the imperial Government at Delhi is for ever interfering and worrying the local Government for reports? How can the Imperial Government at Delhi refuse to interfere with its local Government if it is always being worried for reports or details by the Secretary of State, and how can the Secretary of State forbear to worry the Imperial Government at Delhi if the House of Commons and the House of Lords are always asking for information? The tightness of control of each step in the machine is an excuse for the step below. I hope the House will forgive me. Honourable Members are entitled to know anything and everything they want to know, but if you

devolve on other people duties which you cannot or will not perform yourself, you must leave them with trust, to do the things that you have asked them to do for you. Let them do confidently the things that you have asked them. I know I shall be told, indignantly, by Honourable Members, that were it not for their interpolation of questions as to Indian affairs, there would be no opportunity of any public and recognised criticism of the Indian Government. All these things are a matter of degree, and, as time goes on, and you take steps in India to bring the Government more and more face to face with the people, every step you take in India in that direction ought to lessen control here. But I should like to remind the House that devolution in this respect was accomplished by recent reforms, and that in the Legislative Councils, now enlarged, elective and representative questions are asked and answered, and resolutions moved and discussed on questions of every variety of importance concerning every branch of administration. It is only necessary to glance at the proceedings of one of those councils to realise that a very genuine interest in administration is taken by the leaders of Indian opinion, and that there is very little danger that any real or apparent grievance, or any Government action of any kind which appears to require explanation, will pass unchallenged.

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

Then there is a third grievance, the last grievance of the Indian Civil Service, and this applies to all the Services in India, British and Indian. They are sensitive of your opinion and dependent on your support, and believe me I speak from the bottom of my heart when I say they are in every way worthy both of your support and of your good opinion. The isolation, the courage, the indefatigable work of exiled men and women, often in lonely stations, in the Forest Service of the Indian Civil Service, in Salt, in education and other services, to name only a few, ought to call for the admiration of every Member in this House. What I ask in their name and what they ask silently, is an appreciation of their difficulties and a belief in their undoubted singleness of purpose. It too often happens that they are discouraged in their work, because the criticisms of them from this country are so very vocal, whereas praise and appreciation is so often silent, because men have not time to attend to Indian subjects. So much for that side of the public services inquiry. But there is the other side of the public services inquiry which opens up the whole vast territory of the share of Indians in the administration of the country. What our attitude is in regard to this I have already indicated. The old era of a hard and a fast division between Government and the

governed on racial lines has long ago disappeared. The watchword of the future is co-operation. We are pledged to advance, and we mean to advance but it must be steadily and prudently. The very appointment of the Commission is a good earnest of our sincerity, and, as their share, we ask from the progressive section of the Indian community, patience. The Commission will advise us as to what changes, what reforms, are necessary to take us as far forward on this new road as we are now justified in going.

All I take leave to do now is to make this one comment on the subject. It is not only a question of new regulations, of carefully balanced proportions between the two races, it is not only a question of words and of figures, it is, above all, and beyond all, a question of real determination on both sides to act up to the spirit of the underlying principle. Mere lip service to a formula is worthless. I wish to appeal to British and to Indians alike, to make this co-operation a real thing by inspiring it with the vital elements of tact, sympathy and sincerity—the instruments of success in India. Finally, I want to remind the House that there is another side of the question which the Commission probably will not touch, but which is as important, as serious and as deserving of our most earnest consideration. There are in India millions, tens of millions,

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

I might almost say hundreds of millions, who do not, cannot and probably never will aspire to a share in the Government of their country, who live the life of an Oriental, unstirred by the Western life we have imported. We measure their lands, we administer justice to them, we teach them to keep themselves, their houses and their village clean; we show them how plague may be avoided, and we bring to bear on their material improvement all the resources of Western science and civilisation. But all this is to them but as a phase passing in a maze and murmur of words, in the Eternal Scheme of things. (Cheers.) The principle on which we act is right. It is our bounden duty to give of the best that we have to the betterment, according to the best of our ideas, of the people under our rule. We must do these things, and we must do them by rule and by code, and through the agency of officials who speak the language and use the practices of officials. But let there be added to the rules and codes, and to the official book, a note of explanation, a gentleness of application and an endeavour to interpret.

The Indian of whom I now speak has a view of life which is not our view. His ways are not our ways; our books, our medicine, our sanitation, are as mysterious to him as the rites of Shiva or of Vishnu to the average middle-class Londoner. The language of officialism booms in his ears and stupefies

him; he is entangled and trapped and terrified in the coils and meshes of official codes. He is, in spite of all our Western importations, the same man as he was 15 centuries ago. That is one of our difficulties that we find in India—living side by side the 20th century and the fifth, and the same machinery to deal with both of them. I do not ask for separate machinery, but what I do ask is that, where the machinery, with all its complications and intricacies, suited to the 20th century comes in contact with the fifth century, let every effort be made to simplify, adjust and explain. (Cheers.) Understanding is what is wanted. Understanding is impossible unless the officer who meets the people in direct contact has the time to see and talk to them face to face, and the liberty, the freedom, to adjust and to lighten their difficulties, and to ease their condition by the intervention of his personal agency and sympathy. And so my last word is a plea for devolution, not necessarily by a redistribution of duties and powers, but by the liberty to exercise a wise discretion in the use of duties and powers as they now are. If we make co-operation and devolution our guiding principle, I am convinced that we shall be on the right lines, and if anything we have done during this year, or if anything I have said this afternoon, helps towards securing for the one section of the Indian commu-

THE INDIAN BUDGET—1913.

nity another instalment of their just and proper ambition, for the other and largest section of the Indian community a more personal, a more elastic, a more understanding rule, and for our public servants some due recognition of their loyal and unsparing service by the removal of any existing or potential cause of discontent, then I shall feel that, though I have taxed the patience of this House, I have not wasted its time.

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION LOANS BILL.

SPEECH DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS
ON 17TH MARCH, 1910.

On the motion for the second reading of this Bill, Mr. Montagu said :

The Secretary of State for India possesses no power to raise money by loan in this country except with the consent of the Houses of Parliament, and so from time to time he comes down to the House of Commons with a bill of this kind and asks for power to raise a limited sum of money. There were Loans Bills passed into Loans Acts, comparable to this, in 1893, 1898, 1901, 1905 and 1908. There are two kinds of these Bills. Sometimes power is sought to raise money for general purposes. Sometimes it is sought only for specified purposes. The Bill which is now under discussion is of the latter kind, and only seeks to raise money for the specified purposes of irrigation and railways.

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION.

General borrowing powers are only used to meet great emergencies, such as war or famine, and it is a matter of great rejoicing that since the Bill of 1908 no such emergency has arisen; and the Secretary of State still possesses unexhausted the whole of the borrowing power for general purposes granted by this House in 1908 together with an unexhausted portion of the borrowing powers granted by the Act of 1898, to the extent of sums amounting altogether to £6,371,699, so that it is absolutely unnecessary to ask for power in this Bill to borrow money for general purposes. The Government asks the House for power to raise £25,000,000 sterling for railways and irrigation. I may say that these powers are not to be exercised at once, but only during the years 1911, 1912 and 1913 and subsequent years, and they will only be exercised with due regard both to the necessity of the services involved and the conditions of the money market at the time. I may also say, having regard to the discussion in the previous Debate, that in the undertaking contemplated there is nothing military or strategic. All the work contemplated has to do with the development of the commercial prosperity of India. The subject of irrigation is only included in this Bill so as not to limit unduly the powers of the Secretary of State. But, as a matter of fact, the money required for irrigation is nearly always raised in India, and

probably the money raised under this Act will be used entirely for railway purposes.

IRRIGATION GRANTS.

I will deal shortly with the subject of irrigation first. There can be no doubt as to the value of irrigation, and the success of expenditure under this head is one of the outstanding features of the recent development of India. It was in 1864, that the principle was accepted of constructing works, of irrigation out of funds supplied by loans, and since that date various systems have been steadily pursued of supplying water to country previously arid or exposed to the danger of famine in seasons of occasional drought. The policy now governing this work is based on the approved report presented by Sir Colin Scott Moncrieff's Commission in 1903. The sum of £32,143,278 had been invested in major irrigation by the end of 1908-9 and £4,028,294 in minor works, irrigating together the enormous area of 16,435,527 acres. This showed increase over the preceding year of £1,628,541 capital expenditure, of £126,761 gross receipts of £22,041, working expenses, of £104,720 net receipts, and of 358,639 acres irrigated. These figures are only the departmental index of the general increase in the productivity of land and the effective production of districts previously

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION.

liable to famine in times of drought and in some cases the settling on land previously uncultivated of large and prosperous populations. The major works only are constructed from borrowed money. The net receipts from these have increased from £1,711,000 in 1900-1 to an estimated net capital liability at the same time has increased from £23,475,332 to £33,643,278, so that the percentage of net receipts to capital liability has remained practically constant throughout the ten years. We can therefore face the consideration of increased expenditure on irrigation with a confidence that the money spent is not only of immense profit to the population of India, but is spent on sound commercial undertakings, eminently satisfactory to the revenues of the Government of India.

RAILWAYS IN INDIA.

Turning to railways, we are again occupied with work, the advantages of which are undoubted. The building of railways in India, dating from 1853, has been the foundation of the growing prosperity of its people, the basis of any war against the famine, the fundamental support of law and order, the root of all progress. Thanks to railways, food can be supplied to distressed districts, and good harvests do not entail the waste of crops. Railways have equalised prices and distributed food and produce; they have colonised new dis-

tricts and led, so far as is possible, to establishing a greater community of interest among the various peoples of India. Turning to the more material question of profit to the Government of India again, we see a story of satisfactory investment. About 24,000 miles out of the 31,485 opened for traffic are now the remunerative property of the Government of India, yielding in 1909-10, which has not been a particularly favourable year, 4·41 per cent. of the money invested in them, which now amounts to about £300,000,000. The railway service gives employment to 525,000 persons, of whom 508,000 are Indians. The number of passengers rose from 161,000,000 in 1899 to 321,000,000 in 1908, and during the same period there had been an average increase of 790 miles opened per year. Loans raised under Bills such as we are now discussing are spent, first, in fulfilment of the railway programme for the year; and, secondly, in the discharge of capital liabilities. The railway programme for the year is decided by the Railway Board, which, subject to the approval of the Government of India and the Secretary of State, manages Indian railways. A portion of the money spent goes to improve the equipment of existing lines; increasing trade makes increasing demand on the lines built to meet the more modest requirements of earlier years. A great increase of goods carried

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION.

necessitates the provision of more rolling-stock and heavier waggons. This means new bridge girders, strengthening the permanent way, and new goods yards. By far the larger part of the money raised for capital expenditure is used for such purposes. Of the £20,900,000 included in the programme of capital outlay for this year 1909-10 and the coming year, £8,800,000 goes to open line works, £7,600,000 to rolling-stock and £4,500,000 to new lines and lines in progress. I may add that the Railway Board and the Indian Railway Companies themselves pay particular attention to the proper distribution of the charges for improved equipment between revenue and capital and only such work as can properly be said to improve the revenue is charged to capital.

Continued representations were received from India some time ago as to the insufficiency of railway development to keep pace with the development of India to supply the needs of its trade and to enable the railways to be worked to the best possible advantage. A Committee was appointed as a consequence of these representations, which was presided over by Sir James Mackay and reported in 1908. The report recommends that a capital expenditure of £12,500,000 should be incurred annually on railways, on which £4,000,000 should be provided in India and the remainder in England. It is with a view to meeting the recommendations

of this Committee that expenditure has been increased, and this accounts for the shortness of the interval between this and the last Loan Bill. The full expenditure recommended, however, has not yet been attained, and may not be attained for some time to come. The resources of India in the near future may fall short of the £4,000,000 contemplated by the Committee which was to be contributed from such sources as the Revenue Surplus, Rupee Loans and Coinage Profits. It is probable, therefore that about £8,000,000 a year must be raised in this country for the purposes of the programme. Some part of this sum will be raised in the form of Capital Stock or Debentures of Guaranteed Railway Companies, for the creation of which the authority of Parliament is not required. It is not possible to give any accurate estimate, but, based on past experience, it may be suggested that about £6,000,000 a year will be raised for programme purposes by the Secretary of State. The amount raised for programme purposes under the Bill of 1908 has been £13,307,273.

RAILWAY CONTRACTS.

As regards liabilities for the discharge of capital most of the railways belonging to the State in India are worked by companies, guaranteed by the State, under contract. Termination of a contract with any company means of payment of capital contribut-

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION.

ed by them; this, together with the repayment of terminable bonds, must be met by borrowed money. Under the Loans Act of 1908, £997,300 has been spent on the discharge of debentures; before the end of this year, when the contract between the Secretary of State in Council and Indian Midland Railway Company comes to an end, it will be necessary to repay to that company £2,250,000; possibly, also, though I hope this will not be the case, £1,510,000 may be required for repaying capital and certain debenture bonds to the South Indian Railway Company. The loans for these purposes will be raised under—and, I may add, go far to exhaust—the borrowing powers of the Act of 1908. In 1911-12 £1,776,200 worth of bonds originally issued by the Madras and Indian Midland Companies will have to be discharged, and in 1912-13, £1,477,600 worth of similar bonds, and in 1913-14, £1,281,200. Accepting, therefore, the estimate of six millions as the amount to be raised annually under present Bill for the railway programme, the House will see that it is possible to estimate the requirements of the Secretary or State in each of the next three years at about seven and a half millions and that the powers asked for under this Act will have to be renewed at the end of 1913-14.

There are only two other points which I should mention, rather by way of anticipating criticism, and

they are not wholly unconnected. I have shown that railway undertakings have in recent years nearly always means a considerable profit. This amounted to £9,770,000 during the last ten years, supplementing the revenue raised by taxation for meeting general administrative expenditure; but in 1908-9 there was a loss of £1,242,000. This was due to a decrease in gross earnings consequent on unfavourable agricultural and trade conditions, and an exceptionally high rate of working expenses, resulting partly from the necessity of giving special allowances to compensate for the high prices of food while the effects of famine were still felt, and partly from the large outlay on renewals. This brings me to say a word on the matter raised on discussion of the last Bill as to the passenger facilities of the railways, the improvements of which was responsible to some extent for the increase of working expenses in 1908. The Railway Board in 1905 issued a circular to the several railway administrations urging the necessity for providing (1) facilities for passengers to obtain their tickets a longer time before the departure of the trains; (2) facilities for examining tickets of third class passengers so as to enable passengers to have proper access to the platform; and (3) proper accommodation for the third-class passengers to prevent overcrowding. There is every evidence that

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION.

ample response has been made to this circular. Continuous booking at the principal stations and the opening of town offices for the taking of tickets, deals with the first evil. As regards the second, the railway administrations are re-arranging their waiting-halls and platforms. The only way of dealing with the third evil is to increase the supply of coaching stock. New third-class carriages of a modern type are being provided with every possible speed.

RAILWAYS: A COMPARISON.

Finally, if there be any Member who thinks that we are proceeding too rapidly, I would remind him that, if we compare India with any of the advanced countries of the world, there is room and need for a great development of railways. To compare it with the United Kingdom, with one-fourteenth of the area and one-sixth of the population, you find that the United Kingdom has three times the mileage of railways. I would also point out that the productive debt of India makes up by far the larger portion of her debt. The total permanent debt on 31st March, 1909, amounted (in round figures) to £251,000,000. Of this total £182,000,000 represented railway debt, producing more than 4 per cent. interest; £31,000,000, irrigation debt, producing 8 per cent. interest; and £38,000,000,

ordinary or unproductive debt. Few countries can show so favourable a record.

RAILWAY PROFITS.

I wish to be clearly borne in mind that it is for this remunerative debt, not for the unproductive debt, that I now ask for powers to raise money. Profitable as the expenditure of capital on railways is now, it will be more profitable in future. In the first place, the purchase of railways by the State has, in the majority of cases, been made by means of terminable annuities. When these are paid off, the railways in the possession of the Government of India will become an unburdened commercial property of enormous value. In the second place, a considerable number of railways have been built, not for immediate profit, but for the development of certain areas, and these will become remunerative in proportion as they achieve their object. Nor do the people of India have to pay highly for the inestimable benefit conferred upon them by railway development.

Although during the four years ending 1907-8: the net annual gain to the State from this source was approximately £2,000,000, the rates charged for passengers are only one-fifth of a penny per mile and for goods half a penny per ton per mile. I think now I have laid before the House sufficient

THE INDIAN RAILWAYS AND IRRIGATION.

evidence of the necessity for this Bill, and the purposes for which it is required. This was granted to the Secretary of State in 1908 borrowing powers for railway and irrigation purposes, which have now been nearly exhausted on new construction, better equipment and repayment of capital. I ask it with confidence to renew this power in order to give further assistance to the Government in providing for the continued improvement of the first necessity of the modern development of commerce, agriculture and general prosperity—improved means of communication.

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

Mr. E. S. Montagu, Under-Secretary for India, was the principal speaker on November 2, 1910, at a Liberal meeting held at Bishop Auckland. Mr. JAMES RAMSDEN, Chairman of the Auckland Division Liberal Association, presided. Sir Henry Havelock-Allan, M.P., for the division, also spoke.

In the course of his speech Mr. MONTAGU said :—
A striking phenomenon of the last few years is the awakening among English people at home of an increased interest in the affairs of the Indian Empire. On the platform, in the Press, and in general literature there is year by year more attention devoted to India; and everywhere we find a dawning realisation that what has been called the “brightest jewel in the British Crown” is no mere ornament, but an Imperial charge involving great and growing responsibilities. The importance of the connexion between India and Great Britain cannot be over-estimated, nor is it possible to exaggerate the magnitude of the task to which we have put our hands and the absorbing interest of the problems that we have to face. That

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

India is coming more prominently before the public eye in England is, therefore, all to the good. The increased interest is due partly, no doubt, to the new spirit in the East that is now forcing itself upon our notice, the arising in an insistent form of problems that an older generation was content to leave in the lap of the future, and to the political outrages which, by dramatically arresting public attention for the moment, have assumed a fictitious importance. But if I were asked to say what single thing has played the largest part in this assumption by Indian affairs of a greater prominence in England, I should say that it was the act of the present Government in appreciating the dignity of India's place in our Empire, and the importance of her problems, by giving to India of their best, by allotting to the India Office a man who was perhaps the most striking and best-known personality on the Liberal front bench. (Cheers).

LORD MORLEY'S ADMINISTRATION.

I am reminded of an article in one of the reviews that I was reading the other day, written with an object frankly hostile to a certain aspect of Lord Morley's administration, which, nevertheless, pointed out that whatever the shortcomings of the present Government and of Lord Morley's treatment of Indian questions, together they had done India one-

great and lasting service—they had put an end for ever to the practice of regarding the Secretaryship for India as a dumping-ground for mediocrities. I hope that it is true. It is certainly true, I think, of Liberal Governments; further than that I would not presume to prophesy.

But Lord Morley's services to India are not confined to illuminating the Secretaryship of State for India with the reflected lustre of his name. What he has done and is doing is so well-known that I need not enlarge upon it. He has had a difficult time. He has been much criticised by the old school of thought, he has been criticised with even greater acerbity from a diametrically opposite point of view by well-meaning enthusiasts on our own side, who do not realise that their true aims are best served to by his policy and are inclined to forget that, to quote from a book recently published about India regarding which I shall have more to say later, it is specially true of that country that "the pendulum violently lurching forward will speedily swing back." But Lord Morley has steadily held to his course with unswerving courage: and history will, I think, speak with no uncertain voice as to his place in India's story. (Cheers.)

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

PROSPERITY AND POVERTY.

Mr. Montagu went on to say it was self-evident that the Government of India by England had been for India's material prosperity. He was not blind to the fact that, unfortunately, a vast number of people in India live their whole lives in extreme poverty, but he asserted that poverty had been decreasing under British rule. Examining the trade returns he showed that in 1858, the earliest year for which we have figures, the total sea-borne trade of India was £39,750,000. Last year it was £203,000,000, an increase in the half-century of more than 500 per cent. Again, the revenue of India, which was last year £74,250,000, had more than doubled during the last 50 years, and this although the sources of revenue have remained almost unchanged. Land revenue, a rough index of agricultural prosperity, had increased (if measured in rupees) by 60 per cent. Moreover, the increase had been concurrent with a very much greater increase in the value of the gross agricultural yield, and was in no way the result of increasing burdens.

Then, again, we in England had lent India vast sums of money for the purposes of internal development. The total amount invested by Englishmen in commercial concerns in India had been estimated roughly at a minimum figure of £350,000,000. But

leaving out of account investments in private concerns, for calculations about that were largely guess-work, it might safely be estimated that upwards of £130,000,000 had been lent by England to the Indian Government for what were technically called "public works purposes"—that was to say, for the construction and development of railways and irrigation canals. The total amount of what was called "ordinary debt"—that was to say, dead weight debt, corresponding to our National Debt at home—was £42,250,000, a ridiculously insignificant sum compared with the £700,000,000 with which we were burdened here.

THE "DRAIN" UPON INDIA.

By a curious perversion of reasoning this loan from England to India was regarded by a certain school of thought, fortunately small, as an offence to us because it entailed the payment of interest, and the annual payments made by India to England were spoken of as a "drain" by the latter on the former. It would be absurd, of course, to take credit upon ourselves for having lent money to India; but so it was grotesque to regard the payment of the very moderate rate of interest at which India could obtain this capital in England and put it to an immensely profitable use in India

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

as the bleeding of a helpless people by a tyrannical capitalist nation.

The so-called "drain," in the eyes of those who alleged its existence, consisted, however, not only of the interest on debt but of the whole of the annual remittances of the Government of India for the purposes of defraying what were called, "home charges"—that was to say, payments made in England from Indian revenues. Last year these amounted to just over £19,000,000, of which interest on debt accounted for rather more than half. Of the balance the principal item was pensions and furlough pay to European officers amounting to 5½ millions, while about one million was attributable to Army and Marine effective charges and about one million to stores purchased in England, such as railway rolling stock and material which could not be manufactured in India. Army and Marine effective charges were the payments made by India to the War Office and Admiralty for services rendered to her by the British Army and the British Navy, and were part of the price of her security. In the case of stores, the benefit to India was obvious and direct; it no more involved a "drain" than the purchase by the British Government of a French dirigible balloon involved a "drain" from England to France. In the case of pensionary and furlough payments the benefit to

India was indirect, but it was none the less real. Unless India was to be severed from all connexion with England, the administration must contain a nucleus of European officers. That nucleus was small enough. Europeans in the Indian Civil Service actually engaged in the administration of the country at any given moment numbered only 955—that was to say, there was one to about every 230,000 of the population.

Turning to the moral welfare of India, Mr. Montagu said he might repeat what he had said elsewhere, that, though some times our methods might have been shortsighted and our means crude—these were inevitable features of great experiments, however lofty and disinterested the aims of those who made them might be—the situation was full of hope. We had sown Western ideas in Eastern fields; our harvest was ripening. We were too much inclined to regard the whole problem of Indian administration as wrapped up in the problem of meeting the spirit of unrest that had been kindled in a small fraction of the people of India. Perhaps hardly one in a hundred of the population of India was aware that a spirit of unrest was abroad. Still less must we permit our views to be vitiated by the occasional occurrence of political crime. Outrages and crime were, numeri-

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

cally, very rare, and had nothing to do with the real spirit of unrest.

THE ARTICLES IN THE "TIMES."

I am the more unwilling to enter at length into the question of "unrest," in that, since I made my Budget speech, a series of articles has been published in the "Times" on this subject. The writer, it is an open secret, is Mr. Valentine Chirol, the well-known writer on Eastern questions and foreign editor of the "Times." They deal with the question from every conceivable point of view, and run, I think, to about 75 columns. I am glad to hear that they are to be re-published in book form, when they will be more accessible. It would be idle to pretend that I am in agreement with all that they contain; in fact, the writer more than once attacks statements made by me. But this does not prevent me from recognising the infinitely careful research of which they are the fruit, the moderate tone that they adopt, their pregnant arguments and illuminating exposition, the thoroughness with which every branch of the question has been examined and set forth. It would perhaps be ungracious and presumptuous for me to say anything in criticism of these articles—ungracious because everyone who takes an interest in Indian problems must recognise the debt of gratitude that he owes to Mr. Chirol for his masterly illumination of

the causes, progress, and ramifications of the complex movement that we call Indian unrest; presumptuous because he has made a minute and laborious examination of conditions on the spot, and I have not. But this much I may perhaps be permitted to say. I venture with the greatest respect to suggest that he does not give sufficient prominence to the paramount necessity of drawing a line between the healthy and natural growth of aspiration that we ourselves have awakened and the small malignant growth that manifests itself in political crime.

“REPRESSION AND CONCESSION.”

It is often very difficult to draw the line: sometimes it seems almost impossible. But it must be drawn if we are to do our duty by India, even if it sometimes involves giving the benefit of the doubt. The malignant growth must be cut out by the relentless application of the knife, but we must not let the knife slip in doing so. Still less must, we for security's sake, deliberately cut away the sound with the rotten. The policy of “blended repression and concession”—I seem to detect a note of hostility in that compendious jingle—is the only possible policy for dealing with the “unrest” problem. I do not like either word. I do not like repression because unless it is made clear that it is applied only to crime it suggests unsympathetic and un-English methods.

