

## INDEX TO THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LAND RECORDS IN THE BOMBAY PRESIDENCY INCLUDING SIND FOR THE YEAR 1926-27

#### Paras. Pages Letter No. A.D.-152, dated the 26th December 1927, from F. G. H. Anderson, Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records-Submitting to 1-53 1-16 Government the Annual Report of the Department of Land Records for the year 1926-27. CHAPTER I-PREFATORY Officers of the staff 1-2 1 1-2 ... Touring ... 3-4 ... ... ... ... CHAPTER II -LAND RECORDS ESTABLISHMENT Function of the Land Records Department 5-12 2-3 ... ... Establishments under Divisional Superintendents 13 3 ... ... Training of Junior Civilians ... ... 14 3 ... •• District Survey Offices .... ... 15-18 3-4 \*\*\* ••• District Inspectors ... 19-20 ... ... 4-5 ... Measurement Work ••• ••• 21 - 235-6 ••• ••• Circle Inspectors • • • ... 24 - 256-7 .... Inspection work done by Sub-divisional Officers and Mamlatdars . 26-28 7-9 Boundary Disputes 29 9 ... ... Measurement of Sub-divisions, Northern Division 30 9 ... ••• do. Central Division Do. 31 9 ••• ... Do. do. Southern Division 32 ••• 10 ••• General remarks 33-34 10 ... ... .... CHAPTER III-SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT (Revenue Survey) Revenue Survey Work, Northern Division 85 • • • 11 Do. do. Central Division 36 11 ... ••• Do. do. Southern Division 37 ... 11 ... Settlements, Northern Division ... 38 ... 11-12 Central Division Do. 39 12... ... Do. Southern Division 40 12 ... ... CHAPTER IV-CITY SURVEYS y, Northern Division City S... 41 12 . . . •• Do. Central Division Do. Southern Division General Remarks on City Surveys 42 12 ... ... ۰. ... 43 12 •• ٠. 12-13 44-47 • • • • -•• Photozinco Press-Work turned out by ... ... 49 13

#### CONTENTS

ca 15—a

CONTENI	s

]]	-	CONTENTS											
	- <u>·</u> ·			•	Paras.	Pages							
CHAPTE	R V-RECO	RD OF RIGE	ITS	•	-								
Record of Rights General Remarks	•••	· •	•••• •••		49 50-53	13-15 15-16							
	APPENDIC	ES											
Statement A showing ea Statement B showing th	e number of					17 18							
Government and muni Statement L.—Showing Inspection work carr	crop, waste	, boundary Circle I	marks and aspectors	l other during		20-23							
1926-27. Stetement II.—Showing performed by Cadastr						24							
during 1926-27. Statement III.—Showin Inspectors' work by a during 1026-07					··· _	26-29							
during 1926-27. Statement IV.—Showin Inspectors of Land Re			lone by I	District	····	30-33							
-					•								
Part III of Land Re Province of Sind for th			Report of	of the	1-10	35-39							
Proposals for Revision se Work done by the Head Work of sorting and dest Inspection of the Head	ttlements Record Office roying record	 e 1s . <i></i>	e Superint	  endent	1 2 3 4	35 35-36 36 35							
of Land Records. Field Survey					5	36							
City Surveys and mainter Survey Training Class Teaching Staff of the Ta	•••	 ing School	•••-	 	6 7 8	36-33 38 35							
Cost of Land Records D		·			9	33							
Record of Rights		•••	•••		10	33-39							
	APPENDIC	ES			·								
Appendix AShowing	work done i	n the Hea	ad Record	Office		40-41							
during 1926-27. Appendix B.—Showing in connection with	new measu	at the He urements	ad Record lone by	l Office Village		42							
Establishments durin Appendix D.—Showing Tapedars' inspection 1926-27.	test of	Tapedars ub-division	and Supe al Officers	rvising during		43							
				-		Ì							
Annual Administration I Letter No. 608 of 2nd Esq., B.A., Superin Suburban Division, s year ending 31st July	l November tendent of ubmitting A	Land R	ecords. B	arucna, lombay	1-10	45-47							

ii

## CONTENTS

					Paras.	Pages
·			·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	. [	
Introduction			•••		1	45
Charge of the Office			•••		2	45
District Survey Office	· · •		•••	•••	3	45
Measurement and classifica	tion	+		••••	4	45
Pot Hissa Survey					5	46
City Surveys and Maintena	nce		•••	••••	6	<b>4</b> 6
Record of Rights					7	46
Boundary Marks		•••			- 8	46
Establishment—General Re	marks			1	9	46
Special Matters Memorandum No. 241, dat					10	$\frac{46-47}{49}$
Settlement Commissioner bay Suburban Division, to Government.						
AI	PENDI	CES			-	_
		50				
Statement I.—Showing cro inspections carried out by	v Circle I	nspectors 1	0 1920-27.	1	,	
inspections carried out by	ieasureme	ent and c		n work		51
inspections carried out by Statement II.—Showing m	ieasureme ectors in	ent and c 1926-27.	lassification			51 51

iii

#### Poona, 26th December 1927.

From

## F. G. H. ANDERSON, Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records;

To

## THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, Revenue Department,

Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the Annual Report of the Department of Land Records for the year ending 31st July 1927.

#### CHAPTER I

#### PREFATORY

1. The charge of the Department was held by me throughout the year.

2. Superintendents of Land Records.—In Northern and Central Divisions the charge was held by Messrs. C. D. Kavi and D. S. Modak respectively throughout the year. In Southern Division Rao Saheb K. S. Yardi was in charge for the most part of the year, Mr. V. B. Mardhekar being in charge only from 30th October 1926 to 20th December 1926.

3. Touring.—I toured in the districts of Ahmedabad, Kaira, Poona, Ahmednagar, Satara, Belgaum, Dharwar and Kanara and also visited Baroda for some boundary disputes and Miraj and Kolhapur for seeing the survey work there and had to be in Bombay for a month for the Legislative Council. I was out of Poona for 131 days. The Superintendent, N. D., toured for 123 days visiting 31 talukas in all districts of his charge. More touring he says could not be done as there was heavy work of supervising preparation of new Akarbands at Ahmedabad. Superintendent, C. D., toured for 184 days visiting all the seven districts and the Native States of Kolhapur, Miraj, Sangli and Raisingpur. This is very satisfactory looking to the fact that heavy akarband work was to be supervised at Poona. Superintendent, S. D., toured for 180 days, camping at 30 talukas and four mahals in all districts of his Division.

4. Touring of Superintendents, Land Records, is quite adequate except perhaps in Northern Division. The charge in Central Division is heavy while in Southern Division touring is difficult in Kolaba, Ratnagiri and Kanara. There was very heavy akarband work in Central Division. Mr. Modak deserves credit for organizing

ca 15—1

an adequate temporary staff of 340 hands and supervising it. Many difficulties had to be encountered and solved. This enormous work was done within time to prepare new accounts and collect the revised assessments. Eleven talukas, comprising of 1,291 Government villages and 21 inam villages, were dealt with at an expenditure of Rs. 49,713, bringing in an increased revenue of about Rs. 6 lakhs annually to Government.

#### CHAPTER II

#### LAND RECORDS DEPARTMENT

5. The functions of the Land Records Department are to provide statistics necessary for sound administration in all matters connected with the land, to reduce, simplify and cheapen litigation in the Revenue and Civil Courts, to provide a Record of Rights for the protection of all who hold interests in land and lastly to simplify and cheapen periodical settlement operations.

6. The Land Records staff consists of a Director of Land Records, who is also Commissioner of Settlements, four Superintendents of Land Records, District Inspectors and Circle Inspectors.

Land Records staff is divided into two sections :---

(a) the district staff of Circle Inspectors under the Collectors in respect of whom the functions of the Director of Land Records have been limited to inspection and advice,

and (b) the cadastral survey staff direct under the Department of Land Records.

The Superintendents and the District Inspectors of Land Records supervise both the branches, but in respect of (a) their function is rather to advise and assist while the actual orders are given by the Revenue Officers. As to (b) the administration is direct.

7. A complete record of rights and interests in lands has been prepared for all unalienated and many alienated villages throughout the Presidency and steps are being taken to extend it to the remaining alienated villages. The record has proved of very great value to the land revenue administration and to the public at large who realise its importance as an authentic record of their titles.

8. City surveys form an important part of land records. Such surveys have now been introduced in Bombay City and in most (84) of the important cities and towns in the Presidency. Suitable arrangements have also been made for the maintenance of these city survey records after completion. In Bombay a special office, *viz.*, the Bombay City Survey and Land Records Office, does this work under the control of the Collector of Bombay.

9. On account of the close connexion which necessarily exists between the record of rights and the deeds registration, which is the business of the Registration Department, the offices of the Director of Land Records and Inspector General of Registration are placed under the control of one officer who holds both appointments. This officer also exercises general control over the work of the Photozincographic (Map Printing) Press at Poona. 10. The foundation of society and industry in India is in the land and the maintenance of a complete record of all rights is a great bulwark of public peace and of law and order. Moreover without such records it will be impossible to get true statistics of any species of production or any of the other economic facts connected with the land.

A great support of the Indian Administration is the land 11. revenue, the right of the State to share in all the unearned rental The science of economics and fiscal theory is values of land. recognising more and more fully the right of the public to this share; and the pre-eminent position in every scheme of taxation necessary for the maintenance of a modern State of the land revenue. Survey and classification of land, and a full record of rights maintained-not spasmodically but every day as every change occurs—is indispensable for the assessment, and collection of land revenue. This is a task which is never completed. It extends to the cities as well as the country-side and forests. Uninteresting and tedious as much of its work must be, nevertheless the work of the Land Records Department lies as the woof and warp underneath all the elaborate material of which provincial administration is composed.

12. The main function of the Department is to maintain all survey and classification records up to date, and for this purpose to carry out the field operations preliminary to the incorporation of changes in these records. It has further to organise and carry out rural and city surveys on an extensive scale and maintain them in a state of usefulness. Special surveys for private individuals or bodies such as relate to Inam villages, surveys in connection with Railway or Municipal or Local Board projects, etc., and surveys on behalf of other Departments of Government and Native States are also frequently undertaken. The supervison of the preparation and maintenance of the record of rights, the periodical inspections of boundary marks and the introduction of Revenue Settlements complete the function of the Department.

13. The establishments under the Divisional Superintendents were the District Survey Offices, Permanent Field Parties, District and Cadastral Surveyors, establishments for city surveys and measurement of sub-divisions, and the City Survey maintenance establishments. All these establishments were controlled by the Superintendents and supervised by District Inspectors, Survey Mamlatdars and Head Surveyors.

#### Training of Junior Civilians

14. In Northern Division one Junior Civilian (Mr. D. V. Kerkar, I.C.S.) was trained in survey.

#### District Survey Offices

15. Northern Division.—The outturn of the Central Record Office, Ahmedabad, was satisfactory in quality and quantity. One thousand and ninty-five correction cases (5,530 Survey Nos.) were disposed of during the year, leaving 245 cases (621 Survey Nos.) in arrears. Out of these 245 cases, 202 were on hand and 43 had been returned for further enquiry. Rs. 1,407 were realised for supplying extracts from survey records and by sale of maps.

