

## TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Para.	PRESIDENCY PROPER.	Page.
I	Number of estates under management .. .. .	1
II	Income .. .. .	1
III	Expenditure .. .. .	2
IV	Collection of estates' dues .. .. .	2
V	Government revenue, cesses and rates .. .. .	3
VI	Cost of management .. .. .	3
VII	Advances granted to cultivators .. .. .	3
VIII	Special schemes for management .. .. .	3
IX	Maintenance and education of wards .. .. .	4
X	Repayment of debts and existing liabilities .. .. .	5
XI	Reduction of claims .. .. .	6
XII	General remarks .. .. .	6
	Statements I, II, III.	
	SIND.	
	Report .. .. .	23
	Statements I, II, III.	

**NORTHERN DIVISION.**

J. GHOSAL, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, N. D.

**CENTRAL DIVISION.**

H. L. PAINTER, Esq., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, C. D.

**SOUTHERN DIVISION.**

L. J. MOUNTFORD, Esq., C.B.E., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, S. D.

J. R. MARTIN, Esq., I.C.S., COMMISSIONER, BOMBAY SUBURBAN DIVISION.

**I. Number of Estates under Management.**

*Northern Division.*—At the beginning of the year, there were 4 large and 43 small estates under management. Two small estates in Kaira were taken under management, while seven small estates (six in Ahmedabad and one in Kaira) were released from management during the year. The year thus closed with 42 estates under management (4 large and 38 small).

*Central Division.*—At the beginning of the year there were 7 large and 14 small estates under management. The small estate of Kazi Inaitullakhan of Ahmednagar was taken up and the large estate of Dabhade of Poona and a small estate of Mantri of Satara were released from management during the year. The year thus closed with 20 estates under management (6 large and 14 small), the statistical information regarding which is given in the accompanying three statements.

The estate of the minor Bhimabai, daughter of Phirangojirao Deshmukh of Chikurde in the Satara District, is under the management of the Court of Wards.

*Southern Division.*—Nine new estates were added and 4 (Anandibai, Astekar and Shant estates in Belgaum and Usmanbeg estate in Kolaba) released. Thus the number of estates rose from 46 to 51.

The new estate in Bijapur (Durga Estate) was taken over under Government Resolution No. 3065 dated 15th November 1921, Revenue Department, but the charge was finally assumed by the Court of Wards on 25th December 1921. The estate is still under investigation. Hence its details are not included in the report.

**II. Income.**

*Northern Division.*—The total gross income amounted to Rs. 4,75,498 as against Rs. 2,48,670 in the previous year. The increase is attributed chiefly to the more favourable agricultural season and satisfactory recovery of rent and other dues from cultivators, whereas in the previous year suspensions had to be given on a large scale on account of the unfavourable nature of the season. The decrease in the case of the Baxi Estate is nominal as the previous year's figures were swelled by the recovery of arrears of Moglai Hak and political pension. In Mehlool the decrease is due to the completion of the Gora cutting work and non-receipt of interest on loans.

*Central Division.*—The gross income amounted to Rs. 2,98,610 as against Rs. 2,20,327 in the previous year. The increase is mainly due to the following causes:—

(a) Addition of income of three small estates in Nasik district for which information was not available last year.

(b) Increase in receipts from rent and repayment of loans advanced from the estates.

(c) Receipt of a dowry of Rs. 6,001 by the Ward of the small estate of Dehu in Poona District at the time of his marriage.

*Southern Division.*—The total gross income increased from Rs. 2,42,762 to Rs. 3,13,008. The increase is due partly to the addition of new estates and partly to the recovery of arrears of rent suspended last year.

### III. Expenditure.

*Northern Division.*—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 3,84,772 as against Rs. 2,55,298 in the previous year. Barring payments towards the liquidation of debts to the extent of Rs. 1,09,029, the net expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,75,743. The increase is chiefly due to the fact that during the previous year, the Government dues were in several cases suspended wholly or in part, while during the year under report, the dues for the year were ordered to be paid in full together with a portion of the suspended revenue of the previous year. The following were the items of special expenditure during the year :—

Name of the estate.	Amount.	Object of expenditure.
	Rs.	
Sanand and Koth .. ..	2,211	Upkeep of the estate's dispensary at Koth.
	784	Repairs to tanks and Bundhas.
	4,826	Cutting, baling and storing some 2,00,000 lbs of grass.
	766	Chora repairs.
	4,728	Purchase of guns and ammunitions for protection against dacoits.
	25,725	Construction of and repairs to buildings.
Ahima (small estate in Kaira) .. ..	1,000	Presents on the marriage occasion of the Ward's sister's son.
Bhetasi (small estate in Kaira) .. ..	1,685	Marriage expenses of the Ward.
Kelod (small estate in Broach) .. ..	300	Death ceremonies of the wife of one of the Kelod minors.

*Central Division.*—The total expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,16,809 as compared with Rs. 2,44,436 during the previous year. Besides the ordinary expenses of management and maintenance of the wards and their relatives expenditure was incurred on account of some of the estates on the following special items :—

Rs.	
29,992	Repayment of loans.
7,123	Law charges.
11,794	Construction of and repairs to buildings belonging to the estates.
21,142	Marriage ceremonies of wards, Fakirchand of Chopda and Narayan of Dehu in the Poona District.

During the year fresh investments were made by the following estates in purchasing War bonds :—

Name of estate.	Amount invested.
	Rs.
Naik of Paldhi .. ..	20,800
Fakirchand of Chopda .. ..	5,600
Koshti of Rahuri .. ..	3,500
Shete in Ahmednagar District .. ..	1,000

*Southern Division.*—The expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,62,923 as against Rs. 2,19,960.

The rise in expenditure is due to the following causes :—

1. Payment of suspended arrears of Government dues.
2. Increment given to the estate employees.
3. Marriage expenses.
4. Religious expenses on account of the 'Kanyagat' ceremony.
5. Investment in the 6 per cent. 1927 Indian Loan.
6. Improvement of lands.

### IV. Collection of Estates' Dues.

*Northern Division.*—Out of the total rental demand of Rs. 5,84,532, Rs. 3,75,896 were collected and Rs. 57,313 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,51,323 at the close of the year. Of these Rs. 1,46,336 were on account of authorized suspension and Rs. 14,987 represent unauthorized arrears. The arrears are due to the poverty of the tenants. Steps are being taken to recover them.

*Central Division.*—The collection of estates' dues was satisfactory except in Ahmednagar and Poona Districts where it suffered owing to unfavourable season which necessitated grant of suspensions to the tenants.

The total rental demand was Rs. 1,80,723, of which Rs. 1,24,793 were collected and old and irrecoverable arrears to the extent of Rs. 313 were written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 55,617. Steps are being taken for the recovery of these arrears.

*Southern Division.*—Out of the total demand of Rs. 3,44,105, Rs. 2,64,119 or 76·76 was collected (including over collections of Rs. 781) and Rs. 3,176 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 77,591. Belgaum alone is responsible for Rs. 66,288 (of which Rs. 1,650 has been recovered since the close of the year) which mostly consists of old arrears. In Dharwar, arrears are due to a partial failure of the cotton crop.

The outstanding debt of Rs. 674-11-0 due to the Gore Estate in Ratnagiri was also recovered. The Collector reports that a loan of Rs. 891-1-0 is still due which was inadvertently not reported last year and that suits have been filed for its recovery.

#### V. Government Revenue, Cesses and Rates.

*Northern Division.*—All Government dues ordered to be paid according to the anna valuation of crops were paid up in full during the year under report.

*Central Division.*—Amounts due to Government have been paid by all the estates except in the case of assessments suspended owing to unfavourable season.

*Southern Division.*—Government dues were paid up in full including the suspended revenue in Belgaum.

#### VI. Cost of Management.

*Northern Division.*—The management charges of all the estates amounted to Rs. 31,567 or 6·6 per cent. of the gross income recovered as against 34,757 or 13·9 per cent. during the preceding year. The fall in percentage is due to the good recoveries made.

*Central Division.*—In the case of two small estates—one old and one new—in Ahmednagar District no expenditure was incurred as the management was conducted departmentally. The total cost incurred on the management of the remaining estates amounted to Rs. 26,714 or 8·9 per cent. of their income as against 16·8 per cent. in the previous year. The decrease is attributed partly to the abolition of the manager's post and reduction in his establishment in the Poona District and partly to the fact that Police and Forest establishments of the Raisingpur estate were paid the difference of their revised pay with retrospective effect.

*Southern Division.*—The aggregate cost amounted to Rs. 24,308 or 7·8 per cent. of the gross income recovered as against 8·8. Taking the estates individually the incidence of cost was slightly over the prescribed limit of 10 per cent. in a few estates in Belgaum (*viz.*, Jamboti 11·86, Yergatti 11·50) and calls for no remarks. This is an improvement.

#### VII. Advances to cultivators.

*Northern Division.*—The tenants of only two estates (Sanand and Koth in Ahmedabad and Mehlo in the Panch Mahals) were given takavi during the year. The former estate advanced Rs. 19,447, while the latter advanced Rs. 1,000 as takavi. No such advances were required to be made to the tenants of other estates under management.