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

Still less do I like the word "concession," which is wholly inept, because it suggests going beyond the requirements of strict justice for the purpose of conciliation. It should be made clear that repression and concession, accepting the words for the moment, are not alternative politics applied in turn to the same section of the community, but concurrent policies applied to different sections of the community. It is often suggested by journalists less dignified and less fair than Mr. Chirol that our policy is to give so-called "concessions" for the purpose of ingratiating, in order that we may be in a better position to defend ourselves when we want to take so-called "repressive" measures; that we grease the wheels of Indian opinion with the former, in order that the latter may run more easily. They adopt a different and metaphorical call it, with more brevity than grace, the "powder and jam policy." By whatever name it is called it is, of course, a groundless calumny. (Cheers.)

THE INDIA OFFICE AND THE CIVIL SERVICE.

There is one point in which I venture with all respect to suggest that Mr. Chirol is definitely unfair towards the Government of which I am a member, and that is in his allegations regarding the attitude of the India office towards the Indian Civil Service. "An unfortunate impression," he says, "has undoubtedly been created during the last few

years in the Indian Civil Service that there is no longer the same assurance of such support and encouragement either from Whitehall or from Simla" and he goes on to speak of "the frigid tone of official utterances in Parliament, which have seemed more often inspired by a desire to avoid party embarrassments at Westminster than to protect public servants, who have no means of defending themselves, against even the grossest forms of misrepresentation and calumny, leading straight to the revolver and the bomb of the political assassin." An accusation that Government attaches more importance to the avoidance of party embarrassments than to the protection of their servants from assassination is not one that should have been lightly made. Mr. Chisolm adduces no specific instance in support of his statement. I hope he will forgive me if I suggest that he would find it impossible to do so.

I am sure that Mr. Chisolm is not in sympathy with the contention that the Indian official should, as a matter of high policy, be exempt from outside criticism. Place a man outside the pale of criticism and he will deteriorate; that is a universal law to which there is nothing in the conditions of India to make that country an exception. On the contrary, the very irresponsibility of the Indian official to the people whom he governs makes proper criticism the more salutary. The Home Government and the

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

British Parliament, together with the Press and the cable, replace at present an electorate to which he is directly responsible. He has to answer and welcome honest criticism and to establish his prestige on the only certain foundation—justification of his action. This plea for freedom from criticism has been put forward on the ground of prestige, not so much by the Service itself as by ill-advised persons outside it, and the Service has had to suffer. Very largely owing to this, the Anglo-Indian has become the constant quarry of a small section of the British public. Their criticism in its more moderate form assumes that he is unsympathetic, aloof, arrogant, narrow, a cog in a relentless machine. From this it soon follows that in their eyes nothing he does can do good, no motive is pure; in every question the presumption of guilt is always against him. He is subjected to constant, unreasoning, ill-informed, cruel and cowardly disparagement. This sort of thing can do nothing but harm, just as honest and well-informed criticism can do nothing but good. It irritates and takes the heart out of him and drives his apologists to claim on his behalf immunity from criticism to an unreasonable extent.

MR. RAMSAY MACDONALD'S BOOK.

As I have had occasion to denounce in public more than once, this habit on the part of certain

people in England of imputing to the Englishman in India a sudden and complete loss of all the English virtues on the possession of which is detractors so pride themselves, I should like to call public attention to an example of the sort of criticism to which no one can object, which does real service to Indian Government, not sparing the faults, but moderate and good-tempered, well-informed and brilliantly vivid. I have in my mind Mr. Ramsay MacDonald's recent book "The Awakening of India." I think he was in the country for about two months. If all itinerant politicians in India spent their time as well as he, that now classical poem, "Padgett, M.P.," would never have been written! I do not, of course, mean by this to put an official endorsement on all Mr. MacDonald's arguments, still less on all his conclusions, with some of which I profoundly disagree, and I think he has once or twice dropped momentarily from the very high standard of criticism he set himself. But Mr. MacDonald went out with an open mind to see for himself. He comes back out of sympathy with some of the stock shibboleths of the party towards which he naturally inclined, and he has honestly and squarely said so. Similarly, he found much to criticise in our administration, and he has spoken his opinion with no less good humour than vigour and conviction. Criticism of this kind never

THE CONDITION OF INDIA.

did anything but good. Its effect on the person criticised, if he is an honest man with a well balanced mind and sense of humour, will be like that of a cold bath, it may convey a startling shock for the moment, but its after effect will be invigorating. Mr. MacDonald's book should be a model for those who write on political holidays.

Indeed, this has been the wonderful year in the history of literature dealing with India. First comes M. Chailley's disinterested, dispassionate view of an interesting question in which he has no concern save that of an onlooker. He describes with great knowledge and hesitates to prescribe. He shows a remarkable appreciation of the British love of order and of government, the British genius for altruistic rule. Then comes Mr. Chirol, the anatomist, with great knowledge, indefatigable research, large view, great control making a work of reference on one respect of Indian conditions as they are, and lastly Mr. MacDonald, a portrait painter, an impressionist, with his peculiar gift of gaining glimpses and conveying them to its readers. These three gentlemen have helped the problem of the Empire which we are engrossed on your behalf. I say advisedly 'on your behalf' and that is why I commend their efforts to your attention. (Cheers.)

INDIAN HIGH COURTS BILL.

SPEECH DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF
COMMONS ON JULY 21, 1911.

In moving "that the Bill be now read a second time," Mr. Montagu said :—

In asking the House to agree to the second reading of this measure. I do not think it will be necessary to occupy much time, because so far as the House is concerned it is a very unimportant measure indeed. But I want to explain it as fully as I can, because, as at present advised, I propose, if the House gives it a Second Reading, to move that it be retained on the floor of the House, and I will ask the House to be so good as to pass the subsequent stages of the Bill without discussion, which is not in any way necessary. The reason for introducing the measure at all is the great congestion of legal affairs in India at present. The House will agree with me that if you have great arrears in the Law Courts the delay of justice very frequently amounts to a denial of justice. I have only to read to the House some figures concerning the Calcutta High Court to show what I mean. In 1908 the

INDIAN HIGH COURTS BILL.

cases in arrears on the appellate side of this Court were 5,245. At the end of June, 1911, the number of civil appeal cases pending was no less than 8,389. The Courts work as hard as any Courts could possibly work. Every kind of re-arrangement has been attempted, but it has now become obvious, not only to every Judge of the High Court, but to the Government of Bengal and the Government of India, that the time has come to ask for the raising of the maximum number of Judges in the Courts. At the same time, because I think it is desirable in these matters to be prescient, a similar increase of the maximum possible Judges in India is asked for, where is no fear that the Government of India will abuse the power for which it asks. The Courts of Madras and Bombay, which have a maximum of fifteen now, have got eight Judges, so that it is for future and not for immediate application that the first clause of this Bill includes them. I should like, before I dismiss this clause, to remind the House that there is no excess of Judges in India at the present moment. The maximum number of Judges of the High Court in Bengal and Eastern Bengal is now fifteen.

There are 86,000,000 people there. In England and Wales the population is 33,000,000, and there are thirty-three Judges of the High Court.

Now I come to the second clause, which is highly technical, and only, I think, of technical importance. There is no immediate desire to establish a new High Court anywhere in India, but the Government of England desire to be able to cope with circumstances which may arise by a less clumsy method than having to wait for an opportunity to pass an Act of Parliament while justice is being delayed. It is possible for the Government of India at present to immediately establish a new Chief Court anywhere. Anyone familiar with the Indian Courts will appreciate the difference between Chief Court and a High Court, and I venture to suggest that it will not be wise to drive the Government of India for the sake of expediency and the saving of time to the establishment of a Chief Court, having regard to the circumstance that in prestige, dignity and confidence the High Court is the better alternative. In the Act of 1861 it was enacted that a High Court might be established by letters patent in any area where no existing High Court has jurisdiction. At that time the well-known appreciation of the advantages of litigation, which is a characteristic of the Indian people, had not yet developed so far as it has at the present moment. It was not contemplated that it would be necessary at any time, I think, to establish new Chief Courts or new High Courts in areas in which existing

INDIAN HIGH COURTS BILL.

High Courts affected by that Act already had jurisdiction, and I submit that if it should become necessary in the future to establish a High Court or a Chief Court, Parliament should adopt the same procedure with regard to this as was adopted by our predecessors under the Act of 1861.

There is only one other clause in the Bill of any importance which is clause 3. It deals with the appointment of temporary Judges. There is no intention at any time that the number of Judges, temporary or permanent, in any Court in India, should exceed the maximum number prescribed by this Act. If a Judge is away on leave or if a Judge is ill, at present it is possible for the Lieutenant-Governor of a Province to appoint a temporary Judge on his behalf, but even if there is not the maximum number of Judges at the time occupying seats on the Bench, if there is a lesser number than the maximum number of possible Judges, which is fifteen, and there are only fourteen, and there are great arrears which the Government of India is anxious to wipe off, they have no power to appoint a temporary Judge. The only possible way in which it can be done is to appoint a new permanent Judge, raising the number to the maximum of fifteen and leaving no vacancy. That is a very cumbrous method, and it may lead to overstocking the Bench and these powers allowing the Government generally to

appoint temporary Judges up to the maximum number with a view to clearing off arrears are, I think, necessitated in the interests of economy and of speed in dealing with legal matters. We are only asking for power to appoint temporary Judges. The House will agree with me, I think, that this measure does not require any elaborate Debate, and I think that all classes in India will welcome its speedy passage for the improvement of the legal machinery in the Provinces of India.

THE INDIAN POLICE.

POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT.

Mr. Montagu, M. P., Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for India, has addressed the following letter to a correspondent:—
India Office, 23rd September, 1911.

Dear Sir,—You inquire whether I can give you any information regarding the nature of the statement that I intended to make on the debate on the Indian police, which was to have taken place on the motion for adjournment of the House of Commons last month. As you are aware, the attention of the House of Commons was exclusively occupied on that occasion with the serious labour troubles in England, and I am glad to have this opportunity of communicating to you what I was thus prevented from saying to the House.

First as to the Midnapore case. On this there could have been no discussion; fairness to those involved demands suspension of judgment until the appeal has been heard. But I may remind you that Mr. Justice Fletcher's judgment did not endorse all the suggestions of the learned Chief Justice in the criminal trial, notably the suggestion about the bomb. The decision was, however, generally adverse to the police officers and they have filed an appeal. Every one must hope that these officers, whose

LETTER OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

record of service is of the very highest, will be able to clear themselves from charges which, if substantiated, must entail the very gravest results to their careers. No one will wish to prejudice the last stages of the trial, nor, I think, would any one desire that the Government, for whom these men have laboured all their lives, and in whose service they have erred, if erred they have, should fail to provide the funds for giving them every chance of clearing themselves. (This course is strictly in accordance with precedent.)

Meanwhile the men will not be employed in administrative office, and the promotions gazetted immediately after the hearing of the civil case—promotions which would, in ordinary circumstances, have been matters of normal routine—have been cancelled. These are suspensory steps, in no way final or condemnatory, but wise, as I think you will agree, pending the hearing in the Court of Appeal. I may add that in future all proposals for promotion or bestowal of honorary titles are to be held in abeyance in cases where inquiry or legal proceedings are pending.

This is all that can be said about the Midnapore case. But I intend also to take the opportunity of announcing to the House certain new rules and regulations for the better control of the Indian police.

THE INDIAN POLICE.

A TRIBUTE TO THE FORCE.

In the first place may I remind you of a few facts and figures ? The police in India are an indigenous agency numbering 177,000 men with only 500 European Superintendents. They deal with a population of 244 millions spread over an area of eleven million square miles. This force has to preserve the public peace and to maintain order in a country where there is little public opinion or civic sense as we know it in England to assist them. It performs its duties with great bravery and energy. Its superior officers have often to supervise areas of over 5,000 square miles, and under their scanty supervision the indigenous police loyally fight dacoity, murder, robbery, and all the violent crimes to which the general population, now assured of security by their aid, would otherwise be exposed. No praise could possibly be too high for the conduct of members of the force in recent years in quarters where it has been necessary to deal with anarchical conspiracy ; of men who have steadily pursued the path of duty, knowing well that they risked their lives, until perhaps a bullet in the back in a dark by-street has ended a career of humble but heroic service to the State.

No greater mistake could be made than to imagine that the distressing cases of torture about

LETTER OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

which questions are asked in Parliament are the rule. They are, indeed, the very rare exceptions. When they occur, attention is immediately directed to them, and every effort is made to prevent recurrence. The annual average number of convictions for torture during the last six years is nine. This, out of a force of 177,000, is a record of which many European forces might be proud. You, I am sure, do not associate yourself with the cruel and unfounded suggestion that British officials try to hush those cases up. I may remind you that the superior officers are, if anything, disposed to err on the other side, and many prosecutions are brought for charges which cannot be substantiated.

Of course, I have never denied that scandals occur occasionally. As long as these scandals continue to occur so long will the Government of India continue to devote themselves unceasingly to stamping out the evil that remains.

It was my intention to inform the House of Commons of certain measures that have recently been taken with this object. These measures must not be regarded as the sudden move of an Administration hitherto inactive. On the contrary, they are the latest instalment of a history of continuous improvement. For fifty years there has been steady, unremitting effort to improve the police by means of Commissions, legislative inquiries, executive

THE INDIAN POLICE.

orders, training schools, and so forth, but most of all by quiet Departmental methods of exhortation, example and punishment. In this way natural merits have been developed and natural imperfections eliminated.

MAGISTRATES AND CONFESSIONS.

The most dangerous natural imperfection is the tendency to rely on confession, which inevitably involves the temptation to apply pressure. The maxim, "optimum habemus testem confitentem reum," formerly recognised in Europe, still appeals to the Indian mind. It was laid down many years ago that no inducement was to be offered for a confession, that no confession was to be recorded by police, that no confession made by any one in police custody was to be admissible in evidence, and that no prisoner was to be detained in police custody for more than twenty-four hours. It has been further laid down that only magistrates can record confessions, and that a magistrate must be satisfied that the confession is being made voluntarily.

The magistrate's part is important, and with a view to seeing that it shall be performed adequately, the Government of India have recently collected the various orders dealing with the matter in the different provinces in order to prescribe uniform and efficient procedure and to eliminate opportunity for

LETTER OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

abuse by interested officers. In future the power to record confessions will be confined to (a) magistrates having jurisdiction in the case, (b) first-class magistrates (magistrates of high standing and large powers), or (c) specially selected second-class magistrates. Owing to considerations of time and distance a certain elasticity is necessary, but third-class magistrates will no longer record confessions. The Government of India have further prescribed that the Bombay rule which enjoins the examination of a confessing prisoner should be invariably adopted. The police interested must be ordered out of Court, the accused must be asked whether he has been ill-treated, and if there is reason to suspect ill-treatment there must be a medical examination.

Certain further measures are under consideration. Local Governments have been asked to consider whether it is advantageous to have confessions recorded at all before the trial begins except in very special circumstances or by order of the District Magistrate. There is, moreover, to be an exhaustive inquiry into the conduct of lock-ups with a view to obtaining proper supervision. The police are already forbidden access to the gaols, and the Government of India are considering the possibility of a rule that no prisoner who has confessed should be given back to police custody, and also that no

THE INDIAN POLICE.

confession should be recorded until the person confessing has spent one night out of police custody.

So much for preventive measures. It must be remembered that the restrictions on the police are, especially as regards remands and confessions, already far greater than in England. There is a maximum of precaution beyond which it is impossible to go without crippling the force. We must not, in our anxiety to prevent opportunity for occasional and isolated abuse, render the police and detection difficult or impossible. Nor must we refuse a confidence which the vast majority of the Indian police thoroughly merits. If we refuse confidence we kill all sense of responsibility, all zeal for improvement, and sap the loyal energy and *esprit de corps* upon which we must rely for the preservation of peace.

ABUSE OF POWER.

I pass on to describe one or two new measures of importance of a deterrent nature which have been taken in order to increase the efficiency of the police and the confidence of the public by advertising widely the grave view that Government takes of abuse of power.

Orders have been given that punishment of police officers, judicial or departmental, shall be widely published. They will be inserted regularly in the "Police Gazette." Steps will also be taken to

LETTER OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

bring home both to the public and the police that the merits or fitness for promotion of police officers are not judged by statistical results or the number of convictions obtained.

Further than this, the Government of India, recognising that the importance of securing public confidence in the genuineness of inquiries must prevail over departmental considerations, have urged Local Governments that, in inquiring into allegations of police misconduct, there should be freer recourse to magisterial inquiry. When inquiries are consequent on strictures passed by magistrates in the course of a judgment there is to be inquiry by a superior officer of police when the charge is unimportant or a magisterial inquiry when the charge is serious. When a serious charge is made by a superior Court, and the Court indicates the necessity for inquiry, there is to be automatically a public inquiry by two officers, and one of these is to be an officer of judicial experience. Of course, where a prosecution is possible, it takes place, and no inquiry is needed; but as regards other cases, I am sure you will regard these new rules for adequate inquiry as satisfactory.

This is, I think, the substance of what I should have said in other circumstances in the House.—

Yours, etc.,

EDWIN S. MONTAGU.

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

SPEECH AT CAMBRIDGE.

GUILDHALL, FEBRUARY 28, 1912.

The Hon. E. S. Montagu, M.P., Under-Secretary of State for India, visited Cambridge on February 28, and in his capacity as President of the Cambridge and County Liberal Club, addressed a large meeting at the Guildhall. The chair was taken by Dr. APTHORPE WEBB, and among those upon the platform were Mr. A. C. Beck, M.P., Sir J. J. Briscoe, *Bart.*, Dr. Sims Woodhead (Professor of Pathology), Dr. J. S. Reid (Professor of Ancient History) and Dr. Scarle, F.R.S.

Mr. MONTAGU, after devoting the opening portion of his speech to domestic questions, continued:—

TRUE EMPIRE-BUILDING.

I want, also, to invite your attention to the other branch of the justification of our Imperial organisation—our oversea activities—and I am going to contend, and, I think, prove, that the Empire, as we know it, and the ideal which it fulfils, is the production of the Liberal Party. Englishmen

have a conception of Empire different from that of their predecessors or forefathers and different from that of other countries, an ideal which alone justifies the existence of an Empire. It is not enough for this thinking generation to wave a flag or shout a song or do a turkey strut in pompous celebration of the number of square miles over which the British flag flies, or the population which owes its allegiance to his Majesty the King. Land has been won by conquest often under Conservative rule, not by Conservative statesmen, but by British Scotch—and I would remind you in this important juncture—by Irish soldiers on behalf of an Imperial ideal which should know no party. (Applause). But it is not a question of land, but of hearts. It is not a question of domination and of subjugation, but of alliance, co-operation and perfect freedom between the component parts. Empires have died or been destroyed either from deterioration at home, which the legislation of the last six years is designed to combat, or through the denial of justice or arrogant misrule which makes the yoke galling to the younger parts. We should use our administration and our legislation at home as an example to those sister nations who are linked with us, and we should make our Imperial ideal one of spreading throughout the Empire free institutions, and all that is meant by the wonderful word “justice.” If this be true, then, if

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

you will bear with me while I go into history, I think I can show that the freedom of the Empire has been the gift of Liberalism, which has ensured its permanency in the teeth of a short-sighted, stubborn Conservatism.

CANADA AND SOUTH AFRICA.

The keystone of Canadian loyalty is the freedom of the Canadian people. Yet Lord Stanley, speaking in the House of Commons in 1839, voiced the opinions of the Conservative Party, when he said: "What would be the consequence of granting the Canadian demand? The establishment of a Republic. The concession would remove the only check to the tyrannical power of the dominant majority, a majority in numbers only, while in wealth, education and enterprise they are greatly inferior to the minority." Translated into Carsonian English they could imagine how it would sound: "Ontario will fight, and Ontario will be right." (Laughter and applause.) And then you had the Duke of Wellington in the House of Lords: "Local responsible Government and the sovereignty of Great Britain are completely incompatible." Well, Canada has not moved a step towards separation nor Republican institutions, yet Canada is divided only by an imaginary line from the greatest and most progressive Republic in the world, and the tie of free

association within the Empire has held in face of the strongest natural and political attractions. From that the Conservatives ought to have learnt a lesson in Empire-building, but they learnt nothing. When more than fifty years had passed, when Canada was becoming increasingly loyal and prosperous, we came to South Africa. Had the Conservatives learnt anything in Empire-building? The Lyttelton Constitution, rejected by the Dutch, fraught with friction and irritation at every step, was their best performance! When, fortunately, and by the mercy of heaven, the end of their reign came, and Sir Henry Campbell-Bannerman by his application to South Africa of the liberal principles of freedom, laid the foundations of the South African Union, of another Canada in Africa, which in my opinion justified the policy of the British Empire in the eyes of the world, yet the then leader of the Conservative Party, Mr. Balfour, called our policy "the most reckless experiment of modern times," and declined to take any responsibility for this experiment in principle and civilisation, and there once again we have the Conservatives objecting to a Liberal institution, which I think is the only principle of modern Empire-building.

THE TURN OF INDIA.

Well, then, when these principles of Self-Government had been applied in their most extreme

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

form, came the turn of India, when Lord Morley introduced his Indian Councils Act in 1909. Here was no far-reaching scheme, here was no reckless experiment, merely a cautious attempt to associate the governed with the governor and to give expression to popular opinion in India. And we had the late Lord Percy in the House of Commons saying, "Therefore, although it is our duty to warn the Government of the dangers which in our opinion attend many of the steps which we are recommending, the responsibility of acting upon or neglecting the warning must rest with the Government themselves." And we had the usual carping criticism of Lord Curzon. Well, nobody can doubt the success of the Indian Councils Act, but still the Conservatives have learnt no better. The latest efforts in Imperial workmanship were the far-reaching reforms announced the other day at Delhi as the central feature of his Majesty's successful visit to his Indian dominions. It would be improper for me to discuss these reforms without prefacing my remarks with a word of my own personal belief that the great outstanding triumph of that Indian tour was the personality of King George himself. The good results of his gracious voyage to India will long outlive the pleasure afforded to the Indian people by the opportunity of demonstrating their overwhelming loyalty to the British Throne. But what of

our policy, what of the new provinces and Delhi? You have invited a Departmental Minister to occupy the office of President, and you have so brought it upon your heads that I should take, as I am bound to take this, an opportunity which does not assort ill with the theme of our discussion, of answering the critics of that scheme.

THE DURBAR ANNOUNCEMENTS.

In the House of Commons Mr. Bonar Law dismissed it with two criticisms; firstly, that it would cost money; and, secondly, that the reversal of the partition of Bengal, as he called it, was a damaging blow to our prestige. I would say in passing that the complaint about expense as the first objection to a great Imperial measure is typical of modern Conservatism. To them, ideals, poetry, liberty, imagination are unknown; they reduce Empire to a profit and loss account; their ideal is one of a cash nexus, and a million or two is to them far more important than the fact that the transfer of capital provides India with a new city, in a historic place, amid the enthusiastic welcome of the whole of a tradition-loving people. And as for prestige—O India, how much happier would have been your history if that word had been left out of the English vocabulary! But there you have Conservative Imperialism at its worst: we are not there, mark

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

you, to repair evil, to amend injustice, to profit by experience—we must abide by our mistakes, continue to outrage popular opinion simply for the sake of being able to say “I have said what I have said.” I have in other places and at other times expressed my opinion freely on prestige. We do not hold India by invoking this well mouthed word we must hold it by just institutions, and more and more as time goes on by the consent of the governed. That consent must be based on the respect which we shall teach them for the progressive justice of the Government in responding to their legitimate demands. But Mr. Bonar Law knows nothing of India, as he will be the first to admit, and it is to the House of Lords that we must turn for a more exhaustive criticism of our proposals.

LORD CURZON'S ATTITUDE.

And here we come face to face with the great Lord Curzon himself. Now, Sir, no one who has held my office for two years would be absurd enough to speak on a public platform upon this topic without paying a tribute to the great work Lord Curzon has done for India. His indomitable energy, his conspicuous courage, his almost unrivalled self-confidence have placed India under a lasting debt to him. But I would venture, with all respect, to ask how has he spent his time since? Admiring what

he has done, not looking and saying, "We have done this," but saying, "This is my work." In the lengthy speech which he delivered last week in the House of Lords he did lip-service of Parliamentary control, but notwithstanding the fact that Lord Midleton was sitting next to him, notwithstanding the fact that it was Mr. Brodrick, as he then was, not Lord Curzon, who was technically responsible for a large part of the Curzonian administration, he never mentioned the Secretary of State in the whole course of his speech, nor did Lord Midleton speak himself. Lord Curzon has chosen as a point of survey for the work of which he is so proud a point in which he is in his own light, and his shadow is over everything that he has done. It is not "Hands off India" that he preaches: it is "Leave Curzonian India as Lord Curzon left it." To alter anything that Lord Curzon did would be damaging to our prestige. I want to ask you in all seriousness what would be the first criticism which a man wholly ignorant of India—the man-in-the-street—would make to Lord Curzon's speech? I think he would say: "We read of the welcome given in India and in England to this scheme by statesmen, soldiers, civil servants, by speech and by Press of all parties, and we know, therefore, that it is not wholly bad." Therefore, am

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

I not justified in discounting the whole of Lord Curzon's speech by the fact that, although he went into exhaustive details, although he knew the sensitive nature of Indian opinion, the way in which his words would be telegraphed throughout India, although he did not hesitate to bolster up his case with a gossiping story which, as he told it, was obviously untrue and for which he could not state his authority in public, he had no word of praise of any sort or kind either for the conception of our policy or for any detail by which it was carried out—(applause)—although he spoke even longer than I am speaking to-night; he curses it from beginning to end; he curses it for what it did and for how it was done; he curses it because we did it without consulting him—oh, horror of horrors!—and because it ended something which he had done; he cursed it because his Majesty the King was graciously pleased himself to announce it to his people assembled at the Durbar at Delhi. I say again that these are not the grave and weighty criticisms of a statesman: they are the impetuous, angry fault-findings of a man thinking primarily of himself.

