GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY,

GENERAL DEPARTMENT.

Resolution no. P.-55.

Bombay Castle, 6th October 1924.

Read the reports from the Commissioner in Sind and the Commissioners of Divisions on the working of the Municipalities and Notified Areas in the Bombay Presidency for the year 1922-23.

RESOLUTION.

PART I.

Summary.

Number and area.—The Municipalities of Dholera and Mahudha in the Northern Division and Khed in the Central Division having been abolished and Village Panchayats having been established at those places during the year under review, the total number of Municipalities in the Presidency, including Sind, decreased from 159 to 156. Seven Notified Areas in the Central Division and 5 in the Southern Division were converted into Village Panchayats, reducing the number of such Areas from 31 to 19.

2. Changes in the population and boundaries.—The population resident in the municipal districts decreased by about 12,000 due chiefly to the conversion of the three aforesaid Municipalities into Village Panchayats. There were no changes in the boundaries of the municipal areas except in Bulsar in the Northern Division, Nandurbar, Poona Suburban, Jejuri and Satara Suburban in the Central Division, Ranebennur in the Southern Division and Shikarpur in Sind.

Constitution of Municipalities.-In the Northern Division the Municipalities of Dholka, Viramgam, Modasa, Gogho and Ranpur in the Ahmedabad District were reconstituted with a four-fifths elective franchise. There was no keen competition at the elections in these municipalities. In the Kaira District all the municipalities except Mehmadabad were similarly reconstituted but in the case of Nadiad and Umreth the privilege of electing the president had to be withdrawn. At Nadiad the revised board with 20 elected and 5 nominated councillors was in existence from 1st April to 17th August 1922 when 17 out of the 20 elected councillors resigned. The immediate cause of the resignation was the notice given by Government that the management of the schools, which Government had temporarily taken over, would be handed back to the Municipality and that it would be held responsible for the performance of its duty according to law. Bye-elections were then held but only 8 councillors were elected, the remaining 9 places being filled by nomination under section 10 (2) of the Bombay District Municipal Act. A suit was filed in the Sub-Judge's Court at Nadiad questioning the legality of these nominations and the Sub-Judge issued a temporary injunction prohibiting the 9 councillors in question from acting as members of the Municipality pending disposal of the suit. Thus, after the bye-election of September 1922 there were in all 16 councillors on the Board, 11 elected and 5 nominated; but the Board still continued inopera-tive as the President, Mr. Gokuldas D. Talati, persistently refused to convene meetings in spite of repeated requisitions from the councillors under section 26 (2) of the Act. To terminate the deadlock caused by these repeated defaults Government had to remove the President under section 23 (7) of the Act and to appoint the Mamlatdar of Nadiad to be ex-officio President of the Municipality. On receipt of these orders another suit was filed by certain rate-payers for a declaration that the members were not competent to act as a municipality. A temporary injunction was obtained but this was cancelled by the District Court on 19th December 1922 and the

L Genl 243-1

Municipality was able to resume regular work from January 1923 after a deadlock of four months. At Umreth a contest arose at the first meeting of the newly constituted municipality as to the appointment of the chairman and the meeting dispersed without electing office-bearers or without fixing the date of the next meeting. A deadlock ensued whereupon Government had to withdraw the privilege of electing the president and to nominate a non-official as president. The term of the Ankleshwar Municipality in the Broach District. which had expired on 31st March 1922, had to be extended up to the end of January 1923 in order to give effect to the enlarged elective franchise. In the Thana District all the four municipalities were given four-fifths elective franchise and triennial elections were held by all. There was no keen competition at these elections. Bye-elections were held at Prantij, Dhandhuka, Godhra, Dohad and Bassein. At Bassein the bye-elections were due to the disablement of 5 councillors under section 15 (2) (e) of the Act. The Municipalities of Ahmedabad and Surat continued under suspension throughout the year, the administration being carried out by the Committees of Management.

In the Bombay Suburban Division no triennial election or bye-election was held in any of the municipalities except Kurla, which was reconstituted with 16 elected and 4 nominated members with effect from 1st October 1922. The three Municipalities of Bandra, Kurla and Ghatkopar enjoy the right of electing their non-official presidents while the Juhu Municipality, which is more or less a development municipality, is presided over by the Salsette Development Officer.

In the Central Division triennial elections were held in 27 municipalities. In Nandurbar, Shirpur and Shahada the elections were keenly contested. One noticeable feature in the election of Sindkheda Municipality was that a member of the depressed class stood for election and was elected. As the Trimbak Municipality waived the privilege of electing its president, the Mamlatdar of Nasik was appointed as President by Government. There was a fair amount of competition for election in the municipalities of the Satara District. A bye-election was held at Nandurbar on account of one member having failed to attend meetings for over four months. As no candidates were elected to fill one seat in the Municipality of Igatpuri and 2 seats in the bye-elections of the Erandol Municipality, the vacancies were filled up by nomination under section 10 (2) of the Bombay District Municipal Act. During the year under report the terms of office of 25 Municipalities were extended to enable them to observe the preliminaries necessary to bring into effect the new constitution of the boards. The term of the Sholapur Municipality had to be further extended to 28th February 1923 as the validity of the elections was the subject of enquiry in the Court of the District Judge.

In the Southern Division triennial elections were held in 15 municipalities in most of which the elections were keenly contested. At Bail-Hongal party feeling ran high. Each of the two parties there wanted to have its own president. On the election of the president from one of the 7 councillors belonging to one party, the other party resigned in a body. The bye-elections which had to be held subsequently to fill up the vacancies were not even contested. A civil suit was filed to set aside the election of a candidate to the Municipal Board of Roha-Ashtami for corrupt practice in securing votes. The suit resulted in the setting aside of the election. The terms of the Municipalities of Bagalkot, Dharwar and Gokak were extended at their own request to enable them to prepare fresh voters' lists according to the revised election rules.

• In Sind the Shikarpur Municipality was reconstituted during the year under report on a four-fifths elective basis. Since the close of the year the Municipalities of Ratodero, Tando Adam, Shahadadpur and Umarkot have been reconstituted on the same basis. Out of the twenty-six municipalities in Sind there now remain only three municipalities to be constituted in accordance with the principles enunciated in Government Resolution no. 9693, dated the 14th September 1920.

•

4. Attendance at municipal meetings.—In the Northern, Central and Bombay Suburban Divisions the total number of meetings held fell from 959, 1,018 and 70 to 899, 986 and 65 respectively. In the Southern Division the total number (713) was the same as in the previous year. In the Central and Southern Divisions the average attendance of all members present at each meeting rose from 591 and 5516 to 6144 and 6225 respectively. In the Northern and Bombay Suburban Divisions the average attendance fell from 5667 and 599 to 525 and 582 respectively. In Sind the total number of meetings held fell from 751 to 677. The attendance of councillors at municipal meetings is also reported to be not satisfactory.

5. Taxation—House tax.—House tax schedules were revised by the Prantij, Modasa, Poona Suburban, Sirur and Wai Municipalities. In Sind, house tax was abolished in the Rohri and Garhi Yasin Municipalities.

Octroi on certain articles was levied by the Mhaswad Municipality. Octroi schedules were revised by Surat, Ranpur, Sangamner, Nandurbar, Baramati, Jejuri, Junnar, Rahimatpur, Sholapur, Bail-Hongal, Gadag-Bettigeri, Byadgi, Karwar and Sirsi. Gokak levied octroi on yarn and Guledgud on yarn and silk. In Sind, the octroi schedules of the Nasarpur, Matiari, Sehwan and Bubak Municipalities were revised.

Terminal Tax.—The Godhra and Chalisgaon Municipalities levied the terminal tax. Jambusar, Bhiwandi and Dharwar substituted terminal tax for octroi. The Anand Municipality reintroduced the tax with effect from 6th May 1922. Prantij and Raver revised their terminal tax schedule. Karad levied terminal tax on ground-nuts. In Sind, terminal tax in place of octroi was introduced in Ghotki and the terminal tax schedules were revised in Garhi Yasin and Umarkot.

Water rate.—The general water rate was revised by the Ahmednagar Municipality. Dharwar and Bijapur enhanced their water rates. The latter Municipality also stopped the levy of the general water rate on houses having private connections.

Sanitary cess was revised by the Jalgaon, Bhusaval, Raver, Parola and Dharangaon Municipalities. Sanand and Junnar introduced and Ahmednagar and Dharwar revised the general sanitary cess. The Surat Municipality increased the rates of the special sanitary cess and put the general sanitary cess on a graduated scale based on the valuation of houses. Mhaswad levied and Sirur, Karwar, Roha-Ashtami, Malvan and Dharwar revised the special sanitary cess. Baramati revised its privy tax. In Sind halalkhore cess was introduced by Larkana and revised by Nasarpur and Garhi Yasin.

Conveyance tax and tolls.—Conveyance tax was introduced by Parola, Savda and Gokarn and revised by Sangamner, Belgaum, Dharwar, Sirsi, Gadag-Bettigeri and Mahad. Wheel tax was levied by Ashta, Mhaswad and Vita and was revised by Baramati. Mhaswad and Vita also levied toll tax and Gadag-Bettigeri revised its rates of toll. Satara City Municipality levied wheel tax on motor bicycles and tricycles. Alandi levied toll on motors. In Sind a tax on motor vehicles, a toll tax on camels and a tax on wheeled carriages were introduced in Tatta, Garhi Yasin and Bubak respectively. The toll tax schedule of the Garhi Yasin Municipality and the wheel and toll tax schedules of the Shahadadpur Municipality were revised.

Miscellaneous taxes.—Mahableshwar introduced and Sholapur abolished the dog tax. Kumta brought into force revised rates of tax on tea and on bidi and snuff shops and Matheran revised the rates of its tax on professions and trades. Bail-Hongal introduced cattle-market fees and Dharwar revised the rates of slaughter-house fees.

6. Municipal income.—During the year under report the aggregate gross income of the municipalities increased to Rs. 2,54 lakhs from Rs. 2,30 lakhs in the previous year. Deducting receipts under "Extraordinary and Debt", the net income amounted to Rs. 1,80 lakhs as against Rs. 1,76 lakhs

in the previous year. The following table shows the fluctuations under the principal heads of income :---

		1922-23.	1921-22.	Increase.	Decrease.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	In thousands.	In thousands.	In thousands.	In thousanda.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs
1.	Municipal rates and taxes: (a) Octroi (net receipts, <i>i.e.</i> , deduct ing refunds) and terminal-tax.	54,72	47,07	7,65	••••••
	 (b) Taxes on houses and lands (c) Water tax 	21,37 23,62	20,10 19,82	1,27 3,80	•••••
2.	Revenue derived from municipal property and powers other than those of taxation.	29,86	31,74		1,88
3.	Grants and contributions for general and special purposes.	20,86	19,88	98	
4.	Extraordinary and debt	73,62	54,37	19,25	

Octroi and Terminal Tax.-Of the very substantial increase of Rs. 7,65,000 under this head as much as Rs. 3,10,000 was contributed by Sind. The Northern, Central and Southern Divisions contributed Rs. 2,19,400, Rs. 1,38,500 and Rs. 95,000, respectively. In the Northern Division the revenue from the terminal tax in Ahmedabad alone showed an increase of about Rs. 1,44,000. In the Bombay Suburban Division, Bandra recorded an increase of about Rs. 2,000 in the income of the terminal tax. Before the commencement of the year 1921-22 this tax was farmed out, but this system was held to be illegal by the Bombay High Court and the Municipality therefore arranged to collect it departmentally and the rise Municipality therefore arranged to concer in departmentally and the field in the income shows that the departmental working is satisfactory. In the Southern Division 50 per cent. of the increase (Rs. 96,000) under this head was contributed by Bijapur (Rs. 30,000) and Gadag-Bettigeri (Rs. 18,000) owing to the revision of the octroi rates. The receipts in Dharwar and Kumta rose respectively by Rs. 9,000 and Rs. 6,000 owing to the substitution of terminal tax for octroi. The increase in Hubli (Rs. 10,000) was due to the levy of terminal tax on cotton. In Panvel the decrease of Rs. 3,000 was due to the non-collection of octroi on iron brought by Messrs. Tata and Company to their workshops in the town, pending settlement of a dispute between the Municipality and the Company on the question of legality of charging octroi on that article, which is subsequently to be exported after being subjected to certain processes of manufacture in the workshops. The dispute has since been amicably settled, the Company agreeing to pay a lump sum in composition of octroi. In Sind, an increase of Rs. 2,15,000 was due to the full year's results of increased rates on articles imposed only during the last few months of the previous year. The decrease of Rs. 5,000 in the Larkana Municipality is attributed to the slackness of trade and the opening of the Larkana-Jacobabad Light Railway, which resulted in goods being sent direct to destinations and not through Larkana.

8. Taxes on houses and lands.—Under this head there was an increase of Rs. 1,31,000 in Sind and all the Divisions except the Northern Division, which showed a slight decrease of Rs. 4,000. The decrease in Kaira was mainly due to the non-payment of the tax on houses and lands by the residents of Nadiad and the slackness in making recoveries at Borsad. In the Surat District the decrease is mainly due to the campaign of non-payment of taxes in the Surat city organised by the non-co-operating members of the suspended Municipality. In the Bombay Suburban Division, Bandra showed an increase of Rs. 10,000 which the Municipality explains as due to better collections of "house tax". The recovery under "land tax" was Rs. 6,000 as against Rs. 11,500 in the previous year. The Municipality found much difficulty in collecting the "land tax", against the levy of

which there were several suits pending in the Thana Court. The collection in the case of the Kurla Municipality was not satisfactory. The Central and Southern Divisions showed an increase of Rs. 25,000 and Rs. 18,000, respectively. In the latter Division Rs. 11,000 were contributed by Bagalkot (Rs. 6,000) and Dharwar (Rs. 5,000) owing to the revision of assessment registers. A large increase of Rs. 9,000 occurred in Hubli owing to recovery of past year's arrears. The decrease of Rs. 6,000 in Gadag-Bettigeri was due to the belated preparation of the demand register. In Sind there was a net increase of Rs. 80,000 which was almost wholly contributed by the Karachi Municipality and was due to the revision of assessment in some quarters of the city and the assessment of new buildings erected during the year.

9. Water rate.—The increases under this head were Rs. 1,73,000, Rs. 35,000, Rs. 36,000 and Rs. 7,000 in the Northern, Bombay Suburban, Central and Southern Divisions, respectively. In the Bombay Suburban Division the increase occurred in Bandra (Rs. 19,000) and Kurla (Rs. 16,000) and was due to the recovery of past and current demands. In the Southern Division nearly the whole of the increase of Rs. 7,000 was contributed by Bijapur, Hubli and Dharwar. The increase in Bijapur and Hubli is accounted for by the collection of arrears while in Dharwar it is due to the revision of the rates. In Sind the increase of Rs. 1,27,000 under this head was almost wholly contributed by the Karachi Municipality and was due to the revision of assessments and the increase of water rate on properties from 2 per cent. to 3 per cent.

10. Recovery of municipal dues.—Of the outstanding balance of Rs. 1,09,808 on 1st April 1922, and the current demand of Rs. 10,56,430 the Ahmedabad Committee of Management recovered Rs. 10,41,613 excluding Rs. 40,710 which had to be written off or refunded. Thus, the outstanding balance at the end of the year remained at Rs. 83,915 recovered after the close of the year under review. In the Kaira District out of the total demand of Rs. 1,38,524, including previous outstandings of Rs. 12,460, there remained to be collected at the close of the year Rs. 31,874, which was chiefly due to the non-payment of the house tax by the residents of Nadiad and slackness in making recoveries at Borsad. In Godhra the collection of taxes continued as unsatisfactory as in the last year. Of the whole demand of Rs. 47,615, Rs. 18,528 remained unrecovered at the close of the year. The late preparation of statistics of additions and alterations in the list of house-tax assessments, the non-co-operation movement and the consequent apathy of ratepayers in payment of municipal dues are reported to be the principal causes of these arrears. In Broach there was some improvement in the collection of taxes, the unrealized demands of the current and past years on account of house tax and conveyance tax being Rs. 10,896 and 3,257, repectively, as against Rs. 14,428 and 4,211 in the previous year. The Ankleshwar and Jambusar Municipalities had a better closing balance at the end of the year under report than at the end of the last year. The Surat Municipality having had to face a vigorous campaign of non-payment of taxes encouraged by the non-co-operating members of the superseded Municipality, its actual income from the direct taxes considerably decreased, leaving a large amount of arrears at the close of the year. In the Thana District the outstanding balance at the close of the year. In the Thana District the outstanding balance at the close of the year. In the Thana District the outstanding balance at the close of the year. The Municipali

In the Bombay Suburban Division the collection of municipal dues was still very much behind-hand and there were few signs of improvement except for a small reduction in the amount of arrears in Bandra, where, however, the outstandings still amounted to the large sum of Rs. 1,27,000. Arrears in Kurla and Ghatkopar increased, in the first case substantially (Rs. 28,000). Government concur with the Commissioner that, unless these municipalities make better use of the means which Chapter VIII of the Bombay District Municipal Act provides for the recovery of the municipal

L Genl 243-2

claims and exercise a stricter control over the activities of the collection staff, it is unlikely that there will be any substantial diminution in arrears.

In the Central Division out of a total demand of Rs. 16,65,318, outstanding arrears at the end of the year amounted to Rs. 2,71,284, *i.e.*, about 16 per cent. The heaviest arrears were in Chopda (41 per cent.), Sindkheda (37 per cent.), Yeola (21 per cent.), Poona City (11 per cent.), Lonavla (64 per cent.), Poona Suburban (14 per cent.), Sirur (30 per cent.), Junnar (22 per cent.), Ashta (44 per cent.), Satara City (17 per cent.), Karad (42 per cent.), Sholapur (15 per cent.), and Karmala (30 per cent.). Nearly half of the total amount of arrears was on account of house tax.

In the Southern Division, Athni in the Belgaum District had arrears of Rs. 15,871 or 69 per cent. of the total demand. The Collector reports that the Municipality is in the habit of suspending the collection of house tax and sanitary cess in years of famine, which have lately been frequent. He has, however, pointed out to the President that such a concession is not necessary in the case of municipal taxes. Rs. 3,688 have since been recovered out of the arrears and the President promises to clear off the remaining balance in the current year. Belgaum had an outstanding of Rs. 9,579 out of a total demand of Rs. 42,778. The President has not explained the cause of these heavy arrears but simply says that coercive measures are being taken, that Rs. 1,463 have since been recovered and that Rs. 2,000 out of the arrears will have to be written off as irrecoverable. The Municipality has been asked to clear off the arrears soon. The arrears in Bijapur rose from Rs. 15,000 to Rs. 26,000 or 33 per cent. of the total demand. About Rs. 11,000 of the arrears are more than 10 years old and the President states that the amount is going to be written off by the Municipality after scrutiny by the Managing Committee. About Rs. 4,000 of the arrears have been recovered since the close of the year and in respect of the remaining Rs. 11,000, the President with his new staff hopes to show better work next year. The arrears in Gadag-Bettigeri Municipality rose from Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 28,000 or 56 per cent. of the total demand. This, according to the Collector, is due to the fact that the municipal executive was weak and that some of the councillors themselves were in arrears. The Commissioner has reverted the Chief Officer to his former post in the Revenue Department and asked the Municipality to appoint another Chief Officer at an early date. It is reported that the Municipality recovered Rs. 10,000 since the close of the year. In Dharwar the arrears rose from Rs. 2,000 to Rs. 5,000 or 8.6 per cent. of the total demand as Government sanction for revision of some of the taxes was received late in the year. Hubli showed good progress during the year, the arrears having been reduced from Rs. 24,000 to Rs. 8,000 or 8 per cent. of the total demand. The arrears of Rs. 4,000 or 33 per cent. of the total demand in Navalgund are reported to be due to (1) the preaching of non-payment of taxes by non-co-operators, (2) the chaotic condition of the office on account of the sudden disappearance of the late Secretary after defalcating municipal funds and (3) the migration of the population on account of plague and famine. The arrears of Rs. 2,000 or 33 per cent. of the total demand in Nargund were on account of house tax due to the exodus of a quarter of the population in search of employment elsewhere on account of failure of crops. In Pen the collection work was hindered as the town was evacuated for some time owing to plague. In Panvel the unsatisfactory state of the collection work is said to be due partly to absentee houseowners and partly to inefficiency of the collecting staff. A regrettable feature was that some of the coun-cillors themselves set a bad example by not paying the taxes promptly. The Municipality has now strengthened the establishment and gives hopes of improvement. The arrears in Mahad (20 per cent.) and Uran (34 per cent.) were also due to a weak collecting staff and the Collector has asked these bodies to strengthen it. In Chiplun the arrears (14 per cent.) were mostly due from inhabitants of the area newly included within the municipal limits and in Vengurla the arrears (17 per cent.) are ascribed to the late receipt of sanction to the taxes.

In Sind the total outstandings amounted to Rs. 2,99,000 as against Rs. 3,66,000 for the preceding year. The arrears, which amounted to Rs. 2,65,000 in the Karachi Municipality alone, are explained to be due to the late issue of bills owing to the late disposal of appeals, non-payment of the bills for February and March before the close of the year and tightness of the money market. Government concur with the Commissioner that these explanations are not satisfactory inasmuch as bills for February should have been collected before the close of the year. However, it is noticed that the previous year's arrears of Rs. 3,41,159 were reduced to Rs. 2,67,673 of which Rs. 33,379 have been written off. The attention of defaulting municipalities should be invited to the fact that a well-paid and sufficient collection staff under strict supervision is an urgent necessity if arrears are to be avoided and they should be urged to take prompt measures to overhaul their existing staff accordingly.

7

11. Revenue derived from municipal property.—Under this head there was a decrease of Rs. 1,88,000. The Central Division and Sind contributed Rs. 1,92,000 and Rs. 92,000, respectively, towards this decrease, which was counterbalanced by an increase of Rs. 28,000 in the Northern Division and Rs. 70,000 in the Southern Division. In the Northern Division, Ahmedabad alone contributed Rs. 24,000 towards the increase. In the Southern Division the increase was principally due to Dharwar (Rs. 38,000) and Bijapur (Rs. 20,000). The former municipality sold market plots for building purposes whilst the latter disposed of foot-path sites and also derived a larger income on account of rent of lands. In Sind, Karachi was principally responsible for a decrease of Rs. 2,87,000 attributed to the absence of demand for land owing to tightness of the money market and the slump in land values. Hyderabad, on the contrary, showed an increase of Rs. 1,64,000, due to the sale of a larger number of building plots and realization of income from the Economical Gardens taken over from the District Local Board in the preceding year.

12. Grants and contributions for general and special purposes.—The receipts under this head, which chiefly consist of grants made by Government to municipalities for the improvement of water supply and drainage, for the entertainment of chief officers, health officers and sanitary inspectors and for educational purposes, increased by Rs. 98,000 to Rs. 20,86,000. Sind showed a large increase of Rs. 1,81,000, which was counterbalanced by a decrease of Rs. 87,000 in the Central Division and Rs. 11,000 in the Southern Division. In the Northern Division although there was a net increase of Rs. 3,300 under this head there was a decrease in all the districts except Surat where there was an increase of Rs. 60,000 which more than counterbalanced the decrease in the other districts put together. The increase in the Surat District was mainly accounted for by the Surat City Municipality, which showed an increase of Rs. 50,000. This increase was due to the fact that Government refunded to the Surat Municipality half the sum spent in the previous year on education in the city during the tenure of the non-co-operating municipality and charged in the first instance against the municipal funds. The decrease of Rs. 22,000 in the Kaira District which is explained by the fact that no Government grant for education was paid to the Borsad Municipality on account of its failure to pay the teachers according to the revised scale. The large increase in Sind under this head (Rs. 1,88,000) was mainly due to the receipt from Government of a grant-in-aid of Rs. 1,69,000 by the Sukkur Municipality received from Government Rs. 2,400 as arrears. of grants-in-aid on account of the salaries of the Health Officer and Chief Sanitary Inspector, and Shikarpur and Jacobabad, Rs. 2,500 and Rs. 1,300, respectively, as the arrears of the grant-in-aid on account of the chief Officer. The net increase in Government grants for education approximation of the fact that salaries of the fact hat no forement on account of the chief Officer. The net increase i

13. Nature of income and incidence per head of population.—Of the aggregate income of the municipalities Rs. 1,22,71,000 were realized from

municipal taxes and rates as compared with 1,08,84,000 in the preceding year, direct taxation yielding Rs. 67,99,000 or 55.4 per cent. and indirect taxation yielding Rs. 54,72,000 or 44.6 per cent. of the total. The corresponding percentages in the previous year were 56.8 and 43.2, respectively. The average incidence of taxation per head of population *increased* from Rs. 4-0-11 to Rs. 4-9-7 and that of income also increased from Rs. 6-9-1 to Rs. 6-11-11, that is to say, the municipalities raised 8 annas 8 pies per head *more* than last year and also received 2 annas 10 pies per head more than last year.

