

*Report.*

Court of Wards.

Administration — for the year  
ending 31st July 1925 of  
estates in the Bombay Presi-  
dency under,

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Resolution No. P./54.

Bombay Castle, 9th February 1926.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D.,  
No. W.R.D.—28 dated 30th September 1925.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D.,  
No. W.R.D.-P.R.B.—II dated 5th October  
1925.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D.,  
No. W.R.D.-P.R.B.—I dated 5th October  
1925.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D.,  
No. W.R.D.-P.R.B.—1 dated 10th October  
1925.

Letter from the Commissioner, Bombay  
Suburban Division, No. W.R.D.-P.R.—2 dated  
13th November 1925.

Submitting the  
reports for the  
year ending 31st  
July 1925 on the  
administration of  
estates under the  
Court of Wards.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. P.—88/R.  
dated 29th September 1925—Forwarding, with his remarks, the  
report for the year ending 31st July 1925 of the Court of Wards  
in Sind on the administration of estates under the Court's  
management.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P—88/R dated  
..... November 1925.

Government memorandum No. P—54/19523-G. dated 17th  
November 1925 to the Commissioner in Sind.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P.—88/R dated  
5th December 1925.

RESOLUTION.—Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

H. K. KIRPALANI,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

[P. T. O.]

To

- \*The Commissioner in Sind,
- \*The Commissioner, N. D.,
- \*The Commissioner, C. D.,
- \*The Commissioner, S. D.,
- \*The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,
- \* All Collectors, including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,
- \* The Director of Agriculture,
- \* The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records,
- \* The Manager, Encumbered Estates in Sind,
- \* The Compiler, General Administration Report,
- \* The Director of Information,
- \* The Accountant General,
- \* The Oriental Translator to Government,
- \* The Editors' Tables,
- \* Editors of Newspapers,
- \* The Members of the Legislative Council,
- \* The Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Council of State representing the Bombay Presidency,
- † The Secretary, to the High Commissioner for India in the United Kingdom.

• With copies of the Report

† By letter.

**PUNJAB COURT OF WARDS REPORT FOR THE YEAR  
1921-22.**

## Revised List of Agents for the sale of Punjab Government Publications.

---

### IN THE UNITED KINGDOM.

CONSTABLE & Co., 10, Orange Street, Leicester Square, London, W. C.

KEGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUBNER & Co., Limited, 68-74, Carter Lane, E.C., and 39, New Oxford Street, London, W.C.

BERNARD QUARITCH, 11, Grafton Street, New Bond Street, London, W.

T. FISHER UNWIN, Limited, No. 1, Adelphi Terrace, London, W.C.

P. S. KING & SON, 2 & 4, Great Smith Street, Westminster, London, S.W.

H. S. KING & Co., 65, Cornhill, and 9, Pall Mall, London.

GRINDLAY & Co., 54, Parliament Street, London, S.W. -

W. THACKER & Co., 2, Creed Lane, London, E.C.

LUZAC & Co., 46, Great Russell Street, London, W.C.

B. H. BLACKWELL, 50 and 51, Broad Street, Oxford.

DEIGHTON BELL & Co., Limited, Cambridge.

OLIVER & BOYD, Tweeddale Court, Edinburgh.

E. PONSONBY, Limited, 116, Grafton Street, Dublin.

Messrs. WHELDON & WESLEY Limited, 2, 3 & 4, Arthur Street, new Oxford Street, London, W. C. 2.

Messrs. EAST & WEST, Ltd., 3, Victoria Street, London, I. W. I.

### ON THE CONTINENT.

ERNEST LEROUX, 28, Rue Bonaparte, Paris, France.

MARTINUS NIJHOFF, The Hague, Holland.

OTTO HARRASOWITZ, Leipzig.

FRIEDLANDER & SOHAN, Berlin.

### IN INDIA.

The Manager, Imperial Book Depot, Delhi.

GULAB SINGH & Sons, Mufid-i-'Am Press, Lahore.

MANAGER, Punjab Law Book Depot, Anarkali Bazar, Lahore.

RAMA KRISHNA & Sons, Book-sellers and News Agents, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

HONORARY SECRETARY, Punjab Religious Book Society, Anarkali, Lahore.

M. ABDUL AZIZ, Manager. The *Vakil* and Roze Bazar Electric Press, Amritsar.

L. LABHU RAM, Book-seller, Ludhiana.

N. B. MATHUR, Superintendent and Proprietor, Nazir Kanun Hind Press, Allahabad.

D. E. TARAPOREVALA, Sons & Co., Bombay.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta and Simla.

NEWMAN & Co., Calcutta.

R. CAMBRAY & Co., Calcutta.

THACKER & Co., Bombay.

HIGGINBOTHAM, Limited, Madras.

T. FISHER UNWIN, Calcutta.

V. KALYANARAM IYER & Co., 189, Esplanade Row, Madras.

G. A. NATHAN & Co., Madras.

SUPERINTENDENT, American Baptist Mission Press, Rangoon.

THE MANAGER "Hitavada" Nagpur, Central Provinces.

**REPORT**  
ON THE  
**ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES**  
UNDER THE  
**COURT OF WARDS**  
IN THE  
**PUNJAB**

*For the year ending 30th September 1922.*



**Lahore :**

**PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT GOVERNMENT PRINTING, PUNJAB.  
1923.**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Para.	Subject.	Page.
1	Estates under management and those released and taken over.	1
2	Income and Expenditure ... ..	2
3	Assets and investments ... ..	3
4	Reduction of debts ... ..	4
5	Arrears of rent and treatment of tenants ...	5
6	Expenditure on improvements ... ..	6
7	Agricultural developments ... ..	7
8	Miscellaneous ... ..	8
9	Education and training ... ..	9
10	Notice of Officers ... ..	10
<b>STATEMENTS.</b>		
I	General Statement of estates under charge of the Court of Wards during the year ending 30th September 1922. ... ..	i
II	Assets and Liabilities on 30th September 1922 ...	ii
III	Income for the year ending 30th September 1922 ...	iii
IV	Expenditure of the year ending 30th September 1922	iv
V	Important transactions of the year 1920-21 (if any)...	v
VI	Estates released during the year ending 30th September 1922 ... ..	vi
VII	Statement showing comparison of the proportion borne by land revenue and cesses paid to rents received and proceeds of grain sales in different estates for the year 1921-22 ... ..	vii

**Review of the Annual Report on the Administration of  
Estates under the Court of Wards in the Punjab  
for the year ending 30th September 1922.**

*Proceedings of the Governor of the Punjab in Council,  
No. 601—168—6600, dated the 22nd May 1923.*

REMARKS.—There was little variation this year in the number of Estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards. Four were added and one was released, leaving 47 in charge of the Court at the end of the year compared with 44 at the end of the preceding year. The Estate of Diwan Dilbagh Rai of Fatehgarh (Gurdaspur), which was released during the year, had profited exceedingly from its twenty years of Government management, and on the ward's attainment of his majority was handed back to him free of debt and with improved resources. All the Estates taken over—Buria (Ambala), Jharauli (Karnal), Ber Khurd (Ludhiana) and Hajipur (Dera Ghazi Khan)—were of social or historical importance. Buria and Ber Khurd were left with unprotected infants, and the owners of the other two applied for Government protection to save them from financial ruin. One of the latter, the Mian Sahib Serai of Hajipur, is the last representative of the Kalhora chiefs of Sind and is regarded with veneration as a Pir by numerous disciples in the South-Western Punjab.

2. The Estates shared in the agricultural prosperity of the year and their total annual income rose by three lakhs to Rs. 25½ lakhs. The increase would have been greater but for the slump in price of grain as a result of which large stocks of the *rabi* produce were retained unsold. There was, however, no corresponding increase in expenditure—in fact, there was a shrinkage of over two lakhs to Rs. 22½ lakhs (round). The decrease of a lakh in ordinary expenditure was due to a more normal personal expenditure on the part of one of the wards after return from England. The similar decrease in capital expenditure is ascribed to a natural caution on the part of Managers to propose additional expenditure at a time of falling prices of agricultural produce. Thus only Rs. 21,000 was spent on purchase of land and houses against a lakh in the previous year and nothing at all

on purchase of Government securities as compared with a lakh and a quarter before. The result was that the Treasury cash balance rose by three lakhs to Rs. 11 $\frac{1}{3}$  lakhs, and the Governor in Council agrees that this large balance, together with the proceeds of the unsold grain stocks valued at Rs. 4 $\frac{1}{4}$  lakhs and the equally good income expected for the current year, presents an opportunity which should not be missed for strengthening the permanent resources of the Estates. As stated in the Report, the advisability of investing surplus funds in Government securities should not be lost sight of.

3. The Report discloses satisfactory progress in the ordinary administration of the Estates. Reduction of debt went on according to plan. Particular attention was paid to permanent improvements on which a larger sum was spent than in the previous year. The additional funds which have just been mentioned should enable even more to be spent during the current year on this important object. The relations with tenants continued to be amicable. There was a small increase in the total arrears of recoverable rent due to the reluctance of the tenants or lessees to sell their grain, but no real difficulty is anticipated in their eventual recovery. On the other hand, the prevalence of *batai* rents enabled the tenants to share in the prosperity of the Estates.

