

EAST INDIES.

RETURN

To so much of an Order of the HOUSE OF LORDS, dated 20th April 1852,

AS CALLS FOR

STATEMENT of the JUDICIAL DECISIONS in the EAST INDIA COMPANY'S COURTS under the several PRESIDENCIES in the latest Year of which an Account can be given, showing by what Authority the several Decisions were pronounced:

ALSO,

COPY of any Acts passed by the LEGISLATURE of INDIA as to SLAVERY.

East India House, }
15th June 1852. }

JAMES C. MELVILL,
Secretary.

Ordered to be printed 15th June 1852.

Bombay Civil Justice, 1849.

EXTRACT from the PROCEEDINGS of GOVERNMENT in the JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 9,833.

From the REGISTER of the SUDDER DEWANEE ADAWLUT,
dated 3d July 1850 (No. 1,975.)

Sir,

1.—I have the Honour, by Direction of the Court of Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, to submit to be laid before the Right Honourable the Governor in Council the following Report of the Civil Judicial Administration of this Presidency during the Year 1849, appended to which are the Nine figured Statements enumerated below ; viz.

Present: A. Bell, Esq.,
J. Warden, Esq., and
G. Grant, Esq.

- No. 1.—A Return of the State of Business as regards the Files of Original Suits in the several Zillah Courts.
- No. 2.—A Return of the State of Business as regards the Appeal Files in the several Zillah Adawluts.
- No. 3.—A Return of the State of Business in respect to the Original Suits in the several Revenue Courts.
- No. 4.—A Return of the State of Business in respect to the Appeals in the Courts of the several Collectors.
- No. 5.—A Return of the State of the Appeal File in the Court of the Sudder Dewanee Adawlut.
- No. 6.—A Return of the Number and Description of Plaintiffs and Defendants and of the Number of Debtors in Gaol at the Instance of Individuals, and for Arrears of Revenue at the Instance of Government.
- No. 7.—A Return of the Number of Plaintiffs and Defendants in Revenue Suits.
- No. 8.—A comparative Return of the Amount of Business in 1849 contrasted with 1848.
- No. 9.—A Return of the Officers who presided over the several Adawluts during 1849, and of the Business performed by each and by their Assistants, as required by Paragraph 16. of the Government Letter, No. 3,968. of the 19th December 1846.

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut,
3d July 1850.

I have, &c.

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

AHMEDABAD ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT OF CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	840	13,113	13,953	5,806	1,634	5,712	13,152	801	10,864	13,152	2,288	—
Appeals	507	623	1,130	468	219	36	723	407	433	723	290	—

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—

R. Y. Bazett, Esq.,
Acting Judge.
A. Spens, Esq., Judge.
A. R. Forbes, Esq.,
Assistant Judge.

2.—In the aggregate the Arrears on the Files of this Zillah are less by Thirty-nine than they were at the Close of 1848, though there are Four individual Files showing an Increase; viz., those of the principal Sudder Ameen, Sudder Ameen of Dholka, and Moonsiffs of Kupurwary and Dholka; it being reported in respect to the first, that a great many Suits comprised in the Arrears were instituted so late in the Year as to leave little Time for getting them ready for Trial; and in regard to the others, the Excess, which is small, is ascribed to an Increase in the Number of Suits entered during the Year. Included in the collective Arrears are Seven Suits on the File of the Assistant Judge, which exceed by Five the Number at the Close of 1848; and the Explanation afforded respecting them is, that Three filed in December could not be got ready for hearing before the Year closed. Two others were awaiting the Expiration of the Time allowed to the Defendants to give in their Answers and adduce Proof, and the remaining Two were stayed pending the Arrival of Witnesses.

3.—On the whole, the Court views the State of Business on the Files of Original Suits of 1849 compared with 1848 as satisfactory, seeing that, notwithstanding the considerable Excess of 2,249 in the total Number of Suits for Disposal, the Result of the Year's Administration is a Decrease, as already observed, in the Aggregate of the Arrears; that all the Files, with the Exception of those of Gogo, Veerungaum, and Puranteje, the Arrears on which are very small, show an Increase in the Number of Suits disposed of; and that there were only Two Cases delayed longer than a Year, the Cause of Delay being the Non-attendance of Witnesses residing in Foreign Territory, on whose Account Commissions had to be sent to the Political Authorities.

Appellate File.

4.—There was a greater Number of Appeals for Disposal in 1849 than in 1848; and the Number disposed of exhibits an Increase of 290, diminishing the Arrears from 507 to 407. Included in those shown as disposed of, however, there are 193 which were struck off the File of the Judge, to come up before the Sudder Dewance Adawlut as Special Appeals, under its Circular Order of the 27th July 1849, and the Interpretation on Regulation VII. of 1831 of the 16th idem; and it is to be regretted that so many as 145 Appeals comprised in the Arrears should still be among those delayed for more than a Year. The Measure of appointing a joint Judge for this Zillah, sanctioned in the Government Letter, No. 1,705, of the 16th April last, will have the Effect, it is hoped, of speedily clearing these Files; and in anticipation of this, the Court abstain from further Remark on the Subject.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT OF SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Principal Sudder Ameen:— Umbashunker Brijroy Row Bahadoor	366	191	614	1,171	423	214	656	1,293
Sudder Ameens:— Ahmedabad, E. C. Watkins, Esq.	619	328	232	1,179	857	275	213	1,345
Dholka - { Syed Surrif Shaik Syed Hoosien Khan Sahib	155	158	469	782	253	142	578	973
Kaira - { Meerutram Gumputra Rao Sahib	274	103	175	552	426	114	247	787

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Moonsiffs :-								
Nuriad Azum, Jorabhaee Dwarkadass -	260	41	292	593	443	26	422	891
Dhundooka ditto, Bhugwun Nurberam -	455	79	443	977	587	41	418	1,046
Gogo, ditto, Muncharam Gokuldass -	117	106	191	414	175	11	215	401
Dholka ditto, Kalleedass Jeewundass -	192	147	427	766	336	135	499	970
Oonrut ditto, Dowlutraee Umoolukraee -	217	112	224	553	312	81	288	681
Moundha ditto, Bhao Myral -	214	94	185	493	381	232	222	635
Veerungam ditto, Nuthooihaee Bhaeeba -	261	116	264	641	238	128	273	639
Purantoje ditto, Hurreylall Umbashunker -	114	17	182	313	33	8	250	291
Ahmedabad ditto, Chinnajee Narrayen -	285	247	517	1,049	405	294	607	1,306
Boresud ditto, Seolall Bhooeelall -	111	39	168	318	161	39	337	537
Kairah ditto, Bholanath Sorabhaee -	307	116	165	588	491	78	219	788
Kuppurvury ditto, Heerachund Fremchund-	212	25	214	451	281	14	266	561
Total -	4,159	1,919	4,763	10,841	5,802	1,632	5,710	13,144

SURAT ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT OF CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	722	3,726	4,448	2,343	883	610	3,836	612	3,639	3,836	197	—
Appeals -	323	366	689	446	81	27	554	135	314	554	240	—

5.—The Work in the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of this Zillah shows an Increase, but it is owing to the Circumstance of the Appointment having been vacant for Six Months in 1848. To this Occurrence also is to be ascribed the Increase in the aggregate Number of Cases disposed of in the principal Division of the Zillah; for, if examined individually, the Files of the other Courts, with the Exception of that of Balsar, (in which, however, the total Number of Suits disposed of is only 318, and the Increase, compared with the previous Year, only 37.) exhibit a Decrease not only in the total Number of Suits disposed of, but also in the Number decided on Merits.

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—
W. C. Andrews, Esq. Judge.
A. Rogers, Esq., Acting Assistant Judge.

6.—Although it is satisfactory that the Arrears have been diminished from 722 to 612, it is to be regretted that they were still so heavy on the Files of the Principal Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Surat. The whole of the Arrears comprise only Three Cases of longer standing than a Year; and in respect to One of them, it is explained that the Delay was in consequence of the temporary Transfer of Mr. Rogers to Broach; regarding the other Two on the File of the Principal Sudder Ameen, it is reported that One was subsequently decided in January last, and the other had to be postponed pending the Adjudication of another Case. Briefly, the Returns from the principal Division of the Zillah show an Increase in the Number of Suits instituted, in the total Number for Disposal, and in the Number disposed of, and a Decrease in the Arrears, which it will be obvious, however, is owing to the Increase in the Amount of Business in the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen under the Circumstance alluded to.

7.—The State of these Files is more satisfactory, for although there is an Increase in the Number of Appeals instituted, which with the Arrears of the previous Year form a considerable Excess in the total Number for Disposal; yet, owing to an Increase of 240 in the Number disposed of, in which is included an Increase of 173 in the Decisions on Merits, the Arrears have been reduced from 323 to 135. And there are only Five of them of longer Standing than a Year; respecting which it is reported that One was decided in February last; that Two others depending upon a Case decided in Appeal about that Time would be immediately taken up; and that of the remaining Two, One had to be delayed pending the re-hearing of a Case remanded to One of the Moonsiff's Courts, and the other was awaiting the Arrival of documentary Evidence called for from Broach.

Appellate Files.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Principal Sudder Ameen :— Junardun Wassoodewjee Row Bahadoor -	223	46	51	320	582	233	91	906
Sudder Ameen :— Surat - { Sheikh Tajood Deen Sheikh Zuherood Deen Khan Shaheb }	638	301	103	1,042	557	266	77	930
Moonsiffs :— Surat - Mr. E. W. Fern -	633	287	148	1,068	526	278	117	921
Oolpar - { Azum Maneckjee Pestonjee and Azum Azutalee Jumalood Deen }	263	74	195	532	231	45	152	421
Balsar - { Azum Eduljee Muncherjee and Azum Maneckjee Pestonjee }	210	—	71	281	218	4	96	318
Bardolee { Azum Sheikh Uhmud Sheikh Hamud and Azum Heemutram Pranjeewundass }	193	54	101	348	192	57	77	326
Total -	2,160	762	669	3,591	2,336	883	610	3,829

BROACH ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	696	4,400	5,096	2,818	299	911	4,028	1,068	3,991	4,028	37	—
Appeals -	8	161	169	115	2	8	125	44	208	125	—	83

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—

- A. B. Warden, Esq., Acting Senior Assistant Judge.
- Ashburner, Esq., Acting 2d Assistant Collector in charge Adawlut.
- Rogers, Esq., Acting Senior Assistant Judge.

8.—In the subordinate Division of the Surat Zillah the Arrears have increased on the Files from 696 to 1,068, notwithstanding that the Work in the Courts of the Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Broach and Moonsiff of Jumbooseer exhibit individually an Increase. One Cause, no doubt, of this Excess in the Arrears is the increased aggregate Number of Cases for Disposal, comprising an Increase of 573 in the Number of Suits instituted; and the Acting Senior Assistant Judge in charge adduces, as another Reason, the Absence of the Sudder Ameen on special Duty from the 11th November to the 14th December, and his further Absence on Sick Leave from the latter Date up to the 24th of the Month. The Court is constrained to believe, however, that, by Exertion, the Accumulation might have been prevented.

9.—There were Thirty-seven more Cases disposed of than in 1848, the Decisions on Merits showing an Increase of 147; but beyond this, and the Circumstance of there not being a single Case on the File of longer Standing than a Year, there is nothing to commend in the State of Business represented by the Broach Returns, the Arrears being heavy on all the subordinate Files, and especially so on the File of the Sudder Ameen, and the Exertions to keep them down not appearing to have been over-strenuous.

Appeal File.

10.—The State of the Appellate File is even more unsatisfactory; for, although there were only 169 Appeals altogether for Disposal, being 47 less than the Number in 1848, the Arrears have been allowed to swell from 8 to 44, the Result of a Decrease of 83 in the Number disposed of. The Cause of this, however, is to be found in the Interruption to Business during Two Months and a Half, when the Charge of the Broach Adawlut had to be committed to the Third Assistant Collector at the Station, who, of course, had not the Power to decide Appeals. Only One of the Appeals in arrear had been delayed longer than a Year.

CONTRASTED

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Sudder Ameen :— Moroba Canaba Rao Saheb	550	103	215	868	664	82	212	958
Moonsiffs :— Broach { Azum Heemutram Pranjeewun- dass and Azum Sheikh Goolam Mohnood Deen Sheikh Tajood Deen	650	69	216	935	727	86	229	1,042
Sujode { Azum Jozutulee Jamulood Deen and Azum Eduljee Muncher- jee	649	63	221	933	574	18	237	829
Jumboosur - Azum Pestonjee Ispundiarjee	527	18	191	736	584	16	142	742
Wagra { Azum Meer Kidwutoolla Kikmul- toolla	292	94	129	515	269	97	91	457
Total	2,668	347	972	3,987	2,818	299	911	4,028

TANNAH ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st. Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st. Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1849.	Disposed of in the Year 1848.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	1,276	9,508	10,784	7,242	114	2,224	9,580	1,204	8,607	9,580	973	—
Appeals	116	460	576	337	14	92	443	133	1,039	443	—	596

11.—The Business of the Courts of the principal Division of the Konkem Zillah, inclusive of the Arrears remaining from the previous Year, and the Cases instituted during the Twelve Months of the Year under Notice, exhibits an Addition of 901 Suits to the aggregate Number for Disposal contrasted with 1848, an Increase of 973 in the Number disposed of, including an Increase of 823 in the Number decided on Trial, and a Decrease of 72 in the Arrears, which are still, however, of considerable Amount, collectively and severally.

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—
R. Keays, Esq., Judge
F. Lloyd, Esq., Assistant Judge.

12.—There is great Inequality in the Amount of Work performed by the subordinate judicial Functionaries individually; the File of the Moonsiff of Panwell showing 1,050 Decisions on Merits, and that of the Moonsiff of Tarapoor only 213, and the Work in the other Courts ranging at various Amounts between these Two Extremes; the Inequality appearing to have been influenced, to some Extent, by the Disproportion in the Number of Suits instituted in the different Courts, and by the numerous Changes which took place among the Native Judges.

13.—Among the Arrears there are 15 Cases of long Postponement, not a very great Number in proportion to the general Arrears; and the Judges are satisfied with the Explanations which have been afforded on the Subject. One of these Cases was decided, and Two others were appointed for Hearing, in the early Part of the present Year; and respecting another of them, it is reported that it is still pending under the Circumstances submitted to Government with the Court's Letter No. 120, of the 15th January 1848, in the Reply to which, No. 306. of the 26th January last*, it was mentioned that a Reference had been made to the Government of India on the Matter.

*S. O.

14.—The Number of Appeals disposed of in 1848 having been unusually high, the Number for the past Year appears unfavorable by Contrast, but the Amount of Work is still creditable to the European Officers, and the Arrears have not much increased, owing, it will be seen, to the very considerably reduced Number of Appeals for Disposal,

Appellate Files.

compared with 1848. The Arrears amounted to 567 on the 1st January 1848, and demanded an Effort to reduce them; but, that Result accomplished, there no longer existed a Necessity for the harassing Exertions made by the European Officers in 1848; and no Blame is therefore to be attached to them on account of the present State of the Arrears on these Files, especially as none of the Cases are of longer Standing than a Year.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Principal Sudder Ameen :— Pandoorung Moreshwur Rao Bahadoor -	431	16	110	557	555	23	120	698
Moonsiffs :—								
Tannah Azum - { Raghoonathrao Bulwunt Goolam Mohideen wullud Cajee Abdool Candeir Kristurao Wittojee Abbajee Baboorao -	625	12	467	1,104	706	8	363	1,077
Bassein ditto - Sadoba Dewjee -	538	3	120	661	497	2	76	575
Carranjah ditto { Naro Succaram Raghoonath Bulwunt Krishurao Wittojee -	302	3	46	351	389	9	167	565
Tarrapoor ditto { Dajee Larba Raghoonathrao Bulwunt Moro Raghoonath -	162	24	137	323	213	3	284	500
Callian ditto - Bulwuntrao Hurree -	950	15	265	1,230	845	10	132	987
Bhurndy ditto - Dadoba Dewajee -	650	24	227	901	931	9	195	1,135
Moorbaur ditto - { Venaik Sadesheew Thakoor Moro Raghoonath Dajee Larba -	689	2	93	784	608	10	272	890
Punwell ditto - Lalloobhuee Bhanabhoy -	901	7	255	1,663	1,050	4	237	1,291
Alhar ditto - { Wittoba Ballajee Narroo Luccaram -	493	44	74	611	568	24	140	732
Penn ditto - Prulad Anundrao -	676	8	233	917	875	12	238	1,125
Total -	6,417	158	2,027	8,602	7,237	114	2,224	9,575

RUTNAGIRY ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	968	3,749	4,717	2,681	308	965	3,954	763	3,909	3,954	45	—
Appeals -	501	324	825	496	11	14	521	304	193	521	328	—

Original Suits.

European Officers who resided in the Court in 1849 :—

Lloyd, Esq., Acting Assistant and Judge.
K. Corfield, Esq., Acting Senior Assistant Judge.

15.—The Files of the Moonsiffs of Sooverndroog and Scindudroog exhibit an Increase in the Number of Original Suits disposed of, but their Work still contrasts unfavourably with that of some of their Brethren in other Zillahs; and if they, showing an Increase, appear to fall under the Imputation of not having exerted themselves to the utmost, how much more deservedly may it be said of the other subordinate Judicial Functionaries, who disposed of fewer Cases, and whose Work shows a Decrease compared with 1848, and heavy Arrears, especially the Sudder Ameen. Collectively the Returns exhibit a Decrease in the Number of Suits instituted during the Year, with a small Increase in the Numbers disposed of, inclusive of an Excess of 125 in the Decisions on Trial, and a Diminution

Diminution of 205 in the Arrears. On the File of the Sudder Adawlut there are Three, and on that of the Moonsiff of Sooverndroog Ten Cases among the Arrears, which have been pending longer than a Year; Two of them longer than Two Years. The Cause of Delay in respect to the whole of which has been reported in detail by the Acting Senior Assistant Judge, to the Satisfaction of the Court.

16.—As the Arrears on the Appeal File of this Adawlut still amount to 304 Cases, and 82 of them have been postponed for Periods exceeding a Year, it cannot be said that the State of this Branch of the Judicial Administration of the Division is satisfactory. It must be confessed, however, that Mr. Corfield has been actively and commendably engaged during the past Year in diminishing the Arrears. He found the Returns exhibiting an Increase of 38 in the Number disposed of; the Decisions on Trial being 314 in excess. In respect to the 82 Appeal Suits of more than a Year's standing, Mr. Corfield reports that they are thus numerous in consequence of the immense Number of Arrears there were on the File above One Year when he went to Ratnagherree in March 1849. Appellate File.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Sudder Ameen :— Wassoodew Sewram Rao Saheb - -	472	169	194	835	506	144	172	822
Moonsiffs :— Vejeadroog Azum - Venack Sudasew - -	360	38	89	487	295	32	84	411
Unjunwell ditto - Shrudhur Ladko - -	547	16	389	952	547	26	969	842
Sooverndroog ditto { Ramcrustug - - } { Juggunnath - - }	707	39	240	986	762	52	187	1,001
Scindudroog - { Raghoonathrao - - } { Bullal - - }	467	43	136	646	571	53	253	877
Total - -	2,553	305	1,048	3,906	2,681	1	965	3,953

POONAH ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	2,009	11,117	13,119	8,294	46	2,748	11,088	2,031	11,478	11,088	—	390
Appeals -	340	882	1,222	810	180	42	1,032	190	1,373	1,032	—	341

17.—The Returns from this Zillah exhibit a Falling-off to the Extent of 390 in the Number of original Suits disposed of; the greater Part of which is to be ascribed to a Decrease in the Work in the Courts of the Sudder Amcens of Poonah and Baramutty, in consequence of the Absence of the Incumbents, or Vacancies in the Appointments, which occasioned an Interruption to Business of these Courts for nearly a Third of the Year. The Files of the Moonsiffs of Khair, Joonere, and Tulehgaum also show a Decrease; but then their Work is exceeded in Amount by few of their Brethren in other Zillahs; and that of One of them, the Moonsiff of Khair, is only exceeded by the Labours of Narrain Mahadeo and Jugunath Bulal, City Moonsiffs of this Zillah, who decided 1,023 and 1,020 Cases respectively on Merits. The Arrears on the general File are heavy; but a great many of them, viz. 957, are Cases instituted in December 1849, the Return for which Month shows a Total of 1,151 Suits entered for Trial at that late Period of the Year. Included in the Arrears are 49 Cases of longer standing than a Year, the Disposal of some of which would appear, from the Report of the Judge on the Subject, to have been unnecessarily delayed; and the Court has accordingly noticed the Matter with the view of giving Weight to Mr. Hunter's expressed Dissatisfaction in addressing the Officers concerned in respect to the Delay.

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—
H. Brawn, Esq., Judge.
W. J. Hunter, Esq., Acting Judge.
H. Newton, Esq., Assistant Judge.

Appellate File.

18.—In the Disposal of Appeals the Returns show a startling Decrease of 341; but the Number actually disposed of, inclusive of the Decisions on Trial, bears a Comparison with the Work in the Appellate Courts in any other Zillah; and the Arrears amount to only 190, to which they have been reduced from 340,—a Result which is mainly attributable to the greatly reduced Number of Adjudications compared with the State of the previous Year's File in this respect. In explanation of the Cause of the Decrease in the Amount of Work, it is reported by Mr. H. Newton, the Officer who submitted the Return, that the Court of the Judge was closed from the 1st March, the Date on which Mr. Brown proceeded on Leave, until the 23d of that Month, when Mr. Hunter took charge, and that the latter Gentleman was much engaged for some Time in the Disposal of Eight Criminal Cases preferred against a District Police Officer and others, the Investigation of which frequently took up the entire Day. It will be seen that there are only Two Cases which have been long delayed; in respect to One of which it is reported that the Delay is attributable to the Magistrate, who had failed to prepare a Criminal Case arising out of it, for which Purpose the Proceedings were forwarded to and retained by him; and in regard to the others, which have since been disposed of, it is stated that it was necessary to adjourn it, pending the Decision of another Case intimately connected with it.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Principal Sudder Ameen:— Moro Trimbeck Rao Bahadoor	697	8	273	978	746	12	249	1,007
Sudder Ameens:— Poonah { Shreedhur Luximun Rao { Sahab and Nilkunt Rao { Vishnoo, Acting Sudder { Ameen	772	—	239	1,011	654	4	189	847
Barramutty { Narrain Atmaram Rao { Sahab and Ragho Sud- { dashew Rao Sahab	803	5	293	1,101	414	1	276	691
Poonah Azum { Moonsiffs:— { Juggunath Bullul	896	1	179	1,076	1,020	—	174	1,194
Joonere ditto { Pottajee Ramchunder and { Ramchunder Dhoudew, { Acting Moonsiff	1,001	2	357	1,360	902	2	335	1,239
Tullegaum { Nelkuntrao Vishnoo and { Pottajee Ramchunder { Acting Moonsiff	865	9	429	1,303	811	5	395	1,211
Poonah ditto - Narrain Mahadeo	806	2	222	1,030	1,023	11	239	1,273
Khair ditto - Gopinath Raghomathjee	1,045	24	564	1,633	1,009	9	456	1,474
Wurgaum ditto - Ardeser Wursetjee	575	4	276	855	681	—	273	954
Poonah ditto - Chintamunrao Hurry	914	—	179	1,093	993	2	162	1,157
Total	8,374	55	3,011	11,440	8,253	46	2,748	11,047

POONAH AGENT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.			Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.			Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.		
Original Suits	27	23	50	27	1	5	33	17	58	33	—	25		
Appeals	11	13	24	10	—	1	11	13	31	11	—	20		

19.—On the Agent's File there were 5 Original Suits disposed of on Merits, and on that of the Assistant Agent 22, together with One dismissed on Default and 5 adjusted, making a Total of 33, which is 25 less than the Number disposed of in 1848. The Arrears, however, have been reduced from 27 to 17, and include only One Case of longer standing than a Year, the Delay having been occasioned by the Return of certain Queries transmitted to the Judge of Benares, who required them to be drawn up in the Oordoo Language. This was done, and the Case was decided on the 9th January last.

20.—On the Appeal File there is a Decrease of 20 Cases disposed of, and an Increase of 2 in the Arrears, none of which, however, have been long delayed.

Original Suits.
European Officers who
presided in the Court
in 1849:—
H. Brown, Esq.,
Agent.
W. J. Hunter, Esq.,
Acting Agent.
H. Newton, Esq.,
Assistant Agent.
Appeal File.

JAGHEERDARS IN THE DECKAN.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suit -	254	487	741	381	24	206	611	130	672	611	—	61

21.—The Agent's Return of Civil Business of the Courts of the Jagheerdars holding Sunnuds exhibits a Decrease in the Number of Suits disposed of, together with a Decrease of 124 in the Arrears, which have been reduced from 254 to 130. The Cases of longer standing than a Year included among them amount to 14, of which 4 on the File of the Agent of Holkar have been postponed in consequence of his Absence on Leave to Indore; and as there are 28 other Cases on his File in arrear, the Court addressed the Judge of Ahmednuggur to ascertain whether any Steps have been taken for their Disposal; and it has been intimated in reply that the Agent resumed his Duties on the 16th May last. The Sunnuds of Four of the Jagheerdars, viz., Balwuntroa Krishen Mohendulley, Bapoojee Naik Jondulley, deceased, Appojeeroa Moondhey, deceased, and Krishnaroa Mahdeo Pansey, deceased, have either already been or are about to be cancelled, and their Business has been transferred to the proper Zillah Courts; but none of their Files show any Arrears, excepting that of Bapoojee Naik Jondulley, on which there were 4 Cases, which have been transferred to the Ahmednuggur Adawlut.

Original Suit.

SHOLAPOOR ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits -	1,055	3,724	4,779	2,738	26	960	3,724	1,055	4,002	3,724	—	278
Appeals -	20	258	278	186	65	3	254	24	212	254	42	—

22.—The Number of Original Suits disposed of in the Courts of this Zillah is less by 278 than in 1848; and it is only owing to a Decrease of 486 in the Number of Cases filed that the Arrears are not heavier than they were at the Close of 1848. Viewed with reference to the Extent of Litigation, compared with other Zillahs, the Arrears are heavy collectively, and on individual Files, but especially so on those of the Moonsiff of Marhu and Sudder Ameen of Sholapoor; and as in the latter Officer's Court there were only 394 Suits disposed of on (110 h.)

Original Suits.
European Officers who
presided in the Court
in 1849:—
A. K. Corfield, Esq.,
Acting Judge.
W. Birdwood, Esq.,
Judge.

Trial, and the highest Number disposed of in that Way by any of the subordinate Judicial Functionaries is only 622, the Number shown on the File of the Moonsiff of Marah, the State of Business represented by the Return of original Suits cannot be considered satisfactory; nor can it be held to be sufficiently accounted for by the closing of the Court of the Sudder Ameen for Three Months in consequence of several Changes in the Appointment, and the shutting of the Barsee Court for a Month and a Quarter, occasioned by Sickness, the only Reason assigned. It is further unsatisfactory that there are 39 Cases of longer standing than One Year, and 11 which have been delayed beyond Two, the Delay of which is imputed to the Necessity of making References on each of them to certain Authorities, domestic and foreign. These Remarks have been communicated to the Zillah Judge with the Expression of the Assurance the Court feels that under his vigilant Superintendence the Courts of his Zillah will be more industrious than they have been during the past Year.

Appellate File.

23.—There is an Increase of 42 in the Number of Appeals disposed of, but it is not followed by a Diminution of the Arrears, which are 4 in Excess, attributed to an Excess of 51 in the Number of Appeals instituted. The whole of the Arrears amount to only 24, and none of them are of long standing.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Sholapoor { Sudder Ameen :— Govendrow Jyram Rao Sahab Raghoo Sadashao, Acting Sudder Ameen, and Dajee Bullal, Acting Sudder A- meen	533	1	158	692	394	1	107	502
Sholapoor { Moonsiffs :— Azum Damodhur Chintamum Deshmookhe	549	1	139	689	621	2	123	746
Marah - Azum Gunputrao Krishna -	613	—	230	843	622	3	212	837
Barsee - Do. Gopal Janardhun -	694	—	315	1,009	606	9	260	885
Hippurgah Do. Arnachell Row -	436	14	316	766	11	11	253	753
Total -	2,825	16	1,158	3,999	2,737	26	960	3,723

AIMEDNUGGUR ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	2,353	14,247	16,600	11,042	33	2,730	13,805	2,795	15,756	13,805	—	1,951
Appeals -	41	456	497	324	1	10	335	162	998	335	—	663

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—
W. J. Hunter, Esq.,
Judge.
R. Y. Bazett, Esq.,
Acting Judge.
C. G. Prendergast, Esq.,
Acting Judge.

24.—A Decrease in the Number of Suits instituted on the Files of the Sudder Ameen of Seroor and Nassick, and Moonsiff of Rahoony, Newasa, Nassick, Rahata, and Nuggur, is referred to as the Cause of a falling-off in the Number disposed of by these Officers. And an additional Reason assigned in the Case of the Courts of the Moonsiffs of Rahata and Nuggur is, that they were closed, the latter from the 1st November to the 11th December, and the former from the 7th March to the 16th April. There is also a Decrease in the Amount of Work performed in the Courts of the Moonsiffs of Sungunnair, Sinnur, and Jainkair; and this leaves only the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs of Patod

Patoda and Chandore as exhibiting an Increase. The Judges are not disposed to accept the First Cause as sufficient to explain the falling-off in the Amount of Work on the Files referred to, seeing that the Arrears on each of them are heavy, though at the same Time they are ready to admit that the Work on some, especially the Files of the Moonsiff of Nuggur, who has usually a heavy Amount of Work disposed of, and of the Sudder Ameen of Seroor, is very creditable in respect to Amount. The general Result is a Decrease of 1,951 Suits disposed of.

J. W. Woodcock, Esq.,
Acting Judge.
J. W. Woodcock, Esq.,
Acting Joint Judge.
D. C. Leighton, Esq.,
Acting Assistant
Judge.
H. P. St. G. Tucker
Esq., Assistant
Judge.

25.—There is not a single subordinate File on which the Arrears are not comparatively heavy, their Aggregate showing an increase of 442, an Increase in the Balance on the Files of the Principal Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Patoda, being ascribed to a greater Number of Suits instituted. The Sudder Ameen of Seroor, and Moonsiffs of Sungunnair, Chandore, Newasa, and Sunnur, have urged that most of the Suits in arrear on their Files were filed at the Close of the Year. But on referring to the Monthly Return for December it is found that only 611 Suits were entered in that Month in their Courts, while their Arrears amount to 1,365. Respecting the aggregate Arrears, it is so far satisfactory that there are only 20 Cases among them of longer standing than a Year, and that only Two of these have been attended with any unnecessary Delay which the Zillah Judge has not failed to notice with proper Reprehension.

26.—On the Appellate Files the Arrears have increased from 41 to 162, and the Number disposed of shows a great Decrease of 663, which the Acting Judge attributes to the Withdrawal of the temporary Assistance, which the Appointment of a Joint Judge afforded; but another Cause no less operative would appear to have been the frequent Changes which took place among the European Officers during the past Years, the falling-off in the Number disposed of on the Judge's File being very considerable. There is not a single Case of long standing among the Arrears which is satisfactory.

Appellate Files.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Acting Principal Sudder Ameen :—								
Govendrow Jyram Rao Bahadoor	1,133	—	139	1,272	1,149	6	171	1,326
Sudder Ameen :—								
Kurda - { Wama... Jugurnath Row }	1,156	2	376	1,534	1,041	1	350	1,392
Sah. ...								
Nassick - { Succar... Khundoo Row }	907	—	291	1,198	735	—	183	918
Sahib ...								
Moonsiff :—								
Ahmednuggur Azum, Shamrow Appaja	1,941	5	348	2,294	1,212	3	206	1,421
Nassick ditto, Ragvendrow Kantrow	721	1	273	995	703	2	220	925
Rahooree ditto, Narrayen Dudajee	792	—	149	941	539	4	108	651
Newassa ditto, Mr. J. Hanson	665	2	234	901	639	3	194	836
Patada ditto, Vishwasrow Bhaskee	648	1	113	762	807	—	132	939
Rahatta ditto, Gunputrow Janardhun	734	4	159	897	507	2	136	645
Sungamnair ditto, Mukbool Allee	978	—	205	1,183	966	1	178	1,145
Sinnur ditto, Succaram Bajee	974	5	193	1,172	888	5	159	1,052
Chandore ditto, Dajee Luximor	992	14	398	1,404	1,073	5	138	1,416
Jamhair ditto, Ramrow Balorustra	784	—	408	1,192	755	1	355	1,111
Total	12,425	34	3,286	15,745	11,014	34	2,730	13,777

KHANDAISII ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	889	8,360	9,249	5,845	35	2,229	8,109	1,140	7,215	8,109	894	—
Appeals	106	205	311	209	29	8	246	65	189	246	58	—

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—

W. J. Hunter, Esq., Judge.

H. P. St. G. Tucker, Esq., Acting Senior Assistant Judge.

J. W. Woodcock, Esq., Senior Assistant Judge.

Doctor Atkinson, Assistant Magistrate in charge Adawlut.

R. Y. Bazett, Esq., Judge.

R. S. Bagshaw, Esq., Assistant Judge.

W. H. Havelock, Esq., 2d Assistant Collector in charge Adawlut.

Appellate Files.

27.—In this Zillah the Sudder Ameen of Malligaum, and Moonsiff of Yawul and Dhoolia, appear to have exerted themselves to dispose of a heavy Amount of Business; and all the Files show an Increase of Work performed, excepting the Moonsiff of Baglans, which shows a small Decrease in the total Number of Suits disposed of. There is a great Disproportion, however, in the Amount of Work done in some of the Courts, which is most apparent in that of Moonsiff of Nundoorbar, in which the Number of Suits entered and the Number disposed of bears little Proportion to the Business,—say, of the Moonsiff of Dhoolia, who disposed of 1,570 Suits on Trial, and 2,069 altogether. The general File shows an Increase of 894 Cases disposed of; but, in consequence of an Increase of 1,145 in the Number of Suits for Adjudication collectively, the Arrears are heavier by 251 than those for 1848. They include, however, only One Case of longer standing than a Year, which is a satisfactory Feature of the Year's judicial Administration in this Zillah.

28.—The Appellate Files exhibit an Increase of 58 in the Number disposed of, and a Diminution of 41 in the Arrears, which in the aggregate amount to only 65 Cases,—another satisfactory Feature of the Administration of Civil Justice in this Quarter though the total Amount of Business is not considerable. The Case among the Arrears of longer standing than a Year is reported to be the one referred to in the Government Letter No. 1,933, of the 17th May 1849, and Correspondence preceding it;—the Summons to the Respondent in which, issued through the Resident at Hyderabad, had not been returned at the Date of the Judge's Report.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Sudder Ameen:—								
Malligaum - { Abbajee Nanajee Rao Sahab and Succaram Rhimdoe Raho Sahab - }	901	—	305	1,206	1,110	6	358	1,474
Moonsiffs:—								
Yawul Azum - { Shaik Kamalooddeen Wulud Ukmalooddeen }	958	1	584	1,543	1,231	11	622	1,864
Dhoolia ditto - { Narrain Dadajee and Ballajee Pandoorung }	1,368	5	477	1,850	1,570	3	496	2,069
Nundoorbar ditto - { Bapoojee Govind }	329	2	155	486	370	4	154	528
Baglan ditto - { Shamrao Appajee and Appajee Luxmor }	674	—	250	924	680	—	231	911
Errondole ditto - Luxumon Khundurow -	794	1	411	1,200	884	4	368	1,256
Total	5,024	9	2,182	7,215	5,945	28	2,229	8,102

DHARWAR ADAWLUT.
STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	1,396	8,729	10,125	6,565	140	2,115	8,821	1,304	7,598	8,821	1,223	—
Appeals	161	892	1,053	592	19	195	806	247	991	806	—	185

29.—The State of Business on the File of this Zillah would be every way satisfactory, as the Decisions on Trial are more numerous by 919, and the total Number disposed of by 1,223, whilst the Arrears are reduced from 1,396 to 1,304, were it not that the Arrears are still heavy in the aggregate as well as individually, excepting on the File of the Moonsiff of Roan, the Work in whose Court, however, falls short in Amount of that of most of the other Courts. There are 24 Cases among the Arrears which have been delayed longer than a Year; of these 14 at the Date of the Judge's Report were awaiting the Attendance of Parties and Witnesses and the Production of Documents, and 7 were Suits that had been previously decided but were returned in Appeal for Re-investigation, 2 were awaiting the Expiration of the Time allowed at the Request of the Parties, and 1 was then under Investigation.

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849:—
W. E. Frere, Esq., Judge.
M. A. Coxon, Esq., Assistant Judge.
A. B. Warden, Esq., Acting Assistant Judge.

30.—On the Appeal Files the total Number of Cases disposed of is less by 185, and the Arrears have increased from 161 to 247, in Explanation of which the Zillah Judge states, that, notwithstanding his having been left without an Assistant for more than Half the Year, he disposed of 33 Appeals more than in 1848, and is not answerable therefore for the Increase of Arrears. The Returns show that the Judge disposed of 375 Cases himself, and that there are no Appeals among the Arrears of longer standing than a Year.

Appellate Files.

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Principal Sudder Ameen:—								
Belgaun - { Bheemrowannut Row Baha-door }	903	13	298	1,214	915	13	308	1,236
Sudder Ameen:—								
Hoobly - { Syed Kummaloodeen Khan Saheb }	563	12	90	665	507	17	125	649
Bagulcote - { Burjorjee Bhiicajee Khan Saheb }	681	6	391	1,078	1,033	5	452	1,490
Moonsiffs:—								
Dharwar - { Azum Fumal Row Venkutesh }	908	16	275	1,199	939	19	296	1,244
Haveree - Azum Seenappar Naik	892	4	266	1,162	968	4	215	1,187
Chickore - Azum Prubhakur Bullal	494	17	427	938	804	59	600	1,463
Sousedutty - Azum Goondoo Jummagee	575	5	105	685	650	16	102	768
Roan - Azum Kristnar Row Narrayen	627	2	25	654	749	4	26	779
Total	5,643	75	1,877	7,595	6,565	137	2,114	8,816

SOUTHERN MAHRATTA COUNTRY, POLITICAL AGENT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	4	6	10	2	1	1	4	6	5	4	—	1
Appeals	—	3	3	2	—	1	3	—	12	1	—	9

Original Suits.

European Officers who presided in the Court in 1849 :—
 J. D. Inverarity, Esq.,
 Acting Political Agent.
 H. W. Reeves, Esq.,
 Political Agent.
 Appeal File.

31.—The Arrears on the Original Suits File have increased from 4 Cases to 6, which the Political Agent attributes to the recent Lapse of the Tasgaon Estate to Government, 5 of the Cases in Arrear having originated therein. None of the Arrears are of longer standing than a Year; One of them having been instituted on the 2d April, 4 on the 28th November, and 1 on the 11th December; and it is reported that they will all be disposed of in due Course. On the Appeal File the Business has been very light, there having been only Two Cases decided on Trial, and One adjusted, and there are no Arrears.

COLABAR STATE.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits	477	1,138	1,615	934	12	232	1,228	387	1,045	1,228	183	—
Appeals	35	104	139	82	2	1	85	54	42	85	43	—

Original Suits.

European Officer who presided in the Court in 1849 :—
 E. C. Jones, Esq.,
 Agent.

32.—The Number of Original Suits disposed of in the Colabar Courts, viz. the Agents and Moonsiffs, show an Increase of 183, and the Arrears are less by 90 than they were for 1848. The Agent takes occasion in his Report to mention in Terms of Commendation the Care and Assiduity of the Moonsiff in the Discharge of the Duties of his Court; and the Praise appears merited, as that Officer's File shows an Increase of 177 Decisions on Trial, the total Number disposed of in this Way being 968, which is very creditable; and there is not a single Case among his Arrears of longer standing than a Year, in which respect the State of the File of the Agent himself is satisfactory, as there is only One Case on it which has been delayed beyond a Year.

Appellate File.

33.—The Number of Appeals for Disposal, inclusive of the Arrears of 1848 and the Cases instituted in 1849, exhibits an Increase of 62, and the Number disposed of an Increase of 43, but the Arrears are heavier by 19. The Agent reports that he was only enabled to dispose of more Cases during the past Year by setting apart certain Days for this Duty exclusively, a Measure he found it necessary to adopt in consequence of the varied Nature of his Duties. He also reports that he disposed of 60 disputed Claims to attached Property, each of which cost him as much Time as would an Appeal. The Arrears on this File amount to 54 Cases, the whole of which were entered during the Year.

CONTRASTED

CONTRASTED STATEMENT of SUITS decided by Native Judicial Functionaries.

	In the Year 1848.				In the Year 1849.			
	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.	On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.
Moonsiff - { Azim Appajee Ramchunder } { Gooptay }	791	1	235	1,027	968	12	232	1,212

AGGREGATE of the FILES of the ZILLAH and DISTRICT ADAWLUTS and other CIVIL COURTS.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Numbers filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Original Suits } Appeals -	12,959 2,169	82,327 4,747	95,286 6,916	56,768 4,077	3,557 623	21,648 438	81,973 5,138	13,313 1,778	78,839 6,034	81,973 5,138	3,134 —	— 896

34.—The general Result of the past Year's judicial Administration of this Presidency, as exhibited in the above Abstract Statement, is on the whole satisfactory. In 1848 there was a Decrease of 2,018 in the Number of Original Suits instituted, and in 1849 there was an Increase shown of 3,361. In the former Year a Decrease of 6,501 occurred in the Number disposed of, while in the latter there is an Increase of 3,134, the Increase in the Number decided on Merits being 3,153; and although the Arrears have increased from 12,959 to a Total of 13,313, the Increase, viz. 354, bears a very small Proportion, about a Ninth, to the Increase in the Number of Suits instituted. Again, the Arrears for 1848 comprised as many as 390 Cases which had been delayed longer than a Year, but the Number of such postponed Cases for 1849 is reduced to 193, or about 1 in 69 of the collective Amount of Arrears, which is not a high Proportion, compared with the usual State of the Files in this respect. Most of the Zillahs show an Increase in the average Number of Cases decided on Trial, the only Exceptions being those noted in the Margin; and perhaps this Average might be increased if the Jurisdictions of the several subordinate Functionaries were more nicely adjusted, so as to ensure a more accurate Division of Labour among them, the Work to be performed in some Courts being considerably lighter than in others.

General Original Suit File.

Poonah.
Sholapoor.
Ahmednuggur.

35.—An Analysis of the General Appellate File shows a Decrease of 465 in the Number instituted, a Decrease of 896 in the Number disposed of, the Off in the Decisions on Trial being 1,401, and a Decrease of 391 in the Arrears. During the past Year the Measure of erecting Khandeish into a separate Zillah was carried into effect, and was productive of immediate Good as regards the Branch of the Judicial Administration in that Zillah; and if it has failed of equal Success in the Ahmednuggur Division, it is owing to the fortuitous Circumstances already mentioned. The Decrease in the Number of Appeals disposed of is the aggregate Result of individual Decreases in the Adawluts noted in the Margin, moderated by Increases on the remaining Files.

General Appeal File.

Broach.
Tannah.
Poonah.
Ahmednuggur.
Dharwar.

36.—The General Original Suit File of the Revenue Courts (vide Statement No. 3,) shows a Total of 1,533 instituted, being an Increase of 139 over 1848, a Total of 1,511 disposed of, or 68 in Excess, and an Increase of Arrears from 408 to 430, included among which are 32 Cases of longer standing than a Year. The Arrear in Appellate Files of these Courts (see Statement No. 4.) have increased from 87 to 102, which is chiefly owing to the greater Number of Cases instituted, as the Number disposed of exhibits an Increase. Among the Arrears there are 22 Cases which have been postponed longer than a Year.

Revenue Courts.

SUDDER ADAWLUT.

STATEMENT of CIVIL BUSINESS in the Year 1849.

	Balance on 1st Jan. 1849.	Number filed during the Year 1849.	Total.	Total Number disposed of.				Balance on 1st Jan. 1850.	Contrasted Statement of Suits decided in the Years 1848 and 1849.			
				On Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted, &c.	Total.		Disposed of in the Year 1848.	Disposed of in the Year 1849.	More in 1849.	Less in 1849.
Appeals	194	158	352	104	6	—	110	242	114	110	—	4

37.—Compared with 1848 the Number of Appeals filed in the Sudder Dewanee Adawlut shows an Increase of 57, and an Excess of 44, in the total Number for Disposal; the Difference in the former being attributable to the Operation of the Law under the Interpretation of the 16th July 1849 on Regulation VII. of 1831, declaring that Special Appeals only lie to this Court; in consequence of which numerous Appeals were handed up to it from the Files of the different Zillah Adawluts. In the Disposal of Work a Comparison between the Two Years exhibits a Difference of 4 against 1849 in the Number of Cases disposed of, including those dismissed on Default and settled by Adjustment, but a Difference of 2 in favour of it in the Number of Decisions on Investigation.

38.—The Result of this small Decrease in the Number disposed of, and the more than proportionate Increase in the Number for Disposal, is an Increase of 48 in the Arrears. The Court during the Year was constituted of Messrs. A. Bell, B. Hutt (who proceeded to Europe on the 1st May), J. Warden, P. W. Le Geyt, and G. Grant; and the following is an Abstract of the Appeals these Gentlemen decided severally and conjointly:—

	Decided as single Judge.	Referred to a full Court.	Decided in conjunction with other Judges.	Referred by a full Court to a single Judge.	Total Number disposed of.
Messrs. A. Bell	13	20	51	-	84
B. Hutt	-	-	1	-	1
J. Warden	29	28	61	1	119
P. W. Le Geyt	7	18	36	1	62
G. Grant	-	-	34	1	35

39.—Besides this Work there were 983 Petitions presented for the Admission of Special Appeals, which, with the Arrears 638 at the Close of the previous Year, make a Total of 1,621, of which 181 were admitted, 160 dismissed on Default, and 444 rejected, leaving 836 under Consideration. The Work of each Judge in respect to this Branch of the Business of the Court is as follows:—

	In arrear on the 1st Jan. 1849.	Presented during the 12 following Months.	Total.	Disposed of during 1849.	In arrear on 1st Jan. 1850.
Messrs. A. Bell	74	243	317	202	115
J. Warden	163	329	492	200*	202
P. W. Le Geyt	203	274	477	195	282
G. Grant	198	137	335	98	237
Total	638	983	1,621	785	836

* Three of these were disposed of by Mr. Simson.

40.—Mr. Hutt was engaged on his Circuit as Judicial Commissioner for the Koukun and Googerat at the beginning of the Year, and completed it on the 29th March, after which he was absent on Leave from the 15th to the 30th April, preparatory to his Departure to Europe on Furlough. When Mr. Hutt's Circuit was concluded Mr. Le Geyt's commenced, and lasted to the 30th July; and he was absent on leave a further Period from the 7th September to the 6th October. Mr. Bell's Circuit through the Deccan and Khandeish, and Mr. Grant's through the Koukun and Googerat next followed in succession; but the Periods for which the Court was deprived of the Presence of the Judges is shown more distinctly in the following figured Abstract:—

	On Circuit.		On Leave.	
	Months.	Days.	Months.	Days.
Messrs. A. Bell - - - - -	3	20	1	—
W. Simson (left the Court on 3d January).	—	—	—	—
B. Hutt (do. do. 1st May) -	2	29	—	15
J. Warden (appointed 4th January) - - - - -	—	—	1	—
P. W. Le Geyt - - - - -	3	26	1	—
G. Grant (appointed 1st May) - - - - -	—	16	—	—

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 1.

A STATEMENT of ORIGINAL SUITS on the FILE of the ZILLAH COURTS, &c. on the 1st January 1849, &c.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.								
									On the File on the 1st January 1849.	Instituted in the Twelve following Months.	Total.	Disposed of				
												On Trial.	Dismissed.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted.	Transferred from One File to another.
NAMES of the ZILLAHS.				Decreed wholly or in part.												
	Ahmedabad	840	13,113	13,953	5,191	615	1,634	5,645	67							
Surat Zillah	Surat	722	3,726	4,448	2,101	242	883	610	-							
	Broach	696	4,400	5,096	2,628	190	299	903	8							
Konkun do.	Tannah	1,276	9,508	10,784	6,384	858	114	1,481	743							
	Rutnagherry	968	3,749	4,717	2,630	51	308	956	9							
Poona do.	Poona	2,002	1,117	13,119	8,275	19	46	2,676	72							
	Poona Agent	27	23	50	27	-	1	5	-							
	Jageerdars in the Deekan	254	437	741	355	26	24	125	81							
	Sholapoor	1,055	3,724	4,779	2,699	39	26	941	19							
	Ahmednuggur	2,353	14,247	16,600	10,187	855	33	2,716	14							
	Kandeish	889	8,360	9,249	5,389	456	35	2,227	2							
Southern Mahratta Country Political Agent	Dharwar	1,396	8,729	10,125	5,008	1,567	141	2,001	114							
	Colaba State	4	6	10	2	-	1	1	-							
	Total	12,959	82,327	95,286	51,791	5,037	3,557	20,518	1,130							

No. I.—ABSTRACT of

1.	2.	3.
NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	Under 10 Rupees.	Under 50 Rupees.
Ahmedabad	Disposed of 5,148	5,880
	Pending 205	378
Surat	Disposed of 1,197	1,777
	Pending 131	244
Broach	Disposed of 1,287	1,951
	Pending 252	563
Tannah	Disposed of 4,158	4,418
	Pending 438	553
Rutnagherry	Disposed of 1,839	1,705
	Pending 278	334
Poona	Disposed of 4,946	4,956
	Pending 749	889
Poona Agent and Jageerdars	Disposed of 270	268
	Pending 38	73
Sholapoor	Disposed of 1,672	1,651
	Pending 364	477
Ahmednuggur	Disposed of 5,783	6,648
	Pending 942	1,415
Kandeish	Disposed of 3,115	4,111
	Pending 385	553
Dharwar	Disposed of 3,812	3,954
	Pending 442	631
South Mahratta Country Political Agent	Disposed of 2	3
	Pending -	-
Colaba State	Disposed of 490	589
	Pending 125	200
Total	38,068	44,224

No. 2.—ABSTRACT of DECISIONS on the MERITS by Native Judicial

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
	Ahmedabad.		Surat.		Broach.		Tannah.		Rutnagherry.		Poona.	
	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.
Principal Sudder Ameen	1	423	1	582	-	-	1	555	-	-	1	746
Sudder Ameen	3	1,556	1	387	1	664	-	-	1	506	2	1,068
Mooniff	12	3,843	4	1,167	4	2,154	10	6,682	4	2,175	7	6,429
By Jageerdars	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
By Panchayat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	41
Total	16	5,802	6	2,336	5	2,808	11	7,237	5	2,681	11	8,264

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut,
23 July 1850.

No. 1.

Number instituted and disposed of in the Twelve following Months, with the Arrears on the 1st January 1850.

10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.
By European Agents, &c.			By Native Agents.				Total Number disposed of.	In Arrears on the 1st Jan. 1850.	Aggregate Value of the Suits decided under Col. 17.	Number of Cases on the File from One Year and under.	From One Year to Two Years.	From Two Years and upwards.	Notes of Fines levied.
By the Judges.	By the Agent and Assistant and Jufferebars.	By the Assistant Judge.	By the Principal Sudder Ameen.	By Sudder Ameen.	By Mooniffs.	By Panchayet.							
-	-	3	1,292	3,102	8,745	5	13,152	801	783,114 0 10 1/2	799	2	-	189 4 0
2	-	5	906	930	1,993	-	3,836	612	488,484 0 4	609	3	-	18 0 0
-	-	-	-	958	3,069	1	4,028	1,068	219,846 10 9 1/2	1,068	-	-	41 0 0
-	-	5	698	-	8,877	-	9,580	1,204	532,159 2 8	1,189	6	9	444 14 0
-	-	1	-	822	3,131	-	3,954	763	143,164 11 1	750	11	2	128 4 0
-	-	-	1,007	1,536	8,502	41	11,088	2,031	465,051 5 9	1,982	33	16	105 14 0
-	33	-	-	-	-	-	33	17	76,327 2 2	16	1	-	-
1	607	-	-	502	3,221	-	3,724	1,055	163,292 6 8 1/2	1,005	39	11	93 8 0
24	-	4	1,326	2,310	10,141	-	18,805	2,795	456,806 9 8	2,775	10	10	149 15 2
6	-	1	-	1,474	6,628	-	8,109	1,140	269,029 11 9	1,139	1	-	142 8 0
-	-	5	1,236	2,139	5,437	-	8,821	1,304	502,203 15 9 1/2	1,280	13	11	146 10 9
-	4	-	-	-	-	4	4	6	7,066 3 0	6	-	-	-
-	16	-	-	-	1,212	-	1,228	387	39,625 13 0	386	1	-	140 8 0.
33	660	29	6,465	13,775	60,956	55	81,973	13,313	4,181,701 15 11 1/2	13,120	193	60	1,600 5 11

ORIGINAL SUITS.

4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Under 100 Rupees.	Under 200 Rupees.	Under 500 Rupees.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Under 10,000 Rupees.	Upwards of 10,000 Rupees.	Total.
1,127	590	273	61	71	2	13,152
95	55	36	11	18	3	801
410	246	131	24	43	8	3,836
67	48	45	18	50	9	612
422	243	89	21	15	-	4,028
140	70	28	12	3	-	1,068
581	250	118	33	18	4	9,580
63	68	33	9	13	2	1,204
201	125	57	17	10	-	3,954
58	60	20	5	8	-	763
592	300	154	62	35	13	11,088
181	100	57	18	33	4	2,031
43	34	11	2	15	1	644
8	12	8	5	3	-	147
204	114	58	12	13	-	3,724
99	69	33	9	7	2	1,055
779	371	170	34	19	1	13,805
218	136	55	12	16	1	2,795
403	242	100	32	16	-	8,109
102	49	32	7	9	3	1,140
563	290	133	46	20	3	8,821
100	66	34	14	22	5	1,304
-	-	-	-	1	-	4
-	-	1	-	-	-	6
86	34	22	5	2	-	1,228
34	16	9	-	3	-	387
6,691	3,603	1,707	469	463	61	95,286

Functionaries under the Presidency of BOMBAY for the Year 1849.

14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.
Deccan Jageerdars.		Sholapoor.		Ahmednuggur.		Khandeish.		Dharwar.		Colaba State.		General Result.	
No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.
-	-	1	394	1	1,149	-	-	1	915	-	-	6	4,370
-	-	4	2,343	10	1,776	1	1,110	2	1,540	-	-	14	9,181
15	377	-	-	-	8,089	5	4,735	5	4,110*	1	968	66	42,705
4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	377
19	381	5	2,737	13	11,014	6	5,848	8	6,565	1	968	106	56,678

* Inclusive of Three Suits decided on Merits by Panchayet.

No. 2.

A STATEMENT of APPEALS to the ZILLAH JUDGES and POLITICAL AGENTS from the DECREES of the ASSISTANT
1st January to the

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	On the File on the 1st January 1849.	Preferred in the 12 following Months from the Assistant Judge's Decrees.	From the Native Function- aries.	Total.	Disposed of.				Appeals from the Assistant Judges, &c.		
					On Trial. Decreed wholly or in part.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted.	Transferred from One File to another.	Confirmed.	Amended.	Reversed.
Ahmedabad	507	64	559	1,130	468	219	20	16	25	4	10
Surat	323	46	320	689	446	81	27	-	2	1	3
	8	-	161	169	115	2	8	-	-	-	-
Concan	116	40	420	576	337	14	9	83	14	1	2
	501	-	324	825	496	11	14	-	-	-	-
Poona	340	106	776	1,222	810	180	15	27	128	1	22
Poona Agent	11	4	9	24	10	-	1	-	-	-	1
Sholapoor	20	-	258	278	186	65	3	-	-	-	-
Ahmednuggur	41	13	443	497	324	1	9	1	17	-	4
Kandeish	106	-	205	311	209	29	8	-	-	-	-
Dharwar	161	115	777	1,053	592	19	29	166	91	10	27
Political Agent of Southern Maharatta Country	-	-	3	3	2	-	-	1	-	-	-
Colaba State	35	-	104	139	82	2	1	-	-	-	-
Total	2,169	388	4,359	6,916	4,077	623	144	294	277	17	69

No. 11.—ABSTRACT

1.	2.	3.
NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	Under 10 Rupees.	Under 50 Rupees.
Ahmedabad	98	401
	22	96
Surat	55	250
	14	53
Broach	14	68
	4	23
Tannah	96	227
	32	50
Rutnagherry	190	191
	33	124
Poona	212	491
	40	43
Poona Agent	3	2
	2	5
Sholapoor	47	118
	4	14
Ahmednuggur	48	173
	27	91
Kandeish	33	103
	9	26
Dharwar	148	396
	63	111
Political Agent of the Southern Maharatta Country	-	3
	-	-
Colaba State	23	44
	15	29
	1,282	3,132

No. 2.

JUDGES, of the ASSISTANT AGENTS, and of NATIVE FUNCTIONARIES, in ARREARS, preferred and disposed of from the 31st December 1949.

Appeals from the Native Functionaries and Panchayets.			Of these, decided by the Judges.	Ditto, by the Agent.	Ditto, Senior Assistant and Assistant Judges.	Ditto, Principal Sudder Ameen.	Total disposed of within 12 Months.	On the File on the 1st January 1850.	Appeals on the File under One Year.	From One Year and upwards.	Note of Fines.	Transferred to the Revenue Courts under Sec. III. Reg. XVII. of 1827, and Act No. XVI. of 1833.
Confirmed.	Amended.	Reversed.										
235	64	132	332	-	307	84	723	407	262	145	4 0 0	-
270	46	124	319	-	285	-	554	135	130	5	146 0 0	-
72	9	54	-	-	125	-	125	44	43	1	50 0 0	-
188	26	106	287	-	156	-	443	133	133	-	50 0 0	-
247	47	202	-	-	521	-	521	304	222	62	105 0 0	3
381	40	288	417	-	615	-	1,032	190	188	2	302 0 0	-
7	1	1	-	11	-	-	11	13	13	-	-	-
122	25	39	254	-	-	-	254	24	24	-	-	-
177	18	108	221	-	114	-	335	162	162	-	37 5 4	1
138	25	46	157	-	89	-	246	65	64	1	10 0 0	-
244	26	194	375	-	431	-	806	247	247	-	2,633 4 0	-
1	-	1	-	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
61	4	17	-	85	-	-	85	54	54	-	-	-
2,091	331	1,292	2,362	99	2,593	84	5,138	1,778	1,542	236	3,337 9 4	4

of APPEALS.

4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Under 100 Rupees.	Under 200 Rupees.	Under 500 Rupees.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Under 10,000 Rupees.	Upwards of 10,000 Rupees.	Total.
161	36	11	7	6	3	723
41	119	62	23	39	5	407
84	87	37	11	24	2	554
21	19	11	7	10	-	135
25	11	6	1	-	-	125
7	5	1	2	2	-	44
58	25	15	10	12	-	443
22	11	11	4	3	-	133
66	52	19	2	1	-	521
35	30	23	4	6	-	304
142	89	62	21	15	-	1,032
43	24	20	7	13	-	190
4	2	-	-	-	-	11
2	1	2	1	-	-	13
42	20	19	2	6	-	254
3	1	1	-	1	-	24
54	32	18	2	8	-	335
19	10	10	4	1	-	162
47	33	21	5	4	-	246
11	12	4	1	2	-	65
132	70	33	13	13	1	806
31	18	13	6	4	1	247
-	-	-	-	-	-	3
11	6	1	-	-	-	85
5	2	3	-	-	-	54
1,070	715	402	133	170	12	6,916

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 3.

A STATEMENT of ORIGINAL SUITS on the FILE of the COLLECTORS and SUB-COLLECTOR of NASSICK on the 1st January 1849

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.							
									On the File on the 1st Jan. 1849.	Instituted in the Twelve following Months.	Total.	Disposed of.			
												On Trial.		Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted.
NAMES of the ZILLAHS.			Decreed wholly or in part.	Dismissed.											
Ahmedabad	{ Ahmedabad	25	124	149	43	3	5	55	-						
	{ Kaira	18	144	162	57	11	18	50	-						
Surat	{ Surat	27	161	188	58	-	18	81	-						
	{ Broach	90	270	360	187	21	14	72	1						
Koukan	{ Tannah	48	180	228	70	3	48	30	-						
	{ Rutnagherry	140	411	551	201	7	98	124	-						
Poona		9	79	88	36	4	6	17	-						
Sholapoor		3	12	15	11	-	1	1	-						
Ahmednuggur	{ Ahmednuggur	8	15	23	6	5	2	7	-						
	{ Nassick	6	13	19	12	-	-	3	-						
Khandeish		-	2	2	1	-	-	-	-						
Dharwar	{ Dharwar	18	80	98	41	6	6	23	1						
	{ Belgaum	16	42	58	40	3	3	5	-						
Total		408	1,533	1,941	763	63	218	465	2						

No. 1.—ABSTRACT

1.	2.	3.
NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	Under 10 Rupees.	Under 20 Rupees.
Ahmedabad	45	49
	14	15
	54	18
Kaira	8	15
	72	67
Surat	13	13
	85	159
Broach	13	37
	101	49
Tannah	39	27
	253	145
Rutnageree	64	48
	37	25
Poona	3	17
	3	7
Sholapoor	-	2
	3	16
Ahmednuggur	-	-
	9	5
Nassick	1	3
	1	-
Khandeish	1	-
	1	-
Dharwar	32	44
	9	4
Belgaum	10	33
	1	6
Total	871	854

No. 2.—ABSTRACT of DECISIONS on the MERITS by Native Functionaries

	Ahmedabad.		Kaira.		Surat.		Broach.		Tannah.		Rutnageree.	
	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Functionaries.	No. of Decisions.
Mandlutars	3	46	7	68	5	58	6	208	10	73	5	207

Bombay Sadler Dewanee Adawlut, }
3d July 1850.

No. 3.

the Number instituted and disposed of in the Twelve following Months, with the Arrears on the 1st January 1850.

10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.
By European Agents.		By Native Agents.		Total Number disposed of.	In arrears on the 1st Jan. 1850.	Aggregate Value of the Suits decided under Column 14.	Number of Cases on the File for One Year and under.	From One to		Note of Fine levied.
By the Collector.	By the Assistant Collector.	By Mamlutdar.	By Punchayeta.							
-	-	106	-	106	43	2,786 4 7	42	1	-	-
-	-	136	-	136	26	3,216 5 7	23	3	-	-
1	-	156	-	157	81	5,456 10 10	30	1	-	-
1	-	294	-	295	65	13,378 14 10	63	2	-	15 0 0
-	-	151	-	151	77	1,647 5 7	68	8	1	-
-	-	421	-	422	129	9,459 8 7	116	12	1	-
-	-	62	-	62	25	1,009 1 1	22	3	-	-
-	-	13	-	13	2	533 15 4	2	-	-	-
-	-	20	-	20	3	651 8 3	3	-	-	-
-	-	15	-	15	4	241 7 2	4	-	-	-
-	-	1	-	1	1	15 10 0	1	-	-	-
-	-	81	-	81	17	1,701 13 0	17	-	-	-
-	-	51	-	51	7	1,617 2 1	7	-	-	-
3	-	1,508	-	1,511	430	41,615 10 11	398	30	-	15 8 0

ORIGINAL SUITS.

4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Under 100 Rupees.	Under 200 Rupees.	Under 500 Rupees.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Under 10,000 Rupees.	Upwards of 10,000 Rupees.	Total.
8	4	-	-	-	-	104
7	4	2	-	1	-	43
13	-	1	-	-	-	136
2	-	-	1	-	-	26
11	4	2	-	1	-	157
4	-	1	-	-	-	31
28	19	3	1	-	-	295
9	2	3	1	-	-	65
1	-	-	-	-	-	151
5	5	1	-	-	-	77
14	6	3	1	-	-	422
8	6	2	-	1	-	129
-	1	-	-	-	-	63
4	1	-	-	-	-	25
2	1	-	-	-	-	13
-	-	-	-	-	-	2
-	1	-	-	-	-	20
2	1	-	-	-	-	3
1	-	-	-	-	-	15
-	-	-	-	-	-	4
-	-	-	-	-	-	1
-	-	-	-	-	-	1
5	-	-	-	-	-	81
4	-	-	-	-	-	17
6	2	-	-	-	-	51
-	-	-	-	-	-	7
134	57	18	4	3	-	1,941

under the PRESIDENCY OF BOMBAY for the Year 1849.

Poonah.		Sholapoor.		Ahmednuggur.		Nassick.		Khandeish.		Dharwar.		Belgaum.		General Result.	
No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.	No. of Function- aries.	No. of Decisions.
5	40	3	11	2	11	1	12	1	1	6	47	8	43	62	825

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 4.

A STATEMENT OF APPEALS to the COLLECTORS, &c. from the DECREES of the ASSISTANT COLLECTORS

NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	On the File on the 1st January 1849.	Preferred in the 12 following Months from the Assistant Collector's Decrees.	From the Native Functionaries.	Total.	Disposed of.				Appeals from the Assistant Collectors.			
					On Trial	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted.	Transferred from One File to another.	Confirmed.	Amended.	Reversed.	
												Decreed wholly or in part.
Ahmedabad - { Ahmedabad - -	15	-	14	29	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
- { Kaira - -	4	-	13	17	3	3	1	-	-	-	-	-
Surat - { Surat - -	10	-	17	27	14	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
- { Broach - -	17	-	86	53	35	2	-	5	-	-	-	-
Konkan - { Tannah - -	-	-	8	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
- { Rutnageree - -	26	-	45	71	41	7	3	1	-	-	-	-
Poonah - - - -	8	-	10	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sholapoor - - -	2	-	3	5	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ahmednuggur - { Ahmednuggur - -	-	-	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
- { Nassick - -	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kandeiah - - -	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Dharwar - { Dharwar - -	1	-	5	6	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
- { Belgaum - -	3	-	5	8	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total - - - -	87	-	155	242	105	21	7	7	-	-	-	-

No. 1.—ABSTRACT

NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	Under 10 Rupees.	Under 50 Rupees.
Ahmedabad - - - { Disposed of - - -	2	-
- { Pending - - -	12	10
Kaira - - - { Disposed of - - -	2	4
- { Pending - - -	2	5
Surat - - - { Disposed of - - -	3	9
- { Pending - - -	2	8
Broach - - - { Disposed of - - -	10	26
- { Pending - - -	1	7
Tannah - - - { Disposed of - - -	1	-
- { Pending - - -	2	-
Rutnageree - - - { Disposed of - - -	24	22
- { Pending - - -	9	8
Poonah - - - { Disposed of - - -	-	-
- { Pending - - -	6	11
Sholapoor - - - { Disposed of - - -	2	3
- { Pending - - -	-	-
Ahmednuggur - - - { Disposed of - - -	-	1
- { Pending - - -	-	1
Nassick - - - { Disposed of - - -	1	-
- { Pending - - -	-	-
Khandeiah - - - { Disposed of - - -	1	-
- { Pending - - -	-	-
Dharwar - - - { Disposed of - - -	1	4
- { Pending - - -	-	-
Belgaum - - - { Disposed of - - -	2	2
- { Pending - - -	1	1
Total - - - -	84	122

No. 4.

and MAMLUHDARS, in ARREARS, preferred and disposed of from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Appeals from the Native Functionaries and Panchayets.			Of these, decided by the Collector.	Ditto, Assistant Collector.	Total disposed of within 12 Months.	On the File on the 1st January 1850.	Appeals on the File under One Year.	From One Year and upwards.	Note of Fines.	Transferred to the Judge under Section XXXI. Regulation XXII. of 1827, and Act XVI. of 1838.
Confirmed.	Amended.	Reversed.								
1	1	1	3	-	2	27	14	3	-	-
			7	-	7	10	10	-	-	-
1	5	8	16	-	16	11	11	-	-	-
19	1	15	42	-	42	11	11	-	-	5
			1	-	1	2	2	-	-	1
35	2	4	52	-	52	19	18	1	-	1
				-		18	10	8	-	-
3	1	1	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
1	-	-	2	-	2	1	1	-	-	-
1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
			1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
2	-	3	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
			5	-	5	3	3	-	-	-
62	10	33	140	-	140	102	80	22	-	7

of APPEALS.

Under 100 Rupees.	Under 200 Rupees.	Under 500 Rupees.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Under 10,000 Rupees.	Upwards of 10,000 Rupees.	Total.
4	1	-	-	-	-	2
1	-	-	-	-	-	27
1	2	1	-	-	-	7
3	1	-	-	-	-	10
1	-	-	-	-	-	16
3	2	1	-	-	-	11
2	1	-	-	-	-	42
						11
						1
						2
4	2	-	-	-	-	52
2	-	-	-	-	-	52
1	-	-	-	-	-	19
						18
						5
						1
1	-	-	-	-	-	3
						1
						1
1	-	-	-	-	-	6
						5
1	-	-	-	-	-	5
						3
25	9	2	-	-	-	242

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 5.

A STATEMENT of APPEALS on the FILE of the SUDDER DEWANEE ADAWLUT on the 1st January 1849, and

NAMES of the ZILLAHS from which Appeals have been admitted.	On the File on the 1st January 1849.	Preferred within the Twelve following Months.	Total.	Decided.		Adjusted.	Confirmed.
				On Trial.	Dismissed on Default.		
				Decreed wholly or in part.			
Ahmedabad - - -	22	10	32	11	1	-	9
Surat - - -	53	29	82	33	2	-	12
Konkan - - -	34	40	74	15	-	-	9
Poonah - - -	17	26	43	8	-	-	8
Sholapore - - -	18	12	30	7	-	-	3
Ahmednuggur - - -	22	30	52	16	3	-	8
Khandeish - - -	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
Dharwar - - -	12	4	16	6	-	-	2
Bombay Revenue Judge - - -	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
Colaba State - - -	15	4	19	7	-	-	3
Total -	194	153	352	104	6	-	54

ABSTRACT of

	Under 10 Rupees.	Under 50 Rupees.	Under 100 Rupees.
Disposed of - - -	4	27	18
Pending - - -	23	63	26

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, }
3d July 1850.

No. 6.

A STATEMENT of the NUMBER and DESCRIPTION of PLAINTIFFS and DEFENDANTS in SUITS
Government from 1st January

NAMES of the ZILLAHS.	Plaintiffs.		
	Zemindars.	Ryots.	Miscellaneous.
Ahmedabad - - -	1,057	10,777	1,873
Surat - { Surat - - -	59	62	2,924
{ Broach - - -	53	489	3,478
Konkan - { Tannah - - -	1,307	-	8,895
{ Rutnaghiree - - -	3	163	3,583
Poonah - { Poonah - - -	-	138	826
{ Poonah Agent - - -	9	12	23
Sholapoor - - -	491	370	2,860
Ahmednuggur - - -	1,368	1,125	13,063
Khandeish - - -	743	67	7,530
Dharwar - - -	1,064	5,320	2,580
Southern Mahratta Country, Political Agent - - -	-	1	3
Colaba Agent - - -	22	54	1,108
Total -	6,175	18,578	48,246

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, }
3d July 1850.

No. 5.

referred, and disposed of within the Twelve following Months, and the Arrears on the 1st January 1850.

Amended.	Reversed.	Settled by Arbitration.	Total Number disposed of.	On the File on the 1st January 1850.	On the File under One Year.	On the File under One Year and upwards.	Aggregate Value of the Appeals decided.	Remarks.
-	2	-	12	20	8	12	2,381 12 9	
-	21	-	35	47	29	18	79,274 5 9	
-	6	-	15	59	38	21	1,638 11 11	
-	-	-	8	35	26	9	10,667 13 7	
-	4	-	7	23	12	11	1,607 2 7	
-	8	-	19	33	29	4	8,298 5 8	
-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	
-	4	-	6	10	3	7	1,887 15 9	
-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1,260 0 0	
-	4	-	7	12	4	8	4,728 10 5	
-	50	-	110	242	152	90	1,11,744 14 5	

APPEALS.

Under 200 Rupees.	Under 500 Rupees.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Under 10,000 Rupees.	Upwards of 10,000 Rupees.	Total.
18	22	6	14	1	110
36	28	18	43	5	242

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 6.

and of DEBTORS in GAOL at the Instances of Individuals, and for Arrears of Revenue due to 31st December 1849.

Defendants.			Civil Debtors in Gaol on the 1st January 1850.				
Zemindara.	Ryots.	Miscellaneous.	At the Instance of Individuals.	Amount of Debts.	For Arrears of Revenue at the Instance of Government.	Amount of Arrears.	Total Number in Gaol.
7,504	2,886	7,065	22	2,954 4 11	-	-	22
59	366	4,884	6	5,023 5 2	-	-	6
73	2,157	1,790	13	5,470 0 0½	-	-	13
1,413	-	8,903	18	1,955 4 5	-	-	18
2	107	3,640	5	589 1 7	-	-	5
2	220	240	14	2,867 3 7	-	-	14
5	12	27	-	-	-	-	-
789	1,135	2,785	19	676 11 0	-	-	19
3,520	5,970	8,899	53	4,344 10 1	-	-	53
2,273	952	6,733	14	898 9 11	-	-	14
1,523	6,035	2,359	23	3,030 4 7	-	-	23
2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
214	584	833	2	162 4 7	-	-	2
17,379	20,424	48,160	189	27,967 12 1½	-	-	189

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 7.

A STATEMENT of the NUMBER and DESCRIPTION of PLAINTIFFS an

NAMES OF THE ZILLAHS.	
Ahmedabad	{ Ahmedabad Kairah
Surat	{ Surat Broach
Konkan	{ Tannah Rutnagheree
Poonah	
Sholapoor	
Ahmednuggur	{ Ahmednuggur Nassick
Khandeish	{ Dharwar Belgaum
	Total

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, }
3d July 1850.

No. 8.

A STATEMENT showing the QUANTITY of CIVIL BUSINESS disposed of from

NAMES of the ZILLAHS, COLLECTORATES, and AGENCIES.	From 1st January to 31st December 1848.						From 1st January t		
	Original Suits.			Appeals.			Original Suits.		
	By Judicial Authorities.	By Revenue Authorities.	Total.	By Judicial Authorities.	By Revenue Authorities.	Total.	By Judicial Authorities.	By Revenue Authorities.	Total.
Sudder Dewanee Adawlut	-	-	-	114	-	114	-	-	-
Ahmedabad { Ahmedabad	10,864	88	11,952	438	13	446	13,152	106	13,253
{ Kaira	-	97	97	-	2	2	-	136	133
Surat { Surat	3,639	134	3,773	314	21	335	3,836	157	3,993
{ Broach	3,991	288	4,279	208	13	221	4,028	295	4,323
Konkan { Tannah	8,607	113	8,720	1,039	22	1,061	9,580	151	9,731
{ Rutnagheree	3,909	373	4,282	193	23	216	3,954	422	4,376
Poonah { Poonah	11,478	174	11,652	1,373	4	1,377	11,088	63	11,151
{ Poonah Agent	58	-	58	31	-	31	33	-	33
{ Deccan Jageerdars	672	-	672	-	-	-	611	-	611
Sholapoor	4,002	6	4,008	212	1	213	3,724	13	3,737
Ahmednuggur	15,756	27	15,783	998	3	1,001	13,805	20	13,825
Nassick	-	11	11	-	-	-	-	15	15
Khandesh	7,215	3	7,218	188	-	188	8,109	1	8,110
Dharwar { Dharwar	7,598	84	7,682	991	10	1,001	8,821	81	8,902
{ Belgaum	-	45	45	-	3	3	-	51	51
Political Agent, Southern Mahratta Country	5	-	5	12	-	12	4	-	4
Colaba State	1,045	-	1,045	42	-	42	1,228	-	1,228
Total	78,839	1,443	80,282	6,148	115	6,263	81,973	1,511	83,484

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, }
3d July 1850.

No. 7.

DEFENDANTS IN REVENUE SUITS, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Plaintiffs.			Defendants.		
Zemindars.	Ryots.	Miscellaneous.	Zemindars.	Ryots.	Miscellaneous.
11	123	-	3	183	56
35	126	31	23	223	22
58	30	132	9	192	13
105	81	119	20	245	59
7	145	27	15	247	7
260	105	69	161	520	71
67	6	6	12	62	5
3	10	-	4	21	-
20	-	-	7	11	5
15	3	-	6	9	1
-	2	-	1	-	-
34	11	56	29	66	31
4	7	30	6	40	-
619	654	470	296	1,824	270

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 8.

t January to 31st December 1849, as compared with the preceding Year.

st December 1849.			Total of the Year 1848.		Total of the Year 1849.		Increase.		Decrease.	
Appeals.			Original Suits.	Appeals.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Original Suits.	Appeals.
By Judicial Authorities.	By Revenue Authorities.	Total.								
110	-	110	-	114	-	110	-	-	-	4
723	2	725	10,952	446	13,258	725	2,306	279	-	-
-	7	7	97	2	136	7	39	5	-	-
554	16	570	3,773	335	3,993	570	220	235	-	-
125	42	167	4,279	221	4,323	167	44	-	-	54
413	1	444	8,720	1,061	9,731	444	1,011	-	-	617
521	52	573	4,282	216	4,376	573	94	357	-	-
1,032	-	1,032	11,652	1,377	11,151	1,032	-	-	501	345
11	-	11	58	31	33	11	-	-	25	20
-	-	-	672	-	611	-	-	-	61	-
254	5	259	4,008	213	3,737	259	-	46	271	-
335	2	337	15,783	1,001	13,825	337	-	-	1,958	664
-	1	1	11	-	15	1	4	1	-	-
246	1	247	7,218	188	8,110	247	892	59	-	-
806	6	812	7,682	1,001	8,902	812	1,220	-	-	189
-	5	5	45	3	51	5	6	2	-	-
3	-	3	5	12	4	3	-	-	1	9
85	-	85	1,045	42	1,228	85	183	43	-	-
248	140	5,388	80,282	6,263	83,484	5,388	6,019	1,027	2,817	1,902

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

No. 9.

STATEMENT showing what EUROPEAN OFFICERS presided over the CIVIL and CRIMINAL COURTS and

Names of the Zillahs.	Names of the European Officers.	Designations.	Period of holding Office.
Ahmedabad	R. Y. Bazett, Esq. - A. Spens, Esq. - A. K. Forbes, Esq. -	Acting Judge and Session Judge - Judge and Session Judge - Assistant Judge and Session Judge -	1st Jan. to 5th Feb. 1849 15th Feb. to 22d Oct. 1849 1st Jan. to 31st Dec. 1849
Surat	W. C. Andrews, Esq. - A. Rogers, Esq. - A. B. Warden, Esq. - L. Ashburner, Esq. -	Judge and Session Judge - Acting Assistant Judge and Ses- sion Judge - Acting Senior Assistant - Judge and Session Judge - Acting Second Assistant Collec- tor and Magistrate in charge of Adawlut -	12 Months - From 16th Mar. to 10th Dec. 1849. 8 Months and 25 Days 1st Jan. to 21st Sept. 1849 8 Months and 24 Days 25th Sept. to 10th Dec. 1849 2 Months and 16 Days
Broach	A. Rogers, Esq. - R. Keays, Esq. -	Acting Senior Assistant Judge and Session Judge - Judge and Session Judge -	11th to 31st Dec. 1849, 21 Da. From 1st Jan. to the 31st Dec 1849
Rutnagherry	F. Lloyd, Esq. - F. Lloyd, Esq. - A. K. Corfield, Esq. -	Assistant Judge and Session Judge - Acting Assistant Judge and Ses- sion Judge in charge - Acting Session Assistant Judge and Session Judge -	From 14th Mar. to 31st Dec 1849, being 9 Months and 18 Days - From 1st Jan. to 8th Mar. 18 From 9th Mar. to 31st Dec. 18
Poonah	H. Brown, Esq. - W. J. Hunter, Esq. - H. Newton, Esq. - H. Brown, Esq. - W. J. Hunter, Esq. - H. Newton, Esq. -	Judge and Session Judge - Acting Judge and Session Judge Assistant Judge and Session Judge Agent - Acting Agent - Assistant Agent -	From 1st Jan. to 28th Feb. 18 From 23d Mar. to 11th Sep and from 27th Sept. to 31st Dec. 1849 - From 1st Jan. to 31st Dec. 18 2 Months - 10 Months - 12 Months -
Sholapore	A. K. Corfield, Esq. - W. Birdwood, Esq. -	Acting Judge and Session Judge Judge and Session Judge -	From 1st to 9th Jan. 1849 10th Jan. to 31st Dec. 1849
Ahmednuggur	W. J. Hunter, Esq. - R. Y. Bazett, Esq. - E. J. Prendergast, Esq. - J. W. Woodcock, Esq. - J. W. Woodcock, Esq. - D. C. Leighton, Esq. - H. P. St. Geo. Tucker, Esq. -	Judge and Session Judge - Acting Judge and Session Judge Acting Judge and Session Judge Acting Judge and Session Judge Acting Joint Judge and Session Judge - Acting Assistant Judge and Ses- sion Judge - Assistant Judge and Session Judge	2 Months and 15 Days 2 Months and 15 Days 3 Months and 21 Days 2 Months and 11 Days 18 Days - 1 Month and 13 Days 10 Months and 15 Days

this Presidency during the Year 1849, and what was the Proportion of Business disposed of by each.

Disposal of Business.					REMARKS.																		
Criminal Cases.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Criminal Miscellaneous Orders.	Civil Miscellaneous Orders.																			
5	-	17	131	320	<p>From the 6th to the 14th February and from the 23d October to the End of the Year 1849 the Work performed by Mr. A. K. Forbes as in charge has been accounted for to that Gentleman's Name.</p> <p>*The Appeals disposed of by Transfer are not included here.</p>																		
81	-	315	1,083	1,929																			
51	8	*292	329	20,045																			
52	2	319	1,298	3,751																			
8	5	235	-	4,650																			
23	-	111	923	6,640																			
-	-	-	246	1,916		<p>Besides the Criminal Cases noted in Column 4, there were 22 Cases decided by W. C. Andrews, Esq., as Session Judge holding Sessions at Broach.</p>																	
-	-	14	58	590																			
80	-	†234	3,645	15,710		<p>This Officer was absent on Circuit for the First and Second Sessions at Rutnagherry from 26th March to the 30th April and from 10th October to 27th November 1849, and also on Leave of Absence from 1st to 31st May 1849.</p> <p>†The Appeals disposed of by Transfer are not included here.</p> <p>This Officer was deputed to Rutnagherry, and returned on the 13th March 1849, and was on Leave of Absence from 16th August to 15th September 1849.</p> <p>†The Appeals disposed of by Transfer are not included here.</p> <p>Mr. Lloyd was acting for Mr. Campbell until relieved by Mr. Corfield.</p> <p>Mr. Corfield acted for Mr. Campbell until the 17th March 1849; Mr. Bazett was then appointed, and Mr. Corfield continued to act for him until the 30th of May 1849, when Mr. Woodcock was appointed, since which Date Mr. Corfield has acted.</p>																	
49	5	†126	1,253	24,228																			
7	-	44	255	1,798																			
32	1	477	1,218	12,749																			
2	-	62	429	1,569																			
20	-	355	1,873	8,544																			
52	-	615	201	13,016																			
-	-	3	-	383																			
-	5	8	-	1,461																			
-	28	-	-	121																			
80	1	254	1,276	12,873	<p>In addition to the Work performed by the Judges and Session Judges as herein shown, the Number of Criminal Cases confirmed and reviewed on Petitions by them during the Period embraced in this Statement is as follows :-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Names of European Officers.</th> <th>Confirmed.</th> <th>Reviewed on Prisoners Petitions.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>W. J. Hunter, Esq.</td> <td>4</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>R. Y. Bazett, Esq.</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. G. Prendergast, Esq.</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>J. W. Woodcock, Esq.</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>11</td> <td>19</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Names of European Officers.	Confirmed.	Reviewed on Prisoners Petitions.	W. J. Hunter, Esq.	4	10	R. Y. Bazett, Esq.	4	5	C. G. Prendergast, Esq.	2	2	J. W. Woodcock, Esq.	1	2	Total	11	19
Names of European Officers.	Confirmed.	Reviewed on Prisoners Petitions.																					
W. J. Hunter, Esq.	4	10																					
R. Y. Bazett, Esq.	4	5																					
C. G. Prendergast, Esq.	2	2																					
J. W. Woodcock, Esq.	1	2																					
Total	11	19																					
8	1	21	338	1,301																			
13	4	41	582	2,111																			
21	13	73	1,077	7,409																			
11	6	74	560	2,768																			
-	-	12	66	246																			
9	-	22	132	5,132																			
23	4	92	289	26,498																			

No. 9.—Statement showing what European Officers presided over the Civil and Criminal Courts under the

Names of the Zillahs.	Names of the European Officers.	Designations.	Period of holding Office.
Kandeish	W. J. Hunter, Esq.	Judge and Session Judge	Holding Sessions from 15th to 20th Jan. 1849
	H. P. St. Geo. Tucker, Esq.	Acting Senior Assistant Judge and Session Judge	From 1st to 30th Jan. 1849
	J. W. Woodcock, Esq.	Senior Assistant Judge and Session Judge	From 31st Jan. to 8th March, and from 26th March to 13th June 1849
	Dr. Atkinson	Assistant Magistrate in charge Adawlut	From 9th March to 24th March 1849
	R. Y. Bazett, Esq.	Judge and Session Judge	From 14th June to 31st Dec. 1849
	R. S. Bagshaw, Esq.	Assistant Judge and Session Judge	From 2d Nov. to 31st Dec. 1849
	W. H. Havelock, Esq.	Second Assistant Collector and Magistrate in charge	From 22d Dec. to 31st Dec. 1849
Dharwar	W. E. Frere, Esq.	Judge and Session Judge	One Year
	M. A. Coxon, Esq.	Assistant Judge and Session Judge	Five Months and Six Days
	A. B. Warden, Esq.	Acting Assistant Judge and Session Judge	Ten Days
Belgaum	J. D. Inverarity, Esq.	Acting Political Agent S. M. Country	1st Jan. to 2d Nov. 1849
	H. W. Reeve, Esq.	Political Agent S. M. Country	3d Nov. to 31st Dec. 1849
Colaba	E. C. Jones, Esq.	Agent	From 1st Jan to 31st Dec. 1849
			Total

Bombay Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, }
3d July 1850.

Presidency during the Year 1849, and what was the Proportion of Business disposed of by each—(continued).

Disposal of Business.					REMARKS.
Criminal Cases.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Criminal Miscellaneous Orders.	Civil Miscellaneous Orders.	
8	-	-	-	2	<p>*Two Cases against Prisoner (Luxumon Aya Wallud Nagaya), entered as disposed of in the Return for the Month of September 1849, have since been re-admitted, and consequently they have been omitted in the Statement.</p> <p>In addition to the Number of Criminal Cases shown as disposed of in this Return, One Case from the Magisterial Department was reviewed by Mr. Hunter while holding Sessions at Dhoolia, and Five Cases by Mr. Bazzett, from 14th June up to 31st December 1849.</p> <p>Orders in the English Department or outward English Letters are not entered in the Accounts of Miscellaneous Business.</p> <p>†This includes Three Cases from the Assistant Session Judge, sent up for Confirmation, and Ten Cases appealed to the Session Judge.</p> <p>‡This includes Twenty-eight Inquiries into Petitions of Persons claiming to sue or defend as Paupers, and Fifty-nine Cases of Persons claiming to be declared Heirs or Executors to Persons deceased.</p> <p>§This does not include 165 Cases transferred to the Judge's File on Mr. Coxon's Departure to assume Charge of his Appointment as First Assistant to the Commissioner of Sattara, nor One transferred previous to that.</p>
6	-	1	18	1,435	
26	1	88	557	4,545	
-	-	-	52	517	
*74	6	157	591	7,551	
2	-	-	90	1,603	
-	-	-	35	547	
156	-	375	† 3,801	‡ 19,879	
30	4	§265	45	1,073	
3	1	-	66	475	
-	3	2	-	42	
-	1	1	-	4	
111	16	85	2,612	4,064	
1,043	115	4,790	25,153	220,003	

(Signed) M. LARKEN,
Register.

RESOLUTION.

Resolved that the following Letter be addressed to the Register of the Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, in reply to his Letter dated the 31st July last, No. 1,975, submitting the Returns of Civil Business transacted by the several Judicial Authorities under this Presidency during the Year 1849.

(No. 6,016 of 1850. Judicial Department.)

No. 9,835.

To the REGISTER of the SUDDER DEWANEE ADAWLUT.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honourable the Governor in Council to acknowledge the Receipt of your Letter dated the 3d July last, No. 1,975, submitting the Returns of Civil Business transacted by the several Judicial Authorities under this Presidency during the Year 1849.

Ahmedabad.

2.—The Results presented by the Returns from Ahmedabad are favourable to the Civil Administration of that Zillah during the Year reported on. They show an Increase in the aggregate Number both of Original Suits and Appeals disposed of. The Arrears, however, although less, are very heavy, and his Lordship in Council hopes that the Expectation of the Court of seeing these Arrears speedily cleared away by the Assistance of the Joint Judge sanctioned for this Zillah will be realized.

Surat.

3.—The File of Original Suits from Surat does not suggest any Remarks from Government. His Lordship in Council has observed with Satisfaction the great Reduction in the Arrears of Appeals.

Broach.

4.—The Broach Files are unsatisfactory. They exhibit a great Increase in Arrears both of Original Suits and Appeals; the Number of Cases disposed of as shown in the File of the former, although little in excess compared with that given in the Returns of the previous Year, is still considerably less than the Decisions of the Year preceding it. This Result is not creditable to the several Functionaries of this Division of the Surat Zillah, and his Lordship in Council desires that they may be so informed.

Tannah.

5.—The Amount of Work performed in the Tannah Zillah shows an Excess of Original Suits disposed of. But it should be borne in mind that this Excess is over the Returns of the previous Years, which exhibited a considerable Decrease of Decisions compared with the Returns for 1847. As respects the Appeal File, his Lordship in Council concurs with the Court, that, because there were fewer Cases for Disposal during the Year, "there no longer existed a Necessity for the harassing Exertions made by the European Officers in 1848," seeing that the Arrears have increased.

6.—Adverting to the 13th Paragraph, I am desired to observe, that Government having recently received from Calcutta Forms used by the Mofussil Courts under that Presidency in issuing Process for Execution within the Jurisdiction of Her Majesty's Courts, the Honourable Company's Solicitor has been requested to report as to the Expediency of introducing similar Forms at this Presidency. When his Opinion has been received, Government will address the Sudder Adawlut on the Subject.

Rutnagherry.

7.—The great Increase in the Decisions of Appeals, especially on Merits, as observable in the Returns from this Collectorate, is very creditable to Mr. Corfield. By the further continued Exertions of the Senior Assistant Judge Government hopes that the Arrears now pending will be speedily reduced.

Poonah.

8.—The Files of the Poonah Zillah are not satisfactory. They show a Diminution in the Amount of Work performed, especially with regard to Original Suits. Government approve of the Court having addressed to the Judge the Communication alluded to in the 17th Paragraph of your Letter. The Reduction by nearly One Half of the Appeals in arrear is, however, favourable.

9.—The Poonah Agent's File also exhibits a Decrease in Decisions both of Original Suits and Appeals. Had the Exertions of the Agent and his Assistant during the Year reported on equalled their Exertions during the previous Year there would have remained no Arrears.

Deekan Jagheerdars.

10.—The Reduction by nearly One Half of the Arrears on the File of the Deekan Jagheerdars is satisfactory. The Falling-off in the Decisions would appear to have been owing to the same Cause which was apparent on inspecting the Returns of the previous Year, viz., the Reduction of their Courts.

11.—His Lordship in Council concurs with the Court in considering the Returns of Original Suits from the Sholapoor Zillah unsatisfactory, and approves of the Communication made to the Judge to the Effect stated in the 22d Paragraph of your Letter. It is further to be remarked, with regard to this File, that the Number of the Decisions has decreased since 1847 by nearly One Third. The Appeal File does not call for any Remarks from Government.

Ahmednuggur.

12.—The Returns from Ahmednuggur present a very unfavourable Contrast, as exhibiting a considerable Decrease in Decisions and Increase in Arrears, notwithstanding there were fewer Suits instituted, and fewer Appeals preferred. The Causes assigned may perhaps be partly admissible, but his Lordship in Council desires that the Court will impress on the several

several Functionaries of this Zillah the Necessity for greater Exertions on their Part for the future.

13.—With the Exception of an Increase in Arrears of Original Suits, the Files from the Candeish Zillah are satisfactory. This Increase, however, is not owing to any Laxity on the Part of the Functionaries in the Performance of their Work, for the Decisions show an Excess in the aggregate, but to a more than proportionate Increase in the Number of Suits filed. With regard to the Letter quoted in the 28th Paragraph of your Letter, I am desired to state that a further Reference will be made to the Resident at Hyderabad to expedite the Return of the Summons therein referred to.

14.—The Arrears of Original Suits on the Files of the Dharwar Zillah are still heavy. It is, however, satisfactory to observe that the Cause is not attributable to any Want of Exertion on the Part of the deciding Authorities, as the Amount of their Work shows an Excess by nearly One Sixth, compared with the Returns of the previous Year, and by nearly One Half contrasted with those of 1836, from which the Decisions have been on the Increase. The Decrease in Decision and Increase in Arrears on the Appellate File are to be regretted, but Government are of opinion that, under the Explanation afforded by the Judge, he is exonerated from all Blame in this respect.

15.—The State of the Files of the Political Agent in the Southern Mahratta Country Original Suits having been accounted for by him satisfactorily. S. M. Country Political Agent.

16.—The Contrast presented by the Returns from Colaba is, on the whole, satisfactory. His Lordship in Council considers both the Agent and the Moonsiff of this State fully entitled to the Commendations expressed by the Court. Colaba.

17.—Under the Circumstances stated in the 37th and succeeding Paragraphs of your Letter, no Comments would appear to be necessary on the File of the Sudder Adawlut. Sudder Adawlut.

18.—The State of the Files of Original Suits in the aggregate are, on the whole, satisfactory; they show an Increase in the Number of Cases disposed of, over the Returns of the previous Year; but, on a Contrast with those of the Year preceding it, the Work performed during the Year reported on would appear to be less by about Four per Cent. The Increase in Arrears may be fairly attributed to the Increase in the Number of Suits instituted; and the Reduction by more than One Half of Cases remaining undisposed of for longer than a Year is favourable. General Results.

19.—With respect to the suggestion contained in the latter Part of the 34th Paragraph of your Letter for better adjusting the Division of Work among the subordinate Functionaries, I am instructed to state that his Lordship in Council will be happy to have before him any Plan the Court may purpose to adopt for ensuring this Object.

20.—The General Appellate File contrasts very unfavourably, as exhibiting a falling-off in Decisions in the aggregate at about 15 per Cent., and on Merits at about 25 per Cent.

21.—Adverting to the Remarks contained in the 35th Paragraph of your Letter, I am desired to observe that, however fortuitous the Causes may have been, Government still regret to gather from those Remarks that the Relief afforded to the Ahmednuggur Zillah by separating Candeish from it, has apparently not been attended with immediate Advantage.

I have, &c.
(Signed) J. G. LUMSDEN,
Secretary to Government.

Bombay Castle,
10th October 1850.

(Signed) FALKLAND,
J. P. WILLOUGHBY,
D. A. BLANE.

No. 6,017.

(No. 83. of 1850.—Judicial Department.)

To F J. HALLIDAY Esq., SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA in the
No. 9,836. JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honourable the Governor in Council to transmit to you, for the Information of the Honourable the President in Council, Copy of a Letter from the Register of the Sudder Dewanee Adawlut, No. 1,975, dated the 3d July last, submitting Returns of Civil Business disposed of by the Authorities under this Presidency during the Year 1849, together with a Copy of my Reply of this Date.

Bombay Castle,
10th October 1850.

I have, &c.
(Signed) J. G. LUMSDEN,
Secretary to Government.

Bombay Criminal Justice, 1850.

L I S T.

	Page
Letter from the First Assistant Register of the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, with Accompaniments, dated 26th January 1852	202
Resolution	215
Letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay to the Register of the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut, dated 15th April 1852	215
Letter from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay to the Secretary to the Government of India, dated 15th April 1852.	216

EXTRACT from the PROCEEDINGS of GOVERNMENT in the JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

(Jud. Cons. 1852. April. No. 3,293.)

From the FIRST ASSISTANT REGISTER of the SUDDER FOUJDARREE ADAWLUT, dated 26th January 1852 (No. 263.)

Present: John Warden,
Esq., and P. W. Le
Geyt, Esq.

Sir,

I have the Honour, by Direction of the Court of Sudder Foudarry Adawlut, to submit the following Tables showing the State of Crime and of the Police Administration of this Presidency for 1850, drawn up agreeably to the Forms furnished with your Letter, No. 3,403, of the 17th December 1847.

- No. 1.—Of the Number of Cases before and disposed of by the Village Police.
- No. 2.—Ditto ditto District Police.
- No. 3.—Ditto ditto Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates.
- No. 4.—Ditto ditto Session Judges.
- No. 5.—Ditto ditto Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut.
- No. 6.—Of the Number of References to Criminal Courts of Superior Jurisdiction.
- No. 7.—Of the Result of such References.
- No. 8.—Of the Sentences passed by the Village Police.
- No. 9.—Ditto ditto District Police.
- No. 10.—Ditto ditto by the Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates.
- No. 11.—Ditto ditto by the Session Judges.
- No. 12.—Ditto ditto by the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut.
- No. 13.—Of the average Duration of Cases referred to the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut.
- No. 14.—Of the Number of Convicts in the several Gaols.

2.—The Four following Tables, required by the Government Letter above quoted, are not submitted, as being unsuited to the Circumstances of this Presidency.

- 1.—Of the Number of Cases before and disposed of by Sudder Ameens (No. 3. of the Forms), which Description of Officers disposed of no Criminal Cases.
- 2.—Of the Number of Cases before and disposed of by Principal Sudder Ameens (No. 4. of the Forms), who dispose of no Criminal Cases.
- 3.—Of the Sentences passed by Sudder Amcen (No. 12 of the Forms.)
- 4.—Ditto ditto by Principal Sudder Ameens (No. 13. of the Form-).

3.—Ap-

3.—Appended to the Tables herewith submitted, is a Specification of Crime for 1850, contrasted with 1849, and a few of the elucidatory Remarks contained in the Reports of the several local Authorities.

4.—I am further instructed to submit an Abstract Statement of the Number of Criminal Cases referred or appealed to the Sudder Foujdarree Adawlut, and of the Orders passed thereon, inclusive of Cases disposed of by the Judges as Judicial Commissioners. The following Gaol Hospital Returns are also submitted.

No. 1.—General Abstract of the Returns of Sick in the Gaols.

No. 2.—General Results of ditto ditto.

No. 3.—General Abstract of the Returns of Sick of Gangs of Convicts employed on the Roads at a Distance from the Gaols.

No. 4.—General Results of ditto.

No. 5.—Abstract showing the average Number of Prisoners and the Number of Deaths in each of the Gaols.

5.—The latter Five Statements, though not required by the Government Letter above quoted, are submitted in compliance with previous Orders which are not stated to be superseded. And I am desired to add, that this being the First Year of the Introduction of the new Form of Criminal Returns, considerable Difficulty has been encountered in reducing the Mode of preparing them in the Offices of the subordinate Authorities to Uniformity, which has occasioned much Delay.

I have, &c.

Bombay Sudder Foujdarree Adawlut,
26th January 1852.

(Signed) J. MORRIS,
First Assistant Registrar.

(No. 3,294.)

No. 1.—VILLAGE POLICE.

	1849.	1850.
Depending	—	—
Apprehended during the Year	2,400	2,398
Total	2,400	2,398
Discharged without Trial	824	786
Acquitted after Investigation	531	351
Convicted and punished	1,045	1,261
Depending	—	—
Total	2,400	2,398

Note.—The Form furnished with the Government Letter No. 4,303, of the 17th December 1847, is deviated from, by the Substitution of “apprehended” for “summoned,” in the Second Entry, as the most suitable.

No. 2.—DISTRICT POLICE.

	1849.	1850.
Depending	371	D 313
Apprehended during the Year	58,810	60,676
Total	59,181	60,989
Discharged without Trial	A 18,151	B 17,765
Acquitted after Investigation	15,829	16,564
Convicted and punished without Reference to Magistrate	24,854	26,352
Ditto after ditto.	—	—
Depending	c 347	308

Note.—Two Prisoners who died before Trial are included in A., and One in B. The Difference, 34, between the Numbers in C. and D., is caused by the Transfer of that Number of Prisoners to Statement No. 3, they having been tried by the Assistant Magistrate. The Entry “Convicted and punished after Reference to the Magistrate,” required by the Form furnished with the Government Letter No. 3,403, of the 17th December 1847, is necessarily blank.—the Bombay Regulations not providing for References to Magistrates by District Police Officers in Cases which may be disposed of by themselves.

No. 3.—MAGISTRATES and ASSISTANT MAGISTRATES.

	1849.	1850.
Depending	585	572
Apprehended during the Year	13,111	15,514
Total	13,696	16,086
Discharged without Trial	3,317	4,295
Acquitted	2,667	3,096
Convicted	4,021	4,792
Committed to Session Judge, &c.	2,209	2,455
Otherwise disposed of	944	867
Depending	538	581

Note.—The Total of “Depending” and “Apprehended” in 1849, according to Statements 1, 2, 3, is 75,277. The apprehended and brought to Trial, and brought from last Return according to Columns 3, 4, 5, of the Return for 1849, is 72,760, showing a Difference of 2,507, which is owing to the Omission in the old Form of Return of Persons apprehended on Suspicion, but afterwards liberated without Trial.

No. 4.—SESSION JUDGES.

	1849.	1850.
Depending	129	182
Committed during the Year	2,209	2,455
Total	2,338	2,637
Discharged without Trial	18	17
Acquitted	786	853
Convicted	1,066	1,258
Referred to Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut	209	252
Otherwise disposed of	77	94
Depending	182	163

No. 5.—SUDDER FOUJDAREE ADAWLUT.

	1849.	1850.
Depending.	—	—
Referred during the Year	209	252
Total	209	252
Released unconditionally	20	18
Ditto, on Security	—	1
Convicted	186	196
Otherwise disposed of	3	4
Depending	—	33

Note.—The Form furnished with the Government Letter No. 3,403, of the 17th December 1847, is deviated from, by the Substitution of "Referred" for "Committed," in the Second Entry, as the most suitable Expression.

No. 6.—REFERENCES TO CRIMINAL COURTS of SUPERIOR JURISDICTION.

	1849.	1850.
Depending	18	28
From Village and District Police	559	771
Ditto Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates and Session Judges	253	262
Ditto Session Judges and Magistrates to the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut	497	386
Total	1,327	1,447

Note.—The Form furnished with the Government Letter No. 3,403, of the 17th December 1847, is deviated from, by the Omission of the Words "and Circuit" between "Session" and "Judges," and by the Alteration of Entries 2, 3, and 4, to suit present Circumstances. The Form provides for no Entry "From the Assistant Magistrate to the Magistrate," but it is requisite.

No. 7.—RESULT of REFERENCES in preceding Tables.

	1849.				1850.			
	Reference rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.	Reference rejected.	Sentence confirmed.	Ditto mitigated.	Ditto annulled.
From Village and District Police Courts -	22	385	34	104	71	504	84	105
Do. Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates and the Session Judges -	44	173	18	21	60	152	14	37
Do. Session Judges and Magistrates to the Sudder Foujdarree Adawlut -	331	90	15	62	216	101	15	54
Depending -	28				34			

Note.—The Form is deviated from. See Note to Statement No. 6.

No. 8.—SENTENCES by VILLAGE POLICE.

Confined in Village Choudee -	1,045	1,261
Ditto in the Stocks -	—	—
Total -	1,045	1,261

Note.—The Entry “Fined,” provided for in the Form, is omitted as unsuited to the Circumstances of this Presidency.

No. 9.—SENTENCES by DISTRICT POLICE.

	1849.	1850.
Confined without Labour -	1,449	2,482
Confined in the Stocks -	1,156	1,191
Fined -	22,249	22,679
Total -	24,854	26,352

Note.—The Entry “Confined in the Stocks,” not provided for in the Form, is added to this Statement.

No. 10.—SENTENCES by MAGISTRATES and ASSISTANTS.

	1849.	1850.
Imprisonment above a Year.	—	—
Ditto 9 to 12 Months -	260	236
Ditto 6 to 9 ditto -	125	112
Ditto 3 to 6 ditto -	539	399
Ditto 3 Months and under -	1,193	1,464
Flogged and discharged -	36	46
Fined -	1,868	2,535
Total -	4,021	4,792

Note.—Prisoners sentenced to Fine are also sentenced to Imprisonment in default of Payment.

No. 11.—SENTENCES by SESSIONS JUDGES.

	1849.	1850.
Imprisonment 5 to 7 Years - - - - -	A 78	93
Ditto 3 to 5 ditto - - - - -	B 189	196
Ditto 1 to 3 ditto - - - - -	420	508
Ditto 1 Year and under - - - - -	214	310
Fined - - - - -	163	151
Flogged and discharged - - - - -	2	—
Otherwise disposed of - - - - -	—	—
Total -	1,066	1,258

Note.—An Error in the Sholapoor Return for 1849, in respect to the Entries A. and B., brought to Notice by the Session Judge, is corrected in this Return. The Words “and Circuit,” required by the Form, are omitted in the Heading as inapplicable.

No. 12.—SENTENCES by the SUDDER FOJJDAREE ADAWLUT.

	1849.	1850.
Death - - - - -	19	13
Transportation for Life - - - - -	118	88
Inprisonment for Life - - - - -	6	1
Ditto 10 to 14 Years - - - - -	3	12
Ditto 7 to 10 ditto - - - - -	22	26
Ditto for less than 7 Years - - - - -	18	56
Otherwise disposed of - - - - -	—	—
Total -	186	196

Note.—The Entry “Transportation for less than Life,” provided for in the Form, is omitted.

No. 13.—AVERAGE DURATION of CASES referred to the SUDDER FOJJDAREE ADAWLUT.

Year.	From Apprehension to Commitment.	From Commitment to Reference.	Transmission.	From Receipt of Reference to Sentence.	Total from Apprehension.
1850 - - -	50 Days	29 Days	19 Days	11 Days	109 Days.

No. 14.—PRISONERS in GAOLS.

	1849.	1850.
Imprisonment for Life (with Labour in Irons) - - - - -	141	131
Ditto ditto (with Labour without Irons) - - - - -	70	65
Ditto 10 to 14 Years - - - - -	318	270
Ditto 7 to 10 - - - - -	540	495
Ditto less than 7 - - - - -	2,786	2,762
Ditto without Labour - - - - -	458	499
Total on 31st December - - - - -	4,313	4,222
Deaths in the Year - - - - -	251	318

Note.—The Entry “Imprisonment for Life with Labour without Irons,” not provided for in the Form, is added.

GENERAL REMARKS.

The following is a Specification of Crime in 1850 in contrast with 1849.

	1849.	1850.
Adultery	213	201
Assault - { with Homicide	15	26
{ with wounding or other Violence	503	499
{ simple	13,564	14,022
Arson	677	570
Child-stealing	20	27
Forgery or counterfeiting the Coin	95	103
Homicide	33	39
Murder	165	146
Perjury	155	167
Rape	69	84
Receiving stolen Goods	374	421
Gang Robbery - { with Murder	18	13
{ with Violence	221	204
{ unaggravated	56	81
Robbery, including { with Murder.	13	9
Burglary and { with Violence	2,087	2,211
Cattle-stealing. { unaggravated	3,667	4,334
Theft { with Murder, including that of Children	11	14
{ simple for the sake of Ornaments	7,276	8,406
Treason, Rebellion, and Riot	5	19
Thuggee	-	1
MISCELLANEOUS OFFENCES, viz.		
Abuse of Authority	25	69
Abusive Language	9,342	9,481
Abortion, procuring and attempting or assisting at ditto	70	76
Attempt at Theft or Robbery	639	783
Breach of Contract	67	84
Breach of Religious Law	153	124
Breaking or destroying Boundaries	30	60
Bribery and Attempt at ditto	120	192
Conspiracy	130	112
Concealment of Robbery or Theft	17	19
Concealment of Murder	7	3
Dhurna	5	8
Embezzlement	53	83
Escape from Custody, and Attempts and Connivance at Ditto	49	71
Fraud	302	277
Failure to furnish Security	62	30
Infraction of Police Rules	999	729
Jhansa	431	509
Neglect of Duty and Disobedience of Orders	916	950
Return from Banishment or Transportation	30	36
Suicide, Attempts at	27	22
Traga, and Attempts at	73	103
Uttering base Coin, and using false Weights	159	263
Not included in the above	2,408	2,301
Total	45,351	47,982

1.—The above exhibits an Excess of 2,631 in the total Number of Crimes in 1850 compared with 1849; but it is satisfactory to observe that the Increase is mostly confined to trivial Offences, and that there is a Decrease under—

1. Murder.
2. Gang Robbery with Murder.
3. Gang Robbery with Violence less than Murder.
4. Robbery with Murder.
5. Arson.
6. Adultery.

The

The Head of "Assault with wounding," which, in the aggregate, shows a Decrease, is in Excess in the Ahmedabad Magistracy, owing in, the Magistrate States, to the prevailing Practice among the People of that Part of the Country of going armed on all Occasions, which Practice he recommends to be suppressed; but the Court, I am desired to state, sees no good Reason for changing the Opinion on the Subject expressed in its Letter, No. 12. of the 3d January last.

2.—A considerable Decrease in Gang Robbery in the Kaira Magistracy is ascribed to the Vigilance of the Police; and an Increase under the same Head, in the Surat Magistracy, is stated to be owing to Distress occasioned by a Failure of the Rains. The Returns furnished by the Magistrate of Belgaum show a remarkable Decrease in Gang Robbery and Murder, there having been 26 of the latter and 26 of the former in 1849, and only 9 of the latter and 6 of the former in 1850. In the Dharwar Magistracy, a Decrease of Crime is attributed to the Employment afforded to the Tribe of Wudurs in constructing numerous Tanks and other Public Works undertaken during the past Year, especially in the Southern Talookas,—this Class of People being said to be prone to plunder when unemployed.

3.—The Sums lost by Robbery, and those recovered through the Instrumentality of the Police, together with the Amount destroyed by Arson, in the several Divisions of the Presidency, are set down as follows:

	Lost by Robbery.	Recovered.	Lost by Arson.
	<i>Rs.</i>	<i>Rs.</i>	<i>Rs.</i>
Ahmedabad - - -	90,082	6,178	3,299
Kaira - - -	36,140	3,016	5,562
Broach - - -	27,138	4,748	4,831
Surat - - -	49,528	5,966	1,865
Tannah - - -	48,965	8,072	2,858
Rutnagherry - - -	15,798	3,762	750
Poonah - - -	33,928	25,124	209
Ahmednuggur - - -	29,235	10,638	1,296
Nassick - - -	15,126	1,658	185
Sholapoor - - -	32,932	4,193	280
Belgaum - - -	48,144	28,529	1,023
Dharwar - - -	43,330	5,240	1,670
Kandeish - - -	71,971	42,983	201
Colaba - - -	6,028	448	—
Total - - -	5,58,345	1,50,560	24,034

4.—The above exhibits great Disproportion in the Amounts stolen in the several Divisions, as well as in the Sums recovered. In respect to the heavy Amount stolen in the Kandeish Magistracy (more than a Moiety of which has been recovered), the Excess compared with 1849 is reported to be owing to Two extensive Gang Robberies (in One of which Rupees 21,428 was carried off, and Rupees 17,955 in the other,) committed by Plunderers by Profession from the Marwar Country. The Amount of stolen Property recovered in the Poonah Magistracy is larger in proportion than elsewhere, and reflects great Credit on the Exertions of the Police.

5.—As regards the Loss by Arson, which is now and always has been heaviest in Guzerat, it is conjectured by One of the Magistrates of that Province (the Magistrate of Surat) that most of the Cases reported were merely accidental Fires; he also reports that the Town of Bardolee suffered severely from Fire, but that there was no Suspicion of its having been the Work of an Incendiary.

6.—The supposed Number of Offenders is reported to be 96,591, and the Number apprehended 78,366. Of the Offenders brought to Trial the Number who could read and write is stated at 8,123 and the Number tried for Second Offences 2,503. The Number of supposed Offenders in the Dharwar Magistracy alone is set down at 4,300, which, the Magistrate observes, forms a vast Body of Criminals; but he explains that under existing Orders every Person against whom a Complaint has been made is apprehended and shown as engaged in the Offence imputed to him, and that it happens that many Persons are included in the List of Criminals who in fact are innocent, and the Crime with which they are charged has never been committed.

7.—On the Subject of the general State of the Police, the Magistrate of Ahmedabad notices the inadequate Remuneration of Mookies or Police Patels, and promises to return to the Subject on the Completion of certain Inquiries he is making. He reports that strict Injunctions have been issued throughout his Charge to muster suspicious Characters every Night, and he recommends that extended Powers be given to Police Ameldars, being of opinion that they might safely be trusted to decide Cases requiring heavier Punishment than those they are now in the habit of disposing of; but he proposes that the Sentences

passed by them should be subject to the Confirmation of the Magistrate and Assistant Magistrate.

8.—The Magistrate of Broach refers to a Report he made to the Judicial Commissioner, in which he stated that he could confidently speak well of the Stipendiary Police of his Charge as a Body, but that he was unable to say anything favourable of the Wutania or Village Hereditary Police. To the former, aided by Detachments of the Guzerat Provincial Battalion and Guzerat Irregular Horse, he considers, is owing the present peaceable Condition of that Part of the Country; but the latter, he states, is unfortunately so badly remunerated by Grants of Land &c., and are at the same Time so hampered with wretched Families and poorer Brethren, that the Village Bheel Kolie and Tulania Establishments are held in far more Dread than Esteem, and require quite as much looking after, on the Part of the Patels and Patrolling Bodies, as do the worst Characters in the Country. The Report containing these and other Remarks on the Subject of the Police of Broach is now before the Judicial Commissioner, and the Court has every Reliance that the Subject will receive that Functionary's attention.

9.—The Magistrate of Tannah again refers to the Reduction of his Police Corps as an Evil, but he considers that the Capture of the Remnants of the Kolie Gangs affords Proof of the Efficiency of the Police System of his Charge. In the Rutnagherry Division of the Konkun, the only Stipendiary Police (if we except, the Magistrate observes, the Government Peons, who do Duty in both the Revenue and Police Departments,) is the Rutnagherry Rangers, which the Magistrate considers is too reduced in Number, and too burdened with onerous Duties, to be able to render the efficient Aid it otherwise would. The System of Night Watch by the Villagers is reported to be kept up throughout this Division; but it is added that much cannot be expected as Watchmen, from Men who are obliged to labour all Day long for a Livelihood. The Magistrate also reports that he cannot discover that any organized Police ever existed in the Peishwa's Time in any Portion of the Konkun.

10.—It is a general Complaint in the Kaira Magistracy (it is stated by the Magistrate) that the numerical Strength of the Police is insufficient; and in some Villages the Inhabitants have entertained Watchmen at their own Cost, for the Protection of their Property. These Watchmen, it appears, sometimes fail to make good the Losses from Robberies, which they are bound to do by their Agreements; on which Point the Magistrate observes, "I am not aware of there being any Regulation authorizing a Magistrate to enforce such private Arrangements. The only Remedy the Parties have appears to me to be by Civil Action." He further states that if Parties of the Kolie Police Corps were more numerous stationed throughout the Country, it would be the Means of diminishing Crime greatly.

11.—The Magistrate of Sholapoor, in observing that Crime has not diminished in his Charge, remarks that its frontier Position, and the Absence of an organized Village Police, afford great Facilities for the Commission of Offences. The Ahmednuggur Magistracy is reported to have exhibited great Tranquillity during the Year under Report, there having been no unusual Degree of Insecurity to Life from Violence or Sicknes, and all the Necessaries of Life having been procurable at fair average Rates. In the Subdivision of Nassick the Magistrate observes that Crime was more against the Individual than against the Community.

12.—The new Police System in the Belgaum Magistracy is spoken of in Terms of Approbation by the Magistrate, who states that he has no Hesitation whatever in saying that it is already very efficient, and has given Satisfaction to everybody, excepting a few Shetsundees who express Discontent. And this may fairly be attributed to the long Time which has elapsed since Remuneration to the Scale fixed for the Minimum has been promised, and never to this Time fulfilled.

13.—Taking the Number apprehended of the supposed Number of Offenders as a Criterion to judge by, the Police is more efficient in the Belgaum, Nassick, Poona, Rutnagherry, Tanna, and Surat Divisions, than in any of the other Divisions of the Presidency, especially in the Ahmedabad, and One or Two other of the Divisions in Guzerat; but it has become a trite Remark, which is not the less true, however, that the Number of supposed Offenders, as reported to the Authorities by the Sufferers, is never to be depended on.

14.—As regards the Statistics exhibited by the Gaol Hospital Returns, it will be seen that the Rate of Mortality exceeds 6 per Cent. in only the Broach, Surat, Tannah, Ahmednuggur, and Ahmedabad Gaols. The Rates in each of the Gaol Hospitals are as follows;—

Ahmedabad Gaol	-	-	7.421	per Cent.
Kaira ditto	-	-	4.166	ditto.
Surat ditto	-	-	20.814	ditto.
Broach ditto	-	-	25.563	ditto.
Tannah ditto	-	-	8.784	ditto.
Rutnagherry ditto	-	-	2.839	ditto.
Poona ditto	-	-	2.258	ditto.

Sholapoor

Sholapoor Gaol	-	-	5'759	per Cent.
Ahmednuggur ditto	-	-	7'323	ditto.
Nassick ditto	-	-	2'272	ditto.
Kandeish ditto	-	-	5'323	ditto.
Dharwar ditto	-	-	3'143	ditto.
Colaba ditto	-	-	5'970	ditto.

