

THE ANALYSIS
OF SOCIAL CHANGE

BASED ON OBSERVATIONS
IN CENTRAL AFRICA

BY

GODFREY & MONICA WILSON

CAMBRIDGE
At the University Press

1945

THE ANALYSIS
OF SOCIAL CHANGE

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS
LONDON: BENTLEY HOUSE
NEW YORK TORONTO BOMBAY
CALCUTTA MADRAS: MACMILLAN

All rights reserved

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

CONTENTS

CHAP.	PAGE
I. CENTRAL AFRICAN SOCIETY: A PROBLEM FOR ANALYSIS	1
(a) Introductory	1
(b) From Primitive to Civilized	2
(i) Economic Changes	3
(ii) Political Changes	6
(iii) Technical and Scientific Changes	7
(iv) Religious Changes	11
(c) Social Elements	13
(d) Opposition and Maladjustment	14
(e) The Paradox of Incoherence	23
II. SCALE	24
(a) The Boundaries of Society	24
(b) Criteria of Scale	25
(c) The Boundaries of Community	30
(d) Comparable Groups	39
(e) Increase in Scale	40
(f) Scale and Environment	42
III. SOCIAL ELEMENTS	45
(a) Culture and Structure	45
(b) Social Structure	49
(c) Social Circulation	58
(d) Science and Philosophy	61
(e) Economics and Morality	73
(f) Technique and Art	76
(g) Conclusion	81

CHAP.	PAGE
IV. PRIMITIVE AND CIVILIZED SOCIETY	83
(a) Complexity	83
(b) Control of the Material Environment and Non-magicality	88
(c) Impersonality	95
(d) Social Mobility	98
(e) Necessary Connections	100
(f) Autonomy in the Narrower Relations, Subordination in the Wider	108
(g) The Evidence from Central Africa	115
V. EQUILIBRIUM AND DISEQUILIBRIUM	125
† (a) Ordinary Opposition and Disequilibrium	125
(b) The Origin and Course of Disequilibrium	132
(c) Disequilibrium in Central Africa	136
VI. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS	158
(a) The Implications of Expansion in Scale	158
(b) The Implications of Unevenness in Scale	163
(c) The Implications of Choice in Central Africa	169
(d) Conclusion	173
INDEX	175

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The bulk of the ideas in this book are current in sociological theory. Where we are particularly conscious of a debt we have made acknowledgment in a footnote, but the absence of such detailed reference does not imply a claim to originality. Our ideas have developed slowly, with reading and in discussion with colleagues, and we ourselves are often uncertain of their source. Dr Audrey Richards, Dr Meyer Fortes and Dr Max Gluckman have read and criticised the manuscript and to them we are especially grateful.

The field work in Central Africa on which the study is based was financed by the Rockefeller Foundation and the International Institute of African Languages and Cultures, to whom we are indebted for Fellowships, and by the Rhodes-Livingstone Institute of Northern Rhodesia.

G. W.

M. W.

NORTHERN RHODESIA
SOUTH AFRICA
MIDDLE EAST