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## SECTION I

## Introduction

1.1. The working class cost of living index numbers are being computed by the Department of Statistics and are published regularly in the Covernment Cazette. These indices are being computed on the basis of consumption pattern in average households. The ilinistry of Commerce and Consumer Industries of. Government of India in their letter No. 5 (14) Plant (Celi) 56, dated 18th December 1956, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Kerala enquired whether factors like family budgets, nutritional diet, number of units in the family, prices of food articles, proportion of wages to men, women and adolescents, eic, are taken into account in the computation of these indices. As the indices which are published by the Department does not take into account all these factors, Government of Kerala, in their Order L1-30443/57/L and LAD, dated 5th February 1958, asked the Director of Statistics to conduct a nutritional diet survey in respect of plantation labour in the tea industry. Accordingly a survey was carried out by this Department.
1.2. The information collected related to the various aspects of their lives, namely:-
(a) demographic and occupational particulars, such as, age, sex and marital status of household members, their education standard, economic status, and employment status,
(b) earnings of working members of the houscholds,
(c) expenditure of households by various. items of consumption, the quantities of commodities utilised for consumption every day for a period of 10 days,
(d) number and value of loans taken and details of their utilisation, and
(e) housing and senitation.

## Sampling design and field work

1.3. Sampling was done in two stages, estates forming the first stage units and the households the final stage units. Altogether 19 tea estates were selected at random,' scattered over the five districts of Trivandrum. Quilon, Kottayam, Trichur and Palghat. From each of the selected estate a sample of ten workers' households was selected by systernatic sampling method for detailed enumeration.
1.4. The field work relating to the survey commenced on 6th March 1958 and was completed on 21st March 1958. The data were collected by interview method. Two Investigators were allotted to each of the estate. In order to study the nutritional aspect of consumption the quantities of food items consumed and the expenditure thereof were collected for a period of 10 consecutive days. The Investigators had to stay in the estate for these ten
days and they visited each hourchold twice a day in the morning and in the evening. The various commodities that were consumed were actually weighed by the Investigators before preparing the meals and their prices were ascertained and the respective schedules were filled in. The work of the Investigators were supervised by the taluk Statistical Inspectors and the District Statistical Officers.
1.5. The analysis of the data collected was done in the Office of the Director of Statistica. The results are discussed in the following pages.

## General habits of workers

1.6. The study was confined only to 190 households of Estate Workers. This comes to slightly greater than 4 per cent of the total number of house-holds. In every household both the grown-up male and female go out for work. The working hours is normally from 7 am . to 5 p.m. with an interval of one hour in the afternoon for mid-day meals. Hence they are early risers by habit and get up by about four in the moming. Since the male and female members have to go out for work, both breakfast and midday meals will be prepared in the morning itself. Thus these households cook their meals twice a day, the second being in the evening after retuming from the day's work. Eventhoush almost all of them are non-vegetarians, they usually purchase fish, meat, etc., only on holidays. Most of the other dayz they use vegetables.
1.7. Most of the workers are Tamilians who have migrated into the plantation area. The distribution of households on the basis of religion is 80 per cent Hindus, 18.4 per cent Christians and $1 \cdot 6$ per cent Muslims. The workers live in quarters provided by the estate owners. These are found to be over-crowded and unhealthy. It is not rare to'see that one or two families are accommodated in a single room and neither the workers nor the estate owners care to keep the places neat and clean. Thus in regard to housing conditions, they are far below the general standard.
1.8. Considering their educational standard the estate workers, perhaps from the most backward group, but as regards literacy, they are not far below the general population. In each Estate a school is being run by the estate owners, but the workers are not keen on making full use of this amenity.
1.9. The workers do not, in general, get ample medical aid though in each estate there is a dispensary and one compounder. The workers do not care to utilise what little facilities are made available to them.

## The tables

1.10. The main tables are attached at the end of this report as Appendix. The tables given are-

Appendix I-The list of selected estates.
Appendix II-(1) The average household size.
(2) Distribution of persons according to age, sex and economic status.
(3) Number of persons worked, days at work and income.
(4) Average monthly expenditure per household.
(5) Average expenditure on food per household.
(6) Distribution of loans and their purpose.
(7) Average consumption per adult per day and its constituents.
(8) Constitutents of certain items of food.
(9) Compositions of an actual diet of an adult worker, an improved diet and balanced diet.
1.11. In addition summary tables have been given in the following pages where the more important results of the survey have been discussed in detrail.

## SECTION II

## Household size

2.1. Appendix II, Table I, presents the average household size for the selected households in the different estates and the sex ratio. Taking all the estates together the average size of an estate worker's household is found to be 4.9 which is much less than the average household size for the general population of Travancore-Cochin in the income group less than Rs. 200 , which is 6.3 . The average adult equivalent of an estate worker's household works out to $3 \cdot 72$ and the average sex-ratio is 1038 . The sex-ratio 1,038 means that for every 1,000 males there are 1,038 females among the estate workers. The corresponding figure for the General Population is 1,028 according to 195i Census.