15.—The average Morality of 6 per Cent. is exceeded in the Broach, Surat, Tannah, Ahmedabad, and Ahmednuggur Gaols. Out of a Total of 26 Deaths in the last-mentioned Gaol, 10 occurred from Cholera. In the Broach Gaol there were 34 Deaths, of which 13 were from Cholera and Diarrhoea; and there were 25 out of 46 from the same Diseases in the Surat Gaol, and 8 from Dysentery. In the Tanna Gaol there were 7 Deaths from Cholera, 9 from Diarrhoea, 11 from Intermittent Fever, and 16 from Ulcers, out of Total of 73. In the Dharwar Gaol, besides 21 Deaths in the Gaol Hospital, giving a Rate of 3'143, there were 21 Deaths from Cholera among the Prisoners, which increases the Rate to a little more than 6½ per Cent.; but they were not shown in the Hospital Return, because the Cases were not medically treated,—most of the Convicts attacked suppressing all Knowledge of it until too late.

16.—On the whole the Results shown by this Report are considered favourable, inasmuch as Crime in general has not materially increased, whilst the most serious Offences have diminished. The Court would also direct the Attention of Government to the favourable Result of the Reform, as far as it has gone, of the Village Police in Belgaum, as it affects Crime in that District, and would observe that there appears to be no Reason to believe that equally good Results would not follow similar Measures in other Zillahs.

Bombay Sudder Foujdarree Adawlut,
26th January 1852.

(Signed) J. MORRIS,
First Assistant Register.

GENERAL ABSTRACT of the RETURNS of SICK of the PRISONERS IN THE GAOLS under the Presidency of BOMBAY for the Year 1850.

Average Number of Prisoners	DISEASES.																								Average daily Number of Sick for the Year.		
	Apoplexy.	Beriberi.	Cholera.	Dysentery.	Dropsy.	Dysentery.	Fever.				Hepatic Diseases.	Inflammation of Viscera.			Insanity.	Pulmonary Diseases.	Rheumatism.	Small Pox.	Venereal Diseases.	Ulcers.	Wounds and Accidents.	Diseases of the Eyes.	Diseases of the Skin.	Other Complaints.		Total.	
							Ephemeral.	Continued.	Intermittent.	Remittent.		Abdominal.	Cephalic.	Thoracic.													
4,489	Remained, 31st December 1849 -	-	-	2	17	1	19	-	5	54	6	2	1	2	3	4	4	19	-	7	24	10	3	7	34	224	263
	Admitted since -	1	3	133	415	14	382	93	95	1,504	76	23	93	28	107	17	63	208	3	101	457	230	88	272	970	5,478	
	Discharged -	-	3	76	380	9	366	93	94	1,493	70	23	90	27	83	17	54	218	2	99	448	228	86	364	880	5,207	
	Died -	1	-	56	45	4	26	-	4	27	10	1	2	1	20	1	11	3	-	2	16	2	1	1	79	313	
	Remaining, 31st December 1850	-	-	3	7	2	9	-	2	36	2	1	2	2	7	3	2	6	1	7	17	10	4	14	45	182	

GENERAL RESULTS, for 1850, of SICK of the PRISONERS IN GAOLS.

	Per Cent.
Proportion of Admissions to the Number of Prisoners	122.081
Ditto of Deaths to the Number of Prisoners	6.972
Ditto of Deaths to the Number of Prisoners, excluding Deaths from Cholera	5.725
Ditto of Deaths to the Total Sick treated	5.489
Ditto of Deaths to the Total Sick treated, excluding Cholera	4.616
Ditto of the average Number of Prisoners to the average daily Number of Sick for the Year	5.858

(7011)

Le

(213)

GENERAL ABSTRACT of the RETURNS of SICK of the GANGS OF CONVICTS employed on the Roads at a Distance from Gaols under the Presidency of BOMBAY for the Year 1850.

Average Number of Prisoners.		DISEASES.																							Total.	Average daily Number of Sick for the Year.		
		Apoplexy.	Beriberi.	Cholera.	Diarrhoea.	Droopy.	Dysentery.	Fever.				Hepatic Disease.	Inflammation of Viscera.			Insanity.	Pulmonary Diseases.	Rheumatism.	Small Pox.	Venereal Diseases.	Ulcers.	Wounds and Accidents.	Diseases of the Eyes.	Diseases of the Skin.			Other Complaints.	
								Ephemeral.	Continued.	Intermittent.	Remittent.		Abdominal.	Cephalic.	Thoracic.													
113	Remained, 30th April 1850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Admitted since	-	-	-	4	-	24	-	-	57	40	-	13	1	4	-	-	24	-	4	28	29	-	4	20	252		
	Discharged	-	-	-	4	-	24	-	-	53	39	-	13	1	4	-	-	23	-	4	26	28	-	4	18	241		
	Died	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1		
	Remaining, 31st December 1850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	1	-	-	1	10		

GENERAL RESULTS, for 1850, of SICK of the GANGS OF CONVICTS employed on the Roads at a Distance from Gaols.

	Per Cent.
Proportion of Admissions to the Number of Prisoners	223.008
Ditto of Deaths to the Number of Prisoners	.884
Ditto of Deaths to the Number of Prisoners, excluding Death from Cholera	.884
Ditto of Deaths to the Total Sick treated	.396
Ditto of Deaths to the Total Sick treated, excluding Cholera	.396
Ditto of the average Number of Prisoners to the average daily Number of Sick	6.194

N.B.—This Return embraces a Period of Eight Months, viz. from May to December 1850.

ABSTRACT showing the AVERAGE NUMBER of PRISONERS and the NUMBER of DEATHS in each of the Gaols during the Year 1850.

	Average Number of Prisoners.	Number of Deaths.
Ahmedabad	768	57
Kaira	144	6
Surat	221	46
Broach	133	34
Tannah	831	73
Rutnagherry	317	9
Poonah	487	11
Sholapore	191	11
Ahmednuggur	355	26
Nassick	44	1
Kandeish	263	14
Dharwar	668	21
Colaba	67	4
Konkun Subsidiary Gaol*	113	1
Total	4,602	314

* The Average for the Gaol is taken for a Period of Eight Months.

Bombay Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut, }
26th January 1852.

(Signed) J. MORRIS,
First Assistant Register.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION.

Resolved, that the following Letter be written to the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut, in reply to their Register's Letter, of the 26th January last, No. 263, forwarding the Returns of Crime in the several Zillahs under this Presidency for the Year 1850.

No. 3,295.

No. 1,981 of 1852.

To the REGISTER of the SUDDER FOUJDAREE ADAWLUT.

Sir,

1.—I am directed by the Right Honourable the Governor in Council to acknowledge the Receipt of your Letter dated the 26th of January last, No. 263, submitting the Returns of Crime in the several Zillahs under this Presidency for the Year 1850.

2.—These Returns do not call for any lengthened Remarks. In the aggregate they show an Increase in the Number of Offenders and Offences, as also in the Amount of Property lost by Robbery.

3.—Although the Excess in the Number of Cases is confined specially to those of minor Description, yet the more heinous Crimes, taken separately, as will appear from the following Statement, exhibit an Increase principally under the Heads of unaggravated Gang Robberies and Robberies, with or without Violence.

	Increase.	Decrease.
HEINOUS CRIMES.		
Assaults with Homicide	11	—
" with Wounding or other Violence	—	4
Arson	—	107
Child-stealing	7	—
Forgery or counterfeiting the Coin	8	—
Homicide	6	—
Murder	—	19
Perjury	12	—
Rape	15	—
Receiving stolen Goods	47	—
Gang Robbery with Murder	—	5
" with Violence	—	17

(110 h.)

E e 2

		Increase.	Decrease.
HEINOUS CRIMES—cont.			
Unaggravated Robbery	- - - - -	25	—
with Murder	- - - - -	-	4
with Violence	- - - - -	124	—
Unaggravated Theft	- - - - -	667	—
with Murder	- - - - -	3	—
Treason, Rebellion, and Riot	- - - - -	14	—
Thuggee	- - - - -	1	—
		940	156
		156	—
	Increase	784	—
MINOR OFFENCES.			
Adultery	- - - - -	-	12
Assaults, simple	- - - - -	458	—
Thefts, simple	- - - - -	1,130	—
Miscellaneous	- - - - -	271	—
		1,859	12
		12	—
	Increase	1,847	—
AGGREGATE.			
Heinous Crimes	- - - - -	940	156
Minor Offences	- - - - -	1,859	12
		2,799	168
		168	—
	Increase	2,631	—

4.—The Crimes against Person als) show an Increase, but those against Life, a small Decrease. Of the stolen Property a little more than One Fourth only has been recovered.

5.—In Paragraph 1 of your Remarks it is stated that the Excess in the Crime of Assault in the Ahmedabad Magistracy is attributed to the prevailing Practice among the People of that Part of the Country, of going armed on all Occasions. This Practice, the Magistrate recommends, should be suppressed.

6.—But on this Point the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is inclined to agree with the Court. The Time may arrive hereafter, but it has not yet arrived, when the State of the Country would warrant the Proposal being entertained.

7.—Government are in daily Expectation of receiving the Sanction of the Government of India for the Introduction of the Plan of Reform for the Police of this Presidency, when the Remarks in Paragraphs 7 to 12 of your Letter will engage Attention.

8.—The Statements of Work performed by the several Judicial Authorities give satisfactory Results. The Decisions on Trials are in Excess, with trifling Exceptions, as regards the Number of Prisoners "acquitted after Investigation" before the Village Police, and of those "otherwise disposed of" by the Magistrates and Assistant Magistrates. The following Table will show these Results in the aggregate as compared with the Returns for 1849.

	Offenders in Custody at the Close of the preceding Year.	Offenders apprehended.	Total.	Discharged without Trial.	Acquitted after Trial.	Convicted and punished.	Otherwise disposed of.	Released on Security.	Remaining undischarged at the Close of the Year.	Total.
1849	1,085	74,321	75,406	22,310	19,833	31,172	1,024	-	1,007	75,406
1850	1,067	78,588	79,655	22,863	20,882	33,859	965	1	1,085	79,655
Increase	-	4,267	4,249	553	1,049	2,687	-	1	18	4,249
Decrease	18	-	-	-	-	-	59	-	-	-

9.—The Aggregate of Mortality among the Prisoners in Gaols amounts to above $7\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent., being $1\frac{1}{2}$ per Cent. in Excess of the Rate, demanding no special Explanation; but this Excess would seem to have been occasioned principally by the greater Number of Deaths in the Surat and Broach Gaols, in which the Mortality amounted to 20 and 25 per Cent. respectively.

10.—I am, in conclusion, desired to draw the Court's Attention with reference to Paragraph 12 of this Report to my Letter, No. 4,657, dated 28th November 1848 (Paragraph 6), and request to be informed whether the Magistrate did not consider that the Passage in question authorized the Fulfilment by him of any Pledge to the Purport stated which the Superintendent under the Magistrate's Order may have given. If this were not the Case why did not the Magistrate refer to Government for explicit Instructions.

Bombay Castle, }
15th April 1852. }

I have the Honour to be, &c.
(Signed) J. G. LUMSDEN,
Secretary to Government.

Approved.
(Signed) A. BELL.
J. WARDEN.

No. 20. of 1852. Judicial Department.

No. 3,296.

No. 1,982.

To F. J. HALLIDAY Esq., Secretary to the Government of India in the
Judicial Department.

Sir,

I am directed by the Right Honourable the Governor in Council to transmit to you, for Submission to the Right Honourable the Governor General of India in Council, Copy of a Letter from the Register of the Sudder Foujdaree Adawlut, dated 26th January last, No. 262, submitting Tables showing the State of Crime and of the Police Administration of this Presidency for 1850, together with a Copy of my Reply of this Date.

Bombay Castle, }
15th April 1852. }

I have the Honour to be, &c.,
(Signed) J. G. LUMSDEN,
Secretary to Government.

North-western Provinces Criminal Justice, 1849.

REPORT of the SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, on the
ADMINISTRATION of CRIMINAL JUSTICE for the Year 1849.

No. 1,024 of 1,850.

From F. B. PEARSON, Esquire,

Register to the Court of Nizamut Adawlut,

N. W. Provinces.

To J. THORNTON, Esquire,

Secretary to the Government,

N. W. Provinces,

Dated Agra, the 13th September 1850.

N. A., N.W.P.

Present:

A. W. Begbie, Esq. } Judges;
H. Lushington, Esq. }
H. W. Deane, Esq., Offg. Judge;
and
S. S. Brown, Esq., Offg. Addl. Judge.

Sir,

I am directed by the Court of Nizamut Adawlut for the North-western Provinces to submit herewith, for the Consideration and Orders of the Honourable the Lieutenant Governor, the Reports and Statements enumerated in the accompanying List, in illustration of the Administration of Criminal Justice in the Districts under the Court's Jurisdiction during the Year 1849.

Submits Reports, with Statements and an Appendix, regarding the Administration of Criminal Justice in 1842.

2. An Appendix (A.) containing Extracts from the Reports of the Sessions Judges, and the Remarks of the Court on the Subjects therein noticed, is also submitted for the Information of Government.

I have the Honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

F. B. PEARSON,

Register.

Agra, the 13th September 1850.

ZILLAH PANEEPUT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. N. Prowett, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. Galloway, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Wuzeerully Khan, from 17th March to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	9	451	460	102	45	275	65·16	9	28	1	13	12
42	10	503	513	245	—	244	49·87	3	—	21		
43	—	26	26	11	1	14	53·84	—	—	—		
Total	19	980	999	358	46	533	56·88	12	28	22	13	12
Magistrate	—	—	—	135	25	108	40·29	—	12	21	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	166	21	366	65·	—	16	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	47	—	59	55·66	—	—	1	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	19	980	999	358	46	533	56·88	12	28	22	13	12

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
16	76	31	187	32	8	8	358	—	8	76	20	429

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
86	33	21	2	142	2

ZILLAH HURRIANAH.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. C. Mackenzie, from 1st January to 20th April 1849.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. J. S. Dumergue, from 21st April to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	21	506	527	260	75	165	33'	22	4	—	12	15
42	4	746	750	546	16	157	21'83	2	—	30		
43	—	15	15	12	—	3	20'	—	—	—		
Total	25	1,267	1,292	818	91	325	26'33	24	4	30	12	15
Magistrate	—	—	—	818	91	325	26'33	—	4	30	—	—
Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	25	1,267	1,292	818	91	325	26'33	24	4	30	12	15

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
52	183	116	424	16	26	2	818	—	44	28	55	198

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
20	3	2	—	25	—

ZILLAH DELHIE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. E. Colvin, from 1st January to 10th August 1849.
 Ditto ditto from 8th November to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. G. M. Berford, from 11th August to 7th November 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, ditto, from 1st January to 10th August 1849.
 Ditto ditto from 8th November to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. G. Ouseley, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.

Assistant, Mr. A. Galloway, from 1st January to 31st May 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. J. H. Prinsep, from 1st February to 31st March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. G. Ouseley, from 1st May to 30th November 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. T. J. Metcalfe, from 1st November to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Ramsurn Dass, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending or 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	3	405	408	284	27	92	22.82	3	2	—	5	3
42	2	1,689	1,691	1,314	2	373	22.08	—	2	—		
43	—	85	85	55	14	11	13.75	—	5	—		
Total	5	2,179	2,184	1,653	43	476	21.91	3	9	—	5	3
Magistrate	—	—	—	518	26	133	19.64	—	5	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	718	17	201	21.47	—	4	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	89	—	64	41.83	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	328	—	78	19.21	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	5	2,179	2,184	1,653	43	476	21.91	3	9	—	5	3

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
4	182	123	1,255	48	40	1	1,653	—	46	63	68	299

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
149	42	34	1	226	—

ZILLAH ROHTUCK.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Joint Magistrate, Mr. E. C. Bayley, from 1st January to 20th March 1849.
 Officiating Magistrate, Mr. J. Guthrie, from 21st March to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant Magistrate, from 1st January to 20th March 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. J. G. Ross, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	22	730	752	342	115	268	36.96	24	4	1	9	3
42	7	1,248	1,255	801	6	446	33.59	2	1	—		
43	—	52	52	32	1	16	32.65	—	—	—		
Total	29	2,030	2,059	1,175	122	730	36.01	26	5	1	9	3
Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	700	56	442	36.89	—	3	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	82	—	49	37.4	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	393	66	239	34.24	—	2	1	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	29	2,030	2,059	1,175	122	730	36.01	26	5	1	9	3

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Details of Conviction.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
12	73	352	566	51	50	71	1,175	2	24	226	81	387

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
66	21	2	—	89	1

ZILLAH GOORGAON.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. W. de H. Routh, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. S. Donnithorne, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Mr. J. P. Lyons, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Neeraz Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	15	429	444	151	63	213	49.88	11	6	—	10	4
42	4	1,120	1,124	773	—	933	80.1	7	2	9		
43	—	20	20	5	1	12	66.66	—	2	—		
Total	19	1,569	1,583	929	64	558	35.97	18	10	9	10	4
Magistrate	—	—	—	365	40	258	38.91	—	7	9	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	467	24	225	31.42	—	3	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	86	—	42	32.81	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	11	—	33	75.	—	—	—	—	—
Total	19	1,569	1,583	929	64	558	35.97	18	10	9	10	4

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
6	75	69	710	34	27	8	929	—	5	23	81	449

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
70	50	78	—	198	5

DELHIE DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Delhie Division	1848 -	56	7,348	7,984	4,624	316	2,256	31.35	91	79	18
	1849 -	97	8,025	8,122	4,933	366	2,622	33.1	83	56	62
Difference -	+ 61.	+ 677	+ 738	+ 309	+ 50	+ 366	—	- 8	- 23	+ 44	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro-mise.	Recogni-zance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon-ditionally.
1848	53	603	687	2,588	176	172	45	4,624	5	125	340	131	1,015
1849	90	588	691	3,142	181	151	90	4,933	2	127	416	305	1,772
Difference -	+ 37	- 15	+ 4	+ 254	+ 5	- 21	+ 45	+ 309	- 3	+ 2	+ 76	+ 174	+ 117

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total Disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	616	254	140	4	1,014	28
1849	391	149	137	3	680	8
Difference -	- 225	- 105	- 3	- 1	- 334	- 20

DELHIE DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Sazmat to Adawlut and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	
Paneeput	4	46	—	50	20	15	14	28.57	1	—	—	
Hurrianah	23	91	—	114	55	1	47	45.63	5	—	6	
Delhie	—	43	12	55	24	13	16	30.18	1	—	1	
Rohtuck	7	122	17	146	72	20	22	19.29	23	—	9	
Goorgaon	9	64	—	73	45	10	15	31.42	—	1	2	
Total	{ 1849 - 1848 -	43 13	366 316	29 46	438 375	216 221	59 39	114 54	29.3 17.19	30 17	1 1	18 43
Difference	-	+30	+50	-17	+63	-5	+20	+60	—	+13	—	-25

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6. of Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4½ Years.	4 Years.	3½ Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
1848	221	—	1	6	1	1	—	4	8	—	23	8	45	1	21	1	59	20	5	8	9	—
1849	216	—	—	4	—	—	—	4	—	2	8	15	63	—	17	4	45	8	8	17	20	1
Difference	-5	—	-1	-2	-1	-1	—	—	+2	-15	+7	+18	-1	-4	+3	-14	-12	+3	+9	+11	+1	

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases			Average of Reversals to Persons tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Paneeput	Magistrate	12	7	5	5	2	3	34.61
	Joint Magistrate	11	19	1	5	3	4	57.89
	Assistant with special Powers	2	1	1	2	—	1	20.
	Total	25	27	7	12	5	8	46.37
Hurrianah	Magistrate	12	12	6	5	—	—	41.37
	Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Assistant with special Powers	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	12	12	6	5	—	—	41.37
Delhie	Magistrate	52	21	11	17	13	8	33.
	Joint Magistrate	85	47	10	17	19	15	39.28
	Assistant with special Powers	14	2	4	2	—	1	11.11
	Total	151	70	25	36	32	24	35.29
Rohtuck	Magistrate	19	11	2	17	4	6	29.41
	Joint Magistrate	3	3	—	3	2	2	45.45
	Assistant with special Powers	9	4	1	8	1	4	22.72
	Total	31	18	3	28	7	12	29.76
Goorgaon	Magistrate	7	1	1	8	5	8	28.57
	Joint Magistrate	7	8	2	12	11	4	50.
	Assistant with special Powers	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
	Total	14	9	3	21	16	12	41.66
Grand Total	{ 1849	233	136	44	102	60	56	36.91
	{ 1848	168	121	42	80	100	55	47.12
	Difference	+65	+15	+2	+22	-40	+1	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Moebulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Paneeput.	—	—	—	—
Hurrianah.	—	—	—	—
Delhie.	—	—	—	—
Rohtuck.	—	—	—	—
Goorgaon.	—	—	—	—
Total	{ 1849	—	—	—
{ 1848	—	—	—	—
Difference.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH DEYRAH DOON.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Superintendent, Mr. A. Ross, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Assistant, Mr. D. Ogilvy, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	—	189	189	68	13	86	51.49	9	2	—	14	5
42	2	148	150	138	—	23	14.28	—	—	—		
43	—	4	4	—	1	1	50.	—	2	—		
Total	2	341	343	206	14	110	33.83	9	4	—	14	5
Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	74	12	47	35.33	—	1	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	132	2	63	31.97	—	3	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	2	341	343	206	14	110	33.33	9	4	—	14	5

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
35	17	66	68	14	2	4	206	1	7	5	48	49

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
7	—	2	—	11	—

ZILLAH SAHARUNPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. E. Thornton, from 1st January to 25th March 1849.
 Officiating Magistrate, Mr. A. Shakespear, from 26th March to 26th April 1849.
 Magistrate, Mr. J. A. Craigie, from 27th April to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. A. Shakespear, from 6th February to 25th March 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 27th April to 11th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 11th October to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. H. Reid, from 1st January to 18th April 1849.

Assistant, Mr. T. D. Forsyth, from 1st January to 25th March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. S. Forbes, from 26th April to 24th March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. J. S. Campbell, from 20th November to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. W. Johnson, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Muhumed Fud Useem, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Muhumed Omar Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	51	740	791	287	73	334	48.12	12	80	3	16	8
42	2	959	961	630	6	310	32.76	5	2	10		
43	—	27	27	8	11	7	26.92	—	1	—		
Total	53	1,726	1,779	925	90	651	39.07	17	83	13	16	8
Magistrate	—	—	—	196	40	97	29.12	—	16	11	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	277	37	175	35.78	—	48	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	20	—	21	51.21	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	432	13	358	44.58	—	19	2	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	53	1,726	1,779	925	90	651	39.07	17	83	13	16	8

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
32	191	118	465	66	35	18	925	—	51	32	83	485

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
224	61	8	21	393	1

ZILLAH MOOZUFFERNUGGER.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. H. W. Deane, from 1st January to 22d April 1849.
Magistrate, Mr. H. G. Astell, from 1st May to 31st December 1849.
Joint Magistrate, Mr. C. Wingfield, from 1st January to 16th February 1849.

Acting Joint Magistrate, Mr. A. Swinton, from 1st March to 31st December 1849.
Deputy Magistrate, Noorollah Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	5	409	414	113	55	209	55·31	7	4	27	18	9
42	2	579	581	277	—	273	49·63	12	—	19		
43	—	26	26	4	4	18	69·23	—	—	—		
Total	7	1,014	1,021	394	59	499	52·41	19	4	46	18	9
Magistrate	—	—	—	203	19	223	50·11	—	3	17	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	186	40	242	51·7	—	1	29	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	5	—	34	87·17	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	7	1,014	1,021	394	59	499	52·41	19	4	46	18	9

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Details of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
5	59	83	135	52	40	20	394	—	—	23	96	348

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
71	9	—	—	80	10

ZILLAH SAHARUNPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfr.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Deyrah Doon	—	14	—	14	—	1	—	—	—	6	7
Saharunpore	—	90	1	91	25	32	20	25·97	1	—	13
Mooruffernugger	17	59	—	76	23	10	19	36·53	—	—	24
Total	17	163	1	181	48	43	39	30·	1	6	44

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
43	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	12	—	16	—	10	—	5	4	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Deyrah Doon	Magistrate	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	6	3	—	—	1	—	40·
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	6	3	—	—	1	—	40·
Saharunpore	Magistrate	15	7	1	10	9	3	39·02
	Joint Magistrate	27	14	2	17	8	1	35·33
	Assistant, with special Powers	25	15	3	21	4	—	29·23
	Total	67	36	6	48	21	4	33·13
Mooruffernugger	Magistrate	12	4	—	8	3	—	25·92
	Joint Magistrate	9	6	2	8	5	—	39·28
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	21	10	2	16	8	—	32·72
	Grand Total	94	49	8	64	30	4	33·33

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Deyrah Doon.	—	—	1	—
Saharunpore.	—	—	1	—
Mooruffernugger.	—	—	—	—
Total.	—	—	2	—

ZILLAH MEERUT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. C. Gubbins, from 1st January to 15th November 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 28th December to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. H. S. Ravenshaw, from 16th November to 27th December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. Brewster, from 1st January to 31st May 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. H. S. Ravenshaw, from 4th November to 15th November 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 28th December to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. M'Chlery, from 26th May to 31st August 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st October to 3d November 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 29th November to 27th December 1849.
 Cant. Joint Magistrate, Capt. M. G. Parker, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. W. M'Chlery, from 1st January to 25th May 1849.

Assistant, Mr. W. M'Chlery from 4th November to 28th November 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 28th December to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. J. H. Walker, from 1st January to 30th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 29th November to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Moulvee Ahmud Ali Khan, from 1st January to 30th April 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st June to 31st December 1849.
 Principal Sudder Ameen, Nabab Mohumed Abdoolla Khan, from 1st January to 13th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 18th October to 31st December 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Konwur Awuz Ali Khan, from 18th June to 13th September.
 Ditto, ditto, from 18th October to 16th November 1849.
 Ditto, Moulvee Abdool Rhyman Khan, from 1st January to 19th April 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	28	592	620	232	108	245	41.88	17	9	9	13	10
42	45	1,199	1,244	789	2	420	34.68	12	6	15		
43	—	35	35	17	4	14	40*	—	—	—		
Total	73	1,826	1,899	1,038	114	679	37.08	29	15	24	13	10
Magistrate	—	—	—	178	99	221	51.63	—	1	4	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	486	80	201	26.2	—	4	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	365	5	244	39.73	—	10	20	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—									
Principal Sudder Ameen	—	—	—									
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	8	—	12	60*	—	—	—	—	—
	—	—	—	1	—	1	50*	—	—	—	—	—
Total	73	1,826	1,899	1,038	114	679	37.08	29	15	24	13	10

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
20	81	197	579	68	50	23	1,038	—	43	89	53	494

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
153	90	113	—	356	25

ZILLAH BOOLUNDSHEHUR.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. A. U. C. Flowden, from 1st January to 21st September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. S. Paterson, from 28th March to 30th April 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st July to 21st September 1849.

Officiating Magistrate, ditto, from 22d September to 30th November 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, ditto, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. G. Philips, from 15th June to 30th June 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. T. Tonnochy, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10. In Gaol.	11. On Bail.		
No. 1 to 41	21	453	474	201	71	171	88'6	22	8	1	11	4
42	4	926	930	806	14	99	10'77	9	2	—		
43	—	38	38	9	1	24	70'58	—	4	—		
Total	25	1,417	1,442	1,016	86	294	21'06	31	14	1	11	4
Magistrate	—	—	—	699	35	125	14'55	—	1	1	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	92	18	54	32'92	—	13	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	223	33	115	30'83	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen,	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	25	1,417	1,442	1,016	86	294	21'06	31	14	1	11	4

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.							Detail of Acquittals.					
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
31	89	176	410	248	47	15	1,016	—	—	—	39	255

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
66	31	64	6	167	—

ZILLAH MEERUT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Meerut	46	116	1	163	78	24	53	34·19	4	—	4
Boolundshehur	—	86	1	87	40	4	42	48·83	—	—	1
Total	46	202	2	250	118	28	95	39·41	4	—	5

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
118	—	—	—	—	—	4	1	—	13	—	25	2	41	26	1	2	2	1

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Meerut	Magistrate	10	10	1	7	8	2	51·42
	Joint Magistrate	32	35	1	13	1	—	44·44
	Assistant, with special Powers	23	6	2	59	16	—	21·35
	Total	64	51	4	79	25	2	34·7
Boolundshehur	Magistrate	18	3	—	1	—	—	13·63
	Joint Magistrate	5	3	—	4	3	—	40·
	Assistant, with special Powers	9	2	—	7	—	—	11·11
	Total	32	8	—	12	3	—	20·
Grand Total		96	59	4	91	28	2	51·75

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Meerut	14	—	—	10
Boolundshehur.	—	—	—	—
Total	14	—	—	10

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. E. F. Tyler, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. R. Hutchinson, from 13th Jan. to 4th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 3d November to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. J. S. Dumergue, from 15th January to 2d April 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. G. R. Philips, from 4th September to 3d November 1849.

Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. S. Paterson, from 1st January to 25th March 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. G. B. Philips, from 1st January to 13th June 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 4th July to 3d September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 4th November to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Kooroo Doorgapershad, from 1st January to 30th June 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 11th July to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	32	851	883	324	92	395	48.7	18	23	1	12	7
42	18	1,550	1,568	1,021	3	545	34.73	15	13	2		
43	—	207	207	98	11	156	76.09	—	1	—		
Total	50	2,608	2,658	1,383	106	1,096	44.1	33	37	3	12	7
Magistrate	—	—	—	409	36	280	38.62	—	9	2	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	762	70	685	45.15	—	22	1	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	142	—	69	32.7	—	6	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	70	—	62	46.96	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	50	2,608	2,658	1,383	106	1,096	44.1	33	37	3	12	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
32	71	302	717	128	91	42	1,383	1	106	187	185	617

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
102	15	46	—	163	11

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Allygurh	7	106	11	124	59	11	49	41.17	—	3	2

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
59	—	—	3	—	—	—	4	4	—	8	—	13	8	12	3	4	—	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Allygurh	Magistrate	25	14	3	15	7	2	34.42
	Joint Magistrate	42	16	4	32	17	5	30.84
	Assistant, with special Powers	2	1	1	3	—	—	16.66
	Total	69	31	8	50	24	7	31.6

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Allygurh	17	—	—	17

MEERUT DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Meerut Division	1848 -	54	8,225	8,279	4,783	373	2,786	35.07	127	83	127
	1849 -	210	8,932	9,142	4,962	469	3,329	36.	138	157	87
Difference -	+ 156	+ 707	+ 863	+ 179	+ 96	+ 543	—	+ 11	+ 74	- 40	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro-mise.	Recogni-sance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon-ditionally.
1848	148	573	915	2,290	502	239	116	4,783	1	134	351	272	2,028
1849	155	508	942	2,374	596	265	132	4,962	2	239	336	504	2,248
Difference -	+ 7	- 65	+ 27	+ 84	+ 94	+ 26	+ 6	+ 179	+ 1	+ 105	- 15	+ 232	+ 220

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	529	212	335	254	1,380	64
1849	623	208	312	27	1,170	47
Difference -	+ 94	- 4	- 23	- 227	- 160	- 17

MEERUT DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Meerut Division - { 1848	35	373	20	428	169	44	125	36.96	10	10	70
- { 1849	70	471	14	555	225	82	183	37.34	5	9	51
Difference -	+35	+98	-6	+127	+56	+38	+58	-	-5	-1	-19

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	Imprisonment for Life in Transportation.	16 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.	
1848	169	1	2	10	—	—	—	2	4	—	23	—	47	16	40	8	2	9	5	—
1849	225	—	—	3	—	—	—	9	5	—	33	—	54	10	63	29	1	11	6	1
Difference -	+56	-1	-2	-7	—	—	—	+7	+1	—	+10	—	+7	-6	+23	+21	-1	+2	+1	+1

No. 3.—Appeals.

	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
1848	241	179	23	121	33	4	36.93
1849	259	139	20	205	82	13	32.26
Difference -	+18	-40	-3	+84	+49	+9	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
1848	56	4	—	27
1849	31	—	—	27
Difference -	-25	-4	—	—

ZILLAH BIJNOUR.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. R. K. Dick, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. A. Loch, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant Magistrate, Mr. R. M. Edwards, from 15th September to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Rehmot Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Mhaish Chunder, from 1st January to 22d June 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Tujumull Hoossein Khan, from 1st August to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
No. 1 to 41	6	558	564	106	40	403	72.22	2	1	1		
42	10	649	659	433	—	234	35.08	2	—	1	10	4
43	—	16	16	5	—	11	68.75	—	—	—		
Total	16	1,223	1,239	544	40	648	52.59	4	1	2	10	4
Magistrate	—	—	—	142	16	180	50.29	—	—	1	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	325	24	407	53.83	—	1	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	37	—	19	33.92	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	28	—	30	51.72	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	12	—	12	50.	—	—	1	—	—
Total	16	1,223	1,239	544	40	648	52.59	4	1	2	10	4

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
33	20	233	180	29	44	5	544	—	78	37	244	289

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December
28	7	3	—	38	—

ZILLAH MOORADABAD.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. J. C. Wilson, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. P. MacWhirter, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. J. P. Money, from 1st January to 10th April 1849.

Assistant, Mr. J. K. Mackillop, from 14th September to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Villayet Hoossein Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mohib Ulee, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
No. 1 to 41	3	1,008	1,006	357	137	461	48.27	5	8	—	15	5
42	2	1,429	1,431	981	3	468	52.23	4	—	15		
43	—	59	59	93	1	23	40.35	—	—	—		
Total	5	2,491	2,496	1,371	141	952	38.63	9	8	15	15	5
Magistrate	—	—	—	379	74	268	37.17	—	—	10	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	586	61	359	35.68	—	8	4	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	101	6	87	44.84	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	305	—	338	43.83	—	—	1	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	5	2,491	2,496	1,371	141	952	38.63	9	8	15	15	5

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
5 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
35	146	718	239	173	44	16	1,371	—	58	138	236	500

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
11	—	1	—	12	—

ZILLAH MOORADABAD.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Bijnour	1	39	4	44	23	6	10	25'64	—	4	1
Mooradabad	6	141	—	147	105	21	15	10'63	3	—	3
Total	7	180	4	191	128	27	25	13'88	3	4	4

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
128	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	32	2	30	4	28	18	5	6	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Bijnour	Magistrate	8	—	—	4	3	—	20'
	Joint Magistrate	3	6	—	9	1	—	36'84
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	11	6	—	13	4	—	29'41
Mooradabad	Magistrate	28	2	1	9	4	—	13'95
	Joint Magistrate	24	8	1	13	5	1	26'
	Assistant, with special Powers	13	3	—	19	4	1	17'94
	Total	65	13	2	41	13	2	19'69
Grand Total		76	19	2	54	17	2	21'68

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Bijnour.	—	—	—	—
Mooradabad.	—	—	—	—
Total.	—	—	—	—

ZILLA BUDAON.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. E. H. Morland, from 1st January to 4th April 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. R. B. Morgan, from 5th April to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. M. H. Court, from 1st January to 29th August 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 4th November to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. J. K. McKillop, from 1st January to 13th March 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st April to 8th September 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. C. P. C. Smyth, from 18th September to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Mr. H. R. Wilson, from 1st January to 31st October 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Amanut Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Puttialce, Mr. J. W. Bramly, from 1st January to 2d September 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. M. H. Court, from 3d September to 3d November 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. J. W. Bramly, from 4th November to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Puttialce, Pundit Hurree Shunker, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	17	820	837	365	76	374	45'88	9	9	4	6	4
42	24	3,945	3,969	2,535	4	1,412	35'73	3	3	12		
43	—	82	82	53	5	24	29'26	—	—	—		
Total	41	4,847	4,888	2,953	85	1,810	37'33	12	12	16	6	4
Magistrate	—	—	—	338	21	124	25'67	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	924	36	605	38'65	—	7	7	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	232	—	144	38'29	—	—	2	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	1,459	28	937	38'65	—	5	7	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	41	4,847	4,888	2,953	85	1,810	37'33	12	12	16	6	4

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
36	295	503	1,562	132	61	42	2,953	—	308	159	81	1,282

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
128	10	98	—	236	4

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. F. Williams, from 1st January to 17th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 17th October to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Magistrate, Mr. E. Wylly, from 18th September to 16th October 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. E. Wylly, from 1st January to 31st July 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st September to 17th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 15th December to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. F. O. Mayne, from 3d November to 14th December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. R. Drummond, from 1st January to 20th April 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. R. Lindsay, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Assistant, Mr. A. Johnston, from 23d May to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. R. S. G. M'Catchan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. T. Brown, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. R. Orr, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Moulvee Lootf Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Principal Sudder Ameen, Mohumed Hussun Khan, from 8th January to 31st December 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Newab Khan Bahadoor, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Newab Mashook Ali Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	13	1,757	1,770	587	211	932	53' 87	13	12	15	16	11
42	34	2,970	3,004	1,410	12	1,478	50' 96	40	10	54		
43	—	110	116	47	3	65	56' 52	—	1	—		
Total	47	4,843	4,890	2,044	226	2,475	52' 16	53	23	69	16	11
Magistrate	—	—	—	212	57	387	58' 99	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	703	111	957	54' 08	—	7	50	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	668	58	746	50' 67	—	16	14	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	238	—	248	46' 26	—	—	1	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	4	—	8	66' 66	—	—	4	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	169	—	129	43' 28	—	—	—	—	—
Total	47	4,843	4,890	2,044	226	2,475	52' 16	53	23	69	16	11

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thanah.	Unconditionally.
41	305	284	1,017	79	151	167	2,044	—	207	77	479	1,712

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
24	3	33	—	60	—

ZILLAH SHAHJEHANPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. F. P. Buller, from 1st March to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Magistrate, Mr. J. R. Barnes, from 1st Jan. to 28th February 1849.
 Joint ditto, ditto, from 1st March to 13th August 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st November to 31st December 1849.

Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. F. O. Mayne, from 1st January to 28th February 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 14th August to 31st October 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st March to 13th August 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Fidda Ali, from 1st November to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Heeraloll, from 26th April to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Salamut Rae, from 1st Jan. to 31st Jan. 1849.

1.	2. Pending on 1st January.	3. Income.	4. Total.	5. Convicted.	6. Committed.	7. Acquitted.	8. Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	9. Died, escaped, and transferred.	10. Pending on 31st December.		12. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	13. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									10. In Gaol.	11. On Bail.		
Nos. 1 to 41	11	879	890	565	136	140	16.64	20	3	—	8	5
42	6	2,069	2,095	1,726	3	370	17.62	11	—	10		
43	—	87	87	65	4	19	21.59	—	—	—		
Total	17	3,055	3,072	2,356	143	529	17.47	31	3	10	8	5
Magistrate	—	—	—	288	66	80	18.43	—	—	1	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	1,469	77	351	17.63	—	3	2	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	448	—	82	15.47	—	—	7	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	151	—	36	19.25	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Amcen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Amcen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	17	3,055	3,072	2,356	143	529	17.47	31	3	10	8	5

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
62	127	663	1,158	127	119	101	2,356	—	33	94	64	338

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
8	9	4	—	21	1

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January,	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlat, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Budaon - - - - -	3	71	—	74	41	5	26	36·11	—	—	2
Bareilly - - - - -	30	227	1	258	96	39	80	37·2	9	1	33
Shahjehanpore - - - - -	41	143	—	184	98	12	56	33·73	2	—	16
Total - - - - -	74	441	1	516	235	56	162	35·76	11	1	51

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6. of Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced,	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
235	2	—	—	—	—	—	18	8	3	38	10	53	32	44	8	19	—	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected.	
Budaon - - - - -	Magistrate - - - - -	15	2	4	4	1	2	13·63
	Joint Magistrate - - - - -	19	9	4	6	2	4	30·55
	Assistant, with special Powers - - - - -	11	4	2	3	1	—	26·31
	Total - - - - -	45	15	10	13	4	6	24·67
Bareilly - - - - -	Magistrate - - - - -	10	4	1	11	1	1	19·23
	Joint Magistrate - - - - -	16	9	3	22	5	5	26·92
	Assistant, with special Powers - - - - -	8	8	2	5	3	1	45·83
	Total - - - - -	34	21	6	38	9	7	29·41
Shahjehanpore - - - - -	Magistrate - - - - -	13	8	4	3	1	1	36·
	Joint Magistrate - - - - -	25	16	3	2	3	2	41·3
	Assistant, with special Powers - - - - -	10	4	1	—	1	—	33·33
	Total - - - - -	48	28	8	5	5	3	38·37
Grand Total - - - - -		127	64	24	56	18	16	30·94

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Budaon - - - - -	—	—	—	4
Bareilly - - - - -	10	—	—	4
Shahjehanpore - - - - -	2	—	—	4
Total - - - - -	12	—	—	12

ROHILKUND DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December		
									In Gaol.	On Bail	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Rohilkund Division	1848 -	140	15,911	16,051	9,306	591	5,893	87' 22	135	37	89
	1849 -	126	16,459	16,585	9,268	635	6,414	39' 3	109	47	112
Difference -	-14	+548	+534	-38	+44	+521	—	-26	+10	+23	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro-mise.	Recogni-zance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon-ditionally.
1848	202	738	2,340	4,758	517	417	334	9,306	—	720	652	1,138	3,883
1849	207	893	2,402	4,456	540	439	331	9,268	—	684	485	1,124	4,121
Difference -	+5	+155	+62	-302	+23	+22	-3	-38	—	-86	-167	-14	+738

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	223	85	154	1	463	9
1849	199	29	139	—	367	5
Difference -	-24	-56	-15	-1	-96	-4

ROHILKUND DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Tending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received, back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	
Rohilkund Division -	1848 -	58	547	31	636	311	59	175	32.11	9	1	81
	1849 -	81	621	5	707	363	83	187	29.54	14	5	55
Difference -		+23	+74	-26	+71	+52	+24	+12	-	+5	+4	-26

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	12 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4½ Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and dis-charged.
1848 -	311	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	32	3	69	-	34	54	11	-	58	23	-
1849 -	363	2	-	-	-	18	11	3	70	12	83	-	36	72	26	-	24	6	-
Difference -	+52	+2	-	-	-	-9	+11	+3	+38	+9	+14	-	+2	+18	+15	-	+34	-17	-

No. 3.—Appeals.

	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
1848 -	236	91	23	120	21	14	23.93
1849 -	203	83	26	110	35	18	27.37
Difference -	-33	-8	+3	-10	+14	+4	-

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
1848 -	16	-	-	44
1849 -	12	-	-	12
Difference -	-4	-	-	-32

ZILLAH MUTTRA.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. R. Alexander, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. C. G. Hillersdon, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. W. Sherer, from 4th April to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. R. Temple, from 1st January to 10th April 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. H. G. Keene, from 13th April to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. T. D. Reid, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Meer Mobiboodeen, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Syud Enaet Hosein, from 27th April to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Pundit Motce Loll, from 27th April to 31st December 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Ukber Ali Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Sudder Ameen, Muhomed Subbeoodeen, from 22d December to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	31	1,039	1,070	316	73	644	62.34	18	15	4	13	8
42	18	2,151	2,169	1,096	8	1,026	48.54	17	3	19		
43	—	55	55	15	8	27	54.	5	—	—		
Total	49	3,245	3,294	1,417	89	1,697	52.81	40	18	23	13	8
Magistrate	—	—	—	413	41	448	49.66	—	1	1	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	805	48	885	50.92	—	17	22	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	102	—	132	56.41	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	107	—	232	68.43	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	49	3,245	3,294	1,427	89	1,697	52.81	40	18	23	13	8

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
3	107	272	929	54	31	31	1,427	3	97	198	567	832

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
184	44	20	2	250	2

EAST INDIES.

RETURN

Pursuant to an Order of the House of Lords,
dated 20th April 1852,

FOR

COPY of a LETTER from the COURT OF DIRECTORS
EAST INDIA COMPANY to the GOVERNOR GENERAL
INDIA in COUNCIL, in the Revenue Department
13th August 1851, No. 9., as to the SETTLEMENT
REVENUE in the NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES OF
INDIA.

Ordered to be printed 29th June 1852.

ZILLAH AGRA.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. C. C. Jackson, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. C. B. Denison, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. H. B. Thornhill, from 15th September to 10th October 1849.
 Cantonment Joint Magistrate, Capt. C. B. Harris, from 1st January to 30th November 1849.
 Ditto, Colonel Curtis, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. J. W. Sherer, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. H. B. Thornhill, from 7th May to 14th September 1849.

Assistant, Mr. H. B. Thornhill, from 11th October to 1st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. P. B. Reid, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Koonwur Kalkapershad, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Inspector of Prisons, Mr. W. H. Woodcock, from 1st January to 3rd May 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st November to 31st December 1849.
 In charge of Gaol, Mr. H. B. Thornhill, from 4th May to 31st October 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	In Gaol.	On Bail.	12.	13.
Nos. 1 to 41	70	961	1,031	998	166	414	42.53	22	28	3	16	10
42	31	3,012	3,043	1,881	5	1,118	37.21	16	17	6		
43	4	106	110	34	4	70	64.81	—	2	—		
Total	105	4,079	4,184	2,313	175	1,602	39.16	38	47	9	16	10
Magistrate	—	—	—	*794	79	588	40.24	—	4	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	1,072	96	676	36.65	—	36	6	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	100	—	125	55.55	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	347	—	213	38.03	—	7	3	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	105	4,079	4,184	2,313	175	1,602	39.16	38	47	9	16	10

* 130 by Officers in charge of Gaol.

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognisance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
20	184	362	1,502	55	47	143	2,313	1	31	397	143	1,030

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
266	244	236	5	751	41

ZILLAH AGRA.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Muttra	16	89	—	105	52	13	30	31·57	—	1	9
Agra	8	*187	2	197	74	28	82	44·56	—	1	12
Total	24	276	2	302	126	41	112	40·14	—	2	21

* 1 from Allygurh, 16 from Thuggee Department.

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
126	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	45	—	38	20	16	4	—	—	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Muttra	Magistrate	5	5	1	3	1	1	42·85
	Joint Magistrate	11	5	—	11	2	—	24·13
	Assistant, with special Powers	—	—	1	—	2	—	100·
	Total	16	10	2	14	5	1	53·38
Agra	Magistrate	14	8	1	17	11	10	38·
	Joint Magistrate	22	12	4	10	2	—	30·43
	Assistant, with special Powers	5	1	4	8	1	—	19·33
	Total	41	21	9	35	14	10	31·58
Grand Total		57	31	11	49	19	11	32·05

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Muttra.	—	—	—	—
Agra.	—	—	—	—
Total.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. H. G. Astell, from 1st January to 13th April 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. W. C. S. Cunningham, from 14th April to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. R. Thornton, from 1st January to 24th January 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. G. D. Raikes, from 25th January to 21st December 1849.
 Officiating Indt. Joint Magistrate, Patialce, Mr. W. J. Bramly, from 1st January to 2d September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 3d November to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. M. H. Court, from 3d September to 2d November 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Grand Trunk Road, Mr. H. Brereton, from 1st January to 28th February 1849.

Assistant, Mr. H. B. Thornhill, from 1st January to 25th April 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. W. H. D'Gruyther, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Syfoollah Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Patialce, Hurree Shunker, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate and Tehseeldar, Kunouj, Syud Mahomed, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Chibramow, Kullunder Buksh, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Kaemgunge, Mahomed Mobeen, from 16th April to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Sirpoorah, Fyzooddeen, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.		Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	Income.								In Gaol.	On Bail.		
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Nos. 1 to 41	23	889	912	332	152	396	45	8	16	3	11	9
42	35	1,961	1,996	1,200	11	771	38.9	2	4	12		
43	1	30	31	7	6	19	59.37	—	—	—		
Total	59	2,880	2,939	1,539	169	1,186	40.98	10	20	15	11	9
Magistrate	—	—	—	261	41	336	52.66	—	7	3	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	561	114	581	46.25	—	11	3	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	18	—	15	45.45	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	699	14	254	26.26	—	2	9	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	59	2,880	2,939	1,539	169	1,186	40.98	10	20	15	11	9

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thanuah.	Unconditionally.
18	78	524	739	98	61	21	1,539	—	56	284	114	732

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
334	73	9	—	416	7

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Furruckabad	20	184	—	204	135	32	36	17'73	—	—	1

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
135	—	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	52	—	17	9	30	15	5	3	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Furruckabad	Magistrate	20	10	—	22	20	7	41'66
	Joint Magistrate	69	36	2	38	36	20	40'22
	Assistant, with special Powers	34	3	1	13	3	3	11'32
	Total	123	49	3	73	59	30	35'52

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Furruckabad	—	—	1	6

ZILLAH MYNPOORIE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. H. Unwin, from 1st January to 3d January 1849.
 Officiating Magistrate, Mr. C. Raikes, from 4th January to 9th February 1849.
 Magistrate, ditto, from 10th February to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. H. Brereton, from 1st January to 26th March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. R. Spankie, from 27th March to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Putialee, Mr. W. J. Bramley, from 1st January to 2d September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 3d November to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. M. H. Court, from 3d September to 2d November 1849.

Principal Sudder Ameen, Molumed Husun Khan, from 1st January to 9th April 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 14th May to 14th September 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mohumed Junaalooddeen Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Putialee, Pundit Hurree Shunker, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Moonshee Fyazooddeen, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mynpoorie, Mohumed Rubemooddeen, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Moonshee Heth Lall, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mohumed Ahmud Husun, from 1st May to 30th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 21st October to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	21	506	527	179	114	224	53.71	2	8	—	—	—
42	6	1,456	1,462	1,080	—	971	25.56	2	1	8	11	7
43	—	18	18	11	1	6	93.93	—	—	—	—	—
Total	27	1,980	2,007	1,270	115	601	30.26	4	9	8	11	7
Magistrate	—	—	—	249	35	76	21.11	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	435	80	344	40.04	—	7	3	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	586	—	181	23.59	—	2	5	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	27	1,980	2,007	1,270	115	601	30.26	4	9	8	11	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
9 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
34	58	308	700	95	114	21	1,270	—	43	53	95	470

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
138	21	79	—	238	4

ZILLAH ETAWAH.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. J. A. Craigie, from 1st January to 13th April 1849.
Ditto, Mr. E. H. Monckton, from 14th April to 31st December 1849.
Joint Magistrate, Mr. E. J. Boldero, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Assistant, Mr. W. A. Forbes, from 1st January to 8th December 1849.
Deputy Magistrate, Mirza Kulb Hoosein Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
6 Tehseeldars, with Powers of Deputy Magistrate, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	29	397	426	128	130	163	38.71	4	—	—	27	10
42	38	1,928	1,966	933	—	1,014	52.08	7	4	11		
43	—	14	14	6	—	6	50.	—	—	—		
Total	67	2,339	2,406	1,067	130	1,183	49.7	11	4	11	27	10
Magistrate	—	—	—	345	43	639	62.22	—	—	3	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	318	87	343	45.85	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	125	—	40	24.24	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	279	—	161	36.59	—	4	8	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	67	2,339	2,406	1,067	130	1,183	49.7	11	4	11	27	10

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
18	41	115	417	229	247	—	1,067	—	71	615	26	471

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
139	1	1	—	141	6

ZILLAH MYNPOORIE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlat, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Refused.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Mynpoorie	7	115	2	124	68	5	41	35·96	2	—	8
Etawah	15	130	—	145	60	9	57	45·23	—	1	18
Total	22	245	2	269	128	14	98	40·83	2	1	26

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
128	1	—	4	—	—	—	12	2	2	10	6	20	23	17	18	8	5	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Mynpoorie	Magistrate	28	11	1	6	5	1	32·
	Joint Magistrate	64	24	4	12	5	—	27·61
	Assistant, with special Powers	5	1	1	1	—	1	14·28
	Total	97	36	6	19	10	2	28·39
Etawah	Magistrate	11	11	—	—	2	—	54·16
	Joint Magistrate	7	5	1	5	2	1	36·84
	Assistant, with special Powers	2	6	1	4	5	1	64·7
	Total	20	22	2	9	9	2	51·6
Grand Total		117	59	8	28	19	4	34·68

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Mynpoorie.	—	—	—	—
Etawah	—	—	—	2
Total	—	—	—	2

AGRA DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Agra Division -	1848 -	189	12,679	12,868	6,834	534	5,134	41·06	59	167	140
	1849 -	307	14,523	14,830	7,616	678	6,269	43·04	103	98	66
Difference -	+118	+1,844	+1,962	+782	+144	+1,135	—	+44	-69	-74	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro- mise.	Recogni- zance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon- ditionally.
1848	158	450	1,616	3,584	410	342	274	6,834	1	211	904	1,085	2,935
1849	93	468	1,581	4,287	471	500	216	7,616	4	298	1,547	885	3,555
Difference	-65	+18	-35	+703	+61	+158	-58	+782	+3	+87	+643	-200	+602

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	745	973	851	23	1,392	65
1849	1,061	383	345	7	1,796	60
Difference	+316	+110	-6	-16	+404	-5

AGRA DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut to Adawlat, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to N. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Agra Division — 1848	92	636	16	744	323	102	226	34.71	94	3	66
— 1849	66	705	4	775	389	87	246	34.07	2	3	48
Difference	-26	+69	-12	+31	+66	-15	+20	—	-22	—	-18

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	14 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2½ Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Struck off.	Fined and discharged.
1848	323	10	2	—	—	5	2	—	116	3	82	6	59	5	9	—	13	11	—	—
1849	389	1	8	—	—	15	2	2	107	6	75	52	63	—	37	—	13	8	—	—
Difference	+66	-9	+6	—	—	+10	—	+2	-9	+3	-7	+46	+4	-5	+28	—	—	-3	—	—

No. 3.—Appeals.

	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried
	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
1848	265	165	39	164	44	32	32.75
1849	307	138	22	150	97	45	34.45
Difference	+32	-27	-17	-14	+53	+13	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mchalka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
1848	—	—	—	3
1849	—	—	1	8
Difference	—	—	+1	+3

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. H. P. Fane, from 1st January to 11th April 1849.
Magistrate, Mr. E. H. Morland, from 12th April to 16th December 1849.
Officiating Magistrate, Mr. G. P. Money, from 17th December to 31st December 1849.
Joint Magistrate, ditto, from 14th April to 6th May 1849.
Ditto, ditto, from 6th November to 16th December 1849.
Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. G. Probyn, from 7th May to 5th November 1849.
Ditto, ditto, from 29th December to 31st December 1849.
Assistant ditto, from 1st January to 18th February 1849.
Ditto, ditto, from 21st March to 6th May 1849.
Ditto, ditto, from 6th November to 28th December 1849.
Cantonment Joint Magistrate, Captain Watson, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
Ditto, Captain Riddell, from 1st April to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Syud Nasir Ali Khan, from 1st January to 13th September 1849.
Ditto, ditto, from 29th November to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Uhmud Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Sufder Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Joalapershad, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Hazeer Mohumed, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Deputy Magistrate, Muqsood Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Kaem Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Ufzul Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									In Gno.	On Bail.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Nos. 1 to 41	13	1,060	1,073	298	95	605	60.62	11	10	13	9	7
42	22	2,792	2,814	1,641	—	1,190	42.08	5	8	14		
43	—	45	45	18	1	25	56.81	—	1	—		
Total	35	3,897	3,932	1,957	96	1,820	46.99	16	19	24	9	7
Magistrate	—	—	—	359	17	603	61.59	—	2	4	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	580	57	440	40.85	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	89	2	74	44.84	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	929	20	703	42.55	—	17	20	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen, Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	35	3,897	3,932	1,957	96	1,820	46.99	16	19	24	9	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

3 Years.	Detail of Convictions.							Detail of Acquittals.				
	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
16	164	314	1,316	93	68	46	1,957	2	301	203	516	798

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
168	47	19	—	234	6

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Cawnpore	—	96	—	96	41	22	31	32.97	—	1	1

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years and 11 Months.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
41	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	5	1	4	10	11	1	4	3	1	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Cawnpore	Magistrate	19	26	13	12	16	14	57.53
	Joint Magistrate	12	15	26	4	5	2	55.55
	Assistant, with special Powers	18	13	11	32	30	5	46.23
	Total	49	54	50	48	51	21	51.98

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochuika.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Cawnpore	—	—	1	—

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. C. Chester, from 1st Jan. to 6th May 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 23d October to 13th December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. G. P. Money, from 7th May to 22d October 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. C. W. Fagan, from 14th December to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. Power, from 8th February to 30th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 19th November to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Mohumed Hickmutoolah Khan, from 1st January to 23d September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 23d October to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mohumed Dulleelloolah Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mohumed Moojtubba Khan, from 11th March to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Moortuzza Buksh, from 1st January to 8th April 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 22d July to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	1	774	775	167	173	303	46.97	2	10	—	9	7
42	6	867	873	508	—	355	41.19	2	12	7		
43	—	264	264	62	1	208	74.75	—	—	—		
Total	7	1,605	1,812	737	176	866	48.67	4	22	7	9	7
Magistrate	—	—	—	976	131	393	43.66	—	—	1	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	117	18	328	70.84	—	22	4	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	244	27	145	34.85	—	—	2	—	—
Principal Suddar Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Suddar Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	7	1,805	1,812	737	176	866	48.67	4	22	7	9	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions,								Detail of Acquittals,				
9 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditio
11	68	232	217	112	66	11	737	5	152	188	155	366

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
53	4	3	3	43	11

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Futtehpore	—	176	—	176	77	33	49	30·81	—	—	17

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
77	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20	—	25	3	15	2	4	2	6	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Futtehpore	Magistrate	24	12	3	3	4	—	37·2
	Joint Magistrate	10	7	1	2	1	1	40
	Assistant, with special Powers	6	3	1	6	4	—	36·84
	Total	40	22	5	11	9	1	37·8

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Futtehpore.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH HUMEERPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. J. J. W. Taunton, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Joint Magistrate, Mr. F. B. Pearson, from 1st January to 28th March 1849.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. H. S. Reid, from 30th April to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Sheikh Wahidool Zuman, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	2. Pending on 1st January.	3. Income.	4. Total.	5. Convicted.	6. Committed.	7. Acquitted.	8. Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	9. Died, escaped, and transferred.	10. Pending on 31st December.		12. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	13. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									10. In Gaol.	11. On Bail.		
Nos. 1 to 41	4	327	331	108	79	142	48.16	2	—	—	16	5
42	1	413	414	282	1	126	90.8	2	—	3		
43	—	9	9	4	—	5	55.55	—	—	—		
Total	5	749	754	394	80	273	36.54	4	—	3	16	5
Magistrate	—	—	—	122	34	148	48.68	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	151	46	101	33.89	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	121	—	24	16.55	—	—	3	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	5	749	754	394	80	273	36.54	4	—	3	16	5

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally
15	26	124	197	13	7	12	394	—	12	45	114	102

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
120	31	15	—	166	10

ZILLAH BANDA.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. M. P. Edgeworth, from 1st January to 11th March 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. H. D. Sandeman, from 12th March to 9th April 1849.
 Magistrate, Mr. M. P. Edgeworth, from 10th April to 12th April 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. C. G. Hillersdon, from 13th April to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. H. D. Sandeman, from 1st January to 11th March 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 10th April to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. M. Ricketts, from 1st January to 30th November 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Sirdar Khan, from 1st January to 13th September 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 18th October to 1st November 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 2d December to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Oman Ali, from 1st January to 16th November 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st December to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Khadeem Hoosein, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Nazir Nubbee Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Goolam Russool, from 1st January to 31st Dec. 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	25	837	862	342	211	277	33.37	16	21	11	12	7
42	55	1,758	1,813	870	—	905	50.98	1	1	31		
43	—	36	36	4	—	20	83.33	—	1	—		
Total	80	2,631	2,711	1,216	211	1,202	45.72	17	23	42	12	7
Magistrate	—	—	—	159	79	301	55.84	—	1	17	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	351	132	927	40.37	—	20	14	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	87	—	118	57.56	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	619	—	456	42.41	—	2	11	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	80	2,631	2,711	1,216	211	1,202	45.72	17	23	42	12	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
2	210	199	635	83	75	12	1,216	1	117	64	293	722

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
143	21	36	2	207	—

ZILLAH BUNDELKUND.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Humeerpore - - -	2	80	—	82	36	7	23	34·84	2	1	13
Banda - - -	10	211	—	221	114	5	79	39·89	22	—	1
Total - - -	12	291	—	303	150	12	102	38·63	24	1	14.

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year	Fined and discharged.	
150	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	5	48	15	40	12	14	4	6	3	1	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Humeerpore	Magistrate - - -	4	1	—	1	—	—	16·66
	Joint Magistrate - -	6	—	—	9	1	5	6·25
	Assistant, with special Powers -	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total - - -	12	1	—	10	1	5	8·93
Banda	Magistrate - - -	12	2	3	1	—	—	13·33
	Joint Magistrate - -	24	8	6	13	5	1	26·
	Assistant, with special Powers -	14	2	5	13	2	2	12·9
	Total - - -	50	12	14	27	7	3	19·79
Grand Total -		62	13	14	37	8	8	17·5

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Humeerpore.	—	—	—	—
Banda.	—	—	—	—
Total.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. R. Money, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. E. H. C. Monckton, from 1st January to 7th April 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. R. Temple, from 12th April to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. F. M. Lind, from 27th November to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Grand Trunk Road, Mr. H. B. Henderson, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. J. Power, from 1st January to 5th January 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. E. A. Prinsep, from 1st January to 30th April 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. J. S. Campbell, from 30th May to 19th August 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. G. S. Benson, from 21st August to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Mr. F. Slinger, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Muksood Ulee Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Bunsopal, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Jussodanund, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Gopal Loll, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Sheikh Abdoollah, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Sheikh Sujjad Ulee, from 22d August to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mirza Abed Ulee, from 22d August to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Goal.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
No. 1 to 41	49	1,110	1,159	994	231	484	43.64	21	21	8	6	6
42	22	2,293	2,315	998	8	1,282	56.15	1	—	31		
43	—	73	73	32	10	29	40.84	—	1	1		
Total	71	3,476.	3,547	1,424	244	1,795	51.83	22	22	40	6	6
Magistrate	—	—	—	495	120	730	54.27	—	2	1	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	714	124	835	49.91	—	18	23	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	46	—	89	65.92	—	1	5	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	169	—	141	45.48	—	1	11	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	71	3,476	3,547	1,424	244	1,795	51.83	22	22	40	6	6

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognisance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally
35	90	323	616	50	187	123	1,424	12	128	681	211	763

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
101	28	21	—	150	13

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Allahabad	20	244	2	266	95	24	73	38.02	22	3	49.

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Stripes.
95	—	1	3	—	—	—	4	—	—	21	6	10	1	35	—	7	3	4

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Allahabad	Magistrate	24	20	10	13	14	1	47.68
	Joint Magistrate	19	9	4	10	10	2	39.58
	Assistant, with special Powers	2	2	5	1	5	—	70.
	Total	45	31	19	24	29	3	46.51

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Allahabad.	—	—	—	—

ALLAHABAD DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Die escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Allahabad Division	1848 -	125	11,101	11,226	5,170	528	5,252	47.95	80	90	118
	1849 -	198	12,558	12,756	5,728	807	5,956	47.68	63	86	116
Difference -	+73	+1,457	+1,530	+558	+279	+704	—	-17	+6	-2	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro-mise.	Recogni-zance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon-ditionally
1848 -	85	536	1,116	2,588	198	413	239	5,170	27	549	1,498	1,051	2,125
1849 -	79	558	1,212	2,981	291	403	204	5,728	20	710	1,181	1,294	2,751
Difference -	-6	+22	+96	+393	+98	-10	-35	+558	-7	+161	-317	+243	+626

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848 -	766	177	45	2	990	63
1849 -	570	131	94	5	800	40
Difference -	-196	-46	+49	+3	-190	-23

ALLAHABAD DIVISION

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut to Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	
Allahabad Division	1848 -	11	528	4	543	225	106	122	26.93	57	1	32
	1849 -	32	807	2	841	363	91	255	35.96	46	5	81
Difference	-	+21	+279	-2	+293	+138	-15	+133	-	-11	+4	+49

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5½ Years.	5 Years.	4½ Years.	4 Years.	3½ Years.	3 Years.	2 Years and 11 Months.	2½ Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Retirees.
1848 -	225	-	26	-	3	-	5	-	5	19	11	1	60	1	11	1	40	-	1	7	5	11	18	-
1849 -	363	1	3	-	-	-	5	2	5	94	22	-	79	-	26	-	73	1	4	12	4	15	11	-
Difference	+138	+1	-23	-	-3	-	-	+2	-	+75	+11	-1	+19	-1	+15	-1	+85	+1	+3	+5	-1	+4	-7	+

No. 3.—Appeals.

	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
1848 -	131	79	80	157	91	34	24.67
1849 -	196	120	88	120	97	33	28.7
Difference -	+65	+41	+8	-37	+6	-1	-

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
1848 -	-	5	-	1
1849 -	-	-	1	-
Difference -	-	-5	+1	-1

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. H. C. Tucker, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. R. Marriott, from 1st January to 15th January 1849.
 Joint ditto, Mr. F. M. Lind, from 9th August to 20th October 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. R. Marriott, from 16th January to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. R. Simsou, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. S. N. Martyn, from 15th June to 31st Dec. 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Rai Manick Chund, from 1st May to 15th August 1849.
 Ditto, Mirza Aka Nuwab, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. P. Carnegy, from 10th February to 31st December 1849.
 Moonsiff, 1st Circle, Mehndee Hoossein, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.

Moonsiff, 2d Circle, Wuzeer Ali, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, 3d ditto, Mehndee Ali Khan, from 1st January to 15th June 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 1st August to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mohumed Ali Khan, from 16th June to 31st July 1849.
 Moonsiff, 4th Circle, Russool Buksh Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, in charge of 5th Circle, ditto, from 1st January to 11th February 1849.
 Ditto, 5th Circle, Reazut Hoossein, from 12th February to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, 6th ditto, ditto, from 1st January to 7th Feb. 1849.
 Ditto, Zyfoollah, from 8th February to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, 7th Circle, Mohumed Hoossein, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									In Gaol.	On Bail.		
Nos. 1 to 41	13	1,811	1,824	786	267	735	41.1	3	27	6	12	6
42	6	2,184	2,190	1,446	—	738	33.79	—	5	1		
43	—	44	44	40	1	2	4.65	—	1	—		
Total	19	4,039	4,058	2,272	268	1,475	36.73	3	33	7	12	6
Magistrate	—	—	—	866	205	347	24.47	—	3	5	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	542	63	508	45.64	—	12	2	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	186	—	160	46.24	—	5	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	430	—	382	47.04	—	13	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Moonsiffs	—	—	—	248	—	78	23.92	—	—	—	—	—
Total	19	4,039	4,058	2,272	268	1,475	36.73	3	33	7	12	6

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
82	290	584	1,047	156	104	9	2,272	—	86	41	622	726

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
84	12	11	—	107	5

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Goruckpore	1	268	—	269	169	30	53	21·03	—	1	16

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	12 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2½ Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.
169	13	6	5	11	8	10	23	17	20	24	6	5	7	5	2	3	4	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Goruckpore	Magistrate	44	16	9	16	11	1	31·03
	Joint Magistrate	11	5	6	10	9	3	40·
	Assistant, with special Powers	14	6	3	29	7	6	23·21
	Total	69	27	18	55	27	10	30·33

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Goruckpore.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH AZIMGURH

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. J. Muir, from 1st January to 28th February 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Mr. S. J. Beecher, from 1st March to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. J. Wedderburn, from 1st January to 28th March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. H. Davidson, from 29th March to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant General Superintendent, Mr. H. Ward, from 1st January to 31st January 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Rai Manickbund, from 1st Jan. to 25th April 1849.
 Principal Sudder Ameen, Moulvee Mohamed Lutef, from 1st January to 31st January 1849.
 Ditto, Tussuddook Hoossein, from 1st February to 30th April 1849.
 Ditto, Mohamed Suleem, from 1st February to 30th April 1849.
 Sudder Ameen, Jowalapershad Doobey, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41.	71	1,076	1,147	552	168	379	34.48	7	14	4	22	25
42.	41	1,634	1,675	1,028	3	658	38.95	3	2	27		
43.	2	66	68	23	1	21	46.66	—	—	—		
Total	114	2,776	2,890	1,603	172	1,058	37.34	10	16	31	22	25
Magistrate	—	—	—	735	75	344	29.8	—	11	16	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	734	96	565	40.5	—	5	13	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	—	1	8	88.88	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	16	—	37	69.81	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	—	—	17	100.	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	118	—	87	42.43	—	—	2	—	—
Total	114	2,776	2,890	1,603	172	1,058	37.34	10	16	31	22	25

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5, and 7, of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
35	133	488	697	68	101	81	1,603	—	141	90	189	638

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV, of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
180	22	28	15	245	22

ZILLAH AZIMGURH.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Azingurh	45	172	1	218	137	29	46	21·69	2	—	4

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
137	4	1	—	—	—	4	—	—	27	—	34	21	20	1	2	13	10	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Azimgurh	Magistrate	96	4	—	13	4	—	14·03
	Joint Magistrate	59	10	1	26	9	—	18·26
	Assistant, with special Powers	12	1	—	7	7	—	29·62
	Total	107	15	1	46	20	—	18·61

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Azingurh.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. M. C. Ommaney, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Officiating Joint Magistrate, Mr. D. Simson, from 1st January to 26th March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. J. R. Best, from 28th March to 31st December 1849.

Assistant, Mr. J. V. Agnew, from 3d December to 21st December 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. T. Thriepland, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10. Pending on 31st December		12. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	13. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									In Gaol.	On Bail.		
Nos. 1 to 41	15	1,316	1,331	498	166	622	48·98	13	23	5	11	11
42	11	1,414	1,425	850	4	532	38·38	9	3	31		
43	—	22	22	16	2	4	18·18	—	—	—		
Total	26	2,752	2,778	1,364	172	1,158	42·98	22	26	36	11	11
Magistrate	—	—	—	396	72	400	46·08	—	16	11	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	804	100	625	40·22	—	4	19	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	164	—	133	44·78	—	6	6	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	26	2,752	2,778	1,364	172	1,159	42·98	22	26	36	11	11

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
45	315	167	689	34	76	38	1,364	—	107	81	308	662

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
104	22	22	—	148	7

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Jounpore	34	172	17	223	152	19	41	19.33	2	9	—

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
152	—	—	4	—	4	—	23	15	—	25	12	49	7	13	—	—	—	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Jounpore	Magistrate	19	7	3	10	3	1	25.64
	Joint Magistrate	19	18	4	9	11	3	50.87
	Assistant, with special Powers	7	—	1	11	2	—	10.
	Total	45	25	8	30	16	4	35.68

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Jounpore.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. S. J. Becher, from 1st Jan. to 16th February 1849.
Magistrate, Mr. W. E. Money, from 17th February to 8th April 1849.
Officiating Magistrate, Mr. R. T. Tucker, from 9th April to 31st December 1849.
Joint Magistrate, Mr. W. Roberts, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Additional Joint Magistrate, Mr. B. Sapte, from 1st January to 27th March 1849.

Joint Magistrate, Grand Trunk Road, Mr. R. Best, from 1st January to 27th March 1849.
Assistant, Mr. J. Bax, from 4th April to 8th August 1849.
Deputy Magistrate, Mr J. Walker, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Ditto, Roy Manick Chund, from 28th August to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Goal.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	9	464	473	193	95	170	37.11	8	7	—	7	5
42	6	1,037	1,043	701	4	332	32.01	2	—	4		
43	—	25	25	16	2	7	28.	—	—	—		
Total	15	1,526	1,541	910	101	509	33.48	10	7	4	7	5
Magistrate	—	—	—	209	40	123	33.06	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	333	43	228	37.74	—	7	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	59	—	31	34.44	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	309	18	127	27.97	—	—	4	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	15	1,526	1,541	910	101	509	33.48	10	7	4	7	5

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
39	67	246	425	54	51	28	910	5	65	41	114	284

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
107	6	—	11	124	2

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Mirzapore	4	104	—	108	64	20	20	19.23	1	—	3

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.
64	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	10	—	21	5	12	4	5	4	1

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

—	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Mirzapore	Magistrate	8	2	4	13	9	—	84.37
	Joint Magistrate	19	8	4	9	3	2	28.2
	Assistant, with special Powers	12	3	2	9	7	—	82.25
	Total	39	13	10	31	19	2	81.37

No. 4.—Security Cases.

—	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Mirzapore.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH BENARES.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. D. F. McLeod, from 1st January to 2d April 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. A. Shank, from 3d April to 31st December 1849.
 Acting Joint Magistrate, Mr. G. F. McLeod, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. S. G. Tucker, from 1st April to 31st December 1849.
 Cantonment Joint Magistrate, Captain H. A. Dorin, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Joint Magistrate, Grand Trunk Road, Mr. J. R. Best, from 1st January to 28th February 1849.
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. G. Wyatt, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant, Mr. W. Johnson, from 1st March to 31st March 1849.
 Ditto, Mr. E. S. Ellis, from 17th April to 31st Dec. 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	200	1,590	1,790	484	290	869	52.89	48	39	60	9	9
42	13	1,942	1,955	1,250	6	600	32.32	11	1	87		
43	—	88	88	42	1	43	50.	1	1	—		
Total	213	3,620	3,833	1,776	297	1,512	42.17	60	41	147	9	9
Magistrate	—	—	—	928	108	518	54.29	—	8	35	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	761	168	655	41.35	—	21	85	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	303	—	20	6.19	—	11	5	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	984	21	319	44.06	—	1	22	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	213	3,620	3,833	1,776	297	1,512	42.17	60	41	147	9	9

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.							Detail of Acquittals.					
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
27	108	462	1,005	84	52	38	1,776	1	68	182	432	829

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
181	4	19	36	240	17

ZILLAH BENARES.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Benares	4	297	4	305	164	13	57	24.35	1	2	69

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced,	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.
164	7	—	5	—	2	—	3	8	6	30	5	38	4	*25	17	1	10

* In this Number is included One in default of Security.

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Benares	Magistrate	61	27	1	44	7	—	24.46
	Joint Magistrate	83	26	1	74	13	—	19.89
	Assistant, with special Powers	55	18	1	72	15	—	20.65
	Total	199	71	3	190	35	—	21.41

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Benares	1	—	—	3

ZILLAH GHAZEEPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. P. C. Trench, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Joint Magistrate, Mr. A. Ross, from 1st January to 31st December 1849,
 Deputy Magistrate, Mr. J. G. Bachman, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Syud Munsoor Ullee Khan, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Principal Sudder Ameen, Moulvee Mohumed Zuboor, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Deid, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	In Goal.	On Bail.	12.	13.
Nos. 1 to 41	7	645	652	267	69	275	45	9	7	—	9	7
42	14	946	960	717	—	243	25.31	15	2	5		
43	—	23	23	30	4	2	7.69	—	—	—		
Total	21	1,614	1,635	1,004	73	520	32.56	24	9	5	9	7
Magistrate	—	—	—	131	3	75	55.88	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	486	63	323	37.04	—	7	3	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	—	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	387	—	122	23.96	—	2	2	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	21	1,614	1,635	1,004	73	520	32.56	24	9	5	9	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7, of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.							Detail of Acquittals.					
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannab.	Unconditionally.
10	213	191	440	84	3	27	1,004	—	22	37	222	238

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
81	3	4	—	88	13

ZILLAH GHAZEEPORE.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Ghazee-pore	—	73	—	73	43	14	16	21·91	—	—	—

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Tined and discharged.
43	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	16	—	12	8	1	3	—	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Ghazee-pore	Magistrate	17	6	—	15	3	—	21·95
	Joint Magistrate	28	8	—	23	13	—	29·16
	Assistant, with special Powers	8	3	1	2	1	—	28·57
	Total	53	17	1	40	17	—	26·77

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Ghazee-pore.	—	—	—	—

BENARES DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Benares Division -	1848 -	485	14,743	15,228	8,271	776	5,638	38.39	135	164	244
	1849 -	408	16,327	16,735	8,929	1,083	6,232	38.36	129	132	230
Difference -	-77	+1,584	+1,507	+658	+307	+594	—	-6	-32	-14	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro-mise.	Recogni-zance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon-ditionally.
1848	223	910	1,865	4,248	486	367	172	8,271	5	662	348	1,142	3,481
1849	238	1,126	2,138	4,303	480	423	221	8,929	6	489	472	1,887	3,378
Difference -	+15	+216	+273	+55	-6	+56	+49	+658	+1	-173	+124	+745	-103

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	879	135	64	68	1,146	61
1849	737	69	84	62	952	65
Difference -	-142	-66	+20	-6	-194	+4

BENARES DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Benares Division { 1848	- 37	785	34	856	514	87	144	19.32	17	6	88
{ 1849	- 88	1,086	22	1,196	729	125	233	21.43	6	12	91
Difference	- +51	+301	-12	+340	+215	+38	+89	-	-11	+6	+3

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	10 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6½ Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4½ Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2½ Years.	2 Years.	1 Year and 11 Months.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.	1½ Year.	Discharged in consideration of Imprisonment already undergone.
1848	514	—	—	12	12	9	24	7	17	83	4	31	105	9	36	74	9	35	2	6	22	34	—	1
1849	729	24	6	15	17	—	43	33	29	125	—	37	178	—	51	76	7	30	—	10	22	24	2	—
Difference	+215	+24	+6	+3	+5	-3	+19	+26	+12	+42	-4	+6	+73	-3	+15	+2	+4	-5	-2	+4	—	-10	+2	-1

No. 3.—Appeals.

	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
1848	607	168	38	450	183	25	24.92
1849	512	168	41	392	134	16	25.04
Difference	-95	—	+3	-58	-49	-9	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Moshulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
1848	7	—	—	2
1849	1	—	—	3
Difference	-6	—	—	+1

ZILLAH SAUGOR.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Deputy Commissioner, 1st Class, Captain G. W. Hamilton, from 1st January to 18th April 1849.

Ditto, Captain E. K. Elliot, from 19th April to 31st December 1849.

Ditto, 3d Class, Joint Magistrate, Lieutenant H. Montgomery, from 3d May to 31st December 1849.

Sudder Ameen, Mohumed Ali Hoosein Khan, from 1st January to 5th May 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	In Gao.	On Bail.	12.	13.
Nos. 1 to 41	4	914	818	164	57	94	29.84	—	2	—	7	3
42	1	685	686	505	29	141	20.88	1	11	—		
43	—	8	8	3	2	3	37.5	—	—	—		
Total	5	1,007	1,012	672	88	238	23.84	1	13	—	7	3
Magistrate	—	—	—	117	48	70	29.78	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	372	40	119	22.41	—	13	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	183	—	49	21.12	—	—	—	—	—
Total	5	1,007	1,012	672	88	238	23.84	1	13	—	7	3

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
17	55	118	415	7	20	40	672	1	4	22	7	204

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
9	2	—	—	11	—

ZILLAH DUMOH.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Deputy Commissioner, 2d Class, Lieutenant R. R. Tulloh, from 1st January to 7th December 1849.
Ditto, 1st Class in charge of Dumoh Office, Captain E. K. Elliot, from 8th December to 31st December 1849.

1.	2. Pending on 1st January.	3. Income.	4. Total.	5. Convicted.	6. Committed.	7. Acquitted.	8. Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	9. Died, escaped, and transferred.	10. Pending on 31st December.		12. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	13. Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									10. In Gaol.	11. On Bail.		
Nos. 1 to 41	1	314	315	168	18	121	39.41	7	—	—	8	2
42	1	619	620	482	4	130	21.1	5	—	—		
43	—	16	16	6	2	8	50.	—	—	—		
Total	2	949	951	656	24	259	27.58	12	—	—	8	2
Magistrate	—	—	—	18	—	11	37.93	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	638	24	248	27.25	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	2	949	951	656	24	259	27.58	12	—	—	8	2

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
11	70	130	955	27	14	49	656	—	24	1	47	187

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
17	7	34	—	58	3

ZILLAH JUBBULPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Deputy Commissioner, 1st Class, Major J. MacAdam, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, 3d Class, Captain A. Skene, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Ditto, 2d Class, Captain H. Wakeman, from 15th June to 31st December 1849.
 Ditto, 3d Class, Mr. A. M. Phillips, from 15th March to 31st October 1849.
 Ditto, Lieutenant F. W. Pinkney, from 10th December to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Collector, Moulvee Kadir Buksh, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1849.
 Moonsiff, 1st Class, Raokishen Rao, from 1st January to 31st March 1849.
 Ditto, Gopal Rao, from 1st April to 31st December 1849.
 Superintendent of Bijeraghogur, Major J. MacAdam, from 1st August to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	14	686	700	403	96	186	27.15	4	10	—	8	5
42	1	847	848	565	1	272	32.45	1	—	11		
43	1	8	9	4	—	4	50.	—	—	—		
Total	*16	1,541	1,557	972	97	462	30.17	5	10	11	8	5
Magistrate	—	—	—	345	63	241	37.13	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	343	33	144	27.69	—	10	2	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	52	—	11	17.46	—	—	9	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	89	—	17	16.19	—	—	—	—	—
Superintendent Bijeraghogur	—	—	—	12	1	2	13.33	—	—	—	—	—
Moonsiffs	—	—	—	132	—	47	26.25	—	—	—	—	—
Total	16	1,541	1,557	972	97	462	30.17	5	10	11	8	5

* The Discrepancy between this Number and that pending at the End of 1848 (15) is accounted for by One Prisoner having been made over for Trial during the Year from the Bijeraghogur Estate.

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

3 Years.	Detail of Convictions.							Detail of Acquittals.				
	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognisance.	Bail taken at Thanmah.	Unconditionally.
36	165	175	479	20	15	82	972	—	27	79	49	307

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
33	1	10	—	44	—

ZILLAH SEONEE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Deputy Commissioner, 2d Class, Captain H. Wakeman, from 1st January to 2d April 1849.
Ditto, Captain A. Skene, from 3d April to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
									In Gaol.	On Bail.		
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	27	213	240	122	36	63	29.14	15	—	—	17	7
42	5	208	213	138	9	73	34.27	—	—	2		
43	—	16	16	4	3	8	33.33	1	—	—		
Total	32	437	469	264	41	146	32.37	16	—	2	17	7
Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	264	41	146	32.37	—	—	2	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Moonsiffs.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	32	437	469	264	41	146	32.37	16	—	2	17	7

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 3 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognition.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
20	45	53	111	1	3	27	264	—	7	24	—	115

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1	—	—	—	1	—

ZILLAH HOSHUNGABAD.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Deputy Commissioner, 1st Class, Captain J. K. Spence, from 1st January to 19th June 1849.
 Officiating Ditto, Lieutenant W. R. Elliot, from 20th June to 19th December 1849.
 Deputy Commissioner, 1st Class, Captain J. K. Spence, from 20th December to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Commissioner, 3d Class, Lieutenant W. R. Elliot, from 23d April to 19th June 1849.
 Ditto, ditto, from 28th December to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Dieci, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
								In Gsol.	On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41 -	5	347	352	197	71	73	21.4	6	5	—	9	5
42 -	1	233	234	174	—	57	24.67	—	1	2		
43 -	—	17	17	14	—	3	17.64	—	—	—		
Total -	6	597	603	385	71	133	22.58	6	6	2	9	5
Magistrate -	—	—	—	333	71	112	21.7	—	6	2	—	—
Joint Magistrate -	—	—	—	52	—	21	28.76	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total -	6	597	603	385	71	133	22.58	6	6	2	9	5

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
16	61	78	136	7	19	68	385	—	6	4	16	107

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
14	—	—	—	14	—

ZILLAH BAITOOL.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Deputy Commissioner, 2d Class, Mr. W. R. Best, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
Nos. 1 to 41	1	174	175	73	55	31	19.49	—	16	—	6	2
42	—	293	293	244	5	23	8.45	9	12	—		
43	—	2	2	1	—	1	50.	—	—	—		
Total	1	469	470	318	60	55	12.7	9	28	—	6	2
Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	318	60	55	12.7	—	28	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudler Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1	469	470	318	60	55	12.7	9	28	—	6	2

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Rail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
—	29	42	186	27	23	11	318	—	—	3	—	52

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
6	—	—	—	6	—

ZILLAH NUBSINGPORE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Deputy Commissioner, 1st Class, Captain E. K. Elliot, from 1st January to 15th April 1849.
 Officiating Ditto, Lieutenant A. H. Terman, from 16th April to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
No. 1 to 41	1	320	321	135	92	84	27*	10	—	—	7	3
42	3	216	219	193	—	26	11*87	—	—	—		
43	—	5	5	3	—	2	40*	—	—	—		
Total	4	541	545	331	92	112	20*93	10	—	—	7	3
Magistrate	—	—	—	331	92	112	20*93	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	4	541	545	331	92	112	20*93	10	—	—	7	3

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannab.	Unconditionally.
25	71	56	112	31	20	16	331	—	7	—	18	78

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
34	9	—	—	43	3

SAUGOR DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Saugor Division	1848 -	57	5,919	5,976	3,614	452	1,683	29.27	162	*35	30
	1849 -	*66	5,541	5,607	3,598	473	1,405	25.65	59	57	15
Difference -	+9	-373	-369	-16	+21	-278	—	-103	+22	-15	

*Fide Note on Jubbulpore Magistrate's Statement, No. 1.

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compro- mise.	Recogni- zance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Uncon- ditionally.
1848	280	456	554	1,882	88	113	236	3,614	—	107	124	188	1,264
1849	125	496	657	1,794	120	113	293	3,598	1	75	133	137	1,059
Difference -	-153	+40	+103	-88	+92	-5	+57	-16	+1	-32	+9	-51	-205

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	193	19	17	—	229	3
1849	114	19	44	—	177	6
Difference -	-79	—	+27	—	-52	+3

SAUGOR DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Number tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Saugor	2	89	—	90	73	—	7	8.75	2	—	8
Dumoh	3	24	—	27	23	—	1	4.18	—	—	8
Jubbulpore	25	101	—	126	94	11	12	10.25	—	—	9
Sonee	6	41	—	47	26	7	5	13.15	—	—	9
Hoshungabad	8	71	2	81	41	30	1	1.4	—	1	8
Baitool	22	60	—	82	46	22	4	5.38	—	1	6
Nursingpore	6	92	—	98	61	10	14	16.47	—	2	11
Total { 1849	77	477	2	556	367	80	44	8.96	2	4	59
{ 1848	50	457	—	507	298	40	83	19.71	5	4	77
Difference	+27	+20	+2	+49	+69	+40	-39	—	-3	—	-16

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1 Year.	Less than One Year.				
1849	367	—	—	5	2	1	6	5	4	26	14	67	14	41	12	67	10	27	13	7	15	32
1848	298	—	—	—	—	—	1	7	23	10	24	38	51	31	26	15	18	11	11	1	6	6
Difference	+69	—	—	—	—	-1	-1	-18	-6	+3	-24	+16	+1	+10	+1	+41	-5	+9	+2	+6	+9	+27

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Saugor	Magistrate.	—	—	2	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	5	2	1	—	—	—	28.56
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	5	2	3	—	—	—	28.56
Dumoh	Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	9	1	2	3	2	—	20.
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	9	1	2	3	2	—	20.
Jubbulpore	Magistrate	7	2	6	1	1	1	27.27
	Joint Magistrate	11	1	2	—	1	—	15.38
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	2	—	—	—	—
	Total	18	3	10	1	2	1	20.83
Sonee	Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	16	4	5	—	—	1	20.
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	16	4	5	—	—	1	20.
Hoshungabad	Magistrate	9	5	5	—	2	—	45.75
	Joint Magistrate	1	1	—	—	—	—	50.
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	10	6	5	—	2	—	44.44
Baitool	Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	6	2	2	—	—	1	25.
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	6	2	2	—	—	1	25.
Nursingpore	Magistrate	10	6	11	3	—	1	31.67
	Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	10	6	11	3	—	1	31.67
	Grand Total { 1849	74	24	34	7	6	4	27.02
	{ 1848	56	25	55	5	12	16	37.76
	Difference	+18	-1	17	+2	-6	-12	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Saugor.	—	—	—	—
Dumoh.	—	—	—	—
Jubbulpore.	—	—	—	—
Sonee.	—	—	—	—
Hoshungabad.	—	—	—	—
Baitool	—	—	—	—
Nursingpore	1	—	2	3
Total { 1849	1	—	2	3
{ 1848	—	—	4	2
Difference	+1	—	-2	—

ZILLAH OCHEYRA.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Superintendent, Mr. F. A. Glover, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
No. 1 to 41	—	45	45	30	8	6	13.63	1	—	—	7	3
42	—	76	76	50	4	20	27.02	1	1			
43	—	3	3	3	—	—	—	—	—			
Total	—	124	124	83	12	26	21.48	2	1	—	7	3
Magistrate	—	—	—	83	12	26	21.48	—	1	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	124	124	83	12	26	21.48	2	1	—	7	3

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
4	6	15	43	4	1	10	83	—	7	5	—	14

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
—	—	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH HUEDA.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Superintendent, Captain J. K. Spence, from 1st January to 19th June 1849.
 Officiating ditto, Lieutenant W. R. Elliott, from 20th June to 19th December 1849.
 Superintendent, Captain J. K. Spence, from 20th December to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant Superintendent, Mohumed Muzhur Jumeel, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	In Gaol.	On Bail.		
No. 1 to 41	2	323	325	116	79	103	34.56	28	—	—	13	33
42	—	140	140	84	14	38	27.94	2	—	—		
43	—	2	2	2	1	—	—	—	—	—		
Total	2	465	467	202	94	141	32.26	30	—	—	13	33
Magistrate	—	—	—	17	94	19	14.61	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	185	—	122	39.73	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	2	465	467	202	94	141	32.26	30	—	—	13	33

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
9 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
60	21	17	46	29	7	22	202	—	—	—	22	119

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
—	—	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH CHUNDEREE.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Superintendent, Major P. Harris, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
 Assistant Superintendent, Captain C. J. Richardson, from 1st January to 26th May 1849.
 Acting Assistant Superintendent, Dr. T. Moore, from 27th May to 11th July 1849.
 Assistant Superintendent, Captain C. J. Richardson, from 12th July to 30th November 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Vied, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.	
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	In Gaol.	On Bail.			10.
No. 1 to 41	12	253	265	94	35	116	47.34	2	11	—	25	—	—
42	1	123	124	69	—	41	37.27	2	2	15		—	—
43	—	2	2	1	2	1	25.	—	—	—		—	—
Total	13	378	391	164	37	158	44.01	4	13	15	25	13	
Magistrate	—	—	—	1	—	3	75.	—	13	15	—	—	
Joint Magistrate	—	—	—	163	37	155	43.66	—	—	—	—	—	
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Total	13	378	391	164	37	158	44.01	4	13	15	25	13	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.							Detail of Acquittals.					
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
3	54	46	57	2	2	—	164	—	8	—	—	150

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
—	—	—	—	—	—

CEDED DISTRICTS.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

—	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Ceded Districts	1848 -	9	557	566	299	56	188	34.62	8	15	—
	1849 -	15	967	982	449	143	325	35.44	36	14	15
Difference -	+6	+410	+416	+150	+87	+137	—	+28	-1	+15	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognition.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
1848	7	30	54	143	88	4	23	299	—	11	4	—	173
1849	67	81	78	146	35	10	32	449	—	15	5	22	283
Difference -	+60	+51	+24	+3	-3	+6	+9	+150	—	+4	+1	+22	-110

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	—	—	—	—	—	—
1849	—	—	—	—	—	—
Difference.	—	—	—	—	—	—

CEDED DISTRICTS.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Ocheyra	—	12	—	12	8	—	1	11·11	—	—	3
Hurda	8	94	—	102	59	6	5	7·14	—	1	31
Chunderee	2	37	—	39	26	—	4	13·33	—	2	7
Total - { 1849	10	143	—	153	93	6	10	9·17	—	3	41
1848	3	56	—	59	29	5	9	20·93	5	1	10
Difference	+7	+87	—	+94	+64	+1	+1	—	-5	+2	+31

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	12 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6½ Years.	6 Years.	5½ Years.	5 Years.	4½ Years.	4 Years.	3½ Years.	3 Years.	2½ Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Less than 1 Year.
1849	93	2	—	2	—	4	3	—	3	2	7	13	20	2	7	—	18	4	—	3	—	1
1848	29	—	—	—	—	—	4	1	6	—	—	—	2	3	5	—	3	2	—	—	—	1
Difference	+64	+2	—	+2	—	+4	-1	-1	-3	+2	+7	+13	+18	-1	+2	—	+15	+2	-2	+3	+2	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Ocheyra	Magistrate	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hurda	Magistrate	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	—	1	1	—	—	—	100·
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	1	1	1	—	—	—	50·
Chunderee	Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Joint Magistrate	2	2	1	1	—	—	40·
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	2	2	1	1	—	—	40·
	Grand Total { 1849	4	3	2	1	—	—	37·5
	1848	1	—	2	—	—	—	—
	Difference	+3	+3	—	+1	—	—	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochulka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Ocheyra.	—	—	—	—
Hurda.	—	—	—	—
Chunderee.	—	—	—	—
Total { 1849.	—	—	—	—
1848.	—	—	—	—
Difference.	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH KUMAON.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Magistrate, Mr. J. Strachey, from 1st January to 4th May 1849.
Ditto, Mr. H. Ramsay, from 5th May to 31st December 1849.
Assistant, Mr. C. Horne, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.

Deputy Magistrate, Mr. J. O. B. Beckett, from 1st January to 31st December 1849.
Sudder Ameen, Umba Dutt, from 1st January to 1st July 1849.
Ditto, Trelochun Joshee, from 2d July to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Nos. 1 to 41	1	93	94	42	11	39	42.39	—	2	—	21	14
42	6	299	305	185	7	109	36.21	—	4			
43	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—			
Total	7	392	399	227	18	148	37.65	—	2	4	21	14
Magistrate	—	—	—	83	18	40	28.36	—	2	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant	—	—	—	97	—	51	34.45	—	—	1	—	—
Deputy Magistrate	—	—	—	36	—	39	52.0	—	—	3	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	11	—	18	62.06	—	—	—	—	—
Total	7	392	399	227	18	148	37.65	—	2	4	21	14

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally
4	19	31	160	6	4	3	227	—	4	25	7	112

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
—	—	—	—	—	—

ZILLAH GURHWAL.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

Officiating Magistrate, Mr. P. H. Egerton, from 1st January to 8th April 1849.

Magistrate, Mr. H. Ramsay, from 9th April to 3d May 1849.

Ditto, Mr. J. Strachey, from 4th May to 31st December 1849.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was employed.	Average Duration of Cases in which the Agency of Police was not employed.
	In Gaol.								On Bail.			
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
No. 1 to 41	—	99	99	19	10	10	25.64	—	—	—	22	11
42	1	264	265	134	—	131	49.43	—	—			
43	—	1	1	—	—	1	100.	—	—			
Total	1	304	305	153	10	142	46.55	—	—	—	22	11
Magistrate	—	—	—	86	10	45	31.91	—	—	—	—	—
Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Assistant.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deputy Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Principal Sudder Ameen.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sudder Ameen	—	—	—	67	—	97	59.14	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1	304	305	153	10	142	46.55	—	—	—	22	11

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognizance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
—	2	15	127	7	2	—	153	4	6	51	—	81

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
—	—	—	—	—	—

KUMAON DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Magistrate's Court.

1.	Pending on 1st January.	Income.	Total.	Convicted.	Committed.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to Persons tried.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st December.		
									In Gaol.	On Bail.	
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.		
Kumaon Division	1848	9	667	676	356	95	277	41.46	—	1	7
	1849	8	696	704	380	28	290	41.54	—	2	4
Difference	-1	+29	+38	+24	-7	+31	—	—	+1	-3	

No. 2.—Detail of Columns 5. and 7. of Statement No. 1.

—	Detail of Convictions.								Detail of Acquittals.				
	3 Years.	From 6 Months to above 2 Years.	Not exceeding 6 Months.	Fined.	Security.	Dismissed from Office.	Flogged.	Total.	Default.	Compromise.	Recognisance.	Bail taken at Thannah.	Unconditionally.
1848	6	35	38	240	26	5	6	356	3	19	70	9	176
1849	4	21	46	287	18	6	3	380	4	10	76	7	193
Difference	-2	-14	+8	-47	-13	+1	-3	+24	+1	-9	+6	-2	+17

No. 3.—Cases under Act IV. of 1840.

—	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 31st December.
1848	5	—	2	—	7	—
1849	—	—	—	—	—	—
Difference	+5	—	-2	—	-7	—

KUMAON DIVISION.

GENERAL STATEMENT.

No. 1.—Sessions Court.

	Pending on 1st January.	Committed.	Received back after reference to Nizamut Adawlut, and by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Referred.	Acquitted.	Average of Acquittals to No. tried.	Commitments cancelled.	Died, escaped, and transferred.	Pending on 31st Decem-ber.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Kumaon and Gurhwal	—	28	4	32	6	20	6	18.75	—	—	—
Total	1849	28	4	32	6	20	6	18.75	—	—	—
	1848	—	85	9	44	22	2	4.54	—	—	—
Difference	—	-7	-5	-12	-16	—	+4	—	—	—	—

No. 2.—Detail of Convictions of Sessions Court, Column 6., Statement No. 1.

	Convicted and Sentenced.	16 Years.	15 Years.	14 Years.	13 Years.	12 Years.	11 Years.	10 Years.	9 Years.	8 Years.	7 Years.	6 Years.	5 Years.	4 Years.	3½ Years.	3 Years.	2 Years.	1½ Year.	1 Year.	Fined and discharged.
1849	6	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	—	—	2	—
1848	22	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	1	3	—	8	6	2	1	—
Difference	-16	—	—	—	—	—	—	-1	—	—	—	—	—	-2	+1	-7	-6	-2	+1	—

No. 3.—Appeals from the Orders of Magistrates.

	Appeals from the Orders of	Criminal Trials.			Miscellaneous Cases.			Average of Reversals to Appeals tried.
		Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	
Kumaon and Gurhwal.	Magistrate	37	22	5	—	—	1	37.28
	Joint Magistrate.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Assistant, with special Powers.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Total	37	22	5	—	—	1	37.28
	1848	51	13	6	—	—	—	20.51
Difference	—	-14	+9	-1	—	—	+1	—

No. 4.—Security Cases.

	Ordered to be released unconditionally.	Ordered to be released on Mochuka.	Security reduced.	Security as before.
Kumaon and Gurhwal	—	—	—	—
Total	1849.	—	—	—
	1848.	—	—	—
Difference.	—	—	—	—

LIST OF ORIGINAL ENCLOSURES.

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| No. 1. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Dehlie, with
One Enclosure | } No. 26., dated 16th March 1850. |
| No. 2. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Saharunpore,
with One Enclosure | } No. 73., dated 18th March 1850. |
| No. 3. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Meerut | - No. 32., dated 20th March 1850. |
| No. 4. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Allygurh | - No. 4., dated 13th May 1850. |
| No. 5. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Moradabad,
with Two Enclosures | } No. 62., dated 12th Sept. 1850. |
| No. 6. Letter from the Additional Sessions Judge of
Bareilly, with Two Enclosures | } No. 25., dated 15th April 1850. |
| No. 7. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Agra, with
Two Enclosures | } No. 104., dated 10th July 1850. |
| No. 8. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Furruckabad,
with One Enclosure | } No. 81., dated 18th May 1850. |
| No. 9. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Mynpoorie | - No. 20., dated 20th Aug. 1850. |
| No. 10. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Mynpoorie | - No. 21., dated 20th Aug. 1850. |
| No. 11. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Cawnpore,
with One Enclosure | } No. 41., dated 5th April 1850. |
| No. 12. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Futtehpore,
with Annexure | } No. 9., dated 4th March 1850. |
| No. 13. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Bundelkund | - No. 22., dated 20th May 1850. |
| No. 14. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Allahabad,
with One Enclosure | } No. 8., dated 5th March 1850. |
| No. 15. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Goruckpore | - No. 29., dated 4th March 1850. |
| No. 16. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Azimgurh,
with One Enclosure | } No. 4., dated 18th Feb. 1850. |
| No. 17. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Jounpore,
with One Enclosure | } No. 49., dated 30th March 1850. |
| No. 18. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Mirzapore | - No. 73., dated 1st May 1850. |
| No. 19. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Benares, with
One Enclosure | } No. 82., dated 25th April 1850. |
| No. 20. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Ghazeeepore,
with One Enclosure | } No. 13., dated 2d Feb. 1850. |
| No. 21. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Saugor, with
Eight Enclosures | } No. 41., dated 6th May 1850. |
| No. 22. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Saugor, with
One Annexure | } No. 45., dated 21st May 1850. |
| No. 23. Letter from the Sessions Judge of Saugor, with
Two Enclosures | } No. 44., dated 18th May 1850. |
| No. 24. Letter from the Commissioner of Kumaon, with
Two Enclosures | } No. 32., dated 17th April 1850. |

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

APPENDIX.

Extract (Paragraphs 8, 16, 17, 26, 27, 36, 49, 50, 51, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, and 71) of a Letter from M. Smith, Esq., Sessions Judge in the Saugor and Nerbudda Territories, No. 41., dated 6th May 1851.

8. The Distance, however, of these Territories from the Seat of the Sudder Court at Agra, does, in truth, constitute a formidable Obstacle to the free Exercise of the Right of Appeal now possessed under the Law by all Criminals, and might seem to present sufficient Warrant for relaxing the Rule under which Petitions of Appeal are not transmittable by Dāk, but must be presented by *mookhtar*, in favour of the People of Districts so remote as these are from the Appellate Tribunal. This Subject was alluded to in Paragraph 4. of my last Criminal Report.

16. In a particular Case which arose I informed the Joint Magistrate that I was not aware of an Attempt by a Beggar coming from a Foreign State (Tehree) to sell his Child within the Limits of Saugor District, without any Proof of an Intention to sell it into Slavery, or for Purposes of Traffic, or other unlawful Object, being legally indictable and punishable under the existing Law. If the Party had imported the Child for the Purpose of Traffic into our Territory, and there sold or tried to sell it as a Slave, the penal Provisions of Regulation III. 1832, (not altered in that respect by Act V. 1843,) would doubtless apply:—See Construction 955. In the present Instance, the impelling Motive to sell appeared to have been Want. In Years of Famine such Sales have occurred by Hundreds; and the Criminal Courts could, of course, take no Cognizance of the Cases, from their very Multitude. The Latitude to which Transactions of this Nature used to proceed in the very Place I now write from, at no remote Date, seems correctly set forth in Construction No. 887.

17. I hope my Instruction in this Instance was not opposed to the Spirit of the Law.

26. The Deputy Commissioner's Report alludes to the Crime of Cattle-stealing, as apparently so prevalent in the Pergunnah of Mundla. Even if the Returns should exhibit the Truth, there would be much Excuse for the Inability of the scanty local Police Body to cope with such an Evil in the extensive uncivilized Tracts composing that Jurisdiction. But Major MacAdam's Belief, that many of the Cases reported as Cattle *theft* are, in point of fact, Instances of Cattle *straying*, and Loss consequent on the Neglect of the Owners themselves, who by such Report hope to obtain the Aid of the Police in their Recovery, still further alters the Case, and leaves little to condemn, except the Apathy and careless Unconcern of the Proprietors of the Herds.

27. With all these Deductions, however, Cattle-stealing in a wild Country bordering on the Nagpore Frontier is, no doubt, common, and practised with much Impunity. The Tehseldar suggested the Utility of requiring all Parties selling Cattle in the District to furnish themselves with a "Mark" from the Police, without showing which Purchasers were to be warned not to buy. As, however, stolen Cattle is probably oftener carried out of our Territory for Disposal beyond its Limits than sold within it, such a Check could only be very limited in Operation, while I concurred with Major MacAdam in his Estimate of Two Evils as likely to result from such a Requirement: 1st, the Hardship imposed on the People in making them come long Distances to the Thannah for Sanction whenever they might want to sell their Cattle; 2d, the Opportunities of Oppression and Corruption which it would give to the Police, with reference to the unsophisticated Character of the Gond Classes.

36. In remarking on the large apparent Amount of Property stolen in the past Year (set down as more than doubling the Value reported in 1848), which is in strong Contrast with the very insignificant Amount recovered, the Deputy Commissioner comments on the great Tendency that exists to exaggerate Losses of this Kind, and how little Dependence can be placed in or implicit Credit given to the Estimates furnished by the Sufferers. This is a Fact my own Experience, in trying Charges of this Description, fully confirms; and in a subsequent Part of this Report will lead me to observe the Bearing of such a dishonest and deceptive Tendency on the working of a recently passed Act of the Legislature. The Instances are many in which greater or less Variation is perceptible in the Description and Valuation of stolen Articles, as originally given at the Thannah, and afterwards recorded in Foujlaree. Such Difference a Magistrate will often pass by unnoticed, adopting without Question the later and generally enhanced Estimate. I have taken every Opportunity to observe on these Occasions, that while such Discrepancies of Statement, where the stolen Property is considerable, may be natural, yet Efforts should always be made to reconcile and explain them, in order to avert any Suspicion which might arise from the professed Recognition, with accused Persons, of Things said to have been stolen, but which perhaps were not mentioned in the original Information of Theft.

49. As compared with the Year preceding, the Statements show an Increase of Crime in 1849, met by a corresponding Increase in the Number of Persons brought to Trial. The Result of Commitments was very successful; and though I have had sometimes to check what I thought Irregularities in Mr. Best, I am bound to say that his general Procedure evinces considerable Tact and Skill in the Preparation, as well as a sound Comprehension of the Merits of a Case, and that I have every Hope of his turning out a valuable Officer. The Appeals, as usual, were extremely few, compared with the Number of Cases disposed of. Much Improvement in the Acceleration of Criminal Trials is visible. Indeed, relatively to the Extent of the District and Distance of some of the Thannahs, the reduced Average of the past Year, less than Four Days, would seem almost too low to be probably correct. Cases, however, commencing with the Summons of the Magistrate, without the Agency of the Police, are said to have been quickened by the Deputy Commissioner "summoning Defendant and his Witnesses, if he have any, at the same Time, instead of summoning the Defendant's Witnesses after his Deposition has been taken,"—a Course thought by Mr. Best to "entail much and useless Delay."

50. Of the Regularity of this Amendment, I am of opinion there may be considerable Doubts; and I find my Predecessor in Office commenting on an Order passed "that Defendant should be summoned with his Witnesses," as an Informality that ought to be avoided, "since Witnesses should be summoned by Name, after Designation by the Defendant, and Indication of the Facts to which they are to depose." I agree with Mr. Brown, thinking that the contrary though perhaps quicker Course opens a Door to Error and Abuses.

51. I have observed on various Occasions that Mr. Best is in the habit of questioning Prisoners while under Accusation of a Criminal Offence in private, and then grounding his official Procedure on what may have been elicited by or spoken at such private Inquiry. I have great Doubt as to the Propriety of such a Practice. I mention an Instance in point, just now before me. A Party cited as Witness for the Prosecution on a Charge of "Attempt at Arson," denies, on Oath, his Cognizance of a Fact thought to constitute presumptive Evidence of the Prisoner's Guilt; Two Days after, the same Witness deposes, on Oath, to his personal Acquaintance with such Fact previously denied. There had, however, been an intermediate private Interrogation by the Magistrate, who says, "being directed to remain in Attendance in the Office, he (the Witness) on Sunday the 10th (the Day following his First Examination) informed me on my examining him in private, that he had not stated the Truth, and on the following Day gave Evidence contradictory of the Statement previously made by him on the 9th." Here, I think, it is very questionable whether the Magistrate (putting aside the Question of such private Interrogatories being regular or desirable) could take advantage of what was so informally elicited to ground an ulterior judicial Proceeding upon, unfavourable to the Party interrogated. Without having any *Object* save the Furtherance of Justice, such an Act might wear an *Appearance* of entrapping a Man into an Admission he could not know would be used against him, and is, in my Opinion, open to serious Objection.

66. I must take Occasion to offer a few Remarks on the anticipated evil working, in such a Country as this, of Act XVI. 1850, "for Restitution of the Value of stolen Property," (in passing which, ought not the Provision of a prohibitory Nature, quoted in the Margin,* to have been abrogated,) which empowers Criminal Courts to add to the Punishment they are competent to inflict on Persons convicted of Robbery, Theft,

knowingly receiving stolen Property, and other such Crimes, or of being accessory or privy thereto, "the Punishment of *Fine not exceeding the Loss appearing to be caused* to the several Persons who have suffered by such Wrong," payable and distributable in whole or part to or for the Benefit of such Sufferers.

67. The Words I have underlined cause me Alarm when I read such Remarks as Major MacAdam makes in his Report relative to the "little Reliance which can be placed on the Reports made of the Amount of Property stolen," as exemplified in a specific Case of evidently exaggerated Robbery to which he is alluding; and my own Experience of such a Tendency to over-represent the true Loss fully confirms what that Officer and Captain Skene have stated as a Result of theirs.*

68. This Act I presume to be based on the Opinion of the Writers of the Code (Pages 9 and 10 of Note A), "that every Person who is injured by an Offence ought to be legally entitled to a Compensation for the Injury." Also, "we are inclined to think that an Arrangement might be adopted under which One Trial would do the Work of Two. We conceive that in every Case in which Fine is Part of the Punishment of an Offence, it ought to be competent to the Tribunal which has tried the Offender, acting under proper Checks, to award the whole or Part of the Fine to the Sufferer, provided that the latter signifies his Willingness to receive what is so awarded in full Satisfaction of his civil Claim for Reparation."

* Note.—See above, Paragraph 36 of this Report.

69. Not venturing to call in question the Wisdom of this Principle, I, nevertheless dread the Effect of a too often indiscreet Application of the Act by an inconsiderate or inexperienced Magistrate, who, if he implicitly adopts the Prosecutor's sworn Estimate as the Measure of Loss "appearing to have been caused," and, consequently, of the Mulet he is Competent to impose on the Offender, must often go very far wide indeed of Justice, and (where the Award is not inoperative owing to the Poverty of the Criminal) would run the Risk of doing great Wrong in carrying out the laudable Object of awarding a legal Right.

70. Will not, moreover, the very Knowledge of such a Law, and such a discretionary Power conferred by it, increase the dishonest Tendency I have noticed, or create it where it did not exist? Nominally, and perhaps really, respectable People, I fear, would not think themselves tied to a strict Veracity in their Story, where the Party to be affected by it is One who has injured them, and indeed is an Enemy to Society. Allowing, too, there be no *intentional Desire* to exaggerate a Loss, such a Result is surely not improbable in the Case of rich Persons who may not actually know what their personal Property or Amount of Cash consisted of, or consequently what may have been abstracted.

71. I shall watch the Operation of this Enactment; nor be unwilling to suggest (which might be now premature), if it should seem worthy of Recommendation, that Government would see fit to direct Suspension of the Effect of its Provisions in these Territories (where the Principles and Spirit of the Regulations are ordinarily conformed to), as I conceive they can do, under Section 15, Regulation VI. of 1831.

*Extract (Paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, and 11,) of Court's Reply, No. 736, dated
3d July 1850.*

3. At present the Court do not consider that sufficient Reason exists for adopting the Suggestion contained in your 8th Para., and relaxing the Rule which disallows the Transmission by Dak to them of Petitions of Appeals with respect to the Saugor Territories.

4. The Instruction given by you to the Joint Magistrate in the particular Case of attempted Child-selling, mentioned in your 16th Para., is held to have been quite correct. There is a clear Distinction between the Case of a Parent reduced by Poverty to part with his Child, for the Sake of preserving its Life and his own, and the Case of any other Party selling Children in the way of Trade. No Harm can be apprehended in Cases of the first Sort, as the Laws do not recognize such Transfers; whereas Interference with them might be mischievous, and occasion the Loss of Lives that are now saved by them.

5. The Court agree with you that the Suggestion of the Tehseeldar, noted in your 27th Para. in the Matter of the Prevention of Cattle-stealing, would lead to much Evil, without answering the End proposed.

6. The Observation made in the Close of your 36th Para. on the Importance of reconciling, as far as possible, Discrepancies of Statement regarding the Articles and Value of stolen Property, is entirely approved.

9. Mr. W. R. Best's Practice of summoning Defendants and their Witnesses at once, as stated in your Paras. 49 and 50, is informal and objectionable. Your 51st Para. exposes another improper and irregular Practice of the same Officer, in holding private Examinations of Prisoners and Witnesses, and grounding upon them official Proceedings. Your own View of both Practices is perfectly right, and it is hoped that you will not fail to impress it on Mr. Best.

10. In reply to your 66th Para. I am instructed to refer you to Section 20, Regulation I. of 1803, under the Provisions of which it will appear to you that Clause 1. Section 39. Regulation VII. of 1803, must be held to have been repealed by the recent Act XVI. of 1850.

11. The Court are of opinion that the Operation of this new Enactment will require to be watched with great Care and Attention by all Officers entrusted with supervisory Powers; and they trust that you will favour them with your Opinion upon its actual working in your next annual Report. There is reason to apprehend that unless the Powers conferred by the Act on magisterial Officers be administered with much Caution and Discretion, the good Fruit which it is calculated to produce in encouraging the Prosecution of Theft, and in repairing the Injuries caused by that Crime, will be accompanied by a rank and pernicious Growth of false Accusations and Perjuries.

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

LIST OF STATEMENTS.

- No. 1.—Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Year 1849, by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, and Assistants, and by the Principal Sudder Ameens and Sudder Ameens, in the North-western Provinces, and the Number committed to take their Trial at the Sessions.
- No. 2.—Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Year 1849, by the Sessions Judges in the North-western Provinces, and the Number of Persons whose Cases were referred to the Nizamut Adawlut.
- No. 3.—Abstract Statement showing the Number of Criminal Cases referred or appealed to the Nizamut Adawlut during the Year 1849, with the Orders passed thereon, together with an Abstract Statement of Criminal Business disposed of during the Year.
- No. 4.—Abstract Statement showing the Number of Appeals preferred to the Sessions Courts during the Year 1849 from the Orders of the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, and Assistants in the North-western Provinces, in Criminal Trials, as well as Miscellaneous Cases, with the Orders passed thereon.
- No. 5.—Abstract Statement of Summary Suits under Act IV. of 1840, disposed of in the North-western Provinces in the Year 1849.
- No. 6.—Abstract of the Calendar of Persons convicted and acquitted by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, and Assistants, Deputy Magistrates, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, Law Officers, and Moonsiffs in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1849.
- No. 7.—Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons in Confinement in the North-western Provinces in the Year 1849, in default of Security for good Conduct or to keep the Peace.
- No. 8.—Statement of Criminal Cases tried with the Assistance of Natives under Regulation VI. of 1832, in the North-western Provinces in the Year 1849.
- No. 9.—Abstract Statement of the Sentences passed by the several Criminal Courts in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1849.

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 1.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Year 1849, by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, and Assistants, and by the Principal Sudder Amceens and Sudder Amceens, in the North-western Provinces, and the Number committed to take their Trial at the Sessions.

1.	2. Prisoners under Examination on the 1st January 1849.	3. Apprehended during the Year.	4. Received by Transfer.	5. Total.	6. Convicted.	7. Acquitted.	8. Committed.	9. Died.	10. Escaped.	11. Transferred.	12. 13. 14.			Explanation of Column 7.			
											Pending.			Summoned by the Magistrate and his Subordinates.	Sent in by the Police.	Released on Bail by the Police, and not required to appear before the Magistrate.	Total.
	*1,435	82,957	1,071	85,463	45,863	32,842	4,682	51	65	604	649	707	1,356				
<p>* The Discrepancy between the Number pending at the End of 1848 and Beginning of 1849 is accounted for by One Prisoner having been made over for Trial to the Jubbulpore Authorities from the Bijeraghogun Estate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.</p>																	
1848	1,104	75,884	1,266	78,254	43,257	29,105	3,661	52	29	716	661	773	†1,434	15,217	8,760	4,940	†28,917
1849	1,435	82,957	1,071	85,463	45,863	32,842	4,682	51	65	604	649	707	1,356	17,073	9,637	6,132	32,842
Increase -	331	7,073	—	7,209	2,606	3,737	1,021	—	36	—	—	—	—	1,856	877	1,192	3,922
Decrease -	—	—	195	—	—	—	—	1	—	112	12	66	78	—	—	—	—

† The Discrepancy between this Column and Column 7. is caused by no Detail having been given in the Zillah Statements of the 188 Persons acquitted in the Three ceded Districts of the Saugor Territory.

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 2.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Year 1849, by the Sessions Judges in the North-western Provinces, and the Number of Persons whose Cases were referred to the Nizamut Adawlut.

1.	2. Prisoners under Trial on the 1st January 1849.	3. Committed in 1849.	4. Received back from the Nizamut Adawlut.	5. Received by Transfer.	6. Total.	7. Convicted.	8. Acquitted.	9. Referred to Nizamut Adawlut.	10. Commitment cancelled.	11. Died.	12. Escaped.	13. Transferred.	14. Pending.
	467	4,703	66	18	5,254	2,751	1,278	633	105	19	1	23	444
<p>† The Difference between this Number and that in Column 8. of Statement No. 1. is accounted for by the Fact of many Prisoners being committed to the Sessions Courts by the Assistants to the Superintendent of Operations for the Suppression of Thuggee and Dacoitee, whose Proceedings are not included in Statement No. 1.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.</p>													
1848	399	3,733	118	42	4,192	2,112	940	502	144	13	—	14	467
1849	467	4,703	66	18	5,254	2,751	1,278	633	105	19	1	23	444
Increase -	168	970	—	—	1,062	639	338	131	—	6	1	9	—
Decrease -	—	—	52	24	—	—	—	—	39	—	—	—	23

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Number of Criminal Cases referred or appealed to the Nizamut Adawlut during the Year 1849, with the Orders passed thereon ; together with an Abstract Statement of Criminal Business disposed of during the Year.