*Central Division.*—No advances were granted to cultivators from any estate.

*Southern Division.*—Nil.

#### VIII. Special Scheme for Management.

*Northern Division.*—There were no special schemes of management. The amount due from the old lessee of the Chalindra lands belonging to the Naika estate referred to in section VIII of the last year's report was written off as irrecoverable as the lessee had no property from which the amount could be recovered.

*Central Division.*—The management of the Raisingpur Forests has been undertaken according to the sanctioned working plan and the estate is divided into

three circles--A, B and C. The colonization process in C circle which contains good agricultural lands, the forests of which under the working plans are set apart for destruction, continues to be very slow despite the fact that very liberal concessions are allowed to new settlers, *viz.*, grant of land, rent free for five years; tagai without interest for five years; grazing and forest privileges free; hutting material free.

In addition to six villages inhabited last year, two more villages have been inhabited this year as follows:—

1. Khadakapani in A Circle.
2. Wadli in C Circle.

Ratanhara, a deserted village in C Circle, was re-populated during the last winter, but it has again been deserted.

The schools at Raisingpur, Porambi and Nizampur continued to work well. Much difficulty is experienced in obtaining good teachers to go to Raisingpur, which combined with lack of any interest in the matter on the part of the Chieftain's relatives retards good educational progress. A fourth school has been opened at Pechridev from 1st June 1922.

Last year Government were pleased to appoint Mr. R. E. A. Elliott, I.C.S., to go into the question of settling the boundary dispute between the Kathi and Raisingpur estates. Mr. Elliott submitted his report to Government. This year Government have again appointed him under section VIII of the Scheduled Districts Act XIV of 1874 to consider and determine the said line of boundary.

No special schemes were undertaken in respect of any other estate.

*Southern Division.*—Nil.

#### IX. Maintenance and Education of Wards.

*Northern Division.*—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the wards and their relatives dependent on them were satisfied during the year under report.

The number of Government wards of school-going age was 29 (6 in Ahmedabad, 4 in Kaira, 4 in the PanchMahals, 3 in Broach and 12 in Surat) as against 31 in the previous year.

Of the six wards in Ahmedabad three are in the Dhandhuka Hostel and one is in the Nadiad Hostel. The Zizra ward (small estate in Ahmedabad) appeared at the last Matriculation examination and passed it. He has joined the Gujarat College. The Thakor of Sanand took the First year in Arts examination and passed it. He is now reading for the Inter Arts Examination.

Of the four wards in Kaira two reside in the Talukdari Hostel at Nadiad, while the Janod Kumar has joined the Mayo College at Ajmere and is in Standard VI. The Ahima ward who is 19 years of age has left off study owing to his desire to associate himself with the management of his property.

Of the four wards in the Panch Mahals, the two Kumars of the Mehlo Thakor attend the Telang High School. The elder is studying in Standard III and the younger in Standard V. The Shivrajpur Kumar was studying in Standard V, but at present he is asked to attend the Godhra Mamlatdar's office to learn work. The Gangardi minor is studying in Standard VII (Vernacular) in the Muvalia Bhil School in the Dohad Taluka.

Of the three wards in Broach, one is studying in the Government High School and is in the Matriculation class, another is preparing for the vernacular final examination and the third is studying in the vernacular Standard VI at Sarbhan.

Of the 12 wards in Surat, four study in the local vernacular schools, one attends the English school and is in Standard III and one has joined the Davar's College of Commerce at Bombay. The remaining six wards have left off study either on account of advanced age or indifferent health.

*Central Division.*—All reasonable demands for the maintenance of the wards and their relatives dependent on them continued to be satisfied.

There are in all 14 Government wards of school-going age. Of these Fakirchand of Chopda is in Vernacular 3rd Standard. The female ward of the Pande

estate does not go to school. The wards of three large estates—Naik of Paldhi, Dēshmukh of Yawal, and Koshti of Rahuri—and four wards of small estates are receiving education in local Vernacular schools, while four wards are attending English schools. The ward Chaugula of the small estate of Jalgaon has left school and is attending a private dispensary with a view to take the Sub-Assistant Surgeon's course.

*Southern Division, Belgaum.*—There were 30 wards of school-going age. Of these, two are studying in Colleges at Poona. The Bagewadi, Kulwalli, Yalihadalgi and Metgud wards are studying in Belgaum at English schools. The Yergatti ward is still receiving his education at Bangalore under the care of the Desai of Koujalgi. The rest attend primary schools. Reports on progress and conduct are satisfactory. Of the major wards, V. D. Deshpande died lately and the retention of the estate in the name of his minor nephew is under contemplation.

*Bijapur.*—None of the wards is of school-going age. All, however, can read and write Marathi as well as Kanarese. The son of one of the wards aged 15 attends the Bijapur High School and has been reported favourably by the Head Master.

*Dharwar.*—Of the eight wards of school-going age, six attend English Schools, one receives religious education and the other has left school. All are living with their personal guardians. The progress and character of the school-going wards are satisfactory.

*Ratnagiri.*—Of the three wards of the Gore Estate, the second ward who was studying in the Garud High School at Dhulia, died on 11th September 1921. The eldest ward has failed in the Matriculation Examination, and an application has been made for his readmission to the Ratnagiri High School. The youngest ward is learning English in the Middle School at Ratnagiri. His conduct and progress are reported to be good.

#### X. Re-payment of existing Debts and Liabilities.

*Northern Division.*—The aggregate amount of debt due by the estates which amounted to Rs. 7,19,362 when management was assumed, was reduced to Rs. 2,12,314 at the beginning of the year under report. During the year the liabilities rose by Rs. 67,716, of which Rs. 4,964 were on account of interest on Government and private loans borrowed in former years.

During the year under report a claim amounting to Rs. 9,143 against a small estate in the Ahmedabad District was rejected by the Court of Wards. Claims amounting to Rs. 1,09,029 were satisfied from current income and those amounting to Rs. 11,802 and Rs. 7,500 were paid off by sale of property and by incurring fresh debts respectively. The total liabilities which amounted to Rs. 2,12,314 at the beginning of the year decreased to Rs. 1,42,544 at the close of the year and this latter figure includes the suspended arrears of Government dues and loans borrowed on account of scarcity conditions in previous years.

*Central Division.*—The four large estates named in the margin and four small estates are free from debt. The claimant of a small amount of Rs. 50 due by ward Fakirchand of Chopda is not willing to take the amount but does not make a statement to that effect. The matter will be disposed of this year.

1. Raisingpur.
2. Pande of Dharangaon.
3. Naik of Paldhi.
4. Koshti of Rahuri.

The total balance of debt due by the estates at the beginning of the year was Rs. 2,29,618. A fresh debt of Rs. 32,000 was raised with Government sanction for the liquidation of old debts due by the two small estates in Nasik District (*vide* Government Orders Nos. 3666, dated 24th December 1921, and 4289, dated 8th April 1922). Claims amounting to Rs. 35,462 were cleared off, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,94,156, out of which Rs. 1,03,494 represent the family debt of the small joint estate of Natu which will, it is expected, be wiped off next year when the partition of the estate will be effected.

The ward Somvanshi of a small estate in Ahmednagar District holds two inam villages which were under attachment by a decree of the Civil Court. They have recently been redeemed in accordance with the Civil Court's order. The annual income from these two villages will approximately come to Rs. 3,300. When they come under the management of the Court of Wards the estate would soon be free from debt which now amounts to Rs. 3,706.

All the claims against the small estate of Kazi Inaitullakhan of Ahmednagar which was taken up at the beginning of this year were investigated. They amount to Rs. 47,000, but the Collector has not yet submitted his report under section 18 of the Court of Wards Act. He is being asked to submit it soon.

*Southern Division.*—The total repayment of debt amounted to Rs. 84,750 (as against Rs. 70,958) of which Rs. 23,120 was paid from current income and Rs. 61,630 by incurring fresh debts.

Eighteen out of 42 estates in Belgaum are free from debt. In 15 of these 18 estates, the proprietors are minors and it is not desirable to withdraw management until they attain majority. Of the three remaining estates, one has already been ordered to be released; the proprietor of another estate is incapable of managing the estate and in the third case proceedings for a declaration of the incapacity of the proprietor have been started.

The balance of the Tignibidri estate in Bijapur remained at Rs. 18,300; no capital could be repaid on account of the extra expenditure in connection with the review application made in the High Court (which was rejected at last) about the civil litigation. However two years' interest, *viz.*, Rs. 2,196 was paid. An attempt will be made to pay off 4 instalments with interest in the ensuing year as the income of the estate has increased.

The Belgali estate in Dharwar has still to pay a debt of Rs. 3,129 (repayable in annual instalments). Other estates are free from debts.

The Gore estate in Ratnagiri has no debts.

#### **XI. Reduction of claims secured in consideration of prompt payment.**

No such reduction was made during the year under report.

#### **XII. General Remarks.**

*Northern Division.*—The year opened with four suits in Ahmedabad to which 10 were added in the course of the year. Of these 14 suits, two were compromised, five were decided in favour of and one against the estate.

