Report on the administration of minors' estates by regionue Officers under quardians and wards act; 1800, in the province

of Bombay.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRESIDENCY PROPER .

ECTION				•		PAGES
I-Number of estates under	r managem	ent	***	•••		1
II—Income			•••	***		1
1II-Expenditure		.,,			•••	2
.IV—Collection of estate dues	1	***				4
V—Government revenue, ce	sses and ra	tes	•••	***	•••	Ş
VI—Cost of management	***	***				6
VII-Advances to cultivators	•••		***	***		6
VIII-Special schemes for man	agement	•••	***	***		7
IX-Maintenance and educat	ion of War	ds	441	***	•••	7
X—Repayment of existing d	lebts and li	abilities	•••	***	•••	. 9
X1—Reduction of claims secu	red in cons	ideration of	prompt pa	yment .	٠,٠	10
XII—General remarks	•••	•••	***	***	•••,	10
Statements I, II, III	•••		•••	***	***	12-25
·	S	IND				
Report	•••		•••	***	•••	27-87
Statements I, II, III	***	•••	•••			99-4 1
Commissionar's remark	4					43-45

NORTHERN DIVISION

W. W. SMART, Esqr., I.C.S., Commissioner, N. D. (Acting).

CENTRAL DIVISION

G. W. HATCH, Esqr., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, C. D.

SOUTHERN DIVISION

H. L. PAINTER, Esqr., I.C.S., Commissioner, S. D.

BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION

R. D. BELL, Esqr., C.I.E., I.C.S., Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division.

I.-NUMBER OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT

Northern Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 35 estates (four large and 31 small) under management. Two large estates—Gamph in the Ahmedabad District and Jhanod in the Kaira District—were released and three large (Badshahi Diwan's estate in Ahmedabad and Janiadra and Dehej estates in Broach) and one small estate in Thana were taken under management during the year. In the case of the Jhanor estate in Broach management under the Court of Wards Act was substituted for that under the Guardians and Wards Act. The year thus closed with 38 estates (six large and 32 small). As the estate of Badshahi Diwan was taken under management towards the close of the year, figures for that estate were not available and the statements contain information in respect of the remaining 37 estates.

Central Division.—At the beginning of the year there were 13 large and 16 small estates under management, the small kulkarni estate of Nasik having been restored to the Wards on 31st July 1926. One small estate (the Patansing estate in Poona) was released while two small estates (Deshmukh of Kukana and Shinde of Shirapur in Ahmednagar) were taken up during the year. Thus the year closed with 30 estates under management (13 large and 17 small). As the Shinde estate of Shirapur was taken over at the close of the year, the statistical information in respect of this estate is not given in this report.

Southern Division.—These remained stationary, viz., 50. Government have sanctioned the relinquishment of two estates in Belgaum and they will be released shortly.

Bombay Suburban Division.—There was no change in the number of estates under management, which continued to be two.

II.-INCOME

Northern Division.—The total gross income amounted to Rs. 2,36,134 as against Rs. 2,44,664 in the previous year. The #821—1

small decrease is due to the slightly unfavourable nature of the season in parts of the Ahmedabad, Broach and Surat Districts.

Central Division.—The total gross income of the estates amounted to Rs. 8,59,915 as against Rs. 7,78,322 of the previous year. The increase was mainly due to larger receipts of the Raisingpur, Kathi and Naik (Poona) estates and to the addition of the income of the Dabhade estate which could not be included in the last year's statement as the estate had just been taken over at the close of the year.

Southern Division.—The total gross income amounted to Rs. 3,08,246 against Rs. 3,15,368 during the previous year. Belgaum showed a decrease of Rs. 18,000 which was partly counterbalanced by increases of Rs. 6,000 and Rs. 5,000 in Dharwar and Ratnagiri. The decrease in Belgaum was due to non-recovery of revenue and grant of suspensions on account of failure of crops. Dharwar received accumulated rentals and interest on Government paper while Ratnagiri converted war bonds of 1926 and 1927 into cash.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The gross income was Rs. 9,993 against Rs. 30,414 during the previous year. The last year's income included the sale proceeds of the Quetta property of Miss Weir's estate which was sold for Rs. 20,275. No sale of property was effected during the year. The slight decrease in income in Gulamalli and Noormahomed's estate was due to the fall in the price of cocoanuts.

III.—Expenditure

Northern Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs: 2,73,548 as against 2,43,705 in the previous year. The expenditure has decreased in all Districts except Broach where over a lakh of rupees were invested in Government loan, Co-operative Bank, etc.

The	following	were	items	٥f	enocial	expenditure :-
THE	TOHOMIDE	were	mems	01	ebeciai	expenditure :

***************************************	Name of estate		Name of estate Amount				Object of expenditure
				Rs.			
Mehlol		•••		1,300	Obsequies of the younger son of the Thakor.		
Jhanor	***			54,987	Investment in the Co-operative Bank		
Do.	***	***	·	44,050	Purchase of Government securities.		
Do.		•••		2,285	Purchase of lands.		
Do.	***	***		2,800	Advance to Dehej estate.		

Central Division.—The total Expenditure amounted to Rs. 7,66,455 as compared with Rs. 6,21,378 in the previous year.

Besides the ordinary expenses of management of the estates and of maintenance of the wards, their relatives and dependents, expenditure was incurred from some of the estates as shown below on special items:—

$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{s}$.			
62,504	***	***	Repayment of loans.
14,056		•••	Law charges.
7,900	***	•••	Repairs to bungalows and houses
6,054			Marriages.

During the year fresh investments as detailed below were made in purchasing Government bonds and securities:—

Name of estate			Aı	nount invested		
				${ m Rs.}$		
Fakirchand of C	hopda	•••	•••	36,750		
Deshmukh of Ya	***	•••	10,115			
Deshmukh of Ar	•••	•••	5,60 0 8,725			
Naik of Paldhi			***	36,750 10,115 5,600		
Wani of Gondga	***	•••	3,875			
Naik of Poona	***	•••	•••	29,000		
Shriniwas	***	***		11,000		
Natu	•••	***	•••	1,000		
Dehu		•••	***	1,000		
Panse	•••	•••	•••	8,860		
Purandhare	***	•••	***	1,000		
Shikarkhane	•••	***	***	300		
Deshmukh of Ch	***	***	3,000			

Southern Division.—The total gross expenditure amounted to Rs. 3,19,953 against Rs. 3,11,353 in the previous year. An increase of Rs. 25,000 occurred in Belgaum due to the payment to Government of the difference between the survey assessment and judi payable by the Nipani Desgat, which has lapsed to Government. In Dharwar there was a decrease of Rs. 21,000 as last year's figures included unusual items, e. g., marriages, payment of debt, &c. There was an increase of Rs. 5,000 in Ratnagiri on account of the marriage of one of the wards' sisters and investments in post office cash certificates.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 8,027 as against Rs. 29,043 in the previous year. The last year's figure included the amount invested in the purchase of Government Promissory Notes of Rs. 20,000 in the case of Miss Weir's estate. The expenditure on the Gulamalli estate was also slightly in excess of what it was last year, the increase being due to the repayment of debts.

IV.—Collection of estate dues

Northern Division.—Out of the total demand of Rs. 2,12,216 on account of rents Rs. 1,48,307 (including Rs. 39 as over collection) were collected and Rs. 3,572 were written off leaving a balance of Rs. 60,376 for collection at the close of the year. Out of this amount Rs. 33,312 are due from tenants of the estates in the Panch Mahals and Broach Districts as arrears of rent for years prior to the assumption of management and the Collector of Broach is making inquiries to ascertain what amount out of the arrears of Rs. 28,682 in that District should be written off as irrecoverable.

Central Division.—The total demand including arrears was Rs. 3,65,153 of which Rs. 2,75,916 was collected and Rs. 2,272 were written off as irrecoverable leaving a balance of Rs. 86,970 as against Rs. 51,110 in the previous year. Reasons for arrears wherever they are comparatively heavy are given below:—

Name of estat	6	Amount of arrears	Reasons
	•	Rs.	
Deshmukh of Kukas estate).	na (smal)	1,567	The recovery is hold over owing to scarcity conditions prevailing in the villages.
Fakirchand of Chopda		7,159 _]	
Deshmukh of Yawal		8,019	Necessary steps were taken to recover the estates' dues but as the year under
Naik of Paldhi	•••	1,498	report was not a satisfactory one, the whole amount of dues could not
Wani of Gondgaon		8,436	be recovered. Steps will be taken to recover the arrears during the current
Deshmukh of Amalu estate).	er (small	<i>5</i> ,411 }	year.
Kathi	,,,	5,397	Rs. 643 will have to be written off at there are no means to recover them. Efforts were made for the recovery of arrears but to no purpose. Suspension for the remaining amount of Rs. 4,754 will have to be given next year if the season does not turn out to be prosperous to the bhil cultivators.
Naik		1,115	Out of the past arrears of Rs. 1,492, Rs. 268 have been recovered and Rs. 372 were written off as irrecoverable, leaving at the end of the year a balance of Rs. 852 still due for recovery. The whole of this will have probably to be written off as the defaulters have no property from which the arrears could be recovered. Out of the current year's demand, only Rs. 263 remained in arrears at the end of the year. Steps for their recovery are in progress.

Name of estate		Amount of arrears	Reasons
		Rs.	
Shriniwas	***	10,258	The arrears were chiefly due to the suspension of Land Revenue owing to the failure of crops in three inam villages situated in the Ahmeduagar District and the non-recovery of ront of lands situated in the same area for the same reasons.
Purandhate (small estate)	•••	1,054	The arrears were due to the fact that the last season was not so favourable as to make the recovery of the entire dues without hardship to the tenants possible. It is hoped that the arrears will be recovered during the current year.
Deshmukh of Chikurdo		5,903	Out of the arrears, Rs. 715 are due from the tenants of Sherikavathe for which a civil suit has been filed in the Miraj Court. Steps are being taken for the recovery of the remaining arrears.

Southern Division.—The total demand, including arrears, was Rs. 5,38,212, of which Rs. 2,43,650 (including Rs. 41 overcollections and Rs. 25 collected in advance last year) were collected and Rs. 44,411 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,50,167 against Rs. 2,26,715 last year. Belgaum alone has arrears of Rs. 2,11,195. The Akbarnavis estate is responsible for Rs. 41,630 consisting of irrecoverable balances and amounts embezzled by the manager of the estate who was tried and sentenced to imprisonment for one year; detailed enquiries regarding the scope for embezzlement are in progress. Nearly Rs. 14,000 of the arrears pertain to years prior to management of the estates by the Court of Wards and the rest is due to bad seasons. In Bijapur the arrears are due to non-recovery of rents of lands in Kotyal (Aundh State) by the State Karbhari, who has been expedited by the Collector. Of the arrears of Rs. 35,404 in Dharwar Rs. 817 are suspended and the balance is due to bad season. Ratnagiri has no arrears.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Out of the total demand for rent amounting to Rs. 8,281, Rs. 7,971 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 310 in minor Gulamalli and Nurmahomed estate.

V .- GOVERNMENT REVENUE, CESSES AND RATES

Northern Division.—All Government dues ordered to be recovered were paid up in full.

Central Division.—Amounts due to Government have been fully paid for all the estates.

Southern Division .- All Government dues were paid in full.