(7011)

8 5 0

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.	Cases referred under the Regulations called for on Perusal of the Abstract, and on Petitions of Appeal.	Cases referred under Act XXXI. of 1841.	
	Trials referred under the Regulations.							Trials called for on Perusal of the Abstract, and Petitions of Appeal.							Magistrate's Trials referred under the Circular Orders 18th March 1842, and Act XXXI. 1841.					Petitions of Appeal from Sentences of the Sessions Court.										
	Pending on 1st January 1849.	Received during the Year 1849.	Total Number of Persons under Trial.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	Number of Persons whose Cases were remanded.	Pending at the End of the Year 1849.	Pending on 1st January 1849.	Received during the Year 1849.	Total.	Order confirmed.	Order modified.	Order reversed.	Pending at the End of the Year 1849.	Pending on 1st January 1849.	Received during the Year 1849.	Total.	Magistrate's Order confirmed.	Ditto modified.	Ditto reversed.	Pending at the End of the Year 1849.	Pending on 1st January 1849.	Received during the Year 1849.	Total.	Petition rejected.	Petition admitted, and the Case called for.	Pending at the End of the Year 1849.	Of the 551 Trials decided during the Year 1849,—		
	23	314	660	55	65	5	10	16	200	216	146	15	40	15	2	21	23	7	—	16	—	48	514	562	221	295	46	Mr. B. Tayler recorded his Opinion on	81	4
																											Mr. G. P. Thompson, ditto ditto	185	8	
																											Mr. C. R. Cartwright, ditto ditto	62	2	
																											Mr. A. W. Begbie, ditto ditto	184	7	
																											Mr. H. Lushington, ditto ditto	170	5	
																											Mr. F. H. Robinson, ditto ditto	24	—	
																											Total	706	26	
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																														
1848	7	286	490	376	27	11	23	3	222	225	100	17	92	16	2	17	19	1	—	16	2	16	437	453	196	209	48	Mr. B. Tayler was absent on Leave from 8th to 18th February, and from 3d April to End of Year.		
1849	23	314	666	552	65	5	10	16	200	216	146	15	40	15	2	21	23	7	—	16	—	48	514	562	221	295	46	Mr. G. P. Thompson, ditto, from 13th January to 13th February.		
Increase	16	28	176	176	38	—	—	13	—	—	46	—	—	—	—	4	4	6	—	—	—	32	77	109	25	86	—	Mr. C. R. Cartwright, ditto, from 28th March to End of Year.		
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	6	13	—	22	9	—	2	52	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	2	Mr. A. W. Begbie took his Seat on the 14th April, and continued to End of Year.		
																												Mr. H. Lushington, ditto, on the 25th April, and continued to End of Year.		
																												Mr. F. H. Robinson, ditto, on the 20th November, and continued to End of Year.		

(305)

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 4.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Number of Appeals preferred to the Sessions Courts during the Year 1849, from the Orders of the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, and Assistants in the North-western Provinces, in Criminal Trials as well as Miscellaneous Cases, with the Orders passed thereon.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
	Appeals in regular Trials.							Appeals in Miscellaneous Cases.						
	Number pending on 1st January 1849.	Preferred during the Year.	Total.	Appeal rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Order confirmed.	Order modified or reversed.	Pending on 1st January 1850.	Number pending on 1st January 1849.	Preferred during the Year.	Total.	Appeals rejected, struck off, and withdrawn.	Order confirmed.	Order modified or reversed.	Pending on 1st January 1850.
	*177	2,956	3,133	286	1,815	833	199	130	1,851	1,981	186	1,087	511	197
* The Difference of One Case between the Number pending at the End of 1848 and Beginning of 1849 is owing to Three instead of Four Cases having been incorrectly shown as pending at the Close of 1848 in the Banda Session Statement, No. 4.														
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.														
1848	176	2,920	*3,096	308	1,756	841	176	127	1,786	†1,913	180	1,097	484	130
1849	177	2,956	3,133	286	1,815	833	199	130	1,851	1,981	186	1,087	511	197
Increase	1	36	37	—	59	—	23	3	65	68	6	—	27	67
Decrease	—	—	—	22	—	8	—	—	—	—	—	10	—	—

*15 } Transferred for Trial to other Zillahs.
†22 }

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 5.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of Summary Suits under Act IV. 1840, disposed of in the North-western Provinces in the Year 1849.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Pending on 1st Jan. 1849.	Preferred during the Year.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Transferred to other Jurisdictions.	Pending on 1st Jan. 1850.
	293	5,880	6,173	3,695	988	1,155	104	231
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.								
1848	296	6,568	6,864	3,956	1,155	1,108	352	293
1849	293	5,880	6,173	3,695*	988	1,155	104	231
Increase	—	—	—	—	—	47	—	—
Decrease	3	688	691	261	167	—	248	62

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 6.

ABSTRACT of the Calendar of Persons convicted and acquitted by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates and Assistants, Deputy Magistrates, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, Law Officers and Moonsiffs, in the North-western Provinces, during the Year 1849.

	By the Magistrates.			By the Joint Magistrates.			By the Assistants.			By the Deputy Magistrates.			By the Principal Sudder Ameens.			By the Sudder Ameens.			By the Law Officers.			By the Moonsiffs.			Total.		
	Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.	
		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.
	11,055	12,559	9,561	16,749	19,029	14,069	2,695	3,034	2,236	7,915	10,379	6,438	55	28	57	414	454	356	—	—	—	280	380	125	39,163	45,863	32,842
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																											
1848	9,209	10,518	7,595	16,700	18,653	13,058	4,095	4,980	3,273	6,701	7,850	4,212	93	63	79	443	512	434	—	—	—	281	382	266	36,423	*42,958	*28,917
1849	11,055	12,559	9,561	16,749	19,029	14,069	2,695	3,034	2,236	7,915	10,379	6,438	55	28	57	414	454	356	—	—	—	280	380	125	39,163	45,863	32,842
Increase	1,846	2,041	1,966	49	376	1,011	—	—	—	2,314	2,529	2,226	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,740	2,905	3,925
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,401	1,946	1,037	—	—	—	38	35	22	29	58	78	—	—	—	1	2	141	—	—	—

* The Difference between these Two Columns and Columns 6. and 7. of Statement No. 1. (299 punished and 168 acquitted) is owing to the Non-submission of Statements by the Officers of the ceded Districts in the Saugor Territory.

N.A., N.W.P.
Agra, 13th September 1850.

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

(1107011)

4 5 0

(307)

No. 7.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Number of Persons in Confinement in the North-western Provinces in the Year 1849 in Default of Security for good Conduct, or to keep the Peace.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
	Number of Prisoners in Goal on the 1st Jan. 1849.	Ordered to furnish Security during the Year.	Total.	Released during the Year.				Transferred to other Districts to give Security.	Died.	Escaped.	In Confinement on the 1st Jan. 1850.
				On furnishing the required Security.	On furnishing reduced Security.	On Mochulka.	Unconditionally.				
	712	1,475	2,187	407	7	205	786	1	20	1	760
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.											
1848 -	681	1,465	2,146	393	4	152	875	1	9	—	712
1849 -	712	1,475	2,187	407	7	205	786	1	20	1	760
Increase -	31	10	41	14	3	53	—	—	11	1	48
Decrease -	—	—	—	—	—	—	89	—	—	—	—

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 8.

STATEMENT of Criminal Cases tried with the Assistance of Natives under Regulation VI. of 1832, in the North-western Provinces, in the Year 1849.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
ZILLAHS.	Cases tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.			Cases tried with the Assistance of Assessors.			Cases tried with the Assistance of a Jury.		
	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Award of a Panchayet.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Award of a Panchayet.	Total.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Total.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total.
Dohlie	—	—	—	4	—	4	16	—	16
Saharunpore	—	—	—	—	—	—	46	7	53
Meerut	—	—	—	39	16	55	—	—	—
Boondshuhur	—	—	—	23	9	31	—	—	—
Allygurh	—	—	—	54	5	59	—	—	—
Moorsadabad	—	—	—	—	—	—	45	15	60
Robilkund	—	—	—	139	67	206	1	—	1
Agra	—	—	—	—	—	—	80	23	103
Farruckabad	—	—	—	—	—	—	74	9	83
Mynpoorie	—	—	—	74	13	87	—	—	—
Cawnpore	—	—	—	—	—	—	34	21	55
Fatehpore	—	—	—	—	—	—	10	3	13
Bundelkund	—	—	—	—	—	—	51	19	70
Allahabad	—	—	—	—	—	—	70	11	81
Goruckpore	—	—	—	—	—	—	58	9	67
Azimgurh	—	—	—	94	3	97	—	—	—
Jounpore	—	—	—	—	—	—	71	14	85
Mirzapore	—	—	—	—	—	—	41	8	49
Benares	—	—	—	—	—	—	72	10	82
Ghazepore	—	—	—	21	—	21	—	—	—
Saugor	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	447	113	560	669	149	818
Kumaon	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1
Grand Total	—	—	—	447	113	560	670	149	819
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.									
1848	—	—	—	235	45	280	674	166	840
1849	—	—	—	447	113	560	670	149	819
Increase	—	—	—	212	68	280	—	—	—
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	17	21

N.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 13th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

Abstract Statement of the Sentences passed by the several Criminal Courts in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1859.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
By the Magistrates and their Assistants.											By the Sessions Courts.																			
2 to 3 Years.											Imprisonment for Life in Transportation.																			
1 Year and upwards.											16 Years.																			
6 Months and upwards.											15 Years.																			
Not exceeding 6 Months.											14 Years.																			
Fined and discharged.											13 Years.																			
Required to find Security.											12 Years.																			
Dismissed.											11 Years.																			
Flogged.											10 Years.																			
Convicted but not punished.											9 Years.																			
Total.											8 Years.																			
45863											7 Years.																			
1910											6½ Years.																			
1910											6 Years.																			
1910											5½ Years.																			
1910											5 Years.																			
1910											4½ Years.																			
1910											4 Years.																			
1910											3½ Years.																			
1910											3 Years.																			
1910											2 Years and 11 Months.																			
1910											1																			

32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
By the Sessions Courts—cont.											By the Nizamut Adawlut.																			
2½ Years.											21 Years.																			
2 Years.											19 Years.																			
1½ Year.											18 Years.																			
1½ Year.											16 Years.																			
1½ Year.											14 Years.																			
1 Year.											12 Years.																			
Less than 1 Year.											10 Years.																			
Fined and discharged.											9 Years.																			
Stripes.											8 Years.																			
Discharged in consideration of Imprisonment already undergone.											7 Years.																			
Total.											6 Years.																			
2751											5½ Years.																			
110											5 Years.																			
210											4 Years.																			
210											3 Years.																			
210											2 Years.																			
210											1½ Year.																			
210											1 Year.																			
210											Less than 1 Year.																			
210											Total convicted and sentenced.																			
210											552																			

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
By the Magistrates and their Assistants.											By the Sessions Courts.																			
2 to 3 Years.											Imprisonment for Life in Transportation.																			
1 Year and upwards.											16 Years.																			
6 Months and upwards.											15 Years.																			
Not exceeding 6 Months.											14 Years.																			
Fined and discharged.											13 Years.																			
Required to find Security.											12 Years.																			
Dismissed.											11 Years.																			
Flogged.											10 Years.																			
Convicted but not punished.											9 Years.																			
Total.											8 Years.																			
43257											7 Years.																			
45863											6½ Years.																			
1910											6 Years.																			
1910											5½ Years.																			
1910											5 Years.																			
1910											4½ Years.																			
1910											4 Years.																			
1910											3½ Years.																			
1910											3 Years.																			
1910											2 Years and 11 Months.																			
1910											2																			
1910											1																			
1910											1																			

32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
By the Sessions Courts—cont.											By the Nizamut Adawlut.																			
2½ Years.											Death.																			
2 Years.											Transportation.																			
1½ Year.											21 Years.																			
1½ Year.											19 Years.																			
1½ Year.											18 Years.																			
1 Year.											16 Years.																			
Less than 1 Year.											14 Years.																			
Fined and discharged.											12 Years.																			
Stripes.											10 Years.																			
Discharged in consideration of Imprisonment already undergone.											9 Years.																			
Total.											8 Years.																			
2115											7 Years.																			
2751											6 Years.																			
110											5½ Years.																			
10											5 Years.																			
10											4 Years.																			
10											3 Years.																			
10											2 Years.																			
10											1½ Year.																			
10											1 Year.																			
10											Less than 1 Year.																			
10											Total convicted and sentenced.																			
10											522																			
10											176																			

N. A. N. W. P.
Agrs, 13th September 1850. }

R r

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

(110 A.)

North-western Provinces, Civil Justice, 1849.

REPORT of the SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, on the
ADMINISTRATION of CIVIL JUSTICE, for the Year 1849.

No. 1486 of 1850.

From F. B. PEARSON Esquire,

Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

N. W. Provinces, Agra.

To J. THORNTON Esquire,

Secretary to the Honourable the Lieut.-Governor,

in the Judicial Department,

N. W. Provinces, Agra.

Dated Agra, the 27th September 1850.

S.D.A., N.W.P.

Present :

A. W. Begbie, Esq. } Judges;
H. Lushington, Esq. }
H. W. Deane, Esq., Offg. Judge;
and
S. S. Brown, Esq., Offg. Addl. Judge.

Sir,

I am directed by the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut to submit, for the Consideration of the Honourable the Lieutenant-Governor, Reports and Statements, as detailed in a separate List annexed, in illustration of the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1849, together with an Appendix (A.) containing Extracts from the Reports of the Zillah Judges, and the Remarks of the Court on the Subjects therein noticed.

Submits Report with
Statements regarding
the Administration of
Civil Justice in the
N. W. P. for 1849.

I have the Honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

F. B. PEARSON,

Register.

Agra, 27th September 1850.

ZILLAH DEHLIE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.											Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
1848	617	5,019	103	5,739	49	2,879	193	1,934	684	2	27,81,947	
1849	684	5,457	257	6,398	193	*3,161	135	2,078	831	8	4,25,071	
Increase	67	438	154	659	144	282	-	144	147	-	-	
Decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	-	-	2	23,56,876	

* Of which *ex parte* - - 773
 Confession of Judgment - 744
 ----- 1,517
 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.		
Civil Sittings - 58 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 18 " Civil and Criminal Sittings - 161 " 2 to S. D. A.																				
Judge, Mr. J. P. Gubbins	-	2	18	20	-	11	-	1	8	-	1,19,251	-	2	4	-	-	-	-	33'33	
} Orgls. } Appls.	38	301	1	340	49	241	1	10	39	-	16,275	-	-	5	2	-	-	-	1'98	
S.A., Sudderooddeen Khan	41	140	114	295	18	155	10	40	72	-	2,26,482	52	88	136	11	20	-	2	14'11	
} Orgls. } Appls.	2	-	52	54	-	47	-	3	4	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
A., Nund Lall, Dehlie	18	64	6	88	3	52	-	15	18	-	-	-	-	15	8	6	1	-	22'33	
A., Mr. J. P. Lyons, Goorgaon	9	32	3	44	-	23	1	15	5	-	20,869	37	86	12	6	3	-	-	30'76	
A., Qazie Zeeaoollah Khan, Rohtuck	12	27	3	42	1	27	-	4	10	-	-	-	-	7	4	6	-	-	22'58	
Nund Lall, Dehlie	154	836	19	1,009	30	455	31	328	165	-	-	-	-	69	28	36	-	4	8'47	
Syud Ahmed Khan, Dehlie	117	1,064	13	1,194	87	545	17	352	193	-	-	-	-	38	16	27	-	1	4'26	
Kasim Alii, Paneeput	41	668	9	718	2	316	8	340	52	-	-	-	-	44	18	26	-	-	6'62	
Mr. J. P. Lyons, Goorgaon	92	622	9	723	1	135	16	515	56	-	42,104	280	4,611	28	11	12	-	2	4'2	
Qazie Zeeaoollah Khan, Rohtuck	61	683	5	749	2	514	31	149	53	-	-	-	-	19	8	8	-	2	2'73	
Fuqueer Chund, Hissar	45	465	1	511	-	337	5	73	96	-	-	-	-	18	6	10	-	1	4'33	
Sookhbashee Lall, Noh	54	553	4	611	-	308	15	233	60	-	-	-	-	21	9	9	-	1	3'81	
Total	684	5,457	257	6,398	193	3,161	135	2,078	831	-	4,25,071	369	4,787	316	127	163	1	13	5'88	

43'79 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	10	314	11	335	42	266	15	-	12	-
" " " 22 to 25	6	3,277	-	3,283	-	3,274	1	-	8	-
S.A. " " 1 to 21	27	263	44	334	8	193	106	-	27	1
" " " 22 to 25	-	194	-	194	-	194	-	-	-	-
Sudder Ameens (with Powers of Moonsiff)	79	1,695	81	1,855	27	1,329	346	-	153	-
Moonsiffs	81	1,631	27	1,739	39	1,252	347	-	101	-
Total	203	7,374	163	7,740	116	6,508	815	-	301	1

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
1st Moonsiff Dehlie	-	-	2,671 12 0
2d Moonsiff Dehlie	-	1,680 0 0	2,718 0 0
Moonsiff Paneeput	-	1,680 0 0	2,786 0 0
Ditto Goorgaon	-	-	743 8 0
Ditto Rohtuck	-	-	2,289 0 0
Ditto Hissar	-	1,680 0 0	1,626 0 0
Ditto Noh	-	2,280 0 0	1,628 0 0
Total	-	7,320 0 0	14,461 4 0

ZILLAH SAHARUNPORE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
1848	1,242	4,736	108	6,086	86	2,540	317	1,975	1,168	5	35,10,678	
1849	1,168	4,307	209	5,684	150	*2,532	306	1,594	1,102	4	2,36,235	* Of which <i>ex parte</i> - 561 Confession of Judgment - 535
Increase	-	-	101	-	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,096
Decrease	74	429	-	402	-	8	11	981	66	1	32,74,443	Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.		
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.			
	† Civil Sittings - 152 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 52 "																				
† 2 to S. D. A.																					
† Judge, Messrs. G. F. Franco and C. Allen } Orgls. } Appls.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Mohd. Kasim } Orgls. } Appls.	85	143	13	241	-	123	17	28	73	1	1,12,318	46	123	49	3	5	1	-	-	17	
P. S. A., Mr. A. Ross - } Orgls. } Appls.	12	26	3	41	-	24	1	10	6	3	3,127	-	-	6	2	4	-	1	-	17	
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiffs. Mr. L. Berkeley, Saharun- pore	214	843	15	1,072	2	501	53	340	176	-	-	-	-	64	26	48	3	4	-	7	
Sheikh Ahmud, Nukoor	63	605	10	678	6	304	28	252	88	-	-	-	-	46	24	24	6	-	-	7	
Busheeroolla, Deobund	158	556	11	725	2	301	83	185	154	-	-	-	-	56	22	30	3	1	-	9	
Ameenooddeen, Shamlee	296	783	9	1,088	4	459	36	296	293	-	76,994	419	3,433	42	20	31	1	1	-	5	
Mohamed Yahaesa, Moosuffernuggur	86	710	7	803	-	318	43	307	135	-	-	-	-	25	14	21	1	1	-	3	
Mr. A. Ross, Deyrah Dhoon	96	355	2	453	-	179	30	167	77	-	-	-	-	3	4	2	-	-	-	-	
Total	1,168	4,307	209	5,684	150	2,532	306	1,594	1,102	4	2,36,235	465	3,556	292	115	165	15	8	-	6	

41.07 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamp
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	25	919	-	214	43	144	50	-	7	1	Moonsiff Saharunpore	1,680 0 0	4,921 8
" " " 22 to 25	45	3,735	1	3,781	155	2,984	558	-	84	-	Ditto Nukoor	1,680 0 0	3,030 4
2 P. S. A. " " 1 to 21	195	383	21	599	-	301	177	-	121	1	Ditto Deobund	2,280 0 0	2,908 12
" " " 22 to 25	5	391	6	402	3	385	1	-	13	-	Ditto Shamlee	2,280 0 0	4,321 8
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ditto Moosuffernuggur	1,200 0 0	3,827 0
6 Moonsiffs	905	3,746	80	4,731	5	1,621	2,302	-	803	-	Ditto Deyrah Dhoon	-	1,074 2
Total	1,173	8,474	108	9,757	206	5,435	3,088	-	1,028	2	Total	9,120 0 0	20,083 2

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized Sale of Stamps.

ZILLAH MEERUT.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Cases pending at the beginning of each year.											Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
1848 - -	1,205	5,598	499	7,302	482	2,895	668	2,188	1,069	16	5,10,081	• Of which <i>ex parte</i> - - 610 Confession of Judgment - 678 - - - - - 1,288
1849 - -	1,069	5,043	398	6,510	378	*3,163	524	1,495	950	6	2,89,385	
Increase - -	-	-	-	-	-	268	-	-	-	-	-	
Decrease - -	136	555	101	792	104	-	144	693	119	10	2,20,696	Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Descriptions of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.				
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Prop.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.					
	† Civil Sittings - 77 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - - 158 „	† ‡ to S. D. A.																					
† Judge, Messrs. A. W. Egbie and H. W. Deane	Orgls. 4	-	2	6	-	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Mohumed Abdoolah Khan	Orgls. 51	108	6	165	-	82	3	29	51	5	85,882	13	95	34	18	17	3	5	10	69			
S. A., Abdoor Ruhman Khan	Meerut. 51	144	84	279	3	139	22	61	54	-	32,922	6	138	90	15	32	10	4				16.66	
S. A., Koonwur Ewuz Ali Khan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiffs, Baboo Shamachurn, Meerut	140	618	2	760	42	331	51	220	116	-	-	-	-	27	4	17	6	-	-	-	-	-	4.48
Mukhun Lal, Haupor	86	452	51	589	-	321	48	164	56	-	-	-	-	34	4	22	4	3	-	-	-	-	6.37
Nasir Ali Khan and Seerajooddeen, Sirdhana	103	649	3	755	22	274	121	235	103	-	-	-	-	18	4	20	3	-	-	-	-	-	4.6
Kurram Ali Khan, Dasna	83	603	2	688	20	497	65	8	108	1	85,613	407	4,121	11	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	3.57
Mr. V. Berkeley, Boolundshuhur	185	903	1	1,089	51	495	85	297	161	-	-	-	-	45	6	15	6	1	-	-	-	-	5.13
Kasim Ali Khan, Sikundrabad	122	715	2	839	-	368	55	332	84	-	-	-	-	25	2	13	4	-	-	-	-	-	3.31
Mohumed Buksh, Debaee	87	588	1	676	-	436	32	135	73	-	-	-	-	18	7	13	2	-	-	-	-	-	2.98
Total - -	1,069	5,043	398	6,510	378	3,163	524	1,495	950	6	2,89,385	426	4,354	269	66	158	40	13					5.19

29.46 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	115	187	-	302	29	138	34	-	101	2
" " " 22 to 25	97	2,895	-	2,992	1	2,882	-	-	109	10
P. S. A. " " 1 to 21	91	344	22	457	-	265	90	-	102	2
" " " 22 to 25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sudder Ameen - - -	96	351	-	447	3	222	140	-	82	-
7 Moonsiffs - - -	522	3,605	28	4,155	29	1,962	1,639	-	325	1
Total - - -	921	7,382	50	8,353	62	5,469	1,903	-	919	15

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Meerut -	-	2,280 0 0	2,929 0 0
Ditto Haupor -	-	1,680 0 0	1,811 14 0
Ditto Sirdhana -	-	1,693 1 0	2,839 14 0
Ditto Dasna -	-	1,680 0 0	2,997 2 0
Ditto Boolundshuhur -	-	2,280 0 0	3,623 4 0
Ditto Sikundrabad -	-	1,680 0 0	2,042 2 0
Ditto Debaee -	-	1,680 0 0	1,944 8 0
Total - - -	-	12,973 1 0	18,187 12 0

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - - -	931	4,430	320	5,681	250	2,986	256	1,169	1,020	4	5,14,059
1849 - - -	1,020	4,003	426	5,449	371	*3,174	160	812	932	5	2,27,938
Increase - - -	89	-	106	-	121	188	-	-	-	1	-
Decrease - - -	-	427	-	232	-	-	96	357	88	-	2,86,121

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 967
 Confession of Judgment - 720
 - - - - - 1,687
 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.				
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.					
† Civil Sittings - 178 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 63 „ † 10 to S. D. A.																							
† Judge, Mr. G. Blunt	1	1	53	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., { Orgls. Appls. 183	321	1	505	201	197	-	8	4	95	5	82,468	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.94	
{ Villayut Ali (late) Orgls. Appls. 38	68	9	115	-	34	74	2	5	29	-	84,383	21	47	27	36	15	1	-	-	-	-	22.68	
{ Ruzzeooddeen Orgls. Appls. 43	-	206	249	1	38	101	4	6	5	58	7,418	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.19	
S. A., { Mohamed Kasim (late) Allygurbh. 39	135	131	305	1	142	-	23	-	62	-	14,248	43	92	59	68	35	1	-	-	-	-	35.75	
{ Momin Ali (present) Allygurbh. 39	135	131	305	1	54	6	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.09	
Moonsiff, { Inayet Ahmed, Coel 198	1,191	5	1,394	93	648	33	331	239	-	-	-	-	-	76	25	43	4	2	-	-	-	7.15	
{ Gholam Moheooddeen, Hattrass 112	747	7	866	1	651	23	49	142	-	-	-	-	-	43	28	32	1	5	-	-	-	5.94	
{ Bukhtawar Singh, Khyr 95	425	1	521	3	377	3	74	64	-	-	39,421	382	3,096	26	9	16	3	4	-	-	-	5.77	
{ Hamid Husun, Kassgunj 194	738	4	956	1	583	33	134	205	-	-	-	-	-	49	16	29	3	2	-	-	-	6.53	
{ Abbas Ally, Atrowly 117	357	9	483	15	275	43	110	38	-	-	-	-	-	33	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	7.67	
Total - - -	1,020	4,003	426	5,449	371	3,174	160	812	932	5	2,27,938	446	3,236	340	186	176	13	14	-	-	-	8.2	

51.58 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	82	359	19	454	13	365	44	-	32	3			
" " " 22 to 25	97	5,997	56	6,150	-	6,049	1	-	100	6	Moonsiff Coel -	2,280 0 0	2,974 15 0
1 P. S. A., " 1 to 21	108	263	11	382	-	181	126	-	75	-	Ditto Hattrass -	1,680 0 0	3,656 0 0
" " " 22 to 25	6	562	-	568	-	561	-	-	7	-	Ditto Khyr -	1,680 0 0	2,070 8 0
1 Sudder Ameen - - -	117	644	101	862	-	572	145	-	145	7	Ditto Kassgunj	1,670 0 0	2,251 2 0
5 Moonsiff - - -	488	3,945	318	4,751	50	2,453	1,761	-	482	-	Ditto Atrowly	1,607 8 0	1,156 8 0
Total - - -	898	11,770	499	13,167	63	10,865	2,077	-	841	16	Total -	8,917 8 0	12,109 1 0

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

ZILLAH MOORADABAD.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - - -	1,512	5,848	677	8,037	621	3,979	455	1,298	1,684	2	2,74,996
1849 - - -	1,684	6,520	1,330	9,534	1,289	*4,339	566	1,457	1,883	7	2,48,445
Increase - - -	172	672	653	1,497	668	360	111	159	199	5	-
Decrease - - -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,551

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 1,302
 Confession of Judgment - 865
 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way. - 2,167

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.		
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.			
	† Civil Sittings - 183 Days, Session and Criminal Sittings - 73 ,, Civil and Session Sittings - 7 ,, † 5 to S. D. A.																				
† Judge, Messrs. H. Lushington and J. Lean	167	595	7	769	466	173	3	1	126	-	20,879	-	2	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	2·82
P. S. A., Syed Tus-suddook Hoosein Khan and Moulvee Ahd. Husun Khan	37	69	4	110	-	64	-	13	33	-	98,803	36	33	31	13	20	-	-	-	-	6·88
S. A., Mohumed Mobarizooddeen, Mooradabad	25	99	283	407	4	200	27	88	88	3	40,039	52	97	49	23	32	1	1	-	-	15·55
S. A. Mohaish Chunder and Tujumool Hoosein Khan, Officiating Bijore	19	50	2	71	-	36	3	3	29	3	-	-	-	12	5	7	-	-	-	-	42·85
Mohaish Chunder and Tujumool Hoosein Khan, Bijore	48	416	6	470	-	209	18	81	162	-	-	-	-	34	27	21	-	-	-	-	15·25
Saudolla Khan Umroha	184	531	2	717	1	324	46	104	242	-	-	-	-	55	12	21	5	1	-	-	11·6
Mohd. Noor, Nugeenah	262	1,023	8	1,293	4	667	96	238	288	1	-	-	-	79	23	36	-	-	-	-	7·89
Tujumool Hoosein Khan and Sd. Ubhas Ali, Sumbhul	262	754	4	1,020	278	416	49	182	95	-	-	-	-	60	25	60	-	-	-	-	9·27
Imdad Husun Khan Dhampore	261	609	14	884	103	373	100	102	206	-	76,359	1,180	4,525	54	9	27	1	-	-	-	9·39
Hafzooddeen and Kureemooddeen, Chundowsee	168	641	8	817	-	370	88	208	151	-	-	-	-	62	23	30	1	10	-	-	10·66
Sd. Ubhas Ali, Environs	44	639	110	793	13	474	74	149	83	-	-	-	-	9	6	3	-	-	-	-	7·6
Quazee Mohumed Buksh, City	135	667	3	805	4	413	37	183	168	-	-	-	-	53	5	39	-	-	-	-	7·42
Uzmutoollah Khan, Thakoordwara	71	425	2	498	1	265	19	93	120	-	-	-	-	47	11	21	1	-	-	-	8·48
Total	1,684	6,520	1,330	9,534	1,289	4,339	566	1,457	1,883	7	2,48,445	1,268	4,657	601	196	335	9	13	-	-	9·44

36·91 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	11	368	-	379	118	224	4	-	33	-
" " " " 22 to 25	11	4,648	-	4,659	17	4,609	-	-	33	-
P. S. A., " " " 1 to 21	45	256	103	404	1	266	77	-	60	-
" " " " 22 to 25	1	704	136	841	-	835	4	-	2	-
2 Sudder Ameeris (1 with Powers of Moonsiff)	160	1,486	1	1,647	-	1,093	308	-	246	1
8 Moonsiffs	752	10,184	3	10,939	1	7,737	2,354	-	847	-
Total	980	17,646	243	18,869	137	14,764	2,747	-	1,221	1

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Bijour	-	-	3,442 14 0
Ditto Umroha	1,680	0 0	2,007 0 0
Ditto Nugeenah	2,380	0 0	5,835 0 0
Ditto Sumbhul	2,130	0 0	3,532 2 0
Ditto Dhampore	1,680	0 0	2,408 8 0
Ditto Chundowsee	1,680	0 0	3,063 14 0
Ditto Environs	1,605	0 0	1,663 0 0
Ditto City	1,680	0 0	2,069 8 0
Ditto Thakoordwara	1,680	0 0	1,792 6 0
Total	-	14,515 0 0	25,814 4 0

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

No. 1.—Comparative Statements.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - -	2,967	8,942	781	12,690	730	6,234	991	1,740	2,995	32	3,39,255
1849 - -	2,995	9,768	808	13,571	732	7,396	790	1,631	3,022	10	4,32,984
Increase - -	28	826	27	881	2	1,162	-	-	27	-	93,729
Decrease - -	-	-	-	-	-	-	201	109	-	22	-

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 1,969
Confession of Judgment 1,043
3,012
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.						
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.	Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.	
† Civil Sittings - 231 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - ‡ 3 to S. D. A.																				
† Judge, Mr. S. Fraser	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Mohamed Husum	291	682	8	981	340	347	11	13	270	-	93,132	-	-	9	5	1	-	-	-	2.4
S. A., Khan Bahadar Khan, Bareilly	39	62	7	108	-	68	1	5	34	-	70,079	12	50	36	13	11	-	-	-	5.8
S. A., Mashook Alee Khan, Pillitcheet	316	-	345	661	6	500	35	9	111	-	7,329	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S. A., Mashook Alee Khan, Pillitcheet	112	226	229	567	6	267	17	41	236	2	98,190	152	781	70	64	41	5	2	21.5	
Amant Hoosein Bareilly	144	707	1	852	-	449	68	120	215	-	-	-	-	46	8	27	1	1	7.2	
Moulvee Mohd. Hussun, Suburbs	145	531	60	736	4	392	29	172	139	1	-	-	-	68	23	55	9	6	11.4	
Moulv. Abdoolah, Budaon	259	875	3	1,167	261	390	21	120	375	-	-	-	-	48	9	39	1	1	9.0	
Moulvee Wuzeroodeen, Budaon	145	1,181	7	1,333	-	983	45	176	129	-	-	-	-	61	19	41	2	3	5.0	
Pundit Hurnath, Boesulpore	140	531	3	674	-	429	33	124	88	-	-	-	-	40	19	25	1	-	6.8	
Shaik Momin Alee, Shahjehanpore	131	680	7	818	3	323	75	166	251	1	-	-	-	42	38	32	5	1	7.4	
Mohamed Rehmutoolah, Suheswan	248	559	6	813	3	441	32	111	226	3	1,64,254	1,310	6,781	49	21	51	1	-	8.3	
Mohd. Serajooddeen, Islamnuggur	278	859	5	1,142	103	641	91	81	226	1	-	-	-	49	17	47	2	1	6.0	
Hakeem Nizamoodden, Powain	185	632	106	893	5	518	85	115	170	-	-	-	-	60	30	58	5	3	8.3	
Molv. Abdool Julcel, Tilhur	145	539	1	685	-	280	52	117	236	1	-	-	-	33	20	30	4	2	7.3	
Moulvee Keramutoolah, Suleympore	189	1,108	17	1,314	-	928	128	88	170	1	-	-	-	57	32	47	6	-	4.3	
Total	198	626	3	827	1	440	67	173	146	-	-	-	-	33	12	20	4	2	4.4	
Total	2,995	9,768	808	13,571	732	7,396	790	1,631	3,022	10	4,32,984	1,474	7,612	701	330	325	46	22	7.1	

58.59 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost	Amount realized by Sale of Stam
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	230	314	15	559	60	316	35	-	148	3	Moonsiff Bareilly	2,280 0 0	1,743 2
" " " 22 to 25	71	5,434	-	5,505	-	5,481	-	-	24	1	Moonsiff Suburbs	1,680 0 0	3,486 6
P. S. A., " " 1 to 21	69	135	51	255	-	127	65	-	63	-	1st Moonsiff Budaon	1,730 0 0	4,147 4
" " " 22 to 25	2	1,142	-	1,144	-	1,144	-	-	-	-	2d Moonsiff Budaon	1,680 0 0	1,310 10
2 Sudder Ameen	216	1,055	12	1,283	-	634	366	-	283	-	Moonsiff Boesulpore	1,390 2 3	2,181 10
11 Moonsiffs	1,265	9,009	98	10,367	1	6,494	2,333	-	1,519	11	Ditto Shahjehanpore	2,183 4 2	2,688 14
Total	1,833	17,089	171	19,113	61	14,196	2,819	-	2,037	15	Ditto Suheswan	1,676 12 5	3,850 6
											Ditto Islamnuggur	1,680 0 0	2,713 14
											Ditto Powain	1,637 11 6	2,567 12
											Ditto Tilhur	1,671 15 0	4,338 2
											Ditto Suleympore	1,666 13 3	2,010 12
Total											Total	19,276 10 7	31,058 12

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordina Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

ZILLAH AGRA.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848	704	3,753	179	4,636	149	2,614	294	1,054	525	2	1,41,798
1849	525	3,708	158	4,386	137	*2,465	180	886	718	1	12,96,911
Increase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	193	-	11,55,113
Decrease	179	50	21	250	12	149	114	168	-	1	-

*Of which *ex parte* - = 762
Confession of Judgment - = 438
-----1,900
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.	Result of Appeals.				Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.					
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.		Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.		Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.		
† Civil Sittings - - 99 Days, Session and Criminal Sittings - - 120 "																						
† 8 to S.D.A.																						
† Judge, Mr. J. S. Bol- dero	Orgls.	1	8	14	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.54	
P. S. A., Moulvee Kurree- moollah Khan	Orgls.	36	139	14	189	1	125	-	20	43	1	11,64,164	44	95	46	13	23	-	-	-	20.81	
A., Ukber Ali Khan, Muttra	Orgls.	17	87	2	106	2	45	3	20	36	-	23,960	38	49	17	3	13	-	2	-	25.	
Moonsiffs.	Lala Chuttr Bhooj.	98	456	3	557	5	283	16	145	-	-	-	-	-	60	12	44	-	1	-	13.51	
	Mr. R. Garland	-	455	1	456	1	183	20	118	242	-	-	-	-	14	1	6	-	-	-	4.37	
	Ukber Ali Khan	101	552	7	660	2	300	57	202	99	-	-	-	-	28	7	15	-	1	-	5.	
	Mohumed Subbe- ooddeen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mirza Mohumel Ali Beg, Futtehpore	30	249	-	279	2	201	20	33	23	-	-	-	-	2	1	4	-	-	-	7.8	
	Meer Salamut Ali, Bah	39	375	3	417	-	246	24	109	38	-	41,567	364	2,885	35	11	17	-	1	-	9.23	
	Ewuz Ali Khan	43	162	3	208	1	141	18	3	-	-	-	-	-	9	2	12	-	-	-	5.55	
	Hural (in charge)	-	15	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.76
	Lala Chuttr Bhooj.	-	98	-	98	-	67	13	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mohumed Subbe- ooddeen	68	479	-	547	1	389	3	69	-	93	-	-	-	12	2	9	-	-	-	-	2.6
Ishreepershaud	-	8	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Moulvee Ali Bux, Maat	43	405	2	450	2	282	6	116	44	-	-	-	-	11	5	6	-	-	-	-	2.72	
Total	525	3,703	158	4,386	137	2,465	180	886	718	1	12,96,911	447	3,031	246	60	149	-	5	-	-	6.96	

28.7 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	49	177	19	245	14	162	22	-	47	-	Moonsiff Agra	1,680 0 0	3,212 10 0
" " " 22 to 25	-	3,661	-	3,661	-	3,661	-	-	-	-	Ditto Futtehpore	1,680 0 0	1,175 2 0
P. S. A. " " 1 to 21	45	223	19	287	-	148	93	-	46	-	Ditto Peenahut	1,680 0 0	1,837 2 0
" " " 22 to 25	-	1,116	-	1,116	-	1,114	-	-	2	-	Ditto Etmadpore	1,751 12 0	1,004 10 0
S. A. and Moonsiff	113	1,036	2	1,151	5	612	405	-	129	-	Ditto Jullaisur	1,680 0 0	2,201 4 0
6 Moonsiffs	338	4,968	462	5,768	24	3,809	1,566	-	369	-	Ditto Maat	1,680 0 0	1,845 4 0
Total	545	11,191	502	12,228	43	9,506	2,086	-	593	-	Total	10,151 12 0	11,276 0 0

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - - -	653	3,473	159	4,285	159	2,534	386	531	675	-	1,00,921
1849 - - -	675	3,394	201	4,270	176	*2,572	265	568	689	2	3,08,679
Increase - - -	22	-	42	-	17	38	-	37	14	2	2,07,758
Decrease - - -	-	79	-	15	-	-	121	-	-	-	-

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 404
 Confession of Judgment - 592
 ----- 996
 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of Regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Rents, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.		
† Civil Sittings - 147 Days Session and Criminal Sittings 111 "																				
† 7 to S. D. A.																				
Judges, Messrs. W. R. Orgls. Timins, W. H. Tyler, and C. Allen } Appls.	85	314	1	400	140	159	15	6	80	-	24,779	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	55
P. S. A. Messrs. J. Mercer and J. Kirk } Orgls. } Appls.	33	159	6	200	1	116	7	30	46	-	2,50,565	52	107	156	26	30	1	1	1	17'23
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiff. { Mohd. Husun, Furruckabad - - -	112	630	11	753	12	446	37	157	101	-	-	-	-	47	16	38	2	1	1	7'84
{ Hyder Ali Khan, Ditto -	107	614	6	727	17	430	79	97	104	-	-	-	-	60	19	41	2	2	2	9'9
{ Fuzl Huq and Doondwar } { Raj Koomar } Orgls.	99	420	6	525	2	344	59	21	99	1	33,335	537	2,384	33	13	15	-	-	-	7'78
{ Kurn Ali Khan, Kaemgunj } { Elahee Duksh, Chibrano } { Gunput Roy, Kunouj } Orgls.	50	356	4	410	1	292	10	41	66	-	-	-	-	39	14	18	4	1	1	11'37
{ } Orgls.	82	421	11	514	2	282	40	124	66	-	-	-	-	43	17	33	5	1	1	9'64
{ } Orgls.	80	480	9	569	1	334	17	90	127	1	-	-	-	49	20	35	2	2	2	11'11
Total - - -	675	3,394	201	4,270	176	2,572	265	568	689	2	3,08,679	589	2,491	328	125	208	16	8	8	9'63

57'53 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	34	437	22	493	145	265	52	-	31	-
" " " 22 to 25	36	6,481	-	6,517	-	6,452	-	-	65	-
P. S. A. " " 1 to 21	90	437	116	643	1	364	216	-	62	-
" " " 22 to 25	9	988	-	997	-	981	-	-	16	-
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Moonsiffs - - -	380	5,321	25	5,726	5	4,170	1,130	-	421	-
Total - - -	549	13,564	163	14,926	151	12,212	1,368	-	595	-

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
1st Moonsiff Furruckabad	-	1,680 0 0	2,637 12 0
2d Ditto Ditto	-	2,280 0 0	2,064 14 0
Ditto Doondwara	-	1,680 0 0	1,612 8 0
Ditto Kaemgunj	-	1,680 0 0	1,433 2 0
Ditto Chibrano	-	1,680 0 0	1,631 12 0
Ditto Kunouj	-	1,680 0 0	1,573 4 0
Total	-	10,680 0 0	10,973 4 0

ZILLAH MYNPOORIE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - -	476	2,132	254	2,862	221	1,608	257	308	468	5	96,805
1849 - -	468	2,433	198	3,099	174	*1,951	154	328	492	4	1,20,801
Increase - -	-	301	-	237	-	343	-	20	24	-	23,996
Decrease - -	8	-	56	-	47	-	103	-	-	1	-

* Of which *ex parte* - - 343
Confession of Judgment - 502
845
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.	Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.			
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.		Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.		Dismissed.	Withdrawn.	
																					† Civil Sittings - 92 Days, Session and Criminal Sittings - 144 " † 2 to S. D. A.
† Judge, Messrs. C. F. Thompson and W. R. Timins	-	51	8	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Mohumed Hoosein Khan	45	245	1	291	107	100	3	3	78	1	22,366	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1.88
Sudder Ameen (none).	32	27	56	115	-	54	1	9	51	3	80,167	17	10	31	9	25	-	2	-	-	1.9
Lalla Soonder Lall, Mynpoorie	16	-	108	124	-	91	5	3	25	-	1,943	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moulvee Inaath Hoosein, Shekoabad	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohumed Nujuf Khan, Sirpoorah	76	568	7	651	4	420	64	99	64	-	-	-	-	62	7	26	3	-	-	-	10.63
Pundit Ruttun Lall, Etawah	66	356	9	431	-	334	8	4	85	-	-	-	-	38	12	24	1	-	-	-	10.98
Lalla Sheodyal, Phuppoond	71	341	4	416	3	304	22	46	41	-	16,325	396	1714	34	10	18	2	1	-	-	9.13
Total	91	433	4	528	1	341	40	63	83	-	-	-	-	60	13	30	1	1	-	-	12.51
Total	71	412	1	484	-	307	11	101	65	-	-	-	-	24	1	16	1	2	-	-	5.72
Total	468	2,433	198	3,099	174	1,951	154	328	492	-	1,20,801	444	1,744	251	54	130	8	6	-	-	10.31

27.97 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	23	156	24	203	89	93	7	-	14	1
" " " 22 to 25	75	2,213	-	2,288	-	2,222	-	-	66	13
P. S. A., " 1 to 21	53	159	74	286	-	173	72	-	41	-
" " " 22 to 25	5	1,150	2	1,157	-	1,151	-	-	6	-
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Moonsiffs	177	1,785	80	2,042	3	1,199	648	-	192	-
Total	333	3,463	180	5,976	92	4,838	727	-	319	14

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Mynpoorie	-	2,280 0 0	1,882 14 0
Ditto Shekoabad	-	1,675 0 0	1,231 2 0
Ditto Sirpoorah	-	1,676 12 4	1,301 8 0
Ditto Etawah	-	1,680 0 0	1,622 8 0
Ditto Phuppoond	-	1,680 0 0	1,453 4 0
Total	-	8,991 12 4	7,491 4 0

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848	571	2,322	281	3,174	228	1,849	192	301	604	7	2,98,886
1849	604	2,332	271	3,207	225	1,802	163	308	709	35	3,03,798
Increase	33	10	-	33	-	-	-	7	105	28	4,912
Decrease	-	-	10	-	3	47	29	-	-	-	-

Of which *ex parte* - 292
Confession of Judgment - 516
808
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Wages, Contracts, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.	
	† Civil Sittings - 157 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 116 "																		
† 8 to S. D. A.																			
Judge, Messrs. A. Speirs and J. Muir	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	60,891	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Moulvie Muhammad Khadim Hussain Khan	91	70	18	119	2	59	2	10	46	1	1,33,203	27	43	35	22	11	2	2	13.15
S. A., Mohamed Hubbeoolah, Cawnpore	98	92	17	147	2	102	-	16	27	1	15,079	32	60	44	23	33	1	4	37.28
Mohamed Muttaqiy, Cawnpore	71	415	9	489	2	262	15	119	91	-				43	15	20	4	2	10.85
Ubdool Hafia Ali Khan, Colonelgunj	46	316	4	366	8	218	18	59	83	-				61	31	22	-	2	22.15
Itrat Hoosain, Gujneyr	88	369	9	466	2	284	50	45	82	-	11,086	400	1,402	49	18	20	2	-	12.82
Shookroollah, Derapore	35	271	3	309	4	220	37	22	26	-				47	9	29	-	-	16.84
Synd Kulub Ali Shah, Sheorajpore	84	431	9	524	-	343	30	44	107	-				98	27	36	2	-	23.5
Total	604	2,332	271	3,207	225	1,802	163	308	709	35	3,03,798	459	1,505	377	145	171	11	10	16.58

45.88 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	130	570	-	700	40	472	35	-	158	-
" " " 22 to 25	148	5,557	-	5,705	10	5,367	115	-	213	15
P. S. A., " 1 to 21	90	297	41	428	-	265	84	-	79	2
" " " 22 to 25	12	781	-	793	-	781	-	-	12	-
Sudder Ameen	90	598	14	672	-	476	134	-	63	1
5 Moonsiffs	321	3,173	14	3,510	-	2,302	799	-	409	5
Total	791	10,948	69	11,808	50	9,663	1,167	-	928	23

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

Moonsiffs.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Cawnpore	2,154 14 8	1,565 0 0
Ditto Colonelgunj	1,680 0 0	1,243 0 0
Ditto Gujneyr	1,680 0 0	1,655 8 0
Ditto Derapore	1,680 0 0	1,252 4 0
Ditto Sheorajpore	1,680 0 0	1,592 12 0
Total	8,854 14 8	7,313 8 0

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848	225	1,182	49	1,456	12	1,003	92	146	203	2	1,17,903
1849	203	1,121	25	1,349	12	900	55	144	238	-	75,567
Increase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-
Decrease	22	61	24	107	-	103	37	2	-	2	42,336

• Of which *ex parte* - - - 195
Confession of Judgment - - - 189
- - - 384

Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.						
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.							
† Civil Sittings - 188 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 56 "																									
† 1 to S. D. A.																									
† Judge Mr. H. Armstrong	Orgls.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Appls.	38	115	1	154	-	120	8	-	26	-	11,538	-	-	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	3.12			
P. S. A., Syud Mohamed Yousuf Hussain Khan	Orgls.	32	74	12	118	-	78	4	9	27	-	50,853	27	47	23	15	5	2	-	-	-	25.27			
	Appls.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Sudder Ameen (none).		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
MOONSIFFS. { Gholam Ghose, Futtehpore Al Hussain, Jehanabad Emadul Hussain, Hutgaon		68	401	5	474	-	334	18	57	65	-	13,176	257	675	51	13	38	5	-	-	-	12.46			
		18	222	3	243	-	137	10	38	58	-				12	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.43
		47	309	4	360	12	231	15	40	62	-				31	16	22	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.83
Total		203	1,121	25	1,349	12	900	55	144	238	-	75,567	284	722	121	49	73	8	-	-	-	11.01			

40.16 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Non.	12	163	-	175	12	153	1	-	9	-
" " " 22 to 25	68	3,216	-	3,284	5	3,212	-	-	67	-
S. A. " " 1 to 21	45	238	12	295	-	119	85	-	91	-
" " " 22 to 25	11	678	5	694	-	681	5	-	8	-
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiffs	169	2,216	-	2,385	-	1,792	384	-	209	-
Total	305	6,511	17	6,933	17	5,957	475	-	384	-

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Futtehpore	-	2,280 0 0	1,669 8 0
Ditto Jehanabad	-	1,680 0 0	1,054 2 0
Ditto Hutgaon	-	1,680 0 0	1,135 12 0
Total	-	5,640 0 0	3,859 6 0

ZILLAH BUNDELKUND.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - -	497	1,641	335	2,473	312	955	320	486	400	3	66,836
1849 - -	400	1,222	130	1,752	123	*842	200	334	253	2	59,023
Increase - -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decrease - -	97	419	205	721	189	113	120	152	147	1	7,813

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 208
Confession of Judgment - 132
340
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.		
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.			
† Civil Sittings - 160 Days Session and Criminal Sittings - - 68 ,,																					
‡ 1 to S. D. A.																					
† Judge, Mr. S. G. Smith - } Orgl. - } Appls.	102	140	44	44	44	132	4	-	71	1	22,472	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11		
P. S. A., Mohamed Mousin and Mohamed Kasim - } Orgl. - } Appls.	46	68	43	157	-	83	32	19	23	-	23,810	29	39	133	4	36	3	-	19		
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Moonsiffs. { Luchmunpershad, Banda -	75	428	3	506	23	263	37	124	59	1	12,741	278	736	57	17	26	2	-	8		
{ Mashook Ally, Teroha -	45	211	2	258	-	130	51	33	44	-				19	8	17	-	-	-	-	8
{ Ruhaemoollah, Jelalpoore -	132	373	2	509	21	199	75	158	56	-				53	8	52	-	-	-	-	12
Total - -	400	1,222	130	1,752	123	842	200	334	253	2	59,023	307	775	142	37	191	5	-	10		

22.02 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized b Sale of Stamps
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	46	170	1	117	57	140	8	-	12	-	Moonsiff Banda -	2,280 0 0	1,493 12
" " " 22 to 25	33	1,187	-	1,220	-	1,181	-	-	39	-			
P. S. A., " " 1 to 21	66	219	57	342	-	170	116	-	56	-	Ditto Teroha -	1,680 0 0	922 0
" " " 22 to 25	2	974	-	976	-	971	-	-	5	-			
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ditto Jelalpoore -	1,680 0 0	1,864 0
4 Moonsiffs - - -	238	1,983	2	2,223	1	1,460	555	-	207	-			
Total - - -	385	4,533	60	4,978	58	3,923	679	-	319	-	Total -	5,640 0 0	4,285 12

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized Sale of Stamps.

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
	Pending at the beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.	
1848	519	2,838	97	3,454	12	2,304	177	382	579	4	2,86,204	
1849	579	2,984	109	3,672	36	2,406	170	438	622	9	4,76,710	* Of which <i>ex parte</i> - - - 650 Confession of Judgment - - 373 - - - - - 1,023
Increase	60	146	12	218	24	102	-	56	43	5	1,90,506	Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.
Decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Civil Sittings - 146 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 103 "	Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.										Description of Suits.	Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.					
		1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.		11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.		Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.		
3 to S. D. A.																							
Judge, Messrs. T. P. Woodcock and A. Lang	Orgls. 43 Appls. 284	3	330	-	243	11	1	75	1	15,688	-	-	1	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	1.96
S. A. Moulvee Ruzee-ooddeen and Villayet Ali Khan	Orgls. 72 Appls. 218	26	316	1	189	3	22	101	2	4,31,444	68	150	66	48	17	1	-	-	-	-	-	30.84	
Under Ameen (none).																							
Syed Abdoollah, Kishoree Lall, and Syed Sullamut Ali	Orgls. 48 Appls. 865	19	932	5	649	17	161	100	-	-	-	-	21	15	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	7.61	
Syed Sullamut Ali and Kishoree Lall	Orgls. 115 Appls. 729	14	858	7	569	33	134	115	1	29,578	600	1,882	19	9	10	2	-	-	-	-	-	6.65	
Kanjeeahae, Munjunpore	Orgls. 174 Appls. 483	10	667	3	433	68	31	132	1	-	-	-	49	21	12	3	1	-	-	-	-	9.21	
Heyth Lall, Phoolpore	Orgls. 127 Appls. 405	18	550	2	322	38	89	99	4	-	-	-	69	23	22	2	-	-	-	-	-	14.03	
Total	579	2,984	109	3,672	36	2,406	170	438	622	9	4,76,710	668	2,032	296	153	95	11	1	-	-	9.85		

61.69 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Moonsiffs	34	372	-	406	73	270	18	-	45	3
Moonsiff Allahabad	41	5,590	-	5,541	14	5,456	-	-	71	3
S. A., " " 1 to 21	138	574	60	772	-	446	125	-	201	-
" " " 22 to 25	1	91	-	95	-	94	-	-	1	-
Under Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiffs	511	3,370	4	4,385	3	2,276	1,451	-	655	-
Total	725	10,410	64	11,199	90	8,542	1,594	-	973	6

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Allahabad	1,830	0 0	3,036 12 0
Ditto Kydgunge	1,651	10 8	2,233 10 0
Ditto Munjunpore	2,280	0 0	2,452 10 0
Ditto Phoolpore	1,680	0 0	1,489 12 0
Total	7,441	10 8	9,212 12 0

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848	2,005	4,494	567	7,066	466	3,682	704	523	1,691	24	5,38,473
1849	1,691	5,548	438	7,677	340	*4,275	662	638	1,762	5	3,09,173
Increase	-	1,054	-	611	-	593	-	115	71	-	-
Decrease	314	-	129	-	126	-	42	-	-	19	2,29,300

* Of which *ex parte* - 580
Confession of Judgment - 1,485
2,065
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.										Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.								
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.	Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.		
† Civil Sittings - 163 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 81 "																					
† 4 } to S. D. A. ‡ 7 }																					
† Judge, Mr. S. S. Brown	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
P. S. A. Mohamed Lutef	99	678	2	774	273	310	50	5	136	1	59,761	-	-	6	2	3	-	-	-	1	
P. S. A., Ubdool Uzeez	41	48	6	95	-	61	-	8	26	1	1,71,733	41	56	126	7	2	-	-	-	13	
S. A., Mohd. Kurreemooddeen, Goruckpore	62	-	132	194	45	126	1	-	22	-	-	-	-	\$46	16	17	-	1	15		
S. A., Mehndee Ali Khan, Goruckpore	45	49	12	106	1	64	2	4	35	2	4,849	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohndee Hoosein, Captaingunge	43	-	202	245	2	205	17	2	19	-	-	-	-	77	34	47	4	1	31		
Wuzeer Ali, Bansee	107	232	25	364	4	220	10	34	96	-	56,927	84	148	5	-	3	-	-	-		
Mehndee Ali Khan and Khuleelabad	167	727	3	897	-	527	84	45	241	-	-	-	-	74	3	12	4	-	11		
Rusool Buksh Khan, Bansgaon	99	416	5	520	-	303	29	51	137	-	-	-	-	35	5	12	2	1	9		
Rozat Hoosein, Munsoorgunge	233	717	11	961	-	451	146	41	323	-	-	-	-	62	5	12	1	1	11		
Zyfoollah, Purrownah	150	507	5	662	1	353	43	80	185	1	15,908	1,768	2,778	59	12	11	-	-	12		
Mohammed Hoosein, Deoreea	89	468	10	567	1	295	78	70	123	-	-	-	-	59	6	5	1	-	13		
Khoorshad Ali Khan, Goruckpore	187	449	14	650	3	361	113	76	97	-	-	-	-	70	16	12	3	-	12		
Total	272	572	4	848	1	469	62	115	201	-	-	-	-	122	12	22	4	-	18		
Total	97	690	7	794	9	530	27	107	121	-	-	-	-	54	1	9	-	-	6		
Total	1,691	5,548	438	7,677	340	4,275	662	638	1,762	5	3,09,173	1,893	2,382	704	119	167	19	4	15		

41.6 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	28	581	-	609	98	520	17	-	34	-
" " " 22 to 25	279	4,033	-	4,312	1	4,005	-	-	306	-
2 P. S. As., " 1 to 21	102	456	40	598	8	338	146	-	111	-
" " " 22 to 25	11	542	1	554	-	532	5	-	17	-
1 Sudder Ameen	119	1,436	2	1,557	-	1,162	227	-	168	-
8 Moonsiff.	757	6,814	174	7,745	2	5,210	1,689	-	844	-
Total	1,296	13,862	217	15,375	44	11,767	2,084	-	1,480	-

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized Sale of Sta
Moff. Captaingunge	1,670 5 3	2,763 2
Ditto Bansee	1,680 0 0	2,647 6
Ditto Khuleelabad	2,276 10 8	3,418 0
Ditto Bansgaon	2,200 0 0	2,231 14
Ditto Munsoorgunge	1,577 5 1	1,839 10
Ditto Purrownah	1,663 14 1	1,702 0
Ditto Deoreea	1,554 9 11	2,173 0
Ditto Goruckpore	1,680 0 0	2,697 14
Total	14,382 13 0	18,992 1

ZILLAH AZIMGURH.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - -	1,513	3,544	1,121	5,178	1,070	1,782	393	136	1,797	24	3,34,794
1849 - -	1,797	2,790	1,511	6,098	1,431	*2,995	448	126	1,098	64	2,56,292
Increase - -	284	246	390	920	361	1,213	55	-	-	40	-
Decrease - -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	699	-	78,502

* Of which *ex parte* - - 301
Confession of Judgment - 139
440
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.	Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.			
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.		Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.		Dismissed.	Withdrawn.	
† Civil Sittings - 171 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 78 "																					
† 8 } to S. D. A. ‡ 7 }																					
† Judge, Messrs. } J. S. Clarke, and } Orgs. W. E. Money } Apps.	2	-	16	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Tussudook } Hoosin Khan } Orgs. - } Apps.	56	52	25	133	52	58	-	2	21	-	-	75,989	32	20	155	14	13	-	1	11.08	
Adl. P. S. A., Moho- } med Sulleem } Orgs. - } Apps.	-	-	65	65	-	49	4	3	9	2	-	3,893	-	-	\$11	2	-	1	-	2.66	
S. A. Doobey Joolapershad, Azimgurh - - - -	394	102	302	798	23	520	129	21	105	1	56,437	44	58	230	84	109	1	-	34.32		
Mahomed Ruzza, Azim- gurh - - - -	304	552	17	873	202	385	89	23	174	-	-	-	-	-	111	98	62	1	2	22.39	
Dabeedyal, Azimgurh -	245	429	19	693	78	363	75	15	162	-	-	-	-	-	157	71	132	3	-	34.65	
Moonsiffs, } Khadim Hoosin, Moho- } medabad - - - -	253	659	3	895	226	390	66	36	177	1	40,265	981	971	79	43	64	4	-	-	16.05	
Abdoollah, Nugrah -	-	332	227	559	2	385	70	10	92	-	-	-	-	-	51	5	18	1	-	10.96	
Total - - - -	1,797	2,790	1,511	6,098	1,431	2,995	448	126	1,098	64	2,56,292	1,037	1,049	694	257	398	11	3	19.44		

39.23 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	85	321	-	406	29	328	7	-	42	-
" " " 22 to 25	32	4,339	-	4,371	-	4,325	-	-	46	-
2 P. S. As. " 1 to 21	100	305	118	523	87	263	95	-	78	-
" " " 22 to 25	8	755	9	772	6	763	1	-	2	-
1 Sudder Ameen - - -	86	1,082	-	1,168	-	1,002	18	-	148	-
4 Moonsiffs - - - -	272	2,553	83	2,908	74	1,959	503	-	372	-
Total - - - -	583	9,355	210	10,148	196	8,640	624	-	698	-

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
1st Moonsiff Azimgurh	1,680	0 0	2,413 8 0
2nd " Azimgurh	1,680	0 0	2,204 2 0
" Mohomedabad	1,680	0 0	3,155 10 0
" Nugrah	2,280	0 0	1,575 8 0
Total - - - -	7,320	0 0	9,348 12 0

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
1848 - -	652	2,293	524	3,469	486	2,229	143	71	540	3	2,25,952	* Of which <i>ex parte</i> - - 384 Confession of Judgment - 27 411 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.
1849 - -	540	2,327	380	3,247	331	2,445	98	47	326	-	4,90,987	
Increase - - -	-	34	-	-	-	216	-	-	-	-	2,65,035	
Decrease - - -	112	-	144	222	155	-	45	24	214	3	-	

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.				
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.					
																				† Civil Sittings - 143 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 93 „ 6 to S. D. A.			
† Judge, Mr. R. J. Tayler	-	-	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P. S. A., Cazeer Yar Ali Khan	116	354	2	472	117	253	17	5	80	-	25,660	-	-	26	22	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.45
S. A. (none).	88	96	50	234	-	177	15	7	35	-	4,54,895	44	52	165	31	49	1	4	-	-	-	19.81	
Moonsiff, Syud Bunde Ali, City Jounpore	13	-	118	131	2	125	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiff, Moulvee Mohumed Fuzuloolah, Jounpore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moonsiff, Moulvee Morad Ali, Mundeahoo	65	538	60	663	1	612	10	2	38	-	-	-	-	100	25	64	3	-	-	-	-	16.02	
Moonsiff, Syud Kaim Ali, Muchleshehur	92	462	7	561	58	401	12	18	72	-	-	-	-	70	19	47	1	1	-	-	-	16.24	
Total	91	444	8	543	28	402	32	13	68	-	10,432	908	969	71	36	53	11	-	-	-	-	15.88	
Total	75	433	29	537	19	475	8	3	33	-	-	-	-	55	11	35	5	-	-	-	-	11.34	
Total	540	2,327	380	3,247	331	2,445	98	47	326	-	4,93,987	952	1,021	387	144	249	21	5	-	-	-	14.94	

36.64 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.	
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	69	243	3	315	28	164	43	-	83	1	Moonsiff City Jounpore	-	-	
" " " 22 to 25	70	4,413	-	4,483	3	4,284	122	-	74	1	" " " 1 to 21	2,280	0 0	1,803 6 0
P. S. A., " " 22 to 25	84	504	14	602	-	408	115	-	79	1	Ditto Pergh, ditto	1,680	0 0	1,619 10 0
Sudder Ameen (none).	9	277	4	290	-	284	-	-	6	-	Ditto Mundeahoo.	1,680	0 0	1,453 8 0
4 Moonsiff	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ditto Muchleshehur	1,680	0 0	1,435 15 0
Total	240	3,100	24	3,364	10	2,120	1,022	-	212	1	Total	7,920	0 0	6,292 7 0

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
1848 - -	362	1,208	79	1,649	35	1,074	94	63	383	7	3,78,694	
1849 - -	383	1,261	47	1,691	14	*1,076	78	73	450	5	1,79,699	
Increase - -	21	53	-	42	-	2	-	10	67	-	-	
Decrease - -	-	-	32	-	21	-	16	-	-	2	1,98,995	

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 152
 Confession of Judgment - - 102
 ----- 254
 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.	Result of Appeals.						Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.			
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.		Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.		Withdrawn.		
† Civil Sittings - 165 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - - - 83 " ‡ 5 to S. D. A. § From the Decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameen in his Capacity of Sudder Ameen.																						
† Judge, Mr. C. R. Talloh	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Appls.	37	144	3	184	8	155	1	1	19	3	10,693	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	1.27	
P. S. A., Mr. James Campier	84	119	11	214	-	103	6	23	82	-	1,46,405	22	97	126	7	19	1	1	1	17.44		
Appls.	9	-	8	17	-	16	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	7	15	-	-	-	-	-	
Moonsiffs. { Mr. S. M'Gowan, Mirzapore	74	316	12	402	2	264	8	8	120	2	22,601	337	661	37	17	23	-	1	13.21			
{ Heeralal, Mirzapore	87	319	6	412	2	298	14	5	93	-				47	10	37	-	-	-	-	-	14.82
{ Mr. R. Garland and Mirza Hatim Ali Beg, Chunar	91	363	7	461	2	{ 133 107	{ 13 96	{ 19 15	{ 136 -	-				23 5	15 3	18 -	-	-	-	-	-	13.93 9.16
Total	383	1,261	47	1,691	14	1,076	78	73	450	5	1,79,699	359	758	154	60	112	1	2	12.55			

34.88 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	8	263	-	271	21	238	3	-	9	-
" " " 22 to 25	11	1,500	-	1,511	1	1,506	-	-	4	-
P. S. A., " " 1 to 21	147	433	21	601	-	375	33	-	193	2
" " " 22 to 25	36	692	2	730	-	710	-	-	20	-
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Moonsiffs	230	1,652	-	1,882	-	1,072	534	-	276	1
Total	432	4,540	23	4,995	22	3,901	570	-	502	3

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
1st Moonsiff Mirzapore	-	2,280 0 0	1,556 6 0
2d ditto ditto	-	1,680 0 0	1,180 6 0
Moonsiff Chunar	-	1,680 0 0	1,330 4 0
Total	-	5,640 0 0	4,067 0 0

ZILLAH BENARES.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - -	714	2,249	459	3,422	389	1,810	187	209	827	11	1,04,41,114
1849 - -	827	2,230	164	3,221	111	1,705	244	266	895	12	5,55,017
Increase - -	113	-	-	-	-	-	57	57	68	1	-
Decrease - -	-	19	295	201	278	105	-	-	-	-	98,86,097

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 448
 Confession of Judgment - - 273
 - - - - - 721
 Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.		
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.			
† Civil Sittings - 118 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 179 "																					
† 16 to S. D. A.																					
† Judge, Mr. J. T. Rivaz	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
† P. S. A., Mohd. Jum-eeloodeen Khan and Mohumed Abdoor Ruhman Khan	122	205	9	330	101	116	2	3	108	-	36,574	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	13.82	
Sudder Ameen (none).	70	162	15	247	-	103	7	22	115	7	4,53,507	75	87	† 39	18	15	-	1	-	13.47	
Moonsiffs { Kazeer Fuzrond Ali, Surae Mughul - }	77	-	101	178	-	137	10	3	28	-	2,053	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
{ Emamooldeen, Juggutgunj - }	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
{ Hussun Rezah, Secrole - }	60	285	15	390	-	257	12	-	111	3	-	-	-	20	15	7	-	-	-	7.45	
{ Bence Loll, Chandnee Chowk - }	181	590	13	784	-	406	77	123	176	1	62,883	577	1,286	58	17	35	3	3	-	9.53	
Total	143	414	11	568	1	282	60	43	182	-	-	-	-	55	20	46	5	-	-	14.28	
Total	154	574	6	734	9	404	76	70	175	1	-	-	-	47	11	24	4	1	-	8.54	
Total	827	2,230	164	3,221	111	1,705	244	266	895	12	5,55,017	652	1,373	219	81	131	12	5	-	9.88	

38.2 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Judge, Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	83	416	3	502	135	239	26	-	52	-			
" " " 22 to 25	184	4,355	2	4,545	219	4,023	53	-	252	12	Moonsiff Surae Mughul	2,280 0 0	1,062 6 0
P. S. A. " " 1 to 21	252	429	100	781	29	247	237	-	268	17	Do. Juggutgunj	1,680 0 0	2,091 0 0
" " " 22 to 25	30	619	43	692	-	623	7	-	57	1	Do. Secrole	1,680 0 0	1,609 10 0
Sudder Ameen (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Do. Chandnee Chowk	1,680 0 0	2,470 14 0
Moonsiffs - - -	477	3,391	30	3,898	-	2,428	827	-	643	-	Total	7,320 0 0	7,233 14 0
Total	1,030	9,210	178	10,418	376	7,620	1,150	-	1,272	30			

ZILLAH GHAZEPORE.
No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - -	1,164	3,116	539	4,813	489	2,870	144	360	950	2	3,65,313
1849 - -	950	3,264	369	4,583	322	2,747	150	329	1,035	1	3,53,687
Increase - -	-	148	-	-	-	-	6	-	85	-	-
Decrease - -	214	-	164	230	167	123	-	31	-	1	11,626

* Of which *ex parte* = - 646
Confession of Judgment - 117
763
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals				Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.		Withdrawn.
Judge, Mr. A. C. Heyland - { Orgls. Appls.	149	554	2	705	190	371	14	18	112	1	34,234	-	-	81	1	27	-	-	7.69
S. A. Moulvee Mohamed Zuhoor - { Orgls. Appls.	70	120	29	219	7	121	2	12	77	-	2,20,663	73	47	147	15	35	2	1	14.73
A., Mr. J. Kirk, and Besheher Dial - { Ghazee-pore -	43	153	11	207	3	94	5	4	59	-	33,491	67	86	46	23	23	-	1	44.66
Mr. J. Kirk and Besheher Dial - { Ghazee-pore -	107	491	21	619	15	224	10	47	154	-	-	-	-	48	12	39	1	-	17.08
Sheikh Ahmed Ali, Sydepore - { Ghazee-pore -	136	334	1	471	-	139	8	22	-	-	-	-	-	48	14	29	4	1	28.4
Hyder Hoossein and Beshasher Dial - { Mohum-dabad -	153	710	1	864	3	119	3	28	247	-	50,804	1,039	1,378	46	10	33	-	1	30.66
Abdool Ruhman, Bulleeah - { Ghazee-pore -	173	532	7	712	101	399	11	54	-	-	-	-	-	58	25	29	2	-	12.5
Syud Ahmed Ali, Rusreh - { Ghazee-pore -	49	370	105	524	-	394	21	54	142	-	-	-	-	104	28	71	2	1	22.17
Total - -	950	3,264	369	4,583	322	2,747	150	329	1,035	1	3,53,687	1,199	1,511	588	162	389	14	19	18.22

29.4 per Cent. Reversals

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
lge. Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	23	486	-	509	89	357	24	-	39	-
" " 22 to 25	9	5,110	-	5,119	32	5,062	14	-	11	-
S. A. " " 1 to 21	138	572	154	864	61	550	192	-	121	-
" " 22 to 25	27	568	46	641	14	604	6	-	17	-
adder Ameen and Moonsiff	108	2,104	3	2,215	9	1,706	247	-	253	-
Moonsiffs - -	224	2,966	7	3,197	5	2,401	502	-	289	-
Total - -	529	11,806	210	12,545	210	10,680	925	-	730	-

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
Moonsiff Ghazee-pore	-	2,380 12 0
Ditto Sydepore	2,280 0 0	1,850 4 0
Ditto Mohum-dabad	1,622 13 9	2,716 12 0
Ditto Bulleeah	1,680 0 0	2,915 8 0
Ditto Rusreh	1,680 0 0	1,847 4 0
Total - -	7,262 13 9	11,710 8 0

ZILLAH KUMAON.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - - -	2,301	6,384	1,428	10,113	1,395	2,659	2,591	196	3,272	2	1,17,185
1849 - - -	3,272	5,938	1,213	10,423	1,187	*2,354	3,322	356	3,204	1	85,401
Increase - - -	971	-	-	310	-	-	781	160	-	-	-
Decrease - - -	-	446	215	-	208	305	-	-	68	1	31,784

* Of which *ex parte* - - - 350
Confession of Judgment - - 218

Exclusive of those decided by the Commissioner in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to		
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.			
† Civil Sittings - 139 Days. Session and Criminal Sittings - 37 "																					
† Commissioner, Mr. J. H. Batten	Orpls. 85 Appls. 72	2	87	65	10	-	12	-	-	-	-	6	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sr. Asst., Kumaon, Capt. H. Ramsay	Orpls. 656 Appls. 192	970	91	1,717	506	192	682	46	291	-	5,793	561	409	140	20	52	3	3	3	11	
Sr. Asst., Gurhwal, Mr. J. Strachey	Orpls. 238 Appls. 54	786	63	1,087	448	114	224	12	289	1	12,125	334	452	103	21	70	-	-	-	21	
Jr. Asst., Kumaon, Mr. C. Horne	Orpls. - Appls. -	135	380	515	-	102	107	55	251	-	6,768	52	83	40	13	27	-	1	15	-	
S. A., Kumaon, Tri-lochun Joshee	Orpls. 1,199 Appls. 1	1,190	112	2,501	62	485	1,055	82	817	-	10,981	339	851	163	68	97	28	4	10	-	
S. A., Gurhwal, Amba Dutt	Orpls. 181 Appls. -	910	197	1,288	44	304	252	12	676	-	23,150	310	600	84	26	50	5	1	1	-	
Moonsiffs. } Bhubdeb Joshee, Kumaon	537	728	77	1,342	22	326	542	119	333	-	7,204	119	609	52	10	23	12	2	-	-	
Moonsiffs. } Sewanund Khunnooree, Gurhwal	142	510	259	911	14	301	403	7	186	-	2,730	37	473	48	14	29	9	-	-	-	
Total	3,272	5,938	1,213	10,423	1,187	2,354	3,322	356	3,204	1	85,401	1,758	3,556	630	172	348	57	11	1	-	

55.07 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized Sale of St
Const., Hoardings, Nos. 1 to 21	9	200	-	202	22	167	1	-	12	-			
" " " " 22 to 25	-	116	-	116	-	116	-	-	-	-			
2 Sr. Assts., " " 1 to 21	113	1,592	31	1,736	1,018	424	205	-	89	-	Moonsiff Kumaon -	843 0 0	1,019
" " " " 22 to 25	-	275	-	275	-	275	-	-	-	-	Ditto Gurhwal -	843 0 0	758
1 Jr. Asst., " " 1 to 21	-	32	464	486	-	273	94	-	119	-			
" " " " 22 to 25	-	82	-	82	-	82	-	-	-	-			
2 Sudder Ameens - - -	407	-	592	999	32	309	338	-	320	-			
2 Moonsiffs.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Total	522	2,287	1,087	3,896	1,072	1,646	638	-	540	-	Total - -	1,680 0 0	1,777

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred the Maintenance of the subordi-
Judicial Establishments,
Amount realized by Sale of St

ZILLAH SIMLA.

No. 1.—Comparative Statement.

	Pending at the Beginning of each Year.	Instituted.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Decided on their Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Pending at the End of each Year.	Cases Pending beyond One Year.	Value of original Suits and Appeals pending on 31st December.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
1848 - - -	129	1,085	1	1,215	5	442	267	355	146	-	15,118
1849 - - -	146	1,372	-	1,518	4	670	215	448	181	-	14,816
Increase - - -	17	287	-	303	-	228	-	93	35	-	-
Decrease - - -	-	-	1	-	1	-	52	-	-	-	302

* Of which *ex parte* - - 412
Confession of Judgment - 74
486
Exclusive of those decided by the Judge in either way.

No. 2.—Statement of Work performed by the several Judicial Officers.

Civil Sittings - - - Session and Criminal Sittings - - -	227 Days.	Names and Designations of Officers.	Number of regular Suits (Original and Appeal) before the several Courts.											Description of Suits.		Result of Appeals.					Average of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.	
			1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	Relating to Real Property.	Relating to Bonds, Contracts, Wages, &c.	Appeals instituted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Dismissed.	Withdrawn.		
Superintendent, Mr. W. Edwards	}	Orgls.	5	10	-	15	-	5	2	1	7	-	4,308	1	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Appls.	15	61	-	76	-	56	10	2	8	-	706	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mr. F. D. Forsyth, Simla			121	1,223	-	1,344	-	577	197	430	140	-	9,802	16	1,285	61	27	29	10	2	4.85	
Mr. F. C. Jackson, Kalka			5	78	-	83	4	32	6	15	26	-										
Total			146	1,372	-	1,518	4	670	215	448	181	-	14,816	17	1,294	61	27	29	10	2	4.85	

48.21 per Cent. Reversals.

No. 3.—Miscellaneous.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Sec. Headings, Nos. 1 to 21	9	28	-	37	-	26	7	-	4	-
" " 22 to 25	4	81	-	85	-	81	2	-	2	-
A., " " 1 to 21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
" " 22 to 25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mr. Ameens	50	632	-	682	-	419	123	-	140	-
Deputies (none).	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	63	741	-	804	-	526	132	-	146	-

No. 4.—Statement of Cost incurred in the Maintenance of the subordinate Judicial Establishments, and Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.

	Moonsiffes.	Cost.	Amount realized by Sale of Stamps.
	None.	-	-

LIST OF ORIGINAL ENCLOSURES.

No. 1.	Letter from the Judge of Dehlie	-	-	No. 27. dated 18th March 1850.
No. 2.	„ „ Judge of Seharunpore	-	-	No. 22. dated 2d March 1850.
No. 3.	„ „ Judge of Meerut	-	-	No. 29. dated 20th March 1850.
No. 4.	„ „ Judge of Allygurh	-	-	No. 22. dated 15th April 1850.
No. 5.	„ „ Judge of Mooradabad	-	-	No. 41. dated 24th June 1850.
No. 6.	„ „ Judge of Bareilly	-	-	No. 37. dated 11th May 1850.
No. 7.	„ „ Judge of Agra, with an Enclosure	-	-	No. 112. dated 30th May 1850.
No. 8.	„ „ Judge of Furruckabad, with an Enclosure	-	-	No. 64. dated 17th April 1850.
No. 9.	„ „ Judge of Mynpoorie	-	-	No. 21. dated 16th July 1850.
No. 10.	„ „ Officiating Judge of Cawnpore, with an Enclosure	-	-	No. 118. dated 4th May 1850.
No. 11.	„ „ Officiating Judge of Futtehpore,	-	-	No. 11. dated 6th February 1850.
No. 12.	„ „ Judge of Bundelkund	-	-	No. 23. dated 18th May 1850.
No. 13.	„ „ Judge of Allahabad, with an Enclosure	-	-	No. 9. dated 5th February 1850.
No. 14.	„ „ Judge of Goruckpore	-	-	No. 19. dated 13th February 1850.
No. 15.	„ „ Judge of Azingurh, with an Enclosure	-	-	No. 10. dated 6th February 1850.
No. 16.	„ „ Judge of Jounpore	-	-	No. 20. dated 30th January 1850.
No. 17.	„ „ Judge of Mirzapore	-	-	No. 29. dated 8th April 1850.
No. 18.	„ „ Judge of Benares	-	-	No. 16. dated 16th February 1850.
No. 19.	„ „ Judge of Ghazeepore	-	-	No. 17. dated 29th January 1850.
No. 20.	„ „ Commissioner of Kumaon, with two Enclosures	-	-	No. 31. dated 16th April 1850.
No. 21.	„ „ Judge of Subathoo, with an Enclosure	-	-	No. 487. dated 14th Oct. 1850.

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

APPENDIX TO REPORTS and STATEMENTS illustrative of the Administration of
Civil Justice in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1849.

Extract Paragraphs 21 and 22 from a Report from J. P. Gubbins, Esq., Judge of Dehlie, No. 27, dated 18th March 1850, on the Administration of Civil Justice for the Year 1849.

21. I have also to report, for the Information of the Court, the Completion of a System by which Members of the Agricultural Community are now, in addition to the usual Notice, also informed of Suits preferred against them through the subordinate Revenue Authorities, a Duplicate *Itlanamah* being in all such Cases forwarded through the Collector. This Arrangement, which I have been able to effect through the Assistance of the Commissioner, has already been productive of the best Results, and I believe it will have the Effect of completely preventing the Institution of fraudulent Suits, in which, owing to Collusion between the Plaintiff and the Bearer of the Notice, ex-parte Decrees were formerly obtained not unfrequently against Parties who, in fact, knew nothing about the Matter.

22. There only remains One Point to which I would wish to draw the Attention of the Court, namely, the apparent Hardship to which Decreeholders are exposed, in Cases where, from there being no ostensible Assets available, they are compelled to attach Houses or other Property, the value of which very much exceeds the actual Amount of the Decree. In such Cases, should the summary Objection of an *Oozurdar* be admitted, the Decreeholder is compelled, under the present Rules, to pay Costs in proportion, not to the Amount of his own Decree, but to the full Value of the whole Property attached, which, considering how difficult it is for a Decreeholder to discover what *bonâ fide* Claims other People may have upon it,—supposing, for instance, the Case of a Husband executing a formal Deed of Gift to his Wife in lieu of her Settlements,—appears to me calculated, as indeed I have found it in Practice, to occasion unnecessary Loss and Expence, and might altogether be avoided by rating the Costs at the Amount of the Sum desired to be realized by the Sale, instead of the Value of the Property itself, in all Cases when it might appear that the Decreeholder was not actuated by any Desire to annoy the Proprietor, but had done all in his Power to arrive at a correct Knowledge of the Circumstances of the Property.

Extract Paragraphs 9 and 10 of the Court's Reply, No. 441, dated 9th April 1850.

9. The System introduced by you of notifying to Agriculturists through the Collector, the Institution of Suits against them, appears to the Court an interesting Experiment; which, if found to have the beneficial Effect anticipated by you, might with Advantage be extended. The Court will be glad to hear your Opinion at the Close of the Year, how far it has worked well in Practice; and what its Effect has been in diminishing the Number of fraudulent and ex-parte Decisions.

10. The Court observe that the Hardship noticed by you, viz., Decreeholders being made liable for Costs out of proportion to the Amount of their Decrees, will, under the existing Rules, occasionally occur; they are not now, however, prepared to propose any Alteration of the Law applicable to the Subject.

Extract Paragraph 11 from a Report from H. W. Deane, Esq., Judge of Meerut, No. 29, dated 20th March 1850, on the Administration of Civil Justice for the Year 1849.

11. A Case has recently occurred in this District, in respect to which, and to others of the same Class, a satisfactory Indication of the Course to be pursued by the Authorities would seem to be wanted. A native Christian Woman, who had assumed the Name of a Military Officer of Rank, with whom she formerly cohabited, died in this Jurisdiction, intestate, and without Heirs. She left considerable Personal Property, of which the Civil Court duly took charge under Section 16, Clause 7, Regulation III. of 1803. She also left Three Bungalows at Meerut; and I was informed, on a Reference which I made to the Sudder Court, that there is no Law which requires a Judge to take possession of Real Property belonging to the Estates of Persons dying under the Circumstances above mentioned. The Judge then not being the real Custodian of this kind of Property, on whom does it devolve to provide for its temporary Care? At the present Moment these Bungalows are, as far as I am aware, left without any Protection whatever.

Extract Paragraph 1 of the Court's Reply, No. 389, dated 3d April 1850.

1. Your Report on the Administration of Civil Justice for the past Year, 1849, having been laid before the Court, I am directed to inform you, in reply to the Remark in Paragraph 11, that the Court, concurring with you in the Opinion of the Necessity for a Legislative Enactment, have addressed the Lower Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, with a View to the Extension of the Provisions of Clause 7, Section 16, Regulation III. of 1803, to the Real Property of Persons dying intestate.

Extract Paragraphs 26, 27, and 28, from a Report from G. Blunt, Esq., Judge of Allypore, No. 22, dated 15th April 1850, on the Administration of Civil Justice for the Year 1849.

26. There is, however, One Point connected with the Execution of Decrees, which, in my Opinion, requires Amendment. It is a common Practice in this District for Decreeholders to attach Property which they are fully aware is not the Property of the Defendant. This Property is either made over by the Amcen to the Person causing the Attachment, or to some other Person in collusion with him; the Property is removed; and on Objections being raised and substantiated by the real Owner he cannot, under Construction No. 958, dated 9th June 1835, re-acquire Possession of his Property without instituting a regular Suit for Damages against the Parties withholding it. Now, taking into consideration the Class of Men generally holding the Appointment of Koork Amcen, the small Amount of their legal Fees, (which seldom exceeds Rs. 20 a Month), and the Inducement which a dishonest Decreeholder is too likely to hold out not merely to falsify the Statement of actual Value and Quantity of the Property attached, but to make over the same to some Person in collusion with him, I consider the Mode of Procedure which the above Construction makes it necessary for the Oozurdar to adopt before he can recover his Property causes much Hardship; and Parties are frequently thus robbed of their Property, who, either from Ignorance or Want of Means, are prevented from instituting a regular Suit. The only Remedy that I can recommend is, that as by Paragraph 3 of the same Circular it is declared, that the Person at whose Instance Property is distrained or attached must be considered answerable for the safe Custody of the Property during the Period of Distraint or Attachment, the Koork Amcen should be required to make the same over to him only; and that as the Statement of either the Value or the Quantity of Property furnished by an Amcen from his having taken the Oath of Office, is received as a sworn Document, that, on Objections being substantiated, the Courts be authorized to require the Party attaching either to deliver up the Property attached, or the Value of it, and on his failing to do so to fine him for Contempt of Court. The Remedy would be most effectual. The Practice complained of is confined to a Race of Bohras who swarm in this District, and the Sufferers are generally of the poorer Classes, whose worldly Goods seldom exceed Rs. 50 in Value.

27. I must also mention that some Modification appears to me requisite in Clause 2, Section 3, Regulation VII. 1825, as regards the Attachment of Zubtee Crops. By the above Clause an Ishtehar of Thirty Days is requisite before the Property attached can be brought to Sale. In numerous Instances the Crops are destroyed before the Term of Ishtehar has elapsed; both Decreeholder and Defendant suffer. The Term of the Ishtehar should be altered to Ten Days or a Week.

28. I request the Opinion of the Court on the following Point:—A Practice prevailed in this District of filing Copies of Evidence taken in other Cases on Stamp Paper; and, should the same Evidence be required in any other Case, a Petition is filed requesting they may be returned, which was done, authenticated Copies on plain Paper being put in their Place in the original Misl in which they were filed. I am of opinion the Practice is illegal. The Copy of Evidence could not be received except on Stamp Paper; and, being once filed, they form a Part of the Record, and are not returnable; nor can these be filed in any other Suit. I have forbid the Practice, and now mention my having done so, that my Order may be recalled should it be deemed erroneous.

Extract Paragraphs 3, 4, and 5 of the Court's Reply, No. 641, dated 24th May 1850.

3. It would have been satisfactory, the Court observe, if you had illustrated by a Detail of some particular Instances, the Abuses which you have noticed in connexion with the Execution of Decrees. They cannot concur in your Proposition, that a Distrainer refusing to deliver up attached Property on the Requisition of the Court should be fined for Contempt of Court; but recommend you to take care that your Subordinates pay prompt Attention to the Cases on their Miscellaneous Files, and by a vigilant Supervision and severe Notice of such Malpractices whenever they occur, to check them as much as possible in future.

4. I am desired to point out to you that the Modification of Clause 2, Section 3, of Regulation VII. of 1825, which you suggest is unnecessary, as, under Section 11 of Regulation XXVIII. of 1803, Crops under Attachment when ripe should be reaped and stored. The Court are not aware that the Crops coming under the Denomination of "Zubtee" may not be similarly dealt with.

5. The Court, entirely concurring in the Opinion which you have expressed in your concluding Paragraph, hold the Practice which you have prohibited to be irregular.

Extract Paragraphs 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12, from a Report from J. Lean, Esq., Officiating Judge of Mooradabad, No. 41, dated 24th June 1850, on the Administration of Civil Justice for the Year 1849.

6. I have been employed as a Civil Judge nearly Five Years during the last Nine Years, and have every Year been more and more convinced of the utter Inefficiency of the Means resorted to in our Courts for the Discovery of the Truth. Native Evidence, under any Circumstances, and in any Courts, is not of much Value, and we have diminished its Value by our legislating regarding Oaths. I am not going to discuss the Subject of Oaths,

which has been Year after Year brought on the Tapis, and is probably exhausted; suffice it to say, that I think we should return to the old System as quickly as we can. But Native Evidence is peculiarly valueless in our Civil Courts, and for Two Reasons; the one, that before it is given it is concocted by the Vakeels; the other, that it is not subjected to the Test of a searching Cross-examination on the Part of the presiding Judge. Tutoring a Witness is not peculiar to the Practice of a Vakeel, for it is proverbial that legal Practitioners in England in drawing up their Briefs are somewhat given to the Habit, though doubtless not in the same Degree; and yet it would be as impossible in the one Country as in the other to dispense with some Intervener between the Client and the Court, for Clients could not, in either Country, state the Facts of their Cases in such wise that the Issues to be tried on them could be found. We must then keep our Vakeels, and trust that their Character will be gradually raised by Association with English Advocates as common in Calcutta, by the Hope of Employment as Judicial Officers, which Hope has been or is to be held out to them in the Bengal Presidency by the gradual spreading of Education, and by the stricter Supervision of the presiding Judges. The Native Judicial Officers, before whom, with very few Exceptions, all Civil Suits are originally decided, have not the Time to cross-examine the Witnesses themselves. It is true that we occasionally meet with a Question put by them at the End of a Deposition; but such supplementary Questionings cannot be equally searching with a rigid Cross-examination, such as is made, for instance, by a Sessions Judge after hearing a Witness depose Word by Word, and, what is more, after watching him so depose. Something might be done, perhaps, towards raising the Value of Native Evidence, by more frequent Recourse to Punishment for Perjury; but so difficult is the Proof of that Crime, that that something would be very little. We must then look to some other Means of arriving at the Truth than mere oral Evidence. Now I suppose nearly Two Thirds of the Suits which come before our Courts are founded on or relate to Deeds of Kinds, *i. e.* Bonds, Promissory Notes, Deeds of Sale, Gift, Mortgage, and the like; and it strikes me that the Means of deciding those Cases would be immediately improved in a very simple Way, by extending the Benefits of Registry, and by altering somewhat the Nature of Registry itself. I would at once declare every Moonsiff to be a Register of all Deeds, except those relating to Land, within his own Jurisdiction, and that the Fee on the Registry of such Deed should be only Eight Annas in place of Two Rupees. If this were done, I think a large Proportion of the Deeds in question would be registered; and if they were, it is obvious that the Remuneration of the Moonsiffs for registering would be ample on the diminished Scale. I would also declare, that Registry should not involve merely the Recognition of the Execution of the Deed, but the Fulfilment of the Transaction to which it relates; for instance, on the Parties to a Bond appearing I would not only register the Bond, but I would note that the Bond was delivered by the Borrower to the Lender on Acknowledgment of Receipt of the Amount; and I would not admit any Deed to Registry unless the Parties would certify to such Completeness of Transaction. This, in fact, is what is done in Practice in some Registry Offices now; for such Notes of Receipt and Delivery are made in some Offices, though not in all; but nevertheless it is not legal. And if this plan were adopted, all that the Courts would have to do on a Suit being laid on such a Bond would be to consult the Book of Registry as to the Bond having been registered, and then at once to see if the Amount had been repaid or not. I would likewise declare, that every Office of a Collector and of a Tehseeldar should be an Office of Registry, and the only Offices, for all Deeds relating to Land, on a like Scale of Fees, with a like Record of Completeness of Transaction, and with a like Rule that no Deed should be received for Registry till the Parties would certify to Completeness of Transaction. On the Deed being thus registered, I would have the Procedure, if necessary under the Transaction, to follow, which takes place at present in Cases of "Dakhil Kharij,"—the Tehseeldars, in Cases of Registry made by them and acted on by them, certifying the same to the Collectors. If this Plan were adopted there would be Three great Advantages; first, that One Process would answer the Purpose of Two Processes; second, that the Completeness of a Transaction to which a Deed may relate could never be questioned, or, in other Words, the Courts would have only to adjudicate on the Contingencies of such Transactions; and, third, that there would be a Record of every Transaction regarding Land in the Fiscal Offices. This Plan of Registry would directly facilitate the Discovery of the Truth in a large Proportion of Cases, *i. e.* of Cases founded on and relating to Deeds, and would moreover indirectly facilitate the Discovery of Truth in Cases the Decision of which may turn on the Credibility of oral Evidence, inasmuch as the deciding Officers would under it have more Time for personally testing such Evidence in Cross-examination.

7. But whether this Alteration in the Law of Registry be made or not, there is an Anomaly in the Law of our Courts relating to Bonds, which should at once be removed by an explanatory Construction. Clause 3d, Section 6, Regulation VIII. 1805, is in these Words:—
 "The Zillah Courts are prohibited from decreeing the Payment or Satisfaction of any Sum due on a Tummussookh or Bond which may be entered into after the Promulgation of this Regulation, unless the Bond shall be proved to have been executed in the Presence of Two credible Witnesses, or the Payment of the Sum demanded on the Bond, or some other valuable Consideration for it having been received, shall be proved to the Satisfaction of the Court. But the Restriction contained in this Clause shall not extend to any Bills of Exchange, Receipts or Notes of Hand, in the Determination in which

“ the Custom of the Country shall be abided by.” The Meaning of these Words is obviously this, that Execution of the Bond being proved by the attesting Witnesses, and its Delivery being likewise proved by the Evidence of the said Witnesses or by other Evidence, or by the Presumption arising from the Bond being in the Possession of the Obligee, Payment of the Consideration is to be decreed, or, in other Words, that the general Rule of Law, that “ a Contract by Deed requires no Consideration to support it, the Law “ conclusively presuming that it is made upon a good and sufficient Consideration,” is to be followed; and that in the event of the Execution of the Bond not being proved by the Evidence of the attesting Witnesses, the Receipt of the Consideration shall be proved. But in Practice the Existence of the little Word “ or,” which I have underlined, has been strangely overlooked, and it has therefore been usual for our Courts not only to call upon the Obligee to prove Execution and Delivery of the Bond, but also the Receipt of the Consideration by the Obliger. This has led to most perplexing Investigations being made as to the Circumstances which led to the Execution of the Bond, viz. as to Accounts in Detail, Balance on Accounts, the Restoration of Bonds of previous Dates, the Receipt of Cash, or, in other Words, an Investigation on Points has been deemed necessary, to preclude the Necessity of which being investigated was the very Object of the Execution of the Bond. Moreover, the Proof of the Pleas of the Parties relating to this Investigation has led to Perjury and Subornation of Perjury to a fearful Extent. But though the Evil is great, the Remedy is simple,—a few Words in the Shape of a Construction.

8. Another grand Cause of Confusion in our Courts is the Law regarding Redemption of Mortgage. The System in vogue amongst the Native Community before our Rule was the simple one of the Mortgagee receiving the Profits of the Thing mortgaged in lieu of Interest till Redemption, and of the Mortgage being redeemed on the Payment of the Amount lent, on the Expiration of the Term of Mortgage, or at the Will of the Mortgagor, as the Terms of the Deed might run. Nothing could be more simple than this Procedure; and its Simplicity will be evident on consulting the Phraseology of such Deeds, viz., “ Profits in place of Interest,” or “ neither my (the Mortgagor’s) Profits, nor your (the Mortgagee’s) Interest,” or “ you (the Mortgagee), will not receive Interest, nor I (the Mortgagor) receive Rent,” and the like Phrases. But our Legislators, influenced by a Reverence for the Usury Laws, by which they had assigned a Limit of 12 per Cent. to Interest on all Transactions, declared that in all such Mortgages which may have been or should be executed subsequent to a certain Date the Terms of the Mortgagee should not be regarded, i.e., that in these, as in other Mortgages, Redemption should be considered to have taken place when the Mortgage shall have received the Principal, with 12 per Cent. Interest thereon. And this Declaration has been construed by our Courts to mean, not that the Mortgagee in these Cases shall have that Rate of Interest made good to him as in other Cases, but that he shall not receive more than that Rate, and that the Investigation directed by Law on the Subject shall be confined to this latter Point. As then the Transaction is no longer simplified by such a Deed, and as the Mortgagee on such a Deed may incur a Loss, which the Mortgagees on other Deeds cannot easily incur, such Deeds are now seldom drawn up, i.e. the Deeds now drawn up generally contain merely a Stipulation that the Mortgagee shall receive 12 per Cent. on the Money he lends, to which Deeds the Rule that the Mortgage shall be considered redeemed, whenever the Principal with Interest shall have been realized from the Usufruct, literally and entirely applies. These Suits are probably the most complex that come before our Courts, and, to say nothing of the Intricacy of the Law relating to them, lead to Perjuries without end on the Part of the Mortgagee, on his swearing to the Accounts produced by him according to Law, to Subornation of Perjury on his Part to support his Perjury, and to all Kinds of Falsification of Accounts. Surely it would be a very simple Remedy to revert to the old Native System, i.e. to let the Parties abide by their Contract in all its Integrity, or, in other Words, to place the Chance of Profit, more or less than 12 per Cent. as it may be, against the Interest. If this were done, I doubt not that Parties would revert to their old national Custom of writing Deeds of Mortgage, with the simple Conditions above adverted to. And if they did so, the Courts, in place of having to make perplexing Investigation as to Profits, as they now do, would have merely to inquire if the Principal had been or could be paid, and in the event of either being the Case, to declare the Mortgage redeemed if the Term have expired, or on the Mortgagee expressing a Wish to redeem, as the Terms of the Deed may run. I would urgently suggest Legislation on this Subject.

9. The Principle on which the Suits in our Courts are valued with reference to the Institution Fee is most imperfect. All Suits are valued at their actual Value, with the Exception of Suits for Landed Property paying Revenue, i.e. for such Estates entire, or specific Shares in such Estates, and of Suits for Rent-free Landed Property, the former being arbitrarily estimated at One Year’s Revenue, the latter at Eighteen Times their net annual Profit. The Estimate of Rent-free Landed Property is probably correct enough, but that of Landed Property paying Revenue is far from being so. At the Time the latter was so estimated, it was probably on account of Over-assessment, of Uncertainty of Rights consequent on Tenures not being understood, and on there being no Record of them such as was made at the late Settlement, of Settlements being for short Terms, of the conflicting Jurisdictions of the Civil Courts, the Special Commission and the Revenue Courts of Settlement, and of other Causes correctly estimated; but, as things are, the Estimate is most incorrect, for it is known that such Estates sell for Five Times and even Seven Times the respective Amounts of Revenue which they pay. The Moensils then nominally

decide Cases relating to Landed Property up to Rs. 300, but really Cases up to Rs. 1,500, or even Rs. 2,100; and of course the same Remark, *mutatis mutandis*, holds good of the Courts of higher Jurisdiction. This Inconsistency, I suggest, should be remedied, not only with reference to itself, but with reference to the Interests of Government in the Matter of the Amount of Institution Fee, with reference to the Injustice of taxing One Description of Property at a lower Rate than others with regard to Litigation, and with reference to the Absurdity of valuing an Estate at One Year's Revenue, and a Portion of that Estate, not forming a specific Share of it, at its actual selling Price, or, in other Words, at a Rate perhaps seven Times higher; for such, be it observed, is the Law relating to the Valuation of such Portions of such Estates. If this were done, it would be necessary either to raise the Jurisdiction of the present Moonsiffs, or to appoint more Sudder Ameens. I would recommend the latter Plan, not only because I think the Moonsiffs, generally speaking, should not be entrusted with the Decision of Suits of higher Value than they are at present, but because I think more Appointments to the Office of Sudder Ameen would operate beneficially as an Incitement to Exertion and a Reward for superior Ability. If more Sudder Ameens were appointed, be it observed, they might be located in the Mofussil, with Jurisdiction over a certain Number of Moonsiffships in Cases exceeding Rs. 300 in Value; and this Description of Jurisdiction would not be anomalous to our present System, for such Sudder Ameens would be to such Jurisdictions what our present Sudder Ameens, stationed at the Sudder Stations, are to whole Districts. It appears that in the Lower Provinces the Government have lately appointed a great Number of Judicial Officers of this Grade; and probably if none are appointed in these Provinces in like Manner, our subordinate Judicial Officers will complain of the Difference of the Systems of the Two Presidencies in that respect.

10. There is a Defect in the very Constitution of our Courts, which I think ought to be remedied, viz. that a Judge, however well acquainted he may be with Mohumedan or Hindoo Law, cannot himself legally apply it. In Section 15, Regulation IV. 1793, it is declared, that in Suits regarding Succession, Inheritance, Marriage, and Caste, and all Religious Usages and Institutions, the Mohumedan Laws with respect to Mohumedans, and the Hindoo Laws with regard to the Hindoos, are to be considered as the general Rules by which the Judges are to form their Decisions. In the respective Cases the Mohumedan and Hindoo Law Officers of the Court are to attend to expound the Law. In Section 4, Regulation II. 1798, it is declared, that by Section 15, Regulation IV. 1793, in such Suits it was intended that the Law Officers of the several Civil Courts should expound the Law, and that the Judges should be guided by their Exposition in common Cases, but not in particular Cases wherein they might have Reason to doubt the Accuracy of such Exposition, and that in such Cases a further Exposition of the Law from the Officers of the Superior Courts was not meant to be precluded. These Enactments have not been modified in any respect as to the Necessity of a Reference being made to Law Officers in such Cases, and it is only in such Cases that the Application of such Laws can be necessary, and it follows therefore that no Judge can himself apply those Laws. This Rule, at the Time of the Enactments, might have been proper enough; for in those Days there were but few Means of studying these Laws, except in the original Languages in which they are written and expounded, and to do that few Judges were then, or are now, competent. But surely, now that there are so many Compilations from and Treatises on the said Laws, translated into the English Language, and moreover such Compilations and Treatises originally composed in the English Language, the Rule is no longer a proper one. In practice, the Rule is not observed, and Judges have been in the habit of applying these Laws themselves; but their doing so is undoubtedly illegal, and I cannot but think that a special Appeal founded on the Illegality would on Discussion be necessarily admitted. Let then that which is the Practice of our Courts be at once legalized. It seems doubtful if any Civil Code will ever be framed by the Indian Law Commissioners, and we must therefore make the best of our present System. That System is far from being in the imperfect State in which it is generally said to be. We have a simple and efficient Law of Procedure, in many respects far in advance of that of our own Country; for instance, it is only within the last few Years that an asserted Debtor could not in England at once be incarcerated on the Application of an asserting Creditor, whereas our Law of Arrest on that important Point has not been thus imperfect for many Years. Our Law of Procedure certainly admits of many Improvements, and amongst them are those which I am in this Letter so feebly advocating. We have, moreover, excellent Materials for our substantive Law, viz. the Statutory Enactments on such Points, the Codes of Religious Law, *i. e.* Hindoo and Mohumedan Laws as expounded in the Compilations and Treatises above adverted to, the select Decisions of the Sudder Courts, the Decisions of our Zillah and the Sudder Courts as published monthly, involving Adjudication on all the various Transactions litigated in the different Parts of the Country, Books on English and other Laws to assist us with Analogies, and, though last not least, the various Publications which have been published under the Orders of Government relating to the Land Tenures of the Country as ascertained at the late Settlement. In enumerating these Materials, how strange it seems that probably the most important of them, *i. e.* the Hindoo and Mohumedan Laws, are not allowed by Law to be applied by our Judges without a Reference to a Law Officer, whose Knowledge of the Law he professes is perhaps not superior to that of those Judges, and whose Character for Honesty is certainly not equally unimpeachable. Again, the Rule in question will appear still more absurd, when it is

recollected that it does not apply to the Moonsiffs, for in Clause 2d, Section 6, Regulation V. 1831, it is declared, that "in all Cases of Inheritance of or Succession to Landed Property, the Mohomedan Laws with respect to Mohomedans, and the Hindoo Laws with respect to the Hindoos, are to regulate the Decisions; and the Moonsiffs, in all such Cases where Doubt exists, are to obtain an Exposition of the Law from the Law Officers of the Zillah Court;" or, in other Words, the Judicial Officer of the lowest Grade is declared competent to do that which cannot be done by his Superiors, even including the Judges of the highest Court in the Country. I would suggest that the Legislature be applied to, to remove this Rule, which is at once anomalous and defective.

11. The System of allowing the Principal Sudder Ameens to try all original Cases above Rs. 5,000 is surely anomalous. It amounts to a Declaration that he is a more competent Judicial Officer than a Judge; and yet the Judge hears Appeals from him in all Cases not exceeding Rs. 5,000; or, in other Words, the Judge is at one Moment in a superior Position, and at another in an inferior Position to him. The System of allowing the Principal Sudder Ameen to originally try Cases involving an Amount between Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 10,000, and not allowing an Appeal to the Judge in those Cases, is also equally anomalous; for thereby the general Rule of our Law, that there shall be Two Appeals allowed in all Descriptions of Cases, is infringed, seeing that as an Appeal can be only made from the Sudder Dewany Adawlut to the Queen in Council in Cases of higher Amount than Rs. 10,000, there can be only One Appeal from the Decision of a Principal Sudder Ameen, involving an Amount between Rs. 10,000 and Rs. 5,000, *i. e.* to the Sudder Dewany Adawlut. I would suggest that Application be made to the Legislature on the Two Points.

12. The Question of the Conflict between the Jurisdictions of the Civil Courts and the Courts of Special Commissioners under Regulation III. 1828, peculiarly affects the Interests of the Inhabitants of the Districts forming this Judgeship, as there is much Land held Rent-free in them. I have had occasion to advert to this Conflict in adjudicating during the Year under Review, and this seems a proper Opportunity to revert to it. In some late Decisions of the Sudder Dewany Adawlut it has been laid down, that all that the Special Courts have to do is to decide whether a Rent-free Tenure is valid or not, and that it is for the Civil Courts to determine who are entitled to benefit by such a Tenure being declared valid, on such a Dispute occurring, and that too without Government being made a Party to the Suit. I submit that as the Special Courts are directed by Law to adjudicate on the Claim of Government to assess Rent-free Lands, as that Claim lies against those in possession, it was as much their Duty to decide on the Point of Possession, as on the Validity of the Tenure; and that, further, till the one Point was decided, it was impossible to correctly decide the other. I further submit that the Civil Courts cannot interfere in the Way in which the Sudder Dewany Adawlut say they can, without affecting the Interests of Government, and that those Interests cannot be legally so affected without Government being made a Party to the Suit. I will first illustrate the First Part of my Proposition. B. and C. are entitled, in the Terms of their Title Deeds, with their respective lineal Descendants, each to Half of an Estate in perpetual Rent-free Tenure, but by Laxity of Investigation as to the Point of Possession the Estate is decreed by the special Courts perpetually Rent-free to the lineal Descendants of B. and C. generally. Here, by the Decision, the Estate would continue Rent-free as long as any lineal Descendants of B. and C. may be extant; but if the Point of Possession and Amount of Shares had been properly investigated, Half of the Estate would have lapsed to Government on the Failure of the lineal Descendants of B. or of C. Or, to put a Second Case:—A. sells a Rent-free Estate, transferrable, in the Terms of the Title Deeds, to B., and B. is in possession; but the Matter of Possession not having been properly investigated, the Estate is declared by the Special Courts to be Rent-free to A. and his Heirs. Now, if the Point of Possession had been investigated, and Decision in favour of those in possession had followed thereon, the Estate on the Failure of B. and his Heirs would have lapsed to Government; but by the Decision A. and his Heirs, notwithstanding their Rights have been sold, would continue to hold on the Failure of B. and his Heirs. Or, to put a Third Case:—The lineal Descendants of A., viz. B. and C., are entitled, in the Terms of their Title Deeds, to a perpetual Interest in a Rent-free Estate; but the Point of Possession having been imperfectly investigated, B. is only made by the Special Courts a Party to the Suit. Here, on the Failure of the lineal Descendants of B., C. and his lineal Descendants would have no Claim under the Decision; whereas, if the Point of Possession had been properly investigated, they would have got sole Possession of that which they previously to the Failure of the lineal Descendants of B. had joint Possession. I will now illustrate the Second Part of my Proposition with reference to this last Case. C., after the supposed Decision of the Special Courts, comes into the Civil Court and sues B., claiming that he and his lineal Descendants may be placed in the same Position with regard to the Estate as B. and his lineal Descendants, and that too without suing Government jointly with B. Under the Law of the Sudder Dewany Adawlut a Decree might pass in favour of C., but I submit that no such Decree should pass, inasmuch as it could not without affecting the Interests of a Party not before the Court, viz. Government, and that C. should be consulted, with Directions to sue Government as well as B., which he could do under the General Regulations, always supposing that he was not barred by the Law of Limitation, which would generally be the Case. But, on the other hand, if C. had sued B., claiming for himself and his lineal Descendants a Share of that which had been decreed by the Special Courts

to B. and his lineal Descendants, the Courts could then have legally decreed in his Favour, inasmuch as in so decreeing they would not affect the Interest of Government,—seeing that, after their decreeing, the Estate, on the Failure of the lineal Descendants of B. in the Terms of the Decree of the Special Court, would still lapse to Government. Probably this very important Subject may be deemed by the Court worthy of further Consideration, the more especially as, in One of the Cases of the Court which I allude to, One of the Judges at present holding a Seat in the Court dissented from the Doctrine of the Majority of the Court.

*Extract Paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 of the Court's Reply No. 1315,
dated 5th September 1850.*

2. With advertence to the Suggestion conveyed in your 6th Paragraph, for the Registry of all Deeds except such as relate to Land by the Moonsiffs, the Court (without entering into the Merits of the Suggestion in other respects) observe that at present the Moonsiffs have not Leisure enough to undertake the Business of Registry, in addition to the more proper Duties of their Office. Your Proposal to require Parties registering a Deed to certify the Fulfilment of the Transaction does not exclude the Possibility of Frauds in the Personation of those *soi-disant* Parties, and therefore there would still be something more left for the Courts to do, on trying a Suit on such a Deed, than merely to consult the Registry Books.

3. In your 7th Paragraph, you speak of an Anomaly in the Law, as laid down in Clause 3, Section 6, Regulation VIII. of 1805; but the Terms of that Enactment which you quote, and your own Remarks upon them, show that the Fault which you impugn lies not in the Law, but in the mistaken Administration of it; and it is in your own Power to correct any such Error, if you have noticed it in the Practice of the Courts subordinate to you, and thereby to put a Stop to the Mischief which you ascribed to its Operation.

4. The Court entirely concur in the View taken by you of the baneful Tendency and Effects of the Law relating to the Redemption of Mortgages as stated in your 8th Paragraph. In their Judgment, Usury Laws are worse than useless, as they cannot be enforced, and only lead to Fraud and Perjury. It is to be hoped that the Government may be induced to take the Subject into Consideration, and to provide by Legislative Proceedings a Remedy for an Evil which has been the Result of Legislation.

5. Passing on to your 9th Paragraph, the Court suppose it is unnecessary to refer you to Construction 1340, dated 18th May 1842. It must doubtless be allowed that the Rule prescribed by Note to Article VIII., Schedule B. Regulation X. of 1829, for the Valuation of Assessed and Rent-free Lands, and definite and indefinite Portions of Assessed Estates, is exceptionable, and has introduced an Anomaly in its Effect, with respect to the Limits of the Jurisdiction of the Courts of different Grade.

6. In the Matter discussed in your 10th Paragraph, the Court believe the general Rule to be that a Reference should be made to the Law Officer regarding *disputed* or *doubtful* Points of Law, and see no Objection to the Rule sufficient to require Legislative Interference.

7. The Subject of your 11th Paragraph has been lately brought before the Legislative, who have refused to alter the existing Law touching the Jurisdiction of Principal Sudder Ameen in Suits exceeding in Value Rs. 5,000. There is Reason in your Observation that, as the Law now stands, the Principle of our Legislation, that there shall be Two Appeals allowed in all Descriptions of Cases, is infringed.

8. The Court perceive no Difficulty in the Question which you have brought forward in your 12th Paragraph. They hold it to be the primary Duty of the Special Commissioner to declare whether the Land claimed as Rent-free be liable to Assessment or not, and that it is *not* his primary Duty to decide whether One particular Person has a Right to be exempted from Assessment or another. Of course the Liability of the Land to Assessment cannot be determined without Reference to Personal Claims; and where the Tenure is of a joint Nature, or limited in its Term, the Special Commissioner is bound to decide during whose Lives the Right of Government to draw Revenue from the Holding is barred. At the same Time Disputes between Coparceners as to the respective Shares of each will fall within the Province of the Civil Courts.

*Extract Paragraphs 25 and 26, from a Report from S. Fraser, Esq., Judge of Bareilly,
No. 37, dated 11th May 1850, on the Administration of Civil Justice for the Year 1849.*

25. The System now in force, also, of requiring the Nazir of a Court to hold himself responsible for the Conduct of the Muzkooree Peons, is, I submit, likely to be attended with Inconvenience. The Engagement necessarily involves the Nomination and Removal of the Peons at the Nazir's Pleasure; but to carry out this View would involve much Injustice to Individuals, and open a Door to much Peculation. Many of the Peons in this Jurisdiction were originally appointed by the Judge, and are old Servants receiving from 4 to 6 per Mensem. These may, under the present Rule, be summarily dismissed by the Nazir on the slightest Pretence; the Appointment of a new Nazir may at any Time lead to a total Change in the Peons of a Court, to the great Obstruction of public Business; whilst the Transfer of a Nazir from one Court to another, which is sometimes expedient, becomes impracticable, owing to the possible Inconvenience above adverted to, which may attend

the Step. A great Change would undoubtedly have been made in the subordinate Courts, had I not discountenanced it; but the Nazir, it cannot be denied, is placed in a Position of much Difficulty, when he is required to be responsible for a Person whom he cannot trust, but whom he is unable to *prove* undeserving of Confidence. Formerly, the Peons were appointed by the Judge, and each was expected to furnish Security for his Appearance when required, to the Amount of Rs. 50; and I am inclined to recommend this System in preference to the one now pursued. It may be thought that this does not furnish sufficient Security against the Misappropriation of Money passing through their Hands; but it is to be remembered, that when more than Rs. 50 are to be realized, Two Chuprassies are deputed, and a Person always accompanies them on behalf of the Party interested. The Risks of Abuse are not, therefore, so great as they at first appear to be; and, after all, the chief Inducement to public Honesty must be sought in the Value of the Appointment.

26. Much Irregularity exists in the Registry of Deeds. Under the Regulations the Register is required to ascertain the due Execution of a Deed, and then after Registry to return it to the Party by whom it has been presented, with an Endorsement specifying the Date and Hour of the Day on which it has been registered, and the Page on which it is entered in the Register Book (Regulation XX. 1812, Section 2., Clauses 1. and 2). The Question of Authenticity is not disposed of by the Act of Registry; this is left open to be decided in the Court before which it may be brought for Adjudication (Regulation XVII. 1803, Section 6). But the Registers are not satisfied with carrying out the Instruction of the Regulations; they deem it necessary to inquire into the Payment of the Consideration noted in the Deed, and in some Cases even the Money is paid as a precautionary Measure before them. This, in my Judgment, is irregular, the Registers are always, in the North-western Provinces, Judges of the subordinate Courts, and Disputes connected with registered Deeds will for the most part come before them; and they are thus liable to be influenced by Proceedings with which they are extrajudicially acquainted, and which may not be on the Record. The Registers, I conceive, have nothing to say to the Payment and Realization of the Consideration noted in the Deed, and it is expedient they be in no way mixed up with it; it is well known that Money is seldom paid before Registry has been completed, and consequently Falsehood being necessary under the Inquiries made to secure the Registry, it is by the present System encouraged, to the Detriment of Public Morals.

Extract Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Court's Reply, No. 803, dated 19th June 1850.

3. With advertence to the 25th Paragraph of your Letter, I am desired to refer you to Section 12, Regulation V. of 1804. You will perceive that Nazirs cannot remove the Peons of the Establishment, unless they can "show sufficient Cause to the Satisfaction of the Judge, and not without his previous Knowledge and Sanction." So too the Nazirs can only appoint Peons, "subject to the Approbation of the Judge."

4. The Practice mentioned by you in the Conclusion of your Report, as prevailing in the Registry Offices in your Jurisdiction, of making an Enquiry into the Payment and Realization of the Consideration noted in Deeds presented for Registry, is, I am instructed to inform you, quite irregular, and should be strictly prohibited. The Registers of Deeds are required to ascertain "the due Execution" of the Deeds preferred to them, but have no Right or Power to meddle with any other Points which it is the Province of the Civil Courts to determine.

(True Extracts.)

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

LIST OF STATEMENTS.

- No. 1.—General Abstract Report of Appeals depending before the Court of Sudder Dewany Adawlut, North-western Provinces, on the 1st January 1850, and of the Number admitted and disposed of in the Year 1849.
- No. 2.—Abstract Report of Causes depending on the 1st January 1850, and of the Number admitted and disposed of during the Year 1849, in the several Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces.
- No. 2. Part 2.—Statement of the Operations of the Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces, classified with reference to the several Grades of Officers.
- No. 3.—Abstract Statement showing the Years in which were originally instituted regular Suits and Appeals depending before the Sudder Dewany Adawlut, the Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs, in the North-western Provinces, on the 1st January 1850.
- No. 4.—Statement showing the Period which would elapse before the Decisions of the regular Suits depending on the 1st January 1850, if calculated according to the total Number disposed of in the several Courts in the North-western Provinces, during the Year 1849.
- No. 5.—Abstract Statement showing the total Value or Amount of regular Suits, whether Original or in Appeal, depending in the several Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces, on the 1st January 1850.
- No. 6.—Abstract Statement required by the Government Resolution under Date the 19th May 1825.
- No. 7.—Abstract Statement of Civil Suits tried with the Assistance of Natives under Regulation VI. of 1832, during the Year 1849.
- No. 8.—Abstract Statement of the different Descriptions of Original Suits instituted before the several Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs, in the North-western Provinces, during the Year 1849.
- No. 9.—Abstract Statement of the Appellate Jurisdiction of the several Tribunals in the North-western Provinces, showing what Number of Decrees in each Class of them was appealable, appealed, altered or reversed, during the Year 1849.
- No. 10.—Abstract Numerical Statement of Applications for the Execution of Decrees pending and instituted before and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1849.
- No. 11.—General Abstract of the most important Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of by the Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs, during the Year 1849, and depending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850 respectively.
- No. 12.—Abstract showing the Result of Miscellaneous and Summary Appeals from the Decisions of the Zillah Judges, preferred to the Sudder Dewany Adawlut, during the Year 1849.

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 1.

GENERAL ABSTRACT REPORT of APPEALS depending before the Court of SUDDER DEWANY ADAWLUT, NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES, on the 1st January 1850, and of the Number admitted and disposed of in the Year 1849.

	Pending on 1st January 1849.	Admitted in the last 12 Months.	Total.	Decided on Trial.			Remanded for Re-trial.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.	Depending on 1st January 1850.	Increase.	Decrease.												
				Confirmed.	Reversed.	Modified.																			
Regular Appeals -	77	82	159	33	9	6	5	3	1	57	102	25	-												
Special Appeals -	26	171	197	54	15	9	71	1	-	150	47	21	-												
Total, 1849 -	103	253	356	87	24	15	76	4	1	207	149	46	-												
„ 1848 -	89	216	305	55	23	13	96	5	4	202	193	14	-												
Increase -	14	37	51	32	-	2	-	-	-	5	46	-	-												
Decrease -	-	-	-	-	5	-	20	1	3	-	-	-	-												
No. 1. Part 2.																									
	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.											
Judges -	7	15	6	119	13	134	4	46	-	11	-	7	-	39	-	1	-	4	104	9	30	2	15	-	
P. S. Ameens -	70	11	76	52	146	63	29	8	9	4	6	2	5	32	3	-	1	-	53	46	93	17	23	6	-
Total -	77	26	82	171	159	197	33	54	9	15	6	9	5	71	3	1	1	-	57	150	102	47	25	21	-

Specification of the Value of Appeals, Regular and Special, depending before the Court of Sudder Dewany Adawlut North-western Provinces, on the 1st January 1850.

