

GOVERNMENT OF THE
CENTRAL PROVINCES AND BERAR



REPORT

ON THE

MANAGEMENT BY GOVERNMENT
OF PRIVATE ESTATES

IN THE

CENTRAL PROVINCES

FOR THE YEAR ENDING THE

30TH SEPTEMBER 1940

NAGPUR
GOVERNMENT PRINTING, C. P. & BERAR
1941

**gents for the Sale of Publications issued by the Government of the
Central Provinces and Berar**

[NOTE.—Appointments of all official Agents in the United Kingdom and on the Continent for the sale of Government Publications have been terminated with effect from the 1st April 1925. All publications in future are obtainable either direct from the High Commissioner for India, India House, Aldwych, London, W. C. 2, or through any bookseller.]

INDIA

AKOLA

Mr. M. G. Bakshi, Law Books Agent.
The Proprietor, Shri Ramdas Stores,
Tajnapeth.

ALIGARH

The Manager, Rama Book Depôt,
Sarai Hakim.

ALLAHABAD

Mr. M. K. Rahman, Manager, Kitabistan,
Booksellers.

Mr. Ram Narain Lal, Publisher, etc.,
1, Bank Road.

The Superintendent, Nazair Kanun Hind
Press.

AMRAOTI

Thakur & Co., Ltd., Booksellers.

BARODA

Mr. M. C. Kothari, Bookseller & Publisher,
Raopura Road.

BHANDARA

S. S. Dharashivkar, Esq., Opposite Muni-
cipal Water Reservoir.

BILASPUR

Mr. M. A. Subhan, Bookseller & Publisher.

BOMBAY

D. B. Taraporevala, Sons & Co., Treasure
House of Books, Taj Building, 210,
Hornby Road, Fort.

Radhabai Atmaram Sagoon.

Sunder Pandurang, 25, Kalbadevi Road.
Thacker & Co., Ltd.

The Proprietors, New Book Co.

BULDANA

V. A. Gitte.

CALCUTTA

Butterworth & Co. (India), Ltd., Avenue
House, Post Box No. 251.

Newman & Co.

R. Cambay & Co.

S. K. Lahiri & Co.

Thacker, Spink & Co.

The Book Company, 4/4-A, College Street.
The Indian School Supply Depôt.

CHHINDWARA

Mannilal Ganeshprasad Gupta, Book-
seller.

The Proprietor, Verma Book Depôt.

COOCH BEHAR

Babu S. C. Taluqdar, Proprietor, Students
& Co.

DELHI

The Oxford Book & Stationery Co.

HYDERABAD, DECCAN

Hyderabad Book Depôt.

JODHPUR

Messrs. B. S. Mathur & Co., Chaturvilas,
Paota, Civil Lines, Jodhpur (Rajputana).

JUBBULPORE

Radhamohan, Esq., Proprietor, Shyam
Stationery Mart, Andherdeo

The Manager, Bhargava Book Co., Pub-
lishers, Booksellers & Stationers.

The Manager, Educational Book Depôt.

The Proprietor, The Nerbudda Book Depôt.

KARACHI

The Standard Bookstall.

KHAMGAON

Sakalkale Typewriting Institute.

LAHORE

Messrs. Careers & Co., Moheni Road.

Rai Sahib M. Gulab Singh & Sons.

The Punjab Sanskrit Book Depôt.

LUCKNOW

The Proprietor, Newal Kishore Press.

The Upper India Publishing House, Ltd.,
41, Aminabad Park.

MADRAS

Higginbotham & Co.

S. Murthy & Co., Post Box No. 152.

The Proprietor, The City Book Co., Post
Box No. 283.

NAGPUR

Aidan Binzani, Proprietors, Karmavir
Book Depôt, Mahal.

G. G. Khot & Sons, Sitabldi.

Messrs. Grover and Sons, Kamptee Road.

Messrs. Shastry & Sons, Walker Road.

Mr. D. M. Dhurnal, Proprietor, Provincial
Book Binding Works.

The Manager, Denett & Co. Sitabuldi.

The Manager, Educational Book Depôt.

The Manager, Nagpur Law Journal,
Central Law House, Tulsi Bag Road,
Mahal.

PATNA

Laxmi Trading Co., Padri-ki-Haveli, Patna
City.

The Patna Law Press Co.

PESHAWAR CANTONMENT

Faqirchand Marwah, Bookseller.

POONA

The Manager, International Book Service,
Deccan Gymkhana Colony.

RAIPUR

The Proprietor, Chaube Printing Works.

RANGOON

The Superintendent, American Baptist
Mission Press.

SEONI

Sohaney Brothers.

VELLORE

Mr. A. Venkatasubban, Law Bookseller.

YEOTMAL

Mr. V. N. Saraswat.

No. 2676-1468-XII

GOVERNMENT OF THE
CENTRAL PROVINCES AND BERAR
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Nagpur, the 25th August 1941.

READ—Reports of the Commissioners of Divisions on the management of the estates under the Court of Wards in the Central Provinces for the year ending the 30th September 1940.

RESOLUTION

The number of estates under the Court of Wards management in the province decreased from 47 to 42, owing to relinquishment of 5 estates. Of the 42 retained, 35 were held permanently and 7 provisionally. The Bhargava estate and the estates of Diwan Bahadur Jiwandass and his grandsons in the Jabulpore district, which were under provisional management, were relinquished as the volume of their indebtedness precluded any hope of restoring them to solvency: for the same reason the Landgaon estate, assumed in 1934, was also released. The Gorani estate in the Chhindwara district, which had been taken over in 1933 with liabilities amounting to Rs. 8,000, was free of debt when relinquished this year.

2. The gross ordinary income of all estates combined totalled Rs. 19.39 lakhs. Rental collections, always the outstanding item, amounted for Rs. 10.36 lakhs, representing 77 per cent of the net demand, an improvement of 7 per cent on last year due to better economic conditions. Rent suits were filed to the number of 2,226 but in less than 4 per cent did they result in ejectment, clear proof that non-payment cannot be attributed to economic causes.

3. The balance of debts outstanding when the year opened was Rs. 36.65 lakhs. Further borrowings added Rs. 3.38 lakhs to this figure; but with total repayments of Rs. 4.25 lakhs, the year ended with a slightly reduced debt of Rs. 35.78 lakhs. The bulk of this amount should be within the capacity of the estates eventually to repay, though there are still a few bad legacies from the past, such as the Sarekha estate, where excessive optimism inspired the estimates of assets at the time of assumption of management.

4. All Commissioners of Divisions record the maintenance of generally cordial relations between the Court of Wards and the tenants and tenants. Some estates in the Raipur and Drug districts did not escape the prevailing unrest over grazing and nistar rights, but this was easily allayed by free and open investigation of its causes everywhere, except in one estate in the Raipur district where the Court of Wards had to resort to the civil court to secure payment of its rightful dues.

5. The outlay on estate improvements amounted to Rs. 80,323. Taccavi advances amounted to 13,554 maunds of seed grain and Rs. 4,354 cash. Arrears of taccavi to the extent of 2,679 maunds and Rs. 1,301 cash were written off. The Court of Wards also continued its customary benefactions to schools and dispensaries. On a review of the whole year's work, Government considers that the standard of prudent and sympathetic administration was well maintained.

ORDER.—Ordered that a copy of this resolution and its annexures be forwarded to all Commissioners, and that the resolution be published in Part I of the *Central Provinces and Berar Gazette*.

By order of the Governor, C. P. & Berar,
K. B. L. SETH,
Secy. to Govt., C. P. & Berar, Rev. Dept.

CONTENTS

	PARAGRAPHS
Part I.—Assumption and relinquishment of estates	1—13
Part II.—The income of the year with special regard to the efficiency of rent collection and forest income—	
Income of the estates	14—17
Efficiency of rent collection	18—24
Income from forests	25—27
Miscellaneous income	28
Home-farm cultivation	29—31
Cattle-breeding farms	32—33
Part III.—The expenditure of the year with special regard to the cost of management, personal expenses and any extraordinary items—	
Expenditure of estates	34—39
Personal expenses	40—42
Miscellaneous expenditure	43—44
Cost of management	45—47
Part IV.—Performance by the Court of Wards of its duties as a landlord.	48—59
Part V.—Performance by the Court of Wards of its duties to its wards—	
Estate improvements	60—62
Repayment of debts	63—71
Investments	72—73
Education and health of the wards ..	74—86
Gardens	87
Audit notes	88
Advisory committees	89—91

STATEMENTS

	PAGES
I.—Showing general position of each estate under Government management in the Central Provinces during the year ending the 30th September 1940.	ii—vii
II.—Showing receipts in each estate under Government management in the Central Provinces during the year ending the 30th September 1940.	viii—xiii
III.—Showing expenditure in each estate under Government management in the Central Provinces during the year ending the 30th September 1940.	xiv—xvii
IV.—Showing efficiency of rent collections in the estates under Government management in the Central Provinces during the year ending the 30th September 1940.	xviii—xxiii
V.—Showing progress made in liquidating debt in each estate under Government management in the Central Provinces during the year ending the 30th September 1940.	xxiv—xxvii

**REPORT ON THE MANAGEMENT BY GOVERNMENT OF
PRIVATE ESTATES IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
FOR THE YEAR ENDING THE 30TH
SEPTEMBER 1940**

This report is consolidated from the following reports of Commissioners of divisions :—

- (1) Report of Mr. G. C. F. Ramsden, I.C.S., Commissioner of the Jubbulpore division, received on the 15th April 1941.
- (2) Report of Mr. P. S. Rau, I.C.S., Commissioner of the Nagpur division, received on the 17th February 1941.
- (3) Report of Mr. P. G. Braye, I.C.S., Commissioner of the Chhattisgarh division, received on the 8th March 1941.

**PART I.—ASSUMPTION AND RELINQUISHMENT
OF ESTATES**

At the commencement of the year there were 15 estates **Jubbulpore division** under management, *viz.*, 10 in Jubbulpore, one in Saugor, three in Hoshangabad and one in Nimar. Of these, three estates in the Jubbulpore district were under provisional management from the previous year, *viz.*, (1) the Bhargava estate belonging to Messrs. S. S. Bhargava (deceased) and D. P. Bhargava (sons of the late D. B. Beharilal Khazanchi), (2) the estate of D. B. Seth Jiwandass, Manmohandass and Jagmohandass and (3) the estate of Manmohandass Jagmohandass. Government granted time to the wards of the Bhargava estate to make a private settlement of the debts of some of the creditors so that the liabilities could be reduced to manageable proportions. The wards' efforts to bring about a settlement with the Harrai Jagirdars failed and on their request, the estate was relinquished, *vide* Revenue Department notification No. 2972-3116-XII, dated the 13th September 1940. The other two estates which were under provisional management for more than a year were also found to be beyond hope of extrication. The attempt to secure a loan from the Rajkumar College Society in order to pay off all the liabilities failed. The other alternative of liquidating the debts by sale of a major portion of the immovable property was not tried as the wards were unwilling to sell any property at the comparatively low prices obtainable owing to the economic depression. They themselves then applied for the withdrawal of the court of wards management and their estates were relinquished, *vide* Revenue Department notifications Nos. 3261-3460-XII and 3263-3461-XII, both dated the 12th October 1940. Excluding these three estates which were under provisional management and relinquished, this report deals with only the following 12 estates which are under management :—

Jubbulpore district—

- (1) Salaiya, (2) Ponda, (3) Khitola, (4) Imlai, (5) Gumashta,
(6) Bhaironghat and (7) Sarond.

Saugor district—

(1) Bangaon (Hatta tahsil).

Hoshangabad district—

(1) Chichli, (2) Bilehra and (3) Dhanwada.

Nimar district—

(1) Mandhata.

2. Continuance of the management of the Imlai estate by the court of wards was sanctioned by Government in Revenue Department memorandum No. 1518-1727-XII, dated the 17th May 1940. Final report under section 16 of the Act has not yet been received as the Deputy Commissioner, Jubbulpore, has not yet completed the enquiries into the claims against the estate. Further retention of the Bhaironghat estate under court of wards management until the liquidation of debts was sanctioned by Government under proviso (i) to section 34 (1) of the Court of Wards Act. Attempts are being made to raise a consolidated loan at 6½ per cent interest.

3. The heavy liabilities of the Dhanwada estate could not be reduced because no immovable property could be sold for want of purchasers, although Government sanctioned its sale as far back as October 1939. At the end of the year, the Radhaswami Bank, Agra (the principal creditor), sent its representative to look into the affairs of the estate with a view to find out ways and means for the liquidation of the liabilities of the estate. The position was re-examined and it was decided that the sale of immovable property in the Harsud tahsil should be pushed through and the proceeds applied towards repayment of the loan due to the Bank. The Bank has also agreed to assist the estate in finding out suitable purchasers for villages earmarked for sale.

4. The finances of the Mandhata estate which were in a very bad way in previous years were brought under control during the year when the income was at its maximum and the expenditure minimum. The good management of the estate resulted in a net saving of Rs. 13,000. In the Privy Council appeal relating to the Khut income of the estate, the printing of the paper-books is in progress. An old complicated civil suit pending against the Niranjani Akhada was compromised. The only other important civil suit now pending is an off-shoot of the old Khut income cases filed by the Rao of Maslai.

Nagpur
division

5. There were 10 estates under permanent and six under provisional management at the commencement of the year. Of the latter, Gorpani in Chhindwara and Mandgaon in Wardha were relinquished during the year. The Chidi estate in Chhindwara and the Kesia and Damjipura estates in Betul continued to be under provisional management. The permanent management of the Kaptan estate and the further continuance of the Junior Bhonsla estate were sanctioned during the year under Revenue Department memorandum No. 3068-3195-XII, dated the 24th September 1940, and No. 3778-3463-XII, dated the 21st November 1940, respectively.

6. The Gorpani estate was taken under management on 13th November 1933 in accordance with the orders contained in Revenue Department memorandum No. 1715-1429-XII, dated the 28th May 1934. At the time of its assumption it was indebted to the extent of Rs. 8,000 and according to the terms of the mortgage deed, the debts were to be liquidated by the year 1941 but owing to an increase in income, the entire debt was paid off on 30th September 1939. The estate was, therefore, relinquished on 30th November 1939, *vide* Revenue Department notification No. 157-6268-XII, dated the 13th January 1940.

7. The management of the Mandgaon estate was assumed on 12th December 1934 on account of heavy indebtedness. The debts due on assumption of charge amounted to Rs. 4,46,439. Efforts were made to secure a loan for this estate but without avail. As it was manifestly impossible to save it, it was relinquished on 1st March 1940.

8. The Sindi estate was taken under provisional management in June 1936, *vide* orders contained in Revenue Department notification No. 2177-2343-XII, dated the 10th June 1936. It continued under management throughout the year though orders for its relinquishment were passed by the Provincial Government in Revenue Department memorandum No. 4558-1692-XII, dated the 27th October 1939. Actual relinquishment has had to be postponed because—

- (a) the accounts of the estate had to be audited,
- (b) the contribution on account of Government management had to be recovered, and
- (c) land revenue had to be recovered.

The audit of the estate accounts has now commenced and contribution has been recovered. The recovery of the land revenue depended entirely on the sale of certain fields which has recently been completed and the estate will be relinquished in a couple of weeks.

9. There was no change in the number of estates under the Court of Wards. Lal Murat Singh, Zamindar of Gandai estate (Drug), died on 9th August 1939, and arrangements were made under section 7 (1) of the Court of Wards Act from 4th October to 11th December 1939, there being no case for assumption.

Chhattis-
garh
division

10. The Gosains' estate in Raipur, Kirnapur in Balaghat, and the Pande estate in Bhandara remained as before under provisional management.

11. **The Gosains' estate.**—The income was Rs. 17,281 [Rs. 14,344] and expenditure Rs. 15,384 [Rs. 15,073]. Rs. 1,364 was paid towards principal and Rs. 2,735 towards the interest of debts, leaving Rs. 53,337 (principal). Mahant Arjunpuri, one of the two wards, died on 6th December 1937 leaving two sons Deoduttapuri and Shyampuri, and the continuance of management was sanctioned by Government. It is not possible to pay off the heavy liabilities of this estate out of the decreasing current income, and the wards want the property released. Proposals have been sent to Government to sell some villages, pay off the debts, and relinquish the estate.