16. Thana District Survey Office disposed of 394 correction cases (2,364 Survey Nos.) as against 523 cases (2,912 Survey Nos.) of last year, leaving 295 cases (1,712 Survey Nos.) as against 155 cases (839 Survey Nos.) of last year. Rs. 604 were realised for supplying extracts from survey records and by sale of maps. Compared with past years the output of both offices seems small and arrears are rising. This is due to seven hands in the Central Record Office and 3 in District Survey Office, Thana, being reduced on account of financial stringency.

The Superintendent inspected both the offices and the Commissioner of Settlements inspected the Central Record Office at Ahmedabad.

17. Central Division.—The District Survey Offices disposed of 1,669 correction cases containing 5,761 Survey Nos. and 8,662 hissas as against 1,821 cases containing 7,214 Survey Nos. and 7,893 hissas leaving a balance of 465 cases in 2,365 Survey Nos. and 1,837 hissas as against 313 cases containing 1,447 Survey Nos. and 672 pot hissas. The outturn of work is greater than that of last year. Receipts during the year have greatly increased and the output has decreased owing to reduction of staff on account of financial stringency. The Superintendent inspected all the offices and the Commissioner of Settlements inspected the offices at Ahmednagar and Satara.

Rs. 7,684 were realised for supplying extracts from survey records against Rs. 7,795 of the last year. The expenditure incurred on account of the pay of the Fee Karkun is Rs. 3,640 as against Rs. 3,225 of the last year.

18. Southern Division.—The District Survey Offices disposed of correction work of 9,204 Survey Nos. as against 11,436 Survey Nos. of last year, leaving a balance of correction work of 1,211 Survey Nos. as against 2,034 Survey Nos. of last year. Last year figures for Ratnagiri District Survey Office were wrongly reported. Moreover, the District Survey Offices did correction work of 21,861 hissas, leaving a balance of correction work of 9,388 hissas. Outturn this year is less on account of reduction in staff, still arrears at the close of the year are smaller than last year. This is due to the Superintendent inspecting the offices frequently and issuing stringent orders for proper output. The Superintendents inspected all the District Survey Offices and the Commissioner of Settlements inspected the offices at Belgaum, Dharwar, Kolaba and Kanara.

#### District Inspectors

19. Statement IV shows the routine work performed by these officers. In the last year's report it was laid down that these officers should tour at least 190 days of the year. None of these officers have come up to this standard as last year's orders were received after the close of this fair season. Still there is no reason why some of the officers should not have toured as much as they did last year. Mr. Sardeshpande of Ratnagiri is the worst offender in this respect and no reason is given why his touring this year is so short. The short touring of Mr. H. S. Patel in Ahmedabad was due to the unfortunate accident, a fall from a horse, which incapacitated him for out-door work for a large portion of the season. In Nasik also the touring is short on account of the District Inspector being very ill and praving had to go on long leave, his successor who is new to the duties requiring some time to settle down. These officers have to perform multifarious duties and to cope with the heavy work they must tour adequately.

20. In the Northern Division Mr. Patel of Ahmedabad takes too little interest in the work of this Department as he seems to be keen to revert to the Revenue Department. Mr. Joshi of Thana is reported to be the best District Inspector in the Division. In the Central Division Mr. Joshi (first of Sholapur and then of Nasik) spared no pains till he was physically incapacitated. He worked with zeal, tact and integrity.

#### Measurement Work

21. The following statement shows the establishment employed, its outturn and financial position :---

		Stre	ngth	Surve	y numb meas	Fees-				
Divisiou				Priv	ate	Gover	nment	earned includ- ing Govern-	Cost of esta- blish-	
		Cadastral surveyors	District surveyors	Hissas	Survey num- bers	Hissas	Survey num- bers	ment	ment	
								Rs.	Rs.	
Northern	•••	6 City Su tenance	- 6 months. rvey Main- Surveyors months.	15,851	2,791	•••	1,891	31,798	28,412	
Central	•••	45	7	16,905	5,736	2,914	3,450	50,113	49,678	
Southern	•••	23 permanent 23		17,185	<b>5,6</b> 05	1,264	5,274	48,349	42,748	
		temporary						1,30,260	1,20,838	
•			ļ	Į				Surplus	9,422	

The outturn is adequate in all the Divisions. The staff is now quite self supporting.

22. Statement II shows the outturn of measurement and classification work in each district. In the Northern Division, Ahmedabad shows very disappointing results. Receipts and disposals were smaller than last year's and hence arrears have increased. Thana should also make efforts to clear the arrears. Central Division figures compare very favourably throughout. Southern Division figures are also better except that of Kanara District where special efforts must be made to wipe out the arrears.

23.- The arrears are absolutely large in Kaira, Ahmednagar, Sholapur, Dharwar, Kolaba and Ratnagiri. But it will be seen that in Sholapur and Ratnagiri the staff is gaining ground, and in Dharwar it is not losing. There remain Kaira, Ahmednagar and Kolaba which are in an unsatisfactory condition. It will be remembered that Kaira has been doubled up with Panch Mahals under one District Inspector of Land Records for the sake of economy, and during part of the year that District Inspector of Land Records has not unfortunately been a very competent man. Ahmednagar suffered from changes and break down in health of one District Inspector of Land Records. Kolaba has suffered from want of grip and efficiency also. Steps are being taken to deal with these weak points. On the grand total it will be seen that arrears fell and disposal exceeded receipts by 518 cases in the aggregate. This figure would have been much better if it had not been for these three rather weak districts.

#### Circle Inspectors

24. Statement I shows the work of these officials. The Commissioner of Settlements does not come much in -touch with these officials. Superintendents of Land Records make the following remarks regarding the work of these officials :---

Northern Division.—There is nothing special to report about Circle Inspectors. With the separation of the special measuring staff and increase in technical work, very little of the work of Circle Inspectors comes under inspection. They generally neglect map correction work and the statement of new hissas to be measured (paragraph 20, page 95-C of the Revenue Accounts Manual) is generally incorrect.

Central Division.—From my experience of testing the Record of Rights work I find that the Inspection done by some ordinary Circle Inspectors is very superficial. Some Circle Inspectors were found lazy, beyond writing, the words "Examined" in the Record of Rights they do nothing. Talatis' errors are never reported, no instructions are given, no efforts made to improve them. The work of some village officers was found slipshod as will be found from the inspection notes sent to the Collectors; particularly the work of writing the crop and tenancy register was unsatisfactory, this is an important work which is much neglected in many. talukas. To remedy this, a better check from the inspecting officers is needed. The circle inspectors' test ought to be thorough. As the Village Officers and Circle Inspectors are not under the control of the Land Records Department, they do not pay sufficient attention to the instructions given by the District Inspectors of Land Records and the Superintendent, Land Records. The exhaustive inspection notes drawn by the Superintendent of Land Records have had little effect because the Mamlatdars do not heed them and treat the Land Records Department as something like an outside alien body which can be ignored safely. In the worst cases, the Superintendent was compelled to report to the Prants or Collectors.

Southern Division.—Circle Inspectors failed to visit all the villages in their charges. It is desirable that they must visit all the villages in their charges once a year at least. This requires the attention of the Prant and Taluka Officers.

The number of days devoted to inspection work is less than that of the previous year in Bijapur and Ratnagiri, especially the latter.

The figures in column 7 of Statement I do not compare favourably with those of the last year. I tested the work of some of the Circle Inspectors in my tour and found that in a majority of cases, the work was done in a haphazard way.

25. This is not an encouraging record. The staff is under the Prants and Mainlatdars. But these Prant Officers and taluka officers are reduced in number and the standard of discipline is not They are borne on the Land Revenue establishment and high. pail under that head, but usually they are to be found quite otherwise engaged in exhibitions, baby-welfare, and making all sorts of miscellaneous arrangements for things, no doubt excellent in themselves, but quite unconnected with Land Revenue or the budget head from which their pay is drawn. When any class of officers become a sort of dumping ground for all sorts of miscellaneous work they become jacks of all trades and master of none and inept and inefficient all round without character or discernible functions. Whenever taken to task for deficiency in this they excuse themselves by their superactivities in that, and so they go round and round the tree and you cannot catch them. Whether this diagnosis, though based on personal observation, is correct or not at least I am sure of the facts. In villages and in talukas the work of the Record of Rights and revenue accounts (the frame and ground work of that great organisation of the land upon which all other activities must be based) is bad and unsatisfactory and constant mistakes, delays and annovances to the public and to the interests of the tax-paver ensue. A bank cannot be run on the principle that the head can always be away at Conferences and social, political gatherings, and leave the details to the ledger clerks to do as they like and when they like. Yet it would be hard for any Collector to deny that we are not in danger of this condition so far as the fundamental village work is concerned. I propose to take more action to bring to light these deficiencies than I have been doing. - My function is purely advisory, and sometimes if I complain of the work of a Mamlatdar or Prant Officer, or even of a Circle Inspector or a talati there are symptoms of a sort of resentment as though it was not my business. In defiance of that sentiment I have made up my mind that time has come when anything that is noticed in this Department must not be politely passed over.

26. Statement III.—In this statement we get some of the actual facts as to the supervision of Mamlatdars and Prant Officers. Before looking at individual details, I would point out that the statement indicates that officers generally are not making these inspections in the way I should like and from time to time have urged. The statement provides for recording the number of fields

in which crops, tenancies and record of rights entries are tested. Now an officer standing in a plot of land can in one operation and very satisfactorily test the crop entries and the tenancy entries (if there be any tenants and assuming that at least some of the persons concerned in that land or their neighbours are present); and that automatically leads to his seeing whether the present state of rights as recorded in the record of rights is or is not correct. If there has been any recent mutation than that mutation is the checked and tested in the best possible way. Unfortunately it is to be feared that officers take a cursory glance at some fields for the purpose of checking the crops. They check the tenancy entries sometimes possibly in the field, sometimes in the chawdi which is not at all so convincing-and the Record of Rights entries are "tested" by looking at the returns received from the Sub-Registrars and ticking them off in the chawdi. In other words they attest quite different fields from those they inspect. If the former and better method is adopted the number of the figures in columns 5 and 8 will be the same. But if the bad method of disjunctive test, not in the field but partly in an arm-chair at the chawdi, is adopted then the figures will differ, and Government will see at once that the figures will differ, and differ very widely, showing that the Record of Rights tests are not made in the field. In fact they are not tests at all. It is easy to take up a book containing 1,000 entries, look at the first page, and look at the last, and then say, "I suppose it is all right" and initial the last page and then call that 1,000 entries tested. There is grave reason to fear from the figures given that this is the method sometimes adopted.

27. Turning now to the details, first there are the inspections by Sub-divisional officers. In East Khandesh the number of villages inspected by the Sub-divisional officer is very inadequate, and what sort of inspection it was can be seen from the other columns. Nasik (three officers) the whole of the figures are very poor. Belgaum (two officers) is equally bad. In Nasik separate figures for Circle Inspectors' work and Village Officers' work are not reported. In Surat, East Khandesh, West Khandesh and Nasik, Poona and Belgaum negligibly little has been done.