## Age distribution

- 2.2. The percentage distribution of the members of the sample households by age group and sex is given in the following table:


## TABLE (2.1)

Percentage distribution of members of Tea Plantation workers' households by age and sex


## Literacy

2.3. The following table gives of the percentage distribution of the members in the sample households according to age and literacy.

TABLE (2.2)
Percentage dictribution of members of the sample households according to age and literacy

| Educational status | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.4 | 5-14 | 15-24 | 25-44 | 45-64 | 65 and above | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Illiterate | $18 \cdot 3$ | 10.8 | 10.9 | 15.0 | $6 \cdot 6$ | 0.8 | 62.4 |
| Below Primary | 0.1 | 14.4 | $5 \cdot 4$ | 9.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 | $30 \cdot 7$ |
| Primary . | .. | 0.7 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | .. | . $5 \cdot 0$ |
| Middle | . | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.9 |
| Matriculation | $\cdots$ | . | . | . | $\cdots$ | .. | . ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Total | $18 \cdot 4$ | 26.1 | 18.0 | 27.8 | 8.7 | $1 \cdot 0$ | $100 \cdot 0$ |

2.4. The above table clearly indicates the poor Educational Standard of the estate workeis and the members of their households. About 62 per cent of the population is illiterate. This is alightly more than the percentage of illiterates in the general population of Kerala which is 59.6 according to 1951 Census. Less than 31 per cent have reached below the primary standard. Only 7 per cent have gone above the primary level but none have passed the matriculation standard. Taking the school-going age, i.e., 5 to 14 nearly 41 per cent sre illiterate, while in the age groups 15 to 24 and for persons of age 25 and above the percentages of illiterates are 61 and 60 respectively. Thus it is seen that there is a change in favour of education at present compared to previous times.

## Civil conditions

2.5. The following table gives the percentage distribution of the population accordipg to marital status in the different age groups.

TABLE (2*3)
Percentage distribution of the population according to manital status

| Marital Status | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4. | 5-14 | 15-24 | 25-44 | 45-64 | $65 \text { and }$ <br> above | Total. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Unmarried | 18.4 | 26.1 | 8.7 | $1 \cdot 3$ |  |  | $54 \cdot 5$ |
| Married | .. |  | 8.6 | 24.4 | $5 \cdot 6$ | $\because 0.3$ | 38.9 |
| Widowed | .. | . | 0.2 | 1.3 | $2 \cdot 9$ | 0.7 | $5 \cdot 1$ |
| Divorced | . | .. | 0.4 | 0.5 0.3 |  |  | 0.9 |
| Separated | . | .. | 0.1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.2 | .. | $0 \cdot 6$ |

2.6. Taking the population as a whole only 18 per cent of the population of age 15 and above are unmarried while 70 per cent are married. 9 per cent of the persons are widowed and the percenlage of persons in the categories divorced and separated is about 3.

## Economic status

2.7. The following table gives the distribution of persons according to age and economic status. The figures are expressed as percentages.

TABLE (2.4)
Percentage distribution of porsons according to age and economic status'

| Economic Status | Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5.14 | 15-24 | 25-44 | 45-64 | 65 and above | Total |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Earners | - | 0.1 | 11.5 | $24 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | 0.1 | 42.0 |
| Earning depend- | -• | 0.6 | $3 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 9$ | 0.5 | - | $6 \cdot 3$ |
| Non-earning dependants | 18.4 | $25 \cdot 4$ | $3 \cdot 2$ | 1.1 | $2 \cdot 7$ | 0.9 | 51.7 |
| Total . . | 18.4 | 26.1 | 18.0 | 27.8 | $8 \cdot 7$ | 10 | 1000 |

2.8. Taking all the age groups tegether, it is seen that 42 per cent of the persons are earners, 6.3 per cent earning dependants and $51^{\circ} 7$ per cent nonsearning dependants. From Table II-Appendix II it can be seen'that taking the earners and earning dependants together, 'the economically active people are distributed evenly between males and females. Of the total number of non-earning dependants, 86 per cent are accounted for by persons of age below 15 and over 65 . Thus only 14 per cent of the non-earning dependants are in the age group 15 to 64 . They form about 7 per cent of the population.

## Occupation

2.9. The main items of work of the labourers enumerated are plucking, weeding and other field work, factory labour and other unspecified jobs. These have been studied under primary occupation and secondary occupation. The primary occupation has been defined as the one from which the major portion of the income is derived while others are defined as secondary.
2.10. The subjoined table gives the percentages distribution of the working population according to occupation separately for one month and one year immediately preceding the date of survey.

