

SELF-HELP
COÖPERATIVES
IN
LOS ANGELES

BY
CONSTANTINE PANUNZIO
WADE CHURCH, LOUIS WASSERMAN
RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

PUBLICATIONS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES
IN SOCIAL SCIENCES

Volume 8, No. 1, pp. 1-148
30 tables, 9 illus.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

1990

**SELF-HELP COOPERATIVES
IN LOS ANGELES**

**BY
CONSTANTINE PANUNZIO
WADE CHURCH, LOUIS WASSERMAN
RESEARCH ASSISTANTS**

**And they shall build houses, and inhabit them; and
they shall plant vineyards, and eat the fruit of them.
They shall not build, and another inhabit; they shall
not plant, and another eat. . . . ISAIAH 65: 21-22**

PUBLICATIONS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES
IN SOCIAL SCIENCES

EDITORS: L. K. KOONTZ, T. I. COOK, P. A. DODD, C. M. ZIERER
Volume 8, No. 1, pp. 1-148, 30 tables, 9 illus.

Transmitted October 27, 1938
Issued February 4, 1939
Price, \$1.50

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PRESS
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
LONDON, ENGLAND

CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION	
I. THE RISE OF THE SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES	5
II. SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY	11
III. THE PEOPLE WHO JOINED THE SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES	15
IV. WHY DID UNEMPLOYED JOIN COÖPERATIVES?	25
V. THE COÖPERATORS AT WORK	28
VI. INCOME IN CASH AND KIND	33
VII. HOUSES AND HOUSE OWNERSHIP	41
VIII. GOOD HEALTH AND POOR	46
IX. EDUCATION OF COÖPERATORS AND OF THEIR YOUNG	49
X. COÖPERATORS AT PLAY	56
XI. RELIGIOUS ACTIVITIES	59
XII. COÖPERATORS AND THEIR POLITICS	64
XIII. WOULD COÖPERATORS LIKE TO SEE SELF-HELP CONTINUED?	68
XIV. DEVELOPMENT OF ORGANIZATION AND PRINCIPLES	73
XV. SALIENT PROBLEMS	77
XVI. MANAGERS AND MANAGEMENT	81
XVII. TWO UNITS AT WORK	86
XVIII. SAVINGS TO TAXPAYERS	96
XIX. SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES IN 1936	101
XX. THE FUTURE OF SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES	107
APPENDIX	
A. NOTE ON SCOPE AND METHOD OF THE INVESTIGATION	119
B. OTHER STUDIES	123
C. NOTE ON "APPENDIX TABLES" AND OTHER MATERIALS	125
D. SCHEDULE	127
BIBLIOGRAPHY	139
INDEX	143

TABLES

	PAGE
1. PRINCIPAL SELF-HELP COÖRDINATING ORGANIZATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1931-1932	9
2. NUMBERS OF SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVE UNITS IN THE UNITED STATES, DECEMBER, 1934	10
3. NATIVITY OF LOS ANGELES POPULATION IN 1930 AND OF COÖPERATORS AND THEIR MATES	16
4. ORIGIN OF AMERICAN-BORN COÖPERATORS AND THEIR MATES, BY GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS	17
5. SIZE OF COÖPERATORS' FAMILIES	19
6. OCCUPATIONS FOLLOWED BY COÖPERATORS BEFORE JOINING COOPERATIVES	20
7. COÖPERATORS' EMPLOYMENT STATUS, FISCAL YEARS 1931-1934	26
8. SPECIFIC OCCUPATIONS FOLLOWED BY COÖPERATORS, BEFORE AND DURING COÖPERATIVE MEMBERSHIP	29
9. AVERAGE MONTHLY VALUE OF EDIBLES RECEIVED, JULY-DECEMBER, 1934	35
10. FREQUENCY OF FOOD DISTRIBUTION	36
11. COÖPERATORS' EVALUATION OF QUALITY AND VARIETY OF FOOD	37
12. AVERAGE MONTHLY INCOME IN KIND AND CASH FROM THE COÖPERATIVES, JULY-DECEMBER, 1934	39
13. CASH INCOME FROM NONCOÖPERATIVE SOURCES OF COÖPERATORS' HOUSEHOLDS, JULY-DECEMBER, 1934	40
14. AVERAGE MONTHLY INCOME OF COÖPERATORS' HOUSEHOLDS IN CASH AND KIND, ALL SOURCES, JULY-DECEMBER, 1934	41
15. NUMBER OF ROOMS IN COÖPERATORS' HOUSES	43
16. COÖPERATORS' PAYMENTS TOWARD HOUSE OWNERSHIP	45
17. ILLNESSES IN COÖPERATORS' HOUSEHOLDS, 1931-1934	48
18. CAUSE OF PRESENT ILLNESS AS GIVEN BY 423 COÖPERATORS	48
19. FORMAL EDUCATION OF COÖPERATORS AND THEIR MATES	50
20. CHILDREN IN COÖPERATORS' HOUSEHOLDS, BY AGE GROUPS	52
21. CHILDREN IN COÖPERATORS' HOUSEHOLDS, BY AGES AND SCHOOL GRADES ATTAINED	54
22. REASONS FOR IRREGULAR SCHOOL ATTENDANCE	55
23. TYPES OF RECREATION PREFERRED BY COÖPERATORS	57
24. RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED BY COÖPERATIVES	58
25. DISTRIBUTION OF CHURCH MEMBERSHIP, FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY IN 1926 AND FOR COÖPERATORS IN 1934	60
26. CHURCH MEMBERSHIP OF COÖPERATORS, BEFORE AND DURING COOPERATIVE MEMBERSHIP	62
27. CHURCH ATTENDANCE OF COÖPERATORS AND MEMBERS OF FAMILIES	63
28. POLITICAL AFFILIATION OF COÖPERATORS BEFORE AND DURING COÖPERATIVE MEMBERSHIP	65

TABLES (Continued)

	PAGE
29. COMPARATIVE VOTE REGISTRATION OF POPULATION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND OF COÖPERATORS, 1934	67
30. COÖPERATORS' OPINIONS REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF COÖPERATIVES	69
31. INDIVIDUAL MONTHLY "SALARY" OF TWENTY-THREE MANAGERS INTERVIEWED	83
32. GROSS SAVINGS TO TAXPAYERS MADE BY SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1934	98
33. PUBLIC COST OF SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1934	99
34. ESTIMATED NET SAVINGS TO TAXPAYERS MADE BY SELF-HELP COOPERATIVES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, 1934	99
35. PRINCIPAL PRODUCTION SPECIALIZATIONS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, JANUARY, 1936	104
36. PRINCIPAL COÖPERATIVE PRODUCTION SPECIALIZATIONS IN CALIFORNIA AND NUMBER OF UNITS ENGAGED IN THEM, 1935-1936	105

ILLUSTRATIONS

SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVE MEN	<i>Frontispiece</i>
LOCATION OF SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVE UNITS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, DECEMBER, 1934	12
COMPARATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND IN THE UNITED STATES BY AGE GROUPS, 1930	14
NATIONAL ORIGIN OF FOREIGN-BORN MEMBERS OF COÖPERATIVES	18
LENGTH OF RESIDENCE OF MEMBERS OF COÖPERATIVES IN CALIFORNIA AND IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, BY FIVE-YEAR PERIODS	22
AGE-GROUP DISTRIBUTION OF TOTAL COÖPERATIVE MEMBERSHIP	24
SANTA MONICA UNEMPLOYED CITIZENS' LEAGUE EXCHANGES LABOR FOR FRUIT WITH COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE, UNIVERSITY OF CALI- FORNIA AT LOS ANGELES	88
"CALI CO-OP" OR CALIFORNIA COÖPERATIVE PRODUCTS	103
FIGURING OUT THEIR FUTURE	109

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

THE PRESENT STUDY has been made possible, first, by the collaboration of the one thousand and twenty-nine families who, notwithstanding the hardship of their lot, subjected themselves to prolonged inquiries and furnished the information which makes up the core of this report.