28. Turning to inspection of Record of Rights, from any point of view the Belgaum Sub-divisional Officers' output is quite inacceptable and most inadequate all through. Sholapur is a little better but still inadequate. Nasik: the discrepancy between columns 5 and 8 is very remarkable. Panch Mahals: the record both for the Subdivisional Officers and the Mamlatdars is discreditable. But they did a very fair amount of crop and tenancy test. Perhaps the Record of Rights entries were *in addition* to those made in the course of crop inspection? On the other hand, for Surat 12,429 tests by two officers is, I should say, frankly impossible while in Kolaba the Sub-divisional Officers profess to have done 27,818. between two of them, and nine Mamlatdars altogether only did 21,683. In Ratnagiri the two Sub-divisional Officers actually claim to have done 38,217. After having myself done many thousands of these tests I look with stupefaction upon the output of 33,000 by twomen. These evidently are some quite different sort of tests to what we are accustomed to. The figures of test by the Ratnagiri and Thana Mamlatdars are impossibly high: and finally we come to the magnificent output of 114,721 by 11 Mamlatdars in Ratnagiri, *i.e.*, well over 10,000 each. It is splended! The statement indicates that steps are plainly required to standardize and supervise these tests more thoroughly. I am taking action in that direction. First of all I am enquiring the exact meaning of the figures to which I have referred.

#### Boundary Disputes

29. Mr. C. D. Kavi was British Delegate for settlement of the boundary between the Baroda State and British territory. During the year boundaries to the length of 107<sup>‡</sup> miles were newly surveyed and settled and 27 miles of boundaries which were formerly surveyed and settled were checked in South Daskroi, Modasa, Kapadwanj, Thasra and Mehmedabad talukas of Ahmedabad and Kaira. Up to now the boundary of 520<sup>‡</sup> miles touching 220 British and 220 Baroda villages has been settled. In the boundary settled this year there are 693 interstatal marks which are to be maintained jointly by the British Government and Baroda Darbar. Besides there are 1,365 British Revenue Survey Marks and 580 Baroda Survey Marks to be maintained by the occupants. The cost during the year comes to Rs. 6,148, *i.e.*, Rs. 46 per mile (last year's figure of Rs. 30 should be read as Rs. 50).

#### Measurement of Sub-Divisions

30. Northern Division.—This work has been completed in the whole of this Division. Pôt hissa fees still remain to be collected in Ahmedabad District to the amount of Rs. 1,626 and in Thana District to the amount of Rs. 3,490.

31. Central Division.—The year began with 9 parties including two headquarter parties with a total strength of 94 hands including Nimtandars as most of the staff was employed on akarband work. During the monsoon these parties were engaged in calculating areas and distributing assessment over the sub-divisions measured during previous fair season and in preparing extracts of maps to be supplied to Kabjedars. At the beginning of the fair season some men had to be deputed for survey work in the C. D. Field party; so the fair season commenced with seven Field parties and two head quarter parties. As akarband and other special works finished, more parties were formed. So at the close of the year there were twelve field parties of 146 hands and one head quarter party. The field parties measured 92,720 hissas in 102 villages of 7 talukas and 2 petas. In the monsoon the parties calculated assessments over 101,330 Sub-Divisions and prepared 105,414 sketches of hissas for supply to Kabjedars. During the year demand statements for Rs. 1,44,121 were issued. Including arrears of past years Rs. 67,093 were recovered, leaving Rs. 1,75,907 still to be recovered.

ca 15—2

32. Southern Division.—Five parties of 44 men measured 52,592 hissas in 24 Government and 55 khoti villages of Mahad Taluka, Kolaba District, and calculated assessment of 47,563 hissas. In Kanara District 5 parties of 45 hands measured 35,522 hissas in 96 villages of Kumta and 14 of Ankola. Assessment was calculated on 15,812 hissas during the rain. Demand statements for Rs. 30,017 were sent to the talukas for recovery. Including arrears of past years Rs. 53,390 were recovered.

33. Owing to mistakes detected by the hissa survey parties in the original survey record, assessment was reduced during the year to the extent of Rs. 593.

33a. Original pôt hissa survey remains to be done in the following talukas :---

District Nasik Satara Ratnagiri Kolaba	Taluka	Number of villages
Nasik	{ Peint Nandgaon Baglan	245 93 161
Satara		245 93 161 78 87 1 2 67 159 181 123 202 dgod. 244 30 71
Ratnagiri		
Kolaba	Mahad	67
Kanara	Honavar Karwar Halyal Siddapur Wellapur and Mu Kumta Ankola Sirsi	181 123 202 andgod. 244 30

34. From the beginning the Department has now surveyed hissas, at no cost to the general tax-payer, as follows :---

Up to 1925-26	•••	•••	6,051,190
1926-27-Nort	hern Division	•••	15,851 new hissas.
Centr	al Division	1 <	9,270 original hissas. 19,819 subsequent hissas.
South	ern Division	•••	88,114 original hissas. 18,449 subsequent hissas.

6,202,693

#### CHAPTER III

#### SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT

#### Revenue Survey

35. Northern Division.—Four Field Party surveyors were employed in Gujarat. They did survey and classification work in talukdari villages of Godhra taluka for settlement and jama purposes, partition of villages of Tanachhia, Kanod and Baroda, survey of leased lands in Kaira District and Seaforde lands in Surat District and survey of Public Works Department Roads. In all they measured 545 survey Numbers (2,272 acres) and classified 32 survey Numbers (155 acres). In Thana 3 field party surveyors measured 835 acres and classified 42 acres and surveyed Public Works Department roads to a length of 23 miles. A special party surveyed 6 inam villages of Vada taluka for Record of Rights.

36. Central Division.—Seventeen inam villages were dealt with, of which measurement and classification was finished in 8 villages, theodolite work in 4 villages, measurement work only in 4 villages and in one village theodolite work was half done. Seven inam villages in Patan of Satara district were taken up for survey for Record of Rights purposes. In four villages Belavde, Garavde, Natoshi and Nadoli the survey is completed. 7,972 hissas in 513 survey Numbers and 153 entire survey Numbers were measured. In three villages Keloli, Dadholi and Wangole only theodolite work is completed. Survey of 32 villages of the Raisingpur State was taken up. Of these measurement was completed in 8 and classification in 3 last year. This year measurement was completed in 15 and classification in 17. The Kolhapur Darbar desired the survey of 19 inam villages of that State. Measurement and classification has been completed in all. Only calculation of areas, etc., remains to be done in 5 villages. The Miraj State has asked for the survey of their 3 talukas, Miraj, Laxmeshwar and Modnimb, comprising of 57 villages. In Miraj taluka measurement and classification was done in all villages (31). Only recess work is left in 14 villages. In Laxmeshwar taluka out of 16 villages measurement was done in 8 villages and classification in 5, and in Modnimb taluka out of 10 villages, measurement and classification has been done in 8 villages. All the States concerned bear the cost of these operations.

37. Southern Division.—Recess work of inam villages of Here, Punare and Bedag of Here Jhagir was completed. Survey operations were undertaken and completed in four inam villages of Belkud, Kulawalli, Malatwadi and Jokkanhatti of Belgaum district for settlement purposes. Classification work in inam village of Dhopeshwar of Rajapur taluka, Ratnagiri district, was completed.

#### Settlements 8 8 1

33. Northern Division.—Revision settlements were sanctioned by Government for 8 talukas, viz., Prantij, Modasa, Viramgam, Sanand, Bardoli, Chorasi, Bhiwndi and Thana Mahal and for the inam village of Okaf in North Daskroi. Calculation of revised assessments in North Daskroi, Halol, Kalol, Jhalod, Dohad, Kalyan and Murbad revision settlements which were sanctioned last year was completed and is in progress for the revision settlements of 8 talukas sanctioned during the year.

39. Central Division.—Revision Settlement of 81 Government and 4 inam villages of Mulshi Petha was sanctioned. Similarly permanent settlement of 9 inam villages and temporary settlement of 11 inam villages of the Division were sanctioned. Revised assessments of 11 talukas sanctioned last year were worked out.

40. Southern Division.—Revision settlements for 23 inam villages of Here Jahagir in Belgaum district, one inam village in Dharwar district, 13 inam villages in Belgaum district and one inam village in Ratnagiri district, were sanctioned by Government. Revision akarbands (implementing the revision sanctioned in the preceding year) were prepared for Alibag and Pen talukas of Kolaba district and Khed, Deogad and Rajapur talukas and Mandangad peta of Ratnagiri district.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### CITY SURVEYS

41. Northern Division.—No new city surveys were undertaken Thirty-four cities and 26 village sites are under regular maintenance.

42. Central Division.—Enquiry work in Malegaon city is completed and that in Lonavla is proceeding. Survey of Mahableshwar was completed. Enquiry is proceeding in the inam village site of Chinchwad. In all 30 cities and five village sites are under regular maintenance.

43. Southern Division.—City survey of Malwan in Ratnagiri district was completed. Enquiry is yet to be taken up. On request of Ramdurg State the city survey of the town of Ramdurg was completed. Enquiry is in progress. Survey of Matheran was completed. Nineteen cities are under regular maintenance.

#### General Remarks

44. Statement "A" is attached to show the earnings made by the City Survey maintenance establishment in the Presidency and the budget expenditure incurred upon it during the financial year 1926-27. This statement shows how many items of revenue which Government would not otherwise have realised are recovered and brought to credit through the existence of the city surveys and its offices and maintenance surveyors. It also shows that the city surveys and the maintenance staff as a whole are paying for themselves well. It is no doubt true that many items of rent and sales of land would go on even in the absence of city surveys, but a far greater proportion of revenue than in the case of agricultural revenue would be lost if there were no city surveys and maintenance. 45. The City Survey Maintenance staff in large towns earn sufficient revenue to pay their cost; in smaller towns the staff is hardly self-supporting. To remedy this, to some extent, two or more of the smaller towns are put in charge of one Maintenance Surveyor, some of his routine and non-technical work being transferred to the Sub-Registrars according to convenience. Direct indexing in the Property Registers by Sub-Registrars is a convenient arrangement by which the Property Index ordinarily maintained in Sub-Registry offices can be dispensed with. The system is operating in certain towns in all the three Divisions.

46. The system of writing the Property Register on cards, introduced in some cities as an experimental measure was found to work satisfactorily. It will be extended gradually to other city surveys in the Presidency.

47. If full use of our city surveys is not made by the local authorities concerned such surveys might as well be not undertaken at all. The number of encroachments detected during enquiry on Government and Municipal lands is already astonishingly large, and a few fresh cases are also noticed every year during review. But the progress made in removing them is very unsatisfactory. A statement B is attached to show the position.

#### Photozinco Press

48. The work turned out in the printing branch during the financial year was 1,100,519 machine pulls costing Rs. 33,050 and 137,191 hand pulls costing Rs. 35,414. This year the outturn is comparatively less while the cost is consequently greater. Other branches turned out work costing Rs. 88,607. The work of this press is reviewed in a separate Administration report.

