

Report on the Judicial Administration in the Central Provinces & Berar (Civil) for the year 1927

*

NAGPUR:
PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS
1928

Agents for the sale of Publications issued by the Central Provinces Government.

[Note.—Appointments of all Official Agents in the United Kingdom and on the Continent for the sale of Government l'ublications have been terminated with effect trom the 1st April 1925.

All publications in future are obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, 42 Grosvenor Gardens, London, S. W. I, or through any bookseller.

IN INDIA AND CEYLON.

THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta and Simla. NEWMAN & CO., Calcutta. R. CAMBRAY & Co., Calcutta. S. K. LAHIRI & Co., Calcutta. BUTTERWORTH & Co. (INDIA), LTD., 8-2, Hastings Street, Calcutta. THE INDIAN SCHOOL SUPPLY DEPOT, Calcutta. THE WELDON LIBRARY, 18/5, Chowringhee Road, Calcutta. M. C. SIRCAR & SONS, 75, Harrison Road, Calcutta. THE ASSOCIATION PRESS, Calcutta. THE PROPRIETOR, International Buddhist Book Depot, 4, Chandney Chowk, 1st Lane, Calcutta.

A. J. Combridge & Co., Bombay. THACKER & CO., LTD., Bombay. RADHABAI ATMARAM SAGOON, Bombay. SUNDER PANDURANG, 25, Kalbadevi Road, Bombay. RAMCHANDRA GOVIND & SON, Booksellers, Kalbadevi, Bombay.
. B. TARAPOREVALA, SONS & Co., 190, Hornby Booksellers, etc., Road, Fort, Bombay. A. J. COMBRIGGE & CO., Madras. HIGGINBOTHAM & CO., Madras. V. KALYANARAM IYER & CO., Madras. G. A. NATESAN & CO., Madras. S. MURTHY & Co., Madras. THOMPSON & Co., Madras. TEMPLE & Co., Madras. RAT SAIDS M. GULAS SINGH & SONS, Lahore. N. B. MATHUR, Superintendent, Nazair Kanun Hind Press, Allahabad. THE TROPRIETOR, NEWAL KISHORE

PRESS, Lucknow.

SUPERINTENDENT, American Mission Press, Rangoon. Manager, General Boo Nagpur and Jubbulpore. Book Depôt, AIDAN BINZANI, Proprietors, Karmvir Book Depôt, Mahal, Nagpur. "The THE MANAGER. Hitawad," Nagpur. MR. G. G. KHOT, Walker Road, Nagpur. MR. G. A. SHASTRI, Bookseller and Publisher, Histop College Road, Nagpur City. MR. M. A. Subhan, Bookseller and Publisher, Bilaspur. A. M. & J. FERGUSON, Booksellers. Ceylon. BABU S. C. TALUODAR, Proprietor, Students & Co., Cooch Behar. THE STANDARD BOOKSTALL, Karachi. KARSANDAS NARANDAS & SONS, Surat. MUNSHI SEETA RAM. Managing Proprietor, Indian Army Book De-pôt, Juhi, Cawnpore. THE PROPRIETORS, New Kitabkhana, Poona. THE OXFORD BOOK AND STATIONERY Co., Delhi. THE PATNA LAW PRESS, Co., Patna. THE UPPER INDIA PUBLISHING HOUSE. LTD., Booksellers and Publishers. 41, Aminabad Park, Lucknow. THE BOOK COMPANY, Booksellers. and Stationers, 4-4/A. College Street, Calcutta. THE PROPRIETOR, Shri Ramdas Storet, Tajnapeth, Akola.

A. H. Wheeler & Co., Allahabad,

Baptist

Calcutta and Rombav.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

A.—TRIBUNALS. Para. Page.									
Tribunals	,,,	•••	***	1-7	1-3				
	BOrigi	NAL JURISU	DICTION.						
Institution of s	uits	•••	,,,	8-10	3-4				
Valuation of su	iits	•••	***	11	4				
Small Cause Co	ourts		***	12-13	$\imath b.$				
Distribution of	suits			14	4-5				
Durations	***	***		15-16	5-6				
Pending files	•••	•••	414	17	6				
Executions	***	•••		18	6.7				
Insolvency		•••	•••	19	7,				
	C.—APPELL	ATE JURISD	ICTION.						
Appellate work Court.	in the Judio	rial Comm	issioner's	20	7 - 8				
Appellate work	in the Distric	t Judges' C	Courts	21	8				
	DM	ISCELLANEO	US.						
The Bar	•••	***		22	ib.				
Guardians and	Wards Act	•••		23	e-3				
Copying Branc	h		•••	24	9				
Records and Re	ecord-rooms	•••		25	ib.				
Ministerial Serv	vice	•••		26	ib.				
Working of the	Nazarat	•••	•••	27 - 30	9-10				
Official Receive	er in Berar	•••	•••	31	10				
The trial of ten	ancy suits by l	Revenue off	ficers	32	11				
Buildings		•••		33	ib.				
Furniture and	Libraries			34	ib.				
Inspections	•••	•••	•••	35	11-12				
Judicial Service	es and charge	of office		36-38	12				
	APPENDICES								

APPENDICES.

PRESCRIBED STATEMENTS.

- No. 25.—Tribunal Statement.
 - ,, 26.—Number of civil cases decided and the receipts and charges of courts.
 - ,, 27.—Number and description of suits instituted.
 - ,, 28.—Number and value of suits instituted.
 - , 29.—Result of trials of civil suits.
 - ,, 30.—Result of trials of miscellaneous cases.
 - " 31.—Appeals.
 - ,, 32.—Miscellaneous appeals.

REPORT

ON THE

Administration of Civil Justice in the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927.

'A -TRIBUNAL'

The Fourth Additional Judicial Commissioner appointed temporarily from the 1st May 1926 continued to work throughout the year.

- 2. The staff of the department, excluding the Judi-No 25. cial Commissioner's Court, increased by one Small Cause Court Judge and two Subordinate Judges, 2nd Class, while there was a decrease of one Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, in the year as compared with 1926. Mr. K. B. L. Seth, I.C.S., who was deputed to this department for training was temporarily transferred to the Executive Department on the 3rd March. The Additional Court of the Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, at Jubbulpore, presided over by Mr. K. T. Mangalmurti, I.C.S., under training was closed on the 26th May when he was transferred to the Executive Department. He was again transferred to the Executive Department. He was again transferred to this department and posted to Khandwa as Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, with effect from the 12th November. The Court of the Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class, at Hatta, was abolished with effect from the 1st December.
- 3. Owing to abnormally heavy institutions the Court of the Small Causes at Akola and the consequent congestion of files, the Subordinate Judge of the 1st Class, spared from Kamptee, was posted to Akola as an Additional Judge to that Court for a period of one year from the 16th June. New Courts of Subordinate Judges of the 2nd Class were opened at Mahasamund and Murtizapur from the 2nd January and the 16th June. respectively. The Court of the 2nd Subordinate Judge. 1st Class, Hoshangabad, which had been kept vacant, was permanently abolished from the 16th November and Court of Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class, substituted. The Judge of the 1st Class spared from Hoshangabad worked throughout the year in the Additional Court of the Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, at Akola. Experience shows the necessity of retaining this Court permenantly at Akola. Its continuance for the present has, however, been sanctioned up to the end of

February 1929 only. A temporary Court of the Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, was opened at Burhanpur for a period of six months from the 1st September mainly for the trial of three important communal suits. Heavy institutions during the year which caused congestion of files resulted in the posting of Additional Judges, at the following places:—

Yeotmal ... Subordinate Judge, 1st Class ... Six months.
Daryapur ... Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class ... Six months,
Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class ... Six months.
Malkapur ... Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class ... Six months.
Khamgaon ... Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class ... Eight months.

