

REPORTS

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF MINORS' ESTATES

IN THE

NORTHERN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN DIVISIONS  
AND IN SIND

FOR THE YEAR 1905-1906.

No. 5258 OF 1906.

From

R. M. KENNEDY, Esq., I. C. S.,  
Commissioner, Northern Division ;

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
Revenue Department, Bombay.

*Ahmedabad, 22nd October 1906.*

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the Report on the Administration of Minors' Estates in the Northern Division for the Revenue year 1905-06 in accordance with the orders in Government Resolution No. 8913, dated 16th December 1902.

2. The total number of estates under the guardianship of the Collectors in this Division and the Tálukdári Settlement Officer was 75 on the 1st of August 1905. Fifty-two of these were under the Tálukdári Settlement Officer in the districts of Ahmedabad, Kaira and Broach. This number was increased by one as during the year five estates were added and four were released. Of those released from management the two most important were Gangad (No. 18 last year's statement) in Ahmedabad and Mogar (No. 46 last year's statement) in Kaira. The former is still under the management of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer on behalf of the mortgagees. The latter belongs to one Asha Aju, a Kunbi. It was for some time under the direct management of the Collector of Kaira and was handed over subsequently to the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, who writes:—

"The estate has prospered under our management, and our whilom ward, who is a typical husbandman of the better class and has received education suited to his rank, may be trusted to take good care of the property."

The other two (Nos. 15 and 20 of the last year's statement) call for no remarks. Of the twenty-three with the Collectors last year one (No. 54 of the last year's statement) has been restored to the minor owners on their attaining majority and four new estates have been included in the statement. One of the four (Vinzol in the Daskroi Táluka No. 44) had already been under management for 6 years past, but was not noted by the Collector in previous reports. The Collector of Ahmedabad writes:—

"The fact of the Vinzol Estate being managed by the Collector had till now escaped attention and it was only from a casual reference by the Assistant Collector in a vernacular correspondence that the same was noticed, whereupon the requisite information was called for and it is submitted in this report."

Out of the remaining three one (No. 57) belongs to Kaira and the other two

Tálukdári Settlement Officer	...	53	(Nos. 78 and 79) belong to Surat. Thus
Collector of Ahmedabad	...	2	
Collector of Kaira	...	3	
Collector of the Panch Maháls	...	3	including these 26 estates the number
Collector of Broach	...	13	
Collector of Surat	...	5	under guardianship was during the year
		<hr/>	
		79	under report 79.

A statement giving particulars about each estate in the Division is appended, the necessary information as to who is in charge of the person of each minor being added in the last column.

#### Income.

3. The season of the year under report was more favourable than that of the preceding year. As a result the produce of land increased in almost all the estates.

The fluctuations in the income derived from land are due to the fact that the *bhag batai* system prevails in most of the estates and also to prices current. Income is generally improved this year owing to the better character of the season. Though the season was not a normal one, as the rains ceased early causing failure in the rice crop, still it was better than the preceding year.

53 estates under the management of the Talakdári Settlement Officer.

It may be noted with regard to column 14 that in some cases, *e. g.*, Nos. 20, 22 and 25, last year's figures included cash allowances, loans, etc., items, which are generally absent this year.

The income of Estate No. 43, which consists of landed property only, rose from Rs. 3 to Rs. 141 owing to the season being a better one. As regards the Vinzol Estate No. 44, the land is leased to cultivators, who, the Collector writes, "under an acknowledged custom pay to the owner only Government dues." There can be no legal obligation for the custom, and a full report is being called for from the Collector.

2 estates under the management of the Collector of Ahmedabad.

Of the three estates under management, the increase of income is appreciable in Estate No. 56 owing to improved recoveries of rents from tenants. The decrease under column 14 is due to less recoveries from debtors.

3 estates under the Collector of Kaira.

Estate No. 55 did not remain under management throughout the year, having been restored to the mother of the minors in February 1906. The produce of the rabi crops was collected after the management was handed over and therefore the full recovery of the proceeds from land has not been brought to account in this report. In the case of Estate No. 57, the Collector reports that the produce of land was less than double the amount of land revenue payable, because the lands were not let out on a fixed rate but on condition of receiving half the share of the produce and leaving the other half to the cultivators.

In the case of Metral there is an increase under receipts in column 13 owing to the season being fairly favourable and the collection of arrears of past years, while there is a decrease in "other income" which is due to the fact that fewer trees were sold. Of the remaining two estates in this District that were restored to the owners during the year under report (Shivrájpur on 16th December 1905 and Tanda, 1st August 1906), Shivrájpur shows a decrease in income due to the fact that the report for the estate has been submitted for a period of 4½ months only, during which little revenue was payable to the estate. The total amount payable to Government by this estate has been shown in column 10, while in column 13 only the actual figures for the period is shown. The increase in the income of the Tanda Estate is due to the fact that no suspension was granted to the cultivators this year as the season was favourable. Last year the sum of Rs. 5,056 was suspended owing to the failure of crops. This year the revenue has also increased by Rs. 700 on account of extended cultivation with the help of advances of tagavi granted to the cultivators during the previous year to enable them to cultivate more land. The sum of Rs. 4,610 has also been recovered from arrears of past years which amount to nearly Rs. 7,237. The reason of the produce of land in the current year being less than double the amount of land revenue payable is that the jama is an item fixed for a number of years, whereas the produce of land is subject to fluctuations depending on the rains. In consequence of successive bad years the revenue of this estate has suffered much,

3 estates under the management of the Collector of the Panch Maháls.

land in many cases remaining uncultivated and the full assessment not being levied in the case of new tenants with the view of encouraging them. The assessment reaches the maximum rate on the land after a four or five year's period.

The receipts of these estates show an improvement on those of the last year due to better crops and consequent recovery of arrears. In most of the estates the proportion of income from land to land revenue does not appear to come up to double the assessment; the reason is that lands in proportion to (and in some cases more than) the cost of the minors' maintenance are cultivated by or on behalf of the minors by their personal guardians. The Collector reports that he is giving the matter his personal attention.

Estates Nos. 75 and 76 had no important fluctuations. Estate No. 77 shows an increase of Rs. 1,466 under "Produce of land" and of Rs. 10,282 under "Other income". The former increase was due to the recovery of arrears of rents of shops and houses. The increase in other income was due to greater receipts from presents and offerings from the local as well as outside devotees, a source of income in which necessarily there is a good deal of fluctuation. The Minor Maharaj was able to accomplish a considerable amount of touring during the year and this tended to increase the revenue. In the preceding year his movements were necessarily hampered by the sacred thread ceremony. The amount entered under "Other income" against Estate No. 78 represents the realizations from the sale of several pieces of gold with the view of converting it into a paying capital and the amount of interest received during the year. Estate No. 79 receives a Moglai hak (Rs. 2,153) from the Baroda State and this is entered under other income. The produce of land was recovered by the minor before the estate came under management.

The following table gives a comparison between the net income from land and the land revenue assessment thereon :—

Number of Estate.	Revenue-paying land.		Net income of land after paying assessment.	
	Area.	Assessment.	1904-1905.	1905-1906.
75	6 acres.	Rs. 28	34	32
76	11 "	21	7	8
77	290	{ L. R. 509 Q. R. 271 }	680	935
78	42	204	Not under management last year.	92
79	24	16	.....	.....

In the case of No. 75 the decrease in the net income is trifling. The assessment of No. 76 was reduced by Re. 1 at the Revision Survey and hence a corresponding increase in net income. The increases in area, assessment and net income of Estate No. 77 were due principally to the receipt of more accurate information during the year. The real net income of Estate No. 78 for the year was Rs. 159, out of which Rs. 92 could only be recovered during the year and Rs. 67 remain unrecovered. Steps are in progress to recover the outstanding amount.

#### *Expenditure.*

4. The Talukdári Settlement Officer reports as under :—

"The figures under the head "expenditure" hardly permit of comparison with previous years, because the expenditure is from its nature more or less fluctuating. To explain : last year the Commissioner noted that in some cases the figures of expenditure for maintenance column 15 required

53 estates under the Talukdári Settlement Officer.

elucidation. This is furnished by the fact that in many cases the minors or their personal guardians for them have *garkhed* home farm land, which makes it unnecessary that they should receive a monthly or yearly allowance in cash. In a few cases, e. g., No. 8, the expenditure is *nil*, as the minor is supported by relatives, while in some cases the produce of *garkhed* has to be supplemented in kind or cash as occasion requires. The figures representing dispartes, comparison is impossible. In the case of Unchdi (No. 5) the apparently high amount paid for maintenance is due to the fact that Rs. 420 have to be paid to the minor's adoptive mother under the District Court's orders. Even in cases like this, where there is a fixed allowance, the figures will sometimes show variations, owing to the fact that the monthly allowances are allowed by the recipients to fall into arrears and consequently a portion of one year's expenditure is carried over to the next. In this as in other heads there is no *fixed* budget grant which must be expended within a particular year.

"Column 18 includes expenditure under the heads 'Miscellaneous,' 'Special,' 'Táluka Establishment' and 'Huzúr Establishment Charges,' which (the last especially) are more or less variable. Being grouped together in *one* column they will naturally appear inexplicable at first sight. Column 17 will of course always show fluctuations. It is also intended (Government Resolution No. 8206, dated 25th October 1904) that the figures of Government dues should be compared with income.

"This, again, the circumstances of the case render misleading, if not impossible. The *jama* of tálukdári estates is fixed on various considerations including survey assessment, actual realizations, indebtedness, etc., and even in the case of whole estates there is no *fixed* proportion between income and *jama*. Still less is this the case where the minor owns small portions of different villages often held in undivided partnership. Again, special famine reductions have been given and in some cases the *jama* is progressive. In some cases the *jama* is fixed. It will thus be seen that the circumstances materially differ from those of Government villages where each survey number is a unit for purposes of land revenue, a pre-condition of useful comparison.

"In a few cases the figures in column 9 will also be found to differ from those of the last year, the difference being due to the preparation of more accurate *pahani patraks*. When estates are held by undivided co-sharers the figures have to be worked out by rule of thumb and the area must be regarded as only approximate because it does not necessarily follow that the owner will be found in possession of the same area at the time of partition when the quality as well as the quantity of land will have to be considered."

No important fluctuations in the ordinary expenditure save those inevitable are reported. There have been some special grants, such as Rs. 550 for litigation and redemption of land (Estate No. 6) and Rs. 1,200 for after-death ceremonies (Estate No. 46), and column 18 includes such expenditure along with other recurrent items.

In the Thaltej Estate there was an increase of expenditure under all sub-heads during the year under report as compared with that in the preceding year. The cost of maintenance increased from Rs. 36 to Rs. 43, the Government dues from Rs. 5 to Rs. 37, and the item under the sub-head of other expenditure from Rs. 9 to 14. The first of these increases is accounted for by the fact that provisions were somewhat costlier during the year under report while the increase under the second sub-head is due to a greater amount being paid to Government as quit-rent and local fund. The cost of clothing for the minor which came to Rs. 8 explains the increase under the third sub-head.

2 estates under the Collector of Ahmedabad.

With regard to the Vinzol Estate there was no expenditure other than payment of Government dues, the reason being that the minors live with their maternal uncle.

3 estates under the Collector of Kaira.

The land revenue payable by the Estate No. 56 shows a net increase of Rs. 17, due to the following causes :—

- (1) five acres of land paying Rs. 12 as land revenue was received in the settlement of a debt of Rs. 1,500 due to the estate;
- (2) above 2 acres paying Rs. 3 as land revenue was redeemed by a debtor;
- (3) two acres paying Rs. 8 as land revenue not accounted for in previous reports is included. Till now the minor's uncle used to manage the land and take the rent direct.

There is nothing worth noticing in the case of Nos. 55 and 57. The amounts entered against all the three estates in column 16 include also arrears recovered on account of the previous year.

3 under the Collector of the Panch Maháls.

The increase during the year in the Mehtral Estate is due to the betrothal ceremony of the Kumvári and the full amount of land revenue having been paid to Government.

The remarks made in paragraph 3 as regards the Shivrájpur Estate apply equally to expenditure.

In Tanda (No. 60) the cost of maintenance is less by Rs. 400, as the excess last year was due to the funeral ceremonies of the deceased Náik. The year being favourable on the whole, land revenue including arrears of past years have been paid fully, and thus there is an increase in column 16. The figure of expenditure under column 18 is also increased by Rs. 1,000 owing to the construction of a temple. There is a net increase of Rs. 57 in the land revenue payable as shown in column 10 as follows :—

Decrease of Rs. 22 due to certain land having been taken into reserved forest and an increase of Rs. 79 on account of the resumption of service land.

The Collector of Broach has omitted to give detailed information for expenditure. He is requested to give it in future.

5 estates under the Collector of Surat.

Estates Nos. 75 and 76 have had no important fluctuations. In the case of No. 77 the expenditure shows an all round increase as shown below :—

(a) Cost of maintenance	...	Rs. 1,246	(increase)
(b) Payment of Government dues	...	" 266	do.
(c) Discharge of liabilities	...	" 6,013	do.
(d) Other expenditure	...	" 8,891	do.

Increase under (a) was due to the purchase of some articles for the following year as well as for the year under report. Increase under (b) is apparent only, as it is due to the receipt of more correct information as regards the area and assessment of lands belonging to the estate. The improvement on the receipt side during the year rendered increased discharge of liabilities possible. The increase under the head "Other expenditure" is due to the following causes :—

- (1) payment of salaries of servants for the preceding year which had to be held over owing to want of funds;
- (2) wider touring of the minor Mahárája;
- (3) transmission of presents, etc., on the occasion of the sacred thread ceremony of the young Maháráj of Shri Nathdwar.

No maintenance charges are incurred in the case of Estates Nos. 75, 76 and 78, as the minors stay with their guardians.

Estate No. 79 came under management only in the closing month of the year.

#### *Management of immovable property.*

5. Almost all the estates were managed departmentally, leases being given in a few cases to the minors themselves, where they are of sufficient age, or to their personal guardian or relatives. These are, however, not the

Táladári Settlement Officer.

regular leases contemplated by Government Resolution No. 5316, dated 31st May 1906. The system of leasing estates to outsiders in place of departmental management has recently been adopted, but the Tálukdári Settlement Officer reports that the experiment is as yet "in its seed and weak beginnings." The leasing of part of Panar and Bhankoda Estates (Nos. 20 and 22) is under the Tálukdári Settlement Officer's contemplation.

The immovable property of the two estates consists of lands which are cultivated by the tenants of the minors and the rents are collected by the village officers. The management is vested in the Mámíatdár.

The immovable property is managed departmentally and the lands are usually leased on fixed leases and the rents collected by a special kárkún or the taláti of the village. In rare cases, as in the case of Senhuj Estate, some lands were given on the crop-share system. With reference to paragraph 4 of Government Resolution No. 5316, dated 31st May 1906, Mr. Wood reports that the lands are scattered in different villages and in different parts of villages, and that it is, therefore, not possible to lease out all the lands belonging to one estate to one individual and thus to save the bother of departmental management.

In the Panch Maháls the agricultural lands of all the estates are managed departmentally by japtidárs on the lines of Government villages as far as possible, but the method of levying assessment is different, as no survey settlements have been introduced in any of these estates.

The lands of the minors in the Broach District were leased out on fixed rents, but owing to paucity of tenants lands could not be given on long leases in the interests of the minors. The Mámíatdárs are reported to take personal care in such matters.

Excepting the Estate No. 77 all immovable property is managed by the Mámíatdár concerned. For Estate No. 77 the Collector of Surat. Mámíatdár of Chorási is appointed *ex-officio* manager and he manages the whole estate. The lands, houses and shops of the estates are leased annually at suitable rents.

With reference to paragraph 4 of Government Resolution, No. 5316, dated 31st May 1906, Mr. Westropp reports :—

"No land is managed departmentally in this district. The lease system is in force and no difficulty is experienced in leasing lands. So far at least as this district is concerned the leasing system appears to be more profitable and suitable and it is a much less troublesome and insecure system than any system of departmental management could be."

I am, however, of opinion that Mr. Westropp has not fully interpreted the orders of Government which mean leasing of a whole estate to one individual, not to different lessees piecemeal.

#### *Movable Property.*

6. The total value of the movable property of all the estates is Rs. 2,92,761. Out of the movable property belonging to Estate No. 57 cattle worth Rs. 133 were sold. The value of movable property of Estate No. 77 is now estimated at about two lákhs of rupees including the value of the property and the ornaments of the idols outside Surat. This valuation is, the Collector reports, not final as yet, as the inventory is still in the course of completion and the correct value of certain articles has yet to be ascertained. In the case of Estate No. 78 several pieces of gold which were of no immediate use were sold off for Rs. 2,635 and the proceeds have been invested in Government securities for the benefit of the two minors.

#### *Liabilities of the Estates.*

7. The total liabilities of the estates under management amount to Rs. 5,13,366, out of which Rs. 44,204 are due to Government and the rest

to private creditors. No loans were raised for the management of any of the estates. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer reports:—

“Progress in the discharge of liabilities was made in a few cases, such as Estates Nos. 10, 14, 20, 35, 41, 42 and 61 where the sums shown in column 17 were paid. The payments made were on the whole satisfactory, considering the character of the season. More could have been paid in some cases, but a balance had to be kept in hand in view of possible contingencies. The debts of minor Raojibhai of Vanthvali are being settled and as it is beyond the means of the estate to pay all the creditors in cash a scheme has been framed for satisfying the debts by assignments of portions of land. An inquiry into the liabilities of each estate has been commenced. The Guardians and Wards Act does not authorize the Collector or the Tálukdári Settlement Officer to call upon the creditors to submit their claims with accounts. It has, therefore, been found expedient in many cases to place the estates formally under management under section 28 of the Tálukdári Act with the consent of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer as guardian with a view to make free use of its provisions, enabling the Tálukdári Settlement Officer to compel the creditors to produce accounts within a fixed period. When the liabilities have been correctly ascertained and bad debts struck off, figures in columns 24 will necessarily undergo revision. No important settlement with creditors was concluded though several are in progress.”

Of the two estates remaining under the Collector of Kaira at the end of the year under report Estate No. 57 has liabilities to pay. It has on the other hand dues amounting to Rs. 7,406 to be recovered, and with the return of a prosperous season it is hoped that some of the dues will be recovered so as to pay off most of the liabilities.

In the Panch Maháls fair progress has been made with the liquidation of debts of the Mehtral Estate. The Náik of Shivrájpur paid up Rs. 230, after the release of management, out of Rs. 660 due. The estate of Tanda is now quite free from debt.

In Broach the net income after defraying current expenses was utilised in repaying debts.

Estate No. 78 in Surat came under management with only a very small amount of debt, *viz.*, Rs. 149, which has already been paid off. The liabilities of Estate No. 77 have not yet been finally ascertained. A sum of Rs. 11,027 was paid off during the year, making a total payment of Rs. 32,366 towards discharge of liabilities during the four years from 1902-1903 to 1905-1906. The outstanding debt as ascertained up to date amounts to Rs. 59,500, and there is every chance of this being liquidated at no very distant date. Estate No. 79 appears to be much involved and its liabilities are being ascertained.

#### *Education of Minors.*

8. There are plenty of schools suitable for the education of well-to-do minor tálukdárs, especially the Scott College at Sadra, the Wájeria School at Godhra, and the Wadwán and Gondal Girássia Schools. For others the Government Primary Schools suffice. The minor Shermia of Dholka has been entered at the Aligadh College. Raisingji of Unchhdi and Kesrising of Mogar have joined the Gondal Girássia College, while others who are not too old to go to school are being educated at local schools. The minor of the Tháltej Estate continues his studies at Sánand under the supervision of the Mámlatdár of the táluka, whereas the education of the minors of the Vinzol Estate is looked after by their maternal uncle with whom they are living at Mansa in the Mahi Kántha Agency.

The minor boys of Estates Nos. 56 and 57 in Kaira are attending the Vernacular schools in their villages.