4. Advanced agricultural methods were as usual fostered on the great Estates. Guru Har Sahai was not fortunate. Its tube-well failed and its farm was not successful. Possibly the new Manager will succeed in making something of the farm in time. The Mamdot farms did exceedingly well and the praise awarded to the Jalalabad farm by the Agricultural Department was thoroughly well deserved. The Kot 'dry' farm does not appear to be in a favourable environment, but the irrigated farm in the Montgomery colony had a most successful year. The Governor in Council is also pleased to see that some of the smaller Estates continue to do the best they can in the same field with their more limited resources. Co-operative Societies are greatly encouraged in the Kot Estate where there are 25 of them. The Kot Supply Stores is a new and creditable venture which, backed by an interest-free loan of Rs. 5,000 from the estate, has made a promising start and appears to fulfil a real need.



5. The education and training of the Wards, which is as much the object of the Court as the administration of their Estates, was sedulously prosecuted. Seventeen wards and their relatives are at the Aitchison College, one at the Lyallpur Agricultural College, three at Queen Mary's College preparatory school and nineteen at local schools. As many of the older wards as is possible are gradually associated with the management of their Estates. Eleven were employed in this way during the year and with one exception are reported to have done well. The young Sardar of Kot was given the powers of a Deputy Commissioner under the Court of Wards Act as a stepping stone to full control of his property and the Governor in Council is confident that he will fulfil the promise he has shown.

6. The Governor in Council desires to acknowledge the good work done by the Court itself and by the officials and others named in the last paragraph of the Financial Commissioner's report.

By order of the Governor in Council,

H. P. TOLLINTON,

*Financial Commissioner and*

*Secretary to Government, Punjab,*

*Development Department.*

**REPORT**  
ON THE  
**ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES**  
UNDER THE  
**COURT OF WARDS**  
IN THE  
**PUNJAB**

*For the year ending 30th September 1922.*

1. At the close of last year the number of Estates under the Court of Wards was 4. Buria (Ambala), Jharauli (Karnal), Ber Khurd (Ludhiana) and Hajipur (Dera Ghazi Khan) were taken over and Fatehgarh (Gurdaspur) was released, leaving 47 Estates under superintendence at the end of the year under report. Jharauli was notified as assumed with effect from 17th July 1922. The Commissioner reports that no income was received or expenditure incurred before the 30th September 1922. The entries against this Estate in the statement at the end of the report are therefore blank except in Statement I.

The Fatehgarh Estate was under superintendence for 20 years during the long minority of Diwan Dilbagh Rai. Statement VI shows that during that period the whole of the debts amounting to Rs. 12,980 were paid off, Rs. 15,278 were spent on permanent improvements, and the value of the land and the other assets of the Estate (excluding the Jagir) was increased from Rs. 3,29,673 to Rs. 3,59,884.

Buria (Ambala), which was mentioned in last year's report as having been notified just before the close of the year, is an important estate with an income of nearly a lakh. The death of S. Lachhman Singh left his three infant children practically unprotected. Jharauli is a small estate, but belongs to a family of note whose representative, a young man of thirty years of age, was ruining the property and applied for Government protection. Ber Khurd in the Ludhiana district enjoys a jagir of Rs. 18,000 and the family

is of considerable social and political importance being connected with the ruling families of the Thulkian States. The Ward is an infant of 2 years of age and the Estate is about Rs. 75,000 in debt. Mian Lutf Husain Khan *alias* Mian Shah Nawaz Khan Serai of Hajipur in the Dera Ghazi Khan district, whose Estate was also taken over, is the last representative of the Kalhora Chiefs of Sind. The family has held the Rajanpur jagir since 1772. The present *gaddi-nashin*, who is aged 52, was wasting the Estate and had incurred debts of over a lakh.

2. The revenues of the Estates under the Court of Wards are mainly derived from agricultural rents. The general agricultural prosperity of the year is therefore reflected in the increase of almost exactly a lakh, from Rs. 20,79,577 in 1920-21 to Rs. 21,76,316 this year, in the total ordinary income of the Estates under the Court, the set of Estates being practically identical in both years for purposes of this comparison. The increase would have been considerably greater had not the slump in the price of wheat held up sales of large stocks received as rent in the rabi, there being a decrease of  $2\frac{1}{4}$  lakhs to Rs. 4,71,539 from the total of last year's proceeds of sale of grain (Rs. 6,99,848). The result was, however, that the total value of unsold grain at the end of the year rose from Rs. 1,73,223 to Rs. 4,28,110. The total annual income of the Estates, both ordinary and capital, increased from Rs. 22,83,604 to Rs. 25,84,004 owing mainly to the recovery of nearly a lakh of rupees of advances to tenants by the Kot Fateh Khan Estate and to just over a lakh from sale of land by the Iftikhar-ud-Din Estate (Lahore).

Despite the increase in income there was a contraction, both in ordinary and in capital expenditure, the former decreasing by Rs. 1,35,000 to Rs. 5,31,702 and the latter by just a lakh to Rs. 17,03,049. The latter is explained by the decrease of a lakh in the personal expenditure of the Nawab of Mamdot whose visit to England in the preceding year had been expensive. The former is less easy to account for. Possibly the shrinking prices of agricultural produce rendered Managers cautious. Repayment of debt went on much the same as last year and the total expenditure on permanent improvements rose by Rs. 70,000 to Rs. 2,67,506; but nothing was spent on purchase of Government Securities

as compared with a lakh and a quarter before and only a few thousands on purchase of land and house property as opposed to a lakh in the previous year.

3. The result of this cautious tendency was a great increase in the total cash balance in the Treasury, which rose from Rs 8,19,440 to Rs. 11,32,714. A balance is no doubt required at the end of September to carry on the business of the Estates till the next rabi rents come in, but there was no necessity for such large balances as were allowed to accumulate this year, particularly when so many of the Estates had also big sums locked up in unsold grain. Shah Jiwana (Jhang), as last year, was a principal offender with Rs. 8,395 in cash in the Treasury, not to speak of Rs. 36,362 worth of unsold grain. The Tarkhanwala (Shahpur) Treasury balance of Rs. 50,000 of last year was increased to Rs. 73,000 this year. The promise of investment referred to in last year's report was not carried out. Similar promises are made by the Deputy Commissioner, Shahpur, this year and the Financial Commissioner, Development, would be glad if the Commissioner would endeavour to see that something more is done to carry them out this time. The Treasury balances of the Estates in the Karnal District are still excessive, being practically equivalent to a whole year's income.

The paucity of investments has already been mentioned and as another excellent year's income is almost universally anticipated to which the sale of the large grain stocks referred to above must largely contribute, there should be plenty of money to spare for investments. The Financial Commissioner (Development) trusts that the local officers will pay special attention to this important part of their duties of superintendence, and the advisability of investing surplus funds in Government Securities should not be lost sight of.

4. Satisfactory progress was made in the reduction of debts. The Sahiwal Estate (Shahpur) compounded with its creditors for immediate settlement and by payment of a lump sum of Rs. 19,603 cleared off all its liabilities. Similarly the Estate of Iftikhar-ud-Din (Lahore) paid the whole of the balance (Rs. 52,000) of its debt to Government for the land purchased at Jalalpura. This transaction occurred just after

the close of the year and so is not recorded in the Statements. The payment was made from the sum of Rs. 1,01,400 obtained by sale of land in the suburbs of Lahore to pay for the marriage of the Ward's sister—an event frustrated by her untimely death. The Mitha Tiwana Estate (Shahpur) borrowed Rs. 10,000 from Tarkhanwala to pay off part of its debts and since the close of the year has borrowed another sum (Rs. 20,000) from the same Estate which will enable it to pay off the balance of Rs. 25,235 thus exchanging a Court of Wards Estate for the outside money-lender as creditor. Attari (Amritsar) paid an instalment of Rs. 6,433 towards its debt to Government, which has now been reduced to a lakh and a quarter; but later instalments have had to be postponed as the Ward, who is also the Manager, was found to have misappropriated large sums which he should have credited into the Treasury. The Deputy Commissioner is now dealing with the case, and proposals for appointment of a paid manager should be treated as a matter of urgency.

The list of indebted Estates has of course been augmented by the recent addition of Rupri and Hajipur, each with a lakh of debts and Ber Khurd with three-quarters of a lakh. A scheme of liquidation of the Hajipur debts has recently been sanctioned. Negotiations with Mandi for a loan to pay off the Rupri debts failed as Mandi had its own requirements to meet in connection with the Raja's marriage, but it is hoped to obtain the loan from Faridkot. The large item of Rs. 4,37,114 against Kotaha (Ambala) in column 23 of Statement II represents the Ward's debts in the United Provinces, which are included in the Punjab Returns this year as the property in this Province was indented on for a contribution of Rs. 16,000. There was no similar entry last year. The Ward hopes to obtain consent to pay off his debts by selling his property in the United Provinces and also by obtaining a loan from the Sirmur State, with a view eventually to settling down in the Punjab. Other Estates to reduce debt substantially were Guler (Kangra) by Rs. 12,216 to Rs. 34,238. Chak Haiderabad (Sheikhupura) by Rs. 6,540 to Rs. 21,000, Ahmadabad (Jhelum) by Rs. 4,600 to Rs. 22,471, Sher Shah (Multan) by Rs. 14,000 to Rs. 82,089 and Jalalpur Pirwala (Multan) by Rs. 10,750 to Rs. 20,372. Not much impression has yet, however, been made on the Raipur (Ambala) debt of Rs. 48,015 or the Bhabhaur (Hoshiarpur) debt of Rs. 40,568.

