# Some Statistics relating to Production in the Cotton Piece-goods Industry in India. H.Colatta <u>1131</u>

1. The production of cotton piece-goods in the cotton mills of India from 1900-01 to the middle of 1930-31 is set out year by year in column 2 of Table I. These figures of actual annual production have been graphed in Figure I and are represented by the thick black broken line. It will be observed from both the table and the graph that there has been, on the whole, a fairly continuous growth of production throughout the period. There have of course been years of low production, sometimes two in succession, when the output did not reach the figure of the previous year. Such are 1913-14; 1914-15; 1918-19; 1920-21; 1922-23; 1923-24; 1925-26 and 1928-29; but the succeeding years in each case have made up for these defects and have shown relatively greater increases, with the result that over the whole period, from the beginning of the century to the present time, there has been an upward trend of cotton piece-goods manufacture in India.

2. As is well-known there are various methods of depicting this gradual growth (or decline) in a time series. We can draw a free-hand smooth curve, which to the eye runs as closely through the actual data as possible ; or we may take the running three yearly, or five yearly, averages and join the corresponding points plotted from such results by a smooth curve. In many cases these simple methods will give results which for practical purposes, are all that is required. Another method is to assume the form of an appropriate "fitting" curve and to determine the values of the constants from the actual data by any suitable method, say by the method of least squares. Experience or trial soon indicates the form of curve which will give a "good fit". (There is nothing fundamental about the form of the curve chosen and when the constants are determined it must always be remembered that the form was assumed and that the equation to the curve does not mean that any definite " law of growth " has been found. Whatever the form of function assumed it will generally be found that at some region or other of the curve the fit is not as good as it is in other regions.)

3. In this particular case the form of "fitting curve" which has been adopted is :—

 $\log y = a + bx + cx^3,$ 

where y is the annual production, x is the interval in years from the chosen origin or zero year and a, b and c are constants to be determined from the actual annual production figures. With this series of figures of annual production of cotton piece-goods in India the period considered is from 1900-01 to 1930-31, *i.e.*, thirty-one years and in order to simplify the arithmetical labour involved the origin has been taken at the mid-year of the period, viz., 1915-16. The calculation to determine the constants a, b and c results in the following equation:—

 $\log y = 2 \cdot 12806 + \cdot 02358x - \cdot 00053x^2,$ 

where y is the annual production in crores of yards and x is the number of years, positive or negative, from the mid-year 1915-16 of the whole period, 1900-01 to 1930-31.

4. The values of this function for each year of the period have been determined by giving x its appropriate value; these values have been entered in column 3 of Table I and they have been plotted on Figure I and joined up by the smooth "dashed "line. This smoothed curve may be taken to represent the long period trend or the long period growth of production of cotton piecegoods in the cotton mills in India. It is a smoothed curve for the growth of production through all years of different conditions, through the pre-war years, the war years and the post-war years; through years of high production and years of widespread strikes.

5. If it is thought that at the boundaries of the period the form assumed does not give as close a fit as is desired other forms of curves may be fitted to meet this point; or the running average of three, five, etc., years may be taken as a basis. The three yearly running average figures have been given in column 6 of Table I. It will be seen however that such running average figures are strongly affected by abnormal conditions, such as strikes, etc., when the period over which the average is taken is short; and for many purposes the long period trend curves as determined in the manner indicated above are regarded as superior.

6. In some studies of trends in industrial production it is not infrequently considered desirable to examine figures for a much shorter period and a common practice is to study the figures for the post-war period alone. Following up this practice the short period trend curve (eleven years 1920-21 to 1930-31) has also been determined and its equation works out as follows :---

 $\log y = 2 \cdot 30249 + \cdot 0190754x - \cdot 000407x^2$  where x = 0 at 1925-26.

The curve represented by this equation is also drawn in Figure I and is represented by the thick black line C from 1920-21 to 1930-31. The values of this function are set forth in column 3 of Table II. It will be observed that the upward slope of this short period trend curve is greater than that of the portion of the long period trend which relates to the same years 1920-21 to 1930-31. This is largely explained by the fact that this short period of eleven years begins in the depressed times of 1920-21 and ends in the year 1930-31 when conditions in the cotton mill industry in India are evidently such as to justify a fairly high volume of production.