Mr. Wade E. Church and Mr. Louis Wasserman were the principal research assistants.

The Social Science Research Council, New York City, defrayed nearly two-thirds of the direct expense; the University of California contributed a little less than one-third; the Los Angeles Council of Social Agencies provided for the printing of the schedule; and the author met the rest of the expense. The California State Emergency Relief Administration furnished an average of ten investigators and clerical workers during the six months of the field research and four statistical tabulators for three months thereafter; the University of California supplied working quarters; and the Works Progress Administration furnished the typists.

Mrs. Eleanor B. McCreery, Secretary of the Los Angeles Council of Social Agencies, Miss Winona Iversen, District Secretary, Family Welfare Association, and Mr. George Knox Roth, Research Technician, Los Angeles County, assisted in preparing and testing the schedule. Mr. Clark Kerr, formerly Field Supervisor of the Division of Self-help Coöperative Service, California State Emergency Relief Administration, gave counsel and made available some data during the early stages of the investigation. Mr. Frank W. Sutton, Regional Director and Mr. Richard W. Bell, Senior Coöperative Advisor, both of the Division of the Self-help Coöperative Service, State Emergency Relief Administration, supplied data and gave counsel. Mr. Charles J. Dexter, Social Research Assistant, of the California State Relief Administration, Los Angeles Division, Mr. Bell and Mr. Roth, read this report and made suggestions. Miss Pierina Piziali prepared the index.

CONSTANTINE PANUNZIO



Photo. by Paul S. Taylor

SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVE MEN

APPENDIX A

NOTE ON SCOPE AND METHOD OF THE INVESTIGATION

THE INVESTIGATION reported in the foregoing pages was originally suggested by a community agency, which set up a committee to direct it, and requested the present writer to carry it out. As plans began to mature, however, it became clear—at least to the writer—that some of the original sponsors were only interested in a cursory survey. As this did not seem worth while to the present writer, and as the workers of the community agency were too burdened with immediate duties to carry out even a superficial field investigation, it became necessary to seek collaboration from other sources, or to abandon the research. Fortunately, the Social Science Research Council of New York made a grant in aid, and the investigation, though delayed for six months, proceeded.

It was decided at the outset to obtain information directly from the coöperators themselves rather than to depend upon organizers, administrators, managers, and other officials; not because the latter were deemed untrustworthy, but because it was believed that a more intimate view of the self-help organizations could be obtained by coming into direct contact with the members.

The schedule was prepared with that objective in view. In order to determine what items would be feasible and essential, a preliminary schedule was prepared by means of interviews with a few self-help members and managers. This preliminary schedule was tested by sending three workers to apply it to a few coöperators and their families. It was then corrected and improved several times, printed, and made ready for the investigation.¹

To obtain and prepare the field workers presented a special problem. Funds being insufficient to permit our employing our own investigators, application was made to the State Emergency Relief Administration, which assigned ten field investigators to the project. Since most of these persons had no experience in field research, it was necessary to subject them to intensive training. This was done by group and individual conferences. General instruction sheets were issued. The investigators were also subjected to tests: they were sent into the field to fill a few schedules, their re-

¹ For a sample of the schedule see Appendix C.

ports were scrutinized, and those workers who presented schedules with too many omissions or errors were replaced by others. Notwithstanding these preparations, a considerable turnover was necessary: we had an average of ten workers in the field, but used a total of thirty persons during the six months of field work.

The obtaining of a sampling also presented difficulties. It was decided to delimit our investigation to those units which were not receiving aid from the County, on the theory that thereby we would be better able to determine the social utility or nonutility of self-help. We therefore made plans to prepare our master lists by taking every tenth name from the lists of all the self-helpers not receiving aid from the County. But we encountered an insuperable difficulty. The complete lists of those not receiving aid were at the time in the possession of a coördinating organization which denied us access to them. But as the investigation aimed at being of service to the community and to the members of the self-help groups, we did the next best thing. We took every fourth name from the non-grant lists of the State Emergency Relief Administration and from those of the nongrant units which made them accessible to us; thus we were able to compile a list of more than ten thousand names and to interview about 10 per cent of these. This we deemed a reasonably adequate sample. There were in Los Angeles County, at the start of the field work on June 1, 1934, 7840 coöperative members who were not receiving aid from the County or other source; the field investigators interviewed 1068 of these and produced 1029 usable reports; thus, our findings based on the usable schedules represent 13.1 per cent of the coöperators not receiving aid from the County.

Although the objective of the investigation was to study persons, and not organizations, precaution was taken to distribute the interviews among as many units and in as many communities as possible. There were on June 30, 1934, about 122 units, and on December 31, 1934, 139 units in Los Angeles County. The investigators reached 76, or approximately 58.0 per cent of them. The number of persons interviewed averaged slightly over 13.5 per unit. Seven of the larger units yielded 303 usable schedules, and five of the smaller units 1 each. In addition, the investigators covered forty-two communities in the densely populated portions of Los Angeles

County, from Pomona on the east to Santa Monica on the west and from Sunland on the north to Long Beach on the south. Moreover, in order not to overweigh our sample with interviews from Los Angeles City, the proportion drawn from that city was held down to 8 per cent less than might have been drawn. Since Los Angeles City contained (1930) 56.0 per cent of the population of the County, our sample of nearly 48.0 per cent from that city afforded opportunity to reach 8 per cent more persons from semirural communities than otherwise.

The actual obtaining of the information met with the usual difficulties. Not only did the schedule require three to four hours to fill, but also the coöperators were at the time being investigated by so many agencies that some of them balked. The field workers' task, therefore, was trying. On the other hand, several circumstances acted in their favor. During the latter half of 1934, when the field work was going on, the self-help groups were unusually active and the self-helpers were enthusiastic and ready to impart information. Again, the fact that the study was being supported by the Social Science Research Council and the University of California proved to be helpful, as the people seemed thereby to be assured of the objective nature of the research. Further, the coöperators seemed to sense the fact that we had one and only one objective in view, and that this was to render a service to the unemployed themselves and to the community.

But, as in all such investigations, some stubborn individuals were encountered. When this occurred, either the investigation was passed to the alternate or use was made of a simple device, employed on previous occasions by this author. The person was told that other coöperators in his unit or "rival" units had already given information; that the investigation would proceed in any event, whether or not he replied; that the investigation would include more than a thousand families, and that if he replied to the questions, he could, when the findings should be made public, compare his experiences with those of others. Further, if he wished to record his name, when the findings were published he would, if possible, be notified and given access to the report. This simple device usually succeeded in obtaining participation.

The field work was limited to six months, July 1 to December

31, 1934, on the theory that a longer period would probably produce changes in coöperative procedure which might make some of the findings invalid. The investigation was limited to Los Angeles County because that county constituted a well-knit coöperative center, contained 139 units out of 179 in California and out of 310 for the United States as a whole, and included the principal types of units and activities. Moreover, funds did not permit the covering of a larger territory.

The tabulation of findings took longer than was expected, mainly because of the lack of adequate equipment. Without a Hollerith at our disposal, it became necessary to compile and compute the data with hand calculators. For this work the State Emergency Relief Administration supplied four workers. But the task proceeded slowly. Many of the computations had to be done by hand by Mr. Wade E. Church, who, incidentally, for a time worked almost without remuneration.