5. The total of recoverable arrears of rent rose from Rs. 2,16,376 to Rs. 2,31,836 at the close of the year. This is ascribed to the unwillingness of tenants to sell their grain on a falling market, with the result that lessees were unable to meet their obligations. The batai collections from direct tenants were, however, very good on the whole and the Manager's report on the Guru Har Sahai Estate (Ferozapore) contains a panegyric on the superior advantages of the batai system, when honestly administered, over leases and cash rents. The Sher Shah (Multan) arrears are still the highest, though they have dropped from Rs. 54,758 to Rs. 49,070. Some Rs. 8,261 is stated to be immediately recoverable and of the rest practically all (Rs. 38,340) is under litigation. The district report should have given more details as to the stage the litigation had reached and particularly as regards the fate of the item of Rs. 35,000 (presumably included in this year's returns) which was last year stated to have been decreed in favour of the estate, but taken on appeal to the High Court. Other estates with large recoverable arrears are Arnauli (Karnal) Rs. 18,335, Salarwahan (Multan) Rs. 17,376, Mitha Tiwana (Shahpur) Rs. 14,979, and Pasirpur (Montgomery) where outstandings amount to Rs. 6,507 or almost a year's income. Otherwise the arrears are fairly evenly distributed and no special difficulties are anticipated in their recovery. Collections were good in the big Estates Mamdot, Kot Fateh Khan and Guru Har Sahai and the Kot Estate in addition recovered nearly a lakh of rupees worth of advances previously made to its tenants.

Sher Shah (Multan), Amb (Hoshiarpur) and Kesri Singh (Lahore) had their usual troubles with the tenants in particular villages, but are stated to have taken a strong line at last. Otherwise relations with tenants were excellent. The good harvest had much to do with this happy state of affairs and the prosperity of the tenants is evidenced by the increase in the total advances repaid by them from Rs. 29,019 at the close of last year to Rs. 1,05,093 at the end of the year under report and by the decrease in the total of advances paid to them from Rs. 84,257 to Rs. 66,753 and in outstanding advances due from them from Rs. 96,855 to Rs. 35,477.

The re-distribution and revision of the Mamdot leases mentioned in last year's report is stated to have been most

successful and practically no suits have been lodged against the Estate by the ejected lessees. There is no reference this year to the consolidation of holdings stated last year to have been attempted in connection with this re-distribution. The consolidation of holdings in the Kheri and Raipur villages of the Raipur Estate (Ambala) is reported to have been successfully completed and similar work to have been started in the Alipur and Narainpur villages of the Kotaha Estate (Ambala). The credit for this is given by the Commissioner to Mr. Henriques, Deputy Commissioner, Ambala.

6. There was again a satisfactory increase in the total expenditure on permanent improvements which, having risen from Rs. 1,08,623 to Rs. 1,97,386 in the previous year, rose to Rs. 2,67,556 in the year under report. The Mamdot Estate was as usual responsible for about half of this, but the Financial Commissioner (Development) is glad to see that the remainder is fairly evenly distributed over a number of Estates, and that the Karnal and Ambala districts make a better showing than last year.

The Mamdot total of Rs. 1,33,105 is shown in Statement IV of the report to consist of Rs. 94,438 spent on buildings, Rs. 12,559 on wells, Rs. 7,625 on roads, Rs. 15,046 on Agriculture and Rs. 3,437 on drainage works. Of this total only building items costing Rs. 56,332 are noticed in the report. Some Rs. 10,600 of this was expenditure on stables. Rs. 40,000 was spent on additions and alterations to Mamdot House, Lahore, and Rs. 4,371 on the construction of two grain godowns.

The Guru Har Sahai Estate spent Rs. 17,269, mainly on wells, seven of which were constructed. The Kot Fateh Khan Estate spent Rs. 18,431 on permanent improvements, which included Rs. 8,900 on the repair and metalling of the Gaggan-Kot Road, Rs. 3,040 on tenants' quarters in the Montgomery District and Rs. 400 on a room in the local school. Most of the expenditure of Rs. 33,243 by the Arnauli Estate (Karnal) was incurred on renovating the ancestral fort for the benefit of the widows of the Estate and the same was the case with the Sidhowal (Karnal) expenditure of Rs. 18,617. Mr. Tollinton agrees with the Commissioner, Ambala, that a limit should be set to expenditure of this kind. There are many other forms of permanent improvements on which expenditure could be incurred with

more benefit to the estates. The Killa Tara Singh Estates (Montgomery) spent Rs. 2,741 on three new wells. The expenditure of Rs. 5,000 by the Shah Jiwana Estate (Jhang) and that only on repairs and a stable for the guest house was miserably inadequate. The Financial Commissioner (Development) trusts that the Deputy Commissioner, Jhang, will give his personal attention to the proper development of this Estate. The expenditure on permanent improvements appears also to have been inadequate in the Estates of the Shahpur and Jhelum districts.

7. As was noted last year, progressive methods of agriculture are practically confined to the large Estates with their greater resources and opportunities. The Jalalabad farm in the Mamdot Estate showed a net profit of Rs. 6,356 on the year and earned the encomiums of the Agricultural Department, particularly for its excellent supply of seed. The Chak Hiraj farm only just failed to pay its way. The tube-well on the farm continued to work well. The Jalalabad tube-well was also a success. The project for using it to supply drinking water for the town was with the Sanitary Engineer at the close of the year and Rs. 40,156 have been provided for it in the new budget. The sheep farm has been moved to better quarters and is said to be more promising.

The report on similar activities in the Guru Har Sahai Estate, however, makes disappointing reading. The tube-well is stigmatized by the Commissioner as an utter failure and the agricultural farm as neither productive nor useful. The farm has been reduced in size and it may still be possible to save it.

Of the two Kot Estate farms, the 'dry' farm at Kot does not appear to be proving of much use. The people are said to be too poor to take to the improved implements demonstrated there. The irrigated farm in the Lower Bari Doab Colony, on the other hand, is justifying its existence and its demonstrations of potato and cotton cultivation are reported to have been of considerable value to the tenants. The stud farm again did remarkably well at the Rawalpindi Horse Show. The poultry farm has failed owing, it is said, to the excessive heat in the summer, and it is to be abolished. The Montgomery milch cows are reported to have done better this year. The Dhanni breed as usual retained its popularity.



Of the smaller Estates, Tarkhanwala (Shahpur) and the Estates of the Multan district are again conspicuous for the introduction of good seed and improved implements. Tarkhanwala has also bought 19 Hissari sheep and 4 Merinos for breeding purposes. The Sher Shah and Jalalpur Pirwala Estates (Multan) introduced sugarcane.

Arboriculture was prosecuted as usual on the larger Estates. A number of fruit trees were planted in the gardens of the Kot Estate and are said to be doing well. More shisham trees were planted and a nursery was established at Kot. The Mamdot Estate spent Rs. 20,425 on the gardens of the Nawab's houses and rest-houses and Rs. 3,704 on arboriculture in the Estate, the income from the latter amounting to Rs. 7,476. Kikar seed was sown along 50 miles of canals and water-courses during the year. The lessees of the Estate are now being encouraged to plant trees on the boundaries of their fields. A census and register of the Estate trees is also being compiled. The Estates of the Multan district continued their encouragement of the plantation of young tree nurseries particularly to obtain wood for the manufacture of agricultural implements.

8. The Estate of Chak Haiderabad and Sayyadwala was transferred, with a portion of the Lyallpur district, to the Sheikhpura district on the 1st April 1922.

Miscellaneous.

As might be expected in Government-managed Estates every encouragement was given to the co-operative movement. The Tarkhanwala Co-operative Bank is reported to be flourishing and so also are the Sahiwal and Girof Banks of the Sahiwal Estate. There are 25 co-operative societies in the Kot Estate, all of which are reported to be doing well. An interesting novelty is the Kot Fateh Khan Supply Store, which was opened in June 1922. The tenants of the estate can get all kinds of necessaries there cheap, and there is reported to be a great run on the institution. The estate advanced it a loan of Rs. 5,000 free of interest.

The development of the Garhi Kotaha Estate (Ambala) is persisted in, despite difficulties. A sum of Rs. 8,076 was spent on the further improvement of the main road from Kuan Baia Bain to the Morni Fort. The road was damaged severely by rains and the repairs are expected to cost Rs. 2,000. About 37 acres of ravine were reclaimed at a

cost of Rs. 1,683. Further progress was made with the exploitation of the Jaithal Bir on the working plan prepared by a lent officer of the Forest Department; but schemes for irrigation from impounded water in the lower hills have been held up partly owing to their cost and partly owing to the reluctance of the submontane villagers to agree to pay for the use of the water.