The percentage distribution of working population according to Primary and Secondary occupation

| Secondary occupation | Primary occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Month |  |  |  |  | Year |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 送 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 苞 | 士 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 步 } \\ & : 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ | 高 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Plucking | －• | 0.4 | －• | $\cdots$ | 0.4 | ． | $0 \cdot 2$ | ． | － | 0.2 |
| Field work | $\cdots$ | －• | － | ．． | ． | $0 \cdot 2$ | 18 | － | 0.2 | $2 \cdot 2$ |
| Factory labour ．．． | $\cdots$ | ． | $\because$ | －• | ． | $\because$ | －• | $\cdots$ | ． | $\because$ |
| Othert | $4 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 4$ | 0.2 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | $4 \cdot 7$ | $2 \cdot 5$ | 0.2 | $2 \cdot 2$ | 9.6 |
| Nil | 48.2 | $23 \cdot 4$ | $5 \cdot 9$ | 11.9 | $89 \cdot 4$ | 47.8 | 22.9 | $5 \cdot 8$ | 11.5 | 88.0 |
| Total | 52.7 | 26.2 | 6.1 | $15 \cdot 0$ | 1000 | 52.7 | $27 \cdot 4$ | 6.0 | $13 \cdot 9$ | 100.0 |

2.11. The table indicates that there is not much, difference in regard to occupation pattern for the' month and for the year as should generally bo expected in the case of estate workers. 89 per cent of the workers do not have any secondary occupation. Considering the primary occupation alone, slightly less than 53 per cent of the workers are engaged in plucking, 26 per cent in field work, 6 per cent in factory labour and the remaining 15 per cent in other items of work.

## Days of work and income

2.12. The following table gives the number of workers, the average number of days worked and the average daily earnings for one month and one year separately for the primary and secondary occupation as obtained from the sample.

TABLE (2.6)
Table showing the number of persons workod, the average number of days at work and the average earning for a month and for a year


[^0]2-13. From the tables it is seen that the distribution of workers is more or less the same for the month and for the year based on the items of work and classification under primary and secondary occupation. The variation between the average daily income for the month and the year in the case of field work and factory labour may be due to the fact that there are different operations in these sectors which are differently paid. On an average a labourer gets work for 20 days in a month and 233 days in a year.

## Expenditure

$2 \cdot 14$. From the sample households, data relating to family budget also have been collected. The expenditure is divided into five broad groups, viz., food, fuel and lighting, housing, clothing and miscellaneous. The following table gives the distribution of expenditure under the above five heads for the sample households.

TABLE (2.7)
Table showing the expenditure per household, per capita and per adult equivalent for the sample households for a month

| -Items of expenditure | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Per houschold } \\ \text { Rs. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Per capita <br> Rs. | Per adult equivalent Rs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Food | $95 \cdot 52$ | 19.66 | $25 \cdot 71$ |
| Fuel and lighting | $7 \cdot 53$ | $1 \cdot 55$ | 2.03 |
| Housing | $1 \cdot 77$ | 0.36 | 0.48 |
| Clothing | 1430 | $2 \cdot 94$ | $3 \cdot 85$ |
| Miscellaneóus | $20 \cdot 09$ | 415 | $5 \cdot 40$ |
| Total | $139 \cdot 21$ | 28.66 | $37 \cdot 47$ |

2.15. The average monthly expenditure of a household is found to be Rs. $139 \cdot 21$ and this is $17!$ per cent of the average income which comes to only Rs. $81 \cdot 34$. The per capita expenditure works out to be Rs. 28.66.
2.16. Table IV-Appendix II presents the distribution of the average monthly expenditure per household among the five groups with the corresponding percentage to the total expenditure. The worker's household spends on an average about 69 per cent of the total expenditure on food items, 10 per cent on clothing, 5 per cent on fuel and lighting, 1 per cent on housing and 14 per cent on miscellaneous items. The 1 per cent of expenditure under housing includes purchase of utensils, furniture, etc. It has to be noted that the workers are given free quarters and hence they do not have any expenditure under rent. The percentage of expenditure on food is slightly greater,
than the corresponding percentage for the families in the income group leat than Rs. 200 for the general population in the different centres in Kerala as obtained from the lamily budget surveys. The subjoined table gives the expenditure pattern of houscholds earning less than Rs. 200 a month for the various centres in the State collected through earlier surveys. - In this table the expenditure on fuel and lighting is included in the expenditure under housing.

TABLE (2.8)
Table showing the pattern of percentage expenditure on food, housing, clothing and miscellaneous for centros in Travancore-Cochin and Malabar aroa

2.17 14 per cent of the total expenditure of the tea plantation workeri' household spent on micellaneous items, includes the expenditure for toilets, services, pani, beedi and cigarettes, intoxicants, ceremonies, education, medical care, etc. Pan accounts for 2.6 per cent of the total expenditure while 1.8 per cent is spent for beedi and cigarettes. The perceritage of expenditure on drinks is 2 per cent while, the expenditure on education comes to about 0.4 per cent.-
2.18. An attempt has also been made to calculate the elasticities of expenditure for food and clothing with respect to the total expenditure and average family size. The relation bztween the factors was assumed to be of -b the form : $y=C x z$, where $y$ is the expenditure for a particular group, $x$ the total expenditure and $z$ the average family size, $a, b$ and $c$ being constants.