While the compilation of data was going on, the self-help coöperatives were undergoing marked changes. The organization of the Works Progress Administration plus the upturn of business produced a reduction of membership and many other phenomena. We therefore took advantage of the situation and made a survey of the changes. This gave us a better opportunity to view them in their totality, in flux, and thereby to determine with more precision the extent to which they seem or do not seem to be of use to present-day economy. Sections XIV to XIX inclusive embody these findings. In this connection Mr. Louis Wasserman, a graduate student at the University of California at Los Angeles and a National Youth Administration appointee, acted as chief research assistant; a portion of Mr. Wasserman's compensation came from a special grant made by the University of California Board of Research.

APPENDIX B

OTHER STUDIES

As THIS STUDY is intended as a description of a specific situation, little attempt has been made to compare our data with those of other studies. Comparative statements have been made throughout this report, but only in what were considered the essentials and then mainly with respect to totals, as when we compare the age distribution of the coöperators with that of the population of the County as a whole.

The student who wishes to make a comparative study will find material on Los Angeles County in several studies. Of these the following may be mentioned. Clark Kerr, *Self-help: A Study of the Coöperative Barter Movement of the Unemployed in California, 1932-1933*, records the author's personal observations of one hundred and sixty self-help organizations, including one hundred and twenty-five in Los Angeles County, made during the period January-July, 1933; George Knox Roth, *Compton Unemployed Coöperative Relief Association: A Sociological Study, 1932-1933*, describes the author's personal observation of the rise of the first self-help unit in California, namely, that of Compton, in Los Angeles County; Harry L. Masser *et al.*, *Coöperative Relief Organizations in Los Angeles County*, reports data gathered by the Citizens Committee on County Welfare of Los Angeles, a private body, through a very general investigation made in 1932-1933; Clark Kerr and Paul S. Taylor's "Self-help Coöperatives in California" presents a general description and statistical data dealing with the self-help organizations in California, including units in Los Angeles County, down to the first part of 1935; the various reports of the California State Relief Administration, Division of Self-help Coöperative Service, contain, among other data, information regarding the self-help grant units in Los Angeles County. The two reports which embody especially pertinent information are the *Semiannual Report, January, 1935*, and the *Annual Report, July 1, 1935-June 30, 1936*. The California Emergency Relief Administration, Division of Research and Surveys, *Report on Registration Blanks of Self-help Coöperative Associations* (typescript, 1934) and *Research Project on Self-help Coöperatives in California* (typescript, 1935) in-

clude descriptive data on organizations and individual members (among others) in Los Angeles County; and Los Angeles County, Department of Charities, *Report on Self-help Coöperative Service* (typescript, 1935) describes the members of these organizations in Los Angeles County. Mention may also be made that Mr. Clark Kerr is preparing a history of the movement in the United States and Europe, the title of which will probably be "Organized Self-help by the Unemployed."¹

¹ For bibliographical data on published studies mentioned above, see Bibliography on pp. 139-141, below.

APPENDIX C

NOTE ON "APPENDIX TABLES" AND OTHER MATERIALS

THE FOREGOING REPORT is based upon detailed statistical tables. As each of these usually fills an entire typewritten page and some cover two or three pages, it is impracticable to publish them. Yet they contain a wealth of material which will be of value, both to those who wish to check the findings presented in this report and to those who may desire to extract data which have not been recorded in this volume. They have therefore been assembled, bound in their original typewritten form, and given the title and authorship of this report and the subtitle, "Appendix Tables." The original set has been deposited in the Library of the University of California at Los Angeles, a copy has been placed in the Library of the University of California, Berkeley, and a second copy has been sent to the Library of Congress.

In addition to the tables, a considerable amount of original material, for the most part extracted from the schedules and containing remarks of the coöperators and records of the observations of the investigators, accumulated in the course of the investigation and the preparation of this report. These materials also could not be used in this volume. But since they contain many rich, intimate, and colorful details regarding the social history of one thousand twenty-nine families, their difficulties and reflections during this, one of the most profound economic depressions that Western society has experienced, it has been thought appropriate to preserve them. They may prove of great value to the future student of the social history of our times. Accordingly, these materials have been ordered in keeping with the divisions and subdivisions of the schedule, have been given the title of the report and the subtitle "Supplementary Materials," and have been deposited in the library of the University of California at Los Angeles.

The filled-in schedules, as handed in by the investigators, and often containing notes by them, and corrections and remarks by the research assistant, have also been deposited in the library of the University of California at Los Angeles. They have been given the title of the report and the subtitle of "Original Schedules."

APPENDIX D SCHEDULE

HOW MEMBERS OF THE WORKMAN'S COÖPERATIVES LIVE AND EARN

Under Auspices of
Social Science Research Council and
University of California

A Research by
Constantine Panunzio, University of
California at Los Angeles

I. FAMILY COMPOSITION

- A. Unit No. Date of interview Investigator
- B. Full name of head of household
- C. Address City County
- D. Birthplace (state or country) Reg. voter: Yes No
- E. How long in California? In Los Angeles County? In City?
- F. Name of wife (or husband) Birthplace (state or country)
- G. Members of household June 1, 1934:

Line No. (a)	Relationship to head of household (b)	Sex M or F (c)	Age at last birthday (d)	Now in home (e)	No. weeks home during year (f)	Status (Please check)					
						Single (g)	Mar. (h)	Deaf'd (i)	Widow (j)	Rep'd (k)	Div'd (l)
1	Head										
2	Wife (or husb.)										
3	Child—1										
4	Child—2										
5	Child—3										
6	Child—4										
7	Child—5										
8	Child—6										
9	Child—7										
10	Child—8										
11	Others—1										
12	—2										

II. HEALTH OF THE FAMILY (Last three years)

Line No.	Has the general health of the family been: (Please check) (a)	B (b)	C (c)	F (d)	P (e)	Illness (f)	Duration (months) (g)	Kind of illness (h)
1	Head of household							
2	Wife (or husb.)							
3	Child—1							
4	Child—2							
5	Child—3							
6	Child—4							
7	Child—5							
8	Child—6							
9	Child—7							
10	Child—8							
11	Others—1							
12	—2							

13. Have you noticed a general change in the family's health since work has been unsteady? (Please check) Yes..... No..... If Yes, Better?..... Worse?.....

14. Is the health of any member of the family worse because of:

	Yes	No	How many?
a. Lack of sufficient food?			
b. Inadequate housing?			
c. Worry over finances?			
d. Inadequate medical care?			
e. Inadequate medical supplies?			
f. Inadequate clothing?			
g. Other causes?			
(Specify)			

B. Has any member of the family had any of the following during the last three years?
(Please check)

Disease (a)	Head (b)	Wife (c)	Child-1 (d)	Child-2 (e)	Child-3 (f)	Child-4 (g)	Child-5 (h)	Child-6 (i)	Child-7 (j)	Child-8 (k)	Others (l)	Others (m)	Others (n)	Duration (months) (o)
1. Appendicitis.....														
2. Bronchitis.....														
3. Cancer.....														
4. Cerebral hemorrhage.....														
5. Cirrhosis of liver.....														
6. Cholera.....														
7. Congenital debility.....														
8. Diarrhea and enteritis.....														
9. Diphtheria.....														
10. Diseases of heart.....														
11. Stomach diseases.....														
12. Hernia.....														
13. Influenza.....														
14. Malaria.....														
15. Measles.....														
16. Meningitis.....														
17. Pneumonia.....														
18. Scarlet fever.....														
19. Senility.....														
20. Small pox.....														
21. Suicide.....														
22. Typhoid.....														
23. Typhus fever.....														
24. Tuberculosis.....														
25. Whooping cough.....														
26. Other diseases.....														
27.														