Bombay Suburban Division.—All Government dues were paid in full.

VI.-Cost of management

Northern Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 19,984 or 8:46 per cent. of the income as against Rs. 21,889 or 8:9 in the previous year.

Central Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 59,684 or 6.9 per cent. of the income as against 7.8 in the previous year.

The management charges for the estates of Fakirchand of Chopda, Deshmukh of Yawal and Naik of Paldhi were slightly over the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. while in the case of Wani estate of Gondgaon, they were 21.6 per cent. The Collector reports that this is due to the reduction in the amount of recoveries during the year.

The management charges of the Kathi estate amounted to Rs. 18,968 as against Rs. 16,870 in the previous year. The increase was due to the appointment of Forester whose services have been lent by the Conservator of Forests and the appointment of two forest guards and also due to promotion and allowances granted to certain servants of the estate.

The cost of management of the Shriniwas Estate fell from Rs. 1,693 of the last year to Rs. 1,636 of the current. But the percentage on gross income as shown in column 15 of Statement No. I is slightly over the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. on account of the entire revenue in three Inam Villages in the Ahmednagar District being suspended during the year owing to scarcity.

Southern Division.—The total cost of management amounted to Rs. 36,186 or 11.7 per cent of the gross income recovered against Rs. 44,792 or 14.2 per cent in the previous year. In Belgaum a uniform rate of 12½ per cent on the gross income recovered during the previous year was charged as usual. The cost is below the prescribed percentage in all districts except Belgaum.

Bombay Suburban Division.—The management charges of the estates amounted to Rs. 339 or 3.4 per cent. of the gross income against Rs. 2,373 or 8 per cent. in the preceding year. The decrease was due to the retrenchment of the post of the Assistant Manager in Miss Weir's estate which is now managed personally by the Collector with a clerk on a small remuneration of Rs. 15 per mensem. It was also due to the services of the special nurse in charge of Miss Weir being dispensed with.

VII .- ADVANCES TO CULTIVATORS

Northern Division.—In one estate only, viz., the Kantilal estate in the Thana District, Rs. 327 in addition to paddy worth Rs. 2,064

were advanced to cultivators as against Rs. 757 and Rs. 194 worth of paddy advanced during the previous year.

Central Division.—No advances were granted to cultivators from any estate.

Southern Division .- Nil.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Nil.

VIII.-SPECIAL SCHEMES FOR MANAGEMENT

· Northern Division.—There was no special scheme for management of any of the Estates.

Central Division.—Raisingpur estate. The forests of the estate are being managed according to the sanctioned working plan by which the estate is divided into three circles A, B and C. The land in the C circle which is on the level of the Tapti valley plain consists of rich black soil. The revenue survey of this circle was undertaken in 1924-25 and it is still in progress. Concessions in Autbandi, tagai without interest for five years, free grazing, grant of hutting materials and forest privileges are given in order to attract new settlers to the circle. A scheme for improvement of the drinking water supply in this circle has been framed and it is proposed to spend Rs. 3,000 for this purpose in 1927-28.

Kathi estate.—No special scheme for the management of the estate has been so far drawn up and the administration is for the present carried on along the old lines. A working plan for the management of the forests was proposed to be prepared in 1926-27 but it is not yet ready.

Kazi estate of Nandurbar (Small estate).—A loan of Rs. 30,000 at 6½ per cent. was taken from the Raisingpur estate and the debts of all old Sawkars were paid out of this amount. The debt due to Raisingpur will be repaid in about 25 years by paying almost the whole income of lands.

Warad estate.—The proposed loan of six lakhs from the Imperial Bank of India has not yet been finally sanctioned.

Southern Division.—Nil.

Bombay Suburban Division.— No special schemes for management were undertaken.

IX.-MAINTENANCE AND EDUCATION OF WARDS

Northern Division.—All reasonable demands on account of the maintenance of the wards and their relatives were met and Rs. 39,250 were spent for the purpose as against Rs. 42,010 in the previous year. The decrease is due to the release of the Gamph estate from management. In the Panch Mahals District 520 acres of Gharkhed land was allowed to the wards in addition to the allowance in cash for their maintenance.

There were in all 80 wards—68 males and 12 females. Of them:—

7 are below or above school going age;

2 are of unsound mind;

19 are receiving education as follows:-

5 in vernacular schools;

8 in Anglo-vernacular schools;

2 in College;

4 through private tutors.

13 are learning professional work or are engaged in the management of their estates.

41

The remaining 39 wards are the sharers of Kotda and Gadukpur estates in the Panch Mahals District who make their own arrangements for the education of their children.

Central Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of wards and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied. The expenditure on the account amounts to Rs. 80,299.

There are in all 39 wards—37 males and two females. Of the two female wards, one is married; the other is studying in the Vernacular VI standard.

Out of the 37 male wards:-

3 are below school-going age.

16 are above school-going age.

18 are receiving education as under:-

2 in Primary schools.

15 in English schools.

1 in College.

37

Southern Division.—All reasonable demands on account of the maintenance of the wards and their relatives dependent upon them were satisfied, the total expenditure being Rs. 1,06,138 against Rs. 94,973 in the previous year. The increase is due to accumulated allowances paid in Belgaum and to the fact that the expenditure of three estates was confined to a part of last year since their assumption by the Court of Wards.

There were in all 82 wards, 79 males and three females. One female ward attends the Grant Medical College, Bombay. Of the 79 male wards:—

1 is below the school-going age,

34 are above the school-going age, and

44 are receiving education as under :-

12 in Primary schools.

29 in English schools,

3 in Colleges.

Bombay Suburban Division.—All reasonable demands for the maintenance and education of the wards were satisfied during the year.

X.—REPAYMENT OF EXISTING DEBTS AND LIABILITIES

Northern Division.—The aggregate amount of debt due by the estates which amounted to Rs. 4,15,709 when management was assumed was reduced to Rs. 2,03,733 at the beginning of the year. The liabilities increased during the year by Rs. 7,671 of which Rs. 2,500 were borrowed on behalf of the Dehej estate for performing the obsequies of the late Thakor and Rs. 3,250 were borrowed on behalf of the Edrus estate.

Claims to the extent of Rs. 20,160 were rejected, Rs. 411 were remitted by creditors and Rs. 13,635 paid from current income leaving a balance of Rs. 1,77,198 at the close of the year, of which the Edrus estate alone is responsible for Rs. 1,24,250.

Central Division.—Nine out of the 13 large estates and nine out of the 16 small estates for which statistics are available are free from debt.

The total balance of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 10,62,583. This amount does not tally with the closing balance of the last year owing to the fact that the debts of estates newly taken under management have been added while the debt of the estate released during the year has been omitted. The increase of Rs. 1,83,520 over the amount shown in the last year's statement is explained below:—

- +1,85,200 Dabhade estate newly added.
- + 4,289 Deshmukh estate of Kukana newly added.
- 5,919 Patansing estate (Poona) released during the year.
- -- 50 In the case of Kazi estate of Nandurbar, it was found after settlement with the Sawkars that the debts amounted to Rs. 30,811 and not 30,861 included in the last year's statement and hence the difference of Rs. 50.

1,83,520

Fresh claims amounting to Rs. 50,175 were received during the year. Loans to the extent of Rs. 1,17,333 were raised to pay off old debts by two large estates—Kathi and Warad and two small estates—Kazi of Nandurbar and Panse of Poona. Out of the total liabilities amounting to Rs. 12,30,091, claims of Rs. 2,50,353 were cleared off leaving a balance of Rs. 9,79,738 of which the Warad estate is responsible for Rs. 6,36,190. A scheme to raise a loan of about six lacs at 6½ per cent. from the Imperial Bank of India, Sholapur, for the liquidation of the debts of the Warad estate has been submitted to Government.

Southern Division.—The aggregate amount of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year was Rs. 8,84,850. Fresh loans to the extent of Rs. 1,43,798 were raised during the year, principally in Belgaum. Out of this Rs. 1,10,000 were utilised towards the payment of old debts. Rs. 59,570 were paid off from current revenue and Rs. 3,175 by leasing out mortgaged property which was in the hands of a creditor. Claims for Rs. 48,648 were given up by the creditors and for Rs. 21,496 were rejected by the Court of Wards. The balance due at the end of the year was Rs. 7,85,759.

Twelve out of the 40 estates in Belgaum are free from debt. Out of the loan of Rs. 1,50,000 to be borrowed with the sanction of Government from the Sar Desai of Vantmuri for the Datwad estate, Rs. 1,00,000 were received and utilised for payment of old claims; the balance (Rs. 50,000) was received after the close of the year. The two estates in Bijapur are not yet free from liabilities. The sale of lands of the Darga estate to pay off existing heavy debts although sanctioned by Government over a year ago has had to be postponed on account of the unfavourable season precluding the lands from realising their values and consequently two awaiting darkhasts had to be executed; in one lands at Torvi were put up for sale by auction but the sale was set aside The Belgali estate in Dharwar has a liability of as irregular. Rs. 3,807 only. The Mogul estate in Ratnagiri has been freed from encumbrances.

Bombay Suburban Division.—Miss Weir's estate has no debts to pay. In the case of the other estate Rs. 600 out of the claim of Rs. 1,000 were paid off during the year.

XI.—REDUCTION OF CLAIMS SECURED IN CONSIDERATION OF PROMPT PAYMENT

Northern Division.—There was no such case in this Division worth noting.

Southern Division.—Three small estates in Belgaum received remissions of Rs. 48,648.

Bombay Suburban Division.—There was no such case in this division.

XII.—GENERAL REMARKS

Northern Division.—Out of seven suits pending at the beginning of the year in the Ahmedabad District Court three were decided in favour of the estates, one was decided against the estate and one was compromised. Two suits were returned by the High Court. Thus there were four suits pending at the close of the year in the Ahmedabad District.

Three suits in Broach and one in Thana were decided in favour of the estates, one in the Panch Mahals was compromised and 10 (three in Kaira, two in Broach, three in Surat and two in Thana) were pending at the close of the year.

Central Division.—The civil suit filed for the possession of Shri Devi's temple belonging to the Rajabahadur of Nasik had to be withdrawn for want of sufficient evidence. Two fresh Civil suits—one for the redemption of the mortgaged land of the Chandor Deshpande estate and the other for an encroachment made on the Sukenkar's land at Sukene—a Sharakati Dumala village—have been filed and they are pending in the Courts.

A company, trading in the name of 'Economic Trading Company' have obtained a decree for Rs. 50,000 against the Panse Wards. The Manager of the Company had submitted a claim of the amount to the Collector who rejected it after consulting legal opinion. The Manager thereafter sought to execute the decree in the Sub-Judge's Court at Poona. The Collector opposed it but the Court overruled his objection. The Collector has since preferred an appeal to the High Court against the decision of the Sub-Judge.

2. The administration of the estates through local officers continued to be efficient and satisfactory.

Southern Division.—The administration of the estates through local officers was generally satisfactory.

Out of 78 suits (38 pending from the previous year and 40 instituted during the year) 27 were decided in favour of and five against the Court of Wards. Four appeals are pending in the High Court and eight in District Court.

Bombay Suburban Division.—With a retrenchment of expenditure to the extent of Rs. 6,000 per annum the financial condition of the estate of Miss Weir is now improving and it is anticipated that a saving will be regularly shown from next year.