#### CHAPTER V

#### RECORD OF RIGHTS

49. The Record of Rights has been re-written on the Maxwell system in the following talukas:—

#### Northern Division

#### District

#### Taluka

Broach			
Ahmedabad	•••	•••	Inam villages of Vasna, Makhtampur, Isanpur and Okaf in progress.
Panch Mahals		•••	37 villages of Tanda, Katwara and Chandvana estates of Dohad taluka.
Broach	•••	•••	Broach in progress.
Surat	•••	•••	In progress in all talukas.
Thana		•••	3 circles of North Salsette and Dahanu.

Distri	ct	•	Taluka
East Khandesh	•••	•••	Edlabad, Bhusaval, Parola, Amalner and Chalisgaon in progress.
West Khandesh	•••	••••	15 villages of Sindkheda and 6 of Taloda.
Nasik		•••	Dindori, Malegaon.
Ahmednagar	•••		Parner, Pathardi, Shevgaon, Bahuri, Akola, Ahmed- nagar, Jamkhed, Newasa, Kopergaon, Sangamner, in progress.
Poona	•••	•••	Bhimthadi (9 villages). Haveli and Poona City in progress.
Sholapur	•••	•••	Madha (6 villages), Karmala, in progress.
Satara	•••	•••	Koregaon (69 villages), Tasgaon (41), Karad (74), Malcompeth (57), Khandala (33), Jawli (2), Valwa (2), in progress.
	Souther	n Di	vision
Bijapur		•••	1. Bagalkot.
* ± 1			2. Shindgi.
Belgaum	••••	•••	1. Belgaum including Chand- gad Mahal.
			2. Khanapur.
			3. Sampagaon.
Dharwar			1. Hubli (for agricultural lands for 10 villages within the Municipal limits).
•			2. Navalgund.
Kanara			1. Karwar.
4			2. Kumta.
			3. Yellapur.
			4. Sirsi.
			5. Siddapur.
			6. Bhatkal Petha.
			7. Mundgod Petha.
			8. Supa Petha.
Kolaba .	• • •	• •	1. Alibag.

- .

,

The Index in the new form has been written in 164 villages in Ratnagiri District as shown below :--

1	Name of Taluka			Number of villages
1.	Vengurla		•••	10
2.	Malwan		•••	1
3.	Deogad		***	32
4.	Rajapur		•••	37
5.	Ratnagiri		••	16
6.	Sangmeshwar	•••		23
7.	Chiplun		•••	7
8.	Khed	•••		31
9.	Dapoli	•••	• • •	1
10.	Guhargar Petha			6

The Record is prepared for the first time in the following inam villages :--

	Villages			Taluka				
	Shirsangi	•••	••••`					
	Gowankop	•••	•••	Parasoad				
3.	Kallapur	•••		Parasgad.				
4.	Hongal	•••						
5,	Aurwad Gourwad	•••	•••	Chikodi.				
б.	Gourwad		·	} Chikodi.				
7.	Chandur	•••	•••	)				
8.	Jirigwad	•••	]	Dharwar.				
9.	Nuggikeri	•••		Dharwar.				
10.	Kanwihonnapur	•••	)	ł				
11.	Vat	•••	•••	Panwel.				

#### General Remarks

50. As in past years, Messrs. Kavi (N. D.), Jagatsingh (Sind), Sane (Pôt Hissa Snrvey) and Antia (Office Superintendent) with Mr. Audy at the Mapping Office have rendered the valuable service to be expected of the 'old guard' of experienced officers. In the Central Division, Mr. D. S. Modak developed well, and is now a zealous and efficient officer keen on showing the best results and he has certainly been chasing the lazy ones round, and getting good outturns. In the Southern Division we have had more changes than desirable. Rao Saheb Yardi has worked with enthusiasm.

51. Among the District Inspectors may be noted the good work of Messrs. Joshi, Moghe, N. S. Kulkarni and Velhal.

52. The business of Settlement (with which this report does not deal) and of Land Records has been undergoing those transformations which are so necessary to keep pace with advancing civilisation and keener economic consciousness.

53. The new method of recording tenancies and its interlinking with the Electorals is perhaps the most important event of the year and will yield great improvements in our statistics of land, its crops and settlement valuation; but though it has put a lot of work on us, it does not figure in this report. Though so much of my time and attention has had to be devoted to these matters, I have always been thankful for the good work and conscientious support of my lieutenants.

Your most obedient servant,

F. ANDERSON,

Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records.

16

Statement A referred to in paragraphs 44 (showing earnings and expenditure for City Survey offices for the financial year 1926-27)

Division			NON-RECUR- RING							
	L	No. of the city survey towns	Rent	N. A. assess- ment (or altered assessment)	Any other recurring earning	Total (of columns 3 to 5)	Coping fees			
		2	3	4	5	6	T			
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. s. p.	Rs. a. p.			
Northern		31	19,653 0 5	12,106 12 8	406 10 6	32,166 7 7	1,931 15 1			
Central		29	9,985 9 1	48,518 1 9	3,898 7 1	62,403 1 11	9,176 19 0			
Southern		19	6,615 14 1	5,878 11 5	36 14 0	12,531 7 6	1,817 12 9			

•	Non-Recurring—contd.																					
		]	Mei	Asurei	ner	it f	eës									Fines for			Fee			
Division Govern- ment estimated			Private cases cadas- tral (a) or C. T. S. Sub-Division measurements (b)						Occu- pancy price			Penalty under record of rights		f	delay in		a (	showing measure- ments in sanads				
1		1	8		(a	9 (a) (b)			10 11			19			13							
		Rs.	а.	p.	Rs.	8.	<b>p</b> .	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	8.	p.	Rs.	8.	p,	Rs.	в.	p.	Rs.	8.	p
Northern .		625	4	0	2,256	4	0	1,986	13	0	22,664	6	4	24	7	0	4,116	4	0	314	14	0
Central .		741	8	0	1,314	1	0	1,585	14	0	40,243	14	0	20	14	0	2,858	7	8	546	12	0
Southern .		245	4	0	470	4	0	1,793	6	0	5,406	<b>4</b>	8	36	13	0	3,558	8	0	1	0	0

		Non-Becurring—concld.													
Division	Fine unau riz occup or N us	tho- ed ation . A.	Sale Maps for	and		iness atta	Ot) earn		Tota Colu 7 to		Total Coluz 6 to	025	Bud exp ditu fo 1926	en- ire r	
1	14	ł	1.	5		16	1	7	1	8	19	•	8	0	
•	Rs.	s. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p	Rs.	a. p	Rs.	s. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	A. ]	<b>p</b> .
Northern	3,193	70	10,514	86	101	0 0	20,532	19 9	71,261	<b>T</b> 8	1,03,427	15 3	38,002	7	6
Central	6,292	10 4	1,016	10 8	63	8 (	6,289	12 (	67.249	12 6	1,29,652	14 5	30,390	0	0
Southern	22,300	4 0	439	10 e	58	14 (	5,384	19	5 42.012	13 4	54,544	4 10	18,599	0	0
Grand Total			1		<u> </u>		· · · ·	,	·		8,87,625	2 6	86,991	. 7	6

Nore.—Column 17 consists of such items as :—Temporary rent, ground-rent for past years or for period of ancroachment, sales of grass, fruits, bricks of town-walls, earth, etc., notice-faes, fines for breach of conditions, tess for duplicate-copies of sanads, comparing search or tippans; commutation of assessments and notices fees, etc.

F. G. H. ANDERSON,

Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records.

ca 15-3

.

				chments land	removed	chments during the ler report	Balance		
Div	ision .		Govern- ment	Municipal	Govern- ment	Municipal	Govern- ment	Municipal	
Northern	•••		3,017	19,436	840	<sup>-</sup> 2,491	2,117	1,694	
Central			5,518	19,803	113	69	5,405	19,741	
Southern	***		845	6,344	184	315	661	6,020	
	- Total	-	9,380	45,583	1,137	· 2,868	8,183	27,464	

## Statement B referred to in paragraph 47

## F. G. H. ANDERSON,

Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records.

•

18

STATEMENT I

## STATEMENT

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Number in each	of villages district	
I	Name of District	Number of Circle Inspec- tors in the District	Total	Number inspected	Number of days actually devoted to inspection work		
	1			2	3	•	. 5
N	lorthern Division					1	Average
Ahmedabad	***	•••	•••	18	446	446	836-1
Kaira	•••	•••	<b>.</b>	20	589	589	265
Panch Mahals	•••	•••		13	430	<b>426</b>	941
Brosch		•••	•••	14	397	,364	905-5
Surat	***	•••	•••	18	821	793	195-2
Thana		•••		35	1.542	1,484	161-4
(	Contral Division					•	
East Khandesh	***	•••	بر	40	1,800 <sup>1</sup>	1,783	150
West Khandesh	•••		•••	925	1,919	1,919	80
Nasik	•••			87	1,695	1,670	995
Ahmednagar	•••	•••		87	1,375	1,854	975
Poona		•••	•••	25	1,177*	1,197	238
Sholapur	•••			15	460¥	109	935
Satara				89	1,868	1,858	<b>9</b> 88
ß	outhern Division						
Belgaum				97	1,118	1,010	909-3
Bijapor		•••		94	1,178	1,108	967
Dharwar	•••		•••	84	1,849	1,862	· 959
Kanara	~			99	1,417	1,854	995
Kolaba		•		90	1,697	1,545	148
Rainagiri	***	•••		17	3131	995	176
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Statement I, showing crop, waste, boundary marks and other

`

1			
inspection carried out by	Circle Inspectors,	during the year	1926-27

Crop and Tene	ancy Inspection	Weste In	spection	Repair of bou	indary marks
Total number of occupied Survey	Number of Survey Nos. and Sub-	Number of Waste Nos. other than	Number	Number of for re	villages due opair
numbers	Divisions	forest	mspected	Arrears of past year	Current year's pro- gramme
6	7	8	9	10	11
185,508	{ H. <u>9,789</u> 30,665	25,849	8,796	246	54
\$10,303	H. 24,540	15,008	1,144	6	58
88,625	H. 14,248 H. 1.827	11.207	1,944		71
136,523	H. 31,749	8,285	1,359		49
245,942	<b>E</b> . <u>39,069</u>	12,588	1,150		66
195,961	<b>H.</b> 107,058	16,677	3,171	5	143
211,055	{ <u>92,171</u> H. <u>16,608</u>	9,086	\$,296		278
147,978	20,966	19,256	1,711		192
236,459	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \frac{25,117}{\text{H.}\ 23,761} \end{array}\right.$	12,605	1,685	- 17	205
238,781	<b>H. 25,816</b> <b>H. 3,189</b>	5,174	1,397	821	154
205,790	H. 19,616	3,764	747	56	128
114,911 .	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \frac{11,844}{H} \\ H \frac{11,844}{4,161} \end{array}\right.$	2,010	381	9	59
<b>\$</b> 81,740	{ 29,594 H. 121,145	} 1,896	, 865		117
185,111	{ 51,349 H. 97,710	5,521	1,019	9	80
181,611	H. 27,551 H. 15,865	2,962	1,105	9	99
167,666	H. 33,592 H. 39,536	} 10,481	8,091	1	199
143,517	<b>33,253</b> <b>H</b> , <u>95,198</u>	11,266	3,560		108
154,549	H. 13,428	6,598	1,836	85 <u>2</u>	1201
56,075	H. 74,020	} 1,969	813	-	394