Taking logarithma
In general for the jth group

$$
{ }^{\log y j}=c j+a j \log x j+b j \log x j \cdot
$$

Using the average monthly expenditure for the 19 estateg, the constants $\mathrm{c}^{1}$; $a$ and $b$ have been evaluated by the method of least squares. Here since there is much difference in the household composition, the average household size is replaced by the average adult equivalent. The following are the values of $a$ and $b$ :

|  |  | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food | $\therefore$ | 1.0079 | -0.0124 |
| Clothing | $\because$ | 0.8953 | -0.3775 |

The coefficients $a$ and $b$ are defined as the partial elasticities of expenditure for the group with respect to total expenditure, and average family size respectively.

The elasticity of expenditure on food with respect to the total expenditure is $1 \cdot 0079$. This is not in conformity with Engel's law. which states that, as the total expenditure increases the proportion expended on necessaries decreases and hence coefficients of elasticity will be less than one. This may Le due to the fact the income in the ease of the estate workers is insufficient to meet the expenditure even on necessaries. This is the case with clothing also, the elasticity for which is 0.8953 , i.e., less than one.
2.19. The coefficient of elasticity with respect to average size are found to be negative for both food snd clothing. This is because $b$ represents a combination of two effects. First one is specific effect, i.e., a result of the increase in need lor the various commodities when the family size is increased, while the second is the income effect, which means that an increase in family size makes the people relatively poorer. The cotficient b does not separate these two effects. Hence $b$ will be positive or negative according as the first effect is stronger or otherwise. Here in this case the income effect being stronger the coefficient is negative.

## Loans

2.20. Of the 190 bouseholds enumerated, 128 house-holds had outstanding loans against them and the total number of loans is found to be 247. Thus nearly only 33 per cent of the households are free of loans.

The following table gives the distribution of households according to the number of outstanding loans against them.

> TABLE (R.9)

Table showing the distribution of households according to the number of outstanding loans against them

Households

| No. of loans outstanding |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 0 | 62 | 32.7 |
| 1 | 66 | 34.8 |
| 2 | 28 | 14.7 |
| 3 | 16 | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| 4 | 13 | $6 \cdot 8$ |
| 5 | 5 | $2 \cdot 6$. |
| Total | 190 | 100 |

2.21. The number of loans outstanding egainst a household varies from 1 to 5. The total amount indebted by these households is Rs. $30,918$. The average value of a loan comes to Rs. 24). Considering all the 190 households the average value of loan per household is Rs. 163.
2. 22. The distribution of loans, according to the purpose for which the loans were taken is presented in Table VJ-Appendix II in detail. The following table gives the number, the amount and their percentage to the total of the loans classified by the putpose for which the loans were taken.

TABLE (2.10)
Table showing the purpose. of the number, the amount and the average value of loans taken by the households

| Purpose | Loan |  | Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | ¢ | No. |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Consumption Expenditure... | 163 | 66 | 17,220 | 55.7 | 106 |
| Capital expenditure .. | 13 | 5 | 2,672 | - 8.6 | 206 |
| Education . ... | 4 | 2 | 265 | 0.9 | 66 |
| Medical - ... | 20 | 8 | 2,095 | $6 \cdot 8$ | 105 |
| Ceremonial . .. | 15 | 6 | 5,640 | 18.2 | 376 |
| To repay outstanding loans .. | 8 | 3 | 426 | $1 \cdot 4$ | 53 |
| Others - - .. | 24 | 10 | 2,600 | $8 \cdot 4$ | 108 |
| 'Total | 247 | 100 | 30,918 | 100 | 125 |

2.23. 66 percent of the loans covering nearly 56 per cent of the total amount was taken for consumption expenditure. The average value of such loans is Rs. 106. The loans taken for ceremonials cover 18 per cent of the amount and the average amount per loan is Rs. 376. About 5 per cent of the loans covering nearly $8^{\circ} 6$ per cent of the amount is taken for expenditure of a capital nature and the average value of loans in this category is Rs. 206. Loans for educational purposes occupies the last place both in number, total arnount and average amount per loan.