The minor Thákor of Mehtral in the Panch Maháls is being educated in the Telang High School, Godhra. He is reported to be a smart well-behaved boy, showing much promise, and is well progressing in his studies. In Broach six of the minors attend school. The Kázi of Broach is studying in the Broach



High School and the others attend Gujaráti Schools. One minor, Limji, 15 years old, who was told that the charge for his maintenance would be reduced if he did not attend a school, has very recently joined the school. Bakar Ranchhod of Dari and the two minors of Sisodra are too old for school, and so also the three girls.

The minor of Estate No. 75 in Surat has completed his education, that of No. 76 is studying at the Areth Mission School and the minor Maháráj of Estate No. 77 is receiving religious and secular education at home from a *shástri* specially engaged for the purpose. His progress is reported to be fair. The minors of Estate No. 78 are females residing with their husbands. Minor No. 79 is receiving education at a local Vernacular Municipal School. The conduct of all has been reported to be good.

The estates of Itola and Varner in Broach, the minor owners of which are dead, still remain under management as no claimant is at present forthcoming. The matter is under inquiry.

Observations.

One estate in the Kaira District under the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, which figures in the statement for the year under report, but which ought to be released during the year, is that of Kishordas and Kalidas Vagjibhai of Nadiad. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer reports as under:—

“The estate came under management in accordance with the terms of the will of the late owner, a shrewd Pátidár who preferred that his sons should be the wards of Government instead of being left to the tender mercies of interested relatives. Subsequent events amply justified his choice. Both the sons have received a fair education and they will receive back their property with a large balance in promissory notes and other safe investments. It is to be feared, however, that private debts have been incurred which will partly undo the good work of this department.”

#### *Litigation.*

9. There was no new important litigation connected with the tálukdári estates except that the mother of minor Chhatrasing of Ahima applied to the District Court for funds to meet the marriage expenses of her daughter. An appeal has been filed in the High Court against the District Court's order which sanctioned a sum disproportionate to the estate's means though short of the applicant's demands.

For the recovery of debts due from private persons and rents from tenants of Estate No. 56 suits had to be filed in the Civil Court and the Mámlatdár's Court. The expenditure amounted to Rs. 110. In the case of Estate No. 57 a suit for the recovery of Rs. 2,054 was brought against the estate and a decree obtained by a *sávkár*. This explains the increase in column 24 of the statement.

In Broach one suit instituted on behalf of minor Thakorlal (Estate No. 65) was pending at the end of the last year and has been decided in favour of the minor during the year under report. Three suits were filed by the minors of the Estates Nos. 65, 66 and 69 during the year. Two of these have already been decided in favour of the minors and now one (of No. 69) is pending. Rs. 185 were spent on litigation. None of the estates under the Collectors of Ahmedabad, the Panch Maháls and Surat was involved in litigation during the year under report.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,  
R. M. KENNEDY,  
Commissioner, N. D.

---

STATEMENT.

---

## Statement showing the particulars of Minors' Estates

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Particulars of the property in hand at the end of the year.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	AHMEDABAD. DHANPUR TALUKA. Kharad.							Acres.	Ra.	Ra.	
									Under the Taluk		
1	Narankhai Pathabhai... Rarpur.	17	Kharad	22nd June 1892.	No	Taluk darsi land.	Kharad, Salasar and Rarpur.	835 <sup>7</sup>	L. R. 129 L. F. 23	152	200
2	Chandra sang Daji and another, a major. Khookhernesha.	19	Ranpur	12th March 1890.	No	Do.	Salangpur Hansalpur, Unrala, Piprali, Khojapura, Nani Vavdi, Dharpipia Alampur and Patna.	1,663	L. R. 162 L. F. 16	177	150
3	Vajesang Satbha and two other majors. Vejalka.	21	Do.	13th February 1892.	No	Do.	Khookhernesha and Hadmantala.	185	L. R. 147 L. F. 13	170	150
4	Deva Rama Unchdi.	21	Vejalka	23rd January 1892.	No	Do.	Vejalka and Chanderva.	178	---	---	400
5	Raisingji Hamjibhai. Pipli.	18	Unchdi	24th February 1897.	Gondal	Do.	Unchdi, Amblisele and Valinda.	706	L. R. 29 L. F. 31	314	273
6	Gagubha Shivsangji	14	Pipli	11th August 1897.	Pipli	Do.	Pipli, Buranpur, Navagam, Ganeshpura.	1,812	L. R. 474 L. F. 64	514	1,000
7	Balubhai Varsabhai and another who is dead.	18	Do.	21st February 1901.	No	Do.	Do.	400	L. R. 106 L. F. 14	120	---
8	Tapubha Khodabhai	9	Do.	10th August 1903.	No	Do.	Do.	793	L. R. 166 L. F. 21	177	25
9	Ehikhuba Lakhabhai Adval.	15	Do.	8th March 1906.	Pipli	Do.	Pipli, Buranpur, and other villages.	Not ascertained	L. R. 361 L. F. 48	409	1,200
10	Katubha Becharsang Shivsang Becharsang	14 14	Adval	28th July 1899.	Adval	Do.	Adval and Jalila	833	L. R. 350 L. F. 41	297	500
11	Anopang Gajabhai Tagdi.	7	Do.	29th June 1906.	Do.	Do.	Adval	77	---	---	17
12	Khodba Sartansing Jalamsing Sartansing Dholera.	21 14	Tagdi	12th September 1899.	Tagdi	Do.	Tagdi	727	L. R. 214 L. F. 10	263	2,000
13	Nathubha Devising Dajiraj Devising Bapubha Devising Manubha Devising Jetubha Devising Ambli.	21 19 19 15 14	Dholera	22nd December 1899.	No No No Dholera Do.	Do.	Dholera, Bhagnadi and other villages.	2,447	Half the gross revenue.	---	5,000
14	Dadubha Vajesang Bavallari.	11	Ambli	8th August 1901.	Ambli	Do.	Unchdi, Ambli, Sheila and Valinda.	512	L. R. 324 L. F. 32	350	200
15	Gagubha Bhasang Bhadrad.	13	Bavallari	24th September 1901.	Bavallari	Do.	Bavallari, Akru, Nabhai.	333	L. R. 23 L. F. 6	2	40
16	Ehikhulba Mavsangji. Jalila.	4	Bhadrad	27th September 1904.	No	Do.	Devkana and Bhadiad.	224	L. R. 139 L. F. 13	152	395
17	Bai Anandbai, daughter of Jetubha. Roza.	8	Jalila	7th February 1902.	No	Do.	Jalila and Khas	849	L. R. 274 L. F. 25	294	300
18	Devi Bai Samatsing.	12	Roza	4th July 1906.	Roza	Do.	Roza	1,346	L. R. 657 L. F. 100	767	1,077
19	Devi Bai Ehojabhai	14	Do.	15th December 1905.	Do.	Do.	Do.	1,840	L. R. 1,234 L. F. 100	1,334	290

## guardianship in the Northern Division for the revenue year 1905-06.

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	<i>Guardian of the Minor's person.</i>
Settlement Officer.												
404	...	.....	304	.....	20	181	...	...	14,000	.....	11,348	Column 16 includes Mother's last year's arrears.
300	15	80	177	.....	25	163	...	...	13,000	56	3,635	Do.
226	...	.....	185	.....	25	39	...	...	.....	.....	.....	Column 16 includes arrears. Do.
408	...	210	.....	.....	25	2,213	...	...	.....	.....	.....	Step-mother.
2,100	86	65	315	.....	162	1,212	...	...	.....	.....	1,966	Father.
1,833	.....	325	514	307	797	152	...	491	30,107	224	35,484	Mother.
416	4	70	241	62	49	153	...	118	7,050	78	1,682	Column 16 includes arrears. Sister.
419	134	.....	177	.....	44	497	...	120	18,000	319	5,236	Mother.
2,700	14	.....	409	258	230	1,814	...	259	.....	.....	.....	Sister.
2,603	.....	.....	325	1,400	394	699	...	...	3,018	.....	3,740	Column 16 Short payment in proportion to excess payment in past. Mother.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	...	3,717	.....	3,717	Uncle.
518	.....	325	247	.....	574	173	...	188	40,815	62	40,815	Mother.
1,110	54	825	14	.....	771	681	...	...	14,008	.....	11,113	Do.
1,762	...	195	356	1,713	150	1,560	...	...	27,350	.....	24,749	Column 10 of the last year's statement did not include suspensions. Do.
50	7	10	.....	.....	.....	58	...	45	4,000	47	1,915	Do.
645	8	50	292	.....	38	319	...	...	.....	.....	6,000	Column 16 includes arrears. Do.
2,988	200	650	209	.....	243	4,700	5,500	...	.....	.....	.....	Column 10 includes Maternal uncles. Rs. 358 balance of khas not shown last year.
2,355	.....	.....	1,276	.....	37	1,542	...	...	41,729	.....	41,729	Column 16 includes Mother's arrears.
4,086	.....	272	2,668	.....	164	1,433	...	...	33,141	.....	33,141	Column 16 includes Mother who was personal guardian is dead. arrears.

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the movable property in hand at the end of the year.	Balance to be credited to the account at the beginning of the year.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	<b>VIRANGAM TALUKA.</b>							Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	<i>Pinar.</i>										
20	Takhatsing Samatsing.	11	Pinar	14th January 1893.	Kointia	Talukdar land.	Panar and Shilpura.	3,693	L. R. 422 L. F. 80	1,323	
	<i>Runi Runod.</i>								453		
21	Fej Mahomed Pikhhan.	13	Runod	26th June 1899.	No	Do.	Runi Runod	1,103	L. R. 127 L. F. 15	618	
	<i>Bhankoda.</i>								143		
22	Merubha Gambhirsing.	8	Bhankoda	25th July 1903.	Bhankoda	Do.	9 Dokdas' share in the Bhankoda estate.	1,953	L. R. 624 L. F. 52	1,553	1,553
	<i>Kherva.</i>								582		
23	Umarkhan Modji and two sisters.	10	Kherva	12th December 1903.	Private tuition.	Kapal Giras lands.	Kherva	1,399	None payable.	679	
	<i>Modhwana.</i>										
24	Bhimsing Estansing	10	Modhwana	3rd August 1903.	Mocar (Anand Taluka).	Talukdar land.	Modhwana	193	L. R. 46 L. F. 7	142	
	<i>Chhaniar.</i>								53		
25	Umedsing Jodhaji	10	Chhaniar	29th October 1904.	Chhaniar	Do.	Chhaniar, Shihor Galdharpura, Karanpura.	865	L. R. 849 L. F. 66	125	
	<b>DHOLKA TALUKA.</b>										
	<i>Dholka.</i>										
26	Shermiya Bapamiya and three sisters.	13	Dholka	20th July 1901.	Allgadh	Eleven leased villages in Dholka, 1/3rd part of the village of Salingpur and Inam lands.	Kavis, Durgi Menhi, Sakol, Kesrandi, Dev Dholera, Nanodra, Vasna, Surla, Kanotur, Adrodra and wasta lands in Government villages.	52,640	L. R. 20,544 Q. R. 1,239 L. F. 2,704	2,500	2,500
	<i>Baroda.</i>								24,637		
									Includes notice fee.		
27	Kasasing Shivsing	17	Baroda	4th January 1895.	No	Talukdar land.	Baroda and Dhanvada.	160	L. R. 116 L. F. 8	900	
	<i>Lolya.</i>								124		
28	Odhubha Ehojabhai	17	Lolya	18th August 1902.	No	Do.	Lolya	309	L. R. 145 L. F. 13	400	
									163		
29	Gagubha Dhirsingji	11	Do.	22nd June 1900.	Lolya	Do.	Do.	1,143	L. R. 299 L. F. 35	600	
									321		
30	Vakhatsing Vajesing	13	Do.	22nd December 1903.	Do.	Do.	Lolla and Ganol	846	.....	375	
31	Verubha Narsingji	16	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	860	.....	435	
	<b>PARANTU TALUKA.</b>										
	<i>Takar.</i>										
32	Balusang Umedsing	7	Takar	23rd October 1902.	No	Talukdar land.	Takar, Ratanpur and Lawari.	1,200	.....	100	
	<i>Chandpur.</i>										
33	Juwansang Sawaji	7	Chandpur	19th February 1902.	No	Do.	Chandpur	161	L. R. 39 L. F. 2	50	
	<i>Vaghpur.</i>								41		
34	Umedsing Prathising	19	Vaghpur	30th June 1903.	No	Do.	Vaghpur, Sampud, Piludra.	69	.....	50	
	<i>Moyad Vas Rupaji.</i>										
35	Nathusang Fatchsing	21	Moyad Vas Rupaji.	22nd September 1893.	No	Do.	Moyad Vas Rupaji.	4,445 bigas	L. R. 1,000 L. F. 62	500	
	Kesriasing Fatchsing	19									
	<b>SA'WAND TALUKA.</b>								1,062		
	<i>Vichhia.</i>										
36	Gazubha Ramsing and another, a major.	16	Vichhia	20th August 1892.	No	Talukdar land.	Vichhia, Kodalia, and other villages.	840 bigas	L. R. 187 Q. R. 20 L. F. 30	150	110
									237		
37	Takhatsing Kalubhai	12	Do.	27th September 1893.	No	Do.	Do.	840 bigas	L. R. 157 Q. R. 30 L. F. 30	200	
	Jitubhai Kalubhai	12									
									237		

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other Securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	<i>Guardian of minor's part</i>
5,475	...	400	452	2,500	561	1,049	...	25	10,433	.....	3,375	Mother.
1,605	...	541	284	167	64	754	...	...	.....	15	.....	Column 16 includes arrears. Do.
3,202	52	501	745	.....	455	3,406	...	1,412	4,597	1,501	7,093	Column 16 includes arrears. Do.
1,594	...	525	.....	873	804	238	...	..	56,030	.....	35,784	Do.
426	58	120	53	32	87	399	...	102	.....	30	.....	Do.
1,012	...	160	700	.....	257	247	...	...	.....	404	1,826	Column 16. Increase due to the exclusion of Jama on certain portions last year. Column 18 includes arrears. Do.
37,132	7,309	4,485	20,214	.....	8,501	13,332	...	...	11,801	26,557	.....	Uncle.
372	...	.....	124	.....	134	174	...	72	1,233	.....	1,333	Mother is dec
525	...	54	162	.....	280	609	...	405	.....	405	.....	Mother.
1,459	...	371	487	.....	524	185	...	2,033	.....	943	1,000	Column 16 includes arrears. Grandmother
230	...	.....	.....	.....	13	309	...	241	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
479	...	.....	.....	.....	23	663	...	77	.....	.....	.....	Do.
110	82	.....	.....	12	21	120	...	...	4,500	212	2,759	Do.
134	...	60	41	33	2	35	...	...	100	46	.....	Do.
57	2	40	.....	.....	3	23	...	...	.....	50	268	Do.
2,481	...	.....	1,162	1,000	111	863	...	233	13,000	973	4,875	Do.
377	107	164	97	.....	131	202	...	...	.....	948	.....	Column 3. Last year's figure a mistake. Column 18. Land revenue not paid in full. Uncle.
258	107	175	48	.....	76	131	...	...	.....	180	.....	Column 16. Land revenue not paid in full. Mother.

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immoveable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Balance credited to estate of the minor at the year.	
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<b>AHMEDABAD—contd.</b>												
<b>GOSHA TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Muglana.</i>												
3	Naransing Gumansing.	13	Muglana ...	19th February 1902.	Dhaudhli	Talukd & r lands.	Navagam, Mota and Muglana	83	L. R. 34 L. F. 2	149		
3	Kasiabhai Gumansing...	11										
<i>Bhojpara.</i>												
3	Udesing Ranabhai	19	Bhojpara	30th January 1903.	No	Do.	Bhojpara	13	L. R. 5 L. F. 1	50		
4	Jetubhai Ramsing	13	Do.	8th February 1904.	Do.	Do.	Bhojpara and Endri	45	L. R. 21 L. F. 5	150		
<b>DASKROI TALUKA.</b>												
4	Sardar Ul-Huk	21	Ahmedabad	21st February 1901.	No	Inam land	Whole village of Vanch, Beraupur, Ghodasar, &c.	2,693	Q. R. 670 L. F. 28	6,306		
4	Kamronissa and a major.	15										
4	Mirza Aftab Hussein	19	Do.	13th July 1902.	Cambay	Lands	Villages of Kujed, Sahijpur and miscellaneous lands.	2,945	Q. R. 685 L. F. 12	With the mother.		
<b>SATARD TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Thaltej.</i>												
4	Malharsing Bhupatsing.	21	Thaltej	1st October 1900.	Sauand	Fields	Bodakdev Bareja Thaltej Bhadaj Kaukej Jatalpur Dhamatvan Paldi	37	L. R. 20 L. F. 7			
<i>Vinzol.</i>												
4	Rudhia Bavaji	13	Vinzol	23rd March 1899.	Mansa	Land	Vinzol	13	Q. R. 30 L. F. 2			
4	Adu Bavaji	11										
								<b>Total, Ahmedabad District</b>	87,667 acres 4,445 bigas	L. R. 29,850 Q. R. 2,844 L. F. 4,011	28,829	207
<b>KAIRA DISTRICT.</b>												
<b>ANAND TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Mogar.</i>												
45	Kesrising Gumansing	18	Mogar	24th February 1905.	Gondal	Lands	Mogar, Vadod, &c.	3,319 bigas	L. R. 1,789 L. F. 378	16,710		
<i>Napad.</i>												
46	Balwantsing Udesing	18	Napad	20th June 1904.	No	Do.	Napad, Vadod, Adas, Navli, Vankhilla, Kusumbad, Khadol and villages in Borad and Anand talukas.	1,847 bigas	L. R. 283 Q. R. 478 L. F. 172	8,000		
47	Kabhai Rupsang	18	Do.	10th May 1905.	Do.	Do.	Napad and Kusumbad.	69 bigas	Q. R. 60 L. F. 4	With the minor's mother.		
48	Chhatrasing Chandrasing.	21	Ahima	12th September 1899.	Do.	Do.	Ahima, Surall, Dholera, Sandolpur, Bherola, Bechri, Simlej, Pandvania, Poicha, Sili, Jaysi, Vemal.	2,781 bigas	L. R. 299 Q. R. 1,065 L. F. 199	1,683	With the mother.	
<i>Khorvad.</i>												
49	Nathubava Sabhasing	20	Khorvad	12th September 1899.	Do.	Do.	Khorvad and other villages.	1,331 bigas	L. R. 490 Q. R. 537 L. F. 107	1,014	With the minor as his mother is dead.	
<b>THA'SBA TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Kot.</i>												
50	Kesrising Parbhatsing.	12	Kot	24th June 1903.	No	Houses and lands.	Kot	1,489 bigas	L. R. 395 L. F. 170	565	Moveable property not in charge.	

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other Securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
60	...	85	18	.....	5	2	...	...	1,000	103	.....	Guardian of the minor's person. Aunt.
80	65	20	13	.....	01	8	...	160	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
45	39	70	49	.....	17	19	...	...	.....	44	.....	Do.
8,420	8,430	900	004	6,500	2,540	3,615	...	...	66,000	83	30,219	Do.
7,583	917	980	968	4,051	1,566	2,139	...	...	2,481	.....	13,608	Column 10. Difference due to inclusion of adjustment figures last year. Do.
<b>Collector of Ahmedabad.</b>												
111	...	42	37	.....	14	97	...	...	.....	12	.....	Collector.
32	...	.....	52	.....	.....	31	...	...	.....	.....	.....	Maternal uncle.
92,752	14,632	13,475	31,439	18,974	20,207	47,025	5,500	6,000	4,21,430	34,123	3,38,900	
<b>Talukdari Settlement Officer.</b>												
11,620	453	1,778	3,108	.....	3,158	5,377	...	...	.....	.....	.....	Talukdari Settlement Officer. Column 10. Difference due to inclusion of dues on common land. Column 18 includes Rs. 800 for funeral expenses. Column 9 ten Acres of Dharmada land not included last year. Column 18 includes arrears. Maternal Uncle.
7,313	...	2,253	1,125	2,000	1,709	1,032	...	2,357	.....	620	.....	
455	...	136	64	.....	59	429	...	...	.....	.....	1,709	Mother.
6,864	...	1,440	1,607	.....	355	6,871	...	...	.....	60	.....	Column 12. Rs. 78 in excess of last year due to balance in hand of Talati. Do.
2,026	...	650	1,080	64	128	803	...	...	.....	1,450	.....	
961	812	.....	566	300	117	203	...	1,116	.....	1,490	.....	Mother.