Not exceeding Ra.	300	-	-	28	Amount or Value	-	-	3,449
Ditto	1,000	-	-	22	Ditto	-	-	30,145
Ditto	5,000	-	-	20	Ditto	-	-	44,166
Ditto	10,000	-	-	47	Ditto	-	-	3,45,619
Exceeding	10,000	-	-	32	Ditto	-	-	1,43,58,862
Total	-	-	-	149				1,47,82,241

Memorandum showing the Number of Cases finally disposed of, and Opinions not being Final Judgments, recorded by several Judges of the Sudder Dewany Adawlut in 1849.

	Final Judgments.		Opinions in				Total.	
	Regular.	Special.	Cases disposed of.		Cases not disposed of.			
			Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.		
Mr. B. Tayler -	7	12	2	12	-	-	33	Absent on Leave from 8th to 18th February, and 3d April to End of Year.
Mr. G. P. Thompson -	14	29	12	91	-	-	146	Absent on Leave from 13th January to 13th February Died 11th November.
Mr. C. R. Cartwright -	4	11	7	10	-	-	32	Absent on Leave from 28th March to End of Year
Mr. A. W. Degbie -	3	23	12	71	-	-	109	Took his Seat on the 14th April, and continued End of Year.
Mr. H. Lushington -	23	74	5	23	-	-	125	Took his Seat on the 25th April, and continued End of Year.
Mr. F. H. Robinson -	6	1	2	1	-	-	10	Took his Seat on the 20th November, and continued to End of Year.
	57	150	40	208	-	-	455	

No. 2.

ABSTRACT REPORT

OF

CAUSES depending on the 1st January 1850, and of the NUMBER ADMITTED and
DISPOSED OF during the Year 1849, in the several CIVIL COURTS in the
NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

ABSTRACT REPORT of Causes depending on the 1st January 1850, and of the Number admitted.

1.	2.		3.		4.		5.		6.		7.		8.		9.		10.	
	Pending on 1st January 1849.		Instituted during the Year.		Received by Transfer during the Year.		Total.		Transferred to other Courts.		Remaining for Trial.		On their Merits.		Remanded for Re-trial.		Total.	
	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.
Regulation Provinces -	14,950	3,312	62,954	6,753	3,744	3,685	81,648	13,750	2,940	3,605	78,708	10,145	35,132	2,042	—	578	35,132	2,042
Hill Provinces -	3,084	334	6,625	685	1,181	82	10,890	1,051	1,165	26	9,725	1,025	1,980	145	—	54	1,980	145
Total -	18,034	3,646	69,579	7,438	4,925	3,717	92,538	14,801	4,105	3,631	88,433	11,170	37,112	2,187	—	632	37,112	2,187
COMPARATIVE																		
1848 - -	17,572	3,387	67,562	7,725	5,083	3,471	90,217	14,583	4,293	3,353	85,924	11,230	34,404	1,833	—	583	34,404	1,833
1849 - -	18,034	3,646	69,579	7,438	4,925	3,717	92,538	14,801	4,105	3,631	88,433	11,170	37,112	2,187	—	632	37,112	2,187
Increase -	462	259	2,017	—	—	246	2,321	218	—	278	2,509	—	2,708	354	—	49	2,708	—
Decrease -	—	—	—	287	153	—	—	—	188	—	—	60	—	—	—	—	—	—

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

No. 2. PART 2.

STATEMENT of the Operations of the Civil Courts in the North-west

1.	2.		3.		4.		5.		6.		7.		8.		9.		10.	
	Pending on 1st January 1849.		Instituted during the Year.		Received by Transfer during the Year.		Total.		Transferred to other Courts.		Remaining for Trial.		On their Merits.		Remanded for Re-trial.		Total.	
	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.
19 Judges -	9	2,332	59	6,753	689	63	757	9,167	727	3,396	50	5,771	9	833	—	438	9	—
22 Princl. Sudder Amcees -	1,039	980	2,017	—	546	3,603	3,602	4,583	83	209	3,519	4,374	1,404	1,209	—	140	1,404	—
14 Sudder Amcees -	1,591	—	5,750	—	1,166	—	8,507	—	102	—	8,405	—	3,957	—	—	—	—	3,237
100 Mousaffs -	12,311	—	55,128	—	1,343	—	68,782	—	2,028	—	66,754	—	33,462	—	—	—	—	33,462
Total -	14,950	3,312	62,954	6,753	3,744	3,685	81,648	13,750	2,940	3,605	78,708	10,145	35,132	2,042	—	578	35,132	2,042
1 Commissioner -	—	72	85	234	2	16	87	342	65	23	22	319	7	38	—	20	7	—
1 Supdt. Hill States -	5	15	10	61	—	—	15	76	—	—	15	76	5	19	—	8	5	—
2 Sen. Assistants -	894	246	1,756	370	154	15	2,804	631	954	5	1,853	628	204	93	—	26	204	—
1 Jun. Assistant -	—	—	135	—	280	—	515	—	—	—	515	—	76	—	—	—	76	—
4 Sudder Amcees -	1,500	—	3,401	—	309	—	3,216	—	110	—	3,106	—	1,148	—	—	—	1,148	—
2 Mousaffs -	670	—	1,238	—	336	—	2,259	—	37	—	2,217	—	540	—	—	—	540	—
Grand Total	18,034	3,646	69,579	7,438	4,925	3,717	92,538	14,801	4,105	3,631	88,433	11,170	37,112	2,187	—	632	37,112	2,187

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

No. 2.

and disposed of during the Year 1849, in the several Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces.

11.		12.		13.		14.		15.		16.		17.		18.		19.		20.		21.			
FINALLY DISPOSED OF.																							
on Trial.																		Pending at the End of the Year.		Increase.		Decrease.	
For Defendant or Respondent.																							
On their Merits, after summoning Respondent.		Without summoning Respondent under Clause 3. Sec. 18. Reg. V. 1831, Sec. 2. Reg. IX. 1831, and Act VII. 1838.				Total.		Total of Cases decided on Trial.		Dismissed on Default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.		Total of every Description finally disposed of.									
Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.		
9,821	3,289	—	38	—	1,046	9,821	4,373	44,953	6,993	5,034	314	13,383	169	63,370	7,476	15,338	2,669	388	—	—	643		
468	186	—	178	—	13	468	377	2,448	576	3,470	67	791	13	6,709	656	3,010	969	—	35	68	—		
10,289	3,475	—	216	—	1,059	10,289	4,750	47,401	7,569	8,504	381	14,174	182	70,079	8,132	18,354	3,038	320	—	—	608		

ABSTRACT.

9,506	3,244	—	265	—	1,093	9,506	4,602	43,910	7,018	8,711	410	15,269	156	67,890	7,584	18,034	3,640	—	—	—	—
10,289	3,475	—	216	—	1,059	10,289	4,750	47,401	7,569	8,504	381	14,174	182	70,079	8,132	18,354	3,038	—	—	—	—
783	231	—	—	—	—	783	148	3,491	551	—	—	—	26	2,189	548	920	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	49	—	34	—	—	—	—	207	29	1,095	—	—	—	—	608	—	—	—	—

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 2. PART 2.

Provinces, classified with reference to the several Grades of Officers.

11.		12.		13.		14.		15.		16.		17.		18.		19.		20.		21.			
FINALLY DISPOSED OF.																							
on Trial.																		Pending at the End of the Year.		Increase.		Decrease.	
For Defendant or Respondent.																							
On their Merits after summoning Respondent.		Without summoning Respondent under Clause 3. Sec. 18. Reg. V. 1831, Sec. 2. Reg. IX. 1831, and Act VII. 1838.				Total.		Total of Cases decided on Trial.		Dismissed on Default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.		Total of every Description finally disposed of.									
Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.	Orig. Suits.	Ap-peals.		
6	1,172	—	38	—	1,046	6	2,256	15	3,527	2	176	3	99	20	3,802	10	1,969	1	—	—	363		
624	2,117	—	—	—	—	624	2,117	2,028	3,466	121	138	335	70	2,484	3,674	1,035	700	—	—	4	280		
1,125	—	—	—	—	—	1,125	—	4,392	—	463	—	1,891	—	6,676	—	1,729	—	138	—	—	—		
8,066	—	—	—	—	—	8,066	—	38,528	—	4,448	—	11,214	—	54,190	—	12,564	—	253	—	—	—		
9,821	3,289	—	38	—	1,046	9,821	4,373	44,953	6,993	5,034	314	13,383	169	63,370	7,476	15,338	2,669	388	—	—	643		
3	66	—	66	—	11	3	143	10	196	—	3	12	4	22	203	—	116	—	44	—	—		
—	27	—	2	—	—	—	29	5	50	2	10	1	2	8	68	7	8	2	—	—	7		
102	93	—	110	—	2	102	205	306	324	306	59	58	7	1,270	384	580	244	—	—	314	2		
26	—	—	—	—	—	26	—	102	—	107	—	53	—	964	—	251	—	—	—	—	—		
250	—	—	—	—	—	250	—	1,998	—	1,510	—	539	—	3,447	—	1,659	—	153	—	—	—		
87	—	—	—	—	—	87	—	627	—	945	—	126	—	1,698	—	519	—	—	—	—	160		
10,289	3,475	—	216	—	1,059	10,289	4,750	47,401	7,569	8,504	381	14,174	182	70,079	8,132	18,354	3,038	320	—	—	608		

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 3.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Years in which were originally instituted Regular Suits and Appeals depending before the Sudder Dewany Adawlut, the Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs, in the North Western Provinces on the 1st January 1850.

	1842.	1843.	1844.	1845.	1846.	1847.	1848.	1849.		Total			
	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits. Appeals.	Regular Suits.	Appeals.	Regular Suits.	Appeals.		
Sudder Dewany Adawlut, N.W.P.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	125	-	149	
Regulation Provinces	-	-	-	-	2	-	13	53	104	15,270	2,565	15,338	2,669
Hill Provinces	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3,015	369	3,016	369
Total	-	-	-	-	2	-	13	54	128	18,285	3,059	18,354	3,187

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 4.

STATEMENT showing the Period which would elapse before the Decisions of the Regular Suits depending on the 1st January 1850, if calculated according to the total Number disposed of in the several Courts in the North-western Provinces during the Year 1849.

	Depending on the 1st January 1850.	Disposed of during the Year 1849.	Average Period which would elapse before the Decisions of the Number of Suits depending in each Court according to the foregoing Statement of the Number disposed of in the past Year.
Sudder Dewany Adawlut	149	207	8'637 Months.
Zillah Judges	1,979	3,822	6'213 Months.
Principal Sudder Ameens	1,735	6,158	3'380 Months.
Sudder Ameens	1,729	6,676	3'107 Months.
Moonsiffs	12,564	54,190	2'782 Months.
Total	18,156	71,053	3'066 Months.
Kumaon	3,204	6,032	6'374 Months.
Subathoo	181	1,333	1'629 Months.
Total	21,541	78,418	3'296 Months.
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.			
1848	21,783	75,676	3'454 Months.
1849	21,541	78,418	3'296 Months.

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

No. 5.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the total Value or Amount of Regular Suits, whether Original or in Appeal, depending in the several Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces, on the 1st January 1850.

	ORIGINAL SUITS.					APPEALS.			Grand Total.
	Judges.	Principal Sudder Ameens.	Sudder Ameens.	Moon-siffs.	Total.	Judges.	Principal Sudder Ameens.	Total.	
	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	Total Value of Cases pending at the Close of 1849.	
Sudder Dewany Adawlut, N.W.P.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,47,82,241	1,47,82,241
Regulation Provinces - Hill Provinces	1,80,142 4,308	43,35,345 24,686	3,92,162 43,983	8,45,441 9,934	57,53,090 82,861	7,66,060 12,540	1,27,252 4,816	8,93,312 17,356	66,46,402 1,00,217
Total	1,84,450	43,60,031	4,36,095	8,55,375	58,35,951	7,78,600	1,32,068	1,56,92,909	2,15,28,860
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.									
1848	2,77,395	1,88,15,637	3,91,382	8,94,303	2,03,78,717	9,58,558	1,19,737	24,51,714	2,28,30,431
1849	1,84,450	43,60,031	4,36,095	8,55,375	58,35,951	7,78,600	1,32,068	1,56,92,909	2,15,28,860
Increase	—	—	44,713	—	—	—	12,331	1,32,41,195	—
Decrease	92,945	1,44,55,606	—	38,928	1,45,42,766	1,79,958	—	—	13,01,571

Agra, 27th September 1850.

No. 6.

F. B. PEARSON, Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT required by the Government Resolution under Date the 19th May 1825.

	Abstract Statement of Regular Suits instituted in 1849, with the view of setting aside Summary Suits.	Number of Cases which the Moon-siffs were precluded from receiving in consequence of the Claim being advanced in form pauperis.	Total.
Regulation Provinces	486	34	520
Hill Provinces	—	—	—
Total	486	34	520
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.			
1848	548	8	556
1849	486	34	520
Increase	—	26	—
Decrease	62	—	36

Agra, 27th September 1850.

No. 7.

F. B. PEARSON, Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of Civil Suits tried with the Assistance of Natives under Regulation VI. of 1832, during the Year 1849.

	Suits tried with the Assistance of a Panchayat.			Suits tried with the Assistance of Assessors.			Suits tried with the Assistance of a Jury.		
	Number decided in accordance with the Award of the Panchayat.	Number decided contrary to the Award of the Panchayat.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Award of the Assessors.	Number decided contrary to the Award of the Assessors.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number decided contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total.
	Regulation Provinces	41	1	42	9	—	9	5	—
Hill Provinces	21	1	22	8	—	3	—	—	—
Total	62	2	64	12	—	12	5	—	5
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.									
1848	50	3	53	42	1	43	30	1	31
1849	62	2	64	12	—	12	5	—	5
Increase	12	—	11	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease	—	1	—	30	1	31	25	1	26

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850.
(110 A.)

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of the different Descriptions of Original Suits instituted before the several Judges, Principal Sudder Amceens, Sudder Amceens, and Moonsiffs, in the North-western Provinces, during the Year 1849.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.
	Suits connected with Land Interest.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyance by Sale.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyance by Gift.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyance by Mortgage.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyance by Will.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyance by Dowry.	Suits for Real Property on Right of Pre-emption.	Inheritance under the Mahomedan Law.	Inheritance under the Hindoo Law.	Claims in Right of Adoption.	Lakhiraj Suits under Section 30, Regulation II. 1819.	Suits regarding Puttee and other dependant Tenures.	Suits to contest Sales by Collectors for Arrears of Government Dues.	Suits for Land not before included, such as Boundary Suits, &c.	Debts on Bond or otherwise.	Suits of Bankers or Traders.	Religious Suits connected with Caste, Rights of Priests, &c.	Damages for Breach of Contract.	For Recovery of Money embezzled.	Wages.	Suits connected with Indigo, Sugar, Silk, and other staple Products.	Suits for Personal Property not before included.	Suits for Defamation of Character.
Regulation Provinces -	6,652	2,057	114	1,069	4	27	152	421	854	6	11	832	26	1,533	38,423	5,700	86	153	327	169	618	3,720	—
Hill Provinces - - -	627	32	4	80	70	7	26	1	459	2	—	462	—	5	3,545	304	19	116	179	192	218	277	—
Total - - -	7,279	2,089	118	1,149	74	34	178	422	1,313	8	11	1,294	26	1,538	41,968	6,004	105	269	506	361	836	3,997	—
S.D.A. instituted in 1849 -	56	26	2	28	—	—	3	10	5	—	2	9	1	—	13	24	—	2	—	1	6	65	—
Disposed of - - -	48	15	—	24	—	1	3	3	3	—	2	6	1	—	19	14	—	1	—	2	5	60	—
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																							
1848 - - -	6,913	1,936	105	1,007	4	45	135	450	1,379	21	13	1,567	8	1,653	39,225	7,028	104	274	429	497	756	4,013	—
1849 - - -	7,279	2,089	118	1,149	74	34	178	422	1,313	8	11	1,294	26	1,538	41,968	6,004	105	269	506	361	836	3,997	—
Increase - - -	366	153	13	142	70	—	43	—	—	—	—	—	18	—	2,743	—	1	—	77	—	80	—	—
Decrease - - -	—	—	—	—	—	11	—	28	66	13	2	273	—	115	—	1,024	—	5	—	136	—	16	—

(348)

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of the Appellate Jurisdiction of the several Tribunals in the North-western Provinces, showing what Number of Decrees in each Class of them was appealable, appealed, affirmed, altered or reversed, during the Year 1849.

(110)

Y

DESCRIPTION OF CASES.	ORIGINAL SUITS AND FIRST APPEALS.				PROPORTIONS.			FIRST AND SECOND APPEALS.										REMARKS.												
	Number appealable.				Of Column 5 to Column 1.	Of Column 6 to Column 1 x 2.	Of Column 7 to Column 1 x 2 x 2.	Number pending at the End of 1848.		Number appealed during the Year 1849.		Total Number under Trial during the Year 1849.		Number confirmed.			Number modified or reversed.			Total decided during the Year.										
	Cases decided on full Trial.	Cases decided ex parte.	Cases decided on Confession of Judgment.	Total.				Before Sudder Dewany Adawlut.	Before Zillah Authorities.	To Sudder Dewany Adawlut.	To Zillah Authorities.	Before Sudder Dewany Adawlut.	Before Zillah Authorities.	By Sudder Dewany Adawlut.	By Judges.	By Principal Sudder Ameens.	Total.		By Sudder Dewany Adawlut.	By Judges.	By Principal Sudder Ameens.	Total.	By Sudder Dewany Adawlut.	By Zillah Authorities.						
	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.	Spl.	Reg.		Spl.	Reg.	Spl.									
Resolution Provinces Hill Provinces	31,328	11,153	9,465	51,946	22'61	16'08	13'04	77	26	8,312	82	171	6,853	169	197	10,146	33	54	2,254	2,117	4,373	20	95	1,371	1,349	2,620	53	149	6,993	
Ditto	1,970	763	292	3,024	35'07	25'29	22'85	-	-	334	-	-	691	-	-	1,925	-	-	178	109	377	-	-	81	118	199	-	-	576	
Total	33,298	11,915	9,757	54,970	23'35	17'2	14'14	77	26	3,646	82	171	7,524	169	197	11,170	33	54	2,434	2,316	4,750	20	95	1,352	1,467	2,819	53	149	7,569	
102 Mooniffs	20,454	9,051	9,050	38,555	27'44	18'64	14'33	-	-	2,391	-	-	5,014	-	-	8,005	-	-	1,681	1,990	3,671	-	-	798	1,212	1,990	-	-	5,351	
18 Sudder Ameens	3,400	1,830	550	5,780	26'75	17'39	15'74	-	-	681	-	-	910	-	-	1,591	-	-	260	820	1,080	-	-	204	253	450	-	-	1,045	
25 Pl Sudder Ameens	1,929	351	154	2,434	20'01	18'58	18'11	70	11	574	76	52	1,000	146	63	1,674	20	8	598	-	593	20	38	890	-	-	880	40	46	973
21 Judges	3,708	63	1	3,772	3'28	3'28	3'28	7	15	-	6	119	-	13	134	-	-	-	-	-	4	46	-	-	-	-	4	103	-	
Total	33,298	11,915	9,757	54,970	23'35	17'2	14'14	77	26	3,646	82	171	7,524	169	197	11,170	33	54	2,434	2,316	4,750	20	95	1,352	1,467	2,819	53	149	7,569	

(349)

1. Memorandum showing the Proportion in which the Regular and Special Appeals from the Decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens have been confirmed or reversed by the Judges in the Year 1849.

2. Memorandum showing the Proportion in which Appeals from the Decisions of the Sudder Ameens and Mooniffs have been confirmed or reversed by the Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in the Year 1849.

	Regular Appeals.	Special Appeals.	Confirmed.	Reversed.
Confirmed	625	68	1,841	672
Reversed	266	14	2,316	1,467
Total	891	82	4,157	2,439

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

	1848	1849	Increase	Decrease	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1848	32,088	12,105	6,733	50,928	25'1	18'23	15'82	40	40	3,337	83	133	7,841	132	173	11,223	22	33	2,609	1,933	4,602	24	114	1,322	1,094	2,416	46	147	7,018																																																																											
1849	33,298	11,915	9,757	54,970	23'35	17'2	14'14	77	26	3,646	82	171	7,524	169	197	11,170	33	54	2,434	2,316	4,750	20	95	1,352	1,467	2,819	53	149	7,569																																																																											
Increase	1,210	-	3,022	4,042	-	-	-	27	-	309	-	38	-	37	24	-	-	-	235	383	148	-	-	30	373	403	7	2	551																																																																											
Decrease	-	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	317	-	-	58	-	-	-	-	-	4	19	-	-	-	-	-	-																																																																											

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850.

F. B. PEARSON,
Register

No. 10.
ABSTRACT NUMERICAL STATEMENT of Applications for the Execution of Decrees pending and instituted before and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North-western Provinces, during the Year 1849.

1.	2.	Brought on the File during the Year.				6.	7.	Disposed of.					Pending on the 31st December 1849.							Explanation of the Cause of Delay in the Execution of Decrees which have been on the File for One Year or more.					
		3.	4.	5.	6.			8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.			
	Total pending on the 1st January 1849.	Newly instituted.	Re-admitted.	Received by Transfer.	Total filed in 1849.	Grand Total.	Cases struck off the File, the Decree having been completely executed on the Decree-holder, after taking out Execution, having filed a Razeenamah.	Cases struck off the File after partial Enforcement, the Decree-holder having omitted to proceed with the Execution within the prescribed Period, by pointing out further Property liable for the Decree, or by taking other Steps in execution of it.	Cases struck off the File without any Part of the Decree being carried into Effect, owing to the Decree-holder having, after taking out Execution, failed to proceed in the Matter within the prescribed Period.	Transferred to other Courts.	Total of every Description disposed of.	Pending on the 31st December 1849.	Before 1844.	In 1845.	In 1846.	In 1847.	In 1848.	In 1849.	Dustuk issued, or Defendant not found, or List of Property not given, or other Neglect of the Plaintiff.	Property under Sale by the Collector or other Officer, or Money sent for from the Collector's Office.	Under Objections to Sale, &c., and under Inquiry if appealed or not.	Property under investigation.	Notice or Dustuk issued and not yet returned.		
Regulation Provinces -	9,665,31,379	19,596	1,098	51,983	61,669	15,539	9,981	24,339	977	50,836	10,832	1	-	-	-	47	10,784	-	-	30	11	6	1		
Hill Provinces -	648	1,825	133	1,048	3,608	1,179	22	694	1,030	2,925	651	-	-	-	-	41	659	-	-	30	-	-	-		
Total -	10,223	33,204	19,641	52,146	65,291	16,718	10,003	25,033	2,007	53,761	11,483	1	-	-	-	48	11,491	-	-	30	11	6	1		
* Pending since 1848 on account of having been transferred to Calcutta for Realisation of the Amount.																									
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																									
1848	9,346	30,491	18,793	2,144	51,428	60,776	15,894	8,545	24,060	2,024	50,523	10,253	-	1	-	4	44	10,204	5	22	19	4	-		
1849	10,223	33,204	19,641	2,146	54,991	65,241	16,718	10,003	25,033	2,007	53,761	11,483	1	-	-	48	11,491	-	30	11	6	1			
Increase	907	2,713	848	2	3,563	4,465	824	1,458	973	17	3,238	1,230	1	-	4	4	1,287	-	8	-	2	1			
Decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
 Agra, 27th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
 Register.

No. 11.
GENERAL ABSTRACT of the most important Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of by the Judges, Principal Sudder Amiens, Sudder Amiens, and Mooniffs, during the Year 1849, and depending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850 respectively.

	Depending on the 1st January 1849.										Disposed of during the Year 1849.										Depending on the 1st January 1850.									
	Petitions for review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions for Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. 1838, and Petitions under Act XVI. of 1846.	Petitions of Miscellaneous and Interlocutory Appeals.	Petitions of Appeal under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.	Petitions of Appeal under Section 7, Regulation VII. 1832, and Section 5, Act VI. 1843, and Petitions of Appeal from Orders of Fine.	Petitions for the redemption and foreclosing of Mortgages under Section 2, Regulation I. 1798, and Section 8, Regulation XVII. 1806.	Applications for the execution of Decrees and Petitions from parties objecting to orders passed in the execution of Decrees.	Total.	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions for Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. 1838, and Petitions under Act XVI. of 1846.	Petitions of Miscellaneous and Interlocutory Appeals.	Petitions of Appeal under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.	Petitions of Appeal under Section 7, Regulation VII. 1832, and Section 5, Act VI. 1843, and Petitions of Appeal from Orders of Fine.	Petitions for the redemption and foreclosing of Mortgages under Section 2, Regulation I. 1798, and Section 8, Regulation XVII. 1806.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees, and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions for Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. 1838, and Petitions under Act XVI. of 1846.	Petitions of Miscellaneous and Interlocutory Appeals.	Petitions of Appeal under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.	Petitions of Appeal under Section 7, Regulation VII. 1832, and Section 5, Act VI. 1843, and Petitions of Appeals from Orders of Fine.	Petitions for the redemption and foreclosing of Mortgages under Section 2, Regulation I. 1798, and Section 8, Regulation XVII. 1806.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.						
Regulation Provinces Hill Provinces	39	107	21	55	451	77	9,973	10,723	167	498	107	188	1,932	888	52,951	56,751	37	65	8	27	860	102	11,112	11,711						
Total	99	107	23	55	451	77	10,544	11,596	500	500	272	188	1,932	888	55,933	59,883	97	65	17	27	860	108	11,767	12,376						
Judges Principal Sudder Amiens Sudder Amiens Mooniffs	27	107	23	55	451	77	1,81	861	97	500	272	188	1,932	888	1,039	4,916	17	65	17	27	860	108	89	678						
Total	39	107	23	55	451	77	1,843	1,855	170	500	272	188	1,932	888	8,762	8,832	20	65	17	27	860	108	1,912	1,982						
							1,963	1,963							4,892	4,892							1,643	1,643						
							7,217	7,217							41,800	41,800							8,123	8,123						
							10,544	11,296							59,883	59,883							11,767	12,376						
1848	60	99	28	48	433	68	9,642	10,378	219	482	376	186	1,747	746	52,494	56,250	40	107	23	55	451	77	10,547	11,800						
1849	89	107	23	55	451	77	10,544	11,296	170	500	272	188	1,932	888	55,933	59,883	57	65	17	27	860	108	11,767	12,376						
Increase	29	8	5	7	18	9	902	918	49	18	104	2	185	142	5,439	3,633	17	42	6	28	91	26	1,220	1,076						
Decrease	21																													

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

S. D. A., N. W. P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Registrar.

ABSTRACT showing the Result of Miscellaneous and Summary Appeals from the Decisions of the Zillah Judges, preferred to the Sudder Dewany Adawlut, during the Year 1849.

	APPLICATIONS FOR THE ADMISSION OF SPECIAL APPEALS.							SUMMARY APPEALS UNDER REGULATION XXVI. 1814, SECTION 3.						SUMMARY APPEALS UNDER REGULATION VII. 1825.						ALL OTHER MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS.						Miscellaneous Business arising in and disposed of by Sudder Dewany Adawlut.				
	Pending 1st January 1849.	Preferred in 1849.	Total.	Admitted.	Re-admitted on Petition for Review of Order.	Rejected.	Struck off or otherwise disposed of.	Pending 1st January 1850.	Pending 1st January 1849.	Preferred in 1849.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1850.	Pending 1st January 1849.	Preferred in 1849.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1850.	Pending 1st January 1849.	Preferred in 1849.	Total.		Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1850.
												Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off or otherwise disposed of.					Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off or otherwise disposed of.						Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off or otherwise disposed of.	
Regulation Provinces	152	640	792	163	8	418	27	176	4	22	26	10	9	2	5	2	—	2	2	—	—	—	127	401	528	236	66	98	128	—
Hill Provinces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	152	640	792	163	8	418	27	176	4	22	26	10	9	2	5	2	—	2	2	—	—	—	127	401	528	236	66	98	128	568
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																														
1848	133	525	658	133	1	337	35	152	5	15	20	3	6	7	4	—	2	2	—	—	—	2	176	417	593	246	103	117	127	640
1849	152	640	792	163	8	418	27	176	4	22	26	10	9	2	5	2	—	2	2	—	—	—	127	401	528	236	66	98	128	568
Increase	19	115	134	30	7	81	—	24	—	7	6	7	3	—	1	2	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	—	1	—	—	—	—	5	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	2	49	16	65	10	37	19	—	72

S.D.A., N.W.P.,
Agra, 27th September 1850. }

F. B. PEARSON,
Register.

Fort St. George Criminal and Civil Justice, 1850.

REPORT of the FOUJDAREE UDALUT on the ADMINISTRATION of CRIMINAL JUSTICE under the MADRAS PRESIDENCY for the Year 1850.

REPORT.

Madras, 23d August 1851.

(No. 78.)

From G. T. BEAUCHAMP, Esquire, Register to the Court of Foujdaree Udalut, to
T. PYCROFT, Esquire, Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department.

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Foujdaree Udalut to transmit, for the Purpose of being laid before the Right Honourable the Governor in Council, the Criminal Statements for 1850 as specified in the List annexed, together with a series of Tables marked (A.) to (S.) which have been framed therefrom.

2. I am also directed to forward as an Appendix an Extract from the Proceedings of the Court, dated 4th April 1851, upon a Point of general Interest, to which the Attention of the Court was called by the Session Judge of Tellicherry. The Orders of the Court upon other Points which appeared to call for remark are appended to the Reports of the Magistrates of Tanjore and Masulipatam, and of the Session Judges of Guntoor, Calicut, Coimbatore. (Enclosures Nos. 62, 66, 86, 87, 90, 101, and 104.)

3. Observations upon the Inadequacy of the Remuneration granted to the Village Police will be found in the 16th Para. of the Report of the Magistrate of Canara (Enclosure No. 110.) and in Para. 2. of the Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Judge of Guntoor, dated 20th May 1851 (Enclosure No. 84.) The Subject of Cattle Trespass, also

1. The Appointment of a Special Officer for the Suppression of Gang Robbery in the Southern Division.

2. The Extension of Section XVI Regulation IX. of 1819 to Evasion of Process by Persons charged with Offences not bailable.

3. The Punishment of Parties making false and malicious Charges of petty Offences before Heads of Police.

alluded to by the Session Judge of Guntoor, is under Consideration, with other Papers on the same Subject received from the Session Judges at Tellicherry, Bellary, and Honore, and Orders will be hereafter communicated to the Session Judge of Trichinopoly upon the Questions referred to in his annual Report noted marginally.

4. The Judges regret that it has been impracticable to furnish this Report at an earlier Date in consequence of the Returns from Guntoor, Masulipatam, and Cuddapah having been only received on the 27th May and the 10th and 28th June respectively, and even then it was necessary to return certain of the Statements for Correction. The Delay is attributed by the Magistrates to the Forms heretofore in use having been in some respects modified, in order that they might check each other, and exhibit clearly and uniformly the Particulars requisite to enable this Office to prepare the Tables. The modified Forms, with detailed Instructions for filling them up, were circulated, however, on the 28th October 1850, and as the Returns from Bellary, Rajahmundry, and Tinnevely were received on the 17th and 19th February and 4th March respectively the Judges do not consider the Explanation satisfactory.

5. I am to add that the Sudder Ameens are reported to have discharged their Duties satisfactorily.

I have the Honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. T. BEAUCHAMP,

Register.

Foujdaree Udalut, Register's Office, }
23d August 1851.

(A.)

PETTY OFFENCES.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Number of Persons summoned, the most prevalent Offences with which they were charged, and the Number and Per-centage of Persons punished.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
Districts.	Assault.			Cattle Stealing.			Theft.			Various.			Total.		
	Summoned.	Punished.	Per-centage.	Summoned.	Punished.	Per-centage.	Summoned.	Punished.	Per-centage.	Summoned.	Punished.	Per-centage.	Summoned.	Punished.	Per-centage.
Ganjam - -	3,887	656	16	12	1	8	188	122	64	6	1	16	4,093	780	19
Vizagapatam -	4,031	516	12	5	3	60	100	40	40	—	—	—	4,136	559	13
Rajahmundry -	14,270	1,288	9	25	6	24	221	116	52	55	12	21	14,571	1,422	9
Masulipatam -	3,614	1,496	41	49	19	38	84	31	36	39	28	71	3,786	1,574	41
Guntoor - -	15,082	7,023	46	97	25	25	291	136	46	87	36	41	15,557	7,220	46
Cuddapah -	5,056	1,449	28	173	62	35	679	197	29	264	98	37	6,172	1,806	29
Bellary - -	3,287	1,302	39	35	14	40	493	299	60	131	41	31	3,946	1,656	41
Nellore - -	7,986	2,314	28	110	15	13	470	255	54	137	94	68	8,703	2,678	30
North Arcot -	9,902	2,554	25	203	45	22	757	303	40	703	164	23	11,565	3,066	26
Chingleput -	4,346	1,676	38	94	30	31	411	303	73	226	197	87	5,077	2,206	43
South Arcot -	9,583	2,533	26	158	46	29	926	455	49	188	64	33	10,855	3,098	28
Salem - -	10,150	2,754	27	260	66	25	701	264	37	595	149	25	11,706	3,233	27
Coimbatore -	6,527	2,849	43	102	20	19	296	178	60	320	162	50	7,245	3,209	44
Trichinopoly -	8,093	2,420	29	55	19	34	299	151	50	52	34	65	8,499	2,624	30
Tanjore - -	15,631	4,307	27	151	49	32	540	316	58	546	274	50	16,868	4,946	29
Madura - -	9,021	4,465	49	167	41	24	692	436	63	233	94	40	10,113	5,036	49
Tinnevelly -	5,832	1,944	33	31	4	12	197	124	62	190	71	37	6,250	2,143	34
Malabar - -	8,467	1,546	18	432	244	56	846	272	32	400	131	32	10,145	2,193	21
Canara - -	7,168	1,213	16	90	6	6	594	239	40	1,203	884	73	9,055	2,342	25
Total -	151,933	44,305	29	2,249	715	31	8,785	4,237	48	5,375	2,534	47	168,342	51,791	30
<i>Agency.</i>															
Ganjam - -	5,099	750	14	24	13	54	152	57	37	13	3	23	5,288	823	15
Vizagapatam -	9,380	947	10	18	8	44	116	50	43	21	7	33	9,535	1,012	10
Kurnool - -	651	379	58	17	9	11	82	54	65	15	6	40	765	441	57
Total -	15,130	2,076	13	59	23	58	350	161	46	49	16	32	15,588	2,276	14
Grand Total	167,063	46,381	27	2,308	738	31	9,135	4,398	48	5,424	2,550	47	183,930	54,067	29

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849 - -	164,817	46,793	28	1,828	650	33	8,762	4,122	47	2,905	1,394	47	177,812	52,961	29
In 1850 - -	167,063	46,381	27	2,308	738	31	9,135	4,398	48	5,424	2,550	47	183,930	54,067	29
Increase - -	2,246	—	—	480	88	—	373	276	1	2,519	1,156	—	6,118	1,106	—
Decrease - -	—	414	1	—	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Number of Offences reported during the Year; the Number in which no one Offender has been apprehended, and the Per-centage of such Cases; the Number of Cases and Persons brought before the Police and Magistracy for Offences perpetrated during the Year, and the Number of Persons concerned in those Cases who are still at large. Also, the Gross Number of Persons brought before the Police, Magistracy, and the Courts during the Year, and the Number convicted and punished.

1. DISTRICTS.	2.		3.			4.			5.			6.			7.			8.			9.			10.		11.		12. Convicted and punished by the						
	Offences reported during the Year.		Offences in which no one of the Parties implicated were apprehended.			Offences perpetrated within the Year brought before the Police and Magistracy.			Total Number of Cases of all Descriptions brought before the Police Magistracy and the Courts.																									
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Per-centage of Cases.	Cases.	Persons apprehended.	Persons at large.	Cases.	Persons.	Magis-tracy.	Sudder Ameens.	Subordi-nate Judge or Principal Sudder Ameen.	Session Judge.	Fouj-daree Udalt.	Total.	Per-cent-ge.																	
Ganjam } Chicacole	127	315	81	167	63	46	128	20	164	1	17	31	20	—	69	15																		
Vizagapatam	165	378	50	67	30	115	301	10	282	12	34	56	43	2	147	20																		
Rajahmundry	567	1,213	314	566	55	233	647	—	282	2	19	17	23	4	65	16																		
Masulipatam	355	1,096	218	647	61	137	336	113	155	8	34	1	2	—	45	14																		
Guntoor	755	1,809	654	1,490	86	101	301	18	113	26	16	204	61	20	327	14																		
Cuddapah	1,478	4,722	771	2,059	52	715	2,035	628	774	35	25	56	26	6	148	13																		
Bellary	914	2,811	463	1,659	50	451	1,065	87	487	3	50	74	60	2	189	27																		
Nellore	599	1,232	325	456	54	282	657	119	307	7	101	15	34	4	161	10																		
North Arcot (Chittoor)	924	3,172	630	1,745	68	294	1,298	129	360	21	18	78	47	7	171	44																		
Chingleput	385	1,147	235	799	56	150	348	—	168	15	5	61	30	—	111	12																		
South Arcot (Cuddalore)	959	3,535	643	2,176	67	321	772	587	375	19	46	84	40	5	194	11																		
Salem	1,154	3,170	551	1,634	47	608	1,536	—	703	5	7	192	57	3	264	16																		
Coimbatore	1,085	3,461	571	1,639	52	514	1,459	303	580	44	17	53	52	1	167	19																		
Trichinopoly	480	1,891	246	1,155	51	234	736	—	264	39	105	28	70	7	249	37																		
Tanjore (Combaconum)	610	1,531	349	837	57	258	617	77	288	32	13	39	58	2	144	20																		
Madura	228	1,065	46	260	20	182	623	182	213	19	8	67	24	5	123	10																		
Tinnevely	445	3,229	156	684	35	289	1,039	1,506	349	11	128	106	54	19	318	13																		
Malabar { Calicut	956	2,584	232	453	24	720	1,958	173	861	—	9	64	15	3	91	24																		
{ Tellicherry	165	370	3	8	1	162	362	—	168	3	56	104	26	8	197	21																		
{ Mangalore	457	1,169	111	220	24	346	894	55	374	17	140	66	35	3	261	40																		
{ Honore	390	801	87	193	22	303	589	19	333																									
Total	13,198	40,701	6,736	18,974	51	6,476	17,701	4,026	7,318	319	848	1,396	777	101	3,441	17																		
<i>Agencies.</i>																																		
Ganjam	182	760	103	497	56	79	222	41	86	13	—	27	—	2	42	18																		
Vizagapatam	267	470	189	264	70	78	196	10	92	3	—	28	9	9	49	21																		
Kurnool	183	513	77	135	43	105	247	131	123	2	10	28	26	—	66	23																		
Total	632	1,743	369	896	58	262	665	182	301	18	10	83	35	11	157	21																		
Graud Total	13,830	42,444	7,105	19,870	51	*6,738	†18,366	4,208	7,619	337	858	1,479	812	112	3,598	17																		
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																																		
In 1849	12,329	39,157	6,015	17,884	48	6,314	17,194	4,079	7,212	19,105	272	764	1,614	854	141	3,645	19																	
In 1850	13,830	42,444	7,105	19,870	51	6,738	18,366	4,208	7,619	20,383	337	858	1,479	812	112	3,598	17																	
Increase	1,501	3,287	1,090	1,986	3	424	1,172	129	407	1,278	65	94	—	—	—	—	—																	
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	135	42	29	47	2																	

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.

Note.—Columns 10 and 11 include the Cases pending at the Commencement of the Year and those primarily cognizable by the Courts, &c.

* Including separate Commitments for the same Offence - 238
† Do. Persons charged with more than One Offence - 712

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(110 A.)

Y Y 4

(355)

CRIMES AND
TABLE No. 2.—Showing the more heinous Offences

	OFFENCES AGAINST THE PERSON.																	
	Murder.			Homicide.			Wounding with Intent to kill.			Assault with Wounding.			Rape.			Total.		
	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.
Vizagapatam	3	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	7	-	-	2	1	7	13	
Ganjam	4	2	3	-	2	2	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	8	4	
Rajahmundry	12	19	13	1	1	2	2	-	-	20	12	3	1	-	38	33		
Masulipatam	7	5	3	2	-	-	2	1	-	6	4	2	-	-	19	10		
Guntoor	8	3	4	1	-	-	2	1	-	8	4	1	-	3	20	8		
Cuddapah	24	16	20	7	8	8	1	6	-	28	25	13	2	3	73	57		
Bellary	20	9	11	6	5	5	2	14	-	15	14	5	10	6	48	52		
Nellore	6	8	7	6	3	2	1	1	-	12	9	1	7	5	26	28		
North Arcot	12	10	5	-	1	1	1	3	-	9	12	1	1	2	23	27		
Chingleput	5	1	1	-	1	5	-	1	-	13	3	3	7	2	21	13		
South Arcot	4	2	4	2	4	2	1	1	-	8	16	6	16	13	21	39		
Salem	23	24	29	7	16	-	1	10	-	29	29	7	6	12	67	85		
Coimbatore	15	8	13	11	5	-	-	19	-	57	46	10	6	5	99	84		
Trichinopoly	7	1	4	3	-	-	-	1	-	12	19	2	5	4	24	26		
Tanjore	4	1	3	2	8	5	1	-	-	20	3	4	3	1	31	15		
Madura	14	10	16	9	8	7	2	1	-	12	15	3	1	1	40	35		
Tinnevely	16	12	8	5	2	9	1	-	-	25	26	4	2	1	51	42		
Malabar	32	22	26	7	8	5	2	3	-	108	156	3	6	2	152	195		
Canara	30	20	11	12	8	6	1	7	-	15	10	3	1	1	61	46		
Total	246	177	182	81	80	59	21	69	-	403	410	-	72	76	62	823	812	8
<i>Agencies.</i>																		
Ganjam	10	4	5	4	5	-	4	-	-	4	9	-	1	2	1	23	20	
Vizagapatam	6	6	3	2	1	4	-	-	-	2	4	-	-	1	-	10	12	
Kurnool	3	1	5	-	1	1	-	-	-	3	4	-	2	4	2	8	10	
Total	19	11	13	6	7	5	4	-	-	9	17	-	3	7	3	41	42	
Grand Total	*265	188	195	87	87	64	25	69	-	412	427	-	75	83	65	864	854	8

	FORGERY.		
	1850.	1849.	1848.
Vizagapatam	1	2	-
Ganjam	-	-	1
Rajahmundry	1	1	-
Masulipatam	-	2	1
Guntoor	2	2	2
Cuddapah	8	3	6
Bellary	3	2	4
Nellore	2	2	-
North Arcot	5	2	10
Chingleput	1	5	-
South Arcot	5	5	7
Salem	5	13	8
Coimbatore	4	4	2
Trichinopoly	7	8	14
Tanjore	3	3	8
Madura	4	3	1
Tinnevely	2	1	-
Malabar	14	23	9
Canara	19	14	25
Total	86	95	90
<i>Agencies.</i>			
Ganjam	-	-	-
Vizagapatam	-	-	-
Kurnool	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-
Grand Total	†86	95	90

* Includes Cases in which Murder was perpetrated in effecting Robberies, Thefts, &c.

† Exclusive of 3 Cases and 7 Persons taken up directly by the Courts, viz.

Combaconum	C.	P.
Mangalore	1	2
	3	7

INDEMEANORS.

committed in 1850 and the Two previous Years.

OFFENCES AGAINST PROPERTY.																							
Robbery with aggravating Circumstances.			Robbery without aggravating Circumstances.			Housebreaking.			Theft.			Cattle stealing, killing, or wounding.			Arson.			Embezzlement and Fraud.			Total.		
1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.
-	1	-	1	3	2	55	18	89	56	41	48	7	1	6	2	1	-	5	3	2	126	68	147
-	1	1	-	1	2	93	82	102	11	34	22	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	3	-	109	121	127
3	11	2	24	5	8	296	202	135	96	79	65	17	20	9	12	5	2	9	-	3	451	322	324
18	10	5	14	9	12	187	153	213	56	43	37	12	8	5	7	4	4	10	4	5	304	231	281
7	7	6	10	2	10	474	440	395	178	147	192	21	22	26	14	18	9	3	1	8	707	637	646
35	35	63	102	64	192	871	601	496	94	54	47	28	27	29	66	35	44	13	10	8	1,209	826	819
32	122	149	89	13	34	337	307	286	130	110	146	173	43	35	38	55	41	22	18	16	821	670	707
5	3	8	51	33	37	237	208	165	191	191	160	17	20	12	14	9	4	2	7	-	517	466	386
32	40	31	84	72	77	466	398	413	132	137	127	33	41	61	37	40	21	9	8	14	813	736	744
-	15	6	32	14	15	188	112	154	81	57	102	21	14	10	1	4	-	3	6	4	326	222	291
88	89	92	84	36	63	295	253	171	322	338	356	25	32	48	14	12	11	5	5	5	833	765	746
29	57	45	73	32	64	426	320	262	284	271	173	80	67	67	22	22	16	20	19	20	934	788	647
68	58	92	63	51	71	393	321	316	105	123	118	214	205	238	12	6	9	23	22	13	878	786	857
16	20	16	57	42	32	193	202	211	67	74	86	44	60	57	7	5	6	8	12	14	397	415	422
9	10	-	11	6	8	363	279	207	84	95	69	19	22	24	2	4	2	8	7	10	502	423	320
21	24	12	8	4	10	49	45	75	18	40	49	29	32	39	9	3	8	5	9	7	138	157	200
20	35	16	44	55	60	105	189	207	63	63	70	38	55	95	4	7	11	1	5	3	275	409	462
76	61	36	25	30	28	366	398	136	126	142	295	94	102	80	35	42	21	18	17	11	740	792	607
3	14	9	33	38	53	286	273	238	114	134	89	17	25	23	30	44	27	31	24	25	514	552	464
162	613	589	805	512	718	5,684	4,796	4,271	3,228	2,173	2,251	891	796	864	328	316	286	196	180	168	10,594	9,386	9,097
9	6	6	12	6	11	81	51	75	15	13	26	-	3	-	9	1	-	1	2	-	127	82	118
6	8	4	6	8	9	141	124	120	51	31	35	7	7	8	33	2	22	1	4	3	245	184	201
9	9	-	5	4	10	53	45	34	56	18	5	24	10	-	7	5	7	3	4	3	161	91	58
24	23	10	23	18	30	275	220	229	122	62	66	31	20	8	49	5	27	9	9	7	533	357	377
185	636	599	823	530	748	5,959	5,016	4,500	2,350	2,235	2,317	922	816	872	377	321	263	205	189	175	11,127	9,743	9,474

PERJURY OR SUBORNATION OF PERJURY.			VARIOUS.			TOTAL.		
1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.	1850.	1849.	1848.
-	-	-	31	19	23	32	21	22
-	-	-	10	12	10	10	12	11
-	1	1	77	58	88	78	60	89
-	1	-	32	32	30	32	35	31
-	-	-	26	34	49	28	36	51
1	1	2	187	230	272	196	234	280
1	-	-	41	43	75	45	45	79
1	-	-	53	35	43	56	37	43
-	1	-	83	65	109	88	68	119
1	2	-	36	41	27	33	48	27
2	-	1	98	71	79	105	76	87
-	1	-	148	229	210	153	253	218
-	1	-	110	81	118	114	86	120
-	-	3	52	19	58	59	27	75
-	-	-	74	83	71	77	86	79
4	2	1	42	15	33	50	20	35
-	-	-	117	107	113	119	103	113
-	2	-	215	136	227	229	161	236
1	1	-	252	232	228	272	247	251
11	13	8	1,684	1,552	1,862	1,781	1,660	1,966
-	-	-	32	31	27	32	31	27
-	-	-	12	25	40	12	25	40
-	-	-	14	16	14	14	16	14
-	-	-	58	72	81	58	72	81
11	13	8	1,742	1,624	1,943	1,839	1,732	2,047

‡ Exclusive of 36 Cases and 35 Persons taken up directly by the Courts, viz. -

Masulipatam	-	C. P.	-	1	1
Chittoor	-	-	-	3	3
Cuddalore	-	-	-	3	3
Salem	-	-	-	3	3
Combaconum	-	-	-	9	9
Tinnevely	-	-	-	3	3
Calcutt	-	-	-	5	5
Mangalore	-	-	-	10	10
				<u>36</u>	<u>35</u>

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Registrar.

(D.)

TABLE No. 1.—OPERATIONS OF THE VILLAGE POLICE.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
District.	Summoned.	Acquitted.				Punished.				Remarks.
		After Investigation.		Without Investigation or on Razecnamah.		Confined in the Choultry.	Confined in the Stocks.	Total.	Per-centage.	
		Number.	Per-centage.	Number.	Per-centage.					
Ganjam	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Vizagapatam	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Rajahmundry	2,749	880	32	1,557	56	248	64	312	11	Being an Increase as compared with 1849 of 1446
Masulipatam	81	9	11	59	72	11	2	13	16	" a Decrease " of 11
Guntoor	372	107	28	152	40	82	31	113	30	" a Decrease " of 465
Cuddapah	57	15	26	2	3	36	4	40	70	" " " of 20
Bellary	664	135	20	153	23	311	65	376	56	" " " of 170
Nellore	600	210	35	270	45	91	29	120	20	" an Increase " of 234
North Arcot	273	44	16	96	35	87	46	133	48	" " " of 121
Chingleput	242	35	14	11	4	146	50	196	80	" " " of 155
South Arcot	914	157	17	274	29	385	98	483	52	" " " of 167
Salem	777	193	24	205	26	321	58	379	48	" " " of 21
Coimbatore	527	30	5	30	5	348	119	467	88	" " " of 233
Trichinopoly	528	55	10	90	17	366	17	383	72	" " " of 206
Tanjore	596	130	21	203	34	212	51	263	44	" a Decrease " of 160
Madura	2,061	165	8	137	6	1,402	357	1,759	85	" " " of 228
Tinnevelly	367	60	16	104	28	110	93	203	55	" an Increase " of 113
Malabar	935	304	32	378	40	184	69	253	27	" " " of 168
Canara	932	194	20	585	62	62	91	153	16	" a Decrease " of 443
Total	12,675	2,723	21	4,306	33	4,402	1,244	5,646	44	
<i>Agencies.</i>										
Ganjam	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Vizagapatam	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Kurnool	3	1	33	2	66	—	—	—	—	" an Increase " of 3
Total	3	1	33	2	66	—	—	—	—	
Grand Total	12,678	2,724	21	4,308	33	4,402	1,244	5,646	44	

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	11,308	2,322	20	3,478	30	4,340	1,168	5,508	48
In 1850	12,678	2,724	21	4,308	33	4,402	1,244	5,646	44
Increase	1,370	402	1	830	3	62	76	138	—
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4

Memorandum showing the Proportion of Acquittals and Punishments in Offences brought before the Village Police.

—	Summoned.	Acquitted.		Punished.		Per-centage of Punish-ments in 1849.
		Number.	Per-centage.	Number.	Per-centage.	
Petty Assault	11,087	6,744	60	4,343	39	43
Petty Theft	1,585	283	17	1,302	82	83
Other Offences	6	5	83	1	16	75
Total	12,678	7,032	55	5,646	44	43

(E.)

DISTRICT POLICE.

TABLE No. 1.—PETTY OFFENCES.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Districts.	Summoned during and under Examination at the Commencement of the Year.	Acquitted.				Punished.				Forwarded to the Magistracy.	Under Examination at the Close of the Year.
		After Examination.		On Razeenamah for Default, &c.		Without Reference to the Magistrate.	After Reference to the Magistrate.	Total.	Per-centage.		
		Number.	Per-centage.	Number.	Per-centage.						
Ganjam - -	3,950	727	18	2,470	62	623	82	705	17	—	48
Vizagapatam - -	4,134	1,723	41	1,855	44	539	18	557	13	—	—
Rajahmundry - -	11,680	2,981	25	7,618	65	896	179	1,075	9	—	6
Masulipatam - -	3,555	594	16	1,478	41	1,399	88	1,487	41	—	1
Guntoor - -	14,835	2,799	18	5,012	33	6,988	26	7,014	47	—	10
Cuddapah - -	5,679	2,104	37	1,953	34	1,289	533	1,622	28	—	—
Bellary - -	3,054	1,202	39	643	21	1,197	12	1,209	39	—	—
Nellore - -	7,853	1,562	19	3,790	48	2,198	230	2,428	30	—	73
North Arcot - -	11,154	4,880	43	2,956	26	2,262	617	2,879	25	—	439
Chingleput - -	4,182	1,330	31	1,304	31	1,280	232	1,512	36	—	36
South Arcot - -	9,353	3,853	40	2,791	29	2,247	190	2,437	25	—	472
Salem - -	10,374	4,218	39	3,152	29	2,369	367	2,736	25	—	468
Coimbatore - -	6,265	2,213	35	1,530	24	1,986	465	2,451	39	—	71
Trichinopoly - -	7,740	3,818	49	1,733	22	1,981	165	2,146	27	—	43
Tanjore - -	15,778	7,156	45	3,814	24	3,855	594	4,449	28	—	359
Madura - -	7,781	3,244	41	1,247	16	2,590	529	3,119	40	—	171
Tinnevely - -	5,701	2,604	45	1,194	20	1,425	453	1,878	32	—	25
Malabar - -	8,816	3,830	43	3,101	35	1,576	238	1,814	20	—	71
Canara - -	7,930	2,333	29	3,283	41	1,060	1,040	2,100	26	—	214
Total	150,214	53,170	35	50,919	33	37,760	5,858	43,618	29	—	2,507
<i>Agencies.</i>											
Ganjam - -	5,244	1,545	29	2,584	49	703	105	808	15	—	307
Vizagapatam - -	9,488	2,595	27	5,915	62	940	38	978	10	—	—
Kurnool - -	739	184	24	119	16	415	10	425	57	—	11
Total	15,471	4,324	27	8,618	55	2,058	153	2,211	14	—	318
Grand Total	165,685	57,494	34	59,537	35	39,818	6,011	45,829	27	—	2,825

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849 - -	159,987	57,420	35	56,920	35	39,349	5,074	44,423	27	—	1,224
In 1850 - -	165,685	57,494	34	59,537	35	39,818	6,011	45,829	27	—	2,825
Increase - -	5,698	74	—	2,617	—	469	937	1,406	—	—	1,601
Decrease - -	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Abstract of Acquittals and Convictions in the Offences most prevalent.

Offences.	Persons charged.	Acquitted.		Punished.	
		Number.	Per-centage.	Number.	Per-centage.
		Petty Assault -	153,125	109,712	71
Cattle stealing -	2,137	1,447	67	672	31
Petty Theft -	6,906	4,071	58	2,758	39
Other Offences -	3,517	1,801	51	1,522	43
Total	165,685	117,031	70	45,829	27

Comparative Abstract.

	Per-centage of Punishments.			
	Petty Assaults.	Cattle stealing.	Petty Theft.	Other Offences.
In 1849 -	27	34	39	30
In 1850 -	26	31	39	43
Increase -	—	—	—	13
Decrease -	1	3	—	—

Abstract of Punishments by the District Police in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.
Fined.	Confined.	Stocks.	Flogged under Orders of the Magistrate.
42,101	3,170	194	364

Foujdaree, Udalur, Register's Office, }
23d August 1851.

(110 A.)

Z z 2

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

DISTRICT POLICE.
TABLE No. 2.—CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Zillahs.	Apprehended.		Released.	Per-centage of Releases.	Died, escaped, or otherwise disposed of.	Forwarded to Magistracy.		Forwarded to Subordinate Court.		Pending.	
	Cases.	Persons.				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Ganjam - -	42	106	39	36	—	7	22	20	45	—	—
Vizagapatam - -	115	301	217	72	—	—	—	60	84	—	—
Rajahmundry - -	253	597	227	49	—	22	30	144	266	1	4
Masulipatam - -	144	375	180	48	—	9	22	79	173	—	—
Guntoor - -	102	291	64	21	—	15	37	66	187	1	3
Cuddapah - -	730	2,145	1,337	62	11	30	114	348	642	11	41
Bellary - -	415	916	543	59	—	64	91	153	275	4	7
Nellore - -	289	661	230	34	1	5	17	197	410	3	3
North Arcot - -	322	1,432	795	55	3	12	22	220	564	13	48
Chingleput - -	138	300	106	35	—	12	30	85	154	5	10
South Arcot - -	346	833	456	54	1	26	32	136	329	6	15
Salem - -	675	1,689	1,127	66	12	65	164	214	342	19	44
Coimbatore - -	541	1,531	854	55	3	20	78	237	579	6	17
Trichinopoly - -	225	695	367	52	2	—	—	119	322	2	4
Tanjore - -	269	643	170	26	1	46	91	185	371	5	15
Madura - -	175	547	275	50	—	8	24	80	233	6	15
Tinnevely - -	308	1,115	672	60	1	17	104	138	337	1	1
Malabar - -	967	2,540	1,472	57	28	49	169	439	855	8	16
Canara - -	677	1,541	653	42	1	23	65	448	799	9	23
Total - -	6,733	18,263	9,854	53	64	430	1,112	3,363	6,967	100	266
<i>Agencies.</i>											
Ganjam - -	73	194	93	47	—	7	17	34	77	4	7
Vizagapatam - -	88	222	109	49	1	1	4	49	108	—	—
Kurnool - -	121	275	127	46	—	7	17	65	128	1	3
Total - -	282	691	329	47	1	15	38	148	313	5	10
Grand Total - -	7,015	18,954	10,183	53	65	445	1,150	3,516	7,280	105	276

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849 - -	6,094	16,745	9,551	57	3	No Return.		3,232	6,893	112	298
In 1850 - -	7,015	18,954	10,183	53	65	445	1,150	3,516	7,280	105	276
Increase - -	921	2,209	632	—	62	—	—	284	387	—	—
Decrease - -	—	—	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	7	22

DISTRICT AND VILLAGE POLICE.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing Charges preferred against Officers of Police for Abuse of Authority.

Zillah.	Number of Persons charged.																															Escaped, died, or otherwise disposed of.
	By the District Police					By the Magistrate.				By the Sub-ordinate Judge or Principal Sudder Ameen.			By the Session Court.			By the Foudjasee Udalut.			Total.													
	Punished.	Acquitted.	Of which maliciously accused.	Forwarded to Magistrate.	Forwarded to Court.	Pending.	Punished.	Acquitted.	Of which maliciously accused forwarded to Court.	Pending.	Punished.	Acquitted.	Of which maliciously accused.	Committed.	Pending.	Punished.	Acquitted.	Of which maliciously accused.	Referred to Foudjasee Udalut.	Pending.	Punished.	Acquitted.	Of which maliciously accused.	Pending.	Punished.	Acquitted.	Of which maliciously accused.					
Chicacole { Ganjam	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	
{ Vizagapatam	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Rajahmundry	58	-	1	-	-	-	-	4	43	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	58	12		
Masulipatam	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	
Guntoor	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	25	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	25	1		
Cuddapah	142	-	4	4	1	6	-	25	99	8	3	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	113	12			
Bellary	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	47	-	-		
Nellore	12	-	5	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	3	9	-	-		
North Arcot (Chittoor)	622	129	441	-	-	-	23	2	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	468	-	-		
Chingleput	16	-	1	-	-	-	-	4	5	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	6	-	-		
South Arcot (Cuddalore)	19	-	4	-	-	-	-	5	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	14	-	-		
Salem	84	15	98	-	-	-	10	13	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	46	-	-		
Coimbatore	54	3	15	-	5	-	-	6	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	45	-	-		
Trichinopoly	19	-	6	-	-	-	-	3	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	11	-	5		
Tanjore (Combaconum)	80	4	35	1	3	-	-	17	24	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	59	4	-		
Madura	41	2	22	-	-	-	-	5	9	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	25	-	-		
Tinnevely	12	1	7	2	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	10	2	-		
Malabar { Calicut	88	8	57	-	-	-	4	2	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	74	-	-		
{ Tellicherry	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-		
Canara { Mangalore	54	-	25	-	2	11	-	2	16	-	-	8	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	52	-	-		
{ Honore	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-		
Total	1,408	162	661	7	11	20	42	111	378	15	1	4	7	15	6	-	-	19	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	280	1,077	31	5			
Agencies.																																
Ganjam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Vizagapatam	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-		
Kurnool	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-			
Grand Total*	1,410	162	661	7	11	20	42	111	380	15	1	4	7	15	6	-	-	19	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	280	1,079	31	5			
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																																
In 1849	726	45	123	6	-	4	7	128	378	12	35	6	1	10	-	18	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	174	511	18	-	
In 1850	1,410	162	661	7	11	20	42	111	380	15	1	4	7	15	6	-	-	19	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	280	1,079	31	5		
Increase	†684	117	538	1	11	16	35	-	2	3	-	-	6	5	-	-	-	19	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	106	568	13	5		
Decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

* Includes Persons Charges against whom were pending at the Beginning of the Year.
† Of which 579 are in North Arcot.

DETAIL OF COLUMN 2.

	Bribery.	Extortion.	Oppression.	Other Abuse of Authority.
Heads of Police	4	4	1	16
Ameens of Police, Cutwals, &c.	1	-	-	5
Servants on the Establishment of Heads or Ameens of Police	15	4	2	19
Peons including Duffadars, &c.	33	71	92	87
Village Police including Heads of Villages as defined by Section III. Regulation IV. of 1816, Watchers, &c.	107	86	539	924
Total	160	165	634	451

Foudjasee Udalut, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

OPERATIONS OF THE MAGISTRACY.

TABLE NO. I.—PETTY OFFENCES.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.		
District.	Pending and summoned before the					Acquitted.	Discharged on Razeer-namah.	Punished.	Pending.	Per-centage of Acquittals.	Ditto, Discharges.	Ditto, Punishments.	Vagrants.											
	Magistrate.	Joint Magistrate.	Head Assistant Magistrate.	Assistant Magistrate.	Total.								Discharged.											
													Unconditionally.	On producing Security.	On Expiration of Term of Imprisonment.	Total.	Under Examination on the 31st December.	In Confinement on the 31st Dec.						
Ganjam	10	-	73	60	143	66	2	75	-	46	1	52	-	-	12	12	-	6	-	6	-	6	6	
Vizagapatam	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	100	2	-	2	4	-	-	3	-	3	-	1	5
Rajahmundry	49	1	38	54	142	102	1	35	4	71	-	2	4	-	14	18	1	7	5	13	-	5	5	
Masulipatam	51	-	69	30	150	76	-	74	-	50	-	4	9	-	34	43	1	1	17	19	-	24	-	
Guntoor	52	-	122	176	350	222	35	93	-	63	10	20	-	-	2	2	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	
Cuddapah	36	83	177	140	436	241	48	144	3	55	11	33	19	-	150	169	41	71	30	142	-	27	-	
Bellary	11	37	143	37	228	149	8	71	-	65	3	31	6	-	10	16	-	-	6	6	6	6	4	4
Nellore	37	99	72	22	250	112	8	130	-	44	3	52	6	-	4	10	-	2	7	9	-	1	1	
North Arcot	23	38	30	47	138	74	8	54	2	53	5	39	50	-	142	192	64	9	66	139	-	53	-	
Chingleput	134	371	143	-	653	119	36	498	-	18	5	70	13	-	58	71	2	11	28	41	-	30	-	
South Arcot	17	30	231	110	388	195	15	178	-	50	3	45	12	-	3	15	-	1	13	14	-	1	1	
Salem	14	145	175	21	355	214	23	118	-	60	6	33	46	12	19	77	2	2	54	58	3	13	3 die	
Coimbatore	11	288	113	41	453	136	26	291	-	30	5	69	-	-	44	44	-	18	17	35	-	9	-	
Trichinopoly	49	-	144	38	231	124	7	95	5	53	3	41	-	-	15	15	4	5	1	10	-	5	-	
Tanjore	68	146	97	183	494	248	12	234	-	50	2	47	-	-	14	14	3	1	6	10	-	4	-	
Madura	16	134	119	2	271	108	3	153	2	39	1	58	-	-	7	7	-	4	3	7	-	-	-	
Tinnevely	-	53	99	28	182	106	14	62	-	58	7	34	1	-	28	29	1	18	2	21	-	8	-	
Malabar	75	66	217	36	394	237	2	126	29	60	-	31	9	16	143	168	32	101	13	146	4	18	-	
Canara	44	95	23	31	193	85	10	89	9	44	5	46	5	-	12	17	-	11	3	14	-	2	1 die	
Total	717	1,588	2,092	1,056	5,453	2,614	258	2,527	54	47	4	46	182	28	713	923	151	269	275	695	13	211	-	
Agencies.																								
Ganjam	6	-	9	29	44	28	1	15	-	13	2	3	-	-	7	7	3	2	1	6	-	1	-	
Vizagapatam	-	-	-	47	47	12	1	34	-	25	2	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kurnool	7	-	-	16	23	5	2	16	-	21	8	6	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	
Total	13	-	9	92	114	45	4	65	-	39	3	57	-	-	9	9	3	2	1	6	-	3	-	
Grand Total	730	1,588	2,101	1,148	5,567	2,659	262	2,592	54	47	4	46	182	28	722	932	154	271	276	701	13	214	-	
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																								
In 1849	702	1,902	2,140	1,773	6,517	2,990	483	3,030	14	45	7	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
In 1850	730	1,588	2,101	1,148	5,567	2,659	262	2,592	54	47	4	46	182	28	722	932	154	271	276	701	13	214	-	
Increase	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Decrease	-	314	39	625	1,500	331	221	438	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

* Of which in
 South Arcot - 562
 Trichinopoly - 233
 Canara - 282

	Detail of Column 6.					Detail of Column 9.				
	Assault.	Cattle stealing.	Theft.	Abuse of Authority by Police Officers.	Other Offences.	Imprisoned.	Fined.	Flogged.	Imprisoned and fined.	Imprisoned and flogged.
		2,851	165	644	473	1,435	324	2,136	139	-
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.										
In 1849	3,831	170	679	492	1,345	781	2,109	139	-	-
In 1850	2,851	165	644	473	1,435	324	2,136	139	-	-
Increase	-	-	-	-	(90)	-	-	-	-	-
Decrease	980	5	35	20	-	457	-	7	-	-

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office,
 23d August 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
 Register.

(I.)

OPERATIONS OF THE MAGISTRACY.
TABLE No. 2.—CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.			
Districts.	Pending and brought up before the										Released.	Forwarded to the Courts.	Dealt with under Section LIV. Act VII. of 1843.		Pending.		Per-centage of Releases.			
	Magistrate.		Joint Magistrate.		Head Assistant Magistrate.		Assistant Magistrate.		Total.				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.			Cases.	Persons.
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.										
Ganjam -	-	-	-	-	10	28	1	16	11	44	42	-	2	2	-	-	95			
Vizagapatam -	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	100			
Rajahmundry -	24	77	-	-	14	33	5	14	43	124	95	16	10	12	1	1	76			
Masulipatam -	2	2	-	-	11	25	4	5	17	32	25	5	2	2	-	-	78			
Guntoor -	2	4	-	-	14	26	6	27	22	57	40	6	3	11	-	-	70			
Cuddapah -	18	51	14	52	27	78	5	9	64	190	112	34	12	41	2	3	58			
Bellary -	14	26	24	36	56	134	30	79	124	275	167	45	30	61	1	1	60	1 Prisoner escaped, &c.		
Nellore -	-	-	5	5	7	20	2	7	14	32	11	7	3	4	1	10	34			
Norih Arcot -	11	18	11	37	10	22	7	13	39	90	62	12	11	16	-	-	68			
Chingleput -	20	59	15	39	2	2	-	-	37	100	40	39	14	21	-	-	40			
South Arcot -	2	2	1	1	32	36	4	8	39	47	7	11	23	29	-	-	14			
Salem -	5	5	36	108	41	88	4	12	86	208	162	14	15	30	2	2	77			
Coimbatore -	5	14	13	28	20	69	2	4	40	115	93	16	5	6	-	-	80			
Trichinopoly -	13	18	-	-	20	96	1	1	34	115	45	17	12	48	-	-	39	5 do.		
Tanjore -	5	5	14	30	19	39	16	27	54	101	18	27	28	56	-	-	17			
Madura -	4	25	16	47	20	77	2	6	42	133	46	28	16	56	5	25	29			
Tinnevelly -	-	-	2	31	28	98	14	49	44	173	87	41	14	45	-	-	50			
Malabar -	33	121	10	11	23	92	11	27	77	251	125	89	4	13	3	23	49	1 do.		
Canara -	1	2	7	34	7	14	8	15	23	65	22	17	6	26	-	-	33			
Total	160	430	168	454	361	972	122	319	811	2,175	1,230	424	210	479	15	65	55	7 do.		
Agencies.																				
Ganjam -	4	7	-	-	4	12	6	22	14	41	1	-	13	40	-	-	2			
Vizagapatam -	3	7	-	-	-	-	1	4	4	11	-	4	1	4	1	3	-			
Kurnool -	6	16	-	-	-	-	2	3	8	19	3	-	4	5	2	11	15			
Total	13	30	-	-	4	12	9	29	26	71	4	4	18	49	3	14	55			
Grand Total	173	460	168	454	365	984	131	348	837	2,246	1,204	428	228	528	18	79	53			
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																				
In 1849 -	139	355	190	510	251	626	195	512	775	2,003	997	698	170	351	4	26	49			
In 1850 -	173	460	168	454	365	984	131	348	837	2,246	1,204	428	228	528	18	79	53			
Increase -	34	105	-	-	114	358	-	-	62	243	207	-	58	177	14	53	4			
Decrease -	-	-	22	56	-	-	64	164	-	-	-	200	-	-	-	-	-			

DETAIL of COLUMN 15.

	Released.		Punished.					Total.
	Persons.	Per-centage.	Im-prisoned.	Fined.	Flogged.	Imprisoned and fined.	Imprisoned and flogged.	
	191	36	177	91	5	19	45	337
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.								
In 1849 -	79	22	138	59	3	52	20	272
In 1850 -	191	36	177	91	5	19	45	337
Increase -	112	14	39	32	2	-	25	65
Decrease -	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.

(110 h.)

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP
Register.

(J.)

OPERATIONS OF THE MAGISTRACY.

TABLE No. 3.

Showing the Results of the Reviews by the Session Court of the Sentences of the Magistracy, and of Appeals from the Sentences of the Magistracy to the Session Court and from the Sentences of the Joint and Assistant Magistrates to the Magistrate.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.	
Districts.	Ppty Calendars.		Cases disposed of under Act VII. of 1843.						Appeals to the Session Judge from the Sentences of the						Appeals to the Magistrate from the Sentences or Orders of his Subordinates.													
	Reviewed.		Undisturbed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Pending.	Reviewed.			Magistrate.	Joint and Assistant Magistrates.	Total Appeals.	Reversed.	Modified.	Confirmed.	Pending.	Joint Magistrate.	Head Assistant Magistrate.	Assistant Magistrate.	Total Appeals.	Reversed.	Modified.	Confirmed.	Pending.				
	Cases.	Persons.					Cases.	Persons.	Undisturbed.																Modified.	Reversed.	Pending.	
Ganjam	61	143	143	-	-	-	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	
Vizagapatam	9	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-
Rajahmundry	77	187	185	-	2	-	10	12	10	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1	1	1	-	-	2	-
Masulipatam	65	209	209	-	-	-	2	2	2	-	-	-	15	-	15	2	-	-	2	11	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-
Guntoor	140	350	346	-	4	-	3	11	11	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuddapah	291	694	683	5	6	-	12	41	40	1	-	-	1	1	2	2	-	-	-	1	4	2	7	-	2	4	1	-
Bellary	172	395	395	-	-	-	30	61	61	-	-	-	20	24	44	7	1	36	-	-	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	
Nellore	63	252	252	-	-	-	3	4	4	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	1	1	1	7	1	9	-	-	7	2	
North Arcot (Chittoor)	111	206	197	9	-	-	11	16	15	1	-	-	53	3	56	5	7	44	-	31	19	3	53	13	5	34	1	
Chingleput	330	740	717	-	23	-	14	21	21	-	-	-	4	5	9	1	-	8	-	1	11	1	13	1	-	9	3	
South Arcot (Cuddalore)	186	369	368	-	1	-	23	29	29	-	-	-	11	9	20	1	-	17	2	1	3	3	7	1	-	6	-	
Salem	423	1,109	1,109	-	-	-	15	30	30	-	-	-	2	5	7	-	1	5	1	20	7	2	29	3	-	14	12	
Coimbatore	172	528	520	-	8	-	5	6	5	-	1	-	1	2	3	1	-	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	1	1	-	
Trichinopoly	82	266	266	-	-	-	12	48	37	-	-	11	2	15	17	-	-	6	11	-	6	-	6	-	-	6	-	
Tanjore (Combaconam)	268	553	552	-	3	-	28	56	53	-	1	2	4	18	22	2	3	16	1	25	37	24	86	15	7	56	8	
Madura	110	315	299	10	6	-	16	56	44	-	12	-	1	1	2	-	-	2	-	12	65	-	77	1	3	50	23	
Tinnevely	103	302	298	4	-	-	14	45	31	14	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	3	-	12	55	42	109	14	4	83	8	
Malabar	261	568	553	4	11	-	4	13	12	-	1	-	50	104	154	21	7	96	30	10	28	20	58	1	3	41	13	
Canara	439	929	929	-	-	-	6	26	26	-	-	-	2	14	16	2	-	12	2	7	3	6	16	-	-	7	9	
Total	3,385	8,120	8,024	32	64	-	210	479	432	18	16	13	175	205	380	44	19	257	60	122	253	105	480	52	25	323	80	
<i>Agencies.</i>																												
Ganjam	22	44	44	-	-	-	13	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vizagapatam	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kurnool	16	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	38	67	67	-	-	-	14	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	3,423	8,187	8,091	32	64	-	224	523	476	18	16	13	175	205	380	44	19	257	60	122	253	105	480	52	25	323	80	

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

	No Returns.													292	378	670	83	-	548	39	91	184	101	376	57	34	287	18
In 1849	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
In 1850	3,423	8,187	8,091	32	64	-	224	523	476	18	1	13	175	205	380	44	19	257	60	122	253	105	480	52	25	323	80	
Increase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	21	31	69	4	104	15	-	36	62	
Decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	117	173	290	39	-	291	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	

(K.)

TABLE NO. 1.—CRIMES and MISDEMEANORS brought before the SUBORDINATE JUDGES and PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.
Districts.	Pending and received.		Released.			Held to Security.			Punished.	Committed.	Referred to Sudder Ameens.		Died, escaped, or otherwise disposed of.	Under examination.		Per-centage of Releases.	Per-centage of Punishments.	Per-centage of Commitments.
	Cases.	Persons.	In Cases cognizable by the Subordinate Courts.	In Cases cognizable by the Session Courts.	Total.	In Cases cognizable by the Subordinate Courts.	In Cases cognizable by the Session Courts.	Total.			Cases.	Persons.		Cases.	Persons.			
<i>Subordinate Judges.</i>																		
* Chicacole - - -	22	45	1	—	1	3	1	4	3	14	15	23	—	—	—	4	13	63
Rajahmundry - - -	163	298	67	16	83	1	—	1	56	86	45	64	—	2	8	35	23	36
* Masulipatam - - -	86	181	13	—	13	2	—	2	17	109	25	37	—	3	3	9	11	75
* Guntoor - - -	74	197	1	—	1	—	—	—	1	115	53	80	—	—	—	—	—	98
Cuddapah - - -	376	693	62	59	121	41	70	111	204	296	9	15	—	1	6	17	30	34
Bellary - - -	194	334	17	69	86	3	4	7	56	116	33	60	—	9	9	31	20	42
Chittoor - - -	241	588	59	201	260	11	40	51	15	104	94	154	3	1	1	59	3	23
Salem - - -	230	364	60	37	97	6	4	10	84	93	57	71	2	2	7	33	28	31
Combaconum - - -	213	412	42	90	132	6	3	9	28	93	98	150	—	—	—	50	10	35
Madura - - -	96	270	13	55	68	3	6	9	39	116	23	38	—	—	—	29	16	50
Calicut - - -	383	782	61	149	210	16	48	64	91	225	105	176	5	6	11	34	15	37
Mangalore - - -	257	479	37	74	111	8	26	34	104	122	57	93	4	5	11	28	26	31
* Honore - - -	142	226	29	—	29	4	—	4	66	100	15	22	5	—	—	14	32	49
Total - - -	2,477	4,869	462	750	1,212	104	202	306	764	1,529	620	983	19	29	56	31	19	39
<i>Principal Sudder Ameens.</i>																		
Vizagapatam - - -	59	83	29	3	92	4	1	5	28	15	—	—	—	1	3	38	33	18
Nellore - - -	213	434	58	59	117	24	18	42	74	131	90	57	—	9	13	31	19	44
Chingleput - - -	103	205	9	29	38	1	3	4	78	61	14	22	—	2	2	20	42	33
Cochin - - -	22	44	14	—	14	8	—	8	15	7	—	—	—	—	—	31	34	15
Cuddalore - - -	161	363	43	27	70	5	18	23	61	157	11	23	—	13	29	20	17	46
Combatore - - -	263	606	66	42	108	47	56	103	192	159	10	21	1	7	22	18	32	27
Trichinopoly - - -	129	371	39	46	85	13	9	22	53	166	26	43	—	1	2	25	16	50
Tinnevely - - -	158	401	109	74	183	7	2	9	67	97	10	38	2	2	5	50	18	26
Tellicherry - - -	100	182	31	14	45	7	1	8	64	42	8	13	2	2	8	26	37	24
Total - - -	1,208	2,689	398	294	692	116	108	224	632	835	109	217	5	37	84	27	25	33
Total of Sub. Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens - - -	3,685	7,558	860	1,044	1,904	220	310	530	1,396	2,364	738	1,200	24	66	140	29	21	37
<i>Agencies.</i>																		
Ganjam - - -	96	81	11	4	15	3	19	22	27	17	—	—	—	—	—	18	33	20
Vizagapatam - - -	52	113	17	19	36	8	12	20	28	25	—	—	—	—	4	31	24	22
Kurnool - - -	66	131	11	5	16	2	1	3	28	25	14	20	1	8	38	14	25	22
Total - - -	154	325	39	28	67	13	32	45	83	67	14	20	1	10	42	21	27	21
Grand Total	3,839	7,883	899	1,072	1,971	233	342	575	1,479	2,431	752	1,220	25	76	182	29	22	36

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849 - - -	3,695	7,639	1,012	997	2,009	165	237	402	1,614	2,905	732	1,258	25	71	196	31	25	94
In 1850 - - -	3,839	7,883	899	1,072	1,971	233	342	575	1,479	2,431	742	1,220	25	76	182	29	22	36
Increase - - -	144	244	—	75	—	68	105	173	—	226	—	—	—	5	56	—	—	2
Decrease - - -	—	—	113	—	98	—	—	—	135	—	—	58	—	—	—	2	3	—

* Powers exercised by the Session Judges.

DETAIL OF COLUMN 10.

	Fined.	Flogged.	Imprisoned.	Imprisoned			Flogged.			Imprisoned.				
				and fined.	and flogged.	Under 50 Lashes.	100 Lashes.	150 Lashes.	Under 3 Months.	6 Months.	12 Months.	18 Months.	24 Months.	
	359	3	923	93	96	43	45	11	38	338	361	109	123	
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.														
In 1849 - - -	383	13	1,009	95	120	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
In 1850 - - -	359	3	928	93	96	43	45	11	38	338	361	109	123	
Increase - - -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Decrease - - -	24	10	75	2	24	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

OPERATIONS OF THE SUBORDINATE COURTS.

TABLE NO. 2.—CRIMES and MISDEMEANORS referred to the SUDDER AMEENS for TRIAL.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Zillahs.	Pending and referred.		Re-leased.	Held to Security.	Punished.	Died, escaped, or otherwise disposed of.	Under Examination.		Percentage of Re-lea-es.	Percentage of Punish-ments.
	Cases.	Persons.					Cases.	Persons.		
Itchapore (Detached)	1	3	—	—	3	—	—	—	—	100
Chicacole	15	23	4	5	14	—	—	—	17	60
Rajahmundry	45	64	24	6	34	—	—	—	37	53
Masulipatam	25	37	13	5	19	—	—	—	35	51
Guntoor	53	80	41	5	34	—	—	—	51	42
Cuddapah	11	18	1	1	16	—	—	—	5	88
Bellary	34	62	36	1	25	—	—	—	58	40
Nellore	33	61	10	1	50	—	—	—	16	81
Chittoor	101	163	47	14	101	1	—	—	29	61
Chingleput	14	22	3	1	18	—	—	—	13	81
Cuddalore	11	23	13	—	5	—	3	5	56	21
Salem	59	74	18	7	46	3	—	—	24	62
Coimbatore	10	21	6	5	7	—	1	3	28	33
Trichinopoly	27	44	24	3	17	—	—	—	54	38
Combaconum	100	155	45	1	105	—	3	4	29	67
Madura	23	38	20	—	13	1	3	4	52	34
Tinnevelly	11	40	32	—	8	—	—	—	80	20
Tellicherry	8	13	3	1	9	—	—	—	23	69
Calicut	109	182	42	4	128	—	6	8	23	70
Mangalore	58	94	35	2	56	—	1	1	37	59
Sircy (Detached)	90	148	20	—	128	—	—	—	13	86
Honore	15	22	10	—	12	—	—	—	45	54
Total	853	1,387	447	62	848	5	17	25	32	61
<i>Agency.</i>										
Kurnool Town Casee	14	20	7	—	10	—	1	3	35	50
Grand Total	867	1,407	454	62	858	5	18	28	32	60

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	865	1,431	553	84	764	—	19	30	38	53
In 1850	867	1,407	454	62	858	5	18	28	32	60
Increase	2	—	—	—	94	5	—	—	—	7
Decrease	—	24	99	22	—	—	1	2	6	—

DETAIL OF COLUMN 6.

Fined.	Flog-ged.	Imprid.	Imprisoned		Flogged.			Imprisoned.				
			and fined.	and flog-ged.	Under 50 Lashes.	100 Lashes.	150 Lashes.	Under 3 Months.	6 Months.	12 Months.	18 Months.	24 Months.
241	6	577	6	28	17	11	6	267	186	239	27	24

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	183	1	515	6	59	No Returns.			—	—	—	—
In 1850	241	6	577	6	28	17	11	6	267	186	239	27
Increase	58	5	62	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease	—	—	—	—	31	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

OPERATIONS OF THE SUBORDINATE COURTS.

TABLE No. 3.—Showing the Result of Reviews by, and of the Appeals preferred to, the Session Courts from the Sentences of the Subordinate Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, and Sudder Ameens.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.		
Zillah.	Reviewed.											Sentences appealed.										
	Subordinate Judges or Principal Sudder Ameens.						Sudder Ameens.					Subordinate Judges or Principal Sudder Ameens.				Sudder Ameens.						
	Cases.	Persons.	Undisturbed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Pending.	Cases.	Persons.	Undisturbed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Pending.	Appealed.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Pending.	Appealed.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Pending.		
Chicasole	45	65	62	3	-	-	16	26	24	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Rajahmundry	68	140	140	-	-	-	45	64	64	-	-	-	7	5	2	-	6	2	4	-		
Masulipatam	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	37	33	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
Guntoor	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	80	77	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Cuddapah	273	436	434	1	1	-	11	18	18	-	-	-	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-		
Bellary	94	149	144	2	1	2	34	62	57	5	-	-	4	4	-	-	2	-	2	-		
Nellore	123	233	227	3	-	3	33	61	53	2	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Chittoor	104	326	320	1	5	-	100	162	155	1	6	-	18	18	-	-	7	-	7	-		
Chingleput	64	120	118	-	2	-	14	22	20	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Cuddalore	88	154	154	-	-	-	8	18	18	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Salem	128	191	191	-	-	-	56	71	69	-	2	-	8	8	-	-	4	2	2	-		
Coimbatore	191	403	400	1	2	-	9	18	16	2	-	-	39	35	4	-	1	1	-	-		
Trichinopoly	67	160	132	2	26	-	27	44	42	2	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Combaconum	67	169	169	-	-	-	97	151	127	20	4	-	1	1	-	-	4	4	-	-		
Madura	39	116	107	1	8	-	20	34	34	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Tinnevely	109	259	258	-	1	-	11	40	40	-	-	-	68	68	-	-	20	20	-	-		
Tellicherry	72	117	107	2	6	2	8	13	10	3	-	-	7	3	4	-	-	-	-	-		
Calicut	176	402	395	5	2	-	103	174	165	7	2	-	20	14	4	2	9	2	7	-		
Mangalore	136	249	242	1	6	-	57	93	88	1	4	-	9	9	-	-	5	4	1	-		
Honore	-	-	-	-	-	-	105	170	162	1	7	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	-	-		
Total	1,844	3,689	3,600	22	60	7	832	1,358	1,272	49	31	6	198	171	25	2	68	45	23	-		
<i>Agencies.</i>																						
Ganjam	29	64	63	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Vizagapatam	36	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Kurnool	25	47	43	4	-	-	13	17	15	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	90	195	190	5	-	-	13	17	15	1	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Grand Total	1,934	3,884	3,790	27	60	7	845	1,375	1,287	50	32	6	200	172	26	2	68	45	23	-		
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																						
In 1849	No Returns.											226	192	28	6	24	8	16	-	-	-	-
In 1850	1,934	3,884	3,790	27	60	7	845	1,375	1,287	50	32	6	200	172	26	2	68	45	23	-		
Increase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	37	7	-		
Decrease	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	20	2	4	-	-	-	-		

Foujdaree Udulut, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

OPERATIONS OF THE SESSION COURTS.

TABLE No. 1.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Zillahs.	Cases.	Persons.										
Chicacole { Ganjam	17	32	20	5	6	-	1	-	-	15	62	3
Vizagapatam	53	101	43	40	2	3	11	2	-	39	42	10
Rajahmundry	39	115	23	86	1	-	5	-	-	74	20	4
Masulipatam	19	115	2	108	3	-	2	-	-	93	1	1
Guntoor	106	280	61	113	65	-	21	2	23	39	21	7
Cuddapah	60	118	26	41	6	13	26	-	6	34	22	22
Bellary	54	145	60	61	3	-	2	-	19	42	41	1
Nellore	42	104	34	45	15	1	4	-	5	43	32	3
Chittoor	30	76	47	9	4	1	14	1	-	11	61	18
Chingleput	49	157	30	102	13	3	-	1	8	64	19	-
Cuddalore	43	98	40	41	8	-	6	2	1	41	40	6
Salem	60	170	57	32	41	-	30	-	10	18	33	17
Coimbatore	38	169	52	92	2	4	5	-	14	54	30	2
Trichinopoly	47	93	70	11	1	-	10	-	1	11	75	10
Combaconum	35	119	58	52	4	-	5	-	-	43	48	4
Madura	35	97	24	51	2	3	10	-	7	52	24	10
Tinnevely	18	42	15	5	-	-	22	-	-	11	35	52
Tellicherry } Malabar	115	242	54	38	27	21	38	18	46	15	22	15
Calicut	64	132	26	57	9	6	14	4	16	43	19	10
Mangalore } Canara	49	100	35	44	15	-	4	-	1	44	35	4
Honore												
Total	973	2,510	777	1,033	277	55	230	31	157	41	30	9
Agencies.												
Ganjam	11	24	-	12	8	-	3	-	1	50	-	12
Vizagapatam	15	26	9	4	-	3	9	-	1	15	34	34
Kurnool	20	28	26	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	92	-
Total	46	78	35	17	9	3	12	-	2	21	44	15
Grand Total	1,019	2,588	812	1,050	236	58	242	31	159	40	31	9

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	1,001	2,370	854	883	205	78	231	14	105	37	35	9
In 1850	1,019	2,588	812	1,050	236	58	242	31	159	40	31	9
Increase	18	218	-	167	31	-	11	17	54	3	-	-
Decrease	-	-	42	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	4	-

DETAIL OF COLUMN 4.

—	Fined.	Flogged.	Imprisoned.	Imprisoned.			Imprisoned.						
				and fined.	and flogged.	100 Lashes and under.	150 Lashes and under.	195 Lashes and under.	1 Year and under.	2 Years and under.	3 Years and under.	7 Years and under.	14 Years and under.
—	4	5	722	2	79	48	29	8	149	90	93	311	163

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	1	9	767	1	76	No Returns.			-	-	-	-	-
In 1850	4	5	722	2	79	48	29	8	149	90	93	311	163
Increase	3	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decrease	-	4	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE showing the FINES levied by the several TRIBUNALS during 1850.

Districts.	1.		2.		3.		4.		5.		6.		7.		8.		9.		10.		11.		12.	
	By the District Police.				By the Magistracy.				By the Sudder Ameen.				By the Subordinate Court.				By the Session Court.				Total.			
	Levied.		Imprisoned in default of Payment.		Levied.		Imprisoned in default of Payment.		Levied.		Imprisoned in default of Payment.		Levied.		Imprisoned in default of Payment.		Levied.		Imprisoned in default of Payment.		Levied.		Imprisoned in default of Payment.	
	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.	No.	Rs.
Chicacole { Ganjam	447	364	182	160	39	68	12	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	486	432	194	242
Chicacole { Vizagapatam	524	202	136	164	1	2	-	-	-	-	3	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rajahmundry	823	870	132	217	24	201	2	15	1	1	3	56	2	35	4	60	-	-	-	-	327	239	140	224
Masulipatan	1,211	942	226	278	60	294	14	99	2	20	2	50	1	3	1	5	-	-	-	-	1,274	1,259	243	372
Guntoor	6,207	2,023	679	394	69	262	22	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,276	2,285	701	453
Cuddapah	1,090	1,470	388	862	114	887	21	355	4	17	3	60	21	142	16	260	-	-	-	-	1,169	2,516	428	1,537
Bellary	807	699	238	272	45	526	24	128	1	20	-	-	2	30	6	275	1	4	-	-	856	1,279	268	675
Nellore	1,600	1,481	601	667	69	277	40	314	4	67	11	229	7	265	7	105	-	-	-	-	1,680	2,090	659	1,315
North Arcot (Chittoor)	1,803	2,144	763	1,325	29	134	20	262	-	-	5	80	1	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,833	2,293	788	1,667
Chingleput	818	763	489	714	265	551	188	544	-	-	10	77	3	240	11	202	-	-	1	20	1,086	1,554	699	1,557
South Arcot (Cuddalore)	1,275	1,053	876	1,151	33	202	76	3,641	-	-	1	10	2	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,310	1,277	933	4,802
Salem	1,489	1,936	1,027	1,435	81	641	21	254	3	5	2	15	3	14	6	39	-	-	-	-	1,576	2,596	1,056	1,743
Coimbatore	1,662	2,065	696	1,163	146	528	97	173	-	-	-	-	35	550	87	2,701	-	-	-	-	1,843	3,143	880	4,037
Trichinopoly	1,326	1,309	730	921	96	591	37	1,563	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,422	1,900	767	2,484
Combaconum (Tanjore)	3,212	3,263	1,001	1,431	93	926	92	8,151	1	10	3	300	4	95	-	-	1	3	1	10	3,311	4,297	1,097	9,892
Madura	1,946	2,827	1,005	1,760	74	641	43	196	-	-	-	-	6	135	3	65	-	-	-	-	2,026	3,603	1,051	2,021
Tianevelly	1,179	1,623	600	1,430	58	333	12	50	2	30	1	10	20	166	8	115	-	-	-	-	1,254	2,152	621	1,605
Malabar { Calicut	411	657	374	622	47	249	35	109	10	167	47	503	11	297	36	714	-	-	-	-	479	1,370	492	1,948
Malabar { Tellicherry	475	860	308	779	21	95	4	8	4	51	-	-	6	60	14	342	-	-	2	35	506	1,066	328	1,164
Malabar { Mangalore	360	645	136	195	36	169	7	30	5	96	20	276	36	347	19	336	-	-	-	-	437	1,257	182	837
Malabar { Honore	957	1,214	138	234	32	140	1	-	3	4	1	1	24	204	1	100	-	-	-	-	1,016	1,562	141	335
Cochin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	15	-	-
Itchapore	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	25	-	-
Sircy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	581	24	854	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	581	24	854
Fractions of Rupees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	15
Total	29,362	28,410	10,725	16,174	1,427	7,717	768	15,973	110	1,094	136	2,581	198	2,991	239	5,712	2	7	4	65	31,099	40,238	11,872	40,520
<i>Agencies.</i>																								
Ganjam	555	494	189	240	13	99	2	55	-	-	-	-	-	3	38	-	-	-	-	-	568	593	194	339
Vizagapatam	722	584	181	215	23	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	15	2	18	-	-	-	-	746	677	183	333
Kurnool	337	287	30	40	13	26	-	-	-	-	1	15	-	-	3	60	-	-	-	-	350	319	34	135
Fractions of Rupees	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
Total	1,614	1,365	400	495	49	203	2	55	-	-	1	15	1	15	8	136	-	-	-	-	1,664	1,585	411	701
Grand Total	30,976	29,775	11,125	16,669	1,476	7,920	770	16,028	110	1,094	137	2,596	199	3,006	247	5,848	2	7	4	65	32,763	41,823	2,283	41,221
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																								
In 1849	28,618	28,074	9,204	12,546	3,846	12,253	1,472	7,157	106	787	78	1,733	254	2,644	136	3,321	6	33	9	90	32,830	43,813	10,899	24,864
In 1850	30,976	29,775	11,125	16,669	1,476	7,920	770	16,028	110	1,094	137	2,596	199	3,006	247	5,848	2	7	4	65	32,763	41,823	12,283	41,221
Increase	2,358	1,701	1,921	4,123	-	667	298	8,871	4	307	59	863	-	362	111	2,527	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,384	16,357
Decrease	-	-	-	-	2,370	4,333	702	-	-	-	-	-	55	-	-	-	4	26	5	25	67	1,990	-	-

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(7011)

8 V 8

(369)

(P.)

TABLE showing the Number of Individuals the Charges against whom were declared wilfully false and malicious by the Police, Magistracy, and Courts respectively, and the Number prosecuted and convicted before the Subordinate Courts and punished by the Magistracy for preferring such Charges.

Zillah.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Charged falsely and maliciously before the					Prosecuted before the Subordinate Courts.	Punished by the Subordinate Courts.	Punished by the Magistracy.
	Police.	Magistracy.	Subordinate Court.	Session Court.	Total.			
Ganjam	—	1	—	—	1	—	—	1
Chicacole {	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—
Vizagapatam	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Itchapoor	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rajahmundry	8	57	—	16	81	6	4	—
Masulipatam	—	5	—	6	11	4	1	5
Guntoor	6	4	—	—	10	4	4	4
Cuddapah	71	11	—	—	82	27	19	6
Bellary	—	—	41	3	44	2	—	—
Nellore	—	3	3	—	6	8	5	—
North Arcot (Chittoor)	38	6	6	1	51	11	2	1
Chingleput	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	2
South Arcot (Cuddalore)	17	8	—	—	25	2	—	2
Salem	19	—	7	—	26	7	1	—
Coimbatore	11	—	—	—	11	1	1	1
Trichinopoly	126	—	—	—	126	1	—	—
Tanjore (Combaconum)	940	8	—	—	948	22	14	12
Madura	1	—	5	—	6	5	2	1
Tinnevely	537	—	4	10	551	8	5	1
Malabar {	10	—	—	—	10	19	15	5
Calicut	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tellicherry	6	—	7	—	13	2	2	—
Canara {	30	—	1	—	31	10	6	—
Mangalore	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Honore	16	—	—	—	16	1	—	1
Sirey	—	—	—	—	—	8	8	—
Cochin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	1,836	103	74	86	2,049	150	91	42
<i>Agencies.</i>								
Ganjam	5	1	—	—	6	1	—	1
Vizagapatam	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kurnool	9	—	—	—	9	—	—	2
Total	14	1	—	—	15	1	—	3
Grand Total	1,850	104	74	86	2,064	151	91	45
• COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.								
In 1849	793	86	70	46	945	173	95	64
In 1850	1,850	104	74	86	2,064	151	91	45
Increase	1,057	68	4	—	1,119	—	—	—
Decrease	—	—	—	10	—	22	4	19

Foujdaree Udalut, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(R.)

TABLE showing the PERIODS during which Persons were detained before the Police, the Magistracy, and the Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	
Districts.	POLICE.													MAGISTRACY.													
	PETTY OFFENCES.							CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS.						PETTY OFFENCES.						CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS.							
	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Under 60 Days.	Above 60 Days.	Total.	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Above 30 Days.	Total.	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Under 60 Days.	Above 60 Days.	Total.	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Above 30 Days.	Total.	
Chicacole { Ganjam -	1,061	990	582	704	264	801	3,902	12	15	41	19	19	106	28	44	55	4	9	3	143	15	4	22	2	1	44	
Virajapatam -	3,450	216	115	285	50	18	4,134	125	76	47	30	23	301	—	—	2	—	—	—	2	—	—	1	—	—	1	
Rajahmundry -	7,910	1,149	905	852	419	439	11,674	154	140	140	110	49	593	93	18	6	21	—	—	138	87	16	11	6	3	123	
Masulipatam -	2,577	164	212	199	136	166	3,554	95	57	109	81	33	375	115	27	—	8	—	—	150	26	2	2	1	1	32	
Guntur -	10,038	2,446	591	765	438	547	14,825	122	147	8	11	—	288	134	58	57	54	25	22	350	7	13	10	27	—	57	
Cuddapah -	4,044	440	692	394	148	51	5,679	465	605	443	483	97	2,093	96	54	78	102	41	62	433	21	22	36	38	70	187	
Bellary -	2,852	117	36	25	24	—	3,054	786	104	29	16	24	909	150	58	10	8	1	1	228	103	108	17	16	29	273	
Nellore -	6,064	722	350	347	906	51	7,780	183	248	126	68	12	657	87	33	63	33	6	28	250	11	8	1	—	2	22	
Chittoor -	6,420	796	821	1,151	738	789	10,715	242	257	337	299	249	1,384	73	29	10	6	6	12	136	33	9	16	8	24	90	
Chingleput -	3,601	140	241	116	30	18	4,146	119	93	45	31	2	290	585	43	19	6	—	—	653	40	33	25	—	2	100	
Cuddalore -	7,286	506	360	333	196	400	9,081	234	171	184	211	18	818	232	103	29	24	—	—	388	19	22	5	1	—	47	
Salem -	8,409	731	259	442	213	52	10,106	592	418	303	217	103	1,633	64	41	61	53	61	75	355	14	42	14	45	91	206	
Coimbatore -	4,786	377	412	335	159	125	6,194	892	401	168	37	16	1,514	328	68	38	13	6	—	453	81	12	17	5	—	115	
Tirunipoly -	6,863	753	81	—	—	—	7,697	405	267	5	12	—	689	203	23	—	—	—	—	226	68	30	17	—	—	115	
Combaconum -	8,622	1,287	1,574	1,919	1,123	894	15,419	124	215	187	88	18	632	341	122	18	4	3	6	494	85	10	5	1	—	101	
Madura -	3,175	1,197	971	1,084	693	490	7,610	181	134	99	57	61	532	63	89	42	70	5	—	269	28	60	21	21	—	130	
Tinnevely -	3,031	870	610	650	437	78	5,676	224	249	293	248	100	1,114	58	7	44	51	22	—	182	13	14	35	51	60	173	
Tellicherry -	2,199	649	536	434	104	11	3,937	111	112	76	61	9	369	12	8	10	19	—	—	49	—	1	—	—	—	1	
Calicut -	2,849	731	563	389	207	69	4,808	540	502	335	387	123	2,127	88	43	69	52	43	21	316	52	25	42	8	99	226	
Mangalore -	2,421	485	361	438	252	149	4,106	179	308	285	108	20	900	46	43	19	8	—	—	116	14	10	2	—	5	31	
Honore -	2,137	344	280	501	218	130	3,610	123	209	105	131	49	617	37	9	16	6	—	—	68	7	1	23	3	—	34	
Total -	99,895	15,110	10,462	11,403	6,059	4,778	147,707	5,898	4,728	3,565	2,725	1,025	17,941	2,833	920	646	542	228	230	5,399	724	442	322	233	387	2,108	
Agencies.																											
Ganjam -	1,633	1,012	657	700	349	586	4,937	33	37	47	58	19	194	6	1	23	4	9	1	44	13	17	—	5	6	41	
Virajapatam -	9,136	169	93	45	28	17	9,488	116	46	39	21	—	222	23	8	12	4	—	—	47	1	3	—	4	—	8	
Kurnool -	594	90	27	11	6	—	728	39	88	51	79	15	272	10	7	4	1	1	—	23	7	—	—	1	—	8	
Total -	11,363	1,271	777	756	383	603	15,153	188	171	137	158	34	688	39	16	39	9	10	1	114	21	20	—	10	6	57	
Grand Total -	111,258	16,381	11,239	12,159	6,442	5,381	162,860	6,086	4,899	3,702	2,883	1,059	18,629	2,872	936	685	551	238	231	5,513	745	462	322	243	393	2,165	
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																											
In 1849 -	No returns.																										
In 1850 -	111,258	16,381	11,239	12,159	6,442	5,381	162,860	6,086	4,899	3,702	2,883	1,059	18,629	2,872	936	685	551	238	231	5,513	745	462	322	243	393	2,165	
Increase -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

* Includes 16 Persons pending, died, &c.

† Includes 5 Persons died, &c.

(R.)—continued.

TABLE showing the PERIODS during which Persons were detained before the Police, the Magistracy, and the Courts.

(continued)	28.	29.	30.	31.	32.	33.	34.	35.	36.	37.	38.	39.	40.	41.	42.	43.	44.	45.	46.	47.	48.	
	SUB. OR PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEEN COURTS.							SUDDER AMEEN COURTS.							SESSION COURTS.							
	CRIMES AND MISDEMEANORS.																					
	Districts.	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Under 60 Days.	Above 60 Days.	Total.	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Under 60 Days.	Above 60 Days.	Total.	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Under 60 Days.	Above 60 Days.	Total.
Chicacole { Ganjam -	8	—	—	—	—	—	8	3	16	6	1	—	—	26	—	2	3	10	15	2	—	32
{ Vizagapatam -	5	12	80	23	5	5	126	26	16	16	2	4	—	64	5	12	9	49	24	2	—	101
Rajahmundry -	60	53	77	35	1	—	226	26	16	16	2	4	—	64	5	12	9	49	24	2	—	101
Masulipatam -	10	13	1	7	1	—	32	3	8	12	6	8	—	37	2	13	51	19	17	13	—	115
Guntoor -	1	—	—	—	1	—	2	44	21	12	3	—	—	80	12	6	8	1	77	11	—	115
Cuddapah -	569	33	45	22	3	—	672	8	8	—	2	—	—	18	1	1	19	65	108	68	—	269
Bellary -	200	27	27	5	2	4	265	9	32	10	11	—	—	62	35	22	14	39	1	1	—	112
Nellore -	9	61	192	87	12	3	364	—	8	10	28	15	—	61	12	6	66	34	3	5	—	126
Chittoor -	91	120	60	103	56	3	433	93	20	11	18	20	—	163	5	10	16	9	21	38	—	99
Chingleput -	32	85	35	22	7	—	181	14	4	2	2	—	—	22	34	24	2	2	14	—	—	76
Cuddalore -	10	42	78	80	100	1	311	1	2	4	11	—	—	18	3	15	17	33	76	5	—	149
Salem -	204	48	17	16	1	—	286	27	17	10	13	4	—	71	28	23	25	19	—	—	—	95
Coimbatore -	224	112	108	77	9	32	562	4	5	6	3	—	—	18	118	24	2	15	—	—	—	160
Trichinopoly -	34	92	92	89	18	1	326	19	9	15	1	—	—	44	18	32	40	48	17	—	—	155
Combaconum -	132	70	21	24	15	—	262	31	57	33	13	1	16	151	61	13	16	2	—	—	—	92
Madura -	29	89	53	55	6	—	232	8	22	1	2	1	—	34	50	44	21	4	—	—	—	119
Tinnevell -	140	88	80	39	9	—	356	1	34	—	5	—	—	40	—	39	29	22	—	—	—	90
Tellicherry } Malabar {	37	42	38	21	1	—	159	7	4	2	—	—	—	13	7	9	4	10	12	—	—	42
Calicut -	155	104	76	150	124	25	634	53	47	31	35	8	—	174	12	23	41	47	29	26	—	178
Mangalore } Canara {	100	123	88	57	7	—	375	21	15	38	17	2	—	93	7	5	26	24	35	15	—	112
Honore -	72	16	16	—	—	—	104	115	38	16	1	—	—	170	44	20	13	21	1	—	—	99
Total -	2,142	1,230	1,134	912	378	74	5,370	487	383	235	174	63	16	1,358	454	343	422	473	450	187	—	2,329
Agencies.																						
Ganjam -	29	6	9	31	1	5	81	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	—	—	13	2	—	—	23
Vizagapatam -	29	38	41	1	—	—	109	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	5	5	12	—	—	25
Kurnool -	23	29	6	7	6	1	72	5	12	—	—	—	—	17	—	—	2	20	3	3	—	28
Total -	81	73	56	39	7	6	262	5	12	—	—	—	—	17	11	—	7	38	17	3	—	76
Grand Total -	2,223	1,303	1,190	951	385	80	*6,132	492	395	235	174	63	16	†1,375	465	343	429	511	467	190	—	†2,405
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																						
In 1849 -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
In 1850 -	2,223	1,303	1,190	951	385	80	6,132	492	395	235	174	63	16	1,375	465	343	429	511	467	190	—	2,405
Increase -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

* Includes 14 Persons died, &c., and excludes Persons referred to Sudder Ameens and those committed to Session Courts in 4 Zillahs where there are no Subordinate Criminal Courts.

† Includes 1 Person died, &c.

‡ Includes 7 Persons died, &c.

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office,
23d August 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(1107)

3 B

(373)

(S.)

TABLE showing the Proportion borne by the Persons summoned for Petty Offences and by the Crimes and Misdemeanors perpetrated to the Population ; also the Causes reported to have effected the State of Crime.

District.	Petty Offences. Persons summoned.	Crimes and Misdemeanors.	Census when taken.	Crime is reported to have been influenced by
Ganjam - -	One in 63	One to 1,903	1827	The predatory Habits of the wild Tribes on the Frontier, and the Practice of bearing Arms.
Vizagapatam - -	" 76	" 2,424	1831	Ditto.
Rajahmundry - -	" 61	" 1,564	1846	—
Masulipatam - -	" 143	" 1,462	1827	The Proximity of the Hydrabad State—Inundations and the Prevalence of wandering Tribe.
Guntoor - -	" 26	" 545	1846	The Proximity of the Hydrabad State.
Cuddapah - -	" 199	" 831	1848	—
Bellary - -	" 285	" 1,232	"	—
Nellore - -	" 48	" 704	"	—
North Arcot - -	" 55	" 686	"	—
Chingleput - -	" 80	" 1,066	"	An unfavourable Season.
South Arcot - -	" 80	" 910	"	—
Salem - -	" 81	" 816	"	An unfavourable Season.
Coimbatore - -	" 115	" 757	"	—
Trichinopoly - -	" 75	" 1,332	"	—
Tanjore - -	" 54	" 1,477	1822	The Prevalence of Maraver, Cullers, and other Castes of professional Thieves, and the Facility afforded to Criminals of escaping Justice by Emigration.
Madura - -	" 56	" 2,501	1848	An unfavourable Season.
Tinnevelly - -	" 95	" 1,342	1827	A favourable Season.
Malabar - -	" 131	" 1,176	1848	A wild jungly Frontier and a Moplah Population.
Canara - -	" 109	" 1,174	"	A well-defined Boundary—wide Estuaries and Rivers—the Moderation of the Assessment—the Regularity of the Seasons—the Absence of hereditary Thieves—the Practice of allowing Persons to make Remittances through the Government Treasuries on the one Side—on the other the Habit of living in detached Houses—the Cheapness of Spirits—the Value of Land—the Tobacco and Salt Monopolies—Nepotism.
Kurnool - -	" 316	" 1,320	"	—
Average - -	One in 83	One to 1,097	—	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.				
In 1849 - -	85	1,230	—	
In 1850 - -	83	1,097	—	
Increase - -	—	—	—	
Decrease - -	2	133	—	

Foujdaree Udalt, Register's Office, }
23d August 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

OPERATIONS OF THE FOUJDAREE UDALUT

FROM THE

1st January to the 31st December 1850.

No. 4.—OPERATIONS of the FOUDAREE UDALUT for

1. Designation of Crimes and Misdemeanors.	2. Number of Trials not dis- posed of at the Date of the last Report.		3. Number of Trials re- ceived during this Year.		4. Total of Columns 2 and 3.		5. Number of Trials disposed of by the Fou- daree Udalut within the year.		6. Remanded.	7. Number of Persons acquitted by the Foudaree Udalut.							
	No. of Trials.	No. of Per- sons.	No. of Trials.	No. of Per- sons.	No. of Trials.	No. of Per- sons.	No. of Trials.	No. of Per- sons.		Released unconditionally.	Number of Persons entered in Column A. against whom the Accusation appeared to be wilfully false and mali- cious.	Ordered to be released on Security.	D. Pined as insane.	A.	B.	C.	D.
Offences against the Person.	Murder	—	—	59	94	59	94	58	90	—	12	—	—	—	—	10	—
	Homicide	—	—	6	6	6	6	6	6	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Wounding with Intent to kill	—	—	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Rape	—	—	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Aggravated Assault	—	—	3	5	3	5	3	5	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Abduction	—	—	1	5	1	5	1	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Offences against Property.	Gang Robbery, attended with ag- gravating Circumstances	2	3	23	45	25	48	25	48	—	9	—	—	—	—	19	—
	Do. without aggravating Circum- stances	—	—	4	15	4	15	3	6	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Highway Robbery attended with aggravating Circumstances	—	—	1	7	1	7	1	7	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	—
	Embezzlement, Fraud, &c.	—	—	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Housebreaking	—	—	2	6	2	6	2	6	—	4	—	—	—	—	1	—
Theft attended with aggravating Circumstances	—	—	4	5	4	5	4	5	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Other Offences.	Perjury and Subornation of Per- jury	—	—	4	4	4	4	4	4	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Forgery and Subornation of Fer- gery	—	—	2	3	2	3	2	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Abuse of Authority as Police Officers	1	4	—	—	1	4	1	4	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	3	7	115	201	118	208	116	195	1	45	—	—	—	—	37	—	

COMPARAT													
In 1849	1	1	113	206	114	207	111	200	—	42	—	—	15
In 1850	3	7	115	201	118	208	116	195	1	45	—	—	37
Increase	2	6	2	—	4	1	5	—	1	3	—	—	22
Decrease	—	—	—	5	—	—	—	5	—	—	—	—	—

Memorandum of the Sentences passed by each Judge.

	Cases.
Mr. G. S. Hooper	8
" E. P. Thompson	9
" W. A. Morehead	9
" A. Freese	6
Messrs. G. S. Hooper and E. P. Thompson	24
" G. S. Hooper and W. A. Morehead	24
" G. S. Hooper and A. Freese	4
" E. P. Thompson and W. A. Morehead	19
" E. P. Thompson and A. Freese	4
" G. S. Hooper, E. P. Thompson, and W. A. Morehead	8
" G. S. Hooper, E. P. Thompson, and A. Freese	1
Total	116

the 1st January to the 31st December 1850.

8.			9.	10.		11.											12.		Remarks.
No. of Persons in Columns A. and C. considered convicted by			Number of Persons convicted and sentenced to Punishments by the Foujdaree Udalut.	No. of Persons in Column 9. considered not convicted by		Punishments inflicted by the Foujdaree Udalut.											No. of Trials received but not disposed of at the Close of this Report.		
E.	F.	G.		H.	I.	Death.	Flogged and transported.	Transported without flogging.	Imprisoned for Life without flogging.	Flogged and imprisoned for more than and not more than 14 Years.	Imprisoned for more than 7 and not more than 14 Years without flogging.	Flogged and imprisoned for 7 Years and under.	Imprisoned for 7 Years and under without flogging.	Fined and imprisoned.	Convicted under two or more Charges and sentenced cumulatively.	Discharged.	No. of Trials.	No. of Persons.	
Session Judge and Moofly or Jury.	Session Judge alone.	Moofly or Jury alone.	Session Judge alone.	Moofly or Jury alone.															
9	1	12	68	—	5	31	—	17	3	—	3	—	14	—	—	—	1	4	
—	1	2	3	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	—	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	4	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	—	5	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	4	—	—	
14	1	13	20	1	2	7	—	6	2	—	—	—	5	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	5	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	1	9	
7	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
—	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	
2	1	2	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	1	3	—	1	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	
—	2	—	2	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	
—	—	—	3	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	—	—	—	
—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
32	11	39	112	1	22	38	—	25	5	—	6	—	34	—	—	4	2	13	

ABSTRACT.

22	14	21	141	4	29	32	1	29	1	1	14	1	51	1	8	2	3	7
32	11	39	112	1	22	38	—	25	5	—	6	—	34	—	—	4	2	13
10	—	18	—	—	—	6	—	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	6
—	3	—	29	9	7	—	1	4	—	1	8	1	17	1	8	—	1	—

	Detail of				
	Column 8.			Column 10.	
	E.	F.	G.	II.	I.
Calicut	—	—	11	—	1
Chicasole	1	—	—	—	—
Chingleput	—	—	—	—	3
Coimbatore	8	—	—	—	3
Combaconum	—	—	1	—	6
Cuddapah	11	2	4	—	—
Ganjam—Agent	—	1	—	—	—
Guntoor	1	1	—	—	—
Honore	—	1	—	—	—
Madura	2	—	—	—	1
Mangalore	—	—	—	—	3
Masulipatam	—	—	1	—	2
Nellore	—	4	2	—	—
Rajahmundry	3	—	16	1	—
Tellicherry	1	1	1	—	1
Tinnevely	—	2	3	—	2
Trichinopoly	4	—	—	—	—
Total	32	11	39	1	22

Abstract.

Remanded	—	—	1
Acquitted and released	—	—	45
Ordered to be released on Security	—	—	97
Convicted	—	—	112
Not disposed of	—	—	13

208

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Number of Criminal Cases referred or appealed to the Foujdaree Udalt
Business disposed of

	1.		2.		3.		4.		5.		6.		7.		8.		9.		10.		11.		12.		13.		14.		15.		16.		17.		18.		19.		20.		21.	
	Trials referred under the Regulations.													Trials called for on Perusal of the Calendars and Petitions of Appeal.					Petitions of Appeal from Sentences of the Session Courts.																							
	Number pending on the 1st January.		Received during the Year.		Total.		No. of Persons convicted.		No. of Persons acquitted.		No. of Persons whose Cases were re-manded.		No. pending on the 31st December.		Pending on the 1st January.		Received during the Year.		Total.	Order confirmed.	Order modified.	Order reversed.	Pending on the 31st December.		Received during the Year.		Total.	Petition rejected.	Sentences annulled or mitigated without calling for Record.		Petition admitted and the Case called for.		Pending on the 31st December.									
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	No. of Persons convicted.	No. of Persons acquitted.	No. of Persons whose Cases were re-manded.	Cases.	Persons.	Pending on the 1st January.	Received during the Year.	Total.	Order confirmed.	Order modified.	Order reversed.	Pending on the 31st December.	Pending on the 1st January.	Received during the Year.	Total.	Petition rejected.	Sentences annulled or mitigated without calling for Record.		Petition admitted and the Case called for.		Pending on the 31st December.																
Ordinary Courts -	3	7	109	189	112	196	101	81	1	2	13	1	20	21	2	4	13	2	6	104	110	84	2	17	7																	
Governor's Agents Courts -	-	-	6	12	6	12	11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																	
Grand Total -	3	7	115	201	118	208	112	82	1	2	13	1	20	21	2	4	13	2	6	104	110	84	2	17	7																	
	195											19					103																									
COMPARATIVE																																										
Of 1849 -	1	1	113	206	114	207	141	59	-	3	7	-	23	23	7	4	10	2	23	110	133	100	-	24	9																	
Of 1850 -	3	7	115	201	118	208	112	82	1	2	13	1	20	21	2	4	13	2	6	104	110	84	2	17	7																	
Increase -	2	6	2	-	4	1	-	23	1	-	6	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-																	
Decrease -	-	-	-	5	-	-	29	-	-	1	-	-	3	2	5	-	-	-	17	6	23	16	-	7	2																	

Of the Trials decided during the Year 1850—viz. - - referred - 116
called up - 19

135

Mr. G. S. Hooper recorded his Opinion on 82—present during the Year.

Mr. E. P. Thompson do. 78 do.

Mr. W. A. Morehead do. 75—From 1st January to 17th April, and from 1st July to 31st December 1850.

Mr. A. Freese do. 17—From 18th April to 1st July 1850.

during the Year 1850, with the Orders passed thereon, together with an Abstract Statement of Criminal during the Year 1850.