7. If we take a smooth free-hand curve through the general line of the points which represent the figures of actual production, or alternately if we take the long period trend curve whose equation we have calculated, as representative of what may be termed the normal growth of production in this industry in India then the deviations of the points representing the actual production each year from this "normal" will give a measure of the excess (or defect) activity of production in the industry. These deviations can be expressed either as actuals in so many crores of yards for each year considered, or as percentages of the normal for each year, the normal for any year being the trend figure, or the figure given by a smooth free hand curve, by the running average or by any other appropriate method of smoothing the time series. The values of these deviations expressed as percentages of the corresponding values of the long period trend are given in column 5 of Table I and they have also been set forth in Figure II as percentage deviations from a horizontal zero line which represents the level of the long period trend curve and may be considered as the normal growth base.

8. So far we have only been considering the annual deviations from a line of normal growth of production. The same process obviously may be extended to give some idea of the activity in production month by month. Figure III sets forth in the form of a graph the results of this extension of the process, showing the percentage deviations of the actual monthly production from the monthly normal as calculated from the trend line. In this particular case the short period trend from 1920-21 to 1930-31 has been chosen in order to remove any criticism to the effect that the long period trend does not make sufficient allowance for recent developments. (The existence of any definite seasonal variation is not obvious from either the figures or the graphs.) Notes indicating the dates and the duration of strikes have been added to the graph, in order to explain some of the larger negative deviations. Table III gives the relative figures. If the long period trend had been chosen as the normal the positive deviations would have been larger and the negative ones smaller.

9. As it was thought that a comparison of the textile production activity in various other important countries might also prove interesting Table IV and Figure IV have also been prepared. Table IV gives the monthly values of "Indices of Textile Production" in the following five countries, India, United Kingdom, Japan, United States of America and France. The base year chosen for these "Indices of production" is 1928 and in order to eliminate the effect of the big strike in some of the cotton mills in India in that year the production figure for 1928-29 as obtained from the trend curve has been taken as the base production figure for India. This base figure for India works out at 189.2 million yards per month. The values of the Index Numbers for the other countries have been taken from the League of Nations Bulletin. In some cases the foreign Index Numbers of textile production take into account textiles other than cotton piece-goods. The values of these Index Numbers for the various countries mentioned above have been plotted in Figure IV.

10. The conclusions from these tables and charts are so obvious that they need hardly be set out specifically.

- (a) Production in the cotton mill industry in India has gone on increasing generally during the whole period examined, *i.e.*, from the beginning of the century up to 1931. This is seen from Figure I.
- (b) From a period of comparatively low production between 1921 and 1925 the industry in India has changed over to one of relatively high production. That is the position in the industry at the present moment. This is obvious from Figure II.
- (c) While textile production in other important countries has fallen very considerably during the last two years the same statement cannot be made regarding India where the production has actually increased largely during that period. See Figure IV.

CALCUTTA;

. D. B. MEEK,

Director-General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics.

The 8th November 1931.

#### TABLE I.

### Production of Cotton Mills in India annually and percentage Deviations from a Normal Growth and 3 year running average.