14. Working of the octroi system.—In the Northern Division, out of the gross octroi revenue amounting to Rs. 14,14,000, Rs. 60,000 were granted in refunds. Thus, the percentage of refunds slightly declined from 49, to 42 during the year under review. This decline in the percentage is due to the substitution of the terminal tax in place of octroi by the municipalities of Bhiwandi, Jambusar and Godhra. None of the four municipalities in the Bombay Suburban Division levy octroi. In the Central Division the gross octroi collections amounted to Rs. 12,21,000 and net income to Rs. 11,42,000, the percentage of refunds being 64 against 68 of last year. In the Southern Division the gross collections and refunds rose respectively from Rs. 4,57,000 and Rs. 14,000 to Rs. 5,15,000 and Rs. 22,000 showing an increase in the percentage of refunds to gross collections from 3.6 to 4.2. Out of a gross collection of Rs. 1,10,000, Bijapur refunded only Rs. 324 or 29 per cent. and had no applications for refund pending at the close of the year. The Collector attributes this very low percentage of refunds partly to discouragement of such applications by the managing committee and the municipal staff and partly to the apathy of the merchants themselves. As these two causes are more or less common everywhere, the unsatisfactory working of the refund system is, in the opinion of the Commissioner, due rather to some inherent defect. The Collector has been asked to inquire into the matter and report whether the rules cannot be revised so as to facilitate refunds. Though the gross collections amounted to Rs. 20,000, Guledgud paid none of the 179 claims for refund of Rs. 392, and pleaded want of funds as an excuse for not refunding such a small sum. This is very unsatisfactory. The Commission is a bing the Municipality to result to refund at an end to Commissioner is asking the Municipality to pay the refunds at once and to dispose of such claims promptly in future. In Dharwar there are still 376 applications pending for refund, the Municipality having paid Rs. 41 only out of a gross collection of Rs. 24,620. This shows that the refund work is going on much too leisurely, especially as the system of terminal tax without refunds was introduced in place of octroi on 1st November 1922. Hubli is in no better case. It disposed of only 17 applications out of 480 pending at the beginning of the year. All these claims are more than two years old as the system of terminal tax has been in force there from 1st April 1921. Both the municipalities are being warned by the Commissioner to dispose of all the applications without any further delay. In Sind, the Hyderabad Municipality has established a central refund office which has proved of great benefit, enabling many improper claims to be rejected. The joint octroi system for the cantonment and municipal areas in force in Hyderabad for the last 10 years came to an end on 31st March 1921 and a fresh agreement for the next decade has been recently sanctioned by Government.

The Commissioner in Sind and the Commissioners of Divisions should be requested to notice in detail, in future, in their annual reports on the administration of municipalities, the working of the terminal tax system in those municipalities in their respective areas in which it has been introduced.

15. Expenditure.—The total expenditure of the municipalities during the year under report was 240 lakhs as against $231\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs in the preceding year. Excluding the item of "Extraordinary and Debt", the expenditure amounted to Rs. $175\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs as against Rs. 175 lakhs. The increase in the ordinary expenditure was therefore only nominal. The following table indicates the fluotuations under the principal heads of expenditure :----

15				
	1922-23.	1921-22.	Increase.	Decrease.
_	In thousands.	In thousands.	In thousands.	In thousands.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. General administration and collection charges.	17,65	17,13	52	******
 Public health and convenience Public instruction Extraordinary and debt 	97,68 36,58 64,33	87,38 38,31 56,37	10,30 7,96	1,73

16. Public Health and Convenience.—There were increases of Rs. 5,38,000, Rs. 97,000, Rs. 65,000 and Rs. 3,92,000 under this head in the Northern, Bombay Suburban and Southern Divisions and in Sind, respectively. The Central Division showed a decrease of Rs. 63,000.

17. Water-supply.-In the Northern Division the expenditure under "capital outlay" showed a considerable increase of Rs. 1,40,000 and that under "establishment, repairs, etc." also increased by Rs. 30,000. For the increase under the former sub-head Ahmedabad was responsible for as much as Rs. 1 lakh and Surat for the remainder, both municipalities having incurred larger expenditure on their water works. The Bombay Suburban Division showed increases, under both the sub-heads, of Rs. 2,700 and Rs. 36,000, respectively, the former increase being due to the re-laying of the water main at the new Ghodbunder Road from Mahim Causeway to its junction with the Station Road, and the latter, to the heavy rates of wages required to be paid to labourers. In the Central Division all districts except West Khandesh and Sholapur showed a decrease under "water-supply". The heaviest decrease (Rs. 71,000) was in the Nasik District and is due to the fact that last year Rs. 55,000 were spent by the Trimbak Municipality in providing a good pipe-water supply for the town and Rs. 13,000 on "establishment, repairs, etc.". In East Khandesh the decrease (Ps. 11,000) was due to the fact that the Talgeon Municipality had spent (Rs. 11,000) was due to the fact that the Jalgaon Municipality had spent Rs. 25,000 in the previous year on capital outlay on water supply in digging a well and purchasing a new oil engine and its accessories, while during the year under report it spent only Rs. 1,500. In the Southern Division the capital outlay decreased from Rs. 25,000 to Rs. 10,000 and charges on account of "establishment and repairs" from Rs. 1,30,000 to Rs. 1,22,000. The decrease in capital outlay was mainly due to Nipani (Rs. 13,000) and Hubli (Rs. 4,000). Dharwar contributed an increase of Rs. 2,000 for the extension of pipe distribution. Under establishment charges Dharwar showed a decrease of Rs. 16,000 owing to the stoppage of water supply for three months on account of paucity of water in Kelgeri tank. Hubli also showed a decrease of Rs. 11,000 owing to the reduction in the consumption of firewood and sulphate of alumina required for water works which presumably was due to the deficiency of water in the Unkal tank. These decreases were partly counterbalanced by an increase of Rs. 18,000 in Bijapur owing to payment of all the arrears of maintenance charges of water works due to the Public Works Department. Sind showed an increase of Rs. 2,42,000 under "capital outlay" which was shared mainly by the Karachi and Hyderabad Municipalities. In the former Municipality there was an increase of Rs 40,000 mainly due to the enhanced expenditure on the Dumlotte Extension Works and the laying of new service expenditure on the Dumnote Extension works and the laying of new service mains. The increase of Rs. 1,98,000 in Hyderabad is due to enhanced expenditure on the Water Works Improvement Scheme. Under "establish-ment, repairs, etc." there was a decrease of Rs. 25,000 in the Karachi Municipality owing to reduced expenditure on pumping at Dumlotte on, L Genl 243-3

account of the additional works carried out at the source of supply and favourable rainfall. Hyderabad, Sukkur, Shikarpur and Mirpur Khas showed decreases of Rs. 15,000, Rs. 2,600, Rs. 2,000 and Rs. 3,500, respectively, mainly on account of reduced expenditure on fuel, oil, etc.

18. Financial working of water-supply installations.—In paragraph 5 of Government Resolution, no. 4202, dated the 2nd July 1912, Government directed that the annual municipal administration reports should separately show and discuss the financial working of water installations. The figures given hitherto under this head in these reports were found on examination to be largely illusory owing to the practice adopted in many municipalities of crediting to the water-supply fund income derived from other sources of revenue. The effect has been to show that the watersupply installations were working at a profit in many municipal towns in which, in fact, they were working at a very considerable loss to the general tax-payer. The forms for water-supply accounts hitherto maintained by the municipalities have now been amended in such a manner as to exhibit the true position in this respect. The Government of Bombay (Transferred Departments) are pleased to direct that future municipal administration reports should show and discuss the financial working of water-supply installations on the basis of the accounts maintained by municipalities on improved lines laid down in the revised forms prescribed by Government Resolution, no. 1001, dated the 11th March 1924.

19. Drainage. - The only Municipality in the Northern Division which possesses a drainage system is Ahmedabad. Its expenditure showed a considerable increase of Rs. 1,82,000 under "capital outlay" and a decrease of Rs. 21,000 under "establishment, repairs, etc.". In the Bombay Suburban Division the increase of Rs 13,000 under this head was due to the construction by the Kurla Municipality of a piecemeal surface drain along the old Agra Road for the discharge of storm and sullage water. In the Southern Division, the increase (about Rs. 70,000) under "drainage" was shared by all the Districts except Ahmednagar, the most marked being in the Poona District where the Poona City and Baramati Municipalities largely contributed to it. The Baramati Municipality commenced the work of construction of open drainage and spent an amount of Rs. 14,000. The Poona City Municipality spent in all Rs. 1,70,000 which included expenditure on connecting drains to the new system, on re-laying defective lines and removing defects in house connections. It also paid during the year the arrears amounting to Rs. 29,000 due in the year 1921-22 for establishment, repairs, etc. In East Khandesh, the increase was due to the construction of new gutters by the Jalgaon, Bhusaval and Faizpur Municipalities and a new underground gutter by the Amalner Municipality. The increase in the Sholapur District was due to the fact that more drains were constructed by the Sholapur and Barsi Municipalities. In the Southern Division the capital outlay remained the same, viz., Rs. 18,000, while charges on account of establishment, etc., rose from Rs. 4,000 to Rs. 10,000, Rs. 5,000 of which was contributed by Hubli (Rs. 3,000) and Gadag (Rs. 2,000). The capital outlay was principally due to the construction of new culverts and drains by Hubli, of new drains by Bijapur, and of V new culverts and drains by Hubli, of new drains by Bijapur, and of V shaped gutters by Bagalkot, which received a Government grant of Rs. 1,480. In Sind the decrease of Rs. 1,00,000 under "capital outlay" occurred solely at Karachi where it amounted to Rs. 1,15,000 and was due to the purchase of certain machinery and extension of the drainage system to a larger area in the preceding year. On the other hand, there were increases of Rs. 3,000, Rs. 1,000 and Rs. 9,000 in the Hyderabad, Sukkur and Shikarpur Municipalities owing to the construction of new drains. Under "establishment and repairs" the increase, which amounted to Rs. 25,000, was principally contributed by the Karachi Municipality, which incurred enhanced expenditure on coal necessitated by the installation of a second engine at the Central Compressor Station and the entertainment of additional staff. There was also an increase of Rs. 2,500 in the Hyderabad Municipality due to the enhanced expenditure on repairs to drains. expenditure on repairs to drains. -7 20. Conservancy.—The total expenditure under this head which during the year under report amounted to Rs. 28,40,000 exceeded that of the previous year by Rs. 87,000. This increase was due to improved equipment, the payment of increased salaries and allowances to the conservancy staff and to the high cost of bullocks maintained for the purpose of conservancy. The Northern, the Bombay Suburban, the Central and the Southern Divisions contributed Rs. 26,000, Rs. 6,000, Rs. 7,000 and Rs. 37,000, respectively, and Sind Rs. 11,000.

The Commissioner, Southern Division, reports that, excepting Bail-Hongal and Navalgund, in none of the municipalities in that Division was the conservancy service self-supporting, the chief defaulters being the municipalities of Belgaum, Bijapur, Bagalkot, Guledgud, Dharwar, Hubli, Gadag-Bettigeri and Ratnagiri. Government (Transferred Departments) note with regret that in spite of repeated advice many municipalities have not realized the importance of making the conservancy service self-supporting. They, however, trust that the next municipal administration report will record a better progress in this direction.

21. Road expenditure.—The expenditure incurred under this head amounted to Rs. 18,45,000 as against Rs. 15,54,000 in the previous year, showing an increase of Rs. 2,91,000. Sind was responsible for an increase of Rs. 2,71,000, whereas the Central Division showed a decrease of Rs. 76,000. The Northern, the Bombay Suburban and the Southern Divisions were responsible for increases of Rs. 59,000, Rs. 19,000 and Rs. 17,000, respectively. The heavy increase in Surat is due to the Karachi Municipality having expended Rs. 3 lakhs more than in the previous year on the acquisition of land and construction of new roads. The Hyderabad and Larkana Municipalities also showed increases of Rs. 15,000 and Rs. 28,000, respectively, on the same account. On the other hand, Shikarpur and Tando Adam were responsible for decreases of Rs. 67,000 and Rs. 11,000 due to their having incurred larger expenditure in the previous year on metalling of roads and repairs to culverts. In the Central Division, the districts of West Khandesh and Poona showed a decrease of expenditure under " roads ", the most noticeable decrease being in the Poona District. The Poona City Municipality was principally responsible for the decrease as it had spent in the preceding year a very large amount on the opening of the East-West road, which included the cost of acquisition. The decrease in West Khandesh was due to the fact that no new roads were constructed or repairs made to the old roads as was done in the previous year by the Dhulia, Nandurbar and Shirpur Municipalities.

Section 91-A of the Bombay District Municipal Act, 1901, as amended by Bombay Act VIII of 1914, imposes upon municipalities the duty of prescribing a regular line for the boundaries of public streets. In the Northern Division no progress appears to have been made in this direction in any of the municipalities of the Ahmedabad and Surat Districts. Kaira, Nadiad, Mehmedabad, Anand, Godhra, Dohad, Ankleshvar and Jambusar have made very little or no progress in the matter. Umreth has prescribed road lines of two of its main roads. The Kapadvanj Municipality has aligned the Palaya Bazar Road and is considering the alignment of the Limbi Sheri Road. The Dakor Municipality has prescribed' the road lines in the past but for want of funds it is unable to acquire the land falling within the prescribed lines and permission to build on these lands is being given. The Kalvan Municipality has demarcated the road from the station to the creek vià municipal office, Kapad Bazar, Vegetable Market and Bohori Ali and have almost finished demarcation of 30' road vià Public Theatre and Devi's temple to the Bazar. In the Central Division, the Collector of Ahmednagar reports that action under section 91-A is being taken by all municipalities. In the East Khandesh and Sholapur Districts no action under the section was taken by any of the municipalities: In West Khandesh the Dhulia Municipality completed the work of prescribing regular lines of streets and permission's for new buildings are granted in accordance with the lines prescribed. Nandurbar fixed the width of the principal streets in the town. In the Nasik District, the Nasik Municipality alone fixed a regular line for the main road leading from the new fruit and vegetable market to house no. 22 for the purpose of widening the road. The Poona City Municipality did little new work beyond making half a dozen changes in the fixed lines. The Poona Suburban Municipality did not take any action in the matter as their roads are in charge of the Public Works Department. Baramati, Talegaon-Dabhade and Junnar have already fixed regular lines of their public streets. In the Satara District only the Satara Suburban, Mahableshwar, Wai and Satara City Municipalities have completed the work of laying out regular lines of public streets. In the Southern Division, Bagalkot prescribed a regular line of one more street and spent Rs. 1,500 on four set-backs at the time of rebuilding these houses. Guledgud lined out two streets, keeping a width of thirty feet. Hubli fixed regular lines for some roads. Gadag-Bettigeri aligned three roads. Ranebennur has completed the work already. Panvel has drawn up a scheme which it hopes to adopt when the city survey is completed. Matheran has aligned all its roads. Elsewhere no action was taken owing to want of city surveys. The Commissioner in Sind also attributes to this fact the noncompliance on the part of the municipalities in that province with the provisions of section 91-A. Consequently the Bombay District Municipal Act, 1901, has now been amended with a view to enforce the rule only in the case of city municipalities and such other municipalities as may from time to time be specially notified.

No information on the subject has been furnished by the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division, whose attention should be invited to this omission.

22. Appropriation of wheel tax and road tolls.—In the Northern Division the Municipalities of Dhoka, Viramgam, Modasa, Dhandhuka, Gogho, Godhra, Dohad, Ankleshwar, Surat, Rander, Bulsar and Bhiwandi were defaulters in respect of the rule that the whole of the proceeds of wheel tax and road tolls should be expended on roads. No explanation for the default is forthcoming. In the Bombay Suburban Division the Bandra Municipality largely exceeded its expenditure over the revenues under this head. Ghatkopar and Juhu do not levy these taxes. In Kurla the levy of the conveyance and toll tax has been sanctioned but the Municipality has not yet brought it into force. In the Central Division all the municipalities in the Ahmednagar District seem to have observed the rule, excepting Vambori which spent nothing on roads as the main roads are reported by the Municipality to be in good order. In all the Municipalities in East Khandesh except Yawal, Parola, Dharangaon, Erandol and Chalisgaon the expenditure on roads in the next year. In West Khandesh, the Municipalities of Nandurbar, Shahada, Taloda and Sindkheda did not fully expend their income from these sources on roads. In Shahada and Taloda the balances were credited to a separate account for eventual expenditure on roads. In the Nasik District, the Municipalities of Nasik, Yeola, Trimbak and Nandgaon did not fully utilise their receipts on roads during the year but the Nasik Municipality is reported to have remitted into the Government Treasury Rs. 4400 besides what had already been paid for the acquisition of survey no. 110 for quarrying purposes. Rs. 1,800 were also paid for purchasing 6 plots on the Bombay-Agra Road. In the Poona District the Municipalities of Saswad, Jejuri, Baramati, Indapur, Alandi and Junnar spent smaller amounts on roads than their receipts under these heads. These bodies have, however, earmarked their balances for eventual expenditure on roads in the exception of Ashta, Mahableshwar, Rahimatpur, Satara District, with the exception of Ashta, Mah

District all municipalities except Sholapur spent smaller amounts on roads than their receipts from wheel tax and road tolls. In the Southern Division all the municipalities in the Districts of Bijapur, Kanara, Kolaba and Ratnagiri have either spent all the receipts on roads or credited the balances to a separate fund for eventual expenditure on roads. Belgaum realized Rs. 15,000 and spent Rs. 14,000 on roads. Dharwar had utilized Rs. 26,000 from the road fund on objects other than roads during the past 6 years. Under constant pressure from the Commissioner it has now made up the balance and funded it separately. Its receipts and expenditure during the year were, respectively, Rs. 8,400 and Rs. 19,400. Hubli, Gadag-Bettigeri and Ranebennur have complied with Government orders on the subject. Haveri which had misappropriated the receipts till now has recently opened a separate account. The Commissioner has asked the Collector concerned to warn the defaulting municipalities that failure to comply with the Government orders will entail cancellation of the levy of tolls and wheel tax. In Sind, the Tatta, Garhi Yasin and Rohri Municipalities failed to credit the balance remaining out of the income accruing from the levy of wheel tax and road tolls to a special fund for future expenditure on the construction and maintenance of roads. The attention of the presidents has been drawn by the Commissioner to this irregularity. The Tando Mahomed Khan, Ghotki, Kambar and Ratodero Municipalities also failed in this respect. The Collectors concerned are being asked by the Commissioner to bring the policy enjoined by Government to the notice of the municipalities which are also being informed that if taxes are not devoted to the purposes for which they are imposed, such taxes should be abolished.

23. Public Instruction.—The total expenditure under this head amounted to Rs. 36,58,000 against Rs. 38,31,000 in the preceding year, thus showing a decrease of Rs. 1,73,000. The Northern Division was responsible for a very heavy decrease of Rs. 4,72,000 which was counterbalanced by increases of Rs. 3,000, Rs. 1,06,000, Rs. 58,000, and Rs. 1,33,000 in the Bombay Suburban, the Central, and the Southern Divisions and in Sind, respectively. Alimedabad City alone was responsible for a considerable portion of the decrease in the Northern Division. It was due to the fact of the building grant of Rs. 4 lakhs received from Government in the previous year being debited in the accounts as expenditure under this head and credited as deposits. The decrease of over Rs. 20,000 in Kaira is mainly accounted for by the non-payment of the revised scale of pay to school-teachers at Kaira, Kapadvanj and Anand, the non-payment of school teachers' pay even at the old rates by the Borsad Municipality from 1st June 1922, and the reduction in the strength of the decrease of over Rs. 47,000 in Surat is almost wholly accounted for by the Surat Municipality where the previous year's figures included the illegal grant of Rs: 40,000 by the Municipality before its suspension to the Rashtriya Kelavani Mandal. In the Bombay Suburban Division the increase under the head "schools and colleges" is due to the Bandra and Ghatkopar Municipalities. The decrease in the Kurla Municipality was due to the payment in the last year of arrears to the teachers. In the Bandra Municipality the increase was due partly to annual increments to teachers according to the time-scale and partly to the construction of new latrines and urinals for the school at a cost of Rs. 2,200. In the Central Division all districts except Nasik are concerned in the increase under "Public Instruction" which is generally due to the payment to teachers of arrears of pay according to the revised scale. In the Sholapur District, the Sholapur Municipality spent Rs. 20,000 on the const

L Genl 243-4

staff of teachers and the increased scale of their pay. The Faizpur Municipality took under its control the Anglo-Vernacular School and incurred additional expenditure on it. In Dhulia in the West Khandesh District the increase was due to (1) the introduction of free and compulsory education (2) the payment of pension contributions at enhanced rates for the trained teachers (3) the imparting of instruction on the spinning wheel, and (4) the construction of an Urdu Girls' School and repairs to other school buildings. In the Southern Division Guledgud purchased for Rs. 3,000 a building for its school and Ranebennur constructed an Anglo-Vernacular School. In the Southern Division Byadgi is the only Municipality where education is free and compulsory. It is also free in the following municipalities:—

					No. of	Boys.
Mun	icipality.		Date of introduction.		Before introduction.	After introduction.
Athni Saundatti Haliyal Honavar Sirsi Matheran Panyel	•••	· · · · · · · · · ·	1st Apri 1921 1st Dece uber 1918 1st August 1919	••••	347 352 326 315 525 120 293	730 471 379 407 487 196 380

Dharwar and Guledgud also impart education free and Gadag grants free scholarships to poor students without limit. In Sind, Karachi was alone responsible for an increase of Rs. 1 lakh which is accounted for by the opening of a large number of new schools and the construction of new buildings on model lines. The increases of Rs. 6,000, Rs. 4,000, Rs. 4,000 and Rs. 2,500 in Hyderabad, Rohri, Ratodero and Jacobabad, respectively, are attributed to the revision of the pay of the establishment, payment of arrears of pay at revised rates, purchase of furniture and construction of new school buildings. The decrease of Rs. 2,000 in Nasarpur was due to retrenchment in the number of school masters and payment in the preceding year of the arrears of pay. There was a decrease of the same amount in Umarkot, due to the refund in the preceding year of the excess of Government grant and retrenchment in establishment.

24. Working of the Bombay Municipal Servants' Act, V of 1890.— There were no prosecutions under the Act in the year under review in any of the municipalities in the Presidency proper to which it is made applicable, excepting the Nandurbar Municipality which instituted five prosecutions under the Act. In Sind, the Act is in force only in the Karachi Municipality which had no occasion for action under it.

Municipality which had no occasion for action under it. 25. Closing balances.—The year's working resulted in an increase of Rs. 14,00,000 in the total closing balance. Of this amount Sind alone contributed Rs. 11,88,000 and the Northern and the Southern Divisions, Rs. 1,39,000 and Rs. 1,78,000, respectively. The Bombay Suburban and the Central Divisions, on the other hand, showed decreases of Rs. 42,000 and Rs. 56,000, respectively. The aggregate closing balance of the Municipalities amounted to Rs. 87,26,000 as against Rs. 73,19,000 in the preceding year. In Sind, the total income rose by Rs. 18,95,000 and the total expenditure by Rs. 6,40,000. The actual balance excluding deposits amounted to Rs. 6313 lakhs of which Rs. 4678 lakhs represent the closing balance of the Karachi Municipality.

26. Audit of accounts.—The Accountant General's Local Audit Department audited the accounts of all the municipalities in the Presidency including Sind during the year under review with the exception of Mehmadabad, Kaira, Juhu, Sangola, Karmala, Athni, Gokak and Sirsi.

These audits have proved very useful in pointing out irregularities in the accounts procedure. In the Central Division the auditors detected the illegal utilization of the advance made by Government to the Parola Municipality for the construction of the Urdu Boys' School. In the Sind-kheda Municipality the auditors detected misappropriation to the extent of Rs. 6-12-0; the President has been asked to institute an inquiry. In the Southern Division six cases of defalcations in small sums by the tax collection staff were discovered. In one case the Municipality let off the tax collector with a mere reprimand on the ground that the action of the clerk was only an irregularity from the account point of view. The Collector sent for the papers of the case and found on going through them that it was a clear case of fraud for which the clerk concerned should have been criminally prosecuted. He has told the Municipality that such action of theirs amounts to a betrayal of trust on the part of the councillors and has advised them to realize a sense of proportion and rise to the occasion in future. The other five cases are still undecided. In Navalgund the late Secretary, who had defalcated municipal sums to the extent of Rs. 4,000, was prosecuted and convicted and sentenced to undergo 9 months' rigorous imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs. 600. Out of the fine Rs. 500 are to be made over to the Municipality. There are still other charges against him and the criminal proceedings are not yet completed. Steps are being taken to recover the embezzled amount from the councillors through whose neglect of rules the fraud became possible. In Gadag-Bettigeri the auditors discovered a case of fraud committed by a Vasuli Karkun. A sum of Rs. 241 on account of grain-market rent was recovered but not credited to the Municipality. The accused has absconded and apparently no steps have been taken by the Municipality for recovering the defalcated amount. The Collector is making enquiry into the matter. The Collector of Dharwar in whose district all these defalcations occurred rightly remarks—" These several defalcations constitute a sad commentary on the administration of the municipalities and on the capacity of some of our councillors to govern their own affairs. The conclusion that sense of responsibility is not yet properly realized is irresistible ". In Sind no serious irregularities were brought to light.