The cost awarded in the Kaira succession appeal has been paid by the appellant in the District Court of Ahmedabad. Four out of the six tenants of Pilodra-hamlet of Janod—had applied to the Kapadvanj Sub-Judge to take their suits on file again, but they were rejected.

The administration of estates continues to be popular.

*Central Division.*—The administration of the estates through local officers has been efficient and satisfactory.

*Southern Division.*—Out of 48 suits in Belgaum, 12 were decided in favour of the Court of Wards and five against it, leaving 31 pending at the close of the year.

In Bijapur a civil suit has been lodged for the restoration of four personal inam lands belonging to the Tignibidri estate.

In Dharwar a sum of Rs. 31,500 was invested in the Income Tax free 6 per cent. Government of India Bonds, 1927.

The administration of the estates continues to be popular.

---

STATEMENT I.

---



## STATEMENT

Statement showing the general position of estates under Government

(Details are given only for estates with gross income of Rs. 10,000)

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Total area of the Estate.	Cultivated area.	Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue demand including cesses.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<b>NORTHERN DIVISION.</b>			Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad ...	Sánand and Koth ...	104,980*	68,617	36,333	1,62,311	1,78,952
2	Kaira ...	Janod ...	13,274†	10,666†	2,608†	19,960†	18,631
3	Panch Maháls ...	Mehlol ...	23,338	13,619	12,689	97,486	30,331
4	Surat ...	Baxi ...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
5-15	Ahmedabad ...	} Total for small estates ...	28,301	18,350	10,551	41,359	87,755
16-22	Kaira ...						
23-27	Panch Maháls ...						
28-30	Broach ...						
31-41	Surat ...						
42	Thána ...						
Total, Northern Division ...			1,76,493	1,14,312	62,181	2,61,116	3,15,669
<b>CENTRAL DIVISION.</b>							
1	East Khándesh ...	Fakirchand of Chopda ...	891	861	20	1,382	12,428
2	Do. ...	Pande of Dharangaon ...	373	373	.....	897	5,689

I.

management in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1921-22.  
and above. (Figures for other estates are lumped together.)

Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Cost of management.	Percentage of gross income.	Remarks.
		Invested.	Uninvested.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
2,58,288	1,94,340	2,94,176	1,42,880	27,273	15,750	6.1	* The change in area is due to the addition of some 11 acres of Kharaba land given to the estate by the Dholka Dhandhuka Railway in exchange of some of the land acquired.
18,101	16,450	.....	5,319	4,425	2,881	15.9	† The decrease in columns 4 to 7 as compared with previous year's figures is due to redemption of mortgaged lands measuring 24 acres assessed at Rs. 231 by the mortgagors.
36,271	22,914	1,00,800	45,181	.....	2,723	7.5	
23,583	29,789	.....	903‡	64,576	615	2.6	‡ The balance in column 12 of the last year's statement as now reported by the Collector is 7,109 and not 7,901.
1,99,255	1,14,279	2,43,008	85,388	46,270	9,598	0.9	
4,75,498	3,84,772	6,88,584	2,79,671	1,42,544	31,567	0.6	
89,276	24,303	1,23,475	21,407	50	3,696	9.9	The changes in area and assessment are due partly to the purchase of some land and partly to the restoration of the land on expiry of the period of mortgage.
15,329	7,089	88,207	5,882	.....	1,758	11.5	The changes in area and assessment are due to the transfer of land to other share holders.

## STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Total area of the Estate.	Cultivated area.	Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total land Revenue demand including cesses.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
		<b>CENTRAL DIVISION—contd.</b>	<b>Acres.</b>	<b>Acres.</b>	<b>Acres.</b>	<b>Rs.</b>	<b>Rs.</b>	
3	East Khándesh	Deshmukh of Yawal ...	834	797	37	1,300	10,930	
4	Do.	Naik of Paljhi ...	609	586	23	1,022	5,201	
5	West Khándesh	Baisingpur ...	1,28,000	5,965	1,22,035	4,011	4,011	
6	Ahmednagar	Koshti ...	89	89	...	134	607	
7 & 8	East Khándesh	}						
9 to 12	Ahmedagar							
			Total for small estates ...	27,216	24,557	2,659	22,847	74,753
13 to 15	Poona							
16 to 20	Násik							
		<b>Total, Central Division ..</b>	<b>1,58,002</b>	<b>33,228</b>	<b>1,24,774</b>	<b>31,093</b>	<b>1,13,709</b>	

I—continued.

Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance		Total liabilities.	Cost of management.	Percentage of gross income.	Remarks.
		Invested.	Uninvested.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
20,618	19,100	900	8,336	3,643	1,898	9.2	The changes in area and assessment are due to the mistake in last year's figure of area and to the subtraction of the difference between Judd and assessment of inám lands.
30,667	15,724	52,800	5,832	.....	2,101	6.8	The increase in assessment is due to revision survey.
52,403	27,121	2,16,800	36,108	.....	10,322	19.7	The assessment of this estate always varies as it is based on Autbandi system.
3,335	2,228	38,400	4,392	.....	528	13.4	
1,36,353	1,21,214	81,436	43,806	1,90,460	6,211	4.6	
2,98,610	2,16,809	5,52,108	1,25,848	1,94,156	26,714	8.9	

## STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Total area of the Estate.	Cultivated area.	Uncultivated area.	Total assessment.	Total Land Revenue demand including cesses.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	<b>SOUTHERN DIVISION.</b>		<b>Acres.</b>	<b>Acres.</b>	<b>Acres.</b>	<b>Ra.</b>	<b>Rs.</b>
1	Belgaum ...	Nipáni ...	7,016	6,575	441	8,170	33,900
2	Do. ...	Chendur ...	2,423	2,423	.....	3,928	26,730
3	Do. ...	Jamboti ...	16,633	6,764	9,869	7,492	11,039
4	Do. ...	Here ...	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,393
5	Do ...	Yergatti ...	17,862	17,862	.....	9,315	19,285
6	Do. ...	Kudchi ...	14,359	14,036	323	18,452	9,778
7	Do. ...	Ghosarwad ...	1,256	1,256	.....	3,734	7,249
8	Do. ...	Metgud ...	385	385	.....	933	10,000
9	Dharwar ...	Aminbhavi ...	742	741	1	1,889	12,082
10	Do. ...	Kortur Desai ...	644*	644	.....	891	8,358
11	Do. ...	Bhadrapur ...	891†	891	.....	1,703†	10,058
12—45	Belgaum ...	} Total for small estates ...	29,076	18,847	10,228	33,997	1,05,951
46	Bijápur ...						
47—49	Dhárwár ...						
50	Ratnágiri ...						
		Total, Southern Division ...	91,286	70,424	20,862	90,504	1,76,823
		<b>GRAND TOTAL ...</b>	<b>4,25,781</b>	<b>2,17,964</b>	<b>2,07,817</b>	<b>3,82,713</b>	<b>6,06,201</b>

I—concluded.

Total gross income recovered.	Total gross expenditure.	Balance.		Total liabilities.	Cost of management.	Percentage of gross income.	Remarks.
		Invested.	Uninvested.				
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
89,711	23,506	29,400	3,195	57,542	3,706	9.33	
32,877	41,190	3,700	13,171	23,096	3,025	9.20	
12,595	9,558	8,900	1,809	.....	1,485	11.86	
16,693	22,165	.....	3,723	15,325	1,606	9.62	
16,489	17,087	4,100	3,147	2,225	1,896	11.50	
15,161	10,809	2,600	5,905	28,609	1,020	6.72	
10,206	3,265	.....	3,441	.....	700	6.85	
10,000	.....	.....	10,000	31,185	.....	...	
10,024	5,690	17,300	10,170	.....	408	4.07	
11,770	15,186	31,675	6,399	.....	410	3.48	* It is reported that there was a mistake in calculation and these are correct figures.
13,947	16,589	63,075	9,649	.....	555	3.97	† The decrease in area and assessment is due to the relinquishment of one Survey No. of Nagarhalli measuring 45 acres and assessed at Rs. 42 to the mortgagor
1,23,535	97,878	86,962	54,727	1,41,074	9,497	7.6	
3,18,008	2,62,923	2,52,712	1,24,341	2,99,056	24,308	7.8	
10,87,116	8,64,504	14,43,404	5,29,860	6,35,756	82,589	7.5	

## STATEMENT

Statement showing the efficiency of rent collections in estates under Government

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collections	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	<b>NORTHERN DIVISION.</b>		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad ...	Sánand and Koth ...	1,99,455	2,01,222	4,00,677	1,86,709	64,792
2	Kaira ...	Janod ...	18,631	14,118	32,749	15,046	2,480
3	The Panch Maháls ...	Mehlol ...	19,611	1,511	21,122	19,445	1,426
4	Surat ...	Baxi ...	...	...	...	...	...
5-15	Ahmedabad ...	} Total for small estates ...	85,081	44,903	1,29,984	78,316	7,682
16-22	Kaira ...						
23-27	The Panch Maháls ...						
28-30	Brcach ...						
31-41	Surat ...						
42	Thána ...						
		<b>Total, Northern Division ...</b>	<b>3,22,778</b>	<b>2,61,754</b>	<b>5,84,532</b>	<b>2,99,516</b>	<b>76,380</b>
	<b>CENTRAL DIVISION.</b>						
1	East Khándesh ...	Fakirchand of Chopda ...	12,428	9,116	21,544	10,221	1,703
2	Do. ...	Paude of Dharangaon ...	5,689	7,320	13,009	3,896	5,141
3	Do. ...	Deshmukh of Yawal ...	10,930	* 6,985	17,915	9,372	4,665
4	Do. ...	Naik of Faldhi ...	5,291	3,940	9,231	3,963	2,048
5	West Khándesh ...	Raisingpur ...	4,011	369	4,380	3,921	98
6	Ahmednagar ...	Koshti ...	607	...	607	607	...
7 & 8	East Khándesh ...	} Total for small estates ...	74,753	39,284	1,14,037	55,215	23,943
9 to 12	Ahmednagar ...						
13 to 15	Poona ...						
16 to 20	Násik ...						
		<b>Total, Central Division ...</b>	<b>1,13,709</b>	<b>67,014</b>	<b>1,80,723</b>	<b>87,195</b>	<b>37,598</b>

## II.

management in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1921-22.