The financial condition of the other estate is also satisfactory; the existing debt of Rs. 400 will, it is expected, be repaid during the next four years at Rs. 100 per year as arranged.

The administration of the estates was efficient and satisfactory.

STATEMENT

Statement showing the general position of estates under management and Bombay Suburban Divisions

(Details are given only for estates with gross income of Rs. 10.000

					.,	, ਹ		1 / 2
- Serial Number.	Name of district.		Name of estate.	Total area of estato.	ca Cultivated area.	o Uncultivated	- Total assessment.	Total land re-
	NORTHERN	ĺ		Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
	DIVISION.			aa sar	10 000	12,537	37,747	80,337
1		***	Mehlol	29,336 3,552	16,799 2,474	1,078	* 8,760	16,968
2		***	Jhanor	,	3,795	225	8,415	17,748
8	Do.	***	Janiadra	4,020		296	10.820	
4		***	Dehej	4,235	3,939			18,114
5		***	Edroos	4,598	4,258	340	3,878	25,950
615	Ahmedabad	•••	i)					
1618		•••	i			'		
1922		٠٠.	Total for small	23,967	19,083	4,878	31,336	59,475
2324		***	estates.					
. 25-34		•••			•			
9597	Thana	***	נ					·
	CENTRAL DIVISION.		Total, Northern Division.	69,708	50,854	19,354	100,456	163,587
1	Ahmednagar	***	Koshti of Rahuri.	108	108	164	159	729
g	East Khandesh.	•••	Fakirchand of	1 919	902	17	1,403	17,414
8	Do.	•••	Chords. Deshmukh of	1802	765	37	1,278	12,379
4	Do.	•••	Yawal. Naik of Paldhi	§ 549	521	28	945	6,386
5	Do.	•••	Wani of Gond-	¶550	531	19	1,097	7,326
6	West Khandesh	***	gaon. Raisingpur	1,28,000	7,200	1,20,800	17,163	7,163
7	Dα.		Kathi	3,20,000	18,617	**	14,368	16,330
8	Poona		Naik	100	100		74	18,905
9	Do.		Sbrigiwas	18,336	12,233	1,102	13,195	13,900
10	Do.	***	Natu !!!	11507	401	106	409	4,655
11	Do.	4	Dabhade	7,778	1,766	12	3,071	6,374
19	Satara	.,,	Deshmukh of	853	853		4,448	18,958
18 14—18 19 20 21—34 25—29	Abmednagar East Khandesh	***	Chikurde, Warad Total for small ostates,	Acres and 266 Bighas ¶14,580	Acres and 266 Bighas 4,563	17 2,670	4,483 20,926	1,05,863 77,993
			Total, Central Division.	Acres 4,94,603 and Bighas 266.	Acres 68,419 and Bighas 266.	(b)1,24,908	73,014	3,12,278

I .
under the Court of Wards Act in the Northern, Central, Southern
during the year 1926-27.

and above; figures for other estates are lumped together.)

	gross	ex-	Balı	ance.	ties.	nago-	f cost	
	Total income covered.	Total gross penditure.	Invested.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Cost of manago- ment.	Percentage of cost on gross income.	Romarks.
_			In		Ę.			
	9	10 .	11	12	18	14	15	16
•	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		• The correct invested balance is Rs. 60,900 and not Rs. 67,900 as
	49,866	36,420	124,422	22,648	******	3,778	7-5	Bhown last year. Rs. 7,000 out of the
	61,036	123,443	96,145	934		9,372	6.0	loan of Rs. 14,000 granted to the Kazi
	13,580	6,766	11,000	8,919	*****	1,456	10.7	estate were already recovered.
	11,329	3,281		. 8,138	2,500	485	8.8	†The change in area and assessment are
	29,345	16,106		15,317	124,250	2,024	6-9	due to the fact that the land measuring
	90,978	87,533	179,363	42,666	50,448	6,919	11-0	9 acros was purchased during the year. 1 The change in area is due to the reconveyance of 15 acres of land. 5 The change in area is due to the fact that 2 acres of lum land was given back. The change in area is due to the reconstitution of 33 acres years of 33 acres
	286,134	973,548	403,930	98,823	177,198	19,984	8-45	of land. The assessment of this estate always
	7,041	1,821	*60,900	7,230	*****	600	7-1	varies as it is based on Authandi system.
	40,490	18,859	9,68,150	8,683	*****	4,786	11.8	"Not known as the upper portion of
	18,092	8,730	53,115	6,076	******	2,395	13.2	the estate is not surveyed.
	18,972	10,946	1,18,225	841	ļ .,,	2,445	12.8	ttincludes Rs. 8,250 which are in the
	18,462	15,145	3,875	3,428	433	2,912	21·6	Imperial Bank, Il Last year's figure
	1,07,717	56,041	3,30,800	49,980	183****	9.472	8.8	was wrong as it in- cluded the area of
	1,44,120	1,39,419	***	21,953	73,406	18,968	13-1	the Inam villages which are not in
	58,040	52,590	29,000	7,149	141-41	1,964	34	charge of the Wards.
	19,885	23,523	1133,710	4,548	140141	1,636	11'7	which are in the Imperial Bank.
	11,020	12,491	\$\$56,650	6,658-		1,067	9.6	57The change in area is due to the fact
	63,416	56,111	***	10,848	1,22,640	1,110	1.7	that lands measur- ing 172 acres were
	18,489	15,167	15,500	10,509		1,190	6-4	returned to the owners on the expiry
				· 1				of the periods of lease.
	2,12,325	2,15,546	***	(a) 11 ₁ 905	6,36,190	4,485	2.1	(a) The last year's balance was Rs. 15,210 but an amount of
	1,39,846	1,40,072	99,910	99,899	1,47,069	6,754	5.0	Rs. 85 in the Imperial Bank of India has been emitted from this statement this
	8,59,915	7,86,456	10,69,135	1,85,040	9,79,738	69,684	6.9	year. (b) Exclusive of the uncultivated area of the Kathi estate, which is not known as the upper portion of the estate is not surveyed.

14

STATEMENT

1 Serial Number.	Name of distric	nt.	Name of estate.	Total area of the cetato.	o Cultivated area.	o Uncultivated	- Total assess- ment.	Total land reve-
-	southern division.			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs,	Rs.
1	Belgaum		Nipani	7,016	. 6,576	441	8,170	41,480
2	Do.	.,	Chaudur	2,429	2,429	•••	4,219	31,625
đ	Do.		Jamboti	16,633	8,560	10,073	7,492	10,624
4	Do.		Here		***		•••	12,465
5	Do.		Akbarnis	4,588	4,073	515	4,301	11,996
6	Do.	•••	Yargatti	17,844	17,844		9,299	20,479
7	Do.	***	Ghossrwad	1,379	1,379		3,812	9,423
8	Do.		Kalkundri	602	602		1,126	14,579
9	Dharwar		Bhadrapur	888	888		1,708	1,403
10-41	Belgaum	***	h		,			
42-43	Bijapur			00,000		A 010	00 500	100 505
44-48	Dharwar		Total for small estates.	26,790	16,948	9,842	23,529	106,575
4950	Ratpagiri	***	J					
			Total, Southern Division.	78,169	57,298	20,871	63,656	361'045

I-contd.

ored.		Balance.		tites.		gross	
Total gross in- come recovered.	Total gross penditure.	Investod.	Uninvested.	Total liabilities.	Cost of manage- ment.	Percentage cost on gi income.	Romarks.
9	10	11	19	13	14	15	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
39,672	55,860	5,286	5,696	157,526	4,217	10.6	
20,185	33,016	17,690	6,469	30.958	3.941	16-0	
15,719	12,490	42,350	3,150		2,009	12.8	
19,961	17,435		1,673	25,700	2,521	12.6	
10,916	15,350	900	9,356	48,000	1,706	15-6	
19,663	13,665	195	1,074	5,600	1,829	9.8	
11,232	5,667	27,600	4,105	9,198	1,418	12.6	
14,108	18,651	98,837	1,673	9,728	2,008	14-9	
12,784	3,176	79,000	40,441		806	6-3	
144,018	149,643	814,088	92,685	606,109	16,431	11.4	
308,246	819,953	585,876	159,322	785,769	36,186	11.7	

STATEMENT

- Serial number.	e Name of district.	Namo of estate.	Total area of estato.	o Oultivated area.	a Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total land revonue demand including cesses.
		BOMBAY BUBURBAN DIVISION.				Rs.	Rs.
1	Bom bay Subur- ban-	Miss Mary Nazli Weir.	*325 0	84 0	941	*840	2,316
2	Do	Gulamalli and Nurma- homed Abd- ulla of Ver- sova.		1 acre and 63 gunthas.	1 acre and 10 gunthas.	97	97
		Total, Bom- bay Subur- ban Division.	327 acres and 161 gunthes.	85 acres and 61 gunthas.	242 acres and 10 gunthes.	867	9,342
		Grand Total	642,807 Acres, 266 Bighas and 153 Gunthas.	176,149 Acres, 266 Bighas and 61 Gunthas.	165,975 Acres and 10 gunthas.	237,993	788,851

^{*} Reduction in area as well as assessment is due to the sale of Quetta property.

I—contd.

red.		Bala	nce.			gross	
94098	ro.					uo	
me r	nditu				sent.	cost	
inco	expe			tios.	agon	of	
. Total gross income recovered.	5 Total gross axpenditure.	I Invested.	E Uninvestod.	E Total Habilities.	r Cost of management.	Fercentage income.	E Bemarks.
. Вв.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.		
9,222	6,857	28,000	5,114	19+4**	285	8-1	
† 771	1,170	492	81	400	5 <u>4</u>	7.0	
9,993	8,027	28,422	5,195	400	839	54	
14,14,288		20,87,363	4,48,379	19,43,095	1,16,198	8-2	

[†] The decrease in income is due to price of coccaunts going down.