## Assets and Liabilities

2.24. Details regarding the assets and liabilities of the sample households are furnished below.

| Assels | Rs. | Percentage to the total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Loans given | 2,000 | $1 \cdot 9$ |
| 2. Deposits | 2,681 | $2 \cdot 5$ |
| 3. Chitties or Kuries | 5,362 | 5.0 |
| 4. Ornaments | 13.768 | 12.8 |
| 5. Land | 50,431 | $45 \cdot 9$ |
| 6. Buildings | 9,165 | $8 \cdot 5$ |
| 7. Livestock | 8,306 | 77 |
| 8. Implements | 4,608 | $4 \cdot 3$ |
| 9. Others | 11,252 | $10^{4} 4$ |
| 10. Total assets | -1,07,573 | 100.0 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| 1. Loans taken | 20.528 | $45 \cdot 8$ |
| 2. Interest payable | 1,883 | 4.2 |
| 3. Land mortgaged | 3,020 | $6 \cdot 3$ |
| 4. Chitties or Kuries | 2.841 | 6.4 36.9 |
| 5. Others ${ }^{\text {6. Total liabilities }}$ | 16,517 44,789 | $\begin{array}{r}36.9 \\ \hline 1000\end{array}$ |

2.25. Taking all the household together, it is seen that nearly 46.9 per cent of the total assets is in the form of land and 12.8 per cent of the assets is in the form of gold. Buildings account for 8.5 per cent of the assets, livetock 7.7 per cent. implements 4.2 per cent, deposits and chitties 7.5 per cent, loans given 1.9 per cent and other items of assets $10^{-4}$ per cent. The fact that nearly $\frac{8}{}$ of the total assets is ornaments indicates that the estate workers have a prelerence for ormaments. The workers do not save much in cash as only 2.5 per cent of the total assets come under deposits.
2.26. On the liabilities side, nearly 458 per cent is accounted for by loans which have to be repaid, while the interest' payable on loan comes to about 4.2 per cent. Taking land mortgaged also as loans with security, loans taken together with interest payable account for 56.7 per cent of the total liabilities. Remittances due to chitties account for 6.4 per cent of the liabilities and others 16.9 per cent.

## Nutritional Diet

2.27. The Investigators have kept each of the selected household under observation fore priod of 10 days. On these days they visited the households twice every day and collected the information relating to the quantities and value of all the food items actually consumed by the members of the houscholds. The Investigators were supplied with balances to find the weight of the commodities consumed every day on these 10 days.
2.28. The quantities of the various food items consumed per day by one adult worker, are tabulated in column 3 of Table VII-Appendix II. The columns 4, 5, 6 and 7 of Table VII give the net calories, protein, calcium and iron intake per person per day. This has been calculated using the figures in Table VIII-Appendix II which are obtained from Public Health Laboratory. Trivandrum.
2.29. The daily requirements of calories, protein, calcium and iron for an adult male and female of the working group, and the actual quantities of the same available for an adult worker in tea plantations are detailed below.

TABLE (2.11)
Table showing the daily requirements of calories, protein, calcium and iron for adult male and female workers and the quantities available per worker

|  | Net Calories | Protein | Calcium <br> (Grams) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iron } \\ \left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m} .\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Required for a hard working adult:- |  |  |  |  |
| Male ... | 3.600 | 82 | 1.0 | 20 to 30 |
| Female . . .. | 3,000 | 67 | 1.0 | 20 to 30 |
| Available for an adult worker .. | 2,900 | 68 | 0.5 | 28 |

2.30. In the calculation of the total quantity of intake of nutrients by the adult workers, the contribution from the food consumed from hotels and restaurants and from eggs and certain fruits have not been taken into account since the weight of such items actually consumed could not be estimated.
2.31. The net calories required for an adult worker is taken as the weighted arithmetic mean of the requirements of the male and female workers, the weights being the number of males and females in the sample. Calculation of an average became necessary because of the fact that quantities consumed by males and females were not available separately. Only the average consumption by a member of the sample could be obtained. The calorie requirement of an adult worker in plantations thus worked out comes to 3,294 , and that consumed at present is 2,900 . Thus there is a difference of 394 calories, which is about 12 per cent of the requiremerit. Coming to protiens, the weighted mean is 74 grm .., thereby indicating a deficiency of nearly 9.5 per cent. In regard to calcuum the percentage deficiency is very high and an adult worker gets only half the requirements. There is -no deficiency as regards the quantity of iron in the food consumed by the workers.
2.32. The composition of the actual diet consumed by on adult worker can be compared with that of an improved diet and a balanced diet using the figures given in Table IX-Appendix II. The composition of these three types are given in columns 2,4 and 6 respectively. The value of each of the item actually consumed by an adult worker is furnished in column 3 while the approximate cost of the constituents of an improved diet and the balanced diêt valued at the same rate are given in columns 5 and 7, respectively.
2.33. From the table it can be seen that the quantities of the various items consumed by an adult worker are less than the requirements of the improved diet and the balanced diet except in the case of cereals. The normal requirement of cereals is only 14 ozs. While an adult worker consumes nearly one and a half times this quantity. Rice constitutes 98 per cent of the cereals consumed.
2.34. The following table gives the percentage variation of the various food items actually consumed from the requirements according to an improved diet and a balanced diet.