22.		23.		24.		25.		26.		27.		28.		29.		30.		31.		32.		33.		34.		35.		36.		37.		38.		39.		40.			
Calendars revised.														Miscellaneous Petitions.																									
Pending on the 1st January.		Received during the Year.				Total.		Sentences undisturbed.		Sentences mitigated at the Recommendation of the Session Judge.		Sentences not mitigated at the Recommendation of the Session Judge.		Sentences annulled under Section 2. Act XIX. of 1848.		Sentences mitigated under Section 3. Act XIX. of 1848.		Sentences annulled under Section 3. Act XIX. of 1848.		Whole Record of Trial called up.		Pending on the 31st December.		Pending on the 1st January.		Received during the Year.		Total.		Order confirmed.		Order modified.		Order reversed.		Otherwise disposed of.		Pending on the 31st December.	
Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Sentences undisturbed.	Sentences mitigated at the Recommendation of the Session Judge.	Sentences not mitigated at the Recommendation of the Session Judge.	Sentences annulled under Section 2. Act XIX. of 1848.	Sentences mitigated under Section 3. Act XIX. of 1848.	Sentences annulled under Section 3. Act XIX. of 1848.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.	Calendars.	Persons.		
17	66	756	2,165	773	2,231	1,855	223	7	36	5	7	9	56	14	42	12	90	102	71	2	17	5	7	12	90	102	71	2	17	5	7	12	90	102	71	2	17	5	7
-	-	23	66	23	66	42	1	-	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	66	779	2,231	796	2,297	1,897	224	7	59	5	7	9	56	14	42	12	91	103	72	2	17	5	7	12	91	103	72	2	17	5	7	12	91	103	72	2	17	5	7

96

ABSTRACT.

21	41	785	1,994	806	2,035	1,577	251	4	29	30	21	16	55	18	68	24	130	154	125	-	15	-	14	12	91	103	72	2	17	5	7	12	91	103	72	2	17	5	7	
-	25	-	237	-	262	320	-	3	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	-	6	-	10	-	-	27	-	-	25	14	7	-	4	26	12	39	51	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Opinions delivered on Petitions.

	Appeals from Sentences of Session Courts.	Miscellaneous.
Mr. Hooper - - - - -	3	12
Mr. Thompson - - - - -	4	14
Mr. Morehead - - - - -	7	5
Mr. Freese - - - - -	-	1
Messrs. Hooper and Thompson - - - - -	5	6
Messrs. Hooper and Morehead - - - - -	3	6
Messrs. Thompson and Morehead - - - - -	-	1
Messrs. Hooper, Thompson, and Morehead - - - - -	67	42
Messrs. Hooper, Thompson, and Freese - - - - -	14	9

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

No. 6.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the average Number of Days intervening between the Apprehension and Commitment and the final Sentence in the Trials referred to the Foujdaree Udalut during 1850.

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
	Total Number of Trials.	Between the Dates of Apprehension and final Sentence.	Between the Dates of Commitment and final Sentence.	Between the Dates of the Receipt of Record by Foujdaree Udalut and final Sentence.	Remarks.
		Days.	Days.	Days.	
	116	96	77	5	
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.					
In 1849 - -	111	77	59	4	
In 1850 - -	116	96	77	5	
Increase - -	5	19	18	1	
Decrease - -	—	—	—	—	

DETAIL OF COLUMN 2.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Under One Month.	Two Months.	Three Months.	Four Months.	Five Months.	Six Months.	Seven Months.	Eight Months.	Nine Months.	Ten Months.	Twelve Months.	Remarks.
15	32	24	16	4	10	6	3	2	2	2	a Agent Vizagapatam - - 1 Chingleput - - - 1 Calicut - - - 1 delayed for 65 Days by the Wounds inflicted on the Prosecutor. Mangalore - - - 1

- b Rajahmundry - 2
- Masulipatam - 1
- Cuddapah - 4 The Delay in these and other Cases mentioned below is in part attributable to the Length or Time which intervened between Mr. Elliot's Appointment to be Session Judge and his assuming Charge of the Court.
- Nellore - - 1
- Calicut - - 1 delayed by the Wounds inflicted on the Prosecutor.
- Honore - - 1
- c Rajahmundry - 1
- Masulipatam - 1
- Cuddapah - 4 1 delayed for 47 Days by ditto.
- d Cuddapah - 2
- Calicut - - 1 delayed for 60 Days by certain of the Prisoners being seized with Small-pox
- e Rajahmundry - 2
- f Cuddapah - 2
- g Cuddapah - 1
- Calicut - - 1 The Approvers admitted, at the Recommendation of the Magistrate, having retracted, this Case was sent back by the Session Judge in order that additional Evidence might be procured. This delayed the Case for 119 Days.—See also Note 5 in Detail of Column 4.

DETAIL OF COLUMN 4.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
Delay of One Day.	Two Days.	Three Days.	Four Days.	Five Days.	Six Days.	Seven Days.	Eight Days.	Nine Days.	Ten Days.	Eleven Days.	Fourteen Days.	Fifteen Days.	Twenty Days.	Twenty-two Days.	Twenty-three Days.	Twenty-five Days.	Thirty Days.	Thirty-two Days.	Thirty-five Days.	Forty Days.	Forty-five Days.	Remarks.
																						(1) Further Evidence, &c. called for from Calicut involving Delay of 28 Days. (2) Ditto, Rajahmundry 35 " (3) Ditto, Combatore 24 " (4) Ditto, Madura - 23 " (5) A voluminous Case from Calicut referred to in the Extract Min. of Cons. of Government under Date the 9th February 1850, No. 104. It was eventually found practicable to dispose of the Prisoner's Case irrespective of the Question connected with the Origin of the late Insurrection on which the Opinion of the Court was called for.

Foujdaree Udalut, Register's Office, }
12th March 1851.

E. F. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

Judicial Department.

No. 712.

EXTRACT from the MINUTES OF CONSULTATION under Date the 26th November 1851.

Read the following Letter from the Register of the Foujdaree Udalut.

Forwarding the Annual Criminal Reports for 1850. (Here enter 23d August 1851, No. 78.)

Para. 1. With their Letter of the 23d August 1851, No. 78, the Court of Foujdaree Udalut submit the Reports and Statements relative to the Administration of Criminal Justice under the Madras Presidency for the Year 1850. With their previous Letter of the 12th March 1851, No. 35, reviewed in Extract Minutes of Consultation 26th June 1851, the Judges have furnished Statements, Nos. 4, 5, 6, showing the Nature and Extent of Work performed by themselves in the Criminal Department. From the Returns thus laid before Government the following Abstract Tables have been framed, exhibiting the Results of the present compared with those of the preceding Year.

2. Table of Petty Offences in all the Districts, including the Agency Tracts, showing an Increase over the past Year of 6,118 in the Number of Persons summoned and of 1,106 in the Number punished.

	1849.		1850.	
	Summoned.	Punished.	Summoned.	Punished.
Assault - - -	164,317	46,795	167,063	46,381
Cattle Stealing - -	1,828	650	2,308	738
Theft - - -	8,762	4,122	9,135	4,398
Various - - -	2,905	1,394	5,424	2,550
Total - - -	177,812	52,961	183,930	54,067
Increase in 1850 -	—	—	6,118	1,106

3. The Increase in the Number summoned was chiefly in the following Districts:

Ganjam - - -	1,200
Vizagapatam - - -	1,847
Rajahmundry - - -	715
Nellore - - -	1,162
North Arcot - - -	1,220
South Arcot - - -	1,614
Coimbatore - - -	936
Madura - - -	917

The Disproportion of Persons punished to the Number summoned in the Rajahmundry District is enormous, 1,422 out of 14,571, or Nine per Cent. It is a great Evil that 100 Men should be brought up before the Police or Magistracy of whom only Nine were proved to be Offenders. There has also been a great Disproportion in the Districts of Vizagapatam, Ganjam, Malabar, and Canara. It has occurred chiefly in the Petty Offences tried by the District Police—the Number summoned and punished by those Tribunals being respectively 165,685 and 45,829.

4. Table of Crimes and Misdemeanors throughout the Presidency, showing an Increase of 1,501 Cases above those reported in the past Year.

	1849.		1850.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Offences reported during the Year - - - - -	12,329	39,157	13,830	42,444
Offences in which no one of the Parties implicated were apprehended - - - - -	6,015	17,884	7,105	19,870
Offences perpetrated within the Year, the Persons concerned in which were apprehended and brought before the Police and Magistracy - - - - -	6,314	17,194	6,738	18,366
Ditto do. the Persons concerned in which were not apprehended - - - - -	—	4,079	—	4,208
	6,314	21,273	6,738	22,574
Total Number of Cases of all Descriptions brought before the Police Magistracy and the Courts, including Cases pending at the Beginning of the Year, and those primarily cognizable by the Courts - - - - -	7,212	19,105	7,619	20,383
Convicted and punished - - - - -	—	3,645	—	3,598
Per-centage - - - - -	—	19	—	17

The Ratio of convicted and punished to accused was only Seventeen per Cent., so that Eighty-three out of every 100 must have been arraigned on insufficient Grounds. In some Districts, North Arcot and Tinnevely, it is as low as Ten per Cent. It does not, however, appear in what Classes of Offences, falling under the general Term of Crimes and Misdemeanors, this Disproportion has been most marked, whether in those of a flagrant or those of a less serious Character, and it is important to the due Estimation of the State of Crime, properly so called, that this Distinction should be drawn. In future the Per-centage of convicted to apprehended should be given in regard to the heinous Offences, Table No. 2. C., or those involving grave Injury to Person or Property, so as regards petty Offences; Theft is the only One demanding serious Notice; and the Proportion between those accused of it and convicted should in like Manner be separately exhibited in the Tables.

5. The Persons punished for Crimes and Misdemeanors in 1850, and given above at 3,598, were convicted by the under-mentioned Authorities:

	1849.	1850.
By the Magistracy	272	337
Sudder Ameens	764	858
Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens	1,614	1,479
Session Judge	854	812
Foujdaree Udalt	141	112
	3,645	3,598

6. The more heinous Offences committed in 1850 as compared with the previous Year are shown below:

		1849.	1850.	Increase.	Decrease.
		Cases.	Cases.		
Offences against the Person.	Murder	189	265	77	—
	Homicide	87	87	—	—
	Wounding with Intent to kill	69	25	—	44
	Assault with wounding	427	412	—	15
	Rape	83	75	—	8
		854	864		
Offences against Property.	Robbery with aggravating Circumstances	636	486	—	150
	Robbery without ditto	530	829	298	—
	Housebreaking	5,016	5,959	943	—
	Theft	2,235	2,350	115	—
	Cattle Stealing, &c.	816	922	106	—
	Arson	321	377	56	—
	Embezzlement and Fraud	189	205	16	—
		9,743	11,127		
Forgery	95	86	—	9	
Perjury or Subornation of Perjury	13	11	—	2	
Various	1,624	1,742	118	—	
		1,732	1,839		
Total		12,329	13,830		

7 The Crime of Murder appears most common in—

Malabar	32 Cases.
Canara	30 „
Cuddapah	24 „
Bellary	20 „
Salem	23 „

In Ganjam, Rajahmundry, North Arcot, Coimbatore, Madura, and Tinnevely the Number of Cases ranged from Twelve to Sixteen.

8. Compared with 1849 there was a considerable Increase in this Crime in—

	Increase.
Ganjam of	8 Cases.
Cuddapah	8 „
Bellary	11 „
Coimbatore	7 „
Trichinopoly	6 „
Malabar	10 „
Canara	10 „

The other Offences against the Person were below those committed in the preceding Year.

9. In the Offences against Property Housebreaking occupies a conspicuous Place, being 5,959 out of 11,127, or more than Fifty per Cent., and showing a large Increase (943 Cases) above 1849, but referring to the Observations which some of the Magistrates have offered in their Reports, the Number would appear to be greatly swelled by Cases scarcely falling under the Designation of Housebreaking. Mr. Bourdillon, Magistrate of North Arcot, writes "a large Proportion of the Offences classed as Housebreaking are of an extremely trivial Character compared with the Idea which we usually associate with that Term; the 'House,' a mere Hut, offering scarcely any Resistance to the 'breaking,' and the Property stolen only about One or Two Rupees." Similar Remarks are made by the Acting Magistrate of South Arcot and the Acting Magistrate of Cuddapah. The Foujdaree Udalt should direct the Magistrates to classify such trifling Cases, where the Mat Door of a Hut has been pushed aside and Property, worth but a few Annas, stolen, with Petty Thefts, and to range under the Head of Housebreaking those only where the Instrument for breaking a Wall has been used, where a House, not a mere Mat Hut, has been entered.

10. In the other Offences against Property there is a Decrease of 150 in Robbery with aggravating Circumstances, but an Increase under the remaining Heads, large, however, in simple Robbery 298 and Theft 115.

Village Police.
D. Table No. 1.

11. The Operations of the Village Police embraced 11,087 Cases of Petty Assault, 1,585 of Petty Theft, and 6 other Offences, making in all 12,678 Cases, which were disposed of as follows:

	Summoned.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Per-centage of punished to summoned.
		After Investigation.	Without Investigation or upon Razanamah.		
1849 - - - -	Persons. 11,308	Persons. 2,322	Persons. 3,478	Persons. 5,508	48
1850 - - - -	12,678	2,724	4,308	5,646	44
Increase - - -	+1,370	+402	+830	+138	—

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Confined in the Village Choultry - - -	4,340	4,402
Confined in the Stocks - - -	1,168	1,244
Total -	5,508	5,646

Increase in Persons summoned.

a - - -	1,446
b - - -	234
c - - -	121
d - - -	167
e - - -	155
f - - -	233
g - - -	206
h - - -	113
i - - -	168
Decrease k - - -	465
l - - -	170
m - - -	160
n - - -	219
o - - -	443

12. There has been a considerable Increase in the Business performed by the Village Police in Rajahmundry *a*, Nellore *b*, North *c* and South *d* Arcot, Chingleput *e*, Coimbatore *f*, Trichinopoly *g*, Tinnevely *h*, and Malabar *i*, and a Decrease in Guntoor *k*, Bellary *l*, Tanjore *m*, Madura *n*, and Canara *o*.

13. No Cases would appear to have been investigated by the Village Authorities in Ganjam and Vizagapatam, either in the Regulation or in the Agency Tracts, and the Government wish to know the Reason.

District Police.
E. Table No 1.
Petty Offences.

14. Operations of the District Police in the Disposal of Petty Offences

	Total summoned and under Examination at the Commencement of the Period.	Acquitted after Examination.	Discharged upon Hazeemah, or without Investigation, for Default or other Causes.	Punished without Reference to the Magistrate.	Punished after Reference to the Magistrate.	Total disposed of by the District Police.	Percentage of punished to summoned.	Under Examination at the close of the Year.	Total.
1849	159,987	57,420	56,920	39,349	5,074	44,423	—27	1,224	159,987
1850	165,685	57,494	59,537	39,818	6,011	45,829	—27	2,825	165,685

The Number under Examination at the End of the Year, 2,825, was more than double the Number undisposed of at the Close of 1850.

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Fined	32,125	42,101
Confined	11,696	3,170
Stocks	261	194
Flogged under Orders of the Magistrate	341	364
	<u>44,423</u>	<u>45,829</u>

15. Of 165,685 Persons brought before the District Police 153,125 were charged with Petty Assault, 2,137 with Cattle Stealing, 6,906 with Petty Theft, and 3,517 with other Offences.

F. Table No. 2.
Crimes and Misdemeanors.

16. The Number of Crimes and Misdemeanors brought before the District Police, and disposed of by them, is as follows :

	Cases.	Persons.
Apprehended in 1849	6,094	16,745
Apprehended in 1850	7,015	18,954
Increase	<u>921</u>	<u>2,209</u>

1850.	Released	-	-	10,183
	Died, escaped, or otherwise disposed of	-	-	65
	Forwarded to the Magistracy	445	-	1,150
	Subordinate Court	3,516	-	7,280
	Pending	105	-	276
				<u>18,954</u>

17. Of 7,015 Cases the Number appears largest in—	Cases.	Persons.
Cuddapah	730	2,145
Salem	675	1,689
Coimbatore	541	1,531
Malabar	967	2,540
Canara	677	1,541

In North Arcot there were 322 Cases in which 1,432 Persons were concerned, and 305 Cases in Tinnevely with 1,115 Persons.

18. The Proportion of released to apprehended on the average of all the Zillahs and Agencies was 53 per Cent. It was largest in Vizagapatam 72 per Cent, Cuddapah 62, Salem 66, and Tinnevely 60 per Cent.

G. Table No. 1.

Bribery	160
Extortion	165
Oppression	634
Other Abuse of Authority	451
	<u>1,410</u>

19. The Number of Persons charged with Abuse of Authority as Police Officers amounts to 1410, or 684 above the Number of 1849. The Parties accused were principally Peons and Village Police Servants.

	1849.	1850.
Charged	726	1,410
Punished	174	280
Acquitted	511	1,079
Otherwise disposed of	0	5
Pending	38	46

20. The large Increase noticed in the preceding Para. is to be found chiefly in the District of North Arcot, where, of 622 Persons charged, the Acquittals amounted to 468, or 75 per Cent. These Cases were chiefly before the District Police, only 29 Persons having been tried by the Magistrate, of whom 27 were acquitted.

Charged	- - - - -	622
Punished	- - - - -	131
Acquitted	- - - - -	468
Pending	- - - - -	23

Magistracy.
H. Table No. 1. The Operations of the Magistracy in petty Offences embrace Assault 2,851 Cases, Cattle Stealing 165, Theft 644, Abuse of Authority by Police Officers 472, other Offences 1,435, Total 5,567 Cases, which were disposed of as follows:—

	Summoned and under Examination.	Acquitted.	Discharged upon Rescramah, or dismissed for Default or otherwise.	Convicted.	Total disposed of.	Under Examination at the End of the Year.	Total.
1849	6,517	2,990	483	3,030	6,503	14	6,517
1850	5,567	2,659	262	2,592	5,513	54	5,567

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Fined	2,109	2,136
Imprisoned	781	324
Flogged	139	132
Insane Prisoner	1	0
	<u>3,030</u>	<u>2,592</u>

I. Table No. 2. 22. The Operations of the Magistracy in Crimes and Misdemeanors were as follows:—

	1849.		1850.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Pending and brought before the Magistracy	775	2,003	837	2,246
Released	—	997	—	1,204
Forwarded to the Courts	—	628	—	428
Pending	4	26	18	79
Escaped, &c.	—	1	—	7
Dealt with under Sec. 54, Act VII. of 1843; viz., punished	170	351	228	528
Fined	—	69	—	91
Imprisoned	—	138	—	177
Flogged	—	3	—	5
Imprisoned and fined	—	52	—	19
Imprisoned and flogged	—	20	—	45
	—	273	—	337
Released	—	79	—	191
	—	351	—	528

23. It is observed that in Two Districts, Nellore and Tinnevely, not a single Case was investigated by the Magistrate, and in some other Districts* but very few Cases. The Right Honourable the Governor in Council attaches great Importance to the Heads of the different Districts taking due Part in the Discharge of their Magisterial no less than their Revenue Functions and not devolving the former Duties wholly upon their Subordinates. The Attention of the Judges of the Foujdaree Udalt will be directed to the Subject; and they will be desired to call upon the Magistrates of the Five Zillahs above noticed to explain, and will furnish the Explanation to Government.

J. Table No. 3. 24. Reviews by the Session Court of the Sentences of the Magistracy.

	Petty Calendar.		Cases disposed of under Act VII. of 1843.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Reviewed - - - -	3,423	8,187	224	523
Undisturbed - - - -	-	8,091	-	476
Modified - - - -	-	32	-	18
Reversed - - - -	-	64	-	16
Pending - - - -	-	0	-	13
		<u>8,187</u>		<u>523</u>

25. Result of Appeals to the Session Judge from the Sentences of the Magistracy.

	1849.	1850.
Total Appeals - - - -	670	380
Reversed - - - -	83	44
Modified - - - -	0	19
Confirmed - - - -	548	257
Pending - - - -	39	60
	<u>670</u>	<u>380</u>

26. Result of Appeals to the Magistrate from the Sentences or Orders of his Subordinates.

	1849.	1850.
Total Appeals - - - -	376	480
Reversed - - - -	37	52
Modified - - - -	34	25
Confirmed - - - -	287	323
Pending - - - -	18	80
	<u>376</u>	<u>480</u>

Sudder Ameens. L. Table No. 2. 27. Sudder Ameens.

	1849.		1850.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Pending and referred - - - -	865	1,431	867	1,407
Released - - - -	-	553	-	454
Held to Security - - - -	-	84	-	62
Punished - - - -	-	764	-	858
Died, escaped, or otherwise disposed of - - - -	-	0	-	5
Under Examination - - - - 19	19	30	18	28
		<u>1,431</u>		<u>1,407</u>

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Fined - - - -	183	241
Flogged - - - -	1	6
Imprisoned - - - -	515	577
Imprisoned and fined - - - -	6	6
Imprisoned and flogged - - - -	59	28
	<u>764</u>	<u>858</u>

Subordinate Courts. K. Table No. 1. 28. The Number of Cases pending and brought before the Subordinate Courts and Principal Sudder Ameens was 3,839, involving 7,893 Persons, of whom 1,220 having been referred to the Sudder Ameens for Trial, the Remainder 6,663 was disposed of as follows:—

	1849.	1850.
Released unconditionally - - - -	2,009	1,971
Released on Security - - - -	402	575
Punished - - - -	1,614	1,479
Committed - - - -	2,205	2,431
Under Examination - - - -	126	182
Otherwise disposed of - - - -	25	25
	<u>6,381</u>	<u>6,663</u>

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Fined	383	359
Flogged	13	3
Imprisoned	1,003	928
Imprisoned and fined	95	93
Imprisoned and flogged	120	96
	<u>1,614</u>	<u>1,479</u>

M. Table No. 3. 29. Reviews by the Session Courts of the Sentences of the Subordinate Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, and Sudder Ameens.

	Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens.		Sudder Ameens.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Reviewed	1,934	3,884	845	1,375
Undisturbed		3,790		1,287
Modified		27		50
Reversed		60		32
Pending		7		6
		<u>3,884</u>		<u>1,375</u>

30. Result of Appeals to the Session Judge from Decisions of the Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudr Ameens and Sudder Ameens.

	Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens.		Sudder Ameens.	
	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.
Appealed	326	200	24	68
Confirmed	192	172	8	45
Reversed	172	26	16	23
Pending	6	2	0	0

In forwarding this Table for the Future the Result of the Reviews of the Proceedings of the principal Sudder Ameens, and of the Appeals from them, should be exhibited separately from those of the subordinate Judges. It is of importance to show clearly the Manner in which the Principal Sudder Ameens perform their criminal Duties.

31. The criminal Jurisdiction vested in Sudder Ameens by Section 11, Regulation III. of 1833 is reported to have been satisfactorily exercised by them. It appears from the preceding Tables that on a Review by the Session Courts of the Proceedings of these Officers, there were only 32 Instances out of 1,375 in which their Sentences were reversed, and 50 in which the Sentences were modified. Of 68 Appeals 45 have been affirmed and 23 reversed, and with reference to these latter Cases the Government would again draw the Attention of the Foujdaree Udalt to the Hope expressed by the Honourable Court of Directors in Para. 2 of their judicial Despatch dated 11th June 1851, No. 6, that "Pains are taken to explain to the Sudder Ameens in what respect they may have fallen into Error, so as gradually to train them up to the Performance of this comparatively novel Branch of their Duty."

Session Courts.
N. Table No. 1.

32. Session Courts.

	1849. Persons.	1850. Persons.
Pending and committed	2,370	2,588
Punished	854	812
Acquitted	883	1,050
Held to Security	205	236
Remanded	78	58
Referred to the Foujdaree Udalt	231	242
Died, escaped, or otherwise disposed of	14	31
Pending	105	159
	<u>2,370</u>	<u>2,588</u>

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Fined	1	4
Flogged	9	5
Imprisoned	767	722
Imprisoned and fined	1	2
Imprisoned and flogged	76	79
	<u>854</u>	<u>812</u>

Foujdaree Udalt.

33. Foujdaree Udalt.

	1849.		1850.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
Trials pending at Commencement of the Year and received during the Year	114	207	118	208
Remanded	-	0	-	1
Released unconditionally	-	42	-	45
Do. on Security	-	15	-	37
Convicted	-	141	-	112
Detained as Insane	-	2	-	0
Not disposed of	-	7	-	13
		207		208

The Number of the Persons whose Cases were referred as given in the above Statement, viz. 208, does not tally with that, 242, entered in the Table N. for the Session Courts, and the Difference should be explained.

Punishments.

	1849.	1850.
Death	32	38
Transportation	30	25
Imprisonment for Life without Flogging	1	5
Do. for more than 7 Years	15	6
Do. do. 7 Years and under	52	34
Fined and imprisoned	1	0
Punished in other Cases	8	0
Discharged	2	4
	141	112

Fines. Table O. 34. There were 32,763 Persons subjected to Fines to the Amount of Rupees 41,823, besides 12,283 Persons imprisoned for Default to the Extent of Rupees 41,221.

	Fines.		Default.	
	Persons.	Rupees.	Persons.	Rupees.
District Police	30,976	29,775	11,125	16,669
Magistracy	1,476	7,920	770	16,023
Sudder Ameen	110	1,094	187	2,596
Subordinate Courts	199	3,006	247	5,848
Session Courts	2	7	4	65
	32,763	41,823	12,283	41,221

Table P. 35. The Number of Individuals, the Charges against whom were declared to be wilfully false and malicious, were 2,064, showing a very large Increase, 1,119, above those of the previous Year, which is most remarkable in Combaconum and Tinnevely. The No. of Persons prosecuted and punished for preferring those Charges is as below, and bears but a very small Proportion to the Parties making them.

	1849.	1850.
Prosecuted before the Subordinate Courts	173	151
Punished by the Subordinate Courts	95	91
Punished by the Magistracy	61	45

Table Q. 36. The number of Suicides is given at
398 Males.
643 Females.
14 Children

Of these latter, thirteen were by drowning, one by poison. They were probably accidental, and should have been classed as such. Suicides appear to have occurred more largely in Rajahmundry and Bellary than in the remaining Districts.

37. The Number of accidental deaths is given at
1,852 Males.
1,382 Females.
1,359 Children.

These were most numerous in Rajahmundry, Cuddapah, Bellary, North Arcot, Salem, Coimbatore, Malabar, and Canara.

Table R. 38. The following Abstract shows the Periods during which Persons were detained before the Police, the Magistracy, and the Courts.

	Number of Parties detained for Periods.						Total.
	Under 3 Days.	Under 7 Days.	Under 14 Days.	Under 30 Days.	Under 60 Days.	Above 60 Days.	
Police { Petty Offences -	111,258	16,381	11,239	12,159	6,442	5,381	162,860
Crimes and Mis- demeanors -	6,086	4,899	3,702	2,883	1,059	—	18,629
Magis- tracy { Petty Offences -	2,872	936	685	551	238	231	5,313
Crimes and Mis- demeanors -	745	462	322	243	393	—	2,165
Subordinate or Principal Sudr { Crimes and Mis- demeanors	2,223	1,303	1,190	951	385	80	6,132
Sudr Ameens Courts do.	492	395	235	174	63	16	1,375
Sessions Courts do.	465	343	429	511	467	190	2,405

The Government observe from the above Statement that for Petty Offences before the Police, 51,602 Persons were detained for Periods running from Three Days to Sixty and upwards, of whom 11,823 were detained above Thirty Days, and as the Number punished altogether was only 45,829, it follows that many were detained who were not Offenders. Again, it is to be remarked, that 12,543 Persons were detained from three to upwards of Thirty Days for Crimes and Misdemeanors, while the Provisions of Clause 4, Section 27, Regulation XI. of 1816, limit the Time for Inquiry to Forty-eight Hours. The Judges of the Foujdaree Udalt will be pleased to give their Attention to the Subject.

Table S. 39. The Proportion borne by the Persons summoned for Petty Offences, and by the Crimes and Misdemeanors perpetrated to the Population is as follows:—

Petty Offences, Persons summoned 1 in 83
Crimes and Misdemeanors - 1 to 1,097

If, as it is to be inferred, the *whole* Population be here taken into account, the Proportion of Persons summoned for Petty Offences seems very large. The Governor in Council must, however, again remark, that a Distinction should be made between Offences which are criminal in their Nature and Essence, and may justly be termed petty Crimes, and those which are no crimes, as trifling Affrays, the Use of abusive Language, and the like. To range these trivial Acts with *crimes*, such as Thefts, only obscures the real State of the Administration of Criminal Justice. The Government would wish an Abstract Table to be furnished for the future, exclusive of such paltry Cases, and limited to *bonâ fide* Crimes, distinguished into small and great.

40. The Letter of the Register to the Foujdaree Udalt, 23d August 1851, forwarding the Annual Criminal Reports for 1850, transmitted 116 Enclosures. Of these, the first twenty-six are the general or Abstract Statements whence the succeeding Nineteen Tables A. to S. have been compiled. As these Tables are intended to exhibit in a clear Form the principal Information contained in the Statements, the Transmission of these latter Documents to Government seems needless, and may henceforward be discontinued. The remaining Enclosures, Seventy-one in Number, consists of Reports from the Session Judges and Magistrates on the State of Crime and Operations of the Police, Magistracy, and Courts in the respective Zillahs, with Extracts from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Udalt commenting on any Reports which seemed to require Remark. It would evidently be a great Improvement if the Information conveyed in these Papers were condensed and arranged and submitted in a convenient Shape. The Government resolve to draw the Attention of the Judges of the Foujdaree Udalt to the "Report of Crime, and of the "Police Administration of the Zillahs subject to the Bombay Presidency for the year "1849," Copy of which has lately been furnished to them. In that Report a concise Review, prefaced by a comparative Abstract Statement, is given for each Zillah, of the State of Crime, and Causes which have influenced it, and the "general Results" are subsequently exhibited. The Right Honourable the Governor in Council desires that a

similar Report for the Districts under this Presidency, be drawn up annually by the Foujdaree Udalt, to be printed together with the Criminal Tables. He does not, however, approve the Classification or Designation of Offences in the Bombay Zillah Abstract Statement, and in the "General Results," and is of opinion that the Abstract Statements to be submitted by the Foujdaree Udalt in their Report should be modified so as to correspond with the Classification obtaining in the present Tables. The petty Cases already noticed, p. 39, should also be excluded from the Statement, and be shown separately. Two additional Tables should likewise be furnished corresponding with Statements Nos. vi. a. vii. b, annexed to the Bombay Report.

E. G.—In the Form of Statement and Table of general Results.

1. Assault with Homicide.
2. Homicide.
3. Murder.

It does not appear how these Offences are distinguished.

- a Statement showing the Number of Convicts.
b General Abstract of Returns of sick.

(A true Extract.)

T. PYCROFT,
Secretary to the Government.

Madras Civil Justice, 1850.

REPORT of the SUDDER UDALUT on the ADMINISTRATION of CIVIL JUSTICE under the MADRAS PRESIDENCY for the Year 1850.

(No. 142.)

From G. T. BEAUCHAMP, Esquire, Register to the Court of Sudder Udalu, to
T. PYCROFT, Esquire, Secretary to Government in the Judicial Department.

Sir,

Madras, 8th December 1851.

1. I am directed by the Judges of the Court of Sudder Udalu to submit the accompanying Tables (A.) to (U.) exhibiting the Operations of the Civil Courts in this Presidency during 1850, together with the Statement entered in the Margin.* The other Statements which have hitherto been forwarded with the Annual Report are not now sent with reference to the Orders of Government dated 26th November 1851, dispensing with the corresponding Returns formerly transmitted with the Criminal Report.

2. The Judges regret that the Delay in the Preparation of these Tables has been greater than they anticipated when submitting the Report for 1849. They are able, however, to state that the Statements have in general been more accurately prepared in the present than in former Years, and, with the Exception of the Headings connected with the Zillah of Honore, (the Returns from which had to be returned twice and were finally received corrected only on the 20th November,) and the Tracts under the Agent at Vizagapatam, the Tables were all filled up before the Close of October.

3. With reference to the Orders of Government, dated 20th March and 28th August 1849, the Judges direct me to report that Extracts from the Registers of Lands held by the Native Functionaries and Ministerial Servants accompanied by the prescribed Certificates have been submitted by all the Civil Judges.

I have the Honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,
G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

Sudder Udalu, Register's Office, }
8th December 1851.

(A.)

GENERAL.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Nature of the Litigation in the several Zillahs of the Madras Presidency as drawn from the Original Suits filed during 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.
	For Land.		For Houses or other fixed Property.		Arrears of Rent or Revenue.		Bonds.		Simple Debts.		Damages.		Allowances and other Personalities not included in the above.		Total.	
	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.	No. of Suits.	Amount.
Chicacole -	68	14,719	63	1,880	33	3,425	1,251	1,17,119	54	1,189	21	1,600	425	40,689	1,915	1,80,621
Rajahmundry -	63	11,191	118	14,409	74	4,579	1,542	97,439	334	18,125	1	8	653	25,872	2,795	1,71,623
Masulipatam -	18	12,004	79	7,493	8	687	1,119	1,17,980	728	7,552	3	356	302	29,738	2,257	1,75,810
Guntoor -	14	455	60	1,813	19	728	1,819	50,614	207	9,689	2	860	156	8,795	3,377	72,944
Cuddapah -	25	3,121	37	2,112	17	1,117	5,218	2,37,117	469	43,787	9	389	3	94	5,778	2,87,737
Bellary -	2	215	85	89,633	18	1,117	3,676	1,87,825	755	22,785	13	2,653	2	20	4,551	3,04,249
Nellore -	—	—	41	3,943	20	1,648	2,343	1,24,452	374	15,047	13	1,204	245	61,362	3,035	2,07,656
Chittoor -	104	1,24,117	62	11,637	34	4,647	3,249	2,65,228	1,484	62,007	64	16,897	24	5,734	5,031	490,267
Chingleput -	89	10,806	42	8,097	6	1,768	1,184	64,581	615	22,701	23	2,856	156	14,317	2,125	1,24,925
Cuddalore -	119	13,118	100	6,035	21	286	2,610	1,36,789	1,235	35,666	14	1,182	407	10,611	4,506	2,03,687
Salem -	263	31,822	184	10,524	291	14,294	2,905	1,22,317	1,293	47,319	23	1,487	28	14,083	4,997	2,41,746
Coimbatore -	177	20,116	76	4,301	23	850	2,990	1,22,540	1,297	28,984	11	491	90	4,328	4,764	1,81,610
Trichinopoly -	79	15,958	72	10,677	53	19,889	2,110	70,616	776	8,367	432	6,720	239	16,269	3,761	1,48,496
Combaconum -	537	1,42,202	263	27,241	2	52	6,223	4,71,473	260	13,567	17	1,144	2,910	1,20,815	10,311	7,76,494
Madura -	199	1,10,501	64	7,336	26	2,207	2,407	2,31,389	587	21,068	31	13,330	97	15,251	3,411	4,00,982
Tinnevely -	220	71,053	93	6,270	5	121	1,398	1,33,540	749	25,466	24	2,289	114	42,055	2,613	2,52,804
Tellicherry -	813	61,610	14	2,298	58	9,659	1,814	1,24,973	145	16,125	9	1,961	7	918	2,860	2,17,543
Calicut -	1,149	1,04,854	78	6,301	205	10,252	2,418	2,13,959	997	53,778	3	276	1	26	5,041	3,89,466
Mangalore -	633	1,27,356	62	5,030	95	6,826	2,443	2,14,325	290	14,954	14	894	42	12,516	3,569	3,81,911
Honore -	170	95,446	13	862	41	2,769	2,167	1,20,437	367	18,473	9	462	73	2,275	2,540	2,41,424
Fractions -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	58
Total -	4,742	9,71,473	1,606	2,27,592	1,239	86,931	50,786	32,26,613	13,306	4,86,559	755	56,959	5,994	4,25,868	78,427	54,82,053
Agencies.																
Ganjam -																
Vizagapatam -																
Kurnool -																
Total.																
Grand Total -	4,742	9,71,473	1,606	2,27,592	1,239	86,931	50,786	32,26,613	13,306	4,86,559	755	56,959	5,994	4,25,868	78,427	54,82,053

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849 -	4,502	7,22,406	1,503	1,43,719	854	66,786	45,768	29,07,292	11,235	4,96,339	317	52,441	6,255	3,24,088	70,434	47,14,835
In 1850 -	4,742	9,71,473	1,606	2,27,592	1,239	86,931	50,786	32,26,613	13,306	4,86,559	755	56,959	5,994	4,25,868	78,427	54,82,053
Increase -	240	2,49,067	103	83,873	385	20,145	5,018	3,18,321	2,071	—	438	4,518	—	1,01,780	* 7,993	7,67,218
Decrease -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	8,780	—	—	261	—	—	—

Memorandum showing the Description of Litigants.			
	Plaintiffs.	Defendants.	Amount.
			Ra. A. P.
Zemindars -	8,036	11,899	7,32,598 7 1
Renters -	3,294	3,462	2,10,781 9 3
Ryots -	21,714	83,621	11,44,064 4 3½
Merchants, &c. -	82,777	60,373	33,94,809 1 0½
Total -	85,870	1,20,355	34,82,252 8 8

Civil Judges -	25
Subordinate Judge and Principal Sudder Ameer -	3
Sudder Ameer -	1,847
District Moonsiff -	4,698
District Panchayets -	3
Village Moonsiff -	1,436
	8,008
Deduct Decrease in Village Panchayets -	15
	7,993
* Net Increase -	7,993

Sudder Udalur, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(B.)

GENERAL.

TABLE No. 2.—Showing the Character of the Litigation in the several Zillahs as drawn from the Results of Suits brought to Final Hearing.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5. *	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Total Number of Original Suits decreed.	For Plaintiffs.	For Defendants.	Total Number of Appeals decreed.	For Appellants.	For Respondents.	Percentage of Columns 3 to 2.	Percentage of Columns 6 to 5.
Chicasole - - - -	1,031	854	177	188	96	92	82	51
Rajahmundry † - -	1,167	872	295	92	45	47	74	48
Masulipatam - - -	916	799	117	45	15	30	87	33
Guntoor - - - -	938	817	121	61	19	42	87	31
Cuddapah - - - -	2,904	2,760	144	87	43	44	95	49
Bellary - - - -	1,950	1,702	248	100	54	46	87	54
Nellore - - - -	880	782	98	71	41	30	88	5
Chittoor - - - -	2,038	1,812	226	166	75	91	88	45
Chingleput - - - -	784	668	116	97	43	54	85	44
Cuddalore - - - -	1,534	1,375	159	76	30	46	89	39
Salem - - - -	1,886	1,623	263	99	51	48	86	51
Coimbatore - - - -	1,806	1,549	257	111	62	49	85	55
Trichinopoly - - -	1,580	1,367	213	78	23	55	86	29
Combaconum - - -	2,448	2,101	347	200	75	125	85	37
Madura - - - -	777	632	145	87	33	54	81	37
Tinnevely - - - -	724	510	214	110	54	56	70	49
Tellicherry - - - -	1,288	1,038	250	270	146	124	80	54
Calicut - - - -	2,590	2,400	190	169	68	101	92	40
Mangalore - - - -	1,912	1,572	340	293	120	173	82	40
Honore - - - -	1,424	1,201	223	94	35	59	83	37
Total -	30,577	26,434	4,143	* 2,494	1,128	1,366	86	45
Agencies.								
Ganjam - - - -	275	232	43	73	25	48	84	34
Vizagapatam - - -	762	665	97	45	21	24	87	46
Kurnool - - - -	128	113	15	9	4	5	88	44
Total -	1,165	1,010	155	127	50	77	86	39
Grand Total -	31,742	27,444	4,298	2,621	1,178	1,443	86	44
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.								
In 1849 - - - -	27,524	23,426	4,098	2,568	1,165	1,403	85	45
In 1850 - - - -	31,742	27,444	4,298	2,621	1,178	1,443	86	44
Increase - - - -	† 4,218	4,018	200	53	13	40	1	—
Decrease - - - -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1

* Including 14 Suits from Decrees of Collectors.

† Village Moonsiffs, Village and District Panchayets are included for 1850 and have contributed 3,598 to this Increase.

Sudder Udalt, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(C.)

GENERAL.

TABLE No. 3.—Showing the Duration of Original and Appeal Suits in the several Districts, comparing also the Time taken in carrying a Suit to final Judgment in Appeal, and the Costs of Suit and Delay, in 1842 and 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
Zillahs.	The average Duration of each Suit disposed of in 1850 upon the File.		The average Duration of Appeal Suits disposed of calculated from the Date of the original Plaintiff to that of the Decree in Appeal.		Costs and Delay of 1850 as compared with 1842.	
	Original.	Appeal.	In 1842.	In 1850.	Costs.	Delay.
	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.		
Chicacole - - -	0 9 23	0 7 8	2 2 23	2 3 12	Less - -	Greater.
Rajahmundry - - -	1 2 14	0 10 7	2 3 3	2 5 7	Greater - -	Greater.
Masulipatam - - -	1 5 23	0 4 29	2 9 13	1 8 24	Less - -	Less.
Guntoor - - -	0 9 2	0 5 12	0 11 6	1 2 18	Greater - -	Greater.
Cuddapah - - -	0 9 14	2 5 9	3 11 24	3 2 29	Less - -	Less.
Bellaree - - -	0 3 9	0 1 17	1 0 21	1 0 23	Greater - -	Greater.
Nellore - - -	1 8 9	0 5 6	1 3 19	1 8 0	Greater - -	Greater.
Chittoor - - -	1 4 20	2 2 23	2 3 22	2 8 1	Same - -	Greater.
Chingleput - - -	0 7 7	0 7 7	1 9 6	2 2 28	Less - -	Greater.
Cuddalore - - -	1 0 3	1 1 8	2 6 24	2 6 2	Same - -	Less.
Salem - - -	1 0 26	0 4 24	1 10 27	1 8 11	Less - -	Less.
Coimbatore - - -	0 3 24	2 1 4	1 2 7	1 3 17	Less - -	Greater.
Trichinopoly - - -	0 10 2	1 2 15	2 2 28	1 9 0	Greater - -	Less.
Combacoanum - - -	0 11 21	1 4 19	1 4 15	2 3 26	Greater - -	Greater.
Madura - - -	1 0 2	0 6 0	3 2 4	1 8 4	Less - -	Less.
Tinnevely - - -	1 3 26	1 4 21	3 5 5	2 7 14	Greater - -	Less.
Tellicherry - - -	3 0 18	1 7 21	4 2 0	3 4 4	Same - -	Less.
Calicut - - -	0 8 8	0 8 3	2 11 21	2 0 17	Less - -	Less.
Mangalore - - -	1 1 18	0 8 29	2 7 20	2 4 24	Greater - -	Less.
Honore - - -	1 8 26	2 1 3	2 0 23	3 11 17	Greater - -	Greater.
Total -	1 1 8	1 0 25	2 3 24	2 2 16	Greater - -	Less.
Agencies.						
Ganjam - - -	1 9 16	3 1 8	1 10 11	3 7 11	Greater - -	Greater.
Vizagapatam - - -	1 3 20	1 6 29	1 8 19	3 8 1	Greater - -	Greater.
Kurnool - - -	0 3 2	0 5 14	No Court.	0 10 7	—	—
Total -	0 11 12	1 8 17	1 9 15	2 8 16	Greater - -	Greater.
Grand Total -	1 0 10	1 4 21	2 0 19	2 5 16	Greater - -	Greater.
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT excluding Agencies for which there are no Returns for 1849.						
In 1849 - - -	0 11 22	0 10 15	1 8 3	1 7 0	Greater - -	Less.
In 1850 - - -	1 1 8	1 0 25	2 3 24	2 2 16	Greater - -	Less.
Increase - - -	0 1 16	0 2 10	*0 7 21	0 7 16	—	—
Decrease - - -	—	—	—	—	—	—

* It was discovered that the Averages had been incorrectly calculated in various Zillahs and hence this Increase.

Note.—The Average has been struck upon the whole Number of Suits decreed.

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(E.)

VILLAGE PUNCHAYETS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Work performed in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Zillahs.	Regular.										
	Suits.										
	Pending and referred.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeenamah with or without Decree.	Dismissed.	Total.	Pending.				Gross Value of Suits.	
						Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.	Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.
Chicacole -	1	—	—	1	1	—	—	—	—	432	—
Rajahmundry -	6	1	—	—	1	1	1	3	5	17	7,005
Masulipatam.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Guntoor -	8	1	2	—	3	5	—	—	5	396	958
Cuddapah -	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	91
Bellary -	4	3	—	—	3	1	—	—	1	135	23
Nellore.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chittor -	3	—	—	1	1	—	2	—	2	445	1,910
Chingleput -	3	—	1	2	3	—	—	—	—	144	—
Cuddalore -	1	1	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	1,362	—
Salem.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coimbatore.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Trichinopoly.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Combaconum.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madura.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tinnevely -	2	—	1	—	1	1	—	—	1	13	75
Tellicherry.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Calicut.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mangalore -	6	1	—	—	1	—	—	5	5	39	14
Honore.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total -	35	7	4	4	15	8	3	9	20	2,983	10,076
Agencies.											
Ganjam.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vizagapatam.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kurnool.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Total -	35	7	4	4	15	8	3	9	20	2,983	10,076

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849 -	45	13	6	5	24	8	5	8	21	5,177	9,653
In 1850 -	35	7	4	4	15	8	3	9	20	2,983	10,076
Increase -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	423
Decrease -	10	6	2	1	9	—	2	—	1	2,194	—

DETAIL OF COLUMN 3.

Total.	In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.
7	7	—

DETAIL OF COLUMN 9.

	Of 1843.	1844.	1846.	1848.	Total.	Delay accounted for by the State of the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactorily accounted for.
Rajahmundry -	—	—	1	2	3	2	1
Cuddapah -	—	—	1	—	1	—	1
Mangalore -	1	4	—	—	5	5	—
Grand Total	1	4	2	2	9	7	2

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(F.)

VILLAGE MOONSIFFS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Work performed in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Regular.											
Suits.											
Zillahs.	Pending and instituted.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeenamah with or without Decree.	Dismissed.	Total.	Pending.				Gross Value of Suits.	
						Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.	Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.
Chicacole	78	15	7	17	39	13	3	23	39	306	195
Rajahmundry	769	109	176	122	407	211	94	57	362	2,961	2,179
Masulipatam	* 761	83	346	132	561	193	1	1	200	1,965	737
Guntoor	963	362	336	61	759	163	31	10	204	4,637	1,312
Cuddapah	88	18	16	16	50	4	15	19	38	210	245
Bellary	68	12	6	24	42	13	9	4	26	331	141
Nellore	370	167	53	35	255	93	21	1	115	1,277	712
Chittoor	1,196	335	360	197	892	262	41	1	304	7,183	2,550
Chingleput	219	68	70	39	177	39	3	—	42	825	225
Cuddalore	1,725	433	416	502	1,351	374	—	—	374	7,242	2,291
Salem	254	136	63	55	254	—	—	—	—	1,438	—
Coimbatore	† 901	165	317	247	729	172	—	—	172	3,341	799
Trichinopoly	‡ 1,901	681	348	471	1,500	395	6	—	401	6,277	2,079
Combaconum	§ 3,307	443	1,272	691	2,406	863	38	—	901	12,171	4,766
Madura	223	53	62	77	192	23	—	8	31	1,209	304
Tinnevelly	503	168	166	112	446	56	1	—	57	2,940	358
Tellicherry	32	8	16	8	32	—	—	—	—	180	—
Calicut	564	190	44	190	424	95	39	6	140	2,641	1,297
Mangalore	397	74	71	84	229	96	72	—	168	2,155	851
Honore	413	63	37	110	215	134	56	8	198	1,423	1,475
Total	14,732	3,583	4,182	3,190	10,960	3,204	430	138	3,772	60,112	22,416
Agencies.											
Ganjaun.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vizagapatam.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kurnool.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Total	14,732	3,583	4,182	3,190	10,960	3,204	430	138	3,772	60,112	22,416

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	12,328	2,862	3,573	2,268	8,703	2,921	458	246	3,625	45,304	21,072
In 1850	14,732	3,583	4,182	3,190	10,960	3,204	430	138	3,772	60,112	22,416
Increase	2,404	721	609	922	2,257	283	—	—	147	14,808	1,344
Decrease	—	—	—	—	—	—	28	108	—	—	—

* 529 Increase.

† 317 Increase.

‡ 634 Increase.

§ 562 Increase.

DETAIL OF COLUMN 3.

Total.	In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.
3,583	3,257	331

DETAIL OF COLUMN 9.

	Of 1844.	1845.	1846.	1847.	1848.	Total.	Delay accounted for by the State of the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactorily accounted for.
Chicacole	—	—	—	7	16	23	23	—
Rajahmundry	—	—	—	9	48	57	57	—
Masulipatam	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	—
Guntoor	—	—	—	—	10	10	1	9
Cuddapah	6	7	2	1	3	19	—	19
Bellary	—	—	—	—	4	4	—	4
Nellore	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	—
Chittoor	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	—
Madura	—	—	8	—	—	8	—	8
Calicut	—	—	—	5	1	6	6	—
Honore	—	—	—	—	8	8	8	—
Grand Total	6	7	10	22	93	138	98	40

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office, }
8th December 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(G.)

DISTRICT PUNCHAYETS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Work performed in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Zillahs.	Regular.										
	Suits.										
	Pending and referred.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeenamah with or without Decree.	Dismissed.	Total.	Pending.				Gross Value of Suits.	
Under 1 Year.						Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.	Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.	
Chicacole.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rajahmundry.	1	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	2,400
Masulipatam.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Guntoor.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cuddapah.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bellary.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nellore.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chittoor.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chingleput.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cuddalore.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Salem.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coimbatore.	1	—	—	1	1	—	—	—	—	1,200	—
Trichinopoly.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Combaconum.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madura.	3	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	3	—	929
Tinnevelly.	3	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	3	—	209
Tellicherry.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Calicut.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mangalore.	9	3	—	3	6	1	—	2	3	255	441
Honore.	3	—	2	—	2	1	—	—	1	11	2
Total.	20	3	2	4	9	5	2	4	11	1,466	3,981
Agencies.											
Ganjam.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vizagapatam.	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	300
Kurnool.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total.	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	300
Grand Total.	21	3	2	4	9	5	2	5	12	1,466	4,281
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.											
In 1849.	24	3	3	6	11	3	2	8	13	442	2,121
In 1850.	21	3	2	4	9	5	2	5	12	1,466	4,281
Increase.	—	1	—	—	—	2	—	—	—	1,024	2,160
Decrease.	3	—	1	2	2	—	—	3	1	—	—

DETAIL OF COLUMN 3.

Total.	In favour of Plaintiffs.	In favour of Defendants.
3	2	1

DETAIL OF COLUMN 9.

	Of 1846.	1847.	1848.	Total.	Delay accounted for by the State of the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactory accounted for
Madura.	—	1	—	1	—	1
Tinnevelly.	—	—	1	1	—	1
Mangalore.	1	—	1	2	2	—
Grand Total.	1	1	2	4	2	2

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office, }
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. DEAUCHAMP,
Register

(H.)

DISTRICT MOONSIFFS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the regular and miscellaneous Work performed in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Zillahs.	Number of Mooniffs.	Regular.										Miscellaneous.		
		Suits.										Petitions Disposed of.	Applications for Execution of Decrees.	
		Pending, instituted, and referred.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Raecenamah with and without Decree.	Dismissed.		Called up or otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending.					
					For Default.	Under Act X VII. of 1848.			Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.	Applications disposed of during the Year.	
Chicacole	3	1,761	663	341	65	148	5	1,222	506	29	4	539	852	903
Rajahmundry	4	3,648	742	649	39	250	221	1,901	670	42	35	747	8,332	841
Manubpatam	3	1,850	659	394	10	172	5	1,291	352	12	5	369	6,265	1,046
Chingleput	4	1,613	455	761	3	139	3	1,361	252				2,802	1,035
Cuddapah	8	8,327	2,261	1,653	105	1,095	36	8,150	2,632	535	10	3,177	4,934	4,554
Bellary	7	5,096	1,463	922	291	1,131	2	3,809	1,132	137	18	1,287	4,058	3,285
Nellore	4	2,654	457	1,043	42	501	75	2,158	446	8	1	455	2,932	1,255
Chittoor	5	4,324	1,307	933	138	667	6	3,049	1,147	113	15	1,275	4,538	2,677
Chingleput	3	1,965	500	359	110	217	15	1,501	676	75	13	764	2,767	661
Cuddalore	4	3,261	827	849	36	703	3	2,418	799	25	19	843	2,600	1,297
Salem	6	5,475	1,439	1,190	79	866	197	3,771	1,615	66	23	1,704	4,793	4,033
Combatore	7	4,317	1,379	867	49	962	112	3,369	965	18	21	948	4,154	4,347
Trichinopoly	3	2,064	678	581	24	439	10	1,732	329	3		332	3,466	743
Combaconam	6	7,822	1,431	2,110	196	963	402	5,109	2,678	131	11	2,720	10,155	1,961
Madura	3	3,045	430	902	349	346	263	2,320	669	38	9	716	3,662	649
Tinnevely	3	2,046	408	907	11	96	5	1,427	595	24		619	3,370	451
Tellicherry	5	3,102	988	70	213	358	130	1,769	1,966	148	99	1,313	3,632	1,224
Calicut	9	6,350	1,929	843	475	803	65	3,914	2,350	687	199	3,106	7,943	2,875
Mangalore	6	5,569	1,308	860	198	152	60	2,578	1,857	945	189	2,991	5,227	1,099
Honore	5	5,748	1,051	411	71	287	31	1,651	1,331	1,001	1,365	3,897	2,770	7,695
Total	98	79,446	20,424	16,384	2,502	10,365	16,647	51,322	22,151	3,537	2,036	28,124	89,742	43,271
Agencies.														
Ganjam	2	932	268	147	47	161	3	626	269	35	2	306	241	
Vizagapatam	3	2,559	712	450	67	305	29	1,563	874	93	29	996	1,038	
Kurnool	2	213	66	60	9	30		155	49	6	3	58	70	
Total	7	3,704	1,046	657	123	486	32	2,344	1,192	134	34	1,360	1,349	
Grand Total	105	83,150	21,470	17,041	2,625	10,851	1,679	53,666	23,343	4,071	2,070	29,484	91,091	43,271
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.														
In 1849	105	85,992	21,573	17,050	8,034	7,639	1,240	55,550	22,870	5,730	1,842	30,442	70,486	58,222
In 1850	105	83,150	21,470	17,041	2,625	10,851	1,679	53,666	23,343	4,071	2,070	29,484	91,091	43,271
Increase						3,212	439		473		228		20,605	
Decrease		2,842	103	19	5,413			1,884		1,659		958		14,951

DETAIL OF COLUMN 12.

	Of 1838.	1839.	1840.	1842.	1843.	1844.	1845.	1846.	1847.	1848.	Total.	Remanded within the Year.	Delay accounted for by the State of the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactorily accounted for.
Chicacole									2	2	4		4	
Rajahmundry							1		1	33	35	1	23	11
Manubpatam									1	4	5		4	
Cuddapah									3	7	10		6	
Bellary									1	16	18		6	18
Nellore									1	1	1		1	
Chittoor									2	13	15	1		14
Chingleput										13	13			13
Cuddalore	1				1	2	4	5	6	19			3	*16
Salem								2	13	8	23		12	11
Combatore							1	1	8	17	21	12	9	
Combaconam									1	5	11		8	
Madura		1					2	1	2	3	9		8	5
Tellicherry		1		1		3	18	17	18	41	99			99
Calicut									6	103	199		196	3
Mangalore									2	10	176		149	
Honore									3	577	785		1,365	
Grand Total	1	1	1	1	1	5	22	31	649	1,224	2,036	20	1,826	190

* Suits remanded in former Years, but erroneously included from the Returns.

DETAIL OF COLUMN 4.

Total.	In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.
21,470	18,862	2,588

DETAIL OF COLUMN 15.

Fully executed.	Adjusted by the Parties.	Struck off the File for Want of Asses, &c.	
		Partially executed.	Without Enforcement.
14,961	4,614	6,430	17,357

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(I.)
DISTRICT MOONSIFFS.

TABLE No. 2.—Showing the Proportion per Cent. and Result of Appeals from Decrees of District Mooniffs in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.
Zillahs.	Number of appealable Decrees passed within the Year.	Number appealed within the Year.	Affirmed by the Appellate Courts within the Year.			Reversed or modified by the Appellate Courts within the Year.			Remanded by the Appellate Courts within the Year.			Otherwise disposed of by the Appellate Courts within the Year.	Proportion of Column 3 to Column 2.	Proportion of Column 6 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Courts within the Year.	Proportion of Column 9 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Courts within the Year.	Proportion of Column 12 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Courts within the Year.
			By the Civil Judge.	By the Subordinate Judge or Principal Sudder Ameen.	Total.	By the Civil Judge.	By the Subordinate Judge or Principal Sudder Ameen.	Total.	By the Civil Judge.	By the Subordinate Judge or Principal Sudder Ameen.	Total.					
Chiccole	325	80	27	9	36	20	3	23	—	1	1	4	24	56	35	1
Rajahmundry	268	49	15	—	15	12	—	12	1	—	1	—	18	53	42	3
Masulipatam	358	25	17	—	17	6	—	6	2	—	2	—	6	68	24	8
Guntur	251	31	20	—	20	11	—	11	—	—	—	—	12	64	35	—
Cuddipah	1,153	39	22	5	27	9	5	14	8	—	8	1	3	54	28	16
Bellary	716	44	18	—	18	17	—	17	7	—	7	1	6	41	39	16
Nelore	260	31	10	5	15	4	5	9	4	5	9	—	11	45	27	27
Chittoor	755	120	26	34	60	10	24	34	5	2	7	11	15	53	30	6
Chingleput	283	47	11	8	19	10	13	23	1	—	1	2	16	42	51	2
Cuddalore	506	65	25	—	25	6	—	6	4	—	4	1	12	69	16	11
Salem	844	82	29	—	29	12	—	12	18	—	18	1	9	48	20	30
Coimbatore	595	89	21	18	39	10	9	19	6	14	20	2	14	48	23	25
Trichinopoly	344	76	1	26	27	—	6	6	1	3	4	2	22	69	15	10
Combaconum	951	218	13	52	65	8	17	25	—	6	6	7	23	63	24	5
Madura	253	37	23	9	32	8	5	13	1	—	1	—	14	69	28	2
Tinnevely	231	60	25	7	32	19	—	19	7	1	8	5	25	50	29	12
Tellicherry	664	212	49	65	114	15	38	53	27	53	80	14	31	43	20	30
Calcut	1,169	158	4	51	55	—	12	12	2	8	10	4	13	67	14	12
Mangalore	771	123	42	47	89	18	13	31	8	10	18	10	15	60	20	12
Honore	543	83	15	—	15	16	—	16	2	—	2	2	15	42	45	5
Total	11,240	1,667	413	336	749	211	150	361	104	103	207	67	14	54	26	14
Agencies.																
Ganjam	268	53	13	22	35	4	10	14	2	5	7	20	19	46	18	9
Vizagapatam	297	61	1	14	15	—	16	16	—	4	4	6	20	36	39	9
Kurnool	66	—	1	—	1	—	—	—	1	—	1	—	—	50	—	50
Total	631	114	15	36	51	4	26	30	3	9	12	26	18	42	25	10
Grand Total	11,871	1,781	428	372	800	215	176	391	107	112	219	93	15	53	26	14

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	11,381	1,428	327	436	763	173	200	373	100	114	214	74	12	53	26	15
In 1850	11,871	1,781	428	372	800	215	176	391	107	112	219	93	15	53	26	14
Increase	490	353	101	—	37	42	—	18	7	—	5	19	3	—	—	—
Decrease	—	—	—	64	—	—	24	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	1

Sudder Uddur, Register's Office,
4th December 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(J.)
DISTRICT MOONSIFFS.

TABLE No. 3.—Classifying the Suits disposed of according to the Value of the Property in Litigation; showing also the Value in Issue in Suits disposed of and pending, the average Duration of Suits, and the Proportion per Cent. the Sum recovered under Applications for the Execution of Decrees bore to the Sum due.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.		9.			13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.													
							Zillabs.	From 500 to 1,000.	From 400 to 500.	From 300 to 400.	From 200 to 300.							From 100 to 200.	Under 100 Rupees.	Gross Value of Suits.		Average Duration of Suits.			Amount recoverable under Applications pending and filed during the Year.	Amount recovered during the Year.	Amount due under Application struck off the File after partial Enforcement and without Enforcement.	Amount recoverable on Applications pending at the Close of the Year.	Proportion of Column 14 to 15.	Proportion of Column 15 to 13.
																				Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.	r.	m.	d.						
Chicacole -	8	5	13	16	61	1,114	43,191	24,745	0	7	3	69,668	10,645	9,655	40,368	28	13													
Rajahmundry -	2	—	14	20	101	1,543	50,727	40,505	0	11	10	37,875	11,417	10,241	16,217	30	27													
Masulipatam -	9	3	11	16	65	1,171	48,182	22,649	1	0	8	74,515	22,092	14,304	38,119	29	19													
Guntoor -	4	1	2	11	61	1,279	44,117	10,289	0	1	28	51,272	24,106	14,445	12,721	47	28													
Cuddapah -	8	3	11	30	228	4,834	1,56,454	1,37,486	0	7	20	2,54,831	86,401	95,087	73,343	33	37													
Bellary -	15	12	11	51	483	3,235	1,21,754	50,946	0	5	12	2,03,160	45,990	1,06,087	51,083	22	52													
Nellore -	11	37	41	97	404	1,493	67,553	21,350	0	2	24	1,05,508	28,498	21,682	55,328	27	20													
Chittoor -	25	20	12	45	325	2,616	1,25,123	79,887	0	6	17	2,28,449	61,625	80,610	86,208	26	35													
Chingleput -	10	3	7	24	61	1,081	48,915	42,473	0	4	28	33,715	23,853	5,986	3,876	70	17													
Cuddalore -	13	11	12	31	561	1,787	91,513	48,322	0	5	9	1,16,413	39,727	19,581	57,105	34	16													
Salem -	10	2	4	16	224	3,318	96,508	76,055	0	6	16	1,51,006	53,250	72,731	23,025	36	47													
Coimbatore -	13	4	7	30	131	3,072	93,513	40,820	0	3	12	1,64,065	56,655	74,441	32,969	34	45													
Trichinopoly -	8	3	6	28	64	1,613	56,473	16,189	0	3	15	40,925	15,450	2,609	22,866	37	6													
Combaconum -	72	25	25	128	536	3,914	2,53,672	2,11,490	0	8	25	1,46,123	76,879	14,570	54,674	52	9													
Madura -	30	6	8	32	136	1,864	95,227	55,092	0	3	19	57,741	25,264	2,952	29,525	43	5													
Tinnevely -	20	12	9	34	115	1,232	67,990	42,159	0	6	10	31,065	16,165	5,811	9,089	52	18													
Tellicherry -	13	3	6	30	281	1,326	77,425	62,475	0	7	7	1,42,005	35,544	32,950	73,511	25	23													
Calicut -	15	11	14	74	185	3,450	1,49,368	1,66,248	0	11	6	3,44,501	76,420	56,831	2,11,250	22	16													
Mangalore -	19	11	37	73	427	1,951	1,12,399	1,64,057	1	0	17	2,42,069	47,005	49,244	1,45,820	19	20													
Honore -	12	5	5	28	101	1,669	71,396	1,87,398	1	11	24	3,54,256	1,16,953	1,77,713	59,590	33	50													
Total	317	177	255	814	4,550	43,562	18,76,500	15,00,635	0	7	18	28,49,156	8,84,939	8,67,530	10,96,687	31	30													
Agencies.																														
Ganjam -	—	—	2	8	42	571	—	14,737	0	4	16																			
Vizagapatam -	—	—	—	23	56	1,455	—	39,264	0	7	15																			
Kurnool -	1	1	—	2	9	142	—	3,405	0	4	12																			
Total	1	1	2	33	107	2,168	No Returns.	57,405	0	5	14																			
Grand Total	318	178	257	847	4,657	45,730	18,76,500	15,58,040	0	6	16	28,49,156	8,84,939	8,67,530	10,96,687	31	30													
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																														
In 1849 -	264	145	273	1,032	4,887	47,700	17,39,020	15,00,801	0	7	3	39,36,519	10,10,427	13,15,340	16,10,752	25	33													
In 1850 -	318	178	257	847	4,657	45,730	18,76,500	15,58,040	0	6	16	28,49,156	8,84,939	8,67,530	10,96,687	31	30													
Increase -	54	33	—	—	—	—	1,37,480	57,239	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	6	—													
Decrease -	—	—	16	185	230	1,979	—	—	0	0	17	10,87,363	1,25,488	4,47,810	5,14,065	—	3													

Sudder Udalt, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(701D)

3 E 3

(401)

(K.)

SUDDER AMEENS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the regular and miscellaneous Work performed in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Zillah.	Number of Sudder Ameens.	Regular.											Miscellaneous.	
		Suits.											Petitions.	Applications for Execution of Decrees.
		Pending, instituted, and referred.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeenamah with and without Decree.	Dismissed.		Called up or otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending.					
					For Default.	Under Act XVII. of 1848.			Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.		
Itchapoor	1	417	150	64	36	46	1	299	108	8	2	118	395	150
Chicacole	1	184	88	28	9	15	1	141	39	3	1	43	174	68
Rajahmundry	2	970	241	249	28	107	72	697	237	35	1	273	1,341	91
Masulipatam	1	456	128	100	24	56	7	315	113	24	4	141	921	163
Guntoor	1	316	114	114	12	13	—	253	63	—	—	63	496	141
Cuddapah	3	1,634	506	267	65	204	9	1,051	455	79	9	583	798	1,081
Bellary	2	602	279	101	33	112	1	626	76	—	—	76	597	418
Nellore	1	698	132	136	26	75	4	373	235	35	25	295	793	143
Chittoor	2	1,279	339	237	42	184	26	827	398	48	16	452	1,174	483
Chingleput	1	495	114	101	18	53	4	290	156	10	—	175	427	149
Cuddalore	1	533	136	97	19	78	2	332	154	39	7	201	413	97
Salem	2	920	228	130	103	133	15	609	267	19	25	311	585	133
Combatoor	1	473	149	75	25	107	4	361	98	11	3	112	225	150
Trichinopoly	1	517	105	102	9	55	—	271	160	63	3	246	526	34
Combacoum	3	2,986	495	439	67	202	202	1,405	1,211	267	23	1,501	1,546	273
Madura	2	596	221	256	80	80	5	642	278	28	8	314	1,056	224
Tinnevely	1	406	65	188	11	84	1	280	123	3	—	126	450	83
Tellicherry	1	737	201	12	66	84	61	425	292	16	14	312	509	53
Calicut	2	1,848	398	55	110	124	30	718	819	266	45	1,130	1,503	164
Mangalore	3	1,278	506	219	115	72	30	942	632	296	108	1,036	2,535	267
Srey	1	706	132	89	6	70	1	298	293	120	5	418	433	184
Honore	1	1,180	172	61	14	52	—	299	534	283	64	881	213	140
Total	34	20,152	4,968	3,133	918	1,939	496	11,354	6,791	1,644	363	8,798	17,410	4,803
Town Kasree.														
Kurnool	1	170	61	52	13	14	—	140	30	—	—	30	122	No Returns.
Grand Total	35	20,322	4,929	3,185	931	1,953	496	11,494	6,821	1,644	363	8,828	17,532	4,803
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.														
In 1849	35	18,735	4,425	3,424	1,366	1,464	425	11,104	5,697	1,408	544	7,691	15,840	5,436
In 1850	35	20,322	4,929	3,185	931	1,953	496	11,494	6,821	1,644	363	8,828	17,532	4,803
Increase	—	1,587	504	—	—	489	71	390	1,124	236	—	1,137	—	—
Decrease	—	—	—	239	435	—	—	—	—	—	181	—	—	633

DETAIL of COLUMN 12.

	Of 1841.	1843.	1844.	1845.	1846.	1847.	1848.	Total.	Remanded within the Year.	Delay accounted for by the State of the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactorily accounted for.
Itchapoor	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	2	—	1	1
Chicacole	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	1	—	—
Rajahmundry	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	—	—
Masulipatam	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	4	—	—	4
Cuddapah	—	—	—	—	—	1	8	9	4	—	5
Nellore	—	—	—	1	2	—	22	25	8	4	13
Chittoor	—	—	—	1	—	4	11	16	5	2	9
Cuddalore	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	7	3	—	4
Salem	1	1	1	2	1	4	10	25	11	1	13
Combatoor	—	—	—	—	1	—	2	3	2	1	3
Trichinopoly	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	3	—	—	3
Combacoum	—	1	—	—	—	—	19	23	6	17	—
Madura	—	1	—	1	1	—	3	5	4	4	—
Tellicherry	—	—	—	—	—	11	3	14	—	14	—
Calicut	—	—	—	1	—	6	38	45	—	30	15
Mangalore	—	—	—	—	3	30	85	108	8	61	39
Srey	—	—	—	—	3	1	1	5	3	3	7
Honore	—	—	—	—	1	11	52	64	8	43	—
Grand Total	1	3	1	6	14	73	265	393	69	188	113

DETAIL OF COLUMN 4.

Total.	In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.
4,929	3,909	937

DETAIL OF COLUMN 15.

Fully executed.	Adjusted by the Parties.	Struck off the File for Want of Assets, &c.	
		Partially executed.	Without Enforcement.
2,041	619	501	1,639

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office,
6th December 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(L.)

SUDDER AMEENS.

TABLE No. 2.—Showing the Proportion, per Cent., and Result of Appeals from Decrees of Sudder Ameens in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Zillahs.	Number of Appealable Decrees passed within the Year.	Number appealed within the Year.	Affirmed by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Reversed or modified by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Remanded by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Otherwise disposed of by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 3 to Column 2.	Proportion of Column 4 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 5 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 6 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.
Itchapore	150	20	15	9	2	2	13	53	32	7
Chicacole	88	47	28	28	9	—	53	43	43	13
Rajahmundry	241	52	19	23	—	3	21	42	51	—
Masulipatam	128	15	8	3	2	2	11	53	20	13
Guntoor	114	21	22	8	—	—	18	73	26	—
Cuddapah	506	41	13	16	3	6	71	34	42	7
Bellary	279	31	17	10	2	4	11	51	30	6
Nellore	132	26	12	4	14	—	19	40	13	46
Chittoor	338	78	26	21	9	10	23	39	31	13
Chingleput	114	32	14	11	2	2	28	48	37	6
Cuddalore	136	18	13	10	4	—	13	48	37	14
Salem	228	38	10	8	5	—	16	43	34	21
Coimbatore	149	41	6	14	2	1	27	26	60	8
Trichinopoly	105	52	15	7	4	4	49	50	23	13
Combaconum	495	155	57	35	7	6	31	54	33	6
Madura	221	25	16	8	2	—	11	61	30	7
Tinnevely	65	25	13	18	2	1	38	38	52	5
Tellicherry	201	54	2	5	—	4	26	18	45	—
Calicut	368	137	42	21	18	7	37	47	23	20
Mangalore	506	172	79	51	17	9	33	50	32	10
Honore	172	32	22	9	1	3	18	62	25	2
Sircy	132	10	10	5	1	1	24	58	29	5
Total	4,868	1,141	459	324	106	65	23	48	33	11
Kurnool	61	8	3	2	1	—	13	50	33	16
Grand Total	4,929	1,152	462	326	107	65	23	48	33	11
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.										
In 1849	4,425	986	440	298	123	60	22	47	32	13
In 1850	4,929	1,152	462	326	107	65	23	48	33	11
Increase	504	166	22	28	—	5	1	1	1	—
Decrease	—	—	—	—	16	—	—	—	—	2

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office,
6th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(110 h.)

SE 4

(403)

(M.)

SUDDER AMEENS.

TABLE No. 3.—Classifying the Suits disposed of according to the Value of the Property in Litigation; showing also the Value in Issue in Suits disposed of and pending, the average Duration of Suits, and the Proportion per Cent. the Sum recovered under Applications for the Execution of Decrees bore to the Sum due.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.
Zillahs.	From 1,000 to 2,500 Rupees.	From 500 to 1,000 Rupees.	From 400 to 500 Rupees.	From 300 to 400 Rupees.	From 200 to 300 Rupees.	From 100 to 200 Rupees.	Under 100 Rupees.	Gross Value of Suits.		Average Duration of Suits.			Decrees.					
								Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.	r.	m.	d.	Amount recoverable under Applications pending and filed during the Year.	Amount recovered during the Year.	Amount due under Applications struck off the File after partial Enforcement and without Enforcement.	Amount recoverable on Applications pending at the Close of the Year.	Proportion of Column 15 to 14.	Proportion of Column 16 to 14.
Itanagar -	1	1	—	3	7	14	272	11,819	9,427	0	5	6	17,140	5,880	7,189	4,071	34	41
Chittoor -	9	4	1	4	5	22	95	24,070	5,618	0	6	26	13,110	1,679	3,675	7,756	12	28
Bahamundry -	2	5	3	4	16	56	539	32,249	18,495	0	5	3	11,653	7,027	1,307	3,919	60	11
Masulipatam -	7	7	3	5	14	42	230	34,492	32,422	0	9	21	23,584	5,680	4,917	12,987	24	20
Guntur -	5	3	—	—	12	26	207	15,233	7,560	0	2	17	14,569	7,984	5,103	1,482	54	35
Cuddapah -	5	11	2	13	22	106	883	63,596	58,716	0	8	10	1,10,167	20,200	51,605	38,362	18	46
Elstary -	1	8	2	11	10	46	447	25,732	9,377	0	3	12	38,698	8,655	21,453	8,590	22	55
Nellore -	6	11	—	8	12	47	285	29,698	54,746	0	9	16	21,197	4,221	3,460	13,516	19	16
Chittoor -	11	12	5	14	25	87	647	70,050	50,329	0	8	12	74,098	22,716	13,111	38,271	30	17
Chingelput -	4	2	1	2	8	30	239	18,743	16,789	0	4	23	11,928	6,402	2,787	2,739	53	23
Cuddalore -	6	4	1	11	17	38	253	29,104	24,566	0	8	4	28,363	4,645	3,544	20,174	16	12
Salem -	6	14	2	7	24	88	453	49,593	40,425	0	7	5	14,563	9,201	—	5,362	63	—
Coimbatore -	—	2	2	2	5	27	319	14,552	11,676	0	3	21	20,183	6,859	5,467	7,857	33	27
Trichinopoly -	2	6	1	4	9	25	224	22,180	34,828	1	0	2	16,968	4,963	—	12,005	29	—
Combaconum -	35	44	8	16	79	153	868	1,36,832	2,54,648	1	2	15	81,762	42,320	7,223	36,719	51	3
Madura -	12	11	12	14	85	171	332	57,591	54,025	0	5	11	42,232	11,340	8,738	22,154	26	20
Tinnevely -	2	1	1	—	3	17	255	14,009	16,422	0	6	10	7,500	3,719	2,034	1,747	49	27
Telicheerry -	4	14	16	13	16	201	100	38,579	39,121	0	7	8	37,126	10,028	1,100	25,998	27	2
Calcut -	19	11	11	12	78	173	364	80,194	1,29,564	0	10	9	1,04,364	24,876	14,696	64,792	23	14
Mangalore -	28	32	9	21	63	291	468	1,19,586	2,21,097	1	6	19	95,672	36,688	6,617	52,367	38	6
Srey -	2	7	1	3	14	42	228	22,023	41,540	1	2	11	20,855	4,662	10,486	5,707	22	50
Honore -	5	9	—	3	6	49	227	21,067	82,839	1	5	21	23,987	9,968	2,101	11,918	41	8
Total -	172	219	81	170	530	1,751	7,935	9,30,992	12,14,230	0	8	18	8,29,719	2,59,713	1,72,113	3,97,893	31	20
Kurnool -	4	5	1	—	2	6	122	No Return	1,222	0	3	0	No Return.	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Total -	176	224	82	170	532	1,757	8,057	9,30,992	12,15,452	0	5	24	8,29,719	2,59,713	1,72,113	3,97,893	31	20
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																		
In 1849 -	171	249	98	175	442	1,492	8,052	8,98,548	10,93,521	0	7	0	8,98,653	2,75,827	2,08,529	4,14,297	30	23
In 1850 -	176	224	82	170	532	1,757	8,057	9,30,992	12,15,452	0	5	24	8,29,719	2,59,713	1,72,113	3,97,893	31	20
Increase -	5	—	—	—	90	265	5	32,444	1,21,931	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—
Decrease -	—	25	16	5	—	—	—	—	—	0	1	6	68,934	16,114	36,416	16,404	—	3

Sudder Udlat, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(N.)

SUBORDINATE JUDGES AND PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Work performed during, and the State of the Files at the End of 1850.

SUBORDINATE JUDGES AND

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Work performed during

	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
	Regular.											
	Original Suits.											
	Pending, instituted, and referred.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Kaseenamah with and without Decree.	Dismissed.		Called up or otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending.				Pending and referred.
				For Default.	Under Act XVII. of 1848.			Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.	
Subordinate Judges :—												
Rajahmundry	672	73	114	10	58	102	357	150	95	70	215	—
Cuddapah	833	119	51	10	27	—	207	113	13	—	126	49
Bellary	452	193	129	8	77	1	408	44	—	—	44	—
Chittoor	477	54	30	1	57	224	365	90	14	7	111	145
Salem	447	83	29	47	60	2	221	90	112	24	226	—
Combaconum	50	8	5	1	1	—	15	21	8	6	35	244
Madura	246	62	27	4	20	—	112	110	21	2	133	17
Calicut	103	18	3	4	9	9	43	45	9	6	60	202
Mangalore	191	18	1	1	1	165	186	3	2	—	5	108
Ditto Assistant Judge	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	210
Total	2,971	628	389	86	310	503	1,516	665	274	15	1,055	975
Principal Sudder Ameeris :—												
Vizagapatam	490	111	79	8	46	—	244	155	77	14	246	38
Nellore	523	123	54	12	29	201	418	93	6	6	105	16
Chingleput	378	102	54	24	14	3	197	120	82	9	181	41
Cochin	244	83	26	6	42	—	157	85	3	—	87	3
Cuddalore	700	137	102	16	79	18	352	249	109	50	408	—
Coimbatore	373	113	74	1	57	—	255	108	13	2	118	73
Trichinopoly	452	116	80	7	56	1	260	179	9	4	192	116
Tinnevely	533	82	202	15	11	30	341	179	71	2	252	30
Tellicherry	679	90	14	34	75	151	355	179	246	99	524	191
Tranquebar	422	69	142	26	87	—	324	167	—	1	168	—
Total	5,144	1,026	827	139	307	404	2,903	1,509	585	187	2,261	506
Total Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameeris	6,155	1,654	1,216	226	617	907	4,819	2,175	659	202	3,336	1,483
Assistants to the Agencies :—												
Ganjam	26	4	1	1	2	4	13	16	3	5	24	114
Vizagapatam	246	41	27	19	23	3	112	110	72	52	234	131
Kurnool	3	1	—	2	—	—	3	—	—	—	—	5
Total	246	46	28	22	24	7	127	126	75	57	258	250
Grand Total	6,540	1,700	1,244	247	641	914	4,946	2,301	934	359	3,694	1,733
COMPARATIVE												
In 1849	8,110	1,406	1,313	950	706	503	4,386	2,422	908	204	2,724	1,530
In 1850	6,540	1,700	1,244	247	641	914	4,946	2,301	934	359	3,694	1,733
Increase	—	306	—	—	136	331	560	—	121	64	—	203
Decrease	—	—	69	43	—	—	—	—	—	—	130	—

* The Civil Courts exercising the Functions of a Court constituted

DETAIL OF COLUMNS 11 and 22.

	Original.							Appeal.		Total.	Remanded within the Year.	Delay accounted for by the State or the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactorily accounted for.
	Of 1842.	1843.	1844.	1845.	1847.	1848.	1843.	1844.					
Rajahmundry	—	—	—	—	15	55	—	—	70	3	1	66	
Chittoor	—	—	—	—	1	6	—	3	10	—	1	9	
Salem	1	—	—	1	3	19	—	—	24	3	21	—	
Combaconum	—	—	—	—	1	5	—	—	6	—	—	6	
Madura	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	—	2	—	—	—	
Calicut	—	—	—	—	1	5	—	—	6	—	6	—	
Mangalore, Assistant Judge	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1	—	—	—	
Vizagapatam	—	—	—	—	1	13	—	—	14	—	8	6	
Nellore	—	—	—	—	—	6	—	—	6	—	—	6	
Chingleput	—	—	—	—	2	7	—	—	9	1	2	6	
Cuddalore	—	—	—	3	9	28	—	—	40	1	7	42	
Coimbatore	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	1	3	—	—	2	
Trichinopoly	—	—	—	—	3	2	—	—	5	—	—	4	
Tinnevely	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	2	—	—	—	
Tellicherry	—	1	3	1	3	10	51	—	69	—	91	8	
Tranquebar	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—	—	
Grand Total	1	1	3	2	6	47	242	1	4	307	11	141	

Sudder Adalat, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.

PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.

and the State of the Files at the End of 1850.

Regular.															Miscellaneous.					
Appeal Suits.															Petitions.					Applications for Execution of Decrees.
Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeenamah with and without Decree.	Dismissed.		Called up or otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending.				Pending and filed.	Disposed of.	Pending.			Applications disposed of during the Year.					
		For Default.	Under Act XVII. of 1848.			Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.			Under 6 Months.	Above 6 Months.	Total.						
10	—	—	—	30	49	—	—	—	—	1,081	936	125	20	145	54					
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	466	451	15	—	15	249					
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	774	770	4	—	4	272					
60	2	—	1	1	64	37	41	3	81	994	946	46	2	48	379					
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	578	578	5	—	5	126					
75	1	—	4	—	80	124	40	—	164	933	919	14	—	14	50					
14	—	—	—	—	14	3	—	—	3	473	457	16	—	16	34					
68	1	—	3	—	72	130	—	—	130	331	323	5	3	4	27					
70	2	1	—	3	76	32	—	—	32	959	894	63	2	60	99					
141	5	2	—	1	149	60	—	1	61	276	259	15	2	17	88					
438	11	3	8	44	504	286	81	4	471	6,865	6,528	308	29	337	1,273					
13	—	—	—	—	13	24	1	—	25	767	696	41	30	71	105					
15	—	—	—	—	15	1	—	—	1	511	458	43	10	53	10					
21	—	—	—	—	21	20	—	—	20	719	685	34	—	34	5					
3	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	—	—	269	257	12	—	12	79					
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,068	990	78	—	78	182					
41	1	—	—	—	42	14	16	1	31	672	657	15	—	15	143					
35	1	—	—	1	37	53	26	—	79	1,167	1,140	27	—	27	141					
8	—	—	—	—	8	22	—	—	22	1,716	1,691	21	4	25	—					
156	—	—	1	—	157	1	33	—	34	802	774	18	10	28	5					
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	244	232	11	1	12	79					
292	2	—	1	1	296	135	76	1	212	7,935	7,580	330	55	385	1,652					
720	13	3	9	45	800	521	157	5	683	14,800	14,106	698	84	782	2,380					
37	2	4	1	34	68	17	17	12	46	—	—	—	—	—	—					
34	2	3	—	—	39	48	26	18	92	220	162	33	25	58	No Returns.					
3	—	—	—	—	3	2	—	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—					
74	4	7	1	24	110	67	43	30	140	220	162	33	25	58	—					
804	17	10	10	69	910	588	200	25	623	15,020	14,270	641	189	750	2,389					

ABSTRACT.

804	29	7	12	24	9-5	325	104	134	564	13,750	13,036	633	81	714	3,503
804	17	10	10	69	910	588	200	25	623	14,900	14,106	698	84	692	2,380
—	90	3	2	45	86	263	98	99	259	1,050	1,072	35	2	22	3,333

under Regulation II. of 1827 are excluded from this Statement.

DETAIL OF COLUMNS 3 and 14.

Original Suits.			Appeal Suits.					Remanded.
Total.	In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.	Total.	In favour of Respondent.			Total.	
				In favour of Appellants.	Without summoning.			
				After summoning.	On refusal of Petitions of Appeal and Decree of Lower Court.	On refusal of Petitions of Appeal, Decree of Lower Court, and Remanded.		
1,700	1,281	419	804	226	355	8	87	128

DETAIL OF COLUMN 29.

Fully executed.	Adjusted by the Parties.	Struck off the File for Want of Assets, &c.	
		Partially executed.	Without enforcement.
1,212	219	161	739

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(O.)

SUBORDINATE JUDGES AND PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.

TABLE No. 2.—Showing the Proportion per Cent. and the Result of Appeals from Decrees of Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Zillahs.	Number of appealable Decrees passed within the Year.	Number appealed within the Year.	Affirmed by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Reversed or modified by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Remanded by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Otherwise disposed of by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 3 to Column 2.	Proportion of Column 4 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 5 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 6 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	
Subordinate Judges.											
Rajahmundry	73	12	13	6	3	1	16	56	26	13	
Cuddapah	119	32	4	—	2	—	26	66	—	33	
Bellary	193	35	11	13	5	2	18	35	41	16	
Chittoor	54	16	5	2	2	2	29	45	18	18	
Salem	83	30	9	5	3	1	36	50	27	16	
Combaconum	8	5	3	2	—	—	62	60	40	—	
Madura	62	15	6	7	2	—	24	40	46	13	
Calicut	18	2	—	—	1	—	11	—	—	100	
Mangalore	18	12	5	3	—	—	66	62	37	—	
Total	628	159	56	38	18	6	25	47	32	15	
Principal Sudder Ameens:—											
Vizagapatam	111	22	13	22	2	3	19	32	55	5	
Nellore	123	13	3	1	3	1	10	37	12	37	
Masulipatam	—	5	5	2	—	2	—	55	22	—	
Chingleput	102	45	21	6	—	4	44	67	19	—	
Cochin	83	9	4	3	3	—	10	40	30	30	
Cuddalore	137	34	8	5	1	2	24	50	31	6	
Coinbatore	113	33	4	4	3	1	29	33	33	25	
Trichinopoly	116	40	13	1	1	1	34	81	6	6	
Tinnevelly	83	24	11	7	—	1	28	57	36	—	
Tellicherry	90	18	8	7	1	2	20	44	38	5	
Tranquebar	69	7	—	—	—	—	10	—	—	—	
Total	1,024	250	90	58	14	17	24	50	32	7	
Total Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens	1,654	409	146	96	32	23	24	49	32	10	
Assistants to the Agencies:—											
Ganjam	4	2	13	4	—	—	50	76	23	—	
Vizagapatam	41	10	9	1	—	4	24	64	7	—	
Kurnool	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	100	—	—	
Total	46	12	23	5	—	4	26	71	15	—	
Grand Total	1,700	421	169	101	32	27	24	51	30	9	

From Decrees of late Principal Sudder Ameen.

COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.

In 1849	1,495	337	190	104	50	18	22	52	28	13
In 1850	1,700	421	169	101	32	27	24	51	30	9
Increase	205	84	—	—	—	9	2	—	2	—
Decrease	—	—	21	3	18	—	—	1	—	4

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office,
5th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(P.)

SUBORDINATE JUDGES AND PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.

TABLE No. 3.—Classifying the Suits, both Original and Appeal, disposed of according to the Value of the Property in litigation, showing also the Value in Issue in Suits disposed of and pending, the average Duration of Suits, and the Proportion per Cent. the Sum recovered under Applications for Execution of Decrees bore to the Sum due.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.																																			
																							Zillahs.										Decrees.																								
																							Gross Value of Suits.										Average Duration of Suits.				Amount recoverable under Applications pending and filed during the Year.		Amount recovered during the Year.		Amount due under Applications struck off the file after partial enforcement and without enforcement.		Amount recoverable on Applications pending at the Close of the Year.		Proportion of Column 19. to 18.												
																							From 5,000 to 10,000.					From 1,000 to 5,000.					From 500 to 1,000.					From 400 to 500.					From 300 to 400.					From 200 to 300.					From 100 to 200.				
Subordinate Judges :—																																																									
Rajahmundry	2	5	12	4	8	20	40	164	37,437	1,46,694	1	0	5	-	-	-	18,268	7,096	150	11,052	38	-																																			
Cuddapah	-	9	15	-	8	13	31	141	37,946	43,066	1	0	13	3	10	14	1,05,327	26,833	12,141	66,353	25	11																																			
Bellary	3	14	22	7	15	26	53	267	60,935	7,135	0	1	3	-	-	-	51,651	15,495	26,921	9,235	29	52																																			
Chittoor	1	14	12	3	2	9	23	141	40,240	1,02,355	1	10	20	2	11	18	2,16,277	35,831	78,110	1,02,336	16	36																																			
Salem	-	13	11	3	5	6	31	151	53,601	75,354	2	0	27	-	-	-	21,921	9,749	7,017	3,155	44	32																																			
Combaconum	3	10	8	3	3	8	30	46	55,477	1,46,601	1	3	12	1	1	24	69,061	38,022	6,124	24,945	55	8																																			
Madura	2	12	10	2	-	9	26	66	48,693	1,37,049	1	4	20	0	7	2	14,020	7,011	5,445	1,564	50	58																																			
Calicut	2	13	3	3	5	4	18	58	55,805	82,077	1	0	26	0	6	24	75,985	3,913	11,606	60,276	5	15																																			
Mangalore	2	5	2	-	2	3	9	70	33,015	31,092	0	7	15	1	1	0	51,929	19,006	3,747	29,175	36	7																																			
Do. Assistant Judge	1	4	24	2	8	16	60	14	27,606	8,342	-	-	-	0	5	27	24,155	13,553	2,631	7,931	56	10																																			
Total	17	99	114	45	55	114	311	1,118	4,50,755	7,79,785	1	1	29	1	6	11	6,48,554	1,76,549	1,53,982	3,18,023	27	23																																			
Principal Sudder Ameens :—																																																									
Vizagapatam	3	5	7	5	2	12	60	162	70,369	54,124	0	6	27	0	7	15	1,01,363	11,614	-	89,779	11	-																																			
Neilore	1	16	6	4	3	15	33	154	33,065	27,980	1	6	19	0	6	1	53,433	6,813	3,414	43,206	12	6																																			
Chingleput	-	8	14	3	6	12	48	124	40,606	92,404	1	0	1	0	8	2	14,810	7,432	1,314	6,644	50	8																																			
Cochin	-	8	2	2	1	12	17	118	29,734	19,124	0	5	0	0	11	22	28,515	6,113	7,703	11,665	23	30																																			
Cuddalore	1	5	10	2	4	21	54	237	40,445	1,04,793	1	10	26	-	-	-	77,421	15,744	17,847	43,910	26	23																																			
Coimbatore	2	5	8	5	5	10	57	205	32,968	31,989	0	4	10	2	2	12	17,433	6,221	6,557	4,655	35	37																																			
Tanquebar	-	1	3	5	5	14	25	271	20,138	15,857	0	3	18	-	-	-	7,202	4,190	563	2,653	58	13																																			
Trichinopoly	1	10	16	5	18	16	41	193	35,079	74,525	1	2	30	1	10	27	14,460	7,320	117	7,047	50	2																																			
Tinnevely	2	26	19	4	1	13	31	223	79,462	1,16,239	0	6	16	1	6	6	31,960	27,166	745	2,407	55	2																																			
Tellicherry	1	23	18	9	14	18	209	72	82,779	99,804	1	11	18	2	0	14	42,469	8,503	-	33,699	20	-																																			
Total	11	107	103	44	54	141	575	1,759	4,64,648	6,37,939	0	11	24	1	8	19	3,86,156	1,01,347	38,520	2,46,159	26	10																																			
Total of Subordinate Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens	28	206	217	89	109	255	886	2,877	9,15,403	14,17,024	1	0	29	1	5	0	10,34,710	2,77,896	1,92,632	5,64,182	26	18																																			
Assistants to the Agencies :—																																																									
Ganjam	-	-	2	-	-	9	14	27	-	4,051	2	1	8	3	6	25	-	-	-	-	-	-																																			
Vizagapatam	-	1	7	5	7	6	26	96	-	44,290	1	10	22	1	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-																																			
Kurnool	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	62	0	4	4	0	2	22	-	-	-	-	-	-																																			
Total	-	4	9	5	7	15	40	126	No Returns	48,406	1	5	11	1	9	26	-	-	-	-	-	-																																			
Grand Total	28	210	226	94	116	270	926	3,003	9,15,403	14,65,430	1	2	1	1	6	18	10,34,710	2,77,896	1,92,632	5,64,182	26	18																																			
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																																																									
In 1849	43	190	194	125	125	413	823	2,827	8,07,003	14,89,244	1	2	15	1	1	25	15,61,957	3,15,166	6,12,310	6,33,881	20	39																																			
In 1850	28	210	226	94	116	270	926	3,003	9,15,403	14,65,430	1	2	11	1	6	18	10,34,710	2,77,896	1,92,632	5,64,182	26	18																																			
Increase	-	20	32	-	-	-	103	176	1,08,400	-	-	-	-	0	4	23	-	-	-	-	6	-																																			
Decrease	15	-	-	31	9	143	-	-	-	22,814	0	0	4	-	-	-	5,27,247	37,270	4,20,278	69,889	-	21																																			

Sudder Udalat, Register's Office, }
8th December 1851.

E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(Q.)
CIVIL JUDGES.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Zillahs.	Regular.												
	Original Suits.												
	Pending, instituted, and called up for Trial by the Civil Court.	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeemanah with and without Decree.	Dismissed.			Total.	Pending.				Pending, instituted, and called up.	Decreed on Merits.
				For Default.	Under Act XVII. of 1848.			Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.		
*Chicacole	19	4	—	1	—	5	6	4	4	14	268	175	
Rajahmundry	3	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	1	1	184	92	
*Masulipatam	26	6	3	3	1	13	10	3	2	14	60	45	
*Guntoor	13	6	1	3	1	11	—	1	1	2	85	61	
Cuddapah	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	252	77	
Bellary	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	2	120	100	
Nellore	3	2	—	—	—	3	1	—	—	1	90	56	
Chittoor	7	4	—	—	—	4	3	—	—	3	416	106	
Chingleput	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	1	3	170	76	
Cuddalore	3	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	2	3	211	76	
Salem	5	—	—	—	1	1	2	—	2	4	181	99	
Coimbatore	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	241	70	
Trichinopoly	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	3	212	43	
Combaconum	7	2	—	1	—	3	4	—	—	4	714	125	
Madura	10	2	1	—	—	3	4	1	2	7	102	73	
Tinnevely	7	—	1	1	—	2	1	1	3	5	227	102	
Tellicherry	3	1	—	—	—	1	—	1	1	3	554	114	
Calicut	4	2	—	—	1	3	1	—	—	1	350	96	
Mangalore	5	2	—	—	1	3	2	—	—	2	421	62	
*Honore	10	1	1	—	1	3	4	2	—	7	429	94	
Total	132	33	6	9	6	54	41	15	22	78	5,247	1,764	
Agencies.													
Goajam	50	3	3	2	1	9	24	10	7	41	149	86	
Vizagapatam	175	9	44	—	21	74	80	8	13	101	128	11	
Kurnool	4	—	—	—	1	1	3	—	—	3	11	6	
Total	179	12	47	2	23	84	107	18	20	145	288	63	
Grand Total	301	45	53	11	29	138	148	33	42	223	5,535	1,817	
COMPARATIVE													
In 1849	32	31	32	11	8	79	319	27	67	313	4,620	1,574	
In 1850	31	45	53	11	29	138	148	33	42	223	5,535	1,817	
Increase	—	14	21	—	21	59	—	6	—	—	915	143	
Decrease	1	—	—	—	—	—	71	—	25	90	—	—	

* These Courts exercise the Power of Courts

DETAIL OF COLUMNS 10 AND 21.

	Original.					Appeal.		Total.	Remanded within the Year.	Delay accounted for by the State of the File or special and unavoidable Causes.	Delay not satisfactorily accounted for.
	Of 1844.	1845.	1846.	1847.	1848.	Of 1846.	1848.				
Chicacole	—	1	—	—	3	—	—	4	—	1	3
Rajahmundry	—	—	—	1	—	—	1	2	—	1	—
Masulipatam	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	2	1	—	—
Guntoor	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	1
Chingleput	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—
Cuddalore	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	3	—	—	—
Salem	—	1	—	—	1	—	—	2	—	—	2
Coimbatore	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	4	—	—	4
Trichinopoly	—	—	3	1	—	—	—	4	—	—	4
Combaconum	—	—	—	—	—	—	14	14	—	14	—
Madura	1	—	—	1	—	1	—	3	—	—	3
Tinnevely	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	3	—	—	3
Tellicherry	—	—	—	—	1	—	—	1	—	—	1
Honore	—	—	—	—	—	—	44	44	—	44	—
Grand Total	2	2	3	3	10	1	63	86	4	65	17

Sudder Udlat, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.

Work performed during 1850.

14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.
Regular.									Miscellaneous.					
Appeal Suits.									Petitions.					Applications for Execution of Decrees.
Adjusted by Baseemanah with and without Decree.	Dismissed.		Referred to Subordinate Courts.	Total.	Pending.				Pending and filed.	Disposed of.	Pending.			Applications disposed of during the year.
	For Default.	Under Act XVII. of 1848.			Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.			Under 6 Months.	Above 6 Months.	Total.	
3	6	—	—	184	41	3	—	44	354	305	41	8	49	27
—	2	2	—	96	85	2	1	88	1,031	979	52	—	52	50
—	3	1	—	49	11	—	—	11	686	599	67	20	87	27
—	—	—	—	61	24	—	—	24	303	303	—	—	—	46
4	2	1	21	105	107	40	—	147	783	692	91	—	91	4
3	3	1	—	107	13	—	—	13	222	220	2	—	2	43
1	—	—	16	73	17	—	—	17	509	443	53	13	66	23
5	10	5	109	235	165	16	—	181	1,130	1,094	36	—	36	91
1	7	—	32	116	84	—	—	84	436	434	2	—	2	49
1	1	1	—	79	116	16	—	132	284	263	20	1	21	29
—	2	—	—	101	79	1	—	80	602	577	23	2	25	57
1	1	1	36	109	115	13	4	132	360	343	17	—	17	56
4	1	1	57	106	99	7	—	106	698	649	49	1	49	28
4	2	2	152	285	247	168	14	429	817	813	4	—	4	21
—	—	—	11	84	17	—	1	18	560	555	5	—	5	62
3	4	—	25	134	82	11	—	93	680	669	11	—	11	53
1	7	11	127	260	274	20	—	294	767	729	34	4	38	27
2	5	—	150	255	93	2	—	95	912	906	6	—	6	72
4	1	4	264	355	66	—	—	66	1,350	1,324	22	4	26	25
—	—	7	—	101	145	139	44	328	806	778	26	2	28	64
37	57	37	1,000	2,895	1,890	439	64	2,392	13,290	12,675	560	55	615	864
4	6	1	72	121	24	3	1	28	99	52	8	39	47	No Returns.
2	1	2	90	106	16	3	3	22	726	370	97	259	356	
—	—	—	5	11	—	—	—	—	50	48	6	1	7	
6	9	3	167	238	40	6	4	50	875	465	111	259	410	—
43	66	40	1,167	3,133	1,890	444	68	2,402	14,165	13,140	671	354	1,025	864

ABSTRACT.

38	51	17	749	2,529	1,587	454	50	2,091	13,102	12,383	572	147	719	1,152
43	66	40	1,167	3,133	1,890	444	68	2,402	13,290	12,675	560	63	615	864
5	15	23	418	604	303	—	18	311	188	292	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	10	—	—	—	—	19	92	104	288

constituted under Regulation II. of 1827.

DETAIL OF COLUMNS 3 AND 13.

Original Suits.		Appeal Suits.						
Total.	In favour of Plaintiffs.	In favour of Defendants.	Total.	In favour of Appellants.	In favour of Respondents.			
					Without summoning.		Remanded.	
					On Petition of Plaintiff for Revocation and Decree of lower Court.	On Petition of Plaintiff for Appeal, Decree of lower Court, and Record.		
45	23	22	1,817	593	824	—	169	231

DETAIL OF COLUMN 28.

Fully executed.	Adjusted by th: Parties.	Struck off the File for Want of Assets, &c.	
		Partially executed.	Without Enforcement.
538	61	61	204

F. E. per G T. BEAUCHAMP, Register.

(R.)

CIVIL JUDGES.

TABLE No. 2.—Showing the Proportion per Cent. and the Result of Appeals from Decrees of Civil Judges in 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Zillahs.	Number of Appealable Decrees passed within the Year.	Number appealed within the Year.	Affirmed by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Reversed or modified by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Remanded by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Otherwise disposed of by the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 3 to Column 2.	Proportion of Column 4 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 5 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.	Proportion of Column 6 to the whole Number disposed of in the Appellate Court within the Year.
Chittoor	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rajahmundry	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Masulipatam	6	1	—	—	—	—	16	—	—	—
Guntur	6	4	1	—	—	—	66	100	—	—
Cuddapah	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bellary	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Nellore	2	—	1	—	—	—	—	100	—	—
Chittoor	4	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	100	—
Chingleput	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cuddalore	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Balem	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cornwall	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Trichinopoly	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Combaconum	2	1	1	1	—	—	50	50	50	—
Madura	2	4	—	—	—	1	200	—	—	50
Tinnevely	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tellicherry	1	1	—	—	—	—	100	—	—	—
Calicut	2	—	1	—	—	—	—	50	50	—
Mangalore	2	1	—	1	—	—	50	—	—	—
Honore	1	1	—	—	—	—	100	—	—	—
Total	33	15	4	3	1	2	45	40	30	10
Agencies.										
Granjam	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Vizagapatam	9	1	—	—	—	—	11	—	—	—
Kurnool	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	12	1	—	—	—	—	8	—	—	—
Grand Total	45	16	4	3	1	2	53	40	30	10
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.										
In 1849	31	17	13	4	—	6	54	56	17	—
In 1850	45	16	4	3	1	2	53	40	30	10
Increase	14	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	13	—
Decrease	—	1	9	1	—	4	19	16	—	—

Sudder Udait, Register's Office,
3th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(S.)

CIVIL JUDGES.

TABLE No. 3.—Classifying the Suits both Original and Appeal disposed of according to the Value of the Property in Litigation; showing also the Value in Issue in Suits disposed of and pending, the average Duration of Suits, and the Proportion per Cent. the Sum recovered under Applications for the Execution of Decrees bore to the Sum due.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.
Zillahs.	For 10,000 and upwards.	From 5,000 to 10,000.	From 1,000 to 5,000.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Gross Value of Suits.		Average Duration of Suits.						Decrees.					
					Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.	Original.			Appeal.			Amount recoverable under Applications pending and filed during the Year.	Amount recovered during the Year.	Amount due under Applications struck off the File after partial Enforcement and without Enforcement.	Amount recoverable on Applications pending at the Close of the Year.	Proportion of Column 15 to 14.	Proportion of Column 16 to 14.
							y.	m.	d.	y.	m.	d.						
Chicacole	—	1	11	177	28,869	1,47,166	1	10	25	0	7	1	12,824	9,246	—	3,578	72	—
Rajahmundry	1	—	4	92	73,796	26,009	2	5	10	0	10	7	7,041	4,871	490	1,680	69	6
Misulpatam	4	—	3	53	68,487	1,25,155	2	7	10	0	4	29	41,074	28,124	1,203	11,747	68	2
Guntoor	—	—	5	67	10,480	7,604	1	10	22	0	5	12	10,030	3,189	1,934	4,907	51	19
Cuddapah	—	—	2	82	11,156	20,547	—	—	—	1	0	4	738	—	738	—	—	100
Bellary	—	1	8	98	25,729	71,574	—	—	—	0	1	17	11,865	3,888	6,626	851	34	58
Nellore	2	—	—	57	61,195	55,141	4	2	9	0	4	11	4,908	1,776	1,269	1,863	36	25
Chittoor	4	1	8	117	1,14,142	2,09,192	2	5	1	1	6	9	75,320	4,602	34,946	35,772	6	46
Chingleput	—	—	5	79	16,918	1,95,080	—	—	—	0	6	12	17,795	9,188	4,721	3,886	51	26
Cuddalore	—	1	—	78	11,852	61,448	—	—	—	1	1	8	7,874	2,426	1,789	3,655	30	22
Salem	1	1	1	99	52,158	52,919	—	—	—	0	4	24	7,033	1,299	4,275	1,459	18	60
Coimbatore	—	—	2	71	3,935	18,562	—	—	—	1	11	26	12,157	5,879	2,865	3,413	48	23
Triehinopoly	—	2	—	47	5,417	1,50,375	—	—	—	0	6	4	11,161	9,964	437	6,760	35	3
Combaconum	4	—	12	120	25,515	1,91,092	1	4	8	1	7	14	19,940	5,224	145	14,571	26	—
Madura	3	4	11	58	62,255	1,89,463	1	10	20	0	4	29	95,276	26,341	9,968	58,967	27	10
Tinnevely	2	—	1	108	48,149	1,83,850	3	8	10	1	3	6	55,683	46,726	3,659	5,298	83	6
Tellicherry	1	—	1	132	14,692	75,421	9	0	10	1	2	29	27,021	5,522	—	23,499	13	—
Calicut	—	—	4	104	24,303	51,034	0	1	28	0	5	23	78,301	16,104	19,471	42,726	20	24
Mangalore	3	1	2	88	58,322	4,998	1	3	21	0	8	2	48,464	8,661	158	39,645	17	—
Honore	—	1	8	95	17,990	1,38,521	2	3	21	2	1	3	25,112	16,267	—	8,845	64	—
Total	25	14	88	1,822	7,35,360	19,75,151	2	8	15	0	10	18	5,69,117	2,01,297	94,698	2,73,122	35	16
Agencies.																		
Ganjam	—	5	—	53	—	41,376	1	4	25	2	7	22	No Returns.					
Vizagapatam	—	2	14	74	—	3,46,811	1	4	25	1	5	28						
Kurnool	—	—	1	6	—	192	0	0	25	0	8	6						
Total	—	7	15	133	No Return	3,88,379	0	11	15	1	7	8						
Grand Total	25	21	103	1,955	7,35,360	23,63,530	1	10	1	1	2	28	5,69,117	2,01,297	94,698	2,73,122	35	16
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																		
In 1849	29	18	98	1,714	8,22,169	21,74,392	1	5	5	0	11	0	5,99,208	2,11,694	1,06,514	2,81,000	35	17
In 1850	25	21	103	1,955	7,35,360	23,63,530	1	10	0	1	2	28	5,69,117	2,01,297	94,698	2,73,122	35	16
Increase	—	3	5	241	—	1,89,138	0	4	25	0	3	28	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease	4	—	—	—	86,809	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	30,091	10,397	11,816	7,878	—	1

Sudder Dabot, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(110 A)

8 C

(413)

(T.)

SUDDER UDALUT.

TABLE No. 1.—Showing the Work performed during 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.
	Appeal Suits.										Miscellaneous.			Applications for Execution of Decrees.		Applications for Special Appeals.					
	Pending and filed.			Dismissed.			Pending.				Pending.			Applications disposed of during the Year.		Pending and filed.		Pending.			
	Decreed on Merits.	Adjusted by Razeenamah with and without Decree.	For Default.	Under Act XVII. of 1848.	Total.	Under 1 Year.	Under 2 Years.	Above 2 Years.	Total.	Pending and filed.	Disposed of.	Under 6 Months.	Above 6 Months.	Total.	Applications disposed of during the Year.	Pending and filed.	Disposed of.	Under 6 Months.	Above 6 Months.	Total.	
Sudder Udalut -	105	52	—	2	—	54	26	19	6	51	677	597	24	56	80	15	256	205	47	4	51
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.																					
In 1849 -	144	87	5	1	—	93	32	13	6	51	764	661	83	20	103	21	284	213	69	2	71
In 1850 -	105	52	—	2	—	54	26	19	6	51	677	597	24	56	80	15	256	205	47	4	51
Increase -	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	6	—	—	—	—	—	36	—	—	—	—	—	2	—
Decrease -	39	35	5	—	—	39	6	—	—	—	87	64	59	—	23	6	28	8	22	—	20

DETAIL OF COLUMN 10.

1847.	1848.	Total.
2	4	6

DETAIL OF COLUMN 3.

Appeal Suits.					
Total.	In favour of Appellant.	In favour of Respondents.			Remanded.
		After summoning.	Without summoning.		
			On Perusal of Petition of Appeal and Decrees of lower Courts.	On Perusal of Petition of Appeal and Decrees of lower Courts and Record.	
52	12	7	1	1	31

Sudder Udalut, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

(U.)

SUDDER UDALUT.

TABLE No. 2.—Classifying the Suits disposed of in 1850 according to the Value of the Property in Litigation, showing also the Value in Issue in Suits disposed of and pending, and the average Duration of Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
					Gross Value of Suits.		Average Duration of Suits.		
	For 10,000 and upwards.	From 5,000 to 10,000.	From 1,000 to 5,000.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Disposed of during the Year.	Pending at the Close of the Year.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Sudder Udalut -	6	2	14	32	21,35,414	6,01,542	—	11	—
COMPARATIVE ABSTRACT.									
In 1849 -	6	6	16	65	1,93,712	24,59,653	1	6	9
In 1850 -	6	2	14	32	21,35,414	6,01,542	—	11	—
Increase -	—	—	—	—	19,41,702	—	—	—	—
Decrease -	—	4	2	33	—	18,58,111	—	7	9

Sudder Udalut, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

ABSTRACT OF CIVIL WORK performed by the several Judicial Officers during the Year 1850.

Zillahs.	Designation and Names of Officers.	Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.		Average Number of Suits disposed of on Merits per Mensem, exclusive of the first period during which the Court was closed.	State of File at the Close of the Year.		Total Number of Decrees executed during the Year.			Total Number of miscellaneous Petitions disposed of by Orders during the Year.	Balance of miscellaneous Petitions remaining undisposed of at the Close of the Year.	Court closed.	Criminal.		Remarks.	
		Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.	Total Number of Suits disposed of on their Merits during the Year.		Suits of more than 12 Months standing.	Suits filed within the Year.	Fully.	Partially.	Amount recovered.				Cases.	Miscellaneous Petitions.		
Bellary	Mr. T. L. Strange, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	107	100	10	—	15	32	3	3,388 0 0	220	2	42	55	30		
	Mr. E. Story, Subordinate Judge, from 1st Jan. to 17th April and from 18th May to 26th December 1850 -	463	200	19	—	—	93	54	15,495 0 0	765	—	12	125	28		
	Honourable D. Arbuthnot, Acting Subordinate Judge, from 18th April to 17th May and from 27th to 31st December 1850 -	4	2	2	—	44	—	—	—	5	4	30	22	4		
	Syed Hoossain, Moftee Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	245	131	12	—	36	109	33	5,174 0 0	282	—	49	17	—		
	Eswara Sastry, Pundit Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	280	180	17	—	40	48	13	3,481 0 0	225	1	42	17	—		
	Meeramanverdeen, District Moonsiff of Coongody, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	573	299	25	70	396	130	39	2,416 0 0	360	17	—	—	—		
	Baskerrow, District Moonsiff of Cottor, from 1st January to 31st Dec. 1850 -	569	255	21	—	221	144	—	5,618 0 0	548	20	—	—	—		
	Sasaberry Row, District Moonsiff of Culeyandroog, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	371	171	16	1	52	117	31	8,445 0 0	357	72	36	—	—		
	Ackaravze Rangish, District Moonsiff of Purghzee, from 2d January to 31st December 1850 -	483	287	25	—	75	305	—	16,385 0 0	1,111	5	11	—	—		
	Goolam Mahomed, District Moonsiff of Taudmurry, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	554	270	23	83	299	155	42	4,121 0 0	562	2	—	—	—		
	Timmaph, District Moonsiff, of Gooty, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -	359	208	18	1	41	82	—	2,526 0 0	312	11	16	—	—		
	Valagherry Row, ditto ditto of Adome, from ditto to ditto -	598	184	16	—	48	237	—	11,479 0 0	808	5	6	—	—		
	Calicut	Mr. Henry Morris, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 23d March, from 27th May to 24th September, and from 26th October to 31st December 1850 -	108	100	11	2	94	45	1	16,104 3 1	906	6	89	97	118	
		Mr. T. W. Goodwyn, late Subordinate Judge, from 1st to 20th January 1850 -	2	—	—	—	—	3	1	278 1 0	14	—	—	15	—	
		Mr. W. Robinson, Officiating Subordinate Judge, from 21st January to 4th February 1850 -	2	1	2	—	—	—	—	—	15	—	—	7	—	
Mr. T. Onslow, Subordinate Judge, from 5th February to 31st Dec. 1850 -		102	85	9	15	175	15	8	3,635 12 10	294	8	43	227	20		
Mr. E. Cuffin, Principal Sudder Ameen of Cochin, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -		160	88	8	2	85	53	1	6,112 2 2	257	12	42	20	5		
Vythenndia Sastry, Hindoo Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -		382	219	20	131	424	46	9	11,461 3 7	740	61	42	59	—		
Syed Ally Bucksh, late Moftee Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 30th September 1850 -		203	112	16	—	—	56	6	12,923 6 7	679	—	42	24	—		
Syed Mahomed Alum, Moftee Sudder Ameen, from 1st October to 31st December 1850 -		83	44	14	180	365	6	1	486 6 8	174	20	—	16	—		
Nelisherry Gramom Chedambraven, District Moonsiff of Butunnaad, from 1st January to 7th February and from 11th March to 8th Nov. 1850 -		311	195½	20	—	—	107	7	5,107 2 1	735	—	61	—	—		
Parrakel Kandy Menon, Acting ditto, from 9th to 31st December 1850 -		36	20½	26	124	267	18	—	390 2 1	73	35	—	—	—		
Kookel Kellio, District Moonsiff of Calicut, from 9th January to 23d May and from 6th November to 31st December 1850 -		295	164½	25	85	244	122	15	10,422 4 6	695	21	19	—	—		
Mr. Francis P. Pereira, Acting ditto, from 5th June to 5th November 1850 -		238	127	25	—	—	107	16	10,004 3 4	803	—	—	—	—		
Cunnanoor Ookendun Ony Nair, District Moonsiff of Palghat, from 1st to 20th January and from 26th February to 31st December 1850 -		509	246	22	173	381	200	—	12,010 3 2	779	46	30	—	—		
Oonikhaat Chapoo Menon, ditto, of Ernaad, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -		541	251	21	172	311	116	4	4,400 7 3	651	60	—	—	—		
Mr. Marcos D'Silva, ditto, of Pynaad, from 1st January to 24th August and from 26th ditto to 31st December 1850 -		362	243½	20	27	239	111	29	5,670 15 0	924	54	1	—	—		
Palat Ittirappa Menon, ditto, of Nedonpannaad, from 1st January to 8th April and from 24th ditto to 31st December 1850 -		481	242½	21	29	225	201	16	15,333 0 11	878	27	13	—	—		
Vullat Onichoonda Menon, ditto, of Vellangode, from 1st January to 9th July and from 3d August to 15th October 1850 -		478	242	30	—	—	160	19	10,354 2 2	1,110	—	46	—	—		
Tottekaut Shangoony Menon, Acting ditto, from 7th to 31st December 1850 -		69	26	31	150	409	38	4	1,156 1 0	169	5	—	—	—		
Mr. George M. Bass, District Moonsiff of Choughaut, from 1st January to 31st December 1850 -		442	243½	20	26	174	150	—	1,574 13 2	1,033	58	—	—	—		
Mr. Thomas A. Phillips, Acting ditto of Angeno, from 1st January to 20th February and from 22d March to 31st December 1850 -	7	2	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	29	—	—			
Chicacole	Mr. F. B. Glass, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 31st December -	189	179	17	11	47	27	—	9,216 0 0	303	49	22	16	10		
	Mr. W. H. Ross, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st to 20th January -	7	5	5	—	—	13	—	1,233 0 0	46	—	—	2	—		

Abstract of Civil Work performed by the several Judicial Officers during the Year 1850—continued.