27. Grants for sanitary and town improvement schemes.—As usual a wholesome and plentiful water supply, efficient drainage, the opening up of congested and insanitary localities, good roads, hygienic school buildings with adequate play-grounds, improved conservancy arrangements, municipal office buildings, dispensary buildings, markets, slaughter houses, etc., are stated to be the pressing and general wants of the municipalities, most of which suffer from want of funds. For this the municipalities have primarily themselves to blame. Government, however, have hitherto, so far as the state of their finances permitted, assisted these bodies towards the attainment of the more important desiderata by granting loans and liberal grants-in-aid from time to time. A sum of Rs. 4,77,000 was provided in the budget for the year 1922-23 under the head "33—Public Health" to meet demands from local bodies for sanitary projects. The principal grants made during the year were :—

Rs.

Grant-in-aid for the chemical treatment of Poona water-supply

7,794

Grant-in-aid to the Sukkur Municipality for the improvement of its water works ... 1,69,186

The provision of Rs. 4,77,000 referred to above included (1) an allotment of Rs. 1,45,000 for an additional grant-in-aid to the Ahmedabad Municipality in connection with its water works, and (2) another allotment of Rs. 85,000 for improvements to Pandharpur water works. In the former case, however, the grant-in-aid could not be sanctioned during the year as the supplementary plans and estimates were not countersigned by the President before the end of the year. In the case of Pandharpur the allotment was sanctioned but the amount was surrendered in March 1923, as it could not be utilized.

PART II.

Remarks regarding the principal Municipalities.

28. Ahmedabad.—The financial condition of the Municipality which continued under suspension showed a steady improvement during the year under review. The ratio of collection to the total demand was 8751 as against 8674 during the previous year. The Commissioner, however, observes:—

"The sanitary condition of the city is notoriously bad. Some of the worst defects can only be cured by a complete sewerage system. But bad organization and inadequate financial provision are responsible for much as can easily be seen from the Health Officer's report. The housing question is neglected and the building bye-laws defective as they are, are little enforced. Enough money is not spent on such elementary matters as conservancy and refuse disposal. Nevertheless the conservancy taxation is inadequate in amount and unjust in distribution. In both these respects Surat has set an example which Ahmedabad has refused to follow in spite of the recent orders of Government about the correct principles for the levy of sanitary cesses under the Municipal 'Act. Meantime the evils and abuses due to neglect and mismanagement are overtaking the slow and halting measures of reform and betterment."

Surat.—The chief feature of the year's work has been the regeneration of the administration of the Municipality after its supersession in February 1922. The arrears at the end of the year of report amounted to as much as Rs. 1,78,000. The Municipality has been asked in Government Resolution no. P.—56(5), dated the 4th December 1923, to take prompt and effective measures for the collection of these heavy arrears. The question of strengthening and improving the municipal staff also needs urgent attention.

Poona.—There was an appreciable improvement in the attendance of councillors at municipal meetings and the disposal of business at these meetings. The financial condition of the Municipality has, however, been very unsatisfactory. The expenditure has largely exceeded the income and the municipal balance has been reduced to a trifling sum of Rs. 5,000. Government are awaiting the Municipality's proposals for increasing the taxation.

Karachi—The Commissioner in Sind observes as follows :---

"The Karachi Municipality has been most efficiently administered under the Presidentship of Mr. Jamshed N. Mehta. The City is being extended on progressive lines : properties are being suitably developed : the removal of the dyeing trade from Kalankote has been brought into effect to the great advantage of the public and of the dyers themselves : grants of land have been made for various educational and medical institutions and for gymkhanas : a new market has been erected in the Runchore Quarter : burial grounds have been extended : fireextinguishing apparatus has been improved and many other measures conducive to public health, safety and convenience have been carried out. The Municipality has raised its Land Rents and has reorganised its establishment. A new water-works conduit has been provided and arrangements are being made to improve the water works for which a loan of 30 lakhs has been raised at $6\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. without difficulty, thereby showing the confidence of the public in the stability of the municipal finances. The Municipality desires a special Act and this is fully justified by the importance of this Corporation. The aggregate income from taxation excluding extraordinary and debt is Rs. 35,11,784 and the total receipts including extraordinary and debt are Rs. 67,86,014, while the aggregate expenditure including extraordinary and debt is Rs. 55,97,310. The population is 2,01,691. Karachi is a well managed and progressive Municipality, whose importance will increase rapidly when the Barrage canals of the Provincebegin to make their influence felt." The draft Karachi Municipal Bill is under the consideration of Government at present.

Hyderabad.—The gross receipts of the Municipality show an increase of 53 per cent. while the closing balance increased by 5 per cent. only and though the municipal expenditure has increased in a larger proportion than the increase in the income, the former is short of the latter by Rs. 38,000. Octroi continues to be the main stay of the Municipality and it has increased in the last four years from Rs. 2,25,000 to Rs. 4,05,000. The Commissioner has been requested in Government Resolution no. P.— 56 (2), dated 13th September 1923, to impress again on the Municipality that it is inadvisable to continue to rely so heavily on indirect taxation, when fresh sources of taxation such as house tax are open to them. As regards the question of congestion in the city, Government have approved the proposal for the development of vacant sites within the city and to the south of the Railway line. They have also approved the suggestion that the Consulting Surveyor should make further investigations in direct consultation with the Civil, Military and Municipal Authorities concerned. The Consulting Surveyor's report has been received and is at present under the consideration of Government.

PART III.

General remarks.

29. During the year under review the relations of all municipalities with Government and officers of Government remained harmonious. No serious attempt was made by the non-co-operation party to gain control of municipalities generally, but most of these bodies contain a non-co-operation minority. As remarked, however, by the Commissioner in Sind, their advent has led to prolonged discussions in the council halls and a grievous waste of time without achieving any tangible results. It is, however, gratifying to note that both the electorate and their representatives are evincing interest in municipal matters and there is every sign of the gradual development of local self-government in spite of the fact that in several quarters party interest and inter-communal animosities seriously retard the administration. The most regrettable feature is that, with few exceptions, the financial condition of municipalities is very much straitened, a fact which, in the opinion of Government, is, as usual, mainly due to the general reluctance on the part of these bodies to tax themselves. Taxation of any kind and particularly direct taxation is apt to be shirked on account of the odium attached to it. It is especially to be noticed that little attempt is made to adjust taxation so as to make its incidence fair on rich and poor alike. All forms of octroi are popular with municipal representatives although they tax the poor more than the rich. House tax, where it is imposed, is as a rule on a scale that is heavier in proportion on the poorer houses than the better ones. It should be impressed on the municipalities concerned that unless they endeavour to strengthen their resources considerably by a proper and judicious increase of taxation they are in danger of being reduced to bankruptcy. Another cause of the financial plight of municipalities is the bad working of the collection staff which requires to be thoroughly overhauled and strengthened and maintained under adequate supervision. It has been pointed out that municipal councillors themselves do not set a good example to rate-payers by promptly paying their own dues and in some cases the work of the collection staff is also hampered by the very people whose duty it is to assist them. Government view with regret this state of affairs and trust that the councillors will fully realize their responsibilities in this respect. A proposal to amend the law with a view to disqualify municipal councillors who are in arrears of municipal dues is at present under the consideration of Government.

L Genl 243-5

SERVANTS OF INDIAN

20011072 200110

an wear

30. Copies of the Resolution should be submitted to the Secretary of State and the Government of India, and should be forwarded to all Commissioners and Collectors and to other Officers and Departments concerned.

By order of the Government of Bombay (Transferred Departments),

H. D. BASKERVILLE,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

G. R. no. P.-55, G. D., dated the 6th October 1924.

No. of 1924.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

Abstract of Statement no. 1, accompanying the review of the District Municipal Reports for the year 1922-23.

Number of municipa Bombay District	Municipal	Act, 1901	er the	156
Population within m				26,70,179
Number of towns of				
tees are appoint		by election	n and	
partly by nomin	ation			142
Number of towns of	which the	municipal co	ommit-	
tees are appoint	ed by nomi	nation only		14
Aggregate number of	members :-			
Ex-officio				234
Nominated				590
\mathbf{E} lected				1,916
Of the above—				_,
Officials				266
Non-officials				2,474
Europeans				2,111
Indians	•	•••	•••	
Lingians	• • • • •	• • •	•••	2,682

Appendix to Government Resolution, General Department, no. P.-55, dated the 6th October 1924.

THE PROGRESS OF MAHOMEDAN EDUCATION.

Northern Division.—In the Ahmedabad city the total number of Mahomedan pupils in the municipal schools at the end of the year under report increased from 1,032 in the previous year to 1,636. Of these 1,301 were boys and 335 girls. The proportion of Mahomedan pupils to the total number of pupils was 32 per cent. as against 29 per cent. in the previous year. The percentage of Mahomedan pupils to the total Mahomedan population of the city was 32 as against 23 in the last year. In all municipal towns in the Ahmedabad District, except Sanand and Gogha, there is at least one Urdu school. In Modasa the school has been made free as an encouragement to the spread of education. In the Kaira District all municipalities except Dakor maintain one Urdu School. There is also a private school for Mahomedan boys at Mehmedabad where religious teaching is imparted. The Umreth Municipality has engaged a Mulla to teach the holy Koran. The Kapadvanj Municipality has increased its grant from Rs. 50 to Rs. 120 to the Anjuman-i-Islam Madressah in Prescottpura. The attendance of boys in all the schools is improving. In the Panch Mahals District, there are 3 Urdu schools, 2 for boys and 1 for girls in Godhra.

Urdu education in these schools has been made free by way of encouragement. Besides these, there are also a number of private schools in the town where only religious teaching is imparted. In Dohad there are two Urdu schools where Urdu is taught through the medium of Gujarati. In the Broach District, the number of Mahomedan pupils in the Urdu schools maintained by the Broach City Municipality increased from 363 to 389. The Municipalities of Jambusar and Ankleshwar also maintained two Urdu schools each—one for boys and another for girls. In Surat the Committee of Management made vigorous efforts to draw the Mahomedan pupils from the national schools, with the result that the number of boy pupils increased from 184 to 698 and that of girls from 42 to 139. In Rander there was no particular improvement in the year of report. The Bulsar Municipality maintained 3 Urdu schools, 2 for boys and one for girls. In Thana there is one municipal Urdu school for boys and girls but the attendance was not satisfactory owing to lack of facilities for imparting religious instruction. The municipal Urdu school at Kalyan is reported to have "continued only a nominal existence with only one teacher and no pupils," owing to the existence of a national school and the Anjuman school which attracted all the pupils. The President, however, reports that at the close of the year, the people have applied for the regular opening of the municipal Urdu school and expects that it will make progress. Bassein maintained two Urdu schools at an expenditure of Rs. 1,349 as against 1,294 in the previous year. In Bhiwandi there are 5 Urdu schools, 2 for boys and 2 for girls and one night school for boys. The attendance here also was not satisfactory on account of the national schools, which, however, are, as reported by the Collector, "now languishing and dying a slow death" a slow death

Bombay Suburban Division.—Only two Municipalities out of four in this Division, viz., Bandra and Kurla, had Urdu schools. The former had 2 schools for boys and 2 for girls and the latter, three schools for boys only. In Bandra the average attendance of boys seems to have decreased from 308 to 196 and of girls from 150 to 110. In Kurla the attendance of boys increased from 122 to 156 and of girls from 59 to 76. In Ghatkopar and Juhu the Mahomedan population is very thin and hence there is little possibility of Urdu schools being opened in the near future.

Central Division.—The Collector of Ahmednagar reports that Mahomedan education does not seem to have been neglected, though the Municipalities except Sangamner were in financial difficulties. In East Khandesh all municipalities maintained Urdu schools for boys and girls. A night school is maintained by the Jalgaon Municipality in addition. The Erandol Municipality used to maintain two schools for boys—one in Pura (Suburb) and one in the town, but the two have been amalgamated. In West Khandesh, the Dhulia Municipality maintained 7 Urdu schools—3 for boys and 4 for girls. Nandurbar and Shirpur had two schools each and Shahada, Taloda, Sindkheda and Betawad, one each. In the Nasik District, the Municipalities of Nasik, Yeola, Malegaon, Sinnar, Igatpuri and Nandgaon maintain Urdu schools. The Collector observes that although four-fifths of the population of Malegaon consists of Mahomedans, the majority of them are weavers and illiterate and are reluctant to send their boys to schools as the daily earnings of a boy supplement to some extent the income of the family. There was one night school for boys in Malegaon. Its maintenance was not, however, worth the cost involved, inasmuch as for many successive years the attendance in it has never exceeded 15. It was therefore closed at the end of the year. The Poona City Municipality maintained 9 Urdu day schools—5 for boys and 4 for girls. The Urdu night school was closed for want of pupils. The English class, for some years attached as a temporary measure to the Urdu full primary school, showed good progress during the year and is now to be maintained permanently by the Municipality. The Junnar Municipality maintains one Urdu school. The class for Mahomedan girls, which had provisionally been closed was re-opened by the Municipality but, owing to the prevalence of the *purda* system, the Mahomedan community appears unwilling to send

girls above 10 years to the school as school mistresses are not yet available. The Baramati Municipality continues to maintain the special Urdu school for boys and girls. The Poona Suburban and Sirur Municipalities have Urdu classes attached to their vernacular schools. At Talegaon-Dabhade, the Mahomedan boys are being instructed in a municipal vernacular school as the number of students is not sufficient to justify the opening of a special Urdu class. An Urdu class has recently been opened at Lonavla. The Urdu class at Indapur was closed owing to the apathy of Mahomedans towards education. In the Satara District the Municipalities of Islampur, Karad, Mhaswad, and Satara City have maintained separate Urdu schools. In the Sholapur District, the Sholapur Municipality has 6 Urdu schools, Barsi has two, and Karmala and Pandharpur, one each. Sangola has no separate Urdu school. There are only 28 Mahomedan boys in the Municipal Marathi School. Of these 7 get freestudentships.

Southern Division.—In the Belgaum District there were two Urdu schools for boys and 2 for girls, the number of pupils being 176 boys and 138 girls. Athni had 1 school for boys with 135 pupils and 1 for girls with 70 pupils. Nipani, Gokak and Saundatti-Yellamma had each one Urdu school, the total number of pupils attending them being 294 boys and 66 girls. Bijapur maintained five Urdu schools including one class with a total attendance of 400. Bagalkot and Guledgud maintained, respectively, 3 and 2 schools and Ilkal, one. The average attendance at each of these schools was 85. In Dharwar there were 6 Mahomedan schools—3 for boys and 3 for girls—and in Hubli 8—5 for boys and 3 for girls. A night school was also maintained at Hubli by the Anjuman-I-Islam with free studentships for poor students. There was one school each at Navalgund, Byadgi and Haveri. Gadag-Bettigeri and Ranebennur, each, maintained 2 schools. The number of schools maintained by the municipalities in the Kanara District was 10, inclusive of 2 classes, the attendance in them ranging between 60 and 107. In the Kolaba District the Municipalities of Mahad, Panvel and Pen each contained one school and Roha Ashtami, two. In Alibag there is one Urdu school maintained by the Mission authorities and in Uran two private schools run by the people. The Matheran Municipality has resolved to entertain an Urdu teacher in case of necessity. The schools in the District are reported to have been poorly attended as the class of people for which they are maintained shows little interest in them. Want of trained teachers is also said to have hampered the progress of these schools. Ratnagiri opened a branch Urdu school. There are now thus two schools in Ratnagiri with an attendance of 90. The Urdu School at Malwan had an attendance of 60 boys.

Sind.—In the Karachi District the number of Mahomedan students who received instruction in municipal schools was 964 boys, 512 girls and 5 mistresses as against 731 boys, 636 girls and 5 mistresses in 1921-22. The expenditure incurred by the Municipality was Rs. 87,000 as against Rs. 67,000 last year. The Mahomedan boys educated free numbered 2 as against 10 in the previous year. The Municipality also paid grants-in-aid amounting to Rs. 14,000 to 25 Mahomedan boys' schools and 9 Mahomedan girls' schools as against Rs. 11,044 to 25 Mahomedan boys' schools and 8 Mahomedan girls' schools in the previous year. Of the total expenditure of Rs. 3,24,000 spent on public instruction, Rs. 1,01,000 were spent on the education of the Mahomedan community. Kotri maintains 2 schools in the villages of Nango Lane and Khairpur, which are mainly attended by Mahomedans, while in the main vernacular school in the town one-third of the students are Mahomedan girls. In the Tatta Municipality the number of Mahomedan students in the English school and the vernacular school was 31 and 91, respectively. The Mahomedan boys who attended the night school numbered 21. The Mahomedan population in the town of Manjhand is one-third of the total population. The parents are generally very poor, most of them living on daily wages. The Municipality is trying their best to popularize education among them by offering many of them free

The proportion of the Mahomedan students to the total number 246admission. of boys is 15 per cent. In the District of Hyderabad the number of Mahomedan boys and girls attending the municipal schools in Hyderabad was 381 and 121, respectively, out of a total number of 1,151 boys and 495 girls attending them. Free education has been introduced in Hyderabad, Tando-Allahyar and Matiari. The small number of Mahomedan boys receiving education in municipal schools is due in part to the existence of Mullah schools. In the Sukkur District the number of Mahomedan pupils, both boys and girls, in primary and secondary schools is on the increase on the whole. The indifference of the Mahomedans towards education is gradually diminishing and the municipalities are doing something to help the spread of education in this community by maintaining schools and boarding houses and by giving scholarships and free-studentships. The Larkana Municipality had during the year, in all its 4 schools, 736 pupils of whom 195 were Mahomedans as against 179 out of 705 boys in the year previous. There is also a Madressa-Tul-Islam at Larkana for Mussalmans only. It receives a donation of Rs. 300 from the Municipality and has two councillors as representatives on the Madressah Board. It has a Managing Board under the presidency of the Collector and some pupils from the town of Larkana attend the school. Very recently the proposal to transfer the Madressah to the District Local Board, Larkana, has been sanctioned. There are some Mullah schools also for Mussalmans within the municipal limits. In the Kambar Municipality there was an increase of five boys in the vernacular school and a decrease of one in the Anglo-Vernacular school. In the girls' school there was a decrease of 15 girls, which is due to the fact that the Municipality failed to secure the services of a trained mistress. The attendance of Zamindari boys is increasing. The maintenance of a boarding house attached to the Anglo-Vernacular school "attracts many Zamindari pupils from the surrounding and even remote villages. Ratodero has both a vernacular and an Anglo-Vernacular school and the number of Mahomedan boys in each was 50 and 16, respectively. In the Sehwan Municipality 41 Mahomedan pupils attended the vernacular school. Of these, fourteen were exempted from the payment of fees. The Anglo-Vernacular School had on its rolls 93 students of whom 12 were Mahomedans, of whom 5 were exempted from the payment of fees. In the girls' schools Mahomedans were conspicuous by their absence. At Bubak there is only one vernacular school, in which 51 Mahomedan boys received education. Of these, 19 were free students. In the Nawabshah District the number of Mahomedan boys attending the schools in Tando Adam was 19. There were also six boys on the rolls of private schools. There is also one Mullah School at Tando Adam and one at Shahdadpur, the attendance at these schools being 59 and 37, respectively. These schools receive a grant of Rs. 100 and Rs. 50, respectively, from the Municipalities. In the Thar and Parkar District the Mirpurkhas Municipality owns one primary school. Out of a total number of 153 children in the school only 18 are Mahomedans. A few more are receiving education at the Local Board Madressah. This is disappointing, looking to the ratio of the Mahomedan population to that of Hindus. Mahomedans of this place prefer employing their children in agricultural work to sending them to schools. There was a slight decrease in the average attendance of Mahomedan boys at Umarkot, being 28 as against 33 in the preceding year. The average attendance of girls underwent no change. In the Upper Sind Frontier the number of Maho-medan boys on the rolls is 54 per cent. In order to encourage their progress further, the Board is considering the question of raising the number of further the descent of the second free studentships.

L Genl 243-6

247

STATEMENT No. I.

Statement showing the Constitution of the Municipalities in the Bombay Presidency during the year 1922-1923.

		acement show				BTICUL														51			
ame of Division and Instrict.	berial Number of Muni- cipality.	Name of Municipality.	Act under which consti- tuted.	Population within Mavicipul limits.	Elected non- official.	Elected official.	Nominated non-	Nominated official.	Ex.Officio.	Nominated.	Elceted.	Total.	Officials.	Non-o Ificials.	Earopeans.	Indians.	Total number of meet- ings held including those specified in columns 19 and 20.	Number of meetings out of the total in column 18 which proved abortive for want of a quorum.	Number of meetings out of the total in column 18 which were ad- journed.	Arcrugo percentage officials present each meeting.	Average percentage non-officials present each neeting.	Average percentage of all monubers present at each meeting.	Remarks.
1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8	8	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	21
	NC	ORTHERN DIVISION	ſ •																			•	
Ahnedalad.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ahmedabad Dholka Viramgám Prántij Modása Dhandhuka Gogha Súnand Ráppur	Bombay Act 1901.	270,775 12,018 26,558 6,438 7,273 8,056 4,141 6,962 5,247	;11 ;1 ;1 ;1 ;1		1 1 	···· ···· ····		20 2 3 4 9 9 9 4 9 9 9 9 4 9 9	16 20 6 12 6 12 5 12	20 20 25 12 15 15 15 15 10 15	3 2 2 2 1 3 1 1 1	17 18 23 10 14 9 14 9 14	2	$ 18 \\ 20 \\ 25 \\ 12 \\ 15 \\ 12 \\ 14 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ $	74 34 16 23 11 26 14 16 20	9 15 1 8 3 4 5	48 17 3 1 4 3	14-4 25-4 2-5 73-9 36-3 21-7 50-0 62-5 25-0	67•4 41·2 45·0 66·0 42·7 56•4 39·7 51·0 60•7	55.9 3.36 450 673 618 47.7 41.5 52.5 583	e
			Total	347,473	5		4		13	42	89	144	16	128	3	141	234	40	78	30.4	47.52	52 ·7 3	
Kaira.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Umreth A'nand Borsad Dákor* Kapadvanjf Mehmadabad Kaira Nadiád	Act III of 1901.	14,024 11,024 12,136 7,810 13,983 6,233 6,932 31,939	: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	···· ··· ··· ··· ···	1 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2	93 94 93 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	$12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 16 \\ 13 \\ 16 \\ 20 \\$	15 15 15 15 20 15 20 25	1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2	14 14 14 13 18 14 18 23		15 15 15 15 20 15 20 25	62 24 29 30 17 7 11 24 42	14 5 3 4 1 3 2 6	18 8 12 11 3 1 4 29	24-19 54-17 43-27 16-67 64-70 64-28 9-09 43-75 61-90	69.01 80.95 63.47 75.0 47.90 58.73 64.94 69.91 59.63	66 02 79•17 67-13 71·11 56 89 59·23 61·21 67•29 59·81	
			Total	104,036	6		1	1	11	17	112	140	11	129		140	246	40	86	4 5·24	66·73	65*04	
- * [18	Godhra	10	26,979	1				1	4	20	25	1	24		25	95	1	4	23.	71.	69.3	
Panch Maháls.	19	Dohad	Bombay Act III of 1901.	1 3,0 76	1		•••		2	8	20	25	2	23		25	41	9	18	57 -3	6 3*7	65°07	
			Total	40,055	2	-			3	7	40	50	3	47		50	66	10	23	27.3	33.7	33-3	
Broach.	20 21 22	Broach Ankleshwar Jambusar	Bombay Act III of 1901.	41,341 11,189 9,902	1 1 1				1 3 (1) 2	7 3 (2) 4	16 (12) 6	24 12 (15) 12	3 3 (1) 2	21 9 (14) 10	···· ()	24 12 (15) 12	63 19 (4) 42	3 2 (1) 13	43 6 (1) 13	17·2 54·8 (50 0) 29·8	59-0 71-9 (66'0) 42 4	53·7 67·5 (65·0) 40·3	
			Total Till 31st From 1st	62,423 Januar Februar	3 y 1923 y 1923	 to 31s	 t Mar	 ch 19	6 23	14 13	28 31	-48 51	8 6	40 45		43 51	} 133	19	63	26-0	56-9	52.1	
Surat.	23 24 25	Surat Bánder Bulsár	Act 111 of Act 111 of 1001.	118,452 9,922 16,030 144,404	 1 1 2	··· ···	1 1		 1 3 4	21 5 3 29	6 12 18	21 12 18 51	9 2 3 9	18 10 15 43	1 	20 12 18 50	78 19 20 117	8 3 4 15	42 2 1 45	45-72 51-89 56-66 49-09	50.92 73.10 53.0 53.88	50·18 69·73 53·61 52·74	
Thána.	20 27 28 29	Thána Kalyán Old board Bassein Bhiwandi New Board Old Board		22,639 17,829 From 10,366 From 12,188 63,022	1	 Oct. July 	 1922, 1932, 1932, 1932, 1932, 	 rds)	2 3 2 2 2 8 5	6 3 5 2 5 	16 12 20 7 16 7 59 19	24 18 25 14 20 14 83 32	2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 5	22 15 23 12 18 12 75 27	1	23 19 25 14 20 14 82 33	89 9 16 17 17 6 103 	1 3 5 3 2 2 16 	16 2 8 26 	13-16 81-43 43-75 61-76 35-29 33-33 38-60 	63:4 59:26 57:84 66:34 49:61 62:89	59-21 62-96 64 58 40 63-24 46-43 60-44	
		DIVISIONAL TOT.	ы ., 1922-23.	761,463	21	••••	7	1	43	124	353	510	52	467	б	514	899	140	319	36.	53.2	52`5	
I	BOM	BAY SUBUE DIVISION.	RBAN																				
Bombay Subur- ban District.	30 31 32 33	Ghatkopar Kirol Juhu	Jo III ton AndIIIO 1922-23	27,944 23,059 8,064 1,418 63,485	1 1 1 	····		 1 1	1 2 1 4	5 4 2 3 11	12 16 4 4 36	18 20 8 8 54	1 3 2 2 8	17 17 6 6 48	3 2 5	15 20 8 6 49	27 21 9 <u>5</u> (5	1 1 1 7	 1 	51.85 43.06 41 44 40. 44.83		63.17 62.02 61.11 57.5 61.17	

