

INTERNATIONAL SOCIALIST LIBRARY. 2

THE POLITICS OF CAPITALISM

By

J. T. WALTON NEWBOLD, M.A.

ONE PENNY

(SECOND IMPRESSION)

London:
BRITISH SOCIALIST PARTY,
218 Maiden Lane, Strand W.C.2.

November, 1917

War came—a war for liberty, for the rights of small nationalities, for the freedom of the seas, for the destruction of militarism, for all the catch phrases and illuminated signs with which these high-souled hucksters have pushed their wares. It is a war for liberty—the liberty to exploit, unblinded by the other fellow's dastardly competition. It is a war . . . to emancipate small nations and subject peoples . . . by the aid of the moneylenders of justice and civilisation. It is a war . . . to fill the highways of the nations with the tumult and the whistling and the tooting of the freight train, the motor lorry, and the steamship; to festoon the wilderness with telegraph, telephone and electric-power cables, to erect mine-heads and oil-shafts, mills and furnaces, hotels and grain elevators to the Lord God of Profit, whose temple they have vowed to build of beaten gold that he may make his everlasting abiding place among his chosen people. Such is the vision that has been revealed to the crusaders of commodities. The mark, the dollar, or the sovereign—in that sign will they conquer.

This is not the place to consider whether these complaints are just: they are certainly eloquent, and would have equally delighted Rousseau and John Ruskin. They are one expression of the never-ending revolt of individual human nature against its material conditions, of the cry for a Golden Age of idleness and plenty.

—*The Times* ("The Ferment of Revolution")
Sept. 25th, 1917.

The Politics of Capitalism.

By J. T. WALTON NEWBOLD, M.A.

THE 19th century witnessed not only the completion of what is known as the Industrial Revolution, *i.e.*, the conquest of production by the machine and the resulting subordination of the human agent to the accumulated creations of social labour, but, also, the political triumph of the owner of the machine. That victory, won after a prolonged struggle against the owners of land and the vested interests of the Old Colonial System, the Services, and the East India Company, brought about a striking change in the attitude of mind of the capitalist class towards all those institutions and ideas which it had formerly assailed with such vehemence and indignation.

IN THE BEGINNING—LIBERALISM.

Liberalism, which had been the political creed of the manufacturers during their rise to economic supremacy within the social system, began to evaporate as soon as that supremacy obtained for them the political and social status which they had abhorred as the privilege of the landed interests and their official representatives. The enthusiasm which they had displayed for breaking down all barriers of caste and all bulwarks of conservatism; their ardent championship of oppressed minorities and of backward races; their passion for freedom of conscience and of enquiry; their antagonism to the hereditary principle in government, to nomination and to patronage, whether in Church or State, all these began to wane as soon as their forms of wealth had secured social recognition and they had won political power for themselves.

It had early enough become apparent that the employing class, however generous its sentiments and however eager its efforts to liberate the West Indian negroes, was not prepared to grant freedom of combination in trade unions, to further the passing of the Factory Acts, or to extend the Parliamentary franchise to the workers, and to encourage

Direct Labour representation on public bodies. Exceptions, of course, there were, but the capitalist champions of trade unionism were extremely rare before the passing of the Second Reform Bill in 1868. Opposition to State action and public enterprise did not long run counter to capitalist requirements in such services as Education, Post Office, Telegraphs, Penal Code, Public Health, etc. What opposition there was, was directed more against wasteful expenditure than against the principle involved. Agitations against the Corn Laws, against Tithes, against Land Laws and Royalties were not promoted by the belief that "God gave the land to the People," but rather that there was no reason why the capitalists should give a slice off their profits to anybody else. Tariffs on food-stuffs and raw material; Navigation Laws restricting the free import of goods at the lowest freightage; Protection, increasing rents and the price of land, all increased the cost of production, and subtracted from the share of surplus value which should have gone to the capitalists. Taxation for the maintenance of the Army, Navy, and the Empire, bit into their net profits without giving them in return anything to their advantage. They could sell cottons, hardware, and other manufactures just as well in foreign countries as in British possessions. The more sources of supply they could choose from, the cheaper could they produce and the more money could they make. It was not really sordid. It was, merely common sense. Common sense from their point of view, of course, though not from the point of view of the cotton growers, cotton brokers, landowners, farmers, civil servants, and the naval and military classes. To these, the triumph of the "Radicals" meant "the end of all things," that is—the end of all things fashioned by their forefathers to promote the interests and to express the ideology of their class.

