Kentucky

Agricultural Experiment Station

University of Kentucky

MARKETING KENTUCKY LIVESTOCK

BULLETIN NO. 278



Lexington, Ky. April, 1927

(41)

EXPERIMENT STATION STAFF

BOARD OF CONTROL

Richard C. Stoll, Chairman, Lexington, Ky. H. M. Froman, Lexington, Ky. R. G. Gordon, Louisville, Ky. McHenry Rhoads, Frankfort, Ky. Frank McKee, Versailles, Ky.

Frank L. McVey, President

ADMINISTRATION

T. P. Cooper, Director D. H. Peak, Business Agent

O. L. Ginocchio, Secretary

AGRONOMY

George Roberts, Head E. J. Kinney, Associate Agronomist P. E. Karraker, Asst. Agronomist J. F. Freeman, Supt. Exp. Fields W. D. Valleau, Plant Pathologist E. N. Fergus, Asst. Agronomist J. B. Kelley, Agricultural Engineer E. M. Johnson, Asst. in Agronomy

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY GROUP

E. S. Good, Chairman *J. J. Hooper, Dairy Husbandry W. S. Anderson, Horses L. J. Horlacher, Beef Cattle, Sheep E. J. Wilford, Swine, Meats W. J. Harris, Beef Cattle J. H. Martin, Poultry J. W. Nutter, Dairyman Amanda Harms Asst Path Bact

Amanda Harms, Asst. Path. Bact. Harold Barber, Head Herdsman

A. O. Barkman, Act'g in Chg. Dairy Husbandry
W. W. Dimock, Head, Vet. Science Philip Edwards, Asst. Bacteriologist
F. E. Hull, Asst. Veterinarian
J. F. Bullard, Asst. Veterinarian

CHEMISTRY

A. M. Peter, Head S. D. Averitt, Chemist O. M. Shedd, Chemist G. D. Buckner, Chemist J. S. McHargue, Chemist W. D. Iler, Asst. Chemist D. J. Heaiy, Bacteriology

CREAMERY LICENSE SECTION

J. D. Foster, Inspector, in Charge W. C. Eskew, Inspector

ENTOMOLOGY AND BOTANY

H. Garman, Head Mary L. Didiake, Asst. Entgst. H. H. Jewett, Research Asst. Entgst. Jessie Terry, Seed Analyst Marie Jackson, Seed Analyst

*On leave of absence. **Assigned by the U. S. Department of Agriculture.

Thomas P. Cooper, Dean and Director

FARM ECONOMICS

W. D. Nicholls, Head

W. G. Finn, Farm Management W. L. Rouse, Farm Management R. E. Proctor, Farm Management R.

*Z. L. Galloway

FEED CONTROL

J. D. Turner, Head H. D. Spears, Chemist W. G. Terrell, Inspector Fred Fitschen, Inspector

W. A. Anderson, Jr., Microscopist

FERTILIZER CONTROL

H. E. Curtis, Head Harry Allen, Chemist Lelah Gault, Asst. Chemist Robert Mathews, Inspector

HOME ECONOMICS

HORTICULTURE

C. W. Mathews, Head A. J. Olney, Asst. C. S. Waltman, Asst.

MARKETS AND RURAL FINANCE

PUBLIC SERVICE LABORATORY

L. A. Prown, Head

ROBINSON SUBSTATION

(Quicksand, Ky.)

R. W. Jones, Superintendent C. H. Burrage, Forester Lula Hale, Field Worker

WESTERN KY. SUBSTATION (Princeton, Ky.)

S. J. Lowry, Superintendent

- E. J. Gott, Bacteriologist A. L. Meader, Asst. Chemist James H. Martin, Asst. Chemist
- E. K. Borman, Asst. Bacteriologist

O. B. Jesness, Head Dana G. Card, Marketing E. C. Johnson, Marketing

Mariel Hopkins, Head Statie Erikson, Asst.

. •

grades selling for higher prices than the lower grades. The price differentials between grades, however, are not constant but change from time to time with changes in market conditions. This point is illustrated in Figure 12 which gives the average monthly prices of different grades of light steers at Chicago for the five years 1921 to 1925. The spread in price between grades for this period was narrowest in May and widest in October, the difference in price of prime and choice steers and of common steers being \$2.75 in May and \$6.10 in October. The average yearly price for 1921-1925 was \$11.25 per hundred pounds for choice and prime steers, \$10.09 for good, \$8.55 for medium, \$6.81 for common and \$4.39 for cutters and canners, showing a very marked difference in price due to grade. Sheep also show wide differences in price due to grade. Medium to prime lambs (S4 pounds down) sold at Chicago during the years 1921 to 1925, averaged \$13.07 per hundred pounds while common and cull lambs averaged only \$10.31. Hogs do not vary as greatly in grade as cattle and sheep and the price differentials are not as large. Weight is a factor of importance in the price of fat hogs and during the years 1921 to 1925 as an average, the medium and light weight hogs sold for higher prices than heavy hogs. At Chicago during this period, heavy hogs (over 250 pounds) averaged \$9.23, medium hogs (201-250 pounds) \$9.39 and light hogs (151-200 pounds) \$9.32.

SUMMARY

1. Livestock production in Kentucky, with the exception of hogs, has increased in importance during the last seventy-five years.

2. The heaviest marketing of Kentucky cattle occurs in the fall, calves and lambs in the summer, and hogs in the fall and spring.

3. Buying by grade is an incentive for producers to improve the quality of livestock, and failure on the part of many buyers to pay according to grade is one of the greatest weaknesses of the local livestock market.

102 Kentucky Station Bulletin No. 278

4. Heavy shrinkage between the local and central markets is a factor making it necessary for the livestock dealers to buy on greater margins.

5. Losses in transit can be materially reduced by proper loading and handling. Transportation costs can be reduced by using double decks and loading not less than the minimum weight whenever possible.

6. Cincinnati and Louisville are the most important outlets for Kentucky livestock. Other markets of importance are Evansville, East St. Louis, Nashville and Jersey City. Louisville is also the principal source of feeder cattle and sheep.

7. Average margins charged by livestock dealers in the Louisville territory, based on estimates made by dealers in 1926, were \$2.12 per hundred pounds for lambs, 81 cents for hogs and 72 cents for cattle.

8. Irregularity of receipts at central markets is a weakness of the marketing system. More orderly distribution between markets and greater uniformity in time of marketing are needed to improve the livestock marketing system.