STATEMENT

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in estates

Southern and Bombay Suburban

				Domand.			Collea
Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Current,	Arrears.	Total.	On secount of surrent year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1 2 9 4 5 8–15 16–18 19–22	NORTHERN DIVISION. Panch Mahals Broach Do Do Surat Ahmedabad Kaira Pauch Mahals		Bs. 23,971 16,968 17,743 13,114 25,950	Rs. 962 5,679 18,026 12,005 *2,117	Rs. 24,932 22,647 35,769 25,119 28,067	Ra. 23,896 15,190 11,706 10,289 19,231	Rs. 887 567 524 1,025 2,117
23—24 25—34 85—37	Broach Surat Thena	Total for small	64,295	11,996	75,681	59,821	8,324
	CENTRAL DIVISION.	Total, Northern Division	1,62,031	50,185	2,12,216	1,40,063	8,244
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ahmednagar East Khandesh Do Do Do West Khandesh Do	Fakirchand of Chopda. Deshmukh of Yawal. Naik of Paldhi Wani of Gond- gaon. Raisingpur Kathi	728 17,414 12,379 6,385 7,326 7,163 16,330 16,905	127 5,541 3,632 1,785 1,849 61 5,625 1,492	856 92,955 16,011 8,170 9,176 7,224 21,955 18,397	445 12,108 11,767 5,897 4,961 7,026 14,096 16,299	112 3,688 813 539 778 23 2,448 268
9	Poons	. Shriniwas	19,900 4,565	1,002 *•1,117	14,903 5,672	¶4,108	636 711
11	Do	Dabhade	6,974	611	6,985	6,851	565
12	Satara	Doshmukh of Chikurde.	18,958	6,094	25,059	17,929	699
13 14—18 19 20 21—24 25—29	Sholapur Ahmednagar East Khandesh West Khandesh Nasik Poona	Total for small estates.	1,05,863 77,993	¶14,582 9,367	1,20,445 87,360	75,986 (o) 69,181	7,4 65 6,729
		Total. Central Division.	3,12,273	52,885	9,65,158	2,50,542	25,874

19 BRANCH LIBRARY BOMBAY

П.

under Government management in the Northern, Central, Divisions during the year 1926-27.

iona.	-off.	В	alance.			ils of ance.	ایرا	tod in	
Total.	Amount written-off.	Converted into bonds or decreas.	Others.	Total.	i	Arrears.	Over-collections.	Amount collected advance last year.	Romerks.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	
24,789 15,687 12,030 11,314 21,348	1,521 1,521	1,249 	110 4,197 23,739 13,805 6,719	110 5,446 23,739 13,805 6,719	40 1,747 6,037 2,825 6,719	70 3,699 17,702 10,980		444 444 444 444 444	Rs. 28,570 referred in last year's stat ment omitted there is no possibili
63,145	2,011	645	0,912	10,557	3,714	6,843	39		for their recovery,
1,48,307	9,672	1,894	58,482	60,876	21,092	39,294	89		
557 15,796	160	ï.290	218 5,869	218 7,159	203 5,306	15 1,863	\ 		+Out of current domand.
12,580	1412	1,275	1,744	8,010	612	2,407	***		t Out of past arrear
6,436 5,739	1236	120	1,378 8,436	1,498 3,436	488 2,365	1.010 1.071			•
7,049 16,544 16,567	\$14 715	***	175 6,397 1,115	175 5,397 1,115	137 2,234 263	38 3,163 852			5 Out of past arress 2 343 Out of curred domand. 372 Out of past arrears.
} 					ĺ				715
4,644	,,,	,,,	10,258	10,258	9,792	466		***	TExclusive of Rs.
5,949	***	***	423	423	17	486		***	** This has been are yed at after actu verification of o
6,416	#4 7		569	569	523	46		***	accounts. it Exclusive of Rs. over-collections. tt Out of past arres
18,628	‡‡ 5 91	111	5,903	5,903	1,029	4,874		***	Includes hou rent also. (c) Exclusive of Rs. 3
83,851	1117	218	36,577	86,577	29,477	7,100			over-collections. (d) Out of pastarreas
75,860	(d) 277	290	10,943	11,223	8,862	2,361		***	
9,75,916	2,272	2,965	84.005	66,970	61.306	25.662			

STATEMENT

				Demand.	-	Colleo
Serial No.	Name of district. Name of estate.		Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.
1	9	3	4	5	6	7
	SOUTHERN DIVISION.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum	Nipani	41,480	6,575	48,055	85,821
2	Do	Chandur	81,625	16,597	48,222	10,593
8	Do,	Jamboti	10,624	1,614	19,239	9,478
4	Do,	Here	12,465	1,875	14,940	11,676
Б	До	Akbarnvis	11,996	40,494	52,490	7,891
6	Do	Yorgatti	20,479	17,384	37,863	13,422
7	Do	Ghosarwad	9,423	3,897	13,320	6,818
8	Do	Kalkundri	14,579	4,011	18,590	10,146
Q	Dharw	Bhadrapur	9,575	2,863	12,438	5,656
10-41	Bolgauia	١				
42- 43	Bijapur Dharwar	Total for small estates.	1,49,251	1,31,405	2,80,65 6	81,207
49-50	Ratnagiri					
		Total, Southern Division.	3,11,497	2,26,715	5,38,212	1,95,707
	BOMBAY SUBUR. BAN DIVISION.			 		
1	Bombay Subur- ban District.	Miss Mary Nazli Weir.	7,200	*****	7,200	7,200
9	Do.	Estate of Gu- lamalli Nur- mahomed Ab- dulla.	755	326	1,081	704
		Total, Bombay Suburban Division.	7,955	\$26	8,281	7,904
	,	Grand Total	7,93,766	3,30,111	11,93,867	5,94,216

^{*}Reduction in yearly rent is due to the sale of the Quetta property.

II-contd.

ons.		n-off.		Balanco	•	Details	of Balance.	<u>s</u>	sted in year.	İ
On account of arroars.	Total.	Amount written-off.	Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Over-collections.	Amount collected advance last year	Remarks.
8	9	10	n	19	13	14	15	16	17	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	
1,835	37,656	500	***	9,903	9,903	5,668	4,940	14	10	
6,132	16,725	29		31,468	31,468	21,003	10,465	***		
91	9,569	365	,	2,306	2,306	1,018	1,288	2	•••	
467	19,142	17		2,194	2,194	786	1,408	18		
2,969	10,860		1	41,630	41,630	4,105	87,525	***		ĺ
6,120	19,551	244		18,065	18,065	6,810	11,255	12	15	ļ
1,911	8,029	20		5,271	5,271	2,585	2,686		***	
199	10,345			8,245	8,245	4,433	3,819		***	
2,573	8,229	437	290	3,919	14,209	3,919	290			
26,337	1,10,544	43,236	180	1,26,696	1,26,876	63,763	63,113			
47,948	2,43,650	44,411	470	2,40,697	2,50,167	1,14,085	1,86,082	41	25	
******	7,200			*******	*****		111,00	•••		İ
67	771	***		310	310	51	250	•••		
67	7,971	•••		810	810	51	250	***	<u></u>	•
81,628	6,75,814	50,255	5,329	3,92,494	3,97,823	1,98,526	2,01,597	9 0	25	

[†] This includes a balance of Rs. 817 on account of suspensions.

STATEMENT

Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debts in estates Central, Southern and Bombay Suburban

Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Date on which management was assumed.	e. Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debt at the begin- on ning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the year.
<u></u>				1		1
	NORTHERN DIVISION.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Panch Mahala .	Mehlol	31st Jan. 1915		,.,	***
2	Broath	Jhanor	15th Sept. 1926	28,672	19,947	
9	Do	Janiadra	26th Nov. 1926		***	
4	До	Dohoj	7th March 1927	326	326	2,500
5	Surat	Edroos	11th June 1923	1,32,722	1,21,000	8,250
6-15 16-18 19-22 23-24 23-34 25-37	Ahmedahad Kaira Panch Mahala Broach Surat Thana	Total for small ostates		2,53,989	62,460	1,921
	CENTRAL DIVISION.		Total for North- ern Division	4,15,709	2,03,733	7,671
1	Abmednagar	Koshti of Rahuri	1st Juno 192)			
2	East Khandesh.	Fakirchand of Chop- da.	19th May 1917	54,227		
3	Do	Deshmukh of Yawal	28th Feb. 1910	35,157	.,,	
4	Do	Naik of Paldhi	14th Sep. 1920	120	,,,	
5	Do	Wani of Gondgaon	29th Aug. 1925	9,551	7,526	1,349
6	West Khandesh.	Raisingpur	15th July 1903	***	,	
7	Do	Kathi	26th Sept. 1925	80,340	60,070	74,528
В	Poons	Naik	2nd Angust 1923 .	5,806		
9	Do	Shriniwas	27th October 1925.	459	177	
10	Do	Natu	81st Aug. 1925	- ***		
11	. Do	Dabhado	16th July 1926	1,85,900	1,85,200	
19	Satara	Doshmukh of Chikurdo.	6th October 1922,	29,241	•••	
18	Sholapur	Warad	17th October 1921.	6,60,000	6,52,070	47,694*
14-18 10 20 21-24 25-29	Ahmodnagar East Khandesh Wost Khandesh Nasik Poona			2,87,731	1,57,540	43,937
			Total for Contral Division	19,47,832	10,62,583	1,67,508}

[†] This consists of the following: - Rs. 1,17,333 Loans

under management under the Court of Wards Act in the Northern, Divisions during the year 1926-27.

III.

						m 1m	
	Debts se		ng the yes	ır.		ector psge vould	
By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current Income.	Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that the estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks
8	9	10	n	19	13	14	15
Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Bs.		
***	***	***	***		***	*****	
	***	19,781		216	***	*****	
				***	***		
	•••			326	2,500	*****	
	***	***		***	1,24,250	*****	
•••		429	411	13,093	50,448	******	
171		20,160	411	18,635	1,77,168	14114	
***	***					******	
	ļ	***		,	<i></i>	41-414	
•	•••	3,020	158	5,264	 433	*****	

61,199	***	***		***	78,406	137714	
				***	***	*****	
		177		•••	,,,		
				•••			
	82,400	6,491	11,232	19,437	1,92,640	*****	
	,	,	***	***	.,,	*****	
6,465	91,630		***	35,479	6,36,190	*****	• This includes Rs. 41,433 on account of interest during the current year.
45,000	***	84		9,324	1,47,069	*****	
1,12,657	54,030	9,772	11,890	82,504	9,79,738	F47414	

raised; Rs. 50,176 Additional claims received during the year = Rs. 1,67,509.

STATEMENT

Sorial No.	Name of district.	Name of estate.	Date on which management was assumed.	Dobts due on assump-	Balance of dobt at the beginning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the
1 2 3 4 6 6 7 8	BOUTHERN DIVISION. Belgaum Do Do Do Do Do Do, Do, Do, Do,		23rd November 1917. 1at August 1914 91st April 1925 16th August 1915 16th June 1920 5th November 1920 1at August 1921 20th September 1925.	Ba. 8,66,796 10,145 1,708 17,618 16,895 2,000 *5,138 19,768	Rs. 1,70,238 20,768 30,925 43,714 12,100 2,888 19,763	Rs. 5,200 12,700 6,000
10-41 42-43 44-48 49-50	Belgaum Bijapur Dharwar Ratnagiri	Total for small esta-		4.79,783	5,84,464	1,19,8981
	BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION.	Total for Southern Division.		9,18,831	8,84,850†	1,43,798
1	Bombay Su- burban Dis- trict.	Miss Mary Nazli Weir.	******	21,608 (on 22nd July 1922).	******	13144 [*]
93.	Do	Estate of Gu- lamalli and Nurmaho- med Abdulla of Versowa.		Nil (on 19th February 1923).	1,000 recently admitted.	
		Total for Bom- bay Subur- ban Division.	******	21,608	1,000	******
		Grand Total.	200166	27,03,950	21,52,166	3,18,977

III—contd.