No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the minor is being educated.	Immoveable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Balance...	
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<b>KAIRA—continued.</b>												
<b>BORSAD TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Bhetasi.</i>												
51	Somsing Himatsing ...	17	Bhetasi ...	11th April 1902.	No ...	Houses and lands.	Bhetasi and Baria ...	193 bighas...	L. R. 16 Q. R. 25 L. F. 41	200		
<i>Napa Vanto.</i>												
52	Chhatrasing Gulabsing.	17	Napa Vanto.	12th December 1905.	Do. ...	Do. ...	Napa Vanto, Napa Talpad, Furkura and Dedarda.	19 do. ...	L. R. 27	20		
<b>NADIAD TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Nadiad.</i>												
53	Kalidas Vaghjibhai and another a Major.	23	Nadiad ...	About the year 1890.	No ...	Do. ...	Nadiad, A'ward and Matar Talukas.	254 acres ...	L. R. 525 Q. R. 187 L. F. 103 615	3,528		
<b>MEHMADABAD TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Vanthrali.</i>												
54	Raojibhai Chhotalal ...	19	Vanthrali ...	16th July 1903.	No ...	Lands ...	Vanthrali, Hareri, Kesra, Yamali, Varoda.	453 do. ...	L. R. 1,072 L. F. 81 1,144	680		
<b>THASRA TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Dhunnadra.</i>												
55	Jethalal Nathji ...	21	Dhunnadra ...	13th December 1900.	No. Dhunnadra ...	Land, house and shop.	Dhunnadra and Padvanti.	23 do. ...	L. R. 13 Q. R. 41 L. F. 6 59	NIL	Under	
55	Parshotam Nathji ...	19										
<b>BORSAD TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Bhetasi.</i>												
56	Lalji Mansukhram ...	13	Bhetasi ...	21th January 1902.	Bhetasi Talpad ...	Two houses and land.	Borsad Taluka ...	151 do. ...	L. R. 242 Q. R. 75 L. F. 8 325	353		
56	Chhotalal Mansukhram.	11										
<b>MEHMADABAD TALUKA.</b>												
<i>Sinhuj.</i>												
57	Parshotam Ranchhod ...	15	Sinhuj ...	2nd March 1905.	Sinhuj ...	Houses and land.	Sinhuj and Btuma villages.	63 do. ...	L. R. 60 Q. R. 77 L. F. 7 144	8,530		
									979 acres and 11,43 bighas.	L. R. 6,090 Q. R. 2,546 L. F. 1,234 8,609	38,251	
<b>PANCH MAHALS DISTRICT.</b>												
<i>Metrál.</i>												
58	Ranjitsingji Laxmansingji.	14	Metrál ...	25th May 1896.	Godhra ...	Lands and houses.	Godhra Taluka ...	21,640 Acres.	L. R. 1,803 L. F. 237 2,130	3,354	Under	
<i>Shivrajpur.</i>												
59	Vajesing Andersing ...	24	Shivrajpur ...	23rd December 1889.	Vajiria Halol School.	Shivrajpur Bhat and Modar inámi village.	Halol Mahál ...	6,358	L. R. 100 Q. R. 153 L. F. 100 353	100		
<i>Tanda.</i>												
60	Vajesing Jorawarsing ...	22	Tanda ...	.....	Tanda ...	Lands and houses.	Tanda Estate of the Dohad Taluka.	87,197	L. R. 7,447 Q. R. 400 L. F. 608 8,455	NIL	Under	
									67,195	L. R. 9,440 Q. R. 513 L. F. 976 10,969	3,454	
<b>BROACH DISTRICT.</b>												
<i>Broacha.</i>												
61	Kazi Nurudin Ahmed Hussein.	16	Broach ...	10th February 1892.	Broach ...	Yazifa and inámi lands.	Broach, Vágra, Ankesbvar, Kasakrol and Dholka Talukas.	809	Q. R. 870 L. F. 73 443	1,708	Under	
<i>Jambusar.</i>												
62	Bakor Ranchhod ...	16	Dahri ...	17th September 1899.	.....	Houses and lands.	Dahri ...	61	L. R. 169 L. F. 12 Q. R. 8 184	262	Under	
63	Mlanberger Shankarger.	14	Karmad ...	1st November 1886.	Karmad ...	Do. ...	Jambusar Taluka ...	343	L. R. 2 Q. R. 206 L. F. 96 304	111		

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
												<i>Guardian of the minor's person.</i>
257	19	.....	66	140	1	119	...	200	3,061	80	771	Sister. No Local Fund is payable by the Minor's share.
64	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	63	.....	18	.....	34	.....	Grandmother.
3,381	3,117	1,755	816	816	2,156	7,418	26,453	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
851	478	300	256	9	463	201	.....	2,820	22,007	3,025	14,973	Aunt. Column 16. Part of Government dues is suspended.
<b>Collector.</b>												
51	4	.....	85	.....	.....	7	.....	.....	2,997	.....	2,085	Mother. Column 16 includes arrears.
1,094	193	.....	616	.....	254	2,203	.....	.....	.....	83	.....	Uncle. Column 16 includes arrears.
279	192	156	206	.....	120	292	.....	.....	2,828	.....	3,317	Sister.
35,219	4,772	8,403	9,667	3,329	8,544	26,600	26,453	6,504	30,963	7,714	22,854	
<b>Collector.</b>												
4,744	1,785	300	2,350	116	6,963	1,537	.....	1,964	.....	1,273	.....	Maternal uncle. Column 16 includes tagávi repayments.
37	200	467	.....	.....	169	231	.....	1,000	.....	660	.....	Mother.
18,991	386	1,855	13,437	.....	3,489	2,348	.....	.....	1,119	.....	.....	Mother. Column 16 includes arrears.
23,772	2,371	2,632	15,637	116	10,621	4,176	.....	3,964	1,119	1,933	.....	
<b>Talukdári Settlement Officer.</b>												
2,433	.....	391	625	800	878	320	.....	.....	8,400	.....	21,047	Mother. Column 12. Difference of 14 with last year's figures due to subsequent corrections.
<b>Collector.</b>												
287	15	.....	338	.....	.....	81	.....	.....	500	160	500	Maternal uncle. Column 16 includes arrears.
341	5	76	390	.....	70	174	.....	.....	654	274	2,126	Mother.

Number.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immoveable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	I. U. F. t. m.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	BROACH—continued. Wigra.							Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	
64	Linji Hira	15	Sutrel	8th January 1901.	Saran	Houses and Lands.	Wigra Taluka	92	L. R. 283 Q. R. 104 L. F. 20 319	2,175	
	Broach.										
65	Thakorlal Narsinhram	13	Aldar	8th October 1899.	Broach	Do.	Aldar and Kalod	67	L. R. 314 Q. R. 11 L. F. 22 327	NIL.	
66	Bai Chanchal, widow of Ambaldas Parshotam.	12	Derol	20th November 1902.	----	Do.	Derol	11	L. R. 54 L. F. 4 58	456	
67	Bai Amba, widow of Bhaishankar Revashankar.	17	Sukalirth	18th August 1900.	NIL	Do.	Sisodra and other villages.	424	L. R. 1,044 Q. R. 83 L. F. 67 1,193	NIL.	
68	Shankar Daji and Magan Daji	8 6	Shahpura	21st January 1904.	Shahpura	Do.	Shahpura and 2 Gaikwad villages.	63	L. R. 273 L. F. 18 291	710	
69	Bai Parvati, daughter of Haribhai Gulab.	10	Jhadeshwar	4th November 1898.	Jhadeshwar	Do.	Jhadeshwar and 12 other British and 4 Gaekwadi villages.	658	L. R. 3,075 Q. R. 340 L. F. 308 4,311	10,484	
	HAKSOT MAHAL. Farmer.										
70	Dahya Bhagwan	Dead.	Varner	6th August 1891.	----	Agricultural land, houses, &c.	Varner	69	L. R. 30 Q. R. 144 L. F. 13 187	33	
	Ankleshwar.										
71	Bhagvan Parshotam and Morar Parshotam	21 17	Sisodra	12th November 1896.	----	Do.	Sisodra	33	L. R. 145 L. F. 9 154	38	
	A'mod.										
72	Nagji Dula	21	Ranada	29th June 1892.	----	Do.	Ranada	74	L. R. 447 L. F. 29 476	206	
	Itola.										
73	Natha Nagji	Dead.	Itola	13th July 1897.	----	Do.	Itola	122	L. R. 397 L. F. 5 402	12	
74	Bai Dhuil, daughter of Desai Kalidas.	13	Samni	3rd February 1903.	----	Do.	Samni	60	L. R. 418 L. F. 27 445	15	
	Total							2,581	L. R. 7,244 Q. R. 1,162 L. F. 701 9,107	16,381	

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original Liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Guardian of the minor's person.
722	25	25	464	.....	.....	653	266	.....	.....	.....	.....	Sister's husband.
662	303	175	180	.....	235	1,358	2,001	.....	231	.....	.....	Mother.
50	309	.....	.....	89	11	351	6,409	.....	23	.....	.....	Father.
38	20	.....	209	.....	138	695	10,260	.....	.....	.....	.....	Maternal uncle.
702	807	150	.....	1,195	162	112	6,373	75	1,628	.....	1,128	Mother.
8,528	7,233	761	4,089	.....	8,208	2,911	1,38,084	.....	4,906	.....	6,048	Mother.
284	.....	.....	285	.....	.....	60	.....	.....	3,951	.....	3,951	
106	.....	.....	101	.....	.....	9	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
580	4	75	476	.....	15	1,153	.....	.....	465	.....	.....	Sister.
393	44	.....	402	.....	.....	45	.....	.....	249	.....	1,719	
628	271	50	445	.....	255	488	.....	.....	435	.....	.....	Maternal uncle.
16,097	8,536	1,701	7,854	2,084	9,002	8,377	16,483	75	21,442	434	30,518	

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Total value.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
SURAT DISTRICT.											
Narsari.											
75	Bhakha Natha	13	Narsari (Baroda State).	4th July 1896.	---	Survey No. 7, Government land.	Orvam, Taluka Barodli.	6	L. R. 28 L. F. 2	30	Under
Minsari Taluka.											
76	Soni Eimasio	13	Narsari	27th March 1903.	Arreth Mission School.	Government Land.	Narsari and Kharodli.	11	L. R. 10 L. F. 1	11	---
77	Shri Goswami Brijratanlalji Shri Maganlalji.	9	Surat	21st January 1901, confirmed by the High Court on 11th September 1901.	Surat	Houses and shops.	Surat Petlad Vyara Songad Wav	No. 121 6 2 1 2	---	---	About 2,00,000
						Land	Land in British territory, Surat District.	65	L. R. 18 Q. R. 92 L. F. 24	133	
							Broach District	24	Q. R. 23 L. F. 4	27	
							Kaira District	7	L. R. 23 Q. R. 9 L. F. 1	32	
							Ahmedabad District.	6	Q. R. 3 L. F. 1	4	
							Baroda State	124	L. R. 469 Q. R. 126 L. F. 20	615	
							Sachin State	6	Q. R. 15 L. F. 4	19	
								230	L. R. 509 Q. R. 271 L. F. 58	838	
						Temples	7 in British territory and 3 in Baroda State.				
78	Bai Kevai, wife of Hiranand Morardas and daughter of Hemchand Kaljanchand.	18	Olpad	17th August 1905.	No	Land	Road Sirvan Kodram Mandivi	6 8 9 19	L. R. 81 L. F. 2 L. R. 48 L. F. 3 L. R. 45 L. F. 3	146	5,000
								42	L. R. 80 L. F. 5	85	
	Bai Ratan, wife of Rupchand Hiranand and daughter of Hemchand Kalyan.	14	Do.	---	No	House Do. Stable	Surat Olpad Do.	1 2 1	L. R. 204 L. F. 13	217	
79	Bahubhai Gulabbhai	9	Surat	3rd December 1906.	Surat	House Cottages Shops Land open Bungalow Agricultural land.	Surat Do. Do. Do. Baroda State Surat District	1 10 1 8 pieces. 1 17 acres.	L. R. 11 L. F. 6	17	757
						Land	Baroda State	7	L. R. 5 L. F. ---	5	
								Acres. 878	L. R. 777 Q. R. 271 L. F. 80	1,128	2,05,860
						Grand Total		Acres 158,695 + 18,493 bighas.	L. R. 51,901 Q. R. 7,166 L. F. 7,001	66,067	2,92,761

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of Maintenance.	Government duca.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Collector.												<i>Guardian of the minor's person.</i>
62	.....	.....	30	.....	.....	215	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
20	.....	.....	21	.....	.....	104	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Agent, Irish Presbyterian Mission.
3,444	3,100	6,002	834	11,007	24,032	3,328	49,076	.....	91,860	.....	69,500	Uncle's daughter.
309	2,847	.....	343	149	31	437	8,274	.....	140	.....	.....	Husbands. Column 20 gives the balance of the year in Government securities. Column 18 includes recovery on account of income-tax.
.....	2,153	.....	.....	11,071	15	1,361	.....	.....	22,371 (approximate).	.....	11,300 (approximate).	Mother. Column 16. Government dues were paid before the Estate came under management.
3,848	30,500	0,002	1,232	22,527	24,078	5,475	59,249	.....	1,14,386	.....	70,600	
1,77,058	69,811	32,328	69,029	46,730	74,912	91,813	1,08,685	15,633	5,80,789	44,204	4,69,162	The amount of Rs. 8,274 expended in purchasing Government securities has not been shown under expenditure against Estate 78. Hence the discrepancy in the total expenditure.

R. M. KENNEDY,  
Commissioner, N. D.

No.  $\frac{V.R.}{3615}$  OF 1906.

From

THE HONOURABLE MR. M. C. GIBB, LL.B., I. C. S.,  
Commissioner, C. D. ;

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
Revenue Department.

*Poona, 24th October 1906.*

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the report on the administration of the minors' estates in the Central Division for the year ending with 31st July 1906. The estates under the Court of Wards have also been dealt with in this report, as no separate annual report under the Court of Wards Act has been yet prescribed.

2. I attach a statement showing the minors' estates under the management of the Collectors in this division. This statement includes the two estates (Nos. 2 and 4 of the statement) under the management of the Court of Wards. Assumption and relinquishment of estates. The Phadnis Estate (No. 2 of the statement) was taken under management with the sanction of Government in their Resolution No. 556, dated the 20th January 1906, Revenue Department. In the case of the Chandori Estate (No. 4 of the statement) an application made to the District Judge under section 5, clause (c) (ii) of the Court of Wards Act, has not yet been decided, the decision being postponed pending the result of the application of the inámdár (who attained his majority on 3rd July 1905) to Government under section 9 of the Act, which has been forwarded to Government under this office No. V. R.-2603, dated the 18th August 1906. Meanwhile, the estate is being managed under the authority of an interlocutory order given by the Court of Wards.

At the commencement of the year under report there were 8 estates of minors—three under the Collector of Sátára, two each under the Collectors of Násik and Sholápur, and one under the Collector of Ahmednagar. During the year under report the estate of the minor Sidheshwar Martand Hagre (of Sholápur) was restored to him under the orders of the District Judge of Sholápur on his attaining majority. The estate of the minor Nathaji Viswanath Giram of Gormal (No. 11 of the statement) had come under the management of the Collector of Sholápur under the orders of the District Judge on 28th June 1905, but as no certificate of guardianship was received before the end of the year previous to the one under report the estate was not shown in that year's report. The estate has been newly shown this year. The second estate newly added is No. 3 of the statement, which came under the management of the Collector of Khándesh during the year under report. Thus, the number of estates of minors is nine this year. The remaining two estates in the statement are those under the management of the Court of Wards.

The persons of the Ahmednagar minors are in charge of one Laxmibai, and the person of the Phadnis ward (No. 2 of the statement), whose estate is managed by the Court of Wards, is in charge of the officials of Indore State. The Khándesh minor is under the direct charge of the Mámlatdár of Sháháda. Of the three Násik minors, the persons of the two Deorgáon minors are under the joint guardianship of their mother and the Collector, and the Sámner minor is in charge of his mother and grandfather. Of the five minors in the Sátára District, three are in charge of their mothers, and the other two in charge of other relatives. Of the two Sholápur minors, one (No. 11 of the statement) is in charge of his mother Laxmibai, and the other in charge of Khán Bahádúr

Pirmahomed Dafedar, a Mahomedan gentleman and an Honorary Magistrate of Sholápur.

The Collector of Sátára reports that the guardian (against whom a complaint was made by the Collector last year) of the younger of the Deshpánde minors of Kolewádi died on 7th September 1906, and that the District Judge who had appointed the guardian has now been requested to appoint a new one.

Income.

### 3. The Collector of Násik reports as follows:—

“The receipts show an increase in the case of the Chandori Estate (No. 4 of the statement accompanying the report for the division) owing to the recovery of past arrears as the rabi crops, there, were excellent. The collection of revenue in the cases of Deorgáon and Sánnar Estates suffered to a certain extent owing to the poor crops of the season. Suspensions and remissions of revenue were granted in these villages on the same scale as was adopted in the neighbouring Government villages and in accordance with Government orders.”

The Collector of Sátára reports as follows:—

“The decrease in the produce of land in the case of the minors Ghadge and Bokil is due to famine conditions prevailing in the villages in which their lands are situated. The increase shown on account of other income in column 14 (of the statement) in the case of the Ghadge minor is due to the loan of Rs. 21,000 advanced by the Sávantvádi State to the minor for the liquidation of his debts and to the receipt of Rs. 8,590 from Government on account of cash allowances due to the minor for two years. The balance after deducting the above items from total receipts is due to miscellaneous causes, such as sale of grass, sale of mango fruits, etc.”

The Collector of Sholápur reports as follows:—

“There was an increase of Rs. 327 in the receipts of the estate of the first named (Usafalli) minor during the year under report, due mainly to the sale-proceeds of mangoes, the trees having yielded no income in the year preceding.”

There were no important fluctuations in the receipts of any other estate.

The following statement gives the information required in paragraph 2 of Government Resolution No. 2, dated the 4th January 1905, Revenue Department:—

No. of the estate in the statement.	Land revenue assessed.	Income from the land.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.	
1	180	99	The lands have been let out at a net profit of Rs. 99.
2	10	66	
3	138	675	
4	324	730	
5	645	1,150	
6	247	404	
7	5,252	13,036	
8	26	.....	The income from the lands of these minor brothers is taken by their mother in kind.
9	1,978	8,655	
10	55	112	
11	416	1,203	

### 4. The expenditure incurred in connection with the management of the Akole (Ahmednagar) Estate amounted to Rs. 125 as detailed below:—

Expense of a kárkún	...	...	...	Rs
Pay of rákhwaldár	...	...	...	22
Cart hire	...	...	...	37
Expense of litigation	...	...	...	6
	...	...	...	60
			Total	125



The Collector of Násik reports as follows :—

“In the case of the Chandori Estate there is a decrease of Rs. 6,000, which is attributable to the causes given below :—

	Rs.
Short payment of debts	5,000
Decrease in the cost of maintenance owing to the absence of special extra expenditure in the year	500
Other expenditure	500

In the case of the Deorgaon Estate there is a decrease of Rs. 2,000, which is accounted for by the facts that nothing was spent in the liquidation of debts, and that the amount of Government dues paid during the year was scarcely half of what was paid during the previous year which included the payment of arrears.”