9. Careful attention was as usual paid to the education of the wards and their training to undertake the management of their own affairs. Seventeen wards and their relatives are at the Aitchison College, Lahore, one at the Agricultural College, Lyallpur, three at the Preparatory school attached to the Queen Mary's College, and nineteen at local schools.

The Ward of Kandhola (Ambala), whose vicissitudes in Scotland and elsewhere were mentioned in last year's report, appears to be settling down after his adventures. He has an engaging personality, and the Commissioner has hopes that he will soon be fit to look after his affairs himself.

The premature death of the sister of M. Iftikhar-ud-Din, a Ward in the Lahore district, was a very sad event. She was just about to be married and was a very able and intelligent girl having passed her Matriculation Examination from the Lahore University.

The Nawab of Mamdot, who returned from a visit to England at the beginning of the year under report, continues to keep good health, and he and the Begum have benefited considerably from the experienced advice of Mr. and Mrs. Atkinson. The Nawab takes a particular interest in the polo stables and stud farm.

Sardar Muhammad Nawaz Khan of Kot returned from Sandhurst in February 1922 and was attached to the 7th Hussars at Mhow. He came of age on the 12th of August 1922 and was put in charge of his Estate with the powers of a Deputy Commissioner. The Estate is now in the process of being completely released from control.

One of the cardinal principles of the administration of the Court is that the wards should be associated in the management of their property as soon as possible, and besides the Sardar of Kot the following took a greater or less share.

in the administration of their Estates:—Sardar Jagtar Singh of Basirpur (Montgomery), Khan Zabad Khan of Wali Dad Khan's Estate (Jhang), Sardar Hari Singh of Rangar Nangal (Gurdaspur), the Raja of Guler (Kangra), Sultan Khan of Mangwal (Jhelum), Sardar Abdul Rahman Khan of Sahiwal (Shahpur), Muhammad Usman Khan of Faridpur (Montgomery), Muhammad Nawaz Shah of Salarwahan (Multan), Guru Sardul Singh of Kartarpur (Jullundur) and Sardar Arjan Singh of Shahkot (Jullundur). Sardar Balwant Singh of Attari has not yet been removed from the Managership of his Estate, and has in the meanwhile succeeded in misappropriating for his own use further large sums which he should have credited in the Treasury. Proposals are actually now on their way for the appointment of a paid Manager and the proper systematic repayment of the debt to Government.

10. The reports as a whole are less interesting and informing than those of the previous year, with the exception of that written by the young Sardar of Kot, which is a very creditable performance and the report of Sardar Hari Singh, Manager of Guru Har Sahai, which, though perhaps a little discursive, is comprehensive and stimulating. Sardar Hari Singh deserves every credit for the order he is bringing out of chaos in this Estate.

Other managers mentioned for good work are Sheikh Nazir Ahmad of Mamdot, Sardar Udhi Singh of Shahkot, Lala Beli Ram of Rangar Nangal, Khan Sahib Sheikh Shah Nawaz Khan of Kot, Sardar Jamiat Singh of Killa Tara Singh and M. Murad Bakhsh of Shah Jiwana.

It would perhaps be invidious to mention other officers where all have worked so well, and in recording his thanks to all those who have done their best, Mr. Tollinton would especially associate himself with the encomiums passed by the Commissioner, Ambala, on Mr. Henriques, Deputy Commissioner of Ambala, Mr. Macnabb, Deputy Commissioner of Karnal, and by the Commissioner, Jullundur, on Mr. Jacob, Deputy Commissioner of Jullundur, and Lieut.-Colonel Coldstream, Deputy Commissioner of Ferozepore.

**STATEMENT No. III.**  
**INCOME FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1922.**

District.	Serial No.	Name of estate.	INVESTMENT, LOAN AND DEBT TRANSACTIONS.									ORDINARY INCOME.					Total income.	Serial No.
			Income from sale of			Income from loans received from			Income from repayment of loans due to the estate.	Recovery of advances made to tenants.	Total.	Jagir or talukdari income.	Cash rent.	Sale of grain and other produce and of stock.	Other income.	Total ordinary income.		
			Land.	House property.	Securities.	Government.	Other estates under the Court of Wards.	Other persons.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulf-kar Ali Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18,945	28,498	300	4,743	52,491	52,491	1
	2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,422	15	...	1,210	13,677	13,677	2
	3	Sidhowal	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,000	...	6,000	42,639	38,168	272	1,117	77,194	80,194	3
	4	Arnsali	...	...	...	...	...	...	300	...	300	45,378	8,292	37	2,340	50,015	50,315	4
	5	Jharali	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
AMBALA	6	Kotaha	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18,188	22,812	8,870	4,064	51,934	51,934	6
	7	Raipur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,078	18,878	2,413	1,084	22,448	22,448	7
	8	Mansukmajra	...	...	2,000	...	...	...	...	...	2,000	9,664	3,921	2,533	143	15,432	17,432	8
	9	Kandhola	...	...	1,000	...	...	...	790	...	1,790	10,630	1,929	400	244	13,194	14,984	9
KANGRA	10	Buria	...	...	...	...	...	...	215	...	215	56,123	13,083	12,004	11,199	93,649	93,649	10
	11	Lambagraon	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,697	1	115	...	1,813	1,813	11
	12	Rupi	...	...	...	...	...	...	149	...	149	16,513	8,955	4,475	4,046	24,089	24,089	12
HOSHIAHPUR	13	Guler	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23,469	8,434	...	675	32,778	32,778	13
	14	Amb	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,848	...	9,848	23,143	2,500	3,868	3,200	31,200	42,052	14
JULLUNDUR	15	Bhabhaur	...	...	...	...	...	...	514	...	514	1,831	6,133	400	735	9,009	9,613	15
	16	Kartarpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,604	16	12,620	13,706	23,249	1,446	54,540	92,944	1,06,704	16
	17	Shahkot (Sardar Singh.)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,008	1,460	...	...	3,468	3,468	17
LUDHIANA	18	Shahkot (Sardar Rajindra Singh.)	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,008	...	1,008	1,122	1,927	8,951	627	7,627	8,935	18
	19	Ber Kburd	...	...	...	...	...	...	626	...	626	18,200	103	2,165	3,884	23,912	24,538	19
FEROZPORE	20	Guru Har Sahai	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	168	168	4,695	41,511	46,957	20,377	1,10,540	1,19,708	20
	21	Mamdot	...	...	...	...	...	...	82,039	...	82,039	65,747	2,50,807	4,229	2,88,064	0,28,057	7,10,900	21
LAHORE	22	Kailas Nath	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,758	10,096	...	4,624	10,478	10,478	22
	23	Rani Chhannian	...	12,000	...	...	...	...	184	...	12,184	5,681	41,012	2,962	1,284	59,939	63,123	23
	24	Kesri Singh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,146	14,130	6,740	1,978	28,994	26,994	24
	25	Iftikhar Din	1,01,400	15,233	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	809	28,071	9,752	3,903	42,535	1,59,224	25
AMRITSAR	26	Attari	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,548	9,485	...	419	22,452	22,452	26
GURDASPUR	27	Fatehgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	874	135	140	619	649	27
	28	Rangar Nangal	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,413	...	2,413	...	15,077	144	4,391	10,592	21,995	28
SHRIKHPURA	29	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,860	664	779	12,303	12,303	29
SHARUPUR	30	Tarkhanwala	...	...	...	...	...	...	17,200	730	17,930	...	28,934	3,825	4,242	32,041	40,971	30
	31	Sahiwal	...	...	...	...	...	...	312	1,942	2,254	2,290	13,973	12,288	2,985	31,539	33,793	31
	32	Mitha Tiwana	...	4,500	...	...	...	10,600	...	...	2,288	16,788	13,310	1,768	602	17,372	34,160	32
JHELMUM	33	Ahmadabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	688	688	2,194	...	80,111	611	32,916	33,604	33
	34	Mangwal	...	2,362	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,362	...	...	2,010	...	2,010	4,372	34
	35	Ghugh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,960	1,960	...	898	...	80	9,034	10,994	35
ATROCK	36	Kot Fateh Khan	529	...	...	...	...	...	5,279	90,618	96,424	6,294	59,980	1,30,302	45,580	2,42,136	3,29,560	36
	37	Dharek	...	...	...	...	...	...	205	...	205	...	74	8,528	929	9,531	9,736	37
MIANWALI	38	Khawaja Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khawaja Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,640	2,640	...	421	36,986	3,639	41,246	43,985	38
MONTGOMERY	39	Faridpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,179	140	1,319	920	831	9,256	4,027	15,134	16,453	39
	40	Basirpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	60	...	60	1,085	4,794	1,140	463	7,475	7,535	40
	41	Kila Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh).	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,643	...	2,643	...	232	11,228	2,174	13,984	16,227	41
	42	Kila Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).	...	...	...	...	...	...	703	...	703	...	283	9,957	1,943	12,186	12,889	42
JHANG	43	Shah Jiwana	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,899	27,960	978	34,832	34,832	43
	44	K. Walidad Khan, Sayal	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	256	3,615	104	693	4,938	4,938	44
MULTAN	45	Sher Shah	...	...	5,000	...	...	...	...	3,269	8,269	1,737	879	19,898	1,274	23,786	32,055	45
	46	Salawaban	399	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	399	...	...	20,246	2,712	22,958	23,357	46
	47	Jalalpur Pirwala	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,806	580	4,385	...	750	7,051	27,950	32,335	47	
DERA GHAZI KHAN.	48	Mian Sahib Serai alias Mian Shah Nawaz Khan of Hajipur.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16,555	...	4,651	350	21,516	21,516	48
Total			1,02,328	84,695	8,000	...	10,000	...	1,43,172	1,05,093	4,07,688	4,85,680	7,12,122	4,71,539	5,05,975	21,76,816	25,84,004	

STATEMENT No. I.