• .	(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
л. — С 	Year.		Actual pro- duction	Long period trend	Deviatior i.e., Column 2	,Percentage Deviation, <i>i.e.</i> ,	3 years running average.	Deviation, <i>i.e.</i> , Column 2	Percentage Deviation, <i>i.e.</i> ,
			in crores yards.	of Column 2.	minus Column 3.	Column 4 as percentage	_	minus Colmn 6.	Column 7 as per centage
·.	:					3,			6.
1900	)-01	٠	42	45	3	6.7	••	••	••
1901	l-02	•	51	49	2	4.1	48·3	$2 \cdot 7$	5.6
1902	2-03	•	52	54	-2	3.7	54.0	2.0	3·7
1903	3-04	•	59	59	0	0	59·7	0.7	1.2
<b>19</b> 04	L-05	٠	68	64	4	6.25	65.7	$2 \cdot 3$	• 3.5
1905	5-06	•	70	69	1	1.4	69·7	0.3	0.4
1906	3-07		71	75	4	-5.3	74·0	3·0	4.1
1907	7.08		81	80	1	$1 \cdot 25$	78.0	<b>3</b> ∙0	3.8
1908	3-09		82	86	4	-4.6	86·3	4·3	5.0
1909	9-10		96	93	3	$3 \cdot 2$	94·0	- 2.0	2.1
1910	)-11		104	99	5.	5.05	<b>104</b> ·7	0.7	-0.7
1911	-12		114	106	8	7.5	113·3	0.7	0.6
1912	-13		122	114	8	$7 \cdot 0$	117.3	4.7	4.0
·1913	-14		116	120	4	-3.3	117.3	1·3	1.1
1914	-15		114	127		$-10 \cdot 2$	124.7	-10.7	8.6
1915	ő- <b>1</b> 6		144	134	10	7.5	138.7	5.3	3.8
1916	5-17		158	142	16	11.3	$154 \cdot 3$	3.7	$2 \cdot 4$
1917	-18		161	149	12	$8 \cdot 1$	154.7	6.3	4.1
1918	3-19		145	157		7.6	156·7		-7.4
1919	9-20		164	164	0	0	155.7	8.3	5.3
1920	)-21		158	171	-13	7.6	165.0	7.0	-4.2
1923	1-22	,	173	178	5	-2.8	167.7	5.3	3.2
1922	2-23		172	185	13	7.0	171.7	0.3	0.2
1923	3-24		170	192	-22	-11.5	179.7	9.7	5.4
1924	-25		197	198	1	0.5	187.3	9.7	$5 \cdot 2$
1925	5.26		195	205	-10	-4.9	206.0	-11.0	5.3
1926	3.27		226	211	15	7.1	219.0	. 7.0	3.2
1925	7-28		236	216	20	9.3	217.0	19.0	8.8
1929	3.29		189	221	-32		222·3	-33·3	
1920	9.30		242	226	16	7.1	229.0	13.0	5.7
1930	).31		256	230	26	11.3	630	<b>6</b> 2.0	·
		•		•					

#### TABLE II.

### Mill-made Cotton Piece-goods Production.—All India (1920-21 to 1930-31). Unit of Production = 10 million yards. Short period 11 years.

		(1)	)				(2)	(2) (3)			
	Fin	ancia	l year	•			Actuals y	Trend y. <sup>1</sup>	y — y <sup>1</sup> .		
							10 million yards.	10 million yards.	10 million yards.		
1920-21	•	•		•	•		158	157.4	•6		
1921-22	•	•	•	•	•		173	165.8	7.2		
1922-23	•	•	•	•		•	172	174-4	-2.4		
1923-24	•	•	•	•	•		170	183 · 1			
1924-25	•	•	•	•	•		197	191-9	5.1		
1925-26	•	•	•	•		•	195	200.7	-5·7		
1926-27	•	•			•	•	226	209.5	16.5		
1927-28	•	•	•		•	•	236	218.3	17.7		
1928-29	•				•	•	189	227 0			
1929-30	•	•			•		242	235.7	6.3		
1930-31	•	•	•	•		.•	256	244 • 1	11 • 9		

.

5

### TABLE III.

Monthly Mill-made Cotton Piece-goods Production.-All India (1920-21 to 1931-32).