THE STORY OF 1905.

May I take his criticisms in a little more detail? He objected to his Majesty making the announcement because, he said, that made it irre-

vocable. Well, educated India reads with full knowledge the words of his Majesty's proclamation: "I make this change on the advice of my Ministers," and knows what is meant by a constitutional monarch, and that blame, if there be blame, and credit, if there be credit, must be laid at the door of his Majesty's advisers. Lord Curzon complains that what the King has said is irrevocable; so I hope it may be, but if it had been made by the Viceroy, Lord Curzon would have said it is irrevocable, and surely what is said by the Viceroy on the King's behalf is as irrevocable as what the King said. In fact, as the Prime Minister said, "What Lord Curzon might do in Lord Curzon's opinion his Majesty the King ought not to do." (Laughter and applause.) Then he asks why Parliament was not consulted. It is a little curious that he should blame us in this regard, for he objects to our having reversed, as he says, a policy of his. Lord Curzon's partition of Bengal was an accomplished fact before any discussion in the House of Commons had taken place. Mr. Herbert Roberts asked Mr. Brodrick on July 5, 1905, a question, and was told "The proposals of the Government of India on this subject reached me on February 18, and I have already communicated to them the decision of the Secretary of State in Council accepting the proposals." But the proposals them-

selves were not divulged. Mr. Roberts, having moved the adjournment of the House on the question of the partition, withdrew his motion on Mr. Brodrick's promising to lay further papers. The recess intervened, during which the proclamation, which finally constituted the new provinces, was issued, and when Mr. Roberts protested against this treatment he received from Mr. Brodrick, a letter from which I quote the following passage: "You will remember that when the discussion took place in the House of Commons the scheme put forward by the Viceroy had already received the assent of the Home Government, and the resolution of the Government of India embodying the scheme has been published and presented to Parliament." Again, Lord Curzon says that the decision in the case of his partition was announced after a Blue-book full of information had been for months in the possession of Parliament. What are the facts? After despatches had been passed between the Government of India and the Secretary of State, the decision was announced in a resolution of the Government of India, dated July 19, 1905. The resolution was presented to Parliament in the form of a White-paper on August 7, and a Blue-book, containing further papers, was presented on October 12—*i.e.*, almost

three months after, not months before, the announcement of the decision.

THE REAL RESPONSIBILITY.

The fact of the matter is, the Secretary of State is responsible to the House of Commons, and the House of Commons can censure him or the Cabinet just as much as it could have done if the Viceroy had made the announcement. The House of Commons has never claimed more than a general control over the Government of India therefore announcements such as the partition of Bengal, and new administrative changes which must be made suddenly and by proclamation, conflicting interests, conflicting claims having to be balanced and adjusted, public discussions would make them difficult, if not impossible, of accomplishment; and that is why the British and the Indian Constitution retain the Royal proclamation as a method of bringing about such changes as this in India or the Self-Government of the Transvaal.

WHY THE PARTITION WAS REVERSED?

Next, Lord Curzon stated that our policy involved a reversal of his policy. I trust Lord Curzon will forgive me for saying that he never had a policy at all. (Laughter and applause.) He was a mere administrator, an industrious, fervid and

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

efficient administrator. He was, in a word, a chauffeur who spent his time polishing up the machinery, screwing every nut and bolt of his car ready to make it go, but he never drove it; he did not know where to drive it to. (Applause.) He merely marked time and waited until a reforming Government gave marching orders. If he were to claim that the partition of Bengal was more than an administrative measure, designed as a part of a policy, then I say that it was even a worse mistake than I thought it, for the making of a Mahomedan State was a departure from accepted British policy which was bound to result in the antithesising and antagonising of Hindu and Mahomedan opinion. I had always hoped that this was the unforeseen result, and not a deliberate achievement, of Lord Curzon's blunder. It has always been the proud boast of English rule in India that we have not interfered between the different races, religions and creeds which we found in the country. That he himself regarded the partition as not more than a mere matter of local administrative convenience may be gathered from the passage in his speech in which he says that, owing to the size of the old Province of Bengal, it had become necessary to draw a line dividing it into two; and he goes on to say "What was the particular line to be drawn was a matter not for the Viceroy." The creation of a vast new province, the

meddling with the lives of millions of people, with all the possibility of offending religious and racial susceptibilities, not a matter for the Viceroy! He looked no further than the necessity for instituting two small provinces where previously there had been one, and thought it not a matter for his concern what line the division should take. So far from being a reversal of Lord Curzon's policy, if policy it can be called, are the changes announced on December 12 last, that we maintained the necessity for the division of the province, but have made three where he made two divisions.

THE NEW POLICY.

Where the difference lies is in this: that we have endeavoured to look ahead, to co-ordinate our changes in Bengal with the general lines of our future policy in India, which is stated now for the first time in the Government of India's despatch that has been published as a Parliamentary Paper. That statement shows the goal, the aim towards which we propose to work—not immediately, not in a hurry, but gradually. Perhaps you will allow me to quote the sentence in the despatch which contains the pith of the statement: "The only possible solution would appear to be gradually to give the provinces a larger measure of Self-Government until at last India would consist of a number

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

of administrative autonomies in all provincial affairs with the Government of India above them all, and possessing power to interfere in cases of misgovernment, but ordinarily restricting their functions to matters of Imperial concern." We cannot drift on for ever without stating a policy. A new generation, a new school of thought, fostered by our education and new European learning, has grown up, and it asks: "What are you going to do with us?" The extremist politicians, who form the outside fringe of this school, have made up their minds what they want. One of their leaders, Mr. Bepin Chandra Pal, has drawn up and published a full, frank, detailed, logical exposition of the exact form of "swaraj," or, as may be roughly translated, "Colonial Self-Government," that they want. The moderates look to us to say what lines our future policy is to take. We have never answered that, and we have put off answering them far too long. At last, and not too soon, a Viceroy has had the courage to state the trend of British policy in India and the lines on which we propose to advance.

THE TRANSFER OF THE CAPITAL.

As for the transfer of the capital from Calcutta, to Delhi, Lord Curzon objects, as far as I can understand, because the Duke of Wellington thought

Delhi was a bad military centre. The Duke of Wellington was not one of our greatest contemporary soldiers. His interference in military matters dates from a time when there were no railways in India, and to mention even one detail, when artillery had not reached its present perfection. The battle of Waterloo is a long way removed from present problems; we have taken our stand and placed our king's Government in the historic capital of India. He talks of Calcutta, the capital of India of 150 years; Delhi, the scene of a King's Durbar—and, yes, of Lord Curzon's Durbar—has been the capital of India for dynasty after dynasty, for family of rulers after family of rulers, right back into the dim and distant epochs of Indian history, and it is revered from one end of India to the other. I venture to say that we have chosen a spot not only the centre of India from every point of view, not only the most convenient for the carrying out of administration effectively, but also one which would appeal to Indian opinion of all classes and all kinds from one end of India to the other. Lord Curzon goes on to say that if you put the capital at Delhi you will have a capital remote from public opinion, I say it will be remote from Calcutta opinion, and that the Government will survey India from the real centre of India, from an eminence in the midst of India,

LIBERALISM AND INDIA.

and not from a depression in the corner. It will no longer have its vision of the wood obscured by the obstruction of one single and very large tree.

IRELAND'S DEMAND.

You have been very good to me and have listened to the most dangerous of all kinds of men—the man who has mounted his own hobby-horse and rides it carelessly at the risk of boring those who have got to listen; but I should not be doing my duty, I should not be earning the salary which the Indian taxpayer gives me, if I did not on this, as on all public occasions, defend the policy which I believe is consistent with the highest traditions of Liberal Empire-building—(applause)—which, by the speech of Lord Curzon and the utterances of Mr. Bonar Law, the Conservatives have once more refused to take part in. And now they are going to have one more chance. We apply these same principles, with the consent of the nation to Ireland; we are reversing the one no more than we have reversed the other; we are going to bring about a union between the English and the Irish people. We are going to improve the government of Ireland by giving her a governing institution; we are going to improve the government of England by removing the burden which clogs her legislative machinery. The land purchase part of Mr. Gladstone's scheme is now an

accomplished fact—the adoption by the Conservatives of the Liberal policy, or a part of it. Ireland awaits the treatment which you have given to the rest of the British Empire. Ireland is anxious to have as good reason to be loyal to the British Empire as the rest of the British Empire. Ireland hampers us at home, and its discontent is a blot upon our escutcheon. Our Colonies, all of them sympathise with the ambition of Ireland to get what they have got. Ireland stands at your door asking that its demand, as the demand of Canada, as the demand of South Africa, as the demand of Bengal, shall be granted by the Imperial Government. We, the Government I represent, are prepared to grant it. The record of our Imperial achievements since 1839 is there for you to consider; the record of Conservative opposition, or refusal to move, is there for you to consider. If Conservatism moves, as it threatens to move, in opposition to Irish demands, then it will have set a hall-mark upon its Imperial incapacity, and we shall have once again the proud position of being the only party capable or willing to justify our British Imperial ideal. (Applause.)

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

SPEECH DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS
ON APRIL 22, 1912.

Mr. MONTAGU, in moving the second reading of this Bill, said: The Bill which I ask the House of Commons to read for the second time to-day is a machinery Bill necessary to carry out the policy which was announced at the Imperial Durbar at Delhi last December. The House of Commons is proceeding to discuss it at a moment when it is safe to say that the policy has been acclaimed by the vast majority of all classes and all races concerned until its out-and-out opponents have come to occupy a position of pathetic, if splendid, isolation. The Bill begins with a preamble which recites acts which have already been performed, and since every act recited in the preamble is an act for which there is ample Parliamentary authority, the method proposed for carrying out these changes is strictly constitutional, and is, in fact, the only method that the Government could have adopted. It has been said that we are relying upon antiquated or even obsolete practice, but they are only obsolete in the sense that they are unfamiliar to members. They are perfectly

well-known to those who have to administer India. Acting under those powers, in a strictly constitutional way, the Governor-General of India in Council fixed by proclamation the limits of the Presidency of Fort William in Bengal and constituted a new Province of Behar and Orissa on March 22, 1912. On March 21, 1912, his Majesty appointed by Royal Warrant Lord Carmichael as Governor of Bengal, under Section 29 of the Government of India Act, 1858. On the same date, under Section 58 of the Government of India Act, 1869, His Majesty appointed three Councillors to be Executive Members of the Council of the Governor of Bengal. I have quoted these sections as the evidence on which I base the claim that we have acted strictly in accordance with the powers given by Parliament in past years and that we have proceeded in the proper way to carry out the changes as recited in the preamble of the Bill, which I ask the House to read a second time.

THE PROVISIONS OF THE BILL.

The first clause of the Bill gives to the new Governor of Bengal exactly the same powers as are now possessed by the Governors of Madras and Bombay. The Act of 1853 extended to the Governor of the new Presidency that might be formed all the powers of the Governors of Madras and Bombay at that

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

date. It is now only necessary, by Section 1 of the Bill, to extend to the Governor of this new Presidency the powers given to the Governors of Madras and Bombay since the passing of the Act of 1853—such powers as were granted, for instance, under the Councils Act of 1861, through the Governors of Madras and Bombay, to make rules for the conduct of business in the Legislative Council, and so on. The House will see, in Clause 1, that there are two provisos added. The first reserves to the Governor-General who now ceases to be Governor of Bengal certain powers that have been exercised by the Governor-General in the past. The powers specially referred to are powers granted to the Governor-General under the High Courts Act of 1860 and 1911, which gives the power to appoint temporary and acting Judges of the High Court. At present the jurisdiction of the High Court sitting at Calcutta will extend beyond the limits of the Presidency of Fort William and Bengal as testified by the proclamation. It will extend to the Province of Behar and Orissa, and it seems right to leave the Governor-General the power of appointing Judges. The second proviso obviates the necessity of appointing the Advocate-General of Bengal as a member of the Legislative Council of Bengal. The reason is that the Advocate-General is a law officer who has to give advice by

the terms of his appointment both to the Government of Bengal and to the Government of India. Sub-section 2 of Clause 1 merely transfers from the Governor-General the power to alter the limits of the town of Calcutta, which was conferred upon him by Section 1 of the Indian Presidency Towns Act of 1815 and which is now obviously under the Government of Bengal. Clause 2 of the Bill gives power to establish an Executive Council for the new Province of Behar and Orissa. Behar and Orissa will have a Legislative as well as an Executive Council, and it is necessary to put in a provision for that in the Bill because, under the Indian Councils Act of 1909, it is possible to appoint an Executive Council for the Lieutenant-Governor. Clause 3 gives power to the Governor-General to appoint a Legislative Council for a province which is governed by a Chief Commissioner. The Governor-General has power to take under his own government, and therefore technically to appoint, a Chief Commissioner to govern a territory in India under Section 3 of the Act of 1854, just as Lord Curzon, when Viceroy, made the North-Western Frontier a Chief Commissionership.

COUNCILS FOR CHIEF COMMISSIONERSHIPS.

If the Government obtain the powers now sought it proposes to exercise them at once in two

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

provinces under a Chief Commissioner. The first is Assam. I do not think the House will deny that the case of granting a Legislative Council to Assam is a good one. Lord Curzon, in the speech which he made in the House of Lords, made a complaint against the scheme that it would detract from the position of Assam by removing it from conjunction with the Government of Eastern Bengal. Assam has been under a Legislative Council, and by giving it a Legislative Council through this Bill we shall enable the province to go on with the same representative Government as it has had in the past. The other province—the Central Provinces—to whom the Government of India propose to give a Legislative Council include the territory of Berar, with a population of 14 millions and extending over an area of 100,000 square miles. I think that those who have some experience of that part of the British Empire will agree that in education, in enthusiasm for progress, the claim of the Central Provinces to have the same legislative system as exists in the neighbouring provinces is a good one, and it is at any rate, a move strictly in accordance with the principle of the Liberal Imperial policy of devolution and the granting of representative government in response to the demands of the majority of those people in the country who have expressed an opinion. Clause 4, read with the

Schedule, repeals and amends certain enactments which now either require alteration to harmonise with the new condition of affairs or require repeal. The only one I need mention is the repeal of Section 57 of the East India Act of 1793, which dates from the time when the Civil Service of each Presidency was a separate Civil Service, and which prevents us from appointing civil servants from one Presidency to act in another. Now that the whole of the Indian Civil Service is an Imperial Service, it seems to the Government of India that that provision is unnecessary. The repeal of Section 71 is consequential, and the other provisions are merely slight verbal alterations. Perhaps I may make special mention of Section 50 of the India Council Act of 1861, the amendment of which makes it possible for the Governor of Bengal to act as Governor-General in the absence of the Viceroy. The Bill, it will be seen, consists merely of alterations in machinery to carry out a policy which has been generally accepted and which I believe the House will agree contains elements of lasting advantage and the germs of improved government for the great Empire of India. (Cheers.)

REPLY TO CRITICISMS.

I have not the right to address the House again, but perhaps I may be allowed to reply to some of

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

the questions which have been put to me. Sir John Jardine asked whether the repeal or alteration of certain sections of the Act of 1793 will affect the position of the Indian Civil Service. The answer is emphatically in the negative. This Bill only repeals parts of the Statute which were not repealed when the rest of the statute was repealed in 1865. Colonel Yate put three specific points. The first was as to the defence of Delhi. I want to assure him that, I think, the authorities are agreed that the strategical position of Delhi as the central point of the railway system of India is a very good one, but the weighty words which he addressed to the House will, of course, be noted by those who are concerned with these affairs.

THE MAHOMEDANS OF EASTERN BENGAL.

We come to a much more substantial point when we consider the position of the Mahomedans in Eastern Bengal. Much has been said in various places and in various newspapers on this point. It would be a mistake to talk of the Mahomedan people of India as though they were a homogeneous people of one nationality. The Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal are the descendants of Hindu converts, or are Hindu converts themselves, and have little or no relation except that of religion with three-fifths of the Mahomedan population of India outside the limits of Bengal, but also belonging to the native races of the north. So

far as the Mahomedan population outside Bengal is concerned, they have no objection to the restoration of Delhi, which they have always regarded as the capital of historic India. They have shown good will and have gratefully acknowledged and accepted the change. Their position is very carefully safeguarded under the Bill. They are perhaps the most backward part, or one of the most backward parts, of the population of the old Presidency of Bengal and they are keenly and eagerly desirous of new educational facilities. They are to have a new university which will be largely used for the benefit of Mahomedans, and that is one of the most valuable consequences connected with the new arrangements. They will form in the Presidency of Bengal rather more than half of the population. I could give the House statistics to prove that there will be more Mahomedans than Hindus in the new Governorship, but, roughly speaking, they are about equally divided. In the Executive Council which has been appointed by His Majesty the King for the Presidency, the Indian Member is a well-known Mahomedan. Again, it is the avowed and declared intention of the Government that the new Governor of Bengal must spend a substantial part of each year in Dacca in the Government Building. It is not to be a statutory provision, but the Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal are perfectly entitled to

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

policy. It has never been the policy of the British Government in India to interfere with and construct artificial regions, territories, and provinces for the benefit of one race or one religion. They have always tried to hold impartially the balance between different races and religions.

LORD CURZON'S POLICY.

If it be claimed that the policy of parting Bengal in 1905 was a policy intended to set up a Mahomedan province, then I say emphatically that that departure from British policy for which Lord Curzon will stand revealed to have been guilty was a far greater blunder than his worst critics have accused him of committing. But Lord Curzon will be the first to admit that there was no such policy. Sir J. D. Rees, who was welcomed back to the House in surroundings which will be more congenial to his ultra-Conservative views, talked about this new policy as a reversal of the old policy. I do not mean it disrespectfully of one of the greatest Viceroys we have ever had when I say that Lord Curzon in this matter had no policy of any sort or kind. He was a great administrator. He produced efficiency which is one of the most cherished possessions of the Indian Government at the present moment. But his concern was with an unwieldy province. He found it too big, and deter-

mined to divide it. He moved nationalities about and he moved individuals about as though they were automatons.

MR. MALCOLM: The Hon. Gentleman is speaking now by leave of the House, and I wish to know whether he can enter into this controversial matter, to which none of us can have the opportunity of replying.

MR. MONTAGU: I apologise to the Hon. Member if he thinks that I am doing something I ought not to do. I quite appreciate that it is only by the courtesy of the House that I can speak now. But Sir J. D. Rees charged us with reversing the old policy.

SIR J. D. REES: We did not discuss it. I would have done so if I had been at liberty to do it.

MR. MONTAGU: The Hon. Member made the charge that we were reversing Lord Curzon's policy, and I am defending the Government against that charge. I wish to point out that much of the criticism made by Hon. Members opposite this afternoon against this measure would have been more appropriate if it had been directed against a reversal or policy which is going to happen. Sir Gilbert Parkef and Mr. Malcolm based their speeches upon the great constitutional outrage which had been perpetrated by the Executive Government, which is increasingly aggregating to itself powers, and which is bringing about these changes before the consent of the Parliament has been obtained. Mr. Malcolm is not quite

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

accurate in his facts. He talked of two opportunities which the House of Lords had no opportunity of discussing the matter before it was a settled fact. They took the opportunity of discussion on two occasions after it had become a settled fact. In this Session of Parliament Hon. Members opposite could have had similar opportunities by raising the subject on the Debate on the Address, or they could have asked a day for the discussion of it afterwards. They deliberately did not do so. Neither of these opportunities have been taken.

MR. MALCOLM : The speaker has already ruled that it is out of order.

MR. MONTAGU : I do not understand that the Hon. Member is in a better position than myself to decide points of order. The Bill concerns the whole of the re-partition of Bengal, the creation of the new provinces of Behar and Orissa, the segregation of Assam under a new Chief Commissionership, and these matters and nine-tenths of the Durbar policy could have been discussed under this Bill, and in so far as the removal of the capital was incidental to the changes in Bengal that was equally in order. That has not been done by Hon. Members. They claim great patriotism in refusing to discuss the matter. The fact of the matter is that there are some acts which this House, or the

great majority of its members, have never claimed, and rightly never claimed, to criticise. I suggest with regard to policy in India that the practice of this House never has been to claim to criticise in detail the administrations in India before certain acts have been accomplished. I base myself upon the speech made by Mr. Gladstone on the Indian Councils Act Amendment Bill in this House on March 20, 1892:—"It is not our business to devise machinery for the purposes of Indian Government. It is our business to give to those who represent Her Majesty in India ample information as to what we believe to be sound principles of Government, and of course it is the function of this House to comment upon any cases in which we may think that they have failed to give due effect to those principles." When Bengal was divided in 1905, there was no discussion in this House of Commons at all and no information or opportunity was given to the House of expressing any opinion until after the proposals of the Government of India had been accepted by Mr. Brodrick, who was then Secretary. The fact is that these changes, in which so many interests are involved of grave Imperial concern and result, have always been dealt with by administrative action, and afterwards the House of Commons has had its opportunity of expressing its opinion upon them.

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

THE "AGITATION" AGAINST THE PARTITION.

Sir J. D. Rees has thought fit to revive the old charge that we are altering the partition of Bengal in response to an agitation. All the information at the disposal of the Government of India is to the effect that he is totally misinformed. Lord Curzon, in making precisely the same allegation in the House of Lords, relied on and quoted the authority of two gentlemen. One was an Indian gentleman who had long been absent from Bengal altogether, and another an English writer who never wrote the words which Lord Curzon quoted. I venture to suggest that the root of the Hon. Gentleman's objection is this, that there are in India, as has often been said in this House, two kinds of agitation. One is the agitation which is the genuine expression of a genuine grievance, or what the people believe to be one; a grievance against an outraged nationality; an agitation which is the genuine desire for redress of something which is wrong. Then there are those agitators often the anti-British purpose who take advantage of the existence of that grievance who are almost a parasitic growth upon the legitimate unrest. That kind of agitation is almost dead. It was wisely handled and severely repressed during Lord Morley's Secretaryship of State, when Lord Morley and Lord Minto used exceptional measures for dealing with

that sort of agitation, which was not genuine and could not be permitted to continue. But the real, deep, bitter resentment against the line which Lord Curzon drew right across the Bengali-speaking district, the sentimental grievance, the grievance of unfair and disproportionate representation, remained as deep after that long interval as it did when the new state of affairs was first created. That kind of agitation was at the root of everything that was threateningly wrong in India. I conceive it to be the wisest kind of statesmanship to investigate this grievance to see how well-founded it was to remove the grievance and to settle a national wrong. So no one can say that we have responded to illegitimate clamour or have done more than merely redress a grievance which would remain as great as long as it lasted.

SIR J. D. REES: Does the Hon. Gentleman include the compounding of a felony by the Government of India among these-wise measures?

MR. MONTAGU: The Hon. Member is bringing a new charge, which I will be happy in a general Debate to prove to be as unfounded as any of the other charges which he has brought. But it would be trespassing too far on the matter before the House at present to deal with it now.

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

THE QUESTION OF FINANCE.

Mr. Malcolm asked me a question about the finance in connection with the establishment of the new capital. The estimate with regard to Delhi remains to-day what it was. It is not possible yet to submit the revised estimate. The Hon. Member is at liberty to suggest twelve millions. He has opportunities doubtless of arriving at a more accurate figure than the Government of India. But the estimate given was put forward by the Government of India and accepted by the Secretary of State with due regard to the existing difficulties. There are all sorts of offsets to be made. New buildings would have been necessary if the seat of Government had remained unchanged, and there is a certain amount of profit to set off against outlay, appreciation in the Government lands and the sale of certain lands and buildings. It is a rough general guess. The site is now being surveyed by an expert Committee, and as soon as the revised estimates are available they will, of course, be presented to the House. But it is as fair to assume that the expenditure would be approximately four million pounds as to assume that it would be approximately eight million pounds.

THE PROMISE OF "FEDERATION."

Mr. Bonar Law, with other members, referred to the change of policy which was obtaining as the

result of this measure. He quoted the words of Lord Crewe and words of my own in Cambridge, and he suggested that there was a discrepancy between them. The despatch and the answer to the despatch have been published in the White Paper, and the words of paragraph 3 are definite and unmistakable, and I should have thought would have admitted of no possible doubt. If a microscopic examination can detect any difference of meaning in the words that I used at Cambridge and the words which my chief used in the House of Lords, I will ask the House to attribute the difference to the obvious difference of atmosphere between the other place and the platform in my own constituency. There is to be no immediate step, no resulting step as a consequence of the changes which the House this afternoon is passing, but surely, when every moving section of the people of India has got a policy, when there are preachers and teachers all over the country advocating this and that course of action, and some are advocating policies which are hostile to British interests, it was not out of place, I conceive, to show to the people of India, as Lord Hardinge did in paragraph 3 of this despatch, that there was a direction in which the British occupation was tending, that there was some definite aim and object in which, in the opinion of the Government in India, all these

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

changes might be co-related, that we were there, not merely to administer, but to develop India on a plan which had been brought out by those who had been advising the Secretary of State. That is, as I understand, the meaning of paragraph 3, and as such I regard it as one of the most important parts of that historic despatch.

THE POLICY OF THE OPPOSITION.

If there is one other matter which I might respectfully venture to put forward, it is that I feel a deep regret that even those who confine their remark entirely to the way in which these changes have been brought about took an opportunity by some side phrases to express their doubts of and their disagreement with the policy and the Bill which carries it out.

SIR. GILBERT PARKER : I expressly said that I would forbear from making a single remark about change of policy, and I did not make any such remark.