GENERAL STATEMENT OF ESTATES UNDER CHARGE OF THE COURT OF WARDS DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30th SEPTEMBER 1922.

Division.	District.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Ward.	Date of birth of Ward, if a minor.	Date of assumption of charge.	Section or sub-section of Act applicable.	Annual value of Jagir.	LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHTS.			Total liabilities on assumption of charge.	Total assets on assumption of charge.	Serial No.
									Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
								Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
		1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfiqar Ali Khan.	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfiqar Ali Khan.	2-1-1903	26-8-1903	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	18,945	3,795	2,017	2,785	...	5,87,201	1
		2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	7-2-1903	18-10-1909	Ditto ditto	13,270	...	...	...	...	8,33,554	2
	Karnal	3	Sidhowal	1. Bhai Fateh Jang Singh 2. " Sber Jang Singh	29-3-1909 25-12-1913	4-6-1918	Ditto ditto	42,350	1,435	1,435	0,007	1,22,704	13,54,402	3
		4	Arnauli	Bhai Subh Sber Singh	4-1-1914	3-11-1918	Ditto ditto	57,951	1,007	530	1,078	57,880	16,07,178	4
		5	Jharauli	Sardar Rajindra Singh	10-2-1892	17-7-1922	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	1,598	630	...	331	40,500	2,72,430	5
Ambala		6	Kotaha	Mir Muhammad Akbar Ali Khan	4-9-1891	1-2-1911	Section 5 (2), Punjab Act II of 1903	13,853	8,416	5,680	4,320	14,00,000	7,31,100	6
		7	Raipur	Rao Baldeo Singh	13-8-1876	19-6-1906	Ditto ditto	4,005	4,047	2,669	1,347	1,13,002	2,51,740	7
	Ambala	8	Manakmajra	1. Sardar Joginder Singh 2. Sardar Raghbir Singh	14-1-1904	29-2-1912	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	9,426	352	270	337	0,070	2,17,109	8
		9	Kandhola	Sardar Bhagwan Singh	1-1-1902	6-5-1902	Section 35 (b), Punjab Act IV of 1872	10,523	568	231	277	13,730	3,02,500	9
		10	Buria	1. Sardar Ratan Amol Singh 2. Sardar Lal Amol Singh	19-5-1919 31-10-1920	3-9-1921	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	47,329	4,148	2,988	8,204	4,000	29,70,223	10
		11	Lambagraou	M. Dalip Chand	2-10-1807	4-4-1888	Section 35 (g), Punjab Act IV of 1872 as amended by Act XII of 1878.	2,000	5,216	1,210	12	21,323	71,713	11
	Kangra	12	Rupi	1. Bai Bhagwant Singh 2. Kr. Dhanwant Singh 3. Kr. Durga Singh	1915 1915 1913	26-7-1921	Section 3 (b) and (c), Punjab Act II of 1903.	19,125	2,274	2,274	1,406	1,00,000	0,72,501	12
		13	Guler	Raja Baldev Singh	5-10-1902	18-12-1909	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	25,602	10,710	8,642	3,002	4,20,002	9,85,000	13
	Hoshiarpur	14	Amb	1. Tikka Lachhman Singh 2. Kr. Sant Dev Singh 3. Kr. Hardev Singh 4. Kr. Shibdev Singh	19-8-1904 30-10-1909 3-3-1910 15-1-1906	29-4-1919	Section 3 (b) and (c), Punjab Act II of 1903.	22,803	1,014	619	1,101	...	0,00,166	14
Jullundur		15	Bhabaur	Rai Savitra Singh	15-1-1906	7-1-1919	Ditto ditto	630	1,940	390	788	47,045	71,100	15
	Jullundur	16	Kartarpur	Guru Sardul Singh Kr. Tarlochan Singh	23-7-1902 23-5-1909	2-12-1908	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	13,700	11,970	9,957	18,001	07,014	17,58,501	16
		17	Shahkot	Sardar Arjan Singh	28-4-1902	17-2-1906	Ditto ditto	2,013	122	119	349	...	71,301	17
		18	Do.	Sardar Rajindra Singh	1-6-1908	20-5-1919	Ditto ditto	1,143	333	303	1,255	7,818	1,17,200	18
	Ludhiana	19	Ber Khurd	Sardar Harwant Singh	15-1-1921	24-1-1922	Ditto ditto	18,877	912	504	1,705	7,37,207	19	
	Ferozepore	20	Guru Har Sahai	1. Guru Jaswant Singh 2. Kr. Karam Singh	16-10-1698 14-10-1903	22-8-1910	Ditto ditto	9,574	10,209	14,403	9,901	1,27,418	19,40,077	20
		21	Mamdot	Nawab Ghulam Qutab-ud-din Khan	13-10-1889	20-1-1891	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	70,783	69,013	61,654	00,022	3,57,047	0,005,038	21
		22	Kailas Nath	D. Kailas Nath	1-4-1905	1-4-1905	Ditto ditto	3,900	468	408	2,504	...	2,48,025	22
		23	Rani Chhauhan	Rani Chhauhan	25-5-1915	25-5-1915	Section 5 (2) (a), Punjab Act II of 1903	5,081	4,300	2,035	4,474	...	0,00,800	23
	Lahore	24	Kesri Singh	Sardar Kesri Singh	2-12-1916	21-3-1918	Ditto ditto	5,256	1,035	3,954	1,103	51,920	7,00,229	24
		25	Iftikhar Din	M. Iftikhar-ud-din	8-4-1907	25-5-1914	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	621	2,110	1,823	6,380	4,255	4,12,014	25
		26	Attari	Sardar Balwant Singh	...	25-5-1914	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	12,306	500	445	1,004	5,17,000	4,51,074	26
	Amritsar	27	Fatehgarh	D. Dilbagh Rai	7-10-1900	17-3-1902	Section 35 (b), Punjab Act IV of 1872, as amended by Act XII of 1878.	...	602	543	1,753	12,980	8,278	27
	Gurdaspur	28	Rangar Nangal	1. Sardar Hari Singh 2. Sardar Narain Singh	20-5-1903 14-5-1905	13-4-1908	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	781	690	2,049	483	3,08,232	28
	Sheikhpura	29	Chak Haiderabad and Sayadwala.	1. Muhammad Sharif Khan 2. Muhammad Hussain Khan	1904 16-7-1913	8-3-1919	Ditto ditto	...	785	720	1,407	35,296	2,79,481	29
		30	Tarkhanwala	Amir Abdullah Khan	21-1-1911	2-4-1914	Ditto ditto	...	2,738	2,208	2,070	4,909	2,50,702	30
	Shahpur	31	Sabiwal	1. Sardar Fateh Khan 2. Jemadar Sardar Abdul Rehman Khan. 3. Sardar Muhammad Hayat Khan	...	1-9-1902	{ Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903 Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	2,138	7,945	2,770	3,278	02,483	2,38,317	31
		32	Mitha Tiwana	Malik D. st Muhammad Khan Tiwana	...	23-9-1919	Section 5 (d), Punjab Act II of 1903	9,805	11,400	3,701	3,004	2,78,006	7,00,001	32
		33	Ahmedabad	Raja Muhammad Hayat Khan	...	10-7-1914	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	1,005	9,335	2,971	4,241	40,752	4,41,000	33
		34	Mungwal	Sultan Khan	12-2-1888	14-12-1908	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	1,773	810	603	12,425	83,127	34
Rawalpindi	Jhelum	35	Ghugh	1. Yaqub Khan 2. Muhammad Bahram 3. Ayub Khan 4. Sber Khan 5. Ghulam Shah 6. Ghulam Mehdi	14-1-1907 1910 12-4-1911 1912 14-1-1905 8-2-1909 12-5-1901	20-1-1920	Ditto ditto	Inam 808	3,460	1,389	1,020	10,545	3,50,515	35
		36	Kot Fateh Khan	Sardar Muhammad Nawaz Khan	6-11-1919	2-4-1908	Ditto ditto	6,184	60,592	30,184	22,427	...	10,99,038	36
	Attock	37	Dharek	Rah Nawaz Khan	...	8-6-1920	Sections 6 and 3 (b) and (c), Punjab Act II of 1903.	...	5,443	2,472	1,351	...	4,57,754	37
	Mianwali	38	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan	...	14-1-1907	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	14,432	4,547	8,201	1,32,432	4,47,640	38
		39	Faridpur	Muhammed Ueman Khan Bhai Jagtar Singh	5-2-1903 1-5-1903	20-10-1910	Ditto ditto	720	4,873	2,217	1,890	22,013	2,01,506	39
	Montgomery	40	Basirpur	Bhai Aoter Singh Nau Nihal Singh	17-11-1904 4-1-1907	3-7-1911	Ditto ditto	1,090	2,162	1,920	1,540	...	97,242	40
		41	Kila Tara Singh	Gurdit Singh	8-6-1899	14-8-1918	{ Ditto ditto Ditto ditto	...	2,349	1,797	1,377	...	1,60,612	41
		42	Ditto	S. Khizar Ha. at Shah S. Mubarak Ali S. Abid Hussain	5-11-1900 1-3-1915	26-11-1915	Section 2, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	2,349	1,794	1,377	...	1,60,612	42
	Jhang	43	Shah Jiwana	K. Walidad Khan Syal	...	9-2-1921	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	400	451	300	194	40,000	7,04,902	43
		44	K. Walidad Khan Syal	S. Amir Haidar Shah S. Ghulam Akbar Shah	...	11-10-1900	Section 35 (a), Act IV of 1872, as amended by Act XII of 1878.	4,234	15,633	6,354	5,993	3,17,939	1,43,922	44
		45	Sher Shah	S. Muhammad Nawaz Shah S. Muhammad Baqar Shah S. Ghulam Abbas	2-1-1903 17-3-1917	9-7-1910 9-7-1917	Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	6,612	3,212	10,742	94,573	6,22,909	45
	Multan	46	Salarwahan	S. Muhammad Ghaus	...	1-5-1919	{ Section 6, Punjab Act II of 1903 Section 8, Punjab Act II of 1903 Section 5, Punjab Act II of 1903	...	24,168	10,339	6,193	19,441	13,98,541	46
		47	Jalalpur Pirwala	Mian Lutf Hussain Khan, alias M. Shah Nawaz Khan	...	30-10-1921	Section 5 (1), Punjab Act II of 1903	9,652	726	130	189	1,09,017	85,990	47
	Dera Ghazi Khan	48	Mian Sahib Serai alias Mian Shah Nawaz Khan, of Hajipur.	Mian Sahib Serai alias Mian Shah Nawaz Khan	...	...	Total	4,73,027 Inam 808	3,57,607	2,12,744	2,32,528	47,89,801	3,44,97,810	48