Total.	Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balances.		Remarks.												
		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.													
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16												
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.													
2,51,501	47,790	...	1,01,386	1,01,386	13,564	87,822													
17,526	52	...	15,171	15,171	3,533	11,638													
20,871	167	...	84	84	63	21													
...	...	...	...	...	...	...													
85,098	9,904	365	34,317	34,682	5,823	28,859													
3,75,896	57,313	365	1,50,958	1,51,323	22,983	1,28,340													
11,924	...	...	9,620	9,620	2,207	7,413													
9,037	...	...	3,972	3,972	1,793	2,179													
14,037	...	868	3,010	3,878	1,557	2,321	* This includes a long standing arrear of Rs. 9 recovered this year.												
6,011	...	...	3,220	3,220	818	2,402													
4,019	...	...	861	861	271	90													
607	...	...	...	...	...	...													
81,308	313	...	34,566	34,566	19,479	15,087	† This includes Rs. 2,150 on account of collections of Natu estate, the demand and closing balance of which are not known as the estate is joint.												
†1,26,843 -2,150	313	868	54,749	155,617	26,125	29,492	<table style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: 0;"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Rs.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>† Demand</td> <td>1,80,723</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Collections</td> <td>1,24,793</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">55,930</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Written off</td> <td>313</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black;">55,617</td> </tr> </table>		Rs.	† Demand	1,80,723	Collections	1,24,793		55,930	Written off	313		55,617
	Rs.																		
† Demand	1,80,723																		
Collections	1,24,793																		
	55,930																		
Written off	313																		
	55,617																		
1,24,793																			



## STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of District.	Name of Estate.	Demands.			Collections	
			Current.	Arrears.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of arrears.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	<b>SOUTHERN DIVISION.</b>						
1	Belgaum	Nipáni	33,900	4,702	38,602	32,823	4,681
2	Do.	Chendur	26,720	8,373	35,103	24,202	5,821
3	Do.	Jamboti	11,039	2,772	13,811	10,536	26
4	Do.	Hére	12,303	629	13,022	11,764	213
5	Do.	Yergatti	19,285	4,020	23,305	14,744	791
6	Do.	Kudchi	9,778	5,044	14,822	9,575	4,265
7	Do.	Ghosarwád	7,249	5,348	12,597	6,372	3,710
8	Do.	Metgud	10,000	...	10,000	10,000	...
9	Dhárwár	Aminbhavi	12,082	3,421	15,503	9,542	471
10	Do.	Sortur Desai	8,358	3,416	11,774	7,698	2,448
11	Do.	Bhadrapur	10,058	1,634	11,692	9,093	1,024
12-45	Belgaum	Total for small estates	1,05,349	33,525	1,43,874	87,531	6,729
46	Bijápur						
17-49	Dhárwár						
50	Ratnágiri						
		<b>Total, Southern Division</b>	<b>2,66,221</b>	<b>77,884</b>	<b>3,44,105</b>	<b>2,83,940</b>	<b>30,179</b>
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>7,02,708</b>	<b>4,03,652</b>	<b>11,09,360</b>	<b>6,20,651</b>	<b>1,44,157</b>

II—continued.

439

Total.	Amount written off.	Balance.			Details of balances.		Remarks : Over-collections included in column 9.
		Converted into bonds or decrees.	Others.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
35,704	...	...	1,122	1,122	21	1,101	24
30,023	1,734	...	3,346	3,346	1,555	1,791	...
10,562	...	...	3,260	3,260	2,746	514	11
11,977	...	...	1,175	1,175	835	340	130
15,535	202	...	7,597	7,597	8,230	4,367	29
13,840	...	...	1,556	1,556	779	777	574
10,082	560	...	1,955	1,955	1,187	818	...
10,000	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
10,023	...	...	5,480	5,430	2,950	2,530	...
10,146	...	968	660	1,628	968	660	...
10,117	...	600	975	1,575	610	965	...
94,810	680	...	48,897	48,897	31,478	17,419	13
2,64,119	3,176	1,568	76,023	77,591	46,309	31,282	781
7,64,908	60,802	2,801	2,81,730	2,84,531	95,417	1,89,114	...

## STATEMENT

Statement showing progress made in liquidation of debts in estates under management of

Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of Estate.	Date on which management was assumed.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debt at the beginning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	<b>NORTHERN DIVISION.</b>				Rs.	Rs.
1	Ahmedabad	Sánand and Koth	Government Resolution No. 10588—245-Conf. dated 19th November 1912.	1,16,084	49,069	54,455
2	Kaira	Janod	Government Order No. 6372 dated 6th July 1911.	31,799	8,721†	704
3	The Panch Maháls	Mehlol	Government Order No. 610 dated 18th January 1915.	.....	.....	.....
4	Surat	Baxi	Government Order No. 6950 dated 25th July 1914.	1,21,309	73,672	1,700
5—15	Ahmedabad	} Total for small estates	} Figures for small estates are lumped together and dates of assumption of management of these estates are not given as they will not serve any useful purpose.	4,50,170	80,852	10,857
16—22	Kaira					
23—27	The Panch Maháls					
28—30	Broach					
31—41	Surat					
42	Thána					
<b>Total, Northern Division</b>				<b>7,19,362</b>	<b>2,12,314</b>	<b>67,716</b>
	<b>CENTRAL DIVISION.</b>					
1	East Khándesh	Fakirchand of Chopda.	.....	54,227	467	.....
2	Do.	Paude of Dharangaon.	.....	20,844	.....	.....
3	Do.	Deshmukh of Yáwal.	.....	35,157	14,436	.....
4	Do.	Náik of Paldhi	.....	120	86	.....
5	West Khándesh	Ráisingpur	.....	.....	.....	.....
6	Ahmednagar	Koshti	.....	.....	.....	.....
7—8	East Khándesh	} Total for small estates	} .....	3,45,126	2,14,629	32,000
9—12	Ahmednagar					
13—15	Poona					
16—20	Násik					
<b>Total, Central Division</b>				<b>4,54,974</b>	<b>2,29,618</b>	<b>32,000</b>

III.

*the Court of Wards in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions during the year 1921-22.*

Debts settled during current year					Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
.....	.....	.....	Rs. ....	Rs. 76,251	Rs. 27,278*	.....	* Rs. 27,272 sarkar jama suspended.
.....	.....	.....	.....	5,000	4,425	1,925	† This was the balance of debt on 1st October 1921 from which the year for the loan account begins and so it has been taken as correct instead of Rs. 8,693 shown in column 13 of the last year's statement No. III.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	10,796	64,576	.....	
7,500	11,802	9,143	12‡	16,962	46,270	.....	‡ Remitted by Government on account of jama.
7,500	11,802	9,143	12	1,09,029	1,42,544	.....	
.....	.....	417	.....	.....	50	1922-23	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	1,390	206	9,194	3,646	1924-25	
.....	.....	.....	.....	86	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
32,000	.....	8,344	118	20,712	1,90,460	.....	
32,000	.....	8,151	819	29,992	1,94,156	.....	

## STATEMENT

Serial No.	Name of district.	Name of Estate.	Date on which management was assumed.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Balance of debt at the beginning of year.	Additional debts incurred during year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	SOUTHERN DIVISION.			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Belgaum	Nipáni	1st May 1906 and 23rd November 1907.	2,25,260	69,252	2,400
2	Do.	Chendur	1st August 1914	10,145	15,261	9,045*
3	Do.	Jamboti	21st April 1915	1,708	.....	.....
4	Do.	Hére	18th August 1915	17,613	7,225	9,000†
5	Do.	Yergatti	5th November 1920	2,000	1,225	1,000
	Do.	Kudchi	10th April 1920	28,609	28,609	.....
7	Do.	Ghosarwad	.....	.....	.....	.....
8	Do.	Metgud	17th January 1922	31,185	31,185	35,600‡
9	Dhárwár	Aminbhavi	.....	.....	.....	.....
10	Do.	Sortur	.....	.....	.....	.....
11	Do.	Bhadrapur	.....	.....	.....	.....
12-45	Belgaum	} Total for small estates.	.....	1,55,560	1,38,767	35,337
46	Bijápur					
47-49	Dhárwár					
50	Ratnagiri					
Total for Southern Division				4,72,080	2,91,524	92,282
Grand Total				16,46,416	7,33,456	1,91,998

III—continued.