Mr. MONTAGU : And then you added that there were large numbers of people in India who had grave doubts as to its efficacy. What I mean to say is that I should have thought it was quite clear to the people of India that what they believed to be a great step forward in the process of governing that country was the gift offered by His Majesty at the Durbar

on the advice of his responsible Ministers from the people of Great Britain in respect of party. And it is a matter, I think, for regret that Lord Curzon, who has spoken most on the subject, adopted an attitude of complete hostility, and so far as in this debate any expression of opinion has come from those benches at all it has been either like that of Mr. Malcolm or like the assertion of Mr. Bonar Law. Why was it wrong for His Majesty most graciously to make this announcement himself at the Durbar? Is it that Mr. Bonar Law objects to the policy of Durbar boons altogether, or is it simply that people feel that there is a peculiar sanctity about a policy recommended by His Majesty the King on the advice of his Ministers which does not touch the ordinary policy recommended by the Viceroy on behalf of His Majesty the King, and with the sanction of the Ministers? If that is the criticism, then it is based upon the partition of Bengal, and very much of what has been said falls to the ground. The same sanctity, in our opinion, would have attached to the Proclamation had it been made by the Viceroy as attached, and I think rightly attached, to it when it was made by His Majesty the King.

SIR J. D. REES : The Opposition have not had an opportunity of discussing what was done under the cover of His Majesty's prerogative, and the Opposition and those who oppose this policy are really deprived of the opportunity of stating their objections.

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

Mr. MONTAGU: I am merely suggesting that there has been no difference in the treatment of the question from the announcement having been made by His Majesty instead of by the Viceroy. It was announced in His Majesty's gracious speech from the Throne at Delhi, instead of by Lord Curzon, as in the partition of Bengal, by Viceregal Proclamations. In spite of the criticisms which have been made, and notwithstanding some small questions of boundary readjustment which remain, I am profoundly convinced that this policy has been welcomed by the overwhelming majority of all races and all creeds, and that it will open, as Colonel Yate has said, a new era of peace, contentment and progress in India. There is every sign upon the horizon which gives those who are proud of the achievement of the Government in India of great hope of increasing contentment, increasing prosperity, and increasing consent of the Government to be governed by those whose policy shows sympathy with their legitimate aspirations.

Replying to the criticisms in the House of Commons on June 10, 1912, on the third reading of the Government of India Bill, Mr. Montagu said:—

He would leave the discussion of the finances of Delhi to the Debate on the Indian Budget. All he

would say now was that Delhi was being financed out of windfalls which were due to exceptional circumstances which did not render them available for the reduction of taxation. It did not very much matter whether debts were paid off with surpluses such as these, and fresh loans contracted, or whether these surpluses were used directly for purposes for which they were bound to borrow.

The scheme in the Bill provided for the removal of the seat of the Government of India from Calcutta to Delhi. Calcutta was the seat of the Government of Bengal, and the difficulty of disentangling the Government of India from that of Bengal was so great that it would be far better for both the Government of India and the Government of Bengal if they removed the seat of the Government of India to Delhi, which was not the centre of any provincial Government. The word "provincial" was used in this sense, that it merely referred to the fact that the Government, whilst at Calcutta, was the centre of the Government of one of the provinces of India. It was provincial in the sense that Calcutta was the provincial centre of Bengal, and, therefore, the Imperial Government of India was in the provincial centre of Bengal. He asserted without fear of contradiction that students of the Government of India for generations

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

past had been impressed with the growing difficulty which was presented by the two sets of Government in the same place, interlaced and intertwined, so that those who were affected by the decisions of one or the other had difficulty in disentangling the responsibility. The Government of India was now going to Delhi, which was not the centre of a provincial Government, because it was strictly the enclave of India, as Washington was the enclave of the United States of America. Sir J. D. Rees so far as his position was based on the statement that we were going from one province to another, was misrepresenting the true state of the facts to the House. The same object might have been achieved possibly by making Calcutta the enclave, and transferring the Government of Bengal out of Calcutta. But, as the Hon. Member would be the first to admit, Calcutta was far too large and important a commercial centre to be adapted to the purposes of the Imperial Government.

Sir J. D. REES : In what respect are the Government of India and the Government of Bengal interlaced and intertwined? Their functions are quite distinct.

Mr. MONTAGU said that he would send the Hon. Gentleman papers which would instruct him. Delhi was the historic centre of India, and it was also the railway centre. It was from many points of view the

most acceptable part of the great Empire to which to remove the seat of the Government of India, for it was far nearer to Bombay and the whole of the East of India than Calcutta was. It was also a considerable manufacturing town already. He could not enter into the dispute between the Hon. Member for Nottingham and the Government of India as to the reverence felt for Delhi by the various peoples of India. He would only say that his description of Delhi did not carry conviction to him when he read such words as these which appear in paragraph 6 of the White Paper :—“ Throughout India, as far south as the Mahomedan conquest extended, every walled town has its ‘ Delhi gate,’ and among the masses of the people it is still revered as the seat of the former Empire.”

THE FUNDAMENTAL ERROR OF THE CRITICS.

So much for the removal of the Government to Delhi. The fundamental error made by critics of the policy of the Government of India was the suggestion that there had been a reversal of the Partition of Bengal. He had been accused of speaking in derogatory terms of Lord Curzon when he suggested that Lord Curzon in these matters had no policy at all. It was merely a well-known fact. Lord Curzon was as great an administrator

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

as India had ever had. He had found a great province of 98,000,000 people—(An Hon. Member : “Eighty-five millions”)—and had become acquainted (as he had said) with the scandalous mal-administration which was going on in the eastern parts of Bengal. He had found that owing to its vast size, it was quite impossible to administer the province according to modern ideas. So Lord Curzon decided to divide it, but he did not divide it with the idea of making a Mahomedan State, or with a view to redress alleged Mahomedan grievances. There was no policy underlying it; it was merely an administrative reform to produce efficiency. He could quote from Lord Curzon’s own words:—
What was the particular line to be drawn was a matter not for the Viceroy. The line was settled by consultation and discussions between the Local Governments and the officials.” Lord Curzon was not concerned to find where the line was drawn at all. He wanted to split up an unwieldy province and make two parts of it which would be more wieldy. Bitter experience had taught that even in the sacred cause of efficiency we could not move masses of the population about and destroy their national ideals without regard to their thoughts and opinions.

The EARL of RONALDSHAY : What am I to understand by the Hon. Gentleman’s statement as to moving masses of

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. K. S. MONTAGU.

population about? Nobody has ever suggested moving the population.

Mr. MONTAGU explained that he meant moving them from one Government to another. You could not order the man to cease to be a subject of the Government of Bengal and put him into Eastern Bengal without very serious consequences, even in the cause of efficiency. It did require investigation as to whether the line—

Sir J. D. REES: The man remains where he is.

Mr. MONTAGU: The Hon. Gentleman is perfectly right in saying that the man remains where he is, but is no longer in Bengal.

Sir J. D. REES: He is subject to the same class of administration.

MR. MONTAGU, continuing, said that the Government had therefore, because the unrest produced militated against the efficiency which Lord Curzon desired, done over again in the light of experience of Lord Curzon's work.

A BETTER PARTITION.

There was now a partition of Bengal, not into two pieces, but into three pieces, and all they claimed was that, having regard to the fact that they had kept the national boundaries, their partition was a better one than Lord Curzon's, and likely to produce greater

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

efficiency, because it was more acceptable to the population. Lord Ronaldshay might say that whatever the motives of Lord Curzon were, a Mahomedan State came into existence, and the Mahomedans had a right to expect that the state of affairs should remain for ever, and that the Government had in that sense broken their pledge to the Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal. These were serious charges. Nobody knew better than the member for East Nottingham how what was said in these Debates found its way to India. Nobody had been more vehement in criticising members below the gangway on this ground, and he hoped the Hon. Member would have serious misgivings about his own utterances that afternoon, when he had brought accusations of breach of faith and of pledges, not only against Lord Crew and the Government here, but against the whole fabric of the Government in India, who were jointly responsible for these great changes. The Hon. Member regretted, and the noble lord regretted, that there should be any idea in India that we had broken our pledges. But how much had the Hon. Member not done to encourage that idea by words carelessly thrown out which were without a shadow of foundation?

SIR J. D. REES said he only pointed out the facts.

MR. MONTAGU said the Hon. Gentleman's alleged facts were not facts. The words which had

been continually quoted against the Government in that Debate were the words of Lord Morley, "The partition is a settled fact." He would ask the noble lord to be good enough to read Lord Morley's own speech on this subject in the House of Lords. Lord Morley was a member of the Government responsible for this Bill, as he was when he used the famous words, "The partition is a settled fact." What Lord Morley meant was that the great improvement of administration which was to result from the sub-division of Bengal could never again be sacrificed, and that the partition of Bengal could never be reversed. There had been no reversal. What was to be the meaning of the words "settled fact" in politics? Were they to mean that a thing once done should never be modified in the light of experience? However badly it had been done, were they all to sit and admire it for generation after generation without having the courage to alter it? That was a theory of crystallised conservatism which he believed to be the worst that could be applied to a quickly changing and developing country like India.

THE MAHOMEDANS OF EASTERN BENGAL.

The Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal had lost nothing by this change. At the commencement

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

Eastern Bengal was not the overwhelming Mahomedan State some critics seemed to think it was. At the commencement of last year the Legislative Council in Eastern Bengal included ten Hindus. What had the Mahomedans got now? They had got their new university. One of the seats of Government of the new Presidency of Bengal was at Dacca. They were governed under Lord Carzon's scheme from Dacca by a Lieutenant-Governor—the Lieutenant-Governor of Eastern Bengal, who had no Executive Council. Sir J.D. Rees poured scorn on the difference between a Lieutenant-Governor and a Governor. Surely he forgot that the Lieutenant-Governor of Eastern Bengal had no Executive Council. The Governor of Eastern Bengal has an Executive Council.

SIR J.D. REES : And the Lieutenant Governor of Bengal had an Executive.

Mr. MONTAGU : The Hon. Member is wrong in his facts. The Lieutenant-Governor of Eastern Bengal had no Executive.

SIR J.D. REES : Bengal, I said.

Mr. MONTAGU was afraid the Hon. Member was now getting excited. (*Laughter.*) He was referring to the Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal.

The Mahomedans were in form governed under Lord Curzon from Dacca by a Lieutenant-Governor, who had no Executive Council to assist him. Under the new scheme they were governed still from Dacca for certain portions of the year by the Governor of Bengal, who would be assisted by an Executive Council, and they would, therefore, have a more modern and up-to-date system of Government. Further than that, when the Partition of Bengal was brought about, Eastern Bengal had no representative Legislative Council, because the Reform Bill of Lord Morley and Lord Minto was in 1909. The form of Government Mahomedans would enjoy now would be better and more efficient than the old Government. When the partition was brought about, the Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal were 30 per cent. of a population of over 80 millions. Now they would be about 50 per cent. of a population of 50 millions. Under the partition they were about 35 per cent. of the old population of Eastern Bengal. In numbers, in form of Government, in position, the Mahomedans of Eastern Bengal had lost absolutely nothing by the modification of the partition. In addition, though it was only a side question, the present Indian Member of the Executive Council of the Governor of Bengal was a Mahomedan from Eastern Bengal.

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

THE CLAIM OF CALCUTTA.

He desired, in conclusion, to deal with two criticisms made by Sir J.D. Rees. The Hon. Member had referred to the position of the member for Commerce and Industry. He said he had been asked to voice the opinion of the Chambers of Commerce, and then showed that he meant the Chamber of Commerce in Bengal. He would be the last to detract from the great importance of that representative Chamber of the greatest commercial community in India. But it was only that Chamber which was anxious to have its objections to this policy represented. Naturally, what Calcutta lost, Bombay and Karachi gained. If the Hon. Member would come to the India Office and read the files of the newspapers in India, which he had carefully collected ever since this reform scheme came into being, he would be struck by the remarkable way in which the serious alarm of the Chamber of Commerce in Calcutta had been isolated and ignored by the rest of European opinion from one end of the country to the other. He thought that alarm was probably based on a misapprehension: and he believed that when the scheme was seen at work the fears of the commercial community in Calcutta would be allayed, and that they would share in what was the enthusiastic welcome of this scheme from the vast

majority of the people of all classes and races in the great Empire of India.

THE CHARGE OF SURRENDER TO AGITATION.

There was one further matter he felt bound to refer to. The Government had been accused of giving way to agitation and irresponsible clamour. The House would have noticed a very curious inconsistency in the way in which this charge was brought. It was levelled with great vehemence by Sir J. D. Rees, who immediately afterwards quoted from Lord Minto an assurance that there was no agitation and no clamour to which to give way. He could not have it both ways; he could not say that there was no agitation to which to give way, and immediately afterwards award blame for having given way to an agitation that never existed. The fact of the matter was that in Bengal, as in so many other countries, the large, overwhelming, and almost universal number of the inhabitants were peaceful, law-abiding, and loyal citizens. There was a small—very small and insignificant—minority of irresponsible agitators. He challenged the House to say, looking back over history since 1906, that the Government which he was there to represent had been supine in putting down the agitation which was the work of that insignificant, disloyal, and rebellious minority. Lord Minto himself

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA BILL.

brought back from India, as one of the greatest triumphs of his rule, the way in which he and Lord Morley put down and, as he believed, stamped out what was known as the seditious movement in India. But there were two ways of stamping out sedition, and neither was complete without the other. They had not only to punish the seditious, but they had to remove the just causes of complaint which brought recruits to the ranks of the seditious, and which, therefore, prevented repressive legislation from having the effect they desired, whilst there was the slightest suspicion to make honest men's minds uneasy that those responsible for the Government of the country were not quick to redress legitimate grievances. The Government of India believed that the real feeling—spreading far beyond the miserable confines of the seditious, disloyal, and rebellious—of wounded nationality, of wounded race susceptibilities, of unfair treatment, which had resulted from the Partition, was as strong on Durbar Day as it ever was when the irresponsible agitation existed.

He hoped Lord Ronaldshay would not think he was making any accusation against him, but no greater disservice could be done to the Government of India than carelessly to lump together in speech an agitation such as the presentation of a petition against the University at Dacca, and, let them say,

the agitation that was punished by deportation. The one was a legitimate Western method of gaining access to those who were in authority and in a country like India the responsibility of those who governed to listen to grievances when they were represented was even more vital than in a country where votes were the armoury of those who were governed. If in any part of his speech he had shown irritation with anything that had fallen from Hon. Members opposite, he could only plead as an excuse that a charge of broken pledges against a Government, annoying and irritating and wounding as it might be in domestic affairs, could not be ignored and must be met by a Government which had the overwhelming responsibility of the good Government of India to answer for. It was because he believed he had answered a charge which he wished had never been made on a subject in which party politics played no part that he ventured confidently to commend this Bill to the House, a Bill which, he believed, would lead to the improved Government and the greater peace of a country which benefited to a greater degree every day by the fact that the British people were responsible for its government. (*Hear, hear.*)

OPIUM TRAFFIC

SPEECH DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS
IN MAY 1913.

Mr. Montagu said that in the unavoidable absence of the Secretary for Foreign Affairs it fell to his lot to explain the policy of his Majesty's Government and the Government of India on the subject of the resolution before the House. Personally he welcomed the fortune of the ballot which had given them an opportunity of discussing the question, not only because he thought the discussion itself would be of considerable value, but also because it would relieve the always inadequate debate on the Indian Budget of one of the subjects which always loomed very largely. Any one listening to the debate might, be pardoned for thinking that the House of Commons was once again reiterating its detestation of this trade, while there was a Government in office deaf to all entreaty which refused to take any steps to translate the views of the House of Commons into action. Mr. Taylor had been the first

of the speakers to pay a tribute to the Government for what had taken place in recent years. The opium traffic was in a flourishing condition at the beginning of the sixteenth century. It had been going on ever since. No member of the House could read the history of the traffic without serious misgivings as to whether Great Britain had not fallen far short of her Imperial ideals upon several occasions during that time. There was satisfaction in the fact that there had always been in the House of Commons a small but growing number of men who had never faltered in their determination to urge the cessation of the traffic, and its ultimate extinction of this trade ought to be placed first and foremost to the credit of such men as Sir Joseph Pease, Mr. Samuel Smith, Sir Mark Stewart, Mr. Henry Wilson, and Mr. Taylor himself. When the present Government came into office in 1906 the opium traffic with China was flourishing, legalised, unthreatened. No end was in sight. If anyone had then predicted that in a short period of years an Indian Finance Minister would have viewed without excessive emotion or even panic a total loss of the Indian revenue derived from the Indo-Chinese opium traffic he would have been regarded as a wrong-headed visionary. But the whole complexion of the situation was changed when it was demonstrated beyond doubt that there was

OPIUM TRAFFIC.

in China a large number of men who abhorred the traffic, and were determined to put a stop to it, and when it was found that the Government of China, acting on behalf of the Chinese people, were anxious to rid themselves of the terrible curse. In spite of the remarks which had fallen from Sir. J. D. Rees, he would like the House to accept it as indisputable that the Chinese Government and people, as a whole were with earnestness and courage ridding themselves of opium (Ministerial cheers). On this question there was no reason for cynicism or for scepticism, and no work for the scoffer and the sneerer. (Ministerial cheers.) All the evidence pointed to that conclusion, and when one knew the proverbial difficulty of getting rid of an old habit, when one realised how widespread was the opium habit, the extent of the country, and the size of the population, he asserted without fear of contradiction that the history of the world showed few actions comparable to the efforts now being made by the Chinese people to rid themselves of a drug that was sapping the manhood of the nation and destroying their chance of development. (Cheers.) China had shown an example of moral courage which was rare in the history of the world. That was the situation with which Lord Morley and Lord Minto had to deal. But for this desire on the part of China any self-sacrifice on the part of India would have been useless. It would

have simply meant for China the establishment of a Chinese monopoly. We should have lost our revenue and would not have benefited the people of China in the slightest. They had to consider in evincing sympathy for the Chinese attitude what course would be most useful and likely to help China itself. China had an almost overwhelmingly difficult task. She had not only to get rid of opium growth in China, but to deal with the desire of people for opium. If therefore, we had suddenly ceased to send any opium to China, the result would have been to give a few incentive to opium growing in China. According what the Chinese suggested was the *pari passu* policy—the policy of stopping imports as she stopped her growth. He hoped they would not talk about forcing China to take opium. China wanted to rid herself of opium and asked for our co-operation. As a free agent she asked us to conclude a treaty, agreed to that treaty, and expressed satisfaction with it as a means of assisting her in getting rid of the opium she grew in China itself. And so in 1907 the Indian Government, acting through his Majesty's Government, agreed to extinguish the Indian opium trade with China in ten years on condition that in the same time China extinguished her growth of opium. The extinction of the poppy in China went on at such a rate than in 1911, at the end of a trial period, the

OPIUM TRAFFIC.

Chinese Government suggested a modification of the treaty with a view to quickening this *pari passu* policy. The treaty was accordingly modified. We adopted the plan of certificating the opium which was to go into China, and we agreed to abandon our treaty rights of importing opium into China indiscriminately, and to stop the importation into any part which might be proved to be free from opium, so that any province of the Chinese empire could rid itself at once of Indian opium if it could prove that it had no opium of its own. The three Manchurian provinces and Szechuan, by far the largest of the poppy growing provinces of China, and Shansi were closed at the end of August, 1911. Two more provinces, Chihli and Kwangsi, were closed in January, 1913, and His Majesty's Government have also agreed that three others—Hunan, Anhui, and Shantung—should be subject to a joint investigation, with a view to their closure if the result is satisfactory..

THE END IN SIGHT.

Under the other parts of the treaty of 1911, we had a right to sell to China 16,580 chests of opium this year, 11,461 next year, 10,200 in 1915, 5,100 in 1916, and that was the end of the Indian-Chinese opium trade, (Hear, hear.) That may not be so quick as some people would wish, but the end

is in sight in 1916 of a traffic over 400 years old, an end which could not be seen at all seven years ago, when this Government came into power. The situation had been complicated by the accumulation of approximately 20,000 chests of opium in the treaty ports. These accumulations were due to the disregard of treaty engagements of some of the provincial governments. In some of these provinces, opium was actually being grown by the farmers with impunity. His own belief was that the accumulations never represented a desire of the Central Chinese Government to shirk its treaty obligations, but were merely an index of the trouble which the Chinese Government went through in its transition from an Empire to a Republic. Now that better order had been restored these stocks were no longer lying at the treaty ports, but were going into the country in a regular way, competing with the Chinese native opium, except in the provinces that had been closed, at the rate of 2,000 chests a month. Roughly speaking, in a little over a year the difficulty will have disappeared. To send the stocks elsewhere would constitute an abandonment of the *pari passu* policy, and would embarrass the Chinese Government. For if the demands of those who smoked opium in China were not met by imports, there would be an incentive to grow the poppy in China.

OPIUM TRAFFIC.

In order, therefore, to assist China the Indian Government was prepared to take a third step in advance. They had abandoned altogether the revenue derived from the sale of opium to China for this year, and were to-day selling no opium to China. He was in as proud a position as an Under-Secretary for India had ever occupied in saying for the first time in the modern history of India that we were selling not an ounce of the poppy to China. (Cheers.) When the present stocks were absorbed in, roughly speaking, a year's time we should have the treaty right in response to China's own demand, to sell her 26,781 chests more, but he was glad to be able to tell the House that notwithstanding that we might get from these chests some eleven millions sterling of revenue, notwithstanding that we had a right to go on selling to any province in China in which opium was still being grown, we were prepared to revise the treaty of 1911—(Hear, hear)—and not to send any more opium to China—not only this year or while the stocks were being absorbed, but never again. (Cheers.) The single condition was that we desired to be satisfied that China was steadfast, as was believed, in the pursuit of her present policy. That condition was in the interests of China herself. (Cheers.) We were in the satisfactory position of being able to say that

the traffic from India to China in opium was dead, and would never be renewed unless China showed by her own action that she would not actually benefit by the cessation of the importation of Indian opium. The growth of the poppy in India would be reduced to an amount sufficient to supply the Indian and the extra-Chinese markets. He believed the whole force of British public opinion would be with the Government in the response they had made. (Cheers.) We had been able not only to respond to the request of the Chinese Republic for the prayers of the Churches in this country, but to show in the House of Commons a real sympathy with Chinese desires by the action now being taken. (Cheers.) He asked the House to acknowledge the debt owing to the representatives, so far as there were any, of the people of India, who at a time when India was quickening, and money was much needed had, so far as could be seen, with the exception of a few isolated grumblers, cheerfully foregone this, source of revenue, and accepted the arrangement. (Hear, hear.)

Sir J. D. REES asked what was to be done with the opium which was held up in Shanghai.

Mr. MONTAGU replied that the opium in Shanghai and Hong Kong would be released at the rate of 2,000 chests a month, and, it was anticipated,

OPIUM TRAFFIC.

would be absorbed in about a year in the ordinary way of trade. As the controversy was ending that night, he wished also to pay a tribute to those, who had brought this question constantly before the House, and, above all, he wished to congratulate his hon. friend (Mr. Theodore Taylor) on the termination of his labours. (Cheers) His name, with others, would be associated with the termination of this traffic, and he ventured to suggest as another field for their activities the havoc that was being brought by morphia and cocaine, as was pointed out at the last Hague Conference. The Government were ready to ratify the agreement come to at the Conference to introduce the necessary legislation to prevent the harm revealed, but there were two or three Great Powers lagging behind.

MR. MONTAGU'S VISIT TO INDIA.

In the course of a speech to his constituents at Histon on March 28, 1914, Mr. Montagu, M. P., Under-Secretary of State for India, said :

It is not now a suitable opportunity or place to enter into a lengthy discussion or account of the impressions I formed in India. Indeed, the duty of a Minister who has been permitted this great opportunity of investigation must largely be to use his information inside his office and not on the platform. I have only to thank you for the generous confidence which alone made it possible for me to go and I have to tell you that I am confident of the complete success of my journey. I was met with the splendid hospitality so characteristic of those brave men and women on whose shoulders rest the heavy responsibility of a task of increasing difficulty and increasing demand. I was honoured with the confidence of British and Indian, and, in the 15,000 miles I travelled, was able to see something of the unending varieties of Indian conditions, and meet the great leaders of Indian opinion as well as our

MR. MONTAGU'S VISIT TO INDIA.

officials. I cannot find words in which to thank them for all that they did for me, and if I can prove to them that we at the India Office are anxious to appreciate the difficulties and problems of Indian Administration both from the British and Indian points of view in a personal sense as well as by despatch and in replies to petitions, I hope to offer them some return for their confidence and welcome.

Courage is the attribute of the Government of India which I would place first, courage and single-purposed strength begotten of a confident belief in the humanity and essentiality of British Government. What better object lesson, what better example of this, can one have, than the splendid courage of Lord and Lady Hardinge on December 23 last, when the British Government entered the new capital of Delhi? The hideous act of a miserable anarchist led to an escape from death which can literally be calculated in fractions of an inch, and yet, by the wounded Viceroy's own orders, the procession continued, and the British Raj was firmly installed in the capital of the Great Moguls. I do not think history records greater physical courage than was shown that day, or greater honesty of mind than was shown in the great speech I heard in January, with which the Viceroy—still with pieces of the miscreant's missile in his back—

announced his unfaltering confidence in the people of India. And his courage and our policy can plead its justification in the joy with which his complete recovery has been witnessed and the condemnation of the outrage throughout the whole of India. I can only say in this connexion, for I do not want to spend your time in India to-day, that the wisdom of the Durbar policy must be recognised by anyone who visits India, now, but that we must not forget that anarchy exists in India, fostered from hidden sources, some possibly beyond the Empire itself, wholly independent of political agitation yielding in no way to political treatment, and requiring the rigour of the Executive and the co-operation of all Indians in stamping it out.

In another part of his speech Mr. Montagu referred to the silver purchases.