TABLE (2.12)
Percentage variation of various food items consumed from the requirements of the improved and balanced diets

| Items | Actually consumed (oz.) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percen- } \\ \text { tage } \\ \text { variation } \end{gathered}$ | Constituents <br> of balanced diet (oz:) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percen- } \\ \text { tage } \\ \text { variation } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Cereals .. | $21 \cdot 47$ | 14 | $+53$ | 14 | $+53$ |
| Pulses - .. | $2 \cdot 20$ | 3 | $-17$ | 3 | -17 |
| Vegetables .. | 4.07 | 14 | -71 | 10 | - 59 |
| Oils and fats . .. | 0.68 | 2 | -66 | 2 | -66 |
| Milk | $3 \cdot 27$ | 4 | -18 | 10 | -67 |
| Subar and Jaggery .. | 1.90 | 2 | - 5 | 2 | - 5 |
| Meat and fish . | 1.78 | -• | - | 3 | -41. |

2.35. Cousid:ring the amount expended the food consumed by an adult worker costs 88 nP . per day. Valued at the same prices, the cost of an improved diet and a balanced diet cost 72 nP . and 96 nP . The amount of 21 nP . given against other items in column (3) of Table IX-Appendix II, includes the amount expended on fruits, spices and condiments which are not included either in the improved diel or in the balanced diet. Excluding this 21 nP . the cost of an estate worker's diet comes to orly 93 per cent of the cost of an improved diet and 70 per cent of the cost of a balanced diet.

## Conclusions

2.36. The workers in tea plantation form a group differing from the general population of Kerala. The average size of a worker's household is smaller when compared with the general ropulation. The per capita expenditure of a worker is found to be Rs. 28.66 per month. while the income is only less than 60 per cent of the expenditure. This gap is filled in by taking.
loans, which is clear from the fact that 67 per cent of the households are indebted and that a major percentage of the loans taken comes under the purpose consumption expenditure. Another feature noted is that the proportionate expenditure on food is remarkably greater and yet the food they consume is not nutritious enough. Also the cost of the food items consumed by an adult worker in cne day is less than the cost of a ba'anced diet by only 8 nP . and is greater than that for an improved diet by 16 nP . But it is seen that nearly one-fourth of the cost of the actual diet is spent in other items like spices and condiments, beverages, fruits, food from hotels, etc. They can make up the deficiency of nutrients if this amount or a part of it is utilised on items like milk, oils, and fats, etc. Above all, the quantity of rice consumed by an adult worker is more than what is actually needed.
2.37. But the coefficient of elasticity of expenditure on food with respect to total expenditure is found to be greater than one, thereby indicating that an increase in the total expenditure will not bring any decrease in the proportion expended on food. It can therefore, be concluded that the workers, in general have not reached a level of satisfaction in regard to food, in the sense that any additional income they get, will be utilised to purchase more food items. Naturally, there will not be a proportionate increase in the amount expended in the other groups,' or in otherwards the proportionate expenditure in the other groups will show a decrease. This is further confirmed by the co-efficient of elasticity in clothing with respect to total expenditure which works out to be less than one. Such a type of expenditure pattern, is nothing uniommon in a society of workers as this, in which both man and woman have to do hard manual labour for not less than eight hours a day.


## APPENDIX II

Table I-Average Household Size

| 家 | Name of Estate | Sample population |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oun } \\ & \text { oem } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 砣 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | Ponmudi | 59 | 32 | 27 | 5.9 | 4:58 | 844 |
| 2 | Bonnocode | 47 | 27 | 20 | $4 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 54$ | 741 |
| 3 | Isfield | 54 | 22 | 32 | 5-4 | 4.08 | 1455 |
| 4 | St. Marys | 59 | 31 | 28 | 5.9 | $4 \cdot 42$ | 903 |
| 5 | Carmel | 48 | 25 | 23 | 48 | $3 \cdot 68$ | 920 |
| 6 | Ambanad | 43 | 23 | 20 | 43 | $3 \cdot 32$ | 870 |
| 7 | Kannimala | 44 | 20 | 24 | 4.4 | $3 \cdot 30$ | 1200 |
| 8 | Devicolum | 54 | 28 | 26 | $5 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 12$ | 929 |
| 9 | Munnar | 47 | 24 | 23 | 4.7 | $3 \cdot 72$ | 958 |
| 10 | Choolamala | 41 | 22 | 19 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $3 \cdot 36$ | 864 |
| 11 | Fairfield | 42 | 17 | 25 | 4.2 | $3 \cdot 40$ | 1471 |
| 12 | Pasuparai | 41 | 21 | 20 | 4.1 | $3 \cdot 10$ | 952 |
| 13 | Wallardy | 47 | 25 | 22 | $4 \cdot 7$ | $3 \cdot 58$ | 880 |
| 14 | Pasumala | 53 | 23 | 30 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $4 \cdot 00$ | 1304 |
| 15. | Panniyar | 42 | 19 | 23 | $4 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 22$ | 1211 |
| 16 | Elappally | 43 | 19 | 24 | $4 \cdot 3$ | $3 \cdot 32$ | 1263 |
| 17 | Malakkappara | 60 | 29 | . 31 | 6.0 | $4 \cdot 56$ | 1069 |
| 18 | Varadimala | 39 | 18 | 21 | 3.9 | $3 \cdot 00$ | 1167 |
| 19 | Siruvani | 60 | 28 | 32 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 32$ | 1143 |
|  | All Estates | 923 | 453 | 470 | 4.9 | $3 \cdot 72$ | 1038 |