The Additional Tahsildar of Dharni was appointed an Additional Judge to the Court of the Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class, Ellichpur, for the trial of Small Cause suits up to Rs. 500. This obviates the necessity of litigants from the Dharni tract having to travel to Chikalda for the disposal of such petty cases. The question of dividing the unwieldy district of West Berar into two Civil Districts is under consideration.

- The linked court system between Murwara and Sehora and between Saugor and Banda was discontinued from the beginning of the year and individual Judges were appointed at each place. The linked Courts of Betul and Multai as well as of Kelapur and Wun were continued throughout the year. The Kelapur-Wun Court is only a tentative measure and the conditions suggest that a whole-time Judge may have to be posted The leave reserve for the Central Provinces Civil Service (Judicial) has proved totally insufficient during the year and on various occasions Courts of Subordinate Judges, 2nd Class, have had perforce remain vacant. At the end of the year there were as many as seven Courts without Judges. The Judicial Commissioner has had regretfully in many cases to refuse leave otherwise than on medical certificate to many officers. Proposals for remedying this anomalous state of affairs have been submitted to Government.
- 5. Kumar Choksingh, brother of the Feudatory Thakur of Sheogarh in the Malwa Agency, was under training for three months in the Nimar district. Thakur Lal Bahadursingh, the Zamindar of Phulihar, continued to exercise civil powers throughout the year. The second Court of the Honorary Subordinate Judge

1

presided over by his Diwan, Rai Sahib Raghunath Prasad, retired Extra-Assistant Commissioner, ceased to exist during the year. 60 Tahsildars and 15 Naib-Tahsildars exercised civil powers as against 60 and 25, respectively, in the previous year.

- 6. A special Court under section 7 of the Waste Lands Claims Act (XXIII of 1863) was constituted by the Local Government at Bilaspur, consisting of the Additional District Judge, the Deputy Commissioner and Rai Sahib Radha Ballabh Mitra, Pleader, Bilaspur. A Parsi District Matrimonial Court was established at Jubbulpore for the trial of suits arising from the Saugor, Damoh, Mandla, Seoni, Narsinghpur, Hoshangabad, Betul, Chhindwara and Nimar districts.
- 7. In addition to the 33 Panchayat Courts established last year, under the Village Panchayat Act, 1920, 61 others were constituted during the year. The new Courts are numerous in Berar but in many Central Provinces districts desirable progress is being made in this connection.

B.—ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

8. Institutions under three main heads in 1926 and statement 1927 are compared below:—

No. 27.

ul	Control Dead	1926	1927
1.	Central Provinces. Suits for money and mov-	57,917	70,010
2. 3.	able property. Suits under the Rent Law Title and other suits	10,784 7,875	13,068 8,261
	Total	76,576	91,339
1. 2. 3.	Berar. Suits for money and movable property. Suits under the Rent Law Title and other suits	31,838 144 4,667	44,902 197 5,991
	Total	36,649	51,090
	GRAND TOTAL	113,225	142,429

Institutions have further increased by 29,204 suits. This marked increase averaging 25.8 per cent has been shared in by the Central Provinces and Berar alike.

9. The abnormal increase in litigation is attributed to the prospect of favourable crops in the year after uccessive failures of crops in the past years and the

consequent withholding of litigation. In some districts, the repeal of the Court-fees Amending Act of 1923 and the abolition of two systems of linked Courts have also had their effect. Even so the abnormal rise of over 25 per cent—a rise specially marked in suits not over Rs. 500 in value—is not easily explicable.

- 10. 2,877 suits were instituted in Village Panchayat Courts during the year as against 1,408 in 1926 but, of the former number, no less than 1,218 arose in Mandla—an interesting phenomenon showing the comparative popularity of the system in a backward tract. Mr. Findlay can only express the hope that the more forward tracts will follow the example of Mandla. The system, properly worked, is a boon to the poor litigant, be he plaintiff or defendant, particularly in places remote from the Civil Courts.
- 11. Valuation of Suits.—The total value of suits increased from Rs. 4,09,97,702 in 1926, which figure included one suit of the value of Rs. 15,00,000, to Rs. 4,73,28,223. The average value of a suit however decreased from Rs. 362 to 332 partly because there was no suit of such a high value as mentioned above, and partly because the rise in institutions was mainly confined to suits below Rs. 500 in value.
- 12. Small Cause Courts.—Of the total number of suits for money and movable property, 93,326 or 81.2 per cent were instituted in Small Cause Courts as against 72,971 or 81.3 per cent in the preceding year. The total value of suits so instituted rose from Rs. 87,18,410 to Rs. 1,10,27,466 on account of the rise in institutions but the average value was practically the same, being 119 as against 118. 86,186 suits were tried by summary procedure as against 69,899 in 1926. Of suits disposed of, 16.6 were contested as against 18.4.
- 13. The Court of the Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class, Baihar, was invested with Small Cause Court powers up to Rs. 50 during the year.
- 14. Distribution of suits.—The percentage of suits disposed of by each class of Courts in 1926 and 1927 was—

	1926	1927
District Judge	0.3	0.3
Subordinate Judge, 1st Class	5'4	4.6
Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class	31.0	30· 9
Small Cause Courts	63.3	64.5

The closure of the Court of the Additional Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, at Jubbulpore, probably accounts for the decrease of 8 in suits disposed of by Subordinate Judges, 1st Class. Of the suits disposed of 10 were contested as against 23.3 in 1926.

15. Durations.—The average durations in 1926 and Statement 1927 are compared below:—

			Central P	rovinces.	Berar.			
-			Contested.	Uncon- tested.	Contested.	Uncon- tested.		
	1		2	3	4	5		
1926 1927			98·4 113·6	Small causes. 79 5 92 8 Others.	132·5 136·6	95·1 100·9		
1926 192 7			209·4 213·3	97·1 93·4 Total.	265·1 256·9	122 [.] 7 125 [.] 9		
1926 1927			152·3 161.8	85 8 93 0	204·1 203·1	102·3 107·7		

The average duration of both contested and uncontested suits has increased in the Central Provinces. Berar the duration of contested suits remained practically, the same but that of uncontested suits slightly increased. In view of the abnormal increase in institutions, Mr. Findlay is only surprised that the figures are not more unfavourable and he considers that they reflect credit on the Judges for the manner in which they have coped with a difficult situation. Another cause which has had added to the difficulties of the year has been unavoidable delay. in appointment of candidates, particularly in the first half of the year. The provisions of Civil Circular 1-5 as regards adjournment and conduct of trials are generally, followed and, at every inspection of Courts, attention of the Judges is generally drawn by District Judges to the necessity of being very strict in the matter of granting adjournments and dealing with defaulting witnesses.