He said some people had thought fit to bring against his personal honour charges of corruption based on the fact that Lord Crewe had purchased silver, to the great gain of taxpayers of India, in the normal course of business and without his knowledge—for it was not in his Department—through his brother's firm, a firm with which he happened to have no personal connexion. He could only express a certain amount of family

MR. MONTAGU'S VISIT TO INDIA.

pride that his brother's firm were successful in carrying out the wishes of the Government of India, and after the speeches of the Prime Minister and the answers given to questions in the House there was no single respectable person of either political party who believed that there was anything in the charges whatever.

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

Lord Inchcape presided at a dinner of the Liberal Colonial Club at the Criterion Restaurant on February 19, 1914, when Mr. E. S. Montagu, who has just been transferred from the Under-Secretaryship for India to the Financial Secretaryship to the Treasury, opened a discussion on "Land Problems in India and England."

I am painfully aware that I ought to begin by saying, first, that India is a very large land, or rather sub-continent, sheltering some 317,000,000 souls of every language, race and creed; secondly, that the problems of its administration are a sealed book to all but the experts and that the experts learn by long experience that nothing is to be learned about India; thirdly, that of all administrative problems that of the land is the one which is sealed with seventy times seven seals. Yet I am tempted to leave out for once in a way the time-honoured warning. There are of course great and essential differences between the land systems in India and those to which we are accustomed; and we can best clear the ground by fixing them in our

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

minds at the outset. When once the ground is cleared, we shall be ready, I hope, to see what are the positive lessons which India has to teach us.

In India you find the state inheriting the immemorial claim of the ruler to a part of the proceeds of land cultivation. The Moghul Emperors to whom we succeeded interpreted their claim in a spirit of Eastern magnificence; they fixed one-third of the gross produce as a fair share for the ruler to take. It is hardly necessary to say that the British Government has been a great deal more modest; but it has accepted the principle, and continues to hold the position of premier partner in the land; that is to say, in by far the greatest and most permanent source of livelihood in the country. It is impossible to define this feature of Oriental sovereignty in the precise terms of Western economics. Perhaps it will be enough to say, very generally, that the land revenue taken by the State in India is something more than a tax, because the revenue-collecting authorities undertake at the same time a number of paternal duties more, or less like those of a beneficent lord of the manor; and it is something less than a rent, because the State has recognised or even created individual proprietorship in land, while reserving its right to revenue from the areas so assigned. It will not, at any rate, I think,

interfere with the plan of this paper if I am allowed, like Dr. Johnson when he was pressed for exact details concerning the life hereafter, to "leave the subject in obscurity." The practical points to remember are that the claim to land revenue is readily accepted by the people whose traditions it follows: that it provides, with a minimum chance for oppression on the one hand or for evasion on the other, a stable contribution amounting usually to no less than two-fifths (20,000,000 sterling) of the net revenues of Government; and that it is practically the only impost of any importance that it is paid by the agricultural classes which form something like two-thirds of the entire population of India, and whose income, so far as it comes from agriculture, is exempt from any form of income-tax.

DOMINANT POWER.

My first point, then, is that the State in India is a dominant power in land administration, with powers of control that so far we have hardly dared to contemplate in this country. My second point is that underneath the State, with its functions of superior landlord, the grouping of the agricultural classes, as we shall see, is peculiar. Where there are landlords below the State, competition for the land in India, as in Ireland, has squeezed the tenant a good deal more than it has in England; there is

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

no distinct labouring class underneath, as we know it to form an economic background on which the pressure can be conveniently, if perhaps immorally, worked off. The Indian tenant or cultivator is a small man holding, we might say, a five-acre plot. We can return to this point later in discussing tenant law and practice in India. In the meantime it will be useful to begin with a description of the way in which the claim to land revenue is enforced in order to form an idea of the basis on which the land system is worked.

In assessing and collecting the land revenue, the Government has to deal with a number of classes of landholders. To avoid the complication of using Indian names, I will try to define the members of the hierarchy in my own terms, always on the understanding that definition in English phraseology is an elusive matter. At the head is the State as superior landlord, levying revenue which, if paid to a private individual, would be called rent. Below the State there are two main divisions of landholders. In the one you find landlords, who may either be individuals, representing for the most part the successors of the great contractors to whom revenues were framed out in pre-British days, or landlord communities letting their common holding. They differ of course from British landlords as we know them in that their

right to the possession of the soil is qualified by the revenue claims of Government. Below these are tenants, paying rent to their landlords but not directly to the State. The second main division consists of petty occupants or peasant proprietors, who hold their lands under the State without an intermediary in the shape of a landlord, and consequently pay revenue direct to the State. Although many of them are practically established as landowners, they are allowed as a class the right of escaping the whole or any part of the revenue liability by relinquishing the whole or any part of their holdings, in fact, they are to the State as the average tenant is to the average landlord in England. I propose to refer to the two divisions as 'landlords' and 'cultivators' respectively. In the one division the tenants, and in the other the cultivators, usually till the soil themselves, though occasionally with the aid of labourers whose wages are paid in kind.

The general principle of revenue assessment in the landlord areas is that the State is entitled to a share of the 'net assets' of the landlords, which are taken to represent the rents received *plus* the rental value of the lands occupied of the landlords themselves. The basis of assessment is naturally the rent-roll, supplemented or checked where necessary by direct valuation of the output of the

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

soil. The proportion of the net assets claimed by Government usually varies somewhere between 45 and 55 per cent; in fact, a share of one-half may be taken as a fair index, though not by any means as a positive rule. I would like to quote at this point two principles laid down in a comprehensive statement of the Government's land revenue policy issued in 1902. They are as follows:—

(1) 'That in areas where the State receives its land revenue from landlords, progressive moderation is the key-note of the policy of Government, and that the standard of 50 per cent. of the assets is one which is almost uniformly observed in practice, and is more often departed from on the side of deficiency than of excess.'

(2) 'That in the same areas the State has not objected, and does not hesitate, to interfere by legislation to protect the interests of the tenants against oppression at the hands of the landlords.'

The first of these allows free scope for elastic treatment where it is called for; the second shows that the Government rejects the short-sighted policy of acquiescing in a high scale of rents merely for the sake of extra revenue that could be assessed thereon. To turn to the cultivated areas, the State takes a varying proportion—usually a good deal less than one-half—of what is known as the 'net pro-

duce' of the land; that is to say, gross profits, *minus* the cost of cultivation. It will be noticed that revenue is assessed on the actual cultivator's own profits where the States deal direct with the cultivators and on rental profits alone where the States deal with the landlord. This is explained by the fact that in the cultivated areas the State itself stands in the relation of landlord to the cultivator, so that the revenue in this case corresponds more directly to rent. I may mention by the way that in the great cultivated tracts of Bombay, the system is peculiar in that revenue is assessed on a system of classifying the fields according to their probable fertility, and not, one of valuation of the net produce of the land.

REVISION OF ASSESSMENT.

Continual re-assessment on these lines from year-to-year would of course be a hopelessly cumbersome and harassing procedure. In nearly every province there is a periodical revision of the revenue demand, known as a 'settlement', which is undertaken once in a cycle varying from 20 to 30 years, and the amount then assessed holds good for the term of the settlement, subject to such minor adjustments or remissions as special circumstances in each year may make advisable. There is, however, a very important exception to the system of recurring assessment, or 'temporary settlement,

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

as it is known, which is not a little instructive in its working. In the last quarter of the eighteenth century, at a time when administrators were under the conviction that the best way of securing prosperity on the land was to free the hands of the landlords as far as possible, the revenue payable in certain landlords' areas was declared to be permanently settled, and Government definitely abrogated from that date any claim to share in the increased profits that were sure to come with the rise in the value of the properties. Consequently, in the greater part of Bengal, in some of the districts of Benares to the west and in parts of the Madras Presidency to the south, there has been no revision of assessment for something like a century, while the value of the land has risen greatly in direct consequence of State activity in maintaining security and providing trade facilities by the construction of railways and other means of communication. The result is that the land revenue received by the State over the whole province of permanently settled Bengal is somewhat less than one-fourth of the lands. It is, I think, generally recognised that the conviction on which the system of permanent settlement was based was oversanguine. The general level of prosperity in these areas is no higher than in the temporarily settled tracts; the tenants are by no means under-rented

nor are the estates better run; indeed, the main result seems to have been a process of sub-letting carried almost *ad infinitum*, with its train of monopoly, profits, absentee landlordism and inefficient or exacting management. The Government of India have profited by their experience. They have intervened in the permanently settled areas, so far as is compatible with their pledges, to safeguard the rights of tenants; and they have retained throughout the greater part of India their controlling authority by the simple means of revising their revenue demand periodically, with all the activities which accompany the process, as we shall see. But the permanent settlement may help us, I think, to realise the disadvantages of landlord endowment on an extensive scale. We can leave out of account the loss of the unearned increment which the State has established the right to share in other parts of India. That, no doubt, is a peculiar feature of the Indian land system. But apart from this, the facts have shown that you cannot increase prosperity on the land by giving permanent relief to any one class unless you extend the relief to those who work below the privileged class. We hear a good deal just now of the panacea of State-aided land-purchase for the tenant. So long as the tenant stands at the bottom of the scale of cultivators, the road is safe; but it is safe only so long as you

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

work upwards from the lowest class to the highest. In England the foundation of agriculture is the labourer ; and if the foundation is neglected it only over-weights the structure and then you have only to strengthen the joists. It is a noteworthy fact that when the Government in India has had to deal with properties that have come into its direct possession—properties that often lay within permanently settled areas—it has departed from the earlier policy of disposing of them to private landlords, and has put them into the hands of men of the ‘cultivator’ class, for the reason that the agriculturists could be better protected. The principle of working upwards from the foundation is one that we shall meet again in Indian land administration.

SYSTEM IN VILLAGES.

The work of assessment in the temporarily settled areas is of course a very intricate affair, with wide differences of practice in the several provinces. Our chief interest to-night, I think, will naturally be with the landlord areas of Northern India ; and we might perhaps look at the work as it is done in a single province by way of illustration, and correct onesided impressions so far as we can by reference to other provinces with different methods. I would like to begin with the Punjab, a province for the most part under the ownership of joint village landlords or

proprietor communities. These may be called, if you like, yeoman farmers. The method of assessment may, of course, vary in details almost from district to district within the province; but a summary of a few typical features may help to give a working idea of the process on which land administration ultimately rests. The unit dealt with is the village, that is, the communal group with the area covered by its holdings. Each village has its 'patwari,' or village accountant to act as intermediary between the people and the representatives of Government. An exact record is kept in his charge, and continually corrected up-to-date, giving the entire history of each plot of land in the area, with not only the full terms of ownership and tenancy, but a complete account of its crop possibilities and the particular advantages or drawbacks under which it is worked. To ensure accuracy, the patwari, accompanied by the tenant or owner, who is bound under penalty to go with him on his rounds, inspects each field twice a year, and records the condition of the spring and autumn crops, whether the field is used for fallow-land, pasture, fodder, millet, wheat, sugar-cane, and so forth. The account is based on a more or less scientific system of survey, and the result is the building up of a record which for accuracy and minuteness ought to satisfy the most hardened administrator. It is not easy to draw a picture vivid

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

enough to make an impression in England of all that this annual verification of agricultural records means. The accuracy of the village maps is tested again and again: indeed, I was told very early in my connection with India that a man who thoroughly understood and appreciated the patwaris maps and books understood India, and nothing I have seen so convinced me of the paternity of Indian Government and the confidence of Indian people as the testing by an Assistant Collector of these records.

Flat, and of course hedgeless, fields, separated usually only by the little mud dams which coax the irrigation water in the most desirable direction; the sharply-defined, glaring, baked mud walls of the village; the crowd of patient, interested cultivators; the hordes of little children, and the heavy manures dumped on the field. And then, all the machinery of the survey: the rough cross stick—for ready surveying the only instrument; the books in which are recorded the owners, the tenants, the mortgages, the sales, the leases and the condition and nature of crops on each field in the village; the patwari, the kanungo, the tahsildar, the Assistant Collector—all eager to see that measurements are true, that records are accurate, and all taking the opportunity of discovering—for the opportunity is unique—the daily life, the calamities, the good fortunes, of the

people concerned. Here is a system, which does not permit any ignorance of the owner of the land nor does it allow profit to escape just taxation, or hardship to fail of beneficent easement. Remove it, and it seems to me that you leave tenant, at the mercy of landlord, labourer at the mercy of tenant, the governing classes as uninterested and inquisitorial busy-bodies, and the police the only source of information between villager and the man in charge.

The next step in assessment is that a number of villages, under similar conditions as regards soil, water-supply, trade facilities, and so on, is grouped into a larger division known as a circle, for the purpose of broadening the basis on which the calculations are made; and the average of landlords' rents are taken for a period of 20 to 30 years, corresponding to the term of the settlement, so as to cover any changes in the conditions of tenure during the period. If, as is generally the case in the Punjab, the land is held by the proprietors themselves as co-sharers in the proprietary body, or if the rents are paid, as often happens, in produce, arrival at the revenue estimate is naturally a complicated process. The average yield of each crop is found by experimental cutting and threshing, and the value of the yield by reference to the published market prices. From the result is deduced a cash equivalent for the rents

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

paid in the circle, and this in turn gives a theoretical estimate, on the 50 per cent. basis, of the total revenue that is due to Government. In the same way, the ratios are determined in which different kinds of land ought to pay according to their relative advantages of soil and position; for instance, if it is found that the value of the output on land irrigated from a canal is twice that of the output on land which is watered by a tank or well; the assessment on the former will be two to one as compared with that of the latter. With all the varieties of land roughly classified in the village records, it becomes a fairly easy matter to adjust the circle rate of assessment to the different village areas, so that an estimate—still of a theoretical kind—is reached of the amount of revenue due from each village. Where the rents are paid in cash and not in produce the work of assessment is of course a good deal simpler, although even here recourse may be had to the method of direct valuation in order to check the result.

TEST OF REVENUE OFFICER.

But, in a sense, the real work of assessment begins instead of ending at this point. It is now the business of the Settlement Officer who is usually a member of the Indian Civil Service in the charge of the operations, to see that the theoretical rates

do not in effect fall too heavily, or it may be too lightly, on the areas under his supervision. In dealing with each village, he has to take into account all the factors, such as the level of prosperity, means of communication, mortality rates, whether the inhabitants are by nature good or bad cultivators, everything in fact which calls for elasticity in making the actual revenue demand; and the final result is usually reached after full and probably prolonged discussion with the village representatives. It is in the right appraisal of these governing details that the man in charge of the work proves himself to be a capable revenue officer. There are two points I might bring forward at this stage as possible subjects of interest for discussion. One is whether the risk of duplication of work in assessment—the double valuation first of natural or artificial advantages and then of the actual output—might not be more completely avoided by some system standardising the valuation rates of assessment, and thereafter varying the revenue demand according to the changes in local circumstances, such as the rise in food prices, the improvement of communications, and so on. Such a system is already used to some degree in Madras, and might perhaps be extended with advantage elsewhere. The second point, I think, is one of rather more general interest. You will notice that each individual liable for revenue

has to pay the proportion demanded in his locality according to the nature of his holding ; if this should happen to amount, say, to one-fifth of the net profits of cultivation, the big man pays 20 rupees out of 100, and the small man pays one rupee out of five. We are getting accustomed to recognise that the hardship in the latter case is a good deal greater than in the former. Allowances are made, it is true, for the small man in India, not it is done at the discretion of the revenue officers, and not on any uniform principle: and one is tempted to wonder whether it would be possible to apply a graduated scale of assessment instead. There is, of course, the theoretical objection that such a measure would promptly label land revenue as a tax. But I cannot help thinking that the Government of India's record shows that it is strong enough to look this difficulty boldly in the face and pass it by.

To turn from these points to noteworthy differences in practice elsewhere, it may be remarked that the principles of survey, record and valuation are common ground. In Oudh, however, where land-owning is often on the grand scale, and where revenue is assessed on the aggregate of the sums received by a single landlord as rent from a number of villages forming his estate, attention is paid more to actual rents than to general rates of rent that

ought to apply to soils. In the Central Provinces there is an ingenious system in force by which the value of the different soils is reduced to a common denominator, and the proper rent-scales determined thereby for purposes of revenue assessment. We can deal more conveniently with the peculiar features of this system when we turn to matters of tenancy practice.

In the great cultivated areas, as for instance in Madras and Bombay, the task is a little simpler. In dealing with the actual occupant of each field, there is no need to do more than value and assess the field correctly; the determination for rights of tenure, and the distribution of assessment over the property-group as undertaken in the Punjab drops out. In Southern India we find villages arranged in groups, corresponding to the Punjab circles, but a broad division is observed according as the land depends for its water-supply on irrigation, or on rainfall supplemented by wells. Assessment of course is based on an exhaustive scrutiny of the possibilities of the various soils.

Before I leave the subject of revenue rights and assessment, I should add that the revenue claim is held to extend to urban areas as well as to other. In a resolution of 1879, it is stated that the Governor-General in Council is aware of no reason why land

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

revenue should not be levied upon lands attached to private residences or covered with buildings as much as upon arable or pasture lands. In general, land that is cultivated for profit in these areas is assessed in the ordinary on a share of the produce; land used for private amenities or other like purposes is assessed according to the usual rate for the description of soil, although there are provisions making for leniency in dealing with this kind of property. It is interesting to find that in the United Provinces there are rules under which areas covered by groves are exempt from revenue payment unless and until the groves are cut down. Lands taken up by a municipality for public purposes are generally speaking exempt, unless they are devoted to objects, such as establishment of markets, from which income is raised. I do not think it is necessary to deal with local rates or cesses, except to say that they are usually levied on the basis of revenue assessment unless in particular cases they take special forms.

PREMIER PARTNER IN LAND.

If I may try to sum up in the broadest terms the feature of the ground we have so far covered, I would repeat that Government of India has succeeded to the position of premier partner in the

land, with not only the rights but the corresponding duties of that position. I have shown how, in the areas under a temporary settlement, it has been able to take in the form of revenue a large share of the unearned increment of the land; this is, of course, devoted to public purposes, the benefit of which is ultimately shared by the agriculturists. But the State's concern for subordinate interest is shown directly as well as indirectly. There is, for instance, a general practice of ensuring that favour shown to the landlord by way of reduction or remission of revenue in a bad season shall be passed on in some degree to the tenant in the matter of rent. There is, too, a special circumstance which has led the Government of India, to quote the words of Sir J. Bampfylde Fuller, 'to intervene and to use its proper functions of controlling and moderating the struggle for life.' By the moderation of its assessment the British Government has raised the selling value of landlords' estates from next to nothing to over 300 millions sterling, says the same authority; and the result has been a strengthening of the power of the landlords and a weakening of the poorer cultivators which has been met with fearless and sometimes drastic treatment. We are told now and then that the Government of India contents itself with the function of looking after the interests of those who have either fallen from a

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

higher estate or have enjoyed the protection of preceding rulers, or for other reasons have historical claims upon the State. This may have been the case in the early days of British rule, but the facts shown that since then the Government has moved step-by-step in the direction of what we should call benevolent interference. Nowhere is this better exemplified than in the systems of tenant law and practice for which I should now like to ask your patience.

I will take first as an illustration the policy that has been followed in Bengal and in Agra. Two classes of tenants among others were found; those who represented the old landholders, and those whose position was really, though perhaps not demonstrably, due to contract. The first of these clearly had theoretical claims to preferential treatment, but great difficulty was found in drawing a working distinction between the two. The difficulty was summarily met by enacting that, where any tenant had continuously held the same land for twelve years, he should be regarded as a privileged or 'occupancy' tenant, endowed with a hereditary right and secured against rack-renting and arbitrary eviction. Landlords found it easy to forestall the acquisition of occupancy tenant right, either by evicting and reinstating the tenant or by

inducing him to change some part of holding before the twelve years ran out. These devices were met later by specific checks in the case of Agra and by an enactment in Bengal that the tenant need merely prove that he had held land in his village for twelve years continuously. In the Punjab, the Central Provinces and Oudh, it was an easier matter to distinguish a class of privileged landholders, who were recognised as 'sub-proprietors to their landlords, and there was consequently the less need in theory to extend the protection of Government indiscriminately to all classes of tenants. Even so, the Oudh Rent Act of 1886 gives certain privileges to all tenants in the matter of seven years' term without ejectment or further enhancement. In the Central Provinces, individual landlordships were created at one time for special reasons by grant of Government, and as a set-off the State has exercised itself even more directly than elsewhere to maintain the rights of the tenants. At the time of the settlement the revenue officer does not stop short at comparing the rent-rolls with the result of valuation ; he is empowered by law to fix for a term of years, the actual rents payable by the tenants to the landlords, in order to ensure that the general incidence of rent, and with that of revenue may as far as possible be equal. It will not be out of place to mention an interesting episode that occurred in the Central

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

Provinces before power was taken to fix rent under law. At a time when the wheat export trade was expanding, the landlords took to demanding their rents in grain instead of in cash, and at ruinous rates, in order to gain control of the produce of the tenant class that was then unprotected by law. When revenue came to be assessed on the rent-rolls as they stood, the landlords complained that these were fictitiously high, whereupon the Government offered to reduce its revenue demands on condition that rents were lowered to a realisable standard and fresh leases were issued. Since then, as we have seen, the State has intervened by direct legislation and there has been the less need to rely on the check of revenue assessment. That is to say, the State has tended to emphasise its position rather as the arbitrator between classes than as merely the predominant partner in the land; and I think it would be pedantic to have to postulate the latter position before venturing to exercise the functions of the former. Generally speaking, the privileged or occupancy tenants still enjoy special measures of protection as regards fixity of rent and tenure which are not, as a matter of principle, conceded to ordinary tenants; that is to say, rent enhancement, ejection and distraint are largely taken out of the hands of the landlords in the former but not in the latter case. Yet ordinary tenants are protected

by Government against harshness on the part of the landlords in exercising their powers and the barrier between the two classes is not insurmountable. In the landlord areas of Madras, where the influence of middlemen on the land has been much less marked than in Northern India and the tenant's position is of a simpler kind and has been safeguarded by tradition, the latest Act, passed in 1908, is of a striking nature. It declares that every cultivator or ryot, 'now in possession or who shall hereafter be admitted by a landlord to be in possession of ryoti-land' (that is, land on an estate other than the home farm land in the special possession of the proprietor) shall have a permanent right of occupancy in his holding. The tenant's right is hereditary and transferable; he can make improvements and claim compensation for them in the event of dispossession; his rent cannot be raised except by decree of Court, and then only to the extent of $12\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. Such are the typical rights guaranteed by Government to privileged tenants, not only when their status is historical, but when they have been raised to that status, as they not infrequently are, by express enactment.

Over and above these special cases it is important to remember that as matter of general practice the revenue officers of the Government,

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

where they are not actually empowered to fix rents by law, can and do use their discretion to settle the rates that ought to be paid; in fact, they play the part of the good land agent to the superior landlord—the State in this case—intervening actively in matters of dispute between tenant and sub-tenant. Moreover, when there is occasion for rent or tenant ceases to be taken into Court for decision, they go in most of the provinces before special revenue Courts, or at any rate tribunals of revenue officers composed of men who have kept in close working touch with the problems on which they have to adjudicate. The Government of India are not content to leave these matters to the ordinary and perhaps inexpert process of civil law.

PROTECTION OF TENANTS.

I should like to refer to two sets of arguments against the possibility of applying principles of Indian land administration to English conditions. In the first place, it is sometimes said that the right of appeal to judicial authority in matters of rent and tenure is confined on principle to the privileged tenants-class in India, while ordinary tenants are properly left to depend on the bargains that they can derive with their landlords; and it is argued that the indiscriminate extension of the

right in England would be a dangerous innovation. I think it is fair to say that the State protection of the privileged tenants goes as a matter of fact a good deal beyond that right. As to the ordinary tenants, it has to be remembered that the State has helped them on occasions, as I have mentioned, either by interposing the check of revenue assessment upon excessive rent demands, or by raising the tenants' status bodily to that of the privileged class; and this apart from the good offices, as I have just said, freely rendered by its revenue officers. It does not seem to me that measures such as these are any less drastic in principle than the comparatively mild expedient of allowing the right of appeal in question. If the State in India is ready to take the most convenient form of protecting the weaker interests, why should not we in England be prepared to follow their example?

The second contention is that the tenant in India, without State intervention, is so much more at the mercy of his landlord, by reason of the keenness of competition and the absence for the most part of alternative industries and the difficulty of transplanted to other districts, that a far greater of State protection is justifiable than would be the case in England. To this I would answer that where the strain on the tenant in

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

England is removed as is so often the case, by the simple process of shifting it on the class below him the case for State intervention on behalf of that class is no less urgent. And if the State in securing higher rates of wages for the labourer finds it necessary to re-impose the burden on the tenant, it is surely no less its duty to lighten that burden by the most expedient means, that is as I have said, by the principle of working from the foundation upward. It is in the light of this principle that I have tried to put before you the leading methods of tenant protection in India.