III. HOUSING, RESIDENCE, CITIZENSHIP, AND PROPERTY

A. Housing.

1. In what type of dwelling have you lived? (Check)

	Before coop	During coop	After coop		Before coop	During coop	After coop
<p>a. House</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Wooden</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Brick</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Stucco</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Other</p> <p>b. Apartment</p> <p>c. Garage</p> <p>d. Flat</p> <p>e. Hotel</p> <p>f. Rooming house</p> <p>g. Barn</p> <p>h. Store</p> <p>i. Other (Specify)</p>				<p>3. Rooms and facilities</p> <p>a. No. rooms (excluding bath and storerooms)</p> <p>b. No. rooms for sleeping</p> <p>c. No. of beds</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Single</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Double</p> <p>d. Cribs</p> <p>d. Bathroom</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Privy</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Toilet</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Private</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Shared</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">No. families using</p> <p>e. How long have you lived in present dwelling? (Years, months)</p> <p>f. Household combined or "doubled up"?</p> <p>g. Garage</p> <p>h. Garden</p>			
<p>2. What was condition of dwelling? (Please check)</p> <p>a. Excellent</p> <p>b. Good</p> <p>c. Fair</p> <p>d. Bad</p>							

B. Residence:

1. In what state have you lived during the last three years? (Please check)

Ala.	Del.	Iowa	Mass.	Neb.	N. Ca.	R. I.	Vt.
Aris.	Fla.	Kan.	Mich.	Nev.	N. Dak.	S. Ca.	Va.
Ark.	Ga.	Ky.	Minn.	N. H.	Ohio	S. Dak.	Wash.
Cal.	Ida.	La.	Miss.	N. J.	Okla.	Tenn.	W. Va.
Col.	Ill.	Me.	Mo.	N. M.	Ore.	Texas	Wis.
Conn.	Ind.	Md.	Mont.	N. Y.	Penn.	Utah	Wy.

2. In what state did you live? 3. In what state before that?

4. How many moves have you made in the county of Los Angeles? 1 2 3 4

5. Why did you move? (Check)

a. Reason for moving was:

<p>1. To get cheaper rent</p> <p>2. To be with relatives</p> <p>3. To be near coöperatives</p> <p>4. To be near an opportunity to work</p> <p>5. Dislike of neighbors</p>	<p>6. Sickness</p> <p>7. Because of irritation due to neighbors cats</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">dogs musical instruments</p> <p>8. Near street-car line</p> <p>9. Climate</p>
---	---

C. Citizenship:

1. Are you a citizen of the United States? Yes..... No.....

2. If not, of what country are you a citizen?

Germany?..... Italy?..... Russia?..... Mexico?.....
 England?..... Spain?..... France?..... Japan?.....
 Others? (Name).....

3. Color (or race) of head of household (check) one of the following:

a. White.....	d. Chinese.....	g. Filipino.....
b. Negro.....	e. Japanese.....	h. Other.....
c. Mexican.....	f. Am. Indian.....	

4. Have you, during the last three years, been denied employment because you were not a citizen of the United States? Yes..... No.....

a. How many times? 1.....2.....3.....4.....5.....

b. Where? (City).....

D. Property:

	Before coop	During coop	After coop
1. Did you own your own home?.....			
2. Was it mortgaged?.....			
3. Were you making payments on:			
Principal?.....			
Interest?.....			
Principal and interest?.....			
4. Were your current taxes paid?.....			
5. Were there current assessments?.....			
6. If so, were the assessments paid?.....			
7. Have you made application for Home Loan?.....			
8. Did you receive a Home Loan?.....			

IV. SOCIAL AND OTHER ACTIVITIES

A. Did you belong to any of the following organizations? (Check)

	Before coöp						During coöp					
	Attend meetings?		Member?		Dues paid?		Attend meetings?		Member?		Dues paid?	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
1. American Legion.....												
2. American Legion Auxiliary.....												
3. Athletic club.....												
4. Bridge club.....												
5. Chamber of commerce.....												
6. Church club.....												
7. Eastern Star.....												
8. Elks.....												
9. Epic Club (Upton Sinclair).....												
10. Farm bureau.....												
11. Farmers' union.....												
12. Home bureau.....												
13. Kiwanis.....												
14. Labor union.....												
15. Lecture course.....												
16. Luncheon club.....												
17. Masons.....												
18. Moose.....												
19. Odd Fellows.....												
20. P. T. A.....												
21. Relief Workers' Pro. Assn.....												
22. Rotary.....												
23. Silver Shirts.....												
24. Social club.....												
25. Unemployment councils.....												
26. Utopian Society.....												
27. Women's club.....												
28. Woodmen of the World.....												
29. Others: (Specify).....												
30.												
31.												

B. Are you a member of any of the following parties? (Please check)			C. Are you a member of a church? (Please check)		
	Before coöp	During coöp		Before coöp	During coöp
1. Republican			1. Protestant		
2. Democratic			2. Catholic		
3. Socialist			3. Jewish		
4. Progressive			4. Other (Name)		
5. Liberty			5. None		
6. Communist					
7. American Workers					
8. Others (Name)					

D. How often have you attended church during the last three years?

	Once a month	Twice a month	Four times a month	More than four	None		Once a month	Twice a month	Four times a month	More than four	None
1. Head						7. Child—5					
2. Wife (Husband)						8. Child—6					
3. Child—1						9. Child—7					
4. Child—2						10. Others					
5. Child—3						11.					
6. Child—4						12.					

V. EDUCATION AND EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES

A. Did you complete any of the following? (If not, indicate grade completed) (Check)

	Head	Wife (Husband)	Child—1	Child—2	Child—3	Child—4	Child—5	Child—6	Child—7	Child—8	Others—1	Others—2	Others—3
1. Grade school													
2. Junior high													
3. High school													
4. College													
5. University													
6. Trade school													
7. Business college													
8. Foreign-language school													
9. Communist school													
10. Parochial school													
11. Dancing classes													
12. Gymnasium school													
13. Other (Name)													

B. Did your children receive financial aid for attending college and university?

Yes..... No..... Government..... Private..... Amount.....

VI. OCCUPATION AND EMPLOYMENT

A. Which of the following occupations did you regularly follow before and after joining the coöperatives? (Check)

Occupation	Before coop	During coop	After coop	Occupation	Before coop	During coop	After coop
Accountant and auditor.....				Manufacturer.....			
Actor and showman.....				Mechanical engineer.....			
Agent, collector, and credit man..				Mechanic, auto, factory, garage, and repair shop.....			
Artist, sculptor, and teacher of art				Messenger, errand and office boy and girl.....			
Author, editor, reporter.....				Musician and teacher of music.....			
Baker.....				Nurse (not trained).....			
Barber, hairdresser, etc.....				Official and inspector, city and county.....			
Bookkeeper and cashier.....				Painter, glazier, and varnisher (building).....			
Brick and stone mason.....				Paper, printing and allied indus.....			
Builder and building contractor..				Physician and surgeon.....			
Chauffeur, truck and traction dr..				Plumber, gas and steam fitter.....			
Clerk in store.....				Policeman.....			
Carpenter.....				Porter (except in store).....			
Clergyman.....				Real-estate agent and official.....			
Clerk (except in-store).....				Retail dealer.....			
Comp. linotype and typesetter....				Rest., café, and lunchroom keeper			
Commercial traveler.....				Salesman and saleswoman.....			
Dentist.....				Shoe factory.....			
Designer.....				Stenographer and typist.....			
Draftsman.....				Stockbroker.....			
Electrician.....				Suit, coat, and overall factory....			
Electrical engineer.....				Servant.....			
Engineer (stationary).....				Tailor and tailoress.....			
Farmer (owner and tenant).....				Textile industry.....			
Farm laborer.....				Telephone operator.....			
Factory laborer, iron and steel in..				Teacher (school).....			
Foreman and overseer (mfg.).....				Technical surveyor and surveyor..			
General and not specified, lab.....				Trained nurse.....			
Ins. agents, manager and off.....				Wholesale dealer.....			
Laborer, road and street.....				Wholesale dealer, import-export..			
Laborer and helper, bldg. cont.....				All other occupations.....			
Laborer, steam and street R.R.'s..							
Lawyer and judge.....							
Janitor and sexton.....							
Laundry operative.....							
Machinist.....							
Manager and official (mfg.).....							

