

Government  of Madagas

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT

(Local and Municipal)

G.O. No. 726, L. and M., 11th February 1929.

Administration Report—Hindu Religious Endowments Board—  
Fasli ending with 30th June 1928.

---

READ—*Letter from M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur C. V. Ranga Reddi Garu, President, Hindu Religious Endowments Board, dated 18th December 1928, No. 373 Mis./28-1.*

[Copy attached.]

---

Order—No. 726, L. & M., dated 11th February 1929.

The Administration Report of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board for the fasli year ending with 30th June 1928 is published for general information.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education  
and Local Self-Government)

S. RANGANATHAN,  
*Deputy Secretary to Government.*

Editors' Table.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS  
FOR HINDU RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS,  
ROYAPETTA POST, MADRAS,  
*Dated 18th December 1928.*

No. 373 Mis./28-1.

From

M.R.RY. DIWAN BAHADUR  
C. V. RANGA REDDI GARU, B.A., B.L.,  
*President, Hindu Religious Endowments Board,  
Royapetta, Madras,*

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT,  
*Fort St. George, Madras.*

SIR,

I have the honour to submit herewith the third Administration Report of the Board for the fasli year ending with 30th June 1928. A review of the working of the temple committees whose annual reports were received by the Board is appended to it.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. V. RANGA REDDI,  
*President.*

**THIRD ADMINISTRATION REPORT OF MADRAS  
HINDU RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS BOARD  
(1st July 1927 to 30th June 1928).**

---

During the fasli under report, the Local Legislature passed the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments (Amendment) Act, 1928, adding a proviso to Section 22 of Madras Act II of 1927. Under this Section the Local Government could appoint members to new committees for a period of one year only. By the proviso now introduced they might, in cases where elections to such committees could not be held at the end of the first period of one year, make appointments for a further period not exceeding one year. The need for such an amendment was keenly felt in experience for several reasons, the chief of them being that most of the new committees constituted under the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act found it difficult to provide for the election expenses and that, in the case of several of them, electoral rolls were not ready in time. This Act was assented to by His Excellency the Governor on the 2nd and by His Excellency the Governor-General on the 26th of January 1928. The Board would observe, in this connexion, that in the case of several committees, there are financial difficulties even at the end of the second period of nomination and that there is need for the power given to the Government by this amending Act being further widened. In certain areas it is doubtful whether the committees will ever find it possible to provide for the expenses of elections after meeting the ordinary charges of their administration necessary for running an office and exercising supervision over the management of temples under their control. It seems necessary, therefore, that the Act should be further amended so as to empower the Government to determine whether, in the case of a particular committee, election may be held or nomination should continue without their discretion being restricted as to the period.

Act, Rules  
and by-laws.

2. Rules regarding the preparation of electoral rolls of temple committees, conduct of elections thereto and

of the settlement of disputes, etc., relating to such elections were amended in the following respects during the fasli:—

(i) The division of the electoral rolls should be made according to the revenue firkas—vide G.O. No. 1118, L. & M., dated 10th February 1928.

(ii) The conduct of elections has been transferred from the President of the temple committees to the Revenue Divisional Officers—vide G.O. No. 296 L. & M. dated 23rd January 1928.

Some changes consequential on the above amendments have been made since the close of the fasli in the rules for the conduct of enquiries and the decision of disputes relating to elections to committees—vide G.O. No. 3084, L. & M., dated 30th July 1928.

3. During the period under report, the account rules governing the Board's transactions, rules relating to the publication of the accounts of the Board, temple committees and religious endowments and to the manner of audit of the accounts of maths and temples and financial instructions for the guidance of the Board were finally issued by Government by their orders Nos. 4701, L. & M., dated 1st December 1927 1249, L. & M., dated 17th March 1928 and 3111, L. & M. dated 15th August 1927 respectively.

Amendment to the by-laws framed by the Board so as to authorize the divisional Commissioner himself to issue miscellaneous orders in virtue of the powers of general superintendence conferred on the Board by Section 18 of the Act (mentioned in the last administration report of the Board) came into effect during the period. Subsequently amendments to the by-laws as regards the supply of copies of the Board's orders have also been confirmed by the Government.

Regulations of Temple Committees—

1. The Tanjore Circle Temple Committee.
2. The Negapatam Circle Temple Committee.
3. The Kumbakōnam Circle Temple Committee.
4. The Cuddalore Circle Temple Committee.
5. The Vellore Circle Temple Committee.
6. The Tiruvettipuram Circle Temple Committee.
7. The Cuddapah Circle Temple Committee.

4. During the fasli under report, the marginally-noted committees submitted, for the Board's approval, their draft regulations under Section 36 of the Act based on the model regulations circulated by the Board. The regulations of all these

8. The Proddatur Circle Temple Committee.
9. The Tiruvallur Circle Temple Committee.
10. The Erode Circle Temple Committee.
11. The Tinnevely Circle Siva Temple Committee.
12. The Tuticorin Circle Siva Temple Committee.
13. The Tinnevely District Vishnu Temple Committee.
14. The Rāmnād District Temple Committee.
15. The Kallalagar Kudalalagar Temple Committee.
16. The Mangalore Circle Temple Committee.
17. The Udipi Circle Temple Committee.

committees, except the Tiruvallur Circle Temple Committee, were confirmed by the Board with modifications in some cases. The regulations of the latter committee had to be returned to it for revision and since the close of the period under report this has been received and approved by the Board.

Some committees have not as yet submitted their draft regulations in spite of reminders. Such committees are being urged to do so from time to time.

5. During the period under report, the Board sustained an irreparable loss by the sad and sudden death of M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. Sadasiva Ayyar Avargal, though the high example he set and certain well-defined lines of policy he laid down as the first President of the Board would always be borne in mind and treasured as a rich legacy by those Commissioners who had the privilege of working with him and successor in office. The onerous duties of the President of the Board devolved on M.R.Ry. R. Surya Rao Garu, the senior Commissioner, with effect from 16th November 1927 and he acted as President till about the end of the fasli when the Government permanently appointed to the post M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur C. V. Ranga Reddi Garu, who with a distinguished career of public usefulness behind him took up the task of steering the Board's administration at some personal sacrifice. The Government were pleased to appoint M.R.Ry. C. S. Swaminatha Mudaliyar Avargal, to act as Commissioner in the place of M.R.Ry. R. Surya Rao Garu and he worthily filled up that place for about six months. As Secretary to the Board, he had proved himself to be a very able and indefatigable officer and the Board was gratified that the Government accepted the recommendation of the late President in giving him the promotion.

The death of M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. Sadasiva Ayyar Avargal, and the consequent changes in

Personnel of the Board and the territorial jurisdiction of the Commissioners.

the personnel of the Board, temporary and permanent necessitated some alterations in the territorial jurisdiction of the Commissioners. Their jurisdiction as it, was at the beginning of the fasli under review and as it stood at its close, is shown in the following tabular statement :—

Name of Commissioners.	Jurisdiction at the beginning of the fasli.	Jurisdiction at the end of the fasli.
1. M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur Sir T. Sadasiva Ayyar Avargal.	Malabar and South Kanara.	(Died on 23rd, November 1927.)
2. M.R.Ry. R. Surya Rao Garu.	Ganjām, Vizagapatam, Gōdāvari (East and West) and Kistna.	Malabar, South Kanara, the Nilgiris and Chittoor districts, with the exception of Tirumalai Hills and Tirupati Municipal Town.
3. M.R.Ry. E. S. Chidambaram Pillai Avargal.	Tanjore, Trichinopoly, Madura, Rāmnād and Tiinnevelly.	North Arcot, South Arcot, Chingleput, Tanjore and Trichinopoly.
4. M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur M. Chengayya Pantulu Garu.	Guntūr, Nellore, Cuddapah, Kurnool, Bellary, Anantapur and Chittoor.	Ganjām, Vizagapatam, Gōd. āvari (East and West), Kistna and Guntūr.
5. M.R.Ry. P. V. Nataraja Mudaliyar Avargal.	Chingleput, North Arcot, South Arcot, Salem, Coimbatore and the Nilgiris.	Madura, Tinnevelly, Rāmnād, Salem and Coimbatore.
6. M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur C. V. Ranga Reddi Garu.	(Joined duty on the afternoon of the 30th April 1928.)	Anantapur, Bellary, Kurnool, Cuddapah, Nellore and Tirumalai Hills and Tirupati Municipal Town in the Chittoor District.

#### Meetings.

6. The Board held 14 meetings during the period under report. The business transacted at the meetings of the Board is indicated in Statement I of the statements appended to this report, while the number of sittings (1,730) of the committees of the Board constituted for the conduct of enquiries and of the enquiries (588) held by the Commissioners singly is shown in Statement II appended.

#### Staff.

7. The strength of the Board's staff as it stood at the end of June 1927 and at the end of June 1928 is shown in Statements III-A and III-B. With the work of the Board considerably increasing (vide figures given in the margin) it is becoming more and more difficult to cope with the situation, there being no corresponding increase in the staff employed. The

Number of currents including petitions received during—	
Fasli 1336	... 15,789.
Fasli 1337	... 23,869.

additional strain is now cheerfully borne by the office staff and the maximum amount of work possible is being extracted from it. But this state of affairs cannot go on for ever as the incessant strain may tell on the health of the officers and subordinates.

*Attitude towards the Act and the Board.*

8. During the year under report, the improvement in the general attitude of the public towards the Act and the Board was kept up. Judging from the fact that the worshippers resort to the Board more and more for redress of grievances, its activities are becoming more and more popular and there are clear indications of a growing consciousness in the minds of the public that the machinery introduced by the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act is fraught with immense potentialities for good which would fructify more and more as its work progresses in due course of time. Even the general attitude of the trustees may, on the whole, be said to have improved. The example set by the Mahant of Tirupathi had, to a considerable extent, broken the oppositions of vested interests and the recent decisions of the High Court in favour of the Board in the suits filed by powerful and influential trustees will further attenuate the obstruction to the working of the Act. It appears to the Board that in a very short time there will be much fuller co-operation on the part of trustees than is the case at present in almost all districts except in Malabar and in some districts in the Northern Circars where owing to the peculiar conditions prevailing there, the attitude of trustees or the archakas in charge of the management of temples must be expected to continue to be unsatisfactory for a considerable time longer.

9. The situation in Malabar remains much the same as in the previous years. Temples are still being claimed as private institutions which do not come within the scope of the Act. Added to this, very many trustees have filed petitions in the District Courts under Section 84(2) of the Act to set aside orders of the Board declaring institutions under them to be public. Demands for the Board's contribution often meet with the reply that pending the orders of the District Court on such petitions, the contribution

would not be paid. Since the end of the fasli, the South Malabar District Court has disposed of a number of such petitions in favour of the Board. This has slightly improved the situation in the district. Generally speaking, it has tended to render the opposition passive rather than active. Many of the trustees now seem to pursue a policy of dogged silence. They make no sort of response unless driven to the District Court by the Board's applications under Section 70 (2) of the Act for the recovery of contribution due to the Board. Special efforts are being made to file execution petitions, wherever necessary and feasible, having regard to the difficulties in collecting necessary particulars regarding trust properties to be attached.

10. The archaka question is still a source of trouble. The archakas who are the *de facto* managers of the majority of institutions in the Northern Circars and Guntūr have banded themselves into organizations which have been vigorously conducting with considerable financial resources a systematic campaign of calumny of the policy, intentions and activities of the Board, mainly with a view to ensure their personal interests at the cost of the temples in their charge and to prevent the Board taking the necessary steps to take the management of these temples out of their hands and vest the same in duly appointed trustees, the archakas being accorded their legitimate place as servants of the institutions. The anomalous and unjustifiable combination of trusteeship and archakatwam has to be put an end to and a reasonable apportionment of the lands of the temples now in possession of the archakas or of the incomes derived therefrom between the deity (for the purposes of the services) and the archakas (for their remuneration) has also to be made. The Board with a view to effect these changes and to improve the general management has settled schemes for certain temples. But in almost all these cases, the archaka-trustees have instituted suits for setting aside the Board's orders. They are pending in the District Courts concerned. The Board apprehends that unless and until decisions are made in a number of these cases, the archakas will not give up their attitude of opposition to the Board and the



working of the new Act. The Board has, however, been trying to give them opportunities to arrive at a reasonable settlement out of court.

The archakas submitted in January 1928 a model draft of a deed of compromise for the consideration of the Board. At the Conferences held at Rajahmundry on 22nd February 1928 and at Bezwada on 10th July 1928, the Commissioner sought to ascertain the views of certain trustees and also representative public men regarding the various provisions of the draft compromise.

The principal points of the compromise were that the archakas wanted an allotment of land in their favour for the expenses of daily worship and for remuneration for their services. They required an assurance that the settlement then effected should be permanent and not revocable under any circumstances except on their failure to do the service. As a guarantee for this assurance, the compromise proposed that one-fourth of the lands should be set apart to be given over to them in case their services were dispensed with, the substitutes who might be appointed in their places being allowed only the remaining lands in their occupation and that the lands allotted to them should be recognized as inams given to the archakas burdened with service and paditharam in the usual manner. The draft was considered by the full Board on 16th August 1928 and the terms proposed by the archakas were deemed by it unacceptable.

11. It is gratifying to observe that there has been a perceptible improvement in the attitude of the trustees of Kanyakaparameswari temples and other temples under the control of the Vysia community. The spirit of opposition has dwindled down to an appreciable extent though in a few cases, notably in regard to the temple at Proddatur, it has taken a turn for the worse. Particular mention should be made in this connexion of the lead given by the trustees of the institutions in Stonehousepet, Nellore town, and in Bellary town in submitting to the jurisdiction of the Act and the Board and in furnishing the returns of income and remitting the contributions due to the Board. Recently the trustee of an institution in Kurnool District has also paid up the contribution

demanded. The Board earnestly hopes that these good examples would be followed by the trustees of other similar institutions under the control of the Vysia community in the Presidency.

As stated in the last administration report, the Board's view is that these Vysia institutions should be exempted from certain important provisions of the Act and that such of them as were non-excepted temples should, for practical purposes, be treated as excepted temples. Subsequent to the submission of this recommendation to the Government, a deputation of leading members of the Vysia community waited on the Hon'ble the Chief Minister and expressed their views in the matter. The Government while declining to grant total exemption of these temples from the operation of the Act, proposed to consider the grant of special concessions in the case of each institution and observed that the Board should submit fresh and specific recommendations after due consideration of the representations of the Vysias—vide G.O. No. 624, L. & M., dated 8th February 1928. The Board then submitted proposals for four temples, one in Stonehousepet, Nellore town, one in Kadiri, Anantapur District, and two in Kurnool town. The Government having been pleased to accept the same, these temples have been exempted from several important provisions of the Act as shown in Statement V-B appended to this report.

#### *Preliminary work.*

List of  
temples  
and maths.

12. A fairly reliable register of maths and temples coming under the Act has been opened in this office. So far as is known at present, the number of maths and temples is 301 and 11,561, respectively, as shown in statement V-A. No doubt as a result of further investigations by the Board and the committees, the existence of more institutions may be brought to light. Further, disputes of trustees about the public character of temples and maths and about the incomes being below the minimum laid down in Section 4 of the Act are coming up for adjudication from time to time. The results of the decisions in such cases will also affect the list of temples and maths. In the nature of things, therefore, the list at present maintained by the

Board must be said to be provisional. As regards classification of temples into excepted and non-excepted ones, the Board proceeds, as already stated in the last administration report, on the general assumption that all the temples to which the Act applies are non-excepted till they are proved to be excepted except in the case of temples whose history is taken to warrant the presumption the other way. For the present 2,002 temples are treated as excepted temples of which 134 temples have been declared, after due investigation, to be excepted temples under Section 84 of the Act, while the remaining (1,868) have been presumed to be such.

#### *Exemptions.*

13. A list of applications from religious institutions for exemption from the operation of the Act, disposed of or pending, during the period under report will be found in Statement V-B, appended to this report.

14. Special mention may, in this connexion, be made of the recommendation of the Board in the case of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple at Jaggayyapeta in the Anakapalle Taluk of the Vizagapatam District and Sri Radhakrishna and Lalitha temples at Hingili, Chatrapur Taluk, Ganjām District, that they might be exempted from the operation of the Act during the lifetime of the respective founders, as the institutions are still in a process of growth and as the Board does not think it desirable to impair in any manner the freedom of action of the founders who are likely to make further endowments therefor. The Government have accepted the above recommendations of the Board.

After satisfying itself about the correctness of the representations of trustees of the religious institutions concerned, the Board, without holding a regular enquiry under Section 84 of the Act, dropped 489 institutions as being outside the scope of the Act on the score of their income being below the minimum laid down in Section 4. The number of those institutions is shown taluk-war in Statement VI-A appended. In Statement VI-B are indicated formal orders passed under Section 84 after enquiries on the allegations that the income is below the minimum prescribed under the Act or that the religious institutions are

either private or fall under the category of excepted temples rather than non-excepted ones.

15. As mentioned in paragraph 13 of its second administration report, the Board had recommended that the Hindu temples under Jain management situated in the South Kanara District should be exempted from the control of temple committees in the district. The Government accepted this recommendation in their orders constituting two new committees in the district.

*Special extension of the Act.*

16. During the fasli under report, the Government on the recommendation of the Board extended the provisions of the Act to 60 temples getting an income of less than Rs. 250 thus bringing up the total number of such institutions to 631 at the end of the last fasli. The distribution of these figures among the various taluks will be found in Statement VII appended. Special mention should here be made of the action of the Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee in appointing a special officer to investigate and find out temples which have become poor on account of alienation of their properties so that steps may be taken to bring them under the control of the Act and to recover the alienated properties. Other committees are also paying some attention to this kind of investigation so far as it can be done without prejudice to the supervision of non-excepted temples which are already under their control by virtue of their income being Rs. 250 or more per annum.

Three temples in the Kumbakonam Taluk to which

1. Sri Kshirabdi Sayana Narayana Perumal temple, Tiruloki.

2. Sri Sundareswaraswami temple, Tiruloki.

3. Sri Baluganthaswami temple Tiruvoipadi.

the provisions of the Act except Section 69 (1) were specially extended as their incomes had been reported to be below the minimum, were subsequently found

to have substantially large incomes. The Board therefore addressed the Government and obtained an order extending the provisions of Section 69 (1) also to these temples. The committees in the Tanjore District have been asked to investigate and find out if there are

other cases of this kind, so that similar necessary action might be taken thereon.

The Mangalore and the Udipi Circles Temple Committees in the South Kanara District suggested to the Board the advisability of bringing under the new Act all the temples with an income of below Rs. 250 which had been formerly under committees constituted under Act XX of 1863. The Government have been addressed by the Board in this regard and the orders of Government are awaited.

*Exclusion of the Agency tracts from the operation of the Act,*

17. The question was raised during the fasli under report whether the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act could apply to religious endowments in the Agency tracts without an express extension of the Act by means of a Government notification. The Board addressed the Government on the matter and they held that inasmuch as the Governor-in-Council had not issued (under Section 52-A (2) of the Government of India Act and with reference to the Government of India, Home Department Notification No. 1 E, dated 3rd January 1921) any direction that it should not apply to those tracts, the Act was in force in the Agency tracts. But at the same time, the Government examined the question on its merits in consultation with the Board and the Collectors of the districts concerned and came to the conclusion that the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act need not be applied to the Ganjām, Vizagapatam and East Gōdāvāri agencies, and accordingly issued a notification to that effect under date 14th February 1928. The Board is, however, of opinion that the operation of the Act in the Agency tracts is quite necessary with a view to secure better management of religious institutions therein, especially as the inhabitants are not advanced enough to ventilate their grievances in the press or on the platform. Further, there are some important temples in the Agency tracts, such as the famous one dedicated to Sri Rama at Bhadrachalam, and others of comparatively less importance which require control and supervision, judging from the Board's experience gained prior to the issue of the above notification.

*Registers of religious endowments.*

18. During the period under report, trustees of as many as 507 temples submitted the registers under Section 38 of the Act, 316 of them having been checked by the temple committees or the Inspecting officers of the Board, as the case may be, and accepted by the Board. Such of them as require alterations are being returned to the committees or the Inspecting officers for further scrutiny and necessary changes. The Board is glad to be able to report that there was appreciable advance in this branch of the work during the period under report. Besides those referred to above, registers pertaining to many other non-excepted temples are reported to have been submitted to the committees concerned. Apparently owing to want of facilities for checking the same, transmission of the registers has been delayed by those committees. The Inspecting officers of the Board also are not free to devote such time to this branch of the work as to get the preparation and checking of the registers expedited from those institutions who have not yet submitted the same, as it is undoubtedly the fact that very many trustees would be willing to attend to the work if adequate guidance and help are given by the Board's and Committees' officers.

The Board would like to point out in this connexion that there is no provision of law by which the Board can compel the trustees to prompt obedience in this regard. The Board, however, trusts that, in course of time, there will be further progress in this branch of the Board's work. A statement (VIII) showing the progress made in connexion with these registers is appended. It will be seen therefrom that so far registers pertaining to 62 maths and 2,786 temples have been submitted.

*Budgets of excepted temples and maths.*

19. The difficulties pointed out in connexion with the registers under Section 38 hold good with even greater force in the matter of submission of budgets of maths and excepted temples. A statement IX showing the number of budgets for 1928-29 received from them under Section 61 of the Act is appended. As the

registers under Section 38 are far more important, the Inspecting officers of the Board are asked to pay more attention to them than to getting budgets prepared and submitted.

Attention is in this connexion invited to the fact that the budgets of 3 non-excepted temples noted in the margin were submitted to the Board in the absence of the committee exercising jurisdiction over them, as provided for in their respective schemes. The budgets have been approved.

1. Nilayathakshi Amman temple, Negapatam.

2. Karpaganathaswami temple, Karpaganatharkulam, Tanjore District.

3. Mantrapureswaraswami temple, Kovilur, Tanjore District.

that the budgets of 3 non-excepted temples noted in the margin were submitted to the Board in the absence of the committee exercising jurisdiction over them, as

provided for in their respective schemes. The budgets have been approved.

*Audit of the Accounts of temples, maths and temple Committees.*

20. Auditors were appointed from among duly qualified and certificated persons for the following 14 districts for the year under report :—

Ganjām.	Tanjore.
Vizagapatam.	Trichinopoly.
Chingleput.	Madura.
South Arcot.	Rāmnād.
North Arcot.	Tinnevelly.
Coimbatore.	Malabar.
The Nilgiris.	South Kanara.

In the case of other districts appointments were not made either because the proposals of the committees were received late or because the committees did not make any proposals. Even in the districts for which appointments have been made, the number of institutions whose accounts were audited is not appreciable. Many of the committees expressed their inability to bear the audit charges on account of their poor financial condition. Nor was the Board in a better position to bear the audit charges in respect of the excepted temples and maths. Audit was generally confined to cases where mismanagement or misappropriation was brought to the notice of the Board and it was necessary to have the accounts examined to ascertain the exact financial situation of the institutions concerned. The Kumbakōnam and Tanjore Circle Temple Committees, however, arranged for the audit of the accounts of most of the temples under their control. As regards the audit of the accounts

The Kumbakōnam Circle Temple Committee.  
The Tanjore Circle Temple Committee.

of committees, the position was much the same. The marginally-noted committees alone had their accounts audited during the year under report.

A part from the statutory obligation, audit of the accounts of maths and temples is certainly a necessity to detect and prevent waste, mismanagement and misappropriation of trust funds. The Board thinks that systematic audit can be arranged for only if the committees and the Board are relieved of the liability to meet the charges of audit. The maths and temples should be made to bear the same by a suitable amendment of the Act. The Board raised the question of organizing a separate audit staff as it is possible thereby to reduce the cost of audit without at the same time sacrificing its efficiency, and the Government have called for detailed proposals in that regard. The Board's scheme with suggestions as to the method of recovering the audit charges from maths and temples will be shortly forwarded to the Government.

#### *General superintendence.*

21. It may be said to the credit of the administration of the new Act that besides substantial improvements brought about by the settlement of schemes and other important orders of the Board, there was a general betterment in the conditions of many religious institutions such as the carrying out of repairs, maintenance of accounts, cleanliness of premises, regular performance of worship and other services by the servants of the endowments and the proper appropriation of surplus funds for the benefit of the institutions. It is hoped that in the course of a few more years the management of the vast majority of religious institutions in the Presidency would have been substantially improved by the removal of existing defects and the introduction of better methods of administration.

22. Special mention may here be made of the Board's efforts to improve the incomes of religious institutions by advising trustees to adopt methods by which that end can be achieved, such as leasing out lands after wide publicity and by public auction.



Ten important instances in which marked increase of income was effected are shown in Statement IV. It will be seen therefrom that in two cases the increase in the income is more than 350 per cent. It is not practicable to give an exhaustive statement of all cases in which incomes of temples improved as a result of the Board's endeavours.

23. The difficulties of the Board expressed in paragraphs 17 and 18 of its last administration report in respect of the resumption of inams practically remained as before. This Board addressed the Local Government in June 1928 suggesting the issue of general instructions to the Collectors with a view to prevent hasty proceedings for resumptions of inams and to afford sufficient time to this Board and temple committees to arrange for the necessary improvement in the affairs of temples before passing orders of resumption. The Government, however, replied that the existing Standing Orders are quite adequate for the purpose and that if any instances of hasty proceedings are brought to its notice, necessary action would be taken. The Board would suggest the constitution of a committee to go into this question of resumption of religious inams whether granted in favour of temples or their servants with a view to formulate a procedure that would meet the needs of equity and justice and the best interests of the institutions concerned. It is not practicable in every case for the trustees to undertake the task of filing suits for establishing the rights of the deity in a court of law and carrying it to a successful ending. In this connexion one instance in the Chittoor District is worth mentioning. The District Court has decided in favour of the temple of Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami at Tarigonda, Vayalpad Taluk, finding that the alienations of inams were not binding on the temple.

A list showing the cases of resumption brought to the notice of the Board during the period under report and the further stages of the old cases mentioned in the previous reports will be found in Statement X appended.

As regards Sri Malleswaraswami temple, Singanamala, Rajampet Taluk, Cuddapah District, referred to in paragraph 18 of the last administration report, the Revenue authorities were pleased to grant the net

assessment also to the temple. The whole of the lands is now being enjoyed by the temple as if on inam tenure.

The case of Sri Venkateswaraswami temple, Alidena, Kamalapuram Taluk (item 10 in Statement X of the second administration report) deserves special mention. All the inam lands of the temple had been alienated by the servants of the temple and proposals for the resumption of the inams were pending with the Revenue department. The Board settled a scheme for the temple but in spite of the assistance of the Revenue authorities, the committee having jurisdiction over the temple was not able to find a suitable trustee who would take up the management and recover the alienated properties. The Board's local officer after a good deal of trouble was able to find a suitable person who was forthwith appointed as trustee under the scheme. The trustee has managed to recover the inams without even resorting to court.

Another instance in which the Board's intervention was fruitful may also be specially mentioned. Some salt pans of the famous temple of Sri Neelayathakshi Amman at Negapatam had been resumed on the ground of negligence in cultivation and violation of the Salt Factory rules. On the assurance of the Board as to compliance by the authorities of the Devasthanam with certain requirements, the Collector of Salt Revenue, Madras, reassigned the pans to it with the exception of those which had already been assigned over to others.

Acquisition  
of lands  
belonging to  
religious  
institutions  
by Govern-  
ment.

24. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kurnool, brought to the notice of the committee for the Kurnool District that certain unenfranchised religious service inams in East Tandrapad village, Kurnool Taluk, attached to Sri Rāmēswaraswami and Lingamayya Devata temples in the village, had been acquired by Government and wanted the committee to make arrangements for the utilization of the compensation amount deposited in the Court. The income of the temples being below Rs. 250 per annum, the Board, on the recommendation of the committee, addressed the Government for the special extension of the Act to them. The Government having passed orders

accordingly, the committee has been directed to take necessary steps as regards the compensation amount.

25. The lands belonging to the marginally-noted temples in the district of Tanjore were acquired for Cauvery-Metur Project. On receipt of intimation from the Special Deputy Collector in charge of such proceedings, the Board issued timely instructions to the trustees to see that the compensation awarded is adequate in each case, to invest the amounts so realized in recognized banks, not to divert the compensation amounts for the current expenses of the temple and to preserve the amounts as part of the corpus of capital assets of the temple, to be utilized for speedy purchase of suitable lands. The progress made in this matter by the trustees is also being watched.

26. During the period under report, over 625 special applications relating to the management of religious institutions were received and dealt with by the Board. Information in regard to cases disposed of by the Board in exercise of the specific powers given in the various sections of the Act is given in subsequent paragraphs. The further stages in respect of cases dealt with in exercise of the general powers of superintendence vested in it under Section 18 of the Act and specified in the previous administration reports and other important cases similarly dealt with by the Board during the period under report are specially mentioned below; while information as the nature and number of orders in other cases issued under that section is given in Statement XI.

Enquiries  
about mis-  
management.

*(A) Further stages of the various cases mentioned in the previous Administration Reports.*

(1) The Board still having to fight its way in Malabar against heavy odds has not been able to devote any attention to the renovation of the temples destroyed during the Mappilla rebellion.

(2) The Board after due enquiries has settled a scheme for Sri Kantheswar temple, Kantheswar in

South Kanara District, making adequate provisions for the repairs of the temple buildings.

(3) The repairs to the Kodur temples in the Nellore District covered by the Board's sanction have been finished.

In this connexion mention should be made of the havoc done by the cyclone about the end of the calendar year 1927. Several temples in Nellore and Cuddapah Districts were severely affected thereby, the effect having been more serious in the former district. One temple alone, viz., Sri Kothandaramaswami temple at Buchireddipalayam, Kovur Taluk, suffered loss to the extent of nearly Rs. 50,000.

(4) During the course of the enquiry held with a view to settle a scheme for Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami temple at Sholinghur in North Arcot District, it was understood that the village of Gopalapuram belonging to it was under the private possession of the trustee of the temple. He has since been induced to give up his claims to the village and to treat it as a temple endowment. The Board is conducting further investigations with a view to make him compensate to the temple for his past enjoyment of the said endowment village.

(5) As a result of the audit of the accounts of Sri Agasteswarar, etc., Devasthanams at Dharapuram in the Coimbatore District for the period 1899—1926, it was found that Mr. Ramaswami Ayyar, the trustee of the temple, owed a sum of Rs. 66,930-9-2 to the Devasthanam. The managing trustee, on the advice of the Board, obtained competent legal opinion as to the said liability. It is understood that the managing trustee of the temple has, since the close of the period under report, filed a suit for the recovery of the moneys from the said Mr. Ramaswami Ayyar.

(6) It is gratifying to note that as a result of the maintenance, in accordance with the scheme settled by the Board, of proper hundials for the receipt of offerings in Sri Subrahmanyaswami and Sri Kailasanathaswami temples at Sennimalai, Coimbatore District, the income of the temples was considerably enhanced during the period under report. One cause of public dissatisfaction was removed by the provision of safeguards in the scheme in regard to the custody of the jewels and other valuables of these temples.