If your patience is not already exhausted, I should like to take up as briefly as possible some feature of the land system lying outside the two great spheres of land revenue and tenancy. There are, for instance, one or two points of interest connected with lands under the direct control of the State in India. These fall mainly into two classes. There are properties which have passed by various ways into Government lands, whether because the title of succession has lapsed or been forfeited, or because estates have been taken over (though very rarely in recent times) for arrears of revenue. I have already mentioned how these came for the most part to be handed over to cultivators working directly under State, which managed by

this means to secure protection for the agriculturist, at the same time a valuable training ground for young revenue officers. In the second place, Government claims the ownership of all waste lands. Some of these are held by the State as forest reserves or (in the Punjab) as fuel areas; some are gradually made over to villages for cultivation as the demand spreads; and in the north-west of India large arid tracts have been brought under irrigation by means of monumental engineering works, and are being parcelled out to colonists with the double object of extending the area of cultivation and of raising the pressure on the land elsewhere. These canal colonies are worked by cultivators directly under the State, land revenue is payable on the usual basis, but the assessment is very light during the early years of occupancy when the outlay is heavy and the return is small. While we are on this subject we can conveniently refer to the powers of Government to acquire land when necessary for public purposes. Procedure under the latest Land Acquisition Act that of 1894, is simple and satisfying. The Government notifies the areas which it wishes to exercise the right of taking over, and the right is incontestable at law; a State officer values the lands and estimates the compensation payable at market rates to the holders; and the latter may, if they wish, appeal

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

to the Civil Courts against the amount of compensation assessed. But the Courts are expressly debarred by Statute from taking into consideration any rise in the value of the property that may have taken place since the date on which the Government notified its intention of acquiring the land. The expedient is so direct and so wholesome that it needs no comment; it is comforting to know that we shall not have long to wait before municipalities in this country are empowered to get to work in similar lines. I will only add that in the course of the latest and in the most extensive proceedings under the Act—I mean the Acquisition of Land for the new Imperial Capital at Delhi extending back to the early part of 1912—it is being found that the original estimates for compensation are not being seriously exceeded as a result of actions at law.

The agriculturist in India, as in other countries, has always the problem of finding capital for his needs. Private money-lenders are plentiful but the rates of interest they ask, ranging from 12 to 24 per cent. or more, are not exactly conducive to prosperity, and their ambitions to secure land by mortgage are looked at askance by the Government which has found it necessary, in some parts, to curtail the peasant's ability to raise money on his land by placing restrictions on alienation. Direct State

assistance is forthcoming in the grant of Government loans for the purpose of making improvements and the provision of advances to meet more temporary needs, such as the relief of distress and the purchase of seed and cattle. It is worth while remembering that Indian peasants give valuable hostages to fortune in the shape of livestock, and that fortune is often cruel in India. A second and more important form of State activity is the encouragement of Co-operative Credit Societies which are run, as far as possible, by the members themselves but with sympathetic help and directions from Government officials. The expansion of the movement under Government guidance has been most successful, and everything points to continued growth. Apart from these measures, the State gives direct encouragement to more expenditure of capital on the land by framing rules in the various provinces under which increase of income, due to improvements made by private individuals, are exempted from revenue assessment, either permanently or for a term of years.

CASE OF ENGLAND.

No one, I trust, will imagine that I have tried to do more than give the barest outline of the Government land policy in India. I shall have succeeded

THE LAND PROBLEM IN INDIA AND ENGLAND.

if I have conveyed some impression of the methods followed by what is perhaps the most efficient administration of our times. In a land such as England, where reform moves from within, and has to depend in the long run upon the pressure of democratic opinion with its confused voices and conflicting interests, it is sometimes difficult to escape into the hard, clear atmosphere which one finds in India. In this country we broaden reluctantly with many creakings, from precedent to precedent, and every creak is hailed as a portent of revolution. Whatever on the other hand may be the defects of a bureaucratic Government, its cardinal justification should at any rate be efficiency: the unbiassed and unhesitating application of the right method to secure the right result. In India we find an example of a condition, in which the State, freed from the resourceless grib of hallowed catchwords, secures its just shares of the profits it has created, and intervenes to protect the weaker interests against the stronger, and finds its chief concern in the ceaseless maintenance of prosperity on the land—are we to say that no lesson is to be learned, no moral is to be drawn from its activities? Can we not for once turn aside from the immemorial phrase that too often stands in the part of progress in this country? An Indian landholder sometimes tells the revenue officers, when he cannot

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

account for the origin or extent of holding, that it is dadillahi, or gift of God ; but that simple utterance does not relieve the State of its rights or its duties in respect of his holding. It is hard to maintain that any equivalent formula should be allowed to have magic properties in England.

THE COUNCIL OF INDIA BILL.

Mr. E. S. Montagu, M.P., the late Under-Secretary for India, dealt vigorously in the following letter to the "Times" of July 6 1914 with the attack made by Lord Curzon in the House of Lords on the Council of India Bill in general, and on himself in particular:—

Lord Curzon, in moving the rejection of the Council of India Bill in the House of Lords on Tuesday last, found occasion to refer to two persons of widely different fame and achievements, from whom he had nothing to fear in the course of debate. His strictures on the late Lord Minto I can safely leave to be dealt with by more competent hands, but I trust you will allow me space to reply to an observation which he did me the honour to direct at me.

In the earlier part of his speech he remarked, as reported in your columns:—"It is common knowledge that this Bill in its main features is the product of the late Under-Secretary (Mr. Montagu) who during his term at the India Office found that the machinery that existed did not suit his ideas, and set about to destroy it to the best of his ability."

LETTER OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

I fear he has attached too much importance to a compliment which Lord Crewe was good enough to pay to me in the House of Lords last July. It is, of course, easy for Lord Curzon with his wonted delicacy of touch to lift the skirt of a reforming measure in order to reveal beneath it the cloven hoof of a scheming politician, and, what is to him worse, a politician still young. I will not urge the obvious plea that the Secretary of State, by introducing the measure in the House of Lords, has completely identified himself with its scope and intentions. So far as I am concerned, I confess I feel no cause to be ashamed of any part I may have played in the initiation of the proposals now brought forward in their matured form.

“A SYSTEM PETRIFIED IN A STATUTE.”

The charge, [however, as it reads, is explicit. It attributes to me no better object than ruthless destruction, and no higher motive than the satisfaction of my personal predilections. As, in the event of Lord Curzon's motion succeeding, I shall have no other opportunity, I feel it is only due to myself to ask you to allow me to correct this most unfair and entirely false impression. I have no objection to stating my main motive in helping to adapt to modern conditions a system petrified in a statute founded on the conditions of more than half

THE COUNCIL OF INDIA BILL.

a century ago. It does not require argument to show that in the peculiar circumstances of Indian government it is a grave danger that there should exist lack of sympathy between the Executive Government of India and the guiding and ultimately controlling office at home. I have long been convinced, from my knowledge of recent events and from careful enquiry in India, that such lack of sympathy as may exist is due, not to the exercise by the Secretary of State of those functions of revision and of determining policy so justly defined by John Stuart Mill in the passage quoted by the writer of your leading article on June 29, but to the intolerable procrastination, inevitable under the India Office system, and to a tendency to undue interference from home in the minutiae of administration. Interference of this kind comes, I assert emphatically, not from the Secretary of State who has neither the time nor the inclination for it, but from his Council, whose energies are naturally turned in this direction by their Indian-formed and regularised habit of mind. My ideas have, therefore, always moved in the direction of a smaller and at the same time more up-to-date advisory body, working on a more elastic, adaptable and speedier system. I could not expect this line of thought to commend itself to Lord Curzon, whose every word on the subject of India since he resigned his office has un-

LETTER OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

derlined the essential truth stated by the "Times of India" on February 24 last, that "India is moving so fast that it is dangerous for those who have been long absent to venture on dogmatic opinions regarding current politics." I should be the last to depreciate Lord Curzon's incessant, unwearied, and uncompromising efforts to perfect administrative efficiency; but I must be permitted to hold that it is carrying indulgence for the opinions of a retired Indian administrator, however great, too far to acquiesce in his assumption that "the force of Nature can no further go" than the high-water mark of his own seven years' achievement. In considering details of a scheme framed to meet the needs of 1914, it is difficult to be convinced by arguments based upon Lord Stanley's speeches in 1858, the experience of an Under-Secretary, however superior, in 1891, and the *ex cathedra* pronouncements of a Viceroy of 1899-1905.

I must resist the temptation to comment upon the lengths to which Lord Curzon's passion for solid obstructiveness can carry him, as, for instance, when he makes a pathetic appeal on behalf of voiceless Indian taxpayers within a few minutes of stating pontifically that a proposal to give them a voice is utterly indefensible; it is perhaps unfair to cavil at the self-contradictions

THE COUNCIL OF INDIA BILL.

of a speaker so sadly hampered by a redundancy to superlatives. The fact is that some of his own arguments fail to escape the orgy of mutilation which followed his exhibition in the first few sentences of the corpse of the Bill hanged, drawn and quartered.

When Lord Curzon says that in the case of Government of India autocracy is not a blunder, but a crime, I can only humbly assent to his august and incontrovertible maxim, adding my regret that its utterance was unaccompanied by any note of personal repentance.

TRIBUTE TO SIR K. G. GUPTA, K. C. S. I.

AT THE COMPLIMENTARY BANQUET AT THE HOTEL
CECIL (2nd JUNE 1915) MR. MONTAGU SAID:

It must have struck some of them that it was not the precise moment when one would have chosen an opportunity for a festive gathering. But this dinner of farewell to a man who had served the Empire long and well was essentially a gathering which should take place, war or no war. (Hear, hear.) It was not really necessary for him to say anything about their guest. They all knew him and respected him. They were there without ceremony and without formality to do him honour. He was closing a long and valuable official career. If they looked at the calendar, and if they carefully avoided looking at Sir Krishna—(laughter)—they would learn that he had served the Empire forty-four years—a period which carried them back to the time when many of them, never even turned their minds to India—when many of them, indeed, were never even thought of at all. (Laughter.) With the unconquerable demeanour of youth their guest had faced every changing problem, prepared to do

TRIBUTE TO SIR K. G. GUPTA, K. C. S. I.

battle and prepared to solve all difficulties. He had been carried through it all by an overwhelming sense of duty, by an unequalled love of his country and by a saving sense of humour. He had seen Sir Krishna at work and at play, and if he were asked to describe the peculiar quality which had made his work so valuable, he would reply that he was gifted above all of them with a far-seeing patience which enabled him to work at smaller things, with his eyes still steadfastly fixed on a future which he might himself never see, but for which he was working none the less. He had seen Lord Morley's reforms working for the living and good of India. He had seen Lord Crewe's memorable sojourn at the India Office. He had seen the vindication of his belief in the community of the ideals of Great Britain and of India. He had vitalised his work with deep and abiding enthusiasm for the service of India as an integral part of our Empire. He had toiled to see and had helped forward a progressive and advancing India set firm on the path of the realisation of a splendid future in partnership with Great Britain, whose predominance and continuing part in the development of India was now reaping a great reward in the evidence of loyalty to the common ideal of freedom and liberty in the cause of which their soldiers were doing battle side by side. (Cheers.) In all this their

SPEECHES OF THE RT. HON. MR. E. S. MONTAGU.

guest had played a part which those interested would freely and gladly recognise and they now wished him long and peaceful enjoyment of the leisure which he had so richly earned. (Cheers.)

REPORT OF THE MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

SPEECH DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS
ON JULY 13, 1917.

The first consideration which I would like to address to the House is that we are discussing the second occasion which has arisen during the War in which politicians, soldiers, doctors and civil servants come in for severe censure. This country, which started at the beginning of the War, wholly unprepared for, and wholly unexpectant of a conflict of this kind, has, despite the atmosphere of self-criticism in which we live, somehow or other through all these mistakes and muddles, developed into the terror of all our enemies, and the most conspicuous enemy and the most successful enemy that Germany possesses. It does seem to me that that is a remarkable fact. When we consider the Reports of Commissions of this kind, after all, we are now discussing one phase in the most successful campaign of the War, the one campaign in which the objective has been achieved. To-day the British flag is flying at Bagdad.

Where else has been any comparable success? And these are only the early stages which played a preliminary part in that great success which has been won by General Maude. I agree with the Hon. Gentleman who spoke last and my hon. and gallant Friend (Colonel Sir M. Sykes) who spoke from the Back Bench opposite. There are many grave disadvantages in the appointment of these Commissions. As my hon. and gallant Friend said they are bound by their term of reference to act exactly as the Allies have acted throughout this War, and to consider separately little bits of the picture rather than bring it into true perspective with all the other events which are happening in other parts of the world. After all, if our conspicuous success had been continuous, if General Nixon had reached Bagdad without a reverse would there ever have been a Mesopotamian Commission? And yet there is no comment upon the fact that just after the battle of Ctesiphon—I think I am right in the date—Gallipoli was evacuated and the whole picture was changed by the liberation of the Turkish forces in the Peninsula. That is my first criticism on the Commission, that you cannot get a true perspective by examining as an isolated thing one theatre of the world War; and the second point that I make against these Commissions has been rendered obvious by all the

INDIAN ADMINISTRATION.

discussions which took place in the early part of the afternoon. As a result of the publication of the Report, necessarily without evidence, serious charges are made against individuals who have never had an opportunity of learning the evidence against them.

The result is that if you wish to take action against these individuals, you are confronted with difficulties with which my right hon. and learned Friend dealt earlier this afternoon, and I submit that if you are going to have any further proceedings it would have been far better to postpone the question until your sittings are completed, because now, whatever Court sits, it must not only have the prejudice of this discussion, but the prejudice of the public discussion upon the Report. I join with my right hon. and learned Friend beside me in his suggestion that of the two alternatives offered that of the right hon. Gentleman the Attorney-General is much the more satisfactory. My third complaint against this Commission is that in the terms of reference they are asked to attach responsibility to departments of the Government, but what the Commission did was to attach responsibility not to departments of the Government, but to individuals. The House and the country are sapping in that way the whole service of co-operative effort and departmental responsibility in

this country. Men are asking for instructions in writing, men are safeguarding themselves by letters and by minutes, men dare not give advice because they are afraid of a Commission sitting upon their action. Under the old system the Parliamentary Chief of the Department was responsible for what occurred, and under his rule he cloaked with his authority all those who worked for him. Has that gone by the board? This man and that man may come to be censured, although working seriously and courageously to the best of his endeavour. I believe that by that means you are doing irreparable injury to our system of government; and you want to weigh that well against any good you can achieve on the other side.

After all, do not let us pass a verdict upon the share of these men in this story because of the fact that we know now, that in this part of the campaign, at all events, they were defeated. Do not let us punish men for failure. After all, when was it that the serious defects in the equipment and the plans of the advance on Bagdad really became obvious? I do not say that there were not serious shortages, horrible shortages of necessary supplies before they could be successful, but what I do say is that if there had been no defeat at Ctesiphon, and if General Nixon had succeeded in getting to

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

Bagdad, most of the evils which overtook the Army in retreat would not have occurred. Therefore, the greatest charge that you can bring against General Nixon is that he failed to obtain success and took serious risks. I do not believe that you will ever beat the Germans unless you take risks, and I think at any rate that the press atmosphere, if not the House of Commons atmosphere, on this Report is a direct invitation to everybody to take no risks at all. Supposing—which God forbid!—we should have a similar Commission on affairs in Palestine; in the one case it would be that the advance was too quick, and in the other that the advance was possibly too slow. After all, has anybody read paragraph 9, page 18, of the Report, where it describes General Nixon going in the direction of Nasariyeh. The paragraph says:—

“The heat was terrific, still General Nixon deemed it expedient to carry on the enterprise, Major-General Gorringe, who was in charge of this column, succeeded in capturing Nasariyeh on 25th July, with 950 prisoners, seventeen guns and much booty. These operations were initiated by the General on the spot, supported by the Commander-in-Chief and the Viceroy of India, and acquiesced in by the Secretary of State. They appeared to us to be sound from both a military and political view. Our casualties amounted to 533 of all ranks”.

In that cold and colourless language is described one of the most courageous and brilliantly executed exploits in all war, accomplished by General Sir John Nixon, who has served his country well, who has served it with distinction and who has played a vital part in the greater successes of his better equipped successors, and certainly he ought not to be censured and punished, and driven out of the Army on the isolated circumstances after the battle of Ctesiphon, but we should acknowledge the incomparable services which that same soldier has rendered to his country.

From Sir John Nixon I will turn to Lord Hardinge. There can be no doubt in the mind of anybody who is acquainted with recent occurrences in India, that Lord Hardinge when he left India, left it, by the universal opinion of all Indians, certainly by the overwhelming majority of Indians, people and princes, as the most popular Viceroy of modern times. There have been strong predecessors of his, but when he came to India irritation was rife, public opinion had been slighted and ignored ; he showed himself from the beginning to the end of his viceroyalty to be a Viceroy upon whose sympathy and assistance Indians could rely not only in India but in the whole world, and, as my Hon. Friend has said, through personal

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

bereavement and attempted assassination, he stuck to his post to the end of his prolonged term, never faltering, never losing courage, and he left having achieved much for India, and now he is censured by this document for what, for the fact, that he relied too much upon those who had been chosen to give him military advice. Among many things we have never decided in this country are the relations between politicians and soldiers. On the same day you may read two newspapers: sometimes, I think, you will read in one newspaper trenchant criticisms against the Government for overruling or disregarding or attempting to hamper the action of their military advisers, and, on the other hand, you will find peremptory demands that they should hamper, overrule, or criticise their military advisers. The two accusations are not in harmony with one another, and the true relation of the responsibility of politicians and soldiers has never been satisfactorily decided in this country, or as far as I know, by any Government. But the mistake that Lord Hardinge made, if it be a mistake, is the same mistake as my right Hon. Friend made when he relied upon Lord French and Sir Douglas Haig, and the same mistake which I presume the present Prime Minister is making when he relies now on the advice of Sir Douglas Haig. May I give an

analogy of what I mean? When we were told the other day that the defence of London against air-aids depends upon the number of aeroplanes wanted at the front, who says how many aeroplanes are wanted in France?—the Commander-in-Chief.

Supposing a committee of inquiry sitting afterwards discovered that in a particular month—I do not make the allegation for one moment—that there was certain aeroplanes which might have been used for the defence of London lying idle in a particular part of the front, would the responsibility be that of Sir Douglas Haig or the Prime Minister? What is the alternative to a politician relying on his military advisers? If he cannot trust them, let him choose others. All I say is that Lord Hardinge's reliance upon Sir Beauchamp Duff is not different from that of my right Hon. Friend opposite. Lord Hardinge in this regard cannot be treated as an isolated figure. I think the real charge against the Indian Government is a charge in which I want to include Lord Hardinge and my right Hon. Friend opposite and his predecessor in office, Lord Crewe. It is so easy to be wise after the event. The real charge against the Indian Administration seems to me to be this. At the beginning of the War I believe there was too great doubt of the loyalty and co-operation of the Indian people. The *Times* news-

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

paper, day after day, for sessions and months past, had articles pointing out that sedition was supposed to be rife. It loomed certainly much too large in the discussions of this House. It misled the Germans into thinking India was disloyal, and the deliberate policy of the Government in regard to India during the War seems to me to have been, let us make the least contribution as we dare as far from India as is possible. Keep the War away from India; we will take Indian soldiers and put them into France, and lend Indian civilians to the Home Government. India geographically as a country should be content with defending its own frontiers, and in maintaining order—a very great responsibility—inside the continent of India. Apart from that, it was to do nothing near itself in the War. The people of India were even not asked to contribute to the War, although they asked Parliament that they should be allowed to contribute. I am told that volunteers were asked for in Bengal for certain purposes, and afterwards were told they were not wanted. I am talking now of the beginning of the War. The policy was that we did not know whether India should co-operate in this War or not: we did not trust them; we dare not trust them—I am not criticising them from that point of view—let us keep the War far from India. Then events proved that the Indian people were anxious to co-operate, and

the share of the Indian people in this War, from the beginning to the end, has always been greater than the share of the Indian Government in this War, and always more willing than the share of the Indian Government. When this atmosphere had been created, when Indian troops had been sent to France and Indian civilians sent here, and when India, as Lord Hardinge said, had been "bled white," suddenly there comes a change of policy, and we have this expedition to Bagdad, a complete reversal of policy, unaccompanied, so far as I can see, with any big enough effort to put the Government and organisation of India, which was then on a peace footing, on a war footing, for an aggressive war comparable to the change in policy. Therefore, the machinery was overturned; there was no equipment for war, and when expeditions were sent abroad they ought to have been equipped in a way comparable to the equipment of the expeditionary forces in this country and in our Dominions. As a matter of fact, here comes what I regard a true reduction from this source. The machinery of Government in this country, with its unwritten constitution, and the machinery of Government in our Dominions, has proved itself sufficiently elastic, sufficiently capable of modification, to turn a peace-pursuing instrument into a war-making instrument. It is the Government of India alone

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

which does not seem capable of transformation, and I regard that as based upon the fact that the machinery is statute, written machinery. The Government of India is too wooden, too iron, too inelastic, too antediluvian, to be any use for the modern purposes we have in view. I do not believe that anybody could ever support the Government of India from the point of view of modern requirements. But it would do. Nothing serious had happened since the Indian mutiny, the public was not interested in Indian affairs, and it required a crisis to direct attention to the fact that the Indian Government is an indefensible system of Government. I remember when I first came to the House, when my Hon. Friend opposite, he will perhaps forgive me for reminding him of the fact, and I were members of one of those Committees which Members of Parliament form themselves into, and he spent the whole of his time in trying to direct his colleagues, attention to the necessity of thinking about India. He urged people to go to the Debates about it. I was one of those whom he got to go to the early debates, when Lord Morley took charge of its affairs. Was he successful? Does anybody remember the Indian Budget Debates before the War? Upon that day the House was always empty. India did not matter, and the Debates were left to people on the one side whom their enemies

sometimes called "bureaucrats," and on the other side to people whom their enemies sometimes called "seditionists," until it almost came to be disreputable to take part in Indian Debates. It required a crisis of this kind to realise how important Indian affairs were. After all, is the House of Commons to be blamed for that? What was the Indian Budget Debate? It was a purely academic discussion which had no effect whatever upon events in India, conducted after the events that were being discussed, had taken place. How can you now defend the fact that the Secretaries of State for India alone of all the occupants of the Front Bench, with the possible exception of the Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster, are not responsible to this House for their salaries, and do not come here with their Estimates in order that the House of Commons may express its opinion?

Mr. DILLON: I have said so over and over again in this House.

Mr. MONTAGU: I know, and I am not blaming anybody for it. What I am saying now is in the light of these revelations of this inelasticity of Indian government, however much you could gloss over those indefensible proceedings in the past, the time has now come to alter them. Does the Hon. Member resent my advocacy of a change?

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

Mr. DILLON: For twenty years a small group of us have been demanding that the salary of the Secretary of State for India should be put on the Estimates and the two Front Benches always solidly combined against us.

Sir J. D. REES: Was there not justification for that in the tone of the Debates?

Mr. DILLON: That may be your opinion.

Mr. S. MACNEILL: You (Sir J. D. Rees) contributed very largely.

Mr. MONTAGU: The tone of those Debates was unreal, unsubstantial and ineffective. If Estimates for India, like Estimates for the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs and the Colonial Secretary, were to be discussed on the floor of the House of Commons, the Debates on India would be as good as the Debates on foreign affairs. After all, what is the difference? Has it ever been suggested to the people of Australia that they should pay the salary of the Secretary of State for the Colony? Why should the whole cost of that building in Charles Street, including the building itself, be an item of the Indian tax-payer's burden rather than of this House of Commons and the people of the country? If I may give one example of the inconvenience of the existing system, I would refer to the

Indian Cotton Duties Debate which occurred in this House this year. The Cotton Duties had been imposed and there was no possible way of undoing that. That is the attitude in which we always debate Indian affairs. You have got no opportunity of settling the policy. It has been sometimes questioned whether a democracy can rule an Empire. I say that in this instance the democracy has never had the opportunity of trying. But even if the House of Commons were to give orders to the Secretary of State, the Secretary of State is not his own master. In matters vitally affecting India, he can be overruled by a majority of his Council. I may be told that the cases are very rare in which the Council has differed from the Secretary of State for India. I know one case anyhow, where it was a very near thing, and where the action of the Council might without remedy have involved the Government of India in a policy out of harmony with the declared policy of the House of Commons and the Cabinet. And these gentlemen are appointed for seven years, and can only be controlled from the Houses of Parliament by a resolution carried in both Houses calling on them for their resignations. The whole system of the India Office is designed to prevent control by the House of Commons for fear that there might be too advanced a Secretary of State. I do not say that it is possible

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

to govern India through the intervention of the Secretary of State with no expert advice, but what I do say is that in this epoch, now after the Mesopotamia Report, he must get his expert advice in some other way than by this Council of men, great men though, no doubt, they always are, who come home after lengthy service in India to spend the first year of their retirement as members of the Council of India. No wonder that the practice of telegrams backward and forward and of private telegrams, commented upon by the Mesopotamia Report, has come into existence.

Does any Member of this House know much about procedure in the India Office, how the Council sits in Committees, how there is interposed between the Civil servant and the political chiefs the Committees of the India Council, and how the draft on some simple question comes up through the Civil servant to the Under-Secretary of State, and may be referred back to the Committee which sends it back to him, and it then goes to the Secretary of State, who then sends it to the India Council, which may refer it back to the Committee, and two or three times in its history may go backwards and forwards. I say that that is a system so cumbrous, so designed to prevent efficiency and change that in the light of these revelations it can-

not continue to exist. I speak very bitterly, and I speak with some feelings on this subject, for in the year 1912 a very small modification in this machinery was attempted by Lord Crewe, and a Bill was introduced into the House of Commons. On the motion of Lord Curzon, it was thrown out on Second Reading in another place. Its authorship was attributed to me, and I was supposed to have forced it on my noble chief, because I found that the machinery of the India Office was not good for my own purposes. My only desire then, as it is now, was to try and find something which had some semblance of speedy action. Government offices are often accused of circumlocution and red tape. I have been to the India Office and to other offices. I tell the House that the statutory organisation of the India Office produces an apotheosis of circumlocution and red tape beyond the dreams of an ordinary citizen. Now I will come to one particular detail of the India Office administration before I pass from this subject. I think the Mesopotamia Reports tigmatises the conduct of the Stores Department as in one respect unbusiness-like. The Stores Department of the India Office is a Department whose sole function—a most important function certainly—is the purchase of millions of pounds worth of equipment for the Indian Army, clothing and such like. It is presided over

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

by a Civil servant. In the year 1912 or 1913, a vacancy occurred in that office, and it was suggested then that the proper man to superintend mere purchasing operations of that kind was a business man, an institution of the policy always associated with the Prime Minister. Great difficulties appeared in the way of the appointment of a business man and a Civil servant was appointed. But it was agreed then that the next occupant of the Office should be a business man. My right Hon. Friend the Secretary of State told me yesterday that a Civil servant had again been appointed.