i	(In millio	n yards.)	Short period 11 years.					
(1) <b>(1)</b>	÷.	(2)	(3)	(4) Deviation	(5) Percentage	(6)		
Year and	Month.	Actual production.	Trend.	(2) (3).	deviations $(4) \times 100$	Remarks,		
					·····			
			5 C 131		(3)			
4 C		Million	Million	Million				
1000.01		yaros.	yaros.	yaros,				
1920-21 Antil		119.2	127.9		-6.8			
Mev .	: :	111.3	128.5	-17.2	-13·4			
June .		148.9	129.1	. 19.8	15-3	• •		
July .		146.3	129.7	16.6	$12 \cdot 8$			
August .		$131 \cdot 3$	130.3	1.0	8	• •		
September		140.8	130.9	9·9	7.5			
October		$135 \cdot 3$	131.5	. 3.8	2.9	• •		
November		$152 \cdot 2$	$132 \cdot 1$	$20 \cdot 1$	$15 \cdot 2$			
December	• •	$137 \cdot 7$	132.7	• 5•0	• 3•8	• •		
January	• •	108.7	133.3		18.5	*		
February	• 1. •	104 0	133.9			• •		
March .	• •	144.9	134.9	10.2	1.1			
1921-22-	•					•		
April .	• •	166 2	134.9	31.3	23.2			
May .	• •	144.4	135.5	10.0	6.6			
June .	<b>.</b> .	149.0	130.1	12.9	9.0	• •		
July	• •	129.0	13017					
August .	• •	140.7	197.0	17.5	0°0 19.77	• •		
September	• •	151.9	198.5	19.7	0.3			
Uctoper	• •	117.4	139.1	·21 · 7	15-6	• •		
December	• •	143-3	139.7	3.6	2.6			
Looport	• •	145.8	140.3	5.5	3.9			
February		129.3	140.9	11.6	-8.2			
March .		$152 \cdot 9$	141.5	11.4	8.1			
1099 93								
1922-20 April		140.0	142.0	$-2 \cdot 0$	1.4			
Mov .		$152 \cdot 4$	142.6	9.8	6.9			
June		141.0	$143 \cdot 2$	$-2 \cdot 2$	1.5			
July .		143.0	$143 \cdot 8$		·6			
August .		149.5	144 • 4	$5 \cdot 1$	3.5			
September		$131 \cdot 5$	$145 \cdot 0$	13.5	9.3			
October		$128 \cdot 6$	145.6	-17.0	-11.7			
November	• •	150.4	146.2	4.2	2.9			
December	• •	144.1	140.8	2.7	-1.8			
January	• •	103.4	147.4	10.0	9.3			
February	• •	146.9	148-6		1.1			
March .	• •	140 0	110 0	- •	••			
1923-24		100.9	140.9	97.0	19.1			
April .	· *	122'0	149.0		- 20.3			
May .	·	121.1	150-5	-19.4	-12.9			
June .	• •	145.4	151+1	-5.7	3.8			
July		140.6	151.7	-11.1	7.3			
Augus	• •	162.2	$152 \cdot 3$	9.9	6.5			
Ostoher		185.0	152.9	32.1	$21 \cdot 0$			
November		179.6	153.5	26.1	$17 \cdot 0$			
December		$147 \cdot 2$	$154 \cdot 1$	-6.9	4 · 5			
Januarv		148.2	154.7	-6.5	-4.2			
February		$105 \cdot 2$	155.3		-32.3			
March	• •	115.2	155+9	-40•7	-26.1			
	1 A							

#### TABLE III-contd.

(In million yards.) Short period 11 years.

(1)		(2)	. (3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Year and Month.		Actual production.	Trend.	Deviation (?) — (3).	Percentage deviations $(4) \times 100$	Remarks.	
1004.07		Million yards.	Million yards.	Million yards.	(3)	-	
1924-20 April		149.1	156.6				
Mav .	:	155.8	157.2	-1.4			
June		$152 \cdot 9$	157-8	4·9	3·1		
July		166.7	158.4	8.3	5.3		
August .		171.7	159.0	12.7	8.0		
September .	•	165-4	159.6	5.8	3.7		
October .	•	156.5	$160 \cdot 2$	3·7	$-2 \cdot 3$		
November .	•	178.9	160.8	18.1	• 11 • 3		
December .	•	175.3	161.4	13.9	8.6		
January .	•	100.3	162.0	4.3	2.7		
March .		154.3 177.3	162.6	$\frac{-8.3}{14.1}$			
1095 96					-		
1920-20 April		170.5	162.0	6.6	1.0		
Mov	•	170-0	103.9	9.0	4.0		
June	•.	158.3	165-1		1.0		
July	:	158.8	165.7	6.9	-4.2		
August		153.7	166.3	-12.6	7.6		
September .		178.0	166.9	11.1	6.6		
October .		164.7	167.5	$-2 \cdot 8$	-1.7		
November .		123 · 4	168.1	-44·7	-26.6		
December .	•	136.0	168.7	$-32 \cdot 7$			
January .		167.5	169.3	-1.8	-1.1		
March .	:	197·0 179·2	169.9	27 · 1 8 · 7	15·9 5·1		
1000.07			110 0	• •	01		
1920-2/		100.0	1				
May	•	182.0	171.0	11.3	6.6		
June	•	107.9	171.9	11.1	9.9		
July .		194.5	173.1	20-3	14.1		
August .		185.9	173.7	12.2	7.0		
September .		187.4	174.3	13.1	7.8		
October .	•	179.5	174.9	4.6	2.6		
November .		$173 \cdot 4$	$175 \cdot 5$	$-2 \cdot 1$	1.2		
December .	•	196.9	176-1	$20 \cdot 8$	11.8		
January .	٠	191.6	176.7	14.9	8.4		
reoruary .	•	187.6	177.3	10.3	5.8		
March •	•	192.6	177+9	14.7	8.3		
1927-28-				•	•		
April .	•	190.0	178.7	11.3	6.3		
May .	•	201 1	179.3	$21 \cdot 8$	$12 \cdot 2$		
July	٠	201.6	179.9	21.7	$12 \cdot 1$		
August	•	200.1	180.5	19.6	10.9		
September	•	20311	101.7	22.0	12.2		
October .		193-1	189.2	20-3	13.8		
November		198.8	182.9	10-8	. D·9		
December .		205.6	183.5	22.1	19.1		
January .	•	189 · <b>3</b>	184.1	5.2	2.8		
February .	· •	$182 \cdot 0$	184.7	-2.7	-1.5		
March .	•	185-1	185.3	0.2	<u>، ا</u> ا		