HIS HOLINESS, FREE TRADE.

The manufacturers, whether engaged in making articles of consumption or means of production, perpetuated the political ideals with which the landed and mercantile interests had, themselves, set out, and which they had moderated on their accession to power during the 18th century. They brought the ideals once more into national prominence, in

a more pronounced and, apparently, more sincere form, a form of ideas which had become *principles* during the generations when the farmers and small shopkeepers (the ancestors of this class) found therein the natural expression of their interests and inclinations. They had come to politics with the ideals of their past, with their prejudices and their aspirations, resolved to mould the national life on their own pattern, and to re-shape the economic policy of the State so as to promote the interests of their class, which naturally seemed to them synonymous with the interests of Humanity. They had desired freedom to utilise the new contrivances, by means of which it had become practicable to increase the very limited powers of manufacture; freedom of access to the land as the store-house of minerals and the treasury of food, and freedom to exchange the products of industry. They desired equality of opportunity without let or interference from without. They wished to increase their wealth from the superfluity of others, and give of their surplus to supply the others' need. Such being their desire, their motto became, in varying notes of expression—"Liberté, Egalité, Fraternité." Peace appeared to them to be the great ideal. War was just a great disturbance of the world, holding up all their business, ignoring all their concerns, waged between those who would, in any case and whatever the issue, be sure to oppress them or burden them with taxes.

So they thought, this new capitalist class, at the outset, and in the first stages of the Industrial Revolution. For quite sixty or seventy years, continuous improvements in technique—in the manufacture of textiles, in the application of power, in the raising of coal, in the fabrication of iron, in the construction of machinery, and in the improvement of the hitherto execrable means of communication, enabled the manufacturers to balance supply and demand, production and consumption. Capital never lacked, for any length of time, some outlet, some means of expression. It was a long time before "output" failed to find a market. British capitalists had the world market almost entirely to themselves. The gravest problems, in the first half of the 19th century, were how to obtain cheap and abundant raw material for the machines and cheap and abundant food for the "hands." Hence, the Anti-Corn Law League.

All through this first period the manufacture of textiles continued to be the most influential industry. Out of its needs for better means of obtaining raw material, fuel, machinery, and marketing the product, came the canals and the railways. These latter, for the first time, brought the landowners into alliance with the industrial capitalists and united accumulated rents with accumulated profits in new forms of capital, such as railways, coal mines, and iron works. Hitherto, the older social class had had no direct share in industry. Even in woollen and linen manufactures its interest had been remote from the process of manufacture. The ideas of the landowners had no influence within Textile Capitalism. When cotton had taken the place of wool as the chief textile that form of capitalism had become completely divorced from the reactionary and conservative forces in the nation.

The railways, as such, attracted the landowners and, by their demand for iron and coal, as well as by the indirect stimulus they gave to this demand by increasing enormously the volume of trade, led owners of rich and extensive mineral properties to develop these for themselves or else to join with capitalists in forming joint stock enterprises to exploit them. The Duke of Devonshire in Furness, the Marquess of Bute in Glamorgan, the Marquess of Londonderry in Durham, the Earl of Dudley in Staffordshire, the Earl of Fitzwilliam in Yorkshire, and the Earl of Ellesmere in Lancashire, were conspicuous among their peers in the acumen which they showed in developing the mineral wealth of their lands, but they were not unique in this respect. The landowners were not all content to draw royalties and charge way leaves. Some of them chose to become industrial as well as agrarian capitalists.

At the same time that the landowners were becoming industrialists, the more prosperous industrialists were beginning to invest their enormous profits in mining royalties, iron works, coal and salt mines, and engineering, railway, and harbour schemes. The Houldsworths, of Bolton, became coal and iron magnates in Lanarkshire; the Peases, of Darlington, became coal masters in Durham and iron-stone owners in Cleveland, railway promoters at Stockton, and engineering capitalists at Newcastle; the Brights,

of Rochdale, became great shareholders of the L. & N. W. Railway. Five great Manchester merchants capitalised Bolckow, Vaughan and Co. Other Manchester magnates assisted the coal and iron masters of Sheffield, Ebbw Vale, Furness, and South Yorkshire.