The Collector of Sátára reports as follows :—

“In the case of the minor Ghadge there is an apparent increase in the cost of maintenance allowance of Rs. 595-5-0 paid annually to the grandmother of the minor, having been paid for the years 1904-1905 and 1905-1906 during the year under report. Remissions and suspensions of rent were granted to the minor's tenants and corresponding concessions of *judi* were, therefore, granted to the minor by Government. Hence there is a decrease in respect of Government dues actually discharged during the year. The amount of liabilities paid is larger this year because the debt due to the *sávkárs* of the minor was paid from the loan given by the *Sávantwádi* State and from cash allowances received from Government by the minor . . . . As regards expenditure in the case of the Deshpánde minors the monthly allowance of Rs. 67 due to the younger minor for 11 months of last year was paid this year and the allowance of the elder minor was increased by Rs. 25 per mensem on account of the consummation of his marriage and his wife having come to live with him. This additional monthly allowance was paid till her death which took place 9 months later. These items have contributed to the increase in maintenance allowance. The decrease in other expenditure is due to the smaller investment by Rs. 1,000 this year in Promissory Notes and to Rs. 826 having been given in the previous year to the elder minor for ornaments for his wife.”

There was an increase of Rs. 279 in expenditure of the estate of Usafalli minor (No. 10 of the statement), due mainly to Court-fee and other expenses in connection with a civil suit for the restoration of certain property of the minor in the wrongful possession of a *bháuband* of his, and also to the discharge of certain liabilities of the minor in a Civil Court's decree against him in another case.

There were no important fluctuations in expenditure in respect of the remaining estates.

5. The practice of leasing out the agricultural lands and houses of minors was continued during the year under report. Four survey numbers at Náráyan Tembhi in the Niphád Táluka held by the minor of Deorgaon (Násik District) under a mortgage-deed were attached and put to sale by a decree-holder in execution of a decree obtained by him against the mortgagors. These numbers were purchased to secure the interest of the estate in the lands sold. The numbers so purchased are in the possession of the Collector of Násik under mortgage-deeds. Further steps are in progress to confirm this transaction.

The estate of the Deshpánde minors (No. 9 of the statement) being situated in the unaffected area of the district of Sátára the rent was recovered without much difficulty. Famine has considerably hampered the recovery of dues in respect of the other estates.

Land measuring 25 acres and assessed at Rs. 12, which was held by the Giram minor (Sholápur) on a mortgage, was restored to the mortgagor during the year on liquidation of the debt. There were no alterations or changes in the other estates.

6. There were no changes in the movable property of the minors in the charge of the Collectors except the Deshpánde minors (Sátára) for whom additional Promissory Notes of Rs. 4,000 were purchased.

7. Of the two estates in the Ahmednagar District, the Akole Estate has no liabilities, and in the case of the other the liabilities have not been fully ascertained. The Khándesh

Estate has no debts. Out of the three estates in the Násik District, the Deorgáon Estate is of less value than the Chandori one, but has fewer debts. The Sámner Estate is small and is disproportionately encumbered with debts. Liabilities are discharged as the savings allow, but no special arrangements have been made for the liquidation of debts. Out of the Sátára minors none except Ghadge has any liabilities to discharge. Government sanctioned a loan of Rs. 36,000 from the Sávantwádi State to the minor (Rs. 30,000 with and Rs. 6,000 without interest). The loan was intended to be applied to the following purposes, *viz.* :—

- (a) Rs. 21,000 for liquidation of old debts.
- (b) Rs. 9,000 for the marriage of the minor's sister.
- (c) Rs. 6,000 for the education of the minor.

Sums mentioned in (b) and (c) were not actually received. They were in the hands of the Political Agent, Sávantwádi, and have been spent by him for the purposes for which they were intended. As for (a) the amount was sent by the Political Agent by a remittance transfer receipt and was immediately utilized. With this sum, supplemented by the balance in hand Rs. 6,738, old debts amounting to Rs. 38,694 were paid, Rs. 10,956 being remitted by the sávkárs amicably and Rs. 27,738 being paid in cash. Interest was calculated up to 31st July 1905 and not to the date of actual payment, claim to interest for the remaining period having been waived by the sávkárs in favour of the minor. The amount of this additional interest would have come to Rs. 2,100 approximately. The arrangement was most satisfactory to the minor and his sávkárs. The minor's total debt now amounts to Rs. 36,000 only to the Sávantwádi State and it bears a reasonable interest of 4 per cent. The Sholápur Estates have no debts or liabilities.

#### *Ahmednagar District.*

8. Of the two Akole minors, the elder is being educated in the vernacular school at Wadule in the Sheogáon Táluka. The Phadnis ward is in charge of an official of the Indore State and nothing is known about his education.

#### *Khándesh District.*

The Matkar minor attends the vernacular school at Sháháda and a tutor has been engaged to give him private education at home. The conduct of the minor has been good throughout.

#### *Násik District.*

The Chandori ward being of full age has finished his education and resides at Chandori. His health is poor, and the Collector says that nothing much can be expected from him in consideration of this fact and his deficient acquirements. The elder Deorgáon minor attends the Násik High School and his brother attends one of the vernacular schools. Both are under the care of an assistant master in the High School, who helps them in their studies and manages their household. They are well-conducted boys. They have given no cause for dissatisfaction. Plague in Násik interfered with their education to some extent. The Pethe minor of Sámner also attends the High School, but his education is not looked after by the Collector.

#### *Sátára District.*

The minor Ghadge was in Sávantwádi throughout the year, prosecuting his studies under the supervision of his private tutor. The younger Bokil and the younger Deshpánde attend the Private English School and the High School at Sátára respectively. The elder Deshpánde has left the school. The conduct of the minors as far as can be ascertained has been satisfactory.

#### *Sholápur District.*

The progress and conduct of the Usafalli minor have been reported to be satisfactory by his guardian. The minor Giram is yet too young to go to school. A private tutor has, however, been engaged for him by his guardian mother.

9. Two civil suits have been lodged in connection with the Akole Estate (Ahmednagar). Seven suits were filed by creditors against the Deorgaon minor (Násik). Of these, three were decided in the minor's favour, but two plaintiffs have appealed. The appeals and the remaining 4 suits are still in progress. Three suits were filed by the Collector for the minor; of these, two have been decided in his favour. The third for Rs. 6,000 is pending in the Násik Court. No other minor had any litigation worth noticing.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient Servant,  
M. C. GIBB,  
Commissioner, C. D.

Estate has no debts. Out of the three estates in the Násik District, the Deorgaon Estate is of less value than the Chandori one, but has fewer debts. The Sámner Estate is small and is disproportionately encumbered with debts. Liabilities are discharged as the savings allow, but no special arrangements have been made for the liquidation of debts. Out of the Sátára minors none except Ghadge has any liabilities to discharge. Government sanctioned a loan of Rs. 36,000 from the Sávantwádi State to the minor (Rs. 30,000 with and Rs. 6,000 without interest). The loan was intended to be applied to the following purposes, *viz.* :—

- (a) Rs. 21,000 for liquidation of old debts.
- (b) Rs. 9,000 for the marriage of the minor's sister.
- (c) Rs. 6,000 for the education of the minor.

Sums mentioned in (b) and (c) were not actually received. They were in the hands of the Political Agent, Sávantwádi, and have been spent by him for the purposes for which they were intended. As for (a) the amount was sent by the Political Agent by a remittance transfer receipt and was immediately utilized. With this sum, supplemented by the balance in hand Rs. 6,738, old debts amounting to Rs. 38,694 were paid, Rs. 10,956 being remitted by the *sávkárs* amicably and Rs. 27,738 being paid in cash. Interest was calculated up to 31st July 1905 and not to the date of actual payment, claim to interest for the remaining period having been waived by the *sávkárs* in favour of the minor. The amount of this additional interest would have come to Rs. 2,100 approximately. The arrangement was most satisfactory to the minor and his *sávkárs*. The minor's total debt now amounts to Rs. 36,000 only to the Sávantwádi State and it bears a reasonable interest of 4 per cent. The Sholápur Estates have no debts or liabilities.

#### *Ahmednagar District.*

8. Of the two Akole minors, the elder is being educated in the vernacular school at Wadule in the Sheogaon Táluka. The Phadnis ward is in charge of an official of the Indore State and nothing is known about his education.

#### *Khándesh District.*

The Matkar minor attends the vernacular school at Sháháda and a tutor has been engaged to give him private education at home. The conduct of the minor has been good throughout.

#### *Násik District.*

The Chandori ward being of full age has finished his education and resides at Chandori. His health is poor, and the Collector says that nothing much can be expected from him in consideration of this fact and his deficient acquirements. The elder Deorgaon minor attends the Násik High School and his brother attends one of the vernacular schools. Both are under the care of an assistant master in the High School, who helps them in their studies and manages their household. They are well-conducted boys. They have given no cause for dissatisfaction. Plague in Násik interfered with their education to some extent. The Pethe minor of Sámner also attends the High School, but his education is not looked after by the Collector.

#### *Sátára District.*

The minor Ghadge was in Sávantwádi throughout the year, prosecuting his studies under the supervision of his private tutor. The younger Bokil and the younger Deshpánde attend the Private English School and the High School at Sátára respectively. The elder Deshpánde has left the school. The conduct of the minors as far as can be ascertained has been satisfactory.

#### *Sholápur District.*

The progress and conduct of the Usafalli minor have been reported to be satisfactory by his guardian. The minor Giram is yet too young to go to school. A private tutor has, however, been engaged for him by his guardian mother.

9. Two civil suits have been lodged in connection with the Akole Estate (Ahmednagar). Seven suits were filed by creditors against the Deorgaon minor (Násik). Of these, three were decided in the minor's favour, but two plaintiffs have appealed. The appeals and the remaining 4 suits are still in progress. Three suits were filed by the Collector for the minor; of these, two have been decided in his favour. The third for Rs. 6,000 is pending in the Násik Court. No other minor had any litigation worth noticing.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient Servant,  
M. C. GIBB,  
Commissioner, C. D.

## Statement showing the particulars of Minors' Estates under the Guardianship of the Collector

Number.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Remarks.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>Ahmednagar District.</b>											
1	(1) Kondoba valed Yeshwantrao Patil, Garje. (2) Amritrao valed Yeshwantrao Patil, Garje.	8 0 0 3 0 0	Akole, taluka Shevgaon.	10th March 1906.	Vadule Budruk, taluka Shevgaon.	Lands. 16 survey Nos. 2 houses.	Akole, taluka Shevgaon.	230	120	4,061	Under the guardianship of the Collector.
2	Sadashiva Phadnis.	19 0 0	Parnac	Government Resolution No. 568 of 30th January 1906, Revenue Department.	Nothing known.	Jahgir Do.  Inam land Do. Stable and open place. House Do.	Loni Navia, taluka Parnac, taluka Shevgaon.  Parnac. Parnac. Do. Loni Navia.	3,920 230  27 0	95 (Judl) 200	200	Under the management of the Collector.
<b>Khandesh District.</b>											
3	Shankarrao bin Dagduji- rao Matar.	9 10 10	Khed Digar	30th September 1906.	Shahada	1 survey No. 7 survey Nos. Jahgir land 4 houses 1 house	Karanji. Khed Digar Do. Do. Khetta in Barwan State.	31 113 --- --- ---	20 112 45 (Judl.)	1,361	Under the guardianship of the Collector.
<b>Nasik District.</b>											
4	Krishnarao Damodarrao Hingane.	23 0 20	Chandori, taluka Niphad.	---	---	Half share in the village of Chandori. Miras and Inam lands and Kurana. Half share in the village of Dhagur. Kurana Lands 8 houses 3 open spaces... 1 house 3 open spaces... 1 house	Chandori, taluka Niphad. Do. Dhagur, taluka Dindori. Do. Nasik Chandori, taluka Niphad. Nasik. Dhagur, taluka Dindori.	--- 194 --- 215 19 --- --- ---	680 208 214 28 19 --- ---	3,308	Under the management of the Collector.
5	(1) Hanmantrao bin Yeshwantrao. (2) Indrarao bin Yeshwantrao.	20 8 7 9 0 20	Devargaon, taluka Chandor.	3rd November 1906.	Nasik City	The whole village of Devargaon. Assessed lands. Half share in the villages of Vadeli and Kashti Lands Do. Do. Do.	Devargaon, taluka Chandor. Do. Malegaon taluka. Taluka Niphad. Tikli. Lasalgaon Narayan Tembhi. Daraswadi	--- 232 --- 24 29 147 9	179 324 448 66 92 219 11	200	Under the guardianship of the Collector.
									491	1,296	

in the Central Division and under the management of the Court of Wards for the year ending 31st July 1906.

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
<b>Collector.</b>												
129	176	.....	.....	...	128	1,081	.....	...	.....	.....	.....	
<b>Court of Wards.</b>												
None, as the estate was recently taken over.	78	.....	.....	...	.....	0	.....	131	1,507	131	1,507	
<b>Collector.</b>												
1,810	1,839	63	.....	...	.....	1,771	3,030	...	.....	.....	.....	
<b>Court of Wards.</b>												
2,800	37	2,561	1,372	4,000	1,158	2,555	.....	...	58,345	.....	41,000	
<b>Collector.</b>												
3,568	100	2,478	1,175	825 (interest on a debt of Rs. 7,000).	1,810	1,933	.....	...	15,000	.....	12,888(a)	(a) Only interest was paid during the year.

Number.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor at the end of the year.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the movable property in hand at the end of the year.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	<i>Nasik District—contd.</i>	Y. m. d.						Acres.	Rs.	Rs.
6	Gopal Narayan Peth...	13 8 0	Nasik	7th October 1904.	Nasik City	One-fourth share in the village of Samner. 1 house	Samner, taluka Igatpur. Nasik.	---	247	---
	<i>Satara District.</i>									
7	Dhawanji Shonji Raju Ghadge Dushmukh.	19 0 4	Malawdi, taluka Man.	13th June 1891.	Savantwadi.	Lands in 20 villages. 3 alienated villages. Sheri lands in Do. Lands in 7 villages. 1 alienated village. 1 house at Malawadi. 1 house at Dalawadi. 1 house at Budh.	Taluka Man. Do. Do. Taluka Khativ. Do. Taluka Man. Taluka Khativ. Total	4,928 4,793 664 4,778 1,831 6,728	2,502 2,574 4,328	---
8	(1) Narayan Krishna Bokil. (2) Keshav Krishna Bokil.	19 7 0 18 7 0	Koregaon, taluka Koregaon.	25th October 1894.	Koregaon	8 Survey numbers in Koregaon. One-twelfth share in the revenue of the Inam villages of Bbose, Lhasurne, Ambode and Sangwi.	Taluka Koregaon. Taluka Koregaon.	14	25	---
						Do. Talochi and Punewadli. Do. Upale	Taluka Jalil. Taluka Khanapur.			
9	(1) Kriehnarao Vasudeo Deshpande. (2) Ramchandra Vasudeo Deshpande.	21 4 0 15 4 0	Kolewadi, taluka Karad.	17th June 1895.	Satara	Alienated village of Kolewadi. Personal, Deshpande, Nadgauri and Kulkarni Inam lands in seven Government villages. Nadgauri, Inam and Kayatawa lands in 17 Government villages. Alienated villages of Banpuri and Sanbur. Personal, Deshpande, Nadgauri and Kulkarni Inam and Kayatawa lands in 24 villages.	Taluka Karad. Do. Taluka Yatra. Taluka Patan. Do.	410 208 81 1,669 279	63 258 41 686	1,808
						Nadgauri, Inam at Vategaon.	Kurundvad State	6	14	
	<i>Sholapur District.</i>						Total	3,984	1,718	
10	Sayad Usafali valad Sayad Mijarali alias Mijarali Khatib.	14 0 0	Sholapur	7th July 1903.	Sholapur	5 Inam lands	Sholapur	144	74	
11	Nathaji Vishwanath Girau.	7 1	Gornal, taluka Aheri.	11th March 1895.	Gornal	Lands in Gornal, Ghorl, Yelumb, Khargam, Chiroholi and Wagholi. 8 houses 6 open spaces	Taluka Barli	687	416	1 (a deal-wood box)
						Total for the Central Division.	.....	28,828	10,479	12,028



Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
310	.. ..	.. ..	285	..	65	364	.....	..	10,000	.....	10,000	
3,187	31,004*	8,040	1,820	27,178	2,890	2,217	.....	..	80,000	.....	36,000	* The following items are received every year. — Rs. 3,369 Deshmukhi cash allowance from Main Sub-Treasury. 281 Devasthan cash allowance from Khativ Sul. Treasury. 451 Deshmukhi cash allowance from Khativ Sub-Treasury  4,101
76	30	134	.....	..	127	177	500 Promissory notes.	..	.....	.....	.....	
9,210	1,905	2,836	1,521	..	7,282	5,236	15,000 Promissory notes.	..	1,294	.....	.....	
403	14	27	5	50	230	583	.....	..	.....	.....	.....	
1,197	1,934	130	452	..	489	3,074	11,000 Promissory notes.	..	.....	.....	.....	
31,551	30,669	11,759	6,629	31,782	13,111	18,607	20,500	131	1,66,146	131	1,01,335	

No. 5127 OF 1906.

From

W. W. DREW, Esq., I. C. S.,  
Commissioner, S. D.;

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
Revenue Department.

Belgaum, 3rd November 1906.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the report on the administration of the estates of minors and others in the Southern Division for the year ending 31st July 1906.

2. I attach a statement giving the required details of the estates under management. They have come under the Collectors' management thus:—

	(i) Under section 9 of Regulation VIII of 1827* ... ..	1
* There is no special report presented for these, and therefore they appear in this report.	(ii) Under section 18 of Act VIII of 1890 ... ..	13
	(iii) Under Bombay Act I of 1905 (the Court of Wards*) ... ..	4

There were only 12 estates under management in 1904-05. During this year estates of 3 new minors were ordered by the District Court, Dhárwár, to be taken over under clause (ii). These are of (1) Desai of Hangal; (2) a Lingayat Swami of Haveri; and (3) a ryot of Nargund. Possession of the first two has yet to be taken. All the 4 estates under clause (iii) came under management of the Court of Wards during the year for the first time. These are the Deshgats of Nípani, Kokatnur and Koujalgi and the estate of the sharer of the Inamdár of Ashte—all in the Belgaum District. The Koujalgi Deshgate was under management under clause (ii) till February 1906, when, owing to the existence of claims against it, it was placed under the Court of Wards. The Collector of Belgaum reports regarding the other three estates thus:—

“All the three estates are heavily encumbered, and although I have formally assumed the management, no progress except receiving claims against the estates and doing some preliminary work in connection with the claims preferred has been made.”

The enclosed Statement A does not therefore give any details of these three estates.

The one estate under clause (i) was entrusted\* in December 1903 to the Collector pending settlement of the dispute about adoption by the deceased Shri Sakwar Bai Saheb *alias* Tai Maharaj, the widow of Baba Maharaj. Of those under clause (ii) three belong to Desais and Deshpandes, one to a Lingayat Swami and the rest to petty land-holders.

Only in the case of the minor Desais of Talur and Koujalgi is the Collector the guardian of their person; in all other cases such guardians are certain near relatives of the respective minors.

3. Estate No. 11 having recently come under management, and the property of No. 12 and 13 having not yet been taken up, the details have not yet been given.

*Belgaum District.*—Estate No. 1.—There is not much noticeable variation here.

Estate No. 2.—Owing to bad season, suspensions were granted. Hence the decrease of Rs. 544 under “produce of land.” The “other income” also fell owing to the annual cash allowance of Rs. 294 not having been drawn during the year.

Estate No. 3.—The apparent fall under produce of land is due to adjustment in 1904-05 of Rs. 8,170, judi for 1902-03, which had been recovered from the villages direct by the Mámlatdár.

The other income includes an item of Rs. 2,025 which was found in June 1903 in a secret compartment of an iron safe belonging to the Desai.

No appreciable progress in the matter of collecting arrears due to these estates appears to have been attempted or possible owing to bad season.

*Dhárwár District.*—A general decrease in the “produce of land” is noticeable. This is owing to the failure of crops and consequent suspensions. The apparent increases in the case of estate Nos. 9 and 10 require no explanation beyond the fact that the figures for 1904-05 represented the collections for a portion of the year only.

As to “other income,” there is a decrease in the receipts of ward No. 5, who has no landed property, due to non-collection of interest from debtors.

Estate No. 4.—The increase of Rs. 204 is due to the recovery of Rs. 102 on account of last year's interest in addition to the current year's full dues of Rs. 204.