Out-going Board from 1st April 1922 to 31st December 1923.
 1 Elected President from 1st April 1922 to 7th November 1922.
 The figures in brackets appertain to the new constitution.
 H 575-1

STATEMENT No	. I-continued.
--------------	----------------

and	Muni-	lity.	consti-	within.	PART	CHAIL	ARS AS	TO	NUME	KR OF	Mes	UBR	s of (COMM	ITTE	е.	r of Meet- including cified in nd 20.	ram. ram. ram.	a out dumb ad-	Bitc	e of	e of	
Name of Pivision District.	Serial Number of cipality.	Name of Municípality.	Act under which c tated.	Population " Municipal limits.	Elected non- official.	Elected official.	Nominated von- official	Nominated official.	Ex-officio.	Nominated.	Elected.	Total.	Officials.	Non-officials.	Europeans.	Indians.	Total number of M ings beld includ these specificd columns 19 and 20.	Number of meetings out of the total in column 18 which proved abortive for want of a quorum.	Number of meetines out of the total in column 18 which were ad- journed.	Average percentage officials present rach mecting.	Average percentare non-officials present cach meeting.	Arerage percentage of all members present at each mecting.	Rewark
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	16	16	17	13	19	20	21	22	23	2-3
CEN	TR.	AL DIVIS	SION.									(ļ	· ·]	1	
Ahmed-	34 35 36 37	Ahmednagar Kharda Bangamner Vámbori	Bombay defiliant of 1901.	83,139 5,137 9,761 8,505	1 1 1		:::		"" 1 1	8 5 2 3	16 6 12 8	24 12 15 12	1111	24 11 14 11	7 •	23 12 15 12	14 • 9 13 19	 2 4 3	 2 3	 37·5 38	74-6 06-6 70-8 63	74-0 64-6 74-2 58	*Ameria
-			Total	51,602	4				8	18	42	63	8	60	1	62	58	0	7	37·1	71.5	6 9 •J	
East Khaodesh.	39 39 40 41 43 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Jalgaon Bhusival Faispur Sáyda Pároln Pároln Chopda Erandol Chálisgaon	abay Act Il 1901.	23,329 24,532 10,868 9,079 7,843 7,904 16,333 12,079 16,933 14,824 12,4-9 10,623					3 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 18	3 6 5 6 8 8 2 2 2 2 2 3 40	21 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 1	30 24 20 12 20 20 15 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 1 38	27 23 10 11 19 19 14 18 18 18 18 19 215		30 20 20 12 20 12 20 15 20 20 20 20 20 229	15 10 24 J9 10 16 9 23 31 19 16 0 197	 9 4 4 1 1 3 2 2 45	2 3 4 18 8 4 47	20 1 0 50 25 10 30 2' 1 25 45 45 32 20 1 3 0 4 3 75 35 26 07	63 28 55 60 3) 65 26 52 16 61 61 62 06 71 3	62 28 52 57 57 31 25 63 94 49 49 49 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 50 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81	ļ
West Kháu- desh.	50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Dhulia Nandurbár Sháháda Shirpur Taloda Sindkheda Betávad	Bombay Aet III of 1901.	29,497 13,134 6,008 8,669 6,850 5,703 8,967					2 9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	6 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 8	16 8 8 16 8 8	24 12 12 13 20 12 12	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	22 10 10 18 10 18 10		24 12 12 13 20 13 12 12	3) 19 13 16 5 19 6	5 3 6 1	11 1 2	60 53 75 59 50 50 50 17	63 50 75 67 75 40 53	61 50 75 66 74 50	
Nítsik.	67 58 59 60 61 62 63	Násik Yeola Málegnon Sinnar Igatpari Trimbak Nan4gaon	Bombay Act II of 1901.	73,828 38,211 13,912 23,494 7,207 9,925 4,422 7,940 105,111	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6		···· ··· ··· ···	···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	13 3 1 2 1 1 1 1 10	19 5 3 4 2 2 2 2 20	73 16 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 92	104 24 20 18 15 15 15 15 122	13 3 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 10	91 21 19 16 14 14 14 14 14 14	···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ····	104 22 20 18 15 12 15 15 117	109 44 9 23 5 13 19 9 122	15 2 3 9 1 8	18 29 1 8 1 4 4 4 	52 19•74 60•60 54·3 76·92 78·9 22·21 45·53	74.85 55.9 13 48.72 79.8 89.15	74*44 55*8 13 67*95 79*7 77*11	
Poona.	64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 73 74	Poona City Sizvad Jejuri Edarámati Sirúr Sirúr TalegaonDábháda Londvia Junnar Poona Suburban .	Bombay A et Il of 1901.	133,227 4,583† 2,013 8,713 3,073 3,0951 3,273 11,078 1,568 7,378 14,630	1 1 1 1					75525443503	43 6 12 6 6 6 10 6 10 6 8 16	50 12 12 15 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 12 16 20	1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 3 1	50 11 11 14 10 10 10 14 11 14 19	···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·	50 12 15 13 11 12 13 13 13 16 17	(9) 12 8 11 .0 11 10 39 9 34 12	10 4 1 2 14 3	47 4 2 1 8 1 8 4	16.67 25 73 65 90.9 45 3) 17 9.82 58.33	49.7 53 70 73.73 67 59 70 64 8.4 4.7 90.18 52.03	49.7 50.60 71.67 68 60 82.13 60.63 8.7 4.9 79.17	
1		ĺ	Total	193,330	6	1	4	····	14	40	125	188	14	174	6	182	225	34	75	3 8·7	54.19	53.29	
Sátóra.	75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86	Ashta Islámpur Karád Maisolmpeth Mhasvad Mhasvad Rahinatpor Sátára Ciby Sátára Suburban Thisgaon Vita Vita	Bombay Act III c	9,021 7,916 10,243 6,000 6,633 2,454 5,244 20,045 2,409 9,014 4,271 10,211 92,461	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9				2 2 3 4 2 2 2 8 1 2 2 2 2 2	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	8 8 8 16 16 10 80	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 10 \\ 12 \\ 8 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 1$	222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222 22	10 10 5 10 6 10 22 5 10 10 10 13 121	······································	$\begin{array}{c} 13\\ 12\\ 12\\ 9\\ 12\\ 6\\ 12\\ 23\\ 7\\ 13\\ 12\\ 14\\ 14\\ 148\end{array}$	20 11 39 4 16 10 8 11 22 32 7 25 205	6 * 2 * 1 :: :: :: 8 2 6 33	1 22 12 6 1 3 6 1 7 10	7. 32 85. 66. 20. 93 7 13.7 15.3 19.3 50. 80. 36.		39.5 50.75 49.14 (7.5 80.16 65 74.14 65.1 26.87 38.63 73.63 73.63 73.63 73.59 53.59	1
Sl.olápur.	r 87 88 89 90 91	Sholápur Bársi Karmála Pandharpur Sácgola	hay Act III of 1801.	113,031 20,449 4,974 25,210 4,406 168,970	1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1				1 2 1 6	7 3 2 4 3 19	28 10 8 12 8 72	83 20 12 18 12 97	 1 2 2 1 6	85 19 10 18 11 91	3 3	32 20 12 15 13 94	8 18 5 33 6 70	 1 3	4 12 1 13 3 33	27·77 50 39 50 33 33	78-21 85-26 80 67 71 70-29	78-21 81-17 75 64 69-4	
		DIVISIONAL TOP	AL, 1922-23.	852,204	48	1	7		93	210	 638	 261	97 8	301	30 1	31	• 950	118	207	38.39	62.87	61.41	

* The information given in columns 9 to 16 is as it stood on March 31st 1933 (please vide paragraph 4 of the Report). † Last years figure of population reported by municipality was provisional. ‡ Lust year's figure was corrected from the Census records.

.

2

į

STATEMENT No. I-continued.

	Muni-	lity.	onsti-	within.	PAI	CHAI CHAI	ARS AB RMAN,	то	Numb	BR OF	Мвя	MBER	9 01	Cox	(MIT	FB B .	of Meet- including ified in nd 20.	us out mn 1 ortive ram.	ra out fram ad-	se of	re of nt at	e of esent	
Nama af Division District.	Serial number of cipulity.	Name of Municipality.	Act under which consti- tuted.	Population Municipal limits.	Elected non- official.	Elected official,	Nominated non- official.	Nominatod official.	Ex-officio.	Nominated.	Élected.	Total.	Officials.	Non-officiuls.	Europeans.	Indians.	Total number of 1 ings held inclu those specified columns 19 and 20.	Number of meetings out of the total in column 1 which proved abortive for want of a quorum.	Number of meetings out of the total in column 18 which were ad- fourned.	Average percentage officials present each meeting.	Average percentage non-officials present each meeting.	Average percentage of all members present at each meeting.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	2 3	24
	SC D	UTHERN IVISION.	r																	-			
Belganm.	92 93 94 95 96 97	Belgaum Nipánl Athaui Gokák Saundatti-Yella- ma. Bail Hongal	III 100 Juni Verling	86,354 11,272 10,549 9,769 7,189 8,673 83,709	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 6	····	··· ··· ···	···· ··· ··· ···	 1 2 1 4	6 3 2 2 3 19	24 12 16 8 12 12 84	30 15 20 12 15 15 107	 1 2 1 1 5	30 15 19 10 14 14 102		30 15 20 12 15 15 107	20 6 5 31 20 14 96	1 2 7 6 9 25	8 2 2 10 4 2 28	 100 19*6 20* 100* 39*8	66 [.] 75 [.] 92 [.] 6 44 [.] 1 78 [.] 82 [.] 72 [.] 5	66* 75* 93* 40*3 78* 80* 72*	
Bijápar,	93 99 100 101	Bijápur Bágalkot Guledgud Ilkal	Bonibay Act 111 of 1901.	32,495 19,019 14,760 11,856	1 1 1 1		::		2 2 3 1	4 4 8 2	24 12 12 12	30 18 18 15	2 2 3 1	28 16 15 14	2	28 18 18 15	44 65 20 θ	2 22 3 2	9 85 8	40.8 7.69 15. 35.7	73:4 33:17 62:83 65:3	41.5 30.36 54.44 65.5	
			Tota 🕳	78,120	4		•••	•••	8	13	6 0	81	8	73	2	79	138	29	53	24.45	58.39	48.47	
Dhárwúr.	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	Dhárw ár … Hubli … Navalgund … Gadag-Bettigeri… Háveri … Byádgi … Ránebennur … Gudguddapur …	Bombay Act III of 1901.	34,220 06,773 7,059 8,550 41,040 7,140 5,502 13,335 	1 1 1 1 1 		··· •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• ••		3 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	5 2 2 7 3 2 7 3 2 5 5	16 24 12 12 16 12 12 12 12 	24 30 15 16 24 15 15 15 15 17 7	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	21 29 14 14 23 14 14 14 15 5		23 29 15 15 22 15 15 15 17 7	26 18 13 19 23 16 11 14 8 4	3 1 3 1 1 1 2 1	···· 32 5 ··· 2 2 2 3 ···	33·3 44·4 38· 94·4 47·62 50· 27·2 61· 50· 62·5	52*4 61*6 68* 71*8 70*51 63*3 74*5 67*8 65* 60*	50° 61°6 66° 73°3 69°56 64°5 76°3 72°3 63° 60°7	
			Total	183,668	7		3		14	47	118	177	14	163	4	173	151	16	16	50 ·7	65-18	65-48	
Бánara.	112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119	Kárwár ••• Kumta Sirsi • Haliyál ··· Honáwar ··· Bhatkal ···· Gokarn ··· Ulví ···	Act III of 1901.	12,561 10,650 8,963 4,835 6,837 6,9-2 Temporary Do.	1 1 1 1 1 1 	···· ···· ···· ···· ···	···· ··· ··· ···	 1		4 3 3 3 3 3 3 8 5	16 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 	20 15 15 15 15 15 15 12 9	2 1 1 1 1 4 4	18 14 14 14 14 14 8 5	 	20 15 15 15 15 15 15 12 8	19 16 19 29 14 23 8 2	 2 8 9 	8 4 9 9 	30-8 8-62 63-1 62-06 4-3 26-09 59-3 15-	71.5 51.25 63.5 10.34 16.7 45.03 81.3 85.	73·4 56·38 83·5 59·85 21· 43·77 79·6 72·2	
			Total	48,433	6	••	•••	2	8	32	76	116	15	101	1	115	130	19	3 8	34.24	53-60	58.39	
Koliba	$120 \\ 121 \\ 123 \\ 123 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 126$	Alibág Maliád Matherán Panvei Pen Roha-Ashtami Uran	mbay L of 190	5,253 6,849 3,327 7,837 5,736 5,490 4,892	1 1 1 1 1					3 1 4 3 3 3	12 12 8 13 12 12 12	15 15 10 19 15 15 15	1 1 2 1 1 1 1	14 14 9 10 14 14 14		15 15 10 12 15 15 15	15 17 7 18 21 14 10	1 3 5 2	4 1 11 	67 • 94 · 1 100 · 68 • 28 · 5 5 · 4 80 ·	72. 50.4 66.6 67. 93.3 66.3 51.4	72. 53.3 70. 67. 38.2 72. 53.3	•
			Total .~	39,294	5		1	1	1	20	76	97	8	89		97	102	14	18	63·	58·1	60.8	
Ratnágiri.	127 123 129 130	Chiplun Ratnágiri Malwan Vengurta	mhay 111 of 901.	11,005 14,597 13,761 10,160	1 1 1 1		 	···· ····	1 1 2 1	3 3 2 2	8 16 12	12 2·) 20 15	1 1 2 1	11 19 18 14		12 20 20 15	19 12 28 40	6 4 3	1 3 8 10	50° 16°7 €1°5 47°5	51·5 82·9 72·4 69·7	51 · 4 80 · 71 · 3 67 · 3	
			Total	49,523	4				5	10	53	67	5	62		87	80	13	22	<u>51.6</u>	69·8	69·4	
		DIVISIONAL TOTAL	L, 1022-23.	482,837	32		4	3	40	141	101	815	55	5 90	7	639	713	116	174	43.96	62-93	62'25	

STATEMENT No. I-concluded.

I

5	4			1.5	1	·····						-conc	.									
Division or	of Muni-	sality.	counsti	within 8.		CHAI	ADS AS RMAN.	70	1	TOMBE	E OF	MBMBE	R8 0	в Сом	M I TT	EX.	of meet- including ified in	ges out aum 1: sortive	olumu olumu	arc of	tent af	resent
Name of Divi District.	Serial number o cipality.	Name of Municipality.	Act under which tuted,	Population Municipal limits.	Elected non- official.	Elected official.	Nominated non- official.	Nominate d official.	Ex-officio.	Nominated.	Elected.	Total.	Officials.	Non-Officials.	Europeans.	Indians.	Total number of a mgs held inclu those specified columns 19 and 20	Number of meetings out of the total in column 18 which proved abortive for want of a ourcum.	Number of meetings out of the total in column 18 which were ad-	Arcture percentage officials present	even meeture. Average percentage non-officials present	each meeting. Average perventage of all members present
1	2	8	` 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	10	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
		SIND.		1			[ĺ	Ì		Ì	Ι.		Ì	<u> </u>					
(131	Karáchi		201,634	1				4	2	4.8	54	8		6•	48	86	4	21	2 6 -9	8 62.5	1, 58%
Karfichi.	192	Tatta	Bombay Act III of 1901.	8,470	1				2	2	8	12	2	10		12	36	9	1	27%	50°/,	1
Kar A	138	Koti Bandar	of 15	1,409	1				1	2	12	1	1	14		15	15			85.7	66-3	07.0
	134	Kotri	Boi	9,119	1			•••	1	8	16		2		2†		18	3	9	4 2*5)	72.6	2 6 3 2
(135	Mánjhand "	p	3,107	1	•••			1	2	12	15	1	14		15	24	6	6	76-1	45'9	50.7
			Total	223,739					9	11	96	116	9	107	8	108	179	22	87	51.69	60.0	5 67 (
. [136	Hyderabad ‡	11 . 1	73,950	1					9	36	45		45		45	61	8	32		59 O	3 59 0
Hyderabad.	137	Hála	1 22	5,757	1	•••			2	2	16		2			20	89	10	18	48.72		
dera	138 139	Tando Allahyar Nasarpur		5,975 4,165				1	2	2	16	20 9	2			20	9	•••	•••	69·44 25·	80·9	
۳.	140	Matiári	Act]	4,639	1				2	2	16		2			20	17			41 18		1
l	141	Tando Mahomed Khan.	ψι	8,905	1	•••			2	2	16	20	2	18		· £0	19	6	8	62.0	89.8	4.17
		100400												-		-						
			Total	98,480	5			1	10	24	100	184	10	124		184	154	29	43	41-10	58-40	6.03
.	142	Sukkur	1151	40,737	1				8	6	32	40	2	38	1	89	52	•••	17	0.52	3.0	29.5
Bukkur	143	Shikarpur	Act .	54,095	1	•••			1	7	32	1	1	1		40	82	11	27	31.0	70*	56.5
۳ <u>َ</u>]	· 144 145	Garhi Yásin Rohri	ombay of 1	5,001 9,520	1				2	2	16 20	20 25	2 2	19 23		20 25	19 65	1 9	 \$8	60.66 25-38		68·7 56·5
Į	146	Ghotki		3,327	1			•••	1	2	12		1	14		15	4			100	89·25	
			m	114 500					8									<u>.</u>				
			Total	114,550	5	•••				20		140	8	132	1 	139	215	21	82	44.71	58-23	60*0
. (147 148	Lárkána	119 (17,723	1	•••			3	4	24		2	28	•••	30	16		12	15 63	İ.	
bárkána.	149	Kambar	Act 901.	7,128 5,565	1				2	2	16	20 13	2	18		20	11	1	•••	50° 71°4	65. 73.3	C3·5
h árl	150	Sehwan	5	4,423				1	4	8		12	4	8		12	9	•••		52.77		72-2
l	151	Bubak		2,620	1				1	2	12	15	1	14		15	9	3		30·50	85·10	56-30
			Total	87,637		 		2	13	24	52		13	76				4	12	41.07	71.72	66*80
Nuwah- shah.	152	Shahdadpur	Bombay Act III of 1901.	4,997				1	4	6	•••	10	4	6		10	12	1	2	47.03	6.90	59-17
ź°(153	Tando Adam) a Page	10,268				1	2	8	10	15	2	13	•••	15	14	4		57-14	(4 •49	51.76
	, ,		Total	15,265				2	6	9	10	25	8	19		25	26	б	2	52.53	60 53	56.07
_ (154	Mirpurkhás		5,780	1				2	2	16	20	2	18	1	19	99	11	 6	37.8	52·2	50*7
Thar and Parkar.		M	Bombay Act III of 1901.		· ·				•				-		1				Ĩ			
Ĕ.	155	Umarkot] o k B	4,097			-	1	4	8		19	4	8		12	8		•••	62.5	67.1 %	65-03
			Total	9,880	1			1	8	10	16	32	6	96	1	81	41	11	6	50-2	69.69	58.17
Upper Sinc From	156	Jacobabad	Act III	10,583	1				2	3	 £0	25	2	23	1	24	10	Nil	1	1.6	19.1	207
fro n- tier,	1	Total fo	l of 1901. or Sind	510,190	20				61	101	406	501	61	507	11	550	677	92	183	40'85	c5·40	63 '8!
		GBAND		2,670,179	124	1	18	13	231	590	1,916					2,682	8,340	503	944	49.8	50'0	5 8·2
	1	GRAND TOTAL FO	DR 1921-29	2,683,030	m	1	20	23	296	684	1,496	2,476	335	2,141	70	2,408	3,509	574	949	41.8	69·9	67.3

4

;

,

STATEMENT II.

н **575— 2**

•

.