*(B) Fresh cases.*

(i) During the period under report, the local officers of the Board were directed to be present at the time of important festivals and hundi collections to prevent breach of the peace and to safeguard the interests of the following institutions :—

- (1) Sri Virupakshiswaraswami temple, Hampi, Bellary District.
- (2) Sri Mylar Lingaswami temple, Mylar, Bellary District.
- (3) Sri Haranim Malleswaraswami temple, Thakkalakota, Bellary District.
- (4) Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple, Kuruvetti, Bellary District.
- (5) Sri Hosuramma temple, Hosur, Bellary District.
- (6) Sri Prasanna Venkateswaraswami temple, Rayadrug, Bellary District.
- (7) Sri Madhavaswami temple, Gorantla, Kurnool District.
- (8) Sri Narasimhaswami temple, Ahobilam, Kurnool District.
- (9) Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple, Srisailam, Kurnool District.
- (10) Sri Mahanandeswaraswami temple, Mahanandi, Kurnool District.
- (11) Sri Kalahasteswaraswami temple, Kalahasti, Chittoor District.
- (12) Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Kadiri, Anantapur District.
- (13) Sri Koteswaraswami temple, Kotapakonda, Guntūr District.
- (14) Sri Venkateswaraswami temple, Vadapalli, East Gōdāvāri District.
- (15) Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Korukonda, East Gōdāvāri District.
- (16) Sri Janardanaswami temple, Dowlaishweram, East Gōdāvāri District.
- (17) Sri Kotilingeswaraswami temple, Kotilingalu, East Gōdāvāri District.

(ii) One of the most important matters which engaged the attention of the Board during the year under review was the effect of Section 51 (4) of Madras

Act II of 1927 on the term of office of trustees appointed by the Board or the Committee as the case may be under Madras Act I of 1925. The trustees of some of the important temples so appointed raised the contention that the said section did not apply to them and that their term of office did not expire on 8th February 1928. This led to a series of cases of which the most important are mentioned below :—

(a) The trustee of Sri Dhandayuthapaniswami temple, Palni, Madura District, appointed on 30th November 1926 did not obey the orders of the Board holding that the committee was within its rights in having appointed a new trustee in his place and refused to hand over charge to the newly appointed trustee. The latter had to apply to the District Court, Madura, under Section 78 for getting possession of the temple and its properties. The District Court granted an order directing delivery of possession. In the meantime, a suit which was filed by the old trustee in the sub-court, Madura, for a declaration that he is the trustee of the temple, was dismissed. Against this order an appeal has been filed by him in the District Court which has passed an interim order staying execution of the orders passed under Section 78 of the Act for delivery of possession. The appeal has, however, been since withdrawn on the Board passing orders appointing two additional trustees including Mr. Mangala Gounder who has since handed over charge to the other additional trustee, viz., Mr. M. Sambanda Mudaliyar, Advocate.

(b) The newly appointed trustee of Sri Kallalagar temple, Madura District, had to obtain delivery of possession of the temple and its properties through court, as the old trustee, appointed by the committee, persisted in refusing to hand over charge even after the decision of the Board that his term of office did expire on 8th February 1928.

Even in cases where the new trustees have taken charge of the temple and its properties, they often have to face the situation arising out of the old trustees having leased out the temple lands for long periods and realized the entire amount of lease in advance. The new trustees find themselves in a fix in not being able either to cancel the leases or recover any lease amount.

(iii) It was brought to the notice of the Board that there was a long-standing practice in the Chitvel Revenue division of the Cuddapah District by which the village officers who are responsible for paying the tasdik grants to the temples gave promissory notes in some cases and in others they set off the tasdik amount against the kists due to Government from the temple servants or trustees in respect of their private lands. The inevitable result was that the services in the temple were most irregular and the purpose of the grants could hardly be fulfilled. The Board addressed the Revenue authorities and had the abuses corrected.

(iv) His Holiness the Pandarasannadhi of Tiruvaduthurai Math claiming himself to be the hereditary trustee of Sri Papavinasaswami temple, Papanasam, Tinnevely District, petitioned to the Board to set aside the order of the Tinnevely Circle Siva Temple Committee appointing Mr. Venkatachala Pillai as the sole trustee of the temple. The decision of the Board went against the Matathipathi. The new trustee had to file an application in the District Court under Section 78 of the Act for possession. The Matathipathi, as a counter move, filed an application before the same court for a declaration that the temple is an excepted temple as defined in the Act and that the appointment of trustee by the committee was ultra vires. The District Court dismissed the application of His Holiness and directed delivery of possession to the new trustee. Before the order could be executed, the Matathipathi filed a Civil Revision Petition in the High Court and obtained a stay order. The Civil Revision Petition is still pending.

(v) One of the striking cases of effective intervention by the Board under Section 18 of the Act was in the matter of Sri Mantrapurisarwaswami temple at Kovilur, Tirutturaippundi Taluk, Tanjore District. In this case, the Negapatam Circle Temple Committee appointed an additional trustee who, the Board thought, was not likely to work in harmony with the existing trustees. Besides, such an additional appointment was against the general policy laid down by the Board. The Board, on the application of the existing trustees, set aside the committee's order of appointment. The application preferred against the Board's

decision by the newly appointed gentleman was dismissed by the High Court.

(vi) On the report of the Inspector, Vizagapatam District, that the temples of Sri Nilakanteswaraswami and Kesavaswami, Nilampeta, Palkonda Taluk, Vizagapatam District, were in utter disrepair and that the archakas who were the *de facto* managers were misappropriating the whole of the produce of the temple lands without effecting any repairs to the temples, the Divisional Commissioner held a local enquiry and persuaded the archakas of both the temples to file an undertaking promising to execute repairs to the temples within a specified period.

Appoint-  
ment of  
interim  
trustees  
under  
section 18.

27. The Board had to appoint interim trustees under Section 18 of the Act in cases where, in connexion with enquiries into mismanagement, it was found necessary to provide for the proper carrying on of the administration of the endowments concerned pending final orders either settling schemes or issuing other directions. The appointments of interim trustees so made by the Board during the year under report were in respect of the following institutions :—

(1) Syamasundaraswami temple, Navagada, Gumsur Taluk, Ganjām District.

(2) Sri Gopinadhaswami temple, Krishnasoronopurosyasano, Gumsur Taluk, Ganjām District.

(3) Nilakanteswaraswami and Kesavaswami temples, Nilampeta, Palakonda Taluk, Vizagapatam District.

(4) Kesavaswami and other temples, Kesavaram, Ramachandrapur Taluk, East Gōdāvāri District.

(5) Nilakanteswaraswami temple, Komilli, Tade-palligudem Taluk, West Gōdāvāri District.

(6) Markandeyaswami temple, Polamuru, Tanuku Taluk, West Gōdāvāri District.

(7) Visweswaraswami temple, Veeravalli Mokhasa, Gannavaram Taluk, Kistna District.

(8) Venugopalaswami temple, Chinna Amalur, Kavali Taluk, Nellore District.

(9) Venkataramana temple, Mangalore, South Kanara.

Appoint-  
ment of  
interim  
trustees  
under  
section 42.

28. (1) On the report of the Honorary Assistant Commissioner, Ganjām, that Sri Ramadhina Das Goswamiji, the aged Mahant of Sri Narasimha Maha-



prabho Math at Haradakandi in Ganjām District was seriously ill and that there was a likelihood of a dispute as to succession, the Board addressed the Joint Magistrate, Berhampur, to make arrangements for safeguarding the properties of the math in the event of the Mahant's death pending such action as might be taken by the Board. The Mahant breathed his last on 9th August 1927 and rival claimants appeared on the scene and a riot was apprehended. The Joint Magistrate during his enquiry on 15th August 1927 passed an order attaching the math and its appurtenances till a competent Civil Court determined the rights of the rival claimants to the trusteeship of the math and appointed M.R.Ry. Rao Sahib M. V. Appa Rao Pantulu Garu, the Honorary Assistant Commissioner for the Ganjām District as receiver of the math. As a result of the enquiry held under Section 42 of the Act, the Board also appointed the same gentleman as an interim trustee. He continues in office and under his management marked progress has already been shown both in the income of the institution and in its general management.

(2) Srimathi Venkata Vallabamma, the trustee of Sri Venkateswaraswami temple, Bairipuram, Gajapati-nagaram Taluk, died on 29th August 1927 and there was a dispute as to succession. The Sub-Magistrate of Gajapatinagaram and the President of the Vizagapatam District Temple Committee promptly made temporary arrangements for the custody of the property by entrusting the same to M.R.Ry. Thata Surya Prakasa Rao Garu. On enquiry, the Board finding that the temple was an excepted one and that there were three rival claimants to the trusteeship, appointed the said Surya Prakasa Rao as interim trustee of the temple under Section 42 of the Act, pending the settlement of the dispute regarding succession and M.R.Ry. Cheemalapati Suryanarayana Murti Garu was associated with the interim trustee in the management of the Devasthanam. Both of them were directed to take necessary steps to have the temple lands redeemed from all encumbrances created by the late trustee, to have the lands leased out in open auction and to regulate the expenditure in accordance with the dittam that had been in vogue.

(3) The interim trustee appointed for Sri Balaji Mahaprabho Math at Khaddupada, Gumsur Taluk, Ganjām District (vide paragraph 22 (2) of the previous administration report) complained that he could not obtain possession of math properties without resort to court and that the natural guardian of the trustee had broken open the locks and taken possession of certain properties. The Divisional Commissioner thereupon held a local enquiry at Berhampur on 22nd June 1928 as a result of which a new interim trustee in the person of Sriman Raghunadha Das Mahasayo was appointed as he was expected to get into management without trouble.

(4) On the death of Sriman Govinda Das Bavaji, Mahant of Sri Radhakantaswami Math, Jagadalapur in the Ganjām District, the trusteeship devolved on his Chela Sriman Gowricharan Das Bavaji who was a minor. Sriman Kuntalu Haribhandu Patro Mahasayo who was already managing the institution on behalf of the minor fairly well, was appointed as interim trustee under Section 42 of the Act during the minority of the Chela referred to.

(5) Damodara Das Bavaji, Mahant of Sri Lakshmano Balajiswami Math, Palakonda, Aska Taluk, Ganjām District, died on 27th July 1928 leaving a will appointing a minor, Madana Mohan Das, as his successor and entrusting the management to the minor's brother who was to be his guardian. But he relinquished his office by a registered deed, dated 7th November 1928 in favour of Jugisti Patnaik. As the management of the math by the latter was satisfactory, the Board appointed him to discharge the functions of a trustee during the minority of the trustee. Two honorary visitors were also appointed to assist in the management.

(6) The Board conducted an enquiry on a report from the Honorary Assistant Commissioner, Ganjām, that the Mahant of Sri Divano Math, Chatrapur, Ganjām District, was exhibiting symptoms of madness. The Board appointed four respectable persons of the village as the interim trustees to manage the institution until the Mahant's disqualification ceased.

(7) There was a dispute regarding succession to the trusteeship of Sri Radhakrishnaswami Math,

Adhivi Reghunathapur and Reghunathaswami Math, Berhampur, which possess properties worth about Rs. 40,000. The Board appointed Mr. N. M. Patnaik as interim trustee pending the settlement of the disputes regarding succession, on his furnishing a bond of security of unencumbered immovable property worth Rs. 2,000. He, however, resigned his appointment by force of circumstances and one Mr. M. Venkataramana was appointed in his place on a monthly salary of Rs. 40, no suitable person being available for appointment as an honorary interim trustee.

(8) During an enquiry regarding mismanagement of Sri Narasimhaswami Math at Aska, it was brought to the notice of the Board that there was a dispute regarding succession to the trusteeship of this math as well as of the Sri Raghunathaswami Math at Sumanapalli, Sri Raghunadhaswami temple at Attagada and Sri Raghunathaswami temple at Dharakota, Ganjām District. Pending the decision of the civil courts on the disputes, the Board appointed Raghunatha Doss Bavaji as interim trustee of the first three institutions subject to the supervision of Appikatla Jambunatham Pantulu, a legal practitioner of the place. The Zamindar of Dharakota was appointed interim trustee for the last-mentioned temple.

(9) The trusteeship of Sri Chennakesavaswami and Sri Kasi Viswanadhaswami temples, Kommuru, Bapatla Taluk, Guntūr District, had devolved on the minor son of the late Zamindar of Manur. The Board with the consent of Padmalaya Devi, wife of the deceased, appointed an interim trustee under Section 42 of the Act.

(10) On a petition stating that the temple of Sri Venugopaldaswami at Sattanapalli, was mismanaged and that the trustee had died leaving no major heirs competent to discharge the functions of the trustee, the Board held an enquiry into the matter and appointed as interim trustee Mr. Ramachandra Rao, the eldest son of the late trustee adopted by his brother.

(11) On the death of Diwan Bahadur Y. Janakiramayya Pantulu, the trustee of Sri Someswaraswami temple, Pedapudi, leaving a minor son, the Board,

after due enquiry, appointed Lakshamma Garu, mother and guardian of the minor, as interim trustee.

(12) The Board was satisfied after due enquiry that there was gross mismanagement of the Byragi Math, Chiruvanuppalapad, Ongole Taluk, Guntūr District, and that there was litigation as to the right to the management of the institution and the possession of the property. Thereupon two interim trustees were appointed under Section 42 of the Act.

(13) The trustee of Sri Chimmanaswami Anjaneyaswami temple, Brucepet, Bellary town, having died and a dispute having arisen as to the succession, the Board appointed M.R.Ry. K. T. Srinivasa Achariyar Avargal, Vakil, Bellary, as an interim trustee of the temple pending the disposal of the dispute.

(14) With the cessation of the litigation as regards the succession to the Vadapathimangalam estate, M.R.Ry. C. Marudavanam Pillai Avargal, M.L.C., ceased to be the receiver of the estate. Consequently the orders of the Board appointing him as interim trustee of the Uluthurai kattalai of Sri Thiagarajaswami temple, Tiruvārūr, Tanjore District, had to be revised. The Board with the full concurrence of the wife of the former trustee who is the guardian of their minor adopted son and on the recommendation of the President of the Board of Control for the management of the temple, appointed M.R.Ry. Ramanuja Mudaliyar Avargal, the uncle of the minor, as the interim trustee of the Uluthurai kattalai until further orders of the Board.

(15) On the death of Raja Sri Sivaji Raja Saheb, the hereditary trustee of the Tanjore Palace Devasthanam, the son and the brother of the deceased were rival claimants to the trusteeship of the Devasthanam in O.S. No. 3 of 1928 on the file of the Sub-Court, Tanjore. The former, Sri Raja Shahaji Raja Saheb, was appointed as interim trustee to manage the Devasthanam with the assistance of a paid Superintendent to be appointed with the Board's approval, pending the disposal of the dispute as to succession. The above interim trustee also suddenly died on 30th April 1928 and then M.R.Ry. S. Viswanatha Rao Avargal, who had been working as the paid Superintendent of the Devasthanam was appointed interim trustee until further orders of the Board.

(16) During the visit of the Divisional Commissioner to Dhanukudari Bava Math, Karunthattangudi, Tanjore District, to enquire into a petition regarding mismanagement of the institution, the trustee appeared before him and expressed his inability to discharge the functions of the trustee. As the vacancy could not be filled up immediately, the Board appointed M.R.Ry. M. R. M. Tyagaraja Pillai Avargal of Karunthattangudi to discharge the functions of the trustee as an interim measure. A programme has also been drawn up by the Board to help the interim trustee to liquidate the liabilities of the math by selling some of its buildings.

29. During the period under report the Board dealt with 131 cases involving the settlement of schemes (a) either on receipt of regular and formal applications from private parties (69) or (b) as the result of the investigations directed by itself (62). On the conclusion of the enquiries that ensued, the Board settled, under Sections 57 and 63 of the Act, schemes of administration for 127 temples and 4 maths as against 147 temples in the previous period. A list of these schemes is appended in Statement XII.

Settlement  
of schemes.

30. Schemes settled by the Board are generally working satisfactorily except in the Cuddapah District and in the Northern Circars where archaka-trustees are seeking to set aside the schemes by filing suits in the District Courts. Marked improvement has been effected in the administration by the introduction of the schemes wherever they are allowed to operate without obstruction on the part of trustees or archakas who are ousted from management. It goes without saying that as time goes on, the Board will be able to settle schemes for more and more temples which require improvement and effect a clear betterment of the administration of the endowments concerned. The following features of schemes settled for temples may be specially mentioned:—

(i) In the case of important non-excepted temples, the appointment of trustees is to be made by the committees concerned subject to the approval of the Board.

(ii) The Board reserves for itself the right of appointing, or confirming the appointment of, paid

superintendents, managers or treasurers, when such posts are created for important institutions, securities wherever necessary being furnished to the satisfaction of the Board.

(iii) In the case of temples under the management of archakas, apportionment of the properties is made as already referred to in paragraph 10 supra and fresh trustees are appointed, the existing archakas being given the option to continue either as archakas or as trustees wherever they deserve such a considerate treatment.

(iv) Directions are given as to the proper leasing out of properties and the custody of jewels and other valuables.

(v) Provision is made to regulate investment of surplus funds.

(vi) Instructions are embodied to ensure the preparation and submission of budgets and the regular audit of accounts.

In the matter of the settlement of a scheme for Sri Phanamudiswarar temple, Conjeeveram, the Board, taking into consideration the peculiar local feeling prevailing in the community to which the temple owes its existence and endowments, decided to try the democratic method of election in the appointment of trustees to the temple and created an electorate and laid down the rules to regulate the election. The first election in accordance with the scheme was successfully held in February 1928 when the Board's Inspector for the Chingleput District acted as the returning officer.

Though several suits against the orders of the Board settling schemes for temples have been launched in the District Courts (vide Statement XIV), in no case has the Board's order been set aside so far. Since the close of the period under report, the suit relating to Sri Janardhanaswami temple, Gudali, Nellore District, has been decided in favour of the Board.

Modification  
of schemes  
settled by  
the Board.

31. During the period under report, the Board modified nine schemes framed by it on the representations made by the trustee or the committee or persons having interest with reference to practical difficulties found in the working of the schemes. A list of such cases is shown at the end of Statement XII.

32. The court scheme in respect of Sri Kalahasteswaraswami temple at Kalahasti, Chittoor District, has been taken up for modification and a suit filed in the District Court, Chittoor, for the purpose. The trustee has now approached the Board for a modification of the scheme by consent and it is engaging the attention of the Board.

Modification  
of old court  
schemes.

It is also understood that some interested persons have filed suits in respect of Sri Moolasthaneswaraswami temple, Moolapet, Nellore District, and Sri Devarajaswami temple, Little Conjeeveram, Chingleput District, for the modification of the respective schemes.

It is gratifying to note that the learned District Judge of Chingleput while passing judgment in a suit filed by the additional trustees of Sri Nityakalyani-swami temple, Tiruvadanthai, against the hereditary dharmakarthis for their removal, has decided that the old scheme framed by the Court required revision so as to enable the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Board to exercise control over the temple. Modification of the said scheme is pending in the District Court.

33. Though under Section 2 of the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act the Presidency town of Madras, i.e., the local limits for the time being of the ordinary original civil jurisdiction of the High Court, has been excluded from the operation of the Act, the High Court in settling schemes of management for the three temples of (1) Padavattamman at Kosapet, (2) Prasanna Venkatesa Perumal in Georgetown and (3) Dharmaraja and Venmbuli Amman at Choolai, ascertained the views of the Board and included a provision entrusting the Board with the power of appointing trustees as and when vacancies occur. The Board is happy that the High Court has given it opportunity to widen the scope of its useful work.

34. There were altogether 18 applications to the Board from trustees and persons having interest for sanction to file suits under Section 73 of the Act. The Board after due enquiries decided to accord the sanction required in 7 cases. A statement (XIII) showing the cases which were dealt with under this head is appended.

Action  
under sec-  
tion 73.

*Appeals against orders of punishments of  
temple servants.*

35. Two cases of appeal from temple servants against the orders of trustees came up before the Board during the period under report and they were disposed of as shown below :—

(1) One Tirumala Ramacharlu, the Acharya Purusha of Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami temple at Kadiri, Kadiri Taluk, Anantapur District, was dismissed by its trustee. He put in an appeal petition to the committee having jurisdiction over the temple and it was not acknowledged by the committee. The Board to whom the matter was referred by the Acharya Purusha called upon the committee to entertain the appeal. The committee did so and set aside the order of dismissal subject to certain conditions. The appellant not being satisfied with the order filed a second appeal before the Board which was however dismissed by the Board.

(2) The trustee of Sri Dhandayuthapaniswami temple, Palni, Madura District, appealed to the Board to set aside the interim order of the Palni Circle Temple committee staying the order of the trustee dismissing one cook and 15 pandarams of the temple for neglect of duty pending the disposal of the appeal by the committee. After due enquiries, the Board passed orders staying the stay order of the committee except as against one of the pandarams who undertook to perform his duties properly without giving room for complaint and directed the committee to dispose of the appeal of the temple servants expeditiously.

*Appeals by trustees against the orders of  
temple committees.*

36. The following six appeals presented by the trustees of temples from the orders of committees were disposed of under the provisions of Section 53 (3) :—

Name of temple whose trustee preferred the appeal.	Nature of the Board's orders.
<i>(a) Against suspension—</i>	
(1) Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple, Vallimalai, Chittoor taluk.	The Committee's order was not set aside.



Name of temple whose trustee preferred the appeal.	Nature of the Board's orders.
(2) Sri Kasi Viswanathaswami temple, Kalambur, North Arcot District.	The Board did not think it worth while to pass any order as the term of the appellant as trustee was coming to a close.
<i>(b) Against dismissal—</i>	
(1) Sri Iswaraswami temples at Perur and Yerragudidinne, Sirvel taluk— (appeal by Poojari-trustee against the order of the old District Temple Committee.)	The Committee's order was set aside. The poojari was restored to his place as poojari. A new trustee having been appointed by the present District Temple Committee in the meanwhile, certain directions as to apportionment of lands as between the poojari and the trustee were given.
(2) Sri Varadaraja Perumal temple, Pappakudi, Mayavaram Taluk.	} Appeal dismissed.
(3) Sri Naganathaswami temple, Manalmedu, Mayavaram Taluk.	
(4) Sri Karpaganathaswami temple, Karpaganatharkulam, Tirutturaippundi Taluk.	The Committee's order was set aside.

*Application of the doctrine of cyprès.*

37. After an elaborate investigation by the late President of the Board, proposals of the Board to appropriate out of the funds of the Tirupathi, Tirumalai, etc. Devasthanams certain amounts for the establishment of a University at Tirupathi were submitted to Government. They were, however, deferred as per orders of Government till the disposal of the suit filed by the trustee of the Devasthanams disputing the validity of the Act, etc. The said suit having now been withdrawn by the Mahant, the question has again been taken up for examination. The Board will ere long hold a discussion with a trustee with a view to see whether the proposals could be matured in the near future with his concurrence or by a suitable amendment of the scheme governing the administration of the Devasthanams.

*Applications under section 78.*

38. The scope of Section 78 as it stands at present is interpreted in different ways by different Judges. For instance, the view is taken that the summary remedy under Section 78 can be asked for only against ex-trustees and not against third parties though they may be in possession of admittedly trust properties. Another view is that the section cannot be invoked by a trustee deemed to be appointed under the Act construing the word "appointed" very literally. A third view is that non-hereditary trustees appointed by the Board under Section 18 or otherwise cannot resort to court under this section. The Board considers that the section should be clearly made applicable to all the three cases mentioned above. For this purpose, the Board has already suggested an amendment of the section in the Amending Bill.

*Disputes under section 84.*

39. The conduct of enquiries with reference to disputes raised under Section 84 of the Act took up a considerable time of the Board as in previous years. A statement (VI-B) showing the names of institutions in respect of which such disputes were disposed of by the Board is appended. Out of the 273 cases mentioned therein, initiative as regards 14 cases was taken by the Board itself, while in others the trustees raised the contentions under Section 84. As a result of the enquiries conducted by the Board, 209 were declared to be public of which 101 were classified as excepted. Seven temples were found to be private, while 57 temples had to be left out as being outside the scope of the Act either on the score of their incomes being below the minimum prescribed in the Act or the institutions not falling under the definitions in the Act.

A statement (XVI) showing the several applications received from the trustees of Malabar for the taking of evidence by commission in connection with enquiries under Section 84 is appended.

40. A large number of applications have been filed in District Courts by the affected trustees under sub-section (2) of the section—vide statement XIV appended.

Five of them have been decided in favour of the Board and in no case were the Board's orders set aside.

A petition under section 84 relating to Sri Venugopalaswami temple, Mulapet, Nellore District, has been withdrawn and a suit relating to the same is likely to be withdrawn.

*Suits and applications to courts.*

41. No fresh suits were launched in the High Court questioning the validity of the Act. But several civil revision petitions were filed in the High Court against the orders of several District Judges. A number of suits and petitions were also filed in the District Courts against the decisions of the Board under section 84 of the Act and its orders settling schemes for the administration of maths and temples under sections 57 and 63.

A list of suits and petitions filed during the period under report against the Board is appended in statement XIV.

Besides these, there was an application in the original side of the High Court under section 45 of the Specific Relief Act for the issue of mandamus against the Board. This was filed by M.R.Ry. M. P. Mangala Kavandar Avargal, the trustee appointed by the Board for Sri Dandayuthapani Devasthanam, Palni, when he found that the Board's interpretation of section 51 (4) of the Act affected him adversely as regards period of trusteeship. Since the close of the period under report, the application has been dismissed and the appeal against the said order is still pending in the appellate side of the High Court.

During the period under report, the courts finally disposed of the following suits and applications filed against the Board :—

Name of institution.	Nature of the suit.	Result.
(1) Sri Minakshi Sundareswarar temple, Kandanur, Ramnad District.	To declare the Act ultra vires and to set aside the order of the Board declaring the temple public.	Dismissed.
(2) Sri Somanathaswami temple, Sivaganga, Ramnad District.	To set aside the order of the Board declaring the temple public.	Do.

Name of plaintiffs.	Nature of the case.	Remarks.
(3) Tiruvengadam Udayar temple, Ariyakudi, Ramnad District.	To set aside the order of the Board declaring the temple public.	Dismissed.
(4) Sri Subrahmanyaswami and Sri Kailasanathaswami temples at Senimalai, Coimbatore District.	To modify the scheme settled by the Board.	Do.
(5) Sri Viswanathaswami temple, Santhapet, Nellore Taluk.	To set aside the order of the Board declaring the temple public.	Do.
(6) Do.	Revision of the District Court's order regarding the amount of court fees to be paid in the case.	Do.
(7) Sri Nilakanteswaraswami temple, Chejerla, Atmakur Taluk, Nellore District.	To set aside the scheme settled by the Board.	Do.
(8) Sri Lakshminarayana Perumal temple, Nidamangalam, Mannargudi Taluk.	To set aside the order of the Board declaring the temple public.	Do.
(9) Venkataramana temple, Mangalore.	To set aside the order of the Board appointing interim trustee.	Do.
(10) Do.	Do.	Do.

42. On the whole, the judicial decisions given during the period under report were very favourable to the Board. The following cases have also been since decided in favour of the Board in the High Court, though it is understood that in respect of most of them appeals have been filed :—

Name of plaintiffs.	Nature of the case.	Remarks.
(1) The Pandarasannidhi of Dharmapuram math, Tanjore District.	Act ultra vires ... ..	Dismissed.
(2) The Pandarasannidhi of Tiruvaduthurai math, Tanjore District.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(3) The trustees of Perumal, Pidari and other temples, Ramnad District.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(4) The trustees of Pillayar-patti Kovil, Ramnad District.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(5) The trustees of Neman Kovil, Ramnad District.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(6) The trustee of Vairavan Kovil, Ramnad District.	Do. ... ..	Do.

Name of plaintiffs.	Nature of the case.	Remarks.
(7) The trustee of Kalahasteswaraswami temple, Kalahasti, Chittoor District.	Act ultra vires ... ..	Suit withdrawn.
(8) The trustees of Kshetrapulam temple, South Kanara.	Do. ... ..	Dismissed.
(9) The trustee of Parambantalai temple, South Malabar.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(10) Rama Varma Valia Raja of Chirakkal, trustee of several temples in North Malabar.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(11) The trustee of Ramapuram temple, North Malabar.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(12) The Raja of Kadathanad, trustee of several temples, North Malabar.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(13) The Raja of Punnattur, trustee of Perumudasari and other temples, South Malabar.	Do. ... ..	Do.
(14) The Raja of Palghat, trustee of several temples in South Malabar.	Act ultra vires and claiming the temples as private and for interim injunction.	Do.
(15) The trustees of Tali-paramba temple, South Malabar.	For a declaration that the Act is ultra vires and that the Board has no jurisdiction under Section 84 to decide whether a temple is public or private,	Do.
(16) Tharakkal Unikanda Menon, trustee of Ramapuram temple, Palghat, South Malabar.	Act ultra vires ... ..	Do.
(17) Kuthiravattath Appu Thampun, trustee of Nallakavu temple, Palghat Taluk, South Malabar.	Do. ... ..	Do.

One of the cases pending in the High Court which had been filed by the trustee of Kottamala temple Palghat Taluk, South Malabar, for a declaration that Madras Act I of 1925 was ultra vires of the Provincial Legislature and for other reliefs, was decreed on the ground that the temple had been destroyed long before the Hindu Religious Endowments Act was passed and that the Board could not therefore decide whether the Act applied to that institution.

*Finance.*

Contribution.

43. Though the Board was not able fully to realize the amounts of contributions for which demands were issued during the year under report or had been issued during the previous fasli, the amount collected was considerably more than in the previous years. The total amount of contributions realized during the fasli under report was Rs. 1,23,869-5-7 as against Rs. 60,950-9-9 of the previous fasli. But it is to be remembered that the bulk of the realizations during the previous fasli was received in anticipation of formal demands, most of the first set of demands for the period from 15th April 1925 to 30th June 1927 having been issued only during the fasli under report. The appended statement XV-B shows the amount of contribution realized district-war.

The realization of contributions is still a matter of uncertainty which gives anxiety to the Board. It must be frankly confessed that the Board has not yet reached the stage of standing upon its own legs, but has yet to look to Government support for its maintenance. The majority of the trustees take things easy and try to put off payment of contributions as long as possible by passive silence or by raising various questions as to the accuracy of the demands, etc. Resort to coercive processes by the filing of applications in courts under Section 70 (2) of the Act is attended with various difficulties as set forth in the Board's letter to Government No. 234 Mis./28-1, dated 13th September 1928. It is practically impossible for the Board to go to Court in regard to the realization of contributions from each and every institution that is in arrears. However, steps were taken by the Board to file these applications in respect of a number of temples which were also intended to serve as warnings to other institutions as well. In several cases, the trustees were served with lawyer notices before the applications were actually filed. Some of them paid off the amounts due on receipt of the notices and avoided the filing of applications in the District Courts. It must be said to the credit of the committees working in the Southern districts that they were unstintingly helping the Board in the matter of collecting contributions due to it. As in the previous year, they were given a commission

equivalent to 5 per cent of the collections. The same cannot be said of the committees in the Telugu districts where this system had, subject to a single exception, to be put an end, as it was not at all found to work satisfactorily.