12. **The Kirnapur estate.**—The Manager, Hatta, looks after this estate. The total liabilities at the beginning of the year were Rs. 26,904. Debts of Rs. 1,300 were conciliated for Rs. 800, thus reducing the liabilities to Rs. 26,404. A fresh loan of Rs. 5,000 brought the liabilities up to Rs. 31,404. The estate paid Rs. 7,618 and the net liabilities at the end of the year, including a disputed claim for Rs. 18,186 before the High Court, were Rs. 23,786. The income was Rs. 25,532 [Rs. 23,035] and the expenditure Rs. 21,831 [Rs. 23,929]. The question of keeping the estate permanently under management is held in abeyance till the decision of the appeal.

13. **The Pande's estate.**—The gross ordinary income and expenditure amounted to Rs. 1,08,453 and Rs. 1,14,390. The increase in ordinary expenditure against income was chiefly due to—

- (1) payment of arrears of maintenance allowance of Rs. 7,500 for 3½ months to the wards, and
- (2) expenditure of Rs. 15,000 on the marriage of Mr. Pande's son, and the thread ceremony of his grandson.

The investigation of claims against the estate is still proceeding. Claims amounting to Rs. 1,39,861 were conciliated for Rs. 1,22,108. A fresh loan of Rs. 35,000 was raised to meet the current expenses, increasing the total liabilities to Rs. 1,57,108. Rs. 15,234 were paid towards the debts leaving a balance of Rs. 1,41,874. The loan of Rs. 35,000 included Rs. 5,000 borrowed from Dr. N. V. Modak of Nagpur and Rs. 10,000 from the moneylenders. Arrangements to raise money and pay this are under consideration. A report on the final assumption of the estate is awaited from the Deputy Commissioner.

PART II.—THE INCOME OF THE YEAR WITH SPECIAL REGARD TO THE EFFICIENCY OF RENT COLLECTION AND FOREST INCOME

**Jubbulpore
division**

14. **Income of the estates.**—The total gross ordinary income of the 12 estates under management amounted to Rs. 2,90,699 [Rs. 2,48,066] consisting of Rs. 1,72,522 [Rs. 1,52,955] from rents, Rs. 35,547 [Rs. 23,142] from forests and Rs. 82,630 [Rs. 66,969] from miscellaneous sources. The increase is shared by all estates, except the Gumashta and Bangaon estates which show a fall of Rs. 852 and Rs. 277 respectively. The decrease in the income of the former estate is due to the fact that the previous year's figure included an unusual income of Rs. 1,004 from a civil suit and in the case of the latter estate is due to inadequate rental collections.

**Nagpur
division**

15. The total gross ordinary income of the 13 estates (Sindi excluded) which remained under management throughout the year was Rs. 7,51,083 [Rs. 5,28,736] consisting of Rs. 4,30,718 [Rs. 2,58,783] from rents, Rs. 46,100 [Rs. 41,135] from forests and Rs. 2,74,265 [Rs. 2,28,818] from miscellaneous sources.

There is an increase in the income in all the estates except Pachmarhi and Kesia and is due to better collection of rents and larger receipts under malikana, forests and other miscellaneous income. In Nagpur, the orders regarding the recovery of suspended rental arrears account for the increase under rents. Better prices improved the paying capacity of tenants and hence the improved collections. The decrease in the income of the Pachmarhi estate is chiefly due to the fall under the head "Miscellaneous". It is attributed to the non-payment of heavy arrears of interest on loan due from the Fatehpur estate in the Hoshangabad district.

16. Apart from the gross ordinary income, the recoveries of outstanding advances and debts, including deposits received, brought in Rs. 1,96,625 while a sum of Rs. 85,000 was received on account of loans raised for the Junior Bhonsla, Saasthanik and Kaptan estates. The total receipts of all the estates including the cash balance of Rs. 25,249 at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 10,57,957 [Rs. 7,27,095]. The total miscellaneous income derived from different sources amounted to Rs. 2,74,265 [Rs. 2,28,818] consisting of various petty items such as home-farms, political pension of wards, sale-proceeds of movable and immovable property, etc.

17. The total gross income of all the estates under permanent management rose by Rs. 73,246 (from Rs. 8,24,163 to Rs. 8,97,409) which is made up of Rs. 4,32,864 [Rs. 4,12,590] from rents, Rs. 3,72,105 [Rs. 3,19,941] from forests and Rs. 92,440 [Rs. 91,632] from miscellaneous sources. The increase is shared by all the estates but is marked in Deori, Phuljhar, Bindra-Nawagarh, Panabaras, Wararbandh and Darekasa, and is explained under appropriate heads.

Chhattisgarh division

18. **Efficiency of rent collection.**—The total rental demand, including arrears, amounted to Rs. 2,45,072 [Rs. 2,46,485], of which Rs. 2,447 [Rs. 21,947] was remitted and Rs. 20,787 [Rs. 10,522] suspended. The net demand for collection was thus Rs. 2,21,838 [Rs. 2,14,016], of which Rs. 1,72,522 [Rs. 1,52,955] was collected. The percentage of collection works out to 77 [71]. The percentage of collections on the net current demand was 104 [92]. The rental collection work was satisfactory in all the estates except in the Bangaon and Dhanwada estates. In the latter estate the percentage of rental collection was the lowest in the division.

Jubbulpore division

19. The unsuspending arrears outstanding at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 40,992 [Rs. 55,668] of which Hoshangabad alone is responsible for Rs. 33,577.

20. There was an increase from Rs. 9,787 to Rs. 13,483 in the amount converted into bonds or for which decrees were obtained. The estates in the Hoshangabad district alone are responsible for Rs. 12,024. The district report does not mention the reason for this abnormal increase and I am asking the Deputy Commissioner

to explain it, with reference to paragraph 9 of Circular VII of the Court of Wards Manual which permits of the conversion of rental arrears into bonds only in exceptional circumstances.

Nagpur
division

21. The total rental demand, including a sum of Rs. 2,84,602 on account of previous arrears, amounted to Rs. 6,94,876 [Rs. 6,15,422]. Out of this, a sum of Rs. 10,755 was remitted. The net demand was thus Rs. 6,84,121 of which a sum of Rs. 4,30,718 including advance collections of Rs. 559 was realised, Rs. 31,510 converted into bonds or decrees and Rs. 83,539 suspended, leaving Rs. 1,38,913 in arrears. The percentage of collections on the current demand works out to 104 [94]. The collection in the Nagpur estate was on the whole satisfactory, the percentages ranging from 100 to 152 [91 to 114]. Collections in the rice tract were however the best. Recovery in the cotton tract also improved owing to rise in the price of cotton. The rental arrears of Deur Jagir of the Senior Bhonsla estate in the Bombay Presidency amount to Rs. 2,994 while decretal arrears stand at Rs. 4,977. Recoveries on account of old arrears continue to be unsatisfactory though a senior Talati is on deputation. All the civil suits relating to the arrears of the years 1935-36 to 1937-38 have been decreed. These proceedings have saddled the estate with additional costs. There is now little hope of recovering the Deur arrears which are swelling from year to year. The criminal prosecution against Gandhe, the former kamdar of Hingni Berdi, ended in his conviction. The other case against him is pending in the Poona district.

22. Recoveries in the estates of the Chhindwara district with the exception of Anjanina and Gohna were up. The decrease in Anjanina and Gohna estates is ascribed to the orders of recovery of taccavi loans which the tenants had to pay to Government during the year.

In the Damjipura estate of the Betul district in particular the arrears of rent are very heavy as many tenants in a number of villages deserted some five years ago leaving their lands fallow. It is reported that the court of wards officials have been making efforts to persuade new tenants to cultivate fallow lands allowing them reasonable concessions in regard to the payment of rent. New settlers are slowly coming in as most of them are aboriginals who are disinclined to steady cultivation.

Chhattis-
garh
division

23. The total current and arrear demand omitting remissions and suspensions (Rs. 17,107) was Rs. 4,37,499 [Rs. 4,33,563] and Rs. 87,993 [Rs. 86,234] respectively. Out of the total demand of Rs. 5,25,492, Rs. 4,33,007 including advance collections of Rs. 478 (adjusted during the year) were recovered and Rs. 9,960 converted into bonds leaving an unsuspended balance of Rs. 82,525. The percentage of collections to total demand on current and arrear account was 82 [79]. The percentage of collections in individual estates is as under :—

Kauria 95 [91]. Deori 100 [99], Suarmar 86 [85],
Bhurkoni 94 [97], Narra 100 [97], Phuljhar 73 [68],
Bindra-Nawagarh 88 [85], Fingeshwar 82 [76],
Sahaspur-Lohara 81 [81]. Panabaras 88 [84]. Warar-
bandh 99 [85], Darekasa 86 [86], Hatta 79 [80].

In Raipur Rs. 42,834, nearly 52 per cent of the total arrears, were outstanding in Phuljhar and Fingeshwar. In Phuljhar, action for forfeiture of protection was taken against ten thekadars from whom Rs. 5,264 were due. Proceedings under section 157 of the Central Provinces Land Revenue Act were also started for the recovery of Rs. 2,368. A civil suit for recovery of Rs. 4,083 from the Garhatia of Baloda is still pending. The arrears in Fingeshwar were mostly due from tenants. Thirty-nine were sued for Rs. 1,093. The balance for recovery in Bindra-Nawagarh was Rs. 9,207.

24. In Drug, the total demand excluding remissions (Rs. 734) was Rs. 81,446, of which Rs. 5,116 were converted into bonds or decreed and Rs. 68,864 (85 per cent) was collected. Out of the arrears of Rs. 4,286 in Sahaspur-Lohara, Rs. 1,195 were due from the protected thekadar of mauza Bano. He was ejected and has appealed to the High Court. The arrears in Panabaras were Rs. 3,071 mostly due from habitual defaulters. Action has been taken in all suitable cases. Out of the net demand of Rs. 1,02,249 in Hatta, Rs. 4,841 were converted into bonds or decreed and Rs. 80,972 collected. The balance was Rs. 16,436, Rs. 3,816 were due from three thekadars and the rest from troublesome tenants in kham villages. The protection of the thekadar of Chichgaon who was in arrears of Rs. 1,788 was forfeited and applications under section 157 of Central Provinces Land Revenue Act were filed against the other two. The recovery work was too heavy for one kamdar and an assistant was appointed. Rent suits were filed for at least one-third of the amount due from the tenants.

25. Income from forests.—The total income from forests amounted to Rs. 35,547 [Rs. 28,142]. There was thus an increase of Rs. 7,405 in the income under the head. The sale or lease of forest produce in the Dhanwada estate and sale of timber in the Bhaironghat estate, which was so far pending a working plan, chiefly account for this increase. Other estates also, except Salaiya, Khitola and Mandhata, more or less share the increase. The fall in the income in the Salaiya estate is due to the failure to recover Rs. 550 on account of lease money for collection of tendu leaves and in the Khitola estate it is due to smaller sales of timber and fuel. The decrease of Rs. 509 in the Mandhata estate is mainly due to the exhaustion of the main kind of fuel and also to the increase made in the licence rate in order to discourage cutting for the improvement of the forests.

26. The income from forests increased by Rs. 5,000 to Rs. 46,100. The increase is most marked in the Senior Bhonsla, (+Rs. 1,469), Sarekha (+Rs. 3,114) and Chidi (+Rs. 1,362) estates. In the Senior Bhonsla estate the exploitation of forests on a large scale and the special attention paid to the recovery of grazing dues account for the increase, while in Sarekha it is due to the sale of the old stocks of lac, which were not sold previously owing to low prices. The increase in Chidi is due to the rise in the price of lac, which is worked departmentally.

27. The income from forests increased from Rs. 3,19,941 to Rs. 3,72,105. Suarmar, with a fall of Rs. 1,551, was the only estate which did not share this. The income rose from Rs. 29,690 to Rs. 40,071 in Deori due to an increase of Rs. 9,040 from timber

Jubbulpore
division

Nagpur
division

Chhattis-
garh
division

sales, and to the recovery of Rs. 1,072, arrears of lease money. A rise in income of Rs. 14,673 in Bindra-Nawagarh results from timber sales, while lac shows an increase of Rs. 1,595 and commutation fees of Rs. 1,675. In Phuljhar Rs. 55,941 [Rs. 53,762] were received. Reduction in the rates of sale and second class wood accounted for better sales of timber and the receipts from this source were Rs. 8,962 [Rs. 7,260]. The receipts from miscellaneous sources also rose by Rs. 1,429. There was a rise of Rs. 1,166 in Fingeshwar. In Suarwar the drop in income from Rs. 33,360 to Rs. 31,809 was a result of the recovery of arrears of lease money of harra in the previous year. In Narra the income increased by Rs. 4,218 as the amount of Rs. 4,000 due from Seth Sheodas Daga was recovered in full. Kauria and Bhurkoni showed only a small increase (Rs. 653 and Rs. 77) and call for no comment. In Panabaras the income rose by Rs. 12,798 from timber sales and the recovery of arrears of harra lease money. Receipts from grazing dues fell from Rs. 974 to Rs. 353. The closure of the forests in the neighbouring States of Kawardha and Khairagarh resulted in an increase of income from timber in Sahaspur-Lohara from Rs. 7,211 to Rs. 8,571. The adjustment of Rs. 919 on account of deposits received in the previous year and better prices secured at the auction of leases increased the income by Rs. 3,407 in the Darekasa estate. The forests in the Hatta estate were as usual leased out on a royalty basis. The income rose from Rs. 6,198 to Rs. 7,687. After the expiry of the lease during the year the condition of forests was examined with a view to preparing a working plan, but it appeared that the forests were already overworked and needed rest for at least two years. They are now managed departmentally.

28. Miscellaneous income.—The total income increased from Rs. 91,632 to Rs. 92,440. Although the total receipts showed a net increase of Rs. 808, the income dropped to Rs. 10,693 [Rs. 14,368] in Fingeshwar and to Rs. 10,323 [Rs. 14,071] in Panabaras. The sale of the Komakhan bungalow for Rs. 2,500 and the increase of Rs. 2,135 in nazarana on transfers of holdings helped to bring the income up to Rs. 6,291 [Rs. 2,549] in Suarwar. In Fingeshwar the fall was chiefly under sale of grain, Rs. 3,302 [Rs. 4,693], and nazarana, Rs. 5,890 [Rs. 8,589]. In Panabaras no grain was sold owing to the poor crops in the home-farms. In Sahaspur-Lohara the drop of Rs. 1,417 was under miscellaneous sources—Rs. 8,352 [Rs. 9,769]. The rise in other estates was due either to sale of grain or nazarana on grants of vacant holdings.

**Jubbulpore
division**

29. Home-farm cultivation.—Home-farm cultivation was carried on in an area of 1,244.59 [1,249.88] acres in 16 villages of the five estates in the Jubbulpore district and over 278.69 [278.69] acres in the Chichli estate. All the farms worked at a profit ranging from Re. 0-14-5 to Rs. 17-3-0 per acre in Chichli and Imlai estates, respectively. A profit of only Rs. 1-5-0 [Rs. 2-10-0] per acre on the 100 acres sir fields at Bakal (Salaiya estate) is still far too low. It seems inexplicable to me that a profit of Rs. 17-3-0 per acre can be made in the same year in Imlai, which is no great distance from Bakal, and yet at the latter place where conditions are good the profit is negligible. In the Dhanwada estate no direct cultivation was carried out,

but 331.16 [293.69] acres of land were cultivated on *batai* system by which the estate got half the income, viz., Rs. 436 (including the price of grain in stock). Though the estate supplied bullocks and agricultural implements to the *bataidars*, who spent their money and labour, the income to the estate works out to only Rs. 1-5-0 an acre. This indicates that the *batai* system was unprofitable. I am asking the Deputy Commissioner to look into this. The estate also leased out 105.98 [116] acres of occupancy and absolute-occupancy lands which yielded an income of Rs. 381 [Rs. 322] or Rs. 3-9-8 per acre.