De	bts sett	led during	current 3	/6 8 7.		wue nent ould	
By incurring frosh debts.	By sale of pro-	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by oredi- tors,	From ourrent in.	Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assump- tion of management that the estate would be clear of debt.	Romerks,
8	9	10	11	19	13	14	15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
•		2		17,910	1,57,526		
2,500		10		*****	90,958	******	
***				*****			*As ascertained during
***		225		5,000	25,700	*****	the year under report.
1,200		514		*****	48,000	*****	last year's and current year's figures is ox-
				6,500	5,600	*****	plained as under : Rs.
***	***	,,,		760	2,138	******	Current year's
***		 •••	***	10,025	9,798	,,,,,,	Last your's figures 8,37,086
***		•••		*****			Difference 47,764
-		<u> </u>					New debts ascer- tained during the year 47,521 Interest for the current year 373 Total 47,894 Less-
1,06,300	3,175	20,745	49,648	19,385	5,06,109	1000	Amount shown as balance in lastyear's figu- res on account of an estate
1,10,000	3,176	21,496	48,648	59,570	7,85,769	vasdev	which has been freed from liabilities 130 Net difference. 47,764 1 Out of this Rs. 2,498 pertain to a new claim
**		• ••• • •••	,,,	*****			admitted in Bijapur. There is no debt on Miss Woir's ostate.
***	***	: ,,,	***	600	400	In 1932-33,	
							•
***		•••		600	400		
2,22,657	57,205	51,428	60,449	1,36,309	19,43,005	4.441	

SIND

No. A-630 or 1927

From

KHAN BAHADUR NABI BAKSH MUHAMMED HUSSAIN, M.A., LL.B., Court of Wards in Sind;

То

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

SIND COURT OF WARDS OFFICE:

Hyderabad, Sind, 7th September 1927.

Subject.—Annual Administration Report.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the annual report on the administration of the estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards in the Province of Sind for the year ending the 31st July 1927.

Personnel.

2. The charge of the office was held by me throughout the year.

3. The number of estates under superintendence at the close of Number of estates the year was 272 as compared with 243 in the under superintendence. previous year, the details being as under:—

At the b	eginning o	of the year	***			243
Add-	-Taken up	during the	year:			
(a)	On applica	ations to t	he Commi	issioner	in	
	Sind		***		13	
(b)		ations to th	e Court of	Wards		
	Sind	***	***		15	
		nendations			4	
(d)	On certific	cates from l	District Co	urts .	1	
(e)	On applica	ations to	District C	Courts f	or	
``		ship certific			5	
(f)	Transferr	ed from I	ncumbered	l Estat	es	
	branch	***	*1*		1	
	•		•		•	39

						282
₹1.J						
Deduc	t					
		on the	owners'	attainir	ng	
	Released	on the	owners'	attainir	ng 7	
(a)	Released majority	•••	***	attainir		
(a) (b)	Released majority Released o	on owner's	death	•	7	
(a) (b)	Released majority Released o	•••	death	•	7	
(a) (b) (c)	Released majority Released of Discharge I of 1905	on owner's d under sec	death tion 40 (2)	(c) of A	7 1 ct	
(a) (b) (c)	Released majority Released of Discharged I of 1905 Transferre	on owner's d under sec	death tion 40 (2)	(c) of A	7 1 ct	
(a) (b) (c)	Released majority Released of Discharge I of 1905	on owner's d under sec	death tion 40 (2)	(c) of A	7 1 ct 1	10
(a) (b) (c)	Released majority Released of Discharged I of 1905 Transferre	on owner's d under sec	death tion 40 (2)	(c) of A	7 1 ct 1	10
(a) (b) (c)	Released majority Released of Discharge I of 1905 Transferre Estates in	on owner's d under sec	death tion 40 (2) anager, Inc.	(c) of A	7 1 ct 1	$\frac{10}{272}$

4. The number of applications for superintendence disposed of during the year was 116 as compared with 83 in the previous year.

The number of appending at the	plications f close of the	or superintend previous year	ence was	64	
Received during t	he year un	der report	, ,,,	108	172
Disposal—					
(a) Admitted		***	•••	39	
(b) Rejected	***	***	**1	77	
• • •			-		116
Pendin	g at the clo	ose of the year			56

Out of these, 9 have since been disposed of, 2 more have been reported upon and 45 only remain to be disposed of.

Out of these 45 petitions 21 were received within three months of the close of the year, 18 within six months, the remaining 6 have been pending for longer periods. Four of these are pending because the question of mutation in the Record of Rights in favour of the applicants has not yet been settled, and 2 are pending for want of detailed particulars from the Mukhtiarkars who are being expedited from time to time.

5. Two hundred and eighty-two estates remained under superintendence for the whole or part of the year under report. The details are as under:—

(a) Wholly leased to outsiders	206
(b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders	20
(c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the Department	4
(d) Entirely managed departmentally including those worked on cash rents.	11
(e) Only house rents (f) Partly under pre-existing lease and	2
partly amani (g) Superintendence assumed after crops	9
were removed	20
(h) Discharged from superintendence before crops were ready	4
(i) Wholly leased to estate owners(j) Partly leased to outsiders and partly	1
under pre-existing lease (k) No lease given, the property being under	2
dispute	1
(l) Remained uncultivated owing to deficiency of water	2
Total	282

The number of estates wholly leased to outsiders was 206 out of 282 as compared with 189 out of 263 in the preceding year.

The results of the working are shown in the following table; the totals given in this table will be found to agree with those given in statement II.

Produce	Dem	ands	Recoveries		Written off or otherwise stuck off		Balanco	
	Arrears	Current	Arrears	Current	Arrears	Current	Arreara	Current
	Rs.	Ra.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra.	Ra.
Lease Money	99,206 1,390	5,85,210 2,00,189	65,526 1,346	4,00,797 1,93,193	803		25,677 44	1,34,413 7,067
Net Amani produce (2) Assessment (1)	6,870	5.729 9.700	175	4,516 2,700	***		6,695	1,213
Rents	994	13,678	757	19,409	***		237	1,269
Total	1,01,460	7,57,506	67,804	6,13,554	803	,	92,853	1,43,952

- (1) Includes fallow assessment and assessment due on land given on cash rents.
- (2) Includes net cash rents.
- 6. The following figures show the total receipts under the various heads for the year under report and the preceding year:—

			1926-27	1925-26	Increase	Decrease
•			Rs.	. Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Rents and produce		6,81,358	5,94,024	87,884	
2.	Earnest money	***	17.162	18,300	8,862	•••
8.	Adjustment of lease money	***	11,314	5,619	5,701	***
4.	Interest, notice fee, etc.	•••	5,311	3,078	2,238	
5.	Cash balances of new estates	***	19	20,949		20,930
6.	Sale proceeds of land		6,182	8,118	***	1,936
7.	Compensation of land acquired Government.		89,065	15,844	23,221	
8.	Old debts		9,858	1,29,204	***	1,19,846
9.	Sale proceeds of moveable prope		1,640	16,201	•••	14,561
10.	Paid by estate owners	•••	378		878	•••
11.	Interest on investment	***	39,945	82,246	7,699	
12.	Government loan		2,000		2,000	::.
13.	Recovery of takavi		2,505	5,105		2,600
14.	Recoveries on account of registion, etc.	tra-	7		7	***
15.	Private loan		6,960	21,000		14,040
16.	Pension	***	642	706	***	64
	Total	•••	8,24,346	8,65,388	1,82,485	1,78,477
	Total decrease	•••			41,042	

The increase of Rs. 87,334 under the head "Rents and produce" is due to a number of new estates having been admitted to

superintendence and to the realization of better lease moneys and the decrease under the head "Old debts" is explained below:—

The account of old debts recoverable stands as under:— Rs. 18,204 Balance outstanding at the beginning of the year Add— (a) Shown in the last report as recoverable in 21,215 the future years ... (b) Determined during the year ... 16,398 55,817 Deduct-Rs. (a) Recoverable in future years 25,424 (b) Written off 438 25,862 29,955 Balance to be recovered within the year Recoveries ... 9,858 Balance still due 20.097

The entire balance is under process of recovery. It is on account of the bad year that the balance is slightly larger than that of the previous year in which it was Rs. 18,204.

7. The expenditure for the year under report excluding investments amounted to Rs. 8,24,063 against Rs. 5,67,455 in the previous year:—

			1926-27	1925-26	Increase	Decrease
**.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Government demands		2,01,358	1,52,995	48,363	***
2.	Maintenance and tution		1,88,618	1,60,150	28,468	•••
8.	Personal expenses		1,02,141	78,846	28,795	
4.	Suit exponses, etc	•••	80,699	18,678	12,026	***
ő.	Municipal and other taxes		1,610	740	870	***
6.	Pay of servants	***	4,668	1,418	3,250	•••
7.	Registration and stamp		217	377	***	160
8.	Garden and other cultivat		;		,,,,	
•	expenses		723	618	105	
9.	Produce to co-sharers		12,669	4,162	8,507	***
10.	Adjustment of former lease mor		11.814	5,618	5,701	***
11.	Purchase of lands and building		6,764	83,044	***	26,280
12.	Savings paid to estate owners	•••	72,950	89,862	33,088	
13.	Compensation to lessees		1,000	***	1,000	***
14.	Pension		80		1 80 l	***
15.	Petty items		1,209	1,352		148
16.	Cost of management		41,270	86,277	4,998	
17.	Proved debts paid with interest		1,17,982	14,196	1,03,786	
18.	Loans repaid with interest		18,863	4,635	14,228	
19.	Government loan repaid		50		50	***
20.	Takavi		9,883	2,922	6,961	***
21.	Paid under Civil Court decrees			5,754	444	5,754
22.	Redemption of ornaments		***	1,206		1,206
23.	Audit foe	.,.	***	5,120	•••	5,120
	Total	***	8,24,063	5,67,455	2,95,271	88,663
	Net increase				2,56,608	

Notable increases are of Rs. 48,363, Rs. 28,468 and Rs. 23,795 under the heads "Government demands, maintenance and tuition and personal expenses," respectively, due to a large increase in the number of the estates admitted to superintendence and of Rs. 1,03,786 under the head "Proved debts paid with interest" chiefly due to the payment of debts of the estate of the late Mr. G. M. Bhurgri to the extent of Rs. 99,658.

The figures regarding collections of rents Collection of dues. and produce stand as under:- \mathbf{Rs} . Arrears shown last year ... 27,207 Under postponement allowed in previous years... 67,630 Amount prohibited under Civil Court orders ... 6.623 1,01,460 Current demands 7,57,506 Total ... 8,58,966 Deductions— Rs. Amount written off out of arrears 46 Amount under postponement 96,095 Amount struck off owing to discharge of estates (out of arrears) 757 Amount prohibited under Civil Court 7,423 SERVANTS OF INDIA States Orders Due by a debtor since come under the BRANCH LIBERTY protection of the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind (Incumbered BOMBAY Estate No. 1174) 10.005 Government assessment demanded by Mukhtiarkars after the close of 7,101 the year Claim pending before Insolvency Court 7.1331,28,560 7,30,406 Amount recoverable within the year... 67.804 Collections out of arrears Collections out of current demands ... 6,13,554 Total recovery ... 6,81,358 Balance 49,048 18,155 Since recovered Under process 30,893

The percentage of the total recovery (Rs. 6,81,358) to the amount recoverable within the year (Rs. 7,30,406) works out at 93.29 per cent. as compared with 95.62 per cent. in the preceding year and that of recoveries (Rs. 6,13,554) out of the current demands recoverable within the year (Rs. 7,57,506—postponed Rs. 96,095—Rs. 6,61,411) works out at 92.76 as compared with 96.81 in the preceding year.

49,048

The decrease in the percentage of recoveries has not been considerable in view of general deficiency of water supply, damage done by locusts and to the heavy fall in the price of the cotton which hit hard the lessees in the cotton growing tracts. Numerous applications were received by me for remission and postponement of lease money. Remission was of course refused, so also postponement except in a few hard cases. In these cases too postponement of only a part of the lease money was granted. Even after the close of the year no efforts were spared to reduce the arrears to the lowest possible limit inasmuch as out of an outstanding of Rs. 49,048, an amount of Rs. 18,155 has since been recovered. This practically raises the percentage of recoveries to 95.77.

