THE LAW OF PAKKI & KATCHI ADAT

AND

TEJI-MANDI CONTRACTS

An invaluable treatise containing lucid and exhaustive exposition of highly complicated branches of customary commercial law relating to the Pakki and Katchi Adat systems and the nature of the relationship between Pakka and Katcha Adatyas and their mutual rights and liabilities. Also explaining thoroughly in simple and easy style the nature and modus operandi of Teji-Mandi transactions now dealt in not only in Bombay but in all the markets of India. The whole case law accurately dealt with. The only book on the subjects, indispensable alike to the student, merchant and busy practitioner.

By the same Author.

The Law of Shah Jog and other Hundis.

"The compilation presents in a compendious form the law on the subject."

-Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. S. Patkar.

- "A very careful study of a subject in which there is no other work and will, I am sure, prove very useful."

 —Hon'ble Mr. Justice Murphy.
- "The want of such a book was very much felt not only by the legal profession, but also by the merchant class. The principles and the customary law applicable to hundis have been exposed in lucid language making the subject interesting."

 The book will be welcome by the legal profession."

 —All India Reporter.
- "The book is bound to prove invaluable to the law student, the merchant and the practitioner alike."

 —The Bombay Chronicle.
- "In this little book Mr. Mody has brought together all the available decisions and the discussion of the case-law is clear and exhaustive."

 —Allahabad Law Journal.
- "The law regarding hundis has been presented in an intelligent form in the small compass of 60 pages,"

 —Calcutta Weekly Notes.
- "It is a hand book extremely indispensable to lawyers dealing with business cases,"

-The Vaitarani.

THE LAW OF PAKKI & KATCHI ADAT

AND

TEJI-MANDI CONTRACTS

BŢ

RAMNIKLAL R. MODY, B.A., LL.B.,
SOLICITOR, HIGH COURT BOMBAY.

Author of The Law of Shah Jog and other Hundies, Fazandari Tenures and Inami Lands in Bombay Cily, Sanadi Lands, etc.

WITH FOREWORD TO THE FIRST EDITION

BY

THE RIGHT HON'BLE THE LATE

SIR DINSHAW F. MULLA, KT., P.C., C.I.E.

AND

WITH FOREWORD TO THE SECOND EDITION
BY
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. P. ENGINEER.

SECOND EDITION.

N. M. TRIPATHI & Co.

Law Publishers

PRINCESS STREET,

BOMBAY

All rights including those of translation reserved

Printed by Pirojsha Cursetji at The Union Press, Jambool Wadi (East), Kalbadevi Road, Bombay

and

Published by Ramniklal R. Mody, B.A., LL.B., c/o Messrs Mulgaokar Mody & Co., Solicitors, Nagarseth Building 80, Esplanade Road, Fort, Bombay.

TO

MY REVERED AND LATE LAMENTED FATHER

Mr. RATANLAL M. MODY,

SOLICITOR

I HUMBLY DEDICATE

THIS BOOK

IN

FILIAL PIETY

AND

WITH ALL REVERENCE

TO

COMMEMORATE HIS MEMORY.

FOREWORD TO THE SECOND EDITION.

I am asked by Mr. Ramniklal R. Mody, B.A., L.L.B., Solicitor to write a foreword to the Second Edition of his book on the Law of Pakki & Katchi Adat Systems and Teji-Mandi Transactions, and I accede to his request with pleasure. Some of the chapters in the first edition have been recast and amplified, and some new topics have also been introduced among the latter being a chapter on the question of limitation in relation to Pakki Adat and chapters on London Stock Exchange Transactions and Teji-Mandi contracts in relation to the Bombay Cotton Contracts Act. The subject dealt with by Mr. Mody is a small but interesting branch of law. No pains have been spared in finding out and dealing analytically with all the decisions on the subject. The length of the book is in some measure due to the fact that important extracts from judgments are given verbatim in the book; this itself is an advantage to the practitioner rendering in many cases a recourse to the decisions themselves unnecessary. The subjects have been dealt with in relation to all their incidents and from every point of view. At the end of every book there is a clear and concise summary of the principles established by the various decisions. I am sure the book will be found useful by practitioners, and I wish the author success in the publication.

N. P. ENGINEER.

14th July, 1937.

FOREWORD TO THE FIRST EDITION.

It is with pleasure that I have acceded to Mr. R. R. Mody's request to write a foreword. This compilation consists of a series of articles on the law relating to Pucca Adtyas, Kachha Adtyas and Teji-Mandi transactions. The articles appeared in the Bombay Law Journal at different periods, and they were much appreciated by practitioners in Bombay.