30. The following table shows the result of home-farm cultivation extending over 1,395 acres in seven estates:— Nagpur
division

Name of estate	Area of home-farm in acres	Gross income	Gross expenditure	Net profit or loss	Net profit per acre 1939-40	Net profit per acre 1938-39
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Senior Bhonsla ..	106.00	2,796	1,578	+1,218	+11 7 0	+5 13 0
Junior Bhonsla ..	178.82	4,195	2,362	+1,833	+10 5 0	+18 6 3
Saasthanik	489.70	12,433	10,609	+1,824	+3 2 0	+0 12 6
Bhishnur	77.89	1,255	604	+651	+8 5 6	+12 10 0
Kaptan	400.28	3,243	1,127	+2,116	+5 4 0	-2 10 0
Aoriya	82.53	1,548	1,394	+154	+2 0 0	+6 13 0
Kesia	59.45	446	554	-108	-1 12 11	-0 11 6

Thus in all the estates except Kesia the home-farm worked at a profit but of a varying degree. The profit per acre has doubled in the Senior Bhonsla estate while that in the Junior Bhonsla estate it has gone down to about one-half of what it was in the previous year but the Deputy Commissioner has not explained these violent fluctuations. The Senior Bhonsla estate has extended its orange garden over an area of 3.0 acres by planting 370 orange and sweet lime plants. The small profit in Aoriya estate is due to the failure of rabi crops and to the fall in the prices of gur. The loss in Kesia home-farm is due to the long break in the monsoon in the month of August 1939 which resulted in the failure of kharif as well as rabi crops. There is no direct cultivation in any of the estates in Chhindwara except Anjanika and Chidi estates. The area under direct cultivation in the former estate was 64 acres but for want of winter rains the crops were poor and resulted in a net loss of Rs. 1-2-3 per acre. In the latter estate the area under direct cultivation was 83 acres. This also suffered a loss of Rs. 2-4-0 per acre, partly owing to untimely rains and partly to reclaiming old fallow.

Chhattis-
garh
division

31. The following table shows the result of home-farm cultivation in each estate:—

Name of estate and number of farms	Area under cultivation		Gross in- come	Gross ex- pen- diture	Net profit or loss	Net profit or loss per acre						
	1938- 1939	1939- 1940				1938-1939		1939-1940				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
	Acres	Acres	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
Raipur District												
Kauria, 1 [1]	..	82	92	1,905	1,944	-39	-1	6	9	-0	7	0
Deori, 2 [3]	..	125	103	2,843	1,767	+1,076	+7	1	0	+10	7	0
Fingeshwar, 5 [5]	..	428	411	7,593	6,795	+798	+3	11	9	+1	15	0
Bindra - Nawagarh, 1 [1].		28	28	-20	-0	7	0	-0	11	6
Drug District												
Sahaspur - Lohara, 3 [3].		265	261	4,926	3,844	+1,082	-2	8	6	+4	2	4
Wararbandh, 1 [1]	..	72	72	2,937	1,730	+1,207	+3	8	0	+16	12	0
Panabaras, 5 [5]	..	287	287	3,516	4,683	-1,167	-1	13	8	-4	1	1

The farms at Firgi in Kauria, Indagaon in Bindra-Nawagarh and those in the Panabaras are still running at a loss. The results on the last two estates are worse than before. The question of shifting the farm at Indagaon to the manager, court of wards' headquarters at Gariaband is under consideration. This would involve moving the cattle-breeding farm as well. The loss in the Panabaras estate was due to bad weather and to the inefficiency and slack supervision of the farm jamadar. He has been returned to the Agricultural Department. The loss in the Firgi farm was less and with better management it is expected to yield a profit. The profits in Deori and Wararbandh were adequate. Considering the loss in Sahaspur-Lohara in the preceding year the profits obtained were satisfactory but there is still scope for improvement here and in Fingeshwar.

There is no direct cultivation in other estates. 226 acres of the home-farm in the Darekasa estate have been leased for three years at Rs. 954 a year and the remaining 149 acres are held by the ward for his maintenance. In Hatta the ward holds 241 acres, the rest was leased for Rs. 11,665 [Rs. 11,527]. Khudkasht land was settled in occupancy rights.

Jubbulpore
division

32. Cattle-breeding farms.—For the cattle-breeding farm opened at Chichli in the previous year, 20 cows and two bulls of malvi breed were purchased. During the year nine male and nine female calves were born. Two of the cows died, one on account of old age and the other of heat stroke. The remaining cattle are reported to be healthy.

Chhattis-
garh
division

33. The number of cattle-breeding farms fell from six to five. The farm at Kunda in the Hatta estate had to be closed in consequence of the enhancement of takoli and the revision of the debt repayment scheme. The stud bulls have been kept for serving both the village and outside cows. The live-stock in Pithora (Kauria) was valued at Rs. 1,055 [Rs. 1,132] and the total income amounted to Rs. 100 against an expenditure of Rs. 624.

In the Fingeshwar farm eight cows and four heifers were bought from the Pakeria farm increasing the expenditure to Rs. 554 [Rs. 218] against an income of Rs. 370 [Rs. 31]. The farm at Telitola in Panabaras and the Cattle-breeding Society at Akalama in Sahaspur-Lohara did well. It has been decided to replenish the stock by importing some well-bred cows. The local ones are too small for the pedigree bulls. There were 25 head of cattle at Akalama and 55 at Telitola at the close of the year. There was no epidemic and the health of the cattle was generally good.

PART III.—THE EXPENDITURE OF THE YEAR WITH SPECIAL REGARD TO THE COST OF MANAGEMENT, PERSONAL EXPENSES AND ANY EXTRAORDINARY ITEMS

34. Expenditure of estates.—The total gross ordinary expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,60,725 [Rs. 2,67,185]. The fall of Rs. 6,460 is chiefly shared by the Mandhata, Chichli and Salaiya estates. In the Jubbulpore district there was an increase of Rs. 4,154 mainly owing to the payment of—

- (1) an enhanced amount of Rs. 3,123 for land revenue and cesses because of less suspensions and remissions, and
- (2) Rs. 4,000 for the marriages of the wards of the Bhairon-ghat estate.

The increase of Rs. 479 in the Gumashta estate is due to rise in the cost of management and restoration of personal allowances of the ward which were reduced owing to lack of funds in the previous year. There was a slight rise of Rs. 159 in Imlai on account of law charges and more expenditure on the management of the estate. The increase of Rs. 220 in Khitola is due to more expenditure on the management and payment of land revenue. The decrease of Rs. 1,116 in Salaiya is due to savings in the cost of management. The expenditure in the Bangaon estate increased by Rs. 1,155 owing to the purchase of live and dead stock for the home-farm and payment of expenses to the ward for his journeys on pilgrimage.

In the Hoshangabad district the expenditure increased by Rs. 5,305. Bilehra shows an increase of Rs. 5,434 on account of the expenditure incurred on—

- (1) the re-marriage of the senior ward, and
- (2) repayment of debts.

The increase of Rs. 4,366 in Dhanwada is due to the payment of the arrears of—

- (1) land revenue, and
- (2) contribution on account of Government management.

The expenditure in Chichli shows a fall of Rs. 4,495 as the previous year's figure included the cost of the purchase of a car for the ward.

35. The expenditure in Mandhata fell by Rs. 17,074. This is due to economical management and the fact that the previous year's figure included an extraordinary item of Rs. 14,040 on account of security of costs in the Privy Council appeal regarding Khut income cases (against only Rs. 1,366 spent during the year on that account) and partly to reduction in the ward's allowance.

**Nagpur
division**

36. The total gross ordinary expenditure of all the estates amounted to Rs. 7,18,548 [Rs. 5,45,789]. The increase is shared by all the estates except Anjanika in the Chhindwara and Kesia in the Betul districts. The increase of Rs. 1,83,143 in Nagpur is due to—

- (a) the payment of suspended land revenue ordered by Government,
- (b) purchase of new cars for the Raja Bahadur and manager, Junior Bhonsla estate,
- (c) the filing of a large number of rent suits,
- (d) payment of interest on the loans by Senior Bhonsla, Junior Bhonsla and Sauthanik estates, and
- (e) the thread ceremony of Kuar Vijaysingh Rao, a ward of the Junior Bhonsla estate.

The increase in expenditure in Chhindwara and Betul is also chiefly due to the payment of suspended land revenue and arrears of previous years.

**Chhattis-
garh
division**

37. The gross ordinary expenditure of the 13 estates again rose by Rs. 11,071 to Rs. 8,05,693. Notable increases were in Suarwar Rs. 46,536 [Rs. 42,297], Bindra-Nawagarh Rs. 1,73,587 [Rs. 1,71,000], Fingeshwar Rs. 65,961 [Rs. 63,601], Panabaras Rs. 66,731 [Rs. 61,853] and Hatta Rs. 96,806 [Rs. 94,162] while there were decreases in Kauria by Rs. 4,416 and Phuljhar by Rs. 3,461. The variations are explained under appropriate heads.

38. The expenditure under the head "Land Revenue and Cesses" increased by Rs. 2,718. The increase is more marked in Sahaspur-Lohara (Rs. 1,403), Hatta (Rs. 525), Fingeshwar (Rs. 271) and Phuljhar (Rs. 258). The remission of land revenue in the preceding year reduced the expenditure in Sahaspur-Lohara. No explanation has been given by the Deputy Commissioner, Balaghat, about the Hatta estate.

39. The expenditure under the head "Interest on Debts" fell by Rs. 4,587 to Rs. 93,807 in all the indebted estates due to the progressive reduction of principal.

**Jubbulpore
division**

40. Personal expenses.—Expenditure on personal expenses amounted to Rs. 53,441 [Rs. 55,469]. The decrease of Rs. 2,028 under the head is shared by the Imlai, Chichli and Mandhata estates. The expenditure in Imlai decreased by Rs. 2,141 because last year's figure included the amount spent on the marriage of the present ward. The decrease of Rs. 3,287 in Chichli is due to the fact that the previous year's expenditure included the cost of a motor car purchased for the ward. In Mandhata the decrease of Rs. 1,301 is due to the reduction in the allowance of the

ward from Rs. 350 to Rs. 250 per mensem on account of financial stringency. The decrease of Rs. 571 in Ponda is due to the fact that the previous year's figure included special charges for the medical treatment, etc., of the family members of the ward. The increase of Rs. 3,369 and Rs. 1,243 in Bhaironghat and Bilehra is due to the payment of marriage expenses of the wards. In Dhanwada the increase of Rs. 375 is due to the cost of the funeral ceremonies of the senior wife of the ward. The slight increase in Salaiya, Khitola and Bangaon does not need any special comment.

41. The total personal expenditure including education Nagpur division amounted to Rs. 1,77,026 [Rs. 1,66,827]. The increase is shared by all the estates except Bhashnur and Kaptan in Nagpur, Kesia and Damjipura in Betul and Chidi and Pachmarhi in Chhindwara districts. The increase is marked in the Senior Bhonsla, Junior Bhonsla and Saasthanik estates. In the Senior Bhonsla estate it is attributed to the purchase of a new car for the Raja Bahadur. In the Junior Bhonsla it is due to the purchase of an elephant and the thread ceremony of Kuar Vijayasingh Rao while in the Saasthanik estate it is due to the funeral expenses of Rani Manmoti, mother of Raja Azamshah.

42. The total expenditure including education rose by Chhattisgarh division Rs. 10,819 (from Rs. 1,46,403 to Rs. 1,57,222) mainly in Suarmar, Phulihar, Panabaras and Hatta. It fell greatly in Deori and Sahaspur-Lohara. The allowances of the ward of Suarmar was raised by Rs. 100 on the 1st October 1939 and that of his brother by Rs. 75 on the 1st August 1939. A car costing Rs. 2,700 increased the expenditure. In Phulihar, the grant of Rs. 1,000 for the Faldan ceremony of the ward's daughter accounts for it. The increase of Rs. 1,333 in Hatta (Balaghat) was caused by a grant of Rs. 2,500, for the marriage of the ward's elder daughter. The Panabaras ward lived with the Vice-Principal of the Rajkumar College. He also went to Poona in summer which cost Rs. 800. His father was given his arrears of the allowance withheld last year and a motor car costing Rs. 1,600. These were the unusual expenses which contributed to the increase. The expenditure in the Deori estate dropped by Rs. 1,017. Last year the expenditure increased as Rs. 1,250 were spent on a car. In the preceding year Rs. 2,000 were spent on the medical treatment and obsequies of the late ward La! Girrajsingh of Sahaspur-Lohara.

43. Miscellaneous expenditure.—The miscellaneous expenditure of all the estates amounted to Rs. 1,05,366 [Rs. 93,160]. There was an increase in all the estates except Kaptan (Nagpur) and Anjanika (Chhindwara). In the Senior Bhonsla and Junior Bhonsla estates it was due to the filing of a large number of rent suits, repairs to the estate buildings and payment of income-tax at the enhanced rate. In Junior Bhonsla the increase relates to the purchase of a new car for the manager.

In the Saasthanik estate it is attributed to the arrear payment of taxes and to refunds. In Chhindwara, lac was propagated on a larger scale departmentally and extensive repairs were carried out to the estate buildings of the Pachmarhi estate.

**Chhattis-
garh
division**

44. The expenses amounted to Rs. 97,885 [Rs. 91,897]. In Deori the expenditure increased by Rs. 1,910, mostly under the departmental working of forests. The contribution of Rs. 1,190 for the cheap plan dispensary at Deobhog and repairs of buildings and law charges account for the increase of Rs. 2,433 in Bindra-Nawagarh. Fingeshwar, at the ward's request, paid Rs. 1,000 to the Provincial War Fund, and consolidation of holdings cost Rs. 1,169. The expenditure on law charges and home-farm cultivation also increased. In Sahaspur-Lohara, the reason for an increase of Rs. 3,249 was chiefly the contribution of Rs. 5,000 for the cheap plan dispensary at Sahaspur-Lohara. Four pairs of bullocks were bought for Rs. 439 for the home-farms in Panabaras. The demand for timber being great, departmental working of the forests increased to Rs. 9,725 [Rs. 8,315]. In Wararbandh two pairs of bullocks for the home-farm increased expenditure by Rs. 159. In Darekasa a donation of Rs. 1,000 for the cheap plan dispensary at Darekasa increased the expenditure by Rs. 829. In Kauria the drop by Rs. 4,077 was under charities and subscriptions. A sum of Rs. 1,778 was given to the Chhattisgarh College against Rs. 7,157 in the previous year. The charges on maintenance and repairs to buildings also fell to Rs. 2,211 [Rs. 3,463]. The ward contributed Rs. 1,000 to the War Relief Fund. The estate further paid Rs. 540 on account of pay of the English teacher at Pithora. The closure of the Komakhan dispensary resulted in a saving of Rs. 747 in Suarmar. The expenditure in Phuljhar fell by Rs. 1,869 owing to economy necessitated by the fall of income and increase in the cost of management.

**Jubbulpore
division**

45. Cost of management.—The total cost of management including contribution rose from Rs. 61,715 to Rs. 63,427 or by Rs. 1,712. Dhanwada estate is chiefly responsible for this increase as Government contribution which remained unpaid during the previous year was paid this year. Increased expenditure in Ponda, Khitola and Imlai is due to the payment of—

- (1) a higher contribution, and
- (2) increased cost of management due to the creation of a new post of an assistant manager.

In Gumashta and Chichli the increase is attributed to the payment of higher amounts of contribution. The expenditure in Salaiya decreased by Rs. 960 owing to the—

- (1) abolition of the forest ranger's post, and
- (2) decrease in Government contribution.

The decrease of Rs. 653 in Bhaironghat is due to the fact that—

- (1) the previous year's figure included the cost of the training of a candidate in the Balaghat Forest School, and
- (2) payment of a larger amount of Government contribution.

The decrease of Rs. 1,258 and Rs. 615 in the expenditure of the Mandhata and Bilehra estates respectively was due to general economy.