441

Debts settled during current year.					Balance.	Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debt.	Remarks.
By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards or Civil Court.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
.....	.....	.....	.....	14,110	57,542	30	
.....	.....	.....	.....	1,210	23,006	10	* The debts had to be raised for marriages.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	900	15,925	15	† The debt had to be raised to meet expenses in connection with Survey Settlement.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,225	2	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	28,609	15	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
35,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	31,195	15	‡ To discharge old debts on high interest.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
26,130	.....	.....	.....	6,900	1,41,074	.....	
61,630	.....	.....	.....	23,120	2,99,056	...	
1,01,130	11,802	10,294	331	1,62,141	6,35,756	...	

## SIND

No. A.-589 of 1922.

From

CHAINRAI BULCHAND, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,  
Court of Wards in Sind ;

To

THE COMMISSIONER IN SIND.

*Sind Court of Wards Office,  
Hyderabad, 7th September 1922.*

*Subject.*—Annual Administration Report.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the annual report on the administration of the estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards in the province of Sind for the year ending the 31st July 1922.

2. The charge of the office was held by me throughout the year under report.

3. The number of estates under superintendence at the close of the year was 158, as compared with 148 in the previous year, the details being as under :—

Number of Estates under superintendence.	At the beginning of the year .. .. .	148
	<i>Add</i> Taken up during the year :—	
	(a) On applications to the Commissioner in Sind .. .. .	2
	(b) On applications to the Court of Wards .. .. .	9
	(c) On recommendations from Collectors .. .. .	11
	(d) On certificate from the District Court .. .. .	1
		— 23
	<b>Total .. .. .</b>	<b>171</b>
	<i>Deduct</i> —	
	(a) Released on their owners' attaining majority .. .. .	11
	(b) Released on owners' death .. .. .	1
	(c) Superintendence relinquished after appointing a guardian .. .. .	1 13
		— 158
	<b>Balance at the close of the year .. .. .</b>	<b>158</b>

It seems clear now that the number of estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards will in future remain in excess of those under protection under Act XX of 1896 whose number in balance in the year under report is only 125—a phenomenon in the history of this Department.

4. The number of applications for superintendence disposed of during the year was 116, as compared with 78 in the last year.

Disposal of applications.	The number of application for superintendence pending at the close of the last year was .. .. .	89
	Received during the year under report .. .. .	93
		— 182
	<i>Disposal</i> —	
	(a) Admitted .. .. .	21
	(b) Rejected .. .. .	95
		— 116
	<b>Pending at the close of the year .. .. .</b>	<b>66</b>

Out of these 12 have been since disposed of, 6 more have been since reported upon, 16 were received within 3 months of the close of the year, 9 within 6 months; the remaining 23 have been pending for longer periods and are with the various Mukhtiarkars for report, all of them being under expedites.

The record of disposal during the year under report beats that of any of the preceding seven years.

5. 171 estates remained under superintendence for the whole or part of the year under report. The details are as under:—

(a) Wholly leased to outsiders .. ..	123
(b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders .. ..	8
(c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the department ..	9
(d) Entirely leased to estate owners .. ..	2
(e) Entirely managed departmentally including those worked on cash-rents ..	8
(f) No cultivation .. ..	3
(g) Only house-rents .. ..	4
(h) Superintendence assumed after crops were removed .. ..	7
(i) Partly under former lease and partly Amani .. ..	4
(j) Not to be interfered with, being under injunction .. ..	1
(k) Produce taken away by estate owners ..	2
	— 171

The number of estates wholly leased to outsiders was 123 out of 171, as compared with 129 out of 165 in the preceding year.

Out of the three estates that remained without cultivation, one was purposely allowed to remain fallow and two came under superintendence after any arrangement could possibly be made for their cultivation.

The results of the working are shown in the following table after correcting a few errors in the last report; the totals given in this table will be found to agree with those given in statement II.

Produce.	Demands.		Recoveries.		Written off or otherwise struck off.		Balance.	
	Arrears.	Current.	Arrears.	Current.	Arrears.	Current.	Arrears.	Current.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Lease money ..	36,103	2,18,110	30,303	1,88,940	192	4,955	5,608	24,215
Assessment (1) ..	5,657	90,928	3,426	90,057	..	..	2,231	871
Net amani produce (2).	2,199	6,565	1,104	6,056	111	..	984	509
Assessment (1) ..	..	2,591	..	2,591	..	..	..	..
Rents ..	390	4,751	372	4,459	..	187	18	105
<b>Total ..</b>	<b>44,349</b>	<b>3,22,945</b>	<b>35,205</b>	<b>2,92,103</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>5,142</b>	<b>8,841</b>	<b>25,700</b>

(1) Includes fallow assessment and assessment due on land given on cash rents.

(2) Includes net cash rents.



6. The following figures show the total receipts under the various heads for the year under report and the preceding year:—

	1921-22.	1920-21.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Rents and produce ..	3,27,308	2,91,656	35,652	.....
2. Earnest money ..	5,202	6,964	.....	1,762
3. Adjustment of lease money taken by estate owners in advance before assumption of superintendence.	2,814	2,326	488	.....
4. Interest, notice fee ..	4,412	783	3,629	.....
5. Cash balances of new estates realised ..	337	577	.....	240
6. Sale proceeds of lands ..	34,713	13,036	21,677	.....
7. Compensation for land acquired by Government.	50	5,576	.....	5,526
8. Charges for water supply ..	.....	.....	.....	.....
9. Old debts recovered ..	9,732	6,290	3,442	.....
10. Sale proceeds of moveable property ..	289	520	.....	231
11. Difference awarded in cash on partition ..	.....	.....	.....	.....
12. Paid by estate owners ..	700	1,186	.....	486
13. Recovered under Civil Court decrees ..	.....	72	.....	72
14. Interest on investments ..	20,983	25,725	.....	4,742
15. Government loan ..	100	1,500	.....	1,400
16. Recovery of Takavi advances ..	672	2,125	.....	1,453
17. Pensions ..	.....	.....	.....	.....
18. Mesne profits recovered through Civil Courts.	.....	19,898	.....	19,898
19. Recoveries on account of registration etc.	283	.....	283	.....
Total ..	4,07,595	3,78,234	65,171	35,810

Net increase .. 29,361

The increase of Rs. 35,652 under the head rents and produce is due to the increase in the number of estates under superintendence. The account of old debts recoverable stands as under:—

	Rs.
Balance outstanding at the beginning of the year ..	17,118
<i>Add—</i>	
(a) Shown in the last report as recoverable in future years .. .. .	5,564
(b) Determined during the year .. .. .	17,339
	<u>40,021</u>
<i>Deduct—</i>	
(a) Recoverable in future years .. .. .	6,175
(b) Written off estates being discharged (most of these debts are decretal) .. .. .	10,830
(c) Written off for other reasons .. .. .	17
	<u>17,022</u>
Balance to, be recovered within the Year .. .. .	22,999
Recoveries .. .. .	9,732
	<u>13,267</u>
Balance still due .. .. .	13,267
Recoverable through Civil Courts being decretal debts .. .. .	587
(Pleaders have been asked to file execution applications in respect of Rs. 388).	
Due from Manager and so to be paid in due course of liquidation .. .. .	2,073
Balance under process .. .. .	10,607
	<u>13,267</u>

Recovery of the sum of Rs. 9,732 under this head as compared with that of Rs. 6,290 in the previous year shows a steady improvement.

Out of these 12 have been since disposed of, 6 more have been since reported upon, 16 were received within 3 months of the close of the year, 9 within 6 months; the remaining 23 have been pending for longer periods and are with the various Mukhtiarkars for report, all of them being under expedites.

The record of disposal during the year under report beats that of any of the preceding seven years.

5. 171 estates remained under superintendence for the whole or part of the year under report. The details are as under:—

(a) Wholly leased to outsiders .. ..	123
(b) Leased partly to estate owners and partly to outsiders .. ..	8
(c) Leased partly to outsiders and partly managed by the department ..	9
(d) Entirely leased to estate owners .. ..	2
(e) Entirely managed departmentally including those worked on cash-rents ..	8
(f) No cultivation .. ..	3
(g) Only house-rents .. ..	4
(h) Superintendence assumed after crops were removed .. ..	7
(i) Partly under former lease and partly Amani .. ..	4
(j) Not to be interfered with, being under injunction .. ..	1
(k) Produce taken away by estate owners ..	2

— 171

The number of estates wholly leased to outsiders was 123 out of 171, as compared with 129 out of 165 in the preceding year.

Out of the three estates that remained without cultivation, one was purposely allowed to remain fallow and two came under superintendence after any arrangement could possibly be made for their cultivation.

The results of the working are shown in the following table after correcting a few errors in the last report; the totals given in this table will be found to agree with those given in statement II.