# 22

-

•

## STATEMENT

					Repai	ir of bounda	ry marks-	concid.
×	ame of D	is <b>xic</b> i	villages c insp	aber of completely ected paired	Balance of villages outstanding st close of year			
					Arrears of past year	Current year's pro- gramme	Arrears of past year	Current year's pro- gramme
	1		-		12	13	14	15
No	rthern I	<b>ivie</b> ion			i 1			
Ahmedabad	•••	•••	•••		T	49	19	5
Kaira		<b></b>			6	53	<del></del>	
Panch Mahals	-					71		
Broach						48		. 1
Surat		•••				66	-	******
Thana	***					143		
C	entral D	ivision		i				
Basi Khar desh	•••		•			275		
West Khardesh						191	*** 144	1
Nasik					16	903	1	<b>9</b>
Ahmednagar			•••	•••	672	74	15	. 80
Poons	•••	•••		•••	52	នា	4	· 47
Sholapur			•		9	59		*****
Satura						117		
Sa	uthern D	ivision						
Balgaum	•••	<b></b>	•••		9	89	*** - est	
Bijapur	•••				9	95		4
Dharwar	. <b></b>				1	59	*** •••	3
Kanara			•			,156)		
Kolaba		•••		-	32)	100	531	201
Batnagiri			•••			39 <sub>1</sub>		

`

Average number of days spent by CircleInspection of Tagai worksNumber of of in worksNumber of of entries checked checked binticeNumber of of morksNumber of of morksNumber of in the worksNumber of of mork was finishedNumber of of Birth Work was finishedNumber of of Birth Willage Forms VI and Forms VI and Forms VINumber of of birth Works was finishedNumber of of Birth Willage Forms VI and VIIINumber of of birth Willage Forms VI and VIII161718192021	
spent by Circle Inspec- tors on the District tinepec- tion and repairs Number of Number Number of in Number of in Number of of in Number of in Number of of in Number of of in Number of of the schecked and Forms VI Remarks Schecked Sc	
16 17 18 19 90 <u>91</u>	
<b>3</b> 2·1 <b>5 4</b> 10,859 11,063	
88 10 4 13,705 45,062	
43 £,635 59,933	
42.5 9,411 13,863	
41.6 15,503 46,862	
¥5·8 B 8 19,860 85,331	
49 35 33 93,609 69,950	
54 105 88 19,513 53,346	
58 16 11 23,577 13,831	
<b>43</b> 256 185 22,301 86,216	
46 375 219 10,768 41,060 *Shedani village now included, This figuri includes 171   38 27 26 13,496 15,856 willows not survey	surveyed is
38 37 26 13,496 15,866 includes 171 surveyer villages but not 26 villages.	alienated unsurveyed
60 154 64 18,771 237,601 + Last year 17 unsur villages were wrongly	veyed inam included.
59°5 95 58 21,585 120,349	
56 1,037 491 20,173 51,796	
64 18 13 25,336 40,446	
73 9,925 77,189 Circle Inspectors chuentries in V. F. VI at	ecked 16,520 nd VII in 288
78 13,615 102,068 thing villages of Deogad been amalgamated	taluka have
64 villages as for G. B., B.1   5,544 83,468 of 1st June 1926; hence is less this year by nin	D., No. 5540/24 the number

F. G. H. ANDERSON, Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records.

-

### STATEMENT II

Statement II showing the measurement and classification work performed by Cadastral Surveyors and other establishments deputed on measurement work during the year 1926-1927

Name of District	beginn	s at the ing of year		oived og the ar	Dispo durin ye	g the	Balance close yea	of the	4
	Measure- ment	Classifi- cation	Measure- ment	Classifi- cation	Measure- ment	Classifi- cation	Measure- ment	Classifi- cation	Descela
1 .	2	3	4	5	6	_7	8	9	1
Northern Division	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	Survey Nos.	in into
Ahmedabad	61	5	496	20	474	22	83	3	have been taken
Kaira	405		2,285	2	1,916	2	774		en
Panch Mahals.	166	1	490	51	643	51	13	1	e be
Broach	28		1,193		1,210		11		bav
Jurat	81		1,325		1,404		2		
l'hana	120	10	1,025	70	962	74	183	6	HIBBAS Which
Total	861	16	6,814	143	6,609	149	1,066	10	1884.6
Central Division									Pot H
East Khan-	206		3,579	35	3,732	35	53		01 P
desh. West Khan-	36	•••	2,338	158	2,334	158	40		
desh. Nasik	149		2,324	31	2,250	31	223		the units
Ahmednagar	363	15	2,234	3	2,110	15	487	3	the
Poona	1,283	26	4,666	85	5,583	111	366		10
Sholapur	599	3	3,589	14	3,659	11	529	6	
Satara	211	••	5,490	74	5,479	.74	222		col 118
Total	2,847	44	24,220	400	25,147	435	1,920	9	WALA AXALIISIVA
Southern Division									
Belgaum	293		1,413	18	1,471	15	235	3	Inter
Bijapur	207	L	1,653	62	1,691 -	50	169	13	tate
Dharwar	465	10	3,930	139	3,919	149	466		r 8 8
Kanara	951		1,797	98	1,631	98	· 417		Yee
Kolaba	271	1	1,156	95	970	95	457	1	ABt
Ratnagiri	455	22	919	27	927	36	447	13	a in
Total	1,942	34	10,858	439	10,609	443	2,191	29	figures in last year's statement
Frand Total	5,650	94	41,892	983	42,365	1,027	5,177	48	Tha

F. G. H. ANDERSON,

Commissioner of Settlements and ' Director of Land Records.

.

, ۱

.

.

~

.

## STATEMENT III

ca 15-4

## STATEMENT

			•	Number of	f villageð	Number of boundary
r	ame of	District	Total in District	Number inspected	marks inspected	
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3	3	4
		S. D. O.		446	358	1,58
Ihmedabad		Mr.	9		440	3,85
Laira		S. D. O.	2	- 589	- 37 <b>3</b>	2,34
		Mr.	7	ංසා	518	2,92
Panch Mabals		∫ S. D. O.	8	- 430	350	<b>3,</b> 62
, ,		Mr.	5	900	413	9,68
		(S. D. O.	2		<b>[</b> 376	1,18
Broach		Mr.	6	. 307	397	4,02
		S. D. O.	2		420	- 1,2s
Snrat	•••		9	621	790	4,23
		S. D. O.	3		430	1,31
l'hana		Mr.	11	- 1,542	1,070	23,37
•		<b>S. D. O.</b>	9		235	1,14
East Khandesh		{ Mr.	13	1,500)	1,701	11,10
		S. D. O.	2		528	93
West Khandesh	- 14	{ Mr.	8	- 1,219	1,191	4,55
Sasik	· .	S. D. O.	3	-	417	2,34
ACIE			12	- 1,695	1,686	8,33

Statement III showing the test of Village Officers' and Circle in the districts during

S. D. O. = Sub-Divisional Officer.

.

-

÷

Mr. = Mamlatdar or Mahalkari.

## III

-

-

## Inspectors' work by Sub-Divisional Officers and Mamlaldars the year 1926-27

	numbers Crop and	of Survey in which Tenancy s inspected	Number of villages in which Birth and	Number of entries checked in	Bemarks
-	Village Officers' work	Circle Inspectors' work	Death Registers were checked	Village Forms VI and VII	
	5	6	7	8	9
{	3,352 H 40 7,394	1,411 H. 13 2,555	. 568	3,925	-
	H. 1,782 1,806 H. 1,474	H. 27 2,624 H. 3.570	628 } 283	7,000 9,495	-
Ì	7,738 H. 2,023	H. 3,575 4,533 H. 1,696	539	17,831	· · ·
Ì	3,806 H. 2,239	1,002	4,506	• 380	•
ł	8,400 H. 1,658	1,402 H. 100	15,559	413	
	4,393	3,585	362	3,789	
{	9,158 H. 6,001	H. 2,395	397	15,030	
{	3,040 H. 3,294	308 H. 156	420	12,429	
(	9,640 H.11,793	3,172 H. 3,951	} 780	43,719	
{	1,771 H. 3.294	1,273 H. 1,579	305	9,744	-
{	7,065 H.30,405	4,506 H. 20,258	]} 1,018	76,622	
{	747 H. 32	£13 H. 25	307	2,743	
{	4,833 H. 1,824	2,279 H. 319	]} 1,361	20,621	
(	3,531 H. 187	695 H. 90	373	3,985	
{	6,323 H. 687	2.270	909	10,596	
{	1,274 H. 1,244	}	405	15,552	
	9,509	4,761	1,666	27,512	

H. = Hissas.

.

.

## STATEMENT

				ĺ	Number o	l villages	Number of boundary
	Name o	f District	Total in District		Number inspected	marks inspected	
•		1			2	3	- 4
Ahmednagar			9	1	1,379	<u>_</u> 469	510
		Mr.	19	J		1,304	2,014
Pcona	***	{S. D. O. Mr.	<b>3</b> 12	}`	1,176	{ 319 { 1,179	400 2,027
		(S. D. O.	2	ĥ		( 283	618
Sbolap <del>ur</del>		Mr.	7	}	702	- 702	3,646
Satara		S. D. O.	8		1,358	399	1,580
		• Mr.	17			1,348	7,954
		(S. D. O.	3	h		<b>507</b>	208
Bølgaum	•••	{ Mr.	10	]}	1,113	850	2,686
Bijapur		{S. D. O.	2	1	1,173	∫ 351	336
		(Mr.	9	)		( 1,120	1,256
Dharwar		{S. D. O Mr.	3 13	}	1,342•	{ 489 1,301	1,924 7,354
Kolaba		S. D. O.	9		1,627	455	4,919
	-	Mr.	9	ſ	1,021	. 1,476	- 14,149
Ratnagiri	<b>6</b> 22	<b>S. D. O</b> .	2	Ì	1,323	467	2,800
,		Mr.	11	ļ		1,923	6,191
		S. D. O.	4			279	4,943
Kanara	•••	 Mr.	11		1,417	1,267	16,364
-1		<b>L</b>	1	נן		L.	

S. D. O. = Sub-Divisional Officer.

.

Mr. = Mamlatdar or Mahaikari.