**Nagpur
division**

46. The total cost of management of all the estates including the contribution payable to Government and remuneration to village servants amounted to Rs. 1,18,181 [Rs. 1,23,523] which

works out to 16 per cent on the gross income. The low percentage of the cost of management is due to the increased gross income

47. The cost of management including contribution for all the 13 estates fell to Rs. 1,68,406 or by Rs. 3,867. The percentage for the division was 19 [21]. The percentage fell in all the estates except Hatta where the increase was almost negligible. In Phulihar the expenditure was further retrenched from Rs. 26,332 to Rs. 24,029 or by Rs. 2,303. After the relinquishment of Parpori a comparatively low paid manager was appointed in Sahaspur-Lohara. The post of forest ranger remained vacant for about nine months and the pay of some of the officials was further reduced. These facts accounted for the fall of Rs. 1,168 in Sahaspur-Lohara. In Panabaras the expenditure under contingencies was reduced to Rs. 740 [Rs. 1,299]. The expenditure on pay and contribution of the manager was also less owing to the posting of a manager on low pay. Thus the total expenditure showed a drop of Rs. 933. In Hatta the cost of management increased from Rs. 14,555 to Rs. 15,826 due to the appointment of a manager on Re. 120 per mensem instead of Rs. 80 per mensem and also of the forest ranger whose services were lent for two months by the Forest Department. The increase in Darekasa is more apparent than real because the proportionate cost of sadar office establishment paid by Darekasa is recoverable from the Pande estate.

Chhattisgarh
division

PART IV.—PERFORMANCE BY THE COURT OF WARDS OF ITS DUTIES AS A LANDLORD

48. The relations between the court of wards and its tenants continued to be cordial. The tenants were treated with consideration and sympathy. In the Jubbulpore district 377 [315] maunds of grain for seed were advanced and 93 maunds of old seed loans were written off. 2,689 [2,346] maunds of seed grain were advanced to the tenants in Dhanwada on "sawai". 148 [157] rent suits were filed in Jubbulpore, of which 27 [40] resulted in ejectments including 16 [28] in the Bhaïronghat estate where there are heavy old arrears and land is not valuable. In Hoshangabad 297 [99] rent suits were filed of which 4 [3] resulted in ejectments. Facilities for payment of rents were given and rent suits were filed against persistent defaulters only. Two holdings comprising an area of 17.38 acres were surrendered by tenants in the Dhanwada estate. Of this, 15.82 acres have been re-allotted on perpetual lease on payment of moderate nazarana.

Jubbulpore
division

49. In the Jubbulpore district contributions amounting to Rs. 256 [Rs. 183] were paid for the maintenance of schools and supply of books to poor students and school libraries. The usual annual contributions, viz., Rs. 48 to Sihora dispensary, Rs. 40 to the Blind Relief Association, Nagpur, and Rs. 30 to the Deaf and Dumb School, Nagpur, were also made. Rs. 2,146 towards half the cost of the dispensary building at Imlai, which is now ready, has been paid by the Imlai estate. Rs. 1,000 or

account of equipment charges of the dispensary will also be paid when required. The scheme for the establishment of a dispensary at Bakal in the Salaiya estate for which a sum of Rs. 3,700 was promised by the estate has been sanctioned by Government and it is hoped that the construction of the buildings will start as soon as a site is selected. Four stud bulls are also maintained for improvement of the live-stock. The usual concessions of free grazing and nistar were continued. In the Mandhata estate the village uplift centre at Morghari is progressing well. The stud bull maintained there has produced good breed. Free distribution of medicines to the villagers of the estate also continues. A night school has also been opened under the patronage of the estate which has supplied free books and slates to the students, most of whom are untouchables. The Chichli estate contributed Rs. 1,000 towards the Provincial War Relief Fund. Contributions of Rs. 51 were made by the Bilehra estate to the Bhonsla Deaf and Dumb School and the Countess of Dufferin's Fund respectively.

**Nagpur
division**

50. The relations between the court of wards and its tenants continued to be cordial. The tenants were given all facilities with regard to free grant of house sites and free nistar. It was unfortunate that the inhabitants of mauza Saonji in the Nagpur tahsil and Gherad in the Wardha tahsil failed to take the opportunity to shift from the old abadi which is liable to floods to the new abadi, even though free grant of timber was sanctioned by Government. The present economic depression and poor crops were taken into account when realizing dues from the tenants.

51. In the three major estates in Nagpur, grain to the extent of 2,259 maunds was advanced to 258 tenants on "sawai" and 2,586 maunds were written off. In the Sarekha, Gohna and Anjania estates of the Chhindwara district, 172 khandis of seed were advanced to 95 tenants. Gohna estate supplied seed worth Rs. 65 to the needy tenants. A sum of Rs. 200 in addition was advanced as taccavi loans to needy tenants by the Pachmarhi estate. Timber, bamboos and grain were supplied free to the tenants of mauzas Desli, Dularia and Hidli of the Damjipura estate as their huts had been burnt by fire. Fallow land was given for cultivation to new settlers in these villages at a low rate of nazarana and in addition 96 khandis of seed taccavi were advanced on "sawai". Two stud bulls were purchased by the Pachmarhi estate for the benefit of the tenants. They are kept at Delhakhari for breeding purposes.

52. The number of rent suits instituted was 1,591 [922] in all the estates mostly against persistent defaulters. Decrees were obtained in 946 cases in Nagpur, 17 in Chhindwara and 30 in Betul, but only 43 tenants were actually ejected from their holdings. Three cases in Betul were withdrawn and the rest were pending. In the Betul district 17 surrenders were accepted at the request of the tenants in satisfaction of rental arrears. The very large increase in the number of suits instituted in the Nagpur district from 892 to 1,551 is due to the late announcement of the orders regarding the recovery of suspended arrears.

53. The total debts including rent decrees, grain decrees, cash loans and other miscellaneous decrees which fell due for recovery at the beginning of the year stood at Rs. 2,35,047. Out of this, a sum of Rs. 46,445 was recovered and Rs. 10,292 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,78,310. The loans outstanding in the estates of the Nagpur district except the Saus-thanik estate relate to the period when the estates were managed by the proprietors themselves and are mostly due from insolvent persons. These should be re-examined with a view to writing off irrecoverable debts. Of the total amount written off, the Senior Bhonsla, Junior Bhonsla and Kaptan estates account for Rs. 9,600. A sum of Rs. 1,700 is due to the Pachmarhi estate from Raja Komalsingh on account of overdue instalment of loan for the recovery of which no legal steps under the mortgage deed can be taken unless three successive defaults are made.

54. There were embezzlements of Rs. 189-1-0 and Rs. 70-8-0 in the Junior Bhonsla and Senior Bhonsla estates, respectively. Criminal prosecutions have been launched against both the employees responsible for the misappropriation. Rs. 185-4-0 were also misappropriated by a nakedar of the Pachmarhi estate and the case is under police investigation. The amounts embezzled have been recovered in full.

55. The relations between the court of wards and tenants continued to be cordial. Some agitation in respect of grazing and other rights in the preceding year in the Kauria and Phuljhar zamindaris in Raipur and in the southern zamindaris of the Drug district subsided when the legal position was explained. Mr. Kamath's enquiry was completed during the year and the orders of Government are awaited. In Darekasa (Bhandara), the tenantry was allowed every facility admissible under the wajib-ul-arz and the court of wards was liberal in granting time for recovery of rents in suitable cases. The system of restoration of holdings to ejected tenants on payment of rent plus a small penalty was much appreciated and there was no complaint from the tenants. In Bhurkoni some malguzars and tenants are still refusing to pay grazing and commutation dues and legal action is being taken in suitable cases. Rents and thekajama were recovered smoothly in all the estates except Phuljhar in which the tenants and thekadars are generally troublesome. In all 190 [128] rent suits were filed in the estates in Raipur, Balaghat and Bhandara. In Sahaspur-Lohara, rent suits for the recovery of Rs. 5,116 were filed. There was only 1 [11] case of ejectment for arrears of rent and the number of surrenders accepted was 7. Proceedings under sections 111 and 157 of the Land Revenue Act were taken against two thekadars in the Suarmar estate while 15 applications under section 111, Central Provinces Land Revenue Act, were pending against the thekadars in the Phuljhar estate. A suit for the ejectment of the Garhatia of Baloda sub-estate is still pending in the civil court. The balance of unrecovered arrears decreed in the Hatta estate amounted to Rs. 8,540 [Rs. 6,400] principal and Rs. 12,296 [Rs. 12,129] on account of interest. The Deputy Commissioner observes that Rs. 4,755 are bad debts and will have to be written off.

**Chhattis-
garh
division**

56. Taccavi in cash and kind distributed in the estates is given below :—

	Cash		Grain	
	Rs.	Rs.	Khandis	Khandis
1. Kauria	1,835	[1,579]	80	[61]
2. Deori	470	[500]	718	[702]
3. Narra	350	[292]
			Maunds	Maunds
4. Fingeshwar ..	1,098	[1,000]	..	[38]
5. Sahaspur-Lohara	[1,155]	..	[170]
6. Panabaras ..	733	[52]	..	[21]
7. Wararbandh ..	18	[18]
8. Bindra-Nawagarh	1,629	[1,332]

Rs. 441 in Sahaspur-Lohara and Rs. 860 in Panabaras were written off as irrecoverable. In Darekasa there was no demand for taccavi. Other estates being heavily encumbered could not afford to give taccavi loans.

57. The dispensaries at Pithora (Kauria) and Saraipali (Phuljhar) continued to be managed well and are gaining popularity. Kauria estate has also a sub-dispensary at Jhalap which is visited fortnightly by the assistant medical officer, Pithora. The total expenditure on both dispensaries in Kauria amounted to Rs. 3,331 [Rs. 3,072] against total receipts of Rs. 468. The two village sisters at Jhalap and Baldidih are useful. The sub-dispensaries at Deori (Deori estate) and Fingeshwar (Fingeshwar estate) are maintained by the court of wards. They are in charge of experienced compounders and the question of converting them into cheap plan dispensaries is under consideration. The cheap plan dispensaries opened at Bagbahera (Suarmar) is doing well. The dispensary at Saraipali (Phuljhar) is under the financial control of the court of wards. 20,867 [23,440] out-patients and 171 [124] in-patients were treated. The dispensary at Gariaband, the headquarters of the Bindra-Nawagarh estate is under the control of the Dispensary Fund Committee. The estate runs a cheap plan dispensary at Deobhog. The opening of a cheap plan dispensary at Sahaspur-Lohara has removed a long felt want. The dispensary at Mohalla, the headquarters of the Panabaras estate, continued to do well. In all 18,847 [15,392] outdoor patients and 130 [21] indoor patients were treated during the year. Darekasa (Bhandara) has also a cheap plan dispensary.

58. The veterinary dispensaries at Pithora (Kauria), Gariaband (Bindra-Nawagarh), Mohalla (Panabaras) and the veterinary outpost at Sahaspur-Lohara, which are under the financial control of the estates were managed satisfactorily.

59. The village uplift activities were continued in all the estates of the Drug district. The Gaonthi school started at Wararbandh is reported to be doing well. In Hatta (Balaghat) the court of wards made small subscriptions to schools for playgrounds and games equipment. Knitting needles and other handicraft instruments were supplied to girl students. The orange garden at Hatta is thriving well and serves as a demonstration farm. Vegetable seeds of good quality were distributed to villagers.

PART V.—PERFORMANCE BY THE COURT OF WARDS
OF ITS DUTIES TO ITS WARDS

60. *Estate improvements.*—The total expenditure under the **Jubbulpore division** head in the Jubbulpore district amounted to Rs. 10,416 [Rs. 10,796]. A major part of this amount was spent on the construction of residential buildings for the Khitola ward and Salaiya ward's grandmother and of the dispensary building in Imlai. Works for improvement of water-supply, *sir* fields, and roads were also undertaken. In the Chichli estate Rs. 1,178 on works of improvement were expended according to the programme framed in the previous year. The other estates in Nimar, Saugor and Hoshangabad were unable to undertake any important works of improvement for lack of funds.

61. The large scale suspensions of land revenue in the year **Nagpur division** 1938-39 had aggravated the financial condition of all the estates and the outlay on estate improvement was, therefore, confined to unavoidable and urgent items. A sum of Rs. 18,106 [Rs. 24,479] was spent on improvements and the following are noticeable items :—

Senior Bhonsla estate.

- (1) Improvement of orange gardens at Khamla, Somalwara and Sonogaon—Rs. 1,550.

Junior Bhonsla estate.

- (2) Improvement of orange gardens—Rs. 2,545.

Saasthanik estate.

- (3) A well at Bajarkund—Rs. 1,500.
- (4) Improvement to estate home-farm—Rs. 5,660.

Kaptan estate.

- (5) Purchase of rahats and implements for orange garden—Rs. 1,290.

Pachmarhi estate.

- (6) Fixation of pipe railings at Chaura hill, construction of a well at Bhuwan, running a primary school at Jhont—Rs. 1,145.

The Senior, Junior and Saasthanik estates are concentrating their attention on wet farming round about Nagpur to take advantage of the good market at Nagpur. 370 new orange plants were planted in the gardens of the Senior Bhonsla estate.

62. The expenditure fell to Rs. 50,623 [Rs. 57,310]. **Chhattisgarh division** Although the total expenditure has fallen, the amounts spent in the estates in the Raipur district rose from Rs. 22,724 to Rs. 32,064, the increased expenditure being chiefly noticed in Kauria and

Deori. In almost all other estates the expenditure decreased heavily. The five-year programme of works was adhered to as far as funds permitted. The details of expenditure incurred by the estates are given below :—

Estate	Annual income	Buildings	Roads	Field Estate		Total expenditure during		
				Tanks and wells	embankments	con- tin- gencies	1939-40	1938-39
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Kauria	84,321	3,557	4,093	5,038	..	2,847	15,535	9,582
Deori	50,695	4,800	2,884	697	..	810	9,191	5,365
Suarmar	61,614	896	326	1,222	863
Bhurkoni	6,224	660	660	..
Narra	11,636	199	50	277	526	1,086
Phuljhar	1,55,643	1,304	199	144	..	240	1,887	1,733
Bindra-Nawagarh	1,82,050	128	500	100	..	100	828	892
Fingeshwar	73,697	600	303	1,008	197	107	2,215	3,203
Sahaspur-Lohara	56,111	3,036	93	29	208	696	4,062	9,384
Panabaras	90,332	4,163	2,680	1,346	523	1,346	10,058	15,646
Wararbandh	9,806	159	159	46
Darekasa	16,688	982	52	1,034	2,014
Hatta	98,592	1,243	..	648	700	650	3,246	7,496
Total	8,97,409	21,732	11,180	9,287	1,628	6,796	50,623	57,310

Works costing Rs. 1,000 and over are given below :—

Estate	Works	Expenditure incurred during the year
(1)	(2)	(3)
		Rs.
Kauria	Channel and sluice at Krishnapur tank.	1,256
Deori	Quarters for the ward at Pithora ..	1,022
	Compounder's quarters at Deori ..	1,151
Sahaspur-Lohara	Rest House at Bodalpani ..	2,110

Other improvements were buildings, field embankments and repairs to existing roads, tanks and wells.

63. Repayment of debts.—At the commencement of the year, five estates were indebted to the extent of Rs. 3,63,094. The Imlai estate which was freed from debts in the previous year has again come on the list of indebted estates for its having taken over the responsibility for the payment of Rani Kirtibai's debts amounting to Rs. 5,750 as a result of compromise in the title suit filed by her. Rs. 1,250 were, however, paid during the year leaving a balance of Rs. 4,500 only. The Gumashtha estate has a debt of only Rs. 293 which is under suspension. The Bhaironghat estate paid Rs. 432 towards interest and Rs. 2,708 towards principal from the current income. It could not manage to repay more on account of the special expenditure of Rs. 4,000 in connection with the marriage of the wards. The objection to the consolidation of debts made by the mother of the wards was overruled by Government and the question of consolidation is now receiving attention. Ponda paid Rs. 1,500 towards principal and Rs. 600 towards interest. Of this Rs. 112 were from current income and the rest from the sale of immovable property. The ward has so far not succeeded in securing a new loan on easy terms. No more property could be sold for want of adequate offers and the unwillingness of the ward. Further efforts in both these directions are in progress. Bilehra paid Rs. 3,432 on account of interest and Rs. 3,226 towards principal from current income. An application has been filed before the Debt Relief Court in order to take advantage of the Debt Relief Act. The Dhanwada estate paid Rs. 11,009 towards principal and Rs. 3,814 towards interest from current income. The estate has also been able to secure from its creditors remissions amounting to Rs. 11,958 in principal and Rs. 20,051 in interest. The year closed with 6 [5] indebted estates with a total liability of Rs. 3,49,235.