Since the close of the fasli under report, the inspecting officers of the Board have been definitely authorized by the Board to collect contributions on their giving satisfactory security to the Board. Hitherto they were, during their tours, trying to persuade trustees to remit the contributions and afford such facilities in that direction as were possible. But the actual and direct collection of contributions has been entrusted to these officers only recently. Though that would somewhat affect the proper discharge of their other duties, the Board had to introduce this change in order to improve the collections. The progress so far made herein by the local officers appears encouraging.

A statement (XV-A) showing the progress in the issue of final demands for contributions both for the first two years and 2½ months as well as for fasli 1337 under Section 70 of the Act is appended.

1. Sri Nilayathakshi Amman Temple, Negapatam, ¾% up to 30 June 1926.
2. Angalamman Parameswari Temple, Thalayattam, Gudiyattam Taluk, Re. 1 per year.
3. Visvanathaswami Temple, Kurichi, Tanjore District, 1% per annum.
4. Kamakshi Amman Temple, Tiruvannamalai, 1% per annum.
5. Hathiramjee Mutt, Tirupati, 1% per annum.
6. Satyanarayanawami Temple, Satyanarayanapet, Bellary District 1% per annum.

44. During the period under report, the Board decided to fix reduced rates of contributions in the case of the marginally-noted religious institutions owing to the very peculiar circumstances of those institutions.

45. In the case of Sri Nilayathakshi Amman Temple, Negapatam, the trustee appointed under the scheme represented to the Board about the chaotic condition of the devasthanam and requested the Board to give the devasthanam the advantage of rule V of the rules prescribing the method of calculating the income of a religious endowment and fix once for all the yearly amount of contribution payable by the temple for 5 years from fasli 1336. The Board accepted the proposal of the trustee.

46. The Board is glad to learn that the question of the entire expenses of the Board's administration being met from Provincial funds which was raised in the previous report, is now under examination by the Government. The Board trusts that its request will be complied with so that its legitimate work may go on unimpeded by financial uncertainties and by the diversion of its time and energy towards the heavy task of demanding and realizing contributions, not to speak of the unpopularity and odium that attach to the Board in consequence thereof. Public opinion has got reconciled to the levy of contributions towards the expenses of committees but this innovation of an additional levy on the part of the Board and extra drain on the funds of religious institutions is really resented not only by the trustees concerned but by the general public as well, though the latter are appreciating more and more the activities of the Board and its intervention for improving the affairs of maths and temples.

Court fees.

47. The court fees on applications filed before the Board during the period under report amounted to Rs. 722.

Loans from Government.

48. The Board was able to maintain itself during the fasli from the contributions realized and from the balance of the previous fasli. Consequently the Government were not approached for any fresh advances. But the Board was not able to repay the instalments which fell due towards the advances made during the previous faslis and the Government were pleased to extend the time of repayment. As the Board is hardly able to keep its work going from the contributions that are realized, it will not be possible for it to repay the loans at all and the Government have accordingly been requested to write them off.

Copying charges.

49. The total number of copy applications received and complied with in the office of the Board during the period under report was 735 and the amount received therefor amounted to Rs. 2,209-15-0.

Receipts and expenditure.

50. The total ordinary receipts amounted to Rs. 1,29,001-13-11 (Rs. 1,23,869-5-7 by way of contribution, plus Rs. 5,132-8-4 miscellaneous receipts), while the expenditure excluding loans to committees



and advances to the Board's officers and vakils came to Rs. 1,72,055-14-0 including an expenditure of Rs. 6,275-5-6 as law charges. A statement (XVII) of receipts and expenditure of the Board during the period in question is appended.

51. During the period under report, advantage was taken of the provisions of Madras Act I of 1928 to recommend the continuance of nominated members, in the case of the following committees, for a second period after the expiry of one year from the date of fresh constitution under the new Act :—

Temple  
Committees.

- (1) The Ganjām District Temple Committee.
- (2) The Vizagapatam District Temple Committee.
- (3) The East Gōdāvāri District Temple Committee.
- (4) The West Gōdāvāri District Temple Committee.
- (5) The Kistna District Temple Committee.
- (6) The Guntūr do.
- (7) The Nellore do.
- (8) The Kurnool do.
- (9) The Bellary do.
- (10) The Chittoor do.
- (11) The Kumbakōnam Circle Temple Committee.
- (12) The Trichinopoly do.
- (13) The Turaiyur do.
- (14) The Ariyalur do.

The Government have generally accepted the Board's recommendation.

52. Almost all the committees in the Northern Circars did not function for nearly three-quarters of the period under report as will be evident from the marginal statement. The Board had to step into the place of the committees in exercising some kind of supervision over the non-excepted temples in the areas concerned. The extra responsibility thrown on the Board was a strain, but it was well discharged.

1. The Ganjām District Temple Committee from 1st March 1928 till 30th June 1928.

2. The Vizagapatam District Temple Committee from 25th October 1927 till 7th May 1928.

3. The East Gōdāvāri District Temple Committee from 4th October 1927 till 26th June 1928.

4. The West Gōdāvāri District Temple Committee from 18th October 1927 till 26th June 1928.

5. The Kistna District Temple Committee from 1st November 1927 till 30th June 1928.

6. The Guntūr District Temple Committee from 4th October 1927 till 30th June 1928.

Even in the case of the other committees, the interregnum between the expiry of the first period of one year and their reconstitution was very considerable though not so much as in the case of the Northern Circars. This circumstance was no doubt a handicap in the matter of supervision of the non-excepted temples in those districts also.

The proposals of the Board for the first reorganization of committees in Anantapur and Cuddapah districts were approved by Government and two circle committees in each of the two districts were formed with effect from January 1928. These committees have, however, proved failures on the whole chiefly owing to want of funds. The Board having come to the conclusion that the situation might be improved if a single district committee were constituted in either case, amalgamation proposals have recently been submitted to Government.

Owing to financial reasons, the Board made similar recommendations to the Government for the abolition of the circle committees in the North and South Arcot districts. This proposal having been approved by the Government, a single new committee has come into being in each of these two districts since the close of the period under report. The committees in the Madura District were also reconstituted, the Kallalagar and Kudalagar temple committee being absorbed into the Madura Circle Temple Committee again chiefly on financial grounds.

Similar proposals for the reconstitution of committees in the Coimbatore District were also submitted

to the Government and  
since the close of the fasli,  
the marginally noted two  
committees have taken

The Coimbatore Circle Temple Committee.  
The Erode Circle Temple Committee.

the place of the four previous committees in that district.

The temples in the Nilgiri District were not hitherto under any committee and the proposal of the Board to constitute a separate committee for the district with a view to bring the temples under more effective supervision has been approved by Government since the close of the fasli.

One special feature of the committees in the Tinnevely District was that there was a separate

committee for all the non-excepted Vishnu temples in the district while there were two committees for the non-excepted Siva temples. The existence of separate committees for the Vishnu and Siva temples tended to accentuate and intensify sectarian disputes and would have also resulted in the duplication of costs of election the same voters having to exercise their franchise twice, once for the Siva Committee and again for the Vishnu Committee, in the same area. For these reasons, the Government have, since the close of the period of the report, accepted the recommendation of the Board and abolished the Tinnevely District Vishnu Temple Committee and extended the jurisdiction of the remaining two committees over the Vishnu temples in their respective jurisdictions.

A statement (XVIII) showing the names of committees, their sanctioned strength and actual strength as it stood on 30th June 1928 is appended.

The trustees of Srirangam Devasthanam represented that the four temples situated in the Lalgudi Taluk which are the sub-temples of that Devasthanam governed by the same Court scheme should be transferred from the jurisdiction of the Turaiyur Circle Temple Committee, in whose area that taluk lay, to that of the Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee as the latter was exercising control over the Srirangam Devasthanam. In consultation with the Presidents of the two committees, the Board recommended the change to the Government and they approved of the same.

53. During the period under report, the following loans were advanced by the Board to the committees noted below for the purposes stated against each :—

Name of committee.	Amount of loan.			Purpose for which loan was advanced.
	RS.	A.	P.	
The Ganjam District Temple Committee.	500	0	0	The printing and publication of electoral rolls.
The Vizagapatam District Temple Committee.	817	14	0	Do.
The East Gōdāvari District Temple Committee.	725	15	4	Do.
The West Gōdāvari District Temple Committee.	100	0	0	Initial expenses.
Do.	466	10	10	The printing and publication of electoral rolls.

Name of committee.	Amount of loan.			Purpose for which loan was advanced.
	RS.	A.	P.	
The Kistna District Temple Committee.	100	0	0	Initial expenses.
Do.	769	6	6	The printing and publication of electoral rolls.
The Guntūr District Temple Committee.	560	0	0	Do.
The Nellore District Temple Committee.	650	0	0	Do.
The Kurnool District Temple Committee.	500	0	0	Do.
The Chittoor District Temple Committee.	436	14	9	Do.
The Cuddapah Circle Temple Committee.	100	0	0	Initial expenses.
The Gooty Circle Temple Committee.	100	0	0	Do.
The Tiruvallur Circle Temple Committee.	100	0	0	Do.
The Pollachi Circle Temple Committee.	191	12	6	The printing and publication of electoral rolls.
The Proddatur Circle Temple Committee.	100	0	0	Initial expenses.

Including the loans aggregating to Rs. 300 given during the previous fasli, the total indebtedness of the committees to the Board came to Rs. 6,518-9-11 at the end of the year under report. During that period none of the committees repaid any portion of the loans taken by them. Since the close of the period, however, the Kurnool District Committee has repaid Rs. 600. Some of the committees have requested the Board to write off the loans granted to them, while others have asked for extension of time for the repayment of the loans. These matters are engaging the attention of the Board.

54. During the fasli under report, the Board approved of the proposals of the following committees in determining their electoral circles :—

The Cuddalore Circle Temple Committee.	
The Villupuram	do.
The Vellore	do.
The Tiruvettipuram	do.
The Chingleput	do.
The Tiruvallur	do.

- The Tinnevely District Vishnu Temple Committee.
- The Tinnevely Circle Siva Temple Committee.
- The Madura Meenakshi Sundareswarar, etc. Devasthanam Committee.
- The Erode Circle Temple Committee.
- The Palni Circle Temple Committee.

- Palni Circle Temple Committee.
- Ramnād District Temple Committee.
- Tinnevely Circle Siva Temple Committee.
- Tuticorin Circle Siva Temple Committee.
- Coimbatore Circle Temple Committee.
- Pollachi Circle Temple Committee.
- Erode Circle Temple Committee.
- Tinnevely District Vishnu Temple Committee.
- Madura Meenakshi Sundareswarar, etc. Devasthanam Committee.
- Tanjore Circle Temple Committee.
- Kumbakonam Circle Temple Committee.
- Negapatam Circle Temple Committee.
- Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee.
- Turaiyur do. do.
- Ariyalur do. do.
- Tiruvettipuram do. do.
- Bellary District Temple Committee.
- Chittoor do. do.
- Kurnool do. do.
- Nellore do. do.
- Kistna do. do.
- Guntur do. do.

55. Electoral rolls were completely ready at the close of the year in the case of the marginally-noted committees.

56. With reference to sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Act, the following committees obtained the approval of the Board for the levy of contributions due to them at 1½ per cent of the annual income of the temples under their control :—

- (1) Proddatur Circle Temple Committee.
- (2) Cuddapah do. do.
- (3) Gooty do. do.
- (4) Dharmavaram Circle Temple Committee.
- (5) Chingleput do. do.
- (6) Tiruvettipuram do. do.
- (7) Tiruvallur do. do.
- (8) Ariyalur do. do.
- (9) Tuticorin Circle Siva Temple Committee.
- (10) Madura Circle Temple Committee.
- (11) Pollachi Circle Temple Committee.
- (12) Kallalagar and Kudalagar Temples Committee.
- (13) Mangalore Circle Temple Committee.
- (14) Udipi Circle Temple Committee.

57. Temple committees which were not very much handicapped by want of funds worked satisfactorily on the whole, though it must be admitted that several committees did not try their best in the matter of collecting contributions and improving their finances. Only the committees noted in the margin have

The Tanjore Circle Temple Committee.		
The Kumbakonam	do.	do.
The Negapatam	do.	do.
The Trichinopoly	do.	do.
The Ariyalur	do.	do.
The Turaiyur	do.	do.
The Udipi	do.	do.
The Mangalore	do.	do.
The Chittoor District Temple Committee.		
The Kurnool	do.	
The Bellary	do.	
The Cuddapah Circle Temple Committee.		
The Gooty	do.	
The Salem	do.	
The Kallalagar and Kudal Alagar Devasthanam Committee.		
The Ganjām District Temple Committee.		
The Vizagapatam	do.	
The West Gōdāvari	do.	
The Chingleput Circle Temple Committee.		
The East Gōdāvari District Temple Committee.		
The Villupuram Circle Temple Committee.		

submitted the reports on their administration during the last fasli. Owing to this fact and the fact that committees (out of a total of 41 committees) were not functioning during the major or considerable portion of that period, a comprehensive review of the work of the committees in the Presidency is not feasible. But a brief review of the administration of the committees whose reports have been received is appended.

### *Tours of Commissioners.*

58. With a view to inspect temples and maths and to conduct local enquiries into petitions, the Commissioners had to make tours in their respective divisions. During such tours, they always took care to come into contact with the general public so as to enlist their co-operation in the administration of religious endowments and to induce the trustees to pay up the contributions due to the Board and submit the registers of properties, etc., and returns of income called for from them. While on tour, the Commissioners also check the work of the Inspecting Officers of the Board and discuss with the Board's mufassal vakils the points that require elucidation for the better conduct of the affairs of religious endowments.

The number of days spent on tour and the number of temples and maths visited by each of the Commis-

sioners during the period under report are shown below :—

	Number of days spent on tour.	Number of institutions visited.
M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur C. V. Ranga Reddi Garu ... ..	4	3
M.R.Ry. R. Surya Rao Garu ... ..	70	62
M.R.Ry. E. S. Chidambaram Pillai Avargal ... ..	75	210
M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur M. Chengayya Pantulu Garu ... ..	77	113
M.R.Ry. P. V. Nataraja Mudaliyar Avargal ... ..	15	30
M.R.Ry. C. S. Swaminatha Mudaliyar Avargal (Acting Commissioner) ...	20	15

59. The Board may justly claim that it has already done a lot of good work in improving the administration of religious endowments in this Presidency though it has been in existence only for a little over three years and it has been working under very hard conditions. The Board's finances are as bad as ever and the staff it has been able to employ both in its central office and in the mufassal districts has been found to be quite inadequate. As already observed, a lot of time and energy is devoted to the task of levying contributions payable by maths and temples, though the degree of success achieved therein is by no means proportionate to the labour involved. The Board trusts that a scrutiny of the foregoing paragraphs and the statements appended would show that the Board's achievement during the period under report was not inconsiderable. The Board is, however, aware that a good portion of the work still remains to be done and that the affairs of a very large number of religious institutions require overhauling and the remedies to be adopted will have to be settled after careful examination of the circumstances of each case and the study of local public feeling. If in this matter the Board's attention is not to be confined to cases brought to notice by interested worshippers—that it should not be so confined goes without saying—the Commissioners will have to go on tours more frequently than they are able to do at present with the very limited allotment for travelling allowance charges and the assistance they derive from the Inspecting Officers of the Board

Concluding remarks.

must also be greater than it is now, there being only a single officer for a district on the average to attend to ever so many items of work.

It must be acknowledged that the worshipping public have been evincing greater and greater interest in the work of the Board by availing themselves of all possible opportunities of bringing defects in the administration of religious endowments to the notice of the Board and temple committees. There has also been marked improvement in the attitude of the trustees towards the Board, in their readiness to abide by the instructions as to maintenance of accounts and such other matters. The attitude of indifference caused by the doubts as to the validity of the Hindu Religious Endowments Act and the constitution of the Board which was going down after the passing of the re-enacting Bill has been further attenuated by the recent decisions given by the High Court of Judicature at Madras on its original side in favour of the Board in the suits which had raised the above issues. But the more recalcitrant trustees can practically continue to be negligent of the instructions of the Board in so many directions until extreme measures—such as removal for persistent default or mismanagement by orders settling schemes or by resorting to the Civil Courts under section 73 of the Act—are taken inasmuch as the Board has no summary powers to deal with cases of disobedience, say for instance, in not furnishing returns of income or budgets or in not complying with demands for contributions. In some cases the temple committees too do not give that help to the Board, which ought to be expected from them, in dealing with the trustees of temples under their control.

If the Government introduce at once the Amending Bill forwarded by the Board in November 1927 and get it passed without delay, it would go a great way in furthering the work of the Board so well begun. With the additional facilities which the amendments contemplated in the Bill would afford and with the removal of its financial disabilities by the provincialization of the Board, it will certainly show remarkable progress in its work of regularizing and improving the management of religious institutions by introducing order where chaos has been rampant and by putting an end to maladministration and misappropriation,



deliberate or casual, conscious or unconscious. The general public and the trustees are now convinced that the Board has come to stay and it is up to the Government to adopt suitable measures with a view to make them feel that the Board's financial position is stable and its powers are ample to take all the steps that are reasonable and necessary to remove abuses and effect improvements in the administration of maths and temples.

60. In conclusion, the Board must once again express its appreciation of the excellent work which its Secretary, M.R.Ry. C. S. Swaminatha Mudaliyar Avargal, B.A., continued to render in the carrying on of its administration. The period for which his services had been lent to the Board expired in April 1928 and the Government were pleased to extend it by three years. The Board would take this opportunity of expressing its thanks to the Government for having allowed it to have the benefit of his high capacity and valuable experience for a further period.

---

## APPENDIX I.

## REVIEW OF THE WORKING OF COMMITTEES DURING FASLI 1337.

Out of a total of 44 committees in the Presidency that worked during fasli 1337, the period under review, 10 committees were not functioning for over six months, while eight others did not function for a period ranging from 3 to 6 months—Vide Statement XVIII appended. When committees were not functioning, ex-Presidents merely carried on the routine of their offices under the Board's instructions. Twenty-one committees only have submitted their reports of administration for the fasli. These committees unanimously urge in their reports that the burden of audit and election charges should not be thrown on them. They also point out the difficulties experienced by the trustees of non-expected temples in having to pay a contribution of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  per cent to the Board in addition to an equivalent contribution due to the committee while the rich maths and expected temples have to pay contribution only to the Board. The question of abolishing the levy of contributions by the Board has been already submitted for the consideration of the Government and the Board hopes that it will be satisfactorily solved before long. A short review of the administration of the 21 committees that have submitted their reports of administration is given in the following paragraphs.

*The Ganjam District Temple Committee.*

This committee functioned only for eight months during the fasli under report as the term of office of its members expired on 1st March 1928. Four meetings were held during the period the committee was functioning, and another meeting could not be held for want of quorum. The committee began work with a regular staff of a clerk, an attender, two inspecting officers and two amins and exercised jurisdiction over 984 temples.

The total receipts of the committee were Rs. 2,843-1-6 including the loan of Rs. 500 advanced by the Board. The fasli closed with a balance of Rs. 115-7-9 the total expenditure having come to Rs. 2,727-9-9. The outstanding liabilities of the committee amounted to Rs. 1,711 3-8 without taking into consideration the loan advanced by the Board.

The committee appointed trustees for 35 temples and constituted Vicharana Mandalis for ten temples. In the case of other temples, the terms of whose trustees expired under Section 51 (4) of the Act, the committee merely passed a resolution that the trustees holding office on 8th February 1928 would continue to do so for one year or until further orders of the committee.

The committee must be said to have done creditable work in spite of the want of funds and want of a proper machinery to collect contributions. On the recommendation of the Board the continuance of nominated members for another term of one year under the proviso to Section 22 of the Act introduced by Act I of 1928 has been since approved by the Government.

*The Vizagapatam District Temple Committee.*

The term of office of the members of this committee expired on 26th October 1927, and the Government on the recommendation of the Board passed orders nominating new members for another period of one year from 15th May 1928. The committee functioned only for about six months during the fasli during which time it was able to effect an increase in the income of Sri Venkataramanaswami and Ranganathaswamivari temples, Jinnam, Gajapatnagaram taluk, from Rs. 460 to Rs. 1,000 by the appointment of new trustees and by ordering the temple lands to be leased out in open auction. It held 3 meetings during that period and exercised jurisdiction only over 78 temples as most of the temples in the district are excepted ones being under the management of the Maharajah of Vizianagaram. The committee with its limited finance is running its office with only one clerk.

Contributions aggregating to Rs. 429-4-10 were collected by the committee during the fasli while its expenditure amounted to Rs. 1,239-4-6 leaving a balance of Rs. 66-11-0. In addition to the loan of Rs. 100 originally advanced by the Board, a subsequent loan of Rs. 737-14-0 had to be given to meet the charges of printing and publication of the electoral roll of the committee.

The committee is doing its very best under the able guidance of its President Mr. B. Venkatapathi Razu, C.I.E. The financial problem of the committee is a serious one as its income is not adequate for the carrying on of its administration with the requisite staff.

*The West Gōdāvāri District Temple Committee.*

The committee functioned only for 3 months and 15 days up to 19th October 1927 during the period under report and it could not do any work worth the name. Only 2 meetings were convened, of which one had to be adjourned for want of quorum.

The committee started its office with only one clerk and a peon and as some funds came in, an inspector and a masalechi were added to its staff. The great opposition to the committee is from the archaka-trustees about whose attitude information is given in the Board's Administration Report. During the period under review, the committee appointed trustees for nine temples under its control.

During the period under report, the committee was able to realize Rs. 1,748-12-6 including the loan of Rs. 100 advanced by the Board for its initial expenses, but the expenditure exceeded the income by Rs. 399-5-6. The Board is of opinion that unless the archaka problem is settled, the position of the committee would continue to be uncertain.

All the three committees in the Northern Circars mentioned above have not taken any action for obtaining registers under Section 38 of the Act and budgets due from the non-excepted temples under their control.

*The East Gōdāvāri District Temple Committee.*

During the fasli under review this committee functioned only for 3 months and 5 days during which time, only one meeting was held. It exercised control over 478 temples. There was an office staff consisting

of 2 clerks and one attender besides 2 inspectors and 2 peons for out-door work. The archakas in this, as in some neighbouring districts, refuse to pay contributions and the newly appointed trustees are unable to take possession of the temple properties without resorting to courts. The committee is thus very badly handicapped financially. It realized an amount of Rs. 926-4-9 by way of contributions while the expenses came to Rs. 949-9-6. It is not possible to estimate the work of the committee as the actual period of its working was very small.

*The Gooty Circle Temple Committee.*

This committee was constituted for the first time with effect from 2nd January 1928 with jurisdiction over the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Gooty and Tadpatri. The archakas were hostile to the Act and the committee, though the public view was favourable. The committee convened 8 meetings during the 6 months of its working in the fasli and 2 of them had to be adjourned for want of quorum. The committee exercised jurisdiction over 71 temples.

The committee received budgets from 5 temples four of which were sanctioned by the committee. Trustees were also appointed for 6 temples.

The committee though it has sent out demands for contribution to the extent of Rs. 712-7-0 has been able to collect only Rs. 10-15-0. The committee received a loan of Rs. 100 from the Board for its initial expenses.

Very little work was turned out by the committee owing to want of funds notwithstanding the zeal and earnestness of its President. The other committee in this district the Dharmavaram Circle Temple Committee too did not turn out any work worth the name, only 2 meetings being held to transact business. The Board has, therefore, suggested to the Government the advisability of abolishing the two committees in the district and constituting a single District Committee in their stead.

*The Cuddapah Circle Temple Committee.*

This committee was constituted for the first time with effect from 16th January 1928 having jurisdiction over the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Cuddapah, Kamalapuram, Rajampet, Sidhout, Pulivendla and Rayachoti. Only four meetings of the committee were held during the fasli.

The committee was given a loan of Rs. 100 to enable it to start work and it also got Rs. 168-8-0 as balance from the old Cuddapah-Kamalapuram Taluks Committee and Rs. 16-14-0 by way of contributions, though demands under this head amounted to Rs. 563-3-0. The expenditure aggregated to Rs. 159-11-11, leaving a balance of Rs. 125-10-1 at the close of the fasli.

The committee must be deemed to have worked fairly well considering that it was new and had not adequate facilities. But having regard to the financial difficulty of this and the other committee in the district, the constitution of a single district committee in their stead has been recommended to the Government.

*The Kurnool District Temple Committee.*

The first term of office of the members of this committee expired on 1st November 1927 and the Government, on the recommendation of the Board, appointed members for another period of one year under Madras Act I of 1928 by their order, dated 10th March 1928. The committee held five meetings during the period under report.

The committee was financially sound, the fasli having closed with a balance of Rs. 1,761-9-2. The loan of Rs. 500 taken for meeting the cost of printing and publication of the electoral roll has since been repaid. The committee appointed trustees for 56 temples out of a total of 444 temples. Under its instructions new trustees of eight temples filed applications under section 78 of the Act for obtaining possession of the trust properties.

The committee has been, on the whole, doing good work though collection of contributions could have been better than it was.

*The Bellary District Temple Committee.*

The committee functioned only from July to October 1927 during the period under review and exercised jurisdiction over 268 temples. The committee met only twice and passed three resolutions.

There was an opening balance of Rs. 227 and the receipts amounted to Rs. 631-14-2, while the expenditure amounted to Rs. 1,060-2-9. The deficit balance was met by utilizing deposits of trust funds which the committee hopes to repay in the current fasli. The non-collection of a large portion of contributions was partly due to a complicated method of assessing the incomes of temples. The committee will do well in future to follow the rules laid down for this purpose and adopt the incomes of the previous fasli for assessing the contributions due to it in every fasli.

Non-hereditary trustees whose term expired on 8th February 1928 were allowed to continue to function temporarily pending permanent appointments except in the case of four temples for which trustees were appointed. The present members of the committee who were appointed subsequent to the close of the fasli under review have taken up this question.

The committee worked well on the whole during the short period of four months it functioned during the fasli.

*The Chittoor District Temple Committee.*

The term of office of the members of this committee expired on 1st January 1928 and the Government again appointed members for another period of one year from 23rd April 1928 under Madras Act I of 1928. During the period of about eight months when the committee functioned, it held only four meetings. The committee exercised jurisdiction over 91 non-accepted temples.

The receipts of the committee, excluding the loan of Rs. 436-14-9 advanced by the Board, amounted to Rs. 200-4-8, while its expenditure amounted to Rs. 622-6-0, thus leaving a balance of Rs. 86-4-5. It is apprehended that, in spite of the best efforts of the committee, its financial handicaps will be serious in future. The President of the

committee has therefore applied for special subventions from the Board. The Board is, however, unable to go to the help of the committee.

*The Kumbakōnam Circle Temple Committee.*

This is one of the best committees in the Presidency and it has control over 305 temples including 125 temples getting an income of less than Rs. 250 to which the provisions of the Act were specially extended.

During the period under report, it held sixteen meetings when 573 subjects out of a total of 588 subjects which were brought on the agenda were disposed of. The committee was able to obtain from the trustees of only 22 temples the income statements as per G.O. No. 23, L. and M., dated 4th February 1928, and the registers required under section 38 of the Act. Budgets of sixteen temples were sanctioned during the fasli, while those of twelve others had to be returned to the respective trustees for revision.

As trusteeships fell vacant under section 51 (4) of the Act, the committee filled up 248 such vacancies. It is indeed gratifying to note that out of the large number of new trustees, only three had to resort to court for obtaining possession under section 78 of the Act.

The finances of the committee were very satisfactory. The total receipts (excluding the opening balance of Rs. 8,641-13-4) and expenditure of the committee for the fasli were Rs. 14,949-5-9 (including certain decree amounts) and Rs. 22,315-4-0 respectively. The latter sum includes Rs. 10,682-3-0 spent on the committee-office buildings. The fasli closed with a balance of Rs. 1,275-15-1.

This is one of the few committees which paid some attention to the audit of the accounts of temples and the accounts of three big institutions were audited during the period. The accounts of the committee itself for the period from 1 July 1925 to 30 June 1927 were also audited during the fasli.

The committee is running a Veda-Patasala where 63 boys are studying. The Board received considerable help from the committee in the shape of information pertaining to temples about which enquiries were pending before the Board and in the matter of collection of contributions on its behalf aggregating to Rs. 1,597.

The committee did on the whole very good and useful work—thanks to its President and the other members who took personal interest in its successful working.

*The Negapatam Circle Temple Committee.*

The committee as constituted under Act XX of 1863 was working till 1 Dec. 1927 and it was reconstituted under the new Act with effect from 25 Jan. 1928 with 12 members.

During the period under report six meetings were held and five other meetings had to be adjourned for want of quorum. The committee exercised control over 331 religious institutions including 177 temples getting an income of less than Rs. 250 per annum to which the provisions of the Act were specially extended.

The committee appointed trustees for about 200 temples during the fasli under review. The committee has checked and submitted to the

Board for approval 40 of the registers submitted by trustees under Section 38 of the Act while the rest had to be returned to the trustees for revision. Budgets of 36 temples were sanctioned by the committee while a number of budgets remained undisposed of as the appointment of trustees for the temples absorbed the time of the committee.

The finances of the committee are encouraging. The period under report opened with a balance of Rs. 11,910-14-3. The total receipts amounted to Rs. 6,851 and the expenses to Rs. 7,266-4-9 leaving a balance of Rs. 11,495-9-6.

Schemes of administration for 3 temples under the control of the committee were settled by the Board and are working satisfactorily. The committee expressed its inability to arrange for the audit of temples under its control owing to want of funds. The work turned out by the committee was no doubt creditable but it would have been much better if only the members to whatever political party they belonged had realized that their duty was to see to the welfare of the religious institutions entrusted to their charge without converting the committee into an arena for party strife. The Board must express its thanks to the committee for having collected on its behalf contributions aggregating to Rs. 3,275-10-0 during the fasli under review.

#### *The Tanjore Circle Temple Committee.*

The old Tanjore Circle Committee constituted under Act XX of 1863 was abolished along with the Negapatam Circle Temple Committee on 1 Dec. 1927. The Government reconstituted the committee from 25 Jan. 1928 with a strength of 12 members. It had jurisdiction over 270 temples in the revenue taluks of Tanjore, Papanasam, Mannargudy, Pattukottai and Arantangi.

The number of meetings held by the committee (old and new) during the fasli under review was 17 and one other meeting had to be adjourned for want of quorum. Two hundred subjects were disposed of during the meetings and the committee appointed trustees for 207 temples. Twenty-six registers under section 38 of the Act were checked and submitted by the committee to the Board for approval. Budgets of 16 temples were sanctioned while 10 others had to lie over.