#### TABLE III-concld.

		(In millio	on yards.)	Short peri	iod 11 year	3.
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Year and	Month.	Actual production.	Trend.	Deviation Percentage (2) (3). deviations (4) $\times$ 100		e Remarksa
					(3)	
		Million	Million	Million		
1098-90	,	yards.	yards.	yards.		
April .		162.5	185.9	-23.4	-12.6	
May .		104.4	186.5	-82·1	-44·1	
June .		116.8	$187 \cdot 1$	-70.3	-37-6	
July .		127.4	$187 \cdot 7$	60·3	$-32 \cdot 1$	
August	• •	116.5	. 188.3	$-71 \cdot 8$	$-38 \cdot 2$	
September	• •	. 110 • 4	188.9	-78.5	-41.5	
October	• •	$154 \cdot 1$	189.5	$-35 \cdot 4$	-18.7	
November	• •	185.7	190.1	-4.4	2.3	
December	• •	226.0	190.7	35.3	18.5	
January	• •	219.1	191-3	27.8	14.6	
February Marsh	• •	179.3	191.9		-0.0	
march	• •	191.1	192.0	-1.4		
1929-30						
April .	• •	199.0	$193 \cdot 1$	5.9	3.1	
May .	• •	157.0	193.7	-36.7	-18.9	
June .	• •	160-8	194.3	33.5	-17.3	
July	•••	176.8	194.9		9.3	
August .	• •	191.3	195.5	4.2		
October	• •	202+4	190.1	94.0	3·2 19.6	
November	• •	221-0	197.3	24-3	11.6	
December		239.5	197.9	41.6	21.0	
January		228.4	198.5	29.9	15.1	
February		208.4	199.1	9.3	4.7	
March		213.7	199.7	14.0	7.0	
1020 21						
April .		213-1	200.1	13.0	6.5	
May .		213.2	200.7	12.5	6.2	
June .		$215 \cdot 2$	201.3	13.9	6.9	
July .		$211 \cdot 1$	201.9	$9 \cdot 2$	4.6	
August .		$187 \cdot 1$	$202 \cdot 5$	-15.4	-7.6	
September	• •	$193 \cdot 0$	$203 \cdot 1$	10·1	-5.0	
October	• •	$191 \cdot 4$	$203 \cdot 7$	$-12 \cdot 3$	-6.0	
November	• •	$208 \cdot 1$	$204 \cdot 3$	3.8	1.9	
December	• •	236.6	204.9	31.7	15.5	
January	• •	240.2	205.5	34.7	16.9	
February	• •	223.8	200.1	19.7	9.0	
March	• •	220.3	200.1	19.0	9.0	
1931-32						
April .	• •	236.0	207.3*	28.7	13.9	Simply prolonged
May .	• *•	240.0	207.9*	$32 \cdot 1$	15-4	with the same
June .	• •	246.8	208.5*	38.3	18.4	monthly move-
July	• •	246.3	209.1*	$37 \cdot 2$	17.8	ment.
August .	• •	233.0	209.7*	23.3	11-1	•
September	• •	208.0	210·3* 910.0*	00'0 99.0	10.0	
Nevember	• •	200-0 207.7(n)	210.8*	16.9	7.7	×
TAOAGHDOGL	• •	221 · 1(0)	<u> </u>	10.7	1.1	+

(a) Provisional figures.

### TABLE IV.

## Textile Production Indices for various countries.

Base (1922=100).