THE WORKSHOP OF THE WORLD.

The new wealth of the manufacturers and the prosperous merchants and landowners found a ready use in financing the great railway companies and in capitalising the undertakings which sprung up to provide the rails, rolling stock, and general equipment which these new developments required. The increasing need for the best quality of machinery for durable rails, plates and other forms of ship and merchant iron, and for augmenting the output of the raw material provoked an immense activity in mechanical invention, and technical improvement. Capital, which had, hitherto, flowed into textiles and the manufacture of other articles of consumption, now poured into the iron, steel, engineering, and chemical industries.

After the British railway systems had been virtually completed, and other forms of demand no longer sufficed fully to employ these enterprises, foreign countries offered an outlet for our engineering equipment as they had already done for our cotton goods. Moreover, the eminent desirability of carrying manufactures in greater volume, into new and undeveloped markets, led British capitalists to promote steamship, harbour and railway services in parts of the world which knew not the cultural delights of the Oldham mill hand and the Dowlais puddler. For thirty or forty years the Coatbridge ironmasters, the Govan shipbuilders, the Birmingham railway contractors, the South Wales metal and chemical manufacturers, the Sheffield bridge-builders, and the Manchester toolmakers, experienced little more foreign competition than the Lancashire cotton shippers, the Paisley thread-makers, and the Tyneside coal exporters. There were, as yet, no Germans and few Yankees, to challenge their commercial supremacy. The French, occasionally, slipped in and secured a valuable contract or obtained a large order for locomotives, ships, engines, or public works. But, speaking generally, the markets of the world, of Europe, and certainly of this country, remained a British domain. Throughout the first century after the Industrial Revolution, therefore, the

32

British manufacturer had no occasion to summon the State to his assistance against the foreigner. His political and economic antagonisms were strictly domestic. His quarrels were with the landowners, who used their proprietor's monopoly and their political privileges to deprive him of profits and to make them into rent, or with the wage-workers, who desired a larger share of the product of their labour.

In the last quarter of the 19th century, however, a peaceful Europe and a vigorous America began to supply their own peoples, to manufacture not only articles of consumption, but also means of production, to erect tariffs against foreign imports, and to give bounties to their own manufacturers, to provide millions for education, and to nationalise their means of transit. Gigantic State munition programmes and such revolutionary-technical improvements as the discovery of liquid fuel, of aniline dyes, of new explosives and chemicals, of electrical engineering, of the Siemens and Gilchrist Thomas methods of treating steel, enabled German and American industrialists rapidly to overcome their initial handicap of scanty experience and inadequate capital. The force of these economic changes gradually exerted itself and effected a slow but subtle and continuous modification of the Liberal, Pacifist, and Humanitarian views of the British capitalist class.

MISSIONARIES OF EMPIRE.

In Egypt, where the new tendencies first displayed themselves, the financiers of Britain and France were readily able to excite the traditional hostility of the Imperial, Military and Naval interests of their respective countries and to command official support. India and the prestige of a Mediterranean Power were the questions which immediately loomed up on the international horizon. Britain occupied Egypt to protect the property of her subjects, and to reorganise the finances of that badly-governed land. She had to undertake the pacification of the Soudan. She had to arrange for its future government and development. Every fresh missionary effort of capitalist civilisation sunk more and more British money in the Nile valley in the form of railways, barages, irrigation works, roads, factories, steamships, mines, cotton-fields, etc. All these demanded security, police, the assurance of regular dividends. Against its will

and contrary to its noble aspirations, the British Administration was bound to Egypt by links of gold and chains of credit. Shareholders in finance corporations, railways, shipping companies, capitalists of every kind, came to have a vital interest in the indefinite postponement of our statesmen's promise to evacuate Egypt.