In the case of estate No. 6, debts to the extent of Rs. 3,000 had been recovered in 1904-05, and during 1905-06 the manager sold some moveable property which fetched Rs. 6,000. These sums have enabled an addition of Rs. 10,000 being made to the stock of promissory notes held for the minors.

Estate No. 8.—Grain stock of the value of Rs. 531 was sold and this accounts for the rise here.

*Kánara District.*—The Collector of Kánara reports:—

“The annual income has now been increased by Rs. 77, interest on a mortgage-deed of Rs. 1,500, in favour of Mrs. Radhabai, the minor's aunt and late guardian. By a will executed by the said Mrs. Radhabai at the time of her death, the personal property of the deceased consisting of this mortgage was made over to the benefit of the estate of her ward. In the year under report 2 years' interest on this deed, amounting to Rs. 154 (for 1904-05 and 1905-06), was recovered and credited to the minor's account.”

This accounts for the increase under “other income.”

*Kolába District.*—The increase is small and calls for no other special remark.

4. *Belgaum District.*—Estate No. 1.—The most important item of expenditure is Rs. 13,000 remitted to the Kolhápúr Darbár under instructions from the District Judge, Poona, as part payment of Rs. 30,000 sanctioned by him for marriage expenses of Baba Maharaja's daughter.

Estate No. 2.—The increase is chiefly due to Rs. 837 being spent on the repairs to Nagar well belonging to the estate and to the maintenance allowance of the boys being increased from Rs. 2,600 to Rs. 2,700.

Estate No. 3.—Rs. 3,972 in column 15 is made up of Rs. 1,000 paid to Ramabai Saheb as *potgi* allowance and Rs. 2,972 spent for the minor, his monthly allowance being Rs. 250. The adjustment of the amount of Rs. 8,170 of judi for 1902-1903 made in 1904-05 chiefly accounts for the decrease in the expenditure during 1905-06.

*Dhárwár District.*—The increases noticeable in the “cost of maintenance” are due to payment of arrears of past year.

Grant of suspensions by Government accounts for the decreases under “Government dues”.

Though estates Nos. 7, 9 and 11 are encumbered nothing was paid on account of liabilities from any estates.

The increase under “Other expenditure” in the case of estate No. 7 is due to Court expenses and establishment charges and in that of No. 10 to repairs to a house and a well and establishment charges; while the decrease apparent in estates Nos. 4 and 8 is due to the absence, unlike in the preceding year, of special expenditure on the marriage of one and the thread ceremony of the other ward and house repairs.

*Kánara and Kolába Districts.*—The column "Other expenditure" is practically blank.

The obsequies of the late guardian of the Sirsi minor were a charge on the estate in the preceding year.

Immoveable property. 5. None of the lands is under departmental management.

They are leased out for terms of years at fixed rents; so too the houses.

Estate No. 1.—A piece of land yielding a yearly rental of Rs. 6 was redeemed by paying Rs. 90 in satisfaction of a mortgage charge on it.

The Collector reports with reference to the land in Málvan Táluka:—

"Under the condition of the 'Kowl' granted for the land the 'Wahiwatdar' is liable to pay an yearly amount of Rs. 21-1-3, but the right of the Wahiwatdar has been sold by the Civil Court and he has no means to pay the arrears. Steps have been taken to recover the rent from 1905-06 from actual cultivators and not to allow the crop to be removed in case there is any uncertainty as to punctual recovery of the rent."

Estate No. 2.—Lands measuring 19 acres and 22 gunthas at Benkop were purchased by the minor Desai's brother.

Estate No. 3.—The restoration to the Deshgat of watan lands assessed at Rs. 250 improperly alienated has been ordered.

Estate No. 6.—Survey Nos. 148 and 179 of Kurtkoti have been transferred to one Yamnabai of Hosahalli under Civil Court's decree and two lands (Survey No. 922 of Hombal and 110 of Balganur) added to the estate under the District Judge's order.

Estate No. 7.—The extent of the property now returned differs from that entered in the last return. The Collector's explanation of the difference is as follows:—

"Sixty-two acres of land in the táluka of Dhárwár and nine in the táluka of Kalghatgi came into the Collector's possession during the year under report."

Moveable property. 6. The moveable property consists of the Government securities held in trust by the Accountant General in most cases.

Estate No. 1.—In addition there are two bonds for Rs. 16,000 and 8,000, respectively, mortgaging lands to the estate.

Estate No. 3.—The only moveable property worth the name consists of ornaments of family idols which are kept in a safe in charge of the manager. There has been no change in the property.

The moveable property in the case of Estates 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 is in the custody of the guardians of the minor's persons, and the Mahalkari of Nargund is the custodian in the case of No. 11.

In No. 7 it is in charge of the minor's adoptive mother. There is no property belonging to Estates Nos. 5 and 10 and no information has been received regarding Nos. 12 and 13.

Estate No. 14.—All the property is in the custody of the personal guardian of the minor, Mr. Huchrao Dongre, brother of the minor's father-in-law.

Estate No. 15.—Apparently the Collector is the custodian in this case.

7. *Belgaum District.*—Estate No. 1.—The liabilities that have so far come to the Collector's knowledge are (a) a suit for Rs. 41,084 brought in the Poona Sub-Judge's Court in 1904 by Rao Bahadur Vasudev Bapuji Kanitkar and others against the trustees of the estate and certain others including the Collector of Belgaum; (b) a claim for an annual cash allowance of Rs. 96-0-6 together with arrears by one Ganesh Vishnu, into which enquiry is being made. No loan has been raised for the management of the estate.

Estate No. 3.—Claims worth Rs. 27,857 have been reported to the Collector since the estate was taken under the Court of Wards.

In the case of Nos. 7, 9, 10 and 11, which are in debt to the extent of Rs. 19,025, 200, 2,532 and 515, respectively, steps are being taken to pay off the debts from the current income by instalments in the case of Nos. 7 and 10; by sale of moveable property in the case of No. 11 and from the surplus income in the case of No. 9. As regards 12 and 13, no information has been received. All other estates are unencumbered.

8. There is no minor connected with Estate No. 1. It is to be regretted that there has been no progress in the education of the Tallur minors. This perhaps shows very poor work on the part of their tutor, though of course the minors too should have taken more care.

The Koujalgi minor is attending the Kanerese School in Belgaum and is learning in Standard V. He is reported to be a well behaved boy.

As regards the other minors except Ward No. 5, who is too poor to leave his village where there is no school, and Ward No. 9, who is a girl widow and does not therefore attend the school, all others are making a fair progress in their education, and their conduct is reported to be satisfactory.

9. Only one suit for Rs. 61 on account of land revenue was brought on behalf of the Estate No. 1 in the Civil Court. It is yet pending.

Similarly in the case of No. 2 three civil suits involving an amount of Rs. 181 were filed; of these one for Rs. 21 has been decided against and another for Rs. 152 in favour of the estate. The third for Rs. 8 is pending.

Two suits, one for possession of a field and one for mesne profits, are going on against Estate No. 3.

Two money suits for Rs. 218 filed on behalf of the Desai have been decided in his favour.

The decree of the Civil Court against Estate No. 7 referred to in last report is being satisfied by instalments. The suit against Estate No. 6 for resumption of land was decided against the minor and the property restored to the decree-holder. The suit for Rs. 1,070 decided in favour of the plaintiff in respect of ward No. 19 is being amicably settled for repayment by instalments. Fresh suit for Rs. 288 by one Mahadev Naik against the same ward is pending before the Sub-Judge, Haveri. This is being settled amicably. In respect of the other estates there was no litigation.

10. The information required by paragraph 2 of Government Resolution No. 2 of 1905 is contained in the appended statement II.

In Estate No. 1 the Chavarat lands pay rents not exceeding the assessment. No reasons are given for this; they could have been more profitably put to auction of the right to cultivate for a year. In Estate No. 3 lands assessed at Rs. 1,725 bring in only a forest produce of Rs. 925. They are in the middle of or close to forests. The other estates bring an income generally in excess of twice the assessment, in some cases as high as five times the assessment.

I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

W. W. DREW,  
Commissioner, S. D.

Statement referred to in paragraph 10 of the Commissioner, Southern Division's Report  
on the Administration of Minors' Estate for 1905-1906.

No.	No. of estate as in statement.	Area of land in possession.	Revenue assessment.	Income of land.	Demand.	Outstanding.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Acres.	Rs.	Rs.			
<i>Belgaum District.</i>							
1	1	5,395	12,841	11,565	12,579	S. 977 R. 32 5	The lands are all Chavrat and pay only the survey assessment. Further sub-inámdárs pay less than full assessment. The lands are—(1) Chavrat, (2) Khaakumat and (3) Inám. (1) and (2) are leased out. The bids rise or fall according to the nature of the soil and the character of the season. (3) are held on fixed rentals. Lands assessed at Rs. 15,250 are held in sub-inám: pay judi of Rs. 8,665 only. Lands in Tegur Circle (Dhárwár District) assessed at Rs. 1,725 pay only Rs. 925, being mostly forest produce—all the lands being forest. The total revenue is, therefore, only a trifle more than the assessment.
2	2	12,854	6,208	5,982	10,524	S. 4,542	
3	3	42,888	21,035	12,273	24,491	12,218	
<i>Dhárwár District.</i>							
4	4	68	75	59	240	181	Having come under the management towards the end of the year, no information is available.
5	5	No land.	...	...	...	...	
6	6	907	968	1,292	3,954	2,662	
7	7	724	1,034	563	2,386	1,823	
8	8	589	1,059	...	3,478	3,478	
9	9	131	193	402	514	112	
10	10	334	464	66	932	408	
11	11	142	178	10	...	...	
12	12	218	40	...	...	...	
13	13	...	...	...	...	...	
<i>Kandrá District.</i>							
14	14	110	489	1,070	1,070	...	
<i>Koldba District.</i>							
15	15	6	41	40 Garden produce, 119 paddy produce.	176	17	

W. W. DREW,  
Commissioner, S. D.

## Statement showing the particulars of Minors' Estates under the guardianship

Number.	Name of the Minor.	Age of the Minor on 31st July 1906.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's Certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Value of immovable property under guardianship.			L. R. and L. F.	
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<i>Belgaum District.</i>										
1	.....	Y. m. d.	Poona	9th January 1904. Section 9 of Regulation VIII of 1827.	.....	Lands and two Wadas.	3 Lands in Dombig in the Malwan Taluka of the Ratnagiri District. Lands at Hebbal, Kurnol, Kocari, Ambabhi and Nidoshli. Wadas at Hebbal and Annubhavi.	18 5,395	Assessment Juli L. R. L. F.	
2	(1) Chandrapa alias Appa Saheb Baswantrao Desai.	19 6 0	Tallur, Taluka Parasgad.	20th December 1895.	Belgaum; Standard English.	Lands and 2 Wadas.	Tallur and other 17 villages.	12,854	L. R. L. F.	
	(2) Virbhadrappa alias Baba Saheb Baswantrao Desai.	15.11 0	Do.	Do.	Belgaum; Standard.					
3	Mherban Bhimappa Girepa Desai.	13 3 29 (Date of Birth 3-5-1894.)	Kavajalgi	4th April 1905	Belgaum; Kanarese Standard.	Watan Inam whole villages 10, Chavrat (private) lands in 25 villages. Devasthan Inam and Government lands and 4 wadas, 1 Bungalow in Belgaum, Kunwalgalli.	Gokak Taluka (1) Inam villages 7, (2) Chavrat lands in 13 Government villages. Dhavwar Taluka (3) Inam villages 2, (4) Chavrat lands in 20 Government villages. Khanapur Taluka (5) Devasthan and Government lands in Kakhari and Gundoli, wadas 3 in Kavajalgi, 1 in Tegur, and 1 bungalow in Belgaum. Chavrat lands in 3 villages of Jamkhandi State.	43,324		
<i>Dhavad District.</i>										
4	Irabassappa Adivappa Shelvadi.	19 7 0	Hombal (Gadag).	8th August 1891...	Studying privately.	Lands and houses.	Hombal (Gadag)	68	L. R. L. F.	
5	Subya, mother Rangu	20 5 0	Hangal	27th February 1893.	.....	1 house	Hangal	.....	.....	
6	1 Shankar and 2 Raghavendra } Ramchandra	18 10 0 9 7 0	Hombal (Gadag).	12th November 1902.	(1) Hubli English School; Standard VII. (2) Hombal Vernacular School; Standard I.	Lands and houses.	In 7 villages	*907	L. R. Q. R. L. F.	
7	Koner Annaji Deabpande	8 0 0	Dharwar	3rd December 1903.	Dharwar; Vernacular Standard.	Lands	In 12 villages of Dharwar Taluka and 5 villages of Kalghatgi Taluka.	724	L. R. Q. R. L. F.	
8	1 Shrinivas and 2 Hanmant } 3 Tirmal of Betgeri } twins.	14 0 0 9 9 9	Betigeri (Dharwar).	31st May 1904	Dharwar; Standard English, Kanarese III and II.	Lands and houses.	In 11 villages of Dharwar Taluka and 2 of Parasgad.	689	L. R. Q. R. L. F.	
9	Anandibai kom Pandurangrao Navalgund.	15 10 0	Dharwar	16th November 1904.	.....	Do.	In 5 villages of Navalgund Taluka.	131	L. R. L. F.	
10	Venkatesh Subrao of Shidgaunhal.	16 11 0	Ranebennur	20th February 1905.	Dharwar; English VI Standard.	Do.	In 6 villages of Ranebennur Taluka.	334		
11	1) Hanmanta and 2) Bhima of Nargund	16 7 0 14 10 0	Peth in Nargund.	1st December 1905.	Nargund Vernacular School; (1) Standard VI (2) L. R. music.	Lands	In 3 villages of Nargund.	142	L. R. Q. R. L. F.	
12	Trimbak Venkatesh Desai	9 5 0	Hangal	14th December 1905.	Hangal; Vernacular III Standard.	Do.	In 7 villages	218	L. R. L. F.	
13	Sidlingawami of Haveri	17 5 0	Haveri	9th April 1906	Studying Sanskrit privately at Haveri.	.....	.....	.....	.....	
<i>Kanara District.</i>										
14	Satya Narayan Shesgiri, Sampgode.	15 4 25 (Date of birth 28-2-1891.)	Sirsi in Sirsi Taluka, Kanara District.	13th February 1895.	Sirsi Anglo-Vernacular School.	Garden and rice. Do. Rice land. Do. Do. Garden and rice. Do. Do.	Hatgar Harepal Badangode Itguli Chippigi Tarkanhalli Koppa	14 19 24 2 20 7 15		
									110	L. R. L. F.
<i>Kolaba District.</i>										
15	(1) Govind Ragho Patil	19 0 0	Cheul, taluka Alibag.	15th March 1902.	None	Garden and rice lands.	Devghar	4		
	(2) Laxuman Ragho Patil	9 0 0	Do.	Do.	Cheul	.....	Cheul	2		
Grand Total									6	L. R. L. F.

\* The decrease in area and assessment is due to the transfer of lands to one Yamunbai as per Civil Court's decree minus increase of lands transferred by the guardian to the property.

of Collectors of Southern Division for the year ending 31st July 1906.

Value of moveable property in hand at the end of the revenue year.	Balance to the credit of the estate at the beginning of 1st August 1905.	Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the estate.		Debts still payable by the estate.		Remarks. Guardian of the person of the minor.
		Produce of land.	Other income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government due.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Others.	Government.	Others.	
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.	
.....	8,858	12,339	903	.....	2,313	997	14,787	3,803	3,100	.....	.....	.....	.....	
All moveable property being in the custody of the Sir Desai of Sireangi, value of the same cannot be given.	10,599	6,723	698	2,700	2,913	.....	4,924	7,678	13,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	
3,000 (Exclusive of a share of Rs. 1,900 in the Hongal Factory.)	5,102	15,132	3,056	3,972	9,590	470	3,767	6,069	.....	4,670	35,816	3,995	29,152	
.....	5,249	59	306	221	.....	.....	3	1,390	4,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
.....	570	.....	.....	22	.....	.....	.....	131	417	.....	.....	.....	.....	Lachamana Aki-valli, a relative.
.....	19,562	1,764	6,920	.....	83	.....	62	3,201	24,900	.....	.....	.....	.....	1 brother-in-law. 2 mother.
.....	1,043	685	1	400	206	.....	599	524	.....	.....	19,025	.....	19,025	Natural father.
.....	1,910	109	671	910	286	.....	120	1,373	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Uncle.
With the Nazir, District Court.	42	476	.....	116	123	.....	.....	280	.....	.....	200	.....	200	Natural father.
.....	212	373	67	180	.....	.....	313	164	.....	.....	2,532	.....	2,532	Do.
.....	1,200	10	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	615	.....	615	One Abaji Ram-chandra.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Natural father.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mother.
.....	1,597	664	1,070	338	520 L. R. & L. F. 1 notice fee. 521	.....	.....	1,099	1,500	.....	.....	.....	.....	Mr. Huchrao Dongre, brother of the minor's father-in-law.
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Brother of minor's father-in-law.
218 Ornaments.	.....	57 Garden produce.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	Father-in-law of Govind.
25 Brass and Copper pots.	132	119 cost of paddy of the year under report.	.....	99	41	.....	1	167	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
243	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
293 Due on five deeds.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	



No.  $\frac{7}{49}$ -A OF 1906.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Commissioner's Office, 28th November 1906.

FROM

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND, Esq., I.C.S.,  
Commissioner in Sind ;

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE CHARLES WALLACE  
ALEXANDER NAPIER COCHRANE BAILLIE,  
LORD LAMINGTON, B.A. (OXON.), G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,  
Governor and President in Council, Bombay.

MY LORD,

I have the honour to submit the report on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Province of Sind for the year 1905-06.

*Assumption and Relinquishment of Estates.*

2. The number of estates under guardianship at the beginning of the year was 77. Of these 6 were relinquished during the year (one in the Hyderabad District owing to its having been taken up under a mistake and 5 in the Lárkána District owing to the minors having attained their majority) and 9 were newly taken up (2 in the Karáchi District, 2 in Lárkána, 4 in Sukkur, and one in the Thar and Párkar District). The total number under guardianship at the end of July 1906 was thus 80. In the case of 15 estates only are the persons of the minors under the guardianship of the Collectors. The owners of the remaining estates are in charge of their relatives. Bibi Saleh Khatun, owner of estate No. 37, died last year, and the District Judge has been asked by the Collector to arrange for the management of the estate by the Nazir of the Court or to appoint an heir of the deceased to succeed to the estate.

*Income.*

3. The first two estates are non-agricultural. The income of estate No. 1, which is derived from interest on capital invested in a fixed deposit in the National Bank, was the same as last year. The income realized from estate No. 2 amounted to Rs. 3,700, or Rs. 151 less than in the previous year. The details of the sources of income are given below :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Principal and interest, etc., received from debtors	2,059	0	0
Rent	561	0	0
Sale-proceeds of a portion of a plot sold to the Municipality	1,080	0	0
	<u>3,700</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Estates Nos. 3 and 4 are under leases, and, excluding the assessment paid by the lessees direct to Government, practically realized the same amounts as last year. The question as to the actual amount of lease money payable by the lessee of estate No. 5 is under dispute. The amount of assessment paid by the lessee to Government was therefore all the income that accrued to this estate.

The sum of Rs. 955 shown in column 13 of the statement against estate No. 6, which was taken up only in the year under report, is made up as under :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Net value of the produce of the year 1905-06	335	0	0
Price realized from the sale of the produce of 1904-05 (kept in deposit)	370	0	0
Land revenue paid during the year	213	0	0
Ten per cent. deposit recovered from the lessee (the estate having been leased for three years with effect from 1906-07 at Rs. 375 a year)	37	0	0
	<u>955</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Estate No. 7, another new estate in the Karachi District, realized a net income of Rs. 46. Arrangements for leasing it are being made.

Owing to increase of cultivation the receipts under the head "Produce of land" have exceeded those of the preceding year in the case of estates Nos. 8, 10, 11, 12 and 18. The total absence of income under estate No. 15 is due to non-recovery from the lessee either of the lease money or the Government assessment. Under the head "Other Income" there is a considerable increase as compared with the figures of the previous year, in consequence of the realization of certain extraordinary items on account of estates Nos. 10, 15 and 18.