To a practitioner in the High Court of Bombay a thorough knowledge of the various usages of trade prevailing in the local market is absolutely essential. Mr. Mody has discussed the above subjects in the light of these usages with great lucidity and he has collected all the cases bearing on them. The points of distinction between a Pucca and a Kachha Adtya are set out on p. 66 (now 164-167). They show at a glance the essential elements of Pucci Adat and Kachhi Adat. The compilation is an accurate and exhaustive statement of the law on the subject, and I have no doubt it will prove very useful to the practitioners.

D. F. MULLA.

Bombay: November 29, 1930.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

The manner in which the first edition of this book has been received indicates that it is found useful not only by the profession, but by the public and that it has served its purpose. After its publication there have been numerous decisions especially on the subjects of Pakki Adat and Teji-Mandi transactions. There has been only one new case on Katchi Adat treated in the second book which does not appear in the law reports. It is a feature of this edition that the practice, prevailing on the London Stock Exchange on the analogous Put. Call and Put and Call transactions corresponding with Mandi, Teji and Teji-Mandi transactions, has been explained and incorporated. This will go a great way to explain the real nature and characteristics of the Teji, Mandi and Teji-Mandi transactions largely prevalent in India. Certain other problems arising in connection with these transactions have been dealt with here, but these have not formed the subject matter of decided cases.

The references in the footnotes given in the book are merely references to the main series of law reports such as the Government series and the Bombay Law Reporter, but the references to the same cases in the other important series have also been given in the table of cases. This will enable the reader to find out with ease any case in any series in which it is reported. To facilitate reference a subject index has also been given. This edition has been brought upto date by incorporating the decisions to the end of June 1937. The subjects have been exhaustively treated, and the whole book practically recast and rewritten and it is hoped that the book will be found useful not only by the profession but also by the public at large. Every care has been taken to avoid mistakes, but if any discrepanices or errors have crept in, it is hoped they will be generously over-looked. The author begs to acknowledge his obligations to Mr. N. A. Mody advocate for going through the manuscript and making invaluable suggestions. The author also begs to acknowledge his deep obligations to various other gentlemen who have made suggestions to him and who have assisted him in the preparation of this work.

R. R. M.

Zaveri House, Hughes Road, Bombay, No. 7. 16th, July 1937.

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

The contents of this little book first appeared in the shape of articles in several issues of the Bombay Law Journal. The same have now been reprinted with the kind permission of the learned editors of the said journal.

The Law of Katchi and Pakki Adat first came up for decision before the late Mr. Justice Chandavarkar, whose judgments in the cases of Fakirchand Lalchand v. Doolub Govindji, (1905) 7 Bombay Law Reporter 213, (a case of Katchi Adat), and in Kanji Deoji v. Bhagwandas Narottamdas (1905) 7 Bombay Law Reporter 57 (a case of Pakki Adat), are the starting points of the law on these two subjects.

The whole law of Katchi and Pakki Adat is the outcome of custom and the case-law has not been discussed in any other book, though it is practically well settled and prevails mainly in the City of Bombay and exclusively in this Presidency. The subjects are of practical and every day importance and it is hoped this little book will be found useful. All cases bearing on the two systems of transactions have been cited and fully discussed.

The law of Teji-Mandi contracts, though extremly important, has also not been discussed in any other book. An attempt has been made to explain the nature, characteristics and incidents of Teji-Mandi transactions, as they do not seem to have been fully explained in the several decisions bearing on the subject. x x It was until very recently believed, because of the complicated nature of the transactions that transactions in Teji-Mandi were wagers pure and simple. As a matter of fact, the former view which prevailed mainly owing to a series of decisions of Beaman I has now been definitely held to be erroneous, and it has now been held by the Appeal Court here, and approved by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council that Teji-Mandi contracts are not only not pure and simple wagers, but further that they must be presumed to be genuine and real like the ordinary forward contracts of sale and purchase of commodities, unless and until the contrary is proved.

x x x

R. R. M.

BOMBAY, December 4th, 1930.

CONTENTS.

BOOK No. I.

THE PAKKI ADAT SYSTEM.

| C | _ | | PAGE |
|--------|---|-------|---------|
| Снарте | | | |
| | Pakki Adat System—Pakka Adatia—Introduct | ory | 1 |
| I. | Who is a Pakka Adatia | ••• | 2 |
| II. | Incidents of Pakki Adat System | • • • | 3 |
| III. | Relationship between Pakka Adatia and | his | |
| | constituent | ••• | 5 |
| IV. | Pakka Adatia and his right to call for margin | ••• | 43 |
| V. | Pakka Adatia—No profits—whether liable | ••• | 47 |
| VI. | Pakka Adatia-Amount claimed-interes | t | |
| | whether entitled | • • • | 48 |
| VII. | | | |
| | Adatia—Decision of disputes in particu | ılar | 40 |
| ***** | Courts—Jurisdiction | • • • | 49 |
| VIII. | Place of payment—Pakki Adat System—Ji diction | uris | - 51 |
| IX. | Pakka Adatia and Limitation | | 64 |
| X. | | | 72 |
| XI. | | kka | • - |
| | Adatia | | 115 |
| XII. | Proper issues and necessary proofs for same | ••• | 116 |
| XIII. | Pakki Adat System-Custom-Burden of pro- | oof | |
| | -Conflict between Pakka Adatia's duty a | ind | |
| | interest | | 117 |
| | Summary | ••• | 120 |
| | | | |
| | BOOK No. II. | | |
| | THE KATCHI ADAT SYSTEM. | | |
| Снарте | R | | |
| | Katchi Adat System-Katcha Adatia-Introd | 11C= | |
| | tory | | 125 |
| I. | Katchi Adat System—Course of dealing | s— | 127 |