Produce.	Demands.		Recoveries.		Written off or otherwise struck off.		Balance.	
	Arrears.	Current.	Arrears.	Current.	Arrears.	Current.	Arrears.	Current.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Lease money ..	36,103	2,18,110	30,303	1,88,940	192	4,955	5,608	24,215
Assessment (1) ..	5,657	90,928	3,426	90,057	..	..	2,231	871
Net amani produce (2).	2,199	6,565	1,104	6,056	111	..	984	509
Assessment (1) ..	..	2,591	..	2,591	..	..	..	..
Rents ..	390	4,751	372	4,459	..	187	18	105
<b>Total ..</b>	<b>44,349</b>	<b>3,22,945</b>	<b>35,205</b>	<b>2,92,103</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>5,142</b>	<b>8,841</b>	<b>25,700</b>

(1) Includes fallow assessment and assessment due on land given on cash rents.

(2) Includes net cash rents.

6. The following figures show the total receipts under the various heads for the year under report and the preceding year:—

	1921-22.	1920-21.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Rents and produce ..	3,27,308	2,91,656	35,652	....
2. Earnest money ..	5,202	6,964	....	1,762
3. Adjustment of lease money taken by estate owners in advance before assumption of superintendence.	2,814	2,326	488	....
4. Interest, notice fee ..	4,412	783	3,629	....
5. Cash balances of new estates realised ..	337	577	....	240
6. Sale proceeds of lands ..	34,713	13,036	21,677	....
7. Compensation for land acquired by Government.	50	5,576	....	5,526
8. Charges for water supply ..	....	....	....	....
9. Old debts recovered ..	9,732	6,200	3,442	....
10. Sale proceeds of moveable property ..	289	520	....	231
11. Difference awarded in cash on partition ..	....	....	....	....
12. Paid by estate owners ..	700	1,186	....	486
13. Recovered under Civil Court decrees ..	....	72	....	72
14. Interest on investments ..	20,983	25,725	....	4,742
15. Government loan ..	100	1,500	....	1,400
16. Recovery of Takavi advances ..	672	2,125	....	1,453
17. Pensions ..	....	....	....	....
18. Mesne profits recovered through Civil Courts.	....	19,898	....	19,898
19. Recoveries on account of registration etc.	283	....	283	....
Total ..	4,07,595	3,78,234	65,171	35,810

Net increase .. 29,361

The increase of Rs. 35,652 under the head rents and produce is due to the increase in the number of estates under superintendence. The account of old debts recoverable stands as under:—

	Rs.
Balance outstanding at the beginning of the year ..	17,118
<i>Add—</i>	
(a) Shown in the last report as recoverable in future years .. .. .	5,564
(b) Determined during the year .. .. .	17,339
	40,021
<i>Deduct—</i>	
(a) Recoverable in future years ..	6,175
(b) Written off estates being discharged (most of these debts are decretal) ..	10,830
(c) Written off for other reasons ..	17
	17,022
Balance to be recovered within the Year ..	22,999
Recoveries .. .. .	9,732
	13,267
Balance still due .. .. .	
Recoverable through Civil Courts being decretal debts ..	587
(Pleaders have been asked to file execution applications in respect of Rs. 388).	
Due from Manager and so to be paid in due course of liquidation .. .. .	2,073
Balance under process .. .. .	10,607
	13,267

Recovery of the sum of Rs. 9,732 under this head as compared with that of Rs. 6,290 in the previous year shows a steady improvement.

7. The expenditure for the year under report excluding investments amounted to Rs. 3,73,008 as against Rs. 5,17,585 in the previous year:—

	1921-22.	1920-21.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Government demands ..	1,11,933	89,299	22,634	....
2. Maintenance and tuition ..	70,496	56,692	13,804	....
3. Personal expenses ..	49,441	26,823	22,618	....
4. Suit expenses and expenses in connection with criminal cases.	2,463	1,282	1,181	....
5. Paid under Civil Court decrees in discharge of decretal debts.	....	137	....	137
6. Municipal and other taxes ..	244	300	....	56
7. Pay of servants ..	567	1,854	....	1,287
8. Mandar expenses ..	....	....	....	....
9. Registration and stamp ..	....	1,017	....	1,017
10. Redemption of ornaments ..	939	500	489	....
11. Garden and other cultivation expenses ..	2,396	2,999	....	603
12. Produce to co-sharers ..	903	49,301	....	48,398
13. Adjustment to maintenance of lease money enjoyed before superintendence.	2,814	2,326	488	....
14. Purchase of lands and buildings ..	12,450	6,034	6,416	....
15. Construction of buildings ..	....	....	....	....
16. Savings paid to estate owners ..	53,187	2,09,296	....	1,56,109
17. Petty items ..	425	580	....	155
18. Cost of management ..	39,524	36,141	3,383	....
19. Proved debts paid with interest ..	16,943	20,633	....	3,695
20. Loans repaid with interest ..	3,551	7,956	....	4,405
21. Government loan repaid ..	700	2,785	....	2,085
22. Interest paid on Government loan ..	177	128	49	....
23. Takavi advances ..	3,805	1,497	2,308	....
<b>Total ..</b>	<b>3,73,008</b>	<b>5,17,585</b>	<b>73,370</b>	<b>2,17,947</b>

Net decrease .. 1,44,577

This large net decrease is due in the main to the decrease under the head savings returned to estate owners. Payment under this head was abnormal in the previous year as explained in that year's report. The other noticeable differences are the decrease of Rs. 48,398 under the head produce given to cosharers against increases of Rs. 22,634 under head Government demands, of Rs. 13,804 under the head maintenance and tuition and of Rs. 22,618 under the head personal expenses. The explanation for increase under Government demands is better inundation in the year under report than in the previous year and less remissions allowed by Government in consequence. The increase in the personal expenses is mainly due to the payment of Rs. 21,000 to one estate owner (*viz.*, Nabibux Bhutto—Estate No. 25) alone for his marriage expenses.

8. The figures regarding collections of rents and produce stand as under:—

	Rs.
Arrears shown last year .. .. .	11,938
Under postponement allowed in previous years ..	29,854
Amounts prohibited under Civil Court orders ..	2,557
	44,349
Current demands .. .. .	3,22,945
	3,67,294
<b>Total demands .. .. .</b>	<b>3,67,294</b>
<b>Deductions.—</b>	<b>Rs.</b>
Amount written off out of arrears ..	303
Amount written off out of current year's dues ..	300
Amount under postponement .. .. .	5,486
Amount struck off owing to discharge of estates:—	
(a) Arrears .. .. .	..
(b) Current .. .. .	4,842
Under correspondence .. .. .	1,500
Due from estate-owners (irrecoverable) ..	2,188
Amount prohibited under Civil Court orders.	15,599
	30,218
<b>Amount recoverable within the year .. .. .</b>	<b>3,37,076</b>

	Rs.	Rs.
Brought over .. .. .		3,37,076
Collections out of arrears .. .. .	35,205	
Collections out of current demands .. .. .	2,92,103	
		<hr/>
Total recovery .. .. .		3,27,308
		<hr/>
Since recovered .. .. .	Balance .. .. .	9,768
Under process of recovery .. .. .	1,497	
	8,271	
		<hr/>
		9,768

The percentage of total recovery (3,27,308) to the amount recoverable within the year (3,37,076) works out at 97·1 as compared with 96·07 in the preceding year, and that of recoveries (2,92,103) out of the current demands recoverable within the year (3,22,945—300 written off—4,842 due on account of discharged estate —4,100 postponed—13,042 prohibited under Civil Court orders=3,00,661) works out at 97·15.

9. The account of Government demands stands as under :—

	Rs.
Balance outstanding as shown last year .. .. .	12,989
<i>Add—</i>	
(a) Error since found .. .. .	26
(b) Arrears claimed for new estates .. .. .	13,603
(c) Current demands .. .. .	96,722
	<hr/>
	1,23,340
<i>Deduct—</i>	
Remission on account of last year .. .. .	31
	<hr/>
Net amount due within the year .. .. .	1,23,309
Payments .. .. .	1,11,933
	<hr/>
Balance outstanding .. .. .	11,376

*Details of balance—*

	Rs.
(1) Due in future years .. .. .	66
(2) Under postponement allowed by Revenue Department .. .. .	7,705
(3) Not paid for want of balance available in estates by which due :—	
(a) Due prior to assumption of superintendence .. .. .	3,398
(b) Due from lessees and non-recovery from them not notified by Mukhtiarkars until after the expiry of the year .. .. .	207
	<hr/>
	11,376

The percentage of payments made (Rs. 1,11,933) to amount payable (Rs. 1,23,340—66—7,705) is 96·85 per cent. as compared with 88·6 per cent. in the previous year. This shows a considerable improvement over last year's figures. The above demands include demands on account of land revenue due whether before or after assumption of superintendence, as also demands on account of takavi due before assumption of superintendence.