The committee has been running one Veda Patasala where the pupils get boarding and lodging also. An Agama Patasala has recently been started and the question of opening a class for Thevaram is under contemplation.

The Board received valuable reports (56 in number) of enquiries conducted by the President of the committee at the instance of the Board in respect of temples whose trustees raised contentions under Section 84 of the Act. The Board also received from the committee help in the matter of collection of contributions on its behalf aggregating to Rs. 2,655-2-9. The committee made earnest attempts for the audit of the accounts of temples though it was financially not in a better position to undertake this task than other committees. The accounts of the committee were audited and this has been a regular feature of its work for the past 20 years. The finances of the committee were encouraging: the fasli opened with a balance of Rs. 26,745-3-2 while the receipts came to Rs. 6,519-8-9 and the expenditure to Rs. 5,148-0-5 thus leaving a balance of Rs. 28,116-11-6 at the end of the fasli.

The Committee did not take any action against the trustees who were recalcitrant in the matter of the payment of the contributions due to the Board and the Committee and the submission of budgets and registers under section 38 of the Act. It is hoped that the Committee will in future freely exercise the powers conferred on it by the new Act in enforcing obedience to the Board's and the Committee's directions.

The committee's work during the period under report was on the whole very creditable.

*The Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee.*

The term of office of the members of this Committee expired on 29th February 1928 and having regard to the good record of its work during the first year after its constitution, the Board recommended to the Government the continuance of the nominated members for another term under Madras Act I of 1928. The Government accordingly made fresh appointments in June 1928. The Committee exercised jurisdiction over 166 temples including three which get an income of less than Rs. 250 and to which the Act was specially extended. Two temples were dropped out as the income was found to be less than Rs. 250 in either case while three more were given up by the Committee as they were declared to be excepted temples.

Special mention should be made of the strenuous efforts of the committee to bring all non-excepted temples under the Act. The special officer appointed by the Committee in this behalf in bringing to the notice of the Committee cases of alienation of temple properties which has resulted in the reduction of incomes of many temples. Where such temples are found to derive an income of less than Rs. 250 per annum, proposals are submitted to Government from time to time to extend the provisions of the Act so that suitable trustees may be appointed to recover the alienated property. So far in 18 cases orders have been passed by the Government. The Committee held nine meetings during the period under report and the appointment of trustees for temples on the occurrence of vacancies under section 51(4) of the Act did not progress as the appointment of fresh members to the Committee took place only at the end of the fasli. The Committee received income statements for 29 temples, 37 budgets and 30 registers under section 38 of the Act, 23 of these registers having been forwarded to the Board after verification.

The finances of the Committee were not very encouraging. Only Rs. 3,576-10-6 was collected by way of contributions as against a demand of Rs. 4,639-3-10 and the expenditure exceeded the receipts by Rs. 643-10-11.

No audit of the accounts of the Committee and the temples under its control was done during the year under review. The Committee hopes to begin this work during the current fasli. The work of this Committee was on the whole satisfactory. The Board received help from the Committee in realising contributions aggregating to Rs. 1,243-5-7 during the period.

*The Turaiyur Circle Temple Committee.*

The term of office of the members of this committee also expired on 29th February 1928. As in the case of the Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee, the Government accepted the proposal of the Board to



appoint members to the Committee for a further period under Madras Act I of 1928. Though the strength of the Committee was seven, it functioned with only six members throughout the period as one seat was vacant. The Committee held eight meetings and the main business on the agenda was the appointment of trustees.

The Committee exercised control over 42 temples in the revenue taluks of Lalgudi and Musiri. The four sub-temples of Srirangam in the Lalgudi taluk have been transferred from the jurisdiction of this Committee to that of the Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee.

The affairs of the temples in this area not being satisfactory and several trustees having raised the contention that the Committee had no right to control them, it was working with great handicaps. It is however creditable that it was able to make headway and was successful in restoring order in several temples which were in a chaotic condition.

The financial position of the Committee also was satisfactory. The fasli opened with a balance of Rs. 489-6-8 and the receipts amounted to Rs. 2,336-10-1. The expenditure of the Committee came to Rs. 1,430-7-3 leaving a balance of Rs. 1,395-9-5.

The Committee has done fairly good work due mainly to the activity and the enthusiasam of its President. The Board is thankful to the Committee for the collection of contributions made on its behalf aggregating to Rs. 2,112-11-6.

#### *The Ariyalur Circle Temple Committee.*

The term of office of the members of this committee also expired on the 29th February 1928. In this case also the Government appointed members for a second period under Madras Act I of 1928. The Committee called for nine meetings, out of which two fell through for want of quorum. The Committee was able to collect by way of contributions only Rs. 480-7-0 out of a demand of Rs. 1,299-13-0. The total receipts of the committee amounted to Rs. 688-7-5 while the expenditure came to Rs. 601-2-0.

The committee had a very limited staff and could not make much progress in its spade work. But it hopes to improve its financial position and employ adequate staff so as to turn out better work in the future.

#### *The Chingleput Circle Temple Committee.*

This committee exercising jurisdiction over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Chingleput, Madurantakam and Conjeeveram, functioned from 1st December 1927, the date of its first constitution under the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act, up to the end of the period under report. The committee held five meetings during that period and appointed new trustees for all the temples which it happened to take charge of on its constitution. The efforts of the committee to get control over the other non-excepted temples coming under the Act were not quite successful as many trustees raised contentions that these temples were either private or excepted. The committee received accounts of 21 temples and budgets for five. Only Rs. 6-15-6 was realized by way of contributions and the President of the committee was good enough to advance Rs. 200 to meet its expenses. A portion of this loan has been repaid as the income of the committee

has considerably increased since. As the Board feels confident that the President of the committee and his colleagues would surely improve matters in this regard, reappointment of members of the committee for another term has been recommended to the Government so that they may continue the spade work which has been fairly started in spite of many handicaps.

*The Villupuram Circle Temple Committee.*

The term of office of the members of this committee exercising jurisdiction over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Villupuram, Tindivanam, Gingee and Tirukkoyilur, expired on 1st April 1928. During the period under review, seven meetings of the committee were held when the main subjects disposed of were the sanctioning of budgets and the appointment of trustees. The committee exercised jurisdiction over 117 temples and investigation as regards the properties, etc., of 44 temples were started by the committee. The committee was able to obtain 86 registers under section 38 of the Act and submit the same to the Board for approval. Otherwise, the committee did not turn out any good work as it was unable to find funds to employ the requisite staff. In these circumstances, the Board recommended the abolition of this and other committee in the district and the constitution of a single district temple committee in their stead, though the other committee had done fairly good work with adequate financial resources. The Government have accepted the recommendation and a new committee has been constituted by G.O. No. 3035, L. & M., dated 25th July 1928.

*The Kallalagar and Kudal Alagar Temples Committee.*

This committee exercised jurisdiction over the Kudal Alagar Temple at Madura and the Kallalagar Temple with its sub-temples. The committee held 21 ordinary meetings, three special meetings and three urgent meetings during the period under report, of which eight ordinary meetings, one special meeting and one urgent meeting had to be adjourned for want of quorum. The factions in the committee rendered many meetings infructuous. The President of the committee had to face a majority opposition headed by its Vice-President and practically no work was done during the period under report. The Board deemed it best to abolish this committee and transfer the temples under it to the control of the Madura Circle Temple Committee having jurisdiction over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Madura and Melur except those under the jurisdiction of the Madura Meenakshi Sundareswar, etc., Devasthanam Committee. The Government having accepted the Board's proposals, the new arrangement came into force on 21st August 1928.

*The Salem Circle Temple Committee.*

This committee exercising jurisdiction over the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Salem, Omalur and Attur, held seven meetings during the period under report and two of them had to be adjourned for want of quorum. The committee re-appointed most of the former trustees whose term expired on 8th February 1928 under section 51 (4) of the Act, while in the case of a few temples, fresh trustee were nominated. The committee disposed of three cases of appeal against the orders of trustees punishing temple servants.

The Board considering the poor finances of this and the other two committees in the district, recommended the constitution of a single district committee in their stead. The Government, however, did not accept the Board's recommendation.

*The Udipi Circle Temple Committee.*

This committee was constituted for the first time with effect from 15th January 1928 with jurisdiction over all the non-excepted Hindu temples coming under the Act in the revenue taluks of Udipi, Coondapur and Karkal except 21 temples partly or solely under Jain management. The Committee actually had control over 73 temples. The Committee's recommendation that the Act should be extended to all the temples which were formerly under the committees constituted under Act XX of 1863 and which have an income of less than Rs. 250 per annum has been submitted to Government and the orders thereon are awaited. The committee met seven times during the period under review. Though the staff of the committee consisting of a clerk, a peon and a supervisor was hardly adequate, a lot of spade work was done, thanks to the enthusiastic interest which its President was taking in the work of the committee. It is creditable that the registers under section 38 of the Act were got ready for 20 temples and trustees were appointed for 50 temples. The committee was able to collect only Rs. 198-11-2 by way of contribution, and the expenditure for the fasli being Rs. 405-12-9 the President had to advance the deficit of Rs. 207-1-7 without interest. Having regard to the paucity of the resources of this committee and the other committee in the district, the Board raised the question of amalgamating them with a view to render the supervision of the temple administration more effective. The committees have expressed themselves in favour of the above proposal and the Government will be soon addressed about it.

*The Mangalore Circle Temple Committee.*

This committee also was constituted with effect from 15th January 1928, with jurisdiction over all the non-excepted temples coming under the Act in the revenue taluks of Mangalore, Kasaragod and Puthur except 12 temples partly or solely under Jain management. There were altogether eight meetings of the committee during the period under review and trustees for 42 temples were appointed during the period. It was only after doing that, the committee could send out demands for contributions. Demands were issued in respect of all the institutions but no contributions were realized before the close of the fasli. From the loan which the President was good enough to advance, viz., Rs. 277 and the income by way of copying charges which amounted to Rs. 36-4-0 the committee was able to carry on its work. After incurring an expenditure of Rs. 279, the committee had a balance of Rs. 34-4-0 at the end of the fasli. This committee also suggested the extensions of the provisions of the Act to about 400 temples with an income of less than Rs. 250 and the matter is pending with Government. The committee was able to do some spade work though its staff consisted only of a clerk and a peon. As already observed, the question of amalgamating this committee with the other committee in the district is under examination.

## APPENDIX II.

## STATEMENT I.

(Vide paragraph 6 of the report.)

Statement showing the business transacted at the meetings of the Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Date of the meeting.	Subject matter.
16—7—1927	... 1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipt and expenditure for June 1927. 2. Revision of the scale of pay of Assistant Inspectors. 3. Sanctioning the appointment of an additional Superintendent on Rs. 125—7½—200 per mensem. 4. Exemption of K. Sundara Murthy, Copyist, from general Educational qualifications to act as clerk.
27—8—1927	... 1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for July 1927. 2. Extension of the term of office of the temporary clerk under the Honorary Assistant Commissioner, Ganjam. 3. Authorization of the Secretary to the Board to sign, execute and verify written statements, etc., in connection with proceedings in Courts. 4. Reply to G.O. No. 2787 L. and M., dated 22nd July 1927 on the Board's Budget Estimate for 1927-28. 5. Extension of the time for repayment of the instalments in respect of the loans taken from the Government. 6. Authorization of the senior commissioner present at head-quarters to appropriate departmental receipts to departmental expenditure in emergent cases. 7. Advance of loans to certain committees for electoral rolls.
24—9—1927	... 1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for August 1927. 2. Opening of a separate account in the Madras Central Urban Bank, Ltd., on behalf of Sri Puthumariamman temple, Kurinjipadikuppam, South Arcot District. 3. Reply on the High Court's proposal to empower the Board to appoint trustees of Padavatta Amman Temple, Kosapet, Madras. 4. Amendment to by-law 18.

STATEMENT I—*cont.*

Date of the meeting.	Subject matter.
21—10—1927 ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for September 1927.</li> <li>2. Sanctioning payment for stationery purchased from the Superintendent of Stationery.</li> <li>3. Grant of a further loan of Rs. 500 to the Nellore District temple Committee, for printing electoral rolls.</li> </ol>
26—11—1927 ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Resolution touching the death of Sir T. Sadasivier, President of the Board.</li> </ol>
28—11—1927 ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for October 1927.</li> <li>2. Authorization of the Senior Commissioner M.R.Ry. R. Surya Rao Garu to operate on the Madras Central Urban Bank, Ltd., pending appointment of a successor to the late Sir T. Sadasiva Ayyar.</li> <li>3. Letter to Government forwarding draft Bill to amend Madras Act II of 1927.</li> <li>4. Appointment of Mr. K. Subba Rao on the maximum salary in the scale of a lower division clerk.</li> <li>5. Payment of Rs. 250 to Mr. T. V. Sivaramayya, Vakil, Nellore, towards his fees.</li> <li>6. Sanctioning Rs. 30 per mensem towards the pay of the Supervisor of the Turaiyur Circle Temple Committee who was attending also to the Board's work in this area.</li> </ol>
21—12—1927 ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for November 1927.</li> <li>2. Consideration of the second administration report of Board.</li> <li>3. Appointment of additional Assistant Inspectors.</li> <li>4. Exemption of K. Sundaramurthy from general educational qualifications for appointment as clerk.</li> </ol>
21—12—1927	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. Sanctioning the employment of three typists on a scale of Rs. 30—1—35 per mensem.</li> <li>6. Treatment of certain advances made to some committees as loans.</li> </ol>
19—1—1928 ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for December 1927.</li> <li>2. Consideration of the settlement of a scheme for Sri Edaganathaswami temple, Tiruvedagam, Madura District.</li> <li>3. Abolition of the Pollachi and Kollegal Circle Temple Committees and merging them into the other committees in the Coimbatore District.</li> <li>4. Special allowance to a Superintendent for attending to court work.</li> </ol>

## STATEMENT I—cont.

Date of the meeting.	Subject matter.
28-1-1928	<p>... 1. Form of final demand for contribution for fasli 1337.</p> <p>2. Recommendation of names to courts for appointment as trustees of temples governed by court schemes.</p> <p>3. Interpretation of rule VIII of the rules regarding the method of calculating the income of religious endowments.</p>
20-2-1928	<p>... 1. Replies to the audit report on the accounts of the Board for the period from 1st April 1926 to 30th June 1927.</p> <p>2. Grant of advance increments to certain officers and subordinates of the Board.</p> <p>3. Payment of Rs. 100 to Mr. T. S. Ramaswami Ayyar, High Court Vakil, Tanjore, towards his fees.</p> <p>4. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for January 1928.</p> <p>5. Exemption of specific endowments attached to religious institutions getting an income of less than Rs. 1,000 from paying the contribution for 2½ months in 1925.</p>
26-3-1928	<p>... 1. Payment from the Board's fund of a salary at Rs. 35 per mensem for four months to the Supervisor of the Turaiyur Circle Temple Committee.</p> <p>2. Payment from the Board's funds of salary at Rs. 35 per mensem for the period from 15th December 1927 up to 31st March 1928 to an officer of the Tiruvettipuram Circle Temple Committee.</p> <p>3. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for February 1928.</p> <p>4. Opening of a separate account in the Madras Central Urban Bank, Ltd., on behalf of Sri Edaganathaswami temple, Tiruvudagam, Madura District.</p> <p>5. Extension of time applied for by certain committees for the repayment of the loans taken by them.</p>
27-4-1928	<p>... 1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for March 1928.</p> <p>2. Approving of the Budget Estimate of the Board for 1928-29.</p> <p>3. Sanctioning payment of Rs. 100 to Mr. T. Raghavachari, Vakil, Bellary, as advance fees in O.P. No. 45/27 on the file of the District Court, Bellary.</p>

STATEMENT I—*cont.*

Date of the meeting.	Subject matter.
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Sanctioning payment of Rs. 500 to Mr. G. Damodara Rao, Vakil, as fees in the Tiruvengad temple case.</li> <li>5. Sanctioning the appointment of an Oriya-knowing clerk on Rs. 60 per mensem in the scale of Rs. 40—4—60—3—75.</li> </ol>
18-5-1928	<p>...</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for April 1928.</li> <li>2. Grant of exemption from general educational qualifications to Mr. Guru Rao, Clerk, on Rs. 35 in the office of the Coimbatore Circle Temple Committee.</li> <li>3. Sanctioning payment from the Board's funds of Rs. 35 towards the salary of the Supervisor under the Turaiyur Circle Temple Committee for March 1928.</li> <li>4. Exemption of Sri Kannikaparameswari Temple, Kadiri, Anantapur District, from certain provisions of the Act.</li> </ol>
30-6-1928	<p>...</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Approving of the abstract of the Board's receipts and expenditure for May 1928.</li> <li>2. Fixing of contribution due from Sri Kayarohanaswami and Neelayathakshiamman Devasthanam at Negapatam for a period of five years from 1st July 1926.</li> <li>3. Authorization of the Board's Inspecting Officers to collect the contribution due to the Board on furnishing security.</li> <li>4. Sanctioning the appointment of Assistant Inspectors in certain districts.</li> <li>5. Changing the nomenclature of Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors to Superintendents and Inspectors respectively.</li> <li>6. Sanctioning the post of an Assistant Examiner on a scale of Rs. 75—5—100.</li> </ol>

## STATEMENT II.

(Vide paragraph 6 of the report.)

Statement showing the number of enquiries made by the Board whether by a single Commissioner or by a committee of the Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	District.	By a single Commissioner		By a committee of the Board	
		At Madras.	At mufassal stations.	At Madras.	At mufassal stations.
1	Ganjām ... ..	...	81	105	...
2	Vizagapatam ... ..	...	20	22	...
3	East Gōdāvāri ... ..	...	61	153	...
4	West Gōdāvāri ... ..	...	6	88	...
5	Kistna ... ..	...	29	97	...
6	Guntūr ... ..	...	75	144	...
7	Nellore ... ..	...	11	84	...
8	Kurnool ... ..	...	20	39	...
9	Chittoor ... ..	...	8	80	...
10	Bellary ... ..	...	13	36	...
11	Cuddapah ... ..	...	9	13	...
12	Anantapur ... ..	...	18	27	...
13	Chingleput ... ..	1	...	80	...
14	South Arcot ... ..	3	4	40	...
15	North Arcot ... ..	3	52	73	...
16	Salem ... ..	5	...	6	...
17	Coimbatore and The Nilgiris.	...	...	29	...
18	Tanjore ... ..	1	27	144	28
19	Trichinopoly ... ..	...	20	58	...
20	Madura ... ..	1	...	42	4
21	Rāmnād ... ..	...	...	31	4
22	Tinnevelly ... ..	...	...	85	10
23	Malabar ... ..	4	89	175	...
24	South Kanara ... ..	5	22	33	...
		23	565	1,684	46



## STATEMENT III-A.

(Vide paragraph 7 of the report.)

Statement showing the strength of the establishment in the office of the Board of Commissioners for Hindu Religious Endowments as it stood at the end of June 1927 and at the end of June 1928.

Number of item.	Description of appointment.	Scale of pay per mensem.	As it stood on the 30th June 1927.	As it stood on the 30th June 1928.
		RS.		
1	Secretary ... ..	400-40-600	1	1
2	Manager ... ..	200-10-250	1	1
3	Superintendents ... ..	125-7½-200	3	5
4	Examiner ... ..	100-4-120	1	1
5	Assistant Examiner ... ..	75-5-100	...	1
6	Cashier ... ..	80-5-120	...	1
7	Upper Division Clerks ... ..	60-5-120	8	10
8	Lower Division Clerks ... ..	40-4-60-3-75	15 *	14
9	Temporary Clerks for 2 months.	35 & 40	10	...
10	Shorthand Typists ... ..	50-5-100 70-5-100 (in the case of graduates).	3	5
11	Typists ... ..	30-1-35	2	1
12	Copyists ... ..	30	...	3
13	Attendees ... ..	22-1-30	3	3
14	Daffadar ... ..	25-1-35	8	8
15	Daffadar ... ..	25-1-35	1	1
16	Chobdars ... ..	20-½-25	1	2
17	Peons ... ..	15-½-20	17	16
18	Watchman ... ..	12-½-15	1	1

\* Includes one cashkeeper on lower division scale employed against the post of cashier in the scale of Rs. 80-5-120.

## STATEMENT III-B.

(Vide paragraph 7 of the report.)

Statement showing the strength of mufassal establishment as it stood at the end of June 1927 and at the end of June 1928.

Number of item.	Description of appointment.	Scale of pay per mensem.	As it stood on the 30th June 1927.	As it stood on the 30th June 1928.
		RS.		
1	Honorary Assistant Commissioners.	Maximum allowance 75 and 50.	6	(a) 1
2	Inspectors ... ..	120-5-150	10	9
3	Assistant Inspectors ... ..	50-5-75	11	(b) 12
4	Clerks under Inspectors ... ..	25-1-35	9	9
5	Temporary clerks under Honorary Assistant Commissioner, Ganjām.	30	1	...
6	Peons under Honorary Assistant Commissioners, Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors.	12	26	20

NOTE.—(a) No expenditure is incurred by the Board on account of the Honorary Assistant Commissioner.

(b) Scale of pay of the Assistant Inspectors has been raised to Rs. 60-5-90 from 1st August 1927.

## STATEMENT IV.

(Vide paragraph 22 of the report.)

Statement showing the religious institutions the income of which has been increased by the interference of the Board for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Name of institution.	Nature of the orders issued by the Board.	Amount of original income.	Amount of increased income.
	NELLORE DISTRICT.		RS.	RS.
1	Nageswaraswami temple, Minagallu, Kovur Taluk.	Lease of lands in open auction.	820	1,500
	ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.			
2	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Kadiri.	Hundi collections supervised.	4,300	5,300
3	Siddeswaraswami temple, Hemavati, Madakasira Taluk.	Certain items were auctioned during brahmotsavam.	500	800
4	Nettiganti Anjaneyaswami temple, Kaspapuram, Gooty Taluk.	Investigation regarding mismanagement which brought to light additional items of income not hitherto known.	600	4,000
5	Ramaswami temple, Hindupur, Hindupur Taluk.	Investigation regarding checking of accounts.	160	460
	CUDDAPAH DISTRICT.			
6	Someswara Madanagopala, Gopalaswami temples, Tangutur, Proddatur Taluk.	Lease of lands ...	1,000	3,080
7	Anjaneyaswami Narasimhaswami temples, Animela, Kamalapuram Taluk.	Auction of lands ...	180	380
8	Prasanna Venkateswaraswami temple, Chennur, Cuddapah Taluk.	Lease of lands ...	250	400
9	Anjaneyaswami temple, Nallaballi, Jammalamadugu Taluk.	Auction of lands ...	600	900
10	Kailasanadhaswami and Chennakesavaswami temples, Tangutur, Rajampet Taluk.	Leasing out of lands ..	650	900

## STATEMENT V-A.

(Vide paragraph 12 of the report.)

Statement showing the number of religious institutions coming under the Act up to 30th June 1928.

Name of district.	Number of institutions ordinarily coming under the Act.				Institutions specially brought under the Act though having income less than the minimum laid down in the Act.	Total.
	Maths	Temples.				
		Non-excepted.	Excepted temples declared as such.	Excepted temples treated as such.		
1. Ganjām ...	81	677	2	68	1	829
2. Vizagapatam ...	4	211	2	44	...	261
3. East Gōdāvāri ...	4	517	2	21	...	544
4. West Gōdāvāri.	2	511	1	6	...	520
5. Kistna ...	3	379	3	12	1	398
6. Guntūr ...	8	748	8	106	5	875
7. Bellary ...	9	287	6	4	2	308
8. Kurnool ...	1	445	4	12	5	467
9. Nellore ...	2	223	19	7	13	264
10. Chittoor ...	20	97	2	39	13	171
					of which 1 is a math.	
11. Cuddapah ...	2	174	1		14	191
12. Anantapur ...	3	105	1	...	7	116
13. Chingleput ...	6	194	3	3	...	206
14. North Arcot ...	12	478	5	11	1	507
15. South Arcot ...	16	485	11	1	...	513
16. Tanjore ...	20	941	27	150	439	1,577
17. Trichinopoly ...	6	524	9	21	19	579
18. Salem ...	4	181	1	4	...	190
19. Coimbatore ...	15	544	1	5	...	565
20. The Nilgiris ...	1	17	...	...	...	18
21. Madura ...	8	213	6	10	...	237
22. Rāmnād ...	17	250	6	167	3	443
23. Tinnevely ...	20	436	12	14	110	592
24. Malabar ...	14		1	1,163	...	1,178
25. South Kanara ...	23	289	1	...	...	313
Total ...	301	8,926	134	1,868	633	11,862

## STATEMENT V-B.

(Vide paragraph 13 of the report.)

Statement showing the names of institutions whose applications for exemption from the operation of the Act under section 3 of the Act were either disposed of or pending during the period from 1st July 1927 to 30th June 1928.

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of institution.	Action taken.			Remarks.	
			Wholly or partially exempted.	Exemption refused.	Pending.		
Ganjām ...	1	Radbakristno and Lali to temples, Hinjili Chatrapore.	Partially.	...	...		
	2	Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Jaggayyapeta, Anakapalle Taluk.	...	...	Pending.	Since wholly exempted.	
West Gōdāvari.	3	Satheswaraswami-varu temple, Burugupalli, Narasapuram Taluk.	...	...	Do.	Do.	
Kistna ...	4	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Jaggayyapeta, Nandigama Taluk.	...	...	...	Papers recorded for the present.	
Guntūr ...	5	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Guntūr.	Partially.	...	...	Order of Government received subsequent to 30th June 1928.	
Anautapur.	6	Kanyakaparameswari temple Kadiri.	Do.	...	..		
Cuddapah.	7	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Uravakonda.	Do.	Total exemption refused by government on the application for exemption of all institutions. It was ordered by Government that each case should be dealt with separately in the light of the observations in G.O. No. 624, L. & M., dated 8th Feb. 1928.	Pending.	In the light of G.O. No. 624, L. & M., dated 8th Feb. 1928 further representations from trustees are awaited.	
	8	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Tadpatri.					
	9	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Proddatur.					
	10	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Cuddapah.					

## STATEMENT V-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions whose applications for exemption from the operation of the Act under section 3 of the Act were either disposed of or pending during the period from 1st July 1927 to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of institution.	Action taken.			Remarks.
			Wholly or partially exempted.	Exemption refused.	Pending.	
Cuddapah —cont.	11	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Rajampet.	Partially.	Total exemption refused by government on the application for exemption of all institutions. It was ordered by Government that each case should be dealt with separately in the light of the observations in G.O. No. 624, L. & M., dated 8th Feb. 1928.	Pending.	In the light of G.O. No. 624, L. & M. dated 8th Feb. 1928 further representations from trustees are awaited.
	12	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Jammalamadugu				
	13	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Kamalapuram.				
	14	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Gooty.				
Nellore ...	15	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Stonehousepet.	Partially.	...	...	
	16	Venugopalaswami temple, Moopalet.	..	..	..	Since exempted from the jurisdiction of the Committee.
Chittoor ...	17	Hathiramjee Math, Tirupati.	Partially.	...	...	
	18	Prasanna Varadarajaswami temple, Kalahasti.	..	Refused.	...	
Bellary ...	19	Nageswaraswami temple, Hospet.	...	...	...	Pending.
	20	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Biyyam bazaar, Kurnool.	Partially.	...	...	
	21	Kanyakaparameswari temple, Minchin Bazaar, Kurnool.	Do.	...	...	

## STATEMENT V-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions whose applications for exemption from the operation of the Act under section 3 of the Act were either disposed of or pending during the period from 1st July 1927 to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district	Serial number.	Name of institution.	Action taken.			Remarks.
			Wholly or partially exempted.	Exemption refused.	Pending.	
Tanjore ...	22	Kailasanathaswami temple, Kidamangalam, Nannilam Taluk.	...	Refused.	...	
	23	Tirumeni Alagar temple, Vadagudi. Negapatam Taluk.	...	Do.	...	
	24	Sri Sankaracharya Math at Kumbakonam. of the order of Government refusing exemption—vide 1st Administration report, p. 42.	Partially and in modification	...	...	
Tinnevely.	25	Sri Tirukkurangudi Math, Tirukkurangudi, Nanguneri Taluk.	Partially.	...	...	Mentioned in the previous report.
	26	Sri Vanamalai Math, Nanguneri.	...	...	Pending.	
Rāmnād ...	27	Sri Koilur Math ...	Partially.	...	...	
Madura ...	28	Sri Kalyani Amman Sametha Kailasanathaswami temple at Kalayamputhur, Palni Taluk.	...	...	Pending.	Since exemption refused.
Malabar ...	29	Temples belonging to the Brajanaras estate and managed by the Cochin Sarkar.	Exempted	...	...	The names are not available as the list has been returned to Government for noting the names of villages.

## STATEMENT VI-A.

(Vide paragraph 14 of the report.)

Statement showing the number of temples and maths which the Board held without any formal enquiry to be outside the scope of the Act on the ground that they get an income of less than Rs. 250 and Rs. 500 respectively per annum (during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928).

Name of district.	Name of taluk.	Number of temples.	Number of maths.
Ganjām ... ..	Berhampur ... ..	4	2
	Aska ... ..	3	...
	Ichchapur ... ..	1	...
	Parlakimedi ... ..	1	...
West Gōdāvāri ... ..	Tanuku ... ..	10	...
Kistna ... ..	Gudivada ... ..	1	...
Guntūr ... ..	Bapatla ... ..	7	...
	Guntūr ... ..	13	...
	Ongole ... ..	11	...
	Palnad ... ..	9	...
	Repalle ... ..	9	...
	Sattenapalle ... ..	50	...
	Tenali ... ..	12	...
Vinukonda ... ..	16	...	
Nellore ... ..	Gudur ... ..	6	...
	Nellore ... ..	4	...
	Kovur ... ..	2	...
	Atmakur ... ..	1	...
Chittoor ... ..	Madanapalle ... ..	4	...
	Punganur ... ..	5	...
	Vayalpad ... ..	7	...
Cuddapah ... ..	Rajampet ... ..	11	...
	Kamalapuram ... ..	6	...
	Proddatur ... ..	4	...
	Cuddapah ... ..	3	...
	Jammalamadugu ... ..	1	...
	Pulivendla ... ..	1	...
Anantapur ... ..	Hindupur ... ..	1	...
	Madakasira ... ..	3	...
	Dharmavaram ... ..	1	...
	Gooty ... ..	12	...
	Penukonda ... ..	3	...
	Tadpatri ... ..	2	...
Bellary ... ..	Bellary ... ..	11	...
	Hospet ... ..	1	...
	Alur ... ..	16	...
	Adoni ... ..	2	...
Kurnool ... ..	Nandikotkur ... ..	8	...
	Sirvel ... ..	2	...
	Koilkuntla ... ..	1	...
	Dhone ... ..	2	...
	Pattikōṇḍa ... ..	3	...



## STATEMENT VI-A—cont.

Statement showing the number of temples and maths which the Board held without any formal enquiry to be outside the scope of the Act on the ground that they get an income of less than Rs. 250 and Rs. 500 respectively per annum (during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928)—cont.