#### III-continued

	numbers	of Survey in which Tenancy sinspected	Number of villages in which Birth and	Number of entries checked in	Remarks
	Village Officers' . work	Circle Inspectors' work	Death Village Registers Forms were VI and VII checked		
	5	6	7	8	9
{	1,778 H. 1,044 5,652	186 2,576	280	3,999	
{	H. 621	H. 13	1,143	27,769	
	1,870	578	278	3,776	
	14,031	6,921	1,357	30,963	
,	1,209 3,792	624 1,593	223	2,155	-
{	H. 2,099	H. 1,091	} 701	20,495	
ł	1,810 H. 6,137	1,674 H. 5,741	379	12,404	-
{	11,289 H. 25,156	H. 10,362	} 1,282	51,433	
`	689	101	202	570	
{	4,522 H. 3,342	1,831 H. 1,215	} 738	12,165	•
	2,738	1,462	306	3,972	
	7,213	2,171	1,114	21,783	
	1,172	982	227	2,319	* Includes 63 surveyed inam
	17,163	7,363	1,190	32,418	villages.
{	3,018 H. 3,211	382 H. 1,214	366	27,818	
{	<b>3,659</b> H. 7,523	907 H. 1,778	3 1,262	21,683	
{	384 H. 2,398	164 H. 1,080	453	38,217	-
{	2,109 H. 19,345	855 H. 8,140	1,316	114,721	
{	857 H. 553	1,601 H. 1,050	} 195	5,488	•
{	9,816 H. 6,185	4,284 H. 2,227	} 1,219	39,734	-
_		<u> </u>			3

#### H. = Hissas.

F. G. H. ANDERSON, Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records.

- *				otal Numbe in the Di	r of villages istrict	Total Number	
Name		Total	Inspec- ted	devoted to all kinds of inspec- tion	Number of Circle Inspec- tors		
	1			2	3	4	5
North	ern Division						
Ahmedabad	<b>e</b> **			446	73	127	18
Kaira		***	!	589	69	. 98	20
Panch Mahals	***	•••		430	70	78	13
Broach		<b>b</b>		397	65	53	14
Surat		•••		821	131 .	96	18
Thana		•••		1,542	165	167	35
Centr	al Division						:
East Khandesb	•••			- 1,800}	154	177	40
West Khandesh		••••		1,216	195	161	25
Nasik	••••	•••		1,695	63	126	37
Ahmednagar		÷		1,375	133	167	37
Poona	a	•••		1,177†	204	163	- 25
Sholapur	***	•••		702;	107	169	15
Satara	•••			1,358	187	162	32
Southe	rn Division			-	•		•
Belgaum	***	•••		1,113	149	152	27
Bijspur	••••			1,1735	174	173	24
Dharwar		•••	•	1,342	160	170	34
Kanara	***		•••	1,417	208	137	29
Kolaba	•••	***		1,627	169	150	30
, Ratnagiri	•••	•••		3131	82	107	. 17

.

Statement IV showing the details of work done by the District

STATEMENT

.

	Cro	p and Waste	Inspecti	ons numbe	ers check	ed .		Entries in the
Inspec- Ac tors'	arly	Late			iste	Number of boundary	Return of Popu- lation and	
	Inspec- tors'	Village Account- ants' work	Circle Inspec- tors' work	Village Account- ants' work	Circle Inspec- tors' work	Village Account- ants' work	marks examined	and agricul- tural stock tested
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
19	225	995	37	164	11	108	861	
20		477		787		··· <b>、</b>	1,014	
13		289		131			1,200	····· .
14	271	<b>2</b> 21 ·	710	837	72		1,194	
15	104	1,446	1,464	750	23	····.	2,347	
35	1,589	1,660	8	7	18	10	4,076	13
40	48 H. 11	604 H. 846 901	3	47   47  81	6	16	792	
25 37	H. 356		H. 349 70		)  }	36   • 2	6,368	
		H. 165 87	}	H. 128 833	} <i></i>	• 2	266	* . 4. 4.4
37		(н. 85	}	H. 1,129	}		357	••••••
25	597   H. 1,323		490		} 74		1,032	
15	*** ***			717			840	
32	( <u>150</u> ( <u>H. 599</u>	248 H. 1,018	30 H. 34	36 H. 77	7 H. 5	<u>26</u> H. 65	} 1,306	• • •••••
27	56	107	61	122	- Management and a second se		469	
24		238 c	31	505	1			•••••
	<b>f</b> 79	H. 236 104	H. 7 37	H. 274 25	ζ		1,609	•••••
34	(H. 115	H. 172	H. 68	H. 62	} ;;	17	1,258	*****
29	493	495	68	56	26	27	5,344	•••••
30	$\begin{cases} \frac{347}{H.\ 1,038} \end{cases}$	537 H. 1,818	22 H. 10	38 H. 138	} 12	17	5,626	
17	$\left\{ \frac{224}{H.1,730} \right\}$	2:4 H. 1,730	}			1	1,309	******

Inspectors of Land Records of the Districts during the year 1926-27

## IV

STATEMENT

	Entries in the Birth and Death Register tested	tested						
Name of District				Measured by the Cadastral Surve- yor	Classed by the Cadastral Surve- yor		Cless by th Distr Surv Yor	
	L			15	16	17	18	19
Nort	hern Division							
Ahmedabad	••=	•••	•••	217	179		15	18
Kaira	· · · · ·			271	43		10	
Panch Mahals	•••	•••		293	9		10	-
Broach	•••		•••	962	169	8	248	
Surat	•			59 <b>7</b>	225	1	419	
Thana		·		363	52		34	19
	tral Division				( 178	h .	f 19	h .
East Khandesh			•••	67 <b>9</b>	H. 161 83	} 3	H. 5 8	}
West Khandesh		•••	***	905	H. 61	}	{H. 10	} "
Nasik				53	$\begin{cases} \frac{68}{H^{\circ} - 93} \end{cases}$	] -		
Ahmednagar		•••		773	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 62\\ H. 21\\ 150 \end{array} \right.$	8	{H. 10	3-
Poona				1,423	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 150 \\ H, 291 \end{array} \right.$	} 7	18	
Sholapur				279 ( 436	286   H. 509 137	}		
Satara			•••	{	H. 153	H. 9	H. 5	}
Souti	hern Division				۲ 54			
Belgaum				906	H. 133 104	} 5	4	13
Bijapur				1,208	H. 190	} n	5	
Dharwar	•••		•••	638	$\left\{ \frac{48}{\text{H}.931} \right\}$	} {	•••	{ <sub>H.0</sub>
Kanara				424	76	3	15	1
Kolaba	•**			401	63		17	1
Ratnagiri 🦂 🔭		•••			66		16	

33

.

.

## IV-continued

Number of entries in Village Forms VI and VII tested	Number of Village Account- ants exa- nined in mea- surement work	Taluka Forms XX to XXII tested	Number of Tagai Work inspect- ed	Number of entries in Village Form XVI inspect- ed	Rømarks
20	21	22	23	24	:
784		5	******	70	
1,375		,,,,,,	•••••	14	
411				. 10	
2,993	<b></b>		*****	•••••	
9,080			30		
2,919	90*		•••••		* Three Circle Inspectors and 17 talatis were trained in Plane Table class and
1,934			***	•••••	examined.
2,308					
420		·····			
1,163					
6,227	542	. 12		245	
1,690		******	******	••••••	+Shedani village now sur- veyed is included. This
9,677	690	514			figure of 1177 includes 171 surveyed alienated villages but not 26 unsur- yed villages. 1 Vida remark in state-
3,871		<b></b>			ment I.
1,486	•••••	8	*** , 89	•	§Includes 4 surveyed inam villages in which record of rights has been intro-
2,483		···	<i></i>	<i></i>	duced. 388 Entries in V. F. XII tested.
4,643		<b></b>		•••••	1005 Entries in V. F. XII tested.
2,901				******	Nine villages of Deogad taluka have been amalga- mated with other villages
2,371			******		as per G. R., R. D., 6540-24 of 1st June 1926, hence the number is less this year by 9

F. G. H. ANDERSON, Commissioner of Settlement and Director of Land Records.

-

# Part III of the Land Revenue Administration Report of the Province of Sind for the year 1926-27

#### No. P.-85-B

### REVENUE DEPARTMENT:

### Office of the Commissioner in Sind.

#### Government House, Karachi, 29th October 1927.

No revised irrigational settlements were introduced in any taluka during the year.

2. The work done during the year at the Head Record Office is detailed in appendices A and B. The figures in columns 2 to 4 of appendix A are slightly lower than those of the preceding year and call for no comment. Entries relating to 1,441 survey numbers were examined in the office of the Superintendent, Land Records, and only 16 mistakes were discovered. They were corrected at the time of inspection.

The number of copies of field books supplied was 8,817 as against 8,442 in the preceding year. The small increase requires no comment.

The Head Record Office supplied the Survey Officer, Lloyd Barrage and Canals Scheme, with plotted sketches of 13,632 survey numbers against 31,963 survey numbers in the previous year. The work was done by a special temporary establishment entertained at the Head Record Office, with the help of the ordinary establishment.

No land registers were prepared as no revision settlement was introduced during the year.

The number of maps supplied to Government officers and private individuals fell from 5,634 to 5,347. The difference is due to a smaller demand from the Public Works Department. Besides this number, 9,061 maps were supplied to the Public Works Department officers in connection with the Lloyd Barrage. In order to deal with this extra work, a special temporary establishment was entertained for three and half months in the Head Record Office to assist the ordinary establishment.

Appendix B relates to the work done in the Record Office in consequence of the measurements carried out by the village establishment. The number of measurement papers relating to survey numbers received for examination and entry in the Record Office exceeded the preceding year's figure by 428. The number of cases returned for correction of errors shows some increase as compared with that of the preceding year. The increase is mainly attributed to greater scrutiny of the plotted sketches received at the Head Record Office. The Superintendent, Land Records, tested 316 survey numbers measured by the village establishment.

3. The work of sorting and destroying records is up to date.

4. The Head Record Office was inspected by the Superintendent, Land Records, in May and June last and the result was satisfactory.

5. No field survey parties were organised during the year.

6. No fresh city surveys were undertaken during the year.

The survey of the towns of Tando Adam and Mirpurkhas started during the preceding year was completed during the year under report. The question of the maintenance of these surveys is under consideration.

The apportionment of the cost of maintenance in respect of the Karachi City Survey as well as other cognate questions are now under the consideration of Government.

The maintenance work of the Shikarpur, Garhi Yasin, Sukkur, Rohri, Hyderabad, Larkana, Jacobabad and Karachi City Surveys continued to be done during the year.

In the course of the maintenance of the Hyderabad City Survey 6,958 properties and 230 theodolite stations were reviewed during the year. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Registers was 891 and of corrections made in maps 330. The amount of sanad fees recovered during the year was Rs. 4,519-12-0 and the realisations of the City Survey Office on account of copying fees and fines under section 135 (F) of the Land Revenue Ccde amounted to Rs. 1,315-2-3. The total amount realized up to the end of July last on account of this Survey was Rs. 35,309-14-11. The net balance outstanding against this city survey at the end of the year was Rs. 8,162-0-2. The Municipality realised Rs. 63,675 on account of occupancy price of the plots sold.

The amount spent during the year in connection with the maintenance of the city survey was Rs. 4,330-13-0.