64. All the estates in this division are indebted. The balance of Government loans outstanding against the Senior Bhonsla estate at the beginning of the year was Rs. 8,76,440 including a temporary loan of Rs. 5,000. Of this, Rs. 23,554 were paid towards the principal and Rs. 57,701 on account of interest leaving a balance of Rs. 8,52,886. The defaulted instalment of the year 1938-39 with the penal interest and the temporary loan of Rs. 5,000 inclusive of interest have been paid in full.

65. In the Junior Bhonsla estate the balance of the loan due to the Rajkumar College Society was Rs. 2,89,326. A temporary loan of Rs. 5,000 was raised for the purchase of an elephant and this has been paid with interest. The instalments fixed under the revised scheme have been paid off, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,89,219 at the close of the year.

66. The outstanding principal of the loan of the Sausthanik estate amounted to Rs. 15,000 at the commencement of the year and a fresh loan of Rs. 30,000 was raised during the year for payment of land revenue making a total of Rs. 45,000 out of which Rs. 18,000 were repaid on account of principal. The estate could not realise the budgeted figure of collections with the result that there is an outstanding debt of Rs. 27,000.

67. The Bhishnur estate paid Rs. 527 and Rs. 730 towards principal and interest respectively leaving a balance of Rs. 14,832.

68. A loan of Rs. 50,000 repayable in 25 years at 5 per cent per annum compound interest was raised from the Rajkumar College Society, Raipur, to liquidate the compromised claims against the Kaptan estate. All the antecedent debts have been paid off and the liabilities now stand at Rs. 50,000. The debts at the commencement of the year of the estates in the Chhindwara district amounted to Rs. 1,95,820. The Anjanika and Pachmarhi estates repaid Rs. 1,400 and Rs. 10,000, respectively, reducing the total liabilities to Rs. 1,84,420.

69. Three instalments of the loan, due to Dewan Najaf Ali Khan by the Sarekha estate amounting to Rs. 10,500 fell due but no repayment could be made during the year. The financial position of the estate is precarious and the question of its continuance under court of wards will have to be considered if no loan can be arranged. The financial position of the other Seoni estates is equally unsatisfactory.

70. The Pachmarhi estate reduced its liabilities from Rs. 29,000 to Rs. 19,000 and expects to liquidate the entire balance by the end of 1945 as against 1951 fixed under the repayment scheme.

**Chhattisgarh
division**

71. The table below shows the progress made in the repayment of debts in the various estates :—

Name of estate	The amount of debt at the commencement of the year on the 1st October 1939	Amount paid from the current income towards		Net liabilities outstanding at the close of the year
		Principal	Interest	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Bindra-Nawagarh	7,17,866	14,099	39,013	7,03,767
Phuljhar	6,85,881	..	34,294	6,85,881
Fingeshwar	1,32,036	11,352	6,606	1,20,684
Suarmar	1,31,682	11,400	6,756	1,20,282
Narra	4,537	4,537	271	..
Bhurkoni	1,350	1,350	67	..
Wararbandh	2,000	2,000	100	..
Hatta	1,34,000	6,000	6,700	1,28,000
Total	18,09,352	50,738	93,807	17,58,614

Besides these debts, a sum of Rs. 2,46,500 [Rs. 38,350] was borrowed at 5 per cent by the Bindra-Nawagarh estate to pay off old debts carrying higher rates of interest. Claims amounting to Rs. 650 were admitted and paid during the year. The repayment scheme was followed in all estates except Phuljhar, where owing to the fall in income and the increased expenditure

under the head "Land Revenue and Cost of Management", it was not possible to make any payment towards the principal. Interest amounting to Rs. 34,294 was, however, paid. It is becoming increasingly difficult to follow the repayment scheme in this estate and it is probably not possible to free the estate from debt without legislation to sell part of the estate. Proposals have been submitted to Government. The Bhurkoni, Narra and Wararbandh estates are now free from debts.

72. Investments.—Rs. 10,000 were invested in 3 per cent Defence Bond Stock Certificates by the Chichli estate. Jubbulpore
division

73. The five estates which are not indebted are Panabaras, Sahaspur-Lohara, Darekasa, Kauria and Deori. In the first two, increased expenditure on estate improvements was preferred to investment in Government securities or private loans. No investment was made in the other three estates. In Suarmar which is indebted, Rs. 1,399 spent on the training of Lal Dongarsingh, the ward's brother, as an Honorary Extra-Assistant Commissioner are being treated as a loan to him. A plot with out-houses was purchased for Rs. 2,500 for a bungalow for the Fingeshwar ward. In the Hatta estate Rs. 1,937 were invested in 5 per cent Government loan (1945—55). Chhattis-
garh
division

74. Education and health of the wards.—All the wards continued to enjoy good health. Jubbulpore
division

Salaiya estate.—The ward Raja Ujyarsingh passed the Diploma examination and joined the Intermediate class at the Rajkumar College. He came in Dashera vacation and does not now wish to go to the College. The question of his further education is under consideration. Kumar Raghuraj Singh, the new ward of the Imlai estate, aged 21 years, is being trained in the management of the home-farm and other work of the estate. Mr. Brahma Dutt Shukul (22), the ward of the Ponda estate, continued his studies and is in the final B. A. of the Hitkarini College, Jubbulpore. He is now associated with the management of his estate and attends the sadar office in spare time. It is hoped that after his examination in April 1941 he will be able to devote more time towards the work of management.

75. **Gumashta estate.**—Mr. Kamtanath Sinha (24), son of Dr. Pannalal, I.C.S., lives with his father and is continuing his studies. Shrikrishnalal (24) is now employed in the court of wards office. Mohanlal (19) is in Intermediate Final and is a member of the University Training Corps. His younger brother Badri Bishal Lal (16) is in the IX class of a local high school.

76. **Bhaironghat estate.**—The wards Thakur Nek Narain Singh and Daulat Singh were married during the year. They continued their studies in the St. Aloysius High School but made no real progress there. It has, therefore, been decided to take them from the School and give them private tuition as well as some training in agriculture.

77. **Chichli estate.**—The ward Raja Shanker Pratap Singh passed his Diploma examination at the Rajkumar College, Raipur, with distinction, and is now going up for the Intermediate examination of the Nagpur University.

78. Bilehra estate.—Rao Gajendra Singh appeared for the Matriculation examination but failed. He is now 24 years and has discontinued his studies. He was remarried during the year. Rao Beni Madhosingh stays with his mother who looks after his education.

Nagpur
division

79. Raja Bahadur Raghoji Rao Bhonsle and his two sons Kuar Fatehsingh Rao and Jaisingh Rao enjoyed sound health except that the Raja Bahadur was troubled by an abscess in the leg. He spent the summer in Ekalvehri in the Chhindwara district. Kuar Ajitsingh Rao, the eldest son of Kuar Fatehsingh Rao, has been admitted to the New English High School, Nagpur, and is reported to be making steady progress.

80. Kuar Pratapsingh Rao Bhonsle continues to receive training in estate management. He also continues his studies under his tutor. Kuar Rajaramsingh was withdrawn from the Rajkumar College in January 1940 and is now preparing for the High School Certificate Examination to be held in 1941. Kuars Vijaysingh and Tejsingh are admitted to a municipal Marathi primary school in IV and III standard, respectively, and are making satisfactory progress.

81. Raja Azamshah, ward of the Saasthanik estate, enjoyed good health throughout the year and no deterioration in his mental condition was reported. The Civil Surgeon and the Superintendent, Mental Hospital, Nagpur, continued to look after him. Rajkumari Rajmoti is doing well and has since been married to Vikramshah, a promising young man, studying in the Senior B.A. class in the Morris College. Rani Hiramoti continues to live at the Killa and is enjoying sound health. The two sons of the Raja go to the St. Francis de Sales School and his two daughters are reading at St. Joseph's Convent.

82. Rani Manmotibai, the mother of Raja Azamshah, died of heart failure on the 15th August 1940, at the ripe age of 73.

83. Mr. R. K. Naik, Extra-Assistant Commissioner, Buldana, and his sister, the Bhishnur wards, are doing well.

84. Mr. C. A. Kaptan and S. A. Kaptan, wards of Kaptan estate, are well. The former is confirmed as manager, court of wards, Betul, and the latter is reading in the II year class of the Agriculture College, Nagpur.

85. All the Seoni wards are doing well and so is Thakur balwantsingh of the Pachmarhi estate. The Aoriya and Kesia wards continue to make satisfactory progress with their studies.

Chhattis-
garh
division

86. All the wards and their relations enjoyed good health during the year. Shrimati Priyamati Devi, the Deori ward, who is of unsound mind, lives with her mother and has been given a companion who is of great help to her. The junior ward, Rukmani Bai lives at mauza Rikho. Lal Brijrajsingh, ward of the Narra estate, who is deaf and dumb, was withdrawn from the Bhonsla Deaf and Dumb School, Nagpur. As he made little progress there, it has been decided to send him to the deaf and dumb school at Calcutta. The Government ward, Lal

Nagendra Shah of the Bindra-Nawagarh estate, lived mostly at Raipur and Raigarh. He does not take any interest in the estate management and is only a puppet in the hands of his uneducated associates. His son, Prithviraj Shah, is doing fairly well at the Rajkumar College. Mst. Shyam Kumari Devi of Fingeshwar is perhaps the most enlightened of the wards. Arrangements are being made at her request to give her training in estate administration. The three Kumars of Kauria remained at the Rajkumar College. Umrao Singh, the eldest, will be withdrawn next year having reached the maximum age. Lal Dongarsingh, brother of the Suarmar ward, has been appointed assistant dewan in Kawardha State as a result of his training in Raipur. Lal Bahadursingh of Phuljhar takes an interest in estate management. His two-sons are doing well at the Rajkumar College. The wedding of the eldest daughter with the heir apparent of the Ruling Chief of Sarangarh State has been celebrated. The progress of Lal Shamshah, the minor ward of Panabaras, is a difficult problem. He overstays his holidays if allowed to go home, and once ran away from the Rajkumar College. An erratic and abnormal boy, who ought to be kept away from his eccentric and uneducated father. The maintenance allowance of Mst. Radhakuar Bai and Mst. Dariya Bai, widows of the late zamindar Lal Dameshah, was increased by Rs. 50 and Rs. 20, respectively. The allowance of the father of the ward, Lal Bhagwan Shah, was also raised by Rs. 50 because he was keeping a car. The younger brother of the ward is educated at home under a private tutor. The civil suit brought by Lal Chhatrapalsingh for succession to the Sahaspur-Lohara estate is proceeding. Mr. Chandanlal Bhau of Hatta did not show any interest in estate administration. His sons continue to study in the Government High School at Balaghat.

87. **Gardens.**—The gardens at Pithora (Kauria), Amarwa (Deori), Sahaspur-Lohara, Mohalla (Panabaras) and Hatta (Balaghat) are pleasure gardens for the wards and their families, and are not supposed to pay. The income and expenditure are compared below :—

Name of garden	Income		Expenditure	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
(1) Pithora	474	[171]	628	[831]
(2) Sahaspur-Lohara	381	[300]	615	[793]
(3) Mohalla	250	[190]	747	[741]

Similar information in respect of Amarwa (Deori) and Hatta (Balaghat) has not been given. The orange garden at Hatta is reported to be thriving well.

88. **Audit notes.**—The accounts of all the estates were audited and generally found to be in fair order. The accounts of Sahaspur-Lohara were, however, not satisfactory and disciplinary action was taken against the officials at fault. In Bindra-Nawagarh the receipts under forests required closer supervision and scrutiny. The accounts of sadar office were in good order.

Jubbulpore division 89. **Advisory committees.**—No meeting was summoned during the year.

Nagpur division 90. The advisory committee of the Nagpur estates continued to render useful assistance, while that of Seoni was not summoned as there was no important matter for discussion.

Chhattisgarh division 91. The meeting was called as usual at Raipur but no zamindar attended. Important matters relating to court of wards administration were, however, discussed by the Deputy Commissioner with the managers who were present at the meeting.

STATEMENTS

**STATEMENT I.—SHOWING THE GENERAL POSITION OF EACH ESTATE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district and estate	Name of principal proprietor, if all proprietors are majors, and number of co-sharers, if proprietors are minors, name and age of each	Date and grounds on which management was assumed	Total area of the estate	Total gross ordinary income of the year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			Acres	Rs.
Jubbulpore—				
Salaiya ..	Raja Ujjyarsingh, aged 18 years.	21st May 1932. Minority.	85,569	30,153
Ponda ..	Pandit B r a h m a d u t t a Shukul, aged 22 years.	7th October 1939. Indebtedness.	12,323	20,300
Khitola ..	Mst. Bari Babu	15th December 1929. Incapacity.	10,452	20,334
Imlai ..	Kumar Raghuraj Singh ..	17th May 1940. Incapacity.	105,716	26,790
Gumashta ..	Baboo Kamtanath and others.	4th September 1935. Incapacity.	1,583	3,399
Bhaironghat	Thakur Neknarayan Singh, aged 19 years.	7th May 1937. Minority and indebtedness.	33,542	27,182
Sarond ..	Pandit Sheobandhan Pande of Mirzapur, United Provinces.	29th November 1937. Disqualification under section 5 (1)(b), Court of Wards Act.	492	2,661
		Total 7 estates ..	249,747	1,30,819
Saugor—				
Bangaon ..	Dharmendra Singh Hazari, aged 19 years.	17th January 1928. Minority.	5,305	3,726
		Total 1 estate ..	5,305	3,726
Hoshangabad—				
Chichli ..	Raja Shanker Pratap Singh, aged 19 years.	21st May 1932. Minority.	49,801	59,166
Bilehra ..	Rao Gajendra Singh and Rao Beni Madho Singh, aged 23 and 10 years, respectively.	6th May 1933. Indebtedness.	7,176	24,957
Dhanwada ..	Rai Sahib Seth Harishanker	4th November 1930. Indebtedness and incapacity.	19,463	42,552
		Total 3 estates ..	76,440	1,26,675
Nimar—				
Mandhata ..	Rao Sobhag Singh, aged 34 years.	16th May 1933. Incapacity and indebtedness.	7,139	29,479
		Total 1 estate ..	7,139	29,479
		Total Jubbulpore division, 12 estates.	338,631	2,90,699

UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
 THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940

Total gross ordinary expenditure of the year	Assets in cash, investments and sums due to the estates				Total	Total present liabilities
	Cash in hand	Invested in Government or other securities	Taccavi and other advan- ces in cash	Sums due to the estate		
(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
30,028	3,238	5,000	..	5,426	13,664	..
20,122	403	300	3,042	7,346	11,091	30,283
15,563	6,941	200	10	6,601	13,847	..
25,206	3,296	500	10,000	6,314	20,110	4,500
3,304	1,410	..	1,073	1,737	4,220	293
23,089	1,076	..	1,884	5,908	8,868	79,414
2,251	743	1,071	1,814	..
<hr/> 1,19,563	<hr/> 17,107	<hr/> 6,000	<hr/> 16,104	<hr/> 34,403	<hr/> 73,614	<hr/> 1,14,490
4,814	1,452	1,983	..	2,891	6,326	..
<hr/> 4,814	<hr/> 1,452	<hr/> 1,983	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 2,891	<hr/> 6,326	<hr/> ..
56,161	33,193	10,000	..	17,323	60,516	..
24,673	2,047	2,047	20,584
34,345	2,710	..	1,000	58,930	62,640	2,14,161
<hr/> 1,15,179	<hr/> 37,950	<hr/> 10,000	<hr/> 1,000	<hr/> 76,253	<hr/> 1,25,203	<hr/> 2,34,745
21,169	13,006	13,006	..
<hr/> 21,169	<hr/> 13,006	<hr/> ..	<hr/> ..	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 13,006	<hr/> ..
2,60,725	69,515	17,983	17,104	1,13,547	2,18,149	3,49,235