| Снарти | ER F | PAGE |
|--------|--|-------|
| II. | Katchi Adat System—Course of dealings— Bombay Silver Market | 136 |
| 111. | Katcha Adatia and Wagering 1 | |
| IV. | | |
| | the third party in Bombay—His position 1 | 154 |
| v. | Summary | 157 |
| VI. | Del Credere Agent—Katcha Adatia and Pakka Adatia compared and contrasted | 150 |
| VII. | | 137 |
| V 11. | Adatias | 164 |
| | | |
| | Book No. III. | |
| | TEJI-MANDI CONTRACTS. | |
| Снарте | ER · | |
| | Teji-Mandi transactions—Introductory 1 | 169 |
| I. | | 172 |
| II. | | |
| | (B) Mandi and (C) Teji-Mandi Transactions | 174 |
| III. | 0 | 100 |
| IV. | | 199 |
| 1 V . | Death, insolvency, lunacy or absence of the buyer or seller of the option on or before the | |
| | due date, buyer's failure to exercise option— | |
| | consequences 1 | 199 |
| v. | Advantages of Teji-Mandi Transactions 2 | 03 |
| VI. | Teji, Mandi and Teji-Mandi transactions and | |
| | Wagering 2 | 205 . |
| VII. | Pakka Adatia—Margin—Teji-Mandi Ttransac- | 170 |
| \$7117 | tions 2 | 228 |
| VIII. | Teji-Mandi Contracts—Bombay Cotton Contracts Act and Rules made thereunder— | |
| | Voidability 2 | 237 |
| | | |

TABLE OF CASES.

A

| | | | | PAGE |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|
| Abraham E. J. Abraham v. Binodiram | Balchand, 91 | uit No. 997 | of 1914 | 0. C.J. |
| | | 12 | 5, 136-13 | 8, 155 |
| Abraham E. J. Abraham v. Sarupchar | id Hukamcha | and, suit | No. 542 o | f 1916 |
| O, C, J | *** | 19, 33, 13 | 26, 138-14 | 4, 155 |
| Abraham E. J. Abraham v. Sarupchan | d Hukamcha | | 42 Bom. | 224= |
| 19 Bom. L. R. 608=41 I. C. 256 | ••• | *** | 120 | |
| | D F00 | 14 | 5, 146, 14 | |
| Armstrong v. Stokes (1872) L. R. 7 Q. | | *** | *** | 12 |
| Aston v. Kelsey (1913) 3 K. B. 314 | ••• | *** | *** | 132 |
| F | • | | | |
| E | • | | | |
| Baldeosahai Surajmal & Co. v. Shar | mdas Gopich | and, suit 1 | | |
| | | | 50a, 50 | b, $50c$ |
| Balthazar & Son v. E. M. Abowath (19 | | l P. C . | *** | 36 |
| Barker v. M. Andrew (1865) 34 L. J. C. | | *** | ••• | 13 |
| Basantilal Gorakhram v. Sheonarayen | Buldeodas, s | uit No. 660 | | |
| m) 137 / 1 m m | ** ****** ** 15 | . T D 41 | | 12-213 |
| Bhagwandas Narotamdas v. Kanji Deo | Ji (1902) A Roi | n. L.R. 61. | | |
| | 3 15 10 10 | 20 21 22 | 24 27 2 | 5, 11, |
| | 2, 15, 18, 19, | | | |
| | 3, 37, 41, 42, | | | |
| | 11, 83, 86, 90, 119, 125, 162, 1 | | , 110, 11 | i, 110' |
| Bhagwandas Parasram v. Burjorji R | | | T. 12 80 | 7 27 |
| Bom. 347=17 I. C. 152 | manoult /rarm | , 4± 1000. | | 2, 16 |
| Bhagwandas Parasram v. Burjorji Rut | tonii (1912) 15 | Bom. I. 1 | R. 85 O. C | : J = |
| 19 I. C. 29 | | | 2, 1 | 2 17 |
| | | 76, 81 | 82, 83, 8 | 4. 85. |
| Bhagwandas Parasram v. Burjorji | Ruttonii (191 | 7) 20 Bom | . L. R. 56 | 1 = 42 |
| Bom. 373 = 45 I. A. 29 = 44 I. C. 284 | P. C. | *** | 19. 2 | 20, 27, |
| 2 | 9, 65, 67, 87, | 88, 91, 92, | 93, 98, 99 | . 100. |
| 1 | 03, 105, 106, | 108, 109, 1 | 10, 111, 1 | 18 |
| Burjorji Ruttonji v. Bhagwandas Par | asram (1913) | 15 Bom. | L. R. 71 | 6 = 38 |
| Bom. 204 = 20 I. C. 834 | *** 86, Hildesheim | *** | 17, 1 | 8, 85, |
| - | 86, | 87, 88, 90, | 91, 95, 9 | 6, 110 |
| Buttenlandsche Bank Vereeniging v. | . Hildesheim | (2000) 20 | ** *** | ~ * * |
| | | 171, 20 | 5, 209, 22 | 6-227 |
| C | • | | | |
| Champa Ram v. Tulshiram (1927) 26 | A T. T 81- | =105 T C | 730 - A | Tρ |
| (1027) 411 617 | | -100 L O | 25, 26 | |
| Chandulal Suklal v. Sidhruthrai Soc | niantai (1905 | 1 29 Bom | 291 = 7 | Rom. |
| L. R. 165 | | , 22 20.2 | 66, 6 | |
| 27 27, 200 | ••• | 89, 90, 11 | 1. 117. 11 | 8. 119 |
| Chhogmal Balkisandas v. Jainarayen H | Xanaiyalal (19 | 913) 15 Bo | m. L. R. | 750= |
| 20 I. C. 882 | *** | 17, 18 | 38. 88. | 89. 90 |
| Chhogmal Balkisandas v. Jainarayan | | 1914) 16 1 | Bom. L. I | 3. 213 |
| =39 Bom. 1=24 I. C. 743 | ••• | | , 19, 88, | |
| Coles v. Bristowe (1868) 4 Ch. App. 3 | *** | *** | 400 | 131 |