Zillahs.	Designation and Names of Officers.	Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.	Total Number of Suits disposed of on their Merits during the Year.	Average Number of Suits disposed of on Merits per Mensem, exclusive of the Period during which the Court was closed.	State of File at the Close of the Year.		Total Number of Decrees executed during the Year.			Total Number of miscellaneous Petitions disposed of by Orders during the Year.	Balance of miscellaneous Petitions remaining undisposed of at the Close of the Year.	Court closed.	Criminal.		Remarks.	
					Suits of more than 12 Months standing.	Suits filed within the Year.	Fully.	Partially.	Amount recovered.				Cases.	Miscellaneous Petitions.		
Chicacole—cont.	M. Juggarow, Officiating Sudder Ameen, from 20th February to 3d March	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	—
	M. G. Tirumalachary, Acting ditto ditto, from 25th March to 2d Aug.	109	55½	15	—	—	38	—	4,139 0 0	361	—	83	24	—	—	—
	M. Juggarow, Acting ditto ditto, from 24th August to 31st December	141	67	15	92	179	54	—	6,242 0 0	289	71	—	21	3	—	—
	Mr. W. Hudson, Sudder Ameen of Ichapoor	298	173	16	10	108	88	16	5,880 0 0	395	20	42	1	2	—	—
	Moulavee Abdul Azees Hoossany, ditto, of Chicacole	140	88	8	4	28	23	45	1,679 0 0	174	8	42	14	—	—	—
	N. Maucheerazue, District Mooniff of Chicacole	398	230	20	10	163	200	98	9,342 0 0	740	36	14	—	—	—	—
	B. Ramdoss, ditto, of Royaveram, from 1st January to 24th June and from 31st July to 31st December	400	252½	23	23	253	247	2	4,677 0 0	65	113	—	—	—	—	—
	Voyes Mohomud, Acting ditto, from 24th June to 24th July	57	29½	23	—	—	19	6	441 0 0	4	—	—	—	—	—	—
	B. Venkataswamy, ditto of Teekaly, from 1st January to 31st December	302	228	19	—	80	128	35	4,985 0 0	43	30	—	—	—	—	—
	Chingleput	W. A. D. Inglis, Esq., Civil Judge - Tandavaroyah Moodliar, late Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st Jan. to 6th April 1850	84	76	8	3	54	33	7	9,168 0 0	434	2	72	27	56	—
Ardnachellam Pillay, Acting Principal Sudder Ameen, from 13th May to 6th October 1850		67	46	14	—	—	20	1	3,219 0 0	167	—	—	24	—	—	—
M. G. Trimala Charlar, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 7th October to 31st December 1850		91	59½	15	—	—	17	—	2,522 0 0	324	—	64	43	—	—	—
Moulavee Mahomed Coodrut Gunny Saib, Acting Moofly Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 9th February and from 11th September to 22d October 1850		57	41½	15	61	140	17	—	1,691 0 0	194	34	—	11	—	—	—
Moulavee Mohommud Sved Abdool Wadoodia Naksee Saib, Moofly Sudder Ameen, from 10th February to 10th September 1850		86	50½	18	—	—	68	—	2,251 0 0	153	—	—	1	—	—	—
Moulavee Muhammed Yaha Saib, Acting ditto ditto, from 23d October to 21st November 1850		128	66	12	—	—	41	—	3,643 0 0	178	—	57	13	1	—	—
Moulavee Mohamed Ally Bukah, Moofly Sudder Ameen, from 22d November to 31st December 1850		36	16	16	—	—	10	—	406 0 0	46	—	—	—	—	—	—
D. Streenevassa Row, 2d Class District Mooniff of Conjeeveram		36	23½	17	10	166	5	—	102 0 0	50	10	—	—	—	—	—
Narabaree Sastry, 2d Class District Mooniff of Tripassore		250	99	8	1	61	183	—	9,413 0 0	845	5	—	—	—	—	—
Narainaswamy Naidoo, Acting District Mooniff of Tripassore, from 18th November to 25th December 1850		490	185½	17	61	401	189	—	7,073 0 0	967	13	32	—	—	—	—
T. Puttaberama Pillay, 2d Class District Mooniff of Carangooly		86	29½	21	—	—	24	—	715 0 0	142	—	—	—	—	—	—
		360	246	20	26	214	171	5	6,652 0 0	813	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chittoor		Mr. E. C. Lovell, Civil Judge	139	110	11	16	128	43	12	4,602 0 0	1,094	36	65	39	70	—
	Mr. H. A. Brett, Subordinate Judge - Goodam Mohomud Dastaguir Saib, Moofly Sudder Ameen	206	115	11	65	127	56	43	35,851 2 3	946	46	42	131	25	—	—
	C. Butcherow, Hindoo Sudder Ameen	348	188½	17	47	212	113	19	15,327 14 0	641	28	42	9	—	—	—
	C. Gopalazarow, District Mooniff of Velioore	463	230½	22	17	176	178	5	7,369 0 0	553	37	53	79	2	—	—
	V. Saundur Naidoo, ditto of Chingleput, from 1st January to 18th July 1850	702	340	29	7	275	264	53	10,662 0 0	196	68	8	—	—	—	—
	V. Kastamachary, ditto of ditto, from 19th July to 31st December 1850	418	194	33	—	—	154	18	8,657 0 0	1,127	—	25	—	—	—	—
	T. Soomlara Charloo, ditto of Calasary	326	168	31	7	256	147	14	8,389 0 0	969	50	—	—	—	—	—
	Hamed Hoossain, ditto of Trivutoor	438	227½	19	20	111	200	29	10,575 0 3	1,163	117	8	—	—	—	—
	R. Borechish, ditto, of Geelvatam, from 1st January to 13th June 1850	382	247½	20	29	137	113	2	5,685 9 1	62	73	—	—	—	—	—
	V. Saundur Naidoo, ditto of ditto, from 20th July to 31st December 1850	365	125½	23	—	—	211	29	10,474 5 3	962	—	42	—	—	—	—
		412	296	29	65	366	204	23	9,981 5 6	1,220	59	—	—	—	—	—
Cumbaloor	Mr. George Bird, Civil Judge, from 1st to 13th January 1850	4	3	6	—	—	1	—	121 0 0	17	—	50	1	—	—	—
	Mr. T. B. Rowse, from 5th March to 31st December 1850	69	67	7	17	115	29	2	5,758 0 0	326	17	42	46	36	—	—
	V. Narasainya Row, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	297	183	17	32	117	97	20	6,221 7 2	657	15	42	221	13	—	—
	Sved Ghoolam Hussain Saib, Moofly Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	387	173	16	14	98	112	19	6,859 11 0	226	—	42	9	—	—	—
	Soobharavah, District Mooniff of Geemmoorah, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	790	312½	26	4	265	418	226	23,979 0 0	1,165	—	—	—	—	—	—
	M. Venkatachalla Moofly, ditto of Chevoor, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	593	290	25	5	163	201	28	7,723 1 7	684	11	30	—	—	—	—
	Major R. Hall, District Mooniff of Ootacamund, from 1st January to 11th March 1850	49	15	6	—	—	20	1	731 8 9	29	—	14	—	—	—	—
	Major G. Wright, ditto of ditto, from 24th March to 31st December 1850	226	122½	13	2	87	66	10	1,835 7 3	270	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Streenevassa Row, ditto, of Caron, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	204	202	16	5	64	118	2	4,667 0 0	293	—	—	—	—	—	—
	R. Compoosamy Pillay, ditto of Columbatore, from 1st January to 31st Dec. 1850	549	244½	20	14	189	340	30	10,888 0 0	1,262	22	—	—	—	—	—
	M. Saundur Sastry, ditto of Ichewany, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	488	199	17	3	71	153	11	2,954 0 0	238	2	36	—	—	—	—

Abstract of Civil Work performed by the several Judicial Officers during the Year 1850—continued.

Zillahs.	Designation and Names of Officers.	Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.		Average Number of Suits disposed of on their Merits during the Year.	State of File at the Close of the Year.		Total Number of Decrees executed during the Year.			Total Number of miscellaneous Peticions disposed of by Orders during the Year.	Balance of Miscellaneous Peticions remaining undischarged at the Close of the Year.	Court closed.	Criminal.		Remarks.
		Total	Dismissed		Suits of more than 12 Months standing.	Suits filed within the Year.	Fully.	Partially.	Amount recovered.				Cases.	Miscellaneous Peticions.	
Coimbatore—cont.	Viswambara Sastry, District Moonisff of Collegal, from 1st to 13th January 1850	2	2	4	—	—	4	1	Rs. 298 11 8	20	—	42	—	—	—
	Soudarasa Sing, ditto of ditto, from 24th February to 31st December 1850	297	184	22	6	101	83	19	3,512 4 4	63	13	64	—	—	—
Combaconum	Mr. Scott, Civil Judge	136	127	12	182	251	20	1	5,224 0 0	813	4	56	46	44	—
	Mr. T. H. Davidson, Subordinate Judge	95	83	8	54	145	35	1	38,022 0 0	919	14	56	110	100	—
	Soubharyapillay, Principal Sudder Ameen	324	113½	13	1	167	74	3	4,196 0 0	232	12	98	—	—	—
	Moulavee Mehommuduttahoollah Saib, Mehomesien Sudder Ameen	363	206	20	64	316	94	—	14,589 0 0	575	15	56	28	—	—
	Alegiah pillay, Sudder Ameen	437	150½	21	38	535	103	—	22,194 0 0	847	22	64	22	—	2
	Arcanachellumpillay, temporary additional Sudder Ameen, from January to 1st May and from 28th November to 31st December 1850	160	91	22	188	360	35	—	1,976 0 0	455	27	27	18	—	2
	Rengarow, acting temporary additional Sudder Ameen, from 14th May to 27th November 1850	243	96½	19	—	—	33	—	3,561 0 0	469	—	42	18	—	—
	Rengarow, District Moonisff of Combaconum, from January to 13th May and from 28th November to December 1850	381	122½	24	19	473	122	—	9,706 0 0	1,301	66	12	—	—	—
	Naugalunca Moodliar, Acting District Moonisff of ditto, from 18th May to 27th November 1850	342	146	23	—	—	108	—	5,767 0 0	1,239	—	—	—	—	—
	Naugalunga Moodliar, District Moonisff of Paupanasem, from January to 16th May and from 7th to 31st December 1850	208	111½	23	20	610	29	—	5,546 0 0	579	15	14	—	—	—
	Adesiem Pillay, Acting District Moonisff of Paupanasem, from 24d May to 30th November 1850	364	121	22	—	—	143	2	10,145 0 0	616	—	21	—	—	—
	Rengarow, District Moonisff of Maraveram	740	251	22	54	441	173	—	14,172 0 0	2,239	52	7	—	—	—
	Saahiah, Acting District Moonisff of Keevalore, from 1st to 15th Jan. 1850	30	10½	21	—	—	5	—	161 0 0	88	—	—	—	—	—
	Kishmarow, District Moonisff of ditto, from 16th January to Dec. 1850	820	356	33	48	626	188	20	16,468 0 0	2,632	27	21	—	—	—
	Adesiem Pillay, ditto of Munragooly, from January to 20th May and from 2d to 31st December 1850	315	99½	27	1	204	23	16	3,273 0 0	307	26	62	—	—	—
Vengatramiengar, Acting ditto of ditto, from 13th June to 1st Dec. 1850	861	342	60	—	—	155	—	6,811 0 0	501	—	—	—	—	—	
Soodersaming, District Moonisff of Puttoocottah, from 1st to 19th Jan. 1850	28	8	13	—	—	2	—	35 0 0	47	—	16	—	—	—	
Viswambara Sastry, ditto of ditto, from 7th February to 31st Dec. 1850	611	207½	20	—	25	124	4	4,796 0 0	609	6	12	—	—	—	
Cuddalore	Mr. J. Horsley, Civil Judge	79	76	8	18	117	19	1	2,426 0 0	263	21	70	39	76	—
	C. Vencataragava Chary, Principal Sudder Ameen	234	158½	15	159	249	131	14	15,664 0 0	950	78	42	133	18	—
	Govind Culliem Oollah Saib, Moofy Sudder Ameen	330	166½	17	37	164	56	6	4,645 0 0	413	41	70	7	—	—
	T. Teysoo Pillay, District Moonisff of Cuddalore, from 1st January to 13th February and from 14th May to 31st December 1850	692	170	32	2	224	250	31	10,508 9 10	739	22	44	—	—	—
	Soveranarain Iyer, Acting ditto of ditto, from 14th February to 13th May 1850	260	86	22	—	—	65	14	4,156 0 4	264	—	—	—	—	—
	Sashienjer, ditto of Chedumbarum	469	244	20	12	181	221	12	6,381 10 4	559	—	16	—	—	—
	Vencatacheliyer, ditto of Vellopporum	515	252	21	20	204	208	48	12,682 1 7	988	66	—	—	—	—
	Ponoosamy Pillay, ditto of Chenjee	459	243½	20	10	190	170	—	6,600 0 0	240	31	—	—	—	—
Cuddapah	Mr. W. Elliot, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 28th November 1850	84	77	8	40	177	—	—	—	692	91	75	91	30	—
	Mr. D. Mynne, Subordinate Judge	317	129	12	13	113	57	—	26,833 0 7	451	15	42	351	—	—
	Leoth Rusaool Saib, Moofy Sudder Ameen	223	138	13	17	91	28	7	3,325 7 9	109	—	42	—	—	—
	Sved Mahomed Saib, Sudder Ameen	355	202	19	43	188	50	14	9,240 0 0	226	16	42	3	—	—
	Auderton Sastry, ditto	464	216	20	29	216	92	63	7,035 0 0	263	14	42	6	—	—
	S. Ehoemarow, District Moonisff of Cuddapah	497	233	20	59	306	334	—	8,106 15 6	401	—	20	—	—	—
	B. Lutcheme Narasimha Sastry, ditto of Voipaud	749	344	24	220	204	207	17	8,423 1 11	74	9	—	—	—	—
	Junga Sastry, ditto of Tungatour	577	271	23	7	261	320	51	14,842 9 3	868	28	10	—	—	—
	V. Sanjosevanah, ditto of Nossum	732	340	23	44	428	198	14	5,608 7 5	858	43	—	—	—	—
	J. Vencataramiah, ditto of Nunda-lumpett	701	344	28	102	416	564	16	14,804 0 0	817	15	2	—	—	—
A. S. Subbaravanesem, ditto of Cudree	626	304	25	16	238	303	150	11,591 6 0	373	25	8	—	—	—	
C. Kristnamachariou, ditto of Madanpully	764	311	27	73	518	292	264	14,392 15 3	1,196	34	20	—	—	—	
P. Vencatasamy Naidoo, ditto of Cumbum	469	224	20	5	131	243	193	8,235 11 9	517	14	27	—	—	—	
Guntour	Mr. P. Irvine, Acting Civil Judge, from 1st to 11th January 1850	3	3	8	—	—	3	2	271 0 0	16	—	—	1	1	—
	Mr. J. H. Bell, Civil Judge, from 12th January to 24th August 1850	53	48	8	—	—	25	2	2,786 0 0	157	—	92	14	9	—
	Mr. T. E. J. Boleau, Civil Judge, from 14th October to 31st December 1850	16	15	6	2	24	2	—	132 0 0	130	—	—	4	4	—
	Moulavee Mahomed Yacoub Ally Saib, Moofy Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	253	160½	15	—	63	127	5	7,984 0 0	406	7	42	46	—	—
	Paukala Streetrommooloo, Ist Class District Moonisff of Guntour, from 1st January to 16th October 1850	478	240	25	—	153	314	11	7,332 0 0	562	32	74	—	—	—

Abstract of Civil Work performed by the several Judicial Officers during the Year 1850—continued.

Zillahs.	Designation and Names of Officers.	Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.	Total Number of Suits disposed of on their Merits during the Year.	Average Number of Suits disposed of on Merits per Mensem exclusive of the Period during which the Court was closed.	State of File at the Close of the Year.		Total Number of Decrees executed during the Year.			Total Number of miscellaneous Petitions disposed of by Orders during the Year.	Balance of miscellaneous Petitions remaining undisposed of at the Close of the Year.	Court closed.	Criminal.		Remarks.	
					Suits of more than 12 Months standing.	Suits filed within the Year.	Fully.	Partially.	Amount recovered.				Cases.	Miscellaneous Petitions.		
Guntur—cont.	Valagapody Veeriah, 2d Class District Moonisif of Sattanapully, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	206	128½	10	—	36	184	51	8,826 0 0	842	3	—	—	—	—	
	Vudlamunnanty Venkayah Somayajee, 2d Class ditto of Chikaloor pettah, from 1st January to 31st Dec. 1850	418	231	19	—	34	107	30	4,839 0 0	794	19	—	—	—	—	
	Taidapully Croostiah, 3d Class District Moonisif of Datchapully, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	259	116	10	—	29	131	23	3,080 0 0	584	6	—	—	—	—	
Honore	Mr. F. Lacelles, Civil Judge	104	95	9	186	149	64	—	16,267 0 0	778	28	42	136	233	—	
	Himayat Ally Khan Saib, Moofy Sudder Ameen of Honore	299	193	18	347	534	103	20	9,998 0 0	213	14	42	16	—	—	
	Jenardaniah, Sudder Ameen of Sircy Ganapaya, District Moonisif of Honore	297	142½	15	125	283	74	40	4,692 0 0	432	5	72	90	47	—	
	Luxmy Narniah, ditto of Condapoor Luxnah, District Moonisif of Ankala	419	248	20	1,484	425	274	377	32,511 0 0	1,150	10	—	—	—	—	
	Phin Row, ditto of Sircy	411	239½	20	333	303	666	2,207	63,010 0 0	1,198	16	—	—	—	—	
	Munjah, ditto of Saopan	334	239	20	232	249	163	41	5,036 0 0	537	18	—	—	—	—	
		395	243½	20	301	235	239	174	12,659 0 0	739	55	—	—	—	—	
Madura	Mr. C. R. Baynes, Civil Judge, from 1st Jan. to 10th March and from 11th April to 31 July and from 1st October to 31st December 1850	250	212	19	16	209	142	4	3,737 0 0	186	19	1	—	—	—	
	Mr. S. N. Ward, Acting Civil Judge, from 10th July to 30th September 1850	57	56½	8	4	21	33	6	18,036 0 0	422	5	72	27	40		* Of these 16 Petitions were disposed of by the Acting Subordinate Judge in charge. † Of these 8 Petitions were disposed of by Hindoo Sudder Ameen in charge.
	Mr. G. Elia, Acting Subordinate Judge, from 14th January to 31st December 1850	19	19	7	—	—	10	—	8,305 0 0	133	—	—	7	17		
	Syed Mahomed Maroof, Moofy Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	127	81½	7	23	113	26	—	7,011 0 0	1457	16	42	72	64		
	Narriana Sastr, Hindoo Sudder Ameen, from ditto to ditto	284	174	16	25	163	118	6	6,486 0 0	454	—	42	—	—		
	Shaik Abdul Kaheman Saib, District Moonisif of Terooparagoundum, from ditto to ditto	353	160½	15	11	115	61	1	4,854 0 0	602	14	56	20	—		
	Annasamy Iyer, ditto of Shevaganah, from ditto to ditto	759	240	20	20	285	106	22	4,536 0 0	1,581	—	—	—	—		
	Mr. W. Booth, ditto of Paramagoody, from 1st January to 1st and from 23d to 31st Dec. 1850	753	244	20	23	261	134	5	6,281 2 6	355	39	6	—	—		
	R. Moodookristanpillay, Acting ditto, from 1st to 22d December 1850	561	242	20	4	123	342	1	14,447 12 9	1,708	12	2	—	—		
		3	1½	9	—	—	—	—	—	21	—	—	—	—		
Mangalore	Mr. Findlay Anderson, Civil Judge	94	84	8	—	68	22	—	8,661 0 0	1,324	26	42	59	60		
	Mr. George Melville Swinton, Assistant Judge	148	141	14	1	60	83	2	13,593 0 0	259	17	72	—	—		
	Mr. Frank Copleston, Subordinate Judge, from 1st January to 1st March and from 9th April to 31st December 1850	86	80	8	2	35	—	—	—	797	65	38	166	155		
	Mr. G. M. Swinton, Acting Subordinate Judge, from 4th March to 8th April	8	8	7	—	—	65	7	19,006 0 0	97	—	4	17	15		
	Hatoo Mahomed Ameen, Moofy Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 11th December 1850	254	153	15	—	—	111	14	11,173 0 0	649	—	42	6	—		
	Mahomed Koodrat Ghany ditto ditto, from 11th to 31st December 1850	13	3	4	124	174	—	—	—	60	—	—	—	—		
	Munappaya, Sudder Ameen	317	200½	22	178	292	69	68	19,006 0 0	881	91	85	27	—		
	Vencappaya, ditto	329	211	20	102	236	89	11	6,507 0 0	1,029	66	42	21	—		
	N. J. Saidania, District Moonisif of Mangalore	298	270½	23	8	147	219	63	16,313 0 0	1,266	90	—	—	—		
	Munnonathaya, ditto of Bekel	413	240½	21	115	265	93	26	5,316 0 0	489	175	—	—	—		
	Innappaya, ditto of Huralal	441	293	21	157	193	109	66	7,884 0 0	393	101	—	—	—		
	J. J. Saidania, ditto of Haroor	545	307½	20	619	584	181	54	6,173 0 0	2,268	59	54	—	—		
	Munnonathaya, ditto of Casp	445	298	24	392	498	161	70	7,299 0 0	477	38	27	—	—		
	Langappa, ditto of Ooperangoody	156	102	8	3	130	69	25	4,000 0 0	474	23	—	—	—		
Masulipatam	Mr. A. R. Matheson, Acting Civil Judge, from 1st to 15th January 1850	2	2	3	—	—	—	—	—	11	—	—	3	3		
	Mr. P. Irvine, Acting Civil Judge, from 16th January to 18th Feb. ditto	4	3	2	—	—	—	—	—	37	—	—	5	3		
	Mr. W. Dowdeswell, Civil Judge, from 19th February to 31st December ditto	53	46	4	4	21	19	1	28,124 0 0	551	87	42	45	23		
	Mowlaee Mahomed Hameed Osdeen Saib, Moofy Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	208	168½	16	28	113	56	6	5,680 0 0	921	179	42	24	—		
	Vudlamunnanty Acetapaty Row, 1st Class District Moonisif of Masulipatam, from 1st January to 31st December ditto	498	300½	25	9	177	224	33	9,000 0 0	2,986	25	—	—	—		
	Mr. William Khan, 2d Class District Moonisif of Futte from 1st January to 31st December 1850	605	417½	34	4	117	235	43	11,188 0 0	3,415	50	—	—	—		
	Cholingayam Annanta Charitar, 3d Class District Moonisif of Hoorah, from ditto to ditto	172	80½	7	4	58	65	2	1,844 0 0	534	20	—	—	—		
Nellore	Mr. John Walker, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	59	58	6	—	18	34	8	1,776 2 0½	443	66	72	45	37		
	Moulavee Lashood-din Saib, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st Jan. to 31st December	232	157	13	12	54	75	—	6,513 0 0	468	53	42	159	—		
	Mahomed Basa Ali Saib, Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December	209	186½	17	60	238	87	30	4,221 7 11	793	15	42	30	—		

Abstract of Civil Work performed by the several Judicial Officers during the Year 1850—continued.

Zillah.	Designation and Names of Officers.	Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.	Total Number of Suits disposed of on their Merits during the Year.	Average Number of Suits disposed of on Merits per Month exclusive of those filed during which the Court was closed.	State of File at the Close of the Year.		Total Number of Decrees executed during the Year.			Total Number of miscellaneous Petitions disposed of by Orders during the Year.	Balance of miscellaneous Petitions remaining undisposed of at the Close of the Year.	Court closed.	Criminal.		Remarks.
					Suits of more than 12 Months standing.	Suits filed within the Year.	Fully.	Partially.	Amount recovered.				Cases.	Miscellaneous Petitions.	
Nellore—cont.	Mahmud Turab Saib, District Moonsiff of Ongole, from 1st Jan. to 31st December	910	868	30	3	260	288	—	9,567 8 6	934	39	—	—	—	—
	Ghulam Hyder Saib, District Moonsiff of Alangiri, from 1st January to 31st December	629	288½	19	—	107	259	120	11,649 2 3	801	45	—	—	—	—
	Bar Subbaroo, ditto of Madanamur, from 1st January to 31st December	355	128½	10	8	73	150	27	5,610 6 4	1,046	55	—	—	—	—
Rajahmundry	Mr. T. A. Anstruther, Civil Judge from 1st January to 31st December 1850	97	98	9	4	85	37	13	4,871 0 0	979	62	42	37	78	—
	Mr. J. H. Goldie, Acting Subordinate Judge, from 1st January to 22d February 1850	46	15½	9	—	—	—	—	—	128	—	—	22	—	—
	Mr. P. Irvine, Subordinate Judge, from 23d February to 12th Nov. 1850	177	81	11	—	—	48	6	7,096 0 0	651	—	42	67	—	—
	Mr. R. E. Master, Acting Subordinate Judge, from 13th November to 31st December 1850	33	13½	9	165	150	—	—	—	157	145	—	9	—	—
	Moulaivee Mahomed Ali, Moofy Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	351	189	18	22	113	22	11	4,164 0 0	828	18	42	13	—	—
	Venkoba Sasry, Pundit Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 23d April and from 25th July to 31st Dec. 1850	225	125½	14	14	124	22	4	2,863 0 0	429	50	—	16	—	—
	Diggavilly Timmarauze, Acting Sudder Ameen, from 24th April to 24th July 1850	49	29	20	—	—	—	—	—	84	—	42	10	—	—
	Munchella Pooroshottum, 1st Class District Moonsiff of Seetanagarum, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	334	219	18	17	88	146	29	3,963 0 0	1,404	31	—	—	—	—
	Nundeveda Soobbaroyadoo, 1st Class ditto of Peddapoor, from ditto to ditto	440	236	19	10	305	144	—	2,499 0 0	2,223	87	—	—	—	—
	Saffer Ramannah Puntooloo, 2d Class ditto of Pengondah, from ditto to ditto	403	241	20	49	128	100	84	2,347 0 0	1,759	75	—	—	—	—
	Sauminany Mooodoo Nurshima Naidoo, 2d Class ditto of Amlapoor, from ditto to ditto	503	272	22	1	149	120	31	2,608 0 0	2,906	57	—	—	—	—
	Salem	Mr. J. G. S. Bruere, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 31st December 1850	102	99	9	8	81	23	—	1,299 0 0	577	25	42	40	18
Mr. R. R. Cotton, Acting Subordinate Judge, from 1st January to 31st December 1850		219	91½	8	136	90	60	5	9,749 0 0	573	5	42	159	74	—
Hazee Fuzil Ally Khan, Moofy Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December 1850		291	124	11	34	99	85	—	5,067 0 0	257	14	42	29	—	—
Mr. G. Terosmalcherry, Hindoo Sudder Ameen, from 1st to 31st January 1850		7	2	2	—	—	1	—	27 15 10	14	—	—	1	—	—
Aroonachelliah, Acting Hindoo Sudder Ameen, from 14th February to 13th March 1850		5	—	—	—	—	1	—	88 9 11	7	—	65	2	—	—
Valuppa Moodely, Acting Hindoo ditto, from 1st to 17th April 1850		22	7	12	—	—	4	—	373 15 5	20	—	—	5	—	—
Coopparow, Hindoo Sudder Ameen, from 20th May to 31st December 1850		259	145	20	10	168	42	—	3,643 6 7	287	2	8	19	—	—
Aroonachelliah, District Moonsiff of Ummapett, from 1st January to 13th February 1850		67	27	18	—	—	23	—	840 2 11	147	—	—	—	—	—
Valuppa Moodely, Acting ditto, from 20th February to 31st March 1850		95	29½	22	—	—	62	—	500 9 5	97	—	12	12	—	—
Venkataramiah, District Moonsiff of Ummapett, from 6th April to 31st December 1850		605	301½	34	5	288	365	126	17,007 3 0	1,376	5	—	—	—	—
Meer Goolamali Meerunn, ditto of Kintoorry, from 1st January to 31st December 1850		733	281½	23	4	300	288	537	6,446 1 8	288	75	—	—	—	—
Valuppa Moodely, ditto of Naincul, from 1st January to 16th February 1850		93	39	26	—	—	52	2	132 0 0	122	—	—	—	—	—
Venkataramiah, ditto of ditto, from 23d February to 3d April 1850		99	62½	46	—	—	33	—	130 0 0	263	—	19	—	—	—
Sunjeevee Naidoo, ditto of ditto, from 15th April to 31st December 1850		466	232½	27	29	314	416	17	10,055 0 0	709	18	—	—	—	—
Coopparow, ditto of Tripastore, from 1st January to 16th February 1850		62	43	28	—	—	31	4	839 0 0	52	—	12	—	—	—
Venkataramiah, ditto of ditto, from 1st March to 31st December 1850	363	212	21	42	338	127	82	6,370 0 0	457	6	—	—	—	—	
Venkataramiah, ditto of Yadapaudy, from 1st January to 15th February 1850	92	55	36	—	—	26	—	699 4 11	142	—	—	—	—	—	
Venkataramiah, ditto of ditto, from 6th March to 31st December 1850	382	232½	23	4	119	141	20	5,238 0 3	633	19	19	—	—	—	
Venkataramiah, ditto of Ossore, from 1st January to 15th March 1850	62	17	11	—	—	11	2	450 4 10	16	—	—	—	—	—	
Coopparow, ditto of ditto, from 27th February to 6th May 1850	56	20	8	—	—	44	—	930 0 9	74	—	27	—	—	—	
Soobah, ditto of ditto, from 21st May to 31st December 1850	309	180½	25	5	252	130	23	6,553 3 2	417	64	—	—	—	—	
Tellicherry	Mr. W. A. Forsyth, Civil Judge, from 1st January to 12th March and from 1st May to 31st December 1850	134	115	11	22	274	27	—	3,522 4 2	739	38	41	18	168	—
	Mr. R. W. Chatfield, Acting Civil Judge, from 17th to 30th April 1850	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	14	—	1	—
	Appaiaya, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 6th May and from 16th October to 31st December 1850	146	90	20	378	160	34	—	3,553 5 11	419	28	60	32	2	—

Abstract of Civil Work performed by the several Judicial Officers during the Year 1850—continued.

Zillahs.	Designation and Names of Officers.	Total Number of Suits disposed of during the Year.	Total Number of Suits disposed of on their Merits during the Year.	Average Number of Suits disposed of on Merits per Mensem exclusive of the Period during which the Court was closed.	State of File at the Close of the Year.		Total Number of Decrees executed during the Year.			Total Number of miscellaneous Petitions disposed of by Orders during the Year.	Balance of miscellaneous Petitions at the Close of the Year.	Court closed.	Criminal.		Remarks.	
					Suits of more than 12 Months standing.	Suits filed within the Year.	Fully.	Partially.	Amount recovered.				Case.	Miscellaneous Petitions.		
Visagapatam Agent—comd.	A. S. Mathison, Esq., Principal Assistant, from 26th January to 16th July 1850, Officiating Agent from 17th July to 14th September 1850, and again Principal Assistant from 15th September to 31st December 1850	55	43	4	39	38	14	6	657 6 0	28	18	25	—	—		
	C. T. Arbuthnot, Acting Assistant of Visagapatam in charge of the Office of the Assistant of Vizianagrum, from 15th July to 31st December 1850	66	20	4	77	77	20	45	3,300 8 5	104	23	—	—	—		
	C. T. Arbuthnot, Esq., Acting Assistant of Visagapatam, from 16th July to 31st December 1850	37	13	2	52	48	14	3	1,731 13 11	30	17	—	—	—		
	F. H. Crozier, Esq., Special Assistant to the Agent	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	24	—	
	N. Soobarow, Acting District Moonsiff of Vizianagrum, from 1st Jan. to 16th June and from 23d August to 31st December 1850	427	240	24	78	419	158	129	4,307 8 8	287	935	80	—	—	—	
	M. Juggarow, District Moonsiff of Vizianagrum, from 17th June to 22d August 1850	37	18	9	—	—	16	1	210 5 1	17	—	42	—	—	—	
B. Parvateswarasstry, District Moonsiff of Vudiaty, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1850	455	197	16	2	148	186	111	3,508 2 5	333	195	16	—	—	—		
B. Antanah Puntloo, District Moonsiff of Seetanagrum, from 1st Jan. to 31st December 1850	648	366	30	42	307	260	59	8,971 5 9	401	567	39	—	—	—		

Sudder Udalt, Register's Office,
8th December 1851.E. E. per G. T. BEAUCHAMP,
Register.

No. 102.

Judicial Department.

EXTRACT from the MINUTES of CONSULTATION under Date the 12th Feb. 1852.

Read the following Letter from the Register of the Sudder Udalt.

Submitting the Civil Reports for the Year 1850. (Here enter 8th December 1851, No. 142.)

Para. 1. The Right Honourable the Governor in Council proceeds to review the Tables submitted by the Court of Sudder Udalt with their Register's Letter dated 8th December 1851, No. 142, explanatory of the Administration of Civil Justice under the Madras Presidency during 1850.

2. The Number of Original Suits filed during the Year in all the Zillahs, exclusive of the Agencies, for which there are no Returns, amounted to 78,427, the Value sued for, being Rupees 54,82,053. The Suits were in Excess of those filed in 1849 by 7,993—and the Value of Property under Litigation greater by Rupees 7,67,218. The Increase occurred chiefly in the Courts of the District Moonsiffs (where there had been a Decrease in 1849), 4,695 Suits, Sudder Ameens 1,847, and Village Moonsiffs 1,436. The greatest Number of Suits was filed in Combaconum 10,311; in the other Zillahs the Number ranged from 1,915 in Chicacole, to 5,778 in Cuddapah.

	No. of Suits.	Amount Rs.
1849	70,434	47,14,835
1850	78,427	54,82,053
Increase	7,993	7,67,218

PARTICULARS of the above.

	Number of Suits.	Amount in Rupees.	Increase compared with 1849.	
			Suits.	Value.
For Land	4,742	9,71,473	240	2,48,067
Houses or other fixed Property	1,805	2,27,592	109	83,873
Bonds and simple Debts	64,092	37,13,172	7,089	3,08,841
On other Accounts	7,988	5,69,816	562	1,26,437
	78,427	54,82,053	7,993	7,67,218

The Number of Suits filed for the Recovery of Sums due on Bonds and as simple Debts, 64,092, was more than 80 per Cent. of the entire Number; the Value of the Land under Litigation was above One Fourth of the Sum at Stake on account of Bonds and simple Debts. The Value of the Land sued for in the Six Zillahs named below, was 72 per Cent. of that under Litigation in all the Zillahs together:—

Chittoor	-	-	-	-	-	1,24,117
Combaconum	-	-	-	-	-	1,42,202
Madura	-	-	-	-	-	1,10,501
Calicut	-	-	-	-	-	1,04,854
Mangalore	-	-	-	-	-	1,27,356
Honore	-	-	-	-	-	96,446
						<u>7,05,476</u>
Total of all Zillahs	-	-	-	-	-	<u>9,71,473</u>

3. Table showing the Description of the Parties in Suits instituted in 1850.

Plaintiffs.								Defendants.							
Zemindars.		Renters.		Ryots.		Merchants and others.		Zemindars.		Renters.		Ryots.		Merchants and others.	
1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.	1849.	1850.
6,604	8,905	2,416	3,284	19,286	21,714	45,822	52,777	9,061	11,399	7,337	5,462	46,179	53,621	48,821	60,373

4. The Number of Original Suits decreed (including Agency Courts) is given at 31,742, or 4,218 more than in 1849. This Excess, however, includes the Suits (3,598) decided by Village Moonsiffs, Village and District Punchayets, which were omitted in last Year's Return. Allowing for this, the actual Increase is only 1,341.

	1849.	1850.
Village Punchayets	E. 13	7
Village Moonsiffs	F. 2,862	3,588
District Punchayets	G. 2	3
District Moonsiffs	H. 21,573	21,470
Sudder Ameens	K. 4,425	4,929
Subordinate Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, and Assistants to Agencies	N. 1,495	1,700
Civil Judges	Q. 31	45
	<u>30,401</u>	<u>31,742</u>

The Per-centage of original Suits decided in favour of Plaintiffs to the whole Number decreed was 86, and was highest in Cuddapah, 95, and Calicut, 92, and lowest in Tinnevely, 70, and Rajahmundry, 74. The Per-centage of Appeals decided in favour of the Appellants was 44. The Per-centages in 1849 were respectively 85 and 45.

5. The average Duration of each Suit disposed of in 1850, excluding Agencies for which no Returns were rendered in the preceding Year, was on the whole greater than in 1849, both in Original Suits and in Appeals.

	Original Suits.			Appeal Suits.		
	Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.
1849	-	-	-	0	11	22
1850	-	-	-	1	1	8
Increase	-	-	-	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>16</u>

Looking to the Results of 1850, inclusive of the Agencies, it is observed that in Original Suits the Duration has been greatest in the Tellicherry Zillah, Years 3-0-18, in 12 Zillahs it was above One Year and below it in 10 others, of which Bellary, Coimbatore, and Kurnool are the most remarkable, the Average being little above 3 Months. In Appeals the average Period occupied was greatest in the Ganjam Agency, Years 3-1-8; it was above 2 Years in 4 Zillahs, above 1 in 6, and below it in 12, in six of which it was 6 Months and under, the lowest being in Bellary 1M. 17D.

6. Comparing the new judicial System with the System in force prior to its Introduction in 1843, the average Time required for passing a Suit through its several Stages to a final Judgment

Judgment in Appeal is less in 10 Zillahs and greater in 12. The Costs are higher in 11 Zillahs, the same in 3, and less in 8. The Averages in this Table C. have been struck on the whole Number of Suits decreed, the Instructions conveyed in Extract Minutes of Consultation, 21st June last, on this and other Points; i.e., the Returns from the Agencies P. 18., apparently not having reached the Sudder Udalt in time to be acted upon for the Year under Review.

7. From Table D. the following Results appear of the Suits disposed of, and depending in 1850, compared with the Average of the Three Years 1840, 1841, and 1842.

Disposed of		Original	Appeal	Total
Annual Average of 1840 (1 and 2)	-	62,067	2,780	64,847
1850	-	64,499	2,650	67,149
		Inc. 2,432	Dec. 130	Inc. 2,302
Depending at the Close of				
1842	-	35,177	4,468	39,645
1850	-	40,336	3,035	43,371
		Inc. 5,159	Dec. 1,433	Inc. 3,726

8. The Number of Suits pending and instituted before the Village Moon-siffs, excluding Agencies, was 14,732 or 2,404 more than in 1849; the Increase was chiefly in Masulipatam, Coimbatore, Trichinopoly, and Combaconum. The Number disposed of was 2,257 more than in 1849.

	1849.	1850.
Pending and instituted	12,328	14,732
Disposed of		
On the Merits	2,862	3,588
Adjusted by Razeenamah	3,573	4,182
Dismissed	2,268	3,190
Total	8,703	10,960
Depending 31st December	3,625	3,772
	12,328	14,732

9. Table H. shows a Decrease of 2,842 in the Number of Suits before the District Moonsiffs, and 1,884 in the Number disposed of by them.

	1849.	1850.
Pending, instituted, and referred	85,992	83,150
Disposed of		
On the Merits	21,573	21,470
Adjusted by Razeenamah	17,060	17,041
Dismissed for Default	8,038	2,625
Dismissed under Act XVII. of 1848	7,639	10,851
Called up or otherwise disposed of	1,240	1,679
Total	55,550	53,666
Dependin 31st December	30,442	29,484
	85,992	83,150

10. The Right Honourable the Governor in Council desires that for the future, in this and similar* Tables, the Suits pending, instituted, referred, and called up be separately exhibited. He is at a loss to reconcile the Decrease, 2,842, in the whole Number of Suits before the District Moonsiffs Courts, with the Increase, 4,695, Table A., in the Number filed. The Decrease in the Number pending at the Beginning of the Year

† Pending at End of 1848 or Beginning of 1849 38,478
Do. at End of 1849 or Beginning of 1850 30,442
Decrease - 8,034
appears to be 8,034 †, and deducting the Increase in Suits filed, 4,695, there remains a Decrease of 3,339 instead of 2,842, which would imply an Increase in the Number of the Suits referred to the Extent of the Difference, or 497; but

Table H. for 1849.
(110 h.)

• Table Q.

Tellicherry	-	-	99
Calicut	-	-	199
Mangalore	-	-	189
Honore	-	-	1,365
			<u>1,852</u>

but the total Number referred to all Courts* was only 1,167. The Decrease, 5,413, in the Number of Suits before District Moonsiffs dismissed for Default, and Increase, 3,212, in the Number dismissed under Act XVII. of 1848, are considerable, and should have been explained. Of the Number pending there were 4,071 Suits

under and 2,070 above Two Years standing. Nearly the whole of these last are in the Zillahs on the Western Coast.

Table I. 11. There were 1,781 Appeals preferred within the Year from the Decisions of the District Moonsiffs, bearing to the total Number of appealable Decrees passed the Proportion of 15 per Cent. The Number of Appeals from District Moonsiffs Courts disposed of within the Year was 1,503; of which 800 were affirmed by the Appellate Courts. 391 reversed or modified. 219 remanded. 93 otherwise disposed of.

The Ratio of Appeals preferred to Decrees passed was greatest in Tellicherry, 31; Tinnevely, 25; and Chicacole 24 per Cent. The Proportion of Decrees reversed or modified on Appeal to the whole Number of Appeals disposed of within the Year was highest in those from the Chingleput District Moonsiffs 51, Honore 45, and Rajahmundry 42 per Cent.

Tables K. L. M. 12. These Tables show an Increase of 1,587 in the Number of Suits before the Sudder Ameens, and 390 in the Number disposed of by them.

		1849.	1850.
Pending, instituted, and referred	-	18,735	20,322
Disposed of			
On the Merits	-	4,425	4,929
Adjusted by Razeenamah	-	3,424	3,185
Dismissed for Default	-	1,366	931
Dismissed under Act XVII. of 1848	-	1,464	1,953
Called up or otherwise disposed of	-	425	496
		<u>11,104</u>	<u>11,494</u>
Depending 31st December	-	7,631	8,828
		<u>18,735</u>	<u>20,322</u>

Of the Suits still pending, 363 are of more than Two Years standing, and of these nearly One Third, 108, are in the Mangalore Court.

13. The Appeals preferred within the Year bore to the whole Number of appealable Decrees passed during the same Period the Proportion of 23 per Cent. On examining the Manner in which the Appeals disposed of within the Year were dealt with, it seems that of those from the Coimbatore Zillah, no less than 14 out of 23 were reversed or modified. The Proportion is likewise large in Tinnevely, 52 per Cent.; Rajahmundry, 51.

14. Table N. showing the Number of Original Suits disposed of by Subordinate Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, and Assistants to the Agencies.

		1849.	1850.
Pending, instituted, and referred	-	8,110	8,540
Disposed of			
On the Merits	-	1,495	1,700
Adjusted by Razeenamah	-	1,313	1,244
Dismissed for Default	-	290	247
Dismissed under Act XVII of 1848	-	705	841
Called up or otherwise disposed of	-	583	914
		<u>4,386</u>	<u>4,946</u>
Pending 31st December	-	3,724	3,594
		<u>8,110</u>	<u>8,540</u>

15. From the above it appears that there was an Increase of 430 Suits over the preceding Year in the Number before these Tribunals, an Increase of 560 in the Number disposed of, and a Decrease of 130 in the Number, 3,594, remaining at the Close of the Year.

16. The

16. The Appeal Suits disposed of by the same Authorities are shown below.

	1849.	1850.
Pending and referred	1,530	1,733
Disposed of		
On the Merits	894	804
Adjusted by Razeenamah	29	17
Dismissed for Default	7	10
Dismissed under Act XVII of 1848	12	10
Called up or otherwise disposed of	24	69
	966	910
Depending 31st December	564	823
	1,530	1,733

Table O. 17. The Number of Appeals preferred from the Decisions of the Subordinate Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, and Assistants to Agencies, was 421, or 84 above 1849, and bore to the total Number of appealable Decrees passed within the Year, the Proportion of 24 per cent. The Number of Appeals from these Tribunals disposed of within the Year was 329, viz.,

169 affirmed.
101 reversed.
32 remanded.
27 otherwise disposed of.

Table Q. 18. Table Q. showing the Number of Original Suits disposed of by Civil Judges.

	1849.	1850.
Pending, instituted, and called up	392	361
Disposed of		
On the Merits	31	45
Adjusted by Razeenamah	32	53
Dismissed for Default	11	11
Dismissed under Act XVII of 1848	5	29
	79	138
Depending 31st December	313	223
	392	361

19. The above Table shows a Decrease of 31 Suits in the Number before the Civil Judges, an Increase of 59 in the Number disposed of by them, and a Decrease of 90 in the Number pending at the Close of the Year.

20. The Appeal Suits disposed of by the same Authorities are shown below.

	1849.	1850.
Pending, instituted, and called up	4,620	5,535
Disposed of		
On the Merits	1,674	1,817
Adjusted by Razeenamah	38	43
Dismissed for Default	51	66
Dismissed under Act XVII of 1848	17	40
Referred to Subordinate Courts	749	1,167
	2,529	3,133
Depending 31st December	2,091	2,402
	4,620	5,533

Table R. 21. There were 16 Appeals preferred within the Year from the Decisions of the Civil Judges, the Number of appealable Cases being 45. The Number of Appeals disposed of was 10, of which 4 were affirmed, 3 reversed, 1 remanded, and 2 otherwise disposed of.

Table T. 22. Table T. showing the Number of Appeal Suits disposed of by the Sudder Udalut.

	1849.	1850.
Pending and filed	144	105
Disposed of		
On Merits	87	52
Adjusted by Razeenamah	5	0
Dismissed for Default	1	2
	93	54
Pending 31st December	51	51
	144	105

Besides the above, the Sudder Court disposed of 597 Petitions and 205 Applications for special Appeals.

Tables J.M.P.S.U. 23. The following Tables exhibit a Classification of the Suits disposed of by the several Tribunals according to the Value of the Property in Litigation.

—	10,000 Rupees and upwards.	5,000 to 10,000 Rupees.	1,000 to 5,000 Rupees.	Under 1,000 Rupees.	Gross Value of Suits disposed of during the Year.	Average Duration of Original Suits.		Average Duration of Appeal Suits.			
						Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.		
Sudder Udalut	6	2	14	32	21,35,414	—	—	0	11	0	
Civil Judges	25	21	103	1,955	7,35,360	1	10	0	1	2	28
Subordinate Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, and Assis- tants to Agencies	—	28	210	4,635	9,15,403	1	2	11	1	6	18
Sudder Ameens	—	—	176	10,822	9,30,992	0	5	24	—	—	—
District Moonsiffs	—	—	—	51,987	18,76,500	0	6	16	—	—	—

24. The Work performed by the several Judicial Officers during the *Enclosure No. 22. Year 1850 as shown in the Abstract* accompanying the Register's Letter is viewed by the Right Honourable the Governor in Council as on the whole satisfactory.

(A true Extract.)

T. PYCROFT,

Secretary to Government.

Bengal Criminal Justice, 1850

ABSTRACT STATEMENTS relative to the ADMINISTRATION of CRIMINAL JUSTICE in the LOWER PROVINCES for 1850.

No. 875.

From the Register of the SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT to J. P. GRANT Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of Bengal.

Sir,
I am directed by the Court to request that you will lay before his Honour the Deputy Governor the annexed Abstract Statements, relating to the Administration of Criminal Justice in the Lower Provinces for the Year 1850.

2. The Statements have been prepared in the Form of those for 1847 and following Years.

I have the Honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

B. J. COLVIN,

Register.

NIZAMUT ADAWLUT.

Present:

A. Dick, Esq.

Sir R. Barlow, Bart.

J. R. Colvin,

and

J. Dunbar, Esqrs.

and

A. J. M. Mills,

Esq.

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

—

LIST OF STATEMENTS FOR 1850.

- No. 1. Statement of the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Year 1850 by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates and Assistants, and the Sudder Ameens and Law Officers, in the Lower Provinces, and the Number committed to take their Trial at the Sessions.
- No. 2. Statement showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Year 1850 by the Sessions Courts in the Lower Provinces, and the Number of Persons whose Cases were referred to the Nizamut Adawlut.
- No. 3. Statement showing the Number of Criminal Cases referred or appealed to the Nizamut Adawlut during the Year 1850, with the Orders passed thereon, together with an Abstract Statement of Criminal Business disposed of during the Year 1850.
- No. 4. Statement showing the Number of Appeals preferred to the Sessions Courts during the Year 1850 from the Orders of the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, Assistants with special Powers, and other Assistants, in the Lower Provinces, in Criminal Trials, as well as in miscellaneous Cases.
- No. 5. Statement of Summary Suits under Act IV. of 1840 disposed of in the Lower Provinces in the Year 1850.
- No. 6. Abstract of the Calendar of Persons convicted and acquitted by the Magistrates and Assistants, Deputy Magistrates, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Law Officers in the Lower Provinces during the Year 1850.
- No. 7. Statement showing the Number of Persons in Confinement in the Lower Provinces in the Year 1850 in default of Security for good Conduct or to keep the Peace.
- No. 8. Statement of Criminal Cases tried with the Assistance of Natives, under Regulation VI. of 1832, or the Law Officers, in the Lower Provinces, in the Year 1850.
- No. 9. Statement of the Sentences passed by the several Criminal Courts in the Lower Provinces during the Year 1850.

B. J. COLVIN,

Register.

No. 1.

Abstract Statement of the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Law Officers, in the Lower Provinces, and the Number

	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
	Prisoners under Examination on the 1st January 1850.	Apprehended during the Year.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Committed.	Died.
Total -	2,326	93,606	420	96,352	55,252	34,292	3,615	83

Comparative

1849 -	2,317	99,424	302	102,043	59,363	35,628	3,663	90
1850 -	2,326	93,606	420	96,352	55,252	34,292	3,615	83
Increase -	9	—	118	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease -	—	5,818	—	5,691	4,111	1,336	48	7

No. 2.

Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and Number of Persons whose Cases were

	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Prisoners under Trial on the 1st January 1850.	Committed in 1850.	Received back from the Nizamut Adawlut.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Convicted.
Total -	383	*3,742	17	5	4,147	1,740
Deduct -		127				
		3,615				

Comparative

1849 -	500	3,663	6	8	4,177	1,839
1850 -	383	*3,742	17	5	4,147	1,740
Increase -	—	79	11	—	—	—
Decrease -	117	—	—	3	30	99

* Including 10 Persons whose Commitments were cancelled.
 " 2 ditto committed by Sessions Judge of Moorshedabad.
 " 115 ditto ditto by Captain Nation, Assistant General Superintendent Thuggee Department.

No. 1.

Year 1850 by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates and Assistants, and the Sudder Ameen and committed to take their Trial at the Sessions.

11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	Explanation of Column 8.			
Escaped.	Transferred.	Pending.		Total.	Summoned by the Magistrate and his Subordinates.	Sent in by the Police.	Released on Bail by the Police, and not required to appear before the Magistrate.	Total.
		In Jail.	On Bail.					
493	468	637	1,512	2,149	18,633	8,773	6,886	34,292

Abstract.

585	388	694	1,632	2,326	18,223	10,569	6,836	35,628
493	468	637	1,512	2,149	18,633	8,773	6,886	34,292
—	80	—	—	—	410	—	50	—
92	—	57	120	177	—	1,796	—	1,336

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 2.

convicted in the Year 1850 by the Sessions Courts in the Lower Provinces, and the referred to the Nizamut Adawlut.

9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Acquitted.	Referred to Nizamut Adawlut.	Commitment cancelled.	Died.	Escaped.	Transferred.	Pending.
1,226	529	39	21	—	5	587

Abstract.

1,361	535	32	24	1	2	383
1,226	529	39	21	—	5	587
—	—	7	—	—	3	204
135	6	—	3	1	—	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 3.

*Abstract Statement showing the Number of Criminal Cases referred or appealed to the Nizamut
Statement of Criminal Business*

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.
	Trials referred under the Regulations.							Trials called for on Perusal of the Abstract.							Petitions of Appeals from Sentences of the Sessions Courts presented to the Court or forwarded by the Sessions Judge.					
	Pending on the 1st January 1850.	Received during the Year 1850.	Total Number of Persons under Trial.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	Number of Persons whose Cases were remanded.	Pending at the End of the Year 1850.	Pending on the 1st January 1850.	Received during the Year 1850.	Total.	Order confirmed.	Order modified.	Order reversed.	Pending at the End of the Year 1850.	Pending on the 1st January 1850.	Received during the Year 1850.	Total.	Petition rejected as being beyond Time or for some other Irregularity.	Petition admitted and the Case called for.	Pending at the End of the Year 1850.
Regulation Provinces -	14	202	519	305	176	16	8	1	25	26	10	4	11	1	1	378	379	48	325	6
Non-Regulation Provinces -	-	26	48	33	6	9	-	-	3	3	2	-	1	-	-	5	5	-	5	-
Grand Total -	14	228	567	338	182	25	8	1	28	29	12	4	12	1	1	383	384	48	330	6
	242			545						28								378		

Comparative

1849 -	5	258	621	420	149	10	14	-	20	20	6	3	10	1	4	290	294	2	291	1
1850 -	14	228	567	338	182	25	8	1	28	29	12	4	12	1	1	383	384	48	330	6
Increase -	9	-	-	-	33	15	-	1	8	9	6	1	2	-	-	93	90	46	39	5
Decrease -	-	30	54	32	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-

No. 4.

Abstract Statement showing the Number of Appeals preferred to the Sessions Courts during Powers, and other Assistants, in the Lower Provinces, in Criminal Trials

Appeals in regular Trials.							
	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Number pending on 1st January 1850.	Preferred during the Year.	Total.	Appeal rejected.	Order confirmed.	Order modified or reversed.	Pending on 1st January 1851.
Total -	256	4,844	5,100	521	2,568	1,740	271

Comparative

1849 -	385	4,982	5,368	483	2,859	1,770	256
1850 -	256	4,844	5,100	521	2,568	1,740	271
Increase -	-	-	-	38	-	-	15
Decrease -	129	138	268	-	291	30	-

Adawlut during the Year 1850, with the Orders passed thereon, together with an Abstract disposed of during the Year.

21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.	29.	30.	31.	32.	33.	34.
Trials called for on Petitions of Appeal.							Magistrates Trials referred under the Circular Order 18th March 1842 and Act XXXI. 1841.						
Pending on the 1st January 1850.	Received during the Year 1850.	Total.	Order confirmed.	Order modified.	Order reversed.	Pending at the End of the Year 1850.	Pending on the 1st January 1850.	Received during the Year 1850.	Total.	Magistrates Order confirmed.	Ditto, ditto modified.	Ditto, ditto reversed.	Pending at the End of the Year 1850.
17	320	337	206	31	94	6	3	32	35	10	4	19	2
3	5	8	2	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	325	345	208	33	98	6	3	32	35	10	4	19	2
			339							33			

Of the 634 Trials decided during the Year 1850.		Regulation Provinces.	Non-Regulation Provinces.
Mr. Aber. Dick, recorded his Opinion on	- - -	111	6
Mr. C. Tucker, ditto	- - -	6	-
Sir Robert Barlow, ditto	- - -	105	9
Mr. W. B. Jackson, ditto	- - -	102	4
Mr. J. R. Colvin, ditto	- - -	148	10
Mr. J. Dunbar, ditto	- - -	165	12
		657	41
		698	

Abstract.

7	287	294	156	17	101	20	2	36	38	7	3	25	3
20	325	345	208	33	98	6	3	32	35	10	4	19	2
13	38	51	52	16	-	-	1	-	-	3	1	-	-
-	-	-	-	3	14	-	4	3	-	-	-	6	1

ATTENDANCE OF JUDGES.
 Mr. C. Tucker, joined 12th December.
 Mr. A. Dick, absent till 4th February.
 Sir R. Barlow, absent from 1st to 24th February.
 Mr. W. B. Jackson, absent from 1st to 24th March.
 Mr. J. R. Colvin, present throughout the Year.
 Mr. J. Dunbar, till 12th December.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

the Year 1850 from the Orders of the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates, Assistants with special as well as in miscellaneous Cases, with the Orders passed thereon.

Appeals in miscellaneous Cases.

9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Number pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred during the Year.	Total.	Appeal rejected.	Order confirmed.	Order modified or reversed.	Pending on 1st January 1851.
273	3,231	3,504	502	1,455	1,064	483

Abstract.

523	2,887	3,410	448	1,516	1,173	273
273	3,231	3,504	502	1,455	1,064	483
-	344	94	54	-	-	210
250	-	-	-	61	109	-

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 5.

Abstract Statement of Summary Suits under Act IV. of 1840

—	Pending on 1st January 1850.	Preferred during the Year.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.
Total -	777	5,810	1,556	8,143	1,566

Comparative

1849 -	714	5,688	1,245	7,647	1,266
1850 -	777	5,810	1,556	8,143	1,566
Increase -	63	122	311	496	300
Decrease -	—	—	—	—	—

No. 6.

*Abstract of the Calendar of Persons convicted and acquitted by the Magistrates, Joint Magistrates,
the Lower Provinces.*

—	By the Magistrates.			By the Joint Magistrates.			By the Assistants.			By the Deputy Magistrates with Magisterial Powers.			By the Deputy Magistrates with special Powers.		
	Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.	
		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.
Total -	16,195	18,928	12,351	10,070	11,614	7,840	4,001	4,522	2,411	8,982	10,981	6,605	1,481	1,548	902

Comparative

1849 -	17,183	22,420	13,961	8,448	8,973	6,458	4,669	5,717	2,878	6,651	8,139	5,528	2,899	3,891	1,651
1850 -	16,195	18,928	12,351	10,070	11,614	7,840	4,001	4,522	2,411	8,982	10,981	6,605	1,481	1,548	902
Increase -	—	—	—	1,622	2,641	1,382	—	—	—	2,331	2,842	1,077	—	—	—
Decrease -	988	3,492	1,610	—	—	—	668	1,195	467	—	—	—	1,418	2,343	749

No. 5.

disposed of in the Lower Provinces in the Year 1850.

Remaining to be disposed of.	Decided on their Merits.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Total disposed of.	Pending on 1st January 1851.
6,577	3,785	666	1,378	5,829	749

Abstract.

6,381	3,484	644	1,476	5,604	777
6,577	3,785	666	1,378	5,829	748
196	301	22	—	225	—
—	—	—	98	—	29

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 6.

Assistants, Deputy Magistrates, Principal Sudder Ameen, Sudder Ameen, and Law Officers, in during the Year 1850.

By the Deputy Magistrate with ordinary Powers.			By the Principal Sudder Ameen with Magisterial Powers.			By the Principal Sudder Ameen without Magisterial Powers.			By the Sudder Ameen with and without Special Powers.			By the Law Officers with and without special Powers.			TOTAL.		
Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.		Cases.	Number of Persons.	
	Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.		Punished.	Acquitted.
77	86	19	489	434	401	560	668	364	437	466	336	5,218	6,005	3,063	47,510	55,252	34,292

Abstract.

760	693	340	736	752	627	634	785	470	741	874	592	5,872	7,119	3,213	48,593	59,363	35,628
77	86	19	489	434	401	560	668	364	437	466	336	5,218	6,005	3,603	47,510	55,252	34,292
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
583	607	321	247	318	226	74	117	106	304	408	256	654	1,114	150	1,083	4,111	1,336

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 7. - - - - -

Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons in Confinement in the Lower Provinces

—	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
	Number of Prisoners in Jail on 1st January 1850.	Ordered to furnish Security during the Year.	Total	Released during the Year.	
				On furnishing the required Security.	On furnishing reduced Security.
Total -	1,362	1,627	2,989	182	197

Comparative

1849 -	1,001	1,864	2,865	170	48
1850 -	1,362	1,627	2,989	182	197
Increase -	361	—	124	12	149
Decrease -	—	237	—	—	—

No. 8. - - - - -

Abstract Statement of Criminal Cases tried with the Assistance of Natives, and

—	Number of Cases decided by the Sessions Judges	Cases tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.			Cases tried with the Assistance of Assessors.		
		Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Award of the Panchayet.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Award of the Panchayet.	Total.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Total
Total -	1,131	—	—	—	76	16	92

Comparative

1849 -	1,258	—	—	—	98	27	125
1850 -	1,131	—	—	—	76	16	92
Increase -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decrease -	127	—	—	—	22	11	33

- No. 7.

in the Year 1850 in default of Security for good Conduct or to keep the Peace.

7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Year.		Transferred to other Districts to give Security.	Died.	Escaped.	In Confinement on the 1st January 1851.
On Moochulka.	Unconditionally.				
5	1,524	3	52	—	1,026

Abstract.

22	1,208	5	47	2	1,863
5	1,524	3	52	—	1,026
—	316	—	5	—	—
17	—	2	—	2	337

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

- - - - - No. 8.

regulation VI. of 1832, or the Law Officers, in the Lower Provinces, in the Year 1850.

Cases tried with the Assistance of a Jury.			Cases tried with the Assistance of the Law Officers.		
Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Opinion of the Law Officer.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Opinion of the Law Officer.	Total
113	25	138	578	83	661

Abstract.

171	18	189	627	92	719
113	25	138	578	83	661
—	7	—	—	—	—
58	—	51	49	9	58

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Bengal Criminal Justice, 1850.

STATEMENTS submitted by the COURT OF NIZAMUT ADAWLUT relative to the
ADMINISTRATION of CRIMINAL JUSTICE in the NON-REGULATION PROVINCES
for 1850.

No. 1,393.

From the REGISTER of the NIZAMUT ADAWLUT to J. P. GRANT Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of Bengal.

Sir, Fort William, the 26th December 1851.

• Hazareeoagh, In continuation of my Letter, No. 875, dated the 22d August last, I NIZAMUT ADAWLUT.
Assam, am directed by the Court to forward to you the accompanying Abstract Present:
Tenasserim, Statements relating to the Administration of Criminal Justice in the J. R. Colvin, Esq., Judge.
Arrakan, Non-Regulation Provinces * for the Year 1850.
Cachar, and
Cossya Hills.

I have the Honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

B. J. COLVIN,

Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Years 1849 and 1850 by the Magistrates and their Assistants and the Sudder Amcens in the Extra-Regulation Provinces, and the Number committed to take their Trial at the Sessions.

1. YEARS.	2. Prisoners under Examination on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	3. Apprehended during the Two Years.	4. Received by Transfer.	5. Total.	6. Convicted.	7. Acquitted.	8. Committed.	9. Died.	10. Escaped.	11. Transferred.	12. PENDING.			13. REMARKS.
											In Jail.	On Bail.	Total.	
1849 - -	265	13,611	48	13,924	8,065	5,152	356	21	8	14	136	172	308	
1850 - -	308	14,361	20	14,689	8,155	5,800	347	10	10	22	128	217	345	

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons brought to Trial, acquitted, and convicted in the Years 1849 and 1850 by the Sessions Courts in the Extra-Regulation Provinces, and the Number of Persons whose Cases were referred to the Nizamut Adawlut.

1. YEARS.	2. Prisoners under Trial on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	3. Committed in 1849 and 1850.	4. Received back from the Nizamut Adawlut.	5. Received by Transfer.	6. Total.	7. Convicted.	8. Acquitted.	9. Referred to the Nizamut Adawlut.	10. Commitments cancelled.	11. Died.	12. Escaped.	13. Transferred.	14. Pending.	15. REMARKS.
1849 - -	54	356	—	—	410	187	128	41	4	3	—	6	38	
1850 - -	38	343	—	—	381	177	81	40	12	—	—	3	68	
		Deduct Difference between actual and nominal Number					11							
							166							

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

(438)

Comparative Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons whose Cases were referred to the Nizamut Adawlut by the Sessions Courts in the Extra-Regulation Provinces during the Years 1849 and 1850, and the Manner in which they were disposed of.

1. YEARS.	2. Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	3. Referred during the Two Years.	4. Total under Trial.	5. Convicted.	6. Acquitted.	7. Remanded to the Sessions Courts.	8. Trials quashed.	9. Died.	10. Escaped.	11. Under Trial.	12. REMARKS.
1849 - -	-	44	44	34	6	-	1	1	-	2	
1850 - -	2	40	42	33	6	1	-	-	-	2	

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement showing the Number of Appeals preferred to the Sessions Courts during the Years 1849 and 1850 from the Orders of the Magistrates and Joint Magistrates in the Extra-Regulation Provinces in Criminal Trials as well as in miscellaneous Cases, with the Orders passed thereon.

APPEALS IN REGULAR TRIALS.								APPEALS IN MISCELLANEOUS CASES.								16. REMARKS.
1. YEARS.	2. Number pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	3. Preferred during the Two Years.	4. Total.	5. Appeals rejected.	6. Orders confirmed.	7. Orders modified or reversed.	8. Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.	9. Number pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	10. Preferred during the Two Years.	11.* Total.	12. Appeals rejected.	13. Orders confirmed.	14. Orders modified or reversed.	15. Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.		
1849	41	302	343	27	174	105	37	27	217	244	36	151	41	16	* Not including 7 } Transferred to † Not including 3 } other Courts.	
1850	37	382	419	68	196	119	*29	16	270	286	53	127	77	†26		

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

(110%)

3 K 2

(439)

Comparative Abstract Statement of Civil Suits tried with Assistance of Native Assessors in the Division of Assam for the Years 1849 and 1850.

—	Suits tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.			Suits tried with the Assistance of Assessors.			Suits tried with the Assistance of a Jury.		
	Number decided in accordance with the Award of the Panchayet.	Number decided contrary to the Award of the Panchayet.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number decided contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number decided contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total.
1849 -	68	7	75	—	—	—	—	—	—
1850 -	50	1	51	3	—	3	—	—	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of regular Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Division of Arracan, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	257	1,177	1,434	747	339	85	1,171	263
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	127	161	288	127	13	13	153	135
1850 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	263	1,167	1,430	766	362	2	1,130	300
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	135	174	309	110	21	—	131	178

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of miscellaneous Cases pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Division of Arracan, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

(1102)

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
		Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 {	Grand Total, Execution of Decrees - -	45	506	551	482	8	8	498	53
	Grand Total, other miscellaneous Cases - -	14	781	795	635	60	66	761	34
1850 {	Grand Total, Execution of Decrees - -	53	477	530	475	18	—	493	37
	Grand Total, other miscellaneous Cases - -	34	957	991	715	52	174	941	50

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of Civil Suits tried in Arracan with the Assistance of Native Assessors in the Years 1849 and 1850.

3 K 3

	Suits tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.			Suits tried with the Assistance of Assessors.			Suits tried with the Assistance of a Jury.		
	Number decided in accordance with the Award of the Panchayet.	Number decided contrary to the Award of the Panchayet.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number decided contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number decided contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total.
1849 - -	1	—	1	—	2	2	3	—	3
1850 - -	13	—	13	1	1	2	—	—	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

(411)

Comparative Abstract Statement of regular Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Tenasserim Provinces, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	283	6,253	6,536	4,268	1,917	251	6,436	100
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	50	595	645	422	35	21	478	167
1850 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	100	7,061	7,161	4,755	2,051	158	6,964	200
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	167	691	858	658	57	40	755	103

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of regular Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, of Zillah Cachar, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	110	487	597	274	210	7	491	106
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	—	34	34	25	1	7	33	1
1850 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	106	553	659	319	221	17	557	102
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	1	28	29	13	1	14	28	1

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of miscellaneous Cases pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, of Zillah Cachar, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

(1107)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted in the Years 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 {	Grand Total, Execution of Decrees - -	19	136	155	127	—	127	28
	Grand Total, other miscellaneous Cases - -	11	437	448	435	—	435	13
1850 {	Grand Total, Execution of Decrees - -	28	133	161	137	—	137	24
	Grand Total, other miscellaneous Cases - -	13	446	459	449	—	449	10

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

3 R 4

Comparative Abstract Statement of regular Suits pending, instituted, and disposed of in the Civil Court of the Cossyah Hills in the Years 1849 and 1850.

(413)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
—	Pending at the End of December 1849 and 1850.	Instituted during the Year 1849 and 1850.	Received by Transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	Remaining for Trial.	Finally disposed of.						Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
							Decided on Trial.			Adjusted or withdrawn.	Dismissed on Default.	Total.	
							In favour of Plaintiff.	In favour of Defendant.	Total.				
1849 -	26	57	—	83	—	83	19	4	23	9	34	66	17
1850 -	17	102	—	119	1	118	24	3	27	14	46	87	31

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Bengal Civil Justice, 1850.

STATEMENTS submitted by the COURT of SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT relative to the
ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE.

No. 1,193.

From the REGISTER of the SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT to J. P. GRANT
Esq., Secretary to the Government of Bengal.

SUDDER DEWANNY
ADAWLUT.
Present:

Aber. Dick, Esq.
Sir R. Barlow, Bt.,
and
J. R. Colvin, and
J. Dunbar, Esqrs. } Judges.

Fort William, the 18th July 1851.

Sir,
I AM directed to forward, for the Purpose of being submitted to the Honourable the Deputy Governor, the Abstract Statements, as per annexed List, relative to the Administration of Civil Justice in the Districts under the Control of the Court for the Year 1850.

2. The Statements have been prepared in the Form of those for 1847, with additional Explanations in Statement * No. 1, regarding the Regular and Special Appeals remanded for Retrial, in which the Mode of inserting Remands, suggested in your Letter No. 1,280, dated 18th ultimo, had been previously adopted.

* Nos. 1, 2, and 11 have been already sent with my Letter No. 937, dated 26th May last.

Mr. C. Tucker joined on the 12th December.
Mr. A. Dick absent till 4th February.
Sir R. Barlow absent from 1st to 24th February.
Mr. W. B. Jackson absent from 1st to 24th March.
Mr. J. R. Colvin present throughout the Year.
Mr. J. Dunbar present till 12th December.

3. There were Five Judges attached to the Court during the past Year, One throughout the Year, and Four nearly so. The late Mr. Tucker joined the Court on the 12th December, when Mr. Dunbar left. The aggregate of the Periods for which all the Judges attended was 4 Years

9 Months and 8 Days in 1850, to 4 Years 5 Months and 27 Days in 1849.

4. Mr. Jackson conducted the Duties of the English Department until the Return of Mr. Tucker, and Mr. Dunbar had charge of the Special Commissioner's Office. Mr. A. J. M. Mills officiated as Special Commissioner from 23d January to 5th February.

† Regular Appeals	-	-	270	} 369
Special Appeals	-	-	99	
‡ Regulation Provinces	-	-	262	} 311
Non ditto ditto	-	-	49	
§ Regular Appeals	-	-	260	} 420
Special Appeals	-	-	160	
Regulation Provinces	-	-	288	} 335
Non ditto ditto	-	-	47	

5. The Number of Regular and Special Appeals on the 1st January 1850 was 369 †, and that of Applications for the Admission of Special Appeals was 311. ‡ The State of the 2 Files at the Close of 1850 was as follows:

Regular and Special Appeals	-	-	420 §
Applications for the Admission of Special Appeals	-	-	335

	January 1st, 1850.	January 1st, 1851.
¶ Summary Appeals connected with Execution of Zillah Decrees, &c.	242	153
Summary Decrees connected with Execution of Sudder Decrees	48	31

6. The State of the Summary Appeal Files, under their Two leading Heads, was at the Commencement and Close of the Year as in the Margin. ¶

7. Explanation and Remarks on the State of the Files in 1850 have been offered in the Papers forwarded with my Letter above referred to,

No. 937, of the 26th May last, to which your Letter No. 1,280, of the 18th ultimo, was in reply.

e. In addition to the Work shown in the Civil Statements, Mr. Dunbar disposed of Cases in the Special Commissioner's Office as below:

Regular Appeals	-	-	-	265
Petitions of Appeal	-	-	-	374
Appeals gone through, but postponed for further Information	-	-	-	41

I have the Honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,
B. J. COLVIN,
Register.

LIST of STATEMENTS submitted with Court's Letter No. 1,193, dated the
18th July 1851.

- No. 1.*—Annual Abstract Report of Appeals depending before the Presidency Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the 1st January 1850 and 1851, and of the Number admitted and disposed of during the Year 1850.
- No. 2.*—General Abstract Statement of Cases decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut during 1850.
- No. 3.—Abstract Statement of Regular Cases depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851, and of the Number admitted and disposed of during the Year 1850, in the several Zillah and City Courts in the Lower Provinces.
- No. 4.—Abstract Statement showing in One View the Number of Cases that were pending in the several Lower Courts on the 1st January 1850, the Number admitted during that Year, the Number disposed of, and the Number depending at the End of the same Year, with the Discrepancies which the Returns exhibit.
- No. 5.—Abstract Statement of Civil Suits tried and decided under Regulation VI. 1832 in the Lower Provinces during the Year 1850.
- No. 6.—Abstract Statement showing the Years in which the Regular Suits and Appeals pending before the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, the Zillah and City Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs were originally instituted.
- No. 7.—Abstract Statement intended to show the Period which would elapse before the Decision of the Regular Suits depending in the Civil Courts on 1st January 1851, if calculated according to the average Number of Decisions passed during the last Five Years.
- No. 8.—General Abstract Statement of the different Descriptions of original Suits instituted before the Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs of the several Zillah and City Courts in the Lower Provinces during the Year 1850.
- No. 9.—Statement showing the total Value or Amount, in Company's Rupees, of Regular Suits, whether original or in Appeal, depending in the several Zillah and City Courts in the Lower Provinces on the 1st January 1851.
- No. 10.—Abstract Statement exhibiting the Number of appealable Cases decided by the several Classes of Native Judges in the Year 1850, the Number of Appeals actually preferred from their Decisions within the Year, and the Number of previous Appeals pending on the 1st January of that Year, with the Manner in which the Appeals were disposed of.
- No. 11.*—Result of the Miscellaneous and Summary Appeals from the Decisions of Zillah Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens preferred to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut in the Year 1850.
- No. 12.—Abstract Statement of Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of by the Judges during the Year 1850, and depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851 respectively.
- No. 13.—Abstract Statement of Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of by the Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs during the Year 1850, and depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851 respectively.
- No. 14.—General Abstract of Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of during the Year 1850, and depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851 respectively.
- No. 15.—Abstract Numerical Statement of Applications for the Execution of Decrees pending and instituted before, and disposed of by, the Judges and other Officers for the Year 1850.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

* Sent with Letter No. 937.

No. 1.

ANNUAL ABSTRACT Report of Appeals depending before the Presidency Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the 1st January 1850 and 1851, and of the Number admitted and disposed of during the Year 1850.

	Depending 1st January 1850.	Admitted in last 12 Months.	Total.	Disposed of.						Depending on the 1st January 1851.	Increase.	Decrease.
				Decided on Trial.	Remanded for further Investigation.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Transferred to other Jurisdictions.	Total.			
Regular Appeals	270	161	431	98	*45	9	19	—	171	260	—	10
Special Appeals	99	345	444	72	Upon hearing of Applications - 162 After Trial on Certificate - 42 †204	4	4	—	284	160	61	—
Total	369	506	875	170	249	13	23	—	455	420	61	10

The Court was closed for 120 Days during the Year on account of Sundays and Holidays.

Comparative Statement of 1849 and 1850.

1849	335	333	668	190	79	12	18	—	299	369	44	10
1850	369	506	875	170	249	13	23	—	455	420	61	10
Increase	34	173	207	—	170	1	5	—	156	51	17	—
Decrease	—	—	—	20	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Specification of Appeals, Regular and Special, depending before the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the 1st January 1851.

Not exceeding Ra.	500	106	Amount or Value	16,742
Ditto	1,600	52	- - -	51,554
Ditto	5,000	55	- - -	1,88,816
Ditto	10,000	108	- - -	8,37,071
Exceeding	10,000	99	- - -	42,71,856
Total	-	420	- - -	53,66,039

* Of these 45 Remands upon view of Petition of Appeal under Section II., Clause 2., Regulation IX., of 1851	—	—	—	12	—
Viz, Full Bench, after Hearing and Argument	—	—	—	3	—
Single Sittings	—	9	—	—	—
In which Proceedings under Section X., Regulation XXVI., of 1814, not recorded	3	—	—	—	—
Decision incomplete	6	—	—	—	—
Remands upon regular Hearing and Trial	—	—	—	33	—
Full Bench	—	—	25	—	—
Proceedings under Section X., Regulation XXVI., of 1814, not recorded	—	13	—	—	—
Decision incomplete	—	12	—	—	—
Single Sittings	—	—	8	—	—
Proceedings under Section X., Regulation XXVI., of 1814, not recorded	—	1	—	—	—
Decision incomplete	—	7	—	—	—
					45
† Remands under Section II., Clause 2., Regulation IX., of 1851	—	—	—	—	162
Remands after regular Hearing and Trial	—	—	—	—	42
					204

MEMORANDUM showing the Number of Final Judgments recorded and Opinions delivered by the several Judges of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut in 1850.

	Final Judgments.		Opinions delivered.	Total.	
	Regular.	Special.			
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, J. Dunbar, A. W. Begbie, and H. W. Deane	1	—	—	1	
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	1	—	—	1	
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. R. Colvin	15	52	—	67	
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. Dunbar	16	—	—	16	
Messrs. A. Dick, W. B. Jackson, and J. R. Colvin	21	19	—	40	
Messrs. A. Dick, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	—	1	—	1	
Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. J. R. Colvin and J. Dunbar	32	12	—	44	
Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. R. Colvin	42	37	—	79	
Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	2	—	—	2	
Messrs. C. Tucker and W. B. Jackson	—	22	—	22	
Messrs. A. Dick and W. B. Jackson	—	2	—	2	
Messrs. A. Dick and J. R. Colvin	—	5	—	5	
Messrs. A. Dick and J. Dunbar	—	20	—	20	
Sir R. Barlow and Mr. J. R. Colvin	—	31	—	31	
Sir R. Barlow and Mr. J. Dunbar	—	11	—	11	
Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. R. Colvin	—	23	—	23	
Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. Dunbar	—	12	—	12	
Messrs. J. R. Colvin and J. Dunbar	—	35	—	35	
Mr. C. Tucker	4	—	—	4	Joined 12th December,
Mr. A. Dick	20	—	6	26	Absent till 4th February.
Sir R. Barlow	5	—	—	5	Absent from 1st to 24th Feb.
Mr. W. B. Jackson	2	1	—	3	Absent from 1st to 24th March.
Mr. J. R. Colvin	10	1	—	11	Present throughout the Year.
Mr. J. Dunbar	—	—	—	—	Present till 12th December.
	171	284	6	461	

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

STATE of the GENERAL FILES during the Year 1850.

	Headings.	Pending on 1st Jan. 1850.	Admitted in 1850.	Total.	Disposed of in 1850.	Pending on the 1st Jan. 1851.
1	Regular Appeals - - -	270	161	431	171	260
2	Special Appeals - - -	99	345	444	284	160
3	Special Appeals Applications - - -	311	884	1,195	860	335
4	Summary Appeals connected with Execution of Zillah Decrees, &c. -	242	631	873	720	153
5	Summary Appeals connected with the Execution of the Sudder Decrees -	48	98	146	115	31
6	Miscellaneous Petitions, Reports, &c. -	87	1,375	1,462	1,332	129
7	Applications for Review of Judgment in Regular Cases - - -	37	31	68	48	20
8	Returns to Precepts - - -	202	1,121	1,323	1,133	190

Of the 455 Cases decided, 251 were disposed of under Act II. of 1843 at Sittings of Three Judges as per following Statement. In the Memorandum given above the Decision is entered under the Name of the Referring Judge; the Judgments of the other Judges being entered under the Head of "Opinions delivered;" thus the Work performed by each Judge is accounted for.

CASES decided under Act II. of 1843.

By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, J. Dunbar, A. W. Begbie, and H. W. Deane	1
By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	1
By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. R. Colvin	67
By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. Dunbar	16
By Messrs. A. Dick, W. B. Jackson, and J. R. Colvin	40
By Messrs. A. Dick, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	1
By Sir R. Barlow and Messrs. J. R. Colvin and J. Dunbar	44
By Sir R. Barlow and Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. R. Colvin	79
By Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	2
Total	251

	Petitions of Regular Appeal disposed of under Clause 2, Section II., Regulation IX. 1831.			Petitions for Review of Judgment in Regular Cases.	
	Admitted after Hearing.	Struck off for Default and other Irregularities.	Total disposed of.	Admitted after Hearing.	Rejected after Hearing.
By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. W. B. Jackson	-	-	-	-	-
By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. R. Colvin	-	-	-	-	6
By Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. Dunbar	-	-	-	-	1
By Sir R. Barlow and Messrs. J. R. Colvin and J. Dunbar	-	-	-	-	6
By Messrs. A. Dick and W. B. Jackson	-	-	-	-	5
By Mr. A. Dick	15	-	15	-	4
By Sir R. Barlow	9	-	9	1	14
By Mr. W. B. Jackson	-	-	-	-	1
By Mr. J. R. Colvin	109	-	109	-	2
By Mr. J. Dunbar	-	-	-	-	1
Total	133	-	133	1	47

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

GENERAL ABSTRACT STATEMENT of Cases decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut during the Year 1850.

	First or Regular Appeals from the Decisions of the Zillah Judges and the Principal Sudder Ameens.												Second or Special Appeals from the Decisions of the Zillah Judges and the Principal Sudder Ameens.												
	No. of Regular Cases decided on their Merits by Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in 1850 appealable to the Sudder Court.	No. of Appeals pending of 1849.	Instituted in 1850.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Remanded for Re-trial.	Total decided on Trial.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total disposed of.	Pending at the Close of 1850.	No. of Regular Appeals decided by the Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in 1850.	No. of Appeals pending of 1849.	Admitted in 1850.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Remanded for Re-trial.	Total decided on Trial.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total disposed of.	Pending at the Close of 1850.	
Judges - - -	90	34	19	53	10	6	8	94	2	1	27	26	2,738	40	171	211	14	15	95	134	2	1	127	84	
Principal Sudder Ameens	294	230	142	572	42	98	97	117	5	18	140	322	5,731	48	127	175	21	15	74	110	1	2	112	63	
Total - - -	324	264	161	425	52	44	45	141	7	19	167	258	8,469	88	298	386	35	30	169	234	2	3	239	147	
																								The Difference in the Totals of Statements Nos. 1. and 2. is in consequence of the Extra-Regulation Districts not being included in No. 2.	

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

		1849.								1850.								
Appeals.		Pending.	Preferred.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Remanded for Re-trial.	Otherwise disposed of.	Pending.	Pending.	Preferred.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Remanded for Re-trial.	Otherwise disposed of.	Pending.	
From Zillah Judges	Regular Appeals	39	20	59	14	2	3	6	34	34	19	53	10	6	8	3	26	
	Special Appeals	69	68	137	19	38	38	2	40	40	171	211	14	15	95	3	84	
From Principal Sudder Ameens	Regular Appeals	186	155	341	45	33	13	20	230	230	142	372	42	38	37	23	232	
	Special Appeals	36	73	109	24	13	23	1	48	48	127	75	21	15	74	2	63	
Total	Regular Appeals	225	175	400	59	35	16	26	264	264	161	425	52	44	45	26	258	
	Special Appeals	105	141	246	43	51	61	3	88	88	298	386	35	30	169	5	147	

The Number of Appeals from the Decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens, exhibited in Statement No. 10., added to the Number here shown, will give an aggregate of the Number of Appeals from their Decisions during the Year.
 N.B.—All Cases tried by the Principal Sudder Ameens for Value not exceeding 5,000 Rupees are appealable to the Zillah Judge, and above that Sum to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of Regular Cases depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851, and of the Number admitted and disposed of during the Year 1850 in the several Zillah and City Courts in the Lower Provinces respectively.

—	Depending on the 1st January 1851.	Admitted in last 12 Months.	Re-admitted in last 12 Months.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.	Transferred			Total.	Depending on the 1st January 1851.	Increase.	Decrease.
									To Principal Sudder Ameena.	To Sudder Ameena.	To other Jurisdictions.				
Judges - - - -	4,508	8,706	4,549	17,758	2,781	295	124	3,200	5,360	885	2,992	12,437	5,321	1,694	876
Principal Sudder Ameena - - -	7,747	2,558	6,357	16,662	8,159	787	393	9,339	—	—	1,957	11,296	5,366	209	2,590
Sudder Ameena - - - -	1,228	1,670	1,324	4,222	1,298	129	211	1,638	—	—	238	1,876	2,346	1,340	222
Mooniffs - - - -	31,557	84,081	5,154	120,792	56,266	16,383	9,995	82,644	—	—	3,889	86,533	34,259	3,207	505
Total -	45,035	97,015	17,384	159,434	68,504	17,594	10,723	96,821	5,360	885	9,076	112,142	47,292	6,450	4,193

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

—	1849.				1850.				Pending on the 1st January 1851.
	Pending on the 1st January 1849.	Admitted.	Transferred.	Disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850.	Admitted.	Transferred.	Disposed of.	
Judges - - - -	55 } 6,073	2,621 } 12,046	2,594 } 10,208	38 } 3,408	44 } 4,503	3,934 } 13,255	3,852 } 9,237	40 } 3,200	86 } 5,321
Principal Sudder Ameena - - -	6,018 } 7,010	9,425 } 11,568	7,614 } 615	3,370 } 10,216	4,459 } 7,747	9,321 } 8,915	5,385 } 1,957	3,160 } 9,339	5,235 } 5,366
Sudder Ameena - - - -	3,737 } 1,341	4,209 } 1,416	456 } 236	3,405 } 1,363	4,085 } 1,228	3,738 } 2,994	1,653 } 238	2,971 } 1,638	3,199 } 2,346
Mooniffs - - - -	3,273 } 31,078	7,359 } 90,125	159 } 2,970	6,811 } 86,676	3,662 } 31,557	5,177 } 89,235	304 } 3,889	6,368 } 82,644	2,167 } 34,259
Total - - - -	36,251 } 45,542	98,401 } 115,185	6,256 } 14,029	91,482 } 101,663	36,914 } 45,035	99,901 } 114,399	9,632 } 15,321	87,299 } 96,821	39,890 } 47,292
	9,291 } 45,542	16,784 } 115,185	7,773 } 14,029	10,181 } 101,663	8,121 } 45,035	14,498 } 114,399	5,689 } 15,321	9,528 } 96,821	7,402 } 47,292

(450)

No. 4.—ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing in One View the Number of Cases that were pending in the several Lower Courts on the 1st January 1850, the Number admitted during the Year, the Number disposed of, and the Number pending at the End of the same Year, with the Discrepancies which the Returns exhibit.

—	Depending on the 1st January 1850.	Admitted in last 12 Months.	Total.	Total disposed of in 1850.	Depending on the 1st January 1851.	Number which the Return No. 1. exhibit as depending on the 1st January 1851.	Discrepancies.	
							More.	Less.
Total - -	45,035	* 99,078	144,113	96,821	47,292	47,292	—	—

* Total admitted as per Statement No. 3. - - - - 114,399
 Transferred as per ditto - - - - 15,321
 99,078

1849 -	45,542	101,156	146,698	101,663	45,035	45,035	—
1850 -	45,035	99,078	144,113	96,821	47,292	47,292	
Increase -	-	-	-	-	2,257	2,257	
Decrease -	507	2,078	2,585	4,842	—	—	

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 5.—ABSTRACT STATEMENT of Civil Suits tried and decided under Regulation VI. of 1832 in the Lower Provinces during the Year 1850.

—	Suits tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.			Suits tried with the Assistance of Assessors.			Suits tried with the Assistance of a Jury.		
	Number decided in accordance with the Award of the Panchayet.	Number decided contrary to the Award of the Panchayet.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number decided contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Total.	Number decided in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number decided contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total.
Total -	15	—	15	8	—	8	1	—	1

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

—	Suits tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.		Suits tried with the Assistance of Assessors.		Suits tried with the Assistance of a Jury.	
	Number decided in accordance with the Award of the Panchayet.	Number decided contrary to the Award of the Panchayet.	Number decided in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number decided contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number decided in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number decided contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.
1849 -	—	—	5	—	1	—
1850 -	15	—	8	—	1	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 6.—AN ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the Years in which the Regular Suits and Appeals depending before the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, the Zillah and City Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs were originally instituted.

Years -	1831.	1833.	1839.	1840.	1841.	1 42.	1843.	1844.	1845.	1846.	1847.	1848.	1849.	1850.	Total.
Total -	1	2	2	2	5	4	19	35	59	148	345	1,130	4,592	41,368	47,712

1831. Dacca - - - - -	1	1844. Hooghly - - - - -	7
1838. Hooghly - - - - -	1	Midnapore - - - - -	1
Nuddea - - - - -	1	Nuddea - - - - -	1
1839. Tipperah - - - - -	1	24-Pergunnahs - - - - -	4
Behar - - - - -	1	Dacca - - - - -	2
1840. Patna - - - - -	1	Mymensing - - - - -	5
Tirhoot - - - - -	1	Chittagong - - - - -	1
1841. East Burdwan - - - - -	1	Moorshedabad - - - - -	3
Hooghly - - - - -	3	Behar - - - - -	2
Midnapore - - - - -	1	Patna - - - - -	1
1842. Hooghly - - - - -	1	Sarun - - - - -	1
Mymensing - - - - -	1	Shahabad - - - - -	1
Beerbhoom - - - - -	1	Tirhoot - - - - -	6
Behar - - - - -	1		
1843. Nuddea - - - - -	8		
24-Pergunnahs - - - - -	1		
Tipperah - - - - -	1		
Chittagong - - - - -	1		
Bhaugulpore - - - - -	1		
Moorshedabad - - - - -	1		
Behar - - - - -	5		
Tirhoot - - - - -	1		

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

—	Suits of the same Year.	Suits of the preceding Year.	Suits of earlier Period.	Total.
1849 -	39,654	4,490	1,260	45,404
1850 -	41,368	4,592	1,752	47,712

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 7.

STATEMENT intended to show the Period which would elapse before the Decision of the Regular Suits depending in the Civil Courts on the 1st January 1851, if calculated according to the average Number of Decisions passed during the last Five Years.

	Disposed of during the Year 1846.	Disposed of during the Year 1847.	Disposed of during the Year 1848.	Disposed of during the Year 1849.	Disposed of during the Year 1850.	Total disposed of during the Years 1846 to 1850.	Number disposed of in each Year on the Average of the Five Years.	Depending on the 1st January 1851.	Average Period which would elapse before the Decision of Suits in each Court according to the foregoing Statements of the Number disposed of in the past Five Years.
Sudder Dewanny Adawlut -	260	527	641	299	455	2,182	436	420	Eleven Months and Sixteen Days.
Judges - - - -	3,430	3,119	3,067	3,408	3,200	16,224	3,245	5,321	One Year, Seven Months and Twenty Days.
Principal Sudder Ameens -	8,766	8,209	9,030	10,216	9,339	45,560	9,112	5,366	Seven Months and Two Days.
Sudder Ameens - - -	2,460	1,817	1,528	1,363	1,638	8,806	1,761	2,346	One Year and Four Months.
Mooniffs - - - -	94,398	92,795	87,309	86,676	82,644	443,822	88,764	34,259	Four Months and Nineteen Days.
Total - - - -	109,314	106,467	101,575	101,962	97,276	516,594	103,318	47,712	

Comparative Abstract for 1849 and 1850.

Description of Courts.	1849.			1850.		
	Number disposed of in the Average of Five Years ending in 1849.	Depending on the 1st January 1850.	Estimated Period at which pending Suits would be disposed of.	Number disposed of in the Average of Five Years ending in 1850.	Depending on the 1st January 1851.	Estimated Period at which pending Suits would be disposed of.
Sudder Dewanny Adawlut -	417	369	Ten Months and Eighteen Days.	436	420	Eleven Months and Sixteen Days.
Judges - - - -	3,305	4,503	One Year, Four Months, and Eleven Days.	3,245	5,321	One Year, Seven Months, and Twenty Days.
Principal Sudder Ameens -	9,043	7,747	Ten Months and Nine Days.	9,112	5,366	Seven Months and Two Days.
Sudder Ameens - - -	1,935	1,228	Seven Months and Nineteen Days.	1,761	2,346	One Year and Four Months.
Mooniffs - - - -	92,028	81,557	Four Months and Four Days.	88,764	34,259	Four Months and Nineteen Days.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

GENERAL ABSTRACT STATEMENT of the different Descriptions of original Suits instituted before the Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs of the several Zillah and City Courts in the Lower Provinces during the Year 1850.

(7/011)

13

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.
	Suits connected with Land Rent.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyances by Sale.	Suits for Real Property on Conveyances by Gift.	Suits by Mortgage.	Suits by Will.	Suits by Dowry.	Suits by Right of Pre-emption.	Inheritance under the Mahomedan Law.	Inheritance under the Hindoo Law.	Claims in right of Adoption.	Lakshraj Suits under Section 80, Regulation II. of 1819.	Suits regarding Putnee and other dependent Tenures.	Suits to contest Sales by Collector for Arrears of Government Dues.	Suits for Land not before included, such as Boundary Suits, &c.	Debts on Bonds or otherwise.	Suits of Bankers or Traders.	Religious Suits connected with Caste, Rights of Priests, &c.	Damages for Breach of Contract.	For Recovery of Money embezzled.	Wages.	Suits connected with Indigo, Sugar, Silk, and other staple Products.	Suits for Personal Property not before included.	Total.
Judges - -	29	3	5	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	17	28	—	1	—	—	1	—	6	93
Principal Sudder Ameens.	567	116	11	52	6	4	14	43	52	13	78	116	50	297	755	56	19	23	67	10	15	182	2,546
Sudder Ameens - -	446	87	12	16	—	1	8	1	8	2	—	60	11	123	701	39	11	7	11	7	3	116	1,670
Moonsiffs - -	16,041	1,085	167	203	8	29	158	91	195	66	7	637	227	2,195	49,646	3,334	743	371	344	357	2,949	5,099	84,081
Total -	17,083	1,241	195	272	14	34	180	135	255	81	85	813	290	2,632	51,130	3,429	774	401	422	555	2,967	5,402	88,390

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

Years.	Relating to Land.	Relating to Debt.	Relating to Indigo, Silk, Sugar, &c.	Relating to Religion, Caste, &c.
1849 - -	23,375	56,076	554	10,353
1850 - -	23,310	54,559	378	10,143

(433)

No. 9.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT showing the total Value or Amount in Company's Rupees (without Fractions) of Regular Suits, whether original or in Appeal, depending in the several Zillah and City Courts in the Lower Provinces on the 1st January 1851.

	Original Suits.					Appeals.			
	Judges.	Principal Sudder Ameens.	Sudder Ameens.	Moonsiffs.	Total.	Judges.	Principal Sudder Ameens.	Total.	Grand Total.
Total -	25,19,554	7,63,85,910	12,44,834	20,88,846	8,22,39,144	26,29,282	1,66,007	27,95,289	3,50,34,433
S.D. Adawlut -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	53,66,039
Grand Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9,04,00,472

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of the Amount or Value of the original Suits pending undecided at the End of 1850, showing the average Value of each Suit.

	Before the Principal Sudder Ameens.			Before the Sudder Ameens.			Before the Moonsiffs.		
	Total Number of original Suits pending.	Total Amount or Value in Rupees.	Average Value of each Suit.	Total Number of original Suits pending.	Total Amount or Value in Rupees.	Average Value of each Suit.	Total Number of original Suits pending.	Total Amount or Value in Rupees.	Average Value of each Suit.
Total -	3,199	7,63,85,910	23,878	2,346	12,44,834	531	34,259	20,88,846	61

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

	1849.			1850.		
	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Total.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Total.
Sudder Dewanny Adawlut -	—	1,07,44,136	1,07,44,136	—	53,66,039	53,66,039
Judges -	13,90,958	21,75,915	35,66,873	25,19,554	26,29,282	51,48,836
Principal Sudder Ameens -	7,10,23,634	2,69,267	7,12,92,901	7,63,85,910	1,66,007	7,65,51,917
Sudder Ameens -	6,21,260	—	6,21,260	12,44,834	—	12,44,834
Moonsiffs -	18,80,793	—	18,80,793	20,88,846	—	20,88,846

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

(110A)

ABSTRACT STATEMENT exhibiting the Number of appealable Cases decided by the several Classes of uncovenanted Judges in the Year 1850, the Number of Appeals actually preferred from their Decisions within the Year, and the Number of previous Appeals pending on the 1st January of that Year, with the Manner in which the Appeals were disposed of.

—	Description of Cases appealed from.	Number of Decisions of 1850 appealable.	Number of Appeals preferred within the Year.	Number of previous Appeals pending on 1st January 1850.	Total Number of Appeals to be decided.	Number confirmed.			Number modified or reversed.			Number dismissed on Default, adjusted, or withdrawn.			Number pending on the 1st January 1851.		
						By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	Total.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	Total.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	Total.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	Total.
Moonsiffs - -	Original Suits -	65,816	7,505	6,482	13,937	886	3,351	4,187	843	2,290	3,133	275	635	910	3,602	2,105	5,707
Sudder Ameens	Ditto do.	1,212	343	418	761	104	52	156	74	38	112	29	4	33	398	62	460
Principal Sudder Ameens	Ditto do. under 5,000 Rs. in Value	7,865	933	1,258	2,191	464	—	464	417	—	417	100	—	100	1,210	—	1,210
	Appeals -	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total -	Original Suits -	69,162	8,781	8,108	16,889	1,404	3,403	4,807	1,334	2,328	3,662	404	639	1,043	5,210	2,167	7,377
	Appeals -	5,731	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grand Total -	- - -	74,893	8,781	8,108	16,889	1,404	3,403	4,807	1,334	2,328	3,662	404	639	1,043	5,210	2,167	7,377

1.—Memorandum showing the Proportion in which the Regular and Special Appeals from the Decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens have been confirmed or reversed by the Judges in the Year 1850.

2.—Memorandum showing the Proportion in which Appeals from the Decisions of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs have been confirmed or reversed by the Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in the Year 1850.

Confirmed	Reversed	Regular Appeals		Special Appeals.	Appeals disposed of by the Judges	By the Principal Sudder Ameens	Total	Confirmed.	Reversed.
		464	—	—					
417	—	—	—	—	3,403	—	3,403	2,328	—
Total	—	881	—	—	4,343	—	4,343	3,245	—

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

—	1849.									1850.								
	Total Number of Appeals to be decided.	Confirmed.		Reversed or modified.		Dismissed on Default, adjusted, or withdrawn.		Pending on 1st January 1850.		Total Number of Appeals to be decided.	Confirmed.		Reversed or modified.		Dismissed on Default, adjusted, or withdrawn.		Pending on 1st January 1851.	
		By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.		By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.	By the Judges.	By the P. S. Ameens.
Moonsiffs Original Suits -	15,191	912	3,273	800	2,435	454	885	2,848	3,584	13,937	886	3,351	843	2,290	275	635	3,602	2,105
Sudder Ameens Do. do. -	862	97	133	110	65	17	21	340	78	761	104	52	74	38	29	4	398	62
P. S. Ameens { Do. do. -	2,217	444	—	403	—	112	—	1,258	—	2,191	464	—	417	—	100	—	1,210	—
Appeals -	1	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total - { Original Suits	18,270	1,453	3,406	1,313	2,500	583	906	4,446	3,662	16,889	1,404	3,403	1,334	2,328	404	639	5,210	2,167
Appeals -	1	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

3 N 2

(455)

No. 11.

ABSTRACT showing the Result of the Miscellaneous and Summary Appeals from the Decisions of the Zillah Judges preferred to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut during the Year 1850, including the Applications for the Admission of Special Appeals from the Decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

	Applications for the Admission of Special Appeals from the Decisions of Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens.							Summary Appeals under Regulation XXVI. of 1814, Section 3.						Summary Appeals under Regulation VII. of 1825.						All other Miscellaneous Cases.								
	Pending 1st January 1849.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Admitted.	Rejected.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.	Pending 1st January 1851.	Pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1851.	Pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1851.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.				
											Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.					Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.				Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.		
Judges - - - -	142	358	530	168	186	34	142	16	63	79	29	37	3	10	63	195	258	113	58	31	56	88	324	412	132	74	137	69
Principal Sudder Ameens -	120	377	497	111	197	43	146	20	33	53	18	25	5	5	26	78	104	44	36	9	15	23	63	91	20	28	23	20
Total -	262	765	1,027	279	383	77	288	36	96	132	47	62	8	15	89	273	362	157	94	40	71	116	387	503	152	102	160	89

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

	Applications for Admission of Special Appeals from the Decisions of Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens.				Summary Appeals under Regulation XXVI. of 1814, Section III.				Summary Appeals under Regulation VII. of 1825.				All other Miscellaneous Cases.			
	Total preferred.	Admitted.	Rejected.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.	Total preferred.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.	Total preferred.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.	Total preferred.	Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.
1849 { Judges - - - -	409	60	196	11	45	10	15	4	175	51	37	24	317	96	50	83
Principal Sudder Ameens -	422	85	198	19	49	8	19	2	71	27	14	4	96	40	13	15
Add - - - -		145	394	30		18	34	6		78	51	28		136	63	98
For Non-Regulation Provinces not entered in the Statement for 1849			569				58				157				297	
			109				1				1				3	
Total -			678				59				158				300	
											517					
Judges - - - -	641	202	231	35	81	29	38	3	262	115	58	31	428	136	74	143
Principal Sudder Ameens -	554	120	228	44	53	18	25	5	104	44	36	9	91	20	28	23
		322	459	79		47	63	8		159	94	40		156	102	166
			860				118				293				424	

Total for 1849 -	678	517
Total for 1850 -	860	835
Increase in 1850 -	182	318

APPLICATION for the Admission of Special Appeals from the Non-Regulation Districts for 1850.

(7011)

	Applications for the Admission of Special Appeals from the Decisions of the Principal Assistants, &c. in the Non-Regulation Districts.							Summary Appeals under Regulation XXVI. of 1814, Section 111.					Summary Appeals under Regulation VII. of 1825.					All other Miscellaneous Cases.										
	Pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Admitted.	Rejected.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.	Pending 1st January 1851.	Pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1851.	Pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1851.	Pending 1st January 1850.	Preferred in 1850.	Total.	Decisions of the Lower Courts.			Pending 1st January 1851.
											Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.					Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.					Confirmed.	Reversed.	Struck off, or otherwise disposed of.	
Principal Assistants	28	83	111	34	45	1	31	—	2	2	—	1	—	4	4	2	—	—	2	1	15	16	4	—	6	6		
Principal Sudder Amceens	21	36	57	9	31	1	16	—	2	2	—	1	—	4	4	2	—	—	2	1	15	16	4	—	6	6		
Total	49	119	168	43	76	2	47	—	2	2	—	1	—	4	4	2	—	—	2	1	15	16	4	—	6	6		

MEMORANDUM showing the Number of Miscellaneous Petitions and Proceedings of every Description disposed of by the several Judges of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut in 1850, and by the Register and Native Deputy Register.

3 M 3

	Miscellaneous Petitions.	Miscellaneous Proceedings.
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson, J. R. Colvin, and J. Dunbar	4	—
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. R. Colvin	1	—
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. W. B. Jackson	7	—
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. R. Colvin	9	—
Mr. A. Dick, Sir R. Barlow, and Mr. J. Dunbar	2	—
Sir R. Barlow and Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. R. Colvin	6	2
Sir R. Barlow and Messrs. J. R. Colvin and J. Dunbar	17	1
Sir R. Barlow and Mr. J. R. Colvin	33	4
Mr. C. Tucker	24	—
Mr. A. Dick	326	24
Sir R. Barlow	96	30
Mr. W. B. Jackson	180	76
Mr. J. R. Colvin	124	30
Mr. J. Dunbar	124	1
Mr. B. J. Colvin, Register	351	159
Mr. E. A. Samuells, Officiating Register	22	6
Baboo Annud Chunder Bose, Native Deputy Register	842	800
Total	2,168	1,133

MEMORANDUM showing the Number of Petitions of Special Appeal admitted and disposed of by the several Judges during the Year 1850.

(437)

	Petitions of Special Appeal.			Total disposed of.
	Admitted after Hearing.	Rejected after Hearing.	Struck off for Default and other Irregularities.	
Messrs. C. Tucker and W. B. Jackson	40	24	6	70
Mr. A. Dick and Sir R. Barlow	5	4	—	9
Messrs. A. Dick and W. B. Jackson	22	43	6	71
Messrs. A. Dick and J. R. Colvin	7	3	—	10
Messrs. A. Dick and J. Dunbar	35	57	7	99
Sir R. Barlow and Mr. J. R. Colvin	39	61	11	111
Sir R. Barlow and Mr. J. Dunbar	19	41	11	71
Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. R. Colvin	64	66	17	147
Messrs. W. B. Jackson and J. Dunbar	32	43	2	77
Messrs. J. R. Colvin and J. Dunbar	44	44	3	91
Mr. A. Dick	1	1	1	3
Sir R. Barlow	—	2	2	4
Mr. W. B. Jackson	14	70	13	97
Total	322	459	79	860

Regulation Provinces - 739
 Extra-Regulation Provinces 121
 860

B. J. COLVIN,
 Register.

No. 12.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of the most important Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of by the Judges during the Year 1850, and depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851 respectively.

Depending on the 1st January 1850.		Disposed of during the Year 1850.					Depending on the 1st January 1851.											
Total	97	170	201	839	737	115	—	978	540	2,758	4,991	91	—	182	281	439	933	
	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions for Special Appeals under Section 2, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 4, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions of Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. 1838.	Petitions of Appeals under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees, and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions for Special Appeals under Section 2, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 4, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions of Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. of 1838.	Petitions of Appeals under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions for Special Appeals under Section 2, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 4, Regulation II. 1825.	Petitions of Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. 1838.	Petitions of Appeals under Clause 5, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Regulation VII. 1825.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.

For Abstract vide General Statement No. 14.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 13.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT of the most important Summary and Miscellaneous Suits disposed of by the Principal Sudder Amiens, Sudder Amiens, and Moonsiffs during the Year 1850, and depending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851 respectively.

Principal Sudder Amiens.				Sudder Amiens and Moonsiff.								
Depending on 1st January 1850.		Disposed of in 1850.		Depending 1st January 1851.		Depending 1st January 1850.	Disposed of in 1850.	Depending 1st January 1851.				
Total	67	5,671	5,728	206	8,019	8,225	44	2,804	2,848	17,665	46,815	18,589
	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.	Total.	Execution of Decrees.	Execution of Decrees.	Execution of Decrees.

For Abstract vide General Statement No. 14.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

GENERAL ABSTRACT OF THE MOST IMPORTANT SUMMARY AND MISCELLANEOUS SUITS DISPOSED OF DURING THE YEAR 1850, AND DEPENDING ON THE 1st JANUARY 1850 AND 1851 RESPECTIVELY.

		Depending on the 1st January 1850.		Disposed of during the Year 1850.		Depending on the 1st January 1851.									
Total - 84	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.	170	201	21,675	22,150	821	978	540	57,592	59,431	73	182	281	21,826	22,564
	Petitions for Special Appeals under Section 2, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 4, Regulation II. 1825.														
	Petitions for Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. of 1838.														
	Petitions of Appeals under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.														
	Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.														
	Total														
	Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.														
	Petitions for Special Appeals under Section 2, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 4, Regulation II. 1825.														
	Petitions for Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. of 1838.														
	Petitions of Appeals under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.														
Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.															
Total															
Petitions for Review of Judgment under Clause 2, Section 4, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 2, Regulation II. 1825.															
Petitions for Special Appeals under Section 2, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Section 4, Regulation II. 1825.															
Petitions for Summary Appeals under Clause 4, Section 3, Regulation XXVI. 1814, and Act No. XXII. of 1838.															
Petitions of Appeals under Clause 5, Section 3, and Clause 2, Section 5, Regulation VII. 1825.															
Applications for the Execution of Decrees and Petitions from Parties objecting to Orders passed in the Execution of Decrees.															
Total															
Judges	144	172	912	946	2,891	8,798	115	308	978	540	2,758	2,018	46,815		
Principal Sudder Amceens	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Sudder Amceens and Moonaffs	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

Disposed of in 1849.

Disposed of in 1850.

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

No. 15.

ABSTRACT NUMERICAL STATEMENT of Applications for the Execution of Decrees, pending and instituted before and disposed of by the Judges and other Officers, for the Year 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
	Total pending on the 31st December 1849.	Brought on the File during the Year.			Grand Total.	Disposed of.					Pending on the 31st December 1850.
		Newly instituted.	Re-admitted.	Total filed in 1850.		Cases struck off the File, the Decree having been completely executed, or the Decree Holder, after taking out Execution, having filed a Razeenamah.	Cases struck off the File, after partial Enforcement, the Decree Holder having omitted to proceed with the Execution within the prescribed Period, by pointing out further Property liable for the Decree, or by taking other Steps in Execution of it.	Cases struck off the File, without any Part of the Decree being carried into effect, owing to the Decree Holder having, after taking out Execution, failed to proceed in the Matter within the prescribed Period.	Transferred to the other Courts.	Total of every Description disposed of.	
Total -	20,825	37,518	14,593	56,111	76,936	16,472	14,024	22,489	2,841	55,826	21,110

(460)

Comparative Abstract of 1849 and 1850.

1849.					1850.				
Total Number to be disposed of.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Pending.	Total Number to be disposed of.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Pending.
73,745	16,853	13,784	22,283	20,825	74,095	16,472	14,024	22,489	21,110

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Bengal Civil Justice, 1850.

STATEMENTS submitted by the COURT OF SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT relative to the ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE in the NON-REGULATION PROVINCES for 1850.

No. 1,932.

From the REGISTER of the SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT to J. P. GRANT Esquire, Secretary to the Government of Bengal.

Sir, Fort William, the 12th December 1851.

• Hazareebaugh, In continuation of my Letter, No. 1,193, dated the 18th July last, I SUDDER DEWANNY
Assam, am directed by the Court to forward to you the accompanying Statements ADAWLUT.
Arrakan, relating to the Administration of Civil Justice in the Non-regulation Present :
Tenasserim, Cachar, and Cossya Hills. Provinces* for the Year 1850. J. R. Colvin, Esq., Judge.

2d. The Delay in the Submission of these Statements is attributable to the Returns of the Tenasserim Provinces having been received in this Office only on the 3d instant.

I have the Honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

B. J. COLVIN,

Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of Regular Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Division of Hazareebaugh, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Original Suits -	2,131	4,515	6,646	3,081	658	951	4,690	1,956
{ Grand Total, Appeals -	173	839	1,012	385	44	355	784	228
1850 { Grand Total, Original Suits -	1,956	3,883	5,839	2,827	798	479	4,104	1,735
{ Grand Total, Appeals -	228	813	1,041	299	25	315	639	402

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of Miscellaneous Cases pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Division of Hazareebaugh, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Execution of Decrees -	1,245	2,725	3,970	2,668	—	—	2,668	1,302
{ Grand Total, other Miscellaneous Cases -	540	5,343	5,883	5,345	—	—	5,345	538
1850 { Grand Total, Execution of Decrees -	1,302	2,598	3,900	2,717	—	—	2,717	1,183
{ Grand Total, other Miscellaneous Cases -	538	6,116	6,654	6,196	—	—	6,196	458

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Comparative Abstract Statement of Regular Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Division of Assam, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

(1104)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	2,225	10,084	12,259	3,317	1,956	5,063	10,336	1,923
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	299	1,198	1,497	704	99	513	1,316	181
1850 { Grand Total, Original Suits - -	1,923	10,060	11,983	3,139	1,860	5,070	10,069	1,914
{ Grand Total, Appeals - -	181	996	1,177	619	58	288	965	212

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

30

Comparative Abstract Statement of Miscellaneous Cases pending, instituted, and disposed of by all the Authorities, British and Native, in the Division of Assam, in the Years 1849 and 1850.

(463)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
—	Pending on the 1st January 1849 and 1850.	Instituted or received by Transfer in 1849 and 1850.	Total.	Decided on Trial.	Settled by Compromise or dismissed on Default.	Transferred or otherwise disposed of.	Total disposed of.	Pending on the 1st January 1850 and 1851.
1849 { Grand Total, Execution of Decrees - -	808	3,059	3,867	1,563	1,293	210	3,066	801
{ Grand Total, Miscellaneous Cases - -	219	904	1,123	496	226	191	913	210
1850 { Grand Total, Execution of Decrees - -	801	3,054	3,855	1,414	1,466	179	3,059	796
{ Grand Total, Miscellaneous Cases - -	210	752	962	471	192	135	798	164

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Abstract Statement showing the Number of Persons in Confinement in the Extra-Regulation Provinces in the Year 1850 in default of Security for good Conduct or to keep the Peace.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Year.	Number of Prisoners in Jail on the 1st January 1850.	Ordered to furnish Security during the Year.	Total.	Released during the Year.				Transferred to other Districts to give Security.	Died.	Escaped.	In Confinement on the 1st January 1851.	Remarks.
				On furnishing the required Security.	On furnishing reduced Security.	On Moochulka.	Unconditionally.					
1850	10	27	37	22	—	—	1	—	—	—	14	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Abstract Statement of Criminal Cases tried with the Assistance of Natives, under Regulation VI. of 1832, or the Law Officers, in the Extra-Regulation Provinces in the Year 1850.

Year.	Number of Cases decided by the Sessions Judges.	Cases tried with the Assistance of a Panchayet.			Cases tried with the Assistance of Assessors.			Cases tried with the Assistance of a Jury.			Cases tried with the Assistance of the Law Officers.			Remarks.
		Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Award of the Panchayet.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Award of the Panchayet.	Total.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Opinion of the Assessors.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Opinion of the Assessors.	Total.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Verdict of the Jury.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Verdict of the Jury.	Total.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed in accordance with the Opinion of the Law Officers.	Number of Cases in which Sentence was passed contrary to the Opinion of the Law Officers.	Total.	
1850	152	2	—	2	2	—	2	106	13	119	—	—	—	—

B. J. COLVIN, Register.

Abstract Statement of the Sentences passed by the several Criminal Courts in the Extra-Regulation Provinces during the Year 1850.

By the Magistrates and their Subordinates.		By the Sessions Courts.	
1.	Imprisonment for 3 Years.	12.	Imprisonment for 16 Years.
2.	Ditto 2 ditto.	13.	Ditto 15 ditto.
3.	Ditto 1 ditto.	14.	Ditto 14 ditto.
4.	Ditto 9 Months.	15.	Ditto 13 ditto.
5.	Ditto 6 ditto.	16.	Ditto 12 ditto.
6.	Ditto less than 6 ditto.	17.	Ditto 11 ditto.
7.	Fined.	18.	Ditto 10 ditto.
8.	Security.	19.	Ditto 9 ditto.
9.	Dismissed from Office.	20.	Ditto 8 ditto.
10.	Flogged.	21.	Ditto 7 ditto.
11.	Total.	22.	Ditto 6 ditto.
12.		23.	Ditto 5 ditto.
13.		24.	Ditto 4 ditto.
14.		25.	Ditto 3 ditto.
15.		26.	Ditto 2 ditto.
16.		27.	Ditto 1 ditto.
17.		28.	Ditto less than 1 ditto.
18.		29.	Fined and discharged.
19.		30.	Imprisonment for Life.
20.		31.	Transportation.
21.		32.	Total.
22.			
23.			
24.			
25.			
26.			
27.			
28.			
29.			
30.			
31.			
32.			
33.			
34.			
35.			
36.			
37.			
38.			
39.			
40.			
41.			
42.			
43.			
44.			
45.			
46.			
47.			
48.			
49.			
50.			
51.			
52.			
53.			
54.			
55.			
56.			
57.			
58.			
59.			
60.			
61.			
62.			
63.			
64.			
65.			
66.			
67.			
68.			
69.			
70.			
71.			
72.			
73.			
74.			
75.			
76.			
77.			
78.			
79.			
80.			
81.			
82.			
83.			
84.			
85.			
86.			
87.			
88.			
89.			
90.			
91.			
92.			
93.			
94.			
95.			
96.			
97.			
98.			
99.			
100.			
101.			
102.			
103.			
104.			
105.			
106.			
107.			
108.			
109.			
110.			
111.			
112.			
113.			
114.			
115.			
116.			
117.			
118.			
119.			
120.			
121.			
122.			
123.			
124.			
125.			
126.			
127.			
128.			
129.			
130.			
131.			
132.			
133.			
134.			
135.			
136.			
137.			
138.			
139.			
140.			
141.			
142.			
143.			
144.			
145.			
146.			
147.			
148.			
149.			
150.			
151.			
152.			
153.			
154.			
155.			
156.			
157.			
158.			
159.			
160.			
161.			
162.			
163.			
164.			
165.			
166.			

By the Nizamut Adawlut.

33.	Number of Persons convicted in 1850.	33.
34.	Imprisonment for 21 Years.	34.
35.	Ditto 16 ditto.	35.
36.	Ditto 15 ditto.	36.
37.	Ditto 14 ditto.	37.
38.	Ditto 13 ditto.	38.
39.	Ditto 12 ditto.	39.
40.	Ditto 11 ditto.	40.
41.	Ditto 10 ditto.	41.
42.	Ditto 9 ditto.	42.
43.	Ditto 8 ditto.	43.
44.	Ditto 7 ditto.	44.
45.	Ditto 6 ditto.	45.
46.	Ditto 5 ditto.	46.
47.	Ditto 4 ditto.	47.
48.	Ditto 3 ditto.	48.
49.	Ditto 2 ditto.	49.
50.	Ditto 1 ditto.	50.
51.	Ditto less than 1 ditto.	51.
52.	Fined and discharged.	52.
53.	Imprisonment for Life.	53.
54.	Transportation.	54.
55.	Death.	55.
56.	Security.	56.
57.	Total.	57.
58.		
59.		
60.		
61.		
62.		
63.		
64.		
65.		
66.		
67.		
68.		
69.		
70.		
71.		
72.		
73.		
74.		
75.		
76.		
77.		
78.		
79.		
80.		
81.		
82.		
83.		
84.		
85.		
86.		
87.		
88.		
89.		
90.		
91.		
92.		
93.		
94.		
95.		
96.		
97.		
98.		
99.		
100.		
101.		
102.		
103.		
104.		
105.		
106.		
107.		
108.		
109.		
110.		
111.		
112.		
113.		
114.		
115.		
116.		
117.		
118.		
119.		
120.		
121.		
122.		
123.		
124.		
125.		
126.		
127.		
128.		
129.		
130.		
131.		
132.		
133.		
134.		
135.		
136.		
137.		
138.		
139.		
140.		
141.		
142.		
143.		
144.		
145.		
146.		
147.		
148.		
149.		
150.		
151.		
152.		
153.		
154.		
155.		
156.		
157.		
158.		
159.		
160.		
161.		
162.		
163.		
164.		
165.		
166.		

B. J. COLVIN, Registrar.

EAST INDIES.

RETURN

Pursuant to an Order of the House of Lords, dated 20th April 1852,

FOR

COPY of a LETTER from the COURT OF DIRECTORS of the EAST INDIA COMPANY to the GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA in COUNCIL, in the Revenue Department, dated 13th August 1851, No. 9., as to the SETTLEMENT of the REVENUE in the NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES of BRITISH INDIA.

East India House, }
29th June 1852. }

JAMES C. MELVILL.

Ordered to be printed 29th June 1852.

SETTLEMENTS OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

REVENUE.

THE DIRECTORS OF THE EAST INDIA COMPANY TO THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

London, August 13, 1851. (No. 9.)

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

Settlement of the
North-western
Provinces.

1. It is our Intention to review, in this Despatch, the Measures which have been some Years in progress for the Settlement of the North-western Provinces.

2. The Plan of Settlement promulgated by the Circular Order of the Sudder Board of Revenue, on the 9th April 1839, called forth in the first instance much cordial Support, and much strenuous Opposition.

3. We shall state the Views which the Advocates and Opponents of the Measure have severally taken of its Purposes and Results. We shall then test their several Views by a careful Analysis of the Settlement Proceedings in every District, and shall conclude by giving our final Judgment on the whole.

4. The main Points of the Question on each Side are clearly and comprehensively stated in the Two principal Documents transmitted with your Despatch dated the 25th November 1842, No. 15., viz. :—

The Report of Mr. R. M. Bird, late First Member of the Sudder Board of Revenue; and

The Minute of Mr. Robertson, late Lieutenant-Governor of the North-western Provinces.

5. Mr. Bird enters into a Statement of the Opinions and Impressions conceived and adopted by him from an early Period, after a long and careful Study of Landed Tenures in Upper India, and of the Effect of the previously existing Revenue and Judicial Systems on those Tenures.

6. With reference to the Impression very generally received, that the various Arrangements connected with the Settlement had for their immediate Object such an accurate Ascertainment of the Resources of the Land as would ensure to Government its full Share of the Rents or Produce, he declares that the Measures which he has now carried through had been many years previously planned and elaborated by him, as Measures of a purely judicial Character, when he was holding a judicial Office, and had no Prospect of ever being connected with the Revenue Department. His Experience in the Discharge of his Functions as a judicial Officer led to the Conviction in his Mind, that the existing Tribunals were insufficient for the Ascertainment of Rights, or their Protection, and that the combined Effect of the Revenue and Judicial Systems would be injurious and oppressive, unless those Systems could be made to bear a more exact relation to the Institutions and Habits of the People. He entertained a strong Conviction of the general Soundness of the Views put forth by Government in Regulation VII. of 1822, and considered that practical Operation might be given to those Views, and the Assessment of a fair and moderate Revenue be combined with the Recognition of private Rights and the Protection of the Village Communities.