16. Owing to the heavy institutions during the year the present staff of the judiciary has proved insufficient

and calls for an immediate increase. The pressure on the individual Judges of many courts has been far too heavy and in some instances almost intolerable. improvement in duration cannot be expected until the permanent staff is materially strengthened. Proposals for increasing the number of Judges have already been submitted to Government since the close of the year. Where temporary relief is needed, it is also imperative that this should be afforded promptly. The increase in institutions certainly does not suggest that the Civil Courts have lost the confidence of the public and on the other hand the litigant public are entitled, in return for the charges they have to pay, to prompt and efficient service on the part of the Civil Courts. There is also another point of view as regards the inadequate staff of the judiciary. It is antieconomic and intrinsically undesirable that the Judicial Commissioner should have, as he has often to do at present, to refuse leave to officers well deserving of a respite from work unless their physical state has declined to such an extent as permits of their going on leave on medical certificate. With the increase in the cadre and in the leave reserve he has applied for, Mr. Findlay has every hope that the present undesirable situation would come to an end.

- 17. Pending files.—Owing to the considerable rise in institutions the pending file has further increased from 36,700 to 48,023, i.e., by 11,323, and the number of long pending suits from 11,393 to 13,847, i.e., by 2,454. The increase is shared by all the districts except Jubbulpore and Saugor. In Berar it is most marked. The number of pending suits there has increased from 13,674 to 20,201 and the number of long pending suits from 4,106 to 5,370. This is in spite of a large increase in disposals amounting to 23,762 suits as compared with 1926. Certain Courts remained without Judges for some time during the year and this also accounts to a certain extent for increase in the pending files.
- 18. Executions.—The number of applications for execution before the Courts in 1927 was 173,687 as against 151,678 in the previous year, thus showing an increase by 22,009. 125,910 applications were disposed of as against 113,149 in 1926. Owing to the rise in institutions, the

pending file has increased from 38,529 to 47,777. The number of long pending cases also rose from 11,696 to 12,199. The percentage of cases in which satisfaction was obtained in full was 16'8 as against 17'7 and that of application struck off as wholly infructuous was 59'6 as against 60'7. In Nimar, only in 10'2 of the cases the creditors' claims were fully satisfied.

19. Insolvency.—Insolvency petitions presented during the year by debtors again show a rise from 2,528 to 3,008, and those by creditors, from 381 to 615. The increase is shared by all districts except Wardha and Saugor. The rise in petitions by creditors was most marked in Berar. 2,007 petitions were disposed of by orders of adjudication. 1,302 were dismissed and 54 were withdrawn. 481 insolvents were discharged absolutely and 113 conditionally. Orders of adjudication of 619 insolvents were annulled and 2 undischarged insolvents died during the year. Insolvency work tends to increase steadily as is clear from the following figures:—

Year.		No. of applications
1923	•••	1,711
1924		1,954
1925	***	2,506
1926	***	2,909
1927	•••	3,623

The petitions which are not summarily dealt with are generally contested and require almost as much time and energy of the Court as contested suits. Mr. Findlay thinks that the question of having independent Insolvency Courts in the more important towns will soon necessarily arise.

C.—APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

20. Judicial Commissioner's Court.—The table Statements below compares the figures for Civil Appellate work in Nos. 31 and the years 1926 and 1927:—

			1926		1927			
		Institu-	Dis- posals.	Pend- ing.	Institu-	Dis- posals.	Pend- ing.	
First appeals Second appeals Miscellaneous appeals	•••	204 1,080 94	190 1,005 96	289 1,137 67	237 1,151 102	227 1,185 119	299 1,102 50	
Total		1,378	1,291	1,493	1,490	1,531	1,453	

- 764 civil revisions and 157 miscellaneous petitions were also disposed of as against 671 and 164, respectively, in 1926. Institutions and disposals have increased but the pending file remains practically the same. The Court of the Fourth Additional Judicial Commissioner worked throughout practically the whole year. There can now be no question but that the temporary post of Fourth Additional Judicial Commissioner must be made permanent.
- District Judges' Courts.—In the Central Provinces 2,609 appeals were instituted, 2,585 were disposed of and 979 remained pending at the close of the year as against 2,589, 2,440 and 955 respectively in 1926. Institutions in Berar fell from 1,190 to 1,068 but disposals have slightly increased from 1,145 to 1,162, and the pending file was 448 as against 542 at the close of 1926. The average duration of regular appeals has increased in the Central Provinces from 123.8 to 137.2 and in Bergr from 154 to 179.9. The increase is particularly noticeable in Nagpur. Wardha, and East Berar. The increase in the Nagpur district was due to heavy Criminal work. The congestion of files in the Courts of the Additional District Judge, Wardha and Yeotmal, accounts for the large increase. 473 miscellaneous appeals were disposed of during the vear as against 414 in 1926.

D.-MISCELLANEOUS.

- 22. The Bar.—The total strength of the Bar increased from 1,152 to 1,201. The relations between the Bench and the Bar continued to be cordial. The proceedings for unprofessional conduct against three pleaders in the Nimar district mentioned in the last year's report resulted in their acquittal. A complaint against the opposite party has been filed under section 476, Criminal Procedure Code.
- 23. Guardian and Wards Act —872 estates were under management under the Act during the year as against 804 in 1926. Management was relinquished in 67 estates as against 41 in 1926. Cases under the Act show a further increase and difficulty still continues to be experienced in securing suitable guardians and in enforcing rendition of accounts correctly and punctually. An inspecting agency with power to make local inspections and examinations seems necessary in this connection. The District Judge, Saugor, reports that guardians other than

those appointed on remuneration are as a class supine and indifferent to their wards' interests, although action taken against them under section 45 of the Act has produced in many cases a more prompt submission of accounts and reports.

- 24. Copying Branch.—The heavy institutions of 1927 brought in their train an influx of applications for copies at Akola, the number of applications presented during that year being 17,125 as against 14,115 of the preceding year. The copying branch there had thus to work under a heavy strain. Section-writers were employed as far as possible. In Jubbulpore and Saugor also there was an increase in the number of applications for copies. The system of employment of section-writers with a view to the ultimate reduction of the permanent copying staff is still in process of trial. A dearth of competent section-writers is generally complained of.
- 25. Records and Record-rooms.—Extra accommodation in the record-rooms at Hoshangabad and Raipur is urgently required as noted in the report of 1926. Serious inconvenience continues to be experienced for want of space for storing records and registers at these places. The extension of the Record-room at Saugor is also necessary and will be taken up this year. A temporary expedient costing little money is being adopted to meet the difficulty at Hoshangabad. As regards Raipur, the work of extension of the Civil Court building at that place with a view to provide more space for records is still pending for want of funds. In all other districts, the accommodation in the record-rooms is sufficient for the present. Elimination of records was up to date.
- 26. Ministerial service.—The question of equalization of the scales of pay of clerks, moharrirs and copyists in District and Sessions Judges' offices is already under the consideration of Government.
- 27. Working of the Nazarat.—The system introduced in 1924 of employing sale-amins to check, while on their tours, the work of process-servers is gradually proving effective. Its results have not, so far, been satisfactory at Nagpur, Hoshangabad and East Berar. It is hoped that the orders regarding direct recruitment to the posts of sale-amins instead of from the ranks of

process-servers which some of the District Judges have been unable so far to give effect to, will in due course yield better results.