There has been a general increase in the income from the produce of land. This is particularly noticeable in the case of estates Nos. 19, 20, 28, 29, 35, 41 and 43 in which a large area was cultivated that has usually to remain without water. The increase in the case of estates Nos. 28, 41 and 43 is partly due to the recovery of the previous year's arrears. Estates Nos. 31, 32, 33, 37, 39, 42 and 46 show a decrease, but the decrease is insignificant except in estates Nos. 33 and 37. In the former it is due to the fact that last year's figures included a sum of Rs. 235 on account of the preceding year's arrears. In the latter the Manchar water did not subside in time to allow of cultivation. The income from other sources does not show any remarkable fluctuations except in the case of estates Nos. 20, 22, 28, 33 and 46. In all excepting the last there was an increase due in estates Nos. 20 and 22 to enhanced receipts from rents, in No. 28 to interest on securities, and in No. 33 to the recovery of old debts. Estate No. 46 when transferred from the Manager, Incumbered Estates, last year, had a cash balance of Rs. 744. The absence of any such item this year accounts for the apparent decrease under this head. The sum of Rs. 967 appearing against estate No. 49 taken up this year represents the debts recovered and the rent collected.

The total income from the produce of land rose from Rs. 8,812 to 11,553. Of the increase, Rs. 1,158 represents the produce of land from estate No. 66 which was newly taken up during the year. The rest of the increase is almost entirely due to an extension of cultivation in the lands comprising estate No. 58. In the case of this estate the value of produce shown in column 13 of the statement is exclusive of a sum of Rs. 2,300, representing part of the lease money which is due from the lessee, and Rs. 526 on account of assessment under postponement also payable by the lessee. No part of estate No. 52 was cultivated during the year. This accounts for the blank entries against the estate under the heads "Land-revenue payable" and "Income from land."

Under the head "Other Income" the most noticeable fluctuations are—

(a) a decrease of Rs. 1,638 against estate No. 60, due to the non-recovery of the full amount of house-rent, and one of Rs. 487 in estate No. 61 on account of there being no miscellaneous receipts during the year;

(b) an increase of Rs. 425 in the case of estate No. 52 due to the sale of a house for the liquidation of liabilities, of Rs. 231 in the case of No. 53 to the recovery of the costs of a suit awarded in a decree, and of Rs. 18 in the case of No. 56 to receipts from interest on securities.

The income from land in the case of estate No. 68 amounted to Rs. 1,12,321 as against Rs. 82,601 last year. The increase is due partly to the recovery of the previous year's arrears and partly to a portion of the estate having been leased out for the first time. The income of estate No. 69 amounted to Rs. 4,308, falling short of last year's figure by Rs. 1,006. The decrease is due to the decreased cultivation. The sum of Rs. 3,604 appearing in the column "Other Income" against estate No. 68 represents the interest on investment. Estate No. 70, which came under management this year, was leased out for 1906-07 for Rs. 350 per annum, the assessment being paid by the lessee.

Column 13 of the statement shows an increase against estates Nos. 71, 75, 76, 78 and 79. Estate No. 71 yielded an income of Rs. 1,604 as against Rs. 934 last year, the increase being due to the recovery of the sum of Rs. 212 reported last year as being due from the lessee, to the unleased portion of the estate having been leased out this year and to an extension of cultivation owing to the favourable character of the season. The last named cause also accounts for the increases in the case of estates Nos. 75, 76 and 78. The amount appearing against estate No. 79 represents the assessment paid by the lessee to Government. The lessee has neither paid the lease money on account of the year under report, nor the sum of Rs. 200 reported last year as being due from him. Arrangements are in progress for the recovery of the amounts by suit. The decreases in the case of estates Nos. 73 and 77 are due to a contraction in cultivation, which also explains the decreases in the amounts of land revenue payable to Government. The sum of Rs. 520 appearing in column 13 against the estate No. 73 includes Rs. 97, the previous year's arrears recovered from the lessee. The lease of estate No. 74 having expired at the end of the previous year, the cultivation of the lands was undertaken this year by the minor himself, but the produce was not sufficient for his maintenance and the payment of the Government dues, and a sum of Rs. 29 had therefore to be drawn from his balance to pay up the Government dues.

The only agricultural estate that derived any income from a source other than land is estate No. 71, which realized this year Rs. 55, representing the recoveries of advances made to Haris. This falls short of "the other income" of the estate last year by Rs. 195. The decrease is chiefly due to the fact that the share of clearance expenses amounting to Rs. 174 which had last year been paid by the estate and subsequently recovered from the lessee was this year paid by the latter direct without being brought on the estate's accounts.

Of the two non-agricultural estates, *viz.*, estates Nos. 72 and 80, no income accrued to the former as against Rs. 116 in the previous year, and the latter realised Rs. 51, the same as last year. In the former case the previous year's income consisted of a compensation fine awarded to the estate owner by the council of elders. No such fine was due this year.

#### *Expenditure.*

4. No important fluctuations are observable under the head "Cost of maintenance". The increase under the head "Government dues" against estates Nos. 4 and 5 is due to increased cultivation. In every case except in that of estate No. 5 the proportion of land revenue to the produce of land is below 50 per cent. The income shown against estate No. 5 represents merely the assessment paid by the lessee, the lease money not having been recovered owing to the dispute referred to in paragraph 3. If the lease money were added, the proportion would be reduced to less than 50 per cent.

The sum of Rs. 3,684 appearing under "Other expenditure" against estate No. 2 is composed of the following items:—

	Rs.
Legal Adviser's fees	55
Pay of peons	120
Municipal taxes	126
Repairs to buildings	54
Marriage and other expenses	3,229
Expenditure involved by the search instituted for finding the minor Taru	100
Total	3,684

The sum of Rs. 200 shown in column 13 against estate No. 3 represents marriage expenses.

Hyderabad.

The expenditure for the year is, like the income, considerably in excess of the previous year under all heads.

The reason of the excess under "Cost of maintenance" is due in the case of estate No. 10 to the allowance having been increased from Rs. 1,810 to Rs. 2,160, and in the case of estate No. 18 to the minor having received an allowance for the first time in the year under report. The enhanced receipts under the head "Government dues" were the result of increased cultivation. The sum of Rs. 123 appearing under this head against estate No. 15 represents the dues on account of last year having been recovered during the year under report. The increases observable under "Other expenditure" in the case of estates Nos. 8, 10, 11 and 18 are due to (1) unforeseen causes, such as funeral ceremonies and marriages, and (2) repairs to buildings and other sundry expenses. The incidence of assessment on produce from land works out to 42 per cent. In the case of estates Nos. 9, 15 and 16 only does the incidence materially exceed 50 per cent. The Collector has not explained what the excess is due to, but in the case of estate No. 15 at any rate it is caused by the fact that the figures in column 13 do not include the lease money, the lessee not having paid it.

The arrangements for the maintenance of the minors in cases in which column 15 is blank, *viz.*, estates Nos. 29, 37, 38, 44, 45, 46, 49 and 50, are made by their relatives with whom they live. The only important variation under the head "Cost of maintenance" requiring notice is that in the case of estate No. 19, where the expenditure amounted to Rs. 13,535 as against Rs. 5,632 in the previous year. Of the total, Rs. 2,315 represents wages to servants, Rs. 3,039 cost of seed and Rs. 8,181 miscellaneous expenditure. The second item should more appropriately have appeared in column 18. Owing to the increase in cultivation caused by the excellent inundation of the year under report, the figures in column 16 (Government dues) show a general increase over similar figures for the previous year. The amount of land revenue exceeded half the produce in the case of estates Nos. 21, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 35, 38, 42, 44 and 45. In Nos. 21 and 29 the produce was appropriated by the minor and his relatives for maintenance and is either not included or is only partially included in column 13. As regards the remaining estates, the excess is due in some to the fact that owing to the smallness of the estates it was not possible to realise a higher lease money than last year, although a larger amount of land revenue had to be paid, and in others to the leases having been given prior to the appearance of any indication of the excellence of the year's inundation.

The details of expenditure other than Government dues, maintenance and liabilities are given below:—

Repairs to buildings (estate No. 20)	...	...	...	Rs.
Clearance of kârias (estate No. 19)	...	...	...	934
Expenses of tuition (including the cost of boarding at Madressah).	...	...	...	3,283
Marriage expenses (estates Nos. 25, 29 and 33)	...	...	...	1,365
Other petty expenses	...	...	...	3,250
				373
			Total	9,205

The expenditure under the head "Cost of maintenance" shows little variation, being Rs. 3,190 as against Rs. 2,843 last year. The only remarkable fluctuations (for which, however, no special reasons have been assigned by the Collector) are the increases of Rs. 261 and Rs. 197 in the case of estates Nos. 58 and 60 and a decrease of Rs. 156 in the case of estate No. 59.

No maintenance charges were incurred on account of estates Nos. 52, 53, 55 and 61 to 65 as the owners are maintained by their relations. The expenditure under "Government dues" amounted to Rs. 5,893 as against Rs. 5,534, the increase being due to the same causes which contributed towards an increase

in the gross income from land and which have been discussed in paragraph 3 above. The incidence of the land revenue upon the gross income is 56 per cent., but if the sum of Rs. 2,300 due to the owner of estate No. 58 from the lessee were included in the gross income, the incidence would be less than 50 per cent. The percentage is not high considering that the profits of the lessees do not find a place in the accounts of the estates under management.

The other expenditure amounted to Rs. 2,331 as against Rs. 1,477 last year and is composed of the following items:—

	Rs.
Cost of suits (estates Nos. 52, 53, 55, 58, 60 and 66)	... 1,615
Repairs to buildings (56, 58 and 66)	... 403
Garden expenses (60)	... 133
Clearance expenses (66)	... 71
Miscellaneous	... 109
Total	... 2,331

The expenditure under "Government dues" shows a marked increase over the past year's figures in consequence of extension of cultivation. In the case of estate No. 68 the produce of land is less than double the amount of land revenue. This is accounted for by the fact that the entry in column 13 does not include the sum of Rs. 8,822 which is under postponement. The same fact also explains the difference noticeable between the figures appearing in columns 10 and 16. The incidence of Government assessment upon the gross produce in the case of estate No. 69 works out to 38 per cent. The "Other expenditure" of estate No. 68 amounted to Rs. 59,001 or Rs. 1,596 in excess of the amount spent last year. The following are the items composing the expenditure:—

	Rs.
Printing charges	... 31
Amount paid to Ghulam Muhammad	... 25,000
Do. to Jan Muhammad	... 10,000
Malkano and cost of karias	... 22,940
Clearance of water courses	... 427
Registration fees	... 530
Miscellaneous	... 3
Total	... 59,001

The expenditure of estate No. 69, exclusive of the Government dues, amounted to Rs. 1,193 as against Rs. 2,249 in the preceding year, and is made up as follows:—

	Rs.
Amount spent in litigation	... 983
Repairs to house	... 176
Registration fees	... 34
Total	... 1,193

The owners of estates Nos. 72, 76, 78 and 80 are supported by their relatives. This accounts for the blank entries against these estates under the head "Cost of maintenance." Upper Sind Frontier. Last-year a sum of Rs. 80 appeared in column 15 against estate No. 73. This represented the cost of educating the owner of the estate, but as he has since given up his studies, no such expenditure was incurred during the year. The owner of estate No. 74 maintains himself from the produce of the land which he cultivates himself. The decrease of Rs. 146 appearing under "Cost of maintenance" against estate No. 79 is attributable to the fact that the minor's expenses at the Madressah were not paid during the year and do not therefore appear in column 15.

The amount shown under the head "Government dues" against estate No. 71 includes not only the revenue payable this year, but also the past year's revenue which had remained unpaid at the end of that year. In the case of

estate No. 73 also the amount shown in column 16 includes an arrear of Rs. 97. The increases observable in estates Nos. 74, 76, 78 and 79 are due to an extension of cultivation. The decrease in the case of estate No. 75 is due to the last year's figures being inclusive of a sum of Rs. 335 on account of the previous year's arrears. In estate No. 77 the decrease is explained by the fact that a smaller area was brought under cultivation. The land revenue exceeded half the produce in the case of estates Nos. 71, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78 and 79. The following reasons are assigned for the excess:—

Estate No. 74.—Column 13 does not include the value of the produce appropriated by the owner for his maintenance.

Estates Nos. 76 and 78.—There was an increase in cultivation and therefore an increase in the amount of assessment, but no corresponding increase in the lease money.

Estate No. 79.—The lease money not having been paid is not included in column 13.

No special reasons have been given by the Deputy Commissioner for the excesses in the remaining cases, but if the lessees' profits were added to the gross produce the proportion would be much reduced.

#### *Management of the immoveable property.*

5. Out of the 80 estates under management 63 have been given on lease, 4 estates (Nos. 11, 12, 23 and 63) are under departmental management and one estate (No. 54) is managed partly under departmental arrangements and partly by lease. In 6 estates (Nos. 1, 2, 52, 62, 72 and 80) there are no agricultural lands to lease. The remaining 6 estates are accounted for as under:—

(a) Arrangements are being made to lease estates Nos. 7 and 50, both taken up in the year under report.

(b) Estate No. 19 is managed by the minor's relative Wadero Illahi Bakhsh, who disposes of the produce after consultation with the Collector.

(c) In the case of estate No. 21, arrangements for cultivation are made by the brothers of the minors, who retain the produce for the maintenance of the minors after paying the Government assessment.

(d) Estate No. 49 has been leased out with effect from 1906-07.

(e) The period of lease of the estate No. 74 having expired during the year, the owner undertook the cultivation of the land himself.

The year 1905-06 was favourable from the agricultural point of view. The water-supply was abundant and the prices of the agricultural products fairly high.

During the year under report the following changes occurred in the properties held by the minors:—

Estate No. 2.—A plot measuring 72 square yards was purchased by the Karáchi Municipality for widening a public street.

Estate No. 9.—Two hundred and twenty acres of land stated to have been forfeited under the fallow rules but reoccupied since 1897-98 were erroneously omitted from the previous statements.

Estate No. 20.—196 acres were acquired from the other sharers in the estate by private settlement.

Estate No. 21.—19 acres were sold by the minor's brother. The matter is under enquiry.

Estate No. 23.—4 acres were forfeited for non-payment of fallow assessment.

Estate No. 33.—The estate lost 8 acres by erosion in the Sehwan Taluka.

Estate No. 38.—One acre was forfeited on account of non-payment of fallow assessment.

Estate No. 52.—The share of the minor in a shop was sold for the payment of liabilities.

Estate No. 58.—41 acres were forfeited for non-payment of fallow assessment.

Estate No. 68.—5,449 acres were purchased during the year.

Estate No. 71.—The decrease of 60 acres is due to the estate having been brought under survey and its area having been found to be less than that shown in last year's statement.

Estate No. 75.—The minors were granted 3 acres on khas mokal.

Estate No. 77.—The increase of 12 acres in the estate is due to a khas mokal grant.

Estate No. 78.—The increase of 34 acres in the estate is due to a khas mokal grant.

### *Moveable Property.*

6. The only important fluctuations under this head are the following :—

Estate No. 19.—The value of moveable property is shown to be Rs. 7,943 against Rs. 3,974 last year. The difference is due to the purchase of some cattle from the sale-proceeds of the agricultural produce.

Estate No. 68.—The increase of Rs. 600 in the value of moveable property is due to the purchase of a carriage by Ghulam Mahomed.

### *Liabilities of the Estates.*

7. Out of the 80 estates under management 44 are without debts. The following remarks explain what has been done or is being done to liquidate the debts of the remaining 36 estates.

The liabilities against estate No. 5 were settled during the year by a compromise. Estates Nos. 6 and 7 are reported to be indebted to the extent of Rs. 100 and Rs. 12 respectively. Inquiries into their liabilities have not yet been completed.

Last year's statement showed debts standing against estates Nos. 12, 14, 15 and 16 (Nos. 10, 12, 13 and 14 of last year's statement). Nos. 15 and 16 have been cleared of their debts. The sum of Rs. 42 appearing in column 23 against estate No. 15 represents land revenue not paid during the year. The debts of Nos. 12 and 14 are to be paid by instalments. The reason why no instalment was paid in the case of the latter is stated to be that the creditor did not apply for it. No debts were shown against estates Nos. 11 and 13 in the last report, but it has since been discovered that they were involved to the extent of Rs. 150 and Rs. 45 respectively. The debts were discharged during the year as will be seen from the entries against the estates in column 17 of the statement.

A sum of Rs. 2,064 was paid in satisfaction of the debts against estates Nos. 20 and 30. The remaining debt against estate No. 20 will be paid as soon as the creditors have settled between themselves the question of their respective shares. The Government dues outstanding against estate No. 30 were also paid up in the year. The debts due to Government appearing against estates Nos. 23, 24 and 34 represent arrears of land revenue remaining unpaid up to the close of the year.

The other debts against estates Nos. 31, 37, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48 and 49 will be paid when funds are available.

Estate No. 52 was cleared of its debt during the year. The debts due to Government represent the arrears of land revenue payable by the lessees of estates Nos. 54, 58, 65 and 66. Of the total amount so due, a sum of Rs. 117 is under correspondence for remission and Rs. 330 are due from estate No. 65 which came under management only in June last. Of the other debts those standing against estates Nos. 56 and 57 are questionable and will be paid only on the settlement of the accounts which the creditors have not yet produced.

The other debts against estate No. 54 will be discharged after arrangements have been made for the cultivation of the land taken up last year. The liabilities of estate No. 63 are insignificant and will be paid off shortly. As regards the other indebted estates, viz., Nos. 64, 65 and 66, inquiries have not yet been completed, and with respect to No. 61 arrangements for the payment of the debts are in progress.

The estates in this district are free from liabilities.  
Thar and Párkar.

The arrears of land revenue outstanding against estates Nos. 71 and 78 have been paid up during the year. The sum of Rs. 19 appearing in column 13 against estate No. 71 represents remission of land revenue for 1904-05 subsequently disallowed by the Commissioner. The amount has since been recovered. The sum of Rs. 1 standing against estate No. 74 represents arrears of land revenue. The only other indebted estate is No. 73, which, as reported last year, pays Rs. 66 annually towards the liquidation of its debts.  
Upper Sind Frontier.

#### *Education of Minors.*

8. As stated in the report for 1903-04, Miss Ethel Maud Gray (estate No. 1) is still living with her aunt at Lahore. Minor Tarumal (estate No. 2) has not been conducting himself satisfactorily. As reported previously he was working as an apprentice to a native firm and received a small remuneration for his work which was supplemented by a small income from the estate. In July last he absconded with a large amount of jewellery which had been purchased for his marriage ceremony. One of his relatives was immediately sent in search of him and he was found at Brindaban, Benares District, and brought back. It was only after a severe admonition combined with threats of disciplinary measures that he produced some of the ornaments he had taken away. He would no doubt have squandered his own interest in the estate and that of the other minors had not the estate been under guardianship. The other minors of estate No. 2 continue to be educated in Karáchi. The minors of estates Nos. 3, 4 and 5 are all engaged in agricultural pursuits. The remaining two minors are girls.

There is nothing to add to the remarks in the last report on this district except that Lall Baksh, Abdul Hakim and Kando (owners of estates Nos. 8, 15 and 16) have since given up their studies. Of these the first is too old to be made to go to school, the second has taken to cultivating his own lands and is employing his time well. Regarding the third enquiries are being made as to why he left school.  
Hyderabad.

Of the 38 male minors under guardianship, 18 are receiving education, 6 at the Madressah at Lárkána, and 12 in the village schools. The remainder are either not old enough to go to school or have finished their education. Of the latter, two have passed the 3rd Grade Public Service Examination and one of them is working as a candidate in the taluka office at Dádu.  
Lárkána.

Shah Nawaz Bhuto (of estate No. 19) recently joined the Karáchi Madressah, but owing to some misunderstanding has left it. It is however hoped that he will soon rejoin.

Wahid Buksh Dedar (estate No. 24) is reported to be one of the most promising pupils at the Lárkána Madressah.