**STATEMENT I.—SHOWING THE GENERAL POSITION OF EACH ESTATE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district and estate	Name of principal proprietor, if all proprietors are majors, and number of co-sharers, if proprietors are minors, name and age of each	Date and grounds on which management was assumed	Total area of the estate	Total gross ordinary income of the year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Acres	(5) Rs.
Nagpur—				
Senior Bhonsla	(1) Raja Bahadur Raghoji Rao Bhonsle, aged 69 years. (2) Kuar Fatehsingh Rao Bhonsle, aged 49 years. (3) Kuar Jaisingh Rao Bhonsle, aged 37 years.	12th February 1925. Indebtedness.	106,825	2,65,365
Junior Bhonsla	(1) Kuar Pratapsingh Rao, aged 23 years. (2) Kuar Rajaram Singh Rao, aged 21 years. (3) Kuar Vijaya Singh Rao, aged 10 years. (4) Kuar Tejsingh Rao, aged 8 years.	29th July 1932. Minority and indebtedness.	155,660	2,01,307
Sausthanik ..	Raja Azamshah, aged 57 years.	2nd May 1908. Insanity.	68,125	1,76,789
Bhishnur ..	Mr. R. K. Naik, B.Sc., LL.B., aged 27 years.	10th November 1923. Indebtedness.	7,160	14,561
Kaptan ..	(1) Mr. Chintaman Rao Kaptan, aged 31 years. (2) Mr. Shankar Rao Kaptan, aged 19 years.	10th November 1938. Indebtedness.	5,311	12,582
Total 5 estates ..			343,081	6,70,604
Chhindwara—				
Sarekha ..	Thakur Dipsingh ..	1st January 1926. Indebtedness.	15,046	12,292
Anjania ..	Failqus Mohd. Khan, aged 23 years.	14th January 1933. Indebtedness.	6,653	5,847
Gohna ..	Hayat Mohd. Khan ..	15th March 1933. Indebtedness.	5,944	6,244
Chidi ..	Arsala Khan and Firdos Mohd. Khan.	22nd September 1934. Indebtedness.	5,907	6,972
Pachmarhi ..	Thakur Balwant Singh ..	14th February 1925. Indebtedness.	65,091	20,009
Total 5 estates ..			98,641	51,364
Betul—				
Aoriya ..	Mr. Nandkishore, aged 20 years.	15th December 1932. Minority.	22,972	18,555
Kesia ..	Mr. Krishna Kumar, aged 18 years.	2nd October 1935. Minority.	16,774	4,388
Damjipura ..	Mr. Babulal	5th November 1938. Indebtedness.	27,742	6,172
Total 3 estates ..			67,488	29,115
Total Nagpur division, 13 estates.			509,210	7,51,083

UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—cont.

Total gross ordinary expenditure of the year (6)	Assets in cash, investments and sums due to the estates				Total (11)	Total present liabilities (12)
	Cash in hand (7)	Invested in Government and other securities (8)	Taccavi and other advan- ces in cash (9)	Sums due to the estate (10)		
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
2,37,968	5,139	..	1,242	56,607	62,988	8,52,886
2,00,283	8,316	16,000	19,394	1,90,060	2,33,770	2,89,219
1,82,851	5,165	1,600	2,745	93,814	1,03,324	27,000
12,626	1,455	1,010	1,727	4,402	8,594	14,832
13,983	1,293	375	6,989	5,687	14,344	50,000
<u>6,47,711</u>	<u>21,368</u>	<u>18,985</u>	<u>32,097</u>	<u>3,50,570</u>	<u>4,23,020</u>	<u>12,33,937</u>
7,494	6,221	..	857	3,922	11,000	52,500
4,731	277	4,709	4,986	15,830
6,348	250	8,457	8,707	37,334
6,638	272	..	342	4,147	4,761	59,756
14,039	9,427	8,813	7,107	1,718	27,065	19,000
<u>39,250</u>	<u>16,197</u>	<u>8,813</u>	<u>8,556</u>	<u>22,953</u>	<u>56,519</u>	<u>1,84,420</u>
19,534	535	225	13,843	15,284	29,887	2,000
5,198	228	..	120	8,008	8,356	..
6,855	3	..	3,221	12,957	16,181	49,852
<u>31,587</u>	<u>766</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>17,184</u>	<u>36,249</u>	<u>54,424</u>	<u>51,852</u>
<u>7,18,548</u>	<u>38,331</u>	<u>28,023</u>	<u>57,837</u>	<u>4,09,772</u>	<u>5,33,963</u>	<u>14,70,209</u>

STATEMENT I.—SHOWING THE GENERAL POSITION OF EACH ESTATE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING

Name of district and estate	Name of principal proprietor, if all proprietors are majors, and number of co-sharers, if proprietors are minors, name and age of each	Date and grounds on which management was assumed	Total area of the estate	Total gross ordinary income of the year
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			Acres	Rs.
Raipur—				
Kauria ..	Mst. Vishnupriya Devi ..	25th July 1901. Incapacity.	155,480	84,321
Deori ..	Mst. Priyamati Devi ..	10th July 1916. Incapacity.	38,197	50,695
Suarmar ..	Lal Bhanu Pratap Singh ..	9th May 1932. Indebtedness.	95,630	61,614
Bhurkoni ..	Lal Manohar Singh and four others.	5th June 1928. Indebtedness.	13,123	6,224
Narra ..	Lal Brijraj Singh, minor, aged 18 years.	28th February 1934. Indebtedness.	13,451	11,636
Phuljhar ..	Lal Bahadur Singh ..	1st November 1932. Indebtedness.	506,880	1,55,643
Bindra-Nawagarh.	Lal Nagendra Shah ..	13th January 1937. Indebtedness.	941,348	1,82,050
Fingeshwar ..	Mst. Shyam Kumari Devi	12th February 1935. Indebtedness.	70,961	73,697
		Total 8 estates ..	<u>1,835,070</u>	<u>6,25,880</u>
Drug—				
Sahas p u r - Lohara.	Mst. Gourkunwar Bai ..	17th May 1939. Incapacity.	90,880	56,111
Panabaras ..	Lal Sham Shah, minor, aged 18 years.	4th December 1928. Minority.	(b) 278,051	90,332
Wararbandh..	Mst. Gaijdkunwar Bai ..	3rd September 1924. Incapacity and Indebtedness.	7,730	9,806
		Total 3 estates ..	<u>376,661</u>	<u>1,56,249</u>
Bhandara—				
Darekasa ..	Mst. Saguna Bai ..	7th August 1900. Incapacity.	18,560	16,688
		Total 1 estate ..	<u>18,560</u>	<u>16,688</u>
Balaghat—				
Hatta ..	Chandanlal Bhau ..	18th December 1930. Indebtedness.	98,758	98,592
		Total 1 estate ..	<u>98,758</u>	<u>98,592</u>
		Total Chhattisgarh division, 13 estates.	<u>2,329,049</u>	<u>8,97,409</u>
		Grand Total ..	<u>3,176,890</u>	<u>19,39,191</u>

(a) The shares in the Co-operative Bank in the name of ...
(b) Last year's figure was wrong

UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—cont.

Total gross ordinary expenditure of the year	Assets in cash, investments and sums due to the estates					Total	Total present liabilities
	Cash in hand	Invested in Government and other securities	Taccavi and other advances in cash	Sums due to the estate			
	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
85,039	42,016	31,000	94,042	6,431	1,73,489	..	
34,081	28,125	21,000	8,470	522	58,117	..	
46,536	8,384	..	3,738	4,479	16,601	1,20,282	
4,747	571	143	714	..	
5,425	5,079	106	5,185	..	
1,53,910	11,983	36,525	48,508	6,85,881	
1,73,587	26,418	(a) 1,000	..	16,757	44,175	7,03,767	
65,961	22,552	..	1,223	13,742	37,517	1,20,684	
<u>5,69,286</u>	<u>1,45,128</u>	<u>53,000</u>	<u>1,07,473</u>	<u>78,705</u>	<u>3,84,306</u>	<u>16,30,614</u>	
56,719	15,149	9,900	14,105	10,234	49,388	..	
66,731	81,051	70,173	1,04,588	5,884	2,61,696	..	
4,714	3,794	..	18	109	3,921	..	
<u>1,28,164</u>	<u>99,994</u>	<u>80,073</u>	<u>1,18,711</u>	<u>16,227</u>	<u>3,15,005</u>	<u>..</u>	
11,437	12,873	27,633	135	806	41,447	..	
<u>11,437</u>	<u>12,873</u>	<u>27,633</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>806</u>	<u>41,447</u>	<u>..</u>	
96,806	14,185	5,636	3,880	21,797	45,498	1,28,000	
<u>96,806</u>	<u>14,185</u>	<u>5,636</u>	<u>3,880</u>	<u>21,797</u>	<u>45,498</u>	<u>1,28,000</u>	
8,05,693	2,72,180	1,66,342	2,30,199	1,17,535	7,86,256	17,58,614	
<u>17,84,966</u>	<u>3,80,026</u>	<u>2,12,348</u>	<u>3,05,140</u>	<u>6,40,854</u>	<u>15,38,368</u>	<u>35,78,058</u>	

of the ward Lal Nagendra Shah have been taken into account.
Correct area has now been given.

STATEMENT II.—SHOWING RECEIPTS IN EACH ESTATE UNDER
DURING THE YEAR ENDING

Name of district and estate	Demand and collections on account of rents (including rents from <i>sir</i> , theka-jama, malikana and cesses)						Collections	Per- centage of collections on current demand (column 8 on column 3)
	Current demand		Arrear demand		Total demand			
	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Jubbulpore—								
Salaiya	20,772	20,428	2,207	2,176	22,979	22,604	21,199	103
Ponda	14,606	12,926	4,058	1,613	18,664	14,539	13,236	102
Khitola	14,670	14,280	4,777	1,077	19,447	15,357	14,417	101
Imlai	15,749	15,516	3,282	1,781	19,031	17,297	15,658	101
Gumashta	2,728	2,728	1,366	522	4,094	3,250	2,905	107
Bhaironghat	9,847	9,692	2,822	2,010	12,669	11,702	11,870	122
Sarond	1,120	1,120	2,091	2,091	3,211	3,211	2,441	218
Total	79,492	76,690	20,603	11,270	1,00,095	87,960	81,726	107
Saugor—								
Bangaon	3,450	3,450	859	859	4,309	4,309	3,303	96
Total	3,450	3,450	859	859	4,309	4,309	3,303	96
Hoshangabad—								
Chichli	52,055	43,669	29,834	29,601	81,889	73,270	46,560	106
Bilhera	22,512	22,340	7,620	7,457	30,132	29,797	23,378	104
Dhanwada	16,571	15,963	7,748	6,260	24,319	22,223	13,468	84
Total	91,138	81,972	45,202	43,318	1,36,340	1,25,290	83,406	102
Nimar—								
Mandhata	4,065	4,017	263	262	4,328	4,279	4,087	102
Total	4,065	4,017	263	262	4,328	4,279	4,087	102
Total Jubbulpore divi- sion.	1,78,145	1,66,129	66,927	55,709	2,45,072	2,21,838	1,72,522	104

(a) Relates to sale-proceeds of

GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940

Income from forests	Miscellaneous including interest	Total gross ordinary income (columns 8, 10 and 11)	Other receipts				Grand Total (columns 12 to 16)
			Cash balance of last year	Advances recovered	Debts recovered	Loans received	
(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
5,119	3,835	30,153	6,863	8,152	2,000	..	47,168
1,966	5,098	20,300	237	5,316	16	1,988	27,857
1,014	4,903	20,334	3,981	6,371	1,551	440	32,677
6,065	5,067	26,790	3,897	6,781	20	2,645	40,133
21	473	3,399	1,434	419	107	..	5,359
6,565	8,747	27,182	1,225	5,925	156	..	34,488
..	220	2,661	358	50	3,069
20,750	28,343	1,30,819	17,995	33,014	3,850	(a) 5,073	1,90,751
..	423	3,726	2,330	1	209	..	6,266
..	423	3,726	2,330	1	209	..	6,266
2,770	9,836	59,166	35,209	9,588	6,094	..	1,10,057
..	1,579	24,957	212	2,338	5,214	..	32,721
10,975	18,109	42,552	760	..	6,076	..	49,388
13,745	29,524	1,26,675	36,181	11,926	17,384	..	1,92,166
1,052	24,340	29,479	587	2,591	863	(b) 3,820	37,340
1,052	24,340	29,479	587	2,591	863	3,820	37,340
35,547	82,630	2,90,699	57,093	47,532	22,306	8,893	4,26,523

immoveable property. (b) Deposits.

**STATEMENT II.—SHOWING RECEIPTS IN EACH ESTATE UNDER
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district and estate	Demand and collections on account of rents (including rents from <i>sir</i> , <i>theka-jama</i> , <i>malikana</i> and cesses)						Collec- tions	Per- centage of collec- tions on current demand (column 8 on column 3)
	Current demand		Arrear demand		Total demand			
	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Nagpur—								
Senior Bhonsla	1,17,747	1,15,081	57,270	43,635	1,75,017	1,58,716	1,34,040	116
Junior Bhonsla	1,35,764	1,34,486	1,03,521	71,751	2,39,285	2,06,237	1,46,672	109
Saasthanik	94,654	94,342	67,192	38,175	1,61,846	1,32,517	94,346	100
Bhishnur	8,874	8,823	2,838	2,282	11,712	11,105	9,549	108
Kaptan	6,774	6,648	7,904	7,329	14,678	13,977	10,075	152
Total	3,63,813	3,59,380	2,38,725	1,63,172	6,02,538	5,22,552	3,94,682	109
Chhindwara—								
Sarekha	6,864	6,838	2,077	2,077	8,941	8,915	7,282	106
Anjania	3,266	2,912	3,354	1,948	6,620	4,860	2,401	82
Gohna	4,238	3,731	6,779	4,053	11,017	7,784	2,725	73
Chidi	2,311	1,736	5,498	3,551	7,809	5,287	1,581	91
Pachmarhi	4,933	4,309	662	662	5,595	4,971	4,309	100
Total	21,612	19,526	18,370	12,291	39,982	31,817	18,298	94
Betul—								
Aoriya	12,364	11,964	12,262	11,412	24,626	23,376	10,737	87
Kesia	5,180	2,270	4,978	3,003	10,158	5,273	2,313	96
Damjipura	7,304	7,298	10,267	10,267	17,571	17,565	4,688	63
Total	24,848	21,532	27,507	24,682	52,355	46,214	17,738	82
Grand Total	4,10,273	4,00,438	2,84,602	2,00,145	6,94,875	6,00,583	4,30,718	107

(a) The collection of Rs. 17,738 includes a total sum of Rs. 286 on account of advance col-

GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—cont.

Income from forests	Miscellaneous including interest	Total gross ordinary income (columns 8, 10 and 11)	Other receipts				Grand Total (columns 12 to 16)
			Cash balance of last year	Advances recovered	Debts recovered	Loans received	
(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
4,792	1,26,533	2,65,365	3,043	75,994	17,691	..	3,62,093
5,832	48,803	2,01,307	581	26,049	15,984	5,000	2,48,921
2,466	79,977	1,76,789	2,643	25,232	7,495	30,000	2,42,159
404	4,608	14,561	275	4,352	320	..	19,508
..	2,507	12,582	213	3,572	1,439	50,000	67,806
13,494	2,62,428	6,70,604	6,755	1,35,199	42,929	85,000	9,40,487
3,873	1,137	12,292	1,553	1,714	134	..	15,693
2,260	1,186	5,847	709	1,504	48	..	8,108
2,422	1,097	6,244	104	1,033	78	..	7,459
4,702	689	6,972	72	1,771	29	..	8,844
13,110	2,590	20,009	14,141	7,458	396	..	42,004
26,367	6,699	51,364	16,579	13,480	685	..	82,108
3,902	3,916	18,555	1,065	950	1,398	..	21,908
1,502	573	4,388	239	541	1,358	..	6,52
835	649	6,172	611	10	75
6,239	5,138	29,115	1,915	1,501	2,831	..	35,362
46,100	2,74,265	7,51,083	25,249	1,50,180	46,445	85,000	10,57,95

lection (Aoriya 190; Kesia 16; Damjipura 80).