## STATEMENT No. II.

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES ON 30th SEPTEMBER 1922.

DISTRICT.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	ASSETS.										LIABILITIES.										Serial No.				
			Capitalised value of Jagir.	Estimated value of land.	Estimated value of house property.	Investments, loans and advances.				Cash.			Other assets.			Balance of loans still due.				Total Liabilities.	Excess of assets over liabilities.						
						Government securities or securities of Local Bodies.	Loans to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Loans secured on other immovable property.	Advances to tenants.	In banks.	In treasury.	In hand.	Estimated value of unsold grain.	Receivable arrears of rent.	Jewellery, stock and other items.	Total assets.	To Government.	To other estates under the Court of Wards.	To other persons.			To Government.		To other persons.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25			
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfi-kar Ali Khan.	4,73,625	2,26,090	98,418	1,300	...	9,861	...	...	58,582	100	...	...	4,025	13,912	9,40,793	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	0,40,503	1	
	2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	3,06,750	...	900	15,900	...	...	...	...	9,886	50	...	...	6	1,031	3,31,523	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,84,523	2
	3	Sidhawal	10,58,750	2,05,042	1,67,557	14,800	...	...	5,980	...	200	94,236	163	...	7,465	12,246	15,00,479	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15,00,479	3
	4	Arnauli	14,45,775	85,120	1,18,728	13,000	...	...	1,054	...	...	35,672	150	...	18,835	11,200	17,32,044	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17,32,044	4
	5	Jharauli	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
AMBALA	6	Kotaha	3,46,325	3,45,231	46,593	...	...	...	...	...	10,373	140	...	...	4,906	3,030	7,50,603	...	...	4,27,112	32	...	4,27,144	8,20,450	6		
	7	Raipur	1,02,375	1,27,535	15,009	...	...	...	...	...	2,953	125	...	...	1,492	1,059	2,00,548	...	...	48,000	15	...	48,015	2,12,533	7		
	8	Manakmajra	2,35,650	44,560	10,067	...	...	...	...	...	3,465	125	...	...	1,061	1,339	3,02,017	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,02,011	8
	9	Kandhola	2,63,050	42,560	26,467	4,100	...	...	2,510	...	6,312	175	...	...	1,152	583	8,43,949	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,40,014	9
	10	Buria	11,83,225	4,21,120	10,00,000	30,000	...	...	6,038	...	11,578	300	...	199	1,830	30,884	27,24,495	...	...	...	...	8	1,000	1,008	27,23,377	10	
KANGRA	11	Lambagraon	50,000	960	1,066	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	...	...	...	52,051	...	...	6,101	...	...	...	6,101	45,050	11		
	12	Rupi	4,78,125	1,19,680	70,000	655	...	...	...	...	210	24,473	...	...	74	2,956	11,491	7,10,693	...	...	1,00,000	...	1,00,000	6,10,093	12		
	13	Guler	6,40,050	2,44,900	1,25,000	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,094	...	...	...	...	10,11,104	...	...	34,238	...	...	34,238	9,70,809	13		
HOSHIAARPUR	14	Amb	5,57,575	95,250	1,50,000	1,00,000	...	...	...	...	22,775	...	...	459	500	11,500	9,95,988	...	...	...	...	...	...	9,06,088	14		
	15	Bhabhaur	20,750	63,040	1,000	500	...	...	...	...	4,055	...	...	...	1,100	310	9,755	...	4,169	36,409	...	...	...	40,568	50,187	15	
JULLUNDUR	16	Kartarpur	3,42,650	15,10,541	2,55,793	72,700	1,000	3,000	137	...	30,352	...	...	...	9,995	60,905	22,87,073	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	22,87,073	16	
	17	Shahkot (Sardar Arjan Singh)	51,075	27,200	9,000	...	...	...	...	...	3,378	...	...	...	63	26	90,740	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	90,740	17	
	18	Shahkot (Sardar Rajindra Singh).	28,575	1,00,400	5,000	...	...	...	540	50	...	768	...	...	829	607	1,38,823	...	1,000	3,550	...	1,721	6,277	1,32,548	18		
LUDHIANA	19	Ber Khurd	4,59,425	1,43,600	1,13,433	...	...	...	...	...	6,951	...	...	...	17,979	7,41,318	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	72,986	6,94,332	19	
FEROZPORE	20	Guru Har Sahai	2,39,350	22,84,023	1,54,909	89,380	1,000	20,326	10,390	...	41,258	...	...	34,493	1,196	13,340	29,41,679	...	...	...	1,436	...	1,436	29,00,243	20		
	21	Mamdot	19,19,575	47,60,973	6,53,979	7,31,059	2,67,251	2,29,841	...	...	65,816	1,45,100	6,650	...	4,238	2,19,529	81,94,011	...	...	...	5,576	...	5,576	80,92,435	21		
LAHORE	22	Kailas Nath	99,000	70,000	85,000	43,500	...	...	...	4,350	18,160	120	...	1,845	...	3,23,015	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,23,015	22
	23	Rani Chhounian	1,42,025	3,57,760	64,255	4,000	...	...	...	...	40,508	69	...	929	1,326	6,11,202	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,11,202	23
	24	Kesri Singh	1,31,400	5,35,123	60,149	...	...	...	...	...	9,000	50	...	8,041	1,760	7,54,843	...	...	...	45,830	...	...	...	45,830	7,00,013	24	
	25	Iftikhar Din	15,525	4,30,400	1,27,000	...	...	...	...	220	...	95,058	150	...	1,029	...	6,05,382	...	...	...	62,000	...	...	...	62,000	6,17,382	25
AMRITSAR	26	Attari	3,07,650	80,320	10,000	...	...	...	...	...	199	50	...	...	2,682	...	4,00,901	1,23,157	...	...	...	...	1,23,157	2,77,744	26		
GURDASPUR	27	Fatehgarh	...	1,42,640	2,01,400	...	...	...	377	...	191	...	...	...	14,206	1,070	3,59,884	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,59,884	27	
	28	Rangar Nangal	...	2,15,120	1,18,736	10,000	4,005	15,091	...	...	8,412	966	...	...	3,154	10,988	3,55,512	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,55,512	28	
SHEIKHPURA	29	Chak Haidarabad and Sayad-wala.	...	2,67,500	10,000	...	...	500	...	...	5,997	...	...	50	...	600	2,84,047	...	...	21,000	...	...	...	21,000	2,63,047	29	
SHAHPUR	30	Tarkhanwala	...	4,11,000	16,000	37,825	10,000	...	170	...	73,692	200	...	3,530	2,627	5,550	5,90,494	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,90,494	30	
	31	Sahiwal	53,450	5,11,500	10,400	51	...	...	...	...	6,580	...	...	2,207	3,143	5,000	5,96,499	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,96,499	31	
	32	Mitha Tiwana	2,46,625	2,01,920	83,750	...	...	...	2,600	...	8,748	...	...	5,168	14,979	1,183	5,64,921	...	10,000	25,233	...	...	...	35,233	5,29,688	32	
JHELMUM	34	Ahmadabad	27,375	3,75,185	33,000	...	...	...	...	...	19,263	...	...	2,959	813	6,000	4,64,601	...	22,471	...	...	...	...	22,471	4,42,130	33	
	35	Mangwal	...	74,167	7,960	...	...	...	...	...	603	...	...	2,105	755	1,000	86,505	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	86,505	34	
	35	Ghugh	Inam 808	3,20,000	13,000	...	...	...	...	40	6,024	...	...	7,558	68	1,900	3,49,398	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,49,398	35	
ATTOCK	36	Kot Fateh Khan	1,54,600	17,18,664	3,45,314	2,60,000	...	9,379	16,210	...	1,50,876	1,097	1,96,704	15,379	1,12,681	29,80,994	...	...	...	...	405	...	405	29,80,904	36		
	37	Dharel	...	4,00,000	10,000	...	...	26,822	...	...	7,721	357	...	...	6,200	4,65,750	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,65,345	37	
MIANWALI	38	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	...	7,26,320	15,500	...	...	...	2,200	...	9,807	95	26,293	4,138	...	7,74,333	...	...	...	49,598	...	...	...	49,598	7,24,770	38	
	39	Faridpur	18,000	1,51,200	20,000	...	...	...	...	...	14,265	...	...	11,991	1,078	20,000	2,54,534	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,54,534	39	
MONTGOMERY	40	Basirpur	27,250	1,23,200	12,000	...	...	...	...	...	7,524	...	...	20	6,507	...	1,82,316	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,82,316	40	
	41	Kila Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh).	...	1,07,040	11,200	...	...	...	...	...	17,987	...	...	8,808	2,135	15,086	1,66,953	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,66,950	41	
	42	Do. (Gurdit Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,808	2,135	9,174	20,117	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20,117	42	
JHANG	43	Shah Jiwana	...	6,49,760	23,500	...	...	...	...	...	68,395	...	...	36,362	560	8,559	8,12,133	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8,12,133	43	
	44	K. Wali Dad Khan Syal	10,000	1,20,000	15,000	...	...	...	...	...	2,359	...	...	543	...	2,955	1,50,857	...	...	40,000	...	...	...	40,000	1,10,857	44	
MULTAN	45	Sher Shah	1,05,850	12,15,340	36,45	...	...	13,494	379	...	463	...	...	20,578	49,070	605	14,12,229	...	37,203	44,398	488	...	82,069	13,30,140	45		
	46	Salarwahan	...	6,01,201	32,000	...	...	5,594	...	...	2,563	...	...	19,616	17,376	8,395	7,09,626	...	...	...	480	...	480	7,09,146	46		
	47	Jalalpur Pirwala	...	11,23,199	1,87,77	...	...	8,938	2,957	...	297	2,322	...	19,959	3,649	31,624	13,81,782	...	...	16,118	2,830	1,929	20,372	13,61,360	47		
DERA GHAZI KHAN	48	Mian Sahib Serai, alias Mian Shah Nawaz Khan of Hajipur.	2,41,300	27,920	22,50	...	...	...	...	...	23,009	29	...	508	160	3,15,225	4,368	...	1,03,729	...	...	...	1,03,617	2,06,608	48		
Total			1,17,85,725 Inam 808	2,17,																							