Table II-Percentage distribution of percons, according to Age, Sear and Economic ntatus


Table Ill-Number of persons worked days at work and incore for one month and one year according to oceupations


Table IV-Average monthly expenditure per housebol I


Table V-Average expenditure on food per household

| 号 | Estate | For 10 days | For a month Rs. | Percentage of monthly expenditure to that of 10 days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | Ponmudi | 39.02 | 99.61 | 255 |
| 2 | Bonoccord | $32 \cdot 81$ | 90.36 | 275 |
| 3 | St. Marys | 24.44 | 72.65 | 297 |
| 4 | Isfield | $24 \cdot 39$ | 82:98, | 340 |
| 5 | Carmel | 17.24 | $45 \cdot 40$ | 263 |
| 6 | Ambanad | 16.84 | 68.09 | 404 |
| 7 | Pasuparai | ${ }^{*} 27.11$ | 82:34 | 304. |
| 8. | Fairfield | $57 \cdot 46$ | 159.54 | 277 |
| 9 | Shoolamalai | 39.64 | 99.85 | 273 |
| 10 | Devicolum | 47.75 | 138.55 | 290 |
| 11 | Panniar | 29.14 | $99 \times 31$ | 341 |
| 12 | Elappallay | $27 \cdot 29$ | 74.99 | 275 |
| 13 | Kannimáai | $42 \cdot 22$ | 142.74 | 338 |
| 14 | Wallardi | $36 \cdot 67$ | 119.81 | 327 |
| 15 | Pasumalai | $34 \cdot 92$ | 101•39 | 290 |
| 16 | Munnar | $35 \cdot 26$ | 78.84 | 224 |
| 17 | Malakkapparai | $26 \cdot 48$ | $76 \cdot 29$ | 288 |
| 18 | Sirvani | 27.78 | 81-30 | 293 |
| 19 | Veradimalai | 33.83 | $100 \cdot 74$ | 298 |
|  | All Estates | 617.29 | 1,815-78 | 294 |

TABLE VI
Distribation of Loans and their purpote


TABLE VI-(cont.)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\frac{2}{8}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow{3}{*}{Name of Estate}} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Purpote} \\
\hline \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Ceremonial} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{To repay loan} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Othera} \& \(\because\) Total \& \\
\hline \& \& \& Amount
Ros, nP \& No. \&  \& No. \& Amount
Rs, \({ }^{\text {nP }}\) \& No. \& Amount
R2. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) nP \& No. \\
\hline \& \& \& \(\cdot 11\) \& 12 \& 13 \& 14 \& 15 \& 16 \& i7 \& 18 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{18}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4 \\
5 \\
6 \\
7 \\
78 \\
9 \\
10 \\
11 \\
12 \\
13 \\
15 \\
16 \\
17 \\
18 \\
19
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{18}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
All Estates \\
Ponmudi \\
Bonoccord lofield \\
St. Marys \\
Carmel \\
Ambanad \\
Kannimala \\
Devicolum \\
Munaar \\
Choolamala \\
Fairfield \\
Pasuparai \\
Wallardy \\
Pasumala \\
Penniyar \\
Elappally \\
Malakopara \\
Varadimele \\
Sirvani
\end{tabular}} \& -.. \& \multirow[t]{18}{*}{} \& \multirow[t]{18}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
15 \\
2 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
3 \\
\because \\
\because 3 \\
1 \\
\because \because \\
\because \because 1 \\
2 \\
1 \\
\because \\
\because \because \\
\because \\
\because
\end{array}
\]} \& 42600 \& 8 \& \(2,601 \quad 25\) \& 24 \& 30,91798 \& 247 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \(\cdots\) \& 10000 \& 1 \& 6,00500 \& 10 \\
\hline \& \& . \& \& \& :- \& \(\because\) \& . 0 \& 1 \& 2,73500 \& 14 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \(\because\) \& \& \(\cdots\) \& 2,218 00 \& 14 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 6500 \& \(\stackrel{7}{2}\) \& \(\cdots\) \& ". \& 2.611 \& 25 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \(\cdots\) \& \(\cdots\) \& \(\cdots\) \& 79900
15600 \& 12 \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \(\because\) \& \(\because\) \& \(\because\) \& \(\because\) \& \(\begin{array}{r}156 \\ 2,390 \\ \hline 00\end{array}\) \& \(\underline{6}\) \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \(\cdots\) \& \(\cdots\) \& \& .. \& 3,310 00 \& 10 \\
\hline \& \& \(\because\) \& \& \& \& \(\because\) \& \(\because\) \& \(\because\) \& 89200 \& 19 \\
\hline \& \& \(\cdots\) \& \& \& 3800 \& 3 \& \(\because 0\) \& \(\because\) \& 1,38200 \& 30 \\
\hline \& \& .. \& \& \& 10800 \& 2 \& \& i \& 46300 \& 11 \\
\hline \& \& \(\because\) \& \& \& \(\cdots\) \& \(\because\) \& \(\begin{array}{rr}2,000 \& 00 \\ 300 \& 00\end{array}\) \& 4
5 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
3,160 \\
2,615 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 22 \\
\hline \& \& \(\because\) \& \& \& \(\because\) \& \(\because\) \& \& 5 \& 2,615
850