STATEMENT

6

Statement showing the Income of the Municipalities in the

		· .					1				MUNICIE
Name of Division and District.	Serial No. of Muni- cipality,	Nume of Munic	ipality.	Balance in hand at close of last year.	Income from Terminal tax.	Income from Octrol.	Tax on houses and lands,	Tax on animals and vehicles.	Tax on protessions and trades.	Tolls (on roads and ferries).	Water rat.
1	4	3		4	6	6	7	8	9	10	11
NORTH	ERN	DIVISIO	N.	R3.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ahmdeabad 4	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ahmedabad Dholka Viramgám Prántij Modása Dhandhuka Gogho Sánand Ránpur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,47,960 2,225 32,781 945 2,510 925 639 2,184 763	7,75,175 3,406 	17,183 31,827 4,067 11,013	1 6,407 12,058 9,744 698 6,84 2,931	1,02,842 606 3,957 843 92 	5,651 	1,440 4,091 2,675 1,702 474	7,73,261
ζaira{	10 11 12 18 14 15 16 17	To Umreth Anand Borsad Kapadvanj Kapadvanj Mehmadabad Kaira Nadiád	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,90,982 7,388 3,911 5,646 2,847 8,470 4,045 3,757 5,471 41,535	7,78,581 6,662 6,994 7,429 51,691 72,976	64,039 	53,459 12,576 10,590 8,342 9,306 16,665 8,042 9,703 12,383 	1,08,711 469 253 722	5,651 	10,352	7,73,265
anch Maháls. {	18 19	Godhra Dohad To	 	6,368 3,807 10,175	12,009	5,728 5,728*	25,429 14,721 40,750	1,216 809 2,025		2,66 5 2,550 5,215	•
roach {	20 21 22	Broach Ankleshwar Jambusar	 	12,942 6,254 5,041 24,237	24,678	1,05,593 22,800 1,28,393	84,941 9,830 10,075 54,855	7,210 895 428 8,533	· · · · ·	3,640 3,325 705 7,670	• • • • • • • •
urat {	23 24 25	Surat Rånder Bulsår	 	92,187 3,469 2,997		2,15,742	65,712 13,671 20,900	16,546 1,669 1,730	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	46,798 10,306 11,256	 1,28,351 8,277
Chána {	26 27 28 29	Tol Tháns Kalyán Bassein Bhiwandi Tol	 	98,653 10,581 3,684 7,117 4,698 26,080	···· ·	2,21,822 16,063 36,348 10,687 13,781 76,879	1,00,°83 16,464 21,302 7,097 8,105 52,268	19,945 1,429 2,115 1,694 2,597 7,835	 783 783	68,300 6,982 8,842 1,769 5,4*7 22,650	1,36,628 25,958 2,455 2\$,443
BOMBAY SU	BUBB	DIVISIONAL TO	1	4,91,612	8,88,24	4,96,911	3,89,122	1,47,771	6,434	1,14,507	9,38,339
Somtay Subur-{	30 81 32 33	Bandra Kurla Gbatkopar Kir Juhu		70,000 24,477 19,868 82	93,238 	 	75,597 20,873 19,437 634	13,415 		···· ···	60,716 81,804
	1	Tot		1,14,427	93,238	•••	1,16,591	13,415	176		1,42,280

•

	Conservancy	(including						
	scavenging rate	und latrine	• ,		Other Tar	Kes.	۱ ب	
ighting rate,	Special Banitary Cess.	General Sanitary Cess,	Sullage water removal Tax,	Pilgrim Tax.	Dyers' was'e water Tax,	Drainage Tax.	Additional Police Tax.	Educational Cess.
13	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		2,13,952	270		335	1,23,502	•••	
		6,913			•••	•=•		•••
	•••	13,526	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	` ••
•.•	••	2,287	***	•••	•••	•••		
	•••	2,287		•••	•••	***	•••	
			•••	•••	•••	•••		
				•••	•••	•••		
	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••			•••
•••	1	2,36,678	270		335	1,23,502		
		4 000						
	268	4,093 1,061	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	2
		1,001		•••	•••	***		***
	1,043	656		16,461	••• •			
	1,270	2,538		•••		•••	•••	
•••					• • •	••• '	•••	
•••	626 2,883	530	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	***
		1,546		***	· · · ·			
	6,090	10,424	***	16,461	***	•==	•••	•••
	007							
148	837 1,673	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
•••	-,010						····	
•••	2,510		•••	•••	•••		•••	•••
						,		
		•••	•••	•••	***	•••	***	•••
		•••	•••			•••	•••	
`'				p				
		····	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	
	23,234	849			***			20,031
	4,217	9.470	•••		***	***	•••	•••
•••	5,463		•••	<u></u>		•••		
•••	32,914	3,319		•••	•••	**1	***	20,031
•••	17,518	1,615	•••	•••		***	•••	
	3,514 1,845	5,533		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
	1,845 🎍	1,682		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
	22,877	8,830		(•••	
	•					····	•••	····
•••	64,391 `	2,59.251	270	16,461	835	1,23,502	•••	20,031
						-		
	45,85 9 860	18,158	•••	•• •	•••	•••		**•
•••	5,759	18,108	•••	•••		•••	•••	444
		683			848 788		***	•••
	51,978	18,841					•••	•••

7

и 575**—2**•

						1	
26,141 68,137 9,813 17,391 12,289 5,331 6,884 18,944 21,54,926 23,631 13,913 8,342 27,466 21,142 15,471 10,859 68,956 1,94,780 42,156 25,481			From oth	her Sources.		Rents of lands,	
	From pounds.	From hackney carriages.	License fee for the sale of poisons.	License fee for the sale of com- bustible articles.	Totat.	bonecs, serais (rest-house), dåk bungalaws, etc.	Sale-proceed of lands and produce of lands, etc.
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rf.
19,94,996	4,111	•••			6,019	27,294	13,677
	1,141 336	***	•••	278	1,141	880	73
	454	. ***			614 454	7,677	62
17,391	147		•••	*****	147	258	581 143
	615			******	615	121	
	90 246	* * *	•••	******	90 246		18
	178			******	178	. 1	21
21,54,926	7,318	1,908		278	9,504	36,235	14,575
99.691	823				823	10	
	118	•••		******	525 118	12 142	235
	244		• •••	•••••	244	293	247 27
	759	•••		******	759	355	
	232 147	***		******	232 147	1,411 225	5,128
	633	•••			633	183	80
	1,142	•••			1,142	3,581	420
1,94,780	3,598	•••	•••		3,598	6,202	6,137
	187 749	•••			187 749	765	41
67,637	936		·		936	765	41
1,51,384		158			158	1,471	4,614
38,787	443			*****	443	130	4,014
34,008	62	•••			62	••••••	
2,24,129	505	158		••••	663	1,601	4,614
5,17,263	1,895	109			2,004	5,012	7,722
38,140 47,899	117 211	3 0 -	•••		147 211	894 560	1,854
							473
6,03,302	2,223	139			2,362	6,466	10,049
85,059	855	50			405	2,731	3,356
78,437 22,892	199 110	150 72		•••••	849 182	825 31	
34,407	188	100	***		288	• 429	
2,20,795	852	. 372	•••	*****	1,224	4,016	3 ,9 63
84,65,569	15,432	2,577	***	278	18,287	55,285	38,784
2,88,825	256	40			296	6,262	**,
1,21,631	139	15		******	154	581	
25,196 1,367	*****	***			*****	36	*****
					450	6,879	

REVENUE DEBIVED FROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY AND POWSES APART FROM TAXATION.

			1		1				25	
Conservancy	Fees and revenue from Educational Institutions. Modical Institutions.		ue revenue from Markets and cal Slaughter- u- houses,	Fees and revenue from Tram- ways.	Other fees.					
receipts (other than taxes and rates).		from Medical Institu-			Sale-proceeds of building materials and land under the Sanitary Improvement Scheme.	Sale- proceeds of old materi- als, etc.	License fees for danger- ous and offen- sive trade.		Fees for temporar counter etc., in font of shops.	
29	80	81	3 2	83	34 (a)	81 (b)	34 (c)	35	35 (a)	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	R8.	Rs.	Rs.	
42,354	2,223		68,414	90						
42,004	989	40		120		•••	58	•••		
82	792	•••				•••		•••	•••	
	2,272 472	34 35	••••		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	
20 2	3,720	62	314	•••	•••	•••	•••		•••	
151	124	50			•••	•••			•••	
2	882	. 87	******	***						
2	305	2	•••••	•••	•••	•••	•••			
42,613	11,779	310	68,728	210	***		58	52	***	
25	721									
20 92	214	16			•••	•••	•••		•••	
111	13	17	594	•••	• •••	•••				
36	140 5,546	67	199		•••		•••	•••		
32	2,553	164 42	177	•••	•••	53	•••	•••	76	
69	5,065				•••	••••		•••	•••	
948	939	82	190	•••		398	•••		68	
1,313	15,524	432	961	•••	•••	451	••		1,444	
131	231 457		774		•••		295			
1,695 1,826	718		2,017			····	295		•••	
							200	••••		
	1,386	14	20,606						***	
28	5,558 3,818	22	843 53	•••	•••					
							•••		•••	
28	10,762	, 86	21,502	•••	•••		~		•••	
12,235	2,139	87	10,371		0,000		43.0			
243	1	11	1,601		8,098	•••	418		6,514	
19	1,264	60	1,922		•••		•••	•••	•••	
1 2, 49 7	3,404	698	13,894		8,098		418	•••	6,514	
	890	133	9,716	•••			····			
181	160	249	2,800	•••	•••	•••		•••	•••	
151	633 8,2	63 	2,974 1,255	•••	•••	•••	•••			
151	2,055	435	16,745			•••				
	· · · ·		·						•••	
58,428	44,242	1,911	1,24,621	210	8,098	451 Water	771	52	7 ,9 58	
		[tion fees.				
· • • • • •	1,927	536	8,666	•••		24	1,445		•••	
31	1,478	538	41,813	•••	•••	550	2,296	•••	•••	
	••••	•••		•••	•••	59	•••	•••	•••	
34	3,405	1,074	50,479			633				
							3,741			

.

Fines		Other Fees-conclude 3.								
Municips and othe Acts.	Projection lees.	Sealing and marking fees,	Copylag fees	Other fees.	Notice and warrant fees.	Fees and revenues from weights and measures.	Fees for hanging Boards, etc.	Fees for occasional occupation of roads, etc.		
36	35 (i)	35 (4)	35 (y)	35 (f ,	S5 (c)	35 (J)	35 (c)	35 (b)		
Rs.	Rs.	Es	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ks.	Rs.		
38,667			•••	1,242	10,651	2,719	3,941	9,365		
245			12					22		
167	•••	•••	118	••• ••	736	•••••	497	55		
59 59	•••		30		*****		27			
91					115		145			
5		•••	5		6	*****	35	38		
137	·	•••			55	*****	•••			
23			2	67	50					
39,453			201	1,369	11,613	2,719	4,615	9,581		
369			6		149	******	6	182		
237	•••		16		41		372			
192		•••	7		108	•••••	125	17		
331 78	•••	•••	17 24	. 39 14	33 89		132	115		
113			35		45		3 71	829 43		
253			6		72			17		
2,319	•••		34		621		331	638		
3, 892		•-•	145	53	J ,158	35	1,040	1,851		
300			• 25 67	2	531 142			225 850		
300		•••	92	2	673	*****	32	1,075		
192			•••	1	76	*****				
230		47	5 8				 	163		
422	•••	47	58	1	- 76			261		
3,417	2,450		224		1,298		'	1,377		
418 49	··· 69	•••	32 12	114 13	164	*****				
								665		
3,879	2,549		263	127	1,600	•••••		2,042		
416			18		178			181		
572			34 77		120 409		•••	12 78		
80						******	•••	277		
563			129		707		•••	548		
48,514	2,549	47	<u> </u>	1,532	15,827	2,754	5,717	15,353		
270					\$ 32					
793			***		2					
122		}		••••	81					
				- I -						

.

.

LEVENCE DELIVED FROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY

D FOWERS APAI	RT FROM TA	XATION-confin	ued.			20	
Interest of Investme		enta.	Pre-		From Government.		
For General purposes.	For Educa- tional pur- poses.	For Medical purposes.	on Loans.	Total.	For General purposes,	For Educationa purposes,	
37	38	30	40	41	42	43	
R3.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
33,572				2,54,209	20,033	99,474	
				2,439		4,059	
1,242		******		11,431	660	7,716 3,448	
				3, 261 729	*****	5,448 4,008	
	•••	*****		4,814		10,661	
				432		1,388	
			•••	1,185	*****	5 150	
		*****		4 5		5,159	
34,814		* # \$ < \$ 1	•••	2,78,953	20,693	1,35,913	
Dettel Sector and a sector of the sector of		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 		1 775 0		8,546	
******	•••			1,752 1,407	*****	5,725	
*****	•••	*****		1,504		3,342	
524		•••••	1	1,789	1,000*	4,596	
8,905	993	** ***		24,187		8,758 2,988	
	561	*****		3,159 6,309	4.15	2,983 7,879	
*****		795		12,012	810	•••••	
9,429	1,557	795		52,419	2,245	41,834	
, 	bill states						
279	57			3,107 5,839	800	6,927 7,952	
279	57			8,246	800	14,879	
			-		0.004	10 040	
4,440	147	• • • • • • •		32,604 6,935	2,384	18,968 8,102	
*****	•••	•••		4,396	•••••	4,364	
4,440	147	***>*7		43,995	2,384	91,434	
-> <i>480</i>					6 Kho		
$2,672 \\ 1,210$	2,474	626		07,194 6,537	8,508	1,01,795 3,795	
321	88	500		6,695	214	10,114	
4,206	2,562	1,156		80,427	8,722	1,15,701	
	116	1,102	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17,921	108	13,342	
112		770		5,498	340	6,826	
(11.4)			1	4,490 2,951	•••	7,164 6,092	
 112	147	1,879	····	30,860	418	33,424	
					and a subscription of the		
53,280	4;470	3,820		4,95,602	35,292	3,73,183	
267		683		20,312	4,169	26,870	
7,527		840		56,423	1,191	8,164	
451				786		897	
		· · · · ·			1,000		
8,248	1	1,523		77,521	6,360	35,931	

n 675—2**†**

.

*Sanitary grant for pay of Health Officer.

om Government.		From Local Funds.		From oth	er Sources.
For Medical purposes,	For General purposes.	For Educational purposes,	For Medical purposes.	For General purposes.	For Educational purposes,
44	45	46	47	48	40
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	its,	Rs.
100	1,867	$933 \\ 255 \\ 354$	500 155 400	135	690 14
450	*** **	630	350		
500	*****	379 850	200 200		,
750		145	700		840
400	••••	310 400	380 300		
2,200	1,867	4,256	3,185	185	1,544
800 300	1,131		425 800		•••••

300 1,240	•••••	1,429 261	450 450		
500		763	003		
],242	509 1,242	400	*****	••••••
2,640	2,373	4,20)	3,125		····
	677	500 527	****** ***		
	677	1,027	• • • •	101148	*****
		1,057			1,000
		265	800		
·····	* * * * * * * 	832	240		
*****	•-•••	2,154	1,040		1,000
*** .*		2,166	200		4,089
*****	125	255 357	200 1,150		 100
	125	2,778	1,350		4,183
500	·····	891	220	•••••	
1,880	•••••	941	250		******
1,240 1,040		911 840	738 400		
4,660	******	3,583	1,638		***
9,500	5,012	18,007	10,338	135	6,727
750	• • • • • • • • •	1,470	900		
2,280		471	875	100	
*****	1,170			1,1 01	*****
	1,170	1,941	1,275	1,201	
3,030	1,110	1,741	2,010	L) L(L	

.

CIAL PUNPOSES).	•	 	MISCELLANEOUS.				
For Medical parposes.	Total.	Recoveries on account of services ren- dered to private indi- viduals.	Other Items.	Total.	25 f		
50	öl	52	53	54	55		
Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.		
1 617	1,25,279		6,923	9,623	23,90,126		
1,647	4,583		•••••		34,304		
	9,130		365	365	89,677		
	4,878 4,587		237 323	237 3 2 3	18,643 23,177		
	4,587 13,051		140	140	30,909		
	2,983		5	5	8,841		
••••	$690 \\ 6,259$		580 88	- 580 	9,585 20,924		
1,647	1,71,440	··· ••• •	11,361	11,301	26,26,186		
	9,271		2:8	278	35,255		
	7,956		224	224	28,618		
	3,342	••••	13 423	13 423	13,445 38,212		
	7,775 10,709	20	102	122	56,692		
	5,291		82	82	24,150		
	8,388 3,694	48	: 07 484	207 532	26,396 86,336		
	56,426		1,813	1,881	3,09,104		
	8,9 04 8,479		451 640	451 640	54,805 41,188		
	17,383	• • • • • • • • • •	1,091	1,091	95,993		
	23,409		3,979	3,979	2,11,594		
	9,167		513	513	55,795		
····-	5,436	• • • • • • • •	244	244	44,146		
···	38,012		4,736	4,736	8,11,585		
	1,16,552		1,591	1,591	7,04,604		
500	4,250 12,560	84 7 283	551 523	898 81 1	49,972 68,177		
500	1,33,362	635	2,605	8,300	8,22,753		
•••••	15,091	681	523	1,204	1,19,680		
•••	10,237 10,053	2 30	2,124 171	2,126 201	96,647		
•••••	8,372	92	393	485	87,818 46,503		
A.D. ; A.D.	43,753	805	8,211	4,016	3,00,648		
2,147	4,60,376	1,508	24,877	26,385	44,66,219		
•••	34,159 12,581	115	19,183	19,298	8,62,390		
	1,998		37,986	37,936	2,28,725 27,980		
	2,170	*****			3,537		
1.							

		Extraobdik,	ARY AND DEDT.		
	Lo	й л я.		۸dva	Осев.
Sale-proceeds of Government securities and withdrawals from Savings Bank.	From Government.	Baised in the open market.	Realizations of Sinking Fund for repayment of Loans.	Permanent,	Other,
58	57	59	<u>5</u> 9	60	61
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,
3,45,900	3,00,000	4,53,974	1,50,000		5 40 00
	*****	•••••	1,00,000		2,46,90

*****	•••••	•••••	*****		
*****	•••••	*****		•••••	
*****				*****	
	••••				
					10
8,45,900	8,00,000	4,53,974	1,50,000		2,47,00
<u></u>					
	•••••		***/**	50	25
5	•••••	•••••	*** ***	*****	
					40 17
12,000				15	2
		••••••	•••••	50	
*****		•••••		•••••	
*****			······	······	···
12,005	••••••		*****	115	97
*****				•••••	8
	i				
*****	******				3.
*****					3,15
		•••••		•••••	
		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		70	62
				70	3,77
			50,000	210	16,978
200					
	·····	.,,			
200			50,000	210	16,97
					4,87
					4,730
• • • • • •				*****	5,75
		148111			15,857
8,58,105	3,00,100	4,53,974	2,00,000	895	2,84,116
•					1,421
••••					6,652
******		•••	·····	******	
*****		••••	*****		*****

14 .

254

п.

Bombay Presidency during the year ending 31st March 1922-23.

						•
Deposits.	Total.	Total receipts, orcluding opening balance.	Total receipts, including opening balance.	Incidence of taxation (column 23) per head of popula- tion.	Incidence of income (column 55) per head of population.	Romarks.
62	63	61		06	67	09
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a, p.	Rs. a. p. 8 13 3	
11,92,394 43	26,69,172 43	50,79,298 31,347	53,27,258 36,572	$\begin{array}{cccc} 7 & 5 & 10 \\ 2 & 2 & 9 \\ 2 & 9 & 1 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
200 182	200 182	89,877 18,825	1,22,658 19,770	185	293	
		23,177 31,106	25,687 32,031	$egin{array}{cccc} 2&6&3\\ 1&8&5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
	*****	8,841 9,585	9,480 11,769	$\begin{array}{ccc}1&4&8\\&0&15&10\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
68	168	21,092	21,855	2 9 11	3 10 11	
11,93,084	26,89,962	53,16,148	56,07,080	632	787	
92	397	35,652	43,040	1 10 11	282	
	405	28,618 13,850	32,529 19,496	1 11 5 0 11 0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
·····	171	38,383	41,230	383	4 14 4 4 0 10	
67 55	12,111 105	63,803 24,255	77,273 28,300	$\begin{array}{cccc}1&8&2\\2&7&8\end{array}$	3 13 11	
770	890	26,396 87,226	30,153 92,697	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 12 & 11 \\ 2 & 11 & 3 \end{array}$	
984	14,079	3,23,183	3,64,718	1 13 11	2 15 6	
603 1,026	638 1,026	£5,443 42,214	61,811 46,021	1 9 0 1 15 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 \end{array} $	
1,629	1,664	97,657	1,07,832	1 11 0	2 6 4	-
10.897	o1 007		0.17.000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
18,237 800	21,387 800	2,32,981 56,595 44,923	2,45,923 62,849	8 10 7 3 7 5	5 1 11 4 15 10	
	777	44,923	49,964	3 6 11	4 7 4	_
19,122	22,964	3,34,499	3,58,736	395	4 15 10	
1,10,441	1,77,624	8,82,228	9,74,415	4 5 10	651	
976 30	1,176 30	51,148 68,207	54,617 71,204	3 13 6 2 15 10	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1,11,447	1,78,830	10,01,583	11,00,236	11 3 2	15 10 8	-
3,707	8,579	1,28,259	1,38,840	3 12 1	547	-
26,334 102	31,064 102	1,27,711	1,31,395	465	569	
381	6,136	37,920 52,639	45,037 57,337	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrrr} 3 & 10 & 4 \\ 3 & 13 & 1 \end{array} $	* Inclusive of Rs. 4,000 wron shown in this column
30,524	45,881	3,46,529	3,72,609	3 8 1	4 12 4	* Inclusive of Rs. 4,000 wron shown in this column the Bbiwandi Municipal being an advance given the Educational Departum
13,56,790	29,53,380	74,19,590	79,11,211	4 8 10	5 13 10	recoverable in three years payment of Teachers' p etc., as per G. R., E. D., No. of 27th October 1921.
$32,495 \\ 1,426$	33,916 8,078	3,96,3 06 2,36,803	4,66,306 2,61,280	10 5 1 4 10 8	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
200 97	200	28,180 3,634	43,018	4 10 8 3 2 0 0 15 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 & 12 & 5 \\ 3 & 7 & 6 \\ 2 & 5 & 0 \end{array} $	
34,218			3,716			
01266	42,291	6,64,923	7,79,850	6 14 0	9 12 11	

.

STATEMENT

bit bit <th>a ta ang Pakakan</th> <th>ality.</th> <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th> </th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>MUNICIP</th>	a ta ang Pakakan	ality.	1						-				MUNICIP
CENTRAL DIVISION. Rs. Rs. <thrs.< th=""> Rs. <thrs.< th=""></thrs.<></thrs.<>		Serial Number of Municipality.		funici	ipality.		hand at close of last	(1)—Ootroi.	Tax on houses and lands.	animals and	professions	Tolls (on roads and ferrits).	Water-rate
	1	2		8			4	5	6	7	8	9	10
38 Kharda 39 9,975 997 30 10.04 1 37 Sangmer 2,121 2,232 350 2,731 38 Vanbori 2,121 2,323 350 1,101 40 Bhusval 15,060 25,007 27,602 078 12,07 12,07 40 Bhusval 44,033 15,060 23,005 674 <th>CENTI</th> <th>RAI</th> <th>L DIVIS</th> <th>510</th> <th>N.</th> <th></th> <th>Rs.</th> <th>Rs.</th> <th>Rs.</th> <th>Rs.</th> <th>Rs.</th> <th>Rs.</th> <th>Rs.</th>	CENTI	RAI	L DIVIS	510	N.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
$ \begin{tabulary}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. ()	35	Ahmednagar							623	•••••		18,239
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	hmednagar	36	Kharda		•••						•••••	545	
Altor District In Total Ibberlin Ibberli		37	Sangamner					10,554		22 6		2,731	
430 Jalgoon 10,00 25,007 27,602 97,6 20,11 40 Bhasaral 41,033 15,064 22,005 474 1,237 41 Yaral 6,521 7,392	(38	Vámbori				2,121*		2,328	280	•••••	1,194	
			4		Total		13,276	82,052	34,678	1,159		4,470	18,239
		20	Televen				15.506	28 007	27 802	078			
41 Ya'wal 4,360 10,005 407	í		-										26,215
1 Indua Image: Second Sec						- 1	÷						•• •••
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$													******
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			-										
at Khándesh 46 Amaler m. n 10,709 10,709 10,709 10,719 632													
as Animatein 40 Perrola 5,576 0,117 838 1,767 1,767 1,767 1,767													
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	st Khändesh		Amalner			•							
			Parola	•••	•••								
40 Ernadol 1,886 9,109 005		47	Chopda			•					1,707		
$ \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		48	Dharangaon					7,082				·	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		49	Erandol				6						
$fair \dots \dots \begin{cases} 51 \\ Dhulla \dots \dots \dots \dots (53,065 \\ 95,6161 \\ 24,279 \\ 8,742 \\ \\ 9,742 \\ \\ 9,742 \\ \\ \\ 19,712 \\ \\ 19,712 \\ \\ 19,712 \\ \\ 10,615 \\ \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,615 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,615 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,615 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,615 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,712 \\ \\ 10,616 \\ \\ 11,752 \\ \\ 10,71$	i	50	Chalisgaon				3,524	3,517	8,648	207			
rest Khåndesh. 52 Nandurbár 11,721 19,773 9,644 605 5,085 rest Khåndesh. 53 Shæháda 5,207 3,876 4,056 391 3,551 54 Shæháda 1,084 4,680 3,677 398 8.06 55 Taloda 1,084 4,680 3,677 398 8.06 56 Sindkheda 5,651 1,055 <	.*				Total		1,16,635	79,395	1,47,964	5,060	1,707	1,237	26,215
Fest Khåndesh. 6 Dinkin 11,721 19,773 9,644 605 6,085 Fest Khåndesh. 52 Nandurbár 5,207 3,876 4,656 391 3,551 54 Shirpur 4,980 11,608 4,724 375													
Yest Khåndesh. 53 Shaháda 5,207 3,876 4,656 361 3,651 Yest Khåndesh. 53 Shaháda 4,980 11,608 4,724 375 54 Shirpur 1,084 4,686 3,577 308	- [51	Dhulia			•	63,095	95,616†	24,279	3,742			- 19,712
rest Khåndesh. 60 Shirpur 4,080 11,608 4,724 375 55 Taloda 1,084 4,686 3,577 398 6.004 168 6.004 168 6.004 168 6.004 168		52	Nandurbár				11,721	19,773	9,644	605		5,085	
S4 Shirpur	Test Whiledow	53	Shaháda				5,207	3,876	4,656	361		3,551	
56 Sindkheda 2,791 6,904 188 Betávad 5,851 1,655	est Knandesh. {	54	Shirpur				4,980	11,508	4,724-	375			
Image: Second State Sta		55	Taloda				1,084	4,686	3,577	398		806	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		56	Sindkheda				2,791		. 6,904	188			
78 Násik 25,726 49,902 20,855 6,900 17,522 34,804 59 Yeola 9,007 16,609 13,630 664 3,136 60 Málegaon 15,196 21,371 13,063 579 4,253 61 Sinnar 5,466 6,791 3,128 43 6,330 62 Igatpuri 4,207 11,111 63 Trimbak 4,602 4,634 720 1,330	l	57	Betávad	***			5,851		1,655		·····		•••••••
59 Yeola 9,007 16,609 13,630 664 3,136 60 Málegaon 15,196 21,371 13,063 579 4,253 61 Sinnar 5,466 5,791 3,128 43 6,330 62 Igatpuri 4,207 11,111 1,996 42 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Total</td><td>•</td><td>94,729</td><td>1,35,459</td><td>55,439</td><td>5,669</td><td></td><td>9,442</td><td>19,712</td></t<>					Total	•	94,729	1,35,459	55,439	5,669		9,442	19,712
59 Yeola 9,007 16,609 13,630 664 3,136 60 Málegaon 15,196 21,371 13,063 579 4,253 61 Sinnar 5,466 5,791 3,128 43 6,330 62 Igatpuri 4,207 11,111 1,996 42 <t< td=""><td></td><td>59</td><td>Násik</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>25.726</td><td>40 009</td><td>26 855</td><td>6 900</td><td></td><td>17 5/9</td><td>94 004</td></t<>		59	Násik				25.726	40 009	26 855	6 900		17 5/9	94 004
60 Málegaon 15,196 21,371 13,063 579 4,253 Kásiz 61 Sinnar 5,466 5,791 3,128 43 6,330 62 Igatpuri 4,207 11,111	. (
Iásiz						- 1							
62 Igatpuri 4.207 11,111 63 Trimbak 20,772 1,996 42 2,015 2,365 64 Nandgaon 4,002 4,634 720 1,330	lásia		-					10 C					
63 Trimbak 20,772 1,996 42 2,015 2,365 64 Nandgaon 4,902 4,634 720 1,330]		1			-			i				
64 Nandgaon 4,602 4,634 720 1,330	i					- 1							
Total 05.976 02.079 74.417 0.049 94.558 07.160	l											- Y 1	
10041 00,270 03,010 74,917 0,010 04,000 37,100					Total		85,276	93,673	74,417	8,948		34,586	37,169

	in as	1 tax, &c., !	ax, pilgrin ary).	servants' t y bo necess	etail, <i>e. g.</i> , umns as maj	ecified in d many col	es (to be sp	Other Tax		rvancy g scaveng- l latrine tes.	(including	
3	Miscellaneou	Tax on ottas and projec- tions.	Factory tax.	Motor and cycie,	Cotton Manufac- turing tax.	Shop tax during fairs.	General water rate.	Dog tax.	Pilgrim tax.	General sanitary cess.	Special sanitary cess.	Lighting- ate.
-	22		20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11
	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Ps.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rø.
		2,620				•••	10,354	3		10,771	9,587	
		***					•••			464		***
						•••				2,861	123	
	M1000	•••	***				•••		****	776		-
	1,, 110	2, 620			·		10,354	3	*****	14,873	9,710	
	·		***					*****		2,556	E,728	
				11		-14		48		5,161	3,593	
					7	•••			*****		363	900
			•••	***	6,021					1,559	370	
				•••				*****	*** ***	******	679	
									*****	1,454	718	
					•••		•••		******	3,354	552	2,861
	******	•••							*****	1,956	462	•••
		•••			1,048	•••			.,.***	2, 825	1,192	1,584
		•••	•••		154	•**			*****	2,228	1,152	
	*****	***	•••	•••					******	1,918	944	1,151
	·····• .	•••	•••			•••	•••		*****	2,173	423	
				11	7,078		.,,	48	\$11114	25,184	13,790	6,496
			3,275			•••	•••	*****	*** ***	6 , C65	18,133	***
	20,000	•••	***	**			-4	·	144 144			
	******	•••		•••							•••	•••
	*****			•••	•••		•••			1,035	560	
	*****	•••	***	m		•••			*** ***	•••••	•••	•••
	*****	••••	···•	 	, ,	•••	•••	******	***		1.1	····
-					·				<u></u>		10.000	·····
-	*****		3, 275	•••						5,100	10,609	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			•••		1.44			15,233	6,684	9,974	***
				•••		•••		1		4,829	2,167	***
							•••		******	6,555	1,369	
				•••			***		Fail + 1.8	651	286	
			•••	•••		***				7,875		***
			•••	•••		204			9,775	697	392	
_				***						622	270	• •••
ĺ						204		1	25,008	27,813	14,458	·
	1			***	1	H	***		1 20,000	לטגסניוש ו	A 20 20 10	