The Secretary of State for India (Mr. Chamberlain): I never heard of any such agreement.

Mr. MONTAGU: My right Hon. Friend is not responsible for any agreement come to by his predecessor. I say it was then agreed as a policy that a business man should be appointed to succeed the Civil servant. I am only giving this history to point out that now, after the Report of the Mesopotamia Commission, I would suggest to him that the time has come to abolish the Stores Department of the India Office, when the work that it is doing of clothing the Indian Army is comparable entirely to the work which is now being done by the Ministry of Munitions and the War Office for equipping our own Armies and the Armies

of our Allies, and that the sooner all these multifarious supply Departments are abolished and the whole business concentrated under one roof and under one office, the more efficient will the supplies be. I come now to the question of the Government of India from India. I think that the control of this House over the Secretary of State ought to be more real, and I would say further that the independence of the Viceroy from the Secretary of State ought to be much greater. You cannot govern a great country by the despatch of telegrams. The Viceroy ought to have far greater powers devolved to him than is at present the case. When I say that, I do submit that you cannot leave the Viceroy as it is. Are there four much more busy men in this country than His Majesty the King, the Prime Minister, who sits opposite, the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and the Speaker of the House of Commons? Yet the analogous positions of these four posts are held by one man in India, and he is expected to be responsible and closely to investigate the conduct of a great expedition like this! You cannot find an individual who can undertake the work. Your executive system in India has broken down, because it is not constituted for the complicated duties of modern government. But you cannot reorganise the Executive Government of India, remodel the Viceroyalty, and give the Executive Government more

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

freedom from this House of Commons and the Secretary of State unless you make it more responsible to the people of India. Really the whole system has got to be explored in the light of the Mesopotamian Commission. It has proved to be of too much rigidity. My hon. and gallant Friend opposite in his Minority Report, I think—certainly in the questions he has asked in the House—seems to advocate a complete Home Rule for India. I do not believe there is any demand for that in India on a large scale. I do not believe it will be possible or certainly be a cure for these evils.

Commander Wedgwood ; I want that to be the goal towards which we are driving.

Mr. MONTAGU : As a goal, I see a different picture ! I see the great Self-Governing Dominions and Provinces of India organised and co-ordinated with the great Principalities, the existing Principalities—and perhaps new ones—not one great Home Rule country, but a series of Self-Governing Provinces and principalities, federated by one Central Government. But whatever be the object of your rule in India, the universal demand of those Indians whom I have met and corresponded with is that you should state it. Having stated it, you should give some instalment to show that you are in real earnest, some beginning of the new plan which you

intend to pursue that gives you the opportunity of giving greater representative institutions in some form or other to the people of India, of giving them greater control of their Executive, of remodelling the Executive—that affords you the opportunity of giving the Executive more liberty from home, because you cannot leave your harrassed officials responsible to two sets of people. Responsibility here at home was intended to replace or to be a substitute for responsibility in India. As you increase responsibility in India you can lessen that responsibility at home.

But I am positive of this, that your great claim to continue the illogical system of Government by which we have governed India in the past is that it was efficient. *It has been proved to be not efficient.* It has been proved to be not sufficiently elastic to express the will of the Indian people; to make them into a warring Nation as they wanted to be. The history of this War shows that you can rely upon the loyalty of the Indian people to the British Empire—if you ever before doubted it! If you want to use that loyalty, you must take advantage of that love of country which is a religion in India, and you must give them that bigger opportunity of controlling their own destinies, not merely by Councils which cannot act, but by control, by

MESOPOTAMIAN COMMISSION.

growing control, of the Executive itself. Then in your next War—if we ever have War—in your next crisis, through times of peace, you will have a contented India, an India equipped to help. Believe me, Mr. Speaker, it is not a question of expediency, it is not a question of desirability. Unless you are prepared to remodel, in the light of modern experience, this century-old and cumbrous machine, then, I believe, I verily believe, that you will lose your right to control the destinies of the Indian Empire.

MR. MONTAGU AND INDIA.

HIS FUTURE POLICY—JULY, 1917.

Mr. E. S. Montagu, Secretary of State for India, unanimously adopted as candidate for West Cambridgeshire, the Parliamentary representation of which he resigned on accepting Ministerial office, said :

The offer of the position of Secretary of State for India, was made to him recently, and after few hours thought he came to the conclusion that anything a man was asked to do now for his country by those who were responsible for guiding its destinies must be done. Nothing else mattered—no personal, no political considerations. Accordingly he had accepted the heavy responsibilities and the difficult anxieties of that office.

Ever since he entered public life he had taken an absorbing interest in the Indian fellow-subjects of the King Emperor. He had served first as Under-Secretary to Lord Morley, the veteran statesman who represented all that was best in English public life. He had also served under, and as colleague of, Lord Crewe. If Lord Crewe has escaped some of the abuse which public men receive he

certainly had escaped the credit that was due to a wise and far-seeing statesman whose counsels were of the utmost value. He had served as a colleague of Mr. Austen Chamberlain, who in his resignation had acted from a fine sense of honour which had endeared him to the people of this country, but in doing this he had inflicted a serious loss on the counsels of the nation.

I take up the work (Mr. Montagu proceeded) where Mr. Chamberlain left it a few days ago. As a private member of the House of Commons, when I had no sort of notion that I should be asked to fill any vacancy in the India Office, I made a speech on Indian affairs. That speech embodied the opinions I held and still hold. Mr. Chamberlain told the House of Commons that the reform of the Government in India was now under discussion between him and the Council and the Viceroy and his Council and advisers in India. I take up that discussion, I hope, without interruption, where he left it and in due course the Government will announce their policy.

There are only two issues now at stake—the successful conclusion of the war and adequate preparation for peace when it comes. For some months I have been presiding over a conference to consider the actual steps to be taken for bringing home from

abroad, releasing from service, and sending back to their homes the most gallant soldiers in history. The care of those who fought and won is a first charge upon statesmanship. Our plans are nearly ready, and with the co-operation of the Ministry of Labour and the War Office our report will soon be before the Government.

Everything depends, after the war on the rapidity with which we resume our peace vocations. The future of our returned soldiers depends largely on the rapidity with which we can start manufacturing. Has not the war taught us, revived and made more acute as a motive power, the sense of nationality? Our country and Empire must be made secure not only in arms, but in supplies. You will, after the war as now, have to suffer hardships and inconvenience. With all the efforts that we can make, there will be a shortage of shipping in the early months of peace. That will mean shortage of all the food we might like and of raw material. Let no one imagine that these difficulties will disappear with the coming of peace; therefore, the more we produce and set ourselves to provide in the future, the better off we shall be.

You will have noticed that whenever I am asked to undertake any work I am assisted in the responsibility I assume by expressions of opinion

MR. MONTAGU AND INDIA.

from a certain section of the Press. That is their method, and they have time for it. What does it matter to us, after all? I do not stand alone. The present Prime Minister, who is squaring up so successfully to his gigantic task; the late Lord Kitchener, to whom we owed almost everything at the beginning of the war; Mr. Balfour, whose work in America is still fresh in the mind of everybody; Viscount Grey, whose diplomacy was the machinery for rallying all the civilized nations of the world against Germany; Mr. Churchill, who mobilized the Navy on the eve of the war; Lord-Haldane, who gave us on the Expeditionary Force; even Mr. Asquith, whose wise leadership held the country together in unity for the first two and a half years of the war—all these, Liberals and Conservatives come in for their share.

What does it matter? The fact remains that I base my right to serve my country upon your confidence, and while I have that I shall pay no attention to anything else. (Cheers.)

Mr. Montagu concluded with the statement that the expressions of confidence he had received from many in that constituency and in India gave him this assurance, that it would not be from want of friends if he failed.

INDEX.

A	PAGE.
Abnormal movements	77
Accurate estimate	262
Administering justice	253
Administrative machine, the	61
Administrative expenditure	264
Administrative needs	159
Advisory Committee, appointment of	48
Afghan Representative, attitude of	2
Agitation against the Partition	325
Agricultural records, Verification of	369
Allotment of grants for building hostels	185
Alteration of statute	162
Alterations and additions to the Audit office	202
Altruistic rule, British Genius for	281
A minister for education	51
Anarchical Conspiracy	289
Anticipating criticism	263
Anticipating the critics	132
Application of modern methods	100
Arbitration committee	105
Archaic habits in towns become insupportable	111
Article in the Pioneer	141
Aspiration, Healthy growth of	279
Asquith, The Rt. Hon. Mr... ..	ii, xi
Assessment, distribution of	374
Assessment, Revision of	364
Avoidance of dangers	62

INDEX.

B

	PAGE
Black Death and its effects... ..	113
Blue Books, importance of	9
Bill—Provisions of the	314
Boundary readjustment, Questions of	391
Borrowing powers	257, 253
British Government in India—policy of to interfere with arti- ficial regions	321
British Government in India, policy of to interfere with territories	329
British Rule, Keynote of	191
Building up of a record for accuracy	360
Bureaucratic Government, defects of	388
Bureau of information	165
Burke, Mr. Edmund	ix, x
Business concern	170

C

Canning, Lord	vii
Capital liabilities	260
Careful arrangements for traffic	240
Careful attention of Students of Indian matters	58
Careful research	277
Careful scientific research	138
Capital, Transfer of	305
Care of money	49
Carping Criticism	299
Case restated	124
Caste prejudices	173
Caste principles and progress	118
Celebration, a worthy scale?	78
Census, the	68
Change of political institutions	161
Character and service of police	234
Character taught by text books	185

INDEX

	PAGE.
Chinese monopoly, establishment of	349
Churchill, Mr.	4
Civic sense	287
Civil Service	246
Clive, Lord	vii
Commercial enterprises	79
Commercial operations	102
Communication consists of waterways	233
Communication, means of improvement of	222
Communications, improvement of	372
Comparison of finance	11
Compendious Jingle	276
Competition, no enmity to	199
Complete harmony between all races	232
Concentration of labour in factories	97
Concentration of people	109
Cconciliation, Strict Justice for	277
Condition of revenue	19
Considerable extension	164
Conspicuous success	55
Constitutional monarch	304
Constitutional outrage	322
Construction of railways	272
Co-operative movement	103
Cost of living in different centres	165
Creation of university at Dacca	187
Crewe, Lord	vi, vii
Critics fundamental error of	334
Cruel disparagement	279
Crystallised conservatism	338
Cultivator naturally improvident	102
Curzon, Lord	ii, x
D	
Dacca University proposal	226
Damaging blow to prestige	300

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Damaging to prestige ...	302
Danger of Capitalisation ...	116
Debenture bonds ...	263
Deep regret ...	89
Degradation of labour ...	117
Delegation and Responsibility ...	130
Delhi—The Capital of India no objection to the Maho- medan population ...	320
Deliberate misrepresentation ...	39
Deliberate achievement ...	307
Delights of Display ...	82
Demand for labour ...	29
Democratic system in England ...	23
Depressed classes, condition of ...	121
Detection of a political crime ...	242
Development of agriculture ...	267
Development of Commerce ...	267
Development of means of communication ...	267
Development of Technical education ...	188
Development of education ...	75
Diffice : bet. chief court and High Court ...	284
Difference between East and West ...	27
Different soils, value of, ...	374
Difficulty in obtaining Capital ...	211
Difficulties of flying ...	216
Disaffection and Reforms ...	59
Discovering clues of Stolen property ...	237
Discourage the use of stimulants ...	149
Dispassionate view ...	281
Disposal of Surplus ...	75
Disquieting features ...	229
Distress, relief of ...	386
Distressing cases of torture ...	289
Distribution of quinine by plantation ...	8
Disturbance of international trade ...	72

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Domestic concerns	143
Dominant power	360
Drainage problem	156
Durbar announcements	300
Durbar, arrangements of	80
Durbar traffic	149
E	
Earning of railways, improvement in	210
Eastern Bengal, Mahomedans of	338, 319
Economic background	361
Economics in administration	85
Economy, Interest of	236
Education	211
Educational facilities	172
Educational problem	171
Efficiency, Loss of	249
Efficient discharge of Imperial duties	127
Efforts to improve the police by commissions	290
Elaborate system of rent	230
Elastic treatment, Free scope for	363
Elements of education, How received	183
Elements of danger	57
Elibank, Master of	iv
Eliminate opportunity	291
Ellis, The Rt. Hon. Mr.	iii
Eminent men in high places	245
Encourage merit by information	235
Encouragement of Mussalmans by scholarships	226
English Government in India, success of... ..	65
Englishman's duty	163
English virtues, sudden loss of	280
Enhance the circulation of offending newspapers	36
Enhancement of rent	102
Eradication of Political Crime	127

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Eventual characteristic of the population ...	198
Establishment of Model Govt. Schools ...	188
Establishment of a State Bank in India ...	214
Establishment of an Executive Council ...	54
European officers, nucleus of ...	274
European element, necessity for ...	194
Excise and Customs ...	154
Excise revenue ...	152
Exercise advantage by training ...	189
Exiled men and women, indefatigable work of ...	251
Expenditure and surplus ...	151
Expenditure on durbar ...	77
Exports of Indian articles ...	74
Expression of public opinion ...	95
Extermination of rats and fleas ...	7
Extra-territorial patriotism ...	123
F	
Facilities for examining tickets ...	264
Facilities for passengers ...	264
Facts notified by warning to members of the force ...	236
Facts and figures, Reminding of ...	289
Fail to prove funds ...	288
Fall in prices, importance of ...	5
False hopes ...	35
Financial position of India, The ...	149
Financial position, The ...	63
Financial position of India ...	9
Financial strength ...	87
Finding the money ...	158
Fluctuating source of income ...	153
Food grains, increase in price of ...	5
Foreign Relations, Control over ...	3
Free compulsory primary education ...	175
French dirigible balloon ...	273

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Freer recourse	294
Furtherance of policy	84
Future, The	154
G	
Games and amusements	82
Garden party	81
General trend of the prices	72
General expansion of Indian trade	149
George, The Rt. Hon. Mr. Lloyd	vii
Governor-General's power to appoint a chief commissioner..	316
Gratitude-Debt of	275
Grave and weighty criticisms	303
Great development in irrigation	220
Growing prosperity	259
Growth of expenditure	13
Growth of irrigation system	85
H	
Hardinge, Lord	vi
Havoc brought by morphia and Cocaine	353
Heavy expenditure for buildings	180
Helping to bring reform	206
Higher education	181
Higher level of education	95
Higher standard of living	95, 108
High Court at Calcutta—Jurisdiction of and its extension limits	315
Home Charges	273
Honorary titles, Bestowal of	288
I	
Ignorance of personal hygiene	112
Illegitimate manifestations of unrest	33
Illuminating exposition	275
Import of petroleum, increase in	20

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Improving the police	231
Importance of economy in India	10
Importance of higher education	181
Important feature of economy	70
Improvement of education in practical pursuits	233
Inadequate knowledge	126
Incessant misrepresentation	37
Increased protection to worker	117
Increase in Legislative Councils	54
Increase in primary schools	179
Increase of wealth	106
Increasing difficulty task of	354
Independence, Spirit of,	56
India abhors the crime	241
India, Agricultural prosperity of	73
India, Awakening of	280
India, Moral welfare of	274
India Office and the Civil Service	277
Indian High Courts Bill	282
Indian opinion, approval of	41
India part and parcel of a nation	126
Indian Budget	66
Indian Councils Act	53
Indian Police, The	287
Indian students in England	47
Indian students in London	162
India, Turn of	298
Indian ways compared with the Westerner	253
Industrial progress of India	96
Inevitable features	274
Inflammatory doctrine	39
Inoculation, advantages of... ..	139
Inquiries, genuineness of	294
Inquiry into conduct of look-ups to obtain proper supervision	292

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Internal development	271
Interpolation of questions as to Indian affairs ...	250
Intolerable industry	84
Ireland, ambition of	312
Irrigation grants	258
Irresponsible agitators	342
Irresponsible clamour	342
Isolated grumblers	352
Investments in private concerns	272
J	
Judgment, Suspension of	287
K	
King George, Personality of	299
Knives, relentless application of	276
L	
Land Problem in India and England	358
Law and order, maintenance of	222
Labour conditions improved	117
Lawabiding citizens, protection of	236
Lack in Sympathy	60
Land reclamation	111
Land Settlement system	290
Legal Machinery, Improvement of	286
Little children, Hordes of	369
Local Self-Government and sanitation	115
Logical exposition	309
Lord Curzon, attitude of	301
Lord Morley, administration of	269
Low-living, Consequences of	109
M	
Magistrates and confessions	291
Mahomedan education, necessity for	225
Making primary education free	177

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Malaria a dangerous and malignant calumny	... 43
Malaria's importance to the population of India	... 7
Malignant growth	... 276
Manganese a new industry	... 99
Manifestations of political unrest	... 32
Markets, establishment of...	... 375
Marvellous work done by missionaries	... 228
Measures to deal with dacoity	... 232
Mesopotamian Commission, Report of	... 397
Mesopotamia Failure	... vii, ix
Minimum security to newspapers in obedience to law	... 40
Minor raids, no intermission of	... 217
Modern industrial progress	... 119
Morley, Lord	... ii, iii, iv, v
Morphia & Cocaine, export of	... 18
Mr. Ellis-interest in Indian affairs	... 67
Mr. Butler, approval of	... 53
Mr. Clark, appointment of	... 53
Mr. Mallet, appointment of	... 166
N	
Necessary supplies, Shortages of	... 400
Necessity of services	... 250
Necessity to increase funds	... 14
New agricultural world	... 101
New Chief Court, Establishing of	... 284
New taxation, Necessity for	... 19
Nicholson Committee	... 215
Normal routine, Matters of	... 288
O	
Objections to the new duties on tobacco	... 21
Obtain support from the Press	... 166
Obvious disadvantages	... 1
Occasional outrages	... 89
Offering Mussulman university education	... 227

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Old habit, getting rid of	347
Opium and the Chinese Government	15
Opium grown by farmers with impunity	250
Opium revenue	83, 52
Opportunity for Indians	188
Opposition, Policy of	329
Oppression of Working Classes	135
Ordinary debt	272
Organise industrial population	95
Organisation at the India office	461
Organisation of industrial and agricultural life	107
Oriental languages, Study of	229
Other countries, wider knowledge of	190
Outcome of political institutions	124
Owner of the land, ignorance of	370
Overstocked profession of Bar	100
Overstocking the Bench	285
P	
Paper documents	92
Parliament and India	125
Partition—a settled fact	338
Partition of Bengal, Reversal of	300
Party embarrassments, avoidance of	278
Passing competitive examinations	182
Patriotic Societies	169
Pensionary and furlough payments	273
Perfect freedom between the component parts	296
Pessimist, word to	90
Petrolsum, development of	99
Plague and malaria	6
Plague, its remedies	114
Police, efficiency of	64
Police, marked improvement of	46
Political condition of India	87

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Political condition of the Empire	22
Political crime	82
Political deportees, mention of	140
Political development of India	93
Political future	94
Political organisation	92
Political outrages	269
Political position of India	161
Political unrest, Action of... ..	30
Poorer cultivators, Weakening of	376
Position of British official in India	132
Positions of Indians in the Services	192
Powder and Jam policy	277
Power of conferring degrees	186
Power to criticise and control Government	131
Posting men to garrison roofs	241
Possibilities of wealth	98
Practical training, importance of	170
Preceding rulers, protection of	377
Pregnant arguments	275
Premier partner, position of	375
Preserving peaceful relations with neighbouring states	218
Press Laws	34
Prestige, theory of govt. by	128
Prestige theory pressed to logical conclusion	129
Preventing political murder	240
Primitive measures	62
Private enterprise	111
Production of native opium	84
Profit and loss	71
Profits of agriculture	109
Progressive moderation--the Keynote of the Policy of Government	363
Progress, striving after	199
Proper hostel accommodation	184

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Prospective deficit in current revenue ...	159
Prospects of the harvests ...	76
Prosperity and Poverty ...	271
Protectionist tariff, advantage of ...	117
Provide trade facilities ...	362
Protection, Special measures of ...	379
Public decapitation ...	80
Public office, Knowledge of ...	167
Public service through Universities ...	108
Public Services Commission ...	244
Purchase of railways ...	266
Q	
Questions of general administration ...	208
Question of inadequate buildings ...	174
R	
Railway contracts ...	262
Railway profits ...	266
Railway service ...	260
Railway and Salt Revenue... ..	12
Railways, Comparison of ...	265
Railways in India ...	259
Railways and Irrigation Loans Bill, Indian	250
Raising of the maximum number of judges	283
Rao, Mr. K. Vyasa ...	xi
Ravages of plague ...	112
Ready co-operation from the Government...	222
Real sentiment of Nationalism ...	122
Re-arrangement of platforms ...	265
Re-arrangement of waiting halls ...	265
Re-capitulation of important facts ...	70
Reason to suspect ill-treatment ...	292
Recent events, Knowledge of ...	391
Receptions in the India office ...	49
Record of five years ...	64

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Recruiting sergeant hampered by civil reports	245
Reducing twelve years to seven years	207
Reduction of military expenditure	86
Reduction of taxation	155
Relentless machine	279
Relying upon obsolete practice	313
Relentless efforts	230
Relief works in famine districts	148
Religious objection to inoculation	115
Remand should be short	297
Remand to make a confession	238
Remission of taxation	159
Remission refused	158
Removal of potential cause	255
Reorganisation of village chaukidars	233
Reply to the debate	134, 200
Repression and concession...	276
Representative Government, granting of	317
Representation to Secretary of State	17
Repression of sedition	42
Responsibility of officers makes the task less agreeable	248
Restriction of supply	74
Revenue and trade, expansion of	197
Revival of old village communities	106
Revolt against authority	26
Revolution of the globe	133
Rice—the staple article of food	4
River patrols, establishment of	233
Roberts, Mr. Charles	vi
S	
Salient features of the budget	209
Sanitation ...	213
Sanitation, spread of	210
Satisfaction of autumn rains	4
Satisfactory organisation to look after Indian students	168

INDEX:

	PAGE.
Satisfactory regulations for Indian students	169
Schools, multiplication of	176
Schools under private management	174
Scotland and England compared	79
Scottish village school in the 18th century... ..	173
Secretary of State and his powers	63
Sentimental grievance	326
Separate naval force	142
Separation of legitimate from illegitimate unrest	33
Serious labour troubles in England	287
Serious rioting threatened	68
Service fills the higher subordinate appointments	193
Service, Record of	288
Shadow of foundation	337
Significant figures	98
Signs of movement among leaders of Hinduism	120
Slowness of promotion	247
Social club	50
Social conditions of the country	161
Social Reforms, work of	28
Soil and position, advantages of	371
Steps to control the expenditure of money	52
Strengthening the teaching staff	212
Sudden demand for business	101
Suggestion about bomb	287
Supply of better equipped teachers	180
Supreme need	100
Survey, principles of	373
Surveillance, net work of	239
System to co-opt	201
T	
Tack displayed highly appreciated	219
Teachers, scarcity of	173
Technical education development of	187
Technical instruction	108

INDEX.

	PAGE.
Temper of the House to Indian matters 204
Temporary conciliations 35
Temporary Judges—Appointment of 285
Tenants, Protection of 381
To impede the fulfilment 160
Town life, evils of 110
Trade, facilitating of 203
Trade in cattle 106
Tribute to the Government 346
Tribute to the Force 289
True Empire—Building 295
Turkey Strut in pompous celebration 296
U	
Unavoidable absence 345
Unfaltering confidence 356
Union bet. the English and the Irish people 311
Unrest, talk of 24
Unrest—Spirit of 274
Unsparring service 255
Unswerving courage 270
Unsympathetic methods 276
Use of mechanical power 97
V	
Village maps, accuracy of 369
Village communities, Isolation of 97
Visit to India 204
W	
Want of pay 247
Warren of passes and staircases 241
Welcome and help-co-operation 124
Wellesley, The Marquess of vi
White paper and Blue Book 305
Y	
Yeoman farmers 368

“The history of this war shows that you can rely upon the loyalty of the Indian people to the British Empire—if you ever before doubted it! If you want to use that loyalty you must take advantage of that love of country which is a religion in India, and you must give them that bigger opportunity of controlling their own destinies, not merely by councils which cannot act, but by control, by growing control, of the Executive itself.”

Mr. E. S. Montagu in the House of Commons.



INDIAN NATIONAL LITERATURE

PUBLISHED BY

GANESH & Co., MADRAS.



“I do not for one moment wish to discountenance Self-Government for India as a national Ideal. It is a perfectly legitimate agitation and has the warm sympathy of all moderate men.”

LORD HARDINGE.

September, 1917. THIS CANCELS ALL PREVIOUS ONES.

J. N. TATA.

HIS LIFE AND LIFE WORK.

2ND EDITION.

By D. E. WACHA.