| U |
|--|
| PAGE |
| Devidatt Ramniranjandas v. Shriram Narayandas (1932) 34 Bom. L. R. 236=137 I. C. 381=A. I. R. (1932) Bom. 291=56 Bom. 324 |
| 59, 60, 61, 62, 63 Devshi Harpal v. Bhikamchand Ramchand, suit No. 5785 of 1922 43, 108 Devshi Harpal v. Bhikamchand Ramchand (1926) 29 Bom. L. R. 147=100 I. C. 993=A. I. R. (1927) Bom. 125 |
| Dhunji Deosi v. Pokermull Anandrai (1913) 24 I. C. 441=7 Bur. L. T. 54 171, 175, 176, 225 |
| Diwanchand Kirparam v. Weld & Co. (1925) 28 Bom. L. R. 1488 = A. I. R. (1925) Bom. 150 = 88 I. C. 54 44, 229 Dulubdas Pitambardas v. Ramlal Thakursidas (1850) 5 M. I. A. 109 = 1 Moo. P. C. 239 = Perry O C. 232 = 1 Gar. 403 170 |
| E |
| Emperor v. Thaverlal Rupchand (1929) 31 Bom. L. R. 158=116 I. C. 251 =A. I. R. (1929) Bom. 157=53, Bom. 367 223-224 Ex Parte White In Re Neville (1870-71) L. R. 6 Ch. App. 397 13 |
| . F |
| Fakirchand Lalchand v. Doolub Govindji (1905) 7 Bom. L. R. 213 1, 125, 127-131, 132, 134, 155 |
| Fazal D. Allana v. Mangaldas V. Pakvasa (1921) 23 Bom. L. R. 1144 = 66 I. C. 726 = A. I. R. 1922 Bom. 303 = 46 Bom. 489 42 Forget v. Ostigny (1895) A. C. 318 153 |
| · H |
| Hariram v. Trikamdas Suit No. 100 of 1908 O. C. J 210, 227 Harakhchand Tarachand v. Sumatilal Chunilal (1929) 33 Bom. L. R. 1200 = A. I. R. (1932) Bom. 25=136 I. C. 481 27, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70 Harmukhrai Amoluckchand v. Narotamdas Gordhandas (1907) 9 Bom. L. R. 125 12, 14, 80, 81, 17 Harnarayan Jodhraj v. Radhakisan Chandrabhan A. I. R. (1923) Nag. 324 = 75 I. C. 906 24, 107 Harparashad Tulsi Ram v. Jindar Parshad (1933) 15 Lah. 496=A. I. R. 1934 Lah. 191=36 P. L. R. 348=150 I. C. 109 Add. 1, 2 Hurnandrai Fulchand v. Kanaiyalal son of Puranmal, suit No. 3348 of 1920 O. C. J 103 Hurnandrai Fulchand v. Kanaiyalal son of Puranmal, appeal No. 23 of 1924 C. A 103, 104, 112, 113 Hukamchand Sarupchand v. Abraham E. J. Abraham (1919) 21 Bom. L. R. 783=33 I. C. 549 46 |
| J |
| |