7. It was under the Influence of these Feelings and Impressions, that Mr. Bird first entered on his Duties as Revenue Commissioner in Goruckpore, and subsequently undertook the Superintendence of the Settlements; and when the Ascertainment and Assessment of a just Amount of Revenue was added to the other Objects with which he had undertaken the Charge, he acted on the Conviction that the Course best calculated to determine the Rights of Individuals was the one most conducive to the Ascertainment of such an equal and moderate Revenue as is most for the Interest of the State.

8. The Objects proposed to be embraced by the Survey and Settlement were as follows :—

1st, the Revision of the Assessment.

2d, the better Division of the Kists or Instalments.

(110 i.)

3 P 2

3d, the

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

3d, the Demarcation of the exterior Boundaries of Estates and Villages.
4th, the Correction of the System of Accounts at the Tehsildars' Offices,
and the Arrangement of their Records.

5th, the Formation of a Fund for the Construction of Roads.

6th, the Establishment of a Provision for the Support of the Village Police.

7th, the Resumption of all hidden Rent-free Tenures brought to light by
the Survey.

8th, the recasting or Reduction of the Talookahs or large Tenures.

9th, the Demarcation of the component Portions of every Village; the
recording of the several Rights comprised therein, and providing for their
Maintenance; and the registering of all Rights which may spring up hereafter.

9. With respect to the Revision of the Assessment, Mr. Bird expresses his
Belief, that a "moderate, fair, and equal Demand on the Land, such as can and
ought to be collected without interfering with the Accumulation of Property
and the March of Agricultural Prosperity, has, generally speaking, been fixed."
This Portion of the Settlement is highly spoken of by the late Lieutenant-
Governor (Mr. Robertson) as sound in Principle, and performed with Consideration
and Judgment; and he states that he had not found Cause, up to the Period at
which he was writing (April 1842), to return any Settlement for Revision, on
the Ground of Excess in the Jumma imposed.

10. The Division of the Kists or Instalments of Public Revenue has been so
fixed as to allow the Sale of the Produce before the Demand can take effect,—
an Arrangement regarding the Benefit of which there appears to be no Diver-
sity of Opinion.

11. The same may be said of the Demarcation of the exterior Boundaries of
Estates and Villages, and of the Correction of the System of Accounts, from
both of which Measures much public Benefit is anticipated.

12. The Formation of a Fund for the Construction of Roads, and the Pro-
vision for the Support of the Village Police, are Measures deemed by some of
the Opponents of the System of Settlement, to be of doubtful Expediency.
The former, Mr. Robertson is of opinion, will be beneficial, but cannot be
popular with the Community, until they are enabled to appreciate the Advantages
to be derived from well made Roads; and he fears that 1 per cent. collected for
the Object proposed will be viewed in the Light of an Addition to the *regular*
Assessment instead of a Deduction from it; while the latter Measure he states
to be often complained of, and generally felt as a Grievance. He is of opinion
that the Presence of a paid Chokeydar would never be acceptable, even if it
involved no extra Charge. Such a Functionary, he conceives, would be likely
to lord it over the Brahmin or Rajpoot on his own Domain. "There is in this,"
he observes, "an Inversion of the social Order of the Country, such as must
cause Heart-burnings and Mortifications in that Class of our Subjects whose
Affections it is most our Interest to conciliate. An Abatement in the Num-
ber of Thefts and Burglaries is but a sorry Compensation for such a Con-
sequence, or for the no less pernicious Result of the Zemindar taking
Advantage of the Presence of a paid Police Officer to exonerate himself
from all Responsibility for what occurs."

13. The Resumption of the hidden Rent-free Tenures brought to light by
the Survey is considered by Mr. Robertson to have been marked at the Outset
by a hard and harsh Dealing with individual Rights, gradually (but, he fears,
reluctantly) "yielding to the Orders from superior Authorities, especially from
the Honourable Court." "The Settlement Officer," he says, "swept up
without Inquiry every Patch of unregistered Land; even those under Ten
Beegahs, exempted by a subsequent Order, which did not come out until
Five Sixths of the Tenures had been resumed." In One "District, that of
Furruckabad, the Obligations of a Treaty and the direct Orders of Govern-
ment were but lightly dealt with; and in all, a total Disregard was evinced for
the Acts even of such Men as Warren Hastings and Lord Lake."

14. The recasting and Reduction of the Talookahs or Superior Tenures are
considered by Mr. Robertson to have been much too precipitate and summary.
He admits, however, the Difficulty of maintaining the Privileges of the Talook-
dars without endangering the Rights of the Village Proprietors, and states that
he would gladly have given his Support to any well-digested Plan for recon-
ciling those conflicting Titles; but he objects strongly to the Course which
has been adopted, especially in reference to Tenures of Magnitude, whence all
that

that remains of an Aristocracy in the Country derives its Support. With respect to the Practice of assigning a Malikana Allowance generally of 18 per Cent. on the Jumma, as a Compensation to the Talookdar, on the Villages severed from the Talookah, he contends that if the Talookdar has not a Title such as it is found impossible entirely to reject, the Land should not be saddled with the Cess on his Account; and if he have a Title it ought to rest with some more impartial Authority than a Settlement Officer, bent on the Realization of Schemes to which the Talookahs are a serious Obstacle, to set it aside. He adduces Instances in justification of his Strictures, from the Proceedings which have taken place in this Branch of the Settlement, in the Districts of Mynpooree, Etawah, and Allighur, the Details of which we shall notice in reviewing the Settlement in those Districts respectively.

15. We now come to that Section of the Settlement Arrangements on which, with the Exception of the preceding, the greatest Differences exist; viz. the Demarcation of the component Portions of every Village, and the recording of the several Rights comprised therein. The Measure is thus described by Mr. Bird: "Complete Records have been made of the Possession, Rights, and Liabilities of all those Members of the Agricultural Community who hold in severalty, by which a just Due may be enforced against any Member who may withhold it, without injuring the innocent; and Provision has been made for keeping up the Record by such an Arrangement of the Native Village and Revenue (Putwarree and Tehseedarree) Accounts as, if properly watched and maintained, will always yield the necessary Information." Lastly, he states that the Summary Revenue Suit Department has been put on such a Footing as to ensure that prompt Recovery of an undoubted Demand which is requisite to preserve those under direct Engagements with Government from Ruin, and at the same Time all Disputes and questionable Claims are left, as they should be, to the Judicial Tribunals."

16. In opposition to the foregoing Description of the beneficial Operation and Tendency of the Arrangements detailed, we have the Opinion of Mr. Robertson and Mr. Grant, by whom, respectively they are considered to be most defective, and unlikely to effect the Object proposed. Mr. Robertson considers that to keep up a Record of the Circumstances of every Field, would entail a constant Interference on the Part of the Executive in the Affairs of every Village, and in fact of every Villager, which would be irksome to any People, and especially so to the Natives of India, while the System would do little towards sustaining the Village Communities, which, as Experience "led him to think, thrive best" when protected from "outward Aggression," and "left to the undisturbed Adjustment of their own Relations." The prominent Defect of this Part of the Settlement is stated to exist in its aiming at too much by seeking to give a detached and separate Durability to Rights which exist only from their mutual and almost indefinable Connexion with each other.

17. The late Lieutenant Governor (Mr. Robertson) proceeded to the Work of Revision with "strong Prepossessions in favour of much of what the Project promised to accomplish;" and "it was with sincere Regret that, after having confirmed at the Outset the Settlements of the Districts of Bareilly, Shahjehanpore, Furruckabad, Goruckpore, Suharunpore, and the Bhutte Country, he felt compelled to proceed more slowly for a while, and at last to postpone all further Ratification until the Receipt of the next Annual Report."

18. He adds, "I suspended the Progress of the Operations, pending a Reference to the Supreme Government. My Sentiments are given at Length in my Letters to the Governor-General in Council of 15th August and 21st November 1840; and though I cannot say that my Arguments were answered, yet, as my Objections were overruled, the Measure was of course suffered to proceed."

19. The Tenor of Mr. Bird's Report would lead us to infer that he anticipated much of the Opposition which his Proceedings have met, and is willing to take on himself the full Responsibility which may attach to the Originator of the System. He reviews the Condition and Prospects of each District, recapitulates the principal Measures adopted for the Reform of the general Revenue Administration of the Provinces, and anticipates from them on the whole much practical Advantage, though he expresses his Belief that many Errors and Omissions will be found in so vast an Undertaking. In reply to those who estimate less favourably the Extent of the Benefits expected from the Settlement,

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

ment, he says it must be left to Parties who know what was the State of the Revenue Administration of Upper India in 1830, and what it now is,—what was the Condition of the Agricultural Population at that Time, and what, in spite of great national Calamities, it has become since,—what was the Feeling of the People then, and what it now is,—to appreciate the Labours with which these Changes have been effected, or the Extent of the Benefits which have resulted from their Operation.

20. The foregoing Summary of the various Opinions recorded regarding the probable Results and Tendency of the Settlement in its various Branches embraces the principal Points which have come under our Notice. We reserve, as already intimated, for our concluding Remarks, such Observations as we may deem it expedient to make on the general Advantages and Disadvantages of the Measure. We shall consider the several Reports in the Order of the Divisions.

21. The tabular Statements with which we shall conclude our Examination of each District will be compiled from the Settlement Papers.

FIRST OR MEERUT DIVISION.

Sheharunpore District.

22. The Settlement of this District was undertaken and completed by Mr. Edward Thornton, and reported to us in the Revenue Narrative of 16th November 1840, No. 6.

23. The Term of Settlement was fixed at Twenty Years, instead of Thirty Years as in most other Districts. It was considered that the very low Rate of Assessment in many Estates, the Consequence of Over-assessment in former Years, rendered it expedient that the present Jumma, though somewhat enhanced, should stop far short of the Sum fairly demandable, when the Prosperity of the District should have been restored.

24. The Sudder Board, however, recommended that a distinct Pledge should be given to those who had signalized themselves by their Industry and Punctuality of Payment, that no increased Demand should at any future Settlement be exacted from them, until the Rates on the Lands of others less industrious should have been brought up to their Standard.

25. The above Suggestion appears to have been entirely approved by the Lieutenant Governor, with the Exception of the latter Clause, by which the Term of the present Assessment as regard the Mouzahs in question, was limited to the Period when “the Rate of Assessment on their Neighbours Land shall equal their own.” The above Limitation, in his Opinion, admitted of so many Interpretations as to destroy the Value of the Measure.

26. The Obstacles which presented themselves generally throughout the District to an Equalization of the Demand are very fully described by Mr. Thornton. A prominent Place is assigned to the Difference existing in the Habits and Characteristics of a very diversified Population, some remarkable for Skill and Energy, and others for Indolence. The Lieutenant Governor, in his Observations on the Settlement, urged the Necessity of fixing the Demand on the former so as to avoid trenching on the fair Reward of Skill and Industry, and at an Amount which would, in the Case of the latter, admit of easy and profitable Transfer of the Tenures of Defaulters; and as it did not appear from the Proceedings which Class of People are considered the least industrious, he suggested that Policy demanded some Relaxation in favour of the old or decayed Pathan Families, who, from their Habits or former Pursuits, might not be as skilful Managers of Land as other Classes of Proprietors. The Industrious Classes were represented to be progressively increasing in Number and Wealth, and gradually buying out and displacing the others.

27. The Measures adopted by the Settlement Officer for defining Rights, by securing the Titular Zemindars in the full and unquestionable Enjoyment of all they had ever possessed, and fixing distinctly the Terms of the Tenures of those who had always exercised the Right of Cultivation and Fiscal Management, are much commended by the Board, who observe that Agriculture “can never attain the Prosperity which it is the Duty of every good Government to use all the best Means of obtaining for it, till Security of Tenure and Title be afforded.”

28. In

28. In reference to the Reduction in the Demand allowed by Mr. Thornton in Cases where he deemed the Interests of the skilful and industrious to require such Concession, the Board asserted the Right of Government to a fair and moderate Share of the Fruits of that increased productive Power which has been given to the Soil by a long Course of patient Effort; but they stated that "in practice it requires cautious Forbearance to avoid appropriating what is, in fact, the Return of Labour and Capital, and thus destroying the Spring of Improvement at its Source. This Error has, in past Times, struck deep at the Root of the Prosperity of the Northern Districts, and the Board are proportionately anxious now to avoid it, without, however, falling into the opposite Extreme."

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

29. The Lieutenant Governor expressed his entire Concurrence in the foregoing Sentiments, and his Gratification at observing that the Board were desirous to use every possible Caution to avoid the Errors into which others had fallen.

30. The financial Result of the revised Settlement showed an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 10. 6.

31. The total Amount of the Assessment is Rs. 9,04,438, being an Increase on the previous Assessment of Rs. 48,113.

32. The proceedings of a judicial Character in this District were of minor Importance, except in the Case of a large Mocurruree Tenure of the late Rajah Ramdial Sing, regarding which the Settlement Officer entered into copious Details.

33. It appears that on the Accession of the British Government the Rajah was found in possession of the Tenure at a Jumma of Rs. 1,11,597, which was confirmed to him for his Life. At his Death in 1813 the Claim of his Heirs to the Zemindarree Right in the Property was considered, and, pending future Investigations, they were admitted to Settlement. The Grounds of this Concession to them are stated to have been Consideration towards a Family so long in Occupancy, their altered Circumstances, and the Services of the Rajah to Government.

34. At the Settlement of 1822 certain Modifications were made, and though the Stipends were secured to the young Rajah and his Mother, the Ranee, it was explicitly laid down, that the Grant was not an Acknowledgment of Right, but simply a Mark of Consideration to the Family.

35. The Necessity entailed by the present System of Settlement of defining the Rights of the Parties admitted to Engagements obliged Mr. Thornton again to re-open the Investigation of the conflicting Claims of the Mocurrureedar's Heirs and the Village Communities; and the Persons found by him in what he deemed "Proprietary Occupancy" of the Land, have been recorded as Proprietors, and admitted to Settlement. The Claim of the Mocurrureedar to the Zemindarree Right was declared to have been from the first unfounded, and as a Consequence that of his Descendants at the present Day has been set aside as invalid.

36. The Sudder Board expressed their Surprise that Mr. Thornton thought it necessary to enter into so long a Discussion of this Mocurruree, the Fact of the Proprietorship vesting in the Village Communities being, as they alleged, quite clear to any Officer of common Experience and Acquaintance with Landed Tenures.

37. The Lieutenant Governor, though approving generally of the Arrangements in reference to the Mocurruree, postponed his final Confirmation of them pending the Completion of the Settlement of another Portion of the District with which they are connected, and expressed his Readiness to take into favourable Consideration the Claims of any Branches of the surviving Family to pecuniary Allowance. He also stated his Opinion that there were Passages in Mr. Thornton's Report whence an Inference favourable to the Claims of the Mocurrureedar's Heirs to be regarded in some Instances as Proprietors may be drawn.

38. The following Circumstance was brought to the Notice of Government with a view to its being taken into consideration whether Government should not make a Watercourse in this District at its own Expense.

39. The Construction of the Bunds at the Heads of the Two Canals had annually for several Years been made more perfect till the whole of the Waters

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

of the Jumna had, it appeared, been completely diverted into the one or the other Canal, and at the Foot of the Bunds the River had become passable by a Person dry-shod. The Result had been that a Mass of Villages lying between the Jumna itself and a Stream called the Boorhee Jumna, in a Soil which did not admit of the Construction of Cucha Wells, and which Villages depended on those Two Streams for Drinking Water, had been put to great Inconvenience. This Privation of Drinking Water, or at least of such as is wholesome, was a serious Ground of Complaint, it appeared, with the Villagers, as was also the Fact of the Loss of the Means of Irrigation formerly afforded them by the Jumna.

40. To remedy the foregoing Causes of Inconvenience and Discontent Mr. Thornton suggested a Plan for the Construction of a Watercourse at the Expense of Government. Under the Arrangement proposed the whole of the Mouzahs requiring it would be supplied with wholesome Water to drink, and those who chose to irrigate would pay the usual Rates.

41. The above Proposal was recommended for favourable Consideration by the Commissioner, and the Lieutenant Governor readily acquiesced in the Necessity of adopting Measures to remedy the Hardships complained of, and empowered the Board to authorize at once the Formation of the proposed Cut, at the Expense of Government, chargeable to the Canal Accounts.

Scharunpore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Rate on total Area.		Rate on cultivated Area.	Percentage of Irrigation.	Former Jumna.	Proposed Jumna.	Increase.	Decrease.
			R. A. P.	R. A. P.						
Scharunpore -	102,345	75,004	1 3 10½	1 11 1½	21	1,11,372	1,16,351	4,979		
Mulhyepore -	44,733	33,947	1 2 2½	1 8 3	12	49,634	48,473	- -	1,161	
Moozufferabad	45,295	24,547	0 13 9	1 9 4	07	33,603	37,290	3,687		
Jehanjerabad -	13,020	2,157	0 6 6	1 11 0	02	4,043	5,102	1,059		
Fyzabad -	48,021	17,330	0 8 11½	1 8 10	01	20,738	24,603	3,865		
Talook Put- chees.	15,564	10,003	1 0 9½	1 10 2	06	18,533	18,367	- -	166	
Behut -	49,098	23,339	0 12 7½	1 10 6½	04	30,769	37,190	6,421		
Sursawah -	57,638	34,288	0 15 9½	1 7 9	20	53,609	56,824	3,215		
Sultanpore -	42,198	27,495	0 15 5½	1 7 7½	11	35,875	38,109	2,234		
Nukoor -	42,494	28,258	0 15 5½	1 7 7½	29	33,473	38,380	4,907		
Jumalghur -	6,221	3,668	1 0 1½	1 8 2½	20	5,630	5,820	190		
Deobhund -	135,147	101,151	1 2 10½	1 9 2½	07	1,54,576	1,56,308	1,732		
Rampoor -	29,788	20,901	1 2 11	1 10 11½	31	32,598	35,234	2,636		
Thana Bhown	54,554	33,638	1 2 8½	1 14 4½	25	36,077	63,024	26,947		
Nanowtah -	10,377	7,385	1 7 9	2 1 3	37	14,761	14,922	161		
Gungo -	41,941	24,517	0 15 0½	1 9 4	26	27,899	29,916	2,017		
Chousutkerce	19,326	11,527	1 0 0	1 10 10½	31	17,139	17,571	432		
Khatka -	25,781	19,622	1 1 11	1 7 6½	12	23,342	23,889	547		
Jowalupoor -	41,802	10,247	0 6 11½	1 12 1½	00	13,040	15,287	2,247		
Roorkee -	72,604	40,365	1 0 6½	1 13 8½	06	84,024	74,969	- -	9,053	
Jowrassee -	52,144	18,683	0 11 6½	2 0 3	- -	45,418	37,291	- -	8,127	
Tuppa Sukroda	18,099	3,736	0 5 11½	1 12 10½	- -	7,403	6,747	- -	656	
Tuppa Kheree	2,771	2,277	0 3 10½	1 3 6	- -	2,771	2,771	- -		

Rs.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - - 9,04,438

Amount of Increase on previous Assessment - - - 48,113

Begum

Begum Sumroo's Jagheer.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

42. The Papers connected with the Settlement of this Jagheer by Mr. Plowden under Regulation IX. of 1833, accompanied the Revenue Narrative dated 15th April 1841, No. 2, Paragraphs 35 to 39.

43. It appears from Mr. Plowden's Sketch of the State of the Property before it lapsed to the Company in 1836, that the previous Administration of the Territory under the Begum was characterized by the systematic Exaction of the utmost that could be wrung from the Cultivators, although by her skilful Management in always limiting her Demand to the exact Point of Endurance, with due Regard to the Occurrence of favourable and unfavourable Seasons, she gave her Country a fictitious Appearance of Prosperity. "Above the Surface," says Mr. Plowden, "all was smiling and prosperous, but within was Rottenness and Misery."

44. But when this System of extreme Exaction lost the Advantage of her own skilful Management, and the Administration passed in the latter Years of her Life into the Hands of Mr. Dyce Sombre, that which she had carefully restricted to the Limits of Endurance became unendurable. More than half the Lands were thrown up, and numerous Cultivators abandoned the Soil. When on the Begum's Death the Jagheer lapsed to the Company, it was found necessary to begin in 1836 with a summary Settlement at a reduced Jumma; and the People who had migrated elsewhere were induced to return and establish themselves in their old Homes, on receiving the Assurance of a speedy and equitable Assessment at a long Lease.

45. The financial Result of the Settlement shows a revised Jumma of Rs. 5,63,748, being an Increase of Rs. 48,833 on the aggregate of the previous summary Assessment, and less by Rs. 47,602 than the Average of the Begum's Jumma for Twenty Years.

46. The Sum above stated was the Amount at which the Jumma was prospectively fixed, but to which it would only attain on the Twelfth Year of the present Settlement by annual Increases during the intermediate Years.

47. The Preparation of the Records of Rights and Responsibilities was stated by the Settlement Officer to have been a Work of no ordinary Labour, owing to the Inaccuracy of the Kusreh Survey, and to the Fact that the Begum's Records consisted of nothing more than an Account Current with each Mouzah.

48. Mr. Plowden's Proceedings appeared to have been generally approved by the different revising Authorities.

49. Some Differences of Opinion existed on the Subject of enhancing the Jumma on improved Soils at the Expiration of a Lease. We have repeatedly expressed our Opinion on this Subject, and shall recur to it in our concluding Remarks.

50. The Documents received from you do not admit of the Formation of a Tabular Statement, showing the Average Rates of Cultivation and Assessment as in the Case of other Districts.

Allyghur District.

51. The Completion of the Settlement of this District was reported in the Revenue Narrative of 15th April 1841, No. 2.

52. The District was represented as being in a very high State of Cultivation, and, generally speaking, of Prosperity. Most of the Pergunnahs were fully cultivated, and no Improvement was to be expected except from the Introduction of Canal Irrigation.

53. Mr. Bird's Report entered at some Length into the Circumstances of Two Pergunnahs* (in that Portion of the District which was settled by Mr. Thornton, and was not included in the Proceedings under Review), in which much Difficulty has been experienced in collecting the Revenue. He traced this Difficulty to the Embarrassments of the Owners of the Villages which had arisen in a great Measure from unsuccessful Speculations in Indigo, and the Failure of the Houses of Agency which had promoted these Speculations by granting Advances.

* Hatrass, Moorsaum.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

54. The Village Communities had received Advances from Bankers to meet the Demands of the Rajah.

55. After a Lapse of Years, the Purchasers of the abandoned Factories urged their Claims to the Repayment of the outstanding Balances of former Advances, and the Native Bankers also pressed for Reimbursement, in some Cases insisting on having Lands assigned to them as Security. They, however, omitted to take Measures for paying regularly the Public Revenue, and the Sudder Board deemed it advisable to bring some few Lands to Sale, "in order to prove to the Creditors, that their own Haste and Rapacity would lead to their own Loss;" and that it was requisite for them to accept a more tardy Recovery of their Demand, and to provide for the Payment of the Demand of Government also.

56. Matters would from this Time, according to Mr. Bird, have proceeded satisfactorily, but for the Interference of Government, which, he states, "for Reasons unknown to him," prohibited the Board from selling any of the Mouzahs without a special Authority from them, and directed that if any fell into Arrear they should be made over to the Rajah. The Effect of this Prohibition was, in his Opinion, likely to be unfavorable, both to the regular Realization of the Revenue and to the Interests of the Communities; and was specially adverted to by him to prove that the Difficulty found in collecting the Revenue in this Portion of the District did not arise in any respect from Over-assessment, but from the unsparing Exaction of old Balances by new Purchasers; that the extremely moderate Government Demand ought to be annually realised from the Resources of the District; and that any further Reduction of the Jumma would be a Sacrifice of the Government Rights to the new Proprietors, without any Benefit to the actual Cultivators, who had only their Food and Clothing, but under any Degree of Remission would have no more.

57. The Settlement of the Six Pergunnahs under Notice was undertaken conjointly by Mr. Rose and Mr. Deputy Collector Wright.

58. Many Portions of the District had suffered severely from the Drought of Fusly 1245 (1833). The Settlement Officer consequently granted in some Instances Reductions of Jumma to have immediate Effect, and, in others, immediate Remissions without permanent Reduction.

59. The Zemindarree Claims brought under the Notice of the Settlement Officer were stated to have been generally preferred by Parties who could not prove Possession; and they were therefore disregarded. Certain other Claims, however, described as Moccuddumee or Dhakulkharij, were specially adverted to. They were stated to be Claims to Malgoozarree Occupancy, under the recorded Zemindars, on the Ground of long actual Occupancy, conceiving that the Point to be determined was, whether the Occupancy of the Claimants was founded on original Right, or was merely dependent on the Will of the recorded Zemindars. Mr. Rose ruled, that where the Claimants could prove Possession so far back as could be traced, and that the sole Zemindarree Management had rested with them (that is, that they had built Wells, advanced Tuccavee, collected the Rents, and paid the Revenue through the recorded Malgoozarree Occupancy, their Claims should be admitted, and themselves retained in Malgoozarree Occupancy, paying, as heretofore, the Jumma through the recorded Zemindars, who received a Per-centage for their Profit and Trouble of Collection.

60. The Case of the Rajah Menjh Sing, Zemindar of Himmutnuggur, in the Pergunnah of Munhera, involved some Points of Importance.

61. He had enjoyed a Nankar Allowance of Rs. 4,591, the Continuance of which he claimed. The Allowance in question was originally granted to his Father, the late Rajah, by Government, for Life, with an Intimation that it would not be continued to his Heir. After his Death the Board continued the Grant, or at least directed that no Alteration should be made in the Assessment. The present Rajah was unable to substantiate any Claim to the Indulgence he solicited; but Mr. Rose deemed the Circumstances of his Case to be worthy of Consideration, with reference to this Fact, among others, that he was a very indulgent Landlord; and as the general State of his Property, its Agricultural Inferiority, and the liberal Scale of Expenditure required by his Rank and Position, rendered it impossible that the Estate should be raised to the Pergunnah Standard *quoad* the Rate of Assessment, he deducted the Amount of Nankar Allowance from the Jumma, fixed on the Principles according to which

which the Pergunnah has been assessed. This Adjustment of the Rajah's Claim was highly commended by the Lieutenant Governor. Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

62. Another Case, also involving Points of Importance, was adjudicated on by Mr. Wright, and the Decision was demurred to by the Commissioner. It arose in the Mouzah of Hamudpore, which had been given to a Farmer, though the Proprietary Right of Two Persons was stated by the Commissioner, Mr Franco, to have been fully established. 13th August 1851.

63. The Reason assigned for excluding them was, that they had never had the Management; that the Estate had been held by Farmers for many Settlements; and that the Farmer in possession, Putah Sing, having engaged for a long Time, had been considered entitled to the Settlement. A Malikana Assignment of 10 per Cent. was made to the Zemindars, who tacitly assented to the Arrangement, and preferred no Appeal against the Proceeding.

64. This Settlement with the Farmer, without any alleged Incompetency on the Part of the Zemindars, and without their Consent being asked, was considered by the Commissioner to be irregular, and he therefore submitted the Case for the Orders of the Board, who caused further Inquiries to be made in reference to the Question, pending which, however, they deemed it expedient not to suspend their Confirmation of the Settlement.

65. A Proposition by Mr. Wright for cutting a Canal through certain culturable Waste Lands situated in the Valley of the Jumna was made the Subject of Inquiry by the Board, but the Expediency of the Measure was doubted by the Lieutenant Governor, who thought it unadvisable to diminish by any further Drain the very small Quantity of Water in the Jumna.

66. The financial Result of the Settlement gave an average Acre Rate on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 4.

67. The Amount of revised Jumma for the Six Pergunnahs under Notice was Rs. 6,83,153, and exhibited an Increase on the previous Assessment of Rs. 30,068.

68. The Settlement had been made for Thirty Years, except in the Pergunnah of Tuppal, fixed at Twenty Years, but it was recommended by the Commissioner that that Settlement should be extended to the full Period of Thirty Years.

Allyghur.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Present Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			R ^s . A. P.	R ^s . A. P.				
Burrowley -	20,045	15,228	1 11 4	1 4 9	19,000	26,000	7,000	
Jullallee -	65,906	57,682	2 3 11	1 15 5	1,34,779	1,29,492	- -	5,287
Secundra -	84,312	69,132	2 1 0	1 11 1	1,31,891	1,42,346	10,455	
Mohrerah -	87,230	65,596	1 15 9	1 7 10	1,19,267	1,29,966	10,699	
Akberabad -	98,645	76,825	1 12 7	1 6 2	1,32,838	1,37,143	4,305	
Tuppal -	93,966	58,539	2 0 2	1 4 1	1,15,310	1,18,206	2,896	

Total Amount of new Assessment - - Rs. 6,83,153

Amount of Increase on previous Assessment - 30,068

Bolundshuhur District.

69. The Portion of this District which has come under Settlement consisted of only Two Pergunnahs, viz., Jewur and Puhasoo, which were settled by Mr. Tonnochy, whose Report was furnished with the Revenue Narrative dated 11th April 1842, No. 2.

70. The District is One of those which suffered severely from the Drought of 1838, and in fixing the Assessment Remissions were granted for the Purpose of enabling the Agricultural Community to recover from the Effects of the Calamity.

71. The District was reported to be very backward. The Population were stated to evince a Preference for pastoral over agricultural Pursuits, and to bear a very indifferent Character for Industry and Honesty. At the Period of
(1101.) 3 Q 2 Settle-

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

Settlement a large Portion of fertile Land was waste, which was likely to receive the Benefits of Cultivation under the System of Management newly established; and much Advantage is anticipated to the District from the Introduction of Canal Irrigation.

72. The financial Result of the Settlement gave an average Acre Rate of Assessment of the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 9. 8.

73. The Amount of the revised Jumma of the Two Pergunnahs together was for the First Year of the Settlement Rs. 1,15,139, and for the last Year Rs. 1,27,374, showing a present Decrease of Rs. 13,414, and a prospective one of Rs. 1,179.

74. The only Subject in connexion with the Settlement of this Portion of the District which appears to us to require any special Notice is the Question which arose as to the Number of Lumberdars which should have been sanctioned in the different Estates. The Statements of Responsibilities submitted by Mr. Tonnochy are represented as showing more than would constitute a due Number of Lumberdars, but he stated that this Fact did not arise from any Inattention or Want of proper Firmness on his Part in enforcing the Necessity of Reduction, but from his being obliged to yield to Circumstances, which, if left unregarded, would immediately have led to rancorous Animosity and Hostility among the Brotherhood to and Interruption of the Village Economy, the Stoppage of Rents, and still more injurious Results. Notwithstanding every necessary Explanation on his Part, the People could not be got to assent to the Validity of his Reasons for vesting their Transactions with Government in as few Hands as possible, but urged, on the contrary, the Ruin which would attend them, if compelled to submit their Affairs to those whom they could not trust. The Proprietary Body also resisted all Attempts towards assigning a Puchotra Allowance to their Lumberdars; and the Provisions could not be insisted on, owing to the Avidity with which the Lumberdarree Office was coveted by all without any Remuneration whatever.

75. In deference to the strong Feeling entertained by the Village Communities in regard to what they deemed an Infringement of their Rights and Privileges, the Settlement Officer, in the Exercise of his Discretion, waived the strict Enforcement of the unpopular Arrangement.

76. The Sudder Board were of opinion that the Practice of having a Multitude of Lumberdars would be productive of as much Inconvenience to the People themselves as to the Government Officers, and they desired the Commissioner to use his Endeavours to reduce the Number to what they considered the proper Proportion, viz., One Lumberdar to every Rs. 1,000 of Jumma, giving due Consideration however to the Habits of the People, and their Ignorance of our System.

77. In reference to the Question here discussed the Lieutenant Governor observed, that in the Report of the Settlement Officer he remarked that the Standing-up of the Community for that which they considered a Privilege, and which in reality was a Point of minor Consideration, was viewed as an Act of Resistance and Obstinacy, when in fact it amounted to nothing beyond a fair Assertion of a Custom which the Parties under Engagement deemed essential to enable them to secure the Performance of their Contracts. He urged that on such Occasions Inconvenience to Government Officers should be considered as a secondary Matter, more especially when, as in this Case, the Parties interested have but recently come under British Control; that the alleged Inconvenience to the Communities they would themselves soon discover and seek to remedy; and that the required Reduction in the Number of Lumberdars could then be effected by the free Act of the People, and become a popular Measure. He therefore desired that no immediate Alteration should be made in the existing State of Things as fixed by the Settlement until the Parties themselves should seek its Revision.

78. The Sudder Board disclaimed the Sentiments which the Remarks of the Lieutenant Governor appeared to impute to them, and said "If there be any one Ground more than another on which the Board claim for their Proceedings the Confidence of Government, it is on this very one, that the Customs of the People are scrupulously preserved, and the System carefully adapted to the varying Circumstances of each Tenure." The Lieutenant Governor expressed his Satisfaction that such were the Sentiments of the Board, and that they were

“so directly opposed to what he imagined that he had occasionally detected
“in the Writings of their Subordinates.”

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

Bulundshuhur.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Acre Rate on total Area.		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Decrease.	REMARKS.
			R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.	R. A. P.				
Jewar -	70,709	35,025	2 1 8 $\frac{1}{4}$	1 0 8 $\frac{1}{4}$	74,154	73,720	434	The Jumma which is described here as the proposed Jumma is the Amount at which the Assessment will eventually arrive, not that of the First Year of the present Settlement.		
Puhasoo -	43,796	23,270	2 4 10 $\frac{1}{4}$	1 3 7 $\frac{1}{4}$	54,399	53,654	745			

Total Amount of the new Assessment - - Rs. 1,27,374

Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment. - 1,179

Mozuffurnuggur District.

79. The Proceedings relating to the Settlement of this District were transmitted with the Revenue Narrative dated 21st April 1843, No. 3. The Settlement was completed by Mr. E. Thornton, whose Report differed in some respects from those submitted regarding other Districts, inasmuch as it partook more of the Character of a laborious scientific Inquiry into the Quantity and Quality of Soils, and entered less into Questions connected with Proprietary Rights or individual Interests. The Process by which Mr. Thornton fixed his Assessment on certain Soils involved a Principle of Importance.

80. Bearing in mind the Doctrine, so often advanced by the Sudder Board, of the undoubted Right of Government to a moderate Share of the increased Produce which is derived, not from the original Fertility of the Soil, but from the judicious Application of Skill, Capital, and Industry, Mr. Thornton endeavoured to fix a Rate of Assessment by which all Land in an extraordinarily advanced State of Cultivation shall bear a larger Jumma than Soils possessing the same intrinsic Peculiarities and natural Capabilities, but which have not attained so high a Degree of agricultural Advancement.

81. He placed under a separate and distinct Head all the Land which, from diligent Tillage and much manuring, has acquired greater Productiveness than the natural Soil possessed. The Land so classed is termed “Meesum.” And after much Deliberation he decided on assessing it at the high Rate which it will be seen to bear, a Rate often above Rs. 3 per Acre, even if unirrigated; while “Rouslee” Land (the superior of the Three Denominations into which the Soils are generally classed) is ordinarily rated at Rs. 1. 8 unirrigated. He then assumed that in every Village there was (or ought to have been) a certain Proportion of this highly manured Soil, and that where it did not exist the Proprietors had neglected their Trust, and had deprived Government of their legitimate Share of what the Property ought to yield. He therefore took 20 per Cent. to be the average Proportion of “Meesum” of the whole cultivated Area. In most Cases the Land in question is stated to be under 30 per Cent. of the Cultivation; in comparatively few did it exceed 40; and 50 per Cent. was the Limit which he affixed to the Assessment on it. That is to say, in order that an industrious Community, who had enriched their Soil by skilful Husbandry, should not, if they possessed a very large Proportion of such Land, be deprived of all the Benefits of their past Labour, he ruled that in all those highly improved Villages in which a Proportion of more than One Half of the Land had been, by careful and ample manuring, brought into the State of “Meesum,” the higher Revenue Rate was only to be taken upon One Half, the remaining “Meesum” Land being taxed as ordinary Land of the next lower Denomination, viz., “Rouslee,” and the additional Profits left to the Occupants as the Reward of their Exertions.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

82. The Arrangements above detailed were highly praised by the Sudder Board, and characterized as giving every Encouragement to the Agricultural Population, "to push their Improvement to the highest State of which it is capable."

83. We shall, as we have already said in relation to Begum Sumroo's Jagheer, reserve the Discussion of this Branch of the Subject for our concluding Remarks.

84. The fiscal Result of the Settlement gave an Average Acre Rate of Assessment, on the Area in actual Cultivation, of Rs. 1. 11. 2.

85. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma for the First Year of the Settlement is Rs. 6,57,335, and for the Year 1859-60 Rs. 6,72,740, showing an immediate Increase of Rs. 73,398, and an ultimate one of Rs. 88,803.

86. Mr. Thornton had made a Re-arrangement in reference to Putwarrees; an entire Change of those Functionaries having been, in his Opinion necessary, from their general Inefficiency as Accountants, and Inability to keep the Records of Rights and Responsibilities with Regularity. In lieu of the former System, under which the Individuals appointed were selected from among the petty Bunyars of the Village, he decided that the Office should be filled with good Accountants, who could keep their Accounts with Method, with a Salary of Rs. 100.

87. His Report was so minute in its Details and professional Descriptions of the Mode in which the Information he sought was obtained, as almost to baffle any Attempt to describe his Proceedings without entering into Minutiæ, the Recapitulation of which is unnecessary, as the Points for Consideration are the Principles by which he was guided, and the Result at which he arrived, rather than the elaborate and intricate Process employed by him in the Attainment of his Object. The Board viewed the Information collected by Mr. Thornton (much of which is wholly unconnected with the Measure of Assessment) as well calculated (tracing as it did the Agricultural History of the District through a Series of Years) to demonstrate the advanced State of Agriculture in India. They also consider that the Settlement Report fully established the Injustice of the Outcry regarding the enormous Proportion of the Produce of the Country exacted by the Government as Revenue; and they stated that it was proved by the Tables of the Settlement Officer, that from 17 to 20 per Cent., or an Average of 20 per Cent. on the Produce, was the full Amount taken by the Government; which exactly agrees with the One Fifth fixed as the Egyptian Land Tax 3,500 Years ago, and falls far below the much lauded moderate Demand of Ukbar.

88. They entered into a long speculative Discussion regarding the Position and Prospects of India in respect to its Advancement in social and scientific Characteristics, the Object of which appeared to be to prove that the Absence of the Knowledge and Experience by which alone the Benefits of Science can be appreciated or applied has the Effect of retarding that Advancement which it is the Duty of every good Government to promote. They desired to demonstrate the Necessity which existed for great Works being undertaken by the State; and they urged upon Government the Expediency of its coming forward as the sole Possessor of the requisite Knowledge, Power, and Wealth to effect the desired Objects, namely, the Construction of Roads and Canals.

89. The Lieutenant-Governor, in reviewing Mr. Thornton's Proceedings, expressed the Opinion, that, viewed as a scientific Paper, embodying the Results of the most patient and laborious Inquiry his Report left nothing to be desired, and merited every Encomium bestowed on it; but that, considering it in the Light of a Document which is for a long Series of Years to be decisive of the Rights and Possessions of Individuals as well as of Classes, it was not so satisfactory as the more simple Reports of other Officers.

90. In the Opinion of the Board as to the Propriety of great Works of public Utility, such as Canals and Roads, being undertaken by Government, the Lieutenant-Governor entirely concurred.

91. With reference to the Arrangements regarding the Putwarrees, the Lieutenant-Governor's Approval and Confirmation was qualified and conditional; as, although he does not doubt the superior Fitness of the Individuals brought in by Mr. Thornton's Arrangement, he apprehended that they would be regarded too much as the Officers of Government, instead of as Servants of the Zemindars or Village Communities; and he deprecates too direct or
apparent

apparent an Interference on the Part of the Executive in the minute Affairs of the Village. Court of Directors to the Governor General.

92. It is to be inferred from the following Passage in the Letter of the Commissioner Mr. Franco, that the Proceedings of the Settlement Officer (in the Cases in which the Decisions of the latter came before him on Appeal) were confirmed and upheld rather from the Belief that they were in accordance with the Spirit and Intentions of the Orders and Views of the Revenue Authorities, than from any Conviction in his own Mind of their Propriety and Justice:—

“ Mr. Thornton had a Bias generally in favour of the Assamees Claims, and he always availed himself of the utmost that the Law allowed in their Favour; whereas I never considered their Claims in the same Light, and my Opinions bear mostly in favour of the Zemindaree Gentry, whose Profits arising from their hitherto acknowledged Property have been materially affected. In consequence therefore of this Difference in our Views Mr. Thornton was always particular in furnishing me with the Details of each Case; and as his Proceedings were in accordance with the well-known Sentiments of my Superiors in the Revenue Department, and never contrary to Law, I was seldom called on to interfere in any other Way than to confirm the Order he had passed.”

13th August 1851
Coll. 5. p. 360.

Mozuffurnuggur.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Previous Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	REMARKS.
			R ^s . A. P.	R ^s . A. P.					
Jansut -	24,249	17,962	1 2 9	1 9 3½					
Nerganjun -	7,495	4,604	1 0 5½	1 10 4½					
Taulee -	32,709	19,891	0 9 1½	0 15 0					
Khoree Qoree-shee.	8,138	5,248	0 13 9½	1 5 4½					
Khatowlee -	35,246	24,510	1 7 7	2 1 11					
Poorbaleen -	21,770	14,562	1 0 9	1 9 0½					
Jukhwala -	26,491	15,557	0 14 10½	1 9 4½					
Budbaee -	9,167	6,830	1 2 9	1 9 2					
Churthawal -	15,745	11,511	1 8 2½	2 1 2½					
Bhugra -	10,440	7,054	1 0 10½	1 11 1¾					
Puchenda -	11,477	8,232	1 6 1½	1 14 8¼					
Mozuffurnuggur	28,260	17,574	0 14 9¾	1 7 10					
Shoroun -	13,960	9,715	1 6 6¾	2 0 5	5,83,937	6,72,740	88,803	- -	
Shamlee -	15,117	11,089	1 13 4	2 8 0					
Khodana -	11,390	8,250	2 0 6½	2 14 7¼					
Scattered Mouzahs.	5,919	2,956	0 14 10	1 13 8½					
Joor -	17,616	11,839	0 15 3½	1 6 8¾					
Ghoor -	22,149	14,553	0 13 5½	1 4 5¼					
Chupar -	17,472	13,550	1 7 11½	1 14 11					
Bookururee -	34,256	14,824	0 8 4½	1 3 4½					
Sissa -	22,419	15,474	1 0 3	1 7 7					
Gaouree -	16,714	4,360	0 6 3	1 8 0					
Rhurwa -	18,280	2,580	0 6 3¾	2 12 9					
Bustoora -	19,424	1,514	0 6 3	5 2 0					
Bedowlee -	186,449	99,939	1 0 0½	1 13 11					
Noornuggur -	59,354	28,139	0 9 8½	0 13 8½					

The Details are furnished in reference to each separate Pergunnah, but the Revenue was assessed by Mr. Thornton after dividing the Districts into Circles. The Jumma is therefore not ascertainable upon each Pergunnah, though the total is shown.

Average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation - Rs. 1. 11. 2.
Total Amount of new Assessment - - - Rs. 6,72,740
Increase on previous Assessment - - - 88,803

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

AGRA DIVISION.

13th August 1851. 93. We now proceed to review the Measures connected with the Settlement of the Districts composing the Agra Division.

Agra Revenue Narrative.

No. 3.	April 21, 1843,	par. 107, 108.
3.	" 21, ———	" 109 to 116.
2.	" 11, 1842, "	" 96 to 100.
3.	" 21, 1843, "	" 121
3.	" 21, ———	" 126 to 131.
1.	Feb. 12, 1842, "	" 71 to 78.
3.	April 21, 1843, "	" 123, 124.
4.	Oct. 31, 1842, "	" 87, 88.

Muttra District.

94. This District, the Settlement of which was completed by Mr. W. H. Tyler, and reported in your Revenue Narrative of 21st April 1843, appears to have suffered severely during the Period of Drought and Famine in 1837-8. Nearly Nine Tenths of the culturable Land are represented to be now in actual Cultivation, and no Prospect is held out of any further Improvement or Increase of Revenue on future Revision.

95. The present Settlement has reference only to the Eight Pergunnahs named in the Margin,* the Settlement of the other Pergunnahs comprised in the Zillah Muttra having been already confirmed by Government in the Years 1833, 1835, and 1836.

96. In several Pergunnahs of this District, by reason of the Calamity of 1833, or of Pressure from previous Over-assessment, the immediate Demand of the full Jumma with which the Land may be eventually fairly chargeable has been postponed for a Term of Years, during which the Assessment is progressively to increase, until it reaches the Amount at which it is to be fixed during the Remainder of the Lease. The Effect of this Arrangement is, in many Cases, a Decrease in the Commencement, and an ultimate permanent Increase on the Amount of the previous Assessment.

97. The financial Result of the Settlement of the Eight Pergunnahs under Notice gives an Average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 10. 2.

98. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 5,50,548, showing an ultimate Increase on the previous Assessment of Rs. 63,174.

99. The Proceedings in reference to the Settlement of this District appear to have given rise to less Discussion than the Measures adopted in other Districts. No large Talookahs have been partitioned. Mr. Tyler's Measures seem to have given much Satisfaction, and to have been approved and confirmed with no Opposition and little Comment.

100. The great Extent of Maafee Holdings is One of the chief Points for Notice in this District. In the Pergunnah of Muttra they are particularly numerous.

101. The whole of the Maafee Lands in the Pergunnah in question, from not having been duly registered, were considered liable to Resumption; but it was not thought advisable to act strictly on the Letter of the Law, as it appeared that the greater Part of them had been held free of Rent for very many Years, and had in no way been interfered with by former Governments, on account of the religious Character of the Parties to whom the Grants were originally made. Moreover it was thought that their immediate Resumption would cause great Distress, and excite much Discontent and Ill-feeling towards Government. Mr. Tyler therefore appears to have adopted a middle Course, by giving the Lands so situated the Benefit of the modified Rules of 22d August 1838 regarding Maafee Tenures, although, strictly speaking, the Rules in question applied only to registered Maafee Grants.

102. Grants made for the Maintenance and Support of Religious Institutions, which he proposed to relinquish in perpetuity for the Support of the Temples.

103. The Lieutenant-Governor † not only concurred in the Justice of Mr. Tyler's Position, that the Maafee Tenures in question, owing to the religious Purposes to which in many Instances the Proceeds are devoted, should not be dealt with according to the exact Letter of the Law; but it was declared that they should be treated with more than ordinary Leniency, and that all the

† Mr. T. C. Robertson.

• Subar.
Meerghur.
Kosee.

Souke.
Arung.
Geburdhum.

Sousa.
Muttra.

Temures should be admitted to the Benefit of Registry. All those connected with Religious Institutions are to be exempt from Inquiry, so long as the Proceeds are applied to the Maintenance of the Establishments for which they are assigned, and are in no Case to be resumed without the previous Sanction of Government.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

104. Besides the religious Grants there were Three other Classes of Tenures, viz :—

1st. "Grants held by Persons who have been in uninterrupted Possession from a Period long antecedent to the British Rule.

2d. "Grants held by Persons who have come into possession since the British Rule and held upwards of Thirty Years.

3d. "Grants held by Persons who have entered in possession within Thirty Years."

105. Of these Grants the Two First Classes have been continued in possession of the Incumbents for their Lives, and declared subject to Inquiry on Lapse, but they are not to be resumed without the previous Orders of Government, in the event of there being any Heirs of the Body to the present Incumbent.

106. The remaining Class has been settled with the present Incumbents at Half Rates.

107. The Settlement has been fixed for a Period of Thirty Years, and, at the Recommendation of the Sudder Board, Authority has been given for the Extension of the Leases of all previously settled Pergunnahs to a like Period of Thirty Years.

Muttra.

NAME OF PERGUNNAH.	No. of Mehals.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Culturable Area.	Acre Rate		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
					on culturable and cultivated Area.	on Area in Cultivation.				
					Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Sahar -	63	115,252	93,001	10,472	1 0 9	1 2 8	1,04,410	1,33,877	29,467	
Mierghur	23	43,558	29,433	9,539	0 13 2	1 1 6	32,265	41,699	9,434	
Kosee -	63	97,639	82,402	7,173	1 11 5	1 13 9	1,62,207	1,62,711	504	
Souke -	15	39,790	33,323	3,674	1 6 2	1 8 8	71,475	69,258	- -	2,217
Arung -	21	49,144	38,627	5,895	0 13 8	0 15 9	39,058	52,085	13,027	
Goburdham	12	16,051	10,662	3,136	1 1 8	1 3 11	21,441	22,489	1,048	
Souaa -	15	18,864	11,373	6,480	1 7 2	1 9 8	27,379	29,547	2,168	
Muttra -	32	53,267	37,754	5,107	0 11 2	0 12 9	29,139	38,882	9,743	

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - - Rs. 1. 10. 2.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - Rs. 5,50,548

Amount of ultimate Increase on previous Assessment - 63,174

Agra District.

108. The Settlement of the District of Agra was effected by Mr. Mansell, with the Exception of a single Pergunnah and a Mehal which were assessed by Mr. Alexander, and the Reports of both those Gentlemen accompanied your Revenue Narrative dated 21st April 1842.

109. It appears from the Proceedings that it has been found necessary in the Eight Pergunnahs revised by Mr. Mansell to make a Reduction of upwards of Rs. 50,000 on the Revision, and that it is considered that no Increase of Revenue can at any Time be expected. Nine Tenths of the whole culturable Area are stated to be in actual Cultivation, and the Revenue as high as is consistent with agricultural Prosperity.

(110.)

3 R

110. The

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

110. The Capability of Agra and its Neighbourhood to bear a higher Rate of Assessment than the Soil in other Parts, is especially noticed by Mr. Mansell, and is ascribed to the Fact that the Market affords a much higher Price to the Farmer, for his Grain, than in Delhi Rohilcund, and the Upper Doab; while the high Rents obtaining in the District are attributed to the Proceedings of Government in the Year of Famine, which by drawing into and circulating in this District abundant Supplies from every Quarter prevented that Distress and consequent Fall in Rents which ensued from that Calamity in other Districts.

111. Much Stress appears to be laid on the Fact that Agra is the only District in which an Attempt has been made to fix the Rate of Rent of Ryots having a Right of Occupancy, bearing a certain Relation to the Amount of the Government Demand, Mr. Mansell having made a Compact with the Zemindars, that the general Rate to be demanded from the Cultivators who had a Right of Occupation should not exceed by more than One Half the Jumma or Demand of Government for that Class of Lands.

112. The financial Result of the Settlement gives an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 2. 5.

113. The total revised Jumma amounts to Rs. 15,54,010, and exhibits a Decrease on the previous Assessment of Rs. 64,524.

114. We perceive from the Proceedings in this District in reference to the Resumption of the unregistered Lands that much Difficulty arose in disposing of the Cases of certain Holdings of less than Ten Beegahs, which were decided on before the Promulgation of the Orders exempting such Lands from the Operation of the Resumption Laws, from an Uncertainty whether or not those Orders should be allowed retrospective Effect.

115. The Lieutenant-Governor has directed that every Case in which the Operation of those Laws presses heavily shall be specially considered, and though alive to the Inconvenience of giving retrospective Effect to the subsequent modified Orders, he directed that the Claims of the Parties should receive indulgent Consideration.

116. The Proceedings of a judicial Character in the District involved the Disposal of several Talookahs. Of these, the largest was that of Sookee Misser, in the Pergunnah Futtchabad. This Individual it appears had, under the Native Government, been a Farmer on a small Scale, who was employed to look after unproductive and deserted Estates, for which Duty he received an Allowance of upwards of Rs. 1,100 per Annum throughout the Mahratta Rule. Being successful, he was promoted, and received from Time to Time Villages, which he was allowed to hold in Farm, until in the course of Years his Holdings aggregated Twenty Villages, which were assessable with a Jumma of from Rs. 20,000 to Rs. 25,000. He died in 1820, and nearly Forty Years undisturbed Possession encouraged his Heirs to claim the entire proprietary Right. This Claim has been disallowed, and the Talookah resumed and partitioned. Mr. Mansell states, at the same Time, that the Services of Sookee Misser deserve Acknowledgment, and that the Resumption of the Talookah at this late Hour is felt more by the Family than if they had been deprived of it at the Conquest.

117. A Talookdaree Allowance has been awarded to the Family, at the Rate of 18 per Cent. from the Rent Assets, dependent however on the Relinquishment by the Heirs of a long pending and partly admitted Claim, amounting to Rs. 13,222, on the Villages of the Talookah.

118. In the Case of the Village of Gojpoorah, also in the Pergunnah of Futtchabad, the same Course was recommended by the Settlement Officer as in the Case above cited, viz., the Grant in Perpetuity of an Allowance of 18 per Cent. on the Rent Assets to the Party in possession. The Dispossession is in this Case also viewed by the Party interested as a Grievance and Hardship, after Forty Years' Occupancy; but the Right of the Talookdar is stated by Mr. Mansell to be even less than that of Sookee Misser, the Estate being held under a Mortgage, from Kestoram, a Member of the once powerful Buddeysura Family.

119. The Amount of the proposed Huck Talookdaree is Rs. 141, being 18 per Cent. on the Real Assets, and is recommended in consideration of the fallen Fortunes of the Family.

120. In the Case under Review, the Measures of the Settlement Officer have been Matter of Discussion. On the one hand, it is alleged by Mr. Mansell, that the Character of the Property, and the Circumstances attending

attending its present Tenure were not such as to constitute a permanent hereditary Claim on the Part of the Heirs of the original Possessor, although he was of opinion that the present Incumbents were justly entitled to the liberal Scale of Compensation proposed by him.

121. On the other hand, while it is not contended that a permanent proprietary Right to the Tenure does exist, a striking Inconsistency is stated to characterize a Decision by which a Talookdar is declared to have no Rights in the Property of which he is deprived, and yet to be entitled to 18 per Cent. on the Jumma of the Villages which formed his Talookah. In such a Case it is urged that the Government, out of the general Resources, and not the Village Proprietary, should be taxed for the Support of the Party dispossessed.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

Mr. R. N. C. Hamilton,
Commissioner
of Agra.
Coll. P. 364.

Agra.

PERGUNNAH.	Number of Villages.	Population per Square Mile.	Culturable Area.	Cultivated Area.	Acre Rate on culturable Area.		Assessment of 1808.	Assessment of 1816.	Assessment of 1828.	Present Assessment.	Decrease or Increase.
					Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Farrah -	135	348	7,847	77,227	1 11 5	1 14 4	1,28,976	1,41,404	1,69,093	1,46,480	22,613
Khundowlee -	93	381	5,512	78,647	1 14 2	2 0 3	1,33,423	1,49,885	1,50,711	1,58,831	In. 8,120
Ferozabad -	146	357	4,626	76,369	2 2 3	2 4 4	1,40,274	1,75,052	1,74,925	1,73,635	1,290
Futtehpoore -	109	456	13,268	72,231	2 0 8	2 6 8	1,51,179	1,58,320	1,66,913	1,74,869	In. 7,956
Hazoor Teseel -	124	1,057	8,093	75,342	2 2 3	2 7 0	1,51,230	1,65,356	2,01,934	1,83,715	18,219
Iradaunnuggur -	124	411	8,343	73,550	2 3 3	2 7 5	1,72,987	1,77,178	1,82,778	1,80,480	2,298
Futtehabad -	123	333	3,323	86,461	1 15 11	2 1 2	1,68,123	1,83,828	1,84,152	1,79,260	4,922
Bah Pinnaput -	183	336	7,075	100,564	1 10 9	1 12 8	1,49,868	2,02,324	2,09,270	1,80,364	28,906
Sirhindoe -	105	387	24,188	94,782	1 7 8	1 13 9	1,50,852	1,69,002	1,78,728	1,76,376	2,352

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - - Rs. 2. 2. 5.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - Rs. 15,54,010

Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment - - 64,524

Furruckabad District.

122. The Proceedings having reference to this District accompanied your Revenue Narrative dated 11th April 1842, No. 2. The Completion of the Settlement was entrusted to Mr. Robinson, whose Measures embraced the Pergunnahs named in the Margin,* and were in course of Completion from the Year 1837 to 1840 inclusive. Part of the District, which, like all others in the Agra Division, has suffered much from the Drought of 1837-8, is represented to be fully cultivated and assessed; while certain Pergunnahs, it is stated, should yield an Increase on Revision.

123. The financial Result of the Settlement of the Eleven Pergunnahs under Notice shows an average Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 6. per Acre.

124. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 9,21,739, being a Decrease on the previous Assessment of Rs. 47,455.

125. The Engagements proposed by Mr. Robinson appear to have been very generally accepted. In the Tehsildarree of Imrutpore, the Zemindars demurred to engage for Thirty Years, in consequence of the Risk to which Agriculture is there exposed by the Inundations of the Ganges and Ram Gunga. They were subsequently induced to sign; but it was stipulated that if at any Time the Soil should be deteriorated or improved by the Action of the River to the Extent of 10 per Cent., either the Government or the Zemindars might claim a Revision of Settlement.

* Kemonje.
Thutteah and Terivah.
Putteealee.
Bhojopore.

Mohamadabad.
Pecpurgaoni.
Tuppah Puhara.

Shumsabad.
Imrutpore.
Khakutmow.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

126. In some Estates held under farming Leases, Mr. Robinson has not allowed the Reduction of Assessment to the Farmer, but has fixed it to commence from the Termination of his Engagement, on the Ground, that in the Farmer's Engagement no Stipulation for such Revision was made; the Commissioner was of opinion that the Reduction should be made to the Farmer, who, in his Turn, should be required to reduce his Rent to his Assamees in proportion to the Reduction he receives on his Jumma; a Failure so to do being held to involve the Exaction of the full Demand.

127. This latter View of the Case was not concurred in by the Sudder Board, who were of opinion that the Farmer's Claim goes no further than to entitle him to the usual Return for his Money advanced, and a fair Remuneration for his Time and Trouble—Advantages which they consider he will doubtless have secured to himself by his original Engagements.

128. The Lieutenant-Governor decided otherwise, and while conceding the Point that Farmers holding under Lease have no Claim in Law to the Benefit of a Reduction of Jumma, consequent on a Revision of Settlement, where no Stipulation to that Effect is contained in the Leases, he was of opinion that a liberal and equitable View of the Case demands that the Farmer should not be forced to fulfil any Engagement which is proved, on Inquiry, to subject him to Loss, or to leave him no Hope of Profit. The Duty of the Settlement Officer being, he urged, to fix after due Inquiry the Limit of the Government Demand, any Exaction beyond that Limit must assume the Nature of a Rack-rent, and act injuriously in the long Run on all Parties, as any higher Demand from the Farmer than the Lands he leases are capable of yielding, or should fairly be chargeable with, would induce him to secure himself against Loss or Disappointment by Over-exaction from his Under-tenants or Ryots.

129. The Release in Perpetuity of Five Maafce Tenures has been authorized, Three of which are in the Pergunnah of Kemonje, the Proceeds being devoted to the Purposes of Education, and Two in the Pergunnah of Putteealee, the Proceeds of which are appropriated to Services connected with the Religious Observances of the Inhabitants.

130. The prosperous Condition of the Pergunnah of Tuppah Puhara is specially brought to Notice, as indicating not only the Fertility of the Soil, but the industrious Habits and skilful Husbandry of the Agricultural Community.

131. The Lieutenant-Governor was of opinion, that the Cultivators merited some marked Encouragement, and directed that the Amount of the Increase obtained on the new Assessment should be relinquished. The Sudder Board thought the Indulgence was unnecessary, the Claims of the Cultivators having been taken into Consideration at the Time of the Jumma being fixed. The Lieutenant-Governor, on Re-consideration, waived the Enforcement of his Order, and acquiesced in the View of the Board.

132. The Nuwab of Furruckabad remonstrated against the Resumption of certain Lakheraj Tenures granted by the Nawab Moozuffer Jung to certain Relatives for their Maintenance, in lieu of Zehuk Pensions, which were allowed to other Members of the Family, and which are continued hereditary under the British Government. The Grants were resumed, on the Grounds that they were not specified in the Articles of the Treaty made with the Nawab Nassir Jung, that they are not registered, and that the Incumbents had no Sunnud to produce showing hereditary Right.

133. The Holders of these Lands had been in possession for Periods varying from Twenty to Fifty Years. The Act of Resumption was stated to have deprived them of their only Means of Subsistence, and reduced them to Indigence. The Nuwab earnestly appealed for the Continuance of the Tenures.

134. The VIIIth Article of the Treaty with the Nuwab of Furruckabad, in 1802, stipulated that "the Rent-free Lands, the daily and yearly Pensions, and "the Jagheers, shall be continued, if upon a fair Question they shall appear "to have been established previously to the Death of Moozuffer Jung."

135. The Decision of the Sudder Board, in the first instance, was that the Claimants had no Right in Law, the Tenures having been properly and legally resumed, and the Governor-General in 1838 (as stated in the Précis drawn up by Mr. Thomason, dated 9th October 1841,) decided "that the Resumptions should be maintained, but Pensions given if necessary."

16th Nov. 1838.
Coll., P. 529.

136. Subsequently to this Date, the Question of the Resumption of the Talookah of Amotee in the Pergunnah Tuppah Puhara, was brought under Notice.

Notice. The Talookah which is large and valuable, and is One of those belonging to the Furruckabad Family, had been resumed as unregistered in 1837, and the Sudder Board declared their Opinion that the Settlement Officer had pursued the proper Course, and that the Jagheer was clearly subject to Resumption. The Grounds of their Decision were the Fact of the Non-registry of the Property, and of its having been bequeathed to the actual Occupants by a Party having no Right to make the Bequest. The Correspondence in reference to this Talookah was not brought to any satisfactory Conclusion until May 1840, when the Governor-General, on a Review of the whole Proceedings, decided in favour of the Claimants, and ordered that the Property should be placed in the Position in which it stood previously to the Resumption, the Sums realized since the Date of its First Attachment being made over for the Benefit of the Parties entitled thereto.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

137. Certain Questions having reference to the Restoration of this Jagheer were raised in the latter End of 1841.

138. The Lieutenant-Governor, in January 1842, recorded his Judgment on the Question.

Coll., P. 564.

139. The Plea of Non-registry he held to be by no means sufficient to warrant Resumption in the Case of Grants held under Treaty, it being unlikely that Parties holding Lands so guaranteed would suppose anything more necessary to strengthen their Right to Possession.

140. He disputed the Interpretation sought to be given to the Article of the Treaty under which it was argued that any Tenures might be resumed which were not made hereditary by the original Grants. He considered that the Object of the British Government in the Treaty was to provide for the Maintenance of the Family, and that this Purpose would not admit a Construction of the Treaty by which the Family would be reduced to Destitution: and that the Article in question, construed not singly in itself, but with regard to the general Spirit of the entire Treaty, showed that the Grants in question were intended as a Boon to a fallen Family, to be at once a respectable Provision for them, and a Compensation for what they had lost. He accordingly decided that all Resumptions which have taken place of Grants made before the Death of Moozuffer Jung were clearly and decidedly at Variance with the plain Meaning and Wording, as well as with the Spirit of the whole Treaty; and directed that the Holdings in question should be immediately and unconditionally released.

Furruckabad.

PERGUNNAH.	Number of Mouzahs.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Rate on total Area.		Rate on cultivated Area.		Per-centage of Irrigation.	Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
				Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Kanonje	211	106,142	63,137½	1 11 5	2 14 11	60	1,92,129	1,81,928	-	-	10,201	
Thurteah and Terivab.	132	145,625	47,941	1 6 11	4 5 8	60	2,48,437	2,08,727	-	-	39,710	
Puttealee	54	41,535	23,113	0 11 3	1 4 3	31	30,695	29,298	-	-	1,397	
Ehajeppore	133	62,032	39,840	1 3 7	1 14 6	40	67,550	76,038	8,488	-	-	
Mohamadabad	22	15,995	5,900	1 0 1	3 0 6	60	15,585	16,071	486	-	-	
Peepurgaom	21	11,815	7,589	1 5 0	2 0 9	31	13,295	15,530	2,235	-	-	
Buhareppore, &c.	157	86,966	30,919	1 4 3	3 8 10	60	1,20,595	1,09,868	-	-	10,727	
Tuppah Puhara	55	19,534	8,809	1 6 2	3 0 9	66	21,865	26,870	5,005	-	-	
Stumsabad	150	117,856	56,054	1 0 6	2 2 9	39	82,012	87,763	5,751	-	-	
Innutpore	115	114,818	61,033	1 2 1	2 1 9	32	1,36,689	1,28,847	-	-	7,842	
Khakutmow	65	37,959	22,954	1 1 3	1 12 6	34	40,342	40,799	457	-	-	
			366,689½									

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - - - - - Rs. 2. 8. 2.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - - - - Rs. 9,21,739

Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment - - - - - 47,455

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

Mynpooree District.

141. The voluminous Papers relating to the Settlement of this District have been transmitted with your Revenue Narratives dated respectively the 12th February, 21st April, and 31st October, 1842.

142. The Settlement was entrusted to Mr. Edmonstone, whose Labours extend over Two Seasons, viz., 1839 and 1840, and are made the Subject of Two separate Reports, detailing his Proceedings in each of those Years.

143. The District of Mynpooree is among those which suffered severely from the Drought of 1838, particularly in that Portion of it in which Irrigation is deficient; but on the whole, it would appear to be a fertile, well irrigated, and flourishing District.

144. The financial Result of the Settlement gives an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Land in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 4 $\frac{3}{4}$.

145. The Amount of the revised Jumma for the whole District is Rs. 13,82,132, and exhibits an Increase on the previous Assessment of Rs. 49,072.

146. Much Discussion arose on the Justice and Expediency of the Arrangements adopted in reference to the Talookah of Munchunnah. The Party whose Interests were involved (the Rajah of Mynpooree) petitioned against the Settlement proposed.

147. This Talookah had been held in hereditary Possession by the Rajahs of Mynpooree since the Accession of the British Government to the Supremacy of the North-western Provinces. The Family is one of Antiquity and Respectability, and is stated to have been distinguished for its Loyalty to the British Authority, and for the Assistance rendered during the Mahratta War, and in the unsettled State of the Country at that Time.

148. The actual Rajah was described as nearly imbecile, and totally incompetent to manage Landed Property so extensive as that possessed by him, with any Degree of Efficiency. He was stated to be surrounded by Agents of the worst Character, who in his Name had been guilty of various flagrant Acts of Oppression, and had violated the Rights of subordinate Village Communities.

149. This Mal-administration of his Estate, and an alleged Priority of Claim on the Part of certain of the Village Communities, were assigned by Mr. Edmonstone as his Reasons for excluding the Rajah from the Management.

150. Out of 189 Villages composing the Talookah of Munchunnah, the Rajah was, under the Settlement Arrangement, recorded Proprietor of only 51. The above were settled with him as Zemindar; the Remainder were settled with Village Zemindars, who were allowed to engage with Government direct.

151. In addition to the Rental of the Villages settled with himself, the Rajah was granted a Huk Talookdarree, or a Per-centage at the Rate of 18 per Cent. on the estimated Rentals of those which passed from him, amounting to Rs. 27,625 per Annum.

152. Mr. Hamilton, the Commissioner, strongly opposed the Measure; he was of opinion that the Value of Landed Possessions, and the Importance attached to them, could never be made up by a Money Allowance; that the Imbecility of the Rajah, if affording a Justification for his being relieved from the Management of his Estate, could be none for depriving the Family of their Inheritance; and that it was inconsistent to denounce as oppressive, in a Native Ruler, the same Measures of Sale and Dispossession which were adopted by our own Government towards Revenue Defaulters.

153. The Board dissented from the Views of the Commissioner, and maintained the Integrity of the Settlement Arrangements.

154. The Lieutenant-Governor differed from the Settlement Officer and from the Board. He noticed "the prevailing and perhaps excessive Readiness to reduce extensive Properties into minute Portions, and to substitute, whenever there was an Opportunity, a Village Community for an individual Landholder," and suspended the Confirmation of that Portion of the Settlement.

155. Much Correspondence ensued between the Lieutenant-Governor and the Sudder Board; and, in conclusion, the Lieutenant-Governor recorded his Opinion, that no Proof of the Rajah's Mismanagement, such as could justify his Exclusion, had been adduced; that the Evidence in support of the proprietary Claims of the Zemindars was insufficient and inconclusive; that if the Zemindars ever possessed the Rights attributed to them, they had not been in the active Enjoyment of them for upwards of a Century, while the Rajah's Claims had

had been admitted for more than Four Generations; that admitting the Inconvenience which might sometimes result from the Recognition of the superior Malgoozar, it would not be reconcilable with good Feeling or Justice to deal as the Board proposed to do with One found in actual and long-acknowledged Possession. He condemned the Practice of deciding Cases of this Nature on One invariable and generalizing Principle; stated that he could discover no sufficient Reason for excluding the Rajah of Mynpooree from the Management of any of the Villages composing the Talook of Munchunnah; and finally withheld his Confirmation of the Settlement concluded with the Village Zemindars, directing the Engagements to be taken from the Talookdar.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

Mynpooree.

PERGUNNAH.	Number of Villages.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Culturable Area.	Irrigated Area.	Acre Rate on cultivated Area.	Average Jumma of past 5 Years.	Present Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
Kursana - -	38	18,688	12,264	5,623	3,348	Rs. A. P. 1 6 10 $\frac{3}{4}$	16,265	17,561	1,296	
Sotawur - -	85	48,596	30,162	15,579	8,738	1 10 8	49,887	50,272	385	
Sirpoora - -	96	58,005	23,260	26,369	9,617	1 11 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	44,153	30,426	-	4,717
Sukeet - -	130	84,356	37,508	11,190	27,294	2 0 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	72,241	75,842	3,601	
Kerowlee - -	80	48,467	17,800	13,146	11,474	2 2 6 $\frac{1}{2}$	32,676	38,423	5,752	
Moostafabad - -	185	198,419	111,778	10,762	96,966	2 8 8	2,63,396	2,84,148	20,752	
Shekohabad - -	177	162,703	102,726	10,393	77,735	2 5 6	2,47,278	2,40,595	-	6,683
Ghirour - -	56	94,845	36,338	8,370	32,302	2 3 8 $\frac{3}{4}$	89,221	96,177	6,956	
Kurhul - -	55	53,049	21,534	5,479	18,380	2 15 10 $\frac{3}{4}$	66,227	64,283	-	1,942
Kishnee (Nubba-gunge).	42	68,351	26,239	10,602	17,682	2 11 7	71,042	71,466	424	
Sanj - -	42	71,436	24,985	7,352	20,336	2 11 8 $\frac{1}{2}$	59,310	68,241	8,931	
Allipore Puttee - -	22	19,499	9,434	5,142	4,866	2 1 6 $\frac{3}{4}$	20,885	19,798	-	1,087
Ebowgaon - -	101	105,076	44,148	17,729	25,669	2 2 8	99,714	96,073	-	3,641
Talookah Munchunnah.	150	129,998	53,675	18,687	32,095	2 2 3	1,02,277	1,14,861	12,584	
Talookah Eytah - -	139	74,647	34,676	5,515	25,640	1 15 7 $\frac{1}{2}$	65,586	63,436	2,850	
Souhar - -	32	20,582	10,985	6,771	3,009	1 8 10	15,209	16,879	1,670	
Bewur - -	33	23,911	15,346	3,952	4,633	1 4 6	17,693	19,634	1,941	

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on cultivated Area - - - - - Rs. 2. 3. 1.
Total Amount of new Assessment - - - - - Rs. 13,62,132.
Amount of Increase on previous Assessment - - - - - 49,072.

Etawah District.

156. This District was settled by Mr. M. R. Gubbins, whose Report was forwarded with your Revenue Narrative dated 21st April 1842. The District appears to have suffered much, both from Over-assessment, and from the Drought of the Year 1837-38. Mr. Bird considered that it was fully cultivated, and that no future Increase was to be expected.

157. The striking Characteristic of the Settlement is the large Reduction of the Jumma in all the Pergunnahs.

158. It was considered that this Reduction was imperatively required by the depressed State of the District under the previous high Assessments, which had been repeatedly enhanced, and by a due Regard to the ordinary Vicissitudes of Seasons and Markets. Even with this Reduction, the Restoration of Prosperity was still expected to depend on comparatively favourable Seasons, seeing the impoverished Condition of the Agricultural Community.

159. The financial Result of the Settlement gives an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 11. 11.

(110.)

3 R 4

160. The

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

160. The Amount of the revised Jumma for the whole Zillah is fixed by the Settlement at Rs. 13,11,038, which shows a Decrease on the previous Assessment of Rs. 1,58,163.

161. In this District also several of the large Talookahs have been partitioned among the Village Communities, and Huk Talookdarree has been awarded to the Talookdar.

162. The most important of these Talookdahs are those of Chukhurnuggur and Sehson in the Pergunnah Janibrast. The first of these Talookahs had been since the Cession settled with the Rajah of Chukhurnuggur, as Zemindar, and the latter since F. 1214 (1807), with the same Rajah as Talookdar. During the Settlement Proceedings, Claims were advanced to almost every Village of both Talookahs, and were at first strenuously opposed by the Rajah. An Allowance of 18 per Cent. on the gross Assets was assigned to the Rajah in all Cases where a Biswadarree Settlement was made. Ten Villages were thus settled. Mr. Gubbins states, that, subsequently to the Settlement, the great Difficulties the Rajah experienced in realizing the Revenue from the Parties in actual Occupancy induced him voluntarily to request that Sixteen other Villages should be settled with the occupant Parties as Proprietors, subject to the same Talookdarree Allowance of 18 per Cent.

163. Mr. Gubbins viewed these Circumstances as tending to show that the general Aversion of Talookdars to the Settlement Proceedings would gradually cease. The Commissioner, on the contrary, considered that the Rajah's latter Proceeding was attributable, not to his Approbation of the System, but to the Severity with which he had always been urged to pay up his heavy Revenue, and the Difficulties he had experienced in coercing his Tenantry, chiefly his near Relatives; Causes which led him to think that it would be for his own Advantage, after his Right had been acknowledged, to transfer the Collection to a Government Officer, and content himself with a certain and fixed Income. Had his Right not been conceded, the Commissioner states, he has Reason to know that the Rajah would not have been content; but as the Case stands, the Honour of his Family has been preserved, and himself acknowledged the Owner, and an Arrangement effected, which is advantageous to him as a Proprietor, and preserves his Dignity as a Races.

164. The Lieutenant-Governor took the same View of the Rajah's Proceedings, and stated that, had his Rights not been first conceded, there is nothing in his Conduct to warrant the Supposition that the Arrangement now in Force would have met his Concurrence.

165. The large Talookahs of Rooroo and Sehor are also brought prominently into Notice.

166. The Talookdar's Title in the Properties in question was acquired by Government by Purchase at Auction, previously to the Settlement, having been put up to Sale for Arrear of Revenue. In the former Talookah, that of Rooroo, the Settlement Officer admitted the Village Communities to engage as Proprietors for 31 Mouzahs, and the remaining 30 Mouzahs, which have been declared the Property of the Rooroo Raj, have been leased in Farm for a Period of 15 Years, subject to a Talookdarree Allowance for the Benefit of the Rajah. In the Talookah of Sehor, Parties establishing a Title have been recognized Proprietors on making Payment of the proportionate Share of the Arrear of the Talookah, and the Mouzahs to which no Right has been admitted, and which it is presumed by Mr. Gubbins will be brought to Sale, have been intermediately leased in Farm for different Periods, according to their several Conditions.

167. The Commissioner considered that these Proceedings raised the Question as to the Nature and Extent of the Right acquired by Government in the Purchase of Talookdarree Property; that, on the one hand, the Sudder Board held the Talookdarree Right to be not a proprietary Possession in the Soil or Zemindarree Tenure, but only an Office or Lease for a Term, subject to the Will of the Supreme Power, in which View of the Case the Government would have acquired no new Right; and that, on the other hand, the Settlement Proceedings were equivalent to a distinct Admission that a Talookdar has a proprietary Right; and the Commissioner urged that, however justly the Talookdars in Etawah might have been deprived of their Talookahs for Default, they ought to be allowed an Opportunity of recovering their Position in Life, and that provided they could pay up the proportionate Arrears in those Villages in which no other proprietary Right may have been recognized, they might be permitted

permitted to engage for such Mouzahs as Zemindars notwithstanding the Sale. By such Concession he represented their Families would be saved from Extinction, and Government, without any Infraction of Principle, or any Injustice to Individuals, would perform a humane and popular Act.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

168. The Sudder Board declared their Opinion that any "Land or any Talookah which is not possessed by Persons having an antagonist Title, independent of and superior to that of the Talookdar, is the Talookdar's Zemindarree. That the Talookdarree Right over the Mouzahs which are the Private Property of other Parties, and the Zemindarree Right in the Lands which have no other Owner, is the Property of the Talookdar." Consequently, any Party purchasing a Talook put up to Auction for Arrears of Revenue under the Regulations, purchases what has been above defined, viz., the Talookdarree Right in Mouzahs having separate and independent Proprietors, and Zemindarree Right in those of which there being no antagonist Title the Property rests in the Talookdars.

169. The Board professed their Willingness to acquiesce in any Measure of Mercy which the Government might wish to extend to the late Possessors of the Talookahs in question, provided the End were not obtained "by stultifying their Views," and the Lieutenant Governor, adverting to the heavy Assessment under which the District had laboured, and to the Claims of the Family of the Rajah of Sehor, whose entire Property had been sold subsequent to the Year of Drought and Famine for Arrears of Revenue, directed that the Rajah should be admitted to Engagements for all Villages in which no other proprietary Right had been established, on the Payment of the Arrears recorded against each, and further that he should be allowed a reasonable Period for Payment of the Arrears. In like manner he directed the Rajah of Rooroo to be recorded as Zemindar in all unowned or new Villages of the Talookah.

170. The Settlement Officer (in reference to the Pergunnah of Luckra) described the extreme Poverty of the Ryots, their Inability to lay by anything in a Season of Plenty, and their consequent Dependence at a Period of Famine, on the Forbearance of the Zemindar or the Government. The Commissioner thought that such Facts tended to throw Doubt on the System which subdivides Properties and Estates into small fractional Portions under several Responsibilities, of Individuals without Capital, and on the Propriety of the Measures by which the large Estates or Talookdarrees have been broken up.

171. The Board denied the alleged Tendency of the Settlement Proceedings to subdivide "Estates and Properties into small fractional Portions," alleging that the real Cause of the Subdivision of so many Putteedarree Properties has been the Maintenance, in all Revenue and many judicial Concerns in Times past of the Principle of combined Joint and Several Responsibility.

Etawah.

PERGUNNAH.	Number of Mouzahs.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Culturable Area.	Irrigated Area.	Acre Rate on total Area.	Acre Rate on Cultivation.	Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Decrease.
Beylah - -	212	165,468	67,860	22,219	46,966	Rs. A. P. 1 4 6	Rs. A. P. 3 1 10	2,32,154	2,11,610	20,544
Phuppoond - -	166	131,867	60,010	18,774	39,256	1 7 1	3 2 7	2,20,415	1,89,768	30,647
Luckna - -	273	229,382	100,041	31,739	67,295	1 4 7	2 14 11	3,18,566	2,93,973	25,213
Dahley Jakhun - -	194	135,050	70,516	17,099	53,058	1 6 4	2 10 9	2,02,526	1,88,568	13,958
Etawah - -	155	128,544	58,485	19,651	41,036	1 4 0	2 11 11	1,90,638	1,60,541	30,097
Oreya - -	170	149,820	83,729	14,851	19,125	1 4 9	2 5 1	2,08,695	1,94,353	14,342
Burpoora - -	97	131,625	37,260	15,328	1,973	0 8 10	1 15 3	96,187	72,825	23,362

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - - - - - Rs. 2. 11. 11.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - - - - Rs. 13,11,039.

Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment - - - - - 1,58,163.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

ROHILCUND DIVISION.

13th August 1851.

172. The Proceedings relating to the Settlement of this Division comprise the Districts named in the Margin.*

Agra Revenue Narrative.

No. 2.	April 11, 1842,	par. 72, 73.
2.	May 10, 1846,	" 133 to 143.
3.	April 21, 1843,	" 92.
2.	April 11, 1842,	" 80.
5.	Oct. 15, 1840,	" 47 to 63.
5.	" "	" 70 to 78.
3	July 28, 1841,	" 64 to 66.

Bijnour District.

173. The Proceedings in relation to this District accompanied your Revenue Narrative dated 11th April 1842. The District comprises the Pergunnahs noted in the Margin†; none of them being considered fully cultivated, the Settlement

will, it is stated, require Revision at the End of the present Term, which is fixed at Twenty Years. The Measures reported are the Result of the Labours of Five or Six different Settlement Officers, and extend over a Period of Seven Years, viz., from 1835 to 1841 inclusive.

174. In some Pergunnahs, Seven or Eight Years have elapsed between the Completion of the Arrangements and the Date of their Submission for final Sanction and Confirmation.

175. Pergunnah Thaloo was settled by Mr. French in 1835, and subsequently revised by Mr. Simpson;

Sherekote, by Mr. H. Lushington in 1836;

Nuggeenah, by Mr. Allen in 1836;

Bijnour, by Mr. H. Lushington in 1836;

Affzulghur and Rehur, by Mr. Allen in 1837;

Rehtour and Huldour, by Mr. R. K. Dick in 1840;

Chandpore, Azimpore Bashta, and Seohara, by Mr. Dick in 1841.

176. It was generally considered that previous Assessments had been too high, with reference to the actual State of Cultivation; and the Length of Time and Number of Persons employed in the Settlements appear to have resulted in an Inequality of Assessment throughout the District, which can only be remedied when the Period of Revision shall arrive.

177. Mr. Allen's Settlement of the Pergunnah of Nuggeenah was not recommended for Sanction by the Commissioner (Mr. Conolly), in consequence of the Inaccuracy and Insufficiency of the Data furnished. The Commissioner's Disapproval of the Settlement was grounded on his Opinion that the Assessment was insufficient with reference to the Capabilities of the Soil; and that the Reductions granted by the Settlement Officer were not called for by the Condition of the Pergunnah. The Board, on the other hand, strongly objected to any Enhancement of Revenue, on the ground that Mr. Conolly appeared to have been ignorant of the Degree of Pressure previously endured from over Assessment and Mismanagement; Evils for which they state that "the only real Remedy is a much lower Demand than would otherwise have been requisite for a considerable Course of future Years."

178. The Government finally determined not to disturb, after a Lapse of Five Years, Arrangements which the People had fairly concluded would exempt them from any further Demand for Twenty Years.

179. Some Objections were taken to the Settlements of other Pergunnahs; but as it was admitted that the Assessments were moderate, the Arrangements were not disturbed.

180. The financial Result of the Settlement shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 2. 10.

181. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 8,27,553, which gives an Increase on the previous Assessment of Rs. 23,503.

* Bijnour.
Mooradabad.
Budaon.
Pilibheet.
Bareilly.
Shahjhanpore.

† Affzulghur.
Rehur.
Bijnour.
Huldour.
Rehtour.
Thaloo.

Sherekote.
Chandpore.
Nuggeenah.
Seohara.
Azimpore Bashta.

Bijnour.

Bijnour.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Rate on total Area.		Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	REMARKS.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Afzulghur	35,754	10,977	0 10 2	2 1 8	21,634	22,700	1,066				Where the Statements did not show the actual Jumma of the last Year of the expired Settlement, the average Amount of the last Five Years has been taken.
Rehur -	50,982	19,726	0 15 5	2 8 0	62,177	48,896	-	-	13,281		
Bijnour -	91,004	54,535	1 7 11	2 7 10	1,35,943	1,35,899	-	-	44		
Hildour -	12,076	7,550	1 12 0	2 12 10	20,413	21,145	732				
Rehtour -	41,488	25,591	1 8 9	2 8 10	56,583	65,261	8,678				
Thaloo -	13,379	8,012	1 3 4	2 2 3	14,928	17,134	2,206				
Sherekote	101,358	53,470	1 5 8	2 9 0	1,26,520	1,37,133	10,613				
Chandpore	103,845	53,054	1 0 3	2 0 3	94,906	1,07,410	12,504				
Nuggeenah	135,620	49,747	1 0 4	2 12 4	1,59,043	1,38,331	-	-	20,712		
Seohara -	70,219	38,516	1 5 7	2 6 10	75,832	93,409	17,577				
Azimpore } Bashta - }	95,126	47,903	0 9 5	1 8 0	36,071	40,235	4,164				

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on the cultivated Area - - - Rs. 2. 2. 10.
 Total Amount of new Assessment - - - Rs. 8,27,553.
 Amount of Increase on present Assessment - - - 23,503.

Moradabad District.

182. The Proceedings connected with the Settlement of this District were forwarded with the Revenue Narrative dated 10th May 1846, No. 2, par. 133 to 143.

183. The District is composed of the Pergunnahs named in the Margin.* Of these the first named Eleven were settled between the Years 1836 and 1840, and when reported, the Commissioner considered the Details very imperfect, and returned them for Correction before submitting them to the Board.

184. The Settlement Officer, Mr. Money, appears generally to have conducted his Proceedings in strict Conformity with the System laid down in the Settlement Circular, but he states that he was at first much impeded by the Inaccuracy of the Kusreh Survey Returns, they are represented by him to have been wholly valueless as a Means of affording Data regarding the Classification of Soils, irrigated and unirrigated Lands, and on all Points of Information required in fixing the assessed Rates.

185. In the Portion of the District which is the Subject of Mr. Money's Second Report, the Commissioner received numerous Appeals against the Settlement in various Pergunnahs. In the Hussimpore Pergunnahs a large Number of Zemindars made a special Appeal, which was embodied in Sixty-seven different Petitions, having reference to 253 Estates. It was urged that the present Assessment was much too high, and that the prospective increasing Jummas (or Russud) were unjust, Land being put down as culturable which was too poor to afford a fair Return for Labour. The Commissioner continuing to be, as he states, "overwhelmed with Petitions," found it necessary to make a Visit to Moradabad, to satisfy himself of the Facts of the Case, by a personal Conference with the local Officers and the Parties concerned. Having done so, he took on himself to make all the Arrangements properly coming within the Province of the Settlement Officer rather than of the revising Authority. The Plan adopted by him was to call up each Petitioner to state his Objections to the Settlement vivâ voce, and to form a Panchayet of Four or Five of the principal Zemindars, who were referred to in all Cases of Doubt or Difficulty.

* Reported 15th November 1842.
 Norowlee. Kasheepore.
 Bijjoe. Moradabad.
 Seondarah. Kokoorduwah.
 Serowlee. Mozulpore.
 Koondurkee & Sirsee. Surkurah.

Reported 19th July 1843.
 Sumbhul. Dhubarsee.
 Anrohoh. Sirsa.
 Hussimpore. Tighuree.
 Oojaneer. Bachaon.
 Dhukka.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

186. The Commissioner explains the Grounds of his having interfered in so marked a Manner in the Proceedings of the Settlement Officer. He considers him to have committed Two serious Errors; first, in having relied too exclusively on the Data furnished by his Deputy, and omitted to make personal Inquiries, which would have shown how much the Estimates framed from those Data were exaggerated; and secondly, in not having allowed the Zemindars in many Districts that free and unreserved Communication with himself so essential on the Part of a Settlement Officer, not only for the Purpose of satisfying the People, but for that of arriving at a correct Judgment in fixing the Government Demand. Mr. Conolly admits that Mr. Money had great Difficulties to contend with, from the Fact that no Confidence could be placed in the Survey Returns, but this, he urges, made it only the more incumbent on him to avail himself of every other Source of Information within his Reach.

187. The Result of the Commissioner's Investigation was to convince him that the Objections raised to the Settlement were valid. The Charge of Over-assessment, though difficult to substantiate satisfactorily, he deemed to be well founded, and he considered Russud Jummas totally inapplicable to Lands circumstanced as those in question. He therefore desired Mr. Money to strike off their Russud Jummas entirely, and to limit his total Increase of Assessment on the Hussimpore Pergunnahs to Rs. 20,000, or 25,000 over the old Jumma.

Mr. Money, though unconvinced that his Rates were too high, proceeded to modify his Assessment, in accordance with the Commissioner's Wish. His revised Proceedings he finally submitted, with the entire Confidence that his Settlement was moderate. He stated that the revised Assessment commenced taking effect from 1245 F. (1838), and that from that Period up to the Date of his Report (July 19, 1843), the Settlement of only One Estate had broken down. In the Instance in question, the immediate Cause of the Balances was stated by him to be that a great Number of the Mokuddums had been implicated in a Case of Dacoity. He represented that in consequence of the System of granting extended Reductions, large Balances are allowed to accrue in a Pergunnah, with the Concurrence of the Tehsildar, in the Belief that the Fact of their so accruing would lead to a Reduction of Jumma, and he urged that Balances are not by any means necessarily a Proof of Over-assessment, though often erroneously so considered.

188. Some Difference of Opinion arose between the different Authorities regarding the Measures adopted in Pergunnah Kusba Amooha, in reference to the Maafce Lands contained therein. The total Amount of Maafce Land recorded in the Registers was 3,851 Beegahs (2,000 Acres), which were registered as One Mchhal, in the Name of Three Parties (Syuds); but on Investigation, no One Portion was found to answer to the Entry. The whole was split up into upwards of 1,000 small Tenures occupied by a Number of Maafcedars of all Castes, all of whom insisted that their Tenures formed Part of the registered Area. It being impossible to identify any One, or any Number of such Holdings with the registered Area, the whole were summarily resumed. Mr. Money made no separate Lists of the several Tenures, but incorporated them in the aggregate with the Khalsa Area, and assessed them all at 4 Annas per Cutchah Beegah. Great Complaints were made of this Proceeding, which was appealed against. Pending the Appeal, Mr. Conolly the Commissioner directed that the Collection of the Assessment on the unregistered Land should be postponed. He strongly recommended that the Assessment should be confined to the Khalsah Portion, and that the Resumption of the other Portion should be given up. He stated that it was impossible to say by what Means the Maafce Land came into possession of the Persons holding it, or to account for One Portion of it only having been registered, but he urged there was no Reason to doubt that the whole of the Tenures had been occupied by their present Owners, and held Rent-free from a Period anterior to the British Rule. He considered that the proposed Assessment, light as it was, would never be realized, and that the Attempt to collect it would cause much Dissatisfaction and Distress.

189. The Board coincided with the Commissioner in his Recommendation that these Resumptions should be abandoned, but the Lieutenant Governor did not concur in the Propriety of such a Course. It did not appear to him to be necessary to release the whole of the Lands in question from Assessment, although he was willing to release the Holdings under Ten Beegahs, which

which were devoted to charitable and religious Purposes. All those Lands also, he decided, might be reported for the favourable Considerations of Government, in which the Holders might be able to show Proof, amounting to reasonable Probability, that they derived their Title from the Syuds in whose Name the 3,851 Beegahs alluded to were registered, but all the Remainder he decided should remain subject to the Revenue fixed on them by the Settlement Officer.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

190. In confirming the Settlement, the Lieutenant Governor expressed his Conviction that the Jumma, as finally fixed, was generally fair and equitable, but he animadverted strongly on the Incompleteness of the Settlement, and the Procrastination of Mr. Money in bringing his Proceedings to a Close.

191. The financial Result of the Settlement shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 0. 3.

192. The Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 13,34,634, and exhibits an Increase in the previous Assessment of Rs. 1,07,270.

Moradabad District.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate		Highest Jumma of last Settlement.	Highest proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			on cultivated Area.	on total Area.				
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Sumbhul	153,287	73,756	2 0 6	0 15 8	1,16,468	1,49,302	32,834	
Hussimpore, &c.	270,517	100,404	1 9 2	0 9 4	1,33,229	1,58,078	24,849	
Anrohoh	83,961	41,702	1 6 8	0 13 2	54,014	69,078	15,064	
Moradabad	72,783	27,428	2 6 2	0 14 4	60,557	65,473	5,116	
Surkurah	105,118	54,656	2 2 5	1 1 11	1,16,465	1,17,785	1,320	
Koondurkee & Sirsee	108,569	49,477	2 7 4	1 1 11	1,15,722	1,21,689	5,967	
Thakoodwarrah	166,878	104,819	2 2 3	1 5 6	2,48,312	2,24,435	-	23,877
Kasheepore	105,066	25,508	1 10 11	0 7 6	51,982	49,193	-	2,789
Bijjoe	100,648	46,917	1 11 9	0 12 11	75,832	81,400	5,568	
Seondurah	64,650	31,416	2 8 8	1 3 9	80,051	79,941	-	110
Serowlee	48,185	25,453	1 15 11	1 0 10	50,923	50,848	-	75
Nerowlee	80,381	43,588	2 2 2	1 2 6	49,165	93,118	43,953	
Mogulpore	47,030	19,393	2 0 1	0 13 5	35,904	39,502	3,598	
Jasspore	27,861	16,032	2 2 8	1 3 11	38,940	34,792	-	4,148

Average Rate of Assessment on Cultivation, per Acre - - - Rs. 2. 0. 3.
Total Amount of proposed Assessment - - - Rs. 13,34,634
Amount of Increase on previous Assessment - - - 1,07,270

Budaon District.

193. This District comprises 18 Pergunnahs, 6 of which were settled at different Periods, and the Settlements finally confirmed during the Years 1836 and 1837.

194. The Papers forwarded with the Revenue Narrative dated 21st April 1843, relate to the remaining Pergunnahs which are specified in the Margin.* They appear to have suffered largely from previous Over-assessment.

195. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma of the Pergunnahs included in the Proceedings under review, is Rs. 5,20,714, being a Decrease of Rs. 25,681 on the Amount of the previous Assessment.

196. The Pergunnah of Islamnuggur was One of Six settled by Mr. Brown in 1835. It was found necessary to revise that Settlement. The Revision was completed by Mr. Timmins.

* Bissowlee.
Sattassee.
Kote Salbahan.
Rajpoora.

Oosahut, &c
Fyzapore
Oul...

Nidhpore.
Soron.
Bilzam.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

197. It appears that Mr. Brown increased the Jumma from Rs. 73,366 to Rs. 76,065; but the new Assessment had never been realized, and a Comparison of the Rates with those of adjoining Pergunnahs showed that they were too high.

198. Mr. Timmins reduced the Assessment to Rs. 67,476, which was something less than the average of actual Collections for the previous Ten Years.

Budzon.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Acre Rate on total Area.		Former Jumma.	Present Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs.	A. P.	A.	P.				
Bissowlee -	63,092	53,467	1 3	6 $\frac{3}{4}$	14 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	54,345	58,601	3,656		
Suttassee -	48,838	37,746	1 3	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	12 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	35,100	37,091	1,991		
Kote Sulbahan	98,595	80,381	1 4	3	13 9	78,919	84,857	5,938		
Rajpooora -	96,588	79,242	1 7	0 $\frac{3}{4}$	12 12	70,867	73,476	2,609		
Oosahut -	104,364	50,904	1 5	7	10 6 $\frac{3}{4}$	82,954	68,631	-	-	14,323
Fyzapore -	47,709	36,989	1 14	5 $\frac{3}{4}$	13 11 $\frac{3}{4}$	45,905	41,694	-	-	4,211
Oulace -	32,805	12,089	1 2	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	13 13 $\frac{1}{2}$	28,913	26,752	-	-	2,161
Nidlipore -	99,346	30,128	1 14	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	9 2	73,205	57,673	-	-	15,532
Soron -	24,927	21,192	1 8	7 $\frac{1}{4}$	13 6 $\frac{1}{4}$	21,213	21,070	-	-	143
Bilzam -	61,528	54,658	1 6	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	14 10 $\frac{1}{2}$	54,374	50,869	-	-	3,505

Amount of revised Jumma of the above Pergunnahs - - - Rs. 5,20,714.
Decrease as compared with previous Jumma - - - 25,681.

Pillibheet District.

199. The Settlement of this District was reported in the Revenue Narrative dated 11th April 1842.

200. The Proceedings which were conducted by Mr. Head relate to the Six Pergunnahs named in the Margin * Of these the Three first only have been settled under the System established by the Board, the Three latter having been settled under a special Arrangement with the Rajah Gomaun Singh and his Heirs.

201. The District is reported to have been formerly much over-assessed, but to have received ample Relief under the present Settlement.

202. The Three Pergunnahs settled with Rajah Gomaun Singh are those of Rooddepore, Gudderpore, Kilpooree. The Two first were made over to the Rajah in Zemindarree, and the latter on a farming Lease.

203. In the Year 1834 Rajah Gomaun Singh desired to enter into a Negotiation with Government to surrender his Jagheer of Talookah Chacheyat in exchange for a Part of these Pergunnahs; such an Arrangement was however not deemed desirable; but the Pergunnahs of Rooddepore and Gudderpore were, in consideration of his high Character, made over in hereditary Zemindarree to the Rajah.

204. The Revenue was fixed for Ten Years at the moderate Amount of Rs. 16,000, and certain Terms were agreed upon, the main Points of which were, that the Settlement should be revised at Periods of Fifteen Years; that no Increase should at any Time be taken which should not leave the Rajah and his Heirs a clear surplus Profit of 40 per Cent.; that the Rajah should not transfer the Property out of his own Family without the Sanction of Government; that certain Farmers who had fulfilled their Engagements should not be interfered with, but should be allowed to renew their Leases on the usual Terms; and that all private Rights previously existing should be maintained.

205. Subsequently, the Ilaka of Kilpoorie having fallen in, a Lease of that Pergunnah was granted to the Rajah, as in a Farm, for Twenty Years, at little more than a Quit Rent.

* Pillibheet.
Jehanabad.

Ritcha.
Rooddepore.

Gudderpore and Kilpooree.

206. Under the Arrangement previously noticed, Rajah Seo Raj Sing, the Son of Rajah Gonaun Singh, claimed the Talookah Nanikmutta, of which he stated that his Family for Years had hereditary Possession, and that his Father and Grandfather had expended Thousands of Rupees on the Talookah, and had never been in arrears; and he complained that Mr. Robinson, the Commissioner, had upset the Settlement, and had entered into Arrangements with the Mocudums for a Period of Thirty Years, and for a Jumma less by Rs. 4,000 than that paid by his Family.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

207. The Board stated that the Rajah's Family had no Zemindarree Right whatever in the Lands referred to, nor has such Right ever been acknowledged as far as they could ascertain. They considered that there was no Objection to the Pergunnah of Kilpooree being conferred on the Family on the same Terms as those on which Gudderpore and Roodeepore were assigned, if Government deemed such a Course advisable; and they stated, that under such Arrangement, the Rajah would have a Tract of Country under his Management not falling far short of 200,000 Acres, and sufficient to employ the Means and Energies of his Family for Generations to come.

208. The Settlement of the Talookah claimed was made by Mr. Robinson, with a Community of Tharoos, who are stated to be the only People capable of residing in that particular Part of the Country, on account of its extreme Insalubrity. The Arrangement was satisfactory to the Tharoos, and was, in the Opinion of the Board, likely to conduce to clearing and populating a Part of the Country which it had not only been found hitherto impossible to improve, but which had been in a State of continual Deterioration; and as the People in question, who are described as the "Native Burghers of a Desert City," and as the only Persons whose Lives are safe in the Climate, had expressed the Desire to undertake the Cultivation of the Tract at their own Risk, and made all their Arrangements for mutual Assistance and Self-government, the Board were of opinion that they ought not to be cramped in their Undertaking by any official Control.

209. Under the foregoing Explanation the Government sanctioned the Proceedings of the Board in reference to the Talookah of Nanikmutta, and dismissed the Petition of Rajah Seo Raj Singh.

210. The financial Result of the Settlement of the Three Pergunnahs assessed by Mr. Head shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment of Rs. 2. 0. 1. on Cultivation.

211. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 3,75,897, which gives a Decrease on the nominal Amount previously borne on the Record, but never realized, of Rs. 94,956.

Pillibheet.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Rate on total Area.		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Jehanabad	- 104,277	57,441	1 1 2	1 15 0½	1,26,367	1,11,875	- -	14,492	
Ritcha	- 99,211	63,847	1 6 11¼	2 3 6½	1,68,000	1,42,356	- -	25,644	
Pillibheet	- 130,654	54,842	0 14 10	2 3 6	1,76,486	1,21,666	- -	54,820	
Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on cultivated Area							- -	Rs. 2. 0. 1.	
Total Amount of new Assessment						- -	Rs. 3,75,897		
Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment							94,956		

Bareilly District.

212. The Settlement of this District was reported on the 15th October 1840. The Proceedings were conducted and completed by Mr. Muir, and comprised the Pergunnahs named in the Margin*, which have been settled for a Period of Twenty Years from 1837.

* Crore.
Newabgunge.
Agaon.
Serowlee.

Shohee.
Sirsawah.
Kubar.

Chowmelah.
Busulpore.
Talookah Bulleeah.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General
15th August 1851.

213. The District generally is reported to have been over-assessed, and many Parts of it to have suffered severely from the Drought of 1837-8.

214. The Settlement made a Reduction in the Jumma of every Pergunnah in the District, except Talook Bulleeah.

215. The Settlement Officer stated that the Condition of the Majority of the People in many Pergunnahs was such as to convince him that they were suffering severely from Over-assessment, and though some had been able to struggle against their Difficulties, many were miserably poor, and few in comfortable Circumstances. Where he found the People suffering from the Pressure of the existing Jumma, he granted Reductions to meet the Exigency of their Cases, and though many Estates appeared to be moderately and even lightly assessed, he in no Case considered it fair or safe to impose any prospective Increase. Where the Assessment was moderate the Lightness of the Rates accounted for the Increase of Cultivation effected since the previous Settlement. To have increased the Jummas prospectively from the Expiration of the existing Leases would have been calculated, he considered, to check Improvement, and would have been an Encroachment on the Profits of Capital expended in Reliance on the Permanency of the Provisions of Regulation VII. of 1822.

216. The financial Result of the Settlement shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 15. 7.

217. The Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 10,07,062, and exhibits a Decrease on the previous Assessment of Rs. 67,810.

Bareilly.

PERGUNNAHS.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area.	Acre Rate		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			on total Area.	on cultivated Area.				
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Crone - -	209,689	121,472	0 15 4	1 10 8	2,13,900	1,98,308	- -	15,592
Newabgunge - -	132,262	84,795	1 5 10	2 2 2	1,88,776	1,75,972	- -	12,804
Agaon - -	85,937	49,997	1 8 11	2 10 5	1,23,755	1,15,733	- -	8,022
Serowlee - -	35,404	20,459	1 3 5	2 1 7	44,599	43,020	- -	1,579
Shohee - -	41,444	24,913	1 3 11	2 1 2	52,715	51,672	- -	1,043
Sirsawah - -	30,159	22,511	1 13 8	2 7 7	56,446	55,758	- -	688
Kubar - -	33,585	22,937	1 5 6	1 15 6	50,059	45,161	- -	4,878
Chowmelah - -	47,678	26,494	0 15 2	1 11 7	51,042	44,531	- -	6,511
Basulpore - -	203,283	111,059	1 3 9	2 4 0	2,66,583	2,49,711	- -	16,872
Talookah Bulleeah	28,310	16,142	0 15 1	1 10 11	27,017	27,196	179	

Average Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - - - Rs. 1. 15. 7.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - - Rs. 10,07,062.

Amount of Decrease on old Assessment - - - 67,810.

Shahjehanpore District.

218. The Papers connected with the Settlement of the Pergunnahs named in the Margin* were forwarded with the Revenue Narratives of the 15th October 1840 and 28th July 1841 respectively.

219. The District is reported by Mr. Bird to be very lightly assessed, and to be in a very flourishing Condition, having escaped the Over-assessment from which other Districts had suffered in Times past.

220. The latter Part of this Statement is not, however, borne out by the Report of the Settlement Officer (Mr. Muir), who, on the contrary, alleges that he found all the Pergunnahs labouring under the Pressure of a very heavy Assessment, and the great Mass of the Proprietors in extreme Indigence.

* Khera Bhojeha.
Jalalpore.
Murrampore.
Murrampore.

Tilhur.
Negohce.
Mehrabad.
Shahjehanpore.

Buragaon.
Powaince.
Khotar.
Poorampore.

221. The Circumstances of the District are represented to differ but little, as regards their main Features, from those of the District of Barcilly. The Process by which Mr. Muir obtained his Results is adverted to by the Board, as well calculated to show the practical and beneficial working of that Portion of the System of Settlement which consists in calling in the Aid of the People themselves, in arranging the detailed Distribution of the Government Demand, and in adjusting all Points affecting either existing or contingent Interests.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

222. The financial Result of the Settlement gives an average Acre Rate on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 9. 0.

223. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 10,27,075, which shows a Reduction, as compared with the previous Assessment, of Rs. 67,969.

224. Mr. Muir's Measures appear to have given Satisfaction, both to the revising Authorities and the People, excepting in the Instances of the Rancee of Powaince and the Rajah of Khotar.

225. The Pergunnah of Powaince was found to be so inferior in the Character of its Soil in many Parts as to render it necessary to fix the Assessment far below what had been estimated as a very moderate average Rate. A Reduction amounting to Rs. 19,182, or nearly 11 per Cent., was therefore granted in this Pergunnah alone.

226. At the Cession, the Pergunnah was settled with Rajah Rugonauth Singh, who subsequently gave up a considerable Portion of it, retaining only the most fertile and flourishing Villages, which still form the Talookah of his Widow. This Individual was found recorded as the Zemindar of the Villages in the Talookah, but Mr. Muir's Inquiries led him to the Conclusion that the occupant Village Mocuddums were the real Proprietors of the Land, and the Rancee only a Talookdar, and that Village Settlements should be made with the Mocuddums, and a Malikanah or Nankah Allowance provided for the Rancee.

227. A Difficulty, however, presented itself to this Arrangement, viz., that the Settlement of the Talookah according to Regulation VII. of 1822 made with the Rancee as Zemindar, and confirmed by Government, had still some Years to run. Mr. Muir was, however, of opinion that it was highly inexpedient that the Management of the Talookah should remain in her Hands. He represented that Acts of an arbitrary and oppressive Character, affecting injuriously the Rights of the Proprietors, were exercised habitually by the Parties acting as Managers of the Elaqua on the Rancee's Behalf, to an Extent justifying special authoritative Interference; and he urged strongly the Impolicy of prolonging a Talookdarree Settlement, the Effects of which had been so destructive to the Rights of the People and Village Communities. He therefore submitted that, notwithstanding the Rancee's Lease had got a few Years to run, the Talookah, until the Regulation VII. Leases expire, should be taken under the Court of Wards, which would enable him to make Village Settlements in Farm for the remaining Term of the Rancee's Lease, and after its expiring, to take Engagements from the Mocuddums as Proprietors for the whole Period of the Pergunnah Settlement.

228. Mr. Davidson, the officiating Commissioner, while confirming to the fullest Extent the Statements of Mr. Muir, as to the Injury and Oppression inflicted on the Village Communities by the Rancee, was of opinion that the necessary Protection might be afforded to the Proprietors without having recourse to the Measure of placing the Elaqua under the Court of Wards.

229. The Board were of a different Opinion, and, in accordance with their Directions, the Estates of the Rancee were put under the Court of Wards, and, with the Exception of Fifteen Villages proposed to be left in her Management for her personal Convenience, were sub-let to the Parties considered best entitled to engage with Government.

230. Mr. Rose, on whom in consequence of Mr. Muir's Death, devolved the Duty of completing and reporting on the Settlements, stated that the Arrangements as regards the Jumma and Allowances of the Talookdar having been made by his Predecessor, he thought it right to submit them as he found them, but that it appeared to him to be open to Question whether, as it was proposed to give immediate Effect to the Acknowledgment of the Rights of the proprietary Mocuddums, and thereby materially alter One Part of the Regulation VII. Settlement, it was quite fair to insist on the Rancee's fulfilling her Part of her Engagement with regard to the Assessment.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

2d October 1850,
Pars. 29, 30, and 32.
No. 2.

29th January 1851,
Par. 41.

231. Mr. Rose was informed, in reply, by the Commissioner, that as the only Ground on which the Jumma of Regulation VII. could be exacted from the Ranee was no longer tenable, after depriving her of the Management, no Deductions could properly be made from the Rancee's Malikana on account of Regulation VII. of 1822; and that the Balance remaining at the End of the Year should form a Reduction from the Settlement, and all Sums already deducted from the Malikana be refunded.

232. These were the preliminary Proceedings in a Case very important in its Principles and Details, and the Subject of much still incomplete Litigation. It has been already noticed in our Despatches noted in the Margin, and will again necessarily come before us when finally decided by the Courts.

233. The Pergunnah of Khotar had been held in Farm by Rajah Khosball Singh, but the Rajah claimed the proprietary Right to the entire Pergunnah. The Sudder Board stated, "It has been admitted and recorded repeatedly, that he had no Right or Possession by virtue of which he could claim to engage for the entire Pergunnah."

234. The Rajah was represented as a Person of the worst Character; a Protector of, and Participator with, Gangs of professed Robbers; and as having used all his Influence to retard the Progress of Improvement and Civilization. The Pergunnah consists of 380 Mouzahs, nearly One Half of which are without Cultivation or a single Inhabitant. This State of Things is mainly attributed to the Mismanagement and discreditable Conduct of the Rajah.

235. Mr. Muir considered that any Zemindarree Rights in the Pergunnah belonged to a Colony of Kutheree Thakoors established in Khotar for several Generations; that Rajah Khosball Singh was the Head of the Clan, but only a Sharer in Thirty-one or Thirty-two Mouzahs, in common with many Brethren.

236. The Rajah's Claim was rejected, and a Panchayet was appointed to determine the Rights of the Kuttaree Brethren. The Rights of all the Sharers were first determined by genealogical Descent; the Villages were then apportioned among them according to such Decisions; and when, from Age, Infirmary, or other Causes, the Proprietors were disqualified from Management, the Estates were let in Farm, and a Malikana Allowance was granted.

237. In 132 Estates in the Pergunnah, in which there had previously been no acknowledged Proprietors, Mr. Muir bestowed on all the Engagers the Title of Mocuddums, and gave them the Privileges of Proprietors. These latter Persons are on the same footing as the Grantees of Goruckpore, and the Arrangement made with them, though different in its Details, is the same in Principle,—Engagements having been taken on a Scale of moderate but gradual Increase, extending over a considerable Term of Years.

Shahjehanpore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Khura Bhujeta -	41,939	24,351	1 7 9	0 13 9	43,524	36,145	-	7,379
Julapore -	49,667	30,788	2 0 2	1 3 11	76,517	61,824	-	14,693
Meeranpore Kutrah	15,367	7,808	1 9 10	0 13 2	15,609	12,599	-	3,070
Murrowree -	15,713	9,222	1 15 8	1 2 7	21,008	18,255	-	2,753
Tillur - - -	78,134	48,202	1 11 3	1 1 0	98,432	83,258	-	15,174
Nogahce - - -	67,684	36,082	1 11 6	0 14 8	72,057	62,026	-	10,031
Mehrobad -	154,853	27,881	1 8 9	0 11 7	1,39,254	1,12,684	-	26,570
Shahjehanpore -	253,417	152,467	1 12 0	1 0 10	2,79,880	2,66,705	-	13,175
Burrageon -	56,649	29,336	2 5 6	1 3 6	78,218	68,985	-	9,263
Powaince -	199,022	122,753	1 8 11	0 15 4	2,09,098	1,91,367	-	17,731
Khotar - - -	166,625	34,585	1 7 0	0 4 9	39,345	63,281	23,936	
Peorumpore Sobna	210,141	83,074	0 12 2	0 4 9	22,012	49,916	27,934	

Average Rate of Assessment on Cultivation, per Acre - - - Rs. 1. 9. 0.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - - Rs. 10,27,075

Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment - - - 67,969

Fourth

FOURTH, OR ALLAHABAD DIVISION.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

238. The Settlement of this Division comprises the following Districts :—

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p><i>Agra Revenue Narrative.</i>
No. 3. Apr. 21, 1843, par. 133 to 138.</p> <p><i>Agra Judicial Narrative.</i>
No. 8. Oct. 25, 1843, par. 13.</p> <p><i>Agra Revenue Narrative.</i>
No. 3. July 28, 1841, par. 77 to 81.</p> <p>4. Oct. 5, " 73.</p> <p>2. Apr. 11, 1842, " 106.</p> <p>2. Apr. 15, 1841, " 79 to 84.</p> <p>4. Nov. 5, 1844, " 177 to 189.</p> <p>1. Feb. 20, 1846, " 203 to 211.</p> <p>1. Feb. 20, " 213 to 216.</p> | <p>1. Cawnpore.</p> <p>2. Futtehpoore.</p> <p>3. Humeerpore and Calpe, or Northern Bundelcund.</p> <p>4. Banda, or Southern Bundelcund.</p> <p>5. Allahabad.</p> |
|--|--|

Cawnpore District.

239. This is reported to be a very fine and flourishing District, which, having suffered from Over-assessment, has now obtained ample Relief.

240. The Settlement, which included the Pergunnahs specified in the Margin*, was conducted by Mr. Rose. The Information furnished by him regarding the agricultural Condition of the District, the Rivers, the general Face of the Country, its Produce, past Administration and Tenures, is ample and clear in its Details.

241. In this District the Koormee Tribes abound. They are a Class of Cultivators remarkable for Industry and agricultural Skill, in which they are stated to rival, if not to surpass, the Jats of the Western Dooab, but to have suffered severely from Over-sessment. Mr. Rose appears to have given special Attention to fix the Assessment within such equitable Limits as would give this valuable Class of Cultivators the full Benefit of their Improvements and good Conduct.

242. The financial Result of the Settlement gives an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation, of Rs. 2. 1. 3.

243. The Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 21,81,540, being a Decrease of Rs. 1,42,461, as compared with the previous Settlement. Resumed Maafee Lands, however, having afforded the very large Revenue of Rs. 49,467, the actual Loss to the Treasury is only Rs. 92,994.

244. It has, it appears, been found necessary to allow of a permanent Decrease in every Pergunnah except Two, and as Eight Tenths of the whole culturable Land are now under Cultivation, little is to be expected in the way of Enhancement of Revenue at the Close of the present Term of Settlement.

245. Appended to Mr. Rose's Report are some Remarks on the general Tendency of the present Revenue System; the Evils which it is the Means of correcting, and the Benefits which it is calculated to secure. Among the latter he especially refers to the revised System of Assessments, the Alteration in reference to the Period of paying Instalments, the Preparation of Records of Holdings and Liabilities, and the proposed Enactment legalizing the Sale of component Puttees.

246. The Proceedings respecting the Pergunnah of Sheorajpore have been Matter of much Discussion.

247. The Effect of Mr. Rose's Settlement was to establish the Rights of the Village Mocuddums, and to declare the Rajah to be simply a Superior, having a certain Right, represented by a Per-centage on the Cultivation. Much Diversity of Opinion was entertained by different Authorities as to the Nature and Extent of the Rights of Mocuddums. Former Settlement Officers had considered the Claims of the Rajah to the proprietary Right to be valid, and the Mocuddums to be merely hereditary Managers, possessed of the Right of Village Occupancy and Management, only to be invalidated by Default. Mr. Rose, on the contrary, held that the Persons called Mocuddums were the Representatives of the Proprietors of the Soil, and that all and each of the Proprietors whom they represented were entitled to the Acknowledgment and free Exercise of all the Rights of Proprietorship.

* Janjehow.
Sulempore.
Bithore.
Sah.

Gharumpore.
Bhojneepore.
Deirapore.
Ackburpore.

Russoolabad.
Sheoly.
Sheorajpore.
Bilhour.

† Messrs. J. Muir and E. Reade.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
12th August 1851.

248. This Settlement was made in accordance with the views of Mr. Rose, but the Question of the Justice of the Decision, as between the Rajah and the Mocuddums, became merged in the Consideration of the serious Consequences resulting from it to the Mocuddums. The proprietary Right having been determined in their Favour, Claims were preferred against them for the Recovery of Decrees which had been standing against them for Years, but in satisfaction of which, while they were simply in the Position of Farmers, the Land could not be seized. They consequently found themselves likely to be ruined by the Proceedings intended for their Benefit, and their new-born proprietary Rights likely to be annulled by the Transfer of the lately acquired Property to other Hands in satisfaction of ancient Claims.

249. The Lieutenant-Governor regretted that any disputed Accounts should have been so left by the Settlement Officer, that by the Operation of the Law, as administered by the Civil Courts, Parties whom it was intended to relieve should be made to suffer so materially in their Interests. The Mocuddums and other Village Proprietors in Sheorajpore were stated by the Lieutenant-Governor on his own personal Knowledge to have long flourished under the loose Tenures prevailing in that Pergunnah, ever since the Commencement of the British Rule, while they appeared to him now in danger of sinking under an Attempt to define their Rights too rigidly.

250. Such Portion therefore of the Settlement as affected the Rights and Tenures in Pergunnah Sheorajpore he excluded from the Confirmation of the Proceedings, and the Sudder Adawlut was requested to cause all Processes issued against the Mocuddums in consequence of any Titles acquired or alleged to have been acquired in virtue of the Settlement to be held in abeyance, and also to cause Inquiry to be made into the Circumstances under which the Decrees against the Mocuddums had been obtained.

Cawnpore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Junma.	Revised Junma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Sheorajpore -	34,852	21,293	1 10 8	2 11 9	56,117	58,210	2,093			
Janjnaw -	122,008	66,017	1 11 4	3 2 5	2,07,095	2,08,200	105			
Bithore - -	134,137	73,481	1 12 5	3 3 10	2,40,666	2,38,111	- -	2,555		
Sarh Sulempore	131,531	75,201	1 12 1½	3 2 7	2,52,136	2,37,773	- -	14,363		
Sheoly - -	54,248	21,647	1 5 0	3 4 9	85,462	71,349	- -	14,113		
Russoolabad -	142,613	61,329	1 6 3	3 3 8	2,18,171	1,98,148	- -	20,023		
Billhour - -	126,645	62,200	1 9 1	3 3 0	2,14,510	1,98,460	- -	16,050		
Ackbarpore -	157,624	77,429	1 7 4	2 12 4	2,21,115	2,14,663	- -	6,447		
Bhojucpore -	175,602	90,158	1 1 7	2 2 4	2,16,620	1,93,496	- -	23,124		
Ghatunpore -	215,086	127,946	1 6 6	2 5 9	3,07,534	3,02,124	- -	5,410		
Deirapore -	114,094	56,562	1 5 3	2 10 11	1,61,327	1,51,828	- -	9,505		
Secundra -	89,355	49,013	1 3 7	2 3 7	1,43,248	1,09,179	- -	34,069		

Average Acre Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - - Rs. 2. 1. 3.