Country.	India.	U. K.	Japan.	U. S. A.	France	. Remarks.
Source.		Board of Trade.*	Cotton Spinners Associa- tion.*	Federal S Reserve Board.*	itatisque gênê- rale.*	*Taken from League of Nations Bulletin.
			·			*It should be remem- bered however that the original indices vary very much in regard not only to the base period but also to their com- position and con- struction.
Original base.	(a)	1924 (b)	1928	1923—25	1913	(a) For India the In- dices are based on the monthly aver- age trend figure for 1928-29 (189-2 million yards) of cotton piecegoods.
1928						(b) Index for quarter.
January February March April June June July August September October November December	<ul> <li>100</li> <li>96</li> <li>98</li> <li>86</li> <li>55</li> <li>62</li> <li>67</li> <li>62</li> <li>58</li> <li>82</li> <li>98</li> <li>120</li> </ul>	 107.0  99.6  92.0  101.3	Not availa- ble.	$ \begin{array}{r} 100 \cdot 0 \\ 100 \cdot 9 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \\ 94 \cdot 4 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \\ 100 \cdot 9 \\ 93 \cdot 5 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \\ 104 \cdot 7 \\ 105 \cdot 6 \\ 103 \cdot 7 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 101 \cdot 0 \\ 103 \cdot 0 \\ 106 \cdot 1 \\ 103 \cdot 0 \\ 102 \cdot 0 \\ 101 \cdot 0 \\ 97 \cdot 0 \\ 97 \cdot 0 \\ 97 \cdot 0 \\ 101 \cdot 0 \\ 101 \cdot 0 \\ 100 \cdot 0 \end{array} $	
1929-						
January February March April . June . July . August September October November . December .	116 95 101 105 83 85 94 101 107 117 116 127	 101 · 9  99 · 7  91 · 3  100 · 8	Not availa- ble.	$108 \cdot 4$ $105 \cdot 6$ $108 \cdot 4$ $112 \cdot 1$ $113 \cdot 1$ $113 \cdot 1$ $110 \cdot 3$ $112 \cdot 1$ $108 \cdot 4$ $110 - 3$ $100 \cdot 9$ $89 \cdot 7$	98.0 97.0 94.9 96.0 93.9 96.0 92.9 88.9 87.9 87.9 90.9 91.9 91.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

### TABLE IV-contd.

### Textile Production Indices for various countries-contd.

Base (1922=100).

Country	<b>.</b>	India.	U, K.	Japan.	Ū. S. A.	France.	Remarks.
Source.			Board of Trade.*	Cotton Spinners Associa- tion.*	Federal Reserve Board.*	Statisque gênê- rale.*	*Taken from League of Nations Bulletin.
							*It should be remem. bered however that the original indices vary very much in regard not only to the base period but also to their com- position and con- struction.
Original b	888.	(a)	1924 (b)	1928	192325	1913	<ul> <li>(a) For India the Indices are based on the monthly average trend figure for 1928-29 (189 · 2 million yards) of cotton piecegoods.</li> <li>(b) Index for quarter.</li> </ul>
1930		101		115.1	1.1 00.9	97.0	·
January	•	. 121	••	115-1	(c) 90.3	91.9	(c) For Japan, the index is that of cot- ton yarn production. No. 2 Bulletin (1931).
February March April . May . June . July . August September October November December	•	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	92 · 5 78 · 3 71 · 6 75 · 8	114.6 107.2 111.3 111.6 104.5 86.7 88.5 95.5 96.4 101.0 103.0	92.5 91.6 89.7 84.1 78.5 78.5 75.7 82.2 85.0 86.9 81.3	88.9 85.9 87.9 86.9 87.9 82.8 80.8 80.8 80.8 84.8 84.8 86.9 85.9	
1931—							
January February March April. Jung. Jung. July. August September October	•	$\begin{array}{c} & 127\\ & 119\\ & 120\\ & 125\\ & 127\\ & 131\\ & 130\\ & 123\\ & 142\\ & 124\\ & 124\end{array}$	72·7 75·1	98.2 96.9 96.8 100.8 99.3 100.9 106.3 108.3 109.8 109.6	80.4 86.9 90.7 91.6 91.6 90.7 95.3 93.5 86.9 84.4	83 · 8 83 · 8 82 · 8 71 · 7 (d 64 · 6 (d 65 · 7 65 · 7 63 · 6 62 · 8	) (d) Strikes. ) (d) Provisional
November December	:	• 120	(") ••	110.3	03.1	02.0	(c) I IOVISIONAL

•

#### Import duties on Cotton piece-goods into India.

5 per cent. from 27th December 1894.