At Shikarpur 643 theodolite stations and 6,222 properties were reviewed during the year. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Registers was 1,915 and of corrections made in maps 635. The amount recovered during the year on account of sanad fees was Rs. 159-4-0. This sum added to the previous surplus in favour of Government over and above the cost of the survey raises it to Rs. 4,631-15-8. The receipts of the City Survey Office amounted to Rs. 2,354-3-0. Besides this sum, Government and the municipality realized Rs. 962-13-0 and Rs. 622-5-7 respectively as under :--

		By Governn	ient	By Mu	unicipality
		Rs. a.	р.	Rs.	a. p.
Sale of plots		953 11	0	616	8 8
Assessment on leased.	plots	92	0	5	12 11
		$962 \ 13$	0	622	57

In the course of the maintenance of the Sukkur City Survey, the number of properties and theodolite stations reviewed was 1,380 and 67 respectively. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Registers was 1,314 and of corrections made in maps 113. The receipts of the City Survey Office during the year amounted to Rs. 447-12-3. Besides these, the following sums were realized by Government and the Municipality from the city survey area:—

		By Government	By the Municipality
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Sale of plots		58 8 0	9,785 4 0
Rent of plots leased	•••	•••••	4,260 8 6
			<u></u>
		58 8 0	14,045 12 6

The realisations from sanad fees including late fees amounted to Rs. 383-8-0. The balance of Rs. 2,318-12-6 shown as outstanding in the last year's report was thus reduced to Rs. 1,935-4-6. The expenditure on account of the maintenance of the survey amounted to Rs. 2,632-8-6.

At Rohri, 37 theodolite stations and 690 properties were reviewed in the third cycle of review. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Registers was 395 and of corrections in maps 27. The amount recovered during the year on account of sanad fees was Rs. 17-8-0. The surplus in favour of Government over and above the cost of the survey is thus Rs. 663-5-9. The realizations of the city survey office during the year amounted to Rs. 85-0-3. The Municipality realized Rs. 1,281 on account of occupancy price of the plots sold and Rs. 70-8-0 on account of unauthorised conversions and encroachments during the year. The expenditure on maintenance of the survey amounted to Rs. 398-0-6.

In the course of the maintenance of the Garhi Yasin city survey, the number of properties reviewed was 727 and of theodolite stations examined 49. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Registers was 152 and of corrections made in maps 64. As there were no arrears on account of sanad fees, the surplus in favour of Government over the cost of the survey is Rs. 481-11-11 as reported last year. The receipts of the city survey office amounted to Rs. 87-1-0. Besides this, Government realized Rs. 442-14-0 as under :—

Sale of plots Rent of plots leased	•••	•••	0	0 2	0
Assessment on plots	•••	•••	433		
			442	14	Ω

A sum of Rs. 139-6-0 was spent on account of contingencies.

At Larkana 76 theodolite stations and 1,387 properties were reviewed. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Registers was 1,427 and of corrections made in maps 117. A sum of Rs. 6,169-12-0 was recovered on account of sanad fees during the year. The balance of Rs. 6,917-3-1 shown as outstanding last year against this survey was thus reduced to Rs. 747-7-1. The realizations of the city survey office during the year amounted to Rs. 436-2-0. Government and the Municipality realized the following amounts :---

	By Government	By the Municipality
Occupancy price of plots	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
sold	1,266 4 0	14,894 15 9
Rent of plots leased	$25 \ 10 \ 0$	$10 \ 12 \ 3$
· · · ·		
	1,291 14 0	$14,905 \ 12 \ 0$

The expenditure on the maintenance of the survey amounted to Rs. 2,322-11-0.

In the course of the maintenance of the Jacobabad City survey, 21 theodolite stations and 916 properties were reviewed. The number of mutations recorded in the Property Register was 700 and of corrections made in maps 91. The amount of sanad fees recovered during the year was Rs. 3,147-8-0. The total recoveries up to the end of the year amounted to Rs. 9,521-15-6 leaving a balance of Rs. 378-15-8 against the city survey. The receipts of the city survey office during the year amounted to Rs. 178-5-0. A sum of annas 6 was realized by Government on account of rent of plots leased during the year. The expenditure incurred during the year amounted to Rs. 182-2-9 on account of contingencies.

7. One Deputy Collector and three Mukhtiarkars attended the prescribed survey course. All of them passed the test and were granted the usual certificates.

No survey party was formed for the training of Head Munshis and graduates. The course for training Head Munshis and graduates has been reduced from three months to one month *vide* Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No. 8579/24, dated the 16th May 1927.

8. The Tapedars Training School remained closed during the year for the reasons stated in paragraph 8 of the last year's report.

9. The total cost of the Land Records Department for the year amounted to Rs. 77,021-10-10.

10. During the year under report the Record of Rights was not introduced in any part of the Province. As regards the six dehs of the Shahbunder taluka and two dehs of the Moro taluka referred to in paragraph 10 of the last year's report, the record was formally promulgated in the case of the former, but was inadvertently not promulgated in the case of the latter. The Collector of Nawabshah, however, reports that the record for the remaining two dehs of the Moro taluka will be duly promulgated during the ensuing cold weather. The record was re-written in all districts owing to the introduction of new village forms with effect from the 1st August 1926. The work of measuring fresh sub-divisions was kept up to date by the village establishment as a part of their ordinary duties.

The total number of cases in which the acquisition of rights was not reported during the year was 1,715 and the fines imposed amounted to Rs. 3,943-10-0.

The revenue accounts were based on the entries in the Record of Rights except in the areas specially exempted from the operation of the rules.

The maintenance of the Record has been on the whole satisfactory.

Mr. Jagatsing has carried on his duties as Superintendent as efficiently as usual.

W. F. HUDSON,

Commissioner in Sind.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Bombay. The Manager, Government Central Press, Bombay.

# APPENDIX A

.

 $\mathbf{\tilde{x}}$ 

# Showing the work done in the Head Record Office, Hyderabad, in connection with sketches, etc., during 1926-27

			uses nacessita uis in Survoy ords			ber of e duly co	anes returned rrected	a		orroctic	uses rom on at th 3 year		supplied from	Regi	ber of f stors pro- supplie	borared
Year	۶.	1	Maps 2		<u>~~~</u>		Maps 	rebate		· Maps		rebate	of extracts sur Registers	stablishment		
	Surrey Registers English		English Sindhi		Surrey Registers	English	Sindhí	List of Karia r	Survey Registers	rey (fish		List of Karia re	Number of ex Surrey Regis	Village Establis	District Officers	Petitioners
1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8,	9	10	11	12	19	14	15	16	17
1926-27 1925-26	1,996 2,106	531 828	1,790 1,955		1,990 2,106	531 828	1,784 1,955		6 	• • • •	G 	•••	961 1,099	8 119	 12	5 1

**4**0 .

•

		ield Books	d for the		1	Number	of Ma	ps suppl	ied to			Ma prep for pr		to replace old office Head Record Office	
Ý Y	ear	copies of Field	rs prepare I Office	Establishment cost	Establishment nent of cost		istrict cers	To peti on pa	tioners yment	Worl	Public s De- ment			to replace Head Reco	Remarks
		Number of c supplied	Land Registers prepared Head Record Office	Village Esta free of cost	Village Establishme on payment of cost	English	Sindhi	English	Sindhi	English	Sindhi	English	Sindhi	Maps prepared t copies at the F	
	1	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
1926-27		No. of referen 2,712 No. of S. Nos. 8,817 No. of referen	•••	8,482	232	122	•••	15	594	124	778	63	210	763	
1925-26		No. of S. Nos. 8,442	18	2,777	243	•••		8	710	239	1,657	7	570	263	· · ·

٩

:

,

# APPENDIX A—continued

J. B. IRWIN,

Assistant Commissioner in Sind.

.

ca 15-6

,

# APPENDIX B

Showing work done at the Head Record Office, Hyderabad, Sind, in connection with measurements done by Village Establishments during year 1926-27

District		Number of talukas in which survey work was done	Number of survey numbers received for examination and entry in the Survey records	Number of survey numbers returned after making entries in the Survey records	Number of survey numbers returned without entry in the Survey records, along with memoranda of errors	Arrears at the end of the year	Remarks
.1		2	3	4	5	6	7
Sukkur		8	2,671	866	1,707	98	
Upper Sind Frontier		5	1,001	371	630		
Karachi		11	1,500	. 728	770	2	
Thar Parkar		7	293	160	133		
Larkana		11	532	270	262		
Nawabshah		- 7	1,057	444	612	1	
Hyderabad		7	981	336	. 645		
Total for 1926-27	•••	56	8,035	3,175	4,759	101	
Total for 1925-26	•••	56	7,607	4,325	3,282		

• .

J. B. IRWIN, Assistant Commissioner in Sind.

~

# APPENDIX C

# Statement showing the test of Tapedars' and Supervising Tapedars' inspection work by Sub-divisional Officers in the Province during 1926-27

- , ``				Number	r of debs	Number of debs in which	Number of survey number		Number of debs in which	Number of entries	
Name of	dist,	rict		Total in the district	Number visited during the year	boundary marks repaired were examined	Tapedars' work	Supervising Tapedars' work	birth and death registers were checked	checked in Village Forms VI and VII	Remarks
							A. g.	A. g.			
Karachi	••	•••	•••	799	474	67	12,870 + 619 4 Big otimea- surement.	3,398+81 4 Bigoti measurement.	<b>S1</b> 0	8,764	
Hyderabad	,		•••	929	384	45	9,909	1,519	185	2,066	
Thar Perkar	•••	•••	•••	962	772	48	31,026 + 651 33 Bigotimea- surement.	A. g. 17,763 + 34 17 Bigoti measurement.	870	84,159	
Nawabshah	•••	•••	•••	768	558	76	17,148+ 442 26 Do	5,043	826	2,652	
Snkkur	•••			670	461	85	19,310+ 304 3 Do	A. g. 4,404 + 48 16 Bigoti measurement.	239	8,878	
Larkana	•••	•••	•••	754	674	96	40,220 + 477 5 Do	34,265	209	11,608	
Upper Sind Fronție	r	•••	<b>4</b> /4	493	366	61	13,548+2,215 17 Do	A. g. 2,826 + 502 29 Bigoti measurement.	215	2, 71	
		Total		5,815	8,689	411	144,031+4,710 8 Do	A. g. 49,208 + 766 26 Bigoti measurement.	1,804	115,228	1

,

J. B. IRWIN,

Assistant Commissioner in Sind.

#### No. 608 of 1927

### OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT, LAND RECORDS, BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION.

# Old Custom House Yard, Fort, Bombay, dated 2nd November 1927.

From

#### S. M. BHARUCHA, Esq., B.A.,

# Superintendent, Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division, Bombay;

#### To

# THE SETTLEMENT COMMISSIONER AND DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS, Bombay Suburban Division, Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit my report on the administration of the Office of the Superintendent, Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division, Bombay, for the year ending 31st July 1927.

2. The charge of the office was held by me throughout the year under report.

3. District Survey Office.—During the year, 155 correction cases affecting 690 survey numbers and 1,520 miscellaneous cases were disposed of by the District Survey Office as against 127 correction cases and 534 miscellaneous cases last year, leaving a balance of 12 correction cases affecting 92 survey numbers. The sale-proceeds of printed maps and extracts from survey records amounted to Rs. 401 while the approximate cost of extracts supplied free to Government officers was Rs. 355-10-0.