- 28. The percentage of personal service of processes ranged from 65 to 81. The necessity of improving the low percentage is being impressed on the District Judges concerned. The District Judges, Jubbulpore, Saugor, Chhindwara, Hoshangabad and Nimar, have, respectively, kept 12, 11, 19, 7 and 25 posts of process-servers vacant as an experimental measure with a view to the reduction of the pensionable staff as enjoined by the Circulars. The work of these posts is being carried through by temporary process-servers employed under the 30 per cent rule.
- 29. District Judges, Jubbulpore, Saugor, Nimar, Raipur and East Berar, report 93 cases of obstruction to service and 14 of escape from custody of process-servers, in 49 of which complaints were lodged with the result that conviction was secured in 16, 8 were discharged and 25 remained pending at the close of the year. The number of these cases go to support the imperative necessity of providing uniform for process-servers—a petty, but in Mr. Findlay's opinion, an urgently required reform.
- 30. Cases of misappropriation of diet-money or decretal amount increased from 4 in 1926 to 8 in 1927. These occurred in the Bhandara, Jubbulpore, Hoshangabad, Raipur, East Berar and West Berar Civil districts. In 3 of these conviction was secured, in one the process-server having absconded proceedings under section 512, Criminal Procedure Code, were started and in the rest dismissal from service was ordered as sufficient grounds for securing conviction could not be found. In all the cases the money embezzled was recovered from the process-servers' sureties or their security deposits.
 - 31. Official Receiver in Berar.—At Akola there was a big embezzlement by the Official Receiver the late Khan Bahadur Abdul Rahman, of the money entrusted to his care under the Provincial Insolvency Act, Guardian and Wards Act and the Civil Procedure Code. Mr. S. M. Parande, Subordinate Judge (Select post), was appointed as an officer on special duty to investigate the matter. The orders of Government on the report of Mr. Parande have now been received and the Judicial Commissioner has under consideration the question of amendment of the rules applicable.

- 32. Trial of tenancy suits by Revenue officers in the Central Provinces.—The District Judges, Nimar, Chhindwara and Raipur, speak of improvement in the procedure of Revenue officers in the trial of tenancy suits; generally speaking, the procedure of these Judges can only be termed indifferent. As Tahsildars are now required to pass the Departmental Examination in Civil Law and Procedure by the lower standard before they are confirmed, a better quality of work can now be expected from this class of officers.
- 33. Buildings.—The extension of the Civil Court buildings at Warora, Saugor, Rehli, and Raipur, although administratively sanctioned, could not be taken up during the year 1927 also for want of funds. The Civil Court buildings at Gondia, Brahmapuri, Harda and Multai, also require extension, and a new Civil Court building is to be constructed at Chandur but these works cannot be taken up until funds are available. Funds for the extension of the Civil Court building at Saugor and for the new Civil Court at Chandur are being allotted in 1928-29. Proposals for providing suitable accommodation for the third Civil Court at Khamgaon have been sanctioned since the close of the year and funds for this work are also being allotted in 1928-29.
- 34. Furniture and Libraries.—Courts and officerooms are reported to have been generally sufficiently
 furnished. The District Judges, Hoshangabad and
 Wardha, report that on account of the reduction of their
 allotment the purchase of necessary furniture had to be
 postponed. Allotments for the purchase of books
 continued to be insufficient for providing up to date
 editions of law books to Courts.
- 35. Inspections.—The Judicial Commissioner conducted detailed inspections of the Civil Courts at Chanda, Balaghat, Saugor, Mandla and Buldana, and visited various other districts for administrative reasons. All the Civil Courts were inspected by the District Judges during the year except those at Sironcha and Jalgaon and the Court of the Small Causes, Akola. The Judicial Record-room at Akola was also not inspected. The Court at Sironcha could not be inspected by the District Judge, Wardha, owing to pressure of work. The other 2 Courts and the Judicial Record-room could not be inspected by the District Judge, Akola, for want of time.

This officer has at present an unduly heavy burden of work to bear and cannot be blamed in this connection.

- The department suffered the loss of three officers by the untimely death of Messrs. B. N. Ghosh, Subordinate Judge, 1st Class, N. G. Shrikhande, Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class, and D. K. Khanzode. officiating Subordinate Judge, 2nd Class. The death of Mr. Ghosh deprived the department of a particularly conscientious and painstaking officer with a long record of meritorious service. Mr. V. M. Khare, Subordinate Judge, Select post, and Messrs. M. A. Vaidva and K. K. Garde, Subordinate Judges, 2nd Class, from service during the year. The title of Rai Bahadur was conferred on Mr. Kunj Behari Lal Misra, District and Sessions Judge, Hoshangabad, at the commencement of the year under report.
- 37. Messrs, C. S. Findlay, I.C.S., Judicial Commissioner, and H. F. Hallifax, I.C.S.. Additional Judicial Commissioner, were on duty throughout year. Mr. F. W. A. Prideaux, Additional Judicial Commissioner, proceeded on combined leave for eight months from the 18th January, and in his place Mr. R. H. Macnair, I.C.S., District and Sessions Judge, Judicial Additional Commissioner. officiated as Mr. P. S. Kotval. Additional Judicial Commissioner. also proceeded on leave preparatory to retirement from the 11th October and in his place Rao M. B. Kinkhede, B.A., B.L., temporary Additional Judicial Commissioner, was appointed to Additional Judicial Commissioner. Khan officiate as Khan Bahadur Ghulam Mohiuddin, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law, Public Prosecutor, Raipur, was appointed to the temporary post of the Fourth Additional Judicial Commissioner with effect from the 20th October.
- 38. Rao Sahib G. R. Pendse, Superintendent of this Court, retired with effect from the 1st November after 30 years of meritorious service. His retirement was a great loss to the office of the Judicial Commissioner.

S. M. BHAGADE,

Registrar, Judicial Commissioner's Court, Central Provinces.

Nagpur: The 25th April 1928.

PRESCRIBED STATEMENTS (CIVIL)

STATEMENT No. 25,—Showing the number of Judicial Divisions and the Central Provinces and Berar on the

	Number of Divisions			Number of Officers exer		
Name of Province,	for Courts under Chief Courts but superior to Chief Courts of districts.	Number of districts.	Number of sub- districts.	Judges of Chief Courts of Province.	Judges of other Courts superior to Chief District Courts.	
I	2	3	4	5	6	
Central Provinces (including Berar).		11	82	5		

STATEMENT No. 26.—Showing the number of Civil cases decided in, and for the

	And the second s	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Number of cases	
Name of Province,	Ori	Appel		
	Regular.	Miscellaneous.	Regular.	
ī	2	3	4	
Central Provinces (including Berar)	134,305	17:441	5,159	

Nore. - The figures in columns 6 and 7 relate to the receipts and charges

the number of officers exercising Appellate or Original Civit Jurisdiction in 31st December 1927.—(Paragraphs 1—5.)

cising Original or Appellate jurisdiction.								
udges of Chief Courts districts	Judges of District Courts other than Chief Courts.	Judges of other Subordinate Courts.	Kemarks.					
7	8	9	10	-				
33	40	143	Grades of Judicial Officers. Judicial Commissioner Additional Judicial Commissioners District Judges Additional District Judges Subordinate Judges, First Class Additional Judges to Courts of Subordinate Judges, First Class. Judges, Small Cause Courts Registrar, Small Cause Courts Registrar, Small Cause Courts Subordinate Judges, Second Class Extra-Subordinate Judges, Second Class Additional Judges to Courts of Subordinate Judges, Second Class.	Euro-peans, I 2 6	In-dians 2 5 28 16 18 6 1 67 16 59			
		<u> </u>	Total	9	218			

the receipts and charges of the Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar year 1927.

late. Miscellaneous.	Total receipts of the Courts.	Total charges of the Courts.	Remarks.	
5	6	7	8	
592	.53,33,726	50,1 3 ,416		

of Civil, Criminal and Revenue Courts combined in one total.