а 675—3

SUDA INTO A TOX M

į		RWAL	ILATIONS C	NDER SPR	CIAL ACT	8.		REVENUE DERIVED PROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY AND POWERS APART FROM TATATION.						
			in deta	er sonrees ail in as m may be ne	any colu	บาทธ		Rents of lands, honses,		Conser-	Fees and		Feerrn	
Total rates nd taxes.	From pounds.	From hackney carri- ages.	Cost of addi- tional Police sec. 25 of the Dis- trict Police Act.	License fee for kerosine.	Local Funi cess.	Copying and Process fees.	Total.	serais (rest- house), dak bunga- lows, &c.	Sale-pro- ceeds of lands and produce of lands, &c.	vaucy foreights (other than taxes and rates).	reverae from Educa- tiona) Institu- tiona,	Fees and revenue from Medical Institu- tions.	revenue froto Market and Slaughte houses	
23	24	23	28 (a)	26	27	23	2)	30	31	82	83	34	35	
Rs.	R9,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	R5.	Re.	Ra.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1,42,566	528			•••			729	2,549	1,555	2,881	2, 199		10,50	
5,891	69						90	14		•••	299		10	
25,223	73						73	72	212	939	7,625	31	2, 03	
4,578	51		***				51	109	7	51	174	17	35	
1,78,357	741			•••			741	2,744	1.774	8,871	10,577	48	13,02	
68,799	1,077	15		•	609	29	1,930	4,207	331	10,231	1,3 32		6,13	
50,422	374		-14	20	219	20	733	4,206	449	8,867	4,623		11,72	
11,873	247			20	51	4	822	45	30	284	3,091	32	1,8:3	
15,342	159			ð	42	22	228	318		865	3,200		4,110	
11,078	363			5	В	12	358	21		851	1,0:3	59	3,00	
8,277	115			10	•••		125	66	541	4:5	471	63	3,70	
37,721	1,414			20	372	13	1,549	1,217		1,045	28	120	12,65	
11,871	561			10	17	10	598	266	555	2,910	556	59	4 41	
23,795	1,113			25	172	40	1,350	337	20	871	762	101	5,200	
28,010	854	•••		15	183	14	1,066	206	846	2,230	600	213	5,135	
14,028 34,969	882 523			*	50	26 8	958 531	909	• 9,149	1,922	875 517	28 95	3,111 2,400	
		•••		***						H1				
3,14,153	7,712			130	2,022	198	10,077	11,718	4,305	25,0-14	16,810	770	64,116	
1,58,521	2,348						2,343	419	2,033	6,445	690		30, 050	
37,024	1,083			***			1,082	433		864	3,055	101	4,805	
12,444	956			•••			956	84		1,341	307	25	2,510	
18,202	446		·	•••	•••	-	446	1,273		793	1,64 5	111	9,391	
9,167	858			•••			859	3		240	1	130		
7,092	166			•••	•••		166	70		171	202	35	1,253	
1,655	111			***			111	87		130	197		2,557	
2,44,705	5,967		••••	•••		-	6,937	2,458	2,039	9,784	6,707	492	41,232	
			·										19.01	
1,67,574	1,314	100	 8 11 0	•••			1,444	0,855 714	349 273	5,539 551	9,6°€ 3,516	 149	18,645 4,519	
41,036	8 3*6	25 30	2,112	***			2,115 366	196	43	4,026	2,575	250	10,739	
47,190 16,529	250	50		•••	•••		300	55	10	41	419	160	62	
18,956	439						439			158	4'6		5,6-5	
17,356	158	20					178	560		203	155	55		
7,576	2:0				• -•		280	636		165	1,072	20	1,210	
3,16,277	2,815	225	2,118				5,152	9,016	660	11,141	11,075	6.9	28,140	

REVENUE DERIVED FROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY AND POWERS APART FROM TAX	XATION—continued.

Vers and			Other fees (to be columns :	specified in detail as may be nevessar	in as many y).		
revenuð from framways	Fees for the temparary occupation of municipal land.	Notice and Warrunt tees.	Stone fees, quarrying lees, and Liconce fees for lime and brick kilns,	Copying fccs,	Mandap fees.	Fees for lost humbers for wheel tax.	Miscel- laneous
30	37	39	39	40	41	42	43
Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
	1,332	282	303		a 64 44 6		
	117	03	*** ***			her 191	
	138	102			104 904	181 041	
···		85	11		, 10 11 1	*** * * *	(1) 114
	1,5~7	562	314				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1,532	463		-	~~~		
	1,052	192	*****	*****	••• •••	*****	
***	03 5	45	******	10	*** ***	******	***
*****	10	19		******	*** ***	201 444 198 468	1018+6
34-148	77	25	******			*****	
	41	65	***				
	88	392	1841-18		451 683		
	250	304				******	******
	314	58	******			*****	*** ***
	279	284					
••••	115	381	*** •45		*****		
*****	41	,	*** ***		•••• •••	***	
*****	2,918	2,257	······			•••• 121	· •
<u></u>							
	1,128	193		. 35	501	o ya 149	
		243	*****	41	219		
	*****	58		2	56	100 vig	
		47		3		******	4
	77	14		1	95	*****	4
		23	*** • • •	1	3		5
	•••••••		***	2	******		
	1,205	578	•16 154	88	950		98
	922		*****				
	514	349				******	**1***
	352	017	*** 111				53
		131			400 174		
******	1,391	92	••••				
		73	*****	***	101110		
		31	*****	. .	181 ***	******	***
	3,179	1,292					

.

 $\overline{256}$

Fines under		Interest of Investmen	nte.			
Municipal and other Acts.	For General purposes.	For Educational purposes.	For Medical purposes.	Promium on Loans.	Total.	For General purposes,
-		1	purposes.		.	has houses
44	45	46	47	43	49	6 0
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	fis,
1,250					29,153	500
		*12 ***	886848 886 648		630	
269			*** ;**		11,420	
6	*****	*****			822	
						
1,524	4 68 116		*****	IV1+114	36,025	7
186	1,032		***188	1804.94	25,453	*** ***
164	1,467	120	\$8+a+è		27,069	1,148
7		5	*****	***	5,981	*** ***
13	*****	****	*****		8,054	*****
88	1,110		******	*****	5,744	8
8		•••••	499.424		5,410	*** ***
102	*****	17	1+1+14		15,695	*****
38	50	15	*****		9,544	*****
79	5×××××				7,832	
08	45	******	1141-19	111.11	9,915 6,692	
41 7	*****	•••••	*****		5,212	
801	2,594	157	••••••	•••••	1,32,190	3,157
25	660	220			33,071	9,230
145			181 gaq	63	0,375	. 30
12	******	147	*****		4,902	*** ***
80	******	men			13,431	******
20		,	*****	****.14	1,950	410 198
••••	141440	r * * 4 0 00	******		1,945	100.000
	۰۰۰۰۰ بر مصحی	HII	•••••••		3,215	••••
282	660	367		60	67,889	3,200
	*** f 1 @	11			29,924	4,370
174		14	·····		10,631	610
403		5	118		21,059	168
118	*****			<i>"</i>	1,563	•••••••
26			100 ji.e		7,765	*** ***
8					1,083	*** ***
9	*****	05	17	41 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8,828	*****
732		95	129	1 1	76,032	5,173

257		URFOSES).	ND SPECIAL P	R GENERAL A	TRIBUTIONS (PO	ANTS AND COM	GR	
	28.	om other Source	Fr	la.	rom Local Fund	F		From Government,
Total. Ceiumns 52 60.	For Medical purposes.	For Educational purposes.	For General purposes.	For Medical purposes.	For Educational purposes.	For General purposes.	For Medical purposes,	For Educational purposes.
59	58	67	50	\$ 3	54	63	52	Б1
Rs.	Rs.	₽s,	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
23,71	•••••		- 231		392		*****	22,580
4,31	*** ***				•••		*** ***	4,310
17,60	•••			350	460	14		16,501
2,168	••••••			<i></i>	231			1,937
47,79			231		1,093	14	*****	45,340
20,09	••			*****	162	•• •••		10,929
18,73	••••	2,000			510		*****	18,066
7,44	*** . * *		913	500	703		••••	5,245
9,13	*****	40			680	*****	******	8,413
7, 94	••••••	******	••	600 #00	1,695			5,751
4,99	150			500	478	37		3,831
17,74	•••••	*****	144	550	645			16,403
9,23	•••		•••••	500	461			8,271
10,75	•••		•••••	340	815		200	9,400
12,92	100	•••••	** •••	300 500	605	*** ***	200	11,724
11,31	•••••	* *****		500 400	1,120 200	***	300	9,897 9,130
9,73			••••••					
1,40,04	250	2,040	1,057	4,090	8,150	37	700	1,22,F54
27,11	*** ***		181		563			23,135
12,37	1,852		304	200	506		100	0,781
3,863	••••••	117	•••	400	418		350	2,678
9,56	******	25		350	944		200	8 0 1 1
4,45	•••••		•••••	300	· 232		500	3,420
5,28	*** ***			500	965		630	3,222
3,11	·····		*** ,,,,	•••••	648			2,463
65,76	1,832	143	573	1,750	4.291		1,750	. 52,643
84,080					106	***		20,604
}	2,273	****	45	300	478		18	11,382
15,130				600	438			15,036
4,360	••••			* 750	422	2		3,192
4,453	*****	500			617	*****		3,#36
2,946	••• •••		••••••	850	238	***	100	2,258
5,79	333	767	***	300	21-4			4,183
83,020	2,006	J,267	45	2,300	2,513	2	118	68,991

н 575-4

.

· 21

ፍርጉ እግሞን እቶ ተን እንግጡ

•

	MISCELLANEOUS	•				EXTRACRI	DINART AND DE
. [·		L	Joans.
Recoveries on account of services rendered to private individuals	Other items.	Total.	Total income of year excluding opening halance. Columns 23 + 27 + 41 + 51 + 54.	Sale-proceeds of Government Sceuritics and withdrawals from Savings Bank.	Bale-proneeds of cheap grain Shop.	¥rom Government.	Raised in th open market.
6)	61 \	62	63	64	65	66	67
Re.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Re,	Rs,	Rs.
	5,134	5,131	1,05,003				
111-11	18	18	11,039		*** ***		
	99	09	51,421	****,*,			
	363	363	7,983	4.14			
,,,,	5,614	5,614	2,08,535				
				******			/** ym
169	1,032	1,201	1,37,473	38,600			
	1,251	1,251	98,204			••••••• 	
	82	82	25,105	******			
	359	353	33,110			******	
	801	. 801	25,959	1			1
	80	80	18,883	3,000			
	86	86	73,093		+	******	** •*•
1	72	73	31,318			•••••••	
4111	290	290	44,022	*****	******		
···· ,	2,810	2,819	52,739				
*****	828	328	83,506	*****		·**o.	
	238	. 232	30,673	••••••	····	*• ••••	eri 161
170	7,426	7,596	6,04,000	41,600			
							·
101 512	1,796	1,796	2,29,153	******			
26	887	413	60,266				***
	372	372	- 22,537				
	376	3 76	42,018	··· *·	,,,,,,		
	79	79	16,806			ma	*** ***
1 -111	210	210	14,700		***	•••••	
*****	13		8,105				
26	8,233	3,259	3,87,585				******
1,855	9,274	11,129	2,44,451				
19	458	477	69,625	100			
39	812	851	85,707 _{x2}	1,450			** ' 4 #\$
	57	57	22,515				
***	118	118	31,761	50			
- 01 980	1,018	1,018	22,611	····		** ****	******
*****	418	448	17,929				
						1	

22

No. II-continued.

Advand	263•							
Permanent.	Other.	Doposits.	Total.	Total receipts excluding opening balance.	Total receipts including opening balance.	Incidence of taxation (column 23) per head of population.	Incidence of income (column 55) per head of population.	Remars.
63	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	
Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rø.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
	7,690	8,224	15,914	2,11,007	2,21,796	449	5 14 2	
		184	184	11,223	11,562	1 2 8	2 2 5	
		179	179	54,600	54,625	295	592	
		·····	******	7,983	10,103	146	2 1 0	*The last year's closin balance was erroneous
	7,690	8,587	16,277	2,84, 812	2,98,088	374	533	shown by the Municipality
	501	1,408	40,599	1,78,072	1,93,578	3 12 11	5 14 4	
	2,583		2,583	1,00,787	1,42,720	1 15 7	8 13 6	
		*****		25,105	29,401	110	2 5 0	
200	0 * 4 82 5 544 90 *		200	33,310	39,831	1 11 0	3 10 3	
	***.**	360	360	26,319	30,846	167	3 4 11	
137		75	3,212	22,100	22,186	108	2 5 10	
784444	7,650	63	7,748	80,841	91,640	250	4 8 0	
	638	525	1,163	32,481	38,057	0159	2.86	
		235	235	44,257	56,985	165	297	
46 (*# 3		992	992	53,731	63,504	1 12 1	3 10 0	
****	1,075	63 6	1,711	35,217	36,583	1 1 11	2 10 11	
	741424	613	613	31,236	34,810	1 4 10	2 14 2	
337	12,567	4,912	59,416	6,63,506	7,80,141	1 14 1	3 9 1 1	
				*** **				
	620	7,991	8,611	2,31,784	2,94,859	562	791	The amount of Rs. 95,61
	475	320	795	61,061	72,782	2 13 1	495	includes the income (1 from the Terminal Ta
			*****	22,537	27,744	2 1 2	3 12 0	on imported article viz., Rs. 41,358 and (2) in
*****		610	610	42,628	47,608	217	4 13 6	come from the tax o the export of cotto
		179	170	16,985	18,069	161	273	balos, viz., Rs. 54,248.
	*** ***	*****	, 	14,700	17,491	1 3 11	293	
273	*****	357	630	8,735	14,586	068	208	
273	1,095	9,457	10,825	3,08,410	4,93,139	350	540	
	793	1,922	2,715	2,47,166	- 2,72,892	4 5 1	670	
		1,043	1,143	70,768	2,72,882 79,775	* 0 1 2 15 2	501	
	-360	1,208	3,018	88,725	1,03,921	2 0 1	3 10 4	
•••••	14	25	39	22,554	23,020	100	300	
*****		P1 414	50	31,811	36,018	1 14 5	3 3 0	
*****	2,413	18	2,426	25,037	45,809	8 14 10	5 1 10	
	••••••	*** *11		17,029	22,831	0153	241	
	3,580	4,211	9,391	5,03,990	5,89,206	3 0 2	4 11 8	

д 575**—**5

.

ī

MURIOI									
Water-rat	Tolls (on roads and forries).	Tax on professions and trades,	Tax on animals and vehicles,	Tax on houses and lands,	(I) Octrol.	Balance in hand at the close of last year.	Name of Municipality.	Serial Number of Municipality.	Name of Division and District.
. 10	9	8	7	6	6	4	- 8	2	1
Re.	Rs.	Bs.	ßs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	DIVISION-contd.	LI	CENTRA
03,077		11,238	19,825	1,03,457	4,01,145	1,91,647	Poona City	64	(
-	1,893		120	1,120	4,702	617	Sa'swad	65	
	961		154	478	2,477	9,556	Jejari	66	
	4,050		753	3,809	15,505	863	Baramati	67	
***	1,151	-	103	1,171	3,142	204	Indápur " ".	63	
1,710		***	33	1,445	5,623	850	Sirúr	69	Jona
2,719	721	***	169	1,655	5,479	3,044	Talegaon (Dábhádo)	70	4
11,291			935	27,874		88,295*	Lonávla	71	
•••	1,035	415	47	1,271		14,691	Alandi	72	
	1,660		933	4,128	8,917	1,844	Junnar	73	
•••		408	••••••	43,759	45,280	18,301	Poona Suburban 🔐	74	(
				•					
1,00,367	12,980	12,061	22,002	1,90,167	4,02,330	3,19,035	Total		
		6		5,498	***	1,151	Ashta	75	ſ
	1,450	75	331	6,019	i	1,723	Islámpur	76	1
15,71	2,558	180	512	3,691	9,580	4,856	Karád	77	
		218	1,520	20,435		17,580	Malcolmpeth	78	
	1,030	40	9,782	1,602	2,083	2,294	Mhaswad	79	
2		 '	480	11,644		7,329	Pánchgani	80	
		45		1,674	2,813	1,020	Rahimatpur	81	atára
15,09	2,611	185	1,283	9,111	82,483	9,495	Satara City	82	
•••			531	2,674	···	9,097	Satara Suburban	83	
	2,087	880	160	5,031	3,149	9 23	Tásgaon	84	
	929	10	129	1,710	1,147	1,281†	Vita	85	1
•••	2,234		817	2,859	16,428	2,949	Wai	86	, (
36,829	12 800	1,069	8,005	72,070	68,583	6 0, 658	- Total		
						~ <u></u>	a		
1,57,680		•••	2,130	76,917	2,43,840	2,08,855‡	Sholápur	87	(
20 057	10,925	**	1,092	10,546	87,364	33,678	Bársi "	83	
20,657				D 004	11,449	8,816	4	89	
	1,408		1,053	2,904			Karmála	03	Shelápur {
	1,426 10,361 1,977	•••	1,053 702 216	2,904 9,827 1,519	46,029 2,140	8,039 947	Fandharpur	90	shelapur (

• The President, Lonavia Municipality reports that the amount of Rs. 15.000 invested in War Bonds was credited to general balance in 1620-2), but it was not included in the balance of the last year. This mistake has now been corrected. Regarding mistake of Rupce one he explains that owing to elimination of annas and pies in last year's Statement the mistake courred. It is now corrected. I tast year the closing balance was shown to be Rs. 1,418. It was incorrect. There is a difference of Rs. 10 in the closing balance of the last year and the opening balance of the current year of Sholepur Municipality as they wreagily showed Rs. 10 in excess on account of Dimesod in annas and pies. So its, 10 have been deducted from the closing balance of the last year.

12,92,734

8,41,222

2,55,335

9,43,974

Total ..

DIVISIONAL TOTAL

1,01,743

8,76,678

5.283

57,116

14,937

30,029

1,05,048

1,00,570

4,39,121

RATES AND	TAXES.
-----------	--------

	Conservan scavenging a	ey (including nd latrine rates).	Other Ta	Other Taxes (to be specified in detail, e.g., servants' tax, pilgrim to many columns as may be necessary).					
Light- ing rate.	Special sanitary cess.	General sani- tary cess.	Pilgrim tax,	Dog tax,	General water rate.	Motor and cycle tax.	Cotton manufac- turing tax	Shop tax during fairs.	Looms o Factory tax.
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	. 19	20
Rs,	Rs.	R9,	Rs,	· Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	43,293	11,961	***	223	•••				49[
a	243	197	348	~11				•••	
	41	249	10,028					•••	
•••	099	1,469		•••	•••	***		***	
	280		•••	•••		•••			
•••	450	373	•••	~1		•••			
***	147	520			•••	•••			*****
•••		430	۹	5		•••	•••	•••	
***	80	272	16,826	•••	•••	•••		•••	
••	397	487				•••	,		
7,323	•••	7,239		204				***	
7,323	45,933	23,197	27,002	432					
·									
						<u></u>			
•••		••••	•••	•••	•••		•••	***	
•••	191	514174		•••				•••	*** ***
•••	805		•••	•••		-110	n.	•••	
•••	***	333	•••			•••		•1•	1949 h.
•••	***		•••	***		•••	,	***	191991
•••	•••		•••	•••			•••	•••	(*1948
***			•**	•••		•••		•••	
•••	5,321	2,980	****	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	
•••	132	*****	**	•••	•••	•••	***	•••	
•••	***		••			(•••	
•••	1,479	1,830	***	***		***			
						++++	***	•••	
	8,018	5,152		•41	•••	• **			
])	
***	24,015	9,623		3 0		•••		•••	
•••	5,610		***	-14	***	***		•1•	
***	258	1,126	•••		<i>.</i>	**1			
***	5,690	1,823	99,013		<i>/</i> *>	<i>?</i> *.		***	
•••	. 66	878			•••				
****	35,609	13,450	00,013	30	***		 		
13,819	1,39,197	1,14,768	1,51,023	514	10,351		7,076	204	8,27

H 575-5*

								(11) 1	REALIZATION
Terminal tas ou ound rout.	Tax on otas and projec- tions.	Drainage and cess pool tax.	Slaughter- house tax.	Servants' tax.	Adda, ta z .	Miscella- ncour.	Total rates and taxes.	From pounds,	From hackney carriages,
21 (8)	3?	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
					1				
		58,402		•••		•••	7,42,721	2,857	
	•••••	•••	•••	***		•••	8,623	29	*****
	*** 187			•••	-11		14,991	76	
		•••		***		•••	27,404	240	
		-15		***	•		5,852	91	
*****	*****		••• .	* •••		**	9,8 39	98	
			•••			,	11,430	221 105	
	*** ····			••		•	40,525 19,746	103	
*****	*****	•••	•••	•••			15,822	11	9
*****				***			1,04,193		
		58,402		•••			10,00,636	8,672	9

		_		······			······		
	50.						5,499	22	
	*****		1	***			8,096	139	*****
491							\$3,818	155	
1				1,987	101		24,504	43	183
				• * *		· •••	9,3§7	57	•••••
					131	81	12,362	16	
	,,,,,,,,			414		4	4,532	39	
				*13			69,055	201	•••
		,,,		•••			3,237	77	
47- 380				***	•••		10,760	129	
	******			***	•		3,925	21	
	r#8 14			***		•••	25,141	31	200
ir finnt "nis philosophicae and								*	
491	*****	***	•••	1,987	239	81	2,10,408	930	353
	المعادية المتحر ورواسي								
4.4.1.1	*** -**			***		•••	5,13,765	1,115	52
A. (7)				*16		•••	92,194	357	
			61	•••			18,347	138	
	*****	/		•••		1,590	1,94,401	1,094	
*1 ****	* 1• <i>••</i> •			•••		•••	6,796	337	
		-							
	19, 1/4		61.	•••	•••	1,596	8,28,560	3,001	õ2
491	2,630	58,402	61	1,067	282	1,677	3.,90,130	21,028	684