The balance outstanding, viz., Rs. 11,376, is made up of :—

	Rs.
Land revenue .. .. .	7,990
Takavi .. .. .	3,166
Non-jamabandi items .. .. .	220
	<hr/>
	11,376

The figures of land revenue arrears outstanding at the close of the year as supplied by the Mukhtiarkars at this office requisition have been compiled (only

2, viz., Ghorabari and Johi have not as yet complied with that requisition, this office records show no arrears due to them). These show the balance due to them to be Rs. 6,256 as against Rs. 7,990 shown above. The net difference being in favour of the department needs no explanation; it may only prove the inaccuracy of the Mukhtiarkar's accounts.

Under head Takavi Rs. 328 are demanded in excess of what this office books show; that is a small difference, not worth explaining.

Under non-jamabandi items Rs. 682 are being claimed in excess; these are new demands and require further verification.

The account of takavi taken from Government by Court of Wards for estates during superintendence stands thus:—

	Rs.
Balance outstanding at the beginning of the year ..	700
<i>Add—</i>	
Loan raised during the year under report ..	100
<b>Total ..</b>	<b>800</b>
<i>Deduct—</i>	
Repaid during the year ..	700
Balance at the close of the year ..	100

10. The cost of management for 1920-21, which was recovered in the year under report was Rs. 39,524 as against Rs. 36,141 recovered in the preceding year. This works out at 11·30 per cent. on the gross income of 1920-21 as compared with 9·42 per cent. at which it was recovered for 1919-20. The total cost of the joint departments—the Incumbered Estates and Court of Wards—was Rs. 89,089 as against Rs. 85,197 in the previous year. The increase in the cost of management will as usual be explained in the report on the administration of Encumbered Estates.

11. The details of advances for cultivation are as under:—

	Rs.
Balance shown outstanding at the end of 1920-21 ..	2,689
<i>Add—</i>	
Advances made during the year ..	3,805
	<b>6,494</b>
<i>Deduct—</i>	
(a) Recovery ..	672
(b) Written off owing to withdrawal of superintendence from some estates ..	2,310
(c) Written off as irrecoverable expenditure ..	792
	<b>3,774</b>
Balance outstanding at the close of the year ..	2,720
(a) Due in 1922-23 ..	500
(b) Due from estate owners and hence under correspondence ..	1,329
(c) Under process of recovery ..	891
	<b>2,720</b>

12. Rs. 70,496 were spent on maintenance and education of the wards as against Rs. 56,692 spent in the previous year, details being as under:—

	Rs.
Cash for maintenance and tuition ..	63,737
Produce enjoyed by the wards or on their behalf and adjusted to maintenance allowance ..	6,759
<b>Total ..</b>	<b>70,496</b>

There are in all 294 wards. Out of these 205 are males and 89 are females. The persons of 18 wards are under superintendence, 14 of them being males and 4 females. One of the female wards died during the year; two of them have been taking education. Out of the 205 males—

- 4 are below school age.
- 33 are over school age.
- 6 are in service.
- 3 are lunatics.
- 3 are sickly.
- 3 are dead.
- 28 are learning profession or doing agricultural work.
- 23 are doing nothing (2 are given up as hopeless cases, 2 are without a school in their vicinity, attempt to send the remaining 19 to school continues).
- 12 have come under superintendence only recently.
- 90 are receiving education as under :—
  - 18 Qoran.
  - 58 Vernacular schools.
  - 14 Anglo-Vernacular schools.

205

Payment of existing debts and liabilities.

13. Statement III shows the progress in payments of debts. The following is an abstract :—

	Rs.
Balance at the opening of the year .. .. .	87,953
Fresh claims filed .. .. .	10,167
Interest accrued .. .. .	1,369
	<hr/>
Total ..	99,489

Deduct—Settled during the year :—

	Rs.
(a) Paid—	
Private loan and interest on it .. .. .	3,551
Proved debt and interest thereon .. .. .	16,943
(b) Disallowed .. .. .	3,171
(c) Written off .. .. .	..
	<hr/>
Balance..	23,665
	<hr/>
Balance..	75,824

Details of balance :—

(a) Amount awarded .. .. .	35,821
(b) Claims not yet settled .. .. .	23,728
(c) Private loan .. .. .	16,275
	<hr/>
	75,824

The balance of Rs. 75,824 lying unsettled is high enough, though it compares favourably with that shown in the previous report, viz., Rs. 87,953. This could have been reduced to a substantial extent, had it been possible to investigate the claims shown in paragraph 14 as undecided. In fact the claim for Rs. 12,957, has been since decided and 'settled'.

14. The following figures relate to claims :—

Claims.	Number of claims.	Amount. Rs.
Pending at the beginning of the year .. .. .	6	21,990
Filed during the year .. .. .	18	10,167
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	24	32,157
Decided .. .. .	17	8,429
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Balance .. .. .	7	23,728

Out of these one claim for Rs. 12,957 has been since disposed of, two for Rs. 1,754 are newly filed, one for Rs. 7,801 is pending decision on it by the Court of

the Judicial Commissioner and three for Rs. 1,216 are pending decisions on correspondences with the Revenue Authorities as to some entries in the Record of Rights.

- 15 The total investments at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 4,37,347 as compared with Rs. 3,87,555 in the previous year taking the war loan bonds and the several debentures at their nominal face-value.

Investments.

The investments are as under :—	Rs.
War loan bonds (1927—47) .. .. .	1,57,100
(1928) .. .. .	1,86,950
Debentures of Municipalities, Port Trust, and Bombay Improvement Trust .. .. .	22,500
Savings Bank deposits with Post Office and Bank of Bombay (now Imperial Bank of India) and cash certificates .. .. .	847
Bombay development loans .. .. .	35,800
Loan to Incumbered Estates .. .. .	23,375
Loan to Court of Wards .. .. .	16,275
Loan to Co-operative Societies .. .. .	500
Total ..	4,37,347

The uninvested balance amounts to Rs. 1,46,712 as compared with Rs. 1,62,856 shown in the last year. Steps will, as usual, be taken to invest a good portion of this balance in Government Securities.

16. Five suits were pending at the close of the year 1920-21, 11 new suits were filed during the year under report, making a total of 16. In 3, Court of Wards was plaintiff and in 13, defendant. Out of the 3 suits in which the Court of Wards was plaintiff, 2 were for possession of land and 1 for settlement of accounts. As for the 13 suits in which the Court of Wards was defendant, 4 of them were for partition, 1 for accounts, 1 for declaration of status and title, 1 for declaration that the ward was major and consequently the order regarding superintendence of his property was null and void, 1 for compensation for breach of contract entered into by the wards, 1 for injunction against recovery of lease money by the Court of Wards, 3 for debts due against property inherited by an estate owner after superintendence and 1 for a debt disallowed by Court of Wards.

Litigation.

Three suits were disposed of during the year. Out of these 2 were decided against the Court of Wards, and 1 was compromised. Of the remaining 13, 5 are comparatively fresh and 8 have not yet been heard by Courts for want of time.

Two appeals were pending at the close of the year 1920-21 and 2 were filed during the year under report. In three of them Court of Wards was appellant, and in 1 it was respondent. Two of them were decided during the year, 1 in favour of the Court of Wards and in 1 the suit was remanded to the Lower Court. Out of the remaining 2, 1 is comparatively fresh and 1 has not yet been heard by the court concerned for want of time.

Record.

17. The record due for destruction in the year under report was duly destroyed.

18. The estates in the charge of the Court of Wards are managed jointly with those taken up under the Sind Incumbered Estates Act.

General.

The work in connection with estates in charge of Court of Wards is rapidly increasing. The number of such estates (158) is already much larger than that of the encumbered estates (125). It is possible that after lapse of a year or two even the total income from these estates will be in excess of that from the Incumbered estates which otherwise form comparatively large estates. The work connected with suits, with disposal of applications for admission to superintendence and with account keeping is therefore getting heavy.

The Assistant Manager, Mr. Makhdoom Nuruddin Mahomed Anwar Sidiki, has had to bear the brunt of this work; the interest taken and the consequent assistance rendered by him has been useful. Mr. Jessaram Shersing, Head Munshi of the Court of Wards Branch, did his best in spite of somewhat indifferent health.



Mr. Kishinchand, another Head Munshi, attended to the work of suits and disposal of petitions for superintendence very satisfactorily. Mr. Naraindas Khupchand, Accountant, has maintained his record of good work as such. In the disposal of the claims work Mr. Motiram Jamiatrai, Serishtedar, made himself useful.

The Court of Wards personally visited 42 estates in 39 Dehs.

The Deputy Manager, Lower Sind, inspected 39 estates and the Deputy Manager, Upper Sind, inspected 36. Their work has been satisfactory.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

CHAINRAI,

Court of Wards in Sind.

## STATEMENT I.

Statement showing the general position of estates under Government management for the year 1921-22.