The Egyptian affair was only the beginning of a new era of colonial expansion and imperial aggrandisement wherein the industrialised nations of the Continent participated. The impossibility of selling the colossal output, of which the continuously improved machinery of modern production was capable, upon the home markets of Britain, France, Germany, and other capitalist countries, drove the owners of surplus value to develop new fields of enterprise and fresh spheres of investment. The "awakening of China," also, found many early callers eager to supply the Celestial Empire with every requirement of civilisation from dram-shops to locomotives and machine-guns. Loans to the Government for administration, army, navy, and indemnities, capital for the construction of harbours, railways, and the development of mines and forests were offered to the Chinese in return for concessions, security of interest and official favour. The capitalists, behind all these earnestness of Western goodwill, looked to their respective consuls, ambassadors, foreign offices, armies and navies, for support and assistance in the competitive struggle with the capitalists of other countries. The great forerunners of the capitalist redeemer were the land surveyor, the railway engineer, and the mining prospector. These, together with their staffs and property, had to be protected against native prejudice and disorder. It was astonishing what a mighty difference the security of foreign investments made in the outlook of the erstwhile Liberals of Western Europe. A falling rate of interest and foreign competition completely altered the views of the manufacturers of this country in regard to Colonies, the Rights of Backward Peoples, and even Free Trade.

STEEL AND STRIFE.

But the difficulty of disposing of the enormous increase in the output of steel, which took place between 1869 and 1903, was the most powerful factor in inducing the liberal, Free Trade and Pacifist capitalists to abandon their century-old

333

traditions and humanitarian proclivities. Between 1878 and 1884 Germany became able to supply her own needs in pig-iron, and between 1882 and 1892 her production increased 45 per cent., while that of Britain declined 22 per cent. In the same period German iron and steel exports rose 11 per cent. and British exports declined 37 per cent. Between 1880 and 1902 German pig-iron output rose from 2,729,000 tons to 8,403,000 tons and German steel output from 625,000 tons to 6,394,000 tons. In 1880, the U.S. produced 3,895,000 tons of pig-iron and, in 1903, nearly 20,000,000 tons, whilst the steel production of the latter year exceeded that of the rest of the world. In 1902, British pig-iron output was only 114,000 tons ahead of the German, whilst the steel production was 1,385,000 tons behind. In five years during the "nineties," the production of German basic steel increased 50 per cent., and in 1895, the Bessemer steel output of the Carnegie Works equalled that of the whole of Britain. In January, 1902, the "Iron and Coal Trades Review" spoke of "the German Iron Deluge of 1901," and in the early half of that year German pig-iron exports exceeded imports by 330,000 tons. In the same year, the United States Steel Corporation was formed, and, in 1903, the Stahlwerks Vertand, or German Steel "Ring." In the autumn of 1903, Joseph Chamberlain, of Birmingham, and the iron and steel interests of Sheffield and Glasgow launched "Tariff Reform." That was the climax of the growing British metallurgical revolt.

The intervening period had been marked by the Navy scares of 1884, 1889, 1894, and 1898; by the Naval Defence Act of 1889, which was "so framed," said Lord George Hamilton; "as to bring into world-wide prominence the incomparable power of this country and its enormous resources"; by the Egyptian, Spanish-American, and South African Wars; by an enormous extension of European Colonial empires; by the construction of the Trans-Siberian Railway, and the schemes for the Cape to Cairo and Baghdad Railways, and by the initiation of the German Navy Laws as well as the rise of the Italian, Japanese, South American, and United States Navies.

THE SOLIDARITY OF CAPITAL.

The more intense became the struggle between the capitalist undertakings of the different countries to find new

markets for their enormous potential output, and thereby maintain the level of those profits which would satisfy their owners and shareholders, the more rigorous were the economies which they found it necessary to practise. Between 1885 and 1905, huge associations, syndicates, and amalgamations began to be formed in the textile, chemical, iron and steel, engineering, shipping, and other industries, until the small scale enterprise had to give place in every direction to the large business, combining great volumes of capital, many establishments, numerous branches and agencies under single management and control. These new and more powerful business organisations tended to bring together not only many firms, but also every process and every stage of production under a single head. They required much liquid capital, and offered excellent investments for all who had large sums of money to lend. Millions flowed, now here, now there, until the moneyed classes—industrial, commercial, financial, agrarian, professional, official—merged their surplus values into the vast flood of capital whose owners scarcely ever participate in its activities.