Name of district.	Name of taluk.	Number of temples.	Number of maths.
South Arcot	Chidambaram	10	8
	Cuddalore	1	...
	Gingee	...	1
	Tindivanam	5	...
	Tirukkoyilur	1	...
	Villupuram	3	1
	Vriddhachalam	3	...
Chingleput	Chingleput	1	..
	Conjeeveram	1	...
	Ponneri	6	...
	Saidapet	2	...
	Tiruvellore	2	...
North Arcot	Arkonam	4	...
	Gudiyattam	1	...
	Polur	1	...
	Tiruvannamalai	1	1
	Vellore	3	1
	Wallajapet	1	...
Trichinopoly	Trichinopoly	24	2
	Karur	2	...
	Kulittalai	4	...
	Perambalur	3	...
	Musiri	4	1
	Udayarpalayam	4	...
	Lalgudi	4	...
Tanjore	Tanjore	3	...
	Mayavaram	2	...
	Nannilam	12	1
	Tirutturaippundi	2	1
	Negapatam	3	...
	Kumbakonam	2	2
	Papanasam	...	1
	Shiyali	3	...
	Mannargudi	5	...
Madura	Periyakulam	3	...
	Palni	2	...
Tinnevely	Ambasamudram	1	...
	Nanguneri	1	...
	Tinnevely	2	...
	Tenkasi	3	...
Rāmnād	Aruppukkottai	1	...
	Sivaganga	1	...
	Tirupattur	2	...

## STATEMENT VI-A—cont.

Statement showing the number of temples and maths which the Board held without any formal enquiry to be outside the scope of the Act on the ground that they get an income of less than Rs. 250 and Rs. 500 respectively per annum (during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928)—cont.

Name of district.	Name of taluk.	Number of temples.	Number of maths.
Coimbatore ... ..	Coimbatore ... ..	6	...
	Gobichettipalaiyam ... ..	2	...
	Pollachi ... ..	6	...
	Erode ... ..	3	...
	Palladam ... ..	7	...
	Dharapuram ... ..	3	...
	Udamalpet ... ..	2	...
	Bhavani ... ..	1	...
	Kollegal ... ..	11	...
Avanashi ... ..	2	...	
Salem ... ..	Tiruchengode ... ..	...	1
	Uttankarai ... ..	...	1
	Omalar ... ..	1	...
	Dharmapuri ... ..	2	...
Nilgiris ... ..	Coonoor ... ..	1	...
Malabar ... ..	Calicut ... ..	1	...
	Kottayam ... ..	2	...
	Palghat ... ..	1	...
	Ponnani ... ..	1	...
	Chirakkal ... ..	1	...
South Kanara ... ..	Coondapoor ... ..	1	...
	Udipi ... ..	1	...
	Mangalore ... ..	1	...
	Total ... ..	465	24

## STATEMENT VI-B.

(Vide paragraph 14 of the report.)

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Gaujām ...	1. Radhakantaswami Pedapadro Syasanam, Sompet Taluk.	Private ...	Public.
	2. Radharamana temple, Kaspa Street, Berhampur.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Outside the scope of the Act.
	3. Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Arasavilli, Chicacole Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	4. Varadarajaswami temple.	} Private ...	Private.
	5. Brundavana Chandra temple.		
	6. Durga Devi temple		
	7. Gopinadhaswami temple.	} Do. ...	Public and Act applies.
	8. Natheswaraswami temple at Chikatikota, Ichchapur Taluk.		
	9. Jagannadhaswami temple.	} Do. ...	Do.
	10. Balaji math ...		
	11. Sadavarti math with Sri Venkateswaraswami temple at Chikatikota.	} Do. ...	Public and excepted.
	12. Madanamohanaswami temple at Girisolaw.		
	13. Radhakrishno and Lalito temples at Hinjili, Chatrapur Taluk.	Do. ...	Public and excepted.
Vizagapatam ...	1. Venkateswaraswami temple, Bhairipuram, Gazapatnagaram Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	2. Ramamandiram Uttara-villi, Bobbili Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	3. Rajagopalswami temple, Kailasapatam, Golugonda Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
East Godāvāri ...	1. Chandi Bhavaneswaraswami temple, Alamuru, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	2. Brahmananda Bhakta Sri Samajam alias Brahmananda Bavanam, Rajahmundry..	Act does not apply.	Act does not apply.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
East Gōdāvāri— <i>cont.</i>	3. Ramaswami Mandiram, Rajahmundry. 4. Syamalamba temple, Rajahmundry.	Act does not apply. Excepted ...	Act applies. Non-excepted.
West Gōdāvāri ...	1. Venkateswaraswami Vari temple, Madhavaram, Thadepalligudem Taluk.	Private ...	Public and Act applies.
Kistna ... ..	1. Anjaneyaswami Vari temple and math, Poranki, Bezwada Taluk. 2. Venugopaldaswami Vari temple, Betavolu, Gudivada Taluk.	Do. ... Do. ...	Public. Recommended to the Government for exemption under section 3. Public and excepted.
Guntūr ... ..	1. Sambhudevara math, Chebrole, Bapatla Taluk. 2. Venugopaldaswami Garikipadu, Bapatla Taluk. 3. Udasi math, Old Guntūr, Guntūr Taluk. 4. Chennakesavaswami Dyvafravur, Tenali Taluk. 5. Ramalingeswaraswami, Pedagadalavarru, Tenali Taluk. 6. Sangameswaraswami temple, Sangam, Jagarlamoodi, Tenali Taluk.	Act does not apply. Excepted ... Act does not apply. Excepted ... Private ... Excepted ...	Act applies and public. Income below Rs. 250. Act applies. Public and Act applies. Public and excepted. Excepted.
Anantapur ...	1. Lakshmi Chennakesavaswami temple, Anantapur. 2. Sri Bhogeswaraswami temple, Pamidi, Gooty Taluk.	Private ... Income less than Rs. 250.	Public and excepted. Income is Rs. 250 and Act applies.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.	
Anantapur—cont.	3. Sri Anjaneyaswami temple, Medapuram, Sri Varadarajaswami temple, Chinnamogalaya-palli hamlet of Medapuram, Dharmavaram Taluk.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Outside the Act.	
	4. Eswaraswami temple, Kunabhur.	Private Act does not apply.	Act does not apply income being below.	
	5. Anjaneyaswami temple, Santibedanur, Hindupur Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.	
	6. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Kadiri.	} Private and Act does not apply.	} Public and Act applies.	
	7. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Gooty.			
	8. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Uravakonda.			
	9. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Tadpatri.			
	10. Ramaswami temple, Hindupur.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Income above Rs. 200.	
	Cuddapah	1. Anjaneyaswami temple, Nukanempalli, Rajampet Taluk.	Private, income below Rs. 250.	Public income above Rs. 250. Act applies.
	Nellore ...	1. Koteswaraswami, Chenakesavaswami temples, Kodur, Nellore Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
2. Alaganadhaswami temple, Parlapalli, Kovur Taluk.		Do. ...	Do.	
3. Audinarayanaswami temple, Dampur, Kovur Taluk.		Do. ...	Do.	
4. Anjaneyaswami temple, Parlapalli, Kovur Taluk.		Act does not apply.	Act applies.	
5. Kotakoteswaraswami temple, Momidi, Gudur Taluk.		Act does not apply and excepted.	Excepted.	

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Nellore—cont. ...	6. Kodandaramaswami temple, Kondlapudi Nellore Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	7. Kodandaramaswami temple, Buchireddi palem, Kovur Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	8. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Stonehousepet, Nellore Taluk.	Private ...	Act applies and excepted.
	9. Anjaneyaswami temple, Stonehousepet, Nellore Taluk.	Do. ...	Public and Act applies.
	10. Mahalakshamma temple, Stonehousepet, Nellore Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
Chittoor ...	1. Peda Jeeyangar and Chinna Jeeyangar, Tirupati.	Act does not apply. Not math as defined in the Act.	Maths as defined in the Act. But Act does not apply at present on the score of income.
	2. Bhutamillipaud Agraharam, Kistna District, endowed for performance of certain services in Sri Venkateswaraswami temple, Tirupati.	Act does not apply.	Act applies.
	3. Galigopuram Math, Tirupati.	Act does not apply. not a math as defined in the Act.	Math. Act applies as defined in the Act.
	4. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Tirupati.	Private and Act does not apply.	Public and excepted Act applies.
	5. Kodandaramaswami temple, Bokkasampalem.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
Bellary ...	1. Satyanarayana temple, Satyanarayanapet, Bellary Town.	Private and Act does not apply.	Public and Act applies.
	2. Nageswaraswami temple, Hospet.	Do.	Do.
	3. Basaveswaraswami temple, Kampli, Hospet Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Act applies.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.	
Bellary - cont. ...	4. Sankara Math alias Virupaksha Peetam, Hampi, Hospet Taluk.	Private and Act does not apply.	Public and Act applies.	
	5. Gangadharaswami temple, Beldona, Alur Taluk.	Do.	Public Act applies and excepted.	
	6. Vengalapuram Anjaneyaswami temple, including the shrine of Sri Venkateswaraswami temple, Adoni.	Act does not apply.	Act applies.	
	7. Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Brucepet, Bellary Town.	Private and Act does not apply.	Public and Act applies.	
	8. Vijayarayanapatti temple, Chippagiri, Alur Taluk.	Do.	Outside the Act.	
	9. Narayanaswami temple, Harivi, Harpanahulli Taluk.	Act does not apply or at least excepted.	Act applies non-excepted.	
	10. Venkataramanaswami temple, Chilakanapatti, Hospet Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Outside the Act.	
	Kurnool ... ..	1. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Biyyam Bazaar, Kurnool Town.	Private and Act does not apply.	Public and excepted.
		2. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Minchin Bazaar.	Do.	Do.
	Tanjore ... ..	1. Ramaswami Perumal temple, Vayalur, Negapatam Taluk.	Private ...	Do.
2. Vija Pattabhiramaswami temple, Singavanam, Arantangi Taluk.		Do. ...	Do.	
3. Srinivasa Perumal and Kasi Viswanathaswami temples, Kalyanapuram, Tanjore Taluk.		Excepted ...	Excepted.	
4. Mariamman temple, Veerasingampettai, Kalyanapuram, Tanjore Taluk.		Do. ...	Do.	
5. Adimadyarjuneswaraswami temple, Vellala Viduthi, Kallakottai Zamin, Pattukkottai Taluk.		Do. ...	Do.	

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Tanjore—cont. ...	6. Oushadpureswaraswami. Marungapallem, Pattukkottai Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	7. Rudrakoteeswaraswami, Andikadu.	Do. ...	Do.
	8. Varadarajaperumal temple Valattur, Papanasam Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	9. Jambunathaswami and Akilandeswari temple, Nallicheri, Papanasam Taluk.	Do. ...	Excepted.
	10. Karimel Alagu Ayyangar temple, Rajagiri, Papanasam Taluk.	Do. ...	Public and excepted.
	11. Gopalakrishnaswami temple, Gopurajapuram, Papanasam Taluk.	Private and income below Rs. 250.	Act does not apply.
	12. Sirai Katha Ayyangar temple, Palli Agraharam, Tanjore Taluk.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Do.
	13. Kalyanasundaraswami, Nallur, Papanasam Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	14. Brahmapureswaraswami Parumakkanallore, Papanasam Taluk.	Private ...	Public and outside the scope of the Act.
	15. Viswanadhaswami temple, Kurichi Pattukkottai Taluk	Do. ...	Public and excepted.
	16. Mahalakshmi Amman and Swetapureswarar Vellainangalam, Kumbakonam Taluk.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Income below Rs. 250.
	17. Moolanathaswami temple, Kila Tanjavur, Nannilam Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Act does not apply.
	18. Vennathalai Hanumar, Mannargudi Mannargudi Taluk.	Private ...	Public and non-excepted.
	19. Siddhivinayakaswami temple, Pattukkottai.	Private and income less than Rs. 250.	Public and non-excepted, income above Rs. 250.



## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Tanjore—cont. ...	20. Varadarajaperumal temple, Rayampettai, Tanjore Taluk.	Private and income less than Rs. 250.	Public and non-excepted income above Rs. 250.
	21. Kantheswaraswami temple, Thambikottai, Pattukkottai Taluk.	Private	Excepted.
	22. Sundareswaraswami temple, Thambikottai, Pattukkottai Taluk.	Do. ..	Do.
	23. Kailasanathaswami temple, Kadakam, Mayavaram Taluk.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Act applies.
	24. Subrahmanyaswami temple, Manojiappanchavadi, Papanasam Taluk.	Private and excepted.	Public and non-excepted.
	25. Visvanathaswami temple, Sillathur, Pattukkottai Taluk.	Private ..	Do.
	26. Pathi Venugopalswami, Rayapuram, Mannargudi Taluk.	Do. ...	Public and excepted.
	27. Ekambareswaraswami temple, Mannargudi.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	28. Karuppannaswami temple, Akkinathapuram, Tanjore Taluk.	Private ...	Non-excepted.
	29. Tirumeni Alagar temple, Vadagudi, Negapatam Taluk.	Do. ...	Excepted.
	30. Ranganathaswami temple, Tirumandaogudi.	Do. ...	Non-excepted.
	31. Kasivisvanathaswami temple, Darasuram, Kumakonam Taluk.	Excepted ...	Do.
	32. Lakshminarayanaperumal temple, Viramangalam, Kumbakonam Taluk.	Private ...	Do.
	33. Apathsahayeswaraswami temple, Thiruppannurnur, Tanjore.	Excepted ...	Classified, excepted.
	34. Boomeswaraswami temple, Alappirandan, Arantangi Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Proceedings dropped.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Tanjore—cont. ...	35. Mariamman temple, Tiruvadi, Tanjore.	Private ...	Outside the scope of the Act.
	36. Ekambareswaraswami temple, Virupakshipuram, Tanjore.	Excepted ...	Proceedings dropped.
South Arcot ...	1. Puthumariamman temple, Karumachipalayam, Cuddalore Taluk.	Do. ...	Excepted.
	2. Vedanarayanaperumal temple, Valayamadevi, Chidambaram Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	3. Pathanjaleeswaraswami temple, Kanathampuliyur, Chidambaram Taluk.	Do. ...	Non-excepted.
	4. Thalavakolundeeswarar, Vanur, Villupuram Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Act applies and non-excepted.
	5. Arpuda Vinayakar temple, Rajamudalichavadi, Cuddalore Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	6. Varadarajaperumal temple, Velapundi, Chidambaram Taluk.	Do. ...	Non-excepted.
	7. Ramalinga Parvathavarthani Sametha Choudambikai Amman temple, Cuddalore.	Do. ...	Excepted.
	8. Kumarakoil, Cuddalore.	...	Do.
	9. Aravamudha Perumal temple, Tirumangalam, Villupuram Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.
	10. Sanjivirayar temple, Palur, Cuddalore Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	11. Kamakshiamma temple, Cuddalore, Cuddalore Taluk.	Private ...	Classified, excepted.
	12. Trivikramaswami temple, Tirukkoyilur, Tirukkoyilur Taluk.	...	Do.
	13. Mela Math, Chidambaram, Chidambaram Taluk.	Not a math ...	Not a math as defined in the Act.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Trichinopoly	1. Anjaneyaswami temple, Andankoil Karur, Karur Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	2. Sivan Koil, Muthur, Musiri Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Act applies.
	3. Mayuranathaswami temple, Javandinathapuram, Lalgudi Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	4. Meikantaswami Math, Nochiam, Trichinopoly Taluk.	Do. ...	Public.
	5. Dhandayuthapaniswami temple, Ayyampalayam Musiri, Taluk.	Private income less than Rs. 250.	Public Act applies and excepted.
	6. Karpagavinayakar temple, Vyrichettipalayam, Musiri Taluk.	Private ...	Public and non-excepted.
	7. Panchanadiswaraswami temple, Tirumana-medu, Lalgudi Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	8. Lakshminarayana Perumal temple, Tirumana-medu, Lalgudi Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	9. Visvanatha Kailasanathar, Mariamman, Perumal and Mavadi Karuppan temple, Edangimangalam, Lalgudi Taluk.	Do. ...	Non-excepted.
	10. Vellamthangi Amman temple, Kokkarasampettai, Trichinopoly Taluk.	Income below Rs. 250.	Act applies.
	11. Sundaeswaraswami and Varadarajaperumal temple, Kamarasavalli, Udayarpalayam Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.
	12. Meenakshi Sundareswarar temple, Kumara-vadi.	...	Excepted.
	13. Agasteeswarar temple, Kannudayampatti, Kulittalai Taluk.	...	Do.
	14. Nallandaswami temple, Kannudayampatti.	...	Do.

## STATEMENT VI—B-cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Trichinopoly—cont.	15. Venkatesaperumal temple, Mannankondan, Kulit-talai Taluk.	...	Excepted.
	16. Varadarajaperumal temple, Reddiyapatti, Musiri Taluk.	Private ... ..	Do.
	17. Tirumeswara Udayar and Venkatachalaperumal temples, Ariyur, Lalgudi Taluk.	Income below Rs. 250.	Act applies and non-excepted.
	18. Visvanadhaswami and Madhava Perumal temple, Madhavaperumal koil, Lalgudi Taluk	Private ... ..	Public.
	19. Kannimaramman temple and Malaikoil, Virappur.	Do. ... ..	Excepted.
	20. Pasupatheswaraswami temple, Tinnakonam, Musiri Taluk.	Excepted ... ..	Do.
	21. Rajagopalaswami temple, Pinnathur, Musiri Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Classified as excepted.
	22. Varadarajaperumal temple, Vyrichettipalayam, Musiri Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Do.
	23. Venkataramanaswami temple, Pungudi, Trichinopoly Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Proceedings dropped.
	24. Venugopala Krishna-swami temple, Andankoil, Karur Taluk.	Excepted ... ..	Excepted.
North Arcot ...	1. Esanya Math, Tiruvannamalai.	Act does not apply.	Act applies.
	2. Subrahmaniaswami temple, Perambut, Tirupathur Taluk.	Excepted ... ..	Excepted.
	3. Angalamman Parameswari Thalayattam, Gudiyattam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	4. Sripurandeswarar temple, Sirukarumbur.	Private and income less than Rs. 250. Excepted ... ..	Public and Act does not apply. Excepted.
	5. Sundareswaraswami temple, Kosapet, Vellore.	Private ... ..	Public and non-excepted.
	6. Chennakesavaperumal temple, Vellakuttai, Tiruppattur Taluk.	Private ... ..	Public and non-excepted.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
North Arcot—cont.	7. Kannikaparameswari Amman temple, Vellore.	Private ...	Public and non-excepted.
	8. Pavalakunru Math, Tiruvannamalai.	Act does not apply.	Act does not apply.
	9. Varadarajaswami temple, Pinnathur village, Vellore Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.
	10. Mukteswaraswami temple, Kaveripauk, Arkonam Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Act applies and non excepted.
	11. Balasubrahmanyaswami temple, Somasipadi, Tiruvannamalai Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.
	12. Ellayamman temple, Vettavanam, Vellore Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	13. Adikesava Perumal temple, Kattalai, Arkonam Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Act applies.
	14. Alagu Raman Perumal temple, Kaveripauk, Arkonam Taluk.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Income less than Rs. 250.
	15. Virupaksheswarar temple, Kammasamudram, Vellore Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.
	16. Tirunavukarasu Math, Vellore.	Private... ...	Act does not apply..
	17. Sundara Vinayakar temple, Arcot, Wallajah Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	18. Kamakshiamman temple, Tiruvannamalai.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	19. Kothandaramaswami temple, Rangapuram, Vellore Taluk.	Excepted ...	Non-excepted.
	20. Angundeswari temple, Madavalam	Income below Rs. 250.	Do.
	21. Ranganathaswami temple, Tiruparkadal, Wallajah Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	22. Sundara Veera Anjaneyar, Tiruppattur Taluk.	...	Non-excepted.
	23. Chidambaranathar temple, Tiruvannamalai.	...	Do.
	24. Thatheswaraswami temple, Kalathur, Arkonam Taluk.	...	Do

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
North Arcot—cont.	25. Chennakesava Perumal temple, Perumbat, Tiruppathur Taluk. 26. Kanyakaparameswari temple, Gudiyattam, Gudiyattam Taluk. 27. Samundi Amman temple, Chandrapuram, Tirupattur Taluk. 28. Selva Vinayakar Koil, Gudiyattam, Gudiyattam Taluk. 29. Chidambareswaraswami temple, Kadaberi, Wallajah Taluk. 30. Karapureeswaraswami temple, Tiruparkadal, Wallajah Taluk. 31. Sundara Vinayakar temple, Athipet, Arkonam Taluk. 32. Siddhi Vinayakar temple, Athipet, Arkonam Taluk. 33. Chellapillayar temple, Tiruparkadal, Wallajah Taluk. 34. Swayambunathaswami temple, Ochcheri, Arkonam Taluk. 35. Vallaba Vinayakar temple, Vellahkuttai, Tirupattur Taluk. 36. Thoppuswami math, Vellore, Vellore Taluk. 37. Manikkavasagar Math, Vellore, Vellore Taluk.	... Income below Rs. 250. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Income below Rs. 250. Act does not apply.	Non-expected. Proceedings dropped. Income below Rs. 250. Proceedings dropped. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Not a math; income doubtful. Neither a math, nor a temple. Act does not apply.
Chingleput ...	1. Yoganarasimhaswami temple, Velacheri, Saidapet Taluk. 2. Ishta Siddhi Vinayakar temple, Attuputtur, Conjeeveram Taluk. 3. Subrahmanyaswami temple, Attuputtur, Conjeeveram Taluk.	... ... ...	Act does not apply. ... Income below Rs. 250. Classified. Excepted.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Chingleput—cont.	4. Ellanural temple and Prasanna Venkatesaperumal temple, Attuputtur, Conjeeveram Taluk.	...	Income below Rs. 250.
Madura ... ..	1. Komaliswami math, Thathampatti, Nilakottai Taluk.	Private and income less than Rs. 500.	Outside the scope of the Act.
	2. Muthalamman temple, Agaram, Dindigul Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	3. Prasanna Venkateswaraswami temple, Madura.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	4. Ekantha Ramaswami temple, Madura.	Do. ...	Do.
	5. Badrakali Mariamman temple, Tirumangalam.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	6. Mariamman temple, Nilakottai.	Do. ...	Do.
	7. Kanyaka Parameswari temple, Dindigul.	Not a religious endowment.	Outside the scope of the Act.
	8. Gowmariamman temple, Virapandi, Periyakulam Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	9. Vandikaliamman temple, Attur, Dindigul Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	10. Drowpathi Anaman temple, Kachirayampatti, Melur Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	11. Alagar temple, Mela Gudalur, Periyakulam Taluk.	Private and income less than Rs. 250.	Public and non-excepted, income not less than Rs. 250.
	12. Kuttiah math, Madura ...	Private ...	Outside the scope of the Act.
	13. Lakshminarayana Perumal temple, Tamarakulam.	Income less than Rs. 250.	Income not less than Rs. 250.
	14. Janaka Mariamman temple, Sholavandan, Nilakottai Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Tinnevelly ...	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sastha Vennimalai Kandayyan temple, Naranammalpuram, Tinnevelly Taluk.</li> <li>2. Muthukrishna Nayackar Chatram and Sri Ananta Padmanabhaswami temple, Chatram Pudukulam, Tinnevelly Taluk.</li> <li>3. Navanitakrishnaswami temple, Harikesavanallur, Ambasamudram Taluk.</li> <li>4. Sakti Ganapati temple, Kilanattam, Tinnevelly Taluk.</li> <li>5. Sucalaimadaswami temple, Vijayanarayanam, Nanguneri Taluk.</li> <li>6. Balasubrahmanyaswami and Arumuga Nainar temple, Viswanathaperi, Sankarankoil Taluk.</li> <li>7. Muttu Vadivu Amman temple, Pattarpuram, Nanguneri Taluk.</li> <li>8. Venkatachalapati temple, Timmarajapuram, Tinnevelly Taluk.</li> <li>9. Sitala Sivalingeswarar temple, Orumangalam.</li> <li>10. Ramaswami temple, Anavaratanallur in Vasavappapuram, Sri-vaikuntam Taluk.</li> <li>11. Ramaswami temple, Kalingupatti, Sankarankoil Taluk</li> <li>12. Chokkanathaswami temple, Suttamalli, Tinnevelly Taluk.</li> </ol>	<p>Income less than Rs. 250.</p> <p>Private ...</p> <p>Do. ...</p> <p>Do. ...</p> <p>Do. ...</p> <p>Do. ...</p> <p>Private and income less than Rs. 250.</p> <p>Excepted ...</p> <p>Private ...</p> <p>Do. ...</p> <p>Private and income less than Rs. 250.</p> <p>Do.</p>	<p>Outside the scope of the Act.</p> <p>Outside the scope of the Act.</p> <p>Public and excepted.</p> <p>Do.</p> <p>Public and non-excepted.</p> <p>Public and excepted.</p> <p>Public and excepted. Income not less than Rs. 250.</p> <p>Excepted.</p> <p>Public and excepted.</p> <p>Do.</p> <p>Outside the scope of the Act.</p> <p>Public.</p>



## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Tinnevely—cont.	13. Vaithilingaswami temple, Sivalarkulam.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	14. Navanitakrishnaswami temple, Adaichani, Ambasamudram Taluk.	Private and income less than Rs. 250.	Outside the scope of the Act.
	15. Praklathiswarar and Lokambikai Amman temple, Sentamaram, Sankarankoil Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	16. Subrahmanyaswami temple, Vadakkuviravanallur, Ambasamudram Taluk.	Private and income less than Rs. 250.	Public, but outside the scope of the Act.
	17. Venkatachalapati temple, Mahilvannapuram, Tinnevely Town.	Excepted; income less than Rs. 250.	Petition dismissed for default of appearance.
	18. Muppadari Amman temple, Viravanallur, Ambasamudram Taluk.	Do.	Excepted; income not less than Rs. 250.
	19. Swayambulingaswami temple, Ovari, Nanguneri Taluk.	Excepted ...	Excepted.
	20. Viswanathaswami temple and Sri Visalakshiamman temple, Vadakkurasseri, Sri-vaikuntam Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	21. Karpaga Vinayakar temple, Brahmadesam, Ambasamudram Taluk.	Private ...	Public and excepted.
	22. Ramaswami temple, Thenthiruperi, Tiruchendur Taluk, Tinnevely District.	Do. ...	Outside the scope of the Act.
	23. Athangarai Siddhi Vinayagar temple, Kokkirakulam, Tinnevely Taluk.	Excepted Income less than Rs. 250.	Excepted income not less than Rs. 250.
	24. Sundara Pandya Vigneswarar temple, Elayamputhur, Tinnevely Taluk.	Private income less than Rs. 250.	Public, and non-excepted, income not less than Rs. 250.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Ramnad ... ..	1. Silambani Chidambara Vinayagar temple, Devakotta. 2. Meenakshi Sundareswarar temple, Kottayur, Tirupattur Taluk. 3. Nirranarayana Perumal temple, Tirupattur.	Private ... Do. ... Do. ...	Public and excepted. Do. Public.
	4. Ekadasi Matam alias, Sri Venugopalaswami temple, Karaikudi.	Private income less than Rs 250. Excepted ...	Outside the scope of the Act. Excepted.
	5. Balasubrahmaniaswami, Meenakshi Sundareswarar, etc., temples, Virudhunagar, Sattur Taluk. 6. Amrithalingeswarar and Sri Muthumariamman temple, Aruppukkottai.	Do. ...	Do.
Salem ... ..	1. Subrahmaniaswami temple, Kalipatti, Tiruchengode Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
Coimbatore ... ..	1. Ramalinga Sowdambigai Amman temple, Coimbatore.	Do. ...	Do.
Malabar ... ..	1. Panniyankara and Vendoor temples, Panniyankara Amsom, Calicut Taluk. 2. Vattoli Bhagavathi temple, Thazhacode Amsom, Calicut Taluk. 3. Chuzali Bhagavati temple, Nityenga Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk. 4. Athiyedath Krishnamatholakam temple, Cheruthazhom Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk. 5. Edakkat and Poothrikovil temples, Edakkat Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Private ... Do. ... Do. ... Do. ... Do. ...	Public. Do. Do. Do. Do.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Malabar—cont.	6. Perimba temple, Kurumat- tar Amsom Chirakkal Taluk.	Private... ..	Public.
	7. Chaleri temple, Chaleri Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Public income below Rs. 250.
	8. Changal Vettakkorumakan temple, Ezhom Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Do. .. ..	Public.
	9. Kalliyil temple, Kairalam Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Income below Rs. 250.	Income be- low Rs. 250 declared outside the scope of the Act.
	10. Chekkiat temple, Kandakkai Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Private ... ..	Do.
	11. Puthur temple, Cherutha- zhom Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Income below Rs. 250.	Do.
	12. Bhoothanatha temple, Cheruthazhom Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Private ... ..	Private.
	13. Trikkaliyur temple, Kizhuparamba Amsom, Ernad Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Public.
	14. Vettakkorumakan temple, Chittariparamba Am- som, Kottayam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	15. Peravoor temple, Mana- thana Amsom, Kotta- yam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	16. Eruvatti Kavu temple, Eruvatti Amsom, Kottayam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	17. Talayil temple, Kauna- vam Amsom, Kottayam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	18. Neelakanti Bhagavathi temple, Kannavam Amsom, Kottayam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	19. Paduvilayi Kavu temple, Paduvilayi Amsom, Kottayam Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Malabar—cont.	20. Chothroth Vettakkorumakan temple, Therayur Amsom, Kurumbranad Taluk.	Private ... ..	Public.
	21. Kizhur temple, Meladi Amsom, Kurumbranad Taluk.	Do. .. ...	Do.
	22. Kothamangalam temple, Panthalayini Amsom, Kurumbranad Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	23. Kothamangalam temple, Koduvayoor Amsom, Kakkayur temple, Kakkayur Amsom, and Puthan Kavu temple, Pallassana Amsom, Palghat Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	24. Chambrakulangara temple, Chambrakulangara Amsom, Palghat Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	25. Kalpathy Viswanadhaswami temple, Kalpathi Amsom, Palghat Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	26. Lakshminarayanawami temple, Sekharipuram Amsom, Palghat Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	27. Thiruvaiyanat Bhagavati temple, Koduvayur Amsom, Palghat Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Private.
	28. Thalachilavan temple, Kuthanur Amsom, Palghat Taluk.	Excepted ... ..	Excepted.
	29. Bhagavathi temple, Kuthanur, Palghat.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	30. Nhamanghat Siva temple, Vylattur Amsom, Ponnani Taluk.	Private ... ..	Outside the scope of the Act.
	31. Kattapuram Vettakkorumakan temple, Ayirur Amsom, Ponnani Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Public.
	32. Eravimangalam temple, Eravimangalam Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.
	33. Kuruvayur Bhagavathi temple, Elampulaseari Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Do. ... ..	Do.