Serial No.	Name of the Province.	Name of the Estate owner.	Total area of the Estates.			Total Govt. demand.	Total dues to the Department, including assessment.	Total gross receipts.	Total expenditure.	Bal. ac.		Total liability.	Cost of management for 1921-22.	Percentage on gross income, 1921.	Remarks.
			Ares.	Acres.	Acres.					Rs.	Rs.				
1	Sind	Nabilux, No. 25	11,433	5,661	5,772	23,309	56,507	83,347	63,560	1,98,150	60,572	...	12,843	11-30	
2	Do.	Dhani Parto, No. 80.	3,826	1,403	2,423	5,986	15,398	17,314	10,364	25,200	9,309	...	1,478	11-30	
3	Do.	Ghulam Sarwar, No. 112.	495	432	63	1,805	10,574	13,924	13,810	7,450	3,789	...	1,221	11-30	
4	Do.	Ganhwarkhan, No. 278.	4,219	2,021	2,198	6,284	20,832	24,639	18,558	900	5,681	...	...	...	
5	Do.	Total of other estates	61,917	24,061	37,556	57,338	2,19,634	2,68,171	1,68,316	2,06,247	67,361	75,824	23,977	11-30	
		Total	81,890	33,578	48,312	96,723	3,22,945	4,07,595	3,73,008	4,37,847	1,46,712	75,824	39,524	...	

A.—Besides total gross receipts shown in column 9 the following amounts were realized by sale of investments and by return of moneys on loan:—

	Rs.
(1)	5,325
(2)	1,450
(3)	51,574
Total	59,349

B.—Besides total gross expenditure shown in column 10 the following amounts were invested and paid for cost of establishment in advance:—

	Rs.
(4)	34,407
(5)	5,131
(6)	5,710
(7)	900
(8)	1,06,526
Total	1,52,074

## STATEMENT II.

Statement showing the efficiency of rent and produce collections in estates under Superintendence of Court of Wards for the year 1921-22.

Serial No.	Name of the Province.	Name of the Estate owner.	Demand on account of			Collections on account of			Written off from			Struck off owing to discharge of estates from			Balance.		
			Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.
1	Sind	Nabilux, No. 25	Rs. 17,539	Rs. 56,507	Rs. 74,046	Rs. 17,539	Rs. 55,662	Rs. 73,201	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	845	845
2	Do.	Dhani Parto, No. 80.	...	15,398	15,398	...	15,398	15,398	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
3	Do.	Ghulam Sarwar, No. 112.	2,050	10,574	12,654	2,050	9,574	11,654	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,000	1,000
4	Do.	Ganhwarkhan, No. 278.	...	20,832	20,832	...	20,832	20,832	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
5	Do.	Total of other estates	24,730	2,19,634	2,44,364	15,586	1,90,637	2,06,223	303	300	603	...	4,842	4,842	8,841	23,856	32,696
		Total	44,349	3,22,945	3,67,294	35,205	2,92,103	3,27,308	303	300	603	...	4,842	4,842	8,841	25,700	31,541

## STATEMENT III.

Statement showing the progress made in the liquidation of debts in the Court of Wards estates during the year 1921-22.

Name of Province.	Name of the Estate owner.	Date on which superintendence was assumed.	Debts due on assumption of charge.	Debts incurred in previous years after charge was assumed.	Balance of the debts at the beginning of the year.	Additional debts incurred during the year.	Debts settled during the year.							Date by which it was expected on assumption of management that estate would be clear of debts.			
							By incurring fresh debts.	By sale of property.	Rejected by Court of Wards.	Written off.	Remitted by creditors.	From current income.	Balance.				
Sind	Nabilux, No. 25.	22nd January 1910.	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	Rs. ...	...
Do.	Dhani Parto, No. 80.	24th February 1911.	100	1,200	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do.	Ghulam Sarwar, No. 112.	26th July 1912.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Do.	Ganhwarkhan, No. 278.	10th October 1921.	...	...	...	888	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	888	...	...
Do.	Total of other estates	.....	4,60,550	1,87,595	57,953	10,648	...	1,469	3,171	...	...	...	...	18,137	75,824	...	...
	Total	.....	4,60,650	1,88,895	87,953	11,536	...	1,469	3,171	...	...	...	...	19,025	75,824	...	...

report of the estates under management of the Court of Wards in  
Sind for the year 1921-22.

No. P-88-R of 1922.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Office of the Commissioner in Sind  
Government House, Karachi.

2nd October 1922.

Submitted to Government.

2. There were 148 estates under management at the beginning of the year. Of these, 13 were relinquished, and 23 new estates were taken up during the year, leaving a closing total of 158.

3. Out of 182 applications, including 89 pending at the close of the previous year, 116 were disposed of, leaving a balance of 66 at the end of the year. These include 23 which have been pending disposal for more than six months.

4. The income of the estates was Rs. 4,08,000 as against Rs. 3,78,000 in the previous year. The increase is due to the greater number of estates.

5. The total expenditure shows a net decrease of Rs. 1,45,000. These were decreases, of Rs. 48,000 on account of shares in produce paid to other partners and Rs. 1,56,000 on account of savings paid to estate owners. On the other hand the expenditure increased under the following heads:—

	Rs.
Government assessment .. .. .	22,000
Maintenance and tuition .. .. .	14,000
Personal expenses .. .. .	23,000

6. The aggregate amount due to the estates was Rs. 3,67,000 of which Rs. 3,27,000 were collected and Rs. 5,000 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 35,000 to be recovered. The balance compared with that of the previous year is detailed below:—

	1921-22. Rs.	1920-21. Rs.
Postponements .. .. .	5,000	30,000
Recovery prohibited by Civil Courts .. .. .	16,000	2,000
Under correspondence .. .. .	2,000	..
Recovered after the close of the year .. .. .	2,000	2,000
Due from estate owners .. .. .	2,000	..
Under process of recovery .. .. .	8,000	10,000
	35,000	44,000

The percentage on the total amount due for collection during the year is 97.1 compared with 96.07 in the previous year.

7. The aggregate amount of old debts due to estates at the commencement of the year was Rs. 22,682 to which Rs. 17,339 were added during the year. Of the total of Rs. 40,021, Rs. 9,732 have been recovered and Rs. 10,847 written off. The balance of Rs. 19,442 is accounted for as under:—

	Rs.
Recoverable in future years .. .. .	6,175
Recoverable through Civil Courts being decretal debts .. .. .	587
Due from Incumbered Estates .. .. .	2,073
Under process of recovery .. .. .	10,607
	19,442

8. The Government arrears due by the Court of Wards at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 11,376 as against Rs. 12,989 in the previous year.

9. The total indebtedness of the estates at the commencement of the year amounted to Rs. 87,953, to which new debts of Rs. 11,536 were added during the

year. Out of the total liabilities of Rs. 99,489, Rs. 23,665 con  
in the preceding year, were settled as under, reducing the  
at the close of the year.

Debts paid	..	..	..	20,494
Claims rejected	..	..	..	3,171
				<hr/>
				23,665

Below  
Rs. 1,284  
5,824

10. Out of 24 claims, including 6 remaining undecided from the previous year, 17 were disposed of, leaving 7 unsettled claims at the end of the year.

11. The education of the wards continues to receive attention.

12. The total investments at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 4,37,000, while the uninvested balance was Rs. 1,46,712. The invested balance includes Rs. 1,57,000 deposited in the War Loan of 1929-47, Rs. 1,81,000 in the War Bonds of 1928, Rs. 23,000 in the debentures of local bodies, Rs. 36,000 in the Bombay Development Loan and Rs. 40,000 advanced to estates under Government management.

13. The administration of estates under Mr. Chainrai has been satisfactory.

J. L. RIEU,  
Commissioner in Sind.

To

The Secretary to Government,  
Revenue Department, Bombay.

Report on the administration  
of the estates under the  
management of the Courts  
of Wards in the Bombay  
Presidency for the year  
ending 31st July 1922.

118

GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY.

Revenue Department.

Resolution No. P-54.

Secretariat, Fort, Bombay.

Dated the 12th December 1922.

Memorandum from the Commissioner, Bombay Suburban  
Division, No. W.R.D.P.R. dated 20th September 1922--

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. W.R.D.-28  
dated 29th September 1922--

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. W.R.D.-  
P.R.B. dated 2nd October 1922--

Memorandum from the Commissioner, in Sind No. P-  
88-R. dated 2nd October 1922--

Memorandum from the Commissioner, C. D., No.  
W.R.D.-P.R.B.-II dated 16th October 1922--

Submitting their annual reports on the management  
of private estates in their respective charges as  
Courts of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1922.

RESOLUTION:--Recorded.

By order of the Governor in Council,

A. R. DALAL,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

- \* The Commissioner in Sind,
- \* The Commissioner, N. D.,
- \* The Commissioner, C. D.,
- \* The Commissioner, S. D.,
- \* The Commissioner, Bombay Suburban Division,
- \* All Collectors including the Deputy Commissioner,  
Upper Sind Frontier,
- \* The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,
- \* The Director of Agriculture,
- \* The Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land  
Records,
- \* The Director of Public Instruction,

\* With copies of the report.

- \* The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor,
- \* The Accountant General,
- \* The Oriental Translator to Government,
- \* The Editors' Tables,
- \* The Non-official members of the Legislative Council, Bombay,
- \* The Non-official members of the Legislative Assembly representing Bombay Presidency,
- \* The Non-official members of the Council of State representing the Bombay Presidency,
- + The Government of India,
- + The High Commissioner for India.

\* With copies of the report.

+ By letter.

Ml. Rev. 1020

No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 1922.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to