The big productive undertakings, either individually or in conjunction with their fellow giants, acquired sources and reserves of raw material in every available corner of the world. The competitive struggle compelled them to pursue the God of Cheapness, of cheap raw material and cheap labour, into every continent and over every sea. Particularly did the great iron and steel works find it necessary to safeguard their future existence and their present success by obtaining more extensive supplies of high-grade iron ore and other minerals. The American corporations interested themselves in Cuba, Mexico, and Chile, even sometimes purchasing iron from Spain, Morocco, and Sweden; the Germans and Austrians looked to Northern Morocco, the Balkans, South Russia, Sweden, and Asia Minor; the French prospected in Algeria, in Morocco, and in Syria; the Italians explored Dalmatia, Tripoli, and Cilicia, and the Japanese contracted with the Chinese for supplies from Hankow and Manchuria. The petroleum syndicates of the U.S.A. competed with the Japanese in China, and with Pearsons in Mexico; the British and German interests vied with each other in Mesopotamia, Russia, and the Far East. The cotton magnates of Europe were endeavouring to extend

... of cotton cultivation in their Government's re-
 spective dependencies, and spheres of influence in Nigeria,
 Turkey, Northern Africa, and Turkestan. Lever's, on the
 Congo; the meat corporation in Argentina; the elevator and
 cold storage companies in Canada, South America, and
 Russia; the gold, copper, and mineral syndicates in South
 Africa, Mexico, Chile, China, and Asia Minor; all these and
 every other foreign investment and property have helped to
 counter the individualism, the parochialism, and the "Little
 Englander" traditions of the British industrial and commer-
 cial capitalists. A similar widening of outlook and passion
 for Empire have possessed the capitalists of other countries
 as, one after the other, they have come to the point where
 they can no longer absorb new volumes of surplus value to
 transform it into sufficiently profitable investments.

THE RADICAL ROUT.

Stage by stage, throughout the nineteenth century, the
 industrial capitalists conquered the legislative and admini-
 strative functions of the State, and subdued them to their
 will. By successive measures, now using one expedient of
 Government, and now another, they made national provision
 for elementary, secondary, technical, and university educa-
 tion. It was the capitalist city, borough, and town councils
 that achieved the triumphs of municipal collectivism. When
 they had won political power, they lost any hesitation they
 formerly had in using public authority to reduce the blind
 anarchy of commercialism to some semblance of order.

No sooner had the new capitalist class swept away the
 more obnoxious barriers of caste and privilege, and found
 that the profits of manufacture and trade could buy the good
 things of this world as easily as the rents of land, the interest
 on national securities and the salaries of high officialdom,
 than it began to moderate its Radicalism. The growth of
 Trades Unionism, the extension of the franchise to the work-
 ing class, murmurs of Socialism, and the beginnings of
 Labour Representation in Parliament helped to weaken the
 Liberalism of a great number of the capitalists. Disraeli's
 New Toryism, sympathetic to High Finance and imperialist
 in its foreign, colonial, and naval policies, threw the first
 span across the political gulf between Liberalism and Con-
 servatism. Gladstone's Egyptian and Naval Armaments
 policies in the early "eighties" marked the first stage in the

deterioration of the Liberal Party. His Irish programme resulted in the desertion of half his party, who found in this an excuse to break away from the Radicals. These secessionists built the second arch over which the capitalists could retreat in safety.

The capitalists of Sheffield, Birmingham, Glasgow, and Newcastle adjusted their politics to the changing conditions of the steel market. Liberal Unionism formed a rallying point for these erstwhile Radicals. It became an imperialist wing of the politically organised capitalists. It acted like a magnet to the wealthy Liberals who remained with their Party, but feared the evil associations of the Newcastle Programmes. In 1894, Gladstone failed to carry his Party against the demands of the naval extremists and the iron and steel magnates, and resigned office. Rosebery and his supporters captured Official Liberalism for Finance, Imperialism and Armaments. After the death of Campbell-Bannerman, Liberalism ceased to be recognisable, except in the minor offices of the Government, and among the private members of the Party. It became more and more obviously a political mask for scheming financial sharks who sought concessions, contracts, monopolies, and titles from the demagogues and wire-pullers whom they subsidised. Its leaders put forward sham Land Reforms and "revolutionary" Budgets, which kept up the appearance of a conflict between two classes—landowners and capitalists—whose economic "entente" was becoming more firmly cemented year by year. Meanwhile, at home as well as abroad, the Executive functioned to advance the interests of the capitalist class whose servant it had become. The Foreign Office worked in secret on behalf of the oil syndicates operating in Mexico and in Morocco; of the armament firm which was, so unsavourily, mixed up with Siemens-Schuckert in the Japan naval scandals of 1913; of the mining companies in Northern Africa and Asia Minor, and of the banks which negotiated the loan to China. Naturally, diplomacy of this kind was conducted in secret. Business "deals" are not brought off in full sight of competitors. Diplomacy, under capitalist class rule, cannot transcend the morality of the class and the system whose dirty work it is designed to perform. The more acute the competitive struggle, the more unscrupulous and secretive must capitalist class methods become, whether private or public.