The name of Mr. J. N. TATA is already inscribed on an enduring monument in this country as a great captain of industry and a patriot of the purest ray serene. His is such a life as to leave behind a fragrance which we are sure will last for many a generation to come. Those who are interested in the material advancement of the country will do well to read the book to know what a man of action has done and is recommended particularly to the student population to whom it will serve as an inspiration for patient work and action. The book contains 14 illustrations and is bound in cloth and gilt.

PRICE RE. 1-0-0.

SELECT OPINIONS.

The Times of India observes in a leading article: * * * * * The little brochure should be in the hands of every Indian student and school boy and pondered upon by all engaged on India's work for it enshrines both the spirit and the achievement of a great Indian and a true patriot.

The Bombay Chronicle writes in a leading article under the heading "A Great Example." The whole life and work of J. N. TATA, his character, his energy and enterprise, his unconquerable determination to succeed in whatever he undertook and above all, the generous patriotism and far seeing philanthropy with which he applied the results of his own success to the advancement of his own country, are a lesson which should form part of the education of every young Indian.

The Hindu writes in a leading article: The appearance at the present time of a short biography of the late Mr. J. N. TATA written by his life-long friend admirer, Mr. D. E. Wacha, is peculiarly appropriate, for nowhere else could a more valuable example be found for what indomitable energy, great perseverance and practical philanthropy could achieve in the Industrial World. That lesson is necessary to be learnt as much by the Government and the fascinating story narrated to us by Mr. Wacha furnishes valuable guidance on many other matters.

The Bengalee writes in a leading article: The life of such a man is worth a hundred dissertation on Patriotism. It is inspiring—it is calculated to rouse the desponding and to stimulate the ardour of the optimistic.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39. Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

NEW BOOKS ON EDUCATION
NEW WAYS IN ENGLISH LITERATURE

BY
JAMES H. COUSINS.

Essays on the works of several of the leading contemporary and recent poets in the English language including Tagore, Arabinda Ghose, Yeats, A. E. Carpenter, Phillips, Meredith, Austin, and the younger English poets. The author endeavours to raise literary criticism to a higher level and to uncover the spiritual basis of modern poetry. His personal contact with several of the authors dealt with gives a special interest to the book.

D. C. 16 M. O. Cloth bound. Price. Re. 1.

THE KINGDOM OF YOUTH
Essays towards National Education

BY
JAMES H. COUSINS.

CONTENTS.

The Kingdom of Youth.
The Triangle of Imagination.
The Trinity of Education.
The Co-operative Spirit in Education.
The Education of Circumstances.
Educational Foundations.

D. C. 16 M. O. Price 8 as.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39. Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

SKETCHES OF INDIAN ECONOMICS

BY

R. PALIT (336 Pages, Rs. 1-8-0.)

CONTENTS.

Commercial Education. Foreign Competition. The Younger Generation. Advantages of Trade on the Joint Stock Principle. America as a place of study. How industries are developed. The Organisation of Credit. Some Reminiscences of India's Commercial Days. Our Tobacco Trade. The Industrial View of India. Revival of Indian Industries. The Creation of National Wealth. Protection in Trade. Why Free Trade is not good for India. Our Women and their place in Domestic Industry. How to improve the Condition of Indian Peasants. Our Mineral Resources. How to educate our children. Nitrate of Lime and its value as a Fertilizer. Some Industrial aspects of Ivory Carving. The necessity of Technical Training in Modern Education. A plea for Agricultural Education and Art Education applied to Industry.

OPINIONS.

The Punjab Educational Journal.—The book contains much food for reflection and stimulus to action.

Speeches of H. E. Lord Hardinge.

In bringing out this collection of the speeches made by His Excellency Lord Hardinge during the years 1913-16, as a tribute to His Lordship's character and services, the publishers are convinced that they are supplying the public with a memorable record well worth treasuring. This volume will carry forward His Excellency's administration as revealed and recorded in his speeches. (*Over 600 Pages*).

The Private Secretary to His Excellency Lord Sydenham writes:—His Excellency Lord Sydenham is very glad that the speeches of His Excellency the Viceroy have been published in permanent form and he is sure that the publication will do good.

The Private Secretary to His Excellency Lord Carmichael writes:—His Excellency wishes your venture all success.

Price Rs. 3.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39, Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

SIR RABINDRANATH TAGORE.

His Life, Personality and Genius by K. S. Ramaswami Sastri, B.A., B.L., with a Foreword by Mr. J. C. Rollo, Price Rs. 3. Concession rate to students, Rs. 2-8. 540 pages. Cloth bound.

—Himself a poet and man of letters, and a lover of all that is pure and noble in this ancient land and in other lands Mr. Ramaswami Sastri brings the equipment of sympathy learning, and culture, intellectual and spiritual, to bear upon an appreciation of Rabindranath Tagore whose poetry is the language of the Soul of India.

Mr. Ramaswami Sastri has given vital help towards the understanding of Rabindranath and his religious, lyrical and dramatic conceptions and also a comprehensive study that is invaluable. The author does for Tagore what Stopford Brooke has done for Tennyson and Browning—to supply a popular exposition, critical and exhaustive of the Poet's work, which will compel a study of the works themselves on the part of those unacquainted with the poet and will interpret their own thoughts and emotions to those who have come under the magic spell of the Prophet-Poet's genius. An excellent portrait of Dr. Tagore forms the frontispiece.

CHAP. CONTENTS.

- I. Introductory. Tagore—A Study.
- II. Gitanjali.
- III. Gardener.
- IV. The Crescent Moon.
- V. Chitra.
- VI. The King of the Dark Chamber.
- VII. The Post Office.
- VIII. Translation of one hundred poems of Kabir
- IX. Fiction.
- X. Sadhana.
- XI. Tagore's Miscellaneous Writings and Speeches.
- XII. Conclusion.
- XIII. Bibliography.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39, Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

“ Readable description, pleasant narration and valuable information ”

URGE DIVINE

BY SAINT NIHAL SINGH. Price Re. 1.

The essays comprised in this volume are varied in character. They relate to agricultural, industrial, educational, philanthropic and personal topics. There is none of them which does not point a valuable moral for India. In modernising herself India has necessarily to profit by the experience of other countries. Even where the form cannot be reproduced the method is bound to be instructive. And the present essays, containing as they do the observations of an intelligent Indian, who wields a facile pen and has travelled through many lands are full of rare and useful information and are calculated to serve the object the author has avowedly in view—the uplifting of this ancient land.

Messages of Uplift for India.

Containing Essays, Descriptive, Educational and
Critical. By Saint Nihal Singh, 320 pp.

PRICE Rs. 1-4-0.

OPINIONS.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Y. Krishnaswamy Aiyar: It was a good idea of yours to publish them in a collected form. They contain the impressions of an ardent Indian from many foreign lands through which he has travelled with observant eyes. They must certainly appeal to many a young man in the country eager for foreign travel and burning with a desire to elevate his countrymen.

Sir, D.E. Wacha:—I always read them with care and attention. They are most interesting and suggestive.

Ganesh & Co. Publishers. 39. Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

THE BOOK OF THE HOUR—JUST PUBLISHED.
MR. MONTAGU ON INDIAN AFFAIRS.

With a Foreword by Dr. Sir S. Subramanya Aiyar.

This is an exhaustive collection of all the speeches delivered by Mr. E. S. Montagu on Indian affairs ever since his appointment at the India Office as Under-Secretary to Lord Morley up-to-date inclusive of the speech he delivered on assuming the Office of the Secretary of State for India. The speeches embodied in this volume represent a true picture of Mr. Montagu and his views about India and also foreshadow his future administrative policy. The publication includes not only the speeches delivered in the House of Commons but also a number of other speeches which were made by him on various occasions and which are of importance to India. The book is sure to be of special interest to readers as it opens *with a valuable introduction written by our veteran leader Sir S. Subramanya Aiyar and contains the portraits of Mr. Montagu and Sir S. Aiyar together with an index.*

CONTENTS: Budget speeches for 1910, 1911, 1912 and 1913, Indian Railways and Irrigation. The Condition of India. The Indian Police, Liberalism and India. The Government of India Bill. The Indian High Courts Bill, Opium Traffic, India and Protection. The Land Problem and India. His Visit to India. Reply to Lord Curzon on the India Council Bill. Tribute to Sir K.G. Gupta, Mesopotamian Commission and Indian Administration, and His Future Policy.

Price Re. 1-8-0. Over 450 Pages. D. C. 16 M. O.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39. Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

SWAMI DAYANAND SARASWATI

HIS LIFE AND TEACHINGS.

BY

SIVANANDAN PRASAD KULYAR,

Price Re. 1.

"Among the makers of Modern India, Swami Dayanand Saraswati will be remembered not only for the boldness and originality of his teachings; but also for the supreme halo that surrounds the actual life of the sage who practised what he preached. He thus takes rank with the great men of all times. As such the *Life and Teachings* of the founder of the Arya Samaj, just published by Messrs. Ganesh & Co., is an adequate tribute to the genius and character of the great social, and educational reformer who flourished at a most critical juncture in Modern Indian history and whose influence can only be said to be increasing in the years that lie before us. Prof. Rama Deva's foreword is a fairly exhaustive appreciation of the life and services of the great sage and the author's treatment of the career and teachings of the Swami is at once critical and profound."

Sir Rabindranath Tagore

A STUDY

BY

K. S. RAMASWAMI SASTRI, B.A., B.L.,

Price As. 4.

THE

Present crisis in Hindu Society

BY

K. S. RAMASWAMI SASTRI, B.A., B.L.,

Price As. 6.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39. Thambu Chetty St. Madras.

INDIA'S CLAIM FOR HOME RULE

A comprehensive collection of the speeches and writings of eminent English men and women and representatives of the princess and the peoples of India.

A Telling Case for Grant of Home Rule for India.

OUR SPOKESMEN.

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 Dadabai Naoroji. | 24 Hon. Mr. Nabiulla. |
| 2 Surendranath Banerjee. | 25 Hon. Mr. M.A. Jinnah. |
| 3 Lord Bishop of Madras. | 26 Lord Bishop of Calcutta. |
| 4 Mrs. Annie Besant. | 27 Hon. Rajah Mahamudabad. |
| 5 Hon. Mr. Ibrahim Rahim-
tulla. | 28 Mr. Mahomed Ali. |
| 6 L.A. Govindaragava Iyer. | 29 Mr. Syed Wazir Hasan. |
| 7 Mrs. Sarojini Naidu. | 30 Lala Lajpat Rai. |
| 8 R.N. Mudholkar. | 31 Mr. Hasan Imam. |
| 9 Pandit Jugat Narain. | 32 Sir S.P. Sinha. |
| 10 Ambikacharan Muzumdar. | 33 Babu Arabinda Ghose. |
| 11 Bal Gangadhar Tilak. | 34 Sir Krishna Gupta. |
| 12 Hon. Mr. Muzrul Haque. | 35 Lord Hardinge. |
| 13 Sir Dinshaw Petit. | 36 Mr. J.S. Cotton. |
| 14 Babu Bepin Chandra Pal. | 37 Sir William Wedderburn. |
| 15 Joseph Baptista. | 38 The Rt. Hon. Mr. E.S. Mon-
tagu. |
| 16 Dr. Tej Bahadur Sapru. | 39 Commander Wedgewood. |
| 17 Jehangir Petit. | 40 Dr. John Pollen. |
| 18 Hon. Mr. B.N. Sarma. | 41 Dr. Rutherford. |
| 19 Hon. Mr. Abdul Rasal. | 42 Mr. Webb. |
| 20 Sir Babindranath Tagore. | 43 Mrs. Webb. |
| 21 H.H. Maharaja of Alwar. | 44 Mr. S.H. Swinny. |
| 22 H.H. Maharaja of Bikanir. | 45 Mr. Herbert Burrows. |
| 23 Hon. Pandit M.M. Malaviya. | 46 Dr. Sir S. Subramanya Aiyar. |

The Publishers hope that the historic Introduction with which the volume opens and the Appendix at the end of the book containing the memorable documents mentioned below will be of special interest.

1. The Memorandum of the Nineteen.
2. The Scheme of the Congress and Moslem League.
3. The Programme of the British Congress Committee.
4. The Aims of the Indian National Congress as expressed by the 31 Presidents of the Indian National Congress.
5. Representative views of several Englishmen and Indians of all shades of opinion on Self-Government for India.

Price Rs. 2.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39, Thumbu Chetty St., Madras.

2nd Edition

Just Published.

Select Essays of Sister Nivedita

With an Introduction by Mr. A. J. F. Blair,
Editor, "Empire," Calcutta.

This is a comprehensive collection of the select essays of the late Sister Nivedita, who was devoting her life to the cause of India. The publishers hope that a perusal of the book will amply repay the readers. The book opens with an introduction by Mr. A. J. F. Blair, Editor, "Empire," Calcutta, and is illustrated with four half-tone pictures. There is an appendix to the book containing some tributes paid to the memory of the late Sister by well-known personages such as Mrs. J. C. Bose, F. J. Alexander, S. K. Batcliffe, A. J. F. Blair and others. Price Rs. 1-8-0.

Art and Swadeshi

BY

Dr. A. K. COOMARASWAMY, D.Sc.

Contains Essays on Indian Art and Swadeshi. Profusely illustrated with eighteen rare and original pictures and handsomely bound. Price Re. 1.

The Tribune writes:—Both the author and publishers of Art & Swadeshi need no introduction to the Indian Public. A. K. Coomaraswamy, the author, has already gained a wide reputation as one of the most able interpreters of Indian Art and Messrs. Ganesh & Co., the publishers, are well-known for bringing out cheap and useful editions of works greatly appreciated by the reading public. The present volume is a compilation with illustrations of a few addresses and essays of Dr. A. K. Coomaraswamy mainly dealing with the ideals and prospects of Indian Art on the Indian Nation.

The Message of the East.

By Dr. Ananda K. Coomaraswamy.

Price As. 4.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39. Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

THE INDIAN NATION BUILDERS.

This is the only Publication which gives the biographies and speeches of thirty-six eminent Indians, with their portraits in three comprehensive volumes at such a cheap cost of Rs. 4-8-0 (Volume I, Rs. 1-8-0 Vol. II, Rs. 1-8-0; Vol. III, Rs. 1-8-0).

Volume I. FIFTH EDITION

1. Mahadev Govind Ranade
2. Ananda Mohan Bose
3. Babu Surendra Nath Banerjee
4. Sir P. M. Mehta
5. Hon. G. K. Gokhale
6. Mr. G. Subramania Aiyar
7. Dr. Rash Bahari Ghose
8. H. H. The Gaekwar of Baroda
9. Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya
10. Lala Lajpat Rai
11. Babu Bepin Chandra Pal
12. Mr. Abdul Rasul

Volume II.

13. Dadabhai Naoroji
14. W. C. Bonnerji
15. Justice B. Tyabji
16. Bal Gangadhar Tilak
17. Dinshaw Edulji Wacha
18. Lal Mohan Ghose
19. Romesh Chunder Dutt
20. Swami Vivekananda
21. Lala Hans Raj
22. Raja Sir T. Madhava Rao
23. Rao Bahadur R. N. Mudholkar
24. H.H. The Maharajah of Mysore

Volume III.

25. Sir Gurudas Banerjee
26. Sir Syed Ahmed Khan
27. Paudit Ajudianath
28. K. T. Telang
29. H.H. The Late Nizam of Hyderabad
30. M. K. Gandhi
31. Babu Asabinda Ghose
32. Babu Aswani Kumar Dutt
33. Dr. A. K. Coomaraswamy
34. Sir Rabindranath Tagore
35. Sir K. Seshadri Aiyar
36. Bankim Chandra Chatterjee

OPINIONS.

The Statesman :—The volumes will be found of distinct use by all who are interested in Indian political affairs.....
The Hindustan Review.—A commendable contribution to the literature of the Indian Renaissance.

The three volumes, if purchased at a time, will be given for Rs. 4-0-0.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39. Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

NEW EDITIONS

JUST PUBLISHED

Speeches of Babu Surendranath Banerjee.

3rd EDITION.

This is an exhaustive collection of all the speeches of Babu Surendranath Banerjee delivered in England during his visit to attend the Imperial Press Conference. Mr. Banerjee deals in his speeches which embody the book, with the burning questions of the day such as Indian Loyalty, Self-Government, the Congress, the tyranny of the settled fact, the distempered official mind, the deportations, the bureaucracy, anarchism, the Reform Scheme and the Indian unrest in an effective and impressive manner, which is sure to convince the British public and lead the way to the emancipation of India. The book also contains the notable speeches of Lord Morley on *Literature and Journalism* and the attack of Lord Cromer on the Indian Press with the portraits of Lord Morley and Mr. Banerjee. Price Rs. 8.

THE HON. PANDIT MADAN MOHAN MALAVIYA.

His Life and Speeches.

2nd EDITION. (REVISED AND ENLARGED).

The speeches which are embodied in this volume are not mere speeches but they are the fruit and flower of a soul deeply rooted in a sense of the eternal verities of life, of a character distinguished by simplicity and self-control, of an intellect given to an honest study of facts and an impartial and fair-minded presentation of them. The publishers are convinced that they are supplying the Indian reading public with a record of activity exercised on behalf of the country which will serve to infuse moral seriousness, honest study, and strenuous endeavour into the life both private and public, of all who seek to take part in India's public life. Price Rs. 2-8-0.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39, Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

SIXTH EDITION

REVISED & ENLARGED

SWAMI RAM TIRTHA

HIS LIFE AND TEACHINGS.

OVER 800 PAGES. D. C. 16 M. O. CLOTH BOUND. PRICE Rs. 2-8-0.

CONTENTS.

Part I—

1. Life Sketch
2. Criticism and Universal Love
3. Burning Rest
4. Practical Wisdom or Balanced Recklessness
5. The Spirit of Yajna
6. Forest Talks
Oriental Club, Vedanta and Property Reformers, Stories, Love and Rest
7. National Dharma
8. The Way to Realization of Self
9. Himalayan Scenes
10. Rama's Message: The Problem of India
11. The Secret of Success (Japan Lecture)
12. The Secret of Success (American Lecture)
13. Present Needs of India
14. Religion
15. Proposed Principles for Sadharan Dharma Sabha
16. Hinduism: Ancient and Modern
17. Expansion of Self
18. The Goal of Religion
19. Poems
20. Letters
21. An appeal to Americans

Part II—

1. The Sacred Syllable OM
2. The Brotherhood of Man
3. History and Home of Happiness
4. The Light of Lights
5. Realization of God through Love
6. The Infinite in the Finite
7. The way to the fulfilment of all desires
8. The Rod of Moses
9. Out of Misery to God within
10. How to make your Homes Happy
11. Married Life and Realization
12. Man: The master of his own destiny
13. Realism and Idealism
14. Vedanta and Socialism
15. The Problem of Sin
16. The Path of Truth
17. The Goal of Religion
18. True Spirituality and Psychic Powers
19. The Spiritual Law of Character
20. The Kingdom of Heaven
21. Instructive Stories
22. The Treasure of Vedic Philosophy
23. Pranayama
24. Indian woman hood
25. Indian wife hood

The *Advocate of India* writes:—All those who are interested in the thoughts of the East should buy, beg or borrow this book and study it.

The *Hindustan Review* writes:—The present edition, which has been carefully revised and considerably enlarged, collects for the first time some of the Swami's most characteristic writings which enhance the value of an already excellent book. The get-up does credit to the resources of the publishing firm of Messrs. Ganesh & Co., Madras.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39, Thambu Chetty St., Madras.

Mrs. ANNIE BESANT.

THE bravest and purest worker for the public good in
her generation whose one persistent aim is to live

For every cause that lacks assistance,
For every wrong that needs resistance,
For the future in the distant
And the good that she could do.

BY

BABU BEPIN CHANDRA PAL.

720 Pages Royal 32 M. O. Cloth Bound.

Price Re. 1.

ஆத்திசூடி நீதிக்கதைகள்

ஒளவையார் அருளிச்செய்த ஆத்திசூடி நீதி வாக்கியங்களை,
108 கதைகளாக விளக்கிகாட்டியுளது ... 0 8 0

காமாட்சி

ஓர் கைம்பெண்ணின் கதி, இரண்டாம் பதிப்பு ... 0 8 0

அருமான் ஸிக்

ஓர் துப்பறியும் கதை மூன்றாம் பதிப்பு ... 0 12 0

தேசாபிமானிகள் சரித்திரம்

பன்னிரு தேசபக்தர்களின் சரிதையும், அவர்கள்
படமும், இரண்டாம் பதிப்பு ... 0 12 0

தமயந்தி

ஓர் நவீன நாடகம், மூன்றாம் பதிப்பு ... 0 8 0

பனங்குளம்

ஆர். ஸி. டட் எழுதிய லேக் ஆப் பாம்ஸ் என்னும்
அற்புதமான ஆங்கில நாவலின் மொழி
பெயர்ப்பு ... 0 12 0

சுயர்கண்ணி ஓர் தமிழ்-நாவல் 0 12 0

ஆரிய சரித்திரம்.

நீதிக்கதைகள். சுமார் 300 பக்கங்கள் உள்ளது.

சித்திரப்படங்களும் அமையப் பெற்றது.

மூன்றும்பதிப்பு.

தெள்ளிய நடையில் எழுதப்பட்டு, உயர்ந்த கடிதத்தில் பெரிய எழுத்துக்களால் அச்சடிக்கப்பட்டு அழகாய் பூயிண்டு செய்துள்ளது. 1 0 0

அடங்கியுள்ள கதைகளின் விவரம்.

- | | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1. யயாதியும் பூருவும். | 15. சீர்மிகப்படைத்த சி பி |
| 2. ஸௌபரி முனிவரின் சலனம். | சரித்திரம். |
| 3. ஸைப்பிய சரித்திரம். | 16. உத்தமமாணவரும் ஏகலைவன். |
| 4. கேளசிகன் நளாயனி. | 17. பரீக்ஷித் சரித்திரம். |
| 5. மார்க்கண்டேயர் சரித்திரம். | 18. தூர்வாலவர் சரித்திரம். |
| 6. கன்னியர் திலகமராம் ஸுகன்யை. | 19. உயர்பதம் பெற்ற புருக் களின் சரித்திரம். |
| 7. உத்தம கேளதமி. | 20. கீரிப்பிள்ளையின் கதை. |
| 8. ஸாவித்ரி சரித்திரம். | 21. அமரரும் போற்றும் அரிச் சந்திரன். |
| 9. பீஷ்மர் சபதம். | 22. குசேலர் சரிதம். |
| 10. ச்யவன முனிவர் பெருமை. | 23. தர்மராஜனும் சுணங்கனும் |
| 11. நளதமயந்தி. | 24. பிருதிவிராஜ். |
| 12. வத்திய பரிபாலனம். | 25. நகுஷ்புபதி நற்கதிபெற்ற கதை. |
| 13. பிரகலாத சரித்திரம். | |
| 14. துருவ சரித்திரம். | |

INDIA'S CLAIM FOR HOME RULE

A comprehensive collection of the speeches and writings of eminent English men and women and representatives of the princess and the peoples of India.

A Telling Case for Grant of Home Rule for India.

OUR SPOKESMEN.

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 Dadabai Naoroji. | 24 Hon. Mr. Nabialla. |
| 2 Surendranath Banerjee. | 25 Hon. Mr. M. A. Jinnah. |
| 3 Lord Bishop of Madras. | 26 Lord Bishop of Calcutta. |
| 4 Mrs. Annie Besant. | 27 Hon. Rajah Mahamudabad. |
| 5 Hon. Mr. Ibrahim Rahim-
ulla. | 28 Mr. Mahomed Ali. |
| 6 L. A. Chandraragava Iyer. | 29 Mr. Syed Wazir Hasan. |
| 7 Mrs. Sarojini Naidu. | 30 Lala Lajpat Rai. |
| 8 R. N. Mudholkar. | 31 Mr. Hasan Imam. |
| 9 Pandit Jugat Narain. | 32 Sir S. P. Sinha. |
| 10 Ambikacharan Muzumdar. | 33 Babu Arabinda Ghose. |
| 11 Bal Gangadhar Tilak. | 34 Sir Krishna Gupta. |
| 12 Hon. Mr. Muzrul Haque. | 35 Lord Hardinge. |
| 13 Sir Dinshaw Petit. | 36 Mr. J. S. Cotton. |
| 14 Babu Bepin Chandra Pal. | 37 Sir William Wedderburn. |
| 15 Joseph Baptista. | 38 The Rt. Hon. Mr. E. S. Mon-
tagu. |
| 16 Dr. Tej Bahadur Sapru. | 39 Commander Wedgewood. |
| 17 Jehangir Petit. | 40 Dr. John Pollen. |
| 18 Hon. Mr. B. N. Sarma. | 41 Dr. Rutherford. |
| 19 Hon. Mr. Abdul Rasal. | 42 Mr. Webb. |
| 20 Sir Rabindranath Tagore. | 43 Mca. Webb. |
| 21 H. H. Maharaja of Alwar. | 44 Mr. S. H. Swinny. |
| 22 H. H. Maharaja of Bikanir. | 45 Mr. Herbert Burrows. |
| 23 Hon. Pandit M. M. Malaviya. | 46 Dr. Sir S. Subramanya Aiyar. |

The Publishers hope that the historic Introduction with which the volume opens and the Appendix at the end of the book containing the memorable documents mentioned below will be of special interest.

1. The Memorandum of the Nineteen.
2. The Scheme of the Congress and Moslem League.
3. The Programme of the British Congress Committee.
4. The Aims of the Indian National Congress as expressed by the 31 Presidents of the Indian National Congress.
5. Representative views of several Englishmen and Indians of all shades of opinion on Self-Government for India.

Price Rs. 2.

Ganesh & Co., Publishers, 39, Thambu Chetty St., Madras.