## STATEMENT VI-B—cont.

Statement showing the names of institutions in respect of which disputes under section 84 were disposed of by the Board during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number and name of institution.	Nature of contention. (1) Private. (2) Income. (3) Excepted. (4) Math or temple. (5) Charitable or religious.	Nature of disposal.
Malabar—cont. ...	34. Trithanthoni temple, Vadanakurissi Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Private	Public.
	35. Arayankote Kavu temple, Thenkara Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Income below Rs. 250.	Income below Rs. 250.
	36. Akalur Bhagavathi temple, Perur Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Private ...	Income below Rs. 250 for the
		present the matter to be gone into again at the end of Fasli 1337.	
	37. Taliyil Ganapathi temple, Kalladipatta Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Private ...	Public.
	38. Ayyampalli temple, Srikrishnapuram Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	39. Mundamukka Ayyappan temple, Mundamukka Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	40. Atakaputhur temple, Moothedath Madamba Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	41. Trikkovil temple, Nagararam Amsom, Calicut Taluk.	Jain institution.	Outside the scope of the Act.
South Kanara ...	1. Lakshminarayana temple, Mundaje village, Uppinangadi Taluk.	Private ...	Public.
	2. Mundol Durgaparameswari temple, Karadka village, Kasargod Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	3. Balegopa Jangama temple, Molaballi village, Coondapur Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	4. Pengal Durga Parameswari temple, Pengal village, Karkal Taluk.	Do. ...	Do.
	5. Hosa Somanatha temple, Attavoor village, Mangalore Taluk.	Act does not apply.	Income below Rs. 250 outside the scope of the Act.

## STATEMENT VII.

(Vide paragraph 16 of the report.)

Statement showing the number of temples and maths specially brought under the Act, though the income is less than Rs. 250 and Rs. 500, respectively, during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Name of district.	Name of taluk.	Number of temples.
Ganjām ... ..	Chicacole ... ..	1
Vizagapatam ... ..	Bobbili ... ..	1
Kistna ... ..	Nandigama ... ..	1 math
Guntūr ... ..	Sattenappalle ... ..	2
	Vinukonda ... ..	3
Nellore ... ..	Gudur ... ..	1
	Nellore ... ..	1
	Kavali ... ..	2
Kurnool ... ..	Kurnool ... ..	5
Chittoor ... ..	Kalahasti division ... ..	2
	Madanapalle ... ..	9
	Punganoor division ... ..	1
	Chittoor ... ..	1
Cuddapah ... ..	Rajampet ... ..	9
	Proddatur ... ..	1
Anantapur ... ..	Tadpatri ... ..	3
	Penukonda ... ..	1
	Gooty .. ...	3
North Arcot ... ..	Tiruppattur ... ..	1
Trichinopoly ... ..	Karur ... ..	6
	Kulitalai ... ..	5
	Trichinopoly ... ..	1
	Total ...	60

## STATEMENT VIII.

(Vide paragraph 18 of the report.)

Statement showing the progress made in respect of the maintenance of registers of properties, etc., of temples and maths as required by section 38 of the Act from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Number of returns received excluding those submitted during the previous fasli and re-submitted after revision in accordance with further instructions.		Total number examined and accepted during the fasli.		Total number returned for revision or completion.			
		Temples.	Maths.	Temples.	Maths.	Temples.	Maths.		
1	East Gōdāvāri	...	...	8	...	...	8	...	
2	West Gōdāvāri	...	...	14	...	...	19	...	
3	Kistna	...	...	12	...	...	10	...	
4	Nellore	...	...	4	...	...	3	...	
5	Chittoor	...	...	3	1	...	3	1	
6	Cuddapah	...	...	4	...	...	4	...	
7	Anantapur	...	...	5	...	...	5	...	
8	Bellary	...	...	1	...	...	3	1	
9	Kurnool	...	...	3	...	...	10	...	
10	Chingleput	...	...	10	...	2	8	...	
11	North Arcot	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	
12	South Arcot	...	...	163	...	159	4	...	
13	Tanjore	...	...	97	...	94	3	...	
14	Trichinopoly	...	...	41	...	40	1	...	
15	Rāmnād	...	...	14	...	...	2	...	
16	Coimbatore	...	...	68	...	...	56	...	
17	Salem	...	...	7	...	...	7	...	
18	The Nilgiris	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	
19	Madura	...	...	10	...	5	5	...	
20	Tinnevely	...	...	25	...	10	15	...	
21	Malabar	...	...	8	...	4	4	...	
22	South Kanara	...	...	5	...	2	3	...	
	Total	...	...	507	1	316	...	181	2

## STATEMENT IX.

(Vide paragraph 19 of the report.)

Statement showing the number of budget estimates of receipts and disbursements for 1928-29, received from maths and excepted temples under section 61 of the Act from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Number of institutions from which budgets have been received.		Remarks.
		Temples.	Maths.	
1	Ganjām ... ..	10	6	
2	Vizagapatam ... ..	2	...	
3	East Gōdāvāri ... ..	8	...	
4	Nellore ... ..	3	...	
5	Bellary ... ..	...	2	
6	South Arcot ... ..	1.	...	
7	Tanjore ... ..	3*	...	
8	Trichinopoly ... ..	2.	...	
9	Madura ... ..	3	...	
10	Tinnevelly ... ..	6	...	
11	Rāmnād ... ..	1	...	
12	Malabar ... ..	3	...	
13	South Kanara ... ..	2	...	
		44	8	

\* The Palace devasthanam consists of 98 temples.



## STATEMENT X.

(Vide paragraph 23 of the report.)

Statement showing resumption of inam lands by Government from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number and district.	Name of temple.	Proposals for resumption by Revenue Department.	Cases of actual resumption by Revenue Department.	Remarks as to the action taken by the Board.
1. Guntur ...	Sakaleswaraswami temple, Nandur, Bapatla Taluk.	...	Dancing service inam resumed and patta granted on 16th Sep. 1927.	District Temple Committee has advised party to take the matter in appeal.
2. Do. ...	Anantakodandaramaswami temple, Karumaneni, Ongole Taluk.	Dancing service inam-pending.	...	Tahsildar addressed to keep proposals pending—Enquiry pending with Superintendent of Hindu Religious Endowments, Guntur.
3. Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami and Sri Visweswaraswami, Lingamgunta, Ongole Taluk.	...	...	
4. Anantapur.	Chowdeswari temple, Munimadugu, Penukonda Taluk.	Pending ...	...	Inams recovered.
5. Do.	Nidimamidi Math, Nidimamidi, Penukonda Taluk.	Do.	...	Inams recovered through Civil Court.
6. Do.	Sajakanti Ranganadhaswami temple, Gudipalli, Penukonda Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Inams recovered by private negotiation.
7. Do.	Jwalapuram Narasimhaswami temple, Jwalapuram, Dharmavaram Taluk.	Do. ...	...	The trustee and the Committee addressed to take necessary steps.
8. Do.	Chennakesavaswami temple, Ravulacheruvu, Dharmavaram Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Inams recovered.
9. Do.	Lakshmi Chennakeswaraswami temple, Dharmavaram, Dharmavaram Taluk.	...	Resumed ...	Suit in Civil Court failed. Trustee's application to the Revenue Board for re-assignment of assessment pending.

## STATEMENT X—cont.

Statement showing resumption of inam lands by Government  
from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number and district.	Name of temple	Proposals for resumption by Revenue Department.	Cases of actual resumption by Revenue Department.	Remarks as to the action taken by the Board.
10. Anantapur.	Temple at Atmakur, Anantapur Taluk.	Pending ...	...	Information being insufficient full particulars called for.
11. Do.	Chennakesavaswami and Sri Anjaneyaswami temples, Venkirayukalvu, Gooty Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Proposals for extension of the Act made—Arrangements for services made by Committee.
12. Do.	Anjaneyaswami temple, Nemallapalli, Gooty Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Do.
13. Cuddapah.	Gangajathara, Gurrampad village, Cuddapah Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Applications invited for trustee's appointment—necessary steps to be taken after such appointment.
14. Do.	Varadarajaswami temple, Chitvel, Rajampet Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Committee addressed for necessary action.
15. Do.	Pushpagiri Math, Inams at Royalcheruvu village, Tadpatri Taluk.	Do. ...	...	The Vakil for the Peetadhipathi addressed for necessary action.
16. Do.	Rangaswami temple, Pulivendla, Pulivendla Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Trustee ordered to take necessary steps.
17. Do.	Prasanna Venkateswaraswami temple at Chennur, Cuddapah Taluk.	...	Resumed ...	Trustee asked to report.
18. Chittoor ..	Venugopalswami temple, Kandur, Punganur Division.	Pending ...	...	The trustee addressed to take necessary steps.
19. Do. ...	Lakshminarayanaswami temple, Polakata, Chittoor Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Committee appointed a trustee and gave necessary directions for performance of services, etc.
20. Do. ...	Mahanti Math, Punganur, Punganur Division.	Do. ...	...	Collector has given two years time for redemption. Trustee is being reminded for redemption earlier.

## STATEMENT X—cont.

Statement showing resumption of inam lands by Government  
from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number and district.	Name of temple.	Proposals for resumption by Revenue Department pending.	Cases of actual resumption by Revenue Department.	Remarks as to the action taken by the Board.
21. Chittoor...	Pedda Math, Punganoor, Punganoor Division.	Pending	...	Scheme settled and directions given.
22. Do. ...	Gindugal branch of Pedda Math.	Do. ...	...	Do.
23. Do. ...	Nakkabanda branch of Pedda Math.	Do. ...	...	Do.
24. Do. ...	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Tarigonda, Vayalpad Taluk.	...	Resumed ...	Orders passed for re-assignment of net assessment.
25. Do. ...	Virabhadraswami temple, Gonugur, Kangundi Division.	Sending	...	Mortgage partly redeemed. Inquiry for settlement of a scheme pending.
26. Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami and two temples at Sadum (dancing service), Punganur Division.	Do. ...	...	Net assessment re-assigned.
27. Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami and two temples at Sadum (Medara service), Punganur Division.	...	Resumed ...	Do.
28. Do. ...	Manikyarayaswami temple, Punganur.	...	Do. ...	Do.
29. Do. ...	Manikyarayaswami temple, Gudipatla.	...	Do. ...	Do.
30. Do. ...	Agastheswaraswami temple of Nikkondi at Kotakota.	...	Do. ...	Do.
31. Do. ...	Agastheswaraswami temple, Kotakota.	...	Do. ...	Do.
32. Do. ...	Venugopalswami, Chowdepalli,	...	Do. ...	} Inspector asked to report.
33. Do. ...	Bhimeswaraswami temple, Bhimagampalli.	...	Do. ...	
34. Do. ...	Janardhanaswami temple, Ramasamudram.	...	Do. ...	
35. Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami temple, Gundlur.	...	Do. ...	

## STATEMENT X—cont.

Statement showing resumption of inam lands by Government  
from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number and district.	Name of temple.	Proposals for resumption by Revenue Department pending.	Cases of actual resumption by Revenue Department.	Remarks as to the action taken by the Board.
36. Chittoor ...	Venkateswaraswami temple of Tirupati at Maddanayampalli, Madanapalli Taluk.	... *	Resumed ...	Net assessment re-assigned.
37. Nellore ...	Skandapuri Janardhanaswami temple, Kandukur, Kandukur Taluk.	Pending ...	...	Action partly taken by the Committee.
38. Do.	Kotiswaraswami temple, Kodur, Nellore Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Civil suit failed in original Court and 1st appeal.
39. Do. ...	Thalpagiri Ranganadhaswami temple, Ranganayakulapet, Nellore, Nellore Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Inams resumed and net assessment reassigned in respect of one item, second item pending reassignment of assessment.
40. Do. ...	Prasanna Visweswaraswami temple, Mypad, Nellore Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Interim trustee has been appointed and asked to restore possession.
41. Do. ...	Sangameswaraswami temple, Sangam, Kovur Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Trustee has been asked to take necessary action.
42. Kurnool ..	Sunkalamma Devatha temple, Nandikotkur Taluk.	Do. ...	Resumed..	Government addressed to extend Act.
43. Do. ...	Iswaraswami, etc., temples, Posupula, Kurnool Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Act extended and the President of the Committee addressed.
44. Do. ...	Anjaneyaswami Poleramma Devatha and Vullabhaswami temples, at Vemulakota, Markapur Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Final notification of the extension of the Act is awaited.
45. Do. ...	Poleramma Devatha Peddanagulavaram, Markapur Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Revenue authorities informed that the temple does not come under the Act.
46. Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami temple, Peddakan- dukur, Cumbum Taluk.	Do. ...	...	Inspector asked to enquire and report.

## STATEMENT X—cont.

Statement showing resumption of inam lands by Government from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number and district.	Name of temple.	Proposals for resumption by Revenue Department pending.	Cases of actual resumption by Revenue Department.	Remarks as to the action taken by the Board.
47. Kurnool ...	Venkateswaraswami and Sri Anjaneyaswami temples, Ramapuram, Nandyal Taluk.	Pending ...	...	The inspector asked to enquire into the matter.
48. Do. ...	Anjaneyaswami temple, Nandyal.	Do. ...	...	President of the Committee addressed.
49. Tanjore ...	Kayarohanar and Nilayathakshi Amman temple, Nega-patam.	Do. ...	Resumed	The Collector of Salt revenue addressed to restore the salt pans to the temple. Out of the 6 survey Nos. resumed by Government 3 have already been assigned to other people and could not therefore be assigned to the temple. The other numbers have been reassigned on the distinct understanding that the pans will be satisfactorily worked in future.

Statement showing the further stages, if any, of cases mentioned in Statement X of the previous Administration Report.

—	District.	Name of temple.	Remarks.
Serial number in the Second Administration Report.			
2	Anantapur.	Venugopalswami, Anjaneyaswami temple, Puthaparthi, Penukonda Taluk.	The Trustee responsible for the alienation leading to the resumption has been removed by the Dharmavaram Circle Temple Committee on 3rd June 1928 and replaced by another who has promised to sue for recovery of the inam.
3	Do.	Suddula inam, Kirstipadu, Gooty Taluk.	Assessment reassigned as requested by the Board.
4	Do.	Subramaniaswami temple, Thurakapalli, Gooty Taluk.	The archaka has reinstalled the deity and revived regular worship.

## STATEMENT X—Cont.

Statement showing the further stages, if any, of cases mentioned in Statement X of the previous Administration Report—cont.

—	District.	Name of temple.	Remarks.
Serial number in the Second Administration Report—cont.			
5	Cuddapah.	Malleswaraswami Singaramala, Rajampet Taluk.	Full assessment on all the resumed inams has been re-assigned to the deity. Now both lands and net assessment are enjoyed by the deity.
6	Do.	Anjaneyaswami temple, Chinna Vorampad, Rajampet Taluk.	Investigation pending.
7	Do.	Bhavanisankaraswami, Chinnachepalli, Kamalapuram Taluk.	Pending with the Committee.
8	Do.	Madanagopalswami, Pedanapadu, Kamalapuram Taluk.	Instructions being issued by Committee to the temporary for action.
9	Do.	Bhogadigangamma, Animela.	Suit filed by the trustee.
10	Do.	Venkateswaraswami temple, Alidena, Kamalapuram Taluk.	Trustee appointed by the Board, recovered all the inams and lease them for higher rents: services revived.
11	Do.	Soumyanathaswami temple, Nandalur, Rajampet Taluk.	Revenue authorities declined to make the transfer.
12	Do.	Chennakesavaswami, Alavalapad, Fulivendla Taluk.	Collector addressed for further extension of time as the matter is still pending with Committee.
13	Chittoor ...	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Tarigonda, Vayalpad Taluk.	Civil Suit for the recovery of the inams succeeded.
14	Nellore ...	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Thiruveedhipad, Kovur Taluk.	Flagstaff installed at heavy expense met by subscriptions raised at the instance of the trustee. Services revived and Tahsildar addressed for dropping resumption proposals.
15	Do. ...	Pattanadeeswaraswami temple, Koratur, Nellore Taluk.	Pending.
16	Do.	Kannaswaraswami temple. Kaluvoy, Atmakur Taluk.	The alienation cancelled by private negotiation.
17	Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami and Malleswarar temple, Bitragunta, Kandukur Taluk.	Pending with the Committee.

## STATEMENT X—cont.

Statement showing the further stages, if any, of cases mentioned in Statement X of the previous Administration Report—cont.

—	District.	Name of temple.	Remarks.
Serial number in the Second Administration Report—cont.			
18	Nellore ...	Lashminarasimhaswami temple, Singaroyakonda, Kandukur Taluk.	Pending with the Committee.
19	Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami temple, Pelleru, Atmakur Taluk.	Do.
20	Bellary ...	Chatragudi Anjaneyaswami temple, Halabarivi, Alur Taluk.	President of the Committee addressed in the matter.
21	Kurnool ...	Sri Anjaneyaswami temple Bodducherla, Markapur Taluk.	Pending. Inspector addressed to inquire and report.
22	Do. ...	Asadi inam, Tummalapenta, Koilkuntla Taluk.	Pending. President of the Committee addressed.
23	Do. ...	Inam at Pyopali, Dhone Taluk, relating to Pushpagiri Math, Cuddapah.	Pending.
Serial number in the First Administration Report.			
4	Guntur ...	Sri Malleswaraswami temple, Kuchallapad, Tenali Taluk.	Suit O.S. 3/28 filed by the archakas.
5	Do. ...	Sri Nageswaraswami temple, Duggirala.	Still pending.
6	Nellore ...	Indukurpet temples ...	Do.
7	Do. ...	Kylasanadhaswami temple, Gangapatnam.	Pending with the Committee.
8	Do. ...	Varakavipudi temple ...	Still pending.
9	Do. ...	Moolasthaneswaraswami temple, Moolapet.	Pending with the Committee.
10	Do. ...	Alaganadhaswami temple, Nidumusali.	Necessary action taken and Revenue authorities asked to drop resumption.
11	Do. ...	Vemulunma temple, Viroor.	Pending.
12	Do. ...	Muthukur temples ...	No action taken by trustee on account of adverse decision in Kovur temples cases. Trustee being advised in the matter.

## STATEMENT X—cont.

Statement showing the further stages, if any, of cases mentioned in Statement X of the previous Administration Report—cont.

-	District.	Name of temple.	Remarks.
Serial number in the first Administration Report.—cont.			
13	Kurnool ...	Amruteswaraswami temple, Kurnool.	Pending. Suits filed by trustees appointed by Committee.
14	Do. ...	Rudravaram village temples.	Do.
15	Do. ...	Chennakesavaswami and Anjaneyaswami temples, Oravakal.	Trustee appointed and inamdars warned.
16	Do. ...	Lingamayya-Devatha Pudur.	Suits filed by trustee appointed. Progress awaited.
17	Do. ...	Eswaraswami temple, Bolavaram.	Do.
18	Do. ...	Eswaraswami temple, Gotlur.	Do.
19	Do. ...	Anjaneyaswami temple, Bujanuru.	Do.
20	Do. ...	Anjaneyaswami temple, Gutlapad.	Do.
21	Do.	Siddhi Nandeswaraswami temple, Gangavaram	Still pending.
22	Do. ..	Siddheswaraswami and Chennakesavaswami temples, Gundumallam, Koilkuntla Taluk.	Pending.
23	Chittoor ...	Ellamma Deity Kangundi.	} Suits pending.
24	Do. ...	Kalamma Kangundi	
25	Cuddapah.	Chennakesavaswami and Anjaneyaswami Gollalagudur, Pulivendla Taluk.	Pending action by the Committee.
26	Anantapur	Swayambeswari temple, Munimadugu, Penukonda Taluk. (Karthikam).	Repairs partly completed trustees promise to start service after pashishta in other cases also settled.
27	Malabar ...	Puthur temple, Elampullasseri, Walluvanad Taluk.	Still pending.



103

189

STATEMENT XI.

STATEMENT XI.

(Vide paragraph 26 of the report.)

Administrative orders passed by the Board under Section 18 of the Act from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Name of district.	General upkeep of the temple, repairs and renovations.	Maintenance and audit of accounts.	Allocation of income.	Investment of funds.	Recovery of temple lands.	Separation of archakaship from trusteeship.	Auction of temple lands to the highest bidder.	Advice regarding imprudent transactions.	Conduct of temple affairs during extraordinary occasions.	Appointment of trustees.	Miscellaneous.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
1	Ganjām ... ..	54	36	1	21	6	...	14	...	...	5	10
2	Vizagapatam ... ..	2	5	...	4	1	...	3	...	...	...	...
3	East Gōdāvāri ... ..	35	25	15	25	20	...	20	2	3	20	...
4	West Gōdāvāri ... ..	15	15	15	15	15	...	15	...	...	2	1
5	Kistna ... ..	14	14	14	14	14	...	14	...	...	...	...
6	Guntūr ... ..	20	19	5	...	11	...	7	...	2	35	30
7	Nellore ... ..	16	15	9	5	7	8	14	7	4	23	2
8	Kurnool ... ..	39	15	12	14	26	21	25	12	5	28	4

9	Chittoor ... ..	15	12	4	4	18	2	13	6	5	15	1
10	Bellary ... ..	19	10	8	13	14	18	26	8	4	22	2
11	Cuddapah... ..	31	3	4	7	20	...	28	14	2	23	1
12	Anantapur ... ..	18	12	13	9	21	3	12	9	3	21	3
13	Chingleput ... ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	7
14	South Arcot ... ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1
15	North Arcot ... ..	...	1	5	...	...	...	...	...	3	1	2
16	Coimbatore ... ..	...	...	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...
17	Tanjore ... ..	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	4	6
18	Trichinopoly ... ..	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	2	...	1	2
19	Rāmnād ... ..	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...
20	Tinnevelly ... ..	...	...	...	1	5	...	...	...	...	...	...
21	Malabar ... ..	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	34
22	South Kanara ... ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	15
	Total ...	279	183	106	134	186	52	192	62	32	207	121

STATEMENT XII.

(Vide paragraph 29 of the report.)

Statement of schemes of administration settled by the Board for temples and maths under sections 57 and 63 of the Act for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of institution.	Initiative for action.		Remarks.
			<i>Suo motu.</i>	On receipt of petition.	
Ganjām ...	1	Chandeswaraswami temple, Ralabha Village, Berhampur Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	2	Nilakanteswaraswami temple, Devulapalli, Gumsur Taluk ...	...	Petition.	
	3	Gopinadhamahaprabho temple, Narendrapur, Chatrapur Taluk ...	...	Do.	
	4	Radharama temple, Parlakimedi and the minor shrines of Radhakantaswami Uppuludu, Parlakimidi Taluk.	...	Do.	
	5	Brahmeswaraswami temple, Lathi Village, Berhampur Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	*6	Subrahmanyeswara-Sitaramaswami temple, Subrahmanyapuram, Chicacole Taluk.	...	Petition.	
	7	Dadhivabauaswami temple, Rumagoda, Kodala Taluk ...	...	...	
	*8	Jagannatha Math, Raghunadha Math, Balajimath, Hanumantha Mahaprabho Math and Gopinatha Math at Berhampur ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	9	Suryanarayanaswami temple, Arasavilli, Chicacole Taluk ...	<i>Do.</i> ...	...	
	*10	Raghunadha mahaprabho temple, Sunnadaye, Berhampur Taluk.	...	Petition.	
	11	Venkateswaraswami temple, Berhampur ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
Vizagapatam ...	1	Burreswaraswami and Balatripurasundari ammaru, Rayavaram, Sarvasiddhi Taluk.	<i>Do.</i> ...	...	
	2	Chendrasekharaswami temple, Mukundarajapuram, Payakapadu, Rayaghada Taluk.	...	Petition.	
	*3	Jagannadhaswami temple, Murapaka, Chipurupalli Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	

	4	Bhimalingeswaraswami temple, Viravalli, Viravalli Taluk ...	...	Petition.
	1	Meznakshi Eswaraswami and Krishnarayuduswami temples, Krishnarayadu, Pedapudi, Razole Taluk.	...	Do.
	2	Lokeswaraswami temple, Isukapudi, Razole Taluk ...	...	Do.
	3	Sivalingeswaraswami temple, Sivakodu, Razole Taluk ...	...	Do.
	*4	Lakshminaveswaraswami temple, Gudimellanka, Razole Taluk	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	5	Kanakadurga Ammavaru temple, Gudimellanka, Razole Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	6	Venugopaldaswami temple, Gudimellanka, Razole Taluk ...	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	7	Kothandaramaswami temple, Velaguthodu, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	...	Petition.
	8	Gopaldaswami temple, Mogalikuduru, Razole Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	9	Kesavaswami and Sitharamaswami temples, Vakathippa, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	...	Petition.
	10	Venugopaldaswami temple, Rajahmundry ...	...	Do.
	*11	Chandi Bhavaneswaraswami temple, Alamuru, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	...	Do.
	*12	Brahmanda Bhavanam, Rajahmundry ...	...	Do.
	13	Virabhadraswami temple, Nagaram, Razole Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	14	Subrahmanyeswaraswami and Mariamma temples, Vadapalem, Razole Taluk.	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	15	Venugopaldaswami temple, Gopalapuram, Razole Taluk ...	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	*16	Ramaswami mandiram, Innespet, Rajahmundry ...	...	Petition.
	17	Agastheswaraswami temple, Tapeswaram, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	..	Do.
	*18	Syamalamba temple, Rajahmundry ..	...	Do.
	19	Rajeswaraswami temple, Ragampet, Peddapur Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	20	Venugopaldaswami and Visweswaraswami temples, Tatipaka, Razole Taluk.	...	Petition.
	21	Gokulambamma varu temple, Gopalapuram, Razole Taluk ...	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	22	Rajagopaldaswami temple, Lingamparthi, Razole Taluk ...	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	23	Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Mutchumilli, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	...	Petition.
	24	Kesavaswami and other temples, Yeditha, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	25	Chennakesavaswami temple, Pothavaram, Razole Taluk ..	<i>Do.</i> ...	...

\* Indicates schemes settled under section 63. Rest settled under section 57.

STATEMENT XII—cont.

Statement of schemes of administration settled by the Board for temples and maths under sections 57 and 63 of the Act for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of institution.	Initiative for action.		Remarks.
			<i>Suo motu.</i>	On receipt of petition.	
West Godavari	1	Madavaswamivari temple, Thokalapudi, Bhimavaram Taluk ...	...	Petition.	
	2	Nilakanteswaraswamivari temple, Korumilli-Agraharam, Tadepalligudem Taluk.	...	Do.	
	3	Venugopalaswami Muthyalamma and Maremma temples, Sitharamapuram, Narasapuram Taluk.	...	Do.	
	4	Agastheswaraswamivari temple and others, Somaraju Hindalapparru, Tanuku Taluk.	...	Do.	
	5	Someswaraswamivari temple at Sajjapuram Agraharam, Tanuku Taluk.	...	Do.	
	6	Rajagopalaswamivari temple, Madhavayapalem, Narsapuram Taluk.	...	Do.	
	7	Anareswaraswamivari temple, Madhavayapalem, Narsapuram Taluk.	...	Do.	
	8	Ramalingeswaraswamivari temple, Varidhanam, Narasapuram Taluk.	...	Do.	
	9	Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Moyyeru, Tanuku Taluk ...	...	Do.	
	10	Agastheswaraswami temple, Vadali, Tanuku Taluk ...	...	Do.	
	11	Visweswaraswami and Malleswaraswami temples, Velagadurru, Tanuku Taluk.	...	Do.	
	12	Seetharamaswamivari temple at Aratlakatta, Narsapuram Taluk.	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	13	Ekambareswaraswamivari temple, Chivatam, Tanuku Taluk ...	...	Petition.	
	14	Pattabhiramaswami and Markandeyaswami temples, Polamuru, Tanuku Taluk.	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	15	Ramalingeswaraswamivari temple, Thaderu, Bhimavaram Taluk.	<i>Do.</i> ...	...	

	1	Visweswaraswamivari temple, Ravulapadu, Gudivada Taluk	...	Petition.	
	2	Amrutheswaraswamivari temple, Singarayapalem, Kaikalur Taluk	...	Do.	
	3	Amrutheswaraswamivari temple, Ampapuram, Kaikalur Taluk	...	Do.	
	4	Muktheswaraswamivari temple, Vekanur, Divi Taluk	...	...	
	5	Malleswaraswamivari temple, Potharlanka	...	Petition.	
	*6	Visweswaraswamivari temple, Viravilli Mokhasa, Gannavaram Taluk.	...	Do.	
Kistna	*7	Rajeswaraswamivari temple, Ponukumada, Gannavaram Taluk	...	...	
	8	Chennakesavaswamivari temple, Kolavennu, Bezwada Taluk	...	Petition.	
	9	Chennakesavaswami and Kesavaswami temples, Mallavolu, Bandar Taluk.	...	Do.	
	*10	Ranganayakaswamivari temple, Sree Rampuram, Gudivada Taluk	...	Do.	
	11	Sanidheswaraswamivari temple, Nidumolu, Divi Taluk	...	...	
	12	Yogananda Narasimhaswami temple, Nidumolu, Divi Taluk	...	...	
	13	Lakshminarayanaswamivari temple, Avanigadda, Divi Taluk	...	Petition.	
	14	Rajasekharaswamivari temple, Avanigadda, Divi Taluk	...	Do.	
	Guntur	1	Malleswaraswami temple, Doppalapudi, Bapatla Taluk	...	...
		2	Janardhaswami etc., temples, Karlapalem, Bapatla Taluk	...	...
3		Agastheswaraswami and Chennakesavaswami temples, Pavalur, Bapatla Taluk.	...	...	
4		Chennakesaswami and other temples, Ammanabrole, Ongole Taluk.	...	Petition.	
5		Jagannadhaswami math, Chiruvanuppapadu, Ongole Taluk	...	Do.	
6		Janardhaswami and Chakradharaswami temples, Konijedu, Ongole Taluk.	...	...	
7		Tripurantakaswami temple, Konijedu, Ongole Taluk	...	...	
8		Malleswaraswami temple, Pothavaram, Ongole Taluk	...	Petition.	
9		Ledotamma temple, Tangutur, Ongole Taluk	...	Do.	
10		Chennakesavaswami and Virulu temples, Karempudi, Palnad Taluk	...	...	
11		Malleswaraswami temple, Addepalli, Repalli Taluk	...	...	
12		Malleswaraswami temple, Bhattiprolu, Repalli Taluk	...	...	
13		Venugopalswami temple, Bhattiprolu, Repalli Taluk	...	...	
14		Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Mulukuduru, Repalli Taluk	...	...	
*15		Bavaji Math, Peteru, Repalli Taluk	...	...	
16		Chennakesavaswami temple, Peteru, Repalli Taluk	...	Petition.	

\* Indicates schemes settled under section 63. Rest settled under section 57.

STATEMENT XII—cont.