		Suits under the				
Class of Tribunal.		Suits for money or movable property.	Arrears of rent with or without ejectment.	Enhance- ment or abatement of rent.	For eject- ment or recovery of possession alone.	
	-					
I .		2	3	4	5	
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.						
Unpaid Tribunals	•••	52				
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	•••	18,403	13,063	4	37	
Small Cause Courts	•-	93,326				
District Courts other than Chief Courts districts.	of	3,038	144		1	
Chief Courts of districts	***	93	100			
Total	•••	1,14,912	13,207	4	38	

STATEMENT No.. 28.—Showing the number and value of Suits for the

			mber of suit		
Class of Tribunal.	Value not exceeding Rs. 10.	Value Rs. 10 to Rs. 50.	Value Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.	Value Rs. 100 to Rs. 500.	
I	_	2	3	4	5
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR					
Unpaid Tribunals		3	21	17	14
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals		3,069	9,859	5,529	14,870
Small Cause Courts		2,525	27,194	25,757	36,526
District Courts other than Chief Courts districts.	of	115	304	208	563
Chief Courts of districts		•••	•••	· 	14
Total		5,712	37,378	31,511	51,987

instituted in the Civil Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar year 1927.—(Paragraphs 8 and 9.)

Rent]	Law.	Title and other suits.							
All other suits under the Rent Law.	Total.	Suits for immovable property.	Suits for specific relief.	specific suits. u		Total.	Grand Total.		
6	7	8	9	10	1 1	12	13		
		. 2	Al	,	1	3	55		
11	13,115	5,695	1,316	3,541	321	10,873	42,391		
***			***	***			93,326		
5	1 1 150	871	355	1,805	77	3,108	6,296		
***		43	41	128	56	268	361		
. 16	13,265	6,611	1,712	5,474	455	14,252	1,42,429		

instituted in the Civil Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar year 1927.—(Paragraph 11.)

instituted in the different courts.

Value Rs. 500 to Rs. 1,000.	Vatue Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 5,000.	Value above Rs. 5,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total number of suits instituted.	Total value of suits.
6	7 .	8	9	10	11
	•••			55	4, 98d
6,186	2,878	***		42,391	1,39,87,960
1,324	44>	1**		93,326	1,10,27,466
924	3,533	649	! 	6,296	1,36,93,41
3	5	339		361	86,14,39
ε,437	6,416	988	·	142,429	4,73,28,22

STATEMENT No. 29.—Showing the General Results of the Trial of Civil cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Central Provinces and Berar for the year 1927.

Civil Suits,-(Paragraphs 15-17.)

1		Numb	er of suits	s disposed ransfers.	of exclu-	ding	
				With	contest.		
Class of Tribunal.	Number of suits before the Courts.	Without trial.	Without contest.	Judg- ment for plain- tiff.	Judg- ment for defend- ant.	On refer- ence to Arbitra- tion,	Pending at the close of the year.
. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.							
Unpaid Tribunals	65	11	30	10	3	4	11
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	57,706	6,464	23,812	8.907	2,263	45	16,215
Small Cause Courts	114,335	12,124	59,728	12,515	1,802	17	28,149
District Courts other than Chief Courts of districts.	9,357	783	2,867	2,026	447	11	3,223
Chief Courts of districts	7 ⁶ 5	42	114	144	37	3	425
Total	182,228	19,424	86,551	23,602	4,552	76	48,023

STATEMENT No. 30.—Showing General Results of the Trial of Civil cases in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction in the Central Provinces and Berar for the year 1927.

MISCELLANEOUS CASES.

		Numb	Number of cases disposed of excluding transfers.						
				With c	ontest.				
Class of Tribunal.	Number of cases before the Courts.	Without trial,	Without contest.	Judg- ment for plain- tiff.	Judg- ment for defend- ant.	On refer- ence to Arbitra- tion.	Pending at the close of the year.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.									
Unpaid Tribunals	3	1	111		1	•••	. 1		
Paid Sub-Divisional Tribunals	10,268	3,940	1,763	1,453	1,161		1,951		
Small Cause Courts	5,635	2,47 2	945	748	451		1 ,01 9		
District Courts other than Chief Courts of districts.	3,841	1,468	684	524	294	•	871		
Chief Courts of districts	1:796	846	228	154	182	•••	386		
Chief Court of the Province	196	8 6	2	23	15		70		
Total	21,739	8,81 3	3,622	2,902	2,104		4,298		

Note.—(a) No cases of contempt of Court under Chapter XXXV of the Code of Criminal Procedure were disposed of by Civil Courts during the year.

(b) Insolvency petitions are not taken into account for purposes of this statement.

STATEMENT No. 31.—Showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar for the year 1927.

APPEALS FROM DECREES .- (Paragraphs 20 and 21.)

		Appeals from Decrees.								
		Appeals o		disposed of (excluding transfers).						
Class of Tribunal.	Number of Appeals before the Courts.	Dis- missed or not prose- cuted.	Con- fitmed.	Modi- fied,	P.e- versed.	Re- mand- ed.	Pending at the close of the year.			
[2	3	4	5	. 6	7	8			
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.										
Appeals from Original Decrees.										
Chief Appellate Courts districts.	of 5,1 74	230	2,068	580	6 08	261	1,427			
COURTS AT THE PRES DENCY OR SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.	-	,								
Appeals from original de crees.		21	125	34	32	15	299			
of Prov-{ ince. Appeals from appellate de crees.		61	832	49	149	94	1,102			
Total	2,813	82	957	83	181	109	1,401			
GRAND TOTAL	. 7,987	312	3,025	663	789	370	2,828			

NOTE.—There were no references under section 27 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.

STATEMENT No. 32.—Showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the Central Provinces and Berar for the year 1927.

. MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS.

		Appeals	disposed	of (exclud	ding trans	fers).		
Class of Tribunal.	Number of Appeals before the Courts.	Dis- missed or not prose- cuted.	Con- firmed.	Modi- fied.	Re- versed.	Re- mand- ed.	Pending at the close of the year.	
. 1	2	3	4	5	б	7	8	
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.								
Appeals from Original Decrees,								
Chief Appellate Courts districts.	635	54	275	9	88	47	162	
COURTS AT THE PRESIDENCY OR SEA OF GOVERNMENT.	T							
Appeals from original de Chief Court crees.	(a) 111	10	51	(6) 3	11	8	28	
of Prov-{ ince. Appeals from appellate de crees.	58	1	27		5	3	22	
Total ,	(a) 159	11	78	(6) 3	16	t1	50	
GRAND TOTAL	. (a) 804	65	353	(b) 12	104	58	212	

⁽a) Includes one appeal under section 30 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.
(b) Includes one appeal under section 30 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

[Giving statistics of Civil Cases only, and showing totals for each district.]