STATEMENT No. IV.  
EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1922.

District.	Serial No.	Name of Estate.	INVESTMENT, LOAN AND DEBT TRANSACTIONS.								ORDINARY EXPENDITURE.													
			Repayment of debt.	Purchase of Loan.						Advances made to tenants.	Cost of permanent improvements.	Total.	Land revenue, cesses, commutation fees and hajat-tahsil.	Wards rate.	Cost of manager and staff.	Total cost of management (total of columns 14 and 15).	Cost of ordinary improvements and repairs.	Personal expenses of ward including cost of education.	Allowance to ward's family.	Expenditure on law, domestic expenses, servants, etc.	Total ordinary expenditure.	Total expenditure.	Percentage of cost of management (column 16) on total ordinary income (column 17 of statement III).	Serial No.
				Land.	House property.	Government securities or securities of Local Bodies.	Made to other estates under the Court of Wards.	Secured on other immovable property.	Rs.															
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
KARNAL	1	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfqar Ali Khan.	Rs. 6,250	Rs. 870	...	...	...	6,250	...	8,415	15,885	7,459	770	4,201	4,971	2,778	10,104	1,900	0,000	33,170	48,514	0.8	1	
	2	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,099	384	1,082	1,418	34	4,402	1,085	1,055	11,501	11,501	10.4	2	
	3	Sidhowal	...	...	...	...	...	5,980	...	18,617	24,597	5,556	1,017	7,812	8,829	775	0,716	7,832	10,002	40,000	70,087	11.4	3	
	4	Arnauli	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	38,248	38,248	6,341	804	6,612	7,416	5,842	2,710	9,479	17,330	40,618	82,801	13.2	4	
	5	Jharauli	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
AMBALA	6	Kotaba	16,000	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,513	26,513	9,150	747	4,827	5,074	202	...	461	8,070	23,017	40,530	0.8	6	
	7	Raipur	2,200	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,300	5,549	473	1,857	2,330	267	7,501	350	2,011	18,028	21,128	10.4	7	
	8	Manakmajra	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,000	1,000	1,258	404	1,544	1,948	1,499	4,407	2,277	4,204	10,775	12,775	12.0	8	
	9	Kandhola	...	...	...	...	...	200	...	...	200	1,627	878	1,697	2,075	66	3,057	388	5,272	12,435	13,635	15.7	9	
	10	Buria	2,866	...	...	...	...	6,038	...	2,228	11,132	11,987	1,197	3,856	5,053	54	9,918	9,847	7,100	43,520	54,952	5.4	10	
KANGRA	11	Lambagraon	160	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	150	12	91	120	211	...	640	574	241	1,078	1,828	11.0	11	
	12	Rupi	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,081	645	1,503	2,148	5	2,512	6,828	2,419	14,991	14,991	7.4	12	
	13	Guler	12,216	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12,216	22	578	918	1,491	1,000	8,775	780	12,177	30,245	30,401	4.5	13	
HOSHIAEPUR	14	Amb	...	...	...	...	...	11,700	...	...	11,700	2,580	659	2,869	3,528	501	7,585	8,680	6,796	24,609	30,309	10.0	14	
	15	Bhabhaur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	898	535	416	751	...	2,300	1,554	807	6,840	8,440	8.2	15	
JULLUNDUR	16	Kartarpur	...	...	2,200	...	...	3,000	...	3,382	5,582	7,992	1,175	7,509	8,684	4,178	12,722	7,192	39,077	80,440	80,022	9.3	16	
	17	Shahkot (Sardar Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	348	174	361	535	319	365	660	1,528	3,755	3,755	15.4	17	
	18	Shahkot (Sardar Singh)	...	...	...	...	...	49	...	...	49	1,183	199	1,383	1,582	465	795	1,397	2,538	7,900	8,000	20.7	18	
LUDHIANA	19	Ber Khurd	5,954	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,954	3,118	498	1,228	1,726	...	2,820	2,885	1,177	11,722	17,076	7.2	19	
FEROZPORE	20	Guru Har Sabai	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17,269	7,581	1,518	10,416	11,934	4,230	19,397	9,708	66,555	1,10,380	1,36,509	10.0	20	
	21	Mamdot	...	1,567	...	...	...	20,000	...	1,33,105	1,54,672	44,176	5,093	44,168	49,256	1,09,166	90,172	27,576	1,58,131	4,78,477	6,33,140	7.8	21	
LAHORE	22	Kailas Nath	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	457	872	877	1,120	1,497	787	240	3,117	14,040	20,053	21,510	7.7	22	
	23	Rani Chhaunian	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,102	5,509	759	3,477	4,236	49	19,076	1,507	6,308	37,683	38,745	8.3	23	
	24	Keeri Singh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	600	4,117	532	2,157	2,899	408	4,208	6,023	7,260	24,695	25,295	9.2	24	
	25	Iftikhar Din	...	9,009	...	...	...	...	...	220	1,608	10,897	675	3,138	3,813	808	8,851	3,965	38,651	61,798	72,693	8.9	25	
AMRITSAR	26	Attari	6,433	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,433	1,399	668	240	908	42	1,200	2,700	182	6,841	12,814	4.0	26	
GURDASPUR	27	Fatebgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	398	458	856	1,094	...	275	231	2,450	2,450	132.0	27	
	28	Rangar Nangal	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,986	3,986	3,059	414	1,610	2,024	1,078	7,257	1,200	215	14,838	18,769	10.3	28	
SERIKHUPURA	29	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala.	6,540	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,540	181	449	2,070	2,519	46	740	2,388	439	6,263	12,803	20.5	29	
SHAHPUR	30	Tarkhanwala	...	...	...	...	10,000	...	...	...	850	10,850	7,478	616	2,450	3,066	208	3,815	2,724	1,344	18,635	28,945	10.5	30
	31	Sahiwal	19,603	635	...	...	...	...	...	160	100	20,498	4,273	528	2,949	3,487	699	4,007	4,090	8,105	40,000	40,000	10.8	31
	32	Mittha Tiwana	4,460	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,600	...	7,060	5,503	322	3,671	3,993	289	1,706	5,056	2,878	19,425	26,445	22.9	32
JHELUM	33	Ahmadabad	4,600	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	400	5,050	5,787	354	1,363	2,157	74	1,849	2,422	1,066	13,305	18,355	6.7	33
	34	Mangwal	2,885	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	969	220	192	412	10	198	648	412	2,649	5,054	20.5	34	
	35	Ghugh	947	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,000	...	2,947	1,633	880	1,375	69	1,782	576	367	5,802	6,749	15.2	35	
ATLOCK	36	Kot Fateh Khan	...	1,436	...	...	...	5,500	47,771	18,431	73,063	22,327	3,232	49,208	52,435	4,218	38,724	10,678	60,260	1,80,137	2,02,205	21.6	36	
	37	Dharek	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,166	379	2,662	2,941	...	550	3,902	1,429	10,988	10,988	30.9	37	
SIANWALI	38	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,200	1,431	3,631	8,201	1,093	7,739	8,832	2,376	3,350	3,198	15,129	41,586	46,217	21.4	38	
MONTGOMERY	39	Faridpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,400	...	2,400	2,139	415	2,595	3,010	342	919	3,960	2,896	13,266	15,166	20.3	39	
	40	Basirpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	500	...	500	440	274	2,325	2,439	1,972	886	2,200	259	7,646	8,446	33.4	40	
	41	Kila Tara Singh (Nau Nihal Singh).	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,370	1,370	2,027	307	1,769	2,076	1,722	32	1,375	4,215	11,447	12,817	15.2	41	
	42	Kila Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,264	1,371	6,635	2,026	283	1,525	1,788	1,721	...	...	1,393	6,948	18,478	14.7	42
TANG	43	Shah Jiwana	...	2,585	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,000	7,585	12,002	1,353	7,517	8,870	299	18,595	3,000	3,724	40,490	54,025	25.4	43
	44	Khan Walided Khan Syal	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	194	95	320	415	62	2,400	...	70	3,141	3,141	8.9	44	
MULTAN	45	Sher Shah	12,000	...	...	...	...	...	3,848	1,828	17,476	6,429	528	7,766	8,294	1,304	4,453	3,190	4,987	28,357	46,183	34.9	45	
	46	Salawahan	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,275	1,275	3,327	469	5,443	5,912	133	8,885	3,918	1,663	23,648	24,918	25.7	46
DERA GHAZI KHAN...	47	Jalalpur Pirwala	10,750	3,550	...	...	...	...	...	...	465	14,765	5,172	711	7,650	8,361	1,821	6,408	4,709	8,502	34,973	49,738	29.9	47
	48	Mian Sahib Serai alias Mian Shah Nawaz Khan of Hajpur.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	349	...	1,015	1,015	...	1,179	...	3,743	3,743	4.7	48	
Total			1,07,084	19,392	2,200	...	10,000	53,717	66,753	2,67,556	5,31,702	2,35,196	83,850	2,27,538	2,60,383	1,52,419	3,38,614	1,78,473	5,43,059	17,08,149	22,34,851	...	...	