B. Employment: Draw a line over the months or portions of month you have been employed (not in cooperatives). Leave unemployment periods blank.

	1932												1933												1934											
	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D
1. Head.....																																				
2. Woman.....																																				
3. Child—1.....																																				
4. Child—2.....																																				
5. Child—3.....																																				
6. Child—4.....																																				
7. Child—5.....																																				
8. Child—6.....																																				
9. Child—7.....																																				
10. Child—8.....																																				
11. Others—1.....																																				
12. —2.....																																				
13. —3.....																																				

[194]

Remarks:

C. Have any of your children been kept out of school because: (Please check)

	Yes	No		Yes	No
1. Head of family unemployed?			6. No money for school games?		
2. Insufficient clothing?			7. No money for tuition?		
3. Insufficient food?			8. No money for towel tickets?		
4. No money for carfare?			9. No money for school dances?		
5. No money for incidentals?			10. Others (Name).....		

11. Have your children ever objected to going to school because of any of the above?.....
12. Have your children ever reported that their classmates insulted them because you were out of work?.....

C. Has any member unduly complained or shown discontent since your last regular employment (excepting coop work)? Yes..... No.....

D. Did he complain of:

Yes (X) No (O)	Head (b)	Wife (c)	Child - 1 (d)	Child - 2 (e)	Child - 3 (f)	Child - 4 (g)	Child - 5 (h)	Child - 6 (i)	Child - 7 (j)	Child - 8 (k)	Other (l)	Other (m)	Other (n)
1. Inadequate housing.....													
2. Worry over finances.....													
3. Inadequate medical care.....													
4. Inadequate medical supplies.....													
5. Inadequate clothing.....													
6. Lack of cosmetics.....													
7. Lack of tobacco.....													
8. Lack of incidentals.....													
9. Others (Specify).....													
10.													
11.													

E. Has lack of necessities, due to unemployment, led to any of the following? (Check)

	Yes	No
1. Disobedience of child at home.....		
2. Disobedience of child at school.....		
3. Truancy of child.....		
4. Stealing.....		
5. Has children's school work suffered?.....		
6. Do they feel inferior to their more fortunate classmates?.....		
7. Do their classmates know you are in the cooperatives?.....		

F. Have any of the following problems appeared in your family since you have not been regularly employed?

	Yes	No
1. Increased spats in the home.....		
2. Increased spats with neighbors.....		
3. Mental disorders.....		
4. a. Insanity.....		
b. Epilepsy.....		
c. Nervous breakdown.....		
d. Others.....		
5. Divorce.....		
6. Desertion.....		
7. Separation.....		
8. Loss of custody of children.....		
9. Addition to family by custody or adoption.....		
10. Conflict with police.....		

VII. INCOME AND EXPENDITURES

A. What is your cash monthly income from			B. What is your monthly income in kind?		
1. Property.....			1. Food.....		
2. Boarders.....			2. Rent.....		
3. Wages.....			3. Utilities.....		
a. Head.....			4. Clothing.....		
b. Women.....			5. Property payment.....		
c. Children.....			6. Upkeep of car.....		
4. Pension.....			7. Carfare.....		
5. Insurance.....			8. Medical care.....		
a. Relatives.....			9. Recreation.....		
7. Others.....			10. Others.....		

C. Are you receiving aid from any of the following organizations? (Please check)

	Yes	No		Yes	No
1. County Welfare Department.....			9. American Red Cross.....		
2. Jewish Social Service Bureau.....			10. American Legion Service Dept.....		
3. Family Welfare Association.....			11. International Institute.....		
4. Catholic Welfare Bureau.....			12. State Emergency Relief Adm'n.....		
5. Salvation Army Relief.....			13. Church (Name).....		
6. Motion Picture Relief.....			14. Relatives.....		
7. Assistance League.....			15. Savings.....		
8. Volunteers of America.....			16. Others.....		

D. What is your monthly outgo for?

1. Rent or payments?..... \$			6. Carfare?..... \$		
2. Assessments and upkeep of home?.....			7. Upkeep of car?.....		
3. Utilities?.....			8. Medical care?.....		
4. Food?.....			9. Recreation?.....		
5. Clothing?.....			10. Incidentals?.....		
			11. Contributions?.....		

VIII. RELATIONSHIP WITH COÖPERATIVE

A. How did you learn about the coöperative? (Please check)

1. Chamber of commerce 2. Chance 3. Church 4. Coöperative 5. Fellow worker 6. Fraternal organization 7. Friends 8. Interested individual	9. Neighbors 10. Newspaper 11. Political organization 12. Relatives 13. Social agencies 14. Trade journal or magazine 15. Others (Specify)
---	---

B. To what units did you belong before June 1, 1934?

1. Please give numbers
2. Of which were you a charter member?
3. Was your unit(s) a member of the organized central council? Yes No
4. How long were you a member of all the units? Years Months

C. With what did the coöperative provide you during the first six months of 1934?

	Units	Amounts	Value		Units	Amounts	Value
1. Barber				r. Pepper			
2. Cash				s. Potatoes			
3. Clothes				t. Salt			
4. Food				u. Tea			
a. Bacon				5. Fruits, vegetables			
b. Egg, powdered				a.			
c. Beans				b.			
d. Bread				c.			
e. Butter				d.			
f. Canned goods				6. Gas			
g.				7. Insurance			
h.				8. Lights			
i.				9. Meals (communal hall)			
j.				10. Medical care			
k. Cereal				11. Rent (house)			
l. Cocoa				12. Shoe repair			
m. Coffee				13. Transportation			
n. Eggs				14. Water			
o. Flour				15. Others (Specify)			
p. Milk				16.			
q. Oleomargarine				17.			

D. In what quantity and what quality?

	Excess	Good	Fair	Poor
1. Is the quality of the food given				
2. Is the variety of the food given				

3. Is the quantity of the food given at one time for:

1 Day?..... 2 Days?..... 5 Days?..... 1 Week?..... 2 Weeks?..... Longer?.....

(Indicate)

4. Is the housing given: Good?..... Fair?..... Poor?.....

E. Does the unit provide recreation? (Please check)

	Yes	No		Yes	No
1. Athletic clubs.....			7. Literary clubs.....		
2. Classes.....			8. Movie tickets.....		
3. Coöperative clubs.....			9. Musical clubs.....		
4. Dances.....			10. Picnics.....		
5. Group outings.....			11. Social clubs.....		
6. Lectures.....			12. Others.....		