STATEMENT
Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in
PART I.—APPEALS

					I ARI 1.	-APPEALS
					For disposal.	
				Remaining at the close of the previous year.	Instituted, readmitted and received by transfer from other Provinces.	Total for disposal.
		1		2	3	4
Judicial Court.	Commissione	r's Appeals from origi decrees. Appeals from appell decrees.		289 (a) 1,136	² 37	52 6 2,287
		Total { 1927	111	(a) 1,425 1,337	I,388 I,284	2,813 2,621
Nagpur Wardha Bhandara Jubbulpore Saugor Hoshangabad Nimar	***		101 101 101 101 101 101	149 140 81 82 68 130	321 321 217 271 274 268 162	470 461 298 353 342 398 211
Chhindwara Raipur	***	(Districts	***	955	187 588 2,600	255 776 3,564
Total Central Total Central		All Courts (Districts		(a) 2,380 806	3,997 2,589	3,395
East Berar West Berar		All Courts		2,143 259 283	3,873 451 617	6,016 710 900
	Total Berar	C Districts		542 542	1,068	1,610
	Total Berar	for 1926 { Districts All Courts	 	49 7 4 97	1,190	1,68 7 1,6 8 7
	AL CENTRAL AR FOR 1927.		•••	1:497 (a) 2,922	3,67 7 5,065	5,174 7,987
GRAND TOTA AND BER	L CENTRAL AR FOR 1926.	PROVINCES Districts All Courts		1,303 2,640	3,779 5,063	5,082 7,703

⁽a, One second appeal of 1926 was cancelled during the year 1927 and hence the number of

No. V.—(Civil.).
the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927.
FROM DECREES.

		Disposed	d of			
			1	Heard	ex parte.	
Fransferred to other Provinces,	Decisions confirmed under Rule 11 (1), Order XLI, Act V of 1908.	Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Confirmed.	Modified,	Re With- out remand.	And remanded under Rule 23 Order XLI, Act V of 1908.
5	6	7	8	. 9	10	11
4.1	17 338	2 i 6 1	1 13	2	2	2
	355 350	8 ₂ 5 5	14	2		2 4
	5 3 5 7 8 15	18 19 11 19 9 16 5 15	16 4 1 1 3	 9 2 3 	5 2 1 1	4 2 2 6
***	44 399	162 244	33 47	15	I2 14	14 16
	61 411	165 220	35 37	22 23	17 17	6 10
114	14	36 32	10 6	3	2 2	I 1
 	15	, 68 68	16 16	3 3	4	2 2
	. 4	46 45	12	3 3	3 3	2 2
	59 414	230 312	49 6 3	18° 20	16 18	16 18
 	65 4 ¹ 5	211 266	47 49	25 26	20 20	8

STATEMENT

Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in
PART 1.—APPEALS

**************************************						-	
				Coi	ntested.		
					Reve	r:ed.	!
District	s.		Con- firmed.	√odi- fied.	With- out re- mand.	And remanded under Rule 23, Order XLI. Act V of 1908.	Total.
Ī			12	13	14	15	16
Judicial Commissioner's	decrees.	iginal ellate		34 47	3 ²	15 92	227 1,185
Total	{ 19 ² 7 19 ² 6	**	588 511	81 56	179 129	107 87	1,112
Nagpur Wardha Bhandara Jubbulpore Saugor Hoshangabad Nimar	 	86. 28. 28. 44. 44.		36 57 37 45 41 46	36 47 29 49 24 57 23	9 23 13 18 13 34 6	297 323 218 270 265 276 132
Chhindwara Raipur	***	.,.	97 305	77	37 128	17	219 585
Total Central Provinces for 1927	{ Districts { All Courts	•••	1,336 1,924	398 479	430 609	141 248	2,585
Total Central Provinces for 1926	··· { Districts { All Courts	•••	1,274	301 357	411 540	148 235	2,440 3,635
East Berar West Berar	***	••	259 365	78 -8 6	78 84	36 68	504 658
Total Berar for 1927	{ Districts { All Courts	•••	624 6 24	164 164	162 162	104	1,162
Total Berar for 1926	Joint Courts	•••	71 7 717	142 142	151	. 65 65	1,145
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL PROVIDE AND BERAR FOR 1927.	NCES { Districts All Courts	**	1,960 2,548	5 6 2 643	592 771	245 352	3,747 5,159
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL PROVIDE AND BERAR FOR 1926.	NCES { Districts All Courts	•••	1,991 2,502	4 43 499	562 6 91	213 30 0	3,585 4,780

'No. V.—(CIVIL.).—(Contd.)
the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927.

FROM	DECREES.
------	----------

1'6	Pending.		n which objections rder XLI, Act V , were		
Total.	Over 3 months.	Preferred.	Allowed because successfully maintained.	Average duration of each appeal tried.	
17	18	19	20	21	
299	223	IO	7	440.3	
1,10	786	10	3	363.0	
1,40			10 7	375'4 401'0	
77: 138: 88: 77: 12: 7: 3:31:9	28 12 21 22 57 9 22 26	12 11 3 32 16	1 3 3 3 3 11 4 3 3 3 7	159'2 168'4 152'8 121'5 93'2 162'2 107'0 100'8	
97 2, 3 8	9 344		38 48	137.2	
95 2,38		114	25 32		
20			***	189*1	
44		10		179'9	
54 54				154.0	
1,42			38	150	
1,49			28	133"	

Statement showing the Business of the Civil Appellate Courts in the

PART 2.-MISCELLANEOUS

		Total		Deci- sions	Dis-			Heard
٠ ١	· Districts.		Transferred to Courts in other Provinces.	confirmed under Rule 11 (i), Order XLI, Act V of 1908.	missed for default or other- wise not prose- cuted.	Re- jected.		De- creed or grant- ed,
	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Judicial Com- missioner's		111	vii	28	10	1	•	••,
Court.	Appeals from appellate decrees.	58	•••	16	1	•••		
T	otal { 1927	169 163	***	44 27	11 9	1 3		
Nagpur Wardha Bhandara Jubbulpore Saugor Hoshangabad Nimar Chhindwara Raipur	 	54 50 25 36 31 44 33 27 55	115 116 211 119 119 119 119 110 111	1 1 4 3 3 6 4	7 5 2 6 2 7 	1 12 1 1 3 4	 	3
Total Central Pr for 1927.	ovinces { Districts All Courts	355 524	***	23 67	31 45	22 23	I	5 5
Total Central Pr for 1926.	ovinces { Districts (All Courts	3 2 5 4 8 8	/*I	21 48	3 4 43	14	1 I	5 5
East Berar West Berar	***	139 141	# 7 B		5 15	18	i	1
	1927 { Districts	280 280	101	4 4	20 20	18	I	1
Total Berar for	1926 { Districts All Courts	210 210		8	1t	6 6	ı I	3 3
GRAND TOTAL OPEN OF PROVINCE: BERAR FOR 19		635 804		37	54 65	40	2	6
GRAND TOTAL (CENTRAL (Districts	535		$-\frac{7^{1}}{29}$	45	20	2	8
PROVINCE BERAR FOR 19:		698	0 10	56	54	23	2	S

No. V-(CIVIL).-(Concld.)

Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927.