Total Amount of new Assessment - - Rs. 21,81,540

Amount of Decrease on previous Assessment - 142,461

Fattelpore District.

251. The Proceedings connected with the Settlement of this District were transmitted with the Revenue Narrative of 5th November 1841, No. 4, Paragraphs 177 to 189.

252. These

252. These Documents relate to the Survey and Settlement conducted by the late Mr. Timmins, and to the subsequent Measures under the Charge of Mr. J. Thornton in consequence of the Incompleteness of the First Proceedings.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

253. In his Settlement Report Mr. Bird described the District as having escaped the Calamity of Over-assessment and as noted for its Fertility, and he considered that the Settlement should be confirmed in Perpetuity.

254. Mr. Timmins's Report bears every Indication of that Officer's careful Research in ascertaining the Peculiarities and estimating the Capabilities of the District and the Nature of the Tenures under which the Land is held. He made personal Inquiry the Basis of his Proceedings. He found that the Ryots, having no Capital of their own, were obliged to borrow Money at very high Interest from the Mahajuns, to obtain the Means of cultivating their Lands and of paying the First Instalment of their Rent to the Zemindars. Thus the Ryots seldom realized more than a bare Subsistence, while the Mahajuns were the most thriving Class in the District.

255. The Board approved Mr. Timmins's Proceedings, and recommended his Settlement for Confirmation, but his Report was not considered by the Lieutenant-Governor to afford Data sufficient to admit of final Orders being passed in confirmation of the Settlement, and additional Information was called for, which Mr. Timmins did not live to furnish.

256. Mr. Thornton was required on Mr. Timmins's Death to report on the Measures of the Settlement, and submitted his Report on the 7th November 1843. The Report of Mr. Timmins was dated 30th September 1840.

257. The Details submitted show the Amount of the previous Jumma of that fixed by the Settlement in the Case of each Pergunnah, and the actual Condition and Circumstances of the several Pergunnahs. Dissatisfaction appears to have been excited in the District by the comparative Lightness of the new Assessment in the contiguous Zillah of Allahabad, in which most of the larger Futtchpore Landholders likewise possess Estates; but without reference to this and some other Causes, Mr. Thornton considered the general Demand upon the Zillah too high for its natural Resources, the Rate of the Demand on the cultivated Land, Rs. 2 . 12 . 9. per Acre, being higher than in any other District of the North-western Provinces.

258. The Amount of Mr. Timmins's revised Jumma was Rs. 14,17,761, being an Increase of Rs. 86,518 on the average Jumma of the previous Five Years.

259. The Amount of the Jumma as modified by Mr. Thornton was Rs. 13,97,676, which is still an Increase of Rs. 66,443 on the previous Jumma.

260. The resumed Lands formerly held Lakeraj had been registered as containing in the aggregate 17,270 Beegahs, but neither their Area by the new Measurements nor the Jumma realised from them can be actually stated, as some of the Tenures are not to be traced at all in the Measurement Papers, and many of them were thrown into the Khaliseh without separate Jummas attached. With respect to the Patches of Rent-free Land containing less than Ten Beegahs, Mr. Thornton states that he found that those which were not registered were measured together with the Mass of the Land in the Villages where they were situated, and no Notice whatever was taken of them. The registered Tenures under Ten Beegahs were with few Exceptions resumed by the investigating Officer, and many of them having at the Time of Settlement been confounded with the Khaliseh, they cannot now be distinguished.

261. Few Claims to Pensions came before Mr. Thornton. He states that it did not come under his Notice that any dispossessed Maafcedars were in a Condition requiring Support, and he was of opinion that the Attempt to correct any Errors which might have been committed in reference to Maafcedars would be attended with much Difficulty and Inconvenience.

262. In confirming the revised Settlement of Mr. Thornton, the Lieutenant Governor expressed his Concurrence in the Opinion that any Interference which could now be exercised with regard to the Maafce Lands would be unadvisable, and that it would be better to grant Pensions to such of the former Occupants of those petty Tenures as might appear to stand in need of such Means of Support.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

Futtehpore.

13th August 1851.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Average Jumma of past 5 Years.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
Kora -	147,789	71,980	2,04,377	2,03,254	-	-	1,123
Tuppajar -	66,565	36,659	1,09,019	1,12,891	3,872		
Bindkee -	52,939	30,498	95,767	94,537	-	-	1,230
Kooliah -	52,129	26,928	79,351	80,922	1,571		
Aryah Shah -	25,713	14,929	39,836	41,685	1,849		
Mootore -	50,283	30,580	72,158	73,039	881		
Ghazeepore -	94,568	49,831	1,07,192	1,12,838	5,646		
Ekdulla -	91,567	51,979	1,26,357	1,36,923	10,566		
Dhata -	19,964	13,113	30,665	34,802	4,137		
Hulgaon -	139,632	58,443	1,53,265	1,70,460	17,195		
Kotilah -	26,067	14,835	34,465	37,287	2,822		
Huswa -	89,420	40,834	1,08,372	1,16,423	8,051		
Futtehpore -	133,086	66,296	1,70,389	1,82,515	12,126		

The Statements submitted by Mr. Thornton do not afford Information from which the average Rates of Assessment on the total and cultivated Areas can be readily shown, and Mr. Timmins's Jumma Statements are not to be relied on, as his Results have been set aside by the subsequent Measures.

Humeerpore District.

263. The Proceedings relating to the Settlement of Humeerpore are reported to us in Paragraphs 203 to 211 of the Agra Revenue Narrative, dated the 20th February 1847, No. 1.

264. Of this District, the Pergunnahs of Sumerpore, Moudha, Rath, Punwarree, and Khurka were settled by Mr. Allen; and those of Calpee, Humeerpore, Khurela, and Koonch, by Mr. Muir.

265. The Settlement of this District had not been reported at the Time of Mr. Bird's Departure from India; but as "everything was fixed," he enters at some Length into the Principles on which it had been conducted. He remarks on the previous Over-assessment of the District, and on the Causes which produced erroneous Impressions of its Resources and Capabilities. The Climate he describes as unhealthy, and the Land as incapable of Irrigation. The Reductions which have been made in the Jumma he does not consider to be excessive, and he is of opinion that no Increase can be demanded at the Termination of the present Settlement, although a Re-distribution of the Jumma will be advisable.

266. The Reduction of the large Byachara Tenures, and the Establishment of individual Responsibility, is a Measure which calls forth the Approbation of Mr. Bird, since he considers that it divests Resistance to the Demands of Government of Combination and Vigour, and ensures the Co-operation of the well-disposed of the Community. The late Lieutenant-Governor, in his general Review of the Settlement, Paragraph 17, does not admit the Correctness of these Premises and Conclusions with respect to the Reduction of the superior Tenures; and the present Lieutenant-Governor is of opinion that Departures from established Usages require great Caution and Judgment.

267. The respective Reports of Messrs. Muir and Allen contain much Information relative to the Geography, Agriculture, Manufactures, Soils, and general Characteristics and Capabilities of the District. Each of these Gentlemen enters at very great Length into the past History of the Portion of the District settled by him; describes it as having been greatly over-assessed; and brings to prominent Notice the Causes which led to, and the Results which followed, its Over-assessment. These Subjects and the various Points connected with them were carefully reviewed in our Despatch dated the 24th April 1835, (No. 3,) in which we took a Retrospect of the Affairs of the District from the Time of its Cession to the British Government by the Peishwa in 1803-4. The Views taken in that Despatch are fully borne out by the present Reports, and it will therefore be unnecessary to enter into a Recapitulation of them.

268. The Soil of Bundelcund is described as being incapable of Irrigation, from the Circumstance of its opening into large Fissures, in which the Water

is lost, and from the Depth at which Water lies below the Surface. Cotton and Al* are the Two principal Articles of Trade. For the Growth of the former, Bundelcund is represented as peculiarly adapted; and at One Period the Purchases on account of Government are said to have amounted to Forty, and those on account of private Individuals, to Eighteen, Lacs of Rupces.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

269. Adverting to the wretched and impoverished State of the District, and deeming the Object of the Settlement to be to regain the lost Confidence of the People, the Settlement Officers proceeded with a view to the Determination of the Amount of Relief to be granted, rather than the Amount of Increase to be demanded.

* A fine Red Dye.

270. The Settlement was based on the double Classification of Land and Villages, the latter being determined with reference to the former. After having ascertained the average Rent Rates of the different Soils, the Settlement Officers formed from them their Revenue Rates, with due Advertence to the fiscal History of the Village to which they were to be applied. By each of these Gentlemen the greatest Care seems to have been taken to guard against the Adoption of excessive Rates. They appear to have been throughout actuated by a Desire to promote the Welfare of the People, justly deeming the Interests of Government to be identical with it.

271. Mr. Allen describes the Soil of Punwarrec as very inferior to that of the other Pergunnahs settled by him. This natural Disadvantage is aggravated by the Intersection of the Boundaries of foreign States, the Princes of which possess an Influence so great, that none but their Relatives can venture to take Villages; and the Variations in the Rates of Assessment on this Pergunnah are consequently very great.

272. Of the Pergunnahs settled by Mr. Muir, Pergunnah Koonch possesses the best natural Capabilities, and is chiefly cultivated by Koormies, to whose great Industry and agricultural Skill Allusion has been made in our Review of the Settlement of the Cawnpore District.

273. The prevailing Tenure in Bundelcund is Byachara, according to which an extensive Brotherhood is responsible for the Revenue, and their individual Shares and Responsibilities are determined by conventional Rules. As the whole Community almost invariably made common Cause with individual Defaulters, these Tenures are liable to create Difficulties in the Realization of the just Dues of Government, and it was therefore deemed expedient, by the Settlement Officers, to declare the separate Thokes separate Mehals.

	Estates.	Jumma.
Mr. Muir	51	Rs. 72,327
Mr. Allen	35	„ 94,979

274. The Number of Estates which had fallen into the Hands of Government furnished clear and lamentable Evidence of Over-assessment. In consideration of this latter Circumstance, the Settlement Officers furnished Lists of Estates which they recommended for Restoration to their original Proprietors.

275. The Police have been provided for in Money Payments, in consequence of which there is an apparent, but not real, Decrease in the Jummas of many Villages. It was not considered just to make an increased Charge on that account, and therefore it often happens that Estates pay the same Jummas as formerly, while the Government Receipts are diminished.

276. The financial Results of the Settlement exhibit an Acre Rate on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 0. 0. in the Pergunnahs settled by Mr. Muir, and Rs. 1. 10. 1. in those settled by Mr. Allen. The average Acre Rate of the whole District will thus be Rs. 1. 12. 8. In Mr. Muir's Pergunnahs, the former Jumma was Rs. 6,66,200, and the present is Rs. 6,03,784, showing a Decrease of Rs. 62,416. The present Jumma in Mr. Allen's Pergunnahs is Rs. 6,71,833, the highest Jumma of the previous Settlement having been Rs. 8,01,311, and the average Jumma of the last Five Years Rs. 7,81,286, showing a Decrease of Rs. 1,19,478 on the highest, and Rs. 1,09,453 on the average Jumma of the previous Assessment. The total Decrease, therefore, is Rs. 1,81,894, or if the Average of the last Five Years be taken for Mr. Allen's Pergunnahs, Rs. 10,025 less than that Sum. As the Jumma is Russeedce, the Decrease is, in the first instance, somewhat greater than that above shown.

277. In the Resumption of Maafce Land, when any Doubt existed of the Justice or Propriety of resuming it, the Question has been set aside for future Investigation. All Patches under Ten Beegahs in the bouâ fide Possession of needy Maafce-dars, have been unconditionally released. Resumed Maafce Lands

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

have been settled at very light Rates with the Maafedars, to whom Pottahs were granted, detailing all Particulars.

278. In Mr. Allen's Pergunnahs "no Rent Rates have been recorded or thought of for Land not now under Cultivation," because it was thought that the Proprietor should be left to make his own Terms "without being fettered in his Endeavours to improve his Estate by any fanciful Rates recorded at the Time of Settlement." With regard to the waste Land in Mr. Muir's Pergunnahs, he deemed it "a Matter of most pressing Importance that strong Inducements should be held out for bringing it under the Plough;" and he "has accordingly prevailed on the Zemindars to embody a Provision in the Rent Roll, specifying a low and progressive Rent for reclaimed Land, and binding themselves to continue Possession to the Reclaimer at reduced Rates."

279. Great Care appears to have been bestowed on the Preparation of the Assameewar Jummabundees, and on the Revision of the Kistbundee.

280. Mr. Muir proposed the Transfer of Six* Villages to Jalown, and also the Incorporation of Pergunnahs Calpee and Koonch with that District.

281. These Proceedings met with the Approval of the Board of Revenue, who brought to favourable Notice the Zeal and Activity of Messrs. Muir and Allen. They concurred in the Recommendations of the local Officers for the Reinstatement of the old Zemindars. The Reductions in the Jumma were not deemed to be larger than the State of the District required, and it was observed that no Increase could be demanded. It was noticed that no Provision had been made for a Road Fund.

282. The Lieutenant-Governor's Attention was called to the Subdivision of Byachara Estates. The Board were of opinion that the constituting of separate Thokes separate Mehals, and the Separation of Land and Jumma on each particular Puttee, had been regarded by the People as a Declaration of the Independence of each Puttee. This, they were of opinion, was intended at the Settlement, and they could not "bring themselves to consider that the Orders of Government, which destroy this Independence, were founded on good Policy, or in accordance with the Provisions at the Settlement."

283. The Board add that they "desire to notice this the more, because Government have so frequently and so seriously pressed upon them the great Importance of the Settlement, and of Act I. of 1841, as enabling the Revenue Authorities to ascertain the Individuals in a Putteedarree Estate who were in Balance, and empowering them to deal with that individual Default; whereas the Result arrived at is, that instead of dealing with individual Default, those who are not Defaulters are to be made to pay, or suffer the Penalty of those who are."

284. The Lieutenant-Governor gave his Sanction to the revised Jumma, and the Reinstatement of the old Zemindars on the 13th April 1845, reserving any further Observations for another Opportunity.

285. Accordingly, on the 30th of the same Month, the Lieutenant-Governor communicated to the Sudder Board of Revenue, that the Result of a Visit which he had paid to Bundelcund had increased his Confidence in the Soundness and Moderation of the Settlement. He considered that Credit was due not only to Messrs. Allen and Muir, but to Messrs. Taunton and G. H. Alexander, who had subsequently taken charge of the District.

286. He thought Mr. Allen had exercised a sound Discretion in forming the separate Thokes into separate Mehals. The Properties, he remarked, were distinct, sometimes lying in One Place, but more generally intermixed. Mr. Muir appeared to have made this Change more extensively, although the 158th Paragraph† of his Report might appear to leave a Doubt whether the new Mehals have been entirely separated from one another.

287. The Lieutenant-Governor observed that there was no Substitution of "individual" for joint Responsibility. Both Officers had stated that the new Mehals contained several Puttees, which implies a joint Responsibility. He

• Pergunnah—	Calpee.	Benowra.	Pergunnah—	Jalalpoore	Sonehra.
"	"	Jalalpoore	"	Khurela	Buroo.
"	"	Kotra.	"	"	Muhrettee.

† "In all Cases the greater Part, in some the whole, of the culturable Land was completely divided. In others even the waste Land was held separately, and little more than the Right of the Village in common."

remarks,

remarks, "the Communities were before large and unwieldy, they are now small and manageable. The Responsibility is now, as before, primarily individual, secondly and ultimately joint." He did not suppose that the People conceived that their joint Responsibility was dissolved. He dwells at very great Length on this Subject, because it appeared to him "both from the Reports of the Settlement Officers and the Remarks of the Board, that these Circumstances have not received that full Consideration which their Importance demands.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

"The British Government has found existing in the North-western Provinces a curious and intricate State of Property, to which the People are warmly attached. The Government commenced by disregarding the Peculiarities of the Tenures, and soon induced a most deplorable State of Confusion. Zemindarree, Talookdarree, Moostajurree Settlements, and Sales of Estates for Arrears of Revenue, made without Inquiry or Consideration, produced extensive Ruin and Distress. The special Commission under Regulation I. of 1821, and detailed Settlements under Regulation VII. of 1822, have been the appropriate and effectual Remedies. The sound Principle has now been asserted and acted on, that it is the Duty of the Government to ascertain and protect all existing Rights, those of the poor and humble Villager, as well as those of the rich and influential Talookdar. In so far as this is done with Care and Diligence, will the Measure be successful in placing Property on a sound and healthy Footing.

"There is a further Process to which Attention may be directed, and that is, the gradual Alteration by the People themselves of those Customs or Usages in their Communities, which have arisen under a Form of Government very different from that which now exists, and which are ill adapted to foster that Industry which it is the Tendency of the present State of Things to encourage. These Changes require much Care and Judgment. They will succeed in proportion as they are understood both by the Officers of Government and the People, and are fairly carried out.

"This Operation should be conducted with much Care in Bundelcund, where the Bej Burra Tenures prevail. What has been done in Humeerpore appears to have been well done, and has been hitherto successful."

288. The Board were desired to communicate to Messrs. Allen and Muir, the Approbation with which their Exertions were regarded.

289. With respect to the Road Fund, the Lieutenant-Governor observed that 1 per Cent. on the Government Jumma has been contributed for that Purpose, a judicious Management of which will, he considers, exert a most beneficial Effect on the District.

Humeerpore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Average Jumma of the last Five Years.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Khurka - -	40,649	16,891	0 10 10	1 10 2	33,915	27,702	- -	6,213		
Moudha - -	147,231	84,093	0 15 0	1 10 2	1,47,201	1,37,969	- -	9,232		
Punwarree - -	271,729	128,873	0 10 9	1 6 9	2,11,369	1,83,350	- -	28,019		
Rath - -	203,406	107,877	0 14 4	1 11 0	2,25,132	1,82,464	- -	42,668		
Soneerpore - -	153,910	74,474	0 14 7	1 14 2	1,63,669	1,40,348	- -	23,321		
Total - -	816,925	412,208	0 13 2	1 10 1	7,81,286	6,71,833	- -	1,09,453		

Average Rate per Acre - - - - - Rs. 1. 10. 1.

Amount of new Assessment - - - - - Rs. 6,71,833

Amount of Decrease - - - - - 1,09,453

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

Humeerpore—continued.

13th August 1851.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Former Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	Remarks.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Calpee - - -		35,855	0 11 3 $\frac{1}{4}$	2 1 11	93,423	78,410	- -	15,013	The total Area in Acres is not to be found in the Report of Mr. Muir.
Humeerpore - - -		39,028	0 14 1	1 13 2	77,600	71,433	- -	6,167	
Jelalpore, Khurela - - -		153,493	0 12 7	1 10 1	2,75,800	2,51,143	- -	24,657	
Koonch - - -		70,555	2 1 10	2 13 3	2,19,377	2,02,798	- -	16,579	
Total - - -		298,931	0 15 11	2 0 0	6,66,200	6,03,784	- -	62,416	

Average Rate per Acre - - - - - Rs. 2. 0. 0.

Amount of new Assessment - - - - - Rs. 6,03,784

Amount of Decrease - - - - - 62,416

Banda District.

290. With Paragraphs 213 to 216 of the Agra Revenue Narrative dated 20th February 1846 (No. 1.), were transmitted to us certain Proceedings relating to the Settlement of the District of Banda.

291. The visit of the Lieutenant-Governor to Banda as communicated to the Board of Revenue in his Letter dated the 12th April 1845, was not attended with the satisfactory Results which followed that to the District of Humeerpore. The Settlement which had been effected by Mr. Wright had failed. The Failure had been variously attributed to Over-assessment, bad Seasons, or defective Record of Rights. But though original Over-assessment had acted as injuriously in Banda as in Humeerpore, the recent Assessment did not appear to be more heavy in the former than in the latter. Neither did the last Three Seasons appear to have been particularly unfavourable. But the Failure was chiefly ascribable to Two Causes: 1st, the Hostility between the Collector Mr. Masson, and the Settlement Officer Mr. Wright; 2d, the erroneous Record of Rights arising from Mr. Wright's imperfect Knowledge of the Bej Burrar Tenure, which prevails largely in Banda. The Note on this Subject recorded by the Lieutenant-Governor for the Guidance of the Local Officers contains some valuable Information on the Varieties of this peculiar Tenure.

292. The Settlement Proceedings have been made over to Mr. Rose, who has been invested with full Powers of Revision. Instructions have been issued for his Guidance, and the Board have been requested to afford him every Assistance in the Shape of additional Establishments.

293. Some more recent Proceedings with respect to this District will be noticed in our concluding Remarks.

Allahabad District.

294. The Proceedings connected with this District were reported in the Revenue Narrative dated 15th April 1841, Paragraphs 79 to 84. The District is considered to be in a very flourishing and prosperous State, and does not appear to have suffered much heretofore from Over-assessment. It comprises the Fifteen Pergunnahs named in the Margin*, the Two latter of which were settled by Mr. Speirs in F. 1239—40 (A. D. 1832—33). The Remainder have been settled by Mr. Montgomery.

295. The Settlement is characterised by Two Facts worthy of Notice, namely, that an unusually large Increase has been obtained on the Revision,

* Atherbun.
Araih.
Jhoussee.
Chail.
Secundra.

Soran.
Keraree.
Kurrah.
Hundeeah.
Mirzapore Chowhatee.

Muh.
Newabgunge.
Khyraghur.
Burroh.
Chowkundra.

amounting

amounting to nearly Two Lacs of Rupees; and that the Measures of the Settlement Officer were carried on and completed with a remarkable Degree of Expedition.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

296. At the same Time the Proceedings justify the Belief that the Settlement is fair and equitable, and it is reported to have given general Satisfaction. When the Arrangements had moreover been in force for a whole Year, the Revenue is stated to have been collected easily and without Arrear and without any coercive Measures.

297. The District appears from its Position and Peculiarities to possess Advantages singularly calculated to ensure its agricultural Prosperity, being always secure of an extensive Demand for its Produce during the Continuance of the annual Fair. In ordinary Times the Price of Grain at Allahabad is stated to be high, but it appears that Prices are never liable to the great Fluctuations which occur elsewhere, and that even in the Year of the Famine, so disastrous to other Districts, the Home Market was amply supplied, and the Variation from other Years by no means great.

298. Mr. Montgomery had apparently the Advantage of having for the Scene of his Operations a District remarkable for the Enjoyment of a more than ordinary Amount of agricultural and financial Prosperity, and in not being obstructed and thwarted in the Conduct of Measures, always from their Nature unpopular, by the Existence of Distress or Discontent among those whose Interests were confided to his Care.

299. The Survey was commenced in February 1838, and finished in February 1839. The Preparations of the Papers for Settlement were commenced in June 1838, and the Assessment was completed by the End of April 1839.

300. Mr. Montgomery's Operations appear to have been carried on on a very extensive Scale,—the principal Part of his System being, that instead of having, as was formerly the Case, only One Establishment at work, he had *Ten*, whose Duties were quite separate and independent of each other, and whose Work it was his Duty to superintend.

301. Mr. Montgomery states that he found no Difficulty in superintending these several Establishments, that he was able to do so in addition to his other Duties, that they all worked on One System, which the Superintendents were conversant with before they were entrusted with the Duties, and that the whole was effected with much less Trouble than the Preparation of One Pergunnah under the old System.

302. In One Portion of the District special Circumstances rendered Mr. Montgomery's Adjudication unusually difficult, viz., the Pergunnah of Kyraghur. It is of great Extent, having an Area of 675 Square Miles, and yielding a Jumma of Rs. 3,38,726. During a Period of nearly Two Centuries it had been held by a Family of Ghurwar Rajpoots, the Head of whom at the Time of the Settlement was Rajah Lal Chutpal Singh.

303. Mr. Montgomery represents that nothing could exceed the Misery and Wretchedness of the Inhabitants of the Pergunnah, who have been entirely under the Control of the Rajah, and exposed to the greatest Tyranny and Hardship. The Villages are described as depopulated to the Extent of nearly half their Inhabitants, and the Soil is only cultivated to the Extent of half its Capability. Notwithstanding so much Misery and Misrule, however, the old Village Communities have, it appears, in most Instances, clung tenaciously to their Estates. In some Villages these Communities have uninterruptedly held their Estates in Farm from the Rajah; others have only so held them occasionally, and some have never so held them at all. A Stranger, when he has in any Case been put over their Heads, has generally underlet the Estate to them.

304. The Board's Principle of making the Settlement with the Mocuddums or Village Communities in all Talookdarree Estates, when such a State of Things is found to exist, is noticed by Mr. Montgomery as having been "*most wisely*, for the Happiness of many," recognised by Government. The Existence of proprietary Communities with a Right of Management and Occupancy, is found in this Pergunnah, he states, in a more or less perfect State according as the several Communities had more or less Power to withstand the Rajah's Influence, he being considered the Head, or Talookdar, and as such of course entitled to his Talookdarree Allowance and nothing more.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

305. Mr. Montgomery reports that he accordingly made the Settlement with the Village Communities where they were found to exist, and where no Communities were in Existence, the Settlement was made with the Rajah. In Mocuddumnee Estates, an Allowance of 18 per Cent. was granted to the Rajah, the gross Amount of whose Talookdarree Allowance is Rs. 47,823.

306. The Assessment of the Amount of the Government Demand in this Pergunnah appears to have been somewhat difficult in consequence of the ruined Condition in which many of the Villages were found.

307. The Settlement of Pergunnahs Barraah and Chowkundra, which was completed by Mr. Speirs under Regulation VII. of 1822, expires in F. 1254 (1847), but Mr. Montgomery has extended the Term till the End of F. 1276, making it to correspond in Period with the general Settlement of the District.

308. The financial Result of the Settlement shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 2. 2. 6.

309. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 21,89,957, being an Increase of Rs. 2,64,800 on the Amount of the previous Assessment.

Allahabad.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.			Acre Rate on cultivated Area.			Former Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.				
Atherbun - -	71,168	44,685	1	7	1	2	4	9	96,731	1,02,806	6,075	
Arail - -	164,770	104,057	1	6	7	2	3	5	2,09,946	2,30,181	20,235	
Jhonree - -	70,808	48,955	1	6	7	2	0	8	96,217	99,892	3,675	
Chail - -	209,011	118,138	1	1	0	1	14	1	1,95,518	2,22,259	26,741	
Secundra - -	104,905	51,546	1	4	2	2	9	1	1,24,153	1,32,106	7,953	
Sooram - -	90,289	48,093	1	5	9	2	8	10	1,17,299	1,22,681	5,382	
Keraree - -	93,276	53,452	1	0	0	1	11	11	83,557	93,477	9,920	
Kurrah - -	145,895	73,560	1	2	5	2	4	0	1,61,888	2,01,112	39,224	
Hundeeah - -	87,953	51,571	1	11	9	2	15	2	1,49,184	1,52,905	3,721	
Mirzapore Chowbaree	12,314	5,866	1	8	3	3	3	4	18,037	19,042	1,005	
Muh - -	94,605	56,758	1	7	10	2	3	6	1,35,502	1,39,298	3,796	
Newabunge - -	59,878	37,982	1	8	10	2	7	3	84,950	93,226	8,276	
Khyraghur - -	426,385	215,029	0	14	3	1	12	2	3,33,725	3,83,718	44,993	
Burroh - -	155,749	86,389	1	4	4	2	5	0	1,10,700	1,93,915	83,215	
Chowkundra - -	3,237	1,127	1	0	0	2	15	3	2,750	3,339	589	

Average Acre Rate of Assessment per Acre on Cultivation - Rs. 2. 2. 6.

Total Amount of new Assessment - Rs. 21,89,957

Amount of Increase on previous Assessment - 2,64,800

FIFTH OR BENARES DIVISION.

310. The Settlement Proceedings in the Benares Division have been reported to us at long Intervals.

Agra Revenue Narratives.

No. 1.	Feb. 8, 1838,	par. 81.
3.	Aug. 12, 1839,	" 79.
5.	Oct. 15, 1840,	" 102 to 110.
2.	Apr. 11, 1841,	" 116 to 118.
3.	Apr. 21, 1843,	" 150.
2.	Apr. 1, 1844,	" 152 to 155.
7.	Dec. 11, 1843,	" 161, 162.
2.	May 10, 1846,	" 222 to 235.
2.	May 10, —	" 239 to 248.
4.	Nov. 5, 1844,	" 205, 206.
1.	Feb. 20, 1846,	" 222.
1.	Mar. 15, 1847,	" 215.
2.	May 12, —	" 164.
2.	May 30, 1848,	" 212 to 214.

311. This Division comprises the following Districts:—

1. Gorruckpore.
2. Azimghur.
3. Ghazeeppore.
4. Jounpore.
5. Benares.
6. Mirzapore.

Gorruckpore District.

312. The Proceedings connected with the Revision and Settlement of this District have been transmitted with the Revenue Narratives of the Dates noted in the Margin.

313. Mr.

313. Mr. Bird in his Report describes the District as very fertile and most advantageously circumstanced, and he expects that after the Lapse of the present Term it will yield a large additional Revenue, which may be expected to arise from the Assessment of the increased Area brought under Cultivation, and from an enhanced Rate on the Lands assessed at the present Time.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

314. The striking Characteristic of this Settlement is the extraordinary Increase obtained in the Amount of the Government Jumma, the Revenue being raised from Seven Lacs to Twenty Lacs. The Increase, which is observable in all the Pergunnahs, arises partly from the Enhancement of the Government Demand in Villages considered to have been formerly too lightly assessed, and partly from the large Quantities of additional Land brought under Assessment, on the Results of the professional Survey.

315. The Demand now fixed is stated to have been evenly distributed over the whole District, to be "moderate to a Degree unexampled in other Districts," and to have been collected without Difficulty.

316. With the Exception of Jungle Grants, which are to run for 50 Years, the Duration of Settlement has been fixed at 20 instead of 30 Years, the Sudder Board being of opinion that at the Expiration of the shorter Period, a Jumma averaging Rs. 1. 8. 0. per cultivated Acre may fairly be fixed, by which an aggregate Revenue of 30 Lacs would be obtained without Injury to the Prosperity of the District, and without causing any Difficulty in Collection.

317. The released Nankar Villages in the Possession of the Rajah of Bansee, Pergunnah Russoolpore Ghans, were the Subject of much Discussion. The Lands in question were released in Perpetuity by the special Deputy Collector employed on the Trial of Maafee Cases, but the Sudder Board, believing that the Facts did not warrant the Decision, and that Nankar is not an hereditary Tenure, directed an Appeal from so much of the Decision as ordered a perpetual Release, leaving the Lands to the Rajah for Life.

318. The Appeal was decided in favour of Government, by the Sudder Commissioner at Patna. Mr. Reade, the Settlement Officer, appealed to the Lieutenant-Governor in favour of the Rajah, whom he described as deserving of every Indulgence and liberal Consideration, on the Score of his Poverty and pecuniary Embarrassment, as well as of the great Antiquity and Respectability of a Family at One Time the most influential in the District.

319. The Rajah's Income was derived from his Nankar Villages, and from a Nankar Money Allowance. The Villages were given 400 Years ago, as a Mark of Favour by the Emperor of Delhi to the Family, who had enjoyed them uninterruptedly ever since, and whose Title had been repeatedly acknowledged. The Allowance had been enjoyed only since F. 1186 (A.D. 1779), and was in its Nature temporary and variable. The Settlement Officer recommended that the Money Allowance which had been suspended from the Date of the Demise of the late Rajah, should cease altogether, but he strongly urged that the Nankar Villages, 87 in Number, should be confirmed to the present Rajah and his Heirs in Perpetuity.

320. The Lieutenant-Governor did not consider it expedient to give up entirely the Benefits secured by the Decision of the special Commissioner at Patna, nor to abandon the Principle laid down by the Sudder Board, that such Lands, granted Nankar, are fairly resumable by the State. But in the specific Case, he did not deem it desirable to enforce the total Resumption. He directed that the present Rajah should be continued in possession for his Life, without the Right to alienate or dispose of any Portion of the Grant; that on the Death of the incumbent Rajah, the Name of his Successor should be reported to Government, who would make an Extension of the Grant in his Favour, such Renewal to be necessary on every Lapse.

321. The Money Allowance was declared to have lapsed.

322. The Pergunnah of Tilpore is the Subject of a Report by the Settlement Officer, in whose Opinion the proprietary Right of the entire Pergunnah belonged to the Family of the ex-Rajah of Bootwul.

323. On the Assumption of the Management of the Territory in 1804, an Allowance of Rs. 4,000 per Annum was sanctioned by Government as a Subsistence for the Rajah's Family. This Allowance was from Time to Time increased as an Equivalent for what was taken from the Family, and at the Period of the Settlement amounted to Rs. 700 per Month. The actual Occupants of the Land were then allowed to engage with Government free

Court of Directors from the Payment of Malikana. The Settlement Officer recommended that the Rajah's Stipend should be fixed definitively at Rs. 1,000 per Month, in lieu of all Claims, especially considering that his Zemindarree Right has been acknowledged, and that the Rent-free Tenures originally assigned to him as Part of his Maintenance had been resumed.

to the
Governor General.
19th August 1851.

324. The Board were not satisfied as to the Existence of any well-founded proprietary Right on the Part of the Family. They were of opinion that the titular Rajah of Bectwul had never held Possession of Tilpore "within the Memory of Man, or within a Period traceable by History, or by authentic Tradition."

325. At the same Time, in maintaining what they considered an important Principle, that a Title which had become obsolete in the Course of Events, is not to be pleaded as Property, or as giving any Claim to Compensation, they disclaimed all Desire to stand between the ex-Rajah and the Liberality of Government.

326. The Lieutenant-Governor deemed Mr. Reade's Observations in support of the Rajah of Tilpore's Claims so far worthy of Consideration, as to induce him to confirm the Proposition for the Assignment to the Rajah and his lawful Heir of an Allowance of Rs. 1,000 per Mensem.

Gorruckpore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	REMARKS.
			A. P.	Rs. A. P.	A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Salempore Majhowlee	362,349	211,884	10 0	1 1 0	61,481	1,35,339	73,858			The Statements connected with the financial Results in this District are not sufficiently complete to admit of any Totals being furnished, on the Accuracy of which the necessary Reliance could be placed.	
Aurangabad Nuggur	130,324	76,250	9 5	1 0 2	51,463	72,928	25,465				
Mhowlee - -	242,833	117,677	8 11	0 12 4 ³	61,481	1,35,339	73,858				
Sylhet - -	176,025	55,050	5 2	0 15 6	16,873	58,204	41,331				
Sidhora Jobna	592,816	221,540	6 10	1 2 4	83,706	2,53,535	1,69,829				
Munsoonuggur Busta	173,419	91,452	12 0	1 1 7	42,559	1,00,619	57,558				
Milghur Buckra -	350,313	167,964	8 8	1 2 0	61,579	1,89,671	1,28,092				
Russoolpore Ghans	200,598	121,995	10 4	1 0 10	1,28,385	62,356	66,029				
Bhowapore - -	89,181	35,681	7 4	1 2 3	17,270	40,759	23,489				
Amorha - -	170,665	106,755	12 6	1 4 0	1,13,052	1,33,848	20,796				
Tilpore - -	187,032	45,895	5 0	0 14 5	11,523	37,924	26,401				
Beruckpore - -	123,412	12,937	- -	- -	2,121	7,862	5,741				
Havelce - -	920,167	262,569	- -	0 14 5	79,859	2,38,247	1,58,348				
Ruttanpore Bansce	524,596	217,381	8 7	1 1 2	83,663	2,33,880	1,50,217				

Azimghur District.

327. This District comprises the Pergunnahs noted in the Margin.* The Settlements were completed by Mr. Thomason, with the Assistance of Mr. Montgomery; reported in the Agra Revenue Narratives dated 8th February 1838 (No. 1), Paragraph 81; and 12th August 1839 (No. 3.), Paragraph 79; and briefly noticed in our Despatch dated 31st March 1841, (No. 4.)

328. Mr. Bird represents this to be a most fertile, productive, well irrigated, and well cultivated District, possessing every Element of agricultural Prosperity. He considers the Pergunnahs of Deogaon, Mahomedabad, and Nizamabad to be fully cultivated and assessed; but with regard to the latter, he is of opinion that, as it was "the Theatre of the First Attempts at Settlement before the present System was formed, the vile Corpus of fiscal Experiment," it was not so satisfactorily done as the rest, and should therefore be revised at the Expiration of the present Settlement, with a view to the Equalization, but not to the Enhancement, of the Government Demand. He considers that the Rates in the other Pergunnahs ought not to be enhanced, but that the Revenue "may fairly yield an Enhancement proportioned to the Increase of Cultivation."

329. In addition to the several Reports of Messrs. Thomason and Montgomery, on the last Ten of the Pergunnahs now under Notice, Mr. Thomason

• Nizamabad.	Mahowmut Brinjum.	Gopalpore.
Churreeakote.	Mahal.	Sugree.
Khurreeah Mittoo.	Mahomedabad.	Ghoosce.
Bikabans.	Atrawba Zilhenea.	Nuthoopore.
Deogaon.	Kowrah.	

drew

drew up a Report on the Completion of the Settlement embracing the whole District. This Document possesses more than ordinary Interest, involving a careful Record of the Principles and Details of the Settlement, and a scientific and discriminating Inquiry into the diverse and intricate landed Tenures prevailing in Azimghur. He describes the different Persons in whom the proprietary Right rests, illustrating by historical Instances the Mode in which it has been acquired, and defines the various Holdings, and the Rights and Liabilities, of different Classes and Individuals.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

330. The Country is represented by him to be well irrigated and fertile. Its principal Products are Sugar, Indigo, and Opium. The former is the staple Produce, for the Cultivation of which the Soil appears to be peculiarly adapted. It yields a very high Rent, generally Rs. 12 or 15 per Acre; and in Pergunnah Muhal, where the finest Sugar Land (which is stated to yield as abundantly as some of the old West India Lands) is situated, it ranges as high as Rs. 30 or 40 per Acre. It has been calculated that the District annually produces 288,916 Cwt. of Sugar of the First, and 115,989 Cwt. of Sugar of the Second, Quality; all the raw Produce being manufactured within the District, and exported in the refined State.

331. With regard to Indigo and Opium, neither the Climate nor the Soil is peculiarly favourable to the former. The Jealousy of the Zemindars, on account of the Receipt by the Koormies, who are the chief Cultivators of the Poppy, of Advances from the Opium Department, retards the Cultivation of the latter; and the high Price of, and great Demand for, Sugar operates as a Check on both. Mr. Thomason is of opinion that the Cultivation of the Poppy Plant might be greatly extended, as the Eastern Portion of the District is peculiarly adapted for its Production.

332. The Principles by which the Settlement Officers were guided appear to differ materially, in respect to the Basis of Assessment, from those which were observed in other Districts; there was also an Increase of Jumma in every Pergunnah. As the former Assessment was light, less Caution was considered necessary in fixing the Government Demand than in over-assessed Districts. Mr. Thomason states, that, although the Rates are high, it must be borne in mind that Sugar, Opium, and Indigo are the most remunerative Crops; and that these Crops were, in 1833-4, at which Time the Rates were assumed, in small Demand, and the Market much deranged. The few Cases of Recusancy, and the Collection without Balance of the Revenue in those Pergunnahs in which the new Settlement had, at the Time of Mr. Thomason's Report, come into Operation, furnished Evidence of its Moderation and Equality.

333. Throughout the whole of this District the greatest Perplexities were occasioned by the Intermixture of Lands and Villages, and in many Instances Transfers were found necessary to clear them up; the Adjustment of Boundary Disputes and the Determination of the Relations subsisting between different Persons and Classes of Persons were therefore Matters of very great Difficulty. Arbitration was generally resorted to for these Purposes, but the Venality of the Arbitrators, which became Matter of Notriety, demonstrated its Inexpediency. Although Mr. Thomason does not contemplate this Portion of the Settlement with Satisfaction, he is of opinion that the Good which has been effected preponderates over the Evil, and that the Errors thus produced cannot be revised except in a Civil Court.

334. Great Labour and Attention appear to have been bestowed on the Adjustment of the Rights of Coparceners, and of the Rates payable by them and by non-proprietary Cultivators, and this Measure has given universal Satisfaction.

335. In the Formation of his Revenue Rates Mr. Thomason, being of opinion that many Objections existed against adopting the Nature of the Soil as the Basis for the Classification of the Land, and deeming the better recognised Method of Distinction between the Rates per Mijhai Mittoo (according as the Land is situated near to or distant from the Village Site) to be uncertain, divided the Land into Rubbee and Kurreef, meaning, by the former, Land capable of producing Sugar Cane and the higher Crops, and, by the latter, Rice Land. These Denominations of Land were again divided under subordinate Heads, and this Plan was stated to be of great Assistance in judging of the Capabilities of the Land and the Resources and Management of the Cultivation.

336. One of the most remarkable Features of the present Settlement is the great Quantity of resumed Rent-free Land. In the Three Pergunnahs of

13th August 1851.

	Acres.
Deogaon	9,361
Mahal	21,057
Mahomedabad	21,006
Total	45,424

	Rupees.
Deogaon	3,333
Mahal	19,714
Mahomedabad	18,793
Total	42,841

Deogaon, Mahal, and Mahomedabad alone it amounts to 45,424 Acres, bearing a Jumma Rs. 42,841, while in the same Pergunnahs there are but Two Cases of Release in Perpetuity. All Claims to hold Land Rent-free have been investigated and disposed of. An uniform Principle was observed in the Settlement of this Class of Tenures which it appears has been made with the Person legally in possession of the Zemindarree Right, Protection being given to Under-tenants against Encroachments.

337. The Organization of an efficient Police and the Introduction of an improved System of keeping the Records and Accounts received the careful Attention of the Settlement Officers.

338. The financial Result of the Settlement shows an Acre Rate of 1 Rupee on the whole Area, and Rs. 2. 2. 6. on the Area in actual Cultivation. The previous Assessment was Rs. 10,38,953, and the present Assessment is Rs. 13,57,419, which gives an Increase of Rs. 3,18,457.

339. The Proceedings of the Settlement Officers met with the unqualified Approbation of the Commissioner Mr. Currie, the Sudder Board, and the Lieutenant-Governor. The Sudder Board noticed in a marked and prominent Manner their Sense of Obligation to Mr. Thomason, who had heartily entered into their Views, perfectly comprehended their Plans, and carried them into execution with great Skill and Judgment. The Exertions of Mr. Currie and Mr. Montgomery were also favourably noticed as well by the Lieutenant-Governor as by the Board.

340. The only individual Case which appears to demand Observation is that of Talookah Zuhur, Pergunnah Kowreah, which furnishes an Example of the Refusal of a Purchaser to engage with Government for the Payment of the Revenue. This Talookah had long been a Source of Annoyance to the Revenue Authorities, and although lightly assessed, the Revenue had been collected with Difficulty. It was settled by Mr. Bird in F. S. 1238. The Estate was sold by public Auction to Girdharee Loil, and purchased from him by private Sale by Baboo Note Singh. The Son of the latter, Shurhundial, refused to have anything to do with the Settlement, and it was let in Farm to the old Zemindars.

341. Much Discussion took place respecting this Estate. The Commissioner, with whom the Board concurred, proposed a Revision of the Settlement with a view to the Reduction of the Assessment, which he thought too high, and a formal Purchase of the Estate from Shurhundial.

342. The Lieutenant-Governor stated that he would be prepared to take the Subject into future Consideration, but that any Reduction in the Government Demand must be preceded by some Arrangement, under which the old Zemindars might be restored to their Possessions, and reap the Benefit of the Reduction.

343. The Principles of the Settlement in this District involve some Points of great Importance, with reference especially to the Divisions and Sub-divisions of Rubbee and Khureef Lands as the Basis of the Assessment.

Azimghur.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.			Acre Rate on cultivated Area.			Previous Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Remarks.
			Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.				
Nizamabad -	281,667	126,110	1	1	2	2	2	8	2,02,727	3,03,022	1,00,295	
Churceakote -	47,074	23,959	0	14	10	1	13	1	30,110	43,604	13,494	
Khurreah Mittoo -	14,800	6,372	0	11	2	2	3	4	10,347	14,288	3,941	
Bilkabusa -	38,829	19,982	0	13	9	2	0	0	33,274	39,937	6,663	
Deogaon -	124,828	57,210	0	15	6	2	1	10	1,12,271	1,21,085	8,814	
MahowmutBrinjum.	14,304	4,886	0	13	1	2	6	5	9,686	11,727	2,041	
Mahal -	167,045	79,571	1	5	5	2	12	11	1,71,627	2,23,485	51,858	
Mahomedabad -	197,406	81,061	0	13	12	2	2	1	1,33,524	1,72,533	39,011	
Ahowla Zilheena	74,498	43,867	1	1	6	1	14	0	76,511	81,471	4,960	
Kowreah -	38,611	20,924	0	15	5	1	13	0	33,326	37,917	4,591	
Gopalpore -	30,703	16,467	0	14	4	1	10	9	20,583	27,550	6,967	
Sugree -	146,913	64,867	0	13	10	1	15	6	98,345	1,27,493	29,148	
Ghoosee -	105,194	45,211	0	14	0	2	1	6	63,987	94,651	30,664	
Nuthoopore -	75,707	38,647	0	11	1	1	8	3	42,640	58,650	16,010	
	1,357,579	629,234	1	0	0	2	2	6	10,38,958	13,57,415	3,18,457	

Ghazeeport District.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

344. The Settlement of this District not having been completed before Mr. Bird withdrew from the Superintendence of the Revenue Arrangements in the North-western Provinces, is not reviewed in his Report. The Settlement Proceedings were forwarded with the Revenue Narrative dated 1st April, 1844.

345. Ghazeeport being One of the Districts permanently settled by Mr. Duncan in 1790, the Proceedings of the Settlement Officers had of course less Reference to the Assessment than to other Arrangements which the Plan of Settlement was framed for the Purpose of carrying out.

346. The larger Portion of the District appears to have been allotted to Mr. Raikes, who was considered by the revising Authorities to have conducted his Proceedings with Judgment and Ability, and to have been peculiarly successful in removing the Objections and dispelling the Apprehensions of those who viewed the Government Measure as only preliminary to the Abolition of the permanent Settlement.

347. Although the Generality of the Estates in Ghazeeport had been permanently assessed, there were several which, from various Causes, had not been so. In these, a moderate Assessment was made, which it was proposed should be permanent, and which was fixed at the Rate of the Assessment on the surrounding permanently settled Villages.

348. Our Attention has been drawn to the Measures adopted in the Pergunnah of Burrehabad, from the peculiar Circumstances attending its past History.

349. It appears that the Lands in question, estimated to yield Rs. 25,000 annually, were many Years since alienated from the Benares Zemindarree and conferred in Rent-free Tenure on Bence Ram Pundit, a Native of Rank and Influence, high in the Confidence and Esteem of the Governor-General (Mr. Hastings), as a suitable Provision for himself and his Family, and in reward for good Service performed towards the Government.

350. The Document making the above Assignment is dated in May 1783, but whether the Court's Sanction was ever obtained to the Measure is stated by the Settlement Officer to be doubtful, still the Property is designated in Mr. Duncan's Settlement as "Bence Ram's Jagheer." At his Death, in 1792, it passed to his Brother, and at the Demise of the latter in 1810 it reverted to his Widow, and her Title to succeed was recognized by the Local Government. In the Year 1820 Doubts arose as to the Validity of the Tenure, and an Investigation was ordered, the Result of which was considered to establish that the Grant was only a Life Tenure, and that the Incumbent's Title was invalid. Under the Circumstances, however, the local Government determined, as an Act of special Grace, to continue the Grant for the Life of the Widow. On her Death, in 1837, Measures were adopted for bringing the Pergunnah under Assessment. A perpetual Settlement, commencing with the Year 1839, was accordingly made, at Rs. 42,099.

351. In our Despatch under Date the 23d August 1837, No. 10., we directed that the Grandnephews of the original Grantees should have the Option of engaging with Government for the Estate, whenever it might be resumed.

352. The Lieutenant-Governor, considering these Orders, and thinking it evident that the Government of the Day intended to make a permanent Provision for the Descendants of the Person on whom the Grant was bestowed, in acknowledgment of valuable Service to the State, refused to ratify the Settlement until the Orders in question should have been distinctly carried out, and the Persons pointed out by us should have been given the Option of engaging for the Estate.

Jounpore District.

353. The Papers relating to the Settlement of this Zillah were forwarded with the Revenue Narrative dated 11th December 1843, No. 7.

354. The District is described as differing little in Tenures, Climate, or any peculiar Characteristic from Ghazeeport. It was included in Mr. Duncan's

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

Settlement of 1790. The principal Objects of the recent Proceedings were the Assessment of such Tracts as had been declared liable to the Payment of Revenue, and the obtaining an accurate Record of the Village Institutions.

355. The Revision of the Settlement has extended to about One Fifth of the whole District. In the remaining Four Fifths the Settlement Officer was not authorized to interfere to the same Extent as in the Mehals which were open to Settlement.

356. The Survey met with some Opposition at first, but when the Intentions of Government became better known to the Zemindars, and their Apprehensions of the Subversion of the permanent Settlement were allayed, they became satisfied with the Proceedings, and a large Majority of them, even in the permanently settled Estates, came forward willingly to file their Administration Papers and Statements of Liabilities and Shares, and, in most Instances, bound themselves, of their own accord, to contribute to the 1 per Cent. Road Fund.

357. The Assessment of the District exhibits an Increase on the Amount of the Jumma of 1790 of Rs. 88,383; being an Increase of Rs. 20,925 on the actual Jumma of the District, with the Addition of Rs. 67,458, by the Transfer of Villages from Zillahs Azimgurh and Benares.

358. The only Case which attracts special Notice is that of Talookah Budlapore in Pergunnah Paree, in which the Arrangement effected by the Settlement Officer has been ultimately reversed by the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.

359. The Talookdar in the Instance in question was set aside by Mr. Chester with a Money Allowance, and the alleged Zemindars were admitted to direct Engagements as Sudder Malgoozars.

360. It appears, however, that the Sudder Board did not feel warranted in authorizing such a Measure, and took on themselves to modify Mr. Chester's Proceedings.

361. In this Case, as in most other similar Cases which have come under our Notice, the Purpose of the Settlement Officer was to protect from Oppression those under the Sway of the Party dispossessed.

362. The Board, however, directed that the Talookdar should be offered the Option of resuming Charge of the Talookah on the following Terms, viz., that the Village Zemindars should continue to pay the Jumma to the Talookdar as they had done previously to the Settlement; and that, so long as they continued to pay it punctually, it should not be in the Power of the Talookdar to dispossess them; that the Tenures of the Village Zemindars should be heritable and transferable as hitherto, and continue to be annually shown in the Putwarree's Accounts as heretofore. The Talookdar to have the Option on default to proceed by Distraint, or summary Suit against the individual Zemindars.

363. The Terms thus offered were rejected by the Talookdar, who claimed to be replaced in the Position in which he stood previously to Mr. Chester's Settlement, and denied that the Individuals who had been treated by that Officer as Village Zemindars really possessed that Character. The Suit accordingly proceeded, and was decided against the Talookdar by the principal Sudder Ameen before whom it was tried.

364. The same Terms were again offered to and again rejected by the Talookdar, who instituted an Appeal to the Court of Sudder Dewannee Adawlut. That Court reversed the Decision of the principal Sudder Ameen, and directed the Appellant to be replaced in the Position of Talookdar as before the Settlement, thus quashing, in the Opinion of the Lieutenant-Governor, all the Arrangements, both fiscal and judicial, which had been made by Mr. Chester. The Talookdar has accordingly been replaced in possession of the Talookah as an undivided Muhal, subject to the Payment of the original Mocerurree Jumma.

365. We observe that some Suits are still pending in which the Talookdar seeks to obtain Possession of Villages, which during her Exclusion, had been sold on account of Arrears of Revenue incurred by Parties installed by the Government, and it is apparent to the Government that whatever the Decision on these Suits may be, some Embarrassment must be encountered, that, on the one hand, if the Sales should be cancelled, the Auction Purchasers will set up a Claim to Compensation and Refund of Purchase Money, and on the other, if they should be upheld, the Talookdar will be deprived of a Portion of her Estate, and may demand a Reduction of the Jumma which she now pays.

Jounpore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.	REMARKS
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.					
Beealsee - -	30,627	21,315	1 8 2	2 2 9	45,419	46,269	850				Of the Amount here shown as the Increase on the previous Assessment of 1,197 F. S., the Sum of 67,458 Rupees is obtained by Transfer of Villages from Zillahs Azimgur and Benares.
Ghiswa - -	75,063	41,489	1 1 5	1 15 6	75,572	81,744	6,172				
Ghurwarah - -	88,035	54,477	1 7 0	2 5 3	1,24,607	1,26,763	2,156				
Haveylee Jounpore.	119,487	80,157	1 5 7	2 0 2	1,47,121	1,61,515	14,394				
Kurakut - -	90,177	57,394	0 15 7	1 8 6	83,916	88,033	4,117				
Kurrecat Dost -	18,821	13,369	1 2 4	1 9 10	20,177	21,555	1,378				
KurrecatMundah	12,526	9,227	0 12 9	1 1 3	10,039	9,966	- -	73			
Moongra - -	56,951	29,848	1 5 0	2 8 0	73,843	74,660	- -	4,183			
Murreehoo, &c. -	212,489	132,659	1 9 2	2 8 5	3,35,331	3,35,656	325				
Paree with Budlapore.	67,572	46,728	1 6 4	2 0 4	90,339	94,657	3,818				
Singra Mow - -	21,737	13,274	0 15 9	1 9 9	21,556	21,381	- -	175			
Ungle Mahoul -	170,500	90,502	0 15 0	1 12 4	92,873	1,60,169	67,296				
Zafrabad - -	4,985	3,476	1 12 9	2 9 3	16,656	8,964	- -	7,692			
Average Acre Rate of Assessment on the cultivated Area - Rs. 2. 1. 0.											
Total Amount of new Assessment - - -							Rs. 12,31,332				
Increase on previous Assessment - - -							88,383				

Benares District.

366. The Proceedings relating to the Settlement of this District had not been completed at the Period of Mr. Bird's Departure from India. They were forwarded with the Revenue Narrative dated 10th May 1846, No. 2, Paragraphs 239 to 248.

367. The Settlement Arrangements were originally divided between Two Officers, viz., Messrs. Chester and Wylly, the former having the Revision of the Pergunnahs to the North, and the latter of those to the South of the Ganges, but Mr. Wylly having been compelled by ill Health to relinquish his Duties, Mr. Chester had to complete the Settlement of the whole District. It comprises the Pergunnahs named in the Margin.*

368. In most of the Pergunnahs which underwent Revision there were very few Estates open to Re-assessment, the greater Number having been included in Mr. Duncan's permanent Settlement.

369. The Jealousy and Suspicion of the Zemindars were excited in this as in other permanently settled Districts, and were not so easily removed. They appear to have dreaded some covert Design of interfering with their permanently assessed Jummas. This is partly attributed by the officiating Commissioner (Mr. Morrieson) to the Haste with which the Arrangements were conducted. Had the Settlement been conducted more leisurely and deliberately, so that "what was going on in one Part might be thoroughly understood before another "was touched," he considers that the whole might have been carried out, not only without Opposition, but with the perfect Concurrence of the People.

370. With respect to the Soil, the Produce, and the Condition of the People, there are considerable Variations in the different Pergunnahs. In those close to the City of Benares and to the Sudder Station, the Soil is rich and well cultivated, while in others it is poor and the People far from prosperous.

371. The Report of the Settlement Officer is little more than a brief Abstract of his Proceedings. The officiating Commissioner therefore reviewed minutely the Circumstances of the entire District. The Zemindars of the permanently

* Atgawan.
Dehat Amonut.
Goozerat.
Jalhoopore.
Kote Astah.
Kusawar.

Kuttehuo.
Lohta.
Pundroha.
Sheopore.
Jooltaneepore.
Dhudwul.

Burrah.
Dhoos.
Moroyer.
Mahooanee.
Nurwun.
Ruthapoorce.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

settled Mehals were invited to file their own Statements of Shares and Liabilities, and to record their internal Village Arrangements, if they thought proper to do so. In those Cases in which they declined, their Estates were allowed to remain in statu quo. Generally speaking, the Zemindars it is said became ultimately satisfied of the Advantage of defining and recording their Rights, and the Majority consented to give 1 per Cent. over the Government Jumma for the Road Fund. In all Estates open to a Revision of Settlement, those Parties who could prove a Title to the Zemindarree were admitted. In all Cases in which it was found that the Jumma of the permanent Settlement had been set aside, and an Excess taken, the Government Demand was made to revert to the Standard of F. 1197.

372. In a financial Point of View, the Result of the Settlement is not, in the Opinion of Mr. Chester, at all favourable. As compared with the Assessment of F. 1197 there is an Increase of Rs. 22,498; as compared with the Demand of the Year preceding the Revision an Increase of Rs. 2,673. It is not therefore, he observes, from the increased Receipts that the Government should look for a Return for the Expense entailed by the Settlement Operations, but he expresses a Hope that when the Irritation and Uncertainty which has attended the unpopular Measures of Settlement shall have been removed, the People may be led to acknowledge that their Rights, instead of being infringed, have been secured and confirmed.

373. The Rajah of Benares advanced the following Claims in relation to the Pergunnah of Kote Astah :—

1st. To the Zemindarree of the whole Pergunnah. His Pretensions to this had been long since set aside, and various Decisions of the Civil Courts on the Subject had been recorded against him or his Predecessors.

2d. To the Rights of Istumrardar and Mocurrureedar of the Pergunnah. The preceding Rajah had held both these Titles, but the Allowances had been decided to be for Life only, and had ceased under the Board's Orders in 1837.

3d. To the Jagheer of Pindra, likewise possessed by the preceding Rajah, but not included in the Board's Orders.

374. This Claim also was declared invalid, but the Lieutenant Governor directed that, as a Favour, the Grant of the Jagheer should remain unimpaired to the Rajah during his Life.

375. In the Talookah Kernadary, Pergunnah Kuswa, Questions arose relative to the Claims of the resident Malgoozars of the Talookah to be allowed to enter into direct Engagements with Government for the Revenue as Zemindars.

376. At the Settlement F. 1197 a Clause of the Regulations provided for the Maintenance in possession of the Malgoozars, who were not to be dispossessed by the Rajah without the Sanction of the Officers of Government. It is stated, however that the Rajahs contrived, without Authority and in contravention of the above Regulation, to turn out of their Tenures nearly all the old Malgoozars. In the Suits instituted by the Malgoozars against the Rajah the Civil Courts decided in favour of the latter, on the ground of his having been in possession beyond Twelve Years.

377. It appears that for some Years the Benares Courts were of opinion that the Suits brought by the Malgoozars were not properly cognizable by them, and that afterwards when that Opinion was overruled, and fresh Suits were instituted, it was contended that the Period for hearing them was past, and they were finally rejected.

378. With reference to the above Facts, the Senior Member of the Board considered that the Government was pledged to maintain the Settlement, and that the Talookah should be brought under the Operation of Sec. 3, Regulation IX. of 1825.

379. On the other hand, the Junior Member (Mr. Boulderson) considered that there was no Plea for the direct Interference of Government in these Claims.

380. The Lieutenant-Governor was of opinion that the greatest Injustice had been inflicted on the Malgoozars, first by the Rajahs, who for Twenty-five Years had disregarded and defeated an Enactment specially passed at the permanent Settlement for the Protection of the Malgoozars, and secondly, by the Decisions which have debarred them from remedy, because they failed to sue in a Court which was closed to them. He further declared that Mr. Boulderson entirely mistook the Nature of the Question, the Rajah's Tenure not being Talockdarree,

Talookdarree, as assumed by him, but the whole proprietary Right having been declared to vest in the Village Zemindars, with whom the former Government had made a permanent Settlement. The Rajah he held was merely Tehsildar, and took advantage of his Position, from the Remissness of the local Authorities, to usurp a Right for which there was no Foundation in previous Custom or in Law.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

381. The financial Result of the Settlement shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 15. 5.

382. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 8,17,067. 9., being an Increase in the Jumma of F. 1197 Settlement of Rs. 20,005; and on the Jumma of the Year preceding the present Settlement of Rs. 1,681.

Mirzapore District.

383. This District had not been reported on at the Period of Mr. Bird's Resignation of his Duties connected with the Revenue Settlement.

384. The Proceedings were forwarded with the Revenue Narrative dated 10th May 1846, No. 2., Paragraphs 222 to 235. They relate to the Settlement of the Pergunnahs noted in the Margin.*

385. The Settlement was conducted by Mr. Raikes and Mr. Wynyard.

386. The Proceedings form the subject of Four different Reports, viz., Two by Mr. Raikes and Two by Mr. Wynyard.

387. Mr. Raikes's First Report has Reference exclusively to Talookah Mujwa. The Proceedings were not attended with any Difficulty in the Adjustment, and met with no Opposition or Expression of Dissatisfaction from those whose Interests were affected by them. The Talookah is situated in Kuswur, a Pergunnah belonging to the Benares Division, though fiscally subordinate to Zillah Mirzapore.

388. In the Zemindarree Estates, Mr. Raikes abstained from all Interference with the Demand of Government for Revenue, or of Zemindars on Assamees for Rent. His Object being merely to record, and not to alter, the State of Things, he confined his Measures to the Survey and Demarcation of Boundaries and such other detail Arrangements as the Form of Settlement rendered necessary. In all other Estates in the Talookah, Mr. Raikes's Measures showed an Increase of Rs. 851 on such Lands as, in F. 1197, were held Amanee, and Nankar, and Maafee. Owing to the superior Quality of the Soil, the Revenue was stated to be collected without Difficulty.

389. Mr. Raikes's Second Report relates to the Pergunnah of Kuntit, which is a very extensive one, consisting of Five Tuppahs, and covering an Area of 806,791 Acres, of which about One Third was under Cultivation.

390. The Case of the Rajah of Kuntit has some Points of Importance.

391. It appears that after a lengthened Possession of the Estates, the old Ghurwar Rajahs of Kuntit were expelled about Seventy-five Years since, by the Family of the Rajah of Benares. At the Commencement of the British Rule over the Territory, Mr. Hastings gave the Kuntit Rajah, then returned from Exile, "a Jagheer or Malikana, to the estimated Value of Rs. 37,500," being 10 per Cent. on the Land Revenues of the Pergunnah. In lieu of pecuniary Payment, the Talookah of Bijeypore was given as an Equivalent to the Rajah, who however never ceased to urge his Claim to the whole Zemindarree.

392. It is stated that he would have been restored by Mr. Duncan to all his Rights, at the Time of the permanent Settlement, but from the Fear of exciting the Jealousy of the Rajah of Benares.

393. At the Demise of the Person to whom the Malikana had been granted, it was resumed, and Talookah Bijeypore settled in Zemindarree, with his Son, at a permanent Jumma of Rs. 10,000. In making the present Settlement, Mr. Raikes admitted the Rajah to Zemindarree Engagement in all Cases of lapsed Farms, or where others had been unable to establish a prior Claim. There was still a Question as to the permanent Character of the Assessment of Rs. 10,000. The Commissioner was decidedly of opinion that the Settlement should be considered as fixed, and the Sudder Board also viewed it as perpetual, but it was stated that no Confirmation of it had been received from us, such

* Kuswar.
Kuntit.
Ahrowrah.
Bhaguret.

Houslee Chunar.
Barhur.
Agooree.
Singrowlee.

Bhoolee.
Bejey Gush.
Kurreet Sukkur.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
19th August 1851.

Confirmation being necessary in a Case in which the Jumma, instead of being regulated by the Assets, is in a Shape which renders it virtually a Jagheer.

394. Mr. Raikes drew Attention to the Fact, that in the Part of Mirzapore which had been subject to his Revision, the actual Assessment of Land had occupied but a small Portion of his Time and Attention, the general Moderation of the Government Demand having rendered the Work of Assessment very easy. His principal Labours had been the Investigation and Settlement of Zemindarree and Putteedarree Claims, and all Questions of disputed Rent or Succession, all of which he seems to have adjusted to the Satisfaction of the revising Authorities.

395. Mr. Wynyard's Two Reports on the remaining Portion of the District enter with much Minuteness into the History of the Pergunnahs which came under his Revision. Much of the Country is described as barren, wild, and unnealthy, with a Population devoid of Civilization or Knowledge of Husbandry. He encountered much Difficulty in fixing the Government Demand, from the Fact that, in many Parts, he found the System of making Payments in kind very prevalent, as likewise an almost insuperable Objection on all Sides, to the Introduction of Money Payments. He took the Opportunity of recording the Opinion which his Researches in the District had led him to form, that a permanent Settlement is unfair alike to the Government and the Proprietors. He adds, that the general Statement will support his Assertion, by exhibiting some Villages where the Government Jumma presses very severely, and others in which the Government Demand might be doubled or trebled, and an ample Profit be still left to the Zemindars.

396. The Result of the Settlement shows an average Acre Rate of Assessment on the Area in actual Cultivation of Rs. 1. 0. 2.

397. The aggregate Amount of the revised Jumma is Rs. 6,70,680, which gives a Decrease on the Jumma of the preceding Year of Rs. 1,600. 15. 4½, but an Increase over the Jumma of F. 1197 of Rs. 61,851.

Mirzapore.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.			Acre Rate on cultivated Area.			Jumma of F. 1197.	Proposed Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.				
Tuppah Oproudh	370,276	129,910	0	2	2½	0	6	4½	49,867	51,760	1,893	
Do. Chowrassa	370,276	129,910	0	7	7½	1	2	0	90,923	98,751	7,828	
Do. Chauway	207,642	87,869	0	13	3	1	9	6	64,489	80,381	15,892	
Suktay's Gush	96,767	52,092	0	2	8½	0	8	4½	14,722	13,918	-	804
Tuppah Kone	110,699	26,838	1	13	2½	2	7	1½	39,059	39,067	8	
Meyhwa	21,407	15,992	1	13	0	2	11	11½	48,200	49,052	852	
Orowra	47,612	10,446	0	5	5½	1	10	0½	12,820	16,980	4,160	
Bhugwut	85,270	28,163	0	6	7½	1	5	10½	31,931	38,397	6,466	
Houslee Chunar	29,800	22,118	2	0	4½	2	10	11½	42,381	54,380	11,999	
Barhur	296,619	107,932	0	2	11½	0	8	0½	52,216	49,196	-	3,020
Agoree	42,026	26,072	0	6	10½	0	8	6½	1,001			
Singrowlee	63,762	33,918	-	-	-	-	-	-	701			
Bhoolee	55,753	41,614	1	15	8	2	10	2½	97,183	1,06,764	9,581	
Bejey Gush	120,166	43,981	0	1	11	0	5	2½	11,059	14,348	3,289	
Kurreet Sukkur	27,404	19,114	2	0	3	3	3	3	53,979	57,686	3,707	

Average Acre Rate of Assessment on the cultivated Area - Rs. 1. 0. 2.
Total Amount of new Assessment - Rs. 6,70,680
Increase on Assessment of F. 1197 - 61,851

DELHI DIVISION.

398. The Proceedings relating to the Settlement of the Delhi Division, which comprises the Districts noted in the Margin*, were submitted to us with Paragraphs 79 to 92 of Agra Revenue Narrative, 10th May 1846, (No. 2.), with the Exception of those which had Reference to the Pergunnahs of Rewaree, Borub, Shahjehanpore, Ferozepore, and Poonahana, in the District of Goorgaon, which we received with the Paragraphs marginally noted.

Agra Revenue Narrative.

No. 3.	May 28, 1840,	Par. 63.
4.	Sept. 2, —	" 35 to 39.
3.	July 28, 1841,	" 37, 38.
4.	Oct. 5, —	" 29.
2.	May 10, 1846,	" 79 to 92.

* Paneeput.
Hurreeanah.

Delhi.
Rehtuc.

Goorgaon.

399. Mr.

399. Mr. Bird states that Over-assessment, Mismanagement, and a Neglect of sound administrative Principles have prevailed in this Division. He reviews the distinct Conditions of the irrigated and unirrigated Land. The first he describes as flourishing and populous, and he anticipates that the Condition of the Cultivators will steadily improve, since the Pressure of Over-assessment has been removed. He does not consider that there is any Prospect of increasing the irrigated Area.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

400. With regard to that Portion which depends altogether on the Seasons, and which consequently yields a very precarious Return to the Husbandman, he states, that extreme Moderation is and for a long Time will be necessary, as it is of "more Importance to give the People a Taste for Accumulation and the Arts of Life by leaving a large Proportion of the Produce raised in their own Hands, and forming them to Habits of Regularity and Punctuality by the punctual Collection of a very low Revenue, than to attempt to obtain from this Tract any Increase of Resources for the State;" and he therefore considers that no Increase can be demanded on a Revision of Settlement, unless Government should hereafter open a Canal fed from some other Stream than the Jumna in some other Quarter.

Zillah Paneeput.

401. This District comprises the Pergunnahs of Paneeput Bunyur and Paneeput Khadir, and Soonput Bunyur and Soonput Khadir. The Pergunnah of Paneeput had been settled by Mr. A. Fraser; but as the Work was considered to have been unsatisfactorily performed, the Completion of the Settlement was entrusted to Mr. Mill, and on the Occasion of his Illness transferred to Mr. Edmonstone.

402. Adverting to the Importance of an accurate Record of Measurement in a District like Paneeput, where, the Tenure being exclusively Byachara, the minutest Sub-divisions of Land exist, and having satisfied himself of the total Uselessness of the previous Record, and the Inefficiency of the Measures taken by Mr. Mill to remedy this Defect, Mr. Edmonstone applied for and received Permission to remeasure the whole District. Mr. Edmonstone appears to have bestowed more than ordinary Pains on the Survey; and on the Completion of his Operations he was convinced that he had "secured as correct a Record of Measurement, and as accurate a Detail of the Extent of each Biswadar's Possession and Rights," as it was possible to prepare.

403. Between the Results of Mr. Fraser's and Mr. Edmonstone's Measurement of the cultivated Area of Pergunnah Paneeput, there was a great Discrepancy, the former making it 22,129 Acres less than the latter. The Solution of this Discrepancy was not to be found either in general agricultural Disaster, Drought, or Mal-administration. Nor had there been any recent Excavation of Canals, by which a powerful Impulse could have been imparted to Agriculture. Cultivation had been temporarily abandoned on the Commencement of Mr. Fraser's Settlement, but not to a sufficient Extent to account for so great a Difference as 22,129 Acres. Mr. Edmonstone was therefore of opinion that One of the Two Measurements must have been incorrect; and taking into consideration the Precautions which he had adopted to ensure Accuracy, and the great Improbability of Proprietors receiving without Remonstrance or Appeal a Record so adverse to their Interests as his would have been, if incorrect, he did not consider it possible that an Error of this Nature could have been committed by him.

404. The Benefits of Irrigation are extensively bestowed on this District by the Jumna, Canals, and Wells, and with such numerous and diffused Means of counteracting Drought, a general Failure of Crops is not to be apprehended. In determining the Amount of Assessment to be borne by irrigated Land, Advertence was always made to the Mode in which it received its Supply of Water, and its Contiguity to or Distance from the Sources of Irrigation, because in Seasons of Drought, when Irrigation is most needed, those Lands which are remote from the Canals may find their Supply entirely cut off; besides which the Expense of keeping the Channels in an efficient State is increased proportionally to their increased Length.

405. The Mode in which the Assessment on irrigated Land was determined involves a Principle of some Importance. Mr. Edmonstone states his Impression, that Land "which lies within the possible Limits of Canal Irrigation and has

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

“ at any One Time been admitted to its Benefits, rather than the Area which was “ actually under Irrigation at the Time of Measurement, has been described.” This Course he considers to be exempt from any Risk of Error, and the only one that could with Propriety have been pursued, because “ Land which even in “ case of Emergency commands a sufficient Supply of Water, may fairly be rated “ as irrigated, whether at the Time of Survey it be so actually, or not.” In order to obviate the Severity which might result from this System, a Table of the Quantity of Land irrigated in each Village for Five Years from 1836-37 to 1840-41, was procured, and a Reference to this and to the Amount of each Crop found in the Village at the Time of Measurement, were deemed to afford efficient Checks.

406. The Jat Tribes, who are distinguished for their agricultural Skill and for the Punctuality with which they make their Revenue Payments, abound in this District, and their orderly and industrious Conduct exercises a beneficial Influence over their predatory and indolent Neighbours, the Goojurs. But this is only preceptible in those Parts of the Country which are within the Range of Canal Irrigation. The Construction of Wells is attended with so much Labour that it deters them from Exertion, and the Vicinity of the Jumna contributes to the Success of their predatory Pursuits.

407. Previously to the Commencement of Mr. Edmonstone's Settlement Twenty-five Estates had been let in Farm, but he did not find it necessary from Recusancy or any other Cause to augment their Number; of these Twenty-three were held by the Heirs of Col. Skinner. Some of the Estates in question, in which a proprietary Right was universally acknowledged, were continued to the Heirs for the whole Period of Settlement, at the especial Request of the Biswadars. In some Instances there were still Traces of a proprietary Body, whose claims were however rejected. Most of these Villages had been greatly improved by Col. Skinner, and Mr. Edmonstone, while he was of opinion that “ reasonable Expectations and partially acknowledged Rights in some* of these “ Villages would seem to call for some Concessions,” concurred in the Equity of the Principle which “ rejected their Claim to reap the Benefits resulting from the “ Exertions of another, and referred them to the Civil Court for Redress from “ their supposed Grievances.”

408. In this District there are no fixed Rates. The prescribed Method of forming them was not found practicable on account of Deficiency of Data. Mr. Edmonstone made personal Inspection the Basis of his Assessment, bearing in mind the fiscal History of each Mouzah. Lands irrigated from Wells were more lightly assessed than those irrigated from Canals, the Expense of constructing and the Labour of working the former being much greater.

409. All Claims to hold Land Rent-free had been investigated and determined Four or Five Years anterior to the Date of Mr. Edmonstone's Report, but small Patches under Ten Beegahs were again brought under Revision in his Settlement, and were finally disposed of, apparently with a Spirit of Liberality and Indulgence.

410. While the Divisions of Panceput and Soonput Bangur had rapidly advanced, the Two Khadir Divisions had made very little Progress in the Development of their agricultural Resources. This Mr. Edmonstone attributes to the former being cultivated by the Jats and other industrious Classes, while the latter were held by unskilful and indolent Communities. Other Causes are to be found in the Inadequacy of the agricultural Population, who are continually emigrating from the Khadir to the Bangur Divisions, and to the comparatively high Assessment in the Two latter Pergunnahs, which had tended to deteriorate their Condition and to encourage the Desertion, not only of Cultivators, but even of Proprietors and Coparceners.

411. In remarking on the Formation of a Record of Shares and Liabilities, Mr. Edmonstone brought to Notice a peculiar Tax denominated the “ Kumeenee Ba'ach,” levied on all non-agricultural Residents (with the Exception of Artificers and a few others), who are considered unprofitable Members of the Community, in an agricultural Point of View, as a Consideration for the Land occupied by their Houses, the grazing of their Cattle on the waste Lands, and other Privileges.

* Jatoul.
Sithanah.

Khreemagnal.
Mahomedpore.

Birejaul, &c.

412. The financial Results of the Settlement exhibit an average Acre Rate of Rs. 1. 4. 11. on the total Area, and of Rs. 2. 8. 0. on the Area in actual Cultivation. In the Three Pergunnahs of Soonput Bangur, Paneeput Khadir, and Soonput Khadir, it was found necessary to grant Reductions amounting in the aggregate to Rs. 52,542, but as an Increase of Rs. 20,594 has been obtained in Paneeput Bangur, the total Decrease on the previous Assessment is reduced to Rs. 32,668.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

Paneeput District.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.			Acre Rate on cultivated Area.			Previous Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.				
Paneeput Bangur	233,306	112,296	1	3	1	2	7	9	2,58,420	2,79,014	20,594	—
Soonput Bangur	137,650	100,400	1	13	0	2	7	9	2,52,130	2,49,526	-	2,604
Paneeput Khadir	137,659	65,518	1	3	11	2	9	9	2,02,105	1,71,009	-	31,096
Soonput Khadir	108,125	44,772	1	0	0	2	6	8	1,27,801	1,08,239	-	19,562
	616,740	322,986	1	4	11	2	8	0	8,40,456	8,07,788	20,594	53,262

Average Acre Rate on cultivated Area - - - Rs. 2. 8. 0.
Total Amount of new Assessment - - - Rs. 8,07,788
Decrease on previous Assessment - - - 32,668

Hurreeah or Hissar District.

413. The Settlement of the Pergunnahs noted in the Margin* was effected by Mr. S. S. Brown, and includes the whole District, with the Exception of Twenty-seven Mouzahs of Pergunnah Futtiabad, Pergunnahs Ruttee and Tohana, and Five Mouzahs of the Futtiabad, and Ten of the Burwala Pergunnah, lately recovered from the Sikh States.

414. Pergunnahs Mehin and Behwannee, formerly attached to the Rohtuc District, have been annexed to Hissar, but certain Alterations in their Jummas were contemplated, which had not been effected at the Date of Mr. S. S. Brown's Report.

415. Those Pergunnahs of this District now under Notice were reported on by Mr. Brown seriatim, and their geographical and local Peculiarities, and their agricultural Resources, as well as those Differences which were deemed of sufficient Importance to influence the Settlement, were duly noticed. In addition to these Pergunnah Reports, he recorded some general Observations on the Settlement of the whole District.

416. It appears that a Revision of the Settlement of this District as a whole was undertaken and effected by Mr. Brown in 1839, but it was not maintained. In the Year 1840-41, having had the Advantage of a personal Interview with the Senior Member of the Board of Revenue, he was empowered to revise the Settlement of the previous Year.

417. A Reference to the Record of past Years showed, that for a Series of Years rather more than One Fourth of the Government Demand had been remitted; and these Remissions were ascribed principally to unfavourable Seasons. Mr. Brown felt firmly convinced of the Impolicy of a System of Revenue, "which makes a Balance on account of Casualties the Rule, and a steady Enforcement of the Government Demand almost the Exception." Experience had demonstrated to him the injurious Effects of these Remissions on Industry and Improvement. Besides, such Remissions opened a wide Door to Malpractices between the People and the Officers of the Collectorate.

418. Taking into consideration these various Circumstances, the only efficacious Remedy appeared to Mr. Brown to be, a Reduction sufficient to meet the Variations of Season, so far as they can be subjected to ordinary Calculation;

* Hansi.
Toshaun.
Sewanie.

Burhul.
Burwala.
Hissar.

Agrohar.
Futtiabad.

(110 L.)

3 Y

and

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
15th August 1851.

and the Substitution of an Average of Profit and Loss, both for the State and the People, instead of nominal Demands and irregular Remissions. The average Collections of the last Ten Years appeared to present a fair and equitable Basis for the Formation of an Estimate of this Nature.

419. All the Villages in this District had, up to F. 1217, been classified and assessed as "Barrance," and consequently Government had not participated in the fiscal Advantages arising from Irrigation. In the present Settlement therefore, they come for the first Time under Consideration, as affording to Government the Right of an enhanced Demand on account of Advantages independent of and extraneous to the natural Fertility of the Soil.

420. Care appears to have been taken to form a just Estimate of the Quantity of Land receiving the Benefits of Irrigation; and the numerous Advantages accruing therefrom appear to have been allowed their due Weight in determining the Government Demand. In the Assessment of individual Villages, the Facilities for and Mode of their Irrigation were taken into consideration; and as some could command the Means of Irrigation for all, and some only for particular Crops, Mr. Brown was of opinion that it would obviously have been unfair to have applied a uniform Rate to these Villages indiscriminately.

421. The Village "Ba'ach" appears to have been formerly determined here according to the usual Consideration of the Extent of Rukbah and Grazing Land, and of the Number of Cattle; but, as numerous Assamees had deserted Agricultural for Pastoral Pursuits, the "Ba'ach" fell very heavily on the remaining Inhabitants. With a View to the equal Distribution of the Rate among all the Members of the Community, whether Cultivators or not, Mr. Fraser, in the first Ten Years Settlement, had introduced very generally the "Choulucha"* System. This Change was considered to be entirely in favour of the Cultivators; and it was expected that a great Impulse would have been imparted to Agriculture; but the reverse appears to have been the Case. The Result is stated to have disconnected the Cultivators from their former Holdings, and to have given general Dissatisfaction, except to those Biswahdars in the more populous Villages, whose "Ba'ach" the Measure had lightened, or who had availed themselves of the Opportunities it afforded of misappropriating the Village Funds.

422. Mr Brown accordingly prohibited the "Choulucha," and limited the "Kummeenee" Tax to the Rate prevailing elsewhere. The Arrangement of Details was left entirely to the Decision of the Communities, and in every Instance the Majority were in favour of a Return to the original Method of internal Assessment, which was ultimately effected.

423. The Settlement of "Zemindarree Estates" is separately commented on by Mr. Brown, by which Term he implies "the Mouzahs acquired by non-resident Proprietors by virtue of prior Occupation and continued Possession, and to which their Title has now been regularly declared and recognized." These Villages, of which Colonel Skinner was the principal Holder, are managed by means of Agents; and Mr. Brown considering that it would be unfair to assess them on an equal Scale with the Mouzahs occupied by the resident Khood Khosht Biswahdars (the Payment of Malgoozaree having been undertaken when the District was almost a Waste), a Third was struck off the Jumrabundees at the first Revision of the Settlement, and a further Reduction allowed at the second, proportioned to that granted in the Pergunnahs in which the Lands were situated.

424. Although Mr. Brown felt persuaded that the Force of Habit would lead the People to expect and to endeavour by every Artifice to obtain Remission, notwithstanding the great Reduction that had been made, he was of opinion that a steady Enforcement of the Government Demand from Year to Year would lead to its satisfactory Realization, excepting in Seasons of general Failure and Famine, when it might still be necessary to grant Remissions, but that the Reduction would cover all partial Failures.

425. The Acre Rate on the total Area in this District is Rs. 0. 3. 7½, and that on the cultivated Area Rs. 0. 8. 9; and the total Reduction on the former Jumma is Rs. 1,08,479.

* "A Levy proportioned to the Government Demand on each Field, Head of Cattle, Hearth, and Poll of the Cultivators, with a similar Levy, at fixed Rates, on the Hearths and Polls of the Non-cultivators."

*Hurreeanah or Hissar District.*Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Former Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Hansi -	46,096	148,131	0 5 8	0 15 6	1,77,998	1,63,974	- -	14,024
Toshaun -	257,612	152,254	0 3 3	0 5 3	88,153	52,285	- -	35,868
Sewanie -	109,596	59,406	0 2 10	0 5 0	35,299	19,398	- -	15,901
Burhul	28,917	17,291	0 2 9	0 4 7	8,605	5,000	- -	3,605
Burwala -	172,774	41,318	0 2 8	0 9 2	30,190	23,650	- -	6,540
Hissar -	363,938	187,694	0 3 5	0 6 2	1,14,026	79,623	- -	34,403
Agrohar -	167,516	44,104	0 2 7	0 6 11	18,865	20,175	1,310	-
Futtiabad -	112,326	45,949	0 2 7	0 5 4	15,543	16,095	552	-
	1,677,975	696,147	0 3 7½	0 8 9	4,88,679	3,80,200	1,862	1,10,341

Acre Rate on cultivated Area - - - - - Rs. 0. 8. 9.
 Total Amount of new Assessment - - - - - Rs. 3,80,200
 Total Decrease on former Assessment - - - - - 1,08,479

Delhi District.

426. This District was originally settled by Mr. Taylor; but in consequence of his appearing to have over-estimated its Capabilities, it was made over to Mr. M. R. Gubbins, and it appears to have been reported on by him in May 1843; but as several Alterations were made, and as some Villages had lapsed, the Statements revised and corrected were submitted by his Successor, Mr. Lawrence, in a Report dated in August 1844.

427. The Soil of this District is represented to be of a decidedly inferior Quality. Upwards of One Third of its Area is uncultivated, and to a great Extent unculturable, from the Existence of rocky Hills, wide Ravines, and the Débris of ancient Habitations in the Southern Pergunnah, and from the Lands in the Northern Pergunnah being strongly impregnated with Reh. In Facilities for Irrigation, and in the Industry and Skill of the Population, the Northern possesses great Advantages over the Southern Pergunnah.

428. Government having sanctioned Advances for the Repair of the hydraulic Works at Chutterpore and Kirkee, in the Southern Pergunnah, much Benefit as expected to accrue from the Measure. It was anticipated that not only would a large Tract of Land, till then arid and uncultivated, be thoroughly irrigated, and brought to bear Rubbee Crops, but that great Encouragement would be held out to the Landholders to undertake Works of a similar Nature.

429. In the Southern Pergunnah, the large Tract of Dehir Land is entirely dependent on the flooding of the Nujuf-gherr Jheel, whose chief Channel of Supply is the great Sahibee Nuddee, the Waters of which have of late Years been remarkably deficient. The Drainage of the Jheel was undertaken in 1837, completed in 1839, and the Expense of the Work, and the Advantages that would accrue from it, were variously estimated. Captain De Bude in 1833 anticipated the Redemption of 40,000 Beegahs of Land, yielding a Revenue of Rs. 1,20,000 by an outlay of Rs. 40,000.

430. Although there were not sufficient Data to determine with Precision the Amount of Money actually expended on this Work, yet as the Treasury Accounts showed an Advance of Rs. 58,154 to the executive Officers on this Account, Mr. Lawrence concluded that this was the Amount actually expended on the Drainage. The only Doubt in the Minds of those who framed the Estimates of the Work appears to have been, whether it was practicable to drain the Jheel sufficiently to admit of Cultivation before the Season for sowing had passed away. But Experience had so far shown, that Deficiency, rather than Superabundance, of Water, had prevented any considerable Extension of Cultivation.

431. Mr. Lawrence found on personal Inspection that Rubbee Crops were only grown along the Banks of the Watercourse or Cut which carries off the Water on a Strip of Land about 100 Yards broad; that on the high Land on either Side, at the Distance of a Quarter of a Mile, inferior Crops were grown;
 (110 i.) 3 Y 2 and

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

and that the intervening Space was fallow; and it was only in very rainy Seasons that the full Benefit of the Government Outlay could be realised.

432. It appears from Mr. Lawrence's Report that the Amount of Land recovered was only 9,863 Beggahs, and that only Rs. 8,136 had been added to the Revenue; but that, even with this comparatively unfavourable Result of more sanguine Expectations, the Outlay would be repaid in Five Years; and in addition to the actual Gain must be considered the Prevention of Loss which had occurred in Seasons of heavy Rain by the Inundation of the undrained Jheel.

433. There are 346 Government Villages in this District, but only 320 bearing a Jumma of Rs. 3,57,852 were reported for Sanction; the remaining 26 bearing an Assessment of Rs. 15,709, remaining liable to Alterations of Assessment. Besides these there are 66 Jagheer Villages, with an Area of 51,683 Acres, of which 27 are for Life, and 39 in perpetuity.

434. The System of Farming appears to have prevailed very largely in this District; 132 of the Government Villages having been settled with Farmers. Mr. Lawrence remarks that, when once this System has been thoroughly introduced, it requires a long Course of Years of Attention to supersede it, the Ignorance and Supineness of the Villagers so involving them in Debt as to render them unable, even when willing, to resume the Management of their Lands. He objects to the Farming System, that the Farmers think only of working out a profitable Speculation, without regard to the general Improvement of the Country.

435. Rather more than One Third of the Tenures* in this District are Zemindarree, the Remainder being Byachara. The great Proportion of the former is, in Mr. Lawrence's Opinion, ascribable to these Circumstances:—

1st, the Seat of Government having been formerly in the Vicinity, and the Means consequently given to Persons of Influence to oust the ancient Proprietors, and appropriate their Lands; 2dly, the extensive System of Farming; and 3dly, the Occupation of deserted Land by influential Persons.

436. He contrasts the Advantages of the Zemindarree and Byachara Systems of Tenure; and while he admits that the Circumstances attending large Byachara Communities render them difficult to manage, he considers them to be well adapted to the Disposition and Habits of the People.

437. Mr. Lawrence confidently recommended his Settlement for Confirmation, adducing in proof of its Moderation the Fact that Land had greatly risen in Value since its Completion.

438. The financial Result of the Settlement gives an Acre Rate of Rs. 2 0 6. on the cultivated Area. There is an Increase in the Northern Pergunnah of Rs. 4,351, and a Decrease in the Southern of Rs. 12,560, making a total Decrease of Rs. 8,209. Mr. Lawrence was of opinion that, independently of the injurious Effects produced by Over-assessment, the Reductions that had been made in Pancepur, Rohruc, and Goorgaon, rendered this Decrease unavoidable. He observes, "an Assessment moderate in itself will become " severe, if disproportioned to that paid in adjoining Zillahs."

Delhi District.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area.	Cultivated Area.	Acre Rate on total Area.	Acre Rate on cultivated Area.	Former Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.				
Northern Delhi -	115,036	92,354	1 7 11*	2 5 5	2,11,697	2,16,048	4,351	—
Southern Delhi -	155,628	82,280	0 14 7	1 11 7	1,54,461	1,41,901	- -	12,560
	301,764	174,634	1 3 0	2 0 6	3,66,158	3,57,949	4,351	12,560
Area Acre Rate on cultivated Area					-	-	Rs. 2 0 6.	
Total Amount of new Assessment					-	Rs. 3,57,949		
Decrease on previous Assessment					-	8,209		

* Byachara, 222. Zemindarree, 124.

Rohruc

Rohtuc District.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

439. The Pergunnahs* comprising this District were settled and severally reported on by Mr. M. R. Gubbins, Mr. C. Gubbins, and Mr. J. B. Mill. The Dates of the Reports of these Three Officers embrace a Period of nearly Four Years.

440. The Reports of the Settlement Officers appear to be carefully drawn up, and contain full Information respecting the Resources and Peculiarities of the District.

441. The clustering of Villages and Tuppahs appears to prevail throughout nearly the whole District. According to this Practice a Number of Villages are united into a Body Corporate, under the controlling Power of One Tuppadarree Village, and all Villages around it are generally included in the Tuppah, without reference to Caste. It is supposed that these Unions had their Origin in those disturbed Times when Connexions of this Nature were necessary to the Safety and Protection even of large Communities. Their Influence over the People is still in a great Measure retained, and in Cases of Feud the Cause of One Village is generally espoused by the whole Community. The Tuppahs of Mokra and Chunda in Rohtuc Behree appear to be very large, the former containing Twenty-seven Villages and the latter Twenty-two.

442. No Rent Rates have been assumed, nor indeed does it appear that any exist in this District. The Methods by which the Revenue Rates were calculated, are detailed by each of the Settlement Officers. The usual Considerations of the Nature of the Soil and the Habits of the People, together with the Information gained by personal Inspection, appear to have formed the Basis on which they were determined.

443. The only Points requiring special Notice relate to the Assessment of irrigated Land. Pergunnah Mchun Behwanee is entirely destitute of Irrigation, to the Introduction of which its physical Features appear to present great Obstacles. The ordinary Practice of taking into consideration the Nature of Soil, and the Facilities for Irrigation, appears to have been observed in determining the Amount of Assessment to be borne by irrigated Land in the Pergunnahs of Rohtuc Behree and Gohana. But in Pergunnah Khurkunda Mandoutee, an uniform Rate has been laid on all irrigated Land. Personal Observation in the Pergunnah had led Mr. C. Gubbins to consider this the best Plan.

444. The Grounds on which Mr. Gubbins formed this Opinion are briefly these:—Irrigation tends greatly to equalize the productive Powers of the various Denominations of Soils, the light Soils being very much better adapted to it than the clayey. Indeed, Mr. Gubbins observes that he has seen the finest Wheat, and even Sugar-cane, growing on Land classed as “Bhoor.”

445. There are Two Modes of Irrigation, “Tor” and “Dal.” Irrigation by the former Mode, which is only practicable in low Lands, is effected by merely breaking the Dou, and allowing the Water to drain into the Fields. The latter Mode, which is used in the higher Lands, consists in throwing Water with the small Leathern Bucket into the Field from a lower Level. The Superiority of “Tor” Irrigation, under ordinary Circumstances, is obvious; but no Variation in the Rates of Assessment was deemed necessary by Mr. Gubbins on this account, because as the Level of the Water of the Canal is not uniform, those Villages which are ordinarily watered by “Tor” may, in Seasons of Deficiency, be obliged to resort to “Dal.”

446. And, in conclusion, he observes: “Again, Villages which are distant from the Canal incur much greater Expense in digging and keeping in repair their Watercourses, from their greater Length, besides that every Fall in the Level of the Canal causes great Difference in the Quantity of Water which can reach their Village, and occasionally the Supply is stopped altogether.

* Rhotuc Behree.
Gohana.

Khurkunda Mandoutee.
Mchun Behwanee.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

447. The Assumption appears to be, not that all irrigated Land is equal in productive Power, but that it is difficult, if not impossible, to make minute Distinctions, applicable to the Character and Circumstances of different Soils.

448. The average Rate per Acre on the total Area of the District is Rs. 0. 11. 11, and that on the cultivated Area is Rs. 1. 5. 2; the low Rate prevailing in Mehun Behwanee (Rs. 0. 12. 6.) is ascribable to the Absence of Irrigation. In every Pergunnah a Reduction of Jumma has been granted, exhibiting an aggregate Decrease of Rs. 1,38,617.

District of Rohtuc.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.						
Rohtuc Behree -	286,810	178,911	0 10 1	1 0 2	2,51,830	1,80,520	--	71,310		
Khurkunda Mandoutee	157,906	111,023	1 3 5	1 12 1	1,92,160	1,73,194	--	18,966		
Gohana -	206,876	116,545	1 1 2	1 14 5	2,33,011	2,21,597	--	11,414		
Mehun Behwanee -	193,077	67,986	0 4 5	0 12 6	89,932	53,005	--	36,927		
	844,666	474,465	0 11 11	1 5 2	7,66,933	6,28,316	--	1,38,617		

Average Rate per Acre on the cultivated Area - Rs. 1. 5. 2.
Total Amount of new Assessment - Rs. 6,28,316
Total Decrease on former Assessment - 1,38,617

Goorgaon District.

449. This District comprises the Pergunnahs noted in the Margin.*

450. The Pergunnahs of Rewarree, Borah, Shahjehanpore, and Jharsa, were settled by Mr. Lawrence. The Remainder were settled by various Persons, but the final Arrangements were effected, and Reports drawn up, by Mr. G. C. Barnes.

451. General Satisfaction appears to have been given to the Native Community, and unqualified Approbation received from the superior Authorities, by the Settlement of Rewarree, Borah, and Shahjehanpore. The Pergunnahs of Ferozepore and Poonahana were settled and reported on by Mr. Gubbins in 1838. Great Difficulties appear to have presented themselves to his Operations. Numerous Checks were imposed on the Aumeens, in order to ensure Accuracy in the Kusreh Measurement, but Attempts have been made to falsify the Kusrehs, and Instances incurred of the Aumeens being compelled, where these Attempts had not been successful, to refund the Bribes which they had received from the Village Communities. Great Pains appear to have been taken by Mr. Gubbins, in the Classification of the Soils, and in the Endeavour to ascertain the Rent Rates, that his Revenue Rates might be deduced from them, in accordance with the Recommendation contained in the Board's Circular; but he was ultimately compelled to relinquish the Attempt.

452. In the Formation of his Revenue Rates, he therefore adopted as the Basis of his Calculations the Rates of Collection that had formerly prevailed, the Rates prevailing in adjoining Pergunnahs, and his own Experience and Observation. According to this Process the average Acre Rate on the uncultivated Area in Ferozepore was Rs. 3, and that in Poonahana, Rs. 2, per Acre, and an Increase of Jumma was obtained, amounting in the aggregate to Rs. 6,334.

Ferozepore - 1,705 Decrease.
Poonahana - 8,039 Increase.
Total - 6,334 Increase.

• Rewarree.	Jharsa.	Palce Pakul.
Borah.	Hutteen.	Pulwul.
Shahjehanpore.	Noh.	Hesdul.
Ferozepore.	Sonut.	Tooroo.
Poonahana.		

453. But

453. But Experience soon demonstrated that the Capabilities of these Pergunnahs had been over-estimated; Mr. Gubbins was therefore induced to revise his Proceedings in 1841. He found these Pergunnahs over-assessed to an Extent for which he was quite unprepared, and both Pergunnahs had undergone a manifest Deterioration since the Settlement. In estimating the natural Advantages and the artificial Means of increasing them, possessed by Ferozepore, sufficient Allowance had not been made for Casualties of Season. It was found necessary to make a Reduction of Rs. 30,675, which, however, left the average Acre Rate* still higher than the Rates in the conterminous Pergunnahs. In making this Reduction, a Reduction was also made in the Assessment of Poonahana, of Rs. 17,691.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.

13th August 1851.

454. Although Mr. Gubbins frankly acknowledges that the Assessment originally determined on was, under any Circumstances, too high, yet the calamitous Drought of F. 1245 and other natural Causes, appear to have greatly contributed to its Severity.

455. Pergunnah Pulwul furnishes another Example of Over-assessment. The first Settlement of this Pergunnah under Regulation IX. of 1833 was made by Mr. J. Lawrence, and as it was that Officer's first Attempt, the Board of Revenue purposely withheld it from the Notice of Government until Time should have enabled them to pass a correct Judgment on it. The Necessity of some Reduction in the Government Demand soon became evident, and the Work of Revision was entrusted to Mr. G. C. Barnes. He states that Mr. Lawrence's Settlement, which had been based on the most sanguine Expectations, had been unfortunately preceded by a Year † of great Drought, which operated very severely on this District, from its limited Means of Irrigation. The Two succeeding Years, far from having been calculated to abate the Evil, are stated to have been very unfavourable, and to have baffled all Efforts towards Improvement. Under such Circumstances the Pressure of high Taxation had completely overwhelmed all Classes, except the industrious Jats, whose Preponderance in this Pergunnah has been mainly conducive to the Collection of the Revenue. The impoverished Condition of the Pergunnah left Mr. Barnes no Alternative but a summary Reduction, subject to future Modification, and he accordingly reduced the Jumma by Rs. 41,069.

Former Jumma -	2,27,364
Revised Jumma -	1,86,295
	<u>41,069</u>

456. In their Letter, submitting the Correspondence to the Lieutenant Governor, the Board observed: "The unhappy System of high and always increasing nominal Assessments, with constant, capricious, ill-considered Remissions, has so fixed itself on the Minds of the District Officers, that they have in most Cases shrunk from proposing such an Amount of Reduction as is necessary to form an Assessment which the People can regularly pay and prosper; while, from the general Want of Record of all past Proceedings, the Board have hitherto been unable on any good Grounds to do more than offer general Exhortation to Moderation."

457. In a subsequent Revision of the Settlement of this Pergunnah, it was found necessary to reduce the Government Demand to Rs. 1,72,737, which exhibits a total Decrease on the Assessment formerly paid of Rs. 62,136. This Relief the Board did not consider greater than the impoverished Condition of the People demanded, and it was hoped that it would prove sufficient to enable them to recover from their Distress. The Efforts of the Jats to stand up against the Pressure of heavy Taxation were most praiseworthy, and they are stated to have paid their Revenue, "from the Hire of their Carts, their personal Labour, and every Source from which they could derive any Aid."

458. Pergunnah Jharsa was formerly contained in the Jagheer of the Begum Sombre. An Increase of Rs. 3,343 was made in its Assessment; still the actual Burden on the People was lessened. Under the Administration of the Begum they were subject to Extortions by the Amils, by whom the Estate

* Rs. 2. 7. 3. per cultivated Acre.

† 1245, P. S.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

was managed. Large Contributions were also received by the Headmen, under the Plea of Village Expenses. "The Consequence is," says Mr. Lawrence, "that in lapsed Jagheers, the Headmen regret, but the Community rejoice, at the Change of Administration."

459. The District contains very fine Salt Beds, in the Assessment of which some Discrepancy appears to exist. In Pergunnah Jharsa the Manufacture of Salt is considerable, and, it is calculated, might yield an annual Revenue of 7,000 or 8,000 Rupees; but the Government Demand has been relinquished. On the other hand, in Pergunnah Noh, Mr. Barnes states, that, although Salt Lands were never avowedly assessed, yet they paid their Revenue at a higher Standard than other Lands, and that he had therefore fixed on them a Rate of Assessment amounting to about Rs. 3. 8. 0. per Acre.

460. The average Rate per Acre in this District is on the total Area Rs. 0. 15. 0, and on the cultivated Area Rs. 1. 11. 0. The present Jumma is Rs. 10,88,485, and the former Rs. 12,27,748, showing a total Decrease of Rs. 1,39,263.

Zillah Goorgaon.

PERGUNNAH.	Total Area in Acres.	Cultivated Area in Acres.	Acre Rate on total Area.		Acre Rate on cultivated Area.		Former Jumma.	Revised Jumma.	Increase.	Decrease.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.						
Rewarree -	194,403	117,080	0 10 6 $\frac{3}{4}$	1 10 2	1,92,353	1,91,597	-	-	756	
Borah -	28,814	18,747	0 15 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 7 7 $\frac{1}{2}$	24,892	27,676	2,784	-	-	
Shahjehan- pore.	10,747	6,897	0 13 9 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 5 6 $\frac{1}{2}$	8,931	9,287	356	-	-	
Ferozepore -	101,039	51,524	1 4 0	2 7 3	1,58,745	1,26,375	-	-	32,370	
Poonahana -	74,551	38,035	0 12 7	1 8 8	68,890	58,533	-	-	10,357	
Jharsa -	97,814	63,839	0 11 8	1 1 9	67,538	70,881	3,343	-	-	
Hutteen -	115,008	69,112	0 12 0	1 5 5	1,23,051	92,283	-	-	30,768	
Noh -	70,941	43,810	1 0 4	1 10 5	91,698	72,417	-	-	19,281	
Sonut -	156,198	68,902	0 10 9	1 7 10	1,33,261	1,68,672	35,411	-	-	
Paké Pakul -	29,690	13,384	0 13 8	1 14 3	30,684	25,304	-	-	5,380	
Pulwul -	192,296	101,241	0 14 3	1 11 4	2,34,873	1,72,737	-	-	62,136	
Hoodul -	60,667	34,392	0 14 10	1 10 2	70,857	56,265	-	-	14,592	
Teoroo -	25,533	17,555	0 10 4	0 15 0	21,975	16,458	-	-	5,517	
Total -	1,157,701	644,568	0 15 0	1 11 0	12,27,748	10,88,485	41,894	-	1,81,157	

461. In submitting these Proceedings to the Lieutenant-Governor, the Board remarked that they completed the Settlement of the whole Division, with a few Exceptions; and that it would be quite unnecessary for them to furnish in this the same detailed Explanation that they had been in the habit of rendering in other Divisions. They observed that, after long continued and diligent personal Researches, and Appeals to all accessible Sources of Information, they considered these Settlements moderate and equitable, and confidently recommend them for Confirmation. The Soil they described as naturally sterile, and the Population as emigrant, poor, and miserable. They made a few general Observations respecting this Division, and briefly noticed the Arrangements that had been made in each District; but their Remarks can be viewed as little more than a Confirmation or Recapitulation of those that are to be found in the Report of Mr. Bird, or in the Pergunnah Reports of the Settlement Officers. The Services of Mr. S. S. Brown, Mr. G. F. Edmonstone, Mr. J. Lawrence, Mr. G. C. Barnes, and Mr. M. R. Gubbins, were brought to the favourable Notice of Government.

462. The Lieutenant-Governor, after having personally visited every District in this Division except Bhutte, which was about to be separated from it, was persuaded that the new-formed Settlements were, with few Exceptions, light and equitable, and such as might, in ordinary Seasons, be collected, leaving a fair

fair Profit to the Malgoozars. In some of the Barance Villages, he observed, "Seasons of Drought and Scarcity, especially if continuing for successive Years, will cause Distress, and need Consideration; but again, in good Years, the Profit from these Villages is very large; and it may be fair, except in great Calamities, to realize, in good Years, what may have been suspended in bad Years."

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

463. Adverting to the former Existence of the Want of Method in the Administration of the Territory, and acknowledging the Efforts of the Board to introduce greater Regularity, the Lieutenant-Governor was of opinion that the Time had arrived when a further Step might be taken in advance, and some Amendment be made in the Rules introduced by the Board into the Delhi Territory on the 20th January 1837, especially in those which related to the Collection of the Revenue. The Board were called on to report specially on this Subject.

464. The Opinions entertained by the Lieutenant-Governor of the Soil and the People were very opposite to those expressed by the Board. The Soil, he thought, was fertile, if it could by any Means be irrigated; the Villages, substantial and well built; and the Inhabitants "as fine a Body of well clothed, independent, manly Peasantry, as any Country can produce."

465. The Lieutenant-Governor recorded some Observations on the Settlement of each District.

466. The Fairness of the Assessment of the Canal Villages, he observed, was entirely contingent on the Maintenance of a Supply of Water in the Canal, equal to that which existed at the Time when the Government Demand was fixed. This had not hitherto been the Case, and all the Effects of Drought had been experienced. Balances had occurred in some Villages, which he proposed to meet by Remissions, until it should be ascertained by Experience whether the Efforts that were being made to keep up a constant Supply in the Canal would make the Realization of the Government Demand easy and fair, or whether there was a permanent Error in the Settlement.

467. In noticing the Settlement of the Rohtuc District he recorded some interesting Remarks on Coparcenary Communities.

468. He deemed the Report of Mr. Edmonstone, on the Paneeput District, to be complete as far as it went, and creditable to his Intelligence and Judgment, but incomplete, in so far as it had omitted to notice the large Istumraree Tenures of the Kurnaul Mundals, which were given by Lord Lake in exchange for a Jagheer in Moozuffurnuggur. He considered that it was the Duty of the Government to maintain in their Integrity the Rights of the Proprietary Community. Captain Abbott was accordingly deputed to survey the Istumraree Holdings, with a View of determining the Amount payable by each Member of the Community.

469. The remaining Observations relate to Irrigation by means of Bunds, and the Regulation of the Waters of the Badshapore Nullah.

470. We have now reviewed the Settlement Proceedings in the Six Divisions of Meerut, Agra, Rohilcund, Allahabad, Benares, and Delhi, which are all that are separately reported on by Mr. Bird; we shall reserve for future Notice the Two remaining Divisions of Saugor and Kumaon.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

CONCLUDING REMARKS.

471. To our concluding Remarks on the Settlement Proceedings, which have formed the Subject of the preceding Examination, we shall prefix the following Statements of the Results of the Settlements, compiled down to the Date of the last received Administration Reports:—

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES. REVENUE ADMINISTRATION REPORTS.

Land Revenue No. 1.

Year.	Demands.			Collections.			Balances.			Nominal.			Particulars of Balances.—Real.								
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	In train of Li- quidation.			Doubtful.			Irrecoverable.		
1838-39	4,55,48,990	14	9	3,63,02,151	6	9	69,44,863	2	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1839-40	4,12,06,686	0	0	3,56,52,810	0	0	49,94,192	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1840-41	3,76,42,610	0	0	3,47,04,023	0	0	29,38,537	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1841-42*	4,16,19,038	7	9½	3,88,33,573	13	3½	27,85,464	10	5½	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1842-43	4,39,18,901	5	1½	4,04,88,126	12	5½	34,30,774	8	7½	23,34,748	2	10½	4,97,869	11	4½	53,695	9	0½	5,39,461	1	3½
1843-44	4,34,94,154	6	11½	4,11,05,143	11	8½	23,89,010	11	3	14,01,631	7	0½	4,60,981	5	5½	1,21,274	6	5½	4,05,123	14	8
1844-45	4,34,53,828	6	5½	4,12,87,443	1	5½	21,71,335	5	0	13,65,523	13	1½	3,05,766	13	3	1,63,295	4	1½	3,36,799	6	5½
1845-46	4,30,18,371	2	5½	4,20,09,415	7	4½	10,14,955	11	0½	4,86,770	0	0½	1,67,778	4	5½	56,510	12	1	3,03,896	10	5
1846-47	4,30,77,005	13	10½	4,23,21,220	13	9½	7,55,785	0	0½	5,03,909	9	5½	1,04,471	5	0	57,156	5	5	70,247	12	2
1847-48	4,29,21,668	3	2½	4,24,85,323	15	5½	4,35,844	3	8½	2,24,457	6	0	95,291	4	7	53,952	8	2	62,143	0	11

* Complete with the Exception of the Saugor Division, the Reports from which "the Board thought it needless to wait for, as the Officers are newly appointed, and cannot be expected to furnish them."—Report, dated 24th March 1843.

Land Revenue No. 2.

Years.	Sales.		Farms.		Transfers.		Kham Holdings.			Dustucks.
	Mchala.	Jumna.	Mchala.	Jumna.	Pattas.	Jumna.	Number.	Demand.	Balance.	
1838-39										
1839-40										
1840-41										
1841-42*										
1842-43	226	2,06,140	341	2,59,686	365 & 203 Shares.	63,966	295	3,59,445	91,241	4,01,151
1843-44	221	1,26,718	266	2,50,655	271 & 28 Shares.	75,365	190	2,62,689	58,088	3,78,597
1844-45	121	1,14,504	214	1,77,066	375 & 39 Shares.	67,500	184	2,01,287	58,272	3,48,790
1845-46	97	70,744	127	1,26,509	347	73,304	136	1,48,863	24,746	2,92,682
1846-47	115	93,601	129	1,03,420	239	47,023	162	1,40,739	20,126	2,58,235
1847-48	52	55,246	41	40,616	108	26,366	149	97,745	15,013	2,35,127

* This Statement is left blank in the Revenue Proceedings.

472. The Results presented by these Statements are highly satisfactory. Making Allowance for the large Amount of nominal Balances included in the Jummas and Balances of the earlier Years of the Series, and excepting the Years 1839-40 and 1840-41, respecting which we should be glad to receive Explanation, the Revenue appears, by the Statement No. 1, to have progressively increased; and that this Increase has been realized without undue Pressure on the People, appears

appears demonstrated in the Statement No. 2. by the progressive and great Diminution in all Forms of Proceeding for enforcing the Payment of Arrears.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

473. We shall now consider, under the several Heads of the Objects proposed by the Settlement, how far, according to the Results of the preceding Review, those Objects may be deemed to have been successfully accomplished.

474. The Results under this Head must be regarded as generally satisfactory. The Jumma has, in most Instances, been carefully and considerably adjusted to the Capabilities of the Soil and the Resources of the Cultivators. When this has not been done in the first instance, it has, for the most part, been accomplished by another Revision. In some Instances, further Measures of Concession appear to be necessary, in Banda especially. In relation to this District some very important Observations are recorded by the Lieutenant-Governor in the Revenue Narrative for the Fourth Quarter of 1848. The Difficulty of restoring Prosperity to a District which has suffered so greatly by Over-assessment, and by the consequent continual Change of Proprietors, induces us to look with Approbation on the Reductions of Jumma noticed in those Observations, and which, we trust, will be sufficient for their End. But we think, as a special Principle in the Management of this District, the Government Demand should be carefully kept somewhat below what would be its fair Amount on the ordinary Rules of Assessment. The greatest possible Moderation appears to us essentially necessary to give Encouragement to the Agricultural Community, and counteract the Effect of the long Process of Deterioration which resulted from the opposite Course.

1. Revision of
Assessment.

475. In Bhutheana also, where the Collections almost invariably fall very far short of the Jumma, leaving a large annual Balance to be written off as irrecoverable, we think the Settlement should be revised, and the Jumma brought within the Limits of the annual Realization.

476. The Introduction of the System of realizing the Revenue by Four Instalments in the Year appears to have been eminently beneficial to the minor Zemindars and the inferior Classes of Cultivators. The fixing of these Instalments so as to admit of the Produce being sold before the Revenue should be demanded, was intended to have, and to a great Extent unquestionably has had, the Effect of liberating the Ryot from the Hands of Money-lenders.

2. Better Division
of Kists.

477. This, so far as it has gone, appears to have been well performed by the European Officers to whom it was entrusted, and to have been attended with the best Results, both to the Government and to the Village Communities.

3. Demarcation of
Boundaries.

478. This Portion of the Measures proposed may be considered to have been well carried out.

4. Correction and
Arrangement of
Tehsil-darree Accounts
and Records.

479. This Measure, which at first excited Opposition in many Places, appears to have been finally acquiesced in, and if not always, yet generally, approved.

5. Road Fund.

480. This Measure was at first evidently unpopular. We are disposed to hope that, with careful Supervision, it may be found satisfactory to the People.

6. Provisions for
Village Police.

481. It was always our Desire that this Measure should be carried out with great Lenity and Forbearance. In many Instances it has been so; in others, the Results have been attended with much Hardship, especially when the Settlements have not been made with the Ex-Maafedars. But the Solicitude of the several Lieutenant Governors, and especially of the present,* has been carefully directed to remedying the Evil in question, by Money Provisions of more or less Duration. We think this the best Method of disposing of such Cases. It provides for the Incumbents and their Families, and secures the ultimate Rights of Government.

7. Resumption of
Rent-free Tenures.

482. Under this Head have been found the greatest Differences of Opinion, and the greatest practical Embarrassments to the Government. Numerous Suits have been instituted by ousted Talookdars in the Civil Courts, of which

8. Re-casting or
Reduction of large
Tenures.

* Mr. Thomason.

Count of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

some have been decided against the Government, some in its Favour, and many are still pending. This Class of Suits will necessarily cease under the Operation of Act XIII. of 1848, which limits the Time after Settlement within which they can be instituted.

9. Demarcation
of component Por-
tions of Villages,
and Record of
Rights therein.

483. This, which is usually called the Khusrch Survey, is, in so far as its Execution has been brought under Notice, the most questionable Portion of the entire Series of Measures; yet it was a Work eminently necessary, and most especially so, under the System of separate Responsibility.

484. And this brings us to a Question of great Importance, and most difficult of Solution, that of joint and separate Responsibility in Coparcenary Mehals. Separate Responsibility, which is authorized by Law to be granted whenever demanded, has been regarded as a great Boon conferred on the industrious and meritorious Members of Village Communities. The distinct Sale of the Shares of defaulting Putteedars unquestionably has that Tendency. And the Option of Pre-emption given to the Shareholders would have great Influence in maintaining the Integrity of the Community; but this well intended Provision has been, in a great Measure, neutralized by Mortgages for fictitious Values, against which there appears to be no Remedy but a Valuation on fixed Principles, at which the Right of Pre-emption shall be given to the Community.

485. There are great Differences in the Extent to which separate Responsibility prevails. In some Districts it is universal; in some the Instances are numerous; in some, few; in others, none.

486. The late Lord Metcalfe, Mr. Robertson, and Mr. Thomason, have all been strongly impressed with the superior Advantages to the Communities themselves of the System of joint Responsibility. It saves them from the Intrusion of Strangers, and from the Liability to Litigation on Shares. It leaves to them the entire Management of their own internal Concerns, subject to one common Responsibility to Government.

487. The Subject is admirably discussed in Mr. Thomason's Minute of 25th September 1848. He does not propose an Alteration of the Law. To this we should be decidedly opposed. But he thinks that every Means should be employed to induce the Village Communities to adopt voluntarily the System of joint Responsibility; and in this View we fully concur.

488. Another Question is, whether the Completion of a Settlement should be postponed till the Completion of Partitions. We are of opinion that when there are sufficient Data to determine the aggregate Assessment of a Village, the Settlement Officer may record it, and the Revenue Officers may subsequently carry the Partitions into effect. It is very desirable, however, that Mischief should not be allowed to result from long intervening Delays.

489. Another Question of Importance is, whether an Agriculturist, on the Renewal of a Settlement, should be allowed the full Benefit of his Improvements, or whether the Government should be held entitled to a Share of the additional Value which his Capital and Industry, aided by other Circumstances, have added to the Land. We are of opinion that the only satisfactory Principle on which all future Renewals of Settlements can be made, will be, that reference must be had to the Value of the Land at the Time, a liberal Consideration being given for the Improvements attributable only to the Efforts of the Tenant himself, and especially with regard to such as are of a comparatively recent Date, and with regard to which he has reaped the Advantage only for a short Period under the old Settlement.

490. Another Question of Importance is, what will be the Position at the next Settlement of the Talookdars who waived the Question of their Right to Engagements, and received a Malikana, generally of 18 per Cent., on the Jumma, for the Life of the first Incumbent, to be, except in peculiar Cases, prospectively reduced, and finally fixed at 10 per Cent. We desire to be more fully informed respecting the Nature, Extent, and Duration of the Agreement with these Talookdars, and whether the Arrangement with them was made for the Term of the Settlement, or whether it was intended to be permanent.

491. We cannot conclude this Despatch without stating the Impression which the Review of the Settlement Proceedings has left on our Minds, of the high

high Honour reflected on the Civil Service by the Zeal, Industry, Ability, and good Feeling of the several Officers employed, necessarily with Differences in Degree, but with so little Room for Exception, that it would be invidious to particularize where there is such ample Ground for general Satisfaction.

Court of Directors
to the
Governor General.
13th August 1851.

492. We feel bound, however, to make an Exception in favour of Mr. R. M. Bird. In planning the Operations which have been, on the whole, so well concluded, in so superintending their Progress as to ensure the cordial Co-operation of all the Officers employed in them, and in thus contributing so largely to their successful Accomplishment, we may consider him as having a Part in them altogether peculiar and distinct, and as being eminently entitled to our marked and special Approbation.

We are, &c.

JOHN SHEPHERD.
J. W. HOGG.
W. WIGRAM.
JOHN LOCH.
J. L. LUSHINGTON.
JOHN COTTON.
W. L. MELVILLE.
H. T. PRINSEP.
W. M. DENT.
W. H. C. PLOWDEN.
J. H. ASTELL.
H. SHANK.
WM. J. EASTWICK.
J. CAULFELD.
HENRY WILLOCK.

London, 13th August 1851.

(True Copy.)

East India House, }
29th June 1852. }

T. L. PEACOCK,
Examiner of India Correspondence,