 Jagan Nath Amar Nath v. Burma Oil Co. Ltd. A. I. R. (1929)
 Lah. 605=

 11 L. L. J. 282.
 ...
 ...
 50d, 50e, 50f

 Jessiram Juggonath v. Tulsidas Damodar (1912)
 14 Bom. L. R. 617=37
 ...
 ...
 ...
 174, 177,

 Bom 264=16 I. C. 576.
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 174, 177,

 187, 188, 211, 212, 219, 220, 224

Jotram Shersingh v. Jiwanram Sheolimal, A. I. R. (1932) Lah. 633=33 P. L. R. 965=139 I. C. 637=I. R. (1932) Lah. 594 ... 28, 119

K Kanji Deoji v. Bhagwandas Narotamdas (1904) 7 Bom. L. R. 571, 3, 9-18. 58, 83, 118, 119, 125 Karunakumar Dutt Gupta v. Lankaran Patwari (1933) 60 Cal. 856-A. I. R.

PAGE

| (1933) Cal. 759=149 L. C. 61=6 R. C. | 502 | | | 110 |
|--|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| Kasturchand Sadasukh v. Chunilal Murli | prasad, suit | No. 1623 o | f 1935 O | .C.J. |
| | - | 126, 131, | 145, 146 | , 147 |
| Kedarmal Bhuramal v. Surajmal Govind | ram (1907) 9 | | | |
| | (, . | | | 7, 19, |
| | 37, 39, | 41, 52-53, | | |
| Kedarmal Bhuramal v. Surajmal Govind | ram (1908) | 33 Ropt. 3 | $64 = 10^{-1}$ | Bom. |
| L. R. 1230=3 I. C. 441 | *** | | 15, 10 | |
| D. M. 1200-01. O. 411 | ••• | 54 | 57, 58, 6 | 7 63 |
| Keshrimal Anandilal v. Jaikissendas Ras | nhilles suit | No octor | 1000 C | C T |
| Keshrimai Ananglisi v. Jaikissengas Kal | udinas, sait | NO. 004 OI | 1929 0. | 220 |
| 771 * D 1 61 . 171 . 1 14 . D . 171 1 4 | TD /10393 | 180, 181, | 240, 401 | , 230 |
| Kidri Prasad Chedilal v. F. R. Khosala A | L.J.R. (1923) | Lan. 425 | 272 I. C | 410 |
| | . 7 72 (10) | | 50c, 50d | |
| Kodu Mal Jetha Nand v. Tilak Ram | A. I. R. (192 | 29) Lan. 12 | | |
| 470=113 L. C. 783 | ••• | *** | 49, 50 | , 50 <i>a</i> |
| | | | | |
| M | | | | |
| 111 | | | | |
| Mahomed Haji Hamed v. Jute & Gunny | Renkara Lita | 1 /1930) 33 | l Rom | r. 12. |
| 1364 = A. I. R. (1932) Bom. 42 = 135 I. | | | 27, 5 | |
| Manilal Dharamei v. Allibhai Chagla (19 | 22\ 24 Pam | | | |
| | | LA IN. 014= | | |
| =68 I. C. 481 = A. I. R. (1922) Bom. 40 | JB 180 100 | | 171, | 178, |
| | 179, 180 | , 183, 205, | 213, 218 | -225 |
| Manilal Raghunath v. Radhakisson Ram | | | | |
| =45 Bom. $386=62$ L. C. $361=A$. I. B | . 1921 Bom. | 238 | 5, 21 | 1, 22, |
| | 23, 24, 2 | 7, 94-115, | 150, 151 | l, 152 |
| Manubhai Premchand v. Keshavji Ra | mdas, (1921 |) 24 Bom. | L. R. 60 | =65 |
| I. C. 632 = A. I. R. (1922) Bom. 66 | | *** | 171, | 177, |
| 178, 187, 3 | 207, 215, 216 | , 217, 218, | 220, 225 | . 231 |
| Maritina Italiana Steamship Co. v. Burjo | or Framroze | (1929)541 | 3om. 278 | 3 = 32 |
| Bom. L. R. 43 = A, I. R. 1930 (Bom.) 1 | 85 = 124 I. C | 797 | | 50c |
| Meghraj Roormal v. Anupsingh Batum | | | | |
| All. L. J. 475=159 I. C. 934=8 R. A. | 529 | | 25, 26, 4 | |
| Motichand Magandas v. Keshav Appaji (| | | | |
| monorman and design at the same to be a second | , | | 1, 92, 93 | |
| Motilal Pratabchand v. Surajmal Johan | rmal (1904) | | | |
| Bom. 167 | | | 52, 56, 5 | |
| Mukundchand Balia v. Sobhagmal Gia | | No. 1379 of | 1971 | C T |
| Municipal Dans of population of | | 149, 150, | | |
| Mukundchand Balia v. Sobbagmal Giar | nmal /1024) | 26 Rom T | D 100' | , 100 |
| I. C. 613=A. I. R. (1925) Bom. 79 | umai (1324) . | | | |
| 113, 125, 134 | 105 1/0 15 | 7 154 456 | 100, | 112, |
| 110, 120, 104, | , 100, 140-10 | 9, 194, 190, | 171, 202 | . 222 |
| Mukandi Lal Munshi Lal v. Nur Elal | | | 1934) La | |
| = 144 I. C. 828 | *** | *** | *** | 50e |
| | | | | |
| N | | | | |
| ** | | | | |
| Nandlal Panalal Marwari v. Kissenlal Ci | haturbhui (1 | 928) 30 Bo | m. L. R | 1391 |
| =(1928) Bom. $548=112$ I. C. 734 | | 27, 56, | | |
| - () mount 0.0 and at 0. 102 | | , 500 | | 04 |