F. In what type of recreational activity would you be interested?.....

G. Work requirements of the coöperatives:

1. How many hours per week does the coöperative require?.....

2. How many days per week do you actually work?.....

H. Organization and relationships of coöperative unit:

1. How long has the present manager of the coöperative unit to which you belong been in office:

6 Months?..... 1 Year?..... 2 Years?..... Over 2 years?.....

	Yes	No
2. Do you have any voting power in the management of your unit?.....		
If so, do you vote at every opportunity?.....		
3. Do you regularly attend meetings of your unit?.....		
4. Does your unit receive contributions from the community in which it is located?.....		

5. What type of contributions?	Units	Value	6. Sources of contributions (Please check)		
a. Housing.....			a. Clubs.....		
b. Clothing.....			b. Churches.....		
c. Food.....			c. Lodges.....		
d. Cash.....			d. Stores.....		
e. Gas.....			e. Utility.....		
f. Lights.....			f. Others.....		
g. Water.....					
h. Medical care.....					
i. Medicine.....					

7. Does your unit receive aid from the Federal government? Yes..... No.....

 In the form of cash? Yes..... No..... How much? \$.....

 In the form of supplies? Yes..... No..... How much?.....

8. Would you like to see the coöperative continue to function after the present unemployment crisis is over? Yes..... No.....

9. Has there been an increase in the size of your family?.....

A. Size of family:

1. Before coöperative..... 2. During coöperative..... 3. After coöperative.....

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BURGESS J. STEWART
 "Living on Surplus." *Survey*, vol. 69 (New York, Survey Associates, Inc., 1933).
- CALIFORNIA COÖPERATIVE UNITS
Coöperative Products and Services Directory, 1935-1936 (Los Angeles, California Coöperative Units, 1936).
- CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE
Statement of Vote at General Election Held on November 6, 1934, in the State of California (Sacramento, California State Printing Office, 1934).
- CALIFORNIA STATE EMERGENCY RELIEF ADMINISTRATION
Social Service Survey of Coöperatives to Determine Eligibility for Relief, December, 1936, to January, 1937.
- CALIFORNIA STATE EMERGENCY RELIEF ADMINISTRATION, DIVISION OF SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVE SERVICE
Annual Report, June 30, 1935 (mimeographed) (San Francisco, 1935).
Annual Report, July 1, 1935-June 30, 1936 (mimeographed) (San Francisco and Los Angeles, March, 1937).
Handbook of Consumers' Coöperatives in California (mimeographed) (San Francisco, 1935).
Semiannual Report, December 31, 1934 (San Francisco, 1934).
Semiannual Report, July 1 Through December 31, 1935 (San Francisco and Los Angeles, 1936).
Semiannual Report, January 1, 1936 to June 30, 1936 (typescript) (1936).
- CALIFORNIA STATE EMERGENCY RELIEF ADMINISTRATION, SPECIAL PROGRAMS DIVISION
Relief Status of Members of Self-help Coöperatives Circular Letter No. 191, March 25, 1935 (Sacramento, 1935).
- CALIFORNIA STATE RELIEF ADMINISTRATION
Review of Activities of the State Relief Administration of California, 1933-1935. (San Francisco, California State Relief Administration, 1936).
- CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES, DOMESTIC DISTRIBUTION DEPARTMENT COMMITTEE
Coöperative Business Enterprises Operated by Consumers (Washington, D. C., 1936).
- CHILDS, MARQU'S W.
Sveden: the Middle Way (New Haven, Yale University Press, 1936).
- "Coöperation," in Clark F. Ansley (ed.), *Columbia Encyclopaedia* (New York, Columbia University Press, 1935).
- FEDERAL EMERGENCY RELIEF ADMINISTRATION, DIVISION OF SELF-HELP COÖPERATIVES
Old Hands Build Anew (Washington, D. C., September, 1934).
Self-help Coöperatives (Washington, D. C., c. 1935).
Summary of Federal Aid to Self-help Coöperatives in the United States, July 1, 1933, to December 1, 1935 (mimeographed) (Washington, D. C., 1936).
- FISHER, IRVING, and COHRSSEN, HANS R. L.
Stamp Scrip (New York, Adelphi, 1933).
- GIBB, CHARLES
 "Consumers' Coöperation," *Ency. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 4 (New York, Macmillan, 1931).
- GRÜNFELD, ERNST
 "Credit Coöperation," *Ency. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 4 (New York, Macmillan, 1931).

HIBBARD, BENJAMIN HORACE

"Agricultural Coöperation," *Ency. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 1 (New York, Macmillan, 1930).

HILLMAN, ARTHUR

"Unemployed Citizens' League of Seattle," *University of Washington Publ. in Soc. Sci.*, Vol. V, no. 3 (Seattle, University of Washington, 1934).

JONES, TOM PARRY

"Republic of the Penniless," *Atlantic Monthly*, vol. 150 (Boston, Atlantic Monthly Co., 1932).

KELLER, A. G.

Man's Rough Road (New York and New Haven, Frederick A. Stokes Co. and Yale University Press, 1932).

KERR, CLARK

Self-help: A Study of the Coöperative Barter Movement of the Unemployed in California, 1932-1933 (typescript) (Master's thesis, Stanford University, 1933).

KERR, CLARK, and TAYLOR, PAUL S.

"Self-help Coöperatives in California," pp. 191-225 in E. T. Grether (chairman of editorial committee), *Essays in Social Economics* (Berkeley, University of California Press, 1935).

KLEM, MARGARET C.

Medical Care and Costs in California Families in Relation to Economic Status (San Francisco, California State Relief Administration, 1935).

KURTZ, RUSSELL H.

"Unemployment Relief," *Social Work Yearbook*, vol. 3 (New York, Russell Sage Foundation, 1935).

LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY CHEST

"Community Chest Compilation, Family Welfare Agencies," *Report of June 1, 1934* (Los Angeles, Community Chest, 1934).

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Ordinance No. 2168 (n.s.), Effective November 30, 1932 (Los Angeles, 1932).

LOS ANGELES COUNTY BUREAU OF COUNTY WELFARE

Monthly Report of Cases Handled by Code, June 1, 1934 (Los Angeles, c. 1934).

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHARITIES

Basic Relief Budget Effective May 1, 1934, and Operative Through June 1, 1934 (Los Angeles, 1934).

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION

Coöperative Unit Survey, January, 1936 (typescript) (1936).

LOS ANGELES COUNTY RELIEF ADMINISTRATION, FINANCE DIVISION

Comparative Analysis of Relief Disbursements and Administrative Costs, Six Months Ending December 26, 1935 (typescript) (1935).

MASSER, HARRY L., et al.

Coöperative Relief Organizations in Los Angeles County (Los Angeles, published by the author, 1934).

MAVERICK, LEWIS A.

Real Estate Activity in Los Angeles County, California (mimeographed) (Los Angeles, 1933).

MILES, WALTER R.

"Age and Human Society," in Murchison, C. (ed.), *Handbook of Social Psychology* (Worcester, Mass., Clark University, 1935).

PANUNZIO, CONSTANTINE

Future of Self-help Coöperatives (Los Angeles, Pacific Southwest Academy, 1937).