Government 9. The account of Government demands stands as under:—

demai	ias. — stands as under :—	-		
				${f Rs}.$
Balan Add-	nce outstanding last year	***	•••	8,689
(a)	Arrears claimed for new est	ates		210
(b)	Since notified	***	***	1,369
(c)	Current demands	•••	•••	2,02,134
	Amount due within the year	r	***	2,12,402
	Payments	***	***	2,01,353
	Balance or	utstand	ling	11,044
Detai	ils of balance—		Rs .	
(1)	Due in future years	***	233	4
(2)	Under postponement allowe the Revenue Department	ed b y	1,376	
(3)	Not paid for want of bal available in estates by w due (all prior to assumption superintendence)	hich	2,364	
(4)	Notified after the close of year (incuding Rs. 5,637 reco able by the Revenue Dep ment direct from Rais Mahomed lessee of Cour Wards estate No. 415 and p	ver- part- Jan t of	ŕ	
	of the wards)	***	7,071	
				11,044

The percentage of payments made (Rs. 2,01,358) to the amount payable (Rs. 2,12,402 minus 233 minus 1,376) is 95.52 per cent. as compared with 95.74 per cent. in the previous year. The above figure includes demands on account of land revenue due whether before or after assumption of superintendence as also demands on account of takavi due before assumption of superintendence.

The balance outstanding viz., Rs. 11,044 is made up of :-

_			•	Rs.
Land Revenue	•••	•••	***	8,427
Takavi	***	***	•••	2,219
Non-Jamabandi it	***	•••	398	
•		Total	l	11,044

The figures of land revenue arrears and takavi outstanding at the close of the year have been compiled separately according to the statement so far received from the Mukhtiarkars on the requisition of this office. (The outstandings in respect of a few Talukas from which the necessary statements have not yet been received are according to this office records Rs. 7,148, 100 and 78 on account of land revenue, takavi and non-jamabandi items, respectively). These statements show the balances due to these Talukas including the above amounts to be Rs. 11,887 on account of land revenue, Rs. 2,630 on account of takavi and Rs. 1,384 on account of non-jamabandi items as against the corresponding figures of Rs. 8,427, Rs. 2,219 and Rs. 398 shown above.

As regards the excess of Rs. 3,460 under the head of land revenue Rs. 3,072 have been demanded on account of a new estate and the matter is under correspondence, so also the correspondence is going on in respect of Rs. 92 more. The balance of Rs. 296, the excess of Rs. 986 under non-jamabandi items and Rs. 411 under takavi (the latter being partly due to the accumulation of interest on the original Takavi loan) have not been demanded before.

The account of takavi taken from Government by Court of Wards for estates under superintendence stands as under:—

Balance outstanding at the beginning of the year	r	Rs. 50
Add—		
Loan raised during the year under report	***	2,000
Total	•••	2,050
Deduct—		
Repaid during the year	•••	50
Balance at the close of the year		2,000

10. The cost of management for 1925-26 which was recovered during the year under report was Rs. 41,270 as against Rs. 36,277 recovered in the preceding year. This works out at 6.29 per cent. on the gross income of 1925-26 as compared with 6.23 per cent. at which it was recovered for 1924-25. The total cost of the joint Departments, the Incumbered Estates and the Court of Wards, was Rs. 90,600 as against Rs. 86,739 in the previous year.

Advances and cost of 11. The details of advances for cultivation cultivation. are as under:—

man a state of the second of	1		Rs.
Balance shown outstanding at t previous year	ne ena ()	or the	2,880
Add—			
Advances made during the year	***	***	9,884
			12,764
Deduct→		${ m Rs}.$	-
(a) Recovery	•••	2,505	
(b) Written off, estate being charged	dis-	500	
(c) Written off as irrecoverable	***	379	*
,.	-		3,384
Balance outstanding at the	e close	of the	-
year		•••	9,380
Ďue in 1927-28		9,000	
Under process of recovery	***	380	
-	_		

12. Rs. 1,88,618 were spent on maintenance and education of Maintenance and the wards as against Rs. 1,60,150 spent in the education of wards. previous year. The details are as under:—

Cash for maintenance and tuition Produce enjoyed by the wards or on their behalf	1,66,345
and adjusted to maintenance	22,273
Total	1 88 618

There are in all 547 wards. Out of these 394 are males and 153 are females. Three of the females have been receiving education. Out of the 394 males:—

- 32 are below school age.
- 84 are over school age.
 - 5 are in service.
 - 7 are lunatics.
 - 3 are deaf and dumb.
 - 4 are invalids.
 - 3 are dead.
- 36 are learning profession or doing agricultural work.
- 44 are doing nothing (5 are given up as hopeless cases, 16 are without a school in their vicinity, attempts to send the remaining 23 to school continue).
- 13 have come under superintendence only recently.
- 163 are receiving education as under :-
 - 38 Qoran.
 - 4 Hindu Sindi characters.
 - 79 Vernacular tuition.
 - 40 English education.
 - 2 College education.

The education of the wards engages my personal attention and every endeavour is made to impress upon them (specially the intelligent and well-to-do ones) the necessity of proper education.

Payment of existing debts and liabilities.

13. Statement III shows the progress in payment of debts. The following is an abstract:—

ebts and liab	ilities. ment	of debts.	The fo	llowin	g is a	n abstract:—
				·	-	Rs.
Balan	ce at the beginn	ing of th	e year		•••	2,57,833
Fresh	claims filed		***		•••	1,91,249
Intere	est accrued	***	•••		•••	4,566
Loans	s raised	***	***		•••	6,960
				Total	•••	4,60,608
Deduct-						
	d during the ye	ar :				
(a)	Paid—	• • .			ls.	
•	Private loan an			18,	863	
	Proved debts thereon	and i	nterest	1,17,	000	
/2\		***	***	1,81,		
•	Disallowed	***	•••		205 906	
(c)	Written off	***	***	٠ ـــــ	900	9.00.004
						3,20,004
			В	alance		1,40,604
Detai	ls of balances—		-	R		_,,
	Amount award	eđ			026	
٠,٠	Claims not yet		•••	•	653	
• -	Private loan	***	•••	•	925	
(4)	221,21010	•••				
				1,40,		
	Claims. 14.	The fol	llowing	figures	relai	te to claims:—
				No. of	claims	Amount
						Rs.
	ing at the begin	_	he year		55	1,53,908
Filed	during the year		•	•••	81	1,91,249
				1	.36	3,45,157
			T	ls.	.00	0,20,101
Thee!	ded	10 <i>4</i>	= 2,84			
•	ten off	_		,906		
VY II U	юп оп	10, 1	1 ₁		.05	2,86,504
		E	Salance		31	58,653

Out of 31 claims pending at the close of the year, 21 claims were not due for hearing, two claims are connected with a pending Civil suit and have been put off sine die, one has been since decided and the remaining seven claims could not be heard either for non-appearance of the parties or for want of production of witnesses. No appeal was filed during the year under report. One appeal shown as pending last year was rejected during the year under report.

15. The total investments at the end of the year amounted to

Rs. 7,13,174 as compared with Rs. 6,46,819 in
the previous year taking the War loan bonds
and the several debentures at their nominal face value.

The investments are as under:-

THE THE POST OF STREET	HILL NO WHITTON !			
				Rs.
War loan bone	ds (1929-47)	•••	•••	31,900
Do.	(1927)	•••	•••	19,600
Do.	(1928)	***	•••	1,05,400
Do.	(1932)		•••	4,54,400
Do.	(1933)	***		24,000
	Municipalities d Bombay Improver	 nent Trust	:::}	4,500
Bombay Devel	lopment loans	•••	***	16,100
Saving Bank	deposits with Post	Office and	Cash	
Certificates,	etc.	***	•••	19
Loans to Incu	mbered Estates	***		42,330
Loans to Cour	t of Wards Estates	•••		14,925
Loans to Co-op	perative Societies	***	***	•••
			•	7,13,174

The uninvested balance amounts to Rs. 4,00,109 as compared with Rs. 4,90,583 shown in the previous year. Steps are being taken to invest a good portion of the balance in Government Securities.

16. Forty-three suits were pending at the close of the year 1925-26. Thirteen new suits were filed during the year making a total of fifty-six. In 22 the Court of Wards was plaintiff and in 34 defendant. Out of the first 22, 2 were for dissolution and rendition of partnership accounts, 4 for accounts, 2 for recovery of money, 3 for declaration of titles, 8 for cancellation of sales before Superintendence, and 3 for partition.

As for 34 suits in which the Court of Wards was the defendant, 11 were for partition, 3 for possession of land, 12 for recovery of debts due by estates under superintendence; 2 for damages, 2 for declaration and injunction against taking estates under superintendence, 1 for injunction against recovery of lease money, 2 for declaration of titles and 1 for setting aside partition before superintendence. Eighteen suits were disposed of during the year.

Out of these 6 were decided in favour of the Court of wards and 6, against the Court of Wards and 6 were struck off. Out of the remaining 38, 12 are comparatively fresh and 1 has since been decided after the close of the year in favour of the Court of Wards and the remaining 25 are still pending. Seven appeals were pending at the close of the year 1925-26. In 2 the Court of Wards was appellant and in the remaining 5 respondent. Eight more appeals were filed during the year, 5 against the Court of Wards, 3 by the Court of Wards. One appeal was decided in favour of the Court of Wards and 1 against and the remaining 13 are pending.

- Records. 17. The record due for destruction in the year under report was duly destroyed.
- 18. The department continues to show progress. The number of estates under superintendence of the Court of Wards is rapidly increasing. There were 272 estates under superintendence at the close of the year as against 243 in the previous year, 228 in 1924-25, 207 in 1923-24 and 181 in 1922-23. Thus during the last four years the number has increased by almost 50 per cent. Some of the estates are very big and to cope with the ever increasing work every one concerned has to work extra hours. This has however a limit and I think it will be necessary to strengthen the staff soon.

I have maintained close touch with most of the wards during my tour. Their education, health and welfare generally received my personal attention and their reasonable wants were readily supplied.

I inspected the properties of 31 estates in 45 Dehs besides 42 buildings of 25 estates.

The Deputy Managers, Upper Sind and Lower Sind, inspected the properties of 15 and 40 estates respectively.

The office of the Assistant Manager was held by Mr. Nanikram Jethmal throughout the year.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N. B. MUHAMMED HUSSAIN,
Court of Wards in Sind.

STATEMENT No. I.

Statement showing the general position of estates under Government management for the year 1926-27.