Appeals - (Judicial).

x parte.		Conte	est ed.		Pend	ing.	-		
Remand- ed.	Reject- ed.	Modified.	odified. Decreed or granted.	Remanded.	Total.	Over 3 months.	Average duration of appeals,	Objections under Rule 22, Order XLI, Act V of 1908.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
•••	22	3	11	8	28	15	326'4	***	
ı	11		5	2	22	 	241.7		
i 1	33 36	3 1	16	10	50 67.	26 37	231'0 263'6	***	
1 	3 8 8 3 13 14 12 12 23		5 3	4	23 17 7 10 5 7 5 7	8 3 1 2	82'6 95'7 84' 69'4 110'9 101'8 74'5	***	
3		1	,		84	16 42	98·1	4	
4 5					68 135	17 54	91'8 141'4		
111	41 63		I4	-	46 33	14 6	101.3		
	104				78 78	20 20	116.2		
1	83 83	3			53 53	28			
2	208	7	82	45	162	36	106.0		
3	241	10	98	55	212	62	131.1		
5	172	7	бо	66	121	45	102.7	1	
б	208	8	74	71	788	82	132'9	***	

Statement showing the Result of Proceedings on Applications for Execution of during the

	•			•	Applicatio n			
				By transfer.				
Districts.	Total number of applications for the execution of decrees before the Courts.	To Civil Courts.	To Collector under Section 68 of Act V of 1908.	To a Revenue Officer under Section 85 of the Tenancy Act.				
1		2	3	4	5			
Nagpur	••	16,329	27	59	164			
Vardha	••	15,832	7	9	148			
handara	•••	15,232	14	ı	135			
ubbulpore	***	12,564	•••	39	244			
augor	•••	15,419	6 6	85	447			
Hoshangabad		13.323	69	111	354			
limar	•••	13,516	46	•••	34			
hhindw a ra	••	7 ,9 67	1	11	426			
Raipur	•••	11,453	23		<u>56</u>			
otal Central Provinces {	7	122,635	253	315	2,138			
otal Central Provinces	:6 . 	111,755	459	246	1,618			
ast Berar	•••	22,493	11	1,854	i			
Vest Berar		28,559		r,438				
Total Berar	7	51,052	11	3 ,29 2	t			
10(21 1)6121 192	6	39,923	4					
RAND TOTAL CENTRAL PROV- 5 192	7	173,687	264	3,607	2,139			
INCES AND BERAR. 192	6	151,678	463	245	1,618			

Decrees of Civil Courts in the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar year 1927.

isposed of			√ 44 th	Pending.	ending,				
Satisfaction obtained in full.	Satisfaction obtained in part.	Wholly, infructuous,	Total.	Over 3 months.	Amount realized,				
6	7	8	9	10	I i				
					Rs.				
:,750	2,554	7,127	4,548	1,467	5,49,627				
2,067	2,811	6,851	4,939	1,090	5,64,132				
1,499	2,124	7,195	4,764	1,164	3,16,402				
1,802	2:238	5,462	2, ? 79	666	3,95,444				
1,814	2,358	7,158	3,491	979	3,58,884				
1,327	1,710	6,689	3.033	972	4,27,353				
937	1,397	6,975	4,107	748	3.79,104				
1,003	1,244	3,452	1,820	417	3 07,223				
1,810	1,545	5,003	3,015	578	5,01,872				
14,029	17,983	55,923	31,996	8,071	38,00,041				
13,627	17,375	51,975	26,,455	7:691	43,20,317				
3,143	2,643	8,111	6,730	1,856	4,89,935				
3,999	3,055	11,016	9.051	2,273	10,03,005				
7,142	5,698	19,127	15,781	4,128	14,92,940				
6,365	4,796	16,684	12,074	4,005	14,29,104				
21,171	21,630	75,049	47.777	12,199	52,92,981				
19 992	22,171	69,659	38.5ag	11,656	57:49,421				

Statement showing the Result of Proceedings on Applications for Execution of during the

					Number of	
				On which prop	moveable erty	
Districts.		On which Judgment-debtor was imprisoned.	On which he was arrested, but released without imprison- ment.	Was sold.	Was attached, but subse- quently released.	
1		12	13	14	15	
Nagper	***	9	, 120	130	579	
Wardha	••	9	184	37 2	1,043	
Bhandara	***	1	97	146	9\$8	
Jubbulpore	•••		160	65	812	
Saugor	34.	9	209	203	904	
Hoshangahad	•••	2	87	115	846	
Nimar	••.	7	2:9	79	51 7	
Chhindwara		. 10	140	140	565	
Raipur	***	10	151	231	1,020	
Total Central Provinces	(1927	57	1,567	1,471	7,283	
Total Central Trovinces	(1926	¢8	1,270	1,359	6,720	
East Berar	••	21	189	230	254	
West Berar	•••	7	75	231	223	
Total Berar	1927	38	264	451	477	
Total Berat	1926	. 30	210	280	367	
Carra Trans Carra Danie	(1927	85	1,631	1,922	7 ,760	
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL PROV- INCES AND BERAR.	(1926	88	1,480	1,539	7,087	

Decrees of Civil Courts in the Districts of the Central Provinces and Berar year 1927.

On which	On which immoveable property			possession given.				
Was s old.			Of mov- eables.			On which partition was effected,	On which execution was effected otherwise than as in the preceding columns.	Re- marks.
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
282	•••	673	7	157	2	···	3,631	
368	1	593	1	166	***		3,316	
136		243	5	124	1		3:272	
129		426	11	128	***	. ••	2,306	
344	ter.	452	3	257	4		3,274	
191		167	1	163			2 ,59 7	
101		79	101	103	***	**	2,927	
162		104	5	141	,	•••	1,800	
139		353	2	481	2		2,297	
1,855	1	3,090	35	1,723	9		25,480	
1,799	1	2,848	23	1,818		1	22,589	
766		1,012	4	664	4	I	5,755	ſ
100		536	63	885	14	<u></u>	4,832	
1,757	•••	1,578	67	1,549	18	ī	10,597	
1,826		1,107	2	1,555	7		5.75S	
3,612	i	4,€68	102	3,272	27	•••	36,077	i I
3,625		3 9 5 5	25	3,373	14	1	25,347	

Proceedings in Insolvency under Act V of 1920 in the Districts

PART 1.-Showing the Number and Result of Petitions made

				Insolvency						
			1	Number dealt	with.					
Districts.		Pending	Presented	ear.						
		at close of previous year.	Ву		Receiv-					
		yean	Under arrest or imprisonment.		By credi- tors.	transfer.				
(_	2	3	4	5	6				
Nagpur		85	44	220	23	•••				
Wardha		88	29	233	18					
Bhandara	•••	56	28	193	1	•				
Jabbulpore		38	45	.96	1	•••				
Saugor		32	49	42	1					
Hoshangabad	•	20	17	76	10	***				
Nimar		75	54	159	19	•••				
Chhindwara		19	41	67	10					
Raifur		41	55	105	4					
fotal Central Provinces { 1927		454	362	1,191	87					
10031 Central 110 vinces (1926	•••	430	358	507	75	٠.,				
East Berar	,. .	324	60	593	264	95				
West Berar		418	55	747	264					
(1927		743	115	1,340	528	95				
Total Berar	•••	446		1,169	306	,				
GOAND TOTAL CENTRAL (1927		1,196	477	2,531	615	95				
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL 1927 PROVINCES AND BERAR. 1926		876	i	_	381					

of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927.

under Section 7 and the number of Insolvents before the Court.

tions.													
!		Number disposed of.											
Total.	Withdraw Transferreo, under		By composition or scheme of arrangement	By orders of under S	adjudication ection 27.	Dismissed under							
		Section 14.	under Section 38.	Receivers being ap- pointed.	Receivers not being ap- pointed.	Section 2							
7 8		9	10	11	12	13							
37°2		8		19	140								
368					168	و							
278		1		···	162	,							
180		2	190	1	63								
124		1	he*	1	39	,							
123		,		***	45								
307		11		•••	125								
137	,	7	***	***	46								
205					71								
3,091	•	30	***	21	859	5							
1.770		33		45	795	4							
1,336	95	6		66	434	3							
1:484		18		51	576	4							
2,820	95	24		117	1,010	7							
2,015	•••	11	411	42	681	5							
4,914	95	54	111	138	1,869	1,3							
3,785		144		87	1,476	9							