31 per cent. from 3rd February 1896.

71 per cent. from 1st March 1917.

11 per cent. from 1st March 1921.

15 per cent. from 1st March 1930.

Thereafter from 4th April 1930-

- Plain greys of British manufacture were liable to 15 per cent. or  $3\frac{1}{2}$  annas per lb. whichever is higher, which has been raised to 20 per cent. or  $3\frac{1}{2}$  annas per lb. whichever is higher from 1st March 1931.
- Plain greys not of British manufacture from 4th April 1930, 20 per cent. or 3½ annas per lb. whichever is higher, and from 1st March 1931, 25 per cent. or 3½ annas per lb. whichever is higher.
- Other piece-goods of British manufacture-15 per cent. from 4th April 1930 and 20 per cent. from 1st March 1931.
- Other piece-goods not of British manufacture—20 per cent. from 4th April 1930 and 25 per cent. from 1st March 1931.

Excise Duty on Cotton goods.

The excise duty on cotton goods was suspended from 1st December 1925and finally abolished in March 1926.

Strikes and Lock-outs affecting more than one industrial concern.

No. of factories affected.

1.	Strike in 2	Ahmedal	bad (C	October	r 7t]	n <b>, 19</b> 21	l).		6 spi	inning and weaving mills.
*2.	Strike in 1921)	Ahmed	abad	(Octo	ber	19th	to	29th,	47	Ditto.
*3.	Strike in 1922)	Ahmeda	abad	(Janua	ary	27th	to .	28th,	13	Ditto.
4.	Strike in S	holapur	(Marc	h 2nd,	192	2, to N	larcl	n 24th,		
	1922)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6	Ditto.
*5,	Strike in 1	Bombay	(Augi	ıst lst	to 2	nd, 19	922)	•	34	Ditto.
6.	Strike in 1922)	Surat (	Octoł	er 3rd	i to	Octo	ber	23rd,	4	Ditto.
<b>*</b> 7.	Strike in A	Ahmedah th. 1922	ad (N	loveml	be <b>r</b> 1	loth to	o No	vem-	29	Ditto
<b>*</b> 8.	Strike in 1923)	Ahmeda	abad	(April	lst	to J	une	4th,	56	Ditto.
<b>*</b> 9.	General St 25th,	trike in 1 1924)	Bomb	ay (Jai	nuar	y 17tl	ı to	March	75	Ditto.
<b>*</b> 10.	General S	trike in	Bom	bay (S	epte	mber	15t]	a to		
	Decen	be <b>r</b> 3rd,	1925	).	·	•	•	•	76 cot 2 sill 2 dy	tton mills. k mills. e works.
11.	Strike in H 1928)	Bombay	(Janu	ary 2no •	d to	Febru •	ary .	25th, •	9 spi	nning and weaving mills.

\* Only those marked have been indicated in Figures II and III.

Strikes and	Lock-outs	affecting	more	than	one	industr	ial	con	cern—c	contd	1,
							Ma	af .	factoria		r.+.

									No	. of factories affected.
*12.	General S 6th, 1	trike in 928)	Boml	bay (1	April 1	6th t	o Oeto	ber	All spin in H Land 2 sill	nning and weaving mills Sombay except Colaba 1 and J. W. Mills and k mills and one bleach- nill
13.	Strike in S	holapu	Mills	(Apr	il 21st	to Oci	tober	6th,		
	19201	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•	ə spim	ung and weaving mills.
14.	Strike in 1928)	Kurla I	dills (.	May	14th t	o Oct	ober (	6th,	2	Ditto.
<b>*</b> 15.	Strike in 1	Bombev	(Feb	rus rv	25th	ta Me	rch 1	8+h		
	1929)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7	Ditto.
*16.	Strike in	Bomba	y (Ma	rch	3rd to	o Mai	ch 1	Sth.		
	1929)		•		•				5	Ditto.
<b>*</b> 17.	Strike in	Bomb	av (A	pril	26th	to S	entem	ber		
	1929)	٠	•	•	•	•	•		64	Ditto.

\*Only those marked have been indicated in Figures II and III.



FIG. 町



FIG. III