Statement of schemes of administration settled by the Board for temples and maths under sections 57 and 63 of the Act for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Name of district.	Serial number.	Name of institution.	Initiative for action.		Remarks.
			<i>Suo motu.</i>	On receipt of petition.	
Guntur—cont. ...	17	Malleswaraswami temple, Peteru, Repalli Taluk ... ..	...	Petition.	
	18	Umamaheswaraswami temple, Peteru, Repalli Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	
	19	Venugopalaswami temple, Repalli, Repalli Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	
	20	Nagamalleswaraswami temple, Pisapadu, Sattenapalli Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	
	21	Kyvalyanadhaswami temple, Bodduluripad, Tenali Taluk ... ..	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	22	Venugopalaswami and other deities, Ipur, Tenali Taluk ... ..	<i>Do.</i> ...	...	
	*23	Somasekharaswami temple, Kotipalli, Tenali Taluk ... ..	...	Petition.	
	24	Venugopalaswami temple, Kollur, Tenali Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	
	25	Venugopalaswami temple, Moparru, Tenali Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	
	*26	Sangameswaraswami, etc., temples, Sangam Jagarlamudi, Tenali Taluk.	...	Do.	
	27	Venugopalaswami temples, Yelavarru, Tenali Taluk ... ..	...	...	
Nellore ... ..	1	Madhalakshamma temples, Stonehousepet, Nellore Taluk ... ..	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...	
	2	Anjaneyaswami temple, Stonehousepet, Nellore Taluk ... ..	<i>Do.</i> ...	...	
	3	Ramamandiram, Kothur, Nellore Taluk ... ..	<i>Do.</i> ...	...	
	4	Venugopalaswami temple, Chinna Annalur, Kavali Taluk ... ..	...	Petition.	
	*5	Kotakoteswaraswami temple, Momidi, Gudur Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	
Kurnool ... ..	1	Ranganadhaswami temple, Peravali, Pattikonda Taluk .. ..	...	Do.	
Chittoor ... ..	*1	Kodandaramaswami temple, Bokkasampalem, Kalahasti Division. ... ..	...	Do.	
	2	Chowdeswarai temple, Thondamanadu, Kalahasti Division ... ..	...	Do.	
	*3	Varadarajaswami temple, Vallevedu, Chandragiri Taluk ... ..	...	Do.	



	*4	Prasanna Venkateswarasawmi temple, Mangalampeta, Chandragiri Taluk.	...	Do.
	*5	Pedda math and other minor mathas, Punganur .. .. .	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
Anantapur	1	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Kadiri, Kadiri Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	2	Anjaneyaswami temple, Santebedanur, Hindupur Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
Chingleput	*1	Phanamudiswararmudayar temple, Aladi Pillaiyar Street, Conjeeveram, Conjeeveram Taluk.	...	Petition.
North Arcot	1	Swayamprakasa temple, Kavanur, Wallajah Taluk	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	1	Vanchinathaswami temple, Sreevanchiyam, Nannilam Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	2	Navanithakrishnaswami temple, Melakottayur, Kumbakonam Taluk.	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
Tanjore	3	Nilayathakshi Amman temple, Negapatam	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	4	Karpaganathaswami temple, Karpaganatharkulam, Tirutturaipundi Taluk.	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
Madura	1	Edaganathaswami temple, Tiruvedagam, Nilakottai Taluk	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	2	Kallalagar temple, Alagarkovil, Melur Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
Rāmuād	1	Venkatachalapathi temple, Sattur	...	Petition.
Tinnevelly	1	Adhiuathar Alwar temple, Alwarthirunagari, Tiruchendur Taluk	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	*2	Seethala Sivalingaswami temple, Orumangalam, Nanguneri Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	3	Nelliappar Kanthimathi Amman temple, Tinnevelly	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	*1	Thindilam Siva temple, Vadakkumbaram amsom, Ponnani Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	*2	Pariyanampatta and Anthimahakalam temple, Kothakurissi amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	...	Petition.
Malabar	*3	Ariyanambi temple, Ariyur amsom, Walluvanad Taluk	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	*4	Nellaya temple, Nellaya amsom, Walluvanad Taluk	...	Petition.
	*5	Chathankulangara Bhagavathi temple, Akathethara amsom, Palghat Taluk.	<i>Suo motu</i> ...	...
	*6	Naykali temple, Pattanur amsom, Kottayam Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	*7	Kolaprath Kavu temple, Cheruthazhara amsom, Chirakkal Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
South Kanara	1	Venkataramana temple, Mangalore, Mangalore Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	2	Mariammagudi temple, Karakal, Karkal Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...
	3	Kantheswar temple, Kantheswar, Karakal Taluk	<i>Do.</i> ...	...

\* Indicates schemes settled under section 63. Rest settled under section 57.

## STATEMENT XII—cont.

List of schemes which were modified by the Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Name of district.	Serial No.	Name of the institution.
Ganjām ... ..	1	Bodo Math, Narasimhaswami temples, at Surada. (B.O. 552-A).
Vizagapatam ... ..	2	Buchi Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Vizagapatam.
East Godāvari ... ..	3	Vanavalamma and other temples at Kadium, Rajahmundry.
Nellore ... ..	4	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Varikavipudi, Nellore Taluk.
	5	Visweswaraswami temple, Varakavipudi, Nellore Taluk.
Chittoor ... ..	6	Dharmaraja and Drowpathiamma temple, Tavanampalli, Chittoor Taluk.
Bellary ... ..	7	Chakratheertha Kothandaramaswami temple, Hampi, Hospet Taluk. (Modified on two occasions.)
Madura ... ..	8	Dandayuthapaniswami temple, Palni.
	9	Edaganathaswami temple, Thiruvedagam, Nilakottai Taluk.

## STATEMENT XIII.

(Vide paragraph 34 of the report.)

∞ Statement of institutions in connection with which applications under section 73 of the Act for sanction to file suits were received and the nature of orders thereon for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number and name of district.	Name of temple.	Person applying for sanction.	Relief sought.	Board's decision.		
				Sanction accorded or refused.	Administrative action.	No action considered necessary.
1. East Gōdāvāri.	Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami temple at Korukonda, Rajahmundry taluk.	Sri Rangarajubhattar Garu.	For the modification of the Court scheme so as to remove the committee.	Sanction accorded.	...	
2. West Gōdāvāri.	Kesavaswami vari temple at Kobalaparru, Tanuku taluk.	Vangala Venkatacharyulu and another.	For a declaration that the decree in O.S. No. 70/23 on the file of Sub-Court, Narasapuram, discharging the first defendant from his liability to render accounts for all the monies received by him since his assumption of	Do.	...	
3. Do.	Venkateswaraswamivari temple, Kallakuru, Bhimavaram taluk.	the office of trustee and to represent the Vegasanna Venkataramaraju, Kallakuru, Bhimavaram taluk.	is void and of no effect case. (1) For directing the trustees to render the accounts of the temple from 1912, and (2) for the removal of the trustees.	Do.	...	

## STATEMENT XIII—cont.

Statement of institutions in connection with which applications under section 73 of the Act for sanction to file suits were received and the nature of orders thereon for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number and name of district.	Name of temple.	Person applying for sanction.	Relief sought.	Board's decision.		
				Sanction accorded or refused.	Administrative action.	No action considered necessary.
4. Guntūr ... ..	Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Ramachandrapuram agraharam, Guntūr town, Guntūr taluk.	Komanduri Rangacharu garu and another.	To recover temple lands.	Pending enquiry.	...	...
5. Do. ... ..	Sri Sagaraswaraswami Madala, Sattenapalle taluk.	Madala Lakshmi-kantam garu and 4 others.	Do.	Do.	...	...
6. Do. ... ..	Sri Venugopalaswami Madala, Sattenapalle taluk.	Madala Lakshmi-kantam and 6 others.	Do.	Do.	...	...
7. Nellore ... ..	Vedanta Desigar temple, Ranganayukalpet, Nellore town.	N. Parthasarathi Ayyangar.	Suit for the removal of the trustee and other reliefs.	...	The trustees having agreed to set right defects pointed out and have the accounts audited the petitioner did not press his petition.	...

8.	Do. ...	Krishna and Dharmaraja temple, Moolapet, Nellore town.	.....	For the modification of the court scheme and governing the temple.	...	...	No sanction necessary and the petition was recorded with certain observations.
9.	Bellary ...	Lakshminarayanawami and Anjaneyawami temples, Narayana-devarakere, Hospet taluk.	Arshi Lakshminarayanachar, trustee.	For the recovery of monies due to the temple from the Bakthasabha attached to the temples.	...	As the application was not a properly presented one it was returned for re-presentation in regular form. Not received again.	...
10.	Cuddapah ...	Pushpagiri math, Pushpagiri, Cuddapah taluk.	K. Viswanatha Rao.	.....	Refused.	..	...
11.	Chingleput ...	Nityakalyani, Tiruvidanthai, Chingleput taluk.	G. Krishnamachariyar, K. Kandaswami Mudaliyar.	For the removal of the trustee and other reliefs.	Sanction accorded.	...	...
12.	N. Arcot ...	Subrahmanyaswami temple, Perumbut, Tirupattur taluk.	Chinnathoy Chetti.	For the removal of the trustee and the settlement of a scheme.	Sanction refused.	...	...
13.	Salem ...	Ramalinga Soundeswari temple, Papichettiyur, Tiruchengode taluk, Salem district.	Rangaswami Chetti and 10 others.	For the removal of trustees and the settlement of a scheme.	Do.	...	...
14.	Coimbatore ...	Kannikaparameswari temple, Tiruppur.	Raju Chettiyar, Subbu Chettiyar, Baluswami Chettiyar, Subrahmanyam Chettiyar and Sundaram Chettiyar.	For the removal of defendants 1 and 2 from the managing committee of the temple.	Do.	...	...

STATEMENT XIII—cont.

Statement of institutions in connection with which application under section 73 of the Act for sanction to file suits were received and the nature of orders thereon for the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number and name of district.	Name of temple.	Person applying for sanction.	Relief sought.	Board's decision.		
				Sanction accorded or refused.	Administrative action.	No action considered necessary.
15. Madura ...	Arubathinuvar Gurupuja Matam, Madura.	Rm. Ar. Ar. Rm. Arunachallam Chettiyyar and Rm. M. St. Vyravan Chettiyyar.	(1) For the removal of trustees and taking of accounts. (2) For settlement of a scheme. (3) For vesting of properties in the trustees to be appointed, and (4) for appointment of a receiver pending the disposal of the suit.	Sanction accorded.	...	
16. Do. ...	Rangachatram charities.	Shivarama Dubay and Thirumelalagu Pillai.	For removal of the defendant from the trusteeship for taking of accounts and for directing the defendant to pay back all sums misappropriated by her.	Do.	...	
17. S. Kanara ...	Udayanur Kshetrapala temple, Kasaragode taluk.	Iyyakat Govindan Nambudiri.	For removal of trustee.	Do.	...	
18. Do. ...	Ananteswar temple, Manjeshwar, Kasaragode taluk.	Manjeshwar, Subbaraya Bhaktha.	For removal of trustees.	Sanction refused.	...	

## STATEMENT XIV.

(Vide paragraph 41 of the report.)

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927  
up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
1	O.S. 76/27 ...	District Court, Ganjām.	Chaitanya Doss Goswami, trustee of Gopinadhaswami temple, Nuagam, Gumsur Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
2	O.S. 77/27 ...	Do.	Mahant Ramdoss Bavaji, trustee of Sri Jagannathaswami temple at Varanasi, Parlakimedi Taluk.	Do.
3	O.S. 78/27 ...	Do.	Mahant Raghunadha Doss, Mahant of Raghunadha Math, Ankusapur, Berhampur Taluk.	For putting plaintiff in possession of the math.
4	O.S. 590/27...	District Munsif's Court, Berhampur.	Kothakota Audinarayana Garu, archaka of Sri Lakshminarasimbaswami Temple, Berhampur.	For a declaration that the inclusion of certain items of property in the scheme settled for the
5	O.S. 635/27...	Do.	dule A attached to the scheme temple is <i>ultra vires</i> . Hariharapanda, archaka of Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Ganjām.	To direct the defendants to deliver charge of office of archaka.
6	O.S. 1/28 ...	District Court, Ganjām.	Chadurla Neelakantam, trustee of Sri Neelakanteswaraswami temple at Pathapatnam, Parlakimedi Taluk.	Section 63 (4).
7	O.S. 12/28 ...	Do.	Narotham Doss, trustee of Sri Krishna Chandra Math, Sankarapore, Berhampur Taluk.	For a declaration that the petitioner is entitled to succeed to the trusteeship.
8	O.S. 14/28 ...	Do.	Zamindar of Chikatikota temples under the Chikatikota Estate.	Section 84 (2).
9	O.S. 17/28 ...	Do.	Mahant Dayanidhi Goswami, trustee of Sri Chaitanya Mahaprabho, Ganjām, Chatrapur Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
10	O.S. 17/26 ...	District Court, Vizagapatam.	Kaspa Ramakrishna Garu, plaintiff, Sri Jaganadhaswami temple, Veeraghattam, Vizagapatam.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
11	O.S. 5/28 ...	District Court, Viza. gapatam.	Burreswaraswami temple, Rayavaram, Sarvasiddhi Taluk, Vizagapatam district.	Section 57 (3).
12	O.S. 49/27 ...	District Court, East Gōdāvāri.	Kallapalli Parvatesam, and etc., archakas of Sri Bhimeswaraswami temple, Ramachandrapur.	Do.
13	O.S. 48/27 ...	Do.	Nulu Rattamma, hereditary trustee of Sri Kotilingeswaraswami temple, Kotilingalu, Rajahmundry.	Section 63 (4).
14	O.S. 1/28 ...	Do.	Peddinti Sitharama Charyulu, archaka of Sri Venugopalswami temple at Kolanka, Pittapuram.	Section 53 (3) of Act I of 1925.
15	O.S. 3/28 ...	Do.	Peddinti Veera Venkata Subbarayudu, archaka of Sri Markandeyaswami temple, Kotilingalu, Rajahmundry.	Section 57 (3).
16	O.S. 4/28 ...	Do.	Peddinti Veera Venkata Subbarayudu, archaka of Sri Kotilingeswaraswami temple, Kotilingalu, Rajahmundry.	Section 63 (4).
17	O.S. 6/28 ...	Do.	Kodamanchili Kameswara Rao, archaka of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Veeravaram, Razole Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
18	O.S. 7/28 ...	Do.	Kodamanchili Kameswara Gangarayudu, archaka of Sri Malleswaraswami temple, Kadium, Rajahmundry.	Do.
19	O.S. 9/28 ...	Do.	Vedantbam Narasimhacharyulu, archaka of Sri Janarthanaswami temple, Kadium, Rajahmundry.	Do.
20	O.S. 12/28 ...	Do.	Peddinti Rangacharlu, archaka of Sri Venugopalswami temple, Government Ragampet, Peddapur Taluk.	Section 53 (3) of Act I of 1925.
21	O.S. 26/28 ...	Do.	Rakuditi Venkatacharlu, archaka of Sri Sitharamaswami temple, Kapulapalem, Cocanada Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
22	O.S. 29/27 ...	District Court of West Gōdāvāri.	Govardhanam Sree Rangacharyulu and Gopalacharyulu, archakas of Sri Varadaraja Gopalswami Varu of Kovvur, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.



## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
23	O.S. 31/27 ...	District Court of West Gōdāvāri.	Khandavili Narasimhachari and Sobhanadrachari, archakas of Sri Kesavaswami and Mahalakshamma Varu, Tanuku Taluk, West Gōdāvāri.	Section 57 (3).
24	O.S. 40/27 ...	Do.	Tummapudi Bapayya, archaka of Sri Someswaraswami Varu of Kalla, Bhimavaram, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.
25	O.S. 42/27 ...	Do.	Pujyan Nagayya and others, archakas of Sri Malleswaraswami Varu, Ithampudi Agraharam, Tanuku Taluk, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.
26	O.S. 43/27 ...	Do.	Ryali Perayya and others, archakas of Sri Sivadevaswami Varu of Sivadevuri, Chikkala, Narasapuram Taluk, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.
27	O.S. 4/28 ...	Do.	Pedapudi Kamayya and others, archakas of Sri Chodeswaraswami temple Kakula, Ilindalaparru, Tanuku Taluk, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.
28	O.S. 10/28 ...	Do.	Badampudi Subrahmanyam and another, archakas of Sri Visveswaraswami temple, Rusthambada, Narasapur Taluk, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.
29	O.S. 11/28 ...	Do.	Peddinti Seshacharyulu and others, archakas of Sri Jagannadheswaraswami temple at Rusthambada, Narasapuram Taluk, West Gōdāvāri.	Do.
30	O.S. 12/28 ...	District Court of Kistna.	Manillapalli Mallikharjundu and others, archakas of Sri Malleswaraswami Varu of Pedamaddali, Gudivada Taluk, Kistna district.	Do.
31	O.S. 5/27 ...	Do.	Pogula Venkatasubayya and others, archakas of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Pondur, Ongole Taluk.	Do.
32	O.S. 6/27 ...	Do.	Pemmaraju Venkata Hanumantha Rao, archaka of Sri Kasi Visveswaraswami temple, Kornepad, Guntūr Taluk.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
33	O.S. 7/27 ...	District Court of Kistna.	Puligedda Nandiraju, trustee of Sri Vimaraprakasa Veeraswaraswami temple, Kuchinapudi, Repalle Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
34	O.S. 10/27 ...	Do.	Gudimella Venkatacharlu and others, archakas of Sri Sitaramaswami temple, Muthupalli Agraharam, Repalle Taluk.	Section 63 (4).
35	O.S. 11/27 ...	Do.	Chilumuru Kutumbayya and others, archakas of Sri Malleswaraswami temple, Ipur, Tenali Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
36	O.S. 12/27 ...	Do.	Chejerla Venkateshacharlu and another, archakas of Sri Chennakesavaswami temple, Machavaram, Ongole Taluk.	Do.
37	O.S. 1/28 ...	Do.	Vedantam Mangeyacharlu and others, archakas of Sri Venugopalswami temple, Kuchipudi, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
38	O.S. 2/28 ...	Do.	Chavali Valayya and others, archakas of Sri Chandrasekharaswami temple, Varahapuram Agraharam, Tenali Taluk.	Section 63 (4).
39	O.S. 3/28 ...	District Court, Guntur.	Chavali Brahmayya and others, archakas of Sri Malleswaraswami temple, Kuchallapad, Tenali Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
40	O.S. 4/28 ...	Do.	Sangapu Subbayya and others, archakas of Sri Agastheswaraswami temple, Old Guntur, Guntur Taluk.	Do.
41	O.S. 5/28 ...	Do.	Ponnuri Laxmayya and others, archakas of Sri Agastheswaraswami and other temples, Mulpuru, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
42	O.S. 6/28 ...	Do.	Pasumarti Basavayya and others, archakas of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Kuchipudi, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
43	O.S. 7/28 ...	Do.	Vedantam Mangayacharlu and others, archakas of Sri Neelakeswaraswami and other temples, Mulpuru, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
44	O.S. 8/28 ...	Do.	Nandivelugu Kutumbayya and others, archakas of Sri Malleswaraswami at Katevaram, Tenali Taluk.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
45	O.S. 9/28 ...	District Court, Guntūr	Chavali Valayya and Chavali Sambasiva Rao, archakas of Sri Maheswaraswami temple, Balijeballi agraharam, Tenali Taluk, Guntūr District.	Section 57 (3).
46	O.S. 10/28 ..	Do.	Nandivelugu Kutumbayya and others, archakas of Sri Sirveswaraswami temple, Katesvaram, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
47	O.S. 11/28 ...	Do.	Thamirise Chakrapanyachadu, etc., archakas of Sri Venugopalaswami temple, Tenali, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
48	O.S. 12/28 ...	Do.	Thamirise Chakrapanyachadu, etc., archakas of Sri Govardhanaswami Temple, Tenali, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
49	O.S. 13/28 ...	Do.	Pothuri Subbayya and others, archakas of Sri Ramalingeswaraswami temple, Tenali, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
50	O.S. 14/28 ...	Do.	Ravuri Venkatasubbayya and others, archakas of Sri Nageswaraswami temple, Chebrole, Bapatla Taluk.	Do.
51	O.S. 15/28 ...	Do.	Boddu Somayya, archaka of Sri Somasekharaswami temple, Kotipalli, hamlet of Donepudi, Tenali Taluk.	Section 63 (4).
52	O.S. 16/28 ...	Do.	Tadikonda Nagabhushanam and others, archakas of Sri Mallikarjunaswami and other temples, Tadikonda, Guntūr Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
53	O.S. 17/28 ...	Do.	Tadikonda Nagabhushanam and others, archakas of Sri Mulastaneswaraswami temple, Tadikonda, Guntūr Taluk.	Do.
54	O.S. 18/28 ...	Do.	Sri Bhashyam Anantacharlu and others, archakas of Sri Anjaneyaswami and Sri Chennakesavaswami temples, Ketavaram, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
55	O.S. 19/28 ..	Do.	Parasaram Seshacharlu and others, archakas of Sri Bhavanaraswami temple, Amrutalur, Tenali Taluk.	Do.
56	O.S. 20/28 ...	Do.	Nagalingam Venkateswara Sastri and others, archakas of Sri Amruteswaraswami temple, Amrutalur, Tenali Taluk.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
57	O.S. 21/28 ...	District Court, Guntur.	Parankusam Rangacharlu, archaka of Sri Chennakesava-swami temple, Trovagunta, Ongole Taluk.	Section 57 (3).
58	O.S. 22/28 ...	Do.	M. V. Subbayya, archaka of Sri Mulesvaraswami temple, Throvagunta, Ongole Taluk.	Do.
59	O.P. 105/27 ..	Do.	M. V. Krishnayya, trustee of Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple, Aravapalli, Repalle Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
60	O.S. 751/27 ...	City Civil Court, Madras.	S. A. T. Singaracharyulu Garu, trustee of Sri Gangadevi temple, Venkatapuram, Ongole Taluk.	Do.
61	O.P. 11/27 ..	Nellore District Court.	Bodireddi, Rangareddi and others, trustees of Sri Venugopalswami temple, Mula-pet, Nellore.	Do.
62	O.S. 35/27 ..	Do.	Do.	Section 57 (3).
63	O.S. 39/27 ...	Do.	Udayagiri Viraraghava Venkatashe-shacharlu, archakatrusee of Sri Janardhanaswami temple at Gudali, Gudur Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
64	O.S. 26/27 ..	Bellary District Court.	Sediappa and another, worship-pers of Sri Mylar Lingaswami temple at Mylar, Hadagalli Taluk, Bellary District	Section 63 (4).
65	O.S. 53/27 ...	Nellore District Court.	Vepery Dhanakoti Mudaliyar, minor by his next friend mother Gnanambal, trustee of Sri Kasi Viswanathaswami temple, Santhapet, Nellore Town.	Section 84 (2).
66	O.P. 35/27 ...	Chittoor District Court.	Kondepalli Ramayya Chetti and another, trustees of Sri Sitarama Anjaneyaswami temple at Damalcheruvu, Chandragiri Taluk.	Do.
67	O.P. 45/27 ..	Bellary District Court.	Sri Mani Kotturswami, trustee of Sri Kotturswami math, Brucepet, Bellary.	Do.
68	O.P. 49/27 ...	Do.	Jois Anantacharlu, trustee of Sri Ramaswami and Venkataramanaswami temples, Bruce-pet, Bellary.	Do.
69	O.P. 1/28 ...	Do.	Pattikonda Balasubbiah Chetti, trustee of Sri Nageswara-swami temple, Hospet.	Do.
70	O.P. 16/28 ...	Do.	Gundu Venkatappa Sastrulu and another, trustees of Sri Gangadaraswami temple at Beldona, Alur Taluk.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
71	O.S. 4/28 ...	District Court, Kurnool.	Uppala Naga Reddi, trustee of Rangaswami temple, Tartur, Nandikotkur Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
72	O.P. 67/28 ...	District Court, Tanjore.	Subrahmanya Muthiriyar and other, trustees of Sri Mariyamman and two other temples, Kila Tiruppanturuti, Tanjore.	Do.
73	O.P. 63/27 ...	District Court, Trichinopoly.	N. K. Venkatesa Ayyar, trustee of Sri Sundareswaraswami and Sri Lakshminarayana Perumal temples, Mummidi-sholamangalam, Lalgudi Taluk.	Do.
74	O.P. 103/27 ...	District Court, East Tanjore.	Subbaraya Chettiyar, Kalaklaswami temple, Erukattancheri, Mayavaram Taluk.	Do.
75	O.S. 12/28 ...	Do.	Seshappier, worshipper, Sri Neelayathakshi Amman temple, Negapatam, Tanjore District.	Section 57 (3).
76	O.P. 154/27 ...	District Court, West Tanjore.	Govinda Moopnar and another, Karuppanaswami temple, Akkinathapuram, Tanjore.	Section 84 (2).
77	O.S. 11/28 ...	District Court, East Tanjore.	Kalyanasundara Tevar and another, worshippers, Karpaganathar temple, Karpaganathar Kulam, Tirutturaipundi, Tanjore.	Section 57 (3).
78	O.P. 44/28 ...	Do.	Sivananda Mudaliyar and another, Kailasanathaswami temple, Kidamangalam, Nannilam Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
79	O.P. 62/28 ..	District Court, West Tanjore.	Rajagopala Ayyangar and another, stanikars, Sri Ranganathaswami temple, Tirumandangudi, Papanasam Taluk.	Do.
80	O.P. 76/27 ...	Do.	Srinivasa Nayudu, trustee of Sri Lakshminarayana Perumal temple, Nidamangalam, Man-nargudi Taluk.	Do.
81	O.P. 27/28 ...	District Court, East Tanjore.	Sri Avjaneyaswami temple, Arayapuram, Mayavaram Taluk.	Do.
82	O.P. 71/28 ...	District Court, Trichinopoly.	Ramachandra Kambaya Nayar, trustee of Sri Kannimar-amman temple, Kullittalai Taluk.	Do.
83	O.S. 3/27 ..	District Court, Coim-batore.	A. Subrahmanya Gurukkal and two others, worshippers of Sri Kasi Viswanathaswami and Dattatreyaswami temples at Komaralingam, Udamalpet Taluk.	Section 57 (3).

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
84	O.P. 25/27 ...	District Court, Rāmnād.	Deivanai Ammal, trustee of Sri Somanathaswami temple at Sivaganga.	Section 84 (2).
85	O.P. 27/27 ...	Do.	M.R.R.M. Lakshmanan Chettiyar by his agent V. R. M. Ramaswami Chettiyar, trustee, Sri Tiruvengada Mudayar temple at Ariyakuli.	Do.
86	O.P. 82/27 ...	District Court, Tinnevely.	Minor Periyān Venkatachariyar and another, through their mother and guardian Nachiyarammal in the matter of Sri Terku Tiruvengkadamudayar temple at Alwartirunagari.	Do.
87	O.P. 2/28 ...	Do.	Periyān Alwar Ayyangar and others, in the matter of Sri Terku Tiruvengadamudayar temple at Alwartirunagari.	Do.
88	O.P. 3/28 ...	Do.	Chinnayan Venkata Narayana Ayyangar, in the matter of Sri Terku Tiruvengadamudayar temple at Alwartirunagari.	Do.
89	O.P. 6/28 ...	Do.	K. V. Desikachariyar, trustee, Sri Santanagopalaswami and Ranganaickaswami temples at Keelapatam, Tinnevely	Do.
90	O.P. 1923/28.	High Court, Madras.	Mangala Kavandar, trustee, Sri Dandayuthapaniswami temple at Palni, Madura District.	For writ of mandamus and an injunction.
91	O.S. 2/27 ...	District Court, South Malabar.	Punnathur, Valia Nambi, trustee of Tirumaniyur temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 63 (4).
92	O.P. 67/27 ...	Do.	Kenath Achan, trustee of Madapullikavu, Palghat Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
93	O.P. 69/27 ...	Do.	Muthadath Manakkal Krishnan Nambudiri and another, trustees of Pallipuram temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
94	O.P. 75/27 ...	Do.	Mannargat Mupil Nayar, trustee of Arakkursi Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
95	O.P. 38/27 ...	District Judge, North Malabar.	Pattadath Natuvik Veetil Raman Nambiyar and another, trustee, of Puthiakavu temple, Chirakkal Taluk.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
96	O.P. 70/27 ...	District Court, South Malabar.	Puzhampurath Manakkal Kasavar Nambudiri, trustee of Sukapuram Dakshinamurti temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
97	O.P. 71/27 ...	Do.	Pazhavath Manakkal Sankaran Nambudiripad and two others, trustees of Killikurssimangalam temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
98	O.P. 80/27 ...	Do.	His Holiness Madhavananda Brahmananda Bhorthi Swamiyar of Thekke Madham, trustee of Mannathur temple, Palghat Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
99	O.P. 92/27 ...	Do.	Parakat <i>alias</i> Karuthedath <i>alias</i> Parapalli Kandannath Manakkal Srikumaran Nambudiri, trustee of Cheruvai-kara Siva temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
100	O.P. 85/27 ...	Do.	Thirumalattan Kottayil Chuvanan Sridharan, trustee of Govardhanapuram Vishnu temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
101	O.P. 86/27 ...	Do.	Kavapra Marath Manakkal Vasudevan Nambudiri and another, trustee, Kavapra Siva temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
102	O.P. 87/27 ...	Do.	Veluthat <i>alias</i> Karattol Edamana Illath Kasavan Nambudiri, trustee of Valamaruthur Bhagavathy temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
103	O.P. 88/27 ...	Do.	Kaladi Patinharedath Manakkal Sankaran Nambudiri and another, trustee of Valia Kunnu temple, Ponnani Taluk.	Do.
104	O.P. 45/27 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Theyyarath Kunhiraman Nambiar and 2 others, trustee of Pokkiarath temple, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
105	C.S. 480/26 ...	High Court, Madras.	Srimad Vibhudapriya Theerthaswamiar of Admar Math, South Kanara.	Act <i>ultra vires</i> and other reliefs.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
106	C.R.P. 577/27.	High Court, Madras	Parvathy Ambal and Mena Ambal belong to the family of the hereditary pujari of Kasi Visvanathaswami temple, Kolumannad, Coimbatore.	Petition against the order of District Judge in O.S. No. 1 of 26 reject.
			ing an application to implead the Board as party.	
107	O.P. 52/27 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Puthiyadathil Kunhi Raman Nambiar, trustee of Arangam, Potappagar and other temples, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
108	C.S. 61/27 ...	Sub-Court, South Kanara.	Kallara Kambikkanan Meethala Veetil Chandan Kaimal and 3 others, trustees of Kambikkanan Edathil Veerabhadrha temple, Kasaragode, South Kanara.	For a declaration that the temple is private.
109	O.P. 81/27 ...	District Judge of South Kanara.	Kallara Kambikkanan Muthala Veetil Chindan Avakosi, trustee, Kambikkanan Veerabhadrha temple, Kasaragode Taluk, South Kanara.	Section 84 (2).
110	O.P. 105/27 .	District Court, South Malabar.	Azhuvancheri Manakkal Raman alias Valia Thamburakkal, trustee of Kaithrikkovil temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
111	O.P. 103/27 ..	Do.	Vaisraya Math Manakkal Raman Somayajipad, trustee of Kotbakurssi temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
112	O.P. 54/27 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Meppadi Nambi Nambiar and 8 others, trustees of Urpazlachi Kavu, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
113	O.S. 3/27 ...	District Court, South Malabar.	Morthamala Manakkal Neelakandhan Namboodiri, trustee of Alamanna and other temples, Ponnani Taluk.	Section 63 (4).
114	O.P. 111/27 ...	Do.	Olappanna Manakkal Neelakandhan Namboodiripad, trustee of Thiruppuraikkal and other temples, Valluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
115	O.P. 115/27 ..	Do.	Mannazhi Kumaran Moosad, trustee of Pazhanchanoor temple, Ernad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.



## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
116	O.S. 73/27 ...	District Court, South Kanara.	Mijar Ramakrishna Pai, one of the trustees of Mangalore Venkatramana temple, South Kanara.	For a declaration that he is entitled to continue as manager-trustee.
117	O.P. 110/27...	District Court, South Malabar.	Kazhikandath Manakkal Neelakandhan and another, trustee of Pachiyil temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
118	O.S. 5/27 ...	District Court, South Kanara.	Ramakrishna Pai and others, late trustees of Venkataramana temple, Mangalore, South Kanara District.	To stay the orders of the Board appointing interim trustee.
119	O.P. 124/27 ..	District Court, South Malabar.	V. M. Madhavan Namboodiri, trustee of Kudalil Vishnu temple, Kolumlamba Amsom, Ponnani Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
120	O.P. 59/27 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Kottayath Kizhakke Kovilengkath Veeravarma Valia Raja, trustee of Triikkaikunnu temple, Kottayam, North Malabar.	Do.
121	O.P. 60/27 ..	Do.	Kottayath Kizhakke Kovilakath Veeravarma Valia Raja, trustee of Muzhakunnu temple, Kottayam Taluk.	Do.
122	O.S. 4/27 ...	District Court, South Kanara.	M. Ramakrishna Pai, Venkataramana temple, Mangalore, South Kanara.	To set aside the order of the Board appointing in terim trustee for a second time.
123	O.S. 80/27 ...	Sub Court, South Kanara.	Do.	For a declaration that the plaintiff is entitled to continue as manager.
124	O.P. 134/27...	District Court, South Malabar.	Nerunni Manakkal Narayanan Bhattathiripad, trustee of Kaveetil Bhagavathy temple, Pookode, Ponnani, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
125	O.P. 138/27...	Do.	Thempunni <i>alias</i> Mannoor Valia Nair, trustee of Kandamangalam and other temples, Palghat Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
126	C.M.A. 292/27.	High Court, Madras.	Ponniath Akathoot Parameswaran Thitumumpu and others, trustees of Thiruvengad temple, Tellicherry, North Malabar.	For setting aside the order of the District Judge, North Malabar, permitting the receiver to execute Melcharth.
127	O.P. 144/27...	District Court, South Malabar.	Vadakka Koothala Ittiambasarsar Nair and another, trustees of Changathoor Ayyappan temple, Edathara, Palghat, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
128	O.P. 117/27...	District Court, South Kanara.	M. Ramakrishna Pai, trustee of Mangalore Venkataramana temple, Mangalore.	For transferring O.S. Nos. 73 and 80 on the file of the Sub-Court to District Court.
129	O.P. 118/27 ..	Do.	Do.	Do.
130	O.S. 4/27 ...	District Court, South Malabar.	Pazhayadath Manakkal Sankaran Nambudripad and others, trustees of Killikurssimangalam temple, Lakkidi Amsom, Walluvanad Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
131	O.P. 139/27...	Do.	Kenoth Puthan Veetil Kummizhikunhi Amma Cheruvathoor Bbagavathi temple, Chittalancheri, Palghat, South Malabar.	Do
132	O.P. 135/27...	Do.	Vemnancheri Manakka Bramhadathan Nambudripad, trustee of Pannayur Kavu temple, Vadakkombram, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
133	O.P. 72/27 ..	District Court, North Malabar.	K. Madhavan Thangal and others, trustees of Manatheri temple, Kottayam Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
134	O.P. 78/27 ...	Do.	Payoli Kanoth Raman Nambiyar, trustee, Chatroth Vattakarumakan temple, Kurumbranad Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
135	O.P. 8/27 ...	Do.	Kadathanad Raja, trustee of Kaleamvalli temple, Kurumbranad Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
136	C.R.P. 1633/27.	High Court, Madras.	Kochunni alias Moopil Nayar, trustee of Arakurssi Kavu Bhagavathi temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	To stay further proceedings in the District Court, South Malabar, in O.P. 75/27.
137	C.R.P. 1635/27.	Do.	Tarangat Manakkal Trivikraman Nambudiri, trustee of Chimba temple, Walluvanad Taluk.	To stay further proceedings in the District Court, South Malabar in O.P. 13/26.
138	O.S. 7/27 ...	District Court, South Kanara.	Anemangalu Vishnu Bhatta and another, trustees of Mallada Durga Parameswari temple, Kasargod Taluk.	Section 84 (2).
139	C.R.P. 1670/27.	High Court, Madras.	His Holiness Narayana Amandu, Brahmananda Vibhoothiswami of Mannathur temple, Palghat Taluk, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of the District Judge, South Malabar, regarding Court fee.
140	C.R.P. 1644/27.	Do.	P. A. Sundara Ayyar, Manager, Puthugramam Viswanadhaswami temple, Palghat, South Malabar.	To stay proceedings in O.P. 42/27, District Court, South Malabar.
141	C.R.P. 1667/27.	Do.	Vadakkepad Purushothaman Nambudiri, trustee, Thiruvagapra temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	To stay proceedings in O.P. 53/27.
142	C.R.P. 1746/27.	Do.	Punnassari Nambi, Tirumaniyur temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	To stay proceedings in O.P. 117/26.
143	C.R.P. 26/28.	Do.	S. Jayagopala Chettiyar, Kannikaparameswari temple, Palghat, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of the District Judge, South Malabar, in O.P. 169/27, regarding Court fee.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
144	O.P. 126/27...	District Court, South Malabar.	Mazhancheri Manakkal Damodaran Nambudiri, trustee of Painkanoor temple, Kuttipuram, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
145	O.P. 162/27...	Do.	V. Sankaran Nambudiri, trustee, Kalli, vazhi temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
146	O.P. 164/27...	Do.	S. Jayagopala Chettiyar and another, trustees, Kanyakaparameswari temple, Palghat Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
147	O.P. 163/27...	Do.	T. K. Krishnan Nayar, trustee of Ariyanambi temple, Walluvanad Taluk.	Do.
148	O.P. 125/17 ...	Do.	Mazhavancheri Manakkal Damodaran Nambudiri, trustee, Vaikathur temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
149	O.P. 159/27 ...	Do.	P. Narayanan Nambudiri and others. Trikkangode Randu moorthi temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
150	O.P. 7/28 ...	Do.	Thayakkat Kumaraswami Nambudiri and others, trustees of Iswaramangalam temple, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
151	O.P. 2/28 ...	Do.	Madappilappalli Vasudevan Nambudiri, trustee of Tirumandankunnu temple, Kongode, Palghat.	Do.
152	O.S. 1/28 ...	Do.	Kunhuni Moopil Nayar Moothedath Pada Nayar Pariyanampatta and other temples, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
153	O.P. 18/28 ...	Do.	Sankaran alias Kuppathode Moopil Nayar, trustee of Fulpulli temple, Kuppathode Wayanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
154	O.S. 11/28 ...	Sub-Court, South Malabar.	Do.	For a declaration that the temple is private.

## STATEMENT XIV—cont.

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act under which filed.
155	O.P. 10/28 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Thaliyil Manniyil Parameswaran Thirumumpu, trustee of Thaliyil temple, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
156	O.F. 11/28 ...	Do.	Isinan Nambudiri, trustee of Dharmakulangara temple, Mazhur Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
157	O.P. 18/28	Do.	Kannothe Krishnan Adiyodi and another, trustees of Kizhur temple, Kizhur Amsom, Kurumbranad Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
158	O.P. 12/28	Do.	Pallithala Changala Madathil Krishnan Variyar, trustee of Sri Ganapathi Madom temple, Korom, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.
159	C.R.P. 403/28.	High Court, Madras.	Puzhathillath Naravannan Nambudiri, trustee of Randumurti temple, Trikkangode, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	To stay further proceedings in O.P. 159/27, South Malabar.
160	C.R.P. 394/28	Do.	Azhuvancheri Manakkal Raman Valia Thamburakkali, trustee of Parambanthali temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of District Judge, South Malabar regarding Court fees.
161	C.R.P. 424/28.	Do.	Keshekandath Manakkal Neelakandhan Nambudiri, trustee of Pachiyil, temple, Sri Krishnapuram, Walluvanad Taluk.	To stay further proceedings in O.P. 110/27, South Malabar.
162	C.R.P. 395/28.	Do.	Manavikraman Manjari Kanamulpad, trustee of Moothrakunnu temple, Ernad Taluk, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of District Judge, South Malabar, in D.P. 131/27. regarding court fees.

STATEMENT XIV—*cont.*

List of suits filed against the Board from 1st July 1927 up to  
30th June 1928—*cont.*

Serial number.	Suit number.	Name of Court.	Name of plaintiff or petitioner.	Nature of the suits or the sections of the Act, under which filed.
163	O.P. 35/28 ...	District Court, South Malabar.	Perumanath Manakkal Krishnan Namboodiri and others, trustees of Perungote Vishnu temple, Sreekrishnapuram, Walluvanad Taluk, South Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
164	C.R.P. 406/28.	High Court, Madras.	Pozhampurath Manakkal Kesavan Namboodiri, trustee, Sukapuram Dakshinamoorthi temple, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of District Judge, South Malabar, in O.P. 70/27, regarding court fees.
165	C.R.P. 451/28.	Do.	Mazhavancheri Manakkal Damodaran Namboodiri and another, trustees of Paikanoor temples, Kuttapuram Amsom, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of District Judge, South Malabar, in O.P. 126/27.
166	C.R.P. 453/28.	Do.	Moothamala Manakkal Neelakandhan Namboodiri, trustee of Pookkattiyoor temple and other temples, Ponnani Taluk, South Malabar.	Petition against the order of District Judge, South Malabar, in O.P. 55/27.
167	O.P. 21/28 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Parameswaran Namboodiri and another, trustees of Sri Parasurama Temple, Chittari-parampa, Kottayam Taluk, North Malabar.	Section 84 (2).
168	O.P. 44/28 ...	District Court, South Malabar.	Vylasseri Maladath Vasudevan Namboodiri and another, trustees of Karikkat temple, Trikkalangode, Ernad Taluk, South Malabar.	Do.
169	O.P. 27/28 ...	District Court, North Malabar.	Kurumallur Illath Parameswaran Nambudiri, trustee of Parimbu temple, Kurumathoor, Chirakkal Taluk, North Malabar.	Do.

## STATEMENT XV-A.

(Vide paragraph 43 of the report.)

Statement showing the progress in the issue of final demands for contribution (for the period from 15th April 1925 to 30th June 1927 and for fasli 1337) under Section 70 of the Act up to 1st November 1928.

Serial number.	Name of district.	For the period from 15th April 1925 to 30th June 1927.			For fasli 1337.		
		Number of institutions to which final demands have been prepared and issued.	Number of demands acknowledged.	Number of demands refused.	Number of institutions to which final demands have been prepared and issued.	Number of demands acknowledged.	Number of demands refused.
1	Ganjām ... ..	869	833	36	800	392	7
2	Vizagapatam ... ..	208	202	6	170	68	...
3	East Godāvāri ... ..	481	457	24	533	164	...
4	West Godāvāri ... ..	556	527	15	460	...	1
5	Kistna ... ..	439	403	16	300	38	...
6	Guntūr ... ..	860	780	80	893	83	5
7	Nellore ... ..	210	192	2	173	125	2
8	Chittoor ... ..	102	94	2	52	44	...
9	Cuddapah ... ..	143	122	3	121	84	2
10	Anantapur ... ..	90	68	2	81	48	...
11	Bellary ... ..	290	238	13	274	207	12
12	Kurnool ... ..	454	399	26	429	299	11
13	Chingleput ... ..	197	179	4	173	71	...
14	North Arcot ... ..	249	185	8	183	10	...
15	South Arcot ... ..	403	371	15	371	142	...
16	Tanjore ... ..	679	625	...	889	345	...
17	Trichinopoly ... ..	487	347	...	449	110	...
18	Salem ... ..	142	85	10	139	40	3
19	Coimbatore ... ..	498	364	11	450	275	14
20	The Nūlgiris ... ..	11	11	...	8	7	1
21	Madura ... ..	150	140	8	122	110	3
22	Rāmnād ... ..	187	130	5	173	41	10
23	Tinnevely ... ..	362	334	8	356	189	4
24	Malabar ... ..	1,000	937	51	677	578	31
25	South Kanara ... ..	291	284	4	107	101	...
	Total ... ..	9,352	8,307	349	8,383	3,571	108

## STATEMENT XV-B.

(Vide paragraph 43 of the report.)

Statement showing the amount of contributions received from maths and temples during the period from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	District.	Amount.		
		RS.	A.	P.
1	Ganjām ... ..	3,649	12	1
2	Vizagapatam ... ..	11,883	8	10
3	East Gōdāvāri ... ..	2,988	5	6
4	West Gōdāvāri ... ..	2,647	6	0
5	Kistna ... ..	2,450	8	0
6	Guntūr ... ..	1,782	2	7
7	Nellore ... ..	1,516	9	8
8	Kurnool ... ..	686	4	0
9	Chittoor ... ..	15,846	1	3
10	Bellary ... ..	891	3	11
11	Cuddapah ... ..	190	10	7
12	Anantapur ... ..	418	1	2
13	Chingleput ... ..	3,712	6	9
14	South Arcot ... ..	2,460	5	9
15	North Arcot ... ..	2,277	14	7
16	Salem ... ..	876	0	6
17	Coimbatore ... ..	3,176	1	4
18	The Nilgiris ... ..	246	15	4
19	Tanjore .. ..	17,416	7	11
20	Trichinopoly ... ..	6,032	12	4
21	Madura ... ..	5,352	3	9
22	Rāmnād ... ..	7,660	7	0
23	Tinnevelly ... ..	9,912	2	2
24	Malabar ... ..	15,914	15	9
25	South Kanara ... ..	4,053	5	1
	Total ... ..	1,24,032	11	10



## STATEMENT XVI.

(Vide paragraph 39 of the report.)

Statement showing the number of Commissions issued by the Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Name and description of applicant.	Purpose for which commission issued.	Whether the commission has been returned or not.	Final orders in the matter.
1	Mr. V. Ittiraricha Menon, Vakil for the trustees in Muchukunnu Temple, Moodadi Amsom, Kurumbranad Taluk.	To record evidence in an enquiry under section 84.	Yes.	Enquiry still pending.
2	Damodaran alias the Kuthiravattath Nayar, Trustee of Kothamangalam, Kakkayur and Puthankavu temples, Palghat Taluk.	To record evidence in an application for review of certain orders passed by the Board under section 84.	Do.	Review petition dismissed.
3	V. P. Karunakaran Nambiyar, Vakil for the trustees of Vayattoor, and Kalliyat temples, Padiyoor Amsom, Chirakkal Taluk.	Do.	Do.	Enquiry pending.
4	K. Eswara Vadhyan Nambudiri and others, petitioners in re : Kalangoth Mata, Cherukunnu, Chirakkal Taluk.	To record evidence in a petition for revising an order under section 84.	The order was cancelled before the Commission was returned.	

STATEMENT XVII.

(Vide paragraph 50 of the report.)

Statement showing the receipts and charges of the Hindu Religious Endowments Board from 1st July 1927 up to 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Receipts.			Serial number.	Charges.		
	Heads of classification.	Amount.			Heads of classification.	Amount.	
		RS.	A. P.			RS.	A. P.
1	Opening balance on 1st July 1927 ... ..	72,336	7 8	1	Salaries including pensionary charges ... ..	1,32,755	10 0
2	Contribution realized from temples and maths ... ..	1,24,032	11 10	2	Travelling allowances ... ..	19,865	13 6
	Deduct refunds ... ..	163	6 3	3	Contingencies such as stationery, postage, rent, telephone charges, printing, etc., incurred both in the Board's office and by mufassal officers ...	15,277	3 0
3	Fees for supply of copies of documents, for issue of notices, for search of records and also moneys deposited to meet similar charges in connexion with petitions under enquiry ...	3,880	15 0	4	Law charges—		
	Deducts refunds ... ..	299	8 0		Lawyers fees ... ..	3,730	1 0
	Deducts refunds ... ..	936	8 9		Stamp charges ... ..	258	10 0
4	Miscellaneous receipts ... ..	936	8 9		Out fees ... ..	2,118	2 0
	Deduct refunds ... ..	251	14 6		Contingencies ... ..	168	8 6
5	Interest accrued on the deposits with bank ...	823	8 0	5	Loans to temple committees ... ..	6,218	9 11
6	Advances recovered ... ..	167	3 0	6	Advances recoverable ... ..	200	0 0
7	Recovery of permanent contingent advance, with mufassal officers ... ..	25	0 0				
8	Suspense account ... ..	42	15 1		Total ...	1,80,592	9 11
	Total ...	2,01,530	8 7		Balance ...	20,937	14 8
					Total ...	2,01,530	8 7

STATEMENT XVIII.

(Vide paragraph 52 of the report.)

Statement showing the names of Committees, their jurisdiction and their sanctioned strength, etc., as it stood on 30th June 1928.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Name of Committee.	Sanctioned strength.	Present strength.	Jurisdiction of the Committee.	When it began function.	Period of interregnum during the fasli.		Remarks.
							From	To	
1	Ganjām ...	Ganjām District Temple Committee.	12	...	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Ganjām District.	1 Apr. 1927.	1 Mar. 1928.	30 June 1928.	The term of office of the members of the Committee expired on 28th February 1928 and fresh members were appointed and the Committee continued to function from 31st July 1928.
2	Vizagapatam...	Vizagapatam District Temple Committee.	12	7	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Vizagapatam District.	26 Oct. 1926.	25 Oct. 1927.	7 May 1928.	The term of office of the members of the Committee expired on 25th October 1927 and fresh members were appointed and the Committee continued to function from 8th May 1928.
3	Gōdāvāri, East.	East District Gōdāvāri Temple Committee.	12	10	Over all the non-excepted temples in the East Gōdāvāri District.	5 Oct. 1926.	5 Oct. 1927.	25 June 1928.	The term of office of the members of the Committee expired on 4th October 1927 and fresh members were appointed to the Committee continued to function from 26th June 1928.

## STATEMENT XVIII—cont.

Statement showing the names of Committees, their jurisdiction and their sanctioned strength, etc., as it stood on 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Name of Committee.	Sanctioned strength.	Present strength.	Jurisdiction of the Committee.	When it began function.	Period of interregnum during the fasli.		Remarks.
							From	To	
4	Gādāvāri, West.	West Gādāvāri District Temple Committee.	12	10	Over all the non-expected temples in the West Gādāvāri District.	19 Oct. 1926.	19 Oct. 1927.	25 June 1928.	The term of office of the members of the Committee expired on 18th October 1927 and fresh members were appointed to the Committee continued to function from 26th June 1928.
5	Kistna ...	Kistna District Temple Committee.	12	...	Over all the non-expected temples in the Kistna District.	2 Nov. 1926.	2 Nov. 1927.	Do.	The term of office of the members of the Committee expired on 1st November 1927 and fresh members were appointed to the Committee continued to function from 3rd July 1928.
6	Guntūr ...	Guntūr District Temple Committee.	12	5	Over all the non-expected temples in Guntūr District.	5 Oct. 1926.	4 Oct. 1927.	30 June 1928.	The term of office of the members of the Committee expired on 4 Oct. 1927 and fresh members were appointed and the Committee continued to function from 9th June 1928.

7	Anantapur ...	Gooty Circle Temple Committee.	7	7	Over all the non-accepted temples in the revenue taluks of Gooty and Tadpatri.	2 Jan. 1928.	...	...	The Circle Temple Committees in each of these districts are proposed to be amalgamated with a simple District Committee for the districts concerned. Orders are awaited from Government.
8	Do. ...	Dharmavaram Circle Temple Committee.	10	10	Over all the non-accepted temples in Dharmavaram, Hindupur, Madakasira, Penukonda, Kadiri, Anantapur and Kalyandrug.	Do.	...	...	
9	Cuddapah ...	Cuddapah Circle Temple Committee.	10	10	Over all the non-accepted temples in Cuddapah, Kamalapuram, Rajampet, Pulivendla, Sidhout and Rayachoti.	16 Jan. 1928.	...	...	
10	Do. ...	Proddatur Circle Temple Committee.	7	7	Over all the non-accepted temples in Proddatur, Jammalamadugu and Badvel.	2 Jan. 1928.	...	...	
11	Chittoor ...	Chittoor District Temple Committee.	12	12	Over all the non-accepted temples in Chittoor District, except Tirupati, Tirumalai, etc, devasthanams.	1 Jan. 1927.	1 Jan. 1928.	23 Apr. 1928.	The term of office of the members of this Committee having been expired on 1 Jan. 1928, members were appointed in April 1928.
12	Nellore ...	Nellore District Temple Committee.	12	10	Over all the non-accepted temples in Nellore District.	5 Oct. 1926.	5 Oct. 1927.	23 Apr. 1928.	The term of office of the members of this Committee having expired on 5 Oct. 1927, members were appointed in April 1928.
13	Bellary ...	Bellary District Temple Committee.	11	11	Over all the non-accepted temples in the District of Bellary.	1 Nov. 1926.	1 Nov. 1927.	3 June 1928.	The term of the members expired on 1 Nov. 1927 and fresh members were appointed by Government for a period of one year, since the close of the fasli.
14	Kurnool ...	District Temple Committee, Kurnool.	12	12	Over all the non-accepted temples in the District of Kurnool.	Do.	Do.	26 Mar. 1928.	The term of the members expired on 1 Nov. 1927 and fresh members were appointed by Government for 1 year in March 1928.

STATEMENT XVIII—cont.

Statement showing the names of Committees, their jurisdiction and their sanctioned strength, etc., as it stood on 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Name of Committee.	Sanctioned strength.	Present strength.	Jurisdiction of the Committee.	When it began to function.	Period of interregnum during the year.		Remarks.
							From	To	
15	Tanjore ...	Tanjore Circle Temple Committee.	12	...	Over all the non-accepted temples in the revenue taluks of Tanjore, Papanasam, Arantangi, Pattukkottai and Mannargudi.	25 Jan. 1928.	1 Dec. 1927.	25 Jan. 1928.	The Committees had been abolished by Government on 1 Dec. 1927.
16	Do. ...	Negapatam Circle Temple Committee.	12	...	Over all the non-accepted temples in the revenue taluks of Nannilam, Negapatam and Tirutturaippundi.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
17	Do. ...	Kumbakonam Circle Temple Committee.	8	...	Over all the non-accepted temples in the revenue taluks of Kumbakonam, Shiyali and Mayavaram.	15 Apr. 1927.	15 Apr. 1928.	30 June 1928.	
18	Trichinopoly.	Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee.	10	9	Over all the non-accepted temples in the revenue taluks of Trichinopoly, Karur, Kulittalai, Samayapuram Mariyamman, Melambil Sundararajaperumal, Kilambil, Brahma-purisarwarar, Tiruvalur Pundarikaksha Perumal in Lalgudi.	1 Mar. 1927.	1 Mar. 1928.	22 June 1928.	

19	Do.	Thuraiyur Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	7	6	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Musiri, Lalgudi except the four temples in Lalgudi Taluk included in the Trichinopoly Circle Temple Committee.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
20	Do.	Ariyalur Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	7	7	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Udaiyarpalayam and Perambalur.	Do.	Do.	Do.	
21	Chingleput ...	Chingleput Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	9	8	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Conjeeveram, Chingleput and Madurantakam.	10 Dec. 1927.	1 July 1927.	10 Dec. 1927.	
22	Do. ...	Tiruvallur Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	10	7	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Sriperumbudur, Tiruvallur, Ponneri and Saidapet.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Proposals for the continuance of the Committee by nomination submitted to Government.
23	North Arcot.	Vellore Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	11	...	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Vellore, Gudiyatam, Tirupattur, Polur, Tiruvannamalai and Arni Deputy Tahsildar's Division.	1 Apr. 1927.	1 Apr. 1928.	30 June 1928.	
24	Do.	Tiruvettipuram Circle Committee.	Temple Committee.	7	...	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Wallajapet, Arakonam, Wandiwash and Cheyyar.	14 June 1927.	1 June 1928.	Do.	Proposals for the abolition of these Committees and reconstitution of a single Committee have been approved by Government.
25	South Arcot.	Cuddalore Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	9	...	Over all the non-excepted temples in the revenue taluks of Cuddalore, Vriddhachalam, Chidambaram and Kallakurchi.	8 Apr. 1927.	1 Apr. 1928.	Do.	
26	Do.	Villupuram Temple Committee.	Circle Committee.	8	...	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Villupuram, Tindivanam, Gingee and Tirukkoyilur.	Do.	Do.	Do.	

## STATEMENT XVIII—cont.

Statement showing the names of committees, their jurisdiction and their sanctioned strength, etc., as it stood on 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Name of Committee.	Sanctioned strength.	Present strength.	Jurisdiction of the Committee.	When it began to function.	Period of interregnum during the fasli.		Remarks.
							From.	To.	
27	Coimbatore ...	1. Coimbatore Temple Circle Committee.	10	...	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Coimbatore, Avanashi and Gobichettipalayam.	14 Mar. 1927.	1 Mar. 1928.	20 June 1928.	The term of office of members of Committees 1 and 2 expired on 29th February 1928 and of 3 and 4 on 30th June 1928. Proposals for their abolition and the reconstitution of 2 new committees in their stead are pending with Government.
28	Do. ...	2. Pollachi Temple Circle Committee.	7	...	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Palladam, Pollachi and Udamalpet.	Do.	Do.	30 June 1928.	Do.
29	Do. ...	3. Erode Temple Circle Committee.	7	7	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Erode, Dharapuram and Bhavani.	12 July 1927.	...	...	Do
30	Do. ...	4. Kollegal Temple Circle Committee.	5	6	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluk of Kollegal.	14 July 1927.	...	...	Do.



31	Salem ..	...	Salem Circle Temple Committee.	10	...	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Salem, Omalur and Attur.	4 June 1927.	1 June 1928.	30 June 1928.	The term of office of members expired on 31st May 1928 and proposals for appointment of fresh members submitted to Government.
32	Do. ...	...	Tiruchengode Circle Temple Committee.	9	...	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Tiruchengode, Namakkal and Rasipur.	9 June 1927.	Do.	Do.	Do.
33	Do. ...	...	Dharmapuri Circle Temple Committee.	9	...	Over all the non-excepted temples of the Revenue Taluks of Dharmapuri, Hosur, Krishnagiri and Uttangarai.	14 June 1927.	Do.	Do.	Do.
34	Madura	...	Minakshi Sundareswarar, etc., Devasthanams Committee.	6	...	Minakshi Sundareswarar, etc., Devasthanams, Madura.	Under Act XX of 1863.	1 Dec. 1928.	30 Dec. 1928.	Abolished with effect from 1st December 1927. New Committee constituted in its stead on 21st August 1928.
35	Do.	...	Sri Kallalagar and Sri Kudalalagar Temples.	8	8	Over the following temples :— Melur taluk— 1. Alagarkoil ... 2. Hanumarkoil, Melur ... Madura taluk— 3. Prasanna Venkatesa Perumalkoil, Tallakulam, Madura. 4. Viraraghava Perumalkoil, Vandiyur. 5. Nagathirthankoil (near Madura town). 6. Kudalalagarkoil, Madura. 7. Hanumarkoil, Vandiyur. 8. Madanagopalaswami-koil, Madura. 9. Viraraghava Perumalkoil, Madura taluk.	14 July 1927.	...	...	These Committees were abolished with effect from 21st August 1928 and 2 new committees known as Madura Circle Temple Committee and Periyakulam Circle Temple Committee were constituted in their stead.

## STATEMENT XVIII—cont.

Statement showing the names of committees, their jurisdiction and their sanctioned strength, etc., as it stood on 30th June 1928—cont.

Serial number.	Name of district.	Name of Committee.	Sanctioned strength.	Present strength.	Jurisdiction of the Committee.	When it began function.	Period of interregnum during the fasli.		Remarks.
							From	To	
36	Madura ...	Madura Circle Temple Committee.	7	6	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Revenue Taluks of Madura, Melur and Nilakottai except those under the jurisdiction of the Madura Minakshi Sundareswarar, etc., Devasthanam Committee and the Kallalagar and Kudalagar Temples Committee, Madura.	14 July 1927.	...	...	These Committees were abolished with effect from 21st August 1928 and 2 new committees known as Madura Circle Temple Committee and Periyakulam Circle Temple Committee were constituted in their stead.
37	Do. ...	Periyakulam Circle Temple Committee.	7	6	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Revenue Taluks of Periyakulam and Tirumangalam.	29 July 1927.	...	...	Do.
38	Do. ...	Palni Circle Temple Committee.	7	7	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Revenue Taluks of Palni, Dindigul and Kodaikanal.	27 July 1927.	...	...	The term of office of members expired on 14th July 1928 and proposals for fresh appointment of members pending with Government.
39	Rāmnād ...	Rāmnād District Temple Committee.	10	...	Over all the non-excepted temples in the district.	1 Apr. 1927.	1 Apr. 1928.	30 June 1928.	The term of office of members expired on 31st March 1928. Fresh appointment of members has since been made.

40	Tinnevelly ...	Tinnevelly Circle Siva Temple Committee.	10	...	Over all the non-excepted Siva temples in the Revenue Taluks of Tinnevelly, Ambasamudram, Tenkasi and Nanguneri.	27 June 1927.	15 June 1928.	Do.
41	Do. ...	Tuticorin Circle Siva Temple Committee.	10	...	Over all the non-excepted Siva temples in the Revenue Taluks of Kovilpatti, Sankarankoil, Srivaikuntam and Tiruchendur.	Do.	Do.	Do.
42	Do. ...	Tinnevelly District Vishnu Temple Committee.	10	...	Over all the non-excepted Vishnu temples in the district.	Do.	Do.	Do.
43	South Kanara.	Mangalore Circle Temple Committee.	9	9	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Revenue Taluks of Mangalore, Puttur and Kasaragode.	15 Jan. 1928.	1 July 1927.	15 Jan. 1928.
44	Do.	Udipi Circle Temple Committee.	9	9	Over all the non-excepted temples in the Revenue Taluks of Udipi, Karkal and Coondapoor.	Do.	Do.	Do.

Do.  
Do.  
The term of office of members expired on 14th June 1928 subsequent to the period under report. The Tinnevelly District Vishnu Temple Committee was abolished and the jurisdiction of the remaining two committees was extended over Vishnu temples within the local limits of their respective jurisdiction with their names changed into Tinnevelly Circle Temple Committee and Tuticorin Circle Temple Committee.