- PERROT, G. ST. J., and COLLINS, S. D.
 "Relation of Sickness to Income and Income Change in Ten Surveyed Communities," in United States Public Health Service, *Public Health Reports*, vol. 50 (Washington, D. C., Government Printing Office, 1935).
- PRIBRAM, KARL
 "Unemployment," *Ency. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 15 (New York, Macmillan, 1935).
- ROTH, GEORGE KNOX
Compton Unemployed Coöperative Relief Association: A Sociological Study, 1932-1933 (Master's thesis) (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, 1934).
- SAPOSS, DAVID J.
 "Producers' Coöperation," *Ency. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 12 (New York, Macmillan, 1934).
- SINCLAIR, UPTON
Co-op (Pasadena, published by the author, 1936).
Epic Plan for California (four pamphlets) (New York, Farrar and Rinehart, 1934).
I, Governor of California and How I Ended Poverty (Pasadena, published by the author, 1934).
- TESCHE, W. C.
 "Self-Help + Big Crops = Full Stomach," *Pacific Rural Press*, vol. CXXIV (San Francisco, Pacific Rural Press Co., 1932).
- "Busy Jobless Who Fill the Market Basket," *Literary Digest*, vol. 114 (New York, Funk & Wagnalls Co., 1932).
- UNITED STATES BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Estimated Population of the United States by Age as of April 1, 1937 (press release reg. no. 2485) (Washington, D. C., February 18, 1937).
Fifteenth Decennial Census of the United States: 1930. Abstract (Washington, D. C., Government Printing Office, 1930).
Fifteenth Decennial Census of the United States: 1930. Population, vol. I (1931), Vol. II (1932), Vol. III (1933) (Washington, D. C., Government Printing Office).
Religious Bodies: 1926, Vol. I (Washington, D. C., Government Printing Office, 1933).
- UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Monthly Labor Review, vol. 36 (Washington, D. C., Government Printing Office, 1933).
Retail Prices and Cost of Living, June, 1934 (Bulletin Service, No. R-126) (Washington, D. C., Government Printing Office).
- WARD, HAROLD
 "Problems of Population," *New Republic*, Vol. LXXXVI (New York, 1938).
- WEBB, SIDNEY and BEATRICE
Consumers' Coöperative Movement (New York and London, Longmans, Green & Co., 1921).

INDEX

(For authors referred to or cited, see Bibliography, pp. 143-146)

- Admiral Way Unemployed Citizens' League, 7
- American-born persons in Los Angeles coöperatives, 16
- Barter system employed by coöperatives, 7
- California, number of units of self-help coöperatives in, 10
- Characteristics of coöperatives, 6-7
- Children of coöperators, 20-21, 52-55; age of, 20-21; education of, 52 ff.; grades attained, 53, 54; number of, 20; parents' concern regarding education, 49, 52; retarded and advanced, 53; school attendance, 53-55; irregular attendance, 55, nonattendance, 54, problems relating to, 54
- Citizens' Service Exchange of Richmond, Virginia, 9
- Colorado, self-help coöperatives in, 10; Unemployed Citizens' League of Denver, 9
- Compton, first self-help coöperative in California, 9, 11
- Consumer Wholesale Society, Ltd., England, 5
- Continuation of Los Angeles coöperatives: coöperators' opinions regarding, 68 ff.; favorable to, 69-71; indifferent to, 71-72; opposed to, 71; summary, 72-73
- Coöperatives, 5 ff.; common characteristics of, 6-7; consumers', 5; credit, 6; first coöperative, Rochdale Equitable Pioneers' Society, 5; nature of, 6-7; marketing, 6; producers', 6; self-help, 7
- Coöperatives, self-help in Los Angeles County: attitude toward continuance of, 68 ff.; development of educational activities, 55-56; factors in development, 13-15; Federal aid to, 15, 99; first unit, 9, 11; governing principles, 73 ff.; income in cash and kind, 39; kind of people joining, 15 ff.; managers and management, 81 ff.; number of persons served by, 12, 101-102; number of units (1934), 11, (1936), 101; organization, 73 ff.; problems of, 77 ff.; reasons for joining, 25 ff.; recreational activities, 56 ff.; savings to taxpayers, 96 ff.; spread of, 11; two units at work, 86 ff.; why so many in southern California, 13-15; working hours, 32-33
- Coöperatives, self-help in the United States, 5 ff.; development of, 7-11; Federal aid to, 15, 75, 99; first coöperatives, 7-8; governing principles, 7; number of units (1934), 10; by states, 10; spread of, 9-10; total membership, 10-11
- Coöperators, self-help in Los Angeles County: age, 13, 23-24; why they are so old, 23 ff.; American-born, 16, 17; attitude toward continuance of coöperatives, 68 ff.; California-born, 17; education of, 49 ff.; employed and unemployed (1931-1934), 25 ff.; foreign-born, 16, 18; health of, 46 ff.; houses and house ownership, 41 ff.; income and expenditure, 33 ff.; marital status and size of family, 19-20; occupations before

- Coöperators, self-help in Los Angeles County (*continued*)—
 and after entering coöperatives, 20, 29-32; political affiliation, 64 ff.;
 recreational activities, 56 ff.; religious activities, 59 ff.; residence status,
 21; sex, 19; size of family, 19
- County Rehabilitation Department, 87, 89, 91
- Credit coöperatives, 6
- Democracy in self-help coöperatives, 76
- Denver Unemployed Citizens' League, 9
- Des Moines, Iowa, League of the Unemployed, 9
- District of Columbia, coöperatives in, 10
- Education activities conducted by coöperatives, 55-56; children of co-
 operators, 52; age of, 52; education of, 52-55; grades attained, 53, 54;
 parents' concern regarding education, 49, 52; retarded and advanced,
 53; school attendance, 53-55, irregular attendance, 54, 55, nonattend-
 ance, 54; problems relating to, 54; coöperators', college and univer-
 sity, 51; grammar school, 51; high school, 50; percentage with and with-
 out formal education, 50; suggestions regarding, 118
- Emergency Education Project, 56
- Emergency Relief Administration, State of California, 97
- Employed and unemployed coöperators, 1931-1934, 26
- End Poverty League, 56, 67
- Epic Plan, 15, 67
- Equality of opportunity and support in self-help coöperatives, 76
- Family of self-help coöperators, 19-21; size of, 19
- Federal aid to coöperatives, 15, 75, 99
- Federal Emergency Relief Administration, 75, 97
- Federal Emergency Relief Administration, Division of Self-help Coöper-
 atives, 97-100
- Food received by Los Angeles coöperators, according to need, 33; atti-
 tude toward what they received, 37; how often received, 36; items re-
 ceived, 34-36; meaning of opinion regarding, 36-37; quality and va-
 riety, 34-37
- Foreign-born coöperators, 16, 18
- Future of self-help coöperatives, 107 ff.
- Governing principles of self-help coöperatives, 73 ff.; democracy, 76;
 equality of opportunity, 76; mutualism, 76; peaceful action, 76; self-
 reliance, 76
- Health of coöperators, 46 ff.; diseases, 48; excellent and good health,
 46-47; remarks regarding, 47; fair and poor health, 47; illness, chronic,
 47; remarks regarding illness, 48; contributing conditions, 47, 49;
 length of illness, 47; mental health, 49