| AVI |
|--|
| PAGE |
| Narandas Sunderlal Rathi v. Ghanashyamdas B. Dalal, suit No. 2068 of 1931 181, 182, 225, 238, 242 |
| Narandas Sunderlal Rathi v. Ghanshyamdas B. Dalal (1933) 35 Bom. L. R. 640=A. I. R. (1933) Bom. 348=147 I. C. 412=6 R. B. 207 |
| 238, 241, 242 Nathalal Bechardas v. Amritlal Nathalal, suit No. 936 of 1935 O. C. J. |
| 243-244 National Petroleum Co. v. F. X. Rebello A. I.R. (1935) Nag. 48 = 157, I.C. 135 |
| Nickalls v. Merry, (1875) L. R. 7 H. L. 530 50e, 50f, 50g |
| P |
| Parmeshridas Bhagwatparshad v. Raghbardas (1931) 32 Punj. L. R. 380 = A. I. R. (1931) Lah. 937=134 I. C. 489 27, 41 Paul Brier v. Chhotalal Javerdas (1904) 6 Bom. L. R. 948=30 Bom. 1 |
| Pirthi Singh Jamiatrai v. Maturam, A. I. R. (1932) Lah. 356=13 Lah. 766=33 P. L. R. 450=138 I. C. 241=I. R. (1932) Lah. 443 183, 225 |
| R |
| Raghunath and Others v. Rampratab Ramchander A. I. R. (1935) Sind. 38 = 160 I. C. 6=8 R. S. 114 110, 117 Raghunath Sahai Chhotayloll v. Manickchand and Kaisreechand (1856) M. I. A. 251 170 Ramchandra Shivdar v. Gangabisen Jaideo (1910) 12 Bom. L. R. 590=7 I. C. 665 210, 211, 220 Ramgopal Chunilal v. Ramsarup Baldeodas (1933) 36 Bom. L. R. 84=A.I. R. (1934) Bom. 91=148 I. C. 1038 29, 41. 68, 94. 18. R. R. (1934) Bom. 91=148 I. C. 1038 29, 41. 68, 69 70, 71 Ramsarup Baldeodas v. Sujanmal Dhondmal (1848) 4 M. I. A. 339 170 Ramsarup Baldeodas v. Ramgopal Choonilal suit No. 864 of 1932 68, 69, 70, 71 |
| S |
| Sadd v. Foster (1897) 13 T. L. R. 207 225, 226 Sakarbhai Hukamchand v. Ramniklal Keshavlal (1931)34 Bom. L. R. 709 = A. I. R. (1932) Bom. 328=138 I. C. 244=I. R. (1932) Bom. 358 26, 45, 228, 236 |
| Sevak Jeronchod Bhogilal v. The Dakore Temple Committee A. I. R. (1925) P. C. 155 = (1925) 27 Bom. L. R. 872, 504, 50e Shivkaran Ramchandra v. Kundunmal Narayandas, suit No. 101 of 1931 O. C. J. 224, 225, 238 Sobhagmal Gianmal v. Mukundchand Balia (1926) 51 Bom. 1=28 Bom. 128 Bom. 127 |
| L. R. 1376=98 I. C. 338=53 I. A. 241 P. C 109, 125, 135, 146, 152, 153, 154, 156, 171, 205, 222, 223, 224, 225 |
| . T |
| Thomas Gabriel & Sons v. Charchchill & Sim (1914) 3 K. B. 1272 C. A. |
| 159 Tika Ram v. Daulat Ram (1924) 46 All. 465=24 A. L. J. 591=A. I. R. (1924) All. 530=80 I. C. 661 |
| Tilakram Chandhuri v. Kodumal Jethanand (1928) 30Bom. L. R. 548-110 I. C. 727 = A. I. R. (1928) Bom. 175 49, 50, 50a, 50c, 50d, 50e, 63 |