CAPITALISM TRIUMPHANT.

So, also, with the methods of other Government Departments under capitalist control. They must and do discharge the functions for which they are maintained. The Colonial Office furthers capitalist projects in the Colonies. The Home Office defends them at home. When the Railwaymen struck against their masters, their masters, through the Home Office, set the Army in motion. When the Leith Dockers struck, their masters, through the Scottish Office, secured the services of the Navy. When the Dublin Tramwaymen struck, their masters, through Dublin Castle, broke their heads with Constabulary batons. When the trade unionists financed a newspaper, their masters soon found a way of forbidding them to do so. It was all so easy, because the capitalist class had "rushed" the Administration, the Judiciary, and the Legislature. It was so much easier, in fact, because the capitalists and the landowners were inextricably intermingled. They finished their class struggle, to all intents and purposes, in the middle of the nineteenth century, and have been coming together ever since.

A new nobility has been steadily created from the ranks of the industrial and financial capitalists. In the "sixties," the Guests of Dowlais became Wimbornes, and, later, the Wilsons (shipowners) became Nunhurnholmes, the Listers became Ribblesdales, the Joiceys (coalowners) and Rothschilds (bankers) became barons, the Lawsons (newspaper proprietors) became Burnhams, the Tennants (chemical manufacturers) became Glenconners, and more than a hundred more have left the ranks of the common people to "dilute" the House of Lords. Tories and Liberals have fused in Society, in marriage, on boards of directors, in the bureaucracy, until there are even Peases and Peckovers in the Peerage. To-day, Lord Wimborne is Viceroy of Ireland, the First Sea Lord of the Admiralty is married to the daughter of a Glasgow shipowner, and the venerable house of Rutland is allied with the ancient soap-boilers of St. Rollox. Capitalism is supreme in the councils of state. Never was there a Government so patently capitalist in composition, in ideas, and in methods as the Imperial War Cabinet and its subsidiary bureaucracy. Every day, the origins of the War, its conduct, and its avowed aims stamp it more clearly as capitalist.

CAPITALISM UNIVERSAL.

In Germany and Austria the forms of Government, whilst they remain largely pre-capitalist, have been subdued to the service of a vigorous capitalism, which permeates the economic and political systems of the Central Powers and dominates their whole national life. The Western Allies, now including the United States, are pre-eminently capitalist in economic and social life and in their political systems. America and France each embody the idea of Capitalism in its natural political equivalent, a Republic.

Capitalism is now triumphant, both in industry and politics, not only here but also throughout Europe. The Russian Revolution completes its chain of political triumphs. It has been the continuously percolating streams of Western capital which have created the proletariat of the towns and, particularly, of Petrograd who, together with the New Army, have overthrown the Old Régime. Russia has not advanced to that stage of economic evolution which permits of the establishment of Socialism, but the bourgeoisie and the proletariat have cleared the way for future development by decreeing political freedom.

This world-wide supremacy of Capitalism, in the economic sphere, denotes the existence of an enormously productive industrialism, which, from every capitalist country, is throwing vast quantities of commodities upon the markets, and must continue to do so, in ever increasing volume, if the rate of interest is to be maintained. At the beginning of the new century, the Government began to reflect the alarm of their dominant class lest the channels of investment should become choked and the outlet for commodities closed. This feeling not only made the capitalists turn towards such expedients as Tariff Reform and preach to the workers the necessity of discipline and a sweet spirit of reasonableness, but caused the Governments to draw together into groups, to apportion spheres of influence, to prepare more gigantic armaments for the open warfare which they dimly perceived would come when the alarm of one or other set of capitalists made it set a swifter pace in the competitive scramble. The Governments conspired and their masters intrigued, robbed, and corrupted all whom they could exploit, friend or foe.