UNDER	SPECI.	AL ACTS.	-	·					R	IVENJE DERI	WED FROM M	
E're i	n detai	l in as n	s (to be sy any colu ccessary).	nns		Rents of	0.1	0			Fees and	26
Local Fund cess.	('ost of addi- tional police.	License fee for Kero- sinø.	Copying process fees.	Miscel- lancous,	Total,	lands, houses, serais (rest- house), dák bungalows, &c.	Sale- proceeds of lands and produce of lands, &c,	Conservancy reccipts (other than taxes and rates).	Fees and revenue from Educational Institutions.	Fees and revenue from Medical Institutions.	revenue from Markets and	Fees and revenue from Tramways.
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Es.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
322				***	3,159	726	2,270	27,303	2,857	0	62,856	
		,	***		29	384		1	388	194	150	
***		,			76	37		63	205	16	·	
					200	•••••	50	182	1,916	306	13,951	
				***	31	20	1,375	555	329	112	522	
		,			93	s		***	223	45	1,792	
		-49			221	6	217	233	78	28	P10	
				•••	163	510		235	1,308	1,702	2,683	
				•••	1	1,217		5	101	14		
- 54				`	74	44	79	122	945	46	212	
				***			5 25	1,678	188	22	*** ***	·•.
											·	
376	[-14	* **	4,057	2,962	4,516	30,380	7,948	2 ,4 8õ	82,166	•••
					22	1		240 (51	 3t:3		157	
		-			139	142	691	******	209	42		***
					155	520	41	46	1,002	53	1,257	•••
				*10	225	10,559	******	1	214	***	873	•••
					57		12	116 100 - 1	352	63	847	
•••			-14		16	457	45	••••	82	694	633	•••
•••				-++	3 9	*****		••••	245	10		•••
•••				•••	201	1,471	693	1,201	923	••••	3,491	
•••			•••	•••	77	45	••• •••	•••••	91	******	709	·
				•••	129	251	*****	*** • 09	589	80		
					21	557	*****	7	184	*** ***	*****	
•**				•••	231	71	346	148	599	47	1,118	
					1,313	14,074	1,831	1,403	4,836	1,003	9,085	
	-						<u>-</u>					
				***	1,167	25,020	50,028	5,693	1,062	. 43	23,468	*** 1
***				***	387	526 97	583	889	4,081	40	1,371	•••
				••• 50	158	27 710	23	1 3,700	696	47	72	•••
			,		1,144 337	710	3,3 90 41		8,750 365	1,204 71	4,800 2,953	
		***	,	•••	0.01		-9 L	*** ***	365	71	2,003	
				50	3,193	26,283	54,065	10,372	14,954	1,465	32,664	
2,393	2,112	130										

н 575—5†

• •

	Other	fees (to be a columns a	peelfied in is may be i	dotail in as recessary).	a many		Fines	Interes	t of Iuvestr	nents.		
Fees for mporary occupa- tion of lunicipal lands.	Notice and Warrant fees.	Stone and quarry fees, etc., license fees for lime and brick tubes.		Projection lecs.	Mandap foes.	Miscel- laneous.	under Municipal and other Acts.	For General purposes.	For Educa- tional purposes.	For Medical purposes,	Premium on Loans.	Fotal
44	45	46	47	43	49	50	51	52	£ 3	6)	51	36
Rs.	Rs.	Rə.	R9.	R 8.	Rs.	, Rs,	Rs.	E.a.	- Ks.	Rs.	Rs,	Re
2,696	9,407		884				6,023	4,020	89		•••	1,18,6
35	147		7				20					1,13,0
	3		• 0				. 3					3
	4		8				265	135				16,81
	54		4		-41		236					3,1
58	231		6				28	140	·			2,5
89	203		5	·			1					2,0
18	- 30		j2				4	1,012				7,5
	59		2				7	187				1,0
28	121		17				60				-14	1,0
	3,748		36				434	7,309	115	7 63		14,8
				 								
2,872	8,097	,	487		141		7,980	12,803	203	763		1,63,60
			' 									
	48		1				20					58
***	41	[17		230					1,3
	823						452					8,7(
.**							505	475				12,6
•••	65		з		185	67			•			1,40
	14		•9					•••				1,03
	10			ł			6			68		8
						89						7,80
•••	11					1,334	15	1,440				3,64
	358						15			-		1,30
												72
-14	98		28				2 60		••			2,71
	971		41		17	1,490	1,512	1,915		00	•••	88,24
1,159					609	8,001	355	2,683				1,18,12
			44				267	131				7,93
•••							19	. . .				861
203							269		···]			23,176
•••	70		8		-		33					9,530
1.362	70	•	47		609	8,001	943	2,814	rm			1,53,644
13,123		-	·])			i	

261				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	rces.	rom other Sour	F	s,	rom Local Fund	F1	ient,	From Governm]
Total.	For Medical purposes,	For Educational purposes.	For General purposes,	For Medical purposes.	For Educational purposes.	For General purposes.	For Medical purposes,	For Educational purposes.	For General arposes,
66	65	64	63	62	61	60	59	63	57
Rs,	Rs.	R9.	Rø.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
76,998							15,041	61,357	0
4,960				450	415		500	3,595	
847				300	195			352	
13,778		••••		600	552		225	12,401	•••
4,022		*** • • •		500	150		800	2,572	
4,558	28	40		40 0 -	689			3,401	•
3,677	******		••• •••	4 7õ	174	·	350	2,678	•••
6,814					58	•••••	**	6, 756	
2,199				50	510	····	250	1,339	
3,782			•••••	* 800	400		500	2,582	
7,828	4,300	4(0		100		•••••	•••••	2,528	
1,28,963	4,328	440		3,175	3,143		18,266	99,611	0
				. <u>.</u>					
5,359					59	1,438		3,861	***
6,328				500	68	1,060	400	4,300	•••
10,675				*****	752		200	8,998	725
7,105					33	422	370	3,036	3,214
2,847				250	44	*****	300	2,253	•••
5,079				500			630	408	3,541
5,843				500	92	968	300	3,983	•••
17,602	60	96		*** •4	742 80	••••••		15,465 774	1,239 4,28)
5,134		•••••		 300	199	1,008	 400	7,327	
9,134 2,860	*****							2,860	•••
18,737		*****	039	350	589			11,830	
91,702	60	98	839	2,400	2,658	4,596	2,600	65,024	13,029
									<u> </u>
50,129			•••		2,827		****	42,999	4,297
13,378				580	462	297	*** *	12,037	
4,946				280	175	*****	******	8,856	635
85,727 3,221	1,05 4 	*****	10,920	861 	185 	 298		21,624 2,023	1,583
1,07,393	1,054		10,920	1,221	3,649	595		83,430	6,515
_,,								-	
6,64,685	9,660	3,985	- 13,770	15,266	25,480	5,514	23,431	5,37,605	29,925

. .

	MISCELLARBOU	9.					Ext
		[-	·	Lo	611 6 ,	
Recoveries on account of services rendered to private individuals.	Other items.	Total.	Total income of year exclud- ing opening balance.	Sale-proceeds of Government Securities and withdrawals from Savings Bank.	From Government.	Raised in the open market.	Subscriptions raised to buy cash certificate
67	68	69	70	71	73	73	74
Rs.	ks.	RB.	R3.	Rs.	Rs.	Rp.	Its.
7,772	238	8,010	9,44,528	78,639			
*** ***	18	18	14,965		585 488		
993. 9 84	3 26	826	15,978		¥44 142		
0	18,671	18,671	76,960		418-181		
10	117	127	13,239		*****		******
	623	523	17,554		*** · **	******	**.44
29		29	16,182	•	6+5 ·85		******
24	933	957	55,976		*****		
	112	112	23,650	·~	*****	******	
4444	21	21	22,778			*****	*****
11,870	412	12,283	1,39,600		******		*** ***
			· [
19,705	21,376	41,081	· 13, 38 , 399	78,639	*** ***	******	
			·				
	77	77	11,546				
3	34	37	15,984	414/49		*** * **	
100.711	676	676	49,026			*****	*****
	2,549	2,549	47,101		*** \$19	***	
	135	135	18,535	1	•	*****	198.1-
104	431	535	19,026	*****	******	******	
10,154r	163	163	10,914			*****	
660	5,292	5,952	1,00,678			*** ***	0 4+44+
16	567	583	12,679				
	462	462	21,787	41 23		*****	*****
****	1,608	1,608	9,142			a1445	*** :**
****	286	286	42,110	.01		•••••	431× 8++
783	12,260	19,063	3,64,728		*19100		BPE PEA
2,149	6,946	9,095	6,92,271			11,495	*****
	2,440	2,440	1,16,328			•**.*	9 4(*)#
*****	174	174	24,510	******			******
587	891	1,478	2,55,980		*** • • •	•••••	
	34	24	13,924		••••		fa 1 fa
2,736	10,485	13,221	11,03,022				
25,933	72,599	0 7,032	45,50,058	1,21,839	-	Frid pice	

3	1
υ	T.

No. II-continued.

Adva	nces.				-				
Pormanent.	Othor.	Deposits.	Amount received from Gov- ornment on account of leakage,	Total.	Total receipts, excluding opening balance,	Total receipts, including opening balance.	Incidence of taxation (column 23) per head of population,	Inuidence of income (column 55) per head of population,	Remares,
75	76	77		79	80	81	83	63	84
Rs.	Rs.	Rø,	Rs.	Rø,	Es.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	.,
470 s.m	53,340	52,000		1,83,979	11,28,507	13,20,154	592	715	
		******			14,905	15,612	1 14 1	3 4 3	
					15, 973	19,529	725	7150	
	1,500	632		2,132	79,092	79,955	3 2 8	8134	
		256		256	13,485	13,779	1 8 10	381	÷
	*****			••••••	17,554	18,404	3 2 11	5110	
•••••	349	236		585	16,767	19,811	3711	4 15 1	
	124	400		524	56,500	1,89,795	3 10 6	5 0 10	
	11,355	355	••••	11,710	35,360	50,051	12 9 4	15 1 4	
	50	27		77	· 20,850	22 ,6 94	224	2 12 1	
*····	•••••••	1,029		1,029	1,89,638	1,57,942	721	077	-
	66,713	54,935		2,00,292	15,38,691	18,57,726	5 2 10	6 14 9	
						-17			
	•••	105	••••	105	11,651	. 12,802	- 099	148	
44C 998	•••	224		224	16,208	17,931	104	204	•
	100	6,263		6,363	55, 3 39	60,245	349	4 12 7	
	4,545			4,545	51,646	69,226	\$ 14 8	908	
*** • **	*****	•••••	····	••••	13,835	16,129	1 6 7	214	
	••••••	•••••			19,926	27,255	507	8 1 11	
100	******			100	11,014	12,034	0139	211	
	4,203	4,005		8,213	1,08,591	1,18,386	371	505	
	100	98		198	12,877	21,964	155	543	
	***	*** ***		*** ***	21,787	22,710	1 3 1	268	
2)))	1,241	, 77 1,159		277 2,430	9,410 44,540	10,700 47,489	0148. 275	227 420	
	· _ ·			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
300	10,194	11,961	•••	22,455	3,77,183	4,36,871	845	8184	
	16,827	45,053		61,410	7,53,681	9,62,533	4 8 2	613	
500		2,215		2,715	1,10,043	1,53,721	482	5 11 0	
					24,510	39,326	8 11 0	4 14 10	,
833	6,794	2,986		10,615	2,66,604	2,09,643	7 11 0	10 2 6	
150	·····•,			150	14,074	15,021	1 10 8	3 2 6	
1,135	23,121	50,284		74,900	11,77,912	14,83,247	4 14 9	685	•
2, 305	1,21,965	1,41,317		3,03,518					

.

262

STATEMENT

A165 A1	UNICIPAL								l			
Lighting rate.	Water- rate.	Tolls (on roads and forries).	Tax on profes- sions and trades.	Tax on animals and vehicles.	Tax on houses and "lands,	Terminal tax.	Octroi.	Balance in hand at close of last year.	pality.	Name of Municip	Serial Number of Muni- cipality .	Name of Division and District.
20	19	18	17	16	15	14 (a)	*14	4		· 8	2	1
Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Es.	Rs.	N.	DIVISIO	HERN	SOUT
		12,237		2,858	13,691		42,705	16,750		Belgaum	93	ſ
•••	3,735	1,165	•••	791	5,738		22,362	52,142		Nipáni	94	
•••		474		15	2,61 6	•••	13,382	5,666		Athani	95	
	•••	1,271		•••	5,006		10,305	4,539	••• •••	Gokák	96	elgaum {
•••			•••		3,494		125	12,446	nma	Saundatti-Yellán	97	1
•••		1,498		43	6,430		11,545	19,179		Bail Hongal	98	l
••••	3,785	16,645		8,707	36,975		1,00,424	1,04,722	Fotal	ت		
•••	24,422	2. 252		824	18,963		1,09,779	19,105	••• •••	Bijápu r	99	,
•••	•••	8,827	•••	993	21,046	•••	40,524	23,899	••• •••	Bágalkot	100	
•••	•••	1,246		106	5, 916		20,171	2, 785	•••	Guledgudd	101	ijápur
•	•	2,435		172	8,99 3	•••	10,736	6, 594		Ilkal	102	
	24,422	9,760		2,095	49,918	***	1,81,210	52,883	Total	ʻ :		•
•••	34,797	6,953		2,012	27,174	29,150	24,579	80,095	••• •••	Dhárwár	103	ſ
•••	51,728	7,074		1,078	40,363	1,12,407		80,790	••• •••	Hubli	104	
•••	1,391	1,587	120	353	5,171	••• *	2,542	1,172		Navalgund	105	
•••	1,334	3,389	193	240	3,924		3,336	12,204	 .	Nargund	106	
•••		14,585	1,942	536	9,726	**0	49,639	64,939	i	Gadag-Bettigeri	107	Dhárwár
•••	•••	3,105	945	562	5,215	•••		321	•••	Háveri	108	0441 W41
	•••	1,252	1,120	•••	2,690	•••	14,038	1,319	••• ••	Byádgi	109	
•••	•••	8,375	945	744	7,903			2,542	••• ••	Ránebennur	110	
•••	•••) 		,) 	9,430	••• ••	Yámunur	111	
•••	•••		•••	•••		•••		402	··· ›•	Gudguddápur	L 112	
•••	89,250	40,720	5,265	5,525	1,02,166	1,41,557	94,131	1,53,214	Total			

ANES.			and the state of the				·	(II)-REA LISATION ETC.
onservancy (inch and latrin	iding scavenging ne-rates).	B	Other taxes (to be s ervants' tax, pilgi columne as n	pecified rim tax, hay be no	in detail, <i>e.g.</i> eto , in as ma ecossary).	ny		
pocial sabitary cess.	General sauitary coss.	Pig tax,	Pilgrim tax.	Dog tar.	Tax on washermen,	Tax in dra- matic per- form- ances.	Total rates and taxes.	From pounds.
21	22 *	23	21	25	26	26 (a)	27	28
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
8,310	7,892	••• *	6-0 7 • • •	•••	••	833	88,526	871
300	1,089		•••••	•••	•••		35,179	55
	1,081	•••		•••		•••	17,568	202
296	2,035	61					18,974	348
•••••			18,634	۰,.	•••		22,253	831
1,164			******				20,680	215
10,070	12,096	61	18,634			833	2,03,180	2,022
2,714	5,365		••••				1,64,319	1,955
	•••••		***	•••	••••		66,390	251
•••••	- 1,479				•••		28,918	281
24	988				•••		18,348	185
2,738	7,832	•••			••••		2,77,975	2,632
4,001	8,330	••• ,		•••	•••		1,36,396	824
	16,023		*** **				2,28,673	746
••••, •,	1,536				•••		12,700	83
•••			, 		•••		12,416	116
935	8,254	•••			•••		80,617	226
*****	*****	•••		•••			9,827	- 78
·	·			•••	•••		19,100	120
*****	1,014		•••••		•••		13,981	207
			5,661			- •••	5,661	•••••
	******		825				825	
4,936	80,157	• •••	6,480	•••			5,20,196	2,400

H 575-6

.

.

		From oth specified in columns as	er sources (to detail in as n may be necess	be any ary).				
	From haskney carriages.	Local Fund Coss.	Fees for sale of poisonous drugs.	Sale-proceeds of hide of cattle unpounded.	Total.	Rents of Innds, houses, serais (rest- house), dak bungalows, etc.	Bale-proceeds of lands and produce of lands, etc.	Conservancy receipts (othe than taxes and rates).
	29	80 -	31	33	33	34	35	30
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.
	160		•••	•••	531	2,608	9,443	416
	•••	190			155	883	345	475
	170	148 -94	•••		372	32	62	149
	100	••• ••			418	247	259	
	1	5			837			
	•••		***		215	1,357	******	
	431	105			2,558	5,125	10,109	1,040
	180				2,135	8,378	21,544	330
	、 				2 51	1,433	463	1
					281	28	83	400
	·	•••••			135	69	•••••	194
:	180			••••	2, 802	9,908	22,090	925
	44				868	1,816	36,168	345
	.44				790	4,566	6,196	741
		* ******			83		36	
	**	******			116	184	3,416	12
	44	******			270	13,249	10,586	410
	- 44				122		148	
	•				120	806	29	
	***				207	400	••••	
	¥ 4 1				******	•••••	- 6	•••••
	••							16
	176	. . 		- 	2,576	£0,521	56,585	1,624

TONICIANT	PROPERTY	AND	POWERS	APART	FROM	TAXATION.	

ì

			m tram	Othe	r fees (to be specifi columns as may	ed in detail in as be necessary).	many
Fees and revenue from Educational Institutions.	Fees and revenue from Medienl Institutions,	Fees and revenue from Markets and Slaughter- houses.	Fees and revenue from tram- ways.	Livense fcos.	Maudap, Booth and other permit fees,	Fees on earth and stone.	Notice an warrant fee
87	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
2,886	15	14,944		•••• 	618	••••	196
2,768		8,562			309	***	269
1,671	44	3,508			224	•••••	••••
5,071	8(6	2,364		•••	46	*****	100
822	128	2,850		•••••	12	*****	·
****		231			99	******	266
13,218	273	26,959			1,308	101140	831
1,221		9,915		15	158	,,	
3,9 0 1	74	9,700		290	23		
121	106	• • • •		350	1	540	
246	54			81	****	740 844	
5,439	234	19,615	•	736	212	******	
758		10,615		149	•••	******	35
14,737	1,199	28,042		486	431++4	******	89 7
177	60			271	64		17
1,238	25	******			*****		25
- 13,531	•••	1,713		406	*****	••••	117
3,824		201		51	*** **	•••••	116
645		168		169			21
4,601	43	1,280		235	***		33
400.44		321		1,773	***	******	
141 - 1		* 8 \		74	*****		•
89,511	1,327	42,840		3,614			761

264

.

Other fees (to be detail in as man; may be necessary,	y columns as)-continued.			Int	erest of Invest	:nents.	
Copying and comparing fees,	Other fees.	Fines under Municipal and other Acts.	For General purposes,	For Educational purposes.	For Medical parposes.	Premium on Loans.	Total
45	46	47	48	40	5 0	51	53
Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.
******		10		171	•		31,30
146-49	•••	11	567		•••	499	9,18
		3			•••	******	5,69
5## +#		122	••,	•••		•••• · · ·	8,29
****1		1	1,089	•••	**1	****	4,403
*****		13	**5		•••	**1	1,96
• 68		160	1,656	171	•••		60,85
	386			•••	140	•••••	41,97
31	144	*		•••		******	15,91
8	98	4		48	809		1,55
• • • • • • •		19	***		•••		66
89	484	23		48	309		60,11
61	-	46	726	***	258	*****	50,71
79			476	•••			57,17
8	•••	24	, , , ,	•••	17		59
2	548	1	•••	•••			4,920
16	••••	217	657	•••	•••		40,90
7		7	•••	•••	•••		4,35
3		166		144			1,507
11		86	•••	•••			6,689
		•••				******	2,100
*		••••	•••				90
187		547	1,859	•••	275		1,69,051

REVENUE DEBIVED FROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY AND POWERS APART FROM TAXATION-concluded.

e /	From other Sources	•	From Local Funds			From Government.	I
	For General purposes.	For Medical purposes,	For Educational purposes.	• For General purposes.	For Medical , puryoses.	For Educational purposes.	Por General purpsees.
_	59	58	57		53	ŏ4	53
-	· Rs.	Rs. 236	Rs. 872		- Rs.	Rs. 22,987	
	•••••	•••••	387	····· [·]		9,056	869
		550	698	*****	400	1,345	***
	******	800	537		500	5,270	564
	•••••	250	440	******	300	4,268	····.
	•••••		•••••				*****
-	•••	1,336	2,934	•••••	1,200	42,926	1,433
		******	909	145		20,410	******
		300	86	120		12,011	2,849
	•••	200	415	•••••	400	7,290	*****
	*****	400	275	•••	500	3,867	
-		900	1,685	265	900	43,078	2,849
-		108	70	140	380	22,069	
	••••	900	•••••	94	350	38,817	920
	800	450	502	82	*****	2,800	*** **
	*****	500	525	*****	***	2,000	******
	•••••	2,702	806	273	*****	16,106	586
	*** ····	******	181	****	****	- 2,662	*****
	*****	*****	119	***-**	` >*****#	6,30 3	4 7 4 ⁷ 10
	b +++-+	555	279	491449	400	6,852	******
	32	•••••	***	*****	*****		
			•••••	*******	*****		بین _ی
	832	5,215	1,982	539	1,130	97,609	1,456

SPECIAL	L PUBPOSES)-CO.	R GENEBAL AND dinued.		MISCELLANBOUS.					
From other Son For Educational purposes.	rees—continued. For Medical purposes.	Total.	Recoveries on account of services rendered to private individuals.	Other items.	Total.	Total incon of year excluding opening balance,			
60	61	62		64 t	65	60			
Rs.	Es.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
••••	*****	24,095	10,037	22,685	82,722	1,77,179			
	••••••	10,312	119	· 369	488	55,32;			
·		2,993		1,766	1,766	28,392			
		7,171	214	669	853	\$5,77]			
		5,2 58	***.**	348	348	33,009			
*****	******		•••		*** * * *	22,861			
•••••		49,829	10,370	25,837	36,207	3,52,624			
		21,464	304	14,904	15,208	2,43,103			
	*****	15,366	5	863	368	98,200			
****	******	8,305	1.011#F	27	27	39,081			
		4,542		48	. 49	28,737			
		49,677	309	15,342	15,651	4,06,21			
		22,767	1,141	1,365	2,506	2,13,256			
	400	41,481	97	656	759	3,23,874			
****	300	4,884				18,260			
	588 (1) (3,025	2	90	92	20,569			
******	******	19,923	1,209	12,806	13,515	1,55.927			
*****		2,843		60	50	17,196			
8##+~+		6,422		184	184	27,333			
******	Pailson	8,086		1,145	1,145	30,105			
		32		•••	·····	7,793			
-	1-41-88	***				915			
*******	700	1,09,463	2,419	15,798	18,245	8,19,531			

No. II-continued.