	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- 	7 73		1	0.1.1.1	
Serial No.	Name of the province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	Total ares of the estate.	Cultivated area.	Uncultivated	Total Gov.	B 0 1	Total due to the Depart- ment, includ- ing assess- ment.	Total grous receipts.
1	9	3	4	5	6		7	8	9
,				<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
	1		Acres.	Acres	i. Acı	OB.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Sind	Dhani Parto, No.	3,825	1.43	0 2.	395	8,251	16,229	(1)17.962
2	Do	Gawharkhan, No.	4,985	2,62	4 9	.461	8,011	27,692	34,932
3	Do	Tajokhan, No. 302	6,021	4,53	8 1,	483	24,469	97,702	(2)94,867
4	Do	Mahomed Lukman, No. 310.	8,238	1,16	5 2	.073	4,381	15,908	17,838
6	Do	Kararo Shab, No. 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321	4,859 2,562	9,24 1,45	6 2	613 112	12,259 5,204	26,682	(3)20,877
6	Do	Mahomad Amin, No.		97	ĭ î	369	3,285	17,284 18,385	20,075 16,633
8	Do	370. Abdul Nabi, No. 394. Ghulam Rasul	4,786 92,607	2,18 6,26	4 9 4 15	602 343	9,268 92,900	48,393 1,06,228	(4)53,399 81,400
		No. 415, Total	55,223	22,77	8 32	·	98,048	3,48,503	9,66,978
		Total of other	80,979	84,10	8 46		04,841	4,09,003	4,57,968
		estates.	100.000	66,88		323 2	C2.689		(A)
		Grand Total	136,209	1 00,00	11 79		-	7,57,500	8.94.846
			expen-	Bala	nco.	llabi-	Cost of man-	1925 1925	
ò	Name	Name of the estate	, g	- j	Uninvest-	=	# 0 ¥	Percentage gross come, 19	, si
3	of the province.	number of estate.	Ta is	Invested	inv.	Total lity.	42.00	ercents gross come, 26.	Remarks.
	province.	Builder or commen			PH M	7.22	1 % 3 2	· LERKS	₩
Ser	J. G. Lago.		Tot	Į ģ	þ	Ě	5 -	. 1	🛱
r Serial No.	2	3	o Total ex	11 11	12	13	14	15	16 16
			10 Rs.						
		S Dhani Parto, No.	10 Rs, (5) \$1,742	11	12	13 Rs.	14	15	
1	2	S Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No.	10 Rs. (5) \$1.742 (6) \$2,188	Re.	12 Rs.	13 Rs.	14 Rs.	7 6-29	
1	g Sind	S Dhani Parto, No. 80.	10 Rs. (5) 81.742 (6) 22,188 (7) 53,961	Rs. 44,600	Rs. -7,100*	13 Rs.	14 Rs. 91	7 6-20 9	
1 1 9	8ind Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman,	10 Rs. (5) 31.742 (6) 22,188 (7) 53,961 (8) 18,956	Rs. 44,500 20,500	Rs. -7,100* 17,251	13 Rs.	14 Rs. 91 1,66	7 6·20 9	
1 1 9 9	Sind Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302	10 Rs. (5) \$1.742 (6) \$2,188 (7) \$3,961 (8) 18,956 (9) \$2,771	Rs. 44,500 20,500 1,78,000	12 Rs. -7,100* 17,251 43,468	13 Rs.	14 Rs. 91 1,666 4,30	7 6·29 9 9	
1 1 9 9 4 5	Sind Do Do Do Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No. 978. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No 314	10 Rs. (5) 31.742 (6) 22,188 (7) 53,961 (8) 18,956 (9) 32,771 (10) 14,690	Rs. 44,600 20,500 1,78,000 20,300	12 Rs. 7,100* 17,251 43,463 10,139 6,161 10,226	13 Rs + 1,498	14 Rs. 91 1,656 4.300 83 1,33 1,18	7 6·29 8 9 9	
1 1 9 9 4 5 6 7	Sind Do Do Do Do Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No 314 Hamzokhan, No. 521 Mahomed Amin, No. 530, 570.	10 Rs. (5) 31,742 (6) 22,188 (7) 53,961 (8) 18,956 (9) 32,771 (10) 14,590 7,845 (11)	11 Rs. 44,600 20,500 1,78,000 90,500	12 Rs. -7,100* 17,251 43,463 10,139 6,161 10,226 19,265	13 Rs +1,493	14 Rs. 91 1,656 4,300 83 1,33 1,181	7 6·29 9 9 9 7	
1 1 9 9 4 5	Sind Do Do Do Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Cawharkhan, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No. 324 Hamzokhan, No. 321 Mahomed Annin, No. 370. Abdul Nabi, No. 394 Ghulam Rasul	10 Bs. (5) \$1.742 (6) \$2,188 (7) \$3,961 (8) \$18,956 (9) \$2,771 (10) \$14,660 7,845	Rs, 44,600 20,500 1,78,000 90,300	12 Rs. 7,100* 17,251 43,463 10,139 6,161 10,226	13 Rs + 1,498	14 Rs. 91 1,656 4.300 83 1,33 1,18	7 6·20 9 9 9	
1 1 9 9 4 5 6 7	Sind Do Do Do Do Do Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321 Mahomad Amin, No. 370.	10 Rs. (5) 31.742 (6) 22.188 (7) 53.961 (9) 32.771 (10) 7.845 (11) 30,092	11 Rs. 44,600 20,500 1,78,000 20,500 6,000 	12 Rs. -7,100* 17,251 43,463 10,139 6,161 10,226 19,265 39,993 26,387	13 Rs +1,492	14 Rs. 91 1,656 4,300 83 1,33 1,18 1,31 4,50	7 6·29 9 9 9 12 9 12	
1 1 9 9 4 5 6 7	Sind Do Do Do Do Do Do	Dhani Parto, No. 80. Gawharkhau, No. 978. Tajokhan, No. 302 Mahomed Lukman, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No 314 Hamzokhan, No. 321 Mahomad Amin. No. 370. Abdul Nabi, No. 394 Ghulam Rasul No. 416.	10 Rs. (5) 91,742 (7) 53,961 (8) 18,956 (9) 32,771 (10) 14,590 7,845 (11) 50,092 1,72,453	11 Rs. 44,600 20,500 1,78,000 6,000 50,000	12 Rs. -7,100* 17,251 43,453 10,139 6,151 10,926 19,265 39,393 26,387 1,65,165	13 Rs.	14 Rs. 91 1,664 4,309 83 1,33 1,18 1,31 4,50 319	7 6·29 8 9 9 9 18	

^{*} This is being made up by sale of investments held on behalf of the ward.

(B) Besides total gross expenditure shown in column 10 the following amounts were invested and paid for cost of establishment in advance:—

monav	on loan :	in advance :	
,	Rs.		Rs.
(1)	4,770	(5)	2,767
(2)	3,160	(6)	1,106
(2) (3)	1.609	(7)	19,711
(4)	14,200	(8)	3,320
•,		(9)	1,107
	23,739	(10)	2,764
		(11)	12,300
			42,975

[†] The creditor being dissatisfied with the award has filed a civil suit which is still pending.

⁽A) Besides total gross receipts shown in column 9 the following amounts were realized by sale of investments and by return of money on loan:—

STATEMENT No. II.

Statement showing the efficiency of rent and produce collections in estates under superintendence of Court of Wards in Sind for the year 1926-27.

		,,		o you	<i>~.</i> •••	70 7	•				
•	Name of the			Demands on account of				Collections on account of			
L Serial No.	Name of the province.	estate owner			c Current.		e Total.	A		æ Current.	o Total.
	<u></u>	1	Ī	Rs.	Re	. [Rs.		Rs.	Re.	Rs.
1	Sind	Dhani Parto.	1		15,2	29	16,929	1		15,229	15,229
2	Do			1,994	27,6	93	29,686	1	,993 /	26,971	28,964
3	Do Do. ,.	No. 278. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luk-		8,045 8,503	77.7 16,9		95,747 19,411		,045 ,407	60,504 12,204	78,549 15,611
5	Do	man, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No.		801	26,6	82	27,483		195	24,545	25,040
6	Do		:	8,072	17,2	84	25,356		267	14,676	14,943
7	Do	321. Mahomad Amin. No. 370.	:	1,000	18.3	85	19,385	1	,000,	15,613	16,613
8	Do	A 1 1 1 17 . 1.1 11	1	9,969	48,8	193	56,362	12	.022	11,893	23,915
9	Do,	O1			1,06,9	928 1	,06,228			69,545	69,545
		Total		6.384	9,48,5	\	,94,887	-		51,180	2,88,409
		Total of other estates.		5,076	4,09,0	03 4	,61,079	30	,675 3,	62,374	3,93,949
		Grand Total	1,0	1,460	7,57,5	06 8	,58,966	67	,804 6,	18.554	6,81,358
		Name of the	Writ	ton o	T from	to d	ck off lischa tates f			alanco f	rom
Serial No.	Name of the province.	ostate owner and number of estate.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arroars.	Current.	Total.
1	9	8	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Sind	Dhani Parto, No. 80.		***	***] .***		
2	Do,	Gawharkhan, No. 278.		-				***	.1	721	722
3	Do	Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luk-	•••						96	17,198 3,704	17,198 3,800
5	Do	man, No. 310. Kararo Shah, No.						***	306	2,137	2,443
8	Do	314. Hamzokhan, No. 391.	7		7			1	7,798	2,608	10,406
7	Do	Mahomad Amin, No. 970.	***						***	2,772	2,779
8	Do ,	Abdul Nabi, No. 394.	•••	•••		•••			947	31,500	32,447
9	Do	Ghulam Rasul Khan, No. 415.					•		***	36,683	36,683
	,	Total	7	***	7				9.146	97,823	1,06,471
		Total of other estates.	89	***	39	757	***	757	23,705	46,529	70,334
		Grand Total	46	,	46	767		757	32,853	1.49.959	1 76 805

STATEMENT No. III.

Statement showing the progress made in the liquidation of debts in the Court of Wards estates during the year 1926-27.