80 \& 14 <br>
\hline \& \& $\cdots$ \& \& \& $\cdots$ \& $\cdots$ \& $\because$ : \& $\because$ \& 20000 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& \& $\cdots$ \& \& \& $\cdots$ \& $\because$ \& $9{ }^{9} 25$ \& i2 \& 63900 \& 25 <br>
\hline \& \& $\cdots$ \& \& \& - \& . \& $50 \quad 00$ \& . 1 \& 17000 \& 3
3 <br>
\hline \& \& $\because$ \& \& \& \& $\because$ \& -. \& .. \& 9700 \& 2 <br>
\hline \& \& $\cdots$ \& \& \& \& 1 \& - \& .. \& 22500 \& 2 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table VII-Average consumption per adult per day and its constituents


Table VII-Average consumption per adult per day and its constituents-(cont.)


Table VIII-Constituents of certain items of food


Table VIII-Constituents of certain items of food-(cont.)

| \% | Commodity | Protein Gms. (Oz.) | Calcium <br> Mgm . (Oz.) | $\underset{\mathrm{Mgm} .}{\text { Iron }}$ | Caloritic <br> Value (0z.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Milk (Cow) | 0.9 | 34 | 0.1 | 18 |
| 19 | Milk (Buffaloes) | $1 \cdot 2$ | 6 | 0.1 | 33 |
| 20 | Milk (Goats) | 1.1 | 48 | 0.1 | 24 |
| 21 | Curds ${ }^{\text {c }}$, | 6.8 | 34 390 | 0.1 | 14 |
| 23 | Ghee (Chees) Butter Milk | 0.2 | 34 8 | 0.2 | 101 |
| 24 | Contensed Milk (Skimmed) | 0.7 | 34 | 0.1 | 8 |
| 25 | Dried Milk (Skimmed powder) | 10.7 | 390 | 0.4 | 101 |
| 26 | Banana | 0.4 | 3 | 0.1 | 43 |
| 27 | Other Plantyins | $0 \cdot 3$ | 3 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 30 |
| 28 | Orange | 0.3 | 14 | 0.1 | 14 |
| 29 30 | Mango | 0.2 | 3 6 | 0.1 0.1 | 14 4 |
| 30 31 3 | ${ }_{\text {Jack fruit }}^{\text {Jaggery }}$ | 0.5 0.1 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0.1 3.2 | 4 109 |
| 32 | Jaggery | 1.8 | 43 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 99 |
| 33 | Chillies (Green) | 0.8 | 8 | 0.3 | 12 |

Table VIII-Constituents of certain items of Food-(cont.)

| Sl. No. |  | Commodity |  | Protein Gms. (Oz.) | Calcium Mgm. (Oz.) | $\xrightarrow[M_{\text {gm. }}]{\text { Iron }}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{z}} .\right)$ | Caloritic Value (Oz.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Cocoanut |  | $\because$ | 1.4 |  | 0.5 | 126 |
| 36 | Tamerind (Pulp) |  | . | 0.9 | ' 48 | 3.1 | 82 |
| 37 | Onions |  | $\cdots$ | 0.5 1.8 |  | 0.3 | 17 |
| 38 | Garlic |  | -. | 1.8 | 8 | 0.4 | 40 |
| 40 | Coriander - |  | $\cdots$ | 0.7 | 180 | 501 0.7 | 82 19 |
| 41 | Pepper (Green) |  | $\cdots$ | 1.4 | 70 | 0.7 | 43 |
| 42 | Do. (Dry) |  | -• | $3 \cdot 3$ | 130 | $4 \cdot 8$ | 87 |

N

Source: Public Health Laboratory, Trivandrum.

Table IX-Composition of an actual diet of an adult worker an improved diet and balanced diet


Note.- $\dagger$ The exact quantities cannot be given here.
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