Regarding Nawab Khudadad Khan (estate No. 36) the Collector writes as follows:—"He has been attending the Madressah regularly and I am glad to note a great improvement in his general intelligence and demeanour. I doubted whether he would ever be fit to manage his own affairs, but separation from the servants who had encouraged him in his evil habits and association with other boys has worked a great change for the better in him."

Of the 33 minors under guardianship 10 are girls. Of the other 23, twelve go to school. Of the remaining eleven, Arbabali and Kadir Baksh (estates Nos. 53 and 61) are each about 17, and Nawab Khan (estate No. 51) is 20 years old. The owners of  
Sukkur.



estates Nos. 62 and 63 know the Bania character. The owner of estate No. 62 conducts the business of his shop, while the two minors of estate No. 63 make a living as hawkers. Dilawar Khan and Allah Wasya (of estate No. 64) know the vernacular character. Mir Hassan Shah (estate No. 65) is only 6 years old and is studying the Koran in a private school. Mamtazali (estate No. 66) is only 4. There thus remains only one male minor over 14, namely, Taj Mahomed (estate No. 54), for whose education arrangements have not yet been made.

There is nothing to add to the remarks contained in the last report, except that Ghulam Mahomed (estate No. 68) has returned from England for the vacation, and that the owners of the new estate (No. 70) are receiving education at the Umámkot school.

The remarks made in the last year's report hold good. At the beginning of the year Dad Mahomed (estate No. 71) reported himself, under instructions from the Deputy Commissioner, at the Jacobabad Boarding House, but has since played truant.

#### *Litigation.*

Karáchi District. 9. Of the 4 suits pending from the last year on behalf of estate No. 2 there yet remain three undisposed of in the District Court. The claims in these 3 cases amount to about Rs. 4,000. No fresh suits were filed during the year.

Hyderabad. There was no new suit filed in the year of report.

Lárkána. There was no litigation in this district.

Three suits were filed on behalf of minors during the year. Of these, two were instituted on behalf of estate No. 53 (one for the recovery of lease money amounting to Rs. 2,997, and the other for possession of a building site encroached upon by a relative), and one on behalf of estate No. 67 and of Rasul Baksh, the owner's relative, for the recovery of a debt of Rs. 570. Six suits were filed against minors, of which one was against estate No. 52, and the other five against Nawazali, the owner of estate No. 66. The suit against No. 52 was filed by the minor's grandmother for a sum of Rs. 48. Of the suits against Nawazali, three have been instituted by Chaturalmal, Kodumal, and Kauromal for debts amounting to Rs. 28,000, Rs. 950, and Rs. 1,250 respectively, one by Musamat Ghulam Fatma, his father's sister, for a share of inheritance, and one by Kodumal for the restoration of the use of water from a water course. The minors are represented in all the suits except in the last case, in which there are 42 other defendants. None of the suits was disposed of during the year under report.

The financial position of Nawazali's estate (No. 66) is reported to be unsatisfactory. Moreover, the claims against him require a thorough scrutiny. The Collector has suggested to the Manager, Incumbered Estates, that he should take the estate under his management and the matter is under correspondence.

Thar and Párkar. There was no fresh litigation either on behalf of or against any of the estates in the year under report.

A suit has been filed against the owner of estate No. 73 by his relatives on the ground that the minor is not entitled to the whole of the property in his possession. The result is awaited.

Upper Sind Frontier. The lessee of estate No. 77 has followed up the notice referred to in the concluding paragraph of the last year's report by the institution of a suit against the minor. The suit has not yet been disposed of.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient servant,

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND,

Commissioner in Sind.

## Statement showing the particulars of Minors' Estates under the guardianship of the Court

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor on 31st July 1900.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Bal. b/c of the last year.		
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
<b>Karachi District.</b>													
1	Miss Ethel Maud Gray.	20 6 4	Karachi	16th July 1905.	---	---	---	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
2	Tarunal Jethmal	23 7 0	Do.	4th October 1898.	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		
	Rijhunal Dhamanmal	13 7 0	Do.	13th January 1899.	Karachi	Buildings	Karachi	*1,426 Square yds.	---	---	---		
	Mamabai, daughter of Kakuamal.	13 7 0											
	Totabai, daughter of Kakuamal.	10 7 0											
3	Abdullah Ahmedkhan	17 7 0	Village of Jan, taluka Ghorabari.	7th July 1896.	Do.	Agricultural land.	Taluka Ghorabari	3,071	330	---	---		
	Allahdino Rahimkhan	15 7 0		15 7 0	7th July 1896.	Do.	Do.	Taluka Kotri	399	31	---		
4	Mir Mahomed Panal Khan.	19 7 0	Kubi, taluka Kotri.	9th May 1898.	Do.	Do.	Taluka Kotri	---	---	---	---		
5	Ayub Haji Pirdino	17 7 0	Village of Haji Ayub, taluka Karachi.	7th August 1903.	Do.	Do.	Taluka Karachi	---	---	---	---		
	Mujir Sahibdino	18 7 0										18 7 0	
	Partu Sahibdino	12 7 0										12 7 0	
6	Bibi Fatma, daughter of Sayad Assudullah.	8 0 0	Village of Assudalla, taluka Sujawal.	29th March 1906.	Do.	Do.	Taluka Sujawal	83	218	57	---		
7	Sabhan, daughter of Mahomed Hussain.	7 0 0	Makan Nazar, taluka Karachi.	18th January 1905.	Do.	Do.	Taluka Karachi	---	---	---	---		
	Ahmed, son of Mahomed Hussain.	5 0 0										5 0 0	
								Total	3,679	742	57	---	
									1,408				
									Square yds.				
<b>Hyderabad District.</b>													
8	Alibakh Nazarali Khatian.	16 7 0	Muso Khattir, taluka Hyderabad.	3rd February 1896.	---	Agricultural land (Jaghir).	Taluka Hala	2,724	377	*19	---		
9	Sejan Alibakhsh Sumro.	14 7 0	Alibakhsh Sumro, taluka Guul.	7th July 1896.	Alibakhsh Sumro, taluka Guul.	Agricultural land.	Taluka Guul	390	372	---	---		
10	Khair Mahomed Daudkhan.	17 7 0	Tando Adam, taluka Shahdadpur.	6th July 1900.	Tando Adam, taluka Shahdadpur.	Do. (Government and Jaghir).	Taluka Badin	1,900	3,032	4,300	---		
11	All Mahomed Umedali Korai.	13 7 0	Their own village, taluka Badin.	17th July 1900.	---	Agricultural land.	Do.	---	---	---	---		
	Gulam Hyder Umedali Korai.	14 7 0										14 7 0	
12	Rakhio Photo Holio	14 7 0	Dari, taluka Kandiaro.	30th November 1900.	---	Do.	Taluka Kandiaro	113	128	168	---		
13	Jeram Khianpat	12 7 0	Badin	25th July 1902.	Badin	Do.	Taluka Badin	113	107	600	---		
14	Ibrahim Makhan Otho.	18 7 0	Otho, taluka Badin.	1st August 1902.	---	Do.	Do.	---	---	---	---		
	Mahomed Bago Otho	17 7 0										17 7 0	
15	Abdul Hakim Mahomedkhan.	15 7 0	Salara, taluka Hala.	25th August 1903.	---	Do.	Taluka Hala	---	---	---	---		
	Allah Obhayo Mahomedkhan.	12 0 0										12 0 0	
	Nur Mahomed Mahomedkhan.	10 0 0										10 0 0	
16	Kando Allahobhayo	12 7 0	Bheda, taluka Sakrand.	10th September 1903.	---	Do.	Taluka Sakrand	*150	66	*158	---		
17	Ealeh Saidino Hingoro	17 0 0	Pinio Hingoro, taluka Hyderabad.	21st December 1904.	---	Agricultural land (Jaghir).	Taluka Hyderabad	*20	---	18	---		
18	Bhugro Tawar	4 0 0	Jodho Laghari, taluka Tando Allahyar.	6th July 1905.	---	Do. and buildings.	Talukas Tando Allahyar and Mirpur Khas.	172	174	*6,000	---		
								Total	5,952	5,622	11,896	---	

\* Last year's figures were erroneous.

and Deputy Commissioners in Sind, for the year ending 31st July 1906.

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of by d.	Other Income.	Cost of mainten- ance.	Government duos.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securi- ties.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
.....	432	482	.....	.....	.....	249	9,000	...	1,102	.....	.....	
.....	3,700	600	.....	.....	3,084	19,180	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
650	...	243	330	.....	230	1,552	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
161	...	101	31	.....	.....	209	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
94	...	.....	94	.....	.....	88	...	...	6,000	.....	16,000	
855	57	.....	213	.....	.....	799	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
70	...	.....	24	.....	.....	46	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
2,130	4,189	1,395	742	.....	3,884	22,402	9,600	...	7,192	.....	6,000	
1,877	...	480	377	.....	632	1,011	5,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
572	...	89	372	.....	1	855	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
8,980	628	2,160	3,932	.....	2,053	8,717	12,040	...	.....	.....	.....	
815	...	108	363	150	363	233	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
386	...	39	123	50	1	842	...	...	2,001	.....	1,951	
207	69	118	107	45	42	187	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
131	...	.....	56	.....	.....	174	...	...	374	.....	274	
.....	600	130	123	450	1	82	...	...	750	42	.....	
116	...	65	60	60	.....	124	...	...	60	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
475	854	170	174	.....	1,303	2,098	12,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
13,548	2,051	3,408	5,703	755	4,451	10,178	29,040	...	3,185	42	2,225	

† The debt was settled during the year.

‡ The minor's share is 1/4th of this area.

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor on 31st July 1906.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immovable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Rate of interest on the balance of the year.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	<i>Larkhana District.</i>	<i>Y. m. d.</i>						<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Rs.</i>	<i>Rs.</i>	
19	Ali Gohar Ghulam Murtaza.	18 9 0	Gārhi Khudabaksh, Taluka Rato-dero.	16th January 1903.	Larkhana	Agricultural lands and buildings.	Talukas Jacobabad and Rato-dero.	6,071 18.5 Square yds.	19,541	7,013	
	Shahnawaz Ghulam Murtaza.	19 9 0									
20	Gokaldas Mewaldas	10 8 0	Rato-dero	11th June 1901.	Rato-dero	Do.	Taluka Rato-dero.	3,353 8,690 Square yds.	3,027	1,519	
21	Mir Mahomed Pirkaksh.	20 4 0	Gārhi Makoro, Taluka Larkhana.	4th March 1898.	.....	Do.	Taluka Larkhana.	56 24 Square yds.	134	186	
	Gulam Husein Pirkaksh.	17 4 0									
22	Chelomal Shewaram	19 8 0	Larkhana	24th November 1898.	.....	Do.	Do.	3 43 Square yds.	7	602	
23	Sayadkhan Mawal	18 0 0	Banuji Vindh, Taluka Larkhana.	30th November 1893.	.....	Do.	Do.	17 223 Square yds.	39	83	
24	Wahadbox Dedar.	15 10 0	Dedar, Taluka Larkhana.	23rd September 1897.	Larkhana	Do.	Do.	39 63 Square yds.	141	850	
25	Mohamedali Baksh.	19 5 0	Gaibar, Taluka Kambar.	16th February 1897.	Do.	Do.	Taluka Kambar	31 1,554 Square yds.	227	.....	
26	Nur Mahomed Mitho	19 10 0	Bawti, Taluka Kambar.	24th September 1893.	.....	Do.	Do.	44 1,067 Square yds.	181	35	
27	Mussamat Nuran, daughter of Fais Mahomed.	16 9 0	Naurang, Taluka Kambar.	5th November 1897.	.....	Do.	Do.	15 53 Square yds.	64	78	
28	Ali Husan Dost Mahomed.	11 8 0	Alikhan, Taluka Kambar.	12th December 1899.	{ Kambar	Do.	Taluka Kambar and Shabdapur.	1,551 9,759 Square yds.	4,200	5,233	
	Mussamat Zenab, daughter of Dost Mahomed.	9 2 0									
29	Sher Mahomed Ghulam Hyder.	11 11 0	Bharimnathi, Taluka Kambar.	4th August 1893.	.....	Do.	Taluka Kambar	1,877 7,899 Square yds.	2,510	1,000	
30	Parial Allahwasyo	11 10 0	Tharowadhi, Taluka Kambar.	5th September 1903.	.....	Do.	Do.	100 251 Square yds.	319	.....	
	Azim Allahwasyo	3 10 0									
31	Sadhu Sital	9 3 0	Isa, Taluka Kambar.	13th May 1903.	.....	Do.	Do.	51 265 Square yds.	207	2,924	
32	Chutal Abdul	21 9 0	Abul Sheikh, Taluka Dadu.	13th September 1893.	.....	Do.	Taluka Dadu	73 462 Square yds.	68	35	
	Allahdino Abdul	19 2 0									
33	Ebojraj Totaldas	21 8 0	Dadu	3rd December 1895.	.....	Do.	Talukas Dadu and Sehwan.	337 1,083 Square yds.	305	153	
	Mohandas Totaldas	20 0 0									
34	Mahomadali Usif	19 8 0	Bhawalpur, Taluka Johi.	6th December 1899.	.....	Do.	Taluka Johi	495 1,007 Square yds.	72	21	
	Karamali Usif	16 8 0									
35	Mussamat Bachan Kadirkaksh.	15 9 0	Do.	26th March 1895.	.....	Do.	Do.	784 2,275 Square yds.	2,433	367	
36	Khudadadkhan Gulmahomedkhan.	20 7 0	Allahdadkhan, Taluka Sehwan.	2nd September 1901.	Larkhana	Do.	Talukas Sehwan, Mehar, Kokar and Rato-dero, Talukas Sehwan and Rato-dero, Taluka Sehwan	779 8,128 Square yds.	298	1,500	
37	Bibi Saleh Khatun, daughter of Jafarali Shah.	17 2 0	Gaiber, Taluka Sehwan.	5th June 1901.	.....	Do.	Taluka Sehwan	69 225 Square yds.	47	116	
38	Ghulam Mahomad Allah Bachayo.	21 7 0	Tipat, Taluka Sehwan.	17th December 1895.	.....	Do.	Do.	180 1,768 Square yds.	303	128	
	Mussamat Asab Allah Bachayo.	17 7 0									
39	Allahwarayo Hafiz Khudabaksh.	12 8 0	Bubak, Taluka Sehwan.	17th October 1903.	Bubak, Taluka Sehwan.	Do.	Do.	83 453 Square yds.	89	478	
	Makbul Hafiz Khudabaksh.	9 8 0									
40	Hajan Ladho	11 8 0	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	41 200 Square yds.	61	38	
41	Imambaksh Ali Nawaz	13 10 0	Farmal, Taluka Nasirabad.	1903	Nasirabad	Do.	Taluka Nasirabad	173 948 Square yds.	412	1,071	

\* Last year's figure was erroneous.

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other Income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
48,513	584	13,535	18,540	.....	3,924	18,152	13,000	...	698	.....	.....	
8,074	2,002	1,230	3,627	1,064	934	14,445	...	...	13,703	.....	3,573	
235	...	101	134	.....	.....	32	...	...	2,224	.....	.....	
30	404	150	7	.....	157	830	1,500	...	600	.....	.....	
75	...	60	36	.....	2	126	...	...	43	2	.....	
200	...	120	.....	.....	135	103	...	...	1,522	229	.....	
639	83	213	227	.....	1,059	337	1,100	...	.....	.....	.....	
331	...	90	181	.....	1	550	...	...	3,597	.....	.....	
110	...	22	64	.....	2	247	...	...	600	.....	.....	
9,303	805	2,283	4,310	.....	2	5,872	17,995	...	.....	.....	.....	
4,017	133	.....	2,519	.....	2,000	2,501	3,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
041	...	120	534	100	1	128	...	215	270	.....	.....	
317	9	130	207	.....	1	203	...	...	800	.....	800	
138	...	72	83	.....	67	111	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
620	81	240	335	.....	403	401	...	...	967	.....	.....	
144	...	144	30	.....	19	21	...	...	90	42	.....	
4,130	133	624	2,438	.....	62	2,872	3,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
8,430	35	1,404	293	.....	152	5,154	1,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
177	...	.....	47	.....	.....	258	...	...	1,060	.....	735	
559	47	.....	308	.....	1	905	1,200	...	.....	.....	.....	
219	...	108	89	.....	2	210	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
141	5	36	61	.....	1	131	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
1,520	...	292	412	.....	.....	2,020	...	...	.....	.....	.....	

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the Minor on 31st July 1908.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the Minor is being educated.	Immoveable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Remarks.	
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<i>Larkhāna District—</i>		<i>Y. m. d.</i>						<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Ra.</i>	<i>Ra.</i>	<i>Ra.</i>	
<i>—continued.</i>												
42	Ahmed Pinio ...	11 7 0	Gārhi Yāsin, tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	27th February 1903.	Larkhāna ...	Agricultural lands and buildings.	Tālukā Nasirabad and Naushāhro Abro.	99 183 Square yds.	261	.....	.....	
43	Ghulam Mahomed Darbunkhan.	17 7 0	Their own village, tālukā Kakar.	October 1897.	.....	Do. ...	Tālukā Kakar and Mehar.	746 730 Square yds.	1,138	1,000	.....	
	Alam Khatun Darbunkhan.	14 0 0										
44	Alibaksh Photo ...	14 7 0										
	Muradan, daughter of Photo.	21 0 0	Gachhal, tālukā Kakar.	27th July 1898.	.....	Do. ...	Tālukā Kakar ...	158 173 Square yds.	61	20	.....	
	Rasti do. ...	16 0 0										
	Fatma do. ...	13 0 0										
45	Jumansbah Nabi baksh.	10 7 0	Khanpur, tālukā Kakar.	July 1903 ...	Khanpur, tālukā Kakar.	Do. ...	Do. ...	67 567 Square yds.	166	30	.....	
	Dhanibux Shah Nabi baksh.	7 7 0										
46	Sher Mahomed Shah Sabanshah.	14 8 0	Gahar, tālukā Schwan.	May 1905 ...	Gahar ...	Do. ...	Tālukā Schwan ...	304 2,833 Square yds.	256	1,007	1,311	
47	Mahomed Saleh Saidad Laghari.	6 0 0	Rawatkhan's village, tālukā Kakar.	29th April 1905.	Rawatkhan's village, tālukā Kakar.	Do. ...	Tālukā Kakar ...	269 4,024 Square yds.	124	2,190	.....	
48	Jan Mahomed Lal Mahomed.	15 0 0	Vejl, tālukā Kakar.	19th November 1904.	Vejl, tālukā Kakar.	Do. ...	Do. ...	179 393 Square yds.	145	238	.....	
49	Amarsing Khushiram ...	10 6 0	Bangaldero, tālukā Ratodero.	23rd April 1906.	Bangaldero ...	Do. ...	Tālukā Ratodero and Larkhāna.	39 583 Square yds.	43	1,663	.....	
50	Mahomed Saleh Saidallah.	6 0 0										
	Mussamat Entan, daughter of Saidallah.	6 0 0	Hanozo Tania, tālukā Mehar.	27th March 1906.	.....	Agricultural land.	Tālukā Mehar ...	27	121	73	.....	
	Shah Mahomed valad Saidallah.	4 0 0										
								Total ...	17,171 7,392 Square yds.	36,749	80,209	88.00
<i>Sukkur District.</i>												
51	Nawabkhan Naimatallah.	20 7 0	Gārhi Yāsin, tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	24th January 1895.	.....	Agricultural land and buildings.	Tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	17	73	.....	.....	
52	Pesumal Isarmal ...	19 1 0	Sukkur ...	September 1894.	Sukkur ...	Buildings ...	Sukkur ...	.....	.....	.....	.....	
53	Arbabali Amin ...	16 10 0	Warisao, tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	October 1894.	.....	Agricultural land and buildings.	Tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	21	.....	.....	.....	
54	Taj Mahomed Tyeb Kamejo.	14 7 0										
	Mussamat Mahoran Kamejo.	16 7 0	Saidad, tālukā Sukkur.	23rd September 1897.	.....	Agricultural land.	Tālukā Sukkur ...	116	79	.....	.....	
	Mussamat Fateh Khatun Kamejo.	12 7 0										
55	Balomal Panjomal ...	20 7 0										
	Mussamat Premi Panjomal.	9 7 0	Shikārpur ...	12th May 1898.	Shikārpur ...	Agricultural land and buildings.	Tālukā Shikārpur ...	2	.....	.....	.....	
	Mussamat Lakhan Panjomal.	13 1 0										
56	Mussamat Mahomadi, daughter of Wahidbaksh Pirzade.	15 1 0	Sukkur ...	19th March 1898.	.....	Do. ...	Tālukā Naushāhro Abro and Sukkur.	12	37	.....	.....	
57	Khudadad Mauladadkhan.	13 7 0	Gārhi Yāsin, tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	6th May 1899.	Gārhi Yāsin ...	Do. ...	Tālukā Naushāhro Abro.	84	107	.....	.....	
	Mussamat Aminat Mauladadkhan.	15 7 0										
58	Ghulam Kadir Ghulam Haidar.	13 1 0	Shikārpur ...	1st November 1898.	Shikārpur ...	Do. ...	Tālukā Shikārpur, Naushāhro Abro, Mehar, Labdarya and Jacobabad.	2,598	5,414	.....	5.00	

\* The blank entry in the last year's statement was erroneous.