			ESTBAORD	INARY AND D	LDT.			[
Sulo- protocols of Govern- ment sconritics and with- drawals from Savings Bank.	From Govern- meut,	Raised in the open market.	Realiza- tions of Sinking Fund for repayment of Loans,	Adv	Other.	Deposits.	. Total.	Total receipts including Opening Balance.	Total receipts including Opening Balance.	Incidence of taxa- tion (column 23) per head of Popu- lation.	Ineidence of income (column 35) per head of Popula- tion.	Renarks.
67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
Es.	R3,	Rs.	Rs. 	Rs. 	Rs. 2,920	Rs. 3,981	Rs. 11,901	Rs. 1,89,080	Rs. 2,05,830	Rs. a. p. 2 2 7	Rs. a. p. 4 9 11	
	,	•••	•••	•••	137	895	1,032	56,355	1,08,497	3 1 11	4146	
		•••		,	****	••••	••••	28,392	34,058	1 10 8	211 0	
				•••	250	5,766	6,016	41,787	46,326	1 15 1	3 10 7	
•••			·		5,383	159	5,542	38,640	51,086	3 1 6	498	×
	•••	•••		•••	•••••	3 39	339	23,200	36,379	1 0 10	2 10 2	3
		•••	•••		8,690	16,140	24,830	8,77,454	4,82,176	2 2 6	3 12 1	
••	•••		•••	***	7,685	3,811	11,496	2, 56,599	2,75,704	5 0 11	789)
•••	•••			•••		3,845	3,845	. 1,02,135	1,26,034	3 0 0	500	
1,690	***				* * * * **	103	1,793	40,880	43,665	2 0 0	300	>
•••	•••			•••	500		500	24,237	30,631	200	200)
1,690			•	•••	8,185	7,759	17,634	4,23,851	4,76,234	3 8 11	534	e E
	15,000	•••		200	32,567	8,035	55,80 2	2,69,058	2,99,153	3 15 9	6 10 8	1
•••	•••	•••	•••	* ***	6,025	3,290	9,315	8,38,189	3,68,979	369	4 14 9	
	•••				398		3 98	18,658	19,830	1 12 8	292	-
			•••		15	10	25	20,594	82,793	173	266	
•••	•••	•••	•••	· · · ·	8,673	872	4,545	1,59,772	2,24,711	1 15 4	3 12 7	,
•••		•••		•••	*****	•••••		17,196	17,517	160	266	
•••	•••	•••			•••••	73	73	27,406	28 ,72 5	377	4 15 6	
•••		•••	• •••		172	25	197	30,305	32,847	109	241	
•••	•••		•••		•••••	31	31	7,824	17,254		•••	
•••		•••		•••	•••••	16	16	931	1,333		•••	
	15,000		***	200	42,850	12,352	70,402	8,89,933	10,43,147	2 5 0	312 0	

и 575—8

STATEMENT

]						MUNICIPAL	KITRS VN
Name of Divi fon and District,	Serial Number of Muni- cipality,	Nume of Municip	oality,	Balance in hand at the close of last year.	Getroi.	Terminal tax,	Tax on houses and lands,	Tax on animuls and vehicles,	Tax on protes- sions and trades.	Talls (on roads and terrics).	Water- rate.	Lighting rate,
1				+	*11	:4(a)	15		17		19	20
SOUTH	ERN D	IVISION-	-contd.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	its.	Rs.	Rs.
ŗ	113	Karwar		1,850	10,407		4,728	680		540		
	114	Kumta		650		13,093	3,249	237	258	778		
	115	Sirsi		6,862	16,683		3,931	508	***	4,634		
	116	Haliyál	••• •••	1,161	2,704	•••	2,981	1,608	20	790		•••
Kánara }	117	Honávar		2 ,863	6,439	•••	2,180	111	•	231	•••	•••
	118	Bhatkal		1,214	6,347	•••	2,193	148	45	C18	•••	•••
}	119	Gokarn (Tempor	rary)	1,653	938	•••	1,022	96	• •	43	•••	
l	120	Ulvi (Temporar	:y)	1,879				102	•••			•••
			Total	21,170	43,373	23,098	20,331	3,742	323	7,414		
	-				6 194		5.783					
	121	Alibág	••• ···	5,416	6,134		5,263	717	30	1,251	•••	•••
	122	Mahád	•	2,082	9,660		4,125	264	,	. 2,929		•••
	123	Mátherán	•••	62,762			9,234	2,193	230	•••	3,114	•••
Kolába	124	l'anvel	•••	11,819	8,264	•••	7,113	1,183	425	2,872	8,193	•••
	125	Pen	476 AXX	7,063	6,321		4,385	961	140	704	1,930	
	126	Roha-Ashtanni	•••	10,037		3,940	3,580	324		1,613	1,863	•••
Ì	127	Uran	•••	7,292	•••	•••	5,464	1,915	• • •			•••
			Total	1,07,071	30,379	3,940	39,460	7,500	· 834	9,369	14,606	
(128	Chiplun		10,873	23,119		3,026	134		2,400	1,016	
	129	Ratnágiri		9,160	20,505		6,507	1,078		952	1,647	
Ratnágiri	130	Malwan	*** ***	£67		10,466	4,416	471		1,178		
	131	Venguria	••• •••	2,671		13,524	3,146	743		2,165		
			Total	23,671	43,654	23,990	17,155	 2,420	 	7,695	2,003	
, . • /		DIVISIONAL TOTA	L,1921-22.	4,62,231	4,93,174	1,82,585	2,66,017	20,055	6,422	91,603	1,34,676	••••

Columns 5 to 13 cmitted as directed in Government Order No. 151, General Department, dated 3th January 1919.

.

ı

Conservancy (in and latri	cluding scavenging me-rates).		Other taxes servants' tax, colum	(to be specified pilgrim tax, ns as may be n	l in detail, <i>e.g.</i> , etc., in as many eccessary).			
Special canitary ocss.	General sauitary cess.	Pig tax.	Pilgrim tax.	Dog tax.	Tax on washermen.	Tax on dramatic performance.	Total rates and tuxes.	From pound
21	22*	23	2-4	25	26	28 (a)	27	28
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
827		•	•••••••	72	••••		17,204	218
336	•••••		*** • • •		••	•••	17,956	110
••• ••			*****	•••	***	•••	25,661	697
	••• ··	•••	···· ···	20	••···•		8,123	984
24	******	•••	•••••		•••••		8,965	181
300	141	•••		•••		· •••	9,492	106
******		•••	1,853		****		8,952	20
		•••	536			•••	740	•••
1,187	141		2,389	92		 	92,093	2,316
2,646				•••			16,341	332
909		•••		•••	•••••		17,887	131
5,745	1,032	•••	***	69	35		21,661	4
1,507	•••••	•••			•••		29,568	636
1,516		•••	••••••	· ; ·	· • · • • •		15,960	325
795	••••	•••	••••···		• • • • • •		11,615	370
466	483		•••••	•••	••••		8,328	266
13,584	1,515	100	*****	69	35		1,21,360	2,064
273		•••	· • • • • •	•			29,998	74
1,698			•••••		446 · .		32,447	209
1,090	1,090	•••	••••		*****		18,711	
599	••••••	•••				•••	21,177	
3,660	1,090	•••				•••	1,02,333	283
36,175	52,831	61	27,509	161	35	833	13,17,137	11,707

Н. 575—11

.

•

•

 	(11)—REALISATIO	-continued	•			REVENT	H DERIAED ER
From backney carriages,	From other source in detail in as as may be Local Fund Cess.	Fees for bolo of poisonous drugs,	eeds of f cattle mded.	Total.	Rents of lands, houses, serais (rest- houses), dik bungslows, etc.	Sale-proceeds of lands and produce of lands, etc.	Conservancy receipts (other than tures and rates).
	80	31	32	38		35	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
•••		•••	•••	218	1,908	1,470	
***	6., •••••	•••		110	89	621	*****
•••		•••		637	276	28	59
•••	,	••••	•••	984	20	35	152
•••			•••	181	••••••	116	• • • • • • •
***	1*****		/ 	106	******	10	*****
		•	•••	20	100	51	11
·••	· •••••	•••		*****	72	*****	
				2,316	2,465	2,331	222
	•			332	72	150	
•••	-est##			131	280		60
***				4	1,318	32	
•••	'	•••	•••	636	17	*****	
•••		••••	{	325	1	52	80
•**		•••		870	352	30	
•••		•••		266	12	18	•••··••
	,		•••	2,064	2,052	282	90
30	266			870	12		*****
100				30 9	213	142	100
•••		•••		P40 **		•••••	*****
75		•••		75	514	620	150
205	266		••••	754	739	762	250
992	871			19,070	40,810	92,159	4,051

Ϋ́, · · · ·

MENICIPAL	PROPERTY	A\$0)	POWERS	APART	FROM	TAXATION.

		Fees and	ue from	Other f	ices (to be specified columns as may b	in detail in as m e necessary).	any
Fees and revenue from Educational Institutions,	Fees and revenue from Medical Institutions.	revenue from Markets and Slaughtor- houses.	Fees and revenue tramways.	Liceuse feos.	Mandap, Booth and other permit fees.	Fees on earth and stone.	Notice and Warrant fees
37	39	39	40	41	42	43	++
Rs.	· Rs.	Es.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
435		1,711	•••	б	18		15
36 3	2 48	1,297	•••	10	22	• • • • •	3
957	327	297	•35	84	15ō		25
82	116	1,928	•••	26			64
103	152	368		••••	• •••		17
460	88	340		···· ••	+#1 ¹⁰⁴		3641 48
*****	a- a b a g	****	`		••• ••	101100	***
	•••	•••••			** ***	*****	******
2,406	931	5,941		125	195		124
504	38.J+64	152			10		79
456	47	71		•••••	8	100101 f	41
******	2,618	8,273	•••		*** ****		17
	55	438		105	n		90
398	88	500	•••	••••	25	******	134
332	184	••••	•••	1	******	101	. 4
314	465	827 '		9	4	•=•	75
2,054	3,457	5,261		115	58		440
748	115				56		. 1
1,278		1,552	•••		1		138
1,131	182	*****	•••	•••	*** ***	8 78 111	58
878	63	3,080	•••	•••••	` 9		36
4,035	365	4,632	••••		66		239
66 ,71 3	6,587	1,04,749		978	5,937		2,395

•

-268

..

detail in as ma may be necessar	be specified in ny columns as ry)—continued.		Interes	st of Investme	nts.		
Copying and comparing fees.	Other fees.	Fines under Municipal and other Acts.	For General purposes.	For Educa- tional purpo: es	For Medical purposes,	Premium on Loans,	Tot:
45	48	47	48	; <u>4</u> 11	50	51	53
Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Ks.	R34	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.
17	•••	30					5,61
4		54	110	8,	•••		2,80
16		245	27	•11	436	•••	2,70
5		139	240	•••	·••		2,98
9		85	233			•••	1,03
2	•••	24					93
661 ²⁸¹		10	110				28
*****		•••••	•••	•••			7
E3	•	537	720	8	436		16,49
· 2		. 5		***			1,02
6		37					1,00
\$. P ⁴ 28	86	46	2,090	•			9, 43
15 ~		14					74
2	6	76		10			1,92
2	•••	41		•••			94
1		10		· · · ·	595	••••	2,33
	42	229	2,090	10	595	••••	16,80
4		7	320		••••	 	1,26
24				14			3,46
4		•••••		•••		•••	1,37
1	•••	57	345	***			5, 758
	••••	64	665	14		•••	11,86
340	42	1,560	6,990	251	1,615		3,35,17

		From Government.	D CONTRIBUTIONS (rom Local Funds.		21
	For General } purpoge s.	For Educational purposes.	For Medical purposes.	For Goneral purposes.	For Educational purposes,	For Medical purposes.	For Geners purposes,
	59	54	55	56	57		59
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	tis.
	573	7,082	86	851	67б	426	100
		6,486	800	452	161	500	••••
	1,999	6,901	888	52	26	710	••••
	405	4,173	400	57	739	413	
		3,2 30	. 600	194	412	500	
		8,009	700	135	68	330	••••
	7,857		*****	1			
** **}		••••••		•••••			•••
	10,334	30,881	2,924	1,241	2,081	2,879	100
		4,844			62 0	•••••	
	••••	8,266	250	245	797	850	
	16,394	1,667	*****	5	55	••••••	••••••
		5,124		155	840	550	•••••
		5,704	400		821	641	
		8,325	1,400	2,081	620	800	
	••••	1,625		174	267	100	
	16,394	25,055	2,050	2,655	4,020	2,441	
		4,691	650	· • • • • •			
	• # #	8,143		107	598	•••••	
		10,849	1,100	600	••••	••••	
	···· ·	6,714	800			*****	
	··· •••	30,397	2,650	707	59 8		
	32,466	2,69,946	10,854	6,407	13,300	12,771	982

н 57**5—1**2

. .

45

. . _

	AND SPEC	LL PURPOSI	ONS (FOR GUNEBLL ES,—continued.		MISCILLANEO			
	contin For Educa- tional	For Medical purposes,	Total.	Recoveries on account of services rendered to private Individuals.	Other items.	Total.	Total income of year excluding opening balance.	Eslee Priver is of Us veramen Sectrifier an Will drawan from Eaving Eatth
-	60	61	62	63	61	65	66	67
-	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra,	Rs.	Rs.	Es.	lls.
	200		9,413		204	204	32,654	
	25	•••	7,924		156	196	23,953	1,075
	• ••	898	10,972	47	201	248	40,877	1,600
			6,187	•••	140	140	18,320	
			4,936		62	62	15,177	******
	• 4 •		4,242	1	107	103	14,678	*****
			7,857	715	12	. 12	11,623	
			. 	•••	262	262	1,074	700
-	·							
	~ 2 25	3 26	51, 061	48	1,174	1,222	1,63,186	3 ,375
	•••	•••	4,964		520	520	23,181	••
	•••		5,403	•••	77	77	24,509	* + 1 * ** E
	***		18,116		1,110	1,110	50,321	., •••
			6,609	95	5 00	595	39,213	
	• • •	•••	7,566		109	109 -	25,282	*****
	•••		7,726	17	84	101	20,758	
	***	10,000	12,166	•••	23	23	23,113	•••••
-	•••	10,000	62,615	112	2,423	2,535	2,05,377	
-	***		5,341	33	6	44	37,022	11,170
			8,548	21	851	872	45,938	
	•••		12,549		78	78	82,719	
	•••		7,614	42	2 29	271	84,895	****
			84,352	101	1,164	1,265	1,50,568	11,170
		11,096	8,56,997	13,389	61,786	75,123	20,97,503	16,235

.

iv. 11-continued.

.

		EXTRAORD	NARY AND DE	287.							
Lo: From Govern- meilt,	nis. Raisel in the opeu market.	Realiza- tions of Sinking Fund for repayment of Loans.		other.	Deposit s.	Total.	Total receipts excluding Opening Balance,	Total receipts including Opening Balance.	Incidence of taxa- tion (column 23) per head of Popu- lation.	Incidence of income (column 55) per head of Popula- tion.	
	69		71	72	73	71	75	76	77	78	- <u> </u> ≃ 73
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a. p	. Rs. a. 1	p.
•••	•••	•••		1	419	420	33,1 04	34,984	158	5 2 8	8
•••	•••		•••		6	1,081	30,064	30,714	111 0	2 11	6
				3 48	622	2,570	42,947	49,809	416	6 2	2
•••	•	•••	•••	200		200	18,590	19,751	1 10 10	3 12 1	.0
							15,177	18,043	 1 4 11	23	6
							14,878	16,092	1 5 10	2 2	1
•••	•••	•••	•••	••••	a a a		11,623	16,281			
•••	•••			*****	1				•••	•••	
	•••	•••		•••••		700	1,774	3,653	•••	•••	
····	•••			549	1,047	4,971	1,68,157	1,89,327	1 11 6	3 1 1	0
			100	905	200	1,205	24,356	29,802	3 1 9	4 6	7
· ••	·			4,000	38	4,038	28,547	31,229	299	39	3
				1,272		1,272	51,593	1,14,355	682	15 2	0
• • •			21	949	556	1,526	39,739	51,558	3 12 4	4 14	0
				1.340	370	1,710	26,992	34,055	2 12 6		6
•••	•••	•••			510				· .		
			•••	*****		*****	20,758	30,795		.3 12	
·••	•••		· · ·	*1	290	290	23,403	30,695	1 11 9	4 13	0
•••	***		121	8,466	1,454	10,041	2,15,418	3,22,489	3 1 5	5 3	8
				3,414	21	14,605	51,627	62,503	2 11 7	3 5 1	0
	•••		•••	4	198	202	46,140	55,300	237	32	4
	•••	•••					32,713	33,680	1 5 9	26	0
•••	•••		•••	··· ··	606	606	35,501	38,172	214	3 6 1	1
				3,413	825	15,413	1,65,981	, 1,89,652	2,1 1	3 0 8	8
15,000			321	7::,158	39,577	1,43,291	22,40,704	27,03,025	2 11 8	-4 5	5

270

· .

STATEMENT

•						MENICIPAL
Name of Division and District.	Serial No. of Muni- cipality.	Name of Municipality.	Balance in hand at close of last year,	Receipts from Terminal Tax and • octroi,	Tax on houses and la. ds	Tax on animals and vehicles,
1	2	8	4	δ	6	7
SIND.	132	Kar4chi Municipality Uncashed cheques 4	. Rs. 34,16,885 72,276	Rs. 8,56,111	ks. 6,51,766	Rs. 96,073
	133	Tatta	34,89,161 11,708	30,353	••••	3,539
Karáchi	134	Keti Bandar	25,533	5,102	414	••••
	135	Kotri	4 ,844	21,234	4,039	619
	136	Manjhand	23,294	5,147	2,501	•••••
١		Total	35,54,540	9,17,947	6,58,720	1,00,230
	137	Hyderabad Sind,	10,02,926	4,05,22õ		13,788
	138	Hála	7,862	18,135	*****	****
	139	Tando Allahyár	13,385	25,055		94
Hyder a bad Sind.	140	Nasarpur	937	7,428		
	141	Matiár	7,416	10,102	- j th or	
ĺ	142	Tando Mahamed Khán ·	7,880	14,197	1,710	243
		Total	10,39,956	4,75,142	1,710	14.125

48

ATES AND TAXES	3. 		· · · · · ·	· .	27
Tolls (on roads and ferries.)	Water-rate.	Lighting rate.	Vonservancy scavengi latrine i	(including ng and ratos).	Other taxes (to b specified in detai e.g., servants' tu: pilgrim tax, etc., as many columns may be nècessary
			General Sanitary cess.	Special Sanitary cess.	Share of water clearance charge
8	9	10	11	19	13
. Rв.	Rs. 5,75,243	Rs.	Rs. 2,32,060	Rs. 46,881	Rs.
	4 4 4 1 4 1		•••••	2,256	4
				• • • • • •	· • • (1 . 0
456		···· ·· -		594	******
		••••		1 928	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
456	5,76,243		2,32,060	49,959	47
/	1,16,706	•••	•••••	2,702	••••
•••••	· <i>···</i> •••	*****		105	******
		•••••	•···•	1,062	••••
• • • • • • /	***			761	******
	•••••		• • • • • •	119	*****
181		•••	*****	••••	*****
181	1,16,706		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4,719	

н 575**—**9

MUNICIPAL RATES AND TAXES- continued.	(11) 1	(II) REALIZATIONS UNDER SPECIAL ACTS.							
			From othe detail in	as many column be necessary).	s as may				
Total rates and taxes.	t rom pounds,	From hackney carriages,	Vaccination fees,	Miscellans- ous receipts.	Conveyance Fund.	Total.	Rents of lan houses, sere treet-house dák bung lows, etc		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		
Rs. 24,58,134	Rs. 4,079	R ₈ . 435	Rs. 543	Rs. 712	Rs.	Rs. 5,769	Rs. 66,42		
36,194	988	- 4 1 4 7 4		· ····	4	99 2	2		
5,516	201	. ••••		•••		201			
26,942	631	- tøt		100 (0)	2	6 3 3	2,03		
7,876	652 -		1 0 0° A 8	· .		652	******		
25,84,662	6,551	435	. 543	712	6	8,247	68,51		
5,38,421	6,311	337		•••••		6,648	16,79		
18,240	507			100 1.0.		507	2		
26,211	263	*****	1.49341			263	P44 ; 48		
8,189	870	******	 	181.44		870	****#*		
10,221	583	••1••	, 6 4 - 64		*****	533	6		
10,331	756	r 5 47 88	544+46		+#61a1	758	110		
6,12,613	8,740	837	8 42 5 63			9,077	17,004		

ERIVED FRO.	MUNICIPAL PROPER	TY AND POWERS AF	ART FROM TAXAT	10N.	27
ale-proceeds if lands and produce of lands, etc.	Consorvancy receipts (other than taxes and rates),	Fées and revenue from Educational Institutions,	Fees and revenue from Medical Institutions,	Fees and revenue from markets and alaughter-houses,	Fees and revenue from Tramways.
.	and the second			. [
23	23	23	25	20	27
Rs.	Rs.	Bs.	£8.	Re.	Re.
3 51,897	4,030	3, 886	. 1,130	95,686	4,110
99	116	2,672	õ03	1,479	***
585	• #8 · d%		••••	75	408169
334	· • • • • • • •	1,893	30	2,524	3 4 8 79 4
	*****	- 66	****	42	**1738
3,52,905	4,146	8,519	1,065	99,806	4,110
1,96,019	7,972	591	21	25,962	• • • • • •
87	1,029	142	35	515	****
172	30 0 '	607	25	1,417	241 4 8
*****	•##+##	207		25 9	8 4 4 4
1 ,021	*****	54	1.03744	644	*****
11	121	74	11	1,036	100106
1,97,260	9,422	1,675	92	30,732	jq4+61

ż,

		EVENUE					
		111 9	9 many colu	fied in detail in a be necessary).	to be epecif	Other fees (
fines und anteipal other Ac	Garra Jece,	Process fees.	Othor Ices,	Notice and warrant fecs.	Copying fees,	Misoelis- neous.	Bhare ef eleatauco oharges.
35	£4	33	33	31	30	29	28
Rs.	R9.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
- 4,	•••		·••				•••••
	• •••		•••				•••••
•••••		•					••••
	·	•••		******	26	•41	9 4 5 + + + 4
				·····		•••	****
4,					26		
			550	133	132		****
•••			101	····			*****
							•••••
							****#\$

		•••			•••		*****
1		•••	651	133	133		*\$****

OWERS APAR	T FROM TAX.	ATION—continue	d.	GRANTS AND CO AND	NTRIBUTIONS (FOR SPECIAL PURPOSES	R GENERAL 3).) 77 C
Investments.			in the first the Californian and an and any second second second second second second second second second sec	Fre	om Government.	
r Educational purposes,	For Medical purposes,	Premium on loans.	Total.	For general purposes.	For Educational purposes,	For Medic:d purpo ses.
87	38		40	41	43	43
Rs,	 Ks.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
105			6,06,441	2,034	86,907	4,889
60	45		5 ,007		8,345	4,440
635083	·•· ··		1,378		1,706	700
7 ****>*	52		6,925	500	7,75 l	600
·			163		1,377	30.)
166	97		6,70,89 4	2,584	1, 16, 086	10,929
814	·	····	2,97,617	¥,600	25,715	
34	** ==		1,883		2,115	500
1	· • • • • •	•	3,530	· • • • •	2,628	500
	····		, 465	-41	8,257	
*****	***	•••••	1,970	1.49 · 14	1,657	400
****			2,272	•••	2,424	250
814	***		3,67,767	8 , 600	40,698	1,650

н 575-10

.

	m m	•	m	m n		***	•	<i>r</i> 1
--	-----	---	---	------------	--	-----	---	------------

G	BANTS AND CONT	RIBUTIONS (FOR	GENERAL AN	D SPECIAL PUR	roses)—continu	æd.
• ••	From Local Funds,			From other Source	9.	
For (leneral purpeses.	For Educational purposes,	For Medical purposes,	For General particones,	For Educational purposes,	For Motical purposes,	Total.
44	45	46	. 47		. 19	
Rs. 667	Rs. 667	R9.	Rs. 1,000	Rs.	R s. 2,167	Rs. 98,881
88	560 .	1,400	698	.**		15,581
Niji + 4 +	• (•••••	500				2,906
film d det	10	690	•••••	1,328		10,789
***.48	510	1,000	· 3		••••	2,787
755	1,347	3,500	1,698	1,328	2,167	1,30,394
1,067	140	**************************************	8,789		<i>4.</i> ***	47,261
*****		1,000	•••••	•••••••		3,615
· • • • • • •		1,000		******		4,128
••••••	600	•••	· • • •	•••••		3,857
69		800	***.47	•••	····	2,826
184		500				3,174
1,136	740	8,300	8, 739			C 4,861

	MISCELLANEOUS.			Extraordinary and Debt.
26ar ries On ont of services endered to private gdividaals	Other items.	Total.	Total income of year excluding opening bilance.	274 Bale-proceeds of Government Securities and withdrawals from Savings Bank.
				Bank,
51	52	53	54	55
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.
40.337	2,42,722	2,83,059	35,11,784	6,44,900
•• ••	233	233	57,957	\$444+ + 4
	86	36	10,017	
426	967	1,893	46,682	*****
	452	452	11,930	
40,763	2,44,410	2,85,173	36,38,370	6,44,900
2,325	10,444	12,769	9,02,746	c 68 • • • •
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	350	350	19,595	
	884	884	35,016	
	544	544	13,425	
•••	14	14	15,564	
	919	919	23,452	
2,825	13,155	15,480	10,09,798	

				RY AND DEBT-CO			and the state of the
	Ic	AN 8.		Ada	wates.		
	From Government,	Raised in the open market,	Realizations of Sinking Fund for repayment of Loans.	Per:sunent.	Other,	Deposits.	Tota),
	58	67	53		60	61	62
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
			6,00,0 00	•••	····	20,29,830	32,74,130
		•	••••	•••••		53	53
		*** /*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Catag	35	3)
		***		• • • •	70 0	288	9 84
	•••		144.91	••••••			*****
•	• • • •		6,00,000	• • • • • • •	700	20,2 9,703	82,75,806
					A -5 A	1010.0	
	•••	*****			2,37,917	1,01,817	8,39,764
	 .	*****	••	944 (14	•••••		
	171		•••	• • b • u b	*	•••••	•••••
			·t		< =• ##	 .	*****
	•••				-01 + 10		
	•••						· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
					2,37,917	1,01,847	8,39,764

1

i-3

.

•