INDEX.

| | Page | Page |
|---|---------|----------------------------------|
| Account—suit for— | 44 | Custom-cotton market 127-136 |
| • | 33 | -Incidents of Pakki Adat 3-4 |
| | 33 | -party alleging-must |
| Advantages-Teji, Mandi an | | prove, 117-118 |
| | 203-204 | -place of payment-juris- |
| Agents, distinction between | 200-201 | diction 51-63 |
| • | 159 | -Silver market-Katchi |
| | 23, 159 | |
| | 23, 159 | Adat ' 136-147 |
| (iii) I akka Adattas | 29, 109 | |
| Broker, Pakka | 115 | Damages-rights of consti- |
| -Pakka Adatia, distinctio | n | tuent against Pakka Adatia 40-41 |
| hetween- | 115 | Del credere agent—definition |
| Brokers' gala-whether broke | er | of-comparison and con- |
| 40.3 3 | 120 | trast with Katcha Adatia |
| 6 N | | and Pakka adatia 159-160 |
| Call-corresponds with Teji | | Distinction—Points of— |
| | 189 | between Pakka Adatia and |
| Claim of Pakka Adatia to | | Katcha Adatis 164-167 |
| | 48 | Double option—definition of 195 |
| Commission agent | | -meaning of 195 |
| —Pakka Adatia | 27, 159 | Duties of—Pakka Adatia |
| Commission—payment of— | | 30, 31, 39 40, 41 |
| whether conclusive proof o | f | Duties of-Katcha Adatia 146 |
| · · | 36 | |
| Conflict | | Exchange—benefit of—Pakka |
| between Katcha Adatia's | | Adatia 37 |
| | 117-119 | |
| Constituent-relation with | | Fluctuations-prices-Pakka |
| | et seq. | adatia—margin 43-46 |
| —Rights and duties of— 30 | 31,34 | -Rates of premium-Teji- |
| Contract between Pakka | | Mandi transactions |
| Adatia and his constituent- | | -Margin 288 et seq. |
| | et seq. | _ |
| Cotton market-Katchi Ada | t | Gala-Katchi Adat-conflict of |
| | 127-135 | duty and interest 130, 131, 132 |
| Court's jurisdiction in cases | 3 | Gambling-transactions be- |
| of Pakki Adat contracts | 49-61 | tween constituent and |
| Cross-orders-Pakka Adatia | | Katcha Adatia-when gam- |
| -rights of | 28 | bling 148 et seq. |
| 33 | | • |

| Page | Page |
|---|---|
| Simple and double options— | Introductory 125, 126 |
| whether gambling. 225 et seq. | Summary of principles 157-158 |
| transactions between con- | Wager 148-153 |
| stituent and Pakka Adatia | |
| whether gambling 72 et seq. | Liability-Pakka Adatia- |
| Teji-Mandi transactions- | failure to carry out consti- |
| presumption. 205 et seq. | tuents order 27, 28, 30, 40 |
| | Liability Limited-Teji-Mandi |
| History-Pakki Adat . | transactions 193-196, 203-204 |
| system 6 to 12 et seq. | Liability-Limitation-law of |
| system o to ta co sed. | -Pakka Adatia 64 et seq. |
| T 11 to FD-bbl 4 Johnson 9.4 | London Stock Exchange and |
| Incidents of Pakki Adat system 3-4 Indemnity—Pakka Adatis20, 29, | Teji-Mandi Transactions 189 |
| Indemnity—Parka Adatis20, 25, 65, 88, 99 | |
| | Mandi-corresponds with Put 189 |
| Instructions to Pakka Adatia 41 Insurance—Teji Mandi con- | -definition of 172 Margin-Pakka Adatia26, 34, |
| insurance—leji Mandi Con- | Margin-Pakka Adatia26, 34, |
| tracts of 206, 207, 215, 216 Interest—Pakka Adatia's | 35, 43, 122-123 |
| right to claim 48 | -Teji-Mandi contracts 228 et seq. |
| Introductory—Katchi Adat | Method-business-Pakka |
| | Adatia 10 |
| system 125-126 Introductory—Pakki Adat | |
| | Nature-Put-Call-Put and |
| | Call transactions 189 |
| Introductory—Teji-Mandi contracts 169-171 | Teji-Mandi contracts 174 et seq. |
| Issues—suit against Pakka | Nazrana transactions-what |
| Adatia 116 | -are 183 |
| Austra 220 | |
| | Options—advantages of— 203-204 |
| Jurisdiction of Courts in | -exercise of 199 et seq. |
| Pakki Adat 51-63 | |
| | between 33-34 |
| Katcha Adatia | |
| constituent—relationship 19,21 | Pakki Adat System. |
| as an agent 23, 146, 153 | Incidents of 3, 4 |
| distinction between del | Place of payment—juris- |
| credere agent 159 | diction 51 et seq. |
| omission to give third party's | Pakka Adatia |
| name—consequences— 154 | Accounts—place of rendering 58 |
| -Pakka Adatia 19, 159, 164 | agents23, 31 |
| -wager 148 etc seq. | amount claimed—Interest 48 |
| Katchi Adat system. | benefit of exchange 37-38 commission agents 27 |
| Bombay Cotton Market 127 et seq. | commission agents— 27 |
| Bombay Silver Market 136 et seq. | Conflict between duty and |
| Brokerage in— 131 Course of dealings in— 127, 136 | Interest 117 |
| Incidents of— 133, 135, 145, 147 | contract term in—jurisdiction 49 et seg. |
| | |