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other Income	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Others.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
386	...	98	261	.....	.....	128	...	...	1,530	.....	273	
4,547	222	300	1,138	.....	1	3,852	7,000	...	413	.....	.....	
141	...	.....	81	.....	1	154	...	...	1,410	.....	1,410	
246	...	.....	160	.....	.....	80	...	...	345	.....	275	
810	...	.....	253	.....	55	1,816	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
563	8	96	124	.....	3	405	...	...	2,691	.....	2,691	
305	...	71	145	.....	2	87	...	...	2,425	.....	2,425	
22	907	.....	.....	.....	218	771	...	...	2,243	.....	2,243	
.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
91,716	5,515	21,072	38,015	2,064	9,205	64,207	49,795	215	87,255	273	14,405	
122	138	97	72	.....	1	856	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
.....	425	.....	.....	125	273	28	...	...	1,688	.....	.....	
.....	231	.....	.....	.....	158	94	...	...	1,079	.....	.....	
64	9	30	52	403	2	29	...	...	8,058	65	2,052	
.....	...	.....	.....	.....	242	404	...	...	1,150	.....	.....	
205	54	121	37	50	80	90	...	...	8,506	.....	1,272	
107	...	61	107	.....	1	176	...	...	937	.....	237	
9,041	656	1,780	4,018	.....	1,045	1,475	4,000	...	4,415	520	.....	

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the minor on 31st July 1906.	Native place of the Minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the minor is being educated.	Immoveable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Value of the estate at the end of the year.	
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
<i>Sukkur District—contd.</i>												
53	At-Jul Khalik Mahomed Hussain Fathan.	10 7 0	Mari, taluka Sukkur.	6th August 1899.	Mari, taluka Sukkur.	Agricultural land.	Sukkur Taluka	170	50	.....	.....	
	Mussamat Gulam do....	31 7 0										
	Mussamat Sahib do....	19 7 0										
	Mussamat Vazir do....	17 7 0										
60	Dharamdas Kaliandas...	11 7 0	Shikarpur ...	21st October 1902.	Shikarpur ...	Agricultural land and buildings.	Shikarpur Taluka	21	63	.....	.....	
	Sobhraj do. ...	9 3 0										
	Devidas do. ...	7 7 0										
61	Kadirbux ...	16 7 0	Misriwaha n, taluka Naushahro Abro.	20th September 1902.	.....	Do. ...	Naushahro Abro Taluka.	30	21	.....	.....	
62	Jiwandas Tharunal ...	14 4 0	Shikarpur ...	February 1904.	.....	Buildings ...	Shikarpur	.....	.....	.....	.....	
63	Udho ...	16 5 0	Ubauro ...	12th March 1904.	.....	Agricultural land.	Ubauro Taluka	45	121	68	.....	
	Kishno ...	12 5 0										
64	Dilandar Khan Nur Mahomed,	18 0 0	Hamidpur, taluka Ubauro.	2nd June 1906.	.....	Agricultural land.	Ubauro Taluka	64	.....	19	.....	
	Allah Wasayo Nur Mahomad,	15 0 0										
65	Mir Hassan Shah Nur Hassan Shah.	6 0 0	Nur Hassan Shah, taluka Mirpur Mathelo.	10th June 1906.	.....	Do. ...	Mirpur Taluka, Mathelo	210	.....	2	.....	
66	Nawazali Khair Mahomed.	10 0 0	Shikarpur.	9th September 1904.	Shikarpur.	Agricultural land and buildings.	Sukkur and Shikarpur Talukas.	89	477	.....	.....	
	Hassan Ali do...	8 0 0										
	Mamtazali do...	4 0 0										
	Mussamat Hakim do...	11 0 0										
67	Ghulam Mustarfasab Azim Shah.	16 0 0	Gárhí Būdhal, taluka Shikarpur.	2nd April 1906.	Gárhí Būdhal, taluka Shikarpur.	Do. ...	Shikarpur Taluka...	310	.....	.....	.....	
	Arbabshah Azim Shah...	14 0 0										
								Total, Sukkur District.	3,729	6,527	868	.....
<i>Thar and Párkár District.</i>												
68	Ghulam Mahomed Wali Mahomed.	29 0 17	Dengsan Bhaurgi, taluka Jamesabad.	20th June 1891.	Karachi ...	Agricultural land.	Thar and Párkár District.	28,765	58,962	8,080	.....	
	Jan Mahomed Wali Mahomed.	24 8 15										
	Gulam Hussain Wali Mahomed.	17 0 2										
	Fatma, daughter of do.	15 6 23										
69	Oladishah Alibaksh Shah.	22 0 0	Goth Kutabshah, taluka Mirpur Khás.	4th July 1899.	.....	Do. ...	Do. ...	1,564	1,641	2,000	.....	
	Bibi Halima, widow of Alibaksh Shah.	56 4 0										
	Sajna, daughter of Alibaksh Shah.	32 4 0										
	I-lam, daughter of Alibaksh Shah.	18 4 0										
70	Ahmed Ali Shah, Mahomed Ali Shah.	10 0 0	Umarkot ...	16th December 1904.	Umarkot ...	Agricultural lands.	Do. ...	188	.....	.....	.....	
	Euran, daughter of Kurban Ali Shah.	8 0 0										
								Total, Thar and Párkár District.	28,517	60,603	5,980	.....
<i>Upper Sind Frontier District.</i>												
71	Dad Mahomed Shahbegkhan Drakhan.	15 7 3	Village of Shahbeg Drakhan, taluka Shahdadpur.	13th September 1895.	Lárkhána ...	Agricultural land and buildings.	Talukas Shahdadpur and Lárkhána.	2,032	826	.....	.....	
	Jamzadi, daughter of Shahbegkhan Drakhan.	16 7 0										1st December 1898.

\* These estates have been newly taken up and the figures for these columns are not available.

† The man has attained majority and has been put in charge of his estate from the end of July 1906.



Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other Income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government due.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Others.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
188	15	75	86	.....	.....	1,004	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
433	1,588	908	83	.....	209	2,100	30,000	...	640	.....	.....	
42	...	.....	21	.....	.....	227	...	...	1,178	.....	1,178	
...	564	.....	.....	.....	1	563	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
123	...	.....	149	.....	.....	84	...	...	99	.....	99	
.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	...	969	.....	969	
.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	330	1,300	330	1,300	
1,158	116	20	863	400	317	169	...	...	44,724	109	44,324	
.....	147	10	.....	.....	2	135	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
11,553	3,841	3,190	5,893	978	2,331	7,484	34,000	330	75,733	1,030	51,521	
1,12,321	3,804	200	50,140	.....	59,001	44,177	53,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
4,808	...	.....	1,584	.....	1,193	8,273	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
116,639	3,804	200	51,724	.....	60,194	54,449	53,000	...	.....	.....	.....	
1,804	55	159	1,308	.....	.....	1,342	...	...	.....	19	.....	

No.	Names of Minors.	Age of the minor on 31st July 1904.	Native place of the minor.	Date of the District Court's certificate of guardianship.	Place where the minor is being educated.	Immoveable property under guardianship.			Land Revenue payable.	Value of the moveable property in hand at the end of the year.	Particulars of the property.
						Description.	Where situated.	Area.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	<i>Upper Sind Frontier District—continued.</i>	Y. m. d.						Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	
72	Fateh Mahomed Dothi Gola.	13 7 0	Village of Allanbaksh Odho, taluka Jacobabad.	28th October 1899.	Jahanpur, taluka Jacobabad.	---	---	---	---	---	
73	Mihrankhan Pirkaksh Barro.	14 7 0	Village of Miranpur, taluka Jacobabad.	25th April 1901.	Miranpur, taluka Jacobabad.	Agricultural lands.	Taluka Jacobabad...	216	207	---	
74	Fatehkhan Pirkaksh Bhati.	13 7 0	Village of Pirkaksh, taluka Jacobabad.	6th November 1901.	-----	Agricultural lands and buildings.	Do.	63	110	---	
	Khan Mahomed Pirkaksh Bhati.	16 7 0									
	Chuti, daughter of Pirkaksh Bhati.	14 7 0									
75	Khawandbaksh Hamid Chachar.	14 7 0	Village of Hamid Chachar, taluka Kashmir.	27th February 1902.	-----	Do.	Taluka Kashmir	410	623	---	
	Rasulbuksh Hamid Chachar.	12 7 0									
76	Gulu valad Awat	18 7 0	Village of Sahju Gopang, taluka Shabdipur.	6th November 1902.	-----	Do.	Talukas Shabdipur and Hato-dero.	206	165	---	
	Lakhi valad Gangu	18 7 0									
	Lain valad Gangu	14 7 0									
	Bakhat valad Toto	16 7 0									
	Hundo valad Toto	17 7 0									
77	Gulbahar Dilawar	12 7 0	Village of Dilawar Bangar, taluka Kandhkot.	19th January 1903.	-----	Do.	Taluka Kandhkot...	305	224	---	
78	Banumal Tahilmal	9 7 0	Kashmor	17th March 1903.	Kashmor	Do.	Taluka Kashmir	236	195	---	
79	Jafarkhan Imamkhan	19 7 0	Jacobabad	20th June 1903.	Karachi	Do.	Talukas Thul and Jacobabad.	213	602	---	
80	Karimkhan Hutkhan	9 1 0	Goth Gulabkhan Rind, taluka Jacobabad.	19th March 1904.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
	Baluchkhan do.	3 8 2	Goth Chajra, taluka Jacobabad.								
	Total, Upper Sind Frontier District.							8,816	2,962	---	51
	GRAND TOTAL							62,894 * 71,848 Sq. yds.	1,13,205	49,010	200

\* This is exclusive of the area of the buildings belonging to the Minors in Hyderabad, Sukkur, Thar and Parkar and Upper Sind Frontier Districts.

Income during the year.		Expenditure during the year.				Balance at the end of the year.		Original liabilities of the Estate.		Debts still payable by the Estate.		Remarks.
Produce of land.	Other Income.	Cost of maintenance.	Government dues.	Discharge of liabilities.	Other expenditure.	Cash.	Government and other securities.	Government.	Other.	Government.	Other.	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....	223	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
520	...	.....	304	66	.....	158	...	...	400	.....	136	
80	...	.....	109	.....	.....	14	...	...	.....	1	.....	
1,001	...	180	533	.....	1	775	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
275	...	.....	185	.....	45	343	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
410	...	120	224	.....	28	349	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
315	...	.....	214	.....	.....	241	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
602	...	128	602	.....	.....	735	...	...	.....	.....	.....	
.....	51	.....	.....	.....	.....	642	1,500	...	.....	.....	.....	
4,807	106	587	3,589	66	72	4,823	1,500	...	400	20	136	
2,40,333	19,406	30,442	1,04,240	3,863	80,137	1,63,542	1,75,935	545	1,23,785	1,365	74,377	

A. D. YOUNGHUSBAND,  
Commissioner in Sind.

*ERRATA.*

(1) Against estate No. 42 in column 22 of the statement for the Ahmedabad District of the N. D. *for* 2,481, *read* the figure 24,081.

(2) *For* the total given in column 19 of the statement for the Kaira District of the N. D. *read* the figure 26,790.

(3) In columns 12 and 19 against estate No. 67 in the Broach District of the N. D. *for* 886 and 695 *read* 866 and 575.

(4) *For* the totals given in columns 13, 19 and 20 of the statement for the Broach District of the N. D. *read* the figures 15,997, 8,277 and 1,63,483, respectively.

(5) *For* 3,460, against estate No. 77, in column 14 of the statement for the Surat District of the N. D. *read* 34,460.

(6) *For* the grand totals given in columns 13, 19 and 20 of the statement for the N. D. *read* the figures 1,77,588, 91,743 and 2,53,685, respectively.

(7) *For* acres 4,392 and Rs. 484, against estate No. 2, in columns 9 and 10 of the statement for the Ahmednagar District of the C. D. *read* 4,402 and 494, respectively.

(8) In column 9 of the statement for the Sátára District of the C. D., against estate No. 7 *for* the total 16,728 *read* the figure 16,528 and against estate No. 9 *read* the figure 419 *for* 410. In column 19 of the statement of the Ahmednagar District of the C. D., against estate No. 2 *for* 6 *read* 76.

(9) *For* the grand totals given in columns 9, 11, 12, 13, 18 and 19 of the statement for the C. D., *read* the figures 26,128, 12,159, 28,371, 31,848, 14,176 and 19,062, respectively.

*Annual Reports.*

Reports on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Northern, Central and Southern Divisions and in Sind for the year 1905-06.

No. 6323.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 25th June 1907.

Letter from the Commissioner, N. D., No. 5258, dated 22nd October 1906—Submitting the report on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Northern Division for the year 1905-06.

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., No. v.R.—3618, dated 24th October 1906—Submitting the report on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Central Division for the year 1905-06.

Letter from the Commissioner, S. D., No. 5127, dated 3rd November 1906—Submitting the report on the administration of Minors' Estates in the Southern Division for the year 1905-06.

Letter from the Commissioner in Sind, No. T.—49-A., dated 28th November 1906—Submitting the report on the administration of Minors' Estates in Sind for the year 1905-06.

**RESOLUTION.**—The Commissioners, C. D. and S. D., have included in the statements appended to their reports estates (two in Central Division and four in Southern Division) taken under management under the Court of Wards Act during the year under report. Two of the estates shown in the statement for Northern Division, viz., Nos. 77 and 79 under Surat District, have also been brought under the same Act since the close of the year. The question of prescribing a separate annual report for such estates is under consideration.

2. Both in the Northern Division and in Sind the season of 1905-06 being much more favourable than the previous one, there was a general improvement in the income of the estates, which enabled them to pay off considerable arrears of Government dues and other liabilities. The estates in the Central and Southern Divisions excepting two in the former, were affected by the general failure of crops; the revenue suffered in consequence, and suspensions and remissions had to be granted in several cases. All important fluctuations in the figures of income and expenditure of individual estates have been explained by the Commissioners.

3. In the Northern Division departmental management is the rule, and estates are given on leases in a few cases to the minors themselves or their personal guardians. In the case of the Talukdári estates the matter is engaging the attention of the Talukdári Settlement Officer and a commencement has been made in the direction of leasing estates to outsiders. In the Central and Southern Divisions almost all, and in Sind 3/4ths, of the estates under management are leased to outsiders.

4. Of the 79 estates under management in the Northern Division 21 have no liabilities, while the remaining 58 have a total debt of Rs. 5,13,366, of which Rs. 44,204 are due to Government. Over 84 per cent. of the total debt pertains to estates under the Talukdári Settlement Officer, who states that settlements with creditors are in progress in some cases and that in several others the liabilities have still to be correctly ascertained. In the Central Division, the Samner estate in Násik has a debt of Rs. 10,000, while its income is disproportionately small and no special arrangement has yet been devised for the liquidation of the debt. The Ghadge estate in Sátára was able to clear off all its old debts amounting to Rs. 38,694 with the aid of a loan from Sávantvádi State at 4 per cent. interest obtained with the sanction of Government. In the case of estates in the Southern Division steps are being taken to pay off such debts as have been ascertained. Of the 80 estates under management in Sind,

27 are indebted to the extent of Rs. 75,742, of which Rs. 1,365 are due to Government. Of these No. 66 (Nawazali's estate in Sukkur) alone has a debt of Rs. 44,433 and the advisability of placing it under the management of the Incumbered Estates Department was being considered at the date of the report. Fair progress was made during the year towards discharging the liabilities of several other estates.

5. The reports regarding the education and conduct of minors are generally satisfactory.

6. The attention of the Commissioners should be invited to the errata appended to the reports correcting several mistakes in the Divisional statements, and that of the Commissioner, S. D., to the omission to give grand totals in the general statement appended to his report. The Commissioner in Sind should be requested to omit fractions of acres or square yards from the statements appended to his report as ordered in paragraph 2 of Government Resolution No. 2, dated 4th January 1905.

7. With reference to paragraph 2 of Government Resolution No. 2, dated 4th January 1905, the Commissioners, C. D. and S. D., have furnished separate statements showing in the case of revenue-paying land a comparison between the ward's income from the land and the land revenue assessed upon it. The reports for the Northern Division and Sind do not contain similar statements. But in the case of estates in Talukdári villages it is explained that any such comparison of Government dues with income would be misleading if not impossible, and in other cases explanations are furnished in the reports where the income is less than twice the assessment. The figures of assessment and income given in the special statements for the Central and Southern Divisions, when compared with those in columns 10 and 13 of the general statements, are not quite intelligible. As for example in the case of estates Nos. 1 and 6 in the Central Division column 13 of the general statement gives the income as Rs. 129 and Rs. 310, respectively, while the special statement shows Rs. 99 and Rs. 404, which are apparently figures for the previous year. Again, in the case of estates Nos. 7 and 9 in the Central Division, all the entries in the special statement seem to have been simply copied from the similar statement given in the report for 1904-05, and the figures of income from land as given in the special and general statements differ as shown below:—

Nos.	Special. Rs.	General. Rs.
7	13,036	3,187
9	8,655	9,219

The figures entered against Nos. 3 and 5 in the special statement for Central Division are apparently exclusive of inám lands paying quit-rent, but it does not appear that such lands have been excluded from the entries against Nos. 7 and 9. As regards Southern Division, it is not clear at first sight why in the special statement demand and outstandings are shown in addition to income. On examining the statement, however, it appears that the figures of income have been arrived at by deducting the outstandings from the demand, while what is required is the gross income actually derived from the land during the year under report. In future with a view to secure uniformity the information required by paragraph 2 of Government Resolution No. 2, dated 4th January 1905, should be furnished by all the Commissioners in the form of statement given below:—

1	2	3	4	5
No. of estate as given in the general statement.	Area of land comprised in the estate on which full land revenue assessment is paid.	Land Revenue assessment of area in column 2.	Gross income of area in column 2.	Remarks.
	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	

It will be observed (column 2) that this statement is not to include estates or portions of estates held on Tálukdári or Inám tenure paying jama or quit-rent. In the column of remarks explanations should be furnished wherever the income shown (column 4) is less than twice the assessment (column 3).

R. P. BARROW,

Acting Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,  
 The Commissioner, N. D.,  
 The Commissioner, C. D.,  
 The Commissioner, S. D.,  
 All Collectors, including the Collectors and Deputy  
 Commissioners in Sind,  
 The Tálukdári Settlement Officer,  
 The Director of Land Records,  
 The Director of Agriculture and of Co-operative Credit  
 Societies,  
 The Director of Public Instruction,  
 The Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor,  
 The Accountant General,  
 The Compiler, General Administration Report for the  
 year 1906-07.  
 The Manager, Incumbered Estates in Sind,  
 The Political Department of the Secretariat,  
 The Judicial Department of the Secretariat,  
 The Educational Department of the Secretariat,  
 The Financial Department of the Secretariat,  
 The Government of India,  
 The Under Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

With copies  
 of the report.

No. of 1907.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to