Proceedings in Insolvency under Act V of 1920 in the Districts PART 1.—Showing the Number and Result of Petitions made

			Petitions.		Debtors adjudged			
		Disposed of.			Numbe	r befor e		
Districts.		Total.	Debtors sentenced under Section 69.	Undis- charged insolvents sent for trial under Section 72.	Remain- ing undis- charged at close of previous year.	Undis- charged received by transfer.		
1		14	15	16	17	18		
Nagpur		224	ı	1**	1,256			
Wardha	,,	265	***	•••	1,114	,,,		
Bhandara	•••	194	•••	•••	741			
Jubbulpore		134	***	••	\$90			
Saugor .	***	91	***	•••	218			
Hoshangabad	••	73	841	•••	276			
Nimar	•••	204	•••	***	846	•		
Chhindwara	***	115		***	255	•		
Raipur		153	**>	41.	525	•		
Total Central Provinces	•••	1,453	1	,,,,	5,821			
Total Central Flovinces 1926	***	1,316		,	5,645	100		
East Berar West Berar		928 1,077	***		2,868 2,455	44		
Total Berar { 1927		2,005			5,323	44		
10tal Berar { 1926		1,273			5,154			
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL 1927	••	3,458	I		11,144	44		
Provinces and Berar.	,.	2,589	•••		10,799	;••		

ders of adjud	ication were annulle	ď
Do,	do.	
Do.	do.	
Do.	d o.	
Do.	do.	
Do.	do.	
Do.	do.	
Do,	do.	
D2.	do.	
Do.	do.	
	Do.	Do.

No. VII .- (Civil) .- (Contd.)

of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927, under Section 7 and the number of Insolvents before the Court.

to be insolvent.

the Court.			Disp	osed of			Remaining undis-	
				Disch	narged.		charged at close of year including insolvents who	
Adjudged to be in- solvent during year.	Total,	Transferred.	Tied.	Absolutely under Section 41	Condi- tionally under Section 41 (2) (c).	Total,	have been refused discharge or whose discharge remains suspended under Section 41 (2) (b).	
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
183	1,439		***	23	7	30	(a) 1,396	
175	1,289		100	20	33	53	(b) 1,131	
200	941	,	***	55	1	56	(c) 857	
64	654		•••	13		13	(d) 6co	
40	258		••	1	2	3	(e) 22 8	
50	326			15	7	22	(f) 292	
159	1,005	н,	1	30	12	43	(g) 926	
46	301		***	4	5	9	(h) 250	
71				13	2	15	(i) 534	
988	6,809		ı	174	69	244	6,214	
884	6.529			265	57	303	5,821	
500 627	3,412 5 08 3	44		48 259	5 39	98 2 98	(j) 3,046 2.784	
1,127	6,494	44		307	44	396	5,830	
723	5.877	•••		351	46	397	5,323	
2,115	13-303	44	2	481	113	б40	12,044	
1,607	12,406	! ,	. 1	616	83	700	[11,144	

in respect of 13 insolvents.

105 25 do. do.

41 do.

27 ċ٥. 12 do.

(6 ço.

do. 42

47 268 ço. do.

STATEMENT Proceedings in Insolvency under Act V of 1920 in the Districts PART 2.—Showing the Number of Estates of Insolvents in charge of Courts

		in	charge o	solvents' es of Courts or of Receivers	in	Amount of Creditors.		
Districts.		Taken charge of by Court or a Receiver during the year.	finally	Pending at the close of the year.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the previous year.	Admitted.		
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	
Nagpur Wardha Bhandara Jubbulpore Saugor Hoshangabad Nimar Chhindwara Raipur	50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50.	3 (q) 63 18 29	14 35 16 9 6 24 11	6 23 20 7 9 6 13 9	113 30 13 5 16 3 74 20	2,68,828 51,041 24,901 1,745 21,480 4,77 29,155 45,707 33,064	2,52,281 98,107 36,913 7,145 15,218 6,710 74,132 13,987 62,137	
Total Central Provinces		272 (q) 253	134 164	127	²⁷⁹	4,80,698 4,24,800	5,66,630 3,21,192	
East Berar West Berar	•••	145 147	148 67	38 18	255 196	5,23,333 4,08,579	4,26,084 1,11,372	
Total Berar $ \begin{cases} 1927 \\ 1926 \end{cases}$		292	215	56 43	451	9,31,912 8,69,193	5,37,456 1,06,102	
GRAND TOTAL CENTRAL 1927 PROVINCES AND BERAR. 1926	•••	5 ⁶ 4 (q) 47 ⁸	349 273	183	730 565	14,12,610	11,04,036	

⁽a) Excludes (b) Rs. 52 on (c) Rs. 27,169

⁽d) Includes

⁽e) Rs. 21,305 (f) Rs. 314-12-0 (g) Rs. 3,149

⁽h) Rs. 705

⁽i) Rs. (i) Rs. (k) Rs. 2,068

^{15,950} (1) Rs. (m) Rs. 38,220

⁽n) Rs. 26,096

⁽a) Rs. 908 receivers remuneration, Rs. 3 poundage fees and Rs. 95 (b) Rs. 2-8-0 withdrawn on account of Court-fee labels, Rs. 44-8-0 (d) One estate has been omitted as it was disposed of last year

No. VII.-(CIVIL).-(Concld.)

of the Central Provinces and Berar during the year 1927. or in the hands of Receivers and the progress made in winding them up.

Claiins deal	t with durin	ng the year.	Amount	of insolvents' outstan		zed and	
Dis- allowed.	Satisfied.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the year.	Amount of realized assets in Court or in the hands of Receivers at the close of the previous year,	Gross amount realized during the year.	Total amount of disburse- ment.	Amount remaining in Court or in the hands of Receivers at the close of the year.	Remarks,
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
217 56 273	103,725 5.502 6,746 942 2,800 994 4.350 2,321 4.032 131,412 39,485 8,098 33,443 9,559 164,855 49,044	(a) 416,391 (c) 116,477 (e) 33.763 (g) 4.799 33,898 (i) 1,705 (j) 96,869 (k) 41,367 (m) 52,949 798,218 480,698 (n) 897,976 511,853 1,409,829 931,912 2,208,047 1,412,610	2,323 1,598 2,215 278 573 143 5,972 565 1,314 14,991 11,962 11,100 38,280 49,380 24,912 64,371 36,874	1,02,947 14,424 8,809 1,062 2,404 912 2,871 2,038 8,847 1,44,314 45,401 51,407 30,794 88,201 55,834 2,32,515 1,01,235	(b)1,03,757 (d)5,874 (f)7,124 (h)635 2,800 994 4,350 (f)2,353 4,032 1,31,919 39,115 (a)26,230 (f)8,204 34,434 31,366 1,66,353 70,481	1,491 10,148 3,900 177 61 4,493 240 5,129 26,639 14,991 36,277 66,870 1,03,147 49,380	

its, ogg in cases in which final dividends have been paid.

account of poundage fees, written off,

Rs. 372 as refunded to insolvents.

written off,

portidage fees and Rs. 32-4-0 on account of costs.

written off.

proced in Civil Court deposit for payment to creditors.

written off.

Witten off.

with the off.

seccurt of cost of litigation.

viritten eff.

aristen off.

ter ered to Government on account of 1th of #1's proceeds, cost of litigation, Rs. 19 expenses incurred by receiver and Rs. 40 paid to receiver as remuneration. but it was through mistake shown as pending.