It is creditable that the survey correction work consequent on vast acquisitions of land by the Development Department, and due to conversion of agricultural lands to non-agricultural uses, which had fallen in arrears during the past years was almost completed during this year. The Salsette Development Officer and the Assistant Development Officers, Bandra and Kurla Areas, rendered every assistance in clearing the old arrears. With the same co-operation in future, it is expected that by the end of the next year, the record will be up to date.

4. Measurement and Classification.—The survey staff consisting of 1 District Surveyor, 3 Circle Inspectors and 4 Surveyors (2 from the Salsette Development Office and 2 of the pot hissa survey) have done 732 measurement cases involving 1,085 survey numbers and four classification cases involving 4 survey numbers. There is a balance of 154 measurement cases. Rupees 885-9-0 were realised from private applicants as survey fees. 5. Pot Hissa Survey.—The 2 pôt hissa surveyors prepared fair "gat" books and registers during the last monsoon season for 1971 hissas measured by them during the fair season of the previous year, and the statements of recoveries amounting to Rs. 2,463-12-0 were sent to the Mamlatdar, South Salsette. Out of the total amount of Rs. 5,212-8-0 for which the statements of recoveries were sent to the Mamlatdar, South Salsette, during the last two years, an amount of Rs. 1,478-13-11 was recovered by the talatis up to the end of July 1927 and the balance of Rs. 3,733-10-1 was outstanding. The Mamlatdar, South Salsette, has been asked to expedite the recovery work and to report the progress of the recovery of the pôt hissa survey fees every month.

As the result of the partial pôt hissa survey was not satisfactory as reported last year, the work was stopped in March 1927 and a fresh proposal for the regular pôt hissa survey at the cost of Rs. 19,000 was submitted. An allotment of Rs. 9,000 has been sanctioned for the year 1927-28 and arrangements are being made to start the work in November 1927.

6. City Surveys and Maintenance.—During this year, 661 properties out of 2,117 were reviewed and 166 theodolite stones were examined in Bandra and Danda, while in the surveyed village sites 116 properties out of 1,067 properties were reviewed. Twenty-eight encroachments were detected in the city and village site surveyed areas. The amount of Rs. 77-8-0 as measurement and copying fees and annas 8 as fine were recovered. The sale of sketches to occupants in the village site surveyed areas brought in Rs. 140-12-0 leaving a balance of Rs. 530 to be recovered. Out of this Rs. 485-8-0 refer to the Malad village site survey, the amount being disputed by the villagers.

7. Record of Rights.—The re-writing of the Record of Rights was not done during the year under report as the regular pôt hissa survey and the second revision settlement work for the South Salsette taluka were shortly to be taken in hand.

8. Boundary Marks.—Five villages in Bandra Circle, 4 villages in Kurla Circle and 4 villages in Borivli Circle, were taken up for boundary marks inspection work during this year but the programme of 3 villages in Bandra Circle and 2 villages in Borivli Circle was cancelled. One village in Kurla Circle has remained partly incomplete owing to early rains.

9. Establishment.—The satisfactory progress of the survey correction work during the year and the good work of the District Survey staff are mainly due to the able supervision of Mr. Bhatkar, the District Inspector, Land Records, who has spared no pains in improving the standard of work of the District Survey Office. My clerk Mr. Phadnis also worked satisfactorily during the year.

10. Special matters.—The revision settlement work of the Inam village of Dahisar which was sanctioned by Government Resolution, Revenue Department, No. 4662/24, dated 29th October 1925, was completed and the settlement report has been submitted to the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division, for obtaining Government orders. The second revision settlement papers of the Ambernath Taluka were prepared and sent to the taluka in December last. The second revision settlement of the South Salsette Taluka has also been sanctioned and the papers are under preparation by the District Survey Office, Andheri.

I have the honour to be,

### Sir,

1

Your most obedient servant.

### (Signed) S. M. BHARUCHA,

Superintendent, Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division.

### No. 241 of 1927

### OFFICE OF THE SETTLEMENT COMMISSIONER AND DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORDS, BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION:

#### Old Custom House Yard, Fort,

#### Bombay, dated 5th December 1927.

Submitted to Government.

2. There has been satisfactory progress in the correction of survey records, a matter specially commented on last year.

3. The Circle Inspectors' work was not sufficiently extensive being restricted to 39 villages, but it was otherwise adequate.

4. From 1st April next the control of the Settlement and Land Records work in the Bombay Suburban Division will be re-transferred to the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Poona.

### R. D. BELL,

Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division.

# STATEMENT I

. •

# Statement I showing crop, waste, boundary marks and other inspection carried out by Circle Inspectors in the District during the year 1926-27

				(average)						REE	PAIR OF	BOUND	RY M	ARKS						
		vill in	ber of ages the trict	work	Te	p and nancy ection	Wa Inspec		vill due	ber of ages for sction	villa comp inspec	ber of ages bletely ted and lired	vill outs ing at	nce of ages tand- close ason	Inspectors on	l of ]	ection Fagai orks	eath Registers	ПА	
Name of District	Number of Circle Inspectors in the district	Total	Number inspected	Number of days actually devoted to inspection	Total number of occupied Survey Numbers	Number of Survey Numbers and sub- divisions	Number of Waste Numbers other than forest	Number inspected	Arrears, past year	Current year's programme	Arrears, past year	Current year's programme	Arrears, past year	Current year's programme	Average number of days spent by Circle In inspection and repairs	Number of Tagai works examined	Number in which work was examined	Number of entries checked in Birth and Dea	Number of entries checked in V. F. VI and V	Romarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Bombay Suburban District.	3	93	39	180	10,618	811	447	101	2	8	2	7		1	48			770	11,921	

•

õ

.

# STATEMENT II

Statement II showing the measurement and classification work performed by the Circle Inspectors during the year 1926-27

Name of District	Arrears beginning o		Received the y		Dispos during th		Balance of the		Romarks
TABLE OF DIRMICE	Measure- ment	Classi- fication	Measure- ment	Classi- fication	Measure- Classi- ment fication		Measure- ment	Classi- fication	MULLIN
	S. Nos.	S. Nos.	8. Nos.	S. Nos.	S. Nos.	S. Nos.	S. Nos.	S. Nos.	
Bombay Suburban District	435		956	4	1,085	4 '	306		

## STATEMENT III

Statement III showing the test of Village Officers' and Circle Inspectors' work by the Sub-Divisional Officers and Mamlatdars in the district during the year 1926-27

Name of District	Number o Total in	Number	Number of boundary marks examined	Number of which Crop a Records wer Village Officers'	circle	Number of villages in which Birth and Death Registers were checked	Number of entries checked in V. F. VI and VII	Remarks
	district	inspected		work	work	nore enconed		
		······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·			
Bombay Suburban District						(	•	•
B. D. O. 1	98	79	495	1,104	504	79	1,442	
Mamlatdar 1	93	98	224	496	198	. 82	2,433	,
				2,587 H *	567 H *			

<u> </u>	Juda 114	THEO THEMHERYOD THE TA CTTNIAS	· Avano	<u></u>	
• H = Hissas. † Supervised the revision measurement work in Salette	Bombay Sub- urban Dis- trict.	Name of District	·		
aurvey work of Inam village Dahisar, revision settlement work of Ambernath Taluka, p R. D. Settlement Commissioner and	93	10 Total	Name of villares in the District		Statement
	81	∞ Inspected			
	322	Number of days devoted to all kinds of Inspection			IV
	<b>x</b>	on Number of Circle Inspectors		showing	
	\$	ca Number of Circles			
	:		Barly, Late, Waste	Crop and Waste inspection numbers tested	STATEMENT IV Statement IV showing the details of work done by the District Inspector of Land Records, Suburban District, during the year 1926-27
	:	ω Village Accountants' work			
	:	ο Circle Inspectors' work			
	467 H•	5 Village Accountants' work			
	:	Circle Inspectors' work			
	15	5 Village Accountants' work			TEN $re b_3$ $t, d_2$
	265	13 Number of Boundary Marks examined			IEN oring
	:	Entries in the Return of population and Agricultural			T I Dis the
	121	≒ Entries in the Birth and Death Regi	sters tested		V trict Inspector of . year 1926-27
	422	₩easured by Circle Inspector	Number of S. Nos. tested		
		Classed by Circle Inspector			
	18	☆ Measured by District Surveyor			
<sup>bt hissa su</sup> BELL, Directo Bor	<u>ده</u>	S Classed by District Surveyor			Land
a survey work. City Survey's and N.A. LL, actor of Land Records, fombay Suburhan Division.	1,476	8 Number of entries in V. F. VI and V		1 .	
	· :	Number of Village Accountants measurement work	examined in		
	1	S Taluka Forms XX to XXII tested			
	:	😸 Number of Tagai works examined		301	
, su Jec	190	2 Number of entries in V. F. XVI inspe	ected		Bombay
Did rvey	:	S Number of receipts examined			y
	:	🕱 Number of error slips issued			
ion	84	S Number of disputed cases decided			
ч. <b>А</b> .	)	Bemarks	÷		

BOWBAT : PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS.

Department of Land Records. Annual report of — for the year 1926-27.

### GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

#### REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

#### Resolution No. P. 62.

#### Bombay Castle, 12th March 1928.

Memorandum from the Commissioner in Sind No. P. 85-B. dated 29th October 1927.

Letter from the Superintendent, Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division, No. 608 dated 2nd November 1927—Submitting the Annual Report of the Department of Land Records of the Bombay Suburban Division for the year 1926-27.

Memorandum from the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division, No. 241 dated 5th December 1927.

Letter from the Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records, No. A.D. 152 dated 26th December 1927—Submitting the Annual Report of the Department of Land Records in the Bombay Presidency for the year 1926-27; and making remarks.

RESOLUTION.—Government concur with the Commissioner of Settlements that the touring of the District Inspectors named by him was not adequate. This is a most important part of the District Inspector's duties, and they should be warned to be more active and energetic.

2. It is noticed that the orders of Government requiring Subdivisional Officers, Mamlatdars and Circle Inspectors to do an adequate amount of inspection and supervision, have not had the effect which was expected. Government are glad to observe that the Commissioner of Settlements is taking action to secure a more effective supervision of the tests taken by the inspecting officers, and they will await his proposals on the subject.

3. The attention of the Commissioners, Northern, Central and Southern Divisions, should be drawn to the remarks in paragraph 47 of the Report of the Commissioner of Settlements regarding encroachments on Government and municipal lands and to the orders contained in paragraph 3 of Government Resolution No. P. 62 dated 14th May 1927. The Commissioners are requested to report the steps which they have taken in pursuance of these orders.

ca 15-8

4. Government notice with satisfaction that the work of the Land Records Department both in the Presidency and in Sind was on the whole conducted efficiently, and they are pleased to endorse the commendations bestowed by Mr. Anderson on his assistants.

By order of the Governor in Council,

### A. E. SERVAI,

# Acting Under Secretary to Government.

То

The Commissioner in Sind,

The Commissioner, N. D.,

The Commissioner, C. D.,

The Commissioner, S. D.,

The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,

All Collectors including the Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier,

The Commissioner of Settlements and Director of Land Records,

The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Bombay Suburban Division,

The Accountant General,

The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor,

The High Commissioner for India (by letter).

 $\mathbf{2}$