(b) Includes Rs. 273 collected in advance.

**STATEMENT II.—SHOWING RECEIPTS IN EACH ESTATE UNDER
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district and estate	Demand and collections on account of rents (including rents from <i>sir</i> , theka-jama, malikana and cesses)						Collections	Per- centage of collec- tions on current demand (column 8 on column 3)
	Current demand		Arrear demand		Total demand			
	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Includ- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions	Exclud- ing remis- sions and suspens- ions		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Raipur—								
Kauria	36,554	36,533	3,441	3,334	39,995	39,867	37,954	100
Deori	6,381	6,381	6,381	6,381	6,374	100
Suarmar	23,506	23,499	3,830	3,810	27,336	27,309	23,514	100
Bhurkoni	3,478	3,466	48	48	3,526	3,514	3,462	100
Narra	4,154	4,154	96	96	4,250	4,250	4,239	100
Phuljhar	93,790	93,620	40,932	28,255	1,34,722	1,21,875	88,991	95
Bindra-Nawagarh	67,948	67,733	11,444	10,240	79,392	77,973	68,718	101
Fingeshwar	43,894	43,770	12,604	11,335	56,498	55,105	45,010	100
Total	2,79,705	2,79,156	72,395	57,118	3,52,100	3,36,274	2,78,262	99
Drug—								
Sahaspur-Lohara	40,780	40,601	8,262	8,000	49,042	48,601	39,188	90
Panabaras	21,502	21,497	3,704	3,416	25,206	24,913	21,860	100
Wararbandh.. ..	6,912	6,912	1,020	1,020	7,932	7,932	7,828	110
Total	69,194	69,010	12,986	12,436	82,180	81,446	68,876	90
Bhandara—								
Darekasa	4,935	4,893	812	630	5,747	5,523	4,742	90
Total	4,935	4,893	812	630	5,747	5,523	4,742	90
Balaghat—								
Hatta	84,440	84,440	18,132	17,809	1,02,572	1,02,249	80,984	90
Total	84,440	84,440	18,132	17,809	1,02,572	1,02,249	80,984	90
Total Chhattisgarh division.	4,38,274	4,37,499	1,04,325	87,993	5,42,599	5,25,492	4,32,864	90
Grand Total	10,26,692	10,04,066	4,55,854	3,43,847	14,82,546	13,47,913	10,36,104	100

(a) Excludes Rs. 391 on account of excess collections wrongly shown as Rs. 254 in previous year.

(b) Excludes Rs. 87 on account of excess collections wrongly shown as Rs. 126 but includes Rs. 39 on account of advance collections of the year under report.

(c) Includes Rs. 12 on account of advance collections of the year under report.

(d) Excludes Rs. 8 of the Kirnapur estate under provisional management which was wrongly shown as Rs. 10 in the year ending 1911-12.

GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—cont.

Income from forests	Miscellaneous including interest	Total gross ordinary income (columns 8, 10 and 11)	Other receipts				Grand Total (columns 12 to 16)
			Cash balance of last year	Advances recovered	Debts recovered	Loans received	
(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
31,708	14,659	84,321	49,370	29,237	9,235	..	1,72,163
40,071	4,250	50,695	20,830	19,660	500	..	91,685
31,809	6,291	61,614	6,683	11,116	8	..	79,421
2,675	87	6,224	762	871	7,857
6,568	829	11,636	3,811	2,784	24	..	18,255
55,941	10,711	1,55,643	14,083	25,686	1,95,412
1,00,878	12,454	1,82,050	34,361	37,360	..	2,46,500	5,00,271
17,994	10,693	73,697	28,953	18,096	953	..	1,21,699
<u>2,87,644</u>	<u>59,974</u>	<u>6,25,880</u>	<u>1,58,853</u>	<u>1,44,810</u>	<u>10,720</u>	<u>2,46,500</u>	<u>11,86,763</u>
8,571	8,352	56,111	14,105	4,676	5,808	..	80,700
58,149	10,323	90,332	65,186	22,572	484	..	1,78,574
316	1,662	9,806	834	730	18	..	11,388
<u>67,036</u>	<u>20,337</u>	<u>1,56,249</u>	<u>80,125</u>	<u>27,978</u>	<u>6,310</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>2,70,662</u>
9,738	2,208	16,688	9,447	702	113	..	26,950
<u>9,738</u>	<u>2,208</u>	<u>16,688</u>	<u>9,447</u>	<u>702</u>	<u>113</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>26,950</u>
7,687	9,921	98,592	(d) 18,306	8,437	5,978	..	1,31,313
<u>7,687</u>	<u>9,921</u>	<u>98,592</u>	<u>18,306</u>	<u>8,437</u>	<u>5,978</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>1,31,313</u>
3,72,105	92,440	8,97,409	2,66,731	1,81,927	23,121	2,46,500	16,15,688
<u>4,53,752</u>	<u>4,49,335</u>	<u>19,39,191</u>	<u>3,49,073</u>	<u>3,79,639</u>	<u>91,872</u>	<u>3,40,393</u>	<u>31,00,168</u>

year, but includes Rs. 224 on account of advance collection of the year under report.
Rs. 99 on account of advance collection of the year under report.

taken into account.

**STATEMENT III.—SHOWING EXPENDITURE IN EACH ESTATE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district and estate	Land revenue and cesses	Interest on debts	Personal expenses including education	Miscellaneous	Cost of management inclusive of contribution	
					Amount	Percentage on gross ordinary income (column 6 on column 12 of Statement II)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Jubbulpore—						
Salaiya ..	12,869	..	6,990	2,823	7,346	24
Ponda ..	7,910	600	4,340	3,722	3,550	17
Khitola ..	7,878	..	2,267	1,990	3,428	17
Imlai ..	4,512	..	9,497	4,042	7,155	27
Gumashta ..	1,489	..	626	499	690	20
Bhaironghat ..	3,866	432	8,926	3,552	6,313	23
Sarond ..	1,865	181	205	8
Total ..	40,389	1,032	32,646	16,809	28,687	21
Saugor—						
Bangaon ..	2,117	..	818	1,135	744	20
Total ..	2,117	..	818	1,135	744	20
Hoshangabad—						
Chichli ..	28,991	..	7,748	7,589	11,833	20
Bilehra ..	14,144	3,432	2,670	1,039	3,388	14
Dhanwada ..	13,380	3,814	5,347	4,531	7,273	17
Total ..	56,515	7,246	15,765	13,159	22,494	18
Nimar—						
Mandhata ..	711	..	4,212	4,744	11,502	29
Total ..	711	..	4,212	4,744	11,502	29
Total Jubbulpore division ..	99,732	8,278	53,441	35,847	63,427	22
Nagpur—						
Senior Bhonsla ..	52,340	57,702	70,724	27,907	29,295	11
Junior Bhonsla ..	67,761	15,392	55,412	27,019	34,699	17
Saasthanik ..	74,104	1,313	40,040	36,486	30,908	17
Bhishnur ..	5,778	730	1,947	1,543	2,628	18
Kaptan ..	7,711	578	934	2,799	1,961	15
Total ..	2,07,694	75,715	1,69,057	95,754	99,491	15
Chhindwara—						
Sarekha ..	4,391	..	302	725	2,076	17
Anjania ..	2,390	1,173	1,168	20
Gohna ..	3,537	..	1,100	713	998	16
Chidi ..	3,905	1,621	1,112	16
Pachmarbi ..	1,993	2,767	3,000	862	5,417	27
Total ..	16,216	2,767	4,402	5,094	10,771	20

(a) Excludes Rs. 225 on account of deposits refunded

UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940

Investments							Cash balance at the close of the year
Total gross ordinary expendi- ture (columns 2 to 6)	Estate improve- ments	Taccavi loans	Other invest- ments	Spent in repayment of debts (principal)	Advances made	Grand Total	
(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
30,028	1,489	..	3,500	..	(a) 8,688	43,705	3,238
20,122	335	..	7	1,500	5,490	27,454	403
15,563	4,157	..	1,566	..	4,450	25,736	6,941
25,206	3,410	1,250	6,971	36,837	3,296
3,304	97	548	3,949	1,410
23,089	928	..	2	2,708	6,310	(b) 33,037	1,076
2,251	75	2,326	743
<u>1,19,563</u>	<u>10,416</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>5,075</u>	<u>5,458</u>	<u>32,532</u>	<u>1,73,044</u>	<u>17,107</u>
4,814	4,814	1,452
4,814	4,814	1,452
56,161	1,178	..	10,000	..	9,525	76,864	33,193
24,673	500	3,226	2,275	30,674	2,047
34,345	1,324	11,009	..	46,678	2,710
<u>1,15,179</u>	<u>1,178</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>11,824</u>	<u>14,235</u>	<u>11,800</u>	<u>1,54,216</u>	<u>37,950</u>
21,169	3,165	24,334	13,006
21,169	3,165	24,334	13,006
<u>2,60,725</u>	<u>11,594</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>16,899</u>	<u>19,693</u>	<u>47,497</u>	<u>3,56,408</u>	<u>69,515</u>
2,37,968	2,109	..	12,300	23,554	81,023	3,56,954	5,139
2,00,283	5,311	5,107	29,904	2,40,605	8,316
1,82,851	7,280	755	475	18,000	27,633	2,36,994	5,165
12,626	332	527	4,569	18,054	1,455
13,983	1,786	46,835	3,909	66,513	1,293
<u>6,47,711</u>	<u>16,818</u>	<u>755</u>	<u>12,775</u>	<u>94,023</u>	<u>1,47,038</u>	<u>9,19,120</u>	<u>21,368</u>
7,494	50	1,929	9,473	6,221
4,731	50	1,400	1,650	7,831	277
6,348	10	65	1,036	7,459	..
6,638	8	100	1,825	8,571	272
14,039	1,145	200	..	10,000	7,192	32,576	9,427
<u>39,250</u>	<u>1,263</u>	<u>365</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>11,400</u>	<u>13,632</u>	<u>65,910</u>	<u>16,197</u>

(b) Excludes Rs. 375 on account of deposits refunded.

STATEMENT III.—SHOWING EXPENDITURE IN EACH ESTATE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING

Name of district and estate	Land revenue and cesses	Interest on debts	Personal expenses including education	Miscellaneous	Cost of management inclusive of contribution	
					Amount	Percentage on gross ordinary income (column 6 on column 12 of Statement II)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Betul—						
Aoriya ..	8,561	192	2,720	3,335	4,726	24
Kesia ..	1,709	27	847	955	1,659	28
Damjipura ..	5,094	227	1,534	25
Total ..	15,364	219	3,567	4,518	7,919	27
Total Nagpur division ..	2,39,274	78,701	1,77,026	1,05,366	1,18,181	16
Raipur—						
Kauria ..	24,326	..	22,915	17,646	20,152	24
Deori ..	5,567	..	8,677	10,886	8,951	17
Suarmar ..	12,112	6,756	15,166	2,930	9,572	16
Bhurkoni ..	2,440	67	1,300	60	880	14
Narra ..	1,740	271	1,552	316	1,546	13
Phuljhar ..	68,659	34,294	21,540	5,388	24,029	15
Bindra-Nawagarh ..	54,435	39,013	27,973	13,370	38,796	21
Fingeshwar ..	23,184	6,606	14,366	9,364	12,441	17
Total ..	1,92,463	87,007	1,13,489	59,960	1,16,367	18
Drug—						
Sahaspur-Lohara ..	22,955	..	10,999	10,936	11,829	21
Panabaras ..	10,836	..	17,308	19,322	19,265	21
Wararbandh ..	958	100	1,792	455	1,409	14
Total ..	34,749	100	30,099	30,713	32,503	21
Bhandara—						
Darekasa ..	3,423	..	2,675	1,629	3,710	22
Total ..	3,423	..	2,675	1,629	3,710	22
Balaghat—						
Hatta ..	57,738	6,700	10,959	5,583	15,826	16
Total ..	57,738	6,700	10,959	5,583	15,826	16
Total Chhattisgarh division.	2,88,373	93,807	1,57,222	97,885	1,68,406	19
Grand Total ..	6,27,379	1,80,786	3,87,689	2,39,098	3,50,014	18

UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—*contd.*

Total gross ordinary expenditure (columns 2 to 6)	Investments					Grand Total	Cash balance at the close of the year
	Estate improvements	Taccavi loans	Other investments	Spent in repayment of debts (principal)	Advances made		
(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
19,534	1,200	700	21,434	535
5,198	25	654	421	6,298	228
6,855	10	6,865	3
<u>31,587</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>1,854</u>	<u>1,131</u>	<u>34,597</u>	<u>766</u>
<u>7,18,548</u>	<u>18,106</u>	<u>1,120</u>	<u>12,775</u>	<u>1,07,277</u>	<u>1,61,801</u>	<u>10,19,627</u>	<u>38,331</u>
85,039	15,535	1,835	27,738	1,30,147	42,016
34,081	9,191	470	19,818	63,560	28,125
46,536	1,222	..	1,399	11,400	10,480	71,037	8,384
4,747	660	1,350	529	7,286	571
5,425	526	4,537	2,688	13,176	5,079
1,53,910	1,887	27,632	1,83,429	11,983
1,73,587	828	2,61,249	38,189	4,73,853	26,418
65,961	2,215	1,098	2,500	11,352	16,021	99,147	22,552
<u>5,69,286</u>	<u>32,064</u>	<u>3,403</u>	<u>3,899</u>	<u>2,89,888</u>	<u>1,43,095</u>	<u>10,41,635</u>	<u>1,45,128</u>
56,719	4,062	25	4,745	65,551	15,149
66,731	10,058	733	20,001	97,523	81,051
4,714	159	18	..	2,000	703	7,594	3,794
<u>1,28,164</u>	<u>14,279</u>	<u>776</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>2,000</u>	<u>25,449</u>	<u>1,70,668</u>	<u>99,994</u>
11,437	1,034	1,606	14,077	12,873
<u>11,437</u>	<u>1,034</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>1,606</u>	<u>14,077</u>	<u>12,873</u>
96,806	3,246	..	1,937	6,000	9,139	1,17,128	14,185
<u>96,806</u>	<u>3,246</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>1,937</u>	<u>6,000</u>	<u>9,139</u>	<u>1,17,128</u>	<u>14,185</u>
8,05,693	50,623	4,179	5,836	2,97,888	1,79,289	13,43,508	2,72,180
<u>17,84,966</u>	<u>80,323</u>	<u>5,299</u>	<u>35,510</u>	<u>4,24,858</u>	<u>3,88,587</u>	<u>27,19,543</u>	<u>3,80,026</u>

**STATEMENT IV.—SHOWING EFFICIENCY OF RENT COLLECTIONS IN THE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district	Demand		Total demand	Remitted
	Current or arrears or total	Raiyati or sir		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			Rs.	Rs.
Jubbulpore	.. { Current	.. { Rayati	73,827	308
		.. { Sir	5,665	..
	.. { Arrears	.. { Rayati	19,505	565
		.. { Sir	1,098	..
	Total	.. { Raiyati { Sir	93,332 6,763	873 ..
Saugor	.. { Current	.. { Raiyati	3,272	..
		.. { Sir	178	..
	.. { Arrears	.. { Raiyati	859	..
		.. { Sir
	Total	.. { Raiyati { Sir	4,131 178
Hoshangabad	.. { Current	.. { Raiyati	85,108	526
		.. { Sir	6,030	654
	.. { Arrears	.. { Raiyati	41,730	228
		.. { Sir	3,472	117
	Total	.. { Raiyati { Sir	1,26,838 9,502	754 771
Nimar	.. { Current	.. { Raiyati	3,436	48
		.. { Sir	629	..
	.. { Arrears	.. { Raiyati	216	1
		.. { Sir	47	..
	Total	.. { Raiyati { Sir	3,652 676	49 ..
Total Jubbulpore division	.. { Current	.. { Raiyati	1,65,643	882
		.. { Sir	12,502	654
	.. { Arrears	.. { Raiyati	62,310	794
		.. { Sir	4,617	117
	Total	.. { Raiyati { Sir	2,27,953 17,119	1,676 771