C. W. R. VI.

ESTATES RELEASED DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1922.

District.	Name of Estate.	Name of ward.	Date of assumption of charge.	Date of release.	Debts on assumption of charge.	Debts on date of release.	AREA OF LAND HELD IN PROPRIETARY RIGHT.						Expenditure on permanent improvements during period of charge.	ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON ASSUMPTION OF CHARGE.				ASSETS OTHER THAN VALUE OF JAGIR ON RELEASE.						
							On assumption of charge.			On release.				Value of land.	Value of house property.	Other assets.	Total assets.	Value of land.	Value of house property.	Value of securities.	Other assets.	Total assets.		
							Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	Land revenue assessment.												
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
					Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Gurdaspur ...	Fatehgarh ...	Diwan Dilbagh Rai.	17th March 1902.	27th January 1922.	19,060	NW.	625	510	1,592	662	548	1,783	15,278	Rs.	1,25,000	2,01,400	6,273	3,29,673	Rs.	1,42,040	2,01,400	---	15,544	3,59,684



STATEMENT SHOWING COMPARISON OF THE PROPORTION BORNE BY LAND REVENUE AND CESSES PAID TO RENTS RECEIVED AND PROCEEDS OF GRAIN SALES IN DIFFERENT ESTATES FOR THE YEAR 1921-22.

District.	Name of estate.	Rents and sale of grain (col. 14 and 15 of Statement No. III).	Land revenue and cesses (col. 13 of Statement No. IV)	Percentage of land revenue to rents, etc.	Deputy Commissioner's explanation in brief.
1	2	3	4	5	6
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
KARNAL	Nawabzada Muhammad Zulfikar Ali Khan.	28,798	7,450	26.0	This estate owns no landed property. The amount in col. 4 consists of Government rents and haq-ul-tahsil.
	Nawabzada Muhammad Niamat Ali Khan.	15	2,699	...	
	Sichowal	83,438	5,556	16.6	
	Arnauli	8,329	6,841	82.1*	
AMBALA	Jharauli	...	...	...	*Strictly speaking the amounts in columns 3 and 4 should be Rs. 8,278 and 604, respectively. These give a percentage of 8.4 only.
	Kotaha	29,683	9,150	30.8	
	Raipur	16,286	5,549	34.1	
	Manakmajra	5,625	1,258	22.4	
	Kandhola	2,320	1,621	70.2 <sup>a</sup>	
KANGRA	Buria	26,327	11,987	45.5 <sup>a</sup>	<sup>a</sup> Excluding service commutation and haq-ul-tahsil from column 3 the percentages comes to 15.1 only.
	Lambagron	116	19	10.4	
	Rupi	8,430	1,081	12.8	
HOSHIAHPUR	Guler	8,434	22	.3	Excluding service commutation and haq-ul-tahsil from column 3 the percentages comes to 15.1 only.
	Amb	5,866	2,580	43.9	
JULLUNDUR	Bhabhaur	6,533	834	12.8	Excluding service commutation and Haq-ul-tahsil from column 3 the percentages obtained are 17.2 and 24.8 only.
	Kartarpur	24,689	7,992	32.3	
LUDHIANA	Shahkot (Sardar Arjan Singh).	1,460	845	23.8	Excluding services commutation and Haq-ul-tahsil from column 3 the percentages obtained are 17.2 and 24.8 only.
	Shahkot (Sardar Rajindra Singh).	5,878	1,183	20.1	
FEROZPORE	Ber Khurd	2,328	3,113	133.7 <sup>b</sup>	Excluding services commutation and Haq-ul-tahsil from column 3 the percentages obtained are 17.2 and 24.8 only.
LAHORE	Gurn Har Sahai	88,468	7,531	8.5	
	Mamdot	2,55,156	44,176	17.3	
	Kailas Nath	10,056	872	8.6	
	Rani Chhaunian	48,974	6,509	12.6	
AMRITSAR	Kesri Singh	20,870	4,117	19.7	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
	Iftikhar Din	57,823	5,715	15.1	
GURDASPUR	Attari	9,485	1,899	14.8	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
SHIKHUPURA	Fatehgarh	509	...	...	
	Rangar Nangul	15,221	3,059	20.1	
	Chak Haidarabad and Sayadwala.	11,524	181	1.1	
SHAHPUR	Tarkhanwala	27,750	7,478	26.9	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
	Sabiwal	26,264	4,273	16.2	
	Mitba Tiwana	3,460	5,503	159.0	
JHELUM	Ahmadabad	30,111	5,737	19.0	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
	Mangwal	3,010	969	48.2	
	Ghugh	8,053	1,683	20.3	
ATTOCK	Kot Fateh Khan	1,90,282	22,227	12.0	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
	Dharek	8,502	2,186	25.2	
MIANWALI	Khan Muhammad Abdur Rahman Khan and Khan Muhammad Nawaz Khan.	37,407	8,201	21.9	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
MONTGOMERY	Faridpur	10,187	2,139	20.9	
	Basirpur	5,934	440	7.4	
	Kila Tara Singh (Nau-Nihal Singh).	21,750	4,053	18.6	
	Kila Tara Singh (Gurdit Singh).	...	...	...	
JHANG	Shah Jivana	33,839	12,002	35.4	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
	Khan Walidad Khan, Syal.	3,719	184	5.2	
MULTAN	Sher Shah	20,775	6,429	30.9	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.
	Salarwahan	20,846	3,327	16.4	
	Jalalpur Pirwala	20,899	5,172	24.7	
DEBRA GHAZI KHAN.	Mian Sahib Serai, alias Mian Shah Nawaz Khan, of Hajipur	4,661	349	7.5	Unsold grain and recoverable arrears amount to Rs. 20,145, which if included in column 3 give a percentage of 23.3 only.