- Houses and house ownership of coöperators, 41 ff.; aid from coöperatives, 45; bedrooms and sleeping accommodations, 42; conditions of, 44; garages and gardens, 42, 43; number of rooms, 43; ownership of, payment toward, 45; proportion owning houses before and during membership, 44; sanitation and neatness, 44, remarks of investigators, 44; single houses, 42; toilet and bathroom conveniences, 42, 43; types of, 41-42
- Huntington Park, Unit X-3, 92 ff.; barber shop, 94; cash-producing activities, 94; city employment, 95; expenses, 96; food production and distribution, 94; gardens, 94; management and membership, 93; nature of aid grant, 93; oil-reclamation project, 95; salvaging and wrecking, 95; sewing, 94; shoe repair, 94; transportation and garage, 95
- Idaho, self-help coöperatives in, 8, 9
- Idleness, meaning of, 27
- Illinois, 9, 10
- Income of coöperators, 33 ff.; average monthly, in kind and cash, 39; and expenditures, 40; cash, 37; food, 34-37; frequency of food distribution, 36; items received, 34-36; opinion regarding food, 36-37; other income than food, 37; noncoöperative income, 40; total income, 38
- Indiana, 9, 10
- Investigation, character and scope of present, 123 ff.; difficulties, 123-126; extension through 1935-1936, 2, 3; field workers, 123-124; localities covered, 124-125; number of persons interviewed, 124; purpose, 2; sample reached, 124; territory covered, 126; time of, 125-126
- Iowa, 9, 10
- Kansas, 9, 10
- Labor College of Seattle, 7
- League of the Unemployed in Des Moines, Iowa, 9
- Los Angeles City, coöperatives in, 11
- Los Angeles County Rehabilitation Department, 89, 91, 106
- Los Angeles County relief agencies, 38
- Managers, 81 ff.; members' opinion of, 83-85; favoritism, 84; difficulty of task, 85; education of, compared with members', 82; efficiency and inefficiency of, 85; income of, 82-83; previous occupation of, 82; selection of, 82; types of persons, 81-82
- Marketing coöperatives, 6
- Michigan, 10
- Minneapolis, Organized Unemployed, Inc., of, 9
- Minnesota, 9, 10
- Missouri, 9, 10
- Mutualism in self-help coöperatives, 76

- Nativity of coöperators and mates, 16-19; of American and foreign birth, 16; American-born by geographic division, 17
- Natural Development Association of Salt Lake City, 8
- Nebraska, 10
- New York, 9, 10
- Nondiscrimination in self-help coöperatives, 76
- Nyack Trading Post, 9
- Occupations of Los Angeles self-help coöperators, 28 ff.; before entering coöperatives, 20; before and after entering, 29; displacement of, 30-31; downward, 30, horizontal, 30, upward, 30-31; reasons for, 31
- Ohio, 9, 10
- Ohio, Midwest Exchange of Yellow Springs, self-help coöperative, 9
- Oklahoma, 9, 10
- Olympic Heights Unemployed Citizens' League, 8
- Organization and activity of self-help coöperatives, 73 ff.; development of, 73-76, not uniform, 75; interunit coördination, 74; production, 75; salvaging activity, 74; small-group barter, 73; specialization of activity, 74; systematization of activity, 73 ff.
- Patten-Blinn Lumber Company of Santa Monica, 86, 92
- People, kind of, joining coöperatives, 15 ff.
- People's Exchange of Oklahoma City, 9
- Political affiliations of coöperators, 64 ff.; affiliation before and during coöperative membership, 65; changes in, 65-66; reasons for changes, 65-66; Commonwealth, 65; Communist, 66; Democratic, shift toward, 64-65; gubernatorial campaign of 1934, 66-68; nonpartisan, 65, 66; Prohibition, 65; Progressive, 66; registered voters, 66; registration of coöperators, 67; Republican, decrease of, 64-65; Socialist, 65
- Problems of self-help coöperatives, 77 ff.; inadequacy and irregularity of supply of goods, 77; inefficient management, 79; lack of prime resources and equipment, 77; members' lack of training, 78-80; occupational misplacement, 79-80; personnel difficulties, 78; politics, 80; poor quality of consumers' goods, 78; solution of, 80; unsystematic procedure, 79
- Producers' coöperatives, 6
- Production phase of coöperatives, 104-106
- Puerto Rico, coöperatives, 10
- Recreational activities of coöperators, 56 ff.; activities conducted by coöperatives, 58; dances, 57; "games" and picnics, 57; educational, 57; miscellaneous, 58; percentages of those who do not care for recreation, 56; preferences of coöperators, 57; solitary or semisolitary activities, 57; theater, 57

- Religious activities of Los Angeles self-help coöperators, 59 ff.; attendance, 61-62; attitude of coöperators toward religion, 59-60; church attendance, 63; church membership, before and during membership in coöperatives, 62; distribution of, 60; Jewish, 60, 61; nonattendance, reasons for, 62, and remarks concerning, 62-63; nonmembership and remarks regarding, 63-64; Protestant, 60, 61; Roman Catholic, 60, 61
- Rochdale Equitable Pioneers' Society, 5
- Salt Lake City Natural Development Association, 8
- Santa Monica Unemployed Citizens' League, 86 ff.; barter procedure, 87-91; cash-production activities, 90, 91-92; discontinued projects, 92; dormitories for men, 90; employment bureau, 90-91; entertainment, 91; equipment, 90; food production and dispensing activities, 87-90; health service, 90; income and expenditures, 92; library, 90; location, 86-87; organization, 86; other services, 90; shoe repairing, 90; special assistance received, 86, 91, 92; summary of activities, 91-92
- Saving to taxpayers by self-help organizations, 96 ff.; difficulty of computation, 96-97; evaluation of figures, 100-101; method of computation, 97; savings in relief costs to Los Angeles County, 97-99; gross savings, 98; cost to the public, 99; net savings, 99
- Seattle Unemployed Citizens' League, 7-8; first self-help organization, 7; phases of development, 7-8; organizers, 7; significance of, 8
- Self-help coöperatives, 7 ff.; definition, 7; development, 9-11; development of organization of, 73 ff.; forms of, 7; future of, 107 ff.; kind of people joining, 15 ff.; in 1936, 101 ff.; savings to taxpayers, 96 ff.
- Self-help coöperatives in Los Angeles County. *See* Coöperatives, self-help in Los Angeles County
- Self-help coöperatives in the United States. *See* Coöperatives, self-help in the United States
- Self-help coöperatives, reasons for continuation of: to avoid charity, 69; employment for aged, 70; for handicapped, 70; for indigent, 70; production for use, 70
- Self-help coöperators. *See* Coöperators
- Self-help coordinating organizations in the United States, 9
- Self-help reliance in coöperatives, 76
- Shirt Sleeve Exchange of Oklahoma City, 9
- Southern California, coöperatives in, 11 ff.; factors in growth, 13-15
- Specialization of coöperatives, 103, 104, 105
- Sweden, 5
- Texas, 10
- Townsendites, 36
- Unemployed, number of, in the United States, 106

- Unemployed join coöperatives, 25 ff.; imperative needs of, 25-27; need to keep busy, 27-28; to maintain self-respect, 27; to participate in basic reform, 28
- Unemployed Citizens' League of Alameda, California, 9; Cheyenne, Wyoming, 9; Denver, Colorado, 9; Memphis, Tennessee, 9; St. Louis, Missouri, 9; Seattle, Washington, 7-9; Santa Monica, California, 86 ff
- Unemployed Coöperative Distributing Association, 74, 110
- Unemployed Coöperative Relief Association of Los Angeles, California, 9, 11; of San Jose, California, 9
- Unemployed Exchange Association of Oakland, California, 9
- Unemployed League of Indianapolis, Indiana, 9
- Unemployed Relief Club of Waterloo, Iowa, 9
- United States, self-help coöperatives. *See* Coöperatives, self-help in the United States
- Units at work, Huntington Park Coöperative, Unit No. X-3, 92 ff.; Santa Monica Unemployed Citizens' League, Unit No. 239, 86 ff.
- University of California at Los Angeles, 89, 91
- Virgin Island coöperatives, 10
- Virginia, Citizens' Service Exchange of Richmond, 9
- Wagner-Lewis Act, 75
- Washington, self-help coöperatives in, 7-8, 9, 10
- Work that coöperators do, in and outside of coöperatives, 28 ff.
- Working hours of Los Angeles self-help coöperatives, 28 ff.; actual, 32; attitude of workers toward excessive hours, 32; minimum requirement, 32; overtime, 32-33
- Yellow Springs, Ohio, Midwest Exchange, 9