*Excludes—

(i) Excess collections of the year	Rs. 6,133
(ii) Rents received by suits on account of rental decrees of previous years received during the year.	1,847

Total .. 7,980

ESTATES UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940

Net demand	Collected	Converted into bonds or decrees	Balance	
			Suspended	Unsusended
(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
73,519	66,375	271	2,077	4,796
5,665	4,679	..	417	569
18,940	9,004	619	8,465	852
1,098	226	569	303	..
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
92,459	75,379	890	10,542	5,648
6,763	4,905	569	720	569
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
3,272	2,851	421
178	178
859	274	585
..
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
4,131	3,125	1,006
178	178
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
84,582	48,848	3,785	7,980	23,963
5,376	3,457	107	..	1,812
41,512	26,317	6,865	1,539	6,781
3,355	1,067	1,267	..	1,021
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
1,26,084	75,165	10,650	9,525	30,744
8,731	4,524	1,374	..	2,833
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
3,388	3,274	114
629	573	56
215	206	9
47	34	13
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
3,603	3,480	123
676	607	69
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
1,64,761	1,21,348	4,056	10,063	29,294
11,848	8,887	107	417	2,437
61,516	35,801	7,484	10,004	8,227
4,500	1,327	1,836	303	1,034
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
2,26,277	1,57,149*	11,540	20,067	37,521
16,348	10,214†	1,943	720	3,471
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

†Includes—

(i) Excess collection of previous year adjusted during the year	2,488
(ii) Suspended rents recovered in previous year refunded during the year	333

Total .. 2,821

**STATEMENT IV.—SHOWING EFFICIENCY OF RENT COLLECTIONS IN THE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district	Demand		Total demand	Remitted
	Current or arrears or total	Raiyati or sir		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			Rs.	Rs.
Nagpur	Current	Raiyati	3,56,048	2,147
		Sir	7,765	..
	Arrears	Raiyati	2,36,420*	4,347
		Sir	2,235	16
	Total	Raiyati	5,92,538	6,494
	Sir	10,000	16	
Chhindwara	Current	Raiyati	16,491	584
		Sir	5,122	283
	Arrears	Raiyati	11,334	1,075
		Sir	7,035	1,079
	Total	Raiyati	27,825	2,459
	Sir	12,157	1,362	
Betul	Current	Raiyati	21,836	30
		Sir	3,012	7
	Arrears	Raiyati	24,744†	381
		Sir	2,764†	..
	Total	Raiyati	46,580	417
	Sir	5,776	7	
Total Nagpur division	Current	Raiyati	3,94,375	2,767
		Sir	15,899	290
	Arrears	Raiyati	2,72,568	6,603
		Sir	12,034	1,095
	Total	Raiyati	6,66,943	9,370
	Sir	27,933	1,385	

*Arrear demand of mauza Khaparkheda which is sold is omitted.
(a) Includes advance collection of Rs. 68.
(b) Includes advance collection of Rs. 202.

ESTATES UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—*cont.*

Net demand	Collected	Converted into bonds or decrees	Balance	
			Suspended	Unsuspected
(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
3,53,901	2,73,786	14,186	2,285	63,644
7,765	6,888	877
2,32,143	1,12,553	15,485	71,006	33,099
2,219	1,455	20	186	558
<u>5,86,044</u>	<u>3,86,339</u>	<u>29,671</u>	<u>73,291</u>	<u>96,743</u>
9,984	8,343	20	186	1,435
15,907	(a) 9,790	33	1,106	5,046
4,839	(b) 3,208	..	114	1,719
9,459	(c) 3,856	678	1,038	3,890
5,956	1,444	243	2,086	2,183
<u>25,366</u>	<u>13,646</u>	<u>711</u>	<u>2,144</u>	<u>8,936</u>
10,795	4,652	243	2,200	3,902
21,860	8,014	197	3,275	10,485
3,005	1,989	940
24,363	6,798	668	2,443	14,454
2,764	937	2,018
<u>46,163</u>	<u>14,812</u>	<u>865</u>	<u>5,718</u>	<u>24,939</u>
5,769	2,926	2,958
3,91,608	2,91,590	14,416	6,666	79,175
15,609	12,085	..	114	3,536
2,65,965	1,23,207	16,831	74,487	51,443
10,939	3,836	263	2,272	4,759
<u>6,57,573</u>	<u>4,14,797</u>	<u>(d) 31,247</u>	<u>81,153</u>	<u>1,30,618</u>
26,548	15,921	263	2,386	8,295

†The increase of Rs. 10,648 is due to arrears of Damjipura estate.

(c) Includes advance collection of Rs. 3.

(d) Includes Rs. 559 on account of advance collection in the Chhindwara and Betul districts

**STATEMENT IV.—SHOWING EFFICIENCY OF RENT COLLECTIONS IN THE
DURING THE YEAR ENDING**

Name of district	Demand		Total demand	Remitted
	Current or arrears or total	Raiyati or sir		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			Rs.	Rs.
Raipur	.. {	Current .. { Raiyati	2,59,353	549
		.. { Sir	20,352	..
	.. {	Arrears .. { Raiyati	(a) 69,345	14,927
		.. { Sir	(b) 3,050	350
	Total .. {	Raiyati	3,28,698	15,476
.. {	Sir	23,402	350	
Drug	.. {	Current .. { Raiyati	61,814	184
		.. { Sir	7,380	..
	.. {	Arrears .. { Raiyati	(c) 12,275	550
		.. { Sir	711	..
	Total .. {	Raiyati	74,089	734
.. {	Sir	8,091	..	
Bhandara	.. {	Current .. { Raiyati	3,981	42
		.. { Sir	954	..
	.. {	Arrears .. { Raiyati	597	182
		.. { Sir	215	..
	Total .. {	Raiyati	4,578	224
.. {	Sir	1,169	..	
Balaghat	.. {	Current .. { Raiyati	72,775	..
		.. { Sir	11,665	..
	.. {	Arrears .. { Raiyati	(d) 14,227	323
		.. { Sir	(e) 3,905	..
	Total .. {	Raiyati	87,002	323
.. {	Sir	15,570	..	
Total Chhattisgarh division	.. {	Current .. { Raiyati	3,97,923	775
		.. { Sir	40,351	..
	.. {	Arrears .. { Raiyati	96,444	15,982
		.. { Sir	7,881	350
	Total .. {	Raiyati	4,94,367	16,757
.. {	Sir	48,232	350	
Grand Total	.. {	Current .. { Raiyati	9,57,941	4,424
		.. { Sir	68,752	944
	.. {	Arrears .. { Raiyati	4,31,322	23,379
		.. { Sir	24,532	1,562
	Total .. {	Raiyati	13,89,263	27,803
.. {	Sir	93,284	2,506	

(a) Includes Rs. 512 on account of arrear assessment.

(b) Includes Rs. 40 on account of arrear assessment.

(c) Excludes Rs. 6 which was actually collected but taken as advance in the previous year.

ESTATES UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES
THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—*cont.*

Net demand	Collected	Converted into bonds or decrees	Balance	
			Suspended	Unsuspected
(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
2,58,804	2,28,715	30,089
20,352	19,488	864
54,418	29,619	3	..	24,796
2,700	607	2,093
<hr/> 3,13,222	<hr/> 2,58,334	<hr/> 3	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 54,885
23,052	20,095	2,957
<hr/> 61,630	<hr/> 56,124	<hr/> 947	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 4,559
7,380	7,156	224
11,725	4,969	4,169	..	2,587
711	615	96
<hr/> 73,355	<hr/> 61,093	<hr/> 5,116	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 7,146
8,091	7,771	320
<hr/> 3,939	<hr/> 3,639	<hr/> ..	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 300
954	597	357
415	291	124
215
<hr/> 4,354	<hr/> 3,930	<hr/> ..	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 424
1,169	812	357
<hr/> 72,775	<hr/> 62,697	<hr/> 371	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 9,707
11,665	8,473	3,192
13,904	7,934	4,174	..	1,796
3,905	1,868	296	..	1,741
<hr/> 86,679	<hr/> 70,631	<hr/> 4,545	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 11,503
15,570	10,341	296	..	4,933
<hr/> 3,97,148	<hr/> 3,51,175	<hr/> 1,318	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 44,655
40,351	35,714	4,637
80,462	42,813	8,346	..	29,303
7,531	3,305	296	..	3,930
<hr/> 4,77,610	<hr/> 3,93,988	<hr/> 9,664	<hr/> ..	<hr/> 73,958
47,882	39,019	296	..	8,567
<hr/> 9,53,517	<hr/> 7,64,033	<hr/> 19,790	<hr/> 16,729	<hr/> 1,53,124
67,808	56,686	107	531	10,610
4,07,943	2,01,821	32,661	84,491	88,973
22,970	8,468	2,395	2,575	9,723
<hr/> 13,61,460	<hr/> 9,65,934	<hr/> 52,451	<hr/> 1,01,220	<hr/> 2,42,097
90,778	65,154	2,502	3,106	20,333

(d) Excludes Rs. 132 which was due to a totalling mistake.

(e) Includes Rs. 48 which was misclassified as miscellaneous demand.

STATEMENT V.—SHOWING PROGRESS MADE IN LIQUIDATING DEBT IN
IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES DURING THE YEAR

Name of district and estate	Debts due on assumption of charge	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed	Debts cleared off in previous years		
			By incurring other debts	By sale of property	From current income
			(4)	(5)	(6)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Jubbulpore—					
Salaiya	5,212	5,212
Ponda	64,461	66,361	54,094	34,706	10,240
Khitola	18,022	16,575	15,275	..	19,322
Imlai	9,694	4,630	14,324
Gumashta	789	624	1,120
Bhaironghat	90,755	118	8,835
Sarond
Total	1,83,721	93,520	69,369	34,706	59,053
Saugor—					
Bangaon	10,561	4,159	..	12,804	1,916
Total	10,561	4,159	..	12,804	1,916
Hoshangabad—					
Chichli	716	716
Bilehra	61,425	37,615
Dhanwada	4,27,907	2,33,095	2,62,353	1,12,526	60,953
Total	4,90,048	2,33,095	2,62,353	1,12,526	99,284
Nimar—					
Mandhata	29,023	5,319	34,342
Total	29,023	5,319	34,342
Total Jubbulpore division	7,13,353	3,36,093	3,31,722	1,60,036	1,94,595
Nagpur—					
Senior Bhonsla	19,89,885	14,31,000	14,22,000	8,69,601	2,52,844
Junior Bhonsla	3,58,993	3,70,500	3,38,858	3,100	98,209
Saasthanik	15,225	225
Bhishnur	1,47,771	44,229	31,991	1,19,611	25,039
Kaptan	44,835	2,000
Total	25,41,484	18,62,954	17,92,849	9,92,312	3,76,317
Chhindwara—					
Sarekha	90,909	64,286	62,186	18,000	22,509
Anjania	22,628	16,000	16,000	4,000	1,398
Gohna	39,198	35,000	35,000	..	1,864
Chidi	55,375	39,256	32,000	..	2,875
Pachmarhi	57,371	73,000	54,433	..	46,938
Total	2,65,481	2,27,542	1,99,619	22,000	75,584

EACH ESTATE UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT
ENDING THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940

Balance of debts at the beginning of the year under report	Additional debts incurred during the year	Debts paid off during the year			Balance of debts at the close of the year	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that the estate would be clear of debt
		By incurring fresh debts	By sale of property	From current income		
(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
..
31,783	1,500	..	30,283	1939
..	5,750	1,250	4,500	1938
293	293	1937
82,038	84	2,708	79,414	1955
..
1,14,114	5,834	..	1,500	3,958	1,14,490	..
..	1948
..
..
23,810	3,226	20,584	1948-49
2,25,170	11,009	2,14,161	1941-42
2,48,980	14,235	2,34,745	..
..	1939
..
3,63,094	5,834	..	1,500	18,193	3,49,235	..
8,76,440	18,626	4,928	8,52,886	..
2,89,326	5,000	..	2,000	3,107	2,89,219	..
15,000	30,000	18,000	27,000	..
15,359	527	4,832	..
46,835	50,000	46,835	50,000	..
12,42,960	85,000	64,835	20,626	8,562	12,33,937	..
52,500	52,500	1946
17,230	1,400	15,830	1945
37,334	37,334	1961
59,756	59,756	1958
29,000	10,000	19,000	1951
1,95,820	11,400	1,84,420	..

STATEMENT V.—SHOWING PROGRESS MADE IN LIQUIDATING DEBT IN
IN THE CENTRAL PROVINCES DURING THE YEAR

Name of district and estate	Debts due on assumption of charge	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed	Debts cleared off in previous years		
			By incurring other debts	By sale of property	From current income
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Betul—					
Aoriya ..	36,000	28,818	25,000	8,115	28,503
Kesia ..	257	2,002	1,605
Damjipura ..	52,545	2,830
Total ..	88,802	30,820	25,000	8,115	32,938
Total Nagpur division ..	28,95,767	21,21,316	20,17,468	10,22,427	4,84,839
Raipur—					
Suarmar ..	1,82,122	2,67,111	2,59,525	..	53,026
Bhurkoni ..	45,252	25,000	25,000	20,000	23,902
Narra ..	12,120	11,000	11,000	..	7,583
Phuljhar ..	7,31,272	7,02,608	6,77,924	..	70,075
Bindra-Nawagarh ..	7,88,856	4,29,106	3,03,303	..	1,96,788
Fingeshwar ..	2,04,643	1,38,702	1,29,500	..	81,809
Total ..	19,64,265	15,73,527	14,06,257	20,000	4,38,183
Drug—					
Wararbandh ..	55,044	40,100	40,000	..	53,144
Total ..	55,044	40,100	40,000	..	53,144
Balaghat—					
Hatta ..	2,51,340	1,90,000	1,90,000	..	1,17,340
Total ..	2,51,340	1,90,000	1,90,000	..	1,17,340
Total Chhattisgarh division	22,70,649	18,03,627	16,36,257	20,000	6,08,667
Grand Total ..	58,79,769	42,61,036	39,85,447	12,02,463	12,88,101

EACH ESTATE UNDER GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT
ENDING THE 30TH SEPTEMBER 1940—*cont.*

Balance of debts at the beginning of the year under report	Additional debts incurred during the year	Debts paid off during the year			Balance of debts at the close of the year	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that the estate would be clear of debt
		By incurring fresh debts	By sale of property	From current income		
(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
3,200	1,200	2,000	1940
654	654	..	1941
49,715	137	49,852	..
53,569	137	1,854	51,852	..
14,92,349	85,137	64,835	20,626	21,816	14,70,209	..
1,31,682	11,400	1,20,282	30-9-49
1,350	1,350	..	30-9-40
4,537	4,537	..	30-9-41
6,85,881	6,85,881	30-9-55
7,17,866	2,46,500	2,46,500	..	14,749	7,03,767	30-9-63
1,32,036	650	11,352	1,20,684	30-9-48
16,73,352	2,47,150	2,46,500	..	43,388	16,30,614	..
2,000	2,000	..	1-9-40
2,000	2,000
1,34,000	6,000	1,28,000	1-10-58
1,34,000	6,000	1,28,000	..
18,09,352	2,47,150	2,46,500	..	51,388	17,58,614	..
36,64,795	3,38,121	3,11,335	22,126	91,397	35,78,058	..