Name of province.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	Date on which superintend- ence was assumed.	Dobts due on assump-		Dobts incurred in charge was assumed.	Balance of the debts	the year.	Additional debts in.	Depte settled during the year.
·		Ì	R	g.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	·Rs.
Binā	Dhani Parto,	24th February		100	1,20	ו		***	**-
Do	No. 80. Gawhar k hau,	1911. 10th October	****	.	88	8	***	***	
Do	No. 298. Tajokhan, No.	1921. 14th Septem-	****	.	***				
Do	302. Mahomed Luk-	ber 1922. 2nd December	****					***	***
Do		1929. Srd February			21,75	9	1.492	•••	
Do	Shah, No. 314. Hamzokhan	1923. 10th May 1923.	****	.	91	6		***	
Do.	No. 321. Mahomed Amin.	9th July 1924.		. ;		1		***	
Do		4th February 1925.						***	
Do	394. Ghulam Rasul Khau, No. 415.	(23rd Novem-	}		1,59,72	9 1,	87.130	56,012	•••
									·;
	Total of other		5,94	,526	4,37,42	3 1.	19,911	1,46,763	494
			5,94 5,94		4,37,42 6,21,90		19, <u>911</u> 57,838	1,46,763 2,02,775	
Name of province	Total Name of the estate owner and number of		Deb	ts settle	6,91,90 d durir	8 2,	57,838 year—	2,02,775 contd.	
of	Total Name of the estate owner and number of	Date on which superintendence was	Deb	ts settle	6,21,90 d durin	8 2,	57,838 year—	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
of	Total Name of the estate owner and number of	Date on which superintendence was	Deb	ts settle	6,91,90 d durir	8 2,	57,838 year—	2,02,775 contd.	
province	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	Date on which superintendence was assumed.	By sale of pro- perty.	Rojeotod by settle	Written off.	Remitted by an equations.	From current secome.	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
of province	Name of the estate owner and number of estate.	Date on which superintendence was assumed.	Debrory, Debrory, By sale of pro-	Rejectod by Werds.	d during off.	Remitted by at a creditors, our	Sear current as income.	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corpected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
of province	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 30. Gawhar k har No. 296.	Date on which superintendence was assumed. 3 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921.	Debroot of Debry, By sale of Dro.	ts settle Recipe of the control of t	d during 11 Rs.	Be creditors.	year - tucom control 13	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
of province	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 20. When the image is a second of the estate owner and number of estate.	Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921. 14th September 1922.	Debrick By sale of property.	ts settle ts settle O o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	d during off.	Bemitted by Br.	year - entrope - on the transfer of the transf	2,02,775 contd. 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
of province 1 Sind Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 30. Gawhar k har No. 298. Tajokhan, No. 302. Menomed Lul	Date on which superintendence was assumed. 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921. 14th September 1922. 2nd December 1922.	Debrick By sale of property.	ts settle Record 10 Record 10 Record 10	d during the state of the state	Remitted by A en creditors.	year— year— year— year— 13 13 Rs.	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
Sind Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte. Dhani Parte. No. 30. Gawhar k ha r. No. 298. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Lulman, No. 310. Sayed Karar Shah, No. 314.	Date on which superintend- once was assumed. 3 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921. 14th September 1922. 2nd December 1922. 3nd February 1923. 3rd February 1923.	Debt By sale of party.	ts settle ts settle ts settle Ago Pot 1 mon 2 mon 3 mo	6,21,90 d durin 11 Bs	Remitted by Re creditors.	year— year— year— noomo incomo Rs.	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corpected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
of province 1 Sind Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 30. Gawhar k har No. 298. Tajokhan, No. 304. Mahomed Lul man, No. 310. Sayed Kara Shah, No. 314. Ham z o k har No. 314.	Date on which superintend- euce was assumed. 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921. 14th September 1922. 2nd December 1923. 3rd February 1923. 10th May 1923.	Debt By sale of party.	ts settle ts settle Aproposition of the control of	d during the state of the state	Re: State of the creditors, by State of Stat	year— year— year— year— ncomo- ns- ns- ns- ns- ns- ns- ns- ns- ns- ns	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corpected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
Sind Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 90. Gawhar k har No. 298. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luiman, No. 310. Sayed Karar Shah, No. 314. Ham z o k har No. 312. Mahomad Amir No. 310.	Date on which superintendence was assumed. 3 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921. 11th September 1922. 2nd December 1932. 3rd February 1923. 10th May 1923.	Debti cond to be sale of the s	ts settle Record 10 Record 10 Record 10	6,21,90 d during to control to co	Remitted by &	year_ guodino omooni 13	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
Sind Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 20. Gawha r k h a r No. 298. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luman, No. 310. Sayed Karar Shah, No. 314. Ham z o k h a r No. 321. Mahomad Amin No. 310. Addul Nabi, N. 370. Abdul Nabi, N. 370.	Date on which superintend- ence was assumed. 24th February 1911. 10th October 1921. 14th September 1922. 2nd December 1923. 3rd February 1923. 10th May 1923. 10th May 1923. 2nd July 1924	Debti cond to be sale of the s	Section Sectio	6,21,90 d during to the control of t	RB	year - ye	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
Sind Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte No. 90. Gawhar k har No. 298. Tajokhan, No. 302. Mahomed Luiman, No. 310. Sayed Karar Shah, No. 314. Ham z o k har No. 32. Mahomed Amir No. 310. Abdul Nabi, No. 394. Ghulam Rass Khan, No. 415.	Date on which superintendence was assumed. 24th February 1991. 10th October 1922. 2nd December 1923. 10th May 1923. 10th May 1923. 10th May 1924 4th February 1923. 10th May 1924 1055. 123rd November 1925. 11 ber 1925. 12 Sard November 1925. 12 Sard November 1925. 13 Sard November 1925. 14 Der 1925. 15 April 1926	Debry Rep 9 Porty 9 Po	Section 10 Rs	6,21,90 d during the state of	Remitted by St	year year outloon outloon is Rs.	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corporated on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of
Sind Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	Name of the estate owner and number of estate. Dhani Parte. No. 80. No. 80. Tajokhan, No. 310. Mahomed Luman, No. 310. Sayed Karar Shah, No. 314. Ham zo k ha s No. 327. Mahomad Amin No. 370. Abdul Nabi, No. 334.	Date on which superintendence was assumed. 24th February 1991. 10th October 1922. 2nd December 1923. 10th May 1923. 10th May 1923. 10th May 1924 4th February 1923. 10th May 1924 1055. 123rd November 1925. 11 ber 1925. 12 Sard November 1925. 12 Sard November 1925. 13 Sard November 1925. 14 Der 1925. 15 April 1926	Debry Rep 9 Porty 9 Po	ssettle So posting to a record to a recor	6,21,90 d during the control of the	Remitted by the creditors, by S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	7,838 year	2,02,775 contd.	Late by which it was corpected on assump- tion of manage- ment that estate would be clear of

^{*}The creditor does not accept this amount pending decision of the suit referred to in the last year's statement.
† This is one to the estate having been transferred to Manager's protection.

Below report of the estates under management of the Court of Wards in Sind for the year 1926-27

No. P-88-B

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND: GOVERNMENT HOUSE, KARACHI, 1ST OCTOBER 1927.

Submitted to Government.

- 2. There were 243 estates under management at the beginning of the year. Of these 10 were relinquished during the year, while 39 new estates were taken up leaving a closing total of 272.
- 3. Out of 172 applications, including 64 pending at the close of the previous year, 116 were disposed of, leaving a balance of 56 at the end of the year. These include 6 which were under disposal for more than six months.
- 4. The income of the estates was Rs. 8,24,000 as against Rs. 8,65,000 in the previous year.
- 5. The total expenditure shows a net increase of Rs. 2,57,000. The heads of increase were as follows:—

				Rs.
Government demands		***	***	48,000
Maintenance and tuition	n		***	28,000
Personal expenses	***	•••		24,000
Suit expenses, etc.		***	•••	12,000
Municipal and other ta	xes	***		1,000
Pay of servants	***	***	***	3,000
Produce to co-sharers		•••	***	9,000
Adjustment of former l	ease mone	у	***	6,000
Savings paid to estate-	owners	* ***	***	33,000
Compensation to lessee	8	* * *		1,000
Cost of management	***		•••	5,000
Proved debts paid with	interest		• • • •	1,04,000
Loans repaid with inte	rest		•••	14,000
Takavi ~	***	***	•••	7,000
			•	2,95,000

Expenditure decreased under the following heads:-

					$\mathbf{Rs.}$
Purchase of			etc		26,000
Paid under			•••	••	6,000
Redemption	a of ornan	aents	***	***	1,000
Audit fee	•••	•••	***	***	5,000
					38,000

The increase in expenditure is chiefly due to a large increase in the number of estates admitted to superintendence, and to the payment of proved debts of the estate of the late Mr. G. M. Bhurgri.

6. The aggregate amount due to the estates was Rs. 8,59,000 of which Rs. 6,81,000 were collected and Rs. 1,000 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,77,000 to be recovered. The balance compared with that of the previous year is detailed below:—

	1926-27	. 1925-26
	${ m Rs}.$	Rs.
Postponements	96,000	68,000
Recoveries prohibited by Civil Courts.	8,000	7,000
Recovered after the close of the year	18,000	12,000
Under process	31,000	15,000
Due by a debtor since come under the protection of the Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind (Estate No. 1174) Government assessment demanded by	10,000	···
Mukhtyarkars after the close of the year	7,000	***
Claim pending before Insolvency Court	7,000	***
_	1,77,000	1,02,000
-		

The percentage of recoveries to the total amount due for collection during the year is 93.29 as compared with 95.62 in the previous year. The decrease is due to poor crops, damage done by locusts and a heavy fall in the price of cotton. A large portion of the balance has been collected since the end of the year.

7. The aggregate amount of old debts due to estates at the commencement of the year was Rs. 39,419 to which Rs. 16,398 were added during the year. Of the total of Rs. 55,817, Rs. 9,858 were recovered and Rs. 438 written off.

The balance of Rs. 45,521 is accounted for as under :-

			${ m Rs.}$
Recoverable in future years		•••	25,424
Under process of recovery	•••		20,097

			45,521

8. Government arrears due by the Court of Wards at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 11,044 as against Rs. 8,689 in the previous year.

9.5 The total indebtedness of the estates at the commencement of the year amounted to Rs. 2,57,833 to which new debts of Rs. 2,02,775 were added during the year. Out of the total liabilities of Rs. 4,60,608 Rs. 3,20,004 compared with Rs. 68,013 in the preceding year, were settled as under, reducing the balance to Rs. 1,40,604 at the close of the year:—

				Rs.
Debts paid	***	•••	***	1,36,845
Claims rejected	•••	•••	***	1,81,253
Claims written of	Ŧ	•••	***	1,906
				3,20,004

- 10. Out of 136 claims including 55 remaining undecided from the previous year, 105 were disposed off, leaving 31 unsettled claims at the end of the year.
- 11. The total investments at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 7,13,174 while the uninvested balance was Rs. 4,00,109. Steps are being taken to invest a substantial portion of this balance in Government Securities. The invested balance includes Rs. 31,900 deposited in the War Loan of 1929-47, Rs. 6,03,400 in the War Bonds of 1927, 1928, 1932 and 1933, Rs. 4,500 in the debentures of local bodies, Rs. 16,100 in the Bombay Development Loan and Rs. 57,274 advanced to estates under Government management.
- 12. The administration of the estates has again been carried on most satisfactorily by Khan Bahadur Nabi Bakhsh, who was in charge of them throughout the year.
 - 13. The administration report of the Bughio estate under the management of the Collector and Special Court of Wards, Larkana, will follow shortly.

W. F. HUDSON,

Report.

Court of Wards.

Administration — for the year ending 31st July 1927 of estates in the Bombay Presidency under,

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Resolution No. P. 54.

Bombay Castle, 23rd March 1928.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P. 88-B dated 1st October 1927—Forwarding, with his remarks the report for the year ending 31st July 1927 of the Court of Wards in Sind on the administration of estates under the Court's management.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. W.R.D.P.R.B-I dated 7th October 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. W.R.D.P.R.B-II dated 18th October 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. W.R.D. 28 dated 22nd October 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division, No. W.R.D.P.R. 2 dated 10th November 1927—Submitting the reports for the year ending 31st July 1927 on the administration of estates under the Court of Wards.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P. 88-B dated 29th October 1927.

RESOLUTION.—Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

G. K. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government.

m

The Commissioner in Sind,

The Commissioner, N. D.,

The Commissioner, C. D.,

The Commissioner, S. D., The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,

All Collectors, including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

The Director of Agriculture,

The Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records, The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,

[P. T. O.

The Compiler, General Administration Report,
The Director of Information and Labour Intelligence,
The Accountant General,
The Oriental Translator to Government,
The Finance Department,
The Editors' Tables, Hyderabad and Sukkur,
Editors of Newspapers,
The Non-official Members of the Legislative Council,
The Non-official Members of the Legislative Assembly and
Council of State representing the Bombay Presidency,
The Secretary to the High Commissioner for India, London.