| Page | Page |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| cross contracts 22, 28,64 et.seq. | Suit for account 41 |
| Custom-onus of proof 117 | |
| del credere agent— 159 | Teji corresponds with call 170 |
| Difference between-Pakka | -definition of 170 |
| broker 115 | Teji-Mandi-corresponds with |
| guarantee by 12, 13, 15, 19, 23, 30, | Put and Call 170 |
| 39, 40, 160, 161, 162, 163, 165 | absence of-Buyer of Teji- |
| Incidents of Pakki Adat 3, 4, | Mandi 199 |
| 30, 34, 57 | advantages of 203 |
| Instructions to 41 | by-laws of East India Cotton |
| Introductory 1 | Association 239 |
| Katcha Adatia-distinction | buyer of-death of 199 |
| between 19, 159, 164 | Bombay Cotton Conracts Act 237 |
| limitation law of 64 et seq. | definition of 172 |
| margin 26, 43-46, | -double option 195 |
| 233 et seq. | -failure to exercise option 199 |
| Place of payment courts | insolvency of buyer of Teji- |
| jurisdiction 49, 51, 57 | Mandi 199 |
| Privity of contract-between | Introductory 170 |
| constituent 4 | Lunacy of buyer of Teji- |
| relation with constituent5, 30, | Mandi 199 |
| 33, 39, 41 | margin 228 |
| Summary-principles of 120et seq. | -modus operandi of 174 |
| wager 22, 24, 72, et seq., 116 | -nature of 174 |
| whether an insurer 26 | single option 193 |
| whether liable-no profits 47 | Third party-non-disclosure |
| who is 2 | of name—Katcha Adatia's |
| Place of payment in Pakki Adat 51 | position 154, 155, 156 |
| Premium-Teji-Mandi con- | Third party transactions |
| tracts-right to recover- 200 | with-Pakka Adatias- |
| Definition of 189 | whether admissible in |
| Put corresponds with Mandi- 189 | evidence see Wager |
| -definition of 189 | whether wagering— 187, 237, 205 |
| Relationship between Katcha | Wager- |
| Adatia and constituent 134, 135, | and Pakki Adat 22, 24, 72, et seq. |
| 137, 138, 144, 145, 146, 147 | 116 |
| Relationship between Pakka | and Teji-Mandi 205 et seq. |
| Adatia and constituent 5 et seq. | -incidents of 97 |
| Remuneration—Adatia 135 | Katchi Adat 148 et seq. |
| Rights of constituent 11, 12, 30, 31 | Proper issues—defence of— |
| Single option 193 | wager 116 |

By the same Author.

The Law

of

Fazendari Tenures and Inami and Sanadi Lands.

- "A very useful work on a difficult subject."

 —Hon'ble Mr. Justice R. S. Broomfield.
- "A most useful work upon any questions arising out of these Land tenures,"
 - -Hon'ble Mr. Justice C. P. Blackwell.
- "The comparison as regards the nature of the Inami lands and the rights of the holders and Government therein required to be cleared for the laymen and lawyers and I trust your publication would hold in that direction."
 - -Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. J. Kania.
- "The treatment of the subjects is lucid and interesting and is bound to be useful to all those who have to deal with the subject. The discussion of the case law also adds to its merit."
 - -Hon'ble Mr. Justice Divatia.
- "A dry subject is made as interesting as possible and that in itself is not a small merit. Much information is given in a little space and I feel sure the book will be a useful guide whenever the occasion will arise for reference to the subject."
 - -Hon'ble Mr. Justice B. J. Wadia.