THE CRUSADERS OF COMMODITIES.

War came—a War for Liberty, for the Rights of Small

Nationalities, for Fatherland, for the Freedom of the Seas, for the Destruction of Militarism, for all the catch phrases and illuminated sky-signs with which these high-souled hucksters have pushed their wares. It is a War for Liberty—the liberty to exploit, unhindered by the other fellow's dastardly competition. It is a War to free small nations and subject peoples from alien domination, from economic servitude to the financial interests of the other vampire, and to emancipate them by the aid of the moneylenders of Justice and Civilisation. It is a War to make the East green with the glory of Lebanon, to plant anew the Garden of Eden; to unlock the treasuries of Ophir; to fill the highways of the nations with the tumult and the whistling and the tooting of the freight train, the motor lorry, and the steamship; to festoon the wilderness with telegraph, telephone, and electric-power cables; to erect mine-heads and oil shafts, mills and furnaces, hotels, and grain elevators to the Lord God of Profit, whose temple they have vowed to build of beaten gold that he may make his everlasting abiding place amongst his chosen people.

Such is the vision which has been revealed to the Crusaders of Commodities. The Mark, the Dollar, or the Sovereign—in that sign will they conquer. They will reinforce their victories—partial or complete—by waging an Economic War. Of course, they will! They have been doing it all along. The only difference that the proposals of the Paris Conference will make, if adopted, will be to bring the services of the capitalist states to the assistance of their respective private capitalists. What do the capitalists maintain the State for, if not to make use of it when they require it?

The Paris Proposals are a natural sequence of class rule and of the development of Capitalism. The private and anarchic War of Commodities becomes an official Economic War as soon as the capitalist class has exhausted all the other expedients to which it can resort, without running the risk of going into bankruptcy or committing suicide. Of course, these proposals, like the War, like the "spies, suborned agents, ambassadors whose business it is to cheat and finesse" of the diplomatic system, like armaments and all the other branches of their "sales department," will do no more than postpone the day of settlement. Already, whether consciously or not we

cannot say, the capitalists are preparing to avoid bankruptcy by going into voluntary liquidation to the State and are appointing the Official Receiver in advance. These undischarged bankrupts (they will be in no hurry to get their discharge papers) will gladly see their official selves running the business of the Empire, of the Allies, or the World.

THE CLIMAX OF THE CLASS STRUGGLE.

The war-time experiments at the Ministry of Munitions and in the private management of National Factories, with Shipping Controllers, Labour Ministries, and all the new offices of the bureaucracy, will be improved on until we have "Socialism" without the Socialists, the "Capitalists" without Capitalism, and, probably, the Golden "International" instead of the Red International. "Militarism," and all the accompanying measures, we can take it as assured, will remain with us so long as Capitalism endures. To gird at these things and to fight them as if they were evils in themselves is no work for Socialists. The political revolution accomplished by the Compulsion Laws, the Munitions Acts, the War Governments, and the economic transformations caused by "the Engineers' War," and revealed on every hand in the last eighteen months, call for a renewal of uncompromising and revolutionary Socialist propaganda, aiming at nothing less than the conquest of industrial and political power by the working class.

There is no doubt that Capitalism is preparing the domain of its activities for the social order which will take over its inheritance of an organised and highly-productive industrial system. But, if the portents of to-day mean anything, this transformation will only be achieved after a long continued and ever more intense class-struggle extending through what are likely to be some of the stormiest years in human history. It is our business, it is our duty, it is our privilege, it is our joy, to prepare the working class for the great historic effort which shall culminate in the Social Revolution. It is coming, and the winds of evening and the red glow of this bloody sunset write anew, across the heavens, the watchword of Marx—justified and wondrous prophet of all this pageant that wends before us,—

"Workers of all lands, Unite! You have nothing to lose but your chains, you have a World to win!"